



United States
of America

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 111th CONGRESS, SECOND SESSION

SENATE—Friday, December 10, 2010

The Senate met at 9:30 a.m. and was called to order by the Honorable MARK R. WARNER, a Senator from the Commonwealth of Virginia.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

Sovereign Lord, we put our hope in You. Today, lead our lawmakers to strive to honor You. May they look to You for wisdom and seek to do Your will, relying on Your protection and guidance. Keep them from the slippery places of temptation, as You deliver them from evil. Hold them in Your hand and guide them with Your truth. God, be in their heads and in their understanding; be in their eyes and in their looking; be in their mouths and in their speaking; be in their hearts and in their thinking.

We pray in Your great Name. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Honorable MARK R. WARNER led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

APPOINTMENT OF ACTING PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will please read a communication to the Senate from the President pro tempore (Mr. INOUE).

The assistant legislative clerk read the following letter:

U.S. SENATE,
PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE,
Washington, DC, December 10, 2010.

To the Senate:

Under the provisions of rule I, paragraph 3, of the Standing Rules of the Senate, I hereby appoint the Honorable MARK R. WARNER, a Senator from the Commonwealth of Virginia, to perform the duties of the Chair.

DANIEL K. INOUE,
President pro tempore.

Mr. WARNER thereupon assumed the chair as Acting President pro tempore.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The majority leader is recognized.

SCHEDULE

Mr. REID. Mr. President, following leader remarks, if any, the Senate will turn to a period of morning business, with Senator SANDERS of Vermont to be recognized at 10:15 a.m. to speak for whatever time he feels appropriate.

There will be no rollcall votes during today's session of the Senate. The next rollcall vote will be at 3 p.m. Monday, December 13, on the motion to invoke cloture with respect to the tax agreement. As I announced last night, that vote will be held open longer than usual to allow Senators to make that most important vote.

I have nothing further.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

MORNING BUSINESS

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the Senate will now be in a period of morning business.

The Senator from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

AFGHANISTAN

Mr. CASEY. Mr. President, this month, the Obama administration will submit its review of the war in Afghanistan. I expect—and I think a number of Members of Congress expect—that this review will provide answers to the key questions before us, questions the American people deserve answers to. I believe these questions fall into three broad categories: first of all, Afghan governance; second, development and humanitarian efforts; and, finally, establishing a sustainable security environment in Afghanistan.

Since the announcement of a new strategy in December of 2009 and the deployment of 30,000 additional troops, I have sought to carefully monitor U.S. progress toward its goals. As part of this effort, I have paid special attention to combating the top killer of U.S. troops, which, of course, is improvised explosive devices. I chaired a Senate Foreign Relations Committee hearing on this topic on November 18 and will continue to press our government and our leaders and governments in the region to do more to restrict the availability of components that make up these terrible weapons, especially, of course, ammonium nitrate, which flows into Afghanistan every day of the week to make IEDs that kill our troops.

I am pleased significant progress has been made by the Department of State, the Department of Homeland Security, and the Department of Defense to coordinate an all-of-government approach to this problem. I wish to applaud the recent efforts of the Afghan security forces that seized one metric ton of ammonium nitrate on Monday in Zabul Province. All the key players appear to be on the same page on this issue, but there still has not been a significant decrease of these deadly weapons in Afghanistan. I trust that the December review by the administration will address the flow of ammonium nitrate, and I look forward to continuing to work closely with the administration on this issue.

At a strategic level, too many questions remain as we head into the December review. I would like to list some of those right now.

First of all, on the issue of governance, I have two questions I hope the December review will address. First, do we have a political strategy—a political strategy—in place to ensure that the Afghan Government is prepared to enact reforms that concretely show the population it represents their key interests and concerns? I believe our efforts to pressure the Afghan Government have been at best uneven in this area, due, in large part, to a reluctance to pressure the Afghan leadership.

● This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a member of the Senate on the floor.

Any security gains in Afghanistan can be easily squandered without serious progress on governance. The United States, ISAF, and Afghan security forces are sacrificing too much as the Afghan Government fails to enact reforms in the best interests of the Afghan people. It will be difficult to succeed in Afghanistan without a strategy to help build the institutions of governance, including the judiciary, political parties, and, of course, electoral institutions.

As difficult as these interactions may be, the international community must be more willing to confront the Afghan Government on issues of political representation, corruption, and the rule of law. We should stand ready to help build and develop these democratic institutions.

The 2009 Presidential election and the 2010 parliamentary elections were rife with problems that seriously undermined the confidence of the international community in Afghanistan's ability to conduct elections free of fraud and manipulation. If the electoral process remains deeply flawed, the Afghan people's support for the democratic process itself may well erode.

While the government has said it wants to develop a "strategy for long-term electoral reform that addresses in particular the sustainability of the electoral process," few steps have been taken in this direction. The election law is in need of serious reform. The executive branch has nearly exclusive power over the Independent Election Commission and Electoral Complaints Commission. The single nontransferable vote system impedes the development of political parties, an essential long-term way to organize and represent the interests of the Afghan people.

Corruption continues to be a serious issue that affects citizens across Afghanistan, especially in the southern part of the country. A recent public opinion survey conducted by the Washington Post, ABC News, the BBC, and ARD television in Germany showed that 55 percent of respondents in Kandahar say they have been asked for bribes from the police—55 percent—well above the national figure of 21 percent. Moreover, most Kandahar residents say their situation would only get worse if they exercised due process and filed a complaint about a public official.

U.S. efforts to improve governance at times compete with our security concerns. There is an inherent tension between the United States and ISAF forces in efforts to engage, to combat extremist elements at the local level and cooperation with warlords who rule over certain areas. While there is an imperative to collect intelligence and conduct operations that may require cooperation with local power bro-

kers, I am concerned the long-term cost of such interaction is very high. Are we empowering another generation of local power brokers who have little regard for representing the interests of the local population? That is a question that needs to be asked over and over, and we need answers to that question.

It is a simple fact, disaffection among Afghan citizens with the central government and local power brokers provides recruiting opportunities for the Taliban. This is a serious concern because it gets to the heart of our engagement in Afghanistan: Cooperation with local warlords can provide short-term security gains, but what is the long-term impact? I hope the administration's December review will address this issue.

Question No. 2: What is the state of the reconciliation process with the Taliban? I have expressed serious concerns about the impact of negotiations with the Taliban on women and other vulnerable groups in Afghanistan. My concern grew—and I know others' concern as well—our concern grew in reading the poll numbers from Afghanistan recently. There was a 13-percent jump from last year among respondents who say women's rights are suffering.

The December review should address the current state of play with respect to these negotiations. The recent Afghan poll showed that nearly three-quarters of Afghans now believe their government should pursue negotiations with the Taliban, with almost two-thirds willing to accept a deal allowing Taliban leaders to hold political office.

Ultimately, there must be a political solution to end the war in Afghanistan. I am not suggesting we are close at this time to that result, but we need to know the degree to which the administration and the Karzai government are coordinated and headed down the same path. International engagement on any negotiation process will be essential to long-term success. Pakistan has a role to play and is a necessary element to any long-lasting peace agreement.

The next area, security. U.S. operations in southern Afghanistan appear to be having a positive impact on Afghan public opinion. Sixty-seven percent of the people in the Province of Helmand describe their security as good, a 14-percent jump from December 2009. Nearly two-thirds of Helmand residents state that Afghanistan is on the right track.

This is an indication that positive momentum has been built in Afghanistan's most sensitive region. But such gains can be short-lived, and in order to facilitate a sustainable security, we must take a long-term approach to ensure that the Afghan Government can provide for its own security.

The training of the Afghan National Security Forces is a key threshold question. We cannot allow Afghanistan

to once again become a haven for al-Qaida or other extremist groups to launch attacks against the United States. ISAF forces have denied al-Qaida this haven since 2001. However, we cannot provide this security in perpetuity. The Afghans have to assume more responsibility for their own security, and we must do all we can to prepare the Afghan National Security Forces for that day.

So where do we stand at this point? I would have to say the view is decidedly mixed. For years, the international community exercised what can be characterized as gross neglect in building Afghan security forces, and only recently have we begun to take on this task.

First, some positive news on this issue. We do not hear enough about this.

Under the leadership of Lieutenant General Caldwell, the NATO Training Mission-Afghanistan, the so-called NTM-A, has been a source of real progress. The Afghan National Army and Police are exceeding—exceeding—their recruitment goals. As of August of this year, the Afghan National Army's total strength had grown to 138,164, exceeding the goal for October 2010 by more than 8,000 troops. As of August, the Afghan National Police had an end strength of 119,639, exceeding the 2010 goal of 109,000. These recruitment numbers are an important sign of progress, but serious concerns remain related to the quality of the force, the retention rate, and the low rate of literacy.

The Afghan National Army has significant shortages in officer and non-commissioned officer leadership. Effective junior leaders are essential to a professional force since they control immediate on-the-ground situations.

The Ministry of Defense and the training mission in Afghanistan are working to overcome a shortfall of more than 4,500 Afghan National Army officers. There are more Officer Candidate School units, twice as many seats in the Integration Mujahedeen Course, and larger classes at the National Military Academy.

As for noncommissioned officers, the Afghan National Army faces a shortage of more than 10,500. Similar expansions in training capacity and direct entry programs are underway to address this deficiency. According to a recent Pentagon report, the gap will not be closed until the end of 2012.

The Pentagon also reports we face a shortfall of more than 900 international trainers in Afghanistan. I hope our allies in ISAF can help to address this very important training need. Many European countries have a proud history of developing elite paramilitary forces. This valued expertise is needed right now in Afghanistan.

While expanding capacity is critical to growing the force, I hope the December review by the administration will

address not just the efforts to grow more leaders but also describe how these leaders are laying the foundation for professionalizing the Afghan national security forces.

Retention and attrition rates. For years, the Afghan national security force's attrition rate has been an issue. Facilitating rapid growth while increasing quality requires that retention rates remain high.

In January 2010, the Joint Coordination and Monitoring Board approved the goal of developing a force of 305,600 personnel by October 2011. Recruiting efforts compared with increased retention have allowed the force to grow ahead of schedule so far. Moving forward, projections remain uncertain. The Defense Department reports the police have met attrition and retention goals. However, the Afghan National Army still has issues with attrition that may impact its ability to maintain its impressive growth in numbers. This month's review by the administration should clarify projections and detail efforts to boost retention.

Literacy is a big problem. The literacy rates are very low in the Afghan Security Force and this must be addressed. Consider this story from Lieutenant General Caldwell. He visited a base in northern Afghanistan where 90 percent of the troops claimed they had been unpaid for months. To limit corruption, the government has been paying the troops by electronic funds transfer instead of cash. The troops had no idea, however, since they could not read their bank statements.

Think about weapons security. How can a soldier be sure he has been assigned a weapon if he cannot read the serial number? Illiteracy is widespread in the force: Only 11 percent of enlisted personnel can read, write, or do simple math. This creates significant challenges in professionalizing the security force. In response, a huge literacy program has grown around the fielding of the Afghan security forces. So we have much to do on that.

I will move to the last part of our concerns, and that is on development. A qualified Afghan soldier is much cheaper to train and equip on the field than an American, so the overall cost to U.S. taxpayers would certainly diminish as the U.S. forces draw down. But by investing in this large force, there are long-term implications. Do we expect to pay for the Afghan security forces 10 years from now, 20 years from now? At what point will the Afghan Government be able to collect its own revenue to fund its security as well as other priorities?

That is, again, why responsible Afghan governance is essential. While the international community will shoulder much of the humanitarian and security burden in the short term, the Afghan Government needs to take steps to increase its domestic revenue collection,

as well as put into place a sound legislative framework to encourage investment. They need to develop a minerals framework law, and they also need to put in place changes to bring about a stronger infrastructure.

Let me close with a reflection upon our troops. We have the obligation here in the Senate to ask and have answers to very critical questions, whether they relate to development or governance or security, and especially on the question of security. We also have an obligation to remember and keep in mind the human toll.

SSG SEAN FLANNERY

In the State of Pennsylvania, as in a lot of States, we have lost a lot of soldiers. To date, we have lost 60 service-members since the beginning of the war in Afghanistan. In Iraq, we got to the number of about 196—just below 200. Let me share one story as I conclude. Two weeks ago, Pennsylvania lost Army SSG Sean Flannery who died a hero in Afghanistan. He is from the town of Wyomissing, PA, in Bucks County. He was an infantry squad leader who was killed after delivering first aid to a wounded Afghan soldier. Sean and his team carried the man to an evacuation helicopter. They stepped on an improvised explosive device which killed Sean and another soldier. Staff Sergeant Flannery was 29 years old. After he graduated from Wyomissing High School in 1999 and Shippensburg University, he was determined to serve his country. He was on his fourth tour of duty after having served two tours in Iraq and a prior tour in Afghanistan. He earned a Bronze Star because of his heroism and then another commendation last week. One of his high school classmates paid tribute to his friend at a service earlier this week. He said:

His fellow soldiers talked about how much they respected him and what a great leader he was and how they had true love for him, and not a word of it surprised us. He was the type of guy everybody wants their son to be—loyal, humble, and generous. I was honored to have him as a friend.

That is what Matt Rader, a classmate of Sean Flannery's, said about Sean.

All of us are honored to represent these young men and women who fight for us and some who die for this cause. Today we pray for those families. We pray for Sean and his family. But in the larger sense I guess we pray for ourselves as well. We pray that we are worthy and can prove ourselves worthy of their valor.

One of the ways Members of the Congress can prove ourselves worthy of that valor is to ask and demand answers to these very difficult questions, no matter who the administration is and no matter what party, because we have to get this policy right. We have an obligation to get it right, for Sean Flannery and for those who have loved and lost, and for our country.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Utah.

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that at the conclusion of my remarks, the distinguished Senator from Rhode Island, Senator REED, be given time on the floor for his remarks.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TRIBUTE TO JACE JOHNSON

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, I wish to take a moment to pay tribute to someone who is very special and very dear to me, my former Chief of Staff, Jace Johnson. Over this past recess, I learned that my Chief of Staff, Jace Johnson, would be leaving the Senate to pursue opportunities in the private sector.

Change often catches us by surprise. As the Presiding Officer can imagine, I had come to rely on the talents and insight that Jace offered over many these past years. He was my strong right arm, someone in whom I had complete confidence, and still do. For 8 years, Jace worked on my staff and dedicated his efforts to serving Utah and improving our country. Undoubtedly, his work ethic and his straightforward approach to public service have greatly benefited us all. Jace is sorely missed by all of us on our staff and all of us in our office. He provided strong leadership at a time when it was absolutely crucial to us.

To fully understand the void created by Jace's absence, one has to know a bit more about him. When you walked into Jace's office, you were greeted by proudly displayed Utah college and university pennants. Aside from making an interesting and welcoming environment, the banners proclaimed Jace's passion for sports. Like me, he spent his boyhood as an avid basketball player. I regret we never had the opportunity for a one-on-one game, but I am still convinced I would have kicked his tail and I would have won. Although when I think about it, he is in a lot better shape than I am.

His love of hoops led Jace to play basketball at Snow College in central Utah. Soon thereafter, Jace served a 2-year mission for the Church of Jesus Christ and Latter Day Saints in the Philadelphia, PA area. Being from smalltown Idaho, I can only imagine the new experiences Philadelphia had to offer. It undoubtedly left quite an impression. His wife Cori credits her husband's time in Philadelphia for his willingness to venture back to the east coast after college.

Jace and Cori met while they were attending college at Brigham Young University in Provo, UT. They have three wonderful children—Ashley, Benjamin, and Christian. Upon finishing

school at BYU, Jace and Cori made the move to Washington, DC, so he could attend graduate school at George Washington University and earn his MBA.

While Jace was still in school, he actually worked in my office as an intern. He didn't stay long, however, because as the saying goes, he had bigger fish to fry. By the time he returned to Capitol Hill to work on a more permanent basis, he had already achieved remarkable success in the business world. After working for a few years at Visa International, he became the director of finance at the Corvis Corporation, a cutting-edge network and media solutions company. Jace was the third employee to be hired at Corvis when it was still a startup, and while he was there he helped secure financing for the company as it prepared to go public in the year 2000. Jace's contribution to Corvis allowed the company to grow from a small startup employing a handful of people into an international company with more than 3,000 employees and a value of \$40 billion.

Jace joined my staff in 2002, coming on as a legislative assistant, working mostly on telecommunications issues. In that position, he demonstrated the keen understanding and strategic thinking that had made him such a success in the business world. After 3 years, I appointed him to be my legislative director, and in that position he continued to excel and became a vital and integral part of my efforts here in the Senate. I grew to depend on him more and more, and in January of 2008, Jace took over as my Chief of Staff.

I used to joke with him that working for me was only a hobby, because he didn't need the money. Of course, anyone who knew and worked with Jace can attest that is simply not true. He put his heart and soul into his work in the Senate. For Jace, failure wasn't permissible, so he spent early mornings and late nights ensuring the work was done and done right. His commitment to me personally and to my work here in the Senate was rooted in his belief—a belief he reiterated at every opportunity—that what we were doing was in the best interests of our country and for the people of Utah.

I think what I appreciated most about Jace is his unwavering honesty. In a town filled with people who only want to tell you what they want you to hear, Jace was refreshingly direct and straightforward. I have always attributed this to the fact that he is, to put it bluntly, just a little bit smarter—maybe not just a bit smarter, a whole lot smarter—than most people. People who don't see the big picture and who can't predict what might happen down the line have the need to hedge bets, cover bases, and speak without committing. Jace Johnson has never had that problem. When a goal is identified and a plan set in motion, he is usually

a few steps ahead of everyone else and he can see where problems might arise. Chances are he has already come up with solutions to those problems. An individual with that kind of rare insight and understanding has the license to speak directly where others would hem and haw. I was lucky enough to be the beneficiary of Jace's ability to speak frankly and honestly, and on more than one occasion that meant I was on the receiving end. I think I can speak for every Member of the Senate when I say that that kind of support from staff is a treasured commodity.

It is very clear I will miss Jace Johnson on my staff. However, I think it would be even more telling to hear from some of the people of Utah who have high praise for Jace and the service he has rendered.

Utah Governor Gary Herbert had this to say:

Jace is a man of great insight and understanding. He is able to think strategically and anticipate potential roadblocks, which has, and will continue, to serve him well. I wish him the best of luck and success in his new position.

Jason Perry, Governor Herbert's Chief of Staff, said:

I have had the opportunity to work with Jace for many years. His uncanny ability to diffuse potentially difficult situations, coupled with his pleasant and approachable nature, has proven invaluable on several occasions.

Utah Senator-elect Mike Lee said:

I have looked to Jace Johnson on many occasions for his keen insight, depth of wisdom, and wise counsel. He has proven to be a tremendous resource and has truly made a difference for me, Senator HATCH, the people of the great State of Utah, and for our country. His legacy of service will stand as a benchmark of excellence for years to come.

Former Utah Republican Party Chairman Stan Lockhart said this:

Jace Johnson is a class act. In positions of responsibility and authority, he is approachable and down to earth. In an environment of hardball politics, he maintains integrity. With many issues to deal with on a daily basis, he understands the big picture and small nuances of good policy. I always liked the fact that he understands the private sector, with a successful background in the technology industry before he came to Washington, D.C. I'll miss Jace.

A prominent Salt Lake City lawyer and a political leader, Doug Foxley, in the State said about Jace:

Behind that I-am-just-a-boy-from-Blackfoot-Idaho, was a keen, astute political mind who always had Orrin's interest at heart and had a quality of making everyone feel that he was their best friend. Not diminishing any other person who has worked for Orrin, but Jace was a delight and any trip to D.C. without seeing Jace was not a trip. A true committed conservative who was not an obnoxious ideologue, but one who truly believed what he espoused: hard work, honor, commitment, dedication to family, Church, and country and imbued with a belief in limited government and in the private sector were guiding principles. This, coupled with compassion for those less fortunate, is the Jace Johnson legacy.

Mr. President, that is high praise, and we have lots of others who feel the same way. I will leave it at that.

During my time here in the Senate, I have been extremely fortunate when it comes to my staff. I have had the privilege of serving with some of the most gifted and devoted individuals our Nation has to offer. Each of them has brought something unique and important to the table. But I have to say that, even among the select fraternity of talented Senate staffers, Jace Johnson belongs in the hall of honor, an elite class that very few have been or will be able to attain.

I have no doubt that Jace will succeed in the new position. Success has been the defining characteristic of every endeavor he has undertaken. While the selfish part of me wishes he would have stayed in the Senate just a little bit longer, I want to wish Jace, his wife Cori, and his whole family the very best going forward.

I yield the floor.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Rhode Island is recognized.

TRIBUTES TO RETIRING SENATORS

Mr. REED. Mr. President, I rise today to pay tribute to our colleagues who are departing the Senate after distinguished service on behalf of their States and on behalf of the Nation. I have been privileged to work with these individuals, to learn from them, to collaborate and cooperate with them, and to, in some small way, help them do what they have done so well—represent their States with fidelity, with great effort, and to move the agenda of the Nation forward.

EVAN BAYH

EVAN BAYH, a colleague and friend. We served together on the Banking Committee and the Armed Services Committee. His lovely wife Susan and their two children have contributed extraordinarily to Indiana as a Governor, and then as a Senator serving the Nation.

I can recall very early on in the operations in Iraq, where it became clear to Senator BAYH that unless we armored our humvee vehicles, thousands and thousands of soldiers would be at risk, and also marines, sailors, and airmen. He fought tenaciously to ensure, against initial opposition, that we were able to begin to armor those vehicles, begin to develop new generations of armored vehicles to protect our soldiers. So, quite literally, his efforts saved the lives of thousands of young Americans. For that alone, we owe him a huge debt of gratitude.

In every endeavor, from issues of children's health to education policy, he brought a thoughtful, measured, and brilliant mind to bear that is hard to match. I wish him the very best.

ROBERT BENNETT

BOB BENNETT and I served together on the Banking Committee. His thoughtful questioning and his deep knowledge of the subjects were critical on so many different issues. I remember when we were trying to get the extension of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act completed so the markets—particularly the markets for large properties—would not freeze up, he was instrumental in getting that done. That is just one example of what he has done throughout a career of public service to the people of Utah and of this Nation. I wish he and Joyce the very best as they continue to serve, as I am sure they will, this Nation and their State of Utah.

KIT BOND

KIT BOND and Linda Bond have served with such distinction also. As a member of the Appropriations Committee, he has made wise and judicious decisions about how we invest in this country. In that process, he never forgot the obligation to groups who are often overlooked.

One of the areas where he has done so much work, and so effectively, has been on the issue of homelessness. His efforts, joined by our colleagues and friends, BARBARA MIKULSKI and PATTY MURRAY, have made a real difference in providing effective and efficient shelter for so many in our large communities and in our rural areas, who otherwise literally would be on the streets of America. One of the most disheartening images in this country is to see people who are forced to be on the streets. There are many reasons for that. But KIT BOND has done a lot to ensure there is at least a capacity to help people who need that kind of help. I thank him for that and commend him for so much of what he has done for the people of Missouri and the people of this Nation.

SAM BROWNBACK

I congratulate Governor-elect SAM BROWNBACK. He has decided to leave the rigors of the Senate for the tranquility of being a Governor. I see our Presiding Officer, who enjoyed the tranquility of being the Governor of Virginia. I know SAM is going to do great, but I think at some moment he might mop his brow and say that it wasn't quite as hectic back in the Senate.

Along with Mary and his family he has distinguished himself. SAM is someone who is indefatigable when involved in an issue. He does it from principle and conscience. We appreciate that.

I was pleased to work with him on legislation I introduced, the Conquer Childhood Cancer Act, which became law. That is one example of his great skills as a legislator. In foreign affairs he was someone who kept watch on areas of the world which otherwise might have been neglected. His efforts with respect to Darfur, striving to

bring justice and peace to that region, are particularly commendable. I thank the Senator for his service and wish him well as he assumes the daunting responsibilities as Governor of the State of Kansas.

JIM BUNNING

Senator JIM BUNNING and I served together for many years on the Banking Committee. JIM and Mary Catherine and their family brought so much to not only the Senate as an organization, but to the Senate as a group of individuals. JIM was one of the first and most insightful observers and critics of the growing issues in the securities market. He did it based upon his experience as a financial stockbroker in the world of finance. He did it also with his grasp of common sense and looking at things carefully and pragmatically, based on Main Street not on financial centers. We worked together on the Securities Subcommittee, and we did it in a way that I enjoyed the collaboration immensely.

A focus on the issues and their importance to the country was also in the forefront of his mind. He distinguished himself immensely. I wish him well.

ROLAND BURRIS

Senator Roland Burris brought a great bit of vitality and energy to the Senate in his time here. I wish Roland and Berlean the very best. He has had a remarkable career in Illinois as someone who was in the banking industry and then public service—been a pathbreaker in so many different ways. When he came to the Senate, he came with that same enthusiasm, knowing that his service would be limited in days but not limited by any aspirations or any ideals. He wanted to do the best for the people of Illinois and the Nation. I thank him.

BYRON DORGAN

Next is BYRON and Kim DORGAN and their family. Again, BYRON was an integral part for many years in this Senate. He is one of those individuals whose wisdom you appreciate more and more each day. He is someone who spoke years ago about the offshoring of jobs, and he spoke years ago about a tax system that doesn't reward investment in American jobs but ironically might encourage disinvestment of those jobs. He is someone who spoke with reason, candor, thoughtfulness, and facts about issues that the rest of us are beginning to recognize today are critical to the future of this country.

We will also miss his steady hand on the Appropriations Committee, where he battled to ensure that the reasonable priorities of this Nation were met. His support and help in terms of LIHEAP funding and his help to me personally in so many different ways is deeply appreciated. I thank him for his service. I know he will continue to provide, in his writings and speeches, the wise and thoughtful insights that,

again, as we look back, I think we should have heeded with much more energy and enthusiasm when he spoke them.

RUSS FEINGOLD

Senator RUSS FEINGOLD—and this is said often, but it applies so accurately—is a conscience of this body. He is someone who came here to fight for the principles in which he deeply believes and would not deviate from that very serious challenge. He did it with skill, thoughtfulness, and gentility. I appreciate that very much. He was a champion for so many things—Pell grants, making sure the opportunity was still a watchword of this country. What he was most famous for was his efforts in campaign finance reform. Frankly, we will miss him dearly because of that.

There is a growing concern in this country that elections have become auctions, not about the quality of the candidates and their positions, but simply how much money can be funded and by how many different sources. So at a time when we have to confront again an even more serious challenge of campaign finance reform, we are losing one of the great voices and great minds in that effort. I know he will be helping us in this effort going forward, and I thank him for that.

CARTE GOODWIN

Carte Goodwin served with us for a very brief period of time, but in that time, we saw the incredible talent of this young Senator—his skill, his judgment, his ability to communicate with everyone in this Senate. He has been succeeded by another remarkable gentleman, Senator MANCHIN, who is certainly keeping up the high standards of Senators from West Virginia.

Carte, good luck, and thank you for your service, and I know you will continue to serve the country.

JUDD GREGG

Senator JUDD GREGG and Kathleen are stalwarts in the Senate, with their 18 years of service. I worked very closely with Judd on so many issues but most recently on the Dodd-Frank bill, where we worked collaboratively on this whole complex concept of derivatives. I was impressed with his intellect, his grasp, his balance, and I think we both pushed ourselves to think harder, to do better, and to come up with a solution, frankly, that was much better than I know I could have done individually. So I thank JUDD GREGG for what he did there and on so many other things.

TED KAUFMAN

My dear friend Ted Kaufman and Lynne. We traveled the world together—including Afghanistan multiple times. He served with such distinction. He took up the effort to ensure that our securities markets in particular are well regulated, especially in regard to the new phenomenon of high-frequency trading.

And it is no coincidence that just this week, we had a hearing on high-frequency trading. Ted has not let us forget that very critical issue for the future.

GEORGE LEMIEUX

Senator GEORGE LEMIEUX and his wife Meike. Again, they served here for a short period of time but with such distinction, such character, and such concern. I want to salute him. He and I worked together on the Teacher and Principal Improvement Act. He is a brilliant and a decent civil servant. I thank him.

BLANCHE LINCOLN

BLANCHE LINCOLN. BLANCHE and I served in the House together. She decided to raise her family and then came back to the Senate. I can say without any hesitation that without her very courageous stand with respect to some of the aspects of the Dodd-Frank financial legislation, it would not be as comprehensive, as effective as it is today. She stood up and essentially demanded that we create a structure where you could trade derivatives rather than simply clear them. That is a remarkable achievement, and it is her achievement.

ARLEN SPECTER

Finally—and I beg the indulgence of my colleague from Vermont, who I know is scheduled to speak—let me say to ARLEN SPECTER and Joan Specter, thank you for such service. Senator SPECTER is the champion of NIH. It is an incredible achievement, what he has done to fund that over the years.

GEORGE VOINOVICH

And also to Senator GEORGE VOINOVICH and Janet Voinovich, thank you so much for what you have done. GEORGE VOINOVICH has been someone who has really tried to be the watchdog for all Americans. He has also been an advocate for infrastructure investment and for early childhood care and education.

To all of these colleagues and their families, my deepest appreciation and my profoundest respect.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. REED). The Senator from Virginia.

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak as in morning business for 5 minutes and that Senator SANDERS be recognized upon the conclusion of my remarks.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

RECOGNIZING FEDERAL EMPLOYEE

DEBORAH AUTOR

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, I rise again to recognize the service of another great Federal employee. This is a tradition that was started by our friend and former colleague, Senator Kaufman, and I am proud to carry on that

tradition. But I want to first say that I appreciate the remarks of the Presiding Officer about our colleagues who are leaving this body, and I share his great respect for not only Senator Kaufman but all of the colleagues who are leaving the body at the end of this Congress.

Mr. President, oftentimes we forget that thousands of Federal employees go to work every day with the sole mission of making this country a safer place to live. Ms. Deborah Autor is one of those employees.

As we enter into the cold and flu season, there is great concern about harmful medications that may fall into the hands of our children or other loved ones. As Director of the Food and Drug Administration's Drug Compliance Office, Ms. Autor works to protect public health by minimizing Americans' exposure to unsafe, ineffective, and poor-quality drugs that enter the marketplace.

Ms. Autor is particularly focused on removing potentially dangerous prescription medicines, including cough medicines, anti-inflammatory drugs, antihistamines, and migraine medications, just to name a few. Under her leadership, the FDA has taken enforcement action to remove more than 500 unapproved prescription drugs from the market. She is also credited with designing a program used to identify unapproved drugs, evaluate the public health consequences, and explore any potential legal challenges.

Many believe Ms. Autor is the brains behind the FDA's aggressive enforcement efforts. In conducting the research for these remarks, we found a quote from Mr. Steven Galson, a former Acting U.S. Surgeon General, and this is what he had to say about Ms. Autor:

She developed the program under budget constraints, withstood scrutiny and criticism from some in the drug industry and Congress, successfully navigated the internal FDA process and took aggressive action to clamp down on illegal drug marketers.

There are many others who have sung her praises and highlighted her commitment to public service.

Prior to work at the FDA, Ms. Autor was a trial attorney for the Office of Consumer Litigation at the Department of Justice, where she served for 7 years. In this role, she litigated civil and criminal cases on behalf of the FDA.

I hope my colleagues will join me in honoring Ms. Autor for her dedicated public service and important contributions to our Nation's health and safety.

I would also add that in these challenging fiscal times, as we approach and go through the holiday season, I hope we all recognize the enormous contribution all of our Federal employees make. The Presiding Officer many times reminds all of us of the enormous role our men and women in the armed

services play, and our thoughts and prayers are with all of them. But I think it is also important to recognize these great Federal employees such as Ms. Autor and literally the countless others who oftentimes—and sometimes at the disdain of folks in elective office—are the folks who provide enormous public service to all Americans. So I hope we keep them in our thoughts as well during this holiday season.

Before I conclude my remarks, I also wish to thank my friend, the Senator from Vermont, who is a passionate advocate for trying to end the growing income inequality in this country. I know he is going to be making some impassioned comments, and I appreciate his giving me a couple of moments to recognize this Federal employee, and I look forward to hearing his comments.

With that, Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Vermont.

THE ECONOMY

Mr. SANDERS. Mr. President, let me begin by thanking my friend from Virginia for doing what is very important. I think the essence of what he is saying is that today there are millions of Federal employees, people in the Armed Forces, who are doing the very best they can. In many instances, they are doing a great job to protect our country, to keep it safe. And very often, to be honest with you, these folks get dumped on. So it is important that people such as Senator WARNER come here and point out individuals who are doing a great job, people of whom we are very proud. So I thank Senator WARNER for that.

Mr. President, as I think everyone knows, President Obama and the Republican leadership have reached an agreement on a very significant tax bill. In my view, the agreement they reached is a bad deal for the American people. I think we can do better.

I am here today to take a strong stand against this bill, and I intend to tell my colleagues and the Nation exactly why I am in opposition to this bill. You can call what I am doing today whatever you want. You can call it a filibuster. You can call it a very long speech. I am not here to set any great records or to make a spectacle; I am simply here today to take as long as I can to explain to the American people the fact that we have to do a lot better than this agreement provides.

Let me enumerate some of the reasons I am opposed to this agreement.

First, as everybody knows, this Nation has a recordbreaking \$13.8 trillion national debt at the same time as the middle class is collapsing and poverty is increasing. And I think it is important to say a word—because I am not

necessarily sure a lot of Americans know this—about how we got to where we are today in terms of the national debt.

I know there are some people who think this all began the day President Obama took office. Well, that is not quite the case. When President Clinton left office, this country was running, in fact, a very significant surplus, and the projections were that we were going to continue to run a surplus. During the 8 years of President Bush's administration, for a number of reasons—the primary reasons being the war in Iraq, the war in Afghanistan, huge tax breaks for the wealthiest people in this country, a Medicare Part D prescription drug program, the Wall Street bailout, among other things, all of which were not paid for—we saw an almost doubling of the national debt. Since President Obama has been in office, we have passed a stimulus package which has also added to the deficit and national debt.

But here we are today with a \$13.8 trillion national debt, a \$1.4 trillion deficit, and almost all Americans are in agreement that this is a very serious issue. So the first point I would make is that it seems to me to be unconscionable—unconscionable—for my conservative friends and for everybody else in this country to be driving up this already too high national debt by giving tax breaks to millionaires and billionaires who don't need it, and in a number of cases they don't even want it.

Here is one of the interesting ironies. There are lists of many very wealthy people who have come forward and said: Sure, I want a tax break. Everybody wants a tax break. But you know what, there are other priorities in this country, and I don't need it. Two of the wealthiest people in the world—and these are billionaires—Bill Gates of Microsoft and Warren Buffett of Berkshire, say: It is absurd. We don't need a tax break.

All over the country, you hear a lot of folks who have a lot of money saying: Don't drive up the deficit and force our kids to pay higher taxes to pay off the national debt in order to give tax breaks to the richest people in this country. We have been told not to worry too much because the extension of these tax breaks for the wealthy will only last 2 years—not to worry. Maybe that is the case. But given the political reality I have seen in Washington, my guess is that 2 years from now these tax breaks for the wealthiest people in this country will be extended again. What happens around here is that the argument will be made that if you end these tax breaks you are raising taxes. That is what we are hearing right now. I see no reason why, in the middle of a Presidential election, those arguments will not be made again and I see no reason not to believe that those tax breaks will be extended again.

(The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore assumed the chair.)

Mr. SANDERS. Clearly, we have a number of Republicans who want to make that extension permanent. Whether it will ever be made permanent I don't know. But the point is, when you hear folks say it is only a 2-year extension, I suggest you take that with a grain of salt.

Let me say, if in fact we do what the Republicans have wanted to do right now as we enter this debate—they wanted a 10-year extension—that would add \$700 billion to our national debt. I have four kids and I have six grandchildren. None of them has a whole lot of money. I think it is grossly unfair to ask my kids and grandchildren and the children all over this country to be paying higher taxes in order to provide tax breaks for billionaires because we have driven up the national debt. That is plain wrong. I think the vast majority of the American people, whether they are progressives like myself or whether they are conservatives, perceive that concept of giving tax breaks to billionaires when we have such a high national debt makes no sense at all.

Furthermore, it is important to point out that extending income tax breaks to the top 2 percent is not the only unfair tax proposal in this agreement. This agreement between the President and the Republican leadership also calls for a continuation of the Bush era 15-percent tax rate on capital gains and dividends, meaning that those people who make their living off their investments will continue to pay a substantially lower tax rate than firemen, teachers, nurses, carpenters, and virtually all the other working people of this country. I do not think that is fair. That is wrong. If this agreement were to be passed, we would be continuing that unfair arrangement.

On top of all that, this agreement includes a horrendous proposal regarding the estate tax. That is a Teddy Roosevelt initiative. Teddy Roosevelt was talking about this in the early years of the 20th century. It was enacted in 1916 and it was enacted for a couple of reasons. Teddy Roosevelt and the people of that era thought it was wrong that a handful of people could have a huge concentration of wealth and then give that wealth, transmit that wealth to their children. He did not think that was right.

Furthermore, it was a source, a progressive and fair source, of revenue. Under the agreement struck between the Republican leadership and the President, the estate tax rate, which was 55 percent under President Clinton—and let's all remember, we had problems with the economy under President Clinton but very few will deny that during those years we were creating a heck of a lot more jobs than we did under President Bush. That is

the fact—over 20 million jobs under President Clinton. We lost 600,000 private sector jobs under President Bush. During the Clinton era, the tax rate on the estate tax was 55 percent. What this arrangement would do is lower that tax rate to 35 percent, with an exemption on the first \$5 million of an individual's estate and \$10 million for couples.

Here is the important point I think many people do not know. I have to confess my Republican friends and their pollsters and their language people have done a very good job. This is the so-called death tax. I think all over America people say this is terrible. I have \$50,000 in the bank and I want to leave that to my kids and the Government is going to take 55 percent of that, 35 percent of that. What an outrage.

Let us be very clear: This tax applies only—only—to the top three-tenths of 1 percent of American families; 99.7 percent of American families will not pay one nickel in an estate tax. This is not a tax on the rich, this is a tax on the very, very, very rich.

If my Republican friends had been successful in doing what they want to do, which is eliminate this estate tax completely, it would have cost our Treasury—raised the national debt by \$1 trillion over a 10-year period. Families such as the Walton family, of Wal-Mart fame, would have received, just this one family, about a \$30 billion tax break.

I find it hard to believe when we are talking about massive cuts in programs for working families, when we have this huge national debt, that anybody would be agreeing to lowering the estate tax rate to 35 percent. That is what this agreement does and I think that is a very bad idea.

Once again, while the agreement on the estate tax is for 2 years—once again, there is very little doubt in my mind that the Republicans will continue to push for lower and lower estate tax rates because that is what they want. I think Senator KYL has been pretty clear about this. They want to permanently repeal that tax. That is \$1 trillion in tax breaks to the top three-tenths of 1 percent. I think we are down a bad path there and that is another reason why this agreement does not make a whole lot of sense.

Third—and this is a very important point that I think has not yet gotten the attention it deserves—this agreement contains a payroll tax holiday which would cut \$120 billion from Social Security payroll taxes for workers. There are a lot of folks out there who say: This is pretty good. I am a worker, my contribution will go from 6.2 percent today down to 4.2 percent. I will have more money in my paycheck. It is a good idea.

Let's take a deep breath and let's think about it for a second and understand what this whole thing is about.

This payroll tax holiday concept, as I understand it, originally started with conservative Republicans. I know the Vice President recently made the point this was originally a Republican idea. Why did the Republicans come up with this idea? These are exactly the same people who do not believe in Social Security. These are the same people who either want to make significant cuts in Social Security or else they want to privatize Social Security entirely. Here is the point: They understand that if we divert funding that is supposed to go into the Social Security trust fund, which is what this payroll tax holiday does, this is money that goes into the Social Security trust fund that is now being diverted, cut back, in order to provide financial support for workers—but that is a lot of money not going into the trust fund.

What the President and others are saying is not to worry because that money will be covered by the general fund. That is a very bad and dangerous precedent. Up until now, what Social Security has been about is 100 percent funding from payroll contributions, not from the general tax base. Once again, this is a 1-year program. The loss of revenue going into Social Security can be covered by the general fund. But we have a \$13 trillion national debt. How much longer will the general fund put money into Social Security? Is it a good idea for the general fund to be doing that?

I would argue this is not a good idea. This is a very dangerous step forward for those of us who believe in Social Security. But this is not just BERNIE SANDERS saying this. One of the more effective and I think important senior groups in America is called the National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare. I don't know exactly how many but they have many members all over this country. I know they are active in the State of Vermont. I want to read to you from a press release they sent out the other day. This is the headline on it, from the National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare: "Cutting Contributions to Social Security Signals the Beginning of the End. Payroll Tax Holiday Is Anything But."

This is what they say. This comes from Barbara Kennelly. Barbara came from the House of Representatives. I have known her for years. She is now the president and CEO of the National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare, one of the strong senior groups in the country.

Even though Social Security contributed nothing to the current economic crisis, it has been bartered in a deal that provides deficit-busting tax cuts for the wealthy. Diverting \$120 billion in Social Security contributions for a so-called "tax holiday" may sound like a good deal for workers now, but it's bad business for the program that a

majority of middle-class seniors will rely upon in the future.

That is what the National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare says about that agreement and I agree with them. For all of us who understand that Social Security is life and death for tens of millions of Americans today and will be vitally important for working people as they reach retirement age, it is important that we understand that Social Security has done a great job. A few minutes ago the Presiding Officer was on the floor talking about the strong work that our Federal employees do, and he is absolutely right. Sometimes we also take for granted that Social Security has been an enormous success. It has done exactly what those people who created it have wanted it to do—nothing more, nothing less. It has succeeded. It has taken millions of seniors out of poverty and given them an element of security. It has also helped people with disabilities maintain their dignity. Widows and orphans are also getting help.

For 75 years it has worked well. It has a \$2.6 trillion surplus today and it can pay out benefits for the next 29 years. It is strong. We want to make it stronger. This payroll tax holiday I am afraid is a step very much in the wrong direction and that is one of the important reasons why this agreement between the President and the Republicans should be defeated.

Included in the agreement are a number of business tax cuts. I am not going to be here to say that some of them may not work. Some of them may work. Some will work better than others. There is a whole list of them. But this is what I will say. Economists on both ends of the political spectrum believe that if we are serious about addressing the horrendous economic crisis we are in now, 9.8 percent unemployment, there are far more effective ways of creating the jobs we have to create than those tax proposals. With corporate America already sitting on close to \$2 trillion cash on hand, it is not that our friends in corporate America don't have any money, we have to help them. They have \$2 trillion cash on hand. The problem is not in my view that corporate taxes are too high; it is that the middle class simply doesn't have the money to purchase the goods and products that make our economy go and create jobs.

I think if our goal is to create the millions and millions of jobs we need, and if our goal is to make our country stronger internationally in a very tough global economy, I would much prefer, and I think most economists would agree with me that a better way to do that, to create the millions of jobs we have to create, is to invest heavily in our infrastructure.

The truth is—and I don't think anyone disputes this, the infrastructure in

the United States is crumbling, and I will go into more detail about that later.

I have some very good information on it. But you do not have to be a civil engineer to know that. All you have to do is get in your car today and drive someplace in my State and all over this country. What you are going to see are roads that are in disrepair. You are going to see bridges that, in some cases, have actually been shut down. You are going to see water systems—I remember I was in Rutland, VT, the second or third largest city in the State of Vermont, and the mayor showed me a piece of pipe, an old piece of pipe.

He said: You know, the engineer who helped develop this water system and lay this pipe, after he did this work for Rutland, he went off to fight in the war.

I knew there was a catch line coming. I said: What war was it?

He said: It was the Civil War.

So you are talking about water pipe being in Rutland, VT—and this is true all over the United States—laid in the Civil War. The result is, we lose an enormous amount of clean water every day through leaks and water pipes bursting all over the United States of America.

Well, we can put people to work improving our water systems, our wastewater plants. It is a very expensive proposition to develop a good wastewater plant. I was a mayor, you were a Governor, Mr. President. It is an expensive proposition for roads, bridges. Furthermore, I do not have to tell anybody here, our rail system, which used to be the greatest rail system in the world, is now falling way behind every other major country on Earth.

As a result of the stimulus package, we did a whole lot of very good things in the State of Vermont. One of the things we were able to do was use \$50 million of Federal funds and private money to make major repair on one of our important railways in the State.

But we remain far behind most other countries around the industrialized world. China is exploding in terms of the number of high-speed rail lines they have. We have to do better. Our airports need work. Our air controllers need to be updated in terms of the technology they have and use to make our flights safe.

The point is, what most economists would tell you is when you invest in infrastructure, you get a bigger bang for the buck. You create more jobs for your investment than, in most instances, giving a variety of tax breaks to the corporate world.

Second of all, and not unimportantly, when you invest in infrastructure, you are improving the future of this country. You are making us more productive. It is not just creating jobs, it is creating jobs for very specific purposes,

which makes our Nation more productive and efficient.

Thirdly, let me tell you something. As a former mayor, infrastructure does not get better if you ignore it. You can turn your back, if you are a mayor or Governor, on the roads and the highways because you do not have the money to fix them today, but they are not going to get better next year. At some point, they are going to have to be repaired and fixed. We may as well do that right now.

So I believe the money, the very substantial sums of money in this agreement between the President and the Republicans, which goes into tax breaks for corporate America, could be effectively spent on infrastructure.

The fifth point I want to make in opposition to this agreement and what we have heard from the President and others is that this is a compromise. You cannot get everything you want. Well, you cannot get everything you want around here is true, but one of the examples of compromise is an extension of unemployment benefits for 13 months.

Well, let me be very clear. In the midst of a serious and major recession, at a time when millions of our fellow Americans are not only out of work through no fault of their own, but they have been out of work for a very long time, it would be, in my view, immoral and wrong to turn our backs on those workers. Their unemployment benefits are going to be running out soon. It is absolutely imperative that we extend those unemployment benefits for the 2 million workers who would lose them.

But here is the point I want to make. Some people say this is a compromise. Well, the Republicans gave on unemployment; the President gave on extending tax breaks for the rich, et cetera. But here is the point. I do not believe, honestly, that the Republican support now for extending unemployment benefits constitutes much of a compromise because the truth is, for the past 40 years, under both Democratic and Republican administrations, under the leadership in the Senate and the House of Democrats or Republicans, it has been bipartisan policy that whenever the unemployment rate has been above 7.2 percent, unemployment insurance has always been extended. So what we have had is longstanding, bipartisan policy. That is what we have always done. That is what we should be doing in the future. I do not regard Republicans now supporting what their party has always supported, extending unemployment benefits when unemployment becomes very high—I do not see that as a compromise. I see that as what has been going on in this country and in the Senate for four decades.

I have talked about the negative aspects of this proposal. But I am going to be the first to admit that, of course,

there are positive and good agreements in this. And what are they? What are some of the positive aspects of this agreement? Let me just tick them off.

No. 1, I believe very strongly, and I know the President does, it is absolutely imperative that we extend middle-class tax cuts for 98 percent of the American people. I do not think there has been any debate about that.

When median family income has gone down by over \$2,000 during the Bush years, when millions of our people today are working longer hours for low wages, when people cannot afford to send their kids to college or to take care of childcare, I think it makes absolute sense. I do not think anyone will argue it is absolutely imperative that we extend middle-class tax cuts. That is what this provision does. That is the right thing.

Furthermore, in this agreement we have an extension of the earned-income tax credit for working Americans, and the child and college tax credit are also in there. Every one of these agreements is very important. These programs will keep millions of Americans from slipping out of the middle class and into poverty. They will allow millions of Americans to send their kids to college.

So I am not here to say there is not anything of value in this agreement between the President and the Republicans. There are, and we have to fight to make sure all of those programs remain in the final package when it is passed—when the final package is passed. But when we look at the overall agreement, we must put it in a broader context; that is, what will the passage of this legislation mean for the future of our country?

In that area, if you look at it in that context, I think the evidence is pretty strong it is not just a good agreement and not something that should be passed. The passage of this agreement would mean we would continue the Bush policy of trickle-down economics for at least 2 more years. That is not a good thing to do because, I think, as most Americans know, that philosophy, that economic approach, simply did not work. The evidence is quite overwhelming. I do not think there is much debate, when median family income during Bush's 8 years goes down by \$2,200, when we end up losing over 600,000 private sector jobs, and all of the job growth was in the Federal level, I do not see how anybody would want to continue that philosophy. But that, in essence, is what will happen if this agreement is passed.

Now, I want to make another point about what happens if—if, and I will do my best to prevent this from happening—but what would happen if this agreement would pass? Does anybody seriously believe our Republican colleagues would then say: OK, well, we have an extension of tax breaks for the

very richest people. We have lowered the tax rate on the estate tax. Those are good victories for millionaires and billionaires. We are going to go home now. We are not going to continue the fight.

I do not think so. We are already hearing sounds about where our Republican friends want to go. The President put together what I thought was a very poor deficit reduction commission. I thought the folks on it were not reflective of the American people. I thought there was very much a big business, corporate partiality there.

The initiatives that came out of that commission—which, fortunately, did not get the 14 votes they needed—suggested to me that those of us who are concerned about protecting the needs of the middle class and working families are going to have to push back pretty hard for what is coming down the pike.

I think what we will be seeing is—if this proposal negotiated between the President and the Republicans is passed, what you will be seeing within a few months are folks coming on the floor of the Senate, and this is what they will say: You know what. The deficit is high. The national debt is too high. And, yes—oh, yes—we drove the national debt up by giving tax breaks to millionaires. That is the way it goes. But we are going to have to deal with our national debt.

The Republicans will tell you: Oh, we have a great plan to deal with it. We are giving tax breaks to millionaires. But now what we are going to have to do is start making deep cuts in Social Security, and that deficit reduction commission started paving the way for that, very substantial cuts in Social Security.

Maybe we will have to raise the retirement age in Social Security to 69 or 70. Maybe we will have to make cuts in Medicare. Maybe we will have to make cuts in Medicaid. I think we are beginning to see, in the State of Arizona now, what goes on when you make deep cuts in Medicaid.

In Arizona right now there are people who are in line who need transplants, who will die if they do not get transplants, as a result of legislation they passed there. They are saying to people, young people: Sorry, we cannot afford to give you a transplant, and you are going to have to die.

Well, is that what we are looking forward to saying all over America? I certainly will do everything I can to prevent that.

We are certainly going to see a tax on environmental protection, on education. Some of us believe if this country is going to prosper and succeed in the global economy, we have to have the best educational system in the world from childcare through college.

Right now, it is extremely difficult for middle-class families to send their

kids to college. Does anyone have any doubt whatsoever that our Republican friends are not going to come back here and say: Oh, we cannot afford to raise Pell Grants as we have in recent years. We cannot afford to support working families who have their kids in childcare. Cut. Cut. Cut.

That is insanity. I am being honest about it. So I would suggest their argument is that we have a high deficit and a high national debt; that if we pass this agreement and the national debt goes higher, it only gives them more impetus to go forward to cut programs that benefit working families and the middle class.

Let me also say there is no doubt in my mind what many—not all but many—of my Republican colleagues want to do; that is, they want to move this country back into the 1920s when essentially we had an economic and political system which was controlled by big money interests; where working people and the middle class had no programs to sustain them when things got bad, when they got old, and when they got sick; when labor unions were very hard to come by because of antiworker legislation. That is what they want. They do not believe in things like the Environmental Protection Agency. They do not believe in things like Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, Federal aid to education. That is the fight we will be waging.

I think to surrender on this issue is to simply say we are going to be waging fight after fight, starting within a couple of months.

President Obama has said he fought as hard as he could against the Republican tax breaks for the wealthy and for an extension in unemployment. Well, maybe. But the reality is that fight cannot simply be waged inside the Beltway. Our job is to appeal to the vast majority of the American people to stand up and to say: Wait a minute. I do not want to see our national debt explode. I do not want to see my kids and grandchildren paying higher taxes in order to give tax breaks to millionaires and billionaires.

The vast majority of the American people do not support that agreement in terms of giving tax breaks to the very rich. Our job is to rally those people. I would like very much to see the American people saying to our Republican colleagues and some Democratic colleagues: Excuse me. Don't force my kids to have a lower standard of living in order to give tax breaks to the richest people.

What the President and all of us should be doing is going out and saying to those people: Call the Members of the Senate, call the Members of the House and say: Excuse me. How about representing the middle class and working families, for a change, rather than the wealthiest people. That is what democracy is about.

This fight is not going to be won inside the beltway in a Senate debate. It is going to be won when the American people stand and say: Wait a second. We cannot continue to give tax breaks to people who are doing phenomenally well right now. We cannot give tax breaks to the rich when we already have the most unequal distribution of income of any major country on Earth. The top 1 percent earns 23 percent of all income in America, more than the bottom 50 percent. They don't need more tax breaks to be paid for by our kids and grandchildren.

The vast majority of people are behind us on this issue, but they have to make their voices heard to their Senators, to their Congressmen. When they do, I believe we can come forward with an agreement which protects the middle-class and working families and is not a boondoggle for the wealthiest people.

It is important to put the agreement the President struck with Republicans in a broader context. We can't just look at the agreement unto itself. We have to look at it within the context of what is going on in the country today, both economically and politically. I think I speak for millions of Americans. There is a war going on in this country. I am not referring to the war in Iraq or the war in Afghanistan. I am talking about a war being waged by some of the wealthiest and most powerful people against working families, against the disappearing and shrinking middle class of our country. The billionaires of America are on the warpath. They want more and more and more. That has everything to do with this agreement reached between Republicans and the President.

In 2007, the top 1 percent of all income earners made 23.5 percent of all income. Let me repeat that: The top 1 percent earned over 23 percent of all income; that is, more than the bottom 50 percent. One percent here; fifty percent here. But for the very wealthy, that is apparently not enough. The percentage of income going to the top 1 percent nearly tripled since the 1970s. All over this country people are angry, frustrated. It is true in Vermont. I am sure it is true in Virginia. It is true all over America. But one of the reasons people are angry and frustrated is they are working incredibly hard. In Vermont, I can tell my colleagues, there are people who don't work one job, two jobs; there are people working three jobs and four jobs, trying to cobble together an income in order to support their families. I suspect that goes on all across the country. While people are working harder and harder, in many cases their income is going down. The fact is, 80 percent of all new income earned from 1980 to 2005 has gone to the top 1 percent. Let me repeat that because that is an important fact. It explains why the American people are feeling as

angry as they are. They are working hard, but they are not going anyplace. In some cases, in many cases, their standard of living is actually going down. Eighty percent of all income in recent years has gone to the top 1 percent. The richer people become much richer, the middle class shrinks. Millions of Americans fall out of the middle class and into poverty.

That is not apparently enough for our friends at the top who have a religious ferocity in terms of greed. They need more, more. It is similar to an addiction. Fifty million is not enough. They need \$100 million. One hundred million is not enough; they need 1 billion. One billion is not enough. I am not quite sure how much they need. When will it stop?

Today, in terms of wealth as opposed to income, the top 1 percent now owns more wealth than the bottom 90 percent. When we went to school, we used to read in the textbooks about Latin America, and they used to refer to some of the countries there as "banana republics," countries in which a handful of families controlled the economic and political life of the nation. I don't wish to upset the American people, but we are not all that far away from that reality today. The top 1 percent has seen a tripling of the percentage of income they earn. Since the 1970s, the top 1 percent owning 23 percent of all income, more than the bottom 50 percent. The top 1 percent now owns more wealth than the bottom 90 percent. That is not the foundation of a democratic society. That is the foundation for an oligarchic society. The rich get richer. The middle class shrinks. Poverty increases. Apparently, God is not good enough yet for some of the richest people.

I say "some of the richest" because there are a lot of folks with a lot of money who do love this country, they are not into greed, but there are some who are. More, more more, that is what they need.

For example—this galls me and galls many of the people in this country—the horrendous recession we are in right now, where millions and millions of people have lost their jobs, their savings, their homes, this recession was caused by the greed and recklessness and illegal behavior on Wall Street. These guys, through their greed, created the most severe economic recession since the Great Depression. The American people bailed them out. Now, 2 years after the bailout, they are giving themselves more compensation than they ever have. They are saying to the American people: Sorry we caused this recession because of our greed. Sorry you are unemployed. Sorry you lost your house. But that is not all that important. What is important is that I, on Wall Street, continue to get millions of dollars in compensation and in bonuses, that I have big

parties. How can I get by on one house? I need 5 houses, 10 houses. I need three jet planes to take me all over the world. Sorry. We have the money. We have the power. We have the lobbyists here on Wall Street. Tough luck. That is the world, get used to it.

The rich get richer. The middle class shrinks. Not enough, not enough. The very rich seem to want more and more and more, and they are prepared to dismantle the existing political and social order in order to get it. So we have the economics and distribution of income and wealth as one thing, but then we must discuss politics.

What happened last year, as I think most Americans know, is the Supreme Court made a very strange decision. The Supreme Court decided that corporations are people and they have the right of free speech and the right without disclosure—all of this is through the Citizens United Supreme Court decision—to put as much money as they want into campaigns all over the country. In this last campaign, that is what we saw: Billionaires, in secret, pouring money into campaigns all over the country. Does that sound like democracy to anybody in America; that we have a handful of billionaires probably dividing up the country? I will put this amount in Virginia, California, wherever.

That is what they were able to do. The rich get richer, and they don't sit on this money. What they then do is use it to elect people who support them and to unelect people who oppose their agenda and they use their political power to get legislation passed which makes the wealthy even wealthier.

One of the manifestations of that is, in fact, the agreement reached between the President and the Republican leadership. The wealthy contribute huge sums of money into campaigns. The wealthy have all kinds of lobbyists around here through corporate America. What they are going to get out of this agreement are huge tax breaks that benefit themselves. That is not what we should be supporting.

We should understand this agreement is just the beginning of an assault on legislation and programs that have benefited the American people for 70 or 80 years. Mark my words, there will be an intensive effort to privatize Social Security and Medicare and Medicaid. Furthermore, it is part of the Republican agenda. They want to expand—and it is not only Republicans here, some Democrats as well—our disastrous trade policies so large companies can continue their efforts to outsource American jobs to China and other low-wage countries. Any objective analysis of our trade policies has shown it has been a grotesque failure for ordinary Americans. It is hard to calculate exactly, but I think it is fair to say we have lost millions of decent-paying jobs. During the Bush years alone,

some 48,000 factories shut down. We went from 19 million manufacturing jobs to 12 million manufacturing jobs. Historically, in this country, manufacturing jobs were the backbone of the working class. That is how people made it into the middle class. That is how they had decent health care benefits and pensions. Every day we are seeing those jobs disappear because corporate America would prefer to do business in China or other low-wage countries.

I returned from a trip to Vietnam last year, a beautiful country. People there work for 25, 30 cents an hour. Sometimes when you go to a store, you may see a shirt made in Bangladesh. That shirt, in all likelihood, is made by a young girl who came in from the countryside to one of the factories there. The good news is that in Bangladesh, the minimum wage was doubled. It went from 11 cents an hour to 23 cents an hour. Are American workers going to be able to compete against desperate people who make 23 cents an hour?

So my view—and I think it reflects the views of the American people—is that of course we want to see the people of Bangladesh and the people of China do well. But they do not have to do well at the expense of the American middle class. We do not have to engage in a race to the bottom. Our goal is to bring them up, not us down. But one of the results of our disastrous trade policies is that in many instances wages in the United States have gone down.

I believe in the coming months you are going to see an intensification of efforts to expand unfettered free trade. I think that will be a continuation of a disastrous policy for American workers.

Let me personalize this a little bit. This gentleman, shown in this picture I have in the Chamber—I have no personal animus toward him at all; I think I met him once in a large room. His name is James Dimon. He is the CEO of JPMorgan Chase. Over the past 5 years, Mr. Dimon, who is the CEO of JPMorgan Chase, received \$89 million in total compensation—a bank that we now know received hundreds of billions in low-interest loans and other financial assistance from the Federal Reserve and the Treasury Department.

So Mr. Dimon received \$89 million in total compensation. His bank was bailed out big time by the taxpayers. But under the legislation the President negotiated with the Republicans, Mr. Dimon—I use him just as one example for thousands; nothing personal to Mr. Dimon—will receive \$1.1 million in tax breaks. So \$1.1 million in tax breaks for a major CEO on Wall Street, who over the last 5 years received \$89 million in total compensation.

Meanwhile—just to contrast what is going on here—2 days ago, I brought before the Senate legislation which would provide a \$250 one-time check to

over 50 million seniors and disabled veterans, who for the last 2 years have not received a COLA on their Social Security. Many of those seniors and disabled vets are trying to get by on \$14,000, \$15,000, \$18,000 a year. The total package for that bill was approximately \$14 billion that would go out to over 50 million seniors and disabled vets. We won that vote on the floor of the Senate 53 to 45. But just because you get 53 votes in the Senate does not mean you win. Because the Republicans filibustered, I needed 60 votes. I could not get 60 votes. I could not get one Republican vote to provide a \$250 check to a disabled veteran trying to get by on \$15,000 or \$16,000 a year.

But Mr. Dimon, who made \$89 million in the last 5 years, will get a \$1 million tax deduction if this agreement is passed. Now, that may make sense to some people. It does not make a lot of sense to me.

Again, I have no particular knowledge, animus—I do not know if I ever met John Mack in my life. He is the CEO of Morgan Stanley. In 2006, he received a \$40 million bonus, which at the time was the largest bonus ever given to a Wall Street executive.

Two years after receiving this bonus, Morgan Stanley received some \$2 trillion in low-interest loans and billions from the Treasury Department. Instead of losing his job, under this agreement, Mr. Mack will be receiving an estimated \$926,000 tax break next year. Congratulations, Mr. Mack. You are doing fine. We could not get \$250 for a disabled vet.

Over the past 5 years, Ken Lewis, the former CEO of Bank of America, received over \$165 million in total compensation. In 2008, Bank of America received hundreds of billions in taxpayer-backed loans from the Fed and a \$45 billion bailout from the Treasury Department.

What will Mr. Lewis receive if the agreement negotiated between the President and the Republicans goes forth? He will get a \$713,000 tax cut.

And on and on it goes. I did not mean to specifically pick on these guys. Some of the wealthiest people in the country will be receiving a million-dollar-plus tax break. So we as a nation have to decide whether that makes a lot of sense. I think it does not.

Let me mention that a couple weeks ago the Fed, the Federal Reserve, published on their Web site some 21,000 transactions that took place during the Wall Street meltdown period. That disclosure was made possible as part of a provision that I put into the financial reform bill because I thought it was important the American people, for the first time, lift the veil of secrecy at the Fed and get a sense of the kind of money that was lent out by the Fed and who received that money.

What is very interesting is that the American people and the media have

focused on the \$700 billion Wall Street bailout now known as TARP. I happen to have voted against that agreement, but, in fairness, that agreement was pretty transparent. The Treasury Department put up on their Web site all of those banks and financial institutions that received the money. If you want to know where the money went, it is right up there on the Treasury Department's Web site.

But at the same time, a bigger transaction than TARP was taking place, which got relatively little attention, and that was the role the Fed was playing in terms of the Wall Street bailout.

While the TARP issue was being debated during that period, Ben Bernanke, the Chairman of the Federal Reserve, Tim Geithner, who was then the president of the New York Fed, and a handful of other very powerful people were sitting behind closed doors getting ready to lend out trillions—underline trillions—of taxpayer dollars to large financial institutions and corporations, with no debate going on in Congress, no debate whatsoever.

On March 3, 2009—and I am a member of the Senate Budget Committee—I asked the Fed Chairman, Mr. Bernanke, to tell the American people the names of the financial institutions that received this unprecedented backdoor bailout from the Fed, how much they received, and the exact terms of this assistance. I will never forget that. I asked Mr. Bernanke for that information. He said: Senator, no, not going to give it to you, not going to make it public.

Well, on that day, I introduced legislation to make that information public, working with a number of Members of the House and the Senate. Some strange bedfellows—conservatives and progressives—came together on this issue. We managed to get in the Wall Street reform bill a disclosure provision, and on December 1—last week—that information was made public. Let me talk a little bit about what was in that information made public by the Fed.

After years of stonewalling, the American people have learned the incredible, jaw-dropping details of the Fed's multimillion-dollar bailout of Wall Street and corporate America—not just Wall Street. It is one of the things we learned. As a result of this disclosure, in my view—we are going to get into what was in what we learned—Congress has to take a very extensive look at all aspects of how the Federal Reserve functions and how we can make our financial institutions more responsive to the needs of ordinary Americans and small businesses.

What have we learned from the disclosure of December 1? This is based on an examination of over 21,000 separate Federal Reserve transactions. More work, more research needs to be done. But this is what we have learned so far.

As it turns out, while small business owners in the State of Vermont and throughout this country were being turned down for loans, not only did large financial institutions—and I am talking about every major financial institution—receive substantial help from the Fed, but also some of the largest corporations in this country—not financial institutions—also received help in terms of very low interest loans.

So you have every major financial institution, you have some of our largest private corporations, but here is something we also learned, and that is that this bailout impacted not just American banks and corporations but also foreign banks and foreign corporations as well, to the tune of many billions of dollars.

Then, on top of that, a number of the wealthiest individuals in this country also received a major bailout from the Fed. The “emergency response,” which is what the Fed described their action as during the Wall Street collapse, appears to any objective observer to have been the clearest case that I can imagine of socialism for the very rich and rugged free market capitalism for everybody else.

In other words, if you are a huge financial institution, whose recklessness and greed caused this great recession, no problem. You are going to receive a substantial amount of help from the taxpayers of this country. If you are a major American corporation, such as General Electric or McDonald's or Caterpillar or Harley-Davidson or Verizon, no problem. You are going to receive a major handout from the U.S. Government.

But if you are a small business in Vermont or California or Virginia, well, guess what, you are on your own because right now we know one of the real impediments to the kind of job creation we need in this country is that small businesses are not getting the loans they need.

Furthermore, what we now know is the extent of the bailout for the large financial corporations. Goldman Sachs received nearly \$600 billion. Morgan Stanley received nearly \$2 trillion. Citigroup received \$1.8 trillion. Bear Stearns received nearly \$1 trillion. And Merrill Lynch received some \$1.5 trillion in short-term loans from the Fed.

But I think what is most surprising for the American people is not just the bailout of Wall Street and the financial institutions, and the bailout of large American corporations such as General Electric, but I think the American people would find it very strange that at a time when the American automobile sector was on the verge of collapse—and goodness only knows how many thousands and thousands of jobs we have lost in automobile manufacturing in this country—the Federal Reserve was also bailing out Toyota and

Mitsubishi, two Japanese carmakers, by purchasing nearly \$5 billion worth of their commercial paper from November 5, 2008, through January 30, 2009. While virtually no American-made cars or products of any kind are bought in Japan, I think the American people would be shocked to learn that the Fed extended over \$380 billion to the Central Bank of Japan to bail out banks in that country.

Furthermore, I think the American people are interested to know that the Fed bailed out the Korea Development Bank, the wholly owned, state-owned Bank of South Korea, by purchasing over \$2 billion of its commercial paper. The sole purpose of the Korea Development Bank is to finance and manage major industrial projects to enhance the national economy not of the United States of America but of South Korea. I am not against South Korea. I wish the South Koreans all the luck in the world. But it should not be the taxpayers of the United States lending their banks' money to create jobs in South Korea. I would suggest maybe we want to create jobs in the United States of America. At the same time, the Fed also extended over \$40 billion for the Central Bank of South Korea so that it had enough money to bail out its own banks.

At a time when small businesses in Vermont and all over this country cannot get the loans they need to expand their businesses, I think the American people would find it extremely—I don't know what the word is—maybe amusing that the Fed bailed out the state-owned Bank of Bavaria—not Pennsylvania, not California, but Bavaria—by purchasing over \$2.2 billion of its commercial paper.

Furthermore, when we cannot get support on the floor of this Senate to extend unemployment benefits to millions of Americans who are on the verge of seeing them expire, I think the American people would find it incomprehensible that the Fed chose to bail out the Arab Banking Corporation based in Bahrain by providing them with over \$23 billion in loans with an interest rate as low as one-quarter of 1 percent. So small businessmen all over America: Maybe you have to run to Bahrain and work with the Arab Banking Corporation there to get some pretty good loans. But it would be nice if maybe the Fed would start to pay attention to banks in this country.

Furthermore, the Fed extended over \$9.6 billion to the Central Bank of Mexico.

What is interesting about all of this is that we had a very vigorous debate here in the Senate and in the House over the \$700 billion TARP program. Every person in America could turn on C-SPAN and hear that debate. They could hear what President Bush had to say, hear what then-Senator Obama and Senator MCCAIN had to say. It was

all pretty public. But what took place at the Fed, which, in fact, amounted to a larger bailout, was done behind closed doors. Over \$3 trillion was lent with zero transparency. In fact, as a result of this recent disclosure—this is the first time we have gotten a glimpse of the magnitude and the particulars, the specificities of where that money was lent, and I think this is not a good thing for this country. Again, I voted against the bailout of Wall Street, but the debate was open and public. People wrote to their Senators and called their Senators. That is called democracy. After the TARP bailout took place, all of the loans were put up on the Web site. Transparency—the American people knew who got the money. But the actions of the Fed were done behind closed doors, and, in my view—it is an issue we are studying right now—I think there were significant conflicts of interest. I think we had people sitting there at the New York Fed who were beneficiaries of this bailout, and that is an issue we need to explore. I should tell my colleagues that as part of the provision we got into the financial reform bill, the GAO is, in fact, doing just that—investigating possible conflicts of interest at the Fed with regard to this bailout.

I think the question the American people are asking as they read about what the Fed did during the financial crisis is whether the Fed has now become the central bank of the world without any debate on the floor of the Senate or the Congress and without the knowledge of the American people. I think that is wrong. So I hope, out of this effort in bringing disclosure and transparency to the Fed, that one of the things that will come will be more transparency at the Fed.

As I indicated a moment ago, the Fed said this bailout was necessary in order to prevent the world economy from going over a cliff. But 3 years after the start of the recession, millions of Americans remain unemployed and have lost their homes, their life savings, and their ability to send their kids to college. Meanwhile, huge banks and large corporations have returned to making incredible profits and paying their executives recordbreaking compensation packages, as if the financial crisis they started never occurred.

What this recent disclosure tells us, among many other things, is that despite this huge taxpayer bailout, the Fed did not make the appropriate demands on these financial institutions which would have been necessary to rebuild our economy and protect the needs of ordinary Americans. In other words, what they simply did was give out billions and billions of dollars which were used in the self-interests of these financial institutions rather than saying: The American people who are hurting are bailing you out, and now that they have bailed you out, your re-

sponsibility is to do what you can to create jobs and to improve the standard of living of the people, many of whose lives you have severely impacted.

Let me give a few examples of what could have been done and what should be done. At a time when big banks have nearly \$1 trillion in excess reserves parked at the Fed, the Fed has not required these institutions to increase lending to small and medium-sized businesses as a condition of the bailout. In other words, instead of the Fed just giving money to these financial institutions, the Fed should have said: We are giving you this money in order to get it into the economy. Start providing affordable loans to small businesses.

At a time when large corporations are more profitable than ever, the Fed did not demand that corporations that received this backdoor bailout create jobs and expand the economy once they returned to profitability. So what is going on in America? Unemployment is officially at 9.8 percent and in a real sense probably at 15 or 16 percent, but Wall Street is now doing fine.

A few years ago, Wall Street earned some 40 percent of all profits in America, and they are doing great. But what the Fed should have done and should do now is to tell Wall Street: You are part of the economy. You are not an isolated area just living for yourselves. You have to be a part of the productive economy. You have to lend money to small businesses to start creating jobs.

My office intends to investigate whether these secret Fed loans, in some cases, turned out to be direct corporate welfare to big banks that may have used those loans not to reinvest in the economy but, rather, to lend back to the Federal Government at a higher rate of interest by purchasing Treasury securities. Now, we don't know that. Maybe that is true, maybe it is not true, but we will take a look at it. In other words, did the Fed give one-half of 1 percent loans to a bank and that bank then purchased a Treasury security at 2 or 3 percent? If so, you have a 2-percent profit margin, and that is nothing but corporate welfare. The goal of the bailout was not to make Wall Street richer; the goal was to expand our economy and put people to work.

Furthermore, we know that as part of the TARP agreement, there was an effort to say to the financial institutions: We are not bailing you out in order for you to get huge compensation packages. We are not going to give you Federal money so you can make all kinds of money. We put limitations on executive compensation.

Did the Fed play the role of allowing some of the large financial institutions to pay back the TARP money, use the Fed money, and then continue with their very high executive compensa-

tion? We don't know, but it is worth investigating.

Furthermore—and this is an issue I have worked on for a number of years. We know every major religion on Earth—Christianity, Judaism, Islam, you name it—has always felt that usury is immoral. What we mean by usury is that when someone doesn't have a lot of money and you loan them money, you don't get blood out of a stone. You can't ask for outrageously high interest rates when somebody is hurting. That is immoral. Every major religion, all great philosophers have written about this. Yet today we have millions of people in our country—and I hear from Vermonters every week on this issue—who are paying 25 percent or 30 percent and in some cases even higher interest rates on their credit cards—20 percent, 30 percent interest rates. That is getting blood out of a stone. Yet many of the credit card companies were bailed out by the taxpayers of this country. What the Fed must do is say to those companies: Sorry, you can't continue to rip off the American people and charge them 25 percent or 30 percent interest rates.

As it happens, the four largest banks in this country, which are Bank of America, JPMorgan Chase, Wells Fargo, and Citigroup, issue half of all mortgages in this country. Four huge financial institutions issue half of all mortgages in this country. That unto itself is a huge problem. They issue half of all mortgages, two-thirds of all credit cards. That speaks to another issue about the need to start bringing up these financial institutions. But when you have a handful of banks that received huge bailouts from the Federal Government that are issuing two-thirds of the credit cards in this country, it seems to me to be somewhat absurd that the Fed did not say to them: Sorry, you can't charge people 25 or 30 percent interest rates on your credit cards. The same principle applies to mortgages. I don't have to tell anybody in this country that we have seen millions of folks lose their homes through foreclosure, and once again we see that the four largest banks in this country—Bank of America, JPMorgan Chase, Wells Fargo, and Citigroup—issue half of all mortgages. Four banks issue two-thirds of the credit cards and half of the mortgages. We bail these financial institutions out. Don't they have some responsibility to the American people? How many more Americans could have remained in their homes if the Fed had required those bailed-out banks to reduce mortgage payments as a condition of receiving these secret loans?

In terms of the interest rates on credit cards, a lot of people don't know this, but right now the banks are able to charge as much as they want to charge, but, in fact, credit unions are not.

Right now, we are looking at a situation where over one-quarter of all credit cardholders in this country are now paying interest rates above 20 percent and in some cases as high as 79 percent. In my view, when credit card companies charge over 20 percent interest, they are not engaged in the business of making credit available to their customers; they are involved in extortion and loan-sharking—nothing essentially different than gangsters who charge outrageously high prices for their loans and who break kneecaps when their victims can't afford to pay them. So that is where we are right now.

I get calls—and I am sure every other Senator gets calls—from constituents who are very upset. They are going deeper and deeper into debt because they can't pay 25 or 30 percent interest rates on their credit cards. We bailed out the credit card companies. There was no provision that said: Stop ripping off the American people. Stop these companies from committing usury.

We are working on legislation that would say to these private banks not to charge any more money for the credit they provide than do the credit unions. It is going to be a tough fight because the lobbyists from Wall Street are all over this place. Wall Street spends huge amounts of money in campaign contributions, and it is going to be tough. But I think we need to pass that. I think the Fed needs to be much more active, in terms of what kinds of interest rates credit card companies should be paying.

Today, I am going to focus a lot, obviously, on an agreement reached between the President and the Republican leadership, which I think does not serve the American people well. One of the areas, as I mentioned earlier, where I think we could do a lot better in addressing the crisis of high unemployment in this Nation is by investing the kinds of money we need in our infrastructure.

According to the American Society of Civil Engineers, they graded America's roads, public transit, and aviation with a D. They said we must invest \$2.2 trillion over the next 5 years simply to get a passable grade. Unfortunately, in the agreement struck between the President and the Republican leadership, to the best of my knowledge, not one nickel is going into investing in our infrastructure.

Let me tell you why we need to invest in infrastructure. A, that is where you can create the millions of jobs we desperately need in order to get us out of this recession. Second of all, we need to invest in infrastructure because, if we don't, we will become less and less competitive internationally.

According to the National Surface Transportation Policy and Revenue Study Commission, \$225 billion is needed annually for the next 50 years to up-

grade our surface transportation system to a state of good repair and create a more advanced system. The Federal Highway Administration reports that \$130 billion must be invested annually for a 20-year period to improve our bridges and the operational performance of our highways. At present, one in four of the Nation's bridges is either structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. One in four of our bridges is either structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Yet in this agreement struck by the President and the Republican leadership, to the best of my knowledge, not one nickel is going into our infrastructure. We need to invest in our infrastructure. We need to improve our infrastructure. When we do that, we can create millions of jobs.

The Federal Transit Administration says \$22 billion must be invested annually for a 20-year period to improve conditions and performances for our major transit systems. In Vermont, the situation is no different than in the rest of the country. Thirty-five percent of Vermont's 2,700 bridges—nearly 1,000 bridges—are functionally obsolete. In recent years, we have had to shut down bridges, which caused a lot of inconvenience to people who live in those areas, to workers who had to get to work using a bridge. Nearly half the bridges in Vermont have structural deficiencies. Rural transit options are few and far between, making rural, low-income Vermonters especially vulnerable to spikes in gas prices. In other words, in Vermont, and in other areas of rural America, you have one choice in the vast majority of cases as to how you get to work. That one choice is that you get in your car, you pay \$3 for a gallon of gas, and that is it. That is because rural transportation in this country is very weak.

We can create jobs building the buses and vans we need, making it easier and cheaper for workers in rural America to get to work. In urban areas, it is no different. Transit systems in Chicago, New York, and even here in Washington, DC, are in disrepair. Let's improve and repair them. That makes us more efficient, more productive, and more competitive, and it creates jobs now. Not one nickel, as far as I can understand, has been invested in our infrastructure in this agreement.

The United States invests just 2.4 percent of GDP in infrastructure; whereas, Europe invests twice that amount.

Here is something I think every American should be keenly aware of and very worried about. I don't have to tell anybody that the Chinese economy is exploding every single day in almost every way. In China, they are investing almost four times our rate—or 9 percent—of their GDP annually in their infrastructure. Years ago, I was in Shanghai, China. I was coming from the airport to downtown as part of a

congressional delegation. While we were on the bus coming in, my wife noticed something. She said: What was that? There was a blur that went by the window. Of course, I didn't notice it; she did. It turned out that blur was an experimental train they were working on—high-speed rail, which is now operational there, and other similar prototypes are being developed in China. Here we are, the United States of America, which for so many years led the world in so many ways, and now you are seeing a newly developing country such as China with high-speed rail all over their country, making them more productive and efficient, and in our cities, our subways are breaking down. Amtrak is going 50, 60 miles an hour, and the Chinese and Europeans have trains going hundreds of miles an hour.

This is the United States of America. Maybe I am old-fashioned. I think we can do it too. I think we can rebuild our rail system, make our country more efficient and create jobs.

China invested \$186 billion in rail from 2006 to 2009, and according to the New York Times, within 2 years, they will open 42 new high-speed rail lines, with trains reaching speeds of 200 miles an hour. That is China. So I think if China can do it, the United States of America can do it. That is the way to rebuild America, make us stronger and create jobs.

By 2020, China plans to add 26,000 additional miles of tracks for freight and travel, as well as 230,000 miles of new or improved roads, and 97 new airports—97 new airports. Does anybody in America have the same problem I have when you go to the airport, where you are waiting in line and you have to deal with all the problems of older airports? China is building 97 new ones. We are not. If we are going to be effective in the international economy, and if our kids will have decent jobs, it is high time we woke up and began investing in our infrastructure. So that is not only to improve the long-term strength of America, our economic prowess, but it is also to create jobs right now that we desperately need.

Unfortunately, in this bill, this tax agreement between the President and the Republican leadership, there are many billions of dollars going into tax breaks for corporations. But there is not a whole lot of money—in fact, zero dollars—going into rebuilding our infrastructure.

Similarly—and I know there has been debate since yesterday on this issue. There may be a small breakthrough. I don't have to tell Americans, least of all the people in Vermont, about what happens when the weather gets cold and you are forced to pay very high prices for heating oil. The time is long overdue for us to make the investments we need to transform our energy system away from coal, away from oil.

We are spending as a nation—and everybody in America has to appreciate this—\$350 billion every single year—\$1 billion a day, roughly—importing oil from Saudi Arabia and other foreign countries, in order to make our economy go and in order to keep people warm.

Let me be very clear. The royal family of Saudi Arabia, which is our major source of oil, is doing just fine. Don't worry about the royal family of Saudi Arabia. They have zillions and zillions of dollars. Maybe it is a good idea that we seek energy independence, that we break our dependence on fossil fuel, and become more energy efficient, which, by the way, investing in public transportation certainly will do, and we move to sustainable energy, such as wind, solar, geothermal, and biomass. Guess what. China is doing that. Many of the solar panels coming into this country are not made in the United States but are made in China. They are big into wind turbines. I think the time is now for us to rebuild our infrastructure and create the jobs we desperately need.

Again, unfortunately, despite the enormous infrastructure needs we have in this country, this agreement, signed by the President and the Republican leadership, does not do that. When we talk about transforming our energy system and moving away from fossil fuel and making our homes more energy efficient and building solar panels, moving toward solar thermal power, in the Southwest of this country—New Mexico, Arizona, Nevada—we have some of the best solar exposure in the entire world. There are estimates that just in the Southwest of this country, on Federal land, we can provide 30 percent of the electricity American homes need, if we move toward solar thermal. We need to invest in our transmission lines.

What we are talking about is massive investment to create jobs, make us energy independent, clean up the environment, and deal with the huge amount of greenhouse gas emissions which are contributing to global warming. That is a win-win-win situation. Yet we are not seeing that in this bill.

I wish to tell you something, Mr. President. I will get into this at greater length later. When we talk about our good friends in the oil industry—and I am not here to make a long speech about BP and what they have done in Louisiana, et cetera. I want everybody to know this. I will get into this at greater length later. Last year, our friends at ExxonMobil—and ExxonMobil has historically been the most profitable corporation in the history of the world. Last year, ExxonMobil had, for them, a very bad year. They only made \$19 billion in profit. Based on \$19 billion, you might be surprised to know ExxonMobil not only paid nothing in taxes, they got a

\$156 million return from the IRS. How is that? For those of you who are working in an office, working in a factory, earning your \$30,000, \$40,000, \$50,000, \$60,000 a year, you pay taxes. But if you are ExxonMobil, and you made \$19 billion in profits last year, not only did you not pay any taxes this year, you got \$156 million in return.

It is not just the large oil companies that do not pay their fair share of taxes. I am going to get into this a little bit later, but when we try to understand why we have such a huge national debt and a \$1.3 or \$1.4 trillion deficit, it is also important to understand that many large and profitable corporations avoid virtually all of their tax responsibility.

In August 2008, the General Accountability Office issued a report. According to this report, two out of every three corporations in the United States paid no Federal income taxes between 1998 and 2005. We have a \$13.7 trillion national debt, and according to a GAO report published in August of 2008 two out of every three corporations in the United States paid no Federal income taxes between 1998 and 2005. Amazingly, these corporations had a combined \$2.5 trillion in sales but paid no income taxes to the IRS.

Furthermore, according to a report from Citizens For Tax Justice, 82 Fortune 500 companies in America—I guess that is 82 out of 500—paid zero or less in Federal income taxes in at least 1 year from 2001 to 2003. That is a report from Citizens For Tax Justice. And the Citizens For Tax Justice report goes on to say:

In the years they paid no income tax, these companies earned \$102 billion in U.S. profits. But instead of paying \$35.6 billion in income taxes, as the statutory 35 percent corporate tax rate seems to require, these companies generated so many excess tax breaks that they received outright tax rebate checks from the U.S. Treasury totaling \$12.6 billion.

That is from the Citizens For Tax Justice report.

So when we take a comprehensive look at what is going on in this country, why we have a \$13.7 trillion national debt, it is terribly important to understand that while the middle class pays its share of taxes, there are many large corporations that not only are paying nothing in taxes, they are getting rebates from the Federal Government.

I will go into greater length later on, but as a member of the Budget Committee I can tell you we discuss quite often how every single year—every single year—corporate interests and wealthy individuals stash away huge amounts of money in tax savings in the Cayman Islands, Bermuda, and other countries in order to avoid paying their taxes in the United States of America. These are American corporations turning their back on the American people, saying—as Mrs. Helmsley said so many years ago, many of you remember—

only small people pay taxes. Only the working stiffs out there pay taxes.

If you are a large corporation and you have a good lawyer or a good accountant, you know what to do. You invest your money in the Cayman Islands and in Bermuda, and you don't have to pay American taxes. But, by the way, as the disclosure report last week indicated, no problem; you get bailed out. When things get bad, you will be bailed out by the American taxpayers. On and on and on it goes. The rich and large corporations get richer, the CEOs earn huge compensation packages, and when things get bad, don't worry; Uncle Sam and the American taxpayers are here to bail you out. But when you are in trouble, well, we just can't afford to help you, if you are in the working class or the middle class of this country.

I want to return for a moment to the agreement that the President and the Republican leadership negotiated because I think that is the issue that all of America is now talking about. The President and the Republican leadership say it is a good deal. Democrats in the House yesterday said: Wait a second. It doesn't look to us like it is a good deal. In fact, we don't even want to bring it up on the floor of the House. In the Senate, I can tell you there are a number of us—I don't know how many—who say: Wait a minute. This is not a good deal for the middle class, it is not a good deal for our kids, and it is not a good deal for our workers. We can negotiate a better deal. The reason we are trying to delay passage of this agreement—and I hope very much it doesn't have the votes here—is we want the American people to stand and say: Wait a second, it makes no sense to us to be giving huge tax breaks to the richest people in this country—literally millionaires and billionaires—and driving up the national debt so our kids can pay more in taxes in order to pay off that debt.

This is a transfer of wealth. It is Robin Hood in reverse. We are taking from the middle class and working families and we are giving it to the wealthiest people in this country. I believe the agreement struck between the President and the Republican leadership is a bad deal. There may be some good parts to it, but, by and large, it is not a good deal. We can do better, and the American people must stand up and work with us. They must get on their phones and call their Senators and call their Congressmen and women. They must make their voices heard and say: Enough is enough. The rich have it all right now—the top 1 percent earns 23½ percent of all income, more than the bottom 50 percent—and it is absurd that we continue to bail out people who do not need any help and who are doing just fine.

I am here to take a stand against this bill, and I am going to do everything I can to defeat this bill. I am

going to tell my colleagues and the American people exactly why, in my view, this is not good legislation. Let me just tick off some of the reasons I think this bill does not serve the best interests of the disappearing middle class of this country.

I don't know what kind of telephone calls the Presiding Officer is receiving from Colorado, but I can tell you that in the last 3 days alone, according to my front desk staff both here in Washington and in Vermont, we are over 5,000 telephone calls and e-mails, and I believe well over 98 percent of those messages are against this agreement. I don't know to what degree that is indicative of what is going on all over this country, but I suspect it is not radically different in other States. I think the American people are saying, with a \$13.8 trillion national debt, let's not give tax breaks to billionaires and drive up that national debt, forcing our kids to pay more in taxes, and at the same time have Republicans coming forward to start slashing Medicare and Medicaid and Social Security because of this large debt that we are making larger.

I appeal to my conservative friends. I am not a conservative, but many conservatives have spent their entire political careers saying we cannot afford to drive up the national debt, that it is unsustainable. I agree with that. So vote against this agreement because it is driving up the national debt. In a significant way it is doing that by giving tax breaks to people who absolutely don't need it.

Once again, for those people who are earning \$1 million a year or more, on average—on average—they will be getting a \$100,000-a-year tax break, and for people earning \$100 million a year, that number will be a lot higher. Who believes that makes any sense at all?

Let me give some other reasons I think this agreement is a bad agreement. The President says: Well, yes, we are going to extend tax breaks for all, including the top 2 percent. But don't worry, it is only going to be for 2 years—not to worry, it is only going to be for 2 years.

Well, maybe that will be the case. But you know what. I doubt that very much. I have been in Congress long enough to know if you extend a tax break, it is very hard to undo that extension because if we can't tell our Republican colleagues that it is absurd to continue giving tax breaks to millionaires and billionaires—if we can't do it now—what makes you think we will do it in the midst of a Presidential election?

I say that as somebody who admires and likes the President. The President is a friend of mine. But his credibility has been severely damaged. If he is going to go forward, and if he is the Democratic nominee, I suspect he will say: Yes, I extended it for 2 years

against my will; but, don't worry, I am going to repeal them after 2 years. Tell me, who will believe him? His credibility has been severely damaged. We are caving in on this issue and we should not be.

The polls show us the American people do not believe millionaires and billionaires need more tax breaks. If the calls to my office are indicative of what is going on in this country, there is overwhelming opposition to that agreement.

So I am saying that while the President says don't worry, that this is only temporary, I don't like it. But it is only 2 years. I have my doubts. I expect in 2 years, if this agreement goes forward, it will be extended again. As you know, Mr. President, they wanted 10 years on this extension of tax breaks for the rich. I have my strong suspicion that is exactly what will happen, if not made permanent. This country cannot afford to give tax breaks to millionaires and billionaires and have the middle class pay higher taxes to pay them off.

I want to say also that while a lot of attention has been focused on the personal income tax issue, that is not the only unfair tax proposal in this agreement. This agreement continues the Bush era 15 percent tax rate on capital gains and dividends.

Let me be clear about what that means. It means those people who make their living off of their investments—if you invest, if you earn dividends—will continue to pay a substantially lower tax rate than the average American person in the working class, middle class—our firemen, our teachers, our nurses. Those people are not going to pay 15 percent. They pay a higher rate than folks who have capital gains and dividends. I think that is wrong. This agreement extends those provisions.

Furthermore—and this is a point that has to be made over and over—this agreement between the President and the Republicans lowers the estate tax rate to 35 percent. Under this agreement, the estate tax will decline to 35 percent. Under President Clinton, when the economy was much stronger, the estate tax was 55 percent.

Now, I know the Republicans have done a very good job in trying to convince the American people this is a so-called death tax; that in every family in America, when a loved one dies, the family is going to have to pay 35 percent, 45 percent, or 55 percent. I have had people in Burlington, VT, come up to me and say: What are you doing? I have \$30,000 in the bank that I want to leave to my kids. Why are you forcing my kids to pay such a large tax?

So let me be very clear. The Republicans have done a very good job in totally distorting this issue. The estate tax is paid only by the top three-tenths of 1 percent of families in America. If

you are in the middle class, even if you are modestly wealthy, even if you are wealthy, or if you are poor, if you are lower middle class, you don't pay a nickel in estate tax if somebody in your family were to die and leave you wealth—not a nickel. This applies not just to the rich but to the very, very rich.

What the Republicans have been arguing for several years now is they want to repeal the estate tax entirely. If they were successful in doing that, that would mean increasing the national debt by \$1 trillion over a 10-year period and all of the benefits—not some, all of the benefits—go to the top three-tenths of 1 percent; 99.7 percent of the people do not gain one nickel.

What is in this agreement is not what the Republicans ideally want, which is a repeal of the tax entirely, but what they do get is a reduction to 35 percent with an exemption on the first \$5 million of an individual's estate.

Here is a chart which indicates just what I said a moment ago. "Repealing the estate tax would add more than \$1 trillion to the deficit over 10 years." It is over \$1 trillion, and the beneficiaries of it are just the very wealthy.

Let me give an example of what the repeal of the estate tax would mean. I will read it right off this chart.

Sam Walton's family, the heirs to the Wal-Mart fortune, are worth an estimated \$86.8 billion. The Walton family would receive an estimated \$32.7 billion tax break if the estate tax was completely repealed.

This is what our Republican friends want.

This agreement between the President and the Republicans certainly does not repeal the estate tax, but it does significantly lower the rates that the richest people, the very richest people in this country, would have to pay.

(Mr. UDALL of Colorado assumed the chair.)

Two days ago, I brought to the floor of the Senate a very simple piece of legislation. I think how that legislation was treated speaks volumes about the debate we are having now. This legislation said that with over 50 million senior citizens on Social Security and disabled vets for the second year in a row not getting a cost-of-living adjustment, a COLA—over 50 million seniors on Social Security and disabled vets not getting any COLA at all—despite the fact their prescription drug costs are going up and their health care costs are going up, they got no COLA. I said I think that in these tough times, it is appropriate that we provide those folks—if we cannot get them a COLA, let's get them the equivalent of a measly 2 percent COLA, a \$250 check to all of our seniors and disabled vets. That is what we did, by the way, in the stimulus package. That is all. For over 50 million people, a \$250 check costs

our government about \$14 billion. Yet I could not get one Republican vote in support of that. Republicans say: My goodness, imagine a senior or disabled vet living on \$15,000 or \$20,000 a year getting a \$250 check. What an outrage. We have different priorities, they say. We want to give a \$1 million tax break to somebody who earns \$50 million a year. That about says it all. If you are very, very rich, the good news is you are going to get more tax breaks. But if you are a senior or disabled vet, we can't get you a \$250 check.

I will say that the vote on the floor of the Senate was 53 people in favor of providing that one-time check, 45 against—53 to 45: We won. But here in the Senate, majority does not rule. Republicans filibuster almost everything, and it requires 60 votes. We did not get the 60 votes, and seniors did not get that check. I am going to do my best to see that they do get it. We are going to bring that issue back and back again.

I raise that issue to tell you that one of the very weakest proposals in this agreement, totally outrageous, is the decrease in taxes for the estate tax.

There is another issue I want to touch on. I am going to spend a lot of time on this issue because it has not gotten the coverage and the attention I think it deserves.

This agreement deals with the so-called payroll tax holiday. I know the Vice President and the President and others have been touting this. They say this is really a good thing because it will put more money into the pockets of the working people. What will happen—right now, if you are a worker, you put 6.2 percent into Social Security. It is going to be reduced for 1 year to 4.2 percent. You get the difference, and this is really a good thing. All of us want to see working people have more money in their pockets. That is what we do. That is what we are fighting for.

But let me be clear that while on the surface this so-called payroll tax holiday sounds like a good idea for working people, it is actually a very bad idea. What the American people should understand is that this payroll tax holiday originated from rightwing Republicans whose ultimate goal, trust me, is not to put more money into the pockets of working families; it is the ultimate destruction of Social Security. What they understand is that if we divert funding that is supposed to go into the Social Security trust fund, this will ultimately weaken the long-term financial viability of Social Security. In other words, what we are doing is, for the very first time, diverting money which is supposed to go into the Social Security trust fund and we are giving it to workers today. It is like eating our seed.

Rather than going into Social Security, the President says: Don't worry, this is going to be covered this year by

the Federal Government. We have never seen that before. I don't want Social Security to be dependent on the Federal Government because the Federal Government has a \$13.7 trillion national debt. And what I worry about is this is not just a 1-year provision; this also could be extended.

Let me quote Barbara Kennelly.

I am glad to see I am joined here on the floor by one of the strongest fighters for working families in the Senate, Senator Sherrod Brown of Ohio. I just want to say this before I ask him a question or before he asks me a question or whatever the protocol is.

I want to quote what Barbara Kennelly, the president and CEO of the National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare, said. This is one of the largest senior citizens groups in America.

Even though Social Security contributed nothing to the current economic crisis, it has been bartered in a deal that provides deficit-busting tax cuts for the wealthy.

Here is the key point:

Diverting \$120 billion in Social Security contributions for a so-called "tax holiday" may sound like a good deal for workers now, but it's bad business for a program that a majority of middle-class seniors will rely upon in the future.

Barbara Kennelly, president and CEO of the National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare.

I am joined by my very good friend from Ohio, and I want to ask him his sense of this overall agreement.

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. My sense is similar to yours. I was just on a TV show a minute ago. I was asked, the liberals or the conservatives, what they think about this. This really is not a liberal-conservative issue. First of all, the tax cuts overwhelmingly go to the wealthiest taxpayers. We are seeing the kinds of tax cuts that millionaires and billionaires get from the income tax and from the estate tax. But it is also equally important that it blows a hole in our budget deficit.

In some sense, we are borrowing tens of billions of dollars every year now—if this agreement becomes law, we are borrowing tens of billions of dollars every year from the Chinese, and we are putting it on the credit cards of our children and grandchildren for them to pay off who knows when, and then we are giving these tax cuts to millionaires and billionaires. In those simple terms, it doesn't make sense. It doesn't make sense in our relationship with China. It doesn't make sense in the lost jobs that come from that China trade policy. It doesn't make sense in undermining the middle class. It doesn't make sense in terms of fairness in the tax system. It doesn't make sense for our children and grandchildren and the burden they are going to have to bear to pay off this debt. Giving a millionaire a tax cut and charging it to our kids, who are paying taxes on, unfortu-

nately, in the last few years, declining wages, is morally reprehensible.

I know Senator SANDERS has been on the floor 2 hours now talking about this and how important it is and really analyzing it and educating about it and all that. I think about the economic policy, too, that this embodies.

Nine or 10 years ago, Senator SANDERS and the Presiding Officer, when he was a Member of the House, Senator UDALL from Colorado, and I and others voted against the Bush tax cuts of 2001 and 2003, principally because those tax cuts overwhelmingly went to the wealthy and ended up adding to our national debt. We had a surplus then. We sure don't now. We had the largest surplus we ever had in 2001. It blew a hole in that. But we passed those tax cuts under the belief, those who supported it—President Bush and Senator MCCONNELL and so many others—under the belief that that kind of trickle-down economics would grow our economy.

In the 8 years—and this is not partisan, this is not opinion, this is fact—from January 1, 2001, to January 1, 2009, President Bush's 8 years, we actually had private sector job loss in this country. Contrast that with a different economic policy—January 1, 1993, to January 1, 2001, the Clinton 8 years. Again, this isn't partisan, this isn't opinion, this is fact. During the Clinton 8 years, we had 21 million private sector jobs created—21 million private sector jobs created—and literally zero private sector jobs in the Bush 8 years of trickle-down economics.

Why would we blow a hole in the budget, which this bill does, for our kids to pay off? Why would we continue an economic policy that clearly did not work for this country? It didn't work for the middle class. We saw middle-class wages—not only no job increase during those 8 years, except for the people at the very top, we saw actual wage stagnation or worse. Most Americans did not get a raise during the 8 Bush years. Most Americans simply saw their wages flat or in many cases decline. The superwealthy saw a big increase in their incomes and in their net assets. And now we are going to give a tax break to them.

This is not class warfare. Lots of people I know have a lot of money. I don't have any ill will for them. But why would we help those people who have done so very well and then have our children pay for it?

Senator SANDERS just mentioned the letter from Barbara Kennelly from one of the largest seniors organizations in the country and what this will mean for Social Security. Here is my fear. If this is passed, we are going to see our budget deficit increase, according to the Congressional Budget Office, about \$900 billion because of this package, \$800-some billion over the next couple of years.

As soon as it is signed by President Obama, even though it was negotiated with the Republican Senate leadership and overwhelming numbers of Republicans in the Senate and House—I assume they are going to vote for it—they are going to say: Look at the huge budget deficit President Obama created. From that day on, they are going to go after ways to cut the budget. That is OK. I agree we need to deal with spending and taxes and the whole picture.

But I also know from watching Republicans—I saw them in the House when they moved toward Medicare privatization in 2003, 2004, and 2005. They had some success. Fortunately, we were able to beat back most of it. I remember that in 2005, after President Bush was reelected in a very close race, he spoke repeatedly about privatizing Social Security. I know that is what they want to do. In the 1990s, Speaker Gingrich—fortunately beaten back by President Clinton—tried to privatize Medicare.

That is the way they cut the budget, they go after Medicare and Social Security. So this vote on this package—to me, we need to call the President, write the President, work with the President to say: No deal, and this has to be something very different from what it is now because it will cause huge deficits our children and grandchildren will have to bear. It will not help the economy appreciably because we saw what the trickle-down economic policies of the Bush years did. It does not help the middle class enough.

So it is pretty clear to me how this jeopardizes Social Security, how it jeopardizes Medicare, how it will force more cuts and more pressure on those programs that have lifted so many people into the middle class. In 1965, when Medicare was first passed, half of the senior citizens in this country had no health insurance—half of the seniors had no health insurance. Today 99 percent of seniors have health insurance, something like that.

I know we are a country now that has created a strong middle class. We have seen that middle class—because of these tax cuts for the wealthy, trickle-down kind of economic policy, we have seen the middle class shrink in the last few years. I do not want that to keep happening. That is why I am very concerned about this. That is why I am working with the Senate to say: No deal. We need to much more seriously focus on not running up a huge debt, on making sure Social Security is protected, on an economic policy that works for the middle class, on a tax policy that is fair to the middle class.

That is why Senator SANDERS' work is so important on the floor today, taking the floor for a longer period than anybody I have seen since I have been in the Senate, in a filibuster kind of setting, where he is raising these ques-

tions, asking these questions, educating the public, talking to people all over the country, in this Chamber and outside to change this policy.

Mr. SANDERS. If I could interrupt my friend from Ohio and ask him a question, it is on an issue the Senator dealt with last night. Talk about the kind of priorities we have seen in the Senate recently, where just a couple of days ago the Senator and I worked very hard to try to make sure seniors on Social Security and disabled vets were able to get a \$250 check at a cost of \$14 billion, we could not get one Republican vote for that, while at the same time Republicans are pushing tax breaks of over \$1 million a year for the richest people in this country. Does that seem—

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. It tells a story. I came to the floor right after that vote. I had supported it all along. I co-sponsored Senator SANDERS' effort to bring that to the floor, for the \$250 check for all seniors and all disabled veterans, I might add, not just Social Security beneficiaries. But I came to the floor right afterwards because I was pretty amazed.

I know there is partisanship here. I know some people think their whole view of the world is to give tax cuts to the richest people of the world and it will all trickle down and we will all do better, it will lift all boats. That is a pretty good economic theory you might have learned at Harvard or you might have learned at Johns Hopkins near here or wherever. But it does not work. It is a nice theory, but it does not work to lift all boats.

So Senator SANDERS' effort was to provide a \$250 check, one time, at a cost of \$14 billion. But one time, not continued \$14 billion—one time for seniors who had not had a cost-of-living adjustment in 2 years. It just seemed to make so much sense when the average senior in this country gets about a \$14,000-a-year Social Security check. I think that is about \$1,200 a month. That is not their entire income for most seniors, but it is a big part of it. Many seniors live only on that. Many more seniors live on that, but only another couple \$300, \$400 a month.

There is not inflation maybe for people my age so much in this country, but if you are older and you have a lot of health care costs, there is inflation because the health care costs seem to go up higher than maybe anything but higher education, and maybe as much as that. So it was important that \$250 be provided, we think, to every senior in the country and every disabled vet.

What was so amazing about it was that 42 Republican Senators signed a letter saying they would do nothing, nothing in the Senate, until tax cuts for the rich were approved, until they were signed into law.

Now, I have never seen Senators engage in a work stoppage or a strike. I

mean, it was not quite a strike, which it is probably illegal for us to strike. I do not know, maybe. But it was a work stoppage.

They are saying: We are not doing anything until you give tax cuts to my rich friends, and I might say also to many people in the House and Senate whose income is in that bracket too. I am not accusing them of that, to be sure, but they were there for their rich friends and their biggest contributors and the wealthiest people in this country. But they were not there for a senior citizen living on \$1,200 a month that could use that extra \$250.

I have met too many seniors, and I know the Presiding Officer, when he travels to Colorado Springs or he goes to Cimarron or he goes to Denver, I know he hears seniors say: I cut my pills in half because I need my prescription to run for 2 months rather than 1 because I cannot afford it. Or I skipped my medicine today because my house is too cold, and I do not have enough heat. We know seniors make those choices. We make choices here, and the choice we made is 42 Republicans made it and blocked it because we need 60 votes. We had a majority of voters, an easy majority, for Senator SANDERS' effort, 53 votes, 53 votes to do this, the \$250, but we need 60 votes.

So 42 Republican Senators engaged in their work stoppage saying: We are not doing anything until we get these tax cuts for the rich. They said no to seniors. I am amazed by that, the callousness. I guess I am even more amazed when you consider—what is today, the 10th—when you consider in 2 weeks it is Christmas Day. That does not seem to bother them. It does not seem to bother them on unemployment benefits. And 85,000 Ohioans, a week and a half ago, lost their unemployment benefits—85,000. Their holiday season is ruined.

But I guess all of us will go home. I want to go home and be with Connie and my kids on Christmas. My children are grown. We have one grandchild. I want to be with him for as much of Christmas as I can. But we have a job to do today, this week and next week and this month and this year; and that is to extend unemployment benefits to people who have lost them, who are looking for jobs as hard as they can in a great majority of cases, and extending the tax cuts for the middle class and doing the right thing. So far, we have not done that.

I need to go to the airport. But I want to yield back to Senator SANDERS for his work today. I hope next week, when we come back on Monday, we are prepared to do whatever it takes to say no deal on this one and to make this work for the middle class, make it work for Social Security beneficiaries, make it work for unemployed workers.

Mr. SANDERS. I thank my good friend from Ohio, one of the real fighters for working families in the Senate,

not only for coming down here but for his years of efforts. But he makes a very important point. We have a job to do and the job is—I know some people do not believe it. It is a rather radical concept. But our job is to represent working families, the middle class, and not the wealthiest people in this country.

I have four kids, six grandchildren. I look forward to spending the holidays with them. But you know what. We have a job to do, and if it means staying here through Christmas Eve, through New Year's, that is our job. And let's pass a proposal that works well for ordinary families and not just for the wealthiest people in this country.

I wanted to thank Senator SHERROD BROWN for coming down.

What I want to say now is, when you look at this agreement, we have talked now about the absurdity, in the middle of a time when we have a \$13.7 trillion national debt, of giving tax breaks to people who do not need it. Senator BROWN and I have talked about the dangers inherent in this payroll tax holiday and what it might mean for the future of Social Security. But I also wanted to make another point; that is, that there are many billions of dollars in this proposal going to a variety of business tax cuts. Some of them, in fact, might work; some of them, in fact, might not work. But what is very clear is, if your goal is to create as many jobs as possible for every dollar of investment, this particular approach is not very effective.

When we talk about tax breaks for corporations and companies, what we should be aware of is that corporate America today—today—is sitting on close to \$2 trillion in cash. They have that cash on hand. The problem is not that they do not have the money, the problem is that working people do not have the money to buy the products these guys are producing. I believe, and not just me but I think a variety of economists from across the board, it makes a lot more sense if we are serious about creating jobs to invest in our infrastructure.

I say that for a number of reasons. When you put money into roads and bridges and public transportation, you are creating, for every dollar you spend, far more jobs than giving a variety of tax breaks. That is an economic fact.

Second of all, when you are investing in our infrastructure, not only are you creating jobs short term, you are leaving the country with long-term improvement that increases our competitiveness in a very tough global economy. I mentioned a moment ago, and we will get back to it later, China is investing huge amounts of money into high-speed rail, into their roads, into their bridges. Yet if you drive around certain parts of America, you think we

are a Third World nation. You have roads with all kinds of potholes. You have bridges which you cannot go across. You have rail systems where trains are going slower—there is a study out there that I am going to get to later—where somebody said that decades and decades ago, it took less time to go from various parts of this country to the other on trains than it does today because our rail beds are in such bad shape.

So if we are going to make our country competitive, we have to invest in infrastructure. It creates jobs. It adds long-term value to this country. Unfortunately, in this agreement, there is, to the best of my knowledge, not one nickel going into infrastructure. It is important that we, in fact, add provisions which do invest in our infrastructure and create jobs.

Another point that should be made when we look at this so-called compromise agreement established by the President and the Republican leadership is that in the agreement there is an extension of unemployment benefits for 13 months. Now, there is zero question, in my mind; that is something that absolutely has to be done. Right now—Senator BROWN made this point—we have millions of Americans who have, through no fault of their own, lost their jobs. Maybe their plants went to China. Maybe their companies could not get the loans they needed to stay in business. Small businesses are going under, big businesses are shutting plants. No question we have to extend unemployment benefits.

But what bothers me is that this provision in this agreement, which is a good provision, suggests that this is a hard-won compromise; that the Republicans conceded something and they agreed to a 13-month extension of unemployment benefits. But here is the fact. The fact is, for the last 40 years, when unemployment rates have gone above 7.2 percent, Republicans and Democrats, in a nonpartisan way, have come together to say, of course, we are going to extend unemployment. This is America. We are not going to let working families who are suffering hard times because, through no fault of their own, they have lost their jobs, we are not going to let them lose their homes or not enable them to feed their families. This is America. We are not going to do that.

Republicans have said that for 40 years. Democrats have said that for 40 years. Democratic and Republican Presidents, leaders in the House and Senate, have said that. So to say: Oh, my goodness, the Republicans made a major concession; they are going to allow the extension of unemployment benefits for 13 months, that is not a concession. That has been bipartisan public policy for the last 40 years.

Now, I have been expressing to you and to the American people why I

think this is not a good agreement, why I think this agreement should be defeated and why I believe we can put together a much better agreement.

I do want to be clear. There are positive aspects to this agreement which should be maintained in an improved proposal. Let me mention some of them. This proposal, in addition to extending unemployment benefits for 13 months, extends the middle-class tax cuts. That is obviously something we have to do. The reality is that the middle class is collapsing. During the Bush years we saw a \$2,200 decline per year in median family income. Working families are hurting. There is no question. To not extend that tax cut for 98 percent of America would be a travesty. So we have to maintain those tax cuts, and that is a positive thing in the agreement which obviously any future agreement must maintain.

Also in this agreement is the earned-income tax credit for working Americans, a very important provision, and the child and college tax credits are also in this agreement. These proposals will keep millions of Americans from slipping out of the middle class and into poverty, and they will allow millions of Americans to send their kids to college. I am not here to say to the President or the Vice President that there are not any good proposals and parts of this agreement. There are. But we can do much better.

What the President says—and he makes a valid point—show me the votes; he is good at counting. We tried a proposal here, where we only got 53 votes, which said we are going to extend the tax breaks for the middle class and not the very rich. The President knows, as everybody else knows, that around here Republicans filibuster everything. We need 60 votes, and he said: Show me the votes. This is what I would say: What our job right now is about is reaching out to the American people from one end of the country to the other, from California to Vermont, including a lot of our very conservative States. Frankly, it is not a conservative approach to substantially increase the national debt by giving tax breaks to billionaires. How many times have we been here on the floor hearing our Republican colleagues give long speeches about the danger and the unsustainability of a \$13.7 trillion national debt and a \$1.4 trillion deficit? We have heard it day after day. That is their mantra. If they believe that, why are they voting for a proposal that substantially increases the national debt for the very unproductive reason of giving tax breaks to the richest people who don't need it?

The reason we have to defeat this proposal and fight for a much better one is, I would hope that people throughout this country, from Vermont and Colorado, and many of our conservative States, would come

forward and say: Wait a second. I do not want to see my kids and grandchildren pay more in taxes because we have borrowed money from China to increase the national debt in order to give tax breaks to millionaires and billionaires who have done extraordinarily well in recent years and, by the way, have seen a significant decline in their effective tax rate.

I know the Chair has heard wealthy people such as Warren Buffett make the point over and over again that what he really pays in taxes, his effective tax rate, is lower than his secretary's. All over this country we have examples where very rich people are able to stash money in the Cayman Islands, take advantage of all types of loopholes, and are paying rather low effective tax rates, in many cases lower than police officers or firemen or teachers or nurses. Opposition to this agreement should be tripartisan. We should have conservative Republicans, liberal Democrats.

I am an independent progressive. I can tell my colleagues in the last 3 days my office has received probably close to 3,000 phone calls, 98 percent of them against this agreement, probably higher than 98 percent, and a huge number of e-mails also overwhelmingly against this agreement. I suspect—I don't know it for a fact—that this is the kind of message the American people are sending us all over America. But they have to continue to do so. They have to make it clear so we can win over at least a handful of Republicans and some wavering Democrats and say: Wait a second. We are not going to hold hostage extending middle-class tax breaks in order to give tax breaks to billionaires. We will not hold hostage extending unemployment for workers who have lost their jobs by giving tax breaks to people who don't need it.

If the American people give voice to what they are feeling, that this is not a good agreement, that we can do a lot better, I think we can defeat this proposal, and we can come back with a much better proposal which protects the unemployed, extends unemployment benefits, protects the middle class, extends the Bush tax cuts for 98 percent of the population, and protects a lot of important programs, making college more affordable, making childcare more affordable, and helping us transform our energy system.

There is a lot we can do if we defeat this proposal. We are not going to do it inside the beltway. Republicans are very united. But what we have to do is win at least a handful of them and some wavering Democrats to say: Mr. President, Republican leadership, you guys have to involve Congress in this discussion.

I was pleased yesterday that the Democratic caucus said: Sorry, we are not bringing that proposal onto the

floor. I applaud Speaker PELOSI and the Democratic caucus for saying so. That took courage. Congressman WELCH from the State of Vermont played an important role. Congressman PETER DEFAZIO played an important role. I congratulate him. I congratulate the caucus for saying we can do better than we are doing.

Let me be frank: We are not going to do better unless the American people stand up and help us. We are going to need a lot of phone calls, a lot of e-mails, a lot of messages so that all of our colleagues in the House and Senate understand the American people do not want to see their kids having to pay off the debt incurred by giving tax breaks to billionaires.

This agreement doesn't come out of the blue. It comes within a context that frightens many people. Many Americans have a sinking feeling that there is something very wrong in our country today. I know my father came to this country at the age of 17 without a penny in his pocket. He became the proudest American one could ever see. He didn't have much of an education, but he knew this country gave him a great opportunity. That is the American story. That is what it is all about. To millions and millions of families, whether they came from other countries, whether they just made it on their own—I know we have heard the majority leader HARRY REID talking about his experience growing up in a desperately poor family—that is what America is about. But there are a lot of folks out there who believe there is something wrong, and the facts back them up.

What is going on in this country is the middle class is collapsing. Poverty is increasing. I have four kids and six grandchildren. I am not worried about me, but I am worried about what happens to my kids and my grandchildren. We have some wonderful young pages here, and we worry about their futures as well. We don't want to see our kids and grandchildren be the first generation in the modern history of America to have a lower standard of living than their parents. We don't want to see this country's economy move in the wrong way. We don't want a race to the bottom. We want to see our kids live healthier and better lives than we do, not have to work longer hours, not getting a lower quality of education or less education. That is not the history of this great country.

I want to talk about one aspect of what is going on that does not get the kind of attention it deserves. There are obvious reasons why, having to do with who owns the media and corporate control of the media, having to do with who provides the campaign contributions that elect Members of the House and Senate, having to do with all the lobbyists who surround this institution. Wall Street and the oil companies

spend hundreds of millions of dollars on campaign contributions. The issue I wish to discuss is who is winning and who is losing in this economy. I come from New England. Everybody follows the Celtics. We follow the Red Sox, the Patriots. What everyone asks is, who won the game? Did the Patriots win or lose? That is what we want to know.

In fact, in America, it is pretty clear in the economy who is winning and losing. The vast majority of people, working people, middle-class people, low-income people are losing. That is who is losing. It is clear who is winning. The wealthiest people are doing phenomenally well. They are winning the economic struggle.

In America today—we don't talk about this too much, but it is time we did—we have the most unequal distribution of wealth and income in the industrialized world. I haven't heard too many people talk about that issue. Why not? Our Republican colleagues want huge tax breaks for the richest people, but the reality is the top 1 percent already today owns more wealth than the bottom 90 percent. How much more do they want? When is enough enough? Do they want it all? We already have millions of families today who have zero wealth. They owe more than they own. Millions of families have below zero wealth. We are living in a situation where the top 1 percent owns more wealth than the bottom 90 percent. The top 1 percent owns more wealth than the bottom 90 percent. That is simply unacceptable.

This is something we must be absolutely ashamed about and have to address, instead of giving tax breaks to billionaires. Maybe we should appreciate the fact that about 25 percent of our children are dependent on food stamps. We should understand that in the industrialized world, the United States, as this chart shows, has the highest rate of childhood poverty. Is this America? Is this America? The United States today has over 20 percent of its kids living in poverty. In Finland, the number is about 2 or 3 percent; Norway, maybe 4 percent; Sweden, maybe 4.5 percent; Switzerland, 6 percent, whatever it may be. But here we are. If people are watching on television, what they are seeing is the red line. Here is the United States, well over 20 percent. Here is the Netherlands in second place. It looks to me like about 7 percent. This is the future of America. So we are sitting here talking about an agreement which says: Let's give huge tax breaks to billionaires. And here is the reality. We have a rate of childhood poverty far surpassing any other country on Earth.

This is the other half of the equation. What do my colleagues think happens when we have millions of kids living in poverty? What do my colleagues think happens when we have kids who are dropping out of school when they are 13

or 14? I talked to a fellow in Vermont who runs one of our jails. He said about half the kids who drop out of school end up in the penal system. That is what happens. The result is, the highest rate of childhood poverty in the industrialized world, and then what we end up with is more people behind bars than any other country on Earth.

China is a Communist totalitarian society, much larger than the United States, which is a democratic society. We have more people in jail than China and more people in jail than any other country. So what we end up doing, which seems to be not terribly bright, is spending perhaps \$50,000 a year keeping people in jail because they dropped out of school. They never found a job. They got hooked on drugs or whatever. We pay to put them in jail rather than investing in childcare, in education, in sustaining their families.

So when we look at the context in which this agreement was reached, we have to see that it takes place at a time when the rich are already doing phenomenally well, while we have the highest rate of childhood poverty in the industrialized world.

During the 8 years of President Bush, the wealthiest 400 Americans—that is not a lot of people, 400 families—saw their income more than double while their income tax rates dropped almost in half. So you have 400 families—all of whom are already multi-multimillionaires—where during the 8 years of President Bush their income more than doubled while their income tax rates dropped almost in half.

I would say to my colleagues in the Senate, we do not have to worry about these guys. They are doing just fine. They do not need an extension of tax breaks. The wealthiest 400 Americans now earn, on average, \$345 million a year, and they pay an effective tax rate of 16.6 percent. How is that? All right. The top 400 wealthiest people in this country earn \$345 million a year, and they pay an effective tax rate of 16.6 percent. They do not need an extension of tax breaks.

By the way, for the United States of America, this effective tax rate of 16.6 percent, on average, is the lowest tax rate for the very rich in America that there has ever been. So we have already given the wealthiest people in this country the lowest effective tax rates in the history of our country, at least since they have been keeping records. That is what we have done. So the idea of giving these guys—who are doing phenomenally well, who already own more wealth than the bottom 90 percent—more tax breaks is totally absurd.

Under the 8 years of President Bush, the wealthiest 400 Americans—we talked about how they doubled their incomes; income is what happens in 1 year—under the 8 years of President Bush, the wealthiest 400 Americans in-

creased their wealth by more than \$380 billion. Four hundred families increased their wealth by \$380 billion. That averages to almost \$1 billion a family. Mr. President, \$1 billion in 8 years. That is the average; some, obviously, more.

Collectively—I know this is not an issue we talk about too much—the 400 richest Americans have accumulated \$1.27 trillion in wealth. If any of them die this year, their heirs can receive, right now, all of this money tax free because the inheritance tax has been eliminated in 2010 as part of the Bush estate tax repeal this year.

Last year, the top 25 hedge fund managers made a combined \$25 billion in income—a combined \$1 billion per person. OK. So if you are a hedge fund manager, you are doing pretty good. I mentioned a moment ago that we tried just the other day to get checks of \$250 out for disabled vets and senior citizens on Social Security who have not had a COLA in 2 years. We could not get them that check. But last year the top 25 hedge fund managers made a combined \$25 billion in income—\$1 billion per person. And our Republican friends say: Oh, my word, my word, we have to lower their taxes. Last year, ExxonMobil, Bank of America, and other large profitable corporations paid no Federal income taxes.

So what you have is a tax system which is totally distorted in the sense that it allows large profitable corporations to pay, in some cases—in many cases—zero. In fact, last year—it would be funny if it really was not pathetic—as I understand it, ExxonMobil, which made \$19 billion, paid nothing in taxes. Bank of America—Bank of America got a huge bailout from the American taxpayer, paying their executives all kinds of fancy, huge compensation packages—got a refund check from the IRS. That is how absurd the situation is. And people say: Oh, my word, in order to deal with our deficit, we are going to have to cut back on Medicare and Medicaid and education. We cannot afford it. I guess we can afford to allow ExxonMobil, the most profitable corporation in the history of the world, to make huge sums of money and pay nothing in taxes. We can afford to do that, but we cannot afford to protect working families and the middle class.

In the year 2005, one out of every four large corporations in the United States paid no Federal income tax on revenue of \$1.1 trillion. Now, what do you think? Maybe before we start cutting Social Security and Medicare and Medicaid and veterans programs, we would want to ask some of these very large and profitable corporations to pay at least something in taxes? From 1998 to 2005, two out of every three corporations in the United States paid no Federal income taxes, according to the GAO report.

Sadly, the economic pain millions of people are experiencing did not even

begin as a result of the Wall Street bailout. The middle class was collapsing long before that. It is wrong to blame Bush for all the problems. He contributed a lot to it but not all of it. That trend has been going on for many years.

As the Washington Post reported last January—let me quote from an article because, again, I want to put the economic reality facing the middle class in contrast to the economic reality facing the very rich in the broad context of this agreement signed by the President and the Republican leadership. As the Washington Post reported last January:

The past decade—

The Bush 8 years plus 2 years—

was the worst for the U.S. economy in modern times. . . .

It was, according to a wide range of data, a lost decade for American workers.

“A lost decade for American workers.” Do you know why people are furious? Do you know why they are angry at Washington and everybody else? The last decade was, according to the Washington Post, a lost decade for American workers.

There has been zero net job creation since December 1999.

Twelve years of zero job creation, which is why unemployment is so high, not only for the general population but even worse for our young people, kids getting out of high school, young people graduating college.

According to the Washington Post—this came from the Washington Post in January:

Middle-income households made less in 2008, when adjusted for inflation, than they did in 1999. . . .

In other words, the American economy has turned into a nightmare for tens of millions of families. Imagine that.

Middle-income households made less in 2008, when adjusted for inflation, than they did in 1999—and the number is sure to have declined further during a difficult 2009.

They did not have those numbers, but because of the Wall Street collapse, that certainly is the case.

So what are we talking about? We are talking about, as I have just demonstrated, the people on top seeing a doubling of their income, while their effective tax rates are going down. You are seeing the middle class collapsing.

What this agreement says is that we are going to provide huge tax breaks for millionaires and billionaires. That is insane. Only within the beltway could an agreement such as that be negotiated.

As I mentioned earlier, in the last 3 days, we have received thousands and thousands and thousands of phone calls and e-mails to my office, and over 98 percent—I daresay 99 percent—say this is not a good agreement, do not support it.

Mr. President, I have been joined on the floor by the very distinguished Senator from the State of Louisiana. I ask unanimous consent that I be permitted to enter into a colloquy with Senator LANDRIEU.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. SANDERS. I thank Senator LANDRIEU very much for joining us here. I wondered if the Senator could give the American people her thoughts about this agreement and what has been going on.

Ms. LANDRIEU. Mr. President, I thank the Senator from Vermont for his eloquent and passionate presentation for hours this morning. He clearly has presented to this Chamber and to the American people some stark realities that are unpleasant. Some people might even find them hard to believe. But he has done his homework. He has documented what he said. In that backdrop, it does make this agreement, made between the Republican leadership and the President of the United States, even harder for some of us to understand.

I want to acknowledge, as the Senator said—I know there are pressures on all sides, and time is running out; we have to make a decision about tax cuts in a short period of time. We do not have the benefit of several months or even half a year. I understand the pressures of time. But as the Senator from Vermont pointed out, how about the pressures on the middle class? What about these pressures? What about this pain?

I was wondering, because I wanted to ask the Senator from Vermont—I was not able to follow his entire presentation this morning—did he quote from the report “Income Inequality and the Great Recession,” done by the U.S. Congress Joint Economic Committee, led by CHARLES SCHUMER? I ask the Senator, did you quote from this report?

Mr. SANDERS. We quoted from a number of studies, but not that one, I say to the Senator.

Ms. LANDRIEU. I would like to add in our colloquy, if the Senator is aware, according to this report that just came out in September of this year:

Income inequality has skyrocketed. Economists concur that income inequality has risen dramatically over the past three decades.

Middle-class incomes stagnated under President Bush. During the recovery of the 1990s under President Clinton, middle-class incomes grew at a healthy pace. However, during the jobless recovery of the 2000s under President Bush, that trend reversed course. Middle-class incomes continued to fall well into the recovery, and never regained their 2001 high.

The report goes on to say—which is frightening, which is why I have been raising my voice in opposition so

strongly to some parts of this package—

High levels of income inequality may precipitate economic crises.

In other words, if the middle class cannot see light at the end of the tunnel and if the economy itself cannot grasp a way for the middle class to grow, I say to the Senator, this recession may never end no matter how much money you give to the very wealthy. This is the reality we are facing at this moment—how to end this recession.

Republicans weren't completely to blame for it, Democrats weren't completely innocent, or vice versa. It is not about who to blame, it is about how to fix it. We are about to pick up a \$980 billion hammer next week in an attempt to fix it. Are we hitting the nail right? We don't have many \$980 billion hammers to pick up. We are borrowing this one. So let's get it right. This is an important issue before our country. I think that is what the Senator is saying.

Am I putting words into the Senator's mouth? Is this what the Senator is trying to explain?

Mr. SANDERS. Exactly. The point cannot be understated. What Senator LANDRIEU is saying is that if you have a collapsing middle class and people are unable to purchase anything, it impacts the entire economy. The economy can't grow. We can't grow jobs if people don't have enough money to buy products made by other people. If all or a substantial part of the wealth in this Nation accrues in the hands of a few, they get three yachts and eight airplanes, I guess, but there is a limit to what they can purchase.

Ms. LANDRIEU. And there is a limit to what they can consume.

What the Senator from Vermont is saying and what I am saying—I want to be very clear, because the Senator and I don't agree on every piece of legislation. He tends to be a little bit more liberal and progressive in his politics than I am, but on this subject we are both equally concerned about the shrinking of the middle class. From my perspective—the Senator may have a different view—I am talking about the broad middle class: incomes of \$50,000 to \$500,000. In my State, \$500,000 of income—not net worth but income—is a huge amount of money. In fact, I brought a graph to show that 84 percent of the households in Louisiana—when I talk about middle class—84 percent of the households in Louisiana make less than \$75,000. I said 84 percent. Most people in Louisiana—most—believe they are in the middle class, but 84 percent make below \$75,000.

So when I use the term middle class—and we all have a different view—I am saying the broad middle class with incomes between \$50,000 and \$500,000. If you have \$500,000 in income, you are quite wealthy in Louisiana,

but I realize we are not New York, Connecticut, or California. Maybe if you make \$500,000 or \$400,000 in some of these places, you don't consider yourself very wealthy or rich. I think by Louisiana standards you would be, but this is a big nation. So I want to be as broad as I can possibly be here. I am not talking about the wealthy being \$400,000 or \$500,000. That may not be the case in California. But what we are talking about in this tax bill is borrowing \$50 billion to give tax breaks to families earning over \$1 million. So as the Senator from Vermont said, whether you put your mark at \$250,000 or \$500,000—we can disagree about how broad the middle class is, but is there anyone—anyone—anyone in this Chamber on either side of the aisle from any State who believes seriously, giving what the Senator from Vermont just outlined—which is really not debatable; these economic studies are not just from one side of the aisle or another—that we should actually next week provide \$50 billion in extended benefits for the families in America who are making more than \$1 million a year? Should we do that when the inequities are so great, when the needs of the middle class are so great, when there is no evidence to suggest from any I have seen that is convincing that even after this tax cut the recession will end? We are doing this for 2 years. What happens if the recession doesn't end and we have borrowed all of this money to provide the extension of these tax cuts, as well as giving \$50 billion to the \$1 million earners in this country? What do we do then? Go borrow another trillion and try it again? I think we have to try something different.

I don't know if the Senator has another point before I go into a few thoughts.

Mr. SANDERS. Let me ask the Senator—and I thank her very much and I agree with what she has been saying. I was mentioning earlier the calls coming in and the e-mails coming into my office are overwhelming: 99 percent against this. Are you getting similar calls?

Ms. LANDRIEU. I am getting calls, and I am getting about 50 percent for and 50 percent against. The State of Louisiana is a little different than the State of Vermont. Many of the calls coming in from around the country are against giving—well, actually, let me say this: Most of the calls coming in are absolutely against giving tax cuts to people over \$1 million.

Mr. SANDERS. That is what I am talking about.

Ms. LANDRIEU. Overwhelmingly, people are calling in and saying, Is that really happening? In fact, my office told me today that actually 10 people called who had incomes over \$1 million, which I found very interesting, to say they supported my position: Tell Senator LANDRIEU I make \$1 million a year

and I agree with her. So I know people are listening. I thank those callers. They make \$1 million every year and they said, Please, use the money for somebody else or something else. I am doing fine. I am counting my blessings. I survived the recession. They know that 33,000 people are getting ready to run out of unemployment benefits in Louisiana alone if we don't extend it. They know middle-class families making over \$75,000 in income or \$200,000 in income or even \$500,000—you can have \$300,000 of income in Louisiana and be a strong businessperson and doing very well, and have eight children. The Presiding Officer has large families out in the West. We have very large families in the South. No one ever gives us credit enough, I think, for that. People work very hard, a mother and a father. Their income might be \$200,000, \$250,000, but with six children, that doesn't go that far these days. I grew up in a neighborhood where we routinely had 12 children in a house. How much money do you think you have to make to feed and clothe and send to college 12 children? My father sent nine of us to college. We never made anywhere near that money. I still think it was a miracle any of us ever got there.

But, nonetheless, the issue is next week we are going to debate this agreement. I wish to say I support extending tax cuts to the middle class, to the broad middle class. But there is something terribly wrong here in Denmark. Something is not right in Denmark if we are spending or borrowing \$50 billion, which is about what it costs to extend income tax rates, the lower rate and the dividend rates, and the capital gains rates to people making over \$1 million.

Someone on the radio today said, Well, Senator, don't you think giving tax cuts will stimulate the economy? I said, No. I am not an economist, but every economist I have read on this tax package says that is one of the least stimulative—am I correct, Senator—one of the least stimulative provisions of the bill.

I want to know next week, when we are debating this, I would like at least one Republican—just one—it could be the minority leader MITCH MCCONNELL, it could be the budget chairman JUDD GREGG, it could be just one Republican—to give a passionate argument for why they insisted this be in the package. I would like to listen to it. I would like to hear it with my own ears. What was it about it that they thought was so important that they had to have it in the package? Because I know, as angry as I am with the President right now about some matters, I know the President did not insist this be in the package. I know enough about him to know that he didn't call everybody in the room and say, Oh, we forgot something. Let's make sure this tax extension includes people making over \$1

million. I know he didn't give that speech. I want to know who did. Who did give it, because your constituents should know about it. And the American people have a right to know. That is one thing about our democracy, it is open. It could be more open. We could be like Britain where they all stand up and talk at one another in one of the rooms. It is very interesting. I find it very interesting to watch sometimes. We don't do that, but at least if the people of Britain want to know what their people are saying, they can hear them.

Somebody said this. I would like to know who, and where, and when. Was it in the Oval Office? Was it in the cloakroom? Because I am going to be forced to vote—because now, I think the Senator understands, we aren't going to have any amendments, so I am going to be forced to vote and have to choose, which is going to be a very tough choice, between extending tax cuts for 84 percent of the people in my State who make less than \$75,000—which of course I want to do. Even though we have to borrow the money to do it, we can't not do it. The economic circumstances are such that we have to do it. But now, in order to get them help, I have to say yes to something that I have talked about—and I want to be serious about this; I am very serious about it—that, for me, borders on moral recklessness.

I have been criticized on both sides of this debate. How can you use words like this? I don't know. I went to Catholic school. We went to mass almost every week. Every week the priest would say, Don't take more than you need. Don't be greedy. Share with others. Did I go to the wrong school? So I would like to know. Maybe those lessons were missed on the other side. I don't normally speak like this. I have been criticized for it. I am very, very torn, because I like to be part of a team.

I understand, I say to the Senator from Vermont, that we can't have every package exactly the way we believe. I understand that. I have had to vote for some things that were hard for me to stomach, and I have done it because there were other good things in the bill. That is the way the process works. But I actually cannot remember a time on either an appropriations bill of this magnitude or a tax bill of this magnitude that we have been asked to cast a vote for something that on its face is so reckless, so unnecessary, so sort of in your face to the poor, in your face to the middle class. We are going to take our money. Don't you say a word about it.

Who said that? Did Warren Buffett come down here and ask for it? Did Boone Pickens come down here and ask for it? Did the Gateses come down here and ask for it? Who asked for it? Why do you think you deserve it, and what Senator put their name on it?

I have a few more things to say. I don't want to keep the Senator from Vermont tied up.

Mr. SANDERS. Quite the contrary. The Senator from Louisiana is making some very important points. I appreciate it and I look forward to hearing what she has to say.

Ms. LANDRIEU. Thank you. I wish to say a few other things about this whole situation, because the Senator from Vermont and I agree on some things and parts of this—obviously this part—but we had a big difference. I wanted to show this from my perspective.

I voted for the original tax cuts. I am not sure the Senator from Vermont did. There were very good reasons on both sides. I wish to take a minute, because I have, as I said, critics on both sides, and I want to explain—not explain, but share some thoughts about that and make something very clear.

I was one of 12 Democrats—there are only 7 of us left—in the Chamber today who voted for the Bush tax cuts. We were for the middle class and the poor and the wealthy. Everybody got income tax relief, capital gains tax relief, dividend tax relief. Senator LINCOLN and I and others worked very hard to make sure that in that package—even though I would have designed it differently if I could have done it myself, but there are no czars around here. This is a democracy. I understand that. I have been doing it for 30 years. We worked hard to shape that package the best we could to direct it and target it to the middle class. There are many critics of that who say you didn't do it well enough. You didn't send it to the middle class. You sent it to the wealthy. I disagree. I think we did as well as we could to send it to the middle class, although the higher brackets were lowered as well. But I will tell my colleagues the big difference was, it was paid for when we voted for it. There was a \$128 billion annual surplus. In other words, we were spending \$128 billion less than we were taking in. What a happy time that was. We were paying for our Pell grants. We were paying for education. We were paying for health care. We had surpluses in Social Security, the Senator will remember, and we had a \$128 billion surplus that year alone, and surpluses as far as the eye can see. This is before 9/11.

So the 12 of us—let me speak just for myself—I thought, what a situation this is. Democrats had taken the tough vote. Not one Republican had voted for this budget reconciliation. As the Chair knows, as he was then in the House and took a tough vote with the Democrats to put us on that path, the middle class was expanding. Jobs were being created. We were creating millionaires. Yes, I love creating more millionaires. It is why I got into politics—one of the reasons. I like when people are successful. I love to hear

stories about my constituents who came from poor families, whose mothers were household servants, whose fathers never went to high school—I love to hear about smart little girls from Gert Town who got straight As in school, went down the street to Xavier University, got their premed degree, and then went on to become a doctor, and now they are millionaires. I don't decry that. I celebrate it. I have fought for them to get their scholarships—not individually but generally. It is what I do. It is what Senators and House Members do.

I am so mad at people saying to me, as a Democrat, that we don't like people who are rich; that we have something against them. Nothing could be further from the truth.

I love the book, "The Millionaire Next Door." It talks about how it is a myth that most millionaires in America have inherited their money. The fact is, we have created such a great country over 250 years. We have actually found the way for poor people to go from nothing to huge wealth and to create a life-changing opportunity for their children and grandchildren. We celebrate it, write movies about it, and our libraries are full of books about it. There is nothing wrong with that.

So when we had a surplus, I thought we should give tax breaks and use some of that money. But, today, we are being asked to provide tax cuts, when the deficit is—I want to get this number correct because it is shocking—10 times greater than the surplus; it is \$1.294 trillion. That is what the annual deficit is this year. When we did the tax cuts, we were generating a \$128 billion surplus every year—surpluses as far as the eye could see. We thought maybe we should give a third of this bounty in tax cuts, and we made investments in other things. But, today, after what the Senator from Vermont has described as the economic inequality in the country, when we have no surplus in sight, the biggest, largest, most ferocious recession since the Great Depression, and we are running an annual deficit of \$1.29 trillion—someone had the nerve on the other side of the aisle to say: Wait, before you close the deal, before you shut the door, before you stop the printing press, please put in the people in America who make over \$1 million.

Now, for that \$50 billion, there are lots of ways that we could save if we could correct this deal. I don't think we can. But if we could, as the Senator knows, do we have men and women in the military—does he know what their COLA will be this year? I think it is only 1.4 percent.

Mr. SANDERS. That is what my understanding is. I think a lot of the folks in the military are very upset about that.

Ms. LANDRIEU. Every person in uniform is only getting a COLA this year

of 1.4 percent. Did anybody over there not think about this when they raised their hand to say let's give it to millionaires? Those in the military most certainly deserve a bonus. They are coming back without eyes or legs; they are leaving some of their limbs in Iraq and Afghanistan. Did anybody over there think about that?

The senior citizens for whom the Senator has been such an advocate are not seeing the kind of COLA they normally get. Talk about stimulus, I think every dollar you give to a senior citizen—wouldn't the Senator say—gets spent right away. They have to buy food with it. They are not going out peering a yacht or an airplane they could or could not buy. They need to eat. They go to the corner drugstore; they need to get their medicine. They spend it. Yes, we give money to the poor on the Democratic side and the middle class because it is the right thing to do. It actually happens to be also the smart thing to do for the economy and for jobs.

So when people say the Senator has flip-flopped on taxes, I don't understand how to say it differently. I voted for tax cuts when we had a surplus. I am challenged about how to address this package—I most certainly want to extend it for the middle class and to extend help for the unemployment. People are unemployed not because they are lazy, for Heaven's sake. They are unemployed because there are no jobs for them. It is some of the longest term unemployment we have had in our Nation's history.

So the other side is making us feel—they say: We gave you the unemployment, so surely you should give us the tax breaks for millionaires. Is that really an equal trade? If somebody believes that actually—I have heard commentators say it on different networks. I have been on these news programs, and they say: You got the unemployment, so that is a fair trade.

If there is a Senator who thinks that, I would love them to say that next week. I think that would be great to have on the record. So this situation is what the Louisiana families in my State are facing. Obviously, I would like to provide tax relief for these families. We have less than 1.8 percent who are making over \$200,000. I am checking right now to find out how many families in Louisiana actually make over \$1 million. I was told it was 3,200. That number might be too high. The Senator from West Virginia told me that in his State it is 599 people who make over \$1 million a year. Yet it looks like that is the package.

We are going to be in a tough situation, without amendments, having to vote for it. I will see what my constituents are saying over the weekend. I want to say one more thing about this inequity and turn it back over to the Senator from Vermont. Besides the

other things that were put into the RECORD about the inequality, the challenges before our country right now, I came across some data, and I would like the Senator to be on the floor to listen to this.

Mr. SANDERS. I am not going anywhere. You can take as much time as you want.

Ms. LANDRIEU. I wasn't sure what his time was. I am chair of the Small Business Committee. I have many hearings, but I had one in the last 3 months and some of the testimony was startling to me. I wanted to share this with the Senator.

It is in the 2000 census data. Someone was testifying about why this recession was taking so long to get over. They were giving figures about the status of the economy and the wealth or incomes of broad sections of the population. They said sort of off the cuff—like, ho-hum, today is Monday.

They said: By the way, the average net worth, the median net worth of households in America, the average—median net worth—not income but net worth—of households is \$67,000. That is very interesting. I thought it would be higher than that. That is taking what you own minus everything you owe, and the difference is your net worth. I thought people might have more than that in terms of equity in their homes, a couple hundred thousand. That was concerning to me.

I said: Do you have that broken down by race, by any chance?

They said: Yes, ma'am.

I said: Would you share it? And they did. I will share it with you because I have not recovered from what I heard.

The gentlemen said to me: Well, for White families in America, the average median—50 percent more, 50 percent less—is \$87,000. For Hispanic families, it is \$8,000. For African-American families, it is \$5,000.

I want to repeat that. Fifty percent of all families in America who are Caucasian, their net worth is \$67,000 or less. For Hispanic families in America, 50 percent of all Hispanic households, their net worth is \$8,000. For African-American families today, in 2010—40 years after the peak of the civil rights movement and 150 years or so after the Civil War and all the things we think we have done to try to get people in a more equal position in our society—it is \$5,000. That is including home equity—or home ownership, I mean. Without home ownership, that net worth for African-American families falls to \$1,000.

So when people say people are in pain and suffering and anxious and they can't buy anything, you wonder why. There is no cushion in a recession like this. How brutal is a recession to people who have so little a cushion? For a middle-class family of any race, if you lose your job, you can get unemployment, you have some equity in your

home, or maybe you have some savings you can fall back on. There is a cushion, and you can bounce back up. How brutal is this recession to millions of families in America who have no cushion? They are just hitting hard rock. They are hitting steel. There is no cushion there. You wonder why people are angry. You wonder why this tea party movement is festering, why people are so angry. I understand that anger. I am so angry myself, I don't know what to do.

Mr. SANDERS. If I can interrupt my good friend, she is right. It is no great secret that her politics and mine aren't the same on many issues. She is down here speaking from her heart, coming from the State of Louisiana, which is not radically different from Vermont. We have a lot of struggling families.

I want to reiterate a point. She has been talking so effectively about the stress on the middle class and working families in her State and around the country. I want to reiterate this point. I am not here to pick on George W. Bush, but during his 8 years, the wealthiest 400 Americans—pretty high up guys; that “ain't” the middle class no matter how broadly you define that—their income more than doubled—got that—while their income tax rate dropped almost in half.

The wealthiest 400 Americans now earn an average of \$345 million a year and pay an effective tax rate of 16.6 percent, on average. That is the lowest tax rate for wealthy individuals on record.

So the point is, Senator LANDRIEU and I are talking about the people out in the real world who are working longer hours for lower wages. Median family income has declined. People are scared that for the first time in our modern history their kids will have a lower standard of living than they had.

Is the Senator hearing that in Louisiana?

Ms. LANDRIEU. I am.

Mr. SANDERS. Senator LANDRIEU is asking a simple question, and millions of people are asking the same question. The wealthiest people are becoming much richer, the middle class is declining, and poverty is increasing. Who decided? Who said billionaires need an extended tax break and a reduction in the estate tax? It is a very simple question she is asking. It is a very profound question because it speaks to what this country is all about. I didn't mean to interrupt.

Ms. LANDRIEU. I thank the Senator from Vermont. I commend to my colleagues this report entitled “Income Inequality and the Great Recession” from Senator SCHUMER and the Joint Economic Committee. I ask unanimous consent that the Executive Summary be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

INCOME INEQUALITY AND THE GREAT RECESSION

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This week, the U.S. Census Bureau will release new statistics on income inequality in the United States, allowing for an assessment of the impact of the Great Recession on our nation's income distribution. In preparation for that data release, the Joint Economic Committee (JEC) analyzed income inequality in the United States in the years preceding the Great Recession, and found:

Income inequality has skyrocketed. Economists concur that income inequality has risen dramatically over the past three decades.

Middle-class incomes stagnated under President Bush. During the recovery of the 1990s under President Clinton, middle-class incomes grew at a healthy pace. However, during the jobless recovery of the 2000s under President Bush, that trend reversed course. Middle-class incomes continued to fall well into the recovery, and never regained their 2001 high. The first year of the Great Recession dealt a sharp blow to middle-class families, who had not yet recovered from the pain of the last recession.

High levels of income inequality may precipitate economic crises. Peaks in income inequality preceded both the Great Depression and the Great Recession, suggesting that high levels of income inequality may destabilize the economy as a whole.

Income inequality may be part of the root cause of the Great Recession. Stagnant incomes for all but the wealthiest Americans meant an increased demand for credit, fueling the growth of an unsustainable credit bubble. Bank deregulation allowed financial institutions to create new exotic products in which the ever-richer rich could invest. The result was a bubble-based economy that came crashing down in late 2007.

Policymakers have a great deal of leverage in mitigating income inequality in order to stabilize the macro-economy. In the decades following the Great Depression, policy decisions helped keep income inequality low while allowing for continued economic growth. In contrast, policy decisions made during the economic expansion during the Bush administration failed to keep income inequality in check, and may have exacerbated the problem. Policymakers working to rebuild the economy in the wake of the Great Recession should heed these lessons and pay particular attention to policy options that mitigate economic inequality.

Ms. LANDRIEU. Mr. President, I want to go back to a point about this so that I am not misunderstood. I guess no matter what I say critics will take it and do what they will with it, but I am not against tax cuts. I voted for them many times in my life when we had surpluses. I have even been pressured to vote for things, and have done so, when we didn't have the surpluses, but they were targeted and focused and there actually had been some rational thought attached to where we might need to borrow some money and spend it, such as in the stimulus package, because in that instance, if we didn't get some spending going, we could slip further into a recession. Even conservative economists counseled us on parts of the stimulus package.

By the way, contrary to popular myth, that was about the same size as

this package. This package is actually larger. This package is going to be \$900 billion. The stimulus was \$800-something. It was less. But in that stimulus package about a third was tax cuts. Remember that, Mr. President? A third of that was tax cuts. It wasn't all just spending. But every economist—conservative, liberal—said the government has got to step up and spend in this economy because this place is shutting down—meaning the country—and so we did.

People will still argue on the other side that was the wrong thing to do and we shouldn't have done it. But I am here to say that without the \$2.8 billion in tax cuts and spending that went to Louisiana through that stimulus package—and my State legislature is struggling to balance the budget, as I speak; they have been in the budget committee over the past couple of weeks—I don't know where we would be today. I don't know how much went to Vermont or California or how much went to Colorado, but people say it was a failure. Well, let me say that \$2.8 billion went to our State and it warded off some Draconian cuts that our cities and counties and parishes would have had to make, and it warded off tax increases so that Governors didn't have to raise taxes and mayors didn't have to raise taxes all over this country. Some of them have done that, but they have tried to limit it because they know how fragile this middle class is.

I am not unmindful of the importance of providing tax cuts when we can. But when we are asked to vote on a package that has a provision such as this, that borders on moral recklessness, I have to catch my breath and ask: Whose idea was this? I wish to know.

It is going to be a long weekend. It will be a long 30 hours of debate. I am glad the Senator from Vermont is going to make sure we take every one of those 30 hours postcloture, if we even get to cloture on this bill, because I think the American people are going to be waiting around to find out whose idea was that.

Mr. SANDERS. If I can interrupt the Senator from Louisiana, because she makes a very important point, we are a democracy and it is the American people who make the decisions. I know she shares with me the belief that the American people have to become engaged in this very important debate, which has a lot to do with the future of this country.

Senator LANDRIEU asks a very simple question, which I would like—and I think the American people would like—an answer to: Whose brilliant idea was it—at a time when we have seen an explosion in income and wealth to the people on top, while their tax rates have already gone down—that we drive up the national debt and ask our kids to pay higher taxes to pay off that

debt in order to give tax breaks to people who don't need them? That is the question Senator LANDRIEU is asking. I think the American people need an answer to that, and my hope is that millions of Americans will start calling their Senators to ask that question.

Ms. LANDRIEU. Was it your idea? Whose idea was it?

Mr. SANDERS. Whose idea was it?

The irony here—and I think Senator LANDRIEU made this point as well—is that there are plenty of millionaires out there who say: I don't need it. I am more worried about the crumbling infrastructure or our kids out there than giving me a tax break I don't need. Thanks very much. That is what Warren Buffett has said. It is what Bill Gates has said. Ben Cohen of Ben & Jerry's has said it. Many millionaires have said it. We are giving some of these guys something they do not even want.

I want to thank Senator LANDRIEU very much, not only for her being here today—and please continue—but for raising these important issues.

Ms. LANDRIEU. One more point, and then I will turn this back over to the Senator.

I was on the Greta Van Susteren show last night. I have said Greta is always a tough interviewer, but she is fair, so I am happy to go on her program. And it was a tough interview. But we debated these things, and I think that is important. I think it is important to debate them here, on TV, and in townhall meetings. That is what democracy is all about. But she said to me: Senator, we are so frustrated. Nobody ever hears anybody say they want to cut spending, or they want to eliminate waste, fraud, and abuse. So let me concede this point. For me, I don't think we do talk enough about eliminating the waste, eliminating the fraud, and eliminating the abuse. I think we should spend more time, and I am going to commit myself to that, because I know the American people say: Every time we ask for a tax cut, you say we can't afford it. Why don't you cut some spending, et cetera.

Let me state that I voted for tax cuts. I am for tax cuts. I have even given tax cuts to people who do make higher than the \$75,000 or \$100,000 or \$250,000, when we had a surplus, when I thought it was the fiscally responsible thing to do. Other people can disagree, but this is the first time I am being asked to provide a tax cut for people earning over \$1 million with this kind of deficit.

But I will say this: I am going to commit myself to trying to find places we can cut. I support the Federal freeze. I support it in appropriations this year. Senator INOUE is taking down on the appropriations level \$8 billion below the President's budget, and if we need to go even further, perhaps we can. But we have to be careful

where we cut, and I ask people to be rational about this. Do you want to cut Pell grants? I looked at this the other day. I say to the Senator from Vermont, particularly, because of Claiborne Pell. When the Pell grant went into effect, it was a grant to help kids go to school. That is still what it does. But in the 1970s, the Pell grant paid 100 percent of the average 2-year college. It only pays 50 percent of that today. I think I remember it paid almost 60 percent of a 4-year public college. It only pays like 40 percent or less than that today because we have not kept up with it.

A program such as the Pell grant is a powerful tool to lift the middle class, or lift the poor out of poverty and expand the middle class. So when we cut programs, let's be careful to cut the waste, to cut the abuse, but let's not cut the heart out of what we are arguing for—effective tools to expand the middle class—or we will never get out of this recession. Because I promise you, the few thousand people in this country—or few tens of thousands, I don't know how many who make more than \$1 million a year—are not going to lift this country out of a recession. It is going to be the middle class. And if we don't help them get ahead, if we don't help them get training, this recession will go on for a long time.

Mr. SANDERS. I want to add the idea that when we think about cutting back on education—whether it is childcare, primary school, or college—we are simply cutting off our noses to spite our faces. The Senator is aware that where, at one time in this country, we used to lead the world in the number of our people who graduated college, we are now falling very significantly. How do you become a great economy if you don't have the scientists, the engineers, the teachers, the professionals out there, and many other countries around the world are having a higher percentage of their high school graduates going to college? That is something we have to address. Anyone who comes forward and says cut education is moving us in exactly the wrong direction.

Ms. LANDRIEU. Exactly. And I am for more accountability. If some of my colleagues on the other side think some of that money is being wasted or we are not getting our bang for the buck, don't come with an across-the-board cut to Pell grants, come with a plan to change it, saying these are the requirements for our universities: You have to graduate 65 percent of the kids who start or you have to have certain benchmarks before you can apply for these loans or for these grants.

This country is at a crossroads, and I know the President and his advisers understand the extraordinary challenges before this country. I hope the Members understand the economic danger, the minefield we are in here. We

can't make too many mistakes here. There is no cushion left. There is no surplus left. We are down to below bottom. So when we do big things such as this—and this is a big thing, this \$980 billion big package, it is almost \$1 trillion—we need to do it the best way we can do it. We can't do it recklessly or frivolously. We can't do it for ideology, for gosh almighty's sakes.

I wish we could have fought harder for a better package. I have not yet decided how I am going to vote, but I have said if I vote, I am not voting quietly. I may vote yes, I may vote no, but I will vote with a loud voice about what I am concerned about, what I believe my constituents are concerned about, and I will try my best to help them, to support them, and to make the best decisions we can next week. But this has been troubling me, and so I wanted to come to the floor and speak about it, and I thank the Senator from Vermont.

I yield the floor.

Mr. SANDERS. I thank Senator LANDRIEU very much for coming, and I think she knows that on many issues her views and mine are different, but on this issue, I believe we are speaking for the overwhelming majority of the people, not just of Louisiana and Vermont but all over this country, who cannot understand why we give tax breaks to billionaires to drive up the deficit and the national debt at a time when the deficit and the debt are so large. I want to thank Senator LANDRIEU very much for her very articulate and heartfelt statements. I appreciate that very much.

Mr. President, I was mentioning a moment ago the great contrast about what is happening in our economy between the people on top and everybody else. I indicated that the top 400 families during the Bush Presidency alone saw their income more than double, at the same time, by the way, as their income tax rates dropped almost in half. So that is what is going on for the people on top, who would make out extremely well under this agreement between the President and the Republican leadership.

But I also talked about what is going on with the middle class and working families of this country. If you can believe it—and this is quite amazing—since December of 1999—and this was in a Washington Post article in January—there has been a zero net job creation—a zero net job creation. Middle-income households made less in 2008, when adjusted for inflation, than they did in 1999, and that number is sure to have declined further in 2009.

What does that mean? It means that when you look at a 10-year period—and people work very hard—in many instances—actually, in the vast majority of instances—you will have both husbands and wives working and still not making enough money to pay the bills.

In fact, they have less money than they used to have.

When I was a kid growing up, the experience was that in the middle class one person—I know young people will not believe this, but it is true—years ago in the United States, before the great global economy, before robotics, before computers, one person could work 40 hours a week and earn enough money to pay the bills for the family. One person. Today, in Vermont and throughout this country, overwhelmingly you have husbands and wives both working. And in some instances they are working very long hours. But here is the rub: Today, a two-income family has less disposable income than a one-income family did 30 years ago because wages have not kept up with inflation, and because health care costs have soared, the cost of education has soared, housing has soared, and basic necessities have soared. This is a description of a country moving in the wrong direction.

Thirty years ago, a one-income family had more disposable income than a two-income family does today. And there are a lot of reasons for that. Maybe we will touch on them a little bit later. But one of them, in my view, has to do with our disastrous unfettered free trade policy, which has resulted in the shutdown of tens of thousands of factories in this country. Under President Bush alone, we lost some 48,000 factories. We went from 19 million manufacturing jobs to 12 million manufacturing jobs, and in many instances, those were good jobs.

Where did they go? Some shut down for a variety of reasons. But others shut down because we have trade laws that say you have to be a moron not to shut down in America because if you go to China, go to Vietnam, go to Mexico, go to a developing country, you pay workers there a fraction of what you are paying the workers in America. Why wouldn't you go? Then you bring your products right back into this country.

A couple weeks ago, my wife and I did some Christmas shopping. Frankly—we went to couple stores—it is very hard to find a product manufactured in the United States of America. You do not have to have a Ph.D. in economics to understand we are not going to have a strong economy unless we have a strong manufacturing capability, unless companies are reinvesting in Colorado or Vermont, creating good jobs here. You do not have an economic future when virtually everything you are buying is coming from China or another country.

We are not just talking about low-end products. These are not sneakers or a pair of pants. This is increasingly high-tech stuff. We are forfeiting our future as a great economic nation unless we rebuild our industrial base and unless we create millions and millions

of jobs producing the goods and the products we consume. We cannot continue to just purchase products from the rest of the world.

When we talk about the collapse of the middle class, it is important to also recognize the fact, as reported in USA Today last September, “the incomes of the young and middle-aged—especially men—have fallen off a cliff since 2000, leaving many age groups poorer than they were even in the 1970s.” The point being, for young workers, for example, when we had a manufacturing base in America in the 1940s, 1950s, 1960s, you could graduate high school and go out and get a job in a factory. Was it a glamorous job? No. Was it a hard job? Yes. Was it a dirty job? In some cases.

But if you worked in manufacturing, and especially if you had a union behind you, the likelihood is you earned wages to take your family into the middle class, you had decent health care coverage, and you might even have a strong pension. Where are all those jobs now? During the Bush years alone, we went from 19 million jobs in manufacturing to 12 million jobs, a horrendous loss of manufacturing jobs. If you are a kid today in Colorado or Vermont and you are not of a mind, for whatever reason, to go to college—30 or 40 years ago you could go out, get a job in factory, and make some money. Today, what are your options? You can get a minimum wage job at McDonald's or maybe at Walmart, where benefits are minimal or nonexistent. That is a significant transition of the American economy.

I wish to tell you something else, when we talk about manufacturing. It did not get a whole lot of publicity, but it is worth reporting. The good news is, we have recently seen—after the loss of many thousands of jobs in the automobile industry—we have seen the auto companies, Chrysler and others, starting to rehire. What I think has not been widely reported is, the wages of the new workers who are being hired are 50 percent of the wages of the older workers in the plant. You are going to have workers working side by side, where an older worker who has been there for years is making \$25, \$28 an hour, and right next to him a new hire is making \$14 an hour. If you understand that the automobile industry was perhaps the gold standard for manufacturing in America, what do you think is going to happen to the wages of blue-collar workers in the future?

If all you can get with a union behind you in automobile manufacturing is \$14 an hour today, what are you going to make in Colorado or in Vermont? Are you going to make \$10 an hour or \$11 an hour? Is that enough money on which to raise a family? Are you going to have any benefits? Unlikely.

That is what happens when your manufacturing base disappears and that, to a significant degree, in my

view, is a result of a disastrous trade policy. I have to tell you—and I think in hindsight most people agree I was right—when I was in the House and all the corporations in the world were telling us how great NAFTA would be, free trade with Mexico, I did not buy it. I was right. They would say: Oh, it is going to be even better. We will have free trade with China. Think about how large China is and all the American products they are going to buy over there to create all kinds of jobs in the United States. I never believed it for a moment.

I will tell you a story. I was in China a number of years ago and as part of a congressional delegation we went to visit Walmart in China. The Walmart store, amazingly enough, looked a lot like Walmart in America—different products, but it looked like the same style. You walk up and down the aisles and you see all these American products. I remember Wilson basketballs, Procter & Gamble soap products—different products there for the Chinese, but a lot of the products were American products. They looked pretty familiar.

I asked the guy who was there with us who was, I believe, the head of Walmart Asia—the guy in charge of all the Walmarts in Asia—I asked him a simple question: Tell me, how many of these American company products are actually manufactured in the United States?

He was a little bit sheepish and a little bit hesitant and he said: Well, about 1 percent. Obviously, what everybody knew, it is a lot cheaper for the American companies to set up plants in China, hire Chinese workers at 50 cents an hour, 75 cents an hour, whatever it is, and have them build the product for the Chinese markets than it is to pay American workers \$15 an hour, \$20 an hour, provide health insurance, deal with the union, deal with the environment. That is not a great revelation. I think anybody could have figured that one out. But the big money interests around here pushed it and Congress and President Clinton, at that time, signed it and we were off and running.

When we look at why the middle class is in the shape it is—and it is important to make sure everybody understands it because one of the things that happens in this world, it is human nature I suppose, is that people feel very guilty and responsible if they are not taking care of their families. Right now we know, with unemployment so high—this is not just cold statistics we are throwing out. These are people who not only were earning an income that supported their families, they had a sense of worth. Every human being wants to be productive. They want to produce something. They want to be part of something. They want to go to work, earn a paycheck, bring it home. You feel good about that.

Do you know what it does to somebody's sense of human worth when suddenly you are sitting home watching the TV, you can't go out and earn a living? It destroys people. People become alcoholic. People commit suicide. People have mental breakdowns because they are not utilizing their skills. They are no longer being a productive member of society. That is what unemployment is about.

I think one of the reasons unemployment is so high, one of the reasons the middle class is collapsing, has a lot to do with these disastrous trade policies. I have to tell you, as we have been talking about all day long, these policies, these tax breaks, all this stuff emanates from corporate leaders whose sense of responsibility is such that they want themselves to become richer, they want more and more profits for their company, but they could care less about the needs of the American people.

I remember there was one CEO of a large, one of our largest American corporations, and he said: When I look at the future of General Electric, I see China, China, China, and China. By the way, we ended up bailing out that particular corporation. He didn't look to China to get bailed out, he looked to the taxpayers of this country.

But the word has to get out to corporate America, they are going to have to start reinvesting in the United States of America. They are going to have to start building the products and the goods the American people need rather than run all over in search of cheap labor. That is an absolute imperative if we are going to turn this economy around.

According to a Boston Globe article published last year—let me quote what they say. Again, I am trying to document here what is happening to the working class of America because I do not want individual workers, somebody who may be hearing this on the TV, the radio, to say: It is my fault. There is something wrong with me because I can't go out and get a job.

You are not alone. The entire middle class is collapsing. Our economy is shedding millions and millions of jobs. I know there are people out there trying hard to find work, but that work is just not there. That is why we have to rebuild the economy and create jobs. This is what the Boston Globe said last year: "The recession has been more like a depression for blue-collar workers. . . ."

This is an important point to be made here. When we talk about the economy we kind of lump everybody together. That is wrong. The truth is right now in the economy people on top are doing very well. The unemployment rate for upper income people is very low. They are doing OK. That as opposed, as this Boston Globe article points out, to what is happening to

blue collar workers: "The recession has been more like a depression for blue-collar workers, who are losing jobs much more quickly than the nation as a whole. . . ."

This is the working class of America. " . . . the Nation's blue-collar industries have slashed one in six jobs since 2007. . . ." Let me repeat that. It is just astronomical, a fact.

. . . the nation's blue-collar industries [manufacturing] have slashed one in six jobs since 2007, compared with about one in 20 for all industries, leaving scores of the unemployed competing for the rare job opening in construction or manufacturing, with many unlikely to work in those fields again.

Never.

Up to 70 percent of up employed blue-collar workers have lost jobs permanently, meaning their old jobs won't be there when the economy recovers.

That is the Boston Globe, last year. When we talk about the economy, what we have to do is understand that blue-collar workers, middle-class, young workers are hurting very much. In the context, again, of the debate we are now having, the discussion of whether we should approve the agreement reached between the President and the Republicans on taxes, the idea of not significantly investing in our economy but, rather, giving tens of billions of dollars to the very rich in more tax breaks makes no sense to many of us.

When we talk about why people are angry, why people, when asked the question by pollsters: Do you think America is moving in the right direction, and overwhelmingly they think not, let me tell you why they think not. This is just during the Presidency of President Bush from 2001 through 2008. During that period alone—and by the way, the pain is certainly continuing right now. I do not mean to suggest otherwise. During those 8 years of Bush, over 8 million Americans slipped out of the middle class and into poverty. Today, nearly 40 million Americans are living in poverty; 7.8 million Americans lost their health insurance, and that is continuing.

A recent study came out and suggests that the uninsured now are about 50 million Americans. Fifty million Americans have no health insurance now. We hope health insurance reform will make a dent on that. I think it will. But as of today, without the major provisions of health care reform being implemented, 50 million Americans are without any health insurance.

During that period—and we have not talked about this a whole lot—there is another thing going on in the economy for the working class. Years and years ago, if you worked in a manufacturing plant, you had a union, you stood a reasonable chance of having a pension—a pension. During the Bush years, 3.2 million workers lost their pensions, and about half of American workers in the private sector have no pension cov-

erage whatsoever. The idea today of having a defined pension plan significantly paid for by your employer is going the way of the dinosaur. That is just not there anymore.

Workers are more and more dependent on Social Security, which has been there for 75 years, which we have to protect and demand that it will be there another 75 years because right now millions of workers are losing their pensions. I mean, I am throwing these statistics out, and the reason I am doing that is I want people to appreciate that if you are hurting now, stop being ashamed. It is not, yeah, we can all do better. Every one of us can do better. But you are in an economy which is contracting, especially for the middle class and working families.

According to an article in USA Today, from the year 2,000 to 2007, middle-class men—women have done better—middle-class men experienced an 11.2-percent drop in their incomes—a reduction of \$7,700 after adjusting for inflation. Middle-class women in this age group saw a 4.8-percent decline in their incomes as well. So they did pretty badly, but the men did even worse. So what we are seeing is an understanding of why people are angry and why people think this country is moving in the wrong direction.

I think most people understand that today our country is experiencing the worst economic crisis since the Great Depression of the 1930s. It is important to say that because, again, it is hard enough when you do not have a job, when you do not have income, when your dignity and self-respect are declining, but I don't want people to be banging their own heads against the wall blaming themselves for all of the problems. Something has gone on in the Nation as a whole. You are not in this alone. When we talk about working-class families all across the country seeing a decline in their income, it is not because people are lazy, it is not because people do not work hard, it is not because people are not trying to find jobs. What we have is an economy which is rotting in the middle, and we have to change the economy.

If there is anything we can say about the American people, we work hard. We, in fact, work longer hours than do the people of any other country, industrialized country, on Earth. We are not a lazy people. We are a hard-working people. If the jobs are there, people will take them. If people have to work 60 hours a week or 70 hours a week, that is what they will do. But we have to rebuild this economy. We do not need tax breaks for billionaires. We need to create jobs for the middle class of this country so that we can put people back to work.

Let me take a few minutes to discuss how we got to where we are today and, in my view, what policies we need to move this country forward to create the kinds of jobs we desperately need.

Let's take a quick look back to where we were in January of 2009—it seems like a long time ago but just a couple of years ago. That was the last month of the administration of President Bush. In that month, we lost over 700,000 jobs. That is an absolutely incredible number. In fact, during the last 6 months of the Bush Presidency, we lost over 3½ million jobs, all of which was caused by the greed and recklessness and illegal behavior on Wall Street.

Our gross domestic product, which is the total sum of all our economy produces, had gone down by nearly 7 percent during the fourth quarter of 2008. That was the biggest decline in more than a quarter century. Some \$5 trillion of America's wealth evaporated in a 12-week period, as the people in Vermont and all over this country saw the value of their homes, retirement savings, and stocks plummet.

I want to say just one word again about Wall Street greed because I think for a variety of reasons we just do not talk about it enough. What you had was a situation in which a small number of folks at the head of huge financial institutions, through their greed, through the development of very reckless policies, through illegal behavior, through pushing out financial instruments which turned out in some cases to be worthless—as a result of all of that, they plunged this country into the worst recession we have seen since the Great Depression—from January. That is at the end of the Bush Administration.

It is very important to understand that the Wall Street crisis took us over the wall in terms of precipitating the severe recession we are in, but we have to remember that during those 8 years, as I mentioned earlier, the middle class was also shrinking. So it was not: Oh my goodness, everything is going great. Then you got the Wall Street disaster, and now we are in the midst of a terrible recession. This trend of a middle-class collapse went on long before Bush—precipitated significantly during the Bush years, but it went on before as well, not just during the Bush years.

Over the 8-year period of President Bush, from 2001 to 2009, we lost 600,000 private sector jobs. We lost 600,000 private sector jobs, and only 1 million net new jobs were created, all of them in the government sector. So for my friends, my Republican colleagues, to tell us that we need more tax breaks for the very rich because that is going to create jobs—that is what trickle-down economics is all about—I would say to them: You had your chance. It failed. In case you don't know, losing 600,000 private sector jobs in 8 years is not good. That is very, very bad. That is an economic policy that has failed. We don't need to look at that movie again. We saw it. It stunk. It was a bad

movie. Bad economic policy. More tax breaks for the rich is not what our economy needs. In fact, what every economist will tell you is that is the least effective way to create jobs.

During the Bush era, median income dropped by nearly \$2,200. That means that a family in the middle, over an 8-year period, saw their income drop by \$2,200 during the 8 years of Bush.

I say all of these things just to tell you that we are not where we are today just because of the Wall Street crisis. That took us over the cliff. That made a very bad situation much, much worse. But it has been going on for a long time. It has gone on before Bush. It has gone on after Bush.

During the 8 years of Bush, over 8 million Americans slipped out of the middle class and into poverty. We don't talk about poverty in America anymore. We don't talk about the homelessness in America very much anymore. Trust me, it is there. It is three blocks away from where I am speaking right now, a very large homeless shelter. It is in small towns in Vermont where people tell me that for the first time they are seeing more and more families with kids needing emergency shelter because they can't afford housing. In Vermont, a lot of people have low-wage jobs making 10 bucks an hour, and it is hard to find a decent apartment or pay a mortgage on \$10 an hour. That is true certainly all over this country. Homelessness is going up.

During the Bush years, nearly 8 million Americans lost their health insurance. One of the issues I will talk about in a little while is health care. It is related to everything. We are the only country in the industrialized world that does not guarantee health care to all people as a right of citizenship. According to Harvard University, 45,000 Americans will die this year because they lack health insurance and are not getting to a doctor when they should.

During the Bush administration, 5 million manufacturing jobs disappeared, as companies shut down plants in the United States and moved to China, Mexico, Vietnam, and other low-wage countries. As I mentioned earlier, it is profoundly important to understand what is going on in America. In 2000, we had over 17,000 manufacturing jobs in this country. By 2008, we had less than 12,000. That is 17,000 to 12,000 in 8 years. That is the loss of 5 million manufacturing jobs—a 29-percent reduction—and the fewest number of manufacturing jobs since the beginning of World War II.

Under President Bush, our trade deficit with China more than tripled and the overall trade deficit nearly doubled.

Again, the point I am making now in the context of this agreement is that we need agreements now that do not give tax breaks to millionaires or billionaires, that do not lower the tax

rates or the estate tax, which is applicable only to the top three-tenths of 1 percent. We need an agreement that rebuilds our infrastructure, rebuilds our manufacturing base, and creates the millions of good-paying jobs the American people desperately want.

Again, I think the point has to be made—and I have to make it over and over—that when you look at the economy, it is one thing to say everybody is hurting. You know, sometimes that happens. A terrible hurricane comes through and knocks down everybody's home. Well, the hurricane that has hit America for the last 10, 20 years has not impacted everybody; it has impacted the working class, it has impacted the middle class. The people on top are doing better than they ever were. Our friends on Wall Street whose greed and illegal behavior caused this recession are now making more money than they ever did, after being bailed out by the middle class of this country.

During the Bush years, the wealthiest 400 Americans saw their incomes more than double. Do you really think that after seeing a doubling of their incomes under the Bush years, these people are in desperate need of another million-dollar-a-year tax break? In 2007, the 400 top income earners in this country made an average of \$345 million in 1 year. That is a pretty piece of change. That is the average, \$345 million. In terms of wealth, as opposed to income, the wealthiest 400 Americans saw an increase in their wealth of some \$400 billion during the Bush years. Imagine that. During an 8-year period, the top 400 wealthiest people each saw an increase, on average, of \$1 billion apiece. Together, these 400 families have a collective net of \$1.27 trillion. Does anybody in America really believe these guys need another tax break so that our kids and our grandchildren can pay more in taxes because the national debt has gone up? I do not think most Americans believe that. That is why, in my view, most Americans are not supporting this agreement.

Let me also say that when we look at what is going on around the rest of the world, what we have to appreciate is that in the United States today—again, this is not something we can be proud of; it is something we have to address—we have the most unequal distribution of wealth and income of any other country on Earth.

I remember talking not so long ago to somebody from Scandinavia. I think it was Finland. He was saying: Of course, we have rich people in our country, but there is a level at which they would become embarrassed.

America now has a situation where the CEOs of large corporations make 300 times more than their workers. In many other countries, everybody wants to be rich, but there is a limit. You can't become a billionaire stepping

over children sleeping on the street. That is not what this country is supposed to be about. Enough should be enough.

The top 1 percent today earns 23.5 percent of all income. In the 1970s, that number was 8 percent. In the 1990s, it was approximately 16 percent. Now it is 23.5 percent. So the people on top are getting a bigger and bigger chunk of all income. Furthermore, it is not only the top 1 percent, there are economists who ask: You think the top 1 percent are doing well? It is really the top one-tenth of 1 percent. If you can believe this, the top one-tenth of 1 percent—and I don't know how many people that is, you can do the arithmetic, 300 million into one-tenth of 1 percent—took in 11 percent of total income, according to the latest data. One-tenth of 1 percent earned 11 percent of all income in America.

In the 1970s, the top 1 percent only made something like 8 percent of total income. In the 1980s it rose to 10 to 14 percent. In the late 1990s, it was 15 percent to 19 percent. In 2005 it passed 21 percent. And in 2010, the top 1 percent receive 23 percent of all the income earned in this country.

People should be mindful of this fact: The last time that type of income disparity took place was in 1928. I think we all know what happened in 1929. That is the point Senator LANDRIEU was making a while back. What she understands, quite correctly, is if working people, the vast majority of the people, don't have the income to spend money to buy products and goods and services, we can't create the jobs. If all of the money or a big chunk of the money ends up with a few people on top, there is a limit to how many limousines you can have and how many homes you can have and how many yachts you can have. So when we hit a situation where so few have so much, it is not only a moral issue, it is also an economic issue.

A strong and growing middle class goes out, spends money, and creates jobs. Grossly unequal distribution of income and wealth creates more economic shrinkage and loss of jobs because people just don't have the disposable income to go out and buy and create jobs.

To add insult to injury in terms of this agreement negotiated by the President and Republicans, while the very wealthiest people became much wealthier and the deficit soared—and under President Bush the national debt almost doubled—what else happened? The tax rates for the very rich went down. The rich got richer; tax rates went down. This was a result not only of the tax breaks for the rich initiated during the Bush administration but also, quite frankly, tax policy that took place before President Bush. The result is that from 1992 to 2007, from the latest statistics we have, the effective

Federal tax rate—what people really pay—for the top 400 income earners was cut almost in half. So these cry babies, these multimillionaires and billionaires, these people who are making out like bandits, they are crying and crying and crying, but the effective tax rate for the top 400 income earners was cut almost in half from 1992 to 2007.

The point that needs to be made is, when is enough enough? That is the essence of what we are talking about. Greed, in my view, is like a sickness. It is like an addiction. We know people who are on heroin. They can't stop. They destroy their lives. They need more and more heroin. There are people who can't stop smoking. They have problems with nicotine. They get addicted to cigarettes. It costs them their health. People have problems with food. We all have our share of addictions. But I would hope that these people who are worth hundreds of millions of dollars will look around them and say: There is something more important in life than the richest people becoming richer when we have the highest rate of childhood poverty in the industrialized world. Maybe they will understand that they are Americans, part of a great nation which is in trouble today. Maybe they have to go back to the Bible, whatever they believe in, and understand there is virtue in sharing, in reaching out; that you can't get it all.

I think this is an issue we have to stay on and stay on and stay on. This greed, this reckless, uncontrollable greed is almost like a disease which is hurting this country terribly. How can anybody be proud to say they are a multimillionaire and are getting a huge tax break and one-quarter of the kids in this country are on food stamps? How can one be proud of that? I don't know.

It is not only income, it is wealth. The top 1 percent owns more wealth than the bottom 90 percent. During the Bush years, the wealthiest 400 Americans saw their wealth increase by some \$400 billion. How much is enough?

All of these things are related to the agreement the President and Republicans worked out because we are all concerned about the national debt and deficit. In terms of the Federal budget, when President Bush first took office, he inherited a \$236 billion surplus in 2001 and a projected 10-year surplus of \$5.6 trillion. That is what Senator LANDRIEU was discussing. But then some things happened. We all know that 9/11 was not his fault, but what happened is, we went to war in Afghanistan. We went to war in Iraq. The war in Iraq was the fault of President Bush, something I certainly did not support, nor do I think most Americans supported. The war in Iraq, by the time our last veteran is taken care of, will probably end up costing us something like \$3

trillion, adding enormously to our national debt.

So when we talk about Iraq, it is not only the terrible loss of life that our soldiers and the Iraqi people have experienced, let's not forget what it has done to the deficit and the national debt. We did not pay for the war in Iraq. We just put it on the credit card.

President Bush gave out \$700 billion in tax cuts for the wealthiest 1 percent of Americans. Where was the offset? There was none. He gave them tax breaks. That is it. It adds to the national debt.

The President and Republicans supported a \$400 billion Medicare Part D prescription drug program. I have always believed we need a strong prescription drug program for seniors. But the program that was passed was written by the pharmaceutical industry, written by the insurance companies, and is nowhere near as cost-effective as it could be. As the President undoubtedly knows, we are not even negotiating prescription drug prices with the drug companies, a great expense and great cost to the American people, where drug prices are now much more expensive under Medicare Part D than they are in terms of what the Veterans' Administration or the Department of Defense purchases. So we passed that, unpaid for. Great idea. Just another \$400 billion prescription drug program unpaid for.

Then we bailed out Wall Street. The original cost was \$700 billion. A lot of that has been paid back, but there is expense there as well.

So we add all these things together, normal governmental growth, and it turns out that the Bush administration turned a \$236-billion-a-year surplus into a \$1.3-trillion-a-year deficit. More or less, that is where we are right now. In fact, the national debt nearly doubled under President Bush, going from \$5.7 trillion to \$10.6 trillion in 2009 and now we are at \$13.7 trillion, borrowing huge sums of money from China and other countries in order to maintain our existence. That is where we are.

Have we been seeing in recent years some improvements in the economy? We sure have. There has been some job growth. Nowhere near enough. But we are surely not losing 700,000 jobs a year. We are seeing some growth. But we need to do much better.

That takes me back to an issue I feel strongly about and one on which I want to say a few words. In this agreement the President negotiated with Republicans, there is a substantial sum of money going into various types of business tax breaks. The theory, which has certainly some validity, is that these business tax breaks will create jobs. The problem is that right now, businesses, the large corporations at least, are sitting on a huge bundle of money already that they are not spending. The reason they are not investing that

money is they perceive that working families don't have the money to buy their products and services.

In saying this, I am not alone. I think most economists agree there is a far more effective way we can create jobs rather than just a number of tax breaks going to businesses. I touched on this point before. I want to get into a little bit more detail.

For this I am indebted to a very fine book written by an old friend of mine, Arianna Huffington. The title of her book is "Third World America." She used that word because basically the theme of her book is, if we do not get our act together in terms of infrastructure, in terms of education, in terms of health care, that is where we are headed. We are headed in the direction of being a Third World nation.

She has an interesting chapter which deals with one very important part of what is going on in America, and that is the crumbling of our infrastructure. She writes:

From 1980 to 2005, the miles traveled by automobiles increased 94 percent—for trucks mileage increased 105 percent—yet there was only a 3.5 percent increase in highway lane miles.

More and more cars, more and more traveling. We are not building roads.

But you don't need these numbers to know that our roads are badly congested.

Anybody who lives around Washington knows our roads are congested. It takes hours to get to work sometimes.

You see it and experience it every day.

According to the American Society of Civil Engineers infrastructure report card—and this is where we should be investing, not tax breaks for the rich—Americans spend 4.2 billion hours a year stuck in traffic. Think about that, 4.2 billion hours a year stuck in traffic, at a cost of \$78 billion a year. Think about all of the pollution, all of the greenhouse gas emissions, all of the frustration, all of the anxiety, all of the road rage. People are stuck on roads because our transportation system is totally inadequate, our roads, our public transportation.

She then makes another interesting point. When we talk about automobile accidents, what do we usually think? We think somebody is driving recklessly, maybe they are drunk. Those are serious issues. But she writes: In studying car crashes across the country, the Transportation Construction Coalition determined that badly maintained or managed roads are responsible for \$217 billion a year in car crashes, far more than headline-grabbing, alcohol-related accidents or speed-related pileups.

In other words, if you want to know why we are seeing automobile crashes, the issue of bad roads is even more significant than drunk drivers or people who are reckless drivers. I can remem-

ber—I think everybody has the same story—I was driving on a road in Vermont, and, whoops, there was a huge pothole, and the car went into it. It cost a few hundred dollars to repair the car. So we are spending as a nation billions of dollars repairing our cars because our roads are not in good shape. When there is a traffic jam, people are emitting all kinds of greenhouse gas emissions. You are wasting gas. You are wasting money. If we invested in our transportation system, we could go a long way to addressing that.

When we talk about transportation—and, by the way, again, I bring this issue up because, in the bill agreed to by the President and the Republican leadership, to the best of my knowledge, not one penny—not one penny—is going into infrastructure, which, to me, does not make any sense at all.

Again, Arianna Huffington writes:

America's railway system is speeding down the tracks in reverse. It is one of the technologies that has actually regressed over the past 80 years.

Regressed. I am not talking about China, where they are building all these high-speed rail lines. Our rail situation in terms of the amount of time it takes to go from location one to location two has actually gotten longer.

She writes:

Tom Vanderbilt of Slate.com—

Which is a very good Web site—

came across some pre-World War II train timetables and made a startling discovery. Many train rides in the 1930s, 40s, and 50s took less time than those journeys would take today.

Can you imagine that? In the 1930s, 1940s, and 1950s, people were able to get on a train and get to their destination in less time than is the case today.

For instance, in 1934, the Burlington Zephyr would get you from Chicago to Denver—

From Chicago to Denver—

in around 13 hours. The same trip takes 18 hours today.

I do not know if the Presiding Office is familiar with the Burlington Zephyr, which is a train that goes from Chicago to Denver, but what this writer is pointing out is that in 1934 it took 13 hours to make that trip. Do you know how long it takes today? It takes 18 hours. So we are moving in the wrong direction.

I know in Vermont—I do not have any statistics right in front of me but I can tell you—I believe very strongly—it takes longer to get from the southern part of the State to the northern part of the State than it used to, and the frequency of the trips is less than they used to be.

The trip from Chicago to Minneapolis via the Olympian Hiawatha, in the 1950s, took about 4½ hours. Today, via Amtrak's train, the journey takes more than 8 hours.

It used to be 4½. So in terms of our public transportation, not only are we neglecting, not only are we not moving

forward, we are actually moving backwards.

At the moment, the only high-speed train in the United States is Amtrak's Acela, which travels the Washington, New York, Boston line.

And she writes:

And I use the term "high-speed" very loosely. While in theory the trains have a peak speed of 150 miles per hour, the average speed on that train is just about 71 miles per hour.

Once again, I read some statistics before, pointing out that China is building thousands and thousands of miles of high-speed rail. And here in the United States we are moving backwards. It is taking us a longer time for various train rides than used to be the case.

But it is not just trains. It is not just our roads. It is not just our bridges.

Well, it is also our bridges. Let me say a word on bridges. I think we all remember 4 years ago, I think it was, the terrible tragedy in the Minneapolis area, when one of their major bridges collapsed and a number of people lost their lives. That got the front-page headlines all over this country. I know in Vermont we closed down bridges. They are not safe to travel.

According to the Department of Transportation, 1 in 4 of America's bridges is either structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. The numbers are even worse when it comes to bridges in urban areas, where 1 in 3 bridges is deficient—no small matter given the high levels of passengers and freight traffic in our Nation's cities.

So a huge amount of traffic—in urban areas one in three bridges is deficient, and in rural areas such as Vermont, one in four.

How are these bridges going to be rebuilt? It is likely not going to be done by local and State governments that right now are experiencing enormous economic crises. If it is going to be done, it is going to have to be done here at the Federal level.

I have to say that in Vermont we saw some significant improvements as a result of the stimulus package. In fact, in Vermont, recently, we have put more money in rebuilding our roads and bridges with very good success. I think the people of Vermont see the difference. In the last couple years, directly as a result of the stimulus package, we have made significant improvements on a number of bridges but nowhere near enough.

The point I want to make is that with our infrastructure collapsing, with the American Society of Civil Engineers suggesting we need to spend \$2.2 trillion in the next 5 years just to maintain where we are, we have an agreement before us which puts zero dollars in infrastructure. According to this book:

We need to invest \$850 billion over the next 50 years to get all of America's bridges into good shape.

Trust me, we are not coming anywhere near that right now.

But it is not just our roads. It is not just our public transportation. It is not just our bridges. When we talk about infrastructure, we also have to talk about dams.

On March 16, 2006, the Ka Loko Dam in Kilauea, Hawaii, collapsed, and seven people died when the Ka Loko Dam breached after weeks of heavy rain, sending 1.6 million tons of water downstream.

Dams are a vital part of America's infrastructure. They help provide for drinking, irrigation, and agriculture, and generate much needed power, and often offer protection from floods. Yet our dams are growing old. There are more than 85,000 dams in America, and the average age is 51 years. At the same time, more and more people are moving into developments located below dams that require significantly greater safety standards. But we have had a hard time keeping up with the increase in the so-called high-hazard dams. Indeed, we are falling further and further behind.

So the point here is, we have a major agreement. People are concerned about creating jobs. We are investing zero in our infrastructure, and dams are a very important part of our infrastructure, as are levees. And I suspect Senator LANDRIEU, who was here a little while ago, would have something to say about levees.

All right. So we are talking about an infrastructure which is collapsing. We are talking about China investing far more in terms of their GDP into infrastructure improvement than we are. We are talking about being in the midst of a major recession, where we desperately want to grow jobs, and yet this proposal does not add one cent into our infrastructure.

Now, again, I am going back to the very good book written by Arianna Huffington called "Third World America." She writes:

As bad as America's sewers, roads, bridges, dams, and water power systems are, they pale in comparison to the crisis we are facing in our schools. I am not talking about the physical state of our dilapidated public school buildings, although the National Education Association estimates that it would take \$322 billion to bring America's school buildings into good repair.

I have been in schools in Vermont and elsewhere which were old and crumbling, and I have been in schools which are new and state of the art. I think anyone who has seen the contrast in terms of the attitude of the students in those types of schools will understand it is important to give these kids good places in which to learn and to grow. It means a lot to them when they see a building that is new that has state-of-the-art equipment, as opposed to one that is crumbling. It suggests to them what we as a society feel about them.

Arianna Huffington writes:

Nothing is quickening our descent into Third World status faster than our resounding failure to properly educate our children. This failure has profound consequences for our future, both at home and as we look to compete with the rest of the world in the global economy.

She writes:

Historically, education has been the great equalizer.

That is certainly the case.

That has been the incredible virtue of our public school system—

What we have taken as kids, who spent—my father did not graduate from high school. My mother did. That was it.

—and given millions of young people the opportunity to get a good education in school and be able to go to college and use their potential. The springboard to the middle class and beyond has been education. It was a promise we made to all of our people.

What we as a nation said is, regardless of your income, we are going to provide you with the best possible education in order to succeed in life. That is something extraordinary, that no matter what your income is, we are going to provide you with a great education. As a kid, I went to public schools, and I did have a very good education.

But something has gone in recent years terribly wrong, and we have slipped further and further behind many other countries. Among 30 developed countries ranked by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development—

That is the OECD—

the United States ranked 25th in math and 21st in science.

So 25th in math, 21st in science.

Even the top 10 percent of the American students—our best and brightest—ranked only 24th in the world in math literacy.

There was another study, I think probably just a more updated OECD study, that came out just the other day, reported in the New York Times, where kids in Shanghai were leading the world in these types of tests as compared to our own students. They are studied. They have better schools, better teachers, more investments in their education. And there is a culture there. There is a culture. It is not fair to blame the kids.

Does anyone seriously believe in the United States of America we take intellectual development seriously? I was reading today—I do not remember the guy's name, who it was—a basketball player or a baseball player just signing a contract for untold tens of millions of dollars. Yet you have teachers starting off at \$30,000, \$32,000. Is anyone going to suggest in a serious way we reward people who become childcare workers or teachers?

We have childcare workers taking care of little kids—which may be the most important job in our society because there is the brain development that takes place between zero and 3 that has a large part to do with what a human being becomes—people leaving early childhood education in order to move up the economic ladder and get a job at McDonald's because pay is so low, benefits are so low. What are we doing as a nation?

She writes:

A National Assessment of Educational Progress report found that just 33 percent of U.S. fourth graders and 32 percent eighth graders were proficient in reading.

Et cetera, et cetera, et cetera.

So I think her point is that if we are not going to become a Third World nation, we have to start investing in this country—in our physical infrastructure, in our human infrastructure, and in our educational infrastructure.

Let me give you some examples of what this means in real terms. Today, unemployment in our country—the official unemployment rate is 9.8 percent. For those without a high school diploma, it is 15.6 percent, compared to 5.6 percent for college graduates. Mr. President, 67 percent of high school graduates do not have enough of the skills required for success in college and the 21st century workforce.

As many as 170,000 high school graduates each year are prepared to go on to college but cannot afford that. Let me repeat that. About 170,000 young people in this country, who graduate high school, who want to go to college, are unable to do it because they cannot afford it.

Are we nuts? What are we doing in wasting the extraordinary intellectual potential of all of these young people? What we are saying to them is because you don't have the money and because college is so expensive, and because our Federal Government is more busy giving tax breaks to billionaires and fighting two wars, we are not investing in you.

That makes no sense at all. When you invest in your kids, you are investing in the future of America. They are America. And if they are not well educated, how are they going to become productive members of society? How are we going to compete against China and Europe and other countries around the world that are investing in education?

Here is something we don't talk about enough: The fastest growing occupations are those that require higher levels of education and greater technical competency. So we have a problem—it is true in Vermont and it is true all over the country—which is we have jobs out there, good jobs, and those jobs cannot be filled because our young people don't have the job skills to fill them. How absurd is that?

I remember there was a piece in one of the papers, I think it was in Ohio, where after the worst of the recession, a lot of layoffs—I think it was Ohio—they were beginning to hire workers and these were for sophisticated, high-tech jobs. They brought workers in and brought them in and brought them in, and they couldn't come up with the number of workers they needed to fill the jobs they had. What does that say about our educational system?

Data from Alliance for Excellent Education, 2009: 1,800 Vermont dropouts cost the State \$459 million of lost

lifetime earnings for the State and \$19.4 million in health care costs. In other words, what everybody understands is if you don't invest in your young people, they are not going to become productive, tax-paying workers. As often as not, they will get involved in self-destructive activity—drugs, crime, whatever. They will end up in jail, and we end up spending tens of thousands of dollars keeping them in jail rather than seeing them out there as productive members of society contributing their fair share in taxes.

The Urban Institute says we can reduce child poverty—which I mentioned earlier is the highest in the industrialized world—by 35 percent if we provide childcare subsidies to families with income less than 50 percent of State median.

This is an issue I feel very strongly about. It is, to me, beyond comprehension that in Vermont and throughout this country, it is extremely difficult for working-class families to find affordable, good quality childcare. We are not back in the 1950s where daddy went to work and mommy stayed home taking care of the kids. Mom is at work as well. And we have families all over this country—middle-class, working-class families—saying, I cannot find quality childcare. We are uncomfortable leaving our 2-year-old or 3-year-old. We can't find childcare at a rate we can afford.

In this area, again, we are far behind many other countries around the world. Kids who do not get intellectually challenging early childhood education, kids who do not get the emotional support they need from zero to 3 to 4, will enter school already quite behind other kids. Then, 5 years later, 10 years later, they will be dropping out of school and they will be doing drugs and they will be ending up in jail at great expense. How long does it take us to understand that investing in our children, our youngest children, is enormously important for our country? It is a good investment. It is much better to invest in childcare than in keeping people locked up in jails.

Seventy-five percent of American youth who apply to the military are ineligible to serve because of low cognitive capacities, criminal records, or obesity. This is quite unbelievable. Now we are not only talking about not being able to compete internationally because we are not bringing forth the kind of educated people we need, because of the inadequacies of our schools and childcare and so forth—this almost becomes a national security issue, if you like. Seventy-five percent of American youth who apply to the military are ineligible to serve because of low cognitive capacities, criminal records, or obesity. It gives me no pride, no happiness, to bring forth these statistics. But as a nation, we are going to have to grasp these

things. Either we can ignore these things, either we can run away from reality, put our heads underneath the carpet, or we can say we are not going to allow America to become a Third World nation, that we are going to turn this country around.

But we are not going to turn the country around unless we rethink our priorities. One of our priorities cannot be more tax breaks for the richest people in this country.

From the 1960s to 2006, the United States fell from first to 18th out of 24 industrialized nations in high school graduation rates. What happens in today's economy if a kid does not graduate high school? If my memory is correct, about 30 percent of our kids—and I know these figures are fuzzy because it is hard to determine who is dropping out and who is not, but my understanding is about 30 percent of our kids drop out of high school. What happens to those kids? Where do they go? How many of them end up in jail? How many of them do drugs? As a nation, I think we can do a lot better than that. We should not have gone from first to 18th out of 24 industrialized nations in high school graduation rates. Dropouts are eight times more likely to be incarcerated. In other words, when kids fail in school, they are going to end up in jail—eight times more likely. Eighty-two percent of those in prison are high school dropouts.

I will tell my colleagues a funny experience. I was in Burlington last week. I met this fellow. He came up and was chatting with me. He said, I just got out of jail. What struck me is he was a well educated young man. He was very articulate. I suspect he had gone to college. What struck me is how rare that is, as the statistics aptly demonstrate. The people who end up in jail overwhelmingly are high school dropouts, people who don't have the education to make it in the world.

When we talk about the need to substantially increase funding for early childhood education, we should understand that State-funded, pre-K programs currently serve 24 percent of 4-year-olds and 4 percent of 3-year-olds. In other words, there are millions of families who would like to see their kids be able to access good quality childcare but can't find that in their States—again, in contrast to giving tax breaks to billionaires who don't need it and in some cases are not even asking for it. The younger the age of investment in human capital, the higher the rate of return on that investment. If society invests early enough, it can raise cognitive and socio-emotional levels and the health of disadvantaged kids. One doesn't need to be a psychologist to understand that. If kids get off to a good start in life, if they have the intellectual support, the intellectual development, and the emotional support, those kids are much more likely

to do well in school, much less likely to drop out, much less likely to be a burden on society, much less likely to end up in jail, much less likely to do drugs, et cetera. This is an investment we should be making.

I wish to get back for a moment to the agreement the President made with the Republican leadership and why I think it is a bad agreement and why I believe we can do much better. The way we are going to improve this agreement is when millions of people all over this country say, wait a second. Wait a second. This was an agreement reached behind closed doors. There are Members in the House and the Senate who didn't know about the agreement. What about the average American out there? I wonder how many people believe it makes a lot of sense, with a \$13.7 trillion national debt, to be giving huge tax breaks to the wealthiest people in this country?

I have to tell my colleagues, the calls in my office are coming 98, 99 percent to 1 against these agreements. People think we can do better and our job is to do better. The way we do better is when people all over this country stand up and say, Wait a minute, Congress. Your job is to represent the middle class, to represent our kids, and not to represent the wealthiest people in this country.

I mentioned earlier, I think certainly one of the major objections to this agreement is that it provides tens of billions of dollars to the wealthiest people in this country at a time when the rich are already doing phenomenally well and at a time when the wealthiest people have already experienced huge tax breaks. I think most people think that does not make sense. Let me give an example, not to pick on particular individuals—that is not my goal—but so we know this.

According to the Citizens for Tax Justice, if the Bush tax breaks for the top 2 percent are extended, these are some of the people who will benefit and what kind of benefits they will receive: Rupert Murdoch, the CEO of News Corporation, would receive a \$1.3 million tax break next year. Mr. Murdoch is a billionaire. Do we really think he needs that? Jamie Dimon, the head of JPMorgan Chase, whose bank got a \$29 billion bailout from the Federal Reserve, will receive a \$1.1 million tax break. Trust me, Jamie Dimon, the head of JPMorgan Chase, is doing just fine. Vikram Pandit, the CEO of Citigroup, the bank that got a \$50 billion bailout, would receive \$785,000 in tax breaks. Ken Lewis, the former CEO of Bank of America—a bank that got a \$45 billion bailout—the guy is already fabulously wealthy—would receive a \$713,000 tax break. The CEO of Wells Fargo—these are the largest banks in America; the CEOs of these banks are already making huge compensation. John Stumpf, who is the CEO of Wells

Fargo, would receive a \$318,000 tax break every single year. The CEO of Morgan Stanley, John Mack, whose bank got a \$10 billion bailout, would receive a \$926,000 a year tax break. The CEO of Aetna, Ronald Williams, would receive a tax break worth \$875,000.

I contrast that, as I did earlier, to the fact that 2 days ago, the Presiding Officer and I and a total of 53 Members of the Senate said, You know, maybe we should provide a \$250 check this year to seniors on Social Security and to disabled vets because they haven't gotten a COLA for 2 years—a \$250 check. People making \$14,000, \$15,000 a year desperately need a little bit of help. We couldn't get one Republican vote. But when it comes to the CEO of a major bank who is already a multimillionaire—we are talking about \$6 million, \$7 million, \$8 million a year in tax breaks—that is not what we should be doing as a nation.

Furthermore, I know President Obama and others have said, Well, let's not worry, because these tax breaks are just temporary—just temporary. They are only going to be given for 2 years. I have been in Washington long enough to know that when you give a temporary tax break for 2 years, you are, in fact, giving a long-term tax break or maybe even a permanent tax break. Because 2 years from now, the exact same arguments will be made: if you do away with those tax breaks for the rich, you are raising taxes. Do you want to raise taxes, a terrible thing to do? That same argument will be made. But there is one difference. The difference is that when President Obama ran for President and since he has been President, he has time and time again come out against those tax breaks. He does not believe in them. I believe him, and I know that he doesn't. But if he is the Democratic candidate for President and he says: Reelect me to be President because in the future I am going to really get rid of these tax breaks, I am afraid his credibility is not very high because that is what he said last time. I guess there is a limit as to how many times you can cry wolf.

(Mr. LEVIN assumed the Chair.)

Mr. SANDERS. If these tax breaks for the wealthiest people are extended for 2 years, there is a strong likelihood they will be extended for many years beyond those 2 and perhaps even permanently. That brings us back to the Bush-era nonsense of believing that tax breaks for the rich and trickle-down economics are going to help the middle-class and working families of this country.

While the personal income tax issue and extending them for the top 2 percent has received a lot of national attention, what has not gotten a whole lot of discussion is that that is not the only unfair and absurd tax proposal out there. The agreement struck between the President and the Republican lead-

ership continues the Bush era 15 percent tax rate on capital gains and dividends, meaning those people who make their living off of their investments will continue to pay a substantially lower tax rate than firemen, teachers, and nurses. So if you are a wealthy person and you earn money from dividends—I believe the overwhelming majority of those capital gains accrue to the top 1 percent—you are going to be paying a tax on that income of 15 percent, which is less than you pay if you are a fireman, a police officer, a teacher, or a nurse. So what we are doing there is extending not only the personal income tax breaks for the very rich but a host of other taxes as well.

On top of all of that—and I know many of my colleagues have picked up on this and are extremely upset, and I think that is one of the reasons the Democrats in the House just yesterday said they don't want to bring this proposal to the floor for a vote—this agreement includes a horrendous proposal regarding the estate tax.

The estate tax is a proposal Teddy Roosevelt talked about in the year 1906. It was eventually enacted in 1916. Here is what Teddy Roosevelt said about this issue in August of 1906—and it is worth repeating this because what the proposal struck between the President and the Republican leadership does is lower the estate tax substantially. Here is what Teddy Roosevelt said in 1906:

The absence of effective State, and, especially, national, restraint upon unfair money-getting has tended to create a small class of enormously wealthy and economically powerful men, whose chief object is to hold and increase their power. . . .

This is Teddy Roosevelt, who by then had served as President of the United States.

He continued:

No man should receive a dollar unless that dollar has been fairly earned. Every dollar received should represent a dollar's worth of service rendered—not gambling in stocks, but service rendered.

This guy was pretty prophetic back in 1910.

He continues:

The really big fortune, the swollen fortune, by the mere fact of its size acquires qualities which differentiated in kind as well as in degree from what is passed by men of relatively small means. Therefore, I believe in a graduated inheritance tax on big fortunes properly safeguarded against evasion and increasing rapidly in amount with the size of the estate.

Wow, Teddy Roosevelt hit the nail on the head. That was 100 years ago. He was worried that a small group of people with incredible money would be able to pass that money on, and what you would create would be an oligarchic form of government with a small number of people not just holding economic power but significant political power.

It is ironic that, right now, as a result of the disastrous Citizens United

decision, what Roosevelt foretold, predicted, is exactly what is happening. You have a handful of billionaires now sitting around deciding how much of their fortune they are going to invest in political campaigns all over this country to defeat people like me who are opposed to their agenda and support other people who are in agreement with it. That is what Roosevelt talked about. That is exactly what is happening.

So what we are looking at in this proposal is a situation where the estate tax rate, which was 55 percent under President Clinton, will decline to 35 percent, with an exemption on the first \$5 million of an individual's estate, \$10 million per couple. Here is the important point that has to be made. I think a lot of people don't understand this. Certainly, our Republican friends have done a very good job in distorting reality on this one. There are millions of Americans who believe that when they die, their children will have to pay an estate tax. That is absolutely and categorically incorrect. As this chart shows, only a tiny fraction of estates from deaths in 2009 owed any estate tax. That number is about .24 percent. Less than three-tenths of 1 percent of American families paid any tax on the estates they were left. So 99.7 percent of American families did not pay one cent in estate taxes. That is the simple truth. The so-called death tax our Republican friends talk about a whole lot is the estate tax, and 99.7 percent of families don't pay a nickel on it. The people who do pay are not the rich; they are the very, very rich.

Let me give you one example of the absurdity of lowering the tax rate or, even worse, ending the estate tax, as some of our Republican colleagues would like to do. Here is this chart. One example of what—well, this agreement doesn't do that; it lowers the rates. If they were to wipe it out completely, as Republicans want to do, Walmart owners, Sam Walton's family, the heirs to the Walmart fortune, which is worth—well, it may be more or less now, but it is about \$86 billion. One family is worth \$86 billion. They are doing pretty good. If we abolish the estate tax, as our Republican friends would have us do, the Walton family alone would receive an estimated \$32.7 billion tax break, if the estate tax was completely repealed—one family, \$32.7 billion. This is patently insane. This is insane. Insane.

We have the highest rate of childhood poverty in the industrialized world. We have massive unemployment. I am trying to get seniors—50-plus million people—a \$250 check, by the way, because we have not seen a COLA for the last 2 years for seniors and disabled vets. That would cost, in 1 year, about \$14 billion. The Walton family itself would get more than double in a tax break what some of us are fighting for for

over 50 million seniors and disabled vets. We can't afford to give \$14 billion to help some of the people in this country who are struggling the hardest. We cannot do that, but somehow we can afford to give \$32.7 billion in tax breaks to one of the richest families in this country. If that makes sense to anybody, please call my office. It doesn't make sense to me, and I don't think it makes sense to the vast majority of the American people.

Under this agreement, the estate tax rate, which was 55 percent under President Clinton, will decline to 35 percent, with an exemption on the first \$5 million of an individual's estate, \$10 million for couples. Let's remember again that this tax applies only to the top three-tenths of 1 percent of the families in this country. This is not just a tax break for the rich; it is a tax break for the very, very rich.

Again, this agreement says we are only going to extend this for 2 years. Well, frankly, I doubt that very much. I suspect that 2 years from now the same argument will be made. They will be extending it. Frankly, our Republican colleagues, representing the richest people in the world, are hell-bent on abolishing the estate tax completely.

Those are some of the reasons I think we should be voting against this agreement.

Third—and this is an issue I have been talking about, and I am happy to hear there is more discussion about this in the last few days—is the so-called payroll tax holiday. What that is about is that this would cut \$120 billion in Social Security payroll tax for workers. On the surface, this sounds like a very good idea because the worker, instead of paying 6.2 percent into Social Security, pays 4.2 percent. If you think about it for 2 seconds, you really understand that it is not a good idea because this is money being diverted from the Social Security trust fund.

Social Security, in my view, has been the most successful Federal program in perhaps the history of our country. In the last 75 years, whether in good or bad times, Social Security has paid out every nickel owed to every eligible American. Today, Social Security has a \$2.6 trillion surplus. Today, Social Security can pay out benefits for the next 29 years. Our goal, and what we must do, is make sure we extend it beyond 29 years, for the next 75 years. Well, if we divert \$120 billion from the Social Security trust fund and give it to workers today, what you are doing is cutting back the viability—the long-term viability—of Social Security.

That is not just Bernie Sanders raising this issue. There are many people representing millions of senior citizens who are deeply concerned about this proposal—this provision in the agreement between the President and the Republican leadership.

The National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare is one of the very largest senior groups in America. They do a very good job. We have many seniors in Vermont who are members of this organization. Their job is to do what the title of the organization suggests, which is to preserve Social Security and Medicare. Just the other day, they sent out a news release, and the title of the news release was "Cutting Contributions to Social Security Signals the Beginning of the End; Payroll Tax Holiday is Anything But."

Let me quote from Barbara Kennelly, a former member of Congress, who is the president and CEO of the National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare.

Even though Social Security contributed nothing to the current economic crisis, it has been bartered in a deal that provides deficit-busting tax cuts for the wealthy. Diverting \$120 billion in Social Security contributions for a so-called tax holiday may sound like a good deal for workers now, but it is bad business for the program that a majority of middle-class seniors will rely upon in the future.

That, again, is a quote from Barbara Kennelly, President and CEO of the National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare.

Mr. President, I think many of us should understand where this concept originated. This is not a progressive idea. This is an idea that came from Republicans and conservatives who want to end Social Security. I want to read an interesting quote from a gentleman named Bruce Bartlett. Mr. Bartlett was a former top adviser to Presidents Reagan and George H.W. Bush. This is what he wrote in opposition to this payroll tax cut.

What are the odds that Republicans will ever allow this 1-year tax holiday to expire? They wrote the Bush tax cuts with explicit expiration dates. Then, when it came time for the law they wrote to take effect, exactly as they wrote it, they said any failure to extend them permanently would constitute the biggest tax increase in history.

So what Mr. Bartlett is saying—and I will go back to his quote in a second—is what we all know to be true; that around here, in Congress, if you provide a tax break for 1 year—in this case a payroll tax holiday—a year from now, if you restore the old rates—which are 6.2 percent—our Republican friends are going to say Democrats are raising your taxes. It "ain't" gonna happen.

This 1-year extension could well become a permanent extension, and if it becomes a permanent extension, you are diverting a huge amount of money from Social Security and you are weakening the entire financial structure of Social Security in this country, which I expect is exactly what some would like to do.

President Obama says: Well, not to worry. It is only 1 year. Don't worry, that 1 year is going to be covered by the Federal Government.

So for the very first time, out of the Treasury Department, money is going to come into Social Security, which has always been 100 percent dependent, as it should be, on payroll taxes. For the first time, we are breaking that. Around here you do it once and it is going to continue.

Barbara Kennelly, the President of the National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare, says:

Cutting these contributions to Social Security signals the beginning of the end.

So we should be very, very, very mindful of that. We should not support this payroll tax. It is one of the more dangerous provisions in this agreement.

But let me get back now, if I might, to what Bruce Bartlett—a former top adviser for Presidents Reagan and George H.W. Bush—recently wrote:

If allowing the Bush tax cuts to expire is the biggest tax increase in history—one that Republicans claim would decimate a still fragile economy—then surely the expiration of a payroll tax holiday would also constitute a massive tax increase on the working people of America. Republicans would prefer to destroy Social Security's finances or permanently fund it with general revenues than allow a once-suspended payroll tax to be reimposed. Arch Social Security hater Peter Ferrara once told me that funding it with general revenues was part of his plan to destroy it by converting Social Security into a welfare program rather than an earned benefit.

Once again, that is a quote from Bruce Bartlett, a former adviser to President Reagan and the first President Bush. So what he is saying is—and this is maybe one of the sleeping issues in this agreement between the President and the Republican leadership—we may be taking a huge step forward in destroying the most important program in this country—which is Social Security—by diverting now \$120 billion, and in the future hundreds and hundreds of billions of dollars from this program so that, in fact, it will not be there for our kids and our grandchildren.

Mr. President, the fourth point I want to make in opposition to this agreement—and one that I have made before and read a little bit about—is that while some of the business taxes in this agreement may work to create jobs, some of them won't. The more important point is that economists on both ends of the political spectrum believe the better way to spur the economy and to create the millions and millions of jobs we must create is to rebuild our crumbling infrastructure.

Just a few minutes ago I read excerpts from a very good book by a friend of mine, Arianna Huffington, entitled "Third World America." The purpose of her book was to give us a warning that if we as a nation do not get our act together in a variety of ways, including our physical infrastructure, we are headed down the pike to be a Third World nation.

According to the American Society of Civil Engineers, we as a nation need to spend \$2.2 trillion in the next 5 years alone in order to take care of our infrastructure needs.

Unfortunately, this agreement, signed by the President and the Republicans, doesn't put one penny into infrastructure. So if we are serious about creating jobs, if we are serious about making sure our economy can be competitive in the global economy, we have to be watching what other countries are doing, and they are investing far more than we are.

The stimulus package, by the way, will help us very much in Vermont in this area. Right now, if you were to drive around the State of Vermont—and I think in many other places in this country—and you took out your cell phone, you would find it very hard to make calls in a number of areas of the State. A few months ago, I was literally a mile and a half away from our State capital in Montpelier, near Northfield, VT. I could not make a telephone call with my cell phone. That is true in many parts of Vermont and in many areas of America. We are lagging behind many other countries in terms of the accessibility of cell phone service and broadband—and broadband.

So I am happy to say that in Vermont we received a very generous grant through the stimulus package that will help us, and other States did the same. But those are areas where we have to invest. You have to invest in broadband and make sure cell phone service is available in rural America—all over America. I talked a moment ago about our train services. There are train services today which are worse than they were 30 or 40 years ago. It takes longer to get from destination A to destination B. China is investing huge sums of money building high-speed rail at a rate that we could not even dream about.

So while in this agreement we do have money for business tax cuts, I do not think that is the best way to invest taxpayer money if we are serious about creating the jobs that we need. Corporate America already is sitting on close to \$2 trillion cash on hand. I don't know that more tax breaks are going to help them very much. I think that it is a lot smarter—and I think most economists agree with me—to be investing in our infrastructure, both to create jobs now and to improve our competitiveness in years to come.

Further, Mr. President, I want to say a word on this—I mentioned this earlier today: President Obama talks about this being a compromise agreement; you can't get everything you want. I certainly understand that. But one of the aspects of the compromise he points to is an extension of unemployment benefits for 13 months. Well, let me be very clear. I think at a time when 2 million of our fellow Americans

are about to lose their unemployment, at a time when unemployment is extraordinarily high—long-term unemployment is, I think, higher than at any point on record, with people looking for work month after month after month and not finding it—it would be morally unacceptable if this country did not extend unemployment benefits for those workers for 13 months. Yet the President sees this as a great sign of compromise.

I would argue the contrary. I would suggest to you that for the past 40 years, under both Democratic and Republican administrations and under Democratic and Republican leadership in the Senate or in the House, whenever the unemployment rate has been above 7.2 percent, unemployment insurance has always been extended. In other words, this has been a bipartisan policy for 40 years. I don't want to see us seeing and accepting as a really great give on the part of Republicans—something that they are giving us as part of a compromise—when it has been bipartisan policy for 40 years under Democratic and Republican leadership. So I don't accept this as a great gift. I think the American people understand you don't turn your backs on unemployed workers—people who have been unemployed for long periods of time. You don't allow those people to lose their homes. You don't force these people out into the streets. You don't take away what shreds of dignity they have remaining. That is not what you do. That has always been Republican philosophy as well as Democratic philosophy. This is not a great gift. So I do not accept this is a compromise.

Let me be very clear. As I said earlier, I do believe there are positive parts of this agreement that must be maintained as we move forward toward a better agreement. Let me cite some of them that make a lot of sense to me and that I believe we have to retain and build on.

The obvious one, in addition to extending unemployment benefits, is we have to extend middle-class tax cuts for 98 percent of Americans. As I have been documenting over and over again today, we are looking at a situation where the middle class in this country is collapsing. Under President Bush, the median family income went down by \$2,200. People are losing their health care. It would be asinine, it would be unacceptable if the middle class did not continue to receive the tax breaks that were developed in 2001 and 2003. That, to a large degree, is what this fight is about. We have to extend those tax breaks to the middle class but not tax breaks to the millionaires and billionaires.

Further, there are some other good provisions in this agreement—the earned-income tax credit for working Americans and the child and college tax credits—and they are very impor-

tant. They will keep millions of our fellow Americans from slipping out of the middle class and into poverty, and they will allow millions of our fellow citizens to send their kids to college.

I just talked a moment ago about the fact that we have over 100,000 families in this country where kids graduate high school wanting to go to college but can't afford to do so. This proposal will help them do that, and that is right.

But despite the fact there are some good and important provisions in this proposal, when we look at the overall package, when we look at a \$13.7 trillion national debt and a declining middle class, I think what we have to say is this package just doesn't do it. It is just not good enough.

The President says he knows how to count votes. I understand that. He says: Well, you had a couple of votes here to make sure that we would not give tax breaks to millionaires. And the President has been very clear he does not want to do that. I understand that. But he says: What choice do I have?

I think the answer is that we have to fight this issue. In my view, the solution ultimately will not be resolved inside the beltway, in the Senate or in the House. It will be resolved when millions of Americans get on their telephones, get on their computers, and let their Senators and their Members of the House of Representatives know they are profoundly outraged that at a time when the rich never had it so good, and when we have a huge national debt, this agreement contains huge tax breaks for those people who don't need it. That is how we defeat this.

I am not sure that all alone here in the debate I am going to turn any of my Republican or even some Democratic colleagues around. But I do believe that, if people all over this country stand up and say: Wait a minute, how much do the richest people in this country want? I just documented a few moments ago that the top 400 wealthiest people in this country saw a doubling of their income under President Bush—a doubling of their income—and tax rates went down. When is enough enough? How much do they need?

I think and I would hope, by the way, that this is certainly not just a progressive issue. I am a progressive. This is a conservative issue. Year after year, I have heard our conservative friends telling us: My goodness, we just cannot continue to raise the national debt; we have to do something about this unsustainable deficit. This agreement grows, increases the national debt. What kind of honest conservative can vote to increase the national debt? And if they do, please, no more lectures here on the floor of the Senate. Your hypocrisy will be known to everybody. Don't tell us you are concerned about

the national debt and give tax breaks to billionaires and raise the national debt so our kids and grandchildren in the middle class will have to pay higher taxes in order to pay off the debt that was caused by you giving tax breaks to millionaires. Please, no more lectures on that issue. Just say: OK, rich people contributed to my campaign; I have to do what they want. That will be honest. Please, no more lectures about your concern about the national debt.

Again, I want to reiterate this point. Everybody says: Don't worry, these are only 2 years. These are not, in my view, 2 years. If you do them for 2 years, the same old argument will be back 2 years from now, and we will be in the midst of a Presidential election. What our Republican friends will say, as sure as I am standing here—and I am glad we have a gentleman putting this in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD. I want people to go back to the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD. I am sure I will be proven right that 2 years from now our Republican friends will come back and they will say: Oh, my word, if you repeal these tax breaks, you are going to be raising taxes. We can't do that.

What will make the situation even more difficult 2 years from now than today is you have President Obama—if he is the Democratic candidate 2 years from now, he will say: I don't believe in these tax breaks for the rich, and I will do my best to repeal them. But his credibility has been damaged because that is what he said in the last campaign. That is what he has been saying all along. The President does not believe in extending these tax breaks for the wealthy. I know that. Everybody knows that. But if he caves in now, who is going to believe he is not going to do the same thing 2 years from now? That is the damage.

Then I think what is even more troublesome is that once we move down the path of more tax breaks for the very wealthy, we are accepting the heart and soul of trickle-down economics, which has been, to my mind, a proven disaster, a failure. I remind the listeners and my colleagues that these tax breaks have been in existence since 2001. They were in existence throughout almost all of President Bush's tenure. The end result was that we lost 600,000 private sector jobs—lost 600,000 private sector jobs, the worst job performance record maybe in the history of this country. Trickle-down economics does not work.

Giving tax breaks to billionaires does not stimulate the economy. Helping working families and the middle class get decent jobs and tax breaks for people who need the money and are going to spend the money is what creates jobs, not giving tax breaks to billionaires who do not need it and who are not going to spend it.

Again, the point I want to make here is that if people think, oh, this is just

temporary, this is just 2 years, I believe they are kidding themselves. I believe that 2 years from now, the debate will be about extending them or perhaps even making them permanent.

At a time, as I mentioned earlier, where the top 1 percent has seen a huge increase in the percentage of income they earn in this country—going from 8 percent in the 1970s to 23.5 percent now—and where the top 1 percent now earns more income than the bottom 50 percent, it is totally absurd to be giving tax breaks to people who do not need them, and it is not good economics, as well.

Here is the other irony, as I also mentioned earlier—I guess by this time, I am going to be doing a little repetition here. But as I mentioned earlier, you have a number of millionaires and some of the richest people in this country who will benefit from these tax breaks. Do you know what Warren Buffett is saying? Do you know what Bill Gates is saying? Do you know what Ben Cohen from Ben & Jerry's is saying? Do you know what many other wealthy people are saying? Hey, thanks very much; I don't need it. It is more important that you invest in our children. It is more important that we protect working families. We are doing just fine, thanks. Our incomes have soared, our tax rates have gone down, and we don't need it. In other words, we have this absurd situation that not only is this bad public policy, we are actually forcing tax breaks on people who don't need them and don't even want them. The richest people in this country—Bill Gates, Warren Buffett—we don't want it.

Here is something else. Here is something else that needs to be understood. What the Republicans are doing in this agreement is driving up the national debt. You may think that is not what the Republicans really believe in. They are supposed to be conservatives. They don't want a high national debt. Why would they be giving tax breaks to the rich and driving up the national debt? There is a rationale. These guys are not dumb, and I think they know what they are doing. Here is what the argument is. If you drive up the national debt and the deficit, you then come back to the floor of the Senate and you say: You know what, this national debt and deficit is unsustainable. The only way we can deal with it now is by cutting, cutting, cutting. We are already beginning to hear how some of those thoughts are going to develop.

There was, as you know, a deficit reduction commission appointed by the President. When I heard who was going to be chairing that commission and cochairing it—Alan Simpson, a very nice gentleman but a very conservative gentleman who has attacked Social Security for a very long period of time, and Erskine Bowles, a conservative

Democrat—I had serious doubts about what was going to come out of that commission. The good news is, they needed 14 votes to pass their recommendations and they didn't get the 14. But a lot of the ideas that Senator Simpson and Mr. Bowles developed are going to be filtering around this institution.

What the Republicans will say is that when you have a huge debt—which they helped create—we are going to have to cut. What are we going to have to do? As you recall, that deficit reduction commission recommended a savage cut—over 20 percent—in Social Security benefits for young workers—major cuts. There was talk about raising the Social Security age up to, I think, 69. They are talking about cuts in Medicare, cuts in Medicaid, cuts in education.

Right now—I think I have documented it a dozen times—it is a horrendous situation when so many of our young people cannot afford to go to college, and the others who do go to college and graduate end up on average something like \$25,000 in debt. These guys on the deficit reduction commission were recommending that the interest on that debt be accrued while students are in college.

Here we have us slipping behind the rest of the world in terms of our percentage of college graduates, and this recommendation is on young people, who do not have a lot of money, who were borrowing money, that they will have to pay more to go to college. You are going to see it.

Here is the argument—good, it is going to be in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD. Check it out, see if I am right. The argument will be: The deficit is going up, the national debt is going up. We have to attack and cut Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, veterans programs.

This year—Senator LANDRIEU from Louisiana made this point a little while ago—and I think this is roughly right—our soldiers, men and women in the Armed Forces, are going to get a 1.8-percent increase in their salaries this year, 1.8 percent for people putting their lives on the line to defend this country. A \$250 check for 50-plus million seniors and disabled vets—we couldn't pass it; too much money—\$14 billion. They are going to come back and cut and cut in the name of trying to deal with the high deficit which they are now increasing. That is an issue we must be addressing.

In my view, while there are some good parts of the proposal, it is certainly one that should be significantly improved. I believe the way it can be improved is by the American people beginning to get involved in the process.

I can tell you, as I said earlier, I don't know how the calls are going today in my office because I have been here, but for the last 3 days, we have

received thousands of phone calls and e-mails, and over 98 percent of them have been against this proposal. The American people believe, the people in Vermont believe we can do a lot better job in crafting a proposal that represents the middle class and our kids and not just the wealthiest people in this country.

When we talk about this proposal negotiated by the White House and Republican leadership, again, it has to be put within the broad context of what is going on in America. That context is not a pretty picture. That context requires us to understand that the middle class, which has been the backbone of this country for so very long, is in the process of disappearing. That context makes us understand that millions of families in this country are worried, parents are worried, not just about their own lives—they are prepared to work 50 or 60 hours a week; they are prepared to cut back on their own needs. I think what is hurting them more deeply is the kind of future they are contemplating for their children. They are worried that, for the first time in the modern history of America, their kids will get jobs that will pay them lower salaries than what the parents have earned. They are worried that unemployment will be much more likely for their kids than for themselves. They are worried that while they were able to scrape through—in my case, I was able to scrape through college. I borrowed some money, did some jobs, and made it like millions of other people. They are worried that with the high cost of a college education and the reduction in their real earnings, they are not going to be able to send their kids to college. I have received e-mails—and I am sure you have, Mr. President—the saddest thing in the world, where you have parents who are saying: We have saved all of our lives for the thing we wanted the most, which was to be able to send our son or daughter to college, and we can't do that now. That is the overall context this agreement has to be placed within.

The issue is, again and again, the richest people in this country do not need tax breaks. They are doing phenomenally well. They have already been given huge amounts of tax breaks. It is the middle class, it is the working families, it is the lower income people we have to be worrying about and not just the wealthy and the powerful.

When we talk about why the middle class is declining, that is a tough issue. I am not here to suggest I know all of the answers. I surely don't. It is a complicated issue. Honest people have differences of opinion. But let me touch on a few areas that I think will explain why poverty is going up and the middle class is going down. One of them deals with our trade policies.

I can remember a number of years ago I was in the House of Representa-

tives, and I can remember the lobbyists and the big money interests coming around and saying: If you guys only pass NAFTA, this would create a whole lot of jobs in the United States because we would be able to ship products made in America to Mexico. In fact, as I recall—it seems almost humorous now—what they said is: If we pass NAFTA, it would solve the problem of illegal immigration because the economy of Mexico would be so strong that people would stay in their own country and not try to sneak across the border. That is, as we look back on it, somewhat humorous, that that issue was even discussed.

But one of the areas that, unfortunately, for a variety of reasons, we have not dealt with is our disastrous trade policy. That is NAFTA; that is permanent normal trade relations; that is trade policies which have encouraged large corporations in this country to send jobs abroad because they can find workers in other countries, in low-wage countries, who are prepared to work for pennies an hour.

I think not only have we not addressed this issue from an economic perspective the way we should, I have to tell you, I know that during campaigns, a lot of Members of Congress put their 30-second ads on the air saying how concerned they are about outsourcing and our trade policy. But somehow, the day after the election, I didn't hear that discussion resume on the floor of the House or the Senate. I want to say this is true not just of Republicans but of Democrats as well.

A lot of Democrats campaign on the need for trade reform, but it does not happen. In fact, I have been here in the Senate now for almost 4 years. I have not heard one serious—underline “serious”—discussion to explain how in recent years we have lost millions and millions of manufacturing jobs, when those jobs were the backbone of the working class of this country, not providing only decent wages but decent benefits, decent health care, decent pensions.

There was once a time in this country when a manufacturing job was a ticket to the middle class. I have to say something because I remember not so many years ago, there were national leaders saying: Well—to the young people—you do not have to worry about that factory work anymore. You do not have to be involved in production because, you know what. All of the jobs in the future are going to be nice and clean in offices and on computers.

I think we demeaned and insulted the people who built the products we consumed. There is nothing wrong with a factory job if workers there earn a decent wage and have a decent benefit. Those are the jobs that built America. I remember, and we should never forget—and we now have celebrated the anniversary of Pearl Harbor. There was

a speech that President Roosevelt gave a day after Pearl Harbor, in a joint session to the Congress, when he declared war on Japan.

I saw a video of that speech. It was a remarkable speech because, at that moment, at that moment, the United States was not only fighting Japan, and we knew the fight with Germany and Nazism was right around the corner, at that point we were having to fight a war on two fronts: in Asia and in Europe. Hitler was on the march; the Japanese were in China. The Japanese had just attacked Pearl Harbor. Here we were, just about to enter the war. How could we possibly win that war?

Yet because of the manufacturing capabilities that we had at that time, and this is an amazing story, literally in 2½ years the war was essentially won, obviously not completed until 1945. But because of the incredible industrial capabilities in this country, the ability to transform our manufacturing sector from a consumer-oriented sector, from automobiles into tanks; from shirts into uniforms; from hunting rifles into machine guns, within 2 or 3 years we had essentially won that war. It was an incredible effort on the part of workers in this country who transformed our economy into an industrial force that was able to supply our soldiers with the weapons that they needed to defeat Hitler and the Japanese.

Where are we today in terms of our manufacturing capabilities? As I mentioned earlier, a couple of weeks ago, my wife and I went shopping for Christmas presents, literally, in just a plain old department store. It is literally very hard to find a product not manufactured in China. It is very hard to find a product, a gift that we could buy that was manufactured in the United States of America.

I think people understand instinctively that this country will not be a major economic player in years to come if we allow our manufacturing base to continue to decline. Again, just under Bush, we went from 17 million manufacturing jobs down to 12 million jobs, in 8 years of Bush. How do we survive as a strong industrial power if our manufacturing jobs disappear?

Today there are fewer manufacturing jobs in this country than there were in April of 1941, about 8 months before the attack on Pearl Harbor; fewer manufacturing jobs today than in April of 1941. Those manufacturing jobs that are left—that are left—in many cases pay lower wages, with fewer benefits, than they did a generation ago.

In other words, we are moving not only in a decline in our manufacturing jobs but in the wages our workers earn and the benefits they receive.

I raise all of these issues to put this agreement between the President and the Republican leadership in a broader context. Today—and this is just an incredible fact, and it is absolutely

frightening to the future of the middle class in this country—today, entry level automobile workers at General Motors and Chrysler now earn half as much, half as much as their peers made just 1 year ago. Instead of making \$28 an hour, a middle-class wage, they are now making \$14 an hour. This is in the automobile industry which has always been the gold standard for manufacturing jobs in America. If workers with a union in the automobile industry are making \$14 an hour, what do you think workers in New Mexico are going to be making without a strong union?

So what you are seeing is a dissolution of the middle class, wages are going down, and in this remarkable example, a 50-percent reduction; the older workers making good wages, new workers half the wages.

Is this the future of America? Is this what our kids have to look forward to, that they are going to be earning half the wages their fathers made, that their mothers made? Is that the future? In the midst of all of that, we run up a huge national debt, send our jobs to China, and we give tax breaks to millionaires? Is that the future these kids have to look forward to? I certainly hope not. We are going to have to be tough, and we are going to have to take on some very powerful special interests to turn this whole thing around.

Today I have devoted a lot of time to our national debt, \$13.7 trillion, and to our deficit, which is \$1.4 trillion. But we cannot ignore our trade deficit. In 2008, our trade deficit was nearly \$700 billion. Last year our trade deficit with China alone was almost \$227 billion. In other words, we are purchasing a whole lot more products than we are selling.

Sometimes I get a kick out of hearing the defenders of our trade policy talk about all of the products we are exporting. Well, yeah, we are exporting a lot, but we are importing a heck of a lot more. So I think what you have is a major economic issue. That economic issue is that we are losing millions of good-paying jobs because of our disastrous trade policy. Furthermore, the jobs we have, on those jobs, we are seeing a decline in wages and in benefits.

I think the bottom line of this is not just an economic issue, it is a moral issue as well, and that is when companies such as General Electric and all the rest—I do not mean to be picking a lot on General Electric, but I have a quote I want to make. This was a few years back. I think it is important because it applies not just to General Electric. But I want people to hear this. GE is, of course, one of our major corporations. The manufacturer's recent disclosure pointed out, the taxpayers of this country, through the Fed, provided \$16 billion in bailout to General Electric during the recent crisis. This is what the head, the CEO of General Electric, Jeffrey Immelt, said in 2002, December 6:

When I am talking to GE managers, I talk China, China, China, China, China. You need to be there. You need to change the way people talk about it and how they get there. I am a nut on China. Outsourcing from China is going to grow to 5 billion. We are building a tech center in China. Every discussion today has to center on China. The cost basis is extremely attractive. You can take an 18-cubic-foot refrigerator, make it in China, land it in the United States, and land it for less than we can make an 18-cubic-foot refrigerator today ourselves.

Ge. A couple of years ago when GE had some difficult economic times, and they needed \$16 billion to bail them out, I did not hear Mr. Immelt going to China, China, China, China, China. I did not hear that. I heard Mr. Immelt going to the taxpayers of the United States for his welfare check.

So I say to Mr. Immelt, and I say to all of those CEOs who have been so quick to run to China, that maybe it is time to start reinvesting in the United States of America. But it is not just Mr. Immelt. I do not mean to just pick on him. It is all of them. They all see the future in China, in Vietnam, in countries where people work for pennies an hour.

Mr. Immelt came to his decision in the footsteps of the former CEO of GE, Jack Welsh. What Jack Welsh was famously quoted as saying:

Ideally—

This is the guy who was head of General Electric before Immelt. He said:

Ideally, we would have every plant we own on a barge.

Do you remember that quote? He said:

Ideally, we would have every plant we own on a barge.

What did he mean by that? What he meant by that, if you are on a barge, you can move your plant to any part of the world where the labor is cheapest. So if it gets too expensive in China, and you have to pay people 75 cents an hour, you go to Vietnam. If it gets too expensive in Vietnam, maybe you can go to North Korea and have people work under marshal law. I do not know.

But what he was saying is, his goal was to make sure that GE would create jobs in those countries in the world where workers were paid the lowest possible wage.

Former GE executive vice president Frank Doyle said:

We did a lot of violence to the expectations of the American workforce. We downsized, we delayed, and we outsourced.

He was honest enough to admit that. But, again, I do not mean to just pick on Jeff Immelt or General Electric. It is a history of corporations all over America.

Let me just mention that the CEO of Cisco, John Chambers—and this is what he says. You know, we tell the young people: The future is in information technology. We want you guys to

be smart. Learn how to use the computers. You are not going to work in factories.

This is what the CEO of Cisco, certainly one of the large IT companies in the United States, said:

China will become the IT center of the world. And we can have a healthy discussion about whether that's in 2020 or 2040. What we are trying to do is outline an entire strategy of becoming a Chinese company.

This was in 2004.

Furthermore—

He says, October 15, 2004—this is Cisco:

we believe in giving something back and truly becoming a Chinese company.

Meanwhile, when Cisco needs tax breaks, they get it from the taxpayers of the United States of America. Boy, are they taking us for dummies. They outsource their jobs to China and so forth.

In the last campaign, one of the folks who ended up getting a lot more publicity than he usually does is the president and CEO of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, a gentleman named Tom Donohue.

(Mr. UDALL of New Mexico assumed the chair.)

Mr. SANDERS. Again, my point is not to just pick on individuals. Every quote I am giving can be multiplied 50, 100 times over. This is what corporate America believes. They believe it is totally appropriate to throw American workers out on the street, move to low-wage countries, China and other countries, pay people a few cents an hour, and bring their products back into the United States.

Mr. Tom Donohue is the president and CEO of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce. He got a lot of publicity during the last election because the Chamber of Commerce became the funnel for a lot of money that went into campaigns around the country. They raised tens of millions of dollars, a lot of the money, that was undisclosed. All the rich folks and billionaires gave money to the Chamber of Commerce, and they were able to elect candidates who were sympathetic to their point of view.

Let's find out what their point of view is. This is a quote going back to 2004:

One job sent overseas, if it happens to be my job, is one too many. But the benefit of offshoring jobs outweighs the cost.

That was Tom Donohue, president and CEO of the largest business organization in America. They are in favor of offshoring American jobs. They think it is a good idea. They understand that if corporations throw American workers out on the street and go to China and pay people there pennies an hour, it will make more profits. Give them credit. They are upfront about it. We don't care about the United States of America. We don't care about young people. We don't care about the future of this country. The future of the world is in China.

Here is a quote that appeared in one of the papers:

U.S. Chamber of Commerce President and CEO Thomas Donohue urged American companies to send jobs overseas.

That was in 2004. This is an AP story.

U.S. Chamber of Commerce President and CEO Thomas Donohue—

This is the head of the largest business organization in America. That is where all these businesses come together to develop policy, to lobby us, to provide campaign contributions—urged American companies to send jobs overseas.

That is really patriotic. That is standing up for the United States.

Donohue said Wednesday that exporting high-paid tech jobs to low-cost countries such as India, China, and Russia saves companies money. It's no surprise that Donohue, who tripled the Chamber of Commerce's lobbying team since 1997 and aggressively promotes pro-business policies, endorses offshoring. The 3 million member organization, the Chamber of Commerce, the world's largest business consortium, champions tax cuts, free trade, workers compensation reform, and more liberal trade policies with China.

What more do we need to understand why we have lost millions of good-paying manufacturing jobs, why wages are going down? What more do we need when the president of the Chamber of Commerce tells us he thinks it is good public policy to send jobs to China? I don't think there is much we have to discover. They are telling us this.

In a moment what I will be talking about is how these ideas from the big-moneyed people become implemented in policy which has to do a lot with lobbying and campaign contributions. Before I go there, I wish to give some more examples about how business leaders feel about the workers of this country and the young people.

This, again, is a quote. I apologize. It is a few years old, from 2004, January 19. This is from Alan Lacy, the CEO of Sears Roebuck and Company at the time:

There are four or five times as many smart, driven people in China than there are in the United States. And there are another four or five, three or four times as many people in India that are smarter or as smart or have more drive. And if technology is now going to basically reduce location as a barrier to competition—

I.e., you have a World Wide Web and you can do your work in China or India—

then essentially you have something like, whatever that was, seven or nine times more smart, committed people than are now competing in this marketplace against certain activities.

So we are going to see, I think, a huge incentive to ship some of these more commoditylike knowledge workers' jobs offshore.

So here we have our blue-collar jobs decimated, and we told the kids not to worry. You didn't want to work in the

factory anyhow. We have good information technology, computer-based jobs for you. But then you have the heads of large corporations saying: Why do I want American young people to do this? I can have Indian young people do it who will work for a fraction of the wages. We all see this. It is nothing new. You try to get a plane reservation and you are talking to somebody in India. Please, do not hear me as being anti-Indian or anti-Chinese. That is the furthest thing I would want anyone to think. We want to work with people all over the world. But we don't have to destroy the middle class of this country to help people around the world. You don't have to be a corporate CEO to sell out your own people who built your company to run abroad. This Senator is not anti-Chinese, far from it, anti-Indian, anti-Vietnamese. I guess I plead guilty to being pro-American. Maybe that is suspect here.

The former CEO of Hewlett-Packard, Carly Fiorina, ran for Senator. This is what she said when she was the CEO of Hewlett-Packard in 2004:

There is no job that is America's God-given right anymore.

I could go on and on and on, but I think we have the point. The point is that when things get rough for corporate America, as they did recently for General Electric, they run to the taxpayers in order to be bailed out. But their overall philosophy is that their goal in life is to make as much money as they can in any way they can, and, therefore, you run to those countries where wages are low.

We are seeing it all the time. It is not just blue collar; it is increasingly white collar. We have radiologists who are reading X-rays in India. People behind the computer can do work in India as well as here, and these corporate folks have taken advantage of that and sold out the young people of this country and the working class.

It is virtually impossible to find anything in a Walmart or other stores such as that that is made in America today. This is essentially true for clothing. An increasing amount of clothing comes from Bangladesh. Today, there are 4,000 garment factories in Bangladesh making clothing for Walmart, Gap, JC Penney, Levi Strauss, Tommy Hilfiger, and many others. Garment workers in Bangladesh, some 3.5 million of them—and the number is growing—are among the lowest paid workers in the world. They have difficulty buying enough food and shelter for their own needs.

The good news is the minimum wage in Bangladesh was doubled. It went from 11.5 cents an hour to 23 cents an hour. So when you buy your shirt made in Bangladesh, you have young women there coming in from the countryside who are now paid, because of a doubling of the minimum wage, 23 cents an hour. Is that something our people

should be asked to compete against? Should we say to the American worker: We can get you jobs. We are prepared to invest in the United States. We are an American company. You helped make us great. Thank you for the work you have done over the years. Thank you for purchasing our products. Thank you for making go us strong. If you are prepared to work for \$1 an hour, \$2 an hour, \$3 an hour, we will come back.

By the way, in the last campaign, what did we hear rumblings of? Abolishing the minimum wage. The minimum wage is now \$7.25 an hour. There are people out there who say: Look, if I can hire somebody in China for \$2 or \$3 an hour and you want a job in America and I have to pay you \$7.25 an hour, why would I want to do that? If we abolish the minimum wage, I may hire you.

What a wonderful prospect for our young people to think about, working for \$4 or \$5 an hour.

If we want to understand why the middle class is collapsing, why unemployment is high, why our manufacturing base has been decimated, why it is hard to purchase a product made in the United States, it has a lot to do with our trade policies, which were pushed by people such as Mr. Donohue of the Chamber of Commerce and many others.

But it is not just a disastrous trade policy that has brought us to where we are today. The immediate cause of this crisis is—and this gets me sick thinking about it—what the crooks on Wall Street have done to the American people. These people fought for a period of years to deregulate the banking industry. These people said to us: Well, if you just would do away with Glass-Steagall, if you will just allow financial institutions, commercial banks, investor banks, insurance companies, if you allow them to merge, do away with these walls which Glass-Steagall, since the Great Depression, established, my God, it will be just terrific. It will be good for the economy, good for the American people, good for our international competitiveness.

I remember those debates because I was at that point in the House of Representatives. I was a member of the Financial Institutions Committee at that point. I was on the committee that dealt with that. I remember all the times Alan Greenspan came before the committee and Robert Rubin. We had Republicans, Democrats coming before the committee and saying: This is what you have to do. You have to deregulate. You have to let these guys merge. Bigger is better. Against my votes. Somewhere on the Internet there is a discussion I had with Alan Greenspan when he came before our committee. I made it very clear to the people of Vermont, to him and everybody else, that I did not think deregulation was a

good idea, that I thought it would lead to disaster. Someplace in this world there is a quote of mine which pretty much predicts what was going to happen. But needless to say, I was one vote. The majority of the Members in the House and Senate voted to deregulate. The rest is, unfortunately, history.

What we saw is people on Wall Street operating from a business model based on fraud, based on dishonesty, understanding that the likelihood of them ever getting caught was small, that if things got very bad, they would be bailed out by the taxpayers, understanding that they are too powerful to ever be put in jail, to be indicted, understanding that in this country when you are a CEO on Wall Street, you have so much wealth and so much power and so many lawyers and so many friends in Congress, you could do pretty much anything you want and not much is going to happen to you—and they did it. Their greed and recklessness and their illegal behavior destroyed this economy.

What they did to the American people is so horrible. Here we had a middle class which was already being battered as a result of trade agreements, loss of manufacturing jobs, health care costs going up, couldn't afford to send their children to college—that had gone on for years—and then these guys started pushing worthless and complicated financial instruments and the whole thing explodes. And they come crying to the taxpayers of America to bail them out.

I will never forget—never forget—Hank Paulson coming before the Democratic caucus—I am an Independent and have long been serving as an Independent in Congress—saying that within a few days he needed \$700 billion or the entire world's financial system would collapse. My suggestion to him at that meeting was: If you need the money, why don't you go to your friends and get the money? Why don't you go to all your banker friends and millionaire friends and billionaires friends and get some of that money, and don't go to the middle class of this country that has already been harmed.

In fact, we brought an amendment to the floor of the Senate, which was one of the first amendments I brought as a Senator, which said that the top 2 percent should pay for the bailout, not the American people. It got defeated on a voice vote.

So what happens on Wall Street is we have seen a tremendous concentration of ownership there, another issue we do not talk enough about. I know Senator BROWN and Senator Kaufman and I worked on a proposal to try to break up these large financial institutions. I think we got 30-some-odd votes on that. We could not do it.

So what the American people should know now is, while we bailed out Wall

Street, because they were too big to fail, three out of the four largest financial institutions—all of whom were bailed out very significantly—are now larger today than they were before the bailout.

Incredibly, since the start of the financial crisis, Wells Fargo has grown 43 percent bigger, JPMorgan Chase has grown 51 percent bigger, and Bank of America is now 138 percent larger than before the financial crisis began.

Can you imagine that? We bailed these guys out because they were too big to fail, and now three out of the four largest ones are much larger than they were. How did that happen? Well, in 2008, Bank of America—the largest commercial bank in this country—which received a \$45 billion taxpayer bailout, purchased Countrywide, the largest mortgage lender in this country, and Merrill Lynch, the largest stock brokerage firm in the country. That is how Bank of America expanded. They were too big to fail. Today they are much bigger.

In 2008, JPMorgan Chase, which received a \$25 billion bailout from the Bush Treasury Department and a \$29 billion bridge loan from the Federal Reserve, acquired Bear Stearns and Washington Mutual, the largest savings and loan in the country. That is how JPMorgan Chase, a huge bank, became even bigger.

In 2008, the Treasury Department provided an \$18 billion tax break to Wells Fargo to purchase Wachovia, allowing that bank to control 11 percent of all bank deposits in this country.

Hear this because this is quite unbelievable: When we try to understand what is going on in the economy today—the rich getting richer, the poor getting poorer, the middle class collapsing—today, after we bailed out all these large banks, three out of four of them are now much larger than they were before. Today, Bank of America, JPMorgan Chase, Citigroup, and Wells Fargo—the four largest financial institutions in this country—hold about \$7.4 trillion in assets, and that is equal to over half the Nation's estimated total output last year. Four financial institutions have assets worth more than 52 percent of our total output last year.

Instead of breaking up these folks, these large institutions, we let them get bigger. In fact, according to Simon Johnson, the former chief economist of the International Monetary Fund:

As a result of the crisis and various government rescue efforts, the largest 6 banks in our economy now have total assets in excess [he claims] of 63 percent of GDP. . . . This is a significant increase from even 2006, when the same banks' assets were around 55 percent of GDP. . . .

Do you understand what this is about? Four financial institutions owning over half the assets of America. You talk about economic power, you talk about political power, that is what we are talking about.

Simon Johnson continues: This is “a complete transformation compared with the situation in the U.S. just 15 years ago—when the 6 largest banks had combined assets of only around 17 percent of GDP.”

So 15 years ago, 17 percent, six banks; today, four banks, and, he claims, 63 percent of GDP. In other words, over the last 15 years, the largest banks in this country have more than tripled in size.

Not only are too-big-to-fail financial institutions bad for taxpayers, the enormous concentration of ownership in the financial sector has led to higher bank fees, usurious interest rates on credit cards, and fewer choices for consumers. What do you think happens when you have a few institutions, a handful of institutions, controlling mortgage lending or where people get their credit cards?

Today, these huge financial institutions have become so big that according to the Washington Post: The four largest banks in America now issue one out of every two mortgages, two out of three credit cards, and hold \$4 out of every \$10 in bank deposits in the entire country.

If any of these financial institutions were to get into major trouble again, taxpayers would be on the hook for another substantial bailout. We cannot allow that to happen. So the whole reason for the bailout was that if any of these financial institutions collapsed, it would take down a significant part of the economy and millions of jobs. We had to prop them up. We had to bail them out. It turns out that since we bailed them out, these handful of financial institutions are now even larger than they were before and we now know they are enjoying very strong profits and they are paying their CEOs even more in compensation than they did before the breakdown.

In my view, if we are serious about understanding why the middle class is collapsing, if we are serious about getting this economy moving again long term, we have to have the courage to do exactly what Teddy Roosevelt did back in the trust-busting days and break up these banks. The point Roosevelt was making was, it is bad for the economy when a handful of entities control industry after industry. They have a stranglehold on the economy. You have to break them up. Yet I have heard very little discussion—I know there was an amendment from SHERROD BROWN and Ted Kaufman, and I introduced legislation on this issue to start breaking them up. But, frankly, their lobbyists and their money are such that it becomes very difficult to do that. But that is exactly what we should be doing.

The legislation I introduced last year, S. 2746, the Too Big to Fail, Too Big to Exist Act, would break up these large financial institutions. That legislation would require the Secretary of

Treasury to identify every single financial institution and insurance company in this country that is too big to fail within 90 days; and after 1 year, the Secretary of the Treasury would be required to break up these institutions so their failure would not lead to the collapse of the U.S. or global economies.

I think that is pretty obvious. We passed a financial reform bill, which I supported and got a major provision in there asking for disclosure at the Fed, an investigation of conflicts of interest at the Fed, and an audit of the Fed during the financial crisis. But overall, I, by no means, think that legislation went anywhere near far enough. I think that is a modest piece of legislation and an issue we have to revisit.

I worry very much about the future because I have a feeling in my stomach that day is going to come around again, when these huge financial institutions are tottering, when they are going to go running to Washington, and they are going to say: Hey, you have to bail us out. In my view, if an institution is too big to fail, it is too big to exist. Let us break them up so we do not have to go through another bailout of Wall Street.

Furthermore, I believe when you have that kind of concentration of ownership—when you have four large financial institutions holding half the mortgages in this country, controlling two-thirds of the credit cards, and amassing 40 percent of all deposits—this is not good for a competitive economy.

We are supposed to be living in free market capitalism, real competition. This is not free market competition. This is a huge concentration of ownership, where a few people have enormous power over the economy, and with their wealth, the political life of this country.

No single financial institution should be so large that its failure would cause catastrophic risk to millions of American jobs or to our Nation's economic well-being. No single financial institution should have holdings so extensive that its failure could send the world's economy into crisis. We were there 2 years ago, and in many ways, despite the passage of the financial reform bill, we are even more there now. The big, huge financial institutions we bailed out are bigger, more huge today.

Interestingly enough, on that issue, it is not just progressives such as myself who hold that view. There are some pretty conservative folks who are honest conservatives. The concentration of ownership in a handful of entities; is that a conservative proposition? Not in terms of my understanding of what conservatives are about. I do not think so.

You have at least three Federal Reserve Bank presidents who support breaking up too-big-to-fail banks. James Bullard, president and chief ex-

ecutive of the Federal Reserve Bank of Saint Louis; Kansas City Fed president Thomas M. Hoenig; and Dallas Fed president Richard W. Fisher—these guys do not have my political views. I am a proud progressive. My guess is they are conservatives. But anybody with an ounce of brains in their head understands that four large financial institutions that have assets that are more than half the GDP of the United States of America places us, A, in a very dangerous position in terms of too big to fail, and, B, it is just bad for a competitive economy.

Is there any wonder why people are paying 25 percent or 30 percent interest rates on their credit cards? That is because these guys issue two-thirds of the credit cards in America. Is there any reason why they were issuing fraudulent mortgage packages to people? Because there is not the kind of competition that should be there.

But this is not just BERNIE SANDERS' point of view. Here is what Kansas City Fed President Hoenig said. I am sorry I do not have a date on that, but I think it was fairly recently—last year. This is Kansas City Fed President Hoenig:

I think they should be broken up. I think there's no reason why as we've done in other instances of [sic] finding the right mechanism to break them into their components.

And in doing so, I think you'll make the financial system itself more stable. I think you will make it more competitive, and I think you will have long-run benefits over our current system, [which] mixes it and therefore leads to bailouts when crises occur.

This is Thomas Hoenig, the head of the Kansas City Fed. A very simple statement. He is absolutely right. But—and I am going to get to the reason why in a little while—we have not been able to do this. We have not been able to do this because Wall Street sends their lobbyists down here in droves and Wall Street provides zillions of dollars in campaign contributions and Wall Street fights like the dickens to make sure that any strong provisions that some of us might bring up are defeated. Here is what the President of the Dallas Fed, Mr. Fisher, said:

[B]ased on my experience at the Fed . . . the marginal costs of too-big-to-fail financial institutions easily dwarf their purported social and macroeconomic benefits.

The risk posed by coddling too big to fail banks is simply too great.

Winston Churchill said that. He is quoting Mr. Churchill:

In finance, everything that is agreeable is unsound and everything that is sound is disagreeable.

That is from Churchill.

Mr. Fisher continues:

I think the disagreeable but sound thing to do regarding institutions that are too big to fail is to dismantle them over time into institutions that can be prudently managed and regulated across borders. This should be

done before the next financial crisis because we now know it surely cannot be done in the middle of a crisis.

That is Dallas Fed president Mr. Fisher.

They are already in the process of breaking up big banks in England. According to the Washington Post:

The British government announced Tuesday—

Not this Tuesday, way back last year—

that it will break up parts of major financial institutions bailed out by taxpayers. The British government, spurred on by European regulators, is forcing the Royal Bank of Scotland, Lloyds Banking Group, and Northern Rock to sell off parts of their operations. Europeans are calling for more and smaller banks to increase competition and to eliminate banks so large that they must be rescued by taxpayers, no matter how they conducted their business, in order to avoid damaging the global financial system.

A very interesting development occurred on October 15 of last year. On October 15—as I mentioned earlier, Alan Greenspan, who was the chairman of the Fed before Mr. Bernanke, and I have had our run-ins. Mr. Greenspan, along with Mr. Rubin and others, were the chief proponents—Larry Summers in there—were the chief proponents of deregulation of financial institutions, and Mr. Greenspan and I had more than a few arguments. But on October 15 of last year, Alan Greenspan, who admitted his views on deregulation were wrong—and I give the man courage for at least admitting he was wrong. He did a heck-of-a-lot of damage, but at least he had the courage to admit he was wrong. He was quoted in Bloomberg News as saying:

If they are too big to fail, they are too big. In 1911, we broke up Standard Oil. So what happened? The individual parts became more valuable than the whole.

Maybe that's what we need to do.

Alan Greenspan, the architect of deregulation, citing the fact that in 1911 we broke up Standard Oil. So here we have Greenspan, who helped cause this crisis, at least having the courage to understand that now is the time to begin breaking up these big financial institutions. They have enormous power over our economy. They have enormous power over our political life. Their lobbyists are all over this place. You can't walk down the hall without bumping into some of their lobbyists. So we have to start breaking them up and the American people have to be prepared for a major fight to take on these huge financial institutions.

Former Fed Chairman Paul Volcker, who has advised the Obama administration, supports breaking up big banks so they no longer pose systemic risk to the entire economy.

According to a recent article in the New York Times, Volcker said:

People say I'm old-fashioned and banks can no longer be separated from nonbank activity. That argument brought us to where we are today.

Paul Volcker. I couldn't agree more. That is what I am talking about. We have to start breaking up four financial institutions which led us into the economic disaster we are in right now that remain much too big to fail, that we are going to have to bail out again and again and again, and that today have a stranglehold on our economy.

The New York Times says under Volcker's plan:

JPMorganChase would have to give up their trading operations acquired from Bear Stearns. Bank of America and Merrill Lynch would go back to being separate companies. Goldman Sachs could no longer be a bank holding company.

That is exactly what needs to be happening.

I come from a small State. We have community banks. Here is the irony: The banks in Vermont, in the midst of all of this financial disaster, did just fine. They are small, locally owned banks. They know the people they lend money to. The CEOs are not making hundreds of millions of dollars in profit. They know their community. They know what loans made sense. Now, I may be old-fashioned like Mr. Volcker, but I think that is what banking is about: to lend out money to people in the productive economy, to the business community, who can use the money to expand and create jobs; to homeowners who need that money to buy a home, not to be living in your own world engaged in a huge gambling casino producing and selling worthless products nobody understands.

The function of a bank is to be a middleman between people who need money and are producing real products and helping them get that money and people who are investing in the banks. It is not supposed to be an island to itself. But in recent years what we have seen, incredibly, is that 40 percent of all profit in America went to the financial institutions with a small number of people working there, relatively small. They got 40 percent of the profits because they live in a world that is a huge gambling casino.

We need financial institutions to go back to the way banking used to be, where the job of banks was to provide affordable loans to the productive economy so we can produce real products, real goods, and we can create real jobs when we do that.

Robert Reich, President Clinton's former Labor Secretary, said:

No important public interest is served by allowing giant banks to grow too big to fail. Wall Street banks should be split up, and soon.

We have a lot of people, some conservatives, some progressives, who are saying the same thing. If we are going to rebuild the middle class, the way to do that is, among other things, to change our disastrous trade policies, to make it clear to corporate America that they cannot continue to sell out

the workers of this country by moving to China and other low-wage countries. We also have to have a much more competitive economy, one in which all large financial institutions do not own assets of more than half of the GDP of this country.

On that point, I find it very interesting that it is not just progressives such as myself or Robert Reich, but we have some conservative bankers—people who are heading Fed banks around this country—who are saying pretty much the same thing.

Also, when we talk about banks, I wish to get back to a point I raised earlier. This is an issue I have been working on for years and years, and this is the issue of usury. I mentioned earlier, if you read the religious tenets of the major religions throughout history, whether it is Christianity, Judaism, Islam, and others, what you find is almost universal objection and disgust and a feeling of immorality in terms of usury. When we talked about usury in the United States, what we usually talked about were thugs, gangsters working on street corners who lent out money at outrageously high interest rates to workers, and when that money was not repaid back at the interest rates asked for, the thugs would beat up the workers.

In fact, I am thinking now about the first movie of Rocky. I don't know if the Presiding Officer saw the first movie of Rocky with Sylvester Stallone, but before he became a successful fighter and the heavyweight champion of the world, that is what he was: a big tough guy who beat up people who did not pay back the gangsters the high interest rates they were asking for.

Well, the world has changed. Now the people who are committing usury are not the gangsters on street corners all over America. Their place has been taken by the CEOs of Wall Street financial institutions who are lending out money to desperate Americans at 25 or 30 percent interest rates. That, my friends, is called usury, and according to every religion on Earth, that is immoral. What you are doing is going up to people who are desperate, people who are hurting, and you are saying: You desperately need money, we are going to give you money, but there is a string attached. You are going to be charged an outrageous amount of interest on that money.

So here is the irony: The people who are hurting the most pay the highest interest rates. The people who need the money the least are paying the lowest interest rates.

So the Fed lent out billions and billions of dollars to the largest financial institutions and offered it at less than 1 percent. That is American taxpayer money—large corporations, less than 1 percent.

But if you are a worker today and you are having hard times—maybe you

are unemployed—you are going to pay 25 or 30 percent interest rates on your credit card, and sometimes more. You have this Payday Lending where people are paying outrageous sums of money. I think that is immoral. I think we have to stop it, and it disturbs me very much that especially at a time when we bailed out these large financial institutions they are still able to charge our people 25 or 30 percent. People who have bailed them out get hit the second time around by having to pay 25 to 30 percent interest rates.

Right now, it is not even 25 or 30 percent. As a matter of fact, the tenth largest credit card issuer in this country, an entity called Premier Bank, is now offering a credit card with a 79.9-percent interest rate and a \$300 credit limit. What do we make of that? The tenth largest credit card issuer in this country is charging 79 percent interest rates, and we allow that to go on. These are crooks. These are no different than the gangsters who beat up people on street corners when they didn't get payment back, except now the gangsters are wearing three-piece suits and sitting in some fancy suite on Wall Street.

Today, over one-quarter of all credit card holders in this country are now paying interest rates above 20 percent and, as I indicated, as high as 79 percent. Let's be clear. When credit card companies charge over 20 percent interest on credit cards, they are not engaged in the business of making credit available. What they are involved in is extortion and loan sharking—nothing essentially different than gangsters, except they dress a lot better. That is all it is. It is thievery and we tolerate it, and we bail them out.

It is interesting in terms of these high interest rates because for many years we have had States, including the State of Vermont, saying: You are not going to charge outrageously high interest rates. For example, establishing a usury law is not a radical concept, which is what we have to move toward. We have to put a cap on interest rates. In fact, between 1978 and today, over 20 States in America had laws capping credit card interest rates.

In Alabama, the legal maximum amount of interest is 8 percent; in Alaska, it is 10.5 percent; in Arizona, it is 10 percent; in Idaho, 12 percent; Kansas, 15 percent; the State of Vermont, my own State, the legal maximum interest rate is 12 percent. But what happened is all of those State interest rate caps disappeared under the 1978 U.S. Supreme Court decision known as the Marquette case, which allowed banks to charge whatever interest rates they wanted if they moved to a State without an interest rate law such as South Dakota or Delaware.

So all of these companies moved to South Dakota. They moved to Delaware. No interest rates. And they

charged the people in Vermont or Hawaii or anyplace else 35 percent interest rates.

So getting back to the original agreement—which I strongly disagree with—that the President and the Republican leadership agreed to, I think that agreement significantly helps the upper income people by lowering the tax rates for millionaires and billionaires, by lowering the interest rate on the estate tax, and by providing some business loans which are not the kinds of investments that can best create jobs.

(The PRESIDENT pro tempore assumed the chair.)

One of the things we have to do to protect the middle class today is have a cap on interest rates because otherwise people are getting a paycheck and then going into debt and paying 25, 30 percent on their interest rates, with the money going to a handful of banks on Wall Street.

I have introduced legislation to put a cap on interest rates, and it is not a radical idea. Right now, credit unions in this country, by law, are not allowed to charge more than 15 percent, except under extraordinary circumstances. By and large, that has worked for about 30 years. So if you get a credit card through a credit union, you are going to be paying in almost every case no more than 15 percent. That was developed by Federal law.

Do you know what. I have talked to the credit union people in Vermont and all over the country. Credit unions are doing just fine. They are not the ones that came begging the American taxpayer for a huge bailout. So for 30 years they have survived just fine on a 15-percent cap. But our friends on Wall Street who caused this recession, our friends on Wall Street who needed a welfare check from the American people in order to survive, who today are earning more money than they did before the bailout—we don't have any cap on the interest rates they can charge.

In my view, if the credit unions have survived and survived well with a 15-percent maximum interest rate cap—the most they can charge—and it worked for credit unions, it can work for the private banks as well. That is what we have to do.

According to a recent article—this is a year ago—in the Los Angeles Times:

Chris Collver, legislative and regulatory analyst for the California Credit Union League, said that a rate cap hasn't hurt business for nearly 400 credit unions represented by his organization. "It hasn't been an issue," he said. "Credit unions are still able to thrive."

Here is my point. The middle class is hurting. Unemployment is outrageously high, poverty is increasing, there are 50 million people with no health insurance, there is a gap between the rich and everybody else, manufacturing is collapsing, and jobs

are going all over the world—China, Mexico, India. We have to start protecting the middle class of this country.

There are a number of things we have to do. I think one simple thing we have to do is tell the crooks on Wall Street—and I use that word advisedly—history will prove that they knew what they were doing. They were dishonest. The business model is fraudulent. There are honest people who occasionally make a mistake, but there are other businesses that are based on fraud and assume they are never going to get caught. When they do get caught, the penalty they have to pay is so little that it is worth it because they end up getting caught 1 out of 10 times, but they make a whole lot of money, and then they pay a fine and somebody goes to jail—very rarely, though—for a year. That is what you are seeing on Wall Street.

I think if it has worked very well for the credit unions, it can work for the private banks as well.

Mr. President, in the financial reform bill, did we address this issue? Yes, we did, and no, we didn't. We said the credit card companies have to be clearer and more honest about their interest rates and how much borrowing money will actually cost because before they would say: You will get a zero interest rate or a 2-percent interest rate, but most people didn't read the small print on page 4 that said they could raise interest rates at any time.

We have made some progress on at least them being honest with the American people about what their credit card costs will be, but that is not enough. What we have to do is put a cap on interest rates. It has worked for the credit unions. I believe it can and should work for the big banks as well.

Mr. President, what I want to do now is just give you some examples about—you know, sometimes here—and I am guilty of it as well—we talk in big numbers—a billion here and a trillion there—and it adds up. But I think it is also important to look at the flesh and blood that is out there, the real suffering people are experiencing.

A while back, what I did was I sent an e-mail out to people in Vermont. It was a very simple e-mail. It said: Tell me in your own words what is going on in your family. What is going on in your lives, in the midst of this terrible recession?

Again, it is important. Yes, we know unemployment is 9.8 percent and the real unemployment is 16 percent, 50 million people don't have any health insurance, median family income has gone down, poverty has gone up, and 25 percent of our kids are on food stamps. It is important to know that stuff. But behind all of those statistics is flesh and blood and good people who are doing everything they can to survive with a shred of dignity in their lives.

I did this last year. I sent that e-mail out to my constituents in Vermont, and I said: Write back to me. Tell me in your own words what is going on in your lives. I cannot remember how many we received, but there were hundreds and hundreds of responses. It quite amazed me. Frankly, it was hard to read these letters from decent, good people about what was happening in their lives.

What I said to them was this: If it is OK with you, we will publish what you have written. We won't use your names, of course. I don't want to embarrass anybody. We will read some of these stories on the floor of the Senate.

That is what I did. I didn't read them all, but I read some of them because it is important for us sitting here inside the beltway not to forget what is going on in the real world, whether it is Hawaii, Vermont, California, or anyplace.

Here are letters from two mothers in Vermont. First is from a woman in a rural area. The second is from a single mother in a small city. In Vermont, frankly, we don't have too many big cities. In my very beautiful State, where I expect the weather is very cold today, our largest city is all of 40,000 people. That is Burlington, VT, and I was honored to have been the mayor of that city for 8 years. Certainly, the vast majority of our people live in towns of less than 1,000, and there are towns of 500. For a while, I lived in a town called Stanton, up in the Northeast Kingdom of Vermont, which has probably 150 people in it, and that is not uncommon in Vermont. There are a lot of small towns.

Here are the two letters.

A woman in the rural area says:

My husband and I have lived in Vermont our whole lives. We have two small children, a baby and a toddler, and have felt fortunate to own our own house and land. But due to the increasing fuel prices, we have at times had to choose between baby food and diapers and heating fuel.

In Vermont, heating fuel gets up there when the weather gets 20 below zero. It is an expensive proposition.

Continuing:

We have run out of heating fuel three times so far, and the baby has ended up in the hospital with pneumonia two of the times. We tried to keep the kids warm with an electric space heater on those nights, but that just doesn't do the trick. My husband does what he can just to scrape enough money for car fuel each week, and we have gone from three vehicles to one just to try to get by without going further into debt. We were going to sell the house and rent, but the rent around here is higher than what we pay for our mortgage and property taxes combined. Please help.

That is what she asked of me and her government—"Please help." She didn't ask me to lower taxes for billionaires. She is speaking for tens of millions of people in this country who are in desperate need of help.

Here is another letter that came from a woman who lives in a larger town:

I am a single mother with a 9-year-old boy. We lived this past winter without any heat at all.

That is not a good position to be in in Vermont in the winter.

Fortunately, someone gave me an old wood stove. I had to hook it up to an old unused chimney we had in the kitchen. I couldn't even afford a chimney liner. The price of liners went up with the price of fuel. To stay warm at night, my son and I would pull off all the pillows from the couch and pile them on the kitchen floor. I would hang a blanket from the kitchen doorway, and we would sleep right there on the floor. By February, we ran out of wood, and I burned my mother's dining room furniture. I have no oil for hot water. We boil our water on the stove and pour it into the tub. I would like to order one of your flags and hang it upside down at the Capitol building. We are certainly a country in distress.

Mr. President, what I will without doubt assure you is that those stories, in different forms—and I know it is different in big cities than in a rural State such as Vermont, and I know it is different in Hawaii, where the Chair comes from, than in Boston, MA. But I am absolutely sure that millions of people in one way or another are telling the same story. These are great Americans, people who want to work and do the best they can by their kids. They are simply not making it right now.

This is the United States of America, in 2010, and people are going cold. People don't have enough food. People are homeless. My friends here are talking about huge tax breaks for billionaires. My friends here are talking about lowering rates on the estate tax for the top three-tenths of 1 percent of the American people. What are we talking about? What kinds of priorities are those?

Here is another letter from Vermont. This is not a woman in desperation. Those folks I just read from are. This woman says:

As a couple with one child, earning about \$55,000 a year [which is, in Vermont, fairly decent] we have been able to eat out a bit, buy groceries and health insurance, contribute to our retirement funds, and live a relatively comfortable life financially. We have never accumulated a lot of savings, but our bills were always paid on time, and we never had any interest on our credit card. Over the last year, even though we have tightened our belts, not eating out much, watching purchases at the grocery store, not buying extras like a new TV, and repairing the washer instead of buying a new one—doing all those things, we find ourselves with over \$7,000 of credit card debt and are trying to figure out how to pay for braces for our son. I work 50 hours per week to help earn extra money to catch up. But that also takes a toll on the family life. Not spending those 10 hours at home with my husband and son makes a big difference for all of us. My husband hasn't had a raise in 3 years and his employer is looking to cut out any extra benefits they can to lower their expenses, which will increase ours.

How many millions of Americans do you think are saying exactly the same thing?

Let me read another story that comes from Vermont.

My 90-year-old father in Connecticut has recently become ill and asked me to visit him. I want to drop everything I am doing and go visit him. However, I am finding it hard to save enough money to add to the extra gas I will need to get there. I am self-employed with my own commercial cleaning service and money is tight, not only with gas prices but with everything. I make more than I did a year ago, and I don't have enough to pay my property taxes this quarter for the first time in many years. They are due tomorrow.

Here is another letter that I think deserves to be read. Mr. President, I think it would not hurt this body if every Member of the Senate—I know we all get letters like this—came down here and spent a couple of days talking about what is going on with working families in this country. Spouting statistics is good, and dealing with tax deals of \$900 billion is fine, but I think we should reacquaint ourselves with the reality of life in America today.

This is what another constituent of mine writes:

My husband and I are retired and 65 years of age. We would have liked to work longer, but because of injuries caused at work and the closing of our factory to go to Canada, we chose to retire early. Now, with oil prices the way they are, we cannot afford to heat our home unless my husband cuts and splits wood, which is a real hardship as he has had his back fused and should not be working most of the day to keep up with the wood. Not only that, he has to get up two or three times each night to keep the fire going.

In other words, what she is talking about, is that in Vermont a lot of people heat with wood—increasingly with pellets, an important source of fuel in the State of Vermont. What she is talking about is her husband, who is 65, with a bad back, has to go out and cut wood, and in their case, his being old, he has to get up two or three times a night to stoke the furnace that is keeping the house warm. Again, I would remind people that in Vermont it occasionally gets 20 or 30 below zero.

She continues:

We also have a 2003 car that we only get to drive to get groceries or go to the doctor or to visit my mother in the nursing home three miles away. It now costs us \$80 a month to go nowhere. We have 42,000 miles on a 5-year-old car.

They can't afford to even use the car. I don't know what the price of gas is in Hawaii, Mr. President, but in Vermont it is now over \$3 a gallon. A lot of people in my State have to travel long distances to get to work. Their cars need repairs. Cars break down. Cars require, in Vermont, compulsory insurance. They have to spend a whole lot of money just getting to work. I think we forget about that here. We don't need tax breaks for billionaires, we need to pay attention to these people.

She continues and concludes:

I have Medicare, but I can't afford prescription coverage unless I take my money

out of an annuity, which is supposed to cover the house payment when my husband's pension is gone. We only eat two meals a day to conserve.

This is not some Third World country. This is the United States of Vermont—the United States of America, my State of Vermont, and Vermont is better off today than a number of States around this country. You have these stories, and multiply them by 10 in every area of this country.

Here is another story:

Yesterday, I paid for our latest home heating fuel delivery—

Again, I am focusing now on the cost of fuel because in Vermont, where I come from, it is a big deal. So she writes:

Yesterday, I paid for our latest home fuel heating delivery—\$1,100. I also paid my \$2,000-plus credit card balance, much of which bought gas and groceries for the month.

The point here, and then I will continue her letter, is that a lot of people use their credit cards not just as a nice and convenient way of not having to use cash—when I go shopping, I am going to use my credit card and I will pay it off at the end of the month. What a nice thing. No, people are using their credit cards to buy food, to buy gas, and to buy the basic necessities of life. It is their only line of credit open. And then, as I mentioned earlier, they are charged 25 or 30 percent interest rates on what they owe.

She continues:

My husband and I are very nervous about what will happen to us when we are old. Although we have three jobs between us and participate in 403(b) retirement plans, we have not saved enough for a realistic post worklife if we survive to our life expectancy. As we approach the traditional retirement age, we are slowly paying off our daughter's college tuition loan and trying to keep our heads above water. We have always lived frugally. We buy used cars and store brand groceries, recycle everything, walk or car pool, when possible, and plastic our windows each fall.

What that means is that, in Vermont, if you don't have good storm windows, you put up plastic. It is a way to keep the wind out and keep the home warm. I know about that because I used to do that.

Even so, if and when our son decides to attend college, we will be in deep debt at age 65. Please—

And here she ends this.

P.S. Please don't use my name. I live in a small town, and this is so embarrassing.

So embarrassing. We should be embarrassed, not her. We should be embarrassed that we are for one second talking about a proposal which gives tax breaks to billionaires while we are ignoring the needs of working families, low-income people, and the middle class. We should be embarrassed that we are not investing in our infrastructure, that we are not breaking up these

large financial institutions, that we are not putting a cap on interest rates, that we are the only country in the world that does not have health care for all of our people—of major countries. We should be embarrassed, not this wonderful woman who is trying to maintain her dignity.

Another letter from the State of Vermont.

I too have been struggling to overcome the increasing cost of gas, heating oil, food, taxes, et cetera. I have to say that this is the toughest year financially that I have ever experienced in my 41 years on this Earth. I have what used to be considered a decent job. I work hard, pinch my pennies, but the pennies have all but dried up. I am thankful that my employer understands that many of us cannot afford to drive to work 5 days a week. Instead, I work 3 15-hour days. I have taken odd jobs to try to make ends meet. This winter, after keeping the heat just high enough to keep my pipes from bursting—

One of the problems you have, when you live in a rural State and it gets cold, your pipes can burst, and then you have to spend a fortune getting them repaired.

She continues:

The bedrooms are not heated and never go above 30 degrees.

What happens in Vermont, if you have a home, in the wintertime, and you don't have a whole lot of money, you kind of close off rooms in the house because you can't afford to heat the whole house. So people live in a smaller area.

She continues:

I began selling off my woodworking tools, snow blower, pennies on the dollar, and furniture that had been handed down in my family from the early 1800s just to keep the heat on. Today, I am sad, broken and very discouraged. I am thankful the winter cold is behind us for a while but now gas prices are arising yet again. I just can't keep up.

That is the story from one person in Vermont. But that is the story for millions and millions of Americans.

Another story. And the reason I am reading these stories—and I appreciate my staff bringing this booklet down here—is this puts flesh and blood and real life into the statistics. The statistics are frightening enough, but this tells us what happens when the middle class of this country collapses. It tells us what happens when people lose decent-paying jobs. It tells us what happens when the government does not provide the kind of basic support system that it should for people in need.

Here is another letter:

As a single parent, I am struggling every day to put food on the table.

Mr. President, this is the United States of America and people are talking, in my State of Vermont and all over the country, about struggling to put food on the table. What comes to my mind now—and I don't know if you saw them, Mr. President—are some articles in the paper that talked about because of the bailing out of Wall

Street, and the fact that Wall Street is now again profitable, these executives there are now making more money than they made before the bailout, and they go into restaurants and they pay thousands of dollars for a bottle of wine, pay hundreds and hundreds of dollars for some fancy dinner. Yet in my State and all over this country there are people who are wondering where their next meal is coming from.

She continues:

Our clothing all comes from thrift stores. I have a 5-year-old car that needs work. My son is gifted and talented. I tried to sell my house to enroll him in a school that had curriculum available for his special needs. After 2 years on the market, my house never sold. The property taxes have nearly doubled in 10 years.

Let me pick up on that point. We don't deal with property taxes here—I did when I was a mayor—but if we are not adequately funding education, if we do not adequately help cities and towns all over this country in terms of fire protection and in terms of police protection and housing, a lot of that burden falls on the very regressive property tax, which in my State of Vermont is very high. And you find it referred to time and time again that property taxes are going up. Property taxes are going up.

She writes:

Property taxes have nearly doubled in 10 years. And the oil to heat is prohibitive. To meet the needs of my son, I have left the house sit and moved into an apartment near his high school. I don't go to church many Sundays because the gasoline is too expensive to drive there.

Imagine: She doesn't go to church on Sundays because the gasoline is too expensive to drive there.

Every thought of an activity is dependent upon the cost. I can only purchase food from dented can stores.

Does anybody in this Congress know what a dented can store is? Do you know that many people buy their groceries and they get them cheaper because the cans are dented? Most Members of the Senate and the House, most Governors do not get their meals from dented cans, but huge numbers of Americans do.

She then concludes:

I am stretched to the breaking point with no help in sight.

By the way, the letters that I received, when I asked for letters, came not just from the State of Vermont—most came from Vermont but some came from other areas. I will read another from Vermont and then one from rural Pennsylvania.

This one from Vermont:

Due to illness, my ability to work has been severely limited. I am making \$10 an hour, and if I am lucky, I get 35 hours a week of work.

Let me pull away from the letter. That is not an unusual wage in the State of Vermont. That is not an unusual wage all over America. That is

what people earn, \$10 an hour, times 40 hours. He doesn't get 40 hours. He makes \$350 a week. Ten times 40, 400, times 50, \$21,000 a year. Shock of all shocks, that is reality. That is what people are trying to live on. Those are the people that we should be helping, not the CEOs on Wall Street who will get \$1 million a year in a tax break if this deal goes through. Not the people who are in the top three-tenths of 1 percent, who our Republican friends want to help by repealing the estate tax, which will cost us \$1 trillion in 10 years. Maybe we should concentrate on helping people who are trying to get by eating food from dented cans or people who can't afford to drive to church on Sunday because they can't afford the price of a gallon of gas. Maybe we should remember who sent us here and who made this country.

She writes:

I am making \$10 an hour, and if I am lucky I get 35 hours a week of work. At this time, I am only getting 20 hours, as it is off season in Stowe.

Stowe, VT, is a beautiful town. I hope everybody comes to visit us up there. There is great skiing, but it is a resort town. Big time in the winter. We are doing better in the summer, but it is a resort town. Resorts get more business in the winter than summer and less time elsewhere.

So what she is talking about is that it is off season up there and she is only getting 20 hours a week of work at \$10 an hour.

She writes:

It does not take a mathematician to do the figures.

I am sorry, this is a man, not a woman.

How are my wife and I supposed to live on a monthly take-home income of less than \$800 a month? We do it by spending our hard-earned retirement savings. I am 50 and my wife is 49. At the rate we are going, we will be destitute in just a few years. The situation is so dire it is all that I can think about. Soon I will have to start walking to work—an 8-mile round trip—because the price of energy is so high that it is either that or going without heat.

This is a 50-year-old guy, making \$10 an hour, 20 or 30 hours a week, and his choice is either walking 8 miles to and from his job in Stowe or else not heating his home. And this happens in Vermont all of the time. It is quite unbelievable. He says:

As bad as our situation is, I know many in worse shape. We try to donate food when we do our weekly shopping, but now we are not able to even afford to help our neighbors eat. What has this country come to?

I don't know about other parts of the country. I am sure it is the same. But if you go to a grocery store, there is often a bin out there in front where people buy food and they drop a can of peas or a can of corn or something into it. Here is a guy who is now faced with the reality of having to walk 8 miles to and from work and he is upset at himself that he does not have the money to

buy food for his neighbors who he thinks are even worse off than he is. That is the good people of Vermont and America. They are all over this country, good and decent people who do worry about their neighbors.

Then you have the lobbyists here representing the largest corporations in the world where the CEOs make tens of millions of dollars a year and their job is to squeeze the middle class and these families harder and harder, cut back on their benefits in order to give tax breaks to the richest people in this country. What a difference in attitude: A poor man faced with the choice of either walking 8 miles to and from his job or losing his heat, worried about his neighbors, and you have the lobbyists here worrying about the richest people in the world—and winning. And winning.

Then I got a letter that comes not from Vermont, it comes from rural Pennsylvania:

I am 55 years old and worse off than my adult children. I have worked since age 16. I don't live from paycheck to paycheck, I live day to day. I can only afford to fill my gas tank on my payday. Thereafter I put \$5, \$10, whatever that I can. I cannot afford to buy the food items that I would. I am riding around daily, to and from work, with a quarter of a tank of gas. This is very scary. I can see myself working until the day that I die.

Trust me, the gentleman is talking about getting older, worrying about working until the day he dies. We are already seeing this. You go to grocery stores in Vermont and you see old people, who should be sitting home with their grandchildren. Do you know what they are doing? They are packing groceries. Then we have some geniuses on this deficit reduction commission, people who made their money on Wall Street, they have a brilliant idea: Let's raise the Social Security age to 68, 69 years old so that people like this will have to work, in fact, to the day they die.

He continues. This is not from Vermont. This is from Pennsylvania:

I do not have savings, no credit cards and my only resources are through my employment. I have to drive to work as there are no buses from my residence to work. I don't know how much longer I can do this. I am concerned as gas prices climb daily. I am just tired. The harder that I work the harder it gets. I work 12 to 14 hours daily and it just doesn't help.

I am not saying every person in America is experiencing these stories. They are not. A lot of people are doing fine. They have good jobs. Their kids are doing well. They are taking care of their parents. A lot of people are doing just fine. But we would be fools and dishonest not to understand the reality of what is going on in this country. It breaks my heart, and I know it breaks the hearts of millions of people in this country, to see what is going on in this great Nation of ours: that so many people are hurting, that so many parents—

I don't know if I have that letter or if it is in another booklet. I will never forget one letter I received, and that is these people—my parents never went to college. My father never graduated high school. They wanted their kids to get an education; that is what they wanted—and we did. It was very important, and how proud my mother was of that.

We get letters from people who say: You know, I dreamed that my kid, my daughter, would go to college, and she is not going to go to college now. She is not going to go to college.

It is just painful to even talk about and think about, the direction in which this country is moving. So I want to now take a break from reading these letters. Actually, the truth is, when these letters came in a year ago I could not read more than a half dozen at a time. They took too much out of me. They take something out of you to hear people you know, good people, honest people—I hear from some of my colleagues here that people are lazy. My God, people work so hard in the State of Vermont. We have I don't know how many thousands of people are not working just two or three jobs, they work four jobs. It is all over this country. Whatever you say about the United States of America, the people of our country are not lazy. That is one thing you can say about them.

In fact, according to all of the bloodless statistics, our people today work longer hours than do the people of any other major country on Earth. Did you know that? I don't know that a lot of Americans know that. It used to be Japan. The Japanese are a very hard-working people. Now it turns out that our people work harder, longer hours than do the people of any other country in the industrialized world.

When you think about that, when I think about the books that I read when I was in elementary school—I remember there were pictures up there. I don't know if you remember these pictures. There were pictures where workers were demonstrating, and they said: We want a 40-hour workweek. Do you remember seeing those pictures? We want a 40-hour workweek. That was back in the early 1900s.

Today, 100 years later, people still want a 40-hour workweek because they are forced to work 50 or 60 hours a week. They are working two jobs. They are working three jobs.

What I want to do now, before I get back to why I am on the Senate floor today, and why I have been here for a few hours—which is to say the agreement negotiated by the President and the Republican leadership is not a good agreement. It is an agreement that we can improve upon. It is an agreement the American people can improve upon. But what I am asking the American people to do is to stand up, let your Senators, let your Congressman know how you feel.

Do you really believe millionaires and billionaires who have done phenomenally well in recent years need an extended tax cut at a time when their taxes have been lowered substantially in recent years? Do we really need to give tax breaks to the rich in order to drive up the national debt so our kids and grandchildren will pay higher taxes in order to pay off that national debt caused by tax breaks for the rich?

If you do not believe that, if you do not think that is right, let the President of the United States know about it. Let your Senator know about it. Let your Congressman know about it. We need a handful, seven or eight Members of the Senate to hear from their people, to say: Wait a minute. Don't hold my kids hostage. Don't force them to pay higher taxes in order to give tax breaks to the very rich.

If the American people stand up and by the millions let their Senators and Congressmen and the President know, we can win this thing. We can win this battle. It is not too late yet. That is what I hope will happen.

When we talk about why things go on the way they are here in Washington, and why so many people back home—whether they are Democrats, Republicans, Independent—whether they are conservatives, progressives, moderates, whatever they are—there is a huge feeling of anger and frustration and, in fact, disgust at what goes on here in Washington.

I have just read some letters from people. You can multiply those letters by 1 million. People are saying: Don't you hear us? Don't you know what is going on in our lives? Don't you know the worries we have for our kids, for our parents? Aren't you listening to us?

In many ways I am afraid the Senate is not listening to them, nor is the House, nor is our Government. What worries me so much about this growing concentration of wealth and income in this country is that when the rich get richer, they don't just simply put their money under the mattress. They don't simply go out and buy yachts and planes and 18 homes and all the things rich people do. They do that, but they do something else.

They say: I am not rich enough. I need to be richer. What motivates some of these people is greed and greed and more greed. There is no end to it. So what they do is they do things like hire lobbyists—who are all over Capitol Hill. These lobbyists, sometimes former leaders of the Republican Party, former leaders of the Democratic Party, former hotshot lawyers, bright people, their job is to make sure the legislation we pass—such as this major tax bill—that this legislation benefits not ordinary Americans, not the people whose letters I have just read, not those people, but the wealthiest people in this country and the largest corporations.

I want to just mention something. A very good friend of mine and I do a radio show every Friday afternoon—I am afraid I missed it today—Tom Hartman. Tom is the author of a number of wonderful books.

In his latest book, which is called “Rebooting the American Dream, 11 Ways to Rebuild Our Country,” Tom writes and he talks about lobbying, which is an issue we have to deal with in this country. He says, on page 104:

Given how lucrative lobbying is as an investment, it has become a huge business.

In other words, what he is talking about is, if you have a good lobbyist and the lobbyist changes a few words in a bill, your company or you as an individual can end up with huge amounts of money just by changing a few words. In this case, language that we are working on now is whether we extend the Bush tax breaks for the top 2 percent, for many millionaires and billionaires. Some lobbyists, representing the rich and the powerful, are determined to keep that language in there.

So it is an investment. So you spend a few million dollars, an organization spends a few million dollars on a lobbyist, but if you end up getting back hundreds of millions of dollars in tax breaks and corporate loopholes or other benefits, it is a very good investment. That is what Tom Hartman is writing. He says:

Given how lucrative lobbying is as an investment it has become a huge business. In February, 2010, the Center for Responsive Politics laid out which industries had invested how much in Congress the previous year. Overall, it found that in 2009 the number of registered lobbyists who actively lobby Congress was 13,694 and the total lobbying spending—

Get this. Total lobbying spending in 2009 was \$3.47 billion, a 240-percent increase since 1999, 10 years, more than tripling it, I guess. In 2009 companies spent \$3.47 billion in lobbying. We have 100 Members of the Senate, 435 Members of the House. Listeners or viewers can get out their calculating machine and divide it up, how much money the big money interests are spending trying to influence Senator INOUE or myself or the other 98 Members of the Senate or 435 Members of the House. They are flooding this institution with money.

Let me give you just a breakdown of where that money is coming from. What they call miscellaneous business, that is retail and manufacturing, et cetera, \$558 million in one year, 2009; health care, \$543 million.

By the way, that was before health care reform. My strong guess—I will be very surprised if that number did not double. If you were a health care lobbyist this year, trust me, you are doing very well. They were all over this place, making sure we did not pass a strong health care bill, for example, in Medicare for all, a single-payer pro-

gram, which I support. On top of that, you have the finance, insurance and real estate industries combined that spent \$465 million.

And, again, that was before we dealt with financial reform. I suppose the recent legislation we dealt with, health care reform and financial reform, was a real boon to the lobbyists around here, because they can go out and earn their money. But that was before this. Finance, insurance, real estate, only spent \$465 million in 1 year to influence 100 Members of the Senate and 435 Members of the House.

Energy and natural resources. Well, as I mentioned earlier today, ExxonMobil last year made \$19 billion, paid nothing in taxes, got a \$156 million refund. ExxonMobil and other companies are putting all kinds of money into phony organizations telling us that global warming is not real, we do not have to transform our energy system; costs a lot of money to do that. The energy and natural resources companies spent \$408 million in 2009 alone. This is 1 year, folks, 1 year.

Communications, electronics. Right now I am working on an issue which deals with the merger of Comcast and NBC. I think it is a bad idea. Comcast is the largest provider of cable services in America, huge role in the Internet, and NBC is one of the largest media conglomerates in America. What they are trying to do right now is to merge, these two huge companies.

I think the problem in America is we have too few companies controlling what goes on. We have too much of a concentration of ownership, and that merger is bad. Well, I can assure you for a fact, they have all of these lobbyists in the media industry, from communications, right here rallying, trying to do their best to make sure this merger and other type mergers take place—\$360 million from the communications and electronics industry.

Then we have other types of organizations as well. Bottom line, in the year 2009, they spent \$3.47 billion, almost 3½ billion, on lobbying. And you know what, you get what you pay for.

That is just lobbying. We are not talking about campaign contributions. We are not talking about the huge sums of money it now takes to run for office in the United States, and we are not talking about where that money comes from. We are not talking about the Citizens United horrendous decision reached by the Supreme Court which allows billionaires and all of these companies and their executives to put money into campaigns and not even have to be identified. We are not even talking about that. This is just lobbying.

So if you wonder why we are having a serious discussion about whether we should give tax breaks to millionaires and billionaires while the middle class is collapsing, and tens of millions of

people have no health insurance, and we have the highest rate of children in poverty, and we have the most unequal distribution of wealth and income of any country, if you wonder how we would consider for 1 minute talking about more tax breaks for the rich, then you do not know much about what goes on here in Washington and you do not know about campaign contributions and the degree to which big money buys and sells politicians.

I want to review again—the reason I am down here today, and I have been here for a few hours—and voice my very strong opposition to the agreement that was reached between the Republican leadership and President Obama. I think the American people do not like this agreement. All I can tell you—I do not know what is going on in your office, coming from Alaska, Mr. President, but I can tell you in the last 3 days, between phone calls and e-mails, I probably have gotten 5,000. We have heard from about 5,000 people, many from Vermont, some from out of State as well.

The opposition to this agreement is probably 99 percent. People cannot understand why in a million years, with a \$13.7 trillion national debt, and a \$1.4 trillion yearly deficit, we would be thinking for one second, for one second, about giving tax breaks to the richest people in this country who are already doing fabulously well.

I am down here today, and have been for a few hours, to urge my colleagues and, more importantly, the American people, to say no to this agreement. If we stand together, if the American people write or e-mail or call their Senators and their Congress people, I think we can turn this thing around. I think we can come up with an agreement that makes us all proud, rather than one that we have to be ashamed for.

I know there was an editorial back in the State of Vermont which I saw. I do not remember the exact title, but something to the effect of: This agreement stinks, it is odious, but it is better than nothing. Well, I do not think that has to be the choice, awful or better than nothing. I think the choice can actually be a good agreement. And I think if the American people stand with those of us who are opposing this agreement, we can pull this off. We can defeat this agreement and come up with a much better one, one that does not cause our kids and grandchildren to pay higher taxes in order to provide huge tax breaks for the richest people in this country.

In talking about the reasons I am opposed to this agreement, one of the other reasons is that while the President and the Republican leadership say, well, you know, this is just a temporary extension, it is going to be for 2 years, just temporary, you know and I

know that when you talk about temporary here, it becomes long term and then perhaps becomes permanent.

If we extend these tax breaks for the top 2 percent now, my strong guess—I hope I am wrong. I certainly hope this proposal is defeated, but if we extend them for 2 years, my strong guess is they will be, 2 years from now, extended again. And depending upon the politics of what goes on here, they can be extended permanently.

Our Republican colleagues, as you well know, wanted to extend them for 10 years at a cost of \$700 billion. An increase in our national debt. Our Republican friends are fighting hard to completely repeal the estate tax, which would cost us \$1 trillion, \$1 trillion in 10 years in increased national debt.

So the point I have got to make—I want to emphasize this point, that when people talk about these things being short term, being temporary, take those thoughts with a grain of salt. Maybe that is the case. I do not think it is. I think once you move over the cliff and make that decision to extend these tax breaks, they are going to be extended long term. Here is the reason why. Right now the dynamic here is the President campaigned against these tax breaks. The President does not believe in extending these tax breaks for the rich. But he felt he had to make the compromise. I thought he made a bad compromise.

But our Republican friends are saying over and over that if you rescind, end these tax breaks to the rich, you are raising taxes 2 years from now in the midst of a Presidential campaign, when President Obama, if he is the Democratic candidate, says: Do not worry, I am going to oppose these extensions of tax breaks for the rich, his credibility has been severely damaged, and the American people know it. Can they trust him? That is what he told them then. That is what he will tell them in 2 years. Is he going to be believed? I do not think so. So these tax breaks, while ostensibly for 2 years may, in fact, be for a lot longer than that.

I would also say that while we have talked about—primarily the discussion has centered around extending the tax breaks, personal income tax breaks to the very rich, there are other tax breaks in this proposal which are equally odious.

What this agreement between the President and the Republican leadership does is it extends the Bush era 15-percent tax rates on capital gains and dividends, meaning that those people who make their living off of their investments will continue to pay a substantially lower tax rate than firemen, teachers, and nurses.

Think about that. You are a big-time investor. You make most of your income off of capital gains or dividends, and you are paying a 15-percent tax

rate. But if you are a worker doing something with your hands or you are a teacher or a fireman or you are a cop or nurse, a doctor, you are paying tax rates that are higher than that. We are extending those 15-percent tax rates on capital gains and dividends.

Then, on top of that, this agreement includes a horrendous proposal regarding the estate tax. The estate tax was enacted in 1916, and it was a proposal strongly supported by Teddy Roosevelt, who believed very strongly that it was not healthy for America to have an ongoing and evolving concentration of ownership. Here is what Teddy Roosevelt said in 1910:

The absence of effective State, and, especially, national, restraint upon unfair money-getting has tended to create a small class of enormously wealthy and economically powerful men, whose chief object is to hold and increase their power. The prime need is to change the conditions which enable these men to accumulate power which is not for the general welfare that they should hold or exercise . . . No man should receive a dollar unless that dollar has been fairly earned. Every dollar received should represent a dollar's worth of service rendered—not gambling in stocks, but service rendered. The really big fortune, the swollen fortune, by the mere fact of its size acquires qualities which differentiate it in kind as well as in degree from what is passed by men of relatively small means. Therefore, I believe in a graduated income tax on big fortunes, and in another tax which is far more easily collected and far more effective—a graduated inheritance tax on big fortunes, properly safeguarded against evasion and increasing rapidly in amount with the size of the estate.

How is that? One hundred years ago. That is what he said. I would say he got it right when he said that. It is even more true today, hence the estate tax.

Unfortunately, under the agreement reached by the President and the Republicans, the estate tax rate, which was 55 percent under President Clinton when the economy, by the way, was a heck of a lot stronger than it is today, will decline to 35 percent with an exemption on the first \$5 million of an individual's estate and \$10 million for a couple.

I made this point earlier, but I think it has got to be made over and over. Our Republican friends have renamed the estate tax the death tax. The implication of what they are saying, and what many Americans believe, is that if I have \$100,000 in the bank or \$50,000 in the bank and I die, my kids are going to have to pay a heavy estate tax on what I left them. But that is absolutely and categorically not the case. The estate tax applies only to the top three-tenths of 1 percent. This is not a tax on the rich. This is a tax on the very, very, very rich. And under this proposal, which benefits only the top three-tenths of 1 percent, the President and the Republicans agreed to lower the tax rate on the estate tax to 35 percent, with an exemption on the first \$5 million.

That is wrong. Let me give you an example of who the folks are who will benefit from doing this. Many of my Republican colleagues have been pushing very hard, not just to lower the tax rate—by the way, this 35 percent is lower, I think, than they ever dreamed they would get, with a \$5 million exemption, but what they wanted ultimately, and I suspect will continue to fight for, is the complete repeal of the estate tax.

To give one example—and I don't mean to pick on the Walton family, but just as a flesh-and-blood example—Sam Walton's family, the heirs to the Walmart fortune, are worth, give or take, \$86 billion. That is a lot of money. The Walton family would receive an estimated \$32.7 billion tax break if the estate tax was completely repealed. Does anybody in their right mind believe that when this country has a national debt of \$13.7 trillion and when we have the highest rate of childhood poverty in the industrialized world and our unemployment rate is 9.8 percent, can anybody for one second fathom Members of the Senate saying they want to give a \$32 billion tax break to one family?

In terms of the estate tax, what we have done is made it even more regressive. We have given substantial help to exactly the people who need it the least. That is not what we should be doing. Our job—and I know it is a radical idea—should be to represent the vast majority of the people, the middle class, the working families, and not just the top 1 or 2 percent. This proposal, this lowering of the estate tax, which will cost our government substantial sums of money because the revenue is not going to come in, will benefit only the top three-tenths of 1 percent.

Again, if some of my Republican colleagues are successful in their desire—and they are moving down the path—if we repeal the estate tax entirely, which is what they want to do—it is hard to believe, and some of the listeners out there think I am kidding, but I am deadly serious—it will drive up the national debt by \$1 trillion over a 10-year period. Lowering the estate tax rate and raising the exemption is clearly an onerous provision.

It is not only the Walton family of Walmart who will benefit. According to Forbes magazine, there are 403 billionaires living in this country with a combined net worth of \$1.3 trillion. That is not shabby. That is pretty good. Anyone lucky enough to inherit this extraordinary wealth would benefit the most from repealing the estate tax.

As Robert Frank wrote in his book "Richistan":

The wealthiest people in this country accumulated so much wealth that they have been competing to see who could own the largest private yacht, who could own the most private jets, who could own the most expensive

cars, jewelry, artwork, et cetera. In 1997, for example, Leslie Wexner, chairman of Limited Brands, the company that owns Victoria's Secret—

And none of us know what Victoria's Secret is—

paid a German shipmaker to build what was then the largest private yacht in the United States. It is called The Limitless.

There is a photo. It is a nice boat. It stretches 315 feet and has 3,000 square feet of teakwood and a gym.

According to Forbes magazine, Mr. Wexner is one of the wealthiest 400 people in this country, worth an estimated \$2.3 billion. Permanently repealing the estate tax would allow Mr. Wexner's two children to inherit all of his wealth without paying a nickel to help this country deal with the enormous problems we have.

I wish Mr. Wexner—I don't know him; I hope he is alive and well—a long life. But I believe strongly that in this country, if we are going to see the middle class survive and our kids do well, we cannot repeal the estate tax and we cannot lower estate tax rates.

I wish to address another issue which I talked about earlier. I think there is some misunderstanding. The Presiding Officer raised this issue at a recent meeting we had. All over the country, people say: Isn't it great that we are going to lower the payroll tax on workers? We are going to go from 6.2 percent, which workers now pay, down to 4.2 percent. People are going to have more money in their pocket, which certainly is a good thing. It is going to cost \$120 billion in Social Security payroll taxes.

Here is the point. Yes, we do want to put more money in workers' pockets. That is why many of us in the stimulus package supported a \$400-a-year tax break for virtually every worker in America. That is what we said. We want people in these difficult times to have the money to take care of their families. When they have that money, they go out and spend it. When they spend it, it creates other jobs because people have to provide goods and services for them. It has a good, stimulative impact. We do want workers to have more money in their pockets.

While this idea of lowering the payroll tax sounds like a good idea, in truth, it really is not a good idea. This idea originated from very conservative Republicans whose intention from the beginning was to destroy Social Security by choking off the funds that go to it. This is not just Bernie Sanders' analysis. There was recently—I distributed it recently at a meeting we held—a news release that came from the National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare. The headline on that press release is "Cutting Contributions to Social Security Signals the Beginning of the End. Payroll Tax Holiday is Anything But." What the National Committee to Preserve Social

Security and Medicare, which is one of the largest senior groups in America, well understands is that there are people out there who want to destroy Social Security. And one way to do that is to divert funds into the Social Security trust fund and they don't get there.

What the President and others have said is not to worry, this is just a 1-year program—just 1 year. In fact, they say, the General Treasury will pay the difference. So the Social Security trust fund is not going to lose funding.

The reason we have a \$2.6 trillion surplus today in Social Security and the reason Social Security is good for the next 29 years to pay out all benefits is because it comes from the payroll tax. It is not dependent upon the whims of the Congress and the Treasury.

The President and Republicans said: This is just a 1-year program. Don't worry.

I do worry. I worry that once we establish this 1-year payroll tax holiday, next year our Republican friends will say: Do you want to end that? You are going to be raising taxes on workers. And enough people will support that concept, and this 1-year payroll tax holiday will become permanent. And when we do that, we will be choking off, over a period of years, trillions of dollars that we need to make sure Social Security is viable and is there for our children and grandchildren.

But don't listen to me. Listen to somebody who knows a lot more about this issue than I do. Barbara Kennelly is a former Congresswoman from Connecticut. She is the president and CEO of the National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare. This is what Barbara Kennelly says:

Even though Social Security contributed nothing to the current economic crisis, it has been bartered in a deal that provides deficit busting tax cuts for the wealthy. Diverting \$120 billion in Social Security contributions for a so-called tax holiday may sound like a good deal for workers now, but it is bad business for a program that a majority of middle class seniors will rely upon in the future.

The headline is "Cutting Contributions to Social Security Signals the Beginning of the End."

This is not a good approach. Providing and figuring out a way that we can get more money into the hands of working people, as we did in the stimulus package, does make a lot of sense. Going forward with a payroll tax holiday is a backdoor method to end up breaking Social Security. It is not anything we should support.

Let me mention a quote from a gentleman who understands this issue very well. He understands the politics of what is going on here. His name Bruce Bartlett, former adviser for Presidents Reagan and George H.W. Bush. He recently wrote the following in opposition to this payroll tax cut. This is what Mr. Bartlett wrote:

What are the odds that Republicans will ever allow this one-year tax holiday to expire? They wrote the Bush tax cuts with explicit expiration dates and then when it came time for the law they wrote to take effect exactly as they wrote it, they said any failure to extend them permanently would constitute the biggest tax increase in history. . . . if allowing the Bush tax cuts to expire is the biggest tax increase in history, one that Republicans claim would decimate a still-fragile economy, then surely expiration of a payroll tax holiday would also constitute a massive tax increase on the working people of America. Republicans would prefer to destroy Social Security's finances or permanently fund it with general revenues—

Switch the revenue base from the payroll tax to general revenues—

than allow a once-suspended payroll tax to be reimposed. Arch Social Security hater Peter Ferrara once told me that funding it with general revenues was part of his plan to destroy it by converting Social Security into a welfare program, rather than an earned benefit. He was right.

In other words, what this issue is about is breaking the bonds we have had since the inception of Social Security where Social Security was paid for by workers. You pay for it when you are working, and you get the benefits when you are old. That is the deal. There is no Federal money coming in from the General Treasury.

This gentleman, Mr. Bartlett, former adviser to Presidents Reagan and George H.W. Bush, thinks—and I suspect he is quite right—this is the beginning of an effort to destroy Social Security.

The real debate about Social Security is not one about finances.

There has been a lot of misinformation and disinformation out there. I hear from some of my friends on the Republican side that Social Security is going bankrupt; it is not going to be there for our kids. That is absolutely not true. Social Security today has a \$2.6 trillion surplus. Social Security can pay out every benefit owed to every eligible American, if we do not start diverting funds, for the next 29 years, at which point it pays out about 78 percent of benefits. So our challenge in 29 years is to fill that 22-percent gap. That it is. Can we do it? Sure we can.

President Obama, when he was campaigning, and I think he has repeated since, the very good suggestion that instead of having a cap in terms of which people contribute into the fund at \$106,000, what we should do is do a bubble, and people who make \$250,000 or more should contribute into the Social Security trust fund. If you did that and nothing else, you have essentially solved the Social Security problem for the next 75 years. Very easy. It is done.

So what this payroll tax holiday is doing, in my view, is pretty dangerous. I do not think enough people understand that. I think that is one of the strong reasons this agreement should be opposed.

Another reason I believe this agreement is not as good an agreement as we can get is that it provides tens and tens of billions of dollars in tax cuts for various types of businesses. I am not here to say these tax cuts cannot do some good. I suspect they can. But I think there is a lot better way to create the jobs we need than providing these particular business tax cuts.

Frankly, I think economists from almost all political spectrums—conservative to progressive—understand that if we are serious about creating the kinds of jobs this economy desperately needs and if we want to do that as rapidly and as cost-effectively as we possibly can, the way to do that is not to provide business tax cuts because right now—right now—corporate America is sitting on close to \$2 trillion cash on hand. They have a ton of money. The problem is the products they are creating are not being bought by the American people because the American people do not have the money to buy those goods and services.

So if we are serious about creating the jobs we need, I think what we have to do is start making significant investments in our crumbling infrastructure; that is, rebuilding our bridges, our roads, our water systems, broadband, cell phone service, public transportation, our rail system, dams. In every single one of these areas, we are seeing our infrastructure crumbling.

The point is, if you simply ignore a crumbling infrastructure—and I say this as a former mayor who dealt with this issue—if you simply ignore a crumbling infrastructure, do you know what, it does not get better all by itself.

I know many mayors and Governors would very much like to think they could turn their backs on the infrastructure because it is not a sexy investment. It is not a sexy investment. But the reality is, if you do not pay attention to it today, it only gets worse and it costs you more money. It is like having a cavity. You can get your cavity filled. If you neglect it, as I have, and you end up doing a root canal, it is far more painful, far more expensive. That is what it is about. Do we maintain our infrastructure? Clearly, we have not. According to the American Society of Civil Engineers, we should be spending about \$2.2 trillion in the next 5 years in order to maintain our infrastructure.

I say to the Presiding Officer, I do not know about Alaska—I spent a very brief time in the Presiding Officer's beautiful State—but I do know in Vermont we have bridges all over our State that are in desperate need of repair. It is fair to say that the stimulus package has been very positive for my State. We are spending more money on roads and bridges. But we have a long way to go. So we are putting money

into our roads and bridges. We are hiring people to do that work. That is what we should be doing all over the country.

But it is not just roads and bridges. It is water systems. I told this story, I guess a few hours ago now, about a mayor, the mayor of Rutland, VT, which is the second largest city in the State. I was in his office and he showed me a pipe, and the pipe was in pretty bad shape. He said: You know, this pipe was laid by an engineer who then, after he did this, went off to war. And he said: What war do you think he went off to fight? And he said it was the Civil War—the Civil War. So this was pipe laid in Rutland, VT, which is still being used, which was laid, I am guessing, in the 1850s, maybe 1860s. And it is not just Rutland, VT.

When I was mayor of Burlington, we had to spend \$50 million, back then, 20 years ago, I think, rebuilding our wastewater plants and making sure that a lot of pollution and filthy water did not get into our beautiful lake, Lake Champlain. It was an expensive proposition. But right now, we are going to have to invest in that. It is our water systems, our dams, our levees, our roads, our bridges.

I mentioned earlier and contrasted what was going on in infrastructure in the United States as opposed to China, and I quoted from a book called "Third World America," written by Arianna Huffington, who tells us, essentially, if we do not get our act together, that is what we will become—a third world country.

She points out that compared to countries such as China, our investments in rail are absolutely pathetic and inadequate. In China, right now, that country is investing billions and billions of dollars in high-speed rail, building thousands and thousands of miles of high-speed rail. They are building over 100 new airports. And what are we doing?

So one of my many objections to the proposal struck between the President and the Republican leadership is I think we can do better in job creation than in business tax cuts. There is a time and a place for business tax cuts, and I am not against them. But I would say that at this particular moment in American history, at this particular moment, it makes a lot more sense to create, over a period of years, millions of jobs rebuilding our rail system, our subways, our roads, our bridges, and our water systems, and many other aspects of our infrastructure.

There are places in Vermont and throughout this country where people cannot today get decent-quality broadband service, cannot get cell phone service. In that area, we are behind many other countries, not wealthy countries around the world. When we make those investments in infrastructure, we not only create jobs,

but we make our country stronger and more productive, and we enable ourselves to compete effectively in the international economy.

Another one of my objections to this proposal and why I think we can do a lot better is that I was really quite disturbed to hear the President and others, who defend this proposal, talk about that one of the "compromises" that was struck was to extend unemployment benefits for 13 months.

To my mind, as I have said earlier, at a time of deep recession, at a time of terribly high unemployment, it would be absolutely wrong and immoral for us to turn our backs on the millions of workers who are about to lose their unemployment benefits. If we do that, it is hard to imagine what happens to those families, for many of whom this is their only source of income. What do they do? Do they lose their homes? Do they move out onto the streets? How do they take care of their kids? I do not know. There are parts of this country where it is very hard to get a job. Extended unemployment is at the highest level I think we have ever seen. You cannot turn your backs on those families.

But I get upset when I hear that the Republican's willingness to support an extension of unemployment benefits for 13 months is a major compromise. I will tell you—I think a lot of the American people do not know this—that for the past 40 years—40 years, four decades—under both Democratic and Republican administrations, whenever the unemployment rate has been above 7.2 percent—and today we are at 9.8 percent unemployment—always, whether the Democrats were in control, the Republicans were in control, the President was Democrat, the President was Republican, what people did was say: We have to extend unemployment benefits. It is kind of common sense. It is not partisan. So when you have a program that has existed for 40 years in a bipartisan effort, it sounds to me that it is not much of a compromise for the Republicans to say: OK, we will do what Democrats and Republicans have done for 40 years. What a major compromise. It is not a compromise. It is just continuing existing bipartisan policy, which is sensible. It is sensible from a moral perspective. You cannot leave fellow American families out high and dry.

It is good economics because what the economists tell us is the people who will spend that money quickest are people who receive unemployment compensation because that is all they have. They are going to go out and buy, and when they buy from the neighborhood store, they create jobs. So it is good economics, and it is the moral thing to do.

But, frankly, in my view, this is not much of a compromise. This is just continuing four decades of existing policies.

As I said earlier, there are very clearly positive parts of this agreement, no question about it. I think almost every American will tell you that it would be totally absurd—I know there are some who disagree, but I think the vast majority of Americans believe that in a time when the middle class is collapsing, when median family income has gone down, when unemployment is high, that it would be a real horror show if we did not extend the Bush tax breaks for the middle class, for 98 percent of the American people—98 percent. That is what we want.

We could have crafted it much tighter, couldn't we have? We could have said: Nobody above \$100,000, nobody above \$150,000. That is pretty generous. We said a family earning up to \$250,000 should get an extension of these tax breaks. That is 98 percent of the American people, and that is not good enough for our Republican friends. They are fighting tooth and nail to make sure the top 2 percent—the millionaires and billionaires, the CEOs who earn tens of millions a year—they are fighting—it is as if they are at war. They are so engaged to make sure these fabulously wealthy people receive at least \$1 million, in some cases. For people who are making \$1 million a year, they are going to receive, on average, \$100,000 a year in tax breaks. For the very, very wealthiest, it could be over \$1 million a year.

I say to the Presiding Officer, I know you joined me just 2 days ago in saying that at a time when senior citizens in this country and disabled vets, for 2 years in a row, had not received any COLA, that maybe it was the right thing to do—because we know that health care costs and prescription drug costs are soaring—that maybe we should provide a \$250 check for those seniors and disabled veterans one time—one time. I could not get one Republican vote in support of that proposition. We won 53 to 45, but around here it does not take 50 votes to win; it does not take a majority to win; it takes 60 votes. We could not get one Republican vote. So here you have every Republican voting against a \$250 check for a disabled vet or a senior citizen who is living on \$15,000, \$16,000 a year. Cannot afford it. But we can afford a million-dollar-a-year tax break for somebody who is worth hundreds of millions of dollars. Now, somebody may understand that rationale. I don't. I really don't. I can't understand it. I can't understand asking our kids and grandchildren to pay more in taxes, and the national debt goes up in order to provide tax breaks for the richest people in this country.

So while there are some good provisions in this bill—certainly extending the tax breaks for 98 percent of our people, for the very broad middle class; I think if the American people demand it, in our democracy we can do better.

I don't know if the Presiding Officer or I alone will be able to convince some of our Republican friends or maybe some of our Democratic friends to make this into the kind of proposal we need for the working families of this country, and for our children, for our next generation. I don't know if we can do it inside this beltway.

As I said earlier, I think the way we win this battle, the way we defeat this proposal and come back with a much better proposal is when millions of Americans start writing and e-mailing and calling their Senators, their Congress people, and say: Wait a second. Are you nuts? Do you really think millionaires and billionaires need a huge tax break at a time when this country has a \$13.7 trillion national debt? What are you smoking? How could you for one second think that makes any sense whatsoever?

I will tell the Presiding Officer something. I don't know what my phones are doing today in my office right now. But in the last 3 days we have gotten, I am guessing, 5,000 phone calls and e-mails, and about 99 percent of them are in disagreement with this proposal.

I am looking at a chart. We have gotten 2,100 calls that just came in today. I don't know what kind of calls other Members of the Senate are getting, but certainly those are the calls I am getting.

This point cannot be made strongly enough: What our Republican friends want to do—and they have been pretty honest and up front about it, especially some of the extreme, rightwing people who have been running for office and, in some cases, have won—they have been honest enough to say they want to bring this country back to where we were in the 1920s. Their ultimate aim is the basic repeal of almost all of the provisions that have been passed in the last 70 years to protect working people, the elderly, and children. They believe in a Darwinian-style society in which you have the survival of the fittest; that we are not a society which comes together to take care of all of us. You take care of me in need and I take care of you and your family; that we are one people. Their strategy is pretty clear. They want to ultimately destroy Social Security.

What we are beginning to hear more and more of is why don't we raise the retirement age to 68 or 69. That deficit reduction commission, which I thought was—the people on that commission were bad appointees by the President. We could have put together some good economists to say how do we in a fair way—in a fair way—address the deficit and national debt crisis. That wasn't what that commission did. So these folks are talking about major cuts in Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid.

At a time when it is so hard for young people to afford to go to college, they want to raise the costs by asking

our young people while they are in college to be accruing the interest on their loans.

So I think if the President believes that if this agreement is passed, the Republicans are going to come to the table and we are all going to live happily in the future, we are all going to work together in a nonpartisan way, I think he is not understanding the reality. These people are going to come back and they are going to come back very aggressively for major cuts in Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, environmental protection, education, childcare, Pell grants, you name it, because their belief is—I don't quite understand it—that it is somehow good public policy to give tax breaks for the wealthiest people in this country who, in many ways, have never had it so good, while you cut programs that the middle class and working families of this country desperately depend upon.

So I would suggest that this big debate we are having right now on whether we should accept the proposal agreed to by the President and the Republicans is just the beginning of what is coming down the pike. If we surrender now on this issue, we can expect next month and the following month another governmental crisis, another threat of a shutdown, unless they get their way. So I think rather than asking the working families of this country to have to compromise, instead of asking our kids to pay more in taxes to bail out billionaires, maybe—I know this is a radical idea—but maybe we should ask a handful of our Republican friends to join us. Maybe a handful of honest conservatives over there who have been telling us for years their great concerns about deficit spending and a huge national debt, maybe they should be prepared to vote against the proposal which raises the national debt and our deficit by giving tax breaks to some of the richest people in the world.

Quite frankly, I don't think I am going to be able to convince them. I don't know that the Presiding Officer is going to be able to convince them. But I think their constituents can convince them. I think the American people can convince them. I think, as I said earlier, if the American people stand up, we can defeat this proposal and we can create a much better proposal.

Clearly, we must extend tax breaks for the middle class. Clearly, we must make sure unemployed workers continue to get the benefits they desperately need. But equally, clearly, we must make sure we are not raising the national debt which, as sure as I am standing here, will result in cuts in Social Security and Medicare and Medicaid and education and other programs if this proposal is passed.

So this is not only an important proposal unto itself: \$900 billion plus even in Washington is nothing to sneeze at.

But it is an important proposal in terms of the direction in which our country goes into the future. If we accept this proposal of a 2-year extension for the richest people in America, I believe it will eventually become either a long-term extension or a permanent extension. If we accept the proposal that lowers the rates on the estate tax which benefits only the top three-tenths of 1 percent—99.7 percent of Americans get nothing—but if we give them what they want, I believe over a period of years it will lead to the complete abolishment and ending of the estate tax which will cost us over \$1 trillion over a 10-year period.

So I hope this issue is not one just progressives or moderates feel strongly about. I hope honest conservatives, who in their heart of hearts believe this country is seriously in danger when we have unsustainable deficits and a huge national debt, will tell their elected officials here in Washington not to pass a piece of legislation which increases the national debt significantly and, in fact, will allow for the permanent—over years, in my view—extension of these tax breaks.

So that is what this debate is about. It is about fundamentally whether we continue the process by which the richest people in this country become richer, at a time when we have the most unequal distribution of income and wealth of any major country on Earth.

As I have said earlier, this is not an issue that is discussed—I don't know—well, I do know why. It is just not an issue that people feel comfortable talking about because they don't want to give affront to their wealthy campaign contributors or take on the lobbyists who are out there. But that is the reality. Throughout the entire world, the United States has the most unequal distribution of income. The top 1 percent is earning 23.5 percent of all income. That is more than the bottom 50 percent. That is not just immoral, it is bad economics because if the middle class gets crushed entirely, who is going to be buying the goods and services produced in this economy?

So this piece of legislation, as important as it is unto itself—and it is very important—is equally important in terms of what it says about where we are going into the future. Are we going to protect the middle class and working families of our country? Are we going to make sure every young person in America, regardless of income, has the ability to go to college, or are we going to allow college to become unaffordable for hundreds and hundreds of thousands of bright, young people, or else force them to leave school deeply in debt?

Are we going to create a health care system which guarantees health care to all of our people—high-quality health care—or are we going to continue a situation where 45,000 Ameri-

cans die each year because they don't have access to a doctor? Are we going to invest in our energy system so we break our dependence on foreign oil? We spend about \$350 billion a year importing oil from Saudi Arabia and other foreign countries—almost \$1 billion a day—which should be used to make this country energy independent, which should be used to transform our energy system away from fossil fuel into energy efficiency and sustainable energy, technologies such as wind, solar, geothermal, and biomass.

By the way, none of that has been addressed, as I understand it, in this proposal.

So my point is not just that this proposal is a bad proposal as it stands before us now, but it is going to move us in the future in a direction that I do not believe this country should be going.

I mentioned earlier my own personal family's history is the history of millions and millions of Americans. My father, as it happened, came to this country at the age of 17 without a nickel in his pocket. He worked hard his whole life. He never made very much money, but he and my mom—my mom graduated high school; she never went to college—had the satisfaction, the very significant satisfaction, of knowing their kids got a college education. My older brother Larry went to law school, and I graduated from the University of Chicago.

I think what is going on in this country and why the anxiety level is so high is not just that people are worried about themselves—parents worry more about their kids than they do about themselves. But what parents are sitting around and worrying about now is they are saying: Will, for the first time in the modern history of this country, my kids have a lower standard of living than their parents?

Will my kids earn less income? Will my kids not have the education I have? Will my kids not have the opportunity to travel and learn and grow as I have done? Are the best days of America behind us? That is really the question. I don't think that has to be the case.

But I will tell my colleagues, as I mentioned earlier, if we are going to change the national priorities in this country, if we are going to start devoting our energy and our attention to the needs of working families and the middle class, we have to defeat this proposal and we have to defeat similar types of proposals which come down the pike. When this country has a \$13.7 trillion national debt, it is insane—nothing less than insane—to be talking about huge tax breaks for people who don't need them. Again, as I mentioned earlier, ironically, we have a lot of these millionaires out there who apparently love their country more than some of the people in this Chamber.

You have some of the richest people in America—Bill Gates and all the

good, charitable work he does, and Warren Buffet and many others—who are saying: I am doing just fine. I am a billionaire or a multimillionaire. I don't need your tax breaks. I am worried about the fact that we have the highest rate of childhood poverty; invest in our children. I am worried that our infrastructure is crumbling; invest in our infrastructure. I am worried that 45,000 Americans are dying this year who don't have access to health care; invest in health care. I am worried about global warming; invest in transforming our energy system. These are patriotic Americans. They love their country. They are saying to us: We don't even want it.

So we are giving money to people who, in some cases, don't even want it. I do know there are others out there who do want it. I think if there is one issue that we as a Congress and a government have to address, it is the extraordinary level of greed in this country. We have to stand tall and draw a line in the sand and simply say: Enough is enough. How much do you want? How much do you need? How many yachts can you own? How many homes can you have? Isn't it enough that the top 1 percent now earns 23.5 percent of the income in this country? How much more do they want? Do they want 30 percent, 35 percent? Isn't it enough that the top 1 percent owns more wealth than the bottom 90 percent? How much more do they need?

I mentioned earlier, when I talked about the situation that got us into this horrendous recession—and that is the collapse of Wall Street—I talked about what I think most Americans understand very well; that is, the incredible greed and recklessness and dishonesty that exists on Wall Street. We must not allow ourselves to encourage and continue the kind of greed we have seen in recent years. It is an abomination that the people who caused this economic crisis—the worst recession since the Great Depression—that the people on Wall Street who caused it are now earning more money than they did before we bailed them out.

Earlier today, I was reading some e-mails that came to my office from Vermonters who were struggling to keep their heads above water. They were terribly painful and poignant stories about honest, good, decent people who are now choosing whether they should put gas in their car or buy the food or prescription drugs they need. It is not just a Vermont story; it is an American story. It is a reality out there for tens of millions of Americans.

In my view, we can negotiate a much better agreement than the one President Obama and the Republican leadership did. There are some good parts of that agreement, which obviously should be retained and perhaps even strengthened. Those include, of course, making sure we extend unemployment

benefits to those who need it and, of course, that we extend tax breaks for the middle class. There are some very good other provisions in there which I think are worthwhile.

I think if the American people stand and agree with those of us who say no more tax breaks for the very wealthiest people in this country, we can defeat this proposal, and we can come up with a much better one that is fairer to the middle class of this country and is fairer to our young children.

I do not want to see our young kids—my children and grandchildren—have a lower standard of living than their parents. That is not what America is about. What I think we have to do is defeat this proposal. I think we have to urge our fellow Americans to stand and say no to tax breaks for those who don't need it. I think we have to work in a very serious way about creating the millions and millions of good-paying jobs that this country desperately needs. I personally believe that is a far more effective approach than giving the variety of business taxes that were in this proposal at a time when corporate America is sitting on \$2 million of unused cash. They have the money. I think a much better approach, as I said earlier, is investing in our crumbling infrastructure. I think that makes us healthier and stronger as a nation for the future and in the global economy.

I think it creates jobs quicker and in a more cost-effective way than these tax cuts. I also think it is high time the American people move—they want us to move in an entirely new direction in terms of trade. I am always amazed how Republicans and Democrats alike—and I speak as the longest serving Independent in Congress—come election time, have ads on television saying: Oh, we have to do something about outsourcing and about our trade policy. But somehow, the day after the election, when corporate America continues to throw American workers out on the street and moves to China, moves to other low-wage countries, that discussion ceases to exist and that legislation never seems to appear.

So it seems to me we have to defeat this proposal, and that in defeating this, we are going to tell the American people there are at least some of us here who understand what our jobs and obligations are; that is, that we are supposed to represent them, the middle class of the country, and not just wealthy campaign contributors or bow to the interests of the lobbyists who are all over this place.

When I talked a moment ago about the need to invest in our infrastructure as a way to create jobs, being more cost-effective than some of these business tax breaks, I am looking now at a Wall Street Journal article of December 9, 2010. Here is the headline: “Companies Clinging to Cash; Coffers Swell

to 51-year High as Cautious Firms Put Off Investing in Growth.”

That is a story by Justin Lahart. Here is the story. It makes the point I have been trying to express:

Corporate America's cash pile has hit its highest level in half a century. Rather than pouring their money into building plants or hiring workers, nonfinancial companies in the United States are sitting on \$1.93 trillion in cash—

I said \$2 trillion, but it is \$1.93 trillion in cash.

—and other liquid assets at the end of September, up from \$1.8 trillion at the end of June, the Federal Reserve said Thursday. Cash accounted for 7.4 percent of the companies' total assets, the largest share since 1959. The cash buildup shows the deep caution many companies feel about investing in expansion, while the economic recovery remains painfully slow, and high unemployment and battered household finances continue to limit consumers' ability to spend.

What have we been talking about? The Wall Street Journal is not my favorite paper, but they are saying that the way you are going to get the economy moving again is to put money in the hands of working people, who will then go out and buy the goods and services these companies produce. I have my doubts about whether these tax rates will, in fact, have the desired result.

As I said earlier, and will say again, I think the most effective way to create jobs, and the most important way, is to rebuild our crumbling infrastructure. That is our roads, bridges, rail system, water system, wastewater plants, our dams, levees, and the need to improve broadband to make sure every community in America has access to good-quality broadband and access to cell phone service. Unfortunately, as best as I can understand, there has not been one nickel appropriated in this proposed legislation that would go to infrastructure improvements.

I think this proposal should be defeated because it is not a strong proposal for the middle class. It is a proposal that gives much too much to people who don't need it, and it is a proposal that I think sets the stage for similar-type proposals down the pike. I apologize to anybody who has been listening for any length of time. I know I have been, to say the least, a bit repetitious.

But the concern is that when the President and some of my Republican colleagues talk about some of these tax breaks being temporary, we are just going to extend them for 2 years, talking about this payroll tax holiday being just 1 year, I have been in Washington long enough to know that assertion doesn't fly; that what is temporary today is long-term tomorrow and is permanent the next day. I fear very much that this proposal is bad on the surface. I fear very much that this proposal will lead us down a very bad

track in terms of more trickle-down economics, which benefits the tricklers and not the ordinary Americans. I think it is a proposal that should be defeated.

The point I wish to make is that is not just my point of view. I think it should be defeated. I think we can do a lot better. I have to tell you the calls that are coming into my office are—here is what we got today: 2,122 calls oppose the deal, and I think 100 calls are supportive of the deal. You can do the arithmetic on it. At least 95 percent of the calls I got today are saying this is not a good deal. We can do better.

I know that in the last 3 or 4 days we have gotten probably 6,000 or 7,000 calls that say this. This is not just Vermont—many of those calls come from out of State, by the way. But I think that is true all over this country.

Let me conclude. It has been a long day. Let me simply say I believe the proposal that was developed by the President and the Republicans is nowhere near as good as we can achieve. I don't know that we are able ourselves to get the handful of Republicans we need to say no to this agreement. I do believe that if the American people stand—by the way, it may not just be Republicans. There may be some Democrats as well. If the American people stand and say: We can do better than this; we don't need to drive up the national debt by giving tax breaks to millionaires and billionaires, that if the American people are prepared to stand and we are prepared to follow them, I think we can defeat this proposal and come up with a better proposal which reflects the needs of working-class and middle-class families of our country and, to me, most importantly, the children of our country.

With that, I yield the floor and I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mrs. GILLIBRAND). The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mrs. GILLIBRAND. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. SANDERS). Without objection, it is so ordered.

RECOGNIZING WYMT

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I rise today to recognize the employees and friends of WYMT-TV as they celebrate 25 years as a news station in Hazard, KY. WYMT is much more than a media outlet; rather, it is a success story. In 1985, when talk of starting WYMT first sparked, many people were opposed to the idea, as it was thought that the station would not be prosperous or competitive. However, thanks to a few who saw what this

news station could bring to eastern Kentucky, WYMT was born.

My good friend Neil Middleton is currently the news director at WYMT and has been there for most of its existence. From a time where two-way radios were used in lieu of cell phones, and 75 pound cameras were used for filming, to the age of the Internet and acquiring footage on cell phones, Neil has seen many drastic changes.

The dawn of the Internet allowed WYMT to connect more personally with its viewers, as well as unify eastern Kentucky, which has been the station's mission from the first day on the air. The birth and existence of WYMT have given the people of Prestonsburg, Harlan, Pineville and other cities in the eastern Kentucky region knowledge of how their neighbors in surrounding towns are doing. WYMT has also strived to balance the positive and negative news stories that it covers in the region, which has added to their success over the years.

I would like to personally thank WYMT for the hard work they have put in over the years in covering current events in our Commonwealth in an honest and unbiased manner. The effort they have put forth to unify the entire region of eastern Kentucky is appreciated by many. I ask my colleagues to rise and join me in congratulating them on this 25-year anniversary.

TRIBUTES TO RETIRING SENATORS

ARLEN SPECTER

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. President, for five terms, longer than anyone in his State's proud history, ARLEN SPECTER has represented the State of Pennsylvania in the U.S. Senate. Over that time, he distinguished himself greatly, from his commendable work on the Judiciary Committee to his recent efforts to reestablish legal protections against fraud. We saw from the beginning of his Senate service his impressive grasp of issues. But as he prepares to leave the Senate, I would like to focus on two examples from his time here that I believe speak to his formidable character.

In early 2008, our Nation faced its most daunting economic situation in decades. It was clear that private demand in the economy was fading in the face of a devastating financial crisis. Economists across the political spectrum were convinced that Federal fiscal stimulus was desperately needed as part of a strategy to keep recession from turning into depression. And yet there was significant doubt as to whether Congress could summon the political will to do what was necessary. Without at least a handful of Republican supporters in the Senate, the desperately needed economic rescue package would not pass.

At that moment, under immense political pressure, Senator SPECTER was one of just three Republicans willing to vote for the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. Thanks to the foresight of these Senators, millions of Americans have jobs today who otherwise would be unemployed. We should all be grateful for Senator SPECTER's determination to do what the country needed.

Senator SPECTER has faced down more dire circumstances than those surrounding the stimulus vote. In 1993, he was diagnosed with a brain tumor—one neurosurgeon told him he had just weeks to live. In 2005 and again in 2008, he coped with Hodgkin's disease.

In each of these cases, Senator SPECTER not only faced down a deadly disease, but he pushed the limits of physical and mental endurance to remain deeply engaged in his Senate work. Work, for him, was integral to recovery. As he wrote in an inspirational book on his health experiences, "Good health is a precious possession that is often taken for granted. The same is true of the time we have been given to contribute to the world around us. Poor health may limit our time and capacity for achievement, but I firmly believe that vigorous work provides the best way to overcome a health challenge."

Senator SPECTER, thank you for the inspiring example of your determination. Thank you for a long and productive career in this body, a career that has meant much to the Senate, to Pennsylvania, and to the Nation.

PORTEOUS IMPEACHMENT

Mr. CARDIN. Mr. President, one of the most solemn obligations of Senators is try impeachments. The Constitution provides that the Senate shall have the "sole power to try all impeachments," and that "all civil officers of the United States shall be removed from office on impeachment" for various offenses. Senators also take a special oath when hearing an impeachment case before the Senate holds an impeachment trial.

I recently heard evidence in the case of Judge Porteous, who would have lifetime tenure under the Constitution unless he resigns or is removed by the Senate. The House of Representatives impeached Judge Porteous on four different articles. After deliberation, I voted to convict Judge Porteous of three of the four articles, but voted against conviction on one of the articles. I rise to explain my not guilty vote on one of the articles.

Article I stated that Judge Porteous engaged in a pattern of conduct that is incompatible with the trust and confidence placed in him as a Federal judge. The Senate voted that Judge Porteous was guilty on this count by a unanimous vote of 96 to 0.

Article IV stated that Judge Porteous knowingly made material false statements about his past both to the U.S. Senate and to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, in order to obtain the office of U.S. district court judge. The Senate voted to convict Judge Porteous on this count by a vote of 90 to 6.

I voted against article IV because, in my view, it was duplicative of article I.

As a member of the Senate Judiciary Committee, I regularly review the questionnaire and nomination materials for Federal judicial nominees who are nominated for lifetime appointments. One question we ask nominees on our committee questionnaire—under oath—is whether there was "any unfavorable information that may affect your nomination." Judicial nominees also fill out SF-86 personnel forms as part of the executive branch's review of a potential nomination. One question on the form asks—under oath—whether:

There [is] anything in your personal life that could be used by someone to coerce or blackmail you? Is there anything in your life that could cause an embarrassment to you or to the President if publicly known? If so, please provide full details . . .

The FBI also asks potential nominees whether they are concealing any activity or conduct that could be used to influence, pressure, coerce or compromise them in any way or that would impact negatively on their character, reputation, judgment or discretion. Judge Porteous answered no to all of these questions.

I am concerned about the vagueness and catchall nature of these questions and its responses being the basis of an Article of Impeachment. I could understand an Article of Impeachment based on a response that hides information that if discovered later would be the basis of impeachment and where a separate Article of Impeachment using these specific facts was not presented to the Senate by the House of Representatives. Also, I would have understood if the statements in article IV were included as part of article I. Such was not the case here.

For this reason, I voted not guilty on article IV.

JUDICIAL NOMINATIONS

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I have been urging Republicans and Democrats in the Senate to come together and take action to begin to end the vacancy crisis that is threatening the administration of justice by our Federal courts. I asked only that Senators follow the Golden Rule. Regrettably that has not happened. Now 38 judicial nominees whose qualifications are well established are being delayed. They should be confirmed before we adjourn.

Adherence to the Golden Rule, a simple step, would help us return to our

Senate traditions, and allow the Senate to better fulfill its responsibilities to the American people and the Federal judiciary.

I was encouraged last week when Senator SESSIONS, the Judiciary Committee's ranking Republican, provided assurance that the many judicial nominees who have been stalled for months and months without Senate action will be confirmed before we adjourn. He is in a position to know. As the Republican leader on the committee, he works directly with the Republican leadership that continues to hold up virtually all judicial nominees, just as it has for months and months. At our Judiciary Committee business meeting on December 1, Senator SESSIONS said: "The truth is except for a few nominees, the overwhelming majority have moved with bipartisan unanimous support and will be confirmed on the floor." He went on to predict that a number "will clear before the session is over." I hope this assurance is true. I look forward to working with Senator SESSIONS to ensure that the Senate acts before adjourning.

He is right: The overwhelming majority of the judicial nominees awaiting final action have strong bipartisan support. This makes the Republican obstruction of their confirmation all the more mystifying. Twenty-nine of the judicial nominees whose confirmations are being stonewalled were not opposed by any Senator, Republican or Democrat, during Judiciary Committee consideration. Two others had only one or two votes in opposition. Committee Republicans voted in lockstep to oppose only 4 of the 38 pending nominations. I believe that if debated by the Senate, those nominations, too, would be confirmed.

Had we adhered to the Golden Rule, the judicial nominees who have been delayed for weeks and months would already be confirmed. That had been our practice and tradition. Democratic Senators did not stall the nominees of President Bush in this way. Senate Republicans should end their across the board blockade of noncontroversial judicial nominees. With 111 vacancies—a historically high number—plaguing our Federal courts today, the American people cannot afford this gamesmanship.

Despite these skyrocketing vacancies, the Senate has not been permitted by Republicans to consider a single judicial nomination since September 13, when we confirmed Jane Stranch of Tennessee to the Sixth Circuit. Only after 10 months of delay was the Senate permitted to act. The Stranch nomination was the only nomination we were permitted to consider that entire work period. In fact, the Republican blockade of judicial nominations has been so complete that the Senate has been permitted to confirm only five Federal circuit and district court

nominations since the fourth of July recess. While one in eight Federal judgeships remains vacant, Senate Republicans consented to confirm only a single judicial nomination in July. They consented to consider only four judicial nominations before the August recess, despite 21 nominations then on the calendar. We have considered only the Stranch nomination since returning from that recess. I do not recall a time when one party so thoroughly prevented the Senate from acting on consensus nominees with bipartisan support.

I have been trying to end this obstruction, yet it continues. Democratic Senators have sought agreement on the floor to debate and consider nominations, but the Republican leadership has objected time and time again. The Democratic cloakroom has sought consent from the Republican cloakroom to move nominations, but there has been no consent.

The Judiciary Committee has favorably reported 80 of President Obama's Federal circuit and district court nominees. Due to Republican obstruction we have been able to consider only 41 of these. That is barely half. This is in sharp contrast to the first 2 years of President Bush's first term when I was chairman of the Judiciary Committee and the Senate confirmed all 100 of the judicial nominations reported by the Judiciary Committee to the Senate. In 2002, we proceeded in the lameduck session after the election to confirm 20 of President Bush's judicial nominees. This year by contrast none have been considered since the November elections.

I have also urged for many months that the Senate debate and vote on those few nominees that some Republican Senators decided to oppose in committee. These nominees include Benita Pearson of Ohio, William Martinez of Colorado, Louis Butler of Wisconsin, Edward Chen of California, John McConnell of Rhode Island, and Goodwin Liu of California. I have reviewed their records and considered their character, background and qualifications. I have heard the criticisms of the Republican Senators on the Judiciary Committee as they have voted against this handful of nominees. I disagree, and believe the Senate would vote, as I have, to confirm them. Each of these nominees has been reported favorably by the Judiciary Committee, several of them two or three times, and each deserves an up or down vote. That they will not be conservative activist judges should not disqualify them from serving.

But that is not what is happening. We are not debating the merits of those nominations, as Democratic Senators did when we opposed the most extreme handful of nominees of President Bush. What is new and particularly damaging about this Republican strategy of ob-

struction is that dozens of nominees reported unanimously by the Senate Judiciary Committee, without Republican opposition, are still being delayed.

The Senate has received letters from Chief Judges of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and the United States District Courts in California, Colorado, Illinois and the District of Columbia. They have all pleaded with us to end the blockade and confirm judges nominated to fill vacancies in their courts.

The vacancies on the Federal courts around the country have doubled over the last 2 years and now are at the historically high level of 111. Fifty-one of these vacancies have been deemed judicial emergency vacancies by the non-partisan Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts. Due to the Republicans' obstruction, we have not been able to keep up with attrition over the last 2 years.

No one can accuse this President of selecting nominees to meet an ideological agenda. Senator SESSIONS has acknowledged that a vast majority of these nominees are consensus nominees. These are well-qualified nominees with the support of their home State Senators, both Republicans and Democrats. The Judiciary Committee has not proceeded with a single nominee who was not supported by both home State Senators, and I have worked with all Republican Senators to ensure that they were included in the process. Democrats have worked to restore comity to the process.

Regrettably, despite these efforts and the outstanding nominees before us, the Senate is not promptly considering judicial nominations. To the contrary, as the President has pointed out, nominees are being stalled who, if allowed to be considered, would receive unanimous or near unanimous support, be confirmed, and be serving in the administration of justice throughout the country.

The North Carolina Bar Association recently urged the Senate to consider one of the nominees who was reported by the Judiciary Committee in a unanimous rollcall vote—19 to zero. Republicans have objected to his consideration since January 28. For more than 10 months, Judge Albert Diaz, a respected and experienced jurist who served in the Armed Forces, has been prevented from serving the people of North Carolina and the Fourth Circuit. He is nominated to fill a judicial emergency vacancy on the Fourth Circuit. He has the support of both his home state Senators, one a Democrat and one a Republican. Senator BURR asked nearly a year ago that the Judiciary Committee "look for an expedited review and referral to the full Senate so that that deficiency on the fourth circuit can be filled." The Senators who serve on the Judiciary Committee from South Carolina and Maryland, states

also within the Fourth Circuit, also support him. The American Bar Association rated him well qualified. The North Carolina Bar Association describes him as "very qualified and highly regarded." When will the blockade be lifted so that the Senate can confirm Judge Albert Diaz of North Carolina?

Judge Diaz and six other consensus nominees to the circuit courts are stalled on the Senate Executive Calendar. Judge Ray Lohier of New York would fill one of the four current vacancies on the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. He is another former prosecutor with support from both sides of the aisle. His confirmation has been stalled for no good reason for more than 6 months. Scott Matheson is a nominee from Utah supported by Senator HATCH; he was reported without opposition. Mary Murguia, a nominee from Arizona supported by Senator KYL, was reported without opposition. Judge Kathleen O'Malley of Ohio is nominated to the Federal Circuit and was reported without opposition. Susan Carney of Connecticut was reported with the bipartisan support of 17 of the 19 Senators on the Judiciary Committee to serve on the Second Circuit. Justice James Graves of Mississippi was reported unanimously to serve on the Fifth Circuit. These are not nominees whose judicial philosophy Republicans even question.

The President noted in his September letter to Senate leaders that the "real harm of this political game-playing falls on the American people, who turn to the courts for justice" and that the unnecessary delay in considering these noncontroversial nominations "is undermining the ability of our courts to deliver justice to those in need . . . from working mothers seeking timely compensation for their employment discrimination claims to communities hoping for swift punishment for perpetrators of crimes to small business owners seeking protection from unfair and anticompetitive practices."

If the Senate were allowed to consider the 38 judicial nominees that are currently on the Senate's Executive Calendar, their confirmations would raise the total from the historically low level of 41, where it currently stands, to almost 80. That would be in the range of judicial confirmations during President George H.W. Bush's first 2 years, 70, while resting below President Reagan's first 2 years, 87, and pale in comparison to the 100 confirmed in the first 2 years of the George W. Bush administration or those confirmed during President Clinton's first 2 years, 127.

In the 17 months I chaired the Judiciary Committee during President Bush's first 2 years in office, I scheduled 26 hearings for the judicial nominees of a Republican President and the Judici-

ary Committee worked diligently to consider them. During the 2 years of the Obama administration, I have tried to maintain that same approach. The committee held 25 hearings for President Obama's Federal circuit and district court nominees this Congress. I have not altered my approach and neither have Senate Democrats. What has changed is that Senate Republicans, who used to contend that every judicial nominee reported by the Judiciary Committee is entitled to a vote, have reversed themselves and reverted to the practices they followed in obstructing President Clinton's judicial nominees. The bottom line is that the Senate has been allowed to consider and confirm just 41 Federal circuit and district court nominees. That is less than half of the 100 such nominees we proceeded to confirm during President Bush's first 2 years.

When I became chairman of the Judiciary Committee midway through President Bush's first tumultuous year in office, I worked very hard to make sure Senate Democrats did not perpetuate the "judge wars" as tit-for-tat. By refusing to proceed on President Clinton's nominations while judicial vacancies skyrocketed during the 6 years they controlled the pace of nominations, Senate Republicans allowed judicial vacancies to rise to 110 by the end of the Clinton administration. As a result of their strategy, Federal circuit court vacancies doubled. When Democrats regained the Senate majority halfway into President Bush's first year in office, we turned away from these bad practices. As a result, overall judicial vacancies were reduced during the Bush years from more than 10 percent to less than 4 percent. During the Bush years, the Federal court vacancies were reduced from 110 to 34 and Federal circuit court vacancies were reduced from a high of 32 down to single digits.

This progress has not continued with a Democratic President back in office. Instead, Senate Republicans have returned to the strategy they used during the Clinton administration of blocking the nominations of a Democratic President, again leading to skyrocketing vacancies. Last year the Senate confirmed only 12 Federal circuit and district court judges, the lowest total in 50 years. This year we have yet to confirm 30 Federal circuit and district judges. We are not even keeping up with retirements and attrition. As a result, judicial vacancies are now at 111, again more than 10 percent.

Regrettably, the Senate is not being allowed to consider the consensus, mainstream judicial nominees favorably reported from the Judiciary Committee. It has taken nearly five times as long to consider President Obama's judicial nominations as it did to consider President Bush's during his first 2 years in office. During the first 2 years

of the Bush administration, the 100 judges confirmed were considered by the Senate an average of 25 days from being reported by the Judiciary Committee. The average time for confirmed circuit court nominees was 26 days. By contrast, if the Senate were allowed to consider the 34 judicial nominees being stalled by Republican objection and they were all confirmed this week, the average time Federal circuit and district and circuit court judges have been forced to wait after being considered and favorably recommended by the Judiciary Committee since President Obama took office would be five times that of those confirmed during the first 2 years of the Bush administration.

Time is running out in this Congress for Republicans to turn away from the disastrous strategy of blocking nominations across the board. The Senate's longstanding traditions demand that we reject this practice of obstruction. The Federal courts are suffering from rising vacancies and crushing case-loads. The victims are the American people who depend on the courts for justice.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

REMEMBERING JUDGE VINCENT MICELI

• Mrs. BOXER. Mr. President, I ask my colleagues to recognize the extraordinary legacy of the late retired Riverside Superior Court Judge Victor Miceli, who passed away on September 16, 2010. He was a champion of justice, leader of city restoration projects, and preserver of the history of the city of Riverside, CA—his adopted hometown for which he worked diligently for nearly half a century.

After graduating from the University of Pittsburgh Law School in 1952 and serving as the judge advocate general in the U.S. Army, Vincent Miceli relocated to Riverside in 1961. He opened a private civil practice, which he maintained until he was appointed by Governor George Deukmejian to the Superior Court in 1986. Throughout his tenure, during which he served as a presiding judge, he rendered decisions in many high-profile cases, including those involving local politics, city growth, and environmental issues.

Judge Miceli's civic involvement included establishing Federal and State appellate courthouses in downtown Riverside, shaping this area as a justice center enhanced by \$100 million in new construction. He also spearheaded restoration of the historic 1903 Beaux Arts courthouse on the city's Main Street and contributed to the restoration and preservation of the city's historic Evergreen Cemetery. In the words of retired appellate Judge John Gabbert: "His contributions to the City and County of Riverside have just been beyond measure."

I extend my heartfelt condolences to Judge Miceli's family, friends, and colleagues. He will be truly missed.●

REMEMBERING CHARLES RAY CARR

● Mr. SHELBY. Mr. President, I wish to pay tribute to Charles Carr, who passed away on December 2, 2010, following a life dedicated to service, family, and his community. He was a personal friend and, along with his family, I mourn his passing.

A native of Blount County, AL, Charles was a graduate of Oneonta High School and Snead State Junior College. After earning his bachelor's and master's degree in education from Auburn University, Charles began his distinguished career as an educator in the Blount County public schools. Later, he taught at Snead State Junior College and Wallace State Community College. Charles was a well-liked and admired educator by his former colleagues and students.

After serving 13 years in the classroom, his love of teaching and public service led him to Alabama's State capitol where he served on the staff and in the cabinet for Governors George Wallace and Guy Hunt as the director of postsecondary education. There, he also served as a mentor to many of those who would cross his path and give a helping hand to those who felt they did not have a voice. In doing so, he built a wide network of friends across the State.

After leaving the government to work as a private consultant, Charles joined Community Bank. Later, in 2002, he became the executive director of the Blount County-Oneonta Chamber of Commerce, a position that brought him joy and satisfaction. He was deeply committed to his community and I know that he enjoyed promoting it through his position with the chamber.

While Charles had great success in his career, he was first and foremost a family man. He was devoted to his cherished wife and son and enjoyed spending time with his extended family. He is survived by his wife Brenda Maynor Carr of Union Grove; son Jonathan Elliott Carr of Washington, DC; and two brothers, Jim Carr of Oneonta and Ken Carr of Houston, TX.

I ask my colleagues to join me in recognizing and honoring the life of my friend, Charles Carr. He will be greatly missed by all who knew him.●

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED

At 10:03 a.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mrs. Cole, one of its reading clerks, announced that the Speaker has signed the following enrolled bills:

S. 3998. An act to extend the Child Safety Pilot Program.

H.R. 4994. An act to extend certain expiring provisions of the Medicare and Medicaid programs, and for other purposes.

The enrolled bills were subsequently signed by the President pro tempore (Mr. INOUE).

MEASURES READ THE FIRST TIME

The following bill was read the first time:

S. 4023. A bill to provide for the repeal of the Department of Defense policy concerning homosexuality in the Armed Forces known as "Don't Ask, Don't Tell".

ENROLLED BILL PRESENTED

The Secretary of the Senate reported that on today, December 10, 2010, she had presented to the President of the United States the following enrolled bill:

S. 3998. An act to extend the Child Safety Pilot Program.

EXECUTIVE AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

The following communications were laid before the Senate, together with accompanying papers, reports, and documents, and were referred as indicated:

EC-8407. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Office of Policy, Economics, and Innovation, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Pesticide Tolerance Crop Grouping Program II; Revisions to General Tolerance Regulations" (FRL No. 8853-8) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 7, 2010; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-8408. A communication from the Director of the Policy Issuances Division, Food Safety and Inspection Service, Department of Agriculture, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Permission to Use Air Inflation of Meat Carcasses and Parts" (RIN0583-AD33) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 7, 2010; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-8409. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Office of Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, a six-month periodic report relative to the national emergency that was declared in Executive Order 12938 with respect to the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-8410. A communication from the Associate Director, Office of Foreign Assets Control, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Global Terrorism Sanctions Regulations; Terrorism Sanctions Regulations; Foreign Terrorist Organizations Sanctions Regulations" (31 CFR Parts 594, 595, and 597) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 7, 2010; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-8411. A communication from the Assistant to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Regulation Z (Truth in Lending)—Interim Final Rule;

Request for Public Comment" (Docket No. R-1394) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 7, 2010; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-8412. A communication from the Attorney, Office of the General Counsel, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "System Personnel Training Reliability Standards" (Docket No. RM09-25-000) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 7, 2010; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

EC-8413. A communication from the Assistant Secretary of Land and Minerals Management, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement, Department of the Interior, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Increased Safety Measures for Energy Development on the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS)" (RIN1010-AD68) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 7, 2010; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

EC-8414. A communication from the Assistant General Counsel for Legislation, Regulation and Energy Efficiency, Department of Energy, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Conduct of Employees and Former Employees; Exemption From Post-Employment Restrictions for Communications Furnishing Scientific or Technological Information" (RIN1990-AA31) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 7, 2010; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

EC-8415. A communication from the Director of Regulations, Social Security Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Regulations Regarding Income-Related Monthly Adjustment Amounts to Medicare Beneficiaries' Prescription Drug Coverage Premiums" (RIN0960-AH22) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 7, 2010; to the Committee on Finance.

EC-8416. A communication from the Director of Congressional Affairs, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Withdrawal of Regulatory Guide 1.39" (NRC-2010-0354) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 7, 2010; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-8417. A communication from the Director of Congressional Affairs, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Miscellaneous Administrative Changes" ((RIN3150-AH49)(NRC-2009-0085)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 7, 2010; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-8418. A communication from the Director of Congressional Affairs, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Notice of Availability of Model Application and Safety Evaluation for Plant-Specific Adoption of TSTF-431, 'Change in Technical Specifications End States (BAW-2441)'" (NUREG-1430) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 7, 2010; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-8419. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Office of Policy, Economics, and Innovation, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Action to Ensure Authority to Issue

Permits under the Prevention of Significant Deterioration Program to Sources of Greenhouse Gas Emissions: Finding of Substantial Inadequacy and SIP Call" (FRL No. 9236-3) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 7, 2010; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-8420. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Office of Policy, Economics, and Innovation, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Methods for Measurement of Filterable PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} and Measurement of Condensable PM Emissions from Stationary Sources" (FRL No. 9236-2) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 7, 2010; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-8421. A communication from the Railroad Retirement Board, transmitting, pursuant to law, the 2010 Annual Actuarial Report Required by Section 22 of the Railroad Retirement Act of 1974 and Section 502 of the Railroad Retirement Solvency Act of 1983; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC-8422. A communication from the Director, Office of Labor-Management Standards, Department of Labor, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Rescission of Form T-1, Trust Annual Report; Requiring Subsidiary Organization Reporting on the Form LM-2, Labor Organization Annual Report; Modifying Subsidiary Organization Reporting on the Form LM-3, Labor Organization Annual Report; LMRDA Coverage of Intermediate Labor Organizations; Final Rule" (RIN1215-AB75; RIN1245-AA02) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 7, 2010; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC-8423. A communication from the Secretary of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Department's Fiscal Year 2007 Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) Report; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC-8424. A communication from the Deputy Director of Regulations and Policy Management Staff, Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Medical Devices; General and Plastic Surgery Devices; Classification of Non-Powered Suction Apparatus Device Intended for Negative Pressure Wound Therapy" (Docket No. FDA-2010-N-0513) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 7, 2010; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC-8425. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, the semiannual report on the continued compliance of Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Moldova, the Russian Federation, Tajikistan, and Uzbekistan with the 1974 Trade Act's freedom of emigration provisions, as required under the Jackson-Vanik Amendment; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC-8426. A communication from the Chief Financial Officer, Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to the requirements of the Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act and the Inspector General Act of 1978; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8427. A communication from the District of Columbia Auditor, transmitting, pur-

suant to law, a report entitled, "Comparative Analysis of Actual Cash Collections to the Revised Revenue Estimate Through the 3rd Quarter of Fiscal Year 2010"; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8428. A communication from the Secretary of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Semi-Annual Report of the Inspector General for the period from April 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8429. A communication from the Chairman of the Broadcasting Board of Governors, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Semi-annual Report of the Board's Inspector General for the period from April 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8430. A communication from the Secretary of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General's Semiannual Report for the period of April 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8431. A communication from the Chairman of the Federal Maritime Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Office of Inspector General's Semiannual Report for the period of April 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8432. A communication from the Chair of the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Semi-Annual Report of the Inspector General for the period from April 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 and the Semi-Annual Management Report for the period ending September 30, 2010; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8433. A communication from the Director, Office of Personnel Management, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Semiannual Report of the Inspector General for the period from April 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 and the Management Response for the period ending September 30, 2010; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8434. A communication from the Staff Director, United States Commission on Civil Rights, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of the appointment of members to the Kentucky Advisory Committee; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

EC-8435. A communication from the Acting Director of the Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Cod in the Western Regulatory Area of the Gulf of Alaska" (RIN0648-XA051) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 7, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8436. A communication from the Acting Director of the Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Big Skate in the Central Regulatory Area of the Gulf of Alaska" (RIN0648-XA066) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 7, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8437. A communication from the Acting Director of the Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Longnose Skate in the Western Regulatory Area of the Gulf of Alaska" (RIN0648-XA067) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 7, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8438. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Security Zones; Sabine Bank Channel, Sabine Pass Channel and Sabine-Neches Waterway, TX" ((RIN1625-AA87) (Docket No. USCG-2009-0316)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8439. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Security Zone, in the vicinity of the Michoud Slip Position..." ((RIN1625-AA87) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0846)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8440. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Security Zones; Captain of the Port Buffalo Zone; Technical Amendment" ((RIN1625-AA87) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0821)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8441. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Navigation and Navigable Waters; Technical, Organizational, and Conforming Amendments, Sector Puget Sound, WA; Correction" ((RIN1625-ZA25) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0351)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8442. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Safety Zone; Ledge Removal Project, Bass Harbor, Maine" ((RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0806)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8443. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Safety Zone; Blue Angels at Kaneohe Bay Air Show, Oahu, HI" ((RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0705)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8444. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Safety Zone; Monte Foundation Firework Display, Monterey, CA" ((RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0620)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8445. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Safety Zone; Interstate 5 Bridge Repairs, Columbia River, Portland, OR" ((RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0895)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8446. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Safety Zone; IJSBA World Finals, Lower Colorado River, Lake Havasu, AZ" ((RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0509)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8447. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Safety Zone; New York Air Show at Jones Beach State Park, Atlantic Ocean Off of Jones Beach, Wantagh, NY" ((RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0138)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8448. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Natchez Fireworks Safety Zone; Lower Mississippi River, Mile Marker 365.5 to Mile Marker 363, Natchez, MS" ((RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0872)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8449. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Shipping; Technical, Organizational, and Conforming Amendments" ((RIN1625-ZA27) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0759)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8450. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Regulated Navigation Area; Reserved Channel, Boston Harbor, Boston, MA" ((RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0886)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8451. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, Beaufort, SC" ((RIN1625-AA09) (Docket No. USCG-2009-1075)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8452. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Notification of Arrival in U.S. Ports; Certain Dangerous Cargoes" ((RIN1625-AA93) (Docket No. USCG-2004-19963)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

The following reports of committees were submitted:

By Mr. LIEBERMAN, from the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs:

Special Report entitled "Activities of the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs" (Rept. No. 111-360).

By Mrs. BOXER, from the Committee on Environment and Public Works, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute:

S. 787. A bill to amend the Federal Water Pollution Control Act to clarify the jurisdiction of the United States over waters of the United States (Rept. No. 111-361).

By Mr. ROCKEFELLER, from the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute:

S. 1748. A bill to establish a program of research, recovery, and other activities to provide for the recovery of the southern sea otter (Rept. No. 111-362).

S. 3605. A bill to invest in innovation through research and development, to improve the competitiveness of the United States, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 111-363).

By Mr. LIEBERMAN, from the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute:

S. 3806. A bill to protect Federal employees and visitors, improve the security of Federal facilities and authorize and modernize the Federal Protective Service.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mr. LIEBERMAN (for himself, Mr. UDALL of Colorado, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Ms. COLLINS, Mrs. LINCOLN, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. REID, Mr. FRANKEN, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Mr. COONS, Mr. KERRY, Mr. DODD, Mr. AKAKA, Mr. CARDIN, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mrs. BOXER, Mr. UDALL of New Mexico, Mr. BENNETT, Mr. HARKIN, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. LEVIN, Mr. MERKLEY, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. WYDEN, and Mr. BROWN of Ohio):

S. 4023. A bill to provide for the repeal of the Department of Defense policy concerning homosexuality in the Armed Forces known as "Don't Ask, Don't Tell"; read the first time.

By Mr. WYDEN (for himself and Ms. SNOWE):

S. 4024. A bill to reduce the costs of prescription drugs for Medicare beneficiaries and to guarantee access to comprehensive prescription drug coverage under part D of the Medicare program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. MENENDEZ (for himself, Mr. HARKIN, Mr. KERRY, Mr. LEVIN, Mr. LIEBERMAN, Ms. STABENOW, Ms. MIKULSKI, and Mr. DODD):

S.J. Res. 41. A joint resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States relative to equal rights for men and women; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND SENATE RESOLUTIONS

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Mr. SCHUMER (for himself and Mr. BENNETT):

S. Res. 700. A resolution to provide for the approval of final regulations issued by the Office of Compliance to implement the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998 that apply to the Senate and employees of the Senate; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. SCHUMER (for himself and Mr. BENNETT):

S. Con. Res. 77. A concurrent resolution to provide for the approval of final regulations issued by the Office of Compliance to implement the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998 that apply to certain legislative branch employing offices and their covered employees; considered and agreed to.

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 167

At the request of Mr. KOHL, the name of the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. CASEY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 167, a bill to amend the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 to enhance the COPS ON THE BEAT grant program, and for other purposes.

S. 3073

At the request of Mr. LEVIN, the name of the Senator from Illinois (Mr. KIRK) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3073, a bill to amend the Federal Water Pollution Control Act to protect and restore the Great Lakes.

S. 4020

At the request of Mr. WICKER, the name of the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. COBURN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 4020, a bill to protect 10th Amendment rights by providing special standing for State government officials to challenge proposed regulations, and for other purposes.

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

By Mr. WYDEN (for himself and Ms. SNOWE):

S. 4024. A bill to reduce the costs of prescription drugs for Medicare beneficiaries and to guarantee access to comprehensive prescription drug coverage under part D of the Medicare program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. WYDEN. Mr. President, today, I am pleased to reintroduce the Medicare Enhancements for Needed Drugs Act, the MEND Act, with my colleague, Senator OLYMPIA SNOWE. One of the most important promises of the original Medicare Part D debate, and from the more recent health reform debate, is to drive cost containment in the field of prescription drugs. Allowing Medicare to negotiate for drug prices would be a groundbreaking cost containment measure for a senior who

might otherwise be bankrupted by their prescription drug costs. The legislation introduced today clearly prohibits price setting or the creation of a uniform formulary. It simply allows the Medicare program to be a smart shopper by allowing Medicare to go into the market and use its clout just like any other big purchaser.

Certainly, there is a significant group of special interests in this town that do not want the Federal Government to be a smart shopper. The number of lobbyists that have worked against this legislation over the years has been just staggering. For example, the Center for Responsive Politics estimated that last year the pharmaceutical industry spent over \$250 million for lobbying to squash initiatives such as this. And make no mistake about what the special interests who oppose this legislation want to do. They would rather soak senior citizens and the taxpayers and add to the budget deficit than to have to negotiate with the Federal Government.

According to CMS actuaries, the Medicare Part D drug benefit is already funded with over \$50 billion a year in taxpayer dollars and will cost the country substantially more in the future. To be good stewards of taxpayer dollars, to be able to strengthen the program and to help seniors truly save, Congress must look toward using every logical tool to lower costs. The Congressional Budget Office has indicated that the type of targeted approach to negotiating drug pricing in the MEND Act could potentially generate cost savings for the Medicare program and for beneficiaries. It would be irresponsible for the Congress not to try and potentially achieve savings for a program that so many Americans rely on.

The legislation that Senator SNOWE and I put forward today is a common-sense proposal. Having the Secretary negotiate for more competitive drug pricing is an idea that has broad public support. An AARP poll reported that 87 percent of United States adult residents support government negotiation of prescription drug prices for the Medicare benefit. Young, old, rich, poor, Democrat, Republican—our citizens strongly support this approach and probably wonder why it has taken so long to implement it.

Under the MEND Act, the Secretary could negotiate in any circumstance, but must negotiate in several instances: for single source drugs for which there is no therapeutic equivalent; drugs for which taxpayer funding was substantial in its research and development; and for any fallback prescription plan that Medicare must provide. In addition, this legislation requires the Secretary to provide a fallback plan if there is not comprehensive coverage, including coverage for the so-called “doughnut hole”, available in a region.

I have always believed that negotiating is not a one-size-fits-all proposition. That is why my good friend, Senator SNOWE, and I have repeatedly proposed language that includes no uniform formulary. This legislation emphasizes the concept of “bargaining power”—not price controls, not rules set in Washington, DC, not a one-size-fits-all approach, nothing that would discourage innovation among pharmaceutical companies, but simply “bargaining power.”

All Americans are affected by prescription drug costs. Particularly hard hit are older people, particularly low-income older people, and people with large prescription drug bills. AARP publishes an annual Rx Watchdog report. They note that for the nearly 200 brand-name medications most commonly used by older people, the costs of those medicines had gone up by 9.7 percent over a recent 12-month period, even though the general inflation rate was below 1 percent. This situation is unreasonable and unsustainable, and it is hurting our most vulnerable citizens. As noted by AARP, seniors are affected more than any other segment of the U.S. population by prescription drug cost. Every dollar we can save for a senior citizen is also a dollar saved for the taxpayers, and when you are talking about nearly 30 million seniors enrolled in Part D coverage, that starts to add up to real money for the Medicare program.

If we can save even a little bit we owe it to seniors to do just that. There are seniors who have to pay thousands of dollars for a cancer drug when there are no other options for treatment. Interestingly, some of these life-saving drugs have been developed with our tax dollars, through research sponsored by Federal agencies such as the NIH. Whenever I am in Oregon at a town hall meeting, I am always asked, “How many times do we have to pay for drugs? Our tax dollars go toward research and development, and then taxpayers have to pay again when the drug is patented and put on the open market.” In cases where substantial Federal research dollars went into creating the drug, I believe the Secretary ought to step in and see what kind of a better deal can be garnered on behalf of seniors.

I would like to acknowledge Senator SNOWE's efforts on behalf of our Medicare beneficiaries and taxpayers. She and I have worked on this particular issue for a number of years. This bipartisan proposal is an effort to follow up on the promise she and I made to our citizens back home to improve the Part D drug benefit. I thank Senator SNOWE, who is always trying to find common, bipartisan ground, which is, of course, the only way you get important work done in the Senate. This legislation certainly qualifies as important work. I urge my colleagues to join with us in

supporting this bipartisan legislation to contain prescription drug costs for our Nation's seniors.

Ms. SNOWE. Mr. President, I join with my colleague and friend, Senator RON WYDEN, to introduce legislation which we have sponsored since 2004 to ensure the sound fiscal management of the Medicare prescription drug benefit.

Unquestionably, this new benefit marks a milestone for Medicare. Today millions of American seniors are at last receiving assistance with the high cost of prescription drugs. For so many, that will make a difference between choosing whether to take needed medications and providing the other necessities of life. We have indeed come a very long way. We look forward to realizing the many benefits of this coverage as we see the results of more affordable access to prescription drugs—better health for our seniors and substantial health care savings.

At the same time, there is no doubt that this benefit can be improved. We have heard estimates that the average senior is saving an average of \$1,000 per year, but we should ask how that saving is being achieved. The discovery by many seniors—when they reached the doughnut hole—that their cost of medications was the same or even higher than what they paid prior to enrolling in Part D—that should be a red flag that we may not be seeing the purchasing power of seniors harnessed for the savings they deserve.

Our system is working well in terms of subsidy, but certainly needs to improve in terms of negotiating substantial discounts. As Senator WYDEN and I learned from GAO reports we have received, the prices of drugs used by seniors have inexorably increased since 2000 at two to three times the inflation rate. According to the New York Times, last year's brand drug price increase average of over 9 percent represents the highest annual rate of inflation for drug prices since 1992.

So the costs of this program will remain a concern. Most of us envisioned that not only would the taxpayer contribute to helping seniors with drug expenses, but we also would realize substantial savings from lower prices on prescription drugs.

That is why Senator WYDEN and I proposed to achieve some balance in the public-private partnership which is Part D today, and it is why today we are again introducing the Medicare Enhancements for Needed Drugs Act—the MEND Act. In this drug benefit the HHS Secretary should have a proper role in negotiation—negotiation, not price setting.

It is clear that what the Congress intended to do was to create a true public-private partnership, utilizing competitive forces to bring more choices to seniors—in drugs, benefit plan designs, pharmacies, and more. So seniors can vote with their pocketbooks, and we

can see their choices in the market influence the kind of benefit they receive. That is not the same as a system in which the government sets prices, and that is why our legislation specifically bans such a practice. Under our legislation, the Federal Government cannot set either prices or formularies—that is absolutely clear.

What I believe most of us desire to do is give the current system the best tools to achieve success. That means that the Secretary must have an oversight role. Our legislation rescinds the noninterference clause and directs the Secretary to negotiate for any necessary fallback plan, and in addition, to respond to requests for help from plans which cannot obtain reasonable negotiation.

We have also added two areas in which the Secretary must negotiate. First, as the CBO has stated that negotiation of single-source drugs could yield savings, our legislation directs the Secretary to engage in negotiation regarding those unique products. We also know that some drugs exist because the taxpayer provides substantial support to see them developed. The public deserves a fair price on those products it made possible, so the Secretary should weigh-in in those cases.

Finally, our bill protects beneficiaries by assuring that seniors will have access to a comprehensive coverage option—at least one plan in each region must provide the option to avoid the coverage gap, dreaded doughnut hole. Today, 47 percent of plans offer no coverage, 30 percent only cover generics, and 23 percent cover generics and some brand name drugs.

The bottom line is that our bill protects both beneficiaries and taxpayers within the public-private partnership on which this benefit rests. I call on my colleagues to join us in this effort.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 700—TO PROVIDE FOR THE APPROVAL OF FINAL REGULATIONS ISSUED BY THE OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE TO IMPLEMENT THE VETERANS EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES ACT OF 1998 THAT APPLY TO THE SENATE AND EMPLOYEES OF THE SENATE

Mr. SCHUMER (for himself and Mr. BENNETT) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 700

Resolved, That the following regulations issued by the Office of Compliance on March 21, 2008, and stated in section 4, with the technical corrections described in section 3 and to the extent applied by section 2, are hereby approved:

SEC. 2. APPLICATION OF REGULATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of applying the issued regulations as a body of regula-

tions required by section 304(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1384(a)(2)(B)(i)), the portions of the issued regulations that are unclassified or classified with an “S” designation shall apply to the Senate and employees of the Senate.

(b) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term “employee of the Senate” has the meaning given the term in section 101 of the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1301), except as limited by the regulations (as corrected under section 3).

SEC. 3. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.

(a) CURRENT NAMES OF OFFICES AND HEADS OF OFFICES.—A reference in the issued regulations—

(1) to the Capitol Guide Board or the Capitol Guide Service (which no longer exist) shall be considered to be a reference to the Office of Congressional Accessibility Services;

(2) to the Capitol Police Board shall be considered to be a reference to the Capitol Police;

(3) to the Senate Restaurants (which are no longer public entities) shall be disregarded; and

(4) in sections 1.110(b) and 1.121(c), to the director of an employing office shall be considered to be a reference to the head of an employing office.

(b) CROSS REFERENCES TO PROVISIONS OF REGULATIONS.—A reference in the issued regulations—

(1) in paragraphs (l) and (m) of section 1.102, to subparagraphs (3) through (8) of paragraph (g) of that section shall be considered to be a reference to paragraph (g) of that section;

(2) in section 1.102(l), to subparagraphs (aa) through (dd) of section 1.102(g) shall be considered to be a reference to subparagraphs (aa) through (dd) of that section (as specified in the regulations classified with an “H” classification);

(3) in section 1.102(m), to subparagraphs (aa) through (ee) of section 1.102(g) shall be considered to be a reference to subparagraphs (aa) through (ee) of that section (as specified in the regulations classified with an “S” classification);

(4) in section 1.111(d), to section 1.102(o) shall be considered to be a reference to section 1.102(p); and

(5) in section 1.112, to section 1.102(h) shall be considered to be a reference to section 1.102(i).

(c) CROSS REFERENCES TO OTHER PROVISIONS OF LAW.—A reference in the issued regulations—

(1) to the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act shall be considered to be a reference to the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998;

(2) to 2 U.S.C. 43d(a) shall be considered to be a reference to section 105(a) of the Second Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1978;

(3) to 2 U.S.C. 1316a(3) shall be considered to be a reference to section 4(c)(3) of the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998;

(4) to 5 U.S.C. 2108(3)(c) shall be considered to be a reference to section 2108(3)(C) of title 5, United States Code;

(5) to the Americans with Disabilities Act shall be considered to be a reference to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990;

(6) to the Soil Conservation and Allotment Act shall be considered to be a reference to the Soil Conservation and Domestic Allotment Act; and

(7) to the Agricultural Adjustment Act shall be considered to be a reference to the Agricultural Adjustment Act, reenacted with

amendments by the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937.

(d) OTHER CORRECTIONS.—In the issued regulations—

(1) in section 1.102(g)(1) (in the regulations classified with an “S” classification), the “and” at the end shall be disregarded;

(2) section 1.102(g)(7) (in the regulations classified with an “S” classification) shall be considered to have an “or” at the end;

(3) section 1.109 shall be considered to have an “and” after paragraph (a);

(4) the second sentence of section 1.116 shall be disregarded;

(5) section 1.118(b) shall be considered to have an “and” after paragraph (2) rather than paragraph (1);

(6) a reference in sections 1.118(c)(1) and 1.120(b)(1) to veterans’ “preference eligible” shall be considered to be a reference to “preference eligible”;

(7) sections 1.118(c) and 1.120(b) shall be considered to have an “and” after paragraph (1); and

(8) section 1.121(b)(6)(B) shall be considered to have an “and” at the end.

SEC. 4. REGULATIONS.

When approved by the House of Representatives for the House of Representatives, these regulations will have the prefix “H.” When approved by the Senate for the Senate, these regulations will have the prefix “S.” When approved by Congress for the other employing offices covered by the CAA, these regulations will have the prefix “C.”

In this draft, “H&S Regs” denotes the provisions that would be included in the regulations applicable to be made applicable to the House and Senate, and “C Reg” denotes the provisions that would be included in the regulations to be made applicable to other employing offices.

PART 1—Extension of Rights and Protections Relating to Veterans’ Preference Under Title 5, United States Code, to Covered Employees of the Legislative Branch (section 4(c) of the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998)

SUBPART A—MATTERS OF GENERAL APPLICABILITY TO ALL REGULATIONS PROMULGATED UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE VEOA

Sec.

1.101 Purpose and scope.

1.102 Definitions.

1.103 Adoption of regulations.

1.104 Coordination with section 225 of the Congressional Accountability Act.

SEC. 1.101. PURPOSE AND SCOPE.

(a) Section 4(c) of the VEOA. The Veterans Employment Opportunities Act (VEOA) applies the rights and protections of sections 2108, 3309 through 3312, and subchapter I of chapter 35 of title 5 U.S.C., to certain covered employees within the Legislative branch.

(b) Purpose of regulations. The regulations set forth herein are the substantive regulations that the Board of Directors of the Office of Compliance has promulgated pursuant to section 4(c)(4) of the VEOA, in accordance with the rulemaking procedure set forth in section 304 of the CAA (2 U.S.C. §1384). The purpose of subparts B, C and D of these regulations is to define veterans’ preference and the administration of veterans’ preference as applicable to Federal employment in the Legislative branch. (5 U.S.C. §2108, as applied by the VEOA). The purpose of subpart E of these regulations is to ensure that the principles of the veterans’ preference laws are integrated into the existing employment and retention policies and processes of those employing offices with employees covered by

the VEOA, and to provide for transparency in the application of veterans' preference in covered appointment and retention decisions. Provided, nothing in these regulations shall be construed so as to require an employing office to reduce any existing veterans' preference rights and protections that it may afford to preference eligible individuals.

H Regs: (c) Scope of Regulations. The definition of "covered employee" in Section 4(c) of the VEOA limits the scope of the statute's applicability within the Legislative branch. The term "covered employee" excludes any employee: (1) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (2) whose appointment is made by a Member of Congress within an employing office, as defined by Sec. 101 (9)(A-C) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. § 1301 (9)(A-C) or; (3) whose appointment is made by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (4) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). Accordingly, these regulations shall not apply to any employing office that only employs individuals excluded from the definition of covered employee.

S Regs: (c) Scope of Regulations. The definition of "covered employee" in Section 4(c) of the VEOA limits the scope of the statute's applicability within the Legislative branch. The term "covered employee" excludes any employee: (1) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (2) whose appointment is made or directed by a Member of Congress within an employing office, as defined by Sec. 101(9)(A-C) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. § 1301 (9)(A-C) or; (3) whose appointment is made by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; (4) who is appointed pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 43d(a); or (5) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). Accordingly, these regulations shall not apply to any employing office that only employs individuals excluded from the definition of covered employee.

C Reg: (c) Scope of Regulations. The definition of "covered employee" in Section 4(c) of the VEOA limits the scope of the statute's applicability within the Legislative branch. The term "covered employee" excludes any employee: (1) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (2) whose appointment is made by a Member of Congress or by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (3) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). Accordingly, these regulations shall not apply to any employing office that only employs individuals excluded from the definition of covered employee.

SEC. 1.102. DEFINITIONS.

Except as otherwise provided in these regulations, as used in these regulations:

(a) Accredited physician means a doctor of medicine or osteopathy who is authorized to practice medicine or surgery (as appropriate) by the State in which the doctor practices. The phrase "authorized to practice by the

State" as used in this section means that the provider must be authorized to diagnose and treat physical or mental health conditions without supervision by a doctor or other health care provider.

(b) Act or CAA means the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995, as amended (Pub. L. 104-1, 109 Stat. 3, 2 U.S.C. §§ 1301-1438).

(c) Active duty or active military duty means full-time duty with military pay and allowances in the armed forces, except (1) for training or for determining physical fitness and (2) for service in the Reserves or National Guard.

(d) Appointment means an individual's appointment to employment in a covered position, but does not include any personnel action that an employing office takes with regard to an existing employee of the employing office.

(e) Armed forces means the United States Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, and Coast Guard.

(f) Board means the Board of Directors of the Office of Compliance.

H Regs: (g) Covered employee means any employee of (1) the House of Representatives; and (2) the Senate; (3) the Capitol Guide Board; (4) the Capitol Police Board; (5) the Congressional Budget Office; (6) the Office of the Architect of the Capitol; (7) the Office of the Attending Physician; and (8) the Office of Compliance, but does not include an employee (aa) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (bb) whose appointment is made by a Member of Congress; (cc) whose appointment is made by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (dd) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). The term covered employee includes an applicant for employment in a covered position and a former covered employee.

S Regs: (g) Covered employee means any employees of (1) the House of Representatives; and (2) the Senate; (3) the Capitol Guide Board; (4) the Capitol Police Board; (5) the Congressional Budget Office; (6) the Office of the Architect of the Capitol; (7) the Office of the Attending Physician; and (8) the Office of Compliance, but does not include an employee (aa) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (bb) whose appointment is made or directed by a Member of Congress; (cc) whose appointment is made by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; (dd) who is appointed pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 43d(a); or (ee) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). The term covered employee includes an applicant for employment in a covered position and a former covered employee.

C Reg: (g) Covered employee means any employee of (1) the Capitol Guide Service; (2) the Capitol Police; (3) the Congressional Budget Office; (4) the Office of the Architect of the Capitol; (5) the Office of the Attending Physician; or (6) the Office of Compliance, but does not include an employee: (aa) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; or (bb) whose appointment is made by a Member of Congress or by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a

joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (cc) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). The term covered employee includes an applicant for employment in a covered position and a former covered employee.

(h) Covered position means any position that is or will be held by a covered employee.

(i) Disabled veteran means a person who was separated under honorable conditions from active duty in the armed forces performed at any time and who has established the present existence of a service-connected disability or is receiving compensation, disability retirement benefits, or pensions because of a public statute administered by the Department of Veterans Affairs or a military department.

(j) Employee of the Office of the Architect of the Capitol includes any employee of the Office of the Architect of the Capitol, the Botanic Gardens, or the Senate Restaurants.

(k) Employee of the Capitol Police Board includes any member or officer of the Capitol Police.

(l) Employee of the House of Representatives includes an individual occupying a position the pay of which is disbursed by the Clerk of the House of Representatives, or another official designated by the House of Representatives, or any employment position in an entity that is paid with funds derived from the clerk-hire allowance of the House of Representatives but not any such individual employed by any entity listed in subparagraphs (3) through (8) of paragraph (g) above nor any individual described in subparagraphs (aa) through (dd) of paragraph (g) above.

(m) Employee of the Senate includes any employee whose pay is disbursed by the Secretary of the Senate, but not any such individual employed by any entity listed in subparagraphs (3) through (8) of paragraph (g) above nor any individual described in subparagraphs (aa) through (ee) of paragraph (g) above.

H Regs: (n) Employing office means: (1) the personal office of a Member of the House of Representatives; (2) a committee of the House of Representatives or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (3) any other office headed by a person with the final authority to appoint, hire, discharge, and set the terms, conditions, or privileges of the employment of an employee of the House of Representatives or the Senate.

S Regs: (n) Employing office means: (1) the personal office of a Senator; (2) a committee of the Senate or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (3) any other office headed by a person with the final authority to appoint, or be directed by a Member of Congress to appoint, hire, discharge, and set the terms, conditions, or privileges of the employment of an employee of the House of Representatives or the Senate.

C Reg: (n) Employing office means: the Capitol Guide Board, the Capitol Police Board, the Congressional Budget Office, the Office of the Architect of the Capitol, the Office of the Attending Physician, and the Office of Compliance.

(o) Office means the Office of Compliance.

(p) Preference eligible means veterans, spouses, widows, widowers or mothers who meet the definition of "preference eligible" in 5 U.S.C. § 2108(3)(A)-(G).

(q) Qualified applicant means an applicant for a covered position whom an employing

office deems to satisfy the requisite minimum job-related requirements of the position. Where the employing office uses an entrance examination or evaluation for a covered position that is numerically scored, the term "qualified applicant" shall mean that the applicant has received a passing score on the examination or evaluation.

(r) Separated under honorable conditions means either an honorable or a general discharge from the armed forces. The Department of Defense is responsible for administering and defining military discharges.

(s) Uniformed services means the armed forces, the commissioned corps of the Public Health Service, and the commissioned corps of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

(t) VEOA means the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998 (Pub. L. 105-339, 112 Stat. 3182).

(u) Veterans means persons as defined in 5 U.S.C. §2108(1), or any superseding legislation.

SEC. 1.103. ADOPTION OF REGULATIONS.

(a) Adoption of regulations. Section 4(c)(4)(A) of the VEOA generally authorizes the Board to issue regulations to implement section 4(c). In addition, section 4(c)(4)(B) of the VEOA directs the Board to promulgate regulations that are "the same as the most relevant substantive regulations (applicable with respect to the Executive branch) promulgated to implement the statutory provisions referred to in paragraph (2)" of section 4(c) of the VEOA. Those statutory provisions are section 2108, sections 3309 through 3312, and subchapter I of chapter 35, of title 5, United States Code. The regulations issued by the Board herein are on all matters for which section 4(c)(4)(B) of the VEOA requires a regulation to be issued. Specifically, it is the Board's considered judgment based on the information available to it at the time of promulgation of these regulations, that, with the exception of the regulations adopted and set forth herein, there are no other "substantive regulations (applicable with respect to the Executive branch) promulgated to implement the statutory provisions referred to in paragraph (2)" of section 4(c) of the VEOA that need be adopted.

(b) Modification of substantive regulations. As a qualification to the statutory obligation to issue regulations that are "the same as the most substantive regulations (applicable with respect to the Executive branch)", section 4(c)(4)(B) of the VEOA authorizes the Board to "determine, for good cause shown and stated together with the regulation, that a modification of such regulations would be more effective for the implementation of the rights and protections under" section 4(c) of the VEOA.

(c) Rationale for Departure from the Most Relevant Executive Branch Regulations. The Board concludes that it must promulgate regulations accommodating the human resource systems existing in the Legislative branch; and that such regulations must take into account the fact that the Board does not possess the statutory and Executive Order based government-wide policy making authority underlying OPM's counterpart VEOA regulations governing the Executive branch. OPM's regulations are designed for the competitive service (defined in 5 U.S.C. §2102(a)(2)), which does not exist in the employing offices subject to this regulation. Therefore, to follow the OPM regulations would create detailed and complex rules and procedures for a workforce that does not exist in the Legislative branch, while providing no VEOA protections to the covered

Legislative branch employees. We have chosen to propose specially tailored regulations, rather than simply to adopt those promulgated by OPM, so that we may effectuate Congress' intent in extending the principles of the veterans' preference laws to the Legislative branch through the VEOA.

SEC. 1.104. COORDINATION WITH SECTION 225 OF THE CONGRESSIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY ACT.

Statutory directive. Section 4(c)(4)(C) of the VEOA requires that promulgated regulations must be consistent with section 225 of the CAA. Among the relevant provisions of section 225 are subsection (f)(1), which prescribes as a rule of construction that definitions and exemptions in the laws made applicable by the CAA shall apply under the CAA, and subsection (f)(3), which states that the CAA shall not be considered to authorize enforcement of the CAA by the Executive branch.

SUBPART B—VETERANS' PREFERENCE—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec.

1.105 Responsibility for administration of veterans' preference.

1.106 Procedures for bringing claims under the VEOA.

SEC. 1.105. RESPONSIBILITY FOR ADMINISTRATION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE.

Subject to section 1.106, employing offices with covered employees or covered positions are responsible for making all veterans' preference determinations, consistent with the VEOA.

SEC. 1.106. PROCEDURES FOR BRINGING CLAIMS UNDER THE VEOA.

Applicants for appointment to a covered position and covered employees may contest adverse veterans' preference determinations, including any determination that a preference eligible applicant is not a qualified applicant, pursuant to sections 401-416 of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §§1401-1416, and provisions of law referred to therein; 206a(3) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §§1401, 1316a(3); and the Office's Procedural Rules.

SUBPART C—VETERANS' PREFERENCE IN APPOINTMENTS

Sec.

1.107 Veterans' preference in appointments to restricted covered positions.

1.108 Veterans' preference in appointments to non-restricted covered positions.

1.109 Crediting experience in appointments to covered positions.

1.110 Waiver of physical requirements in appointments to covered positions.

SEC. 1.107. VETERANS' PREFERENCE IN APPOINTMENTS TO RESTRICTED POSITIONS.

In each appointment action for the positions of custodian, elevator operator, guard, and messenger (as defined below and collectively referred to in these regulations as restricted covered positions) employing offices shall restrict competition to preference eligible applicants as long as qualified preference eligible applicants are available. The provisions of sections 1.109 and 1.110 below shall apply to the appointment of a preference eligible applicant to a restricted covered position. The provisions of section 1.108 shall apply to the appointment of a preference eligible applicant to a restricted covered position, in the event that there is more than one preference eligible applicant for the position.

Custodian—One whose primary duty is the performance of cleaning or other ordinary routine maintenance duties in or about a

government building or a building under Federal control, park, monument, or other Federal reservation.

Elevator operator—One whose primary duty is the running of freight or passenger elevators. The work includes opening and closing elevator gates and doors, working elevator controls, loading and unloading the elevator, giving information and directions to passengers such as on the location of offices, and reporting problems in running the elevator.

Guard—One whose primary duty is the assignment to a station, beat, or patrol area in a Federal building or a building under Federal control to prevent illegal entry of persons or property; or required to stand watch at or to patrol a Federal reservation, industrial area, or other area designated by Federal authority, in order to protect life and property; make observations for detection of fire, trespass, unauthorized removal of public property or hazards to Federal personnel or property. The term guard does not include law enforcement officer positions of the Capitol Police Board.

Messenger—One whose primary duty is the supervision or performance of general messenger work (such as running errands, delivering messages, and answering call bells).

SEC. 1.108. VETERANS' PREFERENCE IN APPOINTMENTS TO NON-RESTRICTED COVERED POSITIONS.

(a) Where an employing office has duly adopted a policy requiring the numerical scoring or rating of applicants for covered positions, the employing office shall add points to the earned ratings of those preference eligible applicants who receive passing scores in an entrance examination, in a manner that is proportionately comparable to the points prescribed in 5 U.S.C. §3309. For example, five preference points shall be granted to preference eligible applicants in a 100-point system, one point shall be granted in a 20-point system, and so on.

(b) In all other situations involving appointment to a covered position, employing offices shall consider veterans' preference eligibility as an affirmative factor in the employing office's determination of who will be appointed from among qualified applicants.

SEC. 1.109. CREDITING EXPERIENCE IN APPOINTMENTS TO COVERED POSITIONS.

When considering applicants for covered positions in which experience is an element of qualification, employing offices shall provide preference eligible applicants with credit:

(a) for time spent in the military service (1) as an extension of time spent in the position in which the applicant was employed immediately before his/her entrance into the military service, or (2) on the basis of actual duties performed in the military service, or (3) as a combination of both methods. Employing offices shall credit time spent in the military service according to the method that will be of most benefit to the preference eligible applicant.

(b) for all experience material to the position for which the applicant is being considered, including experience gained in religious, civic, welfare, service, and organizational activities, regardless of whether he/she received pay therefor.

SEC. 1.110. WAIVER OF PHYSICAL REQUIREMENTS IN APPOINTMENTS TO COVERED POSITIONS.

(a) Subject to (c) below, in determining qualifications of a preference eligible for appointment, an employing office shall waive:

(1) with respect to a preference eligible applicant, requirements as to age, height, and

weight, unless the requirement is essential to the performance of the duties of the position; and

(2) with respect to a preference eligible applicant to whom it has made a conditional offer of employment, physical requirements if, in the opinion of the employing office, on the basis of evidence before it, including any recommendation of an accredited physician submitted by the preference eligible applicant, the preference eligible applicant is physically able to perform efficiently the duties of the position;

(b) Subject to (c) below, if an employing office determines, on the basis of evidence before it, including any recommendation of an accredited physician submitted by the preference eligible applicant, that an applicant to whom it has made a conditional offer of employment is preference eligible as a disabled veteran as described in 5 U.S.C. §2108(3)(c) and who has a compensable service-connected disability of 30 percent or more is not able to fulfill the physical requirements of the covered position, the employing office shall notify the preference eligible applicant of the reasons for the determination and of the right to respond and to submit additional information to the employing office, within 15 days of the date of the notification. The director of the employing office may, by providing written notice to the preference eligible applicant, shorten the period for submitting a response with respect to an appointment to a particular covered position, if necessary because of a need to fill the covered position immediately. Should the preference eligible applicant make a timely response, the highest ranking individual or group of individuals with authority to make employment decisions on behalf of the employing office shall render a final determination of the physical ability of the preference eligible applicant to perform the duties of the position, taking into account the response and any additional information provided by the preference eligible applicant. When the employing office has completed its review of the proposed disqualification on the basis of physical disability, it shall send its findings to the preference eligible applicant.

(c) Nothing in this section shall relieve an employing office of any obligations it may have pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. §12101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(3) of the Act, 2 U.S.C. §1302(a)(3).

SUBPART D—VETERANS' PREFERENCE IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE

Sec.

1.111. Definitions applicable in reductions in force.

1.112. Application of preference in reductions in force.

1.113. Crediting experience in reductions in force.

1.114. Waiver of physical requirements in reductions in force.

1.115. Transfer of functions.

SEC. 1.111. DEFINITIONS APPLICABLE IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE.

(a) Competing covered employees are the covered employees within a particular position or job classification, at or within a particular competitive area, as those terms are defined below.

(b) Competitive area is that portion of the employing office's organizational structure, as determined by the employing office, in which covered employees compete for retention. A competitive area must be defined solely in terms of the employing office's organizational unit(s) and geographical loca-

tion, and it must include all employees within the competitive area so defined. A competitive area may consist of all or part of an employing office. The minimum competitive area is a department or subdivision of the employing office within the local commuting area.

(c) Position classifications or job classifications are determined by the employing office, and shall refer to all covered positions within a competitive area that are in the same grade, occupational level or classification, and which are similar enough in duties, qualification requirements, pay schedules, tenure (type of appointment) and working conditions so that an employing office may reassign the incumbent of one position to any of the other positions in the position classification without undue interruption.

(d) Preference Eligibles. For the purpose of applying veterans' preference in reductions in force, except with respect to the application of section 1.114 of these regulations regarding the waiver of physical requirements, the following shall apply:

(1) "active service" has the meaning given it by section 101 of title 37;

(2) "a retired member of a uniformed service" means a member or former member of a uniformed service who is entitled, under statute, to retired, retirement, or retainer pay on account of his/her service as such a member; and

(3) a preference eligible covered employee who is a retired member of a uniformed service is considered a preference eligible only if (A) his/her retirement was based on disability—

(i) resulting from injury or disease received in line of duty as a direct result of armed conflict; or

(ii) caused by an instrumentality of war and incurred in the line of duty during a period of war as defined by sections 101 and 1101 of title 38;

(B) his/her service does not include twenty or more years of full-time active service, regardless of when performed but not including periods of active duty for training; or

(C) on November 30, 1964, he/she was employed in a position to which this subchapter applies and thereafter he/she continued to be so employed without a break in service of more than 30 days.

The definition of "preference eligible" as set forth in 5 U.S.C. §2108 and section 1.102(o) of these regulations shall apply to waivers of physical requirements in determining an employee's qualifications for retention under section 1.114 of these regulations.

H&S Regs: (e) Reduction in force is any termination of a covered employee's employment or the reduction in pay and/or position grade of a covered employee for more than 30 days and that may be required for budgetary or workload reasons, changes resulting from reorganization, or the need to make room for an employee with reemployment or restoration rights. The term "reduction in force" does not encompass a termination or other personnel action: (1) predicated upon performance, conduct or other grounds attributable to an employee, or (2) involving an employee who is employed by the employing office on a temporary basis, or (3) attributable to a change in party leadership or majority party status within the House of Congress where the employee is employed.

C Reg: (e) Reduction in force is any termination of a covered employee's employment or the reduction in pay and/or position grade of a covered employee for more than 30 days and that may be required for budgetary or workload reasons, changes resulting from

reorganization, or the need to make room for an employee with reemployment or restoration rights. The term "reduction in force" does not encompass a termination or other personnel action: (1) predicated upon performance, conduct or other grounds attributable to an employee, or (2) involving an employee who is employed by the employing office on a temporary basis.

(f) Undue interruption is a degree of interruption that would prevent the completion of required work by a covered employee 90 days after the employee has been placed in a different position under this part. The 90-day standard should be considered within the allowable limits of time and quality, taking into account the pressures of priorities, deadlines, and other demands. However, work generally would not be considered to be unduly interrupted if a covered employee needs more than 90 days after the reduction in force to perform the optimum quality or quantity of work. The 90-day standard may be extended if placement is made under this part to a program accorded low priority by the employing office, or to a vacant position.

SEC. 1.112. APPLICATION OF PREFERENCE IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE.

Prior to carrying out a reduction in force that will affect covered employees, employing offices shall determine which, if any, covered employees within a particular group of competing covered employees are entitled to veterans' preference eligibility status in accordance with these regulations. In determining which covered employees will be retained, employing offices will treat veterans' preference as the controlling factor in retention decisions among such competing covered employees, regardless of length of service or performance, provided that the preference eligible employee's performance has not been determined to be unacceptable. Provided, a preference eligible employee who is a "disabled veteran" under section 1.102(h) above who has a compensable service-connected disability of 30 percent or more and whose performance has not been determined to be unacceptable by an employing office is entitled to be retained in preference to other preference eligible employees. Provided, this section does not relieve an employing office of any greater obligation it may be subject to pursuant to the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act (29 U.S.C. §2101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(9) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §1302(a)(9).

SEC. 1.113. CREDITING EXPERIENCE IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE.

In computing length of service in connection with a reduction in force, the employing office shall provide credit to preference eligible covered employees as follows:

(a) a preference eligible covered employee who is not a retired member of a uniformed service is entitled to credit for the total length of time in active service in the armed forces;

(b) a preference eligible covered employee who is a retired member of a uniformed service is entitled to credit for:

(1) the length of time in active service in the armed forces during a war, or in a campaign or expedition for which a campaign badge has been authorized; or

(2) the total length of time in active service in the armed forces if he is included under 5 U.S.C. §3501(a)(3)(A), (B), or (C); and

(c) a preference eligible covered employee is entitled to credit for:

(1) service rendered as an employee of a county committee established pursuant to section 8(b) of the Soil Conservation and Allotment Act or of a committee or association

of producers described in section 10(b) of the Agricultural Adjustment Act; and

(2) service rendered as an employee described in 5 U.S.C. § 2105(c) if such employee moves or has moved, on or after January 1, 1966, without a break in service of more than 3 days, from a position in a nonappropriated fund instrumentality of the Department of Defense or the Coast Guard to a position in the Department of Defense or the Coast Guard, respectively, that is not described in 5 U.S.C. § 2105(c).

SEC. 1.114. WAIVER OF PHYSICAL REQUIREMENTS IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE.

(a) If an employing office determines, on the basis of evidence before it, that a covered employee is preference eligible, the employing office shall waive, in determining the covered employee's retention status in a reduction in force:

(1) requirements as to age, height, and weight, unless the requirement is essential to the performance of the duties of the position; and

(2) physical requirements if, in the opinion of the employing office, on the basis of evidence before it, including any recommendation of an accredited physician submitted by the employee, the preference eligible covered employee is physically able to perform efficiently the duties of the position.

(b) If an employing office determines that a covered employee who is a preference eligible as a disabled veteran as described in 5 U.S.C. § 2108(3)(c) and has a compensable service-connected disability of 30 percent or more is not able to fulfill the physical requirements of the covered position, the employing office shall notify the preference eligible covered employee of the reasons for the determination and of the right to respond and to submit additional information to the employing office within 15 days of the date of the notification. Should the preference eligible covered employee make a timely response, the highest ranking individual or group of individuals with authority to make employment decisions on behalf of the employing office, shall render a final determination of the physical ability of the preference eligible covered employee to perform the duties of the covered position, taking into account the evidence before it, including the response and any additional information provided by the preference eligible. When the employing office has completed its review of the proposed disqualification on the basis of physical disability, it shall send its findings to the preference eligible covered employee.

(c) Nothing in this section shall relieve an employing office of any obligation it may have pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(3) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. § 1302(a)(3).

SEC. 1.115. TRANSFER OF FUNCTIONS.

(a) When a function is transferred from one employing office to another employing office, each covered employee in the affected position classifications or job classifications in the function that is to be transferred shall be transferred to the receiving employing office for employment in a covered position for which he/she is qualified before the receiving employing office may make an appointment from another source to that position.

(b) When one employing office is replaced by another employing office, each covered employee in the affected position classifications or job classifications in the employing office to be replaced shall be transferred to the replacing employing office for employment in a covered position for which he/she

is qualified before the replacing employing office may make an appointment from another source to that position.

SUBPART E—ADOPTION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE POLICIES, RECORDKEEPING & INFORMATIONAL REQUIREMENTS.

Sec.

1.116. Adoption of veterans' preference policy.

1.117. Preservation of records made or kept.

1.118. Dissemination of veterans' preference policies to applicants for covered positions.

1.119. Information regarding veterans' preference determinations in appointments.

1.120. Dissemination of veterans' preference policies to covered employees.

1.121. Written notice prior to a reduction in force.

SEC. 1.116. ADOPTION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE POLICY.

No later than 120 calendar days following Congressional approval of this regulation, each employing office that employs one or more covered employees or that seeks applicants for a covered position shall adopt its written policy specifying how it has integrated the veterans' preference requirements of the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998 and these regulations into its employment and retention processes. Upon timely request and the demonstration of good cause, the Executive Director, in his/her discretion, may grant such an employing office additional time for preparing its policy. Each such employing office will make its policies available to applicants for appointment to a covered position and to covered employees in accordance with these regulations. The act of adopting a veterans' preference policy shall not relieve any employing office of any other responsibility or requirement of the Veterans Employment Opportunity Act of 1998 or these regulations. An employing office may amend or replace its veterans' preference policies as it deems necessary or appropriate, so long as the resulting policies are consistent with the VEOA and these regulations.

SEC. 1.117. PRESERVATION OF RECORDS MADE OR KEPT.

An employing office that employs one or more covered employees or that seeks applicants for a covered position shall maintain any records relating to the application of its veterans' preference policy to applicants for covered positions and to workforce adjustment decisions affecting covered employees for a period of at least one year from the date of the making of the record or the date of the personnel action involved or, if later, one year from the date on which the applicant or covered employee is notified of the personnel action. Where a claim has been brought under section 401 of the CAA against an employing office under the VEOA, the respondent employing office shall preserve all personnel records relevant to the claim until final disposition of the claim. The term "personnel records relevant to the claim", for example, would include records relating to the veterans' preference determination regarding the person bringing the claim and records relating to any veterans' preference determinations regarding other applicants for the covered position the person sought, or records relating to the veterans' preference determinations regarding other covered employees in the person's position or job classification. The date of final disposition of the charge or the action means the latest of the date of expiration of the statutory period within which the aggrieved per-

son may file a complaint with the Office or in a U.S. District Court or, where an action is brought against an employing office by the aggrieved person, the date on which such litigation is terminated.

SEC. 1.118. DISSEMINATION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE POLICIES TO APPLICANTS FOR COVERED POSITIONS.

(a) An employing office shall state in any announcements and advertisements it makes concerning vacancies in covered positions that the staffing action is governed by the VEOA.

(b) An employing office shall invite applicants for a covered position to identify themselves as veterans' preference eligible applicants, provided that in doing so:

(1) the employing office shall state clearly on any written application or questionnaire used for this purpose or make clear orally, if a written application or questionnaire is not used, that the requested information is intended for use solely in connection with the employing office's obligations and efforts to provide veterans' preference to preference eligible applicants in accordance with the VEOA; and

(2) the employing office shall state clearly that disabled veteran status is requested on a voluntary basis, that it will be kept confidential in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(3) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. § 1302(a)(3), that refusal to provide it will not subject the individual to any adverse treatment except the possibility of an adverse determination regarding the individual's status as a preference eligible applicant as a disabled veteran under the VEOA, and that any information obtained in accordance with this section concerning the medical condition or history of an individual will be collected, maintained and used only in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(3) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. § 1302(a)(3).

(3) the employing office shall state clearly that applicants may request information about the employing office's veterans' preference policies as they relate to appointments to covered positions, and shall describe the employing office's procedures for making such requests.

(c) Upon written request by an applicant for a covered position, an employing office shall provide the following information in writing:

(1) the VEOA definition of veterans' "preference eligible" as set forth in 5 U.S.C. § 2108 or any superseding legislation, providing the actual, current definition in a manner designed to be understood by applicants, along with the statutory citation;

(2) the employing office's veterans' preference policy or a summary description of the employing office's veterans' preference policy as it relates to appointments to covered positions, including any procedures the employing office shall use to identify preference eligible employees;

(3) the employing office may provide other information to applicants regarding its veterans' preference policies and practices, but is not required to do so by these regulations.

(d) Employing offices are also expected to answer questions from applicants for covered positions that are relevant and non-confidential concerning the employing office's veterans' preference policies and practices.

SEC. 1.119. INFORMATION REGARDING VETERANS' PREFERENCE DETERMINATIONS IN APPOINTMENTS.

Upon written request by an applicant for a covered position, the employing office shall

promptly provide a written explanation of the manner in which veterans' preference was applied in the employing office's appointment decision regarding that applicant. Such explanation shall include at a minimum:

(a) the employing office's veterans' preference policy or a summary description of the employing office's veterans' preference policy as it relates to appointments to covered positions; and

(b) a statement as to whether the applicant is preference eligible and, if not, a brief statement of the reasons for the employing office's determination that the applicant is not preference eligible.

SEC. 1.120. DISSEMINATION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE POLICIES TO COVERED EMPLOYEES.

(a) If an employing office that employs one or more covered employees provides any written guidance to such employees concerning employee rights generally or reductions in force more specifically, such as in a written employee policy, manual or handbook, such guidance must include information concerning veterans' preference under the VEOA, as set forth in subsection (b) of this regulation.

(b) Written guidances described in subsection (a) above shall include, at a minimum:

(1) the VEOA definition of veterans' "preference eligible" as set forth in 5 U.S.C. §2108 or any superseding legislation, providing the actual, current definition along with the statutory citation;

(2) the employing office's veterans' preference policy or a summary description of the employing office's veterans' preference policy as it relates to reductions in force, including the procedures the employing office shall take to identify preference eligible employees.

(3) the employing office may provide other information in its guidances regarding its veterans' preference policies and practices, but is not required to do so by these regulations.

(c) Employing offices are also expected to answer questions from covered employees that are relevant and non-confidential concerning the employing office's veterans' preference policies and practices.

SEC. 1.121. WRITTEN NOTICE PRIOR TO A REDUCTION IN FORCE.

(a) Except as provided under subsection (c), a covered employee may not be released due to a reduction in force, unless the covered employee and the covered employee's exclusive representative for collective-bargaining purposes (if any) are given written notice, in conformance with the requirements of paragraph (b), at least 60 days before the covered employee is so released.

(b) Any notice under paragraph (a) shall include—

(1) the personnel action to be taken with respect to the covered employee involved;

(2) the effective date of the action;

(3) a description of the procedures applicable in identifying employees for release;

(4) the covered employee's competitive area;

(5) the covered employee's eligibility for veterans' preference in retention and how that preference eligibility was determined;

(6) the retention status and preference eligibility of the other employees in the affected position classifications or job classifications within the covered employee's competitive area, by providing:

(A) a list of all covered employee(s) in the covered employee's position classification or

job classification and competitive area who will be retained by the employing office, identifying those employees by job title only and stating whether each such employee is preference eligible; and

(B) a list of all covered employee(s) in the covered employee's position classification or job classification and competitive area who will not be retained by the employing office, identifying those employees by job title only and stating whether each such employee is preference eligible.

(7) a description of any appeal or other rights which may be available.

(c) The director of the employing office may, in writing, shorten the period of advance notice required under subsection (a), with respect to a particular reduction in force, if necessary because of circumstances not reasonably foreseeable.

(d) No notice period may be shortened to less than 30 days under this subsection.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 77—TO PROVIDE FOR THE APPROVAL OF FINAL REGULATIONS ISSUED BY THE OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE TO IMPLEMENT THE VETERANS EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES ACT OF 1998 THAT APPLY TO CERTAIN LEGISLATIVE BRANCH EMPLOYING OFFICES AND THEIR COVERED EMPLOYEES

Mr. SCHUMER (for himself and Mr. BENNETT) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. CON. RES. 77

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring). That the following regulations issued by the Office of Compliance on March 21, 2008, and stated in section 4, with the technical corrections described in section 3 and to the extent applied by section 2, are hereby approved:

SEC. 2. APPLICATION OF REGULATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of applying the issued regulations as a body of regulations required by section 304(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1384(a)(2)(B)(iii)), the portions of the issued regulations that are unclassified or classified with a "C" designation shall apply to all covered employees that are not employees of the House of Representatives or employees of the Senate, and employing offices that are not offices of the House of Representatives or the Senate.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms "employee of the House of Representatives", "employee of the Senate", "covered employee", and "employing office" have the meanings given the terms in section 101 of the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1301), except as limited by the regulations (as corrected under section 3).

SEC. 3. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.

(a) CURRENT NAMES OF OFFICES AND HEADS OF OFFICES.—A reference in the issued regulations—

(1) to the Capitol Guide Board or the Capitol Guide Service (which no longer exist) shall be considered to be a reference to the Office of Congressional Accessibility Services;

(2) to the Capitol Police Board shall be considered to be a reference to the Capitol Police;

(3) to the Senate Restaurants (which are no longer public entities) shall be disregarded; and

(4) in sections 1.110(b) and 1.121(c), to the director of an employing office shall be considered to be a reference to the head of an employing office.

(b) CROSS REFERENCES TO PROVISIONS OF REGULATIONS.—A reference in the issued regulations—

(1) in paragraphs (l) and (m) of section 1.102, to subparagraphs (3) through (8) of paragraph (g) of that section shall be considered to be a reference to paragraph (g) of that section;

(2) in section 1.102(l), to subparagraphs (aa) through (dd) of section 1.102(g) shall be considered to be a reference to subparagraphs (aa) through (dd) of that section (as specified in the regulations classified with an "H" classification);

(3) in section 1.102(m), to subparagraphs (aa) through (ee) of section 1.102(g) shall be considered to be a reference to subparagraphs (aa) through (ee) of that section (as specified in the regulations classified with an "S" classification);

(4) in section 1.111(d), to section 1.102(o) shall be considered to be a reference to section 1.102(p); and

(5) in section 1.112, to section 1.102(h) shall be considered to be a reference to section 1.102(i).

(c) CROSS REFERENCES TO OTHER PROVISIONS OF LAW.—A reference in the issued regulations—

(1) to the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act shall be considered to be a reference to the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998;

(2) to 2 U.S.C. 43d(a) shall be considered to be a reference to section 105(a) of the Second Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1978;

(3) to 2 U.S.C. 1316a(3) shall be considered to be a reference to section 4(c)(3) of the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998;

(4) to 5 U.S.C. 2108(3)(c) shall be considered to be a reference to section 2108(3)(C) of title 5, United States Code;

(5) to the Americans with Disabilities Act shall be considered to be a reference to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990;

(6) to the Soil Conservation and Allotment Act shall be considered to be a reference to the Soil Conservation and Domestic Allotment Act; and

(7) to the Agricultural Adjustment Act shall be considered to be a reference to the Agricultural Adjustment Act, reenacted with amendments by the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937.

(d) OTHER CORRECTIONS.—In the issued regulations—

(1) section 1.109 shall be considered to have an "and" after paragraph (a);

(2) the second sentence of section 1.116 shall be disregarded;

(3) section 1.118(b) shall be considered to have an "and" after paragraph (2) rather than paragraph (1);

(4) a reference in sections 1.118(c)(1) and 1.120(b)(1) to veterans' "preference eligible" shall be considered to be a reference to "preference eligible";

(5) sections 1.118(c) and 1.120(b) shall be considered to have an "and" after paragraph (1); and

(6) section 1.121(b)(6)(B) shall be considered to have an "and" at the end.

SEC. 4. REGULATIONS.

When approved by the House of Representatives for the House of Representatives, these regulations will have the prefix "H." When approved by the Senate for the Senate, these regulations will have the prefix "S." When approved by Congress for the other employing offices covered by the CAA, these regulations will have the prefix "C."

In this draft, “H&S Regs” denotes the provisions that would be included in the regulations applicable to be made applicable to the House and Senate, and “C Reg” denotes the provisions that would be included in the regulations to be made applicable to other employing offices.

PART 1—Extension of Rights and Protections Relating to Veterans’ Preference Under Title 5, United States Code, to Covered Employees of the Legislative Branch (section 4(c) of the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998)

SUBPART A—MATTERS OF GENERAL APPLICABILITY TO ALL REGULATIONS PROMULGATED UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE VEOA

Sec.

- 1.101 Purpose and scope.
- 1.102 Definitions.
- 1.103 Adoption of regulations.
- 1.104 Coordination with section 225 of the Congressional Accountability Act.

SEC. 1.101. PURPOSE AND SCOPE.

(a) Section 4(c) of the VEOA. The Veterans Employment Opportunities Act (VEOA) applies the rights and protections of sections 2108, 3309 through 3312, and subchapter I of chapter 35 of title 5 U.S.C., to certain covered employees within the Legislative branch.

(b) Purpose of regulations. The regulations set forth herein are the substantive regulations that the Board of Directors of the Office of Compliance has promulgated pursuant to section 4(c)(4) of the VEOA, in accordance with the rulemaking procedure set forth in section 304 of the CAA (2 U.S.C. §1384). The purpose of subparts B, C and D of these regulations is to define veterans’ preference and the administration of veterans’ preference as applicable to Federal employment in the Legislative branch. (5 U.S.C. §2108, as applied by the VEOA). The purpose of subpart E of these regulations is to ensure that the principles of the veterans’ preference laws are integrated into the existing employment and retention policies and processes of those employing offices with employees covered by the VEOA, and to provide for transparency in the application of veterans’ preference in covered appointment and retention decisions. Provided, nothing in these regulations shall be construed so as to require an employing office to reduce any existing veterans’ preference rights and protections that it may afford to preference eligible individuals.

H Regs: (c) Scope of Regulations. The definition of “covered employee” in Section 4(c) of the VEOA limits the scope of the statute’s applicability within the Legislative branch. The term “covered employee” excludes any employee: (1) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (2) whose appointment is made by a Member of Congress within an employing office, as defined by Sec. 101 (9)(A–C) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §1301 (9)(A–C) or; (3) whose appointment is made by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (4) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). Accordingly, these regulations shall not apply to any employing office that only employs individuals excluded from the definition of covered employee.

S Regs: (c) Scope of Regulations. The definition of “covered employee” in Section 4(c) of the VEOA limits the scope of the statute’s

applicability within the Legislative branch. The term “covered employee” excludes any employee: (1) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (2) whose appointment is made or directed by a Member of Congress within an employing office, as defined by Sec. 101(9)(A–C) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §1301 (9)(A–C) or; (3) whose appointment is made by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; (4) who is appointed pursuant to 2 U.S.C. §43d(a); or (5) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). Accordingly, these regulations shall not apply to any employing office that only employs individuals excluded from the definition of covered employee.

C Reg: (c) Scope of Regulations. The definition of “covered employee” in Section 4(c) of the VEOA limits the scope of the statute’s applicability within the Legislative branch. The term “covered employee” excludes any employee: (1) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (2) whose appointment is made by a Member of Congress or by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (3) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). Accordingly, these regulations shall not apply to any employing office that only employs individuals excluded from the definition of covered employee.

SEC. 1.102. DEFINITIONS.

Except as otherwise provided in these regulations, as used in these regulations:

(a) Accredited physician means a doctor of medicine or osteopathy who is authorized to practice medicine or surgery (as appropriate) by the State in which the doctor practices. The phrase “authorized to practice by the State” as used in this section means that the provider must be authorized to diagnose and treat physical or mental health conditions without supervision by a doctor or other health care provider.

(b) Act or CAA means the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995, as amended (Pub. L. 104-1, 109 Stat. 3, 2 U.S.C. §§1301-1438).

(c) Active duty or active military duty means full-time duty with military pay and allowances in the armed forces, except (1) for training or for determining physical fitness and (2) for service in the Reserves or National Guard.

(d) Appointment means an individual’s appointment to employment in a covered position, but does not include any personnel action that an employing office takes with regard to an existing employee of the employing office.

(e) Armed forces means the United States Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, and Coast Guard.

(f) Board means the Board of Directors of the Office of Compliance.

H Regs: (g) Covered employee means any employee of (1) the House of Representatives; and (2) the Senate; (3) the Capitol Guide Board; (4) the Capitol Police Board; (5) the Congressional Budget Office; (6) the Office of the Architect of the Capitol; (7) the Office of the Attending Physician; and (8) the Office of Compliance, but does not include an employee (aa) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of

the Senate; (bb) whose appointment is made by a Member of Congress; (cc) whose appointment is made by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (dd) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). The term covered employee includes an applicant for employment in a covered position and a former covered employee.

S. Regs: (g) Covered employee means any employees of (1) the House of Representatives; and (2) the Senate; (3) the Capitol Guide Board; (4) the Capitol Police Board; (5) the Congressional Budget Office; (6) the Office of the Architect of the Capitol; (7) the Office of the Attending Physician; and (8) the Office of Compliance, but does not include an employee (aa) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (bb) whose appointment is made or directed by a Member of Congress; (cc) whose appointment is made by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; (dd) who is appointed pursuant to 2 U.S.C. §43d(a); or (ee) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). The term covered employee includes an applicant for employment in a covered position and a former covered employee.

C Reg: (g) Covered employee means any employee of (1) the Capitol Guide Service; (2) the Capitol Police; (3) the Congressional Budget Office; (4) the Office of the Architect of the Capitol; (5) the Office of the Attending Physician; or (6) the Office of Compliance, but does not include an employee: (aa) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; or (bb) whose appointment is made by a Member of Congress or by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (cc) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). The term covered employee includes an applicant for employment in a covered position and a former covered employee.

(h) Covered position means any position that is or will be held by a covered employee.

(i) Disabled veteran means a person who was separated under honorable conditions from active duty in the armed forces performed at any time and who has established the present existence of a service-connected disability or is receiving compensation, disability retirement benefits, or pensions because of a public statute administered by the Department of Veterans Affairs or a military department.

(j) Employee of the Office of the Architect of the Capitol includes any employee of the Office of the Architect of the Capitol, the Botanic Gardens, or the Senate Restaurants.

(k) Employee of the Capitol Police Board includes any member or officer of the Capitol Police.

(l) Employee of the House of Representatives includes an individual occupying a position the pay of which is disbursed by the Clerk of the House of Representatives, or another official designated by the House of Representatives, or any employment position in an entity that is paid with funds derived from the clerk-hire allowance of the

House of Representatives but not any such individual employed by any entity listed in subparagraphs (3) through (8) of paragraph (g) above nor any individual described in subparagraphs (aa) through (dd) of paragraph (g) above.

(m) Employee of the Senate includes any employee whose pay is disbursed by the Secretary of the Senate, but not any such individual employed by any entity listed in subparagraphs (3) through (8) of paragraph (g) above nor any individual described in subparagraphs (aa) through (ee) of paragraph (g) above.

H Regs: (n) Employing office means: (1) the personal office of a Member of the House of Representatives; (2) a committee of the House of Representatives or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (3) any other office headed by a person with the final authority to appoint, hire, discharge, and set the terms, conditions, or privileges of the employment of an employee of the House of Representatives or the Senate.

S Regs: (n) Employing office means: (1) the personal office of a Senator; (2) a committee of the Senate or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (3) any other office headed by a person with the final authority to appoint, hire, discharge, and set the terms, conditions, or privileges of the employment of an employee of the House of Representatives or the Senate.

C Reg: (n) Employing office means: the Capitol Guide Board, the Capitol Police Board, the Congressional Budget Office, the Office of the Architect of the Capitol, the Office of the Attending Physician, and the Office of Compliance.

(o) Office means the Office of Compliance.

(p) Preference eligible means veterans, spouses, widows, widowers or mothers who meet the definition of "preference eligible" in 5 U.S.C. § 2108(3)(A)–(G).

(q) Qualified applicant means an applicant for a covered position whom an employing office deems to satisfy the requisite minimum job-related requirements of the position. Where the employing office uses an entrance examination or evaluation for a covered position that is numerically scored, the term "qualified applicant" shall mean that the applicant has received a passing score on the examination or evaluation.

(r) Separated under honorable conditions means either an honorable or a general discharge from the armed forces. The Department of Defense is responsible for administering and defining military discharges.

(s) Uniformed services means the armed forces, the commissioned corps of the Public Health Service, and the commissioned corps of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

(t) VEOA means the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998 (Pub. L. 105-339, 112 Stat. 3182).

(u) Veterans means persons as defined in 5 U.S.C. § 2108(1), or any superseding legislation.

SEC. 1.103. ADOPTION OF REGULATIONS.

(a) Adoption of regulations. Section 4(c)(4)(A) of the VEOA generally authorizes the Board to issue regulations to implement section 4(c). In addition, section 4(c)(4)(B) of the VEOA directs the Board to promulgate regulations that are "the same as the most relevant substantive regulations (applicable with respect to the Executive branch) promulgated to implement the statutory provisions referred to in paragraph (2)" of section

4(c) of the VEOA. Those statutory provisions are section 2108, sections 3309 through 3312, and subchapter I of chapter 35, of title 5, United States Code. The regulations issued by the Board herein are on all matters for which section 4(c)(4)(B) of the VEOA requires a regulation to be issued. Specifically, it is the Board's considered judgment based on the information available to it at the time of promulgation of these regulations, that, with the exception of the regulations adopted and set forth herein, there are no other "substantive regulations (applicable with respect to the Executive branch) promulgated to implement the statutory provisions referred to in paragraph (2)" of section 4(c) of the VEOA that need be adopted.

(b) Modification of substantive regulations. As a qualification to the statutory obligation to issue regulations that are "the same as the most substantive regulations (applicable with respect to the Executive branch)", section 4(c)(4)(B) of the VEOA authorizes the Board to "determine, for good cause shown and stated together with the regulation, that a modification of such regulations would be more effective for the implementation of the rights and protections under" section 4(c) of the VEOA.

(c) Rationale for Departure from the Most Relevant Executive Branch Regulations. The Board concludes that it must promulgate regulations accommodating the human resource systems existing in the Legislative branch; and that such regulations must take into account the fact that the Board does not possess the statutory and Executive Order based government-wide policy making authority underlying OPM's counterpart VEOA regulations governing the Executive branch. OPM's regulations are designed for the competitive service (defined in 5 U.S.C. § 2102(a)(2)), which does not exist in the employing offices subject to this regulation. Therefore, to follow the OPM regulations would create detailed and complex rules and procedures for a workforce that does not exist in the Legislative branch, while providing no VEOA protections to the covered Legislative branch employees. We have chosen to propose specially tailored regulations, rather than simply to adopt those promulgated by OPM, so that we may effectuate Congress' intent in extending the principles of the veterans' preference laws to the Legislative branch through the VEOA.

SEC. 1.104. COORDINATION WITH SECTION 225 OF THE CONGRESSIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY ACT.

Statutory directive. Section 4(c)(4)(C) of the VEOA requires that promulgated regulations must be consistent with section 225 of the CAA. Among the relevant provisions of section 225 are subsection (f)(1), which prescribes as a rule of construction that definitions and exemptions in the laws made applicable by the CAA shall apply under the CAA, and subsection (f)(3), which states that the CAA shall not be considered to authorize enforcement of the CAA by the Executive branch.

SUBPART B—VETERANS' PREFERENCE—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec.

1.105 Responsibility for administration of veterans' preference.

1.106 Procedures for bringing claims under the VEOA.

SEC. 1.105. RESPONSIBILITY FOR ADMINISTRATION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE.

Subject to section 1.106, employing offices with covered employees or covered positions are responsible for making all veterans' preference determinations, consistent with the VEOA.

SEC. 1.106. PROCEDURES FOR BRINGING CLAIMS UNDER THE VEOA.

Applicants for appointment to a covered position and covered employees may contest adverse veterans' preference determinations, including any determination that a preference eligible applicant is not a qualified applicant, pursuant to sections 401–416 of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §§ 1401–1416, and provisions of law referred to therein; 206a(3) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §§ 1401, 1316a(3); and the Office's Procedural Rules.

SUBPART C—VETERANS' PREFERENCE IN APPOINTMENTS

Sec.

1.107 Veterans' preference in appointments to restricted covered positions.

1.108 Veterans' preference in appointments to non-restricted covered positions.

1.109 Crediting experience in appointments to covered positions.

1.110 Waiver of physical requirements in appointments to covered positions.

SEC. 1.107. VETERANS' PREFERENCE IN APPOINTMENTS TO RESTRICTED POSITIONS.

In each appointment action for the positions of custodian, elevator operator, guard, and messenger (as defined below and collectively referred to in these regulations as restricted covered positions) employing offices shall restrict competition to preference eligible applicants as long as qualified preference eligible applicants are available. The provisions of sections 1.109 and 1.110 below shall apply to the appointment of a preference eligible applicant to a restricted covered position. The provisions of section 1.108 shall apply to the appointment of a preference eligible applicant to a restricted covered position, in the event that there is more than one preference eligible applicant for the position.

Custodian—One whose primary duty is the performance of cleaning or other ordinary routine maintenance duties in or about a government building or a building under Federal control, park, monument, or other Federal reservation.

Elevator operator—One whose primary duty is the running of freight or passenger elevators. The work includes opening and closing elevator gates and doors, working elevator controls, loading and unloading the elevator, giving information and directions to passengers such as on the location of offices, and reporting problems in running the elevator.

Guard—One whose primary duty is the assignment to a station, beat, or patrol area in a Federal building or a building under Federal control to prevent illegal entry of persons or property; or required to stand watch at or to patrol a Federal reservation, industrial area, or other area designated by Federal authority, in order to protect life and property; make observations for detection of fire, trespass, unauthorized removal of public property or hazards to Federal personnel or property. The term guard does not include law enforcement officer positions of the Capitol Police Board.

Messenger—One whose primary duty is the supervision or performance of general messenger work (such as running errands, delivering messages, and answering call bells).

SEC. 1.108. VETERANS' PREFERENCE IN APPOINTMENTS TO NON-RESTRICTED COVERED POSITIONS.

(a) Where an employing office has duly adopted a policy requiring the numerical scoring or rating of applicants for covered positions, the employing office shall add

points to the earned ratings of those preference eligible applicants who receive passing scores in an entrance examination, in a manner that is proportionately comparable to the points prescribed in 5 U.S.C. § 3309. For example, five preference points shall be granted to preference eligible applicants in a 100-point system, one point shall be granted in a 20-point system, and so on.

(b) In all other situations involving appointment to a covered position, employing offices shall consider veterans' preference eligibility as an affirmative factor in the employing office's determination of who will be appointed from among qualified applicants.

SEC. 1.109. CREDITING EXPERIENCE IN APPOINTMENTS TO COVERED POSITIONS.

When considering applicants for covered positions in which experience is an element of qualification, employing offices shall provide preference eligible applicants with credit:

(a) for time spent in the military service (1) as an extension of time spent in the position in which the applicant was employed immediately before his/her entrance into the military service, or (2) on the basis of actual duties performed in the military service, or (3) as a combination of both methods. Employing offices shall credit time spent in the military service according to the method that will be of most benefit to the preference eligible applicant.

(b) for all experience material to the position for which the applicant is being considered, including experience gained in religious, civic, welfare, service, and organizational activities, regardless of whether he/she received pay therefor.

SEC. 1.110. WAIVER OF PHYSICAL REQUIREMENTS IN APPOINTMENTS TO COVERED POSITIONS.

(a) Subject to (c) below, in determining qualifications of a preference eligible for appointment, an employing office shall waive:

(1) with respect to a preference eligible applicant, requirements as to age, height, and weight, unless the requirement is essential to the performance of the duties of the position; and

(2) with respect to a preference eligible applicant to whom it has made a conditional offer of employment, physical requirements if, in the opinion of the employing office, on the basis of evidence before it, including any recommendation of an accredited physician submitted by the preference eligible applicant, the preference eligible applicant is physically able to perform efficiently the duties of the position;

(b) Subject to (c) below, if an employing office determines, on the basis of evidence before it, including any recommendation of an accredited physician submitted by the preference eligible applicant, that an applicant to whom it has made a conditional offer of employment is preference eligible as a disabled veteran as described in 5 U.S.C. § 2108(3)(c) and who has a compensable service-connected disability of 30 percent or more is not able to fulfill the physical requirements of the covered position, the employing office shall notify the preference eligible applicant of the reasons for the determination and of the right to respond and to submit additional information to the employing office, within 15 days of the date of the notification. The director of the employing office may, by providing written notice to the preference eligible applicant, shorten the period for submitting a response with respect to an appointment to a particular covered position, if necessary because of a need to fill the covered position immediately.

Should the preference eligible applicant make a timely response, the highest ranking individual or group of individuals with authority to make employment decisions on behalf of the employing office shall render a final determination of the physical ability of the preference eligible applicant to perform the duties of the position, taking into account the response and any additional information provided by the preference eligible applicant. When the employing office has completed its review of the proposed disqualification on the basis of physical disability, it shall send its findings to the preference eligible applicant.

(c) Nothing in this section shall relieve an employing office of any obligations it may have pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(3) of the Act, 2 U.S.C. § 1302(a)(3).

SUBPART D—VETERANS' PREFERENCE IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE

Sec.

1.111. Definitions applicable in reductions in force.

1.112. Application of preference in reductions in force.

1.113. Crediting experience in reductions in force.

1.114. Waiver of physical requirements in reductions in force.

1.115. Transfer of functions.

SEC. 1.111. DEFINITIONS APPLICABLE IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE.

(a) Competing covered employees are the covered employees within a particular position or job classification, at or within a particular competitive area, as those terms are defined below.

(b) Competitive area is that portion of the employing office's organizational structure, as determined by the employing office, in which covered employees compete for retention. A competitive area must be defined solely in terms of the employing office's organizational unit(s) and geographical location, and it must include all employees within the competitive area so defined. A competitive area may consist of all or part of an employing office. The minimum competitive area is a department or subdivision of the employing office within the local commuting area.

(c) Position classifications or job classifications are determined by the employing office, and shall refer to all covered positions within a competitive area that are in the same grade, occupational level or classification, and which are similar enough in duties, qualification requirements, pay schedules, tenure (type of appointment) and working conditions so that an employing office may reassign the incumbent of one position to any of the other positions in the position classification without undue interruption.

(d) Preference Eligibles. For the purpose of applying veterans' preference in reductions in force, except with respect to the application of section 1.114 of these regulations regarding the waiver of physical requirements, the following shall apply:

(1) "active service" has the meaning given it by section 101 of title 37;

(2) "a retired member of a uniformed service" means a member or former member of a uniformed service who is entitled, under statute, to retired, retirement, or retainer pay on account of his/her service as such a member; and

(3) a preference eligible covered employee who is a retired member of a uniformed service is considered a preference eligible only if

(A) his/her retirement was based on disability—

(i) resulting from injury or disease received in line of duty as a direct result of armed conflict; or

(ii) caused by an instrumentality of war and incurred in the line of duty during a period of war as defined by sections 101 and 1101 of title 38;

(B) his/her service does not include twenty or more years of full-time active service, regardless of when performed but not including periods of active duty for training; or

(C) on November 30, 1964, he/she was employed in a position to which this subchapter applies and thereafter he/she continued to be so employed without a break in service of more than 30 days.

The definition of "preference eligible" as set forth in 5 U.S.C. § 2108 and section 1.102(o) of these regulations shall apply to waivers of physical requirements in determining an employee's qualifications for retention under section 1.114 of these regulations.

H&S Regs: (e) Reduction in force is any termination of a covered employee's employment or the reduction in pay and/or position grade of a covered employee for more than 30 days and that may be required for budgetary or workload reasons, changes resulting from reorganization, or the need to make room for an employee with reemployment or restoration rights. The term "reduction in force" does not encompass a termination or other personnel action: (1) predicated upon performance, conduct or other grounds attributable to an employee, or (2) involving an employee who is employed by the employing office on a temporary basis, or (3) attributable to a change in party leadership or majority party status within the House of Congress where the employee is employed.

C Reg: (e) Reduction in force is any termination of a covered employee's employment or the reduction in pay and/or position grade of a covered employee for more than 30 days and that may be required for budgetary or workload reasons, changes resulting from reorganization, or the need to make room for an employee with reemployment or restoration rights. The term "reduction in force" does not encompass a termination or other personnel action: (1) predicated upon performance, conduct or other grounds attributable to an employee, or (2) involving an employee who is employed by the employing office on a temporary basis.

(f) Undue interruption is a degree of interruption that would prevent the completion of required work by a covered employee 90 days after the employee has been placed in a different position under this part. The 90-day standard should be considered within the allowable limits of time and quality, taking into account the pressures of priorities, deadlines, and other demands. However, work generally would not be considered to be unduly interrupted if a covered employee needs more than 90 days after the reduction in force to perform the optimum quality or quantity of work. The 90-day standard may be extended if placement is made under this part to a program accorded low priority by the employing office, or to a vacant position.

SEC. 1.112. APPLICATION OF PREFERENCE IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE.

Prior to carrying out a reduction in force that will affect covered employees, employing offices shall determine which, if any, covered employees within a particular group of competing covered employees are entitled to veterans' preference eligibility status in accordance with these regulations. In determining which covered employees will be retained, employing offices will treat veterans'

preference as the controlling factor in retention decisions among such competing covered employees, regardless of length of service or performance, provided that the preference eligible employee's performance has not been determined to be unacceptable. Provided, a preference eligible employee who is a "disabled veteran" under section 1.102(h) above who has a compensable service-connected disability of 30 percent or more and whose performance has not been determined to be unacceptable by an employing office is entitled to be retained in preference to other preference eligible employees. Provided, this section does not relieve an employing office of any greater obligation it may be subject to pursuant to the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act (29 U.S.C. § 2101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(9) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. § 1302(a)(9).

SEC. 1.113. CREDITING EXPERIENCE IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE.

In computing length of service in connection with a reduction in force, the employing office shall provide credit to preference eligible covered employees as follows:

(a) a preference eligible covered employee who is not a retired member of a uniformed service is entitled to credit for the total length of time in active service in the armed forces;

(b) a preference eligible covered employee who is a retired member of a uniformed service is entitled to credit for:

(1) the length of time in active service in the armed forces during a war, or in a campaign or expedition for which a campaign badge has been authorized; or

(2) the total length of time in active service in the armed forces if he is included under 5 U.S.C. § 3501(a)(3)(A), (B), or (C); and

(c) a preference eligible covered employee is entitled to credit for:

(1) service rendered as an employee of a county committee established pursuant to section 8(b) of the Soil Conservation and Allotment Act or of a committee or association of producers described in section 10(b) of the Agricultural Adjustment Act; and

(2) service rendered as an employee described in 5 U.S.C. § 2105(c) if such employee moves or has moved, on or after January 1, 1966, without a break in service of more than 3 days, from a position in a nonappropriated fund instrumentality of the Department of Defense or the Coast Guard to a position in the Department of Defense or the Coast Guard, respectively, that is not described in 5 U.S.C. § 2105(c).

SEC. 1.114. WAIVER OF PHYSICAL REQUIREMENTS IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE.

(a) If an employing office determines, on the basis of evidence before it, that a covered employee is preference eligible, the employing office shall waive, in determining the covered employee's retention status in a reduction in force:

(1) requirements as to age, height, and weight, unless the requirement is essential to the performance of the duties of the position; and

(2) physical requirements if, in the opinion of the employing office, on the basis of evidence before it, including any recommendation of an accredited physician submitted by the employee, the preference eligible covered employee is physically able to perform efficiently the duties of the position.

(b) If an employing office determines that a covered employee who is a preference eligible as a disabled veteran as described in 5 U.S.C. § 2108(3)(c) and has a compensable service-connected disability of 30 percent or more is not able to fulfill the physical re-

quirements of the covered position, the employing office shall notify the preference eligible covered employee of the reasons for the determination and of the right to respond and to submit additional information to the employing office within 15 days of the date of the notification. Should the preference eligible covered employee make a timely response, the highest ranking individual or group of individuals with authority to make employment decisions on behalf of the employing office, shall render a final determination of the physical ability of the preference eligible covered employee to perform the duties of the covered position, taking into account the evidence before it, including the response and any additional information provided by the preference eligible. When the employing office has completed its review of the proposed disqualification on the basis of physical disability, it shall send its findings to the preference eligible covered employee.

(c) Nothing in this section shall relieve an employing office of any obligation it may have pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(3) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. § 1302(a)(3).

SEC. 1.115. TRANSFER OF FUNCTIONS.

(a) When a function is transferred from one employing office to another employing office, each covered employee in the affected position classifications or job classifications in the function that is to be transferred shall be transferred to the receiving employing office for employment in a covered position for which he/she is qualified before the receiving employing office may make an appointment from another source to that position.

(b) When one employing office is replaced by another employing office, each covered employee in the affected position classifications or job classifications in the employing office to be replaced shall be transferred to the replacing employing office for employment in a covered position for which he/she is qualified before the replacing employing office may make an appointment from another source to that position.

SUBPART E—ADOPTION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE POLICIES, RECORDKEEPING & INFORMATIONAL REQUIREMENTS.

Sec.

1.116. Adoption of veterans' preference policy.

1.117. Preservation of records made or kept.

1.118. Dissemination of veterans' preference policies to applicants for covered positions.

1.119. Information regarding veterans' preference determinations in appointments.

1.120. Dissemination of veterans' preference policies to covered employees.

1.121. Written notice prior to a reduction in force.

SEC. 1.116. ADOPTION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE POLICY.

No later than 120 calendar days following Congressional approval of this regulation, each employing office that employs one or more covered employees or that seeks applicants for a covered position shall adopt its written policy specifying how it has integrated the veterans' preference requirements of the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998 and these regulations into its employment and retention processes. Upon timely request and the demonstration of good cause, the Executive Director, in his/her discretion, may grant such an employing office additional time for preparing its policy. Each such employing office will make

its policies available to applicants for appointment to a covered position and to covered employees in accordance with these regulations. The act of adopting a veterans' preference policy shall not relieve any employing office of any other responsibility or requirement of the Veterans Employment Opportunity Act of 1998 or these regulations. An employing office may amend or replace its veterans' preference policies as it deems necessary or appropriate, so long as the resulting policies are consistent with the VEOA and these regulations.

SEC. 1.117. PRESERVATION OF RECORDS MADE OR KEPT.

An employing office that employs one or more covered employees or that seeks applicants for a covered position shall maintain any records relating to the application of its veterans' preference policy to applicants for covered positions and to workforce adjustment decisions affecting covered employees for a period of at least one year from the date of the making of the record or the date of the personnel action involved or, if later, one year from the date on which the applicant or covered employee is notified of the personnel action. Where a claim has been brought under section 401 of the CAA against an employing office under the VEOA, the respondent employing office shall preserve all personnel records relevant to the claim until final disposition of the claim. The term "personnel records relevant to the claim", for example, would include records relating to the veterans' preference determination regarding the person bringing the claim and records relating to any veterans' preference determinations regarding other applicants for the covered position the person sought, or records relating to the veterans' preference determinations regarding other covered employees in the person's position or job classification. The date of final disposition of the charge or the action means the latest of the date of expiration of the statutory period within which the aggrieved person may file a complaint with the Office or in a U.S. District Court or, where an action is brought against an employing office by the aggrieved person, the date on which such litigation is terminated.

SEC. 1.118. DISSEMINATION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE POLICIES TO APPLICANTS FOR COVERED POSITIONS.

(a) An employing office shall state in any announcements and advertisements it makes concerning vacancies in covered positions that the staffing action is governed by the VEOA.

(b) An employing office shall invite applicants for a covered position to identify themselves as veterans' preference eligible applicants, provided that in doing so:

(1) the employing office shall state clearly on any written application or questionnaire used for this purpose or make clear orally, if a written application or questionnaire is not used, that the requested information is intended for use solely in connection with the employing office's obligations and efforts to provide veterans' preference to preference eligible applicants in accordance with the VEOA; and

(2) the employing office shall state clearly that disabled veteran status is requested on a voluntary basis, that it will be kept confidential in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(3) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. § 1302(a)(3), that refusal to provide it will not subject the individual to any adverse treatment except the possibility of an adverse determination regarding the individual's status as a preference eligible applicant

as a disabled veteran under the VEOA, and that any information obtained in accordance with this section concerning the medical condition or history of an individual will be collected, maintained and used only in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. §12101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(3) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §1302(a)(3).

(3) the employing office shall state clearly that applicants may request information about the employing office's veterans' preference policies as they relate to appointments to covered positions, and shall describe the employing office's procedures for making such requests.

(c) Upon written request by an applicant for a covered position, an employing office shall provide the following information in writing:

(1) the VEOA definition of veterans' "preference eligible" as set forth in 5 U.S.C. §2108 or any superseding legislation, providing the actual, current definition in a manner designed to be understood by applicants, along with the statutory citation;

(2) the employing office's veterans' preference policy or a summary description of the employing office's veterans' preference policy as it relates to appointments to covered positions, including any procedures the employing office shall use to identify preference eligible employees;

(3) the employing office may provide other information to applicants regarding its veterans' preference policies and practices, but is not required to do so by these regulations.

(d) Employing offices are also expected to answer questions from applicants for covered positions that are relevant and non-confidential concerning the employing office's veterans' preference policies and practices.

SEC. 1.119. INFORMATION REGARDING VETERANS' PREFERENCE DETERMINATIONS IN APPOINTMENTS.

Upon written request by an applicant for a covered position, the employing office shall promptly provide a written explanation of the manner in which veterans' preference was applied in the employing office's appointment decision regarding that applicant. Such explanation shall include at a minimum:

(a) the employing office's veterans' preference policy or a summary description of the employing office's veterans' preference policy as it relates to appointments to covered positions; and

(b) a statement as to whether the applicant is preference eligible and, if not, a brief statement of the reasons for the employing office's determination that the applicant is not preference eligible.

SEC. 1.120. DISSEMINATION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE POLICIES TO COVERED EMPLOYEES.

(a) If an employing office that employs one or more covered employees provides any written guidance to such employees concerning employee rights generally or reductions in force more specifically, such as in a written employee policy, manual or handbook, such guidance must include information concerning veterans' preference under the VEOA, as set forth in subsection (b) of this regulation.

(b) Written guidances described in subsection (a) above shall include, at a minimum:

(1) the VEOA definition of veterans' "preference eligible" as set forth in 5 U.S.C. §2108 or any superseding legislation, providing the actual, current definition along with the statutory citation;

(2) the employing office's veterans' preference policy or a summary description of the employing office's veterans' preference policy as it relates to reductions in force, including the procedures the employing office shall take to identify preference eligible employees.

(3) the employing office may provide other information in its guidances regarding its veterans' preference policies and practices, but is not required to do so by these regulations.

(c) Employing offices are also expected to answer questions from covered employees that are relevant and non-confidential concerning the employing office's veterans' preference policies and practices.

SEC. 1.121. WRITTEN NOTICE PRIOR TO A REDUCTION IN FORCE.

(a) Except as provided under subsection (c), a covered employee may not be released due to a reduction in force, unless the covered employee and the covered employee's exclusive representative for collective-bargaining purposes (if any) are given written notice, in conformance with the requirements of paragraph (b), at least 60 days before the covered employee is so released.

(b) Any notice under paragraph (a) shall include—

(1) the personnel action to be taken with respect to the covered employee involved;

(2) the effective date of the action;

(3) a description of the procedures applicable in identifying employees for release;

(4) the covered employee's competitive area;

(5) the covered employee's eligibility for veterans' preference in retention and how that preference eligibility was determined;

(6) the retention status and preference eligibility of the other employees in the affected position classifications or job classifications within the covered employee's competitive area, by providing:

(A) a list of all covered employee(s) in the covered employee's position classification or job classification and competitive area who will be retained by the employing office, identifying those employees by job title only and stating whether each such employee is preference eligible, and

(B) a list of all covered employee(s) in the covered employee's position classification or job classification and competitive area who will not be retained by the employing office, identifying those employees by job title only and stating whether each such employee is preference eligible.

(7) a description of any appeal or other rights which may be available.

(c) The director of the employing office may, in writing, shorten the period of advance notice required under subsection (a), with respect to a particular reduction in force, if necessary because of circumstances not reasonably foreseeable.

(d) No notice period may be shortened to less than 30 days under this subsection.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 4759. Mr. WICKER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4760. Mrs. GILLIBRAND (for Mr. AKAKA (for himself, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. LIEBERMAN, and Mr. VOINOVICH)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 372, to amend chapter 23 of title 5, United States Code, to clarify the disclosures of information protected from prohibited personnel practices, require a statement in nondisclosure policies, forms, and agreements that such policies, forms, and agreements conform with certain disclosure protections, provide certain authority for the Special Counsel, and for other purposes.

SA 4761. Ms. LANDRIEU (for herself, Mr. VITTER, and Mr. WICKER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4762. Ms. LANDRIEU (for herself, Mr. VITTER, and Mr. WICKER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4763. Mr. BROWN of Ohio (for himself and Ms. STABENOW) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 4759. Mr. WICKER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end, add the following:

TITLE —OIL RECOVERY ZONE

SEC. 1. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Oil Recovery Zone Act".

SEC. 2. RECOVERY ZONE; GULF OIL SPILL.

For purposes of this title—

(1) **RECOVERY ZONE.**—The term "Recovery Zone" means the following counties and parishes under the unified Coast Guard command that responded to the Gulf oil spill:

(A) The counties of Escambia, Santa Rosa, Okaloosa, Walton, Bay, Gulf, Franklin, and Wakulla in the State of Florida.

(B) The counties of Mobile and Baldwin in the State of Alabama.

(C) The counties of Hancock, Harrison, and Jackson in the State of Mississippi.

(D) The parishes of Orleans, St. Tammany, St. Bernard, Plaquemines, Jefferson, Lafourche, Terrebonne, St. Mary, Iberia, Vermilion, and Cameron in the State of Louisiana.

(2) **GULF OIL SPILL.**—The term "Gulf oil spill" means the discharge of oil by reason of the explosion on, and sinking of, the mobile offshore drilling unit Deepwater Horizon.

SEC. 3. NON-RECOGNITION OF INCOME FROM INSURANCE PROCEEDS WHICH ARE REINVESTED IN THE RECOVERY ZONE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, amounts received from any qualified Gulf oil spill payment shall be recognized only to the extent that the amount realized exceeds the qualified investments made by the taxpayer with respect to such qualified Gulf oil spill payment.

(b) QUALIFIED GULF OIL SPILL PAYMENT.—For purposes of this section, the term “qualified Gulf oil spill payment” means—

(1) any proceeds or payments from insurance received in connection with the Gulf oil spill, or

(2) any payment for damages attributable to the Gulf oil spill under section 1002 of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2702) or from the Gulf Coast Claims Facility in connection with the Gulf oil spill.

(c) QUALIFIED INVESTMENT.—For purposes of this section—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The term “qualified investment” means, with respect to any qualified Gulf oil spill payment, the sum of the qualified recovery zone investments which are made by the taxpayer before the date which is 6 months after the later of—

(A) the date of the qualified Gulf oil spill payment, or

(B) the date of the enactment of this Act. In the case of any qualified recovery zone investment made with respect to a qualified recovery zone trade or business described in paragraph (3)(A), the preceding sentence shall be applied by substituting “5 years” for “6 months”.

(2) QUALIFIED RECOVERY ZONE INVESTMENT.—The term “qualified recovery zone investment” means sum of—

(A) amounts paid or incurred for tangible property (to which section 168 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 applies) acquired by purchase (within the meaning of section 179(d)(2) of such Code) for use in the active conduct of a qualified recovery zone trade or business, plus

(B) amounts paid or incurred for start-up expenditures (as defined in section 195(c)) in connection with a qualified recovery zone trade or business.

(3) QUALIFIED RECOVERY ZONE TRADE OR BUSINESS.—The term “qualified recovery zone trade or business” means—

(A) any commercial or charter fishing business, or

(B) any hotel, lodging, recreation, entertainment, or restaurant business located in the recovery zone.

(d) REDUCTION IN BASIS.—For purposes of section 1016 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, the basis in any qualified investment shall be reduced (but not below zero) by the amount of qualified gulf oil spill payments not recognized under this section. The Secretary of the Treasury shall issue guidance providing for the allocation of the reduction of basis among qualified investments.

SEC. 4. 5-YEAR NET OPERATING LOSS CARRYBACK FOR CERTAIN OIL SPILL-RELATED LOSSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, in the case of a taxpayer which has a qualified oil spill loss (as defined in subsection (c)) for a taxable year, such qualified oil spill loss shall be a net operating loss carryback under section 172 of such Code to the elected number of taxable years preceding the taxable year of such loss.

(b) ELECTED NUMBER OF TAXABLE YEARS.—For purposes of subsection (a), the elected

number of taxable years is any whole number elected by the taxpayer which is more than 2 and less than 6.

(c) QUALIFIED OIL SPILL LOSSES.—For purposes of this section—

(1) DEFINITION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this paragraph, the term “qualified oil spill loss” means the lesser of—

(i) the excess of—

(I) the amount of losses in a taxable year ending after April 20, 2010, and before October 1, 2011, incurred by any trade or business operating in the recovery zone and attributable to the Gulf oil spill, over

(II) amounts received during such taxable year as payments for lost profits and earning capacity under section 1002(b)(2)(E) of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2702(b)(2)(E)) or from the Gulf Coast Claims Facility in connection with the Gulf oil spill, or

(ii) the amount of the net operating loss (as defined in section 172(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) for such taxable year.

(B) SAFE HARBOR FOR CERTAIN SMALL BUSINESSES.—In the case of any taxpayer operating a trade or business in the recovery zone the gross revenues of whom for any taxable year ending after April 20, 2010, and before October 1, 2011, do not exceed \$5,000,000, such term means the amount of the net operating loss (as so defined) of such business for such taxable year.

(C) COORDINATION WITH QUALIFIED DISASTER LOSSES.—Such term shall not include any qualified disaster loss (as defined in section 172(j) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986).

(2) COORDINATION WITH SUBSECTION (b)(2).—For purposes of applying section 172(b)(2) of such Code, a qualified oil spill loss for any taxable year shall be treated in a manner similar to the manner in which a specified liability loss (as defined in section 172(f) of such Code) is treated.

(3) ELECTION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Any taxpayer entitled to a carryback under subsection (a) from any loss year may elect to have the carryback period with respect to such loss year determined without regard to subsection (a).

(B) PROCEDURE.—Any election under subsection (a) or subparagraph (A) shall be made in such manner as may be prescribed by the Secretary and shall be made by the due date (including extensions of time) for filing the taxpayer's return for the taxable year of the net operating loss. Such election, once made for any taxable year, shall be irrevocable for such taxable year.

(d) CERTAIN TAXPAYERS EXCLUDED.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to any taxpayer that, during calendar year 2010, owned, operated, or had a contract to operate the mobile offshore drilling unit Deepwater Horizon.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, this section shall apply to net operating losses (as so defined) arising in taxable years ending after April 20, 2010.

(2) TRANSITION RULE.—In the case of a net operating loss (as so defined) for a taxable year ending before the date of the enactment of this Act—

(A) notwithstanding subsection (b)(1)(H)(iii)(II) or (b)(3) of section 172 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, any election made under such subsections with respect to such loss may be revoked before the applicable date,

(B) any election made under subsection (a) with respect to such loss shall (notwithstanding such section) be treated as timely made if made before the applicable date, and

(C) any application under section 6411(a) of such Code with respect to such loss shall be treated as timely filed if filed before the applicable date.

For purposes of this paragraph, the term “applicable date” means the date which is 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SA 4760. Mrs. GILLIBRAND (for Mr. AKAKA (for himself, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. LIEBERMAN, and Mr. VOINOVICH)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 372, to amend chapter 23 of title 5, United States Code, to clarify the disclosures of information protected from prohibited personnel practices, require a statement in nondisclosure policies, forms, and agreements that such policies, forms, and agreements conform with certain disclosure protections, provide certain authority for the Special Counsel, and for other purposes; as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted, insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2010”.

TITLE I—PROTECTION OF CERTAIN DISCLOSURES OF INFORMATION BY FEDERAL EMPLOYEES

SEC. 101. CLARIFICATION OF DISCLOSURES COVERED.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)(i)—

(A) by striking “a violation” and inserting “any violation”; and

(B) by adding “except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties,” after “regulation,”; and

(2) in subparagraph (B)(i)—

(A) by striking “a violation” and inserting “any violation (other than a violation of this section)”; and

(B) by adding “except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties,” after “regulation,”.

(b) PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES UNDER SECTION 2302(b)(9).—

(1) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Title 5, United States Code, is amended in subsections (a)(3), (b)(4)(A), and (b)(4)(B)(i) of section 1214, in subsections (a), (e)(1), and (i) of section 1221, and in subsection (a)(2)(C)(i) of section 2302, by inserting “or section 2302(b)(9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D)” after “section 2302(b)(8)” or “(b)(8)” each place it appears.

(2) OTHER REFERENCES.—(A) Title 5, United States Code, is amended in subsection (b)(4)(B)(i) of section 1214 and in subsection (e)(1) of section 1221, by inserting “or protected activity” after “disclosure” each place it appears.

(B) Section 2302(b)(9) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(i) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

“(A) the exercise of any appeal, complaint, or grievance right granted by any law, rule, or regulation—

“(i) with regard to remedying a violation of paragraph (8); or

“(ii) with regard to remedying a violation of any other law, rule, or regulation;”;

(ii) in subparagraph (B), by inserting “(i) or (ii)” after “subparagraph (A)”.

(C) Section 2302 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(f)(1) A disclosure shall not be excluded from subsection (b)(8) because—

“(A) the disclosure was made to a person, including a supervisor, who participated in an activity that the employee or applicant reasonably believed to be covered by subsection (b)(8)(A)(ii);

“(B) the disclosure revealed information that had been previously disclosed;

“(C) of the employee’s or applicant’s motive for making the disclosure;

“(D) the disclosure was not made in writing;

“(E) the disclosure was made while the employee was off duty; or

“(F) of the amount of time which has passed since the occurrence of the events described in the disclosure.

“(2) If a disclosure is made during the normal course of duties of an employee, the disclosure shall not be excluded from subsection (b)(8) if any employee who has authority to take, direct others to take, recommend, or approve any personnel action with respect to the employee making the disclosure, took, failed to take, or threatened to take or fail to take a personnel action with respect to that employee in reprisal for the disclosure.”.

SEC. 102. DEFINITIONAL AMENDMENTS.

Section 2302(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B)(ii), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in subparagraph (C)(iii), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(D) ‘disclosure’ means a formal or informal communication or transmission, but does not include a communication concerning policy decisions that lawfully exercise discretionary authority unless the employee or applicant providing the disclosure reasonably believes that the disclosure evidences—

“(i) any violation of any law, rule, or regulation, except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties; or

“(ii) gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety.”.

SEC. 103. REBUTTABLE PRESUMPTION.

Section 2302(b) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by amending the matter following paragraph (12) to read as follows:

“This subsection shall not be construed to authorize the withholding of information from Congress or the taking of any personnel action against an employee who discloses information to Congress. For purposes of paragraph (8), any presumption relating to the performance of a duty by an employee whose conduct is the subject of a disclosure as defined under subsection (a)(2)(D) may be rebutted by substantial evidence. For purposes of paragraph (8), a determination as to whether an employee or applicant reasonably believes that such employee or applicant has disclosed information that evidences any violation of law, rule, regulation, gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety shall be made by determining whether a disinterested observer with knowledge of the essential facts known to and readily ascertain-

able by the employee could reasonably conclude that the actions of the Government evidence such violations, mismanagement, waste, abuse, or danger.”.

SEC. 104. PERSONNEL ACTIONS AND PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES.

(a) PERSONNEL ACTION.—Section 2302(a)(2)(A) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in clause (x), by striking “and” after the semicolon; and

(2) by redesignating clause (xi) as clause (xii) and inserting after clause (x) the following:

“(xi) the implementation or enforcement of any nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement; and”.

(b) PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 2302(b) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in paragraph (11), by striking “or” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (12), by striking the period and inserting “; or”; and

(C) by inserting after paragraph (12) the following:

“(13) implement or enforce any nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement, if such policy, form, or agreement does not contain the following statement: ‘These provisions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by Executive Order 13526 (75 Fed. Reg. 707; relating to classified national security information), or any successor thereto; Executive Order 12968 (60 Fed. Reg. 40245; relating to access to classified information), or any successor thereto; section 7211 of title 5, United States Code (governing disclosures to Congress); section 1034 of title 10, United States Code (governing disclosure to Congress by members of the military); section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code (governing disclosures of illegality, waste, fraud, abuse, or public health or safety threats); the Intelligence Identities Protection Act of 1982 (50 U.S.C. 421 et seq.) (governing disclosures that could expose confidential Government agents); and the statutes which protect against disclosures that could compromise national security, including sections 641, 793, 794, 798, and 952 of title 18, United States Code, and section 4(b) of the Subversive Activities Control Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 783(b)). The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by such Executive order and such statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling.”.

(2) NONDISCLOSURE POLICY, FORM, OR AGREEMENT IN EFFECT BEFORE THE DATE OF ENACTMENT.—A nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement that was in effect before the date of enactment of this Act, but that does not contain the statement required under section 2302(b)(13) of title 5, United States Code, (as added by this Act) for implementation or enforcement—

(A) may be enforced with regard to a current employee if the agency gives such employee notice of the statement; and

(B) may continue to be enforced after the effective date of this Act with regard to a former employee if the agency posts notice of the statement on the agency website for the 1-year period following that effective date.

(c) RETALIATORY INVESTIGATIONS.—

(1) AGENCY INVESTIGATION.—Section 1214 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(h) Any corrective action ordered under this section to correct a prohibited personnel

practice may include fees, costs, or damages reasonably incurred due to an agency investigation of the employee, if such investigation was commenced, expanded, or extended in retaliation for the disclosure or protected activity that formed the basis of the corrective action.”.

(2) DAMAGES.—Section 1221(g) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(4) Any corrective action ordered under this section to correct a prohibited personnel practice may include fees, costs, or damages reasonably incurred due to an agency investigation of the employee, if such investigation was commenced, expanded, or extended in retaliation for the disclosure or protected activity that formed the basis of the corrective action.”.

SEC. 105. EXCLUSION OF AGENCIES BY THE PRESIDENT.

Section 2302(a)(2)(C) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking clause (ii) and inserting the following:

“(ii)(I) the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Central Intelligence Agency, the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, and the National Reconnaissance Office; and

“(II) as determined by the President, any executive agency or unit thereof the principal function of which is the conduct of foreign intelligence or counterintelligence activities, provided that the determination be made prior to a personnel action; or”.

SEC. 106. DISCIPLINARY ACTION.

Section 1215(a)(3) of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(3)(A) A final order of the Board may impose—

“(i) disciplinary action consisting of removal, reduction in grade, debarment from Federal employment for a period not to exceed 5 years, suspension, or reprimand;

“(ii) an assessment of a civil penalty not to exceed \$1,000; or

“(iii) any combination of disciplinary actions described under clause (i) and an assessment described under clause (ii).

“(B) In any case brought under paragraph (1) in which the Board finds that an employee has committed a prohibited personnel practice under section 2302(b)(8), or 2302(b)(9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D), the Board may impose disciplinary action if the Board finds that the activity protected under section 2302(b)(8), or 2302(b)(9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D) was a significant motivating factor, even if other factors also motivated the decision, for the employee’s decision to take, fail to take, or threaten to take or fail to take a personnel action, unless that employee demonstrates, by preponderance of evidence, that the employee would have taken, failed to take, or threatened to take or fail to take the same personnel action, in the absence of such protected activity.”.

SEC. 107. REMEDIES.

(a) ATTORNEY FEES.—Section 1204(m)(1) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “agency involved” and inserting “agency where the prevailing party was employed or had applied for employment at the time of the events giving rise to the case”.

(b) DAMAGES.—Sections 1214(g)(2) and 1221(g)(1)(A)(ii) of title 5, United States Code, are amended by striking all after “travel expenses,” and inserting “any other reasonable and foreseeable consequential damages, and compensatory damages (including interest, reasonable expert witness fees, and costs).” each place it appears.

SEC. 108. JUDICIAL REVIEW.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7703(b) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking the matter preceding paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(b)(1)(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B) and paragraph (2) of this subsection, a petition to review a final order or final decision of the Board shall be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any petition for review shall be filed within 60 days after the Board issues notice of the final order or decision of the Board.

“(B) During the 5-year period beginning on the effective date of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2010, a petition to review a final order or final decision of the Board that raises no challenge to the Board’s disposition of allegations of a prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b) other than practices described in section 2302(b)(8), or 2302(b)(9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D) shall be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or any court of appeals of competent jurisdiction as provided under paragraph (2).”

(b) REVIEW OBTAINED BY OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT.—Section 7703(d) of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(d)(1) Except as provided under paragraph (2), this paragraph shall apply to any review obtained by the Director of the Office of Personnel Management. The Director of the Office of Personnel Management may obtain review of any final order or decision of the Board by filing, within 60 days after the Board issues notice of the final order or decision of the Board, a petition for judicial review in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit if the Director determines, in the discretion of the Director, that the Board erred in interpreting a civil service law, rule, or regulation affecting personnel management and that the Board’s decision will have a substantial impact on a civil service law, rule, regulation, or policy directive. If the Director did not intervene in a matter before the Board, the Director may not petition for review of a Board decision under this section unless the Director first petitions the Board for a reconsideration of its decision, and such petition is denied. In addition to the named respondent, the Board and all other parties to the proceedings before the Board shall have the right to appear in the proceeding before the Court of Appeals. The granting of the petition for judicial review shall be at the discretion of the Court of Appeals.

“(2) During the 5-year period beginning on the effective date of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2010, this paragraph shall apply to any review obtained by the Director of the Office of Personnel Management that raises no challenge to the Board’s disposition of allegations of a prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b) other than practices described in section 2302(b)(8), or 2302(b)(9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D). The Director of the Office of Personnel Management may obtain review of any final order or decision of the Board by filing, within 60 days after the Board issues notice of the final order or decision of the Board, a petition for judicial review in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or any court of appeals of competent jurisdiction as provided under subsection (b)(2) if the Director determines, in the discretion of the Director, that the Board erred in interpreting a civil service

law, rule, or regulation affecting personnel management and that the Board’s decision will have a substantial impact on a civil service law, rule, regulation, or policy directive. If the Director did not intervene in a matter before the Board, the Director may not petition for review of a Board decision under this section unless the Director first petitions the Board for a reconsideration of its decision, and such petition is denied. In addition to the named respondent, the Board and all other parties to the proceedings before the Board shall have the right to appear in the proceeding before the court of appeals. The granting of the petition for judicial review shall be at the discretion of the court of appeals.”

SEC. 109. PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES AFFECTING THE TRANSPORTATION SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 23 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating sections 2304 and 2305 as sections 2305 and 2306, respectively; and

(2) by inserting after section 2303 the following:

“§ 2304. Prohibited personnel practices affecting the Transportation Security Administration

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any individual holding or applying for a position within the Transportation Security Administration shall be covered by—

“(1) the provisions of section 2302(b) (1), (8), and (9);

“(2) any provision of law implementing section 2302(b) (1), (8), or (9) by providing any right or remedy available to an employee or applicant for employment in the civil service; and

“(3) any rule or regulation prescribed under any provision of law referred to in paragraph (1) or (2).

“(b) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect any rights, apart from those described in subsection (a), to which an individual described in subsection (a) might otherwise be entitled under law.”

(b) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 23 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking the items relating to sections 2304 and 2305, respectively, and by inserting the following:

“2304. Prohibited personnel practices affecting the Transportation Security Administration.

“2305. Responsibility of the Government Accountability Office.

“2306. Coordination with certain other provisions of law.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of enactment of this section.

SEC. 110. DISCLOSURE OF CENSORSHIP RELATED TO RESEARCH, ANALYSIS, OR TECHNICAL INFORMATION.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection—

(1) the term “agency” has the meaning given under section 2302(a)(2)(C) of title 5, United States Code;

(2) the term “applicant” means an applicant for a covered position;

(3) the term “censorship related to research, analysis, or technical information” means any effort to distort, misrepresent, or suppress research, analysis, or technical information;

(4) the term “covered position” has the meaning given under section 2302(a)(2)(B) of title 5, United States Code;

(5) the term “employee” means an employee in a covered position in an agency; and

(6) the term “disclosure” has the meaning given under section 2302(a)(2)(D) of title 5, United States Code.

(b) PROTECTED DISCLOSURE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Any disclosure of information by an employee or applicant for employment that the employee or applicant reasonably believes is evidence of censorship related to research, analysis, or technical information—

(A) shall come within the protections of section 2302(b)(8)(A) of title 5, United States Code, if—

(i) the employee or applicant reasonably believes that the censorship related to research, analysis, or technical information is or will cause—

(I) any violation of law, rule, or regulation, except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties; or

(II) gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and

(ii) such disclosure is not specifically prohibited by law or such information is not specifically required by Executive order to be kept classified in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs; and

(B) shall come within the protections of section 2302(b)(8)(B) of title 5, United States Code, if—

(i) the employee or applicant reasonably believes that the censorship related to research, analysis, or technical information is or will cause—

(I) any violation of law, rule, or regulation, except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties; or

(II) gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and

(ii) the disclosure is made to the Special Counsel, or to the Inspector General of an agency or another person designated by the head of the agency to receive such disclosures, consistent with the protection of sources and methods.

(2) DISCLOSURES NOT EXCLUDED.—A disclosure shall not be excluded from paragraph (1) for any reason described under section 2302(f)(1) or (2) of title 5, United States Code.

(3) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to imply any limitation on the protections of employees and applicants afforded by any other provision of law, including protections with respect to any disclosure of information believed to be evidence of censorship related to research, analysis, or technical information.

SEC. 111. CLARIFICATION OF WHISTLEBLOWER RIGHTS FOR CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE INFORMATION.

Section 214(c) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 133(c)) is amended by adding at the end the following: “For purposes of this section a permissible use of independently obtained information includes the disclosure of such information under section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code.”

SEC. 112. ADVISING EMPLOYEES OF RIGHTS.

Section 2302(c) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting “, including how to make a lawful disclosure of information that is specifically required by law or

Executive order to be kept classified in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs to the Special Counsel, the Inspector General of an agency, Congress, or other agency employee designated to receive such disclosures" after "chapter 12 of this title".

SEC. 113. SPECIAL COUNSEL AMICUS CURIAE APPEARANCE.

Section 1212 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(h)(1) The Special Counsel is authorized to appear as amicus curiae in any action brought in a court of the United States related to any civil action brought in connection with section 2302(b) (8) or (9), or as otherwise authorized by law. In any such action, the Special Counsel is authorized to present the views of the Special Counsel with respect to compliance with section 2302(b) (8) or (9) and the impact court decisions would have on the enforcement of such provisions of law.

"(2) A court of the United States shall grant the application of the Special Counsel to appear in any such action for the purposes described under subsection (a)."

SEC. 114. SCOPE OF DUE PROCESS.

(a) SPECIAL COUNSEL.—Section 1214(b)(4)(B)(ii) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting "after a finding that a protected disclosure was a contributing factor," after "ordered if".

(b) INDIVIDUAL ACTION.—Section 1221(e)(2) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting "after a finding that a protected disclosure was a contributing factor," after "ordered if".

SEC. 115. NONDISCLOSURE POLICIES, FORMS, AND AGREEMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) REQUIREMENT.—Each agreement in Standard Forms 312 and 4414 of the Government and any other nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement of the Government shall contain the following statement: "These restrictions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by Executive Order 13526 (75 Fed. Reg. 707; relating to classified national security information), or any successor thereto; Executive Order 12968 (60 Fed. Reg. 40245; relating to access to classified information), or any successor thereto; section 7211 of title 5, United States Code (governing disclosures to Congress); section 1034 of title 10, United States Code (governing disclosure to Congress by members of the military); section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code (governing disclosures of illegality, waste, fraud, abuse, or public health or safety threats); the Intelligence Identities Protection Act of 1982 (50 U.S.C. 421 et seq.) (governing disclosures that could expose confidential Government agents); and the statutes which protect against disclosure that may compromise the national security, including sections 641, 793, 794, 798, and 952 of title 18, United States Code, and section 4(b) of the Subversive Activities Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 783(b)). The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by such Executive order and such statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling."

(2) ENFORCEABILITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Any nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement described under paragraph (1) that does not contain the statement required under paragraph (1) may not be implemented or enforced to the extent such policy, form, or agreement is inconsistent with that statement.

(B) NONDISCLOSURE POLICY, FORM, OR AGREEMENT IN EFFECT BEFORE THE DATE OF ENACTMENT.—A nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement that was in effect before the date of enactment of this Act, but that does not contain the statement required under paragraph (1)—

(i) may be enforced with regard to a current employee if the agency gives such employee notice of the statement; and

(ii) may continue to be enforced after the effective date of this Act with regard to a former employee if the agency posts notice of the statement on the agency website for the 1-year period following that effective date.

(b) PERSONS OTHER THAN GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), a nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement that is to be executed by a person connected with the conduct of an intelligence or intelligence-related activity, other than an employee or officer of the United States Government, may contain provisions appropriate to the particular activity for which such document is to be used. Such policy, form, or agreement shall, at a minimum, require that the person will not disclose any classified information received in the course of such activity unless specifically authorized to do so by the United States Government. Such nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement shall also make it clear that such forms do not bar disclosures to Congress or to an authorized official of an executive agency or the Department of Justice that are essential to reporting a substantial violation of law, consistent with the protection of sources and methods.

SEC. 116. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

(a) GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE.—

(1) REPORT.—Not later than 40 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives on the implementation of this title.

(2) CONTENTS.—The report under this paragraph shall include—

(A) an analysis of any changes in the number of cases filed with the United States Merit Systems Protection Board alleging violations of section 2302(b) (8) or (9) of title 5, United States Code, since the effective date of this Act;

(B) the outcome of the cases described under subparagraph (A), including whether or not the United States Merit Systems Protection Board, the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals, or any other court determined the allegations to be frivolous or malicious;

(C) an analysis of the outcome of cases described under subparagraph (A) that were decided by a United States District Court and the impact the process has on the Merit Systems Protection Board and the Federal court system; and

(D) any other matter as determined by the Comptroller General.

(b) MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Each report submitted annually by the Merit Systems Protection Board under section 1116 of title 31, United States Code, shall, with respect to the period covered by such report, include as an addendum the following:

(A) Information relating to the outcome of cases decided during the applicable year of the report in which violations of section 2302(b) (8) or (9) (A)(i), (B)(i), (C), or (D) of title 5, United States Code, were alleged.

(B) The number of such cases filed in the regional and field offices, the number of peti-

tions for review filed in such cases, and the outcomes of such cases.

(2) FIRST REPORT.—The first report described under paragraph (1) submitted after the date of enactment of this Act shall include an addendum required under that subparagraph that covers the period beginning on January 1, 2009 through the end of the fiscal year 2009.

SEC. 117. ALTERNATIVE REVIEW.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1221 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(k)(1) In this subsection, the term 'appropriate United States district court', as used with respect to an alleged prohibited personnel practice, means the United States district court for the judicial district in which—

"(A) the prohibited personnel practice is alleged to have been committed; or

"(B) the employee, former employee, or applicant for employment allegedly affected by such practice resides.

"(2)(A) An employee, former employee, or applicant for employment in any case to which paragraph (3) or (4) applies may file an action at law or equity for de novo review in the appropriate United States district court in accordance with this subsection.

"(B) Upon initiation of any action under subparagraph (A), the Board shall stay any other claims of such employee, former employee, or applicant pending before the Board at that time which arise out of the same set of operative facts. Such claims shall be stayed pending completion of the action filed under subparagraph (A) before the appropriate United States district court and any associated appellate review.

"(3) This paragraph applies in any case in which—

"(A) an employee, former employee, or applicant for employment—

"(i) seeks corrective action from the Merit Systems Protection Board under section 1221(a) based on an alleged prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b) (8) or (9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D) for which the associated personnel action is an action covered under section 7512 or 7542; or

"(ii) files an appeal under section 7701(a) alleging as an affirmative defense the commission of a prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b) (8) or (9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D) for which the associated personnel action is an action covered under section 7512 or 7542;

"(B) no final order or decision is issued by the Board within 270 days after the date on which a request for that corrective action or appeal has been duly submitted, unless the Board determines that the employee, former employee, or applicant for employment engaged in conduct intended to delay the issuance of a final order or decision by the Board; and

"(C) such employee, former employee, or applicant provides written notice to the Board of filing an action under this subsection before the filing of that action.

"(4) This paragraph applies in any case in which—

"(A) an employee, former employee, or applicant for employment—

"(i) seeks corrective action from the Merit Systems Protection Board under section 1221(a) based on an alleged prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b) (8) or (9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D) for which the associated personnel action is an action covered under section 7512 or 7542; or

"(ii) files an appeal under section 7701(a)(1) alleging as an affirmative defense the commission of a prohibited personnel practice

described in section 2302(b) (8) or (9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D) for which the associated personnel action is an action covered under section 7512 or 7542;

“(B)(i) within 30 days after the date on which the request for corrective action or appeal was duly submitted, such employee, former employee, or applicant for employment files a motion requesting a certification consistent with subparagraph (C) to the Board, any administrative law judge appointed by the Board under section 3105 of this title and assigned to the case, or any employee of the Board designated by the Board and assigned to the case; and

“(ii) such employee has not previously filed a motion under clause (i) related to that request for corrective action; and

“(C) the Board, any administrative law judge appointed by the Board under section 3105 of this title and assigned to the case, or any employee of the Board designated by the Board and assigned to the case certifies that—

(i) under the standard applicable to the review of motions to dismiss under rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including rule 12(d), the request for corrective action (including any allegation made with the motion under subparagraph (B)) would not be subject to dismissal; and

“(ii)(I) the Board is not likely to dispose of the case within 270 days after the date on which a request for that corrective action has been duly submitted; or

“(II) the case—

“(aa) consists of multiple claims;

“(bb) requires complex or extensive discovery;

“(cc) arises out of the same set of operative facts as any civil action against the Government filed by the employee, former employee, or applicant pending in a Federal court; or

“(dd) involves a novel question of law.

“(5) The Board shall grant or deny any motion requesting a certification described under paragraph (4)(ii) within 90 days after the submission of such motion and the Board may not issue a decision on the merits of a request for corrective action within 15 days after granting or denying a motion requesting certification.

“(6)(A) Any decision of the Board, any administrative law judge appointed by the Board under section 3105 of this title and assigned to the case, or any employee of the Board designated by the Board and assigned to the case to grant or deny a certification described under paragraph (4)(ii) shall be reviewed on appeal of a final order or decision of the Board under section 7703 only if—

“(i) a motion requesting a certification was denied; and

“(ii) the reviewing court vacates the decision of the Board on the merits of the claim under the standards set forth in section 7703(c).

“(B) The decision to deny the certification shall be overturned by the reviewing court, and an order granting certification shall be issued by the reviewing court, if such decision is found to be arbitrary, capricious, or an abuse of discretion.

“(C) The reviewing court's decision shall not be considered evidence of any determination by the Board, any administrative law judge appointed by the Board under section 3105 of this title, or any employee of the Board designated by the Board on the merits of the underlying allegations during the course of any action at law or equity for de novo review in the appropriate United States district court in accordance with this subsection.

“(7) In any action filed under this subsection—

“(A) the district court shall have jurisdiction without regard to the amount in controversy;

“(B) at the request of either party, such action shall be tried by the court with a jury;

“(C) the court—

“(i) subject to clause (iii), shall apply the standards set forth in subsection (e); and

“(ii) may award any relief which the court considers appropriate under subsection (g), except—

“(I) relief for compensatory damages may not exceed \$300,000; and

“(II) relief may not include punitive damages; and

“(iii) notwithstanding subsection (e)(2), may not order relief if the agency demonstrates by a preponderance of the evidence that the agency would have taken the same personnel action in the absence of such disclosure; and

“(D) the Special Counsel may not represent the employee, former employee, or applicant for employment.

“(8) An appeal from a final decision of a district court in an action under this subsection shall be taken to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or any court of appeals of competent jurisdiction.

“(9) This subsection applies with respect to any appeal, petition, or other request for corrective action duly submitted to the Board, whether under section 1214(b)(2), the preceding provisions of this section, section 7513(d), section 7701, or any otherwise applicable provisions of law, rule, or regulation.”.

(b) SUNSET.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided under paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall cease to have effect 5 years after the effective date of this Act.

(2) PENDING CLAIMS.—The amendments made by this section shall continue to apply with respect to any claim pending before the Board on the last day of the 5-year period described under paragraph (1).

SEC. 118. MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD SUMMARY JUDGMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1204(b) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4);

(2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following:

“(3) With respect to a request for corrective action based on an alleged prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b) (8) or (9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D) for which the associated personnel action is an action covered under section 7512 or 7542, the Board, any administrative law judge appointed by the Board under section 3105 of this title, or any employee of the Board designated by the Board may, with respect to any party, grant a motion for summary judgment when the Board or the administrative law judge determines that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law.”.

(b) SUNSET.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided under paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall cease to have effect 5 years after the effective date of this Act.

(2) PENDING CLAIMS.—The amendments made by this section shall continue to apply with respect to any claim pending before the Board on the last day of the 5-year period described under paragraph (1).

SEC. 119. DISCLOSURES OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.

(a) PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES.—Section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “or” after the semicolon;

(2) in subparagraph (B), by adding “or” after the semicolon; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) any communication that complies with subsection (a)(1), (d), or (h) of section 8H of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App);”.

(b) INSPECTOR GENERAL ACT OF 1978.—Section 8H of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1), by adding at the end the following:

“(D) An employee of any agency, as that term is defined under section 2302(a)(2)(C) of title 5, United States Code, who intends to report to Congress a complaint or information with respect to an urgent concern may report the complaint or information to the Inspector General (or designee) of the agency of which that employee is employed.”;

(2) in subsection (c), by striking “intelligence committees” and inserting “appropriate committees”;

(3) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “either or both of the intelligence committees” and inserting “any of the appropriate committees”; and

(B) in paragraphs (2) and (3), by striking “intelligence committees” each place that term appears and inserting “appropriate committees”;

(4) in subsection (h)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) in subparagraph (A), by striking “intelligence”; and

(ii) in subparagraph (B), by inserting “or an activity involving classified information” after “an intelligence activity”; and

(B) by striking paragraph (2), and inserting the following:

“(2) The term ‘appropriate committees’ means the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, except that with respect to disclosures made by employees described in subsection (a)(1)(D), the term ‘appropriate committees’ means the committees of appropriate jurisdiction.”.

SEC. 120. WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION OMBUDSMAN.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 3 of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) is amended by striking subsection (d) and inserting the following:

“(d)(1) Each Inspector General shall, in accordance with applicable laws and regulations governing the civil service—

“(A) appoint an Assistant Inspector General for Auditing who shall have the responsibility for supervising the performance of auditing activities relating to programs and operations of the establishment;

“(B) appoint an Assistant Inspector General for Investigations who shall have the responsibility for supervising the performance of investigative activities relating to such programs and operations; and

“(C) designate a Whistleblower Protection Ombudsman who shall educate agency employees—

“(i) about prohibitions on retaliation for protected disclosures; and

“(ii) who have made or are contemplating making a protected disclosure about the rights and remedies against retaliation for protected disclosures.

“(2) The Whistleblower Protection Ombudsman shall not act as a legal representative, agent, or advocate of the employee or former employee.

“(3) For the purposes of this section, the requirement of the designation of a Whistleblower Protection Ombudsman under paragraph (1)(C) shall not apply to—

“(A) any agency that is an element of the intelligence community (as defined in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 401a(4))); or

“(B) as determined by the President, any executive agency or unit thereof the principal function of which is the conduct of foreign intelligence or counterintelligence activities.”.

(b) **TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 8D(j) of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) is amended—

(1) by striking “section 3(d)(1)” and inserting “section 3(d)(1)(A)”; and

(2) by striking “section 3(d)(2)” and inserting “section 3(d)(1)(B)”.

(c) **SUNSET.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The amendments made by this section shall cease to have effect on the date that is 5 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) **RETURN TO PRIOR AUTHORITY.**—Upon the date described in paragraph (1), section 3(d) and section 8D(j) of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) shall read as such sections read on the day before the date of enactment of this Act.

TITLE II—INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTIONS

SEC. 201. PROTECTION OF INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY WHISTLEBLOWERS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 23 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2303 the following:

“§ 2303A. Prohibited personnel practices in the intelligence community

“(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section—

“(1) the term ‘agency’ means an executive department or independent establishment, as defined under sections 101 and 104, that contains an intelligence community element, except the Federal Bureau of Investigation;

“(2) the term ‘intelligence community element’—

“(A) means—

“(i) the Central Intelligence Agency, the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Geospatial Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, and the National Reconnaissance Office; and

“(ii) any executive agency or unit thereof determined by the President under section 2302(a)(2)(C)(ii) of title 5, United States Code, to have as its principal function the conduct of foreign intelligence or counterintelligence activities; and

“(B) does not include the Federal Bureau of Investigation; and

“(3) the term ‘personnel action’ means any action described in clauses (i) through (x) of section 2302(a)(2)(A) with respect to an employee in a position in an intelligence community element (other than a position of a confidential, policy-determining, policymaking, or policy-advocating character).

“(b) **IN GENERAL.**—Any employee of an agency who has authority to take, direct others to take, recommend, or approve any personnel action, shall not, with respect to such authority, take or fail to take a personnel action with respect to any employee of an intelligence community element as a reprisal for a disclosure of information by the employee to the Director of National In-

telligence (or an employee designated by the Director of National Intelligence for such purpose), or to the head of the employing agency (or an employee designated by the head of that agency for such purpose), which the employee reasonably believes evidences—

“(1) a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, except for an alleged violation that—

“(A) is a minor, inadvertent violation; and

“(B) occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties; or

“(2) mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety.

“(c) **ENFORCEMENT.**—The President shall provide for the enforcement of this section in a manner consistent with applicable provisions of sections 1214 and 1221.

“(d) **EXISTING RIGHTS PRESERVED.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to—

“(1) preempt or preclude any employee, or applicant for employment, at the Federal Bureau of Investigation from exercising rights currently provided under any other law, rule, or regulation, including section 2303;

“(2) repeal section 2303; or

“(3) provide the President or Director of National Intelligence the authority to revise regulations related to section 2303, codified in part 27 of the Code of Federal Regulations.”.

(b) **TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for chapter 23 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2303 the following:

“2303A. Prohibited personnel practices in the intelligence community.”.

SEC. 202. REVIEW OF SECURITY CLEARANCE OR ACCESS DETERMINATIONS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 3001(b) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 435b(b)) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “Not” and inserting “Except as otherwise provided, not”;

(2) in paragraph (5), by striking “and” after the semicolon;

(3) in paragraph (6), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”;

(4) by inserting after paragraph (6) the following:

“(7) not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2010—

“(A) developing policies and procedures that permit, to the extent practicable, individuals who challenge in good faith a determination to suspend or revoke a security clearance or access to classified information to retain their government employment status while such challenge is pending; and

“(B) developing and implementing uniform and consistent policies and procedures to ensure proper protections during the process for denying, suspending, or revoking a security clearance or access to classified information, including the provision of a right to appeal such a denial, suspension, or revocation, except that there shall be no appeal of an agency’s suspension of a security clearance or access determination for purposes of conducting an investigation, if that suspension lasts no longer than 1 year or the head of the agency certifies that a longer suspension is needed before a final decision on denial or revocation to prevent imminent harm to the national security.

“Any limitation period applicable to an agency appeal under paragraph (7) shall be tolled until the head of the agency (or in the

case of any component of the Department of Defense, the Secretary of Defense) determines, with the concurrence of the Director of National Intelligence, that the policies and procedures described in paragraph (7) have been established for the agency or the Director of National Intelligence promulgates the policies and procedures under paragraph (7). The policies and procedures for appeals developed under paragraph (7) shall be comparable to the policies and procedures pertaining to prohibited personnel practices defined under section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code, and provide—

“(A) for an independent and impartial factfinder;

“(B) for notice and the opportunity to be heard, including the opportunity to present relevant evidence, including witness testimony;

“(C) that the employee or former employee may be represented by counsel;

“(D) that the employee or former employee has a right to a decision based on the record developed during the appeal;

“(E) that not more than 180 days shall pass from the filing of the appeal to the report of the impartial factfinder to the agency head or the designee of the agency head, unless—

“(i) the employee and the agency concerned agree to an extension; or

“(ii) the impartial factfinder determines in writing that a greater period of time is required in the interest of fairness or national security;

“(F) for the use of information specifically required by Executive order to be kept classified in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs in a manner consistent with the interests of national security, including ex parte submissions if the agency determines that the interests of national security so warrant; and

“(G) that the employee or former employee shall have no right to compel the production of information specifically required by Executive order to be kept classified in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs, except evidence necessary to establish that the employee made the disclosure or communication such employee alleges was protected by subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) of subsection (j)(1).”.

(b) **RETALIATORY REVOCATION OF SECURITY CLEARANCES AND ACCESS DETERMINATIONS.**—Section 3001 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 435b) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(j) **RETALIATORY REVOCATION OF SECURITY CLEARANCES AND ACCESS DETERMINATIONS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Agency personnel with authority over personnel security clearance or access determinations shall not take or fail to take, or threaten to take or fail to take, any action with respect to any employee’s security clearance or access determination because of—

“(A) any disclosure of information to the Director of National Intelligence (or an employee designated by the Director of National Intelligence for such purpose) or the head of the employing agency (or employee designated by the head of that agency for such purpose) by an employee that the employee reasonably believes evidences—

“(i) a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties; or

“(ii) gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety;

“(B) any disclosure to the Inspector General of an agency or another employee designated by the head of the agency to receive such disclosures, of information which the employee reasonably believes evidences—

“(i) a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties; or

“(ii) gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety;

“(C) any communication that complies with—

“(i) subsection (a)(1), (d), or (h) of section 8H of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.);

“(ii) subsection (d)(5)(A), (D), or (G) of section 17 of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 403q); or

“(iii) subsection (k)(5)(A), (D), or (G), of section 103H of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403-3h);

“(D) the exercise of any appeal, complaint, or grievance right granted by any law, rule, or regulation;

“(E) testifying for or otherwise lawfully assisting any individual in the exercise of any right referred to in subparagraph (D); or

“(F) cooperating with or disclosing information to the Inspector General of an agency, in accordance with applicable provisions of law in connection with an audit, inspection, or investigation conducted by the Inspector General,

if the actions described under subparagraphs (D) through (F) do not result in the employee or applicant unlawfully disclosing information specifically required by Executive order to be kept classified in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs.

“(2) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Consistent with the protection of sources and methods, nothing in paragraph (1) shall be construed to authorize the withholding of information from the Congress or the taking of any personnel action against an employee who discloses information to the Congress

“(3) **DISCLOSURES.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—A disclosure shall not be excluded from paragraph (1) because—

“(i) the disclosure was made to a person, including a supervisor, who participated in an activity that the employee reasonably believed to be covered by paragraph (1)(A)(ii);

“(ii) the disclosure revealed information that had been previously disclosed;

“(iii) of the employee's motive for making the disclosure;

“(iv) the disclosure was not made in writing;

“(v) the disclosure was made while the employee was off duty; or

“(vi) of the amount of time which has passed since the occurrence of the events described in the disclosure.

“(B) **REPRISALS.**—If a disclosure is made during the normal course of duties of an employee, the disclosure shall not be excluded from paragraph (1) if any employee who has authority to take, direct others to take, recommend, or approve any personnel action with respect to the employee making the disclosure, took, failed to take, or threatened to take or fail to take a personnel action with respect to that employee in reprisal for the disclosure.

“(4) **AGENCY ADJUDICATION.**—

“(A) **REMEDIAL PROCEDURE.**—An employee or former employee who believes that he or she has been subjected to a reprisal prohib-

ited by paragraph (1) of this subsection may, within 90 days after the issuance of notice of such decision, appeal that decision within the agency of that employee or former employee through proceedings authorized by paragraph (7) of subsection (a), except that there shall be no appeal of an agency's suspension of a security clearance or access determination for purposes of conducting an investigation, if that suspension lasts not longer than 1 year (or a longer period in accordance with a certification made under subsection (b)(7)).

“(B) **CORRECTIVE ACTION.**—If, in the course of proceedings authorized under subparagraph (A), it is determined that the adverse security clearance or access determination violated paragraph (1) of this subsection, the agency shall take specific corrective action to return the employee or former employee, as nearly as practicable and reasonable, to the position such employee or former employee would have held had the violation not occurred. Such corrective action shall include reasonable attorney's fees and any other reasonable costs incurred, and may include back pay and related benefits, travel expenses, and compensatory damages not to exceed \$300,000.

“(C) **CONTRIBUTING FACTOR.**—In determining whether the adverse security clearance or access determination violated paragraph (1) of this subsection, the agency shall find that paragraph (1) of this subsection was violated if a disclosure described in paragraph (1) was a contributing factor in the adverse security clearance or access determination taken against the individual, unless the agency demonstrates by a preponderance of the evidence that it would have taken the same action in the absence of such disclosure, giving the utmost deference to the agency's assessment of the particular threat to the national security interests of the United States in the instant matter.

“(5) **APPELLATE REVIEW OF SECURITY CLEARANCE ACCESS DETERMINATIONS BY DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE.**—

“(A) **DEFINITION.**—In this paragraph, the term ‘Board’ means the appellate review board established under section 204 of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2010.

“(B) **APPEAL.**—Within 60 days after receiving notice of an adverse final agency determination under a proceeding under paragraph (4), an employee or former employee may appeal that determination to the Board.

“(C) **POLICIES AND PROCEDURES.**—The Board, in consultation with the Attorney General, Director of National Intelligence, and the Secretary of Defense, shall develop and implement policies and procedures for adjudicating the appeals authorized by subparagraph (B). The Director of National Intelligence and Secretary of Defense shall jointly approve any rules, regulations, or guidance issued by the Board concerning the procedures for the use or handling of classified information.

“(D) **REVIEW.**—The Board's review shall be on the complete agency record, which shall be made available to the Board. The Board may not hear witnesses or admit additional evidence. Any portions of the record that were submitted ex parte during the agency proceedings shall be submitted ex parte to the Board.

“(E) **FURTHER FACT-FINDING OR IMPROPER DENIAL.**—If the Board concludes that further fact-finding is necessary or finds that the agency improperly denied the employee or former employee the opportunity to present evidence that, if admitted, would have a sub-

stantial likelihood of altering the outcome, the Board shall remand the matter to the agency from which it originated for additional proceedings in accordance with the rules of procedure issued by the Board.

“(F) **DE NOVO DETERMINATION.**—The Board shall make a de novo determination, based on the entire record and under the standards specified in paragraph (4), of whether the employee or former employee received an adverse security clearance or access determination in violation of paragraph (1). In considering the record, the Board may weigh the evidence, judge the credibility of witnesses, and determine controverted questions of fact. In doing so, the Board may consider the prior fact-finder's opportunity to see and hear the witnesses.

“(G) **ADVERSE SECURITY CLEARANCE OR ACCESS DETERMINATION.**—If the Board finds that the adverse security clearance or access determination violated paragraph (1), it shall then separately determine whether reinstating the security clearance or access determination is clearly consistent with the interests of national security, with any doubt resolved in favor of national security, under Executive Order 12968 (60 Fed. Reg. 40245; relating to access to classified information) or any successor thereto (including any adjudicative guidelines promulgated under such orders) or any subsequent Executive order, regulation, or policy concerning access to classified information.

“(H) **REMEDIES.**—

“(i) **CORRECTIVE ACTION.**—If the Board finds that the adverse security clearance or access determination violated paragraph (1), it shall order the agency head to take specific corrective action to return the employee or former employee, as nearly as practicable and reasonable, to the position such employee or former employee would have held had the violation not occurred. Such corrective action shall include reasonable attorney's fees and any other reasonable costs incurred, and may include back pay and related benefits, travel expenses, and compensatory damages not to exceed \$300,000. The Board may recommend, but may not order, reinstatement or hiring of a former employee. The Board may order that the former employee be treated as though the employee were transferring from the most recent position held when seeking other positions within the executive branch. Any corrective action shall not include the reinstating of any security clearance or access determination. The agency head shall take the actions so ordered within 90 days, unless the Director of National Intelligence, the Secretary of Energy, or the Secretary of Defense, in the case of any component of the Department of Defense, determines that doing so would endanger national security.

“(ii) **RECOMMENDED ACTION.**—If the Board finds that reinstating the employee or former employee's security clearance or access determination is clearly consistent with the interests of national security, it shall recommend such action to the head of the entity selected under subsection (b) and the head of the affected agency.

“(I) **CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.**—

“(i) **ORDERS.**—Consistent with the protection of sources and methods, at the time the Board issues an order, the Chairperson of the Board shall notify—

“(I) the Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs of the Senate;

“(II) the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate;

“(III) the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives;

“(IV) the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives; and

“(V) the committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives that have jurisdiction over the employing agency, including in the case of a final order or decision of the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, or the National Reconnaissance Office, the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.

“(ii) RECOMMENDATIONS.—If the agency head and the head of the entity selected under subsection (b) do not follow the Board’s recommendation to reinstate a clearance, the head of the entity selected under subsection (b) shall notify the committees described in subclauses (I) through (V) of clause (i).

“(6) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to permit or require judicial review of any—

“(A) agency action under this section; or

“(B) action of the appellate review board established under section 204 of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2010.

“(7) PRIVATE CAUSE OF ACTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to permit, authorize, or require a private cause of action to challenge the merits of a security clearance determination.”.

(c) ACCESS DETERMINATION DEFINED.—Section 3001(a) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 435b(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(9) The term ‘access determination’ means the process for determining whether an employee—

“(A) is eligible for access to classified information in accordance with Executive Order 12968 (60 Fed. Reg. 40245; relating to access to classified information), or any successor thereto, and Executive Order 10865 (25 Fed. Reg. 1583; relating to safeguarding classified information with industry); and

“(B) possesses a need to know under that Order.”.

(d) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in section 3001 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 435b), as amended by this Act, shall be construed to require the repeal or replacement of agency appeal procedures implementing Executive Order 12968 (60 Fed. Reg. 40245; relating to classified national security information), or any successor thereto, and Executive Order 10865 (25 Fed. Reg. 1583; relating to safeguarding classified information with industry), or any successor thereto, that meet the requirements of section 3001(b)(7) of such Act, as so amended.

SEC. 203. REVISIONS RELATING TO THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION ACT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 8H of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) by inserting “(1)” after “(b)”;

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) If the head of an establishment determines that a complaint or information transmitted under paragraph (1) would create a conflict of interest for the head of the establishment, the head of the establishment shall return the complaint or information to the Inspector General with that determination and the Inspector General shall make the transmission to the Director of National Intelligence. In such a case, the require-

ments of this section for the head of the establishment apply to the recipient of the Inspector General’s transmission. The Director of National Intelligence shall consult with the members of the appellate review board established under section 204 of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Review Act of 2010 regarding all transmissions under this paragraph.”;

(2) by designating subsection (h) as subsection (i); and

(3) by inserting after subsection (g), the following:

“(h) An individual who has submitted a complaint or information to an Inspector General under this section may notify any member of Congress or congressional staff member of the fact that such individual has made a submission to that particular Inspector General, and of the date on which such submission was made.”.

(b) CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY.—Section 17(d)(5) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 403q) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) by inserting “(i)” after “(B)”;

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(ii) If the Director determines that a complaint or information transmitted under paragraph (1) would create a conflict of interest for the Director, the Director shall return the complaint or information to the Inspector General with that determination and the Inspector General shall make the transmission to the Director of National Intelligence. In such a case the requirements of this subsection for the Director apply to the recipient of the Inspector General’s submission; and”;

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(H) An individual who has submitted a complaint or information to the Inspector General under this section may notify any member of Congress or congressional staff member of the fact that such individual has made a submission to the Inspector General, and of the date on which such submission was made.”.

SEC. 204. REGULATIONS; REPORTING REQUIREMENTS; NONAPPLICABILITY TO CERTAIN TERMINATIONS.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—

(1) the term “congressional oversight committees” means the—

(A) the Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs of the Senate;

(B) the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate;

(C) the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives; and

(D) the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the term “intelligence community element”—

(A) means—

(i) the Central Intelligence Agency, the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, and the National Reconnaissance Office; and

(ii) any executive agency or unit thereof determined by the President under section 2302(a)(2)(C)(ii) of title 5, United States Code, to have as its principal function the conduct of foreign intelligence or counterintelligence activities; and

(B) does not include the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

(b) REGULATIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director of National Intelligence shall prescribe regulations to

ensure that a personnel action shall not be taken against an employee of an intelligence community element as a reprisal for any disclosure of information described in section 2303A(b) of title 5, United States Code, as added by this Act.

(2) APPELLATE REVIEW BOARD.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, the Attorney General, and the heads of appropriate agencies, shall establish an appellate review board that is broadly representative of affected Departments and agencies and is made up of individuals with expertise in merit systems principles and national security issues—

(A) to hear whistleblower appeals related to security clearance access determinations described in section 3001(j) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 435b), as added by this Act; and

(B) that shall include a subpanel that reflects the composition of the intelligence committee, which shall be composed of intelligence community elements and inspectors general from intelligence community elements, for the purpose of hearing cases that arise in elements of the intelligence community.

(c) REPORT ON THE STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF REGULATIONS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall submit a report on the status of the implementation of the regulations promulgated under subsection (b) to the congressional oversight committees.

(d) NONAPPLICABILITY TO CERTAIN TERMINATIONS.—Section 2303A of title 5, United States Code, as added by this Act, and section 3001 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 435b), as amended by this Act, shall not apply to adverse security clearance or access determinations if the affected employee is concurrently terminated under—

(1) section 1609 of title 10, United States Code;

(2) the authority of the Director of National Intelligence under section 102A(m) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403-1(m)), if—

(A) the Director personally summarily terminates the individual; and

(B) the Director—

(i) determines the termination to be in the interest of the United States;

(ii) determines that the procedures prescribed in other provisions of law that authorize the termination of the employment of such employee cannot be invoked in a manner consistent with the national security; and

(iii) not later than 5 days after such termination, notifies the congressional oversight committees of the termination;

(3) the authority of the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency under section 104A(e) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403-4a(e)), if—

(A) the Director personally summarily terminates the individual; and

(B) the Director—

(i) determines the termination to be in the interest of the United States;

(ii) determines that the procedures prescribed in other provisions of law that authorize the termination of the employment of such employee cannot be invoked in a manner consistent with the national security; and

(iii) not later than 5 days after such termination, notifies the congressional oversight committees of the termination; or

(4) section 7532 of title 5, United States Code, if—

(A) the agency head personally terminates the individual; and

(B) the agency head—

(i) determines the termination to be in the interest of the United States;

(ii) determines that the procedures prescribed in other provisions of law that authorize the termination of the employment of such employee cannot be invoked in a manner consistent with the national security; and

(iii) not later than 5 days after such termination, notifies the congressional oversight committees of the termination.

TITLE III—SAVINGS CLAUSE; EFFECTIVE DATE

SEC. 301. SAVINGS CLAUSE.

Nothing in this Act shall be construed to imply any limitation on any protections afforded by any other provision of law to employees and applicants.

SEC. 302. EFFECTIVE DATE.

This Act shall take effect 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

SA 4761. Ms. LANDRIEU (for herself, Mr. VITTER, and Mr. WICKER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 72, line 4, strike “2012” and insert “2013”.

SA 4762. Ms. LANDRIEU (for herself, Mr. VITTER, and Mr. WICKER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 72, line 4, strike “2012” and insert “2013”.

SA 4763. Mr. BROWN of Ohio (for himself and Ms. STABENOW) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 72, after line 26, add:

Subtitle E—Extension of Health Coverage Improvement

SEC. 771. IMPROVEMENT OF THE AFFORDABILITY OF THE CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 35(a) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 7527(b) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to coverage months beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 772. PAYMENT FOR THE MONTHLY PREMIUMS PAID PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF THE ADVANCE PAYMENTS OF CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7527(e) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to coverage months beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 773. TAA RECIPIENTS NOT ENROLLED IN TRAINING PROGRAMS ELIGIBLE FOR CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 35(c)(2)(B) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to coverage months beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 774. TAA PRE-CERTIFICATION PERIOD RULE FOR PURPOSES OF DETERMINING WHETHER THERE IS A 63-DAY LAPSE IN CREDITABLE COVERAGE.

(a) IRC AMENDMENT.—Section 9801(c)(2)(D) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) ERISA AMENDMENT.—Section 701(c)(2)(C) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (29 U.S.C. 1181(c)(2)(C)) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(c) PHSA AMENDMENT.—Section 2701(c)(2)(C) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300gg(c)(2)(C)) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to plan years beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 775. CONTINUED QUALIFICATION OF FAMILY MEMBERS AFTER CERTAIN EVENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 35(g)(9) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 173(f)(8) of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (29 U.S.C. 2918(f)(8)) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to months beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 776. EXTENSION OF COBRA BENEFITS FOR CERTAIN TAA-ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS AND PBGC RECIPIENTS.

(a) ERISA AMENDMENTS.—

(1) PBGC RECIPIENTS.—Section 602(2)(A)(v) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (29 U.S.C. 1162(2)(A)(v)) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) TAA-ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS.—Section 602(2)(A)(vi) of such Act (29 U.S.C. 1162(2)(A)(vi)) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) IRC AMENDMENTS.—

(1) PBGC RECIPIENTS.—Section 4980B(f)(2)(B)(i)(V) is amended by striking

“December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) TAA-ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS.—Section 4980B(f)(2)(B)(i)(VI) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) PHSA AMENDMENTS.—Section 2202(2)(A)(iv) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300bb-2(2)(A)(iv)) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to periods of coverage which would (without regard to the amendments made by this section) end on or after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 777. ADDITION OF COVERAGE THROUGH VOLUNTARY EMPLOYEES’ BENEFICIARY ASSOCIATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 35(e)(1)(K) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to coverage months beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 778. NOTICE REQUIREMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7527(d)(2) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to certificates issued after December 31, 2010.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

Mrs. GILLIBRAND. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to executive session to consider en bloc Calendar Nos. 1174, 1175, 1176, 1177, 1178, 1179, 1204, 1214, and all nominations on the Secretary's desk in the Coast Guard and NOAA; that the nominations be confirmed en bloc and the motions to reconsider be laid upon the table en bloc; that any statements relating to the nominations be printed in the RECORD; that the President be immediately notified of the Senate's action, and the Senate then resume legislative session.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The nominations considered and confirmed were as follows:

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Ripley Rand, of North Carolina, to be United States Attorney for the Middle District of North Carolina for the term of four years.

Charles M. Oberly III, of Delaware, to be United States Attorney for the District of Delaware for the term of four years.

William Conner Eldridge, of Arkansas, to be United States Attorney for the Western District of Arkansas for the term of four years.

Frank Leon-Guerrero, of Guam, to be United States Marshal for the District of Guam and concurrently United States Marshal for the District of the Northern Mariana Islands for the term of four years.

Charles Thomas Weeks II, of Oklahoma, to be United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma for the term of four years.

Kenneth F. Bohac, of Illinois, to be United States Marshal for the Central District of Illinois for the term of four years.

IN THE AIR FORCE

The following named officer for appointment in the United States Air Force to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C., section 601:

To be general

Gen. Claude R. Kehler

IN THE COAST GUARD

The following named officers for appointment in the United States Coast Guard to the grade indicated under title 14, U.S.C., section 271:

To be rear admiral (lower half)

Captain Bruce D. Baffer
 Captain David R. Callahan
 Captain Richard T. Gromlich
 Captain Frederick J. Kenney
 Captain Marshall B. Lytle
 Captain Stephen P. Metruck
 Captain Fred M. Midgette

NOMINATIONS PLACED ON THE SECRETARY'S DESK

IN THE COAST GUARD

PN2216 COAST GUARD nominations (2) beginning GREGORY J. HALL, and ending JOSEPH T. BENIN, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of September 23, 2010.

PN2217 COAST GUARD nomination of Andrew C. Kirkpatrick, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of September 23, 2010.

PN2266 COAST GUARD nominations (6) beginning Julia A. Hein, and ending Susan L. Subocz, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of September 29, 2010.

PN2267 COAST GUARD nominations (59) beginning Thomas Allan, and ending Aylwyn S. Young, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of September 29, 2010.

PN2355 COAST GUARD nominations (182) beginning JOSEPH B. ABEYTA, and ending DAVID K. YOUNG, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of November 18, 2010.

PN2356 COAST GUARD nominations (135) beginning STEPHEN ADLER, and ending SCOTT A. WOOLSEY, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of November 18, 2010.

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

PN2301 NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION nominations (12) beginning DENISE J. GRUCCIO, and ending LINDSAY R. KURELJA, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of November 17, 2010.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will return to legislative session.

CAPTA REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2010

Mrs. GILLIBRAND. Mr. President, I ask that the Chair lay before the Senate a message from the House of Representatives with respect to S. 3817.

The PRESIDING OFFICER laid before the Senate the following message from the House of Representatives.

Resolved, That the bill from the Senate (S. 3817) entitled "An Act to amend the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act, the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act, the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment and Adoption Reform Act of 1978, and the Abandoned Infants Assistance Act of 1988 to reauthorize the Acts, and for other purposes", do pass with an amendment.

The amendment is printed in the RECORD of December 8, 2010, at page 19211.)

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, I want to start by thanking my friend and colleague Senator DODD. Throughout his career in the Senate, he has always made it a priority to protect and support America's children and families. I am delighted to reauthorize the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act, Family Violence Prevention and Services Act, the Adoption Opportunity Act and the Abandoned Infants Assistance Act as we celebrate the congressional legacy of the distinguished senior Senator from Connecticut. Children and families will benefit from these and improvements in the system designed to prevent and serve victims of child abuse and neglect, as well as family, domestic, and dating violence.

I would also like to thank the Senator from Wyoming, Mr. ENZI, and the Senator from Tennessee, Mr. ALEXANDER, for working with us and showing a true commitment to getting this done. The efforts of the Senators and their staff were invaluable.

The legislation that was just passed and is being sent to the President is a step forward in improving child safety and strengthening critical services for children and families. At a time when there is little talk of successful bipartisan effort in Congress, this legislation is a reflection of changes we are able to make on behalf of American citizens when we work together, to do better for our Nation's children and families.

The need for this reauthorization is real. In my home State of Iowa, after a 2-year drop, the rates of child abuse rose 11 percent in 2009. The CAPTA Reauthorization Act of 2010 will help communities better meet the needs of our children. This reauthorization encourages states to provide high-quality prevention services to reduce abuse and neglect, ensures that investigations of allegations protect children and reduce trauma, and directs vital resources to communities that need them most. Each of these enhancements should ultimately result in improved systems for training and supporting adults charged with identifying, preventing, and responding to reports of abuse, neglect, and maltreatment; stronger coordination among service providers; and a renewed focus on the need to respond to the conditions that lead to abuse, neglect, and maltreatment in order to prevent them from occurring.

These are important steps, but we still have a lot of work to do. Rates of

child abuse and neglect are still far too high across the country. Each year, an estimated 794,000 children are victims of child abuse or neglect. In its Child Maltreatment 2008 Report on Child Abuse and Neglect, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services reported that, each year, 141,700 children are seriously injured, 18,000 are severely disabled, and 1,760 children die as a result of abuse or neglect. Children younger than 6 years of age accounted for 76 percent of child fatalities. Babies younger than one year of age accounted for 42 percent of child fatalities. Each of these children is one too many children who have suffered.

Similarly, we cannot ignore the 1.5 million women and 900,000 men who are raped or physically assaulted by a partner every year in the United States. Last year over 247,000 victims and their children were turned away because shelters were full or programs lacked resources. The services provided for through this reauthorization are essential. In one day alone in 2009, more than 65,000 victims of domestic violence and their children received life-saving services from local domestic violence programs because of the services provided through FVPSA. By passing this reauthorization we have taken steps toward providing a better system.

I want to take a moment to mention those who have worked so hard on my staff. I would like to thank Dan Smith and Pam Smith, who do a great job on all of the undertakings of our committee. I would like to thank Bethany Little, David Johns, and Ashley Eden of my staff. David has been working on this for over 3 years; I especially appreciate his diligence and effort. I would also like to thank Senator DODD's staff Jim Fenton and Averi Pakulis. Also, as I mentioned, this has been a bipartisan effort, and I would also like to thank Senator ENZI's staff, Beth Buehlmann and Kelly Hastings as well as David Cleary from Senator ALEXANDER's staff. I am also grateful to Chairman MILLER and Ranking Member JOHN KLINE of the House Committee on Education and Labor and their excellent staff for the impressive work they did moving this bill quickly through the House. I appreciate the assistance of Lynn Rosenthal, the White House Adviser on Violence Against Women, in improving the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act. Vice President BIDEN has long been, and continues to be, a leader in this area and Lynn assisted him ably in this effort. This is a major undertaking, and to be able to get this kind of joint effort at time like this is a great tribute to all of those who have worked so hard. As always, we could not work without the excellent services of the Senate Office of Legislative Counsel, especially Liz King.

This is a critical step forward to ensuring the safety of America's children,

youth and adults—let's keep walking forward together.

Mr. DODD. Mr. President, today the Senate passed the CAPTA Reauthorization Act of 2010, S. 3817, and cleared it for the President's signature. This bill reauthorizes several important statutes—the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act, CAPTA, and the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act, FVPSA, the Adoption Opportunities Act, and the Abandoned Infants Assistance Act. I would like to thank Chairman HARKIN for his work on this reauthorization, and for his tireless efforts on behalf of abused and neglected children and victims of domestic and dating violence. I would also like to thank the ranking member of our committee, Senator ENZI, and the ranking member of my Subcommittee on Children and Families, Senator ALEXANDER. They have been good partners in this process. I also thank Chairman MILLER, who brought this bill to the House floor this week and worked hard to ensure that we passed it before adjourning for the year.

I would also like to thank the great work of the advocate communities that work constantly to protect children and victims of domestic and dating violence, including the National Child Abuse Coalition and the National Task Force to End Violence Against Women. Work on this reauthorization would not have been possible without the expertise and on-the-ground knowledge that these groups possess.

The numbers of children abused or neglected and individuals affected by domestic and dating violence are astounding and intolerable. In fiscal year 2008, 772,000 children were victims of abuse and neglect, 1,740 children died due to abuse or neglect, and 38 percent of victims of abuse did not receive postinvestigative services. Nearly one in four women is abused by a partner in her adult life, three women are killed by a partner each day in this country, and 15.5 million children are exposed to domestic violence each year. We cannot be complacent on these issues with numbers like this.

The programs authorized under CAPTA and FVPSA provide vital direct services and prevention efforts to the victims they target. I am pleased with some of the improvements we were able to make to these programs in this reauthorization bill.

CAPTA funds State and discretionary grants designed to help States strengthen their child protective service agencies to prevent and treat child abuse and neglect, including research, home visitation, outreach, and education. It also funds community-based efforts to develop, operate, expand, enhance, and coordinate initiatives aimed at strengthening and supporting families in the prevention of child maltreatment, and to foster an understanding of diverse populations to more

effectively prevent and treat child abuse and neglect.

For CAPTA, our bill encourages States to adopt a differential response model in working with at-risk families to improve their outcomes and prevent child abuse and neglect from ever occurring; addresses the co-occurrence of child abuse and neglect along with domestic violence, mental health problems, and substance abuse disorders; strengthens data collection regarding our child protection service systems in States; and increases parental involvement in the planning and implementation of programs under these grants, to better meet the needs of children.

FVPSA is the primary Federal funding stream for domestic violence shelters and direct services to victims of domestic violence and their children. Over 2,000 shelters and programs receive grant funding under FVPSA, which provide emergency shelters, hotlines, counseling and advocacy, and primary and secondary prevention for victims of domestic violence. For FVPSA, our bill recognizes dating violence victims as recipients of FVPSA services, and acknowledges that women between the ages of 16 and 24 are at greatest risk for being victims of domestic violence; addresses the needs of underserved populations that find it challenging to access FVPSA services; and codifies a program to provide services for children exposed to violence in their homes and communities.

The Abandoned Infants Assistance Act provides assistance to abandoned infants by supporting recruitment of and training for foster families. The Adoption Opportunities program is designed to promote adoption, eliminate barriers to adoption, and provide permanent, loving homes for children, especially children with special needs. Adoption promotion and post-adoption support are both critical components in successfully achieving the goals of the program and I am pleased that our bill reauthorizes these two programs as well.

We have an enormous responsibility to provide for some of our most vulnerable citizens—children who have been abused or neglected, victims of domestic violence and their children, children who have been abandoned, and those awaiting adoption. The programs reauthorized under S. 3817 represent some of the Federal Government's best approaches for addressing these issues and challenges and I am pleased to see this Chamber recognizing their importance.

I would again like to thank my colleagues for their work on this important bill and pledge to continue to do the work we need to and have the responsibility to do to prevent child abuse and neglect, and domestic and dating violence in this country.

Mrs. GILLIBRAND. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Sen-

ate concur in the House amendment, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, with no intervening action or debate, and any statements related to the bill be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

JOHANNA'S LAW REAUTHORIZATION ACT

Mrs. GILLIBRAND. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 676, H.R. 2941.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 2941) to reauthorize and enhance Johanna's Law to increase public awareness and knowledge with respect to gynecologic cancers.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill, which had been reported from the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions, with an amendment to strike all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the following:

H.R. 2941

SECTION 1. REAUTHORIZATION AND ENHANCEMENT OF JOHANNA'S LAW.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 317P(d) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 247b-17(d)(4)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (4), by inserting after “2009” the following: “and \$18,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 2012 through 2014”; and

(2) by redesignating paragraph (4) as paragraph (6).

(b) *CONSULTATION WITH NONPROFIT GYNECOLOGIC CANCER ORGANIZATIONS.*—Section 317P(d) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 247b-17(d)), as amended by subsection (a), is further amended by inserting after paragraph (3) the following:

“(4) *CONSULTATION WITH NONPROFIT GYNECOLOGIC CANCER ORGANIZATIONS.*—In carrying out the national campaign under this subsection, the Secretary shall consult with non-profit gynecologic cancer organizations, with a mission both to conquer ovarian or other gynecologic cancer and to provide outreach to State and local governments and communities, for the purpose of determining the best practices for providing gynecologic cancer information and outreach services to varied populations.”.

Mrs. GILLIBRAND. I ask unanimous consent that the committee-reported substitute amendment be agreed to, the bill, as amended, be read a third time and passed, the motions to reconsider be laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate, and any statements related to the bill be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute was agreed to.

The amendment was ordered to be engrossed and the bill to be read a third time.

The bill (H.R. 2941), as amended, was read the third time and passed.

WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION ENHANCEMENT ACT OF 2009

Mrs. GILLIBRAND. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to Calendar No. 219, S. 372.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 372) to amend chapter 23 of title 5, United States Code, to clarify the disclosures of information protected from prohibited personnel practices, require a statement in nondisclosure policies, forms, and agreements that such policies, forms, and agreements conform with certain disclosure protections, provide certain authority for the Special Counsel, and for other purposes.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill, which had been reported from the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, with an amendment to strike all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2009".

TITLE I—PROTECTION OF CERTAIN DISCLOSURES OF INFORMATION BY FEDERAL EMPLOYEES

SEC. 101. CLARIFICATION OF DISCLOSURES COVERED.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)(i)—
(A) by striking "a violation" and inserting "any violation"; and

(B) by adding "except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties," after "regulation,"; and

(2) in subparagraph (B)(i)—
(A) by striking "a violation" and inserting "any violation (other than a violation of this section)"; and

(B) by adding "except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties," after "regulation,".

(b) PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES UNDER SECTION 2302(B)(9).—

(1) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Title 5, United States Code, is amended in subsections (a)(3), (b)(4)(A), and (b)(4)(B)(i) of section 1214, in subsections (a), (e)(1), and (i) of section 1221, and in subsection (a)(2)(C)(i) of section 2302, by inserting "or section 2302(b)(9)(A)(i), (B)(i), (C), or (D)" after "section 2302(b)(8)" or "(b)(8)" each place it appears.

(2) OTHER REFERENCES.—(A) Title 5, United States Code, is amended in subsection (b)(4)(B)(i) of section 1214 and in subsection (e)(1) of section 1221, by inserting "or protected activity" after "disclosure" each place it appears.

(B) Section 2302(b)(9) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(i) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

"(A) the exercise of any appeal, complaint, or grievance right granted by any law, rule, or regulation—

"(i) with regard to remedying a violation of paragraph (8); or

"(ii) with regard to remedying a violation of any other law, rule, or regulation;" and

(ii) in subparagraph (B), by inserting "(i) or (ii)" after "subparagraph (A)".

(C) Section 2302 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(f) A disclosure shall not be excluded from subsection (b)(8) because—

"(1) the disclosure was made during the normal course of the duties of the employee;

"(2) the disclosure was made to a person, including a supervisor, who participated in an activity that the employee or applicant reasonably believed to be covered by subsection (b)(8)(A)(ii);

"(3) the disclosure revealed information that had been previously disclosed;

"(4) of the employee or applicant's motive for making the disclosure;

"(5) the disclosure was not made in writing;

"(6) the disclosure was made while the employee was off duty; or

"(7) of the amount of time which has passed since the occurrence of the events described in the disclosure.".

SEC. 102. DEFINITIONAL AMENDMENTS.

(a) DISCLOSURES.—Section 2302(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B)(ii), by striking "and" at the end;

(2) in subparagraph (C)(iii), by striking the period at the end and inserting "; and"; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

"(D) 'disclosure' means a formal or informal communication or transmission, but does not include a communication concerning policy decisions that lawfully exercise discretionary authority unless the employee or applicant providing the disclosure reasonably believes that the disclosure evidences—

"(i) any violation of any law, rule, or regulation, except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties; or

"(ii) gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety.".

(b) CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE.—Sections 1214(b)(4)(B)(ii) and 1221(e)(2) of title 5, United States Code, are amended by adding at the end the following: "For purposes of the preceding sentence, 'clear and convincing evidence' means the degree of proof that produces in the mind of the trier of fact a firm belief as to the allegations sought to be established.".

SEC. 103. REBUTTABLE PRESUMPTION.

Section 2302(b) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by amending the matter following paragraph (12) to read as follows:

"This subsection shall not be construed to authorize the withholding of information from Congress or the taking of any personnel action against an employee who discloses information to Congress. For purposes of paragraph (8), any presumption relating to the performance of a duty by an employee who has authority to take or direct others to take, recommend, or approve any personnel action may be rebutted by substantial evidence. For purposes of paragraph (8), a determination as to whether an employee or applicant reasonably believes that such employee or applicant has disclosed information that evidences any violation of law, rule, regulation, gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety shall be made by determining whether a disinterested observer with knowledge of the essential facts known to and readily ascertainable by the employee could reasonably conclude that the actions of the Government evidence such violations, mismanagement, waste, abuse, or danger.".

SEC. 104. PERSONNEL ACTIONS AND PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES.

(a) PERSONNEL ACTION.—Section 2302(a)(2)(A) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in clause (x), by striking "and" after the semicolon; and

(2) by redesignating clause (xi) as clause (xii) and inserting after clause (x) the following:

"(xi) the implementation or enforcement of any nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement; and".

(b) PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 2302(b) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in paragraph (11), by striking "or" at the end;

(B) in paragraph (12), by striking the period and inserting "; or"; and

(C) by inserting after paragraph (12) the following:

"(13) implement or enforce any nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement, if such policy, form, or agreement does not contain the following statement: 'These provisions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by Executive Order No. 12958; section 7211 of title 5, United States Code (governing disclosures to Congress); section 1034 of title 10, United States Code (governing disclosure to Congress by members of the military); section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code (governing disclosures of illegality, waste, fraud, abuse, or public health or safety threats); the Intelligence Identities Protection Act of 1982 (50 U.S.C. 421 et seq.) (governing disclosures that could expose confidential Government agents); and the statutes which protect against disclosures that could compromise national security, including sections 641, 793, 794, 798, and 952 of title 18, United States Code, and section 4(b) of the Subversive Activities Control Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 783(b)). The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by such Executive order and such statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling.'".

(2) NONDISCLOSURE POLICY, FORM, OR AGREEMENT IN EFFECT BEFORE THE DATE OF ENACTMENT.—A nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement that was in effect before the date of enactment of this Act, but that does not contain the statement required under section 2302(b)(13) of title 5, United States Code, (as added by this Act) for implementation or enforcement—

(A) may be enforced with regard to a current employee if the agency gives such employee notice of the statement; and

(B) may continue to be enforced after the effective date of this Act with regard to a former employee if the agency posts notice of the statement on the agency website for the 1-year period following that effective date.

(c) RETALIATORY INVESTIGATIONS.—

(1) AGENCY INVESTIGATION.—Section 1214 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(h) Any corrective action ordered under this section to correct a prohibited personnel practice may include fees, costs, or damages reasonably incurred due to an agency investigation of the employee, if such investigation was commenced, expanded, or extended in retaliation for the disclosure or protected activity that formed the basis of the corrective action.".

(2) DAMAGES.—Section 1221(g) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(4) Any corrective action ordered under this section to correct a prohibited personnel practice may include fees, costs, or damages reasonably incurred due to an agency investigation of the employee, if such investigation was commenced, expanded, or extended in retaliation for the disclosure or protected activity that formed the basis of the corrective action.".

SEC. 105. EXCLUSION OF AGENCIES BY THE PRESIDENT.

Section 2302(a)(2)(C) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking clause (ii) and inserting the following:

“(ii)(I) the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Central Intelligence Agency, the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, and the National Reconnaissance Office; and

“(II) as determined by the President, any executive agency or unit thereof the principal function of which is the conduct of foreign intelligence or counterintelligence activities, if the determination (as that determination relates to a personnel action) is made before that personnel action; or”.

SEC. 106. DISCIPLINARY ACTION.

Section 1215(a)(3) of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(3)(A) A final order of the Board may impose—

“(i) disciplinary action consisting of removal, reduction in grade, debarment from Federal employment for a period not to exceed 5 years, suspension, or reprimand;

“(ii) an assessment of a civil penalty not to exceed \$1,000; or

“(iii) any combination of disciplinary actions described under clause (i) and an assessment described under clause (ii).

“(B) In any case brought under paragraph (1) in which the Board finds that an employee has committed a prohibited personnel practice under section 2302(b)(8), or 2302(b)(9)(A)(i), (B)(i), (C), or (D), the Board shall impose disciplinary action if the Board finds that the activity protected under section 2302(b)(8), or 2302(b)(9)(A)(i), (B)(i), (C), or (D) was a significant motivating factor, even if other factors also motivated the decision, for the employee's decision to take, fail to take, or threaten to take or fail to take a personnel action, unless that employee demonstrates, by preponderance of evidence, that the employee would have taken, failed to take, or threatened to take or fail to take the same personnel action, in the absence of such protected activity.”.

SEC. 107. REMEDIES.

(a) ATTORNEY FEES.—Section 1204(m)(1) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “agency involved” and inserting “agency where the prevailing party is employed or has applied for employment”.

(b) DAMAGES.—Sections 1214(g)(2) and 1221(g)(1)(A)(ii) of title 5, United States Code, are amended by striking all after “travel expenses,” and inserting “any other reasonable and foreseeable consequential damages, and compensatory damages (including interest, reasonable expert witness fees, and costs).” each place it appears.

SEC. 108. JUDICIAL REVIEW.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7703(b) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking the matter preceding paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(b)(1)(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B) and paragraph (2) of this subsection, a petition to review a final order or final decision of the Board shall be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any petition for review shall be filed within 60 days after the Board issues notice of the final order or decision of the Board.

“(B) During the 5-year period beginning on the effective date of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2009, a petition to review a final order or final decision of the Board that raises no challenge to the Board's disposition of allegations of a prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b) other than practices described in section 2302(b)(8), or 2302(b)(9)(A)(i), (B)(i), (C), or (D) shall be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or any court of appeals of com-

petent jurisdiction as provided under paragraph (2).”.

(b) REVIEW OBTAINED BY OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT.—Section 7703(d) of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(d)(1) Except as provided under paragraph (2), this paragraph shall apply to any review obtained by the Director of the Office of Personnel Management. The Director of the Office of Personnel Management may obtain review of any final order or decision of the Board by filing, within 60 days after the Board issues notice of the final order or decision of the Board, a petition for judicial review in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit if the Director determines, in the discretion of the Director, that the Board erred in interpreting a civil service law, rule, or regulation affecting personnel management and that the Board's decision will have a substantial impact on a civil service law, rule, regulation, or policy directive. If the Director did not intervene in a matter before the Board, the Director may not petition for review of a Board decision under this section unless the Director first petitions the Board for a reconsideration of its decision, and such petition is denied. In addition to the named respondent, the Board and all other parties to the proceedings before the Board shall have the right to appear in the proceeding before the Court of Appeals.

“(2) During the 5-year period beginning on the effective date of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2009, this paragraph shall apply to any review obtained by the Director of the Office of Personnel Management that raises no challenge to the Board's disposition of allegations of a prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b) other than practices described in section 2302(b)(8), or 2302(b)(9)(A)(i), (B)(i), (C), or (D). The Director of the Office of Personnel Management may obtain review of any final order or decision of the Board by filing, within 60 days after the Board issues notice of the final order or decision of the Board, a petition for judicial review in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or any court of appeals of competent jurisdiction as provided under subsection (b)(2) if the Director determines, in the discretion of the Director, that the Board erred in interpreting a civil service law, rule, or regulation affecting personnel management and that the Board's decision will have a substantial impact on a civil service law, rule, regulation, or policy directive. If the Director did not intervene in a matter before the Board, the Director may not petition for review of a Board decision under this section unless the Director first petitions the Board for a reconsideration of its decision, and such petition is denied. In addition to the named respondent, the Board and all other parties to the proceedings before the Board shall have the right to appear in the proceeding before the court of appeals.”.

SEC. 109. PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES AFFECTING THE TRANSPORTATION SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 23 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating sections 2304 and 2305 as sections 2305 and 2306, respectively; and

(2) by inserting after section 2303 the following:

“§2304. Prohibited personnel practices affecting the Transportation Security Administration

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any individual holding or applying for a position within the Transportation Security Administration shall be covered by—

“(1) the provisions of section 2302(b)(1), (8), and (9);

“(2) any provision of law implementing section 2302(b)(1), (8), or (9) by providing any right or remedy available to an employee or applicant for employment in the civil service; and

“(3) any rule or regulation prescribed under any provision of law referred to in paragraph (1) or (2).

“(b) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect any rights, apart from those described in subsection (a), to which an individual described in subsection (a) might otherwise be entitled under law.”.

(b) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 23 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking the items relating to sections 2304 and 2305, respectively, and by inserting the following:

“2304. Prohibited personnel practices affecting the Transportation Security Administration.

“2305. Responsibility of the Government Accountability Office.

“2306. Coordination with certain other provisions of law.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of enactment of this section.

SEC. 110. DISCLOSURE OF CENSORSHIP RELATED TO RESEARCH, ANALYSIS, OR TECHNICAL INFORMATION.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection—

(1) the term “agency” has the meaning given under section 2302(a)(2)(C) of title 5, United States Code;

(2) the term “applicant” means an applicant for a covered position;

(3) the term “censorship related to research, analysis, or technical information” means any effort to distort, misrepresent, or suppress research, analysis, or technical information;

(4) the term “covered position” has the meaning given under section 2302(a)(2)(B) of title 5, United States Code;

(5) the term “employee” means an employee in a covered position in an agency; and

(6) the term “disclosure” has the meaning given under section 2302(a)(2)(D) of title 5, United States Code.

(b) PROTECTED DISCLOSURE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Any disclosure of information by an employee or applicant for employment that the employee or applicant reasonably believes is evidence of censorship related to research, analysis, or technical information shall come within the protections of section 2302(b)(8)(A) of title 5, United States Code, if—

(A) the employee or applicant reasonably believes that the censorship related to research, analysis, or technical information is or will cause—

(i) any violation of any law, rule, or regulation, except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties; or

(ii) gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety;

(B) the disclosure and information satisfy the conditions stated in the matter following clause (ii) of section 2302(b)(8)(A) of title 5, United States Code; and

(C) shall come within the protections of section 2302(b)(8)(B) of title 5, United States Code, if—

(i) the conditions under subparagraph (A) of this paragraph are satisfied; and

(ii) the disclosure is made to an individual referred to in the matter preceding clause (i) of section 2302(b)(8)(B) of title 5, United States Code, for the receipt of disclosures.

(2) APPLICATION.—Subsection (a) shall apply to any disclosure of information by an employee or applicant without restriction to time, place,

form, motive, context, forum, or prior disclosure made to any person by an employee or applicant, including a disclosure made in the ordinary course of an employee's duties.

(3) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to imply any limitation on the protections of employees and applicants afforded by any other provision of law, including protections with respect to any disclosure of information believed to be evidence of censorship related to research, analysis, or technical information.

SEC. 111. CLARIFICATION OF WHISTLEBLOWER RIGHTS FOR CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE INFORMATION.

Section 214(c) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 133(c)) is amended by adding at the end the following: "For purposes of this section a permissible use of independently obtained information includes the disclosure of such information under section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code."

SEC. 112. ADVISING EMPLOYEES OF RIGHTS.

Section 2302(c) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting "," including how to make a lawful disclosure of information that is specifically required by law or Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs to the Special Counsel, the Inspector General of an agency, Congress, or other agency employee designated to receive such disclosures" after "chapter 12 of this title".

SEC. 113. SPECIAL COUNSEL AMICUS CURIAE APPEARANCE.

Section 1212 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(h)(1) The Special Counsel is authorized to appear as amicus curiae in any action brought in a court of the United States related to any civil action brought in connection with section 2302(b) (8) or (9), or as otherwise authorized by law. In any such action, the Special Counsel is authorized to present the views of the Special Counsel with respect to compliance with section 2302(b) (8) or (9) and the impact court decisions would have on the enforcement of such provisions of law.

"(2) A court of the United States shall grant the application of the Special Counsel to appear in any such action for the purposes described under subsection (a)."

SEC. 114. SCOPE OF DUE PROCESS.

(a) **SPECIAL COUNSEL.**—Section 1214(b)(4)(B)(ii) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting "," after a finding that a protected disclosure was a contributing factor," after "ordered if".

(b) **INDIVIDUAL ACTION.**—Section 1221(e)(2) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting "," after a finding that a protected disclosure was a contributing factor," after "ordered if".

SEC. 115. NONDISCLOSURE POLICIES, FORMS, AND AGREEMENTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—

(1) **REQUIREMENT.**—Each agreement in Standard Forms 312 and 4414 of the Government and any other nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement of the Government shall contain the following statement: "These restrictions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by Executive Order No. 12958; section 7211 of title 5, United States Code (governing disclosures to Congress); section 1034 of title 10, United States Code (governing disclosure to Congress by members of the military); section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code (governing disclosures of illegality, waste, fraud, abuse, or public health or safety threats); the Intelligence Identities Protection Act of 1982 (50 U.S.C. 421 et seq.) (governing disclosures that could expose confidential Government agents); and the statutes which protect

against disclosure that may compromise the national security, including sections 641, 793, 794, 798, and 952 of title 18, United States Code, and section 4(b) of the Subversive Activities Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 783(b)). The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by such Executive order and such statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling."

(2) **ENFORCEABILITY.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Any nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement described under paragraph (1) that does not contain the statement required under paragraph (1) may not be implemented or enforced to the extent such policy, form, or agreement is inconsistent with that statement.

(B) **NONDISCLOSURE POLICY, FORM, OR AGREEMENT IN EFFECT BEFORE THE DATE OF ENACTMENT.**—A nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement that was in effect before the date of enactment of this Act, but that does not contain the statement required under paragraph (1)—

(i) may be enforced with regard to a current employee if the agency gives such employee notice of the statement; and

(ii) may continue to be enforced after the effective date of this Act with regard to a former employee if the agency posts notice of the statement on the agency website for the 1-year period following that effective date.

(b) **PERSONS OTHER THAN GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.**—Notwithstanding subsection (a), a nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement that is to be executed by a person connected with the conduct of an intelligence or intelligence-related activity, other than an employee or officer of the United States Government, may contain provisions appropriate to the particular activity for which such document is to be used. Such policy, form, or agreement shall, at a minimum, require that the person will not disclose any classified information received in the course of such activity unless specifically authorized to do so by the United States Government. Such nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement shall also make it clear that such forms do not bar disclosures to Congress or to an authorized official of an executive agency or the Department of Justice that are essential to reporting a substantial violation of law.

SEC. 116. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

(a) **GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE.**—

(1) **REPORT.**—Not later than 40 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives on the implementation of this Act.

(2) **CONTENTS.**—The report under this paragraph shall include—

(A) an analysis of any changes in the number of cases filed with the United States Merit Systems Protection Board alleging violations of section 2302(b)(8) or (9) of title 5, United States Code, since the effective date of this Act;

(B) the outcome of the cases described under subparagraph (A), including whether or not the United States Merit Systems Protection Board, the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals, or any other court determined the allegations to be frivolous or malicious;

(C) an analysis of the outcome of cases described under subparagraph (A) that were decided by a United States District Court and the impact the process has on the Merit Systems Protection Board and the Federal court system; and

(D) any other matter as determined by the Comptroller General.

(b) **STUDY ON REVOCATION OF SECURITY CLEARANCES.**—

(1) **STUDY.**—The Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency, including

the Inspectors General of the Department of Justice, the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, and the Office of Personnel Management, shall conduct a study of security clearance revocations of Federal employees at a select sample of executive branch agencies and the appeals process in place at those agencies and at the Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Board. The study shall consist of an examination of the number of security clearances revoked, the process employed by each agency in revoking a clearance, the pay and employment status of agency employees during the revocation process, how often such revocations result in termination of employment or reassignment, how often such revocations are based on an improper disclosure of information, how often security clearances are reinstated following an appeal, how often security clearances remain revoked following a finding of retaliation for making a disclosure, and such other factors the Inspectors General determine appropriate.

(2) **REPORT.**—Not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Inspectors General shall submit to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives a report on the results of the study required under this paragraph.

(c) **MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Each report submitted annually by the Merit Systems Protection Board under section 1116 of title 31, United States Code, shall, with respect to the period covered by such report, include as an addendum the following:

(A) Information relating to the outcome of cases decided during the applicable year of the report in which violations of section 2302(b)(8) or (9) of title 5, United States Code, were alleged.

(B) The number of such cases filed in the regional and field offices, the number of petitions for review filed in such cases, and the outcomes of such cases.

(2) **FIRST REPORT.**—The first report described under paragraph (1) submitted after the date of enactment of this Act shall include an addendum required under that subparagraph that covers the period beginning on January 1, 2009 through the end of the fiscal year 2009.

SEC. 117. ALTERNATIVE REVIEW.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1221 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(k)(1) In this subsection, the term 'appropriate United States district court', as used with respect to an alleged prohibited personnel practice, means the United States district court for the judicial district in which—

"(A) the prohibited personnel practice is alleged to have been committed;

"(B) the employment records relevant to such practice are maintained and administered; or

"(C) the employee, former employee, or applicant for employment allegedly affected by such practice resides.

"(2)(A) An employee, former employee, or applicant for employment in any case to which paragraph (3) or (4) applies may file an action at law or equity for de novo review in the appropriate United States district court in accordance with this subsection.

"(B) Upon initiation of any action under subparagraph (A), the Board shall stay any other claims of such employee, former employee, or applicant pending before the Board at that time which arise out of the same set of operative facts. Such claims shall be stayed pending completion of the action filed under subparagraph (A) before the appropriate United States district court and any associated appellate review.

“(3) This paragraph applies in any case that—

“(A) an employee, former employee, or applicant for employment—

“(i) seeks corrective action from the Merit Systems Protection Board under section 1221(a) based on an alleged prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b)(8) for which the associated personnel action is an action covered under section 7512 or 7542; or

“(ii) files an appeal under section 7701(a)(1) alleging as an affirmative defense the commission of a prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b)(8) or (9) (A)(i), (B)(i), (C), or (D) for which the associated personnel action is an action covered under section 7512 or 7542;

“(B) no final order or decision is issued by the Board within 270 days after the date on which a request for that corrective action or appeal has been duly submitted; and

“(C) such employee, former employee, or applicant provides written notice to the Board of filing an action under this subsection before the filing of that action.

“(4) This paragraph applies in any case in which—

“(A) an employee, former employee, or applicant for employment—

“(i) seeks corrective action from the Merit Systems Protection Board under section 1221(a) based on an alleged prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b)(8) or (9) (A)(i), (B)(i), (C), or (D) for which the associated personnel action is an action covered under section 7512 or 7542; or

“(ii) files an appeal under section 7701(a)(1) alleging as an affirmative defense the commission of a prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b)(8) or (9) (A)(i), (B)(i), (C), or (D) for which the associated personnel action is an action covered under section 7512 or 7542;

“(B)(i) within 30 days after the date on which the request for corrective action or appeal was duly submitted, such employee, former employee, or applicant for employment files a motion requesting a certification consistent with subparagraph (C) to the Board, any administrative law judge appointed by the Board under section 3105 of this title and assigned to the case, or any employee of the Board designated by the Board and assigned to the case; and

“(ii) such employee has not previously filed a motion under clause (i) related to that request for corrective action; and

“(C) the Board, any administrative law judge appointed by the Board under section 3105 of this title and assigned to the case, or any employee of the Board designated by the Board and assigned to the case certifies that—

“(i) the Board is not likely to dispose of the case within 270 days after the date on which a request for that corrective action has been duly submitted;

“(ii) the case—

“(I) consists of multiple claims;

“(II) requires complex or extensive discovery;

“(III) arises out of the same set of operative facts as any civil action against the Government filed by the employee, former employee, or applicant pending in a Federal court; or

“(IV) involves a novel question of law; or

“(iii) under standards applicable to the review of motions to dismiss under rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including rule 12(d), the request for corrective action (including any allegations made with the motion under subparagraph (B)) would not be subject to dismissal.

“(5) The Board shall grant or deny any motion requesting a certification described under paragraph (4)(ii) within 90 days after the submission of such motion and, in any event, not later than 15 days before issuing a decision on the merits of a request for corrective action.

“(6) Any decision of the Board, any administrative law judge appointed by the Board under section 3105 of this title and assigned to the case, or any employee of the Board designated by the Board and assigned to the case to grant or deny a certification under this paragraph shall be reviewed only on appeal of a final order or decision of the Board under section 7703, if—

“(A) the reviewing court determines that the decision by the Board on the merits of the alleged prohibited personnel described in section 2302(b)(8) or (9) (A)(i), (B)(i), (C), or (D) failed to meet the standards of section 7703(c); and

“(B) the decision to deny the certification shall be overturned by the reviewing court if such decision is found to be arbitrary, capricious, or an abuse of discretion; and

“(C) shall not be considered evidence of any determination by the Board, any administrative law judge appointed by the Board under section 3105 of this title, or any employee of the Board designated by the Board on the merits of the underlying allegations during the course of any action at law or equity for de novo review in the appropriate United States district court in accordance with this subsection.

“(7) In any action filed under this subsection—

“(A) the district court shall have jurisdiction without regard to the amount in controversy;

“(B) at the request of either party, such action shall be tried by the court with a jury;

“(C) the court—

“(i) subject to clause (iii), shall apply the standards set forth in subsection (e); and

“(ii) may award any relief which the court considers appropriate under subsection (g), except—

“(I) relief for compensatory damages may not exceed \$300,000; and

“(II) relief may not include punitive damages; and

“(iii) notwithstanding section (e)(2), may not order relief if the agency demonstrates by a preponderance of the evidence that the agency would have taken the same personnel action in the absence of such disclosure; and

“(D) the Special Counsel may not represent the employee, former employee, or applicant for employment.

“(8) An appeal from a final decision of a district court in an action under this subsection shall be taken to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or any court of appeals of competent jurisdiction.

“(9) This subsection applies with respect to any appeal, petition, or other request for corrective action duly submitted to the Board, whether under section 1214(b)(2), the preceding provisions of this section, section 7513(d), section 7701, or any otherwise applicable provisions of law, rule, or regulation.”.

(b) SUNSET.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided under paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall cease to have effect 5 years after the effective date of this Act.

(2) PENDING CLAIMS.—The amendments made by this section shall continue to apply with respect to any claim pending before the Board on the last day of the 5-year period described under paragraph (1).

SEC. 118. MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD SUMMARY JUDGMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1204(b) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4);

(2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following:

“(3) With respect to a request for corrective action based on an alleged prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b)(8) or (9)(A)(i), (B)(i), (C), or (D) for which the associ-

ated personnel action is an action covered under section 7512 or 7542, the Board, any administrative law judge appointed by the Board under section 3105 of this title, or any employee of the Board designated by the Board may, with respect to any party, grant a motion for summary judgment when the Board or the administrative law judge determines that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law.”.

(b) SUNSET.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided under paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall cease to have effect 5 years after the effective date of this Act.

(2) PENDING CLAIMS.—The amendments made by this section shall continue to apply with respect to any claim pending before the Board on the last day of the 5-year period described under paragraph (1).

SEC. 119. DISCLOSURES OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.

(a) PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES.—Section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “or” after the semicolon;

(2) in subparagraph (B), by adding “or” after the semicolon; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) any communication that complies with subsection (a)(1), (d), or (h) of section 8H of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.);”.

(b) INSPECTOR GENERAL ACT OF 1978.—Section 8H of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1), by adding at the end the following:

“(D) An employee of any agency, as that term is defined under section 2302(a)(2)(C) of title 5, United States Code, who intends to report to Congress a complaint or information with respect to an urgent concern may report the complaint or information to the Inspector General, or designee, of the agency of which that employee is employed;”;

(2) in subsection (h), by striking paragraph (2), and inserting the following:

“(2) The term ‘intelligence committees’ means the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, except that with respect to disclosures made by employees described in subsection (a)(1)(D), the term ‘intelligence committees’ means the committees of appropriate jurisdiction.”.

SEC. 120. WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION OMBUDSMAN.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 3(d) of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “and” after the semicolon;

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) designate a Whistleblower Protection Ombudsman who shall advocate for the interests of agency employees or applicants who make protected disclosures of information, educate agency personnel about prohibitions on retaliation for protected disclosures, and advise agency employees, applicants, or former employees who have made or are contemplating making a protected disclosure.”.

(b) CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY.—Section 17(e) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 403q(e)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(9) The Inspector General shall designate a Whistleblower Protection Ombudsman who shall advocate for the interests of agency employees or applicants who make protected disclosures of

information, educate agency personnel about prohibitions on retaliation for protected disclosures, and advise agency employees, applicants, or former employees who have made or are contemplating making a protected disclosure.”.

(c) **APPLICATION TO INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.**—Notwithstanding section 8K of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) or any other provision of law, the amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply to each Office of Inspector General of an element of the intelligence community (as defined in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 401a(4))).

TITLE II—INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTIONS

SEC. 201. PROTECTION OF INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY WHISTLEBLOWERS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Title I of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 402 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 120. INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION BOARD.

“(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is established within the Office of the Director of National Intelligence the Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Board (in this section referred to as the ‘Board’).

“(b) **MEMBERSHIP.**—(1) The Board shall consist of—

“(A) a Chairperson who shall be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate (in this section referred to as the ‘Chairperson’);

“(B) 2 members who shall be designated by the President—

“(i) from individuals serving as an inspectors general of any agency or department of the United States who have been appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate; and

“(ii) after consultation with members of the Council of Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency; and

“(C) 2 members who shall be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, after consultation with the Attorney General, the Director of National Intelligence, and the Secretary of Defense.

“(D)(i) A member of the Board who serves as the inspector general of an agency or department shall recuse themselves from any matter brought to the Board by a former employee, employee, or applicant of the agency or department for which that member serves as inspector general.

“(2) The President shall designate 2 alternate members of the Board from individuals serving as an inspector general of an agency or department of the United States. If a member of the Board recuses themselves from a matter pending before the Board, an alternate shall serve in place of that member for that matter.

“(3) The members of the Board shall be individuals of sound and independent judgment who shall collectively possess substantial experience in national security and personnel matters.

“(4)(A) The Chairperson shall be compensated at a rate equal to the daily equivalent of the annual rate of basic pay prescribed for level III of the Executive Schedule under section 5314 of title 5, United States Code, plus 3 percent for each day (including travel time) during which the Chairperson is engaged in the performance of the duties of the Board.

“(B) The members designated under paragraph (1)(B) and alternate members designated under paragraph (2) shall serve without compensation in addition to that received for their services as inspectors general.

“(C) The members appointed under paragraph (1)(C) shall—

“(i) perform their duties for a period not to exceed 130 days during any period of 365 consecutive days; and

“(ii) shall be compensated at the rate of pay for the Chairperson specified in paragraph (A).

“(D)(i) The members of the Board shall serve 4-year terms at the pleasure of the President, except that of the members first appointed or designated—

“(I) the Chairperson shall have a term of 6 years;

“(II) 2 members shall have a term of 5 years; and

“(III) 2 members shall have a term of 4 years.

“(ii) A member designated under paragraph (1)(B) shall be ineligible to serve on the Board if that member ceases to serve as an inspector general for an agency or department of the United States.

“(iii) A member of the Board may serve on the Board after the expiration of the term of that member until a successor for that member has taken office as a member of the Board.

“(iv) An individual appointed to fill a vacancy occurring, other than by the expiration of a term of office, shall be appointed only for the unexpired term of the member that individual succeeds.

“(5) Three members shall constitute a quorum of the Board.

“(c) **RESOURCES AND AUTHORITY.**—(1) The Office of the Director of National Intelligence shall provide the Board with appropriate and adequate office space, together with such equipment, office supplies, and communications facilities and services as may be necessary for the operation of the Board, and shall provide necessary maintenance services for the Board and the equipment and facilities located therein.

“(2)(A) For each fiscal year, the Chairperson shall transmit a budget estimate and request to the Director of National Intelligence. The budget request shall specify the aggregate amount of funds requested for such fiscal year for the operations of the Board.

“(B) In transmitting a proposed budget to the President for approval, the Director of National Intelligence shall include—

“(i) the amount requested by the Chairperson; and

“(ii) any comments of the Chairperson with respect to the amount requested.

“(3) Subject to applicable law and the policies of the Director of National Intelligence, the Chairperson, for the purposes of enabling the Board to fulfill its statutorily assigned functions, is authorized to select, appoint, and employ such officers and employees as may be necessary for carrying out the functions, powers, and duties of the Office.

“(4) In consultation with the Attorney General, the Director of National Intelligence, and the Secretary of Defense, the Board may promulgate rules, regulations, and guidance and issue orders to fulfill its functions. The Director of National Intelligence, Secretary of Defense, and Attorney General shall jointly approve any rules, regulations, or guidance issued under section 121(c)(1)(B).

“(5) The number of individuals employed by or on detail to the Board shall not be counted against any limitation on the number of personnel, positions, or full-time equivalents in the Office of the Director of National Intelligence.

“SEC. 121. INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTIONS.

“(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘agency’ means an Executive department or independent establishment, as defined under sections 101 and 104 of title 5, United States Code, that contains an intelligence community element.

“(2) The term ‘intelligence community element’ means—

“(A) the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Central Intelligence Agency, the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Geospatial-Intel-

ligence Agency, the National Security Agency, the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, and the National Reconnaissance Office; and

“(B) any executive agency or unit thereof determined by the President under section 2302(a)(2)(C)(ii) of title 5, United States Code, to have as its principal function the conduct of foreign intelligence or counterintelligence activities, if the determination (as that determination relates to a personnel action) is made before that personnel action.

“(3) The term ‘personnel action’—

“(A) means any action taken against an employee of an intelligence community element that would be considered a personnel action, as defined in section 2302(a)(2)(A) of title 5, United States Code, if taken against an employee subject to such section 2302; and

“(B) shall not include the denial, suspension, or revocation of a security clearance or denying access to classified or sensitive information or a suspension with pay pending an investigation.

“(4) The term ‘prohibited personnel practice’ means any action prohibited by subsection (b) of this section.

“(b) **PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES.**—(1) No person who has authority to take, direct others to take, recommend, or approve any personnel action, shall, with respect to such authority—

“(A) take or fail to take, or threaten to take or fail to take, a personnel action with respect to any intelligence community element employee or applicant for employment because of—

“(i) any disclosure of information to an official of an agency by an employee or applicant which the employee or applicant reasonably believes evidences—

“(I) any violation of law, rule, or regulation except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties; or

“(II) gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety,

if such disclosure is not specifically prohibited by law and if such information is not specifically required by Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs;

“(ii) any disclosure to the inspector general of an agency or another employee designated by the head of the agency to receive such disclosures, of information which the employee or applicant reasonably believes evidences—

“(I) any violation of law, rule, or regulation, except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties; or

“(II) gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; or

“(iii) any communication that complies with subsection (a)(1), (d), or (h) of section 8H of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) or that complies with subparagraphs (A), (D), or (H) of section 17(d)(5) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 403q); or

“(B) take or fail to take, or threaten to take or fail to take, any personnel action against any intelligence community element employee or applicant for employment because of—

“(i) the exercise of any appeal, complaint, or grievance right granted by subsection (c);

“(ii) testifying for or otherwise lawfully assisting any individual in the exercise of any right referred to in clause (i); or

“(iii) cooperating with or disclosing information to the inspector general of an agency in connection with an audit, inspection, or investigation conducted by the inspector general, in accordance with applicable provisions of law,

if the actions described under clauses (i), (ii), and (iii) do not result in the employee or applicant unlawfully disclosing information specifically required by Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs or any other information the disclosure of which is specifically prohibited by law.

“(2) A disclosure shall not be excluded from paragraph (1) because—

“(A) the disclosure was made during the normal course of the duties of the employee;

“(B) the disclosure was made to a person, including a supervisor, who participated in an activity that the employee or applicant reasonably believed to be covered by paragraph (1)(A)(ii);

“(C) the disclosure revealed information that had been previously disclosed;

“(D) of the employee or applicant's motive for making the disclosure;

“(E) the disclosure was not made in writing;

“(F) the disclosure was made while the employee was off duty; or

“(G) of the amount of time which has passed since the occurrence of the events described in the disclosure.

“(3) Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to authorize the withholding of information from the Congress or the taking of any personnel action against an employee who discloses information to the Congress.

“(c) REMEDIAL PROCEDURE.—(1)(A) An employee, applicant, or former employee of an intelligence community element who believes that such employee, applicant, or former employee has been subjected to a prohibited personnel practice may petition for an appeal of the personnel action to the agency head or the designee of the agency head within 60 days after discovery of the alleged adverse personnel action.

“(B) The appeal shall be conducted within the agency according to rules of procedure issued by the Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Board under section 120(c)(4). Those rules shall be based on those pertaining to prohibited personnel practices defined under section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code, and provide—

“(i) for an independent and impartial fact-finder;

“(ii) for notice and the opportunity to be heard, including the opportunity to present relevant evidence, including witness testimony;

“(iii) that the employee, applicant, or former employee may be represented by counsel;

“(iv) that the employee, applicant, or former employee has a right to a decision based on the record developed during the appeal;

“(v) that, unless agreed to by the employee and the agency concerned, not more than 180 days shall pass from the filing of the appeal to the report of the impartial fact-finder to the agency head or the designee of the agency head;

“(vi) for the use of information specifically required by Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs in a manner consistent with the interests of national security, including ex parte submissions where the agency determines that the interests of national security so warrant; and

“(vii) that the employee, applicant, or former employee shall have no right to compel the production of information specifically required by Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs, except evidence necessary to establish that the employee made the disclosure or communication such employee alleges was protected by subsection (b)(1)(A).

“(C) If the Board certifies that agency procedures in effect on the date of enactment of this section, including procedures promulgated under section 2303 of title 5, United States Code,

before that date, adequately provide guaranties required under subparagraph (B)(i) through (vi), the appeal may be conducted according to those procedures.

“(2) On the basis of the record developed during the appeal, the impartial fact-finder shall prepare a report to the agency head or the designee of the agency head setting forth findings, conclusions, and, if applicable, recommended corrective action. After reviewing the record and the impartial fact-finder's report, the agency head or the designee of the agency head shall determine whether the employee, former employee, or applicant has been subjected to a prohibited personnel practice, and shall either issue an order denying relief or shall implement corrective action to return the employee, former employee, or applicant, as nearly as practicable and reasonable, to the position such employee, former employee, or applicant would have held had the prohibited personnel practice not occurred. Such corrective action shall include reasonable attorney's fees and any other reasonable costs incurred, and may include back pay and related benefits, travel expenses, and compensatory damages not to exceed \$300,000. Unless the employee, former employee, or applicant consents, no more than 60 days shall pass from the submission of the report by the impartial fact-finder to the agency head and the final decision by the agency head or the designee of the agency head.

“(3) In determining whether the employee, former employee, or applicant has been subjected to a prohibited personnel practice, the agency head or the designee of the agency head shall find that a prohibited personnel practice occurred if a disclosure described in subsection (b) was a contributing factor in the personnel action which was taken against the individual, unless the agency demonstrates by clear and convincing evidence that it would have taken the same personnel action in the absence of such disclosure.

“(4)(A) Any employee, former employee, or applicant adversely affected or aggrieved by a final order or decision of the agency head or the designee of the agency head under paragraph (1) may appeal that decision to the Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Board within 60 days after the issuance of such order. Such appeal shall be conducted under rules of procedure issued by the Board under section 120(c)(4).

“(B) The Board's review shall be on the agency record. The Board may not hear witnesses or admit additional evidence. Any portions of the record that were submitted ex parte during the agency proceedings shall not be disclosed to the employee, former employee, or applicant during proceedings before the Board.

“(C) If the Board concludes that further fact-finding is necessary or finds that the agency improperly denied the employee, former employee, or applicant the opportunity to present evidence that, if admitted, would have a substantial likelihood of altering the outcome, the Board shall—

“(i) remand the matter to the agency from which it originated for additional proceedings in accordance with the rules of procedure issued by the Board; or

“(ii) refer the matter to another agency for additional proceedings in accordance with the rules of procedure issued by the Board.

“(D) The Board shall make a de novo determination, based on the entire record, of whether the employee, former employee, or applicant suffered a prohibited personnel practice. In considering the record, the Board may weigh the evidence, judge the credibility of witnesses, and determine controverted questions of fact; in doing so, the Board may consider the prior fact-finder's opportunity to see and hear the witnesses.

“(E) On the basis of the agency record, the Board shall determine whether the employee, former employee, or applicant has been subjected to a prohibited personnel practice, and shall either issue an order denying relief or shall order the agency head to take specific corrective action to return the employee, former employee, or applicant, as nearly as practicable and reasonable, to the position such employee, former employee, or applicant would have held had the prohibited personnel practice not occurred. Such corrective action shall include reasonable attorney's fees and any other reasonable costs incurred, and may include back pay and related benefits, travel expenses, and compensatory damages not to exceed \$300,000. The Board may recommend, but may not order, reinstatement or hiring of a former employee or applicant. The agency head shall take the actions so ordered, unless the President determines that doing so would endanger national security. Unless the employee, former employee, or applicant consents, no more than 180 days shall pass from the filing of the appeal with the Board to the final decision by the Board. Any period of time during which the Board lacks a sufficient number of members to undertake a review shall be excluded from the 180-day period.

“(F) In determining whether the employee, former employee, or applicant has been subjected to a prohibited personnel practice, the agency head or the designee of the agency head shall find that a prohibited personnel practice occurred if a disclosure described in subsection (b) of this section was a contributing factor in the personnel action which was taken against the individual, unless the agency demonstrates by clear and convincing evidence that it would have taken the same personnel action in the absence of such disclosure.

“(5)(A)(i) During the 5-year period beginning on the effective date of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2009, an employee, former employee, applicant, or an agency may file a petition to review a final order of the Board in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or the United States court of appeals for a circuit in which the reprisal is alleged in the order to have occurred. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any petition for review shall be filed within 60 days after the date of issuance of the final order of the Board.

“(ii) After the 5-year period described under clause (i), a petition to review a final order described under that clause shall be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

“(B) The court of appeals shall review the record and hold unlawful and set aside any agency action, findings, or conclusions found to be—

“(i) arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law;

“(ii) obtained without procedures required by law, rule, or regulation having been followed; or

“(iii) unsupported by substantial evidence.

“(C) Any portions of the record that were submitted ex parte during the agency proceedings shall be submitted ex parte to the Board and any reviewing court.

“(D) At the time the Board issues an order, the Chairperson shall notify the chairpersons and ranking members of—

“(i) the Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs of the Senate;

“(ii) the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate;

“(iii) the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives; and

“(iv) the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

“(d) Except as expressly provided in this section, there shall be no judicial review of agency actions under this section.

“(e) This section shall not apply to terminations executed under—

“(1) section 1609 of title 10, United States Code;

“(2) the authority of the Director of National Intelligence under section 102A(m) of this Act, if—

“(A) the Director personally summarily terminates the individual; and

“(B) the Director—

“(i) determines the termination to be in the interest of the United States;

“(ii) determines that the procedures prescribed in other provisions of law that authorize the termination of the employment of such employee cannot be invoked in a manner consistent with the national security; and

“(iii) notifies the congressional oversight committees of such termination within 5 days after the termination;

“(3) the authority of the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency under section 104A(e) of this Act, if—

“(A) the Director personally summarily terminates the individual; and

“(B) the Director—

“(i) determines the termination to be in the interest of the United States;

“(ii) determines that the procedures prescribed in other provisions of law that authorize the termination of the employment of such employee cannot be invoked in a manner consistent with the national security; and

“(iii) notifies the congressional oversight committees of such termination within 5 days after the termination; or

“(4) section 7532 of title 5, United States Code, if—

“(A) the agency head personally summarily terminates the individual; and

“(B) the agency head—

“(i) determines the termination to be in the interest of the United States;

“(ii) determines that the procedures prescribed in other provisions of law that authorize the termination of the employment of such employee cannot be invoked in a manner consistent with the national security; and

“(iii) notifies the congressional oversight committees of such termination within 5 days after the termination.

“(f) If an employee, former employee, or applicant seeks to challenge both a prohibited personnel practice under this section and an adverse security clearance or access determination under section 3001(j) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 435b(j)), the employee shall bring both claims under the procedure set forth in 3001(j) of that Act for challenging an adverse security clearance or access determination. If the Board awards compensatory damages for such claim or claims, the total amount of compensatory damages ordered shall not exceed \$300,000.”.

(b) REPEAL OF SECTION 2303.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Title 5, United States Code is amended—

(A) by striking section 2303; and

(B) by striking the item relating to section 2303 in the table of sections for chapter 23 of that title.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This paragraph shall take effect on the date on which rules are issued as required under section 121(c)(1)(B) of the National Security Act of 1947 (as added by this Act).

(c) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 401 note) is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 119B the following:

“Sec. 120. Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Board.

“Sec. 121. Intelligence community whistleblower protections.”.

SEC. 202. REVIEW OF SECURITY CLEARANCE OR ACCESS DETERMINATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 3001(b) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 435b(b)) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “Not” and inserting “Except as otherwise provided, not”; and

(2) in paragraph (5), by striking “and” after the semicolon;

(3) in paragraph (6), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(4) by inserting after paragraph (6) the following:

“(7) not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2009—

“(A) developing policies and procedures that permit, to the extent practicable, individuals who challenge in good faith a determination to suspend or revoke a security clearance or access to classified information to retain their government employment status while such challenge is pending; and

“(B) developing and implementing uniform and consistent policies and procedures to ensure proper protections during the process for denying, suspending, or revoking a security clearance or access to classified information, including the provision of a right to appeal such a denial, suspension, or revocation, except that there shall be no appeal of an agency’s suspension of a security clearance or access determination for purposes of conducting an investigation, if that suspension lasts no longer than 1 year, including such policies and procedures for appeals based on those pertaining to prohibited personnel practices defined under section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code, and that provide—

“(i) for an independent and impartial factfinder;

“(ii) for notice and the opportunity to be heard, including the opportunity to present relevant evidence, including witness testimony;

“(iii) that the employee, applicant, or former employee may be represented by counsel;

“(iv) that the employee, applicant, or former employee has a right to a decision based on the record developed during the appeal;

“(v) that, unless agreed to by the employee and the agency concerned, no more than 180 days shall pass from the filing of the appeal to the report of the impartial factfinder to the agency head or the designee of the agency head;

“(vi) for the use of information specifically required by Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs in a manner consistent with the interests of national security, including ex parte submissions if the agency determines that the interests of national security so warrant; and

“(vii) that the employee, applicant, or former employee shall have no right to compel the production of information specifically required by Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs, except evidence necessary to establish that the employee made the disclosure or communication such employee alleges was protected by subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) of subsection (j)(1).”.

(b) RETALIATORY REVOCATION OF SECURITY CLEARANCES AND ACCESS DETERMINATIONS.—Section 3001 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 435b) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(j) RETALIATORY REVOCATION OF SECURITY CLEARANCES AND ACCESS DETERMINATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Agency personnel with authority over personnel security clearance or access determinations shall not take or fail to take, or threaten to take or fail to take, any action with respect to any employee or applicant’s

security clearance or access determination because of—

“(A) any disclosure of information to an official of an Executive agency by an employee or applicant which the employee or applicant reasonably believes evidences—

“(i) a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties; or

“(ii) gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety,

if such disclosure is not specifically prohibited by law and if such disclosure does not reveal information specifically authorized under criteria established by statute, Executive Order, Presidential directive, or Presidential memorandum to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs;

“(B) any disclosure to the Inspector General of an agency or another employee designated by the head of the agency to receive such disclosures, of information which the employee or applicant reasonably believes evidences—

“(i) a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties; or

“(ii) gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety;

“(C) any communication that complies with subsection (a)(1), (d), or (h) of section 8H of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) or that complies with subsection (d)(5)(A), (D), or (H) of section 17 of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 403g);

“(D) the exercise of any appeal, complaint, or grievance right granted by any law, rule, or regulation;

“(E) testifying for or otherwise lawfully assisting any individual in the exercise of any right referred to in subparagraph (D); or

“(F) cooperating with or disclosing information to the inspector general of an agency, in accordance with applicable provisions of law in connection with an audit, inspection, or investigation conducted by the inspector general,

if the actions described under subparagraphs (D) through (F) do not result in the employee or applicant unlawfully disclosing information specifically authorized under criteria established by Executive Order, statute, Presidential Directive, or Presidential memorandum to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs.

Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to authorize the withholding of information from the Congress or the taking of any personnel action against an employee who discloses information to the Congress.

“(2) DISCLOSURES.—A disclosure shall not be excluded from paragraph (1) because—

“(A) the disclosure was made during the normal course of the duties of the employee;

“(B) the disclosure was made to a person, including a supervisor, who participated in an activity that the employee or applicant reasonably believed to be covered by paragraph (1)(A)(ii);

“(C) the disclosure revealed information that had been previously disclosed;

“(D) of the employee or applicant’s motive for making the disclosure;

“(E) the disclosure was not made in writing;

“(F) the disclosure was made while the employee was off duty; or

“(G) of the amount of time which has passed since the occurrence of the events described in the disclosure.

“(3) AGENCY ADJUDICATION.—

“(A) APPEAL.—An employee, former employee, or applicant for employment who believes that

he or she has been subjected to a reprisal prohibited by paragraph (1) of this subsection may, within 60 days after the issuance of notice of such decision, appeal that decision within the agency of that employee, former employee, or applicant through proceedings authorized by paragraph (8) of subsection (b), except that there shall be no appeal of an agency's suspension of a security clearance or access determination for purposes of conducting an investigation, if that suspension lasts no longer than 1 year.

“(B) CORRECTIVE ACTION.—If, in the course of proceedings authorized under subparagraph (A), it is determined that the adverse security clearance or access determination violated paragraph (1) of this subsection, the agency shall take specific corrective action to return the employee, former employee, or applicant, as nearly as practicable and reasonable, to the position such employee, former employee, or applicant would have held had the violation not occurred. Such corrective action shall include reasonable attorney's fees and any other reasonable costs incurred, and may include back pay and related benefits, travel expenses, and compensatory damages not to exceed \$300,000.

“(C) CONTRIBUTING FACTOR.—In determining whether the adverse security clearance or access determination violated paragraph (1) of this subsection, the agency shall find that paragraph (1) of this subsection was violated if a disclosure described in paragraph (1) was a contributing factor in the adverse security clearance or access determination taken against the individual, unless the agency demonstrates by a preponderance of the evidence that it would have taken the same action in the absence of such disclosure, giving the utmost deference to the agency's assessment of the particular threat to the national security interests of the United States in the instant matter.

“(4) REVIEW BY THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION BOARD.—

“(A) APPEAL.—Within 60 days after receiving notice of an adverse final agency determination under a proceeding under paragraph (3), an employee, former employee, or applicant for employment may appeal that determination to the Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Board.

“(B) POLICIES AND PROCEDURES.—The Board, in consultation with the Attorney General, Director of National Intelligence, and the Secretary of Defense, shall develop and implement policies and procedures for adjudicating the appeals authorized by subparagraph (A). The Director of National Intelligence and Secretary of Defense shall jointly approve any rules, regulations, or guidance issued by the Board concerning the procedures for the use or handling of classified information.

“(C) REVIEW.—The Board's review shall be on the complete agency record, which shall be made available to the Board. The Board may not hear witnesses or admit additional evidence. Any portions of the record that were submitted *ex parte* during the agency proceedings shall be submitted *ex parte* to the Board.

“(D) FURTHER FACT-FINDING OR IMPROPER DETERMINATION.—If the Board concludes that further fact-finding is necessary or finds that the agency improperly denied the employee or former employee the opportunity to present evidence that, if admitted, would have a substantial likelihood of altering the outcome, the Board shall—

“(i) remand the matter to the agency from which it originated for additional proceedings in accordance with the rules of procedure issued by the Board; or

“(ii) refer the case to an intelligence community agency for additional proceedings in accordance with the rules of procedure issued by the Board.

“(E) DE NOVO DETERMINATION.—The Board shall make a *de novo* determination, based on the entire record, of whether the employee, former employee, or applicant received an adverse security clearance or access determination in violation of paragraph (1). In considering the record, the Board may weigh the evidence, judge the credibility of witnesses, and determine controverted questions of fact. In doing so, the Board may consider the prior fact-finder's opportunity to see and hear the witnesses.

“(F) ADVERSE SECURITY CLEARANCE OR ACCESS DETERMINATION.—If the Board finds that the adverse security clearance or access determination violated paragraph (1), it shall then separately determine whether reinstating the security clearance or access determination is clearly consistent with the interests of national security, with any doubt resolved in favor of national security, under Executive Order 12968 (including any adjudicative guidelines promulgated under such orders) or any subsequent Executive order, regulation, or policy concerning access to classified information.

“(G) REMEDIES.—

“(i) CORRECTIVE ACTION.—If the Board finds that the adverse security clearance or access determination violated paragraph (1), it shall order the agency head to take specific corrective action to return the employee, former employee, or applicant, as nearly as practicable and reasonable, to the position such employee, former employee, or applicant would have held had the violation not occurred. Such corrective action shall include reasonable attorney's fees and any other reasonable costs incurred, and may include back pay and related benefits, travel expenses, and compensatory damages not to exceed \$300,000. The Board may recommend, but may not order, reinstatement or hiring of a former employee or applicant, and any relief shall not include the reinstating of any security clearance or access determination. The agency head shall take the actions so ordered, unless the President determines that doing so would endanger national security.

“(ii) RECOMMENDED ACTION.—If the Board finds that reinstating the employee, former employee, or applicant's security clearance or access determination is clearly consistent with the interests of national security, it shall recommend such action to the head of the entity selected under subsection (b) and the head of the affected agency.

“(H) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—

“(i) ORDERS.—At the time the Board issues an order, the Chairperson of the Board shall notify the chairpersons and ranking members of—

“(I) the Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs of the Senate;

“(II) the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate;

“(III) the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives; and

“(IV) the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

“(ii) RECOMMENDATIONS.—If the agency head and the head of the entity selected under subsection (b) do not follow the Board's recommendation to reinstate a clearance, the head of the entity selected under subsection (b) shall notify the chairpersons and ranking members of the committees described in subclauses (I) through (IV) of clause (i).

“(5) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—Nothing in this section should be construed to permit or require judicial review of agency or Board actions under this section.

“(6) NONAPPLICABILITY TO CERTAIN TERMINATIONS.—This section shall not apply to adverse security clearance or access determinations if the affected employee is concurrently terminated under—

“(A) section 1609 of title 10, United States Code;

“(B) the authority of the Director of National Intelligence under section 102A(m) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403-1(m)), if—

“(i) the Director personally summarily terminates the individual; and

“(ii) the Director—

“(I) determines the termination to be in the interest of the United States;

“(II) determines that the procedures prescribed in other provisions of law that authorize the termination of the employment of such employee cannot be invoked in a manner consistent with the national security; and

“(III) notifies the congressional oversight committees of such termination within 5 days after the termination;

“(C) the authority of the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency under section 104A(e) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403-4a(e)), if—

“(i) the Director personally summarily terminates the individual; and

“(ii) the Director—

“(I) determines the termination to be in the interest of the United States;

“(II) determines that the procedures prescribed in other provisions of law that authorize the termination of the employment of such employee cannot be invoked in a manner consistent with the national security; and

“(III) notifies the congressional oversight committees of such termination within 5 days after the termination; or

“(D) section 7532 of title 5, United States Code, if—

“(i) the agency head personally summarily terminates the individual; and

“(ii) the agency head—

“(I) determines the termination to be in the interest of the United States;

“(II) determines that the procedures prescribed in other provisions of law that authorize the termination of the employment of such employee cannot be invoked in a manner consistent with the national security; and

“(III) notifies the congressional oversight committees of such termination within 5 days after the termination.”

SEC. 203. REVISIONS RELATING TO THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION ACT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 8H of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) by inserting “(1)” after “(b)”;

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) If the head of an establishment determines that a complaint or information transmitted under paragraph (1) would create a conflict of interest for the head of the establishment, the head of the establishment shall return the complaint or information to the Inspector General with that determination and the Inspector General shall make the transmission to the Chair of the Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Board. In such a case, the requirements of this section for the head of the establishment apply to the recipient of the Inspector General's transmission. The Chair shall consult with the other members of the Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Board regarding all transmissions under this paragraph.”;

(2) by designating subsection (h) as subsection (i); and

(3) by inserting after subsection (g), the following:

“(h) An individual who has submitted a complaint or information to an inspector general under this section may notify any member of

Congress or congressional staff member of the fact that such individual has made a submission to that particular inspector general, and of the date on which such submission was made.”.

(b) CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY.—Section 17(d)(5) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 403q) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) by inserting “(i)” after “(B)”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(ii) If the Director determines that a complaint or information transmitted under paragraph (1) would create a conflict of interest for the Director, the Director shall return the complaint or information to the Inspector General with that determination and the Inspector General shall make the transmission to the Chair of the Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Board. In such a case—

“(I) the requirements of this subsection for the Director apply to the recipient of the Inspector General’s submission; and

“(II) the Chairperson shall consult with the other members of the Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Board regarding all submissions under this section.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(H) An individual who has submitted a complaint or information to the Inspector General under this section may notify any member of Congress or congressional staff member of the fact that such individual has made a submission to the Inspector General, and of the date on which such submission was made.”.

TITLE III—EFFECTIVE DATE

SEC. 301. EFFECTIVE DATE.

This Act shall take effect 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

RETALIATORY INVESTIGATION PROVISIONS

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act, S. 372, will strengthen and modernize protections for Federal whistleblowers. May I ask the Senator from Hawaii to clarify the intent of the provision providing relief for the consequences of retaliatory investigations? Am I correct that this provision does not in any way reduce or eliminate current protections against retaliatory investigations?

Mr. AKAKA. The Senator from Iowa is correct. Remedies in S. 372 provide relief for the consequences of preliminary retaliatory investigations when an employee prevails in litigation against a prohibited personnel practice. This provision does not in any way reduce or eliminate jurisdiction to challenge a retaliatory investigation before a formal personnel action occurs.

In legislative history for 1994 amendments to the Whistleblower Protection Act, Representative McCloskey stated that alleged harassment can be a threatened personnel action if it “is discriminatory, or could have a chilling effect on merit system duties and responsibilities.” Congress specifically included retaliatory investigations initiated because of protected activity among the illustrations for “threatened personnel actions,” because they can be “preludes or preconditions to” the entire list of formal personnel actions in section 2302(a)(2) of title 5. Jurisdiction to challenge retaliatory investigations as threatened

personnel actions has been upheld repeatedly. The Office of Special Counsel also has used this authority to avoid the need for prolonged, costly litigation while employees are off the job from subsequent termination.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, today, the Senate will finally pass the bipartisan Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2010, S. 372. I thank Senator AKAKA and Senator COLLINS for their dedication to this legislation and for working with me and Senator GRASSLEY on key changes to make the bill even stronger in its protection of whistleblowers. I have worked for years to protect whistleblowers from retaliation, whether by government or corporate employers, and this bill is an important step forward in that ongoing process.

Whistleblowers are instrumental in alerting the public and Congress to wrongdoing in Federal agencies. In many cases, their willingness to step forward has resulted in important governmental reform, and has even saved lives. Congress must encourage Federal employees with reasonable beliefs about government misconduct to report such fraud or abuse by offering meaningful protection to those who blow the whistle, rather than leaving them vulnerable to reprisals.

Unfortunately, whistleblower laws have been weakened by many court decisions that have ignored congressional intent and eroded employee protections. The Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act will help restore and expand the protections for Federal employees envisioned by the original Whistleblower Protection Act of 1989.

Key provisions of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act will offer additional methods to appeal whistleblower cases and provide additional protections to intelligence community employees and officers of the Transportation Security Administration. It will also correct the Federal circuit court’s repeated misinterpretations of the whistleblower law and suspend that court’s sole jurisdiction over Federal employee whistleblower cases for 5 years.

Whistleblowers have proven to be important catalysts for much needed government change over the years. From corporate fraud to governmental misconduct to media integrity, whistleblowers have played an integral role in galvanizing reform. In these difficult financial times, we must be especially vigilant to ensure that public resources are not lost to waste, fraud, and abuse. Restoring credibility to our whistleblower laws is an important part of that work. Before Congress adjourns, I hope the House of Representatives will quickly consider this legislation, and send it to the President to be signed into law.

Mrs. GILLIBRAND. I ask consent the committee-reported substitute amend-

ment be considered, the Akaka amendment at the desk be agreed to and the committee-reported substitute amendment, as amended, be agreed to, and the bill as amended be read a third time and passed, the motions to reconsider be laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate, and any statements be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment (No. 4760, in the nature of a substitute, was agreed to.

(The amendment is printed in today’s RECORD under “Text of Amendments.”)

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended, was agreed to.

The bill (S. 372), as amended, was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, was read the third time, and passed, as follows:

S. 372

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2010”.

TITLE I—PROTECTION OF CERTAIN DISCLOSURES OF INFORMATION BY FEDERAL EMPLOYEES

SEC. 101. CLARIFICATION OF DISCLOSURES COVERED.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)(i)—

(A) by striking “a violation” and inserting “any violation”; and

(B) by adding “except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties,” after “regulation,”; and

(2) in subparagraph (B)(i)—

(A) by striking “a violation” and inserting “any violation (other than a violation of this section)”; and

(B) by adding “except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties,” after regulation.”.

(b) PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES UNDER SECTION 2302(b)(9).—

(1) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Title 5, United States Code, is amended in subsections (a)(3), (b)(4)(A), and (b)(4)(B)(i) of section 1214, in subsections (a), (e)(1), and (i) of section 1221, and in subsection (a)(2)(C)(i) of section 2302, by inserting “or section 2302(b)(9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D)” after “section 2302(b)(8)” or “(b)(8)” each place it appears.

(2) OTHER REFERENCES.—(A) Title 5, United States Code, is amended in subsection (b)(4)(B)(i) of section 1214 and in subsection (e)(1) of section 1221, by inserting “or protected activity” after “disclosure” each place it appears.

(B) Section 2302(b)(9) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(i) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

“(A) the exercise of any appeal, complaint, or grievance right granted by any law, rule, or regulation—

“(i) with regard to remedying a violation of paragraph (8); or

“(ii) with regard to remedying a violation of any other law, rule, or regulation;”;

(ii) in subparagraph (B), by inserting “(i) or (ii)” after “subparagraph (A)”.

(C) Section 2302 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(f)(1) A disclosure shall not be excluded from subsection (b)(8) because—

“(A) the disclosure was made to a person, including a supervisor, who participated in an activity that the employee or applicant reasonably believed to be covered by subsection (b)(8)(A)(ii);

“(B) the disclosure revealed information that had been previously disclosed;

“(C) of the employee’s or applicant’s motive for making the disclosure;

“(D) the disclosure was not made in writing;

“(E) the disclosure was made while the employee was off duty; or

“(F) of the amount of time which has passed since the occurrence of the events described in the disclosure.

“(2) If a disclosure is made during the normal course of duties of an employee, the disclosure shall not be excluded from subsection (b)(8) if any employee who has authority to take, direct others to take, recommend, or approve any personnel action with respect to the employee making the disclosure, took, failed to take, or threatened to take or fail to take a personnel action with respect to that employee in reprisal for the disclosure.”.

SEC. 102. DEFINITIONAL AMENDMENTS.

Section 2302(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B)(ii), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in subparagraph (C)(iii), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(D) ‘disclosure’ means a formal or informal communication or transmission, but does not include a communication concerning policy decisions that lawfully exercise discretionary authority unless the employee or applicant providing the disclosure reasonably believes that the disclosure evidences—

“(i) any violation of any law, rule, or regulation, except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties; or

“(ii) gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety.”.

SEC. 103. REBUTTABLE PRESUMPTION.

Section 2302(b) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by amending the matter following paragraph (12) to read as follows:

“This subsection shall not be construed to authorize the withholding of information from Congress or the taking of any personnel action against an employee who discloses information to Congress. For purposes of paragraph (8), any presumption relating to the performance of a duty by an employee whose conduct is the subject of a disclosure as defined under subsection (a)(2)(D) may be rebutted by substantial evidence. For purposes of paragraph (8), a determination as to whether an employee or applicant reasonably believes that such employee or applicant has disclosed information that evidences any violation of law, rule, regulation, gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety shall be made by determining whether a disinterested observer with knowledge of the essential facts known to and readily ascertain-

able by the employee could reasonably conclude that the actions of the Government evidence such violations, mismanagement, waste, abuse, or danger.”.

SEC. 104. PERSONNEL ACTIONS AND PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES.

(a) PERSONNEL ACTION.—Section 2302(a)(2)(A) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in clause (x), by striking “and” after the semicolon; and

(2) by redesignating clause (xi) as clause (xii) and inserting after clause (x) the following:

“(xi) the implementation or enforcement of any nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement; and”.

(b) PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 2302(b) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in paragraph (11), by striking “or” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (12), by striking the period and inserting “; or”; and

(C) by inserting after paragraph (12) the following:

“(13) implement or enforce any nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement, if such policy, form, or agreement does not contain the following statement: ‘These provisions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by Executive Order 13526 (75 Fed. Reg. 707; relating to classified national security information), or any successor thereto; Executive Order 12968 (60 Fed. Reg. 40245; relating to access to classified information), or any successor thereto; section 7211 of title 5, United States Code (governing disclosures to Congress); section 1034 of title 10, United States Code (governing disclosure to Congress by members of the military); section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code (governing disclosures of illegality, waste, fraud, abuse, or public health or safety threats); the Intelligence Identities Protection Act of 1982 (50 U.S.C. 421 et seq.) (governing disclosures that could expose confidential Government agents); and the statutes which protect against disclosures that could compromise national security, including sections 641, 793, 794, 798, and 952 of title 18, United States Code, and section 4(b) of the Subversive Activities Control Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 783(b)). The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by such Executive order and such statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling.”.

(2) NONDISCLOSURE POLICY, FORM, OR AGREEMENT IN EFFECT BEFORE THE DATE OF ENACTMENT.—A nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement that was in effect before the date of enactment of this Act, but that does not contain the statement required under section 2302(b)(13) of title 5, United States Code, (as added by this Act) for implementation or enforcement—

(A) may be enforced with regard to a current employee if the agency gives such employee notice of the statement; and

(B) may continue to be enforced after the effective date of this Act with regard to a former employee if the agency posts notice of the statement on the agency website for the 1-year period following that effective date.

(c) RETALIATORY INVESTIGATIONS.—

(1) AGENCY INVESTIGATION.—Section 1214 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(h) Any corrective action ordered under this section to correct a prohibited personnel

practice may include fees, costs, or damages reasonably incurred due to an agency investigation of the employee, if such investigation was commenced, expanded, or extended in retaliation for the disclosure or protected activity that formed the basis of the corrective action.”.

(2) DAMAGES.—Section 1221(g) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(4) Any corrective action ordered under this section to correct a prohibited personnel practice may include fees, costs, or damages reasonably incurred due to an agency investigation of the employee, if such investigation was commenced, expanded, or extended in retaliation for the disclosure or protected activity that formed the basis of the corrective action.”.

SEC. 105. EXCLUSION OF AGENCIES BY THE PRESIDENT.

Section 2302(a)(2)(C) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking clause (ii) and inserting the following:

“(ii)(I) the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Central Intelligence Agency, the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, and the National Reconnaissance Office; and

“(II) as determined by the President, any executive agency or unit thereof the principal function of which is the conduct of foreign intelligence or counterintelligence activities, provided that the determination be made prior to a personnel action; or”.

SEC. 106. DISCIPLINARY ACTION.

Section 1215(a)(3) of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(3)(A) A final order of the Board may impose—

“(i) disciplinary action consisting of removal, reduction in grade, debarment from Federal employment for a period not to exceed 5 years, suspension, or reprimand;

“(ii) an assessment of a civil penalty not to exceed \$1,000; or

“(iii) any combination of disciplinary actions described under clause (i) and an assessment described under clause (ii).

“(B) In any case brought under paragraph (1) in which the Board finds that an employee has committed a prohibited personnel practice under section 2302(b)(8), or 2302(b)(9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D), the Board may impose disciplinary action if the Board finds that the activity protected under section 2302(b)(8), or 2302(b)(9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D) was a significant motivating factor, even if other factors also motivated the decision, for the employee’s decision to take, fail to take, or threaten to take or fail to take a personnel action, unless that employee demonstrates, by preponderance of evidence, that the employee would have taken, failed to take, or threatened to take or fail to take the same personnel action, in the absence of such protected activity.”.

SEC. 107. REMEDIES.

(a) ATTORNEY FEES.—Section 1204(m)(1) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “agency involved” and inserting “agency where the prevailing party was employed or had applied for employment at the time of the events giving rise to the case”.

(b) DAMAGES.—Sections 1214(g)(2) and 1221(g)(1)(A)(ii) of title 5, United States Code, are amended by striking all after “travel expenses,” and inserting “any other reasonable and foreseeable consequential damages, and compensatory damages (including interest, reasonable expert witness fees, and costs).” each place it appears.

SEC. 108. JUDICIAL REVIEW.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7703(b) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking the matter preceding paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(b)(1)(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B) and paragraph (2) of this subsection, a petition to review a final order or final decision of the Board shall be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any petition for review shall be filed within 60 days after the Board issues notice of the final order or decision of the Board.

“(B) During the 5-year period beginning on the effective date of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2010, a petition to review a final order or final decision of the Board that raises no challenge to the Board’s disposition of allegations of a prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b) other than practices described in section 2302(b)(8), or 2302(b)(9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D) shall be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or any court of appeals of competent jurisdiction as provided under paragraph (2).”.

(b) REVIEW OBTAINED BY OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT.—Section 7703(d) of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(d)(1) Except as provided under paragraph (2), this paragraph shall apply to any review obtained by the Director of the Office of Personnel Management. The Director of the Office of Personnel Management may obtain review of any final order or decision of the Board by filing, within 60 days after the Board issues notice of the final order or decision of the Board, a petition for judicial review in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit if the Director determines, in the discretion of the Director, that the Board erred in interpreting a civil service law, rule, or regulation affecting personnel management and that the Board’s decision will have a substantial impact on a civil service law, rule, regulation, or policy directive. If the Director did not intervene in a matter before the Board, the Director may not petition for review of a Board decision under this section unless the Director first petitions the Board for a reconsideration of its decision, and such petition is denied. In addition to the named respondent, the Board and all other parties to the proceedings before the Board shall have the right to appear in the proceeding before the Court of Appeals. The granting of the petition for judicial review shall be at the discretion of the Court of Appeals.

“(2) During the 5-year period beginning on the effective date of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2010, this paragraph shall apply to any review obtained by the Director of the Office of Personnel Management that raises no challenge to the Board’s disposition of allegations of a prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b) other than practices described in section 2302(b)(8), or 2302(b)(9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D). The Director of the Office of Personnel Management may obtain review of any final order or decision of the Board by filing, within 60 days after the Board issues notice of the final order or decision of the Board, a petition for judicial review in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or any court of appeals of competent jurisdiction as provided under subsection (b)(2) if the Director determines, in the discretion of the Director, that the Board erred in interpreting a civil service

law, rule, or regulation affecting personnel management and that the Board’s decision will have a substantial impact on a civil service law, rule, regulation, or policy directive. If the Director did not intervene in a matter before the Board, the Director may not petition for review of a Board decision under this section unless the Director first petitions the Board for a reconsideration of its decision, and such petition is denied. In addition to the named respondent, the Board and all other parties to the proceedings before the Board shall have the right to appear in the proceeding before the court of appeals. The granting of the petition for judicial review shall be at the discretion of the court of appeals.”.

SEC. 109. PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES AFFECTING THE TRANSPORTATION SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 23 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating sections 2304 and 2305 as sections 2305 and 2306, respectively; and

(2) by inserting after section 2303 the following:

“§ 2304. Prohibited personnel practices affecting the Transportation Security Administration

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any individual holding or applying for a position within the Transportation Security Administration shall be covered by—

“(1) the provisions of section 2302(b) (1), (8), and (9);

“(2) any provision of law implementing section 2302(b) (1), (8), or (9) by providing any right or remedy available to an employee or applicant for employment in the civil service; and

“(3) any rule or regulation prescribed under any provision of law referred to in paragraph (1) or (2).

“(b) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect any rights, apart from those described in subsection (a), to which an individual described in subsection (a) might otherwise be entitled under law.”.

(b) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 23 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking the items relating to sections 2304 and 2305, respectively, and by inserting the following:

“2304. Prohibited personnel practices affecting the Transportation Security Administration.

“2305. Responsibility of the Government Accountability Office.

“2306. Coordination with certain other provisions of law.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of enactment of this section.

SEC. 110. DISCLOSURE OF CENSORSHIP RELATED TO RESEARCH, ANALYSIS, OR TECHNICAL INFORMATION.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection—

(1) the term “agency” has the meaning given under section 2302(a)(2)(C) of title 5, United States Code;

(2) the term “applicant” means an applicant for a covered position;

(3) the term “censorship related to research, analysis, or technical information” means any effort to distort, misrepresent, or suppress research, analysis, or technical information;

(4) the term “covered position” has the meaning given under section 2302(a)(2)(B) of title 5, United States Code;

(5) the term “employee” means an employee in a covered position in an agency; and

(6) the term “disclosure” has the meaning given under section 2302(a)(2)(D) of title 5, United States Code.

(b) PROTECTED DISCLOSURE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Any disclosure of information by an employee or applicant for employment that the employee or applicant reasonably believes is evidence of censorship related to research, analysis, or technical information—

(A) shall come within the protections of section 2302(b)(8)(A) of title 5, United States Code, if—

(i) the employee or applicant reasonably believes that the censorship related to research, analysis, or technical information is or will cause—

(I) any violation of law, rule, or regulation, except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties; or

(II) gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and

(ii) such disclosure is not specifically prohibited by law or such information is not specifically required by Executive order to be kept classified in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs; and

(B) shall come within the protections of section 2302(b)(8)(B) of title 5, United States Code, if—

(i) the employee or applicant reasonably believes that the censorship related to research, analysis, or technical information is or will cause—

(I) any violation of law, rule, or regulation, except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties; or

(II) gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; and

(ii) the disclosure is made to the Special Counsel, or to the Inspector General of an agency or another person designated by the head of the agency to receive such disclosures, consistent with the protection of sources and methods.

(2) DISCLOSURES NOT EXCLUDED.—A disclosure shall not be excluded from paragraph (1) for any reason described under section 2302(f)(1) or (2) of title 5, United States Code.

(3) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to imply any limitation on the protections of employees and applicants afforded by any other provision of law, including protections with respect to any disclosure of information believed to be evidence of censorship related to research, analysis, or technical information.

SEC. 111. CLARIFICATION OF WHISTLEBLOWER RIGHTS FOR CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE INFORMATION.

Section 214(c) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 133(c)) is amended by adding at the end the following: “For purposes of this section a permissible use of independently obtained information includes the disclosure of such information under section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code.”.

SEC. 112. ADVISING EMPLOYEES OF RIGHTS.

Section 2302(c) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting “, including how to make a lawful disclosure of information that is specifically required by law or

Executive order to be kept classified in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs to the Special Counsel, the Inspector General of an agency, Congress, or other agency employee designated to receive such disclosures" after "chapter 12 of this title".

SEC. 113. SPECIAL COUNSEL AMICUS CURIAE APPEARANCE.

Section 1212 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(h)(1) The Special Counsel is authorized to appear as amicus curiae in any action brought in a court of the United States related to any civil action brought in connection with section 2302(b) (8) or (9), or as otherwise authorized by law. In any such action, the Special Counsel is authorized to present the views of the Special Counsel with respect to compliance with section 2302(b) (8) or (9) and the impact court decisions would have on the enforcement of such provisions of law.

"(2) A court of the United States shall grant the application of the Special Counsel to appear in any such action for the purposes described under subsection (a)."

SEC. 114. SCOPE OF DUE PROCESS.

(a) SPECIAL COUNSEL.—Section 1214(b)(4)(B)(ii) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting ", after a finding that a protected disclosure was a contributing factor," after "ordered if".

(b) INDIVIDUAL ACTION.—Section 1221(e)(2) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting ", after a finding that a protected disclosure was a contributing factor," after "ordered if".

SEC. 115. NONDISCLOSURE POLICIES, FORMS, AND AGREEMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) REQUIREMENT.—Each agreement in Standard Forms 312 and 4414 of the Government and any other nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement of the Government shall contain the following statement: "These restrictions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by Executive Order 13526 (75 Fed. Reg. 707; relating to classified national security information), or any successor thereto; Executive Order 12968 (60 Fed. Reg. 40245; relating to access to classified information), or any successor thereto; section 7211 of title 5, United States Code (governing disclosures to Congress); section 1034 of title 10, United States Code (governing disclosure to Congress by members of the military); section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code (governing disclosures of illegality, waste, fraud, abuse, or public health or safety threats); the Intelligence Identities Protection Act of 1982 (50 U.S.C. 421 et seq.) (governing disclosures that could expose confidential Government agents); and the statutes which protect against disclosure that may compromise the national security, including sections 641, 793, 794, 798, and 952 of title 18, United States Code, and section 4(b) of the Subversive Activities Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 783(b)). The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by such Executive order and such statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling."

(2) ENFORCEABILITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Any nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement described under paragraph (1) that does not contain the statement required under paragraph (1) may not be implemented or enforced to the extent such policy, form, or agreement is inconsistent with that statement.

(B) NONDISCLOSURE POLICY, FORM, OR AGREEMENT IN EFFECT BEFORE THE DATE OF ENACTMENT.—A nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement that was in effect before the date of enactment of this Act, but that does not contain the statement required under paragraph (1)—

(i) may be enforced with regard to a current employee if the agency gives such employee notice of the statement; and

(ii) may continue to be enforced after the effective date of this Act with regard to a former employee if the agency posts notice of the statement on the agency website for the 1-year period following that effective date.

(b) PERSONS OTHER THAN GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), a nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement that is to be executed by a person connected with the conduct of an intelligence or intelligence-related activity, other than an employee or officer of the United States Government, may contain provisions appropriate to the particular activity for which such document is to be used. Such policy, form, or agreement shall, at a minimum, require that the person will not disclose any classified information received in the course of such activity unless specifically authorized to do so by the United States Government. Such nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement shall also make it clear that such forms do not bar disclosures to Congress or to an authorized official of an executive agency or the Department of Justice that are essential to reporting a substantial violation of law, consistent with the protection of sources and methods.

SEC. 116. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

(a) GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE.—

(1) REPORT.—Not later than 40 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives on the implementation of this title.

(2) CONTENTS.—The report under this paragraph shall include—

(A) an analysis of any changes in the number of cases filed with the United States Merit Systems Protection Board alleging violations of section 2302(b) (8) or (9) of title 5, United States Code, since the effective date of this Act;

(B) the outcome of the cases described under subparagraph (A), including whether or not the United States Merit Systems Protection Board, the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals, or any other court determined the allegations to be frivolous or malicious;

(C) an analysis of the outcome of cases described under subparagraph (A) that were decided by a United States District Court and the impact the process has on the Merit Systems Protection Board and the Federal court system; and

(D) any other matter as determined by the Comptroller General.

(b) MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Each report submitted annually by the Merit Systems Protection Board under section 1116 of title 31, United States Code, shall, with respect to the period covered by such report, include as an addendum the following:

(A) Information relating to the outcome of cases decided during the applicable year of the report in which violations of section 2302(b) (8) or (9) (A)(i), (B)(i), (C), or (D) of title 5, United States Code, were alleged.

(B) The number of such cases filed in the regional and field offices, the number of peti-

tions for review filed in such cases, and the outcomes of such cases.

(2) FIRST REPORT.—The first report described under paragraph (1) submitted after the date of enactment of this Act shall include an addendum required under that subparagraph that covers the period beginning on January 1, 2009 through the end of the fiscal year 2009.

SEC. 117. ALTERNATIVE REVIEW.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1221 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(k)(1) In this subsection, the term 'appropriate United States district court', as used with respect to an alleged prohibited personnel practice, means the United States district court for the judicial district in which—

"(A) the prohibited personnel practice is alleged to have been committed; or

"(B) the employee, former employee, or applicant for employment allegedly affected by such practice resides.

"(2)(A) An employee, former employee, or applicant for employment in any case to which paragraph (3) or (4) applies may file an action at law or equity for de novo review in the appropriate United States district court in accordance with this subsection.

"(B) Upon initiation of any action under subparagraph (A), the Board shall stay any other claims of such employee, former employee, or applicant pending before the Board at that time which arise out of the same set of operative facts. Such claims shall be stayed pending completion of the action filed under subparagraph (A) before the appropriate United States district court and any associated appellate review.

"(3) This paragraph applies in any case in which—

"(A) an employee, former employee, or applicant for employment—

"(i) seeks corrective action from the Merit Systems Protection Board under section 1221(a) based on an alleged prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b) (8) or (9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D) for which the associated personnel action is an action covered under section 7512 or 7542; or

"(ii) files an appeal under section 7701(a) alleging as an affirmative defense the commission of a prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b) (8) or (9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D) for which the associated personnel action is an action covered under section 7512 or 7542;

"(B) no final order or decision is issued by the Board within 270 days after the date on which a request for that corrective action or appeal has been duly submitted, unless the Board determines that the employee, former employee, or applicant for employment engaged in conduct intended to delay the issuance of a final order or decision by the Board; and

"(C) such employee, former employee, or applicant provides written notice to the Board of filing an action under this subsection before the filing of that action.

"(4) This paragraph applies in any case in which—

"(A) an employee, former employee, or applicant for employment—

"(i) seeks corrective action from the Merit Systems Protection Board under section 1221(a) based on an alleged prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b) (8) or (9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D) for which the associated personnel action is an action covered under section 7512 or 7542; or

"(ii) files an appeal under section 7701(a)(1) alleging as an affirmative defense the commission of a prohibited personnel practice

described in section 2302(b) (8) or (9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D) for which the associated personnel action is an action covered under section 7512 or 7542;

“(B)(i) within 30 days after the date on which the request for corrective action or appeal was duly submitted, such employee, former employee, or applicant for employment files a motion requesting a certification consistent with subparagraph (C) to the Board, any administrative law judge appointed by the Board under section 3105 of this title and assigned to the case, or any employee of the Board designated by the Board and assigned to the case; and

“(ii) such employee has not previously filed a motion under clause (i) related to that request for corrective action; and

“(C) the Board, any administrative law judge appointed by the Board under section 3105 of this title and assigned to the case, or any employee of the Board designated by the Board and assigned to the case certifies that—

“(i) under standard applicable to the review of motions to dismiss under rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including rule 12(d), the request for corrective action (including any allegations made with the motion under subparagraph (B)) would not be subject to dismissal; and

“(ii)(I) the Board is not likely to dispose of the case within 270 days after the date on which a request for that corrective action has been duly submitted; or

“(II) the case—

“(aa) consists of multiple claims;

“(bb) requires complex or extensive discovery;

“(cc) arises out of the same set of operative facts as any civil action against the Government filed by the employee, former employee, or applicant pending in a Federal court; or

“(dd) involves a novel question of law.

“(5) The Board shall grant or deny any motion requesting a certification described under paragraph (4)(ii) within 90 days after the submission of such motion and the Board may not issue a decision on the merits of a request for corrective action within 15 days after granting or denying a motion requesting certification.

“(6)(A) Any decision of the Board, any administrative law judge appointed by the Board under section 3105 of this title and assigned to the case, or any employee of the Board designated by the Board and assigned to the case to grant or deny a certification described under paragraph (4)(i) shall be reviewed on appeal of a final order or decision of the Board under section 7703 only if—

“(i) a motion requesting a certification was denied; and

“(ii) the reviewing court vacates the decision of the Board on the merits of the claim under the standards set forth in section 7703(c).

“(B) The decision to deny the certification shall be overturned by the reviewing court, and an order granting certification shall be issued by the reviewing court, if such decision is found to be arbitrary, capricious, or an abuse of discretion.

“(C) The reviewing court's decision shall not be considered evidence of any determination by the Board, any administrative law judge appointed by the Board under section 3105 of this title, or any employee of the Board designated by the Board on the merits of the underlying allegations during the course of any action at law or equity for de novo review in the appropriate United States district court in accordance with this subsection.

“(7) In any action filed under this subsection—

“(A) the district court shall have jurisdiction without regard to the amount in controversy;

“(B) at the request of either party, such action shall be tried by the court with a jury;

“(C) the court—

“(i) subject to clause (iii), shall apply the standards set forth in subsection (e); and

“(ii) may award any relief which the court considers appropriate under subsection (g), except—

“(I) relief for compensatory damages may not exceed \$300,000; and

“(II) relief may not include punitive damages; and

“(iii) notwithstanding subsection (e)(2), may not order relief if the agency demonstrates by a preponderance of the evidence that the agency would have taken the same personnel action in the absence of such disclosure; and

“(D) the Special Counsel may not represent the employee, former employee, or applicant for employment.

“(8) An appeal from a final decision of a district court in an action under this subsection shall be taken to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or any court of appeals of competent jurisdiction.

“(9) This subsection applies with respect to any appeal, petition, or other request for corrective action duly submitted to the Board, whether under section 1214(b)(2), the preceding provisions of this section, section 7513(d), section 7701, or any otherwise applicable provisions of law, rule, or regulation.”.

(b) SUNSET.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided under paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall cease to have effect 5 years after the effective date of this Act.

(2) PENDING CLAIMS.—The amendments made by this section shall continue to apply with respect to any claim pending before the Board on the last day of the 5-year period described under paragraph (1).

SEC. 118. MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD SUMMARY JUDGMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1204(b) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4);

(2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following:

“(3) With respect to a request for corrective action based on an alleged prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b) (8) or (9) (A)(i), (B), (C), or (D) for which the associated personnel action is an action covered under section 7512 or 7542, the Board, any administrative law judge appointed by the Board under section 3105 of this title, or any employee of the Board designated by the Board may, with respect to any party, grant a motion for summary judgment when the Board or the administrative law judge determines that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law.”.

(b) SUNSET.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided under paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall cease to have effect 5 years after the effective date of this Act.

(2) PENDING CLAIMS.—The amendments made by this section shall continue to apply with respect to any claim pending before the Board on the last day of the 5-year period described under paragraph (1).

SEC. 119. DISCLOSURES OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.

(a) PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES.—Section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “or” after the semicolon;

(2) in subparagraph (B), by adding “or” after the semicolon; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) any communication that complies with subsection (a)(1), (d), or (h) of section 8H of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App);”.

(b) INSPECTOR GENERAL ACT OF 1978.—Section 8H of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1), by adding at the end the following:

“(D) An employee of any agency, as that term is defined under section 2302(a)(2)(C) of title 5, United States Code, who intends to report to Congress a complaint or information with respect to an urgent concern may report the complaint or information to the Inspector General (or designee) of the agency of which that employee is employed.”;

(2) in subsection (c), by striking “intelligence committees” and inserting “appropriate committees”;

(3) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “either or both of the intelligence committees” and inserting “any of the appropriate committees”; and

(B) in paragraphs (2) and (3), by striking “intelligence committees” each place that term appears and inserting “appropriate committees”;

(4) in subsection (h)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) in subparagraph (A), by striking “intelligence”; and

(ii) in subparagraph (B), by inserting “or an activity involving classified information” after “an intelligence activity”; and

(B) by striking paragraph (2), and inserting the following:

“(2) The term ‘appropriate committees’ means the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, except that with respect to disclosures made by employees described in subsection (a)(1)(D), the term ‘appropriate committees’ means the committees of appropriate jurisdiction.”.

SEC. 120. WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION OMBUDSMAN.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 3 of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) is amended by striking subsection (d) and inserting the following:

“(d)(1) Each Inspector General shall, in accordance with applicable laws and regulations governing the civil service—

“(A) appoint an Assistant Inspector General for Auditing who shall have the responsibility for supervising the performance of auditing activities relating to programs and operations of the establishment;

“(B) appoint an Assistant Inspector General for Investigations who shall have the responsibility for supervising the performance of investigative activities relating to such programs and operations; and

“(C) designate a Whistleblower Protection Ombudsman who shall educate agency employees—

“(i) about prohibitions on retaliation for protected disclosures; and

“(ii) who have made or are contemplating making a protected disclosure about the rights and remedies against retaliation for protected disclosures.

“(2) The Whistleblower Protection Ombudsman shall not act as a legal representative, agent, or advocate of the employee or former employee.

“(3) For the purposes of this section, the requirement of the designation of a Whistleblower Protection Ombudsman under paragraph (1)(C) shall not apply to—

“(A) any agency that is an element of the intelligence community (as defined in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 401a(4))); or

“(B) as determined by the President, any executive agency or unit thereof the principal function of which is the conduct of foreign intelligence or counterintelligence activities.”.

(b) **TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 8D(j) of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) is amended—

(1) by striking “section 3(d)(1)” and inserting “section 3(d)(1)(A)”; and

(2) by striking “section 3(d)(2)” and inserting “section 3(d)(1)(B)”.

(c) **SUNSET.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The amendments made by this section shall cease to have effect on the date that is 5 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) **RETURN TO PRIOR AUTHORITY.**—Upon the date described in paragraph (1), section 3(d) and section 8D(j) of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) shall read as such sections read on the day before the date of enactment of this Act.

TITLE II—INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTIONS

SEC. 201. PROTECTION OF INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY WHISTLEBLOWERS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 23 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2303 the following:

“§ 2303A. Prohibited personnel practices in the intelligence community

“(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section—

“(1) the term ‘agency’ means an executive department or independent establishment, as defined under sections 101 and 104, that contains an intelligence community element, except the Federal Bureau of Investigation;

“(2) the term ‘intelligence community element’—

“(A) means—

“(i) the Central Intelligence Agency, the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Geospatial Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, and the National Reconnaissance Office; and

“(ii) any executive agency or unit thereof determined by the President under section 2302(a)(2)(C)(ii) of title 5, United States Code, to have as its principal function the conduct of foreign intelligence or counterintelligence activities; and

“(B) does not include the Federal Bureau of Investigation; and

“(3) the term ‘personnel action’ means any action described in clauses (i) through (x) of section 2302(a)(2)(A) with respect to an employee in a position in an intelligence community element (other than a position of a confidential, policy-determining, policymaking, or policy-advocating character).

“(b) **IN GENERAL.**—Any employee of an agency who has authority to take, direct others to take, recommend, or approve any personnel action, shall not, with respect to such authority, take or fail to take a personnel action with respect to any employee of an intelligence community element as a reprisal for a disclosure of information by the employee to the Director of National In-

telligence (or an employee designated by the Director of National Intelligence for such purpose), or to the head of the employing agency (or an employee designated by the head of that agency for such purpose), which the employee reasonably believes evidences—

“(1) a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, except for an alleged violation that—

“(A) is a minor, inadvertent violation; and

“(B) occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties; or

“(2) mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety.

“(c) **ENFORCEMENT.**—The President shall provide for the enforcement of this section in a manner consistent with applicable provisions of sections 1214 and 1221.

“(d) **EXISTING RIGHTS PRESERVED.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to—

“(1) preempt or preclude any employee, or applicant for employment, at the Federal Bureau of Investigation from exercising rights currently provided under any other law, rule, or regulation, including section 2303;

“(2) repeal section 2303; or

“(3) provide the President or Director of National Intelligence the authority to revise regulations related to section 2303, codified in part 27 of the Code of Federal Regulations.”.

(b) **TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for chapter 23 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2303 the following:

“2303A. Prohibited personnel practices in the intelligence community.”.

SEC. 202. REVIEW OF SECURITY CLEARANCE OR ACCESS DETERMINATIONS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 3001(b) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 435b(b)) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “Not” and inserting “Except as otherwise provided, not”;

(2) in paragraph (5), by striking “and” after the semicolon;

(3) in paragraph (6), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”;

(4) by inserting after paragraph (6) the following:

“(7) not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2010—

“(A) developing policies and procedures that permit, to the extent practicable, individuals who challenge in good faith a determination to suspend or revoke a security clearance or access to classified information to retain their government employment status while such challenge is pending; and

“(B) developing and implementing uniform and consistent policies and procedures to ensure proper protections during the process for denying, suspending, or revoking a security clearance or access to classified information, including the provision of a right to appeal such a denial, suspension, or revocation, except that there shall be no appeal of an agency’s suspension of a security clearance or access determination for purposes of conducting an investigation, if that suspension lasts no longer than 1 year or the head of the agency certifies that a longer suspension is needed before a final decision on denial or revocation to prevent imminent harm to the national security.

“Any limitation period applicable to an agency appeal under paragraph (7) shall be tolled until the head of the agency (or in the

case of any component of the Department of Defense, the Secretary of Defense) determines, with the concurrence of the Director of National Intelligence, that the policies and procedures described in paragraph (7) have been established for the agency or the Director of National Intelligence promulgates the policies and procedures under paragraph (7). The policies and procedures for appeals developed under paragraph (7) shall be comparable to the policies and procedures pertaining to prohibited personnel practices defined under section 2302(b)(8) of title 5, United States Code, and provide—

“(A) for an independent and impartial factfinder;

“(B) for notice and the opportunity to be heard, including the opportunity to present relevant evidence, including witness testimony;

“(C) that the employee or former employee may be represented by counsel;

“(D) that the employee or former employee has a right to a decision based on the record developed during the appeal;

“(E) that not more than 180 days shall pass from the filing of the appeal to the report of the impartial factfinder to the agency head or the designee of the agency head, unless—

“(i) the employee and the agency concerned agree to an extension; or

“(ii) the impartial factfinder determines in writing that a greater period of time is required in the interest of fairness or national security;

“(F) for the use of information specifically required by Executive order to be kept classified in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs in a manner consistent with the interests of national security, including ex parte submissions if the agency determines that the interests of national security so warrant; and

“(G) that the employee or former employee shall have no right to compel the production of information specifically required by Executive order to be kept classified in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs, except evidence necessary to establish that the employee made the disclosure or communication such employee alleges was protected by subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) of subsection (j)(1).”.

(b) **RETALIATORY REVOCATION OF SECURITY CLEARANCES AND ACCESS DETERMINATIONS.**—Section 3001 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 435b) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(j) **RETALIATORY REVOCATION OF SECURITY CLEARANCES AND ACCESS DETERMINATIONS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Agency personnel with authority over personnel security clearance or access determinations shall not take or fail to take, or threaten to take or fail to take, any action with respect to any employee’s security clearance or access determination because of—

“(A) any disclosure of information to the Director of National Intelligence (or an employee designated by the Director of National Intelligence for such purpose) or the head of the employing agency (or employee designated by the head of that agency for such purpose) by an employee that the employee reasonably believes evidences—

“(i) a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties; or

“(ii) gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety;

“(B) any disclosure to the Inspector General of an agency or another employee designated by the head of the agency to receive such disclosures, of information which the employee reasonably believes evidences—

“(i) a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, except for an alleged violation that is a minor, inadvertent violation, and occurs during the conscientious carrying out of official duties; or

“(ii) gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety;

“(C) any communication that complies with—

“(i) subsection (a)(1), (d), or (h) of section 8H of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.);

“(ii) subsection (d)(5)(A), (D), or (G) of section 17 of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 403q); or

“(iii) subsection (k)(5)(A), (D), or (G), of section 103H of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403–3h);

“(D) the exercise of any appeal, complaint, or grievance right granted by any law, rule, or regulation;

“(E) testifying for or otherwise lawfully assisting any individual in the exercise of any right referred to in subparagraph (D); or

“(F) cooperating with or disclosing information to the Inspector General of an agency, in accordance with applicable provisions of law in connection with an audit, inspection, or investigation conducted by the Inspector General,

if the actions described under subparagraphs (D) through (F) do not result in the employee or applicant unlawfully disclosing information specifically required by Executive order to be kept classified in the interest of national defense or the conduct of foreign affairs.

“(2) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Consistent with the protection of sources and methods, nothing in paragraph (1) shall be construed to authorize the withholding of information from the Congress or the taking of any personnel action against an employee who discloses information to the Congress.

“(3) **DISCLOSURES.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—A disclosure shall not be excluded from paragraph (1) because—

“(i) the disclosure was made to a person, including a supervisor, who participated in an activity that the employee reasonably believed to be covered by paragraph (1)(A)(ii);

“(ii) the disclosure revealed information that had been previously disclosed;

“(iii) of the employee’s motive for making the disclosure;

“(iv) the disclosure was not made in writing;

“(v) the disclosure was made while the employee was off duty; or

“(vi) of the amount of time which has passed since the occurrence of the events described in the disclosure.

“(B) **REPRISALS.**—If a disclosure is made during the normal course of duties of an employee, the disclosure shall not be excluded from paragraph (1) if any employee who has authority to take, direct others to take, recommend, or approve any personnel action with respect to the employee making the disclosure, took, failed to take, or threatened to take or fail to take a personnel action with respect to that employee in reprisal for the disclosure.

“(4) **AGENCY ADJUDICATION.**—

“(A) **REMEDIAL PROCEDURE.**—An employee or former employee who believes that he or she has been subjected to a reprisal prohib-

ited by paragraph (1) of this subsection may, within 90 days after the issuance of notice of such decision, appeal that decision within the agency of that employee or former employee through proceedings authorized by paragraph (7) of subsection (a), except that there shall be no appeal of an agency’s suspension of a security clearance or access determination for purposes of conducting an investigation, if that suspension lasts not longer than 1 year (or a longer period in accordance with a certification made under subsection (b)(7)).

“(B) **CORRECTIVE ACTION.**—If, in the course of proceedings authorized under subparagraph (A), it is determined that the adverse security clearance or access determination violated paragraph (1) of this subsection, the agency shall take specific corrective action to return the employee or former employee, as nearly as practicable and reasonable, to the position such employee or former employee would have held had the violation not occurred. Such corrective action shall include reasonable attorney’s fees and any other reasonable costs incurred, and may include back pay and related benefits, travel expenses, and compensatory damages not to exceed \$300,000.

“(C) **CONTRIBUTING FACTOR.**—In determining whether the adverse security clearance or access determination violated paragraph (1) of this subsection, the agency shall find that paragraph (1) of this subsection was violated if a disclosure described in paragraph (1) was a contributing factor in the adverse security clearance or access determination taken against the individual, unless the agency demonstrates by a preponderance of the evidence that it would have taken the same action in the absence of such disclosure, giving the utmost deference to the agency’s assessment of the particular threat to the national security interests of the United States in the instant matter.

“(5) **APPELLATE REVIEW OF SECURITY CLEARANCE ACCESS DETERMINATIONS BY DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE.**—

“(A) **DEFINITION.**—In this paragraph, the term ‘Board’ means the appellate review board established under section 204 of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2010.

“(B) **APPEAL.**—Within 60 days after receiving notice of an adverse final agency determination under a proceeding under paragraph (4), an employee or former employee may appeal that determination to the Board.

“(C) **POLICIES AND PROCEDURES.**—The Board, in consultation with the Attorney General, Director of National Intelligence, and the Secretary of Defense, shall develop and implement policies and procedures for adjudicating the appeals authorized by subparagraph (B). The Director of National Intelligence and Secretary of Defense shall jointly approve any rules, regulations, or guidance issued by the Board concerning the procedures for the use or handling of classified information.

“(D) **REVIEW.**—The Board’s review shall be on the complete agency record, which shall be made available to the Board. The Board may not hear witnesses or admit additional evidence. Any portions of the record that were submitted ex parte during the agency proceedings shall be submitted ex parte to the Board.

“(E) **FURTHER FACT-FINDING OR IMPROPER DENIAL.**—If the Board concludes that further fact-finding is necessary or finds that the agency improperly denied the employee or former employee the opportunity to present evidence that, if admitted, would have a sub-

stantial likelihood of altering the outcome, the Board shall remand the matter to the agency from which it originated for additional proceedings in accordance with the rules of procedure issued by the Board.

“(F) **DE NOVO DETERMINATION.**—The Board shall make a de novo determination, based on the entire record and under the standards specified in paragraph (4), of whether the employee or former employee received an adverse security clearance or access determination in violation of paragraph (1). In considering the record, the Board may weigh the evidence, judge the credibility of witnesses, and determine controverted questions of fact. In doing so, the Board may consider the prior fact-finder’s opportunity to see and hear the witnesses.

“(G) **ADVERSE SECURITY CLEARANCE OR ACCESS DETERMINATION.**—If the Board finds that the adverse security clearance or access determination violated paragraph (1), it shall then separately determine whether reinstating the security clearance or access determination is clearly consistent with the interests of national security, with any doubt resolved in favor of national security, under Executive Order 12968 (60 Fed. Reg. 40245; relating to access to classified information) or any successor thereto (including any adjudicative guidelines promulgated under such orders) or any subsequent Executive order, regulation, or policy concerning access to classified information.

“(H) **REMEDIES.**—

“(i) **CORRECTIVE ACTION.**—If the Board finds that the adverse security clearance or access determination violated paragraph (1), it shall order the agency head to take specific corrective action to return the employee or former employee, as nearly as practicable and reasonable, to the position such employee or former employee would have held had the violation not occurred. Such corrective action shall include reasonable attorney’s fees and any other reasonable costs incurred, and may include back pay and related benefits, travel expenses, and compensatory damages not to exceed \$300,000. The Board may recommend, but may not order, reinstatement or hiring of a former employee. The Board may order that the former employee be treated as though the employee were transferring from the most recent position held when seeking other positions within the executive branch. Any corrective action shall not include the reinstating of any security clearance or access determination. The agency head shall take the actions so ordered within 90 days, unless the Director of National Intelligence, the Secretary of Energy, or the Secretary of Defense, in the case of any component of the Department of Defense, determines that doing so would endanger national security.

“(ii) **RECOMMENDED ACTION.**—If the Board finds that reinstating the employee or former employee’s security clearance or access determination is clearly consistent with the interests of national security, it shall recommend such action to the head of the entity selected under subsection (b) and the head of the affected agency.

“(I) **CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.**—

“(i) **ORDERS.**—Consistent with the protection of sources and methods, at the time the Board issues an order, the Chairperson of the Board shall notify—

“(I) the Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs of the Senate;

“(II) the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate;

“(III) the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives;

“(IV) the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives; and

“(V) the committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives that have jurisdiction over the employing agency, including in the case of a final order or decision of the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, or the National Reconnaissance Office, the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.

“(ii) RECOMMENDATIONS.—If the agency head and the head of the entity selected under subsection (b) do not follow the Board’s recommendation to reinstate a clearance, the head of the entity selected under subsection (b) shall notify the committees described in subclauses (I) through (V) of clause (i).

“(6) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to permit or require judicial review of any—

“(A) agency action under this section; or

“(B) action of the appellate review board established under section 204 of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2010.

“(7) PRIVATE CAUSE OF ACTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to permit, authorize, or require a private cause of action to challenge the merits of a security clearance determination.”.

(c) ACCESS DETERMINATION DEFINED.—Section 3001(a) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 435b(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(9) The term ‘access determination’ means the process for determining whether an employee—

“(A) is eligible for access to classified information in accordance with Executive Order 12968 (60 Fed. Reg. 40245; relating to access to classified information), or any successor thereto, and Executive Order 10865 (25 Fed. Reg. 1583; relating to safeguarding classified information with industry); and

“(B) possesses a need to know under that Order.”.

(d) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in section 3001 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 435b), as amended by this Act, shall be construed to require the repeal or replacement of agency appeal procedures implementing Executive Order 12968 (60 Fed. Reg. 40245; relating to classified national security information), or any successor thereto, and Executive Order 10865 (25 Fed. Reg. 1583; relating to safeguarding classified information with industry), or any successor thereto, that meet the requirements of section 3001(b)(7) of such Act, as so amended.

SEC. 203. REVISIONS RELATING TO THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION ACT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 8H of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) by inserting “(1)” after “(b)”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) If the head of an establishment determines that a complaint or information transmitted under paragraph (1) would create a conflict of interest for the head of the establishment, the head of the establishment shall return the complaint or information to the Inspector General with that determination and the Inspector General shall make the transmission to the Director of National Intelligence. In such a case, the require-

ments of this section for the head of the establishment apply to the recipient of the Inspector General’s transmission. The Director of National Intelligence shall consult with the members of the appellate review board established under section 204 of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Review Act of 2010 regarding all transmissions under this paragraph.”;

(2) by designating subsection (h) as subsection (i); and

(3) by inserting after subsection (g), the following:

“(h) An individual who has submitted a complaint or information to an Inspector General under this section may notify any member of Congress or congressional staff member of the fact that such individual has made a submission to that particular Inspector General, and of the date on which such submission was made.”.

(b) CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY.—Section 17(d)(5) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 403q) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) by inserting “(i)” after “(B)”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(ii) If the Director determines that a complaint or information transmitted under paragraph (1) would create a conflict of interest for the Director, the Director shall return the complaint or information to the Inspector General with that determination and the Inspector General shall make the transmission to the Director of National Intelligence. In such a case the requirements of this subsection for the Director apply to the recipient of the Inspector General’s submission; and”;

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(H) An individual who has submitted a complaint or information to the Inspector General under this section may notify any member of Congress or congressional staff member of the fact that such individual has made a submission to the Inspector General, and of the date on which such submission was made.”.

SEC. 204. REGULATIONS; REPORTING REQUIREMENTS; NONAPPLICABILITY TO CERTAIN TERMINATIONS.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—

(1) the term “congressional oversight committees” means the—

(A) the Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs of the Senate;

(B) the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate;

(C) the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives; and

(D) the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the term “intelligence community element”—

(A) means—

(i) the Central Intelligence Agency, the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, and the National Reconnaissance Office; and

(ii) any executive agency or unit thereof determined by the President under section 2302(a)(2)(C)(ii) of title 5, United States Code, to have as its principal function the conduct of foreign intelligence or counterintelligence activities; and

(B) does not include the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

(b) REGULATIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director of National Intelligence shall prescribe regulations to

ensure that a personnel action shall not be taken against an employee of an intelligence community element as a reprisal for any disclosure of information described in section 2303A(b) of title 5, United States Code, as added by this Act.

(2) APPELLATE REVIEW BOARD.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, the Attorney General, and the heads of appropriate agencies, shall establish an appellate review board that is broadly representative of affected Departments and agencies and is made up of individuals with expertise in merit systems principles and national security issues—

(A) to hear whistleblower appeals related to security clearance access determinations described in section 3001(j) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 435b), as added by this Act; and

(B) that shall include a subpanel that reflects the composition of the intelligence committee, which shall be composed of intelligence community elements and inspectors general from intelligence community elements, for the purpose of hearing cases that arise in elements of the intelligence community.

(c) REPORT ON THE STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF REGULATIONS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall submit a report on the status of the implementation of the regulations promulgated under subsection (b) to the congressional oversight committees.

(d) NONAPPLICABILITY TO CERTAIN TERMINATIONS.—Section 2303A of title 5, United States Code, as added by this Act, and section 3001 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 435b), as amended by this Act, shall not apply to adverse security clearance or access determinations if the affected employee is concurrently terminated under—

(1) section 1609 of title 10, United States Code;

(2) the authority of the Director of National Intelligence under section 102A(m) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403-1(m)), if—

(A) the Director personally summarily terminates the individual; and

(B) the Director—

(i) determines the termination to be in the interest of the United States;

(ii) determines that the procedures prescribed in other provisions of law that authorize the termination of the employment of such employee cannot be invoked in a manner consistent with the national security; and

(iii) not later than 5 days after such termination, notifies the congressional oversight committees of the termination;

(3) the authority of the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency under section 104A(e) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403-4a(e)), if—

(A) the Director personally summarily terminates the individual; and

(B) the Director—

(i) determines the termination to be in the interest of the United States;

(ii) determines that the procedures prescribed in other provisions of law that authorize the termination of the employment of such employee cannot be invoked in a manner consistent with the national security; and

(iii) not later than 5 days after such termination, notifies the congressional oversight committees of the termination; or

(4) section 7532 of title 5, United States Code, if—

(A) the agency head personally terminates the individual; and

(B) the agency head—
(i) determines the termination to be in the interest of the United States;

(ii) determines that the procedures prescribed in other provisions of law that authorize the termination of the employment of such employee cannot be invoked in a manner consistent with the national security; and

(iii) not later than 5 days after such termination, notifies the congressional oversight committees of the termination.

TITLE III—SAVINGS CLAUSE; EFFECTIVE DATE

SEC. 301. SAVINGS CLAUSE.

Nothing in this Act shall be construed to imply any limitation on any protections afforded by any other provision of law to employees and applicants.

SEC. 302. EFFECTIVE DATE.

This Act shall take effect 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

PROVIDING FOR THE APPROVAL OF FINAL REGULATIONS ISSUED BY THE OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE TO IMPLEMENT THE VETERANS EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES ACT OF 1998

Mrs. GILLIBRAND. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of S. Con. Res. 77, submitted earlier today.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the concurrent resolution by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A concurrent resolution (S. Con. Res. 77) to provide for the approval of final regulations issued by the Office of Compliance to implement the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998 that apply to certain legislative branch employing offices and their covered employees.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the concurrent resolution.

Mrs. GILLIBRAND. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the concurrent resolution be agreed to, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and that any statements be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The concurrent resolution (S. Con. Res. 77) was agreed to, as follows:

S. CON. RES. 77

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That the following regulations issued by the Office of Compliance on March 21, 2008, and stated in section 4, with the technical corrections described in section 3 and to the extent applied by section 2, are hereby approved:

SEC. 2. APPLICATION OF REGULATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of applying the issued regulations as a body of regulations required by section 304(a)(2)(B)(iii) of

the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1384(a)(2)(B)(iii)), the portions of the issued regulations that are unclassified or classified with a “C” designation shall apply to all covered employees that are not employees of the House of Representatives or employees of the Senate, and employing offices that are not offices of the House of Representatives or the Senate.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms “employee of the House of Representatives”, “employee of the Senate”, “covered employee”, and “employing office” have the meanings given the terms in section 101 of the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1301), except as limited by the regulations (as corrected under section 3).

SEC. 3. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.

(a) CURRENT NAMES OF OFFICES AND HEADS OF OFFICES.—A reference in the issued regulations—

(1) to the Capitol Guide Board or the Capitol Guide Service (which no longer exist) shall be considered to be a reference to the Office of Congressional Accessibility Services;

(2) to the Capitol Police Board shall be considered to be a reference to the Capitol Police;

(3) to the Senate Restaurants (which are no longer public entities) shall be disregarded; and

(4) in sections 1.110(b) and 1.121(c), to the director of an employing office shall be considered to be a reference to the head of an employing office.

(b) CROSS REFERENCES TO PROVISIONS OF REGULATIONS.—A reference in the issued regulations—

(1) in paragraphs (l) and (m) of section 1.102, to subparagraphs (3) through (8) of paragraph (g) of that section shall be considered to be a reference to paragraph (g) of that section;

(2) in section 1.102(l), to subparagraphs (aa) through (dd) of section 1.102(g) shall be considered to be a reference to subparagraphs (aa) through (dd) of that section (as specified in the regulations classified with an “H” classification);

(3) in section 1.102(m), to subparagraphs (aa) through (ee) of section 1.102(g) shall be considered to be a reference to subparagraphs (aa) through (ee) of that section (as specified in the regulations classified with an “S” classification);

(4) in section 1.111(d), to section 1.102(o) shall be considered to be a reference to section 1.102(p); and

(5) in section 1.112, to section 1.102(h) shall be considered to be a reference to section 1.102(i).

(c) CROSS REFERENCES TO OTHER PROVISIONS OF LAW.—A reference in the issued regulations—

(1) to the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act shall be considered to be a reference to the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998;

(2) to 2 U.S.C. 43d(a) shall be considered to be a reference to section 105(a) of the Second Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1978;

(3) to 2 U.S.C. 1316a(3) shall be considered to be a reference to section 4(c)(3) of the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998;

(4) to 5 U.S.C. 2108(3)(c) shall be considered to be a reference to section 2108(3)(C) of title 5, United States Code;

(5) to the Americans with Disabilities Act shall be considered to be a reference to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990;

(6) to the Soil Conservation and Allotment Act shall be considered to be a reference to the Soil Conservation and Domestic Allotment Act; and

(7) to the Agricultural Adjustment Act shall be considered to be a reference to the Agricultural Adjustment Act, reenacted with amendments by the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937.

(d) OTHER CORRECTIONS.—In the issued regulations—

(1) section 1.109 shall be considered to have an “and” after paragraph (a);

(2) the second sentence of section 1.116 shall be disregarded;

(3) section 1.118(b) shall be considered to have an “and” after paragraph (2) rather than paragraph (1);

(4) a reference in sections 1.118(c)(1) and 1.120(b)(1) to veterans’ “preference eligible” shall be considered to be a reference to “preference eligible”;

(5) sections 1.118(c) and 1.120(b) shall be considered to have an “and” after paragraph (1); and

(6) section 1.121(b)(6)(B) shall be considered to have an “and” at the end.

SEC. 4. REGULATIONS.

When approved by the House of Representatives for the House of Representatives, these regulations will have the prefix “H.” When approved by the Senate for the Senate, these regulations will have the prefix “S.” When approved by Congress for the other employing offices covered by the CAA, these regulations will have the prefix “C.”

In this draft, “H&S Regs” denotes the provisions that would be included in the regulations applicable to be made applicable to the House and Senate, and “C Reg” denotes the provisions that would be included in the regulations to be made applicable to other employing offices.

PART 1—Extension of Rights and Protections Relating to Veterans’ Preference Under Title 5, United States Code, to Covered Employees of the Legislative Branch (section 4(c) of the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998)

SUBPART A—MATTERS OF GENERAL APPLICABILITY TO ALL REGULATIONS PROMULGATED UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE VEOA

Sec.

1.101 Purpose and scope.

1.102 Definitions.

1.103 Adoption of regulations.

1.104 Coordination with section 225 of the Congressional Accountability Act.

SEC. 1.101. PURPOSE AND SCOPE.

(a) Section 4(c) of the VEOA. The Veterans Employment Opportunities Act (VEOA) applies the rights and protections of sections 2108, 3309 through 3312, and subchapter I of chapter 35 of title 5 U.S.C., to certain covered employees within the Legislative branch.

(b) Purpose of regulations. The regulations set forth herein are the substantive regulations that the Board of Directors of the Office of Compliance has promulgated pursuant to section 4(c)(4) of the VEOA, in accordance with the rulemaking procedure set forth in section 304 of the CAA (2 U.S.C. §1384). The purpose of subparts B, C and D of these regulations is to define veterans’ preference and the administration of veterans’ preference as applicable to Federal employment in the Legislative branch. (5 U.S.C. §2108, as applied by the VEOA). The purpose of subpart E of these regulations is to ensure that the principles of the veterans’ preference laws are integrated into the existing employment and retention policies and processes of those employing offices with employees covered by the VEOA, and to provide for transparency in the application of veterans’ preference in

covered appointment and retention decisions. Provided, nothing in these regulations shall be construed so as to require an employing office to reduce any existing veterans' preference rights and protections that it may afford to preference eligible individuals.

H Regs: (c) Scope of Regulations. The definition of "covered employee" in Section 4(c) of the VEOA limits the scope of the statute's applicability within the Legislative branch. The term "covered employee" excludes any employee: (1) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (2) whose appointment is made by a Member of Congress within an employing office, as defined by Sec. 101 (9)(A-C) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §1301 (9)(A-C) or; (3) whose appointment is made by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (4) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). Accordingly, these regulations shall not apply to any employing office that only employs individuals excluded from the definition of covered employee.

S Regs: (c) Scope of Regulations. The definition of "covered employee" in Section 4(c) of the VEOA limits the scope of the statute's applicability within the Legislative branch. The term "covered employee" excludes any employee: (1) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (2) whose appointment is made or directed by a Member of Congress within an employing office, as defined by Sec. 101(9)(A-C) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §1301 (9)(A-C) or; (3) whose appointment is made by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; (4) who is appointed pursuant to 2 U.S.C. §43d(a); or (5) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). Accordingly, these regulations shall not apply to any employing office that only employs individuals excluded from the definition of covered employee.

C Reg: (c) Scope of Regulations. The definition of "covered employee" in Section 4(c) of the VEOA limits the scope of the statute's applicability within the Legislative branch. The term "covered employee" excludes any employee: (1) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (2) whose appointment is made by a Member of Congress or by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (3) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). Accordingly, these regulations shall not apply to any employing office that only employs individuals excluded from the definition of covered employee.

SEC. 1.102. DEFINITIONS.

Except as otherwise provided in these regulations, as used in these regulations:

(a) Accredited physician means a doctor of medicine or osteopathy who is authorized to practice medicine or surgery (as appropriate) by the State in which the doctor practices. The phrase "authorized to practice by the State" as used in this section means that the provider must be authorized to diagnose and

treat physical or mental health conditions without supervision by a doctor or other health care provider.

(b) Act or CAA means the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995, as amended (Pub. L. 104-1, 109 Stat. 3, 2 U.S.C. §§1301-1438).

(c) Active duty or active military duty means full-time duty with military pay and allowances in the armed forces, except (1) for training or for determining physical fitness and (2) for service in the Reserves or National Guard.

(d) Appointment means an individual's appointment to employment in a covered position, but does not include any personnel action that an employing office takes with regard to an existing employee of the employing office.

(e) Armed forces means the United States Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, and Coast Guard.

(f) Board means the Board of Directors of the Office of Compliance.

H Regs: (g) Covered employee means any employee of (1) the House of Representatives; and (2) the Senate; (3) the Capitol Guide Board; (4) the Capitol Police Board; (5) the Congressional Budget Office; (6) the Office of the Architect of the Capitol; (7) the Office of the Attending Physician; and (8) the Office of Compliance, but does not include an employee (aa) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (bb) whose appointment is made by a Member of Congress; (cc) whose appointment is made by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (dd) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). The term covered employee includes an applicant for employment in a covered position and a former covered employee.

S Regs: (g) Covered employee means any employees of (1) the House of Representatives; and (2) the Senate; (3) the Capitol Guide Board; (4) the Capitol Police Board; (5) the Congressional Budget Office; (6) the Office of the Architect of the Capitol; (7) the Office of the Attending Physician; and (8) the Office of Compliance, but does not include an employee (aa) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (bb) whose appointment is made or directed by a Member of Congress; (cc) whose appointment is made by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; (dd) who is appointed pursuant to 2 U.S.C. §43d(a); or (ee) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). The term covered employee includes an applicant for employment in a covered position and a former covered employee.

C Reg: (g) Covered employee means any employee of (1) the Capitol Guide Service; (2) the Capitol Police; (3) the Congressional Budget Office; (4) the Office of the Architect of the Capitol; (5) the Office of the Attending Physician; or (6) the Office of Compliance, but does not include an employee: (aa) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; or (bb) whose appointment is made by a Member of Congress or by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (cc) who is ap-

pointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). The term covered employee includes an applicant for employment in a covered position and a former covered employee.

(h) Covered position means any position that is or will be held by a covered employee.

(i) Disabled veteran means a person who was separated under honorable conditions from active duty in the armed forces performed at any time and who has established the present existence of a service-connected disability or is receiving compensation, disability retirement benefits, or pensions because of a public statute administered by the Department of Veterans Affairs or a military department.

(j) Employee of the Office of the Architect of the Capitol includes any employee of the Office of the Architect of the Capitol, the Botanic Gardens, or the Senate Restaurants.

(k) Employee of the Capitol Police Board includes any member or officer of the Capitol Police.

(l) Employee of the House of Representatives includes an individual occupying a position the pay of which is disbursed by the Clerk of the House of Representatives, or another official designated by the House of Representatives, or any employment position in an entity that is paid with funds derived from the clerk-hire allowance of the House of Representatives but not any such individual employed by any entity listed in subparagraphs (3) through (8) of paragraph (g) above nor any individual described in subparagraphs (aa) through (dd) of paragraph (g) above.

(m) Employee of the Senate includes any employee whose pay is disbursed by the Secretary of the Senate, but not any such individual employed by any entity listed in subparagraphs (3) through (8) of paragraph (g) above nor any individual described in subparagraphs (aa) through (ee) of paragraph (g) above.

H Regs: (n) Employing office means: (1) the personal office of a Member of the House of Representatives; (2) a committee of the House of Representatives or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (3) any other office headed by a person with the final authority to appoint, hire, discharge, and set the terms, conditions, or privileges of the employment of an employee of the House of Representatives or the Senate.

S Regs: (n) Employing office means: (1) the personal office of a Senator; (2) a committee of the Senate or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (3) any other office headed by a person with the final authority to appoint, or be directed by a Member of Congress to appoint, hire, discharge, and set the terms, conditions, or privileges of the employment of an employee of the House of Representatives or the Senate.

C Reg: (n) Employing office means: the Capitol Guide Board, the Capitol Police Board, the Congressional Budget Office, the Office of the Architect of the Capitol, the Office of the Attending Physician, and the Office of Compliance.

(o) Office means the Office of Compliance.

(p) Preference eligible means veterans, spouses, widows, widowers or mothers who meet the definition of "preference eligible" in 5 U.S.C. §2108(3)(A)-(G).

(q) Qualified applicant means an applicant for a covered position whom an employing

office deems to satisfy the requisite minimum job-related requirements of the position. Where the employing office uses an entrance examination or evaluation for a covered position that is numerically scored, the term "qualified applicant" shall mean that the applicant has received a passing score on the examination or evaluation.

(r) Separated under honorable conditions means either an honorable or a general discharge from the armed forces. The Department of Defense is responsible for administering and defining military discharges.

(s) Uniformed services means the armed forces, the commissioned corps of the Public Health Service, and the commissioned corps of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

(t) VEOA means the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998 (Pub. L. 105-339, 112 Stat. 3182).

(u) Veterans means persons as defined in 5 U.S.C. §2108(1), or any superseding legislation.

SEC. 1.103. ADOPTION OF REGULATIONS.

(a) Adoption of regulations. Section 4(c)(4)(A) of the VEOA generally authorizes the Board to issue regulations to implement section 4(c). In addition, section 4(c)(4)(B) of the VEOA directs the Board to promulgate regulations that are "the same as the most relevant substantive regulations (applicable with respect to the Executive branch) promulgated to implement the statutory provisions referred to in paragraph (2)" of section 4(c) of the VEOA. Those statutory provisions are section 2108, sections 3309 through 3312, and subchapter I of chapter 35, of title 5, United States Code. The regulations issued by the Board herein are on all matters for which section 4(c)(4)(B) of the VEOA requires a regulation to be issued. Specifically, it is the Board's considered judgment based on the information available to it at the time of promulgation of these regulations, that, with the exception of the regulations adopted and set forth herein, there are no other "substantive regulations (applicable with respect to the Executive branch) promulgated to implement the statutory provisions referred to in paragraph (2)" of section 4(c) of the VEOA that need be adopted.

(b) Modification of substantive regulations. As a qualification to the statutory obligation to issue regulations that are "the same as the most substantive regulations (applicable with respect to the Executive branch)", section 4(c)(4)(B) of the VEOA authorizes the Board to "determine, for good cause shown and stated together with the regulation, that a modification of such regulations would be more effective for the implementation of the rights and protections under" section 4(c) of the VEOA.

(c) Rationale for Departure from the Most Relevant Executive Branch Regulations. The Board concludes that it must promulgate regulations accommodating the human resource systems existing in the Legislative branch; and that such regulations must take into account the fact that the Board does not possess the statutory and Executive Order based government-wide policy making authority underlying OPM's counterpart VEOA regulations governing the Executive branch. OPM's regulations are designed for the competitive service (defined in 5 U.S.C. §2102(a)(2)), which does not exist in the employing offices subject to this regulation. Therefore, to follow the OPM regulations would create detailed and complex rules and procedures for a workforce that does not exist in the Legislative branch, while providing no VEOA protections to the covered

Legislative branch employees. We have chosen to propose specially tailored regulations, rather than simply to adopt those promulgated by OPM, so that we may effectuate Congress' intent in extending the principles of the veterans' preference laws to the Legislative branch through the VEOA.

SEC. 1.104. COORDINATION WITH SECTION 225 OF THE CONGRESSIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY ACT.

Statutory directive. Section 4(c)(4)(C) of the VEOA requires that promulgated regulations must be consistent with section 225 of the CAA. Among the relevant provisions of section 225 are subsection (f)(1), which prescribes as a rule of construction that definitions and exemptions in the laws made applicable by the CAA shall apply under the CAA, and subsection (f)(3), which states that the CAA shall not be considered to authorize enforcement of the CAA by the Executive branch.

SUBPART B—VETERANS' PREFERENCE—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec.

1.105 Responsibility for administration of veterans' preference.

1.106 Procedures for bringing claims under the VEOA.

SEC. 1.105. RESPONSIBILITY FOR ADMINISTRATION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE.

Subject to section 1.106, employing offices with covered employees or covered positions are responsible for making all veterans' preference determinations, consistent with the VEOA.

SEC. 1.106. PROCEDURES FOR BRINGING CLAIMS UNDER THE VEOA.

Applicants for appointment to a covered position and covered employees may contest adverse veterans' preference determinations, including any determination that a preference eligible applicant is not a qualified applicant, pursuant to sections 401-416 of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §§1401-1416, and provisions of law referred to therein; 206a(3) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §§1401, 1316a(3); and the Office's Procedural Rules.

SUBPART C—VETERANS' PREFERENCE IN APPOINTMENTS

Sec.

1.107 Veterans' preference in appointments to restricted covered positions.

1.108 Veterans' preference in appointments to non-restricted covered positions.

1.109 Crediting experience in appointments to covered positions.

1.110 Waiver of physical requirements in appointments to covered positions.

SEC. 1.107. VETERANS' PREFERENCE IN APPOINTMENTS TO RESTRICTED POSITIONS.

In each appointment action for the positions of custodian, elevator operator, guard, and messenger (as defined below and collectively referred to in these regulations as restricted covered positions) employing offices shall restrict competition to preference eligible applicants as long as qualified preference eligible applicants are available. The provisions of sections 1.109 and 1.110 below shall apply to the appointment of a preference eligible applicant to a restricted covered position. The provisions of section 1.108 shall apply to the appointment of a preference eligible applicant to a restricted covered position, in the event that there is more than one preference eligible applicant for the position.

Custodian—One whose primary duty is the performance of cleaning or other ordinary routine maintenance duties in or about a

government building or a building under Federal control, park, monument, or other Federal reservation.

Elevator operator—One whose primary duty is the running of freight or passenger elevators. The work includes opening and closing elevator gates and doors, working elevator controls, loading and unloading the elevator, giving information and directions to passengers such as on the location of offices, and reporting problems in running the elevator.

Guard—One whose primary duty is the assignment to a station, beat, or patrol area in a Federal building or a building under Federal control to prevent illegal entry of persons or property; or required to stand watch at or to patrol a Federal reservation, industrial area, or other area designated by Federal authority, in order to protect life and property; make observations for detection of fire, trespass, unauthorized removal of public property or hazards to Federal personnel or property. The term guard does not include law enforcement officer positions of the Capitol Police Board.

Messenger—One whose primary duty is the supervision or performance of general messenger work (such as running errands, delivering messages, and answering call bells).

SEC. 1.108. VETERANS' PREFERENCE IN APPOINTMENTS TO NON-RESTRICTED COVERED POSITIONS.

(a) Where an employing office has duly adopted a policy requiring the numerical scoring or rating of applicants for covered positions, the employing office shall add points to the earned ratings of those preference eligible applicants who receive passing scores in an entrance examination, in a manner that is proportionately comparable to the points prescribed in 5 U.S.C. §3309. For example, five preference points shall be granted to preference eligible applicants in a 100-point system, one point shall be granted in a 20-point system, and so on.

(b) In all other situations involving appointment to a covered position, employing offices shall consider veterans' preference eligibility as an affirmative factor in the employing office's determination of who will be appointed from among qualified applicants.

SEC. 1.109. CREDITING EXPERIENCE IN APPOINTMENTS TO COVERED POSITIONS.

When considering applicants for covered positions in which experience is an element of qualification, employing offices shall provide preference eligible applicants with credit:

(a) for time spent in the military service (1) as an extension of time spent in the position in which the applicant was employed immediately before his/her entrance into the military service, or (2) on the basis of actual duties performed in the military service, or (3) as a combination of both methods. Employing offices shall credit time spent in the military service according to the method that will be of most benefit to the preference eligible applicant.

(b) for all experience material to the position for which the applicant is being considered, including experience gained in religious, civic, welfare, service, and organizational activities, regardless of whether he/she received pay therefor.

SEC. 1.110. WAIVER OF PHYSICAL REQUIREMENTS IN APPOINTMENTS TO COVERED POSITIONS.

(a) Subject to (c) below, in determining qualifications of a preference eligible for appointment, an employing office shall waive:

(1) with respect to a preference eligible applicant, requirements as to age, height, and

weight, unless the requirement is essential to the performance of the duties of the position; and

(2) with respect to a preference eligible applicant to whom it has made a conditional offer of employment, physical requirements if, in the opinion of the employing office, on the basis of evidence before it, including any recommendation of an accredited physician submitted by the preference eligible applicant, the preference eligible applicant is physically able to perform efficiently the duties of the position;

(b) Subject to (c) below, if an employing office determines, on the basis of evidence before it, including any recommendation of an accredited physician submitted by the preference eligible applicant, that an applicant to whom it has made a conditional offer of employment is preference eligible as a disabled veteran as described in 5 U.S.C. §2108(3)(c) and who has a compensable service-connected disability of 30 percent or more is not able to fulfill the physical requirements of the covered position, the employing office shall notify the preference eligible applicant of the reasons for the determination and of the right to respond and to submit additional information to the employing office, within 15 days of the date of the notification. The director of the employing office may, by providing written notice to the preference eligible applicant, shorten the period for submitting a response with respect to an appointment to a particular covered position, if necessary because of a need to fill the covered position immediately. Should the preference eligible applicant make a timely response, the highest ranking individual or group of individuals with authority to make employment decisions on behalf of the employing office shall render a final determination of the physical ability of the preference eligible applicant to perform the duties of the position, taking into account the response and any additional information provided by the preference eligible applicant. When the employing office has completed its review of the proposed disqualification on the basis of physical disability, it shall send its findings to the preference eligible applicant.

(c) Nothing in this section shall relieve an employing office of any obligations it may have pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. §12101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(3) of the Act, 2 U.S.C. §1302(a)(3).

SUBPART D—VETERANS' PREFERENCE IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE

Sec.

1.111. Definitions applicable in reductions in force.

1.112. Application of preference in reductions in force.

1.113. Crediting experience in reductions in force.

1.114. Waiver of physical requirements in reductions in force.

1.115. Transfer of functions.

SEC. 1.111. DEFINITIONS APPLICABLE IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE.

(a) Competing covered employees are the covered employees within a particular position or job classification, at or within a particular competitive area, as those terms are defined below.

(b) Competitive area is that portion of the employing office's organizational structure, as determined by the employing office, in which covered employees compete for retention. A competitive area must be defined solely in terms of the employing office's organizational unit(s) and geographical loca-

tion, and it must include all employees within the competitive area so defined. A competitive area may consist of all or part of an employing office. The minimum competitive area is a department or subdivision of the employing office within the local commuting area.

(c) Position classifications or job classifications are determined by the employing office, and shall refer to all covered positions within a competitive area that are in the same grade, occupational level or classification, and which are similar enough in duties, qualification requirements, pay schedules, tenure (type of appointment) and working conditions so that an employing office may reassign the incumbent of one position to any of the other positions in the position classification without undue interruption.

(d) Preference Eligibles. For the purpose of applying veterans' preference in reductions in force, except with respect to the application of section 1.114 of these regulations regarding the waiver of physical requirements, the following shall apply:

(1) "active service" has the meaning given it by section 101 of title 37;

(2) "a retired member of a uniformed service" means a member or former member of a uniformed service who is entitled, under statute, to retired, retirement, or retainer pay on account of his/her service as such a member; and

(3) a preference eligible covered employee who is a retired member of a uniformed service is considered a preference eligible only if (A) his/her retirement was based on disability—

(i) resulting from injury or disease received in line of duty as a direct result of armed conflict; or

(ii) caused by an instrumentality of war and incurred in the line of duty during a period of war as defined by sections 101 and 1101 of title 38;

(B) his/her service does not include twenty or more years of full-time active service, regardless of when performed but not including periods of active duty for training; or

(C) on November 30, 1964, he/she was employed in a position to which this subchapter applies and thereafter he/she continued to be so employed without a break in service of more than 30 days.

The definition of "preference eligible" as set forth in 5 U.S.C. §2108 and section 1.102(o) of these regulations shall apply to waivers of physical requirements in determining an employee's qualifications for retention under section 1.114 of these regulations.

H&S Regs: (e) Reduction in force is any termination of a covered employee's employment or the reduction in pay and/or position grade of a covered employee for more than 30 days and that may be required for budgetary or workload reasons, changes resulting from reorganization, or the need to make room for an employee with reemployment or restoration rights. The term "reduction in force" does not encompass a termination or other personnel action: (1) predicated upon performance, conduct or other grounds attributable to an employee, or (2) involving an employee who is employed by the employing office on a temporary basis, or (3) attributable to a change in party leadership or majority party status within the House of Congress where the employee is employed.

C Reg: (e) Reduction in force is any termination of a covered employee's employment or the reduction in pay and/or position grade of a covered employee for more than 30 days and that may be required for budgetary or workload reasons, changes resulting from

reorganization, or the need to make room for an employee with reemployment or restoration rights. The term "reduction in force" does not encompass a termination or other personnel action: (1) predicated upon performance, conduct or other grounds attributable to an employee, or (2) involving an employee who is employed by the employing office on a temporary basis.

(f) Undue interruption is a degree of interruption that would prevent the completion of required work by a covered employee 90 days after the employee has been placed in a different position under this part. The 90-day standard should be considered within the allowable limits of time and quality, taking into account the pressures of priorities, deadlines, and other demands. However, work generally would not be considered to be unduly interrupted if a covered employee needs more than 90 days after the reduction in force to perform the optimum quality or quantity of work. The 90-day standard may be extended if placement is made under this part to a program accorded low priority by the employing office, or to a vacant position.

SEC. 1.112. APPLICATION OF PREFERENCE IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE.

Prior to carrying out a reduction in force that will affect covered employees, employing offices shall determine which, if any, covered employees within a particular group of competing covered employees are entitled to veterans' preference eligibility status in accordance with these regulations. In determining which covered employees will be retained, employing offices will treat veterans' preference as the controlling factor in retention decisions among such competing covered employees, regardless of length of service or performance, provided that the preference eligible employee's performance has not been determined to be unacceptable. Provided, a preference eligible employee who is a "disabled veteran" under section 1.102(h) above who has a compensable service-connected disability of 30 percent or more and whose performance has not been determined to be unacceptable by an employing office is entitled to be retained in preference to other preference eligible employees. Provided, this section does not relieve an employing office of any greater obligation it may be subject to pursuant to the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act (29 U.S.C. §2101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(9) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §1302(a)(9).

SEC. 1.113. CREDITING EXPERIENCE IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE.

In computing length of service in connection with a reduction in force, the employing office shall provide credit to preference eligible covered employees as follows:

(a) a preference eligible covered employee who is not a retired member of a uniformed service is entitled to credit for the total length of time in active service in the armed forces;

(b) a preference eligible covered employee who is a retired member of a uniformed service is entitled to credit for:

(1) the length of time in active service in the armed forces during a war, or in a campaign or expedition for which a campaign badge has been authorized; or

(2) the total length of time in active service in the armed forces if he is included under 5 U.S.C. §3501(a)(3)(A), (B), or (C); and

(c) a preference eligible covered employee is entitled to credit for:

(1) service rendered as an employee of a county committee established pursuant to section 8(b) of the Soil Conservation and Allotment Act or of a committee or association

of producers described in section 10(b) of the Agricultural Adjustment Act; and

(2) service rendered as an employee described in 5 U.S.C. §2105(c) if such employee moves or has moved, on or after January 1, 1966, without a break in service of more than 3 days, from a position in a nonappropriated fund instrumentality of the Department of Defense or the Coast Guard to a position in the Department of Defense or the Coast Guard, respectively, that is not described in 5 U.S.C. §2105(c).

SEC. 1.114. WAIVER OF PHYSICAL REQUIREMENTS IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE.

(a) If an employing office determines, on the basis of evidence before it, that a covered employee is preference eligible, the employing office shall waive, in determining the covered employee's retention status in a reduction in force:

(1) requirements as to age, height, and weight, unless the requirement is essential to the performance of the duties of the position; and

(2) physical requirements if, in the opinion of the employing office, on the basis of evidence before it, including any recommendation of an accredited physician submitted by the employee, the preference eligible covered employee is physically able to perform efficiently the duties of the position.

(b) If an employing office determines that a covered employee who is a preference eligible as a disabled veteran as described in 5 U.S.C. §2108(3)(c) and has a compensable service-connected disability of 30 percent or more is not able to fulfill the physical requirements of the covered position, the employing office shall notify the preference eligible covered employee of the reasons for the determination and of the right to respond and to submit additional information to the employing office within 15 days of the date of the notification. Should the preference eligible covered employee make a timely response, the highest ranking individual or group of individuals with authority to make employment decisions on behalf of the employing office, shall render a final determination of the physical ability of the preference eligible covered employee to perform the duties of the covered position, taking into account the evidence before it, including the response and any additional information provided by the preference eligible. When the employing office has completed its review of the proposed disqualification on the basis of physical disability, it shall send its findings to the preference eligible covered employee.

(c) Nothing in this section shall relieve an employing office of any obligation it may have pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. §12101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(3) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §1302(a)(3).

SEC. 1.115. TRANSFER OF FUNCTIONS.

(a) When a function is transferred from one employing office to another employing office, each covered employee in the affected position classifications or job classifications in the function that is to be transferred shall be transferred to the receiving employing office for employment in a covered position for which he/she is qualified before the receiving employing office may make an appointment from another source to that position.

(b) When one employing office is replaced by another employing office, each covered employee in the affected position classifications or job classifications in the employing office to be replaced shall be transferred to the replacing employing office for employment in a covered position for which he/she

is qualified before the replacing employing office may make an appointment from another source to that position.

SUBPART E—ADOPTION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE POLICIES, RECORDKEEPING & INFORMATIONAL REQUIREMENTS.

Sec.

1.116. Adoption of veterans' preference policy.

1.117. Preservation of records made or kept.

1.118. Dissemination of veterans' preference policies to applicants for covered positions.

1.119. Information regarding veterans' preference determinations in appointments.

1.120. Dissemination of veterans' preference policies to covered employees.

1.121. Written notice prior to a reduction in force.

SEC. 1.116. ADOPTION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE POLICY.

No later than 120 calendar days following Congressional approval of this regulation, each employing office that employs one or more covered employees or that seeks applicants for a covered position shall adopt its written policy specifying how it has integrated the veterans' preference requirements of the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998 and these regulations into its employment and retention processes. Upon timely request and the demonstration of good cause, the Executive Director, in his/her discretion, may grant such an employing office additional time for preparing its policy. Each such employing office will make its policies available to applicants for appointment to a covered position and to covered employees in accordance with these regulations. The act of adopting a veterans' preference policy shall not relieve any employing office of any other responsibility or requirement of the Veterans Employment Opportunity Act of 1998 or these regulations. An employing office may amend or replace its veterans' preference policies as it deems necessary or appropriate, so long as the resulting policies are consistent with the VEOA and these regulations.

SEC. 1.117. PRESERVATION OF RECORDS MADE OR KEPT.

An employing office that employs one or more covered employees or that seeks applicants for a covered position shall maintain any records relating to the application of its veterans' preference policy to applicants for covered positions and to workforce adjustment decisions affecting covered employees for a period of at least one year from the date of the making of the record or the date of the personnel action involved or, if later, one year from the date on which the applicant or covered employee is notified of the personnel action. Where a claim has been brought under section 401 of the CAA against an employing office under the VEOA, the respondent employing office shall preserve all personnel records relevant to the claim until final disposition of the claim. The term "personnel records relevant to the claim", for example, would include records relating to the veterans' preference determination regarding the person bringing the claim and records relating to any veterans' preference determinations regarding other applicants for the covered position the person sought, or records relating to the veterans' preference determinations regarding other covered employees in the person's position or job classification. The date of final disposition of the charge or the action means the latest of the date of expiration of the statutory period within which the aggrieved per-

son may file a complaint with the Office or in a U.S. District Court or, where an action is brought against an employing office by the aggrieved person, the date on which such litigation is terminated.

SEC. 1.118. DISSEMINATION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE POLICIES TO APPLICANTS FOR COVERED POSITIONS.

(a) An employing office shall state in any announcements and advertisements it makes concerning vacancies in covered positions that the staffing action is governed by the VEOA.

(b) An employing office shall invite applicants for a covered position to identify themselves as veterans' preference eligible applicants, provided that in doing so:

(1) the employing office shall state clearly on any written application or questionnaire used for this purpose or make clear orally, if a written application or questionnaire is not used, that the requested information is intended for use solely in connection with the employing office's obligations and efforts to provide veterans' preference to preference eligible applicants in accordance with the VEOA; and

(2) the employing office shall state clearly that disabled veteran status is requested on a voluntary basis, that it will be kept confidential in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. §12101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(3) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §1302(a)(3), that refusal to provide it will not subject the individual to any adverse treatment except the possibility of an adverse determination regarding the individual's status as a preference eligible applicant as a disabled veteran under the VEOA, and that any information obtained in accordance with this section concerning the medical condition or history of an individual will be collected, maintained and used only in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. §12101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(3) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §1302(a)(3).

(3) the employing office shall state clearly that applicants may request information about the employing office's veterans' preference policies as they relate to appointments to covered positions, and shall describe the employing office's procedures for making such requests.

(c) Upon written request by an applicant for a covered position, an employing office shall provide the following information in writing:

(1) the VEOA definition of veterans' "preference eligible" as set forth in 5 U.S.C. §2108 or any superseding legislation, providing the actual, current definition in a manner designed to be understood by applicants, along with the statutory citation;

(2) the employing office's veterans' preference policy or a summary description of the employing office's veterans' preference policy as it relates to appointments to covered positions, including any procedures the employing office shall use to identify preference eligible employees;

(3) the employing office may provide other information to applicants regarding its veterans' preference policies and practices, but is not required to do so by these regulations.

(d) Employing offices are also expected to answer questions from applicants for covered positions that are relevant and non-confidential concerning the employing office's veterans' preference policies and practices.

SEC. 1.119. INFORMATION REGARDING VETERANS' PREFERENCE DETERMINATIONS IN APPOINTMENTS.

Upon written request by an applicant for a covered position, the employing office shall

promptly provide a written explanation of the manner in which veterans' preference was applied in the employing office's appointment decision regarding that applicant. Such explanation shall include at a minimum:

(a) the employing office's veterans' preference policy or a summary description of the employing office's veterans' preference policy as it relates to appointments to covered positions; and

(b) a statement as to whether the applicant is preference eligible and, if not, a brief statement of the reasons for the employing office's determination that the applicant is not preference eligible.

SEC. 1.120. DISSEMINATION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE POLICIES TO COVERED EMPLOYEES.

(a) If an employing office that employs one or more covered employees provides any written guidance to such employees concerning employee rights generally or reductions in force more specifically, such as in a written employee policy, manual or handbook, such guidance must include information concerning veterans' preference under the VEOA, as set forth in subsection (b) of this regulation.

(b) Written guidances described in subsection (a) above shall include, at a minimum:

(1) the VEOA definition of veterans' "preference eligible" as set forth in 5 U.S.C. §2108 or any superseding legislation, providing the actual, current definition along with the statutory citation;

(2) the employing office's veterans' preference policy or a summary description of the employing office's veterans' preference policy as it relates to reductions in force, including the procedures the employing office shall take to identify preference eligible employees.

(3) the employing office may provide other information in its guidances regarding its veterans' preference policies and practices, but is not required to do so by these regulations.

(c) Employing offices are also expected to answer questions from covered employees that are relevant and non-confidential concerning the employing office's veterans' preference policies and practices.

SEC. 1.121. WRITTEN NOTICE PRIOR TO A REDUCTION IN FORCE.

(a) Except as provided under subsection (c), a covered employee may not be released due to a reduction in force, unless the covered employee and the covered employee's exclusive representative for collective-bargaining purposes (if any) are given written notice, in conformance with the requirements of paragraph (b), at least 60 days before the covered employee is so released.

(b) Any notice under paragraph (a) shall include—

(1) the personnel action to be taken with respect to the covered employee involved;

(2) the effective date of the action;

(3) a description of the procedures applicable in identifying employees for release;

(4) the covered employee's competitive area;

(5) the covered employee's eligibility for veterans' preference in retention and how that preference eligibility was determined;

(6) the retention status and preference eligibility of the other employees in the affected position classifications or job classifications within the covered employee's competitive area, by providing:

(A) a list of all covered employee(s) in the covered employee's position classification or

job classification and competitive area who will be retained by the employing office, identifying those employees by job title only and stating whether each such employee is preference eligible; and

(B) a list of all covered employee(s) in the covered employee's position classification or job classification and competitive area who will not be retained by the employing office, identifying those employees by job title only and stating whether each such employee is preference eligible.

(7) a description of any appeal or other rights which may be available.

(c) The director of the employing office may, in writing, shorten the period of advance notice required under subsection (a), with respect to a particular reduction in force, if necessary because of circumstances not reasonably foreseeable.

(d) No notice period may be shortened to less than 30 days under this subsection.

PROVIDING FOR THE APPROVAL OF FINAL REGULATIONS ISSUED BY THE OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE TO IMPLEMENT THE VETERANS EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES ACT OF 1998

Mrs. GILLIBRAND. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of S. Res. 700 submitted earlier today.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 700) to provide for the approval of final regulations issued by the Office of Compliance to implement the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998 that apply to the Senate and employees of the Senate.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mrs. GILLIBRAND. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the resolution be agreed to, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and that any statements be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 700) was agreed to, as follows:

S. RES. 700

Resolved, That the following regulations issued by the Office of Compliance on March 21, 2008, and stated in section 4, with the technical corrections described in section 3 and to the extent applied by section 2, are hereby approved:

SEC. 2. APPLICATION OF REGULATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of applying the issued regulations as a body of regulations required by section 304(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1384(a)(2)(B)(i)), the portions of the issued regulations that are unclassified or classified with an "S" designation shall apply to the Senate and employees of the Senate.

(b) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term "employee of the Senate" has the meaning given the term in section 101 of the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1301), except as limited by the regulations (as corrected under section 3).

SEC. 3. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.

(a) CURRENT NAMES OF OFFICES AND HEADS OF OFFICES.—A reference in the issued regulations—

(1) to the Capitol Guide Board or the Capitol Guide Service (which no longer exist) shall be considered to be a reference to the Office of Congressional Accessibility Services;

(2) to the Capitol Police Board shall be considered to be a reference to the Capitol Police;

(3) to the Senate Restaurants (which are no longer public entities) shall be disregarded; and

(4) in sections 1.110(b) and 1.121(c), to the director of an employing office shall be considered to be a reference to the head of an employing office.

(b) CROSS REFERENCES TO PROVISIONS OF REGULATIONS.—A reference in the issued regulations—

(1) in paragraphs (l) and (m) of section 1.102, to subparagraphs (3) through (8) of paragraph (g) of that section shall be considered to be a reference to paragraph (g) of that section;

(2) in section 1.102(l), to subparagraphs (aa) through (dd) of section 1.102(g) shall be considered to be a reference to subparagraphs (aa) through (dd) of that section (as specified in the regulations classified with an "H" classification);

(3) in section 1.102(m), to subparagraphs (aa) through (ee) of section 1.102(g) shall be considered to be a reference to subparagraphs (aa) through (ee) of that section (as specified in the regulations classified with an "S" classification);

(4) in section 1.111(d), to section 1.102(o) shall be considered to be a reference to section 1.102(p); and

(5) in section 1.112, to section 1.102(h) shall be considered to be a reference to section 1.102(i).

(c) CROSS REFERENCES TO OTHER PROVISIONS OF LAW.—A reference in the issued regulations—

(1) to the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act shall be considered to be a reference to the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998;

(2) to 2 U.S.C. 43d(a) shall be considered to be a reference to section 105(a) of the Second Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1978;

(3) to 2 U.S.C. 1316a(3) shall be considered to be a reference to section 4(c)(3) of the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998;

(4) to 5 U.S.C. 2108(3)(c) shall be considered to be a reference to section 2108(3)(C) of title 5, United States Code;

(5) to the Americans with Disabilities Act shall be considered to be a reference to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990;

(6) to the Soil Conservation and Allotment Act shall be considered to be a reference to the Soil Conservation and Domestic Allotment Act; and

(7) to the Agricultural Adjustment Act shall be considered to be a reference to the Agricultural Adjustment Act, reenacted with amendments by the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937.

(d) OTHER CORRECTIONS.—In the issued regulations—

(1) in section 1.102(g)(1) (in the regulations classified with an "S" classification), the "and" at the end shall be disregarded;

(2) section 1.102(g)(7) (in the regulations classified with an "S" classification) shall be considered to have an "or" at the end;

(3) section 1.109 shall be considered to have an "and" after paragraph (a);

(4) the second sentence of section 1.116 shall be disregarded;

(5) section 1.118(b) shall be considered to have an “and” after paragraph (2) rather than paragraph (1);

(6) a reference in sections 1.118(c)(1) and 1.120(b)(1) to veterans’ “preference eligible” shall be considered to be a reference to “preference eligible”;

(7) sections 1.118(c) and 1.120(b) shall be considered to have an “and” after paragraph (1); and

(8) section 1.121(b)(6)(B) shall be considered to have an “and” at the end.

SEC. 4. REGULATIONS.

When approved by the House of Representatives for the House of Representatives, these regulations will have the prefix “H.” When approved by the Senate for the Senate, these regulations will have the prefix “S.” When approved by Congress for the other employing offices covered by the CAA, these regulations will have the prefix “C.”

In this draft, “H&S Regs” denotes the provisions that would be included in the regulations applicable to be made applicable to the House and Senate, and “C Reg” denotes the provisions that would be included in the regulations to be made applicable to other employing offices.

PART 1—Extension of Rights and Protections Relating to Veterans’ Preference Under Title 5, United States Code, to Covered Employees of the Legislative Branch (section 4(c) of the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998)

SUBPART A—MATTERS OF GENERAL APPLICABILITY TO ALL REGULATIONS PROMULGATED UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE VEOA

Sec.

1.101 Purpose and scope.

1.102 Definitions.

1.103 Adoption of regulations.

1.104 Coordination with section 225 of the Congressional Accountability Act.

SEC. 1.101. PURPOSE AND SCOPE.

(a) Section 4(c) of the VEOA. The Veterans Employment Opportunities Act (VEOA) applies the rights and protections of sections 2108, 3309 through 3312, and subchapter I of chapter 35 of title 5 U.S.C., to certain covered employees within the Legislative branch.

(b) Purpose of regulations. The regulations set forth herein are the substantive regulations that the Board of Directors of the Office of Compliance has promulgated pursuant to section 4(c)(4) of the VEOA, in accordance with the rulemaking procedure set forth in section 304 of the CAA (2 U.S.C. §1384). The purpose of subparts B, C and D of these regulations is to define veterans’ preference and the administration of veterans’ preference as applicable to Federal employment in the Legislative branch. (5 U.S.C. §2108, as applied by the VEOA). The purpose of subpart E of these regulations is to ensure that the principles of the veterans’ preference laws are integrated into the existing employment and retention policies and processes of those employing offices with employees covered by the VEOA, and to provide for transparency in the application of veterans’ preference in covered appointment and retention decisions. Provided, nothing in these regulations shall be construed so as to require an employing office to reduce any existing veterans’ preference rights and protections that it may afford to preference eligible individuals.

H Regs: (c) Scope of Regulations. The definition of “covered employee” in Section 4(c) of the VEOA limits the scope of the statute’s applicability within the Legislative branch.

The term “covered employee” excludes any employee: (1) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (2) whose appointment is made by a Member of Congress within an employing office, as defined by Sec. 101 (9)(A–C) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §1301 (9)(A–C) or; (3) whose appointment is made by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (4) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). Accordingly, these regulations shall not apply to any employing office that only employs individuals excluded from the definition of covered employee.

S Regs: (c) Scope of Regulations. The definition of “covered employee” in Section 4(c) of the VEOA limits the scope of the statute’s applicability within the Legislative branch. The term “covered employee” excludes any employee: (1) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (2) whose appointment is made or directed by a Member of Congress within an employing office, as defined by Sec. 101(9)(A–C) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §1301 (9)(A–C) or; (3) whose appointment is made by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; (4) who is appointed pursuant to 2 U.S.C. §43d(a); or (5) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). Accordingly, these regulations shall not apply to any employing office that only employs individuals excluded from the definition of covered employee.

C Reg: (c) Scope of Regulations. The definition of “covered employee” in Section 4(c) of the VEOA limits the scope of the statute’s applicability within the Legislative branch. The term “covered employee” excludes any employee: (1) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (2) whose appointment is made by a Member of Congress or by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (3) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). Accordingly, these regulations shall not apply to any employing office that only employs individuals excluded from the definition of covered employee.

SEC. 1.102. DEFINITIONS.

Except as otherwise provided in these regulations, as used in these regulations:

(a) Accredited physician means a doctor of medicine or osteopathy who is authorized to practice medicine or surgery (as appropriate) by the State in which the doctor practices. The phrase “authorized to practice by the State” as used in this section means that the provider must be authorized to diagnose and treat physical or mental health conditions without supervision by a doctor or other health care provider.

(b) Act or CAA means the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995, as amended (Pub. L. 104–1, 109 Stat. 3, 2 U.S.C. §§1301–1438).

(c) Active duty or active military duty means full-time duty with military pay and allowances in the armed forces, except (1) for training or for determining physical fitness and (2) for service in the Reserves or National Guard.

(d) Appointment means an individual’s appointment to employment in a covered position, but does not include any personnel action that an employing office takes with regard to an existing employee of the employing office.

(e) Armed forces means the United States Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, and Coast Guard.

(f) Board means the Board of Directors of the Office of Compliance.

H Regs: (g) Covered employee means any employee of (1) the House of Representatives; and (2) the Senate; (3) the Capitol Guide Board; (4) the Capitol Police Board; (5) the Congressional Budget Office; (6) the Office of the Architect of the Capitol; (7) the Office of the Attending Physician; and (8) the Office of Compliance, but does not include an employee (aa) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (bb) whose appointment is made by a Member of Congress; (cc) whose appointment is made by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (dd) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). The term covered employee includes an applicant for employment in a covered position and a former covered employee.

S Regs: (g) Covered employee means any employees of (1) the House of Representatives; and (2) the Senate; (3) the Capitol Guide Board; (4) the Capitol Police Board; (5) the Congressional Budget Office; (6) the Office of the Architect of the Capitol; (7) the Office of the Attending Physician; and (8) the Office of Compliance, but does not include an employee (aa) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; (bb) whose appointment is made or directed by a Member of Congress; (cc) whose appointment is made by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; (dd) who is appointed pursuant to 2 U.S.C. §43d(a); or (ee) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). The term covered employee includes an applicant for employment in a covered position and a former covered employee.

C Reg: (g) Covered employee means any employee of (1) the Capitol Guide Service; (2) the Capitol Police; (3) the Congressional Budget Office; (4) the Office of the Architect of the Capitol; (5) the Office of the Attending Physician; or (6) the Office of Compliance, but does not include an employee: (aa) whose appointment is made by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate; or (bb) whose appointment is made by a Member of Congress or by a committee or subcommittee of either House of Congress or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (cc) who is appointed to a position, the duties of which are equivalent to those of a Senior Executive Service position (within the meaning of section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code). The term covered employee includes an applicant for employment in a covered position and a former covered employee.

(h) Covered position means any position that is or will be held by a covered employee.

(i) Disabled veteran means a person who was separated under honorable conditions from active duty in the armed forces performed at any time and who has established

the present existence of a service-connected disability or is receiving compensation, disability retirement benefits, or pensions because of a public statute administered by the Department of Veterans Affairs or a military department.

(j) Employee of the Office of the Architect of the Capitol includes any employee of the Office of the Architect of the Capitol, the Botanic Gardens, or the Senate Restaurants.

(k) Employee of the Capitol Police Board includes any member or officer of the Capitol Police.

(l) Employee of the House of Representatives includes an individual occupying a position the pay of which is disbursed by the Clerk of the House of Representatives, or another official designated by the House of Representatives, or any employment position in an entity that is paid with funds derived from the clerk-hire allowance of the House of Representatives but not any such individual employed by any entity listed in subparagraphs (3) through (8) of paragraph (g) above nor any individual described in subparagraphs (aa) through (dd) of paragraph (g) above.

(m) Employee of the Senate includes any employee whose pay is disbursed by the Secretary of the Senate, but not any such individual employed by any entity listed in subparagraphs (3) through (8) of paragraph (g) above nor any individual described in subparagraphs (aa) through (ee) of paragraph (g) above.

H Regs: (n) Employing office means: (1) the personal office of a Member of the House of Representatives; (2) a committee of the House of Representatives or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (3) any other office headed by a person with the final authority to appoint, hire, discharge, and set the terms, conditions, or privileges of the employment of an employee of the House of Representatives or the Senate.

S Regs: (n) Employing office means: (1) the personal office of a Senator; (2) a committee of the Senate or a joint committee of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or (3) any other office headed by a person with the final authority to appoint, or be directed by a Member of Congress to appoint, hire, discharge, and set the terms, conditions, or privileges of the employment of an employee of the House of Representatives or the Senate.

C Reg: (n) Employing office means: the Capitol Guide Board, the Capitol Police Board, the Congressional Budget Office, the Office of the Architect of the Capitol, the Office of the Attending Physician, and the Office of Compliance.

(o) Office means the Office of Compliance.

(p) Preference eligible means veterans, spouses, widows, widowers or mothers who meet the definition of "preference eligible" in 5 U.S.C. §2108(3)(A)–(G).

(q) Qualified applicant means an applicant for a covered position whom an employing office deems to satisfy the requisite minimum job-related requirements of the position. Where the employing office uses an entrance examination or evaluation for a covered position that is numerically scored, the term "qualified applicant" shall mean that the applicant has received a passing score on the examination or evaluation.

(r) Separated under honorable conditions means either an honorable or a general discharge from the armed forces. The Department of Defense is responsible for administering and defining military discharges.

(s) Uniformed services means the armed forces, the commissioned corps of the Public

Health Service, and the commissioned corps of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

(t) VEOA means the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998 (Pub. L. 105–339, 112 Stat. 3182).

(u) Veterans means persons as defined in 5 U.S.C. §2108(1), or any superseding legislation.

SEC. 1.103. ADOPTION OF REGULATIONS.

(a) Adoption of regulations. Section 4(c)(4)(A) of the VEOA generally authorizes the Board to issue regulations to implement section 4(c). In addition, section 4(c)(4)(B) of the VEOA directs the Board to promulgate regulations that are "the same as the most relevant substantive regulations (applicable with respect to the Executive branch) promulgated to implement the statutory provisions referred to in paragraph (2)" of section 4(c) of the VEOA. Those statutory provisions are section 2108, sections 3309 through 3312, and subchapter I of chapter 35, of title 5, United States Code. The regulations issued by the Board herein are on all matters for which section 4(c)(4)(B) of the VEOA requires a regulation to be issued. Specifically, it is the Board's considered judgment based on the information available to it at the time of promulgation of these regulations, that, with the exception of the regulations adopted and set forth herein, there are no other "substantive regulations (applicable with respect to the Executive branch) promulgated to implement the statutory provisions referred to in paragraph (2)" of section 4(c) of the VEOA that need be adopted.

(b) Modification of substantive regulations. As a qualification to the statutory obligation to issue regulations that are "the same as the most substantive regulations (applicable with respect to the Executive branch)", section 4(c)(4)(B) of the VEOA authorizes the Board to "determine, for good cause shown and stated together with the regulation, that a modification of such regulations would be more effective for the implementation of the rights and protections under" section 4(c) of the VEOA.

(c) Rationale for Departure from the Most Relevant Executive Branch Regulations. The Board concludes that it must promulgate regulations accommodating the human resource systems existing in the Legislative branch; and that such regulations must take into account the fact that the Board does not possess the statutory and Executive Order based government-wide policy making authority underlying OPM's counterpart VEOA regulations governing the Executive branch. OPM's regulations are designed for the competitive service (defined in 5 U.S.C. §2102(a)(2)), which does not exist in the employing offices subject to this regulation. Therefore, to follow the OPM regulations would create detailed and complex rules and procedures for a workforce that does not exist in the Legislative branch, while providing no VEOA protections to the covered Legislative branch employees. We have chosen to propose specially tailored regulations, rather than simply to adopt those promulgated by OPM, so that we may effectuate Congress' intent in extending the principles of the veterans' preference laws to the Legislative branch through the VEOA.

SEC. 1.104. COORDINATION WITH SECTION 225 OF THE CONGRESSIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY ACT.

Statutory directive. Section 4(c)(4)(C) of the VEOA requires that promulgated regulations must be consistent with section 225 of the CAA. Among the relevant provisions of section 225 are subsection (f)(1), which pre-

scribes as a rule of construction that definitions and exemptions in the laws made applicable by the CAA shall apply under the CAA, and subsection (f)(3), which states that the CAA shall not be considered to authorize enforcement of the CAA by the Executive branch.

SUBPART B—VETERANS' PREFERENCE—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec.

1.105 Responsibility for administration of veterans' preference.

1.106 Procedures for bringing claims under the VEOA.

SEC. 1.105. RESPONSIBILITY FOR ADMINISTRATION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE.

Subject to section 1.106, employing offices with covered employees or covered positions are responsible for making all veterans' preference determinations, consistent with the VEOA.

SEC. 1.106. PROCEDURES FOR BRINGING CLAIMS UNDER THE VEOA.

Applicants for appointment to a covered position and covered employees may contest adverse veterans' preference determinations, including any determination that a preference eligible applicant is not a qualified applicant, pursuant to sections 401–416 of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §§1401–1416, and provisions of law referred to therein; 206a(3) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. §§1401, 1316a(3); and the Office's Procedural Rules.

SUBPART C—VETERANS' PREFERENCE IN APPOINTMENTS

Sec.

1.107 Veterans' preference in appointments to restricted covered positions.

1.108 Veterans' preference in appointments to non-restricted covered positions.

1.109 Crediting experience in appointments to covered positions.

1.110 Waiver of physical requirements in appointments to covered positions.

SEC. 1.107. VETERANS' PREFERENCE IN APPOINTMENTS TO RESTRICTED POSITIONS.

In each appointment action for the positions of custodian, elevator operator, guard, and messenger (as defined below and collectively referred to in these regulations as restricted covered positions) employing offices shall restrict competition to preference eligible applicants as long as qualified preference eligible applicants are available. The provisions of sections 1.109 and 1.110 below shall apply to the appointment of a preference eligible applicant to a restricted covered position. The provisions of section 1.108 shall apply to the appointment of a preference eligible applicant to a restricted covered position, in the event that there is more than one preference eligible applicant for the position.

Custodian—One whose primary duty is the performance of cleaning or other ordinary routine maintenance duties in or about a government building or a building under Federal control, park, monument, or other Federal reservation.

Elevator operator—One whose primary duty is the running of freight or passenger elevators. The work includes opening and closing elevator gates and doors, working elevator controls, loading and unloading the elevator, giving information and directions to passengers such as on the location of offices, and reporting problems in running the elevator.

Guard—One whose primary duty is the assignment to a station, beat, or patrol area in a Federal building or a building under Federal control to prevent illegal entry of persons or property; or required to stand watch

at or to patrol a Federal reservation, industrial area, or other area designated by Federal authority, in order to protect life and property; make observations for detection of fire, trespass, unauthorized removal of public property or hazards to Federal personnel or property. The term guard does not include law enforcement officer positions of the Capitol Police Board.

Messenger—One whose primary duty is the supervision or performance of general messenger work (such as running errands, delivering messages, and answering call bells).

SEC. 1.108. VETERANS' PREFERENCE IN APPOINTMENTS TO NON-RESTRICTED COVERED POSITIONS.

(a) Where an employing office has duly adopted a policy requiring the numerical scoring or rating of applicants for covered positions, the employing office shall add points to the earned ratings of those preference eligible applicants who receive passing scores in an entrance examination, in a manner that is proportionately comparable to the points prescribed in 5 U.S.C. § 3309. For example, five preference points shall be granted to preference eligible applicants in a 100-point system, one point shall be granted in a 20-point system, and so on.

(b) In all other situations involving appointment to a covered position, employing offices shall consider veterans' preference eligibility as an affirmative factor in the employing office's determination of who will be appointed from among qualified applicants.

SEC. 1.109. CREDITING EXPERIENCE IN APPOINTMENTS TO COVERED POSITIONS.

When considering applicants for covered positions in which experience is an element of qualification, employing offices shall provide preference eligible applicants with credit:

(a) for time spent in the military service (1) as an extension of time spent in the position in which the applicant was employed immediately before his/her entrance into the military service, or (2) on the basis of actual duties performed in the military service, or (3) as a combination of both methods. Employing offices shall credit time spent in the military service according to the method that will be of most benefit to the preference eligible applicant.

(b) for all experience material to the position for which the applicant is being considered, including experience gained in religious, civic, welfare, service, and organizational activities, regardless of whether he/she received pay therefor.

SEC. 1.110. WAIVER OF PHYSICAL REQUIREMENTS IN APPOINTMENTS TO COVERED POSITIONS.

(a) Subject to (c) below, in determining qualifications of a preference eligible for appointment, an employing office shall waive:

(1) with respect to a preference eligible applicant, requirements as to age, height, and weight, unless the requirement is essential to the performance of the duties of the position; and

(2) with respect to a preference eligible applicant to whom it has made a conditional offer of employment, physical requirements if, in the opinion of the employing office, on the basis of evidence before it, including any recommendation of an accredited physician submitted by the preference eligible applicant, the preference eligible applicant is physically able to perform efficiently the duties of the position;

(b) Subject to (c) below, if an employing office determines, on the basis of evidence before it, including any recommendation of an accredited physician submitted by the pref-

erence eligible applicant, that an applicant to whom it has made a conditional offer of employment is preference eligible as a disabled veteran as described in 5 U.S.C. § 2108(3)(c) and who has a compensable service-connected disability of 30 percent or more is not able to fulfill the physical requirements of the covered position, the employing office shall notify the preference eligible applicant of the reasons for the determination and of the right to respond and to submit additional information to the employing office, within 15 days of the date of the notification. The director of the employing office may, by providing written notice to the preference eligible applicant, shorten the period for submitting a response with respect to an appointment to a particular covered position, if necessary because of a need to fill the covered position immediately. Should the preference eligible applicant make a timely response, the highest ranking individual or group of individuals with authority to make employment decisions on behalf of the employing office shall render a final determination of the physical ability of the preference eligible applicant to perform the duties of the position, taking into account the response and any additional information provided by the preference eligible applicant. When the employing office has completed its review of the proposed disqualification on the basis of physical disability, it shall send its findings to the preference eligible applicant.

(c) Nothing in this section shall relieve an employing office of any obligations it may have pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(3) of the Act, 2 U.S.C. § 1302(a)(3).

SUBPART D—VETERANS' PREFERENCE IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE

Sec.

1.111. Definitions applicable in reductions in force.

1.112. Application of preference in reductions in force.

1.113. Crediting experience in reductions in force.

1.114. Waiver of physical requirements in reductions in force.

1.115. Transfer of functions.

SEC. 1.111. DEFINITIONS APPLICABLE IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE.

(a) Competing covered employees are the covered employees within a particular position or job classification, at or within a particular competitive area, as those terms are defined below.

(b) Competitive area is that portion of the employing office's organizational structure, as determined by the employing office, in which covered employees compete for retention. A competitive area must be defined solely in terms of the employing office's organizational unit(s) and geographical location, and it must include all employees within the competitive area so defined. A competitive area may consist of all or part of an employing office. The minimum competitive area is a department or subdivision of the employing office within the local commuting area.

(c) Position classifications or job classifications are determined by the employing office, and shall refer to all covered positions within a competitive area that are in the same grade, occupational level or classification, and which are similar enough in duties, qualification requirements, pay schedules, tenure (type of appointment) and working conditions so that an employing office may reassign the incumbent of one position to

any of the other positions in the position classification without undue interruption.

(d) Preference Eligibles. For the purpose of applying veterans' preference in reductions in force, except with respect to the application of section 1.114 of these regulations regarding the waiver of physical requirements, the following shall apply:

(1) "active service" has the meaning given it by section 101 of title 37;

(2) "a retired member of a uniformed service" means a member or former member of a uniformed service who is entitled, under statute, to retired, retirement, or retainer pay on account of his/her service as such a member; and

(3) a preference eligible covered employee who is a retired member of a uniformed service is considered a preference eligible only if

(A) his/her retirement was based on disability—

(i) resulting from injury or disease received in line of duty as a direct result of armed conflict; or

(ii) caused by an instrumentality of war and incurred in the line of duty during a period of war as defined by sections 101 and 1101 of title 38;

(B) his/her service does not include twenty or more years of full-time active service, regardless of when performed but not including periods of active duty for training; or

(C) on November 30, 1964, he/she was employed in a position to which this subchapter applies and thereafter he/she continued to be so employed without a break in service of more than 30 days.

The definition of "preference eligible" as set forth in 5 U.S.C. § 2108 and section 1.102(o) of these regulations shall apply to waivers of physical requirements in determining an employee's qualifications for retention under section 1.114 of these regulations.

H&S Regs: (e) Reduction in force is any termination of a covered employee's employment or the reduction in pay and/or position grade of a covered employee for more than 30 days and that may be required for budgetary or workload reasons, changes resulting from reorganization, or the need to make room for an employee with reemployment or restoration rights. The term "reduction in force" does not encompass a termination or other personnel action: (1) predicated upon performance, conduct or other grounds attributable to an employee, or (2) involving an employee who is employed by the employing office on a temporary basis, or (3) attributable to a change in party leadership or majority party status within the House of Congress where the employee is employed.

C Reg: (e) Reduction in force is any termination of a covered employee's employment or the reduction in pay and/or position grade of a covered employee for more than 30 days and that may be required for budgetary or workload reasons, changes resulting from reorganization, or the need to make room for an employee with reemployment or restoration rights. The term "reduction in force" does not encompass a termination or other personnel action: (1) predicated upon performance, conduct or other grounds attributable to an employee, or (2) involving an employee who is employed by the employing office on a temporary basis.

(f) Undue interruption is a degree of interruption that would prevent the completion of required work by a covered employee 90 days after the employee has been placed in a different position under this part. The 90-day standard should be considered within the allowable limits of time and quality, taking into account the pressures of priorities,

deadlines, and other demands. However, work generally would not be considered to be unduly interrupted if a covered employee needs more than 90 days after the reduction in force to perform the optimum quality or quantity of work. The 90-day standard may be extended if placement is made under this part to a program accorded low priority by the employing office, or to a vacant position.

SEC. 1.112. APPLICATION OF PREFERENCE IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE.

Prior to carrying out a reduction in force that will affect covered employees, employing offices shall determine which, if any, covered employees within a particular group of competing covered employees are entitled to veterans' preference eligibility status in accordance with these regulations. In determining which covered employees will be retained, employing offices will treat veterans' preference as the controlling factor in retention decisions among such competing covered employees, regardless of length of service or performance, provided that the preference eligible employee's performance has not been determined to be unacceptable. Provided, a preference eligible employee who is a "disabled veteran" under section 1.102(h) above who has a compensable service-connected disability of 30 percent or more and whose performance has not been determined to be unacceptable by an employing office is entitled to be retained in preference to other preference eligible employees. Provided, this section does not relieve an employing office of any greater obligation it may be subject to pursuant to the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act (29 U.S.C. § 2101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(9) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. § 1302(a)(9).

SEC. 1.113. CREDITING EXPERIENCE IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE.

In computing length of service in connection with a reduction in force, the employing office shall provide credit to preference eligible covered employees as follows:

(a) a preference eligible covered employee who is not a retired member of a uniformed service is entitled to credit for the total length of time in active service in the armed forces;

(b) a preference eligible covered employee who is a retired member of a uniformed service is entitled to credit for:

(1) the length of time in active service in the armed forces during a war, or in a campaign or expedition for which a campaign badge has been authorized; or

(2) the total length of time in active service in the armed forces if he is included under 5 U.S.C. § 3501(a)(3)(A), (B), or (C); and

(c) a preference eligible covered employee is entitled to credit for:

(1) service rendered as an employee of a county committee established pursuant to section 8(b) of the Soil Conservation and Allotment Act or of a committee or association of producers described in section 10(b) of the Agricultural Adjustment Act; and

(2) service rendered as an employee described in 5 U.S.C. § 2105(c) if such employee moves or has moved, on or after January 1, 1966, without a break in service of more than 3 days, from a position in a nonappropriated fund instrumentality of the Department of Defense or the Coast Guard to a position in the Department of Defense or the Coast Guard, respectively, that is not described in 5 U.S.C. § 2105(c).

SEC. 1.114. WAIVER OF PHYSICAL REQUIREMENTS IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE.

(a) If an employing office determines, on the basis of evidence before it, that a covered employee is preference eligible, the employ-

ing office shall waive, in determining the covered employee's retention status in a reduction in force:

(1) requirements as to age, height, and weight, unless the requirement is essential to the performance of the duties of the position; and

(2) physical requirements if, in the opinion of the employing office, on the basis of evidence before it, including any recommendation of an accredited physician submitted by the employee, the preference eligible covered employee is physically able to perform efficiently the duties of the position.

(b) If an employing office determines that a covered employee who is a preference eligible as a disabled veteran as described in 5 U.S.C. § 2108(3)(c) and has a compensable service-connected disability of 30 percent or more is not able to fulfill the physical requirements of the covered position, the employing office shall notify the preference eligible covered employee of the reasons for the determination and of the right to respond and to submit additional information to the employing office within 15 days of the date of the notification. Should the preference eligible covered employee make a timely response, the highest ranking individual or group of individuals with authority to make employment decisions on behalf of the employing office, shall render a final determination of the physical ability of the preference eligible covered employee to perform the duties of the covered position, taking into account the evidence before it, including the response and any additional information provided by the preference eligible. When the employing office has completed its review of the proposed disqualification on the basis of physical disability, it shall send its findings to the preference eligible covered employee.

(c) Nothing in this section shall relieve an employing office of any obligation it may have pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(3) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. § 1302(a)(3).

SEC. 1.115. TRANSFER OF FUNCTIONS.

(a) When a function is transferred from one employing office to another employing office, each covered employee in the affected position classifications or job classifications in the function that is to be transferred shall be transferred to the receiving employing office for employment in a covered position for which he/she is qualified before the receiving employing office may make an appointment from another source to that position.

(b) When one employing office is replaced by another employing office, each covered employee in the affected position classifications or job classifications in the employing office to be replaced shall be transferred to the replacing employing office for employment in a covered position for which he/she is qualified before the replacing employing office may make an appointment from another source to that position.

SUBPART E—ADOPTION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE POLICIES, RECORDKEEPING & INFORMATIONAL REQUIREMENTS.

Sec.

1.116. Adoption of veterans' preference policy.

1.117. Preservation of records made or kept.

1.118. Dissemination of veterans' preference policies to applicants for covered positions.

1.119. Information regarding veterans' preference determinations in appointments.

1.120. Dissemination of veterans' preference policies to covered employees.

1.121. Written notice prior to a reduction in force.

SEC. 1.116. ADOPTION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE POLICY.

No later than 120 calendar days following Congressional approval of this regulation, each employing office that employs one or more covered employees or that seeks applicants for a covered position shall adopt its written policy specifying how it has integrated the veterans' preference requirements of the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998 and these regulations into its employment and retention processes. Upon timely request and the demonstration of good cause, the Executive Director, in his/her discretion, may grant such an employing office additional time for preparing its policy. Each such employing office will make its policies available to applicants for appointment to a covered position and to covered employees in accordance with these regulations. The act of adopting a veterans' preference policy shall not relieve any employing office of any other responsibility or requirement of the Veterans Employment Opportunity Act of 1998 or these regulations. An employing office may amend or replace its veterans' preference policies as it deems necessary or appropriate, so long as the resulting policies are consistent with the VEOA and these regulations.

SEC. 1.117. PRESERVATION OF RECORDS MADE OR KEPT.

An employing office that employs one or more covered employees or that seeks applicants for a covered position shall maintain any records relating to the application of its veterans' preference policy to applicants for covered positions and to workforce adjustment decisions affecting covered employees for a period of at least one year from the date of the making of the record or the date of the personnel action involved or, if later, one year from the date on which the applicant or covered employee is notified of the personnel action. Where a claim has been brought under section 401 of the CAA against an employing office under the VEOA, the respondent employing office shall preserve all personnel records relevant to the claim until final disposition of the claim. The term "personnel records relevant to the claim", for example, would include records relating to the veterans' preference determination regarding the person bringing the claim and records relating to any veterans' preference determinations regarding other applicants for the covered position the person sought, or records relating to the veterans' preference determinations regarding other covered employees in the person's position or job classification. The date of final disposition of the charge or the action means the latest of the date of expiration of the statutory period within which the aggrieved person may file a complaint with the Office or in a U.S. District Court or, where an action is brought against an employing office by the aggrieved person, the date on which such litigation is terminated.

SEC. 1.118. DISSEMINATION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE POLICIES TO APPLICANTS FOR COVERED POSITIONS.

(a) An employing office shall state in any announcements and advertisements it makes concerning vacancies in covered positions that the staffing action is governed by the VEOA.

(b) An employing office shall invite applicants for a covered position to identify themselves as veterans' preference eligible applicants, provided that in doing so:

(1) the employing office shall state clearly on any written application or questionnaire

used for this purpose or make clear orally, if a written application or questionnaire is not used, that the requested information is intended for use solely in connection with the employing office's obligations and efforts to provide veterans' preference to preference eligible applicants in accordance with the VEOA; and

(2) the employing office shall state clearly that disabled veteran status is requested on a voluntary basis, that it will be kept confidential in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(3) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. § 1302(a)(3), that refusal to provide it will not subject the individual to any adverse treatment except the possibility of an adverse determination regarding the individual's status as a preference eligible applicant as a disabled veteran under the VEOA, and that any information obtained in accordance with this section concerning the medical condition or history of an individual will be collected, maintained and used only in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.) as applied by section 102(a)(3) of the CAA, 2 U.S.C. § 1302(a)(3).

(3) the employing office shall state clearly that applicants may request information about the employing office's veterans' preference policies as they relate to appointments to covered positions, and shall describe the employing office's procedures for making such requests.

(c) Upon written request by an applicant for a covered position, an employing office shall provide the following information in writing:

(1) the VEOA definition of veterans' "preference eligible" as set forth in 5 U.S.C. § 2108 or any superseding legislation, providing the actual, current definition in a manner designed to be understood by applicants, along with the statutory citation;

(2) the employing office's veterans' preference policy or a summary description of the employing office's veterans' preference policy as it relates to appointments to covered positions, including any procedures the employing office shall use to identify preference eligible employees;

(3) the employing office may provide other information to applicants regarding its veterans' preference policies and practices, but is not required to do so by these regulations.

(d) Employing offices are also expected to answer questions from applicants for covered positions that are relevant and non-confidential concerning the employing office's veterans' preference policies and practices.

SEC. 1.119. INFORMATION REGARDING VETERANS' PREFERENCE DETERMINATIONS IN APPOINTMENTS.

Upon written request by an applicant for a covered position, the employing office shall promptly provide a written explanation of the manner in which veterans' preference was applied in the employing office's appointment decision regarding that applicant. Such explanation shall include at a minimum:

(a) the employing office's veterans' preference policy or a summary description of the employing office's veterans' preference policy as it relates to appointments to covered positions; and

(b) a statement as to whether the applicant is preference eligible and, if not, a brief statement of the reasons for the employing office's determination that the applicant is not preference eligible.

SEC. 1.120. DISSEMINATION OF VETERANS' PREFERENCE POLICIES TO COVERED EMPLOYEES.

(a) If an employing office that employs one or more covered employees provides any written guidance to such employees concerning employee rights generally or reductions in force more specifically, such as in a written employee policy, manual or handbook, such guidance must include information concerning veterans' preference under the VEOA, as set forth in subsection (b) of this regulation.

(b) Written guidances described in subsection (a) above shall include, at a minimum:

(1) the VEOA definition of veterans' "preference eligible" as set forth in 5 U.S.C. § 2108 or any superseding legislation, providing the actual, current definition along with the statutory citation;

(2) the employing office's veterans' preference policy or a summary description of the employing office's veterans' preference policy as it relates to reductions in force, including the procedures the employing office shall take to identify preference eligible employees.

(3) the employing office may provide other information in its guidances regarding its veterans' preference policies and practices, but is not required to do so by these regulations.

(c) Employing offices are also expected to answer questions from covered employees that are relevant and non-confidential concerning the employing office's veterans' preference policies and practices.

SEC. 1.121. WRITTEN NOTICE PRIOR TO A REDUCTION IN FORCE.

(a) Except as provided under subsection (c), a covered employee may not be released due to a reduction in force, unless the covered employee and the covered employee's exclusive representative for collective-bargaining purposes (if any) are given written notice, in conformance with the requirements of paragraph (b), at least 60 days before the covered employee is so released.

(b) Any notice under paragraph (a) shall include—

(1) the personnel action to be taken with respect to the covered employee involved;

(2) the effective date of the action;

(3) a description of the procedures applicable in identifying employees for release;

(4) the covered employee's competitive area;

(5) the covered employee's eligibility for veterans' preference in retention and how that preference eligibility was determined;

(6) the retention status and preference eligibility of the other employees in the affected position classifications or job classifications within the covered employee's competitive area, by providing:

(A) a list of all covered employee(s) in the covered employee's position classification or job classification and competitive area who will be retained by the employing office, identifying those employees by job title only and stating whether each such employee is preference eligible; and

(B) a list of all covered employee(s) in the covered employee's position classification or job classification and competitive area who will not be retained by the employing office, identifying those employees by job title only and stating whether each such employee is preference eligible.

(7) a description of any appeal or other rights which may be available.

(c) The director of the employing office may, in writing, shorten the period of advance notice required under subsection (a),

with respect to a particular reduction in force, if necessary because of circumstances not reasonably foreseeable.

(d) No notice period may be shortened to less than 30 days under this subsection.

MEASURE READ THE FIRST TIME—S. 4023

Mrs. GILLIBRAND. Mr. President, I understand that S. 4023, introduced earlier today by Senator LIEBERMAN, is at the desk and I ask for its first reading.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will read the title of the bill for the first time.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 4023) to provide for the repeal of the Department of Defense policy concerning homosexuality in the Armed Forces known as "Don't Ask, Don't Tell".

Mrs. GILLIBRAND. Mr. President, I now ask for its second reading and object to my own request.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection having been heard, the bill will receive its second reading on the next legislative day.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mrs. GILLIBRAND. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the following Senators be recognized to make their farewell remarks on the dates and times indicated:

Senator GREGG, 25 minutes at 2:15 p.m., Tuesday, December 14; Senator BAYH, 20 minutes at 10 a.m., Wednesday, December 15; Senator LINCOLN, 30 minutes at 2:30 p.m., Wednesday, December 15; further, that if rule XXII is in effect that the time be charged accordingly.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ORDERS FOR MONDAY, DECEMBER 13, 2010

Mrs. GILLIBRAND. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it adjourn until 2 p.m. on Monday, December 13; that following the prayer and pledge, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, the morning hour be deemed expired, the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day, and that following any leader remarks, the Senate resume consideration of the motion to concur with respect to H.R. 4853, the vehicle for the tax compromise, with the time until 3 p.m. equally divided and controlled between the two leaders or their designees; and that at 3 p.m. the Senate proceed to a vote, as provided for under the previous order.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PROGRAM

Mrs. GILLIBRAND. Mr. President, there will be a rollcall vote at 3 p.m. on

Monday on the motion to invoke cloture on the motion to concur with the Reid-McConnell amendment in the House amendment to the Senate amendment to H.R. 4853.

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL MONDAY,
DECEMBER 13, 2010, AT 2 P.M.

Mrs. GILLIBRAND. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that it adjourn under the previous order.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 7:12 p.m., adjourned until Monday, December 13, 2010, at 2 p.m.

CONFIRMATIONS

Executive nominations confirmed by the Senate, December 10, 2010:

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

RIPLEY RAND, OF NORTH CAROLINA, TO BE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA FOR THE TERM OF FOUR YEARS.

CHARLES M. OBERLY III, OF DELAWARE, TO BE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE FOR THE TERM OF FOUR YEARS.

WILLIAM CONNER ELDRIDGE, OF ARKANSAS, TO BE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS FOR THE TERM OF FOUR YEARS.

FRANK LEON-GUERRERO, OF GUAM, TO BE UNITED STATES MARSHAL FOR THE DISTRICT OF GUAM AND CONCURRENTLY UNITED STATES MARSHAL FOR THE DISTRICT OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS FOR THE TERM OF FOUR YEARS.

CHARLES THOMAS WEEKS II, OF OKLAHOMA, TO BE UNITED STATES MARSHAL FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA FOR THE TERM OF FOUR YEARS.

KENNETH F. BOHAC, OF ILLINOIS, TO BE UNITED STATES MARSHAL FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS FOR THE TERM OF FOUR YEARS.

IN THE AIR FORCE

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED WHILE ASSIGNED TO A POSITION OF IMPORTANCE AND RESPONSIBILITY UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 601:

To be general

GEN. CLAUDE R. KEHLER

IN THE COAST GUARD

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES COAST GUARD TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 14, U.S.C., SECTION 271:

To be rear admiral (lower half)

CAPTAIN BRUCE D. BAFFER
CAPTAIN DAVID R. CALLAHAN
CAPTAIN RICHARD T. GROMLICH
CAPTAIN FREDERICK J. KENNEY
CAPTAIN MARSHALL B. LYTLE
CAPTAIN STEPHEN P. METRUCK
CAPTAIN FRED M. MIDGETTE

COAST GUARD NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH GREGORY J. HALL AND ENDING WITH JOSEPH T. BENIN, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON SEPTEMBER 23, 2010.

COAST GUARD NOMINATION OF ANDREW C. KIRKPATRICK, TO BE LIEUTENANT.

COAST GUARD NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH JULIA A. HEIN AND ENDING WITH SUSAN L. SUBOCZ, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON SEPTEMBER 29, 2010.

COAST GUARD NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH THOMAS ALLAN AND ENDING WITH AYLWYN S. YOUNG, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON SEPTEMBER 29, 2010.

COAST GUARD NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH JOSEPH B. ABEYTA AND ENDING WITH DAVID K. YOUNG, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON NOVEMBER 18, 2010.

COAST GUARD NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH STEPHEN ADLER AND ENDING WITH SCOTT A. WOOLSEY, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON NOVEMBER 18, 2010.

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC
ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION NOMINATIONS BEGINNING WITH DENISE J. GRUCCIO AND ENDING WITH LINDSAY R. KURELJA, WHICH NOMINATIONS WERE RECEIVED BY THE SENATE AND APPEARED IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD ON NOVEMBER 17, 2010.

THE ABOVE NOMINATIONS WERE APPROVED SUBJECT TO THE NOMINEES' COMMITMENT TO RESPOND TO REQUESTS TO APPEAR AND TESTIFY BEFORE ANY DULY CONSTITUTED COMMITTEE OF THE SENATE.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES—Monday, December 13, 2010

The House met at 10 a.m. and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. BAIRD).

DESIGNATION OF THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC,
December 13, 2010.

I hereby appoint the Honorable BRIAN BAIRD to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Daniel P. Coughlin, offered the following prayer:
Lord God, You overcome the darkness with the gentle gift of light, and You quietly move us through the night to the fulfilled hope of a new day.

When fully awakened by faith and attentive to love, give the Members of Congress the wisdom to dream great dreams for this country and the practicality in work each day to accomplish great deeds that will secure and protect us so we may live in peace and give You thanks and praise today and through the ages without end.

Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day's proceedings and announces to the House his approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

The SPEAKER pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, December 10, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
The Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on December 10, 2010 at 11:23 a.m.:

That the Senate passed S. 1275.

That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 5591.

That the Senate passed S. 841.

That the Senate passed S. 2925.

Appointment:
National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics.

With best wishes, I am

Sincerely,

LORRAINE C. MILLER.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 4 of rule I, the following enrolled bills were signed by the Speaker on Thursday, December 9, 2010:

H.R. 4994, to extend certain expiring provisions of the Medicare and Medicaid programs, and for other purposes;

S. 3998, to extend the Child Safety Pilot Program.

SENATE BILLS REFERRED

Bills of the Senate of the following titles were taken from the Speaker's table and, under the rule, referred as follows:

S. 841. An act to direct the Secretary of Transportation to study and establish a motor vehicle safety standard that provides for a means of alerting blind and other pedestrians of motor vehicle operation; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce; in addition, to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

S. 2925. An act to establish a grant program to benefit victims of sex trafficking, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary; in addition, to the Committee on Ways and Means; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform; and to the Committee on the Budget for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

Lorraine C. Miller, Clerk of the House, reported and found truly en-

rolled a bill of the House of the following title, which was thereupon signed by the Speaker:

H.R. 4994. An act to extend certain expiring provisions of the Medicare and Medicaid programs, and for other purposes.

SENATE ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

The SPEAKER announced her signature to an enrolled bill of the Senate of the following title:

S. 3998. An act to extend the Child Safety Pilot Program.

BILLS PRESENTED TO THE PRESIDENT

Lorraine C. Miller, Clerk of the House, reports that on December 9, 2010 she presented to the President of the United States, for his approval, the following bills:

H.R. 2480. To improve the accuracy of fur product labeling, and for other purposes.

H.R. 6184. To amend the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 to extend and modify the program allowing the Secretary of the Army to accept and expend funds contributed by non-Federal public entities and to expedite the evaluation of permits, and for other purposes.

H.R. 3237. To enact certain laws relating to national and commercial space programs as title 51, United States Code, "National and Commercial Space Programs".

H.R. 6399. To improve certain administrative operations of the Office of the Architect of the Capitol, and for other purposes.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the House stands adjourned until 12:30 p.m. tomorrow for morning-hour debate.

There was no objection.

Accordingly (at 10 o'clock and 3 minutes a.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Tuesday, December 14, 2010, at 12:30 p.m.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

10792. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Review Group, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Emergency Forest Restoration Program and Emergency Conservation Program (RIN: 0560-AH89) received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

10793. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Review Group, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final

rule — Commodity Assessments; Loans, Purchases, and Other Operations (RIN: 0560-AI00) received December 2, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

10794. A letter from the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Farm Credit Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Standards of Conduct and Referral of Known or Suspected Criminal Violations; Disclosure to Shareholders; and Disclosure to Investors in System-wide and Consolidated Bank Debt Obligations of the Farm Credit System; Compensation Retirement Programs, and Related Benefits (RIN: 3052-AC41) received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

10795. A letter from the Chief Counsel, FEMA, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Suspension of Community Eligibility [Docket ID: FEMA-2010-0003] [Internal Agency Docket No. FEMA-8157] received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

10796. A letter from the Chief Counsel, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Federal Flood Elevation Determinations [Docket ID: FEMA-2010-0003] received December 2, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

10797. A letter from the Chief Counsel, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Suspension of Community Eligibility [Docket ID: FEMA-2010-003] [Internal Agency Docket No. FEMA-8159] received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

10798. A letter from the Chief Counsel, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Suspension of Community Eligibility [Docket ID: FEMA-2010-0003] [Internal Agency Docket No. FEMA-8153] received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

10799. A letter from the Chief Counsel, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Final Flood Elevation Determinations [Docket ID: FEMA-2010-0003] received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

10800. A letter from the Associate General Counsel for Legislation and Regulations, Department of Housing and Urban Development, transmitting the Department's final rule — HUD Programs: Violence Against Women Act Conforming Amendments [Docket No.: FR-5056-F-02] (RIN: 2577-AC65) received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

10801. A letter from the Associate General Counsel for Legislation and Regulations, Department of Housing and Urban Development, transmitting the Department's final rule — Use of Public Housing Capital Funds for Financing Activities [Docket No.: FR-4843-F-02] (RIN: 2577-AC49) received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

10802. A letter from the Deputy to the Chairman for External Affairs, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, transmitting the Corporation's final rule — Deposit Insurance Regulations; Unlimited Coverage for Noninterest-Bearing Transaction Accounts (RIN: 3064-AD65) received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

10803. A letter from the Deputy to the Chairman for External Affairs, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, transmitting the Corporation's final rule — Community Reinvestment Act Regulations (RIN: 3064-AD45) received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

10804. A letter from the Executive Secretary, Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council, transmitting the Council's final rule — Description of Office, Procedures, and Public Information received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

10805. A letter from the General Counsel, National Credit Union Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Display of Official Sign; Permanent Increase in Standard Maximum Share Insurance Amount (RIN: 3133-AD78) received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

10806. A letter from the General Counsel, National Credit Union Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Secondary Capital Accounts (RIN: 3133-AD67) received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

10807. A letter from the Acting General Counsel, Corporation for National & Community Service, transmitting the Corporation's final rule — AmeriCorps National Service Program (RIN: 3045-AA51) received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

10808. A letter from the Counsel for Regulatory and External Affairs, Federal Labor Relations Authority, transmitting the Authority's final rule — Review of Arbitration Awards; Miscellaneous and General Requirements received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

10809. A letter from the Counsel for Regulatory and External Affairs, Federal Labor Relations Authority, transmitting the Authority's final rule — Unfair Labor Practice Proceedings received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

10810. A letter from the Counsel for Regulatory and External Affairs, Federal Labor Relations Authority, transmitting the Authority's final rule — Enforcement of Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability in Programs or Activities Conducted by the Federal Labor Relations Authority; Correction received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

10811. A letter from the Counsel for Regulatory and External Affairs, Federal Labor Relations Authority, transmitting the Authority's final rule — Employee Responsibilities and Conduct; Enforcement of Nondiscrimination in Programs or Activities; Filing Procedures received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

10812. A letter from the Counsel for Regulatory and External Affairs, Federal Labor Relations Authority, transmitting the Authority's final rule — Availability of Official Information received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

10813. A letter from the Deputy Director for Operations, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, transmitting the Corporation's final rule — Debt Collection received Decem-

ber 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

10814. A letter from the Deputy Director for Operations, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, transmitting the Corporation's final rule — Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans; Interest Assumptions for Paying Benefits received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

10815. A letter from the Deputy Director, Regulations Policy and Management Staff, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's final rule — Medical Devices; Radiology Devices; Reclassification of Full-Field Digital Mammography [Docket No.: FDA-2008-N-0273] received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

10816. A letter from the Deputy Director, Regulations Policy and Management Staff, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's final rule — Medical Devices; General and Plastic Surgery Devices; Classification of Tissue Adhesive With Adjunct Wound Closure Device Intended for Topical Approximation of Skin [Docket No.: FDA-2010-N-0512] received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

10817. A letter from the Deputy Director, Regulations Policy and Management Staff, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's final rule — New Animal Drugs for Minor Use and Minor Species [Docket No.: FDA-2010-N-0534] received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

10818. A letter from the Program Manager, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's final rule — Medicaid Program; Cost Limit for Providers Operated by Units of Government and Provisions To Ensure the Integrity of Federal-State Financial Partnership [CMS-2361-F] (RIN: 0938-AQ40) received November 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

10819. A letter from the Program Manager, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's final rule — Amendment to the Interim Final Rules for Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Coverage Relating to Status as a Grandfathered Health Plan Under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act [OCHIO-9991-IFC2] (RIN: 0950-AA17) received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

10820. A letter from the Regulations Coordinator, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's "Major" final rule — Health Insurance Issuers Implementing Medical Loss Ratio (MLR) Requirements Under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act [OCHIO-9998-IFC] (RIN: 0950-AA06) received December 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

10821. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator, office of Diversion Control, Department of Justice, transmitting the Department's final rule — Additions to Listing of Exempt Chemical Mixtures [Docket No.: DEA-334F] (RIN: 1117-AB29) received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

10822. A letter from the Associate Director for PP&I, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Global Terrorism Sanctions Regulations;

Terrorism Sanctions Regulations; Foreign Terrorist Organizations Sanctions Regulations received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

10823. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — List of Fisheries for 2011 [Docket No.: 100216088-0454-02] (RIN: 0648-AY69) received December 2, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10824. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Modified Nonpelagic Trawl Gear and Habitat Conservation in the Bearing Sea Subarea [Docket No.: 0911031392-0457-02] (RIN: 0648-AY34) received December 2, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10825. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Skate Management in the Groundfish Fisheries of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands; Groundfish Annual Catch Limits for the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area and the Gulf of Alaska [Docket No.: 0912231441-0465-03] (RIN: 0648-AY48) received December 2, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10826. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; Fishing Capacity Reduction Framework [Docket No.: 100330171-0388-02] (RIN: 0648-AY79) received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10827. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; Fisheries Off West Coast States; Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery; Inseason Adjustments to Fishery Management Measures [Docket No.: 090428799-9802-01] (RIN: 0648-BA28) received December 2, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10828. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Ocean Perch by Vessels in the Amendment 80 Limited Access Fishery in the Western Aleutian District of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area [Docket No.: 0910131363-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XA033) received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10829. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Ocean Perch in the Central Aleutian District of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area [Docket No.: 0910131363-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-

XA035) received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10830. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Ocean Perch in the Eastern Aleutian District of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area [Docket No.: 0910131363-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XA034) received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10831. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Ocean Perch in the Western Aleutian District of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area [Docket No.: 0910131363-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XA036) received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10832. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Western and Central Pacific Fisheries for Highly Migratory Species; 2010 Bigeye Tuna Longline Fishery Closure [Docket No.: 090130102-91386-02] (RIN: 0648-XZ39) received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10833. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Ocean Perch in the Bering Sea Subarea of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area [Docket No.: 0910131363-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XA021) received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10834. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Atlantic Surfclam and Ocean Quahog Fisheries; Suspension of Minimum Atlantic Surfclam Size Limit for Fishing Year 2011 [Docket No.: 900124-0127] (RIN: 0648-XZ16) received December 2, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10835. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Coastal Migratory Pelagic Resources of the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic; Trip Limit Reduction [Docket No.: 001005281-0369-02] (RIN: 0648-XZ99) received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10836. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Skate Management in the Groundfish Fisheries of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands; Groundfish Annual Catch Limits for the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area and Gulf of Alaska

[Docket No.: 0912231441-0456-03] (RIN: 0648-AY48) received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10837. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Regulatory Amendment to the Fishery Management Plan for the Reef Fish Fishery of Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands [Docket No.: 0907201152-0420-02] (RIN: 0648-AY05) received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10838. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pollock in Statistical Area 630 of the Gulf of Alaska [Docket No.: 0910131362-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XZ84) received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10839. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Cod by Vessels Catching Pacific Cod for Processing by the Offshore Component in the Central Regulatory Area of the Gulf of Alaska [Docket No.: 0910131362-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XZ79) received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10840. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pollock in Statistical Area 610 of the Gulf of Alaska [Docket No.: 0910131362-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XZ81) received December 2, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10841. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Operations, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Atlantic Sea Scallop Fishery; Framework Adjustment 21; Correction [Docket No.: 100803321-0477-01] (RIN: 0648-BA08) received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10842. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pollock in Statistical Area 620 in the Gulf of Alaska [Docket No.: 0910131362-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XZ54) received December 2, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10843. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pollock in Statistical Area 610 in the Gulf of Alaska [Docket No.: 0910131362-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XZ61) received December 2, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10844. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Atlantic Highly Migratory Species; Inseason Action To Close the Commercial Non-sandbar Large Coastal Shark Research Fishery (RIN: 0648-XZ43) received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10845. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries in the Western Pacific; Hawaii Bottomfish and Seamount Groundfish; Measures To Rebuild Overfished Armorhead at Handcock Seamounts [Docket No.: 100618274-0543-03] (RIN: 0648-AY92) received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10846. A letter from the Deputy General Counsel, Small Business Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Surety Bond Guarantee Program; Size Standards (RIN: 3245-AG10) received December 2, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Small Business.

10847. A letter from the Deputy General Counsel, Small Business Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Rules of Procedure Governing Cases Before the Office of Hearings and Appeals (RIN: 3245-AG09) received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Small Business.

10848. A letter from the Chief Counsel, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Regulations Governing Securities Held in Treasury Direct received December 3, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10849. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Applicable Federal Rate — December 2010 (Rev. Rul. 2010-29) received December 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10850. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Branded Prescription Drug Sales [Notice 2010-71] received December 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10851. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Salvage Discount Factors for 2010 (Rev. Proc. 2010-50) received December 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10852. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule

— Unpaid Loss Discount Factors for 2010 (Rev. Proc. 2010-49) received December 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10853. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Guidance on In-Plan Roth Rollovers [Notice 2010-84] received December 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10854. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Funding Relief For Multiemployer Defined Benefit Plans Under PRA 2010 [Notice 2010-83] received December 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10855. A letter from the Program Manager, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's final rule — Medicare and Medicaid Programs: Changes to the Hospital and Critical Access Hospital Conditions of Participation to Ensure Visitation Rights for All Patients [CMS-3228-F] (RIN: 0938-AQ06) received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); jointly to the Committees on Ways and Means and Energy and Commerce.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON
PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. CONYERS: Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 1425. A bill to establish commissions to review the facts and circumstances surrounding injustices suffered by European Americans, European Latin Americans, and Jewish refugees during World War II; with an amendment (Rept. 111-679, Pt. 1). Ordered to be printed.

TIME LIMITATION OF REFERRED
BILL

Pursuant to clause 2 of rule XII the following actions were taken by the Speaker:

[The following action occurred on December 10, 2010]

H.R. 5105. Referral to the Committee on Agriculture extended for a period ending not later than December 17, 2010.

[Submitted December 13, 2010]

H.R. 1425. Referral to the Committee on Appropriations extended for a period ending not later than December 17, 2010.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Mr. OBERSTAR:

H.R. 6516. A bill to make technical corrections to provisions of law enacted by the Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2010; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Mr. LEVIN:

H.R. 6517. A bill to extend trade adjustment assistance and certain trade preference programs, to amend the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States to modify temporarily certain rates of duty, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committees on Education and Labor, and Energy and Commerce, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. RUSH:

H.R. 6518. A bill to direct the Secretary of Transportation to study the feasibility of more widespread use of in-vehicle technology to prevent alcohol-impaired driving, to require a Federal motor vehicle safety standard related to rollover prevention and crash mitigation, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions as follows:

H.R. 3652: Ms. NORTON and Mrs. BIGGERT.

H.R. 5510: Mr. WU.

H.R. 6496: Mr. PAYNE, Ms. MCCOLLUM, and Mr. SCOTT of Virginia.

H. Con. Res. 291: Mr. SPRATT, Mr. FORTENBERRY, and Mrs. MYRICK.

H. Res. 1632: Mr. GARRETT of New Jersey.

CONGRESSIONAL EARMARKS, LIMITED TAX BENEFITS, OR LIMITED TARIFF BENEFITS

Under clause 9 of rule XXI, lists or statements on congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits were submitted as follows:

OFFERED BY MR. LEVIN

H.R. 6517, the Omnibus Trade Act of 2010, contains limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI, as set forth below. This bill does not contain any limited tax benefits or earmarks, as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI.

LIST OF LIMITED TARIFF BENEFITS AS DEFINED IN CLAUSE 9, RULE XXI

Section in H.R. _____	Description of LTB	Name of Member requesting
1 1101	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain plasma flat panel displays	Marion Berry (D-AR)
2 1102	To reduce the duty on certain golf club components	Brian Bilbray (R-CA)
3 1103	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain electronic dimming ballasts with a three wire control scheme	Charles Dent (R-PA)
4 1104	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Nickel Carbonate (NiCO3)	Bill Cassidy (R-LA)
5 1105	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Cobalt Carbonate (CoCO3)	Bill Cassidy (R-LA)
6 1106	To suspend temporarily the duty on Tebutiuron	Dan Burton (R-IN)
7 1107	To suspend temporarily the duty on 2,4-Diamino-3-Y4-(2-sulfoxyethylsulfonyl)-phenylazo-7-5-Y4-(2 sulfoxyethyl sulfonyl)-2-sulfoxyphenylazo-7-benzenesulfonic acid potassium sodium salt.	Howard Coble (R-NC)
8 1108	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain synthetic staple fibers that are not carded, combed, or otherwise processed for spinning	Howard Coble (R-NC)
9 1109	To suspend temporarily the duty on capacitor grade homopolymer polypropylene resin in primary form	John Oliver (D-MA)
10 1110	To suspend temporarily the duty on Compound T3028	Rush D. Holt (D-NJ)
11 1111	To suspend temporarily the duty on 4-Vinylbenzenesulfonic acid, sodium salt hydrate	Mary Jo Kilroy (D-OH)
12 1112	To suspend temporarily the duty on 4-Vinylbenzenesulfonic acid, lithium salt	Mary Jo Kilroy (D-OH)
13 1113	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain cathode ray tubes	Brian Bilbray (R-CA)
14 1114	To suspend temporarily the duty on 5-bromo-3-sec-butyl-6-methyluracil.	Jo Bonner (R-AL)

LIST OF LIMITED TARIFF BENEFITS AS DEFINED IN CLAUSE 9, RULE XXI—Continued

Section in H.R. _____	Description of LTB	Name of Member requesting
15 1115	To suspend temporarily the duty on dimethyl 2,3,4,6-tetrachloro-1, 4-Benzenedicarboxylate	Jo Bonner (R-AL)
16 1116	To suspend temporarily the duty on Ethene, 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoro-, oxidized, polymd., reduced	Robert Andrews (D-NJ)
17 1117	To suspend temporarily the duty on Diphosphoric acid, polymers with ethoxylated reduced methyl esters of reduced polymerized oxidized tetrafluoro-ethylene	Robert Andrews (D-NJ)
18 1118	To suspend temporarily the duty on 1,2-Propanediol, 3-(diethylamino)-, polymers with 5-isocyanato-1-(isocyanatomethyl)-1,3,3-trimethylcyclohexan, propylene glycol and reduced Me esters of reduced polymd. oxidized tetrafluoroethylene, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol-blocked, acetates (salts)	Robert Andrews (D-NJ)
19 1119	To suspend temporarily the duty on Spirotetramat	Emanuel Cleaver (D-MO)
20 1120	To suspend temporarily the duty on Flubendiamide	Mike Ross (D-AR)
21 1121	To suspend temporarily the duty on 1,3-Cyclohexanedione	Emanuel Cleaver (D-MO)
22 1122	To suspend temporarily the duty on Thiencarbazone-methyl	Emanuel Cleaver (D-MO)
23 1123	To suspend temporarily the duty on Tembotrione	Emanuel Cleaver (D-MO)
24 1124	To suspend temporarily the duty on 2-(Methylthio)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzoic acid	Sam Graves (R-MO)
25 1125	To suspend temporarily the duty on products containing 3-Mesityl-2-oxo-1-oxaspiro[4.4]non-3-en-4-yl 3,3-dimethylbutyrate	Sam Graves (R-MO)
26 1126	To suspend temporarily the duty on mixtures containing Pyrasulfotole: 5-Hydroxy-1,3-dimethylpyrazol-4-yl 2-mesyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl ketone; and Bromoxynil Octanoate; 2,4-Dibromo-6-cyanophenyl octanoate; and Bromoxynil Heptanoate; 2,4-Dibromo-6-cyanophenyl heptanoate	Sam Graves (R-MO)
27 1127	To suspend temporarily the duty on Cyprosulfamide: N-(4-YCyclopropylamino) carbonyl?phenylsulfonyl-2-methoxybenzamide (CAS No. 221667-31-8).	Sam Graves (R-MO)
28 1128	To suspend temporarily the duty on Mixtures of N-Y2-(2-oximidazolidine-1-yl)ethyl?2-methylacrylamide, methacrylic acid, aminoethyl ethylene urea and hydroquinone	Rush D. Holt (D-NJ)
29 1129	To suspend temporarily the duty on Quinaldine	John Spratt (D-SC)
30 1130	To suspend temporarily the duty on 4,4'-butylidenebisY2-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-5-methylphenol?	Mike Ross (D-AR)
31 1131	To suspend temporarily the duty on 2,2'-methylenebisY6-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-4-phenol	Mike Ross (D-AR)
32 1132	To suspend temporarily the duty on Basic Red 51	Wm. Lacy Clay (D-MO)
33 1133	To suspend temporarily the duty on 2-Aminotoluene-5-Sulfonic Acid	Wm. Lacy Clay (D-MO)
34 1134	To suspend temporarily the duty on Solvent Violet 13	Wm. Lacy Clay (D-MO)
35 1135	To suspend temporarily the duty on Solvent Violet 11	Wm. Lacy Clay (D-MO)
36 1136	To suspend temporarily the duty on Disperse Blue 359	Wm. Lacy Clay (D-MO)
37 1137	To suspend temporarily the duty on Disperse Yellow 241	Wm. Lacy Clay (D-MO)
38 1138	To suspend temporarily the duty on Dimyristyl Peroxydicarbonate	Pete Olsen (D-TX)
39 1139	To suspend temporarily the duty on Dicytyl Peroxydicarbonate	Pete Olsen (D-TX)
40 1140	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on variable speed hubs (except 2- and 3-speed)	Earl Blumenauer (D-OR)
41 1141	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on 1, 4-Benzenedisulfonic acid,2,2',-(1-methyl-1,2-ethanediy) bis[imino(6-fluoro-1,3,5-triazine-4,2-diyl)imino(1-hydroxy-3 sulfo-6,2-naphthalenediyl)azo]bis[5-methoxy-sodium salt.	Sue Myrick (R-NC)
42 1142	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on 2,7-Naphthalenedisulfonic acid, 5-[[[4-chloro-6-[[[2-[4-(ethenylsulfonyl)phe yl]azo]-8-hydroxy-3,6-disulfo-1-naphthalenyl]amino]-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl]amino]ethyl][2-hydroxyethyl]amino]-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl]amino]-3-[[4-(ethenylsulfonyl)phenyl]azo]-4-hydroxy-sodium salt.	Sue Myrick (R-NC)
43 1143	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on s-Methoprene	Jerry McNerney (D-CA)
44 1144	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on S-Absciscic Acid	Jerry McNerney (D-CA)
45 1145	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on 1,2,4 Triazole	Jim Costa (D-CA)
46 1146	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Fluopicolide	Jim Costa (D-CA)
47 1147	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Fenhexamide	Jim Costa (D-CA)
48 1148	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Belt & Synapse	Jim Costa (D-CA)
49 1149	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Acetoacetamide	Scott Garrett (R-NJ)
50 1150	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on squaric acid	Scott Garrett (R-NJ)
51 1151	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Chlorodimethylacetacetamide	Scott Garrett (R-NJ)
52 1152	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on certain mixtures of N,N-Dimethylacetacetamide	Scott Garrett (R-NJ)
53 1153	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on lambda-cyhalothrin	Joe Sestak (D-PA)
54 1154	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Mondur M Flaked	Tim Murphy (R-Pa)
55 1155	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on certain acrylic fiber tow	Jeff Miller (R-FL)
56 1156	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on single light optical sensor, stainless steel casing, 0.5 meter-long, 2.2 millimeter diameter cable	Jerry McNerney (D-CA)
57 1157	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on A5546 sulfonamide	Shelley Moore-Capito (R-WV)
58 1158	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Hexanedioic acid, polymer with 1,2-ethanediol, 2-ethyl-2- (hydroxymethyl)-1,3-propanediol and 1,3-isobenzofurandione, 2-propenoate.	Leonard Lance (R-NJ)
59 1159	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on certain hot feed extruding equipment used in the manufacture of extra-wide pneumatic truck and automobile tires, and parts and accessories thereof.	Bob Inglis (R-SC)
60 1160	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on 7-Hydroxy	Aaron Schock (R-IL)
61 1161	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on 4-[3-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-1-oxo-2-propen yl]morpholine;	Sanford Bishop (D-GA)
62 1162	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain engines for snowmobiles	Collin Peterson (D-MN)
63 1163	To suspend temporarily the duty on mixtures of polyvinyl alcohol and polyvinyl pyrrolidone	Rush D. Holt (D-NJ)
64 1165	To suspend temporarily the duty on VAT Orange 7	James R. Langevin (D-RI)
65 1166	To suspend temporarily the duty on 1-Nitroanthraquinone	John Spratt (D-SC)
66 1167	To suspend temporarily the duty on Leucoquinizarin	John Spratt (D-SC)
67 1168	To suspend temporarily the duty on 2,2'-(2-Methylpropylidene) bis(4,6-dimethylphenol)	Mike Ross (D-AR)
68 1169	To suspend temporarily the duty on 2,5-Bis(1,1-dimethylpropyl)-1,4-benzenediol	Mike Ross (D-AR)
69 1170	To suspend temporarily the duty on 4,4'-ThiobisY2-(1,1-di-methylethyl)-5-methyl-phenol?	Mike Ross (D-AR)
70 1171	To suspend temporarily the duty on Benzenecetic acid, -amino-4-chloro	Blaine Luetkemeyer (R-MO)
71 1172	To suspend temporarily the duty on 1-Amino-2,6-dimethylbenzene	Wm. Lacy Clay (D-MO)
72 1173	To suspend temporarily the duty on p-Amino Benzoic Acid	Wm. Lacy Clay (D-MO)
73 1174	To suspend temporarily the duty on 2-Amino-3-Cyano Thiophene.	Wm. Lacy Clay (D-MO)
74 1175	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on nesoi hubs	Earl Blumenauer (D-OR)
75 1176	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on polyethylene glycol branched-nonylphenyl ether phosphate	David P. Roe (R-TN)
76 1177	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Bismuth Subsalcylate	Scott Garrett (R-NJ)
77 1178	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on 5-Ethyl-2-methylpyridine	Scott Garrett (R-NJ)
78 1179	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Polyphenolcyanate	Scott Garrett (R-NJ)
79 1180	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on a certain chemical that is used for dyeing apparel home textiles	Kevin Brady (R-TX)
80 1181	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Hexane, 1,6-dichloro-;	Jo Bonner (R-AL)
81 1182	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Propanedioic acid, diethyl ester	Jo Bonner (R-AL)
82 1183	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Butane, 1-chloro	Jo Bonner (R-AL)
83 1184	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on product mixtures containing Methyl 2-[[[[(4,6-dimethoxy-2-pyrimidinyl)amino] D. carbonylamino)sulfonyl]-4-[(mesylamino)methyl]benzoate Methyl 4-iodo-2-[3-(4-methoxy-6-methyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)ureidosul fonyl]benzoate, sodium salt.	David Price (D-NC)
84 1185	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on certain mixtures containing [3-[[6-chloro-3-pyridinyl)methyl]-2-thiazolidinylidene]cyanide	Blaine Luetkemeyer (R-MO)
85 1186	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on product mixtures containing Imidacloprid: 1-(6-Chloro-3-pyridinyl)methyl-N-nitroimidazolidin-2-ylidene amine Z9: (9Z)-9-Tricosene.	Bob Etheridge (D-NC)
86 1187	To suspend temporarily the duty on Product mixtures containing Thiencarbazone-methyl (Methyl 4-((Y(3-methoxy-4-methyl-5-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1H-1,2,4-tria zol-1-yl)carbonyl?aminosulfonyl)-5-methylthiophene-3-carboxylate) & Isoxadifen-ethyl (ethyl 4,5-dihydro-5,5-diphenyl-1,2-oxazole-3-carboxylate) & Isoxalutole(5-Cyclopropyl-4-(2-Methylsulfonyl-4-Trifluoromethylbenzoyl)isoxazole).	Sam Graves (R-MO)
87 1188	To suspend temporarily the duty on 2-amino-5-chloro-N,3-dimethylbenzamide	Jo Bonner (R-AL)
88 1189	To suspend temporarily the duty on Y3-4,5-dihydro-isoxazol-3-yl)-4-methylsulfonyl-2-methylphenyl (5-hydroxy-1-methyl-1H-pyrazole-4-yl) methanone	Jo Bonner (R-AL)
89 1190	To suspend temporarily the duty on products containing (E)-N-Y(2-Chloro-1,3-thiazol-5-yl) methyl?-N-methylYoxido(oxo)hydrazono? methanediamine or N-(Y(2-Chloro-1,3-thiazol-5-yl)methyl?-N-[(E)-(methylamino) Yoxido(oxo)hydrazono? methyl?-amine.	Sam Graves (R-MO)
90 1191	To suspend temporarily the duty on Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl) phosphonium sulfate (THPS).	Rush D. Holt (D-NJ)
91 1192	To suspend temporarily the duty on Bis(2,3-dibromopropyl ether) of Tetrabromobisphenol A	Mike Ross (D-AR)
92 1193	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on bells designed for use on bicycles	Earl Blumenauer (D-OR)
93 1194	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Cobaltate(2-), [6-amino-kappa.N)-5-[[[2-hydroxy-kappa.O)-4-nitrophenyl]azo-kappa.N1]-N-methyl-2-naphthalenesulfonamidate(2-)]-[6-amino-kappa.N)-5-[[[2-hydroxy-kappa.O)-4-nitrophenyl]azo-kappa.N]-2-naphthalenesulfonate(3-)]-,disodium.	Bob Etheridge (D-NC)
94 1195	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Arcol Catalyst 3	Tim Murphy (R-Pa)
95 1196	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on 1,3,5-Triazine, 2,4,6-tris(2-propenylloxy)-;	Jo Bonner (R-AL)
96 1197	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain Christmas tree lamps	Wally Herger (D-CA)
97 1198	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain Christmas tree lamps	Wally Herger (D-CA)
98 1199	To suspend temporarily the duty on Mixtures containing 4-(2-Methylsulfonyl-4-trifluoromethyl-benzoyl)-5-cyclopropyl soxazole	Emanuel Cleaver (D-MO)
99 1200	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on N,N-Dimethylacetacetamide	Scott Garrett (R-NJ)
100 1201	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on certain mixtures of N,N-Dimethylacetacetamide	Scott Garrett (R-NJ)
101 1202	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on a certain chemical used in the production of textiles	Kevin Brady (R-TX)
102 1203	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on a certain chemical that is used for dyeing apparel home textiles	Kevin Brady (R-TX)
103 1204	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Naphthalenedisulfonic acid	Kevin Brady (R-TX)
104 1205	To suspend temporarily the duty on Paragut Technical + Emetic	Gene Green (D-TX)

LIST OF LIMITED TARIFF BENEFITS AS DEFINED IN CLAUSE 9, RULE XXI—Continued

Section in H.R. _____	Description of LTB	Name of Member requesting
105 1206	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Tembotrione	Emanuel Cleaver (D-MO)
106 1207	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on certain products	J. Gresham Barrett (R-SC)
107 1208	To suspend temporarily the duty on standard grade ferriobium	Tim Murphy (R-Pa)
108 1301	To suspend temporarily the duty on NORBLOC 7966	Rush D. Holt (D-NJ)
109 2101	To suspend temporarily the duty on fenarimol technical	Senate Proposal
110 2102	To suspend temporarily the duty on Phosmet Technical	Senate Proposal
111 2103	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain chime rod assemblies	Senate Proposal
112 2104	To suspend temporarily the duty on urea, polymer with formaldehyde and 2-methylpropanal	Senate Proposal
113 2105	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain clock movements	Senate Proposal
114 2106	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain glass snow globes	Senate Proposal
115 2107	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain acrylic snow globes	Senate Proposal
116 2108	To suspend temporarily the duty on Terbacil	Senate Proposal
117 2109	To suspend temporarily the duty on ski poles	Senate Proposal
118 2110	To suspend temporarily the duty on prosulfuron technical	Senate Proposal
119 2111	To suspend temporarily the duty on manganese flake containing at least 99.5 percent by weight of manganese	Senate Proposal
120 2112	To suspend temporarily the duty on N-Benzyl-N-ethylaniline	Senate Proposal
121 2113	To suspend temporarily the duty on p-Dodecyl aniline	Senate Proposal
122 2114	To suspend temporarily the duty on mixtures of Chlorsulfuron (2-Chloro-N-[(4-methoxy-6-methyl-1, 3, 5-triazin-2-yl)aminocarbonyl]benzenesulfonamide) and metsulfuron methyl (Methyl 2[[[4-methoxy-6-methyl-1, 3, 5-triazin-2-yl]amino]carbonyl]amino)sulfonate) and inert ingredients.	Senate Proposal
123 2115	To suspend temporarily the duty on Firestorm	Senate Proposal
124 2116	To suspend temporarily the duty on p-toluidine	Senate Proposal
125 2117	To suspend temporarily the duty on p-nitrotoluene	Senate Proposal
126 2118	To suspend temporarily the duty on acrylic resin solution	Senate Proposal
127 2119	To suspend temporarily the duty on Benzenamine, 4 Dodecyl	Senate Proposal
128 2120	To suspend temporarily the duty on propylene glycol alginates	Senate Proposal
129 2121	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain alginates	Senate Proposal
130 2122	To reduce temporarily the duty on sodium alginate	Senate Proposal
131 2123	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain fiberglass sheets used to make ceiling tiles	Senate Proposal
132 2124	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain fiberglass sheets used to make flooring substrate	Senate Proposal
133 2125	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain bamboo vases	Senate Proposal
134 2126	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain plastic children's wallets	Senate Proposal
135 2127	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain coupon holders	Senate Proposal
136 2128	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain swimming pools	Senate Proposal
137 2129	To suspend temporarily the duty on 3-Bromo-N-[4-chloro-2-methyl-6-[(methylanino)carbonyl]phenyl]-1 H-pyrazole-5-carboxamide (Chlorantraniliprole)	Senate Proposal
138 2130	To suspend temporarily the duty on 2-butyne-1,4-diol, polymer with (chloromethyl)oxirane, brominated, dehydrochlorinated, methoxylated and triethyl phosphate.	Senate Proposal
139 2131	To suspend temporarily the duty on Daminozide	Senate Proposal
140 2132	To suspend temporarily the duty on Albrite DMHP	Senate Proposal
141 2133	A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on Bicorr 288	Senate Proposal
142 2134	To suspend temporarily the duty on Coflake	Senate Proposal
143 2135	To suspend temporarily the duty on 3-amino-1,2-propanediol	Senate Proposal
144 2136	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain lamps used in liquid chromatography or spectrophotometry	Senate Proposal
145 2137	To suspend temporarily the duty on pyraflufen ethyl	Senate Proposal
146 2138	To suspend temporarily the duty on mixtures of 2-[4-[(2-hydroxy-3-dodecyloxypropyl)oxy]-2-hydroxyphenyl]-4,6-bis(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-1,3,5-triazine and 2-[4-[(2-hydroxy-3-tridecyloxypropyl)oxy]-2-hydroxyphenyl]-4,6-bis(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-1,3,5-triazine in propylene glycol monomethyl ether.	Senate Proposal
147 2139	To suspend temporarily the duty on bupropfen	Senate Proposal
148 2140	To suspend temporarily the duty on fenpyroximate	Senate Proposal
149 2141	To suspend temporarily the duty on mixtures of 3-bromo-4'-chloro-1-(3-chloro-2-pyridyl)-2'-methyl-6'-(methoxycarbonyl)pyrazole-5-carboxanilide	Senate Proposal
150 2142	To reduce temporarily the duty on acai, uncooked or cooked by steaming or boiling in water, frozen, whether or not containing added sugar or other sweetening matter.	Senate Proposal
151 2143	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain mini component stereo systems	Senate Proposal
152 2144	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain power panels specifically designed for wind turbine generators to transfer electric power to and from a utility power grid at 2100 kW at 600 volts with a nominal full load of 2190 amps.	Senate Proposal
153 2145	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain capacitor panels specifically designed for wind turbines	Senate Proposal
154 2146	To reduce temporarily the duty on certain isotopic separation machinery and apparatus	Senate Proposal
155 2147	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain sensors	Senate Proposal
156 2148	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain drive motor battery transducers	Senate Proposal
157 2149	To reduce temporarily the duty on certain electric motor controllers	Senate Proposal
158 2150	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain chargers	Senate Proposal
159 2151	To reduce temporarily the duty on certain lithium-ion battery cells	Senate Proposal
160 2152	To reduce temporarily the duty on mixtures of imidacloprid ((1-[(6-Chloro-3-pyridinyl)methyl]-N-nitro-2-imidazolidinimine) with cyfluthrin ((R)-cyano-(4-fluoro-3-phenoxy)phenyl)methyl (1R,3R)-3-(2,2-dichloroethenyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropane-1-carboxylate) or its beta-cyfluthrin isomer.	Senate Proposal
161 2153	To reduce temporarily the duty on Fluopyram	Senate Proposal
162 2154	To suspend temporarily the duty on Indaziflam	Senate Proposal
163 2155	To suspend temporarily the duty on nitroguanidine	Senate Proposal
164 2156	To suspend temporarily the duty on guanidine nitrate	Senate Proposal
165 2157	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain hydrogenated polymers of norbornene derivatives	Senate Proposal
166 2158	To suspend temporarily the duty on double-fan assisted, plug-in, scented oil dispensing, electrothermic appliances	Senate Proposal
167 2159	To suspend temporarily the duty on continuous action, self-contained, fan-motor driven, battery-operated, portable personal device for mosquito repellent.	Senate Proposal
168 2160	To suspend temporarily the duty on 4-Chloro-1,8-naphthalic anhydride	Senate Proposal
169 2161	To suspend temporarily the duty on HPHF	Senate Proposal
170 2162	To suspend temporarily the duty on o-Toluidine	Senate Proposal
171 2163	To suspend temporarily the duty on blocked polyisocyanate hardner; 2-Butanone, oxime, polymer with 1,6-diisocyanatohexane and 2-ethyl-2-(hydroxymethyl)-1,3-propanediol.	Senate Proposal
172 2164	To suspend temporarily the duty on barium sulfate	Senate Proposal
173 2165	To suspend temporarily the duty on alkylated melamine formaldehyde resin; melamine, formaldehyde polymer, methylated, butylated	Senate Proposal
174 2166	To suspend temporarily the duty on alkylated amino resin solution, formaldehyde	Senate Proposal
175 2167	To suspend temporarily the duty on ion exchange resin, tertiary amine crosslinked polystyrene	Senate Proposal
176 2168	To suspend temporarily the duty on ion exchange resin, polystyrene crosslinked with divinylbenzene, quaternary ammonium chloride	Senate Proposal
177 2169	To suspend temporarily the duty on ion exchange resin, polystyrene crosslinked with divinylbenzene, chloromethylated, trimethylammonium salt	Senate Proposal
178 2170	To suspend temporarily the duty on poly(styrene) sulfonic acid	Senate Proposal
179 2171	To suspend temporarily the duty on Triethylenediamine	Senate Proposal
180 2172	To suspend temporarily the duty on polyoxethylene-/alkyletherphosphate	Senate Proposal
181 2173	To suspend temporarily the duty on macroporus adsorpt polymer composed of crosslinked phenol-formaldehyde polycondesate resin in granular form having a mean particle size of 0.56 to 0.76 mm.	Senate Proposal
182 2174	To suspend temporarily the duty on poly(4-(1-isobutoxy ethoxy)styrene-co-4-hydroxystyrene) dissolved in propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate	Senate Proposal
183 2175	To suspend temporarily the duty on 4-(1-Ethoxyethoxy) styrene-4- (t-butylcarbonyloxy) styrene-4-hydroxystyrene copolymer	Senate Proposal
184 2176	To suspend temporarily the duty on 6-Diazo-5,6-dihydro-5-oxo-naphthalene-1-sulfonic acid ester with 2-[Bis(4-hydroxy-2,3,5-trimethylphenyl)methyl]phenol.	Senate Proposal
185 2177	To suspend temporarily the duty on benzoyl chloride.	Senate Proposal
186 2178	To suspend temporarily the duty on chlorobenzene	Senate Proposal
187 2179	To suspend temporarily the duty on p-Dichlorobenzene	Senate Proposal
188 2180	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain steam hair straighteners	Senate Proposal
189 2181	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain ice cream makers	Senate Proposal
190 2182	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain food choppers	Senate Proposal
191 2183	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain programmable dual function coffee makers	Senate Proposal
192 2184	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain electric coffee makers with built in bean storage hoppers	Senate Proposal
193 2185	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain sardines in oil, in airtight containers, neither skinned nor boned	Senate Proposal
194 2186	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain infant products	Senate Proposal
195 2187	To suspend temporarily the duty on Capa 2505	Senate Proposal
196 2188	To suspend temporarily the duty on Caprolactone-Hexanediol Copolymers	Senate Proposal
197 2189	To suspend temporarily the duty on Caprolactone-Polybutylene Glycol Copolymers	Senate Proposal
198 2190	To suspend temporarily the duty on Caprolactone-Diethylene Glycol Copolymers	Senate Proposal
199 2191	To suspend temporarily the duty on Capa Homopolymers	Senate Proposal

LIST OF LIMITED TARIFF BENEFITS AS DEFINED IN CLAUSE 9, RULE XXI—Continued

Section in H.R. _____	Description of LTB	Name of Member requesting
200 2192	To suspend temporarily the duty on GPA-30, 2,4,6 Trisaminophenol	Senate Proposal
201 2193	To suspend temporarily the duty on Boltorn U3000	Senate Proposal
202 2194	To suspend temporarily the duty on Capa 4000-series	Senate Proposal
203 2195	To suspend temporarily the duty on Caprolactone-Butanediol Copolymers	Senate Proposal
204 2196	To suspend temporarily the duty on 2,5-Dichloro-e,6-Bis(9-Ethyl-3-Carbazolylamino)-1,4-Benzoquinone(Dianil)	Senate Proposal
205 2197	To suspend temporarily the duty on s-Metolachlor	Senate Proposal
206 2198	To reduce temporarily the duty no frames and mountings for spectacles, goggles, or the like, the foregoing of plastics	Senate Proposal
207 2199	To suspend temporarily the duty on 1,3-Propanediaminium, N-[3-[[[dimethyl(3-[(2-methyl-1-oxo-2-propenyl)amino]propyl)ammonio]acetyl]amino]propyl]-2-hydroxy-N,N,N',N',N'-pentamethyl-, trichloride, polymer with 2-propenamide	Senate Proposal
208 2200	To suspend temporarily the duty on 2-cyclo-hexyldene-2-phenyl acetonitrile	Senate Proposal
209 2201	To reduce temporarily the duty on Butylated reaction product of p-cresol and DCPD (dicyclopentadiene)	Senate Proposal
210 2202	To suspend temporarily the duty on Polymer Acid Salt/Polymer Amide	Senate Proposal
211 2203	To suspend temporarily the duty on 50 Percent Amide Neutralized Phosphated Polyester Polymer, 50 Percent Solvesso 100	Senate Proposal
212 2204	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain plastic laminate sheets	Senate Proposal
213 2205	To suspend temporarily the duty on parts of frames and mountings for spectacles, goggles, or the like	Senate Proposal
214 2206	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain window shade material in rolls measuring between 300 and 500 square feet	Senate Proposal
215 2207	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain window shade material	Senate Proposal
216 2208	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain windsock type decoys	Senate Proposal
217 2209	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain yard ornaments depicting school mascots	Senate Proposal
218 2210	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain implements for cleaning hunted fowl	Senate Proposal
219 2211	To suspend temporarily the duty on Normal Paraffin M	Senate Proposal
220 2212	To suspend temporarily the duty on 2-hydroxyethyl-n-octyl sulfide	Senate Proposal
221 2213	To suspend temporarily the duty on photomask blanks	Senate Proposal
222 2214	To suspend temporarily the duty on sound-isolating earphones	Senate Proposal
223 2215	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain hot feed extruding equipment used in the manufacture of extra-wide pneumatic truck and automobile tires, and parts and accessories thereof	Senate Proposal
224 2216	To suspend temporarily the duty on mixtures of tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride, polymer with urea, tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride, formaldehyde, and water/inters	Senate Proposal
225 2217	To suspend temporarily the duty on p-fluorobenzaldehyde	Senate Proposal
226 2218	To suspend temporarily the duty on himic anhydride	Senate Proposal
227 2219	To suspend temporarily the duty on o-Dichlorobenzene	Senate Proposal
228 2220	To suspend temporarily the duty on 2,2'-Dithiobisbenzothiazole	Senate Proposal
229 2221	To suspend temporarily the duty on audio interface units for sound mixing, recording, and editing capable of full interface control by separate automatic data processing system using proprietary software protocol	Senate Proposal
230 2222	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain electric cooktops	Senate Proposal
231 2223	To suspend temporarily the duty on chromate(4-), [7-amin-3-[(3-chloro-2-hydroxy-5-nitrophenyl)azo]-4-hydroxy-2-naphthalenesulfonate(3)]-[6-amino-4-hydroxy-3-[(2-hydroxy-5-nitro-3-sulfonyl)azo]-2-naphthalenesulfonate(4-)]-, tetrasodium (p 96-1335)	Senate Proposal
232 2224	To suspend temporarily the duty on Pigment Orange 62	Senate Proposal
233 2225	To suspend temporarily the duty on Mixtures of 1-[[bis(4-fluorophenyl)methyl]methyl]-1H-1,2,4-triazole with xylene and inert application adjuvants	Senate Proposal
234 2226	To suspend temporarily the duty on Fluthiacet-methyl	Senate Proposal
235 2227	To suspend temporarily the duty on Fluthiacet-methyl	Senate Proposal
236 2228	To suspend temporarily the duty on carbonaceous pastes for electrodes and similar pastes for furnace linings	Senate Proposal
237 2229	To reduce temporarily the duty on Ethyl [4-chloro-2-fluoro-5-[[[methyl(1-methylethyl)amino]sulfonyl]amino]carbonyl]phenyl]carbamate	Senate Proposal
238 2230	To suspend temporarily the duty on Ethyl 3-amino-4,4-trifluorocrotonate	Senate Proposal
239 2231	To suspend temporarily the duty on Diethyl oxalate	Senate Proposal
240 2232	To suspend temporarily the duty on Potassium decafluoro(pentafluorethyl)cyclohexanesulfonate	Senate Proposal
241 2233	To suspend temporarily the duty on imports of certain handheld moving coil dynamic microphones	Senate Proposal
242 2234	To suspend temporarily the duty on 2-Propenoic acid, reaction products with o-cresol-epichlorohydrin-formaldehyde polymer and 3a,4,7,7a-tetrahydro-1,3-isobenzofurandione	Senate Proposal
243 2235	To suspend temporarily the duty on Formaldehyde, polymer with methylphenol, 2-hydroxy-3-[(1-oxo-2-propenyl)oxy]propyl ether and formaldehyde, polymer with (chloromethyl)oxirane and methylphenol, 4-cyclohexene-1,2-dicarboxylate 2-propenoate	Senate Proposal
244 2236	To suspend temporarily the duty on digital camera lenses	Senate Proposal
245 2237	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain golf umbrellas	Senate Proposal
246 2238	To suspend temporarily the duty on 4-Methylbenzenesulfonamide	Senate Proposal
247 2239	To suspend temporarily the duty on mixture of calcium hydroxide, magnesium hydroxide, aluminum silicate and stearic acid	Senate Proposal
248 2240	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain electrical connectors	Senate Proposal
249 2241	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain tamper resistant ground fault circuit interrupter receptacles	Senate Proposal
250 2242	To suspend temporarily the duty on high pressure fuel pump	Senate Proposal
251 2243	To suspend temporarily the duty on electric vehicle inverter	Senate Proposal
252 2244	To suspend temporarily the duty on injection fuel injector	Senate Proposal
253 2245	To suspend temporarily the duty on power electronics boxes	Senate Proposal
254 2246	To amend the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States to modify the tariffs of engines with cylinder capacity of less than 1 liter, designed for motor vehicles of heading 8709	Senate Proposal
255 2247	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain window shade material in rolls	Senate Proposal
256 2248	To suspend temporarily the duty on 4,4'-methylenebis(2-chloroaniline)	Senate Proposal
257 2249	To suspend temporarily the duty on Methyl chloroacetate	Senate Proposal
258 2250	To suspend temporarily the duty on certain laminated rolled filmstock	Senate Proposal
259 2251	To suspend temporarily the duty on Methyl acrylate	Senate Proposal
260 2252	To suspend temporarily the duty on Hexanedioic acid, polymer with N-(2-aminoethyl)-1,3-propanediamine, aziridine, (chloromethyl)oxirane, 1,2-ethandiamine, N,N-1,2-ethanediybis(1,3-propanediamine), formic acid and alpha-hydro-omega-hydroxypoly(oxy-1,2-ethandiylo)	Senate Proposal
261 2253	To suspend temporarily the duty on N-Vinylformamide	Senate Proposal
262 2254	To suspend temporarily the duty on preparations based on polyethyleneimine	Senate Proposal
263 2255	To suspend temporarily the duty on Antarctic krill oil	Senate Proposal
264 2256	To suspend temporarily the duty on a mixture of 1-(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8-octahydro-2,3,8,8-tetramethyl-2-naphthalenyl)-ethan-1-one (and isomers)	Senate Proposal
265 2301(a)1	To renew the temporary suspension of duty on certain footwear	Senate Proposal
266 2301(a)2	To extend the temporary suspension of duty on calcium chloride phosphor activated by manganese and antimony	Senate Proposal
267 2301(a)3	To extend the temporary suspension of duty on calcium chloride phosphate	Senate Proposal
268 2301(a)4	To extend the temporary suspension of duty on small particle calcium chloride phosphor	Senate Proposal
269 2301(a)5	To extend temporarily the suspension of duty on nylon woolpacks used to package wool	Senate Proposal
270 2301(a)6	To suspend temporarily the duty on triasulfuron technical	Senate Proposal
271 2301(a)7	To extend the duty suspension on 2-Methylhydroquinone	Senate Proposal
272 2301(a)8	To suspend temporarily the duty on Liquid Crystal Device (LCD) panel assemblies for use in LCD direct view televisions	Senate Proposal
273 2301(a)9	To extend temporarily the suspension of duty of certain extruders used in the production of radial tires	Senate Proposal
274 2301(a)10	To extend the temporary suspension of duty on 2-Acetylnicotinic acid	Senate Proposal
275 2301(a)11	To suspend temporarily the duty on 12-Hydroxyoctadecanoic acid, Reaction Product with N,N-Dimethyl, 1,3-Propanediamine, Dimethyl Sulfate, Quarternized	Senate Proposal
276 2301(a)12	To extend the temporary suspension of duty on staple fibers of viscose rayon, not carded, combed, or otherwise processed for spinning	Senate Proposal
277 2301(a)13	To extend the temporary reduction of duty on acrylic or modacrylic filament tow	Senate Proposal
278 2301(a)14	To extend the temporary suspension of duty on acrylic or modacrylic staple fibers, not carded, combed, or otherwise processed for spinning	Senate Proposal
279 2301(a)15	To renew the temporary suspensions of duty on acetyl chloride	Senate Proposal
280 2301(a)16	To extend the temporary suspension of duty on butanedioic acid, dimethyl ester, polymer with 4-hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidineethanol	Senate Proposal
281 2301(b)1	To suspend temporarily the duty on Trictonazole	Senate Proposal
282 2301(b)2	To reduce temporarily the rate of duty on 2-chloro-N-(4'-chloro-biphenyl-2-yl)-nicotinamide	Senate Proposal
283 2301(b)3	To suspend temporarily the duty on artificial flowers of manmade fibers assembled as floral spray	Senate Proposal
284 2301(b)4	To extend the temporary suspension of duty on certain AC electric motors of an output exceeding 74.6 W but not exceeding 105 W	Senate Proposal
285 2301(b)5	To extend temporarily the suspension of duty on 3,3 Dichlorobenzidine Dihydrochloride	Senate Proposal
286 2301(b)6	To renew temporarily the suspension of duty on 1-Octadecanaminium, N,N-dimethyl-N octadecyl-, (SP-4-2)-129H, 31H-phthalocyanine 2-sulfonate(3)-kappa.N29, kappa.N30, kappa.N31, kappa.N32cuprate(1)	Senate Proposal
287 2301(b)7	To modify and extend the temporary suspension of duty on certain emergency illumination lights designed for use in aircraft	Senate Proposal
288 2301(b)8	To modify and extend the temporary suspension of duty on certain vacuum relief valves designed for use in aircraft	Senate Proposal
289 2301(b)9	To extend and modify the temporary suspension of duty no certain sector mold press machines	Senate Proposal
290 2301(b)10	To extend the temporary suspension of duty on carbamic acid	Senate Proposal
291 2301(b)11	To extend temporarily the reduction of duty on polyethylene HE1878	Senate Proposal
292 2301(b)12	To extend the temporary suspension of duty on yttrium oxide phosphor	Senate Proposal
293 2301(b)13	To extend the temporary suspension of duty on yttrium oxide phosphor	Senate Proposal

LIST OF LIMITED TARIFF BENEFITS AS DEFINED IN CLAUSE 9, RULE XXI—Continued

	Section in H.R. _____	Description of LTB	Name of Member requesting
294	2301(b)14	To extend the temporary suspension of duty on strontium halophosphate doped with europium	Senate Proposal
295	2301(b)15	To extend the temporary suspension of duty on lanthanum phosphate phosphor	Senate Proposal
296	2301(b)16	To extend the temporary suspension of duty on compound barium magnesium aluminate phosphor	Senate Proposal
297	3001	To extend the temporary duty suspensions on certain cotton shirting fabrics, and for other purposes	Bob Etheridge (D-NC)
298	4001	To provide for the reliquidation of certain entries relating to orange juice from Brazil	Senate Proposal
299	4002	To provide for the reliquidation of certain entries of industrial nitrocellulose from the United Kingdom	Senate Proposal

PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 3 of rule XII,
179. The SPEAKER presented a petition of
Mr. Gregory D. Watson, a Citizen of Austin,

Texas, relative to a petition urging Congress
to propose a ratification of an amendment to
the Constitution providing that the legisla-
ture of each state be entitled to appoint one
person to be a member of the United State
Senate, in addition to the two Senators
elected by the voters; which was referred to
the Committee on the Judiciary.

SENATE—Monday, December 13, 2010

VOL. 156, PT. 14

The Senate met at 2 p.m. and was called to order by the Honorable MAX BAUCUS, a Senator from the State of Montana.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

God of might, You are our defender. Without Your protection, we are powerless. Hear our prayers as we lift our hearts toward Your throne. Lord, forgive us for our failures and defend us with Your mercy.

Today, bless our lawmakers. Guide and lead them as You have promised. Keep them safe from the traps that bring national ruin and shelter them from danger. Help them to find the common ground that will bring blessings to our Nation and world. Frustrate the purposes of all enemies of freedom.

We pray in Your faithful Name. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Honorable MARK R. WARNER, a Senator from the Commonwealth of Virginia, led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

APPOINTMENT OF ACTING PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will please read a communication to the Senate from the President pro tempore (Mr. INOUE).

The legislative clerk read the following letter:

U.S. SENATE,
PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE,
Washington, DC, December 13, 2010.

To the Senate:

Under the provisions of rule I, Paragraph 3, of the Standing Rules of the Senate, I hereby appoint the Honorable MARK R. WARNER, a Senator from the Commonwealth of Virginia, to perform the duties of the Chair.

DANIEL K. INOUE,
President pro tempore.

Mr. WARNER thereupon assumed the chair as Acting President pro tempore.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The majority leader is recognized.

SCHEDULE

Mr. REID. Mr. President, following leader remarks, the Senate will resume consideration of the House message with respect to H.R. 4853, the vehicle for the tax agreement, with the time until 3 p.m. equally divided and controlled between the two leaders or their designees. At 3 p.m. the Senate will proceed to a rollcall vote on the motion to invoke cloture on the motion to concur in the House amendment to the Senate amendment to H.R. 4853, with the Reid-McConnell amendment. The cloture vote will be held open until—we will close it as quickly as we can.

There is bad weather around the country. I just got a call from a Senator who is stuck in Minneapolis. But we will close it as early as we can. We started it earlier than normal because some people had to go places, but we will be as deliberate as we can in making sure people have the opportunity to vote.

ORDER FOR FILING

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the filing deadline for second-degree amendments with respect to the motion to concur with an amendment be at 2:30 p.m. today.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

LOUISVILLE OVER UNLV

Mr. REID. Mr. President, to be honest with you, I was hoping the Republican leader wouldn't be here at this time so I could avoid him because I didn't want to talk about the Saturday basketball game when Louisville beat UNLV. It was an upset. But I guess turnaround is fair play because for the last 2 years UNLV has beaten Louisville. But the good news as far as Louisville goes, from my perspective, is a young coach from UNLV, a soccer coach. There was some turmoil in the athletic department, and they didn't support him very well at all. So on a lark he went to Louisville. Louisville has never had any quality soccer teams, and this young man took this team and they played in the national finals yesterday. They lost 1 to nothing.

Mr. McCONNELL. Yes, regretfully they lost 1 to nothing but made it all the way to the finals.

Mr. REID. I was sure pulling for Louisville.

Mr. McCONNELL. But you weren't Saturday.

Mr. REID. No, I wasn't Saturday.

Mr. McCONNELL. As my friend knows, as I mentioned to him, since the Senate was not in session Saturday, I was actually there. With 5 minutes into the second half, your Rebels were up by 9, and it looked pretty bleak. But all's well that ends well, and the Cardinals managed to win.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, as I said, I was hoping I wouldn't have to be here today while the Senator was here, but that is the way it is.

I have nothing further.

UPCOMING WEEKEND

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, since we are talking about weekends, I guess all of our Members should expect that we will press on this weekend.

Mr. REID. We need to stay here until we finish.

Mr. McCONNELL. Yes.

RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Republican leader is recognized.

BIPARTISAN TAX CUT COMPROMISE

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, over the past few years, the American people have been engaged in a great national debate about the proper role of government. This debate is as old as our Nation itself, but it has reemerged with new intensity amidst a prolonged economic downturn that continues to affect millions of Americans.

On the one side are those who argue the solution to our present troubles lies in giving more to Washington. They say if only Washington had more power, we could have averted these challenges altogether; and the only way to get us out of this and to put us on stronger economic footing is to hand over more of our freedoms—and more of our paychecks—to Washington.

On the other side of this debate are those who say in order for individuals to prosper and move up the economic ladder, they must be free to take risks. They must be free to fail. They argue for government limits and restraint and for making as many decisions as possible close to home.

Now, it is no secret most Americans fall into the second group. Whenever asked, most Americans say they will take a system of free enterprise and limited government over the alternative any day. But, occasionally, people find the first group's message appealing, too, especially in times of distress. That is why 2 years ago, Americans chose what they viewed as the

safer route. Yet since then many have come to regret that decision.

We have all seen the deep discontent with Washington spread over the last year and a half as lawmakers here assumed more and more authority and spent more and more taxpayer money on wasteful projects and dubious long-term programs which couldn't possibly deliver what Democratic leaders said they would. Early last month, we watched as Americans told Democratic leaders in Washington they had had enough of their 2-year experiment in big government. On election day, our debate about government took yet another turn, and the bipartisan compromise the White House agreed to last week on taxes is a clear sign that it has had an impact.

In some ways, it has shifted the debate entirely. Here is how: For 2 years, Democrats in Washington have argued that the solution to our Nation's economic problems is to give bureaucrats in Washington trillions of dollars and then have them spend it for us. But with this bipartisan compromise, we are taking a different approach. We are telling the American people to keep money that is rightfully theirs so they can spend it and invest it as they please. This is an important shift, and the White House should be applauded for agreeing to it.

There are parts of this agreement I don't like such as the Democrats' insistence that we borrow the money we need to pay for a further extension of unemployment insurance. In my view, if both parties agree the debt is a serious problem, we shouldn't be writing checks we don't have the money to cover.

Yet, in another way, this bipartisan compromise represents an essential first step in tackling the debt because in keeping taxes where they are, we are officially cutting off that spigot. Taxes are going to stay right where they are for the next 2 years, and until we did that, Democrats in Washington were never going to be serious about cutting spending or debt. As long as more revenue was coming in, they would always have an excuse to spend more. With this agreement, Members of Congress no longer have that excuse.

History is very clear on that point. From World War II through 2009, every dollar of new tax revenue that the government has collected has been associated with \$1.17 in new spending. This means for decades, lawmakers in both parties have spent every dime of revenue that came in from taxpayers, then borrowed a little bit more on top of it to set a higher baseline for the next year.

But the American people have caught on to the game and they have had it. They know the root of our problem lies not in the fact that Washington taxes too little but that it spends too much. They want the wasteful spending to stop.

Mark my words, if Republicans had gone along with the Democratic plan on taxes, they would have done the same thing they have always done. They would have spent it all, and then some. They had no intention of using any new tax revenue to pay down the debt. The President has already said he has better ways to spend the taxpayers' money than they do.

Nobody expected the same Democrats who more than tripled the deficit to suddenly get serious about cutting it if they expected more tax revenue to come in next year as a result of higher taxes. So it never made sense to take money from job creators in order to hand it over to politicians who would only waste it. Nobody ever created a job by punishing a job creator, and we simply had to turn off the spigot—not from some but from everyone—to remove the temptation to spend it.

For the past 2 years, Democrats in Washington worked hand in hand with the White House spending trillions we didn't have on programs Americans didn't want. They wrote future budgets presuming Americans would agree to a tax hike to pay for it all. They cashed the checks before Americans had even written them like an employee who demands a raise on the grounds that he and his wife had already budgeted for a speed boat and a three-car garage. But the American people have rebelled against this way of doing business, and now we are going to move in another direction.

Some may continue to deny that Washington has a spending problem. Those are the people who are still out there arguing for a tax hike. But the only argument they appear to be making is that it is only fair for certain people to be punished with higher taxes.

I have heard a lot of Democrats in recent days say this group or that group doesn't "deserve" to have their current tax rates extended. But, of course, that has always been a losing argument in America. You can count me among those who want everybody in this country to succeed, and I suspect most Americans agree with that.

There may be some in Washington who are only satisfied if somebody or some group loses out, which either means they think there is a finite amount of success to be had out there, which is nonsense, or they are looking for an excuse to spend more money on turtle tunnels or researching the drug preferences of monkeys. But either way, Americans aren't interested in that point of view.

Americans aren't interested in scapegoats. They are interested in regaining our prosperity. They have lost faith in government's ability to get us through with more and more government spending. With this bipartisan compromise, we are finally giving these people a voice in this debate.

So today's vote is a step in the right direction. But it is only a first step. Unless we use it to pivot to the deficit and the debt, we will have only pushed the larger problem down the road, and no one sent us here to do that. It is time to come together to cut the debt in the same way we have come together to prevent a tax hike. It won't be easy, but we have laid the groundwork. I will vote in favor of this bipartisan compromise, and I urge my colleagues in the Senate and in the House to do the same.

TRIBUTES TO RETIRING SENATORS

BOB BENNETT

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, we are losing through retirement a number of our most distinguished Members. None of them have I been closer to than the Senator from Utah, Senator BENNETT, and I am pleased he is here on the floor today. He made his farewell speech last week, and now I wish to speak about his farewell myself.

Over the last 18 years, I have come to rely on BOB's counsel, and today I wish to thank him publicly and personally for being so generous with his candid advice and unfailing good judgment. I simply would not be where I am today without the benefit of BOB's wisdom and friendship, and I am deeply grateful for it.

BOB has always been a pretty low-key guy, and he has always preferred working quietly in the background—both rarities in politics today. But as with most everything BOB does, there is a method behind his style. As BOB once put it:

In Washington, there are two kinds of Senators . . . work horses and show horses. I decided I would be a work horse.

Then he went on to explain the difference. He said:

Most of the show horses look in the mirror in the morning and see a President looking back at them . . . But we haven't elected a bald president in this country since [Dwight] Eisenhower [so] I look in the mirror and realize I don't have the qualifications.

What BOB failed to point out, of course, is that he has one of the longest resumes in the Senate. So I would like to take a moment today to go through just some of the things he has achieved in a very eventful life.

Born in Salt Lake City, BOB was the youngest of Francis and Wallace F. Bennett's five children. BOB learned the value of hard work from his dad and the importance of faith from both his parents. The product of public education, BOB graduated from East High School in Salt Lake City and then went on to attend the University of Utah, where he majored in political science and served as student body president.

After college, he served 3 years as Chaplain in the Utah Army National

Guard. By then, BOB's father had already been a U.S. Senator for a number of years; and after his service BOB joined his dad's Senate reelection campaign in 1962. It was a close race, but BOB's father was able to win—and BOB himself was hooked on politics. After working on the campaign, he wasn't much interested in returning to Bennett Paint & Glass, so he packed his bags and moved to DC.

After bouncing around a little as a press secretary in Congress, a corporate researcher working on Federal pension law, and chief administrative assistant for his dad, he took a job as a lobbyist for J.C. Penney.

Now, in those days, lobbyists did not make as much money as they do today. But BOB enjoyed the work and the friendships he made, including his friendship with the legendary Bryce Harlow. Bryce ended up becoming more than a friend to BOB, he really became a mentor to him. And when Nixon won the Presidential election in 1968, Bryce pulled him aside and gave him some marching orders: "If I have to give up my cushy corporate job to serve this administration," he said, "so do you. Go get measured for a suit, go over to the Department of Transportation. Show up; you're going to be John Volpe's head of congressional relations." And that is exactly what BOB did. BOB will tell you he was proud of his work and experience he gained at DOT. He says no department was more successful. And he has all of the Presidential pens to prove it.

At the end of 1971, BOB was ready to leave government and start something new. So he bought the public relations firm Robert Mullen & Co. and soon unwittingly found himself right in the middle of the Watergate scandal. What BOB didn't know when he bought the firm is that it doubled as a CIA front and that one of its employees had organized the break-in at the center of the Watergate investigation. The unwanted attention ruined BOB's new business and completely changed the course of his career.

Howard Hughes was one of Mullen's clients at the time, and he asked BOB to work for him directly in California. Looking for a fresh start, he took the job, and left Washington for the west coast. After that, BOB found success running a company that made day planners and organizers. Under his leadership, the company went from 4 employees to 700 employees and \$80 million in sales. And then, in 1992, with Utah Republican Jake Garn retiring from the Senate, BOB decided to fulfill his lifelong dream and follow in his father's footsteps by running for the Senate. After a tough primary, he beat his Democratic opponent and won the election by a 15-point margin. And since entering this Chamber, he has been a central player in some of the most significant legislative efforts the Senate

has undertaken over the last two decades.

A staunch conservative with a track record of finding common ground on some of the toughest issues, BOB played a central role in the bailout of the Mexican government during the peso crisis in the 1990s. For his efforts, President Clinton praised him as "a highly intelligent, old-fashioned conservative who quickly grasped the consequences of inaction and would stick with us throughout the crisis." Around the same time, he was also instrumental in the passage of legislation related to the confidentiality of medical records.

As someone who has always worked hard to build relationships with Democrats, I knew I could always rely on BOB to find out the pulse of Democrats on an issue. And Democrats could turn to him too. Here is what Senator REID once said about BOB: "There is no more honorable Member of this body than BOB BENNETT."

BOB and I have found common cause over the years, among other things, in our defense of the First Amendment. I remember being in the trenches together over the flag-burning amendment, which we both opposed. Both of us, of course, also strongly oppose any desecration of the flag. But we agreed that an amendment to the Constitution was not the way to go. And in the end, we prevailed. We thought it was worth the fight to ensure that Congress didn't place any qualifiers on the First Amendment.

Over this time, BOB became one of my most trusted colleagues, and that is why, when I was elected Republican leader, I asked him if he would serve as one of my advisers. He is smart and level-headed, a proven leader, a successful entrepreneur, and when he speaks everyone listens. In addition, he has a remarkable gift of persuasion. Far from the floor is where BOB does his best work. It is a trait he learned from his dad.

As BOB once put it, "Building a consensus, building relationships where people will trust and do things for you is the hardest work of the Senate, and when it comes to fruition . . . it's also the most rewarding work in the Senate."

BOB decided long ago to do his best to stay out of the nasty political fights that occur from time to time in Washington. That is one of the reasons you don't ever see him on the Sunday shows. BOB knows that most of the time the media is just looking for that gotcha moment. He is more interested in spending his time focusing on what is best for his constituents, whether it is in this Chamber, in committee, or back home.

In addition to BOB's role in leadership, he served as the ranking member of the Rules and Administration Committee, as the chairman of the Joint

Economic Committee, as the senior member of the Senate Banking Committee, as the ranking member on the Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development and on the Senate Appropriations Committee. He has been involved in nearly every major issue that has come through this Chamber over the past two decades. He has worked hard to fix our economy and health care system, simplify the tax code, reform entitlement programs, and strengthen America's national security at home and abroad.

But BOB will tell you his most important job is being a husband. And of course today we also pay tribute to Joyce, who has played such an active role in the life of the Senate family over the years. We will miss them both. Together, they have raised six children and in nearly 50 years of marriage, they have certainly seen a lot.

When asked about his legacy, BOB has pointed out that it was always his hope to live up to his own father's example of integrity and hard work. And BOB, we know that if your dad were here today, he would be so proud of all you have accomplished not only in this Chamber and for our country, but also as a devoted husband, father and grandfather. So it is with a sense of gratitude for all that he has meant to the Senate and to me personally, that I pay tribute to BOB BENNETT. It has been an honor to serve with him, and most importantly to call him a friend. And on behalf of the entire Senate family, I want to thank BOB for his service. He will be missed, and we wish him all the best in the next chapter of his life.

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, I too want to say a couple of words about BOB BENNETT. We are deeply impressed with his sense of integrity and his commitment to working for basic, sound principles. I might say he made a big impression on me when he came to my office—I think on his own, but maybe he was appointed to do so—to help find a way to make the Senate more relevant and to find ways to change the Senate rules to address some of the frustration a lot of Senators have. People who are watching may wonder, gosh, why do Senators think they are not relevant? I must say that a lot of Senators feel they want to get something done quickly and they are sometimes frustrated with the actions of another Senator who doesn't quite have the same idea. I was impressed with BOB's attitude. He talked to me and asked, "What can be done, Max? What ideas do you have?" It was very refreshing. I remember thinking at the time that this will be difficult, and I told him it would be difficult. I didn't tell him how difficult I thought it would be. But I was impressed with his freshness and his desire to help adjust the Senate rules.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, leadership time is reserved.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION EXTENSION ACT OF 2010

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senate will resume the consideration of House message to accompany H.R. 4853, which the clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

Motion to concur in the House amendment to the Senate amendment to H.R. 4853, an act to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorization for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the time until 3 p.m. will be equally divided between the two leaders or their designees.

Pending:

Reid motion to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate to the bill, with Reid/McConnell amendment No. 4753 (to the House amendment to the Senate amendment), in the nature of a substitute.

Reid amendment No. 4754 (to amendment No. 4753), to change the enactment date.

Reid motion to refer the message of the House on the bill to the Committee on Finance, with instructions.

Reid amendment No. 4755, to provide for a study.

Reid amendment No. 4756 (to the instructions) amendment No. 4755), of a perfecting nature.

Reid amendment No. 4757 (to amendment No. 4756), of a perfecting nature.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Montana is recognized.

PRIVILEGES OF THE FLOOR

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the following staff be allowed on the floor for the duration of the debate on the tax bill: Michael Grant, Kane Ossorio, Jack McGillis, Nicole Marchman, Manishi Rodrigo, Will Kellogg, Danielle Dellerson, Mary Baker, Greg Sullivan, Andrew Fishburn, and James Baker.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, about 2 years ago, our economy was on the brink. One of the first things we did with our new President was to enact the American Recovery and Investment Act. We did so to jump-start our economy, and we did so to create jobs.

In the 2 years since, our economy has created and sustained more than 3.5 million jobs—3.5 million more than would have been available had we not taken that action. The economy is now starting to move in the right direction, but we still have a long way to go.

The positive momentum in the economy is fragile. We need to work tirelessly to protect it. Our first priority must be to create more jobs.

The lower tax rates enacted in 2001 and 2003, along with a number of other tax provisions, are set to expire at the end of this year. If we do not act, taxes will go up.

In addition, last month, the emergency Federal unemployment insurance programs expired. If we don't act, then by the end of next month 2 million Americans will be without the critical assistance they will need. That is help they will need to put food on the table and keep a roof over their head. The tax cuts and unemployment insurance both have a critical effect on the middle-class families, our economy, and on jobs.

A little more than a week ago, the Senate voted on two amendments that would have extended these tax cuts for the middle class and unemployment insurance. Our amendments would have focused those extensions on the most effective ways to create jobs. The amendments we voted on last Saturday would have given critical relief to middle-class families. They would have provided unemployment insurance to millions of Americans who lost their jobs through no fault of their own. These two amendments—the Baucus amendment and the Schumer amendment—would have extended tax cuts that would have benefited all taxpayers.

Those amendments would have extended critical tax cuts such as the college tuition tax deduction. They would have made the child tax credit permanent, and they would have cut taxes for employers, freeing up cash for them to expand and hire new workers.

Those amendments focused on providing middle-class families the tax relief they need. They focused on creating the jobs our economy needs, and they focused on getting the biggest bang for our buck in creating those jobs.

Cutting taxes for middle-class families and extending unemployment insurance stimulates our economy. They do so because the families who benefit from those policies are the families most likely to spend that extra money. Spending that money injects it directly back into our economy, and that helps the economy to grow and create jobs.

The best way to extend these expiring tax provisions is to focus on the middle class. That is what my amendment did, and that remains my strong preference.

There are some in this body, however, who want to extend tax breaks for the wealthiest as well. These folks have held tax cuts for the middle class hostage to get these tax breaks for millionaires and billionaires.

Tax breaks for millionaires and billionaires are not the best way to create

jobs. The Nation's wealthiest are more likely to save their money, rather than spend it and put it back into the economy.

Permanently extending tax cuts for the richest Americans would cost our economy \$700 billion over the next 10 years. That is too great a cost for a budget already burdened by deficits and debt. But despite this disagreement, creating jobs needs to be our first priority.

If we do not extend unemployment insurance, then by the end of the next month, 2 million Americans who lost their jobs through no fault of their own

I would lose their unemployment benefits. If we allow those benefits to expire, families who currently receive them would lose much of their income. Emergency unemployment insurance has benefitted about 40 million people. That has included, I might add, 10½ million children.

Emergency unemployment benefits particularly help middle-class families. Middle-class families receive 70 percent of total UI benefits. These are folks with a work history. They lost their jobs through no fault of their own. Unemployment benefits are the only lifeline many workers in Montana and across the Nation have left in this tough economy. These benefits support Americans who have worked, who are looking for work, and who will work again.

If we do not extend unemployment insurance, we take some of the most stimulative dollars out of the economy. That will just hurt the economy's ability to create jobs. The nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office says unemployment benefits have one of the largest effects of economic output in unemployment per dollar spent of any policy. The Department of Labor reports that for every \$1 spent on unemployment insurance, \$2 are reinvested in the economy. The Council of Economic Advisers estimates that as of September, emergency unemployment insurance benefits have increased the level of employment by nearly 800,000. That is just since September.

Unemployment insurance goes to people who will spend it immediately. That increases economic demand. It is critical to extend unemployment insurance to support a fragile economic recovery and to help create jobs.

If we don't extend lower tax rates enacted in 2001 and 2003 and the other tax provisions expiring, at the present, the end of this year, millions of middle-class families will pay higher taxes next year. Middle-class families are the backbone of our economy and this recession has hit middle-class families hardest. Too many middle-class folks who have worked hard all their lives have been knocked off their feet by this great recession. Too many middle-class families are still struggling. If we don't act, individual taxes will go up. If

we don't act, the child tax credit will shrink and the college tuition tax deduction will end. So will the State and local property tax deduction and the property tax deduction itself and a host of other tax breaks critical to middle-class families. Now is certainly not the time to raise taxes on middle-class families.

If we don't act, taxes will go up on employers. Taxes will go up on employers engaged in critical research and development. That is R&D our economy needs to stay competitive in the global market, to grow and to create jobs. If we don't act, taxes will go up on employers working to develop new types of sustainable energy resources, such as wind power. Sustainable energy is the industry that could create hundreds of thousands of jobs. Now is not the time to raise taxes on employers with potential to create jobs we need.

So we must act, because if we fail to extend these critical provisions, we place our economy at risk. If we fail to act, we place middle-class families at risk. So while I strongly prefer acting in a way that focuses more on the middle class, that focuses on getting more jobs and gets us the biggest bang for our buck, inaction is clearly not an option. For that reason, I will support the bipartisan compromise the President has proposed.

Plain and simple, this bipartisan compromise is about creating jobs, extending middle-class tax cuts will help create jobs. Not extending them would cost jobs, and we cannot afford to lose jobs. Job creation needs to be our No. 1 priority. Our economy has come a long way in the last 2 years, but the growth is still fragile. Let us keep the focus on creating jobs, let us keep moving our economy forward, let us pass this important legislation.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore, The Senator from Iowa.

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I yield 5 minutes to the senior Senator from Arizona.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore, The Senator from Arizona.

Mr. MCCAIN. Mr. President, I think it is very clear the vote today will result in a significant majority vote for the pending legislation—the so-called tax extenders—and I will be one of those who will be voting for it as well. But I must say, in the brief time I have, there is almost an Orwellian experience on the floor of the Senate as compared with the rest of America. Here we are, about to pass these necessary tax extenders—necessary to give some kind of certainty to businesses across America, small and large, and to give tax breaks to people in these most difficult times, including my home State of Arizona. But rather than just extending the tax breaks, which is what a majority of Americans want, we have engaged in the continuing practice—a practice that has alienated the

majority of American people—of loading up with unneeded, unnecessary, unwanted sweeteners in order to, I guess, get votes or satisfy special interests.

The Wall Street Journal, this morning, had an article entitled “The Hawk-eye Handouts,” stating the tax bill is becoming a “favor festival,” starting with ethanol. It goes on to talk about the ethanol extension being the bipartisan handiwork of people who direct subsidies and trade protectionism plus mandates that force consumers to buy ethanol. This is a trifecta of government support and for an industry that is 30 years old and that even Al Gore now admits serves none of its advertised environmental purposes.

I would like to quote for my colleagues on this side of the aisle what the Wall Street Journal says:

The greater political risk is for Republicans, who should worry that the tax bill is turning into a special interest spectacle. The bill revives a \$1 a gallon biodiesel tax credit at a cost of nearly \$2 billion, and there is \$202 million for “incentives for alternate fuel,” \$331 million for a 50 percent tax credit for maintaining railroad tracks, and so on. These credits are a form of special interest spending via the tax code, which is precisely the business-as-usual behavior that Republicans told tea party voters they wouldn't engage in. These business subsidies are grease for Senate votes in favor of the deal, so the only chance to remove them would be the kind of public outcry that attacked the Cornhusker Kickback and other ObamaCare fiascoes. Call these ethanol favors the Hawk-eye Handouts.

That is what this bill is all about. I say to my colleagues, I will vote for it, but it is not what the people said they wanted done on November 2.

I understand that unless online gaming, poker playing, gambling legalization comes up, we will probably go to an omnibus bill, and that omnibus bill will be loaded down with earmarks and porkbarrel spending, which is a direct—a direct—betrayal of the majority of the voters on November 2 who said stop the earmarking, stop the spending, stop the outrageous porkbarrel projects.

If this omnibus bill comes up loaded down with porkbarrel spending, we owe it to the American people to stop it. What we owe the American people is a clean continuing resolution, with no additional spending on it, that would be good for 45 days so the new Congress, in response to the American people, will act in a responsible fashion.

This bill we are going to pass contributes to the debt and the deficit, it contributes to the mortgaging of our children's futures. I say to my colleagues, we should rise against any Omnibus appropriations bill, and we should only enact a continuing resolution. To my colleagues on this side of the aisle who may not have gotten the message of November 2, vote to have a clean continuing resolution. That is what the American people have said they want and that is what they de-

serve. The American people deserve to be heard. Let us reconnect Washington and the American people.

I thank my colleague from Iowa for the time, and I yield whatever remaining time I have.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore, The Senator from Colorado.

Mr. UDALL of Colorado. Mr. President, I rise to speak in opposition to the bill in front of us today, and I wish to start out by saying that, in addition to all the many challenges facing our Nation, a massive budget deficit and a crippling debt may prove to be the most difficult challenge we face as a country.

A deep structural defect, such as the one our government has accumulated because of these debt levels, not only threatens our long-term economic stability, it darkens the horizon in a way that discourages the innovation investment we need to spur American jobs today.

Moreover, our apparent inability to squarely address the problem in a bipartisan way is a signal to the American people—as if they needed more proof—that our democracy is not working, and that is as dangerous as any attack on our country. It is a timebomb in our midst, the ticking of which we cannot ignore unless we are comfortable knowing it will eventually and inevitably blow up on our children.

Just last week, a bipartisan group appointed by the President confirmed the seriousness of a threat with a different metaphor but one equally apt. The President's fiscal commission called our national debt a cancer that is threatening our country from within. Whether a timebomb or a cancer, the threat is real, and the Commission confirmed it in the starkest possible terms.

The chairman's recommendations on how to respond were sobering. But in a way, they were also like a strong cup of coffee after a serious drinking binge. Americans sat up and listened, and for a few days between the release of the Commission's report and the vote of the full Commission the following Friday, it looked like we might be able to set aside the ideological differences that have poisoned our politics and address this problem. It looked like we might be able to follow the old adage when you are in a hole, stop digging.

However, the next week, the President announced a plan that he negotiated with Republican leaders to extend the Bush tax cuts across the board—a plan that would add \$900 billion to our national debt over the next 2 years. What is staggering to me is, it took just 4 days to switch the conversation from reducing the debt to adding to it. Just 4 days after the most substantive conversation we have had about addressing the debt, we start arguing about the wisdom of extending tax breaks for millionaires and billionaires that alone will cost \$700 billion

over the next decade. That is \$700 billion in additional debt the people of the United States will owe to China and our other creditors around the world. To paraphrase one of my colleagues, I feel like we are operating in some kind of a parallel universe.

Now, as the debate over the last several days has exhibited, Senators in this body—and the American people themselves—have a diverse set of views on tax policy and how to get our economy back on track.

Central to these questions about tax policy is how to find mechanisms that will get our skyrocketing national deficit and total debt under control. Despite disagreements—our disagreements here and in the other body—I believe we owe it to the American people and to one another to be pragmatic and truthful about the fiscal challenges confronting us. It's the way that Coloradans like to operate, and I believe it's the way that most Americans want their elected official to behave. So I respect and even applaud the President's efforts to reach a compromise based on political pragmatism. But what I respectfully disagree with is the notion this compromise is based on anything approaching fiscal reality or truth in accounting, which is the point I believe the chairmen of the President's fiscal commission—Erskine Bowles and Alan Simpson—were making.

If I might, I would remind my colleagues of the history of the Bush tax cuts for the wealthiest Americans. Those tax cuts were passed after we experienced one of the strongest economic environments in our history. Those who supported tax cuts for the wealthy believed that because we had begun to reduce our long-term debt, we could afford them. They believed those tax cuts would stimulate our economy further and create millions of new jobs. In the words of then-Vice President Cheney, it was a time when “deficits don't matter.”

I did not support the tax cuts for the wealthy in 2001 or 2003, for much the same reason I don't support them today. I voted against them as a Member of the House of Representatives. In fact, I'll remind this body that the extension of the Bush tax cuts in 2003 was only possible because Republicans pushed them through on a reconciliation vote, which requires only a simple majority. It took Vice President Cheney to break the tie vote. I sincerely wish those tax cuts had effectively spurred sustained job growth. I do. But unfortunately, the next decade saw a decline in our economy such as we haven't seen since the Great Depression—banks failed, foreclosures reached a crisis point, we were forced to bail out financial institutions, an insurance giant, and the auto companies to keep the economy from crashing further. During that time, real income for average households decreased and the

unemployment rate nearly doubled, as millions of workers were laid off.

If tax cuts for the wealthy among us were an efficient way to spur innovation and investment, I have to believe economists would be telling us to continue them. But here is what they are actually saying: Economists of all stripes are telling us extending tax cuts for the wealthy is one of the least effective ways to create jobs and build the economy. Even some of America's most successful businessmen, Bill Gates and Warren Buffett, among those who stand to gain dramatically from the bill before us, have urged us to prioritize seniors, long-term economic growth, and job creation instead. They know what recent history has shown—that tax cuts for millionaires and billionaires do not help our economy, and it certainly doesn't help our national debt.

Just over 1 week ago I stood here with all of my colleagues and voted to support a proposal that would have followed the advice of economists, Bill Gates, and Warren Buffett and extended relief to middle-class families. Most importantly, that plan would have heeded the overwhelming wishes of Coloradans—and Americans across our country, who do not believe it makes common sense to extend tax cuts for the rich. Americans understand, maybe better than many of us in Washington, DC, that middle-class tax relief is the way to spur our economy. To a family making \$50,000 a year, an \$800 tax cut could make the difference between paying for daycare or health insurance or a second car so both parents could work.

As more and more Americans become the first in their families to stand in an unemployment line, I find it hard to explain or justify last week's filibuster preventing middle-class tax relief so millionaires and billionaires can get an extra six-figure check from the Federal Government.

We have heard all kinds of arguments for extending tax cuts for the wealthiest Americans, and we have been told this bill represents the best deal we could get in order to bring further tax relief to middle-class Americans. But, again, those arguments are based on political pragmatism not a truthful or objectively measured analysis of the actual impact on our budget deficit. That is why the cochair of the President's deficit commission, Erskine Bowles, a university president who knows the impact our budget crisis has had on our States, on education, and on families, has spoken out against this irresponsible tax deal for wealthy Americans. He said:

I'm deeply disappointed that we have this short-term deal and it's not linked to long-term fiscal restraint.

I think that hits the nail on the head.

I take no pleasure in opposing most of my colleagues today. I have long

held the view that by working together, we can bridge divides and find solutions that are both pragmatic, collaborative and factually sound.

I regret that the bill before us speaks more to our failure as an institution than it does as an example of effective compromise. This deal is about politics and a President backed into a terrible corner by a looming deadline when this Congress must adjourn and a new one will take its place.

The bill we are considering today is where the negotiations should have started—not where they ended. We should be voting on a plan that would allow us to extend tax relief for working families and put the \$700 billion we would save over a decade toward our deficit, unemployment insurance, tax credits for low-income Americans, and other ways to get our economy growing.

We should be voting on a plan—a compromise negotiated in good faith and based on the realities of our economy and not a date on the calendar—whose economic and fiscal impacts can be verified.

That is what I wish had been negotiated. And I would prefer to stay here in Washington, through the holidays if necessary, to work out a better deal for the Coloradans I represent, and for the American people.

Mr. President, I sincerely fear that the bad choices made in the last decade will haunt us through the next decade. For these reasons, the legislation before us today is a step too far, and that is why I oppose it.

I yield the floor.

Mr. DODD. Mr. President, I rise today to speak about the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization and Job Creation Act. I realize that this legislation has stoked intense passions both among Members of this body and the public at large, and I would like to take a few moments to explain my thoughts regarding this legislation and my vote here today.

I have served in this Chamber for nearly 30 years now. And during that time, I have frequently been confronted with the extremely difficult necessity of voting for legislation that, while deeply flawed, includes provisions that are incredibly important for the well-being of the American people. Today is no exception.

Indeed, to say that the tax legislation we are voting on today leaves much to be desired is a vast understatement. There is quite a bit about this legislation that I find extremely objectionable.

By extending tax cuts for the wealthiest Americans, including the top 3 percent in our country for 2 additional years, what we are ultimately doing is driving our country deeper into debt with foreign creditors, forcing damaging funding cuts during already tight budgetary times, and increasing the burden of paying for our

excess on our children and grandchildren.

This legislation would also create generous new parameters for the estate tax, raising the exemption level to \$10 million for couples and reducing the top tax rate to 35 percent—providing millions of dollars in tax breaks to the 39,000 wealthiest Americans. Indeed, in my own State, it is likely that fewer than 100 estates will actually be subject to any tax under the estate tax provision included in this bill.

And that is to say nothing of the fact that the agreement we are voting on today fails to extend numerous successful programs included in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, like the TANF emergency contingency fund and the COBRA premium subsidy, or that the provisions that will actually stimulate economic growth are only extended for a mere year.

But in spite of my strenuous objections to much of what is included in this bill, I believe it would be a grave mistake for us to defeat this measure today. Because while it would be incredibly easy to simply vote against this legislation and head home, the truth is that what is at stake here is far more than my opposition to tax breaks for our Nation's wealthiest families.

At the end of the day, this is about the well-being of the American people, far too many of whom are hurting during this period of continued economic turmoil and uncertainty. In Connecticut, nearly 9.1 percent of the State's workforce—some 172,400 men and women—were out of work in October alone. And nationally, the numbers are even worse.

So, while there is much in this legislation that merits indignation, the fact remains that there are many provisions that are far too important to all those Americans who have fallen on hard times over the last several years to warrant its defeat.

Besides extending tax breaks for the wealthiest Americans, this legislation will also extend tax cuts for middle class families making under \$250,000 annually, putting additional cash in the pockets of working Americans and their families.

This legislation will also extend and expand two critically important tax credits for lower income families for 2 additional years—the \$1,000 child tax credit and the earned-income tax credit. Together, these provisions will benefit millions of working families and their children at a time when they need these benefits the most.

And perhaps most importantly, this legislation renews Federal emergency unemployment insurance through the end of 2011, preventing nearly 7 million Americans who have lost their jobs in the current recession—including nearly 80,000 in the State of Connecticut from prematurely losing their benefits next year as they look for work.

So, while my decision to vote in favor of this legislation today was incredibly difficult to make, I nevertheless believe it is the right one. Simply put, while it is a difficult pill to swallow, the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization and Job Creation Act—the Middle Class Tax Relief Act—represents the best chance we have right now to extend some critically important benefits for working families in this country. These are the people who have been hit the hardest by the current recession, and as their representatives, I believe we owe it to them to provide some relief, even if it does come in the form of the flawed legislation before us today.

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. President, I believe that before this Congress adjourns we must extend unemployment benefits that are so vital to the economic survival of many American families and to our economic recovery. I also believe we must ensure that working families are not hit with a tax increase that endangers our recovery. But the legislation before us exacts a high price, and it should be amended to accomplish those goals without giving unwarranted benefits to the wealthiest Americans. Unfortunately, the procedure under which it is intended for us to consider it will apparently give us no opportunity to correct its shortcomings.

The tax cuts included in this bill, while they would benefit working families, are too skewed toward the well-off, and would exacerbate a growing trend of income inequality in our country. Today, the wealthiest 1 percent of Americans receive about one-quarter of total U.S. income. Thirty years ago, they earned only about 10 percent of total U.S. income. Not only have incomes for the wealthiest sector of the population continued to grow. Incomes for middle-class families have been stagnant and have actually fallen when adjusted for inflation.

This unconscionable inequality will only increase as a result of the estate tax provisions in the bill before us. The pending legislation would exempt the first \$5 million of estates from any tax, and tax remaining amounts at 35 percent. This is far more generous to the wealthy than the \$3.5 million exemption and 45 percent tax that was law before this year. Just a few thousand Americans would benefit from this generous provision, but the cost to the treasury is huge.

Now, our Republican colleagues have argued that all of that inequality is a necessity, because only if we extend these enormous benefits for our wealthiest citizens will our economy continue its recovery. But, we know that this is not true. We know, in fact, that tax breaks for the wealthy have little impact on the economy as a whole.

As independent experts from the Congressional Budget Office and Congressional

Research Service, from the Federal Reserve and the National Bureau of Economic Research, from academia and the private sector have all demonstrated, tax cuts for the wealthy do a great deal to add to their savings, but do not stimulate the economy. Economist Mark Zandi, who has advised members of both parties, estimates that in terms of bang for the buck, extending the tax cuts expiring at the end of this year will boost the economy by just 29 cents for every dollar they cost. Compare that to Mr. Zandi's estimate that we would get \$1.64 worth of economic boost for every dollar of enhanced unemployment benefits.

In fact, there are few people other than our Republican colleagues who believe that tax cuts have a large positive effect on the economy. Bruce Bartlett, a conservative economist, summed up what is nearly a consensus view among economists this way:

The truth is that there is virtually no evidence in support of the Bush tax cuts as an economic elixir. To the extent that they had any positive effect on growth, it was very, very modest. Their main effect was simply to reduce the government's revenue, thereby increasing the budget deficit, which all Republicans claim to abhor.

This legislation does include some very important measures that will help working American families, boost the economy and increase employment. First among them is the extension of unemployment benefits, which, I remind my colleagues, does not provide additional weeks of benefits beyond the current 99-week maximum, but does continue the current emergency benefits that have helped millions of families. As I mentioned before, these benefits are a valuable tool in building the economic recovery. As Congressional Budget Office Director Doug Elmendorf has testified:

The largest effect on the economy per dollar of budgetary cost would arise from a temporary increase in aid to the unemployed.

But beyond the positive effect on the economy, extending these benefits is the right thing to do. The Americans who depend on these benefits to put food on the table and shelter overhead did not throw the economy into crisis. They did not profit from the recklessness that brought so much profit to so few. Helping the jobless is simply the right thing to do.

Indeed, it is outrageous that our Republican colleagues have insisted that we can only help those in great need if we also provide enormous benefits to the wealthiest among us. They hold hostage aid to those in need unless we include tax cuts for those who have no such need. I know there were some Republicans who objected when President Obama used this same language in describing their position on this issue. I would say to them that if people do not want to be called hostage takers, they should not take hostages.

And we cannot forget that the result of these tax cuts for the wealthiest among us is the addition of billions upon billions of dollars to the deficit. Our Republican colleagues, who have called so loudly for government to live within its means, seem to live in a world of magical accounting, where the impact on the deficit of tax cuts for the well-off can be ignored. Over the next two years, the measures in this legislation that Republicans have set as the price for tax cuts for the middle class and aid to the jobless will add more than \$100 billion to the deficit. At a time when Washington is awash in deficit-reduction plans that would impose draconian cuts to important Federal programs, we simply cannot afford to do that.

Now, there is a traditional solution to the problems with this bill. That solution is debate and amendment. There is no reason why those of us who oppose portions of this legislation should not have the opportunity to air our objections, propose remedies to them and place them before the Senate. But the procedure under which the Senate will consider this bill will apparently not allow us to do so.

While the problems in this legislation are significant, the apparent inability for Senators to offer improvements amendments also affects my thinking on the cloture motion before us. Even an abbreviated amendment process would provide the chance to make the case for a more equitable bill. While efforts to amend the bill might not be successful, it is unacceptable to me that we would not even have the chance. Under those circumstances, I cannot agree to this motion. If we defeat this cloture motion, hopefully we would be able to take up a better bill and debate it. I believe we must fight harder and fight longer, to the end of December if necessary, for a bill that extends unemployment benefits and takes other steps that are essential to the hopes of working families, a bill that is more fiscally prudent, a bill that does not extract the high price that this bill extracts.

If given the chance to address its flaws, I believe the Senate can produce sounder legislation. I hope we will reject this motion for cloture so that we can consider legislation that provides tax relief to middle-income families and aid to those in need without handing billions in unneeded and deficit-increasing benefits to the wealthiest among us.

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. Mr. President, I rise today in support of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010, which will prevent tax increases on middle-class Americans, provide targeted investments in American businesses, and continue much-needed relief for the unemployed.

After wrestling with my concerns about upper-class tax rates and the es-

tate tax provision, I have come to the conclusion that this bill is necessary in order to preserve and promote our economic recovery.

Between the extension of tax rates for the middle class, the patch for the alternative minimum tax, and several extensions of previous tax policy, almost \$500 billion goes toward preventing a substantial tax increase on working Americans.

A tax increase on that scale would significantly hamper the economy and place further strain on families struggling to stay afloat during uncertain economic times.

The words spoken by former President Clinton last week underscore a strong point made by a man who knows something about how to forge legislation necessary to move our Nation forward.

He said:

The agreement taken as a whole is, I believe, the best bipartisan agreement we can reach to help the largest number of Americans, and to maximize the chances that the economic recovery will accelerate and create more jobs . . .

That is what we must do: focus as much effort as possible on creating jobs and supporting industry in the United States. We must adapt to the changing contours of the global economy and ensure we retain our competitive position as the world's greatest economic power.

I was very pleased to hear that the Treasury grant provision was included in this package. It illustrates the kind of policy necessary to encourage the industries of the future.

The Treasury grant program is responsible for \$18.2 billion in renewable energy development in just the past 2 years. That is 1,465 projects around the country.

With the program extended, California alone could see at least 141 more projects break ground next year. That would mean an additional 27,000 megawatts of energy and tens of thousands of jobs in high-unemployment counties.

High-tech companies in California and across the country will also benefit from a 2-year extension of a key research-and-development tax credit.

And the president of the National Association of Manufacturers called this provision "key to manufacturers' competitiveness and ability to create jobs."

In the end, that is the bottom line. Will the economy be substantially better off if this bill passes? If the answer is yes, then there is simply no other option.

In the past few days I have spoken to a number of prominent economists about what this bill would mean for our economic recovery. With unemployment levels at 9.8 percent nationally and 12.4 percent in California, it is crucial that the economy start growing

at a fast enough rate to create more jobs.

The consensus is that the provisions before us will immediately hasten the pace of the recovery, creating enough momentum to get us to the point of self-sustaining economic growth.

However, we must follow this bill with measures that address tax reform in order to create a simplified system that addresses the inequalities that have become apparent over the last decade.

From 2003 to 2007, income for families in the top 5 percent of taxpayers increased by 7 percent, while 95 percent of taxpayers' incomes remained stagnant.

The average income of the top 1 percent of earners increased by 10 times as much as that for the bottom 90 percent.

During the expansion of 2002 to 2007, families saw their medium income drop by \$2,000, the first time Americans have seen their incomes drop during a period of economic growth.

In 2007, the top 10 percent took home almost half of the country's total earnings, the highest level of income inequality in our Nation's history.

Clearly, our current Tax Code does not work for most Americans. As we get back on our feet, we must shift our focus to economic policies that promote opportunity and allow for the continued pursuit of the American dream.

The point today is that we have two options. We can swallow our distaste for a few of the provisions included in this package for the sake of struggling Americans everywhere.

Or we can take a big risk with the economic recovery by allowing tax rates to reset to 1990s levels. The people that would hurt the most are the very same people we are trying to help.

I choose to do what is absolutely necessary for the benefit of the Americans that need help most, and that is why I will support this bill.

Mr. FEINGOLD. Mr. President, while this proposal contains a number of provisions I support, including an extension of desperately needed unemployment benefits as well as a 2-year extension of the middle-class tax cuts, it fails in at least one critical respect. Rather than include a combination of responsible spending cuts and revenue increases to offset its projected cost of nearly \$900 billion, the proposal instead just adds its cost to our already massive national debt. This measure adds more to our national debt than either the stimulus bill, which I supported, or the Wall Street bailout, which I opposed. There may be good arguments to postpone fully paying for these tax cuts or, alternatively, for offsetting their cost over a number of years to avoid undermining the fragile economic recovery. But, like the Baucus and Schumer proposals I opposed earlier this month, the measure before us

fails to make even the most modest effort to pay for these tax cuts. Instead, it heaps \$900 billion onto an already mountainous level of debt that we are asking our children and grandchildren to bear. And much of this money will go toward unjustified tax cuts for the wealthiest Americans.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Iowa?

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, how much time is on our side?

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator has 12½ minutes.

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, this bill is about stopping the biggest tax increase in the history of the country that will happen if we do not pass something between now and the end of the year. That happens because the 2001 tax law, the present tax policy, was only good for 10 years, and it sunsets. So you go back to the big tax policy we had, the high tax policy we had in the year 2000.

We are passing this now because of a simple rule of economics: you should not increase taxes during an economic recession. With nearly 10 percent unemployment, we are still, obviously, in a recession.

Some on the other side supported the President's earlier proposal when he wanted to maintain the existing tax policy just for those below a \$200,000-a-year income. The Senate did not support that proposal, and it is clear that proposal could not pass. I know that can be a difficult thing. Over the years I have seen proposals I thought were good and just and that I cared passionately about defeated in the Senate. But you just move on, so that is what our President has done. He has moved on in a pragmatic spirit. He has put forward another proposal to prevent the biggest tax increase in the history of the country from happening. He doesn't view it as ideal, and few on my side of the aisle do as well.

For all of us, it is a balancing act. We want to stay true to our ideals. We also want to deliver practical results to our constituents.

I submit this bill does not increase taxes, it does not cut anybody's taxes, and that happens to be the right balance for the vast majority of us. But it also happens to be what is right for the economy now that we are in a recession.

Just 10 days ago, the unemployment rate ticked up to 9.8 percent. In July it was at 9.5 percent. The trend is in the wrong direction. We are in a fragile situation. The economy is clearly telling Congress: handle with extreme care. The majority of the economists surveyed by CNN Money says preventing the 2011 tax hikes is the No. 1 thing that Congress can do right now to help the economy. The survey results are on a chart, showing that 60 percent of the economists said preventing tax hikes on every American was the best course

of action to take at this particular time; that the economy is in a fragile situation.

We have the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office saying GDP growth will be far less if we let the biggest tax increase in the history of the country happen without Congress intervening. If the tax relief doesn't maintain at the present level, the economy would grow .3 percent less than if we do it the way the President originally wanted to do it, just for those people under \$200,000 a year income.

In other words, the economy will grow at 1.4 percent if we leave the tax policy of the last 10 years in place as opposed to taxing people who make over \$200,000 a year at a higher level. Then the economy would only grow at 1.1 percent.

Given the recession, given the high unemployment rate, given business's reluctance to invest and grow, we need to be especially sensitive to GDP growth. If it were just a matter of either the government got the money or the private sector, that would be one thing, as the government does have a deficit problem. But in this case it is a matter of money simply not being there because of the hit to the gross domestic product. We are talking about dead-weight loss.

For those who think taxing people more will bring in more revenue, I would put up a chart that expresses tax policy and the result of it over the last 50 years. We can see the red line that says there is an average of about 18.2 percent of all the wealth. We can see the red line shows for a 50-year average, about 18.2 percent of the gross national product has come to the Congress to spend, regardless of what the high marginal tax rates were—going back to 1993 and the Eisenhower administration, going down to 70 in the Kennedy administration, going down to 50 in the Reagan administration, going down to 26 in the Reagan administration, back up 40—almost 40 percent in H.W. Bush's administration, and then down to 35 percent where they are now. They could go back up to 40 percent if we do not intervene right now.

What this ought to tell everybody is, marginal tax rates do not make a difference, a big difference, in how much money comes into the Federal Treasury. The people of this country decided about how much they are going to give to us in Congress to spend out of the entire national income. It is about 18.2 percent regardless of where the marginal tax rates are.

It tells me that people, if they do not want to work, if they do not want to earn or if they want to hire people to legally avoid taxes, are going to do it, and we are only going to get so much.

Here is what the nonpartisan Joint Committee on Taxation says about this:

We anticipate that taxpayers would respond to the increased marginal rate by uti-

lizing tax-planning and tax-avoidance strategies that will decrease the amount of income subject to taxation.

That chart proves exactly what the nonpartisan Joint Committee on Taxes has said.

We have known about these looming tax hikes for a decade now. We should have acted many years ago. Now we have only 19 days to go before the tax hikes take effect. We are down to the wire, and we need to act. We need to act because it is what it takes to turn this economy around. The time to dither is over. The National Federation of Independent Business, the voice of small business, had this to say recently. Because of no action on expiring tax rates there is a "cloud of uncertainty, larger and darker. In response, consumer sentiment fell and owner optimism remained anchored solidly in recession territory. Thus, spending stayed in 'maintenance mode,' deterioration of jobs continues, and capital spending remains at historically low rates. Owners won't make spending commitments when sales prospects remain weak and important decisions such as tax rates and labor costs remain so uncertain."

That is the end of the quote from small business.

Uncertainty is the issue we have to deal with here. Passing this bill so the biggest tax hike in the history of the country will not happen is one thing that will bring some certainty, and maybe more certainty than anything else, to our economy.

The bottom line, as evidenced by this chart, is stop the tax hikes. It is time to leave the tax policy of the last 10 years in place so at least for the next 2 years people know they can hire and expand this economy and expand theirs.

I reserve the remainder of my time. How many minutes do I have?

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator has 2½ minutes.

Mr. GRASSLEY. I think, Mr. President, I am going to take 2½ minutes to address what the Senator from Arizona said about some of the provisions in this bill. We keep having ethanol referred to as a subsidy.

Let me tell you about some of the subsidies that are in this bill because you might think that ethanol is the only one. Think in terms of the research and development tax credit. That is subsidy for big business that has been around for 30 years. Think about the Indian employment tax credit, the subsidy for new market tax credits, the subsidy for railroad track maintenance credit, mine rescue team training credit, the subsidy for employer wage credit for employees who are on Active Duty in the uniformed services, the subsidy for 15-year straight line cost recovery for qualified leaseholder improvements, the subsidy for the 7-year recovery period for motor sports entertainment complexes.

I don't quite understand, when there are 72 provisions in this bill that expired on December 31, 2009, and they are just being continued as some of them have been for 30 years, how somebody today is going to say that is bad tax policy and they did not say it over the last 30 years, particularly when it comes to a time when we know we need a balanced alternative energy program—balanced for whatever can be alternative energy because God only made so much fossil fuel. Obviously, we ought to be using petroleum. But should we import more petroleum from the 10 percent of the fuel used in motor vehicles coming from ethanol? Do you believe we ought to have a good national security program that is less based upon the requirements of imported oil?

I think we ought to look at this balanced program as being one of fossil fuel, one of alternative energy, and one of conservation and ethanol and biodiesel and wind and solar and all that is part of a balanced program, and they all have tax incentives.

I yield the floor.

CLOTURE MOTION

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Pursuant to rule XXII, the clerk will report the motion to invoke cloture.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, hereby move to bring to a close debate on the motion to concur in the House amendment to the Senate amendment to H.R. 4853, the Middle Class Tax Relief Act, with an amendment No. 4753.

Max Baucus, Joseph I. Lieberman, John D. Rockefeller, IV, Byron L. Dorgan, John F. Kerry, Sheldon Whitehouse, Mark L. Pryor, Robert P. Casey, Jr., Richard J. Durbin, Mark R. Warner, Jeanne Shaheen, Ben Nelson, Evan Bayh, Christopher J. Dodd, Kent Conrad, Jim Webb, Bill Nelson, Amy Klobuchar.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. By unanimous consent, the mandatory quorum call has been waived.

The question is, Is it the sense of the Senate that debate on the motion to concur in the House amendment to the Senate amendment to H.R. 4853, the Federal Aviation Administration Extension Act of 2010, with amendment No. 4753, shall be brought to a close?

The yeas and nays are mandatory under the rule.

The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

(Mr. MANCHIN assumed the chair.)

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Oregon (Mr. MERKLEY) and the Senator from Oregon (Mr. WYDEN) are necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mrs. SHAHEEN). Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 83, nays 15, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 272 Leg.]

YEAS—83

Akaka	Durbin	McConnell
Alexander	Enzi	Menendez
Barrasso	Feinstein	Mikulski
Baucus	Franken	Murkowski
Bayh	Graham	Murray
Begich	Grassley	Nelson (NE)
Bennet	Gregg	Nelson (FL)
Bennett	Harkin	Pryor
Bond	Hatch	Reed
Boxer	Hutchison	Reid
Brown (MA)	Inhofe	Risch
Brownback	Inouye	Roberts
Bunning	Isakson	Rockefeller
Burr	Johanns	Schumer
Cantwell	Johnson	Shaheen
Cardin	Kerry	Shelby
Carper	Kirk	Snowe
Casey	Klobuchar	Specter
Chambliss	Kohl	Stabenow
Cochran	Kyl	Tester
Collins	Landrieu	Thune
Conrad	LeMieux	Udall (NM)
Coons	Lieberman	Vitter
Corker	Lincoln	Warner
Cornyn	Lugar	Webb
Crapo	Manchin	Whitehouse
Dodd	McCain	Wicker
Dorgan	McCaskill	

NAYS—15

Bingaman	Feingold	Levin
Brown (OH)	Gillibrand	Sanders
Coburn	Hagan	Sessions
DeMint	Lautenberg	Udall (CO)
Ensign	Leahy	Voinovich

NOT VOTING—2

Merkley Wyden

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote, the yeas are 83, the nays are 15. Three-fifths of the Senators duly chosen and sworn having voted in the affirmative, the motion is agreed to.

Mr. DORGAN. Madam President, I voted today to move forward with the tax package because I did not want to block the Senate from considering this legislation. But I do not support the bill in its current form, and I will not support it on final passage if there are not additional improvements made to it.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Illinois.

MODIFICATION OF AMENDMENT NO. 4753

Mr. DURBIN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that notwithstanding rule XXII, the Reid-McConnell amendment, No. 4753, in the motion to concur with respect to the House message on H.R. 4853, be modified with the technical change which is at the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The modification is as follows:

donor died as of the end of the calendar year, reduced by”.

(2) Section 2631(c) is amended by striking “the applicable exclusion amount” and inserting “the basic exclusion amount”.

(3) Section 6018(a)(1) is amended by striking “applicable exclusion amount” and inserting “basic exclusion amount”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying and gifts made after December 31, 2010.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT RELATING TO GENERATION-SKIPPING TRANSFERS.—The amendment made by subsection (b)(2) shall apply to generation-skipping transfers after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 304. APPLICATION OF EGTRRA SUNSET TO THIS TITLE.

Section 901 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 shall apply to the amendments made by this title.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. DURBIN. I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

REMEMBERING RANDY SMITH

Mr. McCONNELL. Madam President, I rise today to draw attention to the life and legacy of a good friend of mine, who sadly lost his battle with cancer. Randy Smith, the longtime executive director of the London-Laurel County Chamber of Commerce, died on November 19, 2010, at the age of 52 years old. For almost 17 years, Randy led the chamber and the entire community toward a stronger, fast-growing future. More than promoting the local businesses in the area, Randy dedicated his professional life to advancing the untapped potential and unique splendor of his community. He had the skills, personality, and intelligence to accomplish nearly everything he undertook—from creating some of the best civic events and festivals in the Commonwealth, to building a stronger chamber membership at a time when many small businesses are struggling.

There is no doubt that Randy's good humor will be deeply missed by all who knew him. But let there also be no doubt that Randy's record of accomplishment and legacy in Laurel County will never fade. The tenacity with which he fought his cancer for more than a year displayed the same trademark character that I have seen in him during our friendship of over a decade. No matter the issue, Randy Smith could be counted on to handle the challenge with the utmost integrity.

It is with a saddened heart that I ask my colleagues to join me in remembering the life and accomplishments of one of the Commonwealth's true unsung heroes. I would further ask that my colleagues join me in expressing our deepest sympathies to his wife Kim and their children Logan, Cameron, and Brianna. I would also like to share my sympathies with Randy's parents, Earl and Rosie Smith, as well as his brothers and sister, Phil and Eddie Smith and Sharon Benge.

The Sentinel Echo recently published a story remembering the life of Randy Smith and I ask unanimous consent that the text of that article be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD as follows:

[From the Sentinel Echo, Dec. 3, 2010]

RANDY SMITH LOSES BATTLE WITH CANCER
(By Nita Johnson/Staff Writer)

LAUREL COUNTY, Ky.—Long-time London-Laurel County Chamber of Commerce Executive Director Randy Smith lost his battle with cancer Friday morning, leaving a legacy of dedication to his community.

Ironically, Smith died the day of the 2010 Christmas on Main events—a celebration he was instrumental in starting in 1994, the first year he was named the Chamber's executive director.

According to his brother Phil, Smith died just after noon on Friday. He had been undergoing treatment for cancer at M.D. Anderson Cancer Center at the University of Texas in Houston since Nov. 2.

"There was a medical team there waiting for him to undergo radiation three days after we got here," Phil Smith said. "The treatments were to be completed Nov. 18 and Randy did OK. He was getting around on a walker, went outside and was doing well."

But on Nov. 17, things took a turn for the worse.

"Randy had a lung biopsy on Nov. 17 and it was very challenging to him physically," Phil added. "We were going to come home on Nov. 18, with our reservations to fly out at 2:20 p.m. Randy was ready to roll but around lunchtime he started having some shortness of breath. By that afternoon he was in ICU (Intensive Care Unit) and diagnosed with aspiration pneumonia. He was really struggling. He spent two weeks and a day in ICU but his cancer was advanced and with the pneumonia and becoming septic while in ICU, it was more than his body could handle."

Randy Smith's wife, Kim, children, parents, two brothers and their families were with him on Friday. Most of them had been there since Thanksgiving.

Randy Smith began having health complications in the spring of 2009. In August 2009 he was diagnosed with lung cancer and later on, with bone cancer. But his fighting spirit prevailed throughout his illness—a trait that Phil Smith said enabled his brother to fight the disease as long as he did.

"Randy was a battler with his condition. He's always been competitive and up for a challenge," Phil said. "I guess he saw the finish line God offered more rewarding than the finish line here."

His competitiveness is what many attribute to Smith's success with the Chamber of Commerce, said Holbert Hodges, who was president of the Chamber when Smith became a board member.

"I asked Randy to become a director and that's how he became involved with the Chamber, as a board member/director," Hodges said. "He was the heart and soul of the Chamber. He did a lot of things for this community and for people, a lot that he didn't want people to know about. He was dedicated, a hard worker, a good family man. When help was needed, Randy was always the first in line. He had all the traits necessary to represent the Chamber and was the steadying force behind its success."

Hodges said hearing of Smith's passing caused many memories to surface.

"I'm just sitting here having memories of Randy," Hodges said from his office on Friday afternoon. "We worked together through many good and bad times and he was the steadying force. His energy level amazed me. He's going to be missed."

Hodges said Smith was the driving force behind the annual Christmas on Main celebration.

"We wanted it to be more than just a parade and we came up with the idea to set up bleachers in front of the courthouse for choruses and choirs and to involve the schools and churches," Hodges said. "We wanted it so kids and grandparents and parents and aunts and uncles could come out. It was very successful."

Under Smith's leadership, the Chamber of Commerce sponsored many other events. The annual Red, White and Boom Independence Day celebration was instituted under Smith's helm at the Chamber. The annual Chamber banquet, which honored a local teacher from the elementary, middle and high school levels along with local business people, received great acclaim.

The Chamber also sponsored job fairs, career fairs, and most recently, the "Women in Business" awards. Though some of those programs have changed or are now under management by the Chamber's Ambassador Club and the London Downtown organization, Smith was the man who pushed these events to success.

Willie Sawyers, Sentinel-Echo publisher and Chamber of Commerce board member, knew Smith since elementary school and worked on various committees involved with the Chamber of Commerce.

"Randy and I are the same age. We went to school together, played basketball for many years and served on the Chamber together," Sawyers said. "We had a lot of personal conversations about dreams and goals for our community. I will greatly miss those conversations with him."

"Many of the good things happening right now in London and Laurel County are directly attributable to Randy's dedication and enthusiasm."

This sentiment was seconded by London City Mayor Troy Rudder, who tearfully praised Smith and his contributions to the city and county.

"We are extremely sad for the loss of Randy," Rudder said. "He has been very influential in the growth and prosperity of this city. Randy was one who was always willing to work or volunteer. When it came to this community, Randy was always the first one in line."

"My heart goes out to his family and this city is deeply saddened," he added.

Funeral arrangements are incomplete, and will be announced later.

RECOGNIZING UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE MEN'S SOCCER TEAM

Mr. McCONNELL. Madam President, I rise today to honor the remarkable accomplishments of the University of Louisville men's soccer team. Led by their coach, Ken Lolla, these young student athletes made it to the championship game of the NCAA Division I tournament. In a close competition against the University of Akron they suffered their first defeat by one goal as the score was one to zero. This team spent most of the season ranked number one over the rest of their opponents. Becoming NCAA men's soccer runners-up is an accomplishment that has brought a lot of positive energy to the university as well as the entire city of Louisville. The team has made their

university, city, Commonwealth and this Senator very proud. The Louisville Courier-Journal recently published an article describing the team's accomplishments. I ask unanimous consent that the full article be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD as follows:

NEAR-MISS A BIG HIT FOR UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE, CITY
(Eric Crawford)

The game had ended in a flurry of breathtaking near-misses for the University of Louisville men's soccer team. Akron's players now were filling the TV screens at Molly Malone's in St. Matthews, celebrating their national title. Then the applause started. Fans at other locations reported something similar. The mood hardly matched the disappointment of the U of L players as they flashed onto the screen following their 1-0 loss to Akron.

"What a great season," said Silas Boyle, a former Louisville Thunder player and the goalie for the 1975 Kentucky Country Day state championship team. "What a great job they did. There's no way not to be proud." No, there isn't. Not with Louisville sports bars turning their attention to another kind of football on an NFL Sunday.

Snow fell outside the bar for most of No. 1-ranked and previously unbeaten U of L's loss, just as it did in the final home game. As the game clock wound down, you could see out the windows the wet reflection of traffic and streetlights in the middle of a busy holiday scene.

It was a nice reminder that while the experience of a national championship game is something that coaches and players earn, for fans and program and city, it is a gift. It's a gift U of L teams have given twice in the past three seasons.

And as with the run to the national title game in women's basketball in 2009, this soccer run was designed by a coach who's hard not to respect, one who manages to coach the team without becoming its focal point.

David Horne, player and general manager for the Louisville Lightning professional team, said U of L coach Ken Lolla's reach extends far beyond his program, that he has quietly become a mentor for local coaches—"I read every book he mentions," Horne said—and a resource for players and clubs.

"To me, he could become a [John] Wooden-like influence," Horne said. "I know that's a big name, but that's how he carries himself and how he coaches. He's going to keep winning, and he does it the right way."

Akron, after controlling possession in the first half, exerted itself still more in the second. By the time the Zips scored in the 79th minute, U of L keeper Andre Bordeaux already had put together a highlight reel of saves.

Still, the Cardinals had some golden opportunities in the end, the last when two-time last-minute hero Aaron Horton's strike into a goal whose keeper had strayed was deflected on the ground by a defender's leg.

Another foot or two higher, and the score would've been tied. But after Horton's last-minute game-winners in back-to-back NCAA games, how much magic does one player's foot possess?

Instead, U of L comes home with a runner-up trophy and a new profile in college soccer. If you know athletic director Tom Jurich, you can go ahead and put a soccer facility expansion or enhancement on the stopwatch.

For soccer in Louisville, there's added energy—and perhaps some momentum in building the kind of much-needed soccer complex that a city this size already should have, one that would keep local clubs from having to travel to Bowling Green and other places for big tournaments.

For U of L, it's another NCAA championship chance in a sport that not long ago wasn't anywhere on the national landscape. "You just have to believe it's only the beginning for that program," Horne said as fans headed home Sunday night. "It's a really exciting thing for the sport in this city."

It's pretty rare around here for another kind of round ball to grab any part of the spotlight in December. For the local sports scene, it was a holiday gift. For Lolla and the Cardinals, the goal is to make it a holiday tradition.

NOTICE OF INTENT

Mr. DEMINT. Madam President, I submit the following notice in writing—"In accordance with rule V of the Standing Rules of the Senate, I hereby give notice in writing that it is my intention to move to suspend rule XXII for the purpose of proposing and considering the following amendment to the Senate amendment to the House amendment to H.R. 4853:

Strike all after the first word and insert the following:

1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Tax Relief Certainty Act".

TITLE I—PERMANENT TAX RELIEF

SEC. 101. REPEAL OF EGTRRA SUNSET.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 901 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 is repealed.

(b) SUNSET MAINTAINED FOR EXPANSION OF ADOPTION BENEFITS UNDER THE PATIENT PROTECTION AND AFFORDABLE CARE ACT.—Subsection (c) of section 10909 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act is amended to read as follows:

"(c) SUNSET PROVISION.—All provisions of, and amendments made by, this section shall not apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2011, and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall be applied and administered to such years as if such provisions and amendments had never been enacted."

SEC. 102. REPEAL OF JGTRRA SUNSET.

Section 303 of the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003 is repealed.

SEC. 103. TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.

The Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary's delegate shall not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, submit to the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Finance of the Senate a draft of any technical and conforming changes in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 which are necessary to reflect throughout such Code the purposes of the provisions of, and amendments made by, this Act.

TITLE II—PERMANENT INDIVIDUAL AMT RELIEF

SEC. 201. PERMANENT INDIVIDUAL AMT RELIEF.

(a) MODIFICATION OF ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX EXEMPTION AMOUNT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 55(d) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to exemption amount) is amended to read as follows:

"(1) EXEMPTION AMOUNT FOR TAXPAYERS OTHER THAN CORPORATIONS.—In the case of a taxpayer other than a corporation, the term 'exemption amount' means—

"(A) the dollar amount for taxable years beginning in the calendar year as specified in the table contained in paragraph (4)(A) in the case of—

"(i) a joint return, or

"(ii) a surviving spouse,

"(B) the dollar amount for taxable years beginning in the calendar year as specified in the table contained in paragraph (4)(B) in the case of an individual who—

"(i) is not a married individual, and

"(ii) is not a surviving spouse,

"(C) 50 percent of the dollar amount applicable under paragraph (1)(A) in the case of a married individual who files a separate return, and

"(D) \$22,500 in the case of an estate or trust.

For purposes of this paragraph, the term 'surviving spouse' has the meaning given to such term by section 2(a), and marital status shall be determined under section 7703."

(2) SPECIFIED EXEMPTION AMOUNTS.—Section 55(d) of such Code is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(4) SPECIFIED EXEMPTION AMOUNTS.—

"(A) TAXPAYERS DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPH (1)(A).—For purposes of paragraph (1)(A)—

"For taxable years beginning in—	The exemption amount is:
2010	\$72,450
2011	\$74,450
2012	\$78,250
2013	\$81,450
2014	\$85,050
2015	\$88,650
2016	\$92,650
2017	\$96,550
2018	\$100,950
2019	\$105,150
2020	\$109,950.

"(B) TAXPAYERS DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPH (1)(B).—For purposes of paragraph (1)(B)—

"For taxable years beginning in—	The exemption amount is:
2010	\$47,450
2011	\$48,450
2012	\$50,350
2013	\$51,950
2014	\$53,750
2015	\$55,550
2016	\$57,550
2017	\$59,500
2018	\$61,700
2019	\$63,800
2020	\$66,200."

(b) ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX RELIEF FOR NONREFUNDABLE CREDITS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a) of section 26 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended to read as follows:

"(a) LIMITATION BASED ON AMOUNT OF TAX.—The aggregate amount of credits allowed by this subpart for the taxable year shall not exceed the sum of—

"(1) the taxpayer's regular tax liability for the taxable year reduced by the foreign tax credit allowable under section 27(a), and

"(2) the tax imposed by section 55(a) for the taxable year."

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) ADOPTION CREDIT.—

(i) Section 23(b) of such Code, as in effect on December 31, 2009, is amended by striking paragraph (4).

(ii) Section 23(c) of such Code, as in effect on December 31, 2009, is amended by striking paragraphs (1) and (2) and inserting the following:

"(1) IN GENERAL.—If the credit allowable under subsection (a) for any taxable year exceeds the limitation imposed by section 26(a) for such taxable year reduced by the sum of the credits allowable under this subpart (other than this section and sections 25D and 1400C), such excess shall be carried to the succeeding taxable year and added to the credit allowable under subsection (a) for such taxable year."

(iii) Section 23(c) of such Code, as in effect on December 31, 2009 amended by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (2).

(B) CHILD TAX CREDIT.—

(i) Section 24(b) of such Code is amended by striking paragraph (3).

(ii) Section 24(d)(1) of such Code is amended—

(I) by striking "section 26(a)(2) or subsection (b)(3), as the case may be," each place it appears in subparagraphs (A) and (B) and inserting "section 26(a)", and

(II) by striking "section 26(a)(2) or subsection (b)(3), as the case may be" in the second last sentence and inserting "section 26(a)".

(C) CREDIT FOR INTEREST ON CERTAIN HOME MORTGAGES.—Section 25(e)(1)(C) of such Code is amended to read as follows:

"(C) APPLICABLE TAX LIMIT.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term 'applicable tax limit' means the limitation imposed by section 26(a) for the taxable year reduced by the sum of the credits allowable under this subpart (other than this section and sections 23, 25D, and 1400C)."

(D) SAVERS' CREDIT.—Section 25B of such Code is amended by striking subsection (g).

(E) RESIDENTIAL ENERGY EFFICIENT PROPERTY.—Section 25D(c) of such Code is amended to read as follows:

"(c) CARRYFORWARD OF UNUSED CREDIT.—If the credit allowable under subsection (a) exceeds the limitation imposed by section 26(a) for such taxable year reduced by the sum of the credits allowable under this subpart (other than this section), such excess shall be carried to the succeeding taxable year and added to the credit allowable under subsection (a) for such succeeding taxable year."

(F) CERTAIN PLUG-IN ELECTRIC VEHICLES.—Section 30(c)(2) of such Code is amended to read as follows:

"(2) PERSONAL CREDIT.—For purposes of this title, the credit allowed under subsection (a) for any taxable year (determined after application of paragraph (1)) shall be treated as a credit allowable under subpart A for such taxable year."

(G) ALTERNATIVE MOTOR VEHICLE CREDIT.—Section 30B(g)(2) of such Code is amended to read as follows:

"(2) PERSONAL CREDIT.—For purposes of this title, the credit allowed under subsection (a) for any taxable year (determined after application of paragraph (1)) shall be treated as a credit allowable under subpart A for such taxable year."

(H) NEW QUALIFIED PLUG-IN ELECTRIC VEHICLE CREDIT.—Section 30D(c)(2) of such Code is amended to read as follows:

"(2) PERSONAL CREDIT.—For purposes of this title, the credit allowed under subsection (a) for any taxable year (determined after application of paragraph (1)) shall be treated as a credit allowable under subpart A for such taxable year."

(I) CROSS REFERENCES.—Section 55(c)(3) of such Code is amended by striking “26(a), 30C(d)(2),” and inserting “30C(d)(2)”.

(J) FOREIGN TAX CREDIT.—Section 904 of such Code is amended by striking subsection (i) and by redesignating subsections (j), (k), and (l) as subsections (i), (j), and (k), respectively.

(K) FIRST-TIME HOME BUYER CREDIT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—Section 1400C(d) of such Code is amended to read as follows:

“(d) CARRYFORWARD OF UNUSED CREDIT.—If the credit allowable under subsection (a) exceeds the limitation imposed by section 26(a) for such taxable year reduced by the sum of the credits allowable under subpart A of part IV of subchapter A (other than this section and section 25D), such excess shall be carried to the succeeding taxable year and added to the credit allowable under subsection (a) for such taxable year.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

UNSUSTAINABLE FISCAL PATH

Mr. VOINOVICH. Madam President, since I joined the Senate almost 12 years ago, I have worked to ensure that Congress deals with our country's unsustainable national debt and budgets that are not balanced as far as the eye can see; to do this, we must undertake tax, entitlement and spending reform. As most of my colleagues know, since 2006, I worked conscientiously to create a commission that would undertake this task. My partner in the House was Congressman FRANK WOLF, and we introduced legislation we called Saving America's Future Economy, SAFE, which got 118 House co-sponsors. In the 111th Congress, JOE LIEBERMAN and I introduced the Senate bill and were gathering co-sponsors, then later combined our efforts with Senators CONRAD and GREGG, chair and ranking member of the Senate Budget Committee, to introduce a similar debt and deficit commission bill, which eventually did receive a vote in the Senate.

The Conrad-Gregg bill stipulated that if its commission's recommendations were supported by 14 of its 18 members, it would be fast-tracked for an up-or-down vote in both the House and Senate. One of my biggest disappointments in the Senate is that the Conrad-Gregg bill failed. I believe the reasons for that failure are already known by my colleagues, so I won't revisit that vote. And in any event, as I look back, even if it had passed the Senate, I am not so sure it would have passed the House because many in the Democratic leadership opposed it. Thankfully, sometimes there is good that blows in an ill-wind, and the President created his own debt commission by Executive Order with promises from Speaker PELOSI and Majority Leader REID that they would allow a vote in Congress if 14 of the 18 commissioners supported this commission's recommendations.

As you know, the President's commission, chaired by Alan Simpson and

Erskine Bowles, recently released its final report. I am supportive of the commission's proposal, understanding that there would have been a tremendous amount of negotiation if the report they released had been adopted and sent to Congress.

I am encouraged by the fact that 11 of 18 panelists endorsed the report, including my Senate colleagues TOM COBURN, MIKE CRAPO, JUDD GREGG, KENT CONRAD and DICK DURBIN. As far as I am concerned, they and the other commission members who voted in favor of the proposal are true patriots who had the courage to do what is right for our country, and for the future of our children and grandchildren.

As my colleague TOM COBURN said just before the commission's vote, “The time for action is now. We can't afford to wait until the next election to begin this process. Long before the skyrocketing cost of entitlements cause our national debt to triple and tax rates to double, our economy may collapse under the weight of this burden. We are already near a precipice. In the near future, we could experience a collapse in the value of the dollar, hyperinflation or other consequences that would force Congress to face a set of choices far more painful than those proposed in this plan.” Fast-tracking the commission's proposal for a vote during this lame-duck session would have shown Americans and the world that the Federal Government is, in fact, deeply concerned about the direction we are headed and is not oblivious.

The thing that is of grave concern to me is that in spite of the commission's hard work, it may be for naught. I think back to the Mack-Breaux Tax Overhaul Commission that President Bush created at my urging. I was pleased to see that many of their recommendations were incorporated by the President's current commission, and I urge my colleagues to look at the executive summary of the Mack-Breaux commission that was given to President Bush in 2005.

Frankly, I thought President Bush would review, tweak, and then send the Mack-Breaux recommendations to Congress. Unfortunately—and I have a great deal of respect for our former President—Congress never received a Presidential tax reform package for its consideration. I am anxious to read his book to see if he explains why he didn't do so. It was a missed opportunity for his administration, but more importantly it was a missed opportunity for the country. In my opinion, we would not be in the predicament we find ourselves in now had we addressed these issues in 2005 or 2006.

And so, here we are in a situation where we are on an unsustainable fiscal course caused by explosive and unchecked growth in spending and entitlement obligations without adequate funding. We have got an outdated tax

code that does not sufficiently encourage saving and economic growth, and a skyrocketing national debt that puts our credit-rating in serious jeopardy and should give all of us great pause.

I believe that the American people get it. They recognize that our fiscal situation is in the intensive care unit—on life support.

When speaking, I always ask the audience two questions: First, “Is your standard of living better than that of your parents?” They answer yes. The second is, “Do you believe your children's standard of living will be better than yours?” The overwhelming answer is no. Sometimes, I also ask whether they think they will see their Social Security when they retire. Almost no one raises their hand, unless they have grey hair like me.

In all of my 74 years I have never seen such fear, uncertainty, and concern about the future. I would also point out that it is not only the American people who think we are oblivious to the looming fiscal crisis; just ask the Europeans, the Chinese, and others around the globe who fund our spending addiction. Many Americans don't realize that foreign governments hold nearly 50 percent of our public debt, and we are going to ask them to keep on purchasing more. Moreover, many have failed to realize just how bad this spending addiction has gotten. I remember speaking at the Brussels Forum last year, and the Europeans were asking us for more money for NATO. When I pointed out to them that we were borrowing 41 cents for every dollar we spend, a hush fell over the room.

It is time for us to do what needs to be done to fix our country's looming fiscal crisis. So I would like to say to my colleagues that when we vote on the Obama bipartisan tax fix, my vote will be NO. I'm not for any of the compromises. I am not for borrowing another \$800 billion dollars from China, Saudi Arabia, and other countries. To the contrary, I agree with David Walker, former Comptroller of the GAO and former President and CEO of the Peter G. Peterson Foundation, who said, “This ‘deal’ is not reasonable from an economic, fiscal and social equity perspective. The compromise evidently was ‘you give me my tax-cut extensions and more tax cuts, and I'll give you your spending increases.’ The result is a bigger bill for our kids, grandkids and future generations of Americans. It's time for Washington to wake up and start dealing with our structural deficits.” Maya MacGuineas, president of the Committee for a Responsible Federal Budget, had even stronger words: “This feels more than a bit surreal. On the heels of the work of the White House Fiscal Commission last week on how to get control of the national debt, the White House and Members of Congress choose to engage

in a negotiation that involves adding increasingly larger amounts to the debt? It's utterly exasperating."

Madam President, I feel the exact same way. It's time to stop kicking the can down the road and let these tax provisions expire and, as a result, force Congress and the President to make the tough choices about not only these taxes, but the entire tax and entitlement crisis facing our country. Perhaps then we would have enough anger from our constituents that we would act to reform a tax system that is far too complicated and does not encourage the growth we need. I would like to remind my colleagues that since 1986, there have been over 15,000 changes to the Internal Revenue Code and it costs all of us \$240 billion each year to prepare our tax returns. Think of it. If we could simplify the code and make it cheaper to comply even by 50 percent each year, we would save the American taxpayers \$120 billion.

If these expiring cuts are not extended, you can count on it that everyone will take an interest in seeing that we finally deal with a broken tax and entitlement system. Then, perhaps, we will finally get the reforms that will get the floundering ship that is our country back on even keel. It will restore people's faith in the future of America as well as give comfort to the rest of the world—especially our creditors—that we are on the path to fiscal responsibility. It's time for us to face up to what needs to be done.

I will not, after working my butt off from the day I got here to address a broken tax and entitlement system, have one of my final votes as a U.S. Senator be to kick the can down the road by extending these tax provisions and assuming that our fiscal ills will be taken care of next year. Because, you know what, if the history of our recent Congress is any indication of what will happen, nothing will get done.

Just a few weeks ago, when the President's commission released its report, we started to gain momentum towards these long-overdue reforms. We need to take advantage of that momentum. I feel like we are coming to the last mile in a marathon, and rather than push through to the end, we are about to turn around and go back.

My 45-year experience in government has been that in the absence of a crisis, the tough, but necessary, measures that need to be taken are not taken. If the tax cuts and other provisions expire, you can count on it that everyone will take an interest in seeing that we finally all come to the table to work to address these fundamental issues. It will restore people's faith in the future of America as well as give comfort that we, our country's leaders, understand the need to put our country on a path to fiscal responsibility.

I have a modest proposal. The President should reconvene the same group

of individuals that worked on the Bowles-Simpson commission and insist that they continue their dialogue and put a package together that the President can submit to the 112th Congress as soon as possible.

By the way, where is the President's leadership? The New York Times reported that the Obama administration is considering comprehensive reforms, but other reports indicate that, once again, it's more talk without action because no working groups are in the works, no Executive-Congressional meetings have been scheduled. The President needs to get his key folks back to the table with Congress to see if they can't come up with some sort of compromise based on the best commonsense, reasonable, and fiscally responsible proposals that we've heard from his Commission.

And so, to my friends that will remain in the Senate for the 112th Congress, and to those that will be new to this body, God bless you. You have the future of our Nation and the future of my children and grandchildren in your hands.

During my time in office, I have tried my best. I have tried my very best. I am pleased with some of the progress that we've made, but believe-you-me, for someone who's been in government service for 45 years, as I have already said, nothing happens unless you have a crisis. So that's what we need. A crisis that says now is the time to deal with tax and entitlement reform; now is the time to deal with budget reform; now is the time to curb our spending addiction and growing national debt; now is the time to balance our budgets.

Madam President, I pray that the Holy Spirit will inspire my colleagues to make the right decisions for our country's future. I pray that the results between now and the end of the year will not be another "kick the can down the road—we'll take care of it later," scenario. Madam President, my time in public office has run out, and from my perspective, so has the country's. Our national debt is one of the most important problems we face. Our failure to begin to address this fiscal crisis now will damage our economy, our national and domestic security, and the kind of future we leave to our children and grandchildren.

NOMINATION OF DARRELL BELL

Mr. BAUCUS. Madam President, I rise today in strong support of President Obama's nominee Darrell Bell for U.S. Marshal for the District of Montana, and I call on my colleagues to support his confirmation. As the former police chief for the City of Billings—Montana's largest community—Darrell possesses the qualities necessary to lead Montana's U.S. Marshal's Office. For the last 3½ decades, Darrell has served Montana's law enforcement

community with passion and expertise. Since 2006, Darrell has served as a criminal investigator for the Montana Department of Justice, Gambling Control Division.

Darrell served over 30 years with the Billings Police Department, including 5 as the Deputy Chief of Police. Originally from Joliet, Darrell graduated from the Montana Law Enforcement Academy and began his career with the Billings Police Department as a patrolman in 1974. Working his way up the ranks, Darrell has served as a sergeant and then lieutenant of Operations Division as well as captain for the Investigations, Training, and Support Services Division. Upon the request of Billings city administrator in 2005, then-Deputy Chief of Police Bell stepped in to become the Interim Chief of Police. Darrell has served Montana and his community on the executive boards for high-intensity drug trafficking areas and the Montana chiefs of police.

Darrell has a proven track record of bringing folks together, working with local, State, and Federal law enforcement officials to provide a safe environment for Montana's communities. Darrell's experience and leadership in law enforcement will truly be an asset for Montana's U.S. Marshal's Office. Mr. President, I congratulate Darrell on his nomination, in recognition of his continued service to the State of Montana, and I urge the Senate to confirm Darrell Bell's nomination.

TRIBUTE TO MARY MAUGHAN

Mr. BENNETT. Madam President, as I leave the Senate, I want to recognize one staffer in particular, Ms. Mary Maughan. Mary has served for 18 years on my Utah staff. Mary also worked with me on my first campaign for the Senate in 1992. She was the second I person hired on that campaign and has been a loyal and trusted advisor ever since.

Mary brought to my office vast experience, which provided a solid foundation for her service on my staff during my time in the Senate. She was raised in a military family as an Air Force "brat" and consequently built a deep understanding of military culture and operations. Later, as a Foreign Service officer with the Department of State, she spent 18 months in Vietnam during the war and expanded her personal experience and expertise while working in civilian and military-support offices at both provincial and regional levels. She added to her resume with further experience in Washington, where she focused on Middle Eastern issues as the Iraqi desk officer at the Department of State. She also did temporary duty working on Israeli affairs at the Department of Commerce. Embassy duty in Europe and professional and personal travel in significant and volatile areas of the world helped expand her international credentials.

Mary put these credentials to work as part of my Senate staff. She specializes in military and veterans affairs, Department of State and other international issues, as well as homeland security, Department of Justice, and other related programs. Her expertise and fine performance eventually helped her to advance to the position of senior adviser.

I thank Mary for her tireless dedication to the people of Utah and her loyalty and honorable service as a member of my staff for almost two decades. It is with sincere appreciation and gratitude that I congratulate her on her retirement. I look forward to continuing our association in the years to come.

IOWA CITY WEST HIGH SCHOOL

Mr. GRASSLEY. Madam President, I would like to congratulate the students from Iowa City West High School who will participate in the We the People: The Citizen and the Constitution national finals in Washington, DC. More than 1,200 students from across the United States will visit Washington, DC to take part in the national finals of We the People: The Citizen and the Constitution, the most extensive educational program in the country developed specifically to educate young people about the U.S. Constitution and Bill of Rights. The We the People program run by the Center for Civic Education, with the help of Federal funding, provides an outstanding curriculum that promotes civic competence and responsibility among elementary and secondary students.

I am proud to announce that the students from Iowa City West High School, through their knowledge of the U.S. Constitution, won the State competition and thus were given the distinction of representing Iowa in the national finals.

While in Washington, the students will participate in a three-day academic competition that simulates a congressional hearing in which they "testify" before a panel of judges. Students demonstrate their knowledge and understanding of constitutional principles and have opportunities to evaluate, take, and defend positions on relevant historical and contemporary issues. In the end, students take away a solid understanding of the origin of American constitutional democracy as well as the contemporary relevance of our founding documents and ideals. In short, it produces better citizens.

I would like to personally recognize the Iowa City West students who are on the team that will represent Iowa in the national finals, Guo Chen, Jinny Guo, Yelena Shabelnik, John Alatalo, Cole Anderson, Bennett Mueller, Rebecca Wen, Elizabeth Wang, Evan Davis, Eleanor Marshall, Margaret Shultz, Katherine Yang, Trevor Clinkenbeard, Mark Mellecker, Brad

Ockenfels, Ray Ockenfels, Nik Hlebotwitsch, Sara McAndrew, Jessica Sheldon, Asya Bergal, Joe Henderson, Hilah Kohen, Jacob Larson, Elizabeth Vandenberg, and teacher Gary Neuzil.

I wish these students the best of luck at the We the People national finals and applaud their outstanding achievement.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

COLORADO KIDS' MEDAL OF HONOR RECIPIENTS

• Mr. BENNET. Madam President, today I wish to honor two young heroes from Colorado, who will receive the organization 9-1-1 for Kids' Medal of Honor this week. The medal is bestowed on a young person who distinguishes himself or herself by calling 9-1-1 in an emergency and helps save someone's life or report a crime. An award is also presented to the dispatcher who processed the call and provided the appropriate emergency response.

Earlier this year, Nathan Averhoff, a third grader at Leroy Drive Elementary School in Northglenn, and Abigail Vicioso, a third grader at Skyview Elementary School in Thornton, both found themselves in a difficult situation involving one of their parents.

Nathan, who is 9 years old, called the Thornton Communication Center on April 23 when his mom began having a seizure. Dispatcher Edward Coddington answered Nathan's call and stayed on the phone with him for 6 minutes as Nathan provided updates on his mom's condition. Nathan remained calm and said his dad had told him what to do in case of a medical emergency. Once the seizure began to subside, Nathan held his mom's head to avoid further injury. His quick actions meant that there was no delay in seeking medical attention for his mom.

On September 8, 9-year-old Abby called 9-1-1 on her cell phone when she found her mother on the bathroom floor, dizzy, weak and vomiting. Dispatcher Karen Salazar of the city of Thornton 9-1-1 Dispatch Center answered her call. Although Abby was scared and worried about her mom, she answered Karen's questions clearly and calmly, enabling Karen to get the proper emergency assistance to Abby's mom, who was eventually transported to a local hospital.

Both of these kids knew exactly what to do. They didn't panic, and they made sure their moms got the care they needed. They and the dispatchers who helped them serve as a great example of how important it is for kids to know what to do when trouble arises.

The organization 9-1-1 for Kids has made it its mission to ensure that kids of all ages understand the importance

and proper use of 9-1-1. It does so by raising awareness through conferences, media outreach, training activities, school events, and by highlighting the stories of kids like Nathan and Abby.

I join all Coloradans in offering our gratitude to dispatchers Edward Coddington and Karen Salazar for their service to their communities and congratulate Nathan and Abby for this award as well as their bravery and calm in the face of an emergency.●

TRIBUTE TO MCKENZIE HALEY

• Mr. THUNE. Madam President, today I recognize McKenzie Haley, Miss Rodeo America 2011. McKenzie, a native of my own home State of South Dakota, was crowned Miss Rodeo America on December 4, 2010, at the National Finals Rodeo in Las Vegas, NV. McKenzie holds a long history of rodeo titles including Junior Miss Rodeo South Dakota 2004, South Dakota High School Rodeo Queen 2005-2006, Miss Black Hills Stock Show and Rodeo 2009, before being crowned Miss Rodeo South Dakota in July of 2009.

McKenzie will spend the next year attending more than 100 rodeos across the United States promoting and serving as a spokesperson for the sport of rodeo. McKenzie is a true ambassador and shining example of South Dakota spirit and values. I wish her all the best and congratulate her on her accomplishments as she embarks on her exciting journey as Miss Rodeo America 2011.●

MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT

Messages from the President of the United States were communicated to the Senate by Mr. Pate, one of his secretaries.

EXECUTIVE MESSAGES REFERRED

As in executive session the Presiding Officer laid before the Senate messages from the President of the United States submitting sundry nominations which were referred to the appropriate committees.

(The nominations received today are printed at the end of the Senate proceedings.)

MEASURES PLACED ON THE CALENDAR

The following bill was read the second time, and placed on the calendar:

S. 4023. A bill to provide for the repeal of the Department of Defense policy concerning homosexuality in the Armed Forces known as "Don't Ask, Don't Tell".

EXECUTIVE AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

The following communications were laid before the Senate, together with

accompanying papers, reports, and documents, and were referred as indicated:

EC-8453. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Bulk Solid Hazardous Materials: Harmonization with the International Maritime Solid Bulk Cargoes (IMSBC) Code" ((RIN1625-AB47) (Docket No. USCG-2009-0091)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8454. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Regulated Navigation Area; Greenville Bridge Demolition, Lower Mississippi River Mile 531.3, AR, MS" ((RIN1625-AA11) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0693)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8455. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Special Local Regulations for Marine Events; Wrightsville Channel, Wrightsville Beach, NC" ((RIN1625-AA08) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0813)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8456. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Arkansas Waterway, Pine Bluff, AR" ((RIN1625-AA09) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0441)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8457. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Vessel Traffic Service Lower Mississippi River" ((RIN1625-AA58) (Docket No. USCG-1998-4399)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8458. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Safety Zone: Passenger Vessels, Sector Southeastern New England Captain of the Port Zone" ((RIN1625-AA87) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0864)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8459. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Great Mississippi Balloon Race and Fireworks Safety Zone; Lower Mississippi River, Mile Marker 365.5 to Mile Marker 363, Natchez, MS" ((RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0873)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8460. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Special Local Regulations for Marine Events; Patuxent River, Solomons, MD" ((RIN1625-

AA08) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0383)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8461. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Special Local Regulation; Monongahela River, Pittsburgh, PA" ((RIN1625-AA08) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0534)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8462. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Safety Zone; CLS Fall Championship Hydroplane Race, Lake Sammamish, WA" ((RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0842)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8463. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Safety Zone; Fireworks for USS GRAVELY Commissioning Ceremony, Cape Fear River, Wilmington, NC" ((RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0917)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8464. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Safety Zone: Epic Roasthouse Private Party Firework Display, San Francisco, CA" ((RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0901)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8465. A communication from the Attorney Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Safety Zones; Temporary Change of Date for Recurring Fireworks Display within the Fifth Coast Guard District, Wrightsville Beach, NC" ((RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG-2010-0927)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8466. A communication from the Secretary of the Federal Trade Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Commission's sixth annual report on ethanol market concentration; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8467. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Office of Policy, Economics, and Innovation, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Oregon; Correction of Federal Authorization of the State's Hazardous Waste Management Program" (FRL No. 9236-8) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-8468. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Office of Policy, Economics, and Innovation, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Delaware; Limiting Emissions of Volatile Organic Com-

pounds from Portable Fuel Containers" (FRL No. 9237-9) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 9, 2010; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-8469. A communication from the Acting Director, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, transmitting, pursuant to law, the annual report of the Fish and Wildlife Service on reasonably identifiable expenditures for the conservation of endangered and threatened species for fiscal year 2009; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-8470. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to revision of U.S. Munitions List Category VII; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC-8471. A communication from the Chairman of the Federal Trade Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Semi-Annual Report of the Inspector General for the period from April 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8472. A communication from the Officer for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled "Report to Congress on the Department of Homeland Security Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Fiscal Year 2009 and Fourth Quarter 2009"; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8473. A communication from the Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Federal Acquisition Regulation; Technical Amendments" (FAC 2005-47) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 10, 2010; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8474. A communication from the Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Federal Acquisition Regulation; Limitation on Pass-Through Charges" (RIN9000-AL27) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 10, 2010; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8475. A communication from the Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Federal Acquisition Regulation; Uniform Suspension and Debarment Requirement" (RIN9000-AL75) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 10, 2010; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8476. A communication from the Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Federal Acquisition Regulation; Small Disadvantaged Business Self-Certification" (RIN9000-AL77) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 10, 2010; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8477. A communication from the Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Federal Acquisition Regulation; Preventing Abuse of Interagency

Contracts" (RIN9000-AL69) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 10, 2010; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8478. A communication from the Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Federal Acquisition Regulation; HUBZone Program Revisions" (RIN9000-AL18) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 10, 2010; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8479. A communication from the Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Federal Acquisition Regulation; Federal Acquisition Circular 2005-47; Small Entity Compliance Guide" (FAC 2005-47) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 10, 2010; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8480. A communication from the Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Federal Acquisition Regulation; Federal Acquisition Circular 2005-47; Introduction" (FAC 2005-47) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 10, 2010; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8481. A communication from the Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Federal Acquisition Regulation; Notification of Employee Rights under the National Labor Relations Act" (RIN9000-AL76) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 10, 2010; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8482. A communication from the Secretary of Education, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Semiannual Report from the Office of the Inspector General for the period from April 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8483. A communication from the Administrator of the Small Business Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Semiannual Report from the Office of the Inspector General for the period from April 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8484. A communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Semi-Annual Report of the Inspector General for the period from April 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 and the Semi-Annual Report of the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-8485. A communication from the Executive Director, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Interim Final Rule for Reporting Pre-Enactment Swap Transactions" ((17 CFR Part 44)(RIN3038-AD24)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 10, 2010; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-8486. A communication from the Chief Counsel of the Fiscal Service, Bureau of Public Debt, Department of the Treasury, trans-

mitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Regulations Governing Book-Entry Treasury Bonds, Notes and Bills Held in Legacy Treasury Direct" (31 CFR Part 363) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 10, 2010; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-8487. A communication from the Chief of Staff, Media Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Implementation of Section 203 of the Satellite Television Extension and Localism Act of 2010 (STELA)" (MB Docket No. 08-148 and 05-49) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 10, 2010; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-8488. A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Tier I Issue—Industry Director Directive No. 2 Proper Treatment of Upfront Fees, Milestone Payments, Royalties and Deferred Income upon entering into a Collaboration Agreement in the Biotech and Pharmaceutical Industries" (Uniform List No. 263.13-02) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 10, 2010; to the Committee on Finance.

EC-8489. A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Update of Weighted Average Interest Rates, Yield Curves, and Segment Rates" (Notice 2010-93) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 10, 2010; to the Committee on Finance.

EC-8490. A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Source of Income from Qualified Fails Charges" (RIN1545-BJ85) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 10, 2010; to the Committee on Finance.

EC-8491. A communication from the Director of the Policy Issuances Division, Food Safety and Inspection Service, Department of Agriculture, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Uniform Compliance Date for Food Labeling Regulations" (RIN0583-AD33) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 10, 2010; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 1709

At the request of Ms. STABENOW, the name of the Senator from Oregon (Mr. MERKLEY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1709, a bill to amend the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977 to establish a grant program to promote efforts to develop, implement, and sustain veterinary services, and for other purposes.

S. 3269

At the request of Mrs. GILLIBRAND, the name of the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. LAUTENBERG) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3269, a bill to provide

driver safety grants to States with graduated driver licensing laws that meet certain minimum requirements.

S. 3819

At the request of Mrs. LINCOLN, the name of the Senator from Alaska (Mr. BEGICH) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3819, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to reduce the mileage threshold for the deduction for National Guard and Reservists' overnight travel expenses.

S. 4023

At the request of Mr. LIEBERMAN, the names of the Senator from North Carolina (Mrs. HAGAN), the Senator from New York (Mr. SCHUMER), the Senator from Maryland (Ms. MIKULSKI), the Senator from Washington (Ms. CANTWELL), the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. CASEY), the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS), the Senator from Wisconsin (Mr. FEINGOLD), the Senator from Louisiana (Ms. LANDRIEU), the Senator from Michigan (Ms. STABENOW), the Senator from Minnesota (Ms. KLOBUCHAR), the Senator from New Hampshire (Mrs. SHAHEEN), the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. SPECTER) and the Senator from Wisconsin (Mr. KOHL) were added as cosponsors of S. 4023, a bill to provide for the repeal of the Department of Defense policy concerning homosexuality in the Armed Forces known as "Don't Ask, Don't Tell".

At the request of Mr. LEVIN, the name of the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. DORGAN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 4023, *supra*.

S. RES. 680

At the request of Mr. KERRY, the name of the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. MENENDEZ) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 680, a resolution supporting international tiger conservation efforts and the upcoming Global Tiger Summit in St. Petersburg, Russia.

AMENDMENT NO. 4761

At the request of Ms. LANDRIEU, the names of the Senator from Mississippi (Mr. COCHRAN) and the Senator from Alabama (Mr. SHELBY) were added as cosponsors of amendment No. 4761 intended to be proposed to H.R. 4853, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 4763

At the request of Mr. BROWN of Ohio, the name of the Senator from Mississippi (Mr. WICKER) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 4763 intended to be proposed to H.R. 4853, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title

49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 4764. Mr. COBURN (for himself, Mr. BURR, Mr. CHAMBLISS, and Mr. ENSIGN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4765. Mr. COBURN (for himself, Mr. BURR, Mr. CHAMBLISS, and Mr. ENSIGN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4766. Mr. THUNE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4767. Mrs. HUTCHISON (for herself and Mr. CORNYN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4768. Mr. BROWN of Ohio (for himself and Ms. SNOWE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4769. Mr. KOHL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4770. Ms. CANTWELL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4771. Mr. CASEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4772. Mr. BEGICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4773. Ms. STABENOW (for herself, Mr. BEGICH, Mrs. SHAHEEN, and Mr. BROWN of Ohio) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4774. Ms. STABENOW submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4775. Ms. STABENOW (for herself, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mr. BROWN of Ohio, Mr. MERKLEY, Mr. CARPER, Mr. SCHUMER, Mr. COONS, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. PRYOR, Mrs. BOXER, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. LEVIN, Mr. DORGAN, and Mr.

WYDEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4776. Ms. CANTWELL (for herself and Mrs. MURRAY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4777. Ms. CANTWELL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4778. Ms. CANTWELL (for herself and Mrs. MURRAY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4779. Ms. CANTWELL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4780. Mr. WARNER (for himself and Mr. CHAMBLISS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4781. Mrs. MURRAY (for herself and Ms. CANTWELL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4782. Mr. MENENDEZ (for himself and Mr. BAYH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4783. Mr. BINGAMAN (for himself and Ms. SNOWE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4784. Mr. CARDIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4785. Mr. CARDIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4786. Mr. WYDEN (for himself, Mr. COONS, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. BEGICH, Mr. CARDIN, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. MENENDEZ, and Mr. LAUTENBERG) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4787. Mr. LEVIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4788. Mr. NELSON of Florida submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4789. Mr. DORGAN (for himself and Mr. MERKLEY) submitted an amendment in-

tended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4790. Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for herself and Mrs. SHAHEEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4791. Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for herself and Mrs. SHAHEEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4792. Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for herself and Mrs. SHAHEEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4793. Mr. SANDERS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4794. Mr. MERKLEY (for himself, Mr. FRANKEN, Mr. LEVIN, Mr. UDALL of Colorado, Mr. AKAKA, and Mr. BEGICH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4795. Mr. BROWN of Ohio submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4796. Mr. SANDERS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4797. Mrs. LINCOLN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4798. Mrs. SHAHEEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4799. Ms. COLLINS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4800. Mr. COONS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4801. Mr. DURBIN (for Mr. LEAHY (for himself and Mr. HATCH)) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 628, to establish a pilot program in certain United States district courts to encourage enhancement of expertise in patent cases among district judges.

SA 4802. Mr. Durbin (for Mr. AKAKA) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3447, to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve educational assistance for veterans who served in the Armed Forces after September 11, 2001, and for other purposes.

SA 4803. Mr. ENSIGN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment

SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 4764. Mr. COBURN (for himself, Mr. BURR, Mr. CHAMBLISS, and Mr. ENSIGN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

TITLE IX—RESCISSIONS

SEC. 900. TABLE OF CONTENTS OF TITLE.

The table of contents of this title is as follows:

TITLE IX—RESCISSIONS

- Sec. 900. Table of contents of title.
- Sec. 901. 15 Percent Reduction in appropriations to the Executive Office of the President and Congress.
- Sec. 902. No cost of living adjustment in pay of Members of Congress.
- Sec. 903. Freeze on cost of Federal employees (including civilian employees of the Department of Defense) salaries.
- Sec. 904. Reduction in the number of Federal employees.
- Sec. 905. Limitation on Government printing costs.
- Sec. 906. Limitation of Government travel costs.
- Sec. 907. Reduction in Federal vehicle costs.
- Sec. 908. Sale of excess Federal property.
- Sec. 909. Ten percent reduction in voluntary contributions to the United Nations.
- Sec. 910. Low-priority construction projects of Corps of Engineers.
- Sec. 911. Ten percent reduction in international development and humanitarian assistance funding.
- Sec. 912. Elimination of the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities program.
- Sec. 913. Rescission of amounts for Economic Development Administration.
- Sec. 914. Department of Justice wasteful activities.
- Sec. 915. Rescission of amounts for Hollings Manufacturing Partnership Program and Baldrige Performance Excellence Program.
- Sec. 916. Fossil fuel applied research.
- Sec. 917. Corporation for Public Broadcasting.
- Sec. 918. Fifteen percent reduction in fiscal year 2011 funding for the Department of Defense for procurement.
- Sec. 919. Ten percent reduction in fiscal year 2011 funding for the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation.

- Sec. 920. Reduction in Department of Defense spending in support of military installations.
 - Sec. 921. Rescission of Diplomatic and Consular Programs funding.
 - Sec. 922. Elimination of program to pay institutions of higher education for administrative expenses relating to student aid program.
 - Sec. 923. Elimination of grants to large and medium hub airports under airport improvement program.
 - Sec. 924. Consolidate all Federal Fire Management Programs and reducing funding by 10 percent.
 - Sec. 925. High-energy cost grant program.
 - Sec. 926. Resource conservation and development programs.
 - Sec. 927. Repeal of LEAP.
 - Sec. 928. Elimination of the B.J. Stupak Olympic Scholarships program.
 - Sec. 929. Repeal of Robert C. Byrd Honors Scholarship Program.
 - Sec. 930. Elimination of the Historic Whaling and Trading Partners program.
 - Sec. 931. Elimination of the Underground Railroad educational and cultural program.
 - Sec. 932. Brownfields economic development initiative.
 - Sec. 933. Election reform grants.
 - Sec. 934. Election Assistance Commission.
 - Sec. 935. Emergency operations center grant program.
 - Sec. 936. Elimination of health care facilities and construction program.
 - Sec. 937. High priority surface transportation projects.
 - Sec. 938. Save America's Treasures Program; Preserve America Program.
 - Sec. 939. Targeted water infrastructure grants.
 - Sec. 940. National Park Service Challenge Cost Share Program.
 - Sec. 941. Termination of the Constellation Program of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.
 - Sec. 942. Delta health initiative.
 - Sec. 943. Department of Agriculture health care services grant program.
 - Sec. 944. Elimination of loan repayment for civil legal assistance attorneys.
 - Sec. 945. Targeted air shed grant program.
- SEC. 901. 15 PERCENT REDUCTION IN APPROPRIATIONS TO THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT AND CONGRESS.**

(a) RESCISSIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—There is rescinded an amount equal to 15 percent of the budget authority provided for any discretionary account in appropriations to the Legislative Branch for fiscal year 2011.

(2) PROPORTIONATE APPLICATION.—Any rescission made by paragraph (1) shall be applied proportionately—

(A) to each discretionary account and each item of budget authority described in such paragraph; and

(B) within each such account and item, to each program, project, and activity (with programs, projects, and activities as delineated in the appropriation Act or accompanying reports for the relevant fiscal year covering such account or item, or for accounts and items not included in appropriation Acts, as delineated in the most recently submitted President's budget).

(3) EXCEPTION.—This subsection shall not apply to appropriations under the heading "CAPITOL POLICE".

(4) ADMINISTRATION OF ACROSS-THE-BOARD REDUCTIONS.—In the administration of para-

graph (1), with respect to the budget authority provided under the heading "SENATE" in—

(A) the percentage rescissions under paragraph (1) shall apply to the total amount of all funds appropriated under that heading; and

(B) the rescissions may be applied without regard to paragraph (2).

(b) APPROPRIATIONS TO THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of funds appropriated to the appropriations account under the heading under the heading "EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT AND FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT" for each of fiscal years 2012 and 2013 may not exceed the total amount of funds appropriated to that account for fiscal year 2011 after application of the rescission under subsection (a).

(c) APPROPRIATIONS TO CONGRESS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of funds appropriated under the headings "SENATE" and "HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES" for each of fiscal years 2012 and 2013 may not exceed the total amount of funds appropriated under those headings for fiscal year 2011 after application of the rescission under subsection (a).

SEC. 902. NO COST OF LIVING ADJUSTMENT IN PAY OF MEMBERS OF CONGRESS.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no adjustment shall be made under section 601(a) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 31) (relating to cost of living adjustments for Members of Congress) during fiscal years 2012, 2013, and 2014.

SEC. 903. FREEZE ON COST OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEES (INCLUDING CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE) SALARIES.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of funds expended on salaries for civilian employees of the Federal Government, including civilian employees of the Department of Defense, for fiscal year 2011, fiscal year 2012, and fiscal year 2013 shall not exceed the total costs for such salaries in fiscal year 2010: *Provided*, That the amounts spent on salaries of members of the armed forces are exempt from the provisions of this subsection: *Provided further*, That nothing in this subsection prohibits an employee from receiving an increase in salary or other compensation so long as such an increase does not increase an agency's net expenditures for employee salaries.

SEC. 904. REDUCTION IN THE NUMBER OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEES.

(a) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term "agency" means an executive agency as defined under section 105 of title 5, United States Code.

(b) DETERMINATION OF NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES.—Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall determine the number of full-time employees employed in each agency. The head of each agency shall cooperate with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget in making the determinations.

(c) REDUCTIONS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the head of each agency shall take such actions as necessary, including a reduction in force under sections 3502 and 3595 of title 5, United States Code, to reduce the number of full-time employees employed in that agency as determined under subsection (b) by 10 percent not later than October 1, 2020.

(d) REPLACEMENT HIRE RATE.—In implementing subsection (c), the head of each

agency may hire no more than 2 employees in that agency for every 3 employees who leave employment in that agency during any fiscal year.

SEC. 905. LIMITATION ON GOVERNMENT PRINTING COSTS.

Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall coordinate with the heads of Federal departments and independent agencies to—

(a) determine which Government publications could be available on Government websites and no longer printed and to devise a strategy to reduce overall Government printing costs over the 10-year period beginning with fiscal year 2011, except that the Director shall ensure that essential printed documents prepared for social security recipients, medicare beneficiaries, and other populations in areas with limited internet access or use continue to remain available;

(b) establish government-wide Federal guidelines on employee printing;

(c) issue on the Office of Management and Budget's public website the results of a cost-benefit analysis on implementing a digital signature system and on establishing employee printing identification systems, such as the use of individual employee cards or codes, to monitor the amount of printing done by Federal employees; except that the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall ensure that Federal employee printing costs unrelated to national defense, homeland security, border security, national disasters, and other emergencies do not exceed \$860,000,000 annually; and

(d) issue guidelines requiring every department, agency, commission or office to list at a prominent place near the beginning of each publication distributed to the public and issued or paid for by the Federal Government—

(1) the name of the issuing agency, department, commission or office;

(2) the total number of copies of the document printed;

(3) the collective cost of producing and printing all of the copies of the document; and

(4) the name of the firm publishing the document.

SEC. 906. LIMITATION OF GOVERNMENT TRAVEL COSTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Within 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, in consultation with the heads of the Federal departments and agencies, shall establish a definition of “nonessential travel” and criteria to determine if travel-related expenses and requests by Federal employees meet the definition of “nonessential travel”. No travel expenses paid for, in whole or in part, with Federal funds shall be paid by the Federal Government unless a request is made prior to the travel and the requested travel meets the criteria established by this section. Any travel request that does not meet the definition and criteria shall be disallowed, including reimbursement for air flights, automobile rentals, train tickets, lodging, per diem, and other travel-related costs. The definition established by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget may include exemptions in the definition, including travel related to national defense, homeland security, border security, national disasters, and other emergencies. The Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall ensure that all travel costs paid for in part or whole by the Federal Government not related to national defense, homeland security,

border security, national disasters, and other emergencies do not exceed \$5,000,000,000 annually.

(b) RESCISSIONS.—

(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection—

(A) the term “agency”—

(i) means an executive agency as defined under section 105 of title 5, United States Code; and

(ii) does not include the Department of Defense; and

(B) the term “travel expense amount” means, with respect to each agency, an amount equal to 20 percent of all funds expended by that agency on travel expenses during fiscal year 2010.

(2) IN GENERAL.—There is rescinded a travel expense amount from appropriations made for fiscal year 2011 in each agency appropriations account providing for travel expenses.

(3) FREEZE.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of funds appropriated to the appropriations account providing for travel expenses for each agency for each of fiscal years 2012 and 2013 may not exceed the total amount of funds appropriated to that account for fiscal year 2011 after application of the rescission under paragraph (2).

SEC. 907. REDUCTION IN FEDERAL VEHICLE COSTS.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(a) of the amounts made available to the General Services Administration for the acquisition of new vehicles for the Federal fleet for fiscal year 2011 and remaining unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act, an amount equal to 20 percent of all such amounts is rescinded;

(b) for fiscal year 2012 and each fiscal year thereafter—

(1) the amount made available to the General Services Administration for the acquisition of new vehicles for the Federal fleet shall not exceed an amount equal to 80 percent of the amount made available for the acquisition of those vehicles for fiscal year 2011 (before application of subsection (a)); and

(2) the number of new vehicles acquired by the General Services Administration for the Federal fleet shall not exceed a number equal to 50 percent of the vehicles so acquired for fiscal year 2011; and

(c) any amounts made available under Public Law 111-5 for the acquisition of new vehicles for the Federal fleet shall be disregarded by for purposes of determining the baseline.

SEC. 908. SALE OF EXCESS FEDERAL PROPERTY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 5 of subtitle I of title 40, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SUBCHAPTER VII—EXPEDITED DISPOSAL OF REAL PROPERTY

“§ 621. Definitions

“In this subchapter:

“(1) DIRECTOR.—The term ‘Director’ means the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

“(2) LANDHOLDING AGENCY.—The term ‘landholding agency’ means a landholding agency (as defined in section 501(i) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11411(i))).

“(3) REAL PROPERTY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘real property’ means—

“(i) a parcel of real property under the administrative jurisdiction of the Federal Government that is—

“(I) excess;

“(II) surplus;

“(III) underperforming; or

“(IV) otherwise not meeting the needs of the Federal Government, as determined by the Director; and

“(ii) a building or other structure located on real property described in clause (i).

“(B) EXCLUSION.—The term ‘real property’ excludes any parcel of real property, and any building or other structure located on real property, that is to be closed or realigned under the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (10 U.S.C. 2687 note; Public Law 100-526).

“§ 622. Disposal program

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (e), the Director shall, by sale or auction, dispose of a quantity of real property with an aggregate value of not less than \$15,000,000,000 that, as determined by the Director, is not being used, and will not be used, to meet the needs of the Federal Government for the period of fiscal years 2010 through 2015.

“(b) RECOMMENDATIONS.—The head of each landholding agency shall recommend to the Director real property for disposal under subsection (a).

“(c) SELECTION OF PROPERTIES.—After receiving recommendations of candidate real property under subsection (b), the Director—

“(1) with the concurrence of the head of each landholding agency, may select the real property for disposal under subsection (a); and

“(2) shall notify the recommending landholding agency head of the selection of the real property.

“(d) WEBSITE.—The Director shall ensure that all real properties selected for disposal under this section are listed on a website that shall—

“(1) be updated routinely; and

“(2) include the functionality to allow any member of the public, at the option of the member, to receive updates of the list through electronic mail.

“(e) TRANSFER OF PROPERTY.—The Director may transfer real property selected for disposal under this section to the Department of Housing and Urban Development if the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development determines that the real property is suitable for use in assisting the homeless.”.

(b) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 5 of subtitle I of title 40, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 611 the following:

“SUBCHAPTER VII—EXPEDITED DISPOSAL OF REAL PROPERTY

“Sec. 621. Definitions.

“Sec. 622. Disposal program.”.

SEC. 909. TEN PERCENT REDUCTION IN VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE UNITED NATIONS.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2011, voluntary contributions to the United Nations paid by the United States shall not exceed an amount that is 10 percent less than the amount provided in fiscal year 2010.

SEC. 910. LOW-PRIORITY CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS OF CORPS OF ENGINEERS.

(a) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority to carry out low-priority construction projects of the Corps of Engineers is terminated.

(b) RESCISSION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for low-priority construction projects of the Corps of

Engineers that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the projects referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects described in paragraph (1), as determined by the Secretary of the Army, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 911. TEN PERCENT REDUCTION IN INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE FUNDING.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2011, international development and humanitarian assistance expenditures of the United States shall not exceed an amount that is 10 percent less than the amount provided in fiscal year 2010.

SEC. 912. ELIMINATION OF THE SAFE AND DRUG-FREE SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITIES PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Part A of title IV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.) is repealed.

(b) **RECISSION OF FUNDS.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Program under part A of title IV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 913. RESCISSION OF AMOUNTS FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for programs, activities, and grants of the Economic Development Administration that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs, activities, and grants referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating such programs, activities, and grants, as determined by the Secretary of Commerce, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 914. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE WASTEFUL ACTIVITIES.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, 5 percent of all unobligated balances held by the Attorney General as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded to eliminate wasteful activities of the Department of Justice.

SEC. 915. RESCISSION OF AMOUNTS FOR HOLDINGS MANUFACTURING PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM AND BALDRIDGE PERFORMANCE EXCELLENCE PROGRAM.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Holdings Manufacturing Partnership Program and the Baldrige Performance Excellence Program that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs referred to in paragraph (1) shall be

expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under such programs, as determined by the Secretary of Commerce, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 916. FOSSIL FUEL APPLIED RESEARCH.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority of the Secretary of Energy to carry out fossil fuel applied research is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for fossil fuel applied research described in subsection (a) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for research referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing research described in paragraph (1), as determined by the Secretary of Energy, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 917. CORPORATION FOR PUBLIC BROADCASTING.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the portion of all unobligated balances held by the Corporation for Public Broadcasting that consists of Federal funds are rescinded and no Federal funds appropriated hereafter for the Corporation for Public Broadcasting shall be obligated or expended by such Corporation.

SEC. 918. FIFTEEN PERCENT REDUCTION IN FISCAL YEAR 2011 FUNDING FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FOR PROCUREMENT.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amount available to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2011 for procurement is the amount equal to the aggregate amount otherwise authorized to be appropriated to the Department for that fiscal year for procurement minus an amount equal to 15 percent of such aggregate amount.

SEC. 919. TEN PERCENT REDUCTION IN FISCAL YEAR 2011 FUNDING FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FOR RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amount available to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2011 for research, development, test, and evaluation is the amount equal to the aggregate amount otherwise authorized to be appropriated to the Department for that fiscal year for research, development, test, and evaluation minus an amount equal to 10 percent of such aggregate amount.

SEC. 920. REDUCTION IN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SPENDING IN SUPPORT OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.

The Secretary of Defense shall reduce the amount obligated or expended in support of military installations through the reduction or elimination of waste, fraud, and abuse attributable to programs and activities related to such support.

SEC. 921. RESCISSION OF DIPLOMATIC AND CONSULAR PROGRAMS FUNDING.

Ten percent of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Secretary of State for diplomatic and consular programs and available for obligation as of the date of the enactment of this Act is hereby rescinded.

SEC. 922. ELIMINATION OF PROGRAM TO PAY INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES RELATING TO STUDENT AID PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Section 489 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1096) is repealed.

(b) **RECESSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for payments to institutions of higher education under section 489 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1096), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such payments shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 923. ELIMINATION OF GRANTS TO LARGE AND MEDIUM HUB AIRPORTS UNDER AIRPORT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.

Notwithstanding any provision of subchapter I of chapter 471 of title 49, United States Code, or any other provision of law—

(1) no large hub airport or medium hub airport (as those terms are defined in section 47102 of such title) may receive a grant under the airport improvement program under such subchapter;

(2) all amounts made available for grants to large hub airports or medium hub airports under the airport improvement program that remain unobligated as of the date of the enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(3) no amounts made available after the date of the enactment of this Act for grants to large hub airports or medium hub airports under the airport improvement program shall be obligated or expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under that program, as determined by the Secretary of Transportation, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 924. CONSOLIDATE ALL FEDERAL FIRE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS AND REDUCING FUNDING BY 10 PERCENT.

(a) **CONSOLIDATION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall consolidate all fire management programs carried out under laws administered by the Secretary.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) of amounts made available for programs consolidated under subsection (a), the lesser of 10 percent of such amounts, on the one hand, and the amount of such amounts that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act, on the other hand, are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating or reducing ongoing projects and activities under such programs, as determined by the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 925. HIGH-ENERGY COST GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Section 19 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 918a) is repealed.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the program carried out under section 19 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 918a) (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain

unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the program referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating the program described in paragraph (1), as determined by the Secretary of Agriculture, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 926. RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority to carry out the resource conservation and development program of the Natural Resources Conservation Service of the Department of Agriculture is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the resource conservation and development program of the Natural Resources Conservation Service of the Department of Agriculture (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the program referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under that program, as determined by the Secretary of Agriculture, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 927. REPEAL OF LEAP.

(a) **REPEAL OF LEAP.**—Subpart 4 of part A of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070c) is repealed.

(b) **RECESSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Leveraging Educational Assistance Partnership Program under subpart 4 of part A of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070c), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such program shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 928. ELIMINATION OF THE B.J. STUPAK OLYMPIC SCHOLARSHIPS PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Section 1543 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1992 (20 U.S.C. 1070 note) is repealed.

(b) **ELIMINATION OF FUNDING.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the B.J. Stupak Olympic Scholarships program under section 1543 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1992 (20 U.S.C. 1070 note), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 929. REPEAL OF ROBERT C. BYRD HONORS SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL OF LEAP.**—Subpart 6 of part A of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070c) is repealed.

(b) **RECESSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Robert C. Byrd Honors Scholarship Program under subpart 6 of part A of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070c), as in effect on the day before the date

of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such program shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 930. ELIMINATION OF THE HISTORIC WHALING AND TRADING PARTNERS PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Subpart 12 of part D of title V of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7265 et seq.) is repealed.

(b) **RECISSION OF FUNDS.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Educational, Cultural, Apprenticeship, and Exchange Programs for Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians, and Their Historical Whaling and Trading Partners in Massachusetts under subpart 12 of part D of title V of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7265 et seq.), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 931. ELIMINATION OF THE UNDERGROUND RAILROAD EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Section 841 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1998 (20 U.S.C. 1153) is repealed.

(b) **ELIMINATION OF FUNDING.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Underground Railroad educational and cultural program under section 841 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1998 (20 U.S.C. 1153), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 932. BROWNFIELDS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding section 108(q) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5309(q)) or any other provision of law, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development may not make any competitive economic development grants, as otherwise authorized by section 108(q) of that Act, for Brownfields redevelopment projects.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for grants described in subsection (a) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for grants described in subsection (a) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under those grants, as determined by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 933. ELECTION REFORM GRANTS.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority to make requirements payments to States under part 1 of subtitle D of title II of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (42 U.S.C. 15401 et seq.) is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for such requirements payments (as of the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that re-

main unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for such requirements payments shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities using such requirements payments, as determined by the Administrator of General Services, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 934. ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The Election Assistance Commission established under section 201 of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (42 U.S.C. 15321) is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Election Assistance Commission (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the Commission described in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities of the Commission, as determined by the Administrator of General Services, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 935. EMERGENCY OPERATIONS CENTER GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) **TERMINATION.**—Section 614 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5196c) is repealed.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Homeland Security for the emergency operations center grant program under section 614 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5196c), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 936. ELIMINATION OF HEALTH CARE FACILITIES AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Health and Human Services for health care facilities and construction are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 937. HIGH PRIORITY SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109-59; 119 Stat. 1256) is repealed.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for high priority projects under section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109-59; 119 Stat. 1256) (before the amendment made by subsection (a)) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for high priority projects described in paragraph (1)

shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under those projects, as determined by the Secretary of Transportation, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 938. SAVE AMERICA'S TREASURES PROGRAM; PRESERVE AMERICA PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEALS.**—Sections 7302 and 7303 of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (16 U.S.C. 469n, 469o) are repealed.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Save America's Treasures Program or Preserve America Program that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under those programs, as determined by the Secretary of the Interior in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 939. TARGETED WATER INFRASTRUCTURE GRANTS.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The Targeted Watershed Grants Program and the U.S.-Mexico Border Water Infrastructure Program of the Environmental Protection Agency are terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Targeted Watershed Grants Program and the U.S.-Mexico Border Water Infrastructure Program of the Environmental Protection Agency (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs referred to in paragraph (1) (as so in existence) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under those programs, as determined by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 940. NATIONAL PARK SERVICE CHALLENGE COST SHARE PROGRAM.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority to provide Department of the Interior Challenge Cost Share Program grants is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Department of the Interior Challenge Cost Share Program (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the Department of the Interior Challenge Cost Share Program shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under the program, as determined by the Secretary of the Interior in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 941. TERMINATION OF THE CONSTELLATION PROGRAM OF THE NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION.

(a) **TERMINATION REQUIRED.**—The Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space

Administration shall terminate the Constellation Program of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

(b) **DISPOSITION OF UNOBLIGATED FUNDS.**—

(1) **RESCISSION.**—Except as provided in paragraph (2), any funds available for obligation by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration as of the date of the enactment of this Act for the Constellation Program are hereby rescinded.

(2) **AVAILABILITY FOR WIND-UP OF PROGRAM.**—Funds described in paragraph (1) may be utilized by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration solely for costs related to the winding-up of the provision of the Constellation Program.

SEC. 942. DELTA HEALTH INITIATIVE.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Health and Human Services to carry out the Delta Health Initiative are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such Initiative shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 943. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE HEALTH CARE SERVICES GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority to carry out any health care services grant program of the Department of Agriculture is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for any health care services grant program of the Department of Agriculture (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the program referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under that program, as determined by the Secretary of Agriculture, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 944. ELIMINATION OF LOAN REPAYMENT FOR CIVIL LEGAL ASSISTANCE ATTORNEYS.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Section 428L of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1078-12) is repealed.

(b) **ELIMINATION OF FUNDING.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Repayment for Civil Legal Assistance Attorneys program under section 428L of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1078-12), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 945. TARGETED AIR SHED GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The Targeted Air Shed Grant Program of the Environmental Protection Agency is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Targeted Air Shed Grant Program of the Environmental Protection Agency (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the pro-

gram referred to in paragraph (1) (as so in existence) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under that program, as determined by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SA 4765. Mr. COBURN (for himself, Mr. BURR, Mr. CHAMBLISS, and Mr. ENSIGN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

TITLE IX—RESCISSIONS

SEC. 900. TABLE OF CONTENTS OF TITLE.

The table of contents of this title is as follows:

TITLE IX—RESCISSIONS

Sec. 900. Table of contents of title.

Subtitle A—Rescissions and Elimination of Wasteful Government Programs

Sec. 901. 15 Percent Reduction in appropriations to the Executive Office of the President and Congress.

Sec. 902. No cost of living adjustment in pay of Members of Congress.

Sec. 903. Freeze on cost of Federal employees (including civilian employees of the Department of Defense) salaries.

Sec. 904. Reduction in the number of Federal employees.

Sec. 905. Limitation on Government printing costs.

Sec. 906. Limitation of Government travel costs.

Sec. 907. Reduction in Federal vehicle costs.

Sec. 908. Sale of excess Federal property.

Sec. 909. Prohibition on use of Federal funds to pay unemployment compensation to millionaires.

Sec. 910. Mandatory elimination of duplicative government programs.

Sec. 911. Collection of unpaid taxes from employees of the Federal Government.

Sec. 912. Ten percent reduction in voluntary contributions to the United Nations.

Sec. 913. Low-priority construction projects of Corps of Engineers.

Sec. 914. Ten percent reduction in international development and humanitarian assistance funding.

Sec. 915. Elimination of the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities program.

Sec. 916. Rescission of amounts for Economic Development Administration.

Sec. 917. Department of Justice wasteful activities.

Sec. 918. Rescission of amounts for Hollings Manufacturing Partnership Program and Baldrige Performance Excellence Program.

Sec. 919. Fossil fuel applied research.

Sec. 920. Corporation for Public Broadcasting.

Sec. 921. Fifteen percent reduction in fiscal year 2011 funding for the Department of Defense for procurement.

Sec. 922. Ten percent reduction in fiscal year 2011 funding for the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation.

Sec. 923. Reduction in Department of Defense spending in support of military installations.

Sec. 924. Rescission of Diplomatic and Consular Programs funding.

Sec. 925. Elimination of program to pay institutions of higher education for administrative expenses relating to student aid program.

Sec. 926. Elimination of grants to large and medium hub airports under airport improvement program.

Sec. 927. Consolidate all Federal Fire Management Programs and reducing funding by 10 percent.

Sec. 928. High-energy cost grant program.

Sec. 929. Resource conservation and development programs.

Sec. 930. Repeal of LEAP.

Sec. 931. Elimination of the B.J. Stupak Olympic Scholarships program.

Sec. 932. Repeal of Robert C. Byrd Honors Scholarship Program.

Sec. 933. Elimination of the Historic Whaling and Trading Partners program.

Sec. 934. Elimination of the Underground Railroad educational and cultural program.

Sec. 935. Brownfields economic development initiative.

Sec. 936. Election reform grants.

Sec. 937. Election Assistance Commission.

Sec. 938. Emergency operations center grant program.

Sec. 939. Elimination of health care facilities and construction program.

Sec. 940. High priority surface transportation projects.

Sec. 941. Save America's Treasures Program; Preserve America Program.

Sec. 942. Targeted water infrastructure grants.

Sec. 943. National Park Service Challenge Cost Share Program.

Sec. 944. Termination of the Constellation Program of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Sec. 945. Delta health initiative.

Sec. 946. Department of Agriculture health care services grant program.

Sec. 947. Elimination of loan repayment for civil legal assistance attorneys.

Sec. 948. Targeted air shed grant program.

Sec. 949. Requiring transparency and ensuring no special treatment for the AARP or AMA.

Subtitle B—Fighting Fraud and Abuse to Save Taxpayers' Dollars

Sec. 960. Findings.

Sec. 961. Tracking excluded providers across State lines.

Sec. 962. Access for private sector and governmental entities.

Sec. 963. Liability of Medicare administrative contractors for claims submitted by excluded providers.

Sec. 964. Limiting the discharge of debts in bankruptcy proceedings in cases where a health care provider or a supplier engages in fraudulent activity.

Sec. 965. Prevention of waste, fraud, and abuse in the Medicaid and CHIP programs.

Sec. 966. Illegal distribution of a Medicare, Medicaid, or CHIP beneficiary identification or billing privileges.

Sec. 967. Pilot program for the use of universal product numbers on claim forms for reimbursement under the Medicare program.

Sec. 968. Prohibition of inclusion of social security account numbers on Medicare cards.

Sec. 969. Implementation.

Subtitle A—Rescissions and Elimination of Wasteful Government Programs

SEC. 901. 15 PERCENT REDUCTION IN APPROPRIATIONS TO THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT AND CONGRESS.

(a) RESCISSIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—There is rescinded an amount equal to 15 percent of the budget authority provided for any discretionary account in appropriations to the Legislative Branch for fiscal year 2011.

(2) PROPORTIONATE APPLICATION.—Any rescission made by paragraph (1) shall be applied proportionately—

(A) to each discretionary account and each item of budget authority described in such paragraph; and

(B) within each such account and item, to each program, project, and activity (with programs, projects, and activities as delineated in the appropriation Act or accompanying reports for the relevant fiscal year covering such account or item, or for accounts and items not included in appropriation Acts, as delineated in the most recently submitted President's budget).

(3) EXCEPTION.—This subsection shall not apply to appropriations under the heading "CAPITOL POLICE".

(4) ADMINISTRATION OF ACROSS-THE-BOARD REDUCTIONS.—In the administration of paragraph (1), with respect to the budget authority provided under the heading "SENATE" in—

(A) the percentage rescissions under paragraph (1) shall apply to the total amount of all funds appropriated under that heading; and

(B) the rescissions may be applied without regard to paragraph (2).

(b) APPROPRIATIONS TO THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of funds appropriated to the appropriations account under the heading under the heading "EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT AND FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT" for each of fiscal years 2012 and 2013 may not exceed the total amount of funds appropriated to that account for fiscal year 2011 after application of the rescission under subsection (a).

(c) APPROPRIATIONS TO CONGRESS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of funds appropriated under the headings "SENATE" and "HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES" for each of fiscal years 2012 and 2013 may not exceed the total amount of funds appropriated under those headings for fiscal year 2011 after application of the rescission under subsection (a).

SEC. 902. NO COST OF LIVING ADJUSTMENT IN PAY OF MEMBERS OF CONGRESS.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no adjustment shall be made under section 601(a) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 31) (relating to cost of living adjustments for Members of Congress) during fiscal years 2012, 2013, and 2014.

SEC. 903. FREEZE ON COST OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEES (INCLUDING CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE) SALARIES.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of funds expended on salaries for civilian employees of the Federal Government, including civilian employees of the Department of Defense, for fiscal year 2011, fiscal year 2012, and fiscal year 2013 shall not exceed the total costs for such salaries in fiscal year 2010: *Provided*, That the amounts spent on salaries of members of the armed forces are exempt from the provisions of this subsection: *Provided further*, That nothing in this subsection prohibits an employee from receiving an increase in salary or other compensation so long as such an increase does not increase an agency's net expenditures for employee salaries.

SEC. 904. REDUCTION IN THE NUMBER OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEES.

(a) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term "agency" means an executive agency as defined under section 105 of title 5, United States Code.

(b) DETERMINATION OF NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES.—Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall determine the number of full-time employees employed in each agency. The head of each agency shall cooperate with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget in making the determinations.

(c) REDUCTIONS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the head of each agency shall take such actions as necessary, including a reduction in force under sections 3502 and 3595 of title 5, United States Code, to reduce the number of full-time employees employed in that agency as determined under subsection (b) by 10 percent not later than October 1, 2020.

(d) REPLACEMENT HIRE RATE.—In implementing subsection (c), the head of each agency may hire no more than 2 employees in that agency for every 3 employees who leave employment in that agency during any fiscal year.

SEC. 905. LIMITATION ON GOVERNMENT PRINTING COSTS.

Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall coordinate with the heads of Federal departments and independent agencies to—

(a) determine which Government publications could be available on Government websites and no longer printed and to devise a strategy to reduce overall Government printing costs over the 10-year period beginning with fiscal year 2011, except that the Director shall ensure that essential printed documents prepared for social security recipients, medicare beneficiaries, and other populations in areas with limited internet access or use continue to remain available;

(b) establish government-wide Federal guidelines on employee printing;

(c) issue on the Office of Management and Budget's public website the results of a cost-benefit analysis on implementing a digital signature system and on establishing employee printing identification systems, such as the use of individual employee cards or codes, to monitor the amount of printing done by Federal employees; except that the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall ensure that Federal employee printing costs unrelated to national defense, homeland security, border security, national disasters, and other emergencies do not exceed \$860,000,000 annually; and

(d) issue guidelines requiring every department, agency, commission or office to list at

a prominent place near the beginning of each publication distributed to the public and issued or paid for by the Federal Government—

(1) the name of the issuing agency, department, commission or office;

(2) the total number of copies of the document printed;

(3) the collective cost of producing and printing all of the copies of the document; and

(4) the name of the firm publishing the document.

SEC. 906. LIMITATION OF GOVERNMENT TRAVEL COSTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Within 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, in consultation with the heads of the Federal departments and agencies, shall establish a definition of “nonessential travel” and criteria to determine if travel-related expenses and requests by Federal employees meet the definition of “nonessential travel”. No travel expenses paid for, in whole or in part, with Federal funds shall be paid by the Federal Government unless a request is made prior to the travel and the requested travel meets the criteria established by this section. Any travel request that does not meet the definition and criteria shall be disallowed, including reimbursement for air flights, automobile rentals, train tickets, lodging, per diem, and other travel-related costs. The definition established by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget may include exemptions in the definition, including travel related to national defense, homeland security, border security, national disasters, and other emergencies. The Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall ensure that all travel costs paid for in part or whole by the Federal Government not related to national defense, homeland security, border security, national disasters, and other emergencies do not exceed \$5,000,000,000 annually.

(b) RESCISSIONS.—

(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection—

(A) the term “agency”—

(i) means an executive agency as defined under section 105 of title 5, United States Code; and

(ii) does not include the Department of Defense; and

(B) the term “travel expense amount” means, with respect to each agency, an amount equal to 20 percent of all funds expended by that agency on travel expenses during fiscal year 2010.

(2) IN GENERAL.—There is rescinded a travel expense amount from appropriations made for fiscal year 2011 in each agency appropriations account providing for travel expenses.

(3) FREEZE.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of funds appropriated to the appropriations account providing for travel expenses for each agency for each of fiscal years 2012 and 2013 may not exceed the total amount of funds appropriated to that account for fiscal year 2011 after application of the rescission under paragraph (2).

SEC. 907. REDUCTION IN FEDERAL VEHICLE COSTS.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(a) of the amounts made available to the General Services Administration for the acquisition of new vehicles for the Federal fleet for fiscal year 2011 and remaining unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act, an amount equal to 20 percent of all such amounts is rescinded;

(b) for fiscal year 2012 and each fiscal year thereafter—

(1) the amount made available to the General Services Administration for the acquisition of new vehicles for the Federal fleet shall not exceed an amount equal to 80 percent of the amount made available for the acquisition of those vehicles for fiscal year 2011 (before application of subsection (a)); and

(2) the number of new vehicles acquired by the General Services Administration for the Federal fleet shall not exceed a number equal to 50 percent of the vehicles so acquired for fiscal year 2011; and

(c) any amounts made available under Public Law 111-5 for the acquisition of new vehicles for the Federal fleet shall be disregarded for purposes of determining the baseline.

SEC. 908. SALE OF EXCESS FEDERAL PROPERTY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 5 of subtitle I of title 40, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SUBCHAPTER VII—EXPEDITED DISPOSAL OF REAL PROPERTY

“§ 621. Definitions

“In this subchapter:

“(1) DIRECTOR.—The term ‘Director’ means the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

“(2) LANDHOLDING AGENCY.—The term ‘landholding agency’ means a landholding agency (as defined in section 501(i) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11411(i))).

“(3) REAL PROPERTY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘real property’ means—

“(i) a parcel of real property under the administrative jurisdiction of the Federal Government that is—

“(I) excess;

“(II) surplus;

“(III) underperforming; or

“(IV) otherwise not meeting the needs of the Federal Government, as determined by the Director; and

“(ii) a building or other structure located on real property described in clause (i).

“(B) EXCLUSION.—The term ‘real property’ excludes any parcel of real property, and any building or other structure located on real property, that is to be closed or realigned under the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (10 U.S.C. 2687 note; Public Law 100-526).

“§ 622. Disposal program

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (e), the Director shall, by sale or auction, dispose of a quantity of real property with an aggregate value of not less than \$15,000,000,000 that, as determined by the Director, is not being used, and will not be used, to meet the needs of the Federal Government for the period of fiscal years 2010 through 2015.

“(b) RECOMMENDATIONS.—The head of each landholding agency shall recommend to the Director real property for disposal under subsection (a).

“(c) SELECTION OF PROPERTIES.—After receiving recommendations of candidate real property under subsection (b), the Director—

“(1) with the concurrence of the head of each landholding agency, may select the real property for disposal under subsection (a); and

“(2) shall notify the recommending landholding agency head of the selection of the real property.

“(d) WEBSITE.—The Director shall ensure that all real properties selected for disposal under this section are listed on a website that shall—

“(1) be updated routinely; and

“(2) include the functionality to allow any member of the public, at the option of the member, to receive updates of the list through electronic mail.

“(e) TRANSFER OF PROPERTY.—The Director may transfer real property selected for disposal under this section to the Department of Housing and Urban Development if the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development determines that the real property is suitable for use in assisting the homeless.”.

(b) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 5 of subtitle I of title 40, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 611 the following:

“SUBCHAPTER VII—EXPEDITED DISPOSAL OF REAL PROPERTY

“Sec. 621. Definitions.

“Sec. 622. Disposal program.”.

SEC. 909. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FEDERAL FUNDS TO PAY UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION TO MILLIONAIRES.

(a) PROHIBITION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no Federal funds may be used to make payments of unemployment compensation (including such compensation under the Federal-State Extended Compensation Act of 1970 and the emergency unemployment compensation program under title IV of the of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008) in a year to an individual whose resources in the preceding year was equal to or greater than \$1,000,000. For purposes of the preceding sentence, with respect to a year, an individual’s resources shall be determined in the same manner as a subsidy eligible individual’s resources are determined for the year for purposes of the Medicare part D drug benefit under section 1860D-14(a)(3)(E) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395w-114(a)(3)(E)).

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The prohibition under subsection (a) shall apply to weeks of unemployment beginning on or after January 1, 2011.

SEC. 910. MANDATORY ELIMINATION OF DUPLICATIVE GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS.

(a) REDUCING DUPLICATION.—The Director of the Office of Management Budget and the Secretary of each Federal Government agency (and the head of each independent agency) shall work with the Chairman and ranking member of the relevant congressional appropriations subcommittees and the congressional authorizing committees and the Director of the Office of Management Budget to consolidate programs with duplicative goals, missions, and initiatives.

(b) OMB REPORT.—Within 120 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall submit to Congress a list of programs with duplicative goals, missions, and initiatives with recommendations for consolidation or elimination.

(c) FAILURE TO ACT.—If Congress takes no action to address the recommendations submitted in subsection (b) within 60 days, Secretary of each Federal Government agency and the head of each independent agency shall carry out the recommendations as submitted to Congress.

SEC. 911. COLLECTION OF UNPAID TAXES FROM EMPLOYEES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 73 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"SUBCHAPTER VIII—COLLECTION OF UNPAID TAXES FROM EMPLOYEES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT"

"§ 7381. Collection of unpaid taxes from employees of the Federal Government"

"(a) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section—

"(1) the term 'seriously delinquent tax debt' means an outstanding debt under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for which a notice of lien has been filed in public records pursuant to section 6323 of such Code, except that such term does not include—

"(A) a debt that is being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement under section 6159 or section 7122 of such Code; and

"(B) a debt with respect to which a collection due process hearing under section 6330 of such Code, or relief under subsection (a), (b), or (f) of section 6015 of such Code, is requested or pending; and

"(2) the term 'Federal employee' means—

"(A) an employee, as defined by section 2105; and

"(B) an employee of the United States Congress, including Members of the House of Representatives and Senators.

"(b) COLLECTION OF UNPAID TAXES.—The Internal Revenue Service shall coordinate with the Department of Treasury and the hiring agency of a Federal employee who has a seriously delinquent tax debt to collect such taxes by withholding a portion of the employee's salary over a period set by the hiring agency to ensure prompt payment."

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 73 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"SUBCHAPTER VIII—COLLECTION OF UNPAID TAXES FROM EMPLOYEES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT"

"Sec. 7381. Collection of unpaid taxes from employees of the Federal Government."

SEC. 912. TEN PERCENT REDUCTION IN VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE UNITED NATIONS.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2011, voluntary contributions to the United Nations paid by the United States shall not exceed an amount that is 10 percent less than the amount provided in fiscal year 2010.

SEC. 913. LOW-PRIORITY CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS OF CORPS OF ENGINEERS.

(a) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority to carry out low-priority construction projects of the Corps of Engineers is terminated.

(b) RESCISSION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for low-priority construction projects of the Corps of Engineers that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the projects referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects described in paragraph (1), as determined by the Secretary of the Army, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 914. TEN PERCENT REDUCTION IN INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE FUNDING.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, of the funds appropriated or otherwise

made available for fiscal year 2011, international development and humanitarian assistance expenditures of the United States shall not exceed an amount that is 10 percent less than the amount provided in fiscal year 2010.

SEC. 915. ELIMINATION OF THE SAFE AND DRUG-FREE SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITIES PROGRAM.

(a) REPEAL.—Part A of title IV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.) is repealed.

(b) RECISION OF FUNDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Program under part A of title IV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 916. RESCISSION OF AMOUNTS FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for programs, activities, and grants of the Economic Development Administration that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs, activities, and grants referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating such programs, activities, and grants, as determined by the Secretary of Commerce, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 917. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE WASTEFUL ACTIVITIES.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, 5 percent of all unobligated balances held by the Attorney General as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded to eliminate wasteful activities of the Department of Justice.

SEC. 918. RESCISSION OF AMOUNTS FOR HOLLINGS MANUFACTURING PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM AND BALDRIDGE PERFORMANCE EXCELLENCE PROGRAM.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Hollings Manufacturing Partnership Program and the Baldrige Performance Excellence Program that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under such programs, as determined by the Secretary of Commerce, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 919. FOSSIL FUEL APPLIED RESEARCH.

(a) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority of the Secretary of Energy to carry out fossil fuel applied research is terminated.

(b) RESCISSION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for fossil fuel applied research described in subsection (a) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for research referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing research described in paragraph (1), as determined by the Secretary of Energy, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 920. CORPORATION FOR PUBLIC BROADCASTING.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the portion of all unobligated balances held by the Corporation for Public Broadcasting that consists of Federal funds are rescinded and no Federal funds appropriated hereafter for the Corporation for Public Broadcasting shall be obligated or expended by such Corporation.

SEC. 921. FIFTEEN PERCENT REDUCTION IN FISCAL YEAR 2011 FUNDING FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FOR PROCUREMENT.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amount available to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2011 for procurement is the amount equal to the aggregate amount otherwise authorized to be appropriated to the Department for that fiscal year for procurement minus an amount equal to 15 percent of such aggregate amount.

SEC. 922. TEN PERCENT REDUCTION IN FISCAL YEAR 2011 FUNDING FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FOR RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amount available to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2011 for research, development, test, and evaluation is the amount equal to the aggregate amount otherwise authorized to be appropriated to the Department for that fiscal year for research, development, test, and evaluation minus an amount equal to 10 percent of such aggregate amount.

SEC. 923. REDUCTION IN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SPENDING IN SUPPORT OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.

The Secretary of Defense shall reduce the amount obligated or expended in support of military installations through the reduction or elimination of waste, fraud, and abuse attributable to programs and activities related to such support.

SEC. 924. RESCISSION OF DIPLOMATIC AND CONSULAR PROGRAMS FUNDING.

Ten percent of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Secretary of State for diplomatic and consular programs and available for obligation as of the date of the enactment of this Act is hereby rescinded.

SEC. 925. ELIMINATION OF PROGRAM TO PAY INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES RELATING TO STUDENT AID PROGRAM.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 489 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1096) is repealed.

(b) RECISION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for payments to institutions of higher education under section 489 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1096), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such payments shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 926. ELIMINATION OF GRANTS TO LARGE AND MEDIUM HUB AIRPORTS UNDER AIRPORT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.

Notwithstanding any provision of subchapter I of chapter 471 of title 49, United States Code, or any other provision of law—

(1) no large hub airport or medium hub airport (as those terms are defined in section 47102 of such title) may receive a grant under the airport improvement program under such subchapter;

(2) all amounts made available for grants to large hub airports or medium hub airports under the airport improvement program that remain unobligated as of the date of the enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(3) no amounts made available after the date of the enactment of this Act for grants to large hub airports or medium hub airports under the airport improvement program shall be obligated or expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under that program, as determined by the Secretary of Transportation, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 927. CONSOLIDATE ALL FEDERAL FIRE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS AND REDUCING FUNDING BY 10 PERCENT.

(a) CONSOLIDATION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall consolidate all fire management programs carried out under laws administered by the Secretary.

(b) RESCISSION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) of amounts made available for programs consolidated under subsection (a), the lesser of 10 percent of such amounts, on the one hand, and the amount of such amounts that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act, on the other hand, are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating or reducing ongoing projects and activities under such programs, as determined by the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 928. HIGH-ENERGY COST GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 19 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 918a) is repealed.

(b) RESCISSION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the program carried out under section 19 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 918a) (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the program referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating the program described in paragraph (1), as determined by the Secretary of Agriculture, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 929. RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS.

(a) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority to carry out the resource conservation and development program of the Natural Resources Conservation Service of the Department of Agriculture is terminated.

(b) RESCISSION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the resource conservation and development program of the Natural Resources Conservation Service of the Department of Agriculture (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the program referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under that program, as determined by the Secretary of Agriculture, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 930. REPEAL OF LEAP.

(a) REPEAL OF LEAP.—Subpart 4 of part A of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070c) is repealed.

(b) RECESSION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Leveraging Educational Assistance Partnership Program under subpart 4 of part A of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070c), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such program shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 931. ELIMINATION OF THE B.J. STUPAK OLYMPIC SCHOLARSHIPS PROGRAM.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 1543 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1992 (20 U.S.C. 1070 note) is repealed.

(b) ELIMINATION OF FUNDING.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the B.J. Stupak Olympic Scholarships program under section 1543 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1992 (20 U.S.C. 1070 note), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 932. REPEAL OF ROBERT C. BYRD HONORS SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM.

(a) REPEAL OF LEAP.—Subpart 6 of part A of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070c) is repealed.

(b) RECESSION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Robert C. Byrd Honors Scholarship Program under subpart 6 of part A of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070c), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such program shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 933. ELIMINATION OF THE HISTORIC WHALING AND TRADING PARTNERS PROGRAM.

(a) REPEAL.—Subpart 12 of part D of title V of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7265 et seq.) is repealed.

(b) RECISION OF FUNDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Educational, Cultural, Apprenticeship, and Exchange Programs for Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians, and Their Historical Whaling and Trading Partners in Massachusetts under subpart 12 of part D of title V of

the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7265 et seq.), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 934. ELIMINATION OF THE UNDERGROUND RAILROAD EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL PROGRAM.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 841 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1998 (20 U.S.C. 1153) is repealed.

(b) ELIMINATION OF FUNDING.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Underground Railroad educational and cultural program under section 841 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1998 (20 U.S.C. 1153), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 935. BROWNFIELDS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 108(q) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5309(q)) or any other provision of law, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development may not make any competitive economic development grants, as otherwise authorized by section 108(q) of that Act, for Brownfields redevelopment projects.

(b) RESCISSION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for grants described in subsection (a) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for grants described in subsection (a) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under those grants, as determined by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 936. ELECTION REFORM GRANTS.

(a) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority to make requirements payments to States under part 1 of subtitle D of title II of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (42 U.S.C. 15401 et seq.) is terminated.

(b) RESCISSION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for such requirements payments (as of the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for such requirements payments shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities using such requirements payments, as determined by the Administrator of General Services, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 937. ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION.

(a) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The Election Assistance Commission established under section 201 of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (42 U.S.C. 15321) is terminated.

(b) RESCISSION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Election Assistance Commission (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the Commission described in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities of the Commission, as determined by the Administrator of General Services, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 938. EMERGENCY OPERATIONS CENTER GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) **TERMINATION.**—Section 614 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5196c) is repealed.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Homeland Security for the emergency operations center grant program under section 614 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5196c), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 939. ELIMINATION OF HEALTH CARE FACILITIES AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Health and Human Services for health care facilities and construction are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 940. HIGH PRIORITY SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109-59; 119 Stat. 1256) is repealed.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for high priority projects under section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109-59; 119 Stat. 1256) (before the amendment made by subsection (a)) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for high priority projects described in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under those projects, as determined by the Secretary of Transportation, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 941. SAVE AMERICA'S TREASURES PROGRAM; PRESERVE AMERICA PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEALS.**—Sections 7302 and 7303 of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (16 U.S.C. 469n, 469o) are repealed.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Save America's Treasures Program or Preserve America Program that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under those programs, as determined by the Secretary of the Interior in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 942. TARGETED WATER INFRASTRUCTURE GRANTS.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The Targeted Watershed Grants Program and the U.S.-Mexico Border Water Infrastructure Program of the Environmental Protection Agency are terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Targeted Watershed Grants Program and the U.S.-Mexico Border Water Infrastructure Program of the Environmental Protection Agency (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs referred to in paragraph (1) (as so in existence) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under those programs, as determined by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 943. NATIONAL PARK SERVICE CHALLENGE COST SHARE PROGRAM.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority to provide Department of the Interior Challenge Cost Share Program grants is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Department of the Interior Challenge Cost Share Program (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the Department of the Interior Challenge Cost Share Program shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under the program, as determined by the Secretary of the Interior in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 944. TERMINATION OF THE CONSTELLATION PROGRAM OF THE NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION.

(a) **TERMINATION REQUIRED.**—The Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration shall terminate the Constellation Program of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

(b) **DISPOSITION OF UNOBLIGATED FUNDS.**—

(1) **RESCISSION.**—Except as provided in paragraph (2), any funds available for obligation by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration as of the date of the enactment of this Act for the Constellation Program are hereby rescinded.

(2) **AVAILABILITY FOR WIND-UP OF PROGRAM.**—Funds described in paragraph (1) may be utilized by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration solely for costs related to the winding-up of the provision of the Constellation Program.

SEC. 945. DELTA HEALTH INITIATIVE.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the

Secretary of Health and Human Services to carry out the Delta Health Initiative are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such Initiative shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 946. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE HEALTH CARE SERVICES GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority to carry out any health care services grant program of the Department of Agriculture is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for any health care services grant program of the Department of Agriculture (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the program referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under that program, as determined by the Secretary of Agriculture, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 947. ELIMINATION OF LOAN REPAYMENT FOR CIVIL LEGAL ASSISTANCE ATTORNEYS.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Section 428L of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1078-12) is repealed.

(b) **ELIMINATION OF FUNDING.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Repayment for Civil Legal Assistance Attorneys program under section 428L of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1078-12), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 948. TARGETED AIR SHED GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The Targeted Air Shed Grant Program of the Environmental Protection Agency is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Targeted Air Shed Grant Program of the Environmental Protection Agency (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the program referred to in paragraph (1) (as so in existence) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under that program, as determined by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 949. REQUIRING TRANSPARENCY AND ENSURING NO SPECIAL TREATMENT FOR THE AARP OR AMA.

(a) **REQUIREMENT.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no Federal grants or contracts may be made available to the AARP or the American Medical Association (commonly referred to as the "AMA") for fiscal year 2011 or any fiscal year thereafter unless awarded by a competitive bidding process.

(b) **DISCLOSURE CONDITIONS.**—Any physician trade and lobbying organization partnering with the Federal Government by participating in technical reviews, making health care payment policy recommendations, representing physician interests on advisory panels, or otherwise representing physicians in matters being reviewed or examined by the Department of Health and Human Services shall disclose the following:

(1) The number of dues paying physician-members the organization currently represents.

(2) The professional status of such members, whether said physicians are currently practicing medicine, teaching, retired, or a medical student in residency.

(c) **MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENT.**—No physician trade and lobbying organization shall be eligible to participate in activities listed in subsection (b) unless such organizations have a membership composed of at least 50 percent of currently-practicing physicians in the same calendar year. The requirement of the preceding sentence shall apply to all physician trade organizations, regardless of whether the organization is a State, regional, or national organization, and regardless of what specialty or practice areas said organizations represent.

(d) **REQUIREMENT FOR CERTAIN MEDIGAP SELLERS OR ISSUERS.**—Sellers or issuers of Medicare supplemental policies under section 1882 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395ss) that constitute more than 20 percent of the market share of the previous fiscal year shall be required to spend at least 80 percent of their premium dollars on medical claims to ensure value for seniors.

Subtitle B—Fighting Fraud and Abuse to Save Taxpayers' Dollars

SEC. 960. FINDINGS.

Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The Medicare program loses an estimated \$60,000,000,000 annually to wasted and fraudulent payments.

(2) The Medicaid program also suffers from rampant fraud. As the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services noted in 2009, in an analysis of the only source of nationwide Medicaid claims and beneficiary eligibility information, the Medicaid Statistical Information System, the Federal Government does not have “timely, accurate, or comprehensive information for fraud, waste, and abuse detection” in the Medicaid program.

(3) Absent comprehensive estimates, the Medicaid program's improper payment rate may be the most objective measure of taxpayer dollars lost to fraud. The national average improper payment rate ranges between 8.7 percent and 10.5 percent, but many States have much higher improper payment rates.

(4) The new Federal health reform law substantially expands the Medicaid program, significantly changes the Medicare program, creates new mandates and regulations, and will send hundreds of billions of dollars to insurance companies.

(5) It is the duty of public officials and public servants in Congress and the Administration to protect the American public's taxpayer dollars. Congress and the Administration must continue to aggressively combat waste, fraud, and abuse in public health care programs.

(6) The Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services has stated that “swift and effective detection of and response to waste, fraud, and abuse remain an essential program integrity strategy”. Furthermore, the Inspector General noted that “effective use of Medicare and

Medicaid data is critical to the success of the Government's efforts to reduce waste, fraud, and abuse”.

(7) The loss of taxpayer dollars due to waste and fraud under the Medicare and Medicaid programs not only threatens the financial viability of those programs, it erodes the public trust. American taxpayers should not be expected to tolerate rampant waste, fraud, and abuse in publicly funded health care programs.

(8) Congress supports the commitment of the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services to “enhancing existing data analysis and mining capabilities and employing advanced techniques such as predictive analytics and social network analysis, to counter new and existing fraud schemes”.

(9) Congress supports the use of predictive modeling and other smart technologies that can transform the current “pay and chase” payment cultures under the Medicare and Medicaid programs and prevent taxpayer dollars from being lost to waste, fraud, and abuse.

SEC. 961. TRACKING EXCLUDED PROVIDERS ACROSS STATE LINES.

(a) **GREATER COORDINATION.**—In order to ensure that providers of services and suppliers that have operated in one State and are excluded from participation in the Medicare program are unable to begin operation and participation in other Federal health care programs in another State, the Secretary shall provide for increased coordination between the following:

(1) The Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

(2) Regional offices of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

(3) Medicare administrative contractors, fiscal intermediaries, and carriers.

(4) State health agencies, State plans under title XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.), State plans under title XXI of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1397aa et seq.), and entities that contract with such agencies and plans, as directed by the Secretary.

(5) The Federation of State Medical Boards.

(b) IMPROVED INFORMATION SYSTEMS.

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall improve information systems to allow greater integration between databases under the Medicare program so that—

(A) Medicare administrative contractors, fiscal intermediaries, and carriers have immediate access to information identifying providers and suppliers excluded from participation in the Medicare program, the Medicaid program under title XIX of the Social Security Act, the State Children's Health Insurance Program under title XXI of such Act, and other Federal health care programs; and

(B) such information can be shared on a real-time basis, in accordance with protocols established under subsection (g)(2)—

(i) across Federal health care programs and agencies, including between the Department of Health and Human Services, the Social Security Administration, the Department of Veterans Affairs, the Department of Defense, the Department of Justice, and the Office of Personnel Management; and

(ii) with State health agencies, State plans under title XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.), State child health plans under title XXI of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1397aa et seq.), and entities that contract with such agencies and plans, as directed by the Secretary.

(2) **SHARING OF INFORMATION IN ADDITION TO HEAT EFFORTS.**—The information shared under paragraph (1) shall be in addition to, and shall not replace, activities of the Health Care Fraud Prevention and Enforcement Action Team (HEAT) established by the Attorney General and the Department of Health and Human Services.

(3) **APPROPRIATE COORDINATION.**—In implementing this subsection, the Secretary shall provide for the maximum appropriate coordination with the process established under section 6401(b)(2) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-09148).

(c) **“ONE PI” DATABASE FOR MEDICARE, MEDICAID, AND CHIP.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall—

(A) continue to upload Medicare claims, provider, and beneficiary data into the Integrated Data Repository under section 1128J(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, as added by section 6402(a) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act until such time as the Secretary determines that the Integrated Data Repository is completed; and

(B) fully implement the waste, fraud, and abuse detection solution of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, called the “One PI project” (in this subsection referred to as the “project”) by not later than January 1, 2013.

(2) **ACCESS.**—The Secretary, in consultation with Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, may allow stakeholders who combat, or could assist in combating, waste, fraud, and abuse under Federal health care programs to have access to the One PI system established under the project. Such stakeholders may include the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Comptroller General of the United States, Medicare administrative contractors, fiscal intermediaries, and carriers.

(d) **FEDERAL AND STATE AGENCY ACCESS TO NATIONAL PRACTITIONER DATA BANK.**—For purposes of enhancing data sharing in order to identify programmatic weaknesses and improving the timeliness of analysis and actions to prevent waste, fraud, and abuse, relevant Federal and State agencies, including the Department of Health and Human Services, the Department of Justice, State departments of health, State Medicaid plans under title XIX of the Social Security Act, State child health plans under title XXI of such Act, and State Medicaid fraud control units (as described in section 1903(q) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396b(q))), shall have real-time access to the National Practitioner Data Bank, as directed by the Secretary. The Secretary may, in consultation with the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, give such real-time access to State attorneys general and State and local law enforcement agencies.

(e) **ACCESS TO CLAIMS AND PAYMENT DATABASES.**—Section 1128J(a)(2) of the Social Security Act, as added by section 6402(a) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-09148) is amended—

(1) by striking “DATABASES.—For purposes” and inserting “DATABASES.—

“(A) ACCESS FOR THE CONDUCT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES.—For purposes”;

(2) in subparagraph (A), as added by paragraph (1), by inserting “, including the Integrated Data Repository under paragraph (1)” before the period at the end; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) ACCESS TO REDUCE WASTE, FRAUD, AND ABUSE.—For purposes of reducing waste, fraud, and abuse, and to the extent consistent with applicable information, privacy, security, and disclosure laws, including the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 and section 552a of title 5, United States Code, and subject to any information systems security requirements under such laws or otherwise required by the Secretary, the Secretary, in consultation with the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, may allow State Medicaid fraud control units and State and local law enforcement officials to have access to claims and payment data of the Department of Health and Human Services and its contractors related to titles XVIII, XIX, and XXI, including the Integrated Data Repository under paragraph (1).”

(f) ENSURING DATA IS UPLOADED TO THE IDR ON A DAILY BASIS.—Section 1128J(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, as added by section 6402(a) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-09148) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) UPLOADING OF MEDICARE CLAIMS DATA ON A DAILY BASIS.—All Medicare claims data shall be uploaded into the Integrated Data Repository on a daily basis.”

(g) REAL-TIME ACCESS TO DATA.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall ensure that any data provided to an entity or individual under the provisions of or amendments made by this section is provided to such entity or individual on a real-time basis, in accordance with protocols established by the Secretary under paragraph (2). The Secretary shall consult with the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services prior to implementing this subsection.

(2) PROTOCOLS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish protocols to ensure the secure transfer and storage of any data provided to another entity or individual under the provisions of or amendments made by this section.

(B) CONSIDERATION OF HHS OIG RECOMMENDATIONS.—In establishing protocols under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall take into account recommendations submitted to the Secretary by the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services with respect to the secure transfer and storage of such data.

(h) GAO STUDY AND REPORT ON USE OF FEDERATION OF STATE MEDICAL BOARDS TO STRENGTHEN ENROLLMENT INTEGRITY PROCESSES.—

(1) STUDY.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall, in consultation with the Federation of State Medical Boards, conduct a study on whether and, if so, to what degree, such Federation may be useful to the Secretary in further strengthening the integrity of processes for enrolling providers of services and suppliers under Federal health care programs.

(2) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report containing the results of the study conducted under paragraph (1), together with recommendations for such legislation and administrative action as the Comptroller General determines appropriate.

(i) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term “Administrator” means the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

(2) CHIP.—The term “CHIP” means the State Children’s Health Insurance Program under title XXI of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1397aa et seq.).

(3) FEDERAL HEALTH CARE PROGRAM.—The term “Federal health care program” has the meaning given such term in section 1128B(f) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a-097b(f)).

(4) HHS OIG.—The term “HHS OIG” means the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services.

(5) MEDICARE ADMINISTRATIVE CONTRACTORS, FISCAL INTERMEDIARIES, AND CARRIERS.—The term “Medicare administrative contractors, fiscal intermediaries, and carriers” includes zone program integrity contractors, program safeguard or integrity contractors, recovery audit contractors under section 1893(h) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395ddd(h)), and special investigative units at Medicare contractors (as defined in section 1889(g) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395zzz(g))).

(6) MEDICARE PROGRAM.—The term “Medicare program” means the program under title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.).

(7) PROVIDER OF SERVICES.—The term “provider of services” has the meaning given such term in section 1861(u) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x(u)).

(8) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

(9) STATE.—The term “State” includes the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa.

(10) SUPPLIER.—The term “supplier” has the meaning given such term in section 1861(d) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x(d)).

SEC. 962. ACCESS FOR PRIVATE SECTOR AND GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Title XI of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1301 et seq.), as amended by section 6402(a) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-09148), is amended by inserting after section 1128J the following new section:

“EXPANDED ACCESS TO THE NATIONAL PRACTITIONER DATA BANK

“SEC. 1128K. (a) EXPANDED ACCESS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The information in the National Practitioner Data Bank established pursuant to the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986 (42 U.S.C. 11101 et seq.) may be available on a real-time basis, in accordance with protocols established by the Secretary under subsection (b), to—

“(A) Federal and State government agencies and health plans, commercial health plans, and any health care provider, supplier, or practitioner entering an employment or contractual relationship with an individual or entity who has been subject to a final adverse action in the past 10 years, where the contract involves the furnishing of items or services reimbursed by 1 or more Federal health care programs (regardless of whether the individual or entity is paid by the programs directly, or whether the items or services are reimbursed directly or indirectly through the claims of a direct provider); and

“(B) utilization and quality control peer review organizations and accreditation entities as defined by the Secretary, including but not limited to organizations described in part B of this title and in section 1154(a)(4)(C).

“(2) NO EFFECT ON ACCESS UNDER OTHER APPLICABLE LAW; APPROPRIATE COORDINATION.—Nothing in this section shall affect the avail-

ability of information in the National Practitioner Data Bank under other applicable law, including the availability of such information to entities or individuals under part B of the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986 (42 U.S.C. 11131 et seq.). In implementing this section, the Secretary shall provide for the maximum appropriate coordination with such part.

“(b) PROTOCOLS.—The Secretary shall establish protocols to ensure the secure transfer and storage of data made available under this section. In establishing such protocols the Secretary shall take into account recommendations submitted to the Secretary by the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners with respect to the secure transfer and storage of such data, the establishment or approval of a fee structure under subsection (c), and the establishment of user access protocols.

“(c) FEES FOR DISCLOSURE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—

“(A) FEES.—Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary may establish or approve reasonable fees for the disclosure of information under this section, including with respect to requests by Federal agencies or other entities, such as fiscal intermediaries and carriers, acting under contract on behalf of such agencies.

“(B) ESTABLISHMENT OR APPROVAL OF FEE AMOUNTS.—In establishing or approving the amount of such fees, the Secretary shall ensure that the total amount of the fees to be collected is equal to the total costs of processing the requests for disclosure and of providing such information. Such fees shall be available to the Secretary to cover such costs.

“(C) FOR-PROFIT ENTITIES.—The Secretary may allow for-profit entities to receive data under this section for a fee that is comparable to the fee charged to a Federal agency or other entity under subparagraph (A) with respect to a similar request.

“(2) FREE ACCESS TO CERTAIN DATA.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the Fighting Fraud and Abuse to Save Taxpayers’ Dollars Act, for purposes of identifying additional strategies and tools to combat waste, fraud, and abuse, the Secretary—

“(i) establish protocols to ensure the secure transmission of data under this section; and

“(ii) may ensure nonprofit academic, policy, and research institutions have access to data from the National Practitioner Data Bank.

“(B) ACCESS FREE OF CHARGE.—Data shall be provided under subparagraph (A)(ii) free of charge to academic, policy, and research institutions.

“(C) REQUIREMENT.—Any academic, policy, or research institution that is provided data under subparagraph (A)(ii) shall, as a condition of receiving such data, be required to share with the Secretary any findings using such data to combat waste, fraud, and abuse (in a form and manner of the academic, policy, or research institution’s choosing).

“(d) ESTABLISHMENT OF APPEALS PROCESS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish a transparent and responsive appeals process under which a provider of services or supplier may have their name removed from the National Practitioner Data Bank. Under such process, appeals shall be conducted in a timely manner (not more than 90 days after the earlier of the date of the listing in the

National Practitioner Data Bank or the issuance of any penalty involved) in order to minimize the time that providers of services or suppliers who successfully appeal are excluded from participation under the programs under titles XVIII and XIX.

“(2) CONSULTATION.—The Secretary shall consult with major colleges of medical practice in the United States, commercial health plans, the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, and the Federation of State Medical Boards in establishing the appeals process under paragraph (1).

“(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) COMMERCIAL HEALTH PLAN.—The term ‘commercial health plan’ means health insurance coverage (as defined in section 2791 of the Public Health Service Act and including group health plans).

“(2) FINAL ADVERSE ACTION.—The term ‘final adverse action’ means one or more of the following actions:

“(A) A Medicare-imposed revocation of any Medicare billing privileges.

“(B) Suspension or revocation of a license to provide health care by any State licensing authority.

“(C) A conviction of a Federal or State felony offense within the last 10 years preceding enrollment, revalidation, or re-enrollment.

“(D) An exclusion or debarment from participation in a Federal or State health care program.”

(b) CRIMINAL PENALTY FOR MISUSE OF INFORMATION DISCLOSED.—Section 1128B(b) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a-097b(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(4) Whoever knowingly uses information disclosed from the National Practitioner Data Bank under section 1128K for a purpose other than those authorized under that section shall be imprisoned for not more than 3 years or fined under title 18, United States Code, or both.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 963. LIABILITY OF MEDICARE ADMINISTRATIVE CONTRACTORS FOR CLAIMS SUBMITTED BY EXCLUDED PROVIDERS.

(a) REIMBURSEMENT TO THE SECRETARY FOR AMOUNTS PAID TO EXCLUDED PROVIDERS.—Section 1874A(b) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395kk(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) REIMBURSEMENTS TO SECRETARY FOR AMOUNTS PAID TO EXCLUDED PROVIDERS.—

“(A) LIMITATION.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in clause (ii), the Secretary shall not enter into a contract with a Medicare administrative contractor under this section unless the contractor agrees to reimburse the Secretary for any amounts paid by the contractor for with respect to any item or service (other than an emergency item or service, not including items or services furnished in an emergency room of a hospital) which is furnished—

“(I) by an individual or entity during the period when such individual or entity is excluded pursuant to section 1128, 1128A, 1156 or 1842(j)(2) from participation in the program under this title; or

“(II) at the medical direction or on the prescription of a physician during the period when he is excluded pursuant to section 1128, 1128A, 1156 or 1842(j)(2) from participation in the program under this title and when the person furnishing such item or service knew or had reason to know of the exclusion (after

a reasonable time period after reasonable notice has been furnished to the person).

“(ii) EXCEPTION.—Where a Medicare administrative contractor pays a claim for payment for items or services furnished by an individual or entity excluded from participation in the programs under this title, pursuant to section 1128, 1128A, 1156, or 1866, and such Medicare administrative contractor did not know or have reason to know that such individual or entity was so excluded, then, to the extent permitted by this title, and notwithstanding such exclusion, the contractor shall not be required to reimburse the Secretary under clause (i) for any amounts paid with respect to such items or services. In each such case the Secretary shall notify the contractor of the exclusion of the individual or entity furnishing the items or services. A Medicare administrative contractor shall not make payment for items or services furnished by an excluded individual or entity to a beneficiary after a reasonable time (as determined by the Secretary in regulations) after the Secretary has notified the contractor of the exclusion of that individual or entity.

“(B) REQUIREMENT TO REVIEW CLAIMS.—A Medicare administrative contractor shall review claims submitted to the contractor for payment for services under this title in order to ensure that such services were not furnished by an individual or entity during any period for which the individual or entity is excluded from such participation (as described in subparagraph (A)).”

(b) REPORT ON EFFECTIVENESS AND DEVELOPMENT OF SCORECARD AND MEASURABLE PERFORMANCE METRICS FOR MEDICARE CONTRACTORS.—

(1) REPORT.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall submit to Congress a report on the overall effectiveness and potential of Medicare contractors.

(B) CONTENTS OF REPORT.—The report submitted under subparagraph (A) shall include the Secretary's recommendations for the development of measurable performance metrics and a scorecard for Medicare contractors (or, in the case of Medicare administrative contractors, updated and revised measurable performance metrics and a revised scorecard), together with recommendations for such legislation and administrative action as the Secretary determines appropriate.

(2) CONSULTATION.—The Secretary shall consult with Medicare contractors, the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, private sector waste, fraud, and abuse experts, and entities with experience combating and preventing waste, fraud, and abuse, including through the review of Medicare claims, in preparing the report submitted under paragraph (1).

(3) MEDICARE CONTRACTORS DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term “Medicare contractor” means any of the following:

(A) A Medicare administrative contractor under section 1874A of the Social Security Act.

(B) A Medicare Program Safeguard Contractor.

(C) A Zone Program Integrity Contractor.

(D) A Medicare Drug Integrity Contractor.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to claims for reimbursement submitted on or after the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) CONTRACT MODIFICATION.—The Secretary of Health and Human Services shall take

such steps as may be necessary to modify contracts entered into, renewed, or extended prior to the date of enactment of this Act to conform such contracts to the provisions of and amendments made by this section.

SEC. 964. LIMITING THE DISCHARGE OF DEBTS IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS IN CASES WHERE A HEALTH CARE PROVIDER OR A SUPPLIER ENGAGES IN FRAUDULENT ACTIVITY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) CIVIL MONETARY PENALTIES.—Section 1128A(a) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a-097a(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following: “Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts made payable under this section are not dischargeable under section 727, 944, 1141, 1228, or 1328 of title 11, United States Code, or any other provision of such title.”

(2) RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT TO PROVIDERS OF SERVICES UNDER PART A.—Section 1815(d) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395g(d)) is amended—

(A) by inserting “(1)” after “(d)”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts due to the Secretary under this section are not dischargeable under section 727, 944, 1141, 1228, or 1328 of title 11, United States Code, or any other provision of such title if the overpayment was the result of fraudulent activity, as may be defined by the Secretary.”

(3) RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF BENEFITS UNDER PART B.—Section 1833(j) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395i(j)) is amended—

(A) by inserting “(1)” after “(j)”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts due to the Secretary under this section are not dischargeable under section 727, 944, 1141, 1228, or 1328 of title 11, United States Code, or any other provision of such title if the overpayment was the result of fraudulent activity, as may be defined by the Secretary.”

(4) COLLECTION OF PAST-DUE OBLIGATIONS ARISING FROM BREACH OF SCHOLARSHIP AND LOAN CONTRACT.—Section 1892(a) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395ccc(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(5) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts due to the Secretary under this section are not dischargeable under section 727, 944, 1141, 1228, or 1328 of title 11, United States Code, or any other provision of such title.”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to bankruptcy petitions filed after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 965. PREVENTION OF WASTE, FRAUD, AND ABUSE IN THE MEDICAID AND CHIP PROGRAMS.

(a) DETECTION OF FRAUDULENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS WITHIN THE MEDICAID AND CHIP PROGRAMS.—

(1) MEDICAID.—Section 1903(i) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396b(i)), as amended by section 2001(a)(2)(B) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-09148), is amended—

(A) in paragraph (25), by striking “or” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (26), by striking the period and inserting “; or”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(27) with respect to amounts expended for an item or service for which medical assistance is provided under the State plan or under a waiver of such plan unless the claim for payment for such item or service contains—

“(A) a valid beneficiary identification number that, for purposes of the individual who received such item or service, has been determined by the State agency to correspond to an individual who is eligible to receive benefits under the State plan or waiver; and

“(B) a valid National Provider Identifier that, for purposes of the provider that furnished such item or service, has been determined by the State agency to correspond to a participating provider that is eligible to receive payment for furnishing such item or service under the State plan or waiver.”.

(2) CHIP.—Section 2107(e)(1)(I) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1397gg(e)(1)(I)) is amended by striking “and (17)” and inserting “(17), and (27)”.

(b) SCREENING REQUIREMENTS FOR MANAGED CARE ENTITIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 1902 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396a) is amended—

(A) by redesignating the second subsection (ii), as added by section 6401(b)(1)(B) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as subsection (kk) of such section; and

(B) in subsection (kk), as so redesignated—

(i) by redesignating paragraph (8) as paragraph (9); and

(ii) by inserting after paragraph (7) the following new paragraph:

“(8) MANAGED CARE ENTITIES.—The State establishes procedures to ensure that any managed care entity (as defined in section 1932(a)(1)(B)) under contract with the State complies with all applicable requirements under this subsection.”.

(2) MEDICAID MANAGED CARE ORGANIZATIONS.—Section 1903(m)(2)(A) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396b(m)(2)(A)) is amended—

(A) in clause (xii), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in clause (xiii), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(xiv) such contract requires that the entity comply with any applicable screening, oversight, and reporting requirements under section 1902(kk).”.

(3) MANAGED CARE ENTITIES.—Section 1932(d) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396u–092(d)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) COMPLIANCE WITH SCREENING, OVERSIGHT, AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—A managed care entity shall comply with any applicable screening, oversight, and reporting requirements under section 1902(kk).”.

(c) REQUIRED DATABASE CHECKS.—Clause (i) of section 1866(j)(2)(B) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395cc(j)(2)(B)) is amended to read as follows:

“(i) shall include—

“(I) a licensure check, which may include such checks across States; and

“(II) for purposes of the Medicaid program under title XIX—

“(aa) database checks (including such checks across States), which shall include—

“(AA) the Medicaid Statistical Information System (as described in section 1903(r)(1)(F)); and

“(BB) any relevant medical databases that are maintained by the State agencies, as determined by the Secretary in consultation with the directors of the State agencies; and

“(bb) coordination of excluded provider lists between the Secretary and the State agency, including exchanges of data regarding excluding providers between Federal and State databases; and”.

(d) TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.—Section 1902 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396a),

as amended by subsection (b)(1), is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (23), by striking “subsection (ii)(4)” and inserting “subsection (kk)(4)”; and

(B) in paragraph (77), by striking “subsection (ii)” and inserting “subsection (kk)”; and

(2) in subsection (kk), by striking “section 1866” each place it appears and inserting “section 1866”.

SEC. 966. ILLEGAL DISTRIBUTION OF A MEDICARE, MEDICAID, OR CHIP BENEFICIARY IDENTIFICATION OR BILLING PRIVILEGES.

Section 1128B(b) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a–097b(b)), as amended by section 962(b), is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(5) Whoever knowingly, intentionally, and with the intent to defraud purchases, sells or distributes, or arranges for the purchase, sale, or distribution of a Medicare, Medicaid, or CHIP beneficiary identification number or billing privileges under title XVIII, title XIX, or title XXI shall be imprisoned for not more than 10 years or fined not more than \$500,000 (\$1,000,000 in the case of a corporation), or both.”.

SEC. 967. PILOT PROGRAM FOR THE USE OF UNIVERSAL PRODUCT NUMBERS ON CLAIM FORMS FOR REIMBURSEMENT UNDER THE MEDICARE PROGRAM.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than January 1, 2013, the Secretary shall establish a pilot program under which claims for reimbursement under the Medicare program for UPN covered items contain the universal product number of the UPN covered item.

(2) DURATION.—The pilot program under this section shall be conducted for a 2-year period.

(3) CONSIDERATION OF GAO RECOMMENDATIONS.—The Secretary shall take into account the recommendations of the Comptroller General of the United States in establishing the pilot program under this section.

(b) DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF PROCEDURES.—

(1) INFORMATION INCLUDED IN UPN.—The Secretary, in consultation with manufacturers and entities with appropriate expertise, shall determine the relevant descriptive information appropriate for inclusion in a universal product number for a UPN covered item under the pilot program.

(2) REVIEW OF PROCEDURE.—The Secretary, in consultation with interested parties (which shall, at a minimum, include the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services and private sector and health industry experts), shall use information obtained under the pilot program through the use of universal product numbers on claims for reimbursement under the Medicare program to periodically review the UPN covered items billed under the Health Care Financing Administration Common Procedure Coding System and adjust such coding system to ensure that functionally equivalent UPN covered items are billed and reimbursed under the same codes.

(c) GAO REPORTS TO CONGRESS ON EFFECTIVENESS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF PILOT PROGRAM.—

(1) INITIAL REPORT.—Not later than 6 months after the implementation of the pilot program under this section, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report on the effectiveness of such implementation.

(2) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after the completion of the pilot pro-

gram under this section, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report on the effectiveness of the pilot program, together with recommendations regarding the use of universal product numbers and the use of data obtained from the use of such numbers, and recommendations for such legislation and administrative action as the Comptroller General determines appropriate.

(d) USE OF AVAILABLE FUNDING.—The Secretary shall use amounts available in the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Program Management Account or in the Health Care Fraud and Abuse Control Account under section 1817(k) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395i(k)) to carry out the pilot program under this section.

(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) MEDICARE PROGRAM.—The term “Medicare program” means the program under title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.).

(2) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

(3) UNIVERSAL PRODUCT NUMBER.—The term “universal product number” means a number that is—

(A) affixed by the manufacturer to each individual UPN covered item that uniquely identifies the item at each packaging level; and

(B) based on commercially acceptable identification standards such as, but not limited to, standards established by the Uniform Code Council—International Article Numbering System or the Health Industry Business Communication Council.

(4) UPN COVERED ITEM.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the term “UPN covered item” means—

(i) a covered item as that term is defined in section 1834(a)(13) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395m(a)(13));

(ii) an item described in paragraph (8) or (9) of section 1861(s) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x);

(iii) an item described in paragraph (5) of such section 1861(s); and

(iv) any other item for which payment is made under this title that the Secretary determines to be appropriate.

(B) EXCLUSION.—The term “UPN covered item” does not include a customized item for which payment is made under this title.

SEC. 968. PROHIBITION OF INCLUSION OF SOCIAL SECURITY ACCOUNT NUMBERS ON MEDICARE CARDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 205(c)(2)(C) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 405(c)(2)(C)), as amended by section 1414(a)(2) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111–09148), is amended by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(xi) The Secretary of Health and Human Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Social Security, shall establish cost-effective procedures to ensure that a social security account number (or any derivative thereof) is not displayed, coded, or embedded on the Medicare card issued to an individual who is entitled to benefits under part A of title XVIII or enrolled under part B of title XVIII and that any other identifier displayed on such card is easily identifiable as not being the social security account number (or a derivative thereof).”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to Medicare cards issued on and after an effective date specified by the Secretary of

Health and Human Services, but in no case shall such effective date be later than the date that is 24 months after the date adequate funding is provided pursuant to subsection (d)(2).

(2) REISSUANCE.—Subject to subsection (d)(2), in the case of individuals who have been issued such cards before such date, the Secretary of Health and Human Services—

(A) shall provide for the reissuance for such individuals of such a card that complies with such amendment not later than 3 years after the effective date specified under paragraph (1); and

(B) may permit such individuals to apply for the reissuance of such a card that complies with such amendment before the date of reissuance otherwise provided under subparagraph (A) in such exceptional circumstances as the Secretary may specify.

(c) OUTREACH PROGRAM.—Subject to subsection (d)(2), the Secretary of Health and Human Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Social Security, shall conduct an outreach program to Medicare beneficiaries and providers about the new Medicare card provided under this section.

(d) REPORT TO CONGRESS AND LIMITATIONS ON EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, acting through the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services and in consultation with the Commissioner of Social Security, shall submit to Congress a report that includes detailed options regarding the implementation of this section, including line-item estimates of and justifications for the costs associated with such options and estimates of timeframes for each stage of implementation. In recommending such options, the Secretary shall take into consideration, among other factors, cost-effectiveness and beneficiary outreach and education.

(2) LIMITATION; MODIFICATION OF DEADLINES.—With respect to the amendment made by subsection (a), and the requirements of subsections (b) and (c)—

(A) such amendment and requirements shall not apply until adequate funding is transferred pursuant to section 11(b) to implement the provisions of this section, as determined by Congress; and

(B) any deadlines otherwise established under this section for such amendment and requirements are contingent upon the receipt of adequate funding (as determined in subparagraph (A)) for such implementation. The previous sentence shall not affect the timely submission of the report required under paragraph (1).

SEC. 969. IMPLEMENTATION.

(a) EMPOWERING THE HHS OIG AND GAO.—Except as otherwise provided, to the extent practicable, the Secretary of Health and Human Services (in this section referred to as the “Secretary”) shall—

(1) carry out the provisions of and amendments made by this subtitle in consultation with the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services; and

(2) take into consideration the findings and recommendations of the Comptroller General of the United States in carrying out such provisions and amendments.

(b) FUNDING.—The Secretary shall provide for the transfer, from the Health Care Fraud and Abuse Control Account under section 1817(k) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395i(k)), to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Program Management Account, of such sums, provided such sums are

fully offset, as the Secretary determines are for necessary administrative expenses associated with carrying out the provisions of and amendments made by this subtitle (other than section 967). Amounts transferred under the preceding sentence shall remain available until expended.

(c) SAVINGS.—Any reduction in outlays under the Medicare program under title XVIII of the Social Security Act under the provisions of, and amendments made by, this subtitle may only be utilized to offset outlays under part A of title XVIII of the Social Security Act.

SA 4766. Mr. THUNE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. McCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title V, insert the following:

SEC. 506. RESCISSION OF UNSPENT FEDERAL FUNDS TO OFFSET LOSS IN REVENUES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, of all available unobligated funds, \$86,000,000,000 in appropriated discretionary funds are hereby permanently rescinded.

(b) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall determine and identify from which appropriation accounts the rescission under subsection (a) shall apply and the amount of such rescission that shall apply to each such account. Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall submit a report to the Secretary of the Treasury and Congress of the accounts and amounts determined and identified for rescission under the preceding sentence.

(c) EXCEPTION.—This section shall not apply to the unobligated funds of the Department of Defense or the Department of Veterans Affairs.

SA 4767. Mrs. HUTCHISON (for herself and Mr. CORNYN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. McCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 74, after line 6, add the following:

TITLE IX—EDUCATION JOBS FUND

SEC. 901. ELIMINATION OF PROVISIONS RELATING TO TEXAS.

Section 101 of Public Law 111–226 (124 Stat. 2389) is amended by striking paragraph (11).

SA 4768. Mr. BROWN of Ohio (for himself and Ms. SNOWE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr.

REID (for himself and Mr. McCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 72, after line 26, insert the following:

TITLE VIII—CURRENCY VALUATION

SEC. 801. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Currency Reform for Fair Trade Act”.

SEC. 802. CLARIFICATION REGARDING DEFINITION OF COUNTERVAILABLE SUBSIDY.

(a) BENEFIT CONFERRED.—Section 771(5)(E) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677(5)(E)) is amended—

(1) in clause (iii), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in clause (iv), by striking the period at the end and inserting “, and”; and

(3) by inserting after clause (iv) the following new clause:

“(v) in the case in which the currency of a country in which the subject merchandise is produced is exchanged for foreign currency obtained from export transactions, and the currency of such country is a fundamentally undervalued currency, as defined in paragraph (37), the difference between the amount of the currency of such country provided and the amount of the currency of such country that would have been provided if the real effective exchange rate of the currency of such country were not undervalued, as determined pursuant to paragraph (38).”.

(b) EXPORT SUBSIDY.—Section 771(5A)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677(5A)(B)) is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “In the case of a subsidy relating to a fundamentally undervalued currency, the fact that the subsidy may also be provided in circumstances not involving export shall not, for that reason alone, mean that the subsidy cannot be considered contingent upon export performance.”.

(c) DEFINITION OF FUNDAMENTALLY UNDERVALUED CURRENCY.—Section 771 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(37) FUNDAMENTALLY UNDERVALUED CURRENCY.—The administering authority shall determine that the currency of a country in which the subject merchandise is produced is a ‘fundamentally undervalued currency’ if—

“(A) the government of the country (including any public entity within the territory of the country) engages in protracted, large-scale intervention in one or more foreign exchange markets during part or all of the 18-month period that represents the most recent 18 months for which the information required under paragraph (38) is reasonably available, but that does not include any period of time later than the final month in the period of investigation or the period of review, as applicable;

“(B) the real effective exchange rate of the currency is undervalued by at least 5 percent, on average and as calculated under paragraph (38), relative to the equilibrium real effective exchange rate for the country’s currency during the 18-month period;

“(C) during the 18-month period, the country has experienced significant and persistent global current account surpluses; and

“(D) during the 18-month period, the foreign asset reserves held by the government of the country exceed—

“(i) the amount necessary to repay all debt obligations of the government falling due within the coming 12 months;

“(ii) 20 percent of the country’s money supply, using standard measures of M2; and

“(iii) the value of the country’s imports during the previous 4 months.”.

(d) **DEFINITION OF REAL EFFECTIVE EXCHANGE RATE UNDERVALUATION.**—Section 771 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677), as amended by subsection (c) of this section, is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(38) **REAL EFFECTIVE EXCHANGE RATE UNDERVALUATION.**—The calculation of real effective exchange rate undervaluation, for purposes of paragraph (5)(E)(v) and paragraph (37), shall—

“(A)(i) rely upon, and where appropriate be the simple average of, the results yielded from application of the approaches described in the guidelines of the International Monetary Fund’s Consultative Group on Exchange Rate Issues; or

“(ii) if the guidelines of the International Monetary Fund’s Consultative Group on Exchange Rate Issues are not available, be based on generally accepted economic and econometric techniques and methodologies to measure the level of undervaluation;

“(B) rely upon data that are publicly available, reliable, and compiled and maintained by the International Monetary Fund or, if the International Monetary Fund cannot provide the data, by other international organizations or by national governments; and

“(C) use inflation-adjusted, trade-weighted exchange rates.”.

SEC. 803. REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF TITLE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 9 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report on the implementation of the amendments made by this title.

(b) **MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include a description of the extent to which United States industries that have been materially injured by reason of imports of subject merchandise produced in foreign countries with fundamentally undervalued currencies have received relief under title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671 et seq.), as amended by this title.

SA 4769. Mr. KOHL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 51, between lines 11 and 12, insert:
SEC. 712. ALTERNATIVE MOTOR VEHICLE CREDIT FOR NEW QUALIFIED HYBRID MOTOR VEHICLES OTHER THAN PASSENGER AUTOMOBILES AND LIGHT TRUCKS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (3) of section 30B(k) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property purchased after December 31, 2009.

SA 4770. Ms. CANTWELL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place, add the following:

SEC. ____ . ROLLOVER OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED IN AIRLINE CARRIER BANKRUPTCY.

(a) **GENERAL RULES.**—

(1) **ROLLOVER OF AIRLINE PAYMENT AMOUNT.**—If a qualified airline employee receives any airline payment amount and transfers any portion of such amount to a traditional IRA within 180 days of receipt of such amount (or, if later, within 180 days of the date of the enactment of this Act), then such amount (to the extent so transferred) shall be treated as a rollover contribution described in section 402(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. A qualified airline employee making such a transfer may exclude from gross income the amount transferred, in the taxable year in which the airline payment amount was paid to the qualified airline employee by the commercial passenger airline carrier.

(2) **TRANSFER OF AMOUNTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO AIRLINE PAYMENT AMOUNT FOLLOWING ROLLOVER TO ROTH IRA.**—A qualified airline employee who made a rollover of an airline payment amount to a Roth IRA pursuant to section 125 of the Worker, Retiree, and Employer Recovery Act of 2008, may transfer to a traditional IRA all or any part of the Roth IRA attributable to such rollover, and the transfer to the traditional IRA will be deemed to have been made at the time of the rollover to the Roth IRA, if such transfer is made within 180 days of the date of the enactment of this Act. A qualified airline employee making such a transfer may exclude from gross income the airline payment amount previously rolled over to the Roth IRA, to the extent an amount attributable to the previous rollover was transferred to a traditional IRA, in the taxable year in which the airline payment amount was paid to the qualified airline employee by the commercial passenger airline carrier.

(3) **EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE CLAIM FOR REFUND.**—A qualified airline employee who excludes an amount from gross income in a prior taxable year under paragraph (1) or (2) may reflect such exclusion in a claim for refund filed within the period of limitation under section 6511(a) (or, if later, April 15, 2011).

(b) **TREATMENT OF AIRLINE PAYMENT AMOUNTS AND TRANSFERS FOR EMPLOYMENT TAXES.**—For purposes of chapter 21 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and section 209 of the Social Security Act, an airline payment amount shall not fail to be treated as a payment of wages by the commercial passenger airline carrier to the qualified airline employee in the taxable year of payment because such amount is excluded from the qualified airline employee’s gross income under subsection (a).

(c) **DEFINITIONS AND SPECIAL RULES.**—For purposes of this section—

(1) **AIRLINE PAYMENT AMOUNT.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The term “airline payment amount” means any payment of any money or other property which is payable by a commercial passenger airline carrier to a qualified airline employee—

(i) under the approval of an order of a Federal bankruptcy court in a case filed after September 11, 2001, and before January 1, 2007, and

(ii) in respect of the qualified airline employee’s interest in a bankruptcy claim against the carrier, any note of the carrier (or amount paid in lieu of a note being issued), or any other fixed obligation of the carrier to pay a lump sum amount.

The amount of such payment shall be determined without regard to any requirement to deduct and withhold tax from such payment under sections 3102(a) and 3402(a).

(B) **EXCEPTION.**—An airline payment amount shall not include any amount payable on the basis of the carrier’s future earnings or profits.

(2) **QUALIFIED AIRLINE EMPLOYEE.**—The term “qualified airline employee” means an employee or former employee of a commercial passenger airline carrier who was a participant in a defined benefit plan maintained by the carrier which—

(A) is a plan described in section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 which includes a trust exempt from tax under section 501(a) of such Code, and

(B) was terminated or became subject to the restrictions contained in paragraphs (2) and (3) of section 402(b) of the Pension Protection Act of 2006.

(3) **TRADITIONAL IRA.**—The term “traditional IRA” means an individual retirement plan (as defined in section 7701(a)(37) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) which is not a Roth IRA.

(4) **ROTH IRA.**—The term “Roth IRA” has the meaning given such term by section 408A(b) of such Code.

(d) **SURVIVING SPOUSE.**—If a qualified airline employee died after receiving an airline payment amount, or if an airline payment amount was paid to the surviving spouse of a qualified airline employee in respect of the qualified airline employee, the surviving spouse of the qualified airline employee may take all actions permitted under section 125 of the Worker, Retiree and Employer Recovery Act of 2008, or under this section, to the same extent that the qualified airline employee could have done had the qualified airline employee survived.

(e) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—This section shall apply to transfers made after the date of the enactment of this Act with respect to airline payment amounts paid before, on, or after such date.

SA 4771. Mr. CASEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 74, after line 6, insert the following:

TITLE IX—ABLE ACT**SEC. 901. SHORT TITLE.**

This title may be cited as the “Achieving a Better Life Experience Act of 2010” or the “ABLE Act of 2010”.

SEC. 902. PURPOSES.

The purposes of this Act are as follows:

(1) To encourage and assist individuals and families in saving private funds for the purpose of supporting individuals with disabilities to maintain health, independence, and quality of life.

(2) To provide secure funding for disability-related expenses on behalf of designated beneficiaries with disabilities that will supplement, but not supplant, benefits provided through private insurance, the Medicaid program under title XIX of the Social Security Act, the supplemental security income program under title XVI of such Act, the beneficiary's employment, and other sources.

SEC. 903. ABLE ACCOUNTS.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter F of chapter 1 is amended by inserting after part VIII the following new part:

“PART IX—SAVINGS FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES

“Sec. 530A. ABLE Accounts.

“SEC. 530A. ABLE ACCOUNTS.

“(a) GENERAL RULE.—An ABLE account shall be exempt from taxation under this subtitle. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, such account shall be subject to the taxes imposed by section 511 (relating to imposition of tax on unrelated business income of charitable organizations).

“(b) DEFINITIONS AND SPECIAL RULES.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) ABLE ACCOUNTS.—The term ‘ABLE account’ means a trust created or organized in the United States (and designated as an ABLE account at the time created or organized) exclusively for the purpose of paying or reimbursing qualified disability expenses of an individual who is an individual with a disability and who is the designated beneficiary of the trust, but only if the written governing instrument creating the trust meets the following requirements:

“(A) No contribution will be accepted—

“(i) except in the case of rollover contributions described in subsection (c)(4) and sections 223(f)(5), 408(d)(3), 529(c)(3)(C)(i), and 530(d)(5), unless it is in cash or the equivalent thereof,

“(ii) if such contribution would result in aggregate contributions to all ABLE accounts for the same designated beneficiary for the taxable year and all preceding taxable years exceeding \$500,000 plus the yearly inflation adjustment, and

“(iii) after the date on which the designated beneficiary attains the age of 65.

“(B) The trustee is—

“(i) a bank (as defined in section 408(n)),

“(ii) the designated beneficiary,

“(iii) a parent or guardian of the account holder, or

“(iv) a third-party appointed by the designated beneficiary or a parent or guardian of the designated beneficiary (including a family member of the designated beneficiary or an organization that administers pooled and special needs trusts) who demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Secretary that the manner in which that person will administer the trust will be consistent with the requirements of this section.

“(C) No part of the trust assets will be invested in life insurance contracts.

“(D) The assets of the trust shall not be commingled with other property except in a

common trust fund or common investment fund.

“(E) Except as provided in paragraph (4) or (5) of subsection (c) and for qualified disability expenses that are funeral and burial expenses, in the case that the designated beneficiary dies or ceases to be an individual with a disability, all amounts remaining in the trust not in excess of the amount equal to the total medical assistance paid for the designated beneficiary after the establishment of the trust, net of any premiums paid from the account or paid by or on behalf of the beneficiary to a Medicaid buy-in program, under any State Medicaid plan established under title XIX of the Social Security Act shall be distributed to such State upon filing of a claim for payment by such State within 90 days of the date of notification that the individual is deceased. For purposes of this subparagraph, the State shall be a creditor of an ABLE account and not a beneficiary.

“(2) QUALIFIED DISABILITY EXPENSES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualified disability expenses’ means any expenses which—

“(i) are made for the benefit of an individual with a disability who is a designated beneficiary of the trust, and

“(ii) approved under regulations established by the Secretary.

“(B) EXPENSES INCLUDED.—The following expenses shall be qualified disability expenses if made for the benefit of an individual with a disability who is a designated beneficiary of the trust:

“(i) EDUCATION.—Expenses for education, including tuition for preschool thru post-secondary education, books, supplies, and educational materials related to such education, tutors, and special education services.

“(ii) HOUSING.—Expenses for housing, including the purchase of a home or interest in a home, rent, mortgage payments, home improvements and modifications, maintenance and repairs, real property taxes, and utility charges.

“(iii) TRANSPORTATION.—Expenses for transportation, including the use of mass transit, the purchase or modification of vehicles, and moving expenses.

“(iv) EMPLOYMENT SUPPORT.—Expenses related to obtaining and maintaining employment, including job-related training, assistive technology, and personal assistance supports.

“(v) HEALTH, PREVENTION, AND WELLNESS.—Expenses for the health and wellness, including premiums for health insurance, medical, vision, and dental expenses, habilitation and rehabilitation services, durable medical equipment, therapy, respite care, long term services and supports, and nutritional management.

“(vi) LIFE NECESSITIES.—Expenses for life necessities, including clothing, activities which are religious, cultural, or recreational, supplies and equipment for personal care, community-based supports, communication services and devices, adaptive equipment, assistive technology, personal assistance supports, financial management, legal fees, and administrative services, expenses for oversight, monitoring, or advocacy, funeral and burial, and Medicaid payback.

“(vii) OTHER APPROVED EXPENSES.—Any other expenses which are approved by the Secretary under regulations and consistent with the purposes of this section.

“(viii) ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY AND PERSONAL SUPPORT SERVICES.—Expenses for assistive technology and personal support with respect to any item described in clauses (i) through (vii).

“(3) INDIVIDUAL WITH A DISABILITY.—An individual is an individual with a disability if such individual—

“(A) would be eligible to receive supplemental security income benefits due to blindness or disability under title XVI of the Social Security Act, or disability benefits under Title II of the Social Security Act, notwithstanding—

“(i) the income and assets tests and substantial gainful activity test required for eligibility for such benefits, and

“(ii) whether a determination has been made that such individual is blind or disabled, or

“(B) is eligible to receive or is deemed to be receiving supplemental security income benefits due to blindness or disability under title XVI of the Social Security Act, or disability benefits under title II of the Social Security Act.

“(4) RULES RELATING TO ESTATE AND GIFT TAX.—Rules similar to the rules of paragraphs (2), (4), and (5) of section 529(c) shall apply for purposes of this section.

“(c) TAX TREATMENT OF DISTRIBUTIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, any amount paid or distributed out of an ABLE account shall be included in gross income by the payee or distributee, as the case may be, for the taxable year in which received in the manner as provided in section 72.

“(2) DISTRIBUTIONS FOR BENEFIT OF DESIGNATED BENEFICIARY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—No amount shall be includible in gross income under paragraph (1) if the qualified disability expenses of the designated beneficiary during the taxable year are not less than the aggregate distributions during the taxable year.

“(B) DISTRIBUTIONS IN EXCESS OF EXPENSES.—If such aggregate distributions exceed such expenses during the taxable year, the amount otherwise includible in gross income under paragraph (1) shall be reduced by the amount which bears the same ratio to the amount which would be includible in gross income under paragraph (1) (without regard to this subparagraph) as the qualified disability expenses bear to such aggregate distributions.

“(C) DISALLOWANCE OF EXCLUDED AMOUNTS AS DEDUCTION, CREDIT, OR EXCLUSION.—No deduction, credit, or exclusion shall be allowed to the taxpayer under any other section of this chapter for any qualified disability expenses to the extent taken into account in determining the amount of the exclusion under this paragraph.

“(3) ADDITIONAL TAX FOR DISTRIBUTIONS NOT USED FOR BENEFIT OF DESIGNATED BENEFICIARY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The tax imposed by this chapter for any taxable year on any taxpayer who receives a payment or distribution from an ABLE account shall be increased by 10 percent of the amount thereof which is includible in gross income under paragraph (1).

“(B) EXCEPTION.—Subparagraph (A) shall not apply if the payment or distribution is made to a beneficiary (or to the estate of the designated beneficiary) on or after the death of the designated beneficiary.

“(C) CONTRIBUTIONS RETURNED BEFORE CERTAIN DATE.—Subparagraph (A) shall not apply to the distribution of any contribution made during a taxable year if—

“(i) such distribution is made before the 60th day after the date on which the contribution was made, and

“(ii) such distribution is accompanied by the amount of net income attributable to such excess contribution.

Any net income described in clause (ii) shall be included in gross income for the taxable year in which such excess contribution was made.

“(4) ROLLOVERS.—Paragraph (1) shall not apply to any amount paid or distributed from an ABLE account to the extent that the amount received is paid, not later than the 60th day after the date of such payment or distribution, into—

“(A) another ABLE account for the benefit of—

“(i) the same beneficiary, or

“(ii) an individual who—

“(I) is the spouse of such individual with a disability, or bears a relationship to such individual with a disability which is described in section 152(d)(2), and

“(II) is also an individual with a disability, or

“(B) any trust which is described in subparagraph (A) or (C) of section 1917(d)(4) of the Social Security Act and which is for the benefit of an individual described in clause (i) or (ii) of subparagraph (A).

The preceding sentence shall not apply to any payment or distribution if it applied to any prior payment or distribution during the 12-month period ending on the date of the payment or distribution.

“(5) CHANGE IN BENEFICIARY.—Any change in the beneficiary of an ABLE account shall not be treated as a distribution for purposes of paragraph (1) if the new beneficiary is an individual described in paragraph (4)(A)(ii) as of the date of the change.

“(d) TAX TREATMENT OF ACCOUNTS.—Rules similar to the rules of paragraphs (2) and (4) of section 408(e) shall apply to any ABLE account.

“(e) COMMUNITY PROPERTY LAWS.—This section shall be applied without regard to any community property laws.

“(f) CUSTODIAL ACCOUNTS.—For purposes of this section, a custodial account shall be treated as a trust if—

“(1) the assets of such account are held by a bank (as defined in section 408(n)), a broker dealer (as defined in section 15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, an investment advisor registered under the Investment Advisors Act of 1940, or another person who demonstrates, to the satisfaction of the Secretary, that the manner in which he will administer the account will be consistent with the requirements of this section,

“(2) the custodial account would, except for the fact that it is not a trust, constitute an account described in subsection (b)(1), and

“(3) the custodial account holder is a person described in subsection (b)(1)(B)(ii), (iii), or (iv).

For purposes of this title, in the case of a custodial account treated as a trust by reason of the preceding sentence, the bank or other custodian of such account shall be treated as the trustee thereof. Such bank or other custodian shall be entitled to rely, without further duty of inquiry or liability to any person, upon the signed written statement (which may be included on a check or withdrawal slip) of the custodial account holder that a payment or distribution from such account is made solely to pay, or to reimburse a payment for, a qualified disability expense.

“(g) REPORTS.—The trustee, custodian, and account holder of an ABLE account shall make such reports regarding such account to the Secretary and to the beneficiary of the account with respect to contributions, distributions, and such other matters as the

Secretary may require. The reports required by this subsection shall be filed at such time and in such manner and furnished to such individuals at such time and in such manner as may be required.

“(h) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any taxable year beginning after 2010, the \$500,000 dollar amount under subsection (b)(1)(A)(i)(II) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

“(A) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(B) the cost of living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for the calendar year in which the taxable year begins, determined by substituting ‘calendar year 2009’ for ‘calendar year 1992’ in subparagraph (B) thereof.

“(2) ROUNDING.—If any amount as adjusted under paragraph (1) is not a multiple of \$1,000, such amount shall be rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$1,000.

“(i) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall prescribe temporary or final regulations or other published guidance to carry out the purposes of this section within six months of the date of enactment, including regulations to prevent fraud and abuse with respect to amounts claimed as qualified disability expenses.”

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) TAX ON EXCESS CONTRIBUTIONS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a) of section 4973 is amended by striking “or” at the end of paragraph (4), by inserting “or” at the end of paragraph (5), and by inserting after paragraph (5) the following new paragraph:

“(6) an ABLE account (within the meaning of section 530A).”

(ii) EXCESS CONTRIBUTIONS.—Section 4973 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(h) EXCESS CONTRIBUTIONS TO ABLE ACCOUNTS.—For purposes of this section, in the case of an ABLE account (within the meaning of section 530A), the term ‘excess contributions’ means the sum of—

“(1) the amount by which the sum of the amount contributed for the same designated beneficiary for the taxable year to such accounts plus such amounts contributed for all preceding taxable years exceeds the amount described in section 530A(b)(1)(A)(ii), and

“(2) the amount determined under this section for the preceding taxable year, reduced by the distributions from such account which were includible in gross income under section 530A(c)(1).

For purposes of this section, an amount which is distributed out of an ABLE account in a distribution to which section 530A(c)(3)(C) applies shall be treated as an amount not contributed.”

(B) TAX ON PROHIBITED TRANSACTIONS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 4975(e) is amended by redesignating subparagraph (G) as subparagraph (H), by striking “or” at the end of subparagraph (F), and by adding after subparagraph (F) the following:

“(G) an ABLE account described in section 530A, or”

(ii) EXEMPTION.—Subsection (d) of section 4975 is amended by striking “or” at the end of paragraph (22), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (23) and inserting “; or”, and by inserting after paragraph (23) the following:

“(24) in the case of an ABLE account, any transaction to provide housing or other services by a family member to or for the designated beneficiary of the trust to the extent that such transaction does not exceed the fair market value of the housing or service (as the case may be) provided.”

(iii) SPECIAL RULE.—Subsection (c) of section 4975 is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(7) SPECIAL RULE FOR ABLE ACCOUNTS.—An individual for whose benefit an ABLE account is established and any contributor to such account shall be exempt from the tax imposed by this section with respect to any transaction concerning such account (which would otherwise be taxable under this section) if section 530A(d) applies with respect to such transaction.”

(C) ROLLOVERS FROM CERTAIN OTHER TAX FAVORED ACCOUNTS.—

(i) QUALIFIED TUITION PROGRAMS.—Paragraph (3) of section 529(c) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(E) CONTRIBUTIONS TO ABLE ACCOUNT.—Subparagraph (A) shall not apply to that portion of any distribution which, within 60 days of such distribution, is contributed to an ABLE account for the benefit of the designated beneficiary.”

(ii) EDUCATION SAVINGS ACCOUNTS.—Subsection (d) of section 530 is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(10) CONTRIBUTIONS TO ABLE ACCOUNT.—Paragraph (1) shall not apply to any amount paid or distributed from a Coverdell education savings account to the extent that the amount received is paid, not later than the 60th day after the date of such payment or distribution, into an ABLE account for the benefit of the same beneficiary.”

(iii) HEALTH SAVINGS ACCOUNTS.—Subparagraph (A) of section 223(f)(5) is amended—

(I) by inserting “(i)” before “into a health savings account”, and

(II) by inserting “or (ii) into an ABLE account for the benefit of such beneficiary” before “not later than the 60th day”.

(iv) CERTAIN IRAS.—Subparagraph (A) of section 408(d)(3) is amended by striking “or” at the end of clause (i), by striking the period at the end of clause (ii) and inserting “; or”, and by inserting after clause (ii) the following new clause:

“(iii) the entire amount received (including money and other property) is paid into an ABLE account for the benefit of the child or grandchild of such individual not later than the 60th day after the day on which the payment or distribution is received.”

(D) REPORTS.—Paragraph (2) of section 6693(a) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (D), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (E) and inserting “and”, and by inserting after subparagraph (E) the following new subparagraph:

“(F) section 530A(g) (relating to ABLE accounts).”

(E) EXCLUSION FROM INCOME UNDER SSI.—Subsection (b) of section 1612 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1382a) is amended by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (24), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (25) and inserting “; and”, and by inserting after paragraph (25) the following:

“(26) any contribution to an ABLE account.”

(F) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of parts for subchapter F of chapter 1 is amended by inserting after the item relating to part VIII the following new item:

“PART IX. SAVINGS FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES.”

(b) ANNUAL REPORTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Treasury shall report annually to Congress on the usage of ABLE accounts under section 530A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(2) CONTENTS OF REPORT.—Any report under paragraph (1) shall include—

(A) the number of people with ABLE accounts,

(B) the total amount of contributions to such accounts,

(C) the total amount and nature of distributions from such accounts,

(D) issues relating to the abuse of such accounts, if any, and

(E) the amounts repaid from such accounts to State Medicaid programs established under title XIX of the Social Security Act.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 904. STUDY ON THE USE OF ABLE ACCOUNTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary's delegate), in consultation with the Secretary of Health and Human Services, shall conduct a study on the use of ABLE accounts (as defined by section 530A(a) of the Internal Revenue Code). Such study shall consider the effect that a tax credit or a refundable matching tax credit would have on the use of and contributions to such accounts.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 5 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Treasury shall report to Congress on the study conducted under subsection (a).

SEC. 905. TREATMENT OF ABLE ACCOUNTS UNDER CERTAIN FEDERAL PROGRAMS.

(a) TREATMENT AS A MEDICAID EXCEPTED TRUST.—Paragraph (4) of section 1917(d) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396p(d)(4)) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) An ABLE account described in section 530A(b)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.”.

(b) ACCOUNT FUNDS DISREGARDED FOR PURPOSES OF CERTAIN OTHER MEANS-TESTED FEDERAL PROGRAMS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of Federal law that requires consideration of 1 or more financial circumstances of an individual, for the purpose of determining eligibility to receive, or the amount of, any assistance or benefit authorized by such provision to be provided to or for the benefit of such individual, any amount (including earnings thereon) in any ABLE account of such individual, and any distribution for qualified disability expenses (as defined in section 530A(b)(2)) shall be disregarded for such purpose with respect to any period during which such individual maintains, makes contributions to, or receives distributions from such ABLE account.

SA 4772. Mr. BEGICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 72, after line 26, add the following:

TITLE —OTHER PROVISIONS

SEC. .01. ENCOURAGEMENT OF CONTRIBUTIONS OF CAPITAL GAIN REAL PROPERTY MADE FOR CONSERVATION PURPOSES BY NATIVE CORPORATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 170(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by redesignating subparagraph (C) as subparagraph (D), and by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraph:

“(C) QUALIFIED CONSERVATION CONTRIBUTIONS BY CERTAIN NATIVE CORPORATIONS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Any qualified conservation contribution (as defined in subsection (h)(1)) which—

“(I) is made by a Native Corporation, and

“(II) is a contribution of property which was land conveyed under the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act,

shall be allowed to the extent that the aggregate amount of such contributions does not exceed the excess of the taxpayer's taxable income over the amount of charitable contributions allowable under subparagraph (A).

“(ii) LIMITATION.—This subparagraph shall not apply to any contribution of property described in clause (i)(II) which, by itself or when aggregated to any other property to which this subparagraph applies, is a contribution of more than 10 percent of the land conveyed to the Native Corporation described in clause (i)(I) under the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act.

“(iii) CARRYOVER.—If the aggregate amount of contributions described in clause (i) exceeds the limitation of clause (i), such excess shall be treated (in a manner consistent with the rules of subsection (d)(2)) as a charitable contribution to which clause (i) applies in each of the 5 succeeding years in order of time.

“(iv) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term ‘Native Corporation’ has the meaning given such term by section 3(m) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act.

“(v) TERMINATION.—This subparagraph shall not apply to any contribution in any taxable year beginning after December 31, 2011.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 170(b)(2)(A) of such Code is amended by striking “subparagraph (B) applies” and inserting “subparagraphs (B) or (C) apply”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to contributions made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(d) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section or the amendments made by this section shall be construed to modify any existing property rights conveyed to Native Corporations (with the meaning of section 3(m) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act) under such Act.

SEC. .02. INCREASE IN PENALTY FOR FAILURE TO FILE A PARTNERSHIP OR S CORPORATION RETURN.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Sections 6698(b)(1) and 6699(b)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 are each amended by striking “\$195” and inserting “\$205”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to returns for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

SA 4773. Ms. STABENOW (for herself, Mr. BEGICH, Mrs. SHAHEEN, and Mr. BROWN of Ohio) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the In-

ternal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 72, after line 26, add the following:

TITLE —REPEAL OF EXPANSION OF INFORMATION REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

SEC. .01. REPEAL OF EXPANSION OF INFORMATION REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

(a) REPEAL OF PAYMENTS FOR PROPERTY AND OTHER GROSS PROCEEDS.—Subsection (b) of section 9006 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, and the amendments made thereby, are hereby repealed; and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall be applied as if such subsection, and amendments, had never been enacted.

(b) REPEAL OF APPLICATION TO CORPORATIONS; APPLICATION OF REGULATORY AUTHORITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 6041, as amended by section 9006(a) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and section 2101 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, is amended by striking subsections (i) and (j) and inserting the following new subsection:

“(i) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary may prescribe such regulations and other guidance as may be appropriate or necessary to carry out the purposes of this section, including rules to prevent duplicative reporting of transactions.”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to payments made after December 31, 2010.

SA 4774. Ms. STABENOW submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 28, between lines 3 and 4, insert:

SEC. 403. EXTENSION AND ADDITIONAL ALLOCATIONS OF RECOVERY ZONE BOND AUTHORITY.

(a) EXTENSION OF RECOVERY ZONE BOND AUTHORITY.—Section 1400U-2(b)(1) and section 1400U-3(b)(1)(B) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) ADDITIONAL ALLOCATIONS OF RECOVERY ZONE BOND AUTHORITY BASED ON UNEMPLOYMENT.—Section 1400U-1 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(c) ALLOCATION OF 2010 RECOVERY ZONE BOND LIMITATIONS BASED ON UNEMPLOYMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall allocate the 2010 national recovery zone economic development bond limitation and the 2010 national recovery zone facility bond limitation among the States in the proportion that each such State's 2009 unemployment number bears to the aggregate of the 2009 unemployment numbers for all of the States.

“(2) MINIMUM ALLOCATION.—The Secretary shall adjust the allocations under paragraph

(1) for each State to the extent necessary to ensure that no State (prior to any reduction under paragraph (3)) receives less than 0.9 percent of the 2010 national recovery zone economic development bond limitation and 0.9 percent of the 2010 national recovery zone facility bond limitation.

“(3) ALLOCATIONS BY STATES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Each State with respect to which an allocation is made under paragraph (1) shall reallocate such allocation among the counties and large municipalities (as defined in subsection (a)(3)(B)) in such State in the proportion that each such county's or municipality's 2009 unemployment number bears to the aggregate of the 2009 unemployment numbers for all the counties and large municipalities (as so defined) in such State.

“(B) 2010 ALLOCATION REDUCED BY AMOUNT OF PREVIOUS ALLOCATION.—Each State shall reduce (but not below zero)—

“(i) the amount of the 2010 national recovery zone economic development bond limitation allocated to each county or large municipality (as so defined) in such State by the amount of the national recovery zone economic development bond limitation allocated to such county or large municipality under subsection (a)(3)(A) (determined without regard to any waiver thereof), and

“(ii) the amount of the 2010 national recovery zone facility bond limitation allocated to each county or large municipality (as so defined) in such State by the amount of the national recovery zone facility bond limitation allocated to such county or large municipality under subsection (a)(3)(A) (determined without regard to any waiver thereof).

“(C) WAIVER OF SUBALLOCATIONS.—A county or municipality may waive any portion of an allocation made under this paragraph. A county or municipality shall be treated as having waived any portion of an allocation made under this paragraph which has not been allocated to a bond issued before May 1, 2011. Any allocation waived (or treated as waived) under this subparagraph may be used or reallocated by the State.

“(D) SPECIAL RULE FOR A MUNICIPALITY IN A COUNTY.—In the case of any large municipality any portion of which is in a county, such portion shall be treated as part of such municipality and not part of such county.

“(4) 2009 UNEMPLOYMENT NUMBER.—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘2009 unemployment number’ means, with respect to any State, county or municipality, the number of individuals in such State, county, or municipality who were determined to be unemployed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for December 2009.

“(5) 2010 NATIONAL LIMITATIONS.—

“(A) RECOVERY ZONE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BONDS.—The 2010 national recovery zone economic development bond limitation is \$10,000,000,000. Any allocation of such limitation under this subsection shall be treated for purposes of section 1400U-2 in the same manner as an allocation of national recovery zone economic development bond limitation.

“(B) RECOVERY ZONE FACILITY BONDS.—The 2010 national recovery zone facility bond limitation is \$15,000,000,000. Any allocation of such limitation under this subsection shall be treated for purposes of section 1400U-3 in the same manner as an allocation of national recovery zone facility bond limitation.”.

(C) AUTHORITY OF STATE TO WAIVE CERTAIN 2009 ALLOCATIONS.—Subparagraph (A) of section 1400U-1(a)(3) is amended by adding at the end the following: “A county or municipality shall be treated as having waived any portion of an allocation made under this sub-

paragraph which has not been allocated to a bond issued before May 1, 2011. Any allocation waived (or treated as waived) under this subparagraph may be used or reallocated by the State.”.

SA 4775. Ms. STABENOW (for herself, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mr. BROWN of Ohio, Mr. MERKLEY, Mr. CARPER, Mr. SCHUMER, Mr. COONS, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. PRYOR, Mrs. BOXER, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. LEVIN, Mr. DORGAN, and Mr. WYDEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 51, between lines 3 and 4, insert:

SEC. 712. EXPANSION OF QUALIFYING ADVANCED ENERGY PROJECT CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 48C(d)(1)(B) is amended by striking “\$2,300,000,000” and inserting “\$4,800,000,000”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to allocations for applications submitted after December 31, 2010.

SA 4776. Ms. CANTWELL (for herself and Mrs. MURRAY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 51, between lines 11 and 12, insert:

SEC. _____. EXTENSION AND EXPANSION OF NEW CLEAN RENEWABLE ENERGY BONDS.

(a) INCREASED LIMITATION ON ISSUANCE OF NEW CLEAN RENEWABLE ENERGY BONDS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (c) of section 54C is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) 2010 ADDITIONAL LIMITATION.—The national new clean renewable bond limitation shall be increased by \$1,600,000,000. Such increase shall be allocated by the Secretary as provided in paragraph (3), except that—

“(A) 60 percent thereof shall be allocated to qualified projects of public power providers, and

“(B) 40 percent thereof shall be allocated to qualified projects of cooperative electric companies.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Paragraph (4) of section 54C(c) is amended by striking “ADDITIONAL” in the heading thereof and inserting “2009 ADDITIONAL”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to obligations issued after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SA 4777. Ms. CANTWELL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed

to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 71, between lines 3 and 4, insert the following:

SEC. 7 _____. TIMBER REIT MODERNIZATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (8) of section 856(c) is amended by striking “means” and all that follows and inserting “means December 31, 2011.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Subparagraph (I) of section 856(c)(2) is amended by striking “the first taxable year beginning after the date of the enactment of this subparagraph” and inserting “a taxable year beginning on or before the termination date”.

(2) Clause (iii) of section 856(c)(5)(H) is amended by inserting “in taxable years beginning” after “dispositions”.

(3) Clause (v) of section 857(b)(6)(D) is amended by inserting “in a taxable year beginning” after “sale”.

(4) Subparagraph (G) of section 857(b)(6) is amended by inserting “in a taxable year beginning” after “In the case of a sale”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years ending after May 22, 2009.

SA 4778. Ms. CANTWELL (for herself and Mrs. MURRAY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 28, between lines 3 and 4, insert:

SEC. _____. RENEWAL COMMUNITY TAX INCENTIVES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (b) of section 1400E is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2009” in paragraphs (1)(A) and (3) and inserting “December 31, 2011”; and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2010” in paragraph (3) and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) ZERO-PERCENT CAPITAL GAINS RATE.—

(1) ACQUISITION DATE.—Paragraphs (2)(A)(i), (3)(A), (4)(A)(i), and (4)(B)(i) of section 1400F(b) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(2) LIMITATION ON PERIOD OF GAINS.—Paragraph (2) of section 1400F(c) is amended—

(A) by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”; and

(B) by striking “2014” in the heading and inserting “2016”.

(3) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—Subsection (d) of section 1400F is amended by striking “and ‘December 31, 2014’ for ‘December 31, 2014’”.

(c) COMMERCIAL REVITALIZATION DEDUCTION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (g) of section 1400I is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2010”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subparagraph (A) of section 1400I(d)(2) is amended by striking “after 2001 and before 2010” and inserting “which begins after 2001 and before the date referred to in subsection (g)”.

(d) INCREASED EXPENSING UNDER SECTION 179.—Subparagraph (A) of section 1400J(b)(1) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(e) TREATMENT OF CERTAIN TERMINATION DATES SPECIFIED IN NOMINATIONS.—In the case of a designation of a renewal community the nomination for which included a termination date which is contemporaneous with the date specified in subparagraph (A) of section 1400E(b)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as in effect before the enactment of this Act), subparagraph (B) of such section shall not apply with respect to such designation unless, after the date of the enactment of this section, the entity which made such nomination reconfirms such termination date, or amends the nomination to provide for a new termination date, in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary's designee) may provide.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the amendments made by this section shall apply to periods after December 31, 2009.

(2) ACQUISITIONS.—The amendments made by subsections (b)(1) and (d) shall apply to acquisitions after December 31, 2009.

(3) COMMERCIAL REVITALIZATION DEDUCTION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The amendment made by subsection (c)(1) shall apply to buildings placed in service after December 31, 2009.

(B) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The amendment made by subsection (c)(2) shall apply to calendar years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SA 4779. Ms. CANTWELL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 28, between lines 3 and 4, insert:

SEC. ____ . EXTENSION OF BUILD AMERICA BONDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (B) of section 54AA(d)(1) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EXTENSION OF PAYMENTS TO ISSUERS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 6431 is amended—
(A) by striking “January 1, 2011” in subsection (a) and inserting “January 1, 2012”; and

(B) by striking “January 1, 2011” in subsection (f)(1)(B) and inserting “a particular date”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Subsection (g) of section 54AA is amended—

(A) by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”; and

(B) by striking “QUALIFIED BONDS ISSUED BEFORE 2011” in the heading and inserting “CERTAIN QUALIFIED BONDS”.

(c) REDUCTION IN PERCENTAGE OF PAYMENTS TO ISSUERS.—Subsection (b) of section 6431 is amended—

(1) by striking “The Secretary” and inserting the following:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary”;

(2) by striking “35 percent” and inserting “the applicable percentage”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE.—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘applicable percentage’ means the percentage determined in accordance with the following table:

“In the case of a qualified bond issued during calendar year:	The applicable percentage is:
2009 or 2010	35 percent
2011	32 percent.”.

(d) CURRENT REFUNDINGS PERMITTED.—Subsection (g) of section 54AA is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) TREATMENT OF CURRENT REFUNDING BONDS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘qualified bond’ includes any bond (or series of bonds) issued to refund a qualified bond if—

“(i) the average maturity date of the issue of which the refunding bond is a part is not later than the average maturity date of the bonds to be refunded by such issue,

“(ii) the amount of the refunding bond does not exceed the outstanding amount of the refunded bond, and

“(iii) the refunded bond is redeemed not later than 90 days after the date of the issuance of the refunding bond.

“(B) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE.—In the case of a refunding bond referred to in subparagraph (A), the applicable percentage with respect to such bond under section 6431(b) shall be the lowest percentage specified in paragraph (2) of such section.

“(C) DETERMINATION OF AVERAGE MATURITY.—For purposes of subparagraph (A)(i), average maturity shall be determined in accordance with section 147(b)(2)(A).”.

SA 4780. Mr. WARNER (for himself and Mr. CHAMBLISS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 74, after line 6, add:

SEC. 803. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING THE FEDERAL DEBT AND BUDGET DEFICIT.

(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that:

(1) The Federal tax code is in need of significant and comprehensive reform.

(2) The way forward to overcome the challenges to strengthen our economy for future generations is in a bi-partisan manner.

(b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that:

(1) The American people want Congress and the Executive Branch to address the issue of unsustainable deficits and debt in a bi-partisan way, focusing on a civil policy-oriented discussion.

(2) A comprehensive plan for addressing the fiscal concerns facing our Nation should

be considered by the United States Senate by the end of calendar year 2011.

(3) The fundamental cornerstones of this plan will be tax reform, spending restraint, and debt and deficit reduction.

SA 4781. Mrs. MURRAY (for herself and Ms. CANTWELL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 41, between lines 15 and 16, insert the following:

(C) APPLICATION OF GRANTS FOR SPECIFIED ENERGY PROPERTY TO CERTAIN REGULATED COMPANIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The first sentence of section 1603(f) of division B of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 is amended by inserting “(other than subsection (d)(2) thereof)” after “section 50 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall take effect as if included in section 1603 of division B of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

SA 4782. Mr. MENENDEZ (for himself and Mr. BAYH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 51, between lines 21 and 22, insert the following:

SEC. 722. ADDITIONAL STANDARD DEDUCTION FOR REAL PROPERTY TAXES FOR NONITEMIZERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (C) of section 63(c)(1) is amended by striking “2008 or 2009” and inserting “2008, 2009, or 2010”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SA 4783. Mr. BINGAMAN (for himself and Ms. SNOWE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 74, after line 6, insert the following:

TITLE IX—ADVANCED ENERGY TAX INCENTIVES ACT OF 2010

SECTION 901. SHORT TITLE.

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This Act may be cited as the “Advanced Energy Tax Incentives Act of 2010”.

Subtitle A—Industrial and Building Energy Efficiency

PART I—EXPANSION OF BUILDING EFFICIENCY INCENTIVES

SEC. 911. INCREASE IN, AND EXTENSION OF, NEW ENERGY EFFICIENT HOME CREDIT.

(a) **NEW TIER; CREDIT AMOUNT FOR NEW TIER.**—

(1) **NEW TIER.**—Subsection (c) of section 45L is amended to read as follows:

“(c) **ENERGY SAVINGS REQUIREMENTS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—A dwelling unit meets the energy saving requirements of this subsection if such unit is—

“(A) described in paragraph (2),

“(B) described in paragraph (3),

“(C) a manufactured home described in paragraph (4), or

“(D) a manufactured home described in paragraph (5).

“(2) **DWELLING UNIT DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPH (2).**—A dwelling unit is described in this paragraph if such unit is certified—

“(A) to have a level of annual heating and cooling energy consumption which is at least 50 percent below the annual level of heating and cooling energy consumption of a comparable dwelling unit—

“(i) which is constructed in accordance with the standards of chapter 4 of the 2003 International Energy Conservation Code, as such Code (including supplements) is in effect on the date of the enactment of the Energy Tax Incentives Act of 2005, and

“(ii) for which the heating and cooling equipment efficiencies correspond to the minimum allowed under the regulations established by the Department of Energy pursuant to the National Appliance Energy Conservation Act of 1987 and in effect at the time of completion of construction, and

“(B) to have building envelope component improvements account for at least ⅓ of such 50 percent.

The Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy shall provide by regulation for the application of this paragraph in the case of a dwelling unit in a multifamily building that is more than 3 stories above grade, or in any other building that is not within the scope of such chapter 4. If, upon the acquisition of such unit by any person described in subsection (a)(1)(A)(ii)(I), the amount of the credit allowed under this section with respect to such unit shall be disclosed to such person.

“(3) **DWELLING UNIT DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPH (3).**—A dwelling unit is described in this paragraph if such unit is certified—

“(A) to have a level of annual total energy consumption (including heating, cooling, water heating, lighting, and appliance energy use) which is at least 50 percent below the annual level of total energy consumption of a comparable dwelling unit which is constructed in accordance with the 2004 Supplement of the 2003 International Energy Conservation Code, and

“(B) to have building envelope component improvements account for at least ⅓ of such 50 percent.

“(4) **MANUFACTURED HOME DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPH (4).**—A manufactured home is described in this paragraph if such manufactured home conforms to Federal Manufactured Home Construction and Safety Standards (part 3280 of title 24, Code of Federal

Regulations) and meets the requirements of a dwelling unit described in paragraph (2).

“(5) **MANUFACTURED HOME DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPH (5).**—A manufactured home is described in this paragraph if such manufactured home conforms to Federal Manufactured Home Construction and Safety Standards (part 3280 of title 24, Code of Federal Regulations) and—

“(A) meets the requirements of—

“(i) a dwelling unit described in paragraph (2), applied by substituting ‘30 percent’ for ‘50 percent’ both places it appears therein and by substituting ‘⅓’ for ‘⅓’ in subparagraph (B) thereof, or

“(ii) a dwelling unit described in paragraph (3), or

“(B) meets the requirements established by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency under the Energy Star Labeled Homes program as in effect on the date of the enactment of the Advanced Energy Tax Incentives Act of 2010, or

“(C) meets the requirements under the Energy Star Labeled Homes program established after the date of the enactment of the Advanced Energy Tax Incentives Act of 2010.”.

(2) **CREDIT AMOUNT FOR NEW TIER.**—Paragraph (2) of section 45L(a) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) **APPLICABLE AMOUNT.**—For purposes of paragraph (1), the applicable amount is an amount equal to—

“(A) in the case of a dwelling unit described in paragraph (2) or (4) of subsection (c), \$2,000,

“(B) in the case of a dwelling unit described in paragraph (3) of subsection (c), \$5,000,

“(C) in the case of a manufactured home described in paragraph (5)(A)(i) or (5)(B) of subsection (c), \$1,500, and

“(D) in the case of a manufactured home described in paragraph (5)(A)(ii) or (5)(C) of subsection (c), \$2,500.

Nothing in this section shall permit the same dwelling unit or manufactured home to qualify for more than one applicable amount.”.

(b) **CREDIT AVAILABLE FOR RENTAL UNITS, OWNER-BUILDERS, AND QUALIFIED LOW-INCOME BUILDINGS; CREDIT AMOUNT FOR QUALIFIED LOW-INCOME BUILDINGS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (1) of section 45L(a) is amended to read as follows:

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of section 38—

“(A) in the case of an eligible contractor, the new energy efficient home credit for the taxable year is the applicable amount for each qualified new energy efficient home which is—

“(i) constructed by the eligible contractor, and

“(ii)(I) acquired by a person from such eligible contractor and used by any person as a residence during the taxable year, or

“(II) used by such eligible contractor as a residence during the taxable year, and

“(B) in the case of a taxpayer, the new energy efficient home credit for the taxable year is the applicable amount for each qualified new energy efficient home which is in a qualified low-income building (as defined in section 42(c)(2))—

“(i) placed in service by the taxpayer during the taxable year, and

“(ii) for which such taxpayer is allowed a credit under section 42 or a subaward under section 1602(c) of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009.”.

(2) **CREDIT AMOUNT.**—Paragraph (2) of section 45L(a), as amended by this section, is

amended by adding at the end the following new flush sentence:

“‘In the case of a dwelling unit in a qualified low-income building (as so defined), the applicable dollar amount for such a dwelling unit described in 1 of the preceding subparagraphs shall be equal to 150 percent of the dollar amount otherwise specified in such preceding subparagraph, except that if the credit under section 42 with respect to such unit is determined by applying section 42(d)(5)(B), then the applicable dollar amount shall be 115 percent of such dollar amount so specified.’”.

(3) **NO BASIS ADJUSTMENT.**—Section 45L(e) is amended by inserting “(other than a qualified low-income building)” after “any property”.

(c) **CERTIFICATION METHOD FOR HIGH RISE MULTIFAMILY AND MIXED USE BUILDINGS.**—Section 45L(d)(1) is amended by inserting “, and in the case of high rise multifamily and mixed use buildings, after examining the methods required for such buildings under section 179D” after “the Secretary of Energy”.

(d) **CREDIT ALLOWED AGAINST ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX.**—Subparagraph (B) of section 38(c)(4) is amended—

(1) by redesignating clauses (vi), (vii), and (viii) as clauses (vii), (viii), and (ix), respectively, and

(2) by inserting after clause (v) the following new clause:

“(vi) the credit determined under section 45L.”.

(e) **EXTENSION.**—Subsection (g) of section 45L is amended to read as follows:

“(g) **TERMINATION.**—This section shall not apply to the acquisition of any qualified new energy efficient home—

“(1) described in subsection (a)(2)(A) after December 31, 2012,

“(2) described in subsection (a)(2)(B) after December 31, 2013,

“(3) described in subsection (a)(2)(C) after December 31, 2010, and

“(4) described in subsection (a)(2)(D) after December 31, 2013.”.

(f) **EFFECTIVE DATES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to homes constructed and acquired or placed in service after December 31, 2008.

(2) **AMT.**—The amendments made by subsection (d) shall apply to credits determined under section 45L of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2008, and to carrybacks of such credits.

SEC. 912. MODIFICATION OF DEDUCTION FOR ENERGY EFFICIENT COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS.

(a) **INCREASE IN MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF DEDUCTION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (A) of section 179D(b)(1) is amended by striking “\$1.80” and inserting “\$3.00”.

(2) **PARTIAL ALLOWANCE.**—Paragraph (1) of section 179D(d) is amended to read as follows:

“(1) **PARTIAL ALLOWANCE.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in subsection (f), if—

“(i) the requirement of subsection (c)(1)(D) is not met, but

“(ii) there is a certification in accordance with paragraph (6) that—

“(I) any system referred to in subsection (c)(1)(C) satisfies the energy-savings targets established by the Secretary under subparagraph (B) with respect to such system, or

“(II) the systems referred to in subsection (c)(1)(C)(ii) and subsection (c)(1)(C)(iii) together satisfy the energy-savings targets established by the Secretary under subparagraph (B) with respect to such systems,

then the requirement of subsection (c)(1)(D) shall be treated as met with respect to such system or systems, and the deduction under subsection (a) shall be allowed with respect to energy efficient commercial building property installed as part of such system and as part of a plan to meet such targets, except that subsection (b) shall be applied to such property described in clause (ii)(I) by substituting ‘\$1.00’ for ‘\$3.00’ and to such property described in clause (ii)(II) by substituting ‘\$2.20’ for ‘\$3.00’.

“(B) REGULATIONS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, after consultation with the Secretary of Energy, shall establish a target for each system described in subsection (c)(1)(C) which, if such targets were met for all such systems, the building would meet the requirements of subsection (c)(1)(D).

“(ii) COMBINED SYSTEMS.—The Secretary, after consultation with the Secretary of Energy, shall establish not later than 6 months after the date of the enactment of the Advanced Energy Tax Incentives Act of 2010 a prescriptive partial compliance pathway for combined envelope and mechanical system performance that details the appropriate components, efficiency levels, or other relevant information for which the required level of combined savings in both categories can be deemed to have been achieved.”.

(b) DENIAL OF DOUBLE BENEFIT.—Section 179D is amended by redesignating subsections (g) and (h) as subsections (h) and (i), respectively, and by inserting after subsection (f) the following new subsection:

“(g) COORDINATION WITH NEW ENERGY EFFICIENT HOME CREDIT.—No deduction shall be allowed under this section with respect to any building or dwelling unit with respect to which a credit under section 45L was allowed.”.

(c) EARNINGS AND PROFITS CONFORMITY FOR REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUSTS.—Subparagraph (B) of section 312(k)(3) is amended—

(1) by striking “—For purposes of” and inserting “—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), for purposes of”, and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) EXCEPTION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of computing the earnings and profits of a real estate investment trust (other than a captive real estate investment trust), the entire amount deductible under section 179D shall be allowed as a deduction in the taxable year for which such amount is deductible under section 179D.

“(B) CAPTIVE REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of subparagraph (A), the term ‘captive real estate investment trust’ means any real estate investment trust more than 50 percent of the voting power or value of the beneficial interests or shares of which are owned or controlled by a single entity that is treated as an association taxable as a corporation.

“(ii) ASSOCIATION TAXABLE AS A CORPORATION.—For purposes of clause (i), the term ‘association taxable as a corporation’ shall not include a real estate investment trust.

“(iii) ATTRIBUTION RULES.—For purposes of clause (i), the attribution rules of section 856(d)(5) shall apply in determining ownership.”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service in taxable years beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 913. ENERGY RATINGS OF NON-BUSINESS PROPERTY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subpart A of part IV of subchapter A of chapter 1, as amended by section 915, is amended by inserting after section 25E the following new section:

“SEC. 25F. ENERGY RATINGS OF NON-BUSINESS PROPERTY.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—In the case of an individual, there shall be allowed as a credit against the tax imposed by this chapter for the taxable year an amount equal to the amount paid or incurred by the taxpayer for a qualified home energy rating conducted during such taxable year.

“(b) LIMITATION.—The amount allowed as a credit under subsection (a) with respect to any taxpayer for any taxable year shall not exceed \$200.

“(c) QUALIFIED HOME ENERGY RATING.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘qualified home energy rating’ means a home energy rating conducted with respect to any residence of the taxpayer by a home performance auditor certified by a provider accredited by the Building Performance Institute (BPI), the Residential Energy Services Network (RESNET), or equivalent rating system as determined by the Secretary of Energy.

“(d) TERMINATION.—This section shall not apply with respect to any rating conducted after December 31, 2011.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for subpart A of part IV of subchapter A chapter 1, as amended by section 915, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 25E the following new item:

“Sec. 25F. Energy ratings of non-business property.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred in taxable years beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 914. CREDIT FOR HOME PERFORMANCE AUDITOR CERTIFICATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subpart D of part IV of subchapter A of chapter 1 is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 45S. HOME PERFORMANCE AUDITOR CERTIFICATION CREDIT.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of section 38, the home performance auditor certification credit determined under this section for any taxable year is an amount equal to the qualified training and certification costs paid or incurred by the taxpayer which may be taken into account for such taxable year.

“(b) QUALIFIED TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION COSTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualified training and certification costs’ means costs paid or incurred for training which is required for the taxpayer or employees of the taxpayer to be certified as home performance auditors for purposes of providing qualified home energy ratings under section 25F(c).

“(2) LIMITATION.—The qualified training and certification costs taken into account under subsection (a)(1) for the taxable year with respect to any individual shall not exceed \$500 reduced by the amount of the credit allowed under subsection (a)(1) to the taxpayer (or any predecessor) with respect to such individual for all prior taxable years.

“(3) YEAR COSTS TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT.—Qualified training and certifications costs with respect to any individual shall not be

taken into account under subsection (a)(1) before the taxable year in which the individual with respect to whom such costs are paid or incurred has performed 25 qualified home energy ratings under section 25F(c).

“(c) SPECIAL RULES.—

“(1) AGGREGATION RULES.—For purposes of this section, all persons treated as a single employer under subsections (a) and (b) of section 52 shall be treated as 1 person.

“(2) DENIAL OF DOUBLE BENEFIT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—No deduction shall be allowed for that portion of the expenses otherwise allowable as a deduction for the taxable year which is equal to the amount taken into account under subsection (a) for such taxable year.

“(B) AMOUNT PREVIOUSLY DEDUCTED.—No credit shall be allowed under subsection (a) with respect to any amount for which a deduction has been allowed in any preceding taxable year.”.

(b) CREDIT TREATED AS PART OF GENERAL BUSINESS CREDIT.—Section 38(b) is amended by striking “plus” at the end of paragraph (35), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (36) and inserting “plus”, and by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(37) the home performance auditor certification credit determined under section 45S(a).”.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for subpart D of part IV of subchapter A of chapter 1 is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“Sec. 45S. Home performance auditor certification credit.”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 915. PERFORMANCE BASED ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS FOR NON-BUSINESS PROPERTY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subpart A of part IV of subchapter A of chapter 1 is amended by inserting after section 25D the following new section:

“SEC. 25E. PERFORMANCE BASED ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—In the case of an individual, there shall be allowed as a credit against the tax imposed by this chapter for the taxable year an amount equal to 50 percent of the amount of qualified home energy efficiency expenditures paid or incurred by the taxpayer during the taxable year.

“(b) LIMITATIONS.—

“(1) DOLLAR LIMITATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The amount of the credit allowed under subsection (a) with respect to any individual for any taxable year shall not exceed the amount determined under subparagraph (B) with respect to the principal residence of such individual.

“(B) AMOUNT DETERMINED.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (iv), the amount determined under this subparagraph is the base amount increased by the amount determined under clause (iii).

“(ii) BASE AMOUNT.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the base amount is—

“(I) \$3,000, in the case of a residence the construction of which is completed before January 1, 1940, and

“(II) \$2,000, in the case of a residence the construction of which is completed after December 31, 1939.

“(iii) INCREASE AMOUNT.—The amount determined under this clause is—

“(I) in the case of a residence described in clause (ii)(I) which has a rating system score lower than or equal to the rating system

score which corresponds to the IECC Standard Reference Design for a home of the size and in the climate zone of such residence, \$1,000, and

“(II) in the case of any residence with a rating system score which is lower than that which corresponds to such IECC Standard Reference Design by not less than 5 points, \$500 for each 5 points by which the rating system score which corresponds to such IECC Standard Reference Design exceeds the rating system score of such residence (in addition to the amount provided under clause (i), if applicable).

“(iv) LIMITATION.—In no event shall the amount determined under this subparagraph exceed \$8,000 with respect to any individual.

“(2) LIMITATION BASED ON AMOUNT OF TAX.—In the case of taxable years to which section 26(a)(2) does not apply, the credit allowed under subsection (a) for any taxable year shall not exceed the excess of—

“(A) the sum of the regular tax liability (as defined in section 26(b)) plus the tax imposed by section 55, over

“(B) the sum of the credits allowable under this subpart (other than this section and sections 23, 24, and 25B) and section 27 for the taxable year.

“(c) QUALIFIED HOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY EXPENDITURES.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualified home energy efficiency expenditures’ means any amount paid or incurred for a qualified whole home energy efficiency retrofit, including the cost of audit diagnostic procedures, of a principal residence of the taxpayer which is located in the United States.

“(2) QUALIFIED WHOLE HOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY RETROFIT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualified whole home energy efficiency retrofit’ means a retrofit of an existing residence if, after such retrofit, such residence—

“(i) has a rating system score of not greater than—

“(I) 100, determined under the HERS Index, in the case of a residence the construction of which is completed before January 1, 1940, and

“(II) the rating system score which corresponds to the most current IECC Standard Reference Design for a home of the size and in the climate zone of such residence, in the case of a residence the construction of which is completed after December 31, 1939, or

“(ii) achieves an energy efficiency level which is equivalent to the standard applicable to such residence under clause (i), as determined by—

“(I) a State-certified equivalent rating network, as specified by IRS Notice 2008-35, which is also a HERS rating system required by State law, or

“(II) the Secretary.

For purposes of the preceding sentence, the HERS Index is the HERS Index established by the Residential Energy Services Network, as in effect on January 1, 2011.

“(B) ACCREDITATION RULE.—A retrofit shall not be treated as a qualified whole home energy efficiency retrofit unless such retrofit is conducted by a company which is accredited by the Building Performance Institute, or which fulfills an equivalent standard as determined by the Secretary.

“(C) DETERMINATION OF RATING SYSTEM SCORE OR EQUIVALENT.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), the rating system score of a residence, or the equivalent described in subparagraph (A)(ii), shall be determined by an auditor or rater certified by—

“(I) the Residential Energy Services Network,

“(II) the Building Performance Institute, or

“(III) a State-certified equivalent rating network, as specified by IRS Notice 2008-35, which is also a HERS rating system required by State law.

“(ii) SECRETARIAL DETERMINATION.—At the discretion of the Secretary, the Secretary may, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, determine an alternative standard for certification of an auditor or rater for purposes of determining the rating system score (or equivalent described in subparagraph (A)(ii)) of a residence. If the Secretary establishes such an alternative standard, clause (i) shall cease to apply unless the Secretary determines otherwise.

“(D) REGULATIONS.—

“(i) COSTS.—Not later than December 31, 2011, in consultation with the Secretary, the Secretary of Energy shall prescribe regulations which specify the costs with respect to energy improvements which may be taken into account under this paragraph as part of a qualified whole home energy efficiency retrofit.

“(ii) DOCUMENTATION.—The Secretary of the Treasury may prescribe regulations directing what specific documentation is required for claiming the credit under this section, which may include a certified form completed by the qualified whole home energy efficiency retrofit and signed by the individual taxpayer.

“(3) EXPANSION OF BUILDING ENVELOPE INELIGIBLE.—The term ‘qualified home energy efficiency expenditures’ shall not include any amount which is paid or incurred in connection with any expansion of the building envelope of a principal residence.

“(4) SPECIAL RULE FOR EXPENDITURES RELATING TO RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEMS.—In the case of any qualified home energy efficiency expenditures relating to a renewable energy system, subsection (a) shall be applied with respect to the expenditures relating to such system by substituting ‘30 percent’ for ‘50 percent’.

“(5) NO DOUBLE BENEFIT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—No credit shall be allowed under this section for any taxable year in which the taxpayer elects the credit under section 25C.

“(B) NO DOUBLE BENEFIT FOR CERTAIN EXPENDITURES.—The term ‘qualified home energy efficiency expenditures’ shall not include any expenditure for which a deduction or credit is otherwise allowed to the taxpayer under this chapter for the taxable year or with respect to which the taxpayer receives any Federal rebate.

“(6) PRINCIPAL RESIDENCE.—The term ‘principal residence’ has the same meaning as when used in section 121, except that—

“(A) no ownership requirement shall be imposed, and

“(B) the period for which a building is treated as used as a principal residence shall also include the 60-day period ending on the 1st day on which it would (but for this subparagraph) first be treated as used as a principal residence.

“(d) RATING SYSTEM SCORE.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), the rating system score shall be the score assigned under—

“(A) the HERS Index established by the Residential Energy Services Network, or

“(B) an equivalent described in subparagraph (c)(2)(A)(ii) by a State-certified equivalent rating network, as specified by IRS No-

tice 2008-35, which is also a HERS rating system required by State law.

“(2) SECRETARIAL DETERMINATION.—At the discretion of the Secretary, the Secretary may, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, determine an alternative rating system (including an alternative system based on the HERS Index established by the Residential Energy Services Network). If the Secretary establishes such an alternative rating system, the rating system score with respect to any residence shall be the score assigned under such alternative rating system.

“(e) IECC STANDARD REFERENCE DESIGN.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘IECC Standard Reference Design’ means the Standard Reference Design determined under the International Energy Conservation Code in effect for the taxable year in which the credit under this section is determined.

“(2) LIMITATION TO RESIDENCES CONSTRUCTED AFTER EFFECTIVE DATE OF MOST RECENT CODE.—No credit shall be allowed under this section with respect to a principal residence the construction of which is completed after the effective date of the International Energy Conservation Code in effect for the taxable year for which such credit would otherwise be determined.

“(f) SPECIAL RULES.—For purposes of this section, rules similar to the rules under paragraphs (4), (5), (6), (7), and (8) of section 25D(e) and section 25C(e)(2) shall apply.

“(g) BASIS ADJUSTMENTS.—For purposes of this subtitle, if a credit is allowed under this section with respect to any expenditure with respect to any property, the increase in the basis of such property which would (but for this subsection) result from such expenditure shall be reduced by the amount of the credit so allowed.

“(h) ELECTION NOT TO CLAIM CREDIT.—This section shall not apply to a taxpayer for any taxable year if such taxpayer elects to have this section not apply for such taxable year.

“(i) TERMINATION.—This section shall not apply with respect to any costs paid or incurred after December 31, 2013.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 26(a)(1) is amended by inserting “25E,” after “25D.”.

(2) Section 1016(a) is amended—

(A) by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (36),

(B) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (37) and inserting “, and”, and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(38) to the extent provided in section 25E(g), in the case of amounts with respect to which a credit has been allowed under section 25E.”.

(3) Section 6501(m) is amended by inserting “25E(h),” after “section”.

(4) The table of sections for subpart A of part IV of subchapter A chapter 1 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 25D the following new item:

“Sec. 25E. Performance based energy improvements.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred in taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2011.

SEC. 916. CREDIT FOR NONBUSINESS ENERGY PROPERTY.

(a) EXTENSION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 25C(g)(2) is amended by striking “2010” and inserting “2011”.

(2) LIMITATION.—Section 25C(b) is amended by striking “2009 and 2010” and inserting “2011”.

(b) LIMITATION ON EXPENSES RELATING TO WINDOWS.—Section 25C(c)(2)(B) is amended by inserting “(but only to the extent the amount paid or incurred for such windows does not exceed \$500)” before the comma.

(c) LABOR COSTS FOR INSULATION INSTALLATION.—Section 25C(c)(1) is amended by adding at the end the following flush text:

“In the case of a building envelope component described in paragraph (2)(A), the amount taken into account as paid or incurred for qualified energy efficiency improvements shall include expenditures for labor costs properly allocable to the onsite preparation, assembly, or original installation of such component.”

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2010.

PART II—EXPANSION OF INDUSTRIAL ENERGY EFFICIENCY INCENTIVES

SEC. 921. QUALIFYING EFFICIENT INDUSTRIAL PROCESS WATER USE PROJECT CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 46 is amended by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (5), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (6), and by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(7) the qualifying efficient industrial process water use project credit.”

(b) AMOUNT OF CREDIT.—Subpart E of part IV of subchapter A of chapter 1 is amended by inserting after section 48D the following new section:

“SEC. 48E. QUALIFYING EFFICIENT INDUSTRIAL PROCESS WATER USE PROJECT CREDIT.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—

“(1) ALLOWANCE OF CREDIT.—For purposes of section 46, the qualifying efficient industrial process water use project credit for any taxable year is an amount equal to the applicable percentage of the qualified investment for such taxable year with respect to any qualifying efficient industrial process water use project of the taxpayer.

“(2) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE.—For purposes of subsection (a), the applicable percentage is—

“(A) 10 percent in the case of a qualifying efficient industrial process water use project which achieves a net energy consumption of less than 3,000 kilowatt hours per million gallons of water, and is placed in service before January 1, 2013,

“(B) 20 percent in the case of a qualifying efficient industrial process water use project which achieves a net energy consumption of less than 2,000 kilowatt hours per million gallons of water, and

“(C) 30 percent in the case of a qualifying efficient industrial process water use project which achieves a net energy consumption of less than 1,000 kilowatt hours per million gallons of water.

“(b) QUALIFIED INVESTMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of subsection (a), the qualified investment for any taxable year is the basis of eligible property placed in service by the taxpayer during such taxable year which is part of a qualifying efficient industrial process water use project.

“(2) EXCEPTIONS.—Such term shall not include any portion of the basis related to—

“(A) permitting,

“(B) land acquisition, or

“(C) infrastructure associated with sourcing or water discharge.

“(3) CERTAIN QUALIFIED PROGRESS EXPENDITURES RULES MADE APPLICABLE.—Rules similar to the rules of subsections (c)(4) and (d) of section 46 (as in effect on the day before the enactment of the Revenue Reconciliation

Act of 1990) shall apply for purposes of this section.

“(4) SPECIAL RULE FOR SUBSIDIZED ENERGY FINANCING.—Rules similar to the rules of section 48(a)(4) (without regard to subparagraph (D) thereof) shall apply for purposes of this section.

“(5) LIMITATION.—The amount which is treated for all taxable years with respect to any qualifying efficient industrial process water use project with respect to any site shall not exceed \$10,000,000.

“(c) DEFINITIONS.—

“(1) QUALIFYING EFFICIENT INDUSTRIAL PROCESS WATER USE PROJECT.—The term ‘qualifying efficient industrial process water use project’ means, with respect to any site, a project—

“(A) which replaces or modifies a system for the use of water or steam in the production of goods in the trade or business of manufacturing (including any system for the use of water derived from blow-down from cooling towers and steam systems in the generation of electric power at a site also used for the production of goods in the trade or business of manufacturing), and

“(B) which is designed to achieve—

“(i) a reduction of not less than 20 percent in water withdrawal and a reduction of not less than 10 percent of water discharge when compared to the existing water use at the site, or

“(ii) a reduction of not less than 10 percent in water withdrawal and a reduction of not less than 20 percent of water discharge when compared to the existing water use at the site.

“(2) ELIGIBLE PROPERTY.—The term ‘eligible property’ means any property—

“(A) which is part of a qualifying efficient industrial process water use project and which is necessary for the reduction in withdrawals or discharge described in paragraph (1)(B),

“(B)(i) the construction, reconstruction, or erection of which is completed by the taxpayer, or

“(ii) which is acquired by the taxpayer if the original use of such property commences with the taxpayer, and

“(C) with respect to which depreciation (or amortization in lieu of depreciation) is allowable.

“(3) NET ENERGY CONSUMPTION.—The term ‘net energy consumption’ means the energy consumed, both on-site and off-site, with respect to the water described in paragraph (1)(A). Net energy consumption shall be normalized per unit of industrial output and measured under rules and procedures established by the Secretary, in consultation with the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency.

“(4) WATER DISCHARGE.—The term ‘water discharge’ means all water leaving the site via permitted or unpermitted surface water discharges, discharges to publicly owned treatment works, and shallow- or deep-injection (whether on-site or off-site).

“(5) WATER WITHDRAWAL.—The term ‘water withdrawal’ means all water taken for use at the site from on-site ground and surface water sources together with any water supplied to the site by a public water system.

“(d) TERMINATION.—This section shall not apply to periods after December 31, 2014, under rules similar to the rules of section 48(m) (as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of the Revenue Reconciliation Act of 1990).”

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 49(a)(1)(C) is amended by striking “and” at the end of clause (v), by strik-

ing the period at the end of clause (vi) and inserting “, and”, and by adding after clause (vi) the following new clause:

“(vii) the basis of any property which is part of a qualifying efficient industrial use water project under section 48E.”

(2) The table of sections for subpart E of part IV of subchapter A of chapter 1 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 48D the following new item:

“Sec. 48E. Qualifying efficient industrial process water use project credit.”

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to periods after January 1, 2011, under rules similar to the rules of section 48(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of the Revenue Reconciliation Act of 1990).

SEC. 922. MOTOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENT TAX CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subpart D of part IV of subchapter A of chapter 1, as amended by section 914, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 45T. MOTOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENT TAX CREDIT.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of section 38, the motor energy efficiency improvement tax credit determined under this section for the taxable year is an amount equal to \$120 multiplied by the motor horsepower of an appliance, machine, or equipment—

“(1) manufactured in such taxable year by a manufacturer which incorporates an advanced motor system into a newly designed appliance, machine, or equipment or into a redesigned appliance, machine, or equipment which did not previously make use of the advanced motor system, or

“(2) placed back into service in such taxable year by an end user which upgrades an existing appliance, machine, or equipment with an advanced motor system.

For any advanced motor system with a total horsepower of less than 10, such motor energy efficiency improvement tax credit is an amount which bears the same ratio to \$120 as such total horsepower bears to 1 horsepower.

“(b) ADVANCED MOTOR SYSTEM.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘advanced motor system’ means a motor and any required associated electronic control which—

“(1) offers variable or multiple speed operation, and

“(2) uses permanent magnet technology, electronically commutated motor technology, switched reluctance motor technology, or such other motor systems technologies as determined by the Secretary of Energy.

“(c) AGGREGATE PER TAXPAYER LIMITATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The amount of the credit determined under this section for any taxpayer for any taxable year shall not exceed the excess (if any) of \$2,000,000 over the aggregate credits allowed under this section with respect to such taxpayer for all prior taxable years.

“(2) AGGREGATION RULES.—For purposes of this section, all persons treated as a single employer under subsections (a) and (b) of section 52 shall be treated as 1 taxpayer.

“(d) SPECIAL RULES.—

“(1) BASIS REDUCTION.—For purposes of this subtitle, the basis of any property for which a credit is allowable under subsection (a) shall be reduced by the amount of such credit so allowed.

“(2) NO DOUBLE BENEFIT.—No other credit shall be allowable under this chapter for

property with respect to which a credit is allowed under this section.

“(3) PROPERTY USED OUTSIDE UNITED STATES NOT QUALIFIED.—No credit shall be allowable under subsection (a) with respect to any property referred to in section 50(b)(1).

“(e) APPLICATION.—This section shall not apply to property manufactured or placed back into service before the date which is 6 months after the date of the enactment of this section or after December 31, 2013.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 38(b), as amended by section 914, is amended by striking “plus” at the end of paragraph (36), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (37) and inserting “, plus”, and by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(38) the motor energy efficiency improvement tax credit determined under section 45T.”.

(2) Section 1016(a), as amended by section 915, is amended by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (37), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (38) and inserting “, and”, and by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(39) to the extent provided in section 45T(d)(1).”.

(3) The table of sections for subpart D of part IV of subchapter A of chapter 1, as amended by section 914, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“Sec. 45T. Motor energy efficiency improvement tax credit.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to property manufactured or placed back into service after the date which is 6 months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 923. CREDIT FOR REPLACEMENT OF CFC REFRIGERANT CHILLER.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subpart D of part IV of subchapter A of chapter 1, as amended by section 922, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 45U. CFC CHILLER REPLACEMENT CREDIT.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of section 38, the CFC chiller replacement credit determined under this section for the taxable year is an amount equal to—

“(1) \$150 multiplied by the tonnage rating of a CFC chiller replaced with a new efficient chiller that is placed in service by the taxpayer during the taxable year, plus

“(2) if all chilled water distribution pumps connected to the new efficient chiller include variable frequency drives, \$100 multiplied by any tonnage downsizing.

“(b) CFC CHILLER.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘CFC chiller’ includes property which—

“(1) was installed after 1980 and before 1993,

“(2) utilizes chlorofluorocarbon refrigerant, and

“(3) until replaced by a new efficient chiller, has remained in operation and utilized for cooling a commercial building.

“(c) NEW EFFICIENT CHILLER.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘new efficient chiller’ includes a water-cooled chiller which is certified to meet efficiency standards effective on January 1, 2010, as defined in table 6.8.1c in Addendum M to Standard 90.1-2007 of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers.

“(d) TONNAGE DOWNSIZING.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘tonnage downsizing’ means the amount by which the tonnage rating of the CFC chiller exceeds the tonnage rating of the new efficient chiller.

“(e) ENERGY AUDIT.—As a condition of receiving a tax credit under this section, an

energy audit shall be performed on the building prior to installation of the new efficient chiller, identifying cost-effective energy-saving measures, particularly measures that could contribute to chiller downsizing. The audit shall satisfy criteria that shall be issued by the Secretary of Energy.

“(f) PROPERTY USED BY TAX-EXEMPT ENTITY.—In the case of a CFC chiller replaced by a new efficient chiller the use of which is described in paragraph (3) or (4) of section 50(b), the person who sold such new efficient chiller to the entity shall be treated as the taxpayer that placed in service the new efficient chiller that replaced the CFC chiller, but only if such person clearly discloses to such entity in a document the amount of any credit allowable under subsection (a) and the person certifies to the Secretary that the person reduced the price the entity paid for such new efficient chiller by the entire amount of such credit.

“(g) TERMINATION.—This section shall not apply to replacements made after December 31, 2012.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 38(b), as amended by section 922, is amended by striking “plus” at the end of paragraph (37), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (38) and inserting “, plus”, and by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(39) the CFC chiller replacement credit determined under section 45U.”.

(2) The table of sections for subpart D of part IV of subchapter A of chapter 1, as amended by section 922, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“Sec. 45U. CFC chiller replacement credit.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to replacements made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 924. MODIFICATIONS IN CREDIT FOR COMBINED HEAT AND POWER SYSTEM PROPERTY.

(a) MODIFICATION OF CERTAIN CAPACITY LIMITATIONS.—Section 48(c)(3)(B) is amended—

(1) by striking “15 megawatts” in clause (ii) and inserting “25 megawatts”,

(2) by striking “20,000 horsepower” in clause (ii) and inserting “34,000 horsepower”, and

(3) by striking clause (iii).

(b) NONAPPLICATION OF CERTAIN RULES.—Section 48(c)(3)(C) is amended by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(iv) NONAPPLICATION OF CERTAIN RULES.—For purposes of determining if the term ‘combined heat and power system property’ includes technologies which generate electricity or mechanical power using back-pressure steam turbines in place of existing pressure-reducing valves or which make use of waste heat from industrial processes such as by using organic rankine, stirling, or kalina heat engine systems, subparagraph (A) shall be applied without regard to clause (ii).”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to periods after the date of the enactment of this Act, under rules similar to the rules of section 48(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of the Revenue Reconciliation Act of 1990).

PART III—THERMAL ENERGY EFFICIENCY **SEC. 931. BONUS DEPRECIATION FOR QUALIFYING ENERGY PROPERTY.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 168 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(o) SPECIAL ALLOWANCE FOR QUALIFYING ENERGY PROPERTY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any efficient commercial energy property—

“(A) the depreciation deduction provided by section 167(a) for the taxable year in which such property is placed in service shall include an allowance equal to 50 percent of the adjusted basis of the efficient commercial energy property, and

“(B) the adjusted basis of the efficient commercial energy property shall be reduced by the amount of such deduction before computing the amount otherwise allowable as a depreciation deduction under this chapter for such taxable year and any subsequent taxable year.

“(2) EFFICIENT COMMERCIAL ENERGY PROPERTY.—For purposes of this subsection—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘efficient commercial energy property’ means any property placed in service before January 1, 2012, which is used in a qualifying heating conversion.

“(B) TREATMENT OF CERTAIN EXPENDITURES.—Such term shall include fuel service connection installation costs specifically related to fuel service to the qualified energy property described in clause (i) of subparagraph (C) used in such conversion, but does not include expenditures for soil cleanup.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 932. EXTENSION OF REDUCED DEPRECIATION PERIOD FOR NATURAL GAS DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (viii) of section 168(e)(3)(E) is amended to read as follows:

“(viii) any natural gas distribution facility the original use of which commences with the taxpayer after April 11, 2005, and which is placed in service before January 1, 2013, and”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall take effect as if included in the amendments made section 1325(a) of the Energy Tax Incentives Act of 2005.

Subtitle B—Vehicle Efficiency

SEC. 941. IDLING REDUCTION TAX CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subpart D of part IV of subchapter A of chapter 1, as amended by section 923, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 45V. IDLING REDUCTION CREDIT.

“(a) GENERAL RULE.—For purposes of section 38, the idling reduction tax credit determined under this section for the taxable year is an amount equal to the applicable percentage of the amount paid or incurred for each qualifying idling reduction device placed in service by the taxpayer during the taxable year.

“(b) LIMITATION.—The maximum amount allowed as a credit under subsection (a) for each qualifying idling reduction device shall not exceed the applicable credit amount for such device.

“(c) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE; APPLICABLE CREDIT AMOUNT.—

“(1) DEVICES WITH COOLING CAPABILITY.—In the case of any qualifying idling reduction device with cooling capability for the vehicle passenger compartment, the applicable percentage and applicable credit amount shall be determined in accordance with the following table:

	Applicable percentage	Applicable credit amount
“Device consumption of diesel gallon equivalent per hour		
Not more than 0.10	50	\$5,000
More than 0.10 but not more than 0.15	40	\$4,000
More than 0.15 but not more than 0.25	30	\$3,000
More than 0.25	0	\$0.

“(2) DEVICES WITH NO COOLING CAPABILITY.— device without any cooling capability, the amount shall be determined in accordance with the following table:

	Applicable percentage	Applicable credit amount
“Device consumption of diesel gallon equivalent per hour		
Not more than 0.04	50	\$1,000
More than 0.04 but not more than 0.06	50	\$800
More than 0.06	0	\$0.

“(3) MODIFICATION AUTHORITY.—The Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, in consultation with the Secretary, may modify the consumption thresholds categories specified in the tables under paragraphs (1) and (2) by not more than 0.05 diesel gallon equivalent per hour, but only if testing procedures do not prove accurate enough to discern between such specified categories.

“(d) QUALIFYING IDLING REDUCTION DEVICE.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualifying idling reduction device’ means any on-board device or system of devices which—

“(A) is installed on a heavy-duty diesel-powered on-highway vehicle in conformance with safety regulations under section 393 of title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations,

“(B) is designed to provide to such vehicle those services (such as heat, air conditioning, or electricity) that would otherwise require the operation of the main drive engine while the vehicle is temporarily parked or remains stationary,

“(C) is capable of providing power continuously for such services for at least 8 consecutive hours,

“(D) emits fewer oxides of nitrogen (NOx) and particulate matter (PM) on a cumulative basis than would be emitted by a 2010-compliant engine running for the same amount of time (as determined under Environmental Protection Agency emission standards and supplemental requirements for 2007 and later model year diesel heavy-duty engines and vehicles (40 C.F.R. 86.007-11)),

“(E) the original use of which commences with the taxpayer,

“(F) is acquired for use by the taxpayer and not for resale, and

“(G) has had its average hourly fuel consumption in diesel equivalent gallons verified by the Secretary, in consultation with the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency.

“(2) HEAVY-DUTY DIESEL-POWERED ON-HIGHWAY VEHICLE.—The term ‘heavy-duty diesel-powered on-highway vehicle’ means any diesel-powered commercial motor vehicle with a gross vehicle registered weight of at least 26,000 pounds (as defined by the Secretary of Transportation) which is propelled or drawn by mechanical power and used upon the highways in the transportation of passengers or property.

“(3) DETERMINATION OF VERIFICATION STANDARDS.—The Secretary, in consultation with the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, shall establish testing methodology and standards for verifying qualifying idling reduction devices.

“(e) NO DOUBLE BENEFIT.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) REDUCTION IN BASIS.—If a credit is determined under this section with respect to any property by reason of expenditures described in subsection (a), the basis of such property shall be reduced by the amount of the credit so determined.

“(2) OTHER DEDUCTIONS AND CREDITS.—No deduction or credit shall be allowed under any other provision of this chapter with respect to the amount of the credit determined under this section.

“(f) ELECTION NOT TO CLAIM CREDIT.—This section shall not apply to a taxpayer for any taxable year if such taxpayer elects to have this section not apply for such taxable year.

“(g) TERMINATION.—This section shall not apply to any device placed in service after December 31, 2014.”

(b) CREDIT TO BE PART OF GENERAL BUSINESS CREDIT.—Subsection (b) of section 38, as amended by section 941, is amended by striking “plus” at the end of paragraph (39), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (40) and inserting “, plus”, and by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(41) the idling reduction tax credit determined under section 45V(a).”

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The table of sections for subpart D of part IV of subchapter A of chapter 1, as amended by section 923, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“Sec. 45V. Idling reduction credit.”

(2) Section 1016(a), as amended by section 941, is amended by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (39), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (40) and inserting “, and”, and by adding at the end the following:

“(41) in the case of a facility with respect to which a credit was allowed under section 45V, to the extent provided in section 45V(e)(A).”

(3) Section 6501(m), as amended by section 941, is amended by inserting “45V(f)” after “45H(g)”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to devices placed in service after the date of the enactment of this Act.

Subtitle C—Promotion of Domestic Manufacturing

SEC. 951. EXPANSION AND MODIFICATION OF QUALIFYING ADVANCED ENERGY PROJECT CREDIT.

(a) CREDIT RATE.—Section 48C(a) is amended by striking “equal to 30 percent” and inserting “the percentage determined by the Secretary (not to exceed 30 percent)”.

(b) DOLLAR LIMITATION.—Section 48C(d)(1)(B) is amended by striking “\$2,300,000,000” and inserting “\$4,800,000,000”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to allocations for applications submitted after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 952. QUALIFYING INDUSTRIAL ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROJECT CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 46 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended by section 921, is amended by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (6), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (7), and by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(8) the qualifying industrial energy efficiency project credit.”

(b) AMOUNT OF CREDIT.—Subpart E of part IV of subchapter A of chapter 1, as amended by section 921, is amended by inserting after section 48E the following new section:

“SEC. 48F. CREDIT FOR INDUSTRIAL ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROJECTS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of section 46, the qualifying industrial energy efficiency project credit for any taxable year is an amount equal to 30 percent of the qualified investment for such taxable year with respect to any qualifying industrial energy efficiency project of an eligible taxpayer.

“(b) ELIGIBLE TAXPAYER.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘eligible taxpayer’ means, with respect to any taxable year, any taxpayer which is an industrial source.

“(2) INDUSTRIAL SOURCE.—The term ‘industrial source’ means any stationary source which—

“(A) is not primarily an electricity source, and

“(B) is in—

“(i) the manufacturing sector (as defined in North American Industrial Classification System codes 31, 32, and 33), or

“(ii) the natural gas processing or natural gas pipeline transportation sector (as defined in North American Industrial Classification System code 211112 or 486210).

“(c) QUALIFIED INVESTMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of subsection (a), the qualified investment for any taxable year is the basis of eligible property placed in service by the taxpayer during such taxable year which is part of a qualifying industrial energy efficiency project.

“(2) CERTAIN QUALIFIED PROGRESS EXPENDITURES RULES MADE APPLICABLE.—Rules similar to the rules of subsections (c)(4) and (d) of section 46 (as in effect on the day before the enactment of the Revenue Reconciliation Act of 1990) shall apply for purposes of this section.

“(3) LIMITATION.—The amount which is treated for all taxable years with respect to

any qualifying industrial energy efficiency project shall not exceed the amount designated by the Secretary as eligible for the credit under this section.

“(d) DEFINITIONS.—

“(1) QUALIFYING INDUSTRIAL ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROJECT.—The term ‘qualifying industrial energy efficiency project’ means a project which reduces energy inputs for a given level of production by capital expenditures.

“(2) ELIGIBLE PROPERTY.—The term ‘eligible property’ means any property—

“(A) which is necessary for the energy efficiency improvement described in paragraph (1),

“(B) which is—

“(i) tangible personal property, or

“(ii) other tangible property (not including a building or its structural components), but only if such property is used as an integral part of the qualifying industrial energy efficiency project, and

“(C) with respect to which depreciation (or amortization in lieu of depreciation) is allowable.

“(e) QUALIFYING CREDIT FOR INDUSTRIAL ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAM.—

“(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, shall establish a qualifying credit for industrial energy efficiency program to consider and award certifications for qualified investments eligible for credits under this section to qualifying industrial energy efficiency project sponsors.

“(B) LIMITATION.—The total amount of credits that may be allocated under the program shall not exceed \$1,000,000,000.

“(2) CERTIFICATION.—

“(A) APPLICATION PERIOD.—Each applicant for certification under this paragraph shall submit an application containing such information as the Secretary may require during the 2-year period beginning on the date the Secretary establishes the program under paragraph (1).

“(B) TIME TO MEET CRITERIA FOR CERTIFICATION.—Each applicant for certification shall have 1 year from the date of acceptance by the Secretary of the application during which to provide to the Secretary evidence that the requirements of the certification have been met.

“(C) PERIOD OF ISSUANCE.—An applicant which receives a certification shall have 3 years from the date of issuance of the certification in order to place the project in service and if such project is not placed in service by that time period, then the certification shall no longer be valid.

“(3) SELECTION CRITERIA.—In determining which qualifying industrial energy efficiency projects to certify under this section, the Secretary—

“(A) shall take into consideration which projects—

“(i) will provide the greatest domestic job retention and creation (both direct and indirect) during the credit period,

“(ii) will provide the greatest net impact in avoiding or reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and

“(iii) will provide the greatest net reduction of pollutants.

“(4) REVIEW AND REDISTRIBUTION.—

“(A) REVIEW.—Not later than 4 years after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary shall review the credits allocated under this section as of such date.

“(B) REDISTRIBUTION.—The Secretary may reallocate credits awarded under this section if the Secretary determines that—

“(i) there is an insufficient quantity of qualifying applications for certification pending at the time of the review, or

“(ii) any certification made pursuant to paragraph (2) has been revoked pursuant to paragraph (2)(B) because the project subject to the certification has been delayed as a result of third party opposition or litigation to the proposed project.

“(C) REALLOCATION.—If the Secretary determines that credits under this section are available for reallocation pursuant to the requirements set forth in paragraph (2), the Secretary is authorized to conduct an additional program for applications for certification.

“(5) DISCLOSURE OF ALLOCATIONS.—The Secretary shall, upon making a certification under this subsection, publicly disclose the identity of the applicant, the location of the project which is the subject of the application, and the amount of the credit with respect to such applicant.

“(f) DENIAL OF DOUBLE BENEFIT.—A credit shall not be allowed under this section for any qualified investment for which a credit is allowed under section 48, 48A, 48B, or 48C.”.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 49(a)(1)(C), as amended by section 921, is amended—

(A) by striking “and” at the end of clause (vi),

(B) by striking the period at the end of clause (vii) and inserting “, and”; and

(C) by adding after clause (vii) the following new clause:

“(viii) the basis of any property which is part of a qualifying industrial energy efficiency project under section 48F.”.

(2) The table of sections for subpart E of part IV of subchapter A of chapter 1, as amended by section 921, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 48E the following new item:

“48F. Credit for industrial energy efficiency projects.”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to periods after the date of the enactment of this Act, under rules similar to the rules of section 48(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of the Revenue Reconciliation Act of 1990).

Subtitle D—Grid Efficiency and Reliability
SEC. 961. ENERGY INVESTMENT CREDIT FOR ENERGY STORAGE PROPERTY CONNECTED TO THE GRID.

(a) UP TO 20 PERCENT CREDIT ALLOWED.—Subparagraph (A) of section 48(a)(2) is amended—

(1) by striking “and” at the end of subclause (IV) of clause (i),

(2) by striking “clause (i)” in clause (ii) and inserting “clause (i) or (ii)”,

(3) by redesignating clause (ii) as clause (iii), and

(4) by inserting after clause (i) the following new clause:

“(ii) as provided in subsection (c)(5)(D), the percentage determined by the Secretary (not to exceed 20 percent) in the case of qualified energy storage property, and”.

(b) QUALIFIED ENERGY STORAGE PROPERTY.—Subsection (c) of section 48 is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) QUALIFIED ENERGY STORAGE PROPERTY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualified energy storage property’ means property—

“(i) which is directly connected to the electrical grid, and

“(ii) which is designed to receive electrical energy, to store such energy, and—

“(I) to convert such energy to electricity and deliver such electricity for sale, or

“(II) to use such energy to provide improved reliability or economic benefits to the grid.

Such term may include hydroelectric pumped storage and compressed air energy storage, regenerative fuel cells, batteries, superconducting magnetic energy storage, flywheels, thermal energy storage systems, and hydrogen storage, or combination thereof, or any other technologies as the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, shall determine.

“(B) MINIMUM CAPACITY.—The term ‘qualified energy storage property’ shall not include any property unless such property in aggregate has the ability to sustain a power rating of at least 1 megawatt for a minimum of 1 hour.

“(C) ELECTRICAL GRID.—The term ‘electrical grid’ means the system of generators, transmission lines, and distribution facilities which—

“(i) are under the jurisdiction of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or State public utility commissions, or

“(ii) are owned by—

“(I) the Federal government,

“(II) a State or any political subdivision of a State,

“(III) an electric cooperative that receives financing under the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 901 et seq.) or that sells less than 4,000,000 megawatt hours of electricity per year, or

“(IV) any agency, authority, or instrumentality of any one or more of the entities described in subclause (I) or (II), or any corporation which is wholly owned, directly or indirectly, by any one or more of such entities.

“(D) ALLOCATION OF CREDITS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—In the case of qualified energy storage property placed in service during the taxable year, the credit otherwise determined under subsection (a) for such year with respect to such property shall not exceed the amount allocated to such project under clause (ii).

“(ii) NATIONAL LIMITATION AND ALLOCATION.—There is a qualified energy storage property investment credit limitation of \$1,500,000,000. Such limitation shall be allocated by the Secretary among qualified energy storage property projects selected by the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, for taxable years beginning after the date of the enactment of the Advanced Energy Tax Incentives Act of 2010, except that not more than \$30,000,000 shall be allocated to any project for all such taxable years.

“(iii) SELECTION CRITERIA.—In making allocations under clause (ii), the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, shall select only those projects which have a reasonable expectation of commercial viability, select projects representing a variety of technologies, applications, and project sizes, and give priority to projects which—

“(I) provide the greatest increase in reliability or the greatest economic benefit,

“(II) enable the greatest improvement in integration of renewable resources into the grid, or

“(III) enable the greatest increase in efficiency in operation of the grid.

“(iv) DEADLINES.—

“(I) IN GENERAL.—If a project which receives an allocation under clause (ii) is not placed in service within 2 years after the

date of such allocation, such allocation shall be invalid.

“(II) SPECIAL RULE FOR HYDROELECTRIC PUMPED STORAGE.—Notwithstanding subclause (I), in the case of a hydroelectric pumped storage project, if such project has not received such permits or licenses as are determined necessary by the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, within 3 years after the date of such allocation, begun construction within 5 years after the date of such allocation, and been placed in service within 8 years after the date of such allocation, such allocation shall be invalid.

“(III) SPECIAL RULE FOR COMPRESSED AIR ENERGY STORAGE.—Notwithstanding subclause (I), in the case of a compressed air energy storage project, if such project has not begun construction within 3 years after the date of the allocation and been placed in service within 5 years after the date of such allocation, such allocation shall be invalid.

“(IV) EXCEPTIONS.—The Secretary may extend the 2-year period in subclause (I) or the periods described in subclauses (II) and (III) on a project-by-project basis if the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, determines that there has been a good faith effort to begin construction or to place the project in service, whichever is applicable, and that any delay is caused by factors not in the taxpayer's control.

“(E) REVIEW AND REDISTRIBUTION.—

“(i) REVIEW.—Not later than 4 years after the date of the enactment of the Advanced Energy Tax Incentives Act of 2010, the Secretary shall review the credits allocated under subparagraph (D) as of the date of such review.

“(ii) REDISTRIBUTION.—Upon the review described in clause (i), the Secretary may reallocate credits allocated under subparagraph (D) if the Secretary determines that—

“(I) there is an insufficient quantity of qualifying applications for certification pending at the time of the review, or

“(II) any allocation made under subparagraph (D)(ii) has been revoked pursuant to subparagraph (D)(iv) because the project subject to such allocation has been delayed.

“(F) DISCLOSURE OF ALLOCATIONS.—The Secretary shall, upon making an allocation under subparagraph (D)(ii), publicly disclose the identity of the applicant, the location of the project, and the amount of the credit with respect to such applicant.

“(G) TERMINATION.—No credit shall be allocated under subparagraph (D) for any period ending after December 31, 2020.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to periods after the date of the enactment of this Act, under rules similar to the rules of section 48(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of the Revenue Reconciliation Act of 1990).

SEC. 962. ENERGY STORAGE PROPERTY CONNECTED TO THE GRID ELIGIBLE FOR NEW CLEAN RENEWABLE ENERGY BONDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 54C(d) is amended to read as follows:

“(1) QUALIFIED RENEWABLE ENERGY FACILITY.—The term ‘qualified renewable energy facility’ means a facility which is—

“(A)(i) a qualified facility (as determined under section 45(d) without regard to paragraphs (8) and (10) thereof and to any placed in service date), or

“(ii) a qualified energy storage property (as defined in section 48(c)(5)), and

“(B) owned by a public power provider, a governmental body, or a cooperative electric company.”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to obligations issued after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 963. ENERGY INVESTMENT CREDIT FOR ON-SITE ENERGY STORAGE.

(a) CREDIT ALLOWED.—Clause (i) of section 48(a)(2)(A), as amended by section 961, is amended—

(1) by striking “and” at the end of subclause (III),

(2) by inserting “and” at the end of subclause (IV), and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subclause:

“(V) qualified onsite energy storage property.”

(b) QUALIFIED ONSITE ENERGY STORAGE PROPERTY.—Subsection (c) of section 48, as amended by section 961, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) QUALIFIED ONSITE ENERGY STORAGE PROPERTY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualified onsite energy storage property’ means property which—

“(i) provides supplemental energy to reduce peak energy requirements primarily on the same site where the storage is located, or

“(ii) is designed and used primarily to receive and store intermittent renewable energy generated onsite and to deliver such energy primarily for onsite consumption.

Such term may include thermal energy storage systems and property used to charge plug-in and hybrid electric vehicles if such property or vehicles are equipped with smart grid services which control time-of-day charging and discharging of such vehicles. Such term shall not include any property for which any other credit is allowed under this chapter.

“(B) MINIMUM CAPACITY.—The term ‘qualified onsite energy storage property’ shall not include any property unless such property in aggregate—

“(i) has the ability to store the energy equivalent of at least 20 kilowatt hours of energy,

“(ii) has the ability to have an output of the energy equivalent of 5 kilowatts of electricity for a period of 4 hours, and

“(iii) has a roundtrip energy storage efficiency of not less than 70 percent.

“(C) LIMITATION.—In the case of qualified onsite energy storage property placed in service during the taxable year, the credit otherwise determined under subsection (a) for such year with respect to such property shall not exceed \$1,000,000.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to periods after the date of the enactment of this Act, under rules similar to the rules of section 48(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of the Revenue Reconciliation Act of 1990).

SEC. 964. CREDIT FOR RESIDENTIAL ENERGY STORAGE EQUIPMENT.

(a) CREDIT ALLOWED.—Subsection (a) of section 25C is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and (3) as paragraphs (3) and (4), respectively, and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph:

“(2) 30 percent of the amount paid or incurred by the taxpayer for qualified residential energy storage equipment installed during such taxable year.”

(b) QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL ENERGY STORAGE EQUIPMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 25C, as amended by section 916, is amended—

(A) by redesignating subsections (e), (f), and (g) subsections (f), (g), and (h), respectively, and

(B) by inserting after subsection (d) the following new subsection:

“(d) QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL ENERGY STORAGE EQUIPMENT.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘qualified residential energy storage equipment’ means property—

“(1) which is installed in or on a dwelling unit located in the United States and owned and used by the taxpayer as the taxpayer's principal residence (within the meaning of section 121), or on property owned by the taxpayer on which such a dwelling unit is located,

“(2) which—

“(A) provides supplemental energy to reduce peak energy requirements primarily on the same site where the storage is located, or

“(B) is designed and used primarily to receive and store intermittent renewable energy generated onsite and to deliver such energy primarily for onsite consumption,

“(3) which has a roundtrip energy storage efficiency of not less than 70 percent, and

“(4) which—

“(A) has the ability to store the energy equivalent of at least 2 kilowatt hours of energy, and

“(B) has the ability to have an output of the energy equivalent of 500 watts of electricity for a period of 4 hours.

Such term may include thermal energy storage systems and property used to charge plug-in and hybrid electric vehicles if such property or vehicles are equipped with smart grid services which control time-of-day charging and discharging of such vehicles. Such term shall not include any property for which any other credit is allowed under this chapter.”

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1016(a)(33) is amended by striking “section 25C(f)” and inserting “section 25C(g)”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 965. CLARIFICATION OF TYPES OF ENERGY CONSERVATION SUBSIDIES PROVIDED BY PUBLIC UTILITIES ELIGIBLE FOR INCOME EXCLUSION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 136 is amended by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (e) and by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection:

“(d) NET METERING OR NET BILLING PROGRAMS; RENEWABLE ENERGY CREDITS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘subsidy’ includes amounts received by a customer from a public utility—

“(A) to pay for electricity generated from an energy conservation measure under a net metering or net billing program, or

“(B) to pay for renewable energy credits attributable to an energy conservation measure.

“(2) LIMITATION.—The amount treated as a subsidy for any taxable year by reason of paragraph (1)(B) shall not exceed an amount equal to—

“(A) \$2,000, multiplied by

“(B) the whole number of years worth of renewable energy credits that are sold by the customer.

“(3) NO BASIS REDUCTION.—Subsection (b) shall not apply with respect to property any portion of the basis of which is attributable to an amount described in paragraph (1).”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts

received after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 966. EXTENSION OF CREDITS RELATED TO THE PRODUCTION OF ELECTRICITY FROM OFFSHORE WIND.

(a) EXTENSION FOR PRODUCTION CREDIT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 45(d) is amended by inserting “(January 1, 2016, in the case of any offshore facility)” after “and before January 1, 2013”.

(2) OFFSHORE FACILITY.—Section 45(e) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(12) OFFSHORE FACILITY.—The term ‘offshore facility’ means any facility located in the inland navigable waters of the United States, including the Great Lakes, or in the coastal waters of the United States, including the territorial seas of the United States, the exclusive economic zone of the United States, and the outer Continental Shelf of the United States.”.

(b) EXTENSION FOR INVESTMENT CREDIT.—Clause (i) of section 48(a)(5)(C) is amended—

(1) by striking “is placed in service in” and inserting “is—

“(I) except as provided in subclause (II), placed in service in”,

(2) by striking the period at the end and inserting “, and”, and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subclause:

“(II) in the case of an offshore facility (as defined in section 45(e)(12)), such facility is placed in service after 2008 and before 2016.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after the date of the enactment of this Act.

Subtitle E—Carbon Capture and Sequestration

SEC. 971. IMPROVED AVAILABILITY OF THE CREDIT FOR CARBON DIOXIDE SEQUESTRATION.

(a) INCREASE IN TOTAL CREDIT AVAILABLE.—Section 45Q(e) is amended by striking “75,000,000 metric tons” and inserting “100,000,000 metric tons”.

(b) AMOUNT OF CREDIT PER PROJECT.—Section 45Q(a) is amended by adding at the end the following new flush sentence:

“The amount which is treated as a carbon dioxide sequestration credit for all taxable years with respect to any qualified facility shall not exceed the amount designated by the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, as eligible for the credit under this section, but in no event shall such designated credit result in more than 10,000,000 metric tons of qualified carbon dioxide being taken into account under this subsection.”.

(c) INCREASE IN CREDIT AMOUNT FOR PERMANENT SEQUESTRATION.—Section 45Q(a)(1) is amended by striking “\$20” and inserting “\$35”.

(d) MODIFICATION OF QUALIFIED FACILITY ELIGIBILITY.—Section 45Q(c) is amended by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (2), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (3) and inserting “, and”, and by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) with respect to which such taxpayer shows contractual intent to inject and permanently sequester the full amount of captured carbon dioxide.”.

(e) CREDIT ALLOCATION PROGRAM.—Section 45Q is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) CARBON DIOXIDE SEQUESTRATION PROGRAM.—

“(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary, in consultation with the

Secretary of Energy, shall establish a carbon dioxide sequestration program to consider and award certifications for carbon dioxide sequestration credits under this section to qualified facility sponsors.

“(2) CERTIFICATION.—

“(A) APPLICATION PERIOD.—Each applicant for certification under this paragraph shall submit an application containing such information as the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, may require during 1 or more application periods. Each application period shall be announced at least 6 months in advance of the application due dates, along with the evaluation criteria that will be used to assess applications.

“(B) SCOPE AND REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS.—Applicants may apply to the Secretary for an allocation of tax credits under this section for a period of 10 years based on expected carbon dioxide injection rates once the sponsor of the qualified facility has received air permits necessary to commence construction under the Clean Air Act. The Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, shall notify each qualified applicant for such credits that the applicant has met the requirements for an allocation of the anticipated metric tons of carbon dioxide injected from the qualified facility within 180 days of receipt of an application.

“(C) CONTENTS OF APPLICATION.—Each application shall include the following:

“(i) Identification of facility, location, and ownership.

“(ii) Status and outlook for any State or Federal regulatory approvals required.

“(iii) The total amount of metric tons of carbon dioxide requested for a tax credit under this section.

“(iv) The total dollar value of the requested tax credit under this section.

“(D) PERIOD OF ISSUANCE; REALLOCATION.—An applicant which receives a certification shall have 18 months from the date of issuance of the certification in order to commence construction of the qualified facility within the meaning of the Clean Air Act. If such construction is not begun within such time period, or if the sponsor fails as determined by the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, to commence operation within the meaning of the Clean Air Act or establish carbon dioxide injection of at least 50 percent of the annualized pre-certified carbon dioxide injection metric tons for such facility within 72 months of such issuance, the certification shall no longer be valid and the credits shall be forfeited and reallocated by the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy.

“(3) SELECTION CRITERIA.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In determining which facilities to certify under this section, the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, shall take into consideration—

“(i) which facilities—

“(I) participate in a public-private partnership,

“(II) achieve commercial scale and a reasonable expectation of economic viability, and

“(III) achieve the highest percentage of carbon dioxide captured and sequestered from the facility’s nameplate capacity, and

“(ii) to the extent that it does not compromise facility quality or environmental benefits of the total program, awarding credits to facilities in a variety of geographic locations and geologic sequestration formations.

“(B) PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP.—For purposes of subparagraph (A)(i)(I), the term

‘public-private partnership’ means a contract between a public sector authority and a private party, in which the private party provides a public service or facility and assumes substantial financial, technical, and operational risk in the facility. The public sector authority may also bear financial, technical, or operational risk in the form of grants, loans, or tax incentives.

“(4) DISCLOSURE OF ALLOCATIONS.—The Secretary shall, upon making a certification under this subsection, publicly disclose the identity of the applicant, the location of the relevant project, and the amount of the credit with respect to such application.”.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to allocations after the date of the enactment of this Act.

Subtitle F—Promotion of Clean Domestic Fuels

SEC. 981. ALGAE TREATED AS A QUALIFIED FEEDSTOCK FOR PURPOSES OF THE CELLULOSIC BIOFUEL PRODUCER CREDIT, ETC.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subclause (I) of section 40(b)(6)(E)(i) is amended to read as follows:

“(I) is derived solely from qualified feedstocks, and”.

(b) QUALIFIED FEEDSTOCK; SPECIAL RULES FOR ALGAE.—Paragraph (6) of section 40(b) is amended by redesignating subparagraphs (F), (G), and (H) as subparagraphs (H), (I), and (J), respectively, and by inserting after subparagraph (E) the following new subparagraphs:

“(F) QUALIFIED FEEDSTOCK.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘qualified feedstock’ means—

“(i) any lignocellulosic or hemicellulosic matter that is available on a renewable or recurring basis, or

“(ii) any cultivated algae, cyanobacteria, or lemna.

“(G) SPECIAL RULES FOR ALGAE.—In the case of fuel which is derived from feedstock described in subparagraph (F)(ii) and which is sold by the taxpayer to another person for refining by such other person into a fuel which meets the requirements of subparagraph (E)(i)(II)—

“(i) such sale shall be treated as described in subparagraph (C)(i),

“(ii) such fuel shall be treated as meeting the requirements of subparagraph (E)(i)(II) in the hands of such taxpayer, and

“(iii) except as provided in this subparagraph, such fuel (and any fuel derived from such fuel) shall not be taken into account under subparagraph (C) with respect to the taxpayer or any other person.”.

(c) ALGAE TREATED AS A QUALIFIED FEEDSTOCK FOR PURPOSES OF BONUS DEPRECIATION FOR BIOFUEL PLANT PROPERTY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (A) of section 168(l)(2) is amended by striking “solely to produce cellulosic biofuel” and inserting “solely to produce second generation biofuel (as defined in section 40(b)(6)(E))”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Subsection (l) of section 168 is amended—

(A) by striking “cellulosic biofuel” each place it appears in the text thereof and inserting “second generation biofuel”,

(B) by striking paragraph (3) and redesignating paragraphs (4) through (8) as paragraphs (3) through (7), respectively,

(C) by striking “CELLULOSIC” in the heading of such subsection and inserting “SECOND GENERATION”, and

(D) by striking “CELLULOSIC” in the heading of paragraph (2) and inserting “SECOND GENERATION”.

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 40, as amended by subsection (b), is amended—

(A) by striking “cellulosic biofuel” each place it appears in the text thereof and inserting “second generation biofuel”;

(B) by striking “CELLULOSIC” in the headings of subsections (b)(6), (b)(6)(E), and (d)(3)(D) and inserting “SECOND GENERATION”;

(C) by striking “CELLULOSIC” in the headings of subsections (b)(6)(C), (b)(6)(D), (b)(6)(H), (d)(6), and (e)(3) and inserting “SECOND GENERATION”.

(2) Clause (ii) of section 40(b)(6)(E) is amended by striking “Such term shall not” and inserting “The term ‘second generation biofuel’ shall not”.

(3) Paragraph (1) of section 4101(a) is amended by striking “cellulosic biofuel” and inserting “second generation biofuel”.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall apply to fuels sold or used after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) APPLICATION TO BONUS DEPRECIATION.—The amendments made by subsection (c) shall apply to property placed in service after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 982. EXTENSION OF PROVISIONS RELATED TO ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.

(a) EXTENSION OF INCOME TAX CREDIT FOR ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 40(e) is amended—

(A) by striking “December 31, 2010” in subparagraph (A) and inserting “December 31, 2011”;

(B) by striking “January 1, 2011” in subparagraph (B) and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(2) REDUCED AMOUNT FOR ETHANOL BLENDERS.—Subsection (h) of section 40 is amended—

(A) by striking “2010” in paragraph (1) and inserting “2011”;

(B) by striking the period at the end of the table contained in paragraph (2) and adding the following new item:

“2011	36 cents	26.66 cents.”.
-------------	----------	----------------

(3) REDUCED RATE FOR SMALL ETHANOL PRODUCERS.—Section 40(b)(4)(A) is amended by striking “10 cents” and inserting “8 cents”.

(4) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the amendments made by this subsection shall apply to periods after December 31, 2010.

(B) RATE FOR SMALL ETHANOL PRODUCERS.—The amendment made by paragraph (3) shall apply to the sale or use of alcohol after December 31, 2010.

(b) EXTENSION OF EXCISE TAX CREDIT FOR ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (6) of section 6426(b) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) REDUCED APPLICABLE AMOUNT FOR ETHANOL.—Subparagraph (A) of section 6426(b)(2) is amended—

(A) by striking “and” at the end of clause (i).

(B) in clause (ii)—

(i) by inserting “and before 2011” after “after 2008”;

(ii) by striking the period and inserting “, and”;

(C) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(iii) in the case of calendar years beginning after 2010, 36 cents.”.

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to periods after December 31, 2010.

(c) EXTENSION OF PAYMENT FOR ALCOHOL FUEL MIXTURE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (A) of section 6427(e)(6) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to sales and uses after December 31, 2010.

(d) EXTENSION OF ADDITIONAL DUTIES ON ETHANOL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Headings 9901.00.50 and 9901.00.52 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States are each amended in the effective period column by striking “1/1/2011” and inserting “1/1/2012”.

(2) ETHANOL TAX PARITY.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and semiannually thereafter, the President shall reduce the temporary duty imposed on ethanol under subheading 9901.00.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States by an amount equal to the reduction in any Federal income or excise tax credit under section 40(h), 6426(b), or 6427(e)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and take any other action necessary to ensure that the combined temporary duty imposed on ethanol under such subheading 9901.00.50 and any other duty imposed under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States is equal to, or lower than, any Federal income or excise tax credit applicable to ethanol under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall take effect on January 1, 2011.

Subtitle G—Applicability of Sections

SEC. 991. APPLICABILITY OF SECTIONS.

The provisions of, and amendments made by, sections 703 and 710 of this Act are hereby deemed null, void, and of no effect.

SA 4784. Mr. CARDIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 74, after line 6, insert the following:

TITLE IX—SURETY BONDS

SEC. 901. SURETY BONDS.

(a) MAXIMUM BOND AMOUNT.—Section 411(a)(1) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 694b(a)(1)) is amended by striking “(1)” and all that follows and inserting the following: “(1)(A) The Administration may, upon such terms and conditions as it may prescribe, guarantee and enter into commitments to guarantee any surety against loss resulting from a breach of the terms of a bid bond, payment bond, performance bond, or bonds ancillary thereto, by a principal on any total work order or contract amount at the time of bond execution that does not exceed \$5,000,000.

“(B) The Administrator may guarantee a surety under subparagraph (A) for a total work order or contract amount that does not

exceed \$10,000,000, if a contracting officer of a Federal agency certifies that such a guarantee is necessary.”.

(b) DENIAL OF LIABILITY.—Section 411 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 694b) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (e) and inserting the following:

“(e) REIMBURSEMENT OF SURETY; CONDITIONS.—Pursuant to any such guarantee or agreement, the Administration shall reimburse the surety, as provided in subsection (c) of this section, except that the Administration shall be relieved of liability (in whole or in part within the discretion of the Administration) if—

“(1) the surety obtained such guarantee or agreement, or applied for such reimbursement, by fraud or material misrepresentation;

“(2) the total contract amount at the time of execution of the bond or bonds exceeds \$5,000,000;

“(3) the surety has breached a material term or condition of such guarantee agreement; or

“(4) the surety has substantially violated the regulations promulgated by the Administration pursuant to subsection (d).”;

(2) by striking subsection (k); and

(3) by adding after subsection (i) the following:

“(j) DENIAL OF LIABILITY.—For bonds made or executed with the prior approval of the Administration, the Administration shall not deny liability to a surety based upon material information that was provided as part of the guaranty application.”.

(c) SIZE STANDARDS.—Section 410 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 694a) is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (9); and

(2) adding after paragraph (8) the following:

“(9) Notwithstanding any other provision of law or any rule, regulation, or order of the Administration, for purposes of sections 410, 411, and 412 the term ‘small business concern’ means a business concern that meets the size standard for the primary industry in which such business concern, and the affiliates of such business concern, is engaged, as determined by the Administrator in accordance with the North American Industry Classification System.”.

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 508(f) of division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (15 U.S.C. 694a note) is repealed.

SA 4785. Mr. CARDIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 41, after line 15, insert the following:

(c) ELIMINATION OF REIT RATABLE SHARE LIMITATION FOR ARRA GRANTS WITH RESPECT TO SPECIFIED ENERGY PROPERTY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 1603 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009 is amended by inserting after “Code of 1986” the following: “, except that subsection (d)(1) thereof shall not apply in the case of a real estate investment trust (as defined in section 856 of such Code)”.

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to grants made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SA 4786. Mr. WYDEN (for himself, Mr. COONS, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. BEGICH, Mr. CARDIN, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. MENENDEZ, and Mr. LAUTENBERG) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 28, between lines 3 and 4, insert:
SEC. ____ . EXTENSION OF BUILD AMERICA BONDS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (B) of section 54AA(d)(1) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EXTENSION OF PAYMENTS TO ISSUERS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 6431 is amended—
(A) by striking “January 1, 2011” in subsection (a) and inserting “January 1, 2012”; and

(B) by striking “January 1, 2011” in subsection (f)(1)(B) and inserting “a particular date”.

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Subsection (g) of section 54AA is amended—

(A) by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”; and

(B) by striking “QUALIFIED BONDS ISSUED BEFORE 2011” in the heading and inserting “CERTAIN QUALIFIED BONDS”.

(c) **REDUCTION IN PERCENTAGE OF PAYMENTS TO ISSUERS.**—Subsection (b) of section 6431 is amended—

(1) by striking “The Secretary” and inserting the following:

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary”;
(2) by striking “35 percent” and inserting “the applicable percentage”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) **APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE.**—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘applicable percentage’ means the percentage determined in accordance with the following table:

“In the case of a qualified bond issued during calendar year:”	The applicable percentage is:
2009 or 2010	35 percent
2011	32 percent”.

(d) **CURRENT REFUNDINGS PERMITTED.**—Subsection (g) of section 54AA is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) **TREATMENT OF CURRENT REFUNDING BONDS.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘qualified bond’ includes any bond (or series of bonds) issued to refund a qualified bond if—

“(i) the average maturity date of the issue of which the refunding bond is a part is not later than the average maturity date of the bonds to be refunded by such issue,

“(ii) the amount of the refunding bond does not exceed the outstanding amount of the refunded bond, and

“(iii) the refunded bond is redeemed not later than 90 days after the date of the issuance of the refunding bond.

“(B) **APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE.**—In the case of a refunding bond referred to in subparagraph (A), the applicable percentage with respect to such bond under section 6431(b) shall be the lowest percentage specified in paragraph (2) of such section.

“(C) **DETERMINATION OF AVERAGE MATURITY.**—For purposes of subparagraph (A)(i), average maturity shall be determined in accordance with section 147(b)(2)(A).”.

(e) **CLARIFICATION RELATED TO LEVEES AND FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.**—Subparagraph (A) of section 54AA(g)(2) is amended by inserting “(including capital expenditures for levees and other flood control projects)” after “capital expenditures”.

SA 4787. Mr. LEVIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place insert the following:

SEC. 302. MODIFICATIONS TO ESTATE, GIFT, AND GENERATION-SKIPPING TRANSFER TAXES.

(a) **MODIFICATIONS TO ESTATE TAX.**—

(1) **\$3,500,000 APPLICABLE EXCLUSION AMOUNT.**—Subsection (c) of section 2010 is amended to read as follows:

“(c) **APPLICABLE CREDIT AMOUNT.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of this section, the applicable credit amount is the amount of the tentative tax which would be determined under section 2001(c) if the amount with respect to which such tentative tax is to be computed were equal to the applicable exclusion amount.

“(2) **APPLICABLE EXCLUSION AMOUNT.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of this subsection, the applicable exclusion amount is \$3,500,000.

“(B) **INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.**—In the case of any decedent dying in a calendar year after 2010, the dollar amount in subparagraph (A) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

“(i) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(ii) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for such calendar year by substituting ‘calendar year 2009’ for ‘calendar year 1992’ in subparagraph (B) thereof.

If any amount as adjusted under the preceding sentence is not a multiple of \$10,000, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10,000.”.

(2) **MAXIMUM ESTATE TAX RATE EQUAL TO 45 PERCENT.**—Subsection (c) of section 2001 is amended—

(A) by striking “but not over \$2,000,000” in the table contained in paragraph (1),

(B) by striking the last 2 items in such table,

(C) by striking “(1) **IN GENERAL.**—”, and

(D) by striking paragraph (2).

(b) **MODIFICATIONS TO GIFT TAX.**—

(1) **RESTORATION OF UNIFIED CREDIT AGAINST GIFT TAX.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (1) of section 2505(a), after the application of section

301(b), is amended by striking “(determined as if the applicable exclusion amount were \$1,000,000)”.

(B) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this paragraph shall apply to gifts made after December 31, 2010.

(2) **MODIFICATION OF GIFT TAX RATE.**—On and after January 1, 2011, subsection (a) of section 2502 is amended to read as such subsection would read if section 511(d) of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 had never been enacted.

(c) **MODIFICATION OF GENERATION-SKIPPING TRANSFER TAX.**—In the case of any generation-skipping transfer made after December 31, 2009, and before January 1, 2011, the applicable rate determined under section 2641(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall be zero.

(d) **MODIFICATIONS OF ESTATE AND GIFT TAXES TO REFLECT DIFFERENCES IN CREDIT RESULTING FROM DIFFERENT TAX RATES.**—

(1) **ESTATE TAX.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 2001(b)(2) is amended by striking “if the provisions of subsection (c) (as in effect at the decedent’s death)” and inserting “if the modifications described in subsection (g)”.

(B) **MODIFICATIONS.**—Section 2001 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) **MODIFICATIONS TO GIFT TAX PAYABLE TO REFLECT DIFFERENT TAX RATES.**—For purposes of applying subsection (b)(2) with respect to 1 or more gifts, the rates of tax under subsection (c) in effect at the decedent’s death shall, in lieu of the rates of tax in effect at the time of such gifts, be used both to compute—

“(1) the tax imposed by chapter 12 with respect to such gifts, and

“(2) the credit allowed against such tax under section 2505, including in computing—

“(A) the applicable credit amount under section 2505(a)(1), and

“(B) the sum of the amounts allowed as a credit for all preceding periods under section 2505(a)(2).”.

(2) **GIFT TAX.**—Section 2505(a) is amended by adding at the end the following new flush sentence:

“For purposes of applying paragraph (2) for any calendar year, the rates of tax in effect under section 2502(a)(2) for such calendar year shall, in lieu of the rates of tax in effect for preceding calendar periods, be used in determining the amounts allowable as a credit under this section for all preceding calendar periods.”.

(e) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 2511 is amended by striking subsection (c).

(f) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—Except as otherwise provided in this section, the amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying, generation-skipping transfers, and gifts made, after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 303. APPLICABLE EXCLUSION AMOUNT INCREASED BY UNUSED EXCLUSION AMOUNT OF DECEASED SPOUSE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 2010(c), as amended by section 302(a), is amended by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following new paragraphs:

“(2) **APPLICABLE EXCLUSION AMOUNT.**—For purposes of this subsection, the applicable exclusion amount is the sum of—

“(A) the basic exclusion amount, and

“(B) in the case of a surviving spouse, the deceased spousal unused exclusion amount.

“(3) **BASIC EXCLUSION AMOUNT.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of this subsection, the basic exclusion amount is \$3,500,000.

“(B) **INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.**—In the case of any decedent dying in a calendar year

after 2010, the dollar amount in subparagraph (A) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

“(i) such dollar amount, multiplied by
“(ii) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for such calendar year by substituting ‘calendar year 2009’ for ‘calendar year 1992’ in subparagraph (B) thereof.

If any amount as adjusted under the preceding sentence is not a multiple of \$10,000, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10,000.

“(4) DECEASED SPOUSAL UNUSED EXCLUSION AMOUNT.—For purposes of this subsection, with respect to a surviving spouse of a deceased spouse dying after December 31, 2010, the term ‘deceased spousal unused exclusion amount’ means the lesser of—

“(A) the basic exclusion amount, or
“(B) the excess of—

“(i) the basic exclusion amount of the last such deceased spouse of such surviving spouse, over

“(ii) the amount with respect to which the tentative tax is determined under section 2001(b)(1) on the estate of such deceased spouse.

“(5) SPECIAL RULES.—

“(A) ELECTION REQUIRED.—A deceased spousal unused exclusion amount may not be taken into account by a surviving spouse under paragraph (2) unless the executor of the estate of the deceased spouse files an estate tax return on which such amount is computed and makes an election on such return that such amount may be so taken into account. Such election, once made, shall be irrevocable. No election may be made under this subparagraph if such return is filed after the time prescribed by law (including extensions) for filing such return.

“(B) EXAMINATION OF PRIOR RETURNS AFTER EXPIRATION OF PERIOD OF LIMITATIONS WITH RESPECT TO DECEASED SPOUSAL UNUSED EXCLUSION AMOUNT.—Notwithstanding any period of limitation in section 6501, after the time has expired under section 6501 within which a tax may be assessed under chapter 11 or 12 with respect to a deceased spousal unused exclusion amount, the Secretary may examine a return of the deceased spouse to make determinations with respect to such amount for purposes of carrying out this subsection.

“(6) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall prescribe such regulations as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out this subsection.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Paragraph (1) of section 2505(a), as amended by section 302(b)(1), is amended to read as follows:

“(1) the applicable credit amount in effect under section 2010(c) which would apply if the donor died as of the end of the calendar year, reduced by”.

(2) Section 2631(c) is amended by striking “the applicable exclusion amount” and inserting “the basic exclusion amount”.

(3) Section 6018(a)(1) is amended by striking “applicable exclusion amount” and inserting “basic exclusion amount”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying and gifts made after December 31, 2010.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT RELATING TO GENERATION-SKIPPING TRANSFERS.—The amendment made by subsection (b)(2) shall apply to generation-skipping transfers after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 304. APPLICATION OF EGTRRA SUNSET TO THIS TITLE.

Section 901 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 shall apply to the amendments made by this title.

SA 4788. Mr. NELSON of Florida submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 51, between lines 11 and 12, insert the following:

SEC. 712. CREDIT FOR ELECTRICITY PRODUCED AT CERTAIN OPEN-LOOP BIOMASS FACILITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (ii) of section 45(b)(4)(B) is amended—

(1) by striking “5-year period” and inserting “7-year period”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following: “In the case of the next-to-last year of the 7-year period described in the preceding sentence, the credit determined under subsection (a) with respect to electricity produced during such year shall not exceed 80 percent of such credit determined without regard to this sentence. In the case of the last year of such 7-year period, the credit determined under subsection (a) with respect to electricity produced during such year shall not exceed 60 percent of such credit determined without regard to this sentence.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to electricity produced and sold after December 31, 2009.

SA 4789. Mr. DORGAN (for himself and Mr. MERKLEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 51, between lines 11 and 12, insert:

SEC. 712. ALTERNATIVE MOTOR VEHICLE CREDIT FOR NEW QUALIFIED HYBRID MOTOR VEHICLES OTHER THAN PASSENGER AUTOMOBILES AND LIGHT TRUCKS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (3) of section 30B(k) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property purchased after December 31, 2009.

SA 4790. Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for herself, and Mrs. SHAHEEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the In-

ternal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

Beginning on page 41, line 16, strike all through page 43, line 13, and insert:

SEC. 708. EXTENSION OF PROVISIONS RELATED TO ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.

(a) EXTENSION OF INCOME TAX CREDIT FOR ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 40(e) is amended—

(A) by striking “December 31, 2010” in subparagraph (A) and inserting “December 31, 2011”, and

(B) by striking “January 1, 2011” in subparagraph (B) and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(2) REDUCED AMOUNT FOR ETHANOL BLENDERS.—Subsection (h) of section 40 is amended—

(A) by striking “2010” in paragraph (1) and inserting “2011”, and

(B) by striking the period at the end of the table contained in paragraph (2) and adding the following new item:

“2011	36 cents	26.66 cents.”.
-------------	----------	----------------

(3) REDUCED RATE FOR SMALL ETHANOL PRODUCERS.—Section 40(b)(4)(A) is amended by striking “10 cents” and inserting “8 cents”.

(4) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the amendments made by this subsection shall apply to periods after December 31, 2010.

(B) RATE FOR SMALL ETHANOL PRODUCERS.—The amendment made by paragraph (3) shall apply to the sale or use of alcohol after December 31, 2010.

(b) EXTENSION OF EXCISE TAX CREDIT FOR ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (6) of section 6426(b) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) REDUCED APPLICABLE AMOUNT FOR ETHANOL.—Subparagraph (A) of section 6426(b)(2) is amended—

(A) by striking “and” at the end of clause (i).

(B) in clause (ii)—

(i) by inserting “and before 2011” after “after 2008”, and

(ii) by striking the period and inserting “, and”, and

(C) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(iii) in the case of calendar years beginning after 2010, 36 cents.”.

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to periods after December 31, 2010.

(c) EXTENSION OF PAYMENT FOR ALCOHOL FUEL MIXTURE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (A) of section 6427(e)(6) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to sales and uses after December 31, 2010.

(d) EXTENSION OF ADDITIONAL DUTIES ON ETHANOL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Headings 9901.00.50 and 9901.00.52 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States are each amended in the effective period column by striking “1/1/2011” and inserting “1/1/2012”.

(2) **ETHANOL TAX PARITY.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and semiannually thereafter, the President shall reduce the temporary duty imposed on ethanol under subheading 9901.00.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States by an amount equal to the reduction in any Federal income or excise tax credit under section 40(h), 6426(b), or 6427(e)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and take any other action necessary to ensure that the combined temporary duty imposed on ethanol under such subheading 9901.00.50 and any other duty imposed under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States is equal to, or lower than, any Federal income or excise tax credit applicable to ethanol under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(3) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall take effect on January 1, 2011.

SEC. 708A. EXPANSION OF QUALIFYING ADVANCED ENERGY PROJECT CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 48C(d)(1)(B) is amended by striking “\$2,300,000,000” and inserting “\$3,300,000,000”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to allocations for applications submitted after December 31, 2010.

SA 4791. Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for herself and Mrs. SHAHEEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

Beginning on page 41, line 16, strike all through page 43, line 13, and insert:

SEC. 708. EXTENSION OF PROVISIONS RELATED TO ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.

(a) **EXTENSION OF INCOME TAX CREDIT FOR ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (1) of section 40(e) is amended—

(A) by striking “December 31, 2010” in subparagraph (A) and inserting “December 31, 2011”, and

(B) by striking “January 1, 2011” in subparagraph (B) and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(2) **REDUCED AMOUNT FOR ETHANOL BLENDERS.**—Subsection (h) of section 40 is amended—

(A) by striking “2010” in paragraph (1) and inserting “2011”, and

(B) by striking the period at the end of the table contained in paragraph (2) and adding the following new item:

“2011	36 cents	26.66 cents.”.
-------------	----------	----------------

(3) **REDUCED RATE FOR SMALL ETHANOL PRODUCERS.**—Section 40(b)(4)(A) is amended by striking “10 cents” and inserting “8 cents”.

(4) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the amendments made by this subsection shall apply to periods after December 31, 2010.

(B) **RATE FOR SMALL ETHANOL PRODUCERS.**—The amendment made by paragraph (3) shall apply to the sale or use of alcohol after December 31, 2010.

(b) **EXTENSION OF EXCISE TAX CREDIT FOR ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (6) of section 6426(b) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) **REDUCED APPLICABLE AMOUNT FOR ETHANOL.**—Subparagraph (A) of section 6426(b)(2) is amended—

(A) by striking “and” at the end of clause (i),

(B) in clause (ii)—

(i) by inserting “and before 2011” after “after 2008”, and

(ii) by striking the period and inserting “, and”, and

(C) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(iii) in the case of calendar years beginning after 2010, 36 cents.”.

(3) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to periods after December 31, 2010.

(c) **EXTENSION OF PAYMENT FOR ALCOHOL FUEL MIXTURE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (A) of section 6427(e)(6) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to sales and uses after December 31, 2010.

(d) **EXTENSION OF ADDITIONAL DUTIES ON ETHANOL.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Headings 9901.00.50 and 9901.00.52 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States are each amended in the effective period column by striking “1/1/2011” and inserting “1/1/2012”.

(2) **ETHANOL TAX PARITY.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and semiannually thereafter, the President shall reduce the temporary duty imposed on ethanol under subheading 9901.00.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States by an amount equal to the reduction in any Federal income or excise tax credit under section 40(h), 6426(b), or 6427(e)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and take any other action necessary to ensure that the combined temporary duty imposed on ethanol under such subheading 9901.00.50 and any other duty imposed under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States is equal to, or lower than, any Federal income or excise tax credit applicable to ethanol under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(3) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall take effect on January 1, 2011.

SEC. 708A. EXPANSION OF QUALIFYING ADVANCED ENERGY PROJECT CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 48C(d)(1)(B) is amended by inserting “plus the amount of revenues resulting from 50 percent of the reductions in various rates made by the amendments contained in section 708 of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010” after “\$2,300,000,000”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to allocations for applications submitted after December 31, 2010.

SA 4792. Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for herself and Mrs. SHAHEEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority

of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

Beginning on page 41, line 16, strike all through page 43, line 13, and insert:

SEC. 708. EXTENSION OF PROVISIONS RELATED TO ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.

(a) **EXTENSION OF INCOME TAX CREDIT FOR ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (1) of section 40(e) is amended—

(A) by striking “December 31, 2010” in subparagraph (A) and inserting “December 31, 2011”, and

(B) by striking “January 1, 2011” in subparagraph (B) and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(2) **REDUCED AMOUNT FOR ETHANOL BLENDERS.**—Subsection (h) of section 40 is amended—

(A) by striking “2010” in paragraph (1) and inserting “2011”, and

(B) by striking the period at the end of the table contained in paragraph (2) and adding the following new item:

“2011	36 cents	26.66 cents.”.
-------------	----------	----------------

(3) **REDUCED RATE FOR SMALL ETHANOL PRODUCERS.**—Section 40(b)(4)(A) is amended by striking “10 cents” and inserting “8 cents”.

(4) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the amendments made by this subsection shall apply to periods after December 31, 2010.

(B) **RATE FOR SMALL ETHANOL PRODUCERS.**—The amendment made by paragraph (3) shall apply to the sale or use of alcohol after December 31, 2010.

(b) **EXTENSION OF EXCISE TAX CREDIT FOR ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (6) of section 6426(b) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) **REDUCED APPLICABLE AMOUNT FOR ETHANOL.**—Subparagraph (A) of section 6426(b)(2) is amended—

(A) by striking “and” at the end of clause (i),

(B) in clause (ii)—

(i) by inserting “and before 2011” after “after 2008”, and

(ii) by striking the period and inserting “, and”, and

(C) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(iii) in the case of calendar years beginning after 2010, 36 cents.”.

(3) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to periods after December 31, 2010.

(c) **EXTENSION OF PAYMENT FOR ALCOHOL FUEL MIXTURE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (A) of section 6427(e)(6) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to sales and uses after December 31, 2010.

(d) **EXTENSION OF ADDITIONAL DUTIES ON ETHANOL.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Headings 9901.00.50 and 9901.00.52 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States are each amended in the effective period column by striking “1/1/2011” and inserting “1/1/2012”.

(2) **ETHANOL TAX PARITY.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this

Act, and semiannually thereafter, the President shall reduce the temporary duty imposed on ethanol under subheading 9901.00.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States by an amount equal to the reduction in any Federal income or excise tax credit under section 40(h), 6426(b), or 6427(e)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and take any other action necessary to ensure that the combined temporary duty imposed on ethanol under such subheading 9901.00.50 and any other duty imposed under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States is equal to, or lower than, any Federal income or excise tax credit applicable to ethanol under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(3) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall take effect on January 1, 2011.

SA 4793. Mr. SANDERS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

Strike all after the first word and insert the following:

1. SHORT TITLE; ETC.

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This Act may be cited as the “Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010”.

(b) **AMENDMENT OF 1986 CODE.**—Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this Act an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(c) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; etc.

TITLE I—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF TAX RELIEF

Sec. 101. Temporary extension of 2001 tax relief.

Sec. 102. Temporary extension of 2003 tax relief.

Sec. 103. Temporary extension of 2009 tax relief.

TITLE II—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INDIVIDUAL AMT RELIEF

Sec. 201. Temporary extension of increased alternative minimum tax exemption amount.

Sec. 202. Temporary extension of alternative minimum tax relief for non-refundable personal credits.

TITLE III—RESPONSIBLE ESTATE TAX REFORM

Sec. 301. Short title.

Sec. 302. Reinstatement of estate tax; repeal of carryover basis.

Sec. 303. Modification of rates and maintenance of unified credit against the estate tax.

Sec. 304. Modification of rules for value of certain farm, etc., real property.

Sec. 305. Modification of estate tax rules with respect to land subject to conservation easements.

Sec. 306. Consistent basis reporting between estate and person acquiring property from decedent.

Sec. 307. Valuation rules for certain transfers of nonbusiness assets; limitation on minority discounts.

Sec. 308. Required minimum 10-year term, etc., for grantor retained annuity trusts.

TITLE IV—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INVESTMENT INCENTIVES

Sec. 401. Temporary extension of increased small business expensing.

TITLE V—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND RELATED MATTERS

Sec. 501. Temporary extension of unemployment insurance provisions.

Sec. 502. Temporary modification of indicators under the extended benefit program.

Sec. 503. Technical amendment relating to collection of unemployment compensation debts.

Sec. 504. Technical correction relating to repeal of continued dumping and subsidy offset.

Sec. 505. Additional extended unemployment benefits under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act.

TITLE VI—EXTENSION OF MAKING WORK PAY CREDIT

Sec. 601. Making work pay credit.

TITLE VII—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF CERTAIN EXPIRING PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Energy

Sec. 701. Incentives for biodiesel and renewable diesel.

Sec. 702. New energy efficient home credit.

Sec. 703. Special rule for sales or dispositions to implement FERC or State electric restructuring policy for qualified electric utilities.

Sec. 704. Extension of grants for specified energy property in lieu of tax credits.

Sec. 705. Extension of provisions related to alcohol used as fuel.

Sec. 706. Energy efficient appliance credit.

Sec. 707. Credit for nonbusiness energy property.

Sec. 708. Alternative fuel vehicle refueling property.

Subtitle B—Individual Tax Relief

Sec. 721. Deduction for certain expenses of elementary and secondary school teachers.

Sec. 722. Deduction of State and local sales taxes.

Sec. 723. Contributions of capital gain real property made for conservation purposes.

Sec. 724. Above-the-line deduction for qualified tuition and related expenses.

Sec. 725. Tax-free distributions from individual retirement plans for charitable purposes.

Sec. 726. Parity for exclusion from income for employer-provided mass transit and parking benefits.

Sec. 727. Refunds disregarded in the administration of Federal programs and federally assisted programs.

Subtitle C—Business Tax Relief

Sec. 731. Research credit.

Sec. 732. Indian employment tax credit.

Sec. 733. New markets tax credit.

Sec. 734. Railroad track maintenance credit.

Sec. 735. Mine rescue team training credit.

Sec. 736. Employer wage credit for employees who are active duty members of the uniformed services.

Sec. 737. Accelerated depreciation for business property on an Indian reservation.

Sec. 738. Enhanced charitable deduction for contributions of food inventory.

Sec. 739. Enhanced charitable deduction for contributions of book inventories to public schools.

Sec. 740. Enhanced charitable deduction for corporate contributions of computer inventory for educational purposes.

Sec. 741. Election to expense mine safety equipment.

Sec. 742. Expensing of environmental remediation costs.

Sec. 743. Modification of tax treatment of certain payments to controlling exempt organizations.

Sec. 744. Treatment of certain dividends of regulated investment companies.

Sec. 745. RIC qualified investment entity treatment under FIRPTA.

Sec. 746. Exceptions for active financing income.

Sec. 747. Look-thru treatment of payments between related controlled foreign corporations under foreign personal holding company rules.

Sec. 748. Basis adjustment to stock of S corps making charitable contributions of property.

Sec. 749. Empowerment zone tax incentives.

Sec. 750. Tax incentives for investment in the District of Columbia.

Sec. 751. Work opportunity credit.

Sec. 752. Qualified zone academy bonds.

Sec. 753. Mortgage insurance premiums.

Sec. 754. Temporary exclusion of 100 percent of gain on certain small business stock.

Subtitle D—Temporary Disaster Relief Provisions

SUBPART A—NEW YORK LIBERTY ZONE

Sec. 761. Tax-exempt bond financing.

SUBPART B—GO ZONE

Sec. 762. Increase in rehabilitation credit.

Sec. 763. Low-income housing credit rules for buildings in GO zones.

Sec. 764. Tax-exempt bond financing.

Sec. 765. Bonus depreciation deduction applicable to the GO Zone.

TITLE VIII—SENIOR CITIZENS RELIEF

Sec. 801. Short title.

Sec. 802. Extension and modification of certain economic recovery payments.

TITLE IX—INFRASTRUCTURE, ENERGY, AND WATER PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—TIGER Discretionary Grants

Sec. 901. TIGER discretionary grants.

Subtitle B—National Infrastructure Bank

Sec. 911. Findings.

Sec. 912. Definitions.

Sec. 913. Establishment of national infrastructure development bank.

Sec. 914. Board of directors.

Sec. 915. Executive committee.

Sec. 916. Risk management committee.

Sec. 917. Audit Committee.

Sec. 918. Personnel.

Sec. 919. Eligibility criteria for assistance from Bank.

Sec. 920. Exemption from local taxation.

Sec. 921. Status and applicability of certain Federal laws; full faith and credit.

Sec. 922. Compliance with Davis-Bacon Act.
 Sec. 923. Applicability of certain State laws.
 Sec. 924. Audits; reports to President and Congress.
 Sec. 925. Capitalization of bank.
 Sec. 926. Sunset.

Subtitle C—Energy and Water Programs

Sec. 931. Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program.
 Sec. 932. State water pollution control revolving funds.
 Sec. 933. State drinking water revolving loan funds.
 Sec. 934. State energy conservation plans.
 Sec. 935. Temporary program for rapid deployment of renewable energy and electric power transmission projects.
 Sec. 936. Extension of qualifying advanced energy project credit.
 Sec. 937. Land and Water Conservation Fund.
 Sec. 938. Flood control projects.

Subtitle D—Housing Programs

Sec. 941. National Housing Trust Fund.
 Sec. 942. Green retrofit program.

TITLE X—BUDGETARY PROVISIONS

Sec. 1001. Determination of budgetary effects.
 Sec. 1002. Emergency designations.

TITLE I—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF TAX RELIEF

SEC. 101. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2001 TAX RELIEF.

(a) TEMPORARY EXTENSION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 901 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” both places it appears and inserting “December 31, 2012”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001.

(b) APPLICATION TO TAXPAYERS WITH INCOME OF \$250,000 OR MORE.—

(1) INCOME TAX RATES.—

(A) 25- AND 28- PERCENT RATE BRACKETS MADE PERMANENT.—Paragraph (2) of section 1(i) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) 25- AND 28- PERCENT RATE BRACKETS.—The tables under subsections (a), (b), (c), (d), and (e) shall be applied—

“(A) by substituting ‘25%’ for ‘28%’ each place it appears (before the application of subparagraph (B)), and

“(B) by substituting ‘28%’ for ‘31%’ each place it appears.”.

(B) 33-PERCENT RATE BRACKET.—Subsection (i) of section 1 is amended by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4) and by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph:

“(3) 33-PERCENT RATE BRACKET.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010—

“(i) the rate of tax under subsections (a), (b), (c), and (d) on a taxpayer’s taxable income in the fourth rate bracket shall be 33 percent to the extent such income does not exceed an amount equal to the excess of—

“(I) the applicable amount, over

“(II) the dollar amount at which such bracket begins, and

“(ii) the 36 percent rate of tax under such subsections shall apply only to the taxpayer’s taxable income in such bracket in excess of the amount to which clause (i) applies.

“(B) APPLICABLE AMOUNT.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘applicable amount’ means the excess of—

“(i) the applicable threshold, over

“(ii) the sum of the following amounts in effect for the taxable year:

“(I) the basic standard deduction (within the meaning of section 63(c)(2)), and

“(II) the exemption amount (within the meaning of section 151(d)(1) (or, in the case of subsection (a), 2 such exemption amounts)).

“(C) APPLICABLE THRESHOLD.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘applicable threshold’ means—

“(i) \$250,000 in the case of subsection (a),

“(ii) \$200,000 in the case of subsections (b) and (c), and

“(iii) ½ the amount applicable under clause (i) (after adjustment, if any, under subparagraph (E)) in the case of subsection (d).

“(D) FOURTH RATE BRACKET.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘fourth rate bracket’ means the bracket which would (determined without regard to this paragraph) be the 36-percent rate bracket.

“(E) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—For purposes of this paragraph, a rule similar to the rule of paragraph (1)(C) shall apply with respect to taxable years beginning in calendar years after 2010, applied by substituting ‘2008’ for ‘1992’ in subsection (f)(3)(B).”.

(2) PHASEOUT OF PERSONAL EXEMPTIONS AND ITEMIZED DEDUCTIONS.—

(A) OVERALL LIMITATION ON ITEMIZED DEDUCTIONS.—Section 68 is amended—

(i) by striking “the applicable amount” the first place it appears in subsection (a) and inserting “the applicable threshold in effect under section 1(i)(3)”;

(ii) by striking “the applicable amount” in subsection (a)(1) and inserting “such applicable threshold”;

(iii) by striking subsection (b) and redesignating subsections (c), (d), and (e) as subsections (b), (c), and (d), respectively, and

(iv) by striking subsections (f) and (g).

(B) PHASEOUT OF DEDUCTIONS FOR PERSONAL EXEMPTIONS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (3) of section 151(d) is amended—

(I) by striking “the threshold amount” in subparagraphs (A) and (B) and inserting “the applicable threshold in effect under section 1(i)(3)”;

(II) by striking subparagraph (C) and redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (C), and

(III) by striking subparagraphs (E) and (F).

(ii) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Paragraph (4) of section 151(d) is amended—

(I) by striking subparagraph (B),

(II) by redesignating clauses (i) and (ii) of subparagraph (A) as subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively, and by indenting such subparagraphs (as so redesignated) accordingly, and

(III) by striking all that precedes “in a calendar year after 1989,” and inserting the following:

“(4) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—In the case of any taxable year beginning”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Except as otherwise provided, the amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 102. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2003 TAX RELIEF.

(a) EXTENSION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 303 of the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003 is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2012”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Jobs and

Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003.

(b) 20-PERCENT CAPITAL GAINS RATE FOR CERTAIN HIGH INCOME INDIVIDUALS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 1(h) is amended by striking subparagraph (C), by redesignating subparagraphs (D) and (E) as subparagraphs (E) and (F) and by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) 15 percent of the lesser of—

“(i) so much of the adjusted net capital gain (or, if less, taxable income) as exceeds the amount on which a tax is determined under subparagraph (B), or

“(ii) the excess (if any) of—

“(I) the amount of taxable income which would (without regard to this paragraph) be taxed at a rate below 36 percent, over

“(II) the sum of the amounts on which a tax is determined under subparagraphs (A) and (B),

“(D) 20 percent of the adjusted net capital gain (or, if less, taxable income) in excess of the sum of the amounts on which tax is determined under subparagraphs (B) and (C).”.

(2) DIVIDENDS.—Subparagraph (A) of section 1(h)(11) is amended by striking “qualified dividend income” and inserting “so much of the qualified dividend income as does not exceed the excess (if any) of—

“(i) the amount of taxable income which would (without regard to this subsection) be taxed at a rate below 36 percent, over

“(ii) taxable income reduced by qualified dividend income.”.

(3) MINIMUM TAX.—Paragraph (3) of section 55(b) is amended by striking subparagraph (C), by redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (E), and by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) 15 percent of the lesser of—

“(i) so much of the adjusted net capital gain (or, if less, taxable excess) as exceeds the amount on which tax is determined under subparagraph (B), or

“(ii) the excess described in section 1(h)(1)(C)(ii), plus

“(D) 20 percent of the adjusted net capital gain (or, if less, taxable excess) in excess of the sum of the amounts on which tax is determined under subparagraphs (B) and (C), plus”.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The following provisions are each amended by striking “15 percent” and inserting “20 percent”:

(A) Section 1445(e)(1).

(B) The second sentence of section 7518(g)(6)(A).

(C) Section 5351(f)(2) of title 46, United States Code.

(2) Sections 531 and 541 are each amended by striking “15 percent of” and inserting “the produce of the highest rate of tax under section 1(c) and”.

(3) Sections 1(h)(1)(B) and 55(b)(3)(B) are each amended by striking “5 percent (0 percent in the case of taxable years beginning after 2007)” and inserting “0 percent”.

(4) Section 1445(e)(6) is amended by striking “15 percent (20 percent in the case of taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010)” and inserting “20 percent”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in otherwise provided, the amendments made by subsections (b) and (c) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

(2) WITHHOLDING.—The amendments made by paragraphs (1)(C) and (3) of subsection (c) shall apply to amounts paid on or after January 1, 2011.

SEC. 103. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2009 TAX RELIEF.

(a) AMERICAN OPPORTUNITY TAX CREDIT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 25A(i) is amended by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, 2011, or 2012”.

(2) TREATMENT OF POSSESSIONS.—Section 1004(c)(1) of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009 is amended by striking “and 2010” each place it appears and inserting “, 2010, 2011, and 2012”.

(b) CHILD TAX CREDIT.—Section 24(d)(4) is amended—

(1) by striking “2009 AND 2010” in the heading and inserting “2009, 2010, 2011, AND 2012”, and

(2) by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, 2011, or 2012”.

(c) EARNED INCOME TAX CREDIT.—Section 32(b)(3) is amended—

(1) by striking “2009 AND 2010” in the heading and inserting “2009, 2010, 2011, AND 2012”, and

(2) by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, 2011, or 2012”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

TITLE II—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INDIVIDUAL AMT RELIEF**SEC. 201. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INCREASED ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX EXEMPTION AMOUNT.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 55(d) is amended—

(1) by striking “\$70,950” and all that follows through “2009” in subparagraph (A) and inserting “\$72,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2010 and \$74,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2011”, and

(2) by striking “\$46,700” and all that follows through “2009” in subparagraph (B) and inserting “\$47,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2010 and \$48,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

(c) REPEAL OF EGTRRA SUNSET.—Title IX of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 (relating to sunset of provisions of such Act) shall not apply to title VII of such Act (relating to alternative minimum tax).

SEC. 202. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX RELIEF FOR NONREFUNDABLE PERSONAL CREDITS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 26(a) is amended—

(1) by striking “or 2009” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”, and

(2) by striking “2009” in the heading thereof and inserting “2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

TITLE III—RESPONSIBLE ESTATE TAX REFORM**SEC. 301. SHORT TITLE.**

This title may be cited as the “Responsible Estate Tax Act”.

SEC. 302. REINSTATEMENT OF ESTATE TAX; REPEAL OF CARRYOVER BASIS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Each provision of law amended by subtitle A or E of title V of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 is amended to read as such provision would read if such subtitle had never been enacted.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—On and after January 1, 2011, paragraph (1) of section 2505(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended to read as such paragraph would

read if section 521(b)(2) of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 had never been enacted.

(c) SPECIAL ELECTION WITH RESPECT TO ESTATES OF DECEDENTS DYING IN 2010.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), in the case of an estate of a decedent dying after December 31, 2009, and before January 1, 2011, the executor (within the meaning of section 2203 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) may elect to apply such Code as though the amendments made by subsection (a) do not apply with respect to chapter 11 of such Code and with respect to property acquired or passing from such decedent (within the meaning of section 1014(b) of such Code). Such election shall be made at such time and in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary's delegate shall provide. Such an election once made shall be revocable only with the consent of the Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary's delegate. For purposes of section 2652(a)(1) of such Code, the determination of whether any property is subject to the tax imposed by such chapter 11 shall be made without regard to any election made under this subsection.

(d) EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PERFORMING CERTAIN ACTS.—

(1) ESTATE TAX.—In the case of the estate of a decedent dying after December 31, 2009, and before the date of the enactment of this Act, the due date for—

(A) filing any return under section 6018 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (including any election required to be made on such a return) as such section is in effect after the date of the enactment of this Act without regard to any election under subsection (c),

(B) making any payment of tax under chapter 11 of such Code, and

(C) making any disclaimer described in section 2518(b) of such Code of an interest in property passing by reason of the death of such decedent,

shall not be earlier than the date which is 9 months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) GENERATION-SKIPPING TAX.—In the case of any generation-skipping transfer made after December 31, 2009, and before the date of the enactment of this Act, the due date for filing any return under section 2662 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (including any election required to be made on such a return) shall not be earlier than the date which is 9 months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Except as otherwise provided in this section, the amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying, and transfers made, after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 303. MODIFICATION OF RATES AND MAINTENANCE OF UNIFIED CREDIT AGAINST THE ESTATE TAX.

(a) MODIFICATION OF RATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The table in paragraph (1) of section 2001(c) is amended by striking the last 6 rows and inserting the following:

“Over	\$248,300 plus 39 percent of
\$750,000	the excess of such amount
but not	over \$750,000.
over	
\$3,500,000.	
Over	\$1,320,800 plus 45 percent of
\$3,500,000	the excess of such amount
but not	over \$3,500,000.
over	
\$10,000,000.	

Over \$4,245,800 plus 50 percent of the excess of such amount over \$10,000,000.

over \$50,000,000.

Over \$24,245,800 plus 55 percent of the excess of such amount over \$50,000,000”.

(2) SURTAX ON WEALTHY ESTATES.—Paragraph (2) of section 2001(c) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) SURTAX ON ESTATES OVER \$500,000,000.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), if the amount with respect to which the tentative tax to be computed is over \$500,000,000, the rate of tax otherwise in effect under this subsection with respect to the amount in excess of \$500,000,000 shall be increased by 10 percentage points.”.

(b) EXTENSION OF 2009 APPLICABLE CREDIT AMOUNT.—The table in subsection (c) of section 2010 (relating to applicable credit amount) is amended by inserting “and thereafter” after “2009”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying, and gifts made, after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 304. MODIFICATION OF RULES FOR VALUE OF CERTAIN FARM, ETC., REAL PROPERTY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 2032A(a) is amended by striking “\$750,000” and inserting “\$3,000,000”.

(b) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—Paragraph (3) of section 2032A(a) is amended—

(1) by striking “1998” and inserting “2009”,

(2) by striking “\$750,000” and inserting “\$3,000,000” in subparagraph (A), and

(3) by striking “calendar year 1997” and inserting “calendar year 2008” in subparagraph (B).

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying, and gifts made, after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 305. MODIFICATION OF ESTATE TAX RULES WITH RESPECT TO LAND SUBJECT TO CONSERVATION EASEMENTS.

(a) MODIFICATION OF EXCLUSION LIMITATION.—The table in paragraph (3) of section 2031(c) is amended—

(1) by striking “or thereafter” in the last row and inserting “through 2009”, and

(2) by adding at the end the following row:

“2010 and thereafter \$2,000,000”.

(b) MODIFICATION OF APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE.—Paragraph (2) of section 2031(c) is amended by striking “40 percent” and inserting “60 percent”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 306. CONSISTENT BASIS REPORTING BETWEEN ESTATE AND PERSON ACQUIRING PROPERTY FROM DECEDENT.

(a) CONSISTENT USE OF BASIS.—

(1) PROPERTY ACQUIRED FROM A DECEDENT.—Section 1014 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) BASIS MUST BE CONSISTENT WITH ESTATE TAX VALUE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this section, the value used to determine the basis of any interest in property in the hands of the person acquiring such property shall not exceed the value of such interest as finally determined for purposes of chapter 11.

“(2) SPECIAL RULE WHERE NO FINAL DETERMINATION.—In any case in which the value of property has not been finally determined under chapter 11 and there has been a statement furnished under section 6035(a), the

value used to determine the basis of any interest in property in the hands of the person acquiring such property shall not exceed the amount reported on the statement furnished under section 6035(a).

“(3) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary may by regulations provide exceptions to the application of this subsection.”.

(2) PROPERTY ACQUIRED BY GIFTS AND TRANSFERS IN TRUST.—Section 1015 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) BASIS MUST BE CONSISTENT WITH GIFT TAX VALUE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this section, the fair market value of any interest in property at the time of the gift of that interest shall not exceed the value of such interest as finally determined for purposes of chapter 12.

“(2) SPECIAL RULE WHERE NO FINAL DETERMINATION.—In any case in which the value of property has not been finally determined under chapter 12 and there has been a statement furnished under section 6035(b), the fair market value of any interest in property at the time of the gift of that interest shall not exceed the amount reported on the statement furnished under section 6035(b).

“(3) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary may by regulations provide exceptions to the application of this subsection.”.

(b) INFORMATION REPORTING.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subpart A of part III of subchapter A of chapter 61 is amended by inserting after section 6034A the following new section:

“SEC. 6035. BASIS INFORMATION TO PERSONS ACQUIRING PROPERTY FROM DECEDENT OR BY GIFT.

“(a) INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO PROPERTY ACQUIRED FROM DECEDENTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The executor of any estate required to file a return under section 6018(a) shall furnish to the Secretary and to each person acquiring any interest in property included in the decedent's gross estate for Federal estate tax purposes a statement identifying the value of each interest in such property as reported on such return and such other information with respect to such interest as the Secretary may prescribe.

“(2) STATEMENTS BY BENEFICIARIES.—Each person required to file a return under section 6018(b) shall furnish to the Secretary and to each other person who holds a legal or beneficial interest in the property to which such return relates a statement identifying the information described in paragraph (1).

“(3) TIME FOR FURNISHING STATEMENT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Each statement required to be furnished under paragraph (1) or (2) shall be furnished at such time as the Secretary may prescribe, but in no case at a time later than the earlier of—

“(i) the date which is 30 days after the date on which the return under section 6018 was required to be filed (including extensions, if any), or

“(ii) the date which is 30 days after the date such return is filed.

“(B) ADJUSTMENTS.—In any case in which there is an adjustment to the information required to be included on a statement filed under paragraph (1) or (2) after such statement has been filed, a supplemental statement under such paragraph shall be filed not later than the date which is 30 days after such adjustment is made.

“(b) INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO PROPERTY ACQUIRED BY GIFT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Each person making a transfer by gift who is required to file a return under section 6019 with respect to such

transfer shall furnish to the Secretary and to each person acquiring any interest in property by reason of such transfer a statement identifying the fair market value of each interest in such property as reported on such return and such other information with respect to such interest as the Secretary may prescribe.

“(2) TIME FOR FURNISHING STATEMENT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Each statement required to be furnished under paragraph (1) shall be furnished at such time as the Secretary may prescribe, but in no case at a time later than the earlier of—

“(i) the date which is 30 days after the date on which the return under section 6019 was required to be filed (including extensions, if any), or

“(ii) the date which is 30 days after the date such return is filed.

“(B) ADJUSTMENTS.—In any case in which there is an adjustment to the information required to be included on a statement filed under paragraph (1) after such statement has been filed, a supplemental statement under such paragraph shall be filed not later than the date which is 30 days after such adjustment is made.

“(c) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall prescribe such regulations as necessary to carry out this section, including regulations relating to—

“(1) applying this section to property with regard to which no estate or gift tax return is required to be filed, and

“(2) situations in which the surviving joint tenant or other recipient may have better information than the executor regarding the basis or fair market value of the property.”.

(2) PENALTY FOR FAILURE TO FILE.—

(A) RETURN.—Section 6724(d)(1) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (B), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (C) and inserting “, and”, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) any statement required to be filed with the Secretary under section 6035.”.

(B) STATEMENT.—Section 6724(d)(2) is amended by striking “or” at the end of subparagraph (GG), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (HH) and inserting “, or”, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(II) section 6035 (other than a statement described in paragraph (1)(D)).”.

(3) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for subpart A of part III of subchapter A of chapter 61 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 6034A the following new item:

“Sec. 6035. Basis information to persons acquiring property from decedent or by gift.”.

(c) PENALTY FOR INCONSISTENT REPORTING.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (b) of section 6662 is amended by inserting after paragraph (7) the following new paragraph:

“(8) Any inconsistent estate or gift basis.”.

(2) INCONSISTENT BASIS REPORTING.—Section 6662 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(k) INCONSISTENT ESTATE OR GIFT BASIS REPORTING.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘inconsistent estate or gift basis’ means—

“(1) in the case of property acquired from a decedent, a basis determination with respect to such property which is not consistent with the requirements of section 1014(f), and

“(2) in the case of property acquired by gift, a basis determination with respect to

such property which is not consistent with the requirements of section 1015(f).”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to transfers for which returns are filed after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 307. VALUATION RULES FOR CERTAIN TRANSFERS OF NONBUSINESS ASSETS; LIMITATION ON MINORITY DISCOUNTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2031 (relating to definition of gross estate) is amended by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (f) and by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsections:

“(d) VALUATION RULES FOR CERTAIN TRANSFERS OF NONBUSINESS ASSETS.—For purposes of this chapter and chapter 12—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of the transfer of any interest in an entity other than an interest which is actively traded (within the meaning of section 1092)—

“(A) the value of any nonbusiness assets held by the entity with respect to such interest shall be determined as if the transferor had transferred such assets directly to the transferee (and no valuation discount shall be allowed with respect to such nonbusiness assets), and

“(B) such nonbusiness assets shall not be taken into account in determining the value of the interest in the entity.

“(2) NONBUSINESS ASSETS.—For purposes of this subsection—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘nonbusiness asset’ means any asset which is not used in the active conduct of 1 or more trades or businesses.

“(B) TREATMENT OF CERTAIN PASSIVE ASSETS.—Except as provided in subparagraph (C), a passive asset shall not be treated for purposes of subparagraph (A) as used in the active conduct of a trade or business unless—

“(i) the asset is property described in paragraph (1) or (4) of section 1221(a) or is a hedge with respect to such property, or

“(ii) the asset is real property used in the active conduct of 1 or more real property trades or businesses (within the meaning of section 469(c)(7)(C)) in which the transferor materially participates and with respect to which the transferor meets the requirements of section 469(c)(7)(B)(ii).

For purposes of clause (ii), material participation shall be determined under the rules of section 469(h), except that section 469(h)(3) shall be applied without regard to the limitation to farming activity.

“(C) EXCEPTION FOR WORKING CAPITAL.—Any asset (including a passive asset) which is held as a part of the reasonably required working capital needs of a trade or business shall be treated as used in the active conduct of a trade or business.

“(3) PASSIVE ASSET.—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘passive asset’ means any—

“(A) cash or cash equivalents,

“(B) except to the extent provided by the Secretary, stock in a corporation or any other equity, profits, or capital interest in any entity,

“(C) evidence of indebtedness, option, forward or futures contract, notional principal contract, or derivative,

“(D) asset described in clause (iii), (iv), or (v) of section 351(e)(1)(B),

“(E) annuity,

“(F) real property used in 1 or more real property trades or businesses (as defined in section 469(c)(7)(C)),

“(G) asset (other than a patent, trademark, or copyright) which produces royalty income,

“(H) commodity,

“(I) collectible (within the meaning of section 401(m)), or

“(J) any other asset specified in regulations prescribed by the Secretary.

“(4) LOOK-THRU RULES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—If a nonbusiness asset of an entity consists of a 10-percent interest in any other entity, this subsection shall be applied by disregarding the 10-percent interest and by treating the entity as holding directly its ratable share of the assets of the other entity. This subparagraph shall be applied successively to any 10-percent interest of such other entity in any other entity.

“(B) 10-PERCENT INTEREST.—The term ‘10-percent interest’ means—

“(i) in the case of an interest in a corporation, ownership of at least 10 percent (by vote or value) of the stock in such corporation,

“(ii) in the case of an interest in a partnership, ownership of at least 10 percent of the capital or profits interest in the partnership, and

“(iii) in any other case, ownership of at least 10 percent of the beneficial interests in the entity.

“(5) COORDINATION WITH SUBSECTION (b).—Subsection (b) shall apply after the application of this subsection.

“(e) LIMITATION ON MINORITY DISCOUNTS.—For purposes of this chapter and chapter 12, in the case of the transfer of any interest in an entity other than an interest which is actively traded (within the meaning of section 1092), no discount shall be allowed by reason of the fact that the transferee does not have control of such entity if the transferee and members of the family (as defined in section 2032A(e)(2)) of the transferee have control of such entity.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to transfers after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 308. REQUIRED MINIMUM 10-YEAR TERM, ETC., FOR GRANTOR RETAINED ANNUITY TRUSTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (b) of section 2702 is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) as subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C), respectively, and by moving such subparagraphs (as so redesignated) 2 ems to the right;

(2) by striking “For purposes of” and inserting the following:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of”;

(3) by striking “paragraph (1) or (2)” in paragraph (1)(C) (as so redesignated) and inserting “subparagraph (A) or (B)”;

(4) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS WITH RESPECT TO GRANTOR RETAINED ANNUITIES.—For purposes of subsection (a), in the case of an interest described in paragraph (1)(A) (determined without regard to this paragraph) which is retained by the transferor, such interest shall be treated as described in such paragraph only if—

“(A) the right to receive the fixed amounts referred to in such paragraph is for a term of not less than 10 years,

“(B) such fixed amounts, when determined on an annual basis, do not decrease relative to any prior year during the first 10 years of the term referred to in subparagraph (A), and

“(C) the remainder interest has a value greater than zero determined as of the time of the transfer.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to transfers made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

TITLE IV—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INVESTMENT INCENTIVES

SEC. 401. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INCREASED SMALL BUSINESS EXPENSING.

(a) DOLLAR LIMITATION.—Section 179(b)(1) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (B) and by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) \$125,000 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2012, and

“(D) \$25,000 in the case of taxable years beginning after 2012.”.

(b) REDUCTION IN LIMITATION.—Section 179(b)(2) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (B) and by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) \$500,000 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2012, and

“(D) \$200,000 in the case of taxable years beginning after 2012.”.

(c) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—Subsection (b) of section 179 is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any taxable year beginning in calendar year 2012, the \$125,000 and \$500,000 amounts in paragraphs (1)(C) and (2)(C) shall each be increased by an amount equal to—

“(i) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(ii) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for the calendar year in which the taxable year begins, by substituting ‘calendar year 2006’ for ‘calendar year 1992’ in subparagraph (B) thereof.

“(B) ROUNDING.—

“(1) DOLLAR LIMITATION.—If the amount in paragraph (1) as increased under subparagraph (A) is not a multiple of \$1,000, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$1,000.

“(2) PHASEOUT AMOUNT.—If the amount in paragraph (2) as increased under subparagraph (A) is not a multiple of \$10,000, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10,000.”.

(d) COMPUTER SOFTWARE.—Section 179(d)(1)(A)(ii) is amended by striking “2012” and inserting “2013”.

(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 179(c)(2) is amended by striking “2012” and inserting “2013”.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2011.

TITLE V—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND RELATED MATTERS

SEC. 501. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE PROVISIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Section 4007 of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (Public Law 110-252; 26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended—

(A) by striking “November 30, 2010” each place it appears and inserting “January 3, 2012”;

(B) in the heading for subsection (b)(2), by striking “NOVEMBER 30, 2010” and inserting “JANUARY 3, 2012”; and

(C) in subsection (b)(3), by striking “April 30, 2011” and inserting “June 9, 2012”.

(2) Section 2005 of the Assistance for Unemployed Workers and Struggling Families Act, as contained in Public Law 111-5 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note; 123 Stat. 444), is amended—

(A) by striking “December 1, 2010” each place it appears and inserting “January 4, 2012”; and

(B) in subsection (c), by striking “May 1, 2011” and inserting “June 11, 2012”.

(3) Section 5 of the Unemployment Compensation Extension Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-449; 26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended by striking “April 30, 2011” and inserting “June 10, 2012”.

(b) FUNDING.—Section 4004(e)(1) of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (Public Law 110-252; 26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (E), by striking “and” at the end; and

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (F) the following:

“(G) the amendments made by section 501(a)(1) of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010; and”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Unemployment Compensation Extension Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-205).

SEC. 502. TEMPORARY MODIFICATION OF INDICATORS UNDER THE EXTENDED BENEFIT PROGRAM.

(a) INDICATOR.—Section 203(d) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended, in the flush matter following paragraph (2), by inserting after the first sentence the following sentence: “Effective with respect to compensation for weeks of unemployment beginning after the date of enactment of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (or, if later, the date established pursuant to State law), and ending on or before December 31, 2011, the State may by law provide that the determination of whether there has been a state ‘on’ or ‘off’ indicator beginning or ending any extended benefit period shall be made under this subsection as if the word ‘two’ were ‘three’ in subparagraph (1)(A).”.

(b) ALTERNATIVE TRIGGER.—Section 203(f) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph:

“(2) Effective with respect to compensation for weeks of unemployment beginning after the date of enactment of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (or, if later, the date established pursuant to State law), and ending on or before December 31, 2011, the State may by law provide that the determination of whether there has been a state ‘on’ or ‘off’ indicator beginning or ending any extended benefit period shall be made under this subsection as if the word ‘either’ were ‘any’, the word ‘both’ were ‘all’, and the figure ‘2’ were ‘3’ in clause (1)(A)(ii).”.

SEC. 503. TECHNICAL AMENDMENT RELATING TO COLLECTION OF UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION DEBTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6402(f)(3)(C), as amended by section 801 of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010, is amended by striking “is not a covered unemployment compensation debt” and inserting “is a covered unemployment compensation debt”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect as if included in section 801 of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010.

SEC. 504. TECHNICAL CORRECTION RELATING TO REPEAL OF CONTINUED DUMPING AND SUBSIDY OFFSET.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 822(2)(A) of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010 is amended by striking “or” and inserting “and”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect as if included in the provisions of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010.

SEC. 505. ADDITIONAL EXTENDED UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS UNDER THE RAILROAD UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE ACT.

(a) **EXTENSION.**—Section 2(c)(2)(D)(iii) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, as added by section 2006 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–5) and as amended by section 9 of the Worker, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–92), is amended—

(1) by striking “June 30, 2010” and inserting “June 30, 2011”; and

(2) by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **CLARIFICATION ON AUTHORITY TO USE FUNDS.**—Funds appropriated under either the first or second sentence of clause (iv) of section 2(c)(2)(D) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act shall be available to cover the cost of additional extended unemployment benefits provided under such section 2(c)(2)(D) by reason of the amendments made by subsection (a) as well as to cover the cost of such benefits provided under such section 2(c)(2)(D), as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act.

TITLE VI—EXTENSION OF MAKING WORK PAY CREDIT

SEC. 601. MAKING WORK PAY CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 36A(e) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **TREATMENT OF POSSESSIONS.**—Section 1001(b)(1) of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009 is amended by striking “2009 and 2010” both places it appears and inserting “2009, 2010, and 2011”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

TITLE VII—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF CERTAIN EXPIRING PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Energy

SEC. 701. INCENTIVES FOR BIODIESEL AND RENEWABLE DIESEL.

(a) **CREDITS FOR BIODIESEL AND RENEWABLE DIESEL USED AS FUEL.**—Subsection (g) of section 40A is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EXCISE TAX CREDITS AND OUTLAY PAYMENTS FOR BIODIESEL AND RENEWABLE DIESEL FUEL MIXTURES.**—

(1) Paragraph (6) of section 6426(c) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) Subparagraph (B) of section 6427(e)(6) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) **SPECIAL RULE FOR 2010.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in the case of any biodiesel mixture credit properly determined under section 6426(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for periods during 2010, such credit shall be allowed, and any refund or payment attributable to such credit (including any payment under section 6427(e) of such Code) shall be made, only in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary’s delegate) shall provide. Such Secretary shall issue guidance within 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act providing for a one-time submission of claims covering periods during 2010. Such guidance shall provide for a 180-day period for the submission of such claims (in such manner as prescribed by such Secretary) to begin not later than 30 days after such guid-

ance is issued. Such claims shall be paid by such Secretary not later than 60 days after receipt. If such Secretary has not paid pursuant to a claim filed under this subsection within 60 days after the date of the filing of such claim, the claim shall be paid with interest from such date determined by using the overpayment rate and method under section 6621 of such Code.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to fuel sold or used after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 702. NEW ENERGY EFFICIENT HOME CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (g) of section 45L is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to homes acquired after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 703. SPECIAL RULE FOR SALES OR DISPOSITIONS TO IMPLEMENT FERC OR STATE ELECTRIC RESTRUCTURING POLICY FOR QUALIFIED ELECTRIC UTILITIES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (3) of section 451(i) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to dispositions after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 704. EXTENSION OF GRANTS FOR SPECIFIED ENERGY PROPERTY IN LIEU OF TAX CREDITS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (a) of section 1603 of division B of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “2009 or 2010” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”, and

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by striking “after 2010” and inserting “after 2011”, and

(B) by striking “2009 or 2010” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Subsection (j) of section 1603 of division B of such Act is amended by striking “2011” and inserting “2012”.

SEC. 705. EXTENSION OF PROVISIONS RELATED TO ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.

(a) **EXTENSION OF INCOME TAX CREDIT FOR ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (1) of section 40(e) is amended—

(A) by striking “December 31, 2010” in subparagraph (A) and inserting “December 31, 2011”, and

(B) by striking “January 1, 2011” in subparagraph (B) and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(2) **REDUCED AMOUNT FOR ETHANOL BLENDED.**—Subsection (h) of section 40 is amended by striking “2010” both places it appears and inserting “2011”.

(3) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to periods after December 31, 2010.

(b) **EXTENSION OF EXCISE TAX CREDIT FOR ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (6) of section 6426(b) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to periods after December 31, 2010.

(c) **EXTENSION OF PAYMENT FOR ALCOHOL FUEL MIXTURE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (A) of section 6427(e)(6) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to sales and uses after December 31, 2010.

(d) **EXTENSION OF ADDITIONAL DUTIES ON ETHANOL.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Headings 9901.00.50 and 9901.00.52 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States are each amended in the effective period column by striking “1/1/2011” and inserting “1/1/2012”.

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall take effect on January 1, 2011.

SEC. 706. ENERGY EFFICIENT APPLIANCE CREDIT.

(a) **DISHWASHERS.**—Paragraph (1) of section 45M(b) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (A), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (B) and inserting a comma, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) \$25 in the case of a dishwasher which is manufactured in calendar year 2011 and which uses no more than 307 kilowatt hours per year and 5.0 gallons per cycle (5.5 gallons per cycle for dishwashers designed for greater than 12 place settings),

“(D) \$50 in the case of a dishwasher which is manufactured in calendar year 2011 and which uses no more than 295 kilowatt hours per year and 4.25 gallons per cycle (4.75 gallons per cycle for dishwashers designed for greater than 12 place settings), and

“(E) \$75 in the case of a dishwasher which is manufactured in calendar year 2011 and which uses no more than 280 kilowatt hours per year and 4 gallons per cycle (4.5 gallons per cycle for dishwashers designed for greater than 12 place settings).”.

(b) **CLOTHES WASHERS.**—Paragraph (2) of section 45M(b) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (D) and inserting a comma, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) \$175 in the case of a top-loading clothes washer manufactured in calendar year 2011 which meets or exceeds a 2.2 modified energy factor and does not exceed a 4.5 water consumption factor, and

“(F) \$225 in the case of a clothes washer manufactured in calendar year 2011—

“(i) which is a top-loading clothes washer and which meets or exceeds a 2.4 modified energy factor and does not exceed a 4.2 water consumption factor, or

“(ii) which is a front-loading clothes washer and which meets or exceeds a 2.8 modified energy factor and does not exceed a 3.5 water consumption factor.”.

(c) **REFRIGERATORS.**—Paragraph (3) of section 45M(b) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (D) and inserting a comma, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) \$150 in the case of a refrigerator manufactured in calendar year 2011 which consumes at least 30 percent less energy than the 2001 energy conservation standards, and

“(F) \$200 in the case of a refrigerator manufactured in calendar year 2011 which consumes at least 35 percent less energy than the 2001 energy conservation standards.”.

(d) **REBASING OF LIMITATIONS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (1) of section 45M(e) is amended—

(A) by striking “\$75,000,000” and inserting “\$25,000,000”, and

(B) by striking “December 31, 2007” and inserting “December 31, 2010”.

(2) **EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN REFRIGERATORS AND CLOTHES WASHERS.**—Paragraph (2) of section 45M(e) is amended—

(A) by striking “subsection (b)(3)(D)” and inserting “subsection (b)(3)(F)”, and

(B) by striking “subsection (b)(2)(D)” and inserting “subsection (b)(2)(F)”.

(3) GROSS RECEIPTS LIMITATION.—Paragraph (3) of section 45M(e) is amended by striking “2 percent” and inserting “4 percent”.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by subsections (a), (b), and (c) shall apply to appliances produced after December 31, 2010.

(2) LIMITATIONS.—The amendments made by subsection (d) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 707. CREDIT FOR NONBUSINESS ENERGY PROPERTY.

(a) EXTENSION.—Section 25C(g)(2) is amended by striking “2010” and inserting “2011”.

(b) RETURN TO PRE-ARRA LIMITATIONS AND STANDARDS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsections (a) and (b) of section 25C are amended to read as follows:

“(a) ALLOWANCE OF CREDIT.—In the case of an individual, there shall be allowed as a credit against the tax imposed by this chapter for the taxable year an amount equal to the sum of—

“(1) 10 percent of the amount paid or incurred by the taxpayer for qualified energy efficiency improvements installed during such taxable year, and

“(2) the amount of the residential energy property expenditures paid or incurred by the taxpayer during such taxable year.

“(b) LIMITATIONS.—

“(1) LIFETIME LIMITATION.—The credit allowed under this section with respect to any taxpayer for any taxable year shall not exceed the excess (if any) of \$500 over the aggregate credits allowed under this section with respect to such taxpayer for all prior taxable years ending after December 31, 2005.

“(2) WINDOWS.—In the case of amounts paid or incurred for components described in subsection (c)(2)(B) by any taxpayer for any taxable year, the credit allowed under this section with respect to such amounts for such year shall not exceed the excess (if any) of \$200 over the aggregate credits allowed under this section with respect to such amounts for all prior taxable years ending after December 31, 2005.

“(3) LIMITATION ON RESIDENTIAL ENERGY PROPERTY EXPENDITURES.—The amount of the credit allowed under this section by reason of subsection (a)(2) shall not exceed—

“(A) \$50 for any advanced main air circulating fan,

“(B) \$150 for any qualified natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler, and

“(C) \$300 for any item of energy-efficient building property.”.

(2) MODIFICATION OF STANDARDS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 25C(c) is amended by striking “2000” and all that follows through “this section” and inserting “2009 International Energy Conservation Code, as such Code (including supplements) is in effect on the date of the enactment of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009”.

(B) WOOD STOVES.—Subparagraph (E) of section 25C(d)(3) is amended by striking “, as measured using a lower heating value”.

(C) OIL FURNACES AND HOT WATER BOILERS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (4) of section 25C(d) is amended to read as follows:

“(4) QUALIFIED NATURAL GAS, PROPANE, OR OIL FURNACE OR HOT WATER BOILER.—The term ‘qualified natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler’ means a natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler which achieves an annual fuel utilization efficiency rate of not less than 95.”.

(ii) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Clause (ii) of section 25C(d)(2)(A) is amended to read as follows:

“(ii) a qualified natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler, or”.

(D) EXTERIOR WINDOWS, DOORS, AND SKYLIGHTS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (c) of section 25C is amended by striking paragraph (4).

(ii) APPLICATION OF ENERGY STAR STANDARDS.—Paragraph (1) of section 25C(c) is amended by inserting “an exterior window, a skylight, an exterior door,” after “in the case of” in the matter preceding subparagraph (A).

(E) INSULATION.—Subparagraph (A) of section 25C(c)(2) is amended by striking “and meets the prescriptive criteria for such material or system established by the 2009 International Energy Conservation Code, as such Code (including supplements) is in effect on the date of the enactment of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009”.

(3) SUBSIDIZED ENERGY FINANCING.—Subsection (e) of section 25C is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) PROPERTY FINANCED BY SUBSIDIZED ENERGY FINANCING.—For purposes of determining the amount of expenditures made by any individual with respect to any property, there shall not be taken into account expenditures which are made from subsidized energy financing (as defined in section 48(a)(4)(C)).”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 708. ALTERNATIVE FUEL VEHICLE REFUELING PROPERTY.

(a) EXTENSION OF CREDIT.—Paragraph (2) of section 30C(g) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2010.

Subtitle B—Individual Tax Relief

SEC. 721. DEDUCTION FOR CERTAIN EXPENSES OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (D) of section 62(a)(2) is amended by striking “or 2009” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 722. DEDUCTION OF STATE AND LOCAL SALES TAXES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (I) of section 164(b)(5) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 723. CONTRIBUTIONS OF CAPITAL GAIN REAL PROPERTY MADE FOR CONSERVATION PURPOSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (vi) of section 170(b)(1)(E) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) CONTRIBUTIONS BY CERTAIN CORPORATE FARMERS AND RANCHERS.—Clause (iii) of section 170(b)(2)(B) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 724. ABOVE-THE-LINE DEDUCTION FOR QUALIFIED TUITION AND RELATED EXPENSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (e) of section 222 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 725. TAX-FREE DISTRIBUTIONS FROM INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT PLANS FOR CHARITABLE PURPOSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (F) of section 408(d)(8) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE; SPECIAL RULE.—

(1) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to distributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

(2) SPECIAL RULE.—For purposes of subsections (a)(6), (b)(3), and (d)(8) of section 408 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, at the election of the taxpayer (at such time and in such manner as prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury) any qualified charitable distribution made after December 31, 2010, and before February 1, 2011, shall be deemed to have been made on December 31, 2010.

SEC. 726. PARITY FOR EXCLUSION FROM INCOME FOR EMPLOYER-PROVIDED MASS TRANSIT AND PARKING BENEFITS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 132(f) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to months after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 727. REFUNDS DISREGARDED IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF FEDERAL PROGRAMS AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED PROGRAMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter A of chapter 65 is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 6409. REFUNDS DISREGARDED IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF FEDERAL PROGRAMS AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED PROGRAMS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any refund (or advance payment with respect to a refundable credit) made to any individual under this title shall not be taken into account as income, and shall not be taken into account as resources for a period of 12 months from receipt, for purposes of determining the eligibility of such individual (or any other individual) for benefits or assistance (or the amount or extent of benefits or assistance) under any Federal program or under any State or local program financed in whole or in part with Federal funds.

“(b) TERMINATION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to any amount received after December 31, 2012.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for such subchapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“Sec. 6409. Refunds disregarded in the administration of Federal programs and federally assisted programs.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts received after December 31, 2009.

Subtitle C—Business Tax Relief

SEC. 731. RESEARCH CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (B) of section 41(h)(1) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subparagraph (D) of section 45C(b)(1) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 732. INDIAN EMPLOYMENT TAX CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 45A is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 733. NEW MARKETS TAX CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 45D(f) is amended—

(1) by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (E),

(2) by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (F), and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(G) \$3,500,000,000 for 2010 and 2011.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Paragraph (3) of section 45D(f) is amended by striking “2014” and inserting “2016”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to calendar years beginning after 2009.

SEC. 734. RAILROAD TRACK MAINTENANCE CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 45G is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to expenditures paid or incurred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 735. MINE RESCUE TEAM TRAINING CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (e) of section 45N is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 736. EMPLOYER WAGE CREDIT FOR EMPLOYEES WHO ARE ACTIVE DUTY MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 45P is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to payments made after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 737. ACCELERATED DEPRECIATION FOR BUSINESS PROPERTY ON AN INDIAN RESERVATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (8) of section 168(j) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 738. ENHANCED CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF FOOD INVENTORY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 170(e)(3)(C) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 739. ENHANCED CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF BOOK INVENTORIES TO PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 170(e)(3)(D) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 740. ENHANCED CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CORPORATE CONTRIBUTIONS OF COMPUTER INVENTORY FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (G) of section 170(e)(6) is amended by striking “De-

cember 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 741. ELECTION TO EXPENSE MINE SAFETY EQUIPMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (g) of section 179E is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 742. EXPENSING OF ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION COSTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (h) of section 198 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to expenditures paid or incurred after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 743. MODIFICATION OF TAX TREATMENT OF CERTAIN PAYMENTS TO CONTROL-LING EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 512(b)(13)(E) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to payments received or accrued after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 744. TREATMENT OF CERTAIN DIVIDENDS OF REGULATED INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraphs (1)(C) and (2)(C) of section 871(k) are each amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 745. RIC QUALIFIED INVESTMENT ENTITY TREATMENT UNDER FIRPTA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (ii) of section 897(h)(4)(A) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on January 1, 2010. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, such amendment shall not apply with respect to the withholding requirement under section 1445 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for any payment made before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) AMOUNTS WITHHELD ON OR BEFORE DATE OF ENACTMENT.—In the case of a regulated investment company—

(A) which makes a distribution after December 31, 2009, and before the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(B) which would (but for the second sentence of paragraph (1)) have been required to withhold with respect to such distribution under section 1445 of such Code,

such investment company shall not be liable to any person to whom such distribution was made for any amount so withheld and paid over to the Secretary of the Treasury.

SEC. 746. EXCEPTIONS FOR ACTIVE FINANCING INCOME.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Sections 953(e)(10) and 954(h)(9) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 953(e)(10) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2009, and to taxable years of

United States shareholders with or within which any such taxable year of such foreign corporation ends.

SEC. 747. LOOK-THRU TREATMENT OF PAYMENTS BETWEEN RELATED CONTROLLED FOREIGN CORPORATIONS UNDER FOREIGN PERSONAL HOLDING COMPANY RULES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (C) of section 954(c)(6) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2009, and to taxable years of United States shareholders with or within which any such taxable year of such foreign corporation ends.

SEC. 748. BASIS ADJUSTMENT TO STOCK OF S CORPORATIONS MAKING CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS OF PROPERTY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 1367(a) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 749. EMPOWERMENT ZONE TAX INCENTIVES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1391 is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2009” in subsection (d)(1)(A)(i) and inserting “December 31, 2011”; and

(2) by striking the last sentence of subsection (h)(2).

(b) INCREASED EXCLUSION OF GAIN ON STOCK OF EMPOWERMENT ZONE BUSINESSES.—Subparagraph (C) of section 1202(a)(2) is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”; and

(2) by striking “2014” in the heading and inserting “2016”.

(c) TREATMENT OF CERTAIN TERMINATION DATES SPECIFIED IN NOMINATIONS.—In the case of a designation of an empowerment zone the nomination for which included a termination date which is contemporaneous with the date specified in subparagraph (A)(i) of section 1391(d)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as in effect before the enactment of this Act), subparagraph (B) of such section shall not apply with respect to such designation if, after the date of the enactment of this section, the entity which made such nomination amends the nomination to provide for a new termination date in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary’s designee) may provide.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to periods after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 750. TAX INCENTIVES FOR INVESTMENT IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 1400 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” each place it appears and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) TAX-EXEMPT DC EMPOWERMENT ZONE BONDS.—Subsection (b) of section 1400A is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) ZERO-PERCENT CAPITAL GAINS RATE.—

(1) ACQUISITION DATE.—Paragraphs (2)(A)(i), (3)(A), (4)(A)(i), and (4)(B)(i)(I) of section 1400B(b) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(2) LIMITATION ON PERIOD OF GAINS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 1400B(e) is amended—

(i) by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”; and

(ii) by striking “2014” in the heading and inserting “2016”.

(B) PARTNERSHIPS AND S-CORPS.—Paragraph (2) of section 1400B(g) is amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”.

(d) FIRST-TIME HOMEBUYER CREDIT.—Subsection (i) of section 1400C is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the amendments made by this section shall apply to periods after December 31, 2009.

(2) TAX-EXEMPT DC EMPOWERMENT ZONE BONDS.—The amendment made by subsection (b) shall apply to bonds issued after December 31, 2009.

(3) ACQUISITION DATES FOR ZERO-PERCENT CAPITAL GAINS RATE.—The amendments made by subsection (c) shall apply to property acquired or substantially improved after December 31, 2009.

(4) HOMEBUYER CREDIT.—The amendment made by subsection (d) shall apply to homes purchased after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 751. WORK OPPORTUNITY CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (B) of section 51(c)(4) is amended by striking “August 31, 2011” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to individuals who begin work for the employer after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 752. QUALIFIED ZONE ACADEMY BONDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 54E(c)(1) is amended—

(1) by striking “2008 and” and inserting “2008,”; and

(2) by inserting “and \$400,000,000 for 2011” after “2010.”.

(b) REPEAL OF REFUNDABLE CREDIT FOR QZABS.—Paragraph (3) of section 6431(f) is amended by inserting “determined without regard to any allocation relating to the national zone academy bond limitation for 2011 or any carryforward of such allocation” after “54E)” in subparagraph (A)(iii).

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to obligations issued after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 753. MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 163(h)(3)(E) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or accrued after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 754. TEMPORARY EXCLUSION OF 100 PERCENT OF GAIN ON CERTAIN SMALL BUSINESS STOCK.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (4) of section 1202(a) is amended—

(1) by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”; and

(2) by inserting “AND 2011” after “2010” in the heading thereof.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to stock acquired after December 31, 2010.

Subtitle D—Temporary Disaster Relief Provisions

PART

Subpart A—New York Liberty Zone

SEC. 761. TAX-EXEMPT BOND FINANCING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (D) of section 1400L(d)(2) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to bonds issued after December 31, 2009.

Subpart B—GO Zone

SEC. 762. INCREASE IN REHABILITATION CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (h) of section 1400N is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 763. LOW-INCOME HOUSING CREDIT RULES FOR BUILDINGS IN GO ZONES.

Section 1400N(c)(5) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

SEC. 764. TAX-EXEMPT BOND FINANCING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraphs (2)(D) and (7)(C) of section 1400N(a) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Sections 702(d)(1) and 704(a) of the Heartland Disaster Tax Relief Act of 2008 are each amended by striking “January 1, 2011” each place it appears and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

SEC. 765. BONUS DEPRECIATION DEDUCTION APPLICABLE TO THE GO ZONE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (6) of section 1400N(d) is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2010” both places it appears in subparagraph (B) and inserting “December 31, 2011”; and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2010” in the heading and the text of subparagraph (D) and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

TITLE VIII—SENIOR CITIZENS RELIEF

SEC. 801. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Emergency Senior Citizens Relief Act of 2010”.

SEC. 802. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF CERTAIN ECONOMIC RECOVERY PAYMENTS.

(a) EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF PAYMENTS.—Section 2201 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009 is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1)(A)—

(A) by inserting “for each of calendar years 2009 and 2011” after “shall disburse”;

(B) by inserting “(for purposes of payments made for calendar year 2009), or the 3-month period ending with December 2010 (for purposes of payments made for calendar year 2011)” after “the date of the enactment of this Act”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new sentence: “In the case of an individual who is eligible for a payment under the preceding sentence by reason of entitlement to a benefit described in subparagraph (B)(i), no such payment shall be made to such individual for calendar year 2011 unless such individual was paid a benefit described in such subparagraph (B)(i) for any month in the 12-month period ending with December 2010.”.

(2) in subsection (a)(1)(B)(iii), by inserting “(for purposes of payments made under this paragraph for calendar year 2009), or the 3-month period ending with December 2010 (for purposes of payments made under this paragraph for calendar year 2011)” before the period at the end,

(3) in subsection (a)(2)—

(A) by inserting “, or who are utilizing a foreign or domestic Army Post Office, Fleet Post Office, or Diplomatic Post Office address” after “Northern Mariana Islands”; and

(B) by striking “current address of record” and inserting “address of record, as of the date of certification under subsection (b) for a payment under this section”;

(4) in subsection (a)(3)—

(A) by inserting “per calendar year (determined with respect to the calendar year for which the payment is made, and without regard to the date such payment is actually paid to such individual)” after “only 1 payment under this section”; and

(B) by inserting “FOR THE SAME YEAR” after “PAYMENTS” in the heading thereof,

(5) in subsection (a)(4)—

(A) by inserting “(or, in the case of subparagraph (D), shall not be due)” after “made” in the matter preceding subparagraph (A),

(B) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

“(A) in the case of an individual entitled to a benefit specified in paragraph (1)(B)(i) or paragraph (1)(B)(ii)(VIII) if—

“(i) for the most recent month of such individual’s entitlement in the applicable 3-month period described in paragraph (1); or

“(ii) for any month thereafter which is before the month after the month of the payment;

such individual’s benefit under such paragraph was not payable by reason of subsection (x) or (y) of section 202 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 402) or section 1129A of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a–8a);”.

(C) in subparagraph (B), by striking “3 month period” and inserting “applicable 3-month period”;

(D) by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following:

“(C) in the case of an individual entitled to a benefit specified in paragraph (1)(C) if—

“(i) for the most recent month of such individual’s eligibility in the applicable 3-month period described in paragraph (1); or

“(ii) for any month thereafter which is before the month after the month of the payment;

such individual’s benefit under such paragraph was not able by reason of subsection (e)(1)(A) or (e)(4) of section 1611 (42 U.S.C. 1382) or section 1129A of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a–8a); or”

(E) by striking subparagraph (D) and inserting the following:

“(D) in the case of any individual whose date of death occurs—

“(i) before the date of receipt of the payment; or

“(ii) in the case of a direct deposit, before the date on which such payment is deposited into such individual’s account.”.

(F) by adding at the end the following flush sentence:

“In the case of any individual whose date of death occurs before a payment is negotiated (in the case of a check) or deposited (in the case of a direct deposit), such payment shall not be due and shall not be reissued to the estate of such individual or to any other person.”; and

(G) by adding at the end, as amended by subparagraph (F), the following new sentence: “Subparagraphs (A)(ii) and (C)(ii) shall apply only in the case of certifications under subsection (b) which are, or but for this paragraph would be, made after the date of the enactment of Emergency Senior Citizens Relief Act of 2010, and shall apply to such certifications without regard to the calendar year of the payments to which such certifications apply.”.

(6) in subsection (a)(5)—

(A) by inserting “, in the case of payments for calendar year 2009, and no later than April 30, 2011, in the case of payments for calendar year 2011” before the period at the end of the first sentence of subparagraph (A), and

(B) by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following:

“(B) DEADLINE.—No payment for calendar year 2009 shall be disbursed under this section after December 31, 2010, and no payment for calendar year 2011 shall be disbursed under this section after December 31, 2012, regardless of any determinations of entitlement to, or eligibility for, such payment made after whichever of such dates is applicable to such payment.”.

(7) in subsection (b), by inserting “(except that such certification shall be affected by a determination that an individual is an individual described in subparagraph (A), (B), (C), or (D) of subsection (a)(4) during a period described in such subparagraphs), and no individual shall be certified to receive a payment under this section for a calendar year if such individual has at any time been denied certification for such a payment for such calendar year by reason of subparagraph (A)(ii) or (C)(ii) of subsection (a)(4) (unless such individual is subsequently determined not to have been an individual described in either such subparagraph at the time of such denial)” before the period at the end of the last sentence,

(8) in subsection (c), by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the following:

“(4) PAYMENTS SUBJECT TO OFFSET AND RECLAMATION.—Notwithstanding paragraph (3), any payment made under this section—

“(A) shall, in the case of a payment by direct deposit which is made after the date of the enactment of the Emergency Senior Citizens Relief Act of 2010, be subject to the reclamation provisions under subpart B of part 210 of title 31, Code of Federal Regulations (relating to reclamation of benefit payments); and

“(B) shall not, for purposes of section 3716 of title 31, United States Code, be considered a benefit payment or cash benefit made under the applicable program described in subparagraph (B) or (C) of subsection (a)(1), and all amounts paid shall be subject to offset under such section 3716 to collect delinquent debts.”.

(9) in subsection (e)—

(A) by striking “2011” and inserting “2013”.

(B) by inserting “section 2(b) of the Emergency Senior Citizens Relief Act of 2010,” after “section 2202,” in paragraph (1), and

(C) by adding at the following new paragraph:

“(5)(A) For the Secretary of the Treasury, an additional \$5,200,000 for purposes described in paragraph (1).

“(B) For the Commissioner of Social Security, an additional \$5,000,000 for the purposes described in paragraph (2)(B).

“(C) For the Railroad Retirement Board, an additional \$600,000 for the purposes described in paragraph (3)(B).

“(D) For the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, an additional \$625,000 for the Information Systems Technology account”.

(b) EXTENSION OF SPECIAL CREDIT FOR CERTAIN GOVERNMENT RETIREES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of an eligible individual (as defined in section 2202(b) of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009, applied by substituting “2011” for “2009”), with respect to the first taxable year of such individual beginning in 2011, section 2202 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009 shall be applied by substituting “2011” for “2009” each place it appears.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (c) of section 36A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by inserting “, and any credit allowed to the taxpayer under sec-

tion 2(b)(1) of the Emergency Senior Citizens Relief Act of 2010” after “the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) APPLICATION OF RULE RELATING TO DECEASED INDIVIDUALS.—The amendment made by subsection (a)(5)(F) shall take effect as if included in section 2201 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009.

TITLE IX—INFRASTRUCTURE, ENERGY, AND WATER PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—TIGER Discretionary Grants

SEC. 901. TIGER DISCRETIONARY GRANTS.

There are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$5,000,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2011 and 2012, for the discretionary grant program established under the heading “NATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS” under the heading “OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY” under the heading “DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION” of title I of division A of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111–117; 123 Stat. 3036), commonly referred to as the “TIGER II Discretionary Grant Program”: *Provided, That the amount of a grant under this section may not exceed \$400,000,000: Provided further, That not less than 20 percent of the funds made available under this section for each fiscal year may be awarded to projects located in rural areas: Provided further, That not less than 1 percent of the funds made available under this section for each fiscal year may be used for the planning, preparation, or design of projects eligible for funding under the TIGER II Discretionary Grant Program: Provided further, That not more than 15 percent of the funds made available under this section for a fiscal year may be awarded to projects in a single State: Provided further, That the Secretary may award a grant of less than \$10,000,000 to fund a significant project in a smaller city, region, or State: Provided further, That the Federal share of the cost of a significant project in a smaller city, region, or State may exceed 80 percent: Provided further, That, of the amounts made available under this section for a fiscal year, the Secretary may use an amount not to exceed \$750,000,000 for the purpose of paying the subsidy and administrative costs of projects eligible for Federal credit assistance under chapter 6 of title 23, United States Code, if the Secretary finds that such use of the funds would advance the purposes of this section.*

Subtitle B—National Infrastructure Bank

SEC. 911. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) According to the American Society of Civil Engineers, the current condition of the infrastructure in the United States earns a grade point average of D, and an estimated \$2,200,000,000,000 investment is needed over the next 5 years to meet adequate conditions.

(2) According to the National Surface Transportation Policy and Revenue Study Commission, \$225,000,000,000 is needed annually from all sources for the next 50 years to upgrade our surface transportation system to a state of good repair and create a more advanced system.

(3) According to the Federal Highway Administration up to \$131,700,000,000 must be invested annually for a 20-year period to improve bridge efficiencies and the physical condition and operational performance of the highway system of the United States.

(4) According to the Federal Transit Administration, up to \$21,800,000,000 must be in-

vested annually for a 20-year period to improve conditions and performance of the major transit systems of the United States.

(5) The Environmental Protection Agency projects that—

(A) \$183,600,000,000 is needed for installation and maintenance of drinking water transmission and distribution systems through 2022; and

(B) \$202,500,000,000 is needed for publicly owned wastewater systems-related infrastructure needs through 2024.

(6) According to the Edison Electric Institute, to maintain current levels of service given expected growth in demand, electric utilities need to invest an annual average of—

(A) \$28,000,000,000 for generation;

(B) \$12,000,000,000 for transmission; and

(C) \$34,000,000,000 for distribution of electricity.

(7) According to the American Council on Renewable Energy, renewable energy could provide up to 635 gigawatts of new electricity generating capacity by 2025—a substantial contribution and potentially more than the Nation’s need for new capacity, according to the United States Energy Information Administration.

(8) According to the United States Green Building Council, United States buildings account for 38.9 percent of primary energy use, 38 percent of carbon emissions, and 72 percent of electricity consumption.

(9) There are over 1,200,000 units of public housing nationwide, with an accumulated capital needs backlog of approximately \$18,000,000,000, with an additional \$2,000,000,000 accruing each year.

(10) According to the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), the United States ranks 15th among OECD nations in broadband access per 100 inhabitants.

(11) Although grant programs of the Government must continue to play a central role in financing the transportation, environment, energy, and telecommunications infrastructure needs of the United States, current and foreseeable demands on existing Federal, State, and local funding for infrastructure expansion exceed the resources to support these programs by margins wide enough to prompt serious concerns about the United States’ ability to sustain long-term economic development, productivity, and international competitiveness.

(12) The capital markets, including central banks, pension funds, financial institutions, sovereign wealth funds and insurance companies, have a growing interest in infrastructure investment. The establishment of a United States Government-owned institution that would provide this investment opportunity through high quality bond issues that would be used to finance qualifying infrastructure projects would attract needed capital for United States infrastructure development.

SEC. 912. DEFINITIONS.

For purposes of this subtitle, the following definitions shall apply, unless the context requires otherwise:

(1) BANK.—The term “Bank” means the National Infrastructure Development Bank established under section 913(a) of this subtitle.

(2) BOARD.—The term “Board” means the National Infrastructure Development Bank Board.

(3) CHIEF ASSET AND LIABILITY MANAGEMENT OFFICER.—The term “chief asset and liability management officer” means the chief individual responsible for coordinating the management of assets and liabilities of the Bank.

(4) **CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER.**—The term “chief compliance officer or CCO” means the chief individual responsible for overseeing and managing the compliance and regulatory affairs issues of the Bank.

(5) **CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER.**—The term “chief financial officer or CFO” means the chief individual responsible for managing the financial risks, planning, and reporting of the Bank.

(6) **CHIEF LOAN ORIGATION OFFICER.**—The term “chief loan origination officer” means the chief individual responsible for the processing of new loans provided by the Bank.

(7) **CHIEF OPERATIONS OFFICER.**—The term “chief operations officer or COO” means the chief individual responsible for information technology and the day to day operations of the Bank.

(8) **CHIEF RISK OFFICER.**—The term “chief risk officer or CRO” means the chief individual responsible for managing operational and compliance-related risks of the Bank.

(9) **CHIEF TREASURY OFFICER.**—The term “chief treasury officer” means the chief individual responsible for managing the Bank’s treasury operations.

(10) **DEVELOPMENT.**—The terms “development” and “develop” mean, with respect to an infrastructure project, any—

(A) preconstruction planning, feasibility review, permitting, design work, and other preconstruction activities; and

(B) construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, replacement, or expansion.

(11) **DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITY.**—The term “disadvantaged community” means a community with a median household income of less than 80 percent of the statewide median household income for the State in which the community is located.

(12) **ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT.**—The term “energy infrastructure project” means any project for energy transmission, energy efficiency enhancement for buildings, public housing, and schools, renewable energy, and energy storage.

(13) **ENTITY.**—The term “entity” means an individual, corporation, partnership (including a public-private partnership), joint venture, trust, and a State or other governmental entity, including a political subdivision or any other instrumentality of a State or a revolving fund.

(14) **ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT.**—The term “environmental infrastructure project” means any project for the establishment, maintenance, or enhancement of any drinking water and wastewater treatment facility, storm water management system, dam, levee, open space management system, solid waste disposal facility, hazardous waste facility, or industrial site cleanup.

(15) **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.**—The term “executive director” means the individual serving as the chief executive officer of the Bank.

(16) **GENERAL COUNSEL.**—The term “general counsel” means the individual who serves as the chief lawyer for the Bank.

(17) **INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT.**—The term “infrastructure project” means any energy, environmental, telecommunications, or transportation infrastructure project.

(18) **PUBLIC BENEFIT BOND.**—The term “public benefit bond” means a bond issued with respect to an infrastructure project in accordance with this subtitle if—

(A) the net spendable proceeds from the sale of the issue may be used for expenditures incurred after the date of issuance with respect to the project, subject to the rules of the Bank;

(B) the bond issued by the Bank is in registered form and meets the requirements of this subtitle and otherwise applicable law;

(C) the term of each bond which is part of the issue is greater than 30 years; and

(D) the payment of principal with respect to the bond is the obligation of the Bank.

(19) **PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP.**—The term “public-private partnership” means any entity—

(A)(i) which is undertaking the development of all or part of an infrastructure project, which will have a public benefit, pursuant to requirements established in one or more contracts between the entity and a State or an instrumentality of a State; or

(ii) the activities of which, with respect to such an infrastructure project, are subject to regulation by a State or any instrumentality of a State; and

(B) which owns, leases, or operates, or will own, lease, or operate, the project in whole or in part, and at least one of the participants in the entity is a nongovernmental entity.

(20) **REVOLVING FUND.**—The term “revolving fund” means a fund or program established by a State or a political subdivision or other instrumentality of a State, the principal activity of which is to make loans, commitments, or other financial accommodation available for the development of one or more categories of infrastructure projects.

(21) **SECRETARY.**—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Treasury or the designee of the Secretary.

(22) **SMART GRID.**—The term “smart grid” means a system that provides for any of the smart grid functions set forth in section 1306(d) of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (42 U.S.C. 17386(d)).

(23) **SMART GROWTH.**—The term “smart growth” means growth in the center of a city to avoid urban sprawl.

(24) **STATE.**—The term “State” includes the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, American Samoa, the Virgin Islands, the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands, and any other territory of the United States.

(25) **TELECOMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT.**—The term “telecommunications infrastructure project” means any project involving infrastructure required to provide communications by wire or radio.

(26) **TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT.**—The term “transportation infrastructure project” means any project for the construction, maintenance, or enhancement of highways, roads, bridges, transit and intermodal systems, inland waterways, commercial ports, airports, high speed rail and freight rail systems.

SEC. 913. ESTABLISHMENT OF NATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT BANK.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT OF NATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT BANK.**—The National Infrastructure Development Bank is established as a wholly owned Government corporation subject to chapter 91 of title 31, United States Code (commonly known as the “Government Corporation Control Act”), except as otherwise provided in this subtitle.

(b) **RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SECRETARY.**—The Secretary shall take such action as may be necessary to assist in implementing the establishment of the Bank in accordance with this subtitle.

(c) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 9101(3) of title 31, United States Code, is amended by inserting after subparagraph (N) the following:

“(O) the National Infrastructure Development Bank.”.

SEC. 914. BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Bank shall have a Board of Directors consisting of 5 members appointed by the President by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.

(b) **QUALIFICATIONS.**—The directors of the Board shall include individuals representing different regions of the United States and—

(1) 2 of the directors shall have public sector experience; and

(2) 3 of the directors shall have private sector experience.

(c) **CHAIRPERSON AND VICE CHAIRPERSON.**—As designated at the time of appointment, one of the directors of the Board shall be designated chairperson of the Board by the President and one shall be designated as vice chairperson of the Board by the President.

(d) **TERMS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in paragraph (2) and subsection (f), each director shall be appointed for a term of 6 years.

(2) **INITIAL STAGGERED TERMS.**—Of the initial members of the Board—

(A) the chairperson and vice chairperson shall be appointed for terms of 6 years;

(B) 1 shall be appointed for a term of 5 years;

(C) 1 shall be appointed for a term of 4 years; and

(D) 1 shall be appointed for a term of 3 years.

(e) **DATE OF INITIAL NOMINATIONS.**—The initial nominations by the President for appointment of directors to the Board shall be made not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(f) **VACANCIES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—A vacancy on the Board shall be filled in the manner in which the original appointment was made.

(2) **APPOINTMENT TO REPLACE DURING TERM.**—Any director appointed to fill a vacancy occurring before the expiration of the term for which the director’s predecessor was appointed shall be appointed only for the remainder of the term.

(3) **DURATION.**—A director may serve after the expiration of that director’s term until a successor has taken office.

(g) **QUORUM.**—Three directors shall constitute a quorum.

(h) **REAPPOINTMENT.**—A director of the Board appointed by the President may be reappointed by the President in accordance with this section.

(i) **PER DIEM REIMBURSEMENT.**—Directors of the Board shall serve on a part-time basis and shall receive a per diem when engaged in the actual performance of Bank business, plus reasonable reimbursement for travel, subsistence, and other necessary expenses incurred in the performance of their duties.

(j) **LIMITATIONS.**—A director of the Board may not participate in any review or decision affecting a project under consideration for assistance under this subtitle if the director has or is affiliated with a person who has an interest in such project.

(k) **POWERS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE BOARD.**—

(1) **POWERS.**—In order to carry out the purposes of the Bank as set forth in this subtitle, the Board shall be responsible for monitoring and overseeing infrastructure projects and have the following powers:

(A) To make senior and subordinated loans and purchase senior and subordinated debt securities and enter into a binding commitment to make any such loan or purchase any such security, on such terms as the Board may determine, in the Board’s discretion, to be appropriate, the proceeds of which are to be used to finance or refinance the development of one or more infrastructure projects.

(B) To issue and sell debt securities of the Bank on such terms as the Board shall determine from time to time.

(C) To issue public benefit bonds and to provide direct subsidies to infrastructure projects from amounts made available from the issuance of such bonds.

(D) To make loan guarantees.

(E) To make agreements and contracts with any entity in furtherance of the business of the Bank.

(F) To borrow on the global capital market and lend to regional, State, and local entities, and commercial banks for the purpose of funding infrastructure projects.

(G) To purchase, pool, and sell infrastructure-related loans and securities on the global capital market.

(H) To purchase in the open market any of the Bank's outstanding obligations at any time and at any price.

(I) To monitor and oversee infrastructure projects financed, in whole or in part, by the Bank.

(J) To acquire, lease, pledge, exchange, and dispose of real and personal property and otherwise exercise all the usual incidents of ownership of property to the extent the exercise of such powers are appropriate to and consistent with the purposes of the Bank.

(K) To sue and be sued in the Bank's corporate capacity in any court of competent jurisdiction, except that no attachment, injunction, or similar process, may be issued against the property of the Bank or against the Bank with respect to such property.

(L) To indemnify the directors and officers of the Bank for liabilities arising out of the actions of the directors and officers in such capacity, in accordance with, and subject to the limitations contained in, this subtitle.

(M) To serve as the primary liaison between the Bank, Congress, the executive branch, and State and local governments and to represent the Bank's interests.

(N) To exercise all other lawful powers which are necessary or appropriate to carry out, and are consistent with, the purposes of the Bank.

(2) LIMITATIONS.—

(A) ISSUANCE OF DEBT SECURITY.—The Board may not issue any debt security without the prior consent of the Secretary.

(B) ISSUANCE OF VOTING SECURITY.—The Board may not issue any voting security in the Bank to any entity other than the Secretary.

(3) ACTIONS CONSISTENT WITH SELF-SUPPORTING ENTITY STATUS.—The Board shall conduct its business in a manner consistent with the requirements of this section.

(4) COORDINATION WITH STATE AND LOCAL REGULATORY AUTHORITY.—The provision of financial assistance by the Board pursuant to this subtitle shall not be construed as—

(A) limiting the right of any State or political subdivision or other instrumentality of a State to approve or regulate rates of return on private equity invested in a project; or

(B) otherwise superseding any State law or regulation applicable to a project.

(5) FEDERAL PERSONNEL REQUESTS.—The Board shall have the power to request the detail, on a reimbursable basis, of personnel from other Federal agencies with specific expertise not available from within the Bank or elsewhere. The head of any Federal agency may detail, on a reimbursable basis, any personnel of such agency requested by the Board and shall not withhold unreasonably the detail of any personnel requested by the Board.

(1) MEETINGS.—

(1) OPEN TO THE PUBLIC; NOTICE.—All meetings of the Board held to conduct the business of the Bank shall be open to the public and shall be preceded by reasonable notice.

(2) INITIAL MEETING.—The Board shall meet not later than 90 days after the date on which all directors of the Board are first appointed and otherwise at the call of the Chairperson.

(3) EXCEPTION FOR CLOSED MEETINGS.—Pursuant to such rules as the Board may establish through their bylaws, the directors may close a meeting of the Board if, at the meeting, there is likely to be disclosed information which could adversely affect or lead to speculation relating to an infrastructure project under consideration for assistance under this subtitle or in financial or securities or commodities markets or institutions, utilities, or real estate. The determination to close any meeting of the Board shall be made in a meeting of the Board, open to the public, and preceded by reasonable notice. The Board shall prepare minutes of any meeting which is closed to the public and make such minutes available as soon as the considerations necessitating closing such meeting no longer apply.

SEC. 915. EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Board shall have an executive committee consisting of 9 members, headed by the executive director of the Bank.

(b) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.—A majority of the Board shall have the authority to appoint and reappoint the executive director.

(c) CEO.—The executive director shall be the chief executive officer of the Bank, with such executive functions, powers, and duties as may be prescribed by this subtitle, the bylaws of the Bank, or the Board.

(d) OTHER EXECUTIVE OFFICERS.—The Board shall appoint, remove, fix the compensation, and define duties of 8 other executive officers to serve on the Executive Committee as the—

- (1) chief compliance officer;
- (2) chief financial officer;
- (3) chief asset and liability management officer;
- (4) chief loan origination officer;
- (5) chief operations officer;
- (6) chief risk officer;
- (7) chief treasury officer; and
- (8) general counsel.

(e) QUALIFICATIONS.—The executive director and other executive officers shall have demonstrated experience and expertise in one or more of the following:

- (1) Transportation infrastructure.
- (2) Environmental infrastructure.
- (3) Energy infrastructure.
- (4) Telecommunications infrastructure.
- (5) Economic development.
- (6) Workforce development.
- (7) Public health.
- (8) Private or public finance.

(f) DUTIES.—In order to carry out the purposes of the Bank as set forth in this subtitle, the executive committee shall—

(1) establish disclosure and application procedures for entities nominating projects for assistance under this subtitle;

(2) accept, for consideration, project proposals relating to the development of infrastructure projects, which meet the basic criteria established by the Board, and which are submitted by an entity;

(3) provide recommendations to the Board and place project proposals accepted by the executive committee on a list for consideration for financial assistance from the Board;

(4) provide technical assistance to entities receiving financing from the Bank and otherwise implement decisions of the Board.

(g) VACANCY.—A vacancy in the position of executive director shall be filled in the manner in which the original appointment was made.

(h) COMPENSATION.—The compensation of the executive director and other executive officers of the executive committee shall be determined by the Board.

(i) REMOVAL.—The executive director and other executive officers may be removed at the discretion of a majority of the Board.

(j) TERM.—The executive director and other executive officers shall serve a 6-year term and may be reappointed in accordance with this section.

(k) LIMITATIONS.—The executive director and other executive officers shall not—

(1) hold any other public office;

(2) have any interest in an infrastructure project considered by the Board;

(3) have any interest in an investment institution, commercial bank, or other entity seeking financial assistance for any infrastructure project from the Bank; and

(4) have any such interest during the 2-year period beginning on the date such officer ceases to serve in such capacity.

SEC. 916. RISK MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF RISK MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE.—The Bank shall establish a risk management committee consisting of 5 members, headed by the chief risk officer.

(b) APPOINTMENTS.—A majority of the Board shall have the authority to appoint and reappoint the CRO of the Bank.

(c) FUNCTIONS; DUTIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The CRO shall have such functions, powers, and duties as may be prescribed by one or more of the following: this subtitle, the bylaws of the Bank, and the Board. The CRO shall report directly to the Board.

(2) RISK MANAGEMENT DUTIES.—In order to carry out the purposes of this subtitle, the risk management committee shall—

(A) create financial, credit, and operational risk management guidelines and policies to be adhered to by the Bank;

(B) set guidelines to ensure diversification of lending activities by both region and infrastructure project type;

(C) create conforming standards for infrastructure finance securities;

(D) monitor financial, credit and operational exposure of the Bank; and

(E) provide financial recommendations to the Board.

(d) OTHER RISK MANAGEMENT OFFICERS.—The Board shall appoint, remove, fix the compensation, and define the duties of 4 other risk management officers to serve on the risk management committee.

(e) QUALIFICATIONS.—The CRO and other risk management officers shall have demonstrated experience and expertise in one or more of the following:

(1) Treasury and asset and liability management.

(2) Investment regulations.

(3) Insurance.

(4) Credit risk management and credit evaluations.

(5) Related disciplines.

(f) VACANCY.—A vacancy in the position of CRO or any other risk management officer shall be filled in the manner in which the original appointment was made.

(g) COMPENSATION.—The compensation of the CRO and other risk management officers shall be determined by the Board.

(h) REMOVAL.—The CRO and any other risk management officers may be removed at the discretion of a majority of the Board.

(i) **TERM.**—The CRO and other risk management officers shall serve a 6-year term and may be reappointed in accordance with this section.

(j) **LIMITATIONS.**—The CRO and other risk management officers shall not—

- (1) hold any other public office;
- (2) have any interest in an infrastructure project considered by the Board;
- (3) have any interest in an investment institution, commercial bank, or other entity seeking financial assistance for any infrastructure project from the Bank; and
- (4) have any such interest during the 2-year period beginning on the date such officer ceases to serve in such capacity.

SEC. 917. AUDIT COMMITTEE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Bank shall have an audit committee consisting of 5 members, headed by the chief compliance officer of the Bank.

(b) **APPOINTMENTS.**—A majority of the Board shall have the authority to appoint and reappoint the CCO of the Bank.

(c) **FUNCTIONS; DUTIES.**—The CCO shall have such functions, powers, and duties as may be prescribed by one or more of the following: this subtitle, the bylaws of the Bank, and the Board. The CCO shall report directly to the Board.

(d) **AUDIT DUTIES.**—In order to carry out the purposes of the Bank under this subtitle, the audit committee shall—

- (1) provide internal controls and internal auditing activities for the Bank;
- (2) maintain responsibility for the accounting activities of the Bank;
- (3) issue financial reports of the Bank; and
- (4) complete reports with outside auditors and public accountants appointed by the Board.

(e) **OTHER AUDIT OFFICERS.**—The Board shall appoint, remove, fix the compensation, and define the duties of 4 other audit officers to serve on the audit committee.

(f) **QUALIFICATIONS.**—The CCO and other audit officers shall have demonstrated experience and expertise in one or more of the following:

- (1) Internal auditing.
- (2) Internal investigations.
- (3) Accounting practices.
- (4) Financing practices.

(g) **VACANCY.**—A vacancy in the position of CCO or any other audit officer shall be filled in the manner in which the original appointment was made.

(h) **COMPENSATION.**—The compensation of the CCO and other audit officers shall be determined by the Board.

(i) **REMOVAL.**—The CCO and other audit officers may be removed at the discretion of a majority of the Board.

(j) **TERM.**—The CCO and other audit officers shall serve a 6-year term and may be reappointed in accordance with this section.

(k) **LIMITATIONS.**—The CCO and other audit officers shall not—

- (1) hold any other public office;
- (2) have any interest in an infrastructure project considered by the Board;
- (3) have any interest in an investment institution, commercial bank, or other entity seeking financial assistance for any infrastructure project from the Bank; and
- (4) have any such interest during the 2-year period beginning on the date such officer ceases to serve in such capacity.

SEC. 918. PERSONNEL.

The chairperson of the Board, executive director, chief risk officer, and chief compliance officer shall appoint, remove, fix the compensation of, and define the duties of such qualified personnel to serve under the

Board, executive committee, risk management committee, or audit committee, as the case may be, as necessary and prescribed by one or more of the following: this subtitle, the bylaws of the Bank, and the Board.

SEC. 919. ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA FOR ASSISTANCE FROM BANK.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—No financial assistance shall be available under this subtitle from the Bank unless the applicant for such assistance has demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Board that the project for which such assistance is being sought meets—

- (1) the requirements of this subtitle; and
- (2) any criteria established in accordance with this subtitle by the Board.

(b) **ESTABLISHMENT OF PROJECT CRITERIA.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Consistent with the requirements of subsections (c) and (d), the Board shall establish—

- (A) criteria for determining eligibility for financial assistance under this subtitle;
- (B) disclosure and application procedures to be followed by entities to nominate projects for assistance under this subtitle; and

(C) such other criteria as the Board may consider to be appropriate for purposes of carrying out this subtitle.

(2) **FACTORS TO BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The Bank shall conduct an analysis that takes into account the economic, environmental, social benefits, and costs of each project under consideration for financial assistance under this subtitle, prioritizing projects that contribute to economic growth, lead to job creation, and are of regional or national significance.

(B) **CRITERIA.**—The criteria established pursuant to paragraph (1)(A) shall provide for the consideration of the following factors in considering eligibility for financial assistance under this subtitle:

(i) The means by which development of the infrastructure project under consideration is being financed, including—

- (I) the terms and conditions and financial structure of the proposed financing; and
- (II) the financial assumptions and projections on which the project is based.

(ii) The likelihood that the provision of assistance by the Bank will cause such development to proceed more promptly and with lower costs for financing than would be the case without such assistance.

(iii) The extent to which the provision of assistance by the Bank maximizes the level of private investment in the infrastructure project while providing a public benefit.

(c) **FACTORS FOR SPECIFIC TYPES OF PROJECTS.**—

(1) **TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS.**—For any transportation infrastructure project, the Board shall consider the following:

- (A) Job creation, including workforce development for women and minorities, responsible employment practices, and quality job training opportunities.
- (B) Reduction in carbon emissions.
- (C) Reduction in surface and air traffic congestion.
- (D) Smart growth in urban areas.
- (E) Poverty and inequality reduction through targeted training and employment opportunities for low-income workers.
- (F) Use of smart tolling, such as vehicle miles traveled and congestion pricing, for highway, road, and bridge projects.
- (G) Public health benefits.

(2) **ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT.**—For any environmental infrastructure project, the Board shall consider the following:

(A) Public health benefits.

(B) Pollution reductions.

(C) Job creation, including workforce development for women and minorities, responsible employment practices, and quality job training opportunities.

(D) Poverty and inequality reduction through targeted training and employment opportunities for low-income workers.

(3) **ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT.**—For any energy infrastructure project, the Board shall consider the following:

(A) Job creation, including workforce development for women and minorities, responsible employment practices, and quality job training opportunities.

(B) Poverty and inequality reduction through targeted training and employment opportunities for low-income workers.

(C) Reduction in carbon emissions.

(D) Smart growth in urban areas.

(E) Expanded use of renewable energy, including hydroelectric, solar, and wind.

(F) Development of a smart grid.

(G) Energy efficient building, housing, and school modernization.

(H) In any case in which the project is also a public housing project—

(i) improvement of the physical shape and layout;

(ii) environmental improvement; and

(iii) mobility improvements for residents.

(I) Public health benefits.

(4) **TELECOMMUNICATIONS.**—For any telecommunications project, the Board shall consider the following:

(A) The extent to which assistance expands or improves broadband and wireless services in rural and disadvantaged communities.

(B) Poverty and inequality reduction through targeted training and employment opportunities for low-income workers.

(C) Job creation, including work force development for women and minorities, responsible employment practices, and quality job training opportunities.

(d) **CONSIDERATION OF PROJECT PROPOSALS.**—

(1) **PARTICIPATION BY OTHER AGENCY PERSONNEL.**—Consideration of projects by the executive committee and the Board shall be conducted with personnel on detail to the Bank from relevant Federal agencies from among individuals who are familiar with and experienced in the selection criteria for competitive projects.

(2) **FEES.**—A fee may be charged for the review of any project proposal in such amount as maybe considered appropriate by the executive committee to cover the cost of such review.

(e) **DISCRETION OF BOARD.**—Consistent with other provisions of this subtitle, any determination of the Board to provide assistance to any project, and the manner in which such assistance is provided, including the terms, conditions, fees, and charges shall be at the sole discretion of the Board.

(f) **STATE AND LOCAL PERMITS REQUIRED.**—The provision of assistance by the Board in accordance with this subtitle shall not be deemed to relieve any recipient of assistance or the related project of any obligation to obtain required State and local permits and approvals.

(g) **ANNUAL REPORT.**—An entity receiving assistance from the Board shall make annual reports to the Board on the use of any such assistance, compliance with the criteria set forth in this section, and a disclosure of all entities with a development, ownership, or operational interest in a project assisted or proposed to be assisted under this subtitle.

SEC. 920. EXEMPTION FROM LOCAL TAXATION.

All notes, debentures, bonds or other such obligations issued by the Bank, and the interest on or credits with respect to such bonds or other obligations, shall not be subject to taxation by any State, county, municipality, or local taxing authority.

SEC. 921. STATUS AND APPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN FEDERAL LAWS; FULL FAITH AND CREDIT.

(a) **BUDGETING AND AUDITORS PRACTICES.**—The Bank shall comply with all Federal laws regulating the budgetary and auditing practices of a government corporation, except as otherwise provided in this subtitle.

(b) **FULL FAITH AND CREDIT.**—Any bond or other obligation issued by the Bank under this subtitle shall be an obligation supported by the full faith and credit of the United States.

(c) **EFFECT OF AND EXEMPTIONS FROM OTHER LAWS.**—

(1) **EXEMPT SECURITIES.**—All debt securities and other obligations issued by the Bank pursuant to this subtitle shall be deemed to be exempt securities within the meaning of laws administered by the Securities and Exchange Commission to the same extent as securities which are direct obligations of, or obligations fully guaranteed as to principal or interest by, the United States.

(2) **OPEN MARKET OPERATIONS AND STATE TAX EXEMPT STATUS.**—The obligations of the Bank shall be deemed to be obligations of the United States for the purposes of the provision designated as (b)(2) of the 2nd undesignated paragraph of section 14 of the Federal Reserve Act (12 U.S.C. 355) and section 3124 of title 31, United States Code.

(3) **NO PRIORITY AS A FEDERAL CLAIM.**—The priority established in favor of the United States by section 3713 of title 31, United States Code, shall not apply with respect to any indebtedness of the Bank.

(d) **FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS AS DEPOSITORIES, CUSTODIANS, AND FISCAL AGENTS.**—The Federal reserve banks may act as depositories for, or custodians or fiscal agents of, the Bank.

(e) **ACCESS TO BOOK-ENTRY SYSTEM.**—The Secretary may authorize the Bank to use the book-entry system of the Federal reserve system.

SEC. 922. COMPLIANCE WITH DAVIS-BACON ACT.

All laborers and mechanics employed by contractors and subcontractors on projects funded directly by or assisted in whole or in part by and through the Bank pursuant to this subtitle shall be paid wages at rates not less than those prevailing on projects of a character similar in the locality as determined by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with subchapter IV of chapter 31 of part A of title 40, United States Code. With respect to the labor standards specified in this section, the Secretary of Labor shall have the authority and functions set forth in Reorganization Plan Numbered 14 of 1950 (64 Stat. 1267; 5 U.S.C. App.) and section 3145 of title 40, United States Code.

SEC. 923. APPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN STATE LAWS.

The receipt by any entity of any assistance under this subtitle, directly or indirectly, and any financial assistance provided by any governmental entity in connection with such assistance under this subtitle shall be valid and lawful notwithstanding any State or local restrictions regarding extensions of credit or other benefits to private persons or entities, or other similar restrictions.

SEC. 924. AUDITS; REPORTS TO PRESIDENT AND CONGRESS.

(a) **ACCOUNTING.**—The books of account of the Bank shall be maintained in accordance

with generally accepted accounting principles and shall be subject to an annual audit by independent public accountants appointed by the Board and of nationally recognized standing.

(b) **REPORTS.**—

(1) **BOARD.**—The Board shall submit to the President and Congress, within 90 days after the last day of each fiscal year, a complete and detailed report with respect to the preceding fiscal year, setting forth—

(A) a summary of the Bank's operations, for such preceding fiscal year;

(B) a schedule of the Bank's obligations and capital securities outstanding at the end of such preceding fiscal year, with a statement of the amounts issued and redeemed or paid during such preceding fiscal year; and

(C) the status of projects receiving funding or other assistance pursuant to this subtitle, including disclosure of all entities with a development, ownership, or operational interest in such projects.

(2) **GAO.**—Not later than 5 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report evaluating activities of the Bank for the fiscal years covered by the report that includes an assessment of the impact and benefits of each funded project, including a review of how effectively each project accomplished the goals prioritized by the Bank's project criteria.

(c) **BOOKS AND RECORDS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Bank shall maintain adequate books and records to support the financial transactions of the Bank with a description of financial transactions and infrastructure projects receiving funding, and the amount of funding for each project maintained on a publically accessible database.

(2) **AUDITS BY THE SECRETARY AND GAO.**—The books and records of the Bank shall be maintained in accordance with recommended accounting practices and shall be open to inspection by the Secretary and the Comptroller General of the United States.

SEC. 925. CAPITALIZATION OF BANK.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATION.**—Subject to subsection (b), there is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary for purchase of the shares of the Bank \$15,000,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2011 and 2012, with the aggregate representing 10 percent of the total subscribed capital of the Bank.

(b) **RESERVATION FOR RURAL AREAS.**—For each fiscal year, not less than 20 percent of any amounts appropriated to carry out this subtitle shall be used to finance projects in rural areas.

(c) **CALLABLE CAPITAL.**—Of the total subscribed capital of the Bank, 90 percent shall be callable capital subject to call from the Secretary only as and when required by the Bank to meet its obligations on borrowing of funds for inclusion in its ordinary capital resources or guarantees chargeable to such resources.

(d) **OUTSTANDING LOANS.**—At any time, the aggregate amount outstanding of bonds issued by the Bank shall not exceed 250 percent of its total subscribed capital.

SEC. 926. SUNSET.

The Bank shall cease to exist 15 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

Subtitle C—Energy and Water Programs**SEC. 931. ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND CONSERVATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM.**

In addition to the amounts made available under section 548(a)(1) of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (42 U.S.C. 17158(a)(1)), there is authorized to be appro-

priated to carry out the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program established under 542(a) of that Act (42 U.S.C. 17152(a)) \$3,000,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2011 and 2012, to remain available until expended.

SEC. 932. STATE WATER POLLUTION CONTROL REVOLVING FUNDS.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Subject to subsections (b) through (j), there is authorized to be appropriated to carry out title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1381 et seq.) \$2,500,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2011 and 2012, to remain available until expended.

(b) **MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT.**—Of the amounts made available under subsection (a), the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (referred to in this subtitle as the "Administrator") may reserve not more than 1 percent for management and oversight purposes.

(c) **NON-FEDERAL SHARE.**—A capitalization grant provided using the funds made available under subsection (a) shall not be subject to the non-Federal share requirements of section 202 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1282) or paragraph (2) or (3) of section 602(b) of that Act (33 U.S.C. 1382(b)).

(d) **REALLOCATION.**—The Administrator shall reallocate the funds made available under subsection (a) for eligible projects that are not under contract or construction during the 1-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

(e) **PRIORITY.**—Notwithstanding the priority rankings a project would otherwise receive under the program under title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1381 et seq.), priority for the funds made available under subsection (a) shall be given to projects that—

(1) are included on a State priority list; and

(2) are ready to proceed to construction during the 1-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

(f) **FORMS OF ASSISTANCE.**—Notwithstanding section 603(d) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1383(d)), of the amount of a capitalization grant provided using the funds made available under subsection (a), a State shall use not less than 50 percent to provide additional subsidization to eligible recipients in the form of—

(1) forgiveness of principal;

(2) negative interest loans;

(3) grants; or

(4) any combination of those forms.

(g) **GREEN ENERGY.**—To the extent that sufficient eligible project applications exist, not less than 20 percent of the funds made available under subsection (a) shall be used for projects to address—

(1) green infrastructure;

(2) water or energy efficiency improvements; or

(3) other environmentally innovative activities.

(h) **INDIAN TRIBES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding the limitation specified in subsection (c) of section 518 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1377), the Administrator may reserve not more than 1.5 percent of the funds made available under subsection (a) for grants to Indian tribes under that section.

(2) **INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE.**—Of the amount reserved under paragraph (1), the Administrator may transfer to the Indian Health Service not more than 4 percent to support management and oversight of tribal projects.

(i) **PROHIBITION.**—No funds made available under subsection (a) shall be available for

the purchase of any land or easement pursuant to section 603(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1383(c)).

(j) **DEBT OBLIGATIONS.**—Notwithstanding section 603(d)(2) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1383(d)(2)), the funds made available under subsection (a) may be used to purchase, refinance, or restructure the debt obligation of an eligible recipient only in a case in which the debt obligation was incurred on or after October 1, 2008.

SEC. 933. STATE DRINKING WATER REVOLVING LOAN FUNDS.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Subject to subsections (b) through (j), there is authorized to be appropriated to carry out section 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j–12) \$2,500,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2011 and 2012, to remain available until expended.

(b) **MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT.**—Of the amounts made available under subsection (a), the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (referred to in this subtitle as the “Administrator”) may reserve not more than 1 percent for management and oversight purposes.

(c) **NON-FEDERAL SHARE.**—A capitalization grant provided using the funds made available under subsection (a) shall not be subject to the non-Federal share requirements of section 1452(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j–12(e)).

(d) **REALLOCATION.**—The Administrator shall reallocate the funds made available under subsection (a) for eligible projects that are not under contract or construction during the 1-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

(e) **PRIORITY.**—Notwithstanding the priority rankings a project would otherwise receive under the program under section 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j–12), priority for the funds made available under subsection (a) shall be given to projects that—

(1) are included on a State priority list; and

(2) are ready to proceed to construction during the 1-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

(f) **FORMS OF ASSISTANCE.**—Notwithstanding section 1452(f) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j–12(f)), of the amount of a capitalization grant provided using the funds made available under subsection (a), a State shall use not less than 50 percent to provide additional subsidization to eligible recipients in the form of—

(1) forgiveness of principal;

(2) negative interest loans;

(3) grants; or

(4) any combination of those forms.

(g) **GREEN ENERGY.**—To the extent that sufficient eligible project applications exist, not less than 20 percent of the funds made available under subsection (a) shall be used for projects to address—

(1) green infrastructure;

(2) water or energy efficiency improvements; or

(3) other environmentally innovative activities.

(h) **INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE.**—Of the amounts made available under subsection (a) that are reserved under for allocation to Indian tribes and Alaska Native villages under section 1452(i) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j–12(i)), the Administrator may transfer to the Indian Health Service not more than 4 percent to support management and oversight of tribal projects.

(i) **PROHIBITION.**—No funds made available under subsection (a) shall be available for

any activity authorized under section 1452(k) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j–12(k)).

(j) **DEBT OBLIGATIONS.**—Notwithstanding section 1452(f)(2) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j–12(f)(2)), the funds made available under subsection (a) may be used to purchase, refinance, or restructure the debt obligation of an eligible recipient only in a case in which the debt obligation was incurred on or after October 1, 2008.

SEC. 934. STATE ENERGY CONSERVATION PLANS.

There is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Energy to provide grants for State renewable energy and efficiency projects under part D of title III of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6321 et seq.) \$2,000,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2011 and 2012, to remain available until expended.

SEC. 935. TEMPORARY PROGRAM FOR RAPID DEPLOYMENT OF RENEWABLE ENERGY AND ELECTRIC POWER TRANSMISSION PROJECTS.

There is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Energy to make loan guarantees under section 1705 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 16516) for renewable energy, biofuel, and electric grid projects \$1,000,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2011 and 2012.

SEC. 936. EXTENSION OF QUALIFYING ADVANCED ENERGY PROJECT CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 48C of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended—

(1) by striking “shall not exceed \$2,300,000,000.” in subsection (d)(1)(B) and inserting “shall not exceed—

“(i) \$2,300,000,000 in the case of taxable years beginning during the 2-year period beginning on the date the Secretary establishes the program under this paragraph,

“(ii) \$1,000,000,000 in the case of taxable years beginning during the 1-year period immediately following such 2-year period, and

“(iii) \$1,000,000,000 in the case of taxable years beginning during the 1-year period described in clause (ii).”, and

(2) by striking “2-year period” in subsection (d)(2)(A) and inserting “4-year period”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply as if included in the amendments made by section 1302 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009.

SEC. 937. LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND.

(a) **PURPOSES.**—The purposes of the amendments made by subsection (b) are—

(1) to provide consistent and reliable authority for, and for the funding of, the land and water conservation fund established under section 2 of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601–5); and

(2) to maximize the effectiveness of the fund for future generations.

(b) **AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) **PERMANENT AUTHORIZATION.**—Section 2 of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601–5) is amended—

(A) in the matter preceding subsection (a), by striking “During the period ending September 30, 2015, there” and inserting “There”; and

(B) in subsection (c)(1), by striking “through September 30, 2015”.

(2) **FULL FUNDING.**—Section 3 of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601–6) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 3. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.

“Monies covered into the fund under section 2 shall be available for expenditure to

carry out the purposes of this Act, without further appropriation.”.

SEC. 938. FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, for the purposes described in subsection (b), \$1,000,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2011 and 2012.

(b) **USE OF AMOUNTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to paragraph (2), amounts appropriated under subsection (a) shall be used to carry out Corps of Engineer projects relating to navigable channels, including projects that—

(A) reduce flood and storm damage;

(B) restore aquatic ecosystems; or

(C) relate to municipal water or wastewater.

(2) **ALLOCATION OF AMOUNTS.**—For each project funded under this section—

(A) 50 percent of the amount allocated to carry out the project shall be used for construction; and

(B) 50 percent of the amount allocated to carry out the project shall be used for operations and maintenance.

Subtitle D—Housing Programs

SEC. 941. NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST FUND.

There is appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the Housing Trust Fund established pursuant to section 1338 of the Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Safety and Soundness Act of 1992 (12 U.S.C. 4568), \$1,500,000,000 to the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development to provide grants to States to build, preserve, and rehabilitate rental homes that are affordable for very low-income families: Provided, That notwithstanding the limitations set forth in subsection (c) of such section 1338, each State shall be entitled to receive a minimum allocation of amounts made available under this heading equal to the greater of \$3,000,000 or 0.5 percent of the total amount of funds made available in that fiscal year.

SEC. 942. GREEN RETROFIT PROGRAM.

There is appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for energy retrofit and green investments under the grant program established under the subheading “Assisted Housing Stability and Energy And Green Retrofit Investments” under the heading “Housing Programs” under title XII of division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, \$500,000,000: Provided, That in addition to the assisted housing deemed eligible to receive grants under such heading, that such grant amounts may be made available to housing that is receiving or has received assistance pursuant to the HOME Investment Partnerships program under title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act (42 U.S.C. 12721 et seq.), the community development block grant program under title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5301 et seq.), or the low-income housing tax credit allocated pursuant to section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986: Provided further, That grant amounts made available under this heading shall be awarded on a competitive basis nationwide: Provided further, That grant amounts made available under this heading shall be available for housing of not less than 20 units: Provided further, That in allocating grants under this heading, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall (1) ensure that such grants are made in a manner that balances the needs of rural and urban communities, and (2) ensure an equitable geographic distribution of funds.

TITLE X—BUDGETARY PROVISIONS**SEC. 1001. DETERMINATION OF BUDGETARY EFFECTS.**

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled "Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation" for this Act, jointly submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairmen of the House and Senate Budget Committees, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage in the House acting first on this conference report or amendment between the Houses.

SEC. 1002. EMERGENCY DESIGNATIONS.

(a) **STATUTORY PAYGO.**—This Act is designated as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 4(g) of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-139; 2 U.S.C. 933(g)) except to the extent that the budgetary effects of this Act are determined to be subject to the current policy adjustments under sections 4(c) and 7 of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act.

(b) **SENATE.**—In the Senate, this Act is designated as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 403(a) of S. Con. Res. 13 (111th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2010.

(c) **HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.**—In the House of Representatives, every provision of this Act is expressly designated as an emergency for purposes of pay-as-you-go principles except to the extent that any such provision is subject to the current policy adjustments under section 4(c) of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010.

SA 4794. Mr. MERKLEY (for himself, Mr. FRANKEN, Mr. LEVIN, Mr. UDALL of Colorado, Mr. AKAKA, and Mr. BEGICH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

Strike section 101 and insert the following:

SEC. 101. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2001 TAX RELIEF.

(a) **TEMPORARY EXTENSION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 901 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 is amended by striking "December 31, 2010" both places it appears and inserting "December 31, 2012".

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this subsection shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001.

(b) **EXCEPTION FOR INCOME TAX RATES OF TAXPAYERS WITH INCOME OF \$1,000,000 OR MORE DURING 2011 AND 2012.**—Subsection (i) of section 1 is amended by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4) and by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph:

"(3) **35-PERCENT RATE BRACKET.**—

"(A) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010, and before January 1, 2013—

"(i) the rate of tax under subsections (a), (b), (c), and (d) on a taxpayer's taxable in-

come in the fifth rate bracket shall be 35 percent to the extent such income does not exceed an amount equal to the excess of—

"(I) the applicable amount, over

"(II) the dollar amount at which such bracket begins, and

"(ii) the 39.6 percent rate of tax under such subsections shall apply only to the taxpayer's taxable income in such bracket in excess of the amount to which clause (i) applies.

"(B) **APPLICABLE AMOUNT.**—For purposes of this paragraph, the term 'applicable amount' means the excess of—

"(i) the applicable threshold, over

"(ii) the sum of the following amounts in effect for the taxable year:

"(I) the basic standard deduction (within the meaning of section 63(c)(2)), and

"(II) the exemption amount (within the meaning of section 151(d)(1) (or, in the case of subsection (a), 2 such exemption amounts).

"(C) **APPLICABLE THRESHOLD.**—For purposes of this paragraph, the term 'applicable threshold' means—

"(i) \$1,000,000 in the case of subsections (a), (b), and (c), and

"(ii) ½ the amount applicable under clause (i) (after adjustment, if any, under subparagraph (E)) in the case of subsection (d).

"(D) **FIFTH RATE BRACKET.**—For purposes of this paragraph, the term 'fifth rate bracket' means the bracket which would (determined without regard to this paragraph) be the 36-percent rate bracket.

"(E) **INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.**—For purposes of this paragraph, a rule similar to the rule of paragraph (1)(C) shall apply with respect to taxable years beginning in calendar years after 2011, applied by substituting '2009' for '1992' in subsection (f)(3)(B)."

(c) **TRANSFERS OF FUNDS.**—There are hereby appropriated to the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Trust Fund and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund established under section 201 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 401) amounts equal to the increase in revenues to the Treasury by reason of the application of subsection (b). The Secretary of the Treasury shall transfer such funds from the general fund at such times and in such manner as the Secretary determines appropriate and shall transfer amounts to each such trust fund in the same proportion as taxes under chapter 21 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 are transferred to such fund.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—Except as otherwise provided, the amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

SA 4795. Mr. BROWN of Ohio submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

Strike all after the first word and insert the following:

1. SHORT TITLE; ETC.

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This Act may be cited as the "Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance

Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010".

(b) **AMENDMENT OF 1986 CODE.**—Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this Act an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(c) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; etc.

TITLE I—MIDDLE CLASS TAX RELIEF

Sec. 101. Repeal of sunset on certain individual income tax rate relief.

Sec. 102. Reduced rates on capital gains and dividends made permanent.

Sec. 103. Temporary extension of other 2001 tax relief.

Sec. 104. Temporary extension of 2009 tax relief.

TITLE II—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INDIVIDUAL AMT RELIEF

Sec. 201. Temporary extension of increased alternative minimum tax exemption amount.

Sec. 202. Temporary extension of alternative minimum tax relief for non-refundable personal credits.

TITLE III—ESTATE TAX RELIEF

Sec. 301. Repeal of EGTRRA sunset.

Sec. 302. Reinstatement of estate tax; repeal of carryover basis.

Sec. 303. Modifications to estate, gift, and generation-skipping transfer taxes.

Sec. 304. Applicable exclusion amount increased by unused exclusion amount of deceased spouse.

Sec. 305. Exclusion from gross estate of certain farmland so long as farmland use by family continues.

Sec. 306. Increase in limitations on the amount excluded from the gross estate with respect to land subject to a qualified conservation easement.

Sec. 307. Modification of rules for value of certain farm, etc., real property.

Sec. 308. Required minimum 10-year term, etc., for grantor retained annuity trusts.

Sec. 309. Consistent basis reporting between estate and person acquiring property from decedent.

TITLE IV—REPEAL OF INFORMATION REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Sec. 401. Repeal of expansion of information reporting requirements.

TITLE V—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND RELATED MATTERS

Sec. 501. Temporary extension of unemployment insurance provisions.

Sec. 502. Temporary modification of indicators under the extended benefit program.

Sec. 503. Technical amendment relating to collection of unemployment compensation debts.

Sec. 504. Technical correction relating to repeal of continued dumping and subsidy offset.

Sec. 505. Additional extended unemployment benefits under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act.

TITLE VI—MAKING WORK PAY

Sec. 601. Making work pay credit.

TITLE VII—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF CERTAIN EXPIRING PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Energy

- Sec. 701. Incentives for biodiesel and renewable diesel.
- Sec. 702. Credit for refined coal facilities.
- Sec. 703. New energy efficient home credit.
- Sec. 704. Excise tax credits and outlay payments for alternative fuel and alternative fuel mixtures.
- Sec. 705. Special rule for sales or dispositions to implement FERC or State electric restructuring policy for qualified electric utilities.
- Sec. 706. Suspension of limitation on percentage depletion for oil and gas from marginal wells.
- Sec. 707. Extension of grants for specified energy property in lieu of tax credits.
- Sec. 708. Extension of provisions related to alcohol used as fuel.
- Sec. 709. Energy efficient appliance credit.
- Sec. 710. Credit for nonbusiness energy property.
- Sec. 711. Alternative fuel vehicle refueling property.
- Sec. 712. Extension of the advanced energy project credit.

Subtitle B—Individual Tax Relief

- Sec. 721. Deduction for certain expenses of elementary and secondary school teachers.
- Sec. 722. Deduction of State and local sales taxes.
- Sec. 723. Contributions of capital gain real property made for conservation purposes.
- Sec. 724. Above-the-line deduction for qualified tuition and related expenses.
- Sec. 725. Tax-free distributions from individual retirement plans for charitable purposes.
- Sec. 726. Look-thru of certain regulated investment company stock in determining gross estate of non-residents.
- Sec. 727. Parity for exclusion from income for employer-provided mass transit and parking benefits.
- Sec. 728. Refunds disregarded in the administration of Federal programs and federally assisted programs.

Subtitle C—Business Tax Relief

- Sec. 731. Research credit.
- Sec. 732. Indian employment tax credit.
- Sec. 733. New markets tax credit.
- Sec. 734. Railroad track maintenance credit.
- Sec. 735. Mine rescue team training credit.
- Sec. 736. Employer wage credit for employees who are active duty members of the uniformed services.
- Sec. 737. 15-year straight-line cost recovery for qualified leasehold improvements, qualified restaurant buildings and improvements, and qualified retail improvements.
- Sec. 738. 7-year recovery period for motor-sports entertainment complexes.
- Sec. 739. Accelerated depreciation for business property on an Indian reservation.
- Sec. 740. Enhanced charitable deduction for contributions of food inventory.
- Sec. 741. Enhanced charitable deduction for contributions of book inventories to public schools.
- Sec. 742. Enhanced charitable deduction for corporate contributions of computer inventory for educational purposes.

- Sec. 743. Election to expense mine safety equipment.
- Sec. 744. Special expensing rules for certain film and television productions.
- Sec. 745. Expensing of environmental remediation costs.
- Sec. 746. Deduction allowable with respect to income attributable to domestic production activities in Puerto Rico.
- Sec. 747. Modification of tax treatment of certain payments to controlling exempt organizations.
- Sec. 748. Treatment of certain dividends of regulated investment companies.
- Sec. 749. RIC qualified investment entity treatment under FIRPTA.
- Sec. 750. Exceptions for active financing income.
- Sec. 751. Look-thru treatment of payments between related controlled foreign corporations under foreign personal holding company rules.
- Sec. 752. Basis adjustment to stock of S corps making charitable contributions of property.
- Sec. 753. Empowerment zone tax incentives.
- Sec. 754. Tax incentives for investment in the District of Columbia.
- Sec. 755. Temporary increase in limit on cover over of rum excise taxes to Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands.
- Sec. 756. American Samoa economic development credit.
- Sec. 757. Work opportunity credit.
- Sec. 758. Qualified zone academy bonds.
- Sec. 759. Mortgage insurance premiums.
- Sec. 760. Temporary exclusion of 100 percent of gain on certain small business stock.

Subtitle D—Temporary Disaster Relief Provisions

PART I—NEW YORK LIBERTY ZONE

- Sec. 761. Tax-exempt bond financing.
- Sec. 762. Increase in rehabilitation credit.
- Sec. 763. Low-income housing credit rules for buildings in GO zones.
- Sec. 764. Tax-exempt bond financing.
- Sec. 765. Bonus depreciation deduction applicable to the GO Zone.

Subtitle E—Extension of Health Coverage Improvement

- Sec. 771. Improvement of the affordability of the credit.
- Sec. 772. Payment for the monthly premiums paid prior to commencement of the advance payments of credit.
- Sec. 773. TAA recipients not enrolled in training programs eligible for credit.
- Sec. 774. TAA pre-certification period rule for purposes of determining whether there is a 63-day lapse in creditable coverage.
- Sec. 775. Continued qualification of family members after certain events.
- Sec. 776. Extension of COBRA benefits for certain TAA-eligible individuals and PBGC recipients.
- Sec. 777. Addition of coverage through voluntary employees' beneficiary associations.
- Sec. 778. Notice requirements.

Subtitle F—Bonus Depreciation

- Sec. 781. Extension of bonus depreciation; temporary 100 percent expensing for certain business assets.

TITLE VIII—INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT

- Sec. 801. Extension of Build America Bonds.

- Sec. 802. Extension and additional allocations of recovery zone bond authority.

TITLE IX—NATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE BANK

- Sec. 901. Definitions.
- Sec. 902. Appropriations.
- Subtitle A—National Infrastructure Bank
- Sec. 911. Establishment of Bank.
- Sec. 912. Management of Bank.
- Sec. 913. Staff and personnel matters.
- Subtitle B—Powers and Duties of the Bank
- Sec. 921. Powers of the Bank Board.
- Sec. 922. Qualified infrastructure project ratings.
- Sec. 923. Development of financing package.
- Sec. 924. Coupon notes for holders of Infrastructure bonds.
- Sec. 925. Exemption from local taxation.

Subtitle C—Studies and Reports

- Sec. 931. Report; database.
- Sec. 932. Study and report on infrastructure financing mechanisms.
- Sec. 933. GAO report.

TITLE X—EXTENSION OF TRADE PROGRAMS

Subtitle A—Trust Funds

- Sec. 1001. Modification of Wool Apparel Manufacturers Trust Fund.
- Sec. 1002. Extensions of duty suspensions on cotton shirting fabrics and related provisions.

Subtitle B—Extension of Trade Adjustment Assistance

- Sec. 1011. Extension of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

TITLE XI—EMERGENCY SENIOR CITIZENS RELIEF ACT

- Sec. 1101. Short title.
- Sec. 1102. Extension and modification of certain economic recovery payments.

TITLE XII—TANF EMERGENCY FUND

- Sec. 1201. Extension of TANF Emergency Fund.

TITLE XIII—BUDGETARY PROVISIONS

- Sec. 1301. Determination of budgetary effects.
- Sec. 1302. Emergency designations.

TITLE I—MIDDLE CLASS TAX RELIEF

SEC. 101. REPEAL OF SUNSET ON CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX RATE RELIEF.

(a) INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX RATES.—

(1) REPEAL OF SUNSET.—Section 901 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 shall not apply to the amendments made by section 101 of such Act.

(2) 25- AND 28- PERCENT RATE BRACKETS MADE PERMANENT.—Paragraph (2) of section 1(i) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) 25- AND 28- PERCENT RATE BRACKETS.—The tables under subsections (a), (b), (c), (d), and (e) shall be applied—

“(A) by substituting ‘25%’ for ‘28%’ each place it appears (before the application of subparagraph (B)), and

“(B) by substituting ‘28%’ for ‘31%’ each place it appears.”.

(3) 33-PERCENT RATE BRACKET.—Subsection (i) of section 1 is amended by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4) and by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph:

“(3) 33-PERCENT RATE BRACKET.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010—

“(i) the rate of tax under subsections (a), (b), (c), and (d) on a taxpayer's taxable income in the fourth rate bracket shall be 33

percent to the extent such income does not exceed an amount equal to the excess of—

“(I) the applicable amount, over

“(II) the dollar amount at which such bracket begins, and

“(ii) the 36 percent rate of tax under such subsections shall apply only to the taxpayer's taxable income in such bracket in excess of the amount to which clause (i) applies.

“(B) APPLICABLE AMOUNT.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘applicable amount’ means the excess of—

“(i) the applicable threshold, over

“(ii) the sum of the following amounts in effect for the taxable year:

“(I) the basic standard deduction (within the meaning of section 63(c)(2)), and

“(II) the exemption amount (within the meaning of section 151(d)(1) (or, in the case of subsection (a), 2 such exemption amounts).

“(C) APPLICABLE THRESHOLD.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘applicable threshold’ means—

“(i) \$250,000 in the case of subsection (a),

“(ii) \$200,000 in the case of subsections (b) and (c), and

“(iii) $\frac{1}{2}$ the amount applicable under clause (i) (after adjustment, if any, under subparagraph (E)) in the case of subsection (d).

“(D) FOURTH RATE BRACKET.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘fourth rate bracket’ means the bracket which would (determined without regard to this paragraph) be the 36-percent rate bracket.

“(E) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—For purposes of this paragraph, a rule similar to the rule of paragraph (1)(C) shall apply with respect to taxable years beginning in calendar years after 2010, applied by substituting ‘2008’ for ‘1992’ in subsection (f)(3)(B).”

(b) PHASEOUT OF PERSONAL EXEMPTIONS AND ITEMIZED DEDUCTIONS.—

(1) OVERALL LIMITATION ON ITEMIZED DEDUCTIONS.—Section 68 is amended—

(A) by striking “the applicable amount” the first place it appears in subsection (a) and inserting “the applicable threshold in effect under section 1(i)(3)”,

(B) by striking “the applicable amount” in subsection (a)(1) and inserting “such applicable threshold”,

(C) by striking subsection (b) and redesignating subsections (c), (d), and (e) as subsections (b), (c), and (d), respectively, and

(D) by striking subsections (f) and (g).

(2) PHASEOUT OF DEDUCTIONS FOR PERSONAL EXEMPTIONS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (3) of section 151(d) is amended—

(i) by striking “the threshold amount” in subparagraphs (A) and (B) and inserting “the applicable threshold in effect under section 1(i)(3)”,

(ii) by striking subparagraph (C) and redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (C), and

(iii) by striking subparagraphs (E) and (F).

(B) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Paragraph (4) of section 151(d) is amended—

(i) by striking subparagraph (B),

(ii) by redesignating clauses (i) and (ii) of subparagraph (A) as subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively, and by indenting such subparagraphs (as so redesignated) accordingly, and

(iii) by striking all that precedes “in a calendar year after 1989,” and inserting the following:

“(4) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—In the case of any taxable year beginning”.

(3) NONAPPLICATION OF EGTRRA SUNSET.—Section 901 of the Economic Growth and Tax

Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 shall not apply to any amendment made by section 102 or 103 of such Act.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 102. REDUCED RATES ON CAPITAL GAINS AND DIVIDENDS MADE PERMANENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 303 of the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003 (relating to sunset of title) is hereby repealed.

(b) 20-PERCENT CAPITAL GAINS RATE FOR CERTAIN HIGH INCOME INDIVIDUALS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 1(h) is amended by striking subparagraph (C), by redesignating subparagraphs (D) and (E) as subparagraphs (E) and (F) and by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) 15 percent of the lesser of—

“(i) so much of the adjusted net capital gain (or, if less, taxable income) as exceeds the amount on which a tax is determined under subparagraph (B), or

“(ii) the excess (if any) of—

“(I) the amount of taxable income which would (without regard to this paragraph) be taxed at a rate below 36 percent, over

“(II) the sum of the amounts on which a tax is determined under subparagraphs (A) and (B),

“(D) 20 percent of the adjusted net capital gain (or, if less, taxable income) in excess of the sum of the amounts on which tax is determined under subparagraphs (B) and (C).”.

(2) MINIMUM TAX.—Paragraph (3) of section 55(b) is amended by striking subparagraph (C), by redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (E), and by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) 15 percent of the lesser of—

“(i) so much of the adjusted net capital gain (or, if less, taxable excess) as exceeds the amount on which tax is determined under subparagraph (B), or

“(ii) the excess described in section 1(h)(1)(C)(ii), plus

“(D) 20 percent of the adjusted net capital gain (or, if less, taxable excess) in excess of the sum of the amounts on which tax is determined under subparagraphs (B) and (C), plus”.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The following provisions are each amended by striking “15 percent” and inserting “20 percent”:

(A) Section 531.

(B) Section 541.

(C) Section 1445(e)(1).

(D) The second sentence of section 7518(g)(6)(A).

(E) Section 53511(f)(2) of title 46, United States Code.

(2) Sections 1(h)(1)(B) and 55(b)(3)(B) are each amended by striking “5 percent (0 percent in the case of taxable years beginning after 2007)” and inserting “0 percent”.

(3) Section 1445(e)(6) is amended by striking “15 percent (20 percent in the case of taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010)” and inserting “20 percent”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by subsections (b) and (c) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

(2) WITHHOLDING.—The amendments made by paragraphs (1)(C) and (3) of subsection (c) shall apply to amounts paid on or after January 1, 2011.

SEC. 103. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF OTHER 2001 TAX RELIEF.

(a) TEMPORARY EXTENSION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 901 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” both places it appears and inserting “December 31, 2012”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001.

(b) SEPARATE SUNSET FOR EXPANSION OF ADOPTION BENEFITS UNDER THE PATIENT PROTECTION AND AFFORDABLE CARE ACT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (c) of section 10909 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act is amended to read as follows:

“(c) SUNSET PROVISION.—Each provision of law amended by this section is amended to read as such provision would read if this section had never been enacted. The amendments made by the preceding sentence shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2011.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (d) of section 10909 of such Act is amended by striking “The amendments” and inserting “Except as provided in subsection (c), the amendments”.

SEC. 104. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2009 TAX RELIEF.

(a) AMERICAN OPPORTUNITY TAX CREDIT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 25A(i) is amended by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, 2011, or 2012”.

(2) TREATMENT OF POSSESSIONS.—Section 1004(c)(1) of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009 is amended by striking “and 2010” each place it appears and inserting “, 2010, 2011, and 2012”.

(b) CHILD TAX CREDIT.—Section 24(d)(4) is amended—

(1) by striking “2009 AND 2010” in the heading and inserting “2009, 2010, 2011, AND 2012”, and

(2) by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, 2011, or 2012”.

(c) EARNED INCOME CREDIT.—Section 32(b)(3) is amended—

(1) by striking “2009 AND 2010” in the heading and inserting “2009, 2010, 2011, AND 2012”, and

(2) by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, 2011, or 2012”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

TITLE II—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INDIVIDUAL AMT RELIEF

SEC. 201. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INCREASED ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX EXEMPTION AMOUNT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 55(d) is amended—

(1) by striking “\$70,950” and all that follows through “2009” in subparagraph (A) and inserting “\$72,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2010 and \$74,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2011”, and

(2) by striking “\$46,700” and all that follows through “2009” in subparagraph (B) and inserting “\$47,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2010 and \$48,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

(c) REPEAL OF EGTRRA SUNSET.—Title IX of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 (relating to sunset of provisions of such Act) shall not apply to title VII of such Act (relating to alternative minimum tax).

SEC. 202. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX RELIEF FOR NONREFUNDABLE PERSONAL CREDITS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 26(a) is amended—

(1) by striking “or 2009” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”, and

(2) by striking “2009” in the heading thereof and inserting “2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

TITLE III—ESTATE TAX RELIEF

SEC. 301. REPEAL OF EGTRRA SUNSET.

Section 901 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 shall not apply to title V of such Act.

SEC. 302. REINSTATEMENT OF ESTATE TAX; REPEAL OF CARRYOVER BASIS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Each provision of law amended by subtitle A or E of title V of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 is amended to read as such provision would read if such subtitle had never been enacted.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—On and after January 1, 2011, paragraph (1) of section 2505(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended to read as such paragraph would read if section 521(b)(2) of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 had never been enacted.

(c) SPECIAL ELECTION WITH RESPECT TO ESTATES OF DECEDENTS DYING IN 2010.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), in the case of an estate of a decedent dying after December 31, 2009, and before January 1, 2011, the executor (within the meaning of section 2203 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) may elect to apply such Code as though the amendments made by subsection (a) do not apply with respect to chapter 11 of such Code and with respect to such decedent (within the meaning of section 1014(b) of such Code). Such election shall be made at such time and in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary's delegate shall provide. Such an election once made shall be revocable only with the consent of the Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary's delegate. For purposes of section 2652(a)(1) of such Code, the determination of whether any property is subject to the tax imposed by such chapter 11 shall be made without regard to any election made under this subsection.

(d) EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PERFORMING CERTAIN ACTS.—

(1) ESTATE TAX.—In the case of the estate of a decedent dying after December 31, 2009, and before the date of the enactment of this Act, the due date for—

(A) filing any return under section 6018 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (including any election required to be made on such a return) as such section is in effect after the date of the enactment of this Act without regard to any election under subsection (c),

(B) making any payment of tax under chapter 11 of such Code, and

(C) making any disclaimer described in section 2518(b) of such Code of an interest in property passing by reason of the death of such decedent, shall not be earlier than the date which is 9 months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) GENERATION-SKIPPING TAX.—In the case of any generation-skipping transfer made after December 31, 2009, and before the date of the enactment of this Act, the due date for filing any return under section 2662 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (including any

election required to be made on such a return) shall not be earlier than the date which is 9 months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Except as otherwise provided in this section, the amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying, and transfers made, after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 303. MODIFICATIONS TO ESTATE, GIFT, AND GENERATION-SKIPPING TRANSFER TAXES.

(a) MODIFICATIONS TO ESTATE TAX.—

(1) \$3,500,000 APPLICABLE EXCLUSION AMOUNT.—Subsection (c) of section 2010 is amended to read as follows:

“(c) APPLICABLE CREDIT AMOUNT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this section, the applicable credit amount is the amount of the tentative tax which would be determined under section 2001(c) if the amount with respect to which such tentative tax is to be computed were equal to the applicable exclusion amount.

“(2) APPLICABLE EXCLUSION AMOUNT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this subsection, the applicable exclusion amount is \$3,500,000.

“(B) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—In the case of any decedent dying in a calendar year after 2010, the dollar amount in subparagraph (A) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

“(i) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(ii) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for such calendar year by substituting ‘calendar year 2009’ for ‘calendar year 1992’ in subparagraph (B) thereof.

If any amount as adjusted under the preceding sentence is not a multiple of \$10,000, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10,000.”.

(2) MAXIMUM ESTATE TAX RATE EQUAL TO 45 PERCENT.—Subsection (c) of section 2001 is amended—

(A) by striking “but not over \$2,000,000” in the table contained in paragraph (1),

(B) by striking the last 2 items in such table,

(C) by striking “(1) IN GENERAL.—”, and

(D) by striking paragraph (2).

(b) MODIFICATIONS TO GIFT TAX.—

(1) RESTORATION OF UNIFIED CREDIT AGAINST GIFT TAX.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 2505(a), after the application of section 301(b), is amended by striking “(determined as if the applicable exclusion amount were \$1,000,000)”.

(B) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this paragraph shall apply to gifts made after December 31, 2010.

(2) MODIFICATION OF GIFT TAX RATE.—On and after January 1, 2011, subsection (a) of section 2502 is amended to read as such subsection would read if section 511(d) of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 had never been enacted.

(c) MODIFICATION OF GENERATION-SKIPPING TRANSFER TAX.—In the case of any generation-skipping transfer made after December 31, 2009, and before January 1, 2011, the applicable rate determined under section 2641(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall be zero.

(d) MODIFICATIONS OF ESTATE AND GIFT TAXES TO REFLECT DIFFERENCES IN CREDIT RESULTING FROM DIFFERENT TAX RATES.—

(1) ESTATE TAX.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Section 2001(b)(2) is amended by striking “if the provisions of subsection (c) (as in effect at the decedent's death)” and inserting “if the modifications described in subsection (g)”.

(B) MODIFICATIONS.—Section 2001 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) MODIFICATIONS TO GIFT TAX PAYABLE TO REFLECT DIFFERENT TAX RATES.—For purposes of applying subsection (b)(2) with respect to 1 or more gifts, the rates of tax under subsection (c) in effect at the decedent's death shall, in lieu of the rates of tax in effect at the time of such gifts, be used both to compute—

“(1) the tax imposed by chapter 12 with respect to such gifts, and

“(2) the credit allowed against such tax under section 2505, including in computing—

“(A) the applicable credit amount under section 2505(a)(1), and

“(B) the sum of the amounts allowed as a credit for all preceding periods under section 2505(a)(2).”.

(2) GIFT TAX.—Section 2505(a) is amended by adding at the end the following new flush sentence:

“For purposes of applying paragraph (2) for any calendar year, the rates of tax in effect under section 2502(a)(2) for such calendar year shall, in lieu of the rates of tax in effect for preceding calendar periods, be used in determining the amounts allowable as a credit under this section for all preceding calendar periods.”.

(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 2511 is amended by striking subsection (c).

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Except as otherwise provided in this section, the amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying, generation-skipping transfers, and gifts made, after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 304. APPLICABLE EXCLUSION AMOUNT INCREASED BY UNUSED EXCLUSION AMOUNT OF DECEASED SPOUSE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2010(c), as amended by section 302(a), is amended by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following new paragraphs:

“(2) APPLICABLE EXCLUSION AMOUNT.—For purposes of this subsection, the applicable exclusion amount is the sum of—

“(A) the basic exclusion amount, and

“(B) in the case of a surviving spouse, the deceased spousal unused exclusion amount.

“(3) BASIC EXCLUSION AMOUNT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this subsection, the basic exclusion amount is \$3,500,000.

“(B) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—In the case of any decedent dying in a calendar year after 2010, the dollar amount in subparagraph (A) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

“(i) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(ii) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for such calendar year by substituting ‘calendar year 2009’ for ‘calendar year 1992’ in subparagraph (B) thereof.

If any amount as adjusted under the preceding sentence is not a multiple of \$10,000, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10,000.

“(4) DECEASED SPOUSAL UNUSED EXCLUSION AMOUNT.—For purposes of this subsection, with respect to a surviving spouse of a deceased spouse dying after December 31, 2010, the term ‘deceased spousal unused exclusion amount’ means the lesser of—

“(A) the basic exclusion amount, or

“(B) the excess of—

“(i) the basic exclusion amount of the last such deceased spouse of such surviving spouse, over

“(ii) the amount with respect to which the tentative tax is determined under section 2001(b)(1) on the estate of such deceased spouse.

“(5) SPECIAL RULES.—

“(A) ELECTION REQUIRED.—A deceased spousal unused exclusion amount may not be taken into account by a surviving spouse under paragraph (2) unless the executor of the estate of the deceased spouse files an estate tax return on which such amount is computed and makes an election on such return that such amount may be so taken into account. Such election, once made, shall be irrevocable. No election may be made under this subparagraph if such return is filed after the time prescribed by law (including extensions) for filing such return.

“(B) EXAMINATION OF PRIOR RETURNS AFTER EXPIRATION OF PERIOD OF LIMITATIONS WITH RESPECT TO DECEASED SPOUSAL UNUSED EXCLUSION AMOUNT.—Notwithstanding any period of limitation in section 6501, after the time has expired under section 6501 within which a tax may be assessed under chapter 11 or 12 with respect to a deceased spousal unused exclusion amount, the Secretary may examine a return of the deceased spouse to make determinations with respect to such amount for purposes of carrying out this subsection.

“(6) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall prescribe such regulations as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out this subsection.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Paragraph (1) of section 2505(a), as amended by section 302(b)(1), is amended to read as follows:

“(1) the applicable credit amount in effect under section 2010(c) which would apply if the donor died as of the end of the calendar year, reduced by”.

(2) Section 2631(c) is amended by striking “the applicable exclusion amount” and inserting “the basic exclusion amount”.

(3) Section 6018(a)(1) is amended by striking “applicable exclusion amount” and inserting “basic exclusion amount”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying and gifts made after December 31, 2010.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT RELATING TO GENERATION-SKIPPING TRANSFERS.—The amendment made by subsection (b)(2) shall apply to generation-skipping transfers after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 305. EXCLUSION FROM GROSS ESTATE OF CERTAIN FARMLAND SO LONG AS FARMLAND USE BY FAMILY CONTINUES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Part III of subchapter A of chapter 11 is amended by inserting after section 2033 the following new section:

“SEC. 2033A. EXCLUSION OF CERTAIN FARMLAND SO LONG AS FARMLAND USE BY FAMILY CONTINUES.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—In the case of an estate of a decedent to which this section applies, the value of the gross estate shall not include the adjusted value of qualified farmland included in the estate.

“(b) ESTATES TO WHICH SECTION APPLIES.—This section shall apply to an estate if—

“(1) the executor—

“(A) elects the application of this section,

“(B) files an agreement referred to in section 2032A(d)(2), and

“(C) obtains a qualified appraisal (as defined in section 170(f)(1)(E)(i)) of the qualified farmland to which the election applies and attaches such appraisal to the return of the tax imposed by section 2001,

“(2) the decedent was (at the date of the decedent's death) a citizen or resident of the United States,

“(3) the decedent for the 3-taxable-year period (10-taxable-year period in the case of any qualified farmland which is qualified woodland described in section 2032A(c)(2)(F)(i)) preceding the date of the decedent's death had an average modified adjusted gross income (as defined in section 86(b)(2)) not exceeding \$750,000,

“(4) 60 percent or more of the adjusted value of the gross estate at the date of the decedent's death consists of the adjusted value of real or personal property which is used as a farm for farming purposes (within the meaning of section 2032A(e)),

“(5) 50 percent or more of the adjusted value of the gross estate consists of the adjusted value of qualified farmland which is real property, and

“(6) during the 10-year period ending on the date of the decedent's death—

“(A) the qualified farmland which is such real property was owned by the decedent or a member of the decedent's family, and

“(B) there was material participation (within the meaning of section 469(h)) by the decedent or a member of the decedent's family in the operation of such farmland.

“(c) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) QUALIFIED FARMLAND.—The term ‘qualified farmland’ means any real property—

“(A) which is located in the United States,

“(B) which is used as a farm for farming purposes (within the meaning of section 2032A(e)),

“(C) such use of which is not an activity not engaged in for profit (within the meaning of section 183),

“(D) which was acquired from or passed from the decedent to a qualified heir of the decedent and which, on the date of the decedent's death, was being so used by the decedent or a member of the decedent's family, and

“(E) which is property designated in the agreement filed under subsection (b)(1).

“(2) ADJUSTED VALUE.—The term ‘adjusted value’ means the value of farmland for purposes of this chapter (determined without regard to this section), reduced by any amounts allowable as a deduction in respect to such farmland under paragraph (3) or (4) of section 2053(a).

“(3) OTHER TERMS.—Any other term used in this section which is also used in section 2032A shall have the same meaning given such term by section 2032A.

“(d) ANNUAL INFORMATION RETURN TO THE SECRETARY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The qualified heir of any qualified farmland shall file an information return (at such time and in such form and manner as the Secretary prescribes) for each calendar year.

“(2) CONTENTS OF RETURN.—The information return required under paragraph (1) shall set forth any disposition of any interest in such farmland or any cessation of use of such farmland as a farm for farming purposes and such other information as the Secretary may require.

“(e) IMPOSITION OF RECAPTURE TAX.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—If—

“(A) at any time after the decedent's death and before the death of the qualified heir—

“(i) the qualified heir disposes of any interest in qualified farmland (other than by a disposition to a member of the qualified heir's family),

“(ii) the qualified heir or member ceases to use the qualified farmland as a farm for farming purposes,

“(iii) the qualified heir or member incurs a nonrecourse indebtedness secured in whole

or in part by a portion of the qualified farmland, or

“(iv) the qualified heir or member fails to file the information return with respect to the qualified farmland required under subsection (d) for 3 successive calendar years, or

“(B) upon the death of the qualified heir or member, the executor of the estate of such heir or member does not elect the application of this section with respect to the qualified farmland,

then, there is hereby imposed a recapture tax with respect to such qualified farmland or such interest in or portion of such qualified farmland.

“(2) APPLICATION OF RECAPTURE TAX TO EARLIER GENERATIONS.—Upon the imposition of a recapture tax under paragraph (1) with respect to such qualified farmland or such interest in or portion of such qualified farmland, there is also imposed an aggregate amount of any recapture tax which would have been determined under this subsection with respect to such farmland, interest, or portion if the such tax had been imposed and paid on the date of death of the decedent and on the date of death of any qualified heir (or member) of such farmland, interest, or portion in any intervening generation.

“(3) AMOUNT OF RECAPTURE TAX, ETC.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), rules similar to the rules of section 2032A(c) (other than paragraphs (1) and (2)(E) thereof) with respect to the additional estate tax shall apply for purposes of this subsection with respect to each recapture tax.

“(B) ADJUSTMENTS TO RECAPTURE TAX.—

“(i) ADJUSTMENT TO REFLECT INCREASE IN VALUE OF INTEREST.—Subject to clause (ii), the amount of the recapture tax otherwise determined under rules described in subparagraph (A) shall be increased by the percentage (if any) by which the value of the interest in the qualified farmland at the time of the imposition of such tax is greater than the adjusted value of such farmland at the time such farmland would have been included in the estate if no election under this section had been made.

“(ii) ADJUSTMENTS TO VALUE OF INTEREST AT TIME OF TAX IMPOSITION.—For purposes of determining the value of the interest in the qualified farmland at the time of the imposition of such tax, such value shall be reduced (under rules prescribed by the Secretary) by—

“(I) the basis of any substantial improvements made with respect to such interest by the qualified heir or member, and

“(II) the aggregate amount of any recapture tax imposed under paragraph (2).

“(f) APPLICATION OF OTHER RULES.—Rules similar to the rules of subsections (d), (e) (other than paragraphs (6) and (13) thereof), (f), (g), (h), and (i) of section 2032A shall apply for purposes of this section.

“(g) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary may issue such regulations or other guidance as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of this section, including the application of this section in the case of multiple interests in qualified farmland, and to prevent fraud and abuse under this section.”.

(b) BASIS OF QUALIFIED FARMLAND FOR PURPOSES OF DEPRECIATION OR DEPLETION BY QUALIFIED HEIR.—Section 1014 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) BASIS OF QUALIFIED FARMLAND FOR PURPOSES OF DEPRECIATION OR DEPLETION BY QUALIFIED HEIR.—For purposes of the allowance to any qualified heir of any depreciation or depletion deduction with respect to

any interest in property acquired from a decedent and subject to an election under section 2033A, the basis of such property in the hands of such qualified heir (or member of the qualified heir's family after a disposition described in section 2033A(e)(1)(A)(i)) shall be the adjusted basis of such property in the hands of the decedent immediately before the death of such decedent."

(c) **PENALTY FOR FAILURE TO FILE ANNUAL INFORMATION RETURN.**—Section 6652 is amended by redesignating subsection (m) as subsection (n) and by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(m) **FAILURE TO FILE ANNUAL INFORMATION RETURN.**—In the case of each failure to provide an information return as required under section 2033A(d) at the time prescribed therefor, unless it is shown that such failure is due to reasonable cause and not to willful neglect, there shall be paid, on notice and demand of the Secretary and in the same manner as tax, by the person failing to provide such return, an amount equal to \$250 for each such failure."

(d) **WOODLANDS SUBJECT TO MANAGEMENT PLAN.**—Paragraph (2) of section 2032A(c) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

"(F) **EXCEPTION FOR WOODLANDS SUBJECT TO FOREST STEWARDSHIP PLAN.**—

"(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (E) shall not apply to any disposition or severance of standing timber on a qualified woodland that is made pursuant to a forest stewardship plan developed under the Cooperative Forestry Assistance Act of 1978 (16 U.S.C. 2103a) or an equivalent plan approved by the State Forester.

"(ii) **COMPLIANCE WITH FOREST STEWARDSHIP PLAN.**—Clause (i) shall not apply if, during the 10-year period under paragraph (1), the qualified heir fails to comply with such forest stewardship plan or equivalent plan."

(e) **CERTAIN CONSERVATION TRANSACTIONS NOT TREATED AS DISPOSITIONS.**—Paragraph (8) of section 2032A(c) is amended to read as follows:

"(8) **CERTAIN CONSERVATION TRANSACTIONS NOT TREATED AS DISPOSITIONS.**—

"(A) **QUALIFIED CONSERVATION CONTRIBUTIONS.**—A qualified conservation contribution by gift or otherwise shall not be deemed a disposition under subsection (c)(1)(A).

"(B) **QUALIFIED CONSERVATION EASEMENT SOLD TO QUALIFIED ORGANIZATION.**—A sale of a qualified conservation easement to a qualified organization shall not be deemed a disposition under subsection (c)(1)(A).

"(C) **DEFINITIONS.**—For purposes of this paragraph—

"(i) the terms 'qualified conservation contribution' and 'qualified organization' have the meanings given such terms by section 170(h), and

"(ii) the term 'qualified conservation easement' has the meaning given such term by section 2031(c)(8)."

(f) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for part III of subchapter A of chapter 11 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2033 the following new item:

"Sec. 2033A. Exclusion of certain farmland so long as use as farmland continues."

(g) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 306. INCREASE IN LIMITATIONS ON THE AMOUNT EXCLUDED FROM THE GROSS ESTATE WITH RESPECT TO LAND SUBJECT TO A QUALIFIED CONSERVATION EASEMENT.

(a) **INCREASE IN DOLLAR LIMITATION ON EXCLUSION.**—Paragraph (3) of section 2031(c) is amended by striking "the exclusion limitation is" and all that follows and inserting "the exclusion limitation is \$5,000,000."

(b) **INCREASE IN PERCENTAGE OF VALUE OF LAND WHICH IS EXCLUDABLE.**—Paragraph (2) of section 2031(c) is amended—

(1) by striking "40 percent" and inserting "50 percent", and

(2) by striking "2 percentage points" and inserting "2.5 percentage points".

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to the estates of decedents dying after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 307. MODIFICATION OF RULES FOR VALUE OF CERTAIN FARM, ETC., REAL PROPERTY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (2) of section 2032A(a) is amended by striking "\$750,000" and inserting "\$3,500,000".

(b) **INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.**—Paragraph (3) of section 2032A(a) is amended—

(1) by striking "1998" and inserting "2010",

(2) by striking "\$750,000" and inserting "\$3,500,000" in subparagraph (A), and

(3) by striking "calendar year 1997" and inserting "calendar year 2009" in subparagraph (B).

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying, and gifts made, after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 308. REQUIRED MINIMUM 10-YEAR TERM, ETC., FOR GRANTOR RETAINED ANNUITY TRUSTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (b) of section 2702 is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) as subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C), respectively, and by moving such subparagraphs (as so redesignated) 2 ems to the right;

(2) by striking "For purposes of" and inserting the following:

"(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of";

(3) by striking "paragraph (1) or (2)" in paragraph (1)(C) (as so redesignated) and inserting "subparagraph (A) or (B)"; and

(4) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(2) **ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS WITH RESPECT TO GRANTOR RETAINED ANNUITIES.**—For purposes of subsection (a), in the case of an interest described in paragraph (1)(A) (determined without regard to this paragraph) which is retained by the transferor, such interest shall be treated as described in such paragraph only if—

"(A) the right to receive the fixed amounts referred to in such paragraph is for a term of not less than 10 years,

"(B) such fixed amounts, when determined on an annual basis, do not decrease relative to any prior year during the first 10 years of the term referred to in subparagraph (A), and

"(C) the remainder interest has a value greater than zero determined as of the time of the transfer."

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to transfers made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 309. CONSISTENT BASIS REPORTING BETWEEN ESTATE AND PERSON ACQUIRING PROPERTY FROM DECEDENT.

(a) **CONSISTENT USE OF BASIS.**—

(1) **PROPERTY ACQUIRED FROM A DECEDENT.**—Section 1014 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(f) **BASIS MUST BE CONSISTENT WITH ESTATE TAX VALUE.**—

"(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of this section, the value used to determine the basis of any interest in property in the hands of the person acquiring such property shall not exceed the value of such interest as finally determined for purposes of chapter 11.

"(2) **SPECIAL RULE WHERE NO FINAL DETERMINATION.**—In any case in which the value of property has not been finally determined under chapter 11 and there has been a statement furnished under section 6035(a), the value used to determine the basis of any interest in property in the hands of the person acquiring such property shall not exceed the amount reported on the statement furnished under section 6035(a).

"(3) **REGULATIONS.**—The Secretary may by regulations provide exceptions to the application of this subsection."

(2) **PROPERTY ACQUIRED BY GIFTS AND TRANSFERS IN TRUST.**—Section 1015 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(f) **BASIS MUST BE CONSISTENT WITH GIFT TAX VALUE.**—

"(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of this section, the fair market value of any interest in property at the time of the gift of that interest shall not exceed the value of such interest as finally determined for purposes of chapter 12.

"(2) **SPECIAL RULE WHERE NO FINAL DETERMINATION.**—In any case in which the value of property has not been finally determined under chapter 12 and there has been a statement furnished under section 6035(b), the fair market value of any interest in property at the time of the gift of that interest shall not exceed the amount reported on the statement furnished under section 6035(b).

"(3) **REGULATIONS.**—The Secretary may by regulations provide exceptions to the application of this subsection."

(b) **INFORMATION REPORTING.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subpart A of part III of subchapter A of chapter 61 is amended by inserting after section 6034A the following new section:

"SEC. 6035. BASIS INFORMATION TO PERSONS ACQUIRING PROPERTY FROM DECEDENT OR BY GIFT.

"(a) **INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO PROPERTY ACQUIRED FROM DECEDENTS.**—

"(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The executor of any estate required to file a return under section 6018(a) shall furnish to the Secretary and to each person acquiring any interest in property included in the decedent's gross estate for Federal estate tax purposes a statement identifying the value of each interest in such property as reported on such return and such other information with respect to such interest as the Secretary may prescribe.

"(2) **STATEMENTS BY BENEFICIARIES.**—Each person required to file a return under section 6018(b) shall furnish to the Secretary and to each other person who holds a legal or beneficial interest in the property to which such return relates a statement identifying the information described in paragraph (1).

"(3) **TIME FOR FURNISHING STATEMENT.**—

"(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Each statement required to be furnished under paragraph (1) or (2) shall be furnished at such time as the Secretary may prescribe, but in no case at a time later than the earlier of—

"(i) the date which is 30 days after the date on which the return under section 6018 was required to be filed (including extensions, if any), or

"(ii) the date which is 30 days after the date such return is filed.

“(B) ADJUSTMENTS.—In any case in which there is an adjustment to the information required to be included on a statement filed under paragraph (1) or (2) after such statement has been filed, a supplemental statement under such paragraph shall be filed not later than the date which is 30 days after such adjustment is made.

“(b) INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO PROPERTY ACQUIRED BY GIFT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Each person making a transfer by gift who is required to file a return under section 6019 with respect to such transfer shall furnish to the Secretary and to each person acquiring any interest in property by reason of such transfer a statement identifying the fair market value of each interest in such property as reported on such return and such other information with respect to such interest as the Secretary may prescribe.

“(2) TIME FOR FURNISHING STATEMENT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Each statement required to be furnished under paragraph (1) shall be furnished at such time as the Secretary may prescribe, but in no case at a time later than the earlier of—

“(i) the date which is 30 days after the date on which the return under section 6019 was required to be filed (including extensions, if any), or

“(ii) the date which is 30 days after the date such return is filed.

“(B) ADJUSTMENTS.—In any case in which there is an adjustment to the information required to be included on a statement filed under paragraph (1) after such statement has been filed, a supplemental statement under such paragraph shall be filed not later than the date which is 30 days after such adjustment is made.

“(c) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall prescribe such regulations as necessary to carry out this section, including regulations relating to—

“(1) applying this section to property with regard to which no estate or gift tax return is required to be filed, and

“(2) situations in which the surviving joint tenant or other recipient may have better information than the executor regarding the basis or fair market value of the property.”.

(2) PENALTY FOR FAILURE TO FILE.—

(A) RETURN.—Section 6724(d)(1) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (B), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (C) and inserting “, and”, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) any statement required to be filed with the Secretary under section 6035.”.

(B) STATEMENT.—Section 6724(d)(2) is amended by striking “or” at the end of subparagraph (GG), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (HH) and inserting “, or”, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(II) section 6035 (other than a statement described in paragraph (1)(D)).”.

(3) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for subpart A of part III of subchapter A of chapter 61 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 6034A the following new item:

“Sec. 6035. Basis information to persons acquiring property from decedent or by gift.”.

(c) PENALTY FOR INCONSISTENT REPORTING.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (b) of section 6662 is amended by inserting after paragraph (7) the following new paragraph:

“(8) Any inconsistent estate or gift basis.”.

(2) INCONSISTENT BASIS REPORTING.—Section 6662 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(k) INCONSISTENT ESTATE OR GIFT BASIS REPORTING.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘inconsistent estate or gift basis’ means—

“(1) in the case of property acquired from a decedent, a basis determination with respect to such property which is not consistent with the requirements of section 1014(f), and

“(2) in the case of property acquired by gift, a basis determination with respect to such property which is not consistent with the requirements of section 1015(f).”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to transfers for which returns are filed after the date of the enactment of this Act.

TITLE IV—REPEAL OF INFORMATION REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

SEC. 401. REPEAL OF EXPANSION OF INFORMATION REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

(a) REPEAL OF PAYMENTS FOR PROPERTY AND OTHER GROSS PROCEEDS.—Subsection (b) of section 9006 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, and the amendments made thereby, are hereby repealed; and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall be applied as if such subsection, and amendments, had never been enacted.

(b) REPEAL OF APPLICATION TO CORPORATIONS; APPLICATION OF REGULATORY AUTHORITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 6041 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended by section 9006(a) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and section 2101 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, is amended by striking subsections (i) and (j) and inserting the following new subsection:

“(i) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary may prescribe such regulations and other guidance as may be appropriate or necessary to carry out the purposes of this section, including rules to prevent duplicative reporting of transactions.”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to payments made after December 31, 2010.

TITLE V—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND RELATED MATTERS

SEC. 501. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE PROVISIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Section 4007 of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (Public Law 110-252; 26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended—

(A) by striking “November 30, 2010” each place it appears and inserting “January 3, 2013”;

(B) in the heading for subsection (b)(2), by striking “NOVEMBER 30, 2010” and inserting “JANUARY 3, 2013”; and

(C) in subsection (b)(3), by striking “April 30, 2011” and inserting “June 8, 2013”.

(2) Section 2005 of the Assistance for Unemployed Workers and Struggling Families Act, as contained in Public Law 111-5 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note; 123 Stat. 444), is amended—

(A) by striking “December 1, 2010” each place it appears and inserting “January 2, 2013”; and

(B) in subsection (c), by striking “May 1, 2011” and inserting “June 10, 2013”.

(3) Section 5 of the Unemployment Compensation Extension Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-449; 26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended by striking “April 30, 2011” and inserting “June 9, 2013”.

(b) FUNDING.—Section 4004(e)(1) of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (Public Law 110-252; 26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (E), by striking “and” at the end; and

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (F) the following:

“(G) the amendments made by section 501(a)(1) of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010; and”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Unemployment Compensation Extension Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-205).

SEC. 502. TEMPORARY MODIFICATION OF INDICATORS UNDER THE EXTENDED BENEFIT PROGRAM.

(a) INDICATOR.—Section 203(d) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended, in the flush matter following paragraph (2), by inserting after the first sentence the following sentence: “Effective with respect to compensation for weeks of unemployment beginning after the date of enactment of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (or, if later, the date established pursuant to State law), and ending on or before December 31, 2011, the State may by law provide that the determination of whether there has been a state ‘on’ or ‘off’ indicator beginning or ending any extended benefit period shall be made under this subsection as if the word ‘two’ were ‘three’ in subparagraph (1)(A).”.

(b) ALTERNATIVE TRIGGER.—Section 203(f) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph:

“(2) Effective with respect to compensation for weeks of unemployment beginning after the date of enactment of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (or, if later, the date established pursuant to State law), and ending on or before December 31, 2011, the State may by law provide that the determination of whether there has been a state ‘on’ or ‘off’ indicator beginning or ending any extended benefit period shall be made under this subsection as if the word ‘either’ were ‘any’, the word ‘both’ were ‘all’, and the figure ‘2’ were ‘3’ in clause (1)(A)(ii).”.

SEC. 503. TECHNICAL AMENDMENT RELATING TO COLLECTION OF UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION DEBTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6402(f)(3)(C), as amended by section 801 of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010, is amended by striking “is not a covered unemployment compensation debt” and inserting “is a covered unemployment compensation debt”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect as if included in section 801 of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010.

SEC. 504. TECHNICAL CORRECTION RELATING TO REPEAL OF CONTINUED DUMPING AND SUBSIDY OFFSET.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 822(2)(A) of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010 is amended by striking “or” and inserting “and”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect as if included in the provisions of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010.

SEC. 505. ADDITIONAL EXTENDED UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS UNDER THE RAILROAD UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE ACT.

(a) **EXTENSION.**—Section 2(c)(2)(D)(iii) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, as added by section 2006 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-5) and as amended by section 9 of the Worker, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-92), is amended—

(1) by striking “June 30, 2010” and inserting “June 30, 2011”; and

(2) by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **CLARIFICATION ON AUTHORITY TO USE FUNDS.**—Funds appropriated under either the first or second sentence of clause (iv) of section 2(c)(2)(D) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act shall be available to cover the cost of additional extended unemployment benefits provided under such section 2(c)(2)(D) by reason of the amendments made by subsection (a) as well as to cover the cost of such benefits provided under such section 2(c)(2)(D), as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act.

TITLE VI—MAKING WORK PAY

SEC. 601. MAKING WORK PAY CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 36A(e) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2012”.

(b) **TREATMENT OF POSSESSIONS.**—Section 1001(b)(1) of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009 is amended by striking “2009 and 2010” both places it appears and inserting “2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

TITLE VII—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF CERTAIN EXPIRING PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Energy

SEC. 701. INCENTIVES FOR BIODIESEL AND RENEWABLE DIESEL.

(a) **CREDITS FOR BIODIESEL AND RENEWABLE DIESEL USED AS FUEL.**—Subsection (g) of section 40A is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EXCISE TAX CREDITS AND OUTLAY PAYMENTS FOR ALTERNATIVE FUEL AND ALTERNATIVE FUEL MIXTURES.**—

(1) **ALTERNATIVE FUEL CREDIT.**—Paragraph (5) of section 6426(d) is amended by striking “after December 31, 2009” and all that follows and inserting “after—

“(A) September 30, 2014, in the case of liquefied hydrogen,

“(B) December 31, 2010, in the case of fuels described in subparagraph (A), (C), (F), or (G) of paragraph (2), and

“(C) December 31, 2009, in any other case.”.

(2) **ALTERNATIVE FUEL MIXTURE CREDIT.**—Paragraph (3) of section 6426(e) is amended by striking “after December 31, 2009” and all that follows and inserting “after—

“(A) September 30, 2014, in the case of liquefied hydrogen,

“(B) December 31, 2010, in the case of fuels described in subparagraph (A), (C), (F), or (G) of subsection (d)(2), and

“(C) December 31, 2009, in any other case.”.

(3) **PAYMENT AUTHORITY.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (6) of section 6427(e) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (D) and inserting “, and”, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(E) any alternative fuel or alternative fuel mixture (as so defined) involving fuel de-

scribed in subparagraph (A), (C), (F), or (G) of section 6426(d)(2) sold or used after December 31, 2010”.

(B) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Subparagraph (C) of section 6427(e)(6) is amended by inserting “or (E)” after “subparagraph (D)”.

(c) **EXCLUSION OF BLACK LIQUOR FROM CREDIT ELIGIBILITY.**—The last sentence of section 6426(d)(2) is amended by striking “or biodiesel” and inserting “biodiesel, or any fuel (including lignin, wood residues, or spent pulping liquors) derived from the production of paper or pulp”.

(d) **SPECIAL RULE FOR 2010.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in the case of any biodiesel mixture credit properly determined under section 6426(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for periods during 2010, such credit shall be allowed, and any refund or payment attributable to such credit (including any payment under section 6427(e) of such Code) shall be made, only in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary’s delegate) shall provide. Such Secretary shall issue guidance within 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act providing for a one-time submission of claims covering periods during 2010. Such guidance shall provide for a 180-day period for the submission of such claims (in such manner as prescribed by such Secretary) to begin not later than 30 days after such guidance is issued. Such claims shall be paid by such Secretary not later than 60 days after receipt. If such Secretary has not paid pursuant to a claim filed under this subsection within 60 days after the date of the filing of such claim, the claim shall be paid with interest from such date determined by using the overpayment rate and method under section 6621 of such Code.

(e) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to fuel sold or used after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 702. CREDIT FOR REFINED COAL FACILITIES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (B) of section 45(d)(8) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to facilities placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 703. NEW ENERGY EFFICIENT HOME CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (g) of section 45L is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to homes acquired after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 704. EXCISE TAX CREDITS AND OUTLAY PAYMENTS FOR ALTERNATIVE FUEL AND ALTERNATIVE FUEL MIXTURES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Sections 6426(d)(5), 6426(e)(3), and 6427(e)(6)(C) are each amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EXCLUSION OF BLACK LIQUOR FROM CREDIT ELIGIBILITY.**—The last sentence of section 6426(d)(2) is amended by striking “or biodiesel” and inserting “biodiesel, or any fuel (including lignin, wood residues, or spent pulping liquors) derived from the production of paper or pulp”.

(c) **SPECIAL RULE FOR 2010.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in the case of any alternative fuel credit or any alternative fuel mixture credit properly determined under subsection (d) or (e) of section 6426 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for periods during 2010, such credit shall be allowed, and any refund or payment attributable to such credit (including any payment under section 6427(e) of such Code) shall be made, only in such manner as the Secretary

of the Treasury (or the Secretary’s delegate) shall provide. Such Secretary shall issue guidance within 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act providing for a one-time submission of claims covering periods during 2010. Such guidance shall provide for a 180-day period for the submission of such claims (in such manner as prescribed by such Secretary) to begin not later than 30 days after such guidance is issued. Such claims shall be paid by such Secretary not later than 60 days after receipt. If such Secretary has not paid pursuant to a claim filed under this subsection within 60 days after the date of the filing of such claim, the claim shall be paid with interest from such date determined by using the overpayment rate and method under section 6621 of such Code.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to fuel sold or used after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 705. SPECIAL RULE FOR SALES OR DISPOSITIONS TO IMPLEMENT FERC OR STATE ELECTRIC RESTRUCTURING POLICY FOR QUALIFIED ELECTRIC UTILITIES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (3) of section 451(i) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to dispositions after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 706. SUSPENSION OF LIMITATION ON PERCENTAGE DEPLETION FOR OIL AND GAS FROM MARGINAL WELLS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Clause (ii) of section 613A(c)(6)(H) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 707. EXTENSION OF GRANTS FOR SPECIFIED ENERGY PROPERTY IN LIEU OF TAX CREDITS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (a) of section 1603 of division B of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “2009 or 2010” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”, and

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by striking “after 2010” and inserting “after 2011”, and

(B) by striking “2009 or 2010” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Subsection (j) of section 1603 of division B of such Act is amended by striking “2011” and inserting “2012”.

SEC. 708. EXTENSION OF PROVISIONS RELATED TO ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.

(a) **EXTENSION OF INCOME TAX CREDIT FOR ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (1) of section 40(e) is amended—

(A) by striking “December 31, 2010” in subparagraph (A) and inserting “December 31, 2011”, and

(B) by striking “January 1, 2011” in subparagraph (B) and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(2) **REDUCED AMOUNT FOR ETHANOL BLENDED.**—Subsection (h) of section 40 is amended by striking “2010” both places it appears and inserting “2011”.

(3) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to periods after December 31, 2010.

(b) **EXTENSION OF EXCISE TAX CREDIT FOR ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (6) of section 6426(b) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to periods after December 31, 2010.

(c) EXTENSION OF PAYMENT FOR ALCOHOL FUEL MIXTURE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (A) of section 6427(e)(6) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to sales and uses after December 31, 2010.

(d) EXTENSION OF ADDITIONAL DUTIES ON ETHANOL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Headings 9901.00.50 and 9901.00.52 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States are each amended in the effective period column by striking “1/1/2011” and inserting “1/1/2012”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall take effect on January 1, 2011.

SEC. 709. ENERGY EFFICIENT APPLIANCE CREDIT.

(a) DISHWASHERS.—Paragraph (1) of section 45M(b) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (A), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (B) and inserting a comma, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) \$25 in the case of a dishwasher which is manufactured in calendar year 2011 and which uses no more than 307 kilowatt hours per year and 5.0 gallons per cycle (5.5 gallons per cycle for dishwashers designed for greater than 12 place settings),

“(D) \$50 in the case of a dishwasher which is manufactured in calendar year 2011 and which uses no more than 295 kilowatt hours per year and 4.25 gallons per cycle (4.75 gallons per cycle for dishwashers designed for greater than 12 place settings), and

“(E) \$75 in the case of a dishwasher which is manufactured in calendar year 2011 and which uses no more than 280 kilowatt hours per year and 4 gallons per cycle (4.5 gallons per cycle for dishwashers designed for greater than 12 place settings).”

(b) CLOTHES WASHERS.—Paragraph (2) of section 45M(b) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (D) and inserting a comma, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) \$175 in the case of a top-loading clothes washer manufactured in calendar year 2011 which meets or exceeds a 2.2 modified energy factor and does not exceed a 4.5 water consumption factor, and

“(F) \$225 in the case of a clothes washer manufactured in calendar year 2011—

“(i) which is a top-loading clothes washer and which meets or exceeds a 2.4 modified energy factor and does not exceed a 4.2 water consumption factor, or

“(ii) which is a front-loading clothes washer and which meets or exceeds a 2.8 modified energy factor and does not exceed a 3.5 water consumption factor.”

(c) REFRIGERATORS.—Paragraph (3) of section 45M(b) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (D) and inserting a comma, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) \$150 in the case of a refrigerator manufactured in calendar year 2011 which consumes at least 30 percent less energy than the 2001 energy conservation standards, and

“(F) \$200 in the case of a refrigerator manufactured in calendar year 2011 which consumes at least 35 percent less energy than the 2001 energy conservation standards.”

(d) REBASING OF LIMITATIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 45M(e) is amended—

(A) by striking “\$75,000,000” and inserting “\$25,000,000”, and

(B) by striking “December 31, 2007” and inserting “December 31, 2010”.

(2) EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN REFRIGERATORS AND CLOTHES WASHERS.—Paragraph (2) of section 45M(e) is amended—

(A) by striking “subsection (b)(3)(D)” and inserting “subsection (b)(3)(F)”, and

(B) by striking “subsection (b)(2)(D)” and inserting “subsection (b)(2)(F)”.

(3) GROSS RECEIPTS LIMITATION.—Paragraph (3) of section 45M(e) is amended by striking “2 percent” and inserting “4 percent”.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by subsections (a), (b), and (c) shall apply to appliances produced after December 31, 2010.

(2) LIMITATIONS.—The amendments made by subsection (d) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 710. CREDIT FOR NONBUSINESS ENERGY PROPERTY.

(a) EXTENSION.—Section 25C(g)(2) is amended by striking “2010” and inserting “2011”.

(b) RETURN TO PRE-ARRA LIMITATIONS AND STANDARDS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsections (a) and (b) of section 25C are amended to read as follows:

“(a) ALLOWANCE OF CREDIT.—In the case of an individual, there shall be allowed as a credit against the tax imposed by this chapter for the taxable year an amount equal to the sum of—

“(1) 10 percent of the amount paid or incurred by the taxpayer for qualified energy efficiency improvements installed during such taxable year, and

“(2) the amount of the residential energy property expenditures paid or incurred by the taxpayer during such taxable year.

“(b) LIMITATIONS.—

“(1) LIFETIME LIMITATION.—The credit allowed under this section with respect to any taxpayer for any taxable year shall not exceed the excess (if any) of \$500 over the aggregate credits allowed under this section with respect to such taxpayer for all prior taxable years ending after December 31, 2005.

“(2) WINDOWS.—In the case of amounts paid or incurred for components described in subsection (c)(2)(B) by any taxpayer for any taxable year, the credit allowed under this section with respect to such amounts for such year shall not exceed the excess (if any) of \$200 over the aggregate credits allowed under this section with respect to such amounts for all prior taxable years ending after December 31, 2005.

“(3) LIMITATION ON RESIDENTIAL ENERGY PROPERTY EXPENDITURES.—The amount of the credit allowed under this section by reason of subsection (a)(2) shall not exceed—

“(A) \$50 for any advanced main air circulating fan,

“(B) \$150 for any qualified natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler, and

“(C) \$300 for any item of energy-efficient building property.”

(2) MODIFICATION OF STANDARDS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 25C(c) is amended by striking “2000” and all that follows through “this section” and inserting “2009 International Energy Conservation Code, as such Code (including supplements) is in effect on the date of the enactment of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009”.

(B) WOOD STOVES.—Subparagraph (E) of section 25C(d)(3) is amended by striking “, as measured using a lower heating value”.

(C) OIL FURNACES AND HOT WATER BOILERS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (4) of section 25C(d) is amended to read as follows:

“(4) QUALIFIED NATURAL GAS, PROPANE, OR OIL FURNACE OR HOT WATER BOILER.—The

term ‘qualified natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler’ means a natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler which achieves an annual fuel utilization efficiency rate of not less than 95.”

(ii) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Clause (ii) of section 25C(d)(2)(A) is amended to read as follows:

“(ii) a qualified natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler, or”.

(D) EXTERIOR WINDOWS, DOORS, AND SKYLIGHTS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (c) of section 25C is amended by striking paragraph (4).

(ii) APPLICATION OF ENERGY STAR STANDARDS.—Paragraph (1) of section 25C(c) is amended by inserting “an exterior window, a skylight, an exterior door,” after “in the case of” in the matter preceding subparagraph (A).

(E) INSULATION.—Subparagraph (A) of section 25C(c)(2) is amended by striking “and meets the prescriptive criteria for such material or system established by the 2009 International Energy Conservation Code, as such Code (including supplements) is in effect on the date of the enactment of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009”.

(3) SUBSIDIZED ENERGY FINANCING.—Subsection (e) of section 25C is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) PROPERTY FINANCED BY SUBSIDIZED ENERGY FINANCING.—For purposes of determining the amount of expenditures made by any individual with respect to any property, there shall not be taken into account expenditures which are made from subsidized energy financing (as defined in section 48(a)(4)(C)).”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 711. ALTERNATIVE FUEL VEHICLE REFUELING PROPERTY.

(a) EXTENSION OF CREDIT.—Paragraph (2) of section 30C(g) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 712. EXTENSION OF THE ADVANCED ENERGY PROJECT CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (d) of section 48C is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) ADDITIONAL 2010 ALLOCATIONS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this paragraph, the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, shall establish a program to consider and award certifications for qualified investments eligible for credits under this section to qualifying advanced energy project sponsors with respect to applications received on or after the date of the enactment of this paragraph.

“(B) LIMITATION.—The total amount of credits that may be allocated under the program described in subparagraph (A) shall not exceed the 2010 allocation amount reduced by so much of the 2010 allocation amount as is taken into account as an increase in the limitation described in paragraph (1)(B).

“(C) APPLICATION OF CERTAIN RULES.—Rules similar to the rules of paragraphs (2), (3), (4), and (5) shall apply for purposes of the program described in subparagraph (A), except that—

“(i) CERTIFICATION.—Applicants shall have 2 years from the date that the Secretary establishes such program to submit applications.

“(ii) SELECTION CRITERIA.—For purposes of paragraph (3)(B)(i), the term ‘domestic job creation (both direct and indirect)’ means the creation of direct jobs in the United States producing the property manufactured at the manufacturing facility described under subsection (c)(1)(A)(i), and the creation of indirect jobs in the manufacturing supply chain for such property in the United States.

“(iii) REVIEW AND REDISTRIBUTION.—The Secretary shall conduct a separate review and redistribution under paragraph (5) with respect to such program not later than 4 years after the date of the enactment of this paragraph.

“(D) 2010 ALLOCATION AMOUNT.—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘2010 allocation amount’ means \$5,000,000,000.

“(E) DIRECT PAYMENTS.—In lieu of any qualifying advanced energy project credit which would otherwise be determined under this section with respect to an allocation to a taxpayer under this paragraph, the Secretary shall, upon the election of the taxpayer, make a grant to the taxpayer in the amount of such credit as so determined. Rules similar to the rules of section 50 shall apply with respect to any grant made under this subparagraph.”

(b) PORTION OF 2010 ALLOCATION ALLOCATED TOWARD PENDING APPLICATIONS UNDER ORIGINAL PROGRAM.—Subparagraph (B) of section 48C(d)(1) is amended by inserting “(increased by so much of the 2010 allocation amount (not in excess of \$1,500,000,000) as the Secretary determines necessary to make allocations to qualified investments with respect to which qualifying applications were submitted before the date of the enactment of paragraph (6))” after “\$2,300,000,000”.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Paragraph (2) of section 1324(b) of title 31, United States Code, is amended by inserting “48C(d)(6)(E),” after “36C,”.

Subtitle B—Individual Tax Relief

SEC. 721. DEDUCTION FOR CERTAIN EXPENSES OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (D) of section 62(a)(2) is amended by striking “or 2009” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 722. DEDUCTION OF STATE AND LOCAL SALES TAXES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (I) of section 164(b)(5) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 723. CONTRIBUTIONS OF CAPITAL GAIN REAL PROPERTY MADE FOR CONSERVATION PURPOSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (vi) of section 170(b)(1)(E) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) CONTRIBUTIONS BY CERTAIN CORPORATE FARMERS AND RANCHERS.—Clause (iii) of section 170(b)(2)(B) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 724. ABOVE-THE-LINE DEDUCTION FOR QUALIFIED TUITION AND RELATED EXPENSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (e) of section 222 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 725. TAX-FREE DISTRIBUTIONS FROM INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT PLANS FOR CHARITABLE PURPOSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (F) of section 408(d)(8) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE; SPECIAL RULE.—

(1) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to distributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

(2) SPECIAL RULE.—For purposes of subsections (a)(6), (b)(3), and (d)(8) of section 408 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, at the election of the taxpayer (at such time and in such manner as prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury) any qualified charitable distribution made after December 31, 2010, and before February 1, 2011, shall be deemed to have been made on December 31, 2010.

SEC. 726. LOOK-THRU OF CERTAIN REGULATED INVESTMENT COMPANY STOCK IN DETERMINING GROSS ESTATE OF NONRESIDENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (3) of section 2105(d) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 727. PARITY FOR EXCLUSION FROM INCOME FOR EMPLOYER-PROVIDED MASS TRANSIT AND PARKING BENEFITS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 132(f) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to months after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 728. REFUNDS DISREGARDED IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF FEDERAL PROGRAMS AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED PROGRAMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter A of chapter 65 is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 6409. REFUNDS DISREGARDED IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF FEDERAL PROGRAMS AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED PROGRAMS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any refund (or advance payment with respect to a refundable credit) made to any individual under this title shall not be taken into account as income, and shall not be taken into account as resources for a period of 12 months from receipt, for purposes of determining the eligibility of such individual (or any other individual) for benefits or assistance (or the amount or extent of benefits or assistance) under any Federal program or under any State or local program financed in whole or in part with Federal funds.

“(b) TERMINATION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to any amount received after December 31, 2012.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for such subchapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“Sec. 6409. Refunds disregarded in the administration of Federal programs and federally assisted programs.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts received after December 31, 2009.

Subtitle C—Business Tax Relief

SEC. 731. RESEARCH CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (B) of section 41(h)(1) is amended by striking “Decem-

ber 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subparagraph (D) of section 45C(b)(1) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 732. INDIAN EMPLOYMENT TAX CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 45A is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 733. NEW MARKETS TAX CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 45D(f) is amended—

(1) by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (E),

(2) by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (F), and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(G) \$3,500,000,000 for 2010 and 2011.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Paragraph (3) of section 45D(f) is amended by striking “2014” and inserting “2016”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to calendar years beginning after 2009.

SEC. 734. RAILROAD TRACK MAINTENANCE CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 45G is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to expenditures paid or incurred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 735. MINE RESCUE TEAM TRAINING CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (e) of section 45N is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 736. EMPLOYER WAGE CREDIT FOR EMPLOYEES WHO ARE ACTIVE DUTY MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 45P is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to payments made after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 737. 15-YEAR STRAIGHT-LINE COST RECOVERY FOR QUALIFIED LEASEHOLD IMPROVEMENTS, QUALIFIED RESTAURANT BUILDINGS AND IMPROVEMENTS, AND QUALIFIED RETAIL IMPROVEMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clauses (iv), (v), and (ix) of section 168(e)(3)(E) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Clause (i) of section 168(e)(7)(A) is amended by striking “if such building is placed in service after December 31, 2008, and before January 1, 2010,”.

(2) Paragraph (8) of section 168(e) is amended by striking subparagraph (E).

(3) Section 179(f)(2) is amended—

(A) by striking “(without regard to the dates specified in subparagraph (A)(i) thereof)” in subparagraph (B), and

(B) by striking “(without regard to subparagraph (E) thereof)” in subparagraph (C).

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 738. 7-YEAR RECOVERY PERIOD FOR MOTOR-SPORTS ENTERTAINMENT COMPLEXES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (D) of section 168(i)(15) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 739. ACCELERATED DEPRECIATION FOR BUSINESS PROPERTY ON AN INDIAN RESERVATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (8) of section 168(j) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 740. ENHANCED CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF FOOD INVENTORY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 170(e)(3)(C) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 741. ENHANCED CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF BOOK INVENTORIES TO PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 170(e)(3)(D) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 742. ENHANCED CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CORPORATE CONTRIBUTIONS OF COMPUTER INVENTORY FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (G) of section 170(e)(6) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 743. ELECTION TO EXPENSE MINE SAFETY EQUIPMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (g) of section 179E is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 744. SPECIAL EXPENSING RULES FOR CERTAIN FILM AND TELEVISION PRODUCTIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 181 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to productions commencing after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 745. EXPENSING OF ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION COSTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (h) of section 198 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to expenditures paid or incurred after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 746. DEDUCTION ALLOWABLE WITH RESPECT TO INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO DOMESTIC PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES IN PUERTO RICO.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (C) of section 199(d)(8) is amended—

(1) by striking “first 4 taxable years” and inserting “first 6 taxable years”; and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 747. MODIFICATION OF TAX TREATMENT OF CERTAIN PAYMENTS TO CONTROLLING EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 512(b)(13)(E) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to payments received or accrued after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 748. TREATMENT OF CERTAIN DIVIDENDS OF REGULATED INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraphs (1)(C) and (2)(C) of section 871(k) are each amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 749. RIC QUALIFIED INVESTMENT ENTITY TREATMENT UNDER FIRPTA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (ii) of section 897(h)(4)(A) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on January 1, 2010. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, such amendment shall not apply with respect to the withholding requirement under section 1445 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for any payment made before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) AMOUNTS WITHHELD ON OR BEFORE DATE OF ENACTMENT.—In the case of a regulated investment company—

(A) which makes a distribution after December 31, 2009, and before the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(B) which would (but for the second sentence of paragraph (1)) have been required to withhold with respect to such distribution under section 1445 of such Code,

such investment company shall not be liable to any person to whom such distribution was made for any amount so withheld and paid over to the Secretary of the Treasury.

SEC. 750. EXCEPTIONS FOR ACTIVE FINANCING INCOME.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Sections 953(e)(10) and 954(h)(9) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 953(e)(10) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2009, and to taxable years of United States shareholders with or within which any such taxable year of such foreign corporation ends.

SEC. 751. LOOK-THRU TREATMENT OF PAYMENTS BETWEEN RELATED CONTROLLED FOREIGN CORPORATIONS UNDER FOREIGN PERSONAL HOLDING COMPANY RULES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (C) of section 954(c)(6) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2009, and to taxable years of United States shareholders with or within which any such taxable year of such foreign corporation ends.

SEC. 752. BASIS ADJUSTMENT TO STOCK OF S CORPS MAKING CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS OF PROPERTY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 1367(a) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 753. EMPOWERMENT ZONE TAX INCENTIVES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1391 is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2009” in subsection (d)(1)(A)(i) and inserting “December 31, 2011”; and

(2) by striking the last sentence of subsection (h)(2).

(b) INCREASED EXCLUSION OF GAIN ON STOCK OF EMPOWERMENT ZONE BUSINESSES.—Subparagraph (C) of section 1202(a)(2) is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”; and

(2) by striking “2014” in the heading and inserting “2016”.

(c) TREATMENT OF CERTAIN TERMINATION DATES SPECIFIED IN NOMINATIONS.—In the case of a designation of an empowerment zone the nomination for which included a termination date which is contemporaneous with the date specified in subparagraph (A)(i) of section 1391(d)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as in effect before the enactment of this Act), subparagraph (B) of such section shall not apply with respect to such designation if, after the date of the enactment of this section, the entity which made such nomination amends the nomination to provide for a new termination date in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary’s designee) may provide.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to periods after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 754. TAX INCENTIVES FOR INVESTMENT IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 1400 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” each place it appears and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) TAX-EXEMPT DC EMPOWERMENT ZONE BONDS.—Subsection (b) of section 1400A is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) ZERO-PERCENT CAPITAL GAINS RATE.—

(1) ACQUISITION DATE.—Paragraphs (2)(A)(i), (3)(A), (4)(A)(i), and (4)(B)(i)(I) of section 1400B(b) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(2) LIMITATION ON PERIOD OF GAINS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 1400B(e) is amended—

(i) by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”; and

(ii) by striking “2014” in the heading and inserting “2016”.

(B) PARTNERSHIPS AND S-CORPS.—Paragraph (2) of section 1400B(g) is amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”.

(d) FIRST-TIME HOMEBUYER CREDIT.—Subsection (i) of section 1400C is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the amendments made by this section shall apply to periods after December 31, 2009.

(2) TAX-EXEMPT DC EMPOWERMENT ZONE BONDS.—The amendment made by subsection (b) shall apply to bonds issued after December 31, 2009.

(3) ACQUISITION DATES FOR ZERO-PERCENT CAPITAL GAINS RATE.—The amendments made by subsection (c) shall apply to property acquired or substantially improved after December 31, 2009.

(4) HOMEBUYER CREDIT.—The amendment made by subsection (d) shall apply to homes purchased after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 755. TEMPORARY INCREASE IN LIMIT ON COVER OVER OF RUM EXCISE TAXES TO PUERTO RICO AND THE VIRGIN ISLANDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 7652(f) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to distilled spirits brought into the United States after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 756. AMERICAN SAMOA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (d) of section 119 of division A of the Tax Relief and Health Care Act of 2006 is amended—

(1) by striking “first 4 taxable years” and inserting “first 6 taxable years”; and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 757. WORK OPPORTUNITY CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (B) of section 51(c)(4) is amended by striking “August 31, 2011” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to individuals who begin work for the employer after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 758. QUALIFIED ZONE ACADEMY BONDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 54E(c)(1) is amended—

(1) by striking “2008 and” and inserting “2008,”; and

(2) by inserting “and \$400,000,000 for 2011” after “2010.”.

(b) REPEAL OF REFUNDABLE CREDIT FOR QZABS.—Paragraph (3) of section 6431(f) is amended by inserting “determined without regard to any allocation relating to the national zone academy bond limitation for 2011 or any carryforward of such allocation” after “54E)” in subparagraph (A)(iii).

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to obligations issued after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 759. MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 163(h)(3)(E) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or accrued after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 760. TEMPORARY EXCLUSION OF 100 PERCENT OF GAIN ON CERTAIN SMALL BUSINESS STOCK.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (4) of section 1202(a) is amended—

(1) by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”; and

(2) by inserting “AND 2011” after “2010” in the heading thereof.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to stock acquired after December 31, 2010.

Subtitle D—Temporary Disaster Relief Provisions

PART I—NEW YORK LIBERTY ZONE

SEC. 761. TAX-EXEMPT BOND FINANCING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (D) of section 1400L(d)(2) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to bonds issued after December 31, 2009.

PART II—GO ZONE

SEC. 762. INCREASE IN REHABILITATION CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (h) of section 1400N is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 763. LOW-INCOME HOUSING CREDIT RULES FOR BUILDINGS IN GO ZONES.

Section 1400N(c)(5) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

SEC. 764. TAX-EXEMPT BOND FINANCING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraphs (2)(D) and (7)(C) of section 1400N(a) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Sections 702(d)(1) and 704(a) of the Heartland Disaster Tax Relief Act of 2008 are each amended by striking “January 1, 2011” each place it appears and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

SEC. 765. BONUS DEPRECIATION DEDUCTION APPLICABLE TO THE GO ZONE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (6) of section 1400N(d) is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2010” both places it appears in subparagraph (B) and inserting “December 31, 2011”; and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2010” in the heading and the text of subparagraph (D) and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

Subtitle E—Extension of Health Coverage Improvement

SEC. 771. IMPROVEMENT OF THE AFFORDABILITY OF THE CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 35(a) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 7527(b) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to coverage months beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 772. PAYMENT FOR THE MONTHLY PREMIUMS PAID PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF THE ADVANCE PAYMENTS OF CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7527(e) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to coverage months beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 773. TAA RECIPIENTS NOT ENROLLED IN TRAINING PROGRAMS ELIGIBLE FOR CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 35(c)(2)(B) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to coverage months beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 774. TAA PRE-CERTIFICATION PERIOD RULE FOR PURPOSES OF DETERMINING WHETHER THERE IS A 63-DAY LAPSE IN CREDITABLE COVERAGE.

(a) IRC AMENDMENT.—Section 9801(c)(2)(D) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) ERISA AMENDMENT.—Section 701(c)(2)(C) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (29 U.S.C. 1181(c)(2)(C)) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(c) PHSA AMENDMENT.—Section 2701(c)(2)(C) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300gg(c)(2)(C)) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to plan years beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 775. CONTINUED QUALIFICATION OF FAMILY MEMBERS AFTER CERTAIN EVENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 35(g)(9) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 173(f)(8) of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (29 U.S.C. 2918(f)(8)) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to months beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 776. EXTENSION OF COBRA BENEFITS FOR CERTAIN TAA-ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS AND PBGC RECIPIENTS.

(a) ERISA AMENDMENTS.—

(1) PBGC RECIPIENTS.—Section 602(2)(A)(v) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (29 U.S.C. 1162(2)(A)(v)) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) TAA-ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS.—Section 602(2)(A)(vi) of such Act (29 U.S.C. 1162(2)(A)(vi)) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) IRC AMENDMENTS.—

(1) PBGC RECIPIENTS.—Section 4980B(f)(2)(B)(i)(V) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) TAA-ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS.—Section 4980B(f)(2)(B)(i)(VI) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) PHSA AMENDMENTS.—Section 2202(2)(A)(iv) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300bb-2(2)(A)(iv)) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to periods of coverage which would (without regard to the amendments made by this section) end on or after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 777. ADDITION OF COVERAGE THROUGH VOLUNTARY EMPLOYEES' BENEFICIARY ASSOCIATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 35(e)(1)(K) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to coverage months beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 778. NOTICE REQUIREMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7527(d)(2) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to certificates issued after December 31, 2010.

Subtitle F—Bonus Depreciation

SEC. 781. EXTENSION OF BONUS DEPRECIATION; TEMPORARY 100 PERCENT EXPENSING FOR CERTAIN BUSINESS ASSETS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 168(k) is amended—

(1) by striking “January 1, 2012” in subparagraph (A)(iv) and inserting “January 1, 2014”; and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2011” each place it appears and inserting “January 1, 2013”.

(b) TEMPORARY 100 PERCENT EXPENSING.—Subsection (k) of section 168 is amended by

adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) SPECIAL RULE FOR PROPERTY ACQUIRED DURING CERTAIN PRE-2012 PERIODS.—In the case of qualified property acquired by the taxpayer (under rules similar to the rules of clauses (ii) and (iii) of paragraph (2)(A)) after September 8, 2010, and before January 1, 2012, and which is placed in service by the taxpayer before January 1, 2012 (January 1, 2013, in the case of property described in subparagraph (2)(B) or (2)(C)), paragraph (1)(A) shall be applied by substituting ‘100 percent’ for ‘50 percent’.”.

(c) EXTENSION OF ELECTION TO ACCELERATE THE AMT CREDIT IN LIEU OF BONUS DEPRECIATION.—

(1) EXTENSION.—Clause (iii) of section 168(k)(4)(D) is amended by striking “or production” and all that follows and inserting “or production—

“(I) after March 31, 2008, and before January 1, 2010, and

“(II) after December 31, 2010, and before January 1, 2013,

shall be taken into account under subparagraph (B)(ii) thereof.”.

(2) RULES FOR ROUND 2 EXTENSION PROPERTY.—Paragraph (4) of section 168(k) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(I) SPECIAL RULES FOR ROUND 2 EXTENSION PROPERTY.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—In the case of round 2 extension property, this paragraph shall be applied without regard to—

“(I) the limitation described in subparagraph (B)(i) thereof, and

“(II) the business credit increase amount under subparagraph (E)(iii) thereof.

“(ii) TAXPAYERS PREVIOUSLY ELECTING ACCELERATION.—In the case of a taxpayer who made the election under subparagraph (A) for its first taxable year ending after March 31, 2008, or a taxpayer who made the election under subparagraph (H)(ii) for its first taxable year ending after December 31, 2008—

“(I) the taxpayer may elect not to have this paragraph apply to round 2 extension property, but

“(II) if the taxpayer does not make the election under subclause (I), in applying this paragraph to the taxpayer the bonus depreciation amount, maximum amount, and maximum increase amount shall be computed and applied to eligible qualified property which is round 2 extension property.

The amounts described in subclause (II) shall be computed separately from any amounts computed with respect to eligible qualified property which is not round 2 extension property.

“(iii) TAXPAYERS NOT PREVIOUSLY ELECTING ACCELERATION.—In the case of a taxpayer who neither made the election under subparagraph (A) for its first taxable year ending after March 31, 2008, nor made the election under subparagraph (H)(ii) for its first taxable year ending after December 31, 2008—

“(I) the taxpayer may elect to have this paragraph apply to its first taxable year ending after December 31, 2010, and each subsequent taxable year, and

“(II) if the taxpayer makes the election under subclause (I), this paragraph shall only apply to eligible qualified property which is round 2 extension property.

“(iv) ROUND 2 EXTENSION PROPERTY.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term ‘round 2 extension property’ means property which is eligible qualified property solely by reason of the extension of the application of the special allowance under paragraph (1) pursuant to the amendments made by sec-

tion 401(a) of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (and the application of such extension to this paragraph pursuant to the amendment made by section 401(c)(1) of such Act).”.

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The heading for subsection (k) of section 168 is amended by striking “JANUARY 1, 2011” and inserting “JANUARY 1, 2013”.

(2) The heading for clause (ii) of section 168(k)(2)(B) is amended by striking “PRE-JANUARY 1, 2011” and inserting “PRE-JANUARY 1, 2013”.

(3) Subparagraph (D) of section 168(k)(4) is amended—

(A) by striking clauses (iv) and (v),

(B) by inserting “and” at the end of clause (ii), and

(C) by striking the comma at the end of clause (iii) and inserting a period.

(4) Paragraph (5) of section 168(l) is amended—

(A) by inserting “and” at the end of subparagraph (A),

(B) by striking subparagraph (B), and

(C) by redesignating subparagraph (C) as subparagraph (B).

(5) Subparagraph (C) of section 168(n)(2) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2013”.

(6) Subparagraph (D) of section 1400L(b)(2) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2013”.

(7) Subparagraph (B) of section 1400N(d)(3) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2013”.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2010, in taxable years ending after such date.

(2) TEMPORARY 100 PERCENT EXPENSING.—The amendment made by subsection (b) shall apply to property placed in service after September 8, 2010, in taxable years ending after such date.

TITLE VIII—INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT

SEC. 801. EXTENSION OF BUILD AMERICA BONDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (B) of section 54AA(d)(1) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EXTENSION OF PAYMENTS TO ISSUERS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 6431 is amended—

(A) by striking “January 1, 2011” in subsection (a) and inserting “January 1, 2012”; and

(B) by striking “January 1, 2011” in subsection (f)(1)(B) and inserting “a particular date”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Subsection (g) of section 54AA is amended—

(A) by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”; and

(B) by striking “QUALIFIED BONDS ISSUED BEFORE 2011” in the heading and inserting “CERTAIN QUALIFIED BONDS”.

(c) REDUCTION IN PERCENTAGE OF PAYMENTS TO ISSUERS.—Subsection (b) of section 6431 is amended—

(1) by striking “The Secretary” and inserting the following:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary”;

(2) by striking “35 percent” and inserting “the applicable percentage”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE.—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘applicable percentage’ means the percentage determined in accordance with the following table:

“In the case of a qualified bond issued during calendar year:	The applicable percentage is:
2009 or 2010	35 percent
2011	32 percent.”.

(d) CURRENT REFUNDINGS PERMITTED.—Subsection (g) of section 54AA is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) TREATMENT OF CURRENT REFUNDING BONDS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘qualified bond’ includes any bond (or series of bonds) issued to refund a qualified bond if—

“(i) the average maturity date of the issue of which the refunding bond is a part is not later than the average maturity date of the bonds to be refunded by such issue,

“(ii) the amount of the refunding bond does not exceed the outstanding amount of the refunded bond, and

“(iii) the refunded bond is redeemed not later than 90 days after the date of the issuance of the refunding bond.

“(B) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE.—In the case of a refunding bond referred to in subparagraph (A), the applicable percentage with respect to such bond under section 6431(b) shall be the lowest percentage specified in paragraph (2) of such section.

“(C) DETERMINATION OF AVERAGE MATURITY.—For purposes of subparagraph (A)(i), average maturity shall be determined in accordance with section 147(b)(2)(A).”.

SEC. 802. EXTENSION AND ADDITIONAL ALLOCATIONS OF RECOVERY ZONE BOND AUTHORITY.

(a) EXTENSION OF RECOVERY ZONE BOND AUTHORITY.—Section 1400U-2(b)(1) and section 1400U-3(b)(1)(B) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) ADDITIONAL ALLOCATIONS OF RECOVERY ZONE BOND AUTHORITY BASED ON UNEMPLOYMENT.—Section 1400U-1 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(c) ALLOCATION OF 2010 RECOVERY ZONE BOND LIMITATIONS BASED ON UNEMPLOYMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall allocate the 2010 national recovery zone economic development bond limitation and the 2010 national recovery zone facility bond limitation among the States in the proportion that each such State’s 2009 unemployment number bears to the aggregate of the 2009 unemployment numbers for all of the States.

“(2) MINIMUM ALLOCATION.—The Secretary shall adjust the allocations under paragraph (1) for each State to the extent necessary to ensure that no State (prior to any reduction under paragraph (3)) receives less than 0.9 percent of the 2010 national recovery zone economic development bond limitation and 0.9 percent of the 2010 national recovery zone facility bond limitation.

“(3) ALLOCATIONS BY STATES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Each State with respect to which an allocation is made under paragraph (1) shall reallocate such allocation among the counties and large municipalities (as defined in subsection (a)(3)(B)) in such State in the proportion that each such county’s or municipality’s 2009 unemployment number bears to the aggregate of the 2009 unemployment numbers for all the counties and large municipalities (as so defined) in such State.

“(B) 2010 ALLOCATION REDUCED BY AMOUNT OF PREVIOUS ALLOCATION.—Each State shall reduce (but not below zero)—

“(i) the amount of the 2010 national recovery zone economic development bond limitation allocated to each county or large municipality (as so defined) in such State by the amount of the national recovery zone economic development bond limitation allocated to such county or large municipality under subsection (a)(3)(A) (determined without regard to any waiver thereof), and

“(ii) the amount of the 2010 national recovery zone facility bond limitation allocated to each county or large municipality (as so defined) in such State by the amount of the national recovery zone facility bond limitation allocated to such county or large municipality under subsection (a)(3)(A) (determined without regard to any waiver thereof).

“(C) WAIVER OF SUBALLOCATIONS.—A county or municipality may waive any portion of an allocation made under this paragraph. A county or municipality shall be treated as having waived any portion of an allocation made under this paragraph which has not been allocated to a bond issued before May 1, 2011. Any allocation waived (or treated as waived) under this subparagraph may be used or reallocated by the State.

“(D) SPECIAL RULE FOR A MUNICIPALITY IN A COUNTY.—In the case of any large municipality any portion of which is in a county, such portion shall be treated as part of such municipality and not part of such county.

“(4) 2009 UNEMPLOYMENT NUMBER.—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘2009 unemployment number’ means, with respect to any State, county or municipality, the number of individuals in such State, county, or municipality who were determined to be unemployed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for December 2009.

“(5) 2010 NATIONAL LIMITATIONS.—

“(A) RECOVERY ZONE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BONDS.—The 2010 national recovery zone economic development bond limitation is \$10,000,000,000. Any allocation of such limitation under this subsection shall be treated for purposes of section 1400U-2 in the same manner as an allocation of national recovery zone economic development bond limitation.

“(B) RECOVERY ZONE FACILITY BONDS.—The 2010 national recovery zone facility bond limitation is \$15,000,000,000. Any allocation of such limitation under this subsection shall be treated for purposes of section 1400U-3 in the same manner as an allocation of national recovery zone facility bond limitation.”

(C) AUTHORITY OF STATE TO WAIVE CERTAIN 2009 ALLOCATIONS.—Subparagraph (A) of section 1400U-1(a)(3) is amended by adding at the end the following: “A county or municipality shall be treated as having waived any portion of an allocation made under this subparagraph which has not been allocated to a bond issued before May 1, 2011. Any allocation waived (or treated as waived) under this subparagraph may be used or reallocated by the State.”

TITLE IX—NATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE BANK

SEC. 901. DEFINITIONS.

In this title, the following definitions shall apply:

(1) BANK.—The term “Bank” means the “National Infrastructure Bank” established under section 911.

(2) BOARD.—The term “Board” means the board of directors of the Bank, established under section 912.

(3) CHAIRPERSON; VICE CHAIRPERSON.—The terms “Chairperson” and “Vice Chairperson” mean the Chairperson and Vice Chairperson of the Board, respectively.

(4) FINANCING MECHANISM.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The term “financing mechanism” means a method used by the Bank to pledge the full faith and credit of the United States to provide money, credit, or other capital to a qualified infrastructure project.

(B) INCLUSIONS.—The term “financing mechanism” includes—

(i) a direct subsidy;

(ii) a general purpose infrastructure bond; and

(iii) a project-based infrastructure bond.

(5) FINANCING PACKAGE.—The term “financing package” means 1 or more financing mechanisms used by the Bank to meet the Federal commitment for a qualified infrastructure project.

(6) GENERAL PURPOSE INFRASTRUCTURE BOND.—The term “general purpose infrastructure bond” means a bond issued as part of an issue in accordance with this title, if—

(A) the net spendable proceeds from the sale of the issue may be used for expenditures incurred after the date of issuance with respect to any qualified infrastructure project or purpose, subject to the rules of the Bank;

(B) the bond is issued by the Bank, is in registered form, and meets the requirements of this title and otherwise applicable law;

(C) the term of each bond which is part of the issue is greater than 30 years; and

(D) the payment of principal with respect to the bond is the obligation of the Bank.

(7) INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT.—The term “infrastructure project” means the building, improvement, or increase in capacity of a basic installation, facility, asset, or stock that is associated with—

(A) a mass transit system;

(B) a road or bridge; or

(C) a drinking water system or a wastewater system.

(8) PROJECT-BASED INFRASTRUCTURE BOND.—The term “project-based infrastructure bond” means any bond issued as part of an issue, if—

(A) the net spendable proceeds from the sale of the issue are to be used for expenditures incurred after the date of issuance only with respect to the qualified infrastructure project for which the bond is issued;

(B) the bond is issued by the Bank, meets the requirements of section 149(a) of title 26, United States Code, for registration, and otherwise meets the requirements of this title and other applicable law;

(C) the term of each bond which is part of the issue is equal to the useful life of the qualified infrastructure project funded through use of the bond; and

(D) the payment of principal with respect to the bond is the obligation of the Bank.

(9) PUBLIC SPONSOR.—The term “public sponsor” includes a State or local government, an Indian tribe (as defined in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 450b)), a public transit agency, public housing agency, a public infrastructure agency, or a consortium of those entities, including a public entity that has partnered with a private nonprofit or for-profit entity.

(10) QUALIFIED INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT.—The term “qualified infrastructure project” means an infrastructure project designated by the Board as a qualified infrastructure project in accordance with section 922.

SEC. 902. APPROPRIATIONS.

Until such time as the Bank has received funds from the issuance of bonds sufficient to carry out this title and the administration of the Bank, there are authorized to be appropriated and are hereby appropriated to

the Bank, \$6,000,000,000, to remain available until expended.

Subtitle A—National Infrastructure Bank

SEC. 911. ESTABLISHMENT OF BANK.

There is established the “National Infrastructure Bank”, which shall be an independent establishment of the Federal Government, as defined in section 104 of title 5, United States Code.

SEC. 912. MANAGEMENT OF BANK.

(a) BOARD OF DIRECTORS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The management of the Bank shall be vested in a Board of Directors consisting of 5 members, appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, from among individuals who are citizens of the United States.

(2) MEMBER EXPERTISE.—Not fewer than 1 member of the Board shall have demonstrated expertise in—

(A) transit infrastructure;

(B) road and bridge infrastructure;

(C) water infrastructure; or

(D) public finance.

(3) POLITICAL AFFILIATION.—Section 2(a)(2) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1812(a)(2)) shall apply to members of the Board of Directors of the Bank in the same manner as it applies to the Board of Directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

(4) MEETINGS.—The Board shall meet not later than 90 days after the date on which all directors of the Board are first appointed, and otherwise at the call of the Chairperson.

(5) DATE OF APPOINTMENTS.—The initial nominations to the Board shall be made not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(b) CHAIRPERSON AND VICE CHAIRPERSON.—The Chairperson and Vice Chairperson of the Board shall be appointed and shall serve in the same manner as is provided for members of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation under section 2(b) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1812(b)).

(c) TERMS.—

(1) APPOINTED MEMBERS.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), each member of the Board shall be appointed for a term of 6 years.

(2) INITIAL STAGGERED TERMS.—Of the initial members of the Board—

(A) the Chairperson and Vice Chairperson shall be appointed for a term of 6 years;

(B) 1 member shall be appointed for a term of 5 years;

(C) 1 member shall be appointed for a term of 4 years; and

(D) 1 member shall be appointed for a term of 3 years.

(3) INTERIM APPOINTMENTS.—Any member of the Board appointed to fill a vacancy occurring before the expiration of the term for which the predecessor of such member was appointed shall be appointed only for the remainder of such term.

(4) CONTINUATION OF SERVICE.—The Chairperson, Vice Chairperson, and each other member of the Board may continue to serve after the expiration of the term of office to which such member was appointed, until a successor has been appointed.

(d) VACANCY.—Any vacancy on the Board shall be filled in the manner in which the original appointment was made.

(e) INELIGIBILITY FOR OTHER OFFICES.—

(1) RESTRICTION DURING SERVICE.—No member of the Board may, during service on the Board—

(A) be an officer or director of, or otherwise be employed by, any entity engaged in or otherwise associated with an infrastructure project assisted or considered under this title;

(B) hold stock in any such entity; or
(C) hold any other elected or appointed public office.

(2) POST SERVICE RESTRICTION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—No member of the Board may hold any office, position, or employment in any entity engaged in or otherwise associated with an infrastructure project assisted under this title during the 2-year period beginning on the date on which such member ceases to serve on the Board.

(B) EXCEPTION FOR MEMBERS WHO SERVE FULL TERM.—The limitation contained in subparagraph (A) does not apply to any member who has ceased to serve on the Board after serving the full term for which such member was appointed.

(3) CERTIFICATION.—Upon taking office, each member of the Board shall certify under oath that such member has complied with this subsection, and such certification shall be filed with the secretary of the Board.

SEC. 913. STAFF AND PERSONNEL MATTERS.

(1) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Chairperson may appoint and terminate, and fix the compensation of, an executive director of the Bank, in accordance with title 5, United States Code.

(2) CONFIRMATION OF EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.—The employment of an executive director shall be subject to confirmation by the Board.

(3) QUALIFICATIONS OF EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.—An individual appointed as the executive director under paragraph (1) shall have demonstrated expertise in—

- (A) transit infrastructure;
- (B) road and bridge infrastructure;
- (C) water infrastructure; or
- (D) public finance.

(b) OTHER PERSONNEL.—The Board may appoint and terminate, and fix the compensation of, in accordance with title 5, United States Code, such personnel as are necessary to enable the Bank to perform the duties of the Bank.

(c) INSPECTOR GENERAL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 11 of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1), by inserting “the Chairperson of the National Infrastructure Bank;” after “the Chairperson of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation;”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by inserting “the National Infrastructure Bank;” after “the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation;”.

(2) EXECUTIVE SCHEDULE LEVEL IV.—Section 5315 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to the Inspector General of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the following:

“Inspector General, National Infrastructure Bank.”

(d) SUPPORT FROM OTHER AGENCIES.—The head of any other Federal agency may detail employees to the Bank for purposes of carrying out the duties of the Bank.

(e) COMPENSATION OF BOARD MEMBERS.—

(1) CHAIRPERSON.—Section 5314 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the following:

“Chairperson, Board of Directors, National Infrastructure Bank.”

(2) OTHER MEMBERS.—Section 5315 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to the Inspector General of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the following:

“Member, Board of Directors of the National Infrastructure Bank.”

Subtitle B—Powers and Duties of the Bank

SEC. 921. POWERS OF THE BANK BOARD.

(a) HEARINGS.—The Board may, in carrying out this title—

(1) hold such hearings, meet and act at such times and places, take such testimony, receive such evidence, and administer such oaths, as the Board considers advisable; and

(2) require, by subpoena or otherwise, the attendance and testimony of such witnesses and the production of such books, records, correspondence, memoranda, papers, documents, tapes, and materials, as the Board considers advisable.

(b) ISSUANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OF SUBPOENAS.—

(1) ISSUANCE.—A subpoena issued under subsection (a) shall—

(A) bear the signature of the Chairperson and a majority of the members of the Board; and

(B) be served by any person or class of persons designated by the Chairperson for that purpose.

(2) ENFORCEMENT.—In the case of contumacy or failure to obey a subpoena issued under subsection (a)(2), the United States district court for the district in which the subpoenaed person resides, is served, or may be found may issue an order requiring the person to appear at any designated place to testify or to produce documentary or other evidence.

(3) NONCOMPLIANCE.—Any failure to obey the order of the court may be punished by the court as a contempt of court.

(c) WITNESS ALLOWANCES AND FEES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 1821 of title 28, United States Code, shall apply to a witness requested or subpoenaed to appear at a hearing of the Board.

(2) EXPENSES.—The per diem and mileage allowances for a witness shall be paid from funds available to pay the expenses of the Board.

(d) INFORMATION FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES.—The Board may, upon request, secure directly from a Federal agency, such information as the Board considers necessary to carry out this title, and the head of such agency shall promptly respond to any such request for the provision of information.

(e) INCORPORATION OF FEDERAL TRANSIT PROCESSES FOR BOARD STATEMENTS.—Section 5334(l) of title 49, United States Code, as added by section 3032 of the Federal Public Transportation Act of 2005 (Public Law 109-59, 119 Stat. 1627), shall apply to statements of the Board in the same manner and to the same extent as that section applies to statements of the Administrator of the Federal Transit Administration.

SEC. 922. QUALIFIED INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT RATINGS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on and after January 1, 2012, the Bank shall, upon application and otherwise in accordance with this section, designate infrastructure projects as qualified projects for purposes of assistance under this title.

(b) APPLICANTS.—The Bank shall accept applications for the designation of qualified infrastructure projects under this section from among public sponsors, for any infrastructure project having—

- (1) a potential Federal commitment of an amount that is not less than \$25,000,000;
- (2) a public sponsor; and
- (3) regional or national significance.

(c) GUIDELINES FOR DEVELOPING PROJECTS.—The Secretary shall establish guidelines to assist grant recipients under this title to develop applications for funding under this section.

(d) RATINGS.—In making a determination as to a designation of a qualified infrastructure project, the Board shall evaluate and rate each applicant based on the factors appropriate for that type of infrastructure project, which shall include—

- (1) for any transit project—
 - (A) regional or national significance;
 - (B) promotion of economic growth;
 - (C) reduction in traffic congestion;
 - (D) environmental benefits, including reduction in pollution from reduced use of automobiles from direct trip reduction and indirect trip reduction through land use and density changes;
 - (E) urban land use policies, including those that promote smart growth; and
 - (F) mobility improvements;
- (2) for any highway, bridge, or road project—
 - (A) regional or national significance;
 - (B) promotion of economic growth;
 - (C) reduction in traffic congestion;
 - (D) environmental improvement;
 - (E) urban land use policies, including those that promote smart growth; and
 - (F) mobility improvements; and
- (3) for any water project—
 - (A) regional or national significance;
 - (B) promotion of economic growth;
 - (C) health benefits from the associated projects, including health care cost reduction due to removal of pollutants; and
 - (D) environmental benefits.

(e) DETERMINATION AMONG PROJECTS OF DIFFERENT INFRASTRUCTURE TYPES.—The Bank shall establish, by rule, comprehensive criteria for allocating qualified status among different types of infrastructure projects for purposes of this title—

- (1) including—
 - (A) a full view of the project benefits, as compared to project costs;
 - (B) a preference for projects that have national or substantial regional impact;
 - (C) a preference for projects which leverage private financing, including public-private partnerships, for either the explicit cost of the project or for enhancements which increase the benefits of the project;
 - (D) an understanding of the importance of balanced investment in various types of infrastructure, as emphasized in the current allocation of Federal resources between modes; and
 - (E) an understanding of the importance of diverse investment in infrastructure in all regions of the country; and
- (2) that do not eliminate any project based on size, but rather allow for selection of the projects that are most meritorious.

(f) PROCESS AND PERSONNEL FOR CREATING RATINGS PROCESS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The ratings processes described in this section shall be subject to Federal notice and rulemaking procedures.

(2) PARTICIPATION BY OTHER AGENCY PERSONNEL.—The ratings, and development of the ratings process, shall be conducted by personnel on detail to the Bank from the Department of Transportation, the United States Army Corps of Engineers, and other relevant departments and agencies from among individuals who are familiar with and experienced in the selection criteria for competitive projects. The Bank shall reimburse those departments and agencies for the staff which are on detail to the Bank.

(g) COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER APPLICABLE LAW.—Projects receiving financial assistance from the Bank under this section shall comply with applicable provisions of Federal law and regulations, including—

(1) for transit, requirements that would apply to a project receiving funding under section 5307 of title 49, United States Code;

(2) for roads and bridges, requirements that would apply to a project that receives funds apportioned under section 104(b)(3) of title 23, United States Code; and

(3) for water, requirements that would apply to a project that receives funds through a grant or loan under—

(A) section 103 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5303);

(B) section 1452 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12); or

(C) section 601 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1381), as that section applied before the beginning of fiscal year 1995.

(h) **AUTHORITY TO DETERMINE FUNDING.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Bank shall determine the appropriate Federal share of funds for each project described in subsection (g) for purposes of this title.

SEC. 923. DEVELOPMENT OF FINANCING PACKAGE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 60 days after the date on which the Board determines appropriate financing packages for qualified infrastructure projects under section 922, the Board shall notify the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs of the Senate.

(b) **FINANCING PACKAGES.**—The Board is authorized—

(1) to act as a centralized entity to provide financing for qualified infrastructure projects;

(2) to issue general purpose infrastructure bonds, and to provide direct subsidies to qualified infrastructure projects from amounts made available from the issuance of such bonds;

(3) to issue project-based infrastructure bonds for the financing of specific qualified infrastructure projects;

(4) to provide loan guarantees to State or local governments issuing debt to finance qualified infrastructure projects, under rules prescribed by the Board, in a manner similar to that described in chapter 6 of title 23, United States Code;

(5) to issue loans, at varying interest rates, including very low interest rates, to qualified project sponsors for qualified projects;

(6) to leverage resources and stimulate public and private investment in infrastructure; and

(7) to encourage States to create additional opportunities for the financing of infrastructure projects.

(c) **GENERAL PURPOSE AND INFRASTRUCTURE BONDS.**—General purpose and project-based infrastructure bonds issued by the Bank under this title shall be subject to such terms and limitations as may be established by rules of the Bank, in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury.

(d) **BOND OBLIGATION LIMIT.**—The aggregate outstanding amount of all bonds authorized to be issued under this title may not exceed \$60,000,000,000.

(e) **FULL FAITH AND CREDIT.**—Any obligation issued by the Bank under this title shall be an obligation supported by the full faith and credit of the United States.

(f) **LIMITATION ON FUNDS FROM BOND ISSUANCE.**—Not more than 1 percent of funds resulting from the issuance of bonds under this title may be used to fund the operations of the Bank.

SEC. 924. COUPON NOTES FOR HOLDERS OF INFRASTRUCTURE BONDS.

(a) **ISSUANCE OF COUPON NOTES.**—Under regulations prescribed by the Bank, in consulta-

tion with the Secretary of the Treasury, there may be a separation (including at issuance) of the ownership of an infrastructure bond and the entitlement to the interest with respect to such bond (in this section referred to as a “coupon note”). In case of any such separation, such interest shall be allowed to the person who on the payment date holds the instrument evidencing the entitlement to the interest, and not to the holder of the bond.

(b) **REDEMPTION OF COUPON NOTES.**—A coupon note may be used by the owner thereof for the purpose of making any payment to the Federal Government, and shall be accepted for such purpose by the Secretary of the Treasury, subject to rules issued by the Bank, in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury.

SEC. 925. EXEMPTION FROM LOCAL TAXATION.

Bonds and other obligations issued by the Bank, and the interest on or credits with respect to its bonds or other obligations, shall not be subject to taxation by any State, county, municipality, or local taxing authority.

Subtitle C—Studies and Reports

SEC. 931. REPORT; DATABASE.

(a) **REPORT.**—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, the Board shall submit to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs of the Senate a report describing the activities of the Board, for the fiscal year covered by the report, relating to—

(1) the evaluations of qualified infrastructure projects under section 922; and

(2) the financing packages of qualified infrastructure projects under section 1023.

(b) **DATABASE.**—The Bank shall develop, maintain, and update a publicly-accessible database that contains—

(1) a description of each qualified infrastructure project that receives funding from the Bank under this title—

(A) by project mode or modes;

(B) by project location;

(C) by project sponsor or sponsors; and

(D) by project total cost;

(2) the amount of funding that each qualified infrastructure project receives from the Bank under this title; and

(3) the form of financing that each qualified infrastructure project receives from the Bank under section 923.

SEC. 932. STUDY AND REPORT ON INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING MECHANISMS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, and every 3 years thereafter, the Board shall conduct a study evaluating the effectiveness of each Federal financing mechanism that is used to support an infrastructure system of the United States.

(b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—A study conducted under subsection (a) shall—

(1) evaluate the economic efficacy and transparency of each financing mechanism used by—

(A) the Bank to fund qualified infrastructure projects; and

(B) each agency and department of the Federal Government to support infrastructure systems, including—

(i) infrastructure formula funding;

(ii) user fees; and

(iii) modal taxes; and

(2) contain recommendations for improving each funding mechanism evaluated under subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (1) to increase the economic efficacy and transparency of the Bank, and each agency and department of the Federal Government, to

finance infrastructure projects in the United States.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Board completes the study conducted under subsection (a), the Board shall submit to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs of the Senate, a report containing each evaluation and recommendation contained in the study.

SEC. 933. GAO REPORT.

Not later than 5 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs of the Senate, a report evaluating the activities of the Bank for the fiscal years covered by the report, including—

(1) the evaluations of qualified infrastructure projects under section 922; and

(2) the financing packages of qualified infrastructure projects under section 923.

TITLE X—EXTENSION OF TRADE PROGRAMS

Subtitle A—Trust Funds

SEC. 1001. MODIFICATION OF WOOL APPAREL MANUFACTURERS TRUST FUND.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 4002(c)(2)(A) of the Miscellaneous Trade and Technical Corrections Act of 2004 (Public Law 108-429; 118 Stat. 2600) is amended by striking “chapter 51” and inserting “chapter 62”.

(b) **FULL RESTORATION OF PAYMENT LEVELS IN CALENDAR YEAR 2010.**—

(1) **TRANSFER OF AMOUNTS.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Treasury shall transfer to the Wool Apparel Manufacturers Trust Fund, out of the general fund of the Treasury of the United States, amounts determined by the Secretary of the Treasury to be equivalent to amounts received in the general fund that are attributable to the duty received on articles classified under chapter 62 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, subject to the limitation in subparagraph (B).

(B) **LIMITATION.**—The Secretary of the Treasury shall not transfer more than the amount determined by the Secretary to be necessary for—

(i) U.S. Customs and Border Protection to make payments to eligible manufacturers under section 4002(c)(3) of the Miscellaneous Trade and Technical Corrections Act of 2004 so that the amount of such payments, when added to any other payments made to eligible manufacturers under section 4002(c)(3) of such Act for calendar year 2010, equal the total amount of payments authorized to be provided to eligible manufacturers under section 4002(c)(3) of such Act for calendar year 2010; and

(ii) the Secretary of Commerce to provide grants to eligible manufacturers under section 4002(c)(6) of the Miscellaneous Trade and Technical Corrections Act of 2004 so that the amounts of such grants, when added to any other grants made to eligible manufacturers under section 4002(c)(6) of such Act for calendar year 2010, equal the total amount of grants authorized to be provided to eligible manufacturers under section 4002(c)(6) of such Act for calendar year 2010.

(2) **PAYMENT OF AMOUNTS.**—U.S. Customs and Border Protection shall make payments described in paragraph (1) to eligible manufacturers not later than 30 days after such transfer of amounts from the general fund of the Treasury of the United States to the Wool Apparel Manufacturers Trust Fund. The Secretary of Commerce shall promptly provide grants described in paragraph (1) to

eligible manufacturers after such transfer of amounts from the general fund of the Treasury of the United States to the Wool Apparel Manufacturers Trust Fund.

(c) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall not be construed to affect the availability of amounts transferred to the Wool Apparel Manufacturers Trust Fund before the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1002. EXTENSIONS OF DUTY SUSPENSIONS ON COTTON SHIRTING FABRICS AND RELATED PROVISIONS.

(a) **EXTENSIONS.**—Each of the following headings of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States is amended by striking the date in the effective date column and inserting “12/31/2013”:

- (1) Heading 9902.52.08 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (2) Heading 9902.52.09 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (3) Heading 9902.52.10 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (4) Heading 9902.52.11 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (5) Heading 9902.52.12 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (6) Heading 9902.52.13 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (7) Heading 9902.52.14 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (8) Heading 9902.52.15 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (9) Heading 9902.52.16 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (10) Heading 9902.52.17 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (11) Heading 9902.52.18 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (12) Heading 9902.52.19 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (13) Heading 9902.52.20 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (14) Heading 9902.52.21 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (15) Heading 9902.52.22 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (16) Heading 9902.52.23 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (17) Heading 9902.52.24 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (18) Heading 9902.52.25 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (19) Heading 9902.52.26 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (20) Heading 9902.52.27 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (21) Heading 9902.52.28 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (22) Heading 9902.52.29 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (23) Heading 9902.52.30 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).
- (24) Heading 9902.52.31 (relating to woven fabrics of cotton).

(b) **EXTENSION OF DUTY REFUNDS AND PIMA COTTON TRUST FUND; MODIFICATION OF AFFIDAVIT REQUIREMENTS.**—Section 407 of title IV of division C of the Tax Relief and Health Care Act of 2006 (Public Law 109–432; 120 Stat. 3060) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “amounts determined by the Secretary” and all that follows through “5208.59.80” and inserting “amounts received in the general fund that are attributable to duties received since January 1, 2004, on articles classified under heading 5208”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “October 1, 2008” and inserting “December 31, 2013”;

(2) in subsection (d)—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “annually” after “provided”; and

(B) in paragraph (1), by inserting “during the year in which the affidavit is filed and” after “imported cotton fabric”; and

(3) in subsection (f)—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “annually” after “provided”; and

(B) in paragraph (1), by inserting “during the year in which the affidavit is filed and” after “United States”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and apply with respect to affidavits filed on or after such date of enactment.

Subtitle B—Extension of Trade Adjustment Assistance

SEC. 1011. EXTENSION OF TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1893(a) of the Trade and Globalization Adjustment Assistance Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–5; 123 Stat. 422) is amended by striking “2011” each place it appears and inserting “2012”.

(b) **APPLICATION OF PRIOR LAW.**—Section 1893(b) of the Trade and Globalization Adjustment Assistance Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–5; 123 Stat. 422 (19 U.S.C. 2271 note prec.)) is amended to read as follows:

“(b) **APPLICATION OF PRIOR LAW.**—Chapters 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2271 et seq.) shall be applied and administered beginning January 1, 2012, as if the amendments made by this subtitle (other than part VI) had never been enacted, except that in applying and administering such chapters—

“(1) section 245 of that Act shall be applied and administered by substituting ‘2012’ for ‘2007’;

“(2) section 246(b)(1) of that Act shall be applied and administered by substituting ‘December 31, 2012’ for ‘the date that is 5 years’ and all that follows through ‘State’;

“(3) section 256(b) of that Act shall be applied and administered by substituting ‘the 1-year period beginning January 1, 2012’ for ‘each of fiscal years 2003 through 2007, and \$4,000,000 for the 3-month period beginning on October 1, 2007’;

“(4) section 298(a) of that Act shall be applied and administered by substituting ‘the 1-year period beginning January 1, 2012’ for ‘each of the fiscal years’ and all that follows through ‘October 1, 2007’; and

“(5) subject to subsection (a)(2), section 285 of that Act shall be applied and administered—

“(A) in subsection (a), by substituting ‘2012’ for ‘2007’ each place it appears; and

“(B) by applying and administering subsection (b) as if it read as follows:

“(b) **OTHER ASSISTANCE.**—

“(1) **ASSISTANCE FOR FIRMS.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), assistance may not be provided under chapter 3 after December 31, 2012.

“(B) **EXCEPTION.**—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), any assistance approved under chapter 3 on or before December 31, 2012, may be provided—

“(i) to the extent funds are available pursuant to such chapter for such purpose; and

“(ii) to the extent the recipient of the assistance is otherwise eligible to receive such assistance.

“(2) **FARMERS.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), assistance may not be provided under chapter 6 after December 31, 2012.

“(B) **EXCEPTION.**—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), any assistance approved under chapter 6 on or before December 31, 2012, may be provided—

“(i) to the extent funds are available pursuant to such chapter for such purpose; and

“(ii) to the extent the recipient of the assistance is otherwise eligible to receive such assistance.”.

(c) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) Section 236(a)(2)(A) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2296(a)(2)(A)) is amended to read as follows:

“(2)(A) The total amount of payments that may be made under paragraph (1) shall not exceed—

“(i) \$575,000,000 for fiscal year 2011; and

“(ii) \$143,750,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2011, and ending December 31, 2011.”.

(2) Section 245(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2317(a)) is amended by striking “2010” and inserting “2011”.

(3) Section 246(b)(1) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2318(b)(1)) is amended by striking “2010” and inserting “2011”.

(4) Section 255(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2345(a)) is amended to read as follows:

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—

“(1) **AUTHORIZATION.**—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary to carry out the provisions of this chapter—

“(A) \$50,000,000 for fiscal year 2011; and

“(B) \$12,501,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2011, and ending December 31, 2011.

“(2) **AVAILABILITY OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Amounts appropriated pursuant to this subsection shall remain available until expended.”.

(5) Section 275(f) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2371d(f)) is amended by striking “2011” and inserting “2012”.

(6) Section 276(c)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2371e(c)(2)) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) **FUNDS TO BE USED.**—Of the funds appropriated pursuant to section 277(c), the Secretary may make available, to provide grants to eligible communities under paragraph (1), not more than—

“(A) \$25,000,000 for fiscal year 2011; and

“(B) \$6,250,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2011, and ending December 31, 2011.”.

(7) Section 277(c) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2371f(c)) is amended—

(A) by amending paragraph (1) to read as follows:

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary to carry out this subchapter—

“(A) \$150,000,000 for fiscal year 2011; and

“(B) \$37,500,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2011, and ending December 31, 2011.”; and

(B) by striking paragraph (2) and redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (2).

(8) Section 278(e) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2372(e)) is amended by striking “2011” and inserting “2012”.

(9) Section 279A(h)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2373(h)(2)) is amended by striking “2011” and inserting “2012”.

(10) Section 279B(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2373a(a)) is amended to read as follows:

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—

“(1) **AUTHORIZATION.**—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Labor to carry out the Sector Partnership Grant program under section 279A—

“(A) \$40,000,000 for fiscal year 2011; and

“(B) \$10,000,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2011, and ending December 31, 2011.

“(2) AVAILABILITY OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds appropriated pursuant to this section shall remain available until expended.”.

(11) Section 285 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2271 note) is amended—

(A) by striking “2010” each place it appears and inserting “2011”; and

(B) in subsection (a)(2)(A), by inserting “pursuant to petitions filed under section 221 before January 1, 2012” after “title”.

(12) Section 298(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2401g(a)) is amended by striking “for each of the fiscal years 2009 and 2010, and \$22,500,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010” and inserting “for the 1-year period beginning January 1, 2011”.

(13) The table of contents for the Trade Act of 1974 is amended by striking the item relating to section 235 and inserting the following:

“Sec. 235. Employment and case management services.”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on January 1, 2011.

TITLE XI—EMERGENCY SENIOR CITIZENS RELIEF ACT

SEC. 1101. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Emergency Senior Citizens Relief Act of 2010”.

SEC. 1102. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF CERTAIN ECONOMIC RECOVERY PAYMENTS.

(a) EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF PAYMENTS.—Section 2201 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009 is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1)(A)—

(A) by inserting “for each of calendar years 2009 and 2011” after “shall disburse”;

(B) by inserting “(for purposes of payments made for calendar year 2009), or the 3-month period ending with December 2010 (for purposes of payments made for calendar year 2011)” after “the date of the enactment of this Act”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new sentence: “In the case of an individual who is eligible for a payment under the preceding sentence by reason of entitlement to a benefit described in subparagraph (B)(i), no such payment shall be made to such individual for calendar year 2011 unless such individual was paid a benefit described in such subparagraph (B)(i) for any month in the 12-month period ending with December 2010.”.

(2) in subsection (a)(1)(B)(iii), by inserting “(for purposes of payments made under this paragraph for calendar year 2009), or the 3-month period ending with December 2010 (for purposes of payments made under this paragraph for calendar year 2011)” before the period at the end,

(3) in subsection (a)(2)—

(A) by inserting “, or who are utilizing a foreign or domestic Army Post Office, Fleet Post Office, or Diplomatic Post Office address” after “Northern Mariana Islands”, and

(B) by striking “current address of record” and inserting “address of record, as of the date of certification under subsection (b) for a payment under this section”;

(4) in subsection (a)(3)—

(A) by inserting “per calendar year (determined with respect to the calendar year for which the payment is made, and without regard to the date such payment is actually paid to such individual)” after “only 1 payment under this section”; and

(B) by inserting “FOR THE SAME YEAR” after “PAYMENTS” in the heading thereof,

(5) in subsection (a)(4)—

(A) by inserting “(or, in the case of subparagraph (D), shall not be due)” after “made” in the matter preceding subparagraph (A),

(B) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

“(A) in the case of an individual entitled to a benefit specified in paragraph (1)(B)(i) or paragraph (1)(B)(ii)(VIII) if—

“(i) for the most recent month of such individual’s entitlement in the applicable 3-month period described in paragraph (1); or

“(ii) for any month thereafter which is before the month after the month of the payment;

such individual’s benefit under such paragraph was not payable by reason of subsection (x) or (y) of section 202 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 402) or section 1129A of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a-8a);”.

(C) in subparagraph (B), by striking “3 month period” and inserting “applicable 3-month period”;

(D) by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following:

“(C) in the case of an individual entitled to a benefit specified in paragraph (1)(C) if—

“(i) for the most recent month of such individual’s eligibility in the applicable 3-month period described in paragraph (1); or

“(ii) for any month thereafter which is before the month after the month of the payment;

such individual’s benefit under such paragraph was not payable by reason of subsection (e)(1)(A) or (e)(4) of section 1611 (42 U.S.C. 1382) or section 1129A of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a-8a); or”.

(E) by striking subparagraph (D) and inserting the following:

“(D) in the case of any individual whose date of death occurs—

“(i) before the date of the receipt of the payment; or

“(ii) in the case of a direct deposit, before the date on which such payment is deposited into such individual’s account.”.

(F) by adding at the end the following flush sentence:

“In the case of any individual whose date of death occurs before a payment is negotiated (in the case of a check) or deposited (in the case of a direct deposit), such payment shall not be due and shall not be reissued to the estate of such individual or to any other person.”.

(G) by adding at the end, as amended by subparagraph (F), the following new sentence: “Subparagraphs (A)(ii) and (C)(ii) shall apply only in the case of certifications under subsection (b) which are, or but for this paragraph would be, made after the date of the enactment of Emergency Senior Citizens Relief Act of 2010, and shall apply to such certifications without regard to the calendar year of the payments to which such certifications apply.”.

(6) in subsection (a)(5)—

(A) by inserting “, in the case of payments for calendar year 2009, and no later than April 30, 2011, in the case of payments for calendar year 2011” before the period at the end of the first sentence of subparagraph (A), and

(B) by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following:

“(B) DEADLINE.—No payment for calendar year 2009 shall be disbursed under this section after December 31, 2010, and no payment for calendar year 2011 shall be disbursed under this section after December 31, 2012, regardless of any determinations of entitlement to, or eligibility for, such payment

made after whichever of such dates is applicable to such payment.”.

(7) in subsection (b), by inserting “(except that such certification shall be affected by a determination that an individual is an individual described in subparagraph (A), (B), (C), or (D) of subsection (a)(4) during a period described in such subparagraphs), and no individual shall be certified to receive a payment under this section for a calendar year if such individual has at any time been denied certification for such a payment for such calendar year by reason of subparagraph (A)(ii) or (C)(ii) of subsection (a)(4) (unless such individual is subsequently determined not to have been an individual described in either such subparagraph at the time of such denial)” before the period at the end of the last sentence,

(8) in subsection (c), by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the following:

“(4) PAYMENTS SUBJECT TO OFFSET AND RECLAMATION.—Notwithstanding paragraph (3), any payment made under this section—

“(A) shall, in the case of a payment by direct deposit which is made after the date of the enactment of the Emergency Senior Citizens Relief Act of 2010, be subject to the reclamation provisions under subpart B of part 210 of title 31, Code of Federal Regulations (relating to reclamation of benefit payments); and

“(B) shall not, for purposes of section 3716 of title 31, United States Code, be considered a benefit payment or cash benefit made under the applicable program described in subparagraph (B) or (C) of subsection (a)(1), and all amounts paid shall be subject to offset under such section 3716 to collect delinquent debts.”.

(9) in subsection (e)—

(A) by striking “2011” and inserting “2013”;

(B) by inserting “section 1102(b) of the Emergency Senior Citizens Relief Act of 2010,” after “section 2202,” in paragraph (1), and

(C) by adding at the following new paragraph:

“(5)(A) For the Secretary of the Treasury, an additional \$5,200,000 for purposes described in paragraph (1).

“(B) For the Commissioner of Social Security, an additional \$5,000,000 for the purposes described in paragraph (2)(B).

“(C) For the Railroad Retirement Board, an additional \$600,000 for the purposes described in paragraph (3)(B).

“(D) For the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, an additional \$625,000 for the Information Systems Technology account”.

(b) EXTENSION OF SPECIAL CREDIT FOR CERTAIN GOVERNMENT RETIREES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of an eligible individual (as defined in section 2202(b) of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009, applied by substituting “2011” for “2009”), with respect to the first taxable year of such individual beginning in 2011, section 2202 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009 shall be applied by substituting “2011” for “2009” each place it appears.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (c) of section 36A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by inserting “, and any credit allowed to the taxpayer under section 1102(b)(1) of the Emergency Senior Citizens Relief Act of 2010” after “the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) APPLICATION OF RULE RELATING TO DECEASED INDIVIDUALS.—The amendment made by subsection (a)(5)(F) shall take effect as if included in section 2201 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009.

TITLE XII—TANF EMERGENCY FUND

SEC. 1201. EXTENSION OF TANF EMERGENCY FUND.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Effective as if included in the enactment of Public Law 111-5, section 403(c) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 603(c)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2)—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by inserting “, and for fiscal year 2011, \$1,500,000,000” before “for payment”;

(B) in subparagraph (B)—

(i) by inserting “for fiscal year 2009” after “under subparagraph (A)”;

(ii) by inserting “and the amounts appropriated under such subparagraph for fiscal year 2011 shall remain available only through fiscal year 2011” after “fiscal year 2010”; and

(iii) by striking “and 2010” and inserting “, 2010, and 2011”;

(C) in subparagraph (C), by striking “2010” and inserting “2011”;

(2) in paragraph (3)—

(A) in subparagraph (A)(i), in the matter preceding subclause (I), by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, or 2011”;

(B) in subparagraph (B)(i), in the matter preceding subclause (I), by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, or 2011”;

(C) subparagraph (C)(i), in the matter preceding subclause (I), by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, or 2011”;

(3) in paragraph (5), by striking “and 2010” and inserting “, 2010, and 2011”; and

(4) in paragraph (9)(B)(i), by striking “or 2008” and inserting “, 2008, or 2009”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Effective as if included in the enactment of Public Law 111-5, section 2101 of Public Law 111-5 is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(2)—

(A) by striking “2010” and inserting “2011”; and

(B) by striking the comma after “repealed” and all that follows through the period and inserting a period; and

(2) in subsection (d)(1), by striking “2010” and inserting “2011”.

(c) ADMINISTRATION.—Section 403(c) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 603(c)) and section 2101 of Public Law 111-5 shall be applied and administered—

(1) as if the repeals made under subsections (a)(2) and (d)(1) of such section 2101 (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) had never taken effect; and

(2) notwithstanding section 811(a) of Public Law 111-291, in accordance with the amendments made by this section for fiscal year 2011.

TITLE XIII—BUDGETARY PROVISIONS

SEC. 1301. DETERMINATION OF BUDGETARY EFFECTS.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled “Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation” for this Act, jointly submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairmen of the House and Senate Budget Committees, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage in the House acting first on this conference report or amendment between the Houses.

SEC. 1302. EMERGENCY DESIGNATIONS.

(a) STATUTORY PAYGO.—This Act is designated as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 4(g) of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-139; 2 U.S.C. 933(g)) except to the extent that the budgetary effects of this Act are determined to be subject to the current policy adjustments under sections 4(c) and 7 of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act.

(b) SENATE.—In the Senate, this Act is designated as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 403(a) of S. Con. Res. 13 (111th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2010.

(c) HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.—In the House of Representatives, every provision of this Act is expressly designated as an emergency for purposes of pay-as-you-go principles except to the extent that any such provision is subject to the current policy adjustments under section 4(c) of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010.

SA 4796. Mr. SANDERS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

Strike all after the first word and insert the following:

1. SHORT TITLE; ETC.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the “Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010”.

(b) AMENDMENT OF 1986 CODE.—Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this Act an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(c) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; etc.

TITLE I—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF TAX RELIEF

Sec. 101. Temporary extension of 2001 tax relief.

Sec. 102. Temporary extension of 2003 tax relief.

Sec. 103. Temporary extension of 2009 tax relief.

TITLE II—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INDIVIDUAL AMT RELIEF

Sec. 201. Temporary extension of increased alternative minimum tax exemption amount.

Sec. 202. Temporary extension of alternative minimum tax relief for non-refundable personal credits.

TITLE III—RESPONSIBLE ESTATE TAX REFORM

Sec. 301. Short title.

Sec. 302. Reinstatement of estate tax; repeal of carryover basis.

Sec. 303. Modification of rates and maintenance of unified credit against the estate tax.

Sec. 304. Modification of rules for value of certain farm, etc., real property.

Sec. 305. Modification of estate tax rules with respect to land subject to conservation easements.

Sec. 306. Consistent basis reporting between estate and person acquiring property from decedent.

Sec. 307. Valuation rules for certain transfers of nonbusiness assets; limitation on minority discounts.

Sec. 308. Required minimum 10-year term, etc., for grantor retained annuity trusts.

TITLE IV—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INVESTMENT INCENTIVES

Sec. 401. Temporary extension of increased small business expensing.

TITLE V—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND RELATED MATTERS

Sec. 501. Temporary extension of unemployment insurance provisions.

Sec. 502. Temporary modification of indicators under the extended benefit program.

Sec. 503. Technical amendment relating to collection of unemployment compensation debts.

Sec. 504. Technical correction relating to repeal of continued dumping and subsidy offset.

Sec. 505. Additional extended unemployment benefits under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act.

TITLE VI—EXTENSION OF MAKING WORK PAY CREDIT

Sec. 601. Making work pay credit.

TITLE VII—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF CERTAIN EXPIRING PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Energy

Sec. 701. Incentives for biodiesel and renewable diesel.

Sec. 702. New energy efficient home credit.

Sec. 703. Special rule for sales or dispositions to implement FERC or State electric restructuring policy for qualified electric utilities.

Sec. 704. Extension of grants for specified energy property in lieu of tax credits.

Sec. 705. Extension of provisions related to alcohol used as fuel.

Sec. 706. Energy efficient appliance credit.

Sec. 707. Credit for nonbusiness energy property.

Sec. 708. Alternative fuel vehicle refueling property.

Subtitle B—Individual Tax Relief

Sec. 721. Deduction for certain expenses of elementary and secondary school teachers.

Sec. 722. Deduction of State and local sales taxes.

Sec. 723. Contributions of capital gain real property made for conservation purposes.

Sec. 724. Above-the-line deduction for qualified tuition and related expenses.

Sec. 725. Tax-free distributions from individual retirement plans for charitable purposes.

Sec. 726. Parity for exclusion from income for employer-provided mass transit and parking benefits.

Sec. 727. Refunds disregarded in the administration of Federal programs and federally assisted programs.

Subtitle C—Business Tax Relief

Sec. 731. Research credit.

Sec. 732. Indian employment tax credit.
 Sec. 733. New markets tax credit.
 Sec. 734. Railroad track maintenance credit.
 Sec. 735. Mine rescue team training credit.
 Sec. 736. Employer wage credit for employees who are active duty members of the uniformed services.
 Sec. 737. Accelerated depreciation for business property on an Indian reservation.
 Sec. 738. Enhanced charitable deduction for contributions of food inventory.
 Sec. 739. Enhanced charitable deduction for contributions of book inventories to public schools.
 Sec. 740. Enhanced charitable deduction for corporate contributions of computer inventory for educational purposes.
 Sec. 741. Election to expense mine safety equipment.
 Sec. 742. Expensing of environmental remediation costs.
 Sec. 743. Modification of tax treatment of certain payments to controlling exempt organizations.
 Sec. 744. Treatment of certain dividends of regulated investment companies.
 Sec. 745. RIC qualified investment entity treatment under FIRPTA.
 Sec. 746. Exceptions for active financing income.
 Sec. 747. Look-thru treatment of payments between related controlled foreign corporations under foreign personal holding company rules.
 Sec. 748. Basis adjustment to stock of S corps making charitable contributions of property.
 Sec. 749. Empowerment zone tax incentives.
 Sec. 750. Tax incentives for investment in the District of Columbia.
 Sec. 751. Work opportunity credit.
 Sec. 752. Qualified zone academy bonds.
 Sec. 753. Mortgage insurance premiums.
 Sec. 754. Temporary exclusion of 100 percent of gain on certain small business stock.

Subtitle D—Temporary Disaster Relief Provisions

SUBPART A—NEW YORK LIBERTY ZONE

Sec. 761. Tax-exempt bond financing.

SUBPART B—GO ZONE

Sec. 762. Increase in rehabilitation credit.
 Sec. 763. Low-income housing credit rules for buildings in GO zones.
 Sec. 764. Tax-exempt bond financing.
 Sec. 765. Bonus depreciation deduction applicable to the GO Zone.

TITLE VIII—BUDGETARY PROVISIONS

Sec. 801. Determination of budgetary effects.

Sec. 802. Emergency designations.

TITLE I—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF TAX RELIEF

SEC. 101. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2001 TAX RELIEF.

(a) TEMPORARY EXTENSION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 901 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” both places it appears and inserting “December 31, 2012”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001.

(b) APPLICATION TO TAXPAYERS WITH INCOME OF \$250,000 OR MORE.—

(1) INCOME TAX RATES.—

(A) 25- AND 28- PERCENT RATE BRACKETS MADE PERMANENT.—Paragraph (2) of section 1(i) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) 25- AND 28- PERCENT RATE BRACKETS.—The tables under subsections (a), (b), (c), (d), and (e) shall be applied—

“(A) by substituting ‘25%’ for ‘28%’ each place it appears (before the application of subparagraph (B)), and

“(B) by substituting ‘28%’ for ‘31%’ each place it appears.”.

(B) 33-PERCENT RATE BRACKET.—Subsection (i) of section 1 is amended by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4) and by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph:

“(3) 33-PERCENT RATE BRACKET.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010—

“(i) the rate of tax under subsections (a), (b), (c), and (d) on a taxpayer’s taxable income in the fourth rate bracket shall be 33 percent to the extent such income does not exceed an amount equal to the excess of—

“(I) the applicable amount, over

“(II) the dollar amount at which such bracket begins, and

“(ii) the 36 percent rate of tax under such subsections shall apply only to the taxpayer’s taxable income in such bracket in excess of the amount to which clause (i) applies.

“(B) APPLICABLE AMOUNT.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘applicable amount’ means the excess of—

“(i) the applicable threshold, over

“(ii) the sum of the following amounts in effect for the taxable year:

“(I) the basic standard deduction (within the meaning of section 63(c)(2)), and

“(II) the exemption amount (within the meaning of section 151(d)(1) (or, in the case of subsection (a), 2 such exemption amounts).

“(C) APPLICABLE THRESHOLD.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘applicable threshold’ means—

“(i) \$250,000 in the case of subsection (a),

“(ii) \$200,000 in the case of subsections (b) and (c), and

“(iii) ½ the amount applicable under clause (i) (after adjustment, if any, under subparagraph (E)) in the case of subsection (d).

“(D) FOURTH RATE BRACKET.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘fourth rate bracket’ means the bracket which would (determined without regard to this paragraph) be the 36-percent rate bracket.

“(E) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—For purposes of this paragraph, a rule similar to the rule of paragraph (1)(C) shall apply with respect to taxable years beginning in calendar years after 2010, applied by substituting ‘2008’ for ‘1992’ in subsection (f)(3)(B).”.

(2) PHASEOUT OF PERSONAL EXEMPTIONS AND ITEMIZED DEDUCTIONS.—

(A) OVERALL LIMITATION ON ITEMIZED DEDUCTIONS.—Section 68 is amended—

(i) by striking “the applicable amount” the first place it appears in subsection (a) and inserting “the applicable threshold in effect under section 1(i)(3)”,

(ii) by striking “the applicable amount” in subsection (a)(1) and inserting “such applicable threshold”,

(iii) by striking subsection (b) and redesignating subsections (c), (d), and (e) as subsections (b), (c), and (d), respectively, and

(iv) by striking subsections (f) and (g).

(B) PHASEOUT OF DEDUCTIONS FOR PERSONAL EXEMPTIONS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (3) of section 151(d) is amended—

(I) by striking “the threshold amount” in subparagraphs (A) and (B) and inserting “the applicable threshold in effect under section 1(i)(3)”,

(II) by striking subparagraph (C) and redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (C), and

(III) by striking subparagraphs (E) and (F).

(ii) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Paragraph (4) of section 151(d) is amended—

(I) by striking subparagraph (B),

(II) by redesignating clauses (i) and (ii) of subparagraph (A) as subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively, and by indenting such subparagraphs (as so redesignated) accordingly, and

(III) by striking all that precedes “in a calendar year after 1989,” and inserting the following:

“(4) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—In the case of any taxable year beginning”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Except as otherwise provided, the amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 102. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2003 TAX RELIEF.

(a) EXTENSION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 303 of the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003 is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2012”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003.

(b) 20-PERCENT CAPITAL GAINS RATE FOR CERTAIN HIGH INCOME INDIVIDUALS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 1(h) is amended by striking subparagraph (C), by redesignating subparagraphs (D) and (E) as subparagraphs (E) and (F) and by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) 15 percent of the lesser of—

“(i) so much of the adjusted net capital gain (or, if less, taxable income) as exceeds the amount on which a tax is determined under subparagraph (B), or

“(ii) the excess (if any) of—

“(I) the amount of taxable income which would (without regard to this paragraph) be taxed at a rate below 36 percent, over

“(II) the sum of the amounts on which a tax is determined under subparagraphs (A) and (B),

“(D) 20 percent of the adjusted net capital gain (or, if less, taxable income) in excess of the sum of the amounts on which tax is determined under subparagraphs (B) and (C).”.

(2) DIVIDENDS.—Subparagraph (A) of section 1(h)(11) is amended by striking “qualified dividend income” and inserting “so much of the qualified dividend income as does not exceed the excess (if any) of—

“(i) the amount of taxable income which would (without regard to this subsection) be taxed at a rate below 36 percent, over

“(ii) taxable income reduced by qualified dividend income.”.

(3) MINIMUM TAX.—Paragraph (3) of section 55(b) is amended by striking subparagraph (C), by redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (E), and by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) 15 percent of the lesser of—

“(i) so much of the adjusted net capital gain (or, if less, taxable excess) as exceeds the amount on which tax is determined under subparagraph (B), or

“(ii) the excess described in section 1(h)(1)(C)(ii), plus

“(D) 20 percent of the adjusted net capital gain (or, if less, taxable excess) in excess of the sum of the amounts on which tax is determined under subparagraphs (B) and (C), plus”.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The following provisions are each amended by striking “15 percent” and inserting “20 percent”:

(A) Section 1445(e)(1).

(B) The second sentence of section 7518(g)(6)(A).

(C) Section 53511(f)(2) of title 46, United States Code.

(2) Sections 531 and 541 are each amended by striking “15 percent of” and inserting “the produce of the highest rate of tax under section 1(c) and”.

(3) Sections 1(h)(1)(B) and 55(b)(3)(B) are each amended by striking “5 percent (0 percent in the case of taxable years beginning after 2007)” and inserting “0 percent”.

(4) Section 1445(e)(6) is amended by striking “15 percent (20 percent in the case of taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010)” and inserting “20 percent”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in otherwise provided, the amendments made by subsections (b) and (c) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

(2) WITHHOLDING.—The amendments made by paragraphs (1)(C) and (3) of subsection (c) shall apply to amounts paid on or after January 1, 2011.

SEC. 103. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2009 TAX RELIEF.

(a) AMERICAN OPPORTUNITY TAX CREDIT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 25A(i) is amended by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, 2011, or 2012”.

(2) TREATMENT OF POSSESSIONS.—Section 1004(c)(1) of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009 is amended by striking “and 2010” each place it appears and inserting “, 2010, 2011, and 2012”.

(b) CHILD TAX CREDIT.—Section 24(d)(4) is amended—

(1) by striking “2009 AND 2010” in the heading and inserting “2009, 2010, 2011, AND 2012”, and

(2) by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, 2011, or 2012”.

(c) EARNED INCOME TAX CREDIT.—Section 32(b)(3) is amended—

(1) by striking “2009 AND 2010” in the heading and inserting “2009, 2010, 2011, AND 2012”, and

(2) by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, 2011, or 2012”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

TITLE II—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INDIVIDUAL AMT RELIEF

SEC. 201. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INCREASED ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX EXEMPTION AMOUNT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 55(d) is amended—

(1) by striking “\$70,950” and all that follows through “2009” in subparagraph (A) and inserting “\$72,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2010 and \$74,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2011”, and

(2) by striking “\$46,700” and all that follows through “2009” in subparagraph (B) and inserting “\$47,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2010 and \$48,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

(c) REPEAL OF EGTRRA SUNSET.—Title IX of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Rec-

onciliation Act of 2001 (relating to sunset of provisions of such Act) shall not apply to title VII of such Act (relating to alternative minimum tax).

SEC. 202. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX RELIEF FOR NONREFUNDABLE PERSONAL CREDITS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 26(a) is amended—

(1) by striking “or 2009” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”, and

(2) by striking “2009” in the heading thereof and inserting “2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

TITLE III—RESPONSIBLE ESTATE TAX REFORM

SEC. 301. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Responsible Estate Tax Act”.

SEC. 302. REINSTATEMENT OF ESTATE TAX; REPEAL OF CARRYOVER BASIS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Each provision of law amended by subtitle A or E of title V of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 is amended to read as such provision would read if such subtitle had never been enacted.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—On and after January 1, 2011, paragraph (1) of section 2505(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended to read as such paragraph would read if section 521(b)(2) of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 had never been enacted.

(c) SPECIAL ELECTION WITH RESPECT TO ESTATES OF DECEDENTS DYING IN 2010.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), in the case of an estate of a decedent dying after December 31, 2009, and before January 1, 2011, the executor (within the meaning of section 2203 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) may elect to apply such Code as though the amendments made by subsection (a) do not apply with respect to chapter 11 of such Code and with respect to property acquired or passing from such decedent (within the meaning of section 1014(b) of such Code). Such election shall be made at such time and in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary's delegate shall provide. Such an election once made shall be revocable only with the consent of the Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary's delegate. For purposes of section 2652(a)(1) of such Code, the determination of whether any property is subject to the tax imposed by such chapter 11 shall be made without regard to any election made under this subsection.

(d) EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PERFORMING CERTAIN ACTS.—

(1) ESTATE TAX.—In the case of the estate of a decedent dying after December 31, 2009, and before the date of the enactment of this Act, the due date for—

(A) filing any return under section 6018 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (including any election required to be made on such a return) as such section is in effect after the date of the enactment of this Act without regard to any election under subsection (c),

(B) making any payment of tax under chapter 11 of such Code, and

(C) making any disclaimer described in section 2518(b) of such Code of an interest in property passing by reason of the death of such decedent,

shall not be earlier than the date which is 9 months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) GENERATION-SKIPPING TAX.—In the case of any generation-skipping transfer made

after December 31, 2009, and before the date of the enactment of this Act, the due date for filing any return under section 2662 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (including any election required to be made on such a return) shall not be earlier than the date which is 9 months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Except as otherwise provided in this section, the amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying, and transfers made, after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 303. MODIFICATION OF RATES AND MAINTENANCE OF UNIFIED CREDIT AGAINST THE ESTATE TAX.

(a) MODIFICATION OF RATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The table in paragraph (1) of section 2001(c) is amended by striking the last 6 rows and inserting the following:

“Over \$750,000 but not over \$3,500,000.	\$248,300 plus 39 percent of the excess of such amount over \$750,000
Over \$3,500,000 but not over \$10,000,000.	\$1,320,800 plus 45 percent of the excess of such amount over \$3,500,000
Over \$10,000,000 but not over \$50,000,000.	\$4,245,800 plus 50 percent of the excess of the excess of such amount over \$10,000,000”.
Over \$50,000,000	\$24,245,800 plus 55 percent of the excess of such amount over \$50,000,000”.

(2) SURTAX ON WEALTHY ESTATES.—Paragraph (2) of section 2001(c) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) SURTAX ON ESTATES OVER \$500,000,000.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), if the amount with respect to which the tentative tax to be computed is over \$500,000,000, the rate of tax otherwise in effect under this subsection with respect to the amount in excess of \$500,000,000 shall be increased by 10 percentage points.”.

(b) EXTENSION OF 2009 APPLICABLE CREDIT AMOUNT.—The table in subsection (c) of section 2010 (relating to applicable credit amount) is amended by inserting “and thereafter” after “2009”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying, and gifts made, after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 304. MODIFICATION OF RULES FOR VALUE OF CERTAIN FARM, ETC., REAL PROPERTY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 2032A(a) is amended by striking “\$750,000” and inserting “\$3,000,000”.

(b) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—Paragraph (3) of section 2032A(a) is amended—

(1) by striking “1998” and inserting “2009”,

(2) by striking “\$750,000” and inserting “\$3,000,000” in subparagraph (A), and

(3) by striking “calendar year 1997” and inserting “calendar year 2008” in subparagraph (B).

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying, and gifts made, after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 305. MODIFICATION OF ESTATE TAX RULES WITH RESPECT TO LAND SUBJECT TO CONSERVATION EASEMENTS.

(a) **MODIFICATION OF EXCLUSION LIMITATION.**—Paragraph (3) of section 2031(c) is amended—

(1) by striking “or thereafter” in the last row and inserting “through 2009”, and

(2) by adding at the end the following row:

“2010 and thereafter \$2,000,000”.

(b) **MODIFICATION OF APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE.**—Paragraph (2) of section 2031(c) is amended by striking “40 percent” and inserting “60 percent”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 306. CONSISTENT BASIS REPORTING BETWEEN ESTATE AND PERSON ACQUIRING PROPERTY FROM DECEDENT.

(a) **CONSISTENT USE OF BASIS.**—

(1) **PROPERTY ACQUIRED FROM A DECEDENT.**—Section 1014 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) **BASIS MUST BE CONSISTENT WITH ESTATE TAX VALUE.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of this section, the value used to determine the basis of any interest in property in the hands of the person acquiring such property shall not exceed the value of such interest as finally determined for purposes of chapter 11.

“(2) **SPECIAL RULE WHERE NO FINAL DETERMINATION.**—In any case in which the value of property has not been finally determined under chapter 11 and there has been a statement furnished under section 6035(a), the value used to determine the basis of any interest in property in the hands of the person acquiring such property shall not exceed the amount reported on the statement furnished under section 6035(a).

“(3) **REGULATIONS.**—The Secretary may by regulations provide exceptions to the application of this subsection.”.

(2) **PROPERTY ACQUIRED BY GIFTS AND TRANSFERS IN TRUST.**—Section 1015 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) **BASIS MUST BE CONSISTENT WITH GIFT TAX VALUE.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of this section, the fair market value of any interest in property at the time of the gift of that interest shall not exceed the value of such interest as finally determined for purposes of chapter 12.

“(2) **SPECIAL RULE WHERE NO FINAL DETERMINATION.**—In any case in which the value of property has not been finally determined under chapter 12 and there has been a statement furnished under section 6035(b), the fair market value of any interest in property at the time of the gift of that interest shall not exceed the amount reported on the statement furnished under section 6035(b).

“(3) **REGULATIONS.**—The Secretary may by regulations provide exceptions to the application of this subsection.”.

(b) **INFORMATION REPORTING.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subpart A of part III of subchapter A of chapter 61 is amended by inserting after section 6034A the following new section:

“SEC. 6035. BASIS INFORMATION TO PERSONS ACQUIRING PROPERTY FROM DECEDENT OR BY GIFT.

“(a) **INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO PROPERTY ACQUIRED FROM DECEDENTS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The executor of any estate required to file a return under section 6018(a) shall furnish to the Secretary and to each person acquiring any interest in property included in the decedent’s gross estate for Federal estate tax purposes a statement identifying the value of each interest in such property as reported on such return and such other information with respect to such interest as the Secretary may prescribe.

“(2) **STATEMENTS BY BENEFICIARIES.**—Each person required to file a return under section 6018(b) shall furnish to the Secretary and to each other person who holds a legal or beneficial interest in the property to which such return relates a statement identifying the information described in paragraph (1).

“(3) **TIME FOR FURNISHING STATEMENT.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Each statement required to be furnished under paragraph (1) or (2) shall be furnished at such time as the Secretary may prescribe, but in no case at a time later than the earlier of—

“(i) the date which is 30 days after the date on which the return under section 6018 was required to be filed (including extensions, if any), or

“(ii) the date which is 30 days after the date such return is filed.

“(B) **ADJUSTMENTS.**—In any case in which there is an adjustment to the information required to be included on a statement filed under paragraph (1) or (2) after such statement has been filed, a supplemental statement under such paragraph shall be filed not later than the date which is 30 days after such adjustment is made.

“(b) **INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO PROPERTY ACQUIRED BY GIFT.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Each person making a transfer by gift who is required to file a return under section 6019 with respect to such transfer shall furnish to the Secretary and to each person acquiring any interest in property by reason of such transfer a statement identifying the fair market value of each interest in such property as reported on such return and such other information with respect to such interest as the Secretary may prescribe.

“(2) **TIME FOR FURNISHING STATEMENT.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Each statement required to be furnished under paragraph (1) shall be furnished at such time as the Secretary may prescribe, but in no case at a time later than the earlier of—

“(i) the date which is 30 days after the date on which the return under section 6019 was required to be filed (including extensions, if any), or

“(ii) the date which is 30 days after the date such return is filed.

“(B) **ADJUSTMENTS.**—In any case in which there is an adjustment to the information required to be included on a statement filed under paragraph (1) after such statement has been filed, a supplemental statement under such paragraph shall be filed not later than the date which is 30 days after such adjustment is made.

“(c) **REGULATIONS.**—The Secretary shall prescribe such regulations as necessary to carry out this section, including regulations relating to—

“(1) applying this section to property with regard to which no estate or gift tax return is required to be filed, and

“(2) situations in which the surviving joint tenant or other recipient may have better in-

formation than the executor regarding the basis or fair market value of the property.”.

(2) **PENALTY FOR FAILURE TO FILE.**—

(A) **RETURN.**—Section 6724(d)(1) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (B), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (C) and inserting “, and”, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) any statement required to be filed with the Secretary under section 6035.”.

(B) **STATEMENT.**—Section 6724(d)(2) is amended by striking “or” at the end of subparagraph (GG), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (HH) and inserting “, or”, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(II) section 6035 (other than a statement described in paragraph (1)(D)).”.

(3) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for subpart A of part III of subchapter A of chapter 61 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 6034A the following new item:

“Sec. 6035. Basis information to persons acquiring property from decedent or by gift.”.

(c) **PENALTY FOR INCONSISTENT REPORTING.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (b) of section 6662 is amended by inserting after paragraph (7) the following new paragraph:

“(8) Any inconsistent estate or gift basis.”.

(2) **INCONSISTENT BASIS REPORTING.**—Section 6662 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(k) **INCONSISTENT ESTATE OR GIFT BASIS REPORTING.**—For purposes of this section, the term ‘inconsistent estate or gift basis’ means—

“(1) in the case of property acquired from a decedent, a basis determination with respect to such property which is not consistent with the requirements of section 1014(f), and

“(2) in the case of property acquired by gift, a basis determination with respect to such property which is not consistent with the requirements of section 1015(f).”.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to transfers for which returns are filed after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 307. VALUATION RULES FOR CERTAIN TRANSFERS OF NONBUSINESS ASSETS; LIMITATION ON MINORITY DISCOUNTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 2031 (relating to definition of gross estate) is amended by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (f) and by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsections:

“(d) **VALUATION RULES FOR CERTAIN TRANSFERS OF NONBUSINESS ASSETS.**—For purposes of this chapter and chapter 12—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of the transfer of any interest in an entity other than an interest which is actively traded (within the meaning of section 1092)—

“(A) the value of any nonbusiness assets held by the entity with respect to such interest shall be determined as if the transferor had transferred such assets directly to the transferee (and no valuation discount shall be allowed with respect to such nonbusiness assets), and

“(B) such nonbusiness assets shall not be taken into account in determining the value of the interest in the entity.

“(2) **NONBUSINESS ASSETS.**—For purposes of this subsection—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The term ‘nonbusiness asset’ means any asset which is not used in the active conduct of 1 or more trades or businesses.

“(B) TREATMENT OF CERTAIN PASSIVE ASSETS.—Except as provided in subparagraph (C), a passive asset shall not be treated for purposes of subparagraph (A) as used in the active conduct of a trade or business unless—

“(i) the asset is property described in paragraph (1) or (4) of section 1221(a) or is a hedge with respect to such property, or

“(ii) the asset is real property used in the active conduct of 1 or more real property trades or businesses (within the meaning of section 469(c)(7)(C)) in which the transferor materially participates and with respect to which the transferor meets the requirements of section 469(c)(7)(B)(ii).

For purposes of clause (ii), material participation shall be determined under the rules of section 469(h), except that section 469(h)(3) shall be applied without regard to the limitation to farming activity.

“(C) EXCEPTION FOR WORKING CAPITAL.—Any asset (including a passive asset) which is held as a part of the reasonably required working capital needs of a trade or business shall be treated as used in the active conduct of a trade or business.

“(3) PASSIVE ASSET.—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘passive asset’ means any—

“(A) cash or cash equivalents,

“(B) except to the extent provided by the Secretary, stock in a corporation or any other equity, profits, or capital interest in any entity,

“(C) evidence of indebtedness, option, forward or futures contract, notional principal contract, or derivative,

“(D) asset described in clause (iii), (iv), or (v) of section 351(e)(1)(B),

“(E) annuity,

“(F) real property used in 1 or more real property trades or businesses (as defined in section 469(c)(7)(C)),

“(G) asset (other than a patent, trademark, or copyright) which produces royalty income,

“(H) commodity,

“(I) collectible (within the meaning of section 401(m)), or

“(J) any other asset specified in regulations prescribed by the Secretary.

“(4) LOOK-THRU RULES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—If a nonbusiness asset of an entity consists of a 10-percent interest in any other entity, this subsection shall be applied by disregarding the 10-percent interest and by treating the entity as holding directly its ratable share of the assets of the other entity. This subparagraph shall be applied successively to any 10-percent interest of such other entity in any other entity.

“(B) 10-PERCENT INTEREST.—The term ‘10-percent interest’ means—

“(i) in the case of an interest in a corporation, ownership of at least 10 percent (by vote or value) of the stock in such corporation,

“(ii) in the case of an interest in a partnership, ownership of at least 10 percent of the capital or profits interest in the partnership, and

“(iii) in any other case, ownership of at least 10 percent of the beneficial interests in the entity.

“(5) COORDINATION WITH SUBSECTION (b).—Subsection (b) shall apply after the application of this subsection.

“(e) LIMITATION ON MINORITY DISCOUNTS.—For purposes of this chapter and chapter 12, in the case of the transfer of any interest in an entity other than an interest which is actively traded (within the meaning of section 1092), no discount shall be allowed by reason of the fact that the transferee does not have

control of such entity if the transferee and members of the family (as defined in section 2032A(e)(2)) of the transferee have control of such entity.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to transfers after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 308. REQUIRED MINIMUM 10-YEAR TERM, ETC., FOR GRANTOR RETAINED ANNUITY TRUSTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (b) of section 2702 is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) as subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C), respectively, and by moving such subparagraphs (as so redesignated) 2 ems to the right;

(2) by striking “For purposes of” and inserting the following:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of”;

(3) by striking “paragraph (1) or (2)” in paragraph (1)(C) (as so redesignated) and inserting “subparagraph (A) or (B)”;

(4) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS WITH RESPECT TO GRANTOR RETAINED ANNUITIES.—For purposes of subsection (a), in the case of an interest described in paragraph (1)(A) (determined without regard to this paragraph) which is retained by the transferor, such interest shall be treated as described in such paragraph only if—

“(A) the right to receive the fixed amounts referred to in such paragraph is for a term of not less than 10 years,

“(B) such fixed amounts, when determined on an annual basis, do not decrease relative to any prior year during the first 10 years of the term referred to in subparagraph (A), and

“(C) the remainder interest has a value greater than zero determined as of the time of the transfer.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to transfers made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

TITLE IV—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INVESTMENT INCENTIVES

SEC. 401. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INCREASED SMALL BUSINESS EXPENSING.

(a) DOLLAR LIMITATION.—Section 179(b)(1) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (B) and by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) \$125,000 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2012, and

“(D) \$25,000 in the case of taxable years beginning after 2012.”.

(b) REDUCTION IN LIMITATION.—Section 179(b)(2) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (B) and by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) \$500,000 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2012, and

“(D) \$200,000 in the case of taxable years beginning after 2012.”.

(c) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—Subsection (b) of section 179 is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any taxable year beginning in calendar year 2012, the \$125,000 and \$500,000 amounts in paragraphs (1)(C) and (2)(C) shall each be increased by an amount equal to—

“(i) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(ii) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for the calendar year in which the taxable year begins, by substituting ‘calendar year 2006’ for ‘calendar year 1992’ in subparagraph (B) thereof.

“(B) ROUNDING.—

“(i) DOLLAR LIMITATION.—If the amount in paragraph (1) as increased under subparagraph (A) is not a multiple of \$1,000, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$1,000.

“(ii) PHASEOUT AMOUNT.—If the amount in paragraph (2) as increased under subparagraph (A) is not a multiple of \$10,000, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10,000.”.

(d) COMPUTER SOFTWARE.—Section 179(d)(1)(A)(ii) is amended by striking “2012” and inserting “2013”.

(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 179(c)(2) is amended by striking “2012” and inserting “2013”.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2011.

TITLE V—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND RELATED MATTERS

SEC. 501. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE PROVISIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Section 4007 of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (Public Law 110-252; 26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended—

(A) by striking “November 30, 2010” each place it appears and inserting “January 3, 2012”;

(B) in the heading for subsection (b)(2), by striking “NOVEMBER 30, 2010” and inserting “JANUARY 3, 2012”; and

(C) in subsection (b)(3), by striking “April 30, 2011” and inserting “June 9, 2012”.

(2) Section 2005 of the Assistance for Unemployed Workers and Struggling Families Act, as contained in Public Law 111-5 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note; 123 Stat. 444), is amended—

(A) by striking “December 1, 2010” each place it appears and inserting “January 4, 2012”; and

(B) in subsection (c), by striking “May 1, 2011” and inserting “June 11, 2012”.

(3) Section 5 of the Unemployment Compensation Extension Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-449; 26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended by striking “April 30, 2011” and inserting “June 10, 2012”.

(b) FUNDING.—Section 4004(e)(1) of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (Public Law 110-252; 26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (E), by striking “and” at the end; and

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (F) the following:

“(G) the amendments made by section 501(a)(1) of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010; and”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Unemployment Compensation Extension Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-205).

SEC. 502. TEMPORARY MODIFICATION OF INDICATORS UNDER THE EXTENDED BENEFIT PROGRAM.

(a) INDICATOR.—Section 203(d) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended, in the flush matter following paragraph (2), by inserting after the first sentence the following sentence: “Effective with respect to compensation for weeks of unemployment beginning after the date of enactment of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (or, if later, the date established pursuant to State law), and ending on or before December 31, 2011, the State may by law

provide that the determination of whether there has been a state 'on' or 'off' indicator beginning or ending any extended benefit period shall be made under this subsection as if the word 'two' were 'three' in subparagraph (1)(A)."

(b) **ALTERNATIVE TRIGGER.**—Section 203(f) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph:

"(2) Effective with respect to compensation for weeks of unemployment beginning after the date of enactment of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (or, if later, the date established pursuant to State law), and ending on or before December 31, 2011, the State may by law provide that the determination of whether there has been a state 'on' or 'off' indicator beginning or ending any extended benefit period shall be made under this subsection as if the word 'either' were 'any', the word 'both' were 'all', and the figure '2' were '3' in clause (1)(A)(ii)."

SEC. 503. TECHNICAL AMENDMENT RELATING TO COLLECTION OF UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION DEBTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 6402(f)(3)(C), as amended by section 801 of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010, is amended by striking "is not a covered unemployment compensation debt" and inserting "is a covered unemployment compensation debt".

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect as if included in section 801 of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010.

SEC. 504. TECHNICAL CORRECTION RELATING TO REPEAL OF CONTINUED DUMPING AND SUBSIDY OFFSET.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 822(2)(A) of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010 is amended by striking "or" and inserting "and".

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect as if included in the provisions of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010.

SEC. 505. ADDITIONAL EXTENDED UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS UNDER THE RAILROAD UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE ACT.

(a) **EXTENSION.**—Section 2(c)(2)(D)(iii) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, as added by section 2006 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-5) and as amended by section 9 of the Worker, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-92), is amended—

(1) by striking "June 30, 2010" and inserting "June 30, 2011"; and

(2) by striking "December 31, 2010" and inserting "December 31, 2011".

(b) **CLARIFICATION ON AUTHORITY TO USE FUNDS.**—Funds appropriated under either the first or second sentence of clause (iv) of section 2(c)(2)(D) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act shall be available to cover the cost of additional extended unemployment benefits provided under such section 2(c)(2)(D) by reason of the amendments made by subsection (a) as well as to cover the cost of such benefits provided under such section 2(c)(2)(D), as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act.

TITLE VI—EXTENSION OF MAKING WORK PAY CREDIT

SEC. 601. MAKING WORK PAY CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 36A(e) is amended by striking "December 31, 2010" and inserting "December 31, 2011".

(b) **TREATMENT OF POSSESSIONS.**—Section 1001(b)(1) of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009 is amended by striking "2009 and 2010" both places it appears and inserting "2009, 2010, and 2011".

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

TITLE VII—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF CERTAIN EXPIRING PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Energy

SEC. 701. INCENTIVES FOR BIODIESEL AND RENEWABLE DIESEL.

(a) **CREDITS FOR BIODIESEL AND RENEWABLE DIESEL USED AS FUEL.**—Subsection (g) of section 40A is amended by striking "December 31, 2009" and inserting "December 31, 2011".

(b) **EXCISE TAX CREDITS AND OUTLAY PAYMENTS FOR BIODIESEL AND RENEWABLE DIESEL FUEL MIXTURES.**—

(1) Paragraph (6) of section 6426(c) is amended by striking "December 31, 2009" and inserting "December 31, 2011".

(2) Subparagraph (B) of section 6427(e)(6) is amended by striking "December 31, 2009" and inserting "December 31, 2011".

(c) **SPECIAL RULE FOR 2010.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in the case of any biodiesel mixture credit properly determined under section 6426(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for periods during 2010, such credit shall be allowed, and any refund or payment attributable to such credit (including any payment under section 6427(e) of such Code) shall be made, only in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary's delegate) shall provide. Such Secretary shall issue guidance within 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act providing for a one-time submission of claims covering periods during 2010. Such guidance shall provide for a 180-day period for the submission of such claims (in such manner as prescribed by such Secretary) to begin not later than 30 days after such guidance is issued. Such claims shall be paid by such Secretary not later than 60 days after receipt. If such Secretary has not paid pursuant to a claim filed under this subsection within 60 days after the date of the filing of such claim, the claim shall be paid with interest from such date determined by using the overpayment rate and method under section 6621 of such Code.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to fuel sold or used after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 702. NEW ENERGY EFFICIENT HOME CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (g) of section 45L is amended by striking "December 31, 2009" and inserting "December 31, 2011".

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to homes acquired after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 703. SPECIAL RULE FOR SALES OR DISPOSITIONS TO IMPLEMENT FERC OR STATE ELECTRIC RESTRUCTURING POLICY FOR QUALIFIED ELECTRIC UTILITIES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (3) of section 451(i) is amended by striking "January 1, 2010" and inserting "January 1, 2012".

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to dispositions after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 704. EXTENSION OF GRANTS FOR SPECIFIED ENERGY PROPERTY IN LIEU OF TAX CREDITS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (a) of section 1603 of division B of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking "2009 or 2010" and inserting "2009, 2010, or 2011", and

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by striking "after 2010" and inserting "after 2011", and

(B) by striking "2009 or 2010" and inserting "2009, 2010, or 2011".

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Subsection (j) of section 1603 of division B of such Act is amended by striking "2011" and inserting "2012".

SEC. 705. EXTENSION OF PROVISIONS RELATED TO ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.

(a) **EXTENSION OF INCOME TAX CREDIT FOR ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (1) of section 40(e) is amended—

(A) by striking "December 31, 2010" in subparagraph (A) and inserting "December 31, 2011", and

(B) by striking "January 1, 2011" in subparagraph (B) and inserting "January 1, 2012".

(2) **REDUCED AMOUNT FOR ETHANOL BLENDED.**—Subsection (h) of section 40 is amended by striking "2010" both places it appears and inserting "2011".

(3) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to periods after December 31, 2010.

(b) **EXTENSION OF EXCISE TAX CREDIT FOR ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (6) of section 6426(b) is amended by striking "December 31, 2010" and inserting "December 31, 2011".

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to periods after December 31, 2010.

(c) **EXTENSION OF PAYMENT FOR ALCOHOL FUEL MIXTURE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (A) of section 6427(e)(6) is amended by striking "December 31, 2010" and inserting "December 31, 2011".

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to sales and uses after December 31, 2010.

(d) **EXTENSION OF ADDITIONAL DUTIES ON ETHANOL.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Headings 9901.00.50 and 9901.00.52 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States are each amended in the effective period column by striking "1/1/2011" and inserting "1/1/2012".

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall take effect on January 1, 2011.

SEC. 706. ENERGY EFFICIENT APPLIANCE CREDIT.

(a) **DISHWASHERS.**—Paragraph (1) of section 45M(b) is amended by striking "and" at the end of subparagraph (A), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (B) and inserting a comma, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

"(C) \$25 in the case of a dishwasher which is manufactured in calendar year 2011 and which uses no more than 307 kilowatt hours per year and 5.0 gallons per cycle (5.5 gallons per cycle for dishwashers designed for greater than 12 place settings),

"(D) \$50 in the case of a dishwasher which is manufactured in calendar year 2011 and which uses no more than 295 kilowatt hours per year and 4.25 gallons per cycle (4.75 gallons per cycle for dishwashers designed for greater than 12 place settings), and

"(E) \$75 in the case of a dishwasher which is manufactured in calendar year 2011 and which uses no more than 280 kilowatt hours per year and 4 gallons per cycle (4.5 gallons per cycle for dishwashers designed for greater than 12 place settings)."

(b) **CLOTHES WASHERS.**—Paragraph (2) of section 45M(b) is amended by striking "and" at the end of subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (D)

and inserting a comma, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) \$175 in the case of a top-loading clothes washer manufactured in calendar year 2011 which meets or exceeds a 2.2 modified energy factor and does not exceed a 4.5 water consumption factor, and

“(F) \$225 in the case of a clothes washer manufactured in calendar year 2011—

“(i) which is a top-loading clothes washer and which meets or exceeds a 2.4 modified energy factor and does not exceed a 4.2 water consumption factor, or

“(ii) which is a front-loading clothes washer and which meets or exceeds a 2.8 modified energy factor and does not exceed a 3.5 water consumption factor.”.

(C) REFRIGERATORS.—Paragraph (3) of section 45M(b) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (D) and inserting a comma, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) \$150 in the case of a refrigerator manufactured in calendar year 2011 which consumes at least 30 percent less energy than the 2001 energy conservation standards, and

“(F) \$200 in the case of a refrigerator manufactured in calendar year 2011 which consumes at least 35 percent less energy than the 2001 energy conservation standards.”.

(D) REBASING OF LIMITATIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 45M(e) is amended—

(A) by striking “\$75,000,000” and inserting “\$25,000,000”, and

(B) by striking “December 31, 2007” and inserting “December 31, 2010”.

(2) EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN REFRIGERATORS AND CLOTHES WASHERS.—Paragraph (2) of section 45M(e) is amended—

(A) by striking “subsection (b)(3)(D)” and inserting “subsection (b)(3)(F)”, and

(B) by striking “subsection (b)(2)(D)” and inserting “subsection (b)(2)(F)”.

(3) GROSS RECEIPTS LIMITATION.—Paragraph (3) of section 45M(e) is amended by striking “2 percent” and inserting “4 percent”.

(E) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by subsections (a), (b), and (c) shall apply to appliances produced after December 31, 2010.

(2) LIMITATIONS.—The amendments made by subsection (d) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 707. CREDIT FOR NONBUSINESS ENERGY PROPERTY.

(a) EXTENSION.—Section 25C(g)(2) is amended by striking “2010” and inserting “2011”.

(b) RETURN TO PRE-ARRA LIMITATIONS AND STANDARDS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsections (a) and (b) of section 25C are amended to read as follows:

“(a) ALLOWANCE OF CREDIT.—In the case of an individual, there shall be allowed as a credit against the tax imposed by this chapter for the taxable year an amount equal to the sum of—

“(1) 10 percent of the amount paid or incurred by the taxpayer for qualified energy efficiency improvements installed during such taxable year, and

“(2) the amount of the residential energy property expenditures paid or incurred by the taxpayer during such taxable year.

“(b) LIMITATIONS.—

“(1) LIFETIME LIMITATION.—The credit allowed under this section with respect to any taxpayer for any taxable year shall not exceed the excess (if any) of \$500 over the aggregate credits allowed under this section with respect to such taxpayer for all prior taxable years ending after December 31, 2005.

“(2) WINDOWS.—In the case of amounts paid or incurred for components described in sub-

section (c)(2)(B) by any taxpayer for any taxable year, the credit allowed under this section with respect to such amounts for such year shall not exceed the excess (if any) of \$200 over the aggregate credits allowed under this section with respect to such amounts for all prior taxable years ending after December 31, 2005.

“(3) LIMITATION ON RESIDENTIAL ENERGY PROPERTY EXPENDITURES.—The amount of the credit allowed under this section by reason of subsection (a)(2) shall not exceed—

“(A) \$50 for any advanced main air circulating fan,

“(B) \$150 for any qualified natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler, and

“(C) \$300 for any item of energy-efficient building property.”.

(2) MODIFICATION OF STANDARDS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 25C(c) is amended by striking “2000” and all that follows through “this section” and inserting “2009 International Energy Conservation Code, as such Code (including supplements) is in effect on the date of the enactment of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009”.

(B) WOOD STOVES.—Subparagraph (E) of section 25C(d)(3) is amended by striking “, as measured using a lower heating value”.

(C) OIL FURNACES AND HOT WATER BOILERS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (4) of section 25C(d) is amended to read as follows:

“(4) QUALIFIED NATURAL GAS, PROPANE, OR OIL FURNACE OR HOT WATER BOILER.—The term ‘qualified natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler’ means a natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler which achieves an annual fuel utilization efficiency rate of not less than 95.”.

(ii) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Clause (ii) of section 25C(d)(2)(A) is amended to read as follows:

“(ii) a qualified natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler, or”.

(D) EXTERIOR WINDOWS, DOORS, AND SKYLIGHTS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (c) of section 25C is amended by striking paragraph (4).

(ii) APPLICATION OF ENERGY STAR STANDARDS.—Paragraph (1) of section 25C(c) is amended by inserting “an exterior window, a skylight, an exterior door,” after “in the case of” in the matter preceding subparagraph (A).

(E) INSULATION.—Subparagraph (A) of section 25C(c)(2) is amended by striking “and meets the prescriptive criteria for such material or system established by the 2009 International Energy Conservation Code, as such Code (including supplements) is in effect on the date of the enactment of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009”.

(3) SUBSIDIZED ENERGY FINANCING.—Subsection (e) of section 25C is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) PROPERTY FINANCED BY SUBSIDIZED ENERGY FINANCING.—For purposes of determining the amount of expenditures made by any individual with respect to any property, there shall not be taken into account expenditures which are made from subsidized energy financing (as defined in section 48(a)(4)(C)).”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 708. ALTERNATIVE FUEL VEHICLE REFUELING PROPERTY.

(a) EXTENSION OF CREDIT.—Paragraph (2) of section 30C(g) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2010.

Subtitle B—Individual Tax Relief

SEC. 721. DEDUCTION FOR CERTAIN EXPENSES OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (D) of section 62(a)(2) is amended by striking “or 2009” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 722. DEDUCTION OF STATE AND LOCAL SALES TAXES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (I) of section 164(b)(5) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 723. CONTRIBUTIONS OF CAPITAL GAIN REAL PROPERTY MADE FOR CONSERVATION PURPOSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (vi) of section 170(b)(1)(E) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) CONTRIBUTIONS BY CERTAIN CORPORATE FARMERS AND RANCHERS.—Clause (iii) of section 170(b)(2)(B) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 724. ABOVE-THE-LINE DEDUCTION FOR QUALIFIED TUITION AND RELATED EXPENSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (e) of section 222 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 725. TAX-FREE DISTRIBUTIONS FROM INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT PLANS FOR CHARITABLE PURPOSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (F) of section 408(d)(8) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE; SPECIAL RULE.—

(1) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to distributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

(2) SPECIAL RULE.—For purposes of subsections (a)(6), (b)(3), and (d)(8) of section 408 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, at the election of the taxpayer (at such time and in such manner as prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury) any qualified charitable distribution made after December 31, 2010, and before February 1, 2011, shall be deemed to have been made on December 31, 2010.

SEC. 726. PARITY FOR EXCLUSION FROM INCOME FOR EMPLOYER-PROVIDED MASS TRANSIT AND PARKING BENEFITS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 132(f) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to months after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 727. REFUNDS DISREGARDED IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF FEDERAL PROGRAMS AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED PROGRAMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter A of chapter 65 is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 6409. REFUNDS DISREGARDED IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF FEDERAL PROGRAMS AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED PROGRAMS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any refund (or advance payment with respect to a refundable credit) made to any individual under this title shall not be taken into account as income, and shall not be taken into account as resources for a period of 12 months from receipt, for purposes of determining the eligibility of such individual (or any other individual) for benefits or assistance (or the amount or extent of benefits or assistance) under any Federal program or under any State or local program financed in whole or in part with Federal funds.

“(b) TERMINATION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to any amount received after December 31, 2012.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for such subchapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“Sec. 6409. Refunds disregarded in the administration of Federal programs and federally assisted programs.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts received after December 31, 2009.

Subtitle C—Business Tax Relief

SEC. 731. RESEARCH CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (B) of section 41(h)(1) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subparagraph (D) of section 45C(b)(1) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 732. INDIAN EMPLOYMENT TAX CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 45A is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 733. NEW MARKETS TAX CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 45D(f) is amended—

(1) by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (E),

(2) by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (F), and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(G) \$3,500,000,000 for 2010 and 2011.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Paragraph (3) of section 45D(f) is amended by striking “2014” and inserting “2016”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to calendar years beginning after 2009.

SEC. 734. RAILROAD TRACK MAINTENANCE CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 45G is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to expenditures paid or incurred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 735. MINE RESCUE TEAM TRAINING CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (e) of section 45N is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 736. EMPLOYER WAGE CREDIT FOR EMPLOYEES WHO ARE ACTIVE DUTY MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 45P is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to payments made after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 737. ACCELERATED DEPRECIATION FOR BUSINESS PROPERTY ON AN INDIAN RESERVATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (8) of section 168(j) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 738. ENHANCED CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF FOOD INVENTORY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 170(e)(3)(C) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 739. ENHANCED CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF BOOK INVENTORIES TO PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 170(e)(3)(D) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 740. ENHANCED CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CORPORATE CONTRIBUTIONS OF COMPUTER INVENTORY FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (G) of section 170(e)(6) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 741. ELECTION TO EXPENSE MINE SAFETY EQUIPMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (g) of section 179E is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 742. EXPENSING OF ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION COSTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (h) of section 198 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to expenditures paid or incurred after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 743. MODIFICATION OF TAX TREATMENT OF CERTAIN PAYMENTS TO CONTROLLING EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 512(b)(13)(E) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to payments received or accrued after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 744. TREATMENT OF CERTAIN DIVIDENDS OF REGULATED INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraphs (1)(C) and (2)(C) of section 871(k) are each amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 745. RIC QUALIFIED INVESTMENT ENTITY TREATMENT UNDER FIRPTA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (ii) of section 897(h)(4)(A) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on January 1, 2010. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, such amendment shall not apply with respect to the withholding requirement under section 1445 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for any payment made before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) AMOUNTS WITHHELD ON OR BEFORE DATE OF ENACTMENT.—In the case of a regulated investment company—

(A) which makes a distribution after December 31, 2009, and before the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(B) which would (but for the second sentence of paragraph (1)) have been required to withhold with respect to such distribution under section 1445 of such Code,

such investment company shall not be liable to any person to whom such distribution was made for any amount so withheld and paid over to the Secretary of the Treasury.

SEC. 746. EXCEPTIONS FOR ACTIVE FINANCING INCOME.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Sections 953(e)(10) and 954(h)(9) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 953(e)(10) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2009, and to taxable years of United States shareholders with or within which any such taxable year of such foreign corporation ends.

SEC. 747. LOOK-THRU TREATMENT OF PAYMENTS BETWEEN RELATED CONTROLLED FOREIGN CORPORATIONS UNDER FOREIGN PERSONAL HOLDING COMPANY RULES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (C) of section 954(c)(6) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2009, and to taxable years of United States shareholders with or within which any such taxable year of such foreign corporation ends.

SEC. 748. BASIS ADJUSTMENT TO STOCK OF S CORPS MAKING CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS OF PROPERTY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 1367(a) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 749. EMPOWERMENT ZONE TAX INCENTIVES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1391 is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2009” in subsection (d)(1)(A)(i) and inserting “December 31, 2011”; and

(2) by striking the last sentence of subsection (h)(2).

(b) INCREASED EXCLUSION OF GAIN ON STOCK OF EMPOWERMENT ZONE BUSINESSES.—Subparagraph (C) of section 1202(a)(2) is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”; and

(2) by striking “2014” in the heading and inserting “2016”.

(c) **TREATMENT OF CERTAIN TERMINATION DATES SPECIFIED IN NOMINATIONS.**—In the case of a designation of an empowerment zone the nomination for which included a termination date which is contemporaneous with the date specified in subparagraph (A)(i) of section 1391(d)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as in effect before the enactment of this Act), subparagraph (B) of such section shall not apply with respect to such designation if, after the date of the enactment of this section, the entity which made such nomination amends the nomination to provide for a new termination date in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary’s designee) may provide.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to periods after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 750. TAX INCENTIVES FOR INVESTMENT IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (f) of section 1400 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” each place it appears and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **TAX-EXEMPT DC EMPOWERMENT ZONE BONDS.**—Subsection (b) of section 1400A is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) **ZERO-PERCENT CAPITAL GAINS RATE.**—

(1) **ACQUISITION DATE.**—Paragraphs (2)(A)(i), (3)(A), (4)(A)(i), and (4)(B)(i)(I) of section 1400B(b) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(2) **LIMITATION ON PERIOD OF GAINS.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (2) of section 1400B(e) is amended—

(i) by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”; and

(ii) by striking “2014” in the heading and inserting “2016”.

(B) **PARTNERSHIPS AND S-CORPS.**—Paragraph (2) of section 1400B(g) is amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”.

(d) **FIRST-TIME HOMEBUYER CREDIT.**—Subsection (i) of section 1400C is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(e) **EFFECTIVE DATES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the amendments made by this section shall apply to periods after December 31, 2009.

(2) **TAX-EXEMPT DC EMPOWERMENT ZONE BONDS.**—The amendment made by subsection (b) shall apply to bonds issued after December 31, 2009.

(3) **ACQUISITION DATES FOR ZERO-PERCENT CAPITAL GAINS RATE.**—The amendments made by subsection (c) shall apply to property acquired or substantially improved after December 31, 2009.

(4) **HOMEBUYER CREDIT.**—The amendment made by subsection (d) shall apply to homes purchased after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 751. WORK OPPORTUNITY CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (B) of section 51(c)(4) is amended by striking “August 31, 2011” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to individuals who begin work for the employer after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 752. QUALIFIED ZONE ACADEMY BONDS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 54E(c)(1) is amended—

(1) by striking “2008 and” and inserting “2008,” and

(2) by inserting “and \$400,000,000 for 2011” after “2010,”.

(b) **REPEAL OF REFUNDABLE CREDIT FOR QZABS.**—Paragraph (3) of section 6431(f) is amended by inserting “determined without regard to any allocation relating to the national zone academy bond limitation for 2011 or any carryforward of such allocation” after “54E)” in subparagraph (A)(iii).

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to obligations issued after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 753. MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Clause (iv) of section 163(h)(3)(E) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or accrued after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 754. TEMPORARY EXCLUSION OF 100 PERCENT OF GAIN ON CERTAIN SMALL BUSINESS STOCK.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (4) of section 1202(a) is amended—

(1) by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”, and

(2) by inserting “AND 2011” after “2010” in the heading thereof.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to stock acquired after December 31, 2010.

Subtitle D—Temporary Disaster Relief Provisions

PART

Subpart A—New York Liberty Zone

SEC. 761. TAX-EXEMPT BOND FINANCING.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (D) of section 1400L(d)(2) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to bonds issued after December 31, 2009.

Subpart B—GO Zone

SEC. 762. INCREASE IN REHABILITATION CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (h) of section 1400N is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 763. LOW-INCOME HOUSING CREDIT RULES FOR BUILDINGS IN GO ZONES.

Section 1400N(c)(5) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

SEC. 764. TAX-EXEMPT BOND FINANCING.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraphs (2)(D) and (7)(C) of section 1400N(a) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Sections 702(d)(1) and 704(a) of the Heartland Disaster Tax Relief Act of 2008 are each amended by striking “January 1, 2011” each place it appears and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

SEC. 765. BONUS DEPRECIATION DEDUCTION APPLICABLE TO THE GO ZONE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (6) of section 1400N(d) is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2010” both places it appears in subparagraph (B) and inserting “December 31, 2011”, and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2010” in the heading and the text of subparagraph (D) and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

TITLE VIII—BUDGETARY PROVISIONS

SEC. 801. DETERMINATION OF BUDGETARY EFFECTS.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory

Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled “Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation” for this Act, jointly submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairmen of the House and Senate Budget Committees, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage in the House acting first on this conference report or amendment between the Houses.

SEC. 802. EMERGENCY DESIGNATIONS.

(a) **STATUTORY PAYGO.**—This Act is designated as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 4(g) of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-139; 2 U.S.C. 933(g)) except to the extent that the budgetary effects of this Act are determined to be subject to the current policy adjustments under sections 4(c) and 7 of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act.

(b) **SENATE.**—In the Senate, this Act is designated as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 403(a) of S. Con. Res. 13 (111th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2010.

(c) **HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.**—In the House of Representatives, every provision of this Act is expressly designated as an emergency for purposes of pay-as-you-go principles except to the extent that any such provision is subject to the current policy adjustments under section 4(c) of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010.

SA 4797. Mrs. LINCOLN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 71, between lines 3 and 4, insert the following:

SEC. 760A. TIMBER REIT MODERNIZATION.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (8) of section 856(c) is amended by striking “means” and all that follows and inserting “means December 31, 2011.”

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) Subparagraph (I) of section 856(c)(2) is amended by striking “the first taxable year beginning after the date of the enactment of this subparagraph” and inserting “a taxable year beginning on or before the termination date”.

(2) Clause (iii) of section 856(c)(5)(H) is amended by inserting “in taxable years beginning” after “dispositions”.

(3) Clause (v) of section 857(b)(6)(D) is amended by inserting “in a taxable year beginning” after “sale”.

(4) Subparagraph (G) of section 857(b)(6) is amended by inserting “in a taxable year beginning” after “In the case of a sale”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years ending after May 22, 2009.

SEC. 760B. REDUCTION IN CORPORATE RATE FOR QUALIFIED TIMBER GAIN.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (1) of section 1201(b) is amended by striking “ending” and all that follows through “such date”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Paragraph (3) of section 1201(b) is amended to read as follows:

“(3) APPLICATION OF SUBSECTION.—The qualified timber gain for any taxable year shall not exceed the qualified timber gain which would be determined by not taking into account any portion of such taxable year after December 31, 2011.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years ending after May 22, 2009.

SA 4798. Mrs. SHAHEEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 51, between lines 11 and 12, insert:
SEC. ____ CREDIT FOR ELECTRICITY PRODUCED AT CERTAIN OPEN-LOOP BIOMASS FACILITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (ii) of section 45(b)(4)(B) is amended—

(1) by striking “5-year period” and inserting “7-year period”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following: “In the case of the next-to-last year of the 7-year period described in the preceding sentence, the credit determined under subsection (a) with respect to electricity produced during such year shall not exceed 80 percent of such credit determined without regard to this sentence. In the case of the last year of such 7-year period, the credit determined under subsection (a) with respect to electricity produced during such year shall not exceed 60 percent of such credit determined without regard to this sentence.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to electricity produced and sold after December 31, 2009.

SA 4799. Ms. COLLINS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 49, strike lines 1 through 3.

SA 4800. Mr. COONS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (B) of section 54AA(d)(1) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EXTENSION OF PAYMENTS TO ISSUERS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 6431 is amended—
(A) by striking “January 1, 2011” in subsection (a) and inserting “January 1, 2012”; and
(B) by striking “January 1, 2011” in subsection (f)(1)(B) and inserting “a particular date”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Subsection (g) of section 54AA is amended—

(A) by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”; and

(B) by striking “QUALIFIED BONDS ISSUED BEFORE 2011” in the heading and inserting “CERTAIN QUALIFIED BONDS”.

SA 4801. Mr. DURBIN (for Mr. LEAHY (for himself and Mr. HATCH)) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 628, to establish a pilot program in certain United States district courts to encourage enhancement of expertise in patent cases among district judges; as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. PILOT PROGRAM IN CERTAIN DISTRICT COURTS.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—There is established a program, in each of the United States district courts designated under subsection (b), under which—

(A) those district judges of that district court who request to hear cases under which 1 or more issues arising under any Act of Congress relating to patents or plant variety protection are required to be decided, are designated by the chief judge of the court to hear those cases;

(B) cases described in subparagraph (A) are randomly assigned to the judges of the district court, regardless of whether the judges are designated under subparagraph (A);

(C) a judge not designated under subparagraph (A) to whom a case is assigned under subparagraph (B) may decline to accept the case; and

(D) a case declined under subparagraph (C) is randomly reassigned to 1 of those judges of the court designated under subparagraph (A).

(2) SENIOR JUDGES.—Senior judges of a district court may be designated under paragraph (1)(A) if at least 1 judge of the court in regular active service is also so designated.

(3) RIGHT TO TRANSFER CASES PRESERVED.—This section shall not be construed to limit the ability of a judge to request the reassignment of or otherwise transfer a case to which the judge is assigned under this section, in accordance with otherwise applicable rules of the court.

(b) DESIGNATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 6 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall designate not less than 6 United States district courts, in at least 3 different judicial circuits, in which the program established under subsection (a) will be carried out.

(2) CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATIONS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Director shall make designations under paragraph (1) from—

(i) the 15 district courts in which the largest number of patent and plant variety protection cases were filed in the most recent calendar year that has ended; or

(ii) the district courts that have adopted, or certified to the Director the intention to adopt, local rules for patent and plant variety protection cases.

(B) SELECTION OF COURTS.—From amongst the district courts that satisfy the criteria for designation under this subsection, the Director shall select—

(i) 3 district courts that each have at least 10 district judges authorized to be appointed by the President, whether under section 133(a) of title 28, United States Code, or on a temporary basis under any other provision of law, and at least 3 judges of the court have made the request under subsection (a)(1)(A); and

(ii) 3 district courts that each have fewer than 10 district judges authorized to be appointed by the President, whether under section 133(a) of title 28, United States Code, or on a temporary basis under any other provision of law, and at least 2 judges of the court have made the request under subsection (a)(1)(A).

(c) DURATION.—The program established under subsection (a) shall terminate 10 years after the end of the 6-month period described in subsection (b).

(d) APPLICABILITY.—The program established under subsection (a) shall apply in a district court designated under subsection (b) only to cases commenced on or after the date of such designation.

(e) REPORTS TO CONGRESS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—At the times specified in paragraph (2), the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, in consultation with the chief judge of each of the district courts designated under subsection (b) and the Director of the Federal Judicial Center, shall submit to the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate a report on the pilot program established under subsection (a). The report shall include—

(A) an analysis of the extent to which the program has succeeded in developing expertise in patent and plant variety protection cases among the district judges of the district courts so designated;

(B) an analysis of the extent to which the program has improved the efficiency of the courts involved by reason of such expertise;

(C) with respect to patent cases handled by the judges designated pursuant to subsection (a)(1)(A) and judges not so designated, a comparison between the 2 groups of judges with respect to—

(i) the rate of reversal by the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, of such cases on the issues of claim construction and substantive patent law; and

(ii) the period of time elapsed from the date on which a case is filed to the date on which trial begins or summary judgment is entered;

(D) a discussion of any evidence indicating that litigants select certain of the judicial districts designated under subsection (b) in an attempt to ensure a given outcome; and

(E) an analysis of whether the pilot program should be extended to other district courts, or should be made permanent and apply to all district courts.

(2) TIMETABLE FOR REPORTS.—The times referred to in paragraph (1) are—

(A) not later than the date that is 5 years and 3 months after the end of the 6-month period described in subsection (b); and

(B) not later than 5 years after the date described in subparagraph (A).

(3) PERIODIC REPORTS.—The Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, in consultation with the chief judge of each of the district courts designated under subsection (b) and the Director of the

Federal Judicial Center, shall keep the committees referred to in paragraph (1) informed, on a periodic basis while the pilot program is in effect, with respect to the matters referred to in subparagraphs (A) through (E) of paragraph (1).

SA 4802. Mr. DURBIN (for Mr. AKAKA) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3447, to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve educational assistance for veterans who served in the Armed Forces after September 11, 2001, and for other purposes; as follows:

On page 22, in the matter following line 17, insert after the item relating to section 2 the following:

Sec. 3. Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act compliance.

On page 23, between lines 6 and 7, insert the following:

SEC. 3. STATUTORY PAY-AS-YOU-GO ACT COMPLIANCE.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go-Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled "Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation" for this Act, submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairman of the Senate Budget Committee, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage.

On page 25, line 23, insert after the period the following: "However, no benefits otherwise payable by reason of such amendment for the period beginning on August 1, 2009, and ending on September 30, 2011, may be paid before October 1, 2011."

On page 29, line 3, strike "\$20,000" and insert "\$17,500".

On page 32, strike lines 5 through 8 and insert the following:

(c) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to amounts payable for educational assistance for pursuit of programs of education on or after that date.

(2) STIPEND FOR DISTANCE LEARNING ON MORE THAN HALF-TIME BASIS.—Clause (iii) of section 3313(c)(1)(B) of title 38, United States Code (as added by subsection (b)(2) of this section), shall take effect on October 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to amounts payable for educational assistance for pursuit of programs of education as covered by such clause on or after that date.

On page 33, strike line 7 and all that follows through page 34, line 8, and insert the following:

"(i) in the case of a program of education pursued at a public institution of higher learning, the actual net cost for in-State tuition and fees assessed by the institution for the program of education after the application of—

"(I) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

"(II) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070a)) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees;

"(ii) in the case of a program of education pursued at a non-public or foreign institution of higher learning, the lesser of—

"(I) the actual net cost for tuition and fees assessed by the institution for the program of education after the application of—

"(aa) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

"(bb) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees; or

"(II) the amount equal to—

"(aa) for the academic year beginning on August 1, 2011, \$17,500; or

"(bb) for an academic year beginning on any subsequent August 1, the amount for the previous academic year beginning on August 1 under this subclause, as increased by the percentage increase equal to the most recent percentage increase determined under section 3015(h); or"

On page 35, strike lines 12 through 17 and insert the following:

(c) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date that is 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to amounts payable for educational assistance for pursuit of programs of education on or after such effective date.

(2) LUMP SUM FOR BOOKS AND OTHER EDUCATIONAL COSTS.—Subparagraph (B) of section 3313(e)(2) of title 38, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)(2)(E) of this section), shall take effect on October 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to amounts payable for educational assistance for pursuit of programs of education on or after that date.

On page 39, line 17, strike "\$20,000" and insert "\$17,500".

On page 45, line 24, strike "\$12,000" and insert "\$10,000".

On page 47, line 25, strike "\$10,000" and insert "\$8,500".

On page 51, line 13, strike "August 1, 2011" and insert "October 1, 2011".

On page 52, line 21, strike "\$1,667" and insert "\$1,460".

On page 54, line 20, strike "\$1,667" and insert "\$1,460".

On page 73, line 18, strike "August 1, 2011" and insert "October 1, 2011".

SA 4803. Mr. ENSIGN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. McCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted, insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; ETC.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010".

(b) AMENDMENT OF 1986 CODE.—Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this Act an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(c) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; etc.

TITLE I—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF TAX RELIEF

Sec. 101. Temporary extension of 2001 tax relief.

Sec. 102. Temporary extension of 2003 tax relief.

Sec. 103. Temporary extension of 2009 tax relief.

TITLE II—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INDIVIDUAL AMT RELIEF

Sec. 201. Temporary extension of increased alternative minimum tax exemption amount.

Sec. 202. Temporary extension of alternative minimum tax relief for non-refundable personal credits.

TITLE III—TEMPORARY ESTATE TAX RELIEF

Sec. 301. Reinstatement of estate tax; repeal of carryover basis.

Sec. 302. Modifications to estate, gift, and generation-skipping transfer taxes.

Sec. 303. Applicable exclusion amount increased by unused exclusion amount of deceased spouse.

Sec. 304. Application of EGTRRA sunset to this title.

TITLE IV—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INVESTMENT INCENTIVES

Sec. 401. Extension of bonus depreciation; temporary 100 percent expensing for certain business assets.

Sec. 402. Temporary extension of increased small business expensing.

TITLE V—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND RELATED MATTERS

Sec. 501. Temporary extension of unemployment insurance provisions.

Sec. 502. Temporary modification of indicators under the extended benefit program.

Sec. 503. Technical amendment relating to collection of unemployment compensation debts.

Sec. 504. Technical correction relating to repeal of continued dumping and subsidy offset.

Sec. 505. Additional extended unemployment benefits under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act.

TITLE VII—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF CERTAIN EXPIRING PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Energy

Sec. 701. Incentives for biodiesel and renewable diesel.

Sec. 702. Credit for refined coal facilities.

Sec. 703. New energy efficient home credit.

Sec. 704. Excise tax credits and outlay payments for alternative fuel and alternative fuel mixtures.

Sec. 705. Special rule for sales or dispositions to implement FERC or State electric restructuring policy for qualified electric utilities.

Sec. 706. Suspension of limitation on percentage depletion for oil and gas from marginal wells.

Sec. 707. Extension of grants for specified energy property in lieu of tax credits.

Sec. 709. Energy efficient appliance credit.

Sec. 710. Credit for nonbusiness energy property.

Sec. 711. Alternative fuel vehicle refueling property.

Subtitle B—Individual Tax Relief

- Sec. 721. Deduction for certain expenses of elementary and secondary school teachers.
- Sec. 722. Deduction of State and local sales taxes.
- Sec. 723. Contributions of capital gain real property made for conservation purposes.
- Sec. 724. Above-the-line deduction for qualified tuition and related expenses.
- Sec. 725. Tax-free distributions from individual retirement plans for charitable purposes.
- Sec. 726. Look-thru of certain regulated investment company stock in determining gross estate of non-residents.
- Sec. 727. Parity for exclusion from income for employer-provided mass transit and parking benefits.
- Sec. 728. Refunds disregarded in the administration of Federal programs and federally assisted programs.

Subtitle C—Business Tax Relief

- Sec. 731. Research credit.
- Sec. 732. Indian employment tax credit.
- Sec. 733. New markets tax credit.
- Sec. 734. Railroad track maintenance credit.
- Sec. 735. Mine rescue team training credit.
- Sec. 736. Employer wage credit for employees who are active duty members of the uniformed services.
- Sec. 737. 15-year straight-line cost recovery for qualified leasehold improvements, qualified restaurant buildings and improvements, and qualified retail improvements.
- Sec. 738. 7-year recovery period for motor-sports entertainment complexes.
- Sec. 739. Accelerated depreciation for business property on an Indian reservation.
- Sec. 740. Enhanced charitable deduction for contributions of food inventory.
- Sec. 741. Enhanced charitable deduction for contributions of book inventories to public schools.
- Sec. 742. Enhanced charitable deduction for corporate contributions of computer inventory for educational purposes.
- Sec. 743. Election to expense mine safety equipment.
- Sec. 744. Special expensing rules for certain film and television productions.
- Sec. 745. Expensing of environmental remediation costs.
- Sec. 746. Deduction allowable with respect to income attributable to domestic production activities in Puerto Rico.
- Sec. 747. Modification of tax treatment of certain payments to controlling exempt organizations.
- Sec. 748. Treatment of certain dividends of regulated investment companies.
- Sec. 749. RIC qualified investment entity treatment under FIRPTA.
- Sec. 750. Exceptions for active financing income.
- Sec. 751. Look-thru treatment of payments between related controlled foreign corporations under foreign personal holding company rules.
- Sec. 752. Basis adjustment to stock of S corps making charitable contributions of property.

- Sec. 753. Empowerment zone tax incentives.
- Sec. 754. Tax incentives for investment in the District of Columbia.
- Sec. 755. Temporary increase in limit on cover over of rum excise taxes to Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands.
- Sec. 756. American Samoa economic development credit.
- Sec. 757. Work opportunity credit.
- Sec. 758. Qualified zone academy bonds.
- Sec. 759. Mortgage insurance premiums.
- Sec. 760. Temporary exclusion of 100 percent of gain on certain small business stock.

Subtitle D—Temporary Disaster Relief Provisions

SUBPART A—NEW YORK LIBERTY ZONE

- Sec. 761. Tax-exempt bond financing.

SUBPART B—GO ZONE

- Sec. 762. Increase in rehabilitation credit.
- Sec. 763. Low-income housing credit rules for buildings in GO zones.
- Sec. 764. Tax-exempt bond financing.
- Sec. 765. Bonus depreciation deduction applicable to the GO Zone.

TITLE VIII—BUDGETARY PROVISIONS

- Sec. 801. Determination of budgetary effects.
- Sec. 802. Emergency designations.

TITLE I—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF TAX RELIEF

SEC. 101. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2001 TAX RELIEF.

(a) TEMPORARY EXTENSION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 901 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” both places it appears and inserting “December 31, 2012”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001.

(b) SEPARATE SUNSET FOR EXPANSION OF ADOPTION BENEFITS UNDER THE PATIENT PROTECTION AND AFFORDABLE CARE ACT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (c) of section 10909 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act is amended to read as follows: “(c) SUNSET PROVISION.—Each provision of law amended by this section is amended to read as such provision would read if this section had never been enacted. The amendments made by the preceding sentence shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2011.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (d) of section 10909 of such Act is amended by striking “The amendments” and inserting “Except as provided in subsection (c), the amendments”.

SEC. 102. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2003 TAX RELIEF.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 303 of the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003 is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003.

SEC. 103. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2009 TAX RELIEF.

(a) AMERICAN OPPORTUNITY TAX CREDIT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 25A(i) is amended by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, 2011, or 2012”.

(2) TREATMENT OF POSSESSIONS.—Section 1004(c)(1) of the American Recovery and Re-

investment Tax Act of 2009 is amended by striking “and 2010” each place it appears and inserting “, 2010, 2011, and 2012”.

(b) CHILD TAX CREDIT.—Section 24(d)(4) is amended—

(1) by striking “2009 AND 2010” in the heading and inserting “2009, 2010, 2011, AND 2012”, and

(2) by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, 2011, or 2012”.

(c) EARNED INCOME TAX CREDIT.—Section 32(b)(3) is amended—

(1) by striking “2009 AND 2010” in the heading and inserting “2009, 2010, 2011, AND 2012”, and

(2) by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, 2011, or 2012”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

TITLE II—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INDIVIDUAL AMT RELIEF

SEC. 201. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INCREASED ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX EXEMPTION AMOUNT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 55(d) is amended—

(1) by striking “\$70,950” and all that follows through “2009” in subparagraph (A) and inserting “\$72,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2010 and \$74,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2011”, and

(2) by striking “\$46,700” and all that follows through “2009” in subparagraph (B) and inserting “\$47,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2010 and \$48,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

(c) REPEAL OF EGTRRA SUNSET.—Title IX of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 (relating to sunset of provisions of such Act) shall not apply to title VII of such Act (relating to alternative minimum tax).

SEC. 202. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX RELIEF FOR NONREFUNDABLE PERSONAL CREDITS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 26(a) is amended—

(1) by striking “or 2009” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”, and

(2) by striking “2009” in the heading thereof and inserting “2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

TITLE III—TEMPORARY ESTATE TAX RELIEF

SEC. 301. REINSTATEMENT OF ESTATE TAX; REPEAL OF CARRYOVER BASIS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Each provision of law amended by subtitle A or E of title V of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 is amended to read as such provision would read if such subtitle had never been enacted.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—On and after January 1, 2011, paragraph (1) of section 2505(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended to read as such paragraph would read if section 521(b)(2) of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 had never been enacted.

(c) SPECIAL ELECTION WITH RESPECT TO ESTATES OF DECEDENTS DYING IN 2010.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), in the case of an estate of a decedent dying after December 31, 2009, and before January 1, 2011, the executor (within the meaning of section 2203 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) may elect to apply such Code as though the amendments

made by subsection (a) do not apply with respect to chapter 11 of such Code and with respect to property acquired or passing from such decedent (within the meaning of section 1014(b) of such Code). Such election shall be made at such time and in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary's delegate shall provide. Such an election once made shall be revocable only with the consent of the Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary's delegate. For purposes of section 2652(a)(1) of such Code, the determination of whether any property is subject to the tax imposed by such chapter 11 shall be made without regard to any election made under this subsection.

(d) **EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PERFORMING CERTAIN ACTS.**—

(1) **ESTATE TAX.**—In the case of the estate of a decedent dying after December 31, 2009, and before the date of the enactment of this Act, the due date for—

(A) filing any return under section 6018 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (including any election required to be made on such a return) as such section is in effect after the date of the enactment of this Act without regard to any election under subsection (c),

(B) making any payment of tax under chapter 11 of such Code, and

(C) making any disclaimer described in section 2518(b) of such Code of an interest in property passing by reason of the death of such decedent, shall not be earlier than the date which is 9 months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) **GENERATION-SKIPPING TAX.**—In the case of any generation-skipping transfer made after December 31, 2009, and before the date of the enactment of this Act, the due date for filing any return under section 2662 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (including any election required to be made on such a return) shall not be earlier than the date which is 9 months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(e) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—Except as otherwise provided in this section, the amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying, and transfers made, after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 302. MODIFICATIONS TO ESTATE, GIFT, AND GENERATION-SKIPPING TRANSFER TAXES.

(a) **MODIFICATIONS TO ESTATE TAX.**—

(1) **\$5,000,000 APPLICABLE EXCLUSION AMOUNT.**—Subsection (c) of section 2010 is amended to read as follows:

“(c) **APPLICABLE CREDIT AMOUNT.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of this section, the applicable credit amount is the amount of the tentative tax which would be determined under section 2001(c) if the amount with respect to which such tentative tax is to be computed were equal to the applicable exclusion amount.

“(2) **APPLICABLE EXCLUSION AMOUNT.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of this subsection, the applicable exclusion amount is \$5,000,000.

“(B) **INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.**—In the case of any decedent dying in a calendar year after 2011, the dollar amount in subparagraph (A) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

“(i) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(ii) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for such calendar year by substituting ‘calendar year 2010’ for ‘calendar year 1992’ in subparagraph (B) thereof.

If any amount as adjusted under the preceding sentence is not a multiple of \$10,000,

such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10,000.”.

(2) **MAXIMUM ESTATE TAX RATE EQUAL TO 35 PERCENT.**—Subsection (c) of section 2001 is amended—

(A) by striking “Over \$500,000” and all that follows in the table contained in paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“Over \$500,000 \$155,800, plus 35 percent of the excess of such amount over \$500,000.”,

(B) by striking “(1) **IN GENERAL.**—”, and

(C) by striking paragraph (2).

(b) **MODIFICATIONS TO GIFT TAX.**—

(1) **RESTORATION OF UNIFIED CREDIT AGAINST GIFT TAX.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (1) of section 2505(a), after the application of section 301(b), is amended by striking “(determined as if the applicable exclusion amount were \$1,000,000)”.

(B) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this paragraph shall apply to gifts made after December 31, 2010.

(2) **MODIFICATION OF GIFT TAX RATE.**—On and after January 1, 2011, subsection (a) of section 2502 is amended to read as such subsection would read if section 511(d) of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 had never been enacted.

(c) **MODIFICATION OF GENERATION-SKIPPING TRANSFER TAX.**—In the case of any generation-skipping transfer made after December 31, 2009, and before January 1, 2011, the applicable rate determined under section 2641(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall be zero.

(d) **MODIFICATIONS OF ESTATE AND GIFT TAXES TO REFLECT DIFFERENCES IN CREDIT RESULTING FROM DIFFERENT TAX RATES.**—

(1) **ESTATE TAX.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 2001(b)(2) is amended by striking “if the provisions of subsection (c) (as in effect at the decedent's death)” and inserting “if the modifications described in subsection (g)”.

(B) **MODIFICATIONS.**—Section 2001 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) **MODIFICATIONS TO GIFT TAX PAYABLE TO REFLECT DIFFERENT TAX RATES.**—For purposes of applying subsection (b)(2) with respect to 1 or more gifts, the rates of tax under subsection (c) in effect at the decedent's death shall, in lieu of the rates of tax in effect at the time of such gifts, be used both to compute—

“(1) the tax imposed by chapter 12 with respect to such gifts, and

“(2) the credit allowed against such tax under section 2505, including in computing—

“(A) the applicable credit amount under section 2505(a)(1), and

“(B) the sum of the amounts allowed as a credit for all preceding periods under section 2505(a)(2).”.

(2) **GIFT TAX.**—Section 2505(a) is amended by adding at the end the following new flush sentence:

“For purposes of applying paragraph (2) for any calendar year, the rates of tax in effect under section 2502(a)(2) for such calendar year shall, in lieu of the rates of tax in effect for preceding calendar periods, be used in determining the amounts allowable as a credit under this section for all preceding calendar periods.”.

(e) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 2511 is amended by striking subsection (c).

(f) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying, generation-skipping transfers, and gifts made, after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 303. APPLICABLE EXCLUSION AMOUNT INCREASED BY UNUSED EXCLUSION AMOUNT OF DECEASED SPOUSE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 2010(c), as amended by section 302(a), is amended by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following new paragraphs:

“(2) **APPLICABLE EXCLUSION AMOUNT.**—For purposes of this subsection, the applicable exclusion amount is the sum of—

“(A) the basic exclusion amount, and

“(B) in the case of a surviving spouse, the deceased spousal unused exclusion amount.

“(3) **BASIC EXCLUSION AMOUNT.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of this subsection, the basic exclusion amount is \$5,000,000.

“(B) **INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.**—In the case of any decedent dying in a calendar year after 2011, the dollar amount in subparagraph (A) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

“(i) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(ii) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for such calendar year by substituting ‘calendar year 2010’ for ‘calendar year 1992’ in subparagraph (B) thereof.

If any amount as adjusted under the preceding sentence is not a multiple of \$10,000, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10,000.

“(4) **DECEASED SPOUSAL UNUSED EXCLUSION AMOUNT.**—For purposes of this subsection, with respect to a surviving spouse of a deceased spouse dying after December 31, 2010, the term ‘deceased spousal unused exclusion amount’ means the lesser of—

“(A) the basic exclusion amount, or

“(B) the excess of—

“(i) the basic exclusion amount of the last such deceased spouse of such surviving spouse, over

“(ii) the amount with respect to which the tentative tax is determined under section 2001(b)(1) on the estate of such deceased spouse.

“(5) **SPECIAL RULES.**—

“(A) **ELECTION REQUIRED.**—A deceased spousal unused exclusion amount may not be taken into account by a surviving spouse under paragraph (2) unless the executor of the estate of the deceased spouse files an estate tax return on which such amount is computed and makes an election on such return that such amount may be so taken into account. Such election, once made, shall be irrevocable. No election may be made under this subparagraph if such return is filed after the time prescribed by law (including extensions) for filing such return.

“(B) **EXAMINATION OF PRIOR RETURNS AFTER EXPIRATION OF PERIOD OF LIMITATIONS WITH RESPECT TO DECEASED SPOUSAL UNUSED EXCLUSION AMOUNT.**—Notwithstanding any period of limitation in section 6501, after the time has expired under section 6501 within which a tax may be assessed under chapter 11 or 12 with respect to a deceased spousal unused exclusion amount, the Secretary may examine a return of the deceased spouse to make determinations with respect to such amount for purposes of carrying out this subsection.

“(6) **REGULATIONS.**—The Secretary shall prescribe such regulations as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out this subsection.”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) Paragraph (1) of section 2505(a), as amended by section 302(b)(1), is amended to read as follows:

“(1) the applicable credit amount in effect under section 2010(c) which would apply if

the donor died as of the end of the calendar year, reduced by”.

(2) Section 2631(c) is amended by striking “the applicable exclusion amount” and inserting “the basic exclusion amount”.

(3) Section 6018(a)(1) is amended by striking “applicable exclusion amount” and inserting “basic exclusion amount”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying and gifts made after December 31, 2010.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT RELATING TO GENERATION-SKIPPING TRANSFERS.—The amendment made by subsection (b)(2) shall apply to generation-skipping transfers after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 304. APPLICATION OF EGTRRA SUNSET TO THIS TITLE.

Section 901 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 shall apply to the amendments made by this section.

TITLE IV—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INVESTMENT INCENTIVES

SEC. 401. EXTENSION OF BONUS DEPRECIATION; TEMPORARY 100 PERCENT EXPENSING FOR CERTAIN BUSINESS ASSETS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 168(k) is amended—

(1) by striking “January 1, 2012” in subparagraph (A)(iv) and inserting “January 1, 2014”, and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2011” each place it appears and inserting “January 1, 2013”.

(b) TEMPORARY 100 PERCENT EXPENSING.—Subsection (k) of section 168 is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) SPECIAL RULE FOR PROPERTY ACQUIRED DURING CERTAIN PRE-2012 PERIODS.—In the case of qualified property acquired by the taxpayer (under rules similar to the rules of clauses (ii) and (iii) of paragraph (2)(A)) after September 8, 2010, and before January 1, 2012, and which is placed in service by the taxpayer before January 1, 2012 (January 1, 2013, in the case of property described in subparagraph (2)(B) or (2)(C)), paragraph (1)(A) shall be applied by substituting ‘100 percent’ for ‘50 percent’.”.

(c) EXTENSION OF ELECTION TO ACCELERATE THE AMT CREDIT IN LIEU OF BONUS DEPRECIATION.—

(1) EXTENSION.—Clause (iii) of section 168(k)(4)(D) is amended by striking “or production” and all that follows and inserting “or production—

“(I) after March 31, 2008, and before January 1, 2010, and

“(II) after December 31, 2010, and before January 1, 2013,

shall be taken into account under subparagraph (B)(ii) thereof.”.

(2) RULES FOR ROUND 2 EXTENSION PROPERTY.—Paragraph (4) of section 168(k) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(I) SPECIAL RULES FOR ROUND 2 EXTENSION PROPERTY.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—In the case of round 2 extension property, this paragraph shall be applied without regard to—

“(I) the limitation described in subparagraph (B)(i) thereof, and

“(II) the business credit increase amount under subparagraph (E)(iii) thereof.

“(ii) TAXPAYERS PREVIOUSLY ELECTING ACCELERATION.—In the case of a taxpayer who made the election under subparagraph (A) for its first taxable year ending after March 31, 2008, or a taxpayer who made the election

under subparagraph (H)(ii) for its first taxable year ending after December 31, 2008—

“(I) the taxpayer may elect not to have this paragraph apply to round 2 extension property, but

“(II) if the taxpayer does not make the election under subclause (I), in applying this paragraph to the taxpayer the bonus depreciation amount, maximum amount, and maximum increase amount shall be computed and applied to eligible qualified property which is round 2 extension property. The amounts described in subclause (II) shall be computed separately from any amounts computed with respect to eligible qualified property which is not round 2 extension property.

“(iii) TAXPAYERS NOT PREVIOUSLY ELECTING ACCELERATION.—In the case of a taxpayer who neither made the election under subparagraph (A) for its first taxable year ending after March 31, 2008, nor made the election under subparagraph (H)(ii) for its first taxable year ending after December 31, 2008—

“(I) the taxpayer may elect to have this paragraph apply to its first taxable year ending after December 31, 2010, and each subsequent taxable year, and

“(II) if the taxpayer makes the election under subclause (I), this paragraph shall only apply to eligible qualified property which is round 2 extension property.

“(iv) ROUND 2 EXTENSION PROPERTY.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term ‘round 2 extension property’ means property which is eligible qualified property solely by reason of the extension of the application of the special allowance under paragraph (1) pursuant to the amendments made by section 401(a) of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (and the application of such extension to this paragraph pursuant to the amendment made by section 401(c)(1) of such Act).”.

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The heading for subsection (k) of section 168 is amended by striking “JANUARY 1, 2011” and inserting “JANUARY 1, 2013”.

(2) The heading for clause (ii) of section 168(k)(2)(B) is amended by striking “PRE-JANUARY 1, 2011” and inserting “PRE-JANUARY 1, 2013”.

(3) Subparagraph (D) of section 168(k)(4) is amended—

(A) by striking clauses (iv) and (v),

(B) by inserting “and” at the end of clause (ii), and

(C) by striking the comma at the end of clause (iii) and inserting a period.

(4) Paragraph (5) of section 168(l) is amended—

(A) by inserting “and” at the end of subparagraph (A),

(B) by striking subparagraph (B), and

(C) by redesignating subparagraph (C) as subparagraph (B).

(5) Subparagraph (C) of section 168(n)(2) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2013”.

(6) Subparagraph (D) of section 1400L(b)(2) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2013”.

(7) Subparagraph (B) of section 1400N(d)(3) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2013”.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2010, in taxable years ending after such date.

(2) TEMPORARY 100 PERCENT EXPENSING.—The amendment made by subsection (b) shall

apply to property placed in service after September 8, 2010, in taxable years ending after such date.

SEC. 402. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INCREASED SMALL BUSINESS EXPENSING.

(a) DOLLAR LIMITATION.—Section 179(b)(1) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (B) and by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) \$125,000 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2012, and

“(D) \$25,000 in the case of taxable years beginning after 2012.”.

(b) REDUCTION IN LIMITATION.—Section 179(b)(2) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (B) and by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) \$500,000 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2012, and

“(D) \$200,000 in the case of taxable years beginning after 2012.”.

(c) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—Subsection (b) of section 179 is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any taxable year beginning in calendar year 2012, the \$125,000 and \$500,000 amounts in paragraphs (1)(C) and (2)(C) shall each be increased by an amount equal to—

“(i) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(ii) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for the calendar year in which the taxable year begins, by substituting ‘calendar year 2006’ for ‘calendar year 1992’ in subparagraph (B) thereof.

“(B) ROUNDING.—

“(i) DOLLAR LIMITATION.—If the amount in paragraph (1) as increased under subparagraph (A) is not a multiple of \$1,000, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$1,000.

“(ii) PHASEOUT AMOUNT.—If the amount in paragraph (2) as increased under subparagraph (A) is not a multiple of \$10,000, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10,000.”.

(d) COMPUTER SOFTWARE.—Section 179(d)(1)(A)(ii) is amended by striking “2012” and inserting “2013”.

(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 179(c)(2) is amended by striking “2012” and inserting “2013”.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2011.

TITLE V—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND RELATED MATTERS

SEC. 501. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE PROVISIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Section 4007 of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (Public Law 110-252; 26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended—

(A) by striking “November 30, 2010” each place it appears and inserting “January 3, 2012”;

(B) in the heading for subsection (b)(2), by striking “NOVEMBER 30, 2010” and inserting “JANUARY 3, 2012”; and

(C) in subsection (b)(3), by striking “April 30, 2011” and inserting “June 9, 2012”.

(2) Section 2005 of the Assistance for Unemployed Workers and Struggling Families Act, as contained in Public Law 111-5 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note; 123 Stat. 444), is amended—

(A) by striking “December 1, 2010” each place it appears and inserting “January 4, 2012”; and

(B) in subsection (c), by striking “May 1, 2011” and inserting “June 11, 2012”.

(3) Section 5 of the Unemployment Compensation Extension Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-449; 26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended by striking “April 30, 2011” and inserting “June 10, 2012”.

(b) FUNDING.—Section 4004(e)(1) of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (Public Law 110-252; 26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (E), by striking “and” at the end; and

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (F) the following:

“(G) the amendments made by section 501(a)(1) of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010; and”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Unemployment Compensation Extension Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-205).

SEC. 502. TEMPORARY MODIFICATION OF INDICATORS UNDER THE EXTENDED BENEFIT PROGRAM.

(a) INDICATOR.—Section 203(d) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended, in the flush matter following paragraph (2), by inserting after the first sentence the following sentence: “Effective with respect to compensation for weeks of unemployment beginning after the date of enactment of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (or, if later, the date established pursuant to State law), and ending on or before December 31, 2011, the State may by law provide that the determination of whether there has been a state ‘on’ or ‘off’ indicator beginning or ending any extended benefit period shall be made under this subsection as if the word ‘two’ were ‘three’ in subparagraph (1)(A).”.

(b) ALTERNATIVE TRIGGER.—Section 203(f) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph:

“(2) Effective with respect to compensation for weeks of unemployment beginning after the date of enactment of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (or, if later, the date established pursuant to State law), and ending on or before December 31, 2011, the State may by law provide that the determination of whether there has been a state ‘on’ or ‘off’ indicator beginning or ending any extended benefit period shall be made under this subsection as if the word ‘either’ were ‘any’, the word ‘both’ were ‘all’, and the figure ‘2’ were ‘3’ in clause (1)(A)(ii).”.

SEC. 503. TECHNICAL AMENDMENT RELATING TO COLLECTION OF UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION DEBTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6402(f)(3)(C), as amended by section 801 of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010, is amended by striking “is not a covered unemployment compensation debt” and inserting “is a covered unemployment compensation debt”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect as if included in section 801 of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010.

SEC. 504. TECHNICAL CORRECTION RELATING TO REPEAL OF CONTINUED DUMPING AND SUBSIDY OFFSET.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 822(2)(A) of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010 is amended by striking “or” and inserting “and”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect as if included in the provisions of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010.

SEC. 505. ADDITIONAL EXTENDED UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS UNDER THE RAILROAD UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE ACT.

(a) EXTENSION.—Section 2(c)(2)(D)(iii) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, as added by section 2006 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-5) and as amended by section 9 of the Worker, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-92), is amended—

(1) by striking “June 30, 2010” and inserting “June 30, 2011”; and

(2) by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) CLARIFICATION ON AUTHORITY TO USE FUNDS.—Funds appropriated under either the first or second sentence of clause (iv) of section 2(c)(2)(D) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act shall be available to cover the cost of additional extended unemployment benefits provided under such section 2(c)(2)(D) by reason of the amendments made by subsection (a) as well as to cover the cost of such benefits provided under such section 2(c)(2)(D), as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act.

TITLE VII—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF CERTAIN EXPIRING PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Energy

SEC. 701. INCENTIVES FOR BIODIESEL AND RENEWABLE DIESEL.

(a) CREDITS FOR BIODIESEL AND RENEWABLE DIESEL USED AS FUEL.—Subsection (g) of section 40A is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EXCISE TAX CREDITS AND OUTLAY PAYMENTS FOR BIODIESEL AND RENEWABLE DIESEL FUEL MIXTURES.—

(1) Paragraph (6) of section 6426(c) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) Subparagraph (B) of section 6427(e)(6) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) SPECIAL RULE FOR 2010.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in the case of any biodiesel mixture credit properly determined under section 6426(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for periods during 2010, such credit shall be allowed, and any refund or payment attributable to such credit (including any payment under section 6427(e) of such Code) shall be made, only in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary’s delegate) shall provide. Such Secretary shall issue guidance within 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act providing for a one-time submission of claims covering periods during 2010. Such guidance shall provide for a 180-day period for the submission of such claims (in such manner as prescribed by such Secretary) to begin not later than 30 days after such guidance is issued. Such claims shall be paid by such Secretary not later than 60 days after receipt. If such Secretary has not paid pursuant to a claim filed under this subsection within 60 days after the date of the filing of such claim, the claim shall be paid with interest from such date determined by using the overpayment rate and method under section 6621 of such Code.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to fuel sold or used after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 702. CREDIT FOR REFINED COAL FACILITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (B) of section 45(d)(8) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to facilities placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 703. NEW ENERGY EFFICIENT HOME CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (g) of section 45L is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to homes acquired after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 704. EXCISE TAX CREDITS AND OUTLAY PAYMENTS FOR ALTERNATIVE FUEL AND ALTERNATIVE FUEL MIXTURES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Sections 6426(d)(5), 6426(e)(3), and 6427(e)(6)(C) are each amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EXCLUSION OF BLACK LIQUOR FROM CREDIT ELIGIBILITY.—The last sentence of section 6426(d)(2) is amended by striking “or biodiesel” and inserting “biodiesel, or any fuel (including lignin, wood residues, or spent pulping liquors) derived from the production of paper or pulp”.

(c) SPECIAL RULE FOR 2010.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in the case of any alternative fuel credit or any alternative fuel mixture credit properly determined under subsection (d) or (e) of section 6426 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for periods during 2010, such credit shall be allowed, and any refund or payment attributable to such credit (including any payment under section 6427(e) of such Code) shall be made, only in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary’s delegate) shall provide. Such Secretary shall issue guidance within 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act providing for a one-time submission of claims covering periods during 2010. Such guidance shall provide for a 180-day period for the submission of such claims (in such manner as prescribed by such Secretary) to begin not later than 30 days after such guidance is issued. Such claims shall be paid by such Secretary not later than 60 days after receipt. If such Secretary has not paid pursuant to a claim filed under this subsection within 60 days after the date of the filing of such claim, the claim shall be paid with interest from such date determined by using the overpayment rate and method under section 6621 of such Code.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to fuel sold or used after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 705. SPECIAL RULE FOR SALES OR DISPOSITIONS TO IMPLEMENT FERC OR STATE ELECTRIC RESTRUCTURING POLICY FOR QUALIFIED ELECTRIC UTILITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (3) of section 451(i) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to dispositions after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 706. SUSPENSION OF LIMITATION ON PERCENTAGE DEPLETION FOR OIL AND GAS FROM MARGINAL WELLS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (ii) of section 613A(c)(6)(H) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 707. EXTENSION OF GRANTS FOR SPECIFIED ENERGY PROPERTY IN LIEU OF TAX CREDITS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a) of section 1603 of division B of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “2009 or 2010” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”, and

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by striking “after 2010” and inserting “after 2011”, and

(B) by striking “2009 or 2010” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (j) of section 1603 of division B of such Act is amended by striking “2011” and inserting “2012”.

(B) by striking “January 1, 2011” in subparagraph (B) and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(2) REDUCED AMOUNT FOR ETHANOL BLENDEES.—Subsection (h) of section 40 is amended by striking “2010” both places it appears and inserting “2011”.

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to periods after December 31, 2010.

(b) EXTENSION OF EXCISE TAX CREDIT FOR ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (6) of section 6426(b) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to periods after December 31, 2010.

(c) EXTENSION OF PAYMENT FOR ALCOHOL FUEL MIXTURE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (A) of section 6427(e)(6) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

SEC. 709. ENERGY EFFICIENT APPLIANCE CREDIT.

(a) DISHWASHERS.—Paragraph (1) of section 45M(b) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (A), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (B) and inserting a comma, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) \$25 in the case of a dishwasher which is manufactured in calendar year 2011 and which uses no more than 307 kilowatt hours per year and 5.0 gallons per cycle (5.5 gallons per cycle for dishwashers designed for greater than 12 place settings),

“(D) \$50 in the case of a dishwasher which is manufactured in calendar year 2011 and which uses no more than 295 kilowatt hours per year and 4.25 gallons per cycle (4.75 gallons per cycle for dishwashers designed for greater than 12 place settings), and

“(E) \$75 in the case of a dishwasher which is manufactured in calendar year 2011 and which uses no more than 280 kilowatt hours per year and 4 gallons per cycle (4.5 gallons per cycle for dishwashers designed for greater than 12 place settings).”.

(b) CLOTHES WASHERS.—Paragraph (2) of section 45M(b) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (D) and inserting a comma, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) \$175 in the case of a top-loading clothes washer manufactured in calendar year 2011 which meets or exceeds a 2.2 modified energy factor and does not exceed a 4.5 water consumption factor, and

“(F) \$225 in the case of a clothes washer manufactured in calendar year 2011—

“(i) which is a top-loading clothes washer and which meets or exceeds a 2.4 modified energy factor and does not exceed a 4.2 water consumption factor, or

“(ii) which is a front-loading clothes washer and which meets or exceeds a 2.8 modified

energy factor and does not exceed a 3.5 water consumption factor.”.

(c) REFRIGERATORS.—Paragraph (3) of section 45M(b) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (D) and inserting a comma, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) \$150 in the case of a refrigerator manufactured in calendar year 2011 which consumes at least 30 percent less energy than the 2001 energy conservation standards, and

“(F) \$200 in the case of a refrigerator manufactured in calendar year 2011 which consumes at least 35 percent less energy than the 2001 energy conservation standards.”.

(d) REBASING OF LIMITATIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 45M(e) is amended—

(A) by striking “\$75,000,000” and inserting “\$25,000,000”, and

(B) by striking “December 31, 2007” and inserting “December 31, 2010”.

(2) EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN REFRIGERATORS AND CLOTHES WASHERS.—Paragraph (2) of section 45M(e) is amended—

(A) by striking “subsection (b)(3)(D)” and inserting “subsection (b)(3)(F)”, and

(B) by striking “subsection (b)(2)(D)” and inserting “subsection (b)(2)(F)”.

(3) GROSS RECEIPTS LIMITATION.—Paragraph (3) of section 45M(e) is amended by striking “2 percent” and inserting “4 percent”.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by subsections (a), (b), and (c) shall apply to appliances produced after December 31, 2010.

(2) LIMITATIONS.—The amendments made by subsection (d) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 710. CREDIT FOR NONBUSINESS ENERGY PROPERTY.

(a) EXTENSION.—Section 25C(g)(2) is amended by striking “2010” and inserting “2011”.

(b) RETURN TO PRE-ARRA LIMITATIONS AND STANDARDS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsections (a) and (b) of section 25C are amended to read as follows:

“(a) ALLOWANCE OF CREDIT.—In the case of an individual, there shall be allowed as a credit against the tax imposed by this chapter for the taxable year an amount equal to the sum of—

“(1) 10 percent of the amount paid or incurred by the taxpayer for qualified energy efficiency improvements installed during such taxable year, and

“(2) the amount of the residential energy property expenditures paid or incurred by the taxpayer during such taxable year.

“(b) LIMITATIONS.—

“(1) LIFETIME LIMITATION.—The credit allowed under this section with respect to any taxpayer for any taxable year shall not exceed the excess (if any) of \$500 over the aggregate credits allowed under this section with respect to such taxpayer for all prior taxable years ending after December 31, 2005.

“(2) WINDOWS.—In the case of amounts paid or incurred for components described in subsection (c)(2)(B) by any taxpayer for any taxable year, the credit allowed under this section with respect to such amounts for such year shall not exceed the excess (if any) of \$200 over the aggregate credits allowed under this section with respect to such amounts for all prior taxable years ending after December 31, 2005.

“(3) LIMITATION ON RESIDENTIAL ENERGY PROPERTY EXPENDITURES.—The amount of the credit allowed under this section by reason of subsection (a)(2) shall not exceed—

“(A) \$50 for any advanced main air circulating fan,

“(B) \$150 for any qualified natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler, and

“(C) \$300 for any item of energy-efficient building property.”.

(2) MODIFICATION OF STANDARDS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 25C(c) is amended by striking “2000” and all that follows through “this section” and inserting “2009 International Energy Conservation Code, as such Code (including supplements) is in effect on the date of the enactment of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009”.

(B) WOOD STOVES.—Subparagraph (E) of section 25C(d)(3) is amended by striking “, as measured using a lower heating value”.

(C) OIL FURNACES AND HOT WATER BOILERS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (4) of section 25C(d) is amended to read as follows:

“(4) QUALIFIED NATURAL GAS, PROPANE, OR OIL FURNACE OR HOT WATER BOILER.—The term ‘qualified natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler’ means a natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler which achieves an annual fuel utilization efficiency rate of not less than 95.”.

(ii) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Clause (ii) of section 25C(d)(2)(A) is amended to read as follows:

“(ii) a qualified natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler, or”.

(D) EXTERIOR WINDOWS, DOORS, AND SKYLIGHTS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (c) of section 25C is amended by striking paragraph (4).

(ii) APPLICATION OF ENERGY STAR STANDARDS.—Paragraph (1) of section 25C(c) is amended by inserting “an exterior window, a skylight, an exterior door,” after “in the case of” in the matter preceding subparagraph (A).

(E) INSULATION.—Subparagraph (A) of section 25C(c)(2) is amended by striking “and meets the prescriptive criteria for such material or system established by the 2009 International Energy Conservation Code, as such Code (including supplements) is in effect on the date of the enactment of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009”.

(3) SUBSIDIZED ENERGY FINANCING.—Subsection (e) of section 25C is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) PROPERTY FINANCED BY SUBSIDIZED ENERGY FINANCING.—For purposes of determining the amount of expenditures made by any individual with respect to any property, there shall not be taken into account expenditures which are made from subsidized energy financing (as defined in section 48(a)(4)(C)).”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 711. ALTERNATIVE FUEL VEHICLE REFUELING PROPERTY.

(a) EXTENSION OF CREDIT.—Paragraph (2) of section 30C(g) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2010.

Subtitle B—Individual Tax Relief

SEC. 721. DEDUCTION FOR CERTAIN EXPENSES OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (D) of section 62(a)(2) is amended by striking “or 2009” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 722. DEDUCTION OF STATE AND LOCAL SALES TAXES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (I) of section 164(b)(5) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 723. CONTRIBUTIONS OF CAPITAL GAIN REAL PROPERTY MADE FOR CONSERVATION PURPOSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (vi) of section 170(b)(1)(E) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) CONTRIBUTIONS BY CERTAIN CORPORATE FARMERS AND RANCHERS.—Clause (iii) of section 170(b)(2)(B) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 724. ABOVE-THE-LINE DEDUCTION FOR QUALIFIED TUITION AND RELATED EXPENSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (e) of section 222 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 725. TAX-FREE DISTRIBUTIONS FROM INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT PLANS FOR CHARITABLE PURPOSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (F) of section 408(d)(8) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE; SPECIAL RULE.—

(1) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to distributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

(2) SPECIAL RULE.—For purposes of subsections (a)(6), (b)(3), and (d)(8) of section 408 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, at the election of the taxpayer (at such time and in such manner as prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury) any qualified charitable distribution made after December 31, 2010, and before February 1, 2011, shall be deemed to have been made on December 31, 2010.

SEC. 726. LOOK-THRU OF CERTAIN REGULATED INVESTMENT COMPANY STOCK IN DETERMINING GROSS ESTATE OF NONRESIDENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (3) of section 2105(d) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 727. PARITY FOR EXCLUSION FROM INCOME FOR EMPLOYER-PROVIDED MASS TRANSIT AND PARKING BENEFITS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 132(f) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to months after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 728. REFUNDS DISREGARDED IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF FEDERAL PROGRAMS AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED PROGRAMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter A of chapter 65 is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 6409. REFUNDS DISREGARDED IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF FEDERAL PROGRAMS AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED PROGRAMS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any refund (or ad-

vance payment with respect to a refundable credit) made to any individual under this title shall not be taken into account as income, and shall not be taken into account as resources for a period of 12 months from receipt, for purposes of determining the eligibility of such individual (or any other individual) for benefits or assistance (or the amount or extent of benefits or assistance) under any Federal program or under any State or local program financed in whole or in part with Federal funds.

“(b) TERMINATION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to any amount received after December 31, 2012.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for such subchapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“Sec. 6409. Refunds disregarded in the administration of Federal programs and federally assisted programs.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts received after December 31, 2009.

Subtitle C—Business Tax Relief**SEC. 731. RESEARCH CREDIT.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (B) of section 41(h)(1) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subparagraph (D) of section 45C(b)(1) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 732. INDIAN EMPLOYMENT TAX CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 45A is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 733. NEW MARKETS TAX CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 45D(f) is amended—

(1) by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (E),

(2) by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (F), and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(G) \$3,500,000,000 for 2010 and 2011.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Paragraph (3) of section 45D(f) is amended by striking “2014” and inserting “2016”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to calendar years beginning after 2009.

SEC. 734. RAILROAD TRACK MAINTENANCE CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 45G is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to expenditures paid or incurred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 735. MINE RESCUE TEAM TRAINING CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (e) of section 45N is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 736. EMPLOYER WAGE CREDIT FOR EMPLOYEES WHO ARE ACTIVE DUTY MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 45P is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to payments made after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 737. 15-YEAR STRAIGHT-LINE COST RECOVERY FOR QUALIFIED LEASEHOLD IMPROVEMENTS, QUALIFIED RESTAURANT BUILDINGS AND IMPROVEMENTS, AND QUALIFIED RETAIL IMPROVEMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clauses (iv), (v), and (ix) of section 168(e)(3)(E) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Clause (i) of section 168(e)(7)(A) is amended by striking “if such building is placed in service after December 31, 2008, and before January 1, 2010,”.

(2) Paragraph (8) of section 168(e) is amended by striking subparagraph (E).

(3) Section 179(f)(2) is amended—

(A) by striking “(without regard to the dates specified in subparagraph (A)(i) thereof)” in subparagraph (B), and

(B) by striking “(without regard to subparagraph (E) thereof)” in subparagraph (C).

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 738. 7-YEAR RECOVERY PERIOD FOR MOTORSPORTS ENTERTAINMENT COMPLEXES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (D) of section 168(i)(15) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 739. ACCELERATED DEPRECIATION FOR BUSINESS PROPERTY ON AN INDIAN RESERVATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (8) of section 168(j) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 740. ENHANCED CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF FOOD INVENTORY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 170(e)(3)(C) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 741. ENHANCED CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF BOOK INVENTORIES TO PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 170(e)(3)(D) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 742. ENHANCED CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CORPORATE CONTRIBUTIONS OF COMPUTER INVENTORY FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (G) of section 170(e)(6) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 743. ELECTION TO EXPENSE MINE SAFETY EQUIPMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (g) of section 179E is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 744. SPECIAL EXPENSING RULES FOR CERTAIN FILM AND TELEVISION PRODUCTIONS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (f) of section 181 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to productions commencing after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 745. EXPENSING OF ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION COSTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (h) of section 198 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to expenditures paid or incurred after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 746. DEDUCTION ALLOWABLE WITH RESPECT TO INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO DOMESTIC PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES IN PUERTO RICO.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (C) of section 199(d)(8) is amended—

(1) by striking “first 4 taxable years” and inserting “first 6 taxable years”; and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 747. MODIFICATION OF TAX TREATMENT OF CERTAIN PAYMENTS TO CONTROLLING EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Clause (iv) of section 512(b)(13)(E) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to payments received or accrued after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 748. TREATMENT OF CERTAIN DIVIDENDS OF REGULATED INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraphs (1)(C) and (2)(C) of section 871(k) are each amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 749. RIC QUALIFIED INVESTMENT ENTITY TREATMENT UNDER FIRPTA.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Clause (ii) of section 897(h)(4)(A) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on January 1, 2010. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, such amendment shall not apply with respect to the withholding requirement under section 1445 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for any payment made before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) **AMOUNTS WITHHELD ON OR BEFORE DATE OF ENACTMENT.**—In the case of a regulated investment company—

(A) which makes a distribution after December 31, 2009, and before the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(B) which would (but for the second sentence of paragraph (1)) have been required to withhold with respect to such distribution under section 1445 of such Code,

such investment company shall not be liable to any person to whom such distribution was made for any amount so withheld and paid over to the Secretary of the Treasury.

SEC. 750. EXCEPTIONS FOR ACTIVE FINANCING INCOME.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Sections 953(e)(10) and 954(h)(9) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 953(e)(10) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2009, and to taxable years of United States shareholders with or within which any such taxable year of such foreign corporation ends.

SEC. 751. LOOK-THRU TREATMENT OF PAYMENTS BETWEEN RELATED CONTROLLED FOREIGN CORPORATIONS UNDER FOREIGN PERSONAL HOLDING COMPANY RULES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (C) of section 954(c)(6) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2009, and to taxable years of United States shareholders with or within which any such taxable year of such foreign corporation ends.

SEC. 752. BASIS ADJUSTMENT TO STOCK OF S CORPS MAKING CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS OF PROPERTY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (2) of section 1367(a) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 753. EMPOWERMENT ZONE TAX INCENTIVES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1391 is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2009” in subsection (d)(1)(A)(i) and inserting “December 31, 2011”; and

(2) by striking the last sentence of subsection (h)(2).

(b) **INCREASED EXCLUSION OF GAIN ON STOCK OF EMPOWERMENT ZONE BUSINESSES.**—Subparagraph (C) of section 1202(a)(2) is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”; and

(2) by striking “2014” in the heading and inserting “2016”.

(c) **TREATMENT OF CERTAIN TERMINATION DATES SPECIFIED IN NOMINATIONS.**—In the case of a designation of an empowerment zone the nomination for which included a termination date which is contemporaneous with the date specified in subparagraph (A)(i) of section 1391(d)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as in effect before the enactment of this Act), subparagraph (B) of such section shall not apply with respect to such designation if, after the date of the enactment of this section, the entity which made such nomination amends the nomination to provide for a new termination date in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary’s designee) may provide.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to periods after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 754. TAX INCENTIVES FOR INVESTMENT IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (f) of section 1400 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” each place it appears and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **TAX-EXEMPT DC EMPOWERMENT ZONE BONDS.**—Subsection (b) of section 1400A is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) **ZERO-PERCENT CAPITAL GAINS RATE.**—

(1) **ACQUISITION DATE.**—Paragraphs (2)(A)(i), (3)(A), (4)(A)(i), and (4)(B)(i)(I) of section 1400B(b) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(2) **LIMITATION ON PERIOD OF GAINS.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (2) of section 1400B(e) is amended—

(i) by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”; and

(ii) by striking “2014” in the heading and inserting “2016”.

(B) **PARTNERSHIPS AND S-CORPS.**—Paragraph (2) of section 1400B(g) is amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”.

(d) **FIRST-TIME HOMEBUYER CREDIT.**—Subsection (i) of section 1400C is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(e) **EFFECTIVE DATES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the amendments made by this section shall apply to periods after December 31, 2009.

(2) **TAX-EXEMPT DC EMPOWERMENT ZONE BONDS.**—The amendment made by subsection (b) shall apply to bonds issued after December 31, 2009.

(3) **ACQUISITION DATES FOR ZERO-PERCENT CAPITAL GAINS RATE.**—The amendments made by subsection (c) shall apply to property acquired or substantially improved after December 31, 2009.

(4) **HOMEBUYER CREDIT.**—The amendment made by subsection (d) shall apply to homes purchased after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 755. TEMPORARY INCREASE IN LIMIT ON COVER OVER OF RUM EXCISE TAXES TO PUERTO RICO AND THE VIRGIN ISLANDS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (1) of section 7652(f) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to distilled spirits brought into the United States after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 756. AMERICAN SAMOA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (d) of section 119 of division A of the Tax Relief and Health Care Act of 2006 is amended—

(1) by striking “first 4 taxable years” and inserting “first 6 taxable years”, and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 757. WORK OPPORTUNITY CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (B) of section 51(c)(4) is amended by striking “August 31, 2011” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to individuals who begin work for the employer after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 758. QUALIFIED ZONE ACADEMY BONDS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 54E(c)(1) is amended—

(1) by striking “2008 and” and inserting “2008,” and

(2) by inserting “and \$400,000,000 for 2011” after “2010.”.

(b) **REPEAL OF REFUNDABLE CREDIT FOR QZABS.**—Paragraph (3) of section 6431(f) is amended by inserting “determined without regard to any allocation relating to the national zone academy bond limitation for 2011 or any carryforward of such allocation” after “54E)” in subparagraph (A)(iii).

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to obligations issued after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 759. MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 163(h)(3)(E) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or accrued after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 760. TEMPORARY EXCLUSION OF 100 PERCENT OF GAIN ON CERTAIN SMALL BUSINESS STOCK.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (4) of section 1202(a) is amended—

(1) by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”, and

(2) by inserting “AND 2011” after “2010” in the heading thereof.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to stock acquired after December 31, 2010.

Subtitle D—Temporary Disaster Relief Provisions
PART

Subpart A—New York Liberty Zone

SEC. 761. TAX-EXEMPT BOND FINANCING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (D) of section 1400L(d)(2) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to bonds issued after December 31, 2009.

Subpart B—GO Zone

SEC. 762. INCREASE IN REHABILITATION CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (h) of section 1400N is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 763. LOW-INCOME HOUSING CREDIT RULES FOR BUILDINGS IN GO ZONES.

Section 1400N(c)(5) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

SEC. 764. TAX-EXEMPT BOND FINANCING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraphs (2)(D) and (7)(C) of section 1400N(a) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Sections 702(d)(1) and 704(a) of the Heartland Disaster Tax Relief Act of 2008 are each amended by striking “January 1, 2011” each place it appears and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

SEC. 765. BONUS DEPRECIATION DEDUCTION APPLICABLE TO THE GO ZONE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (6) of section 1400N(d) is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2010” both places it appears in subparagraph (B) and inserting “December 31, 2011”, and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2010” in the heading and the text of subparagraph (D) and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

TITLE VIII—BUDGETARY PROVISIONS

SEC. 801. DETERMINATION OF BUDGETARY EFFECTS.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled “Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation” for this Act, jointly submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairmen of the House and Senate Budget Committees, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage in the House acting first on this conference report or amendment between the Houses.

SEC. 802. EMERGENCY DESIGNATIONS.

(a) STATUTORY PAYGO.—This Act is designated as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 4(g) of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-139; 2 U.S.C. 933(g)) except to the extent that the budgetary effects of this Act are determined to be subject to the current policy adjustments under sections 4(c) and 7 of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act.

(b) SENATE.—In the Senate, this Act is designated as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 403(a) of S. Con. Res. 13 (111th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2010.

(c) HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.—In the House of Representatives, every provision of this Act is expressly designated as an emergency for purposes of pay-as-you-go principles except to the extent that any such provision is subject to the current policy adjustments under section 4(c) of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010.

TITLE IX—RESCISSIONS

SEC. 900. TABLE OF CONTENTS OF TITLE.

The table of contents of this title is as follows:

TITLE IX—RESCISSIONS

Sec. 900. Table of contents of title.

Subtitle A—Rescissions and Elimination of Wasteful Government Programs

Sec. 901. 15 Percent Reduction in appropriations to the Executive Office of the President and Congress.

Sec. 902. No cost of living adjustment in pay of Members of Congress.

Sec. 903. Freeze on cost of Federal employees (including civilian employees of the Department of Defense) salaries.

Sec. 904. Reduction in the number of Federal employees.

Sec. 905. Limitation on Government printing costs.

Sec. 906. Limitation of Government travel costs.

Sec. 907. Reduction in Federal vehicle costs.

Sec. 908. Sale of excess Federal property.

Sec. 909. Prohibition on use of Federal funds to pay unemployment compensation to millionaires.

Sec. 910. Mandatory elimination of duplicative government programs.

Sec. 911. Collection of unpaid taxes from employees of the Federal Government.

Sec. 912. Ten percent reduction in voluntary contributions to the United Nations.

Sec. 913. Low-priority construction projects of Corps of Engineers.

Sec. 914. Ten percent reduction in international development and humanitarian assistance funding.

Sec. 915. Elimination of the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities program.

Sec. 916. Rescission of amounts for Economic Development Administration.

Sec. 917. Department of Justice wasteful activities.

Sec. 918. Rescission of amounts for Hollings Manufacturing Partnership Program and Baldrige Performance Excellence Program.

Sec. 919. Fossil fuel applied research.

Sec. 920. Corporation for Public Broadcasting.

Sec. 921. Fifteen percent reduction in fiscal year 2011 funding for the Department of Defense for procurement.

Sec. 922. Ten percent reduction in fiscal year 2011 funding for the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation.

Sec. 923. Reduction in Department of Defense spending in support of military installations.

Sec. 924. Rescission of Diplomatic and Consular Programs funding.

Sec. 925. Elimination of program to pay institutions of higher education for administrative expenses relating to student aid program.

Sec. 926. Elimination of grants to large and medium hub airports under airport improvement program.

Sec. 927. Consolidate all Federal Fire Management Programs and reducing funding by 10 percent.

Sec. 928. High-energy cost grant program.

Sec. 929. Resource conservation and development programs.

Sec. 930. Repeal of LEAP.

Sec. 931. Elimination of the B.J. Stupak Olympic Scholarships program.

Sec. 932. Repeal of Robert C. Byrd Honors Scholarship Program.

Sec. 933. Elimination of the Historic Whaling and Trading Partners program.

Sec. 934. Elimination of the Underground Railroad educational and cultural program.

Sec. 935. Brownfields economic development initiative.

Sec. 936. Election reform grants.

Sec. 937. Election Assistance Commission.

Sec. 938. Emergency operations center grant program.

Sec. 939. Elimination of health care facilities and construction program.

Sec. 940. High priority surface transportation projects.

Sec. 941. Save America's Treasures Program; Preserve America Program.

Sec. 942. Targeted water infrastructure grants.

Sec. 943. National Park Service Challenge Cost Share Program.

Sec. 944. Termination of the Constellation Program of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Sec. 945. Delta health initiative.

Sec. 946. Department of Agriculture health care services grant program.

Sec. 947. Elimination of loan repayment for civil legal assistance attorneys.

Sec. 948. Targeted air shed grant program.

Sec. 949. Requiring transparency and ensuring no special treatment for the AARP or AMA.

Subtitle B—Fighting Fraud and Abuse to Save Taxpayers' Dollars

Sec. 960. Findings.

Sec. 961. Tracking excluded providers across State lines.

Sec. 962. Access for private sector and governmental entities.

Sec. 963. Liability of Medicare administrative contractors for claims submitted by excluded providers.

Sec. 964. Limiting the discharge of debts in bankruptcy proceedings in cases where a health care provider or a supplier engages in fraudulent activity.

Sec. 965. Prevention of waste, fraud, and abuse in the Medicaid and CHIP programs.

Sec. 966. Illegal distribution of a Medicare, Medicaid, or CHIP beneficiary identification or billing privileges.

Sec. 967. Pilot program for the use of universal product numbers on claim forms for reimbursement under the Medicare program.

Sec. 968. Prohibition of inclusion of social security account numbers on Medicare cards.

Sec. 969. Implementation.

Subtitle A—Rescissions and Elimination of Wasteful Government Programs

SEC. 901. 15 PERCENT REDUCTION IN APPROPRIATIONS TO THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT AND CONGRESS.

(a) RESCISSIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—There is rescinded an amount equal to 15 percent of the budget authority provided for any discretionary account in appropriations to the Legislative Branch for fiscal year 2011.

(2) PROPORTIONATE APPLICATION.—Any rescission made by paragraph (1) shall be applied proportionately—

(A) to each discretionary account and each item of budget authority described in such paragraph; and

(B) within each such account and item, to each program, project, and activity (with programs, projects, and activities as delineated in the appropriation Act or accompanying reports for the relevant fiscal year covering such account or item, or for accounts and items not included in appropriation Acts, as delineated in the most recently submitted President's budget).

(3) EXCEPTION.—This subsection shall not apply to appropriations under the heading "CAPITOL POLICE".

(4) ADMINISTRATION OF ACROSS-THE-BOARD REDUCTIONS.—In the administration of paragraph (1), with respect to the budget authority provided under the heading "SENATE" in—

(A) the percentage rescissions under paragraph (1) shall apply to the total amount of all funds appropriated under that heading; and

(B) the rescissions may be applied without regard to paragraph (2).

(b) APPROPRIATIONS TO THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of funds appropriated to the appropriations account under the heading under the heading "EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT AND FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT" for each of fiscal years 2012 and 2013 may not exceed the total amount of funds appropriated to that account for fiscal year 2011 after application of the rescission under subsection (a).

(c) APPROPRIATIONS TO CONGRESS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of funds appropriated under the headings "SENATE" and "HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES" for each of fiscal years 2012 and 2013 may not exceed the total amount of funds appropriated under those headings for fiscal year 2011 after application of the rescission under subsection (a).

SEC. 902. NO COST OF LIVING ADJUSTMENT IN PAY OF MEMBERS OF CONGRESS.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no adjustment shall be made under section 601(a) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 31) (relating to cost of living adjustments for Members of Congress) during fiscal years 2012, 2013, and 2014.

SEC. 903. FREEZE ON COST OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEES (INCLUDING CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE) SALARIES.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of funds expended on

salaries for civilian employees of the Federal Government, including civilian employees of the Department of Defense, for fiscal year 2011, fiscal year 2012, and fiscal year 2013 shall not exceed the total costs for such salaries in fiscal year 2010: *Provided*, That the amounts spent on salaries of members of the armed forces are exempt from the provisions of this subsection: *Provided further*, That nothing in this subsection prohibits an employee from receiving an increase in salary or other compensation so long as such an increase does not increase an agency's net expenditures for employee salaries.

SEC. 904. REDUCTION IN THE NUMBER OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEES.

(a) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term "agency" means an executive agency as defined under section 105 of title 5, United States Code.

(b) DETERMINATION OF NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES.—Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall determine the number of full-time employees employed in each agency. The head of each agency shall cooperate with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget in making the determinations.

(c) REDUCTIONS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the head of each agency shall take such actions as necessary, including a reduction in force under sections 3502 and 3595 of title 5, United States Code, to reduce the number of full-time employees employed in that agency as determined under subsection (b) by 10 percent not later than October 1, 2020.

(d) REPLACEMENT HIRE RATE.—In implementing subsection (c), the head of each agency may hire no more than 2 employees in that agency for every 3 employees who leave employment in that agency during any fiscal year.

SEC. 905. LIMITATION ON GOVERNMENT PRINTING COSTS.

Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall coordinate with the heads of Federal departments and independent agencies to—

(a) determine which Government publications could be available on Government websites and no longer printed and to devise a strategy to reduce overall Government printing costs over the 10-year period beginning with fiscal year 2011, except that the Director shall ensure that essential printed documents prepared for social security recipients, medicare beneficiaries, and other populations in areas with limited internet access or use continue to remain available;

(b) establish government-wide Federal guidelines on employee printing;

(c) issue on the Office of Management and Budget's public website the results of a cost-benefit analysis on implementing a digital signature system and on establishing employee printing identification systems, such as the use of individual employee cards or codes, to monitor the amount of printing done by Federal employees; except that the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall ensure that Federal employee printing costs unrelated to national defense, homeland security, border security, national disasters, and other emergencies do not exceed \$860,000,000 annually; and

(d) issue guidelines requiring every department, agency, commission or office to list at a prominent place near the beginning of each publication distributed to the public and issued or paid for by the Federal Government—

(1) the name of the issuing agency, department, commission or office;

(2) the total number of copies of the document printed;

(3) the collective cost of producing and printing all of the copies of the document; and

(4) the name of the firm publishing the document.

SEC. 906. LIMITATION OF GOVERNMENT TRAVEL COSTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Within 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, in consultation with the heads of the Federal departments and agencies, shall establish a definition of "nonessential travel" and criteria to determine if travel-related expenses and requests by Federal employees meet the definition of "nonessential travel". No travel expenses paid for, in whole or in part, with Federal funds shall be paid by the Federal Government unless a request is made prior to the travel and the requested travel meets the criteria established by this section. Any travel request that does not meet the definition and criteria shall be disallowed, including reimbursement for air flights, automobile rentals, train tickets, lodging, per diem, and other travel-related costs. The definition established by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget may include exemptions in the definition, including travel related to national defense, homeland security, border security, national disasters, and other emergencies. The Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall ensure that all travel costs paid for in part or whole by the Federal Government not related to national defense, homeland security, border security, national disasters, and other emergencies do not exceed \$5,000,000,000 annually.

(b) RESCISSIONS.—

(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection—

(A) the term "agency"—

(i) means an executive agency as defined under section 105 of title 5, United States Code; and

(ii) does not include the Department of Defense; and

(B) the term "travel expense amount" means, with respect to each agency, an amount equal to 20 percent of all funds expended by that agency on travel expenses during fiscal year 2010.

(2) IN GENERAL.—There is rescinded a travel expense amount from appropriations made for fiscal year 2011 in each agency appropriations account providing for travel expenses.

(3) FREEZE.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of funds appropriated to the appropriations account providing for travel expenses for each agency for each of fiscal years 2012 and 2013 may not exceed the total amount of funds appropriated to that account for fiscal year 2011 after application of the rescission under paragraph (2).

SEC. 907. REDUCTION IN FEDERAL VEHICLE COSTS.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(a) of the amounts made available to the General Services Administration for the acquisition of new vehicles for the Federal fleet for fiscal year 2011 and remaining unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act, an amount equal to 20 percent of all such amounts is rescinded;

(b) for fiscal year 2012 and each fiscal year thereafter—

(1) the amount made available to the General Services Administration for the acquisition of new vehicles for the Federal fleet

shall not exceed an amount equal to 80 percent of the amount made available for the acquisition of those vehicles for fiscal year 2011 (before application of subsection (a)); and

(2) the number of new vehicles acquired by the General Services Administration for the Federal fleet shall not exceed a number equal to 50 percent of the vehicles so acquired for fiscal year 2011; and

(c) any amounts made available under Public Law 111-5 for the acquisition of new vehicles for the Federal fleet shall be disregarded by for purposes of determining the baseline.

SEC. 908. SALE OF EXCESS FEDERAL PROPERTY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 5 of subtitle I of title 40, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SUBCHAPTER VII—EXPEDITED DISPOSAL OF REAL PROPERTY

“§ 1A621. Definitions

“In this subchapter:

“(1) DIRECTOR.—The term ‘Director’ means the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

“(2) LANDHOLDING AGENCY.—The term ‘landholding agency’ means a landholding agency (as defined in section 501(i) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11411(i))).

“(3) REAL PROPERTY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘real property’ means—

“(i) a parcel of real property under the administrative jurisdiction of the Federal Government that is—

“(I) excess;

“(II) surplus;

“(III) underperforming; or

“(IV) otherwise not meeting the needs of the Federal Government, as determined by the Director; and

“(ii) a building or other structure located on real property described in clause (i).

“(B) EXCLUSION.—The term ‘real property’ excludes any parcel of real property, and any building or other structure located on real property, that is to be closed or realigned under the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (10 U.S.C. 2687 note; Public Law 100-526).

“§ 1A622. Disposal program

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (e), the Director shall, by sale or auction, dispose of a quantity of real property with an aggregate value of not less than \$15,000,000,000 that, as determined by the Director, is not being used, and will not be used, to meet the needs of the Federal Government for the period of fiscal years 2010 through 2015.

“(b) RECOMMENDATIONS.—The head of each landholding agency shall recommend to the Director real property for disposal under subsection (a).

“(c) SELECTION OF PROPERTIES.—After receiving recommendations of candidate real property under subsection (b), the Director—

“(1) with the concurrence of the head of each landholding agency, may select the real property for disposal under subsection (a); and

“(2) shall notify the recommending landholding agency head of the selection of the real property.

“(d) WEBSITE.—The Director shall ensure that all real properties selected for disposal under this section are listed on a website that shall—

“(1) be updated routinely; and

“(2) include the functionality to allow any member of the public, at the option of the member, to receive updates of the list through electronic mail.

“(e) TRANSFER OF PROPERTY.—The Director may transfer real property selected for disposal under this section to the Department of Housing and Urban Development if the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development determines that the real property is suitable for use in assisting the homeless.”.

(b) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 5 of subtitle I of title 40, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 611 the following:

“SUBCHAPTER VII—EXPEDITED DISPOSAL OF REAL PROPERTY

“Sec. 621. Definitions.

“Sec. 622. Disposal program.”.

SEC. 909. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FEDERAL FUNDS TO PAY UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION TO MILLIONAIRES.

(a) PROHIBITION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no Federal funds may be used to make payments of unemployment compensation (including such compensation under the Federal-State Extended Compensation Act of 1970 and the emergency unemployment compensation program under title IV of the of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008) in a year to an individual whose resources in the preceding year was equal to or greater than \$1,000,000. For purposes of the preceding sentence, with respect to a year, an individual’s resources shall be determined in the same manner as a subsidy eligible individual’s resources are determined for the year for purposes of the Medicare part D drug benefit under section 1860D-14(a)(3)(E) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395w-114(a)(3)(E)).

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The prohibition under subsection (a) shall apply to weeks of unemployment beginning on or after January 1, 2011.

SEC. 910. MANDATORY ELIMINATION OF DUPLICATIVE GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS.

(a) REDUCING DUPLICATION.—The Director of the Office of Management Budget and the Secretary of each Federal Government agency (and the head of each independent agency) shall work with the Chairman and ranking member of the relevant congressional appropriations subcommittees and the congressional authorizing committees and the Director of the Office of Management Budget to consolidate programs with duplicative goals, missions, and initiatives.

(b) OMB REPORT.—Within 120 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall submit to Congress a list of programs with duplicative goals, missions, and initiatives with recommendations for consolidation or elimination.

(c) FAILURE TO ACT.—If Congress takes no action to address the recommendations submitted in subsection (b) within 60 days, Secretary of each Federal Government agency and the head of each independent agency shall carry out the recommendations as submitted to Congress.

SEC. 911. COLLECTION OF UNPAID TAXES FROM EMPLOYEES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 73 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SUBCHAPTER VIII—COLLECTION OF UNPAID TAXES FROM EMPLOYEES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

“§ 7381. Collection of unpaid taxes from employees of the Federal Government

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) the term ‘seriously delinquent tax debt’ means an outstanding debt under the

Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for which a notice of lien has been filed in public records pursuant to section 6323 of such Code, except that such term does not include—

“(A) a debt that is being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement under section 6159 or section 7122 of such Code; and

“(B) a debt with respect to which a collection due process hearing under section 6330 of such Code, or relief under subsection (a), (b), or (f) of section 6015 of such Code, is requested or pending; and

“(2) the term ‘Federal employee’ means—

“(A) an employee, as defined by section 2105; and

“(B) an employee of the United States Congress, including Members of the House of Representatives and Senators.

“(b) COLLECTION OF UNPAID TAXES.—The Internal Revenue Service shall coordinate with the Department of Treasury and the hiring agency of a Federal employee who has a seriously delinquent tax debt to collect such taxes by withholding a portion of the employee’s salary over a period set by the hiring agency to ensure prompt payment.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 73 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SUBCHAPTER VIII—COLLECTION OF UNPAID TAXES FROM EMPLOYEES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

“Sec. 7381. Collection of unpaid taxes from employees of the Federal Government.”.

SEC. 912. TEN PERCENT REDUCTION IN VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE UNITED NATIONS.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2011, voluntary contributions to the United Nations paid by the United States shall not exceed an amount that is 10 percent less than the amount provided in fiscal year 2010.

SEC. 913. LOW-PRIORITY CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS OF CORPS OF ENGINEERS.

(a) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority to carry out low-priority construction projects of the Corps of Engineers is terminated.

(b) RESCISSION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for low-priority construction projects of the Corps of Engineers that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the projects referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects described in paragraph (1), as determined by the Secretary of the Army, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 914. TEN PERCENT REDUCTION IN INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE FUNDING.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2011, international development and humanitarian assistance expenditures of the United States shall not exceed an amount that is 10 percent less than the amount provided in fiscal year 2010.

SEC. 915. ELIMINATION OF THE SAFE AND DRUG-FREE SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITIES PROGRAM.

(a) REPEAL.—Part A of title IV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.) is repealed.

(b) **RECISION OF FUNDS.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Program under part A of title IV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 916. RESCISSION OF AMOUNTS FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for programs, activities, and grants of the Economic Development Administration that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs, activities, and grants referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating such programs, activities, and grants, as determined by the Secretary of Commerce, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 917. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE WASTEFUL ACTIVITIES.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, 5 percent of all unobligated balances held by the Attorney General as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded to eliminate wasteful activities of the Department of Justice.

SEC. 918. RESCISSION OF AMOUNTS FOR HOLLINGS MANUFACTURING PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM AND BALDRIDGE PERFORMANCE EXCELLENCE PROGRAM.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Hollings Manufacturing Partnership Program and the Baldrige Performance Excellence Program that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under such programs, as determined by the Secretary of Commerce, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 919. FOSSIL FUEL APPLIED RESEARCH.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority of the Secretary of Energy to carry out fossil fuel applied research is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for fossil fuel applied research described in subsection (a) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for research referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing research described in paragraph (1), as determined by the Secretary of Energy, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 920. CORPORATION FOR PUBLIC BROADCASTING.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the portion of all unobligated balances

held by the Corporation for Public Broadcasting that consists of Federal funds are rescinded and no Federal funds appropriated hereafter for the Corporation for Public Broadcasting shall be obligated or expended by such Corporation.

SEC. 921. FIFTEEN PERCENT REDUCTION IN FISCAL YEAR 2011 FUNDING FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FOR PROCUREMENT.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amount available to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2011 for procurement is the amount equal to the aggregate amount otherwise authorized to be appropriated to the Department for that fiscal year for procurement minus an amount equal to 15 percent of such aggregate amount.

SEC. 922. TEN PERCENT REDUCTION IN FISCAL YEAR 2011 FUNDING FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FOR RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amount available to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2011 for research, development, test, and evaluation is the amount equal to the aggregate amount otherwise authorized to be appropriated to the Department for that fiscal year for research, development, test, and evaluation minus an amount equal to 10 percent of such aggregate amount.

SEC. 923. REDUCTION IN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SPENDING IN SUPPORT OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.

The Secretary of Defense shall reduce the amount obligated or expended in support of military installations through the reduction or elimination of waste, fraud, and abuse attributable to programs and activities related to such support.

SEC. 924. RESCISSION OF DIPLOMATIC AND CONSULAR PROGRAMS FUNDING.

Ten percent of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Secretary of State for diplomatic and consular programs and available for obligation as of the date of the enactment of this Act is hereby rescinded.

SEC. 925. ELIMINATION OF PROGRAM TO PAY INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES RELATING TO STUDENT AID PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Section 489 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1096) is repealed.

(b) **RECESSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for payments to institutions of higher education under section 489 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1096), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such payments shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 926. ELIMINATION OF GRANTS TO LARGE AND MEDIUM HUB AIRPORTS UNDER AIRPORT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.

Notwithstanding any provision of subchapter I of chapter 471 of title 49, United States Code, or any other provision of law—

(1) no large hub airport or medium hub airport (as those terms are defined in section 47102 of such title) may receive a grant under the airport improvement program under such subchapter;

(2) all amounts made available for grants to large hub airports or medium hub airports under the airport improvement program that

remain unobligated as of the date of the enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(3) no amounts made available after the date of the enactment of this Act for grants to large hub airports or medium hub airports under the airport improvement program shall be obligated or expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under that program, as determined by the Secretary of Transportation, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 927. CONSOLIDATE ALL FEDERAL FIRE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS AND REDUCING FUNDING BY 10 PERCENT.

(a) **CONSOLIDATION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall consolidate all fire management programs carried out under laws administered by the Secretary.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) of amounts made available for programs consolidated under subsection (a), the lesser of 10 percent of such amounts, on the one hand, and the amount of such amounts that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act, on the other hand, are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating or reducing ongoing projects and activities under such programs, as determined by the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 928. HIGH-ENERGY COST GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Section 19 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 918a) is repealed.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the program carried out under section 19 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 918a) (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the program referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating the program described in paragraph (1), as determined by the Secretary of Agriculture, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 929. RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority to carry out the resource conservation and development program of the Natural Resources Conservation Service of the Department of Agriculture is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the resource conservation and development program of the Natural Resources Conservation Service of the Department of Agriculture (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the program referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating

ongoing projects and activities under that program, as determined by the Secretary of Agriculture, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 930. REPEAL OF LEAP.

(a) **REPEAL OF LEAP.**—Subpart 4 of part A of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070c) is repealed.

(b) **RECESSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Leveraging Educational Assistance Partnership Program under subpart 4 of part A of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070c), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such program shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 931. ELIMINATION OF THE B.J. STUPAK OLYMPIC SCHOLARSHIPS PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Section 1543 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1992 (20 U.S.C. 1070 note) is repealed.

(b) **ELIMINATION OF FUNDING.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the B.J. Stupak Olympic Scholarships program under section 1543 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1992 (20 U.S.C. 1070 note), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 932. REPEAL OF ROBERT C. BYRD HONORS SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL OF LEAP.**—Subpart 6 of part A of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070c) is repealed.

(b) **RECESSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Robert C. Byrd Honors Scholarship Program under subpart 6 of part A of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070c), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such program shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 933. ELIMINATION OF THE HISTORIC WHALING AND TRADING PARTNERS PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Subpart 12 of part D of title V of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7265 et seq.) is repealed.

(b) **RECISION OF FUNDS.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Educational, Cultural, Apprenticeship, and Exchange Programs for Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians, and Their Historical Whaling and Trading Partners in Massachusetts under subpart 12 of part D of title V of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7265 et seq.), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 934. ELIMINATION OF THE UNDERGROUND RAILROAD EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Section 841 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1998 (20 U.S.C. 1153) is repealed.

(b) **ELIMINATION OF FUNDING.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Underground Railroad educational and cultural program under section 841 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1998 (20 U.S.C. 1153), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 935. BROWNFIELDS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding section 108(q) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5309(q)) or any other provision of law, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development may not make any competitive economic development grants, as otherwise authorized by section 108(q) of that Act, for Brownfields redevelopment projects.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for grants described in subsection (a) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for grants described in subsection (a) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under those grants, as determined by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 936. ELECTION REFORM GRANTS.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority to make requirements payments to States under part 1 of subtitle D of title II of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (42 U.S.C. 15401 et seq.) is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for such requirements payments (as of the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for such requirements payments shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities using such requirements payments, as determined by the Administrator of General Services, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 937. ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The Election Assistance Commission established under section 201 of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (42 U.S.C. 15321) is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Election Assistance Commission (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the Commission described in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities of the Commission, as determined by the Administrator of General Services, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 938. EMERGENCY OPERATIONS CENTER GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) **TERMINATION.**—Section 614 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5196c) is repealed.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Homeland Security for the emergency operations center grant program under section 614 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5196c), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 939. ELIMINATION OF HEALTH CARE FACILITIES AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Health and Human Services for health care facilities and construction are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 940. HIGH PRIORITY SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109–59; 119 Stat. 1256) is repealed.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for high priority projects under section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109–59; 119 Stat. 1256) (before the amendment made by subsection (a)) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for high priority projects described in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under those projects, as determined by the Secretary of Transportation, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 941. SAVE AMERICA'S TREASURES PROGRAM; PRESERVE AMERICA PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEALS.**—Sections 7302 and 7303 of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (16 U.S.C. 469n, 469o) are repealed.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Save America's Treasures Program or Preserve America Program that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under those programs, as determined by the Secretary of the Interior in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 942. TARGETED WATER INFRASTRUCTURE GRANTS.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The Targeted Watershed Grants Program and the U.S.–Mexico Border Water Infrastructure

Program of the Environmental Protection Agency are terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Targeted Watershed Grants Program and the U.S.–Mexico Border Water Infrastructure Program of the Environmental Protection Agency (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs referred to in paragraph (1) (as so in existence) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under those programs, as determined by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 943. NATIONAL PARK SERVICE CHALLENGE COST SHARE PROGRAM.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority to provide Department of the Interior Challenge Cost Share Program grants is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Department of the Interior Challenge Cost Share Program (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the Department of the Interior Challenge Cost Share Program shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under the program, as determined by the Secretary of the Interior in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 944. TERMINATION OF THE CONSTELLATION PROGRAM OF THE NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION.

(a) **TERMINATION REQUIRED.**—The Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration shall terminate the Constellation Program of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

(b) **DISPOSITION OF UNOBLIGATED FUNDS.**—

(1) **RESCISSION.**—Except as provided in paragraph (2), any funds available for obligation by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration as of the date of the enactment of this Act for the Constellation Program are hereby rescinded.

(2) **AVAILABILITY FOR WIND-UP OF PROGRAM.**—Funds described in paragraph (1) may be utilized by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration solely for costs related to the winding-up of the provision of the Constellation Program.

SEC. 945. DELTA HEALTH INITIATIVE.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Health and Human Services to carry out the Delta Health Initiative are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such Initiative shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 946. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE HEALTH CARE SERVICES GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority to carry out any health care services grant program of the Department of Agriculture is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for any health care services grant program of the Department of Agriculture (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the program referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under that program, as determined by the Secretary of Agriculture, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 947. ELIMINATION OF LOAN REPAYMENT FOR CIVIL LEGAL ASSISTANCE ATTORNEYS.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Section 428L of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1078–12) is repealed.

(b) **ELIMINATION OF FUNDING.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Repayment for Civil Legal Assistance Attorneys program under section 428L of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1078–12), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 948. TARGETED AIR SHED GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The Targeted Air Shed Grant Program of the Environmental Protection Agency is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Targeted Air Shed Grant Program of the Environmental Protection Agency (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the program referred to in paragraph (1) (as so in existence) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under that program, as determined by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 949. REQUIRING TRANSPARENCY AND ENSURING NO SPECIAL TREATMENT FOR THE AARP OR AMA.

(a) **REQUIREMENT.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no Federal grants or contracts may be made available to the AARP or the American Medical Association (commonly referred to as the “AMA”) for fiscal year 2011 or any fiscal year thereafter unless awarded by a competitive bidding process.

(b) **DISCLOSURE CONDITIONS.**—Any physician trade and lobbying organization partnering with the Federal Government by participating in technical reviews, making health care payment policy recommendations, representing physician interests on advisory panels, or otherwise representing physicians in matters being reviewed or examined by the Department of Health and Human Services shall disclose the following:

(1) The number of dues paying physician-members the organization currently represents.

(2) The professional status of such members, whether said physicians are currently practicing medicine, teaching, retired, or a medical student in residency.

(c) **MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENT.**—No physician trade and lobbying organization shall be eligible to participate in activities listed in subsection (b) unless such organizations have a membership composed of at least 50 percent of currently-practicing physicians in the same calendar year. The requirement of the preceding sentence shall apply to all physician trade organizations, regardless of whether the organization is a State, regional, or national organization, and regardless of what specialty or practice areas said organizations represent.

(d) **REQUIREMENT FOR CERTAIN MEDIGAP SELLERS OR ISSUERS.**—Sellers or issuers of medicare supplemental policies under section 1882 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395ss) that constitute more than 20 percent of the market share of the previous fiscal year shall be required to spend at least 80 percent of their premium dollars on medical claims to ensure value for seniors.

Subtitle B—Fighting Fraud and Abuse to Save Taxpayers' Dollars

SEC. 960. FINDINGS.

Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The Medicare program loses an estimated \$60,000,000,000 annually to wasted and fraudulent payments.

(2) The Medicaid program also suffers from rampant fraud. As the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services noted in 2009, in an analysis of the only source of nationwide Medicaid claims and beneficiary eligibility information, the Medicaid Statistical Information System, the Federal Government does not have “timely, accurate, or comprehensive information for fraud, waste, and abuse detection” in the Medicaid program.

(3) Absent comprehensive estimates, the Medicaid program’s improper payment rate may be the most objective measure of taxpayer dollars lost to fraud. The national average improper payment rate ranges between 8.7 percent and 10.5 percent, but many States have much higher improper payment rates.

(4) The new Federal health reform law substantially expands the Medicaid program, significantly changes the Medicare program, creates new mandates and regulations, and will send hundreds of billions of dollars to insurance companies.

(5) It is the duty of public officials and public servants in Congress and the Administration to protect the American public’s taxpayer dollars. Congress and the Administration must continue to aggressively combat waste, fraud, and abuse in public health care programs.

(6) The Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services has stated that “swift and effective detection of and response to waste, fraud, and abuse remain an essential program integrity strategy”. Furthermore, the Inspector General noted that “effective use of Medicare and Medicaid data is critical to the success of the Government’s efforts to reduce waste, fraud, and abuse”.

(7) The loss of taxpayer dollars due to waste and fraud under the Medicare and Medicaid programs not only threatens the financial viability of those programs, it erodes the public trust. American taxpayers should not be expected to tolerate rampant waste, fraud, and abuse in publicly funded health care programs.

(8) Congress supports the commitment of the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services to

“enhancing existing data analysis and mining capabilities and employing advanced techniques such as predictive analytics and social network analysis, to counter new and existing fraud schemes”.

(9) Congress supports the use of predictive modeling and other smart technologies that can transform the current “pay and chase” payment cultures under the Medicare and Medicaid programs and prevent taxpayer dollars from being lost to waste, fraud, and abuse.

SEC. 961. TRACKING EXCLUDED PROVIDERS ACROSS STATE LINES.

(a) GREATER COORDINATION.—In order to ensure that providers of services and suppliers that have operated in one State and are excluded from participation in the Medicare program are unable to begin operation and participation in other Federal health care programs in another State, the Secretary shall provide for increased coordination between the following:

(1) The Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

(2) Regional offices of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

(3) Medicare administrative contractors, fiscal intermediaries, and carriers.

(4) State health agencies, State plans under title XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.), State plans under title XXI of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1397aa et seq.), and entities that contract with such agencies and plans, as directed by the Secretary.

(5) The Federation of State Medical Boards.

(b) IMPROVED INFORMATION SYSTEMS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall improve information systems to allow greater integration between databases under the Medicare program so that—

(A) Medicare administrative contractors, fiscal intermediaries, and carriers have immediate access to information identifying providers and suppliers excluded from participation in the Medicare program, the Medicaid program under title XIX of the Social Security Act, the State Children's Health Insurance Program under title XXI of such Act, and other Federal health care programs; and

(B) such information can be shared on a real-time basis, in accordance with protocols established under subsection (g)(2)—

(i) across Federal health care programs and agencies, including between the Department of Health and Human Services, the Social Security Administration, the Department of Veterans Affairs, the Department of Defense, the Department of Justice, and the Office of Personnel Management; and

(ii) with State health agencies, State plans under title XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.), State child health plans under title XXI of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1397aa et seq.), and entities that contract with such agencies and plans, as directed by the Secretary.

(2) SHARING OF INFORMATION IN ADDITION TO HEAT EFFORTS.—The information shared under paragraph (1) shall be in addition to, and shall not replace, activities of the Health Care Fraud Prevention and Enforcement Action Team (HEAT) established by the Attorney General and the Department of Health and Human Services.

(3) APPROPRIATE COORDINATION.—In implementing this subsection, the Secretary shall provide for the maximum appropriate coordination with the process established under section 6401(b)(2) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-148).

(c) “ONE PI” DATABASE FOR MEDICARE, MEDICAID, AND CHIP.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall—

(A) continue to upload Medicare claims, provider, and beneficiary data into the Integrated Data Repository under section 1128J(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, as added by section 6402(a) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act until such time as the Secretary determines that the Integrated Data Repository is completed; and

(B) fully implement the waste, fraud, and abuse detection solution of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, called the “One PI project” (in this subsection referred to as the “project”) by not later than January 1, 2013.

(2) ACCESS.—The Secretary, in consultation with Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, may allow stakeholders who combat, or could assist in combating, waste, fraud, and abuse under Federal health care programs to have access to the One PI system established under the project. Such stakeholders may include the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Comptroller General of the United States, Medicare administrative contractors, fiscal intermediaries, and carriers.

(d) FEDERAL AND STATE AGENCY ACCESS TO NATIONAL PRACTITIONER DATA BANK.—For purposes of enhancing data sharing in order to identify programmatic weaknesses and improving the timeliness of analysis and actions to prevent waste, fraud, and abuse, relevant Federal and State agencies, including the Department of Health and Human Services, the Department of Justice, State departments of health, State Medicaid plans under title XIX of the Social Security Act, State child health plans under title XXI of such Act, and State Medicaid fraud control units (as described in section 1903(q) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396b(q))), shall have real-time access to the National Practitioner Data Bank, as directed by the Secretary. The Secretary may, in consultation with the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, give such real-time access to State attorneys general and State and local law enforcement agencies.

(e) ACCESS TO CLAIMS AND PAYMENT DATABASES.—Section 1128J(a)(2) of the Social Security Act, as added by section 6402(a) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-148) is amended—

(1) by striking “DATABASES.—For purposes” and inserting “DATABASES.—

“(A) ACCESS FOR THE CONDUCT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES.—For purposes”;

(2) in subparagraph (A), as added by paragraph (1), by inserting “, including the Integrated Data Repository under paragraph (1)” before the period at the end; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) ACCESS TO REDUCE WASTE, FRAUD, AND ABUSE.—For purposes of reducing waste, fraud, and abuse, and to the extent consistent with applicable information, privacy, security, and disclosure laws, including the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 and section 552a of title 5, United States Code, and subject to any information systems security requirements under such laws or otherwise required by the Secretary, the Secretary, in consultation with the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, may allow State Med-

icaid fraud control units and State and local law enforcement officials to have access to claims and payment data of the Department of Health and Human Services and its contractors related to titles XVIII, XIX, and XXI, including the Integrated Data Repository under paragraph (1).”.

(f) ENSURING DATA IS UPLOADED TO THE IDR ON A DAILY BASIS.—Section 1128J(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, as added by section 6402(a) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-148) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) UPLOADING OF MEDICARE CLAIMS DATA ON A DAILY BASIS.—All Medicare claims data shall be uploaded into the Integrated Data Repository on a daily basis.”.

(g) REAL-TIME ACCESS TO DATA.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall ensure that any data provided to an entity or individual under the provisions of or amendments made by this section is provided to such entity or individual on a real-time basis, in accordance with protocols established by the Secretary under paragraph (2). The Secretary shall consult with the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services prior to implementing this subsection.

(2) PROTOCOLS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish protocols to ensure the secure transfer and storage of any data provided to another entity or individual under the provisions of or amendments made by this section.

(B) CONSIDERATION OF HHS OIG RECOMMENDATIONS.—In establishing protocols under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall take into account recommendations submitted to the Secretary by the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services with respect to the secure transfer and storage of such data.

(h) GAO STUDY AND REPORT ON USE OF FEDERATION OF STATE MEDICAL BOARDS TO STRENGTHEN ENROLLMENT INTEGRITY PROCESSES.—

(1) STUDY.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall, in consultation with the Federation of State Medical Boards, conduct a study on whether and, if so, to what degree, such Federation may be useful to the Secretary in further strengthening the integrity of processes for enrolling providers of services and suppliers under Federal health care programs.

(2) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report containing the results of the study conducted under paragraph (1), together with recommendations for such legislation and administrative action as the Comptroller General determines appropriate.

(i) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term “Administrator” means the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

(2) CHIP.—The term “CHIP” means the State Children's Health Insurance Program under title XXI of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1397aa et seq.).

(3) FEDERAL HEALTH CARE PROGRAM.—The term “Federal health care program” has the meaning given such term in section 1128B(f) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a-7b(f)).

(4) HHS OIG.—The term “HHS OIG” means the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services.

(5) **MEDICARE ADMINISTRATIVE CONTRACTORS, FISCAL INTERMEDIARIES, AND CARRIERS.**—The term “Medicare administrative contractors, fiscal intermediaries, and carriers” includes zone program integrity contractors, program safeguard or integrity contractors, recovery audit contractors under section 1893(h) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395ddd(h)), and special investigative units at Medicare contractors (as defined in section 1889(g) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395zz(g))).

(6) **MEDICARE PROGRAM.**—The term “Medicare program” means the program under title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.).

(7) **PROVIDER OF SERVICES.**—The term “provider of services” has the meaning given such term in section 1861(u) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x(u)).

(8) **SECRETARY.**—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

(9) **STATE.**—The term “State” includes the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa.

(10) **SUPPLIER.**—The term “supplier” has the meaning given such term in section 1861(d) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x(d)).

SEC. 962. ACCESS FOR PRIVATE SECTOR AND GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Title XI of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1301 et seq.), as amended by section 6402(a) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-148), is amended by inserting after section 1128J the following new section:

“EXPANDED ACCESS TO THE NATIONAL PRACTITIONER DATA BANK

“SEC. 1128K. (a) EXPANDED ACCESS.—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The information in the National Practitioner Data Bank established pursuant to the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986 (42 U.S.C. 11101 et seq.) may be available on a real-time basis, in accordance with protocols established by the Secretary under subsection (b), to—

“(A) Federal and State government agencies and health plans, commercial health plans, and any health care provider, supplier, or practitioner entering an employment or contractual relationship with an individual or entity who has been subject to a final adverse action in the past 10 years, where the contract involves the furnishing of items or services reimbursed by 1 or more Federal health care programs (regardless of whether the individual or entity is paid by the programs directly, or whether the items or services are reimbursed directly or indirectly through the claims of a direct provider); and

“(B) utilization and quality control peer review organizations and accreditation entities as defined by the Secretary, including but not limited to organizations described in part B of this title and in section 1154(a)(4)(C).

“(2) **NO EFFECT ON ACCESS UNDER OTHER APPLICABLE LAW; APPROPRIATE COORDINATION.**—Nothing in this section shall affect the availability of information in the National Practitioner Data Bank under other applicable law, including the availability of such information to entities or individuals under part B of the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986 (42 U.S.C. 11131 et seq.). In implementing this section, the Secretary shall provide for the maximum appropriate coordination with such part.

“(b) **PROTOCOLS.**—The Secretary shall establish protocols to ensure the secure transfer and storage of data made available under

this section. In establishing such protocols the Secretary shall take into account recommendations submitted to the Secretary by the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners with respect to the secure transfer and storage of such data, the establishment or approval of a fee structure under subsection (c), and the establishment of user access protocols.

“(c) FEES FOR DISCLOSURE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—

“(A) **FEES.**—Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary may establish or approve reasonable fees for the disclosure of information under this section, including with respect to requests by Federal agencies or other entities, such as fiscal intermediaries and carriers, acting under contract on behalf of such agencies.

“(B) **ESTABLISHMENT OR APPROVAL OF FEE AMOUNTS.**—In establishing or approving the amount of such fees, the Secretary shall ensure that the total amount of the fees to be collected is equal to the total costs of processing the requests for disclosure and of providing such information. Such fees shall be available to the Secretary to cover such costs.

“(C) **FOR-PROFIT ENTITIES.**—The Secretary may allow for-profit entities to receive data under this section for a fee that is comparable to the fee charged to a Federal agency or other entity under subparagraph (A) with respect to a similar request.

“(2) FREE ACCESS TO CERTAIN DATA.—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the Fighting Fraud and Abuse to Save Taxpayers’ Dollars Act, for purposes of identifying additional strategies and tools to combat waste, fraud, and abuse, the Secretary—

“(i) establish protocols to ensure the secure transmission of data under this section; and

“(ii) may ensure nonprofit academic, policy, and research institutions have access to data from the National Practitioner Data Bank.

“(B) **ACCESS FREE OF CHARGE.**—Data shall be provided under subparagraph (A)(ii) free of charge to academic, policy, and research institutions.

“(C) **REQUIREMENT.**—Any academic, policy, or research institution that is provided data under subparagraph (A)(ii) shall, as a condition of receiving such data, be required to share with the Secretary any findings using such data to combat waste, fraud, and abuse (in a form and manner of the academic, policy, or research institution’s choosing).

“(d) ESTABLISHMENT OF APPEALS PROCESS.—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall establish a transparent and responsive appeals process under which a provider of services or supplier may have their name removed from the National Practitioner Data Bank. Under such process, appeals shall be conducted in a timely manner (not more than 90 days after the earlier of the date of the listing in the National Practitioner Data Bank or the issuance of any penalty involved) in order to minimize the time that providers of services or suppliers who successfully appeal are excluded from participation under the programs under titles XVIII and XIX.

“(2) **CONSULTATION.**—The Secretary shall consult with major colleges of medical practice in the United States, commercial health plans, the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, the National Association of Insurance Commis-

sioners, and the Federation of State Medical Boards in establishing the appeals process under paragraph (1).

“(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) **COMMERCIAL HEALTH PLAN.**—The term ‘commercial health plan’ means health insurance coverage (as defined in section 2791 of the Public Health Service Act and including group health plans).

“(2) **FINAL ADVERSE ACTION.**—The term ‘final adverse action’ means one or more of the following actions:

“(A) A Medicare-imposed revocation of any Medicare billing privileges.

“(B) Suspension or revocation of a license to provide health care by any State licensing authority.

“(C) A conviction of a Federal or State felony offense within the last 10 years preceding enrollment, revalidation, or re-enrollment.

“(D) An exclusion or debarment from participation in a Federal or State health care program.”.

(b) **CRIMINAL PENALTY FOR MISUSE OF INFORMATION DISCLOSED.**—Section 1128B(b) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a-7b(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(4) Whoever knowingly uses information disclosed from the National Practitioner Data Bank under section 1128K for a purpose other than those authorized under that section shall be imprisoned for not more than 3 years or fined under title 18, United States Code, or both.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 963. LIABILITY OF MEDICARE ADMINISTRATIVE CONTRACTORS FOR CLAIMS SUBMITTED BY EXCLUDED PROVIDERS.

(a) **REIMBURSEMENT TO THE SECRETARY FOR AMOUNTS PAID TO EXCLUDED PROVIDERS.**—Section 1874A(b) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395kk(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) **REIMBURSEMENTS TO SECRETARY FOR AMOUNTS PAID TO EXCLUDED PROVIDERS.—**

“(A) LIMITATION.—

“(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in clause (ii), the Secretary shall not enter into a contract with a Medicare administrative contractor under this section unless the contractor agrees to reimburse the Secretary for any amounts paid by the contractor for with respect to any item or service (other than an emergency item or service, not including items or services furnished in an emergency room of a hospital) which is furnished—

“(I) by an individual or entity during the period when such individual or entity is excluded pursuant to section 1128, 1128A, 1156 or 1842(j)(2) from participation in the program under this title; or

“(II) at the medical direction or on the prescription of a physician during the period when he is excluded pursuant to section 1128, 1128A, 1156 or 1842(j)(2) from participation in the program under this title and when the person furnishing such item or service knew or had reason to know of the exclusion (after a reasonable time period after reasonable notice has been furnished to the person).

“(ii) **EXCEPTION.**—Where a Medicare administrative contractor pays a claim for payment for items or services furnished by an individual or entity excluded from participation in the programs under this title, pursuant to section 1128, 1128A, 1156, or 1866, and such Medicare administrative contractor did not know or have reason to know that such individual or entity was so excluded, then, to

the extent permitted by this title, and notwithstanding such exclusion, the contractor shall not be required to reimburse the Secretary under clause (i) for any amounts paid with respect to such items or services. In each such case the Secretary shall notify the contractor of the exclusion of the individual or entity furnishing the items or services. A Medicare administrative contractor shall not make payment for items or services furnished by an excluded individual or entity to a beneficiary after a reasonable time (as determined by the Secretary in regulations) after the Secretary has notified the contractor of the exclusion of that individual or entity.

“(B) REQUIREMENT TO REVIEW CLAIMS.—A Medicare administrative contractor shall review claims submitted to the contractor for payment for services under this title in order to ensure that such services were not furnished by an individual or entity during any period for which the individual or entity is excluded from such participation (as described in subparagraph (A)).”

(b) REPORT ON EFFECTIVENESS AND DEVELOPMENT OF SCORECARD AND MEASURABLE PERFORMANCE METRICS FOR MEDICARE CONTRACTORS.—

(1) REPORT.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall submit to Congress a report on the overall effectiveness and potential of Medicare contractors.

(B) CONTENTS OF REPORT.—The report submitted under subparagraph (A) shall include the Secretary's recommendations for the development of measurable performance metrics and a scorecard for Medicare contractors (or, in the case of Medicare administrative contractors, updated and revised measurable performance metrics and a revised scorecard), together with recommendations for such legislation and administrative action as the Secretary determines appropriate.

(2) CONSULTATION.—The Secretary shall consult with Medicare contractors, the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, private sector waste, fraud, and abuse experts, and entities with experience combating and preventing waste, fraud, and abuse, including through the review of Medicare claims, in preparing the report submitted under paragraph (1).

(3) MEDICARE CONTRACTORS DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term “Medicare contractor” means any of the following:

(A) A Medicare administrative contractor under section 1874A of the Social Security Act.

(B) A Medicare Program Safeguard Contractor.

(C) A Zone Program Integrity Contractor.

(D) A Medicare Drug Integrity Contractor.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to claims for reimbursement submitted on or after the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) CONTRACT MODIFICATION.—The Secretary of Health and Human Services shall take such steps as may be necessary to modify contracts entered into, renewed, or extended prior to the date of enactment of this Act to conform such contracts to the provisions of and amendments made by this section.

SEC. 964. LIMITING THE DISCHARGE OF DEBTS IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS IN CASES WHERE A HEALTH CARE PROVIDER OR A SUPPLIER ENGAGES IN FRAUDULENT ACTIVITY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) CIVIL MONETARY PENALTIES.—Section 1128A(a) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a-7a(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following: “Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts made payable under this section are not dischargeable under section 727, 944, 1141, 1228, or 1328 of title 11, United States Code, or any other provision of such title.”

(2) RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT TO PROVIDERS OF SERVICES UNDER PART A.—Section 1815(d) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395g(d)) is amended—

(A) by inserting “(1)” after “(d)”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts due to the Secretary under this section are not dischargeable under section 727, 944, 1141, 1228, or 1328 of title 11, United States Code, or any other provision of such title if the overpayment was the result of fraudulent activity, as may be defined by the Secretary.”

(3) RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF BENEFITS UNDER PART B.—Section 1833(j) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395l(j)) is amended—

(A) by inserting “(1)” after “(j)”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts due to the Secretary under this section are not dischargeable under section 727, 944, 1141, 1228, or 1328 of title 11, United States Code, or any other provision of such title if the overpayment was the result of fraudulent activity, as may be defined by the Secretary.”

(4) COLLECTION OF PAST-DUE OBLIGATIONS ARISING FROM BREACH OF SCHOLARSHIP AND LOAN CONTRACT.—Section 1892(a) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395ccc(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(5) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts due to the Secretary under this section are not dischargeable under section 727, 944, 1141, 1228, or 1328 of title 11, United States Code, or any other provision of such title.”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to bankruptcy petitions filed after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 965. PREVENTION OF WASTE, FRAUD, AND ABUSE IN THE MEDICAID AND CHIP PROGRAMS.

(a) DETECTION OF FRAUDULENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS WITHIN THE MEDICAID AND CHIP PROGRAMS.—

(1) MEDICAID.—Section 1903(i) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396b(i)), as amended by section 2001(a)(2)(B) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-148), is amended—

(A) in paragraph (25), by striking “or” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (26), by striking the period and inserting “; or”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(27) with respect to amounts expended for an item or service for which medical assistance is provided under the State plan or under a waiver of such plan unless the claim for payment for such item or service contains—

“(A) a valid beneficiary identification number that, for purposes of the individual who received such item or service, has been determined by the State agency to correspond to an individual who is eligible to receive benefits under the State plan or waiver; and

“(B) a valid National Provider Identifier that, for purposes of the provider that furnished such item or service, has been deter-

mined by the State agency to correspond to a participating provider that is eligible to receive payment for furnishing such item or service under the State plan or waiver.”

(2) CHIP.—Section 2107(e)(1)(I) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1397gg(e)(1)(I)) is amended by striking “and (17)” and inserting “(17), and (27)”.

(b) SCREENING REQUIREMENTS FOR MANAGED CARE ENTITIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 1902 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396a) is amended—

(A) by redesignating the second subsection (ii), as added by section 6401(b)(1)(B) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as subsection (kk) of such section; and

(B) in subsection (kk), as so redesignated—

(i) by redesignating paragraph (8) as paragraph (9); and

(ii) by inserting after paragraph (7) the following new paragraph:

“(8) MANAGED CARE ENTITIES.—The State establishes procedures to ensure that any managed care entity (as defined in section 1932(a)(1)(B)) under contract with the State complies with all applicable requirements under this subsection.”

(2) MEDICAID MANAGED CARE ORGANIZATIONS.—Section 1903(m)(2)(A) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396b(m)(2)(A)) is amended—

(A) in clause (xii), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in clause (xiii), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(xiv) such contract requires that the entity comply with any applicable screening, oversight, and reporting requirements under section 1902(kk).”

(3) MANAGED CARE ENTITIES.—Section 1932(d) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396u-2(d)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) COMPLIANCE WITH SCREENING, OVERSIGHT, AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—A managed care entity shall comply with any applicable screening, oversight, and reporting requirements under section 1902(kk).”

(c) REQUIRED DATABASE CHECKS.—Clause (i) of section 1866(j)(2)(B) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395cc(j)(2)(B)) is amended to read as follows:

“(i) shall include—

“(I) a licensure check, which may include such checks across States; and

“(II) for purposes of the Medicaid program under title XIX—

“(aa) database checks (including such checks across States), which shall include—

“(AA) the Medicaid Statistical Information System (as described in section 1903(r)(1)(F)); and

“(BB) any relevant medical databases that are maintained by the State agencies, as determined by the Secretary in consultation with the directors of the State agencies; and

“(bb) coordination of excluded provider lists between the Secretary and the State agency, including exchanges of data regarding excluding providers between Federal and State databases; and”

(d) TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.—Section 1902 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396a), as amended by subsection (b)(1), is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (23), by striking “subsection (ii)(4)” and inserting “subsection (kk)(4)”; and

(B) in paragraph (77), by striking “subsection (ii)” and inserting “subsection (kk)”; and

(2) in subsection (kk), by striking “section 1886” each place it appears and inserting “section 1866”.

SEC. 966. ILLEGAL DISTRIBUTION OF A MEDICARE, MEDICAID, OR CHIP BENEFICIARY IDENTIFICATION OR BILLING PRIVILEGES.

Section 1128B(b) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a-7b(b)), as amended by section 962(b), is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(5) Whoever knowingly, intentionally, and with the intent to defraud purchases, sells or distributes, or arranges for the purchase, sale, or distribution of a Medicare, Medicaid, or CHIP beneficiary identification number or billing privileges under title XVIII, title XIX, or title XXI shall be imprisoned for not more than 10 years or fined not more than \$500,000 (\$1,000,000 in the case of a corporation), or both.”.

SEC. 967. PILOT PROGRAM FOR THE USE OF UNIVERSAL PRODUCT NUMBERS ON CLAIM FORMS FOR REIMBURSEMENT UNDER THE MEDICARE PROGRAM.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than January 1, 2013, the Secretary shall establish a pilot program under which claims for reimbursement under the Medicare program for UPN covered items contain the universal product number of the UPN covered item.

(2) DURATION.—The pilot program under this section shall be conducted for a 2-year period.

(3) CONSIDERATION OF GAO RECOMMENDATIONS.—The Secretary shall take into account the recommendations of the Comptroller General of the United States in establishing the pilot program under this section.

(b) DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF PROCEDURES.—

(1) INFORMATION INCLUDED IN UPN.—The Secretary, in consultation with manufacturers and entities with appropriate expertise, shall determine the relevant descriptive information appropriate for inclusion in a universal product number for a UPN covered item under the pilot program.

(2) REVIEW OF PROCEDURE.—The Secretary, in consultation with interested parties (which shall, at a minimum, include the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services and private sector and health industry experts), shall use information obtained under the pilot program through the use of universal product numbers on claims for reimbursement under the Medicare program to periodically review the UPN covered items billed under the Health Care Financing Administration Common Procedure Coding System and adjust such coding system to ensure that functionally equivalent UPN covered items are billed and reimbursed under the same codes.

(c) GAO REPORTS TO CONGRESS ON EFFECTIVENESS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF PILOT PROGRAM.—

(1) INITIAL REPORT.—Not later than 6 months after the implementation of the pilot program under this section, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report on the effectiveness of such implementation.

(2) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after the completion of the pilot program under this section, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report on the effectiveness of the pilot program, together with recommendations regarding the use of universal product numbers and the use of data obtained from the use of such numbers, and recommendations for such legislation and administrative

action as the Comptroller General determines appropriate.

(d) USE OF AVAILABLE FUNDING.—The Secretary shall use amounts available in the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Program Management Account or in the Health Care Fraud and Abuse Control Account under section 1817(k) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395i(k)) to carry out the pilot program under this section.

(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) MEDICARE PROGRAM.—The term “Medicare program” means the program under title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.).

(2) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

(3) UNIVERSAL PRODUCT NUMBER.—The term “universal product number” means a number that is—

(A) affixed by the manufacturer to each individual UPN covered item that uniquely identifies the item at each packaging level; and

(B) based on commercially acceptable identification standards such as, but not limited to, standards established by the Uniform Code Council—International Article Numbering System or the Health Industry Business Communication Council.

(4) UPN COVERED ITEM.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the term “UPN covered item” means—

(i) a covered item as that term is defined in section 1834(a)(13) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395m(a)(13));

(ii) an item described in paragraph (8) or (9) of section 1861(s) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x);

(iii) an item described in paragraph (5) of such section 1861(s); and

(iv) any other item for which payment is made under this title that the Secretary determines to be appropriate.

(B) EXCLUSION.—The term “UPN covered item” does not include a customized item for which payment is made under this title.

SEC. 968. PROHIBITION OF INCLUSION OF SOCIAL SECURITY ACCOUNT NUMBERS ON MEDICARE CARDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 205(c)(2)(C) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 405(c)(2)(C)), as amended by section 1414(a)(2) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-148), is amended by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(xi) The Secretary of Health and Human Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Social Security, shall establish cost-effective procedures to ensure that a social security account number (or any derivative thereof) is not displayed, coded, or embedded on the Medicare card issued to an individual who is entitled to benefits under part A of title XVIII or enrolled under part B of title XVIII and that any other identifier displayed on such card is easily identifiable as not being the social security account number (or a derivative thereof).”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to Medicare cards issued on and after an effective date specified by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, but in no case shall such effective date be later than the date that is 24 months after the date adequate funding is provided pursuant to subsection (d)(2).

(2) REISSUANCE.—Subject to subsection (d)(2), in the case of individuals who have been issued such cards before such date, the Secretary of Health and Human Services—

(A) shall provide for the reissuance for such individuals of such a card that complies with such amendment not later than 3 years after the effective date specified under paragraph (1); and

(B) may permit such individuals to apply for the reissuance of such a card that complies with such amendment before the date of reissuance otherwise provided under subparagraph (A) in such exceptional circumstances as the Secretary may specify.

(c) OUTREACH PROGRAM.—Subject to subsection (d)(2), the Secretary of Health and Human Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Social Security, shall conduct an outreach program to Medicare beneficiaries and providers about the new Medicare card provided under this section.

(d) REPORT TO CONGRESS AND LIMITATIONS ON EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, acting through the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services and in consultation with the Commissioner of Social Security, shall submit to Congress a report that includes detailed options regarding the implementation of this section, including line-item estimates of and justifications for the costs associated with such options and estimates of timeframes for each stage of implementation. In recommending such options, the Secretary shall take into consideration, among other factors, cost-effectiveness and beneficiary outreach and education.

(2) LIMITATION; MODIFICATION OF DEADLINES.—With respect to the amendment made by subsection (a), and the requirements of subsections (b) and (c)—

(A) such amendment and requirements shall not apply until adequate funding is transferred pursuant to section 11(b) to implement the provisions of this section, as determined by Congress; and

(B) any deadlines otherwise established under this section for such amendment and requirements are contingent upon the receipt of adequate funding (as determined in subparagraph (A)) for such implementation. The previous sentence shall not affect the timely submission of the report required under paragraph (1).

SEC. 969. IMPLEMENTATION.

(a) EMPOWERING THE HHS OIG AND GAO.—Except as otherwise provided, to the extent practicable, the Secretary of Health and Human Services (in this section referred to as the “Secretary”) shall—

(1) carry out the provisions of and amendments made by this subtitle in consultation with the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services; and

(2) take into consideration the findings and recommendations of the Comptroller General of the United States in carrying out such provisions and amendments.

(b) FUNDING.—The Secretary shall provide for the transfer, from the Health Care Fraud and Abuse Control Account under section 1817(k) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395i(k)), to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Program Management Account, of such sums, provided such sums are fully offset, as the Secretary determines are for necessary administrative expenses associated with carrying out the provisions of and amendments made by this subtitle (other than section 967). Amounts transferred under the preceding sentence shall remain available until expended.

(c) SAVINGS.—Any reduction in outlays under the Medicare program under title

XVIII of the Social Security Act under the provisions of, and amendments made by, this subtitle may only be utilized to offset outlays under part A of title XVIII of the Social Security Act.

NOTICES OF INTENT TO SUSPEND THE RULES

Mr. COBURN. Mr. President, in accordance with rule V of the Standing Rules of the Senate, I hereby give notice in writing that it is my intention to move to suspend rule XXII for the purposes of proposing and considering amendment no. 4764 to the House Message to accompany H.R. 4853.

Mr. COBURN. Mr. President, in accordance with rule V of the Standing Rules of the Senate, I hereby give notice in writing that it is my intention to move to suspend rule XXII for the purposes of proposing and considering amendment no. 4765 to the House Message to accompany H.R. 4853.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. DURBIN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that on Tuesday, December 14, at 11:30 a.m., Senator BOND be recognized for up to 20 minutes to make his farewell address to the Senate; that at 3:15 p.m., Senator HARKIN be recognized to speak for up to 45 minutes; and that Senator KIRK be recognized at 5 p.m. to make his maiden speech to the Senate; further, that any time utilized be charged under rule XXII.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ESTABLISHING A PILOT PROGRAM TO ENCOURAGE ENHANCEMENT OF EXPERTISE IN PATENT CASES

Mr. DURBIN. I ask unanimous consent that the Judiciary Committee be discharged from further consideration of H.R. 628 and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 628) to establish a pilot program in certain United States district courts to encourage enhancement of expertise in patent cases among district judges.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. DURBIN. I ask unanimous consent that a Leahy amendment at the desk be agreed to, the bill, as amended, be read a third time and passed, the motions to reconsider be laid upon the table, with no intervening action or debate, and any statements be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment (No. 4801), in the nature of a substitute, was agreed to, as follows:

(Purpose: In the nature of a substitute)

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. PILOT PROGRAM IN CERTAIN DISTRICT COURTS.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—There is established a program, in each of the United States district courts designated under subsection (b), under which—

(A) those district judges of that district court who request to hear cases under which 1 or more issues arising under any Act of Congress relating to patents or plant variety protection are required to be decided, are designated by the chief judge of the court to hear those cases;

(B) cases described in subparagraph (A) are randomly assigned to the judges of the district court, regardless of whether the judges are designated under subparagraph (A);

(C) a judge not designated under subparagraph (A) to whom a case is assigned under subparagraph (B) may decline to accept the case; and

(D) a case declined under subparagraph (C) is randomly reassigned to 1 of those judges of the court designated under subparagraph (A).

(2) SENIOR JUDGES.—Senior judges of a district court may be designated under paragraph (1)(A) if at least 1 judge of the court in regular active service is also so designated.

(3) RIGHT TO TRANSFER CASES PRESERVED.—This section shall not be construed to limit the ability of a judge to request the reassignment of or otherwise transfer a case to which the judge is assigned under this section, in accordance with otherwise applicable rules of the court.

(b) DESIGNATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 6 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall designate not less than 6 United States district courts, in at least 3 different judicial circuits, in which the program established under subsection (a) will be carried out.

(2) CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATIONS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Director shall make designations under paragraph (1) from—

(i) the 15 district courts in which the largest number of patent and plant variety protection cases were filed in the most recent calendar year that has ended; or

(ii) the district courts that have adopted, or certified to the Director the intention to adopt, local rules for patent and plant variety protection cases.

(B) SELECTION OF COURTS.—From amongst the district courts that satisfy the criteria for designation under this subsection, the Director shall select—

(i) 3 district courts that each have at least 10 district judges authorized to be appointed by the President, whether under section 133(a) of title 28, United States Code, or on a temporary basis under any other provision of law, and at least 3 judges of the court have made the request under subsection (a)(1)(A); and

(ii) 3 district courts that each have fewer than 10 district judges authorized to be appointed by the President, whether under section 133(a) of title 28, United States Code, or on a temporary basis under any other provision of law, and at least 2 judges of the court have made the request under subsection (a)(1)(A).

(c) DURATION.—The program established under subsection (a) shall terminate 10 years after the end of the 6-month period described in subsection (b).

(d) APPLICABILITY.—The program established under subsection (a) shall apply in a

district court designated under subsection (b) only to cases commenced on or after the date of such designation.

(e) REPORTS TO CONGRESS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—At the times specified in paragraph (2), the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, in consultation with the chief judge of each of the district courts designated under subsection (b) and the Director of the Federal Judicial Center, shall submit to the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate a report on the pilot program established under subsection (a). The report shall include—

(A) an analysis of the extent to which the program has succeeded in developing expertise in patent and plant variety protection cases among the district judges of the district courts so designated;

(B) an analysis of the extent to which the program has improved the efficiency of the courts involved by reason of such expertise;

(C) with respect to patent cases handled by the judges designated pursuant to subsection (a)(1)(A) and judges not so designated, a comparison between the 2 groups of judges with respect to—

(i) the rate of reversal by the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, of such cases on the issues of claim construction and substantive patent law; and

(ii) the period of time elapsed from the date on which a case is filed to the date on which trial begins or summary judgment is entered;

(D) a discussion of any evidence indicating that litigants select certain of the judicial districts designated under subsection (b) in an attempt to ensure a given outcome; and

(E) an analysis of whether the pilot program should be extended to other district courts, or should be made permanent and apply to all district courts.

(2) TIMETABLE FOR REPORTS.—The times referred to in paragraph (1) are—

(A) not later than the date that is 5 years and 3 months after the end of the 6-month period described in subsection (b); and

(B) not later than 5 years after the date described in subparagraph (A).

(3) PERIODIC REPORTS.—The Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, in consultation with the chief judge of each of the district courts designated under subsection (b) and the Director of the Federal Judicial Center, shall keep the committees referred to in paragraph (1) informed, on a periodic basis while the pilot program is in effect, with respect to the matters referred to in subparagraphs (A) through (E) of paragraph (1).

The amendment was ordered to be engrossed and the bill read a third time.

The bill (H.R. 628), as amended, was read the third time and passed.

FEDERAL ACQUISITION INSTITUTE IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 2009

Mr. DURBIN. I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to Calendar No. 679, S. 2902.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows: A bill (S. 2902) to improve the Federal Acquisition Institute.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill, which

had been reported from the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, with an amendment to strike all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Federal Acquisition Institute Act of 2010”.

SEC. 2. ACQUISITION WORKFORCE IMPROVEMENTS.

(a) **WORKFORCE IMPROVEMENTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 855 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (41 U.S.C. 433a) is transferred so as to appear after section 37 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 433), redesignated as section 37A of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act, and amended—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) by inserting after the first sentence the following: “The Associate Administrator shall be chosen on the basis of demonstrated knowledge and expertise in acquisition, human capital, and management.”;

(ii) by striking “The Associate Administrator for Acquisition Workforce Programs shall be located in the Federal Acquisition Institute (or its successor).” and inserting “The Associate Administrator shall be located in the Office of Federal Procurement Policy.”;

(iii) by redesignating paragraph (5) as subparagraph (6);

(iv) in paragraph (4), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon; and

(v) by inserting after paragraph (4) the following new paragraph:

“(5) implementing workforce programs under subsections (f) through (i) of section 37; and”;

and

(B) by striking subsection (h) and inserting the following new subsections:

“(h) **FEDERAL ACQUISITION INSTITUTE.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—There is established a Federal Acquisition Institute (FAI) in order to—

“(A) foster and promote the development of a professional acquisition workforce Government-wide;

“(B) promote and coordinate Government-wide research and studies to improve the procurement process and the laws, policies, methods, regulations, procedures, and forms relating to acquisition by the executive agencies;

“(C) collect data and analyze acquisition workforce data from the Office of Personnel Management, the heads of executive agencies, and, through periodic surveys, from individual employees;

“(D) periodically analyze acquisition career fields to identify critical competencies, duties, tasks, and related academic prerequisites, skills, and knowledge;

“(E) coordinate and assist agencies in identifying and recruiting highly qualified candidates for acquisition fields;

“(F) develop instructional materials for acquisition personnel in coordination with private and public acquisition colleges and training facilities;

“(G) evaluate the effectiveness of training and career development programs for acquisition personnel;

“(H) promote the establishment and utilization of academic programs by colleges and universities in acquisition fields;

“(I) facilitate, to the extent requested by agencies, interagency intern and training programs; and

“(J) perform other career management or research functions as directed by the Administrator.

“(2) **BUDGET RESOURCES AND AUTHORITY.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The Director of the Office of Management and Budget and the Adminis-

trator of General Services shall provide the Federal Acquisition Institute with the necessary budget resources and authority to support government-wide training standards and certification requirements necessary to enhance the mobility and career opportunities of the Federal acquisition workforce.

“(B) **ACQUISITION WORKFORCE TRAINING FUND.**—Subject to the availability of funds, the Administrator of General Services shall provide the Federal Acquisition Institute with amounts from the acquisition workforce training fund established under section 37(h)(3) sufficient to meet the annual budget for the Federal Acquisition Institute requested by the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy.

“(3) **FEDERAL ACQUISITION INSTITUTE BOARD OF DIRECTORS.**—

“(A) **REPORTING TO ADMINISTRATOR.**—The Federal Acquisition Institute shall report through its Board of Directors directly to the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy.

“(B) **COMPOSITION.**—The Board shall be composed of not more than 8 individuals from the Federal Government representing a mix of acquisition functional areas, all of whom shall be appointed by the Administrator.

“(C) **DUTIES.**—The Board shall provide general direction to the Federal Acquisition Institute to ensure that the Institute—

“(i) meets its statutory requirements;

“(ii) meets the needs of the Federal acquisition workforce;

“(iii) implements appropriate programs;

“(iv) coordinates with appropriate organizations and groups that have an impact on the Federal acquisition workforce;

“(v) develops and implements plans to meet future challenges of the Federal acquisition workforce; and

“(vi) works closely with the Defense Acquisition University.

“(D) **RECOMMENDATIONS.**—The Board shall make recommendations to the Administrator regarding the development and execution of the annual budget of the Federal Acquisition Institute.

“(4) **DIRECTOR.**—The Director of the Federal Acquisition Institute shall be appointed by, and report directly to, the Administrator.

“(i) **GOVERNMENT-WIDE TRAINING STANDARDS AND CERTIFICATION.**—The Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy, acting through the Federal Acquisition Institute, shall provide and update government-wide training standards and certification requirements, including—

“(1) developing and modifying acquisition certification programs;

“(2) ensuring quality assurance for agency implementation of government-wide training and certification standards;

“(3) analyzing the acquisition training curriculum to ascertain if all certification competencies are covered or if adjustments are necessary;

“(4) developing career path information for certified professionals to encourage retention in government positions;

“(5) coordinating with the Office of Personnel Management for human capital efforts; and

“(6) managing rotation assignments to support opportunities to apply skills included in certification.

“(j) **ACQUISITION INTERNSHIP AND TRAINING PROGRAMS.**—All Federal civilian agency acquisition internship or acquisition training programs shall follow guidelines provided by the Office of Federal Procurement Policy to ensure consistent training standards necessary to develop uniform core competencies throughout the Federal Government.

“(k) **ANNUAL REPORT.**—The Administrator shall submit to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs and the Com-

mittee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives an annual report on the projected budget needs and expense plans of the FAI to fulfill its mandate.

“(1) **CHIEF ACQUISITION OFFICER DEFINED.**—In this section, the term ‘Chief Acquisition Officer’ means a Chief Acquisition Officer for an executive agency appointed pursuant to section 16.”.

(2) **EXPANDED SCOPE OF ACQUISITION WORKFORCE TRAINING FUND.**—Section 37(h)(3) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 433(h)(3)) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “to support the training of the acquisition workforce of the executive agencies” and inserting “to support the activities set forth in section 37A(h)(1)”;

(B) in subparagraph (E), by striking “ensure that funds collected for training under this section are not used for any purpose other than the purpose specified in subparagraph (A)” and inserting “ensure that funds collected under this section are not used for any purpose other than the activities set forth in section 37A(h)(1)”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 6(d)(5) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 405(d)(5)) is amended to read as follows:

“(5) providing for and directing the activities of the Federal Acquisition Institute established under section 37A, including recommending to the Administrator of General Services a sufficient budget for such activities.”.

(c) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this section, or the amendments made by this section, shall be construed to preclude the Secretary of Defense from establishing acquisition workforce policies, procedures, training standards, and certification requirements for acquisition positions in the Department of Defense, as provided in chapter 87 of title 10, United States Code.

Mr. DURBIN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the committee-reported substitute amendment be agreed to, the bill as amended be read a third time and passed, the motions to reconsider be laid upon the table, and any statements be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute was agreed to.

The bill (S. 2902), as amended, was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

POST-9/11 VETERANS EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE IMPROVEMENTS ACT OF 2010

Mr. DURBIN. I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 638, S. 3447.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 3447) to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve educational assistance for veterans who served in the Armed Forces after September 11, 2001, and for other purposes.

The Senate proceeded to consider the bill (S. 3447) to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve educational

assistance for veterans who served in the Armed Forces after September 11, 2001, and for other purposes, which had been reported from the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, with an amendment to strike all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This Act may be cited as the “Post-9/11 Veterans Educational Assistance Improvements Act of 2010”.

(b) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. Reference to title 38, United States Code.

**TITLE I—POST-9/11 VETERANS
EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE**

Sec. 101. Modification of entitlement to educational assistance.

Sec. 102. Amounts of assistance for programs of education leading to a degree pursued at public, non-public, and foreign institutions of higher learning.

Sec. 103. Amounts of assistance for programs of education leading to a degree pursued on active duty.

Sec. 104. Educational assistance for programs of education pursued on half-time basis or less.

Sec. 105. Educational assistance for programs of education other than programs of education leading to a degree.

Sec. 106. Determination of monthly housing stipend payments for academic years.

Sec. 107. Availability of assistance for licensure and certification tests.

Sec. 108. National tests.

Sec. 109. Continuation of entitlement to additional educational assistance for critical skills or specialty.

Sec. 110. Transfer of unused education benefits.

Sec. 111. Bar to duplication of certain educational assistance benefits.

Sec. 112. Technical amendments.

**TITLE II—OTHER EDUCATIONAL
ASSISTANCE MATTERS**

Sec. 201. Extension of delimiting dates for use of educational assistance by primary caregivers of seriously injured veterans and members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 202. Limitations on receipt of educational assistance under National Call to Service and other programs of educational assistance.

Sec. 203. Approval of courses.

Sec. 204. Reporting fees.

Sec. 205. Election for receipt of alternate subsistence allowance for certain veterans with service-connected disabilities undergoing training and rehabilitation.

Sec. 206. Modification of authority to make certain interval payments.

SEC. 2. REFERENCE TO TITLE 38, UNITED STATES CODE.

Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this Act an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of title 38, United States Code.

**TITLE I—POST-9/11 VETERANS
EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE**

SEC. 101. MODIFICATION OF ENTITLEMENT TO EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.

(a) **MODIFICATION OF DEFINITIONS ON ELIGIBILITY FOR EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.**—

(1) **EXPANSION OF DEFINITION OF ACTIVE DUTY TO INCLUDE SERVICE IN NATIONAL GUARD FOR**

CERTAIN PURPOSES.—Paragraph (1) of section 3301 is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) In the case of a member of the Army National Guard of the United States or Air National Guard of the United States, in addition to service described in subparagraph (B), full-time service—

“(i) in the National Guard of a State for the purpose of organizing, administering, recruiting, instructing, or training the National Guard; or

“(ii) in the National Guard under section 502(f) of title 32 when authorized by the President or the Secretary of Defense for the purpose of responding to a national emergency declared by the President and supported by Federal funds.”.

(2) **EXPANSION OF DEFINITION OF ARMY ENTRY LEVEL AND SKILL TRAINING TO INCLUDE ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING.**—Paragraph (2)(A) of such section is amended by inserting “or One Station Unit Training” before the period at the end.

(3) **CLARIFICATION OF DEFINITION OF ENTRY LEVEL AND SKILL TRAINING FOR THE COAST GUARD.**—Paragraph (2)(E) of such section is amended by inserting “and Skill Training (or so-called ‘A’ School)” before the period at the end.

(b) **CLARIFICATION OF APPLICABILITY OF HONORABLE SERVICE REQUIREMENT FOR CERTAIN DISCHARGES AND RELEASES FROM THE ARMED FORCES AS BASIS FOR ENTITLEMENT TO EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.**—Section 3311(c)(4) is amended in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) by striking “A discharge or release from active duty in the Armed Forces” and inserting “A discharge or release from active duty in the Armed Forces after service on active duty in the Armed Forces characterized by the Secretary concerned as honorable service”.

(c) **EXCLUSION FROM PERIOD OF SERVICE ON ACTIVE DUTY OF PERIODS OF SERVICE IN CONNECTION WITH ATTENDANCE AT COAST GUARD ACADEMY.**—Section 3311(d)(2) is amended by inserting “or section 182 of title 14” before the period at the end.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATES.**—

(1) **SERVICE IN NATIONAL GUARD AS ACTIVE DUTY.**—The amendment made by subsection (a)(1) shall take effect on August 1, 2009, as if included in the enactment of chapter 33 of title 38, United States Code, pursuant to the Post-9/11 Veterans Educational Assistance Act of 2008 (title V of Public Law 110-252).

(2) **ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING.**—The amendment made by subsection (a)(2) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) **ENTRY LEVEL AND SKILL TRAINING FOR THE COAST GUARD.**—The amendment made by subsection (a)(3) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to individuals entering service on or after that date.

(4) **HONORABLE SERVICE REQUIREMENT.**—The amendment made by subsection (b) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to discharges and releases from the Armed Forces that occur on or after that date.

(5) **SERVICE IN CONNECTION WITH ATTENDANCE AT COAST GUARD ACADEMY.**—The amendment made by subsection (c) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to individuals entering into agreements on service in the Coast Guard on or after that date.

SEC. 102. AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE FOR PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION LEADING TO A DEGREE PURSUED AT PUBLIC, NON-PUBLIC, AND FOREIGN INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING.

(a) **AMOUNTS OF EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 3313(c) is amended—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “leading to a degree at an institution

of higher learning (as that term is defined in section 3452(f))” after “program of education”; and

(B) in paragraph (1), by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following new subparagraph (A):

“(A) An amount equal to the following:

“(i) In the case of a program of education pursued at a public institution of higher learning, the actual net cost for in-State tuition and fees assessed by the institution for the program of education after the application of—

“(I) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

“(II) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070a)) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees.

“(ii) In the case of a program of education pursued at a non-public or foreign institution of higher learning, the lesser of—

“(I) the actual net cost for tuition and fees assessed by the institution for the program of education after the application of—

“(aa) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

“(bb) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees; or

“(II) the amount equal to—

“(aa) for the academic year beginning on August 1, 2011, \$20,000; or

“(bb) for an academic year beginning on any subsequent August 1, the amount for the previous academic year beginning on August 1 under this subclause, as increased by the percentage increase equal to the most recent percentage increase determined under section 3015(h).”.

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

“PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION LEADING TO A DEGREE PURSUED AT INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING ON MORE THAN HALF-TIME BASIS.”.

(b) **AMOUNTS OF MONTHLY STIPENDS.**—Section 3313(c)(1)(B) is amended—

(1) by redesignating clause (ii) as clause (iv); and

(2) by striking clause (i) and inserting the following new clauses:

“(i) Except as provided in clauses (ii) and (iii), for each month an individual pursues a program of education on more than a half-time basis, a monthly housing stipend equal to the product of—

“(I) the monthly amount of the basic allowance for housing payable under section 403 of title 37 for a member with dependents in pay grade E-5 residing in the military housing area that encompasses all or the majority portion of the ZIP code area in which is located the institution of higher learning at which the individual is enrolled, multiplied by

“(II) the lesser of—

“(aa) 1.0; or

“(bb) the number of course hours borne by the individual in pursuit of the program of education, divided by the minimum number of course hours required for full-time pursuit of the program of education, rounded to the nearest multiple of 10.

“(ii) In the case of an individual pursuing a program of education at a foreign institution of higher learning on more than a half-time basis, for each month the individual pursues the program of education, a monthly housing stipend equal to the product of—

“(I) the national average of the monthly amount of the basic allowance for housing payable under section 403 of title 37 for a member with dependents in pay grade E-5, multiplied by

“(II) the lesser of—

“(aa) 1.0; or

“(bb) the number of course hours borne by the individual in pursuit of the program of education, divided by the minimum number of course hours required for full-time pursuit of the program of education, rounded to the nearest multiple of 10.

“(iii) In the case of an individual pursuing a program of education solely through distance learning on more than a half-time basis, a monthly housing stipend equal to 50 percent of the amount payable under clause (ii) if the individual were otherwise entitled to a monthly housing stipend under that clause for pursuit of the program of education.”

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to amounts payable for educational assistance for pursuit of programs of education on or after that date.

SEC. 103. AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE FOR PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION LEADING TO A DEGREE PURSUED ON ACTIVE DUTY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 3313(e) is amended—
(1) in paragraphs (1), by inserting “leading to a degree” after “approved program of education”;

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by inserting “leading to a degree” after “program of education”;

(B) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as clauses (i) and (ii), respectively;

(C) in the matter preceding clause (i), as redesignated by subparagraph (B) of this paragraph—

(i) by striking “The amount” and inserting “The amounts”; and

(ii) by striking “is the lesser of—” and inserting “are as follows:

“(A) Subject to subparagraph (C), an amount equal to the lesser of—”;

(D) by striking clause (i), as so redesignated, and inserting the following new clauses:

“(i) the actual net cost for in-State tuition and fees assessed by the institution of higher learning for the program of education after the application of—

“(I) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

“(II) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070a)) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees;

“(ii) the amount equal to—

“(I) for the academic year beginning on August 1, 2011, \$20,000; or

“(II) for an academic year beginning on any subsequent August 1, the amount for the previous academic year beginning on August 1 under this clause, as increased by the percentage increase equal to the most recent percentage increase determined under section 3015(h); or”.

(E) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs (B) and (C):

“(B) Subject to subparagraph (C), for the first month of each quarter, semester, or term, as applicable, of the program of education pursued by the individual, a lump sum amount for books, supplies, equipment, and other educational costs with respect to such quarter, semester, or term in the amount equal to—

“(i) \$1,000, multiplied by

“(ii) the fraction of a complete academic year under the program of education that such quarter, semester, or term constitutes.

“(C) In the case of an individual entitled to educational assistance by reason of paragraphs (3) through (8) of section 3311(b), the amounts payable to the individual pursuant to subparagraphs (A)(i), (A)(ii), and (B) shall be the amounts otherwise determined pursuant to such subparagraphs multiplied by the same percentage applicable to the monthly amounts payable to the individual under paragraphs (2) through (7) of subsection (c).”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows: “PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION LEADING TO A DEGREE PURSUED ON ACTIVE DUTY ON MORE THAN HALF-TIME BASIS.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date that is 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to amounts payable as educational assistance for individuals who commence pursuit of programs of education on or after such effective date.

SEC. 104. EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION PURSUED ON HALF-TIME BASIS OR LESS.

(a) **CLARIFICATION OF AVAILABILITY OF ASSISTANCE.**—Section 3313(f) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by inserting before the period at the end the following: “whether a program of education pursued on active duty, a program of education leading to a degree, or a program of education other than a program of education leading to a degree”; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by inserting “covered by this subsection” after “program of education” in the matter preceding subparagraph (A).

(b) **AMOUNT OF ASSISTANCE.**—Clause (i) of paragraph (2)(A) of such section is amended to read as follows:

“(i) the actual net cost for in-State tuition and fees assessed by the institution of higher learning for the program of education after the application of—

“(I) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

“(II) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070a)) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees; or”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to amounts payable for educational assistance for pursuit of programs of education on or after that date.

SEC. 105. EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION OTHER THAN PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION LEADING TO A DEGREE.

(a) **APPROVED PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION AT INSTITUTIONS OTHER THAN INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING.**—Subsection (b) of section 3313 is amended by striking “is offered by an institution of higher learning (as that term is defined in section 3452(f)) and”.

(b) **ASSISTANCE FOR PURSUIT OF PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION OTHER THAN PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION LEADING TO A DEGREE.**—Such section is further amended—

(1) by striking subsection (h);

(2) by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection (h); and

(3) by inserting after subsection (f) the following new subsection (g):

“(g) **PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION OTHER THAN PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION LEADING TO A DEGREE.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Educational assistance is payable under this chapter for pursuit of an approved program of education other than a program of education leading to a degree at an institution other than an institution of higher

learning (as that term is defined in section 3452(f)).

“(2) **PURSUIT ON HALF-TIME BASIS OR LESS.**—The payment of educational assistance under this chapter for pursuit of a program of education otherwise described in paragraph (1) on a half-time basis or less is governed by subsection (f).

“(3) **AMOUNT OF ASSISTANCE.**—The amounts of educational assistance payable under this chapter to an individual entitled to educational assistance under this chapter who is pursuing an approved program of education covered by this subsection are as follows:

“(A) In the case of an individual enrolled in a program of education (other than a program described in subparagraphs (B) through (D)) in pursuit of a certificate or other non-college degree, the following:

“(i) Subject to clause (iv), an amount equal to the lesser of—

“(I) the actual net cost for in-State tuition and fees assessed by the institution concerned for the program of education after the application of—

“(aa) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

“(bb) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070a)) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees; or

“(II) the amount equal to—

“(aa) for the academic year beginning on August 1, 2011, \$20,000; or

“(bb) for an academic year beginning on any subsequent August 1, the amount for the previous academic year beginning on August 1 under this subclause, as increased by the percentage increase equal to the most recent percentage increase determined under section 3015(h).

“(ii) Except in the case of an individual pursuing a program of education on a half-time or less basis and subject to clause (iv), a monthly housing stipend equal to the product—

“(I) of—

“(aa) in the case of an individual pursuing resident training, the monthly amount of the basic allowance for housing payable under section 403 of title 37 for a member with dependents in pay grade E-5 residing in the military housing area that encompasses all or the majority portion of the ZIP code area in which is located the institution at which the individual is enrolled; or

“(bb) in the case of an individual pursuing a program of education through distance learning, a monthly amount equal to 50 percent of the amount payable under item (aa), multiplied by

“(II) the lesser of—

“(aa) 1.0; or

“(bb) the number of course hours borne by the individual in pursuit of the program of education involved, divided by the minimum number of course hours required for full-time pursuit of such program of education, rounded to the nearest multiple of 10.

“(iii) Subject to clause (iv), a monthly stipend in an amount equal to \$83 for each month (or pro rata amount for a partial month) of training pursued for books supplies, equipment, and other educational costs.

“(iv) In the case of an individual entitled to educational assistance by reason of paragraphs (3) through (8) of section 3311(b), the amounts payable pursuant to clauses (i), (ii), and (iii) shall be the amounts otherwise determined pursuant to such clauses multiplied by the same percentage applicable to the monthly amounts

payable to the individual under paragraphs (2) through (7) of subsection (c).

“(B) In the case of an individual pursuing a full-time program of apprenticeship or other on-job training, amounts as follows:

“(i) Subject to clauses (iii) and (iv), for each month the individual pursues the program of education, a monthly housing stipend equal to—

“(I) during the first six-month period of the program, the monthly amount of the basic allowance for housing payable under section 403 of title 37 for a member with dependents in pay grade E-5 residing in the military housing area that encompasses all or the majority portion of the ZIP code area in which is located the employer at which the individual pursues such program;

“(II) during the second six-month period of the program, 80 percent of the monthly amount of the basic allowance for housing payable as described in subclause (I);

“(III) during the third six-month period of the program, 60 percent of the monthly amount of the basic allowance for housing payable as described in subclause (I);

“(IV) during the fourth six-month period of such program, 40 percent of the monthly amount of the basic allowance for housing payable as described in subclause (I); and

“(V) during any month after the first 24 months of such program, 20 percent of the monthly amount of the basic allowance for housing payable as described in subclause (I).

“(ii) Subject to clauses (iii) and (iv), a monthly stipend in an amount equal to \$83 for each month (or pro rata amount for each partial month) of training pursued for books supplies, equipment, and other educational costs.

“(iii) In the case of an individual entitled to educational assistance by reason of paragraphs (3) through (8) of sections 3311(b), the amounts payable pursuant to clauses (i) and (ii) shall be the amounts otherwise determined pursuant to such clauses multiplied by the same percentage applicable to the monthly amounts payable to the individual under paragraphs (2) through (7) of subsection (c).

“(iv) In any month in which an individual pursuing a program of education consisting of a program of apprenticeship or other on-job training fails to complete 120 hours of training, the amount of monthly educational assistance allowance payable under clauses (i) and (iii) to the individual shall be limited to the same proportion of the applicable rate determined under this subparagraph as the number of hours worked during such month, rounded to the nearest eight hours, bears to 120 hours.

“(C) In the case of an individual enrolled in a program of education consisting of flight training (regardless of the institution providing such program of education), an amount equal to—

“(i) the lesser of—

“(I) the actual net cost for in-State tuition and fees assessed by the institution concerned for the program of education after the application of—

“(aa) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

“(bb) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees; or

“(II) the amount equal to—

“(aa) for the academic year beginning on August 1, 2011, \$12,000; or

“(bb) for an academic year beginning on any subsequent August 1, the amount for the previous academic year beginning on August 1 under this subclause, as increased by the per-

centage increase equal to the most recent percentage increase determined under section 3015(h), multiplied by—

“(ii) either—

“(I) in the case of an individual entitled to educational assistance by reason of paragraphs (1), (2), or (9) of section 3311(b), 100 percent; or

“(II) in the case of an individual entitled to educational assistance by reason of paragraphs (3) through (8) of section 3311(b), the same percentage as would otherwise apply to the monthly amounts payable to the individual under paragraphs (2) through (7) of subsection (c).

“(D) In the case of an individual enrolled in a program of education that is pursued exclusively by correspondence (regardless of the institution providing such program of education), an amount equal to—

“(i) the lesser of—

“(I) the actual net cost for tuition and fees assessed by the institution concerned for the program of education after the application of—

“(aa) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

“(bb) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees.

“(II) the amount equal to—

“(aa) for the academic year beginning on August 1, 2011, \$10,000; or

“(bb) for an academic year beginning on any subsequent August 1, the amount for the previous academic year beginning on August 1 under this subclause, as increased by the percentage increase equal to the most recent percentage increase determined under section 3015(h), multiplied by—

“(ii) either—

“(I) in the case of an individual entitled to educational assistance by reason of paragraphs (1), (2), or (9) of section 3311(b), 100 percent; or

“(II) in the case of an individual entitled to educational assistance by reason of paragraphs (3) through (8) of section 3311(b), the same percentage as would otherwise apply to the monthly amounts payable to the individual under paragraphs (2) through (7) of subsection (c).

“(4) FREQUENCY OF PAYMENT.—

“(A) QUARTER, SEMESTER, OR TERM PAYMENTS.—Payment of the amounts payable under paragraph (3)(A)(i) for pursuit of a program of education shall be made for the entire quarter, semester, or term, as applicable, of the program of education.

“(B) MONTHLY PAYMENTS.—Payment of the amounts payable under paragraphs (3)(A)(ii) and (3)(B)(i) for pursuit of a program of education shall be made on a monthly basis.

“(C) LUMP SUM PAYMENTS.—

“(i) Payment for the amount payable under paragraphs (3)(A)(iii) and (3)(B)(ii) shall be paid to the individual for the first month of each quarter, semester, or term, as applicable, of the program education pursued by the individual.

“(ii) Payment of the amount payable under paragraph (3)(C) for pursuit of a program of education shall be made upon receipt of certification for training completed by the individual and serviced by the training facility.

“(D) QUARTERLY PAYMENTS.—Payment of the amounts payable under paragraph (3)(D) for pursuit of a program of education shall be made quarterly on a pro rata basis for the lessons completed by the individual and serviced by the institution.

“(5) CHARGE AGAINST ENTITLEMENT FOR CERTIFICATE AND OTHER NON-COLLEGE DEGREE PROGRAMS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of amounts paid under paragraph (3)(A)(i) for pursuit of a

program of education, the charge against entitlement to educational assistance under this chapter of the individual for whom such payment is made shall be one month for each of—

“(i) the amount so paid, divided by

“(ii) subject to subparagraph (B), the amount equal to one-twelfth of the amount applicable in the academic year in which the payment is made under paragraph (3)(A)(i)(II).

“(B) PRO RATA ADJUSTMENT BASED ON CERTAIN ELIGIBILITY.—If the amount otherwise payable with respect to an individual under paragraph (3)(A)(i) is subject to a percentage adjustment under paragraph (3)(A)(iv), the amount applicable with respect to the individual under subparagraph (A)(ii) shall be the amount otherwise determined pursuant to such subparagraph subject to a percentage adjustment equal to the percentage adjustment applicable with respect to the individual under paragraph (3)(A)(iv).”.

(c) PAYMENT OF AMOUNTS TO EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS.—Subsection (h) of section 3313, as redesignated by subsection (b)(2) of this section, is amended by inserting “, and under subparagraphs (A)(i), (C), and (D) of subsection (g)(3),” after “(f)(2)(A)”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to amounts payable for educational assistance for pursuit of programs of education on or after that date.

SEC. 106. DETERMINATION OF MONTHLY HOUSING STIPEND PAYMENTS FOR ACADEMIC YEARS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 3313, as amended by this Act, is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(i) DETERMINATION OF HOUSING STIPEND PAYMENTS FOR ACADEMIC YEARS.—Any monthly housing stipend payable under this section during the academic year beginning on August 1 of a calendar year shall be determined utilizing rates for basic allowances for housing payable under section 403 of title 37 in effect as of January 1 of such calendar year.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on August 1, 2011.

SEC. 107. AVAILABILITY OF ASSISTANCE FOR LICENSURE AND CERTIFICATION TESTS.

(a) AVAILABILITY OF ASSISTANCE FOR ADDITIONAL TESTS.—Subsection (a) of section 3315 is amended by striking “one licensing or certification test” and inserting “licensing or certification tests”.

(b) CHARGE AGAINST ENTITLEMENT FOR RECEIPT OF ASSISTANCE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (c) of such section is amended to read as follows:

“(c) CHARGE AGAINST ENTITLEMENT.—The charge against an individual's entitlement under this chapter for payment for a licensing or certification test shall be determined at the rate of one month (rounded to the nearest whole month) for each amount paid that equals—

“(1) for the academic year beginning on August 1, 2011, \$1,667; or

“(2) for an academic year beginning on any subsequent August 1, the amount for the previous academic year beginning on August 1 under this subsection, as increased by the percentage increase equal to the most recent percentage increase determined under section 3015(h).”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Subsection (b) of such section is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “or” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking the period and inserting “; or”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) the amount of entitlement available to the individual under this chapter at the time of payment for the test under this section.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to licensure and certification tests taken on or after that date.

SEC. 108. NATIONAL TESTS.

(a) **NATIONAL TESTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 33 is amended by inserting after section 3315 the following new section:

“§3315A. National tests

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—An individual entitled to educational assistance under this chapter shall also be entitled to educational assistance for the following:

“(1) A national test for admission to an institution of higher learning as described in the last sentence of section 3452(b).

“(2) A national test providing an opportunity for course credit at an institution of higher learning as so described.

“(b) **AMOUNT.**—The amount of educational assistance payable under this chapter for a test described in subsection (a) is the lesser of—

“(1) the fee charged for the test; or

“(2) the amount of entitlement available to the individual under this chapter at the time of payment for the test under this section.

“(c) **CHARGE AGAINST ENTITLEMENT.**—The number of months of entitlement charged an individual under this chapter for a test described in subsection (a) shall be determined at the rate of one month (rounded to the nearest whole month) for each amount paid that equals—

“(1) for the academic year beginning on August 1, 2011, \$1,667; or

“(2) for an academic year beginning on any subsequent August 1, the amount for the previous academic year beginning on August 1 under this subsection, as increased by the percentage increase equal to the most recent percentage increase determined under section 3015(h).”.

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 33 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 3315 the following new item:

“3315A. National tests.”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to national tests taken on or after that date.

SEC. 109. CONTINUATION OF ENTITLEMENT TO ADDITIONAL EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR CRITICAL SKILLS OR SPECIALTY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 3316 is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (e); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection (c):

“(c) **CONTINUATION OF INCREASED EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—An individual who made an election to receive educational assistance under this chapter pursuant to section 5003(c)(1)(A) of the Post-9/11 Veterans Educational Assistance Act of 2008 (38 U.S.C. 3301 note) and who, at the time of the election, was entitled to increased educational assistance under section 3015(d) or section 16131(i) of title 10 shall remain entitled to increased educational assistance in the utilization of the individual's entitlement to educational assistance under this chapter.

“(2) **RATE.**—The monthly rate of increased educational assistance payable to an individual under paragraph (1) shall be—

“(A) the rate of educational assistance otherwise payable to the individual under section 3015(d) or section 16131(i) of title 10, as the case may be, had the individual not made the election described in paragraph (1), multiplied by

“(B) the lesser of—

“(i) 1.0; or

“(ii) the number of course hours borne by the individual in pursuit of the program of education involved divided by the minimum number of course hours required for full-time pursuit of the program of education, rounded to the nearest multiple of 10.

“(3) **FREQUENCY OF PAYMENT.**—Payment of the amounts payable under paragraph (1) during pursuit of a program of education shall be made on a monthly basis.”.

(b) **CLARIFICATION ON FUNDING OF INCREASED ASSISTANCE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Such section is further amended by inserting after subsection (c), as added by subsection (a)(2) of this section, the following new subsection:

“(d) **FUNDING.**—Payments for increased educational assistance under this section shall be made from the Department of Defense Education Benefits Fund under section 2006 of title 10 or from appropriations available to the Department of Homeland Security for that purpose, as applicable.”.

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Section 2006(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1), by inserting “or 33” after “chapter 30”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(E) The present value of any future benefits payable from the Fund for amounts attributable to increased amounts of educational assistance authorized by section 3316 of title 38.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011.

SEC. 110. TRANSFER OF UNUSED EDUCATION BENEFITS.

(a) **AVAILABILITY OF TRANSFER AUTHORITY FOR MEMBERS OF PHS AND NOAA.**—Section 3319 is amended—

(1) by striking “Armed Forces” each place it appears (other than in subsection (a)) and inserting “uniformed services”; and

(2) by striking subsection (k).

(b) **SCOPE AND EXERCISE OF AUTHORITY.**—Subsection (a) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking “Subject to the provisions of this section,” and all that follows through “to permit” and inserting “(1) Subject to the provisions of this section, the Secretary concerned may permit”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) The purpose of the authority in paragraph (1) is to promote recruitment and retention in the uniformed services. The Secretary concerned may exercise the authority for that purpose when authorized by the Secretary of Defense in the national security interests of the United States.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011.

SEC. 111. BAR TO DUPLICATION OF CERTAIN EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE BENEFITS.

(a) **BAR TO CONCURRENT RECEIPT OF TRANSFERRED EDUCATION BENEFITS AND MARINE GUNNERY SERGEANT JOHN DAVID FRY SCHOLARSHIP ASSISTANCE.**—Section 3322 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) **BAR TO CONCURRENT RECEIPT OF TRANSFERRED EDUCATION BENEFITS AND MARINE GUNNERY SERGEANT JOHN DAVID FRY SCHOLARSHIP ASSISTANCE.**—An individual entitled to educational assistance under both sections 3311(b)(9) and 3319 may not receive assistance under both provisions concurrently, but shall elect (in such form and manner as the Secretary may prescribe) under which provision to receive educational assistance.”.

(b) **BAR TO RECEIPT OF COMPENSATION AND PENSION AND MARINE GUNNERY SERGEANT JOHN DAVID FRY SCHOLARSHIP ASSISTANCE.**—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) **BAR TO RECEIPT OF COMPENSATION AND PENSION AND MARINE GUNNERY SERGEANT JOHN DAVID FRY SCHOLARSHIP ASSISTANCE.**—The commencement of a program of education under section 3311(b)(9) shall be a bar to the following:

“(1) Subsequent payments of dependency and indemnity compensation or pension based on the death of a parent to an eligible person over the age of 18 years by reason of pursuing a course in an educational institution.

“(2) Increased rates, or additional amounts, of compensation, dependency and indemnity compensation, or pension because of such a person, whether eligibility is based upon the death of the parent.”.

(c) **BAR TO CONCURRENT RECEIPT OF TRANSFERRED EDUCATION BENEFITS.**—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) **BAR TO CONCURRENT RECEIPT OF TRANSFERRED EDUCATION BENEFITS.**—A spouse or child who is entitled to educational assistance under this chapter based on a transfer of entitlement from more than one individual under section 3319 may not receive assistance based on transfers from more than one such individual concurrently, but shall elect (in such form and manner as the Secretary may prescribe) under which source to utilize such assistance at any one time.”.

(d) **BAR TO DUPLICATION OF ELIGIBILITY BASED ON A SINGLE EVENT.**—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(h) **BAR TO DUPLICATION OF ELIGIBILITY BASED ON A SINGLE EVENT OR PERIOD OF SERVICE.**—

“(1) **ACTIVE-DUTY SERVICE.**—An individual with qualifying service in the Armed Forces that establishes eligibility on the part of such individual for educational assistance under this chapter, chapter 30 or 32 of this title, and chapter 1606 or 1607 of title 10, shall elect (in such form and manner as the Secretary may prescribe) under which authority such service is to be credited.

“(2) **ELIGIBILITY FOR EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE BASED ON PARENT'S SERVICE.**—A child of a member of the Armed Forces who, on or after September 11, 2001, dies in the line of duty while serving on active duty, who is eligible for educational assistance under either section 3311(b)(9) or chapter 35 of this title based on the parent's death may not receive such assistance under both this chapter and chapter 35 of this title, but shall elect (in such form and manner as the Secretary may prescribe) under which chapter to receive such assistance.”.

(e) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011.

SEC. 112. TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.

(a) **SECTION 3313.**—Section 3313 is amended—

(1) by striking “higher education” each place it appears and inserting “higher learning”; and

(2) in clause (iii) of subparagraph (A) of subsection (e)(2), as redesignated by section 103(a)(2) of this Act, by adding a period at the end.

(b) **SECTION 3319.**—Section 3319(b)(2) is amended by striking “to section (k)” and inserting “to subsection (j)”.

(c) **SECTION 3323.**—Section 3323(a) is amended by striking “section 3034(a)(1)” and inserting “sections 3034(a)(1) and 3680(c)”.

TITLE II—OTHER EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE MATTERS

SEC. 201. EXTENSION OF DELIMITING DATES FOR USE OF EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE BY PRIMARY CAREGIVERS OF SERIOUSLY INJURED VETERANS AND MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) ALL-VOLUNTEER FORCE EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.—Subsection (d) of section 3031 is amended to read as follows:

“(d)(1) In the case of an individual eligible for educational assistance under this chapter who is prevented from pursuing the individual’s chosen program of education before the expiration of the 10-year period for the use of entitlement under this chapter otherwise applicable under this section because of a physical or mental disability which is not the result of the individual’s own willful misconduct, such 10-year period—

“(A) shall not run during the period the individual is so prevented from pursuing such program; and

“(B) shall again begin running on the first day after the individual’s recovery from such disability on which it is reasonably feasible, as determined under regulations prescribed by the Secretary, for the individual to initiate or resume pursuit of a program of education with educational assistance under this chapter.

“(2)(A) Subject to subparagraph (B), in the case of an individual eligible for educational assistance under this chapter who is prevented from pursuing the individual’s chosen program of education before the expiration of the 10-year period for the use of entitlement under this chapter otherwise applicable under this section by reason of acting as the primary provider of personal care services for a veteran or member of the Armed Forces under section 1720G(a) of this title, such 10-year period—

“(i) shall not run during the period the individual is so prevented from pursuing such program; and

“(ii) shall again begin running on the first day after the date of the recovery of the veteran or member from the injury, or the date on which the individual ceases to be the primary provider of personal care services for the veteran or member, whichever is earlier, on which it is reasonably feasible, as so determined, for the individual to initiate or resume pursuit of a program of education with educational assistance under this chapter.

“(B) Subparagraph (A) shall not apply with respect to the period of an individual as a primary provider of personal care services if the period concludes with the revocation of the individual’s designation as such a primary provider under section 1720G(a)(7)(D) of this title.”

(b) CERTAIN TRANSFERREES OF POST-9/11 EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.—Paragraph (5) of section 3319(h) is amended to read as follows:

“(5) LIMITATION ON AGE OF USE BY CHILD TRANSFERREES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—A child to whom entitlement is transferred under this section may use the benefits transferred without regard to the 15-year delimiting date specified in section 3321, but may not, except as provided in subparagraph (B), use any benefits so transferred after attaining the age of 26 years.

“(B) PRIMARY CAREGIVERS OF SERIOUSLY INJURED MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND VETERANS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), in the case of a child who, before attaining the age of 26 years, is prevented from pursuing a chosen program of education by reason of acting as the primary provider of personal care services for a veteran or member of the Armed Forces under section 1720G(a), the child may use the benefits beginning on the date specified in clause (iii) for a period whose length is specified in clause (iv).

“(ii) INAPPLICABILITY FOR REVOCATION.—Clause (i) shall not apply with respect to the pe-

riod of an individual as a primary provider of personal care services if the period concludes with the revocation of the individual’s designation as such a primary provider under section 1720G(a)(7)(D).

“(iii) DATE FOR COMMENCEMENT OF USE.—The date specified in this clause for the beginning of the use of benefits by a child under clause (i) is the later of—

“(I) the date on which the child ceases acting as the primary provider of personal care services for the veteran or member concerned as described in clause (i);

“(II) the date on which it is reasonably feasible, as determined under regulations prescribed by the Secretary, for the child to initiate or resume the use of benefits; or

“(III) the date on which the child attains the age of 26 years.

“(iv) LENGTH OF USE.—The length of the period specified in this clause for the use of benefits by a child under clause (i) is the length equal to the length of the period that—

“(I) begins on the date on which the child begins acting as the primary provider of personal care services for the veteran or member concerned as described in clause (i); and

“(II) ends on the later of—

“(aa) the date on which the child ceases acting as the primary provider of personal care services for the veteran or member as described in clause (i); or

“(bb) the date on which it is reasonably feasible, as so determined, for the child to initiate or resume the use of benefits.”

(c) SURVIVORS’ AND DEPENDENTS’ EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.—Subsection (c) of section 3512 is amended to read as follows:

“(c)(1) Notwithstanding subsection (a) and subject to paragraph (2), an eligible person may be afforded educational assistance beyond the age limitation applicable to the person under such subsection if—

“(A) the person suspends pursuit of such person’s program of education after having enrolled in such program within the time period applicable to such person under such subsection;

“(B) the person is unable to complete such program after the period of suspension and before attaining the age limitation applicable to the person under such subsection; and

“(C) the Secretary finds that the suspension was due to either of the following:

“(i) The actions of the person as the primary provider of personal care services for a veteran or member of the Armed Forces under section 1720G(a) of this title.

“(ii) Conditions otherwise beyond the control of the person.

“(2) Paragraph (1) shall not apply with respect to the period of an individual as a primary provider of personal care services if the period concludes with the revocation of the individual’s designation as such a primary provider under section 1720G(a)(7)(D) of this title.

“(3) Educational assistance may not be afforded a person under paragraph (1) after the earlier of—

“(A) the age limitation applicable to the person under subsection (a), plus a period of time equal to the period the person was required to suspend pursuit of the person’s program of education as described in paragraph (1); or

“(B) the date of the person’s thirty-first birthday.”

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to preventions and suspension of pursuit of programs of education that commence on or after that date.

SEC. 202. LIMITATIONS ON RECEIPT OF EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE UNDER NATIONAL CALL TO SERVICE AND OTHER PROGRAMS OF EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.

(a) BAR TO DUPLICATION OF EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE BENEFITS.—Section 3322(a) is amended by inserting “or section 510” after “or 1607”.

(b) LIMITATION ON CONCURRENT RECEIPT OF EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.—Section 3681(b)(2) is amended by inserting “and section 510” after “and 107”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011.

SEC. 203. APPROVAL OF COURSES.

(a) CONSTRUCTIVE APPROVAL OF CERTAIN COURSES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 3672(b) is amended—

(A) by inserting “(1)” after “(b)”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2)(A) Subject to sections 3675(b)(1) and (b)(2), 3680A, 3684, and 3696 of this title, the following programs are deemed to be approved for purposes of this chapter:

“(i) An accredited standard college degree program offered at a public or not-for-profit proprietary educational institution that is accredited by an agency or association recognized for that purpose by the Secretary of Education.

“(ii) A flight training course approved by the Federal Aviation Administration that is offered by a certified pilot school that possesses a valid Federal Aviation Administration pilot school certificate.

“(iii) An apprenticeship program registered with the Office of Apprenticeship (OA) of the Employment Training Administration of the Department of Labor or a State apprenticeship agency recognized by the Office of Apprenticeship pursuant to the Act of August 16, 1937 (popularly known as the ‘National Apprenticeship Act’; 29 U.S.C. 50 et seq.).

“(iv) A program leading to a secondary school diploma offered by a secondary school approved in the State in which it is operating.

“(B) A licensure test offered by a Federal, State, or local government is deemed to be approved for purposes of this chapter.”

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) Paragraph (3) of section 3034(d) is amended to read as follows:

“(3) The flight school courses are approved by the Federal Aviation Administration and are offered by a certified pilot school that possesses a valid Federal Aviation Administration pilot school certificate.”

(B) Section 3671(b)(2) is amended by striking “In the case” and inserting “Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, in the case”.

(C) Section 3689(a)(1) is amended by inserting after “unless” the following: “the test is deemed approved by section 3672(b)(2)(B) of this title or”.

(b) USE OF STATE APPROVING AGENCIES FOR COMPLIANCE AND OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES.—Section 3673 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) USE OF STATE APPROVING AGENCIES FOR COMPLIANCE AND OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES.—The Secretary may utilize the services of a State approving agency for such compliance and oversight purposes as the Secretary considers appropriate without regard to whether the Secretary or the agency approved the courses offered in the State concerned.”

(c) APPROVAL OF ACCREDITED COURSES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a)(1) of section 3675 is amended by striking “A State approving agency may approve the courses offered by an educational institution” and inserting “The Secretary or a State approving agency may approve accredited programs (including non-degree accredited programs) offered by proprietary for-profit educational institutions”.

(2) **CONDITION OF APPROVAL.**—Subsection (b) of such section is amended—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “the Secretary or” after “this section.”; and

(B) is amended by inserting “the Secretary or” after “as prescribed by”.

(d) **DISAPPROVAL OF COURSES.**—Section 3679(a) is amended by inserting “the Secretary or” after “disapproved by” both places it appears.

(e) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011.

SEC. 204. REPORTING FEES.

(a) **INCREASE IN AMOUNT OF FEES.**—Section 3684(c) is amended—

(1) by striking “multiplying \$7” and inserting “multiplying \$12”; and

(2) by striking “or \$11” and inserting “or \$15”.

(b) **USE OF FEES PAID.**—Such section is further amended by inserting after the fourth sentence the following new sentence: “Any reporting fee paid an educational institution or joint apprenticeship training committee after the date of the enactment of the Post-9/11 Veterans Educational Assistance Improvements Act of 2011 shall be utilized by such institution or committee solely for the making of certifications required under this chapter or chapter 31, 34, or 35 of this title or for otherwise supporting programs for veterans.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011.

SEC. 205. ELECTION FOR RECEIPT OF ALTERNATE SUBSISTENCE ALLOWANCE FOR CERTAIN VETERANS WITH SERVICE-CONNECTED DISABILITIES UNDERGOING TRAINING AND REHABILITATION.

(a) **ELECTION AUTHORIZED.**—Section 3108(b) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) A veteran entitled to a subsistence allowance under this chapter and educational assistance under chapter 33 of this title may elect to receive payment from the Secretary in lieu of an amount otherwise determined by the Secretary under this subsection in an amount equal to the applicable monthly amount of basic allowance for housing payable under section 403 of title 37 for a member with dependents in pay grade E-5 residing in the military housing area that encompasses all or the majority portion of the ZIP code area in which is located the institution providing rehabilitation program concerned.”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011.

SEC. 206. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO MAKE CERTAIN INTERVAL PAYMENTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The flush matter following clause (3)(B) of section 3680(a) is amended by striking “of this subsection—” and all that follows and inserting “of this subsection during periods when schools are temporarily closed under an established policy based on an Executive order of the President or due to an emergency situation. However, the total number of weeks for which allowances may continue to be

so payable in any 12-month period may not exceed 4 weeks.”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011.

Mr. DURBIN. I ask unanimous consent that the committee-reported substitute amendment be considered and that the Akaka amendment which is at the desk be agreed to; the committee substitute amendment, as amended, be agreed to; the bill, as amended, be read a third time; and that the budgetary pay-go statement be read.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

Mr. Conrad: This is the Statement of Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation for S. 3447, as amended.

Total Budgetary Effects of S. 3447 for the 5-year Statutory PAYGO Scorecard: net decrease in the deficit of \$1 million.

Total Budgetary Effects of S. 3447 for the 10-year Statutory PAYGO Scorecard: net decrease in the deficit of \$734 million.

Also submitted for the RECORD as part of this statement is a table prepared by the Congressional Budget Office, which provides additional information on the budgetary effects of this Act, as follows:

CBO ESTIMATE OF THE STATUTORY PAY-AS-YOU-GO EFFECTS FOR S. 3447, THE POST-9/11 VETERANS EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE IMPROVEMENTS ACT OF 2010 (AS REPORTED ON OCTOBER 26, 2010), WITH AN AMENDMENT (ARM10D13) PROVIDED TO CBO ON DECEMBER 2, 2010

	By fiscal year, in millions of dollars—											
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2011–2015	2011–2020
NET INCREASE OR DECREASE (–) IN THE DEFICIT												
Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Impact	–16	–28	69	10	–36	–83	–121	–153	–176	–200	–1	–734

Note: S. 3447 as amended contains several provisions that would both increase and decrease the costs of veterans' education programs.
Source: Congressional Budget Office.

Mr. AKAKA. Madam President, as chairman of the Senate Committee on Veterans' Affairs, I am delighted to bring before the Senate S. 3447, the Post-9/11 Veterans' Educational Assistance Improvements Act of 2010.

This measure, together with the managers' amendment, is based on the legislation I introduced on May 27, 2010. Hearings were held on the bill on July 21, 2010, and on August 5, 2010, the committee ordered the measure to be reported. The committee's report was filed on October 26, 2010.

The purpose of this legislation is to make modifications in the program of educational assistance operated under chapter 33 of title 38, United States Code, for veterans who have served on active duty since September 11, 2001. It would provide for a streamlined, less complex, and more equitable program, and expand certain opportunities for training and education, including on-job and vocational training. It would also correct a number of inadvertent omissions and errors in the new chapter 33 program as enacted in 2008 as title V of Public Law 110-252, the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008.

As one who knows, from firsthand experience, the value of G.I. bill benefits

and how they can substantially enhance all aspects of an individual's life, I believe that it is critical that we move toward making this new G.I. bill for today's veterans the best that it can be. And that is what my bill is intended to do.

In 2008, I was pleased to join with our distinguished colleague from Virginia, Mr. WEBB, as a cosponsor of the original measure which established this new program. However, since the process leading to the enactment of this new program was outside the framework of the usual committee route for development and consideration of legislation, there are a number of issues with the new program that require legislative remedies. In addition, VA's yearlong experience with the program has made it clear that the new program is very complex, difficult to understand, and results in unintended inequities.

I worked with my colleagues here in the Senate, with the Department of Veterans' Affairs, and with various veteran and other organizations to craft legislation that addresses many of the problems and will, in addition, make additional valuable educational opportunities for veterans available through on-job and apprenticeship training. Be-

cause the details of the legislation are described in detail in the committee's report on S. 3447—Senate Report 111-34—I will just highlight a few of the provisions.

First, the bill would allow members of the National Guard and Reserve to benefit from the new program. These individuals—those activated under the authority of title 32 by orders of the President or the Secretary of Defense to support a national security mission—were inadvertently omitted from the program when it was enacted in 2008 and the pending measure would remedy this oversight.

Second, the bill would simplify the payments. Instead of a complex and sometimes inequitable benefits calculation based on a State-by-State determination, this measure would provide that if an individual is enrolled in a program of education at a public institution of higher learning, VA would pay the cost of tuition and fees. If an individual is enrolled in other than a public institution, VA would pay up to a national cap that will be adjusted annually based on increases in the cost of education. My measure sets the initial cap at \$17,500.

Third, the bill would expand the options available to individuals and provide that benefits could be paid for the pursuit of apprenticeship and on-job training as well as for pursuit of a vocational goal. This will open up the doors for valuable benefits for those who choose these options.

Fourth, the bill would expand the program to authorize the payment of the \$1,000 annual book allowance to individuals who are attending school while on active duty. It would also permit veterans and servicemembers to use benefits for multiple licensing and certification courses.

Finally, this measure would permit service-connected disabled veterans enrolled in a program of vocational rehabilitation under chapter 31 of title 38 who also have eligibility for the new Post-9/11 G.I. bill program to select under which program to receive a living or subsistence allowance. This will remove the economic incentive for an individual to forgo the valuable assistance available under the chapter 31 program in exchange for a higher living stipend under the chapter 33 program.

While I am pleased that we have been able to produce this package of improvements that will go a long way toward making the new program the best that it can be, I note that we still have much to do in this area. There are questions and issues that have not been addressed in this measure—such as how to ensure that veterans using their benefits are afforded a quality education, a 48-month cap on the total amount of benefits available under all the programs of educational assistance offered by VA, and the overall need to curb instances of fraud, abuse, and misuse of benefits. I am certain this list can only grow longer.

But this marks an important first step and I look forward to continuing to working with my colleagues here and in the House of Representatives as we continue to move through the legislative process to do what needs to be done.

In closing, I extend a note of personal thanks to the committee's distinguished ranking member from North Carolina, Mr. BURR, and his staff member, Amanda Meredith, whose hard work and dedication are much appreciated.

I urge the Senate to pass the pending measure.

The amendment (No. 4802) was agreed to, as follows:

On page 22, in the matter following line 17, insert after the item relating to section 2 the following:

Sec. 3. Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act compliance.

On page 23, between lines 6 and 7, insert the following:

SEC. 3. STATUTORY PAY-AS-YOU-GO ACT COMPLIANCE.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go-Act of 2010, shall be deter-

mined by reference to the latest statement titled "Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation" for this Act, submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairman of the Senate Budget Committee, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage.

On page 25, line 23, insert after the period the following: "However, no benefits otherwise payable by reason of such amendment for the period beginning on August 1, 2009, and ending on September 30, 2011, may be paid before October 1, 2011."

On page 29, line 3, strike "\$20,000" and insert "\$17,500".

On page 32, strike lines 5 through 8 and insert the following:

(c) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to amounts payable for educational assistance for pursuit of programs of education on or after that date.

(2) STIPEND FOR DISTANCE LEARNING ON MORE THAN HALF-TIME BASIS.—Clause (iii) of section 3313(c)(1)(B) of title 38, United States Code (as added by subsection (b)(2) of this section), shall take effect on October 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to amounts payable for educational assistance for pursuit of programs of education as covered by such clause on or after that date.

On page 33, strike line 7 and all that follows through page 34, line 8, and insert the following:

"(i) in the case of a program of education pursued at a public institution of higher learning, the actual net cost for in-State tuition and fees assessed by the institution for the program of education after the application of—

"(I) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

"(II) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070a)) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees;

"(ii) in the case of a program of education pursued at a non-public or foreign institution of higher learning, the lesser of—

"(I) the actual net cost for tuition and fees assessed by the institution for the program of education after the application of—

"(aa) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

"(bb) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees; or

"(II) the amount equal to—

"(aa) for the academic year beginning on August 1, 2011, \$17,500; or

"(bb) for an academic year beginning on any subsequent August 1, the amount for the previous academic year beginning on August 1 under this subclause, as increased by the percentage increase equal to the most recent percentage increase determined under section 3015(h); or"

On page 35, strike lines 12 through 17 and insert the following:

(c) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date that is

60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to amounts payable for educational assistance for pursuit of programs of education on or after such effective date.

(2) LUMP SUM FOR BOOKS AND OTHER EDUCATIONAL COSTS.—Subparagraph (B) of section 3313(e)(2) of title 38, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)(2)(E) of this section), shall take effect on October 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to amounts payable for educational assistance for pursuit of programs of education on or after that date.

On page 39, line 17, strike "\$20,000" and insert "\$17,500".

On page 45, line 24, strike "\$12,000" and insert "\$10,000".

On page 47, line 25, strike "\$10,000" and insert "\$8,500".

On page 51, line 13, strike "August 1, 2011" and insert "October 1, 2011".

On page 52, line 21, strike "\$1,667" and insert "\$1,460".

On page 54, line 20, strike "\$1,667" and insert "\$1,460".

On page 73, line 18, strike "August 1, 2011" and insert "October 1, 2011".

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended, was agreed to.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading and was read the third time.

Mr. DURBIN. I ask unanimous consent that the bill be passed and the motions to reconsider be laid upon the table, with no intervening action or debate and any statements be printed in the RECORD with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (S. 3447) was passed, as follows:

S. 3447

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Post-9/11 Veterans Educational Assistance Improvements Act of 2010".

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. Reference to title 38, United States Code.

Sec. 3. Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act compliance.

TITLE I—POST-9/11 VETERANS EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE

Sec. 101. Modification of entitlement to educational assistance.

Sec. 102. Amounts of assistance for programs of education leading to a degree pursued at public, non-public, and foreign institutions of higher learning.

Sec. 103. Amounts of assistance for programs of education leading to a degree pursued on active duty.

Sec. 104. Educational assistance for programs of education pursued on half-time basis or less.

Sec. 105. Educational assistance for programs of education other than programs of education leading to a degree.

Sec. 106. Determination of monthly housing stipend payments for academic years.

Sec. 107. Availability of assistance for licensure and certification tests.

Sec. 108. National tests.

Sec. 109. Continuation of entitlement to additional educational assistance for critical skills or specialty.

Sec. 110. Transfer of unused education benefits.

Sec. 111. Bar to duplication of certain educational assistance benefits.

Sec. 112. Technical amendments.

TITLE II—OTHER EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE MATTERS

Sec. 201. Extension of delimiting dates for use of educational assistance by primary caregivers of seriously injured veterans and members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 202. Limitations on receipt of educational assistance under National Call to Service and other programs of educational assistance.

Sec. 203. Approval of courses.

Sec. 204. Reporting fees.

Sec. 205. Election for receipt of alternate subsistence allowance for certain veterans with service-connected disabilities undergoing training and rehabilitation.

Sec. 206. Modification of authority to make certain interval payments.

SEC. 2. REFERENCE TO TITLE 38, UNITED STATES CODE.

Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this Act an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of title 38, United States Code.

SEC. 3. STATUTORY PAY-AS-YOU-GO ACT COMPLIANCE.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled "Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation" for this Act, submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairman of the Senate Budget Committee, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage.

TITLE I—POST-9/11 VETERANS EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE

SEC. 101. MODIFICATION OF ENTITLEMENT TO EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.

(a) MODIFICATION OF DEFINITIONS ON ELIGIBILITY FOR EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.—

(1) EXPANSION OF DEFINITION OF ACTIVE DUTY TO INCLUDE SERVICE IN NATIONAL GUARD FOR CERTAIN PURPOSES.—Paragraph (1) of section 3301 is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) In the case of a member of the Army National Guard of the United States or Air National Guard of the United States, in addition to service described in subparagraph (B), full-time service—

“(i) in the National Guard of a State for the purpose of organizing, administering, recruiting, instructing, or training the National Guard; or

“(ii) in the National Guard under section 502(f) of title 32 when authorized by the President or the Secretary of Defense for the purpose of responding to a national emergency declared by the President and supported by Federal funds.”.

(2) EXPANSION OF DEFINITION OF ARMY ENTRY LEVEL AND SKILL TRAINING TO INCLUDE ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING.—Paragraph (2)(A) of such section is amended by insert-

ing “or One Station Unit Training” before the period at the end.

(3) CLARIFICATION OF DEFINITION OF ENTRY LEVEL AND SKILL TRAINING FOR THE COAST GUARD.—Paragraph (2)(E) of such section is amended by inserting “and Skill Training (or so-called ‘A’ School)” before the period at the end.

(b) CLARIFICATION OF APPLICABILITY OF HONORABLE SERVICE REQUIREMENT FOR CERTAIN DISCHARGES AND RELEASES FROM THE ARMED FORCES AS BASIS FOR ENTITLEMENT TO EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.—Section 3311(c)(4) is amended in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) by striking “A discharge or release from active duty in the Armed Forces” and inserting “A discharge or release from active duty in the Armed Forces after service on active duty in the Armed Forces characterized by the Secretary concerned as honorable service”.

(c) EXCLUSION FROM PERIOD OF SERVICE ON ACTIVE DUTY OF PERIODS OF SERVICE IN CONNECTION WITH ATTENDANCE AT COAST GUARD ACADEMY.—Section 3311(d)(2) is amended by inserting “or section 182 of title 14” before the period at the end.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) SERVICE IN NATIONAL GUARD AS ACTIVE DUTY.—The amendment made by subsection (a)(1) shall take effect on August 1, 2009, as if included in the enactment of chapter 33 of title 38, United States Code, pursuant to the Post-9/11 Veterans Educational Assistance Act of 2008 (title V of Public Law 110-252). However, no benefits otherwise payable by reason of such amendment for the period beginning on August 1, 2009, and ending on September 30, 2011, may be paid before October 1, 2011.

(2) ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING.—The amendment made by subsection (a)(2) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) ENTRY LEVEL AND SKILL TRAINING FOR THE COAST GUARD.—The amendment made by subsection (a)(3) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to individuals entering service on or after that date.

(4) HONORABLE SERVICE REQUIREMENT.—The amendment made by subsection (b) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to discharges and releases from the Armed Forces that occur on or after that date.

(5) SERVICE IN CONNECTION WITH ATTENDANCE AT COAST GUARD ACADEMY.—The amendment made by subsection (c) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to individuals entering into agreements on service in the Coast Guard on or after that date.

SEC. 102. AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE FOR PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION LEADING TO A DEGREE PURSUED AT PUBLIC, NON-PUBLIC, AND FOREIGN INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING.

(a) AMOUNTS OF EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 3313(c) is amended—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “leading to a degree at an institution of higher learning (as that term is defined in section 3452(f))” after “program of education”; and

(B) in paragraph (1), by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following new subparagraph (A):

“(A) An amount equal to the following:

“(i) In the case of a program of education pursued at a public institution of higher learning, the actual net cost for in-State tuition and fees assessed by the institution for

the program of education after the application of—

“(I) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

“(II) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070a)) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees.

“(ii) In the case of a program of education pursued at a non-public or foreign institution of higher learning, the lesser of—

“(I) the actual net cost for tuition and fees assessed by the institution for the program of education after the application of—

“(aa) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

“(bb) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees; or

“(II) the amount equal to—

“(aa) for the academic year beginning on August 1, 2011, \$17,500; or

“(bb) for an academic year beginning on any subsequent August 1, the amount for the previous academic year beginning on August 1 under this subclause, as increased by the percentage increase equal to the most recent percentage increase determined under section 3015(h).”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows: “PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION LEADING TO A DEGREE PURSUED AT INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING ON MORE THAN HALF-TIME BASIS.”.

(b) AMOUNTS OF MONTHLY STIPENDS.—Section 3313(c)(1)(B) is amended—

(1) by redesignating clause (ii) as clause (iv); and

(2) by striking clause (i) and inserting the following new clauses:

“(i) Except as provided in clauses (ii) and (iii), for each month an individual pursues a program of education on more than a half-time basis, a monthly housing stipend equal to the product of—

“(I) the monthly amount of the basic allowance for housing payable under section 403 of title 37 for a member with dependents in pay grade E-5 residing in the military housing area that encompasses all or the majority portion of the ZIP code area in which is located the institution of higher learning at which the individual is enrolled, multiplied by

“(II) the lesser of—

“(aa) 1.0; or

“(bb) the number of course hours borne by the individual in pursuit of the program of education, divided by the minimum number of course hours required for full-time pursuit of the program of education, rounded to the nearest multiple of 10.

“(ii) In the case of an individual pursuing a program of education at a foreign institution of higher learning on more than a half-time basis, for each month the individual pursues the program of education, a monthly housing stipend equal to the product of—

“(I) the national average of the monthly amount of the basic allowance for housing payable under section 403 of title 37 for a member with dependents in pay grade E-5, multiplied by

“(II) the lesser of—

“(aa) 1.0; or

“(bb) the number of course hours borne by the individual in pursuit of the program of education, divided by the minimum number of course hours required for full-time pursuit of the program of education, rounded to the nearest multiple of 10.

“(iii) In the case of an individual pursuing a program of education solely through distance learning on more than a half-time basis, a monthly housing stipend equal to 50 percent of the amount payable under clause (ii) if the individual were otherwise entitled to a monthly housing stipend under that clause for pursuit of the program of education.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to amounts payable for educational assistance for pursuit of programs of education on or after that date.

(2) STIPEND FOR DISTANCE LEARNING ON MORE THAN HALF-TIME BASIS.—Clause (iii) of section 3313(c)(1)(B) of title 38, United States Code (as added by subsection (b)(2) of this section), shall take effect on October 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to amounts payable for educational assistance for pursuit of programs of education as covered by such clause on or after that date.

SEC. 103. AMOUNTS OF ASSISTANCE FOR PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION LEADING TO A DEGREE PURSUED ON ACTIVE DUTY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 3313(e) is amended—

(1) in paragraphs (1), by inserting “leading to a degree” after “approved program of education”;

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by inserting “leading to a degree” after “program of education”;

(B) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as clauses (i) and (iii), respectively;

(C) in the matter preceding clause (i), as redesignated by subparagraph (B) of this paragraph—

(i) by striking “The amount” and inserting “The amounts”; and

(ii) by striking “is the lesser of—” and inserting “are as follows:

“(A) Subject to subparagraph (C), an amount equal to the lesser of—”;

(D) by striking clause (i), as so redesignated, and inserting the following new clauses:

“(i) in the case of a program of education pursued at a public institution of higher learning, the actual net cost for in-State tuition and fees assessed by the institution for the program of education after the application of—

“(I) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

“(II) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070a)) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees;

“(ii) in the case of a program of education pursued at a non-public or foreign institution of higher learning, the lesser of—

“(I) the actual net cost for tuition and fees assessed by the institution for the program of education after the application of—

“(aa) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

“(bb) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid

or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees; or

“(II) the amount equal to—

“(aa) for the academic year beginning on August 1, 2011, \$17,500; or

“(bb) for an academic year beginning on any subsequent August 1, the amount for the previous academic year beginning on August 1 under this subclause, as increased by the percentage increase equal to the most recent percentage increase determined under section 3015(h); or”.

(E) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs (B) and (C):

“(B) Subject to subparagraph (C), for the first month of each quarter, semester, or term, as applicable, of the program of education pursued by the individual, a lump sum amount for books, supplies, equipment, and other educational costs with respect to such quarter, semester, or term in the amount equal to—

“(i) \$1,000, multiplied by

“(ii) the fraction of a complete academic year under the program of education that such quarter, semester, or term constitutes.

“(C) In the case of an individual entitled to educational assistance by reason of paragraphs (3) through (8) of section 3311(b), the amounts payable to the individual pursuant to subparagraphs (A)(i), (A)(ii), and (B) shall be the amounts otherwise determined pursuant to such subparagraphs multiplied by the same percentage applicable to the monthly amounts payable to the individual under paragraphs (2) through (7) of subsection (c).”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows: “PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION LEADING TO A DEGREE PURSUED ON ACTIVE DUTY ON MORE THAN HALF-TIME BASIS.—”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date that is 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to amounts payable for educational assistance for pursuit of programs of education on or after such effective date.

(2) LUMP SUM FOR BOOKS AND OTHER EDUCATIONAL COSTS.—Subparagraph (B) of section 3313(e)(2) of title 38, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)(2)(E) of this section), shall take effect on October 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to amounts payable for educational assistance for pursuit of programs of education on or after that date.

SEC. 104. EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION PURSUED ON HALF-TIME BASIS OR LESS.

(a) CLARIFICATION OF AVAILABILITY OF ASSISTANCE.—Section 3313(f) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by inserting before the period at the end the following: “whether a program of education pursued on active duty, a program of education leading to a degree, or a program of education other than a program of education leading to a degree”; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by inserting “covered by this subsection” after “program of education” in the matter preceding subparagraph (A).

(b) AMOUNT OF ASSISTANCE.—Clause (i) of paragraph (2)(A) of such section is amended to read as follows:

“(i) the actual net cost for in-State tuition and fees assessed by the institution of higher learning for the program of education after the application of—

“(I) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

“(II) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070a)) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees; or”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to amounts payable for educational assistance for pursuit of programs of education on or after that date.

SEC. 105. EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION OTHER THAN PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION LEADING TO A DEGREE.

(a) APPROVED PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION AT INSTITUTIONS OTHER THAN INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING.—Subsection (b) of section 3313 is amended by striking “is offered by an institution of higher learning (as that term is defined in section 3452(f)) and”.

(b) ASSISTANCE FOR PURSUIT OF PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION OTHER THAN PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION LEADING TO A DEGREE.—Such section is further amended—

(1) by striking subsection (h);

(2) by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection (h); and

(3) by inserting after subsection (f) the following new subsection (g):

“(g) PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION OTHER THAN PROGRAMS OF EDUCATION LEADING TO A DEGREE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Educational assistance is payable under this chapter for pursuit of an approved program of education other than a program of education leading to a degree at an institution other than an institution of higher learning (as that term is defined in section 3452(f)).

“(2) PURSUIT ON HALF-TIME BASIS OR LESS.—The payment of educational assistance under this chapter for pursuit of a program of education otherwise described in paragraph (1) on a half-time basis or less is governed by subsection (f).

“(3) AMOUNT OF ASSISTANCE.—The amounts of educational assistance payable under this chapter to an individual entitled to educational assistance under this chapter who is pursuing an approved program of education covered by this subsection are as follows:

“(A) In the case of an individual enrolled in a program of education (other than a program described in subparagraphs (B) through (D)) in pursuit of a certificate or other non-college degree, the following:

“(i) Subject to clause (iv), an amount equal to the lesser of—

“(I) the actual net cost for in-State tuition and fees assessed by the institution concerned for the program of education after the application of—

“(aa) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

“(bb) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070a)) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees; or

“(II) the amount equal to—

“(aa) for the academic year beginning on August 1, 2011, \$17,500; or

“(bb) for an academic year beginning on any subsequent August 1, the amount for the previous academic year beginning on August 1 under this subclause, as increased by the

percentage increase equal to the most recent percentage increase determined under section 3015(h).

“(ii) Except in the case of an individual pursuing a program of education on a half-time or less basis and subject to clause (iv), a monthly housing stipend equal to the product—

“(I) of—

“(aa) in the case of an individual pursuing resident training, the monthly amount of the basic allowance for housing payable under section 403 of title 37 for a member with dependents in pay grade E-5 residing in the military housing area that encompasses all or the majority portion of the ZIP code area in which is located the institution at which the individual is enrolled; or

“(bb) in the case of an individual pursuing a program of education through distance learning, a monthly amount equal to 50 percent of the amount payable under item (aa), multiplied by

“(II) the lesser of—

“(aa) 1.0; or

“(bb) the number of course hours borne by the individual in pursuit of the program of education involved, divided by the minimum number of course hours required for full-time pursuit of such program of education, rounded to the nearest multiple of 10.

“(iii) Subject to clause (iv), a monthly stipend in an amount equal to \$83 for each month (or pro rata amount for a partial month) of training pursued for books supplies, equipment, and other educational costs.

“(iv) In the case of an individual entitled to educational assistance by reason of paragraphs (3) through (8) of section 3311(b), the amounts payable pursuant to clauses (i), (ii), and (iii) shall be the amounts otherwise determined pursuant to such clauses multiplied by the same percentage applicable to the monthly amounts payable to the individual under paragraphs (2) through (7) of subsection (c).

“(B) In the case of an individual pursuing a full-time program of apprenticeship or other on-job training, amounts as follows:

“(i) Subject to clauses (iii) and (iv), for each month the individual pursues the program of education, a monthly housing stipend equal to—

“(I) during the first six-month period of the program, the monthly amount of the basic allowance for housing payable under section 403 of title 37 for a member with dependents in pay grade E-5 residing in the military housing area that encompasses all or the majority portion of the ZIP code area in which is located the employer at which the individual pursues such program;

“(II) during the second six-month period of the program, 80 percent of the monthly amount of the basic allowance for housing payable as described in subclause (I);

“(III) during the third six-month period of the program, 60 percent of the monthly amount of the basic allowance for housing payable as described in subclause (I);

“(IV) during the fourth six-month period of such program, 40 percent of the monthly amount of the basic allowance for housing payable as described in subclause (I); and

“(V) during any month after the first 24 months of such program, 20 percent of the monthly amount of the basic allowance for housing payable as described in subclause (I).

“(ii) Subject to clauses (iii) and (iv), a monthly stipend in an amount equal to \$83 for each month (or pro rata amount for each partial month) of training pursued for books supplies, equipment, and other educational costs.

“(iii) In the case of an individual entitled to educational assistance by reason of paragraphs (3) through (8) of sections 3311(b), the amounts payable pursuant to clauses (i) and (ii) shall be the amounts otherwise determined pursuant to such clauses multiplied by the same percentage applicable to the monthly amounts payable to the individual under paragraphs (2) through (7) of subsection (c).

“(iv) In any month in which an individual pursuing a program of education consisting of a program of apprenticeship or other on-job training fails to complete 120 hours of training, the amount of monthly educational assistance allowance payable under clauses (i) and (iii) to the individual shall be limited to the same proportion of the applicable rate determined under this subparagraph as the number of hours worked during such month, rounded to the nearest eight hours, bears to 120 hours.

“(C) In the case of an individual enrolled in a program of education consisting of flight training (regardless of the institution providing such program of education), an amount equal to—

“(i) the lesser of—

“(I) the actual net cost for in-State tuition and fees assessed by the institution concerned for the program of education after the application of—

“(aa) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

“(bb) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees; or

“(II) the amount equal to—

“(aa) for the academic year beginning on August 1, 2011, \$10,000; or

“(bb) for an academic year beginning on any subsequent August 1, the amount for the previous academic year beginning on August 1 under this subclause, as increased by the percentage increase equal to the most recent percentage increase determined under section 3015(h), multiplied by—

“(i) either—

“(I) in the case of an individual entitled to educational assistance by reason of paragraphs (1), (2), or (9) of section 3311(b), 100 percent; or

“(II) in the case of an individual entitled to educational assistance by reason of paragraphs (3) through (8) of section 3311(b), the same percentage as would otherwise apply to the monthly amounts payable to the individual under paragraphs (2) through (7) of subsection (c).

“(D) In the case of an individual enrolled in a program of education that is pursued exclusively by correspondence (regardless of the institution providing such program of education), an amount equal to—

“(i) the lesser of—

“(I) the actual net cost for tuition and fees assessed by the institution concerned for the program of education after the application of—

“(aa) any waiver of, or reduction in, tuition and fees; and

“(bb) any scholarship, or other Federal, State, institutional, or employer-based aid or assistance (other than loans and any funds provided under section 401(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965) that is provided directly to the institution and specifically designated for the sole purpose of defraying tuition and fees.

“(II) the amount equal to—

“(aa) for the academic year beginning on August 1, 2011, \$8,500; or

“(bb) for an academic year beginning on any subsequent August 1, the amount for the previous academic year beginning on August 1 under this subclause, as increased by the percentage increase equal to the most recent percentage increase determined under section 3015(h), multiplied by—

“(ii) either—

“(I) in the case of an individual entitled to educational assistance by reason of paragraphs (1), (2), or (9) of section 3311(b), 100 percent; or

“(II) in the case of an individual entitled to educational assistance by reason of paragraphs (3) through (8) of section 3311(b), the same percentage as would otherwise apply to the monthly amounts payable to the individual under paragraphs (2) through (7) of subsection (c).

“(4) FREQUENCY OF PAYMENT.—

“(A) QUARTER, SEMESTER, OR TERM PAYMENTS.—Payment of the amounts payable under paragraph (3)(A)(i) for pursuit of a program of education shall be made for the entire quarter, semester, or term, as applicable, of the program of education.

“(B) MONTHLY PAYMENTS.—Payment of the amounts payable under paragraphs (3)(A)(ii) and (3)(B)(i) for pursuit of a program of education shall be made on a monthly basis.

“(C) LUMP SUM PAYMENTS.—

“(i) Payment for the amount payable under paragraphs (3)(A)(iii) and (3)(B)(ii) shall be paid to the individual for the first month of each quarter, semester, or term, as applicable, of the program of education pursued by the individual.

“(ii) Payment of the amount payable under paragraph (3)(C) for pursuit of a program of education shall be made upon receipt of certification for training completed by the individual and serviced by the training facility.

“(D) QUARTERLY PAYMENTS.—Payment of the amounts payable under paragraph (3)(D) for pursuit of a program of education shall be made quarterly on a pro rata basis for the lessons completed by the individual and serviced by the institution.

“(5) CHARGE AGAINST ENTITLEMENT FOR CERTIFICATE AND OTHER NON-COLLEGE DEGREE PROGRAMS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of amounts paid under paragraph (3)(A)(i) for pursuit of a program of education, the charge against entitlement to educational assistance under this chapter of the individual for whom such payment is made shall be one month for each of—

“(i) the amount so paid, divided by

“(ii) subject to subparagraph (B), the amount equal to one-twelfth of the amount applicable in the academic year in which the payment is made under paragraph (3)(A)(i)(II).

“(B) PRO RATA ADJUSTMENT BASED ON CERTAIN ELIGIBILITY.—If the amount otherwise payable with respect to an individual under paragraph (3)(A)(i) is subject to a percentage adjustment under paragraph (3)(A)(iv), the amount applicable with respect to the individual under subparagraph (A)(ii) shall be the amount otherwise determined pursuant to such subparagraph subject to a percentage adjustment equal to the percentage adjustment applicable with respect to the individual under paragraph (3)(A)(iv).”

(c) PAYMENT OF AMOUNTS TO EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS.—Subsection (h) of section 3313, as redesignated by subsection (b)(2) of this section, is amended by inserting “, and under subparagraphs (A)(i), (C), and (D) of subsection (g)(3),” after “(f)(2)(A).”

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on October 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to amounts payable for educational assistance for pursuit of programs of education on or after that date.

SEC. 106. DETERMINATION OF MONTHLY HOUSING STIPEND PAYMENTS FOR ACADEMIC YEARS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 3313, as amended by this Act, is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(i) **DETERMINATION OF HOUSING STIPEND PAYMENTS FOR ACADEMIC YEARS.**—Any monthly housing stipend payable under this section during the academic year beginning on August 1 of a calendar year shall be determined utilizing rates for basic allowances for housing payable under section 403 of title 37 in effect as of January 1 of such calendar year.”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on August 1, 2011.

SEC. 107. AVAILABILITY OF ASSISTANCE FOR LICENSURE AND CERTIFICATION TESTS.

(a) **AVAILABILITY OF ASSISTANCE FOR ADDITIONAL TESTS.**—Subsection (a) of section 3315 is amended by striking “one licensing or certification test” and inserting “licensing or certification tests”.

(b) **CHARGE AGAINST ENTITLEMENT FOR RECEIPT OF ASSISTANCE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (c) of such section is amended to read as follows:

“(c) **CHARGE AGAINST ENTITLEMENT.**—The charge against an individual’s entitlement under this chapter for payment for a licensing or certification test shall be determined at the rate of one month (rounded to the nearest whole month) for each amount paid that equals—

“(1) for the academic year beginning on August 1, 2011, \$1,460; or

“(2) for an academic year beginning on any subsequent August 1, the amount for the previous academic year beginning on August 1 under this subsection, as increased by the percentage increase equal to the most recent percentage increase determined under section 3015(h).”.

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Subsection (b) of such section is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “or” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking the period and inserting “; or”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) the amount of entitlement available to the individual under this chapter at the time of payment for the test under this section.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to licensure and certification tests taken on or after that date.

SEC. 108. NATIONAL TESTS.

(a) **NATIONAL TESTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 33 is amended by inserting after section 3315 the following new section:

“§ 3315A. National tests

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—An individual entitled to educational assistance under this chapter shall also be entitled to educational assistance for the following:

“(1) A national test for admission to an institution of higher learning as described in the last sentence of section 3452(b).

“(2) A national test providing an opportunity for course credit at an institution of higher learning as so described.

“(b) **AMOUNT.**—The amount of educational assistance payable under this chapter for a

test described in subsection (a) is the lesser of—

“(1) the fee charged for the test; or

“(2) the amount of entitlement available to the individual under this chapter at the time of payment for the test under this section.

“(c) **CHARGE AGAINST ENTITLEMENT.**—The number of months of entitlement charged an individual under this chapter for a test described in subsection (a) shall be determined at the rate of one month (rounded to the nearest whole month) for each amount paid that equals—

“(1) for the academic year beginning on August 1, 2011, \$1,460; or

“(2) for an academic year beginning on any subsequent August 1, the amount for the previous academic year beginning on August 1 under this subsection, as increased by the percentage increase equal to the most recent percentage increase determined under section 3015(h).”.

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 33 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 3315 the following new item:

“3315A. National tests.”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to national tests taken on or after that date.

SEC. 109. CONTINUATION OF ENTITLEMENT TO ADDITIONAL EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR CRITICAL SKILLS OR SPECIALTY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 3316 is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (e); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection (c):

“(c) **CONTINUATION OF INCREASED EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—An individual who made an election to receive educational assistance under this chapter pursuant to section 5003(c)(1)(A) of the Post-9/11 Veterans Educational Assistance Act of 2008 (38 U.S.C. 3301 note) and who, at the time of the election, was entitled to increased educational assistance under section 3015(d) or section 16131(i) of title 10 shall remain entitled to increased educational assistance in the utilization of the individual’s entitlement to educational assistance under this chapter.

“(2) **RATE.**—The monthly rate of increased educational assistance payable to an individual under paragraph (1) shall be—

“(A) the rate of educational assistance otherwise payable to the individual under section 3015(d) or section 16131(i) of title 10, as the case may be, had the individual not made the election described in paragraph (1), multiplied by

“(B) the lesser of—

“(i) 1.0; or

“(ii) the number of course hours borne by the individual in pursuit of the program of education involved divided by the minimum number of course hours required for full-time pursuit of the program of education, rounded to the nearest multiple of 10.

“(3) **FREQUENCY OF PAYMENT.**—Payment of the amounts payable under paragraph (1) during pursuit of a program of education shall be made on a monthly basis.”.

(b) **CLARIFICATION ON FUNDING OF INCREASED ASSISTANCE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Such section is further amended by inserting after subsection (c), as added by subsection (a)(2) of this section, the following new subsection:

“(d) **FUNDING.**—Payments for increased educational assistance under this section shall be made from the Department of De-

fense Education Benefits Fund under section 2006 of title 10 or from appropriations available to the Department of Homeland Security for that purpose, as applicable.”.

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Section 2006(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1), by inserting “or 33” after “chapter 30”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(E) The present value of any future benefits payable from the Fund for amounts attributable to increased amounts of educational assistance authorized by section 3316 of title 38.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011.

SEC. 110. TRANSFER OF UNUSED EDUCATION BENEFITS.

(a) **AVAILABILITY OF TRANSFER AUTHORITY FOR MEMBERS OF PHS AND NOAA.**—Section 3319 is amended—

(1) by striking “Armed Forces” each place it appears (other than in subsection (a)) and inserting “uniformed services”; and

(2) by striking subsection (k).

(b) **SCOPE AND EXERCISE OF AUTHORITY.**—Subsection (a) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking “Subject to the provisions of this section,” and all that follows through “to permit” and inserting “(1) Subject to the provisions of this section, the Secretary concerned may permit”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) The purpose of the authority in paragraph (1) is to promote recruitment and retention in the uniformed services. The Secretary concerned may exercise the authority for that purpose when authorized by the Secretary of Defense in the national security interests of the United States.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011.

SEC. 111. BAR TO DUPLICATION OF CERTAIN EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE BENEFITS.

(a) **BAR TO CONCURRENT RECEIPT OF TRANSFERRED EDUCATION BENEFITS AND MARINE GUNNERY SERGEANT JOHN DAVID FRY SCHOLARSHIP ASSISTANCE.**—Section 3322 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) **BAR TO CONCURRENT RECEIPT OF TRANSFERRED EDUCATION BENEFITS AND MARINE GUNNERY SERGEANT JOHN DAVID FRY SCHOLARSHIP ASSISTANCE.**—An individual entitled to educational assistance under both sections 3311(b)(9) and 3319 may not receive assistance under both provisions concurrently, but shall elect (in such form and manner as the Secretary may prescribe) under which provision to receive educational assistance.”.

(b) **BAR TO RECEIPT OF COMPENSATION AND PENSION AND MARINE GUNNERY SERGEANT JOHN DAVID FRY SCHOLARSHIP ASSISTANCE.**—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) **BAR TO RECEIPT OF COMPENSATION AND PENSION AND MARINE GUNNERY SERGEANT JOHN DAVID FRY SCHOLARSHIP ASSISTANCE.**—The commencement of a program of education under section 3311(b)(9) shall be a bar to the following:

“(1) Subsequent payments of dependency and indemnity compensation or pension based on the death of a parent to an eligible person over the age of 18 years by reason of pursuing a course in an educational institution.

“(2) Increased rates, or additional amounts, of compensation, dependency and indemnity compensation, or pension because of such a person, whether eligibility is based upon the death of the parent.”.

(c) **BAR TO CONCURRENT RECEIPT OF TRANSFERRED EDUCATION BENEFITS.**—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) **BAR TO CONCURRENT RECEIPT OF TRANSFERRED EDUCATION BENEFITS.**—A spouse or child who is entitled to educational assistance under this chapter based on a transfer of entitlement from more than one individual under section 3319 may not receive assistance based on transfers from more than one such individual concurrently, but shall elect (in such form and manner as the Secretary may prescribe) under which source to utilize such assistance at any one time.”.

(d) **BAR TO DUPLICATION OF ELIGIBILITY BASED ON A SINGLE EVENT.**—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(h) **BAR TO DUPLICATION OF ELIGIBILITY BASED ON A SINGLE EVENT OR PERIOD OF SERVICE.**—

“(1) **ACTIVE-DUTY SERVICE.**—An individual with qualifying service in the Armed Forces that establishes eligibility on the part of such individual for educational assistance under this chapter, chapter 30 or 32 of this title, and chapter 1606 or 1607 of title 10, shall elect (in such form and manner as the Secretary may prescribe) under which authority such service is to be credited.

“(2) **ELIGIBILITY FOR EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE BASED ON PARENT'S SERVICE.**—A child of a member of the Armed Forces who, on or after September 11, 2001, dies in the line of duty while serving on active duty, who is eligible for educational assistance under either section 3311(b)(9) or chapter 35 of this title based on the parent's death may not receive such assistance under both this chapter and chapter 35 of this title, but shall elect (in such form and manner as the Secretary may prescribe) under which chapter to receive such assistance.”.

(e) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011.

SEC. 112. TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.

(a) **SECTION 3313.**—Section 3313 is amended—

(1) by striking “higher education” each place it appears and inserting “higher learning”; and

(2) in clause (iii) of subparagraph (A) of subsection (e)(2), as redesignated by section 103(a)(2) of this Act, by adding a period at the end.

(b) **SECTION 3319.**—Section 3319(b)(2) is amended by striking “to section (k)” and inserting “to subsection (j)”.

(c) **SECTION 3323.**—Section 3323(a) is amended by striking “section 3034(a)(1)” and inserting “sections 3034(a)(1) and 3680(c)”.

TITLE II—OTHER EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE MATTERS

SEC. 201. EXTENSION OF DELIMITING DATES FOR USE OF EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE BY PRIMARY CAREGIVERS OF SERIOUSLY INJURED VETERANS AND MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) **ALL-VOLUNTEER FORCE EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.**—Subsection (d) of section 3031 is amended to read as follows:

“(d)(1) In the case of an individual eligible for educational assistance under this chapter who is prevented from pursuing the individual's chosen program of education before the expiration of the 10-year period for the use of

entitlement under this chapter otherwise applicable under this section because of a physical or mental disability which is not the result of the individual's own willful misconduct, such 10-year period—

“(A) shall not run during the period the individual is so prevented from pursuing such program; and

“(B) shall again begin running on the first day after the individual's recovery from such disability on which it is reasonably feasible, as determined under regulations prescribed by the Secretary, for the individual to initiate or resume pursuit of a program of education with educational assistance under this chapter.

“(2)(A) Subject to subparagraph (B), in the case of an individual eligible for educational assistance under this chapter who is prevented from pursuing the individual's chosen program of education before the expiration of the 10-year period for the use of entitlement under this chapter otherwise applicable under this section by reason of acting as the primary provider of personal care services for a veteran or member of the Armed Forces under section 1720G(a) of this title, such 10-year period—

“(i) shall not run during the period the individual is so prevented from pursuing such program; and

“(ii) shall again begin running on the first day after the date of the recovery of the veteran or member from the injury, or the date on which the individual ceases to be the primary provider of personal care services for the veteran or member, whichever is earlier, on which it is reasonably feasible, as so determined, for the individual to initiate or resume pursuit of a program of education with educational assistance under this chapter.

“(B) Subparagraph (A) shall not apply with respect to the period of an individual as a primary provider of personal care services if the period concludes with the revocation of the individual's designation as such a primary provider under section 1720G(a)(7)(D) of this title.”.

(b) **CERTAIN TRANSFEREES OF POST-9/11 EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.**—Paragraph (5) of section 3319(h) is amended to read as follows:

“(5) **LIMITATION ON AGE OF USE BY CHILD TRANSFEREES.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—A child to whom entitlement is transferred under this section may use the benefits transferred without regard to the 15-year delimiting date specified in section 3321, but may not, except as provided in subparagraph (B), use any benefits so transferred after attaining the age of 26 years.

“(B) **PRIMARY CAREGIVERS OF SERIOUSLY INJURED MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND VETERANS.**—

“(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to clause (ii), in the case of a child who, before attaining the age of 26 years, is prevented from pursuing a chosen program of education by reason of acting as the primary provider of personal care services for a veteran or member of the Armed Forces under section 1720G(a), the child may use the benefits beginning on the date specified in clause (iii) for a period whose length is specified in clause (iv).

“(ii) **INAPPLICABILITY FOR REVOCATION.**—Clause (i) shall not apply with respect to the period of an individual as a primary provider of personal care services if the period concludes with the revocation of the individual's designation as such a primary provider under section 1720G(a)(7)(D).

“(iii) **DATE FOR COMMENCEMENT OF USE.**—The date specified in this clause for the beginning of the use of benefits by a child under clause (i) is the later of—

“(I) the date on which the child ceases acting as the primary provider of personal care services for the veteran or member concerned as described in clause (i);

“(II) the date on which it is reasonably feasible, as determined under regulations prescribed by the Secretary, for the child to initiate or resume the use of benefits; or

“(III) the date on which the child attains the age of 26 years.

“(iv) **LENGTH OF USE.**—The length of the period specified in this clause for the use of benefits by a child under clause (i) is the length equal to the length of the period that—

“(I) begins on the date on which the child begins acting as the primary provider of personal care services for the veteran or member concerned as described in clause (i); and

“(II) ends on the later of—

“(aa) the date on which the child ceases acting as the primary provider of personal care services for the veteran or member as described in clause (i); or

“(bb) the date on which it is reasonably feasible, as so determined, for the child to initiate or resume the use of benefits.”.

(c) **SURVIVORS' AND DEPENDENTS' EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.**—Subsection (c) of section 3512 is amended to read as follows:

“(c)(1) Notwithstanding subsection (a) and subject to paragraph (2), an eligible person may be afforded educational assistance beyond the age limitation applicable to the person under such subsection if—

“(A) the person suspends pursuit of such person's program of education after having enrolled in such program within the time period applicable to such person under such subsection;

“(B) the person is unable to complete such program after the period of suspension and before attaining the age limitation applicable to the person under such subsection; and

“(C) the Secretary finds that the suspension was due to either of the following:

“(i) The actions of the person as the primary provider of personal care services for a veteran or member of the Armed Forces under section 1720G(a) of this title.

“(ii) Conditions otherwise beyond the control of the person.

“(2) Paragraph (1) shall not apply with respect to the period of an individual as a primary provider of personal care services if the period concludes with the revocation of the individual's designation as such a primary provider under section 1720G(a)(7)(D) of this title.

“(3) Educational assistance may not be afforded a person under paragraph (1) after the earlier of—

“(A) the age limitation applicable to the person under subsection (a), plus a period of time equal to the period the person was required to suspend pursuit of the person's program of education as described in paragraph (1); or

“(B) the date of the person's thirty-first birthday.”.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011, and shall apply with respect to preventions and suspension of pursuit of programs of education that commence on or after that date.

SEC. 202. LIMITATIONS ON RECEIPT OF EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE UNDER NATIONAL CALL TO SERVICE AND OTHER PROGRAMS OF EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.

(a) **BAR TO DUPLICATION OF EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE BENEFITS.**—Section 3322(a) is amended by inserting “or section 510” after “or 1607”.

(b) LIMITATION ON CONCURRENT RECEIPT OF EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.—Section 3681(b)(2) is amended by inserting “and section 510” after “and 107”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011.

SEC. 203. APPROVAL OF COURSES.

(a) CONSTRUCTIVE APPROVAL OF CERTAIN COURSES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 3672(b) is amended—

(A) by inserting “(1)” after “(b)”;

(B) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2)(A) Subject to sections 3675(b)(1) and (b)(2), 3680A, 3684, and 3696 of this title, the following programs are deemed to be approved for purposes of this chapter:

“(i) An accredited standard college degree program offered at a public or not-for-profit proprietary educational institution that is accredited by an agency or association recognized for that purpose by the Secretary of Education.

“(ii) A flight training course approved by the Federal Aviation Administration that is offered by a certified pilot school that possesses a valid Federal Aviation Administration pilot school certificate.

“(iii) An apprenticeship program registered with the Office of Apprenticeship (OA) of the Employment Training Administration of the Department of Labor or a State apprenticeship agency recognized by the Office of Apprenticeship pursuant to the Act of August 16, 1937 (popularly known as the ‘National Apprenticeship Act’; 29 U.S.C. 50 et seq.).

“(iv) A program leading to a secondary school diploma offered by a secondary school approved in the State in which it is operating.

“(B) A licensure test offered by a Federal, State, or local government is deemed to be approved for purposes of this chapter.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) Paragraph (3) of section 3034(d) is amended to read as follows:

“(3) the flight school courses are approved by the Federal Aviation Administration and are offered by a certified pilot school that possesses a valid Federal Aviation Administration pilot school certificate.”.

(B) Section 3671(b)(2) is amended by striking “In the case” and inserting “Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, in the case”.

(C) Section 3689(a)(1) is amended by inserting after “unless” the following: “the test is deemed approved by section 3672(b)(2)(B) of this title or”.

(b) USE OF STATE APPROVING AGENCIES FOR COMPLIANCE AND OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES.—Section 3673 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) USE OF STATE APPROVING AGENCIES FOR COMPLIANCE AND OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES.—The Secretary may utilize the services of a State approving agency for such compliance and oversight purposes as the Secretary considers appropriate without regard to whether the Secretary or the agency approved the courses offered in the State concerned.”.

(c) APPROVAL OF ACCREDITED COURSES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a)(1) of section 3675 is amended by striking “A State approving agency may approve the courses offered by an educational institution” and inserting “The Secretary or a State approving agency may approve accredited programs (including non-degree accredited programs) offered by proprietary for-profit educational institutions”.

(2) CONDITION OF APPROVAL.—Subsection (b) of such section is amended—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “the Secretary or” after “this section,”; and

(B) is amended by inserting “the Secretary or” after “as prescribed by”.

(d) DISAPPROVAL OF COURSES.—Section 3679(a) is amended by inserting “the Secretary or” after “disapproved by” both places it appears.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011.

SEC. 204. REPORTING FEES.

(a) INCREASE IN AMOUNT OF FEES.—Section 3684(c) is amended—

(1) by striking “multiplying \$7” and inserting “multiplying \$12”; and

(2) by striking “or \$11” and inserting “or \$15”.

(b) USE OF FEES PAID.—Such section is further amended by inserting after the fourth sentence the following new sentence: “Any reporting fee paid an educational institution or joint apprenticeship training committee after the date of the enactment of the Post-9/11 Veterans Educational Assistance Improvements Act of 2011 shall be utilized by such institution or committee solely for the making of certifications required under this chapter or chapter 31, 34, or 35 of this title or for otherwise supporting programs for veterans.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on October 1, 2011.

SEC. 205. ELECTION FOR RECEIPT OF ALTERNATE SUBSISTENCE ALLOWANCE FOR CERTAIN VETERANS WITH SERVICE-CONNECTED DISABILITIES UNDERGOING TRAINING AND REHABILITATION.

(a) ELECTION AUTHORIZED.—Section 3108(b) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) A veteran entitled to a subsistence allowance under this chapter and educational assistance under chapter 33 of this title may elect to receive payment from the Secretary in lieu of an amount otherwise determined by the Secretary under this subsection in an amount equal to the applicable monthly amount of basic allowance for housing payable under section 403 of title 37 for a member with dependents in pay grade E-5 residing in the military housing area that encompasses all or the majority portion of the ZIP code area in which is located the institution providing rehabilitation program concerned.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011.

SEC. 206. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO MAKE CERTAIN INTERVAL PAYMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The flush matter following clause (3)(B) of section 3680(a) is amended by striking “of this subsection—” and all that follows and inserting “of this subsection during periods when schools are temporarily closed under an established policy based on an Executive order of the President or due to an emergency situation. However, the total number of weeks for which allowances may continue to be so payable in any 12-month period may not exceed 4 weeks.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2011.

KINGMAN AND HERITAGE ISLANDS ACT OF 2010

Mr. DURBIN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of H.R. 6278, which was received from the House and is at the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 6278) to amend the National Children's Island Act of 1995 to expand allowable uses for Kingman and Heritage Islands by the District of Columbia, and for other purposes.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. LIEBERMAN. Madam President, I rise today to speak about the Kingman and Heritage Islands Act of 2010, H.R. 6278. In particular, I wish to describe the legislative history and intent of this act. Earlier this year, essentially identical legislation, H.R. 2092, passed the House and the Senate but has not been enacted for reasons that I will address in this statement. Therefore, the House and Senate committee reports describing H.R. 2092 should be referenced as applicable legislative history for H.R. 6278.

D.C. Delegate ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON introduced H.R. 2092, The Kingman and Heritage Islands Act of 2009, on April 23, 2009. The bill was considered by the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform on September 10, 2009, and ordered reported favorably with an amendment. On October 7, 2009, H.R. 2092 passed the House of Representatives under suspension of the rules and the subsequent day, H.R. 2092 was referred to the Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee. The committee considered the bill on May 17, 2010, and ordered the bill reported to the full Senate with one amendment. On September 27, 2010, the Senate passed H.R. 2092 by unanimous consent. Due to a mistake, however, the version that the Senate passed on September 27 contained a few drafting errors and did not reflect all of the modifications that our committee had intended.

Rather than seek the enactment of the Senate-passed version of H.R. 2092, and in order to avoid any confusion among the government entities responsible for implementing the bill, Delegate NORTON subsequently introduced H.R. 6278 with the support of the chairman and ranking member of the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform. H.R. 6278 consists of the text of H.R. 2092 as my committee had amended it and intended it to pass in the Senate. Delegate NORTON introduced H.R. 6278 on September 29, 2010, and it passed the House of Representatives on November 16, 2010, under suspension of the rules. After being received in the Senate, the bill was held at the desk and today it will pass the Senate by unanimous consent.

Because neither the relevant House nor Senate committee had the opportunity to file committee reports describing H.R. 6278, the committee reports describing H.R. 2092 should be considered as applicable legislative history for this bill. The report filed by the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform report is House Report 111-275 and the report filed by the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs is Senate Report 111-300. In the remainder of my statement I further describe the background and intent of the legislation.

The Kingman and Heritage Islands Act of 2010 would allow the District of Columbia to use all of Heritage Island and portions of Kingman Island—the Islands—for recreational, environmental and educational uses. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers created Kingman and Heritage Islands in the District of Columbia from sediment dredged from the Anacostia River.

Until the enactment in 1996 of the National Children's Island Act of 1995—the act—the National Park Service held administrative jurisdiction on both islands. The act required the Federal Government to transfer title of the islands to the District of Columbia for use as a children's recreational park. It also mandated that title to the islands would revert back to the Federal Government if the District did not use the land in a manner consistent with the purposes specified in the act. The Secretary of the Interior transferred title of the Islands to the District via quitclaim deed in January 1997. In 2000, the Secretary of Interior wrote the District a letter stating that the District had fully lived up to the letter and spirit of the act and that DC should retain title to the Islands.

DC has not developed a children's recreational park on the Islands, and since that time, it has re-evaluated its needs and interests and no longer seeks to use the Islands for that purpose. In 2003, in accordance with a memorandum of understanding between DC and several Federal agencies, including the NPS, the District developed the Anacostia Waterfront Framework Plan—Waterfront Plan—to redevelop and revitalize the Anacostia waterfront. The Waterfront Plan envisions using the islands for nature-themed exhibitions and educational projects. The District also has developed a Comprehensive Plan to serve as a general policy document to provide overall guidance for future planning and development in DC.

Recently, the District has taken steps toward implementing the Waterfront Plan. In the summer of 2007, the Anacostia Waterfront Corporation, now part of the DC Office of the Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development, partnered with a number of other organizations to begin using the

Islands to host environmental programs and cleanup. Since May 2008, Living Classrooms, a nonprofit educational organization with a focus on experiential learning, has been managing the islands and running programs there, including educational programming and volunteer events aimed at restoring the Islands.

To ensure that the activities DC has authorized do not raise any questions about its title to the Islands, the District has asked Congress to amend the act to sanction the broader work now occurring on the Islands. H.R. 6278 would do just that, by amending the National Children's Island Act in order to allow the District to conduct recreational, environmental and educational activities on the Islands, consistent with the Waterfront Plan and the District's Comprehensive Plan. If any portion of the land is used for an activity that is not recreational, environmental or educational, the reversionary process as outlined in the bill could begin.

Mr. DURBIN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be read three times and passed, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, with no intervening action or debate, and any statements relating to the bill be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 6278) was ordered to a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

MEASURE PLACED ON THE CALENDAR—S. 4023

Mr. DURBIN. Madam President, I understand that S. 4023 is at the desk and is due for a second reading.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will read the title of the bill for the second time.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 4023) to provide for the repeal of the Department of Defense policy concerning homosexuality in the Armed Forces known as "Don't Ask, Don't Tell."

Mr. DURBIN. Madam President, I would object to any further proceedings with respect to the bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection having been heard, the bill will be placed on the calendar.

ORDERS FOR TUESDAY, DECEMBER 14, 2010

Mr. DURBIN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it adjourn until 10 a.m. on Tuesday, December 14; that following the prayer and pledge, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, the morning hour be deemed expired, the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day; that following any leader remarks, the Senate resume consider-

ation of the motion to concur with respect to H.R. 4853, the vehicles for the tax compromise, postcloture; further, I ask that the Senate recess from 12:30 p.m. until 2:15 p.m. to allow for the weekly caucus lunches, and that any time during any period of morning business, recess, or adjournment count postcloture.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PROGRAM

Mr. DURBIN. Madam President, the postcloture debate time will expire around midnight tomorrow night. Senators will be notified when any votes are scheduled tomorrow.

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL 10 A.M. TOMORROW

Mr. DURBIN. Madam President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that it adjourn under the previous order.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 6:36 p.m., adjourned until Tuesday, December 14, 2010, at 10 a.m.

NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate:

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

PETER BRUCE LYONS, OF NEW MEXICO, TO BE AN ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF ENERGY (NUCLEAR ENERGY), VICE WARREN F. MILLER, JR., RESIGNED.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

DAVID BRUCE SHEAR, OF NEW YORK, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF MINISTER-COUNSELOR, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Socialist Republic of Vietnam.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE

STEPHANIE O'SULLIVAN, OF VIRGINIA, TO BE PRINCIPAL DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE, VICE DAVID C. GOMPERT, RESIGNED.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

DENISE ELLEN O'DONNELL, OF NEW YORK, TO BE DIRECTOR OF THE BUREAU OF JUSTICE ASSISTANCE, VICE DOMINGO S. HERRAIZ, RESIGNED.

IN THE AIR FORCE

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 624:

To be brigadier general

COL. TIMOTHY T. JEX

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 624:

To be brigadier general

COLONEL DONALD J. BACON
COLONEL WARREN D. BERRY
COLONEL CASEY D. BLAKE
COLONEL MARK ANTHONY BROWN
COLONEL STEPHEN A. CLARK
COLONEL ANTHONY J. COTTON
COLONEL THOMAS H. DEALE
COLONEL STEPHEN T. DENKER
COLONEL JOHN L. DOLAN
COLONEL MICHAEL E. FORTNEY
COLONEL PETER E. GERSTEN
COLONEL ROBERT P. GIVENS
COLONEL THOMAS F. GOULD
COLONEL TIMOTHY S. GREEN
COLONEL GINA M. GROSSO
COLONEL JOSEPH T. GUASTELLA, JR.
COLONEL DAVID A. HARRIS
COLONEL DARYL J. HAUCK

December 13, 2010

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—SENATE, Vol. 156, Pt. 14

19741

COLONEL JOHN M. HICKS
COLONEL JOHN P. HORNER
COLONEL CHARLES K. HYDE
COLONEL PATRICK C. MALACKOWSKI
COLONEL JAMES R. MARRS
COLONEL LAWRENCE M. MARTIN, JR.
COLONEL JEFFREY R. MCDANIELS
COLONEL MARK M. MCLEOD
COLONEL JOHN K. MCMULLEN
COLONEL LINDA R. MEDLER
COLONEL MATTHEW H. MOLLOY

COLONEL MICHAEL T. PLEHN
COLONEL MARGARET B. POORE
COLONEL THOMAS J. SHARPY
COLONEL BRADFORD J. SHWEDO
COLONEL RICHARD S. STAPP
COLONEL DAVID R. STILWELL
COLONEL ROGER W. TEAGUE
COLONEL DAVID C. UHRICH
COLONEL ROGER H. WATKINS
COLONEL MARK W. WESTERGREN
COLONEL SCOTT J. ZOBRIST

IN THE MARINE CORPS

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT
TO THE GRADE OF LIEUTENANT GENERAL IN THE
UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS WHILE ASSIGNED TO A
POSITION OF IMPORTANCE AND RESPONSIBILITY UNDER
TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 601:

To be lieutenant general

MAJ. GEN. ROBERT E. MILSTEAD, JR.

EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

REMARKS ON THE OCCASION OF MR. NORMAN D. KASS'S RETIREMENT

HON. SAM JOHNSON

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 13, 2010

Mr. SAM JOHNSON of Texas. Madam Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to an extraordinary man, Mr. Norman D. Kass, for his 30 years of service to the United States Government. I am grateful for his dedication to our country and wish him an enjoyable retirement and success in his future endeavors.

For more than 10 years, Mr. Kass has overseen the analytical and investigative efforts of the U.S.-Russia Joint Commission on POW/MIAs. I have served as a Commissioner for many years, and it has been my great pleasure to work alongside Mr. Kass in this worthy cause. Norm has played an integral role in the compilation of the fullest-possible accounting for missing American servicemen from conflicts since World War II. His involvement with U.S. and Russian leadership allowed for close cooperation that has brought answers to POW/MIA families who have long awaited them.

Mr. Kass has previously held various positions within the Defense Technology Security Administration, DTSA, notably as the Deputy Director of DTSA's Licensing Directorate. He also served in the U.S. Army where he was awarded the Bronze Star following a tour of duty with First Field Force, Vietnam.

I ask my colleagues to join me in recognizing Norm for his exemplary service to our country. His expert advice and counsel to the Commission will be greatly missed. Please join me in honoring this outstanding individual and wishing him all the best during his retirement.

IN HONOR OF STATE REPRESENTATIVE LIDA E. HARKINS FOR HER 22 YEARS OF DEDICATED PUBLIC SERVICE TO THE 13TH NORFOLK DISTRICT, WHICH INCLUDES THE TOWNS OF NEEDHAM, DOVER, AND MEDFIELD, AND THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

HON. STEPHEN F. LYNCH

OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 13, 2010

Mr. LYNCH. Madam Speaker, I rise today in honor of State Representative Lida E. Harkins of Needham, Massachusetts, in recognition of her decades of dedicated Public Service to the families of the 13th Norfolk District, in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Representative Harkins resides in Needham, Massachusetts where she raised 3 children—Joe, Mike and Julie and is the proud grandmother to 10 grandchildren.

Representative Harkins graduated from Regis College earning a B.A. degree and then pursued her education earning a graduate degree at Boston College.

After attending Boston College, Representative Harkins began her career as an Elementary School Teacher and served 6 terms on the Needham School Committee. She also directed a collaborative vocational training program for 13 towns in the Metrowest area of Massachusetts.

Representative Harkins was first elected to the General Court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in 1988 serving the towns of Needham, Dover and Medfield. She served as Vice-Chair of the Committee on Ethics, Assistant Majority Whip, Majority Whip, and House Chair of the Joint Committee on Education, Arts and Humanities. Further, Representative Harkins serves as Chair of the Needham Democratic Town Committee.

When reflecting on a lifetime of good works, Representative Harkins counts as her greatest achievements raising her 3 children, Joe, Mike and Julie and being blessed as a grandmother of her 10 grandchildren as well as her 22 years as a public servant to the families of the 13th Norfolk District.

Madam Speaker, it is my distinct honor to take to the floor of the House today to join with her family, friends and contemporaries to thank Representative Harkins for her dedicated public service to the families of the 13th District and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. I urge my colleagues to join me in recognizing Representative Lida E. Harkins' career of dedicated public service.

RECOGNIZING POVERTY

HON. DANNY K. DAVIS

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 13, 2010

Mr. DAVIS of Illinois. Madam Speaker, I wish to take a moment to recognize the growing number of those living in poverty in the United States. As we approach the holiday season, a time of giving and reflection, it is important to remember those who are less fortunate. Poverty is a part of daily life for far too many individuals across America. According to the U.S. Census report, the wealthiest 20 percent of Americans received 49.4 percent of all income, compared to 3.4 percent combined for all Americans living in poverty, marking the greatest level of disparity since the U.S. Census Bureau began tracking household income in 1967. This most recent Census Bureau information also indicates that the overall poverty rate climbed to 14.3 percent, or 43.6 mil-

lion people. This marks the largest number of people counted as living below the poverty line last since the Census Bureau began keeping records in the 1950s. The concentration of poverty in urban centers—such as my home of Chicago hinders all those who seek to access all the opportunities that a great city offers. As of 2009, in Cook County alone, there were 825,870 people living in poverty, a dramatic increase from the 713,040 people living in poverty in 1999. The recession has pushed the Nation's poverty rate to levels not seen in more than a decade, destroying gains made in the long-running War on Poverty and adding more financial instability to the lives of millions of Americans.

This increase in poverty unfairly and disproportionately affects young children. Indeed 20.7 percent of our nation's children were living in poverty as of 2009, a 2.7 percent increase from 2007. In Cook County, 22.6 percent of children live in poverty which is higher than the national average. This percentage accounts for 286,312 children who struggle everyday just to get by. Families living in poverty often cannot provide the necessary resources in order to ensure healthy physical and emotional development of their children. Living in poverty also greatly hinders a child's access to quality education, health care, and living conditions. This is unacceptable. Children are a public good, and it is imperative that our country invests in and ensures the prosperity of its youngest citizens.

The unemployment rate in my home state of Illinois, which was higher than the national average, was 10.1 percent this past August up 4.6 percentage points from December 2007. This represents 671,000 Illinois residents who lost more than just earnings—this represents hundreds of thousands of families whose entire means of financial support is gone. This massive unemployment rate has contributed greatly to the high foreclosure rate in Illinois. In Illinois as of the second quarter of 2010, 6.0 percent of all mortgages, including 18.1 percent of subprime mortgages, were in foreclosure.

Alarming as well is the way that this economic downturn has hit some communities much harder than others. According to the most recent congressional Joint Economic Committee findings, the poverty rate for African Americans and Hispanics increased significantly from 2007 to 2009. In 2009, the poverty rate was 25.8 percent for African Americans and 25.3 percent for Hispanics, compared to 24.5 percent for African Americans and 21.5 percent for Hispanics in 2007. Important to notice as well is the disparity in the number of minorities currently insured. The percentages of Hispanics and African Americans without health insurance are higher than that of whites and other racial or ethnic groups. Nearly one-third of Hispanics and over one-fifth of African Americans were uninsured in 2009, compared with about one-tenth of whites.

● This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.

While the number of people living in poverty remains much too high, we must recognize that this number was not as high as originally expected given the severity of the recession and the massive job losses last year. I am proud of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act passed by Congress this past year that expanded unemployment benefits and Social Security payments. This act also helped to create and save approximately 3.5 million jobs, gave tax cuts to small business and 95 percent of American workers, and began to rebuild America's road, rail, and water infrastructure. Access to the job market is one of the first steps in helping individuals rise out of poverty, and this legislation increased jobs for the unemployed as well as the underemployed.

This past year we have begun to reform our current healthcare system and passed legislation that enables 11 million children to finally be able to access and maintain cost-effective quality healthcare. Signed into law as well as the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Treatment Extension Act, which guarantees access to life-saving medical services, primary care, and medications for low-income patients with AIDS and HIV. Both of these legislative acts will greatly enhance the well being of individuals with limited financial resources.

While there remains much to be done to improve access to quality education, healthcare, and job opportunities in the United States, I am proud of the progress that has been made by this Congress. It is imperative that in this current economic crisis, the Federal government assists state governments in addressing the needs of individuals and their families. Our communities cannot afford to allow poverty to take hold of so many hard working individuals and families. Therefore, I recognize all of those living in poverty in the United States, and, in the spirit of this season, I promise to continue to promote programs to reduce poverty and support those in need.

**CYRIL ADOLPHUS BARNES
CELEBRATES HIS 100TH BIRTHDAY**

HON. DONNA M. CHRISTENSEN

OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 13, 2010

Mrs. CHRISTENSEN. Madam Speaker, I rise to pay tribute to one of our very own Virgin Islanders, Cyril Adolphus Barnes, as he celebrates his 100th birthday of December 22, 2010.

Mr. Barnes was born on St. Croix on December 22, 1910, to Philip Barnes and Mary Eliza King. He was raised surrounded by strong family members including George Jackson, Headmaster of the then Christiansted School.

Believing that the mainland United States would provide a better opportunity, in 1926 Mr. Barnes traveled by sea aboard the *Dominica* to New York. He, like many who went to the "big city," soon learned that the streets of New York were not paved in gold and in order to accomplish his goals, he had to work hard.

He did and continued his education. In 1943, he enlisted in the United States Navy,

where he served until his honorable discharge in 1946. Returning back to civilian life, he became employed by the U.S. Postal Service, retiring in 1979.

After spending 53 years on the mainland, Mr. Barnes relocated back to his birthplace of St. Croix, where among other community involvements, he became an active member of American Legion Post No. 85.

In March of 1929, he married Gerda Barnes, also from St. Croix and they became the parents of two children, Leroy and Dortha Barnes Stevens (both deceased). He had three other children, Maria Barnes (deceased), Harold Barnes and Violet Barnes Hughes. His family also includes six grandchildren and three great-grandchildren. He has numerous friends and family members that will be celebrating his 100 years of life.

On behalf of myself, my staff, the entire Virgin Islands community and the Congress of the United States, I say "Mr. Barnes, thank you for your service and we wish you a Happy Birthday and many more years filled with God's richest blessings."

HONORING LONG-TIME COMMUNITY LEADER AND ACTIVIST MS. SHIRLEY ROBERTS

HON. JUDY CHU

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 13, 2010

Ms. CHU. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize a great loss to our community, Ms. Shirley Roberts, who passed away on December 7, 2010, at the age of 79. My heart goes out to her son, Chuck Henry; the many dear and loving friends that she embraced as her family; and all the people whose lives she touched and helped make better.

Born in Los Angeles, Shirley was an extraordinary citizen, a champion of progressive causes, and a vibrant mainstay in Democratic politics. She attended Fairfax High School and graduated from UCLA with a degree in business administration. Shirley's love of politics and human rights ran deep in her family. Her parents, Bernard and Esther, were dedicated to causes to improve conditions for the working poor. By 1944, Shirley would follow their example by co-chairing the American Youth for [Franklin D.] Roosevelt organization for Los Angeles County.

Shirley later went on to support Mayor Tom Bradley of Los Angeles. An early advocate for better health care services for all Los Angeles residents, she served on the Mayor's staff and initiated outreach support programs for better nutrition, transportation, long-term care, and escort programs for seniors.

In addition, Shirley was a community activist in her home of West Hollywood. When rent prices increased sharply in the early 1980s, Shirley joined other West Hollywood residents to support the city's incorporation to better serve its diverse residents. The effort succeeded, and the city has since been a leader in providing social services to the people who call it home. In subsequent years, she would serve on the West Hollywood Human Services Commission and the West Hollywood Election Reform Task Force.

Shirley was also a tireless and tenacious force aiding numerous local, state, and national campaigns of Southern California's progressive political stars, including former Congresswoman and U.S. Secretary of Labor Hilda Solis, Congressman Henry Waxman, Senator Sheila Kuehl, Mayor Antonio Villaraigosa, and City Controller Wendy Greuel.

Shirley was generous with her friendship, guidance, and wisdom in the lives she touched. Her activism, leadership, and commitment to those who were most vulnerable will be deeply missed. I urge all my House colleagues to join me in honoring our community hero, Shirley Roberts, for her remarkable service and contributions to the City of Angels and to our country.

HONORING DR. JAY SHERIDAN

HON. RAÚL M. GRIJALVA

OF ARIZONA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 13, 2010

Mr. GRIJALVA. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor a teacher from my district who recently retired. This teacher has given the past 40 years of his life to improve the quality of public education in his community of Yuma, Arizona.

Dr. Jay Sheridan has been a teacher at Yuma High School in Yuma, Arizona for almost 40 years. He was born in Clinton, Iowa in 1943. His father was a B-26 pilot in the 8th Air Force in Europe, and Sheridan and his mother resided with her parents in the village of Thomson, Illinois in the months after his birth. In November 1948, Sheridan, his parents and his sister moved to Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Sheridan attended kindergarten in Greendale, Wisconsin, one of President Franklin Roosevelt's planned communities from the 1930s. He continued his elementary education at Valley View School, a small country school near Hales Corners, Wisconsin. Sheridan's family then moved to the Elm Grove, Wisconsin area in 1959, and Sheridan graduated from Brookfield Central High School in 1962. Sheridan then moved to the great State of Arizona to study at the University of Arizona, my alma mater. He graduated eight short semesters later with a degree in English and a minor in philosophy.

After graduating, Sheridan went to law school at Valparaiso University. Shortly after entering law school Sheridan was drafted into the military in 1967. He honorably served our country in Vietnam from August of 1968 to July of 1969 in the 1st Battalion, 69th Armor (4th Infantry Division).

After Sheridan was honorably discharged, he enrolled at Northern Arizona University to pursue a Master of Arts degree in English. He was awarded his degree in May of 1972 and accepted an offer as an English teacher at Yuma High School. In the summer of 1972, Sheridan met his wife Sally, a Yuma native. The two were married the following summer and have now been married 37 years. Sally, also a teacher, gave countless years to the Yuma community just as her husband did.

Sheridan was appointed Chair of the English Department at Yuma High in 1973 and

spent his entire professional career at Yuma High. He taught every level of English during the course of his teaching career. Beginning in the early 1980s, he began teaching the National Advanced Placement (AP) English Literature and Composition course and assisted hundreds of students in earning passing scores on the national exam.

Sheridan completed his Ph.D. dissertation at the University of Arizona in August 1979 on the high school English department chair position in secondary schools west of the Mississippi River. He became chair of the Modern Languages department (in addition to the English department), with supervisory responsibilities over English as a Second Language, French, German and Spanish classes. At one time, in addition to his teaching duties, Sheridan had supervisory responsibilities over more than thirty teachers at Yuma High School. Sheridan also taught part-time for Northern Arizona University/Yuma for a number of years (advanced composition and English teaching methods).

In 2001, Sheridan was awarded the honor of Yuma County High School Teacher of the Year by the Education Foundation of Yuma.

Sheridan accomplished a great deal during his time at Yuma High School. His proudest achievement was helping Yuma High earn first place in the district on the state AIMS writing exam for 2006, 2007 and 2008. He also recalls with fondness the yearly student trips to the Old Globe Theater in San Diego, which he organized and supervised for more than a decade. Students read, analyzed, and discussed a Shakespeare play in August and September of each year, and then two busloads of Yuma High students would travel to San Diego to view the play they had studied. Students were able to engage in analytical conversations with the actors immediately following the performances. Sheridan again gave Yuma High students opportunities that many could otherwise not afford.

Dr. Sheridan retired from Yuma High School in May of 2010. He remains in touch with a few hundred of his former students and he continues to encourage them in their college and professional endeavors. In almost 40 years Sheridan has touched the lives of thousands of students who have passed through the halls of Yuma High School. He encouraged students who doubted they were ready for advanced placement classes to work hard and pass the test. He kindled students' love for literature and Shakespeare in one short

year. He pushed students to their limits and encouraged higher education for all of them.

Dr. Sheridan is a rare teacher that only comes around once in a lifetime. I am honored that he chose to give almost 40 years of his life to a public school in my district. He will be irreplaceable.

Jay and Sally are looking forward to spending their retirement productively by traveling and writing. The couple has two grown children, Jill and Jay Jr. Jill is an RN in Grand Junction, Colorado. Jay Jr. recently received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Parks and Recreation Management from Northern Arizona University.

**HONORING SUPERIOR COURT
JUDGE JAMES ORLANDO AS THE
2010 WASHINGTON STATE ASSO-
CIATION FOR JUSTICE'S JUDGE
OF THE YEAR AWARD**

HON. ADAM SMITH

OF WASHINGTON

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 13, 2010

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor Superior Court Judge James Orlando, whom the Washington State Association for Justice has honored as the 2010 Judge of the Year. I ask that my colleagues join me in honoring Judge Orlando for this commendable recognition.

Superior Court Judge James Orlando was honored as Judge of the Year at the Washington State Association for Justice's annual Law Day Dinner, which was held on May 6, 2010. The Washington State Association for Justice was originally formed in 1953 as the National Association of Claimants Compensation Attorneys and has since also been known as the Washington State Trial Lawyers Association. The organization presents the annual award to a judge who promotes our civil justice system to serve the people through the exercise of outstanding judicial ruling and leadership.

Judge Orlando has been praised for his work to reduce the backlog of criminal and civil cases in Pierce County. He is also a respected figure among many of his colleagues. As a graduate of the University of Washington and of the University of Puget Sound School of Law, Judge Orlando began his service with

the Pierce County Superior Court as a Commissioner in 1995. In September 2000, then-Governor Gary Locke appointed him as Judge of the Superior Court. Judge Orlando served as the court's Presiding Judge in 2003 and 2004.

Madam Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me in congratulating Judge Orlando on his remarkable honor and his venerable service to his country and community.

SENATE COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Title IV of Senate Resolution 4, agreed to by the Senate on February 4, 1977, calls for establishment of a system for a computerized schedule of all meetings and hearings of Senate committees, subcommittees, joint committees, and committees of conference. This title requires all such committees to notify the Office of the Senate Daily Digest—designated by the Rules committee—of the time, place, and purpose of the meetings, when scheduled, and any cancellations or changes in the meetings as they occur.

As an additional procedure along with the computerization of this information, the Office of the Senate Daily Digest will prepare this information for printing in the Extensions of Remarks section of the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD on Monday and Wednesday of each week.

Meetings scheduled for Tuesday, December 14, 2010 may be found in the Daily Digest of today's RECORD.

MEETINGS SCHEDULED

DECEMBER 15

Time to be announced

Finance

Business meeting to consider the nomination of Carolyn W. Colvin, of Maryland, to be Deputy Commissioner of Social Security, Social Security Administration.

Room to be announced

DECEMBER 16

2:30 p.m.

Intelligence

To hold closed hearings to examine certain intelligence matters.

SH-219

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES—Tuesday, December 14, 2010

The House met at 12:30 p.m. and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. PETERS).

DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC,
December 14, 2010.

I hereby appoint the Honorable GARY C. PETERS to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

MORNING-HOUR DEBATE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of January 6, 2009, the Chair will now recognize Members from lists submitted by the majority and minority leaders for morning-hour debate.

The Chair will alternate recognition between the parties, with each party limited to 30 minutes and each Member, other than the majority and minority leaders and the minority whip, limited to 5 minutes.

COMPROMISE TAX CUT PROPOSAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFazio) for 5 minutes.

Mr. DEFazio. Well, the Senate has acted on the so-called tax cut proposal. They acted the way the Senate usually acts when confronted with a problem; they added ornaments to the Christmas tree. They actually increased the cost.

This legislation will cost \$858 billion over 2 years. That is bigger than the much-reviled stimulus passed in the beginning of the Obama Presidency: \$858 billion. That will add approximately \$430 billion a year to the deficit for the next 2 years. That is \$430 billion more borrowed, probably from China.

Now, the question is: Is this the best possible use of this money? Will this put America and Americans back to work and get us more firmly on the path to recovery? I think not. I think much of this money is wasted and will create zero jobs.

Now, if you think that the Bush-era tax cuts worked well—they didn't create any jobs, but if for some reason you think they worked well—then you are going to like this. In fact, it is even a bigger giveaway than the Bush-era tax cuts. Or if you think the \$300 billion of

the so-called stimulus that the President gave away in tax cuts, the Larry Summers tax cuts that were so small that no one would notice and they would just spend them on consumer goods—bad politics, bad economics, didn't put anybody back to work, to get three Republican votes, that is why that \$300 billion went in there, and bumped out real investment that would have created immediate and real jobs and long-term benefits—no, instead we had ephemeral spending. That was supposed to put Americans back to work.

If you liked that, you are going to love this. It has new provisions. One, instead of President Obama's "making work pay" tax cuts, now we are going to attack Social Security. That is right, the Republicans are getting their dreams here.

We are going to give a tax holiday of 2 percent on Social Security. Isn't that great? It goes to any income level. That means Members of Congress will get a minimum of a \$2,100 tax break, as will other people who do very well in this country.

But, don't worry, that that would kind of hurt Social Security, to cut its income by \$111 billion next year. It would accelerate the point at which it couldn't pay benefits. But, don't worry, we will borrow the money from China, and we will inject it into the Social Security trust fund, tearing down the firewall between the general fund and Social Security.

Next year the Republicans are going to say to the President, Hey, you can't let that tax cut for working people, that FICA holiday, expire. And, oh, by the way, we can't afford to subsidize Social Security anymore out of the general fund.

This is a trap, and that kind of a tax cut is not going to put people back to work.

Then we have the tax cuts for the upper income, \$51 billion for incomes above \$250,000. Now, remember, up to \$250,000, everybody under what President Obama first proposed would get a tax break. It is only your income over 250 that would be taxed at the Clinton-era rates. And guess what happened during the Clinton era? We balanced the budget, and we created 23 million jobs. Not too bad. Now we have record deficits, and we are creating an anemic number of jobs.

They estimate this package might create between 1 million and 3 million jobs, or save them, or later they will say it could have been worse, just like they did with the stimulus. If we di-

rectly invested a fraction of this \$858 billion in roads, bridges, highways, sewers, water systems, building schools, things that would pass benefits to future generations, we could create millions of jobs and you would have gotten something for your money, other than current consumption.

Then, how about this new provision, estates over \$10 million? Now, the media keeps saying \$5 million. No, it is 5 and 5, husband and wife, \$10 million of an estate tax-free, and after that a lower tax break. That costs \$10 billion a year.

We are going to borrow \$10 billion a year, all the American people are going to borrow that money, to give 6,000 families a tax break, who are already doing quite well, thank you very much. How many jobs will that create? Zero. Goose egg. None. It isn't about small business anymore. We are talking estates over \$10 million.

Then we are going to continue the Bush-era reductions in capital gains and dividend taxes, which go predominantly to the highest income brackets, under the premise that those things too create jobs. If this is a job creator, it is the least efficient, lamest way to create jobs at unbelievable expense.

If we want to create jobs, there are better ways to do it; or if you want to do the tax relief, you could do it for much less. If you cut out the upper income, over \$250,000, the estates over \$10 million, look at capital gains, dividends, don't do the FICA tax, or at least cap it so people at levels of Members of Congress don't get it, we could do this for less and put more people to work.

COSTS TOO HIGH FOR WAR IN AFGHANISTAN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN) for 5 minutes.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, the recent congressional elections here in the United States focused on many issues, but the war in Afghanistan was not one of them.

There is no draft in this country. We have an all-volunteer Armed Forces. Only a small percentage of our population is at risk. And no one is paying for the war. It is all going on America's credit card. We are borrowing all the money to pay for this war. So, why should anyone pay attention?

I believe, Mr. Speaker, that we must pay more attention. There is absolutely no excuse for our collective indifference. At 109 months, this is the

□ This symbol represents the time of day during the House proceedings, e.g., □ 1407 is 2:07 p.m.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.

longest war in our history. Over 1,400 of our uniformed men and women have lost their lives in Afghanistan. Over 8,700 have been wounded in action.

High levels of deployment continue to strain our uniformed men and women, their families and their communities. In spite of the military's best efforts, suicide and post-traumatic stress rates continue to soar and our ability to care for the wounded is severely overburdened.

The ability of individual servicemembers and their units to rest, recuperate, retrain and reequip themselves for redeployment is stretched beyond its limits. And in Afghanistan, our so-called ally, President Hamad Karzai, is corrupt. The Afghan military and the police are not reliable partners and al Qaeda is someplace else.

A few weeks ago, President Obama told us we are in Afghanistan for at least another 4 years, maybe more. The question is, for what? Why do we need to sacrifice more precious American lives? Why do we need to continue to align ourselves with a crooked government that routinely commits fraud in elections? Why aren't we instead using all of our resources to go after the terrorists that murdered so many of our civilians on September 11?

The Republicans won back the majority of the House by promising to control spending and reducing the deficit. This war has already cost us over \$450 billion. When combined with the cost of the war in Iraq, it accounts for 23 percent of our combined deficits since 2003.

Where is the outcry from the tea partiers and the deficit hawks? Fiscal conservatives should be outraged that this war is being financed with borrowed money. And for those who support the war, you should pay for it. And where is the liberal outrage? For those of us who are tired of being told that we don't have enough money to extend unemployment benefits or invest in green jobs or new jobs, we should be yelling and screaming at the fact that when it comes to the war in Afghanistan and supporting Hamad Karzai, our Treasury is an ATM machine.

□ 1240

Let us put in perspective what this war truly costs and what we must give up in order to maintain the status quo. According to Nobel Laureate and Columbia University professor Joseph Stiglitz, testifying before the House Veterans' Affairs Committee, the total cost of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, including interest payments on the money borrowed for these wars and taking care of our wounded soldiers and veterans, will likely be between \$4 trillion and \$6 trillion. Yes, Mr. Speaker, between \$4 trillion and \$6 trillion.

On Saturday, December 11, Mr. Speaker, another soldier from my dis-

trict sacrificed his life in Afghanistan. Army Specialist Ethan Goncalo was just 21 years old when he died in Kabul. He is the third graduate of Durfee High School in Fall River to die in uniform this year, and the fourth servicemember from Fall River, a town of 90,000 residents. His loss is deeply felt in this tight-knit community, and my thoughts and prayers are with his parents, family, friends, and schoolmates.

Mr. Speaker, I believe the human and financial costs of this war are unacceptable and unsustainable. It is bankrupting us. We need a plan to extricate ourselves from Afghanistan, not a plan to stay there for 4 more years and "then we'll see." This doesn't mean that we abandon the Afghan people, Mr. Speaker. Rather, we should abandon this war strategy. It hasn't brought stability to Afghanistan, and it is not enhancing our own national security.

Ending war is politically difficult. It is easier for politicians to "go along" rather than make waves. But, Mr. Speaker, this isn't about politics. It's about doing the right thing. And the right thing is to end this war.

[From the Boston Globe, Dec. 14, 2010]

WARTIME LOSSES HIT HARD IN TIGHTKNIT FALL RIVER

(By David Abel and John M. Guilfoil)

FALL RIVER.—A week before Army Specialist Ethan Goncalo was scheduled to speak at BMC Durfee High School, where he had been an A student known for his fastball, the 21-year-old died in Afghanistan.

He became the school's third graduate to die in uniform this year and the fourth servicemember from Fall River.

In Massachusetts, only Boston, with six times the population of Fall River, has lost more of its own in Iraq and Afghanistan, according to icasualties.org, which tracks military deaths.

"As a community, it's tough . . . very tough," principal Paul Marshall said yesterday.

Marshall said the succession of deaths has made him wonder whether it was wise for administrators to steer students into the military.

"In time of peace, it's a great opportunity," he said. "Kids can get their education paid for, but they are literally putting their life on the line."

Since the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan began, Fall River has lost five servicemembers, and Boston has lost seven.

Goncalo, who was assigned to the First Battalion, 181st Infantry Regiment in Worcester, died Saturday in Kabul of injuries sustained in a noncombat-related incident, military officials said.

In addition to Goncalo, Fall River has lost Army Private Michael E. Bouthot, a 19-year-old who died in Iraq in 2006; Army Sergeant Robert Barrett, a 21-year-old who died in Afghanistan in April; Army Specialist Scott Andrews, also 21, who was killed in June in Afghanistan; and Marine Corporal Paul Fagundes, 29, who died July 4 while trying to rescue fellow Marines drowning in a rip current off Guantanamo Bay. Barrett and Fagundes were also Durfee graduates.

"It seems surreal that we would lose this many heroes," said Manuel DaPonte, director of veterans services in Fall River, which has about 90,000 residents.

"It's really hard to believe. It doesn't seem real or fair for so many people to die from a city our size."

Mayor Will Flanagan said it was hard for him to imagine attending his fourth military funeral this year, his first term in office.

"It has had a toll on me," he said. "This is a time for me to reflect and to be there for the families and the city, to honor any requests they have."

He said the city has paid tribute to the fallen in parades and through benefits. In addition, he said the city plans to rename the reconstructed Brightman Street Bridge the Veteran's Memorial Bridge when it is rededicated in the spring.

"Fall River is a hard-working community, a patriotic community, and our young men see the military as a way to serve," said Flanagan. "It provides them stability in their lives and gives them an opportunity to further their education and to earn an income."

He added: "We are deeply saddened by the loss, but these young men paid the ultimate sacrifice for freedom and democracy. Their losses are not in vain, and their memories will not be forgotten."

At Durfee yesterday, friends, coaches, and administrators described Goncalo as a talented baseball player, a driven student, and a good friend. He had agreed to address his school during his upcoming leave.

Marshall said each of the young men who attended the 2,200-student school was the kind of person you would want beside you in a foxhole.

"They were stand-up kids," he said. "The common thing is that they made their commitment with their eyes wide open."

He said Goncalo, who graduated from Durfee in 2008 after transferring there a year earlier from Bishop Connolly High School, fit in immediately. "It felt like he was here for four [years]," Marshall said. "He was that kind of kid."

Brad Bustin, the varsity baseball coach at Durfee, described Goncalo as "dedicated, well-rounded, and a hard worker." Goncalo was a utility player who caught and pitched, with an arm strong enough to play outfield and a glove good enough to play first base.

"He was a nice, nice kid, easy to get along with and liked by all the other kids," Bustin said. "He was just a happy person, a happy kid, always smiling."

The school put a large piece of paper in the school cafeteria with several boxes of magic markers. Students described Goncalo as a "good man" and a "big brother."

"You were a great teammate, friend, and an overall good man," wrote one student, Nathan Farias. "Thank you for all you helped me with."

Danielle Santos, another student, also thanked the fallen soldier.

"You were the nicest guy and a great athlete," she wrote. "You will be missed."

Goncalo's relatives could not be reached yesterday and were apparently heading to Dover Air Force Base in Delaware, where his body was to be brought sometime today or tomorrow, city officials said. The military did not release details about his death.

On Goncalo's Facebook page, friends sang his praises.

Bryanna Rego, who attended Bishop Connolly High School with Goncalo, recalled how he loved to goof around.

"Ethan was an amazing person," she wrote. ". . . He made an impact on so many people's lives, and his face will be engraved in not only mine, but in the hearts of everyone who knew him."

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until 2 p.m. today.

Accordingly (at 12 o'clock and 42 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess until 2 p.m.

□ 1400

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. CUMMINGS) at 2 p.m.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Daniel P. Coughlin, offered the following prayer:

Lord God, at this time when You desire to be close to Your people, the truth of Isaiah, the prophet, frightens us. So we withdraw to a more comfortable place, content with fictitious demands on our time.

You said to Isaiah:

"Since this people draws near with words only and honors Me with their lips alone, their hearts are far from me. Their reverence for Me has become routine observance.

"I will now deal with this people in surprising and wondrous fashion.

"Woe to those who would hide their plans, thinking they are too deep for the Lord; who work in the dark saying, Who sees us or who really knows what we are about?"

It is time, Lord, to shake us from our stupor. Send forth Your word to shatter our illusions and all our fears, both now and forever.

Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day's proceedings and announces to the House his approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. CHAFFETZ led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE HONORABLE BART STUPAK, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following commu-

nication from the Honorable BART STUPAK, Member of Congress:

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, December 10, 2010.
Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to notify you formally pursuant to rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives that I have been served with a subpoena for testimony issued by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that compliance with the subpoena is consistent with the precedents and privileges of the House.

Sincerely,

BART STUPAK,
Member of Congress.

COMMUNICATION FROM CHIEF OF STAFF, THE HONORABLE BART STUPAK, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Scott Schloegel, Chief of Staff, the Honorable BART STUPAK, Member of Congress:

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, December 10, 2010.
Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to notify you formally pursuant to rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives that I have been served with a subpoena for testimony issued by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that compliance with the subpoena is consistent with the precedents and privileges of the House.

Sincerely,

SCOTT SCHLOEGEL,
Chief of Staff,
Congressman Bart Stupak.

HEALTH CARE TAKEOVER
UNCONSTITUTIONAL

(Mr. WILSON of South Carolina asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, yesterday U.S. District Judge Henry E. Hudson of Virginia struck down the individual mandate within the health care takeover as unconstitutional. This job-killing mandate infringes upon an individual's right to choose whether to participate in the government takeover. The ruling affirms that this legislation goes beyond the government's power to regulate interstate commerce. Virginia Attorney General Ken Cuccinelli has taken the lead protecting citizens' rights and has been joined by South Carolina Attorney General Henry McMaster.

Americans should not be forced to purchase health insurance by the Federal Government. Many small businesses cannot afford it. It is time that Congress repeal the government take-

over of health care and replace it with a patient-centered program. This is the solution that provides a viable answer to America's health care issues.

In conclusion, God bless our troops, and we will never forget September the 11th in the global war on terrorism.

MEDIA COVERAGE OF DREAM ACT
SHOWS BIAS

(Mr. SMITH of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, it is hard to imagine a worse example of media bias than the national coverage of the so-called DREAM Act. Seldom were the facts given to the American people.

The national media said it applied to "65,000 youngsters." The legislation actually would give amnesty to at least 1 million to 2 million illegal immigrants up to the age of 30. And no one in the national media mentioned that no hearings had been held on the bill and no committee had approved it.

The DREAM Act would mean fewer jobs for American workers, and the Congressional Budget Office said it would cost taxpayers billions of dollars. But you won't hear that from the national media.

Even if the national media won't, Congress should put the interests of American workers and taxpayers first. That's what the last election was all about.

PASSING OF AMBASSADOR
RICHARD HOLBROOKE

(Mr. DREIER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, the sudden and unexpected passing of Ambassador Richard Holbrooke has been very, very sad news for Americans, and it obviously has sent shock waves throughout the international community.

Dick Holbrooke is someone with whom I was privileged to work on a number of issues over the past decade and a half. And I will say that he was known for being rough-and-tumble. He was described by one as "the bulldozer diplomat."

But I have to say that Dick Holbrooke was a true polyglot. He knew, in dealing with diplomatic challenges, that it took different talents and different styles. Regardless of where he was in the world, he was able to apply his unique talents. I have to say that we worked together on a number of very important issues.

As we look at the challenge that exists today in Afghanistan and Pakistan, his passing will be a real loss for that effort. But his passing should lead

us to redouble our commitment to pursue the goal that I believe Dick Holbrooke always pursued, and that was for peace, stability, the rule of law, and self-determination around the world.

Our thoughts and prayers go to his wife and two sons.

HONORING THE SERVICE AND SACRIFICE OF SERGEANT DAVID S. ROBINSON

(Mr. BOOZMAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor one of America's bravest, Sergeant David Robinson of Fort Smith, Arkansas, who valiantly sacrificed his life in support of combat missions in Afghanistan.

Service runs in Sergeant Robinson's family, with a grandfather who served as a sharpshooter in the military. So, when at a young age he told his mother he wanted to serve in the military, it was no surprise. He followed in his grandfather's footsteps, going into the artillery field when he joined the Army in 2004.

As a member of the 2nd Cavalry, he served as a gunner, a rifleman, and an ammunitions handler. At the young age of 25, he already had remarkable service to our Nation during his three tours: two in Operation Iraqi Freedom and one in support of Operation Enduring Freedom.

My prayers and the prayers of Arkansans are with Sergeant Robinson's family, including his wife Heidi and three young children, Jeremy, Tyler, and Madison. I humbly offer my thanks to Sergeant David Robinson, a true American hero, for his selfless service to the security and well-being of Americans, and I ask my colleagues to keep his family in their thoughts and prayers during this very difficult time.

□ 1410

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on motions to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote incurs objection under clause 6 of rule XX.

Record votes on postponed questions will be taken later.

99-YEAR TRIBAL LEASE AUTHORITY ACT

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 1448) to amend the Act of August 9, 1955, to authorize the

Coquille Indian Tribe, the Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians, the Confederated Tribes of the Coos, Lower Umpqua, and Siuslaw, the Klamath Tribes, and the Burns Paiute Tribe to obtain 99-year lease authority for trust land.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 1448

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. LEASES OF RESTRICTED LAND.

Subsection (a) of the first section of the Act of August 9, 1955 (25 U.S.C. 415(a)), is amended in the second sentence by inserting "land held in trust for the Coquille Indian Tribe, land held in trust for the Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians, land held in trust for the Confederated Tribes of the Coos, Lower Umpqua, and Siuslaw Indians, land held in trust for the Klamath Tribes, and land held in trust for the Burns Paiute Tribe," after "lands held in trust for the Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon,".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. LARSEN) and the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Washington?

There was no objection.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Under what is known as the Long Term Leasing Act, lands held in trust for Indian tribes may be leased for a variety of purposes for a term of no greater than 25 years, with an option for an additional 25 years if approved by the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

Often, tribes find that in order to operate more efficiently and to sustain economic development activities, they need to be able to lease trust lands for longer periods. In fact, through various acts of Congress, approximately 50 tribes have been granted the ability to issue leases not to exceed 99 years.

The pending legislation would afford this extended leasing authority to several tribes in the State of Oregon.

I want to commend our colleagues, Mr. DEFAZIO and Mr. SCHRADER from Oregon, for their work in getting this bill to the floor. I ask Members to support it.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

As a matter of policy, authorizing 99-year lease terms for tribes is a means

of increasing tribal independence from the Bureau of Indian Affairs bureaucracy. Therefore, we have no objection to this bill.

Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of S. 1448, legislation that will allow five Indian Tribes—the Coquille Indian Tribe, the Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians, the Confederated Tribes of the Coos, Lower Umpqua, and Siuslaw, the Klamath Tribes, and the Burns Paiute Tribe—to enter into long-term leases for trust land.

First, I want to commend Senator JEFF MERKLEY for his leadership on this issue. I also want to thank Senator RON WYDEN, Representative PETER DEFAZIO, and Representative KURT SCHRADER, for their support and advocacy on behalf of the five Indian Tribes in the State of Oregon that are the subject of this legislation.

Historically, since 1834, Congress prohibited land transactions with Indian Tribes unless specifically permitted. In 1955, under the Long-Term Leasing Act, Congress permitted the leasing of Indian lands, but restricted the term of leases to no more than 25 years. Conditions for economic development and business opportunities have changed significantly and leases with terms of more than 25 years are now more desirable. As a result, 50 Indian Tribes have already petitioned Congress and have been granted authority to enter into long-term leases through amendments to the Long-Term Leasing Act. Another bill, S. 2906, to be considered later will add two more Indian Tribes from the State of Washington. I want to register my support for these bills.

Extending the privileges of the Long-Term Leases Act to these Indian Tribes is very important since economic development and business opportunities underscore our government's longstanding responsibility to the Indian Tribes. This longstanding responsibility is to provide assistance to the Indian people in their efforts to break free from the devastating effects of extreme poverty and unemployment and achieve lasting economic self-sufficiency. Yet, high rates of unemployment and poverty continue to exist among Indian Tribes. And one of the main reasons has been the lack of effective control by the Indians over their own lands and resources.

I urge my colleagues to support this important piece of legislation.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, S. 1448 is identical to legislation that I introduced in the House of Representatives with Representative SCHRADER in March. The bill accomplishes two things: (1) It corrects a disparity between federally recognized tribes in Oregon in how these tribes lease land held in trust, and (2) it incentivizes long-term investment that will attract businesses and create jobs for Oregon tribes and nearby communities.

Currently, four of the nine federally recognized tribes in Oregon are able to lease land held in trust by the Federal Government for up to 99 years without going through a maze of bureaucracy and red tape at the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The 99-year lease authority is crucial to attracting and retaining long-term investment, incentivizing economic development projects on trust land, and creating jobs for communities that need them the most.

But five of Oregon's nine federally recognized tribes—the Coquille, the Confederated

Tribes of the Siletz, the Confederated Tribes of the Coos, Lower Umpqua, and Siuslaw, the Klamath, and the Burns Paiute do NOT have this important authority. These tribes are limited to 25-year leases or must rely on a lethargic BIA to approve longer leases on an individual basis.

S. 1448 fixes this disparity and gives all nine federally recognized tribes the same authority to pursue economic development and job-creating activities on land held in trust.

The bill enjoys bipartisan support, has no opposition in the State of Oregon, and passed the U.S. Senate without amendment and by unanimous consent. This is a no-brainer. It's good for the Tribes. It's good for rural and tribal communities. The bill will create jobs and incentivize financial investment. I ask my colleagues to pass this bill today on suspension and send it to President Obama for his signature.

Ms. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of S. 1448, which allows the Coquille Indian Tribe, the Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians, the Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua, and Siuslaw, the Klamath Tribes, and the Burns Paiute Tribe to obtain a 99-year lease authority.

I thank Senator MERKLEY for introducing this legislation, and I also thank Chairman RAHALL for bringing this bill to the floor today.

This common sense legislation will allow these tribes in Oregon to continue their lease authority for 99 years. The tribes will be guaranteed to be able to live on their current land well into the next century.

As a member of the Native American Caucus, I will continue to be an advocate for Native Americans. My home state of California is home to nearly 100 federally recognized tribes. I pledge to continue to work on behalf of all Native Americans in this Congress and the 112th Congress.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting S. 1448.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Speaker, again I want to urge our colleagues to support S. 1448, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Washington (Mr. LARSEN) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 1448.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MODIFYING TRIBAL LEASE PROVISIONS

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 2906) to amend the Act of August 9, 1955, to modify a provision relating to leases involving certain Indian tribes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 2906

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. LEASES INVOLVING CERTAIN INDIAN TRIBES.

The first section of the Act of August 9, 1955 (25 U.S.C. 415), is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), in the second sentence, by inserting “and land held in trust for the Kalispel Tribe of Indians, the Puyallup Tribe of Indians,” after “the Kalispel Indian Reservation”; and

(2) in subsection (b), by inserting “, the Puyallup Tribe of Indians, the Swinomish Indian Tribal Community, or the Kalispel Tribe of Indians” after “Tulalip Tribes”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. RAHALL) and the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from West Virginia.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from West Virginia?

There was no objection.

□ 1420

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, as with the bill we just considered, the pending legislation would amend the long-term leasing act to allow several tribes, in this case located in Washington State, to issue leases for trust lands for terms not to exceed 99 years. As business opportunities and economic considerations change over time, leases longer than what is allowed in current law are often necessary to facilitate economic development on trust lands.

In closing, this legislation would advance tribal sovereignty, promote job growth, and speed up business deals. I want to commend our colleague, Representative ADAM SMITH, who has pressed for passage of S. 2906.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

As a matter of policy, authorizing 99-year lease terms for tribes is a means of increasing tribal independence from the Bureau of Indian Affairs bureaucracy. Therefore, we have no objection to this bill.

Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of S. 2906, legislation to amend certain provisions of the Long-Term Leasing Act of 1955 for the benefits of the three Washington State Indian tribes, the Kalispel Tribe and the Puyallup Tribe, and the Swinomish Tribal Community.

First I want to commend Senator MARIA CANTWELL for her leadership. I also want to thank Senator PAT MURRAY, Representative ADAM SMITH, and Representative NORMAN DICKS, for their support and advocacy on behalf of the Indian Tribes in the State of Washington.

Similar to S. 1448, this bill will amend the Long-Term Leasing Act of 1955, to add three more Indian Tribes from the State of Washington to the list of Indian Tribes that have been granted authority to enter into long-term leases of up to 99 years.

Conditions for economic development and business opportunities have significantly changed since Congress passed the Long-Term Leasing Act in 1955. The current economic downturn has certainly exacerbated already deteriorating economic conditions for many of the Indian tribes. As such, extending the privileges of the Long-Term Leasing Act is essential for economic development and business opportunities. It is the longstanding responsibility of our Federal Government to provide assistance to the Indian people in their efforts to improve their economic conditions and maximize business opportunities for the betterment of the Indian people.

I urge my colleagues to pass S. 2906.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding and I rise in strong support of S. 2906, a bill introduced by Senator CANTWELL that is the companion to H.R. 4401, which I introduced in the House last year.

S. 2906 amends the Indian Long-Term Leasing Act for the benefit of three federally recognized Tribes in the State of Washington: the Swinomish Indian Tribal Community, the Kalispel Tribe of Indians, and the Puyallup Tribe of Indians, which is in my district.

Specifically, this legislation amends the leasing act to allow the Puyallup Tribe and the Kalispel Tribe to enter into 99 year business leases. Currently, these Tribes are limited to 25 year business leases.

Additionally, S. 2906 eliminates the requirement that the Puyallup Tribe, the Kalispel Tribe, and the Swinomish Indian Tribal Community seek the approval of the Secretary of the Interior for every business deal involving tribal lands.

Under S. 2906, the Tribes will adopt leasing regulations, to be approved by the Department of the Interior. Once the Department approves the Tribal regulations, the Department will be relieved of its obligations to approve the Tribes' leases of less than 75 years and the Tribe will then be required to follow its own leasing regulations for leases of its land. The Department will still retain the authority to review and approve leases of Tribal lands for more than 75 years.

This bill is tremendously important to the Puyallup Tribe in my district, as it will enable the Tribe to move forward with its plans to develop a marine terminal on its land in the Port of Tacoma.

The shipping terminal will be the largest economic development and job-creation project the Tribe has undertaken, and when fully constructed, will be the largest international container terminal facility in the Pacific Northwest. This project will provide tremendous benefits both to the Puyallup Tribe as well as the South Puget Sound economy.

Unfortunately, until now, the Tribe has found it difficult to engage and move forward with business partners in furthering the terminal project because of the burdensome and uncertain bureaucratic process of obtaining Interior approval for the deals.

Passage of S. 2906 will ensure that that the Tribe and its business partners will have certainty in moving forward with this and other business opportunities that will enhance the economy of the Puyallup Tribe and the Port of Tacoma, and will help to spur job creation in the South Puget Sound region.

I thank the Chairman and Ranking Member for their help in advancing this legislation, and I ask for my colleagues' support for the passage of S. 2906.

Ms. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of S. 2906, which allows the Kalispel Tribe of Indians and the Puyallup Tribe of Indians to lease land placed in trust for a term greater than 25 years. This legislation further allows the Puyallup Tribe of Indians, the Swinomish Indian Tribal Community, and the Kalispel Tribe of Indians to lease restricted lands under certain conditions without requiring the Secretary of the Interior's approval.

I thank Senator CANTWELL for introducing this legislation, and I also thank Chairman RAHALL for bringing this bill to the floor today.

As a member of the Native American Caucus, I have worked with my colleagues in Congress to address the needs of all Native Americans. While the legislation before us today affects three tribes in Washington State, I will continue to work on behalf of the nearly 100 federally recognized tribes in California and all tribes across the country.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting S. 2906.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of our time.

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. RAHALL) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 2906.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

HOH INDIAN TRIBE SAFE HOMELANDS ACT

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and concur in the Senate amendments to the bill (H.R. 1061) to transfer certain land to the United States to be held in trust for the Hoh Indian Tribe, to place land into trust for the Hoh Indian Tribe, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the Senate amendments is as follows:

Senate amendments:

On page 4, lines 13 through 15, strike "upon compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969" and insert "in accordance with the regulations of the Department of the Interior for implementing the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) that are applicable to trust land acquisitions for Indian tribes that are mandated by Federal legislation."

On page 8, strike lines 17 through 22 and insert the following:

SEC. 5. GAMING PROHIBITION.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. RAHALL) and the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from West Virginia.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from West Virginia?

There was no objection.

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 1061, as amended, would transfer certain Federal and non-Federal land in the State of Washington to the Hoh Tribe to be held in trust by the United States for the benefit of the tribe.

The Hoh Indian Tribe is located on the coast of Washington. Its coastline is situated such that it is subject to frequent flooding, preventing the sustainable use of this land by the tribe.

Due to this situation, the tribe has acquired approximately 420 acres of land from private sources to relocate its government offices and tribal members. The bill would place this newly acquired 420 acres of land into trust for the tribe and as well transfer approximately 37 acres of Federal land into trust for the tribe in order to connect the tribe's newly acquired lands to its current lands.

On June 28, 2010, the House passed this legislation under suspension of the rules by a vote of 347-0. The Senate made technical amendments to the bill to clarify that the land would be placed into trust in accordance with the Department of the Interior's regulations for mandatory trust land acquisitions. The Senate then passed the legislation before us today by unanimous consent on September 29, 2010.

In closing, I would commend our colleague and my fellow classmate, Representative NORM DICKS of Washington, for his hard work and dedication to this legislation, and I ask my colleagues to support its passage.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, the case for adding a small amount of land to the Hoh Reservation is compelling. Because the tribe's reservation receives about 140 inches of rain per year and is located within a tsunami zone, the tribe must expand its eastern border inland from the coast so they can construct safe housing and other facilities outside of the tsunami zone.

To accomplish this, H.R. 1061 places in trust several tracts of land for the

tribe, most of which are currently owned by the tribe. These additions to the reservation are separated from the existing reservation by a 37-acre parcel of Federal land that is part of the Olympic National Park.

H.R. 1061 transfers ownership of this 37-acre parcel of Olympic National Park land to the tribe without consideration. This transfer creates a contiguous, intact reservation with full access across the reservation assured in the form of a road to Highway 101.

This bill also sets an important and needed precedent by transferring these 37 acres without extorting either a land exchange or payment to the Federal Government.

There are many instances when fairness and necessity justify the transfer of Federal lands to States, localities, tribes, or other American citizens. There are also instances when roads that are vital to park neighbors and park visitors should be repaired and rebuilt even though they may be located in otherwise protected areas.

It is worth noting that the National Park Service supports transferring the land from Olympic National Park to the tribe without the need for a land exchange or compensation. I also note the absolute silence and, hence, implied support from advocacy groups to give away this 37 acres of national park lands.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, as I mentioned in my opening remarks, I commend the gentleman from Washington (Mr. DICKS), the incoming ranking minority member of the House Appropriations Committee and my fellow classmate, a gentleman who has worked very hard on this legislation, and I now yield him such time as he may consume.

Mr. DICKS. Mr. Chairman, I appreciate very much your yielding to me, and I really appreciate the leadership that you have provided and the committee has provided.

I rise to urge final passage of H.R. 1061, the Hoh Indian Tribe Safe Homelands Act, which I sponsored. The Hoh Tribe lives right on the Pacific Coast in the Sixth District, which I represent. Their reservation is in a spectacularly beautiful area, but the location leaves the Hohs in constant danger of tsunamis. This legislation would allow the Hoh Tribe to move many structures out of the tsunami impact zone.

This legislation passed the House in June. In September the bill was amended then passed in the Senate. This amended version, which is supported by all parties, is what the House is considering today. I urge that we again pass this legislation and allow for the Hoh Tribe to build a safer future uphill from the tsunami danger.

H.R. 1061 accomplishes this goal by transferring a small parcel of land in

Olympic National Park to the tribe. In addition, the legislation will place into trust this transferred Park Service land, along with other lands recently acquired by the tribe.

I really cannot overemphasize the necessity of this legislation for the safety of the Hoh Tribe. The reservation is located where the Hoh River dramatically empties into the Pacific Ocean. As I said earlier, real danger comes with its spectacular beauty. The Pacific Ocean has many extremely active seismic zones, including in Washington State. Earthquakes in the eastern Pacific always cause concern along the Washington coast, as folks are forced to monitor the tsunami situation.

The Hoh Tribe is also menaced by severe flooding nearly every year. We have very long winters in the Pacific Northwest, and throughout that season, the Hoh Tribe can experience flooding. These floods have destroyed buildings. A few years ago my office had to call the Washington State National Guard in order to help the tribe place sandbags during a flood emergency. This situation is intensifying as the Pacific and Hoh River erode the very limited land on the 1 square mile reservation.

With all of the reservation within a tsunami zone and nearly all of it in a floodplain, the Hoh Tribe has trouble qualifying for assistance. The BIA, FEMA, and HUD are precluded from providing assistance to the tribe due to the reservation's location and its vulnerability to natural disasters.

Although the threat always has existed, the desire to move the tribe to safety intensified after the 2004 Indian Ocean earthquake and resulting tsunami which killed more than 200,000 people. The Hoh Tribe developed its own strategy, which I wholeheartedly champion. With its own resources, the tribe bought several nearby parcels of land suitable for development away from the area of most danger. The Washington State Department of Natural Resources also has given the tribe a parcel of logged land in the same area.

H.R. 1061 will transfer to the tribe a 37-acre parcel of land currently part of the Olympic National Park.

This small parcel would make all of these lands mentioned above contiguous to the existing Reservation. Also, the main road linking the Tribe to U.S. Highway 101 runs through this Park Service parcel. Through years of negotiation, the Tribe, Olympic National Park, and others within the Park Service have come together in support of this legislation, which does include certain restrictions on development, including a ban on gaming.

The Park Service also benefits from this legislation. The land is not of great value from an ecological point of view because it has been logged repeatedly. The Park Service has difficulties managing the 37-acre parcel because it is surrounded by non-Federal land. It also allows Olympic National Park to be a "good

neighbor" and meet its responsibility to respect their non-Federal neighbors and be a positive presence in the area.

The neighbors of the Hoh Tribe also support this legislation, including the surrounding local landowners, the Hoh River Trust, and numerous environmental organizations. Elected officials who support this legislation include Governor Gregoire, the local State Senator and Representatives and the Jefferson County Commissioners.

It is time for the House to pass H.R. 1061 and send the bill to the President to be signed into law.

I want to thank Chairman RAHALL and Ranking Member HASTINGS for their help with this legislation. I also want to thank Janet Ericson, Staff Director of the Office of Indian Affairs, and Todd Young and Chris Fluhr who work for my home State colleague, DOC HASTINGS.

I also want to commend the Hoh Tribe and Tribal Council, Chairwoman Maria Lopez, and Alexis Berry, the executive director for the hard work. This legislation is a tremendous down payment on a safer future for the Hoh Tribe.

I urge passage of the Hoh Indian Tribe Safe Homelands Act.

□ 1430

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, this is a reasonable and needed piece of legislation. I commend the bill's sponsors and all those that have worked on it.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, I am very honored now to yield 5 minutes to a very valued member of our Committee on Natural Resources and a colleague of ours who is no stranger to the effects of tsunamis among his people, the gentleman from American Samoa (Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA).

Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA. I want to thank the gentleman from West Virginia, our distinguished chairman of our Committee on Natural Resources, and I do also thank my good friend, the gentleman from Utah, and their management of this proposed legislation.

Mr. Speaker, having personally experienced what it means to go through an earthquake and a tsunami, I rise in strong support of this proposed legislation for the Hoh Indian Tribe Safe Homelands Act, a bill that transfers certain Federal lands in the State of Washington to be held in Federal trust for the Hoh Indian Tribe.

I want to thank, especially, the gentleman from Washington, Mr. NORM DICKS, for sponsoring this important bill, and I would also like to thank the chairman of our committee and the members of our committee for their support.

While this bill serves primarily to transfer the acreage to the Hoh Indian Reservation, it also carries out a greater purpose and message: to support the welfare of the tribal members while reinforcing our longstanding responsibility to the first Americans.

Established by an Executive order in 1893, with only a square mile of land,

the Hoh Indian Reservation is home to a tribe of nearly 300 members whose livelihood depends primarily on fishing. Located 28 miles south of Forks and 80 miles north of Aberdeen, the reservation presently consists of 443 acres of land surrounding the Hoh River, after which the tribe is named.

Recently, however, the reservation has been overwhelmed by river flooding caused by torrential rain and storm surges from the Pacific Ocean. Living, also, in one of the rainiest places in the contiguous United States, many of the tribal members' homes are encircled by sandbags to hold back the water, and most of the usable land is within the 100-year floodplain of the river, exacerbating what is already a tough economic development situation. Now, more than ever, floods are more frequent and more aggressive, also due to hardened riverbanks for erosion control and the influence of timber companies in the uplands.

Mr. Speaker, I want to urge my colleagues to support this proposed bill.

Ms. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the Senate amendment to the Hoh Indian Tribe Safe Homelands Act and also the underlying bill.

I thank my colleague, Congressman NORMAN DICKS for introducing H.R. 1061 and I support this legislation with the Senate amendment.

Mr. Speaker, this legislation truly embraces a collaborative effort between the Hoh Indian Tribe and the Government. The Hoh Indian Tribe currently lives in a flood-prone area. In fact, over 90 percent of their current reservation lies in a flood zone. Year after year more of their homes and tribal buildings are washed away by flood waters. This legislation allows land to be taken into trust so the Hoh Indian Tribe can relocate to higher, safer lands.

This legislation permits the tribe to conduct a land survey of federal land for relocation and submit it to the Director of the National Park Service for approval. Certain activities such as logging, hunting, and gaming will be prohibited on federal lands. It also directs the Secretary of the Interior and the tribe to make collaborative agreements for mutual emergency fire aid and for the development of a non-motorized trail from Highway 101 to the Pacific Ocean. This trail will maintain the strong fishing culture of the Hoh Indian Tribe.

Mr. Speaker, as a Member of the Native American Caucus I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting the Senate amendment to the Hoh Indian Tribe Safe Homelands Act.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. RAHALL) that the House suspend the rules and concur in the Senate amendments to the bill, H.R. 1061.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the Senate amendments were concurred in.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

LONGLINE CATCHER PROCESSOR SUBSECTOR SINGLE FISHERY COOPERATIVE ACT

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 1609) to authorize a single fisheries cooperative for the Bering Sea Aleutian Islands longline catcher processor subsector, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 1609

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Longline Catcher Processor Subsector Single Fishery Cooperative Act”.

SEC. 2. AUTHORITY TO APPROVE AND IMPLEMENT A SINGLE FISHERY COOPERATIVE FOR THE LONGLINE CATCHER PROCESSOR SUBSECTOR IN THE BSAI.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Upon the request of eligible members of the longline catcher processor subsector holding at least 80 percent of the licenses issued for that subsector, the Secretary is authorized to approve a single fishery cooperative for the longline catcher processor subsector in the BSAI.

(b) LIMITATION.—A single fishery cooperative approved under this section shall include a limitation prohibiting any eligible member from harvesting a total of more than 20 percent of the Pacific cod available to be harvested in the longline catcher processor subsector, the violation of which is subject to the penalties, sanctions, and forfeitures under section 308 of the Magnuson-Stevens Act (16 U.S.C. 1858), except that such limitation shall not apply to harvest amounts from quota assigned explicitly to a CDQ group as part of a CDQ allocation to an entity established by section 305(i) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act (16 U.S.C. 1855(i)).

(c) CONTRACT SUBMISSION AND REVIEW.—The longline catcher processor subsector shall submit to the Secretary—

(1) not later than November 1 of each year, a contract to implement a single fishery cooperative approved under this section for the following calendar year; and

(2) not later than 60 days prior to the commencement of fishing under the single fishery cooperative, any interim modifications to the contract submitted under paragraph (1).

(d) DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE REVIEW.—Not later than November 1 before the first year of fishing under a single fishery cooperative approved under this section, the longline catcher processor sector shall submit to the Secretary a copy of a letter from a party to the contract under subsection (c)(1) requesting a business review letter from the Attorney General and any response to such request.

(e) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Secretary shall implement a single fishery cooperative approved under this section not later than 2 years after receiving a request under subsection (a).

(f) STATUS QUO FISHERY.—If the longline catcher processor subsector does not submit a contract to the Secretary under subsection (c) then the longline catcher processor subsector in the BSAI shall operate as a limited

access fishery for the following year subject to the license limitation program in effect for the longline catcher processor subsector on the date of enactment of this Act or any subsequent modifications to the license limitation program recommended by the Council and approved by the Secretary.

SEC. 3. HARVEST AND PROHIBITED SPECIES ALLOCATIONS TO A SINGLE FISHERY COOPERATIVE FOR THE LONGLINE CATCHER PROCESSOR SUBSECTOR IN THE BSAI.

A single fishery cooperative approved under section 2 may, on an annual basis, collectively—

(1) harvest the total amount of BSAI Pacific cod total allowable catch, less any amount allocated to the longline catcher processor subsector non-cooperative limited access fishery;

(2) utilize the total amount of BSAI Pacific cod prohibited species catch allocation, less any amount allocated to a longline catcher processor subsector non-cooperative limited access fishery; and

(3) harvest any reallocation of Pacific cod to the longline catcher processor subsector during a fishing year by the Secretary.

SEC. 4. LONGLINE CATCHER PROCESSOR SUBSECTOR NON-COOPERATIVE LIMITED ACCESS FISHERY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—An eligible member that elects not to participate in a single fishery cooperative approved under section 2 shall operate in a non-cooperative limited access fishery subject to the license limitation program in effect for the longline catcher processor subsector on the date of enactment of this Act or any subsequent modifications to the license limitation program recommended by the Council and approved by the Secretary.

(b) HARVEST AND PROHIBITED SPECIES ALLOCATIONS.—Eligible members operating in a non-cooperative limited access fishery under this section may collectively—

(1) harvest the percentage of BSAI Pacific cod total allowable catch equal to the combined average percentage of the BSAI Pacific cod harvest allocated to the longline catcher processor sector and retained by the vessel or vessels designated on the eligible members license limitation program license or licenses for 2006, 2007, and 2008, according to the catch accounting system data used to establish total catch; and

(2) utilize the percentage of BSAI Pacific cod prohibited species catch allocation equal to the percentage calculated under paragraph (1).

SEC. 5. AUTHORITY OF THE NORTH PACIFIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Nothing in this Act shall supersede the authority of the Council to recommend for approval by the Secretary such conservation and management measures, in accordance with the Magnuson-Stevens Act (16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.) as it considers necessary to ensure that this Act does not diminish the effectiveness of fishery management in the BSAI or the Gulf of Alaska Pacific cod fishery.

(b) LIMITATIONS.—

(1) Notwithstanding the authority provided to the Council under this section, the Council is prohibited from altering or otherwise modifying—

(A) the methodology established under section 3 for allocating the BSAI Pacific cod total allowable catch and BSAI Pacific cod prohibited species catch allocation to a single fishery cooperative approved under this Act; or

(B) the methodology established under section 4 of this Act for allocating the BSAI Pa-

cific cod total allowable catch and BSAI Pacific cod prohibited species catch allocation to the non-cooperative limited access fishery.

(2) No sooner than 7 years after approval of a single fisheries cooperative under section 2 of this Act, the Council may modify the harvest limitation established under section 2(b) if such modification does not negatively impact any eligible member of the longline catcher processor subsector.

(c) PROTECTIONS FOR THE GULF OF ALASKA PACIFIC COD FISHERY.—The Council may recommend for approval by the Secretary such harvest limitations of Pacific cod by the longline catcher processor subsector in the Western Gulf of Alaska and the Central Gulf of Alaska as may be necessary to protect coastal communities and other Gulf of Alaska participants from potential competitive advantages provided to the longline catcher processor subsector by this Act.

SEC. 6. RELATIONSHIP TO THE MAGNUSON-STEVENS ACT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Consistent with section 301(a) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act (16 U.S.C. 1851(a)), a single fishery cooperative approved under section 2 of this Act is intended to enhance conservation and sustainable fishery management, reduce and minimize bycatch, promote social and economic benefits, and improve the vessel safety of the longline catcher processor subsector in the BSAI.

(b) TRANSITION RULE.—A single fishery cooperative approved under section 2 of this Act is deemed to meet the requirements of section 303A(i) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act (16 U.S.C. 1853a(i)) as if it had been approved by the Secretary within 6 months after the date of enactment of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Reauthorization Act of 2006, unless the Secretary makes a determination, within 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, that application of section 303A(i) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act to the cooperative approved under section 2 of this Act would be inconsistent with the purposes for which section 303A was added to the Magnuson-Stevens Act.

(c) COST RECOVERY.—Consistent with section 304(d)(2) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act (16 U.S.C. 1854(d)(2)), the Secretary is authorized to recover reasonable costs to administer a single fishery cooperative approved under section 2 of this Act.

SEC. 7. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT QUOTA PROGRAM.

Nothing in this Act shall affect the western Alaska community development program established by section 305(i) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act (16 U.S.C. 1855(i)), including the allocation of fishery resources in the directed Pacific cod fishery.

SEC. 8. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) BSAI.—The term “BSAI” has the meaning given that term in section 219(a)(2) of the Department of Commerce and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2005 (Public Law 108-447; 118 Stat. 2886).

(2) BSAI PACIFIC COD TOTAL ALLOWABLE CATCH.—The term “BSAI Pacific cod total allowable catch” means the Pacific cod total allowable catch for the directed longline catcher processor subsector in the BSAI as established on an annual basis by the Council and approved by the Secretary.

(3) BSAI PACIFIC COD PROHIBITED SPECIES CATCH ALLOCATION.—The term “BSAI Pacific cod prohibited species catch allocation” means the prohibited species catch allocation for the directed longline catcher processor subsector in the BSAI as established on

an annual basis by the Council and approved by the Secretary.

(4) COUNCIL.—The term “Council” means the North Pacific Fishery Management Council established under section 302(a)(1)(G) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act (16 U.S.C. 1852(a)(1)(G)).

(5) ELIGIBLE MEMBER.—The term “eligible member” means a holder of a license limitation program license, or licenses, eligible to participate in the longline catcher processor subsector.

(6) GULF OF ALASKA.—The term “Gulf of Alaska” means that portion of the Exclusive Economic Zone contained in Statistical Areas 610, 620, and 630.

(7) LONGLINE CATCHER PROCESSOR SUBSECTOR.—The term “longline catcher processor subsector” has the meaning given that term in section 219(a)(6) of the Department of Commerce and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2005 (Public Law 108-447; 118 Stat. 2886).

(8) MAGNUSON-STEVENS ACT.—The term “Magnuson-Stevens Act” means the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.).

(9) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Commerce.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. RAHALL) and the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from West Virginia.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from West Virginia?

There was no objection.

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

The pending legislation, the Catcher Processor Subsector Single Fishery Cooperative Act, was introduced by Senator CANTWELL in August 2009. Subsequently, our colleague, Congressman LARSEN from the State of Washington, introduced H.R. 3910 as companion legislation.

“Catch shares” are a fisheries management tool in which the total amount of fishing quota is divided among a group of fishermen. This tool is used to manage several fisheries in waters off of Alaska. However, one particular fishery in this area, the Pacific cod longline catcher processors, is not managed using catch shares. S. 1609 authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to approve this fleet as a cooperative operating a catch share.

I commend our colleague, the gentleman from Washington, Mr. RICK LARSEN, for his diligence. He has discussed this with me on numerous occasions and with members of our committee, and I commend his leadership on this legislation. I also recognize the efforts to bring the bill to the floor by my good friend and colleague from

Alaska, Mr. DON YOUNG. And I would note this measure is fully supported by the ranking member of our full committee, DOC HASTINGS of Washington.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to my distinguished colleague from Alaska (Mr. YOUNG).

Mr. YOUNG of Alaska. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

I rise in strong support of H.R. 3910, the companion legislation to S. 1609. This is a very important bill to the State of Alaska and the State of Washington.

I would like to compliment my friend, Mr. LARSEN, for his work on this legislation.

I was originally a cosponsor of this. This is a much-needed bill for the management of fish in Alaska as well as in the State of Washington, where most of my fishermen do come from.

I would also like to thank STENY HOYER, the majority leader, for bringing this bill to the floor and making sure it becomes a reality.

This is a bill that was strongly supported by the whole delegations from the State of Washington and from the State of Alaska, Senators as well as House Members. There was no objection to this legislation. It is an example of how we can work together on an issue that affects both areas. It is really much sought for by the industry itself. And I want to compliment everybody that worked on this legislation.

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Washington (Mr. LARSEN).

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to speak in favor of S. 1609, the Longline Catcher Processor Subsector Single Fishery Cooperative Act. This bill, introduced by Senator CANTWELL of Washington, is a Senate companion to H.R. 3910, legislation I introduced in the House, along with Representative DON YOUNG of Alaska. This is a bipartisan effort. It has the support of Representatives DOC HASTINGS, DAVE REICHERT and JAY INSLEE of Washington State as well.

This bill will further efforts by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council to rationalize the Pacific cod fishery and end the “race for fish” in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands. This legislation represents an important step in achieving the goals of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act by enabling safer, more environmentally sound fishing practices, while also providing much-needed economic stability.

The freezer longline sector of the Pacific cod industry currently operates as a derby-style fishery. Providing the opportunity for participants to transition from this style of fishing to a cooperative model is essential to meeting con-

servation goals and, most importantly, will significantly improve the safety of life at sea.

In addition, fishery cooperatives provide more economic stability and predictability. They help prevent the types of severe price swings that this fishery experienced last year when the price for Pacific cod was cut in half. This type of instability not only impacts the market and consumers, but threatens the ability for these mostly family-owned businesses to continue fishing. The economic stabilization of the fleet will also allow for new investments in both vessels and equipment and much-needed jobs for shipyards throughout the Puget Sound region.

S. 1609 has broad support within the freezer longline sector, the commercial fishing industry, the State of Alaska, the State of Washington, and the environmental community. So I urge the House to pass this bipartisan bill, S. 1609.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, we have no objection to this bill. We believe it should be passed and sent to the President for signature.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from American Samoa (Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA).

Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA. Again, I want to thank the distinguished gentleman from West Virginia, the chairman of our committee, and my good friend from Utah on the other side of the aisle for their management and support of this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I want to associate myself with the statement made earlier by the chief sponsor of this legislation, my good friend, the gentleman from Washington. I know a little bit about the industry of fishing. This is certainly important for the gentleman's district and the Members who are affected from the great State of Washington.

I urge my colleagues to support this proposed legislation.

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. RAHALL) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 1609.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

□ 1440

LONGFELLOW HOUSE-WASHINGTON'S HEADQUARTERS NATIONAL HISTORIC SITE DESIGNATION ACT

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S.

1405) to redesignate the Longfellow National Historic Site, Massachusetts, as the "Longfellow House-Washington's Headquarters National Historic Site".

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 1405

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Longfellow House-Washington's Headquarters National Historic Site Designation Act".

SEC. 2. REDESIGNATION OF LONGFELLOW NATIONAL HISTORIC SITE, MASSACHUSETTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Longfellow National Historic Site in Cambridge, Massachusetts, shall be known and designated as "Longfellow House-Washington's Headquarters National Historic Site".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the Longfellow National Historic Site shall be considered to be a reference to the "Longfellow House-Washington's Headquarters National Historic Site".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. RAHALL) and the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from West Virginia.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from West Virginia?

There was no objection.

Mr. RAHALL. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, the pending legislation would rename the Longfellow National Historic Site in Cambridge, Massachusetts, as the Longfellow House-Washington's Headquarters National Historic Site to better reflect the many chapters of American history which unfolded at this historic home.

This bill is one of the last measures sponsored by the late Senator from Massachusetts, Edward M. Kennedy. Senator Kennedy was instrumental in securing the funds needed to preserve this national historic site, and it is fitting that we pass his legislation renaming a site which meant so much to him.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this small, final piece of a legislative legacy left to us by one of the true giants to ever serve in this Congress.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, S. 1405 has been adequately explained by the majority, and we support the legislation. We see no reason to oppose it.

I just want to briefly thank the chairman. I am a freshman here in this body. I appreciate the leadership that he gave and the way that he conducted the Natural Resources Committee. I understand he is going to be a ranking member or in leadership on, perhaps, a different committee. I didn't want to let this time pass and this opportunity pass without thanking him for his leadership, for his fairness and for being able to share things with young folks like myself who are new to the House. I just want to wish him all the best and thank him for his leadership.

I look forward to spending Christmas Eve here with you, unfortunately. Hopefully not.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RAHALL. Before I respond to that, Mr. Speaker, I am going to yield 2 minutes to my colleague from American Samoa (Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA).

Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

I would also like to compliment the gentleman from Utah's statement.

Mr. Speaker, this probably will be the last opportunity that I will have to offer my compliments and my utmost respect and commendation for the way that the gentleman from West Virginia has conducted the affairs of our Natural Resources Committee under his chairmanship, of which I am deeply honored to be a part. He is second to none in terms of his leadership, his service, and his commitment to serving our Nation.

I wanted to say that for the RECORD, and I want to thank my good friend from Utah for his compliments as well.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. RAHALL. I do want to extend my appreciation to the gentleman from Utah for his comments.

Mr. Speaker, this may very well be the last time that our Committee on Natural Resources will have bills on the floor of the House in this 111th Congress. I wish to take just a moment of personal reflection to thank, not only the gentleman from Utah, but my ranking member—the gentleman from the State of Washington, DOC HASTINGS, as well.

I talked to Mr. HASTINGS this morning. He is not sleepless in Seattle but is snowed in in Seattle and is unable to be here today, but I did want to acknowledge his position on our committee and wish him the best next year as he assumes the reins of leadership as chairman of our committee.

It has been, I think, a good couple of terms under my chairmanship. We have worked in a nonpartisan manner as much as feasible and as much as possible. On not every bill have we seen eye to eye, but we have respectfully agreed to disagree where we have disagreed.

I want to thank the members on the majority's side. My colleagues from all

over this country and from the American territories have been very helpful in drafting important legislation that has fallen in line with our vital responsibilities as stewards of our public lands.

I also want to say a word of commendation to the staffs on both sides. We have brought numerous bills—I don't even have a final tally in front of me—to the floor of this House. Many are still languishing over in that other body. I commend the work of the staffs on both the majority's and the minority's sides and the manner in which they have worked cooperatively and in a bipartisan fashion over these 4 years that I have chaired this committee. In particular, the gentleman sitting to my right, Mr. Jim Zoia, has been with me over three decades. While we will move on to another committee in the next Congress, we will always remember our years on the Natural Resources Committee and our working so well with both sides.

So, again, I wish to express my appreciation to my ranking member, DOC HASTINGS, and to all the members of our committee—both Republican and especially my side, the Democratic side.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. RAHALL) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 1405.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the yeas have it.

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and the Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

45TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE WHITE HOUSE FELLOWS PROGRAM

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and concur in the concurrent resolution (S. Con. Res. 72) recognizing the 45th anniversary of the White House Fellows Program.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The text of the concurrent resolution is as follows:

S. CON. RES. 72

Whereas in 1964, John W. Gardner presented the idea of selecting a handful of outstanding men and women to travel to Washington, DC, to participate in a fellowship program that would educate such men and women about the workings of the highest levels of the Federal Government and about leadership, as they observed Federal officials in action and met with these officials and other leaders of society, thereby strengthening the abilities of such individuals to contribute to their communities, their professions, and the United States;

Whereas President Lyndon B. Johnson established the President's Commission on White House Fellowships, through Executive Order 11183 (as amended), to create a program that would select between 11 and 19 outstanding young citizens of the United States every year and bring them to Washington, DC, for "first hand, high-level experience in the workings of the Federal Government, to establish an era when the young men and women of America and their government belonged to each other—belonged to each other in fact and in spirit";

Whereas the White House Fellows Program has steadfastly remained a nonpartisan program that has served 9 Presidents exceptionally well;

Whereas the 672 White House Fellows who have served have established a legacy of leadership in every aspect of our society, including appointments as cabinet officers, ambassadors, special envoys, deputy and assistant secretaries of departments and senior White House staff, election to the House of Representatives, Senate, and State and local governments, appointments to the Federal, State, and local judiciary, appointments as United States Attorneys, leadership in many of the largest corporations and law firms in the United States, service as presidents of colleges and universities, deans of our most distinguished graduate schools, officials in nonprofit organizations, distinguished scholars and historians, and service as senior leaders in every branch of the United States Armed Forces;

Whereas this legacy of leadership is a resource that has been relied upon by the Nation during major challenges, including organizing resettlement operations following the Vietnam War, assisting with the national response to terrorist attacks, managing the aftermath of natural disasters such as Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, providing support to earthquake victims in Haiti, performing military service in Iraq and Afghanistan, and reforming and innovating the national and international securities and capital markets;

Whereas the 672 White House Fellows have characterized their post-Fellowship years with a lifetime commitment to public service, including creating a White House Fellows Community of Mutual Support for leadership at every level of government and in every element of our national life; and

Whereas September 1, 2010, marked the 45th anniversary of the first class of White House Fellows to serve this Nation: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That Congress—

(1) recognizes the 45th anniversary of the White House Fellows program and commends the White House Fellows for their continuing lifetime commitment to public service;

(2) acknowledges the legacy of leadership provided by White House Fellows over the years in their local communities, the Nation, and the world; and

(3) expresses appreciation and support for the continuing leadership of White House Fellows in all aspects of our national life in the years ahead.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. CHU) and the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from California.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from California?

There was no objection.

Ms. CHU. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of Senate Concurrent Resolution 72, a concurrent resolution recognizing the 45th anniversary of the White House Fellows Program.

Senate Concurrent Resolution 72 was introduced by the Senator from Kansas, SAM BROWNBACK, on September 22, 2010. The Senate passed the resolution by unanimous consent on September 29, 2010. Notably, an identical measure, House Concurrent Resolution 320, was introduced by the gentleman from Texas, Representative JOE BARTON, on September 28, 2010, and was referred to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

Suggested by the then president of the Carnegie Corporation, President Lyndon Johnson established the President's Commission on White House Fellowships on October 3, 1964. President Johnson stated that the program's mission was to give highly promising young citizens "firsthand, high-level experience with the workings of the Federal Government and to increase their sense of participation in national affairs."

The nonpartisan program selects between 11 and 19 outstanding applicants per year to take a full-time, paid fellowship position working with senior White House staff, Cabinet Secretaries and other top government officials. This type of participation at the highest levels of our government's leadership develops experience vital to a continued career serving the public.

□ 1450

The hundreds of former White House Fellows have gone on to serve the public in many critical roles. They have been appointed judges, U.S. Attorneys, ambassadors, and Cabinet officers. They have been elected to local, State, and national office, including here in the House of Representatives and in the Senate.

Mr. Speaker, let us now recognize the legacy of leadership that the White House Fellows Program has provided to our country for the last 45 years through the passage of Senate Concurrent Resolution 72, recognizing the program on its 45th anniversary. I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting it.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BARTON).

Mr. BARTON of Texas. I thank the gentleman from Utah.

I want to thank the leadership on both sides for allowing and supporting this resolution. I'm happy in the House to be the primary sponsor. I also want to commend Speaker PELOSI and Minority Leader BOEHNER for scheduling this so timely. I was told yesterday it would be on the floor at 2:45, and I walked on the floor at 2:43, and it was on the floor at 2:45. So that shows that the House can work in a bipartisan, efficient fashion when it so desires.

Mr. Speaker, I was a White House Fellow in the class of 1981 and 1982 under President Ronald Reagan. I was one of 14 Fellows that year. I worked for the Secretary of Energy, the Honorable James Edwards of South Carolina, the former Governor of South Carolina. The Deputy Secretary was Ken Anderson, and my direct report was J. Hunter Child III, the director of the Office of Policy, Planning and Analysis.

In my class in 1981–82, we had Mike Ullman, who's now the CEO of J.C. Penney; we had Paul Applegarth, who was a senior official at the World Bank and later became a Presidential appointee under President Bush. We had several military officers, Tom Shul, Ellie Heineman. We had a police captain from Oakland, Chip Stewart. And we had a cheerleader from the New England Patriots who later worked for the director of the FBI. So we had a very diverse class.

The White House Fellows is nonpartisan. In fact, I don't recollect one political question being asked me in the interview process. To show you the caliber of the folks that interviewed me, in the semifinals at the LBJ School in Austin, Texas, I was interviewed by Hillary Rodham Clinton and Sarah Weddington, who was the chief attorney in support of *Roe v. Wade*. At the national finals in Washington, D.C., Ed Fuelner, who was then and now head of the Heritage Foundation, was one of the individuals who interviewed me. So you had very staunch Democrats and also very staunch conservative Republicans.

But they were interested then and now not in your political views so much as they were interested in your vision for America and where you had been and, even more importantly, where you might go in the future.

Most Fellows are in their late twenties to mid thirties. Some are as old as their mid forties. You serve for 1 year for one of the senior officials in the White House or the Office of the Vice President or a Cabinet Secretary. It's a very diverse experience. You have real work to do, as has been pointed out. You are paid at the GS schedule 14, but you also develop a friendship with your Fellows and you have what's called an educational program where you meet with officials of that administration but also leaders in the country.

We met my fellowship year with, of course, the President, the Vice President, all the Cabinet Secretaries, but we also met with Tip O'Neill. We met with Tom Foley. Tip O'Neill was then Speaker and Tom Foley, I believe, was the majority leader or the whip. I think Mr. Foley was the whip. We met with Leon Jaworski who was the prosecutor in Watergate.

Each fellowship class gets to go on some trips, both domestically and internationally. We took three domestic trips or four domestic trips. We went to California where Congressman Leon Panetta hosted us. We went to New York City, had a helicopter ride where we went over the old Yankee stadium. We went to Chicago, and I was able to get the class to come down to Texas, and we visited in Texas.

It is a tremendous program. Since President Johnson initiated it in 1965, over I think 672 young men and women have served as White House Fellows. Members of this body include, in addition to myself, former Congressman Tom Campbell of California, former Congressman and Senator Tim Wirth of Colorado, and in the other body, Senator Dave Karnes of the great State of Nebraska.

It's a program that encourages you once you've been a White House Fellow to be in public service or at least community service, either in the business world or in your civic or, in some cases, elective office. I wouldn't be on the floor of the House of Representatives today, Mr. Speaker, if it had not been for the White House Fellows Program. I believed before I became a Fellow that Washington was this mystic place that most of the time was non-functional and very difficult to navigate. The White House Fellowship Program gave me a window on government in Washington, in both the executive and the legislative branches, and it showed me that there were people of good will on both sides of the aisle, in the executive and the legislative branches. It showed me that in spite of what some people think this is a very transparent, open process. If you have an issue and you want to have an impact in our America, that is still possible; and I cannot say anything but the most positive things, Mr. Speaker, about the White House Fellows Program.

So I'm very glad on behalf of the other 671 former and current White House Fellows to be the chief House sponsor, very appreciative of it moving through the Senate, very appreciative of our Speaker and minority leader, Mr. BOEHNER and Ms. PELOSI, putting it up on the floor; and I would certainly encourage a unanimous vote in support of it.

I want to thank my good friend from Utah for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of S. Con. Res. 72, to recognize the 45th anniversary of the White House Fellows Program.

The White House Fellows Program, as envisioned by President Johnson, was designed "to give the Fellows first hand, high-level experience with the workings of the Federal government and to increase their sense of participation in national affairs." In the fall of 1965, the first class of Fellows was named. The program is nonpartisan, and has remained so through nine administrations. Each year between 11 and 19 Americans are chosen for this once-in-a-lifetime experience. The Fellows are placed into various agencies of government and are then able to work hand-in-hand with leaders at the highest levels of government. In return for the Fellowship year, the Fellows are expected to repay the privilege of serving by continuing to work as private citizens on their public agendas.

To date, more than 600 White House Fellows have served the Federal government and established a record of leadership and service. A number of former Fellows have gone on to serve their government and communities in important ways, by receiving appointments as Cabinet officials and senior White House staff, election to the House of Representatives, Senate, and State and local government, appointments to the Federal, State, and local benches, appointments as United States Attorneys, leadership in many of the Nation's largest corporations and law firms, presidents of colleges and universities, deans of distinguished graduate schools, officials in nonprofit organizations, distinguished scholars and historians, and service as senior leaders in every branch of the United States Armed Forces.

In 1981, I was honored to be selected to serve as a White House Fellow in the Reagan Administration and was placed in the Department of Energy. This was an experience where I gained valuable insight and knowledge about leadership and public policy, and it was a privilege to serve in this unique capacity.

I believe the White House Fellows program is worthy of recognition, and I commend those who have worked to make it a success and a national resource for all branches of our government.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I would like to commend those individuals who were once Fellows and have gone on to serve our Nation with such distinction. I urge all Members to join me in support of this resolution, and I yield back the balance of our time.

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I again urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this measure, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from California (Ms. CHU) that the House suspend the rules and concur in the concurrent resolution, S. Con. Res. 72.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and the

Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

The point of no quorum is considered withdrawn.

PRIVATE ISAAC T. CORTES POST OFFICE

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 6205) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 1449 West Avenue in Bronx, New York, as the "Private Isaac T. Cortes Post Office".

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 6205

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. PRIVATE ISAAC T. CORTES POST OFFICE.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The facility of the United States Postal Service located at 1449 West Avenue in Bronx, New York, shall be known and designated as the "Private Isaac T. Cortes Post Office".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the facility referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the "Private Isaac T. Cortes Post Office".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. CHU) and the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from California.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from California?

There was no objection.

□ 1500

Ms. CHU. I now yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 6205, a bill to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 1449 West Avenue in Bronx, New York, as the Private Isaac T. Cortes Post Office. H.R. 6205 was introduced by our colleague, the gentleman from New York, Representative JOSEPH CROWLEY, on September 23, 2010. The measure enjoys the support of New York's entire delegation to the House.

A native of the Bronx, Private Isaac T. Cortes held several jobs before enlisting in the Army. He was very proud to work as a security guard at Yankee Stadium and at one point hoped to become an officer with the New York City Police Department. He enlisted with the Army in November 2006 to gain experience that would help him achieve that goal, but later decided to become a career military man.

Private Cortes served as an infantryman with the 1st Squadron, 71st Cavalry Regiment, 1st Brigade Combat Team, 10th Mountain Division, Light Infantry, based out of Fort Drum, New York. He was a Humvee driver and performed operator-level maintenance on the vehicle. While overseas in Iraq, he participated in mountain Humvee and dismounted walking patrols. Private Cortes engaged in weapons cache searches and humanitarian aid missions to the local Iraqi people.

Sadly, on November 27, 2007, Private Cortes was one of two soldiers killed when an improvised explosive device was detonated as his vehicle rode past Amerli, Iraq, about 100 miles north of Baghdad. His awards and decorations include the Purple Heart, the Bronze Star, National Defense Service Medal, Iraq Campaign Medal, Global War on Terrorism Service Medal, and Army Service Medal.

He is survived by his parents, Emily and Isaias, his younger brother Christopher, a young daughter Amaria, and a large extended family. They remember Private Cortes as the kid who would help elders carry groceries, the young man who would play with his younger cousins, and the father who wanted to be the best he could be for his young child. Today we also remember him as the soldier who made the ultimate sacrifice for his country.

Mr. Speaker, let us now pay tribute to the life and service of Private Isaac T. Cortes by designating the postal facility on West Avenue in the Bronx in his honor.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting H.R. 6205.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise today in strong support of H.R. 6205, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 1449 West Avenue in Bronx, New York, as the Private Isaac T. Cortes Post Office.

Mr. Speaker, Private Cortes was born and raised in the Bronx and joined the Army in November of 2006. After basic training, he was assigned to the 10th Mountain Division based out of Fort Drum, New York. In September 2007, Private Cortes deployed to Iraq to support Operation Iraqi Freedom. And sadly, Mr. Speaker, less than 2 months later, on November 27, 2007, Private Cortes died when the vehicle he was riding in was struck by an improvised explosive device. Private Cortes was awarded the Purple Heart and the Bronze Star to honor his bravery and courage.

Mr. Speaker, it is altogether fitting and proper that we name this post office to honor Private Cortes. This was a man who made the ultimate sacrifice for his country, fighting for our freedom and the freedom of the Iraqi people. The least we can do is honor his

memory by naming this post office after him. I join all Members to join me in strong support of this bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CROWLEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 6205, to honor Private Isaac T. Cortes, a Bronx native who was killed in combat in Iraq.

This legislation would rename the post office in his hometown in his honor.

Private Cortes was a son of the Bronx—he grew up in the Parkchester neighborhood, attending local public schools and Christopher Columbus High School.

His love for his hometown led him to work as a security guard at Yankee Stadium, a job that he was so proud to hold and that inspired him to a lifetime of service. While planning to become a New York City Police Officer, he decided to strengthen his skills and serve his country by joining the U.S. Army in 2006.

Private Cortes knew that this choice was dangerous. He also knew he would likely be sent to Iraq.

His family worried for him, but he knew what he had to do.

After training at Fort Benning and Fort Drum, Private Cortes was sent to Iraq in September of 2007. As a rifleman in the Infantry Squad with Charlie Troop, 1–71 Cavalry Squadron, Private Cortes performed weapons searches and humanitarian aid missions to help the local Iraqi people.

He loved the Army, and was prepared to make it his career. His family has described how proud he was to protect his country. He said the military was his “calling.”

On November 27, 2007, just after Thanksgiving, Private Cortes was out on one of his combat patrols when an improvised explosive device was detonated near his vehicle in Amerli, Iraq—about 100 miles north of Baghdad.

Private Cortes was killed instantly, along with Specialist Benjamin Garrison, in the roadside attack. He was only 26 years old.

His awards and honors include the Purple Heart, the Bronze Star, the National Defense Service Medal, the Iraq Campaign Medal, the Global War on Terrorism Service Medal and the Army Service Ribbon.

The Bronx, the Congress and the Nation will always remember Private Cortes as a decorated soldier. But, I would also like to take a moment to ensure we forever remember Isaac, the man.

Isaac lived by the motto “Go big or go home.” He was known for his big heart and his loving ways, which his family continues in his honor through blood donation events and clothing, food and toy drives.

He was known to his neighbors as a smiling face and a helpful hand, always willing to help carry groceries.

Even while overseas, his family was always in his heart, including his parents, grandparents, brother, nieces, aunts, uncles and cousins. And above all, he loved the little girl that he raised as his own daughter.

His family has kept his memory alive, and today we take the next step in honoring this Bronx native and his service to the United States.

Renaming the post office in the neighborhood where he grew up after him will serve as

a reminder to us all of his courage, integrity and sacrifice. This legislation will ensure that his service and his spirit will never be forgotten.

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I again urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this measure.

I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from California (Ms. CHU) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 6205.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and the Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

The point of no quorum is considered withdrawn.

FOR VETS ACT OF 2010

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 3794) to amend chapter 5 of title 40, United States Code, to include organizations whose membership comprises substantially veterans as recipient organizations for the donation of Federal surplus personal property through State agencies.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 3794

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Formerly Owned Resources for Veterans to Express Thanks for Service Act of 2010” or “FOR VETS Act of 2010”.

SEC. 2. RECIPIENTS OF CERTAIN FEDERAL SURPLUS PERSONAL PROPERTY.

Section 549(c)(3)(B) of title 40, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in clause (viii), by striking “or” after the semicolon;

(2) in clause (ix), by striking the period and inserting “; or”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(x) an organization whose—”

“(I) membership comprises substantially veterans (as defined under section 101 of title 38); and

“(II) representatives are recognized by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs under section 5902 of title 38.”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. CHU) and the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from California.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may

have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from California?

There was no objection.

Ms. CHU. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, on behalf of the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, I present S. 3794, the FOR VETS Act of 2010. The measure authorizes the transfer of Federal surplus property to State agencies for donation within the State for veterans organizations.

S. 3794 was introduced by our colleague in the other Chamber, Senator PATRICK LEAHY of Vermont, on September 16, 2010. It was referred to the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs and agreed to in the Senate, with amendment, by unanimous consent. The bill was referred to the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform on November 15, 2010.

This bill, which was originally known as the Formerly Owned Resources for Veterans to Express Thanks for Service Act of 2010, adds veterans groups to the list of entities already eligible to receive the transfer of surplus Federal property from State agencies through donation. Under this measure, eligible groups will include education or public health organizations whose membership is substantially comprised of veterans and whose representatives are recognized by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

Mr. Speaker, S. 3794 provides unneeded and unutilized Federal property to assist our veterans in their daily lives. This is a small token of our gratitude for their sacrifices on behalf of our country, but one that can make a great difference to those proud men and women who have given so much for us. I would like to thank Senator LEAHY, Chairman TOWNS, and Ranking Member ISSA for their hard work on this bill; and I encourage my colleagues to join me in supporting this commonsense legislation.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise today in support of S. 3794, the FOR VETS Act of 2010. Mr. Speaker, this is a simple bill that is long overdue. The FOR VETS Act, known as the Formerly Owned Resources for Veterans to Express Thanks for Service Act—that's a great acronym right there—makes organizations whose membership is comprised primarily of veterans eligible to receive excess personal Federal property. I think it's appropriate that we draw a distinction between personal property and real property. There are major differences, and the value is certainly one of them.

Every day, Mr. Speaker, the General Services Administration, through

State agencies, donates surplus goods, such as computers and home appliances, to a wide variety of organizations such as hospitals, schools, and public libraries. The FOR VETS Act simply adds veterans organizations to this list of eligible recipients who can receive the excess Federal personal property. The property being donated under the FOR VETS Act will be items that the Federal Government is no longer using. I can't imagine a more deserving segment of the population to which we should be donating this excess property.

□ 1510

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I again urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this measure, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from California (Ms. CHU) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 3794.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Ms. Curtis, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate has passed a bill of the following title in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. 372. An act to amend chapter 23 of title 5, United States Code, to clarify the disclosures of information protected from prohibited personnel practices, require a statement in nondisclosure policies, forms, and agreements that such policies, forms, and agreements conform with certain disclosure protections, provide certain authority for the Special Counsel, and for other purposes.

The message also announced that the Senate has agreed to a concurrent resolution of the following title in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. Con. Res. 77. Concurrent resolution to provide for the approval of final regulations issued by the Office of Compliance to implement the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act of 1998 that apply to certain legislative branch employing offices and their covered employees.

The message also announced that the Senate agrees to the amendment of the House to the bill (S. 3817) "An Act to amend the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act, the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act, the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment and Adoption Reform Act of 1978, and the Abandoned Infants Assistance Act of 1988 to reauthorize the Acts, and for other purposes."

CONGRATULATING GERDA WEISSMANN KLEIN ON PRESIDENTIAL MEDAL OF FREEDOM

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution (H. Res. 1743) congratulating Gerda Weissmann Klein on being selected to receive the Presidential Medal of Freedom, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The text of the resolution is as follows:

H. RES. 1743

Whereas Gerda Weissmann was born in Bielsko, Poland in 1924;

Whereas within months of the German invasion of Poland in 1939, Ms. Weissmann's brother Arthur was taken away by the Germans and the remainder of her family was forced to live in a ghetto;

Whereas Ms. Weissmann was soon separated from her parents, who were sent to Auschwitz;

Whereas Ms. Weissmann was forced to spend the next 3 years in a succession of slave-labor and concentration camps;

Whereas in 1945, Ms. Weissmann was forced to walk in a 350-mile death march during which 2,000 women, including Ms. Weissmann, were subjected to starvation, exposure, and arbitrary execution;

Whereas the death march ended in Volary, Czechoslovakia, when the survivors were liberated by the United States Army;

Whereas Ms. Weissmann was one of less than 120 women to survive the death march;

Whereas one of the American Army officers who helped liberate the survivors was German-born Lieutenant Kurt Klein, whose parents had been murdered in Auschwitz;

Whereas Ms. Weissmann and Lieutenant Klein fell in love, got married, and moved to the United States to start a family;

Whereas upon moving to the United States, Mrs. Weissmann Klein worked vigilantly to promote Holocaust education and remembrance, teach tolerance, and combat hunger;

Whereas Mrs. Weissmann Klein's first book, *All But My Life*, was published in 1957, and chronicles her courageous struggle for survival during the Holocaust;

Whereas *One Survivor Remembers*, a documentary about Mrs. Weissmann Klein's experiences during the Holocaust, won an Academy Award in 1996;

Whereas Mrs. Weissmann Klein's lifelong work has been to repay this country for her freedom and the boundless opportunities given to her, she founded Citizenship Counts, a nonprofit organization that teaches today's youth to appreciate and celebrate the majesty of their American citizenship;

Whereas Mrs. Weissmann Klein's life and work have inspired generations of Americans and countless individuals from around the world; and

Whereas, on November 17, 2010, President Barack Obama announced that Gerda Weissmann Klein would be awarded the Presidential Medal of Freedom: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the House of Representatives congratulates Gerda Weissmann Klein on being selected to receive the Presidential Medal of Freedom.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. CHU) and the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from California.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from California?

There was no objection.

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of House Resolution 1743, a measure congratulating Gerda Weissmann Klein on being selected to receive the Presidential Medal of Freedom. House Resolution 1743 was introduced by our colleague, the gentleman from Arizona, Representative HARRY MITCHELL, on December 1, 2010. The measure enjoys the support of over 90 Members of the House.

Mr. Speaker, in 1939, Mrs. Weissmann Klein was living in Poland at the age of 15. Within months of the German invasion of Poland that year, she began to lose her family. Her beloved older brother, Arthur, was taken away by the Germans, and the remainder of her family was forced to live in a ghetto. Later, the Nazis separated her from her parents, sending them to Auschwitz.

Weissmann Klein was forced to spend 3 years in a series of concentration and slave labor camps from 1942 to 1945. In 1945, she and the other inmates of her camp were sent on a 350-mile death march to avoid the advance of Allied forces. When the U.S. Army liberated the survivors of the march in Volary, Czechoslovakia on May 7, 1945, she was one of fewer than 120 out of 2,000 women to survive.

After the war, she married Lieutenant Kurt Klein of the U.S. Army, an intelligence officer present at the liberation at Volary. She settled with Kurt in Buffalo, New York, and had three children. She then spent decades telling her story, giving speeches, and writing books offering a message of hope drawn from her struggle for survival.

She and Kurt also got involved in a number of charity efforts. In 1998, they started the Gerda and Kurt Klein Foundation, a public nonprofit foundation which promoted the teaching of tolerance, respect for others, and the value of community service. Currently, she is focusing her efforts on her new foundation, Citizenship Counts, a nonprofit organization that reaches out to middle and high school students to promote the appreciation and potential of American citizenship and the democratic process.

For her life of service and promotion of tolerance and understanding among all people, President Obama announced that early next year, Gerda Weissmann Klein will be one of 15 recipients of the Nation's highest civilian honor, the Presidential Medal of Freedom.

Mr. Speaker, let us now pay tribute to Mrs. Weissmann Klein and congratulate her on being selected for the Presidential Medal of Freedom through the passage of House Resolution 1743. I urge my colleagues to support it.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise today in support of House Resolution 1743, congratulating Gerda Weissmann Klein on being selected to receive the Presidential Medal of Freedom.

Mr. Speaker, last month, on November 17, Gerda Weissmann Klein was informed that she will be receiving the Presidential Medal of Freedom. This award is the highest civilian honor that an American can receive, and Ms. Klein is very deserving.

She was born in Poland in 1924, and was taken prisoner when Nazi Germany invaded Poland in 1939. After being separated from her parents, Ms. Klein spent the next 6 years in concentration camps—6 years.

In 1945, Ms. Weissmann was forced to walk 350 miles in a death march where roughly 2,000 women were subjected to starvation, exposure, and arbitrary execution. Ms. Weissmann was one of less than 120 women who miraculously survived the death march and were liberated by the United States forces in Czechoslovakia.

One of the U.S. soldiers who was there to liberate the women was Lieutenant Kurt Klein. Klein and Ms. Weissmann soon fell in love and were later married.

Since moving to America after the war, Mrs. Weissmann Klein has worked tirelessly to promote Holocaust education and remembrance, teach tolerance, and combat hunger. She has written multiple books about her experience as a Holocaust survivor, and, to this day, she works to promote tolerance and educate people about the horrors of the Holocaust.

It is with great honor I commend her on being selected to receive this award and thank her for the work she has done.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to yield 5 minutes to the sponsor of this resolution, Representative HARRY MITCHELL from Arizona.

Mr. MITCHELL. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H. Res. 1743, as amended, a resolution congratulating Gerda Weissmann Klein on receiving the Presidential Medal of Freedom.

Mrs. Weissmann Klein's story is remarkable and represents so much of what is so great about America. She was born in Bielsko, Poland in 1924. She was just 15 years old when Germany invaded her homeland in 1939, and the world she knew changed forever. Her brother, Arthur, was taken

by the Nazis. Her parents were sent to death camps in Auschwitz. For 3 years, Gerda was forced to endure a succession of slave labor and concentration camps.

In 1945, Gerda was one of 2,000 women forced by Nazis to walk a 350-mile death march, during which women were subjected to starvation, exposure, and arbitrary execution. The death march finally ended in Volary, Czechoslovakia, when the survivors were liberated by the United States Army. Gerda was one of fewer than 120 women who survived.

One of the American Army officers who helped liberate Gerda that day was a German-born Lieutenant named Kurt Klein, whose parents had been murdered at Auschwitz. Gerda and Kurt fell in love, got married, and moved to the United States and started a family.

Once here, Gerda and Kurt worked diligently to promote Holocaust education and remembrance. They taught tolerance and worked to combat hunger.

Gerda became a United States citizen, a privilege for which she has devoted her life's work as gratitude. She founded Citizenship Counts, a nonprofit organization that teaches our youth to appreciate and celebrate the majesty of their American citizenship.

In 1957, Gerda's first book, "All But My Life," was published. It chronicles her courageous struggle for survival during the Holocaust. In 1996, "One Survivor Remembers," a documentary about her experiences during the Holocaust, won an Academy Award.

Gerda Weissmann Klein's life and work have inspired generations of Americans and countless individuals around the world.

Last month, President Barack Obama announced that Gerda Weissmann Klein would be awarded the Presidential Medal of Freedom. This is the highest civilian honor that can be bestowed, and it is well deserved.

I urge my colleagues to support this resolution, as amended.

Before I yield, I want to thank Representative JEAN SCHMIDT for partnering with me on this resolution. I also want to thank Chairman TOWNS and Ranking Member ISSA, as well as both the majority and minority member staffs, for helping bring this resolution to the floor.

□ 1520

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I have been very critical of a lot of resolutions that have come to the floor recognizing things like the Hollywood Walk of Fame. But there are certain people, certain things that rise to a level of excellence that are so extraordinary that they deserve the recognition of this Nation and of this body, and I find that this fits that category. So it is my honor to join in strong support of this resolution and urge my colleagues to vote for it.

Mrs. SCHMIDT. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of H. Res. 1743. I was honored to join with Congressman MITCHELL to introduce this Resolution which congratulates Gerda Weissmann Klein on being selected to receive the Presidential Medal of Freedom.

Mrs. Weissmann Klein was born in 1924 in Bielsko, Poland. She is a Holocaust survivor and an amazing person with a truly inspirational story.

When Germany invaded Poland in 1939, her family was forced to live in a ghetto. She was separated from her family and spent 3 years in slave-labor and concentration camps. In 1945, she was forced to walk a 350-mile death march that ended in Volary, Czechoslovakia. Out of 2,000 women, less than 120 survived.

A true love story, she met her future husband, German-born U.S. Army Lieutenant Kurt Klein, amongst the liberators in Volary. They married, moved to the United States, and started a family.

Mrs. Weissmann Klein has led a dignified life in the United States. She is dedicated to her family and to educating others about many things, including the Holocaust and the importance of citizenship.

She is the author of five books. Among them is one the best biographies I have ever read, *All But My Lift*, which recounts her experiences before and after the Holocaust. The award winning documentary, *One Survivor Remembers*, is based upon *All But My Life* and won an Emmy Award and the Academy Award for documentary short subject.

The glass is always half full with Mrs. Weissmann Klein. She has personally witnessed the very worst of humanity, yet she rises above it to promote courage, compassion, forgiveness, and the meaning of freedom.

I was fortunate to meet Mrs. Weissmann Klein when she was in Ohio to speak with a school group. She has spoken with many students throughout the country, including those in my own Congressional District in Southwest Ohio.

Mrs. Weissmann Klein will receive the Presidential Medal of Freedom in early 2011. I hope that all our colleagues in the House will join us in congratulating her on this well-deserved honor.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I again urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this measure, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from California (Ms. CHU) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 1743, as amended.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and the

Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

The point of no quorum is considered withdrawn.

HARRY T. AND HARRIETTE MOORE POST OFFICE

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5446) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 600 Florida Avenue in Cocoa, Florida, as the "Harry T. and Harriette Moore Post Office".

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 5446

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. HARRY T. AND HARRIETTE MOORE POST OFFICE.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The facility of the United States Postal Service located at 600 Florida Avenue in Cocoa, Florida, shall be known and designated as the "Harry T. and Harriette Moore Post Office".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the facility referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the "Harry T. and Harriette Moore Post Office".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. CHU) and the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from California.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from California?

There was no objection.

Ms. CHU. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 5446, a bill to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 600 Florida Avenue in Cocoa, Florida, as the Harry T. and Harriette Moore Post Office.

H.R. 5446 was introduced by our colleague, the gentleman from Florida, Representative BILL POSEY, on May 27, 2010.

Mr. Speaker, Harry Tyson Moore was born in Houston, Florida, on November 18, 1905. He excelled in his schooling and graduated from Bethune-Cookman College in 1936. He soon after accepted a teaching position in a segregated school in Cocoa, Florida, and later became principal of the Titusville Colored School in Brevard County. There, he met Harriette Simms. The two married on Christmas Day, 1926, and had two daughters, Annie and Juanita.

After the birth of their two daughters, Harry and Harriette Moore found-

ed the first branch of the NAACP in Brevard County, Florida, in 1934. They also helped the Florida State Conference of the NAACP in 1941, and Harry Moore took an unpaid position as its secretary.

The Moores led a successful effort to advance civil rights. In 1937, Harry Moore filed the first lawsuit in the Deep South to equalize salaries of black teachers with white teachers in public schools. This effort failed at first, but it laid the foundation for other lawsuits that succeeded.

In 1943, Moore began reviewing every incident of lynching involving black people in the State of Florida, taking affidavits from victims' families and launching investigations. He pursued this effort for the rest of his life.

In 1944, the Supreme Court ruled in *Smith v. Allwright* that all-white primaries in Texas and other States were unconstitutional, a major victory for the NAACP. Moore then organized a group called the Progressive Voters League and spent 6 years registering over 116,000 black voters in the Florida Democratic Party. This activism led the Florida public school system to fire and blacklist the Moores in 1946, after which Harry Moore became a full-time paid activist for the Florida NAACP.

In July 1949, he got involved in a police brutality case in Groveland, Florida, where he uncovered evidence that four black men accused of rape had been beaten. Moore leveled brutality charges against Lake County Sheriff Willis McCall. Three of the defendants were convicted in 1949, but two of the convictions were overturned by the U.S. Supreme Court. Lake County prepared to put the two on trial again, and on November 6, 1951, while Sheriff McCall drove them back to Lake County for a pretrial hearing, he shot the two handcuffed men, killing one and critically wounding the second. Moore immediately called for Sheriff McCall to be suspended and indicted for murder.

Six weeks later, on Christmas Day, 1951, Harry Moore was killed when a bomb exploded beneath the floor joists under his bed. Harriette died of her injuries 9 days later. Harry Moore was the first NAACP official murdered in the civil rights struggle, and Harry and Harriette Moore remain the only married couple to be murdered for their activism in the era. The FBI was unable to file charges due to a lack of evidence, but it was suspected to be the work of central Florida members of the Ku Klux Klan.

Harry Moore has been called the first martyr of the 1950s civil rights movement. The Moores' murder sent a shock around the country and around the world, drawing attention to the movement.

Mr. Speaker, the extensive efforts of the Moores during the civil rights movement is worthy of our greatest

praise. Let us now pay tribute to the life and work of Harry T. and Harriette Moore by designating the postal facility on Florida Avenue in Cocoa, Florida, in their honor. I urge my colleagues to vote in favor of H.R. 5446.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, let me simply say the majority has done a wonderful and thorough job of describing the justification for this bill. I commend Mr. POSEY, our colleague from Florida, for introducing this bill and urge support of this bill.

Mr. POSEY. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to rise today in support of legislation I introduced earlier this year (H.R. 5446) to name the U.S. Post Office in Cocoa, Florida in honor of Harry T. and Harriette Moore.

Harry T. Moore and his wife Harriette Moore served as pioneer trailblazers of the modern civil rights movement, propelling the struggle for justice and equality far beyond the borders of their home in Brevard County, Florida. Remembered for their dignity, compassion, and emphasis on education, Mr. and Mrs. Moore left a legacy that remains close to the hearts of community members; one that is sure to outlast the lengths of their lives that were so tragically cut short.

Harry began his first job as an elementary teacher at Monroe Elementary School in Cocoa, Florida in 1925. It is only fitting that the Post Office in the community where he began his service to this community bear his name. Two years later, Harry began a decade of service as a High School Principal in Titusville. Then, from 1936–1946 he served as Principal and Fifth and Sixth Grade teacher in Mims.

The couple first met in Brevard County when Harry was serving as a principal in Titusville and Harriette was an elementary school teacher. They were married on Christmas Day in 1926. They were blessed with two daughters and they committed the duration of their lives to the pursuit of civil justice for African Americans.

In 1934, the Moores founded the Brevard County Chapter of the NAACP, which led to a Statewide NAACP Conference in 1941. Mr. Moore served as the President of the Florida State Conference of NAACP chapters, as well as the founder and Executive Director of the Progressive Voters League. It was through these channels that the Moores propelled progress on such issues as equality, education, and voter registration. Their steadfast adherence to equality was not without a price, however, as both Mr. and Mrs. Moore were fired from their teaching jobs and found it difficult to find employment due to their activism. To proclaim them pillars of the community would be an understatement.

As the couple also celebrated their 25th wedding anniversary on Christmas Eve, 1951, a bomb exploded beneath their home. Mr. Moore died on the way to the hospital, and Mrs. Moore died as a result of her injuries 9 days later. The tragic murder, which cut short the lives of this heroic couple, sparked an even more resounding outcry for civil rights.

Having recognized the profound impact the Moores made on the community, Brevard County has since honored them by designating their homesite a Florida Historical Heritage Landmark, creating the Harry T. and Harriette Moore Memorial Park and Interpretive Center, and naming its Justice Center after the trailblazing couple. Additionally, the NAACP posthumously awarded Mr. Moore the Spingarn Medal for outstanding achievement by an African American. Both of these fine citizens undoubtedly touched the lives of others with the dedication, integrity, persistence, compassion, and commitment each of them so courageously demonstrated.

Approving H.R. 5446 will further honor the achievements and sacrifices of Harry T. and Harriette Moore, the leaders and first martyrs of our Nation's modern civil rights era. By designating the United States Postal Service located at 600 Florida Avenue in Cocoa, Florida as the "Harry T. and Harriette Moore Post Office", we will commemorate the Moores' legacy in a town where Mr. Moore began his service to others. This will serve as a reminder to this community of the important and lasting contribution the Moore's made to Cocoa and the Nation. I urge my colleagues to support me on this measure.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, I again urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this measure, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from California (Ms. CHU) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 5446.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Ms. CHU. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of XX and the Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

CENSUS OVERSIGHT EFFICIENCY AND MANAGEMENT REFORM ACT OF 2010

Mrs. MALONEY. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 3167) to amend title 13 of the United States Code to provide for a 5-year term of office for the Director of the Census and to provide for the authority and duties of the Director and Deputy Director of the Census, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 3167

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Census Oversight Efficiency and Management Reform Act of 2010".

SEC. 2. AUTHORITY AND DUTIES OF DIRECTOR AND DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF THE CENSUS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 21 of the title 13, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"§ 21. Director of the Census; Deputy Director of the Census; authority and duties

"(a) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section—

"(1) 'Director' means the Director of the Census;

"(2) 'Deputy Director' means the Deputy Director of the Census; and

"(3) 'function' includes any duty, obligation, power, authority, responsibility, right, privilege, activity, or program.

"(b) DIRECTOR OF THE CENSUS.—

"(1) APPOINTMENT.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The Bureau shall be headed by a Director of the Census, appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.

"(B) QUALIFICATIONS.—Such appointment shall be made from individuals who have a demonstrated ability in managing large organizations and experience in the collection, analysis, and use of statistical data.

"(2) GENERAL AUTHORITY AND DUTIES.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The Director shall report directly to the Secretary without being required to report through any other official of the Department of Commerce.

"(B) DUTIES.—The Director shall perform such duties as may be imposed upon the Director by law, regulation, or orders of the Secretary.

"(C) INDEPENDENCE OF DIRECTOR.—No officer or agency of the United States shall have any authority to require the Director to submit legislative recommendations, or testimony, or comments for review prior to the submission of such recommendations, testimony, or comments to Congress if such recommendations, testimony, or comments to Congress include a statement indicating that the views expressed therein are those of the Bureau and do not necessarily represent the views of the President.

"(3) TERM OF OFFICE.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The term of office of the Director shall be 5 years, and shall begin on January 1, 2012, and every fifth year thereafter. An individual may not serve more than 2 full terms as Director.

"(B) VACANCIES.—Any individual appointed to fill a vacancy in such position, occurring before the expiration of the term for which such individual's predecessor was appointed, shall be appointed for the remainder of that term. The Director may serve after the end of the Director's term until reappointed or until a successor has been appointed, but in no event longer than 1 year after the end of such term.

"(C) REMOVAL.—An individual serving as Director may be removed from office by the President. The President shall communicate in writing the reasons for any such removal to both Houses of Congress not later than 60 days before the removal.

"(4) FUNCTIONS.—The Director shall be responsible for the exercise of all powers and the discharge of all duties of the Bureau, and shall have authority and control over all personnel and activities thereof.

"(5) ORGANIZATION.—The Director may establish, alter, consolidate, or discontinue such organizational units or components within the Bureau as the Director considers necessary or appropriate, except that this paragraph shall not apply with respect to any unit or component provided for by law.

"(6) ADVISORY COMMITTEES.—

"(A) ADVISORY COMMITTEES GENERALLY.—

“(i) **AUTHORITY TO ESTABLISH.**—The Director may establish such advisory committees as the Director considers appropriate to provide advice with respect to any function of the Director.

“(ii) **COMPENSATION AND EXPENSES.**—Members of any advisory committee established under clause (i) shall serve without compensation, but shall be entitled to transportation expenses and per diem in lieu of subsistence in accordance with section 5703 of title 5.

“(B) **TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY COMMITTEE.**—

“(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of the Census Oversight Efficiency and Management Reform Act of 2010, the Director shall establish a technology advisory committee under subparagraph (A).

“(ii) **MEMBERSHIP.**—Members of the technology advisory committee shall be selected from the public, private, and academic sectors from among those who have experience in technologies and services relevant to the planning and execution of the census.

“(iii) **DUTIES.**—The technology advisory committee shall make recommendations to the Director and publish reports on the use of commercially available technologies and services to improve efficiencies and manage costs in the implementation of the census and census-related activities, including pilot projects.

“(7) **REGULATIONS.**—The Director may, in consultation with the Secretary, prescribe such rules and regulations as the Director considers necessary or appropriate to carry out the functions of the Director.

“(8) **DELEGATIONS, ETC.**—The Director may assign duties, and delegate, or authorize successive redelegations of, authority to act and to render decisions, to such officers and employees of the Bureau as the Director may find necessary. Within the limitations of such assignments, delegations, or redelegations, all official acts and decisions of such officers and employees shall have the same force and effect as though performed or rendered by the Director. An assignment, delegation, or redelegation under this paragraph may not take effect before the date on which notice of such assignment, delegation, or redelegation (as the case may be) is published in the Federal Register.

“(9) **OTHER AUTHORITIES.**—

“(A) **PERSONNEL.**—Subject to sections 23 and 24, but notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Director, in carrying out the functions of the Director or the Bureau, may use the services of officers and other personnel in other Federal agencies, including personnel of the Armed Forces, with the consent of the head of the agency concerned.

“(B) **VOLUNTARY SERVICES.**—Notwithstanding section 1342 of title 31, or any other provision of law, the Director may accept and use voluntary and uncompensated services.

“(c) **DEPUTY DIRECTOR.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—There shall be in the Bureau a Deputy Director of the Census, who shall be appointed by and serve at the pleasure of the Director. The position of Deputy Director shall be a career reserved position within the meaning of section 3132(a)(8) of title 5.

“(2) **FUNCTIONS.**—The Deputy Director shall perform such functions as the Director shall designate.

“(3) **TEMPORARY AUTHORITY TO PERFORM FUNCTIONS OF DIRECTOR.**—The provisions of sections 3345 through 3349d of title 5 shall apply with respect to the office of Director. The first assistant to the office of Director is

the Deputy Director for purposes of applying such provisions.”.

(b) **TRANSITION RULES.**—

(1) **APPOINTMENT OF INITIAL DIRECTOR.**—The initial Director of the Bureau of the Census shall be appointed in accordance with the provisions of section 21(b) of title 13, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a).

(2) **INTERIM ROLE OF CURRENT DIRECTOR OF THE CENSUS AFTER DATE OF ENACTMENT.**—If, as of January 1, 2012, the initial Director of the Bureau of the Census has not taken office, the officer serving on December 31, 2011, as Director of the Census (or Acting Director of the Census, if applicable) in the Department of Commerce—

(A) shall serve as the Director of the Bureau of the Census;

(B) shall assume the powers and duties of such Director, until the initial Director has taken office; and

(C) shall report directly to the Secretary of Commerce.

(c) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The item relating to section 21 in the table of sections for chapter 1 of title 13, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“21. Director of the Census; Deputy Director of the Census; authority and duties.”.

(d) **TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Not later than January 1, 2011, the Secretary of Commerce, in consultation with the Director of the Census, shall submit to each House of the Congress draft legislation containing any technical and conforming amendments to title 13, United States Code, and any other provisions which may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this Act.

SEC. 3. INTERNET RESPONSE OPTION.

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Census, shall provide a plan to Congress on how the Bureau of the Census will test, develop, and implement an Internet response option for the 2020 Census and the American Community Survey. The plan shall include a description of how and when feasibility will be tested, the stakeholders to be consulted, when and what data will be collected, and how data will be protected.

SEC. 4. ANNUAL REPORTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subchapter I of chapter 1 of title 13, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§ 17. Annual reports

“(a) Not later than the date of the submission of the President's budget request for a fiscal year under section 1105 of title 31, the Director of the Census shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a comprehensive status report on the next decennial census, beginning with the 2020 decennial census. Each report shall include the following information:

“(1) A description of the Bureau's performance goals for each significant decennial operation, including the performance measures for each operation.

“(2) An assessment of the risks associated with each significant decennial operation, including the interrelationships between the operations and a description of relevant mitigation plans.

“(3) Detailed milestone estimates for each significant decennial operation, including estimated testing dates, and justification for any changes to milestone estimates.

“(4) Updated cost estimates for the life cycle of the decennial census, including sensitivity analysis and an explanation of significant changes in the assumptions on which such cost estimates are based.

“(5) A detailed description of all contracts over \$50,000,000 entered into for each significant decennial operation, including—

“(A) any changes made to the contracts from the previous fiscal year;

“(B) justification for the changes; and

“(C) actions planned or taken to control growth in such contract costs.

“(b) For purposes of this section, the term ‘significant decennial operation’ includes any program or information technology related to—

“(1) the development of an accurate address list;

“(2) data collection, processing, and dissemination;

“(3) recruiting and hiring of temporary employees;

“(4) marketing, communications, and partnerships; and

“(5) coverage measurement.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for chapter 1 of title 13, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 16 the following new item:

“17. Annual reports.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to budget requests for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2010.

□ 1530

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from New York (Mrs. MALONEY) and the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. MCHENRY) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from New York.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mrs. MALONEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks.

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from New York?

There was no objection.

Mrs. MALONEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of the Census Oversight Efficiency and Management Reform Act, important bipartisan legislation that I introduced with my colleague, Congressman CHARLES DENT. Senators CARPER and COBURN in a bipartisan way introduced the companion bill in the Senate that recently passed the Senate unanimously, 100 votes in favor, and is now before us today.

This is a strong bill with no cost to American taxpayers, and it will help ensure a better census for 2020 and beyond. It is bipartisan, it is good government, it is scientific independence, and it is good management. This important reform ensures consistent, professional management of this major scientific agency and minimizes political interference of its vital constitutional mission.

I am grateful to Senators CARPER and COBURN for their bipartisan leadership on this issue in the Senate. Now we in the House must commit to forward

thinking to avoid problems in the run-up to the 2020 census.

Our country owes a great deal to James Madison and Thomas Jefferson for handing down the innovation of a census whose goal is to ensure fair political representation. If we want to attract future census leaders in their mold, we must give the Census Bureau the professional independence called for in this bill.

This bill is a way of saying to the American public that we honor the intent of the Framers and we acknowledge the incredible participation of the public earlier this year to make the 2010 census a success.

Madam Speaker, all seven former living census directors, who served Republican and Democratic Presidents, support this bill: Vincent P. Barabba, who worked for Presidents Nixon, Ford and Carter; John G. Keane who worked for President Reagan; Barbara Everitt Bryant who worked for the first President Bush; and Martha Farnsworth Riche and Kenneth Prewitt who worked for President Clinton; and Charles Louis Kincannon and Steven H. Murdock who worked for George W. Bush, the former directors support an advocacy on behalf of this bill; and they testified at congressional hearings, met with congressional leaders on this bill, and their participation underscores the importance of the reforms needed to ensure that the Census Bureau is able to best perform its constitutional mandate of providing a fair and accurate census count.

I worked with Senators CARPER and COBURN and my colleague, Congressman DENT, to develop this bill based on the experiences of the seven former bipartisan census directors and what they thought we need to overcome the challenges which plagued each of the last four censuses in 1980, 1990, 2000, and in the planning for the 2010 census. This bill enjoys bipartisan support across scientific, social, and political groups. They support it in a bipartisan way, from the leadership conference on civil rights representing over 200 civil rights organizations to NATEO, the National Association of Latino Elected Officials, to a host of scientific organizations, including the American Statistical Association. With Senator CARPER and Senator COBURN's leadership in the Senate, it passed unanimously.

What this bill would do is give the director the independence to report directly to the Secretary of Commerce. It would make the director of the Census Bureau a Presidential term appointment of 5 years with the 10-year census cycle split into two 5-year phases, planning and implementation.

Further, it strengthens overall transparency and oversight of census management which is a very good thing. This legislation would allow the Census director to report to Congress and the Commerce Secretary directly on the

needs at Census to help prevent challenges such as the failure to develop handheld computer technology for the 2010 census, and to have the power to say to Congress when he or she disagrees with an administration position on the census.

After nearly 2 years of consideration and debate on the ideas in this bill in both the House and the Senate, and now at the 11th hour and 59th minute, after the Senate approves it unanimously, the Commerce Department last night sent over their concerns. The Secretary supports the 5-year term for the Census director, but seeks some changes to the bill's requirements that the Census director be required to respond completely to Congress in testimony and to the requirement that the highest levels of management at Commerce take responsibility for oversight of Census management.

Of course, this is precisely what we in Congress seek to change. These two provisions are about accountability and transparency. We in Congress are insisting that we finally end the musical chairs of Census management we witnessed over the past several decades and that when we ask questions, we actually get the answers. We must allow the career professionals at the Census to be able to tell us what is on their mind.

This bill will remove barriers that prevented Congress from knowing the full story and planning for 2010, which put the census at risk before Congress acted to fully fund a new design. I am confident this bill will ensure Congress will get the information we deserve, to get us a fairly and fully funded census no matter who occupies the White House in 2020.

There are some who may not like this bill or have additional ideas for reform, but I deeply believe we can build on this legislation and continue to work together in a bipartisan way to ensure a fair and accurate full census count. There is no reason we cannot move forward on this issue today and enact these commonsense reforms now.

The next census will occur during a Presidential election, which runs the risk of disrupting the operation. We must act now to ensure we can get a professional manager like Dr. Groves at Census long before 2020. We need to bring focus, transparency, and accountability to the process of planning and implementing the census. There is too much at stake for our constitutional government, for the fair description of over \$400 billion in Federal funds, and for the basic trust in our statistical system to do anything less than enact this bill. With the Senate action, now is the time to get this bill to the President. We can show the American public Congress can work together in a bipartisan fashion to reform government and get results.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 1540

Mr. MCHENRY. Madam Speaker, today I rise in strong opposition to S. 3167. In the last 2 years, I have served as the ranking member of the Census Subcommittee here in the House. We have had no legislative hearing, no markup, no substantive discussions about the content of this legislation. And here we are at the last hour of a lame duck Congress and they are trying to pass a piece of legislation that hasn't had an honest-to-goodness legislative hearing or a markup.

Well, I guess that is what we have seen over the last 24 months out of this Congress, a disregard for the legislative process. I don't think it is responsible for us to pass a major piece of legislation like this, and it does have a major impact. I certainly appreciate my colleague submitting ideas such as this to improve the census, but I don't think this is the right approach.

To that end, I would like to submit for the RECORD a letter from the Department of Commerce signed by Secretary Gary Locke dated December 13 stating his opposition to components of this legislation.

I think it is important that we should not reward the Census Bureau for a repeated history of cost overruns and mishaps. These suggest that the Bureau needs more, not less, oversight.

S. 3167 would grant greater autonomy to the Census Director. The bill would have the director bypass the Commerce Under Secretary for Economics and Statistics Administration, ESA, in favor of a direct report to the Commerce Secretary. Each Commerce Secretary has opposed this proposal. In fact, the current Commerce Secretary has 16 direct reports, so this further puts in place a process that I don't think is sustainable for this Commerce Secretary or future Commerce Secretaries.

Yesterday, the current Commerce Secretary, Gary Locke, wrote a letter to the Congress stating, The department strongly opposes the provisions of this bill enabling the Census Bureau Director to submit legislative recommendations or testimony to Congress without supervisory review.

This letter also expresses concern about the provisions of the bill that would require the Director of the Census Bureau to report directly to the Secretary of Commerce.

With regard to these views, it is worth pointing out that it is the Commerce Secretary himself who is granted the statutory authority to carry out the decennial census. The Census Bureau will have less oversight if it reports directly to the Secretary, as I stated before, because the Secretary has 16 direct reports.

The Census Director currently reports to the Under Secretary for Economic Affairs, who heads ESA. It is the role of ESA to coordinate economic

data, some of which is provided to the Census Bureau's Economic Directorate. Granting the Census Bureau autonomy from ESA will hinder the coordination of economic data, and certainly we are a world leader in terms of our regard for economic data.

The Census Bureau wants to operate as independently as possible. That certainly is understandable. But it is also important to recognize a history of cost overruns the Bureau has had under Democrat and Republican administrations, and the GAO has put the 2010 census on its high risk list due to concerns of mismanagement within the Census Bureau. Again, that was a bipartisan problem. Congress should not reward fiscal irresponsibility by granting additional autonomy.

Madam Speaker, this bill does not establish accountability. It does not require the Census Bureau to have an inspector general, which I think would be proper. It does not establish fiscal constraints. It does not even provide guidelines on the questions and surveys that it can ask the American people. And it doesn't require the Bureau to produce alternative methods of procuring statistical data, such as partnerships with universities and the private sector, which I think is necessary and proper in this time that we are in.

Madam Speaker, consideration of this bill is premature. The 2010 census results will be released one week from today. The Bureau just announced that yesterday. But crucial information concerning the statistical confidence level of the data will not be released until early next year.

Again, this bill is premature. I certainly appreciate my colleague's interest in this, but I think it is better handled in the next Congress, where we can actually have an honest-to-goodness, I don't know, legislative hearing perhaps, maybe even a markup of a bill, and actually get some input from both sides. Just because the Senate passed it doesn't mean the House should rubberstamp it, by any means. But I do think we should have serious consideration of this bill and do it under the light of day, not at the 11th hour of a dying Congress.

So, that is my view, and I think that is the view of many colleagues on my side of the aisle as well.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE,
THE SECRETARY OF COMMERCE,
Washington, DC, December 13, 2010.

Hon. EDOLPHUS TOWNS,
Chairman, Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: This letter provides the views of the Department of Commerce on the Senate-passed version of S. 3167, the "Census Oversight Efficiency and Management Reform Act of 2010." Your continued work on behalf of the Census Bureau is appreciated, and we look forward to working with you to make it an even better agency.

The Department of Commerce's goal is to ensure that the Census Bureau functions as a

transparent, cost-effective, and competent organization that is successful in planning and implementing its programs, while maintaining its statistical integrity and freedom from political interference. Therefore, we consider it crucial that we convey the following assessment of several provisions of the legislation as passed by the Senate.

The Department supports the provision of the bill that would create a five-year fixed term for the Census Bureau Director beginning on January 1, 2012. A five-year term (limiting an individual to two full terms) for the Census Bureau Director helps avoid political interference by giving the Director more stability across election cycles. This stability conforms to well-accepted principles and practices of independence for federal statistical agencies and is the current status of the appointment of the Commissioner of the Bureau of Labor Statistics and of the National Center for Education Studies. It may mitigate the risk of having long periods after elections where there is no Senate-confirmed Director, particularly in those years leading up to a decennial census. At the close of the 2010 Census, five of the last seven decennial censuses will have been led by a Director appointed in the year ending in 9 or 0, leading to management uncertainty at critical times during the decennial census cycle.

However, the Department strongly opposes the provision of the bill that could be construed to enable the Census Bureau Director to submit legislative recommendations or testimony or comments to Congress without supervisory review, and has concerns about the provision of the bill that would require the Director of the Census Bureau to report directly to the Secretary of Commerce.

With respect to the proposal concerning the submission of the Census Bureau Director's views directly to Congress where the views include a statement indicating that they do not represent the views of the President, the Department of Justice advises us that in order to avoid infringing on the powers reserved to the President under the Recommendations Clause of the Constitution, the Executive Branch would construe the word "officer," in that provision, not to include the President, thereby allowing him to direct the review of the Director's legislative recommendations, testimony and comments prior to their submission to Congress. Even as so construed, however, the proposal would overreach into Executive Branch prerogatives by bypassing normal communication and decision-making channels within the Executive Branch, and therefore we oppose it. There are always a variety of data policy issues under discussion that are often the subject of testimony or that emerge as legislative recommendations out of a broader Executive Branch decision-making process. It is a prerogative of the Executive Branch to speak with one voice on such issues. The ability to speak with one voice on policy matters does not interfere with statistical agencies' ability to provide unfiltered statistical data. A key principle of government statistical agencies worldwide is that the credibility of the information they provide depends on the public perceiving these data as independent of political intervention.

In addition to this provision, we have concerns about the provision in the bill that would require the Director of the Census Bureau to report directly to the Secretary of Commerce. This provision unnecessarily limits the Secretary's discretion to establish an organizational structure that best suits the needs of the Department.

The Director of the Census Bureau has full access and accountability to the Secretary of Commerce. However, there are sound reasons for maintaining the current reporting relationship of the Census Bureau to the Economics and Statistics Administration (ESA). The Under Secretary for Economic Affairs, who heads ESA, serves as the principal adviser to the Secretary on economic issues and also oversees the activities of the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA). The Under Secretary is responsible for the analysis and development of policies on economic and statistical issues. One of ESA's primary roles is to interpret the mission of statistical agencies to senior officials within the Department, ensuring that the Office of Management and Budget directives of independence and freedom from political influences are maintained.

Like you, I believe that independence from partisan political interference and effective management oversight and accountability of the Census Bureau is a top priority. I encourage you to take into consideration our concerns and accept our recommendations on this important issue. We are always looking for ways to improve the Census Bureau and look forward to continuing to work with you on this and other important matters.

The Department appreciates the opportunity to present these views on the Senate-passed version of S. 3167. The Office of Management and Budget has advised that there is no objection to the transmittal of these views from the standpoint of the Administration's program.

If you have any questions, please contact me or April Boyd, Assistant Secretary for Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs, at (202) 482-3663.

Sincerely,

GARY LOCKE.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. MALONEY. Madam Speaker, I appreciate the gentleman's statement. As he knows, this is an issue that many of us in a bipartisan way have worked on for many years.

As you know, my original bill did create an independent Office of the Census, which by definition would have had an IG. But at the protest of some of my colleagues and some in the Commerce Department, we kept it in the Commerce Department, but with independence, so that the Census Director could speak honestly and truth. I don't think any of us want to keep someone from testifying in an honest and truthful way and having their words possibly changed by someone else.

I would like to place in the RECORD a series of editorials in support of this bill, from USA Today and many others. There have been hearings on it, including one in the Joint Economic Committee, where seven former Census Directors in a bipartisan way testified in support of this bill.

I would also like to place in the RECORD the testimony of Dr. Bryant, a Republican Census Director, and other Republican Census Directors, along with Dr. Pruitt and others, their testimony on how we can get a more reliable, transparent, usable census for accuracy for the American people.

I would now like to yield such time as she may consume to a very outstanding member of the committee, the gentlewoman from the District of Columbia (Ms. NORTON).

Ms. NORTON. Madam Speaker, I want to thank the gentlewoman from New York (Mrs. MALONEY) for her initiative in seeing a problem and seeing to it that we deal with this problem while we have got a hand on it.

Actually, her bill is very timely. The census is about to come out for the past 10 years, on December 30, and I can tell you, Madam Speaker, as a member of the subcommittee with jurisdiction over the census that the census has been controversial throughout my service in the Congress. Time and again we have seen these controversies before this committee. But the census should never be controversial. Neither should a bill that fixes much of what is wrong with the census in a systemic way, and handily passed the other body, which doesn't pass much these days.

When it comes to the constitutionally required census, there really is only one way to act, and that is preventatively. I just want to say to the House that the handwriting is on the proverbial congressional wall. We were shocked that only a couple of years ago there was a serious and very expensive design change in the upcoming census so close to when the new census was coming into operation.

Now, somebody should have seen this coming, certainly before our committee found it out and was left with no choice. Remember, this is the constitutionally required census. Thus, there was no choice but to accept the added cost. If my friends on the other side are interested in reducing costs, the way to begin is with this bill, which, it seems to me, ought to be a consensus bill right now.

Crisis has been a part of every census in modern times, yet most of what Congress does, if you think about it, is not required; our appropriations bills, the defense bills, but the census is constitutionally required. It should not be buried. The census and those who are responsible for the census should not be buried in an agency bureaucracy. Direct reporting to the Secretary, not taking the Census Bureau from the jurisdiction of the Secretary, but direct reporting to the Secretary of Commerce and complete independence with a 5-year term, is what this bill is after.

□ 1550

The bill also contains urgently needed management reforms. It should be a top priority of this Congress, as it faces a new census, to go home and be able to tell people, We have acted this time ahead of the next census to make that census less controversial than censuses since the past decennial census has been in the lifetime of all of us. The

care that has gone into this bill before our subcommittee and committee should be enough to say to the Congress this afternoon. The time has come to fix the decennial census once and for all.

Mr. MCHENRY. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, again, I rise in opposition to this legislation. I certainly appreciate the sponsor's thoughtfulness in creating it, but I think there are a number of provisions that do cause problems. And I agree with the Democrat Secretary of Commerce, the current Secretary of Commerce, with his opposition to large provisions within it.

In order to thoughtfully legislate on the decennial census, I think we need to have a hearing and I think we need to have a markup, and I think we can hash this out. And I am willing to work with my colleague from New York to make that happen in the next Congress, because I do think she comes from a sincere place with this legislation. Unfortunately, it is a piece of flawed legislation. I'll give you one example.

The Census Director will report directly to the Secretary of Commerce. Again, that Census Director will be one of 17 individuals with a direct report to the Secretary of Commerce. However, the Secretary of Commerce is not able to fire the Census Director. So, if he or she is a direct report to the Commerce Secretary, shouldn't the Commerce Secretary have the ability to fire that person if they're incompetent or inept rather than just letting them serve a 5-year term? That's one provision within the legislation that I think is troublesome.

Additionally, as the sponsor mentioned, there is no inspector general. And, with a multibillion-dollar census, I think it is important that we have IGs that actually have the power to oversee a program, and a program that's so large and so expensive.

This census has a \$3 billion cost overrun over its life cycle. Now, the individuals responsible for that weren't held accountable. But that \$3 billion, rather than rewarding the Bureau for having a cost overrun of that size by giving them independence and autonomy right now, I think we need to have some thoughtful consideration of how to ensure that we don't have future cost overruns like that.

With that, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. MALONEY. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I thank the gentleman for his concern and his thoughtful statements. If the gentleman is so determined for a markup, there's one bill that the Republican leadership has told us they must have, and that's the tax bill. Yet there's been no markup on that tax bill

or a hearing on that tax bill. And there have been hearings and discussions on this. I have testimony from numerous Republican Census Directors supporting it.

Now, as the gentleman knows—and we changed the bill in response to the gentleman's concerns and others. We did have it as an independent agency with an independent IG. We folded it back into Commerce, at your suggestion and others. And, as you know, the Commerce Department has an IG. They have their own IG. And if the gentleman in the next Congress would like to sponsor an amendment to add another IG at Commerce that looks totally at the Census Bureau, then I would certainly support the gentleman.

Now, the gentleman has written an op-ed which I found very interesting, and I appreciate your op-ed that appeared in Politico. You called for many things in this bill: transparency, independent voice, accountability. I repeat, because I know cost is an important factor now, this will cost no additional money to the taxpayer, not one additional cent. And I would like to join my good friend and colleague in the spirit of bipartisanship and the dedication of getting an accurate census for the American people that we pass this bill, and what the gentleman would like to add to it in the next Congress—and the gentleman's party is in charge and you will have the votes to add whatever you would like next year. And I certainly would like to work with you in a bipartisan way to add the gentleman's concerns, but there's absolutely no reason not to act on this when seven former Census Directors have come out strongly for it, including every Republican Census Director under former President Nixon, under former President Ford, under former President Reagan, and George Bush 41 and 43, G.W. and his father. So all of their Census Directors have come out in support of it.

I would also like to place into the RECORD the National Leadership Conference on Civil Rights, and they represent 200 different civil rights organizations, all in support of this bill; the National Association of Latino Elected and Appointed Officials, their letter in support of the bill; the Population Association of America and the Association of Population Centers, their letter of support for the bill; the American Association for Public Opinion Research, their statement in support of the bill; the American Planning Association, their statement in support of the bill; the American Statistical Association, who are dedicated to accurate numbers, their strong, strong support of accuracy in this bill; the Consortium of Social Science Associations, their support; the Latino Census Network, their strong support; the Mid-Region Council of Governments, their strong letter of support; and the National Institute for Latino Policy, their letter

of support; the Southeast Michigan Census Council, their support of the bill; and the Southern Demographic Association, their support of the bill.

So, as my good friend and colleague knows, there is strong support for this bill. Many allege that the Commerce opposition is just a turf battle. Well, the census is too important for a turf battle. I would support the gentleman's amendment to have an independent extra IG. If he wants two IGs on the Bureau, I would support it, or three or four or five. I would support the gentleman's extra oversight of what the Census Bureau is doing. But, mainly, we want them to be given the tools to get the job done. That is what this bill does.

THE LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE,
December 13, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.
Hon. JOHN BOEHNER,
Minority Leader, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SPEAKER PELOSI AND LEADER BOEHNER: On behalf of The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights, a coalition charged by its diverse membership of more than 200 national organizations to promote and protect the rights of all persons in the United States, we are writing to express our strong support for the Census Oversight Efficiency and Management Reform Act (S. 3167/H.R. 4945). The bill includes needed reforms that will improve the operations of the decennial Census and other Census activities, and ensure a count that does not disproportionately miss any segment of the population. With Senate passage of the bill by unanimous consent on December 8, we urge the House to swiftly pass the Senate-approved bill so that it can reach the President's desk by the end of the year.

The Census occurs on a constitutionally mandated ten-year cycle, but Presidential administrations run in four-year cycles that do not fit well with the complex planning and preparation timetable for the decennial count. This causes inevitable development and implementation difficulties due to a lack of consistency and, often, leadership vacuums. The bill's proposal to create a five-year term appointment for the Census Bureau Director is an important change that will allow the Census Bureau to avoid disruptions caused by changes in administrations, especially around the period of the decennial census.

As organizations that work to ensure that hard-to-count communities are fully included in the Census, we welcome the greater stability for the leadership of the Census Bureau that this legislation seeks to provide, which will in turn minimize disruptions in the Census and allow the Census Bureau to benefit from improved continuity in its overall operations. We also believe that the new line of authority from the Census Director to the Secretary of Commerce will help allow the Census Bureau to more nimbly address problems and issues that inevitably arise during the decennial census and other surveys.

It is critical that the bill be enacted promptly, since planning for the 2020 cen-

nal census is already underway. We urge swift passage of the bill.

Sincerely,

WADE HENDERSON,
President & CEO.
NANCY ZIRKIN,
Executive Vice President.

PARTNERSHIP COUNCIL MEMBERS,
December 13, 2010.

Hon. WILLIAM LACY CLAY,
Chairman, Subcommittee on Information Policy,
Census, and National Archives, House of
Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN CLAY: On behalf of the National Association of Latino Elected and Appointed Officials (NALEO) Educational Fund, I am writing to express our support for the Census Oversight Efficiency and Management Reform Act (H.R. 4945). The NALEO Educational Fund is one of the nation's leading organizations in the area of Census policy development and public education, and we are deeply committed to ensuring that the Census Bureau provides our nation with the most accurate count possible of its population.

We believe that H.R. 4945 would provide greater stability for the leadership of the Census Bureau and its operations by enhancing the continuity of the Bureau's overall operations. For example, the bill would establish a fixed five-year term of office for the Director of the Census Bureau, instead of the current practice, where the Director is generally appointed at the beginning of new Presidential Administrations.

The fixed five-year term established in H.R. 4945 is more consistent with the constitutionally mandated ten-year cycle of the Census enumeration, and would enable the Director to manage operations in a manner that would minimize the disruptions caused by leadership changes. From our experiences working with the Census Bureau on its efforts to reach and enumerate Latinos and other "hard to count" communities, we have learned that minor changes in the operations of the decennial Census can significantly impair the ability of the Bureau to effectively carry out its enumeration tasks. Seven former Census Directors, appointed by Presidents from both political parties, support H.R. 4945 because they believe it would enhance the ability of the agency to focus on its mission to conduct the Census in a timely and accurate manner.

We believe that H.R. 4945 takes positive steps toward providing greater stability for the decennial census and diminishing operational problems for Census 2020 and the decennial enumerations that will follow. We look forward to working on implementation of the legislation so that we achieve a solution that enables the Census Bureau to perform its essential function more effectively.

Sincerely,

ARTURO VARGAS,
Executive Director.

DECEMBER 13, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives, Washington,
DC.

Hon. EDOLPHUS TOWNS,
Chairman, Committee on Oversight and Govern-
ment Reform, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

Hon. LACY CLAY,
Chairman, Subcommittee on Information Policy,
Census and National Archives, Committee
on Oversight and Government Reform,
House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

Hon. JOHN BOEHNER,
Minority Leader, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

Hon. DARRELL ISSA,
Ranking Member, Committee on Oversight and
Government Reform, House of Representa-
tives, Washington, DC.

Hon. PATRICK MCHENRY,
Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Information
Policy, Census and National Archives, Com-
mittee on Oversight and Government Re-
form, House of Representatives, Wash-
ington, DC.

DEAR SPEAKER PELOSI, LEADER BOEHNER, CHAIRMAN TOWNS, RANKING MEMBER ISSA, CHAIRMAN CLAY, AND RANKING MEMBER MCHEMRY: With Senate passage of the "Census Oversight Efficiency and Management Reform Act of 2010" (S. 3167/H.R. 4945) by unanimous consent on December 8, we write to urge swift bipartisan passage of the Senate-approved bill in order for it to reach the President's desk by year's end. The bill represents an unprecedented opportunity to enact reasonable administrative reforms and grant the Census Bureau Director new authorities to run the agency more efficiently, openly, and authoritatively, all at no additional cost to the taxpayer.

In particular, we support the bill's proposal to create a five-year Presidential appointment for the Census Director. This important change would allow the Census Bureau to avoid disruptions caused by changes in administration, especially around the period of the decennial census. Enactment of the legislation in 2012 would mean that, under normal circumstances, a change in Directors would occur on a predictable schedule in years two and seven of each decade. We anticipate that the fixed term will translate to a higher level of continuity and professional independence in the Bureau's operations. For example, a fixed term would help avoid the circumstances in both 1999 and 2009, when the Census Bureau operated without a Senate-confirmed leader during final preparations for the decennial census.

We also support the bill's components to strengthen the director's position by establishing a direct line of reporting to the Secretary of Commerce, more latitude in communication with Congress, and generally more authority over the Census Bureau, including its personnel and operations. We believe these components will improve the Census Bureau's ability to respond to requests from Congress and the wide range of important data users in the public, private, and nonprofit sectors.

Finally, let us emphasize the importance of enacting this bill promptly, since planning for the 2020 decennial census is already underway.

We thank you for your leadership on issues important to preserving and enhancing the mission of the U.S. Census Bureau.

American Association for Public Opinion Research; American Planning Association; American Sociological Association; American Statistical Association; Arab American

Institute Foundation; Asian American Justice Center; Association of Academic Survey Research Organizations; Association of Population Centers; Consortium of Social Science Associations; Council of Professional Associations on Federal Statistics; Demos; Japanese American Citizens League; Latino Census Network; Marketing Research Association; Mid-Region Council of Governments; Moving Forward Gulf Coast, Inc.; National Association of Home Builders; National Association of Latino Elected and Appointed Officials (NALEO) Educational Fund; National Education Association; National Institute for Latino Policy; Nonprofit Voter Engagement Network; OCA; Population Association of America (PAA); Population Reference Bureau; Population Resource Center; Prison Policy Initiative; Project Vote; South Asian Americans Leading Together (SAALT); Southeast Michigan Census Council; Southern Demographic Association; and The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCHENRY. I again yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, just to address my colleague's comments about the opinion she imputed to me about this legislation and the way she changed the legislation to more meet with my opinion, those, Madam Speaker, were not my opinions. We have actually not had discussions about this legislation, my colleague and I from New York have not. So, just to be very clear, those opinions were someone else's; not mine.

Additionally, it is kind of interesting to throw in the tax debate regarding a piece of legislation about the census. I'm not going to take the bait. It's fine. We'll have a vote on that or we won't have a vote on that this Congress, depending on what the Speaker thinks. I certainly understand the concern about not having hearings and not having markups.

But I would say to my colleague from New York that it is her party that is in both the House and Senate and had every opportunity to schedule a hearing on this piece of legislation. They had every opportunity to schedule a markup on this piece of legislation, and they didn't. So, clearly, it is a problem not with my party, but it is a problem with my colleague from New York's party about getting that scheduled.

□ 1600

Madam Speaker, I do have serious concerns and, I think, legitimate concerns. I also understand how many folks operate in Congress: we take a pledge that we will fix it later, and that "later" never happens.

So what I would say to my colleague from New York is that, in the next Congress, I will be very happy to work with her to pass a reasonable piece of legislation which, I think, structurally will look different than this but which will take on some of the concerns that she has about the Census Bureau.

I am truly concerned about making sure that our statistical agencies are

independent, independent from political influence like this President tried to have at the beginning of his term in office by having the Census Director report directly to then-Chief of Staff Rahm Emanuel. We came out opposed to that. It was my colleagues on the other side of the aisle who wanted that. We came out opposed to the statistical manipulation of the outcome of the census, which some in the other party were very much in favor of. It is true that there are political disagreements between Republicans and Democrats, but I think we all want to have a fair and accurate census.

I also want to have a census that is cheaper in the future than it has been in the past. We have had a cost overrun of \$3 billion. As I would mention to my colleague from New York, the entire budget of the Department of Commerce is just shy of \$9 billion annually. They had a \$3 billion cost overrun within just the Census. That is an enormous sum, and I think it is worthy of having an Inspector General to make sure that this doesn't happen again. So that is my concern.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. MALONEY. I appreciate the gentleman's concerns. We should pass this bill. Then, in the new Congress, you can add your amendments or your ideas to the underlying bill. This is a strong bill with no cost to the American taxpayers, no increased cost; and it will help ensure a better census for 2010.

As I said, it has very strong bipartisan support, particularly from the seven living former Census Directors, who served Republican and Democratic Presidents. They support this bill. They testified before Congress that this bill would make a stronger, more accountable, transparent census. The former Directors' support and advocacy on behalf of this bill, I believe, underscores the importance of the reforms needed to ensure that the Census Bureau is able to best perform its constitutional mandate of providing a fair and accurate census count.

Again, I want to underscore: How many times have we seen a bill come over with 100 Senators who are in support of it—totally unanimous? totally bipartisan?

I am confident that this bill will ensure that Congress will get the information we need and deserve in order to get us a fair and fully funded Census which is independent and will respond, no matter who occupies the White House. In other words, this is a very important bill, so I urge a strong bipartisan vote in support of it.

S. 3167 Endorsed by seven former Census Directors: Vincent P. Barabba (1973–1976; 1979–1981), John G. Keane (1984–1989), Barbara Everitt Bryant (1989–1993), Martha Farnsworth Riche (1994–1998), Kenneth Prewitt (1998–2001), Charles Louis Kincannon (2002–2008), and Steven H. Murdock (2008–2009).

S. 3167 Endorsed by: National Leadership Conference on Civil Rights (LCCR); National Association of Latino Elected and Appointed Officials (NALEO) Educational Fund; Population Association of America (PAA) and the Association of Population Centers (APC); American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR); American Planning Association; American Statistical Association (ASA); Arab American Institute Foundation; Consortium of Social Science Associations (COSSA); Latino Census Network; Mid-Region Council of Governments; National Institute for Latino Policy (NILP); Population Resource Center; Prison Policy Initiative; Southeast Michigan Census Council (SEMCC) and Southern Demographic Association (SDA).

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. CHU). The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mr. MCHENRY. Madam Speaker, I am sorry my colleague used up her time.

I am going to close by saying that anyone who wants an accountable Census Bureau will oppose this bill. Instead of saying we want an independent bureau that is not accountable, I think we are saying we want an independent bureau that is accountable. That is why I am going to oppose this bill.

I ask my colleagues—those who want fiscal sanity, those who want proper oversight of the Census, those who want reasonable legislating, which actually means we would have a hearing and a markup—to vote "no" on this bill.

I would also mention to my colleague from New York that her legislation we are discussing here today got just about as many votes as that tax deal that she opposes. So you can take this for all you want as to what the Senate does, but the census affects every Member of the House, all of our constituents, our statistical reporting agencies, and our economic bureaus as well. We want to make sure we get this legislation right, but it is highly flawed as it is currently constructed; and it would mean further cost overruns going forward.

With that, I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on this legislation.

Mr. DENT. Madam Speaker, I rise today in strong support of S. 3167, the Census Oversight Efficiency and Management Reform Act.

S. 3167 will provide needed independence and autonomy to the U.S. Census Bureau.

This bill will ensure a census count that is fair, accurate, and free from political bias.

I am proud to have cosponsored the House version of this bill, H.R. 4945 with CAROLYN MALONEY of New York.

This bipartisan, good government reform measure passed the Senate by unanimous consent last week.

Senator COBURN was the lead Senate Republican cosponsor and supported passage of the bill.

S. 3167 is endorsed by seven former census directors, appointed by and who served in Republican and Democrat administrations.

S. 3167 will allow the Director of the Census Bureau to give candid opinions and testimony

to Congress and the Commerce Secretary on the needs of the Bureau.

The Census is a scientific agency, similar to the National Science Foundation or NASA. Its statistical mission should be unencumbered by increased political bias and bureaucracy.

These reforms will ensure that Congress receives the most accurate information on issues facing the census directly from the Bureau and without interference from political appointees.

S. 3167 is supported by the American Statistical Association, the American Planning Association, the Council of Professional Associations on Federal Statistics, the Association of Academic Survey Research Organizations, and other members of the scientific community.

Accurate census data is needed to properly account for congressional apportionment and a wide range of government services, including: Medicare, Social Security, veterans' health services, assistance to farmers, TANF, community development grants, federal housing assistance, and road and highway construction.

Making the Census Director a 5-year Presidential term appointment will prevent Presidential politics from interfering in selection.

The American people expect Congress to improve the efficiency and accountability of government through common sense bipartisan reforms. We can do this today through this bill with no cost to the taxpayers.

The Senate passed this legislation unanimously, and I hope the House will send this bill to the President for signature into law.

Mr. BACA. Madam Speaker, I rise in strong support of S. 3167, the Census Oversight Efficiency and Management Reform Act.

The 2010 Census is complete and results will be made public soon. However, we must think ahead now for the 2020 Census.

The bill's proposal to create a five-year term appointment for the Census Bureau Director is an important change that will allow the Census Bureau to avoid disruptions caused by changes in administrations, especially around the period of the decennial census.

The accuracy of the Census is tied to advance planning and careful design, and must be ensured to the best extent possible.

An accurate count means that proportionate amounts of federal dollars go back home to our Districts, to our schools, to our court-houses. We must remember that the Census count is not just a number; it affects the livelihood of all our communities.

Gaps in management and leadership hurt all of us, not just those at the Census Bureau.

This bill will provide greater stability for the leadership of the Census Bureau and its operations by enhancing the continuity of the Bureau's overall operations.

In fact, seven former Census Directors, appointed by Presidents from both political parties, support this bill because they believe it would enhance the ability of the agency to focus on its mission to conduct the Census in a timely and accurate manner.

I urge my colleagues to support S. 3167, because it takes positive steps toward providing greater stability for the decennial census and diminishing operational problems.

Mr. TOWNS. Madam Speaker, S. 3167 is an important, bipartisan measure that will help

ensure stable, effective management at the Census Bureau for years to come. With preparations for the 2020 Census already underway, this body has the opportunity to make sure it is the most accurate and complete census in history.

The measure would protect the independence of the Census Bureau from political interference by giving its Director the independence to report directly to the Secretary of Commerce. The Department of Commerce oversees many agencies, and so giving the Census Bureau greater independence from Commerce will help keep it a priority throughout the 10-year cycle of the census. It will also help keep Congress informed on the status of the census throughout the decade, helping us to foresee and prevent problems.

The bill will also extend the term of the director of the Bureau to five years, with a limit of two terms. This will align the term of the Director more closely with the decennial census's planning and implementation phases. In addition, it will help free the director from the whims of presidential politics, keeping a new president from changing directors in the middle of a census. I think this is so important, especially since the 2020 Census will occur during a presidential election year. A fixed term sends a message that we consider the Census Bureau to be an agency with a scientific mission, like the Bureau of Labor Statistics and the National Center for Education Statistics. We must make sure that the professionals at these agencies, including the Census Bureau, are given the ability to do what they do best, insulated from politics.

We know the significance of the decennial census: an undertaking so important that the founding fathers enshrined it in the Constitution. However, GAO has deemed the last three decennial censuses "at risk," which is something we in Congress cannot accept. S. 3167 will implement some of GAO's recommendations on the Census, and also has the support of leading statistical organizations and the seven living former directors of the Bureau, directors who served under Democratic and Republican presidents alike. The bill comes at no cost to taxpayers, and is the result of careful, bipartisan negotiations. I'd like to thank the gentlewoman from New York, Representative MALONEY, as well as the gentleman from Pennsylvania, Representative DENT, for their leadership on the bill, the gentleman from Missouri, Representative CLAY, for his leadership on the Census with the Information Policy Subcommittee, and I'd also like to thank Senators CARPER and COBURN for the hard work they put into passing the legislation unanimously in the Senate.

I encourage all my colleagues on both sides to join me in voting in favor of S. 3167.

Mr. MCHENRY. I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from New York (Mrs. MALONEY) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 3167.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Mr. MCHENRY. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and the Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, December 14, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
The Speaker, H-232 U.S. Capitol, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on December 14, 2010 at 1:12 p.m.:

That the Senate passed S. 2902.

That the Senate passed with an amendment H.R. 628.

That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 6278.

That the Senate passed S. 3447.

With best wishes, I am

Sincerely,

LORRAINE C. MILLER.

MUSEUM AND LIBRARY SERVICES ACT OF 2010

Mr. GRIJALVA. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 3984) to amend and extend the Museum and Library Services Act, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 3984

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Museum and Library Services Act of 2010".

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. References.

TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 101. General definitions.

Sec. 102. Responsibilities of Director.

Sec. 103. Personnel.

Sec. 104. Board.

Sec. 105. Awards and medals.

Sec. 106. Research and analysis.

Sec. 107. Hearings.

Sec. 108. Administrative funds.

TITLE II—LIBRARY SERVICES AND TECHNOLOGY

Sec. 201. Purposes.

Sec. 202. Authorization of appropriations.

Sec. 203. Reservations and allotments.

Sec. 204. State plans.

Sec. 205. Grants.

Sec. 206. Grants, contracts, or cooperative agreements.

Sec. 207. Laura Bush 21st Century Librarian Program.

Sec. 208. Conforming amendments.

TITLE III—MUSEUM SERVICES

Sec. 301. Purpose.

Sec. 302. Definitions.

Sec. 303. Museum services activities.

Sec. 304. Authorization of appropriations.

TITLE IV—REPEAL OF THE NATIONAL COMMISSION ON LIBRARIES AND INFORMATION SCIENCE ACT

Sec. 401. Repeal.

SEC. 2. REFERENCES.

Except as otherwise expressly provided, wherever in this Act an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of the Museum and Library Services Act (20 U.S.C. 9101 et seq.).

TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 101. GENERAL DEFINITIONS.

Section 202 (20 U.S.C. 9101) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (2) through (7) as paragraphs (3) through (8), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following:

“(2) **DIGITAL LITERACY SKILLS.**—The term ‘digital literacy skills’ means the skills associated with using technology to enable users to find, evaluate, organize, create, and communicate information.”

SEC. 102. RESPONSIBILITIES OF DIRECTOR.

Section 204 (20 U.S.C. 9103) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following:

“(c) **DUTIES AND POWERS.**—

“(1) **PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY.**—The Director shall have primary responsibility for the development and implementation of policy to ensure the availability of museum, library, and information services adequate to meet the essential information, education, research, economic, cultural, and civic needs of the people of the United States.

“(2) **DUTIES.**—In carrying out the responsibility described in paragraph (1), the Director shall—

“(A) advise the President, Congress, and other Federal agencies and offices on museum, library, and information services in order to ensure the creation, preservation, organization, and dissemination of knowledge;

“(B) engage Federal, State, and local governmental agencies and private entities in assessing the museum, library, and information services needs of the people of the United States, and coordinate the development of plans, policies, and activities to meet such needs effectively;

“(C) carry out programs of research and development, data collection, and financial assistance to extend and improve the museum, library, and information services of the people of the United States; and

“(D) ensure that museum, library, and information services are fully integrated into the information and education infrastructures of the United States.”

(2) by redesignating subsections (f) and (g) as subsections (h) and (i), respectively; and

(3) by striking subsection (e) and inserting the following:

“(e) **INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS.**—The Director may—

“(1) enter into interagency agreements to promote or assist with the museum, library, and information services-related activities of other Federal agencies, on either a reimbursable or non-reimbursable basis; and

“(2) use funds appropriated under this Act for the costs of such activities.

“(f) **COORDINATION.**—The Director shall ensure coordination of the policies and activi-

ties of the Institute with the policies and activities of other agencies and offices of the Federal Government having interest in and responsibilities for the improvement of museums and libraries and information services. Where appropriate, the Director shall ensure that such policies and activities are coordinated with—

“(1) activities under section 1251 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6383);

“(2) programs and activities under the Head Start Act (42 U.S.C. 9831 et seq.) (including programs and activities under subparagraphs (H)(vii) and (J)(iii) of section 641(d)(2) of such Act) (42 U.S.C. 9836(d)(2));

“(3) activities under the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (29 U.S.C. 2801 et seq.) (including activities under section 134(c) of such Act) (29 U.S.C. 2864(c)); and

“(4) Federal programs and activities that increase the capacity of libraries and museums to act as partners in economic and community development, education and research, improving digital literacy skills, and disseminating health information.

“(g) **INTERAGENCY COLLABORATION.**—The Director shall work jointly with the individuals heading relevant Federal departments and agencies, including the Secretary of Labor, the Secretary of Education, the Administrator of the Small Business Administration, the Chairman of the Federal Communications Commission, the Director of the National Science Foundation, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, the Secretary of State, the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, the Secretary of the Interior, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, the Chairman of the National Endowment for the Arts, the Chairman of the National Endowment of the Humanities, and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, or the designees of such individuals, on—

“(1) initiatives, materials, or technology to support workforce development activities undertaken by libraries;

“(2) resource and policy approaches to eliminate barriers to fully leveraging the role of libraries and museums in supporting the early learning, literacy, lifelong learning, digital literacy, workforce development, and education needs of the people of the United States; and

“(3) initiatives, materials, or technology to support educational, cultural, historical, scientific, environmental, and other activities undertaken by museums.”

SEC. 103. PERSONNEL.

Section 206 (20 U.S.C. 9105) is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (2) of subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(2) **NUMBER AND COMPENSATION.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The number of employees appointed and compensated under paragraph (1) shall not exceed $\frac{1}{3}$ of the number of full-time regular or professional employees of the Institute.

“(B) **RATE OF COMPENSATION.**—

“(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in clause (ii), the rate of basic compensation for the employees appointed and compensated under paragraph (1) may not exceed the rate prescribed for level GS-15 of the General Schedule under section 5332 of title 5, United States Code.

“(ii) **EXCEPTION.**—The Director may appoint not more than 3 employees under paragraph (1) at a rate of basic compensation that exceeds the rate described in clause (i) but does not exceed the rate of basic pay in effect for positions at level IV of the Executive Schedule under section 5315 of title 5, United States Code.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(d) **EXPERTS AND CONSULTANTS.**—The Director may use experts and consultants, including panels of experts, who may be employed as authorized under section 3109 of title 5, United States Code.”

SEC. 104. BOARD.

Section 207 (20 U.S.C. 9105a) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking subparagraph (D); and

(ii) by redesignating subparagraphs (E) and (F) as subparagraphs (D) and (E), respectively;

(B) in paragraph (2)—

(i) in the matter preceding clause (i) of subparagraph (A), by striking “(1)(E)” and inserting “(1)(D)”; and

(ii) in the matter preceding clause (i) of subparagraph (B), by striking “(1)(F)” and inserting “(1)(E)”; and

(C) in paragraph (4)—

(i) by inserting “and” after “Library Services”; and

(ii) by striking “, and the Chairman of the National Commission on Library and Information Science”;

(2) in subsection (c)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking “Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, each” and inserting “Each”; and

(ii) by striking “(E) or (F)” and inserting “(D) or (E)”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “INITIAL BOARD APPOINTMENTS.” and all that follows through “The terms of the first members” and inserting the following: “AUTHORITY TO ADJUST TERMS.—The terms of the members”;

(3) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “relating to museum and library services, including financial assistance awarded under this title” and inserting “relating to museum, library, and information services”; and

(B) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(2) **NATIONAL AWARDS AND MEDALS.**—The Museum and Library Services Board shall advise the Director in awarding national awards and medals under section 209.”; and

(4) in subsection (i), by striking “take steps to ensure that the policies and activities of the Institute are coordinated with other activities of the Federal Government” and inserting “coordinate the development and implementation of policies and activities as described in subsections (f) and (g) of section 204”.

SEC. 105. AWARDS AND MEDALS.

Section 209 (20 U.S.C. 9107) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 209. AWARDS AND MEDALS.

“The Director, with the advice of the Museum and Library Services Board, may annually award national awards and medals for library and museum services to outstanding libraries and museums that have made significant contributions in service to their communities.”

SEC. 106. RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS.

Section 210 (20 U.S.C. 9108) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 210. POLICY RESEARCH, ANALYSIS, DATA COLLECTION, AND DISSEMINATION.

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Director shall annually conduct policy research, analysis, and data collection to extend and improve the Nation’s museum, library, and information services.

“(b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—The policy research, analysis, and data collection shall be conducted in ongoing collaboration (as determined appropriate by the Director), and in consultation, with—

“(1) State library administrative agencies;“(2) national, State, and regional library and museum organizations; and“(3) other relevant agencies and organizations.

“(c) OBJECTIVES.—The policy research, analysis, and data collection shall be used to—

“(1) identify national needs for and trends in museum, library, and information services;

“(2) measure and report on the impact and effectiveness of museum, library, and information services throughout the United States, including the impact of Federal programs authorized under this Act;

“(3) identify best practices; and

“(4) develop plans to improve museum, library, and information services of the United States and to strengthen national, State, local, regional, and international communications and cooperative networks.

“(d) DISSEMINATION.—Each year, the Director shall widely disseminate, as appropriate to accomplish the objectives under subsection (c), the results of the policy research, analysis, and data collection carried out under this section.

“(e) AUTHORITY TO CONTRACT.—The Director is authorized—

“(1) to enter into contracts, grants, cooperative agreements, and other arrangements with Federal agencies and other public and private organizations to carry out the objectives under subsection (c); and

“(2) to publish and disseminate, in a form determined appropriate by the Director, the reports, findings, studies, and other materials prepared under paragraph (1).

“(f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$3,500,000 for fiscal year 2011 and such sums as may be necessary for each of the fiscal years 2012 through 2016.

“(2) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Sums appropriated under paragraph (1) for any fiscal year shall remain available for obligation until expended.”.

SEC. 107. HEARINGS.

Subtitle A (20 U.S.C. 9101 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 210B. HEARINGS.

“The Director is authorized to conduct hearings at such times and places as the Director determines appropriate for carrying out the purposes of this subtitle.”.

SEC. 108. ADMINISTRATIVE FUNDS.

Subtitle A (20 U.S.C. 9101 et seq.), as amended by section 107, is further amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 210C. ADMINISTRATIVE FUNDS.

“Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, the Director shall establish one account to be used to pay the Federal administrative costs of carrying out this Act, and not more than a total of 7 percent of the funds appropriated under sections 210(f), 214, and 275 shall be placed in such account.”.

TITLE II—LIBRARY SERVICES AND TECHNOLOGY

SEC. 201. PURPOSES.

Section 212 (20 U.S.C. 9121) is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“(1) to enhance coordination among Federal programs that relate to library and information services;”;

(2) in paragraph (2), by inserting “continuous” after “promote”;

(3) in paragraph (3), by striking “and” after the semicolon;

(4) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(5) by adding at the end the following:

“(5) to promote literacy, education, and lifelong learning and to enhance and expand the services and resources provided by libraries, including those services and resources relating to workforce development, 21st century skills, and digital literacy skills;

“(6) to enhance the skills of the current library workforce and to recruit future professionals to the field of library and information services;

“(7) to ensure the preservation of knowledge and library collections in all formats and to enable libraries to serve their communities during disasters;

“(8) to enhance the role of libraries within the information infrastructure of the United States in order to support research, education, and innovation; and

“(9) to promote library services that provide users with access to information through national, State, local, regional, and international collaborations and networks.”.

SEC. 202. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

Section 214 (20 U.S.C. 9123) is amended—

(a) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following:

“(a) IN GENERAL.—There are authorized to be appropriated—

“(1) to carry out chapters 1, 2, and 3, \$232,000,000 for fiscal year 2011 and such sums as may be necessary for each of the fiscal years 2012 through 2016; and

“(2) to carry out chapter 4, \$24,500,000 for fiscal year 2011 and such sums as may be necessary for each of the fiscal years 2012 through 2016.”; and

(b) by striking subsection (c).

SEC. 203. RESERVATIONS AND ALLOTMENTS.

Section 221(b)(3) (20 U.S.C. 9131(b)(3)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) by striking “\$340,000” and inserting “\$680,000”; and

(B) by striking “\$40,000” and inserting “\$60,000”;

(2) by striking subparagraph (C); and

(3) by redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (C).

SEC. 204. STATE PLANS.

Section 224 (20 U.S.C. 9134) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) by redesignating paragraphs (6) and (7) as paragraphs (7) and (8), respectively; and

(B) after paragraph (5), by inserting the following:

“(6) describe how the State library administrative agency will work with other State agencies and offices where appropriate to coordinate resources, programs, and activities and leverage, but not replace, the Federal and State investment in—

“(A) elementary and secondary education, including coordination with the activities within the State that are supported by a grant under section 1251 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6383);

“(B) early childhood education, including coordination with—

“(i) the State’s activities carried out under subsections (b)(4) and (e)(1) of section 642 of the Head Start Act (42 U.S.C. 9837); and

“(ii) the activities described in the State’s strategic plan in accordance with section 642B(a)(4)(B)(i) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 9837b(a)(4)(B)(i));

“(C) workforce development, including coordination with—

“(i) the activities carried out by the State workforce investment board under section 111(d) of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (29 U.S.C. 2821(d)); and

“(ii) the State’s one-stop delivery system established under section 134(c) of such Act (29 U.S.C. 2864(c)); and

“(D) other Federal programs and activities that relate to library services, including economic and community development and health information;”;

(2) in subsection (e)(2), by inserting “, including through electronic means” before the period at the end.

SEC. 205. GRANTS.

Section 231 (20 U.S.C. 9141) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by inserting before the semicolon the following: “in order to support such individuals’ needs for education, lifelong learning, workforce development, and digital literacy skills”;

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “electronic networks;” and inserting “collaborations and networks; and”;

(C) by redesignating paragraph (2) (as amended by subparagraph (B)) as paragraph (7), and by moving such paragraph so as to appear after paragraph (6);

(D) by striking paragraph (3);

(E) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following:

“(2) establishing or enhancing electronic and other linkages and improved coordination among and between libraries and entities, as described in section 224(b)(6), for the purpose of improving the quality of and access to library and information services;

“(3)(A) providing training and professional development, including continuing education, to enhance the skills of the current library workforce and leadership, and advance the delivery of library and information services; and

“(B) enhancing efforts to recruit future professionals to the field of library and information services;”;

(F) in paragraph (5), by striking “and” after the semicolon;

(G) in paragraph (6), by striking the period and inserting a semicolon; and

(H) by adding at the end the following:

“(8) carrying out other activities consistent with the purposes set forth in section 212, as described in the State library administrative agency’s plan.”; and

(2) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) SPECIAL RULE.—Each State library administrative agency receiving funds under this chapter may apportion the funds available for the priorities described in subsection (a) as appropriate to meet the needs of the individual State.”.

SEC. 206. GRANTS, CONTRACTS, OR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.

Section 262(a) (20 U.S.C. 9162(a)) is amended—

(1) by striking paragraphs (1) and (2) and inserting the following:

“(1) building workforce and institutional capacity for managing the national information infrastructure and serving the information and education needs of the public;

“(2)(A) research and demonstration projects related to the improvement of libraries or the enhancement of library and information services through effective and efficient use of new technologies, including projects that enable library users to acquire digital literacy skills and that make information resources more accessible and available; and

“(B) dissemination of information derived from such projects;”;

(2) in paragraph (3)—

(A) by striking “digitization” and inserting “digitizing”; and

(B) by inserting “, including the development of national, regional, statewide, or local emergency plans that would ensure the preservation of knowledge and library collections in the event of a disaster” before “; and”.

SEC. 207. LAURA BUSH 21ST CENTURY LIBRARIAN PROGRAM.

Subtitle B (20 U.S.C. 9121 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“CHAPTER 4—LAURA BUSH 21ST CENTURY LIBRARIANS

“SEC. 264. LAURA BUSH 21ST CENTURY LIBRARIAN PROGRAM.

“(a) **PURPOSE.**—It is the purpose of this chapter to develop a diverse workforce of librarians by—

“(1) recruiting and educating the next generation of librarians, including by encouraging middle or high school students and postsecondary students to pursue careers in library and information science;

“(2) developing faculty and library leaders, including by increasing the institutional capacity of graduate schools of library and information science; and

“(3) enhancing the training and professional development of librarians and the library workforce to meet the needs of their communities, including those needs relating to literacy and education, workforce development, lifelong learning, and digital literacy.

“(b) **ACTIVITIES.**—From the amounts provided under section 214(a)(2), the Director may enter into arrangements, including grants, contracts, cooperative agreements, and other forms of assistance, with libraries, library consortia and associations, institutions of higher education (as defined in section 101 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001)), and other entities that the Director determines appropriate, for projects that further the purpose of this chapter, such as projects that—

“(1) increase the number of students enrolled in nationally accredited graduate library and information science programs and preparing for careers of service in libraries;

“(2) recruit future professionals, including efforts to attract promising middle school, high school, or postsecondary students to consider careers in library and information science;

“(3) develop or enhance professional development programs for librarians and the library workforce;

“(4) enhance curricula within nationally accredited graduate library and information science programs;

“(5) enhance doctoral education in order to develop faculty to educate the future generation of library professionals and develop the future generation of library leaders; and

“(6) conduct research, including research to support the successful recruitment and education of the next generation of librarians.

“(c) **EVALUATION.**—The Director shall establish procedures for reviewing and evaluating projects supported under this chapter.”.

SEC. 208. CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.

The National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 951 et seq.) is amended—

(1) in section 4(a) (20 U.S.C. 953(a)), by striking “Institute of Museum Services” and inserting “Institute of Museum and Library Services”; and

(2) in section 9 (20 U.S.C. 958), by striking “Institute of Museum Services” each place the term appears and inserting “Institute of Museum and Library Services”.

TITLE III—MUSEUM SERVICES

SEC. 301. PURPOSE.

Section 272 (20 U.S.C. 9171) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3), by inserting “through international, national, regional, State, and local networks and partnerships” after “services”;

(2) in paragraph (5), by striking “and” after the semicolon;

(3) in paragraph (6), by striking the period and inserting a semicolon; and

(4) by adding at the end the following:

“(7) to encourage and support museums as a part of economic development and revitalization in communities;

“(8) to ensure museums of various types and sizes in diverse geographic regions of the United States are afforded attention and support; and

“(9) to support efforts at the State level to leverage museum resources and maximize museum services.”.

SEC. 302. DEFINITIONS.

Section 273(1) (20 U.S.C. 9172(1)) is amended by inserting “includes museums that have tangible and digital collections and” after “Such term”.

SEC. 303. MUSEUM SERVICES ACTIVITIES.

Section 274 (20 U.S.C. 9173) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “, States, local governments,” after “with museums”;

(B) by redesignating paragraphs (5) through (10) as paragraphs (6) through (11), respectively;

(C) by striking paragraphs (3) and (4) and inserting the following:

“(3) supporting the conservation and preservation of museum collections, including efforts to—

“(A) provide optimal conditions for storage, exhibition, and use;

“(B) prepare for and respond to disasters and emergency situations;

“(C) establish endowments for conservation; and

“(D) train museum staff in collections care;

“(4) supporting efforts at the State level to leverage museum resources, including statewide assessments of museum services and needs and development of State plans to improve and maximize museum services through the State;

“(5) stimulating greater collaboration, in order to share resources and strengthen communities, among museums and—

“(A) libraries;

“(B) schools;

“(C) international, Federal, State, regional, and local agencies or organizations;

“(D) nongovernmental organizations; and

“(E) other community organizations;”;

(D) in paragraph (6) (as redesignated by subparagraph (B)), by striking “broadcast media” and inserting “media, including new ways to disseminate information,”; and

(E) in paragraph (9) (as redesignated by subparagraph (B)), by striking “at all levels,” and inserting “, and the skills of museum staff, at all levels, and to support the development of the next generation of museum leaders and professionals,”; and

(2) in subsection (c)—

(A) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3);

(B) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following:

“(2) **GRANT DISTRIBUTION.**—In awarding grants, the Director shall take into consideration the equitable distribution of grants to museums of various types and sizes and to

different geographic areas of the United States”; and

(C) in paragraph (2)—

(i) in subparagraph (A), by striking “awards”; and

(ii) in subparagraph (B), by striking “, but subsequent” and inserting “. Subsequent”.

SEC. 304. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

Section 275 (20 U.S.C. 9176) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following:

“(a) **GRANTS.**—For the purpose of carrying out this subtitle, there are authorized to be appropriated to the Director \$38,600,000 for fiscal year 2011 and such sums as may be necessary for each of the fiscal years 2012 through 2016.”;

(2) by striking subsection (b);

(3) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (b); and

(4) by adding at the end the following:

“(c) **FUNDING RULES.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of this subtitle, if the amount appropriated under subsection (a) for a fiscal year is greater than the amount appropriated under such subsection for fiscal year 2011 by more than \$10,000,000, then an amount of not less than 30 percent but not more than 50 percent of the increase in appropriated funds shall be available, from the funds appropriated under such subsection for the fiscal year, to enter into arrangements under section 274 to carry out the State assessments described in section 274(a)(4) and to assist States in the implementation of such plans.”.

TITLE IV—REPEAL OF THE NATIONAL COMMISSION ON LIBRARIES AND INFORMATION SCIENCE ACT

SEC. 401. REPEAL.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The National Commission on Libraries and Information Science Act (20 U.S.C. 1501 et seq.) is repealed.

(b) **TRANSFER OF FUNCTIONS.**—The functions that the National Commission on Libraries and Information Science exercised before the date of enactment of this Act shall be transferred to the Institute of Museum and Library Services established under section 203 of the Museum and Library Services Act (20 U.S.C. 9102).

(c) **TRANSFER AND ALLOCATION OF APPROPRIATIONS AND PERSONNEL.**—The personnel and the assets, contracts, property, records, and unexpended balance of appropriations, authorizations, allocations, and other funds employed, held, used, arising from, available to, or to be made available for the functions and activities vested by law in the National Commission on Libraries and Information Science shall be transferred to the Institute of Museum and Library Services upon the date of enactment of this Act.

(d) **REFERENCES.**—Any reference to the National Commission on Libraries and Information Science in any Federal law, Executive Order, rule, delegation of authority, or document shall be construed to refer to the Institute of Museum and Library Services when the reference regards functions transferred under subsection (b).

The **SPEAKER pro tempore** (Mr. ALTMIRE). Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GRIJALVA) and the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. PETRI) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Arizona.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. GRIJALVA. Mr. Speaker, I request 5 legislative days during which

Members may revise and extend and insert extraneous material on S. 3984 into the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Arizona?

There was no objection.

Mr. GRIJALVA. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of Senate Bill 3984, which reauthorizes the Museum and Library Services Act. This bipartisan bill updates the MLISA to better reflect the role that libraries and museums play in our Nation's communities, and it ensures the preservation of collections for future generations.

Our Nation's libraries serve an important role in providing our communities with free access to all types of information and telecommunications services. During these difficult economic times, libraries are a lifeline to many without home access to computers or to the Internet in order to search for employment, to conduct research, or to access training resources. According to the American Library Association, two-thirds of our Nation's libraries report that they provide the only free access to computers and the Internet in their communities.

Within the last 2 years, libraries have experienced significant increases in demands for services, including helping patrons complete online job applications, creating resumes, and accessing job databases. This bill will enable libraries to continue offering these critical services to the American people.

The Senate bill will also help the Institute of Museum and Library Services to encourage more collaboration between agencies and programs to promote family literacy, technology education, and workforce development. These efforts will help libraries fully leverage their role as resource facilities and community centers. Additionally, this reauthorization enhances current training opportunities for professionals, and it supports the development of a diverse workforce, capable of meeting the 21st-century information needs of our communities.

□ 1610

Our Nation's museums are also a critical part of our country's educational and economic infrastructure, stimulating tourism and partnering with schools to support the local curriculum. According to the American Association of Museums, these centers of discovery and learning employ as many as half a million Americans nationwide and contribute approximately \$20.7 billion to the American economy each year.

Museums attract nearly 850 million visits per year and an additional 542 million via the Internet. Museums also include aquariums, botanical gardens, nature centers, and zoos. Over 175 mil-

lion people visit accredited zoos and aquariums annually, and these institutions generate \$8.4 billion in annual U.S. economic activity. Zoos and aquariums provide millions of children with their only firsthand experiences with wildlife.

This bill also acts to strengthen capacity for conservation and preservation of museum collections and requires that museums and diverse geographic regions of various types and sizes be supported.

Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the original Senate sponsors of this bill, Senators REED, ENZI, HARKIN, and BURR, as well as chairman of the House Education and Labor Committee, Mr. GEORGE MILLER, and Ranking Member KLINE for their leadership in bringing this important bipartisan legislation to the floor.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this legislation to reauthorize the Museum and Library Services Act to help us preserve and enhance the critical role which libraries and museums play in our Nation's communities.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PETRI. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, the bill before us, the Museum and Library Services Act, reauthorizes the Institute for Museum and Library Services, the Federal agency that oversees Federal funds going to libraries and museums nationwide, and generally updates the law.

The Museum and Library Services Act authorizes funding for the Library Services and Technology Act and for Museum Services. The library program funding is distributed to States through a formula, and the funds are spent on a wide variety of libraries across our Nation. Through this bill, the Library Services and Technology Act is updated to require greater coordination and better leveraging of Federal and State investment in our Nation's libraries.

The museum funds are distributed through five competitive grant programs and two cooperative agreements. In this section of the bill, the Museum Services Act is updated to encourage greater collaboration between museums and other organizations to leverage resources and improve local communities. It also tries to strengthen capacity for the conservation and preservation of museum collections and helps support State efforts to leverage museum funds.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. GRIJALVA. Mr. Speaker, this is a good piece of legislation. It enhances the quality of life for the American people. I urge its support, and I yield back the remainder of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GRIJALVA) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 3984.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON FITNESS, SPORTS, AND NUTRITION ESTABLISHMENT ACT

Mr. GRIJALVA. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 1275) to establish a National Foundation on Physical Fitness and Sports to carry out activities to support and supplement the mission of the President's Council on Physical Fitness and Sports.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 1275

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "National Foundation on Fitness, Sports, and Nutrition Establishment Act".

SEC. 2. ESTABLISHMENT AND PURPOSE OF FOUNDATION.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established the National Foundation on Fitness, Sports, and Nutrition (hereinafter in this Act referred to as the "Foundation"). The Foundation is a charitable and nonprofit corporation and is not an agency or establishment of the United States.

(b) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the Foundation are—

(1) in conjunction with the Office of the President's Council on Fitness, Sports and Nutrition, to develop a list and description of programs, events and other activities which would further the purposes and functions outlined in Executive Order 13265, as amended, and with respect to which combined private and governmental efforts would be beneficial;

(2) to encourage and promote the participation by private organizations in the activities referred to in subsection (b)(1) and to encourage and promote private gifts of money and other property to support those activities; and

(3) in consultation with such Office, to undertake and support activities to further the purposes and functions of such Executive Order.

(c) PROHIBITION ON FEDERAL FUNDING.—The Foundation may not accept any Federal funds.

SEC. 3. BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE FOUNDATION.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT AND MEMBERSHIP.—The Foundation shall have a governing Board of Directors (hereinafter referred to in this Act as the "Board"), which shall consist of 9 members each of whom shall be a United States citizen and—

(1) 3 of whom should be knowledgeable or experienced in one or more fields directly connected with physical fitness, sports, nutrition, or the relationship between health status and physical exercise; and

(2) 6 of whom should be leaders in the private sector with a strong interest in physical fitness, sports, nutrition, or the relationship between health status and physical exercise.

The membership of the Board, to the extent practicable, should represent diverse professional specialties relating to the achievement of physical fitness through regular participation in programs of exercise, sports, and similar activities, or to nutrition. The Assistant Secretary for Health, the Executive Director of the President's Council on Fitness, Sports and Nutrition, the Director for the National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, the Director of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, and the Director for the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention shall be ex officio, nonvoting members of the Board. Appointment to the Board or its staff shall not constitute employment by, or the holding of an office of, the United States for the purposes of laws relating to Federal employment.

(b) **APPOINTMENTS.**—Within 90 days from the date of enactment of this Act, the members of the Board shall be appointed by the Secretary in accordance with this subsection. In selecting individuals for appointments to the Board, the Secretary should consult with—

(1) the Speaker of the House of Representatives concerning the appointment of one member;

(2) the Majority Leader of the House of Representatives concerning the appointment of one member;

(3) the Majority Leader of the Senate concerning the appointment of one member;

(4) the President Pro Tempore concerning the appointment of one member;

(5) the Minority Leader of the House of Representatives concerning the appointment of one member; and

(6) the Minority Leader of the Senate concerning the appointment of one member.

(c) **TERMS.**—The members of the Board shall serve for a term of 6 years, except that the original members of the Board shall be appointed for staggered terms as determined appropriate by the Secretary. A vacancy on the Board shall be filled within 60 days of the vacancy in the same manner in which the original appointment was made and shall be for the balance of the term of the individual who was replaced. No individual may serve more than 2 consecutive terms as a member.

(d) **CHAIRMAN.**—The Chairman shall be elected by the Board from its members for a 2-year term and shall not be limited in terms or service, other than as provided in subsection (c).

(e) **QUORUM.**—A majority of the current membership of the Board shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business.

(f) **MEETINGS.**—The Board shall meet at the call of the Chairman at least once a year. If a member misses 3 consecutive regularly scheduled meetings, that member may be removed from the Board and the vacancy filled in accordance with subsection (c).

(g) **REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES.**—Members of the Board shall serve without pay, but may be reimbursed for the actual and necessary traveling and subsistence expenses incurred by them in the performance of the duties of the Foundation, subject to the same limitations on reimbursement that are imposed upon employees of Federal agencies.

(h) **LIMITATIONS.**—The following limitations apply with respect to the appointment of employees of the Foundation:

(1) Employees may not be appointed until the Foundation has sufficient funds to pay them for their service. No individual so appointed may receive a salary in excess of the annual rate of basic pay in effect for Executive Level V in the Federal service. A mem-

ber of the Board may not receive compensation for serving as an employee of the Foundation.

(2) The first employee appointed by the Board shall be the Secretary of the Board who shall serve, at the direction of the Board, as its chief operating officer and shall be knowledgeable and experienced in matters relating to physical fitness, sports, and nutrition.

(3) No Public Health Service employee nor the spouse or dependent relative of such an employee may serve as a member of the Board of Directors or as an employee of the Foundation.

(4) Any individual who is an employee or member of the Board of the Foundation may not (in accordance with the policies developed under subsection (i)) personally or substantially participate in the consideration or determination by the Foundation of any matter that would directly or predictably affect any financial interest of—

(A) the individual or a relative (as such term is defined in section 109(16) of the Ethics in Government Act, 1978) of the individual; or

(B) any business organization, or other entity, of which the individual is an officer or employee, is negotiating for employment, or in which the individual has any other financial interest.

(i) **GENERAL POWERS.**—The Board may complete the organization of the Foundation by—

(1) appointing employees;

(2) adopting a constitution and bylaws consistent with the purposes of the Foundation and the provision of this Act; and

(3) undertaking such other acts as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this Act.

In establishing bylaws under this subsection, the Board shall provide for policies with regard to financial conflicts of interest and ethical standards for the acceptance, solicitation and disposition of donations and grants to the Foundation.

SEC. 4. POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE FOUNDATION.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Foundation—

(1) shall have perpetual succession;

(2) may conduct business throughout the several States, territories, and possessions of the United States;

(3) shall have its principal offices in or near the District of Columbia; and

(4) shall at all times maintain a designated agent authorized to accept service of process for the Foundation.

The serving of notice to, or service of process upon, the agent required under paragraph (4), or mailed to the business address of such agent, shall be deemed as service upon or notice to the Foundation.

(b) **SEAL.**—The Foundation shall have an official seal selected by the Board which may be used as provided for in section 5.

(c) **INCORPORATION; NONPROFIT STATUS.**—To carry out the purposes of the Foundation under section 2, the Board shall—

(1) incorporate the Foundation in the District of Columbia; and

(2) establish such policies and bylaws as may be necessary to ensure that the Foundation maintains status as an organization that is described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(d) **POWERS.**—Subject to the specific provisions of section 2, the Foundation, in consultation with the Office of the President's Council on Fitness, Sports, and Nutrition, shall have the power, directly or by the awarding of contracts or grants, to carry out

or support activities for the purposes described in such section.

(e) **TREATMENT OF PROPERTY.**—For purposes of this Act, an interest in real property shall be treated as including easements or other rights for preservation, conservation, protection, or enhancement by and for the public of natural, scenic, historic, scientific, educational inspirational or recreational resources. A gift, devise, or bequest may be accepted by the Foundation even though it is encumbered, restricted, or subject to beneficial interests of private persons if any current or future interest therein is for the benefit of the Foundation.

SEC. 5. PROTECTION AND USES OF TRADEMARKS AND TRADE NAMES.

(a) **TRADEMARKS OF THE FOUNDATION.**—Authorization for a contributor, or a supplier of goods or services, to use, in advertising regarding the contribution, goods, or services, the trade name of the Foundation, or any trademark, seal, symbol, insignia, or emblem of the Foundation may be provided only by the Foundation with the concurrence of the Secretary or the Secretary's designee.

(b) **TRADEMARKS OF THE COUNCIL.**—Authorization for a contributor or supplier described in subsection (a) to use, in such advertising, the trade name of the President's Council on Fitness, Sports, and Nutrition, or any trademark, seal, symbol, insignia, or emblem of such Council, may be provided—

(1) by the Secretary or the Secretary's designee; or

(2) by the Foundation with the concurrence of the Secretary or the Secretary's designee.

SEC. 6. AUDIT, REPORT REQUIREMENTS, AND PETITION OF ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR EQUITABLE RELIEF.

(a) **AUDITS.**—For purposes of the Act entitled "An Act for audit of accounts of private corporations established under Federal law", approved August 30, 1964 (Public Law 88-504, 36 U.S.C. 1101-1103), the Foundation shall be treated as a private corporation under Federal law. The Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services and the Comptroller General of the United States shall have access to the financial and other records of the Foundation, upon reasonable notice.

(b) **REPORT.**—The Foundation shall, not later than 60 days after the end of each fiscal year, transmit to the Secretary and to Congress a report of its proceedings and activities during such year, including a full and complete statement of its receipts, expenditures, and investments.

(c) **RELIEF WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN FOUNDATION ACTS OR FAILURE TO ACT.**—If the Foundation—

(1) engages in, or threatens to engage in, any act, practice or policy that is inconsistent with its purposes set forth in section 2(b); or

(2) refuses, fails, or neglects to discharge its obligations under this Act, or threaten to do so;

the Attorney General of the United States may petition in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia for such equitable relief as may be necessary or appropriate.

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GRIJALVA) and the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. PETRI) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Arizona.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. GRIJALVA. Mr. Speaker, I request 5 legislative days during which Members may revise, extend and insert extraneous material on S. 1275 into the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Arizona?

There was no objection.

Mr. GRIJALVA. I yield myself as much time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, today I rise in support of S. 1275, which establishes a National Foundation on Fitness, Sports, and Nutrition to carry out activities to support and supplement the mission of the President's Council on Physical Fitness and Sports.

According to a 2009 study by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 33 States currently have an obesity prevalence rate of 25 percent or greater, and roughly 25 million children, one in three kids, over the age of six are obese or overweight. These numbers represent a dramatic and concerning increase in obesity in the United States over the past 20 years.

These growing rates require that we take some action. The creation of this foundation is a move in that direction.

According to the Department of Health and Human Services, adults 18 and older need 30 minutes of physical activity. Unfortunately, 25 percent of American children do not participate in any free-time physical activity, and 92 percent of our youth have no year-round daily physical education or activity. It is vital that we encourage our children to be healthy and active individuals.

The President's Council on Fitness, Sports and Nutrition is a volunteer advisory committee created by President Eisenhower in 1956. The council collaborates with Federal, State, and local agencies, the private sector, and nonprofit organizations to promote physical fitness in sports. However, despite its critically important mission, the council's budget has been reduced over time and is now approximately just \$1.2 million per year.

This legislation would establish a foundation to work in conjunction with the council to bring much-needed private resources to the fight against youth inactivity and obesity. Not only will this foundation increase the reach and impact of the council in promoting physical fitness, sports, and nutrition programs across the country; it will not use any taxpayer resources.

With the support of private funds, the foundation will make strategic grants and increase public awareness of Federal policies and programs to improve physical fitness and nutrition. To oversee such activities, the foundation will be governed by a bipartisan, 11-member board of directors.

Establishing this independent foundation will contribute to our national

efforts to end childhood obesity and improve child nutrition. Yesterday, the President signed the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act to dramatically improve children's access to nutritious meals and enhance the quality of meals they eat in and out of school.

Additionally, the First Lady's Let's Move campaign has set a goal of ending childhood obesity in a generation. The Let's Move campaign is a collaborative and community-oriented initiative which engages every sector of our society that impacts the health of children. It seeks to provide schools, families, and communities the simple tools they need to help kids be more active, eat better, and get healthy. The bill adds to these efforts by enhancing the tools available to improve the health and well-being of our children.

Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the sponsor of the Senate bill, Senator MARK WARNER of Virginia, and the sponsor of its companion legislation in the House, Representative JOHN SARBANES of Maryland, for their leadership in bringing this important legislation to the floor.

I also want to thank Chairman WAXMAN of the Energy and Commerce Committee for working with the Education and Labor Committee to allow this bill to move quickly to the floor.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this legislation to establish a National Foundation on Fitness, Sports, and Nutrition.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE,
Washington, DC, December 14, 2010.

Hon. GEORGE MILLER,
Chairman, Committee on Education and Labor,
Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN MILLER: I am writing to confirm our understanding regarding S. 1275, the "National Foundation on Fitness, Sports, and Nutrition Establishment Act." The Committee on Energy and Commerce has jurisdictional interest in the bill. In light of the interest in moving this bill forward promptly, I am not exercising the jurisdiction of the Committee on Energy and Commerce regarding S. 1275, with the understanding that taking this course does not prejudice the Committee's jurisdictional interests and prerogatives on this or similar legislation in the future.

I would appreciate your including this letter during consideration of the bill on the House floor. Thank you for your cooperation on this matter.

Sincerely,

HENRY A. WAXMAN,
Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND LABOR,
Washington, DC, December 14, 2010.

Hon. HENRY A. WAXMAN,
Chairman, Committee on Energy and Commerce,
Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN WAXMAN: Thank you for your December 14, 2010, letter regarding the jurisdictional interest of the Committee on Energy and Commerce in S. 1275, the National Foundation on Fitness, Sports, and

Nutrition Establishment Act. I appreciate your assistance in ensuring its timely consideration and in refraining from exercising any such jurisdiction at this time. I acknowledge that, by not taking such action, the Committee on Energy and Commerce does not prejudice any jurisdictional interest or other prerogative it may have.

I value your cooperation and look forward to working with you as we move ahead with this important legislation.

Sincerely,

GEORGE MILLER,
Chairman.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PETRI. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Today, we consider S. 1275, the National Foundation on Physical Fitness and Sports Establishment Act. This legislation would establish a charitable and nonprofit foundation to raise private funds to carry out new initiatives by the President's Council on Fitness, Sports and Nutrition. The bill establishes a board of directors to govern the activities of the foundation appointed by the Speaker, the majority leaders of the House and Senate, and the minority leaders of the House and Senate.

First established in 1965, the President's Council on Fitness, Sports and Nutrition has been renewed by every President for the last 45 years. The council is made up of 25 volunteer citizens, including New Orleans Saints quarterback and Super Bowl XLIV MVP Drew Brees, three-time Olympic gymnast Dominique Dawes, Billie Jean King, Grant Hill, and Michelle Kwan.

□ 1620

The council advises the President and the Secretary of Health and Human Services on opportunities to develop accessible, affordable, and sustainable physical activity, fitness, sports, and nutrition programs for all Americans regardless of age, background, or ability.

The council has played an important role over the years in raising the awareness of Americans about the need to become physically active. It sponsors an array of programs, events, and initiatives, including the establishment of May as National Physical Fitness and Sports Month; runs the Presidential Physical Fitness Award; recognizes national and local contributions to physical activities; and has played an instrumental role in the development of physical activity guidelines, dietary guidelines, and the National Physical Activity Plan.

Currently, the President's Council on Fitness, Sports and Nutrition lacks any grant making or regulatory authority, which has limited its activities to providing consultation and technical assistance, general publications, a Web site, and guidance to schools, government agencies, and other interested parties on how to improve physical activity.

The bill before us, S. 1275, would establish a charitable and nonprofit foundation to raise private funds so that the council can award grants to carry out and support its activities. The foundation is similar to those established for the National Institutes of Health and the Centers for Disease Control. Foundations created by Congress allow these programs to create partnerships with the private sector, leverage funds, and expand their work without increasing Federal expenditures. Consistent with this belief, the bill prohibits the foundation from accepting or competing for any Federal funds.

As has been stated on this floor in the past, childhood and adult obesity is an issue that has now reached epidemic proportions in the United States. In 2008, 17 percent of children between the ages of 2 and 19 were obese, and approximately 70 to 80 percent of overweight or obese children remain obese in adulthood. These children are more likely to develop diseases such as high blood pressure and type 2 diabetes. In order to reverse these dangerous trends, Americans need information and support to improve their diet and promote exercise in their daily lives. The bill will establish the President's Council on Fitness, Sports and Nutrition and will ensure that it continues to play an important role in attacking the obesity crisis that is negatively impacting the health of all Americans.

I would also like to mention at this point the contributions of our former colleague from Maryland, Tom McMillen, in urging that we attempt this important matter before the end of this Congress, and I urge my colleagues to join me in doing so.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. GRIJALVA. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. SARBANES), the sponsor of the companion legislation in the House.

Mr. SARBANES. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

I rise in strong support. It's a privilege to rise today in support of S. 1275 and its companion, H.R. 4322, which is an act to create the National Foundation on Fitness, Sports and Nutrition, which is designed to support the President's Council on Fitness, Sports and Nutrition.

I want to thank the many cosponsors of this legislation. It's a bipartisan bill. We have strong support from both Republicans and Democrats and have done so all the way through this process. I want to thank Congressman GEORGE MILLER for his efforts in moving this from the Education and Labor Committee, and I want to salute his staff for working so closely with us. And of course Senator MARK WARNER, who is the sponsor on the Senate side of this legislation. I, too, want to salute former Congressman Tom

McMillen who has been just a tireless advocate for establishing this foundation which can support the mission of the President's Council on Fitness, Sports and Nutrition. This council was first established, as has been indicated a couple of times, by President Eisenhower back in 1956, who understood that we needed to create a focus here at the Federal level on the issue of healthy lifestyles, on fitness, on physical activity, and getting people outside into regular activity. And today we have the council with the same mission, a very important mission, more important I think than ever before.

You have heard the discussion about the increasing incidence of obesity among the next generation, the importance of encouraging regular physical activity, of paying attention to nutrition. I, myself, am very focused on research that shows that the average young person today spends about 7½ hours a day on television, video games, Internet, and handheld electronic devices, and about 4 minutes a day—that's minutes a day—outside in unstructured physical recreation. That's a real changeover from the way things used to be, and it means that we really have to focus our young people on engaging in regular physical activity. And there is a lot of attention on that, and that's what the President's Council on Fitness, Sports and Nutrition is all about.

Now, as was mentioned, the Federal dollars that are available to support the President's council are relatively limited, and I think we can expect that that is going to be the case going forward. Luckily, though, there are many people in the private sector, private citizens, others, who are ready to step forward and contribute to this effort because they understand how critically important the mission of the President's council is. So they are ready to do that. They are, in fact, very excited about the newly appointed commissioner to the President's council and I think the outpouring of support that that is going to generate. And they are ready to step forward and help.

Unfortunately, up until now, up until the creation of this act, of this foundation, there hasn't really been a mechanism by which private parties could step forward and support the mission of the council. And that's exactly what this legislation is designed to do. The foundation that is created by this bill makes it possible for the foundation, upon behalf of the President's council, to solicit, receive, and administer private contributions. So this is going to give people a chance to step forward and say, This mission is a critical one, and we want to support it with our private dollars.

This is a very accepted model. We have seen it work with the National Park Service, with the National Institutes of Health, with the Centers for

Disease Control. And I think it's a wonderful opportunity to strengthen the council's mission going forward. For that reason, I urge support of this bill.

Mr. GRIJALVA. I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GRIJALVA) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 1275.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

SUPPORTING DESIGNATION OF ED ROBERTS DAY

Mr. GRIJALVA. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution (H. Res. 1759) expressing support for designation of January 23rd as "Ed Roberts Day".

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The text of the resolution is as follows:

H. RES. 1759

Whereas Edward Verne Roberts was born January 23, 1939;

Whereas Roberts acquired polio as an adolescent in 1953 and had to spend vast expanses of time in an iron lung;

Whereas Roberts' career as an advocate began when a high school administrator threatened to deny him a diploma because he had not completed driver's education and physical education;

Whereas in 1962 Roberts was admitted to the University of California at Berkeley (UC Berkeley) where he became the first severely disabled student to attend UC Berkeley;

Whereas when his search for housing at the university met resistance, the director of the campus hospital offered Roberts a room in an empty wing, which Roberts accepted on the condition that it was considered a dormitory space;

Whereas other significantly disabled students joined Roberts in the empty wing over the next few years and called themselves the "Rolling Quads";

Whereas at UC Berkeley the Rolling Quads began advocating for curb cuts, opening access to the wider community and creating the first student-led disability services program at a university in the Nation;

Whereas the student program led to the creation of the Nation's first center for independent living;

Whereas Roberts assumed leadership of the Center for Independent Living, Berkeley and guided its development as a model for disability advocacy and self-help services across the Nation and around the world;

Whereas in 1975 Roberts was appointed the Director of the California Department of Rehabilitation;

Whereas in 1983 Roberts co-founded the World Institute on Disability, an organization committed to eliminating barriers to full social integration for persons with disabilities;

Whereas Ed Roberts died from natural causes on March 14, 1995; and

Whereas Ed Roberts was a leader and champion in the disability rights movement: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the House of Representatives—

(1) supports the designation of an "Ed Roberts Day"; and

(2) acknowledges the accomplishments of Ed Roberts in helping reduce barriers, increase access, and improve lives for persons with disabilities.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GRIJALVA) and the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. PETRI) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Arizona.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. GRIJALVA. Mr. Speaker, I request 5 legislative days during which Members may revise and extend and insert extraneous material on House Resolution 1759 into the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Arizona?

There was no objection.

□ 1630

Mr. GRIJALVA. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself as much time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of House Resolution 1759, which supports the establishment of "Ed Roberts Day." Mr. Edward Verne Roberts was a pioneering leader and a champion in the disability rights movement.

Mr. Roberts was born January 23, 1939, in San Mateo, California. Mr. Roberts acquired polio at the age of 14 in 1953, 2 years before the Salk vaccine put an end to that epidemic. After 18 months in the hospital, he returned home paralyzed from the neck down except for a few fingers and toes.

Roberts continued his high school education while spending vast amounts of time resting and sleeping in the iron lung. When a high school administrator threatened to deny him a diploma because he had not completed drivers and physical education requirements, he protested and began an early career of activism. Later, Roberts became the first student with severe disabilities to attend the University of California at Berkeley.

At UC Berkeley, other students with significant disabilities joined Roberts in an empty wing in which the university had placed him and, over the next few years, began to call themselves the "Rolling Quads." The Rolling Quads began advocating for curb cuts, opening access to the wider community, and creating the first student-led disabilities service program at any university in the Nation. That student program led to the creation of the Nation's first Center for Independent Living, and Roberts assumed leadership of the center and guided its development as a model for disability advocacy and self-help service.

After earning a bachelor's degree and a master's degree in political science at UC Berkeley, in 1975, Roberts was appointed the Director of the California Department of Rehabilitation. In 1983, he cofounded the World Institute on Disability, an organization committed to eliminating the barriers to full social integration for persons with disabilities.

Mr. Edward Verne Roberts died from natural causes on March 14, 1995, leaving behind a legacy as the modern father of the disability rights movement. Roberts' efforts helped to reduce barriers, increase access, and improve the lives for persons with disabilities across this Nation and world. It is with these contributions in mind that this resolution calls for the establishment of "Ed Roberts Day."

Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the sponsor of the resolution and chairman of the House Education and Labor Committee, Mr. GEORGE MILLER, for his leadership in bringing this important resolution to the floor. I urge my colleagues to join me in support of House Resolution 1759, which supports the designation of an "Ed Roberts Day."

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PETRI. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of House Resolution 1759, which supports the designation of an "Ed Roberts Day" for his tireless work as a leader and champion in the disability rights community.

Born January 23, 1939, Edward Verne Roberts contracted polio at the age of 14 in 1953. Despite spending most of his adolescence in an iron lung, he graduated high school and was admitted into the University of California at Berkeley, where he became the first severely disabled person to attend that university.

This feat is all the more amazing when you consider the obstacles that were thrown in Ed's way. A high school administrator threatened to deny him a diploma because he had not completed driver's education and physical education requirements. College administrators told him they had tried to educate students with disabilities before and it did not work. The director of campus housing was afraid that they could not accommodate his needs, offering him a room in the empty wing of the campus hospital. Ed accepted only on the condition that it was considered dormitory space.

During his time at UC Berkeley and after he left college, Ed worked with other students with disabilities to push for curb cuts so that parts of the university could be accessible to disabled persons. He formed the Physical Disabled Student Program to help facilitate and ease the transition for other students with disabilities to attend college.

In 1972, he established the first Center for Independent Living in order to support and assist disabled persons in gaining independence. The center's philosophy was, and it continues to be, based on three main points:

First, comprehensive programs with a wide variety of services, such as employment and assistive technology, are the most effective at meeting the needs of persons with disabilities;

Second, people with disabilities know best how to meet the needs of others with disabilities; and

Third, the strongest and most vibrant communities are those that include and embrace all people, including disabled persons.

Today, most independent living centers across the country use this model as a basis for their work with individuals with disabilities. To celebrate this fact, Ed is known as the "Father of the Independent Living Movement."

Mr. Speaker, Ed Roberts was a pioneer in the disability community until his death in 1995. Today, we honor him and acknowledge his work to reduce barriers, increase access, and improve the lives of all persons with disabilities. So I rise in support of House Resolution 1759, which supports the designation of an "Ed Roberts Day."

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. GRIJALVA. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the remainder of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GRIJALVA) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 1759.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Mr. GRIJALVA. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and the Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

HOUSTON, TEXAS, PROPERTY CONVEYANCE

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 6510) to direct the Administrator of General Services to convey a parcel of real property in Houston, Texas, to the Military Museum of Texas, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 6510

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. CONVEYANCE OF REAL PROPERTY IN HOUSTON, TEXAS.

(a) AUTHORITY TO CONVEY.—The Administrator of General Services shall convey, at

the market value determined under subsection (b), to the Military Museum of Texas all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the parcel of real property located at 8611 Wallisville Road in Houston, Texas, as described in subsection (c).

(b) DETERMINATION OF MARKET VALUE.—For purposes of subsection (a), the market value of the real property shall be determined by an independent appraisal based on the current use of the property. The appraisal shall be commissioned by the Administrator and paid for by the Military Museum of Texas.

(c) PROPERTY DESCRIPTION.—The real property to be conveyed is the 3.673 acres of land in Lot 3 of Moers Subdivision in the W.M. Black Survey, Abstract 114, Harris County, Texas, more particularly described as follows:

(1) Beginning at an iron rod located at the intersection of the north line of Wallisville Road presently being 100' wide with the southeast line of U.S. Highway 90 presently being 150' in width.

(2) Thence north 38°13' east 1068.61' along the southeast line of U.S. Highway 90 to an iron rod for the point of beginning.

(3) Thence south 01°15'43" east 713.5' along a fence to a galvanized iron fence corner in the north line of Wallisville Road.

(4) Thence south 79°26' west, 408' more or less parallel to the east boundary line to a point in the southeast line of U.S. Highway 90.

(5) Thence north 38°13' east 460' more or less along the southeast line of U.S. Highway 90 to the point of beginning.

(d) STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS.—The conveyance shall include the improvements, structures, and fixtures located on the real property conveyed and related personal property.

(e) USE RESTRICTION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—As a condition of the conveyance, the Military Museum of Texas shall use and maintain the real property conveyed, for a minimum period of 30 years, in a manner consistent with the use of the property at the time of the conveyance.

(2) USE RESTRICTION.—Except as provided by paragraph (3), if the real property conveyed ceases to be used or maintained as required by paragraph (1), all or any portion of the property shall, in its then existing condition and at the option of the Administrator, revert to the United States.

(3) ABROGATION OF USE RESTRICTION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Military Museum of Texas may seek abrogation of the use restriction set forth in paragraph (2) by obtaining the advance written consent of the Administrator, and by payment to the United States of the fair market value of the real property to be released from the restriction.

(B) DETERMINATION OF FAIR MARKET VALUE.—For purposes of subparagraph (A), the fair market value of the real property shall be determined by an independent appraisal based on the highest and best use of the property as of the effective date of the abrogation. The appraisal shall be commissioned by the Administrator and paid for by the Military Museum of Texas.

(f) COMPLIANCE.—

(1) REPORTS.—As a condition of the conveyance, the Military Museum of Texas shall submit to the Administrator, not later than one year after the date of the conveyance and annually thereafter for a period of 30 years, a report on the Military Museum's use and maintenance of the real property conveyed, and any other reports required by the Administrator to evidence the Military Museum's continuous use of the property in accordance with subsection (d).

(2) INSPECTIONS.—Not later than one year after the date of conveyance and every 5 years thereafter for a period of 30 years, the Administrator shall conduct inspections of the real property conveyed to confirm information provided in the reports submitted under paragraph (1).

(g) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Administrator may require the conveyance to be subject to such additional terms and conditions as the Administrator considers appropriate and necessary to protect the interests of the United States.

(h) COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—The Military Museum of Texas shall be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs associated with the conveyance, including real estate transaction and environmental documentation costs.

(i) RELATIONSHIP TO ENVIRONMENTAL LAW.—Nothing in this section may be construed to affect or limit the application of or obligation to comply with any environmental law, including section 120(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9620(h)).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from the District of Columbia (Ms. NORTON) and the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MARIO DIAZ-BALART) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from the District of Columbia.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 6510.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from the District of Columbia? There was no objection.

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

H.R. 6510 directs the Administrator of General Services to convey a parcel of real property in Houston, Texas, to the Military Museum of Texas. The Military Museum of Texas is a nonprofit 501(c)(3) based in Harris County, Texas, that was created to honor the contributions of the citizens of Texas to the armed services of the United States.

The museum was founded in 1992 as a charitable organization and has an all-volunteer staff with an annual operating budget. The Military Museum of Texas' main activities include educating citizens on Texas' military history and preserving military memorabilia. The memorabilia include artillery field pieces, field equipment, et cetera, but primarily military vehicles for display that are shared with the public. Its income is derived directly from the sale of items to the general public, membership dues, event fees, and contributions of private individuals and corporations.

□ 1640

The museum devotes a significant portion of its funds to its military ve-

hicle restoration program, and these vehicles are often shared with the public on site and at local parades. The Military Museum of Texas estimates the value of its military vehicles and military memorabilia collection in its inventory at \$10 million.

The museum is currently housed in approximately 20,000 square feet owned by the General Services Administration. Originally, GSA leased the facility to the State of Texas starting in the early 1970s, before the museum took control of the facility in late 2004 under lease with the State of Texas for nominal rent plus utilities and minor repairs.

Since its initial occupancy, the Military Museum has worked with a private consultant to put together a 5-year capital improvement plan for fiscal years 2010 through 2015, to improve the facility and address storm damage to make the museum more of a world-class museum.

The General Services Administration declared the property excess on December 16, 2009, and the Military Museum of Texas has expressed an interest in purchasing the property at its full market value with a museum use restriction. The government interest in this property is protected because if the site is used for anything except for a museum during the next 30 years, the owners will be required to pay the market value of the parcel at the highest and best use.

Given that the GSA has declared this property excess and the Military Museum of Texas is willing to purchase at market value, we find this transfer to be in the best interest of the government, and I urge my colleagues to support the bill.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MARIO DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Let me add my words to the gentlewoman from the District of Columbia. This bill would direct the GSA to transfer property in Houston to the Military Museum, as the gentlewoman just said.

I want to thank the gentlewoman from Texas, SHEILA JACKSON LEE, for bringing up this bill. This would, as well as what the chairwoman just talked about, also solve an issue that is really an unfortunate symptom of poor management of real Federal property.

In 2004, the museum leased this property, as we just heard, in good faith from the State of Texas, which had used the property since 1972; and the State had actually even made improvements on it. Now, at the time GSA didn't even know that it owned this property. So it was only after due diligence from the folks at the museum when they started researching the property and the tax records, that is when it was discovered that GSA actually owned or, let me say, likely owned

the property. GSA didn't even have a record of its ownership interest, but subsequently confirmed its interest in the property.

Now, the chairwoman and Ranking Member JOHN MICA of the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee and I have been working to cut wasteful management of Federal real estate property and to save taxpayers money. Unfortunately, this is yet another example, Mr. Speaker, of the type of poor management that has plagued Federal real property. This case raises serious questions as to whether Federal agencies frankly even have accurate data about which properties they even own, the taxpayer even owns, let alone how to manage those properties. But now we are where we are. That is why I thank the gentlewoman from Texas.

The Military Museum of Texas, which is a nonprofit organization founded in 1992 by military veterans, operates with a voluntary staff and displays military vehicles, as we have heard; and they draw a significant amount of visitors each year.

So the GSA, after finally realizing that they did own the property, that the taxpayers own the property, has determined that there is no Federal use for this property. So this bill would provide an opportunity, I think a very good opportunity, for the Military Museum of Texas to continue using the property as a museum; and it will ensure that the taxpayers will be compensated appropriately.

I am pleased to be a cosponsor with the gentlewoman from Texas. I want to thank her again for her leadership on this issue. I clearly support the bill. I just wanted to make sure that everybody understood the issue that we are dealing with here at the Federal level. When you have an agency that doesn't even know that it owns a piece of property, it tells you that we have issues up here that we need to deal with.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON LEE), the sponsor of this bill, such time as she may consume.

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, let me thank the chairwoman of the subcommittee, first of all, for her kind generosity and the excellent staff of the chairperson, and as well my friend and my good friend from Florida, Congressman MARIO DIAZ-BALART, who is the ranking member of this committee, for the wisdom and for their staff's excellent work that has generated relief for hardworking veterans.

In one sense, the Texas Military Museum, it speaks to individuals who have found themselves now living in the State of Texas. But these are heroes that served the Nation, proudly so, and they welcome the Nation to come and to celebrate the idea of a military museum. I don't think we can

have enough of these facilities. And so I am very grateful that this nonprofit institution, in the wisdom of my bipartisan cosponsors, of which I want to express deep and abiding appreciation as I indicated to the ranking member for his sponsorship and to the chairwoman for her leadership, because it is preserving the honor and the memory of citizens who served defending the United States' freedom and liberty, and, as well, we emphasize, serving the entire country.

This will include all branches of the Armed Forces, including the Reserves and the National Guard. This will allow these individuals who have nurtured and cared for military vehicles and other equipment for display since the beginning of their operations, critical components of the military history. These individuals, these soldiers have restored and preserved this military equipment and memorabilia with enduring kindness, volunteerism; and they have stood fast just like they stood fast on the battlefield on behalf of the Nation.

The museum has had to turn down historic military equipment for display and restoration given their space limitations, so this museum will in essence be an asset for the American people.

What will it do? In addition to saying thank you to veterans, to those who have served, it will be a benefit to the community, schools, as well as veterans and military-related groups. It will teach the children to value a soldier, both old and young, both active and nonactive. It will teach them to understand what sacrifice is all about. It will explain to them, these tough vehicles that children rarely see, what they mean to their freedom. The Military Museum provides educational programs. You can't imagine the different schools that are eager to see them. Live reenactments from military personnel, interactive exhibits, provide internships to military history and preservation, as well as research databases available for educational and historical institutions and the public.

I might deviate just for a moment. A fallen hero that all of us admired, Ambassador Richard Holbrooke, took his arms of peace. He was of course the envoy to Pakistan and Afghanistan, where a war is raging in Afghanistan. What I would say to you is that he understood the partnership and the work that has to be done with the military.

That is why this museum is so important. They work together for peace, diplomacy and peace. It is enormously important that we provide an opportunity for these to be recognized.

Now, we are grateful that the General Services Administration has a light bulb on, and we thank those who have recognized the fact, how important it is to be able to fix this, if you will. That is what we are doing.

□ 1650

But we are fixing it for the right reasons. This will be conveyed for market value, the title and interest to the benefit of the United States of America. Therefore, this particular land will not go unused or misused. It, in actuality, will be for the Military Museum of Texas that can really be called the Military Museum—one of them—of the United States of America. We support honoring our soldiers.

So let me thank Ron Kendall, Elliot Doomes, Ward McCarragher, Johanna Hardy, Major Keithen Washington of my office, who was a Department of Defense detailee. We thank him for his fine work, and my deputy chief of staff for her untiring efforts to keep moving this bill.

Certainly we want to acknowledge all of the original cosponsors: MARIO DIAZ-BALART, TED POE, ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN, CHARLIE GONZALEZ, HENRY "HANK" JOHNSON, and RALPH HALL, and the many others who have rallied around to be supportive.

Let me close at this time and indicate that we often speak of making sure that our veterans have all their benefits. We want to help the wounded warriors. Many of us visit the front lines from Iraq to Afghanistan to Bosnia to Albania and places beyond, but we want them to know that we cherish what they have done, and a military museum is a way of doing that. It gives them great joy to teach and educate adults and children, alike, what it is to serve. Our freedom is intertwined with the sacrifices of our servicemen and -women whose devotion to freedom is unparalleled. I am privileged to honor their sacrifices and the role each plays in our freedom by championing and supporting this legislation.

This bill is yet another reminder to all Americans of the risk that our servicemembers take on our behalf daily. Moreover, H.R. 6510 is an expression of gratitude for their service.

The Military Museum of Texas houses wonderful memorabilia and artifacts, and I can say that the relics remind us to be grateful and thankful for the reasons owed to military servicemen and -women for the sacrifices they made for our enjoyment of freedom. So I ask my colleagues to help us make it right, and I ask my colleagues to support H.R. 6510, a bipartisan bill recognizing the history of our great military men and women.

Mr. MARIO DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Mr. Speaker, let me once again thank the gentlelady from Texas for bringing up this bill. As I mentioned before, not only is it going to help solve a problem where you have a Federal agency that didn't even know it had a piece of property that it owned, but as she eloquently stated, it is solving that problem and replacing it with a very meritorious thing, which is a museum for our fighting men and

women who have kept us free for over 200 years.

She very generously mentioned lots of names that she thanked, but I would be remiss if I didn't thank the gentlewoman from Texas for her leadership on this issue. It may have been a frustrating issue at times, but she has brought it to the finish line here in the House.

Also, I want to thank the chairwoman of the subcommittee I have had the privilege of being the ranking member of for 2 years; first for working so closely with me and for, once again, bringing this bill, and also just for being a great partner for the last 2 years, working together on a number of issues, always with great generosity and a spirit of cooperation with me. And that is something I will never forget.

This may or may not be the last time I am on the floor as a member of this committee because I am moving on to the Appropriations Committee, but I wanted to thank the chairwoman for her many courtesies to me. I have really enjoyed, and it has been a privilege, working with you, Madam Chairwoman.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. NORTON. First, I want to thank the ranking member, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MARIO DIAZ-BALART), with whom I have worked so well and so closely, to thank him for the collegiality he and I have had on the subcommittee. It is a model, it seems to me, that the Congress might want to follow.

I must say, when my good friend tells me that he probably will be leaving the committee altogether, it is a sad note for me, given the way we have tried to reach agreement whenever there has been disagreement. And, frankly, on most matters he and I have found little upon which to disagree.

I can only wish him well on the Appropriations Committee. That must be his preference. Therefore, I wish the best for him, and I certainly hope to have the opportunity to work with him again in connection with that committee or otherwise on this floor or in this Congress.

I want to congratulate my good friend from Texas for her strong leadership on this bill and in wanting to make sure that this bill got on the floor before the end of the session and for her devotion to a bill that should be received, I'm sure, by all because of the recognition she so wisely understands all members of the Armed Forces are due.

Mr. OBERSTAR. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 6510, to direct the Administrator of General Services to convey a property in Houston, Texas, to the Military Museum of Texas.

The Military Museum of Texas is a non-profit organization with an all-volunteer staff that

operates a small museum in Houston, Texas, on land owned by the United States Government. The Museum has been operating on this property since 2004, paying a very nominal rent under an agreement with the State of Texas, which in turn had a use agreement with the General Services Administration, GSA.

In 2009, GSA determined that it did not have a need for the property, and, following normal federal procedures, reported the property as excess to its needs. The GSA Office of Property Utilization and Disposal then screened the property with other federal agencies for possible use, and determined in January 2010, that the property was surplus to federal needs. In June 2010, the State of Texas formally notified GSA that it, too, had no need for the property.

H.R. 6510 directs the GSA Administrator to convey this property to the tenant who has been in occupancy for the last 6 years, the Military Museum of Texas, for the fair market value of the property in its current use as a museum. The conveyance, by a provision in this bill, will include a covenant restricting the use of the property to its current use as a museum. If the Museum wants to abrogate the restrictive covenant, the Museum must pay GSA the fair market value of the property in its highest and best use.

The provisions of the bill are fair and reasonable, and protect the interests of the U.S. Government, while affording the Military Museum of Texas the opportunity to end its tenant status and take ownership of this property.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting H.R. 6510.

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of H.R. 6510. First, I would like to thank Ron Kendell, Elliot Doomes, Ward McCarrington, Johanna Hardy, Major Keithen Washington and Shashrina Thomas for their tireless efforts in moving this bill. I would also like to thank the co-sponsors of this bill and my colleagues: Representatives: MARIO DIAZ-BALART, TED POE, ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN, CHARLES GONZALEZ, HENRY "HANK" JOHNSON and RALPH HALL. I introduced this bill requesting that the Administrator of General Services convey land to the Military Museum of Texas.

The Military Museum of Texas was formed to create, maintain and operate an institution to honor and perpetuate the memories of all men and women who have served in the Armed Forces of the United States of America. The President of the Military Museum of Texas, Ed Farris, a former Marine sergeant, and a 22-year veteran of the Houston Police Department's motorcycle patrol and bomb squad, has worked tirelessly to preserve the memories of the men and women of the armed forces. They paid with their lives and their youth to ensure that the United States remains a free and prosperous nation. It is important that we support Mr. Farris and the board members of the Military Museum of Texas to honor and recognize the men and women, living and dead, who have served in the armed forces of the United States. The museum provides a way to hold them up as the heroes they are.

Mr. Speaker, our freedom is intertwined with the sacrifices of our Veterans, whose devotion

to our way of life is unparalleled. I am privileged to honor their sacrifices and the role they play in our nation by introducing House Resolution 6510.

Our nation and veterans from the great State of Texas have a proud legacy of appreciation and commitment to the men and women who have worn the uniform in defense of this country. We must be united in seeing that every soldier, sailor, airman, marine, and coast guardsmen has a place of memory, pride and honor, in which the Military Museum of Texas provides.

Today, we continue to be engaged in hostilities in Afghanistan, and young men and women will pay the ultimate price while wearing the uniform of our nation. Let us honor the memory of the 4,400 Americans who have died in Iraq and more than 1,300 who have died in Afghanistan. We also honor the sacrifices of our wounded: nearly 32,000 U.S. troops in Iraq and 9,000 in Afghanistan.

Throughout the Military Museum of Texas, Americans will learn from the surviving World War II veterans to the veterans of Operation Enduring Freedom and Operation Iraqi Freedom.

In the words of President John F. Kennedy, "As we express our gratitude, we must never forget that the highest appreciation is not to utter words, but to live by them." It is not simply enough to sing the praises of our nation's great veterans; I firmly believe that we must demonstrate by our actions how proud we are of our American heroes. Join me and support H.R. 6510. I firmly believe that we should celebrate our veterans after every conflict, and I remain committed to both meeting the needs of veterans of previous wars, and to provide a fitting welcome home to those who are now serving.

Currently, there are 23 million veterans in the United States. There are more than 1,626,000 veterans living in Texas and more than 32,000 veterans living in my Congressional district alone. H.R. 6510 will allow Congress to express our appreciation to those who have answered the call to duty. As the great British leader Winston Churchill famously stated, "Never in the field of human conflict was so much owed by so many to so few."

Our nation is founded on the principles, laid out in the Declaration of Independence, that "all men are created equal," "that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights," and "that among these are Life, Liberty, and the pursuit of Happiness." At various points in our history as a nation, we have found need to send our sons and daughters, our most precious resources, overseas to fight in defense of these great principles. At times when the need is greatest, America's soldiers have always stepped up to protect our nation.

And so, today, I hope we will all take time from our daily lives to reflect upon the sacrifices made by those who serve in our armed forces, and to resolve together that we will provide returning veterans with the welcome, services, care, and compassion that they deserve—a Museum of reflection. As we consider H.R. 6510, let us all remember the one thing that makes our nation truly great are the young men and women willing to fight to defend it, to defend us, and to defend our way of life. Join me and support H.R. 6510.

Memories fade all too quickly, and we are losing about 1000 WWII veterans every day. It is important that we record and preserve the memories of these veterans so that future generations can understand the sacrifices of our veterans. The Museum is a place for preservation of military memorabilia, personal stories, artifacts and the history of past wars to remember American veterans and their sacrifices.

It is remarkably easy for succeeding generations to forget why we enjoy the freedoms we do in our country. The Museum seeks to educate the public about the sacrifices of our veterans that gave us those freedoms.

It is difficult for those who have not served in combat to understand the horrors our veterans endured and the trauma that still affects their lives. Veterans themselves conduct tours and convey their personal experiences to visitors.

The Museum provides a place where veterans can congregate and discuss their experiences, and in the process, heal. It also permits them to talk about their experiences with museum visitors.

Soldiers currently serving in places such as Iraq and Afghanistan need to know that the people back home in the great state of Texas support them. Volunteers at the Military Museum of Texas prepare and send care packages to troops who are serving overseas and are patients in military hospitals recovering from wounds. The Military Museum of Texas also hosts reunions, participate in parades and other events in the Houston, Texas area.

The Military Museum is a pillar in the community, and a benefit to schools, veterans and military related groups. It provides educational programs, live reenactments from military personnel as well as interactive exhibits. Furthermore, the Military Museum provides internships in military history and preservation, and a research database available for education and historical institutions and the public.

Let us continue to preserve and honor the memory of those who defend our freedom and liberty.

Mr. Speaker, I strongly support H.R. 6510, and ask for its immediate adoption.

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from the District of Columbia (Ms. NORTON) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 6510.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and the Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

FURTHER MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A further message from the Senate by Ms. Curtis, one of its clerks, announced

that the Senate has passed with an amendment in which the concurrence of the House is requested, a bill of the House of the following title:

H.R. 2941. An act to reauthorize and enhance Johanna's Law to increase public awareness and knowledge with respect to gynecologic cancers.

MAKING TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS TO COAST GUARD AUTHORIZATION ACT

Mr. CUMMINGS. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 6516) to make technical corrections to provisions of law enacted by the Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2010.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 6516

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.

Effective with the enactment of the Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-281), such Act is amended as follows:

(1) Section 208(c) is amended by striking "such chapter" and inserting "chapter 5 of title 14, United States Code,".

(2) Section 221(a)(6)(B) is amended by inserting open quotation marks before "(1) In such amounts".

(3) Section 401(d)(1) is amended by striking "part" and inserting "section".

(4) Section 402(a) is amended by striking "Coast Guard Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 2010 and 2011" each place it appears and inserting "Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2010".

(5) Section 511(a) is amended—

(A) in the matter preceding the quoted material, by striking "of such title" and inserting "of title 14, United States Code,"; and

(B) in the quoted material, in section 50(a)(3)(B), by striking "stewrdship" and inserting "stewardship".

(6) Section 524(a) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), in the quoted matter, by redesignating section 102 as section 101; and

(B) in subsection (b), by striking the matter that is inside the quotation marks and inserting the following:

"101. Appeals and waivers.".

(7) Section 525 is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) in the matter preceding the quoted material, by striking "further"; and

(ii) in the quoted material, by redesignating section 200 as section 199; and

(B) in subsection (b), by striking the matter that is inside the quotation marks and inserting the following:

"199. Marine Safety curriculum.".

(8) Section 617(f)(3)(C) is amended by striking "402(c)" and inserting "11.402(c)".

(9) Section 618 is amended by striking "Great Lake" and inserting "Great Lakes".

(10) Section 702(a) is amended by inserting "of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating" after "Secretary".

(11) Section 703(a) is amended by inserting "of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating" after "Secretary".

(12) Section 806(c)(2)(A)(i) is amended—

(A) by striking "OR FACILITIES" and inserting "or facilities"; and

(B) by striking "PORTS" and inserting "ports".

(13) Section 819 is amended in the quoted matter by striking "(6)" and inserting "(3)".

(14) Section 821(a) is amended in the quoted matter in section 70125(d) by striking "[46 U.S.C. 70101 note]" and inserting "(46 U.S.C. 70101 note)".

(15) Section 821(b) is amended by striking "is repealed" and inserting ", and the item relating to such section in the table of contents in section 1(b) of such Act, are repealed".

(16) Section 828(a) is amended—

(A) by striking "Section 701" and inserting "Chapter 701"; and

(B) by striking "is amended" and inserting "is further amended".

(17) Section 828(c) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1) by striking "is amended" and inserting "is further amended";

(B) in paragraph (2) by striking "is amended" and inserting "is further amended";

(C) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and (4) as subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (2), and moving such subparagraphs 2 ems to the right; and

(D) in subparagraph (A) of paragraph (2), as so redesignated, by striking the matter that is inside the quotation marks and inserting the following:

"Subchapter I—General".

(18) Section 901(a) is amended by inserting "and 12132" after "12112".

(19) Section 1011(9)(B) is amended by striking "3(b)(2)" and inserting "1012(b)(2)".

(20) Section 1043 is amended by striking "section 18," and inserting "title 18,".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. CUMMINGS) and the gentleman from Alaska (Mr. YOUNG) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Maryland.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. CUMMINGS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on H.R. 6516.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Maryland?

There was no objection.

Mr. CUMMINGS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 6516, to make technical corrections to provisions of law enacted by the Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2010.

The Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2010 is the first Coast Guard authorizing legislation to become law since 2006. This law represents years of hard work toward improving the service's capabilities by addressing the following issues: oil pollution prevention, acquisition reform, port security, marine safety, and the Coast Guard's organizational structure.

□ 1700

This law also protects the public and our environment by prohibiting the sale, distribution, and use of toxic anti-fouling systems for hulls of ships and marine structures.

I am very proud of the work of so many who contributed to this important piece of maritime legislation. However, after the bill was enacted, we identified a small number of technical drafting errors. H.R. 6516 corrects those minor errors.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting H.R. 6516.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. YOUNG of Alaska. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in strong support of H.R. 6516. This bill does make several very minor technical changes to the recently enacted Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2010. This legislation was put together with the cooperation of Mr. CUMMINGS, Mr. OBERSTAR, and with the assistance of the Office of Legislative Counsel. I urge all Members to support H.R. 6516.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CUMMINGS. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. CUMMINGS) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 6516.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

Accordingly (at 5 o'clock and 1 minute p.m.), the House stood in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

□ 1800

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. WEINER) at 6 p.m.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on motions to suspend the rules previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

S. 1405, by the yeas and nays;

S. 3167, by the yeas and nays;

H.R. 6510, by the yeas and nays.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5-minute votes.

LONGFELLOW HOUSE-WASHINGTON'S HEADQUARTERS NATIONAL HISTORIC SITE DESIGNATION ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 1405) to redesignate the Longfellow National Historic Site, Massachusetts, as the "Longfellow House-Washington's Headquarters National Historic Site," on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. RAHALL) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 364, nays 0, not voting 69, as follows:

[Roll No. 628]

YEAS—364

Ackerman	Coffman (CO)	Grayson
Aderholt	Cohen	Green, Al
Akin	Cole	Grijalva
Alexander	Conaway	Guthrie
Altmire	Connolly (VA)	Hall (TX)
Andrews	Conyers	Halvorson
Austria	Cooper	Hare
Baca	Costa	Harman
Bachmann	Courtney	Harper
Bachus	Crenshaw	Hastings (FL)
Baldwin	Critz	Heinrich
Barrow	Crowley	Heller
Bartlett	Cuellar	Hensarling
Barton (TX)	Culberson	Hерger
Bean	Cummings	Herseth Sandlin
Becerra	Davis (CA)	Higgins
Berkley	Davis (KY)	Hill
Berman	Davis (TN)	Himes
Biggert	DeFazio	Hinchey
Bilbray	DeGette	Hirono
Bilirakis	DeLauro	Hodes
Bishop (GA)	Dent	Hoekstra
Bishop (NY)	Deutch	Holden
Bishop (UT)	Diaz-Balart, M.	Holt
Blackburn	Dicks	Hoyer
Blumenauer	Dingell	Hunter
Boccieri	Djou	Inglis
Boehner	Doggett	Inslee
Bono Mack	Donnelly (IN)	Israel
Boozman	Doyle	Jackson (IL)
Boren	Dreier	Jackson Lee
Boswell	Driebehaus	(TX)
Boustany	Duncan	Jenkins
Boyd	Edwards (MD)	Johnson (GA)
Brady (PA)	Edwards (TX)	Johnson (IL)
Brady (TX)	Ehlers	Johnson, E. B.
Bralley (IA)	Ellison	Johnson, Sam
Bright	Ellsworth	Jones
Brown (GA)	Emerson	Jordan (OH)
Brown (SC)	Eshoo	Kagen
Brown, Corrine	Farr	Kanjorski
Buchanan	Fattah	Kaptur
Burgess	Filner	Kildee
Butterfield	Flake	Kilpatrick (MI)
Calvert	Fleming	Kilroy
Camp	Forbes	Kind
Campbell	Fortenberry	King (IA)
Cantor	Foster	King (NY)
Cao	Fox	Kingston
Capito	Frank (MA)	Kissell
Capuano	Franks (AZ)	Klein (FL)
Carnahan	Frelinghuysen	Kline (MN)
Carson (IN)	Fudge	Kosmas
Carter	Gallely	Kratovil
Cassidy	Garamendi	Kucinich
Castle	Garrett (NJ)	Lamborn
Castor (FL)	Gerlach	Lance
Chaffetz	Giffords	Larsen (WA)
Chu	Gingrey (GA)	Larson (CT)
Clarke	Gohmert	Latham
Clay	Gonzalez	LaTourette
Cleaver	Goodlatte	Latta
Clyburn	Gordon (TN)	Lee (CA)
Coble	Graves (GA)	Lee (NY)

Levin	Nye	Scott (VA)
Lewis (CA)	Oberstar	Sensenbrenner
Lewis (GA)	Obey	Serrano
Linder	Olson	Sessions
Lipinski	Olver	Shea-Porter
LoBiondo	Pallone	Sherman
Loeback	Pascarell	Shimkus
Lofgren, Zoe	Pastor (AZ)	Shuler
Lucas	Paul	Shuster
Luetkemeyer	Paulsen	Skelton
Lujan	Payne	Slaughter
Lummis	Perriello	Smith (NE)
Lungren, Daniel E.	Peters	Smith (NJ)
Mack	Peterson	Smith (TX)
Maffei	Petri	Smith (WA)
Maloney	Pingree (ME)	Snyder
Manzullo	Pitts	Space
Markey (MA)	Platts	Spratt
Marshall	Polis (CO)	Stark
Matheson	Pomeroy	Stearns
Matsui	Posey	Stupak
McCarthy (CA)	Price (GA)	Sullivan
McCauley	Price (NC)	Taylor
McClintock	Quigley	Teague
McCollum	Rahall	Terry
McCotter	Rangel	Thompson (CA)
McDermott	Reed	Thompson (MS)
McGovern	Rehberg	Thompson (PA)
McHenry	Reichert	Thornberry
McIntyre	Reyes	Tiaht
McKeon	Richardson	Tierney
McMahon	Roe (TN)	Titus
McNerney	Rogers (AL)	Tonko
Meek (FL)	Rogers (KY)	Tsongas
Meeks (NY)	Rogers (MI)	Turner
Melancon	Rooney	Upton
Mica	Ros-Lehtinen	Van Hollen
Michaud	Roskam	Velázquez
Miller (FL)	Ross	Visclosky
Miller (MI)	Rothman (NJ)	Walden
Miller (NC)	Roybal-Allard	Walz
Miller, Gary	Royce	Wasserman
Miller, George	Ruppersberger	Schultz
Minnick	Rush	Watt
Mitchell	Ryan (OH)	Waxman
Mollohan	Ryan (WI)	Weiner
Moore (KS)	Sánchez, Linda T.	Welch
Moore (WI)	Sanchez, Loretta	Westmoreland
Moran (VA)	Sarbanes	Whitfield
Murphy (CT)	Scalise	Wilson (OH)
Murphy (NY)	Schakowsky	Wilson (SC)
Murphy, Tim	Schauer	Wittman
Myrick	Schiff	Wolf
Nadler (NY)	Schmidt	Wu
Napolitano	Schock	Yarmuth
Neal (MA)	Schrader	Young (AK)
Neugebauer	Schwartz	Young (FL)
Nunes	Scott (GA)	

NOT VOTING—69

Adler (NJ)	Etheridge	Ortiz
Arcuri	Fallin	Owens
Baird	Granger	Pence
Barrett (SC)	Graves (MO)	Perlmutter
Berry	Green, Gene	Poe (TX)
Blunt	Griffith	Putnam
Bonner	Gutierrez	Radanovich
Boucher	Hall (NY)	Rodriguez
Brown-Waite,	Hastings (WA)	Rohrabacher
Ginny	Hinojosa	Salazar
Burton (IN)	Honda	Sestak
Buyer	Issa	Shadegg
Capps	Kennedy	Simpson
Cardoza	Kirkpatrick (AZ)	Speier
Carney	Langevin	Stutzman
Chandler	Lowey	Sutton
Childers	Lynch	Tanner
Costello	Marchant	Tiberi
Dahlkemper	Markey (CO)	Towns
Davis (AL)	McCarthy (NY)	Wamp
Davis (IL)	McMorris	Waters
Delahunt	Rodgers	Watson
Diaz-Balart, L.	Moran (KS)	Woolsey
Engel	Murphy, Patrick	

□ 1832

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 628 I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

CENSUS OVERSIGHT EFFICIENCY AND MANAGEMENT REFORM ACT OF 2010

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 3167) to amend title 13 of the United States Code to provide for a 5-year term of office for the Director of the Census and to provide for the authority and duties of the Director and Deputy Director of the Census, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from New York (Mrs. MALONEY) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 201, nays 167, not voting 65, as follows:

[Roll No. 629]

YEAS—201

Ackerman	Ellison	Lewis (GA)
Andrews	Ellsworth	Lipinski
Baca	Eshoo	Loeb
Baldwin	Farr	Loftis, Zoe
Barrow	Fattah	Lujan
Bean	Filner	Maffei
Becerra	Foster	Maloney
Berkley	Frank (MA)	Markey (MA)
Berman	Fudge	Marshall
Bishop (GA)	Garamendi	Matsui
Bishop (NY)	Giffords	McCollum
Blumenauer	Gonzalez	McDermott
Boccheri	Gordon (TN)	McGovern
Boswell	Grayson	McIntyre
Boyd	Green, Al	McMahon
Brady (PA)	Grijalva	McNerney
Braley (IA)	Halvorson	Meek (FL)
Bright	Hare	Meeks (NY)
Brown, Corrine	Harman	Michaud
Butterfield	Hastings (FL)	Miller (NC)
Capuano	Heinrich	Miller, George
Carnahan	Herse	Mitchell
Carson (IN)	Higgins	Mollohan
Castor (FL)	Hill	Moore (KS)
Chandler	Himes	Moore (WI)
Chu	Hinchey	Moran (VA)
Clarke	Hirono	Murphy (CT)
Cleaver	Hodes	Nadler (NY)
Clyburn	Holden	Napolitano
Cohen	Holt	Neal (MA)
Connolly (VA)	Hoyer	Oberstar
Conyers	Inslee	Obey
Cooper	Israel	Oliver
Costa	Jackson (IL)	Pallone
Courtney	Jackson Lee	Pascarella
Critz	(TX)	Pastor (AZ)
Crowley	Johnson (GA)	Payne
Cuellar	Johnson, E. B.	Perlmutter
Cummings	Kagen	Perrillo
Davis (CA)	Kanjorski	Peters
Davis (TN)	Kaptur	Peterson
DeFazio	Kildee	Pingree (ME)
DeGette	Kilpatrick (MI)	Polis (CO)
DeLauro	Kilroy	Pomeroy
Dent	Kind	Price (NC)
Deutch	Kissell	Quigley
Dicks	Klein (FL)	Rahall
Dingell	Kosmas	Reyes
Doggett	Kucinich	Richardson
Donnelly (IN)	Larsen (WA)	Rothman (NJ)
Doyle	Larson (CT)	Roybal-Allard
Driehaus	Lee (CA)	Ruppersberger
Edwards (TX)	Levin	Rush

Ryan (OH)
Sánchez, Linda T.
Sanchez, Loretta
Sarbanes
Schakowsky
Schauer
Schiff
Schrader
Schwartz
Scott (GA)
Scott (VA)
Serrano
Shea-Porter
Sires

Skelton
Slaughter
Smith (WA)
Snyder
Space
Spratt
Stark
Stupak
Taylor
Teague
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Tierney
Titus
Tonko

Tsongas
Van Hollen
Velazquez
Visclosky
Walz
Wasserman
Schultz
Watson
Watt
Waxman
Weiner
Welch
Wilson (OH)
Wu
Yarmuth

Sutton
Tanner
Tiberi

Towns
Wamp
Waters

Woolsey

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are reminded they have less than 1 minute remaining.

□ 1840

So (two-thirds not being in the affirmative) the motion was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

NAYS—167

Aderholt
Akin
Alexander
Altmire
Austria
Bachmann
Bachus
Bartlett
Barton (TX)
Bigert
Bilbray
Bilirakis
Bishop (UT)
Blackburn
Boehner
Bono Mack
Boozman
Boren
Boustany
Brady (TX)
Broun (GA)
Brown (SC)
Buchanan
Burgess
Buyer
Calvert
Camp
Campbell
Cantor
Cao
Capito
Carter
Cassidy
Castle
Chaffetz
Clay
Coble
Coffman (CO)
Cole
Conaway
Crenshaw
Culberson
Davis (KY)
Diaz-Balart, M.
Djou
Dreier
Duncan
Edwards (MD)
Ehlers
Emerson
Flake
Fleming
Forbes
Fortenberry
Foxy
Franks (AZ)

Frelinghuysen
Gallegly
Garrett (NJ)
Gerlach
Gingrey (GA)
Gohmert
Goodlatte
Graves (GA)
Guthrie
Hall (TX)
Harper
Heller
Hensarling
Herger
Hoekstra
Hunter
Inglis
Jenkins
Johnson (IL)
Johnson, Sam
Jones
Jordan (OH)
King (IA)
King (NY)
Kingston
Kline (MN)
Kratovil
Lamborn
Lance
Latham
LaTourette
Latta
Lee (NY)
Lewis (CA)
Linder
LoBiondo
Lucas
Luetkemeyer
Lummis
Lungren, Daniel E.
Mack
Manzullo
Matheson
McCarthy (CA)
McCauley
McClintock
McCotter
McHenry
McKeon
Melancon
Mica
Miller (FL)
Miller (MI)
Miller, Gary
Minnick

Murphy (NY)
Murphy, Tim
Myrick
Neugebauer
Nunes
Nye
Olson
Paul
Paulsen
Petri
Pitts
Platts
Poe (TX)
Posey
Price (GA)
Reed
Rehberg
Reichert
Roe (TN)
Rogers (AL)
Rogers (KY)
Rogers (MI)
Rooney
Ros-Lehtinen
Roskam
Ross
Royce
Ryan (WI)
Scalise
Schmidt
Schock
Sensenbrenner
Sessions
Sherman
Shimkus
Shuler
Shuster
Smith (NE)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Stearns
Sullivan
Terry
Thompson (PA)
Thornberry
Tiahrt
Turner
Upton
Walden
Westmoreland
Whitfield
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Wolf
Young (AK)
Young (FL)

HOUSTON, TEXAS, PROPERTY CONVEYANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 6510) to direct the Administrator of General Services to convey a parcel of real property in Houston, Texas, to the Military Museum of Texas, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from the District of Columbia (Ms. NORTON) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 363, nays 0, not voting 70, as follows:

[Roll No. 630]

YEAS—363

Ackerman	Campbell	Doggett
Aderholt	Cantor	Donnelly (IN)
Akin	Cao	Doyle
Alexander	Capito	Dreier
Altmire	Capuano	Driehaus
Andrews	Carnahan	Duncan
Austria	Carson (IN)	Edwards (MD)
Baca	Carter	Edwards (TX)
Bachmann	Cassidy	Ehlers
Bachus	Castle	Ellison
Baldwin	Castor (FL)	Ellsworth
Barrow	Chaffetz	Emerson
Bartlett	Chandler	Eshoo
Barton (TX)	Chu	Farr
Bean	Clarke	Fattah
Becerra	Clay	Filner
Berkley	Cleaver	Flake
Berman	Clyburn	Fleming
Biggert	Coble	Forbes
Bilbray	Coffman (CO)	Fortenberry
Bilirakis	Cohen	Foster
Bishop (GA)	Cole	Foxy
Bishop (NY)	Conaway	Franks (AZ)
Bishop (UT)	Connolly (VA)	Frelinghuysen
Blackburn	Conyers	Fudge
Blumenauer	Cooper	Gallegly
Boccheri	Costa	Garamendi
Boehner	Courtney	Garrett (NJ)
Bono Mack	Crenshaw	Gerlach
Boozman	Critz	Giffords
Boren	Crowley	Gingrey (GA)
Boswell	Cuellar	Gohmert
Boustany	Culberson	Gonzalez
Boyd	Cummings	Goodlatte
Brady (PA)	Davis (CA)	Gordon (TN)
Brady (TX)	Davis (KY)	Graves (GA)
Braley (IA)	Davis (TN)	Grayson
Bright	DeFazio	Green, Al
Broun (GA)	DeGette	Grijalva
Brown (SC)	DeLauro	Guthrie
Brown, Corrine	Dent	Hall (TX)
Burgess	Deutch	Halvorson
Butterfield	Diaz-Balart, M.	Hare
Buyer	Dicks	Harman
Calvert	Dingell	Harper
Camp	Djou	Hastings (FL)

NOT VOTING—65

Adler (NJ)
Arcuri
Baird
Barrett (SC)
Berry
Blunt
Bonner
Boucher
Brown-Waite, Ginny
Burton (IN)
Capps
Cardoza
Carney
Childers
Costello
Dahlkemper
Davis (AL)
Davis (IL)
Delahunt

Diaz-Balart, L.
Engel
Etheridge
Fallin
Granger
Graves (MO)
Green, Gene
Griffith
Gutierrez
Hall (NY)
Hastings (WA)
Hinojosa
Honda
Issa
Kennedy
Kirkpatrick (AZ)
Langevin
Larson (AL)
Lynch
Marchant

Markey (CO)
McCarthy (NY)
McMorris
Rodgers
Moran (KS)
Murphy, Patrick
Ortiz
Owens
Pence
Putnam
Radanovich
Rangel
Rodriguez
Rohrabacher
Salazar
Sestak
Shadegg
Simpson
Speier
Stutzman

Heinrich	McClintock	Royce	Moran (KS)	Rohrabacher	Sutton
Heller	McCollum	Ruppersberger	Murphy, Patrick	Salazar	Tanner
Hensarling	McCotter	Rush	Ortiz	Sestak	Tiberi
Herger	McDermott	Ryan (OH)	Owens	Shadegg	Towns
Herseth Sandlin	McGovern	Ryan (WI)	Pence	Simpson	Velázquez
Higgins	McHenry	Sánchez, Linda	Putnam	Speier	Wamp
Hill	McIntyre	T.	Radanovich	Stark	Waters
Himes	McKeon	Sanchez, Loretta	Rodriguez	Stutzman	Woolsey
Hinchey	McNerney	Sarbanes			
Hirono	Meek (FL)	Scalise			
Hodes	Meeks (NY)	Schakowsky			
Hoekstra	Melancon	Schauer			
Holden	Mica	Schiff			
Holt	Michaud	Schmidt			
Hoyer	Miller (FL)	Schock			
Hunter	Miller (MI)	Schrader			
Inglis	Miller (NC)	Schwartz			
Inslee	Miller, Gary	Scott (GA)			
Israel	Miller, George	Scott (VA)			
Jackson (IL)	Minnick	Sensenbrenner			
Jackson Lee	Mitchell	Serrano			
(TX)	Mollohan	Sessions			
Jenkins	Moore (KS)	Shea-Porter			
Johnson (GA)	Moore (WI)	Sherman			
Johnson (IL)	Moran (VA)	Shimkus			
Johnson, E. B.	Murphy (CT)	Shuler			
Johnson, Sam	Murphy (NY)	Shuster			
Jones	Murphy, Tim	Sires			
Jordan (OH)	Myrick	Skelton			
Kagen	Nadler (NY)	Slaughter			
Kanjorski	Napolitano	Smith (NE)			
Kaptur	Neal (MA)	Smith (NJ)			
Kildee	Neugebauer	Smith (TX)			
Kilpatrick (MI)	Nunes	Smith (WA)			
Kilroy	Nye	Snyder			
Kind	Oberstar	Space			
King (IA)	Obey	Spratt			
King (NY)	Olson	Stearns			
Kingston	Olver	Stupak			
Kissell	Pallone	Sullivan			
Klein (FL)	Pascarell	Taylor			
Kline (MN)	Pastor (AZ)	Teague			
Kosmas	Paul	Terry			
Kratovil	Paulsen	Thompson (CA)			
Kucinich	Payne	Thompson (MS)			
Lamborn	Perlmutter	Thompson (PA)			
Lance	Perriello	Thornberry			
Larsen (WA)	Peters	Tiahrt			
Larson (CT)	Peterson	Tierney			
Latham	Petri	Titus			
LaTourette	Pingree (ME)	Tonko			
Latta	Pitts	Tsongas			
Lee (CA)	Platts	Turner			
Lee (NY)	Poe (TX)	Upton			
Levin	Polis (CO)	Van Hollen			
Lewis (CA)	Pomeroy	Visclosky			
Lewis (GA)	Posey	Walden			
Linder	Price (GA)	Walz			
Lipinski	Price (NC)	Wasserman			
LoBiondo	Quigley	Schultz			
Loeback	Rahall	Watson			
Lofgren, Zoe	Rangel	Watt			
Lucas	Reed	Waxman			
Luetkemeyer	Rehberg	Weiner			
Luján	Reichert	Welch			
Lummis	Reyes	Westmoreland			
Lungren, Daniel	Richardson	Whitfield			
E.	Roe (TN)	Wilson (OH)			
Mack	Rogers (AL)	Wilson (SC)			
Maffei	Rogers (KY)	Wittman			
Maloney	Rogers (MI)	Wolf			
Markey (MA)	Rooney	Wu			
Marshall	Ros-Lehtinen	Yarmuth			
Matheson	Roskam	Young (AK)			
Matsui	Ross	Young (FL)			
McCarthy (CA)	Rothman (NJ)				
McCauley	Roybal-Allard				

NOT VOTING—70

Adler (NJ)	Costello	Hastings (WA)
Arcuri	Dahlkemper	Hinojosa
Baird	Davis (AL)	Honda
Barrett (SC)	Davis (IL)	Issa
Berry	Delahunt	Kennedy
Blunt	Diaz-Balart, L.	Kirkpatrick (AZ)
Bonner	Engel	Langevin
Boucher	Etheridge	Lowey
Brown-Waite,	Fallin	Lynch
Ginny	Frank (MA)	Manzullo
Buchanan	Granger	Marchant
Burton (IN)	Graves (MO)	Markey (CO)
Capps	Green, Gene	McCarthy (NY)
Cardoza	Griffith	McMahon
Carney	Gutierrez	McMorris
Childers	Hall (NY)	Rodgers

□ 1849

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

CELEBRATING PENNSYLVANIA'S
223RD YEAR OF STATEHOOD

(Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, this past weekend the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania celebrated its 223rd year as the second State to be admitted to the new United States of America. Its history is varied, from the Algonquin and Iroquois natives who met Dutch explorers in 1609 to the Quaker named William Penn who founded Philadelphia in 1682. That fair city served as the Nation's Capital from 1790 to 1800. Both the First and Second Continental Congress met in Philadelphia, and General George Washington and his Continental Army survived a harsh winter at Valley Forge during the Revolution. In 1787, the Constitutional Convention met in Philadelphia, and Pennsylvania became the second State admitted.

As we became a Nation, the State grew and produced iron and milled grain, plied the steamboat on its rivers, and drilled the first commercially successful oil well near Titusville. More recently, we have gone from the production of oil and steel to the new boom of the Marcellus natural gas play. I congratulate the State on its milestone of its 223rd year and celebrate the freedom that allows the Commonwealth to pursue prosperity with natural gas development and help the Nation with its energy needs.

CONGRATULATING TEXAS SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY FOR THE 2010
SOUTHWESTERN ATLANTIC CONFERENCE CHAMPIONSHIP

(Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. Madam Speaker, it's my pleasure to rise on the floor of the House and congratulate the Texas Southern University Fighting Tigers, who won the SWAC competition in Birmingham, Alabama, against Alabama State. Congratulations to Coach Cole, the athletic director,

President John Rudley, the Board of Regents, but most of all, our outstanding football players, who are vested in character and integrity and doing the right thing. Let me thank all the students, the band, all of those who came to cheer.

I had the great privilege of being there in Birmingham, Alabama, to support this great team. They celebrated today at city hall with the mayor and the community, and we look forward to a greater understanding of who Texas Southern University is, a great institution, vested in the history of this country, educating young people. And now we can call them the Fighting Tigers, the 2010 winners of the SWAC championship. Fighting Tigers, congratulations to all of you.

Madam Speaker, I stand before you today to recognize and to congratulate the Texas Southern University, TSU, Tigers for bringing home the Southwestern Atlantic Conference, SWAC, Championship to my district in Houston, Texas. In a display of great sportsmanship and great endurance, the Tigers defeated the Alabama State Hornets on December 11, and became conference champions for the first time in 42 years. I would like to congratulate the Tigers' athletic association, their football team, and, of course, Coach Johnnie Cole on a momentous finale to a tremendous season.

As a member of the Congressional Black Caucus, it is truly an honor to recognize Texas Southern University not only for their conference championship, but also for their academic successes as one of the nation's largest Historically Black Colleges and Universities, HBCU. Additionally, TSU contributes to the multiculturalism that makes Houston the diverse city it is today, by hosting a number of international students. Texas Southern University, which is located in the heart of metropolitan Houston, proudly serves students of diverse socioeconomic, cultural, racial and ethnic backgrounds. Moreover, Texas Southern University is poised towards a greater tomorrow—its academic programs are designed to encourage and develop America's future model citizens.

The fighting Tigers of TSU dominated defensively throughout the December 11th game against the Alabama State Hornets at Legion Field. With the final and, winning score of 11–6, the Tigers were able to rejoice in the reclamation of the SWAC Championship, the team's first since 1968—but this time it is theirs alone. Guided by Coach Cole, and led by Dejuan Fulghum, Defensive MVP of the Championship game, and Riko Smalls, Offensive MVP of the Championship game, the fighting Tigers were able to bring home the SWAC championship.

Coach Johnnie Cole achieved many successes in his tenure at Texas Southern University. By transforming and revitalizing the Tigers' football team, he truly has brought football back to TSU. Coach Cole has had a long history with TSU, ever since he played on losing TSU football teams in the 1980s. Though, this year, Coach Cole turned a new leaf for TSU, by coaching an astoundingly successful and triumphant football team all the way to the

SWAC championship. Since joining the football leadership team in 2008, Coach Cole has ignored the defeatist mentality and has a renewed focus on reigniting the school spirit for the TSU Fighting Tigers. This year, they finished the season on an eight game winning streak, and Cole bringing TSU the first Conference Championship since 1968 was "icing on the cake." I would like to congratulate Coach Johnnie Cole on his recent award for the Southwestern Atlantic Conference's Coach of the Year; his success represents the future of TSU. It truly was a great combination—with Coach Johnnie Cole, athletic director Charles McClelland, a supportive and spirited student body, and finally a hardworking and motivated football team—that led the fighting Tigers to a great year of dignity, sportsmanship, and success.

Madam Speaker, I would like to take a few moments to recognize the Most Valuable Players from the 2010 Southwestern Atlantic Conference Championship game. I believe that they exhibited the great athleticism and leadership that paved the way for the Tigers' Championship on December 11. Firstly, I would like to acknowledge Dejuan Fulghum, the SWAC's Defensive Player of the Year, and the Championship Game's Most Valuable Defensive Player. Dejuan Fulghum is a senior linebacker, who has had a tremendous career with the TSU Tigers. He led the Southwestern Atlantic Conference in sacks, with nine this year, and was third in tackles, with 91. Furthermore, he recorded an interception and two forced fumbles that led to the Football Championship Subdivision designating TSU as the number two defensive team. The Championship Game's Most Valuable Offensive Player, Riko Smalls, a sophomore quarterback, was called to the field on last Saturday, when senior quarterback Arvell Nelson was unable to play. Riko Smalls helped place TSU in the best position for winning the Championship, and rose to his role as a leader on the field. Riko Smalls' first start with the TSU Tigers, in arguably their most important game, demonstrates the growing capabilities of the Texas Southern Tigers and of the TSU coaching staff. The entire team was well prepared to succeed that day and I congratulate all the Texas Southern Tigers; and, I would like to commemorate the success of their football team, which can now claim the title of the best college football team in Houston.

In representing the eighteenth district of Texas, I am proud to commemorate the fighting Tigers of TSU for their athletic success, and moreover, to commemorate the greater TSU community for their scholastic drive and dedication to becoming champions on and off the field.

CONGRATULATIONS TO CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL AND CLINICS

(Mr. PAULSEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. PAULSEN. Madam Speaker, I am proud to congratulate Minnesota's Children's Hospital and Clinics for being named a "top hospital" by the Leapfrog Hospital Survey. Not long ago, I had a chance to visit Children's,

and I saw firsthand how their commitment to improve patient safety is second to none. Their many safety initiatives have helped patients achieve one of the lowest rates of hospital-acquired infection in the Nation, and their use of electronic medical records has helped further reduce complications and improve patient care.

Minnesota has long been ahead of the curve when it comes to health care. From life-saving technologies and medical technologies to continually striving to create the most effective and efficient health care system, our hospitals have earned a reputation for excellence and innovation in health care. Congratulations to Minnesota's Children's Hospital and Clinics, and thanks for your tireless efforts to provide your patients with the best possible care.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. HALVORSON). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2009, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

AFGHANISTAN'S HEAVY COST

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. JONES) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. JONES. Madam Speaker, the war in Afghanistan continues to prove to be an impossible task. Enough is enough. America is spending \$7 billion a month on the war. To break it down further, that is approximately \$233 million a day on the war, with no end to it at all. Madam Speaker, to reset our equipment alone will cost in excess of \$13 billion, just to bring it back to the same position it was prior to going into Iraq and Afghanistan. It is impossible to absorb this amount of money, particularly with the debt this country has and the fact that we can't even pay our own bills without borrowing money from China, Japan, and the UAE.

Yesterday in an article in The Washington Post, Afghan President Karzai stated that he "now had three enemies: the Taliban, the United States, the international community." He also said in The Washington Post article that if he had to choose sides, he would choose the Taliban. This is what our young men and women are dying for.

Madam Speaker, I have on the floor with me today a photograph taken of the Air Force Honor Guard at Dover Air Force Base, escorting the remains of an American hero off the plane. Madam Speaker, this is just not worth the cost of our young men and women dying, and it's not worth the cost that it is costing the taxpayers.

In addition, I read another article that I would like to quote from. It was an AP article entitled, "No Decisive

Victory One Year Into Afghan Surge." It really gathered my attention. In the article, a citizen of Afghanistan stated, "Every day that passes, the security situation is getting worse. The government is not in a position to bring peace. Every day, the Taliban are getting more powerful than the government."

Madam Speaker, again, today I have this poster on the floor because, in my mind, since we don't draft young men and women anymore to fight wars, and it is a volunteer service, and they are doing a magnificent job, but with four, five, and six deployments, they are wearing down. They're wearing out. Their suicides are up, and divorces are up as well.

□ 1900

Last week, we had six Americans killed in a bombing in Afghanistan. We continue to repeat history. This case of Afghanistan history will show that no nation has ever conquered Afghanistan. It will always be a vast country of 1,400 different tribes. What are we trying to do? Why are we continuing this war effort?

I join my friend JIM MCGOVERN. I join my friends in both parties that are saying to the President, please, Mr. President, rethink. Don't stay there four more years because it's not going to be worth it. It's not worth it now. And, Madam Speaker, after 10 years, going on 10 years of being in Afghanistan, we continue to see no end to this commitment that, in my humble opinion, is not worth one American life.

So, Madam Speaker, as I do frequently on this floor, I'd like to close by asking God to please bless our men and women in uniform. I ask God to please bless the families of our men and women in uniform. I ask God in his loving arms to hold the families who've given a child dying for freedom in Afghanistan and Iraq. And God, please bless the House and Senate that we will do what is right in Your eyes for Your people. And God, please give wisdom, strength, and courage to President Obama that he will do what is right for Your people in this country.

And, Madam Speaker, three times, God, please, God, please, God, please, continue to bless America.

Mr. CONYERS. Will the distinguished gentleman yield?

Mr. JONES. I yield to the gentleman from Michigan.

Mr. CONYERS. I want to thank the gentleman for his contribution tonight and associate myself with his excellent statement and remarks.

Mr. JONES. I thank the gentleman, Mr. CONYERS. Thank you so much for joining me.

GIVING THE GIFT OF WATER TO THE NEEDIEST THIS HOLIDAY SEASON

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. CONYERS. Madam Speaker and Members of the House, I rise to point out that a very important consideration is about to take place in the next 3 days dealing with the Senator Paul Simon Water for the World Act. Its main sponsor is the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. BLUMENAUER). It has 97 cosponsors. And I want to commend the bipartisan spirit in which this bill has been put forward, because we have no less than one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten Members of the House that belong to the minority that are cosponsors. And in the other body, we have one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight Members of that distinguished body who are in the minority there, plus two Independent Senators that have joined us.

And why? Because we've been working on this question of water for the continent of Africa and the states and the millions of people there suffering there and in Haiti. And we have a very rare opportunity in these next several days. The other body has passed the measure, and I stand before the House tonight to urge that it be taken up here as soon as possible.

As we gather for the holiday season, we are giving thanks for family and friends, but what may be unconsidered and unmentioned is appreciation for access to the water and adequate sanitation, something that's taken for granted in our great country.

And so I rise to remind us that there are 884 million people across the planet who went without access to clean water this year, and 2.5 billion men, women, and many, many children who went without adequate sanitation. Without access to these basic building blocks, many of the people of undeveloped nations will likely have been left without the ability to work because of health problems that hamper productivity and discourage economic investment.

The countries of the world, including our great Nation, have come together to say that we can do better. And so a set of shared goals, entitled the Millennium Development Goals, have set specific targets relating to increasing access to water and sanitation by 2015. With these goals, we and the international community have pledged to halve, by 2015, to cut in half, the proportion of people who are unable to reach or afford or come into possession of safe drinking water. Think of it. And many of these are children. That's the worst part of it all.

And as this Congress draws to a close, we have a sensitive opportunity to make good on that promise. Import-

tant legislation, entitled the Water for the World Act, H.R. 2035, has already passed in the other body. We need it here. And, if enacted, this bill could help 50 million people over the next 6 years.

Please join me in helping move this legislation across the finish line and provide millions of our fellow world citizens with the gift of water.

IN HISTORIC VOTE, UN DECLARES WATER A FUNDAMENTAL HUMAN RIGHT

Juan Gonzalez: The United Nations General Assembly has declared for the first time that access to clean water and sanitation is a fundamental human right. In an historic vote Wednesday, 122 countries supported the resolution, and over forty countries abstained from voting, including the United States, Canada and several European and other industrialized countries. There were no votes against the resolution.

Nearly one billion people lack clean drinking water, and over two-and-a-half billion do not have basic sanitation.

Bolivia's Permanent Representative to the United Nations, Pablo Solon, introduced the resolution at the General Assembly Wednesday.

Pablo Solon: [translated] At the global level, approximately one out of every eight people do not have drinking water. In just one day, more than 200 million hours of the time used by women is spent collecting and transporting water for their homes. The lack of sanitation is even worse, because it affects 2.6 billion people, which represents 40 percent of the global population. According to the report of the World Health Organization and of UNICEF of 2009, which is titled "Diarrhoea: Why Children Are [Still] Dying and What We Can Do," every day 24,000 children die in developing countries due to causes that can be prevented, such as diarrhea, which is caused by contaminated water. This means that a child dies every three-and-a-half seconds. One, two, three. As they say in my village, the time is now.

Amy Goodman: Bolivia's ambassador to the United Nations, Pablo Solon, urging support for the resolution Bolivia introduced recognizing access to clean water and sanitation as a fundamental human right.

For more on this historic vote, we're joined now here in New York by longtime water justice advocate Maude Barlow. She's the chair of the Council of Canadians, co-founder of the Blue Planet Project and board chair of Food and Water Watch. Last year she served as senior adviser on water to the President of the United Nations General Assembly.

Welcome to Democracy Now!

Maude Barlow: So glad to be here.

Amy Goodman: Talk about the significance of this. If you asked people in this country, they would have no idea this has passed.

Maude Barlow: I know, I know, which is why you matter, I just have to say. This is very, very distressing to know something this important happened and it's been blanketed. There's no media here; it's just like it didn't happen. It's had media in other places.

There's no human—there has been on human right to water. It wasn't included in the 1948 Declaration of Human Rights. And then, more recently, when people have realized that it needed to happen, there were very powerful forces against it—powerful countries, powerful corporate interests and so on. But Ambassador Solon and a number

of developing countries decided that they were going to move this, countries from the Global South, that they were going to move this through, and they just tabled it a month ago, and yesterday, at the vote at the United Nations, they won. Not one country had the guts to stand against them, even though lots of them wanted to do it.

And basically, for the first time, the United Nations General Assembly debated the right to water and sanitation—it's very important both were included—and acknowledged and recognized the right of every human being on earth to water and sanitation. And this matters because—as you know, because we've talked so many times—we are running—a planet running out of water. Brand new World Bank study says that the demand is going to exceed supply by 40 percent in twenty years. It's just a phenomenal statement. And the human suffering behind that is just unbelievable. And what this did as basically say that the United Nations has decided it's not going to let huge populations leave them behind as this crisis unfolds, that the new priority is to be given to these populations without water and sanitation.

Juan Gonzalez: And the countries that abstained, could you talk about—did any of them talk about why they were not voting "yes," or did they just remain quiet?

Maude Barlow: Oh, it was the usual gang. It was the United States and Canada, the European—not the European Union—the United Kingdom some of the European countries voted to abstain; some were wonderful—Australia, New Zealand. So it was all of the Anglophone, neoliberal, you know, bought into this whole agenda that everything is to be commodified, countries who are able to continue to supply clean water to their citizens, which makes it doubly appalling that they would deny the right to water to the billions of people who are suffering right now.

They used procedural language about this and that. There's another process in Geneva with the Human Rights Council, which we support, and they used the excuse that we have to wait for that. But that's a long-term process, and it could or could not end in something very specific. So they just cut through it. A bunch of brave countries from the Global South said, "We can't wait. We need this now." And it's not a surprise that it came from Bolivia, because, remember, Bolivia is suffering double whammy with a, you know, dearth of water, dearth of clean water, but also melting glaciers from climate change.

Amy Goodman: Well, let's go back to Bolivia. I want to go back to Bolivia's UN representative, Ambassador Pablo Solon, at a speech he gave in Toronto, the event that you organized, Maude, last month, shortly before the G20 meetings. He outlined the need to support a UN declaration on the human right to water, referencing the long struggle for water rights in Bolivia, which successfully fought against Bechtel's water privatization efforts ten years ago.

Pablo Solon: In those days, I was a water warrior. Now I'm a water warrior ambassador. We have to have water declared as a human right in the UN. It is not possible to see that we have declared in the UN food, the right to food, the right to health, the right to education, the right to shelter, the right to development, but not the right to water. And we all know that without water, we can't live. So nobody can argue that it's not a basic and fundamental and universal human right. But even though, until now,

it's not recognized as a human right. So, we have presented, two weeks ago, a draft resolution so that this coming month, in July, we expect to have a vote in the General Assembly of the United Nations. And we want to see which countries are going to vote against that resolution. We want to go to vote to see which governments are going to say to the humanity that water is not a human right.

Amy Goodman: That was Bolivia's ambassador to the United Nations, Pablo Solon, speaking in Toronto. Which nations are not going to say that water is a human right? Well, you said the United States didn't vote for this. Canada didn't, though they didn't vote against. What is their rationale?

Maude Barlow: Well, it depends on the country. The United Kingdom says they "don't want to pay for the toilets in Africa." That's a direct quote from somebody who wouldn't be quoted, from a senior diplomat in the government of Great Britain, that was in—quoted in a Canadian paper.

Canada hides behind the false statement that we might have to share our water, sell our water to the United States, which is nonsense. We're in way more danger from NAFTA, which declares water to be a commodity.

The United States, as you know, has not been supporting rights regimes for decades now, so this is just a continuation. And I have to tell you, listening to the statement from the United States yesterday at the United Nations, I wouldn't have thought there was any difference between George Bush and Barack Obama's administrations. It was haughty language. They scolded Bolivia. Bolivia came under a lot of heat, a lot of insults yesterday from these countries.

New Zealand and Australia are both going private. Australia has privatized its water totally, and basically it's now for sale. And there's a big American investment firm that's actually buying up water rights. It was supposed to be, originally, just to get the farmers of the big farm conglomerates to share, to trade, but now it's all gone private and international, so they're hardly going to support something that says that water, you know, is a human right, when they've commodified it and said it's a market commodity.

So, really, what you're seeing is a split between those countries that see water as a public trust, although that wasn't in the language of the legislation, but that see water as a public trust and a human right and that should belong to all, as opposed to those who are going to move to a market model. And I think that's the truth behind what happened.

And it's very important for you to know that they did not allow the inclusion of the words "access to," and that was one of the demands. I think some of those countries would have said yes to something that said "access to." And it's very important. It's not semantic, because if you say you have access to it, then all the country—all the government has to do is provide you access. Then they can charge you, or they can have a private company come in and deliver it and charge you. And if you can't afford it, they provided you access, it's not their fault if you can't pay it. So it's very important that Bolivia and the other sponsoring countries held on to the language of the human right to drinking water and sanitation. They wouldn't drop sanitation. They wouldn't add the words "access to." And those were the sticking points.

Juan Gonzalez: And in practical terms, what will be the impact of this resolution on

those efforts to continue to commodify or privatize water supplies in countries around the world, especially in the third world?

Maude Barlow: It's a fight we're in. You know, I'm not going to say that suddenly everything is going to be fine tomorrow or today, today being the day after the vote, that anybody woke up in a different situation today, anybody had more water today than they did yesterday, or more access to sanitation.

What it is is a moral statement, a guiding principle, of the countries of the world—and basically the UN is the closest thing we have to a global parliament—that they have taken a step in a direction of saying that water is a human right and a public trust and that no one should be dying for lack of water, and they shouldn't have to watch their children die a horrible death for lack of water because they cannot pay. And that was a statement that has taken us years and years to get the UN—they hadn't even debated the water issue. They hadn't even debated it in the past. They've done all this work on climate and absolutely no work on water. So it was a huge step forward to establishing some principles that we need if we are to avoid the crisis that I honestly see coming, that I think is going to be worse than anybody can imagine, in terms of the suffering.

THANKING LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART FOR HIS SERVICE IN CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Madam Speaker, I would like to honor a great public servant and a dear friend, Congressman LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART, who, after 17 years of distinguished service to our south Florida community here in Congress, is retiring. The House of Representatives is indeed losing a great man and a dedicated leader.

LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART has left a legacy that is extensive and worthy of praise. He has led a life guided by his principles, and he has not wavered in his convictions; convictions based on his love for this great country and the freedom that it embodies.

LINCOLN's story is truly an American story. Having fled the Castro regime with his family, he became a fierce and staunch defender for human rights and the rule of law throughout the world. He became a voice for those whose own voices are silenced by repressive governments.

His commitment to public service is a testament to not only his character, but to the valuable lessons that he learned from his father, Rafael Diaz-Balart. The courage that Rafael demonstrated as he fought against Castro's totalitarian tactics left a profound impact on his son LINCOLN. It instilled in LINCOLN a sense of duty and a fierce urgency to help others.

From the beginning of his life in public service, LINCOLN devoted himself to aiding those less fortunate. Early in his career, he used his expertise as an

attorney to assist south Florida's most vulnerable by providing free legal services to the poor. He also served as an assistant State attorney in Miami-Dade County.

LINCOLN began his career in politics by being elected to the Florida House of Representatives in 1986 and later to the Florida Senate in 1989. And then, in 1992, he was elected to our body, the U.S. House of Representatives.

I have enjoyed working with LINCOLN as we have tackled the issues that have been of vital importance to our south Florida communities.

And two of his proudest moments, Madam Speaker, were the passage of the Helms-Burton Act and the Nicaraguan Adjustment and Central American Relief Act, both of which he helped author. The Helms-Burton Act strengthened and codified into law the embargo against the Castro dictatorship. And the Nicaraguan Adjustment and Central American Relief Act granted temporary protected status to hundreds and hundreds of refugees who were fleeing repressive governments in Central America.

Another proud moment came in 1997, when LINCOLN helped secure legislation that extended SSI benefits to so many legal immigrant families.

□ 1910

LINCOLN has also been a tireless advocate for providing Hispanic youth the resources necessary to compete in a global economy.

Recognizing that the Hispanic community has and will continue to contribute much to our great Nation, LINCOLN helped create the Congressional Hispanic Leadership Institute, CHLI, a nonprofit, nonpartisan organization that provides Hispanic youth with the opportunities to interact with leaders in the public and private sectors. Its Global Leaders Congressional Internship Program has helped hundreds of Hispanic students expand their professional horizons and enhance their understanding of governments and businesses.

LINCOLN will be missed in Congress, but I know that south Florida will continue to count him as a leader. He will soon begin to work closely with the group Rosa Blanca, or White Rose. This organization was formed by his father, Rafael, in order to counter the totalitarian and collectivism objectives of the Castro regime.

As LINCOLN begins this new stage in his professional and personal life, I know that our entire south Florida community, as well as my esteemed colleagues in the Congress, wish him and his family, his dear wife Cristina, and his sons, Danny and L.G., nothing but the best. Godspeed, my friend.

AFFORDABLE CARE ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. COURTNEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. COURTNEY. Madam Speaker, yesterday Virginia District Court Judge Henry Hudson's decision striking down one provision of the Affordable Care Act has generated a lot of noise in the last 24 hours.

Opponents of health care reform are celebrating as if the whole law was struck down, even though Judge Hudson refused the Commonwealth of Virginia's request to strike down the entire law and Judge Hudson refused the Commonwealth of Virginia's request to stop implementation of the health care bill which has been proceeding since last March.

Thank goodness the judge had enough common sense not to stop the health care bill's important protections for families and patients that have been implemented since last March, such as age 26 dependent coverage which employers all across America have been implementing since last July, giving families the opportunity to keep children covered on their family's health insurance plan up to age 26; such as protections like the elimination of insurance company rescissions of coverage, the practice of denying claims after the fact, many times after patients have had surgery or have had treatment; such as the \$250 payments, which seniors who fell into the part D doughnut hole received in 2010; such as the 50 percent discount for brand-name medications, which seniors in the doughnut hole will start to receive starting on January 1; such as the new Medicare coverage for annual checkups, cancer screenings, smoking cessation, vaccinations for flu, which the new health care bill will provide starting on January 1; such as the early retiree reinsurance program, which employers all across the country, private employers as well as public employers, are using to stabilize age 55 and up retirement health benefits, including 96 plans just in the Commonwealth of Virginia alone.

For all the crowing and boasting by opponents of health care reform, there was less there than meets the eye. But there is no doubt that the judge did strike down an important part of the bill, namely, the shared responsibility provision, the requirement that nearly all Americans carry health insurance, a provision which two other district court judges, one in Virginia and one in Michigan, upheld as a proper exercise of Congress' power to regulate interstate commerce.

Judge Hudson ruled that this provision doesn't "fit within the letter or spirit of the Constitution." Well, Madam Speaker, there is a long, long history of Supreme Court cases which have held exactly the opposite of what Judge Hudson wrote.

Indeed, Antonin Scalia, the leader of conservative forces on the U.S. Supreme Court, himself, wrote that Congress has the authority to enact a regulation of interstate commerce, and it possesses every power needed to make that regulation effective.

Using Justice Scalia's interpretation of the commerce clause, it is clear that this bill's provision to eliminate preexisting condition exclusion, the practice by insurance companies for denying coverage for people with cancer, high blood pressure, diabetes, chronic conditions, which require a pooling mechanism which the shared responsibility requirement was designed to accomplish, clearly fits within Justice Scalia's definition of the commerce clause. In fact, we know this from real-life experience.

Seven States tried to enact a guaranteed issuance law requiring insurance companies to insure all people regardless of preexisting conditions, and what happened was that rates went through the roof. Only one State was able to implement a prohibition on preexisting condition exclusions, that was the State of Massachusetts, which was coupled with a shared responsibility mechanism. And, as a result, insurance premiums fell in the individual market by 42 percent.

The impact on interstate commerce in terms of what is happening in the health insurance market could not be clearer. In fact, the trade organization representing America's health insurance industry back in 2008, after the election, made it clear that a shared responsibility mandate requirement is essential to actually executing and performing real reform in the insurance market. Allowing people to enter the market and exit the market when they get sick and when they get better is like insuring a burning building. And the fact of the matter is that the judge's decision, despite the fact that conservative judges like Antonin Scalia have recognized Congress' ability to regulate interstate commerce, which the health insurance industry clearly falls under, would allow for the Congress to set up the real mechanism to make sure that its goal of eliminating preexisting conditions can actually take place. And the health insurance industry knows over the last 5 years the collapse that has been occurring within the marketplace because of rising premiums.

I come from the State of Connecticut. We have Aetna, we have CIGNA, we have United Health Care. These are the largest plans in the country that are selling to employers, and they have seen the percentage of their coverage across America decline, not since the passage of the health care bill, but going back to 2005. And this measure is designed, in fact, really just to stabilize that private health insurance market.

Madam Speaker, in a few short weeks, new Members of Congress are going to be sworn into office. They are going to be given a PIN that gets them into the building, they are going to be given a voting card, and they are also going to be given an opportunity to enroll in the Federal Employee Health Benefit plan, a purchasing exchange which Members of Congress can participate in, get a nice comprehensive package of benefits, taxpayer subsidies, affordable rates. And on page 29 of this booklet, it makes it very clear that preexisting conditions will not be imposed against them.

The people of this country deserve the same type of coverage. And it is my hope, as the appellate courts review that decision yesterday, that they will uphold the Affordable Care Act's provision to stabilize the private health insurance market.

[Press Release, Nov. 19, 2008]

HEALTH PLANS PROPOSE GUARANTEED COVERAGE FOR PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS AND INDIVIDUAL COVERAGE MANDATE

WASHINGTON, DC.—Health plans today proposed guaranteed coverage for people with pre-existing medical conditions in conjunction with an enforceable individual coverage mandate.

Under the new proposal, health plans participating in the individual health insurance market would be required to offer coverage to all applicants as part of a universal participation plan in which all individuals were required to maintain health insurance.

Health plans also said that premium support for moderate-income individuals and broad spreading of risk was necessary to promote affordability and maintain premium stability in the individual health insurance market.

To ensure that all Americans can access coverage, health plans also reiterated their long-standing support for making eligible for Medicaid every uninsured American living in poverty and strengthening the Children's Health Insurance Program.

"No one should fall through the cracks of our health care system," said Karen Ignagni, President and CEO of America's Health Insurance Plans (AHIP). "Universal coverage is within reach and can be achieved by building on the current system."

The announcement follows a nationwide listening tour conducted by AHIP as part of its Campaign for an American Solution. Concerns about coverage for pre-existing conditions, continuity of coverage for those between jobs and maintaining affordability for those with insurance were raised repeatedly across the country.

"AHIP's Board of Directors is responding to the concerns of the American people by offering a workable solution to ensure that no one is left out of the health care system because of their health, age, income or employment status," said Ignagni.

The new proposal builds on the series of comprehensive reform plans that AHIP's Board of Directors began releasing in November 2006. Further reform proposals addressing the affordability, accessibility and quality of health care are anticipated in the weeks ahead.

SUMMARY OF AHIP'S PROPOSAL TO GUARANTEE COVERAGE FOR PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS AND PROMOTE AFFORDABILITY IN THE INDIVIDUAL INSURANCE MARKET:

Guarantee-issue coverage with no pre-existing condition exclusions;

Establish an individual coverage requirement with an insurance coverage verification system, an automatic enrollment process and effective enforcement of the requirement that all individuals purchase and maintain coverage;

Promote affordability by: providing refundable, advanceable tax credits for moderate-income individuals and working families; and promoting tax equity whether coverage is obtained through an employer or the individual market; and

Ensure premium stability for those with existing coverage through a broadly funded reimbursement mechanism that spreads costs for the highest-risk individuals.

BACKGROUND ON THE INDIVIDUAL MARKET AND GUARANTEE ISSUE

AHIP's survey of the individual market shows that individually purchased health insurance is far more affordable and accessible than is widely known. The survey found that 9 out of 10 applicants undergoing medical underwriting were offered coverage. The plans commonly purchased by consumers provided substantial financial protection and a wide range of benefits, including coverage for behavioral health, prescription drugs and preventive services.

Some individuals are unable to purchase individual health insurance coverage in the private market because of their health status. One approach taken by states to address this issue has been the enactment of guarantee issue legislation requiring health plans to offer coverage to all applicants. These well-intentioned reforms have often resulted in severe unintended consequences, including significantly higher costs for all policyholders.

A report by Milliman, Inc. found that enactment of guarantee issue laws in the absence of requirement that individuals purchase coverage may incentivize people to defer seeking coverage until they have health problems—a situation which unfairly penalizes those who are currently insured. According to the report, states that implemented these laws saw a rise in insurance premiums, a reduction of individual insurance enrollment and no significant decrease in the number of uninsured. To learn more about the individual market survey and the Milliman report, please visit www.ahip.org.

IN MEMORY OF PRIVATE SEAN SILVA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. MCCLINTOCK) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. MCCLINTOCK. Madam Speaker, in the aftermath of the attack of September 11, a young man from Roseville, California, answered his country's call to duty and volunteered to take the war against radical Islam from our shores to theirs. His name was Sean Anthony Silva.

This Nation survives today and Americans remain safe today because of the idealism, the patriotism, the heroism, and the sacrifice of young Americans like Sean Silva who volunteered to defend us.

Today, they are the first line of defense between the tyranny and terrorism that have arisen in the Middle East and enlightened civilization around the world.

We in the House defend the principles of liberty and justice in this Chamber every day with our words. Men like Sean Silva defend them with their lives.

And on the night of October 9, 2003, Private Sean Silva defended these principles with his life. To understand the character of this young man, you need to understand what led up to that night.

Sean was a young person who saw his country attacked and instinctively rose to defend her. He saw his countrymen threatened and instinctively rose to shield them.

When Sean told his parents, Richard and Donna, that he wanted to enlist, they were obviously quite concerned. His mother worried that Sean would be dispatched to the Middle East within weeks of boot camp. Sean's reply was simple: Mom, I'm ready.

□ 1920

He wanted to be an Army Scout, always leading, always in motion, always protecting the path of his comrades. Sergeant Timothy Sloan of the Army's Roseville, California, recruiting office remembered that Sean "wanted to be out doing things. He didn't want to be sitting behind a desk." Ultimately, he was assigned to the Second Armored Cavalry Regiment based in Fort Polk, Louisiana; and from there, he shipped out to Iraq.

The night of October 9, 2003, he had already returned from one treacherous patrol and was scheduled for another the next day. A night patrol was unexpectedly ordered, and Sean volunteered to go right back out on to the deadly streets of Sadr City, even though it wasn't his turn. His commander reminded him that he had already done much more than duty required, and Sean simply smiled and said, I just want to learn to do my job.

A few hours later, Sean's patrol was ambushed; and in the fierce fighting that followed, he gave what Lincoln called "the last full measure of devotion."

At Normandy, the chapel bears a tribute to those who "endured all, and gave all, that justice among nations might prevail and that mankind might enjoy freedom and inherit peace." At the age of 23, Sean Silva did exactly that.

Sean would have turned 30 this year. No doubt he would be married with children now, with a promising career, getting ready for the holidays with his friends and family. Instead, his chair remains empty at the family table, and friends still leave messages for Sean at the Fallen Heroes Web site.

There is one in particular that stands out in this season. It comes from a lit-

tle girl in Fort Jackson, South Carolina, whose father survived that terrible night. It reads: "Thank you Silva for protecting my daddy. He is here today because of direct actions that you have done. Thank you soo much."

Sadr City is no longer besieged. Its streets now bustle with commerce and enterprise, and young people look forward to raising their own families and starting their own careers. They do so solely because of the sacrifice made by men like Sean Silva.

That sacrifice is ongoing for Sean's family every single day. I met Sean's father at a Memorial Day event this year. He speaks of his son's death as if it were yesterday.

Time does not heal the wounds borne by our Gold Star families. For them, every day is the day that the casualty officer came to call.

We owe it to these families to honor what Lincoln called "the cherished memory of the loved and the lost." We owe it to these fallen heroes, as Shakespeare said, to see that their "story shall the good man teach his son." And we owe it to ourselves, to our children and to our Nation to remember how precious is the freedom and peace that their sacrifice has purchased.

TRIBUTE TO JAMES L. OBERSTAR

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. DUNCAN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DUNCAN. Madam Speaker, several years ago, they sent us to a civility conference because they didn't think that Democrats and Republicans were getting along well enough in the Congress, and I have never forgotten something our first speaker said.

David McCullough, the great historian, told a story about a Russian visitor who came up into the House gallery in 1948 and watched for a while, and then he went out and shook his head and he said, "The House is a strange place." He said, "A man stands up and says absolutely nothing, no one pays any attention, and then everyone disagrees."

They say there is a little bit of truth in the best humor, and I guess there is some truth in that humor. But David McCullough was kind enough to go on from there and say, but if he had a chance to live his life over again and he could choose what he wanted to do, he would choose to be a member of that wild and raucous bunch known as the United States House of Representatives.

I think today, because of some of the television talk shows, that many people around the country think that we all dislike each other or that we hate each other at times, or that Democrats and Republicans just don't get along at all. But that is not true at all, and I think for the great, great majority of

Members, all of us get along really well with everyone, regardless of party, and all of us consider it a great privilege and honor to serve in the United States House of Representatives.

We are losing many, many good Members from both sides of the aisle this year because of retirements, running for other offices, or for all sorts of reasons, and there are many other Members, both Democrat and Republican, who are good friends of mine who are leaving to whom I should pay tribute. But I rise tonight to pay special tribute to a very special man, and that is Congressman JAMES OBERSTAR from Minnesota.

In my entire 22 years in this Congress, I have served on the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee. I had a couple of chances in my early years to move to other committees, and I think people were surprised that I didn't take either one of those offers. But I enjoyed serving on the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee, originally called the Public Works and Transportation Committee, in part because it was considered to be the most bipartisan, or nonpartisan, committee probably in the Congress. It was often said that there is no such thing as a Republican highway or a Democratic highway; and on many, many things people on both sides of the aisle on that committee worked together to help build America.

Certainly, Congressman OBERSTAR was one of the great leaders of that committee through his entire time in the Congress. JIM OBERSTAR served for 11 years on the committee staff, rising to the position of staff director. He then began his service in the House and continued to serve for the past 36 years.

It is an astounding figure to think that a man worked on this one committee for 47 years of his life, but he has done so with great honor and distinction. In fact, I think almost everybody knows that there is no one in the Congress and probably never has been anyone in the history of the Congress who has known transportation issues and understood them and worked on them longer and harder and with more effectiveness than JIM OBERSTAR has.

At one point, he was chairman of the Aviation Subcommittee. In 1994, after the election, the Republicans took control and I had the honor of becoming the chairman of the Aviation Subcommittee, and I served for 6 years in that position, which was the maximum allowable on our side.

When I took over as chairman of the Aviation Subcommittee, I had frequently heard JIM OBERSTAR referred to as "Mr. Aviation." So I went to him and asked for his help, and he helped me and guided me and gave me advice that to this day I appreciate very much, and he did that in a very kind and humble way.

Then, of course, in the last 4 years, he reached the pinnacle and became chairman of that committee, a committee that he loves. He has been a great chairman, and I think he has tried to help everyone on both sides of the aisle.

So I just wanted to rise and pay tribute to a man that I consider to be a great American and a great Member of Congress, Congressman JAMES OBERSTAR.

PEAK OIL—ARE WE THERE YET?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2009, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. BARTLETT) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

Mr. BARTLETT. Madam Speaker, let me first note some press clippings today that caught my eye, kind of signs of the time. One of them talks about a 1,900-page omnibus appropriations bill that is being prepared. You know, there will be no one person in the country that has read all of that bill, and I think the American people are not supportive of bringing these huge bills to the floor that nobody has had a chance to read.

But that wasn't what caught my eye so much as the subheading: "Earmarkers feast on pork one last time before diet." And then in brackets it says it includes the Joint Strike Fighter second engine.

□ 1930

I would like to make a couple of comments on earmarks. I know that they are symbolic of frivolous, wasteful, out-of-control spending in Congress. Even though the total amount of money in earmarks is pretty small, they still are symbolically a very big and important issue.

I can live without earmarks. I've had earmarks. I publish them all on my Web site. None of them have sought to aggrandize me.

When I first came to Congress, I thought that robotics ought to be of increasing importance to the military, and so I supported what is called earmarks. We call them, in Armed Services, plus-ups. I supported a little company in Carroll County. They now are owned by General Dynamics, and they are now the largest military robotics manufacturing company in the United States; that probably means the largest in the world. And they will tell you that, if it weren't for my earmarks, they might not be here.

I would note that the unmanned aircraft were earmarks. I would also note that the Pentagon fought the aircraft carrier when it was first suggested, and it was Congress who pushed the aircraft carrier.

I would like to reflect for a moment on the plus-ups in the military, which

are really fundamentally different from earmarks other places. You see, if you do an earmark on alternative energy—and everybody wants to look green, and so just about everybody who does earmarks will have an earmark or two on alternative energy. And that money all comes out of the program money for a little alternative energy lab in Golden, Colorado. They never know how much money they're going to have. They never can really adequately plan or execute a program because their money gets taken with these little green earmarks that so many of our Members like to have.

That's not what happens in Armed Services and Defense. Defense is a bit more than 50 percent of all of our discretionary spending—\$600 or \$700 billion. Whenever you have that many programs with that much money involved, there are bound to be some of them that don't go as planned and the money doesn't get spent. And so, near the end of the year, that money is gathered together and we have, in the past, gone to the chairmen of the services and asked them, If you had more money, what would you buy? And they respond, Gee, we would like to have this and that. We call these "unfunded priorities."

Then, the Members turn in their lists of requests, and these are all judged against some standards that everybody has agreed on. You don't get all your earmarks. I publish all of mine on my Web site. You certainly don't get them all. I can live without earmarks. But I would just like to note that the President's budget is one long series of earmarks—spend money for this, spend money for that, spend money for the other thing—put together by people that you have never seen, that you will never see, that are not accountable.

Now, I understand the psychology of earmarks, and I'm very supportive of doing away with earmarks. But I would like to make a point about plus-ups in Defense. You see, the President's budget is at least a year old. It takes a long time to put together that big budget—some parts of it are a couple of years old—which means that all the new technology of the last year can't be in the President's budget. Traditionally, we have used plus-ups in Defense to make sure that we don't fall behind our potential enemies. So if you would like to make sure that we're always potentially 1 year behind the Chinese and the Russians, then just don't have any plus-ups in Defense.

I am a big supporter of doing away with earmarks because I think that symbolically they have become poison and they tell the American people that we are out of control and irresponsible. But, at the same time, I would like to note that we have got to have something to permit us to introduce the latest technology to our military, because it can't be in the President's budget.

So let's call them plus-ups or something and ban earmarks elsewhere, but make sure that we don't fall behind in Defense.

Another thing that was in the news was the leadership is not going to bring a separate Defense authorization bill, but they have taken one small part of that bill out—the Don't Ask, Don't Tell. One may wonder at the priorities. For the first time in many, many years, we're probably not going to have an authorization bill. And if we have an appropriations bill, it will be a part of this big 1,900-page omnibus. One might wonder a little bit about priorities when we're engaged in two wars and we face a resurgent Russia and a booming China that it is maybe not important to pass the Defense authorization bill, but it is really important to bring to a separate vote Don't Ask, Don't Tell.

Then there are a couple of articles that I was really pleased to see—and we'll talk a little bit more about those later—from the National Defense Magazine: "Navy Takes Biofuels Campaign Into Uncharted Waters"; and the second headline is that the "Air Force Tells Biofuels Industry to 'Bring It.'" They want to buy these alternative fuels. There were two articles; one by Beidel and one by Grace Jean. And a little bit later, we'll have an opportunity to look at biofuels and their role and why the military is focusing so much on these.

And then an interesting article in the L.A. Times, "Pressure builds in the House to pass tax-cut package." A little bit later, we'll have an opportunity to look at taxes and should we cut them. We really have a huge debt, getting bigger every day. Getting money from our people to bring down this debt is important.

So what are the arguments for cutting taxes? Benjamin Franklin, in 1787, came out of the Constitutional Convention, and he was asked—and one of the stories has it that it was a lady who asked him that. I like that story—Mr. Franklin, what have you given us? What have you wrought? And his answer was: A Republic, madam, if you can keep it. A very short response: A Republic.

But I thought we lived in a democracy. At events we do that Pledge of Allegiance to the flag, and you come to that part that says, "the Republic for which it stands," and then we get up and talk about this great democracy that we live in. What is the difference between a republic and a democracy?

Before reflecting on that and why it is important to understand that difference, I would like to spend just a moment looking at Benjamin Franklin's hope: "if you can keep it." I wonder what he thought the biggest threat to this Republic, this Constitution would be. I kind of think he wasn't all that concerned about foreign powers

that got here across a big ocean in sailboats. I'm sure he had some concern about threats from outside the country. But I kind of think that he might have been more concerned about threats from within: A Republic, madam, if you can keep it.

□ 1940

What is the difference between a republic and a democracy?

I'd like to use a couple of examples of a democracy to help us understand that two wolves and a lamb voting on what they are going to have for dinner would be a democratic process; the majority wins in a democracy.

So what do you think is going to happen if the body is made up of two wolves and a lamb, and they are voting on what they are going to have for dinner?

If it is a democracy, there will be lamb for dinner because the majority wants that. If it is a republic and the constitution, or whatever they call the body of laws that they live by, says you can't have lamb for dinner, you won't have lamb for dinner, no matter whether the majority wants it or not, because, you see, it is against the law. In our country, we would say it's unconstitutional.

I really kind of hesitate to use this next example of a democracy, but I hope you will understand.

A lynch mob is really an example of a democracy. Isn't the will of the majority being expressed in a lynch mob? Aren't you glad you live in a republic where it is not the will or the whim of the majority that controls but the law that controls?

I remember back a number of years ago when, I believe it was, Harry Truman nationalized the steel mills. They were going to strike. Back then, it mattered that we wouldn't have any steel made as we had some manufacturing in those days. It wouldn't matter a whole lot now, would it? The economy was already in trouble, and it was going to be in even bigger trouble if they did that, so Harry Truman nationalized the steel mills. That was a very popular action. A huge majority of the American people applauded that because that made them, you see, Federal employees, and as Federal employees, you can't strike. That was a hugely popular action—an executive order. The Supreme Court met in emergency session. In effect, what they said was, Mr. President, no matter how popular that is, you can't do it, because it's unconstitutional.

Now, why is this important?

Congress is doing a lot of things that are not specifically permitted by the Constitution. Four years after the Constitution was ratified, there was the Bill of Rights. They started with 12 amendments, and 10 of them made it through the process: two-thirds of the House, two-thirds of the Senate and

three-fourths of the State legislatures. We call them the Bill of Rights. There was a lot of argument that they really didn't need to do that, because every one of those rights so explicitly enumerated in the Bill of Rights was implicit in the Constitution, itself.

We in the Congress today involve ourselves in almost everything that affects citizens of the country. We use two different things in the Constitution to justify doing that. One of them is "promote the general welfare." That's in the Preamble to the Constitution, itself. It is also repeated in the preamble to section 8, which specifies what the Congress can do. The Preamble of the Constitution simply says: "promote the general welfare." But in the first paragraph of article I, section 8, it says to promote the "general welfare of the United States."

What they were talking about was the responsibility of making sure we had a strong country. Words change their meanings, and their use of the word "welfare" didn't even come close to our use of the word "welfare" because, when we think of welfare, we think of a big organization that handles a lot of money and that takes care of people who are in need.

Then, in the Bill of Rights, there are the last two amendments, which are seldom referred to. The Ninth Amendment simply says that essentially all the rights belong to the people, and the people have chosen to give a few of those rights to the government.

A few days ago, I was privileged to spend an hour or so with one of the Justices on our Supreme Court, and he gave a very interesting example. He had a piece of paper like this, and he tore off a little corner of it:

These are all the rights that we have—and he tore off a little corner of it—and we're going to give this much to the Federal Government.

Just a little.

So the Ninth Amendment reiterates that. It says that essentially all the rights belong to the people except for those few that they give to the government.

Then there is the 10th Amendment. This is the most violated amendment and the least referred to amendment in the Constitution. The 10th Amendment in everyday English—it's written in Old English and legalese—you've got to kind of interpret. What it really says is, if you can't find it in article I, section 8, you can't do it.

Now, we do a whole lot of things that you can't find in article I, section 8. We use two things to justify that. One is the "promote the general welfare." If it helps people, if it makes things better, we can do it. The second thing we use is called the commerce clause, which says that Congress has the responsibility and the authority to regulate commerce between the States. Now, there is nothing that doesn't pass

over a State line, so you can argue that, therefore, we can concern ourselves with anything and everything—and we do.

But then I asked myself the question: If that were how they wanted us to interpret the Constitution, why did they put all that detail in article I, section 8—like duties and imposts and excises, and borrowing money and regulating commerce?

Well, that's the one they use.

Establish uniform rules of naturalization, laws for bankruptcy, coining money.

Somehow we gave that away to the Fed without amending the Constitution. I'm not sure how.

Provide for the punishment of counterfeiting, to establish post offices and post roads, to promote the progress of science and useful arts, this is, copyrights and patents to constitute tribunals inferior to the Supreme Court.

That's our lower Federal courts.

To define and punish piracies and felonies committed on the high seas and offenses against the law of nations.

Then all the rest of it deals with just two things—to declare war, grant letters of marque and reprisal, and then the military.

The last paragraph, of course, relates to the seat of government, what we call the District of Columbia.

Then it ends with a paragraph that is used to justify doing anything and everything we want to do: “to make all laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into execution the foregoing powers, and all other powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States.”

But the 10th Amendment says, if you can't find it in article I, section 8, you can't do it. Now, there are three big things that we do—more than three, really, but there are three big things we do that I can't find there. One is our involvement in education. Another is our involvement in health care, except for our military, and the third one is philanthropy.

By the way, Madam Speaker, if you will do a Google search for Davy Crockett—he was a Congressman from Tennessee and a farmer—you will find a very fascinating discussion of philanthropy. We don't have time here today to go through it, but you will be fascinated by it. Then he gave a speech on the floor, talking about philanthropy.

Now, these are good things. We support the National Institutes of Health. We support the National Academy of Sciences. None of these things are in the Constitution, and we do them all without amending the Constitution.

Since these are good things and they help us, why should I be concerned?

□ 1950

They're not explicitly permitted by the Constitution, and we haven't amended the Constitution so that we

can legitimately do it. Let me tell you why I am concerned.

This little country—and we're little, one person out of 22 in the world—and we have a fourth of all the good things in the world. And I ask myself the question, why? What is so special about us that just one person in 22 has a fourth of all the good things in the world? We no longer are conspicuously the hardest working people in the world. We no longer have the highest respect for technical education. This year, the Chinese will graduate seven times as many engineers as we graduate. About half of our engineering students are Chinese students. And we no longer have the most respect for the nuclear family. This year, almost 50 percent of all of our children will be born out of wedlock.

Why then are we so darn fortunate, that just one person out of 22 has a fourth of all the good things in the world? You may have other reasons, Madam Speaker, but I think that our enormous respect for our civil liberties established a climate and milieu in which creativity and entrepreneurship can flourish, and I think that if we put at risk these civil liberties, we put at risk who we are.

If we can rationalize that because it's a good thing to support the National Institutes of Health or provide health care or have a Department of Education, that you can then just kind of ignore the Constitution, that sets, I think, a very dangerous precedent because, in the future, it may be that a majority of our people will feel that a minority of our people should be denied some of their civil liberties. And if we can just rationalize that we don't have to pay any attention to the specifics of the Constitution and these other things, why couldn't that happen to our civil liberties? And because I am so convinced that these civil liberties are such a huge reason that we are such a favored country, I'm very concerned that we shouldn't just ignore the Constitution because what you're going to do seems okay and popular and going to help.

I remember back when we were congratulating ourselves because we had a budget surplus. We had to raise the debt limit ceiling. Kind of jokingly I asked our leadership, what are you going to tell the American people—all these months you've been telling them we have a budget surplus and now we're voting to raise the debt limit ceiling? Why would we have to raise the debt limit ceiling if we've had a budget surplus? We did have a budget surplus, and we did pay down a debt, but it wasn't the national debt. It was the public debt.

I suspect, Madam Speaker, that there are not a large percentage of the American people that know the difference between the public debt and the national debt. The public debt is the Wall

Street debt, the debt we owe to people who have bought our securities, who have loaned us money. The national debt is the sum of the public debt and the trust fund debt.

You see, we have about fifty trust funds. Two of the biggest ones are Medicare and Social Security, and we have been running surpluses in those fortunately because when the baby boomers all come on line, we're going to really need those surpluses, but there's no money there.

You see, this budget surplus was in what we called the unified budget, when we put the trust funds on budget, and then we made the perfectly irrational statement that the Social Security surplus offset the deficit. Well, if you have taken the money that you have taken out of the paychecks of our citizens for Medicare and Social Security and you spend it, which is exactly what we've done, you have incurred another debt.

So what we did when we had this surplus, we paid down the national debt; for every dollar of national debt we paid down, there was another dollar increase in the trust fund debt. The sum of those two debts is the national debt. And if we kept our books on the accrual method, which we require of every business with more than something like a million dollars in transfers of money during the year, there never was a moment in time, I'm told, that the national debt really went down.

Now I talk about this tonight because we're going to talk about taxes and what we haven't done and what we should do, and I just wanted to point out that when Congress tells you what the deficit is, add several hundred billion dollars to that, now less this year than other years because this year for the first time there was no surplus in Social Security, but there was a whole lot of surpluses in other areas.

So, remember, it's the unified budget and the public debt that they're talking about, but it's the national debt that we need to fund, and that's the debt that determines how much money we owe and what the interest on that money will be.

Madam Speaker, I've thought a lot about taxes. If we had a zero percent tax rate, we'd collect no money. And then if we had a 100 percent tax rate, we'd collect no taxes because nobody would work if you're going to take all their money. So I thought a lot about what's that magic number: somewhere between zero percent where you collect no taxes and 100 percent taxation where obviously you'll collect no taxes because nobody's going to work. Somewhere in there is the magic number where you're going to collect the most taxes.

Now obviously if taxes are too high, 100 percent, nobody's going to work; and if you come down from 100 percent, people are going to drop out. It's not

worth working; the government takes so much money. So what is that magic number where we will not depress the economy and, therefore, have the biggest revenue from our taxes?

I submit that it is probably less than where we are now, because Tax Freedom Day, I think, is sometime in April. I haven't seen the number for this last year. But Government Freedom Day—that's when you can work the first day so that you can have money to buy your car and pay your mortgage and send your kids to college—that's sometime in July. For a year or two, it was just about July 4th, and I thought, How nice. That's the second freedom that we now have. We have the freedom to use the money that we've made for ourselves; government's not going to take it.

Tax Freedom Day is sometime in April; Government Freedom Day is in July. You may have a different perspective, but I think that that's kind of a pretty big burden. As a matter of fact, we may be collecting less revenues from taxes because the taxes are that high.

I want to spend the time remaining in talking about these last two articles that I mentioned, biofuels and our defense focus on energy. I have some slides here that will help to illuminate this. Of course, the thing that we're all concerned about now is the economy and taxes, and I think that if you don't factor energy in, oil particularly, you won't have considered all of the inputs that are going to determine what our economy will be.

□ 2000

The first slide that we have here, the first chart, it's several years old as you can see, 2008, a couple of years old, and you will see the highest price for oil there was less than \$100 a barrel. It really went a little after this to \$147 a barrel. These two lines here are the lines that are compiled by EIA and IEA. One of those is a creature of the OECD, to which we belong, and the other is a part of our Department of Energy. And they have been pretty consistently agreeing with each other. This, starting in 2002 and ending in 2008, represents the amount of oil that the world has pumped. And you'll see, for about 3 years before the recession, the supply of oil was constant.

Now, with a constant supply of oil and increasing demands, this year, China sold more cars to their people than we did in our country. China has now become the largest CO₂ emitter on the globe, not yet the largest energy user, because they are not as good as we are at reducing the CO₂ footprint. But what this says is that 3 or 4 years before the recession, the supply of oil was constant and demand was going up in our country.

We like to grow. The stock market has a lot of trouble if you only have

about 2 percent growth, you may have noticed. And the Chinese are growing. India is growing. Brazil is growing. So there were increased demands for oil. And so the price you can see going up here. It went from \$50 to \$100 to nearly \$150 a barrel. And then the recession.

Now, what does the future look like? Because unless you have some concept of what the future is going to be like, you won't be making rational decisions about taxes and spending, because energy is a huge, huge part of our lives. We live better than any civilization has ever lived at any time, largely because of the enormous supplies of this energy.

This next chart is world oil production, looking to the future and where will it come from. The dark blue here is conventional oil. That's the kind of stuff we have been using for a lot of years now. We started using it way back in the early 1900s, and we are producing more and more and more. And now, as this chart shows, we have reached a peak. It's called peak oil.

By the way, that happened in our country in 1970. It was predicted 14 years before that by M. King Hubbard, who was relegated to the lunatic fringe and ridiculed. But right on schedule, as he predicted, in 1970, we reached our maximum oil production in this country. The world, this chart says, has reached it now; and apparently that is so, because, as you just saw from the previous chart, both the EIA and the IEA had oil production flat for the last 4 years.

Now, what will the future look like? This is their projection of what the future will look like. They say that we are going to get from this light blue area a lot of oil. By 2030, we are going to be getting as much oil from fields yet to be developed as we are getting from all of our developed fields now. That may or may not happen. But even more speculative is this interesting red area: Crude oil, fields yet to be found. And that's almost as big in 2030 as the fields we now know and the fields we have discovered and are yet going to develop.

Now, the brown area is enhanced oil recovery, live steam and CO₂ and pushing a lot of seawater down there if you are near it. These are ways to get some more oil out or, you know, opening up the fields down there and shale and so forth can get more oil out. So this is the additional oil we will get from fields that we now have. That's their guess as to how much that will be.

Nonconventional oil, that's like the heavy sour from Venezuela, and it's like the tar sands of Alberta, Canada, which are very interesting, about a million and a half barrels a day. Bitumen, I think, is what they call it. It won't flow, so you have to cook it and then add some volatiles to it so that it will flow.

I am reading a very interesting book, written by a Canadian, with a long dis-

cussion of the Alberta tar sands. They soon will have mined all that you can do by surface mining, and then it kind of ducks under an overlay that is too big to remove economically. So what they are going to have to do then is develop it in situ, which means, like, you know, pumping live steam down there to make it 1,000 degree temperature to soften up the stuff so that it will flow.

But this is a guess as to how much unconventional oil we will get. And then with natural gas—and we are using more and more natural gas—there are some liquids that will come with that, so they will increase.

I think that both this light blue area and the red area and maybe the others, too, are kind of wishful dreams. I think that we will be more than lucky if this top line here is level. I think we will be more than lucky if we can make up through developing fields that we have already discovered, discovering new fields, and enhanced oil recovery and so forth, we will do very well if we can make up for the oil we are not going to get from the fields that we now know.

The next chart shows that in a very different way. If you had only one chart that you could look at that would help you decide what you need to do about your economy and what you need to do with taxes, I think this would be the chart. There is a lot of information on this chart. The vertical bars here are the amount of oil that we found in each of those years. And you can go back to the thirties a little and the forties and, wow, the fifties, and then it exploded in the seventies and through the eighties. And we just found a lot of oil, a whole lot more than we were using, because this solid line here represents the oil that we were using. Of course, the area under that will be the total amount of oil that you have used. And if you draw a curve over these, the area under that curve obviously represents the total amount of oil that you have found.

So up until about 1980 or so, we had, every year, found more oil than we had pumped. But then after 1980, look what happened. We are using more and more and finding less and less. Now, this chart is about 5 years old, as you can see, because the lightly shaded area there, which was a projection for the future, begins at 2005. And they were projecting a peak at about 2008 or 2009. That's precisely what happened, as you saw from the first two charts.

Now, the discoveries for the future are not going to be that very smooth ever less and less. It's going to be up and down like this. But it's not going to be this kind of magnitude. The oil that we are finding now is in very difficult places. A major find in the Gulf of Mexico is under, what, 7,000 feet of water and 30,000 feet of rock. That is way down there.

An oil discovery of 10 billion barrels of oil, we heave a sigh of relief. Ten billion barrels of oil. Why do we worry?

Why do we still worry if we've found that oil? And we may find several fields of that size. That is because, in the math, it's pretty simple. Every 12 days, we use about 1 billion barrels of oil. We use 84 million barrels a day. I think 84 goes into 1,000 a little less than 12 times. So every 12 days, we've used a bit more than 1 billion barrels of oil. So that big find of 10 billion barrels will last 120 days. That's it.

Notice the discontinuity in this use curve, a very interesting phenomenon. Notice the date back in the seventies.

□ 2010

The Arab oil shocks back then, it changed the world. In a way they were fortuitous and good, because look what happened, or look what would have happened if we didn't have those oil shocks.

This is the rate of increase in the use of oil. Had that exponential curve continued, we would be off the charts. That was a big wake-up call. And we, and most of the rest of the world, became very much more efficient in the way we use oil. Your new freezer and refrigerator and air conditioner is very much more efficient than those of the seventies and early eighties.

Exponential growth is a poorly understood phenomenon. Albert Einstein was asked, when they were talking about nuclear energy and what that was meaning to the world, what was going to be the next big thing that we'd find? And he said the most powerful thing in the universe was the power of compound interest.

If you just think about that, 2 percent growth doubles in 35 years. And 2 percent growth is not much. It's kind of feeble. Our stock market doesn't like 2 percent growth. It wants more than that. But 2 percent growth doubles in 35 years. It's four times bigger in 70 years. It's 8 times bigger in 105 years. It is 16 times bigger in 140 years; 16 times bigger in 140 years. Obviously, we're not going to be using 16 times as much energy in 140 years from now as we are using now.

So when you're thinking about spending and taxes and what we ought to be doing you need to keep in the back of your mind this reality. Gas is now a bit more than \$3 a gallon. Oil is what? Pushing \$90 a barrel. And the world is struggling to get out of this recession.

There are many economists who believe that when the world comes out of this recession it's going to demand a lot more oil. But we're up against a peak. We can't produce oil any faster. So when you have this demand for oil, and it cannot be supplied, the price is going to go up.

And you know, we, in this country, attributed this recession that we're trying to recover from to the housing bubble. But it was kind of the perfect storm. At the same time that we were

doing grossly irrational things with financing these houses, we were also hit by peak oil. And I guess it's an economist debate as to whether it was the cost of energy effect or the housing bubble that was most responsible for bringing us to our knees.

Now, you can make any projection you want about the future, but one thing is absolutely certain. You can't pump oil you haven't found and developed. And the probability that we're going to be pumping meaningfully increased amounts of oil in the future is very, very small.

The next chart is one you need to be looking at when you're thinking about our taxes and our economy and what we ought to be doing, because this is the world according to oil. And the premise here is, let's draw a world where the size of the country is relative to how much oil in reserve that it has. What would the world look like? And then let's color it, so that those who are using a lot of oil show up as yellow, and then blue and then on down to lesser amounts of oil.

Well, you look at us over here. A couple of really interesting things. We don't have much. And we're the only country colored yellow. So we're big users of oil, and we don't have much. Well, we don't. We have only 2 percent of the world's reserves. We use 25 percent of the world's oil, and we import about two-thirds of what we use.

Our largest exporter is Canada. Wow, they don't have probably as much oil as we have, and they don't have very many people either, so they can export oil.

Until very recently, our second-largest exporter was Mexico. They also have less oil than we. But their people are too poor. They have a lot of people. Their people are too poor to use the oil, and so they're exporting the oil.

Within about a decade, by the way, the rate at which they are using the oil and the decline in the rate at which they are producing oil, and that's about a decade, maybe less, Mexico will be an oil importer.

Venezuela. Hugo Chavez dwarfs us and Canada and Mexico and all the rest of South America. Huge relative to this side of the Atlantic, huge supplies of oil.

Saudi Arabia represents 22 percent of the landscape, if the country was sized relative to the amount of oil it has because it has about 22 percent of the reserves of oil in the world.

Iraq and little Kuwait, it looked to Saddam Hussein like an errant province down there on the southeastern border. Tiny. Qatar, even smaller United Arab Emirates, hard to find them on the map, isn't it? Look how big they are as far as oil is concerned.

And Iran, a present and growing problem.

Now, look at China over there. China's next to the biggest user, blue, next to the biggest user of energy to us.

By the way, this lighter blue here in Iran. With their present curve for exporting oil and their present increasing use of oil, within a decade, Iran will cease to be an exporter. And this is one of the problems that we face in the world. All these developing countries have increasing populations that, through the miracle of communication, know the benefits of industrialized society, and they're saying, hey, what about us?

There are 900 million people in China, three times our population, that live in rural areas that are making just that request of the Chinese government: What about us? So China has a huge challenge in supplying the energy needs of this developing population.

And there's Russia. They are vying now with Saudi Arabia to be the biggest exporter of oil in the world. They have more than us. About the same as Venezuela. They don't have anywhere near as many people as we have, and they don't use, per capita, as much energy as we use. So Russia is a big exporter. As a matter of fact, as I said, they're vying with Saudi Arabia to be biggest exporter in the world.

India. Can you find India on the map there? A billion people, growing rapidly. Buying oil.

So you can see the challenge that this presents. And the recognition that we have got to look at our taxes, and we've got to look at our economy relative to the world situation and energy and what is likely to happen to the price of gasoline, because about 70 percent of all oil is used for transportation, and 90 some percent of all transportation is oil.

Relative to this is an interesting statement from Condoleezza Rice, former Secretary of State: We do have to do something about the energy problem. I can tell you that nothing has really taken me aback more as Secretary of State than the way that the politics of energy is, I will use the word "warping" diplomacy around the world. We have simply got to do something about the "warping" now of diplomatic effort by the all out rush for energy supply.

I don't have the chart here, but China is now buying oil all over the world. Why would China buy oil when it doesn't make any difference today who owns the oil? The person who comes to the auction with money, as we do every week, because we have only 2 percent of the oil, we use 25 percent of the oil; we simply buy the oil from those who have it because we come with the money to do that.

Your government has paid for four studies. Here are the four studies that they paid for starting in 2005, two of them in 2005, 2006, 2007. And one of them had two reports, but there were four studies: The DOE report, the Hirsch study, Army Corps of Engineers, and Government Accountability Office.

Oh, and the National Petroleum Council also did a study, but two of these are from the same study, just was reported later. All of these said essentially the same thing, that peaking of oil is either present—we didn't know then; you never know until you look back that it's peaked—or, imminent, with potentially devastating consequences.

I just wanted to spend the last few minutes we have in looking at some of the statements in these four reports.

□ 2020

I think that we paid for the second, third, and fourth because we weren't happy with what the first report said. That was the Hirsch report. But they ended up all essentially saying the same.

Let's just spend the last few minutes we have together looking at some of the comments that were in these reports.

This is the Hirsch report: World peaking of oil is going to happen. It is obvious. Oil is finite. One day it will be gone. But before it is gone, we are going to reach our maximum ability to produce oil. Peaking of oil is going to happen.

Then they say that the world has never faced a problem like this. Unprecedented. The world has never faced a problem like this.

From the same report: The peaking of world oil production presents the U.S. and the world with an unprecedented risk management problem. As peaking is approached, liquid fuel prices and price volatility will increase dramatically—\$147 a barrel—and without timely mitigation—which we have not done—the economic, social, and political costs will be unprecedented.

Now, we need to be thinking about this when we are thinking about taxes and spending. We are going to face some huge challenges.

By the way, I find facing a big challenge and meeting it successfully is very exhilarating, so I see these reports as challenging and exhilarating.

This next one is from the Army Corps of Engineers' study: Oil is the most important form of energy in the world today. And, if you think about it, this is really true. Historically, no other energy source equals oil's intrinsic qualities of extractability, transportability, versatility, and cost. The qualities that enabled oil to take over from coal as a frontline energy source for the industrialized world in the middle of the 20th century are as relevant today as they were then. As President Bush said, "We are hooked on oil." That is true.

This is a quote from Gene Laherrere, a very early pioneer in this, with Colin Campbell, a Frenchman and Scotsman, I think. But they were a number of years ago predicting that this was going to happen and the world should be paying attention.

We have had very optimistic projections of how much oil there is going to be in the future. These people have come down from that, by the way, way down from those hopeful projections. But this is Laherrere's assessment of the USGS Report: The USGS estimate implies a five-fold increase in discovery rate and reserve addition, for which no evidence is presented. Such an improvement in performance is, in fact, utterly implausible given the great technological achievements of the industry over the past 20 years, the worldwide search, and the deliberate effort to find the largest remaining prospects.

So Laherrere said that what they were proposing was utterly implausible. Now they have come way down from those projections.

As we are thinking about our taxes and our economy and what we need to be doing about that, this is a reality that we need to pay attention to. This is the top ten companies on the basis of oil production and reserves. The left one is production.

Now, we have some big giants like BP and ExxonMobil and Shell. They have 22 percent of the production. Companies that are owned by—well, they aren't companies, really. They are owned by a country. They have 78 percent of all the production.

Now, when it comes to reserves, our three big guys don't even show up among the top ten. They aren't even there. Ninety-eight percent of it is from countries like Saudi Aramco, National Iranian Oil, Iraq National Oil, Kuwait Petroleum, and so forth. LUKOIL, which is kind of private, Russia, is 2 percent.

As you are thinking about our taxes and our economy and what we ought to be doing, you really need to factor this in because it is a geopolitical reality that is going to make cutting taxes and reducing spending so that there will be something to buy this energy with, which is really going to go up, or our quality of life is going to plateau and turn down and our economies are going to sour quickly with very difficult recovery.

All these charts, by the way, you may have noted, are from the Government Accountability Office, a very respectable nonpartisan organization.

Worldwide Proven Oil Reserves by Political Risk. How much of it can we really count on and how much of it has some political risk involved? Well, let's see.

Low political risk, 413.

These are billion barrels, by the way, and these are going to add up to a bit over 1 trillion, which is a generally accepted number of how much oil is out there. Now, we will add a little to it, but it is not going to be a huge amount we add to it.

Medium risk, 314. And high risk, 389.

What this says is that only roughly one-third, a little more than one-third

of the oil that is out there has low political risk, we could really count on in a pinch that it is going to be there. The other may not be there because there is medium and high political risk.

This same dynamic is shown in the next chart here, and this is by investment risk. Where can the big oil companies invest their money? Where can we invest our money? Where do we have low risk? Where do we have high risk?

Well, in 384 billion barrels, there is no foreign investment. They own it all. They don't need any money, so there is no foreign investment there. Only 165 billion barrels have low risk; 164, medium; 402, high. So just a whisker over one-fourth of the oil that is out there has low and medium risk.

I have been privileged to spend this hour talking about our economy and the impact energy is going to have on that.

HONORING CONGRESSMAN JIM OBERSTAR

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2009, the gentlewoman from Minnesota (Ms. MCCOLLUM) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. MCCOLLUM. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members be given 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on the topic of this Special Order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Minnesota?

There was no objection.

Ms. MCCOLLUM. Madam Speaker, tonight I rise with the sad honor of recognizing the retirement of my friend, colleague, Congressman JIM OBERSTAR. He has served the residents of Minnesota's Eighth Congressional District with distinction for more than 36 years.

JIM is the dean of the Minnesota congressional delegation, and all of us, House and Senate, are deeply grateful for his commitment to our State.

To many people in Washington, DC, he is Chairman JAMES L. OBERSTAR of the powerful Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, but to most Minnesotans, he is JIM OBERSTAR from Chisholm, the heart of Minnesota's Iron Range.

For those of you who don't know about the Iron Range, it can be a tough place to grow up—lots of cold weather and a lot of hard work. But it has lots of great people.

The hard lessons of his early years served JIM well in Washington. He knew how to fight for people and causes that he served, and he always worked for progress in a way that honored his principles.

During his time in Congress, JIM made a career out of creating good jobs and building America.

□ 2030

His priority was investing in the future prosperity of his country, literally laying the foundation of a 21st-century American economy, and I am proud to say he has been my partner in building a modern transportation system in the Twin Cities.

Next month, major renovations on the Union Depot in St. Paul, a modern multi-modal transportation hub, will create 3,000 construction jobs. Only months later, construction begins on the Central Corridor, the light rail between St. Paul and Minneapolis, creating thousands of more jobs. Neither of these major investments would have been happening without JIM OBERSTAR. He had the vision to plan for the future.

He has also demonstrated his leadership in times of great crisis, and he has been effective. On August 1, 2007, the Interstate 35W bridge collapsed in Minneapolis and 13 people lost their lives. The Chairman raced to action and helped to secure emergency legislation that rebuilt the bridge, reconnected our communities.

But he didn't stop there. Chairman OBERSTAR worked in Congress to call attention to the epidemic of weak bridges all across this country, and he made bridge repair and replacement a focus of the Recovery Act. Because of JIM OBERSTAR's commitment, thousands of bridges across this country were replaced or rebuilt through the Recovery Act. Millions of Americans are safer today because Chairman OBERSTAR recognized Minnesota's tragedy was an American crisis.

JIM OBERSTAR not only served; he served well. He not only worked hard; he achieved results. He was a true ranger. His roots of loyalty to the needs of working families in Minnesota and across this country could not be beat. This institution is about to lose a great leader, but it is inheriting a legacy of commitment and fairness and professionalism that should serve as a model for all of us.

On behalf of myself and the Minnesotans I represent, I extend my thanks and my best wishes to Chairman JIM OBERSTAR.

Madam Speaker, at this point I will insert in the RECORD various letters in support of Chairman OBERSTAR; from Congressman ERIK PAULSEN, Congresswoman BETSY MARKEY, State Representative Tommy Rukavina, State Senator Tom Saxhaug, State Senator Tom Bakk, State Senator David Tomassoni, the Honorable Don Ness, Mayor of the City of Duluth, the Honorable Christopher Coleman, the Mayor of the City of Saint Paul, and the Ramsey County Board of Commissioners and their Rail Authority.

TOM RUKAVINA,
MINNESOTA STATE REPRESENTATIVE,
December 8, 2010.

TO THE HONORABLE MEMBERS OF THE UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES: I am honored to have the opportunity to recognize and praise my friend, Congressman Jim Oberstar, whom I don't have to address as honorable because everyone who has been around Washington DC for the last 36 years knows that goes without saying!

Congressman Oberstar in my mind epitomizes what's good about "politicians." He has not only cared about the well-being of his constituents, but has also cared about every one of your constituents here in this great United States of America. His knowledge of transportation issues is legendary, and his stewardship of our natural resources and his concern for our children and grandchildren on issues such as clean water exemplifies his commitment to our future generations.

I am personally sad that Congressman Oberstar will not be representing me and his beloved Iron Range in the United States Congress. But I am honored that Congressman Oberstar's legacy and contributions to this country will be recognized by those future generations that he has dedicated his entire life to.

Congressman, as many of those Iron Range old-timers that you love to talk about would have said to you, "dobro sreću, buona fortuna"—in other words, good luck to you as you move forward in life!

Warm regards,

TOM RUKAVINA.

THOMAS W. SAXHAUG,
MINNESOTA STATE SENATOR,
St. Paul, MN, December 8, 2010.

Representative JAMES OBERSTAR,
Rayburn House Office Building,
Washington, DC.

DEAR JIM: Thanks for your tireless work on behalf of the people of Itasca County and the Grand Rapids Area. Your work will long be remembered by our generation and will benefit generations to come. My opportunity to work with you on the Edge of the Wilderness National Scenic Byway and riding bicycles on the Mesabi Range Trail will never be forgotten.

Thanks for your public service to my district, the State of Minnesota and the United States of America.

Sincerely,

TOM SAXHAUG.

THOMAS M. BAKK,
MINNESOTA STATE SENATOR,
St. Paul, MN, December 8, 2010.

Rep. JAMES OBERSTAR,
Rayburn House Office Building,
Washington, DC.

DEAR REPRESENTATIVE OBERSTAR: The Eighth District of Minnesota is forever indebted to you for your 36 years of service and dedication to the betterment of Northeastern Minnesota.

In fact, all of Minnesota would not be the same without your tireless hard work on our behalf. Most recently, much of the state can now enjoy the hiking and biking trails that have been connected thanks to your funding. Also, many regional centers are more competitive, due to your work on keeping our airports in top condition. Our state is in better shape financially, thanks to your funding of projects for the Recovery Act and many people have jobs because of you.

Thank you for dedicating your life to public service. You will be missed.

Sincerely,

THOMAS M. BAKK.

DAVID J. TOMASSONI,
MINNESOTA STATE SENATOR,
December 8, 2010.

Hon. JAMES OBERSTAR,
Chisholm, MN.

DEAR CONGRESSMAN OBERSTAR: It is with bitter sweet pleasure that I congratulate you on your incredible career as a United States Congressman representing Minnesota's 8th district for the last 36 years. I have gotten to know you very well over the years and I consider it an honor and a privilege to call you my great friend and "paesano."

There was never a time during your tenure that you didn't make your district your priority. The people of the 8th have benefited greatly as a result of your dedicated work and undying devotion. Whether it be saving Northwest Airlines, resulting in over 500 jobs in Chisholm at the Reservation Center; the reconstruction of Highway 53 and 169 interchange; renovation of Highway 8; the countless miles of bike trails; the re-opening of Eveleth Taconite; the dredging of the Duluth-Superior Harbor; the authorization to expand the Sault Ste. Marie Locks; or the rebuilding of the Interstate 35 bridge, you have unapologetically delivered.

Not only has your vision produced results, but your heart has helped numerous individuals with personal problems. One by one you helped hundreds of people with Social Security disability and Veterans' benefits. Individuals like the light house operator, or Marvin Ford, a survivor of the Atomic Bomb testing, both were personally afforded benefits as a result of your work. Even today you are still working to fulfill PBGC benefit integrity for National Steel employees.

Nationally, planes, trains and automobiles have all been the beneficiary of your vision to make America's transportation and infrastructure system the premier in the world. Your work and your legacy will benefit generations to come. The impact you have had on an entire nation will go unmatched.

Thank you for the difference you have made. You will be missed.

Arriverderci amico.

DAVID TOMASSONI.

DON NESS, MAYOR,

Duluth, MN, December 8, 2010.

As an American, I am grateful for Chairman Oberstar's life-long service to our country. Chairman Oberstar has been a champion for transportation improvements with an eye to efficiency, safety, and our nation's economic competitiveness. The Chairman is tough, creative, strategic, and visionary in his efforts to modernize American transportation.

As a Mayor in Minnesota, I am thankful for Congressman Oberstar's commitment to the health and vitality of our region. The Congressman has been a strong partner in economic development, in addressing our most pressing issues, and in providing outstanding constituent service. Every day on the job, he saw opportunities to do well by the people of Minnesota and he delivered.

History will be rightfully kind to Jim Oberstar. As impressive as Jim's legacy appears to us today, as time passes, history will confirm, endorse, and strengthen that legacy. Today's politics is immediate and reactive, yet for 36 years, Jim Oberstar built his legacy through comprehensive understanding and an eye to the future. He didn't go into public service to play political games; he wanted to help shape the future of our nation.

No matter the consequences, Jim was going to do the right thing. I remember in

the wake of 9/11, I saw Jim travel to the most conservative part of his district and speak forcibly against the invasion of Iraq. He was right and his integrity compelled him to speak against the rush to war, no matter the politics of the moment. Jim's integrity never wavered and his integrity has defined his time in Congress.

As a former Ober-staff, I feel privileged to have worked for Jim Oberstar because he is a great leader, a brilliant mind, and a forceful voice for our country. But more importantly, he is a good person—loyal, protective, loving, and generous.

Working for Jim Oberstar, you were acutely aware of the fact that he was someone who was shaping our nation's future and you were equally aware of how much he cared for you as a person. That's a special combination. His staff is loyal because he is a caring boss; his staff loves him because he is their friend.

Dr. Thomas Fuller once said, "Great and good are seldom the same man." And with the truth of that statement ringing in our ears, recognizing how rarely we see it, we say:

"Thank you, Jim. Thank you for being both great and good."

DON NESS.

MAYOR CHRISTOPHER B. COLEMAN,
St. Paul, MN, December 8, 2010.

CONGRESSWOMAN MCCOLLUM: Thank you for taking this time to recognize one of our country's great leaders. Congressman Jim Oberstar has poured vision, thoughtfulness, and commitment into his work for nearly 50 years on behalf of not just Minnesotans, but all Americans. The United States would not look or feel the same without Congressman Oberstar's leadership on infrastructure and transportation issues.

Jim graduated from the College of Saint Thomas in Saint Paul and his summa cum laude dual degree in French and Political Science should come as a surprise to no one. Jim has talked about how this experience not only created a direction for his incredible career, but also fostered a life-long love for learning and for our capital city. I'm proud to be the Mayor of a City Jim once called home.

Soon the City of Saint Paul will start running light rail trains from the historic Union Depot to its sister city of Minneapolis. The 30-year effort to build the Central Corridor light rail line is a reality because of Jim Oberstar. This line, along with the bus routes, bicycle lanes, and high speed rail lines that will travel to and from of the Union Depot every day will be a great legacy for us to remember Congressman Oberstar. I look forward to sharing it with him.

The City of Saint Paul is better place to live today because of the decades of service Congressman Oberstar has given us. He has been a once in a generation leader for our State and Country. As he steps toward the next chapter of an already distinguished career, he carries with him the sincere gratitude of all Minnesotans in recognition of his leadership and service.

Sincerely,

CHRISTOPHER B. COLEMAN.

DECEMBER 8, 2010.

DEAR CONGRESSMAN OBERSTAR: On behalf of the Ramsey County Board of Commissioners and Regional Railroad Authority, we would like to express our heartfelt gratitude for your 36 years of dedicated and far-sighted service to the people of Minnesota and the nation. We have appreciated your leadership,

your vision, your ability to get things done, and, most of all your warm friendship and wise counsel, both given freely and in great abundance.

We are extraordinarily grateful for your transportation and transit leadership for our state, nation and region, in particular for your steadfast support for the Union Depot project. Your strong early support of the Depot, and the \$85 million in federal funding you have helped to secure for this project of regional and national significance, were responsible for getting the project going and keeping it on track.

Too, your support of the Central Corridor and the Hiawatha Light Rail lines, the Rush Line Corridor and the Midwest High Speed Rail initiative have helped to move those important projects from dream to reality.

You have left your mark on the 8th District, on Minnesota and on this great nation. Everywhere we look, we see the fruits of your hard work in our transportation infrastructure. Your untiring service is appreciated. We strain to find words adequate to express our gratitude for all that you have done for the people you have served so faithfully for four decades.

In closing, let us just say how much we have enjoyed working with you on projects that affect Ramsey County and the entire state of Minnesota. We wish you and Jean a bright and fulfilling future.

Sincerely,

VICTORIA REINHARDT,
Chair, Ramsey County
Board of Commissioners.

JIM McDONOUGH,
Chair, Ramsey County
Regional Rail Authority.

COMMISSIONER TONY
BENNETT.

COMMISSIONER TONI
CARTER.

COMMISSIONER RAFAEL
ORTEGA.

COMMISSIONER JAN
PARKER.

COMMISSIONER JANICE
RETTMAN.

Ms. MCCOLLUM. Madam Speaker, now I would like to yield to Congressman JIM OBERSTAR.

Mr. OBERSTAR. Madam Speaker, I offer my very sincere and genuine personal gratitude to the gentlewoman from Minnesota, Ms. MCCOLLUM, and to the gentleman from Minnesota, Mr. PETERSON, for cosponsoring this Special Order. Never have I been the subject of a Special Order. It is a true and unique honor, and I am grateful for all those who have taken time to come this evening to express thoughts about my service in the Congress, especially those of our Minnesota delegation and the gentleman from Minnesota, Mr. WALZ, who is here, and our two Senators, Senator AMY KLOBUCHAR and Senator AL FRANKEN. I am grateful to them for making the trip across the divide between the two bodies.

The most memorable moment for me was the day that Senator Hubert Humphrey came at the invitation of Speaker O'Neill to address the House of Representatives. Never in the history of the House had a Senator been given that privilege, to address the House.

And as Hubert Humphrey stepped at the Clerk's desk just below the Speaker's table, he looked across the expanse of this body and he said, "Oh, you don't know how long I have wanted to be here." Of course, that is where the President stands to give his address to the Nation.

It is, in my mind, the greatest privilege in life to be chosen by the people to serve in this greatest legislative body in the world. I have had the great honor to step into the hall of the mother of parliaments in London, the House of Commons in Canada, the Assemblée Nationale in France, the Bundestag in Germany, the Great Hall of the People in Beijing, the Parliament of Australia for their first sitting in their new parliament in the 100th anniversary of Australia. But in all of those venues, they look to this dome and to this House as the voice of the people.

I look back on years of service. They have been wonderful and inspiring years. My life has been touched by the people of the 8th District whom I have had this great privilege to represent.

In the last 4 years, and I keep my report card with me of the 110th and 111th Congresses, we held 316 hearings, heard from 2,201 witnesses, and had 1,028 hours of hearings. We had 41 markups and 180 bills reported to the House, 276 passed by the House, and 179 public laws and resolutions.

In our portion of the stimulus, I can account for 1,300,000 construction jobs, \$4.5 billion in payroll, \$919 million in taxes paid by those working on construction jobs across America, and 35,311 miles of pavement built during this period of the stimulus.

Those are lasting benefits that will prove beneficial to future generations, and they are much like the rest of my body of work, that I can look back on my service and say I have given it my best, I have served the people to the best of my ability and to the gifts that the good Lord has given me and that my parents stimulated in me. But at this juncture in these closing hours of this Congress, I am reminded of Adlai Stevenson addressing a college graduation. He said to the graduates, "As you leave, remember why you came."

Why I came was to serve the people, the needs of their respective families, and to leave this district, to leave this House, to leave this Nation a better place than I found it. I hope I have achieved that goal.

Ms. MCCOLLUM. Thank you and, yes, you have.

I would now like to recognize the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. CARNAHAN).

Mr. CARNAHAN. Madam Speaker, I am sure that many of my colleagues rising tonight will want to pay tribute to Chairman JIM OBERSTAR of Minnesota, and every one of us will have a story or two about how the chairman moved what seemed like heaven and

Earth in order to get things done for the people of this country. With smart investments in all modes of transportation, his accomplishments in public service have truly earned him the name "Mr. Transportation."

Well, here is one of my favorite JIM OBERSTAR stories. A few years ago, a group of people in Lemay in south St. Louis County had a tremendous economic redevelopment opportunity to turn a patch of dormant brownfields along the northern Mississippi River into great economic development.

□ 2040

They had done everything right. They had researched all the background, they identified the most effective way to bring jobs, they had brought their community together to build consensus. But they had one big problem. They needed a road. They needed a road to somewhere that created thousands of jobs.

Well, right now you're probably thinking that this story sounds pretty familiar. There are plenty of communities that would love the government's help to fund and build a road. Well, this road was being blocked because of red tape. And they needed help. Well, there's a phrase about things that are difficult in this country. They say, It's like trying to get an act of Congress. Well, getting permission to build this road actually took two acts of Congress; it never would have happened without Chairman JIM OBERSTAR. When I found out what was necessary to get the job done, I knew I had to talk to him to get his advice and his help, and with that we were able to break through the red tape to get that job done.

A few weeks ago, I had the great pleasure to go back to visit just a few of the 3,000 people who now have good quality jobs because of JIM OBERSTAR's help. On behalf of those workers and myself, I cannot thank you enough for all you have done for this Congress, for colleagues, for people across this country; for helping America build a road to a better future. Thank you for your service, JIM OBERSTAR.

Ms. MCCOLLUM. Madam Speaker, I would now like to recognize the majority leader, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER).

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentlelady for yielding. I thank the two distinguished United States Senators from Minnesota for joining us this evening on the floor.

This is a sad day for America—not this particular day—but it is a sad event that an individual of the extraordinary quality and depth and courage and empathy and understanding will not be serving in the 112th Congress. This election saw the defeat of many, many very qualified people—not on their merits, but on the angst of the American public, concerned about jobs

and the economy, concerned about the deficit, concerned about many things, and making a statement that they wanted to change. But, unfortunately, some babies got thrown out with the bath water.

JIM OBERSTAR is a giant in this body. There is no person who chairs any committee in the time that I have been a Member of the House of Representatives, which covers a period of 30 years, no chairman with whom I have served during that period of time has known his subject, has worked harder, studied harder, and focused us on investing in building America any more than JIM OBERSTAR of Minnesota.

JIM OBERSTAR graduated from college summa cum laude. JIM OBERSTAR has been in many places in this world. He taught in Haiti. His family comes from Slovenia. JIM OBERSTAR is not only a giant when it comes to how we make America a stronger country, how we build our country, how we make sure that we can get goods and services to and from and we can get our citizens to and from places where they need to be, but JIM OBERSTAR also is a giant when it comes to understanding the world in which we live.

JIM OBERSTAR has been a continually unwavering voice on behalf of working people in America. JIM OBERSTAR, in my view, is the definition of a Democrat—someone who puts as his highest priority the interest of men and women in this country, who, as Bill Clinton so famously said, go to work every day and play by the rules and want us to be on their side.

I have served with no individual in the Congress of the United States who has been more on the side of average working men and women who make this country a great country and who in fact are not average at all but extraordinary citizens who care for their country, care for their communities, care for their family, and care for their faith. JIM OBERSTAR has represented all of those values for every single day he has served in the Congress of the United States and for every single day he served as the chief of staff of his predecessor, John Blatnik.

This is a sad day because we recognize the loss of an extraordinary asset to America, to this House, to this Congress; and I count it as a personal loss to lose someone who has been such a close friend, an extraordinary adviser, a person who has set an example for what every American wants a Member of Congress to be—honest, committed, and on their side.

JIM OBERSTAR, you have blessed this House and blessed this country. JIM OBERSTAR, you have much to give in the years to come. JIM OBERSTAR, I want you to know that I, for one, will continue to rely on your advice and counsel as a partner on the side of every American that makes this country the land we love.

Thank you.

Ms. MCCOLLUM. Thank you.

Madam Speaker, I would now like to recognize TIM WALZ from Minnesota's First Congressional District, another member of the Democratic-Farmer-Labor Party.

Mr. WALZ. Thank you to my colleague from St. Paul and to all my colleagues from Minnesota.

Coming here today, the honor to speak of JIM OBERSTAR as a Minnesotan, no one quite personifies what it means to be Minnesotan as JIM OBERSTAR—a man of quiet passion; a work ethic that knows no limits; a sense of humor in the face of tragedy that can lift others; a man of compassion; someone who exemplifies the very fiber of how we see ourselves as people of the prairie, people of the Iron Range, that can withstand the cold winters and the hot summers.

But something that JIM OBERSTAR I think taught me more than anything else, and today, coming to talk about him, it's never about looking backward; it's always about looking forward. Someone who spent their life to create a better tomorrow, a champion of the future and a champion of progress.

No one in this Congress has had a more profound impact on me as a high school social studies and history teacher than JIM OBERSTAR, someone who understands the importance of history for what it means. It's not just a theoretical exercise to see the past. It's about understanding how to take those lessons, how to take the words that work and didn't work, and to move forward to make a better tomorrow.

There's nothing we did in this Congress that dealt with JIM OBERSTAR that didn't have an understanding of that; that didn't connect what happened in the past to what could be in the future. And I think of just the things across Minnesota, the so many things that he touched, but one that was just so profound of an impact on me is decades ago, when the growing city of Rochester and the Mayo Clinic, the heart of one of our biggest industries in Minnesota was growing, someone that had the foresight to invest in flood mitigation that would have surely drowned out the Mayo Clinic probably three times in the last 13 years alone, with the rains that we have seen; someone who understood that those infrastructure projects allowed the growth of that community and the growth of that industry and the growth of that knowledge and the human spirit to prosper in that community on the prairie because we had the foresight to invest where we needed to.

So to see someone around here who, as the majority leader so eloquently put, is a legend amongst all of us here—a chairman; a mentor; to me, a friend—the dignity and passion with which Chairman OBERSTAR conducts

himself, has shaped how I would like to conduct myself. Anyone who serves the people of Minnesota and serves this Nation, if they want to see a role model for how this job should be done, they need to look no further than JIM OBERSTAR.

He gets remembered a lot, I notice, for transportation issues; but I would have to say the transportation issues were a means to an end. The end was always working for working families; making life better for those people; a man who understood hard work, who came from a family that worked in the mines, that understood what needed to be done to get a day's work but understands how those jobs could sap life out of people, who could take life, they could be unsafe; someone who spent a lifetime making sure that a worker could go with dignity, earn a day's wage, but not be subject to toxic chemicals, not be subject to unsafe working conditions, and have the opportunity to earn a living wage and to have some health insurance and maybe a retirement for them when they got done with those years of hard work.

□ 2050

That type of work ethic and that type of focus is something, as I said again, that has profoundly impacted me. I think all of us saw here what a lifetime of experiences and a lifetime of work in this Congress came to on that day in August 2007, on the day of the tragedy of the I-35 bridge falling down. I think, for many Minnesotans—myself included—to see that rock of someone who knew this issue better than anyone in this country stand firmly and say, We will not allow this to happen again. We will rebuild this bridge. It will be better than it was. Commerce and safety and infrastructure will move forward—that was a moment that will always stick with me.

Going back to understanding the history, I saw some of the transcripts—I believe they were from 1987—that JIM OBERSTAR took in a hearing. He was talking about substandard bridges and predicting that some day we would see one of these bridges fall, causing a great loss of life. That's what a true leader does—predicts the future and sees what needs to be done to avert those things.

So, as STENY HOYER said, this House will sorely miss JIM OBERSTAR. This country has lost an incredible leader in this House, but it has not lost that leader completely. That voice will continue on. It shapes each and every one of us.

That passion, that insight that you brought to the table, JIM OBERSTAR, will live on in anyone who serves here. For that, I thank you.

I yield back.

Ms. McCOLLUM. I thank the gentleman from Minnesota.

I would now like to recognize the gentlewoman from Texas, EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON.

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, and let me thank the gentlewoman from Minnesota for organizing this.

I rise this evening to honor a longtime Member and the current chairman of the House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee, Mr. JAMES OBERSTAR, the distinguished gentleman from Minnesota.

It has been my pleasure to serve on the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee for 18 years with Mr. OBERSTAR. We have had some really good moments. As a matter of fact, as I was listening about the bridge, we were right here on this floor the night that the bridge collapsed. We were talking then about an aviation issue.

The other thing is, being from Texas, I only speak one language—that's Texas English—and sometimes people don't understand it here. Mr. OBERSTAR speaks many languages, and I was always very pleased when he was there to help me pronounce some of those names that came before our committee. To those of us who serve on the Transportation Committee and to many others in this Chamber, Chairman OBERSTAR has been an historian, our friend, our expert, our champion, and our admired leader. To think of the Transportation Committee—and certainly our House—without our beloved colleague leaves a vast hole among our ranks and in our hearts.

During his tenure on the committee as a staffer, later as a member, and then as chairman, Mr. OBERSTAR has played a key role in every major piece of transportation legislation that is law today, and no one would even try to dispute that he is widely held as a foremost transportation expert among us. His dedication cannot be matched regardless of the issue, whether it's transit, highways, aviation, water, infrastructure, Coast Guard, railways, maritime, and so much more. He has steadfastly worked to achieve the best results for the American people.

I will dearly miss my colleague, my friend, my chairman, but I also expect that none of us will shy away from seeking his advice in the months and years to come. During his tenure, we really have come to respect him as the expert, and we will regretfully miss him so much.

Ms. McCOLLUM. I thank the gentlelady.

I would now like to recognize the delegate from Washington, D.C., Ms. EL-EANOR HOLMES NORTON.

Ms. NORTON. I thank the gentlelady from Minnesota for yielding.

I come to the floor this evening because a colleague of iconic reputation is about to leave this House.

Now, JIM OBERSTAR will do very well. I wish I could say the same for those of us he leaves behind. JIM carries with him much more than the institutional

memory of the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee. Sure, JIM has a legendary encyclopedic memory that he lends to the committee members every so often, but you cannot describe JIM's work with particular pieces of infrastructure that you may see here and there. You just can't do it. JIM's work is so long, so deep, and so influential that it will be almost impossible for it to ever be repeated in this House, for JIM has spent his entire career—and by that, we mean not only his career as a Member but as a staff member most influential with Members—with the T&I Committee or with Public Works or whatever you want to call it. We call it "JIM's committee."

Members often expect staff to specialize in the facts and to know more than they know. Nobody expects a committee chair to know more than the staff and the subcommittees put together. No. We sat in committee in wonder that one man could know and remember and integrate so much into the ongoing discussion. The effect on members of the T&I Committee was to make us feel we just had to work harder, not to meet JIM's standard—we're not crazy—but to at least know what the highest standard looked like.

You might wonder why this Francophone, who majored in French and political science, became the most influential expert on Transportation and Infrastructure in the United States of America. I believe it has little to do with JIM's brilliant intellect. After all, JIM would have been an intellectual leader on any committee on which he chose to serve. I believe it has to do with his own roots that may have guided him to this committee—JIM, whose immigrant grandfather was a steelworker and whose father was an ironworker in the open iron pits of Minnesota. That JIM—that JIM—got to know, as few of us do, the plight of the American worker, his relationship to hard work and to building America, itself. So, you see, it's quite simple. It's in JIM's DNA.

JIM brought an unrelenting dedication to hard work, the same dedication to hard work that his father put in in the iron mines, to the Congress of the United States. He is the only chairman I know who regularly attended subcommittee meetings and then proceeded to join in the discussions at any point they were going on and with what only he could have possibly added to the discussion—and brilliantly so.

Now, some of us are trying to name the new transportation headquarters here in my district, in the District of Columbia, for JIM OBERSTAR. I can't imagine that that wouldn't happen. Yet I know JIM OBERSTAR, and I have a feeling that that's not what he really wants his legacy to be. I think JIM wants his legacy to be the transformational Transportation bill he fought mightily to bring to this floor

and that he brilliantly crafted for the 21st century.

□ 2100

Well, JIM, it may not have been in your time, but it must happen in ours. So this evening, JIM, I pledge to you to do all that I can to see to it that your prodigious work on that final brilliant transportation authorization bill shall not have been in vain.

Farewell, friend. Have pity on us. Please, don't go far.

Ms. MCCOLLUM. I thank the gentlewoman.

I would now like to recognize the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. LIPINSKI).

Mr. LIPINSKI. I'd like to thank the gentlelady from Minnesota (Ms. MCCOLLUM) for organizing tonight's Special Order.

I said 4 years ago, no one in the history of Congress became chairman of a committee who was better prepared than JIM OBERSTAR. From the time he started here working in 1963 for John Blatnik, through all these years, it was not just JIM's experience and expertise that made him such a great chairman and great Member, but also his work ethic, his willingness to reach out across the aisle, and ability to get the job done.

JIM OBERSTAR always had a thoughtful and thorough approach to policymaking. There is a simple reason why he was known as Mr. Transportation. It's because from aviation, to highways and transit, to maritime transportation, to water infrastructure and public buildings, and of course, to cycling, he has truly shaped the way we think about transportation and infrastructure.

During my four years of service on the House T&I Committee, I can honestly say not a day went by in that committee room where I did not learn something from JIM OBERSTAR, and I would have learned more if only I knew more than a dozen French words—although I do know *Tour de France*, and JIM taught me a few things about cycling, both on and off the bike, and those who know JIM know he truly is a Renaissance man.

Now, at the same time we talk about everything that's happened here in Washington, JIM knew, he knows that you have to be hands on. You can't learn everything by sitting in a committee room. You have to go out, roll up your sleeves, get your hands dirty, and that's exactly what he did.

As Chicagoland's only member of the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee, I had what I think was about my yearly visit from JIM OBERSTAR. I was very happy to welcome him to ride the rails and trails and, together, see firsthand the challenges facing the region in transportation. He always listened carefully, often time taking notes while providing valuable perspective and insights that come from his decades of experience.

But JIM didn't only visit Democratic districts. To quote the chairman, I've never seen a Democratic road or a Republican bridge. Working together we can build all-American roads and all-American bridges. If Washington had only listened to and followed the leadership more of JIM OBERSTAR, our country would be much better off today.

Madam Speaker, I want to thank Chairman OBERSTAR, JIM, for his service, for his teaching, and for his friendship. And I know that although he's leaving Congress, his days as Mr. Transportation are far from over.

Ms. MCCOLLUM. I thank the gentleman.

I would now like to recognize the gentlewoman from California (Mrs. RICHARDSON).

Ms. RICHARDSON. Madam Speaker, from wings to wheels, propellers to pedals, there is no mode of transportation that Chairman OBERSTAR has not passionately worked to improve. I am honored to have witnessed personally a Congressman whose efforts have employed millions of American people and enhanced the safety of millions more who every day utilize a transportation system which he tirelessly labored to make cleaner, stronger, and more secure.

Chairman OBERSTAR, your efforts on behalf of our weakening infrastructure is legendary, but what is not was your role in helping to prevent an even worse recession. Why? Because it was you who fought even with the President to be able to invest more of our dollars into infrastructure, which proved to be exactly right and the best money that was spent with our Recovery Act.

Mr. Chairman, you have a view that reflects a full spectrum of a vision, one that you've devoted your own personal and professional life to. One of your legacies, Mr. Chairman, is your knowledge, your vision, that you've held even Inspector General witnesses, Secretaries of Transportation all accountable, something that I've enjoyed watching firsthand.

It saddens me deeply to know that coming in January our transportation guru and a mentor of mine will no longer be chairing the Transportation Committee. We have so much more to accomplish, and this will be very difficult without your presence, knowledge, and leadership. I vow to take all that you have taught me and to encourage others to build upon that vision of making America's transportation system the golden standard it used to be. However, I am hopeful that you, Chairman OBERSTAR, will continue your public service. Why? Because we need you. We all need you.

As I close, I want to speak to the public, to the Speaker, and for the public record. I'd like to thank JIM OBERSTAR, my mentor. He taught me that

even everyone can have a second chance at a date if you work hard enough at it, and that you can find the right person with that hard work. He also taught me that in my first days in Congress his kind heart was always open to help me. I admire the commitment and capability of his very loyal staff. We would all be blessed to have that kind of staff.

I respect his love for this House, and even though through his surgery and pain he stood and walked to make others lives better, but most of all, he often would spend time recollecting about all of his years on the committee, and I enjoyed him talking about when he was a freshman and sat in that last seat in the front row like I had. I value how much he listened and respected our young ideas and was not enslaved to seniority.

Mr. OBERSTAR, your approach to civility and bipartisanship has been remarkable, but my greatest sadness will be in missing your steadying and influential hand in all the work that you do.

And as I close, I'm going to say something daring that most young Members of Congress don't do, and that's I'm going to actually say, Mr. Chairman, I think you misspoke earlier when you said that you had done your best. I disagree. You've been a great man and you have done great work. Thank you.

Ms. MCCOLLUM. I thank the gentlelady.

I'd now like to recognize the Representative of Hawaii, Ms. HIRONO.

Ms. HIRONO. Thank you.

Madam Speaker, I rise to add my voice to those who are here to give thanks to our chairman, JIM OBERSTAR, for his extraordinary service to our Nation as a Member of the U.S. House of Representatives. I certainly count myself fortunate because as a new Member of Congress and a fledgling member of the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee, I have the example of JIM OBERSTAR as my chairman.

I remember the first time I ever met JIM OBERSTAR. I was just taken with the breadth of his knowledge, his commitment, and he said to me that this committee, which is the largest in the House, is also one of the most bipartisan committees because everybody needs bridges, harbor, roads, airports. Very true.

I don't expect that I will ever be as knowledgeable or articulate on any subject as he is on all aspects of transportation policy, but it's good to aim high. Of course, at this point, it would be pretty much impossible for me to match his 47 years on the committee first as a clerk, next as an administrator, then as a Member, and finally, as an outstanding chairman.

Chairman OBERSTAR has been the clearest, strongest, and most vigorous advocate for restoring America's transportation and infrastructure system. He is recognized and admired in my

State of Hawaii, one of the few chairmen—I hope that will change over time—who has come to the State of Hawaii to see for himself firsthand the challenges we face. But we admire him. We love him in Hawaii for his support of our first and only rail transit systems and for his understanding of the importance of keeping our vital infrastructure strong.

□ 2110

I recently met with the president and CEO of Hawaii's largest airline. And he reiterated what I hear from many business people in my State; that is, if there is one thing that government can do to help our economy, it is to help repair and improve and maintain our infrastructure. Mr. Chairman, you have been right on the money.

What will I remember most about JIM? I will remember his heartfelt stories about his father, a union mineworker. I'll remember our white-knuckled flight over the Honolulu rail route in a helicopter without doors. I'll remember how generous he's been with his time and guidance, from taking time out of his personal time in Hawaii to review infrastructure needs on Maui to joining me in a live video feed with infrastructure stakeholders in Hawaii. And I remember the flight we took over Maui. And we flew, JIM and his wife, Jean, his partner in life, over the open ocean from Lanai to Maui, and we looked down, and we saw the whales, and it was really something. And JIM, who knows everything about infrastructure, looked down on Maui Island and said, My gosh, you really are vulnerable to things like earthquakes because you are islands, and you just can't drive from one island to another. And that is why it is so important that JIM came to my State to see for himself, not just to intellectually realize, we are an island State and that I represent seven inhabited islands that I can only get to by air.

I will remember his intense interest in everything witnesses had to say during our T&I hearings. I will remember Chairman OBERSTAR, and I will certainly miss him. I strongly suspect that all the people of Minnesota, not just those of the 8th Congressional District, will miss him as well.

My very best to you, JIM, and to your wonderful wife Jean. Mahalo lui noa. Aloha.

Ms. MCCOLLUM. I thank the gentlewoman from Hawaii.

I would now like to recognize the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. ALTMIRE).

Mr. ALTMIRE. I thank the gentlewoman.

Madam Speaker, I was listening to the debate earlier this evening, and I heard the chairman say that he hopes that he has left this institution, the U.S. House of Representatives, better than it was when he first got here 47

years ago, first as a staffer, then as a Member, then as chairman. And I thought to myself, My goodness, you, Mr. Chairman, have certainly left this place better. But, Madam Speaker, the chairman has left the country much better than had it not been for the work that he has put forward in transportation, which is unmatched by any 10 people that have ever served in this institution. I can't think of anyone in recent history who has made more of a difference in their area of expertise and in their subject matter than Chairman OBERSTAR.

As a second-term Member of Congress, when you are first elected, Madam Speaker, as we all know, you think about what committee do you want to serve on, what Members do you want to associate yourself with. And I chose immediately Transportation because I wanted to learn from the best, and there was no one better than Chairman OBERSTAR to talk about all of the subjects that fall under transportation. Certainly our waterways, our infrastructure, roads and bridges, aviation, rail, nobody in this House, and nobody in the country, I would suggest, has a better grasp of any of those issues than Chairman OBERSTAR.

And like many who have spoken before me this evening, I had the opportunity, thankfully, to bring Mr. OBERSTAR into the district in western Pennsylvania that I represent to meet with transportation leaders. And I remember vividly a group meeting that we had with some of the brightest minds in transportation in western Pennsylvania. And the chairman was throwing out facts and figures, names and dates, and places and people. And when I left and returned to my office after the meeting, I thought to myself, I'm going to look some of this stuff up. That can't possibly all be accurate. He couldn't have made that up off the top of his head. And lo and behold, I looked it up, and everything he said was true, down to the specific dates, down to the middle names of people that he was referring to, down to the long names of legislation that we come to know when you add sponsors and cosponsors. He knew them all. It was an unbelievable breadth of knowledge, and we've all experienced it in dealing with Mr. OBERSTAR.

But I know not only where I started these remarks from, is the country a better place for Mr. OBERSTAR having served here? Certainly this House is better. But I know that I am better for having served with Mr. OBERSTAR. So I know you're not going away, Mr. Chairman. I know, Madam Speaker, that the chairman is going to continue to be actively engaged in transportation issues in the country. I, for one, look forward to continuing to work with him, soliciting his advice and expertise. And most importantly, Mr. Chairman, I wish you well.

Ms. MCCOLLUM. I thank the gentleman. I now would like to recognize the Representative from Maryland (Mr. CUMMINGS).

Mr. CUMMINGS. I thank the gentlewoman for yielding.

I too come here tonight to honor my good friend Chairman OBERSTAR, and I honor him for all that he is and all that he's not. Chairman OBERSTAR is definitely a pursuer of excellence. Everything he does, he does it to the highest level, and he realizes how important excellence is. I have talked to his staff. I've worked with him, and his staff tells me that if a comma is out of place, they've got a problem. And that's so very significant because one of the things that he talks often about is how we have moved in our country in so many ways to cultures of mediocrity, and we have to get away from that. And so he is a pursuer of excellence.

But he also is a builder. He's not only a builder of bridges and roads, but he is a builder of people. And I am one who has benefited from his handiwork. I will never forget when he and I were working on several projects and I, as chairman of the Coast Guard Subcommittee, he constantly showed me the way to be a stronger and a better chairman. He always had high expectations of me. And because of my respect for him, I wanted to be better, and I became better. And I know that I will go to my grave being thankful for the way he has touched my life.

Finally, he is a visionary. He doesn't worry so much about the next election. He worried more about the next generation. He understood that the bridges still had to stand when he is long gone. He understood that there would be roads that would be built for children who have not yet been born. And he acted every day to make sure that that happened, and that they were done in an excellent way.

So I have come to honor my good friend. I thank God so very, very much for allowing my life to eclipse with his. Little did I know that a young man and the son of former sharecroppers would meet a man from Minnesota who bikes all the time and that our lives would come together and mesh together and mine would become better. So I thank God for his life, but more important, I thank God for his journey.

Ms. MCCOLLUM. I thank the gentleman.

I would now like to recognize the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. CAO).

Mr. CAO. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the gentleman and legislator from Minnesota's 8th Congressional District, JIM OBERSTAR. I have had the immense pleasure of getting to know Chairman OBERSTAR and have had the pleasure of working with him on important issues, ranging from high-speed rail to FEMA reform. I have enjoyed private conversations with him

that have educated me, inspired me, and reminded me of the true meaning of public service. Chairman OBERSTAR will be remembered by all with whom he served in this Chamber as someone who loved this Nation and loved public service.

□ 2650

His service will be remembered by its selflessness and true intentions.

As the distinguished chairman of the House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee, his goal was to keep America safe and moving forward. I recall fondly the hours he and I spent discussing proposals to reform the Army Corps of Engineers and the Federal Emergency Management Agency. The legislation on which we collaborated always had the goal of bettering those two institutions and assisting my district of New Orleans, a love of which we shared.

His transportation policies and initiatives embodied the very meaning of change. He had the creativity to see challenges this Nation will face and to put forward recommendations for how to address them. They will live on within this body as testament to his vision.

I will miss our conversations in committee and on the floor. I could always count on the chairman as the voice of reason and friendship. As he and I leave this great Hall at the end of this session, it is my honor to commend him for his accomplishments and to wish him well.

Ms. MCCOLLUM. Well, we've heard many, many wonderful things about JIM OBERSTAR, and we have many, many people present today who love and who've worked with him. And we are here as a delegation, strong and proud members of Minnesota's Farmer Democratic Labor Party; and that comes as no surprise that JIM fought hard for working people.

As has been pointed out, he comes from the Iron Range. He worked in an iron mine in his youth, and his father was an iron miner and a union official. He fought to include Davis-Bacon prevailing wage provisions in Federal infrastructure.

But he also had a unique side to him that many people were always taken by surprise. This iron ranger spoke French, and that's because he taught French to U.S. Marines for 4 years in Haiti, and he taught English to Haitian military personnel, another way in which JIM OBERSTAR served our country.

JIM, when I first came here as a Member of Congress, as people have been speaking personally, I came here under bittersweet circumstances. My mentor, my Member of Congress, had passed.

When I came here the office had been closed for several weeks. There was no sharing of supplies. There was no one

to turn to. I had two big brothers in the delegation who welcomed the first Member in over 50 years to serve here; and so I not only thank you, as a Member of Congress for all the work that you've done, but I thank you for extending all the courtesies you did to me when I first arrived here to make sure that my constituents were well served. But also all the support you've been to me during my personal tragedies. Thank you, JIM.

JIM's unique expertise should be shared with the next generation of public servants. So we're very happy that the Star Tribune reported last week that the University of Minnesota Hubert Humphrey Institute of Public Affairs is talking to Chairman OBERSTAR about become a guest lecturer or a seminar leader. I think that would be a terrific thing to have happen.

And I hope to see a book written by Chairman OBERSTAR in the bookstore across the aisle from my congressional office in St. Paul, but I've got a feeling it'll probably be more than one volume.

So Chairman OBERSTAR, unless you would like to have the last word, we want to thank you for the last time so much for your service.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Madam Speaker, I join my colleagues who today recognize a faithful public servant and proud Minnesotan, Congressman JIM OBERSTAR.

JIM has dedicated his career to the people of Minnesota's 8th Congressional district—serving as their Representative since 1974 and as a staff member to his predecessor, former Representative John Blatnik, for more than a decade.

During his tenure in Congress, JIM was a passionate and unapologetic advocate for the causes he believed in. He rose to prominence as Chairman of the Transportation Committee, where he worked to ensure the safety and security of our nation's transportation infrastructure.

While we found ourselves on opposite ends of most political arguments, I respect Congressman OBERSTAR's accomplishments and the legacy he leaves behind in this House and the great state of Minnesota. I wish him well in his future endeavors.

Mr. RAHALL. Madam Speaker, Appalachia and Minnesota are losing a favorite son in the United States Congress when JIM OBERSTAR, my Chairman and my longtime dear colleague and friend, leaves early next year.

In southern West Virginia, we knew the importance of the agency he brought to life, the Economic Development Administration, and those that he fought to protect, side by side with me, like the Appalachian Regional Commission. Shepherding through the 2007 Water Resources Development Act, after a woeful seven-year delay, and overriding a presidential veto to get our water infrastructure projects back on track, was among his great recent accomplishments—again bringing essential assistance to West Virginia.

In the nineteenth century, we are told, it took a number of decades for our knowledge base to double in size. Now some estimates suggest our digital information doubles every

day. While JIM is still a young man, it really doesn't matter in which century you worked with him, he knows his stuff, and almost all of yours.

That's the sheer force of intellect and determination that have led our Committee and our Nation to an unparalleled influence in the transportation world. His absence in crafting the next surface transportation bill will be missed, but his imprimatur will neither fade nor disappear. Quite the contrary, his lessons and sizable legend will guide us toward sound responsible investment for broader horizons.

Just as his contributions to transportation policy over the years live on in every aspect he touched through both his Chairmanship of the Aviation Subcommittee from 1989 to 1995 and as a powerful ranking member of the full Committee after that.

He also led one of the most successful parts of the Recovery package. His stewardship of the \$64.1 billion for transportation and infrastructure investment in the Recovery Act got America moving again and got projects underway, with unprecedented accountability measures. Timely, transparent reporting, in plain black and white, clearly shows the tremendous impact of transportation spending and how it can be done in a short fashion. Hundreds of thousands of people are working today because of JIM OBERSTAR's leadership.

Even though JIM's career as a Congressional staffer under the tutelage of his mentor, John Blotnick, followed by his brilliant Congressional service, could fill far more than one person's career, JIM OBERSTAR has many more chapters to write in his life and all of us who know him, know that he will. His zest for living fully is only outweighed by his spirit to serve others. There is no question that JIM, my friend, as the poet wrote, is still "strong of will, to strive, to seek, to find and not to yield." Godspeed, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. COSTELLO. Madam Speaker, I rise to commemorate the congressional career of our colleague, Transportation and Infrastructure Committee Chairman JIM OBERSTAR. It is hard to imagine the Congress and the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee without him.

JIM began his legislative service as a clerk on the Subcommittee on Rivers and Harbors for his hometown congressman, John Blatnik. Ten years later, he succeeded his boss and won election to Congress, representing the Iron Range region of Minnesota, where he was born and raised. Over the ensuing 36 years, he has distinguished himself as an undaunted leader of our Caucus and the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee, working in a bipartisan way with great results even when partisanship gripped Congress as a whole. He is the foremost expert in the field, and his vision for how a reliable, efficient transportation network is critical for our national economy is reflected in every major piece of transportation law over the last 40 years. Moreover, he is a personal friend and has been a teacher and mentor for countless members and staffers, including myself.

Above all else, JIM OBERSTAR is a true public servant, representing his constituents and the Nation with great dedication, skill and selflessness. I know our appreciation of his efforts will only grow over time, and I also know that

while it will be in a different capacity, he will continue to work to meet the transportation needs of our country. While I look forward to continuing our work together, it is with great respect and appreciation that we honor JIM's work in Congress. It is truly the end of an era.

Mr. SHUSTER. Madam Speaker, it is my pleasure to recognize the career of an icon of the House of Representatives: JIM OBERSTAR. JIM's decades of leadership and tireless service to this House and especially the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee deserve recognition and I am proud to be able to add my own words to tonight's tribute.

I have known JIM OBERSTAR for a long time. In fact, I've known him longer than I've served in Congress. I first met JIM when my father, Bud Shuster, served on and later chaired the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee. My father still considers JIM one of his dearest friends and holds the highest regard for his work on the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee.

Bud likes to say that he and JIM were "joined at the hip" on transportation and infrastructure initiatives and it's easy to see why. Like my father, Chairman OBERSTAR lives and breathes transportation policy. I can easily say that no one in the House of Representatives today knows more about those issues and their history than JIM OBERSTAR.

It has been an honor to have served with Chairman OBERSTAR on the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee. Together, we tackled critical issues that run at the core of America's strength and prosperity. The roads, railways and jetways of our nation are the arteries through which the commerce of our nation flows. Under his leadership, Chairman OBERSTAR worked tirelessly to ensure that this fact isn't forgotten by policy makers in Washington.

While much was accomplished over his years of service, much more needs to be done to realize America's transportation potential and the work of the committee will continue in the next Congress. It will do so with JIM's indelible mark to help guide us moving forward.

In conclusion Madam Speaker, I congratulate Chairman OBERSTAR on his historic service in the House, both on staff as the administrator of the Committee on Public Works and as a member and later Chairman of the House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee. We are losing a giant in the world of transportation policy and his presence on the committee and this House will be missed.

Mr. GARY G. MILLER of California. Madam Speaker, tonight, I rise to honor my good friend from Minnesota, Chairman JIM OBERSTAR. Over the years we have developed a strong friendship as we have worked together on the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee. Chairman OBERSTAR leaves the House of Representatives as a legend in his own right. He has helped create decades of transportation policy which not only leaves behind a legacy here in the Capitol, but also around the Nation. Chairman OBERSTAR is a great man that will continue to influence transportation policy in the future through his knowledge of the past. His presence on the Committee will truly be missed, but his influence will continue on through the decades.

Over the years, Chairman OBERSTAR has demonstrated his pragmatic approach to pol-

icy. He has always been willing to listen to both sides of an argument before carefully proceeding forward with a position. I, along with others, always knew where Chairman OBERSTAR stood on an issue and he was always willing to take the time to respectfully explain the reasoning behind his position. I have always admired his ability to find common ground and subsequently move forward in the name of sound policy.

While I will miss my good friend from Minnesota, he will continue to be a leader in the world of transportation and water policy. My friend, I wish you the best as you embark on this next adventure in your life.

Mr. SIRE. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor Chairman JIM OBERSTAR. A man who has devoted his entire life to public service and has been the longest-serving representative in Minnesota history, he will be sorely missed. Chairman OBERSTAR is known to all as a leading expert on aviation and transportation issues. In addition to having unparalleled knowledge on transportation issues, he is also known to be gracious, sincere, and jovial. Particularly to those who sit with me on the House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee, Chairman OBERSTAR is also known as a friend.

Shortly after I joined the House Transportation & Infrastructure Committee, I was honored to have the Chairman visit my district in New Jersey. Together, we went to the Bayonne Bridge and rode the Hudson Bergen Light Rail. I was honored that the Chairman took time out of his busy schedule to visit my district and my constituents. I quickly learned that Chairman OBERSTAR is always looking to solve the transportation problems that Americans face. He has graciously visited the districts of many of my colleagues, and I am sure that he has had many stimulating conversations with them about how we can make our transportation systems work better for our constituents.

Chairman OBERSTAR's background tells much about the person he is today. His father was a coal miner, his mother worked in a shirt factory, and during high school and college he worked in the open pit mines to pay for his education. His family instilled in him a strong sense of public service and 47 years ago, the Chairman began working for his hometown Representative. In 1974, he was elected to Congress and took the place of his former boss. He is the first member of Congress to serve as clerk and chairman to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

Serving terms in both the minority and majority, Chairman OBERSTAR has always worked in a bi-partisan fashion to successfully implement transportation policy. As Chairman, he pledged to enhance safety and security, invest in infrastructure, and address the twin challenges and global climate change. The Chairman accomplished these goals not only through passage of legislation, but also by exercising oversight with numerous hearings. His work in transportation policy has affected many Americans throughout the Nation. While the last transportation hearing on December 2nd may have been the last time for the Chairman to yield the gavel, I have no doubt that we will all continue to hear of his contributions to Minnesotans and all Americans.

Madam Speaker, I stand here today to applaud Chairman OBERSTAR and wish him continued success.

Mr. PETRI. Madam Speaker, at the end of this Congress, we will say farewell to Congressman JIM OBERSTAR, who has represented Minnesota's 8th District since 1975. But his Congressional career started long before that in 1963 when he was appointed as a staff member on the then-Public Works Committee by Representative John Blatnick (who preceded Congressman OBERSTAR as the representative from Chisholm, MN, and later was Chairman of the Public Works Committee). Forty-four years later, JIM OBERSTAR would rise to become Chairman of that same committee, now known as the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee.

Having served with Chairman OBERSTAR during my entire tenure on the Transportation Committee, I want to acknowledge his expertise, his valuable service and the many contributions he has made over many decades. No one knows more about the history of our nation's infrastructure and the critical role it has played in our development as a nation. He is an expert on the history of the Committee and has had a front row seat to the many dramas that have played out over the years as the Committee has worked to pass good, bipartisan bills to move our country forward in building needed highways and transit, airports, developing our ports, protecting our environment—including our shared interest in the Great Lakes—and improving safety amongst all the transportation modes.

To appreciate the character of JIM OBERSTAR, one needs to look at his background growing up on the Iron Range in the small town of Chisholm. His father was an iron miner and Chairman OBERSTAR himself worked in the open pit mines to pay for his education. Many a time at Committee meetings we would hear stories of the lessons he learned from that experience and how it was a driving force behind his devotion to improving worker safety. As I stated on the Floor a few weeks ago, the people of the Iron Range are going to lose a great and dedicated champion with deep roots in the history of that mining region of the country.

Another essential part of JIM OBERSTAR is his ear for languages. He majored in French at the College of St. Thomas in Minnesota and furthered his proficiency in the language during time spent in Belgium and Haiti. While at a function at the Residence of the French Ambassador, where Mr. OBERSTAR was able to communicate with our hosts in their native language, a Frenchman in attendance informed me that his accent was very good. Something that would make Mr. OBERSTAR very proud, I am sure.

Let me close by recognizing the other essential element in Mr. OBERSTAR's life—his family. He married his wife Jo and raised four children before her death in 1991. For the last 17 years, he has had Jean by his side. My wife and I have been honored to consider them friends. He also has strong Wisconsin connections, with his daughters graduating from Marquette University in Milwaukee and his oldest daughter now raising her family in Kenosha. We expect to be welcoming JIM and Jean to Wisconsin often as they come to visit the family.

So, it is the end of an era on the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee. I want to express my admiration and thanks for JIM OBERSTAR's service to the Committee and to the House. Most importantly, I appreciate his friendship and the many experiences we have shared over the years. I wish him and Jean all the best as they begin this new phase of their lives.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor a man who has served the House of Representatives and the nation for nearly fifty years, and who has been a tenacious protector of our transportation infrastructure, Chairman JAMES L. OBERSTAR.

JIM OBERSTAR has skillfully served the residents of Minnesota's 8th Congressional District for thirty-six years, and served the U.S. House of Representatives for twelve years before that as staff to former Congressman John Blatnik and the House Committee on Public Works. He has served with thirteen Secretaries of Transportation, seven presidents, and thousands of Members of Congress. For nearly fifty years this institution has been fortunate to have a public servant like JIM OBERSTAR as a staffer and Member, and while he has left his mark in countless ways, the United States Congress is losing a titan.

No one knows the meaning of public service more than JIM OBERSTAR and I can think of no one who has more knowledge of and passion for transportation policy. JIM is steeped not only in the history of this legislative body, but in the intricacies and inner workings of every transportation program created in the past sixty years. He is a member of the old guard who is able to put aside political differences to work out a compromise for the greater good. He leaves behind an impressive legacy of important legislation, from laws to ensure the better maintenance and safety of aircraft to bipartisan legislation to ensure gas tax revenues are used only to fix roads and bridges, not to make the budget deficit look smaller.

When I came to Congress twenty-four years ago, I was very pleased to be assigned to what is now called the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure. I knew the ability to impact our nation's transportation policy could pay dividends by helping American businesses compete in a global economy. It was there I first met JIM, by then a twelve year veteran of the Committee, who helped me learn the ropes of the Committee and imparted on me his passion for transportation policy. He has always been a champion for infrastructure investment and for the little guy. JIM has been a tremendous mentor to me and I only regret we weren't able to complete our surface transportation authorization bill this year.

JIM's legacy in Congress will live on as we continue to work to strengthen our economy by ensuring the U.S. has a safe and world-class transportation system. No other Committee in Congress has had such a significant impact on keeping Americans safe and our economy moving, and there has been no better champion for transportation than JIM OBERSTAR. I will miss my good friend.

Mr. MICHAUD. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize Chairman JAMES OBERSTAR for his significant contributions and strong leadership during his time in Congress.

Representing Minnesota's 8th Congressional District since 1975, JAMES OBERSTAR

has demonstrated an exceptional commitment to improving the lives of American citizens and a steadfast dedication to advancing the infrastructure of this country. An expert in aviation and aviation safety, Chairman OBERSTAR has been at the forefront of every major piece of federal transportation legislation that has come before this body in recent years.

As the Chairman of the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee, he has facilitated an open discourse on even the most contentious issues, producing genuine compromise and bipartisan legislation that ensures individuals throughout the country have access to the services they depend on to pursue their livelihoods and move forward. He has been an unwavering proponent of robust investment in our nation's transportation infrastructure.

As I worked to pass legislation creating the Northern Border Regional Commission, a regional economic development commission in the northeast, Chairman OBERSTAR's guidance and support was instrumental. The investments made by the Commission have honored Chairman OBERSTAR's belief that an economy cannot grow without investments in our roads, bridges, railroads and ports, and they will continue to support this view long after he has left the United States Congress.

In his more than 36 years as a member of Congress, Chairman OBERSTAR has displayed an unrivaled understanding of transportation issues. He has been a great colleague, chairman and friend. I am certain that he will be sorely missed.

Madam Speaker, please join me in thanking Chairman JAMES OBERSTAR for his outstanding commitment to this country and Minnesota's 8th Congressional District.

Ms. MARKEY of Colorado. Madam Speaker, I rise today to add my voice to those of my colleagues from both sides of the aisle to honor Congressman JIM OBERSTAR. I was privileged to serve with Chairman OBERSTAR on the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure as a freshman member from Colorado. There is no other person in this country, and perhaps in the world, who is more knowledgeable and well known on transportation, transit and aviation issues as Chairman OBERSTAR. Committee hearings were always settled in a deep appreciation of history. There was no better session in which to serve in Congress than under the Chairmanship of Mr. OBERSTAR.

I was proud to welcome Chairman OBERSTAR to Fort Collins, Colorado, for a field hearing on distracted driving. For the Chairman, safety of the travelling public was foremost in his mind and his presence at our hearing brought much needed attention to the issues and dangers of texting and use of cell phones while driving.

It has been an honor to work with Mr. OBERSTAR and I thank the Chairman for his many years of service and leadership to Congress and the American people.

Mr. PAULSEN. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor my distinguished colleague from Minnesota, the Dean of our delegation, Chairman JIM OBERSTAR. For nearly four decades, Chairman OBERSTAR has been faithfully serving the Eighth Congressional District of Minnesota in this great body.

From humble beginnings, Chairman OBERSTAR worked to put himself through college in

the Minnesota Iron Range mines. After graduating Summa Cum Laude from the college of St. Thomas, he began his tenure in Congress as a congressional staff member. To his final post in Congress as Chairman of the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee, Chairman OBERSTAR has committed his life to public service and serving the great State of Minnesota.

A native of Chisholm, Minnesota, Congressman OBERSTAR has proudly served the people of Northeast Minnesota for 18 terms, the longest serving Member of Congress from Minnesota.

In his four years as Chairman of the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee, Chairman OBERSTAR has been instrumental in keeping America moving. From his efforts to create more cycling and hiking paths to his work on aviation and aviation safety, Chairman OBERSTAR has done remarkable work in Congress. His knowledge of transportation issues will be a great loss to this body.

He leaves a strong legacy as his name will be forever tied to important highway, airline and rail safety legislation. His passion for intermodalism is unmatched.

As Chairman OBERSTAR departs, I will miss his knowledge of all things historical and his linguistic talent, specifically his love for French Creole, a language which he picked up while studying in Haiti after college.

In the few short years I have been in Congress, it has been an honor and a privilege to serve alongside Chairman OBERSTAR as a fellow Minnesotan. Chairman OBERSTAR is leaving some large shoes to fill. His wisdom, guidance and expertise will be greatly missed and I thank him for his service to our great State.

Mr. ELLISON. Madam Speaker, I rise today to stand with colleagues to honor a Minnesota icon, dean of the Minnesota Congressional Delegation and my good friend, JIM OBERSTAR. When I was first elected to Congress in 2006, JIM was one of my first mentors, always there with helpful advice and counsel.

JIM was also there on the sad evening of August 1, 2007, when the Interstate 35W Bridge in Minneapolis, Minnesota collapsed into the Mississippi River, killing 13 people and injuring near 100 more.

I worked closely with JIM that evening and the days following along with my fellow colleagues in the Minnesota Delegation to immediately respond to horrific bridge collapse and then, with JIM's help, the House passed the next day a bill to provide funds to rebuild the bridge.

This story that I share with you tonight about JIM's work on the Interstate-35W Bridge is just one of many that I have from my four short years here in the House.

So JIM, let me say on behalf of my constituents from the Fifth District and the entire state of Minnesota, thank you for your incredible service to Minnesota and the entire nation for the past 36 years.

Your contributions will not be forgotten.

Ms. CORRINE BROWN of Florida. Madam Speaker, this is a very sad day for the U.S. House of Representatives as we say goodbye to Washington's true transportation guru, Chairman JAMES OBERSTAR. Your expertise and long history in developing transportation policy for our nation will be sorely missed as

we continue to fight for the type of infrastructure funding that will keep our nation going strong.

You've guided the committee with wisdom and fairness. Continued the committee's long-standing bipartisanship, and steered major pieces of legislation affecting every sector of our transportation system. Your leadership will long be felt on this committee and throughout the nation long after you depart the chairman's seat.

The trips we took to Haiti were some of my most memorable times serving in Congress. Traveling there with Chairman OBERSTAR was like spending time with a native.

It was an honor working with you on so many issues over the years and I look forward to continuing to work with you as you remain a major player in transportation policy. Thank you Mr. OBERSTAR for all you have done.

Ms. MCCOLLUM. Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. DAVIS of Illinois (at the request of Mr. HOYER) for today.

Mrs. MCMORRIS RODGERS (at the request of Mr. BOEHNER) for today and the balance of the week on account of the birth of her daughter.

Ms. WOOLSEY (at the request of Mr. HOYER) for today.

SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders heretofore entered, was granted to:

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. CONYERS) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. CONYERS, for 5 minutes, today.

Ms. KAPTUR, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. COURTNEY, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. DEFAZIO, for 5 minutes, today.

Ms. WOOLSEY, for 5 minutes, today.

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas, for 5 minutes, today.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. JONES) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. BURTON of Indiana, for 5 minutes, today, December 15, 16, and 17.

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN, for 5 minutes, today, December 15 and 16.

Mr. FLAKE, for 5 minutes, December 15 and 16.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida, for 5 minutes, December 15 and 16.

Mr. MCCLINTOCK, for 5 minutes, today and December 15.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. GINGREY of Georgia, for 5 minutes, December 15.

Mr. POE of Texas, for 5 minutes, December 17.

Mr. JONES, for 5 minutes, December 17.

Mr. DUNCAN, for 5 minutes, today.
Mr. GOHMERT, for 5 minutes, today.

SENATE BILLS REFERRED

Bills of the Senate of the following titles were taken from the Speaker's table and, under the rule, referred as follows:

S. 2902. An act to improve the Federal Acquisition Institute, to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

S. 3447. An act to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve educational assistance for veterans who served in the Armed Forces after September 11, 2001, and for other purposes, to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs; in addition, to the Committee on Armed Services; and to the Committee on the Budget for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

Lorraine C. Miller, Clerk of the House, reported and found truly enrolled a bill of the House of the following title, which was thereupon signed by the Speaker:

H.R. 5591. An act to designate the airport traffic control tower located at Spokane International Airport in Spokane, Washington, as the "Ray Daves Airport Traffic Control Tower".

SENATE ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

The Speaker announced her signature to an enrolled bill of the Senate of the following title:

S. 3817. An act to amend the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act, the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act, the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment and Adoption Reform Act of 1978, and the Abandoned Infants Assistance Act of 1988 to reauthorize the Acts, and for other purposes.

BILL PRESENTED TO THE PRESIDENT

Lorraine C. Miller, Clerk of the House reports that on December 10, 2010 she presented to the President of the United States, for his approval, the following bill.

H.R. 4994. To amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to reduce taxpayer burdens and enhance taxpayer protections, and for other purposes.

ADJOURNMENT

Ms. MCCOLLUM. Madam Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 9 o'clock and 24 minutes p.m.), the House adjourned until tomorrow, Wednesday, December 15, 2010, at 10 a.m.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

10856. A letter from the Director of Legislative Affairs, NRCS, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Wildlife Habitat Incentive Program (RIN: 0578-AA49) received November 19, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

10857. A letter from the Director of Legislative Affairs, NRCS, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Wildlife Habitat Incentive Program (RIN: 0578-AA49) received November 19, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

10858. A letter from the Under Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a report of a violation of the Antideficiency Act, Air Force Case Number 09-03, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1517(b); to the Committee on Appropriations.

10859. A letter from the Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department's final rule — Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Contract Authority for Advanced Component Development or Prototype Units (DFARS Case 2009-D034) (RIN: 0750-AG76) received November 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.

10860. A letter from the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department's final rule — Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Cost and Software Data Reporting System (DFARS Case 2008-D027) (RIN: 0750-AG46) received November 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.

10861. A letter from the Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department's final rule — Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Services of Senior Mentors (DFARS Case 2010-D025) received November 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.

10862. A letter from the Director, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Financial Crimes Network; Confidentiality of Suspicious Activity Reports (RIN: 1506-AA99) received November 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

10863. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Addition of National Toxicology Program Carcinogens; Community Right-to-Know Toxic Chemical Release Reporting [EPA-HQ-TRI-2010-0006; FRL-9231-5] (RIN: 2025-AA28) received November 22, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

10864. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Air Quality Designations for the 2008 Lead (Pb) National Ambient Air Quality Standards [EPA-HQ-OAR-2009-0443; FRL-9230-4] (RIN: 2060-AP78) received November 22, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

10865. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; New Mexico; Interstate Transport of Pollution [EPA-R06-OAR-2009-0656; FRL-9230-3] received November 22,

2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

10866. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emissions From Industrial Solvent Cleaning Operations; Withdrawal of Direct Final Rule [EPA-R03-OAR-2010-0594; FRL-9231-9] received November 22, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

10867. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Ohio; Ohio Portion of the Cincinnati-Hamilton Area; 8-Hour Ozone Maintenance Plan [EPA-R05-OAR-2010-0656; FRL-9232-2] received November 22, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

10868. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Indiana; Clean Air Interstate Rule [EPA-R05-OAR-2009-0515; FRL-9232-3] received November 22, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

10869. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Idaho [EPA-R10-OAR-2008-0428; FRL-9231-1] received November 22, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

10870. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Idaho [EPA-R10-OAR-2010-0669; FRL-9231-2] received November 22, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

10871. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Oklahoma; State Implementation Plan Revisions for Interstate Transport of Pollution, Prevention of Significant Deterioration, Nonattainment New Source Review, Source Registration and Emissions Reporting and Rules of Practice and Procedure [EPA-R06-OAR-2007-0314; FRL-9230-2] received November 22, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

10872. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; Indiana; Addition of Incentive for Regulatory Flexibility for its Environmental Stewardship Program [EPA-R05-OAR-2007-0624; FRL-9231-8] received November 22, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

10873. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — N,N,N',N'-Tetrakis-(2-Hydroxypropyl) Ethylenediamine (NTHE); Exemption from the Requirement of a Tolerance [EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0130; FRL-8851-8] received November 22, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

10874. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Polyoxyalkylated Glycerol Fatty Acid Esters; Tolerance Exemption [EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0661; FRL-8852-2] received November 22, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

10875. A letter from the Associate Director, PP&I, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Belarus Sanction Regulation received November 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

10876. A letter from the Auditor, Office of the District of Columbia Auditor, transmitting copy of the report entitled "Comparative Analysis of Actual Cash Collections to the Revised Revenue Estimate Through the 1st Quarter of Fiscal Year 2010", pursuant to D.C. Code section 47-117(d); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10877. A letter from the Auditor, Office of the District of Columbia Auditor, transmitting copy of the report entitled "Public-Private Development Project Compliance with Certified Business Enterprise Goals through the 2nd Quarter of Fiscal Year 2010", pursuant to D.C. Code section 47-117(d); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10878. A letter from the Auditor, Office of the District of Columbia Auditor, transmitting copy of the report entitled "Review of D.C. Taxicab Commission's Assessment/Commission Fund for Fiscal Years 2005 Through 2009, As of June 30, 2009", pursuant to D.C. Code section 47-117(d); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10879. A letter from the Auditor, Office of the District of Columbia Auditor, transmitting copy of the report entitled "Review of the D.C. Taxicab Commission's Fingerprinting Fund", pursuant to D.C. Code section 47-117(d); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10880. A letter from the Auditor, Office of the District of Columbia Auditor, transmitting copy of the report entitled "Audit of the Office of the People's Counsel Agency Fund for Fiscal year 2005.", pursuant to D.C. Code section 47-117(d); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10881. A letter from the Federal Co-Chair, Appalachian Regional Commission, transmitting the Commission's semiannual report from the office of the Inspector General for the period April 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010, pursuant to Section 5(b) of the Inspector General Act of 1978; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10882. A letter from the Chief Executive Officer, Corporation for National and Community Service, transmitting the Inspector General's semiannual report to Congress for the reporting period April 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10883. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Education, transmitting the sixty-first Semiannual Report to Congress of the Office of the Inspector General for the period March 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10884. A letter from the Deputy Archivist of the United States, National Archives and Records Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Changes to NARA Facilities' Hours of Operation [NARA-10-0004] (RIN: 3095-AB68) received November

29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10885. A letter from the Chairman, National Credit Union Administration, transmitting the Inspector General's semiannual report to Congress for the reporting period April 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10886. A letter from the Chairman, Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission, transmitting the Commission's Performance and Accountability Report for Fiscal Year 2010; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10887. A letter from the Director, Office of Surface Mining, Department of the Interior, transmitting the Department's final rule — Ohio Regulatory Program [OH-253-FOR; Docket ID: OSM-2009-0001] received November 23, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10888. A letter from the Assistant Attorney General, Department of Justice, transmitting the Department's report detailing the progress and the status of compliance with privatization requirements, pursuant to Public Law 105-33, section 11201(B) (111 Stat. 734); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10889. A letter from the Staff Director, Commission on Civil Rights, transmitting notification that the Commission recently appointed members to the Kentucky Advisory Committee; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10890. A letter from the Staff Director, Commission on Civil Rights, transmitting notification that the Commission recently appointed members to the Maryland Advisory Committee; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10891. A letter from the Senior Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — IFR Altitudes; Miscellaneous Amendments [Docket No. 30751; Amdt. No. 490] received November 19, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10892. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Establishment and Amendment of Area Navigation (RNAV) Routes; Alaska [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0397; Airspace Docket No. 10-AAL-7] (RIN: 2120-AA66) received November 19, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10893. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Amendment of Class E Airspace; Kennett, MO [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0606; Airspace Docket No. 10-ACE-8] received November 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10894. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Establishment of Class E Airspace; Berryville, AR [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0690; Airspace Docket No. 10-ASW-2] received November 19, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10895. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting a report entitled "Evaluation of the Cancer Prevention and Treatment Demonstration for Ethnic and Racial Minorities; Second Report to Congress (RTC)"; jointly to the Committees on Energy and Commerce and Ways and Means.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. CONYERS: Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 848. A bill to provide parity in radio performance rights under title 17, United States Code, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 111-680). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Mr. OBERSTAR:

H.R. 6519. A bill to amend title 49, United States Code, with respect to hours of service rules for railroad employees; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Mr. PATRICK J. MURPHY of Pennsylvania (for himself and Mr. HOYER):

H.R. 6520. A bill to provide for the repeal of the Department of Defense policy concerning homosexuality in the Armed Forces known as "Don't Ask, Don't Tell"; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN (for herself, Mr. BURTON of Indiana, Mr. ROHR-ABACHER, Mr. MANZULLO, Mr. ROYCE, Mr. WILSON of South Carolina, Mr. MACK, Mr. POE of Texas, Mr. INGLIS, Mr. BILIRAKIS, and Mr. GALLEGLY):

H.R. 6521. A bill to protect girls in developing countries through the prevention of child marriage, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California (for himself, Ms. LEE of California, Mr. FARR, Mr. HOLT, and Mr. LANGEVIN):

H. Res. 1759. A resolution expressing support for designation of January 23rd as "Ed Roberts Day"; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

By Ms. PELOSI (for herself, Ms. SPEIER, Mr. BARTON of Texas, Mr. BACA, Mr. BERMAN, Mr. COSTA, Ms. ESHOO, Mr. FARR, Mr. GARAMENDI, Ms. HARMAN, Mr. HONDA, Ms. LEE of California, Ms. ZOE LOFGREN of California, Mr. MCNERNEY, Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California, Ms. RICHARDSON, Mr. STARK, Ms. WOOLSEY, and Mr. THOMPSON of California):

H. Res. 1760. A resolution congratulating the San Francisco Giants on winning the 2010 World Series Championship; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Mr. ROGERS of Alabama (for himself, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Mr. BACHUS, Mr. ADERHOLT, Mr. BONNER, Mr. GRIFFITH, Mr. DAVIS of Alabama, and Mr. BRIGHT):

H. Res. 1761. A resolution congratulating Auburn University quarterback and College Park, Georgia, native Cameron Newton on winning the 2010 Heisman Trophy for being the most outstanding college football player in the United States; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

By Mr. ACKERMAN (for himself, Mr. BERMAN, Ms. HIRONO, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, and Mr. ELLISON):

H. Res. 1762. A resolution condemning the anti-Christian violence that has occurred in Iraq and has forced Iraqi Christians to flee their homes and communities; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions as follows:

H.R. 1980: Mr. PENCE.
H.R. 2103: Mr. DEUTCH.
H.R. 2159: Mr. MEEKS of New York.
H.R. 3287: Ms. PINGREE of Maine.
H.R. 3592: Mr. DICKS.
H.R. 3636: Mr. DEUTCH.
H.R. 3652: Mr. VAN HOLLEN.
H.R. 4090: Ms. SCHAKOWSKY.
H.R. 4594: Mr. QUIGLEY.
H.R. 4752: Ms. ZOE LOFGREN of California.
H.R. 4806: Mr. QUIGLEY.

H.R. 4844: Mr. RYAN of Wisconsin.

H.R. 4937: Ms. SCHAKOWSKY.

H.R. 4986: Ms. ZOE LOFGREN of California.

H.R. 5028: Ms. CLARKE.

H.R. 5510: Ms. SCHAKOWSKY.

H.R. 5577: Mr. KILDEE and Mr. MORAN of Virginia.

H.R. 5869: Mr. RUSH, Mr. DAVIS of Illinois, Mr. BISHOP of Georgia, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Mr. FATTAH, Ms. FUDGE, Mr. CUMMINGS, Ms. WATSON, Ms. CLARKE, Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, and Mr. AL GREEN of Texas.

H.R. 6113: Mr. BISHOP of Utah.

H.R. 6355: Mr. QUIGLEY.

H.R. 6406: Mr. TERRY.

H.R. 6461: Mrs. MCCARTHY of New York.

H.R. 6462: Ms. FUDGE.

H.R. 6484: Mr. SENSENBRENNER.

H.R. 6510: Mr. OLSON, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas, and Ms. CORRINE BROWN of Florida.

H. Con. Res. 331: Ms. BORDALLO, Mr. TIERNEY, Mr. LANGEVIN, Mr. HINCHEY, and Mrs. LOWEY.

H. Res. 1377: Mr. COSTELLO, Mr. SHERMAN, Mr. STARK, and Ms. ESHOO.

H. Res. 1461: Mr. DONNELLY of Indiana, Mr. PIERLUISI, Mr. UPTON, Mr. LYNCH, Mr. FARR, Mr. WAXMAN, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Mr. FILLNER, Mr. STUPAK, and Mr. BUYER.

H. Res. 1734: Mr. MARIO DIAZ-BALART of Florida and Mr. SCALISE.

CONGRESSIONAL EARMARKS, LIMITED TAX BENEFITS, OR LIMITED TARIFF BENEFITS

Under clause 9 of rule XXI, lists or statements on congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits were submitted as follows:

[Omitted from the Record of December 13, 2010]

OFFERED BY Mr. LEVIN

H.R. 6517, the Omnibus Trade Act of 2010, contains limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI, as set forth in the RECORD of December 13, 2010. This bill does not contain any limited tax benefits or earmarks, as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI.

SENATE—Tuesday, December 14, 2010

The Senate met at 10 a.m. and was called to order by the Honorable JEANNE SHAHEEN, a Senator from the State of New Hampshire.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

Give heed, mighty God, to our prayers and hear our petitions. You are a God of justice who always does what is right. You have examined our hearts. You know our motives. Continue to guide our Senators. Empower them to follow You faithfully, to seek Your will, and to find their peace through fellowship with You. May they trust You for safety, finding their highest fulfillment in knowing they are doing Your will. When everything seems to fall apart, remind them that in everything, You are working for the good of those who love You. Lord, help them to discover security in Your promises and joy in serving You.

We pray in Your sacred Name. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Honorable JEANNE SHAHEEN led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

APPOINTMENT OF ACTING PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will please read a communication to the Senate from the President pro tempore (Mr. INOUE).

The legislative clerk read the following letter:

U.S. SENATE,
PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE,
Washington, DC, December 14, 2010.

To the Senate:

Under the provisions of rule I, paragraph 3, of the Standing Rules of the Senate, I hereby appoint the Honorable JEANNE SHAHEEN, a Senator from the State of New Hampshire, to perform the duties of the Chair.

DANIEL K. INOUE,
President pro tempore.

Mrs. SHAHEEN thereupon assumed the chair as Acting President pro tempore.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The majority leader is recognized.

SCHEDULE

Mr. REID. Madam President, following leader remarks, the Senate will begin the final stage of our consideration of the House message with respect to H.R. 4853, which is the tax bill. Postcloture time will expire tonight at 12:30 a.m.

The Senate will recess from 12:30 until 2:15 today for weekly caucus luncheons. We will also have a number of Senators organized today to give their final speeches. We look forward to hearing from Senator BOND at 11:30 today to deliver his farewell speech, Senator JUDD GREGG will deliver his farewell speech at 2:15 p.m., and Senator HARKIN will be speaking at 3:15 p.m. for up to 45 minutes. Senator KIRK will deliver his maiden speech at 5 p.m. today.

Senators will be notified when any votes are scheduled. I have spoken to the Republican leader, and we are going to try to work this out so we don't have to be in until 12:30 and so we can move to other matters. We will keep Senators advised as to what the exact schedule will be.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION EXTENSION ACT OF 2010

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the Senate will resume consideration of the House message with respect to H.R. 4853, which the clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

Motion to concur in the House amendment to the Senate amendment with an amendment to H.R. 4853, an act to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorization for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes.

Pending:

Reid motion to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate to the bill, with Reid/McConnell modified amendment No. 4753 (to the House amendment to the Senate amendment), in the nature of a substitute.

Reid amendment No. 4754 (to amendment No. 4753), to change the enactment date.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The majority leader.

Mr. REID. We are not in a quorum call, are we?

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. No.

Mr. REID. The Republican leader is on his way and has an important speech to give, so if everyone will just be calm while he delivers his speech.

RECOGNITION OF THE REPUBLICAN LEADER

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Republican leader is recognized.

REMEMBERING RICHARD HOLBROOKE

Mr. MCCONNELL. Madam President, yesterday America lost one of the most talented and dedicated diplomats it has ever produced. Richard Holbrooke began his diplomatic service several decades ago as a young foreign service officer in Vietnam. The storied career that followed spanned the globe and will remain an integral part of the diplomatic history of our Nation.

Dick Holbrooke will always be remembered for pursuing the hardest missions, whether negotiating the Dayton Accords which helped end the war in Bosnia or his immensely difficult final assignment as Special Representative for Afghanistan and Pakistan. Ambassador Holbrooke doggedly pursued what in his view best enhanced the diplomacy and national security objectives of our Nation. We honor his legacy of service to America's foreign policy interests by continuing his efforts to help Afghanistan deny the Taliban a return to power and to disrupt, defeat, and dismantle al-Qaida.

I might just add, I remember running into Dick at the White House a couple of weeks ago. He never missed an opportunity to be selling what he was doing. So he sidled up to me and in his usual aggressive way began to discuss his current mission in Afghanistan. He was a dedicated public servant, and we will all miss him greatly.

TRIBUTES TO RETIRING SENATORS**GEORGE VOINOVICH**

Mr. MCCONNELL. Madam President, I rise to pay tribute to Senator GEORGE VOINOVICH who has served this Chamber and the people of Ohio with honor over the past 12 years after an already long career as a devoted public servant. GEORGE has served in the Ohio statehouse, as Ohio's Lieutenant Governor, as the mayor of Cleveland, as Governor of Ohio, and as a U.S. Senator. That is quite a record of accomplishment. When GEORGE walks out of the Chamber for the last time, he will have served 44 years in public service.

Yet in a career that has taken him from Cleveland to Columbus to Washington and around the world, GEORGE has always made time for his family, and no one was surprised when in January 2009 he announced that he planned to retire at the end of this year in order to spend more time with Janet.

GEORGE and Janet have been married for nearly half a century and they have seen a lot together. GEORGE grew up in the same working class neighborhood in Cleveland where he and Janet still call home today. He attended Collinwood High School, Ohio University, and Ohio State University for law school. After practicing law for several years in Cleveland, he began his political career in 1963 as an assistant attorney general of Ohio. Three years later, at the tender age of 30, GEORGE was elected to the Ohio statehouse.

The 1970s was a period of economic turmoil for many American cities, and Cleveland was no exception. In 1978, Cleveland became the first American city since the 1930s to file for bankruptcy, and GEORGE, who was serving as the State's Lieutenant Governor at the time, decided he needed to do something to help his hometown.

Mounting a challenge to the Democratic incumbent, DENNIS KUCINICH, GEORGE overcame tough odds and won the race. Determined to bring the city around and bring Cleveland out of the economic ditch, GEORGE organized a series of coalitions and public-private partnerships to bring Cleveland back from the brink. More importantly, I think GEORGE would tell us he helped restore confidence and pride to the city.

His motto was "Together, We Can Do It." And they did. He went on to serve as mayor for an entire decade and helped close an ugly chapter in Cleveland's history. It was a remarkable feat. Once called the "buckle of the rust belt" and the butt of a lot of late night television jokes, Cleveland underwent a renaissance under GEORGE's leadership. It paid down a \$110 million debt, added thousands of jobs, brought new development and businesses downtown, and saw struggling sports franchises transformed into contenders.

For GEORGE, it was never about him. He would never take full credit for the growth and prosperity Cleveland enjoyed or the fact that he was named one of the Nation's top mayors. It was always about the people of Cleveland working together to make the city they knew and loved great again.

GEORGE's outstanding work as mayor helped him win the Governor's Mansion in 1990 where he served two terms. He faced a fiscal mess in Columbus, too, and worked hard to rein in spending. One of his signature achievements as Governor was education reform, and in particular the Cleveland school voucher program which provided thousands of low-income students with the opportunity for a better education and ultimately greater opportunities in life. But his record of success as Governor was deep and far-reaching. He helped restore Ohio's economy, balanced its budget, and saw unemployment hit a 25-year low. For a job well done, the voters of Ohio reelected GEORGE to a

second term as Governor in 1994 with a remarkable 72 percent of the vote.

Blocked by term limits from running again for Governor, GEORGE ran for the U.S. Senate in 1998. He took the values that earned him so much success in Columbus and Cleveland to Washington. As a Senator, he has been at the forefront of numerous important national debates. He has been a leading advocate for an effective and efficient Federal Government and for simplifying the Tax Code. He has been involved in legislation to enhance America's competitiveness around the world, to reform our energy policy and to ensure America's strength and security.

GEORGE has always had my respect and admiration for his adherence to principle and for his straight-shooting style. He always told you exactly what was on his mind.

Today we honor our colleague and friend, GEORGE VOINOVICH, for his nearly 4½ decades of public service. We thank Janet and the entire Voinovich family for sharing him with us, and on behalf of the entire Senate family, I wish to thank GEORGE for his service and wish him the very best in the years ahead. He will indeed be missed.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Arizona.

Mr. KYL. Madam President, might I just add a word to what the Republican whip, it is my job to visit with Senators about their views on issues and votes that are coming up. I didn't always like the answer GEORGE VOINOVICH gave me, but I always knew that, as the leader said, it was a principled response to a question that reflected his well-thought-out and deeply felt views about the role of the government, issues on finance and debt, and generally from his long experience as having been a public leader at the State level, as well as the Federal level.

So I join my colleague in paying tribute to an incredible public service career and especially the time I have enjoyed working with Senator VOINOVICH in the Senate.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Ohio.

Mr. VOINOVICH. Madam President, I would like to express my appreciation to the minority leader and the minority whip for all of the courtesies they have extended to me over the last number of years. One of the things, MITCH, I have enjoyed doing is getting to know you and Elaine. I watched you become the leader. I think you have done an outstanding job of keeping your team together. I appreciate your willingness to answer all of my telephone calls. Senator KYL, the same with you. I can't tell you how much I appreciated that, that we were able to keep an open dialogue on many of the issues in front of the United States of America.

I wish to applaud the minority leader for reaching out to the President. As

you know, I don't agree with the compromise on the tax situation, but I think it is something that is important for the future of our country. I have always found that when leaders get together and spend time thinking about those things that bring them together rather than those things that divide them, the people of the State and the Nation benefit from it.

So, again, thank you very much for your kindness to me over the years, both of you.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from California.

Mrs. BOXER. Madam President, I wish to make a unanimous-consent request that after Senator KYL has 10 minutes—

Mr. KYL. Madam President, I think I can do it in 12 minutes.

Mrs. BOXER. Fifteen minutes—I be recognized for up to 25 minutes.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. KYL. Madam President, I want to speak for a few minutes about the tax legislation the Senate is debating and will be voting on before long.

There has been some dismay on both sides of the aisle regarding the merits of the package. I emphasize a point also made by others: Nobody thinks this is a perfect bill. Most conservatives are upset about the unfunded extension of unemployment benefits and the fact that the tax rate extensions are not permanent. On the left, there are those who dislike the death tax reform and would have preferred that the top marginal income tax rates be increased. There are other concerns as well.

I agree with some of the criticisms my conservative friends have made. This is not the bill I would have written. There are some provisions in the package I disagree with or would have written differently. On the other hand, this is not the bill President Obama would have written. He has made it clear that he doesn't like everything in it either.

The package represents a true bipartisan compromise. That is something we talk about a lot but seldom seem able to do. But political circumstances will not allow either party to dictate its perfect bill. So while neither party got everything it wanted, there are provisions in the package to appeal to both sides of the aisle, and most of us agree it would be very bad for Americans to allow taxes to be increased.

The most important things this bill does, in my view, are to freeze all existing income, capital gains, and dividend tax rates and reform the death tax. Without legislation, taxes are set to go up for every taxpayer in just 17 days. So by maintaining current tax rates and instituting death tax reform, the bill will provide positive economic certainty to families and to job creators.

This is a very important development for American taxpayers and for our economy.

In fact, according to new data from Morgan Stanley, this bill could boost economic growth to 4 percent or more next year. That is a lot better than the anemic 2 percent achieved in the third quarter of this year.

Ironically, some commentators have argued that this economic growth will benefit President Obama's reelection prospects and, therefore, should be opposed. That is not clear thinking.

Some other conservatives say that if we wait until next year to pass tax legislation, the GOP-controlled House could pass a better bill than this one. That is true, from my perspective, but there is no guarantee that the Senate or the White House would go along with such a bill or that we could get any better compromise in the end. In the meantime, every taxpayer would have been hit with a tax increase in the first paycheck of the new year and for many weeks thereafter.

Tax increases would almost certainly hurt the economy. Look back to 1936, for example, when President Roosevelt raised taxes on high earners. The shaky economy plunged back into depression and unemployment skyrocketed.

Freezing the tax rates, on the other hand, has the potential to help the economy and job growth. Some on the liberal left seem to think that tax provisions in this bill should implement their particular philosophy of class warfare. But the Tax Code is not a vehicle for punishing certain taxpayers, as some on the left seem to think. I would hope we all agree that we want to help the job creators as well as job seekers. Ideology should not trump those concerns on either the right or left.

The key thing is that tax rates matter to growth. Businesses must be allowed to retain earnings so they can expand, invest, and hire new workers.

As I have come to the floor to point out again and again, many successful small businesses that create jobs pay taxes at the individual rate and would be hurt by increases in the top marginal income tax brackets. According to IRS data cited by economists Kevin Hassett and Allen Viard:

Fully 48 percent of the net income of sole proprietorships, partnerships, and S corporations reported on tax returns went to households with incomes above \$200,000 in 2007.

That is the last year, incidentally, for which we have these figures. Other businesses would have been hurt by skyrocketing capital gains and dividend taxes. Raising capital gains and dividend tax rates would greatly discourage the investment our economy so urgently needs. Indeed, capital taxes are among the most distortive and least efficient taxes the government collects.

In my view, any comprehensive tax reform package should include significant reductions in capital taxation. For now, I am glad that Members of both parties have decided to at least block a capital gains tax increase, which would have a severe impact on job-creating investment.

Death tax reform is another measure in this bill that will provide certainty to job creators. I thank Senator LINCOLN for her leadership on this issue. We have spent a lot of time together over the last few years working on this issue, and she deserves much credit for her expertise and devotion toward crafting this plan, which will provide relief to job-creating small businesses.

The result is a true compromise. There will be a large increase from this year's zero percent estate tax rate—which is what I favor—to a 35-percent rate; but that is much less than the 55-percent rate that will be in place on January 1. And the exemption is \$5 million, which is preferable to the \$1 million exemption after January 1.

Should death tax reform not occur and the rate rise to 55 percent, small businesses could be forced to reduce their payrolls by more than 500,000 workers over the next 10 years, according to a former CBO Director, Douglas Holtz-Eakin. Think of that. That is a half million people whose jobs could be threatened.

The effect of the compromise will be to eliminate the death tax liability for about 90 percent of estates that would otherwise owe exorbitant sums. According to the Institute for Research on Economics and Taxation, the death tax proposal in this bill would add more than \$200 billion in annual economic growth relative to current law. So this is not about "giveaways to the wealthy," as some have asserted. Most of the people helped by this measure are small business employers.

A final word about the deficit: It is true that extending unemployment compensation without cutting other government spending will add to the deficit—and there are some tax incentives in the bill that are similar to spending, and should also be offset with spending cuts. It is important to note that we should not raise taxes to provide the revenue—that would just grow the size of the Federal Government—and Democrats are unwilling to find spending cuts, so we are left accumulating more debt instead. The political reality is that the unemployment benefits would certainly pass both Chambers, and there are not and will not be the votes in the Senate to cut spending to offset the costs either this year or next.

I admit that I am surprised to hear some conservative commentators lump the extension of current tax rates and death tax reform into the same argument about the deficit. Congress has never offset theoretical revenue loss

from the annual AMT relief, for example, because we all know there was never any intent to collect it. Likewise, Republicans have always viewed the tax extender package and extension of other rates as exactly that—extensions of existing law, not new tax cuts. The left—and some commentators—delight in misrepresenting the legislation as providing "tax cuts for the rich." But these are not tax cuts—only extensions of decade-old existing tax rates—for everyone. The only new tax cuts are the expensing for businesses sought by the President, with which Republicans generally agree, and the payroll tax holiday. The actual revenue loss, therefore, is about \$237 billion, not the \$900 billion that some assert. While any increase in the deficit is unwelcome, the overall merits of this bill—including preventing a massive tax increase on each and every taxpayer—outweigh that deficit increase, in my opinion.

In conclusion, Americans are looking for economic growth and solutions to unemployment. Keeping tax rates where they are and providing some certainty is a good place to start. I urge my colleagues to support this bill and see to it that job-killing tax rates are not imposed on anyone.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from California is recognized.

HONORING OUR ARMED FORCES CALIFORNIA SERVICE MEMBERS

Mrs. BOXER. Madam President, I have a number of issues I want to bring up today for the record to explain a lot of the things we are faced with here as we wind down before Christmas Eve—maybe.

The first thing I am going to do is ask to have printed in the RECORD a list of the California-connected servicemembers who have died in Afghanistan and Iraq. I have put their names in the RECORD continually. And sometimes, if I have time, I read them. I want to say this: Since August 5, 52 more California-connected servicemembers have died in Afghanistan, and 2 more have died in Iraq.

I ask unanimous consent to have their names printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

AFGHANISTAN

Cpl Max W. Donahue, 23, of Highlands Ranch, CO, died August 7 of wounds received August 4 while supporting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Corporal Donahue was assigned to I Marine Expeditionary Force Headquarters Group, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

Sgt Jose L. Saenz III, 30, of Pleasanton, TX, died August 9 while supporting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Sergeant Saenz was assigned to 1st Battalion, 11th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

SSgt Michael A. Bock, 26, of Leesburg, FL, died August 13 while supporting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan.

Staff Sergeant Bock was assigned to the 3rd Combat Engineer Battalion, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, based at Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center Twentynine Palms, CA.

LCpl Kevin E. Oratowski, 23, of Wheaton, IL, died August 18 while supporting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Lance Corporal Oratowski was assigned to 1st Light Armored Reconnaissance Battalion, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

Cpl Christopher J. Boyd, 22, of Palatine, IL, died August 19 while supporting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Corporal Boyd was assigned to the 2nd Battalion, 4th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

Sgt Ronald A. Rodriguez, 26, of Falls Church, VA, died August 23 while supporting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Sergeant Rodriguez was assigned to the 1st Battalion, 11th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

LCpl Robert J. Newton, 21, of Creve Coeur, IL, died August 23 while supporting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Lance Corporal Newton was assigned to 3rd Battalion, 7th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, based at Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center Twentynine Palms, CA.

PO3 James M. Swink, 20, of Yucca Valley, CA, died August 27 while supporting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Petty Officer Third Class Swink was a hospital corpsman assigned to 2nd Marine Division, II Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Lejeune, NC.

MSGT Daniel L. Fedder, 34, of Pine City, MN, died August 27 while supporting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Master Sergeant Fedder was assigned to the 7th Engineer Support Battalion, 1st Marine Logistics Group, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

GySgt Floyd E. C. Holley, 36, of Casselberry, FL, died August 29 while supporting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Gunnery Sergeant Holley was assigned to the 7th Engineer Support Battalion, 1st Marine Logistics Group, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

SPC Andrew J. Castro, 20, of Westlake Village, CA, died August 28 in Babur, Afghanistan, of wounds suffered when insurgents attacked his unit with an improvised explosive device. Specialist Castro was assigned to the 2nd Brigade Special Troops Battalion, 2nd Brigade Combat Team, 101st Airborne Division (Air Assault), Fort Campbell, KY.

SSG Casey J. Grochowiak, 34, of Lompoc, CA, died August 30 in Malajat, Afghanistan, of wounds suffered when insurgents attacked his unit with an improvised explosive device. Staff Sergeant Grochowiak was assigned to the 1st Battalion, 22nd Infantry Regiment, 1st Brigade Combat Team, 4th Infantry Division, Fort Carson, CO.

SGT Raymond C. Alcaraz, 20, of Redlands, CA, died August 31 in Logar province, Afghanistan, of wounds suffered when enemy forces attacked his vehicle with an improvised explosive device. Sergeant Alcaraz was assigned to the 173rd Brigade Support Battalion, 173rd Airborne Brigade Combat Team, Bamberg, Germany.

Sgt Jesse M. Balthaser, 23, of Columbus, OH, died September 4 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Sergeant Balthaser was assigned to

the 3rd Combat Engineer Battalion, 3rd Marine Division, III Marine Expeditionary Force, based at Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center Twentynine Palms, CA.

Lt (SEAL) Brendan J. Looney, 29, of Owings, MD, died in a helicopter crash September 21 during combat operations in the Zabul province, Afghanistan, while supporting Operation Enduring Freedom. Lieutenant Looney was assigned to a Coronado, CA-based SEAL Team.

LCpl Ralph J. Fabbri, 20, of Gallitzin, PA, died September 28 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Lance Corporal Fabbri was assigned to the Headquarters Battalion, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

SGT Brian J. Pedro, 27, of Rosamond, CA, died October 2 in Pol-e-Khumri, Afghanistan, of wounds suffered when insurgents attacked his unit with small arms fire and rocket-propelled grenades. Sergeant Pedro was assigned to the 2nd Engineer Battalion, White Sands Missile Range, NM.

SrA Daniel J. Johnson, 23, of Schiller Park, IL, died October 5 of wounds suffered when insurgents attacked his unit with an improvised explosive device in Kandahar, Afghanistan. Senior Airman Johnson was assigned to the 30th Civil Engineer Squadron, Vandenberg Air Force Base, CA.

LCpl John T. Sparks, 23, of Chicago, IL, died October 8 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Lance Corporal Sparks was assigned to 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

PFC Victor A. Dew, 20, of Granite Bay, CA, died October 13 while conducting combat operations in Helmand Province, Afghanistan. Private First Class Dew was assigned to 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

LCpl Joseph E. Rodewald, 21, of Albany, OR, died October 13 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Lance Corporal Rodewald was assigned to 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

LCpl Phillip D. Vinnege, 19, of Saint Charles, MO, died October 13 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Lance Corporal Vinnege was assigned to 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

Cpl Justin J. Cain, 22, of Manitowoc, WI, died October 13 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Corporal Cain was assigned to 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

LCpl Irvin M. Cenicerros, 21, of Clarksville, AR, died October 14 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Lance Corporal Cenicerros was assigned to 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

LCpl Joseph C. Lopez, 26, of Rosamond, CA, died October 14 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Lance Corporal Lopez was assigned to the 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

LCpl Alec E. Catherwood, 19, of Byron, IL, died October 14 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan.

Lance Corporal Catherwood was assigned to 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

SPC Rafael Martinez Jr., 36, of Spring Valley, CA, died October 14 while conducting combat operations between Moqur and Darreh-Ye-Bum, Afghanistan, of wounds suffered when insurgents attacked his unit with an improvised explosive device. Specialist Martinez was assigned to the 7th Squadron, 10th Cavalry Regiment, 1st Brigade Combat Team, 4th Infantry Division, Fort Carson, CO.

LCpl James D. Boelk, 24, of Oceanside, CA, died October 15 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Lance Corporal Boelk was assigned to the 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

Sgt Ian M. Tawney, 25, of Dallas, OR, died October 16 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Sergeant Tawney was assigned to the 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

Cpl Jorge Villarreal Jr., 22, of San Antonio, TX, died October 17 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Corporal Villarreal was assigned to 1st Battalion, 11th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

LCpl Francisco R. Jackson, 24, of Elizabeth, NJ, died October 19 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Lance Corporal Jackson was assigned to the 1st Battalion, 11th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

SSgt Joshua J. Cullins, 28, of Simi Valley, CA, died October 19 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Staff Sergeant Cullins was assigned to the 1st Explosive Ordnance Disposal Company, 1st Marine Logistics Group, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

SPC Ronnie J. Pallares, 19, of Rancho Cucamonga, CA, died October 23 in Andar district, Ghazni, Afghanistan, of wounds suffered when insurgents attacked his unit using an improvised explosive device. Specialist Pallares was assigned to the 27th Engineer Battalion, Fort Bragg, NC.

SSG Aracely Gonzalez O'Malley, 31, of Brawley, CA, died October 22 at Homburg, Germany, of injuries sustained in a non combat incident October 12 at Mazar-e Sharif, Afghanistan. Staff Sergeant Gonzalez O'Malley was assigned to the 307th Integrated Theater Signal Battalion, 516th Signal Brigade, 311th Signal Command, Schofield Barracks, HI.

SPC Diego A. Solórzano Valdovinos, 24, of Huntington Park, CA, died October 29 in Landstuhl, Germany, of wounds suffered when insurgents attacked his unit on October 27 with small arms fire in the Yahya Khel district in Afghanistan. Specialist Solórzano Valdovinos was assigned to the 1st Battalion, 506nd Infantry Regiment, 4th Brigade Combat Team, 101st Airborne Division (Air Assault), Fort Campbell, KY.

SPC Brett W. Land, 24, of Wasco, CA, died October 30 in the Zhari district, Afghanistan, of wounds suffered when insurgents attacked his unit with an improvised explosive device. Specialist Land was assigned to the 2nd Battalion, 502nd Infantry Regiment, 2nd Brigade Combat Team, 101st Airborne Division (Air Assault), Fort Campbell, KY.

LCpl Matthew J. Broehm, 22, of Flagstaff, AZ, died November 4 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Lance Corporal Broehm was assigned to the 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

LCpl Brandon W. Pearson, 21, of Arvada, CO, died November 4 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Lance Corporal Pearson was assigned to the 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

SSgt Jordan B. Emrick, 26, of Hoyteton, IL, died November 5 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Staff Sergeant Emrick was assigned to the 1st Explosive Ordnance Disposal Company, 7th Engineer Support Battalion, 1st Marine Logistics Group, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

LCpl Randy R. Braggs, 21, of Sierra Vista, AZ, died November 6 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Lance Corporal Braggs was assigned to the 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

2ndLt Robert M. Kelly, 29, of Tallahassee, FL, died November 9 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Second Lieutenant Kelly was assigned to the 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

LCpl James B. Stack, 20, of Arlington Heights, IL, died November 10 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Lance Corporal Stack was assigned to the 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

SSG David P. Senft, 27, of Grass Valley, CA, died November 15 at Kandahar Airfield, Afghanistan, of injuries sustained in a non-combat related incident. Staff Sergeant Senft was assigned to the 5th Battalion, 101st Aviation Regiment, 101st Combat Aviation Brigade, 101st Airborne Division (Air Assault), Fort Campbell, KY.

LCpl Arden Joseph A. Buenagua, 19, of San Jose, CA, died November 24 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Lance Corporal Buenagua was assigned to 1st Combat Engineer Battalion, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

1stLt William J. Donnelly IV, 27, of Pica-yune, MS, died November 25 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. First Lieutenant Donnelly was assigned to 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

SPC Matthew W. Ramsey, 20, of Quartz Hill, CA, died November 29, in Nangarhar province, Afghanistan, of wounds suffered when an insurgent attacked his unit with small arms fire. Specialist Ramsey was assigned to the 1st Squadron, 61st Cavalry Regiment, 4th Brigade Combat Team, 101st Airborne Division (Air Assault), Fort Campbell, KY.

Cpl Chad S. Wade, 22, of Bentonville, AR, died December 1 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Corporal Wade was assigned to the 2nd Battalion, 1st Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

Sgt Matthew T. Abbate, 26, of Honolulu, HI, died December 2 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan.

Sergeant Abbate was assigned to the 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

SSG Vincent W. Ashlock, 45, of Seaside, CA, died December 4 in Khost province, Afghanistan, in a non-combat related incident. Staff Sergeant Ashlock was assigned to the 890th Engineer Battalion, 168th Engineer Brigade, Lucedale, MS.

Cpl Derek A. Wyatt, 25, of Akron, OH, died December 6 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Corporal Wyatt was assigned to the 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

PFC Colton W. Rusk, 20, of Orange Grove, TX, died December 6 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Private First Class Rusk was assigned to the 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

Sgt Jason D. Peto, 31, of Vancouver, WA, died December 7 from wounds received November 24 while conducting combat operations in Helmand province, Afghanistan. Sergeant Peto was assigned to the 3rd Battalion, 5th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, I Marine Expeditionary Force, Camp Pendleton, CA.

IRAQ

SGT Ryan J. Hopkins, 21, of Livermore, CA, died January 8, at Brooke Army Medical Center, San Antonio, Texas, of injuries sustained in a motor pool accident in Baghdad, Iraq, on October 4, 2008. At the time of the incident, Sergeant Hopkins was assigned to the 64th Brigade Support Battalion, 3rd Brigade Combat Team, 4th Infantry Division, Fort Carson, Colo. At the time of his death, he was assigned to the Warrior Transition Unit, Fort Sam Houston, San Antonio, TX.

SPC John Carrillo Jr., 20, of Stockton, CA, died September 24 in Fallujah, Iraq, of injuries sustained September 23 in a non-combat incident. Specialist Carrillo was assigned to 3rd Battalion, 15th Infantry Regiment, 4th Infantry Brigade Combat Team, 3rd Infantry Division, Fort Stewart, GA.

Mrs. BOXER. Madam President, these heroes, these Americans have sacrificed and given it all for this Nation. I am humbled by their service. I am humbled by the service of their families, because this is a family commitment. I am so proud, along with Senator BURR, to be cochair of the Military Family Caucus. I pledge to continue what I can do to make sure that our commitment to our military families is constant and that we are fulfilling our role to make sure they get treated with honor and respect and that we lessen their hardships. We cannot take away the pain of their loss.

I also want to say I am working in every way I can to end this war in Afghanistan. I support bringing the troops home in 2011. There is some talk it might be extended another year. I don't support that. As someone who voted to go after Osama bin Laden and the Taliban and go into Afghanistan, we lost a lot of years because President George W. Bush turned and focused his attention on the Iraq war, a war I did not support because I didn't think it was based on truth. It turned out that

it wasn't. History will speak to that. We have been in Afghanistan a long time and they are going to have to stand up and defend their own country, as all nations have to do to defend themselves. We have given so much, and today 52 more California-connected servicemembers since August 5—that is an ongoing sacrifice.

We heard yesterday about a tragic explosion against NATO forces there on a headquarters in southern Afghanistan, where we lost six. I support that withdrawal and doing it in a way that makes sense. We are not going to do it in 1 day, or 6 months, but we should start it.

REMEMBERING RICHARD HOLBROOKE

Connected to that, the second issue I wanted to bring up is the passing of Ambassador Richard Holbrooke—someone I considered to be a friend, adviser, a brilliant mind, a warm personality, a man who lived for his work and his family. It is so ironic, in a sense. I saw him twice last week because he and his wife had gone to the Kennedy Center awards. He seemed fine, so engaged, and so well. It was a shock to read about what happened.

I send my love to his family, his wife, and his children. He will be missed so much, because he had a very unique approach to diplomacy. There was a love of what he did that you can't create. When you talked to him, he engaged you because of his deep commitment and love of his work, and his understanding that diplomacy is the answer, not war, and that you had to be tough. As he pointed out, you had to meet with people you would not want to be in a room with. But he had to do that as he negotiated the end of the war in Bosnia.

I will miss him both personally and certainly as a member of the Foreign Relations Committee with the occupation of the Chair.

DON'T ASK, DON'T TELL

I want to talk about a couple of other issues, to express my disappointment that because of an artificial line laid down in the sand by our colleagues on the other side, they would not vote on a civil rights matter to end don't ask, don't tell, which is a policy that makes our Nation weaker, not stronger. It is a policy that brings pain to so many of our fellow Americans. They have to keep a secret as to who they are and how they live their life, and that runs counter to what this country is all about.

The thing is, when you are in the military and you are side by side and you are in trouble, whether you are gay or straight has nothing to do with the mission you are facing. There is a very strict code of conduct in the military that says whoever you are, you cannot abuse your rights and privileges, whether it is about sexual harassment or anything else. That is very clear. So we already have a code of conduct that can apply to everyone.

I was proud that in the survey that was taken, our military said they didn't think it would harm us in terms of our ability to have a strong defense. Good for them. I read into the RECORD a number of cases of heroes who have been run out of the military because of their sexual orientation—heroes. A couple of them have been reinstated. The courts are going to do away with don't ask, don't tell. So I would rhetorically ask my colleagues: Why on Earth would we leave this to the courts when we could have the pride in standing for civil rights? It is unfortunate.

Some on the other side have flip-flopped on this issue and said: Oh, well, when the military leaders say it is OK, I will be there. But now they are not. They set the bar every day at a different height. It is wrong and we should get this done. There was an excuse that, well, let's do the tax cut first. OK, we did the tax cut. So I am hoping they will let us go to this and vote on this so we can be proud as Americans here, across party lines, that we can put aside partisan differences when it comes to civil rights.

I was watching a TV special on the civil rights law that passed in 1964, and the beautiful part of it was the coming together of the parties, at the end of the day, on an issue that was so right for this country. I hope we can do this again. I just hope we can do this again. If not, I say to the courts: Do the right thing. You are doing it, but keep it up, because we are not any stronger as a Nation, we are weaker, when incredibly talented, dedicated, patriotic Americans are turned away for absolutely no reason.

DREAM ACT

I wished to talk about that as well as the DREAM Act—another area where this country is made stronger. This act focuses on a child who may have been brought here by their parents. Their parents broke the law, brought a child here, say, at 3 or 4 months, and the child grows up and doesn't even know they do not have their papers until they get to be 18 years old. This is their country. They love their country. A lot of them are presidents of their student body. Since when do we pin the crimes of the parents on a child? We don't do that here. Again, what are we gaining? We are losing.

So the DREAM Act, which started off with huge bipartisan support, suddenly has gotten to the place where don't ask, don't tell has gotten, where we are moving away from justice. Everybody has their reason—oh, it can't be part of the military bill. If it is not part of the military bill, they say: Why isn't it part of the military bill? It seems to be a moving bar.

I read about this big meeting called "No Labels," where people got together and said we are tired of the two parties not working together. It was sort of interesting because it was on the day

when the two parties did work together and we got over 80 votes for a tax bill. But be that as it may, let's set that aside, here are two issues that have nothing to do with partisan politics because they are good for the country—they help our young people and they make sure people can serve in the military if they are qualified and their sexual orientation essentially has nothing to do with it. We have a chance to come together for the good of the country on these things.

9/11 HEROES

I still hold out hope that we can do that, and we can also take care of those heroes of 9/11 who went to that toxic pile in New York and looked for the survivors and then looked for remains and breathed in that toxic air, which in those days the EPA said was safe. Well, it wasn't safe, and now they are sick. Yet we can't seem to get the votes to help them. But I don't give up. I think we can do this. So let's work together on all these things.

TRANSPORTATION

Another area where we have been able to work together in the past—and where I hope we will continue to work together—is the Transportation bill. We usually enact our highway trust fund programs for about 4, 5 or 6 years at a time. The last time we extended it for 1 year, and now the extension is ending. So we need to extend again the existing transportation authorization. I am optimistic on this one because in the House it didn't seem controversial. They added it to the continuing resolution and extended it to the end of fiscal year 2011. September 30 is the date.

It is important to note that 900,000 jobs nationwide depend on this highway trust fund and the reauthorization of it and all those programs—with 85,000 jobs in my home State of California. It is very important we do this work, whether it is through an omnibus budget or through the continuing resolution, however it ends up.

This is an area again where the political parties have come together. My ranking member, JIM INHOFE, and I have been working very closely on this and we support this extension. It has the support of the members of the Americans for Transportation Mobility Coalition—and I will name some of them: The American Public Transportation Association, the American Road and Transportation Builders, the Associated Equipment Distributors, the Associated General Contractors, the Society of Civil Engineers, the International Union of Operating Engineers, Laborers International, the National Asphalt Paving Association, National Stone, Sand & Gravel, the United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners, and the U.S. Chamber of Commerce.

Listen, that is quite a group. When you have unions and you have the employers and you have the U.S. Chamber of Commerce—which is negative on so

many things, unfortunately, but positive on this—that is a good matchup.

Madam President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD the letter from the Americans for Transportation Mobility.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

AMERICANS FOR TRANSPORTATION

MOBILITY,

Washington, DC, December 8, 2010.

TO THE MEMBERS OF THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS: The Americans for Transportation Mobility (ATM) Coalition strongly urges you to extend the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient, Transportation Equity Act—A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) as well as expenditure authority for the Highway Trust Fund through the end of FY2011 as included in H.R. 3082, the "Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act of 2011." While the Coalition continues to support Congressional efforts to enact a well-funded, long-term surface transportation bill, the absence of such a bill makes this extension essential to creating and sustaining jobs and maintaining America's transportation infrastructure. Furthermore, this extension provides much needed certainty for the construction industry, states, and localities as they plan for the 2011 construction season.

SAFETEA-LU expired last September and has since been operating on a series of short-term extensions—the latest of which expires at the end of this month. The uncertainty created by the lack of a multi-year federal commitment to improving America's highway and public transportation facilities is contributing to a slowdown in transportation development activity in many states. The jobs impact of this situation has rippled throughout the economy. Workers at design and engineering firms, construction companies, equipment manufacturers, and materials providers have lost their jobs and even more positions are on the line due to uncertainty in federal funding, at a time in which the U.S. unemployment rate remains at record highs.

Congress must not delay in passing a robust, multi-year highway and transit reauthorization in the 112th Congress. While reauthorization entails a host of challenging policy and revenue issues, this effort should be viewed as a key opportunity to move U.S. infrastructure into the 21st century, bolster economic recovery efforts, and improve all Americans' way of life. If local, state, and national leaders continue to ignore this important issue, commerce will suffer, fatalities will rise, congestion and pollution will grow unabated, and the United States will find itself further and further behind its rapidly expanding international competitors.

To help prevent further job loss and ensure vital transportation investments continue, the ATM Coalition strongly urges you to extend SAFETEA-LU and expenditure authority for the Highway Trust Fund through the end of fiscal year 2011.

Sincerely,

AMERICANS FOR TRANSPORTATION

MOBILITY.

Mrs. BOXER. Madam President, this extension will save jobs not only in the short term, but it gives certainty to our States. We know our Nation's highways, bridges, and transit systems need to be in good repair.

I will say this: With the construction industry still in a downturn, it is tough

for them because of the housing crisis. Construction jobs are few and far between, and we have a very high unemployment rate in the construction industry. This extension is important. It gives certainty. It will save hundreds of thousands of jobs, it will improve our infrastructure, and provide that foundation we need for a solid recovery. So I look forward to taking that up.

The last topic I wished to talk about—and I ask how much time remains in my 25 minutes.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator has used 15 minutes.

Mrs. BOXER. I thank the Chair.

The last topic I wish to talk about is my vote yesterday to move forward on a tax bill, the framework of which was sent to us by President Obama. There were negotiations with our Republican colleagues and then one very important addition was made to the bill. Many of us in the Senate wanted that—and I am grateful for that addition—and it was the 1603 program, which is critical to our clean energy businesses and will result in tens of thousands of jobs.

This will allow companies that are moving forward with solar, wind, and geothermal projects—clean energy projects—to essentially get a tax credit up front. That is essential because there are a lot of plans on the drawing board. If this hadn't been renewed, we would have lost those plans, and we would have lost those jobs. So I am very pleased about that.

So much has been said about this tax bill, and I don't know that I am going to say anything that is going to add to the debate, but I wished to lay out some of what compelled me to vote yes to move that bill forward. It is kind of summed up in a San Jose Mercury News editorial, where they say:

More than three-quarters of the spending will go to middle- and lower-income families through tax cuts, tax credits for working families, and unemployment insurance.

That is the San Jose Mercury News. One could quibble that maybe it is 50 percent, more than 50 percent or maybe 60 percent, but the fact is, this bill will be a help to the middle class.

When I was a kid in school, we had a big lecture on how a bill becomes a law, and it sounds so easy. You start in a subcommittee in one House or the other, the subcommittee marks up the bill, the full committee marks up the bill and then it goes to the other House and they do it. If there are differences, they all meet happily in a conference and chat a little bit, they find the differences and resolve them and the bill then goes to the White House. The President either signs the bill and everybody celebrates or he vetoes it and you have to get three-quarters of the Chambers to override.

It doesn't exactly work that way in real life. In real life—which you can't

explain in a textbook—the different parties bring different passions to the table, and those passions are held deeply. If I tell you where I see the passion coming from on either side, it is my view. There is no science to this, it is just my view. But I think the passion the Democrats brought to the table was that we needed to make sure, first and foremost, the people who have been desperately hurt by this slow economic recovery aren't left in the lurch for the next year. Because technically, even though the recession has ended in terms of GDP growth, the fact is, it is a very painful, agonizingly slow recovery that is going on. Yes, jobs are being created—up to now about 900,000 since January—but it is not enough to make up for the millions of jobs that were lost in the recession. So it is painfully slow, and we are worried about it.

So we brought that passion we had to make sure middle-class families who lost their jobs didn't lose everything else—they didn't lose their homes, didn't lose the ability to send their kids to school, and they have this bridge of unemployment insurances, which, by the way, they pay for. They have to be actually looking for work in order to get it. That is the passion we brought to the table.

The other passion was to make sure the middle class didn't get a tax increase. We were passionate on that point, and we wanted tax credits for businesses that resulted in jobs. Those were the passions we brought to the table.

I think it is fair to say the passions the Republicans brought to the table were to help make sure the very wealthiest got taken care of in any deal. Why do I say that? It is a fact in evidence. Their nonnegotiable terms included the extension of the tax cuts to billionaires and millionaires. That was it. Passionate. Passionate. Just as we were passionate about helping the middle class, they were passionate on this point, and they were passionate—and they have been—about the largest estates in America. A lot of them don't even think estates ought to be in any way taxed.

In America, for many years, we have had what I would call an ethic that this American dream is crucial. We want everyone to have it. We are proud when people get to be multimillionaires and billionaires. But we have a Defense Department to run, we have an education system to help, we have roads to be build, our national security costs money, our domestic security costs money. Social Security has to be taken care of, people pay into the system, and health care—therefore, we believed for years, and it was bipartisan, that for the wealthiest estates to have an estate tax was something that worked.

Frankly, somebody who inherits, let's say, a \$7 million estate from their

parents, they are going to be OK. By the way, that is a very small percentage. Democrats believe 99 percent of estates would not have any tax under our plan. But Republicans were passionate about this. They wanted a \$10 million estate, and they wanted a lower tax rate.

Were I to write the book, "How A Bill Becomes A Law," I would have a different way of writing it. I would say: Technically, this is what happens to get it to the President, to get the bill. But what you need to know is what the passions are. I think at the end of the day both sides could come away with this, saying what we felt passionate about in this bill was good.

The one thing that was not addressed in this bill is the deficit. A lot of us on both sides are passionate about that. But I think at the end of the day there was a decision, perhaps not voiced but certainly understood, that this is a stimulus bill, and we are going to have to do serious deficit reduction. Anyone who thinks we will not have to pay the piper for these tax cuts is living in another world. Of course we are. The question is, Do we do it now or do we do it when this economy truly turns around?

Then there will be another passionate debate about who is going to help solve the deficit. I have a feeling you are going to see the same thing. The Democrats are going to say: The middle class are not responsible for this; let's look to the upper income. Our Republican friends are going to say: It is class warfare. Don't look to the wealthy.

We are going to have this battle again. But I voted for this bill because I think our economy continues to be in a fragile state when it comes to job growth, and I think we had to move forward on this. I am glad we did because this has been the worst recession since the Great Depression.

I hate to remind people of what it was like, but when George Bush was President, he came to us with Hank Paulson, then-Secretary of the Treasury, and Ben Bernanke, and they said to us: This economy is going to collapse. Nobody is lending. Capital is frozen. We are in desperate shape.

I have to tell you when the stock market went down—at one point it was almost 50 percent down—those were tough times. We took many steps to get this economy back on track. I have to say things have stabilized.

Since January 10 we have added 937,000 jobs to this economy. But because 8 million jobs were lost in this great recession, that is just not enough. The President knows this. That is why he knew he needed to come to us with a framework that basically said we are not going to put a burden on the middle class. They have suffered enough. He had to swallow hard to do things that we know he did not want to do.

I will reiterate what the San Jose Mercury News said:

More than three-quarters of the spending will go to middle- and lower-income families.

That is an important point.

I have talked about the importance of the extension of unemployment benefits. In my State of California more than 400,000 workers will lose their UI benefits by the end of December, 2 million workers nationwide. I have to say Mark Zandi, who was one of JOHN MCCAIN's top advisers, clearly says when you extend unemployment benefits, you get the best bang for the buck.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The time of the Senator has expired.

Mrs. BOXER. I ask for 2 more minutes, and then I will stop.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mrs. BOXER. This tax bill I voted on will help our working families. There is a 2-percent cut in payroll taxes. I know some say: Is that going to hurt Social Security? We have a statement from the executive vice president of the AARP, the Association of Retired Persons, saying the proposal has no financial impact on Social Security because the trust fund is made whole. That is critical. When we had the administration at our caucus we made sure of that.

There is the extension of the child tax credit from the Recovery Act, the earned-income tax credit, the childcare tax credit, there is education relief and refundable tax credits for college, again, those clean energy incentives which were critical, the 1603 provisions, job creation tax incentives, R&D tax credit, bonus depreciation, veterans work, opportunity credit, small business capital gains exclusion.

In closing, do I feel passionate that the people who earn over \$1 million do not need a tax cut? You bet I do. I am passionate. To me, to add to a deficit while we are in two wars to help people when so many of them say don't even do this—we had a letter put in the RECORD from 90 millionaires saying this is ridiculous.

I am passionate about that. That fight will go on. Frankly, it is a disagreement between the two parties. That is fine. We cannot be expected to agree on everything. But I think moving ahead with this was very important. Most economic forecasters estimate the legislation will increase GDP growth, and I think that is critical at this time. My State is struggling with 12.4 percent unemployment, and I did not agree with two major provisions—the estate tax, which is a giveaway to estates over \$10 million, and it is a giveaway to the wealthiest few. It adds to the deficit because of that, and there is no reason to do it.

But on the whole I think this is something we should do, and I look for-

ward to getting it done so maybe my colleagues on the other side will join us as we finish up a whole list of things we need to do before we leave for the holidays.

I yield the floor.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Texas.

Mrs. HUTCHISON. Madam President, I rise today to say I think the President of the United States and our Senate minority leader, MITCH MCCONNELL, have done a great job. As I hear the talking heads and the pundits and others talk about this compromise, this way forward, I am sure of it because no one is completely happy with it. People who think we should have a death tax are not happy with this bill. People who think, as I do, the unemployment provision goes too far, is not paid for and should have been on the other side.

But we are now faced with a choice. Are we going to allow the taxes for every single individual in our country who pays taxes to go up on January 1? We could talk all day about how we should have addressed this much earlier.

If, that is true. But we are where we are. It is now mid-December, and it is long past time when we should have told the American people—every family, every business in this country—what the tax policy is going to be for 2 years.

I come here with a business background. I wish more of my colleagues had real business experience because sometimes when I hear the academics and the talking heads talk about what we ought to do—some say: Let's just wait. We can do this better next year. Are you kidding me? Have you ever been in the real world trying to make a decision about whether you can add one more piece of machinery to your factory floor and hire people to run it? You are not going to make the decision if you do not know what your commitments are going to be in taxes and in the health care bill that is looming before every business in this country.

Not only did I come from a business background, but I talk to business people throughout my State. They are not hiring. Two-thirds of the jobs in this country are created by small business. That is exactly what we should all hope for. We don't want jobs to be created in the government sector. That is a cost you cannot recoup. We need to cut down on government sector jobs and make sure people in the private sector are working because this is how to build a strong and vibrant economy.

As I mentioned, two-thirds of private-sector jobs are in small business, and small businesspeople are operating, generally, at low margins. They are not hiring people when they are seeing estimates on the cost to them of the health care bill enacted this year, including estimates that their taxes are

going to go up next year. At every level, taxes will go up if we do not pass this tax bill this year.

Capital gains and dividends are going to go up. Seniors have saved their lifetimes to be able to retire, and they know they cannot live on Social Security. Social Security was never meant to be a complete retirement plan. It was meant to be a cushion, a help with savings that would allow people to maintain a standard of living.

Talk to seniors today who have saved, and they are not earning one penny on their savings. They certainly are not going to do well if we raise the tax on capital gains and dividends. What are we thinking? To raise taxes on capital gains and dividends, that is the level that allows many seniors to live at a decent standard.

What about the tax rate? Every person who pays taxes faces an increase on January 1 if we do not pass this bill because they would go into a higher bracket, and face a higher rate at each level.

Let's go back to small business. NFIB, the National Federation of Independent Business, which is the largest small business organization in America, says 75 percent of the small businesses in this country are taxed at individual rates. If their taxes go up it will present a barrier to their being able to plan for the future and hire.

People in business want predictability and stability. That is why having at least 2 years is so very important. Doing it now so they can plan for next year is so very important because they are looking for predictability.

If I had written this bill with nobody else's opinions, I would have made them permanent because I know small business would much rather have certainty for 10 years of what is going to happen, or at least 5 years. But I did not get to write it by myself—neither did Senator MCCONNELL, or the President.

We have all sponsored bills to make the tax cuts permanent because we want jobs to be created in the private sector knowing these are the good jobs of the future that can be sustained and grow our economy. If we allow these tax cuts to expire, the marriage penalty is going to come back. The marriage penalty is my amendment that was put into the tax cuts of 2001 and 2003. My amendment was to relieve the marriage tax. A policeman and a schoolteacher who marry go into a higher bracket just because they got married, not because they increased their incomes. That is wrong. Two schoolteachers who marry would go into a higher bracket, but the marriage penalty relief bill I sponsored relieves them to the greatest extent. It doubles the standard deduction instead of paring it back, and that is what we need to have.

What about the AMT? The AMT relief in this bill goes to the very lowest income earners in this country.

If we do not pass this bill, 21 million American taxpayers will have to pay an alternative minimum tax because the government says they are not paying enough. Now, I think it is a fair question—at what point does the AMT kick in? Today, the AMT kicks in for a single person who makes \$33,000 and a married couple who makes \$45,000. If we don't pass this bill through this Congress and let the President sign it, a married couple making \$45,000 will have to pay the alternative minimum tax.

Our bill gives relief. The bill that is on the floor gives relief so that the exemption from the AMT would go up to \$72,000 for a married couple before the alternative minimum tax kicks in and to \$47,000 for a single payer.

So the bottom line is, if you think a single person making \$33,000 ought to have to pay the alternative minimum tax, then I cannot explain it to you. I do not think a single person making \$33,000 should be subject to an alternative minimum tax because they are not paying enough tax. The AMT relief in the bill will push it up to a level that is more reasonable—\$47,000 for a single person and \$72,000 for a married couple.

The estate tax relief—I think this is a significant advance for the real world. Again, for small businesspeople, farmers, and ranchers, a \$1 million exemption will force farmers and small businesspeople whose equipment is valued at more than it can produce to sell—what happens is that the heirs to that estate will have to sell the equipment or the business or part of the farm or all of the farm to pay taxes to the government. And the irony is that the money in an inheritance tax is money that has been taxed and taxed and taxed again. People pay taxes on their earnings, people pay taxes on their profits in a business, they pay taxes when they earn on their earnings.

The death tax does not make sense in the American dream because we have always said this is a country where you can work hard and give your children the fruits of your labor. But because of the death tax, family businesses are cut by 50 percent in this country because heirs have to sell the business to pay the taxes. That does not affect just the family; it affects the people who work for the family business.

I want to keep the American dream alive. I think the inheritance tax should be done away with completely because it is money that has already been taxed; it has been taxed in the system again and again and again. Every time something is earned, you pay a tax. So there is no policy reason for a death tax.

I did not get to write the bill by myself, and neither did Senator McCON-

NELL. We would have made estate tax relief permanent. But it is not going to be permanent, and it is not going away. It is going to be a 2-year extension, with a \$5 million exemption and a 35-percent rate after that.

I believe this bill provides some relief and helps people to plan for their estates. I hope we can make it permanent so people will be able to plan into the far future so that their small business, their farm, their ranch can be held by their heirs and keep the jobs those family-owned businesses have produced.

So I think it is important, when we get down to the bottom line—do we pass this bill or not?—that there are alternatives. We could say: You know what, I want to write it differently. Let's wait until next year.

First of all, if we do that and we open up what I think is a very balanced approach, then we are going to talk about this a whole lot longer. It is going to take a while, and in the meantime people are not going to be hired because small businesses will not know what their tax liabilities are going to be, and we will not have this settled, these concerns for at least 2 years.

Next, we can work on long-term tax reform. I thought the fiscal commission that just reported had some very good ideas for tax reform where everyone would pay more of a flat tax. It would be slightly higher at the higher levels, bring in more revenue at the higher levels and lower the tax on everyone. It would bring in more because it would be simpler and more fair. I think we ought to look at that. We may need to make changes in one way or another, but it was a good starting place. But if we wait until next year to pass a bill, we are going to throw this economy into upheaval, and we will certainly not create the jobs that are the motivation behind this agreement.

The President and the Republicans agreed on one thing; that is, the goal should be to spur the economy and create jobs. How we get there, we have differences, but at least there are some parts on both ends that will have the effect of giving stability and predictability to the small businesses in our country that create two-thirds of the jobs so that they can start hiring. That should be the dispositive part of the decision we all need to make to vote for this bill.

You would have written it differently, Madam President, I would have written it differently, the President would have written it differently, and so would Senator McCONNELL, if we were the king and queen of America. Fortunately, we are in a democracy, not a monarchy, so we cannot have everything exactly the way we want it. This is a good start.

Let me end by suggesting that once we make this decision—and I hope we will make the decision to move for-

ward, and I hope the House will join us—then we will not have to discuss tax cuts for 2 years. People will know what they are going to owe for 2 years, and they will be able to start making plans on that.

But the argument that is being made—that this is going to add more in the deficit—does need to be addressed, and once this bill is passed, we must get about the business of cutting overall spending by this government. And that is not just the discretionary part, which is a minor part of our budget, it is also the entitlements. What can we do to make the entitlements not continue to grow beyond the capability to pay for them in a reasonable economy? We must get the debt down, we must get the deficit down, and we have to concentrate on that if we put the tax cuts to bed.

One of the things we need to address is the implementation of this health care bill, which is the other factor in jobs not being created right now. I hope we can repeal what we have passed and start all over so that the businesspeople know that what we passed is not going to work. It is going to be in the courts for a long time because of the constitutional issues.

Let's go about planning for a health care reform that doesn't put the fines and the penalties on businesses and individuals. Let's give them options so that affordable health care is there for them. We don't have to do that with a hammer; we can do it with options that are incentives for people to get health insurance because it will be affordable. Let them make choices for what fits their family, not a big, government-prescribed one-size-fits-all.

Let's start getting serious about a bipartisan effort to cut the spending and cut the debt and cut the deficit.

Let's set some parameters around extending unemployment so that more people will be hired and we will set standards that are reasonable for people to start giving back to the community if they are able-bodied and have been unemployed for 2 years and more.

If we are creative and we work together, we can do this. But tearing this package apart and saying: Well, I want it all my way, means we are not going to have the stability and predictability that will create jobs starting next year. That is our stated goal on both sides. I hope the Members of the House will realize that anything we do next year is going to have to be with a Democratically controlled Senate and a Republican-controlled House, and that means everything is not going to be our way.

I would not have written this agreement exactly this way, and neither would Senator McCONNELL. I am sure the President would not either. But Senator McCONNELL and the President have done what leaders need to do: they have come together on a bill that

will move this country forward, and it will not increase taxes on anyone who is paying taxes today. How can anyone believe it will be good for the economy of our country to raise taxes in a recession?

I am sure we are going to hear a lot of debate on this floor about what individual Senators would have done differently, but the bottom line is, this Senate will overwhelmingly pass this package.

I hope that when all of the debate is finished, this bill will be signed by the President and we will move forward in a joint effort to reduce the debt of this country, as adult leaders should do. That should be our goal for the next 2 years, as we now have settled the tax cut issue. Hopefully, we will go with a vengeance against the debt and some reform in the entitlement programs. We can do it. It will not be easy, but it can be done. That is why we ran for these offices—to be the leaders when our country needs leadership.

I yield the floor, and I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. UDALL of New Mexico.) The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. REID. I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

REMEMBERING RICHARD HOLBROOKE

Mr. REID. Mr. President, last night I was at home and received a message on my BlackBerry that Ambassador Richard Holbrooke had died. I felt very sad about that. He was such a nice man. He was the epitome in his dealings with me of a gentleman. Everyone who worked with him knew how hard he worked. I join many thousands of people who mourn the passing of Ambassador Richard Holbrooke, a champion diplomat and my personal friend.

Ambassador Holbrooke dedicated his entire life to foreign service, to keeping America safe through tough, sensible diplomacy. I will miss him, his friendship, his counsel. Our Nation will miss his tireless leadership and steady guidance of our foreign policy.

I had the opportunity to work with him closely on a number of occasions during my tenure as majority leader. I appreciated our many conversations as insights into the central national security issues of the day. The world bears the imprints of Ambassador Holbrooke's efforts to bring peace and security to places torn by violence and conflict. From his early days in the Foreign Service to his leadership negotiating the Dayton Accords to latest efforts at stabilizing Afghanistan and Pakistan, Ambassador Holbrooke was always at the center of the toughest security challenges of a given era.

America is safer and more respected around the world because of Richard

Holbrooke. Our Nation mourns his passing. I offer my condolences to his family and loved ones during this most difficult time.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TRIBUTES TO RETIRING SENATORS

KIT BOND

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I rise to pay tribute to my old friend, KIT BOND, a man who has dedicated the better part of four decades to public service, and who has never failed in all those years to put the people of Missouri ahead of himself.

As KIT puts it:

Serving Missouri has been my life's work. . . . I have walked the land, fished its rivers and been humbled by the honesty and hard work of our people. The highest honor is to receive and safeguard the public trust.

But KIT also knew when to leave the field to somebody else. As he put it in his retirement announcement last year before a packed Missouri House Chamber:

In 1973, I became Missouri's youngest governor. . . . I do not aspire to become Missouri's oldest senator.

It may have been the one ambition KIT did not pursue.

Born in St. Louis, KIT is a sixth generation Missourian. He grew up in Mexico, MO, where his grandfather founded the A.P. Green Fire Brick Company, the largest employer in town. KIT and Linda still call Mexico home.

KIT has always been an overachiever. He graduated cum laude from Princeton University and first in his class from the University of Virginia School of Law. After that, he moved to Atlanta to clerk for one of the great pioneers of the civil rights movement, Judge Elbert Tuttle of the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals.

After that, KIT went home to Missouri to practice law. In 1968, he ran for Congress and lost, but he did not lose his taste for politics. A year later, he was appointed Assistant Attorney General, where he ran the Consumer Protection Division under Attorney General John Danforth. The future Senate colleagues would become close friends, political allies, and dominant figures in Missouri politics for more than a generation.

In 1969, KIT was elected State auditor, and in 1972, at the tender age of 33, he was elected as the youngest Governor in the history of Missouri, and its first Republican Governor in 32 years. It was an extraordinary achievement, followed by an equally extraordinary series of events. Four years after winning the seat, he lost it to a

Democrat named Joe Teasdale. But 4 years after that, he won it back from the same guy.

As Governor, one of KIT's greatest accomplishments was working with the Democratic legislature to take the Parents as Teachers pilot program statewide—a program that was designed to help parents prepare their children for the classroom and help them score higher on standardized tests.

As a young father and Governor, KIT saw how important the program was for his own son Sam. "As a parent looking for an 'owner's manual' to care for a new baby," KIT said, "[Parents for Teachers] was my lifeline." So in 1984, KIT signed a bill requiring all Missouri school districts to provide Parents as Teachers services.

Since its inception in the mid-1980s, this program has been immensely successful and helpful to parents all across Missouri, serving 3 million children in the State. Today, the Parents as Teachers program includes 3,000 programs and has expanded to all 50 States and seven countries.

As Governor, KIT was also a strong advocate for biotechnology and the expansion of community health centers to underserved areas.

After his success as a two-term Governor, KIT decided to follow his former boss, Senator Jack Danforth, to Washington. He won his first term with 53 percent of the vote, becoming the only Republican that year to capture a seat previously held by a Democrat. For the last 24 years, KIT has been a leader of this body.

There is no stronger advocate for the men and women of our Armed Forces than KIT BOND. He has worked hard to ensure that our Nation's veterans get the care they need and deserve. He has become an expert on Southeast Asian affairs, last year coauthoring a book on Southeast Asia and Islam entitled "The Next Front: Southeast Asia and the Road to Global Peace with Islam." "It is not difficult to convince a senator to write a book," KIT said. "The hard part is convincing people to read it."

The Senate is indebted to KIT for his service as vice chairman of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence. He has worked tirelessly to conduct responsible oversight of our Nation's intelligence community. He worked closely with former Chairman ROCKEFELLER and our current chair, DIANNE FEINSTEIN. In doing so, they showed all of us the importance of working together in a bipartisan fashion on matters of national security.

KIT was instrumental in the passage of the Protect America Act and the subsequent Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Amendment Act of 2008. He worked tirelessly behind the scenes and across the aisle to combat widespread misinformation about these bills.

Regarding the FISA Amendments Act of 2008, KIT said:

There is nothing to fear in [this] bill, unless you have Al Qaeda on your speed dial.

Over the years, KIT worked hard to improve Missouri's transportation and infrastructure. Legend has it that his staunch protection of Missouri's highway funds even led to a physical altercation one day with our former colleague, Senator Moynihan. The details are a little murky with the passage of time, and Pat denied it ever happened. But KIT claims to have been the last Senator to be "slugged" on the Senate floor. The rest of us learned an important lesson that day: Don't mess with Missouri's highway funding.

I think anyone who knows KIT well will tell you the last 10 years have been some of the happiest for him. Linda has made KIT a new man. I understand she has improved his diet, his fitness routine, and, thank heavens, his wardrobe. He has proudly watched his son Sam stand up and defend the Nation KIT has served his entire life. First Lieutenant Bond served two tours in Iraq, the last as a scout-sniper platoon leader, where he conducted close reconnaissance and surveillance operations in order to gain intelligence on the enemy. We all thank him for his courage and his sacrifice in defending our freedom and security.

Now, I would be remiss if I failed to acknowledge another one of KIT's loved ones—his dog Tiger, who has become sort of a YouTube celebrity around here. Tiger is, of course, named after KIT's beloved University of Missouri Tigers, and her favorite past time is lying under KIT's desk and destroying a stuffed University of Kansas Jayhawk. Tiger may not be the kind of dog one would imagine for the vice chairman of the Senate Intelligence Committee. Even KIT admits she is a little bit of a froufrou pet. But in Tiger's defense, KIT likes to point out that the last time she saw FDIC Chair Sheila Bair, she would not stop barking. Chairman Bair has not one but two degrees from the University of Kansas. "I think she sniffed it out," BOND said.

KIT has had a tremendous career in public service. He has been elected seven times in Missouri from State auditor to his four terms in the Senate—more than anyone else in the history of the Show-Me State.

Looking back, KIT says his political adversaries kept him nimble, and the media kept him humble. Whatever the formula, KIT has been an outstanding Senator, and we will miss him terribly. I am sure it is hard for Missourians to imagine KIT outside of office. It is no easier for his colleagues to imagine the Senate without KIT. As his fourth term draws to a close, history will show he has served the people of Missouri and the people of this Nation with passion, honor, and integrity. He will be missed.

Let me just add, back in the mid-1980s, I started off in the very last seat

back there, and then, 2 years later—these were not great years for Republicans. We had two freshmen my first year, and two freshmen 2 years later, Senator BOND and Senator MCCAIN. So seniority being what it is in the Senate, I got to move out of the very last chair, moving over two more chairs, and BOND and MCCAIN came back there and joined us.

We were such power players in those days, we referred to ourselves as the "Not Quite Ready for Prime Time Players."

But I must say to my friend from Missouri, you have come a long way from those early days. You have made an enormous difference in the Senate, and we will all miss you greatly.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Missouri.

Mr. BOND. Thank you, Leader MCCONNELL, for your very kind and generous words.

Since I announced I was not running for reelection, I have been overwhelmed by the nice things folks have been saying about me. There is nothing like being eulogized while you are still breathing. But to my good friend MITCH, it has been a long time since we sat back in the corner as the "Not Quite Ready for Prime Time Players," but while I never made it to prime time, except, of course, one appearance as a very less-than-best-selling author on the "Jon Stewart Show," you certainly have arrived.

You have led us through many difficult and protracted debates. Through all of it, you have been an agile, disciplined, and courteous negotiator, with a good sense of humor. You kept us together on many tough votes, at least as much as is possible to keep 40-something different, independent minds all together or, as I like to say, 40 frogs in a wheelbarrow. But I thank you, MITCH. While I have occasionally caused you heartburn—I realize that—I have always appreciated your intelligence, your leadership, and your friendship. You and Elaine are very close friends of Linda and myself, and we wish you both the very best for the future.

FAREWELL TO THE SENATE

Two years ago I announced my retirement from the Senate, and that time has come. I have to begin by thanking all my colleagues and my constituents for making this job one of the best a person could hold. There is no greater honor than being given the trust of the people at home to represent them. I have done my best to keep faith with my constituents on every vote I have cast and every issue on which I have worked.

Through more than two decades of membership in this world's greatest deliberative body—sometimes delaying body—I have participated in my share of debates. When I first came to the Senate, the Cold War was a conflict

some thought we would never win. Thanks to the courage and resolve of former President Ronald Reagan, millions of people now live in freedom. During this last term especially, it seems many debates will have history-shaping consequences.

America has faced many challenges in the past 6 years: the longest recession since the Great Depression, wars in Afghanistan and Iraq, the continuing battle against terrorism, the fight to be competitive in a global economy, and many more. As I look back, the successes we have achieved during my time here have come because people of good will were willing to work across the aisle for the common good of our Nation.

As I address the floor today, I am filled with memories of the many colleagues with whom I have worked over the years. One stands out in my memory—the one who was my best friend and mentor in the Senate, who took me under his wing and treated me and my family as close friends, and that, of course, is the late Senator Ted Stevens. He was unflagging in his support of his principles, and everyone clearly knew where he stood. Yet he was a very effective appropriator because he knew how to compromise. I can only hope my colleagues and constituents know where I stand, and I, too, know that working across the aisle is the only way to get things done in this body.

Right after I arrived, I had the pleasure of working with the late Senator Robert Byrd, who achieved the acid rain trading compromise and passed the Clean Air Act amendments of 1990. I also joined with former Senator Wendell Ford to establish a National Guard caucus, and now it is a pleasure to work with PAT LEAHY to ensure that our dual-mission National Guard is adequately prepared to serve emergency needs on the homefront and participate in our national security issues abroad.

On the Appropriations Committee, I have enjoyed the successes I have had working first with BARBARA MIKULSKI and now DIANNE FEINSTEIN to ensure that public housing meets the needs of the people it is supposed to serve and the communities in which they live, providing supportive assistance for the homeless—particularly veterans—and stopping lead paint poisoning of children in old public housing buildings across the Nation. Barbara and I also gave a boost to what I believe will be the job-creating technology of the 21st century: agricultural biotechnology. We did that with congressionally directed spending in the National Science Foundation budget.

With Senator DIANNE FEINSTEIN as chair of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, we have put, I believe, the Senate Intelligence Committee back on a path of bipartisanship and achieved passage of the first Intelligence Act Reauthorization in 6 years.

I especially owe my Republican colleagues my sincerest thanks and appreciation for sticking with me as we negotiated our way through some tough compromises, such as the fights we have had on FISA. But when the Help America Vote Act came to the Senate floor in 2001, ostensibly to cure problems with punchcard voting in Florida but which most of us Republicans thought was an effort to discredit the election of former President Bush, I urged my colleagues not to block the bill but to use it, not only to make it easier to vote but tougher to cheat. When we moved to the floor, I brought to the Senate floor a picture of a springer spaniel, Ritsy Meckler, who had been registered to vote in St. Louis, MO, to make the point that if we had positive identification, it would have been much more difficult for Ritsy to register or certainly to vote. My friend, CHRIS DODD, with whom I had worked on many children and family issues and who worked with us on the HAVA Act, told me he never wanted to see a picture of that dog again, so I autographed the picture and gave it to him. I trust he still has it in his trusted memory box.

Right now we are engaged on the Senate floor in passing a bill that will stop historic tax increases from hitting most American families and the entire economy next year. I truly hope the House will be able to pass a bill for signature by President Obama so we can begin getting the economy to work again and preventing even more job losses. Assuming we can do it, the new Congress has to put our economy back on a sound footing. We must end the recent trend of the push for government overspending and passing the burdensome mandates on States and the private sector. Excessive regulations that go beyond reasonable safety and environmental restrictions are costing us jobs in agriculture, energy, and many other areas of the economy, and stopping badly needed developments that we in this country need.

The size of the debt has become an increasing concern for my constituents and others across the Nation. We have a debt problem that is caused by spending, not by having taxes too low. I am encouraged to see there has been more discussion of having a flat tax with lower rates, eliminating a wide range of deductions, credits, and other tax bill earmarks. Doing so would make it easier for all of us, as Americans, to fill out tax forms, eliminating the time and effort of figuring them out, and I think it should enable us to put more of those resources into what we need, our top priority: job creation.

Speaking of job creation, I think there are tremendous opportunities in export trade. I applaud President Obama's call for expanding trade to create jobs. I look forward to seeing his continued leadership and to seeing

Congress move forward promptly to adopt the trade agreements with Korea, Colombia, and Panama. For our intermediate-term future, it is essential the United States participate in the Trans-Pacific Partnership with countries on both sides of the Pacific to take down barriers to trade and increase export job opportunities.

As most of my colleagues know, I have been particularly interested in expanding trade with Southeast Asia, which I believe is not well understood by too many Americans. But the entire Asian region, however, provides huge opportunities for better American jobs through trade and investment across the Pacific.

In addition to expanding economic growth and jobs, trade is also an important element in SmartPower, the fight against terrorist insurgencies threatening other countries and ultimately those of us here at home. As I mentioned in the book the leader was kind enough to speak of, we can and must use trade, investment, and education interchanges to build strong economies as a necessary step as we use military action to stop imminent, violent threats. The combination can make stronger, stabler allies.

I think SmartPower was no better demonstrated than in the efforts of the Missouri National Guard Development team in Nangarhar Province in Afghanistan. These military-trained Guard men and women went to Afghanistan with strong private sector expertise in a wide range of agriculture activities and helped reestablish a profitable, legitimate agriculture in Agatha, while they were maintaining security.

By the end of the first 10-month growing season, illicit poppy production had dropped to zero in Agatha, which had been the second leading poppy producer in the Nation. I think we have to expand that model with more National Guard units deployed but also a better coordination of not only our military forces overseas but civilian assistance that must go with them. We must continue our efforts to avoid giving al-Qaida and its related terrorist allies an unchallenged place to develop recruiting and training camps, command and control units that threaten us.

One of the greatest challenges, however, is the publicly announced summer of 2011 withdrawal date from Afghanistan.

It has told our enemies they only need to wait until next summer to put our allies in the Karzai government on notice that we may not be there to protect them after the summer of 2011. As important, it tells the shura or local community leaders we will not be there next year to protect them from the Taliban, so they are less likely to cooperate with us. There must be a message, I believe, from the White House, widely disseminated, that we will pull

out of Afghanistan only when conditions on the ground indicate there will be security.

A high point of my legislative career got an impetus in 2007, when I went with Senator BAYH on a congressional delegation, a CODEL, to Afghanistan. We were told that the limitations in the old Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act were a great threat to our troops as well as to those of us on the ground. I worked, as the leader said, from that point until the summer of 2008, with the strong support of my Republican colleagues, and a workable compromise across the aisle was developed which gave the intelligence agencies the access they needed and, at the same time, extended the protection of rights of Americans overseas from unwarranted interception of messages by telephone or e-mail.

As a result, we currently have that ability, but we must go to work quickly to make sure other provisions of vital intelligence collection measures and authorizations do not expire without legislative extensions. For the United States, our homeland, our defense against terrorist acts from prisoners of war is essential, and we must prevent the release of Gitmo detainees to other countries, where they will return to the battlefield. The fact that one in four detainees already has come back is a frightening figure because we believe there are many more who will come back, and I fear one of those may conduct an attack on the United States. We need to have a law of war which allows us to hold them.

As a final thought on intelligence, however, the recent WikiLeaks scandal has shown us what damage the Internet can do to our diplomatic efforts as well as the safety of those in dangerous places with whom we have worked. The even greater threat we see is the continuing cyber attack on military intelligence and private sector critical infrastructure. With my colleague from Utah, Orrin Hatch, we have introduced a cyber security bill which will establish a cyber defense alliance to allow private sector entities to cooperate with government agencies to protect our critical financial systems, our utilities and, most of all, our communications systems from attack. The battle is underway, and we will need every effort to stay ahead of the developing attacks as well as helping the private sector protect their information.

In closing, I will tell my colleagues I have worked in all possible party combinations. I have been in the majority and minority. I have been fat and thin, and being thin and in the majority is a whole lot better. In my two terms as Governor, with a 70-percent Democratic majority in both the house and the senate general assembly, they explained to me how bipartisanship works. I figured it out during my second term, which enabled us to do better. It was my most successful term in

any office, and the general assembly and I both achieved passage of all the legislative priorities we had.

So now if my colleagues will permit a little parting advice from an old bull: Work together, play nice.

I would follow up on the leader's comment about a little scuffle I had with Pat Moynihan. I never talked about it. We never said anything publicly until now. Later on, as we became fast friends, he used to tease me about setting up boxing matches so we could raise money for charity. But when I looked at his height and his reach, I didn't take him up on that.

In a world today where enemies are real—the kind who seek to destroy others because of their religion—it is important to remember there is a lot of real estate between a political opponent and a true enemy. In government, we expect spirited and principled debate where ideas compete and the best ones prevail. There will be issues where people of good conscience cannot come together, but let us never let what cannot be done interfere with what can be done. Events in the world and threats will continue to challenge us—terrorism, the economy, and growing debt.

Nearly 24 years ago, I was sworn in as a U.S. Senator. Since that time, I have been honored to work with you and others on all the priorities facing our country and many more. Public service has been a blessing and a labor of love for me. Little in life could be more fulfilling.

But I look forward to the next chapter in my life. I am neither shy nor retiring. There are ways to serve, and elective office is only one of them. I plan to continue fighting for Missouri and national priorities from a different vantage point.

Throughout 40 years of public life, I have met many wonderful people. I have visited every area of the State every term I have served in office. The people I have met in office and the people I have worked with have made the job so rewarding I decided to stay longer. The people of Missouri have been my most trusted and valuable advisers and I thank them for giving me support and helping me to identify not only the challenges but the solutions.

In addition to my colleagues and friends, there are too many others to thank, but let me give you the first one. First, to my patient family—my wife Linda, the light and love of my life; my talented, charming daughter-in-law Margaret, and my son Sam, whom I regard as my personal hero for his service as a marine ground-intelligence officer in Iraq.

Thanks to all who have worked for me in my office, on my committees, and those who have helped me with political activities—hundreds and thousands over the years. Some were not born when I started, others have passed away. Fortunately, many are still here.

As MITCH said, I thank my political adversaries for keeping me nimble and the media for keeping me humble. Most of all, I thank the voters of Missouri for sending me to Jefferson City three times and Washington, DC four times to represent them. There is no greater honor. I have been truly blessed to be entrusted by them with the responsibility of public office. And I thank you from the bottom of my heart.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Missouri.

TRIBUTES TO RETIRING SENATORS

KIT BOND

Mrs. McCASKILL. Mr. President, I think it goes without saying there are things Senator BOND and I might disagree on, but today is not a time to talk about those things. I rise for a few minutes to talk about Senator BOND and the things I most respect and admire him for. It could be a very long list, and I don't want to take too long, but I am going to hit the high points of the things I think demand that anyone who has paid attention to Missouri needs to respect and admire this man for.

For 42 years, he has served the State of Missouri. Let us start there. He loves the State we call home. I would say that he knows it better than any living person in the world. He understands it, he is dedicated to it, and he has made Missouri his life's work. For that, he deserves my respect and admiration.

Secondly, he has made major sacrifices to serve. As the leader said, he graduated first in his class from the University of Virginia Law School; a graduate from Princeton. I don't need to explain to anybody in the Senate what that could mean in terms of one's career, in terms of making money. CHRISTOPHER KIT BOND could have been wealthy beyond anyone's imagination. He had the intellect, he had the personality to succeed in any business that he decided to engage in, and certainly in the practice of law.

I think in today's world there is so much cynicism about the people who choose a career of political service. This is a great example for civics classes throughout this country, to see that this is what we are talking about—someone who chose not to make big bucks, not to travel the halls of power in the private sector, but to toil in the fields of being a public servant. Yes, there are many things about being a public servant that are grand and glorious, but there is a lot that is not.

I would challenge anyone to go to as many farm bureau picnics as my colleague has gone to and not admit a little bit of fatigue. I would challenge anyone to have attended as many State fairs as my colleague has attended and not confess a little fatigue. I would challenge anyone to go to what my dad used to call the "slick ham suppers" in

small communities across the State after a long week of work, because he knew there were people there who were going to be rewarded by his presence and that it was part of his job. He realized that was very important. So I am very respectful and have great admiration for the fact that he has toiled in the field of public service for all these years.

The third thing I respect and admire about him is how proud he is of his family and how devoted he is to his wife. It is wonderful to behold when someone exudes love and admiration and devotion to those people who are most important to all of us—our families. I have watched Senator BOND as he began to immerse himself in foreign policy, and I know it was because he went to bed every night and woke up every morning thinking of Sam, and Sam's service and what Sam was doing and feeling, that compelled him to do as much as he could in the Halls of Congress to help men and women such as Sam Bond throughout our world.

Fourth, and maybe this is the best one, Senator KIT BOND is not afraid of a fight. I think that is terrific. You know, Missouri is a tough State. It is a tough State in that anybody who tells you their reelection is certain does not know or understand Missouri. Every election is a battle in Missouri. He has a record of nine and two in those elections. And for our beloved team, the Missouri Tigers, he and I would take that record any year in football. He has had three campaigns for Governor and four campaigns for the Senate from the State of Missouri, and his record is nine and one in those elections. Let me tell you, that is one remarkable achievement because in Missouri we have some strong-minded folks. We have a bunch of folks on one end who are very loud and very opinionated, and they are not going anywhere, and we have a bunch of folks on the other end who are just as loud and just as opinionated, and they are not going anywhere. But in the middle we have a grand and glorious group of very stubbornly independent people.

I like to point out to people that the State of Missouri elected John Ashcroft Governor and Harriett Woods Lieutenant Governor in the same election. Now, many of you may not know who Harriett Woods is, but I can assure you my colleague and I both know these two people—John Ashcroft and Harriett Woods—and they had absolutely nothing in common. They had completely divergent ideological views of the world, yet Missourians elected both of them. Why? I will tell you what that grand and gloriously stubborn streak of independents want in Missouri—they want someone with a smile.

Check for KIT BOND. When you think of KIT BOND, you think of him smiling. Even if his teeth are gritted, and he is

telling you something you don't want to hear or you can tell he is angry at you, he is still grinning. They appreciate his intellect. He has always been an intellectual giant, and that is important when you are toiling the fields of public service. His integrity. There was never a doubt in all of these years of KIT BOND's service that this was not a man of the very highest integrity. And finally, a work ethic. And gee howdy, Missourians want a work ethic. They want somebody who understands that they are working hard and they want to see you working hard, and that is exactly what Senator BOND has done for these 42 years. He has worked very hard, even down to planting his chestnut trees himself on the farm in Mexico.

So the magic formula of a ready smile, intellect, integrity, and an amazing work ethic has put him in the same category as some of Missouri's very greatest. From Thomas Hart Benton to Senator CHRISTOPHER KIT BOND, he has shown the world and shown our country what hard work, what somebody who loves the middle of America and all that it represents can do in the Senate.

He has been a wonderful role model for many of us in Missouri, even if we don't always agree on every issue. And by the way, I will tell this story today: When I took my desk in the State auditor's office, there is a tradition in the State auditor's office in Missouri that all the previous State auditors' pictures are around your office on a photo rail at the top. I sat down at my desk on the first day having been elected State auditor, and I looked up and who was directly across from me—KIT BOND and John Ashcroft. I will confess I moved the order so I didn't have to look at both of you every single day. But you were a reminder to me that there are many different ways to serve.

It is with a great deal of reluctance that I say farewell to Senator KIT BOND in the Senate. He has served here well, he has served his State well, and I hope he remains a colleague and friend of mine for many years to come.

With the utmost admiration and respect, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from North Dakota is recognized.

Mr. CONRAD. Mr. President, I also want to add my voice in respect and recognition for the service of Senator KIT BOND. He has been a terrific colleague. We have jostled over issues such as water policy affecting our two States, but he has always conducted himself with honor and integrity and he will be missed in this Chamber.

REMEMBERING RICHARD HOLBROOKE

Mr. CONRAD. Mr. President, for a moment, I also want to note the passing of Richard Holbrooke, a distinguished ambassador, somebody who has played a key role in working on the policy towards Iraq and Afghanistan.

Richard Holbrooke was a giant in American diplomatic history. Richard Holbrooke was a friend. I actually was with him the Sunday before he passed away and was shocked to learn that he had been stricken; even more shocked to learn that he passed away on Monday.

Richard Holbrooke leaves an extraordinary legacy of working for peace and for advancing the interests of this country. Richard Holbrooke will be missed.

Mr. President, I have come to the floor to discuss the tax extension package before us. I support this package because it will provide, I believe, a significant boost to the economy next year. It is necessary because the alternative would be a significant tax increase on millions of middle-class families in just a matter of weeks.

I recognize this package will increase the deficit over the next 2 years, but we need to distinguish between what is the right economic policy short term and longer term. Short term, I don't think there is any question that this economy remains weak, unemployment stubbornly high, and that means we need to do more to provide liquidity in the short term. That does not mean that we should ignore the growing debt that is all around us. That is a longer term challenge, but it requires our urgent attention.

We need to put together a plan this year to deal with our deficits and debt. That is what the fiscal commission was all about that Senator GREGG and I pushed for, which has just recently concluded its work, with 11 of the 18 members endorsing a plan to reduce our debt by \$4 trillion.

Just as with that package, I do not agree with all elements of this package. In fact, part of this tax package I strongly oppose.

Most notably, I am opposed to those provisions that give overly generous tax reductions to the wealthiest among us in the estate tax area. But I understand that the President did what he had to do to get an agreement. This economy clearly remains in a fragile state and we can't afford to wait until we get everything we want. We cannot let the perfect be the enemy of the good. Too often in this Chamber, in this Congress, people insist on having it their way or take the highway. Unfortunately, that prevents us from doing things that are absolutely essential for the Nation.

Economists project that a failure to pass this package could reduce economic growth next year by as much as 50 percent. That would mean millions of jobs. So those who say, well, let's just scuttle this, have to think very carefully. What is the risk to the economy of the United States?

Just to review where we have come from, I believe the Federal response to the recession and the financial crisis

has successfully pulled this economy back from the brink. I believe we were headed for financial collapse. Economic growth has returned—not as robustly as we would have liked, but nonetheless it has returned. In the fourth quarter of 2008, lest we forget, economic growth was a negative 6.8 percent. In the most recent quarter, it was a positive 2.5. That is a remarkable turnaround.

The same can be seen on the job front. In January of 2009, the economy lost over 800,000 private sector jobs in 1 month. The next month we lost another 700,000 jobs; the next month, another 700,000; the next month, almost 650,000. Now we fast forward to today, November of 2010, and 50,000 jobs were created. That is a dramatic turnaround, and we can see for month after month after month that we now have positive job growth. This economy has turned in the right direction and has done so in quite a dramatic way.

We have also seen the rebound in the markets. The stock market hit a low of 6,547 back on March 9, 2009. We are now well over 11,000. So in economic growth, job creation, and the stock market, we have seen dramatic improvement as a direct result of TARP and the stimulus program.

This economy still remains too weak, too fragile, with unemployment stubbornly high at 9.8 percent. By the way, without TARP, without stimulus, the best economists in this country, including Alan Blinder, the former deputy chairman of the Fed, and the chief economist at Moody's, Mark Zandi, said without TARP, without stimulus, unemployment today would be 15 percent—8 million more people would be unemployed. Despite some who say they haven't worked, TARP and stimulus, I believe the evidence is quite clear they have worked.

But more needs to be done. As we enter the holiday season, we can't forget that one in six Americans are now unemployed or underemployed and so we must do more to create jobs.

In a recent speech to the European Central Bank, Federal Reserve Chairman Ben Bernanke went as far as he could go on the question of fiscal policy, and he urged Congress to do more to help the near-term economy, while at the same time taking steps to bring down long-term deficits. This is what he said:

On its current economic trajectory, the United States runs the risk of seeing millions of workers unemployed or underemployed for many years. As a society we should find that outcome unacceptable. Monetary policy is working in support of both economic recovery and price stability, but there are limits to what can be achieved by the Central Bank alone. A fiscal program that combines near-term measures to enhance growth with strong confidence-inducing steps to reduce longer term structural deficits would be an important complement to the policies of the Federal Reserve.

I think the Chairman has it right. He is clearly saying the Fed alone and its actions are not enough to keep the recovery going. Congress also needs to act. It needs to act in the near term by taking steps to generate economic growth, and it needs to act on the long-term challenge by putting in place a plan to bring down deficits and debt in the immediate term and in the longer term.

This package, the one before us, will ensure that middle-class taxpayers are not hit with a tax increase at the start of the year. It extends for 2 years all of the 2001 and 2003 tax cuts. By the way, by far the most important thing for the economy is the middle-class tax cut. That is what is critically important to the economy. The tax cuts for the high end—we can either do or not do—in effect are mildly stimulative but, according to CBO, they have pretty low bang for the buck in terms of economic growth. That is the high end tax cuts—pretty low bang for the buck, according to the CBO.

This package also has the expanded child credit and earned-income tax credit for families, the American opportunity tax credit for college expenses, an alternative minimum tax fix—otherwise millions of people would be getting a tax hike completely unintended—and the R&D tax credit and other expiring provisions. This package, according to the best economic advice we can get, will help economic growth, will help job creation, creating additional jobs in the private sector next year.

The package also includes three critical measures to help the economy. It includes a payroll tax cut for working families. This will provide a 2-percentage point reduction in employees' Social Security payroll taxes; a worker with \$40,000 in income would save \$800. This measure is widely recognized as one of the most effective ways to boost near-term growth.

In fact, I asked CBO last year: What are the most effective steps we could take to promote economic growth. No. 1, interestingly enough, extend unemployment insurance. That is in this package. No. 2, a payroll tax holiday. That is in this package.

In fact, as I indicated, this package has an extension of unemployment insurance benefits at their current level for 13 months. This will prevent 7 million workers from losing unemployment in 2011. Economists also rank this measure as high on bang for the buck, as I indicated.

It also includes a business expensing provision allowing businesses to write off 100 percent of capital purchases in 2011. This is a useful incentive to get businesses to start spending again and could generate more than \$50 billion in additional investment in 2011. And, again, CBO rated this measure as high on bang for the buck.

Here are some of the examples of the tax cut benefits provided by this package. A mother with one child with \$20,000 in income will receive a \$1,100 tax cut, a married couple with \$40,000 of income will receive a tax cut of almost \$2,000, and a married couple with two children with \$60,000 of income will receive a tax cut of more than \$3,300.

Mark Zandi, the chief economist for Moody's and a former adviser to Senator McCain's Presidential campaign, has examined the potential economic impact of this package. This is what he concluded:

The fiscal policy compromise will be good for the economy next year. The mandatory tax cuts and spending increases will provide a substantial boost to growth in 2011, ensuring that the still fragile economic recovery evolves into a self-sustaining economic expansion. The deal's surprisingly broad scope meaningfully changes the near-term economic outlook.

That is according to Mark Zandi, chief economist for Moody's.

For those who are concerned about the deficit, as I am, job one is to get this economy growing more strongly. That is job one. Then we have to pivot and deal with the long-term plan to deal with the deficit and the debt. As I noted previously, the one provision in this package that I particularly am unhappy with is the estate tax provision. I support the continuation of the 2009 level with an estate tax exemption of \$3.5 million for an individual, \$7 million for a couple, and a rate of 45 percent. At those levels only one-quarter of 1 percent of estates would be subject to any estate tax in 2011—one-quarter of 1 percent of estates would be affected. That means 99.75 percent of estates would be exempt from any estate tax under the provisions I am proposing and did propose in the budget.

Unfortunately, under the compromise package certain of our colleagues on the other side insisted that the exemption level be raised to \$5 million for individuals, \$10 million per couple, with the rate of 35 percent. This will reduce the number of estates subject to the estate tax to one-seventh of 1 percent. It adds about \$20 billion to the cost of the package over 2 years, and it will do absolutely nothing to generate economic growth and to create jobs.

If made permanent, this provision would add \$100 billion in lost revenue to the Treasury in the next 10 years—\$100 billion more than the package that I proposed. I don't think that is fiscally responsible, I don't think it is wise, and I don't think it should be approved.

While we need to pass the overall package to give a near-term boost to the economy, we must also now pivot and deal with the Nation's growing debt. Gross Federal debt is already expected to reach 100 percent of the gross domestic product of this country in 2011—well above the 90 percent threshold that many economists see as the danger zone.

One of our Nation's leading economists, Dr. Carmen Reinhart, came before the President's fiscal commission. She had recently coauthored a study of the impact of debt on more than 20 countries over the last 200 years. She concluded that when government debt as a share of the economy exceeds 90 percent, economic growth tends to be about 1 percentage point lower than if debt levels were not so high. But don't be misled by 1 point lower. That sounds like nothing. The economy is growing typically at 3½ percent. One point less would be about one-third less economic growth. So we need to understand—the consequences of debt are lower economic growth for the future.

Our long-term debt outlook is even more serious. According to the Congressional Budget Office, Federal debt could rise on the current trend to almost 400 percent of GDP by 2054. That is a completely unsustainable course. I personally believe the deficit and debt reduction plan assembled by the President's fiscal commission, on which I served, could prove a way forward. Even though the plan did not receive the necessary 14 of the 18 votes on the commission to guarantee a vote in Congress, it did receive the support of 11 of the 18 commission members, which is more than 60 percent of the panel. With 60 percent here, we can pass anything. But on our Commission we required 14 of 18 of the Commissioners to agree to assure a vote in Congress this year.

By the way, among the 11 who supported the plan, it was completely bipartisan: 5 Democrats, 5 Republicans, and 1 Independent. That outcome proved that Democrats and Republicans can come together to solve this challenge.

Here is a quick overview of the fiscal commission plan: It provides nearly \$4 trillion in deficit reduction over the next 10 years. It lowers the deficit from 8 percent of GDP in 2011 to 2.3 percent in 2015 and 1.2 percent in 2020. It stabilizes the debt by 2014 and then lowers it to 60 percent of GDP by 2023 and 40 percent of GDP by 2035. It reforms Social Security to ensure its solvency for at least 75 years and puts the program on a more sustainable path beyond the next 75 years. And it includes fundamental tax reform, making the Tax Code simpler, fairer, and more efficient, while also raising more revenue for deficit reduction.

Now that we have a responsible and realistic bipartisan plan on the table and national attention is focused on the issue, it is up to Congress and the President to finish the job.

Tax reform may be the most important component of the fiscal commission plan. Here are the key elements included in the fiscal commission plan: It eliminates or scales back tax expenditures that are currently running \$1.1 trillion a year and lowers tax

rates. That will promote economic growth and dramatically improve America's global competitiveness. And it makes the Tax Code more progressive. The Commission's illustrative tax reform plan demonstrates how scaling back tax expenditures can lower rates.

This plan is a beginning. It has to become law in order to have its full effect. I hope very much our colleagues will consider supporting this plan, the tax plan before us, and the deficit reduction plan that needs to be an integral component of a long-term fiscal plan for the Nation.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Ohio is recognized.

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to set aside the second-degree amendment to the Reid-McConnell substitute to offer amendment No. 4763.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. ISAKSON. I object.

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. President, Senator STABENOW, Democrat from Michigan, Senator WICKER, a Republican from Mississippi, and I bring this amendment to the floor to extend for 1 year modest enhancements to the Health Coverage Tax Credit Program. I am going to throw a slight curve ball and start with the cost of this amendment, which will help place its benefits into context.

While we are awaiting a final score, based on some preliminary numbers, this amendment should come in under \$50 million. That is less than .006 percent of the cost of this legislation. It is \$50 million out of roughly \$800 million.

Now let's look at who the amendment helps.

It helps Americans who took a kidney punch when the companies for which they worked either packed up and moved their operations overseas, or when the companies for which they worked went bankrupt and turned their pension obligations over to the Pension Benefit Guarantee Corporation.

I probably do not have to tell you what it means when an American's pension goes over to PBGC. It means that American's pension is slashed—often dramatically.

So these are Americans who either lost their jobs and their health coverage, or lost large chunks of their pensions and their health coverage.

As I stated earlier, this amendment would extend modest enhancements to the health coverage tax credit or HCTC.

The HCTC was established 8 years ago to help these workers and retirees purchase private health coverage to replace the employer-sponsored coverage they lost.

Unfortunately, because of the modest size of the tax credit and other limitations, many credit-eligible individuals have remained uninsured.

And as too many Americans know, the combination of no health insurance and a dramatically reduced pension spells financial hardship. Dramatic financial hardship, particularly for people forced into early unplanned retirement.

These are Americans who worked hard, were loyal to their companies, earned their pensions and employer-sponsored health coverage day after day after day until the day they watched it all evaporate.

Americans like Mike, from Brookville, OH, who wrote me to let me know how important the tax credit is and how worried he is that it will revert back to covering only 65 percent of premium.

Mike is a Delphi retiree, thousands of who were left high and dry when the new GM abandoned them.

Larry from Miamisburg, OH, is another Delphi retiree.

In his letter to me, he said: I am writing to ask for help for us the Delphi retirees. First for the HCTC increase and ultimately for the loss of our retirements. Sir, they have taken everything from us, even now our dignity.

Larry and Mike are victims of what can only be called a myopic pension deal cut by the new GM during its bankruptcy proceedings.

The new GM clung to an agreement signed back in 1999 in order to provide full pensions to some union Delphi retirees and allow other nonunion and union retirees to receive deep pension cuts.

Both groups of former employees—those who received their full benefits and those who did not—devoted most of their careers to GM before Delphi was spun off.

Both groups of former employees earned their pensions by working hard for GM year-in and year-out.

But Mike, Larry, and others like them were forced to live with financially devastating pension cuts, while their counterparts received their full pensions.

And now these same retirees may once again lose access to health coverage.

To prevent it, we need to extend the enhanced HCTC provisions.

Under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act ARRA, the health coverage tax credit was increased slightly and the rules surrounding it were made more flexible.

These modest changes enabled tens of thousands of trade-affected workers and retirees to use the tax credit and purchase private health coverage to replace the employer-sponsored health benefits they lost. Specifically the tax credit now covers 80 percent, rather than 65 percent of coverage costs; rather than 65 percent of coverage costs; beneficiaries are allowed to use the coverage to purchase coverage for

themselves and their spouses; and they are allowed to apply the credit to less expensive coverage under a Voluntary Employee Benefit Association VEBA.

Since these provisions were put into place, the number of displaced workers and retirees using the health coverage tax credit has more than tripled, increasing from about 14,000 to approximately 50,000.

But the health coverage tax credit provisions are set to expire at the end of this year.

We can not let that happen.

It does not matter where the enhanced health coverage tax credit provisions come from. It could have been the Recovery Act. It could have been a bill the minority championed. The vehicle does not matter.

But the merits of these provisions do matter. That is why Senators STABENOW and WICKER and I bring this amendment forward.

These provisions have merit. They will keep Americans insured in an environment where the lack of coverage, coupled with pension cuts, could mean impoverishment. If we do not extend these provisions, the spouses of former workers will definitely lose their coverage, and those former workers themselves likely will. That is in no one's best interests.

As I mentioned earlier, approving this amendment would likely increase the cost of this bill by less than .006 percent.

That is a small price to pay for a lifetime. It is a small price to pay to keep middle class Americans from slipping into poverty.

This should not be a matter of debate. This should not be the focus of a partisan divide. This should be a small step all of us take together on behalf of Americans who did what we asked them to do.

They deserve our respect, they deserve our consideration, and—as our economy continues to pose challenges even before the hardships these Americans face—they deserve this modest extension of tax credit benefits.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the amendment, No. 4805, be printed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered. It will be printed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Georgia is recognized.

Mr. ISAKSON. I ask unanimous consent to be recognized for up to 7 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. ISAKSON. Mr. President, I rose yesterday afternoon when we opened the vote and voted in favor of going to a final vote today on the tax package before us. Like many have expressed in this body, there are things I like and things I dislike about it, but I come to the floor today to talk about the

things I like about it and to make a particular point with regard to scoring.

First, I want to point out that 41 days ago the people of the United States went to the polls and voted. In the State of Georgia they voted for me. I ran a campaign on the basis that we do not have a tax problem; we have a spending problem. I ran a campaign based on the American people wanting us in Washington to do what they have had to do in the last 3 years: sit down at the kitchen table, reprioritize, and spend within their means. We must do that.

I commend what Senator CONRAD from North Dakota said, and I commend the courage of the others who voted for the deficit reduction commission report because it is the kind of shared sacrifice and tough love that all of us need next year to rein in spending in this country and get our balance back. But in the immediate future, in the next 3½ weeks, America's taxes are going up at a time of protracted recession and high unemployment. That doesn't make any sense.

In 2003, when I was in the House, I didn't like the idea of putting a sunset on the Bush tax package because I feared exactly what is happening now—protracted uncertainty, 2-year renewals, American business not knowing what to do. While I will vote for this package today, I hope we will learn the lesson that 2-year incremental sunsets or things such as that are not good for the economy and not good for America. We, as Members of this Congress and this Senate, must deal with challenges when they confront us—not by arbitrarily setting times for sunsets and sunrises that make us make policy under duress and difficult circumstances.

But on the scoring issue I want to point out two things about the tax rates and about the estate tax. There are those who say by extending the existing tax rates we cut revenue that would have come in. Hypothetically, that is correct, but in reality that is not correct because, historically, from John Kennedy to Ronald Reagan to George W. Bush, Republicans and Democrats who were confronted with difficult economic times, when they changed tax policy and lessened the burden, they increased the revenue. So my forecast based on the next 2 years is we will see for the first time a clear example of dynamic scoring and hopefully change a little bit of CBO's mind on how they look on tax policy. I think we are going to see more employment, we are going to see more risk capital put out by business, and we are going to see a sense of certainty and a sense of optimism, which certainly our country needs.

As far as the estate tax—and I love very much the Senator from North Dakota, but I disagree vehemently on his explanation about the estate tax. Let

me tell you the reality of the estate tax. I have dealt with it. I have dealt with it for 33 years as a real estate broker in the State of Georgia.

The assets of most American families are real estate, whether it is farmers and landowners or whether it is simply a homeowner. Other wealth in America is by people who have a small business. With the confiscatory tax rate of 55 percent, which is what it would be January 1, and an inordinately low deduction or unified credit of \$1 million, most American landowners, most American business owners who had an estate worth anything over \$1 million would have had to liquidate their estates to pay their taxes.

A little known fact about the IRS Code that a lot of people don't realize but we all suffer from is that when you die, you have 9 months to file your taxes and pay your taxes with the government. They have 3 years to say whether they will accept it. So in a 9-month period of time, a family at a point of bereavement, with some assets, find themselves at a rate of 55 percent. That is confiscatory, and it is not right. If they have to liquidate their property or sell their business that asset no longer produces income; therefore, income taxes go down.

I can demonstrate on a graph or chart or blackboard that an asset that has to be liquidated and paid at a tax rate of 55 percent one time does not, over 10 years, pay as much as would have been paid over the earned income that small business or land would have created. So the estate tax 2-year deal is a good deal, and it should be permanent. Five million dollars is a lot of money, but in the scheme of things for a small business, a family farm, a cooperative, it is not a lot of money. But it is the lifeblood of a lot of families. If we confiscate that business or confiscate that land because the tax rate forces a sale, then we are actually hurting ourselves in the long run, and we are hurting families in the long run.

Last, there is a spending component, and we are going to have to, next year, sit around the kitchen table of the Senate and deal with our spending because it is out of hand. But I do believe the tax policy we are extending for the next 2 years will bode well for our economy. I agree with Senator CONRAD it will probably help increase productivity by about one-third, which will be good for our country. It will be good for our tax rates. If we can combine that with a fiscal policy that has shared sacrifice and tough love when it comes to spending, we can regenerate the American dream and the great American engine of entrepreneurship and return our country to the prosperity we all hope and desire it will have.

With those remarks, I yield the floor.

RECESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate stands in recess until the hour of 2:15 p.m.

Thereupon, the Senate, at 12:44 p.m., recessed until 2:15 p.m. and reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer (Mr. BEGICH).

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION EXTENSION ACT OF 2010—Continued

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from New Hampshire.

FAREWELL TO THE SENATE

Mr. GREGG. Mr. President, I rise today on behalf of myself and my wife Kathy to thank the people of New Hampshire for giving us the great honor and privilege to represent them.

This is an extraordinary body, the Senate. It is filled with wonderful people. I look around this room and I see a lot of them, friends, people I have had the chance to do work with. I admire them immensely. I thank them for their friendship. And when people ask me about leaving the Senate, what is the thing I am going to miss the most, I always say, it is the people, the people of the Senate, because they are special, dedicated to making this country a better place, dedicated to doing their jobs well, dedicated to serving America.

So I thank you for the great honor and privilege that you have given Kathy and me to allow us to serve and participate in this body with yourselves and your spouses. I want to thank everybody else who has been so helpful throughout our career, the folks here at the dias, the staff, people in the cloakroom, throughout this building. I mean, there are so many people who make this Senate work, people working in the furniture room, and people working in the hallways, our staffs, obviously.

This is a special place filled with people who are committed to making the Senate work. I thank them for allowing Kathy and me to be part of that. But I want to take a point of personal privilege here and especially thank my wife Kathy who is here today. You are not allowed to acknowledge people, I know that, but I am going to violate the rules. My wife is sitting right up there. Kathy.

We have been married 37 years, and for 32 of those years we have held elective office; 9 major campaigns, innumerable campaigns such as those for other people that we have participated in. Through this whole intensity—and we all know, who have participated in this process, the intensity of the elective process in this Nation—there has been a rock and a solid force in our family. She has raised three extraordinary children, Molly, Sarah and Joshua, who have been exceptional in

their own right and have done exceptional things, even though they are still young by our standards. Some of them think they are aging a little bit, but they are still young.

Their value system and their belief in this Nation and their willingness to give of themselves to other people is a direct expression of the values Kathy has given them; sometimes a little overcompetitive on occasion, but that has been one of her strengths also. We have been through some hard times and some good times, and always she has been there to basically be our lighthouse. So I express my love and thanks to her.

Bismarck, at the beginning of the 20th century said—first I should say, Kathy told me I should not walk back and forth like this. I have been doing it for 18 years. And she says it makes people sick who are watching it on TV. Like the famous time she called up, and we were having a colloquy, and there were a bunch of us talking this way, and I am talking to, I think, JOHNNY ISAKSON. She calls the floor staff and says: Go out and tell him to turn around and face the cameras.

Bismarck, at the turn of the 20th century—of course, Bismarck was one of the true great forces in Europe throughout the late 1800s and into the 1900s—said that: The defining fact of the 19th century was that England and the United States spoke the same language.

What I think he meant was that the defining fact of the 19th century was that England and the United States had a value system which believed in the individual, in liberty, democracy, and markets. It was a value system that grew out of the Scottish Enlightenment, people such as John Locke, Hutcheson, Adam Smith.

In the 20th century, if you look at it, it was a test of that value system against the other value systems which had come up over the years, mostly totalitarianism. There was a test of democracy against fascism, a test of democracy against totalitarian socialism. And we won. We won that test.

The second big challenge of the 20th century was a test of how you would create prosperity for people, a test of markets versus communism, of markets versus, again, totalitarian socialism. And by the end of the 20th century, there was no longer an issue, no longer an issue. The American philosophy of government had come to dominate the world—democracy, individual liberty, and markets. The whole world was moving in that direction. Now we are 10 years into the next century, and we are challenged again, challenged again. This time the challenge is different: Substantive, significant. Maybe not at the same level that the Soviet Union represented a challenge, because they had the capacity to destroy us, maybe not even at the same level of

fights against Japan, fascist Japan and fascist Germany. But the challenges are huge and they will determine our future as a country.

They basically, in my opinion, break into two primary areas: The first is, of course, the threat of a terrorist group using a weapon of mass destruction against us. We must acknowledge that 9/11 fundamentally changed our culture, changed our personality as a nation, and caused us to realize our vulnerability. That threat of terrorism is driven by a fanatical belief in a religious philosophy. We should not deny that. We should acknowledge that. Because in order to defeat that threat we have to understand that.

The second major thrust that I see as our concern as we go forward is clearly of our own making. It is a positive making, but it is still an issue for us, and that is we have a nation which has always been extraordinarily prosperous, where one generation has always passed on to the next generation a better, more prosperous, and more secure country. Yet today we are on the cusp of not being able to do that again, because we have this population, of which I am a member, called the baby boom generation, which is taking our retired population from 35 million to 70 million people. As a result, we and the rest of the world, and in Japan for that matter, because of this demographic shift, find ourselves confronted with governments which are struggling to figure out how they are going to pay for what our entitlement society is. The way I have sort of phrased it is that when a populist government, a government that moves by election of the people—when a populist government meets a massive demographic shift in an entitlement society, you get unsustainable debt. That is something we confront right now and need to stand up to.

Those two streams are our biggest concerns, or at least my biggest concerns as I leave the Senate: How do we defend ourselves against a fanatical movement, which has an asymmetry base, which wants to do us harm,—they are not a nation state, we cannot find them easily—but wants to do us harm and will do us harm if they have the capacity, and will do it with a weapon of mass destruction? And, secondly, how do we deal with this shift in our society—this is driving the populist movement, which is making our structure of government unaffordable in many ways?

America's greatness and our ability to address the issues such as this comes from our people and from our Constitution. It is that Constitution which embraces, basically, the liberties that allow our people to create prosperity and give this Nation its strength.

Our freedom and prosperity is absolutely resilient. There is no question

about that. But government can either be an enabler of that freedom and that resilience or it can be a stifler of it. Whether we are going to succeed, I believe, is whether we continue to assert the core values which allow us to govern well, and they all basically arise from our Constitution.

I have the good fortune to sit at the Webster desk. Daniel Webster was a Senator from Massachusetts. New Hampshire, in an act of appropriate stealthiness, had the desk designated to the senior Senator from New Hampshire by statute in the 1970s. It is a great honor to have the right to sit at this desk. Webster and Clay kept this Nation together at a time when had it been torn apart. It would no longer have existed, because we were not capable. We had no Lincoln, and we had no strength of the North to survive.

Webster, in his speech on the Compromise of 1850, said:

I mean to stand upon the Constitution. I need no other platform. I know but one country. No man can suffer too much. No man can fall too soon if he suffers on or if he fails in defense of the liberties of the Constitution of our country.

At the center of our constitutional form of government, which was designed by Madison and Randolph, which was built on the concept that there should never be an overly powerful branch of the government, at the center of this government is the Senate. It is the cauldron of liberty for our Nation.

Why is that? Because it is the place where issues are aired, people are heard, amendments are made, and no one gets to shut down the minority until a supermajority decides to do so. The rights of the minority are the source of the power of our government. They are the source of the power of our Constitution. They are the source of the power of our liberty.

This is the center, this institution is the center of the rights of the minority. I have been in the minority. I have been in the majority. It is almost irrelevant from the standpoint of the importance of the role of the Senate, because it is the Senate that gives voice to all Americans, that does not allow us to shut out any American or any thought process in America that is legitimate and which can come to the floor of the Senate and make its case.

I have often wondered, what would this government be like if there were no Senate? Well, it would be a parliamentary government, for all intents and purposes, lurching to the left, lurching to the right, and as a result, in many ways, undermining individual rights, but, more importantly, having no continuity of purpose or force.

We play politics in this city and in this country between the 40 yard lines, for all intents and purposes. We are not a government that ever moves too radically left or radically right. That is the

way it should be. That is the way it should be. In this institution, compromise is required. To govern you must reach agreement. We are 300 million people obviously of a diverse view. If we are going to govern 300 million people, we must listen to those who have legitimate views on both sides of the aisle.

So as I leave this Chamber, I want to say this, simply: It has been a huge honor to have the chance to serve here. It is something that is the highlight of our career, Kathy's and mine. We move on with reservations, we hopefully move on to something equally interesting, but it will never have the same status as being in the Senate.

This, to me, is the ultimate job when it comes to the governance of America. I simply ask you who stay here—and I know this will be done—continue to carry the torch. Understand that it is the Senate that is the center of the liberty that leads to the prosperity our people expect. It is the Senate that is the center of our Constitution.

Thank you very much.

(Applause, Senators rising.)

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Republican leader.

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I would hope it is not the intention of the senior Senator from New Hampshire to leave the floor. The accolades our friend and colleague, the senior Senator from New Hampshire, has just received from both sides of the aisle are richly deserved. I would hope he might be able to stay a bit longer so some of us have a chance to comment on his extraordinarily distinguished career.

He has devoted his entire life to public service, always served with a deep sense of purpose and with the overriding conviction that we must leave America in a better place than we found it, as he so articulately expressed. He has worked tirelessly for the people of New Hampshire and for all Americans, and he has been a truly invaluable member of the Republican Conference. He is the smartest guy in the room, usually the most strategic, and as witty as they come. Yet even as JUDD's national profile has increased over the years as a result of his many natural gifts, he never lost sight of where he came from or the people he represents back home in New Hampshire.

JUDD grew up in Nashua in southern New Hampshire and was introduced to the world of politics early on. In 1952, when he was just 5 years old, his father Hugh Gregg was elected Governor of the State. JUDD went on to Phillips Exeter Academy for high school in the mid-1960s and to Columbia University after that, graduating with a degree in English in 1969. It was an eye-opening experience being in New York City, particularly in those years. JUDD took it all in. He jokes that his minor in college was subway exploration.

Even as he witnessed all the student demonstrations and clashes with police on campus, he found time to dress up as the school's mascot for a time, the Columbia Royal Lion, working the sidelines at games. JUDD returned north to attend law school at Boston University and got his J.D. in 1972 and then an LL.M. in tax law in 1975. Then he returned to New Hampshire to practice law.

Meanwhile, he began to venture into New Hampshire primary politics, coordinating primary campaigns for Ronald Reagan in 1976 and George H.W. Bush in 1980. It was during this time that he really developed his conservative principles. Over the years, he has stuck to those principles, and the voters have rewarded him for it. He has never lost a race—not one. Part of the reason JUDD wins is that he is not afraid to lose. He would rather lose for the right reasons than win for the wrong ones.

Over the years, he has become something of a political legend in New Hampshire, and for good reason; he is the first person in New Hampshire history to serve as Congressman, Governor, and Senator. He was first elected to Congress in 1980, where he would serve four terms, and then, in what some viewed as a political gamble, he followed his father's footsteps to run for Governor in 1988. He was elected and easily reelected in 1990.

During his second term, New Hampshire, like the rest of the country, faced a difficult recession. But faced with pressure to raise the State's income tax or sales tax, he cut government spending instead. The New Hampshire Union Leader would later credit JUDD as being able to manage the State through the crisis far better than anyone expected, and the Wall Street Journal ranked him ninth in its Good Governor Guide for cutting spending and keeping a lid on taxes during a serious budget crisis.

In 1992, JUDD decided to run for U.S. Senate on his strong record on environmental protection and fiscal discipline. He won a close race. Upon arriving in this Chamber, JUDD immediately set out to work for the people of New Hampshire. I know one of the things he is proud of in his nearly 17 years in the Senate is the work he has done to protect more than 300,000 acres of land in New Hampshire from development. He can also be justifiably proud of the remarkable work he has done as a Republican, the top Republican on the Senate Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions Committee, and, most importantly from our Conference's point of view, on the Budget Committee, where his knowledge and command of the issues always impressed the rest of us. He was clearly the right man for the job. When the budget came up, I think we would all agree on our side of the aisle, when JUDD stood up and had

something to say, everybody quieted down and listened. You can't say that about all of us on every issue all of the time. We recognized his talents from the very beginning.

Just 2 years after arriving here, he was selected to serve as chief deputy whip as well as cochairman of Senator Dole's Senate agenda committee, a working group tasked with developing and managing the Republican agenda at that particular juncture. It was the first time in 20 years that a Senator from New Hampshire had served in a Senate leadership role.

He never hesitated to work across the aisle to get things done. JUDD understood that to make something happen in this body, as he just described, it happens between the 40 yard lines, and that means both sides have to participate. He teamed up with Senator Kennedy to coauthor No Child Left Behind. Referring to that particular accomplishment, JUDD once said:

I don't think any of us ever gave up our basic principles . . . Ted just understood that even though he had strong beliefs . . . he understood you had to legislate to accomplish that. There was no point in just standing off in the corner and shouting.

History will remember that JUDD also played a central role in Congress's response to the financial crisis of 2008 which we all remember very well. With our Nation on the brink of economic collapse, I was to select one person to represent our point of view at that critical moment. The choice was completely obvious, the one person we had who everybody knew had no other agenda and would at the end of the day do what was right for the country. So I made him the top Republican negotiator on the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act, now infamously referred to as TARP. His top priority then and throughout the entire debate over the effort was to ensure that the original package protected taxpayers by including language in the bill that stated all proceeds from the paybacks would go to reducing the debt, and he did a fabulous job.

It was because of JUDD's principles, intelligence, common sense, and ability to work across the aisle, as I indicated, that I asked him to join my leadership team after I was elected Republican leader. I have relied on him heavily these last 4 years. JUDD has been right in the middle of every legislative debate we have had since I have been in this position. He has never disappointed. He has been so effective, in fact, that Senator REID gave him a couple of nicknames late in his career. First he called him the "see-if-we-can-mess-up-the-legislation guy." After that, he described JUDD as "somebody who comes into a basketball game, not to score points, just to kind of rough people up, just to kind of get the game going in a different direction."

I think JUDD and I would both agree that is a heck of a compliment. In fact,

this is Senator GREGG's reaction to those nicknames given to him by the Democratic leader:

I appreciate the Senator's comments. I take them as a compliment. I have been active legislatively. That is, obviously, our job.

It is funny how people see things differently. I never saw JUDD as a Bill Laimbeer-type player out on the court just to rough people up. I always saw JUDD—sticking with the basketball metaphors for a moment—as the intelligent point guard, as the ideas guy with the extraordinary judgment, as the type of guy who could see the whole floor, the big picture, and could make the unselfish play that would win the game.

Over the years, that is exactly what JUDD did for our team. He has been instrumental in our efforts to hold the line, slow down or call out the Democrats these past 2 years in particular on an agenda that we viewed as deeply harmful to our future. He has been an indispensable member of the team. In fact, I am not sure where we would be now without him, and sometimes I have wondered where we will be a few years down the road without him. But he leaves his example, and he leaves the knowledge he has passed on along the years, and we will all continue to draw on that in the years ahead.

JUDD was recently asked what the hardest thing about being a Senator was, and he answered without hesitation. The hardest thing was being away from his family. It is another principle on which he never, ever hedged.

I made a decision early on in my career which I've carried throughout my career—that if the choice was between being here and being with something that was important to my family, I would be with my family. Maybe my children feel differently, but I don't think I have missed anything that was really critical in their upbringing.

Which brings us to Kathy, as JUDD indicated, a wife of 37 years, a cherished member of the Senate family. We are so grateful for Kathy's grace and patience with the demands of public life, along with her important work in education, promoting the arts, the environment, and historic preservation, as well as her work in raising awareness about child abuse. Somehow, she and JUDD's three children—Molly, Sarah, and Joshua—managed to put up with JUDD's three decades of public service, and we thank them all for sharing JUDD with us all these years in Washington.

One of JUDD's greatest assets as a Senator has been his profound love for this institution and his gratitude for having had a chance to serve as a Member of it. He never took this place or this job for granted. As he once put it:

From my first day in the Senate to today, I remain in awe of this fabulously interesting place. When I'm on the floor and I look around and take in its history, it never ceases to hit me that this is the most suc-

cessful deliberative democracy in history. It's an honor to serve there.

To say that I tried to convince JUDD to stay is an understatement.

But he knew it was his time to move on and to write the next chapter in his life. While Senators come and go all the time, I cannot help but note that when JUDD walks out of this Chamber—when he walks out of this Chamber for the last time—he will leave an enormous void.

So I will close, old friend and colleague, by saying you certainly are going to be missed. We wish you well in your future endeavors. Thank you for your service. You have done an extraordinary job.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Wyoming.

Mr. ENZI. Mr. President, I want to say a few words about the Senator from New Hampshire as well.

I have had the pleasure over the years of serving with him and watching him and learning from him, as we did once again today. It is always amazing at these going away speeches that we learn things we did not learn about them during the 18 years they served. So I appreciate Senator MCCONNELL's comments and some new insights there.

But I know Senator GREGG at one time moved from being the chair of the Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions Committee to being chair of the Budget Committee, and that gave me the opportunity to be the chairman of the Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions Committee. For that I will always be grateful, and I hope I have made good use of the things he taught me when he was in that position.

Over the years as we have watched Senator GREGG in action in committee or on the floor we have all learned a lesson or two about how to be a more effective Senator. That is why when I look back on Senator GREGG's career, I will always think of him as one of the best of my Senate mentors. Over my 14 years in the Senate, I have learned more from him than almost anyone else.

I know no one knows better how this Senate operates and the procedural details than the Senator does. If I were on the other side of an issue and I saw Senator JUDD GREGG getting up to plead his case, I know I would feel a sense of grave concern as I listened to him that would only increase in strength and intensity. It is always a worry for either side when he unfolds, if he might be on the opposite side. But, on the other hand, if he is on the floor to express support for my position, I would sit back, relax, and watch him in action with great relish.

He is a brilliant legislator and orator because he is always one to follow the admonition of Rudyard Kipling to "keep your head when all about you

are losing theirs and blaming it on you." Once he had determined the right thing to do and how to do it, he would very calmly come up with a plan of action that made it happen, and then follow his strategy step by step without ever wavering from his plan.

In all my years of public life, as an observer and a participant, I do not think I have ever worked with anyone quite like him. No one speaks better off the cuff than he does. Even in a few casual remarks, his context and focus showcase his natural talent for the art. He knows the right words to say and how and when to say them for maximum impact. That means more often than not he knew how to present the perfect argument that could not be refuted. Year after year, that great talent has shown itself on the floor and in committee as he took a more and more active role in our deliberations on a long list of subjects, including but not limited to budget reform, education reform, and entitlement reform. He has, for instance, been a very strong supporter of the need for Congress to take action to address the problems currently facing Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid. This has been most recently evident as the ranking member of the Budget Committee and an active member of the President's National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform.

To put it quite simply, during his service in the Senate, he has been the younger generation's best friend, as he has done everything he possibly could to ensure that our children and grandchildren would have it as good as we did—if not better.

Senator GREGG has been a true leader on budget reform issues for his entire public service career. One of his greatest successes as the chairman of the Budget Committee was the passage of the Deficit Reduction Act in 2005. It was the first time in 8 years that the Congress took the necessary steps to curb entitlement spending and begin to put our country's fiscal house back in order. In his own words, Senator GREGG said the following on December 21, 2005:

This bill represents a reduction in the federal deficit of nearly \$40 billion over five years. Yes, there is more to be done, but it is a step in the right direction . . . It is my hope that the Congress will continue the hard work we have done here, by seeking to reduce the rate of growth of government at every opportunity. By focusing on how to make government programs work more effectively and at a lower cost. And by making fiscally responsible decisions about what kind of economic future we want to leave to our children and grandchildren.

As an accountant, Senate colleague, and his friend, I could not have been more proud of the bold step Senator GREGG took in addressing our Nation's deficit by drafting, promoting, and ultimately enacting the Deficit Reduction Act. We will miss his leadership on the Budget Committee.

As a member of the Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions Committee, it was good to have a chance to see how well he worked to get things done in committee. For example, he worked well with Senator Kennedy on creating and passing the No Child Left Behind Act. He was able to bring together Senator Kennedy and President Bush to work on a common goal for our Nation's children and our country's future.

What he was able to accomplish during those days has made a difference and it will continue to do so for many years to come. Because of the work he has been such an important part of, countless Americans are living better, more rewarding, and more fulfilling lives all over the country.

Needless to say, the people of New Hampshire were very fortunate he was willing to serve in so many posts over the years. I have no doubt his insights on the law and how it affects the people back home come from his experience on every level of our government.

Since he first arrived in the Senate, with every trip home his constituents would tell him how the changes in the law were affecting them and their businesses and, if they are like the people of Wyoming, they also gave him some very valuable suggestions on what we could do in the Congress to address their concerns. I always tell my constituents to share their good ideas with me. It is my secret weapon and it really helps me to make a difference. I am sure it has been the same for him.

I do not know what he has planned for the coming years, but one thing I feel certain about: We have not heard the last from JUDD GREGG. That will be a good thing for all of us, as well as the younger generation who is very concerned about the legacy we are leaving behind for them. As he has pointed out repeatedly, it would not be fair for us to continue to spend their inheritance to such an extent that they will be left with a huge deficit and an economy so slow and weak that they will not have any possibility of paying it off without a great deal of pain and difficulty. They are counting on us to do the right thing to ensure they have the same advantages and ability to access the American dream we have had.

There is an old Native American saying: We have not inherited the Earth from our ancestors, we are borrowing it from our children. If we follow this lead and use that frame of reference as our guide, we will be able to ensure their future will be as promising as they have every right to expect and demand.

As the end of the current session of Congress approaches, I know I am not the first, nor will I be the last to say thank you, JUDD, for your willingness to serve the people of New Hampshire and the United States for so long and so well. Most of all, thank you for your

friendship and for serving as such a great resource for us all during your service in the Senate.

Before I close, I know I would be remiss if I did not also say a quick thank-you to your wife Kathy. As we both know from serving in the Senate, there are a lot of late nights, trips both home and abroad with little notice, and a lot of other things we have to deal with because they come with the job. Our wives never complain, but we both know they have every reason to do so. They probably do not because they know, as well as we do, we could not do what we do without them by our side. They are our greatest supporters, our best friends, our most trusted political advisers, and the ones who always make sure we are heading in the right direction.

So while I am thanking you for your service, I think Kathy deserves a word of thanks too. Together you have been a remarkable team, and that is why New Hampshire is so proud to claim both of you as their own.

In the days to come, Diana and I will not be the only ones who will miss you and Kathy. Fortunately, we know where to find you—right near the ocean. We had so much fun there when we had the chance to explore it with you both earlier this year. The fishing was pretty good and the scenery was just magnificent. Just let us know when the fish are biting, and we will be there. Come to Wyoming anytime. Good luck in your future. Thanks for all you have done for us.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from New Hampshire.

Mrs. SHAHEEN. Mr. President, I am honored to be here on the floor today to join Senator MCCONNELL and my other colleagues in recognizing the service Senator JUDD GREGG has provided to the people of this country, and for us, importantly, to the people of New Hampshire.

I have had the good fortune to know Senator GREGG since I first got elected to public office. In the New Hampshire political tradition, you learn to work together with people on both sides of the aisle for what is in the best interests of New Hampshire. Senator GREGG has been a fine example of that tradition.

I have enjoyed working with him over the years, and his presence in the Chamber and the Senate halls will be missed both by me and, as we have already heard, by the rest of our colleagues. I think, as Senator GREGG was giving his remarks, the number of Senators who were here to say goodbye shows the respect and how much he will be missed by all of our colleagues.

Throughout my own public life, I have always appreciated the civility and generosity Senator GREGG has shown me. When I was elected to the State senate in New Hampshire, it was

then-Governor GREGG who swore me in for the first time. When I was elected to the Senate, he was the first Republican to call me, not just to offer his congratulations but to offer his advice and help in getting started in Washington.

The Senator and I have followed similar paths to the Senate, although his service has been longer than mine, although we are the same age.

So I will not say your service has been older than mine, JUDD.

But I think that experience—both of us having served as Governor, leading New Hampshire—has given us a much more similar mindset than most people would expect. I think it contributes to our concern about controlling the debt and ensuring that this government is functioning in the best interests of all of its citizens. I, again, appreciated his commitment to addressing that debt for future generations in his remarks this afternoon.

While we have not always agreed on the best approach to solve those problems, Senator GREGG's civility has never wavered. Since coming to the Senate, I have noticed that he extends that same civility and courtesy to colleagues on both sides of the aisle. In a town that is not always known for its good manners, Senator GREGG reminds us we can disagree without being disagreeable.

Senator GREGG, of course, is known for his expertise on budgetary matters and his dedication to one of the gravest issues that faces this country; that is, its rapidly ballooning deficit. His expertise will not be easily replaced, especially at a time when our Nation so urgently needs a New Hampshire-style approach—strong, bipartisan, and no-nonsense. It is a concern about the deficit that we share, and I hope in some small way I can continue his search for solutions to this challenge.

What might be less known to people in Washington—although Senator MCCONNELL mentioned it—is Senator GREGG's passion for the preservation of open lands. He is a conservationist in the fine Republican tradition of Teddy Roosevelt, and he has helped preserve New Hampshire's wonderful legacy of forests and lakes.

For those of you who may some day visit the New Hampshire statehouse, you will be surprised to see that Senator GREGG appears in his formal gubernatorial portrait in the mountains of New Hampshire, as I think is fitting for somebody who cares so much about the environment.

In 2001, when I was Governor and Senator GREGG was here, we worked together to preserve the Connecticut Lakes Headwaters. At more than 171,000 acres, it was the largest contiguous block of land in New Hampshire

in private ownership, and with his leadership we were able to ensure that future generations could enjoy the beauty of this beautiful working forest and part of New Hampshire.

As another well-known Senator—again, one that JUDD alluded to when he spoke—Daniel Webster once said:

We have been taught to regard a representative of the people as a sentinel on the watch-tower of liberty.

In Congress and the Governor's office, in Washington and in New Hampshire, Senator GREGG has served as that sentinel. He will be missed. I join my colleagues and the people of New Hampshire in wishing him and Kathy and their whole family well in all of their future endeavors.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Maine.

Ms. COLLINS. Mr. President, as I listened this afternoon to Senator GREGG's farewell address, I thought about how much all of us who have been privileged to serve with him will miss his wisdom. But for me, the loss will be even more intense, for there is no one to whom I have turned more often for advice during the past 14 years than my neighbor from New Hampshire—unless, of course, it was his wife Kathy, who also gave very good advice.

JUDD's extraordinary knowledge of so many issues, his keen insights into policy and politics, and his abiding friendship have meant so much to me. I truly cannot imagine a debate in this Chamber about the budget, spending, entitlement programs, or taxes without his leading it. Given his strong work ethic, his commitment to the prosperity of future generations, and his unwavering dedication to doing what is right, I am confident his clear call for action on our fiscal crisis will continue to be heard and to be influential in the debates ahead of us.

Raised in a family devoted to public service, Congressman, Governor, and now Senator GREGG has always been guided by the principle that the public interest is paramount and the public's trust is essential. As a strong voice for fiscal discipline and a champion of bipartisan solutions, Senator GREGG has always upheld those principles.

Senator GREGG faced up to the looming entitlement crisis and our inequitable tax system by introducing comprehensive, bipartisan bills to address both concerns. His sponsorship of legislation early this year to establish a bipartisan commission on fiscal responsibility brought to the forefront of the national debate our debt—a debt that America can no longer ignore.

Senator GREGG's service on the President's commission demonstrated his determination to present to the American people an analysis of the tough choices we must face and the means to return to fiscal sanity. As always, JUDD

has been dedicated to one goal: ensuring that our country's children and grandchildren inherit a just and prosperous nation where the American dream can still be a reality for millions of hard-working families. The idea of saddling future generations with trillions in unpaid bills has always been an anathema to Senator GREGG.

Although fiscal issues have been JUDD's passion, the soaring and unsustainable debt has not been his only focus. For example, two years ago, Senator GREGG helped lead a coalition that called for a bipartisan national summit to develop an energy strategy for our country. He recognized and warned against our over-reliance on foreign oil as a threat to our Nation that forces one energy crisis after another on the businesses and families of our great country. Senator GREGG has been a powerful advocate for a common-sense, achievable energy policy that balances increased domestic production, conservation, and the development of alternative and renewable fuels. As his colleague from New Hampshire mentioned, JUDD's work to preserve open space in New Hampshire has led to the conservation and protection of more than 330,000 acres of sensitive land, leaving a tangible legacy for future generations to enjoy.

Senator GREGG is also committed to strengthening our national security. In 2005, I was honored to join with him and thousands of people throughout Maine and New Hampshire in saving the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, which is, by the way, in Kittery, ME, not Portsmouth, NH, but it was indeed a joint effort. Standing together under Senator GREGG's leadership, our two delegations, working with the people of our two States, prevailed. In addition to saving the shipyard, JUDD has been in the forefront in strengthening and modernizing it. Thanks to his efforts, the U.S. Navy submarine fleet remains unsurpassed as our Nation's shield and our sword.

As chairman for years of the Homeland Security Appropriations Subcommittee, Senator GREGG recognized the threat we faced from radical Islamic terrorism, and he ensured that the resources were provided to help protect our homeland, while eliminating funding that was ineffective or extravagant.

This is quite a career. Throughout his long and distinguished life in public service, JUDD GREGG has been a champion of good government, an independent and creative thinker, and a bipartisan problem solver. He has fought for the public interests and has earned the public's trust. I know that, not only the people of New Hampshire and Maine, who know him well, but people all across this great country join me today in thanking Senator JUDD GREGG for his exceptional leadership, countless accomplishments, and fierce dedi-

cation to our country and the State he loves so much. We wish both JUDD and Kathy all the best.

Thank you.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Tennessee.

Mr. ALEXANDER. Mr. President, during the 1980s, somehow the Senate took a survey among themselves about who was the most admired Member of the Senate, and according to press reports, Senator Howard Baker of Tennessee was the most admired Senator by both Republicans and by Democrats. If such a survey were to be taken today, JUDD GREGG would certainly be at the top of that list for most of us. There is not a better Member of the Senate.

Much has been said about him, so I will say three things quickly because there are other Senators who wish to speak. First, JUDD GREGG is of New Hampshire, not from New Hampshire. Sometimes we say, Senator so-and-so is from Tennessee or from New York or from South Dakota or from Maine, but the Senator whose roots are where roots are supposed to be is "of" his State. JUDD GREGG sounds as though he is from New Hampshire. He acts as though he is from New Hampshire. He is from New Hampshire. He votes as though he is from New Hampshire. The Old Man of the Mountain, which was a rock up in New Hampshire, could be seen by those who drove by it. The rock fell down a few years ago and I thought: Well, maybe the best way to replace it is to put JUDD GREGG back up there because he is of New Hampshire.

Second, JUDD GREGG is a very good politician. I know that from direct experience. There is such a thing as the "Gregg machine" in New Hampshire. Those who have the temerity to run for President find that out. It was on the other side of my efforts when I was there, and to give an example, one day a reporter asked me: Well, Mr. ALEXANDER, what is the price of a gallon of milk? Of course, I knew what a gallon of milk costs, but I made the mistake of turning around to someone and asking, just to make sure what it was. A press person overheard it, and the next thing I knew, the "Gregg machine" had spread that story all over the state that this fellow in a red and black shirt didn't know what the price of milk was. So they are a very intimidating, effective crowd in New Hampshire.

The third thing, the final thing I will say about JUDD is one reason I admire and like him so much is that I so often agree with him. I agree with him on conservation issues, on education issues, on fiscal issues but especially on his view of this body, which he expressed so eloquently many times but especially in his remarks today. JUDD GREGG knows and understands that this body is the Citadel of the protection of liberty in our government. He

said that today. It is the place where we avoid the tyranny of the majority. It is a place where the voices of the American people are heard, where we have open amendment and open debate. He has been an effective advocate for that. He understands we are not just a debating society, but that in the end, we are a governing body; that the purpose of our 60-vote majority is to force consensus and a compromise so we can act, so we can do our job.

JUDD leaves a wonderful legacy. He has many friends here. He will continue to have many friends here, this Senator who is of New Hampshire, who is a pretty good politician and with whom I so often agree. My special best wishes to his wife Kathy, with whom I also agree. Thank you.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Tennessee.

Mr. CORKER. Thank you, Mr. President. I appreciate the Senator from South Dakota allowing me to speak for about 60 seconds. I don't give long speeches on the floor. I seldom use notes. I know Senator GREGG knows this, but I have been here 4 years, and I can honestly say one of the greatest highlights of my 4 years has been being able to serve with Senator GREGG. I know of no one in the Senate whom I hope to be remembered even close to as far as my service. I know of no one whom I think creates a better example for those of us in the Senate. I know of no one whom I respect more than Senator GREGG. I know he knows that. I know his wonderful wife Kathy knows that.

I think, upon his departure, there will be a tremendous vacuum. I think all of us understand what each of us is going to have to do to try to fill a component of the shoes of the Senator from New Hampshire or the example he has set.

So I just want the Senator to know he certainly has raised my thinking as to what it means to be a Senator in the Senate. Each of us have frailties and each of us have strengths. There are always going to be occasions when Senators cause us to rise because they inspire us. They do things that are inspirational. There are always going to be times when Senators disappoint us because we are human beings, and that is the way human beings are. But I can say that you, more than anybody in the Senate, have caused me to want to be better more times than anyone and have disappointed me fewer times than anybody in the Senate. I will miss you. I wish you well, and I thank you for being my friend.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from South Dakota.

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak for up to 15 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I also wish to join with my colleagues today

before I speak to the issue of the day and express my appreciation to Senator GREGG for his great service to this institution and to our country. I think it is fair to say there is nobody quite like JUDD GREGG. He truly is one of a kind.

I remember when I first got here, I thought he didn't like me, and maybe he didn't like me, but I concluded that part of that was just his serious demeanor. He is a guy who means business. Once you get to know him, you not only appreciate that side of his personality, but you also gain an appreciation for the incredible wit and sense of humor he also possesses. I have had the opportunity to experience that on many occasions.

I think what the institution is going to miss the most—he is certainly someone who cares a lot about this country's future and the policies we put in place—is his abilities, his great skill and his great talent. It will be a real loss to the Senate because JUDD GREGG has a mind like a steel trap. He is able to analyze with great effectiveness the issues of the day and to explain them clearly. He is someone in whom I have tremendous respect. He has been a great mentor, a great leader, and someone, as I said before, we are going to miss around here.

I can't say enough about how much I appreciate his service and the service and the sacrifice his family has made. He has served in public life for many years, both as a Congressman, Governor, and a Senator. His wife Kathy, similar to many of our wives, puts up with a lot of things. JUDD, similar to me and many of my colleagues, I think, I would say probably married over his head or, as one of my friends said, outpanted his coverage. But we are grateful to his family.

We are going to miss the many contributions he has made, but probably none more than the passion with which he approaches this job and the passion with which he approaches building a brighter and better and stronger and more prosperous future for future generations. There has been no clearer voice on the issue of fiscal responsibility, no clearer voice when it comes to the important task we have in front of us, to insist that we take steps and we put policies in place that will make the country stronger and better for future generations.

So I wish to compliment as well my colleague from New Hampshire. I have heard from folks from other parts of the country. As someone who comes from the Midwest, I wish to say how much I appreciate JUDD GREGG, the incredible contribution he has made, and I, similar to so many others, will miss him greatly.

Mr. President, let me, if I might, speak to the issue before us today. We are debating a tax proposal, and on January 1 of 2011, just 17 days from

now, families and small businesses across this country are going to see their taxes go up if Congress doesn't take action on the tax relief proposal that is currently before the Senate. There are elements of this proposal I don't like. I think it is fair to say there are a lot of us here who, if we were able to write this, certainly wouldn't have written it in the fashion we have in front of us today. But letting the perfect become the enemy of the good will result in one thing and one thing only; that is, higher taxes across America in 2 weeks.

It is easy to stand on the sidelines and to criticize this proposal, and it is perhaps even politically expedient to stand on the sidelines and criticize this proposal. But let me make one thing very clear. Advocating against this tax proposal is to advocate for a tax increase, and that is something we cannot and the American economy cannot afford.

It would be great if we could wait a few weeks, until we have a changeover in the Congress. Frankly, I would be very happy to see a bill written a few weeks from now when the newly elected Republicans are going to be sworn in. But that is a luxury that doesn't exist because of this reality that we have—this deadline looming in front of us. If we wait for the perfect proposal, the perfect agreement, then American families and small businesses are going to pay higher taxes just 2 weeks from now. That is not a scare tactic, that is not political posturing, that is simply a fact.

Taking action now to prevent this tax increase would do a number of things. First, it would protect 21 million households from being hit by the alternative minimum tax in the year 2010. It would preserve relief from the marriage penalty. There are many provisions of the Tax Code today—some of which have been addressed in previous tax law, expiring tax law—that lessen the impact of being married. Ironically, in the Tax Code, we punish people for being married in this country. Taking action now would prevent job-killing tax increases on many of our small businesses across this country, and it would protect farmers and ranchers from the death tax that would confiscate over half the value of the family farm.

What happens if we don't pass this tax proposal? Well, according to a number of economists, we would see a drop in the gross domestic product from somewhere between 1.7 percent to 2 percent. That is according to a number of private economists. Even the Congressional Budget Office suggests we would see about a 1.4 percent negative impact in our economy, in the gross domestic product, if we don't take the action necessary to prevent these tax increases.

Failure to act now, according to the Tax Foundation, with regard to my

State of South Dakota, would cost the average family in South Dakota about \$1,700 a year in higher taxes. The average American household would be faced with higher taxes to the tune of about \$3,000. If we don't take the steps that are necessary to address the death tax on January 1, the death tax kicks back in at \$1 million—a \$1 million exemption—and everything above that would be taxed at 55 percent. So imagine the impact on a farmer, a rancher, a small businessperson in this country, who is trying to pass on that operation to the next generation, and what this would mean in their ability to do that.

As I said earlier, this is not a perfect agreement, but no compromise is. The fact we are dealing with Democrats, who still run both the House, the Senate, and the White House, if we want to stop taxes from going up on everyone, then we are going to have to figure out a way to get that done. And if we stand around trying to debate the perfect, then taxes are going to go up on families and businesses and our economic recovery is going to stall out.

I think it is also important to note that it will send a negative message to the financial markets. If we don't take action to address this crisis looming in front of us on January 1, we can expect the 9.8-percent unemployment rate could go significantly higher.

I would simply argue that inaction is not an option, and advocating against this proposal is no different than advocating for higher taxes. I hope that my colleagues will see their way to support this today and to support it in big numbers. It will go from here to the House of Representatives, and they will look closely at the vote coming out of the Senate. I think it is fair to say, if and when it gets to the House of Representatives, it will pass provided the Senate sends a very strong message—a message I think consistent with the will of the American people. In fact, according to public opinion polls, one as recent as this morning, 70 percent of Americans believe and agree this tax proposal ought to be enacted and signed into law.

The real issue that I think affects our fiscal situation in this country isn't the fact we don't have enough revenue, it is that we spend too much. If we look historically—and it is an empirical fact—at what happens when you lower taxes—look at John F. Kennedy, at Ronald Reagan, and George W. Bush in recent history—anytime you lower marginal income tax rates, taxes on investment, you get more revenue, not less revenue. That is an empirical fact. You also get a growing economy. When you have a growing economy, it is obviously creating more jobs, and that is what we want to see happen. We want to get this 9.8-percent unemployment rate down.

I would argue that the issue we have in front of us with regard to spending

and deficits and debt doesn't have to do with the fact we don't have enough revenue, it has to do with the fact that Washington spends too much, and that is where we ought to be targeting and focusing our efforts.

Historically, if you look at the last half century, I think the amount we spend for our government as a percent of our gross domestic product hovers somewhere in the 20½ percent range. Today, it is about 24, 25 percent we are spending on government as a percentage of our total economy.

We have complicated and added to that burden by enacting major legislation in this last year. The massive new health care entitlement program, when it is fully implemented, will cost on the order of \$2.5 trillion. We have lots of other legislation that has moved through here. The stimulus bill passed earlier this year was \$1 trillion of borrowed money, which didn't have the desired impact. The one thing we know with certainty is that—at least based on history—when you raise taxes, you get fewer jobs; when you lower taxes, you get more economic activity, more jobs for the American people and, frankly, more revenue. That helps to deal with the issue of the deficit and the debt.

In this particular proposal there is some new spending. There are unemployment benefits included. I would like to have seen that offset. I had an amendment that would do that, that would pay for the additional spending in this bill. We are not going to have the opportunity to offer amendments, but there will be a couple of motions offered by my colleague from Oklahoma, Senator COBURN—motions to suspend the rules and pay for the additional \$56.5 billion in new spending as a result of extending unemployment benefits in the bill. I think that is important for us to do.

Since we got into this recession, we have spent, I think, about \$124 billion, borrowed from future generations for these extensions that we continue to pass for unemployment benefits. This particular one would take us up to almost \$180 billion in borrowed money to pay for these benefits. It makes sense, in my judgment, when you are spending new money, you should offset or pay for that. Frankly, I would like to see that as part of this proposal. It is not in there. As I said, I have an amendment to rectify that, which won't be considered because we are not being given the opportunity to offer amendments. But I will support the motion to suspend the rules and pass a pay-for for the unemployment benefit extension the Senator from Oklahoma will offer later.

All that to say again the real issue here, in my judgment, comes down not to an issue of revenue but it comes down to an issue of spending. I think the American people recognize that. I

think that is why there is such broad public support for this tax proposal, because the American people recognize that you can't raise taxes in the middle of a recession and expect the job creators in this country—our small businesses—to create jobs. It is counter-intuitive and it defies all empirical knowledge and experience that we have to suggest otherwise. On the other hand, the American people do believe that government has gotten too big, that it is growing too fast, and it needs to be reined in. That is where we have to attack the spending side of this equation. I believe when the new Congress is seated next year there is going to be an intense focus on this issue of spending, and it is high time that happen, because it is high time we get the debt and the deficit issue that will plague future generations under control. The real issue doesn't have to do with revenue, it has to do with spending.

So I would urge my colleagues to support this proposal. As I said earlier, it is not perfect—certainly not in my estimation, nor I think in the eyes of many people who have looked at this. But on the other hand, it does prevent us, on January 1, from seeing a massive tax increase—the largest tax increase in American history—start to hit American families and American small businesses. That is why I hope we will pass it out of the Senate with a big bipartisan vote.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. MANCHIN). The Senator from Iowa.

FOR-PROFIT EDUCATION INVESTIGATION

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, for more than 50 years, the Federal Government has provided students with grants and loans to help pay for college. That is a public-private partnership between the government and the students, between our taxpayers and students. It is an investment premised on the idea that a higher education will improve life for the borrower and also will strengthen our society by giving more Americans the knowledge and skills to get better jobs and to be able to give back to their communities.

I know firsthand how higher education can transform one's life. I went to college on student loans and to law school on the GI bill. That is why I have spent my career in Congress fighting to ensure that all students who wish to learn, who wish to get a college degree, also have the opportunity to do so. I have worked on the Appropriations Committee to expand funding for Pell grants and student support programs. And now, as the HELP Committee chairman, I have worked to expand Pell grants to make sure our student loan programs are well run.

For the past 2 years, Congress has provided significant resources to help students access and finance a college education. In 2008, we increased the

amount of Stafford loans that undergraduates can borrow by \$2,000 a year. The Recovery Act of 2009 provided another \$17 billion to the Pell grant program. The recent reconciliation law added another \$36 billion to Pell grants over the next 10 years. So the Congress has made hard choices to secure these increases for financial aid programs. The money is an investment in our Nation's students and also in our country's future. For that investment to pay off, we must ensure that students are being well educated and that schools are using Federal dollars responsibly. To ensure our investment is paying off, earlier this year I initiated an oversight investigation into for-profit education companies. Education companies that make a profit for shareholders and investors are growing at an astonishing pace. Enrollments, profits, and share of the Federal financial aid budget going to those schools are skyrocketing.

In 2008, these for-profit schools accounted for 10 percent of the students enrolled in higher education, but those students received 23 percent of Federal student loans and grants and they accounted for 44 percent of the defaults. Again, for-profit schools, 10 percent of the students, got 23 percent of the Federal loans and grants and accounted for 44 percent of the defaults.

Confronted with numbers such as these, I became increasingly concerned a significant share of our Federal investment in higher education is being misused and that some companies are using the Federal aid program as a cash machine to drive up profits as their main purpose. Across the country, some higher education companies are using a high-pressure sales force, persuading consumers in search of the American dream to go deep into debt to purchase a product of often dubious value.

Default rates are sky high, taxpayer money is being squandered, top executives walking away with fortunes. You might think I am talking about the subprime mortgage industry, which came crashing down 2 years ago, because that does describe it. But what I have just described is also the situation created by many for-profit colleges. Just as in the subprime mortgage crisis, countless thousands of ordinary Americans are being harmed by the reckless pursuit of profits by a few.

This summer, I heard testimony from Ms. Yasmine Issa, a single mother of twin girls. Two years ago, she went back to school to earn her degree in medical sonography. She went on line, typed in sonography, and found an advertisement for the Sanford-Brown Institute, part of a chain of for-profit colleges owned by Career Education Corporation, a publicly traded company. The folks at Sanford Brown sold Ms. Issa on the value of their program. They told her how it would help pro-

vide for her daughters, so she enrolled and paid out \$29,000 for an 18-month program.

The recruiters at Sanford-Brown did not tell her that she could have gone to the local community college and received the same degree for \$7,000. They also didn't bother to tell her that her degree at Sanford-Brown wouldn't even allow her to sit for the sonography exam. Nor did they tell her that without passing the exam, she would not be able to work as a sonographer. So after \$29,000 invested, 18 months of hard work, Ms. Issa couldn't even sit for the exam.

Ms. Issa is not alone, but she and students like her are the reason I decided we in Congress need to take a closer look at this for-profit college situation. After three hearings, I believe it is an important time to report back to the Senate on what we have found to date. So today I am going to take the time to walk through the findings of each of these three hearings, talk about the problems facing these students and taxpayers, and conclude by talking about where the HELP Committee investigation is going in the coming years.

The first hearing in June asked what are for-profit colleges? We focused on this issue following dozens of troubling reports about students being ripped off by for-profit colleges. The New York Times, Bloomberg News, "Frontline," even Good Housekeeping had reported extensively about the growth of federally funded, for-profit higher education corporations. Our first task was to get a sense of what these for-profit colleges were, how big they were, and how well they were serving our students. Given that these companies receive almost all of their revenue from Federal dollars, one would think all of this information would be easily available to the public and not require a congressional investigation to unearth. But, unfortunately, that was not the case.

First, what are for-profit colleges? For-profit colleges or proprietary institutions, as they are known in the law, are institutions of higher education that provide a program of training to prepare students for gainful employment in a recognized occupation. Essentially, in 1965, we recognized that career or vocational schools, as they were then known—most of them were privately owned—played a valuable role in our education system and that the people who attended the schools should be able to get financial aid to attend them. At the same time, we required these schools to demonstrate that students were being prepared for gainful employment in a recognized occupation—something we do not require of 2- and 4-year programs at public and nonprofit schools.

Today, we find ourselves in a world where proprietary schools offer everything from basic school training to lib-

eral arts graduate degrees, and some for-profit schools enroll not a few hundred students but in some cases a few hundred thousand students. If these schools were providing high-quality education for most of their students, those numbers would be a cause for celebration. Instead, they are a case for concern, and these concerns are longstanding.

Twenty years ago, former Senator Sam Nunn of Georgia held a series of hearings looking at the for-profit sector, and because of the problems he found, he initiated a series of legislative fixes to ensure that for-profit schools were a good investment for students and taxpayers. As with many laws, 20 years has taken its toll, and those reforms have been almost completely rolled back. We find ourselves today facing some of the same problems, with few tools in place to provide genuine oversight of our taxpayers' investment.

What has not changed is that, unlike public or nonprofit schools, proprietary schools are legally bound to operate in the interest of their owners. As the companies have gotten larger, they have been transformed from mom-and-pop operations into high-growth, high-investment, big businesses. Fifteen for-profit education companies that operate 69 schools with an enrollment of 1.5 million are actually publicly traded on the New York stock exchange or on NASDAQ. Another 33 for-profit education companies operating 65 more for-profit schools are at least partially owned by private equity investors or hedge funds. The result is that the vast majority of for-profit schools have prioritized growth over education in order to satisfy the demands of their investors. In fact, growth and return on investment for shareholders is their legal obligation. So it should not surprise us that educating students is taking a backseat to just getting more bodies in the door.

For-profit colleges traded in the stock market are a relatively recent phenomenon that has created a drastically transformed landscape for us here in Congress, the legislators. As I said, in 1992—the last time Congress took a serious look at this sector under Senator Nunn—there were no publicly traded, for-profit higher education institutions—none, zero. In 2010, 15 publicly traded institutions enrolling a million and a half students are in existence. That is just publicly traded. There are many more that are equity owned, owned by equity investors or hedge funds, which also did not exist 20 years ago.

To satisfy shareholders, publicly traded schools must constantly focus on growth, measuring up to Wall Street's laser-like attention to quarterly enrollment statistics. Publicly traded schools must also generate higher revenues while keeping down

costs, including teaching costs. These schools do this by raising tuition and increasing the number of enrolled students, which in turn increases the amount of Federal student aid dollars flowing to the schools. But it does not necessarily do anything about the quality of the education received.

A focus on growth at the expense of student outcomes is not just the province of the publicly traded companies. As I said, increasingly, hedge funds and private equity firms invest in for-profit colleges and manage the business end of the operation. For example, how many people know that Goldman Sachs—yes, the same Goldman Sachs—is the owner of more than one-third of the publicly traded EDMC—that is a for-profit college—which is the operator of something called the Art Institute and Brown Mackie? These are colleges; these are for-profit schools. A vice president and a managing director of Goldman Sachs sit on the EDMC board. These firms are interested in short-term profit and have little interest in the long-term educational outcomes of the students attending the schools.

It certainly is not clear to the students that the school is owned by a bunch of Wall Street investors. I had this chart printed. These are not all of them, but these are for-profit schools owned by private equity and hedge funds that we were able to come up with. How many students at Rasmussen College or Morrison University or the Institute for Business and Technology or Beckfield College or Chancellor University or Ashworth College or Florida Coastal School of Law—how many students signing up for this know they are owned by private investors or hedge funds that operate these schools? They sound as if they are just legitimate colleges.

An estimated 1.3 out of 1.8 million students attending for-profit schools in 2008 were attending schools primarily owned by Wall Street investors. Let me repeat that. Out of 1.8 million students going to for-profit colleges in 2008, 1.3 million students were attending colleges primarily owned by Wall Street investors. Again, this landscape was not around 20 years ago. In fact, most of it was not around 10 years ago.

Here is what the hedge fund owners of Westwood College state on their Web site:

They always keep their eye on the ball of what is best for the business over the long term.

Not the students, not the education of students, but they keep their eye on the ball of what is best for the business, the hedge fund. That is funny, I thought the ball we should be keeping our eye on is how good a job we are doing educating students with taxpayer money.

Westwood is under investigation by the attorney general in Colorado. It

has had its operation shut down in Texas, and it was told not to operate online in Wisconsin. No accrediting agency seems willing to acknowledge that it accredits this school, yet Westwood College turned a profit of \$46.7 million in 2009. It is owned by a hedge fund.

While we call these schools for-profits to distinguish them from public community colleges and 4-year colleges and the nonprofit universities, it is really a misnomer since they are largely federally funded through student loans, grants, and military benefits. As a group our committee looked at, these publicly traded companies receive at least 85.6 percent of their revenue from Federal dollars of one sort or another. That is for profit?

Under current law, these companies cannot get more than 90 percent of their revenue from student loans and grants. We call it the 90-10 rule. To me, that seems like a lot, but for these companies, it is not enough. According to an internal lobbying document from the Career College Association released by the New America Foundation, one of the top priorities for the for-profit college trade association is to roll back that rule and increase the amount of Federal dollars these companies can get from the government. Ninety percent is not enough. They have clearly done a good job since at least six of the companies—Kaplan, EPCI, TUI, ACC, Remington, and Vatterott—get more than 90 percent of their revenues from the Federal Government.

You might say: Wait a second, Senator HARKIN, I thought you said they were limited to 90 percent by law.

True. Here is how they get around it. The University of Phoenix, for example, in its SEC filing acknowledged it received 89 percent of its revenues from Federal financial aid programs. Document requests that we got indicate they receive an additional 1.5 percent of revenues from other Federal sources, including military benefits. That means even the largest for-profit school—Phoenix—is receiving more than 90 percent of its revenues from Federal taxpayer dollars.

Again, how do they do that? If you get military money, that is not counted in the 90 percent; that is counted in the 10 percent that is private. Let's get that again. If they enroll a military person who gets GI bill benefits and they put it into these schools, that is not counted as part of the 90 percent. That is what their nice lobbying got done for them. Some of them get more than 90 percent of their money from the Federal Government.

So, again, just looking at Phoenix, the University of Phoenix took in more than \$1 billion in Pell grants last year and more than \$3 billion in Federal student loans—\$4 billion in revenue from American taxpayers for just one company in 1 year.

More than 93 percent of the students in these schools take out Federal student loans. The loans go to these schools. By relying so heavily on Federal subsidies, these for-profit colleges have privatized the process of collecting Federal subsidies, but they have left the students holding the bag for the cost of a subpar education at a very high price.

Of course, the term “for-profit” is not completely misplaced because, regardless of how poorly students perform, as long as these companies can demonstrate enrollment growth, they remain profitable. In 2009, the same 30 schools that received 86 percent of revenues from Federal dollars generated \$3.5 billion in profits for the hedge funds, the equity investors, or stockholders, shareholders.

Last year, together, all the schools had a profit margin of 19 percent. How many businesses in the State of West Virginia have a profit margin of 19 percent, I ask, or Iowa? But that is the average. Some schools have profit margins of 33 percent. The highest we found was a 37-percent profit margin last year. Where did the money come from? Taxpayers. The taxpayers of America. It is not a bad deal if you can get it.

Then look at what happened with the executive salaries. That 85 to 90 percent-plus of their revenues coming in from the taxpayers really paid for some high executive salaries. BusinessWeek recently reported that the CEO of Strayer, one of these schools, was paid \$41.6 million last year—that is the president of a school—26 times the highest salary paid to a nonprofit or private university president, probably more than at the University of West Virginia or Iowa or Iowa State.

Combined, the executives at the 15 publicly traded schools received \$2 billion from the sale of stock over the last 7 years. Let me repeat that. Over the last 7 years, these executives who run these schools started dumping stock. They started selling all their stock back. Do you know what they got? They got \$2 billion in the last 7 years from the sale of their stock.

If they loved these schools so much, you would think they would be investing the money in the schools, to help some of these students, maybe tutoring, some kind of support mechanisms for those poor students who come in who do not have an experience of going to school; that they would be doing everything they could to make sure students who came in stayed and did not drop out.

No. They sold stock and walked away with \$2 billion in the last 7 years. The co-CEO of the company that owns the University of Phoenix was paid \$11.3 million last year. That is more than 7 times the \$1.6 million paid to the highest paid head of a nonprofit—more than 14 times the compensation paid to the president of Harvard.

Boy, they are walking away with money. Well, that was our first hearing. What are these schools? Our second hearing that we had in August, we featured testimony from the Government Accountability Office, the GAO, focused on how for-profit schools go about recruiting students. We had heard companies—these for-profit schools—complain that their rapid growth was nothing more than students voting with their feet.

Unfortunately, the GAO and our witnesses, including a former recruiter at Westwood College, I just mentioned, made clear that for-profit college growth is actually the result of an aggressive, well-funded marketing effort by the schools, including lies and deception.

Using undercover agents and hidden cameras, GAO presented a troubling picture of student recruitment. Undercover investigators from GAO visited 15 campuses of 12 companies and they found misleading, deceptive, overly aggressive or fraudulent practices at every one of those campuses, every single one.

We watched the films. We watched. They had these little hidden cameras and microphones. We watched them in our committee hearing. Startling. Startling. Students were lied to and misled about the costs of the program, about what they could expect to earn, about how many students graduated, whether their credits would transfer, and whether the program was accredited.

They were misled about whether their student loans were dischargeable in bankruptcy and even were prevented from having a conversation with a financial aid officer until after they signed on the dotted line. So you sign on the dotted line. Then you get to talk to the financial aid officer.

That does not happen at West Virginia University or Iowa State. You can see the financial aid officer and see what you are eligible for before you decide to go there.

I wish to digress for a minute about these loans being dischargeable in bankruptcy. That is one thing very few of these students know. Let's face it. A lot of these students come from low-income families—and I will get to that also in a minute—and they have not probably had a good educational experience in secondary school, but they want to better themselves.

So they listen to this high-pressure sales tactic. They get these kids online and stuff and they call them on the phone and they say: Do not worry about anything. We will fill out all the paperwork. We will take care of all the paperwork, and based upon what you said, you are eligible for this much Pell grant—you will get the money—and loans and you can get these Federal loans. We will take care of all the paperwork. You do not have to worry about a thing when you sign up.

What the students do not know is that the loans they are taking out can never be discharged—never, until they die. We talked a lot about the subprime and how many people were left with houses they bought that they could not pay for. Here is one difference. You can walk away from the house. If you buy a car and you get a loan on a car and you cannot make it, you can walk away from the car. Students cannot walk away from these debts. Once that school gets that money and they drop out, they have that debt hanging around their neck.

You know what happens—and I will get to this letter, too—these students then cannot go on to another school. They cannot get another loan. They cannot do anything until they pay that debt. The Federal Government will be after them on that debt. Even when they get Social Security, they will go after the Social Security payments.

How many students would borrow \$29,000 if they knew that, if they knew that debt will be yours until you pay it off? They do not know that. They drop out of school, they borrow the money, they gave it to the school, and that is it. Not true. Schools do not inform them of this.

The committee received recruitment training manuals from several different campuses. They have one thing in common: manipulation. Get this, and this is written up. They encourage their sales staff to identify the emotional weaknesses of prospective students, to exploit the pain, to motivate students to enroll. Again, do not take it from me. A recent *Business Week* article described a document from Kaplan University that urged the recruiters to focus on "the fear, uncertainty and doubt of their prospective students."

These recruitment practices more likely characterize boiler-room sales tactics than trying to get someone a good education. These abusive recruitment practices result in students unprepared for or poorly matched to their academic program, with a high probability of dropping out, leaving school not with a degree but with a mountain of debt.

Some for-profit companies spend in excess of 30 percent of total cost just to fund an aggressive sales force, 30 percent of total cost, just in their sales force.

Those abusive practices, so widespread that GAO found them at every campus of every company it visited, are the symptoms of a very sick industry. While GAO made some minor revisions and clarifications of the long list of misleading practices it documented—and that the industry has now tried hard to use to discredit the work of the GAO—the essential finding stands; that every single school engaged in misrepresentation, deception or outright fraud.

I urge anyone interested to go to our committee Web site, the HELP Committee Web site, and listen to those GAO tapes for themselves. In fact, the 30 companies from which I requested information spent a combined \$4.12 billion in marketing in fiscal year 2009, \$4.12 billion they spent on marketing.

If you say: Well, what is wrong with that? Just think, 86 percent of that came from the taxpayers. Six companies: Apollo, Walden, Grand Canyon, Bridgepoint, Strayer, and ITT actually spent more than 50 percent of their revenues on a combination of marketing and profit. So you add up their marketing and their profit, over 50 percent of their revenues.

The second HELP Committee hearing made clear to me the problems of the for-profit sector cannot be chalked up to a few bad actors. The opportunity for great profits, in spite of poor student outcomes, has become the business model in this sector. I became worried this approach, characterized by aggressive recruitment, high cost, high debt, low graduation rates, was creating a vortex, sucking in even the good actors in the industry.

Think about this business model. Think about it. If you are one of these for-profit schools, you make the most money by recruiting the poorest students, and here is why. Because if you get the poorest students, they are eligible for the maximum Pell grant. You get the poorest students, they are eligible for the maximum Federal loans.

That is profit. That is profit to these companies. So that is the business model. Since they, the companies, are legally bound to try to increase their returns, either to their equity investors or hedge funds or their shareholders, they have to have this growth. So they keep aggressively recruiting more students. The poorer you are, the better they like it because it gives them more money. Then, if you drop out, it is no skin off their teeth. They do not owe you anything. So the poorer students get recruited. They do not get any support or very little, a little help. They drop out—I have a chart to show you that after a bit—and they have all this debt and the schools have all the money. That is the business model.

The HELP Committee held its third and most recent hearing in September, with a focus on answering the question: What is happening to all the students whom these schools are pushing so hard to bring in the door—the ones I just talked about.

Unfortunately, according to information provided by the 30 schools and analyzed by the HELP Committee, it appears these students are not faring very well. At the 30 companies we analyzed, 54 percent of the students who came in the door in the 2008–2009 school year had left without a degree by the following year. OK. At 30 companies we had analyzed, 54 percent of the students who came in the door that year

left the following year without a degree. They vanished—54 percent, one out of every two, they left. That number is striking.

We know from the Department of Education that nearly every student at a for-profit college will take out a Federal student loan. Of course, they will get their Pell grants too. That means more than half these students are enrolling, being saddled with debt, and dropping out without a degree.

The numbers are even worse when we look specifically at students enrolled in associate's degree programs. This chart will show this. The chart shows the 10 associate's degree programs with the worst outcomes for students, these 10. The column in yellow shows the percentage of students leaving—right here. So here is the institution's total students. Here is the withdrawal rate. This is the withdrawal rate in the first year; in the first year, 84.4 percent of students from Bridgepoint who signed up dropped out in the first year. What do you think happened to their loans? What do you think happened to their Pell grants? Students get those back? Not on your life. Bridgepoint kept them, the money went to their shareholders.

In that program, Bridgepoint, 84 percent, nearly all the 7,900 students they have, left before attaining their associate's degree. I am not talking about a master's degree, I am talking about a 2-year degree. Nearly 70 percent at the second school, Lincoln, with the rest in the 60-percent range. So they had 69 percent who did not finish.

Just among those 10 schools, 375,000 students enrolled in the 2008–2009 school year. Nearly 250,000 dropped out without a degree a year later—250,000. These are staggering numbers.

Behind these numbers are students who are fed up with the lack of help or support from the school. They can no longer justify the level of debt they are taking on because they realize the dream job the recruiter sold them on is not waiting at the end.

I should be clear, these are not the complete dropout rates. More students are actually likely to quit by the time we would actually measure that. These are students who are gone within 1 year, many of whom never even register in the Department of Education's annual enrollment count.

Guess where they are counted, though. They are counted by investors looking to value the company and measure its likely profit. So when I say all these students dropped out, that is just 1 year. How many dropped out the second year? We do not know that.

Let me focus, for a moment, on Bridgepoint. Bridgepoint operates Ashford University and is based, sort of, in Clinton, IA. A group of private equity investors purchased a small Catholic school in 2004, when it had about 375 students. In 2004, this small

Catholic school in Clinton, IA, had 375 students. They transformed it into a for-profit school. It now has 67,000 students, a 17,000-percent increase in student population in 6 years, 17,000 percent.

Ashford still operates the small campus in Iowa. About 600 students go there. The other 67,000 take classes online. I, obviously, was very interested to know how the heck they can be doing such a good job for students with that kind of growth. What the data we have collected for our investigation can tell us, for the first time, is they are not doing a very good job for their students.

Eighty-four percent of the students seeking an associate's degree and 63 percent of bachelor's degree-seeking students leave Ashford within 1 year, without finishing their programs.

But look at the growth—17,000 percent growth. This is not terribly surprising because Bridgepoint offers no tutoring or other student services. If a student starts to have difficulties at Ashford online, they have two options: talk to their part-time teacher online or ask the computer avatar, who is the online student resource center.

Should a student succeed in completing a degree at Ashford, they had best not expect a lot of help finding a job. While Bridgepoint employs 1,703 recruiters, they employ just one person to handle career planning. They employ 1,703 recruiters, and one person to handle career planning for the entire student body of 67,000 students. According to a recent study, 60 percent of all community college students need extra help to succeed in school. They need tutoring and classes to make up for what they may not have learned in middle school and high school. For-profit colleges have served a similar population with similar needs. As they often remind us, the for-profit sector serves a group of students that traditionally lack access to higher education. Their students are the ones who are the most vulnerable, the ones who didn't have parents who went to college, who didn't grow up in a fairly wealthy household. And to make it through college, they require a significant support structure that is not available at these for-profit schools.

Like Bridgepoint, schools that have large online programs seem to have particularly troubling outcomes. This becomes clear when we look at a large publicly traded school that has both a large online program and a large campus-based program for associate degree-seeking students. I am talking about a 2-year degree. We can see it on this chart.

Career Education Corporation—that is another one of these for-profit schools—has a withdrawal rate of 44 percent on their campus-based programs, and a whopping 69.5 percent in their online programs. Campus-based

program withdrawal rate 44 percent; online withdrawal rate 69.5 percent. Something is very wrong here. To me, this suggests these online students are not getting the support they need. It is inexpensive for a school to enroll a student online, but to ensure those students are learning and succeeding would require a major investment that for-profit schools, obviously, are not willing to make.

What these high dropout numbers illustrate is a phenomenon called "churn." That is an industry term for bringing in students, signing them up for loans and Pell grants, and then leaving them to sink or swim. Then they go out the door, and they bring in more. That is what they call churning through the students because so many students at these for-profit schools come in the door and then leave within 4 months, 5 months, 6 months. Many of these students don't even show up in the data the Department of Education collects.

At Bridgepoint, for example, on the first day of classes in the fall of 2009, there were about 48,000 students signed up. Over the next year, recruiters signed up 77,000 additional students. Let's keep these figures in mind. In the fall of 2009, 48,000 students signed up for Bridgepoint.

In the next year, they signed up 77,000 additional students. Then at the end of that school year in 2010, there were only 67,000 total students enrolled. That means the school's actual head count for that year was about 125,000 students enrolled at some point. But 58,000 students, nearly half of them, didn't stick around. They were out the door. These are the kinds of things people don't know. This is what our investigation has uncovered by getting the documentation that led us to these figures.

The picture is much the same at other for-profit schools. In fact, most schools we analyzed recruit at least the equivalent of their entire starting student population anew each year. That bears repeating. Most of the schools we analyzed recruit at least the equivalent of their entire starting student population anew every year.

This chart describes the University of Phoenix. We have all heard of them. If someone has never heard of them, they don't watch TV or read newspapers or ride a bus or anything else to see all their ads. They do a great job of advertising. At the University of Phoenix, in 2008–2009, the school started the year with 443,000 students. They ended the school year with 470,800 students, so almost a 28,000-student increase, 27,800 to be exact. They grew their enrollment by 27,800. In fact, they actually recruited and enrolled 371,700 new students in that year to get 27,800. Again, these numbers can get a little confusing. Let me try that again.

The University of Phoenix started the school year in 2008 with 443,000 students. They ended the school year with 470,800, a growth of 27,800 students. How did they get 27,800? They recruited 371,700 students just to get that 27,800. That means almost 350,000 students passed through the University of Phoenix in 2009 without anything to show for it. They came in. A lot of them gave them their Pell grants. They turned over their student loans. Then they vanished. The students got the debt and the University of Phoenix got a nice little profit. Actually, a nice big profit.

At another company, EDMC, the marketing and recruiting machine signed up 124,000 new students in the last school year. But they ended up the year with only 19,000 more students than when they started. Recruiters for these schools face the imperative of enrolling large numbers of new students each year to replace those dropping out and eventually reach the point where the number of new students is sufficient to actually cause the enrollment to grow.

That is what the shareholders demand. That is what the hedge funds who own them demand. That is what their equity investors demand. The schools may be very successful as companies, making profits for their investors and their owners and, I might say, huge compensation for their executives and their presidents, but it is hard to say they are successful as educational institutions.

(Mr. BENNET assumed the chair.)

Mr. DURBIN. Will the Senator yield for a question?

Mr. HARKIN. I am delighted to yield.

Mr. DURBIN. I wish to ask the Senator, most people say businesses ought to have their opportunity to make a profit. That is what America is all about. What percentage of the revenues at, say, the University of Phoenix come from Federal taxpayers?

Mr. HARKIN. I am glad the Senator asked that question. I will go over that again. There is a Federal law that says they can only get 90 percent of their revenue from Federal financial aid sources, loans or grants, Pell grants, loans, that type of thing, 90 percent. The University of Phoenix reported last year they got 89 percent of their money from the Federal Government. But here is the kicker. If you are a GI and they recruit you and you are giving them your GI bill benefits and other educational benefits you get through the military, that is not counted in the 90 percent. For some reason that is not taxpayer money. Actually, the University of Phoenix got more than 90 percent of their money from the taxpayers.

Mr. DURBIN. If I might follow up, didn't we ask the GAO to do a study, or the Department of Defense to do a study about GI bill benefits and how

much we were actually spending through the GI bill for education through the for-profit schools compared to the public schools, community colleges, colleges and universities? We asked for that number, and we ended up learning these for-profit schools were charging GIs and veterans three times the amount being charged for those who went through other traditional schools, public schools, and universities.

It strikes me we have a legitimate concern. I know the Senator from Iowa and myself have been dutifully and loyally voting for Federal aid to education. I don't know his story. My story is, I am standing here today because of a National Defense Education Act government loan that let me finish college and law school; the Senator from Iowa the same thing. I have thought, goodness' sakes, if that is how I reached this point in my life, other people deserve the same chance. I have been almost an automatic vote when it comes to that kind of assistance.

I thank the Senator from Iowa. Now that he has had these hearings and I have joined him in investigating it, I find that a growing percentage of Federal aid to education is going to for-profit schools that operate with 90 percent Federal tax dollars and don't end up providing the kind of education these young men and women need to succeed, and many of them end up defaulting on their student loans. So there they are with the debt and nothing to show for it, which I believe is the point the Senator is making.

I ask my colleague, a veteran himself, how can it be fair to the government or the veterans for this kind of exploitation to continue?

Mr. HARKIN. I say to the Senator, who has been a leader in this effort of looking at the for-profit industry, trying to get the facts so we can make reasonable decisions as legislators about protecting both the taxpayers' dollars and protecting students, on December 8 our committee published this report called "Benefiting Whom, For-Profit Education Companies and the Growth of Military Education Benefits." I suggest that he might want to look at that. The Senator is absolutely right. More and more of this money is going to the for-profit schools.

Let me put it this way: Between \$640 to \$700 million in GI bill benefits went basically to public institutions, public schools—the University of Illinois, Iowa State, University of Colorado, University of Georgia—all that. About \$640 to \$700 million went to public schools. That supported 209,000 students. About the same amount of money from GI bill benefits went to the for-profit schools and supported 75,000 students.

Mr. DURBIN. So it is roughly three to one.

Mr. HARKIN. Yes. That is about right.

Mr. DURBIN. So for every dollar we spent through the Department of Defense to help veterans in the GI bill, if they went to a for-profit school, they were being charged three times what public schools were charging.

Mr. HARKIN. The Senator is correct.

Mr. DURBIN. And the numbers we found show that, for example, four of the five biggest schools receiving the most post-9/11 GI bill funding have at least one campus with a student loan default rate above 24 percent over 3 years. In comparison—and I don't have the numbers in front of me—I believe when we look at public schools, the default rates are in the 7- to 10-percent range.

Mr. HARKIN. That is correct.

Mr. DURBIN. So more and more students are being charged higher tuition, going deeper in debt, and defaulting at a rate of 3 to 1, being charged three times as much, defaulting three times as much as those who are attending public schools?

Mr. HARKIN. That is right.

Mr. DURBIN. It seems to me, at a time of great national deficits, when we do care about our veterans, this is an unexplainable, indefensible situation. I thank the Senator from Iowa for his hearings on this matter. I ask him: At this point, where do we go from here in terms of these schools and in terms of what we should be asking of them to make sure the students, the veterans, and the taxpayers get a fair shake?

Mr. HARKIN. I thank my friend from Illinois for his focus on this issue for a long time and bringing it to our attention. Again, where are we going? We have some more hearings we are going to be having after the first of the year. Then we are going to be looking at legislation we need to do. We need to take care of this.

As I said earlier, our friend and former colleague, Senator Sam Nunn of Georgia, in 1992, had hearings on this very same subject, and we put in place what we thought were fixes to straighten out this industry and to make sure taxpayers' dollars were better protected. Almost all those have been done away with—the fixes that were made by Senator Nunn and this body, this Congress at that time. We have to reexamine those fixes and others again.

For example, as the Senator knows, in 1992, we put a ban on compensating employees solely for recruiting students; in other words, you could not pay recruiters for how many students they recruited.

Mr. DURBIN. Bounties.

Mr. HARKIN. A bounty. That was rolled back in 2001. We also had a provision that was put in the law then, that at least 50 percent of your students had to be campus based. That was done away with in 2005. So all your students can be online. Since 2005, we have seen this huge explosion in online students going to these private schools online.

So those are just two of the things that have been rolled back. I think we have to reexamine that and reexamine how we better protect both taxpayers and students.

Mr. DURBIN. If I could ask one last question of the Senator from Iowa.

So the U.S. Department of Education is looking at this?

Mr. HARKIN. Yes.

Mr. DURBIN. Secretary of Education Arne Duncan is looking into this.

Mr. HARKIN. Yes.

Mr. DURBIN. You cannot escape the reaction of the for-profit school industry. They are buying full-page ads in every newspaper they can get their hands on, claiming we are, by this investigation, trying to deny an opportunity for education for particularly disadvantaged students.

Mr. HARKIN. Yes.

Mr. DURBIN. Isn't the bottom line that we want to make sure that, first, schools are accredited, so when they hold themselves out to offer a training program, certificate, degree, they, in fact, are doing that; second, to make sure they are charging a reasonable amount for the education they are offering; third, if you have so many defaults, it basically says your students are just accumulating debt, not accumulating diplomas, and we have to bring that to an end; and they are asking about whether students end up in a job when it is all over, gainful employment. Are any of these unreasonable if the Federal Government is providing 90 percent of the revenues for these schools?

Mr. HARKIN. I think the Senator is being very reasonable. I think these are the minimum kinds of things we ought to do, as I said, to be stewards of the taxpayers' money, protect our veterans, and protect other students.

One of the tricks in the trade, as they say—I bet if I asked most Senators to describe a semester, what is a semester, you would think a semester goes usually from September to January, one semester; and maybe January to May is another semester; and then there is summer school. That is not it. A semester is what you make it. Some of these schools have a semester that is 5 weeks long. So if you can keep your students in for 60 percent of the semester, you keep all their money. Then they drop out, and you have the money.

This is something else we have to look at, a better definition of what the timeframes are. What do we mean by a semester? How much time is that? How much time does a student have to stay there before the school can keep the grants and keep the loans from the student? But, again, these are things I think our committee and others are going to have to wrestle with, as we go ahead on this issue.

I know others are backed up here to speak. I started a little bit late. I was

supposed to start at 3:15. I think I started at 3:30, if I am not mistaken. So I will just take a few more minutes and try to close. I do not wish to keep other Senators waiting.

I, again, wish to close on this, on the cost and debt. At these for-profit schools, many students do not leave with a degree, but most leave with debt. The average student attends for about 128 days before dropping out. That is a little over 4 months. That is the average. For most schools, that is two terms. That is enough time for students to rack up thousands of dollars in debt—anywhere from \$6,000 to \$11,000, depending on the program and school.

That is because for-profit schools are far more expensive than comparable programs at community colleges or public universities. The average tuition for a for-profit school is about six times higher than a community college and twice as high as a 4-year public school. Average annual tuition for a for-profit school was about \$14,000 in 2009, while tuition at community colleges averaged about \$2,500, and in-state 4-year tuition was about \$7,000.

Of the 15 schools investigated by GAO, 14 had higher tuition than the nearest public college offering a similar program. One that we looked at offered a "computer-aided drafting certificate" for \$13,945, when the same program at a nearby community college cost \$520. The cost of an associate's degree offered by the second largest for-profit is over \$38,000, and a bachelor's degree can cost up to \$96,500.

Again, I just referenced to the Senator from Illinois the recent study we had done regarding the GIs and what the GIs are coming out with. They are paying three, four, sometimes five times as much going to an online school as they could at a community college or a local public or even a non-profit university.

On the placement—I know others are here, and I do not wish to again hold them up. I talked about what Senator Nunn had done back in 1992. Let me just respond on one thing on the accreditors. The Senator from Illinois mentioned accreditation. I wish to just respond to that because a lot of people think, if they are accredited, they must be all right. But here is what we found.

All institutions of higher learning are governed by a combination of the Federal Department of Education, State agencies, and private accrediting agencies, which ought to act as a safeguard against the proliferation of high-cost, low-quality educational institutions. A few States have passed strong State authorization requirements, which have made it difficult for some questionable for-profit colleges to set up shop in those States. Unfortunately, those States are the exception rather than the rule. Accrediting agencies are

charged with the mission of ensuring educational quality. However, this does not happen at a lot of for-profit schools.

There are two types of accrediting agencies: the so-called national accreditors that focus on accrediting for-profit schools, and there are regional accreditors that accredit most public and nonprofit universities. Increasingly, for-profit schools are seeking regional accreditation. One particular regional accreditor, the Higher Learning Commission of the North Central Association of Colleges and Schools, accredits 18 of the 24 for-profit schools that have regional accreditation and, until recently, was known as the go-to accreditor for for-profit schools.

They have a cozy relationship. We had testimony from a witness employed by one of the national higher education accrediting organizations. He testified:

Accreditors must hold institutions accountable to ensure that only the highest level of integrity is injected into the student recruitment and admissions process.

The same witness assured the committee that in 629 onsite evaluations of member schools over the previous 2 years, the agency did not find even a single example of "substantial non-compliance." Yet this witness's organization accredits three of the schools documented by the GAO as having engaged in misleading or deceptive recruiting.

So, again, that is where we find ourselves: One-quarter of our financial aid budget is going to a sector dominated by education companies owned by investors and shareholders seeking to maximize short-term profit. Their mission is to grow and to get profits at the expense of positive student outcomes. There are virtually no legislative checks in place, though new Department of Education regulation on incentive compensation is a step forward. The current accreditation bodies in higher education are ill-equipped to deal with the size and relentlessness of the investor-owned companies. As a consequence, as I just said, we have "for-profit" companies financed with over 85 percent of taxpayer dollars, reaping \$3.5 billion in profits, and millions of students leaving these schools with debt but no diploma.

These schools will receive more than \$30 billion in Federal aid this upcoming year—\$30 billion. It seems to me it is the obligation of us here and Federal regulators to provide effective government oversight and regulation of Federal financial aid dollars. The public is watching to see whether taxpayers' dollars are being used wisely and effectively. With high-cost schools, and sky-high dropout rates, with limited job placement and services, I have grave doubts that many of these for-profit schools are a good taxpayer investment.

At stake in the debate is the future of millions of Americans who are being aggressively recruited into high-cost programs of often dubious educational quality. For all these reasons—for every Yasmine Issa who has been misled or defrauded by a for-profit college—we have an obligation to make sure these schools are doing a decent job for their students. We need for-profit schools that put the interests of their students first. We need for-profit education companies that strive to serve the needs of the students they recruit and enroll. That is not always the case today. Congress and the executive branch have an obligation, I would say a moral obligation, to provide effective oversight of the for-profit sector in higher education. We owe this to the students, and we owe it to every taxpayer.

I yield the floor.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Vermont.

Mr. SANDERS. Mr. President, I am aware that yesterday, by a vote of 83 to 15, the Senate voted to ask for cloture on the tax agreement reached between the President and the Republican leadership. I was in the minority, and I wish to very briefly—I spoke on the issue at some length the other day. I will be a little bit briefer this afternoon. But I think it is important to explain why I and a number of us and many Americans think this is a bad agreement and that, in fact, we can do a lot better.

Just a few points. No. 1, this country has a \$13.8 trillion national debt. Our middle class is shrinking, and it is unconscionable to me that we are in the process of providing huge tax breaks to the wealthiest people in this country to drive up the national debt, which our kids and grandchildren will have to pay off. I think that is absolutely wrong.

During the Bush Presidency alone, the wealthiest 400 Americans saw their income more than double, while their income tax rates dropped almost in half from 1992 to 2007.

The richest 400 Americans now earn, on average, \$345 million a year and pay an effective tax rate of 16.6 percent.

The bottom line is, given all the problems facing this country, lowering taxes for people who are extraordinarily wealthy, whose incomes are soaring, whose tax rates are going down, should not be a major priority of the Senate.

Let's be very clear. If we continue to borrow money now to give tax breaks to those people who do not need it, our kids and our grandchildren will be paying higher taxes in the future. We should not be doing that.

Here is a point I wish to emphasize. I know the President and many of my colleagues are saying: Hey, don't worry about it. This extension of tax breaks for the wealthy is only for 2 years. I

wonder if my Republican friends would agree with me that it is not their intention to only make this extension for the wealthy for 2 years. I am quite sure 2 years from now they will be on the floor, maybe along with some Democrats, saying: Oh, no, that is not enough. We have to extend it again. So anyone who thinks we are only extending tax breaks for the wealthy for 2 years I think—maybe I am wrong—is sorely mistaken. I think we are talking about extending the tax breaks to millionaires and billionaires into the indefinite future.

That is not just what I am thinking. Here is what Dan Bartlett, a gentleman who was President Bush's former communications director, said to the well-known columnist, Howard Kurtz, just recently, last week, December 3, 2010:

We knew that, politically, once you get it [the tax cuts] into law, it becomes almost impossible to remove it. That's not a bad legacy. The fact that we were able to lay the trap does feel pretty good, to tell you the truth.

My Republican friends know it. In 2 years, you will be back to extend it, and that is what we are voting on. Let's be clear about it. We do not know what the future brings us, but if, in fact, we do end up extending the tax breaks for the next 10 years, as our Republican colleagues want it, it will increase the national debt by \$700 billion and would give a tax cut of over \$100,000 a year to people earning more than \$1 million. It doesn't make a whole lot of sense to me.

We should also be clear that this agreement between the President and the Republican leadership also continues the Bush era 15-percent tax rate on capital gains and dividends, meaning that those people who make their living off of their investments will continue to pay a substantially lower tax rate than firemen, teachers, nurses, and police officers. Does that make sense? Well, maybe it does to some people; not to me.

This agreement also includes a horrendous proposal regarding the estate tax. Under the agreement between the President and the Republicans, the estate tax, which was 55 percent under President Clinton, will decline to 35 percent with an exemption on the first \$5 million of an individual's estate. This decline in taxes in the estate tax applies to the top three-tenths of 1 percent. This is not just the tax breaks for the wealthy; this is a tax break for the very, very, very wealthiest people in this country. At a time when we have a record-breaking deficit, if that makes sense to some of my colleagues, that is fine. It surely does not make sense to me, nor do I think it makes sense to most of the people in this country.

The Congressional Budget Office has estimated that this estate tax giveaway would increase the deficit by more than \$68 billion. And while this

extension is for 2 years, there is little doubt in my mind that our Republican colleagues will continue to push for lower and lower estate tax rates in the future, on their way to eventually repealing the estate tax permanently. I would remind my colleagues that last year, some of us brought to the floor an amendment that said maybe at a time when our seniors and disabled vets have not gotten a COLA for the last 2 years, maybe we should give them a \$250 check. This is for people trying to live on \$14,000, \$15,000, \$16,000 a year. We didn't get one Republican vote—not one Republican vote—but when it comes to huge tax breaks for billionaires, the top three-tenths of 1 percent, I guess there is a lot of support for that. Again, it may make sense to some people; not to this Senator.

There is also an issue I wish to spend a moment on which I think has not gotten the attention it deserves, and that is that this agreement contains a "payroll tax holiday" which would cut over \$114 billion in Social Security payroll taxes for workers next year. While on the surface this sounds good, it is actually a very dangerous idea. This payroll tax holiday originated from conservative Republicans. Our Republican friends think this is a good idea, because for many of them—not all—the goal is to choke off money going into Social Security to divert money that should go into the Social Security trust fund and over a period of time weaken the solvency of Social Security. Once again, while this is supposed to be a 1-year payroll tax holiday, frankly, it is hard for me to imagine that it will not be continued next year. I suspect it will go on and on, and for many of our conservative friends who want to destroy Social Security, I think they are feeling pretty good about it. I think they are on their way. Less and less money is going to go into the Social Security trust fund and that, in fact, is what they have on their minds.

While the administration claims the money lost from this proposal will be paid back through the general fund of the government, this proposal would leave Social Security dependent on government revenues rather than the direct contributions of workers who have successfully funded this program for the last 75 years. And once you are into Federal funding for Social Security, let me tell you, it will be cut and cut and cut and you are talking about the beginning of the end for Social Security. So I have very real concerns about that. Frankly, maybe it is a 1-year program. I doubt it very much. I think it will be extended.

Further, while some of the business tax cuts in this agreement may work to create jobs—maybe some won't—economists I think from all ends of the political spectrum believe that the

much better way to spur the economy and create jobs is to spend money rebuilding our crumbling infrastructure. No debate. We need trillions of dollars of work to rebuild our roads, bridges, water systems, levees, public transportation, our rail system. I think most economists believe when you put money into infrastructure, not only do you increase the long-term productivity of our country and our international competitiveness, you also create jobs a lot faster than many of these business tax cuts do.

Furthermore, one of the other reasons I am voting against this agreement is that I know the President and some of the Republicans said, Well, we reached a compromise on extending unemployment benefits. Well, I don't believe that was a compromise. The truth is that while it is morally unacceptable that we would turn our back on millions of workers who in the midst of this terrible recession have for a very long period of time not been able to find a job—obviously we have to extend unemployment benefits, but to say it is a compromise that our Republican friends came along with, this is something I don't accept. The truth is that for the past 40 years under both Democratic and Republican administrations, under Republican leadership in the House and Senate, and Democratic leadership in the House and Senate, whenever the unemployment rate has been above 7.2 percent, unemployment insurance has always been extended. In other words, this has been for decades bipartisan policy. Republicans and Democrats have said, You can't leave people to lose everything, leave them to lose their dignity, not being able to take care of their families when unemployment benefits are not allowed. This is not a compromise. This is just an extension of 40 years of bipartisan policy.

Furthermore, there are a number of additional extenders in here dealing with ethanol, dealing with NASCAR, dealing with tax breaks to oil and gas companies, dealing with rum producers in Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands that I think, to say the least, have not gotten the kind of discussion they deserve.

Are there positive aspects of this agreement? Of course there are. Extending middle-class tax cuts to 98 percent of Americans, the earned income tax credit for working Americans, and the child-in-college tax credits are all extremely important, and that is something we have to do. But when we look at the overall package, we must put it in a broader context. What will the passage of this legislation mean for the future of our country?

The bottom line is, as I think most Americans know, the middle class is in a state of collapse, poverty is increasing, people on top are doing phenomenally well. We need to put people to

work and put them to work right now. I think the fastest and best way to do that is to address our crumbling infrastructure.

Second of all, when we have the most unequal distribution of income of any major country on Earth—the top 1 percent earn more income than the bottom 50 percent—giving tax breaks to people who don't need it—and in fact, ironically, there are millionaires and billionaires out there who are saying we are doing great. We don't need a tax break. Use it to deal with the poverty rate among our children. Use it for education. Use it for health care. We don't want it. We don't need it. We are throwing it back.

So I think, and I believed from the very beginning, that we could reach a much better agreement than we have reached right now.

I intend to vote no on this agreement, and I hope as many of my colleagues as possible will do the same.

With that, Mr. President, I yield the floor.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Georgia.

DEFICIT REDUCTION

Mr. CHAMBLISS. Mr. President, I rise today to speak on a matter with my colleague Senator WARNER. I understood Senator WARNER and I had the time from 4:15 to 5 o'clock which was generously given to us by Senator SANDERS who had the time before 5 o'clock. Unfortunately, Senator HARKIN has gone over and used some of Senator SANDERS' time. I know Senator KIRK is coming down to give his maiden speech at 5 o'clock, and I hope he will bear with us. We have a number of folks who are going to speak very quickly today on an issue that is of major importance to America.

America's fiscal house is in disarray. Our budget process is broken, and future generations will end up paying the price if we continue to ignore the difficult decisions required to fix this grave threat to our country's fiscal stability.

Recently, the National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform has worked in a bipartisan manner to produce recommendations on how to best address our current levels of debt. While these recommendations may not reflect the beliefs of all Members of this body, I commend the Commission's members for having the courage and the open minds to tackle the problem. At the very least, their recommendations can serve as a starting point for a serious debate on how we can ensure a better life for our children and our grandchildren.

Today, spending has reached almost 24 percent of America's gross domestic product, while our revenues were at their lowest levels last year in 60 years. Not too long ago, the debt ceiling was increased by the largest amount in history: \$1.9 trillion—nearly twice as

large as the previous record of \$984 billion. Our current statutory limit on the public debt is now set at \$14.294 trillion and is expected to require an increase again sometime this spring.

With that backdrop, Senator WARNER and I began talking this summer about this grave issue facing America and about the fact that if we don't address it now, then it is going to be too late, and that it was incumbent upon us to try to educate ourselves as well as educate other Members of this body about the seriousness of this issue and what is the way forward. So we began talking among ourselves. We expanded our group and expanded and expanded, and we now have a significant number of Senators who are prepared to come forth and say we have to address this and we have to address it next year. Some of the members of that group are going to be here today to give their thoughts on it. We are going to be joined by several Republicans and Democrats to pledge our commitment to addressing this issue and addressing it in the right way.

I wish to thank my friend Senator WARNER for his leadership, for his commitment to do this. It has been a pleasure to work with him. As we move forward next year, this group is going to provide the momentum to carry the ball to make sure we address the issue of reductions in spending as well as major tax reform to get the fiscal house of the United States back in order.

With that, I yield to Senator WARNER.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Virginia.

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, let me echo the comments of my good friend, the Senator from Georgia, Senator CHAMBLISS. It is time for us in this Senate—and excuse the language—to put up or shut up. A lot of folks talk about deficit reduction in both parties time and again, but over the next year, there is a growing group of us—and I think folks will see this group in the next 45 minutes, hopefully briefly, each one of us—starting to raise the issue that next year we have to take on deficit reduction and major tax reform.

The country is approaching \$14 trillion in national debt. It has been estimated that every day we delay, we add close to \$5 billion to that national debt. So whether your issue is the solvency of Social Security, whether your issue is tax rates, whether your issue is making sure we pass on a balance sheet to our kids and our grandkids and allow America to continue to be the economic superpower it has been, unless we take on this issue, we won't be able to accomplish those goals.

While I believe, as imperfect as this compromise between the President and others is in terms of short-term stimulus that we will vote on later tonight, we also have to demonstrate that this

body can actually walk and chew gum, that we can do short-term stimulus now, but next year engage in meaningful tax reform and deficit reduction. Because if we act later tonight, we will be adding \$900 billion over the next 2 years to our national deficit.

So today—and we will come back on a regular basis—we will hear very briefly from a number of my colleagues on both sides of the aisle, and I think in our new respectful way—we may not agree on the ultimate solutions, but we are going to agree to listen to each other respectfully and recognize that at the end of the day, meaningful tax reform and meaningful deficit reduction has to be a goal of this Senate, of this Congress in the next year.

I yield the floor to my good friend, the Senator from Mississippi.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Mississippi.

Mr. WICKER. Mr. President, I am glad to join this bipartisan group today. I see 10 of us on the floor at this time and we all have agreed to speak briefly about this, because we want to make the case that over the next several months we mean business and we intend to do what we can to actually make some tough choices.

I join my colleague from Georgia in commending the membership of the National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform, and particularly the leaders of this group, Erskine Bowles and Alan Simpson—great patriots, people with a great history of service in their own right. They have come forward with some recommendations in their preamble. They make it clear none of us like every element of the plan, but they put forward a plan that I think is a starting point for us, and we intend to use these next few months—frankly, we intend to use the runup to the vote we will have to take on the debt ceiling around April of 2011—to make real progress.

Let me subscribe to several of the statements made in the preamble of this fiscal responsibility commission. They say: “We cannot play games or put off our choices any longer.”

I think the American people know that, and they expect leadership from their elected representatives in the House and Senate in that regard. The report and the preamble go on to say: “The American people are counting on us to put politics aside,” and that is what we are trying to do on the floor today. And that is what we are trying to do on the floor today with a bipartisan representation—pull together and not pull apart and agree on a plan to live within our means and to make America strong for the long haul.

It has been pointed out that ADM Mike Mullen, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, says that the most significant threat to national security today is our national debt. I agree with Admiral Mullen, and I think Americans agree also.

“Kicking the can down the road is not going to suffice any longer,” to quote our colleague from Oklahoma, Senator TOM COBURN.

The preamble goes on to say:

The contagion of debt that began in Greece and continues to sweep through Europe shows us clearly that no economy will be immune.

No economy, not even the U.S. economy.

If the U.S. does not put its house in order, the reckoning will be sure and the devastation severe.

The title of the report of the Commission is “The Moment of Truth.” And I think we are here on the floor of the Senate today, on December 14, 2010, to say there is a bipartisan working group that believes we have arrived at a pivotal moment of truth and we intend to get down to the business of rectifying the problem of national spending and our national debt.

I yield to my friend from Montana.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Montana.

Mr. TESTER. I thank Senator WICKER for his remarks, and I rise to share a few words also about the debt and about the bipartisan tax cut compromise we will vote on this evening. Before I get into these remarks, I wish to thank Senators WARNER and CHAMBLISS for their good work in putting together a group of Senators to help address this issue in a bipartisan way.

As far as the compromise tonight, I look forward to voting for this compromise. It is a matter of creating jobs and rebuilding the economy. I think the bill does that. Is it a compromise plan I would have written? No. But it does cut taxes for the folks who need tax relief the most—middle-class families, small businesses, family farmers and ranchers. They are the real job creators in this country, and aiming tax relief at them required compromise and working together, and it happened. It is a victory for all Montanans and especially all Americans.

I wish to point out another example of working together. Over the past few days, a number of my colleagues—Democrats, Republicans, and Independents, 22 in all—teamed up to put forth the resolution we hope will be a part of this package we will vote on tonight. This resolution puts all of us on record expressing our deep concerns about the unsustainable path of this country's debt and showing our commitment to working together to dig ourselves out of the ditch we are in. To do that, any plan will have to have tax reform, spending cuts, and deficit reduction. It is not going to be an easy process. In order to have a serious debate about cutting our debt, we are going to need to make some tough decisions and not just pay lipservice or play political games.

Much like the report of the President's Commission on Fiscal Responsibility

and Reform, there are a lot of things Members of this group and of this body are not going to like in any potential plan. But what is important here is that all of these Members are serious about putting this country on a sustainable path and are committed to devising and voting on a plan to do that within the next 12 months. It is that important an issue.

This is, hands down, the most important issue this Senate will deal with over the next few years—putting our Nation's economy on a sustainable path to control this country's debt and to offer opportunity for the future. I look forward to working with my colleagues on this issue, as I know they share my same commitment to getting something done.

The truth is, we are not going to be able to get anywhere unless we trust one another. This process isn't going to be pleasant for anyone, but we can be successful if we have a bipartisan effort. This bipartisan resolution is more than just lipservice; it is a plan to move forward together.

I yield the floor to my friend, the Senator from Nebraska, Mr. JOHANNES.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Nebraska.

Mr. JOHANNES. Mr. President, this is a rather remarkable moment. On each side, Republicans and Democrats are standing to describe a problem that literally jeopardizes not just the future of our children and our grandchildren, but it jeopardizes our security; that is, our runaway spending and our deficit.

If I might, let me put this in perspective. As a former Governor of Nebraska, I used to tell my cabinet, when we were struggling through budget issues, that this is not magic, it is math. That is the reality of what we are dealing with here. We simply have a problem that is so gigantic, it can only be solved in a bipartisan way.

Let me offer a couple of statistics to back up that statement. If you look at the entire Federal budget, this is what you see. If you add Medicaid, Medicare, Social Security, and the interest we pay on our debt, that is 64 cents of every dollar we spend annually. Let me repeat those programs: Medicaid, Medicare, Social Security, and the interest we pay on our debt. Everybody will acknowledge the importance of those programs. Let's compare that to the revenues coming in this year. The revenues coming in don't even cover the full cost of those programs. So if anyone is out there suggesting that a little nip and a little tuck and a tweak here and a tweak there is going to solve this problem, it just fundamentally won't. We literally have a situation where if we just shut down the entire Federal Government—national defense, every single program out there except the ones I mentioned—we would still come up a bit short.

We need to fundamentally change how we are operating this government

because, quite honestly, to date we all recognize—Democrats and Republicans—that we have been operating this government on the credit card of our children and our grandchildren. That won't work. It simply can't work any longer.

I conclude my comments today by saying I appreciate the opportunity to work with my colleagues on the other side of the aisle and to work with my colleagues on this side of the aisle to try to solve what I consider the most pressing, most urgent need our Nation faces today.

Mr. President, I yield the floor for Senator WYDEN.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Oregon.

Mr. WYDEN. Mr. President, I wish to commend Senator WARNER and Senator CHAMBLISS in particular for their important work, and tomorrow it will be even more important given that this agreement will pass tonight.

There is always another election around the corner, a big array of special interest groups that need to be satisfied, and the constant scream of public opinion polls that politicians live and die for. Why take action that could offend a group today if you can put it off for a while?

In my view, the agreement that will pass tonight is a victory for the politics of procrastination. At a time when Americans are swimming in debt, more water will be put into the pool. Instead of taking steps to fix the market-distorting, job-killing Tax Code—last overhauled a quarter of a century ago when China and India were blips in the global economy—this vote, tonight, will prop up our broken Tax Code. Millions of Americans are out of work, small businesses are closing their doors, and instead of finding permanent solutions to the problem, the agreement is smiling like Scarlett O'Hara and saying: Fiddle-dee-dee, I will think about it tomorrow.

The agreement doesn't come close to what is needed to get our economy back on track. In many ways, this deal will make the problems worse. For one, it adds more to the deficit than TARP, more than the 2009 stimulus bill—858 billion more dollars will be added to the national debt.

At a time when our economy desperately needs to create more jobs, the agreement continues the same tax policies that failed to create jobs for the past 10 years.

At a time when businesses are saying that uncertainty is keeping them from hiring and investing, this deal increases that uncertainty by essentially turning the entire personal income tax system into a temporary structure that will all expire in a year or two.

And at a time when China is planning to invest a trillion dollars in crucial industries for its long-term growth, there is nothing in this agreement that

makes so much as a downpayment for investing in our Nation's future.

It did not have to be this way. As Senator WARNER and colleagues have mentioned, there was a blueprint provided by the deficit commission. I don't happen to agree with everything in it, but clearly it was a very important blueprint.

In the 1980s—and I see Senator ALEXANDER here, who clearly remembers those days—President Reagan and the Democrats worked for bipartisan tax reform to clean out the loopholes, hold down the rates, and keep progressivity. In the 2 years, colleagues, after Democrats and Ronald Reagan worked together, our economy grew by 6.3 million jobs—twice the number created between 2001 and 2008 when tax policy was purely partisan.

I don't think it had to be this way. Senator WARNER and Senator CHAMBLISS tried very hard to add a provision that might at some point insert consequences for inaction. Colleagues—and I will close with this—nothing will happen in this town where there is this culture of procrastination unless there are some consequences for inaction.

There are provisions in this measure tonight that I support very strongly—unemployment insurance, help for the middle class and small business. I was willing to extend the whole Bush-era program for a year if it were done in a way to force action. But that is not going to be done.

Tonight, I intend to vote no. Tomorrow, I will be back with Senator WARNER and Senator CHAMBLISS to build on the good work of the deficit commission, build on the good work Democrats and Ronald Reagan did in the 1980s to give us a model so that finally in this country we tackle the major problems—debt reduction and fixing the job-killing Tax Code—and bring back the middle class to the prosperity they deserve.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Idaho.

Mr. CRAPO. Mr. President, I also am honored and very appreciative of the opportunity to join this bipartisan group speaking to the Nation tonight about the fact that we cannot any longer delay dealing with the most significant threat our Nation faces—our debt and our fiscal difficulties.

I was one of the members of the President's Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform, and I had the opportunity over the past year to work on a bipartisan basis with people on that Commission who took testimony from experts, evaluated the issues, studied the economies of the world, studied the details of what was happening in the American economy, and came forward with a plan.

This plan got 11 of the 18 votes on that Commission. It was required by the President's order to get 14 of the 18

votes in order to force that plan to Congress for a vote. I was disappointed that didn't happen. But let me make a couple of points of clarification. Eleven of the 18 votes represented over 60 percent of the votes of the members on that Commission. That is enough votes to pass any bill in this Senate. It is enough votes to pass any bill in the House of Representatives and to get that bill to the desk of the President. And 14 of 18 would have been over 77 percent of the votes—a margin that has rarely been met in this Congress.

My point in making this clarification is to say that on a bipartisan basis, we were able to come up with a supermajority of support on the Commission for a plan. Now, did that plan contain everything I wanted and leave nothing out I didn't like? No. There were parts of that plan that caused me great heartburn. But that plan did put America on a path toward a balanced budget. It stopped the erosion. In fact, it stopped the explosion of our debt across this country, and it did so in a way that focused on the right elements. What were those elements? Spending and tax reform.

Many of us were worried at the outset that the Commission would focus on just trying to solve the problem with more tax increases and tell the American people that our spending habits here in Congress were too important to be dealt with and we would simply have to increase taxes in order to keep Congress spending at its breakneck rate. The Commission denied that fact and said: The reality is that the problem in Congress is they spend too much, and it put spending caps on discretionary spending and at least started—not as much as I thought it should do—the debate about how to deal with our entitlements.

One very important addition. It proposed a major reform of our Tax Code—probably the most sweeping tax reform I have seen in my lifetime. If you were to try to come up with a tax code that is more unfair, more complex, more costly to comply with and more anti-competitive to Americans seeking to do business in the world, you probably couldn't do much worse than we have done with our Tax Code. And one of the most important parts of dealing with our fiscal policy is to reform that Tax Code. So that is another reason I am so glad to see we have bipartisan support for that kind of reform.

As I close, I would simply say that I am heartened by the fact that we see Republicans and Democrats alike saying that the time for further inaction is gone. The time for gridlock is gone. We do not have time to continue the kind of gridlock debate we have seen over the years here in Congress as we deal with this issue. And it is my hope that in the near future, we will force process reforms in this Congress that will put votes on the difficult issues we must face as Americans before us.

With that, Mr. President, I yield my time and yield the floor for the Senator from North Carolina.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from North Carolina.

Mrs. HAGAN. Mr. President, when the fiscal commission released its report on December 1, it started with the guiding principle on which all Americans can agree: We have a duty to make America better off tomorrow than it is today. But the picture is pretty bleak right now. Let me give a few examples.

In 1982 our deficit had never exceeded \$100 billion. By March of 2004, 22 years later, the debt was \$3.7 trillion. Today, 6 years have passed, and the debt held by the public has ballooned to \$8.7 trillion. The Federal debt was 33 percent of GDP in 2001. It is now 62 percent and on a trajectory to reach 90 percent of GDP by 2020. Interest on our national debt could rise to nearly \$1 trillion annually by 2020. That is the entire amount of the individual income taxes we are collecting this year.

It is impossible to look at these numbers and believe this trajectory will result in an America that is better for our children than it is for us.

We cannot continue to just grow the debt and run huge deficits each year with the expectation that our children will pay the bill. This trend of borrowing will eventually have to come to an end one way or the other. The only question is, How are we going to reduce our deficit responsibly and in a bipartisan fashion and in a way that encourages investment and economic growth? Are we going to cruise blissfully along until some external crisis forces us to make these adjustments in the most sudden and painful way possible?

The time for Congress to act is now. There is a mounting chorus growing from all sides that recognizes our current path is unsustainable. Eleven members of the fiscal commission voted for the bipartisan deficit reduction report, including my friends, the Senators from Illinois, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Idaho, and New Hampshire.

Just today, Moody's announcement that it could move a step closer to cutting the AAA rating on our debt is why I am here today joining with my colleagues in vigorous support of concerted bipartisan action on the deficit in 2011 and the resolution introduced by my colleagues, Senator CHAMBLISS and Senator WARNER.

It is past time to get to work. We need to think seriously about reforming the Tax Code and tackling the deficit and the debt in a civil and bipartisan manner, and we need to do it now.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Idaho.

Mr. RISCH. Mr. President, I rise today to speak very briefly about this issue. This easily could be the most se-

rious issue we have dealt with in recent years and in future years. We have an enemy today that is at the door. This is not an enemy that is out there somewhere and we can talk about philosophically. It is an enemy that is at the door.

Last year the Federal Government spent around \$3.8 trillion. That doesn't mean anything to me or probably much to anybody because nobody knows what \$3.8 trillion is. If we say it is a little over \$7 million a minute, it starts to sound a little bit more like we could understand it.

But none of that is important. It is how much do we have. The Federal Government was short 41 percent of that money; 41 cents out of every dollar that the U.S. Government spent it borrowed.

I hope everyone listened closely to the Senator from Nebraska when he said if we funded only Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, and the interest on the national debt, we would be short of money coming in to pay for that. If we shut down all other aspects of the Federal Government, we still could not put it in the black if we paid for just those.

This moment in history is an absolutely critical moment for the American people. We have gotten jaded because all of our lives we have heard about the national debt, and we have heard about annual deficits. We get jaded about it. But these numbers today are real, they are serious, and they could bring down this government. There is absolutely no question about that.

This Congress has to do something about that, and it is not going to be done by Republicans, it is not going to be done by Democrats, it is going to take a bipartisan effort to do that. I am here today to support that.

I yield the floor for my good friend, Senator UDALL from Colorado.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. (Mrs. HAGAN). The Senator from Colorado.

Mr. UDALL of Colorado. Madam President, I am pleased and proud to follow my colleague from Idaho. What we are hearing about is all the challenges that face our Nation, and there are many of them right now. This massive set of budget deficits and overall debt we face—it is a crippling debt—requires probably the most serious and difficult effort we are facing right now as a people.

A strong country—I heard Senator WYDEN say this, in effect—is a solvent country. Conversely, a broke country is a weak country.

I can't help but remember Erskine Bowles, the Cochairman of the Commission we are talking about today. He was asked: Why are interest rates still low? Why are our bonds still desirable? He said: Don't let's fool ourselves. Senator CHAMBLISS would appreciate this because he used a Southern turn of

phrase. He said: Look, we are still the best looking horse in the glue factory. That is the only reason that our interest rates and our bonds are still strong.

What do we do about that? There is a way forward. The bipartisan commission has put in front of us a plan. None of us agree with every single item. It is a way forward. It is important to note of the 11 votes, 5 of those votes were Senators from our body. Five of the six Senators who represented us on this Commission voted to move forward.

That is the way forward—for us to join together, Democrats and Republicans alike. Despite our differences of opinion on many other issues, I think we can agree on one thing; that is, developing fundamental tax reform and addressing, in the process, our long-term debt problems.

Like Senator WYDEN, I am going to vote no tonight. I think this is a misguided effort, and we will add \$900 billion to our debt load. But I respect my colleagues who see it otherwise. I am going to vote no, and I am going to come right back to work tomorrow with all of us in the Chamber. We are going to meet this challenge head on. The stakes are too high if we do not.

I yield the floor to the Senator from Tennessee.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Tennessee.

Mr. ALEXANDER. I am here tonight in the spirit of my late friend, the author of *Roots*, Alex Haley, who lived and died by these words: "Find the good and praise it."

I am here to praise Senator WARNER, Senator CHAMBLISS, and the bipartisan group of Senators who have focused their attention on this urgent crisis that our country faces, the national debt.

This is the way the Senate is supposed to work: to see an urgent need, develop a bipartisan consensus to get to work on it, come up with a strategy to deal with it, and get a result—not just make speeches but get a result.

We have heard the evidence. We have had the good example set by five Members of our body—two Democrats, three Republicans—who took a courageous step in their action on the fiscal commission earlier this month. The Senate should follow that example.

I am encouraged by what I hear from the bipartisan group of Senators addressing our debt issue. This is the way the Senate is supposed to work. Let me conclude with just one example from history. I picked up a book the other night called "The British Overseas." It is a British historian's view of the American Revolution.

He pointed this out: At the time of the American Revolution, the interest on the national debt of the British empire amounted to one-half of the national revenue of the British empire. In other words, at the time we fought for and won our independence, Great Britain had an unconscionable debt. The

debt forced the British into some imprudent decisions. One was the Stamp Act and one was a little tax on tea, which occurred at about that time.

So big debts force big countries into bad decisions. The leadership we have seen across the aisle is a good start for the serious effort toward dealing with our debt crisis. I am here today to commend those Senators, both Democrats and Republicans, who are part of it.

I yield the floor for the distinguished Senator from Colorado.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Colorado.

Mr. BENNET. Madam President, I am so pleased to be here in this room with Democrats and Republicans, talking constructively with each other. It has been a long time since we have seen that. It is one of the things I heard day after day over the last 22 months as I had townhall meetings across the State of Colorado. I too wanted to read something from the words of the deficit and debt commission because I think it is important for people to understand, people who are watching this at home and people working in Washington, that this is not optional.

They write:

Large debt will put America at risk by exposing it to foreign creditors. They currently own more than half of our public debt and the interest we pay them reduces our own standard of living. The single largest foreign holder of our debt is China, a nation that may not share our country's aspirations and strategic interests.

In a worst-case scenario, investors could lose confidence that our Nation is able or willing to repay its loans—possibly triggering a debt crisis that would force the government to implement the most stringent of austerity measures.

As the President knows, I never ran for office before this election. I spent half my life in the private sector and half working in places such as the Denver Public Schools. The former Secretary of Education is here today. Nothing else in the world runs like this. Nowhere else would we say to ourselves that our theory is, we would look the other way, borrow the money from the Chinese, one of our greatest competitors, and stick our kids with the bills.

The reason this has become so important now is because the size and scope of this debt puts us in the position where one day—I will close with this—where one day somebody may say: I am not going to buy your debt at that price. The day that happens interest rates are going to spike, and this recession is going to look like nothing compared with what we are going to face. We owe it to our kids and grandkids to make sure we are paying our way. I am so pleased we are here today in a bipartisan way to talk about it.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Virginia.

Mr. WARNER. Madam President, I know the Senator from Illinois is going

to do his maiden speech in a couple of moments. I ask his forbearance for an extra 4 or 5 minutes.

Our colleagues have been a little bit over subscribed, which I think is an indication of the enormous interest in this issue and Senator SHAHEEN, Senator CORKER, Senator KLOBUCHAR, and Senator NELSON wanted to speak briefly on this issue. If the Senator from Illinois would grant us those couple of minutes, we would all be very grateful because I know, once he makes his maiden speech, he will be part of this effort as well.

With that, to Senator CORKER.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Tennessee.

Mr. CORKER. Madam President, I rise to speak on the topic that has been discussed over the last hour. I thank my colleagues for focusing on this issue. Yesterday's and tonight's votes are tough votes for me. I think they are tough votes for each of us. We have a bipartisan compromise that has come forth. There are things in this bill that trouble each of us for different reasons. But I think all of us understand our deficit issue is the biggest threat to our country's economic security and in many ways to our sovereignty.

Over this summer I had 46 deficit presentations around the State of Tennessee. I think what people walked away with from these meetings—and they were large meetings—was the severity of this issue. Most Americans have not focused on the severity of our debt issue. Most Americans think it is going to affect a neighbor, might affect another generation. I think a lot of Americans think if we would do away with things such as earmarks—and I don't earmark—we would solve our problems.

That is what I hoped to accomplish this summer in Tennessee, was to make people aware of how big this issue is and that the steps we are going to have to take are Draconian. I applaud those who have been involved in the process that has just taken place at the deficit reduction commission. I am hopeful that sometime very soon, in the next few months, we will have the opportunity to vote on something similar in nature that deals with real spending constraints.

I think all of us know spending as a percentage of GDP is at an all-time high in modern history. I think we know spending has to come under control. At the same time, we understand in our Tax Code each year we give away \$1.2 trillion. I think that shocks people. If we were to eliminate those—I know Senator WYDEN and others have worked on this—if we would eliminate those, everybody's tax could be less. We could lower individual rates, we could lower corporate rates, we could help our economy and spur it on.

I know it is irresponsible, when a debt ceiling comes before us, to not

vote for a debt ceiling in that it is like running up a credit card tab and not agreeing to pay the bill. But I heard a great Senator getting ready to retire, and I won't say what his name is, say it is also irresponsible to not be responsible prior to voting on a debt ceiling increase.

It is my hope that sometime between now and April or May or early June, whenever this vote has to take place, instead of us just talking about this today—and I applaud all of those who are; I thank them for that—we actually vote on something of substance that deals with this issue in a real way and does not kick the can down the road.

This is the issue that could create the greatest crisis in our country, something that, by the way, is totally within our control. Many of the problems we face as a country we cannot deal with solely ourselves; it involves lots of other people. This is one of those issues that we have totally in our control, and all it takes is the courage to deal with this issue. The reasoning, that we are not going to get everything exactly the way we want it, but as a group, we have got to have the courage to actually deal with it.

So I hope that we move more than just to a construct but to a real vote. I have a bill on the floor, and I am thankful that CLAIRE MCCASKILL has agreed to cosponsor an amendment to actually this tax bill, that I know is not going to pass, probably is not even going to have a vote but to build momentum toward there actually being a construct in place that sequesters spending to drive us from where we are today to a more responsible place, a place where we have been over the last 40 years.

I thank the Senator from Illinois, who I respect. Thank you for your forbearance.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from New Hampshire.

Mrs. SHAHEEN. Madam President, I am pleased to be here on the floor this afternoon to join my colleagues on both sides of the aisle, to talk about the need for us to deal with our deficits and our debt in this country.

I made the decision to vote for the tax cut package that we are going to be voting on this evening. I did that with some sense of ambiguity, because it does not adequately put in place a plan to address our debt in this country. All the economists, however, I have spoken to have indicated that this is important for us as we are looking at continuing to stimulate our economy and provide the relief that middle-class families and small businesses need.

So despite the fact that there are things in it that I do not like, I am going to support it. But I would feel a lot better about it if it contained language that all of us have talked about, that says, as part of doing this, once we

get this economy moving again, we have also to address the long-term debt we face in this country. And make no mistake about it, we have to do that both by addressing spending and by addressing tax reform.

I was at a small business in Salem, NH, yesterday, at a company called MSI. They do HVAC systems. They are a small business. They have about 25 employees. I asked them what they were looking for from us in Washington, and they said, a fair, simple Tax Code.

So we have to get serious about this problem. All we have to do is look at what is happening in Europe to know that we are headed that way if we do not get this debt under control. We have to make some tough decisions that include both tax reform and fiscal restraint. I would feel better if this language were in the legislation that we are going to be voting on, but I think it is clear it is the sense of the Senate—if we can get this resolution done, it will be important to send that message to everybody in the country about what we need to do.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Florida.

Mr. NELSON of Florida. Madam President, I want to say to the new Senator from Illinois, thank you for your forbearance. 10 years ago, this Senator made his maiden speech on the floor, and it was about this very same issue. Because then, a decade ago, we had the privilege of having surpluses. My maiden speech was about exactly if we did not watch out, what was going to happen is those surpluses were going to go into deficits. If we had been good stewards of our condition, we could have paid off the national debt over the course of 12 years. But we took a different direction.

I am to be followed by the Senator from Minnesota, and the Senator from California.

I think what we are hearing here, in a bipartisan way, after we are swallowing a bitter pill of what we are going to vote on tonight, that is going to increase the debt \$900 billion, because under these economic circumstances it is the right thing to do to jump-start the economy—

I think what we are hearing now is a confluence of events that is going to bring us starkly face to face, that we are going to have to reduce the debt and we are going to have to do tax reform. Because the conditions are so raw now, it is our responsibility to explain what we see as the economic circumstances of the country, explain it to the American people, and then act on it.

When emergency conditions arise, there is opportunity, and that is the opportunity to make change for the good.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Minnesota.

Ms. KLOBUCHAR. Madam President, I also want to acknowledge the new Senator from Illinois and thank him for the time. But I also want to acknowledge the senior Senator from Illinois who is here, who just spent the last few months serving honorably on the debt commission on the National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility.

They came out with some recommendations. A number of us in this Chamber, while we may not support every one and disagree with some of them, think that is something we must pursue. As they wrote in their report, "Every modest sacrifice that we refuse to make today only forces far greater sacrifices of hope and opportunity upon the next generation."

They are right. The longer we wait, the more wrenching the choices become. And guess who is going to have to make those painful choices. It is our children and our children's children. But you know what else. It is ourselves. As the Senator from California pointed out about an hour ago, 6 percent—6 percent—of our spending is interest on that debt.

So there are some commonsense suggestions in that report. That is what we have to do next year. When you look at this idea, people making over \$250,000, the fact that going back to the Clinton levels—the Clinton tax levels—when our country was incredibly prosperous, that that would bring in \$700 billion to bring down the debt, that is why the majority of the people in this country, the vast majority of the people in this country, want to see it as one of the options for the long term.

For the short term, we know that our country is still in a fragile state. We know we cannot sock the middle class with a \$3,000 tax increase. We know that we have 200 million who are unemployed, through no fault of their own, who are still looking for work. That is why we are passing this bill tonight.

But beyond that, as we go to the next year, we must work together, as you see what is going on today in a bipartisan way, to put a plan in place. Because the markets will respond to that. It will be good for our economy. We will show we mean business, and we will not turn into one of those countries overseas that is experiencing what they are experiencing now because they did not make that long-term commitment.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from California.

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. Madam President, I also am one of those who worried over this vote over the weekend that we passed yesterday. I spoke on this very floor about the fact that I did not like the estate tax. I did not think wealthy Americans needed a sustained tax cut. Then I began to make some calls to

economists. What I found was a kind of double-edged sword. One, they did believe the package had a stimulative nature of anywhere between .6 and 1.1 percent, .6 being about 600,000 jobs—so 600,000 to 1.2 million jobs; unemployment insurance was stimulative; the payroll tax cut was stimulative, et cetera; and that we needed to do this.

But then the flip side. And the flip side was, we are now reaching 63 percent of GDP in debt. What will happen is one day, if this continues, we will go off a cliff economically.

Some time ago, during the end of the Bush administration, many of us were on a phone call. We heard Secretary Paulson and Federal Reserve Chairman Bernanke say that we are on the brink of a major collapse of this economy. Everything could go down—banks, credit institutions, et cetera.

I never thought this could ever happen in America. I now know that the unprecedented can, in effect, happen in America, and that when we vote for a package that puts almost \$1 trillion additional on debt and deficit, we had better have a way to make a pivot, as some people have called it, and do those things that can curb expenditures.

We are fortunate. This National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility outlines a course. Not everything do I agree with, just like the tax bill. But, nonetheless, it is a course of action which can bring down this debt and bring down this deficit. I am very proud of those Members who voted to sustain this report. Even with 11 Members, I think it gives the kind of substantial ability to this report to bring it before this body.

I would hope that before we have to raise the debt ceiling, we would have before us a package, that we would set limits on spending, that we would freeze pay across the board, that we would make substantial across-the-board cuts in travel, in printing, and those things, not because that is a big item but because it is an item that wakes up people. I found that on a city level. It exists on a State level, and it exists on a Federal level.

There is much we can do, and I think at 63 percent of GDP, this debt and deficit says to America: America, be concerned. America and American business, come home. Build your plants here. Help us rebuild this great country. Help us build the industries of the future. But at the same time, right now, we have got to make very deep cuts across the board.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Alaska is recognized.

Mr. BEGICH. Thank you to the Senator from Illinois for giving us a little time before you have your maiden speech. I appreciate that. Thank you very much.

Let me echo and associate my comments with all of the Members who

have spoken previously, and thanks to Senator WARNER and Senator CHAMBLISS for their work in bringing truly a bipartisan approach to how we start the discussion and move forward on deficit management and tax reform, which is critical for this country.

You have heard all of the statistics, all of the numbers, all of the reasons why we should do it. But pretty simply, the way I look at this, if there is one issue in my 2008 campaign that I talked about at the very beginning of the campaign it was about the deficit and what was happening, how much of your tax dollars are going toward paying the debt, paying the interest.

I know, Madam President, you spoke about it, the interest costs that are absorbing the amount of the budget here. But in reality, I remember in 2008, no one paid much attention. Then suddenly the crash occurred at the end of 2008 and then everyone wanted to talk about it, because it affected them and they now saw the picture.

But where we are today is an important point. Tonight we will have a vote on a tax package that will be temporary, a 2-year fix to a much more complicated problem. When I came to the body here, I sat down with a couple of Senators, both on the Republican side and Democratic side, talked about the issue of reform, and recognized that we are truly going to change the way our Tax Code works. We cannot do these in bits and pieces. It has to be true reform.

So as we move into this next year, 2011, not only do we have to take the tough decisions regarding the deficit, we have to be aggressive about tax reform if you want to create certainty to the business community and our economy. A 2-year fix does not do that.

I know there are many who have spoken before me on all of the data points. But purely and very simply put, if we do not deal with this now—and “now” is in the next few months—we will hit that crashing wall, we will hit it hard, and we will not have choices because we have not made a plan regarding the deficit and tax reform.

I thank the people who have put this together, Senator WARNER, Senator CHAMBLISS, and thank all of the Members, over two dozen, Republicans and Democrats, who are here tonight talking about the need for serious attention to the deficit and tax reform. I look forward to next year.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Virginia.

Mr. WARNER. Madam President, I again thank my colleague, Senator CHAMBLISS. There will be more to come. There were a number of other colleagues who couldn't be here. The Senator from Illinois has been more than kind. He will be part of meeting this challenge as well.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Illinois.

ILLINOIS SENATORS

Mr. KIRK. Madam President, almost 30 years ago, I worked in the House of Commons in London. In Parliament, a new member's maiden speech is given great weight. Traditionally, this speech is used to highlight what a member's priorities are and sets the tone for his tenure. My experience in London guided my thoughts 10 years ago when I was elected to the House of Representatives. My maiden speech focused on the unique political history of the 10th Congressional District of Illinois and its tradition of electing thoughtful, independent leaders.

As I stand here today, newly elected by the people of Illinois to represent their interests in the U.S. Senate, I recall my first speech in the House and how humbled I was to follow such a distinguished group of men and women in office. I am equally humbled as I assume the office of United States Senator from Illinois.

Since our admission to the Union in 1818, Illinois has sent a diverse list of Senators to this Chamber. Many of my predecessors served in uniform valiantly, others had brilliant legal careers, while still others excelled in international diplomacy. As I take office, I want to reflect on those who represented Illinois in the Senate before me, their accomplishments, and the imprint they left on our great Nation.

One name hangs above all others. He never served in the Senate but ran for the office in 1858. Abraham Lincoln was defeated in that election but won the Nation's support for a higher office during the Lincoln-Douglas debates. His story also reminds the Republican and Democratic opponents of the current Members of the Senate that their best days in public life may still be ahead.

With regard to our Senators, one of the first was Ninian Edwards, a pioneer at a time when Illinois was actually the frontier. First elected in 1818, he served until 1824, when he stepped down to become the United States' Minister to Mexico. He had the distinction of being the Governor of both the territory and State of Illinois. A true servant of the people, he died in 1833, while he helped treat victims of a cholera epidemic carried by soldiers serving in the Black Hawk War.

Senator James Shields reminded us that we are a State and Nation of immigrants. Born in Ireland, he became a naturalized citizen in 1840. He served in the Mexican-American war under General Zachary Taylor, commanding a brigade in the battles of Vera Cruz, Cerro Gordo, Contreras, Churubusco, and Chapultepec.

Already one of America's leading Irish-Americans, Brigadier General Shields would later command a division during the Civil War, taking his

men against Stonewall Jackson in the Valley Campaign of 1862. He was twice elected to the Senate in 1849—first in March, and again in October. But his first election was voided on the grounds that he had not yet been a U.S. citizen for the required 9 years. Eight months later, he won election again and finally was seated. Senator Shields is the only Member of this body to have served in the Senate from three States—in addition to Illinois, he was elected in Minnesota and Missouri.

Senator Shields also nearly changed the course of our Nation. In 1842, a young Abraham Lincoln wrote an anonymous letter to the Sangamon Journal criticizing then State Auditor Shields for his decision to require the payment of taxes in silver or gold. When Lincoln's future wife, Mary Todd, and her friend got into the act by writing additional missives, Shields asked the editor to reveal the identity of the letter writers. When Lincoln claimed responsibility for all the letters, Shields demanded satisfaction and challenged Lincoln to a duel.

Lincoln chose broadswords as the weapon of choice, and the two made plans to travel to Missouri as dueling in Illinois was illegal at the time. Luckily, cooler heads prevailed and the duel was called off, averting a potentially history-changing event.

Serving from 1847 to 1861, Democratic Senator Stephen Douglas was known as the “little giant” due to his short stature but powerful hold on the Senate. While accomplished, he was overshadowed by Lincoln despite Lincoln's loss to Douglas in the 1858 Senate election. Douglas served as the architect of the Kansas-Nebraska Act of 1854 that repealed the Missouri Compromise, allowing settlers in Kansas and Nebraska to determine whether or not they would allow slavery. Douglas's reputation waned in later years as he led the Democratic Party to defeat in the election of 1860 by defending slavery in the southern States. His miscalculation dealt a blow to the ruling Democrats, allowing the new antislavery Republican Party to win the White House.

Another Illinois Senator, David Davis, holds a unique distinction, having served as an Associate Justice on the U.S. Supreme Court prior to his Senate service. In his nearly 15 years on the Court, Davis is best known for writing the decision in *Ex Parte Milligan*, holding that a death sentence handed down by a Civil War military commission against a civilian was unconstitutional, as civilian courts were functioning at the time.

The Illinois Legislature elected Davis to the Senate in the midst of the disputed 1876 presidential election between Rutherford B. Hayes and Samuel Tilden. Because of his service on the Supreme Court and his long reputation for fierce independence, Senator Davis was elected President pro tempore of

the Senate following the assassination of President Garfield. Under the law at the time, this placed him next in the line of succession to President Chester A. Arthur, even though he was a freshman Senator.

One of our greatest Senators was the "man from Pekin," Senator Everett McKinley Dirksen, who served for nearly 20 years in the middle of the 20th Century. His leadership was apparent early in his life. During the First World War, he entered service in the field artillery as a private and left a second lieutenant. While in the Senate, he worked his way to lead his party as Minority Leader and developed a reputation as a pragmatic, thoughtful legislator. He is perhaps best known for his role in passing the Civil Rights Act of 1964. It was Dirksen who said on the floor of the Senate:

The time has come for equality of opportunity in sharing of government, in education and in employment. It must not be stayed or denied. It is here!

It was Dirksen who helped gather the votes for cloture on the ground-breaking legislation, ending the longest filibuster in Senate history at 534 hours, 1 minute, and 51 seconds.

If there is one of our Illinois Senators whose spirit hangs closest to me as I begin my service here, it is Dirksen's. Senator Dirksen's reputation as a fiscal conservative and a social moderate is one I hope to follow in my service in the Senate. He died after a bout with cancer in 1969, but his legacy lives on. One of the three Senate office buildings bears his name, as well as Chicago's federal courthouse.

Senator Charles Percy entered the Senate in 1967, serving alongside Senator Dirksen for 2 years. He was a "Rockefeller Republican," representing the moderate wing of the Republican Party in the Senate and went on to chair the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. In addition to his work on foreign relations, he worked on legislation to provide home ownership to low-income families. Senator Percy and I also share a similar background. Both he and I are graduates of New Trier High School in Winnetka, IL, and we also both served in the United States Navy.

Senator Percy's greatest legacy for Illinois was his work to eliminate the corrupt practice of nominating Federal judges from the Chicago political machine. I wish to follow in Percy's footsteps, by ensuring all judicial nominations go through a rigorous advisory process.

Alan Dixon served Illinois in the Senate from 1981 to 1993, but before he came to Washington, he served in both the Illinois House and Senate, and later won statewide elections for treasurer and secretary of state. He earned a reputation as a thoughtful, moderate Senator who served the people of Illinois with a quiet dedication. After

leaving the Senate, he went on to chair the Defense Base Realignment and Closure Commission in 1994 and 1995.

Born in Eugene, OR, Senator Paul Simon served from 1985–1997 as a staunch fiscal "pay-as-you-go" Democrat. Simon worked with Senator ORRIN HATCH of Utah on a balanced budget amendment that, although unsuccessful at the time, deserves renewed attention now in light of our crippling Federal debt. Although he did not win the Democratic presidential nomination in 1988, his greatest legacy will be the creation of the Paul Simon Public Policy Institute at Southern Illinois University where he served as director until his death in 2003 following heart surgery.

Senator Carol Moseley Braun is a true daughter of Chicago. She was born in the city, attended Chicago public schools, and received degrees from the University of Illinois at Chicago and the University of Chicago. She remains today the only African-American woman to serve in the Senate. After she left the Senate she served as Ambassador to New Zealand, and she remains committed to public service, as she is currently running for Mayor of Chicago.

Senator Peter Fitzgerald came to Washington 2 years before I began service in the House. I was honored to serve in the Illinois delegation with him for 4 years. When I took the oath of office here in the Senate, it was with Senator Fitzgerald and Senator DURBIN at my side, recognizing that leadership for our State requires a firm commitment to bipartisanship. Senator Fitzgerald was born in Elgin and raised in Inverness. He represented the northwest suburbs in the Illinois State Senate before his election to the U.S. Senate. Senator Fitzgerald's legacy in Illinois will forever be remembered for bringing one of our Nation's most dedicated crime fighters to our State. Senator Fitzgerald is the reason why the Northern District of Illinois is home to one of the best prosecutors in America, U.S. Attorney Patrick Fitzgerald. Patrick Fitzgerald, who is of no relation to the Senator, has done more to fight public corruption in our State than any other person. Senator Peter Fitzgerald fought a tough battle to recruit and appoint Patrick Fitzgerald. Before his arrival, Illinois was the wild west of politics, and one of the most corrupt in the Nation. Under his tenure, U.S. Attorney Fitzgerald convicted two Governors of corruption and countless other State and local officials. We will forever live with the embarrassment of convicted criminals like Governor Blagojevich, but with the leadership of Senator Peter Fitzgerald, we found the right prosecutor to slowly restore integrity and honesty to our State.

Now I have spoken about the past greats who have represented Illinois in the Senate, but our recent Senators

have been champions in their own rights. I am honored to call Senator DICK DURBIN my colleague, and while we hail from different parties, we have pledged to work closely on issues that will benefit the people of our State. He, like me, came to this body from the House and quickly became known as a champion of infrastructure improvements, including the critical O'Hare Modernization Program and mass transit. His knowledge of the process of government is unmatched, and he is quick to tell tales of his time as the parliamentarian for the Illinois General Assembly. His father died of lung cancer when he was 14, and he has since fought tirelessly to protect kids from tobacco. We fly in smoke-free airlines because of Senator RICHARD DURBIN of Illinois.

Recognizing his leadership, his caucus has voted to make him majority whip the past 4 years, one of the few Senators from Illinois to hold such a position of distinction.

This brings me to perhaps one of the best-known Senators, and the man whose term I complete—Barack Obama. The first time I had heard of now-President Obama was in Springfield, IL, in 2000.

I was filing petitions to run for my first term in the House, and in front of me in line was a young staffer who worked for a State senator from Chicago who was running for Congress. It is highly ironic that I won my election that year, as state senator Obama lost his, but 10 years later Illinois had its favorite son in the White House.

Despite the media spotlight upon him, then-Senator Obama sought out a low initial profile in the Senate and worked with Senator DURBIN and the rest of our congressional delegation to quietly advance some projects. While his tenure in this body was brief, he and I successfully worked together to secure Federal school funding for military families in north Chicago, IL, fulfilling an important promise to take care of those who take care of us. In 2008, Barack Obama was elected the first African-American President of the United States, creating a vacancy that was filled by Roland Burris. It was the greatest honor of my life to win election to both Senator Obama's unexpired term and a full six-year term.

As I enter the Senate and open a new chapter in the rich history of this body, I stand before you a fiscal conservative, a social moderate, and a national security hawk.

I bring a commitment to fiscal responsibility, spending restraint, lower taxes, tolerance, a strong national defense, and, above all, thoughtful, independent leadership.

Today, we face great challenges both here and abroad.

Here at home, runaway spending and unsustainable borrowing threaten the future of our economy. Unemployment

remains high, economic growth slow, and small business employers are crippled by the tax and regulation decisions of an ever-growing government.

As we look abroad, our challenges are no less complex.

We remain a nation at war with a terrorist enemy that seeks our destruction.

As America winds down our mission in Iraq, our mission in Afghanistan grows more challenging by the day.

Iran continues its pursuit of nuclear weapons, as terrorists in Gaza and Lebanon threaten the security of our strongest ally in the Middle East.

At home and abroad, our country faces threats from Iran, North Korea, and a number of terrorist cells based in Pakistan, Yemen, Somalia, and elsewhere.

In times of great uncertainty, we need to come together—Republicans, Democrats, and Independents—to build consensus, find solutions, and meaningfully improve the lives of the people we represent.

In that spirit, I look forward to working with our senior Senator, Senator DURBIN, to complete the O'Hare Modernization Project, ban sewage dumping in the Great Lakes, and expand high-speed rail across Illinois. From Rockford to Cairo, we will work to expand employment and opportunity wherever possible—always seeking practical, bipartisan solutions to the everyday challenges facing families across Illinois. I am confident we can build a bipartisan, pro-Illinois agenda that delivers for our State.

Ninian Edwards, James Shields, Stephen Douglas, David Davis, Everett Dirksen, Charles Percy, Alan Dixon, Paul Simon, Carol Moseley Braun, Peter Fitzgerald, Roland Burris, and Barack Obama—I enter this Chamber with all humility, and with the knowledge of those who came before us. They fought for a better future for the next generation, as we shall fight for those who follow.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader is recognized.

Mr. REID. Madam President, I am sorry to barge in here, but all day I have been trying to speak about one of our colleagues. So I appreciate everyone's attention. It is a short speech, but I have been trying to get over here all day.

TRIBUTES TO RETIRING SENATORS
EVAN BAYH

Madam President, I first met EVAN BAYH when UNLV played for the National Championship at Indianapolis. But one of the most remarkable speeches I have ever witnessed was in the Capitol Rotunda, when we were there meeting the new Senators. It was the first speech I know of that Senator EVAN BAYH gave in the Capitol complex. He spoke without a note. It was a speech laying out his philosophy of

government, and it was truly spell-binding. I could not imagine the talent he had and I have witnessed since that time.

The State of Indiana is losing a superb Senator in EVAN BAYH. Senator BAYH announced his retirement earlier this year and is wrapping up his second term, where he has been a consistent fighter for the Hoosier State.

That fight, however, did not begin when he was first elected to the Senate. Not long after earning degrees from Indiana University and the University of Virginia, he was elected Indiana's secretary of state—the first of five statewide elections he would win.

He served the people of Indiana for 8 years as Governor and led the State to its largest budget surplus ever, while creating thousands and thousands of jobs. He also created the 21st Century Scholars Program that other States soon replicated, to ensure that all Hoosiers—rich, poor, Black, White—would receive a quality education.

He was later elected to the Senate, where he has admirably put partisan politics aside and fought for the best interests of Indianans. He has been a champion for education, for energy, and for fiscal responsibility. He has supported our troops fervently. Senator BAYH was not afraid to call out leaders when he felt an injustice was being done, and he spoke up often for our men and women overseas when necessary.

Of course, being a public servant was nothing new to him. His wonderful dad, Birch, held this very same Senate seat and set a fine example for his son.

Senator BAYH has achieved an incredible amount for the people of Indiana in his relatively short career, and he is not done yet. I know he will continue to work to improve the lives of the people of Indiana and all Americans.

Senator BAYH is relinquishing the title of Senator, but the role he cherishes more than anything is that of father. His twin teenage boys, Beau and Nick, are the joy of his life, and I am confident they are very proud of their father. I wish Susan, EVAN, and the boys the very best in all their endeavors.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Nevada.

Mr. ENSIGN. Madam President, I rise to talk about the tax bill that is before us. I was one of just a few on our side who happened to vote against a procedural motion last night, and I wish to talk about why I will be opposing the final passage of this bill.

This threat that is facing our country today is greater than any external threat we have faced for some time. It is an internal threat that is stemming from our own government that threatens the very future of our country. In the words of ADM Michael Mullen, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff—this is exactly what he said:

The biggest threat we have to our national security is our debt. . . . It's not sustainable.

Our country is heading toward fiscal ruin. Nail after nail after nail is being put into our coffin of economic catastrophe. The Senate is now gearing up to put hundreds of billions of more debt onto our already debt-laden country. I fear our country's fate could be sealed. So what should we do? Is it too late for the United States to reverse its course? To best answer this question, I am reminded of a famous quote: "Those who cannot remember the past are condemned to repeat it."

In order to best determine the path our country will take in the future, we need to examine the road we have already gone down.

This chart I have in the Chamber is from the Great Recession of 1920 that most people in America have never heard about. It shows that in 1920 we had a fairly healthy economy, with a little over 5 percent unemployment. The next year it shot up, it over doubled, to almost 12 percent. Well, during that same period of time, the economy declined by nearly 7 percent. In other words, GDP went down by almost 7 percent. From May of 1920 to July of 1921, automobile production declined by 60 percent and industrial production in America dropped by almost a third. The stock market also fell dramatically. As a matter of fact, it lost half its value. So you can see the economic time of 1920 to 1921 was dire, maybe even more dire than what we face today.

Well, we had a Federal Government that decided to take a different course. Instead of spending money, what they decided to do was to cut tax rates and cut spending at the same time. President Harding actually proposed—and it was enacted by the House and the Senate—a dramatic cut in Federal spending by one-third. To cut the Federal budget by one-third—can you imagine that today, cutting the Federal budget by one-third? Tax rates across the board, marginal tax rates across the board were slashed. The top rate at that time was 75 percent. They cut it to 25 percent. So they did not just cut taxes and raise spending so you balloon the deficit, they cut taxes and cut spending at the same time.

What were the results? Well, what do we know about the 1920s? What is the common term we hear about the 1920s? It is called the Roaring Twenties. It is because the economy boomed during the 1920s. This unemployment rate that was 11.7 percent—within a year and a half, that fell to a little over 1 percent. OK. So it went from 5.2 percent to 11 percent. They cut taxes and Federal spending and, boom, the unemployment rate plummeted—people had jobs.

Guess what happened to the national debt—not the deficit—the national debt. The national debt was cut by one-third during this time.

So if you cut taxes, you cut spending, you incentivize the private sector to create jobs. Guess what happened. When more people make more money and more people are employed, more money comes into the Federal Government to actually not only reduce the deficit but, in this case, actually pay down some of the national debt.

Well, let's move forward just a little bit.

The Great Depression. Many people have said we need to spend money to get us out of an economic downturn. During the Great Depression, we had a President, a Republican President, who started this. He was very much an interventionist President. During the Great Depression, he came in, had trade protectionist policies, raised taxes, and increased Federal spending with all kinds of different government projects on infrastructure.

We always hear about how infrastructure is going to help take the economy out of the recession if we spend more and more money. Well, I will have another point about spending on infrastructure and government spending in another country in just a minute. But we have to remember—and I ask this simple question to audiences back in Nevada whom I talk to: If government spending was going to take us out of that Great Depression, why did the Great Depression last as long as it did?

I actually posed that question to the new OMB Director. The new OMB Director said he has actually studied the Great Depression at length. So I asked him the question: Why didn't government spending take us out of the Great Depression? His answer was this: He said we did not spend enough money. I was flabbergasted by that statement. But that was his belief, that we did not spend enough money.

Many people believe the stimulus bill we had here almost 2 years ago was not large enough and that is why we have not had an economic recovery. Well, the reason we came out of the Great Depression was not because of government spending. It was because we kind of forgot about the Great Depression because of World War II, and our country completely focused on World War II. The stock market did not recover to 1929 levels for 25 years. FDR was a great wartime President, but his economic policies were not good for this country.

Well, where else can we look in history to find whether government spending actually works to take you out of an economic downturn?

In Japan, during the 1990s—this chart actually shows 1988 to 1998—they had government spending as a percentage of GDP go up. Yet in Japan—this is the red line. The blue line is spending; the red line is unemployment—it kept going up. Japan tried six different stimulus bills—a total of \$6 trillion on

infrastructure on all kinds of road projects, bridge projects, everything you hear about that is supposed to be good to take you out of an economic downturn.

Well, the 1990s in Japan is called the lost decade. They had basically zero growth during the 1990s. Actually, they have had another decade of lost economic output. So Japan certainly is not a good example of a place you can point to where government spending actually takes you out of an economic downturn.

As a matter of fact, if you think about the \$6 trillion Japan spent—which is much larger than any economic stimulus we have tried, much larger as a percentage of an economy than what we tried during the Great Depression—so if it was going to work, why didn't it work in Japan? Why didn't it work during the Great Depression? Why didn't it work for the stimulus bill we tried? By the way, President Obama tried a stimulus bill close to \$800 billion. President Bush 2 years before that tried an economic stimulus bill of \$150 billion, basically sending checks to people hoping to get them to spend it. We need good economic policy to get us out of an economic downturn, and these temporary little spending bills are not the way to go.

So to continue on with this, let me explain a little bit about what else happened in Japan. First of all, Japan's public debt in 1997 grew to over 100 percent of GDP. By 2009, it is now almost 200 percent of GDP. So this spending not only didn't take them out of an economic downturn, it added to their future problems, and that is, unfortunately, what we are threatening to continue to do today.

Let me talk about the tax extensions before us today. Let me clearly state: I believe it would be a huge mistake for us to raise taxes on anybody during an economic downturn. I am for extending the current tax rates and making sure those tax increases don't go into effect. It has been argued by the other side that especially the top rates were the reason we ran up the debt and the deficits during the Bush years. Well, if my colleagues remember when President Bush came into office, similar to what happened when President Obama came into office, he inherited a recession. Then we had 9/11 happen, and it sent us into an even worse recession. In 2001, to stimulate the economy, we did cut taxes. The mistake we did make is we didn't cut spending at the same time, but at least we cut taxes. The blue line are revenues. So when the recession hit, we cut taxes and it takes a little while to recover, but after that, revenues—and these are the 2001 tax cuts and these are the 2003 tax cuts—actually went up.

The reason for our deficit was not that we didn't have enough money coming in to the Federal Government.

The reason for our deficit is we spent too much money. Republicans were thrown out of office because we spent too much money. But the deficit was not caused by the tax cuts of 2001 and 2003. So it would be a mistake to raise taxes during this economic downturn.

However, I believe we need to cut spending, based on the economic models I have already talked about during the 1920s and how they actually worked. When you cut taxes and cut spending at the same time, it can actually pull your country out of an economic downturn.

There are businesses across my State—I spent a lot of the last couple of months touring around my State talking to businesses. There is one small business owned by two women in Nevada called "Nothing Bundt Cakes." They make little bundt cakes. Unfortunately, they are really good, because I like to eat them and it is not good for my waistline, but they have a great product. I was talking to them and they would love to expand their business right now. Do you know what they said to me? They said, We can't. Two reasons: One is we think our taxes are going to be going up so we can't plan for it; and No. 2 was the effects of the health care bill. We don't know how much that is going to cost us. So it is the uncertainty out there of why they would not expand their business, and they probably could have created another 20, 30, 40 jobs. Those jobs didn't get created because of the uncertainty of what is going on.

So extending the tax cuts, I believe—extending the current tax rates is a better way to say it—is very important to give businesses some certainty. It is not the only thing we need to do to give them certainty, but it is certainly one of the things that is important.

In this bill—which I agree with; I think it is good we are not going to let the death tax go back up to 55 percent. There are a lot of small businesses out there—try to imagine trying to plan estate planning and things such as that, especially in a small business where you want to see it continue. All of a sudden, maybe it is going to go down. Well, now we are going to do a 2-year extension. It is very difficult to plan for those things for the future. We need to give more certainty. Two years in this bill is better than nothing, but we still need to make that longer term so you can do estate planning and business continuation planning. Because think about this: If a small business is owned by a family versus a business that is owned by a corporation, if somebody dies in the corporation, the business continues. If somebody dies in a small business where the principal owns the business, they may have to sell the business to pay the taxes. That business actually may end up getting closed down and those jobs are lost.

So it is important to give some certainty when it comes to death tax

planning, estate tax, whatever term you want to use with it; that is important, especially for small businesses, ranches, and farms across America.

The top rate we keep hearing criticized as far as extending that, they say it is only 1, 2, 3 percent of the people, whatever number I have heard tossed out from the other side. What people fail to realize, though, is that is 25 to 35 million people who are employed by those very small businesses that make the top rate. So if you raise taxes on those people, they have less money to spend to buy that next piece of equipment. Somebody had to make that—if it is in America, that created jobs in America—or they can't hire that next employee. So we don't get the job creation we want. Raising taxes on small businesses would be a mistake. Raising taxes on the middle class would be a mistake. Especially if they can plan long term, if the rates they know are going to be there for the long term, they can put that in part of their budget. If it is just a one-time check, they can't plan for the future. I actually disagree with the payroll tax that is in here, at least the way it is structured, the same way that in President Bush's stimulus package a couple of years ago where we sent \$600 back to individuals. This isn't the long term solution that we need.

Think about the mentality of families. If there is a difficult time that families are going through, if they get a check in the mail, and they know they may be losing a job or they are barely getting by as it is, if they get a little money in the bank, are they going to spend that? Or are they maybe going to pay down debt or save it because of the uncertain economic future? A lot of families are making the decision to save it or pay down debt. So it is not stimulating the economy as people think it will. The other problem also with the payroll tax and some of the other taxes in this bill that are extended is adding to our national debt.

The Bush economic growth package, his stimulus bill he put together, we have heard about this being a bipartisan agreement. The bill was passed 81-16. Every single Democrat actually voted for that bill. Sixteen Republicans voted against it, but it was a bipartisan bill. People grabbed hands and added more money to our debt.

People talk about this bill being a compromise. Democrats wanted certain things; Republicans wanted certain things. Here is what a compromise is around this body. A compromise is: You want certain things; I want certain things. We will get what we want and we will pass that debt on to our children and our grandchildren. That is how this body is operating right now. We are being fiscally irresponsible with the bill before us today. Yes, we need to extend the tax rates. But we should have at least sent a message to the rest

of the world that said we are going to do something about the debt.

Let's put up the chart. We just had the debt commission a few weeks ago come out with their report—the President's debt commission. This is one of the quotes from it: "America cannot be great if we go broke." That is exactly what we are doing: We are going broke.

Harken back to the first chart, Admiral Mullen. Remember what the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff said. I want to repeat this. This is so important: "The biggest threat to our national security is our debt." He said, "It's not sustainable."

This debt is real. It is a very huge threat to the future prosperity of America.

This bill before us is sending a message to the markets. It is saying, even though the debt commission came out and said we need to trim this by at least \$4 trillion, this spending and this deficit we have going, you know what, we are just going to add hundreds of billions more to that problem. So I believe what we have before us is even going to get worse. Before this bill we will vote on today, these are the President's projections: The red bars are interest we pay on the national debt, such as interest on your credit card. A family pays interest on a credit card and doesn't get anything for it. It is because of their overspending habits. Well, this is the result of Congress's overspending habits.

Next year, in 2011, it is going to be close to \$250 billion. We get nothing for that. We get no roads, no schools, no veterans benefits, no anything. That is just money we are paying to the Saudis, the Chinese, and other foreign governments who have bought our debt. In 2012 it goes up further and further. In 2020, it is over \$900 billion a year in interest on the national debt. That is more than we pay for Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, or national defense, and we get nothing for that. This path we are on is unsustainable.

We saw what happened to Greece earlier this year. Within 48 hours, there was an economic implosion, and if they hadn't had the European Union to bail them out, their country literally would have gone bankrupt. Not too long ago in Ireland, within about 7 days, the same thing happened. Once again, they had the European Union to bail them out. What happens if the same thing happens in America?

Just this morning, this is what Moody's, one of the rating agencies, said:

Unless there are offsetting measures—

That means spending cuts—the package—

The package is the bill we have before us today—

will be credit negative for the U.S. and increase the likelihood of a negative outlook

on the U.S. Government's AAA rating during the next 2 years.

In common language, what does this say? This says we are becoming a bigger risk and it is threatening our AAA rating which means if this AAA rating goes down, we pay higher interest rates. Just like an individual, you are more of a risk. When you borrow money, you pay a higher interest rate. This number here is based on a AAA rating. These numbers all get much worse if we lose our AAA rating.

The bill we have before us—we should have sent a message while we were continuing the current tax rate, if we wanted to do the unemployment benefits, which I believe we should have extended. We should have done that with spending cuts in other places.

Senator COBURN has a package to vote on that has real spending cuts. I have offered amendment after amendment after amendment on this floor on spending cuts. We always hear during campaigns: I am for fiscal responsibility. I am for this, I am for that. When it comes time for voting, we never seem to get the votes. When are we going to actually show some fiscal responsibility around this place?

This bill should have at least \$100 billion, \$200 billion in offsets. The Congressional Budget Office and the Joint Committee on Taxation said this bill is going to add \$900 billion to the debt—\$900 billion. Shouldn't we at least have offset some of that to show the world that we are actually serious about fiscal responsibility? That is all I am saying we should have done and why I am voting against this bill, because I don't think we can continue to add more and more and more debt. The debt commission had it right: America cannot be great if America is broke.

Let me conclude. The 1920s showed you can have economic recovery without adding to the debt actually because the economy grows and you have held the line on spending, and you can actually pay down the debt. That is what we did in the late 1990s. We didn't actually cut spending then, but we at least slowed the rate of growth of spending, with the Republicans in Congress and a Democratic President, and we had economic growth, which got us to not only a balanced budget, but it got us to where we were paying down some of the debt. That is what we need to do now—start going back to 2008 spending levels. Spending has increased well over double digits over the past couple of years around this place, and we were spending plenty of money in 2008.

So let's go back to 2008 levels—that will save us a couple billion dollars—and then let's work on eliminating some of what Senator COBURN has identified as a lot of wasteful spending programs in this country, which is at least another \$150 billion in wasteful programs he has discovered. If this country is actually serious about debt, then

we will continue to be the leader of the world, our economy can continue to grow, and America's best days really can be ahead of us. But if we choose to continue to be fiscally irresponsible, then I am afraid we could be headed down a path that we cannot reverse. If what happened to Greece and what happened to Ireland happens to the United States, there is no one to bail us out.

So we need to start acting in a fiscally responsible way, not as Republicans, not as Democrats, but as Americans, and say to these young people, like we have sitting before us here today, we care about you, we care about your future, and we want America to be as great for you as it has been for us. The only way to do that is to make sure we get our fiscal house in order and quit passing so much debt on to future generations.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. UDALL of Colorado). The assistant majority leader is recognized.

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that after I have completed my remarks, the Senator from Rhode Island, Mr. JACK REED, be recognized.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I have listened carefully to the remarks of the Senator from Nevada, and I respect him, although I must disagree with him on several particulars.

When I look back at history, I see it differently. What I see is a Great Depression facing America that led to record unemployment, business failures, farm failures—one of the worst economic conditions faced by America in modern times.

The election of Franklin Delano Roosevelt in 1932 and his ascension to office in 1933 ushered in a new approach, an approach that was called the New Deal. That basically said: We are going to take control of the situation. We are going to stand behind your deposits in the bank to make sure you don't lose them. If the bank fails, you won't be broke—the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. We are going to put the watchdogs on Wall Street to make sure people don't do things there that are basically, if not illegal, unwise, and so we are going to make certain we have good business practices there. We are going to stand behind the farmers. Before we let them lose their farms, we are going to try to get them through a difficult year so they can be there to fight again. We are actually going to create jobs across America. First, for those who are working, we are going to create the minimum wage so that people know they can get a basic salary to live on. And for those who couldn't find work in the private sector, Franklin Roosevelt said: We will create WPA

jobs and other jobs across America building things that will serve us for generations to come.

The New Deal was launched, and not long after it was launched, voices similar to ones we have heard this evening came forward and said: Wait a minute, we are spending money we don't have. We are going into debt as a nation.

Those voices started to prevail. So Franklin Roosevelt and the New Deal started to back off. They backed off the stimulus to the American economy, and the unemployment rate, which had gone down from over 20 percent to 13 or 14 percent, went back up again to 19 percent and languished because, with the lack of stimulus into the economy, America was not getting well and strong.

Then something came along which the Senator from Nevada failed to mention. He spoke about how government spending really doesn't invigorate an economy. I would suggest to him that he left out one phrase in a speech I would like him to look up—World War II. When we went into that great war to stop Hitler, to stop the forces of nazism and fascism across America, we mobilized this country and put it to work building the war machine. Great sacrifices for families across America—Rosie the Riveter. Mom went to work because Dad was off fighting the war. Everybody pitched in. We went in debt as a nation, but we won that war and came out of it with a strong, thriving economy, one of the strongest in the world. So to argue that government spending—which there was a lot of during World War II—didn't have anything to do with economic stimulus is to ignore the obvious. It did.

Let's fast-forward to where we are today and where we are tonight.

I see my colleague, the Senator from Rhode Island, is here. He has been chairman of the Joint Economic Committee, and he understands the economic conditions better than most of us who serve in the Senate. But I got a little insight into our economy by serving on the President's deficit commission for the last 10 months. We met week after week, month after month, and we talked about the state of the American economy and the debt of our Nation.

I came to the conclusion—which 11 out of the 18 members of the deficit commission agreed with—that the current situation is unsustainable. We are, in fact, borrowing 40 cents out of every dollar we spend. Whether that dollar is spent for a new missile system for the Pentagon or whether it is spent for food stamps for the poorest of the poor in America, we borrow 40 cents out of every dollar spent, and we borrow it from countries that are becoming our creditors, our mortgagors, countries such as China, the OPEC nations, Korea, Japan, and Saudi Arabia. They

are the ones loaning us the money. Of course, it calls into question whether they think we are creditworthy. That is why we need to do something about our debt as we get more deeply into debt.

The Senator from Nevada talked about the state of the economy and the debt we are facing, but he failed to tell the whole story. I always say the story should begin with what the state of the economy was the day President William Jefferson Clinton left office. At that moment in time, the accumulated debt of America, from George Washington through President Clinton's 8 years, was \$5 trillion. At that time, we were in surplus on our annual budgets, and President Clinton turned to incoming President George W. Bush and said: Next year, we project a \$120 billion surplus for your budget, so we are leaving you in good shape, not with red ink but with black ink—a \$5 trillion debt, \$120 billion surplus in the coming year.

Eight years later, at the end of George W. Bush's administration, the national debt had grown from \$5 trillion to \$12 trillion—more than doubled in 8 years—and President George W. Bush said to incoming President Obama: I am not leaving you a surplus; I am leaving you a \$1.2 trillion debt for the next year.

George W. Bush inherited a \$120 billion surplus when he came to office, but he left behind a \$1.2 trillion debt. How did we reach such a sorry state in a mere 8 years? President Bush was the first President in history to cut taxes in the midst of a war. It is counterintuitive, and he did it. He believed the economy would grow, and it didn't work. As a result, we got more deeply into debt. He gave tax cuts to the wealthiest in America, and they are the least likely, from an economic point of view, to invigorate our economy. And then he turned around and had several programs he signed into law that were totally unpaid for, just adding to our debt.

That is where we find ourselves today. That is where the deficit commission finds itself. So just a few weeks ago, we reported—11 out of 18 members voting—in favor of the deficit commission. Two weeks later, here I stand on the floor of the Senate, and we are considering a bill which will add \$858 billion to the national debt. That isn't something we anticipated when the deficit commission labored for 10 months trying to figure out ways to cut \$4 trillion out of the debt over 10 years. Here we are, 2 weeks later, adding this money to our debt.

I will tell you that I vote for it, and I do with a specific reason in mind. I believe that unless we do something definitive and decisive, we are not going to come out of this recession as quickly as we should, more people will lose their jobs, and our debt will get worse. We need to stimulate and invigorate this economy.

I think President Obama was right 2 years ago when he had a stimulus package. I might remind my friends on the other side of the aisle that a third of it was tax cuts—which is their mantra in good times and bad—a third of it was tax cuts, a third was a safety net, and a third was basically designed to build the infrastructure of this country. I thought it was a good stimulus package, and I do believe it created millions of jobs or at least saved millions of jobs that would have been lost. The same holds true today. We need to invigorate this economy and move it forward. That is why I support this package.

Let me tell you something else. There are things in this tax package which will be voted on tonight or early tomorrow morning which I find awful and indefensible—two in particular. First, that we would extend tax cuts to the wealthiest people in America at this moment in our history I think is indefensible. Second, that we would segregate a small group of the wealthiest people in America and say we are going to give them blessed treatment when it comes to the estate tax they pay I think is mindless. It is not going to invigorate the economy. In the name of justice and fairness, these people, who have done well, should pay back some of it to the country that has allowed them their prosperity. Instead, the Republicans have insisted that the wealthiest of the wealthy in America should receive more.

This chart really tells you what is happening in this country, and it is a scary story—not just sad but scary. The accumulation of wealth for the richest 1 percent of the population in America—in 1976, the richest 1 percent of Americans had 8.9 percent of the wealth in America. In 1976, the top 1 percent had 8.9 percent. Now go to 2007. The top 1 percent population in America has 23½ percent of the wealth.

I don't begrudge anyone prosperity, wealth, and comfort, but they are getting wealthy at the expense of a society which is not providing for those in lower income categories. The people in lower and middle-income categories are falling further and further behind. That is why we cannot allow this tax cut that otherwise would have been reimposed as a tax increase on January 1 on them to occur. That is why I have swallowed hard and said I will vote for this package even though I think the breaks for the wealthy really can't be justified from an economic or justice viewpoint. I just don't think they can. But that is the reality we face.

I do want to say one thing before I yield to my friend from Rhode Island. Mark my words, write them down, put them away in a desk drawer, and pull them out April 1, and they are these: When the Obama administration comes to Congress and says, now that you have voted for additional tax cuts and

spending, Members of Congress, you must now increase the debt ceiling of America because we need to borrow the money to cover what you voted for, including the vote that took place this December, which creates \$858 billion more in debt, you will hear the other side of the aisle screaming, wailing, whining, and crying that there is no way they can vote to increase the debt ceiling of America. The same people who will have voted for this tax package increasing the debt of America by \$858 billion will refuse to pay the check when it comes to the table after the dinner. They had the big banquet, they announced the tax cuts for the wealthy, but when the check comes to the table that says, incidentally, now we have to borrow that money, they are going to say: No way. We are fiscally conservative. We don't borrow money. No, but you spent it. And they have spent it either directly on spending or indirectly on tax cuts. That will come between April 1 and July 1.

I am sorry that as part of this tax package we do not have an increase in the debt ceiling. Those who are going to want to wave the banner of tax cuts and claim all the credit for tax cuts should also stand up and take their medicine because we are going to have to borrow the money to pay for it, and we will need their votes when it comes time to address the debt ceiling.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Rhode Island is recognized.

Mr. REED. Mr. President, I understand there is unanimous consent that I be recognized and then Senator MCCAIN; is that correct?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The agreement was simply to recognize the Senator from Rhode Island.

Mr. REED. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that Senator MCCAIN be recognized as soon as I make my remarks and then Senator BINGAMAN at the conclusion of Senator MCCAIN and then Senator CARDIN, and that if there is a Republican seeking recognition between Senator BINGAMAN and Senator CARDIN, that Senator be recognized at that time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. REED. Mr. President, I rise to discuss the tax proposal before us. We are in a critical moment. Our economy is slowly climbing from the depths of a deep recession but without additional support it could easily backslide. We cannot afford to let that happen.

There are still too many Americans—15 million—who desperately want the opportunity to work but cannot find a job in this difficult environment. My top priority is creating jobs and sustaining demand to continue job growth in this country.

I have serious concerns about several of the provisions of this bill that will

not help us accomplish that goal of creating and sustaining jobs, but I will support this package because right now, back in my home State of Rhode Island, there are approximately 65,000 men and women from all walks of life who are unemployed and need this bill. Many of them are individuals in the middle of their careers who have worked since they were 18 or 21 continuously, productively, and now they find themselves for the first time in their lives without any job. If we do not act, they will be without the means to support their families and provide for their children.

This bill preserves emergency unemployment insurance to help these individuals make ends meet and help them to hold their families together. It will help ensure they can buy the groceries, pay the utility bills, and literally keep a roof over their heads in many cases. Their situation is one of my primary concerns in these difficult times.

Moreover, these unemployment benefits don't just help the jobless, they boost the entire economy as the unemployed spend their benefits at local businesses. Americans want us to focus on creating jobs and generating economic growth. Indeed, for much of the past 2 years, Democrats proposed many things that would help middle-class families and small businesses only to be thwarted or slowed by procedural roadblocks and sometimes disingenuous claims.

Just two Saturdays ago we could not break filibusters of two bills that would have passed the middle-class tax cut and also renewed unemployment benefits without un-needed additions. On several occasions over the past few months we have had legislative initiatives for tax extenders that would have included key elements such as a national housing trust fund and key infrastructure incentives. Again, those two were thwarted by procedural roadblocks.

But now we are faced with the specter of a tax increase on the middle class during this dispiriting economic time if we do not act. Perhaps we could have avoided this situation. The Bush tax cuts, which I opposed, had an artificial termination point in order to fix them into budget projections. But, again, we are here today at the last minute, and it appears the only path our Republican colleagues will accept is the one before us. Indeed, Republicans will not let this train leave the station unless the very wealthiest hitch a ride too.

The challenge we face is the need to sustain and accelerate growth so employment increases while also recognizing the need to reduce the deficit once the economy is stable again. That is no small challenge. As a number of budget deficit commissions have suggested in recent weeks, we may have to consider reforms to Medicare, Social

Security, defense funding, tax expenditures, and investment in domestic programs—all of them to stabilize the deficit in the long term. I do not relish those tasks, and I do not imagine America's families relish it either. However, this is a reality we must confront as our economy improves. It is a reality that will be difficult. It is a reality that will be a great challenge, but it is one we must face.

This bill includes many provisions that will provide economic growth. As I have mentioned before, the effect of an unemployment insurance extension is not just on the individual recipients, it is estimated by nonpartisan experts that nearly \$2 of economic activity is generated for each \$1 in benefits. That is a very effective stimulus for the economy.

The bill also includes a 2-percent cut in the payroll taxes paid by workers, and after local or State sales taxes, reductions in the payroll tax have the biggest impact on families living on the average wage. Again, this provision is estimated to create more economic activity than it costs, and it will, in essence, be the first raise many workers have seen in several years.

In addition, the proposal contains a host of other benefits for working- and middle-class families—by extending the child tax credit for 10.5 million families as well as an extension of a partially refundable tax credit of up to \$2,500 for the cost of college tuition. All of this economic activity means jobs and more money in the pockets of hard-working Americans.

These are targeted, well-designed provisions worthy of support. Indeed, private sector forecasters as well as economists such as Mark Zandi suggest this bill will help maintain the fragile recovery and could lead to a 1-point drop in the unemployment rate and perhaps as much as a 1 percentage increase in GDP in 2011 as compared to previous baselines that took into account only the extension of the middle-class tax cut and expiring provisions such as the AMT.

There is, from experts, considered opinion that this legislation will help grow the economy, reduce the unemployment rate, and put people back to work. The bill also recognizes the need to build on the small business lending and tax credit bills we enacted this summer and fall. Indeed, the provisions to allow businesses to expense 100 percent of all their investments in 2011 is expected to generate more than \$50 billion in additional business investment next year. That is private companies investing in private enterprises to put people to work on a private payroll.

The bill also encourages businesses to invest in future products by extending the research and development tax credit to keep us competitive in a very competitive world.

The bill also recognizes the need for clean, domestic energy by continuing

the renewable energy production grants for wind, solar, geothermal, and a host of other technologies.

This legislation responsibly ensures that the tax rates in place for lower income and middle-income families stay as they are today. It maintains the middle-class tax cut. Indeed, the great bulk of the benefits of this bill will be directed at stimulating the economy and assisting the average American family.

But in the face of this deficit, it is very difficult to justify the provisions of the bill for the wealthiest Americans because they provide negligible economic growth while adding to the deficit. In fact, these provisions work against the two great issues we must grapple with, stimulating growth and beginning to control the deficit. According to the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office and other experts, the decision to provide millionaires and billionaires with tax breaks will not generate many jobs because they are more apt to save these benefits than circulate them in the local economies throughout the United States.

In particular, the decision to insist on such an aggressive estate tax proposal is very difficult to justify. Instead of adopting a commonsense proposal that would have exempted well over 95 percent of all estates, our colleagues on the other side of the aisle demanded that the bill contain a tax break worth more than \$25 billion over the next 2 years for the top one-quarter of 1 percent. Let me repeat that, a \$25 billion provision that benefits one-quarter of 1 percent of all estates.

It is a slight comfort that these provisions are not permanent. While I would gladly support an effort to remove or modify these provisions immediately, at the very least they have to be the first on the list of those tough decisions we have to face in order to reduce the deficit in the future. We do have to address the deficit and part of that effort must clearly be through tax reform and eliminating tax expenditures.

I think there is too often a perception that tax cuts are free. As my colleague from Illinois suggested, failing to link the debt ceiling with this particular legislation could give the impression that we can cut taxes with no effect on the deficit. These tax cuts do add to the deficit. We have to recognize that. When we come back to face the difficult issues as we did in the 1990s—I supported President Clinton's efforts which led to a balanced budget, which led to a surplus, which led to employment gains—those decisions involved revenue, cutting entitlements, cutting defense spending, and making a lot of difficult choices. We will have to face those choices again.

We are at a point where the benefits of this bill are necessary to accelerate economic growth and to help the unem-

ployed and struggling middle class families. That is why I will support this bill.

Thank you, Mr. President.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Arizona is recognized.

OMNIBUS APPROPRIATIONS

Mr. MCCAIN. Mr. President, according to my calculations, it has been 42 days since the people of this great Nation of ours spoke, and they spoke in a very strong fashion. It was described by the President of the United States as a "shellacking." It was described by others as a tsunami.

The House of Representatives, as we know, passed to Republican hands. In this body there were six additional Members from my side. I thought the message was pretty clear—that the American people said: Enough with the spending. Enough with the porkbarrel earmark spending. Enough of mortgaging our children and our grandchildren's futures.

I do not know of a single pundit or observer of the chattering class who did not say the message was clear from the American people. The phenomena of the tea party was "taxed enough already," but they were against the spending, the earmarking. The approval rating of Congress is somewhere at, depending on which poll you look at, 10, 12, 14, 16 percent—overwhelming disapproval of the way we do business.

At 12:15 today my office received this appropriations bill, 1,924 pages long, and containing funding for all 12 of the annual appropriations bills, for a grand total of \$1.1 trillion. It is important to note of this 1,924 pages is only the legislative language and does not include the thousands of pages of report language which contains the details of the billions of dollars in earmarks, and I am sure major policy changes written without a hearing, written without scrutiny, written without the input of the majority of the Members of this body, written by a handful of Senators who happen to be members of the Appropriations Committee.

The American people said just 42 days ago: Enough. Are we tone-deaf? Are we stricken with amnesia? What is going on? We have just begun to look at this monstrosity, and we are beginning to uncover which earmarks the appropriators decided to fund.

Thanks to a new online data base, we at least know what earmarks were requested by Members and how much those projects would cost the American people if they were all funded. Organizations such as Taxpayers Against Earmarks, Washington Watch.Com, and Taxpayers for Common Sense joined forces to create a database. According to the data they compiled for fiscal year 2011, Members requested over 39,000 earmarks totaling over \$130 billion—those were requested.

I encourage every American to go to the Web site, endingspending.com,

study it, and make yourselves aware of how your elected officials seek to spend your money.

In the short time I have had to review this massive piece of legislation, we have already identified approximately 6,488 earmarks totaling nearly \$8.3 billion when we are running record deficits. When there is a \$40,000 debt for every man, woman, and child in America, we are going to have 6,488 earmarks totaling nearly \$8.3 billion. Here is a small sample: \$277,000 for potato pest management in Wisconsin—you will notice there is a location for every one of these earmarks—\$246,000 for bovine tuberculosis in Michigan and Minnesota; \$522,000 for cranberry and blueberry disease and breeding in New Jersey; \$500,000 for oyster safety in Florida.

One of my favorites that pops up all the time is \$349,000 for swine waste management in North Carolina. Another one of my all-time favorites that is always in there, \$413,000 for peanut research in Alabama; \$247,000 for virus-free wine grapes in Washington; \$208,000 for beaver management in North Carolina; \$94,000 for blackbird management in Louisiana; \$165,000 for maple syrup research in Vermont; \$235,000 for noxious weed management in Nevada. That is another one that, when you total it up over the years, comes into millions.

One hundred thousand dollars for the Edgar Allen Poe Cottage Visitor's Center in New York. Another of my all-time favorites that is always on here every year, \$300,000 for the Polynesian Voyaging Society in Hawaii. If some people are watching, you are thinking I am making this up. I am not making it up. Three hundred thousand dollars for the Polynesian Voyaging Society in Hawaii; \$400,000 for solar parking canopies and plug-in electric stations in Kansas.

Additionally, the bill earmarks \$720,000 to compensate ranchers in Wisconsin, Minnesota, and Michigan whenever endangered wolves eat their cattle. As my colleagues know, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Grey Wolf Program is under intense scrutiny for wasting millions of taxpayers' dollars every year to "recover" endangered wolves that are now overpopulating the West and Midwest. My State of Arizona has a similar wolf program. But ranchers in my State are not getting \$727,000 in this bill.

I will have a lot more to say about this bill this week. I assure my colleagues, we will spend a lot of time talking about this bill and the outrageous number of earmarks it contains. But let me say this: It is December 14, 22 days away from the beginning of a new Congress, and nearly 3 full months into fiscal year 2011. And yet we have not debated a single spending bill or considered any amendments to cut costs or to get our debt under control.

Furthermore, the majority decided that they did not feel like doing a budget this year. How is that responsible leadership? This is the ninth omnibus appropriations bill we have considered in this body since the year 2000. That is shameful. We should be embarrassed by the fact that we care so little about doing the people's business that we continuously put off fulfilling our constitutional responsibilities until literally the last minute.

One thing is abundantly clear. The majority has not learned the lessons of last month's election. The American people could not have been more clear. They are tired of the wasteful spending. They are tired of big government. They are tired of sweetheart deals for special interests. They are tired of business as usual in Washington. And they are certainly are tired of massive bills, like this one, put together behind closed doors, and rammed through the Congress at the last minute, so that no one has the opportunity to read them and no one knows what kind of waste that is in them.

Let me be clear about one thing: If the majority leader insists on proceeding to this monstrosity, the American people will know what is in it. I will be joined by many of my colleagues on this side of the aisle to ensure that every single word of this bill is read aloud here on the Senate floor.

I encourage my friends on the other side of the aisle to rethink their strategy. Let's move forward with a clean, continuing resolution, keep the government in operation for 45 days, let the new Congress, that reflects the will of the American people, address these issues in the long term. Let's not go out the door of this Congress with a whole bunch, 6,800-some earmark projects that the rest of us have not read. I encourage my friends on the other side of the aisle to rethink their strategy. Let's move forward with a short-term continuing resolution, 45 days. Senator GREGG has an amendment pending to do that.

The majority may be able to strong-arm enough Members into voting for this omnibus, but they will not win in the end. The American people will remember, and I predict we will see a repeat of last November 2 in the very near future.

Here we are on December 14, after last year being in session on Christmas Eve. Apparently we may be in session again on Christmas Eve. If I might point out, having not been in session a single Friday the entire year—not being in session a single Friday the entire year—yet this afternoon the majority leader said, well, we can be here on Christmas and New Year's and that we could be in session until January 4, before the new Congress is sworn in.

Obviously the majority leader and the majority can do that. But I do not think the American people think very

much of what we are doing here. In fact, I think they are going to be deeply disappointed and greatly angered because we have, with the consideration of this bill, repudiated all they thought they were standing for and voting for: an end to this kind of behavior, an end to the earmarking and porkbarrel spending, an end to the mortgaging of our children and our grandchildren's future.

So it is with great regret that I again have to come to the floor, as I have for many years, and be critical of my colleagues who are good and honorable and decent Americans. But this process, this process of earmarking, which this is an example of, is not honorable behavior.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from New Mexico.

Mr. BINGAMAN. Mr. President, I want to speak for a few minutes on the tax package that is before the Senate and that we will be voting on tomorrow.

Yesterday, the Senate voted to proceed to this \$857 or \$858 billion package that would have the effect of extending all personal income tax rates for 2 years, substantially reducing the estate tax, establishing or extending a host of tax incentives for American families and businesses.

I think the way to evaluate this package is on two basic grounds. First of all, how does it help us deal with the very substantial economic problem we face with trying to strengthen the recovery from this deep economic downturn that we have experienced and, second, how is it helping us to set a long-term course to achieve fiscal stability.

On the first issue, the economic recovery, there is much in the package that I would strongly support and that I do strongly support. We should protect 98 percent of American households from any tax increase. We should extend benefits to our fellow Americans who are unable to find jobs in this period of very high unemployment. We should continue key business incentives such as the section 103 program, which has provided a critical lifeline to the renewable energy industry.

If the only economic imperative that we faced was how to strengthen this recovery from the downturn, I would be voting for the package. But as I said at the outset, that is not our only economic imperative. Our dire fiscal condition requires us also to adopt a strategy that will dramatically reduce deficits in the coming years. Frankly, I am disappointed by the plan's shortsightedness on that issue and, therefore, I did oppose the cloture motion yesterday, and I plan to vote against the package tomorrow when the vote is called.

If we are serious about addressing the deficit, we need to admit that we cannot afford all of this package. In 2001, I

came to the floor to explain my opposition to enacting the so-called Bush tax cuts. At that time the Congressional Budget Office was actually projecting budget surpluses. But as I explained then, I viewed the 2001 tax cuts as carrying a higher pricetag than we as a nation could afford. The 2001 cuts, which were accelerated in 2003, reduced the stream of revenue to the Federal Government by an amount that virtually guaranteed the elimination of our anticipated budget surplus, and instead insured that substantial deficits would once again become the norm in our Federal budget.

The result, which is a Federal debt that today nears \$14 trillion, could have been avoided under the Bush tax structure only if there had been major cuts in spending at the same time. As we all know, no such cuts in spending were ever proposed by the President, and no such cuts in spending were ever adopted by the Congress. In fact, in the years following the Bush tax cuts, spending increased very substantially. The Bush tax cuts were larger than we could afford when they were adopted.

Including interest costs, those tax cuts account for nearly 55 percent of the deficit that is projected to the end of the next decade. Once again, in my view, we cannot afford to extend those tax cuts in their entirety today. The Nation's debt now stands at 62 percent of gross domestic product.

The Congressional Budget Office says if we continue on our present course, that debt will reach 90 percent of gross domestic product by 2020 and 185 percent of gross domestic product by 2035. This concern is not merely academic. Our growing deficit has stark consequences for our government's ability to meet essential priorities.

At current levels, government revenue in 2025 will be enough only to cover interest on the debt, Medicare, Medicaid, and Social Security. The threat to American prosperity is severe. By 2035, rising debt could reduce per capita gross domestic product by as much as 15 percent.

In recent weeks, we have had several expert commissions tell us that we need to get the debt under control. They have offered thoughtful, practical proposals to do that. This National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform released a six-part plan that would achieve nearly \$4 trillion in deficit reduction through 2020.

Five of the six senators who served on the commission supported the plan. Two weeks earlier, a bipartisan commission, headed by former Congressional Budget Office Director Alice Rivlin, and my former colleague Pete Domenici, issued their own report. Both bipartisan groups concluded that to be credible any deficit reduction plan must impose limits on spending and must increase revenue.

For much of this Congress, the excuse for deferring serious action on

deficits and debt has been that we should wait and see what these commissions decide. Well, now that these commissions have finished their work, this bill is our first chance to begin considering their recommendations. I see no evidence that we are doing so in this legislation.

I understand we cannot tackle both tasks simultaneously, that is, stimulating the economy and reducing the deficit. We cannot attack both of those tasks with equal force at the same time.

A decision, which I have supported, has been to focus first on stimulating the economy. But that focus does not excuse us from also taking the relatively easy steps that are available to reduce future deficits. I agree with the Commission for a Responsible Federal Budget, whose leaders argue that, "The critical objective is to pair any stimulus for the short term with a credible plan to reduce the debt in the medium and long term."

We should be talking about what triggers to attach, how to pay for the new package over the decade, what spending cuts and tax reforms to make. It is unfortunate that that conversation has not taken place.

Because the cost of the package is not offset, it has been, unfortunately, larded up with very wasteful provisions that do little to stimulate the economy. The most problematic is the one many colleagues have commented on, that is, the \$129 billion to extend tax cuts that benefit only the very high-income American households and reduce the estate tax below 2009 rates.

Proponents of the bill say that because the economy is weak, now is not the time to allow the tax cuts for the wealthiest households to expire. But a Congressional Budget Office report issued earlier this year tears down this argument. They examined 11 options to stimulate growth and job creation and concluded that extension of the 2001 and 2003 tax cuts was dead last in that list of 11. They further found that extending the tax cuts for high-income households, in particular, would rate lower in effectiveness than extending all the tax cuts because: "Higher-income households . . . would probably save a large fraction of their increase in after-tax income."

There is one comparison that puts this sharply into perspective, at least to my view. Last month, the President announced that because of concerns about the deficit, he was proposing to freeze all civilian Federal salaries at a savings of about \$2.5 billion a year. I stated at the time that I supported his decision. But in this package we will erase those savings nearly three times over merely with the reduction of the estate tax from the 2009 levels. Is it not enough to reinstate the 2009 estate tax provisions which exempt \$7 million in assets per couple and tax amounts

above that 45 percent? Under this package, the exemption is dialed up to \$10 million per couple, and the rate is reduced to 35 percent. So instead of reaching only 1 out of 400 American estates, this plan would subject 1 out of 1,000 estates to any tax whatsoever. So while a GS3 clerk at the Department of Agriculture office in Albuquerque will have her salary frozen in the name of fiscal responsibility, the heirs of a \$50 million estate will save \$5.35 million. This unwarranted generosity will cost our Treasury an added \$7 billion a year. Americans are right to question how we can be serious about reducing the deficit when we are ready to give wealthy heirs a windfall with no benefit whatsoever to the economic recovery.

I also am troubled that the package makes the Tax Code permanently temporary and falsely assumes we will be able to achieve a different outcome when we debate this issue 2 years from now. Today's Wall Street Journal points this out in a story entitled "Temporary Tax Code Puts Nation in a Lasting Bind." The piece opens with this sentence: "Welcome to the world of the temporary tax code."

I ask unanimous consent that the text of that article be printed in the RECORD at the conclusion of my remarks.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

(See exhibit 1.)

Mr. BINGAMAN. The main argument being used in support of the extension is that this is the only proposal we can get our Republican colleagues to agree to. In my view, that is an uncharitable view of our Republican colleagues. I think they would embrace a more responsible proposal if they felt they were required to do so.

The fact that not a single Republican supported either the proposal Senator BAUCUS brought to the floor or the proposal Senator SCHUMER brought to the floor last week, in my view, results from their expectation, which was apparently accurate, that if they remained intransigent, Democrats would give in to their demands to extend all the tax cuts. Those demands reflected in the bill now before us do not acknowledge the serious problem we face with our deficits. I have explained why.

There are also some important provisions that were included in the Recovery Act which, unfortunately, have been left out of this tax package. I am informed they have been left out because Republican leaders have insisted Recovery Act provisions not be extended. There are some of the provisions in the Recovery Act that had been extremely beneficial to economic activity in my State. The Build American Bonds program, for example. It is very unfortunate that program is not being continued as part of this package. The package also ends a provision

Senators CRAPO and GRASSLEY and I fought to include in the Recovery Act, which raises the bank-qualified limit, which was last adjusted in 1986, for small municipalities that sell debt to community banks. This has significantly reduced rural governments' borrowing costs and created jobs and needed infrastructure improvements in thousands of communities. I am disappointed that has not been continued.

The reflexive anti-Recovery Act position Republican leaders have taken is reflected as well in the provisions dealing with energy. In spite of the positive provisions in this legislation to strengthen the economic recovery—and there are some which I strongly support—the bill moves us in the wrong direction with regard to our other major problem, which is deficit reduction. On that issue, it will start the 112th Congress off on the wrong track. For that reason, I will oppose the legislation tomorrow when the vote is called.

Mr. President, yesterday the Senate voted on proceeding to the most significant revenue bill of the 111th Congress. As I explained when I came to the floor earlier today, this bill contains important provisions that will stimulate the economy. I strongly support extending tax cuts to the overwhelming majority of American families. But this bill goes further than that. It extends tax cuts to the very highest earners and adds a substantial estate tax cut. And it does so without any offsets or any plan to address the deficit. The inclusion of those provisions will make it difficult for the next Congress to act in a responsible way to address our serious deficit situation. For those reasons, I voted against proceeding to the bill.

While my "no" vote was driven primarily by the bill's fiscal recklessness, I am also disappointed by the inadequacy of its energy tax provisions. Aside from a 1-year extension of the section 1603 grant in lieu of credit program, which will offer some support to our renewable energy industries, every meaningful advanced energy incentive that was included in the package Senator BAUCUS offered has been stripped from today's bill, or reduced to the point of near-ineffectiveness. These include key provisions to promote energy efficiency, clean technology manufacturing, energy independence, and pollution reduction.

Among its disappointing provisions, this bill chooses to extend the volumetric ethanol excise tax credit, or VEETC, for an additional year at its current rate of 45 cents per gallon. When we include the associated income tax deductions, this extension will cost American taxpayers about \$6 billion. But the VEETC subsidizes production of a fuel whose consumption is already mandated by our renewable fuel standard. The House was poised to drop the credit down to 36 cents, a level that I

would support. But today's so-called compromise package extends the credit at 45 cents a gallon, which will cost an additional \$1 billion. That \$1 billion would be better spent funding other clean energy technologies which do not enjoy the market protection of the renewable fuel standard. For instance, we could much better use the \$1 billion for the advanced energy project credit, or section 48C, which enables companies to establish, reequip, and expand factories in the U.S. to manufacture advanced energy technologies.

Failing to change this bill's energy provisions will ensure that the 111th Congress will be recorded as one that failed to maximize its potential in using the Tax Code to promote advanced energy priorities. To be sure, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act included many significant tax innovations that promote clean renewable energy and energy efficiency. But since ARRA's enactment at the very beginning of this Congress, the Senate has failed to consider any legislation that would build off those innovations. Time and again, energy tax legislation was pushed back, delayed, and obstructed. Particularly galling is that this obstruction occurred in a year that saw the worst environmental disaster in the history of this Nation, one that resulted from our overdependence on fossil fuels.

But we still have an opportunity to turn things around before the Congress adjourns. And so I urge the Senate to consider the comprehensive commonsense provisions that Senator SNOWE and I have offered as an amendment.

Our amendment, No. 4783, is modeled on a standalone bill, the Advanced Energy Tax Incentives Act of 2010, S. 3935, which Senator SNOWE and I introduced in September. This is a bipartisan, comprehensive package of incentives focused on enhancing energy efficiency, deploying renewable energy, and rebuilding our domestic manufacturing base. These commonsense incentives will make our businesses more dynamic and competitive, our homes more efficient, our economy more secure, and our skies and waters cleaner.

Among other highlights, our amendment would enable home and business owners to defray upfront costs of investing in energy-saving technologies, including the introduction of performance-based tax credits for whole home retrofits. It would make \$2.5 billion in tax credits available to attract manufacturers of technologies that harness clean renewable energy or enhance energy efficiency and establish a \$1 billion tax credit program to enable American manufacturers to undertake energy-saving measures that advance their competitiveness. Our amendment would facilitate the growth of renewable electricity by creating a tax incentive for energy storage systems, which will enable utilities to deploy

intermittent energy sources like wind and solar power while reducing energy demands during peak hours and contributing to an overall more reliable smart grid. And the amendment would retool the tax credit for carbon capture and storage, CCS, to give CCS projects greater certainty.

Mr. President, we must continue to ensure that the Tax Code contains well-designed incentives that will help us transition to an energy efficient economy. The most significant revenue bill of the 112th Congress should include robust provisions that expand domestic clean energy manufacturing; help American businesses and families reduce their energy use and dependence on fossil fuels; and create thousands of jobs. I deeply regret that in considering the bill before us, the Senate will not give priority consideration to our amendment.

I yield the floor.

EXHIBIT 1

[From the Wall Street Journal, December 14, 2010]

'TEMPORARY' TAX CODE PUTS NATION IN A LASTING BIND

(By John D. McKinnon, Gary Fields and Laura Saunders)

WASHINGTON.—Welcome to the world of the temporary tax code.

In the late 1990s, there were typically fewer than a dozen tax provisions that had just a limited lease on life and needed to be renewed every year or so.

Today there are 141.

Now Congress, taking up a deal worked out between the Obama administration and Republican leaders, is poised to turn the whole personal income-tax system into something of a temporary structure. The plan embraces a broad range of provisions—an extension of Bush-era rates, a new estate-tax formula—but for only two years. A payroll-tax cut in the bill is for a single year.

This means that if the compromise passes largely intact, the U.S. will have no permanent regime governing levies on salaries, capital gains and dividends, the Social Security tax, as well as a slew of targeted breaks for families, students and other groups. This on top of dozens of corporate-tax provisions that already were subject to annual renewal.

The level of uncertainty, unusual for developed nations, complicates planning and discourages hiring and investment, many economists and corporate executives say.

"I haven't seen anything like it, and it's hard historically to find anything like" the current and pending negotiations, says Mortimer Caplin, an Internal Revenue Service commissioner in the Kennedy administration who at 94 is just three years younger than the income tax itself. "This Congress has left an awful lot up in the air."

A vote to pass the tax deal in the Senate is expected on Tuesday or Wednesday; prospects for swift approval in the House remained cloudy but party leaders seem increasingly resigned to the measure clearing Congress intact.

The two-year expiration of the bill's main provisions on individual rates would occur just after the next presidential election, and few in Washington envision a long-term solution being crafted at such a charged time.

At the same time, the possibility of a sweeping tax-system revamp can itself add

to the uncertainty, what with politicians increasingly ready to talk about this. President Barack Obama has lately, as has the deficit-reduction panel he appointed, including Republican members such as Rep. DAVE CAMP, future chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee. The possibility of an overhaul that would put on the table long-established credits and deductions could further uproot predictability.

This year has been something of a test case for tax uncertainty, with concern about what would happen when provisions adopted in 2001 and 2003 expired at year-end.

Sales of certain kinds of life insurance rose as families wrestled with the possibility that estate taxes would jump in 2011. With no assurance the 15% rate on dividend income would last past 2010, Kraft Foods Inc., Exelon Corp. and Altria Group Inc. asked their shareholders to contact Congress in opposition to an increase. Stocks of utilities, which traditionally pay high dividends, appeared to factor in the possibility of a rise in the dividend tax rate in 2011, analysts said.

At Incobrasa Industries Ltd., a producer of biodiesel in Gilman, Ill., sales manager Douglas Santos has been waiting to see what happens to an expired tax subsidy for his industry. He is running at 25% capacity, vs. 100% in 2008. Mr. Santos wants Congress to make up its mind one way or the other. "Just do something," he says. The bill before Congress would restore the subsidy.

Economic research has shown businesses tend to be more reluctant to invest when they perceive high levels of uncertainty about various things, including over taxes. The pressure on policy makers to narrow the budget deficit, not merely simplify the tax system, further muddies the waters now, says Massachusetts Institute of Technology tax economist James Poterba, who finds "the crystal ball . . . particularly unclear at the moment."

Some call the worries exaggerated. "I truly do believe the concerns expressed over tax uncertainty are truly overblown," says Martin Sullivan, an economist with Tax Analysts, a nonprofit tax publisher, who sees today's situation as quite manageable compared with the profound business uncertainty companies faced during the financial crisis.

"We're used to [uncertainty] in the tax world," he says. "What's changed in the last few years is the size of the temporary extensions."

Obama administration officials note that the tax code has been through gyrations before, for example in the 1980s, when Congress adopted accelerated depreciation in 1981, only to repeal it five years later. That threw real-estate markets into an uproar and added to problems that contributed to the savings-and-loan collapse.

The White House says the current confusion points to the need for a system that is more stable and simpler. "We've got to have a larger debate about . . . how is this country going to win the economic competition of the 21st century," President Obama said last week. "That's going to mean looking at the tax code and saying, what's fair, what's efficient? And I don't think anybody thinks the tax code right now is fair or efficient"

Small business is often looked to as a source of job growth. But the latest monthly survey by the National Federation of Independent Business, a small-business advocacy group, found that 75% of owners felt it wasn't a good time to expand, and one in five said the main reason was doubt about policy environment, including taxes.

For smaller companies, tax uncertainty could be an incentive to expand overseas rather than in the U.S., according to Tom Duesterberg, president of the Manufacturers Alliance, a group representing medium-size firms. Companies "can't wait until all these [tax] questions are resolved," he says. "They are not going to wait until all that definitively happens. They have to deploy cash, please their shareholders and expand and grow."

Billy Hoffpauir, a developer in Lafayette, La., says he has been trying to sell some real estate because "with the current uncertainty, I am unable to quantify the risk to make long-term investment decisions." If he finds buyers, he says, he would be likely to plow the cash into "other interests, probably overseas," because some foreign countries have more favorable taxes and regulations. The tax situation is the overwhelming driver in his business decisions, Mr. Hoffpauir says.

Lea Bailes, president of Guier Fence in Blue Springs, Mo., says his plans for next year depend on how the tax debate turns out: "We're looking at acquiring a couple of smaller fence companies. The number we acquire, honestly, will depend on what we have to pay in tax."

The company, which employs about 70, would try to hire two to three new workers for each acquisition, possibly 10 in all. "If everybody our size can add 10 employees, we'd be a lot farther down the road in dealing with the unemployment," Mr. Bailes says.

Guier is in the process of acquiring another firm now, and while Mr. Bailes likes to take time to make such decisions, he worries that concern over a possible rise in capital-gains rates might make the seller push to complete the sale this year. The bill in Congress would keep the current 15% top rate for two years.

One reason unsettled rules on individual income taxes affect planning at small businesses is that many don't pay corporate tax, but pass business income through to the owners for taxation on their personal returns.

Bill Wiygul, whose family owns four auto-repair businesses in northern Virginia, estimates he and his wife would pay at least \$20,000 more in various taxes in 2011 if Congress doesn't address parts of the code, including the Alternative Minimum Tax. The AMT snags a growing number of filers each year, and while Congress regularly limits the number affected—and likely will do so again this week or next—this has so far been an AMT "patch," never a permanent fix.

Mr. Wiygul says he would trade an increase in tax rates for greater certainty if the pain was shared by all. "We are petrified," he says. "We would be more actively pursuing expansion opportunities if we felt like the climate was more certain."

Large multinationals are only marginally affected directly by income-tax provisions on the table this year. Yet the stakes might be high for these companies. Executives worry about becoming a target for lawmakers seeking revenue to narrow deficits.

If a broad revision "is a true 'step back, let's take a fresh look,' we would not be frightened by that," says Ken Cohen, a vice president at Exxon Mobil Corp. But if it pits industry versus industry or becomes a hunt for revenue, "that's the process we would have much more apprehension about."

The reasons the tax code has acquired an increasingly temporary cast have to do with deficits, a divided Congress and even the constitutional system.

Political division contributes because of the daunting task of mustering a filibuster-

proof 60 votes in the Senate. Legislative shepherds of the Bush cuts resorted to passage under what is called "budget reconciliation," requiring only a majority vote. But a measure passed this way can't be for longer than the budget that authorizes it, in this case 10 years. Hence the provisions expire in 2010.

Such an outcome is less likely in countries with parliamentary systems because these leave the government less subject to having its will thwarted by a large minority. "Very few countries have tax provisions that expire unless legislative action is taken," says Jeffrey Owens, head of tax at the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development in Paris. "Also, in most OECD countries, it's the government that initiates new legislation, and once proposed the legislation generally passes."

Deficits tempt legislators to give tax provisions a temporary term to disguise their cost. For proponents of a new tax provision, the strategy is to get a foot in the door by passing it for a year or two, at a seemingly affordable cost, intending to renew it regularly.

That is how the number of provisions up for yearly extension has ballooned. Though the provisions are often extended in a bundle, a given provision's inclusion in the bundle is never certain.

Perhaps nowhere has tax uncertainty been felt more intensely this year than in the estate tax, always a controversial matter.

A 2001 law lowered its rate and increased the exemption in steps, with the tax lapsing in 2010 and then, unless Congress acts, returning in 2011 at a 55% top rate on estates of \$1 million or more. The unusual hiatus coupled with a far more costly tax as soon as 2010 ended gave "just an unbelievable Alice-in-Wonderland aspect" to planning for certain well-to-do families, says Bruce Stone, a Miami-area estate lawyer.

Sales of a life-insurance policy commonly used for estate planning rose 22% in the first nine months from a year earlier, and their death-benefit coverage was up 30%. Though the policies can also be used for other purposes, part of the jump seemed clearly to be for hedging against the possible estate-tax jump in 2011.

In a few cases, the uncertainty drove people to ponder extreme measures to avoid a tax hit for heirs.

David Drouhard, a Washington-state farmer who is 56, received a diagnosis of advanced kidney cancer 14 months ago and faced a grim set of treatment choices. Most offered little chance of extending his life more than 18 months, although an immunity-boosting drug held out some hope. Mr. Drouhard says he worried that inaction on the estate tax would force his family to sell his wheat and alfalfa farm, now worth about \$3 million, to pay taxes if he died in 2011.

After much deliberation, Mr. Drouhard decided to take the immunity-boosting drug, but with a caveat: "I said, 'If we don't see results from the first series [of treatments], I'm going to stop,'" he says. "I try to take care of my family, so why not go ahead and die instead of living another six months." He has responded well to the treatment, but adds: "I think it's wrong that you have to make that kind of decision."

The compromise Congress is weighing this week would set a top estate-tax rate at 35% and the exemption at \$5 million.

But this would be for just two years. Just as this year, a failure by Congress to act then would cause the tax to then revert to a top 55% rate and \$1 million exemption, in this case in 2013.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Maryland is recognized.

Mr. CARDIN. Mr. President, I take this time to talk about the Tax Relief Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization and Job Creation Act, the tax package, the Senate amendment No. 4753. This is the tax bill we have been talking about for the last several weeks. The first thing I wish to point out is that this bill is the result of a compromise. Similar to any compromise, there are some provisions I strongly support, and there are provisions I would have preferred not to see in this legislation. We have to evaluate the positive aspects as well as those provisions that I would prefer not to be included. There are some very important provisions included in this legislation that I fought long and hard to make sure we accomplished before Congress adjourns this year.

First and foremost is a provision that would extend the current tax rates for middle-income families. If we don't do that by December 31, those tax rates will go up, and the withholding schedules would be changed.

The bill also extends unemployment insurance, a matter I have voted for and I have spoken on the floor about, the fairness and the importance to our economy of extending unemployment compensation benefits for those who are unemployed, giving the more recent unemployed the same benefits we gave the earlier unemployed during this downturn in the economy. Those benefits would be available through 2011. That is an extremely important provision, not just for the individual who depends upon it in order to pay the mortgage or to pay the bills, it is important because it speaks to the fairness of our society during a recession. This is an insurance program. It is meant to provide benefits to those who have been in the workforce and have lost their jobs. It is very important for our economy, as far as our recovery is concerned.

The legislation also extends the refundable child tax credit. This is important to middle-income families in our communities. This is a provision that helps lower wage families in particular. It extends the American opportunity tax credit, to help middle-income families to afford college education for their children, up to \$2,500 a year. That can make the difference between a person being able to go to a college or not or go to the school they want to go to, which is not only important for that family, it is also important for the country. Investing in education is our best investment in order for America to be competitive internationally.

The legislation also extends certain green energy tax credits. That means jobs. Investing in green energy will help the economy create and keep jobs in America. It will help us on an en-

ergy policy that will not only be friendly toward the environment but help us in regards to national security so we don't have to import oil from countries that disagree with our way of life.

The legislation also provides important tools for business to invest in job growth by allowing expensing. A company that makes an investment in order to create more jobs will be able to write off that investment during 2011 rather than having to wait and amortize it over a longer period. It is a major incentive to get businesses more actively involved in making the investments we need to create jobs. It is particularly important for small companies. I hear frequently from small businesses in my State of Maryland that tell me how difficult it is for that business owner to make the type of investments necessary to take advantage of job growth. Expensing helps them make that decision now, giving confidence to our economy, which is something we desperately need.

These provisions and others will help our economy. I need not remind my colleagues that we have a 9.8-percent unemployment rate. That is not acceptable to any one of us. It is the wrong time to allow tax rates to go up for middle-income families when we have that type of unemployment. The provisions I outlined will help job growth. Economists are in agreement. The passage of these provisions will save and create millions of jobs in America. That is what we need to do. We need to get our people to work. Then we can deal with the other tough issues, including deficit reduction and getting the budget in balance. It is difficult to do that until we get the economy back on track.

The first priority is to get Americans back to work. The provisions I outlined will help in that regard. It is clear to me from my constituents in Maryland that during these tough economic times, it is the wrong time to increase rates for middle-income families. I made it clear that I would do everything I could to make sure that wouldn't happen. The largest amount of the \$858 billion this package provides in tax relief, the overwhelming amount will go to benefit middle-income families and create jobs. But there are other provisions that were included in this package that I don't believe are helpful for job growth. I don't believe they are worth the cost for the jobs they may create. I refer to two provisions I strongly object to and would have preferred not being in this package.

The extension of tax breaks for higher income wage earners will do very little to spur additional economic growth. Let me give an example. If you are making \$1 million a year and get thousands of dollars of tax relief provided under this legislation, the odds that

you will spend more and help stimulate the economy are very remote. It is another thing if you are unemployed and you get an unemployment check. That money will go right back into the economy and will help create jobs. For people who are well off, millionaires, the economic benefit of extending these tax rates is very marginal, minimal compared to the cost of extending the tax breaks for the wealthiest.

It is consistent with how I voted last Saturday. Last Saturday, I voted to extend the tax rates for those under \$1 million. I thought that was the right way, a good compromise. Unfortunately, the bill we have before us extends the tax rates for all taxpayers.

The second provision I strongly object to being in this package is the estate tax relief. The estate tax relief would provide, for the next 2 years, families with \$10 million of an estate or lower to be totally exempt from the Federal estate tax and would reduce the rate to 35 percent.

Those who benefit from that are the upper one-quarter of 1 percent of the families in this Nation. Quite frankly, I do not think, in these tough economic times, that is going to have much stimulative effect on job growth in America, and the revenues we lose could have been used in a better way, I believe, for deficit reduction, which would have been a stronger positive effect on our economy.

I thought we had a reasonable compromise on this issue. I thought we had a reasonable compromise to go back to the 2009 rates. In 2009, as you remember, we had gotten up to \$3.5 million per person and \$7 million per family and a 45-percent tax rate. I thought that was a good compromise, and I am disappointed we did not come back to that compromise. I think if we had done that, there would have been much stronger consensus not only in this body but in the House for this package.

So there is a good part of this package which I support. There is one other good provision in there I wish to point out in regard to the two last provisions and others I spelled out. It provides relief only for the next 2 years. In other words, it is temporary relief. It will expire in 2012. I think that is a good provision because that means we are going to need to deal with the budget deficit. As I said earlier, once our economy starts getting back on track, once we get the unemployment rate down to a reasonable level, then we have a much better chance of dealing with the budget deficit.

We have to start dealing with the budget deficit this year. I acknowledge that. But our real effort is going to be, when we have a growing economy, what will help us get our budget back into balance.

Let me remind my colleagues, just 11 years ago, the Congressional Budget Office projected surpluses that could

have retired our Nation's marketable debt between 2007 and 2009. In other words, if we would have used that surplus wisely, we would not be looking at a \$14 trillion national debt. But, instead, the Congress passed the so-called Bush tax cuts of 2001 and 2003. I voted against those tax cuts. I did not believe we could afford that type of revenue loss and, in fact, that has been the largest contributing factor to the deficit we have today: the tax cuts of 2001 and 2003.

Remember, in those days, we had a growing economy. So there was no need to stimulate the economy. Today, we are in a different position. Allowing increased rates for middle-income families in these economic times would be the wrong thing to do. But I do think we have to get back to dealing with the deficit. We need to have a credible plan, a credible strategy, and that strategy should include shared sacrifices. We need to deal with spending. We have to get not only domestic but military spending under control and, yes, we need revenues. I would hope we would start with allowing the termination of the extension of the higher income tax brackets for the millionaires as we start to take a look at ways we can balance the budget as we move toward the next 3 or 4 or 5 years. When we get our 5-year budget, the first thing we should do is make it clear we are not going to extend the higher income tax rates.

There is one more very positive aspect to this package I wish to bring up. This is a major bill dealing with a serious problem in our Nation, and we have Democrats and Republicans working together. I can tell you that when I talk to my constituents in Maryland, they tell me this institution is too partisan. They like a lot of the things we have done, but they do not like the fact that we cannot get Democrats and Republicans to allow the system to work, with the give and take that should take place in this most deliberative body.

Well, we have done it on this issue. We may not like everything that is in it because when you do compromises, there are going to be things in it you do not always agree with. But the system has worked. It includes a lot of what I like, a lot of what my Republican colleagues like, and together we have produced a bill that is going to help our economy.

I hope this will be a model of what is to come. I hope it is an indication that we will be able to work together across party lines to deal with the major challenges of our Nation. We need to put our national business first over partisan agenda.

So, on balance, I am going to support this package. I am going to support this package because I think it is critically necessary for our economy. I think it provides the type of help for

middle-income families they need today. I think it represents the way our political system should operate, with the type of compromises that allow us to get to a conclusion dealing with major issues in our country.

With that, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from New Jersey is recognized.

Mr. LAUTENBERG. Mr. President, we are, obviously, struggling to find something that puts us in balance, a balance that will create more jobs, more opportunity, expand family incomes for middle-class people, and we do not seem to be getting there. We are engaged in the wrong kind of a dialog, in my judgment.

Yesterday, I voted to oppose the tax cut extenders bill that came along after long and hard thought about the consequences of my decision.

For me, voting decisions cannot be made without reflecting on my life's experiences to guide me, things that I saw as a child of a poverty-stricken family, not because my father was not willing to work, but at times during those years work was just unavailable, and it was hard going for a lot of years.

What I remember is how hard my parents struggled to try and do what could be done for my sister and myself. That was our entire family.

My father worked in mills that were common in the city of Paterson, NJ—textile mills—and there was something in the environment there that was very harmful. My father was 43 when he died. My mother was a 37-year-old widow, and I had already joined the Army. I had enlisted in the Army.

I saw what happened. My father was sick for 13 months from cancer. By the way, his brother who worked in the mills died from cancer. Their father worked in the factory, and he died at age 56 from cancer.

When my father died, 13 months after being stricken with colon cancer, what he left, besides grief, unfortunately—my mother being the sole income earner for the family, owing money for doctors, hospitals, pharmacies, you name it—overwhelmed by debt, it was necessary to go bankrupt. It is a painful experience. It is an influence, it is a memory that is very hard to deal with because it creates an atmosphere of failure.

But life turned around for me, and I am one of the most fortunate people on Earth. My wife and I have 13 grandchildren, the oldest of whom is 17, and the youngest was born 2 weeks ago. Our hopes are totally enveloped by what kind of a country our grandchildren are going to live in. Will it continue to be a free democratic society, where people still believe their children can get a job, get a good education, have a family, maintain a home, and have health care as required? Will they have the kinds of opportunities that further lead to admiration of this country and

a declaration of fealty to this great Nation of ours?

I was able, after service in World War II, with the GI bill, to go and graduate from Columbia University. I then joined two friends in a startup company called ADP that now employs over 40,000 people in 23 countries. It is still headquartered in New Jersey, where we began, bringing an opportunity for companies that needed computer services to obtain it from us. We were pioneers in the field. I was a CEO and chairman of this great company. The salary for a job such as that, as you can imagine, was at a very high level.

It is with this life experience that I view my current tax obligations—or let me call them contributions to country because that is what they are—weighing them against the value of a strong nation that is able to supply employment for all able and willing to work.

I remind myself that we are in a wartime economy. I do not want to go back to ancient history, but during World War II, there was a tax required that was called the excess profits tax. It was there to help the country manage its finances because of the additional costs of war.

Now we are in a wartime economy. Every day our people face harm and, perhaps, death serving in Afghanistan and Iraq. Just a couple days ago, six people were killed. The death toll goes on. The injuries go on. Over 5,000 people killed in the two wars and in excess of 30,000 wounded.

So we need to have the energy pumped into our country that gives us the ability to be able to take care of the war obligation and the general functioning of our economy.

I look at the value of a country that is able to function without the kind of indebtedness that is consuming America, with other countries that are buying our bonds and financial instruments and questioning our Nation's ability to redeem our obligations. Where does all that take us?

We have to invest to grow our economy and create jobs, putting people back to work and laying a foundation for a new era of prosperity for everybody. Windfalls for the wealthiest of us do not benefit our economy or create jobs and are what got us into this fiscal mess to begin with.

That is why I oppose this bill. Yes, there are some things in the bill that are attractive. But when I think of a headline I saw in the Wall Street Journal a week ago Monday—yesterday—it said: Tax breaks for the wealthy go on, and—in not this precise language—the byline, the heading said: And unemployment benefits will continue.

Imagine that contrast: Tax breaks for the wealthy and also: Let them eat cake, let those people who do not have any income, let them—we will give them some unemployment insurance as

a little bit of an incentive to make sure we get the votes to take care of the wealthiest and most fortunate in terms of assets in our society.

This bill wastes money on tax breaks for those not needing them, giving the average millionaire a tax cut of more than \$100,000 per year. Contrast that with a per-family income across the country in the neighborhood of \$50,000, and here an individual gets a \$100,000 tax reduction, tax break. This was money that could be used to pay down the deficit, create more jobs for middle-class families. So why does this bill dedicate so much of its cost to helping those who known economists agree will not use that money to boost the economy?

President Obama gave us the answer this week. He said that for Republicans, including deep tax cuts for the most fortunate is their holy grail. That is what President Obama said. That is what the Republicans are looking for most energetically: deep tax cuts for their holy grail—the wealthiest. On the other side of the aisle, it is not working families with whom they are concerned. It is not the unemployed. It is not the struggling small business. On the other side of the aisle, primarily they are concerned with only one economic constituency: the top 1 percent of our wage earners.

When President Bush cut taxes on those with the highest incomes, did the benefits trickle down as the Republicans promised? No. What resulted was a gigantic increase in our debt, well over \$2 trillion in 8 years. I was the ranking member on the Budget Committee in those years. When we looked at tax cuts, we were told it would not interfere with our needs; rather, it was a way to get money to trickle down to the more modest income earners. Salaries rose as high as 400 times—that was the ratio between the top person in the company and the bottom person in the company. Years ago, it ran about 40 times at its largest, but in recent years, it ran as high as 400 times larger for the CEO's pay than the average worker was paid. So 400 times—if the bottom wage earner was \$40,000, the guy at the top got \$16 million. So the disparity is something we have to look at.

People need to be able to afford the things that sustain life, and here we are looking at people earning over \$1 million a year. We saw recently in the New York Times an article that showed eight wage earners who earned over \$1 billion in a single year. One of them earned \$3.5 billion. We saw in the paper over the last couple of days that the family who holds the primary stock ownership in Walmart has a net worth of about \$83 billion, and they, too, would get a tax break. Is that necessary? At the same time, middle-class families face surging gas prices, skyrocketing health care costs, and soar-

ing college tuition. Now we are being asked to continue on the path that put us in the hole we are presently in.

As I look at where we are in this country and as I review my responsibilities to those in New Jersey whom I represent and what I want for those who will follow on, for my grandchildren, whom I love more deeply than anything else in life, I ask, What can I do that will improve their lives when I am no longer here? I conclude that a stronger democratic America will be the most valuable asset to leave behind—more valuable than more money, than more possessions, or any of those things—a stronger country, knowing they are getting their share of opportunity in this country of greatness, of wealth, underneath all of our problems. What I want to say to my grandchildren—the oldest is Alexander, and the youngest carries the name of Hudson. What do I want for them? I want them to be able to be safe, to be able to have health care when needed. I want them to be able to get an education. I want them to know their country appreciates what they do.

You can't build a building from the chimney on down, and you can't build a society from the wealthiest on down. If you don't have a good foundation, it all crumbles in front of you.

We are seeing worrisome signs about where America is going with some 15 million people unemployed at this time. What kind of a picture is that for people? And those who can't afford the necessities of life and who want unemployment insurance have to be in line to get some help. Yet we give the wealthiest more tax breaks. That is not the kind of society America really wants. We are giving to the wealthiest and forgetting the neediest.

So I wish to say that the vote for me was not easy, that there were some attractive parts in it, but the attractive parts were in there to try to get the bailout going, to try to get the tax breaks larger, to make sure they took care of their friends who are there with the money whenever called upon. It is a bad idea. That is not a democratic society.

So I am going to vote the same way tomorrow as I did yesterday; that is, against this bill. This is a bill that, in my view, will not make America stronger. Despite the fact that there is an economist or two proffering some optimistic assertions about where we will be, I don't believe it. I believe the people who say that the wealthiest will not spend the money to encourage the economy's growth, that those who get unemployment insurance will have to spend it and those who get more modest tax breaks will spend it to get the things they need for their families.

So I am going to try to make the reality for our country as good as it can be for everybody's grandchildren and for this great Nation of ours.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Michigan.

Ms. STABENOW. Mr. President, I rise today to talk about the bill in front of us and particularly a provision I would like very much to see in this legislation. When we have a Republican colleague on the floor either tonight or tomorrow, I intend to ask unanimous consent to add it to the bill.

I will say that the underlying bill has been a real dilemma for many of us—certainly the vast majority of us on our side of the aisle—given where we are on deficits and given the concern about strategy on the top-end tax cuts that have not created jobs over time. There is deep concern about that. I also know that people in my State are desperately hurting, and the unemployment benefit extension is absolutely critical for families who are faced with decisions about whether they will even be able to have a Christmas, whether they even have a house, will they be living in their car, will they be able to put food on the table, let alone get gifts for their children. These are very serious issues for families in Michigan. There are very important tax cuts for middle-class families, for small businesses, and strategic investments in jobs in this legislation in terms of tax provisions that create jobs.

The bill before us includes an important financing mechanism called the Treasury grant program, or we have dubbed it 1603—financing for renewable energy. This is one provision that is very important that is in the bill. It is incredibly important, if we are going to expand our economy, that we focus on the growing clean energy economy, the clean energy industry. That is a place where I believe we have the opportunity to create middle-class jobs, to create new opportunities and really create a boon in our economy. When developers want to build wind farms or solar, they can get financing through this program. Financing is hard to get when you are doing something on the front end—commercializing the first technology or doing something that is new. It is hard to get financing. This is very important, and I am a strong supporter of it.

But when we build the wind farms in America, when we build the solar units, I want to make sure that they are using wind turbines—that they are using all the parts, the 8,000 parts that are in one of those big wind turbines—I want to make sure those are made in America. That is how we truly grow our economy, not just creating new options on energy but building the technologies here, doing the R&D, doing the innovation. It is absolutely critical. We are the best. We are the best ones at innovation, but we also are the best at making things, and we need to be making them here.

I have to say I am very proud to represent a State—the great State of

Michigan—where we know how to build things. We have great engineers. We have the best skilled workers in the world. We know how to make things. We are beginning now to move more into clean energy technology, certainly electric vehicles, hybrid, and also wind, solar, geothermal, and other areas that involve manufacturing, and we are very proud of that. When we build the wind and solar provisions, the cutting-edge solar cells, we need to make sure they are made in America, and we are doing that right now in Michigan.

My concern is that this bill does not extend the manufacturing tax credit that is absolutely critical to keeping those jobs here at home in America. The advanced energy manufacturing tax credit, which we have dubbed 48C, is helping to create at least 17,000 jobs at 183 manufacturing facilities all across the country in 43 different States right now. It has been a huge success, and I wish to thank Senator BINGAMAN. I was proud to join with him on the Finance Committee in being able to offer that provision that was in the Recovery Act. I wish to thank Senator SHERROD BROWN of Ohio for his efforts and leadership and passion on this issue as well, for the investment of the \$2.3 billion we put into the Recovery Act.

The 48C manufacturing tax credit has leveraged \$7.7 billion in private investment and clean energy manufacturing in America. That provision should be in this bill. We have strong bipartisan support and have had it since it was first instituted. That provision should be in this bill.

Last year, the Chinese invested \$35 billion in clean energy technology. They are expected to ramp that up to \$90 billion a year going forward. That is \$246 million every single day. By comparison, extending the 48C manufacturing tax credits is a small sum but will leverage private sector investment and more than pay for itself and create jobs, making that new clean energy, those products, that manufacturing here in America.

In my home State of Michigan, we have 12 companies that have taken advantage of this manufacturing incentive building wind turbines, solar cells, advanced batteries for electric vehicles—jobs in Michigan. Wacker Polysilicon in Charleston, TN, is using its \$128 million tax credit to produce silicon that is used in solar panels. Texas Instruments in Richardson, TX, is using its \$51 million advanced manufacturing tax credit to reequip its facility and produce advanced power management semiconductors. Cree, Inc, of Durham, NC, received a \$39 million tax credit for the production of LED light chips and fixtures, creating jobs. ZF Steering of Florence, KY, received \$28 million in manufacturing tax credits for the production of wind turbine component parts. Frankly, the list goes on

and on and on. Forty-three States—Republican, Democratic—have businesses today that are hiring people who are making things in their States, making things in America because of the partnership put in place with the advanced manufacturing tax credit.

So in addition to developing the renewable energy area of 1603, extending that so that we are helping to create investment in these new technologies, we also need to extend the manufacturing tax credit for companies that are making renewable energy technology here.

The whole point is to make them here—not to bring in the component parts from China or someplace else but to make them here. We can do that. We are already beginning to do that. We cannot trade our dependence on foreign oil for a dependence on foreign technology. In some areas, we are close to doing that.

The Recovery Act was about changing that playing field. I thank President Obama and his administration for understanding about manufacturing, about making things in America, building things in America, and what we need to do to create good-paying middle-class jobs again in America.

This bill does part of that with the renewable energy grant in the financing. But it does not focus on where things are made, which is of great concern to me. So when I have the opportunity—I came to the floor fully intending to ask unanimous consent to proceed to my amendment, to be able to add this critical job-creating manufacturing credit that has bipartisan support and has had it since it was first initiated. But I don't see any Republican colleagues on the floor this evening. I understand, under legislative courtesy, I will not do that. I will proceed and offer that tomorrow.

There is another provision I want to also speak about, an amendment of mine to the bill that I will offer a unanimous consent on tomorrow that relates to small business. When we look at how we grow our economy, we need to make things—by the way, a lot of those manufacturers are small businesses. When we think about the automobile industry, which I am so proud of in what they are doing in coming back, the majority of jobs in Michigan and across the country are actually with small and medium-size suppliers. We know small businesses are absolutely critical to the growth of this country. We know that a lot of folks who have lost their jobs right now are turning to the possibility of starting their own business in the garage or the extra bedroom in the basement. They are taking a great idea and trying to put it to work.

Mr. President, we have worked very hard—and you have been a strong supporter in helping our small business owners—and we have focused on that in

the last 2 years. We have passed, in fact, in the last 2 years 16 different tax cuts for small businesses to help them grow and create jobs—unfortunately, over constant filibusters on the other side, objections and filibusters, but we did pass them. We know that these companies are the backbone of our economy, and it is our commitment—my commitment—to keep fighting for them every single day, so that they can do well and hire people, and we can have more opportunity for people to work.

Unfortunately, there is a new reporting requirement from the IRS hanging over the heads of small business owners related to the filing of 1099 tax forms. It would require business owners to file paperwork with the IRS every time they purchase a product worth more than \$600. In practice, that means business owners will be forced to file mounds of paperwork for even the most mundane purchase. For example, if you are a real estate agent and you go to Best Buy for a new laptop or anyplace where you are buying one, you would have to file a 1099 form to buy that. If you are a farmer and you buy \$700 worth of seeds, there is a form to file with the IRS. If you are a photographer and you need to travel for a few days to cover an event, a few nights at a hotel could mean another IRS form to fill out when you get home.

So we understand. I want to fix that. The majority wants to address this for small business owners. It is critically important. Small businesses in Michigan want to be doing what it is that they do, not filling out extra forms. Realtors want to be showing houses, and farmers want to grow things, and photographers want to take pictures. They don't want to be filling out endless forms and paperwork for the IRS. We had a number of votes on this issue on the floor. They have always gotten overwhelming bipartisan majorities to fix this. Democrats and Republicans have both agreed that we can't force American small businesses to file reams of paperwork with the IRS. So I was very surprised when there have been objections to placing this as part of this bill. This tax bill in front of us is the perfect place to be able to address this issue once and for all.

I understand there were objections on the other side of the aisle to doing that, which I find surprising because we continue to see amendment after amendment to take out this provision, which I have supported. But when we try to fix it now, we are seeing objections.

I intend also tomorrow to offer an amendment that would eliminate this problem for small businesses once and for all. It is an amendment that I have filed to this bill. It is something that, based on overwhelming votes we have had, overwhelming bipartisan votes, we should be able to deal with very quickly. In fact, a simple unanimous consent

ought to be able to do it. If there is no objection—and I don't think there is any objection on our side of the aisle. I am sorry if there is an objection on the Republican side of the aisle to addressing this. There should not be, because now is the time to do that. This bill is the right place to do it. We are coming to the end of the year. This provision is something that will be very onerous when it takes effect on small businesses. We need to fix it. We need to eliminate that provision.

When I have the opportunity, when we have Republican colleagues joining us on the floor tomorrow, I will, in fact, offer a motion to move to my amendment and to get rid of this 1099 provision once and for all.

In conclusion, for me, as you know, everything is about jobs. My great State has lost more jobs—our people have lost more jobs than any other State, over 800,000 in the last 10 years. Our people have been hit harder, longer, and deeper than anyplace else in the country. We work hard. We are a proud people. Our people want to work. They know how to work. They are doing everything possible to get back to work—start their own business or get back to work in some other fashion. I am proud of what we are seeing happen with the support of the President and this Congress and the ability for the auto industry in America to come back. All three of our American companies will have a profit this year. It is the first time, I believe, since 1999. They are hiring people back.

We will begin to see things turn around. We have a long way to go because of so many jobs that we have lost and so many people who have gone through so much as a result of that. These provisions to take the paperwork off of small businesses, to invest in American-made products through manufacturing, are two provisions that will help us create jobs in America. If that is not our No. 1 priority, it sure ought to be. That is something I am going to continue to push every day.

Mr. President, I yield the floor and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. FRANKEN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. FRANKEN. Mr. President, I rise today to speak about my decision, after a lot of serious contemplation, to support the legislation before us. I believe that Minnesotans deserve a better deal than this one. But unfortunately, this is the one we have. For Minnesota's middle class, there is a lot in this bill that will not only be helpful but is absolutely critical. It stops their taxes from going up on January 1. It

provides a payroll tax holiday that gives families making \$50,000 a year a \$1,000 tax break.

For Minnesotans who are truly suffering right now—men, women, and children on the edge of economic disaster—it reinstates emergency Federal unemployment benefits that expired at the end of last month. Not restoring these benefits would be devastating to Minnesota families and to our economy, leading to a lot more pain for working families, a lot more homeless kids spending Christmas in a shelter or a car.

I came here to make people's lives better, and so I must vote to pass this legislation. But this was perhaps the hardest vote I have ever taken so far as a Senator. I wish to tell you why.

We have spent the better part of a year talking about the ballooning deficit. Republicans and Democrats agree—in every decision we make, every penny we spend, we need to keep in mind that it will be added to our national debt. At the same time, we need to be mindful of our fragile economic recovery. Are we spending it in the most efficient and responsible way possible—to get people back to work and get our economy back on track?

I was hoping to see a tax package that would reflect these priorities—mindful of the debt, helpful to our economic recovery, fair to the American people. But instead, this legislation spends billions of dollars on the wealthiest 2 percent of Americans. These are Americans who have prospered in recent years. According to the Economic Policy Institute, during the past 20 years, 56 percent of all income growth has gone to the top 1 percent of households.

Even more unbelievable, a third of all income growth went to just the top tenth of 1 percent. At the same time, middle-class families have done decidedly worse. When you adjust for inflation, the median household income declined over the last decade. During those years, while the rich were getting richer, the rest of working America was struggling to keep up. We have been growing apart in our Nation. We should be tackling this kind of inequality, not exacerbating it. And that is what I find so frustrating about this legislation. It keeps our country on the same path of widening inequality. We are securing tax cuts for millionaires and billionaires twice as long as we are maintaining Federal unemployment benefits.

This bill's estate tax provisions provide a windfall for the richest fraction of 1 percent of Americans. In these tough economic times and with these current deficits, we should be spending money only on those policies that will create the most jobs.

But, as I mentioned, despite the concerns I have about this bill, it undeniably provides essential help to Min-

nesota's families. This package reauthorizes emergency unemployment benefits through the end of next year. They are a vital lifeline for families in need and a vital lifeline for our economy. I meet people back in Minnesota who tell me they hate taking unemployment benefits but they would have lost their homes without them. Unemployment benefits yield \$2 in demand for every dollar spent, according to a new report from the Department of Labor. This is a very effective way to stimulate our economy and create jobs. The same holds for the payroll tax holiday that is included in this package. It is going to put real dollars in the hands of millions of Americans—dollars they are going to spend.

I strongly support extending the expanded earned-income tax credit, which helps about 6.5 million working parents. I am glad this legislation includes the American opportunity tax credit, making college tuition more affordable for 8 million students. This bill also extends the renewable energy grant program, the R&D tax credit, and the ethanol and biodiesel tax credits—all provisions I strongly support. Voting no on this legislation would be voting no on all of these vital programs.

The economists are in general agreement that this legislation will help the economy. Mark Zandi, on whose economic analysis I have frequently relied in the past, has encouraging projections. He sees this package adding a full percentage point to economic growth next year. He is especially optimistic about the new business investment deduction's potential for spending. In fact, he predicts unemployment will reach below 9 percent by the end of next year and will close in on 7.5 percent by the end of 2012. These figures are all significantly better than what we would expect without this legislation.

This isn't the bill I would have wanted. If there were a better way, I would do it in a heartbeat. But today we are forced to decide between taking a stand against irresponsible tax cuts for millionaires versus helping struggling families. Given that choice, I simply can't turn my back on all Minnesotans who desperately need the help this bill will provide.

Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak for up to 20 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. REID. Will my friend yield for a unanimous consent request?

Mr. HARKIN. Absolutely, I will yield.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that all postcloture time be considered expired when the Senate resumes consideration of the House message with respect to H.R. 4853 on Wednesday, December 15, except for the time provided for under this agreement; that the Senate resume the House message at 11 a.m. Wednesday and there be 1 hour remaining for debate divided as follows: 10 minutes each under the control of the majority and Republican leaders or their designees, Senators DEMINT, COBURN, LANDRIEU, and SANDERS; that the following be the only motions to suspend the rules in order during the duration of this agreement: Coburn motion to suspend with respect to amendment No. 4765, DeMint motion to suspend with respect to amendment No. 4804, and Sanders motion to suspend with respect to amendment No. 4809; that upon the use or yielding back of all time, the Senate then proceed to vote on disposition of the motions in the order listed; that upon disposition of the listed motions, no further motion or amendments be in order; further, that if any motion is successful, then the second-degree amendment be withdrawn and the Senate proceed to vote immediately on the amendment covered under any successful motion; that if no motion is successful, the second-degree amendment be withdrawn and, without further intervening action or debate, the Senate then proceed to vote on the Reid motion to concur in the House amendment to the Senate amendment to H.R. 4853 with the Reid-McConnell amendment No. 4753; that upon disposition of the House message, the Senate then proceed to a period of morning business until 2:15 p.m., with Senators permitted to speak for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, 2 weeks ago all 42 Republican Senators signed a letter threatening to filibuster any extension of tax cuts for middle-class Americans or any continuation of unemployment benefits unless and until the Senate agreed to extend tax cuts for the wealthiest Americans. As many have pointed out correctly, Republicans have been holding middle-class tax cuts and benefits for the unemployed hostage to an extension of tax cuts for the very rich.

Well, it appears the hostage-taking incident is nearly over. The hostages—the unemployed—will be released. The ransom will be paid. Wealthy Americans who make \$1 million or more a year will receive an average tax break of more than \$100,000. Indeed, in the course of negotiations to rescue the hostages, Republicans demanded and

got an even more royal ransom: they demanded and got a giveaway on estate taxes that will benefit only the wealthiest one-quarter of 1 percent of the U.S. population. The heirs of a single estate worth \$1 billion would save \$100 million thanks to the ransom demanded by the Republicans.

Mr. President, no question, champagne corks are popping on Wall Street, and at America's most exclusive country clubs and boardrooms, the superrich and their heirs, I am sure, are planning on throwing lavish Christmas and New Year's parties. Tiffany jewelers will no doubt be looking forward to selling a record number of \$29,800 watches. This is a picture of one. Giving those making over \$1 million a year more than \$100,000 in tax breaks—well, they can buy this wristwatch for \$29,800 advertised in the New York Times. This is made in Switzerland. Now, maybe if it was made in America, you could say: At least it was made in America. But it is not made here. This is what the very rich spend their extra \$100,000 on, things like this. It doesn't help our economy.

But what about the rest of America? What about those who don't shop at Tiffany's? Is this a good deal for the American people overall? Well, I have come to the conclusion that it is not. At a time when our annual deficit is close to \$1 trillion, much of it borrowed from China, at a time when the wealthy are already enjoying a huge surge in income even as middle-class incomes are stagnant, it is simply obscene to give another lavish tax cut to the top 2 percent.

Let me say what should be painfully obvious about this new bonanza for the rich: They do not need it and we can't afford it. They do not need it and we can't afford it. And it will not help the economy. In fact, in the longer term, I believe it will hurt our economy. These new tax breaks for the rich are terrible public policy.

Let me briefly mention just four reasons these tax cuts are harmful.

First, these new tax breaks will make income inequality even worse. In recent years, in the grip of the great recession, many millions of ordinary working Americans have lost their jobs, their homes, and their savings, but the wealthy have made out very, very well. Today, income inequality—inequality—in America is at an alltime high. The top 1 percent controls more wealth than the bottom 90 percent. At the same time, the bottom 90 percent holds 73 percent of all personal debt in this country. Eighty percent of all additional income earned between 1980 to 2005 has gone to the top 1 percent. Let me repeat. Eighty percent of all additional income earned from 1980 to 2005 has gone to the top 1 percent.

The gap grows wider.

In 2009, in the wake of the taxpayer bailout of Wall Street, Goldman Sachs

paid its employees an average of nearly \$600,000 per person. Executives at Goldman Sachs received bonuses totaling \$13 billion. So why in the world would this Congress vote to make this already extreme income inequality even worse? Why in the world would we vote to borrow tens of billions of dollars from China to make the rich even richer? This is foolish, and it is recklessly irresponsible.

The second reason why this is a bad agreement, again the distribution of these new tax cuts is radically skewed in favor of the wealthy. As I said earlier, those who earn \$1 million and above would, on average, receive an annual tax break of \$100,000. By contrast, an average American taxpayer earning \$26,000 would receive a tax break of \$670.

In 2007, the top 25 hedge fund managers in the United States took home an average income of \$892 million. Yes, you heard that right. Their individual annual income averaged nearly nine-tenths of \$1 billion per person. Under this agreement, each would get an income tax break worth perhaps as much as \$50 million.

Reason No. 3 why this is a bad agreement: The nearly \$900 billion in tax cuts in this agreement would crowd out necessary investments in priorities such as education, infrastructure, homeland security, health care, scientific research. In other words, we are eating our seed corn, borrowing money to pay for short-term tax cuts rather than for long-term investments that develop our human capital and our physical infrastructure for the future.

As a contrast, the United States right now invests about 2.4 percent of our GDP, our gross domestic product, in infrastructure. China invests almost four times our rate; 9 percent of their GDP annually goes to infrastructure. China invested \$186 billion just in rail in the last 3 years. Within 2 years, they will open 42 new high-speed rail lines with trains reaching speeds of 200 miles an hour. By 2020, China plans to add 26,000 additional miles of tracks for freight and travel as well as 230,000 miles of new or improved roads and 97 new airports.

What do we have? We are borrowing money from China in order to pay for short-term exigencies at the same time China is using its wealth to invest in infrastructure so they will be more competitive in the world economy in the future. We are going to try—mark my words—we are going to be coming up with some bills to invest in highways, a new highway bill. We have a new highway bill to come up. We are going to try to invest in new highways and probably invest in other kinds of infrastructure projects. I am sure the Senator from Colorado knows how many sewer and water projects in Colorado are going underfunded right now that need to be done. I have the same in the State of Iowa.

We think about high-speed rail. I saw a recent figure that said 60 percent of all the flights that originate out of O'Hare, in Chicago, go 300 miles or less. It is overcrowded. One day of bad weather such as the other day and there are thousands of airplanes backed up all over the country. Three hundred miles? That could be high-speed rail. Right now, to go from Chicago to Detroit by rail takes you almost all day. By high-speed rail you could do it in a couple or 3 hours. Maybe you wouldn't want to take an airplane. We should have high-speed rail from Boston to Miami, from Seattle to San Diego and hubs in the Midwest from Chicago going out to Kansas City, St. Louis and Des Moines and Omaha, Minneapolis, Cleveland, Cincinnati. We are not doing it.

We are borrowing money from China to pay for present exigencies, we are going into debt, and then when our bills come up to try to fund programs to build infrastructure, our Republican friends will say we can't afford it. We do not have the money. So it will crowd it out.

Why? So we can give some of the richest in our country another \$100,000? For people with \$1 billion estates getting an extra \$100 million so they can go out and buy those \$29,000 wrist watches or \$2,500 cashmere scarves or whatever it might be?

If our debt continues to grow at unsustainable rates, we are going to find ourselves, very soon, in the same position as Greece, Ireland, and Spain today. We will reach a tipping point, where international bond vigilantes place big bets against the United States, shorting our debt. This would radically drive up interest rates in the United States, forcing us to make even more draconian cuts in spending in everything from education to scientific research to health care.

This may suit the agenda of the rightwing, which would be delighted to see education programs and health care programs gutted, but it would be a disaster for ordinary working Americans and for our economy as a whole.

The fourth reason why this is a bad agreement: The nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office ranks tax cuts for the rich as dead last among the various options for boosting the economy and creating jobs. This is hardly surprising. As I said, the wealthy are the least likely to spend their new tax cuts. They can only buy so many \$29,800 wrist watches.

By contrast, virtually every dime of emergency unemployment benefits is spent on necessities such as food, rent, and transportation. Middle and lower income taxpayers are likely to spend most, if not all, of their modest tax cuts. This stimulates the economy, creates jobs, and has a positive multiplier effect across the economy. It is a cruel irony that under this agreement, bene-

fits for the unemployed are extended for 1 year while tax cuts for the rich are extended for 2 years and are now more likely to be extended far beyond that.

If we are going to borrow additional hundreds of billions of dollars from foreign creditors, mainly China, shouldn't we at least insist the money is spent in ways that benefit our economy in the long term? If we are going to borrow the money, let's build our infrastructure so our private sector 5 years, 10 years from now will be more efficient, will be able to compete more effectively in the world economy.

I might add, these infrastructure jobs put Americans to work. It is one of the best multiplier effects of our dollars. Why is that? Easy. When you build a new school, the work has to be done locally. You can't ship the work out to India. Think about it. Most of the materials that go into a school—the bricks, the mortar, the rebar, the wallboard, the sheetrock, most of the lighting, the conduits, the piping, switches, floor tiles, windows, doors—most of it is made in America. Most of that is made here. So when you spend \$1 on something like that, the work is done locally, it helps the local economy, plus all the materials—not all but most of the materials you buy are made in America. That dollar spins around.

You give someone an extra \$100,000 and they spend \$29,800 on a watch. I don't think that benefits many Americans. Maybe the jewelry store, maybe Tiffany's where you buy it, they are making some. But most of that goes out of the country. If you give extra money to people to buy a new flat-screen TV—yes, there is some benefit here to the retailer that sells it, maybe the shipper that brought it in, but the majority of it goes overseas.

That is why I say, if we are going to borrow money, put it into infrastructure. It provides a lot of jobs and provides a great multiplier effect in our economy, and you get something at the end of it that is going to benefit our kids and our grandkids.

I repeat, with this agreement, we are eating our seed corn. Instead of borrowing to invest in the future, we are borrowing to pay for consumption today. Within the next 2 years, these hundreds of billions of borrowed dollars will just go poof. They will be gone with nothing to show for it—not one new highway, not one new bridge, not one new school. Our economic competitors are not making these kinds of foolish choices.

As Fareed Zakaria pointed out in his column in the Washington Post on Monday, China has doubled its investment in education, rocketing to the very top in the most recent international rankings of educational achievement. As I said, the Chinese are investing hundreds of billions of dollars

to build the world's most advanced infrastructure.

According to Reuters, the Chinese Government is planning to spend 1.5 trillion over the next 5 years in seven targeted sectors—alternative energy, biotechnology, new generation information technology, high-end equipment manufacturing, advanced materials, alternative fuel cars, and energy-saving technologies. Do you know what this tax thing is going to cost us over the next 5 years? About \$1 trillion. What are we going to have to show for it? Nothing.

Instead of borrowing these billions, we should use them to rebuild and modernize our crumbling infrastructure. We should use that money to invest in things such as biomedical research, renewable energy, technologies of the future, and education of our young people to perform the high-end jobs that those sectors will create. This would put millions of Americans back to work and would have huge payoffs for future generations.

The needs are enormous. A recent report determined that the current need, just for improved school infrastructure, is more than \$250 billion nationwide.

EPA, the Environmental Protection Agency, estimates we need to invest more than \$200 billion in wastewater treatment and, as we all know, our interstate highways and many thousands of bridges are desperately in need of repair or replacement. It is simply shameful to continue to neglect these basic infrastructure investments, even as we borrow hundreds of billions of dollars to pay for new tax cuts and consumption.

The last thing, my fifth reason for not supporting this tax package, is the 2-percent cut in Social Security taxes for 1 year—2 percent. We go from 6.2 percent down to 4.2 percent. That might sound like a good deal, put some more money in people's pockets. But why are we taking it out of the Social Security trust fund? Mark my words, a year from now—1 year from now, assuming this bill passes—and I guess they have the votes for it—1 year from now when we come back and we are going to want to get that back up to 6.2 percent, our Republican friends are going to say that is a tax increase, a tax increase on hard-working Americans. You are going to go from 4.2 percent to 6.2 percent? People will be afraid: Oh, no, we can't raise those taxes. Thus, we will set in motion with this tax package a pressure to begin the dismantling of the Social Security trust fund.

We have not done this before. We should not be doing it now. It will come in. It will be 4.2 percent. Next year it goes back to 6.2 percent. We will be accused of raising taxes on hard-working Americans. Therefore, we need to extend it. As we extend it, that

means more money will come from general revenues—will have to come from general revenues to put into the Social Security trust fund.

OK. Let me repeat this. Right now every working American puts in 6.2 percent of their income into Social Security. That is a trust fund. Now they are going to take it from 6.2 percent down to 4.2 percent, and say: OK. You are going to keep in your pocket 2 percent for 1 year.

One year from now we come in: Oh, no, we can't go back to 6.2 percent. That is an increase in taxes on working Americans.

Okay. We will extend it. How are we going to make up for that 2-percent cut? We are supposed to make up for it with general revenues. How are we going to make it up with general revenues when we are going to be arguing that the debt is so high, the deficit is so big, we have got to cut spending? So we have got to cut spending, so therefore we cannot put the money from general revenues back into Social Security.

What is the answer? Raise the retirement age on Social Security. Cut back on the benefits on Social Security. Maybe cut down on disability benefits—all kinds of things to cut down on Social Security so we do not have to take money from general revenues to put back into the Social Security trust fund. Mark my word, it is coming. It is coming.

What is it no one is talking about? First of all, there is no deficit in the Social Security trust fund. The Social Security trust fund can continue to pay out 100 percent of benefits until about 2037—about 2037. Then it can only afford to pay 75 percent of benefits—not zero but 75 percent. What could fix that? One very simple thing. It is called equity. It is called fairness. It is called justice.

Right now, if you work and you make \$40,000 a year, you pay on every dollar you make at 6.2 percent into Social Security. If you make \$400,000 a year, you are only paying in 6.2 percent on 25 cents on the dollar. Why is that? Because Social Security payments are capped at \$106,800 a year. That means you pay 6.2 percent up to \$106,800. Over that you do not pay any more into Social Security. Think of how many people in this country make \$4 million a year. They pay on \$106,000, a fraction of what they make. It seems to me that fairness and equity would argue that if a working person who makes \$20,000 or \$40,000 or \$50,000 or \$60,000 or \$70,000 a year has to pay on every dollar into Social Security, why should not someone who is making \$400,000 or \$800,000 or \$1 million or \$4 million? Why should they not pay in? Raise that cap so that everybody pays that 6.2 percent on every dollar they make. You know what. Social Security will have no problems for the next 100 years. Well,

actually it is 75 years. For 75 years no problems. Why are we not talking about that? Why are we not talking? Why are we not debating and voting on whether we should raise the cap and then we will not have to take 2 percent out of the Social Security trust fund.

Mark my words, it is coming. It is coming. The pressure is going to be built to damage the Social Security benefits. It is going to start about a year from now. I cannot support the bill that is before us. It will exacerbate income inequality. It will give tax cuts we cannot afford and they do not need to the wealthiest people instead of investing that money in infrastructure in the future. It will begin a process of dismantling the Social Security trust fund. These are misplaced priorities, bad values. It is a misguided bill that will drive our Nation deeper into debt with too little to show for it in the long run. I might be for going into debt if you got something to show for it.

It is like when my wife and I got married and we bought a house. You go into debt. But I knew that if we worked hard and saved our money, we could pay off on that house and we would have something to show for it. We did not just borrow money so we could go to fancy restaurants and have nice meals or buy a very expensive car or buy nice clothes. No, put it in the house, because you know you are going to have something. The same way with infrastructure. At least if you are going to borrow money, have something to show for it in the end. So, again, it will drive us deeper into debt, too little to show for it in the long run. That is why I am going to have to vote against this package.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I strongly oppose the tax deal now before the Senate. While I support tax relief for working and middle-income Americans, I am not willing to add \$858 billion to the national debt in order to give enormous tax breaks to multimillionaires. One of the biggest mistakes in the last administration was to wage two wars without paying for them while cutting taxes for the wealthiest. We should not repeat that mistake by rubberstamping this agreement between President Obama and congressional Republicans for a wholesale extension and expansion of the Bush-era tax cuts for 2 more years.

I voted against the Bush-era tax cuts that were tilted heavily toward the very wealthiest Americans. I also voted against going to war in Iraq. Those may not have been the most popular votes at the time, but the relative few in the Senate who cast them were voting for a path that would have averted much of the economic turmoil that has roiled the economy, the budget and the lives of ordinary American families since then.

If we are truly committed to helping our economy recover from the Great Recession and to putting our country back on the glide path to fiscal responsibility, then we should not extend all

of the Bush-era tax cuts. These enormous tax cuts have led to record federal deficits, contributed to the government's current financial woes, and have not helped many Americans who face the greatest financial burdens. Assurances at the time to the contrary, the Bush tax cuts failed to "trickle down" to help those Americans most in need, while the wealthiest 2-percent of Americans benefited substantially.

As the Wall Street Journal reported on Friday, most of the wealthy beneficiaries of the Bush tax cuts have not plowed those dollars directly back into the economy to hire new workers or create new jobs. Rather than using their windfall to invest in our economy, corporations are building record cash reserves, and executive pay is through the roof once again. Why would anyone think that extending the tax cuts to the wealthiest will produce a different result now?

Sensible choices are necessary now to protect the public's interests and our national interests. Many of them will be far more difficult than this choice is. The responsible choice is to extend tax relief for the middle-income Americans who need it most, and not to renew tax cuts for the upper incomes of the wealthiest who have benefited the most for so long. If we maintain these unbalanced tax policies, our soaring federal debt will have devastating repercussions and shortchange many of the Nation's priorities.

I do think that Congress should provide directed tax relief that truly will help working families and that will improve our economy. For instance, I support extending such provisions in this package as the increase in the child tax credit, the elimination of the marriage penalty, and the 10-percent tax bracket. I also think we should retain many of the hiring incentives championed by President Obama that are providing needed assistance to Vermont small businesses looking to create job opportunities. These tax incentives have allowed Vermont companies to hire new workers and purchase new equipment for their business, thus creating demand for other new jobs to produce that equipment.

But now is not the time to extend tax breaks to the wealthiest Americans and to companies that are sending American jobs overseas. I am greatly concerned that if we maintain these policies, our soaring Federal debt will have devastating repercussions. We will become increasingly vulnerable to the foreign nationals who are collecting our debt. The ability to provide promised Social Security and Medicare benefits will be eroded. And our children and grandchildren will be left with an enormous debt that they cannot possibly afford.

I will support President Obama when he is right and oppose the President when he is wrong. I feel the President

is wrong to make this deal. I am first and foremost a Vermonter, and the citizens of Vermont elected me to uphold my Vermont values. In this case, I believe the deal on tax cuts is wrong for most Vermonters and wrong for our country. That is why I am voting against this bill.

Ms. MIKULSKI. Mr. President, after careful deliberation, I have decided to support the bipartisan tax agreement.

If Congress does not extend this agreement, taxes will rise for all middle class families. Unemployment insurance will end for millions of Americans—including 75,000 Marylanders. And important tax breaks for low and middle income workers will expire.

This agreement is not perfect. Yet, if Congress does not extend unemployment insurance and tax breaks for the middle class, the economy could slip backwards.

This is the only realizable option we have right now to create jobs, stabilize our economy, and ensure that there is a safety net for people who have lost their jobs.

This package maintains tax cuts for the middle class so that working families do not see their taxes rise in a difficult economy.

It will help 100 million middle class families by preventing a tax increase of over \$2,000 for the typical family from going into effect on January 1st.

It also prevents more than 21 million families from coming under the Alternative Minimum Tax.

This deal extends the Earned Income Tax Credit—providing \$800 million in tax relief for Maryland families.

It also extends the tuition tax credit which has helped 170,000 students in Maryland.

This plan will extend unemployment benefits through the end of 2011. It will help over 75,000 families in Maryland who have lost their jobs. It will help them put food on the table, pay their rent, and pay their energy bills. It will prevent them from losing their homes.

This money goes straight back into our economy while putting more money in the pockets of millions of Americans who are facing the worst job market in a quarter century. It gives them the time they need to get back on their feet, while we get the economy back on track.

For the Americans who have been hit the hardest by the economic downturn, this is insurance that they paid into and benefits that they have earned through their work. This is about people who have lost their job, who are actively looking for a job, who need a safety net to bridge them over until they can get a paycheck again.

I am concerned about the long term solvency of Social Security and about the cut in the Social Security payroll tax. The authors of this deal say there will be no impact on solvency of Social Security, and that the Social Security

trust fund will be reimbursed for the lost revenue from the payroll tax. Yet, this could have dangerous consequences on Social Security.

What if this cut in Social Security payroll taxes is extended beyond 2013? What if this is just the first step in the effort to cripple Social Security? What if this is just another step for those who want to cut Social Security, privatize Social Security, or use the Social Security trust fund to cut the deficit?

I fought against attempts to privatize Social Security under the Bush administration. I fought against fast-track proposals to cut Social Security under the guise of deficit reduction. And I will fight to make sure that temporary tax cuts are not turned into means to undermine Social Security funding.

This tax agreement takes risky steps that could threaten the long term solvency of Social Security. It also extends lavish tax breaks for those who need them least—the wealthiest 2 percent of Americans. It ignores the pay as you go rules that are essential to deficit reduction.

Yet, it helps the long term unemployed—who were facing losing everything they have during this holiday season—including hope. It also helps middle class workers, families sending their kids to college, and small businesses who create jobs.

So I will vote for this tax agreement. It is essential to helping families and our economy.

Mr. PRYOR. Mr. President, I rise to support the sense-of-the-Senate resolution offered by Senators MARK WARNER and SAXBY CHAMBLISS that our Nation's spending and debt levels are on an unsustainable course. In the short term, the economy needs the additional boost that this tax compromise will provide. In the long term, however, our nation must return to a sustainable fiscal path in order for our economy to work effectively.

The U.S. economy is still struggling to recover from the worst economic depression in eighty years. Unemployment remains stubbornly high at 9.8 percent, private sector job growth is anemic, and GDP growth is weak. The Federal budget deficit is projected to exceed \$1 trillion for the third year in a row, our national debt is \$13.8 trillion and rising, and our debt held by foreign countries already exceeds \$4 trillion.

The National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform has reported a credible proposal to significantly reduce the growth of the national debt and restore long-term fiscal responsibility. The commission's proposal received a favorable vote from 11 of the 18 commissioners.

I believe that a comprehensive plan to reduce the debt over the long term and restore fiscal responsibility must be developed next year. It is in our na-

tional interest to stabilize the public debt at less than 60 percent of GDP. Furthermore, comprehensive tax reform is necessary to make the tax code fairer, eliminate special interest tax breaks, and incentivize reinvestment in America. I will continue to work with my Senate colleagues to strengthen our economy and get us back on the path towards a balanced budget.

I yield the floor and I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. President, over the last few weeks, I have met and talked with many people across Ohio about our Nation's economic future—family and friends, constituents who are struggling to get by, and ministers and pastors who counsel them.

I have read letters and e-mails from Ohioans who need unemployment insurance to find a new job and to provide for their families. I have heard from concerned citizens who are willing to sacrifice for them. Ericka from Cleveland wrote me:

I make enough money to get by. I was ready for my taxes to go back up and I could have figured out a way to deal with it.

But I am terrified for folks losing their jobs or getting by on low to moderate incomes. I worry that if they lose their unemployment benefits or refundable tax credits that the stress will be too much for too many.

I am sickened at the idea of giving such incredibly wealthy people a tax break—I worry about the folks on the other end.

The debate of whether to extend Bush tax cuts has been revealing—about our policies and about the needs of people worried about putting food on the table.

My top priority is to ensure that middle-class households get tax relief, and that unemployed Ohioans can continue to pay their bills and provide for their families while they look for work. And it is my priority to ensure that people's lives are not used in a cynical, political calculation.

A lot of people are angry about this bill, and they should be. That is why I have filed amendments aimed at easing the financial burden on middle-class households, on small businesses, on seniors, and on American manufacturers. These are issues that deserve real debate, and America's middle class deserves real tax relief.

I am angry that Republican Senators insist on awarding bonus handouts to billionaires and millionaires. But I would be more angry if we let them continue to play games with people's livelihoods.

That is why it is with great reluctance that—even though I opposed the

cloture vote yesterday because I still hoped, maybe beyond hope, we could come to a real agreement that would work for the middle class, work for the unemployed, and work for our budget deficit; we clearly could not—it is with great reluctance that I vote in favor of this bill.

Too many working families—men, women, and children—are already suffering too much pain and anxiety. They need help now. But let's not forget how something happened this month in the U.S. Senate that we have never seen before, perhaps never in our Nation's history.

A political party, the minority party—all 42 of them, all 42 of the Senators in the minority party—threatened for all intents and purposes to stop working, unless the majority party agreed to cut taxes for America's wealthiest 315,000 people—315,000 out of 165 million taxpayers. That is less than one-fifth of 1 percent of all Federal taxpayers.

The minority party, the 42 minority party members—U.S. Senators—were saying: We will do nothing until you take care of the 315,000 out of 165 million, until you take care of the 315,000 wealthiest taxpayers in this Nation. Because nothing much happens anymore in the U.S. Senate without a supermajority of 60 votes, the minority party knew its threats to stop everything just might work.

Two weeks ago, all 42 Senate Republicans signed a letter to Majority Leader REID telling him they would block everything until the Senate passed tax cuts for millionaires, for deca-millionaires, and for billionaires.

It is ironic that a party that generally opposes a public employee's right to strike—as it did only last Wednesday—would effectively engage in a strike itself. This Republican work stoppage—since I guess Senators cannot actually go on strike—this Republican work stoppage means no tax cuts for the middle class unless millionaires get a larger tax cut.

It means leaving middle-class families and unemployed workers in the crosshairs unless deca-millionaires got a huge tax cut.

Their threat means that unemployment benefits for workers would end unless billionaire CEOs got their estate tax reduced.

It means we cannot provide the childcare or the earned-income tax credits for low-income working families who have earned this vital assistance.

It means blocking a cost-of-living increase for seniors on Social Security to help buy medicines, food, and shelter.

It means we cannot address national security concerns such as the New START treaty—something that national security experts and every living Secretary of State from both parties support.

It means we cannot do any of these things—provide for our Nation's health, economic health, and national security until we take care of the millionaires and billionaires first.

Tax cuts for the wealthiest 2 percent of our country—including, I should add, lots of U.S. Senators—come first, we are told. But what about the 86,000 Ohioan workers who saw their unemployment benefits run out 2 weeks ago? Or the 108,000 Ohioans expected to lose benefits at the end of this month?

Sorry. Get in line behind the millionaires who get \$90,000 in tax cuts.

How about the insurance agent in Zanesville, OH, who makes \$50,000 a year and is hoping for a tax cut of \$800 for a small downpayment to help a daughter at the community college of Zane State?

Too bad. Not until a deca-millionaire receives his tax cut of \$400,000.

What about a single mother with two children earning \$30,000 a year and hoping for an expanded childcare and earned-income tax credit?

Tough luck. Wait in line for the billionaire to get his tax cut of tens of millions of dollars.

What do they think this country is all about? That we cannot help people who have lost their jobs who are desperately looking for work? That we cannot extend a tax break to a sales clerk making \$27,000 a year who is raising two children until the millionaire gets his cash? That we cannot cut taxes for the broad middle class until the richest 1 percent of our country gets tens of thousands of dollars of tax cuts?

To hold middle-class Americans hostage—as all 42 Republican Senators have done—may be the most cynical political act I have ever seen.

In a recent Cleveland Plain Dealer article, Susan Harrell, a laid off bookkeeper, who does not like how tax cuts for the wealthy is a condition for maintaining unemployment benefits, said of the deal:

It's like extortion. Either you do what we say, or several million Americans will be living on the street.

Think about that. This is an unemployed, laid off bookkeeper. Like many people who write me—many of these people have worked all their lives—20, 30, 40 years. They are laid off. Susan writes: "It's like extortion. Either you do what we say"—give us our tax cuts for millionaires—"or several million Americans will be living on the street." What kind of country is this that such a cynical, cynical, cynical exercise would happen?

In the same article, Debbie Kline, coordinator of Cleveland Jobs for Justice, compares the choice as "weighing tax cuts [for the rich] and people not eating, living, and surviving."

Some say the Republicans are merely obstructing or gaming the Senate rules. But I am with Susan—legislative

extortion may be a more accurate description. Unfortunately, we may have to pay the ransom.

That means tax cuts for millionaires and billionaires in exchange for unemployment insurance and middle-class tax relief.

Let me tell you about some people who are caught in the middle.

Michael from Shelby County, in western Ohio, writes me:

I am an unemployed father of four and one of the tens of thousands of faceless Ohioans about to be cut off from unemployment insurance benefits.

It is obscene to think that a tax giveaway for the wealthy is gaining more traction politically than helping working class people survive.

As an unemployed worker I have no lobby or trade association backing. Instead, I have to worry about losing my house, my credit rating and any sense of being a beneficiary of the "American Dream".

What am I supposed to do?

Forty-two Republicans say: Sorry. Get in line. Wait until we give the tax cuts for the rich.

Stacie from Meigs County, down on the Ohio River:

I am a mother of three school-aged, honor students, one with moderate Cerebral Palsy. I have a Masters Degree in Education and teach in the public school system in the State of Ohio.

My husband has been unemployed for 18 months. During this time he has worked part-time and attended school full-time maintaining an excellent grade point average.

As a family we have worked very hard to adjust to our change in circumstance like many other Americans. However with the loss of \$60,000+ in income we had to file Chapter 13.

Now we are faced with not having any unemployment benefits. This will be a loss of another \$450 per week. We need to feed our family.

Please vote to extend the unemployment insurance for all unemployed workers who are trying to better themselves during this economic crisis.

What about the budget deficit which concerns all of us? Two weeks ago, when the deficit commission released its report, Members of both parties somberly explained that nothing mattered as much as the increasing debt that will burden our children and grandchildren.

A year from now, when Republicans will likely block extension of unemployment, we will remind them how their own \$128 billion for tax cuts for millionaires and billionaires over the next 3 years alone has already blown a hole in the budget. We will remind them what their tax cuts for millionaires and billionaires cost when they argue vociferously for cuts to education, for the privatization of Medicare and Social Security, and for scaling back health care. Let's not forget that congressional Republicans who were outspoken about the debt are the people most responsible for it.

Congressional Republicans have said that cutting taxes on the highest earners would pay for itself. It hasn't. The

Presiding Officer sat in the House of Representatives in those days when the huge Bush tax cuts for the wealthy in 2001 and 2003 passed. They always said they would pay for themselves. Not even close. We went from a surplus when President Clinton left office, the largest surplus in U.S. history, to massive deficits when President Bush left office, the largest deficits up to that point in American history.

Congressional Republicans, who were the most responsible for this economic situation, along with President Bush, said that the tax cuts for the wealthiest would grow the economy and create jobs. They haven't. Under President Bush, for 8 years, we lost 673,000 private sector jobs. We actually declined in the number of Americans working in the private sector during those 8 years. From 2001 to 2007, we had below average economic growth.

Republicans say that if millionaires have to pay the same tax they did before the Bush tax cuts; that is, during the Clinton years, then job creation will suffer. But it is a fact that during the Clinton years we created 22 million jobs in those 8 years—again, 21 million private sector jobs and 22 million overall. We created 21 million private sector jobs compared to private sector job loss during the Bush years.

Congressional Republicans voted for the Iraq war but have ignored its costs, charging it to our children and grandchildren. In 2003, Republicans voted to bail out the drug and insurance companies in the name of Medicare privatization and charged it to our children and grandchildren.

If the last decade is any indication, it is that trickle-down economics simply doesn't work. The last decade has shown it has failed history as an experiment.

Meanwhile, during the last 2 years alone, Democrats—usually without Republican support—have already passed \$500 billion in tax cuts through the ARRA, through the Small Business Act, through the HIRE Act. Our economic policies that are focused on the middle class are helping to create jobs and turn around our economy.

For the past 50 years, Republicans and Democrats alike have always acted to provide extended unemployment during tough economic times. That is because it is not only a moral obligation, but it is also an economic stimulus for our economy. The same goes for the childcare and the earned-income tax credits. They strengthen the middle class. They give people opportunity to join the middle class. They help the economy by injecting money into the economy.

So this debate really comes down to whose side are you on and whom are you fighting for. It is a choice between paying an extortionist's ransom—we are not going to do anything; we are going to do a work stoppage unless we

do tax cuts for the rich—it is a choice between paying an extortionist's ransom or letting the middle class continue to struggle. It is a choice forced upon us during a time when we simply can't afford to play politics with people's livelihoods.

I can't look an unemployed worker in the eye and tell him that our political principles stand in the way of their earned benefits. As much as I dislike what they did and how they did it, as much as I dislike these tax cuts that go overwhelmingly to the richest people, the estate tax cuts, the huge hole they are driving in the deficit, as much as I dislike that—and they are my principles I stand on—I just can't imagine saying to an unemployed worker: Sorry, as much as I want to help you, I just don't believe this is fair that we should do this. I can't look at a single mother making \$27,000 a year and say: The earned-income tax credit is not important, even though it is for you, and turn my back on them. The unemployed worker, the single parent making \$28,000 a year working two jobs should not have to end the holiday season and enter the new year worried because of politics and about how the arcane Senate procedure stood in the way.

I may not like the choice, but I have to stand with the Ohioans who may have to wait until midnight when their unemployment benefits are activated to buy necessities such as milk and bread. We have to stand with the mother and the father and the teacher and the nurse and the farmer who need middle-class tax relief to care for a child, afford a college education, or to build a small business.

It is with that in mind that I vote in favor of this bill—people such as Ericka and Susan and Debbie and Michael and Stacy who say: Enough is enough. Please help me. Because of them, I will continue to fight on their behalf.

I hope my Republican colleagues learn something from this. Legislative threats of a work stoppage and legislative blackmail may have helped their rich friends and may continue today, but in the end, it is bad for our economy, it is bad for the Senate, it is terrible for our country, and it is terrible for our future.

I yield the floor, and I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that Senator

VOINOVICH, my State's senior Senator, be recognized at 10:30 a.m. Wednesday, December 15, to bid farewell to the Senate for up to 20 minutes; further, that at 2:15 p.m. on Tuesday, December 21, Senator SPECTER be recognized to deliver his farewell to the Senate; provided further that on Wednesday, December 15, upon conclusion of the vote on proceeding to executive session, the Senate return to legislative session in order for Senator LINCOLN to say farewell to the Senate; that at the conclusion of her remarks and any of her colleagues, the Senate then resume executive session at the same status prior to Senator LINCOLN's recognition in legislative session.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TRIBUTES TO RETIRING SENATORS

JUDD GREGG

Mr. DURBIN. I want to join my colleagues in wishing our friend, Senator JUDD GREGG, the best of luck as he prepares for his new life beyond the U.S. Senate.

I don't think it is any exaggeration to say that, without the leadership and dogged insistence of JUDD GREGG and KENT CONRAD, there would have been no Presidential Deficit Commission.

As a member of that commission, I want to say to Senator GREGG: I will get you back for that one.

In all seriousness, serving on the Deficit Commission was not an easy assignment. But I believe the commission's work, and the way we went about our work, shows that Democrats and Republicans can still reason together and act together for the good of our nation. I thank Senator GREGG for helping to remind us of that important truth.

You might remember a few years back when Senator GREGG won about \$850,000 in a Powerball payoff. A bunch of us on our side of the aisle tried to convince him that he ought to do what all big lottery winners do: quit his job. He said no—there was more he wanted to do in the Senate.

JUDD and I served together in the House and the Senate and, as I said, on the Deficit Commission. We're one of the Odd Couples of Capitol Hill. He's Felix and I'm Oscar.

We haven't agreed on a whole lot. But we have been allies on some big, important fights. JUDD was an

indispensable leader in the effort to finally give the FDA the authority to regulate tobacco. His work on that bill will save thousands and thousands of lives in America and around the world and I am proud to have been his partner on it.

I understand that JUDD GREGG is the only person in New Hampshire history ever elected Senator, Congressman, Governor and Councilor. Little-known fact: I think he was also the model for the Old Man in the Mountain.

When JUDD claimed his Powerball winnings, he told reporters, "Even Senators get lucky sometime." I am lucky to have worked with JUDD GREGG in both the House and the Senate for almost 15 years. We have had some spirited differences—always will. But I respect him as an independent-minded conservative, a tough negotiator and a man who believes in public service.

I wish JUDD, Kathy and their family the very best in the next chapters of their lives.

JUDD GREGG

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. President, through three terms in this chamber, Senator JUDD GREGG has been a consistent, principled voice for responsible stewardship of the taxpayers' hard-earned money. Though he and I have not always agreed on the roles and responsibilities of government, that has not diminished my admiration for his principled stand on fiscal responsibility, a stand that has at times brought him into conflict with members from both parties.

Senator GREGG has been willing to cross party lines on important issues throughout his tenure in the Senate. At times that has been the result of his belief in limiting deficits, as when he opposed the creation of the Medicare drug benefit in 2003 without identifying ways to pay for it. But he also supported last year the nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court. He has voted to allow Food and Drug Administration regulation of tobacco. And he has voted to give U.S. workers a higher minimum wage.

Still, Senator GREGG will rightly be remembered primarily for his advocacy of prudent spending. He consistently has pressed for a detailed, bipartisan effort to address the Nation's troubling fiscal situation. The bill he worked on with Senator CONRAD ultimately became the model for the National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform. While the success of the commission is still unclear, it already is clear that Senator GREGG's efforts have helped move the discussion forward.

I congratulate Senator GREGG on his distinguished service, and I wish him the best of luck in wherever his new endeavors take him.

GEORGE VOINOVICH

Mr. President, aside from a few college football Saturdays each fall, Michigan and Ohio share much. Both

States are known for our manufacturing strength. And both are known for the central role the Great Lakes play in the daily lives of our citizens. And over his 12 years in this chamber, Senator GEORGE VOINOVICH has demonstrated that these shared interests are far more important than party labels.

I have had the pleasure of working alongside Senator VOINOVICH on these and other important issues. Since 2007, he has been my co-chair on the Great Lakes Task Force, and he has brought just as much dedication and commitment to that work as you would expect from an Ohioan, lover of our Lakes, and expert angler. Recently, the greatest issue facing the Lakes has been the threat of invasive species, and especially Asian carp, which could devastate Great Lakes ecosystems if they make their way up the Mississippi River valley. His strong advocacy for protecting the Lakes helped yield an important victory just last month with passage of the Asian Carp Prevention and Control Act, which added the big-head carp to the list of species prohibited from import into the United States.

Senator VOINOVICH was instrumental in helping ensure passage of the Great Lakes Compact, which protects the waters of the Great Lakes from diversion. He helped secure more than \$200 million in funding for cleanup of contaminated sediments under the Great Lakes Legacy Act. And he has been my valued partner in introducing the Great Lakes Ecosystem Protection Act, which would provide important tools and funding to address a wide range of environmental concerns on the Lakes. Senator VOINOVICH also recognizes the integral role the Lakes play in our States' economies, and so has been at the forefront of efforts to promote shipping and commerce on the Lakes.

In addition to his impressive legacy on Great Lakes issues, Senator VOINOVICH has been a thoughtful and effective advocate for our States' manufacturers. He and I co-chair the Senate Auto Caucus, and in this role, he has done much to ensure that the domestic auto industry, the backbone of American manufacturing, remains strong. He has been a powerful voice in the Senate for ensuring that U.S. manufacturers, including our auto industry, are able to fully participate in overseas markets, just as foreign companies are given access to our markets; his opposition to unfair aspects of a proposed free trade agreement with South Korea is just one recent example of that advocacy.

It is no surprise that in his work on these issues, Senator VOINOVICH has been motivated by the interests of his State and our nation, and not the desire to score partisan points. He has throughout his time in the Senate—

and before, when serving as a mayor and governor—demonstrated the ability to find areas of bipartisan agreement, even when he faced political pressure to walk the party line. To cite just a recent example: He was one of a handful of Republicans to cross party lines and vote for the Small Business Jobs and Credit Act, which provided important new tools to help small businesses grow and provide the jobs we need to get our economy going.

On these and other issues, I have had the opportunity to work closely with Senator VOINOVICH. I will miss him when we return in January. He has been a powerful and principled advocate for his State. The people of my State have benefitted greatly from his work on issues of common interest between Michigan and Ohio. And I have benefitted greatly from his wisdom, friendship and teamwork.

SAM BROWNBACK

Mr. CONRAD. Mr. President, I rise today to honor my colleague, Senator SAM BROWNBACK, who will be leaving the Senate at the end of this term. Senator BROWNBACK has served the people of Kansas for 16 years in the Congress, serving one term in the House of Representatives before being elected to the Senate in 1996. SAM is leaving the Senate to serve the people of Kansas as their Governor.

As rural, Midwestern States, Kansas and North Dakota have a lot in common, sharing many of the same challenges and opportunities. Agriculture is a key component of both States' economies. In fact, North Dakota and Kansas often vie for the position of top wheat producer. Senator BROWNBACK knows agriculture well, having been raised on a farm near Parker, KS, and serving as a State leader of Future Farmers of America in high school. Early in his career, SAM served as Kansas Secretary of Agriculture. These experiences prepared him for his work in the Senate, where he championed producing more energy from biofuels and opening overseas markets to American beef—two issues that are just as important to farmers and ranchers in North Dakota as they are to those in Kansas.

I worked closely with SAM on a program that helps ensure that individuals who live in rural areas have access to doctors. The Conrad State 30 program allows foreign doctors educated in the United States on J-1 visas to obtain a waiver of the requirement to return to their home country for 2 years if they agree to practice for 3 years in a medically underserved area of the country. This program has been vital for individuals in rural States like Kansas and North Dakota. Without it, residents of rural areas would have to travel long distances to visit physicians. I am proud to have Senator BROWNBACK's support of legislation to expand this program and make it permanent.

Senator BROWNBACK's concern for his fellowman does not stop at America's borders. Although he does not serve on the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, SAM has been very involved in international affairs, and has dedicated himself to causes that protect the most vulnerable. He was instrumental in passing the Trafficking Victims Protection Act, worked to bring peace to Darfur, and, most recently, fought to end the trade in conflict minerals from the Congo.

SAM is one of the most genuine Members of the Senate. He is willing to listen to opposing views and engage in respectful debate. This great body will miss Senator BROWNBACK's collegiality.

I thank Senator BROWNBACK for his service in the Senate and wish him the best as he continues to represent the people of Kansas as their Governor.

GEORGE VOINOVICH

Mrs. BOXER. Mr. President, I would like to ask my colleagues to join me today in recognizing the service of a fellow Senator, and friend, Senator GEORGE VOINOVICH. He has spent over four decades as a public servant and has been a tireless advocate for the State of Ohio.

Senator VOINOVICH started his career in public service in 1967 when he served as a Member of the Ohio House of Representatives. He then went on to serve as a county commissioner, the mayor of Cleveland, and as the Governor of Ohio. In 1998, he was elected to the U.S. Senate.

Having worked extensively in local government, Senator VOINOVICH understood first-hand the importance of Federal investment in infrastructure before he ever arrived in Washington.

Senator VOINOVICH has served with me on the Environment and Public Works Committee since the 106th Congress, 1999. Throughout his career, he has been a great advocate for transportation. As the ranking member of the Transportation and Infrastructure Subcommittee during the 111th Congress, he helped us ensure ongoing investment in Federal highway, transit and highway safety programs and the jobs that those investments create.

In hearings, Senator VOINOVICH has frequently highlighted the importance of investing in infrastructure at all levels of government and often called on his colleagues to support passage of a new long-term surface transportation authorization at increased funding levels.

He and his staff have contributed to the efforts of our committee to draft a new surface transportation authorization. Senator VOINOVICH's passion for these issues was influential among his colleagues on the Environment and Public Works Committee, and will help guide us as we move forward on new transportation legislation.

During his service on the Environment and Public Works Committee,

Senator VOINOVICH has also been an advocate for investing in America's water resources and protecting water quality. I was also pleased to join him as a cosponsor of the Clean Coastal Health and Public Health Act, which helps to ensure our Nation's beaches are safe for swimming and recreation.

I am also grateful for his partnership in supporting investment in America's ports, which are important economic drivers in both Ohio and California, and are vital to the national economy.

Senator VOINOVICH's service on the Environment and Public Works Committee will have a lasting impact both in the State of Ohio, and across the Nation. I want to extend my best wishes to Senator VOINOVICH and his family in his retirement, and express my gratitude for his many years of hard work and dedication to public service.

HONORING OUR ARMED FORCES

SERGEANT FIRST CLASS JAMES E. THODE

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, I rise today to recognize and pay tribute to SFC James E. Thode, who gave the ultimate sacrifice for his country. On December 2, 2010, he died of wounds suffered after an improvised explosive device detonated on a roadside in Sabari District, Khowst Province, Afghanistan. He was 45 years old.

Sergeant First Class Thode served with the Utah National Guard, 118th Engineer (Sapper) Company, 1457th Engineer Battalion, 204th Maneuver Enhancement Brigade, in Salt Lake City. His unit's mission was vitally important, as it provided route-clearance support to coalition forces. Identifying and clearing IEDs was part of their dangerous job.

Sergeant First Class Thode called Farmington, NM, his home and grew up in Tucson, AZ. However, boundaries are blurred in the Four Corners area and soldiers are drawn from the neighboring states. I am proud, and, indeed, Utah is proud to call Sergeant Thode one of our own. He was one of our finest.

This was to be Sergeant First Class Thode's third deployment overseas. In 1991, he served during the first gulf war, although he did not see combat. He never shied away from duty.

Like so many of the fine men and women serving in the Armed Forces today, Thode felt it was his duty to serve. He exemplified this attitude by not only serving his country abroad, but by serving on the Farmington Police Department for the last 14 years. In that time he worked as a training officer, a member of the SWAT team. He was well-respected. He was a great friend. He was a great leader. He had the qualities we would want in a police officer and protector of a community.

His decision to serve our country came at an early age. He was inspired by his uncle who served in the mili-

tary. As a young boy, he learned how to shoot a BB gun and later his father took him to the shooting range and taught him how to handle a rifle. He joined the military in 1984 and had served long enough to retire from military service by the time he went on his third deployment, this time to Afghanistan. His father told him he could have retired, but he insisted that the young men and women he served with needed someone to take them to war and help keep things together.

He was a father figure to many of his fellow soldiers. He was the glue that held people together. A warrior that led by example.

My thoughts and prayers go to his loving family. He leaves behind his devoted wife Carlotta; their 18-year-old daughter Ashley; their 8-year-old son Tommy; his mother Evelyn; father Ernest; and other family and friends. With his ultimate sacrifice, they are sacrificing, too. God bless them.

Mr. UDALL of New Mexico. Mr. President, in the more than 9 years that our military has been fighting in Afghanistan, thousands of brave men and women have volunteered their service to our country. They have sacrificed time with their families, travelled to foreign lands, and put their lives in jeopardy, all in the defense of the ideals we hold dear.

This month, New Mexico lost one of those brave soldiers. His name was James Thode. He was a 45-year-old married father of two who had served for 14 years as a police sergeant for the city of Farmington. He was in Afghanistan as a member of the Utah National Guard as a sergeant first class in the 118th Sapper Company.

Sergeant Thode was killed by a roadside bomb on December 2 when insurgents attacked his unit in Afghanistan's Khost province.

Too often, when we are faced with the loss of one of our brave men or women in uniform, the first thing that is talked about is how they died. A roadside bomb. A firefight with the enemy. Protecting a fellow soldier from harm.

That is important. But it is equally important that we remember how they lived.

That is what I would like to do today. I want to remember how Sergeant James Thode lived.

Those who served with Sergeant Thode saw him as a father figure to the younger soldiers. "The glue that held people together," said one.

He was "a humble person, soft spoken and had a way of connecting with everybody he met," said another.

A third soldier recalled that Thode had an opportunity for a command position with a different unit—but he, quote, "chose to stay with his men, knowing the risk."

When he deployed to Afghanistan in July, Sergeant Thode left behind his

wife Carlotta and their two children, 18-year-old daughter Ashley and 8-year-old son Tommy. It was his second deployment. His first was to Iraq in 2003.

Back at home, Thode had served as a member of the Farmington Police Department since 1996 as a field training officer, member of the SWAT Team, and eventually a member of the detective unit.

Sergeant Thode was well-known and well-respected within the close-knit Farmington community. As Farmington Police Chief Kyle Westall said upon learning of Thode's death, "The community lost a truly great man who will be missed by many."

Sergeant Thode lived a life to which we all should aspire—a life of service to family, community and country.

To Sergeant Thode's wife, children, parents, sister, and extended family and friends, my wife Jill and I offer our deepest sympathies for your loss, and our deepest thanks for your loved one's service to our country. You are forever in our hearts, and we are forever in your debt.

PORTEOUS IMPEACHMENT

Mr. LEVIN. Mr President, today we are involved in one of the most important functions of the U.S. Senate, and one of the most rare. Only 11 impeachment trials have been completed over the 221-year history of the Senate.

Article II of the U.S. Constitution gives the "sole Power to try all Impeachments" to the Senate, and we take this role very seriously. Judges may be impeached and, if convicted, removed for "Treason, Bribery, or other high Crimes and Misdemeanors." Neither the Constitution nor statute define "other high Crimes and Misdemeanors." So it is up to each one of us to determine what actions reach the level of impeachable offenses egregious enough to remove a Federal officer such as a district court judge.

It is important that the judges that we confirm to lifetime appointments have the utmost integrity. Anything less would undermine public confidence in the judicial system which has such a major impact on the lives of Americans. These votes are among the most important and difficult that we cast.

Today I will vote to convict Judge Porteous on the basis of articles I through III. Those articles allege that Judge Porteous engaged in corrupt behavior with a law firm, had significant financial ties to that firm, but failed to recuse himself in a case where that same law firm represented one of the parties, improperly and unethically solicited and received a financial gift from a lawyer while he had that lawyer's case under advisement, and solicited favors from a bail bondsman and the bail bondsman's sister while using the power and prestige of his office to provide assistance to them and their

business and made material false statements in conjunction with his personal bankruptcy filing.

I believe that Judge Porteous is guilty of the actions outlined in those three articles which prove and that he is unfit to serve as a U.S. district court judge.

I cannot, however, vote to convict Judge Porteous on the basis of article IV. Unlike the previous three articles that allege objective behavior to prove impeachable offenses, article IV is subjective: It requires us to determine Judge Porteous' state of mind—what he was thinking and how he felt about his past behavior. Article IV alleges that Judge Porteous "knowingly made material false statements about his past to both the United States Senate and to the Federal Bureau of Investigation in order to obtain the office of United States District Court Judge."

Specifically, article IV states that Judge Porteous was asked if there was anything in his personal life that could be used by someone to coerce or blackmail him, or if there was anything in his life that could cause an embarrassment to Judge Porteous or the President if publicly known. Judge Porteous answered "no" to those questions. During his background check, Judge Porteous told the Federal Bureau of Investigation on two separate occasions that he was not concealing any activity or conduct that could be used to influence, pressure, coerce, or compromise him in any way or that would impact negatively on his character, reputation, judgment, or discretion. Finally, Judge Porteous was asked whether any unfavorable information existed that could affect his nomination. Judge Porteous answered "no," to the best of his knowledge.

Did Judge Porteous believe those answers were true when he made them? I do not believe that we should impeach and convict a person based on his or her beliefs or his or her state of mind. If we did, we would be removing someone from office without evidence he was intentionally lying, not about an objective fact but about what he believed at the time of his statement. Beyond that, it is a statement about a subjective issue. Judge Porteous may have believed that none of his conduct, if known, would be embarrassing to the President, or that nothing in his past could be used to improperly influence him, even if the Senate disagrees with that belief. We should remove someone from office based on his conduct or on his objectively false material statements of fact, not on subjective statements about subjective judgments.

Assume that a candidate for the Federal bench in an answer to a question of the Judiciary Committee or Department of Justice said that nothing in his past would embarrass the President if known. After he is confirmed as a judge, he is involved in a messy divorce

and it is discovered that the judge had had a series of extramarital affairs in the few years before he answered the questionnaire that he knew of nothing in his past that would embarrass the President. Assume further that in the judgment of the House, that behavior does embarrass the President. Under the theory of article IV, the judge's answer would constitute an impeachable offense. Article IV creates a precedent that is too potentially dangerous for me to support.

To quote from page 60 of the Report of the Impeachment Trial Committee, "Professor Mackenzie also testified that while the compromise-or-coercion question is asked 'routinely' of 'virtually everybody who is interviewed,' he could not recall any candidate who had ever responded affirmatively to this question. Nor was he aware of any individual who has ever responded affirmatively to a question that asks the candidate to 'advise the Committee of any unfavorable information that may affect your nomination' or any nominee who had ever been prosecuted or removed from office for falsely answering such a question."

It is our solemn responsibility to protect the integrity of the Federal judiciary and the public trust in our judicial system. Today we will fulfill that role.

Mr. BINGAMAN. Mr. President, the Senate has found G. Thomas Porteous, Jr. guilty of the charges contained in four articles of impeachment and removed him from office as a Federal district judge. In addition, it has adopted a motion disqualifying Mr. Porteous from ever holding any office of honor, trust, or profit under the United States. Although I voted guilty on all four articles of impeachment, I voted against the motion to disqualify Mr. Porteous from future office. Although the Constitution clearly gives the Senate the power to disqualify a person from holding future federal office upon impeachment, I do not believe that sanction was justified in this case, viewed in light of previous judicial impeachments.

Under our Constitution, impeachment is a remedial measure, not a penal one. Its purpose is to not to punish wrongdoers, but to protect our government against official misconduct by removing corrupt officials from office. As Justice Story put it, impeachment "is not so much designed to punish an offender, as to secure the state against gross official misdemeanors."

The Framers of our Constitution borrowed the idea of impeachment from Great Britain. But in Britain, in the centuries before the adoption of our Constitution, impeachments were used to punish as well as to remove from office. Impeachment by the British Parliament could result in fines, imprisonment, and even death. The Framers of our Constitution wanted none of that.

They wove safeguards against legislative punishments throughout the Constitution, in the prohibitions against bills of attainder and *ex post facto* laws, in an independent judiciary, and in the due process clause of the fifth amendment. Most clearly, they spelled out their design in the impeachment clause itself, which states that “Judgment in Cases of Impeachment shall not extend further than to removal from Office, and disqualification to hold and enjoy any Office of honor, Trust or Profit under the United States.”

Although united in a single sentence, “removal from Office” and “disqualification to hold . . . Office” are separate and distinct remedies. They are treated as separate and distinct in our rules and in our precedents. Removal from office follows automatically upon conviction. It does not require a separate motion or vote. Disqualification from holding office in the future is discretionary. A separate motion and a vote on the motion are required.

Like removal, disqualification is remedial. It protects the integrity of our government by declaring persons found guilty of corrupt behavior unfit for Federal office. It is not unique to impeachment, but can be found in a number of federal statutes that disqualify persons convicted of certain crimes, typically involving official misconduct. As the Supreme Court has said, it is “a familiar legislative device,” and “Federal law has frequently and of old utilized” it. This is from *De Veau v. Braisted*, 363 U.S. 144, 158–159, 1960.

But disqualification also has a punitive dimension to it. In the same paragraph of his Commentaries on the Constitution in which Justice Story said that impeachment is not “designed to punish an offender,” he referred to “the punishment of disqualification.” The Supreme Court also said that “Disqualification from office may be punishment, as in cases of conviction upon impeachment.” This is from *Cummings v. Missouri*, 77 U.S. 277, 320, 1867—stating that disqualifications in Missouri’s Constitution “must be regarded as penalties—they constitute punishment”.

Because of the punitive aspect of disqualification and because the Senate’s decision to disqualify a person is not subject to judicial review, see *Nixon v. United States*, 506 U.S. 224, 1993, the Senate’s decision to impose disqualification is an especially grave one. The Senate has historically treated it as such. Out of the seven previous impeachment cases that resulted in a conviction since the Nation’s founding, the Senate has imposed disqualification in only two cases, one involving West Humphreys in 1862 and the other involving Robert Archbald in 1913. A motion was also made to disqualify Halsted Ritter following his conviction in 1936, but the Senate voted unanimously not to disqualify him. Thus, the Senate

has not imposed the grave sanction of disqualification for nearly a century, between the impeachment of Mr. Archbald in 1913 and that of Mr. Porteous this week. None of the three judges convicted and removed from office in recent times—Harry Claiborne in 1986, Alcee Hastings in 1989, or Walter Nixon, also in 1989—have been disqualified.

As Judge Sporkin said in connection with the impeachment of Judge Hastings, “impeachment must be invoked and carried out with solemn respect and scrupulous attention to fairness. Fairness and due process must be the watchword whenever a branch of the United States government conducts a trial, whether it be a criminal case, a civil case or a case of impeachment.” This is from *Hastings v. United States*, 802 F. Supp. 490, 492, D. D.C. 1992, vacated on other grounds, 988 F.2d 1280, D.C. Cir. 1993.

Fairness, I believe, requires proportionality. As the Supreme Court has often said, “it is a precept of justice that punishment for crime should be graduated and proportioned to offense.” This is from *Weems v. United States*, 217 U.S. 349, 367, 1910. There are two dimensions to proportionality. The first, rooted in Magna Carta, is that the punishment should fit the crime, and the harshness of the penalty should be proportionate to the gravity of the offense. Unquestionably, the impeachment charges upon which the Senate convicted Mr. Porteous are serious and, measured by the gravity of the offense alone, conviction on these charges might well warrant the sanction of disqualification.

But proportionality ought also to be measured against the punishments imposed on others impeached and convicted of comparable offenses. See *Graham v. Florida*, 130 S.Ct. 2011, 2040–2041, 2010, Chief Justice Roberts, concurring. Here, I think it is hard to justify disqualifying Mr. Porteous from holding future office when the Senate imposed no such disqualification on any of the other judges impeached and convicted for misconduct over the past 97 years. If there were considerations in this case that justify disproportionate punishment that were not present in the previous impeachments, they were not made clear at the trial.

As Chief Justice Roberts recently wrote, “the whole enterprise of proportionality review is premised on the ‘justified’ assumption that ‘courts are competent to judge the gravity of an offense, at least on a relative scale.’” This is from *Graham v. Florida*, 130 S. Ct. 2011, 2042, 2010, Chief Justice Roberts, concurring. Although the Senate sits as a “court of impeachment” to “try” impeachment cases, we are not sentencing judges and are not bound by judicial principles of proportionality. We possess what Alexander Hamilton described in *Federalist* No. 65 as the

“awful discretion . . . to doom” people “to infamy.” Our judgments are not subject to judicial review. But for this very reason, I believe that we should only impose the punishment of disqualification with what Judge Sporkin called “scrupulous attention to fairness,” and some reasonable sense of proportion relative to previous, comparable impeachments. I do not believe that disqualification was a proportionate punishment in this case, and for that reason, I voted against the motion to disqualify Mr. Porteous.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Mr. President, while serving on the impeachment trial committee, I heard evidence that convinced me that Judge Thomas Porteous had a long history of corrupt behavior, deceived this body during the pendency of his nomination to serve on the federal bench, failed to meet the ethical standards we expect of Federal judges, and should be removed from the bench. The Senate was right to convict him and to bar him from future Federal office.

In light of the precedents this body inevitably sets in deciding to remove a Federal judge from office, the Senate must be thoughtful about the implications of our decisions on future impeachments. In this case, I believe that is particularly true with respect to the issue of aggregation of the Articles of Impeachment. Although the outcome of this trial may not turn on that question, it is fairly raised here, and calls to mind the prospect that in the future, House impeachment managers might be tempted to package a disparate bill of complaints against a President or Supreme Court Justice into a single article—hoping that added together, the charges will attract the votes of enough Senators to convict. I believe we should mark in this proceeding our view that the House of Representatives must be scrupulous about properly crafting Articles of Impeachment in all future cases.

Senators who have served as prosecutors will know that, under the “duplication” doctrine, a prosecutor cannot join together two or more distinct offenses into a single count of a criminal indictment. Thus, a single count cannot charge a criminal with kidnapping and murder. Instead, each charge must be placed in a different count so that the jury can vote separately on each count of the indictment.

This prohibition against aggregated or duplicative counts in an indictment protects a defendant’s constitutional due process rights, including rights to fair notice and to a unanimous jury verdict. The First Circuit Court of Appeals has explained that this prohibition “arises primarily out of a concern that the jury may find a defendant guilty on a count without having reached a unanimous verdict on the commission of any particular offense.” The Third Circuit explained, in *United*

States v. Starks: "there is no way of knowing with a general verdict on two separate offenses joined in a single count whether the jury was unanimous with respect to either."

An impeachment trial is not a criminal proceeding. The charges against Judge Porteous are described in Articles of Impeachment, not counts in an indictment. The constitutional rules of criminal procedure do not bind this body sitting in an impeachment trial. Rather, the Senate works with the constitutional standard of "Treason, Bribery, or other high Crimes and Misdemeanors," the latter language of which does not define the specific elements of a removable offense. Because of numerous important differences between an article I Senate impeachment trial and an article III criminal trial, I think Articles of Impeachment need not be divided into distinctive counts to the full extent that a criminal indictment must.

Nonetheless, there are principles of fairness at the heart of the doctrine of duplicity that should be honored. Article I, section 3 of the Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of the present Members of this body to convict a defendant during an impeachment trial. This suggests that there should be in the Senate a minimum level of agreement on the offense—67 votes, to be exact of which the defendant is convicted.

It would strike me as suspect, for example, to convict a defendant of a single article that alleged that the defendant had committed treason and, 10 years later, had committed bribery. In that case, 30 Senators might believe he was guilty of bribery, and 40 Senators might believe he was guilty of treason. That would add up to 70 votes to convict even though 70 Senators believed he had not committed bribery, and 60 believed he had not committed treason. Surely that was not the Founders' intent.

Under another scenario, however, an article of impeachment might allege that a defendant, on one tax return, failed to disclose income from an investment, failed to disclose another investment entirely, and took a false deduction on yet a third investment, and then lied to IRS investigators during the following audit. I believe the Senate should be able to convict such a defendant for a single high crime or misdemeanor of willful tax evasion.

I understand the school of thought that the only procedural protection an impeachment defendant enjoys is the supermajority requirement of 67 votes, and that it acts as a catch-all: Whatever procedural concerns there might be are swept away if a two-thirds supermajority agrees. Under this view, the duplicity concern, or any other, simply doesn't matter. Sixty-seven votes solves that—and every other procedural problem. I am not comfortable with that view.

Instead, it is clear to me that there should come a point where an Article of Impeachment must be rejected for inappropriate aggregation of multiple offenses. That line falls in a different place in the impeachment context than it does in the criminal justice context, but exactly where it falls and how to define it is no easy question.

Each Senator must arrive at his own standard for what conduct may be aggregated within a single article. However, as a general rule, I would suggest that the distinction between an unacceptably aggregated Article of Impeachment and an imperfectly drafted, yet ultimately acceptable, article turns on whether, at bottom, the article is alleging a single core offense. And I believe the appropriate remedy when a Senator concludes that an article is improperly aggregated is for the Senator to vote "not guilty" on that article.

I voted against the defense's motion to dismiss the articles on the basis that they improperly aggregated multiple factual charges that belong in separate articles, and its incorporated request that the Senate carve up the Articles of Impeachment brought by the House into small pieces for the purposes of voting. I don't think that is our role. The House chose to draft the articles as it did, and the Senate—in the role of adjudicator—should not be in the business of rewriting the prosecutor's charging sheet. The House was entitled to an up-or-down vote on each article, not on only portions of each article. It sets a bad precedent to put the Senate in the position of drafting or altering the charging document on which it must vote.

In contrast, I voted against the second Article of Impeachment. It alleges multiple separate and distinct offenses, united by a common thread: the judge's "corrupt relationship" with the Marcottes, which spanned over 20 years. The aggregation of multiple distinct offenses within the article, tied by only a "relationship," creates significant uncertainty about what the Senate is voting on. Some Senators might find Judge Porteous guilty on allegations of corrupt bond-setting. Others might believe that the Judge did not set bonds improperly, but acted corruptly in expunging the sentences of employees of the Marcottes. Still other Senators might believe that this pre-Federal conduct was not proven, but that the Judge should be convicted based on a series of lunches he participated in as a federal judge, or setting the Marcottes up with a successor judge. Put simply, this body could conceivably find Judge Porteous guilty of article II without agreeing which of multiple separate offenses linked by a long-term relationship was the ground for the conviction. The aggregation of charges in this article falls too close to the line for me, and so I voted "not guilty" on article II.

The remaining articles raised no comparable concerns, so I have voted to convict on each.

The first article of impeachment alleges that Judge Porteous improperly denied the recusal motion in the Lifemark case; in the course of doing so, failed to disclose his relationships with attorneys practicing before him; failed to disclose that he had improperly solicited and accepted thousands of dollars from those attorneys while the case was under advisement; and ultimately resolved the case in a manner suggesting that his decision was affected by his financial and personal relationship with the attorneys. Fundamentally, these allegations can be considered together to constitute a single impeachable offense of corruptly handling a single case; indeed, at its heart, a single motion to recuse. I believe that the House proved these allegations, and so voted to find Judge Porteous guilty on this article.

Article III makes several allegations related to Judge Porteous's bankruptcy. But these can be grouped together under the single rubric of bankruptcy fraud related to a single filing: the false name, failure to disclose assets, and assumption of unlawful debt were all part of a single scheme to defraud the creditors in his own bankruptcy proceeding. I am comfortable that the House proved these claims, and so voted to convict Judge Porteous on article III.

Similarly, although article IV alleges that the Judge failed to disclose various types of conduct at various stages of the confirmation process, this conduct is fairly characterized as establishing a single high crime or misdemeanor of knowingly making material false statements in order to secure Senate confirmation. All of these allegations relate to a single confirmation, and the preparation of a single confirmation package for Senate review. Again, I believe that evidence supports these allegations and that Judge Porteous should be convicted of article IV.

Having voted to convict on these three Articles of Impeachment, I voted to bar Judge Porteous from future federal office.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

TRIBUTE TO CLARION FELCHLE

• Mr. JOHNSON. Mr. President, today I recognize the public service career of Clarion "Clem" Felchle, who will retire from the U.S. Postal Service on January 3, 2011, after 36 years of Federal service.

Clem's career with the U.S. Postal Service began as a distribution clerk in Grand Forks, ND, followed by tour supervisor of mails in Bismarck, ND; superintendent of postal operations in St.

Cloud, MN; director of city operations, director of mail processing and manager of processing & distribution, Fargo, ND; postmaster, processing & distribution manager in Sioux Falls, SD; bulk mail center manager, Kansas City, KS, with his final assignment as Dakotas district manager in Sioux Falls. He received PCES Superior Achievement awards in 2006 and 2007, as well as the "Above and Beyond" award given by the National Employer Support for the Guard and Reserve for his strong support of our Nation's military.

Clem has witnessed numerous changes within the Postal Service during his career. He provided dedicated and tireless service and contributed greatly to the betterment of the organization. Throughout his service, he has always been committed to those tried and true missions and mottos of the Postal Service: "To provide postal services to bind the nation together through the personal, educational, literary and business correspondence of the people. It shall provide prompt, reliable and efficient services to patrons and render services to all communities" and "Neither snow nor rain nor heat nor gloom of night stays these couriers from the swift completion of their appointed rounds." As Dakotas district manager, Clem has helped guide postal customers and postal employees through various challenges. Technological advances have put the emphasis of some postal duties on machines rather than manpower and many small community post offices have been forced to close or reduce services.

Clem has approached these challenges and changing times with the utmost level of professionalism, dedication and a sense of humor. I have appreciated the level of response from Clem and his staff over the years and I commend him for his great public service career. I wish Clem all the best in his retirement and again thank him for his dedicated service to the U.S. Postal Service. ●

TRIBUTE TO GREG HARMON

● Mr. JOHNSON. Mr. President, today I recognize a public servant from my home State of South Dakota. Greg Harmon is retiring from the National Weather Service, NWS, after 37 years of Federal service, including the last 20 years as the meteorologist in charge of the National Weather Service in Sioux Falls, SD.

During his many years at the National Weather Service, Greg always displayed a steadfast awareness of the effect the weather has on everyone. Greg began his career as a summer intern with the NWS in Eugene, OR, before becoming the fire weather program manager for the western region in Salt Lake, UT.

During his many years at the National Weather Service, Greg always displayed a steadfast awareness of the impact of weather on the citizens of South Dakota. Greg and his staff have utilized their collective expertise to educate and inform South Dakotans on the general aspects of the weather but have also provided expert guidance in times of extreme weather events, from tornadoes and hail to floods and blizzards.

As an example of Greg's work and leadership, I recall the events of May 30, 1998, when a violent tornado struck the small town of Spencer, SD. The event killed six residents and almost destroyed the entire community. Just before the tornado hit Spencer, the warning siren was silenced when electrical power to the community was cut off. Following the tornado, I initiated efforts at the Federal level to fund a weather radio network to cover much of South Dakota's population and geography. The NOAA weather radio can be the most effective warning system, but at the time of the Spencer event only a few larger communities had the system. Greg became my partner in helping to educate the general public on the importance of the weather radio and in helping to expand the communications system so that most of the State could receive the weather radio signal.

During his years of public service, Greg has witnessed many changes in the development of weather observation and climate forecasts. His skills, professional attitude and dedication to his work has been a shining example to our community and our state. It is my hope that Greg leaves the National Weather Service post knowing he greatly impacted the lives of many people by the protection of life and property during adverse weather conditions.

I wish Greg all the best in his retirement. ●

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED

At 2:14 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mr. Novotny, announced that the Speaker has signed the following enrolled bills:

S. 3817. An act to amend the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act, the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act, the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment and Adoption Reform Act of 1978, and the Abandoned Infants Assistance Act of 1988 to reauthorize the Acts, and for other purposes.

H.R. 5591. An act to designate the airport traffic control tower located at Spokane International Airport in Spokane, Washington, as the "Ray Daves Airport Traffic Control Tower".

The enrolled bills were subsequently signed by the President pro tempore (Mr. INOUE).

At 5:01 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by

Mrs. Cole, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bills, without amendment:

S. 1275. An act to establish a National Foundation on Physical Fitness and Sports to carry out activities to support and supplement the mission of the President's Council on Physical Fitness and Sports.

S. 1448. An act to amend the Act of August 9, 1955, to authorize the Coquille Indian Tribe, the Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians, the Confederated Tribes of the coos, Lower Umpqua, and Siuslaw, the Klamath Tribes, and the Burns Paiute Tribe to obtain 99-year lease authority for trust land.

S. 1609. An act to authorize a single fisheries cooperative for the Bering Sea Aleutian Islands longline catcher processor subsector, and for other purposes.

S. 2906. An act to amend the Act of August 9, 1955, to modify a provision relating to leases involving certain Indian tribes.

S. 3794. An act to amend chapter 5 of title 40, United States Code, to include organizations whose membership comprises substantially veterans as recipient organizations for the donation of Federal surplus personal property through State agencies.

S. 3984. An act to amend and extend the Museum and Library Services Act, and for other purposes.

The message also announced that the House agrees to the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 1061) to transfer certain land to the United States to be held in trust for the Hoh Indian Tribe, to place land into trust for the Hoh Indian Tribe, and for other purposes.

At 5:59 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mrs. Cole, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bill, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 6516. An act to make technical corrections to provisions of law enacted by the Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2010.

At 7:02 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mrs. Cole, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bill, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 6510. An act to direct the Administrator of General Services to convey a parcel of real property in Houston, Texas, to the Military Museum of Texas, and for other purposes.

MEASURES REFERRED

The following bill was read the first and the second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

H.R. 6510. An act to direct the Administrator of General Services to convey a parcel of real property in Houston, Texas, to the Military Museum of Texas, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

MEASURES DISCHARGED

The following joint resolution was discharged pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 2159, and placed on the calendar:

S.J. Res. 34. Joint resolution relating to the approval of the proposed agreement for nuclear cooperation between the United States and the Russian Federation.

ENROLLED BILL PRESENTED

The Secretary of the Senate reported that on today, December 14, 2010, she had presented to the President of the United States the following enrolled bill:

S. 3817. An act to amend the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act, the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act, the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment and Adoption Reform Act of 1978, and the Abandoned Infants Assistance Act of 1988 to reauthorize the Acts, and for other purposes.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

The following reports of committees were submitted:

By Mr. LIEBERMAN, from the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute:

S. 674. A bill to amend chapter 41 of title 5, United States Code, to provide for the establishment and authorization of funding for certain training programs for supervisors of Federal employees (Rept. No. 111—364).

S. 3335. A bill to require Congress to establish a unified and searchable database on a public website for congressional earmarks as called for by the President in his 2010 State of the Union Address to Congress (Rept. No. 111—365).

By Mrs. BOXER, from the Committee on Environment and Public Works, without amendment:

H.R. 4973. A bill to amend the Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 to reauthorize volunteer programs and community partnerships for national wildlife refuges, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 111—366).

H.R. 5282. A bill to provide funds to the Army Corps of Engineers to hire veterans and members of the Armed Forces to assist the Corps with curation and historic preservation activities, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 111—367).

By Mr. ROCKEFELLER, from the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute:

S. 1078. A bill to authorize a comprehensive national cooperative geospatial imagery mapping program through the United States Geological Survey, to promote use of the program for education, workforce training and development, and applied research, and to support Federal, State, tribal, and local government programs.

By Mr. DODD, from the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, with amendments:

S. 1481. A bill to amend section 811 of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act to improve the program under such section for supportive housing for persons with disabilities.

By Mr. ROCKEFELLER, from the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute:

S. 3490. A bill to clarify the rights and responsibilities of Federal entities in the spectrum relocation process, and for other purposes.

S. 3614. A bill to authorize the establishment of a Maritime Center of Expertise for

Maritime Oil Spill and Hazardous Substance Release Response, and for other purposes.

EXECUTIVE REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

The following executive reports of nominations were submitted:

By Mr. DODD for the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

*Joseph A. Smith, Jr., of North Carolina, to be Director of the Federal Housing Finance Agency for a term of five years.

By Mr. KERRY for the Committee on Foreign Relations.

*Larry Leon Palmer, of Georgia, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Minister-Counselor, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela.

Nominee: Larry Leon Palmer.

Post: Venezuela.

(The following is a list of all members of my immediate family and their spouses. I have asked each of these persons to inform me of the pertinent contributions made by them. To the best of my knowledge, the information contained in this report is complete and accurate.)

Contributions, amount, date, and donee:

1. Self: Larry L. Palmer, none.
2. Spouse: Lucille Palmer, none.
3. Children and Spouses: Vincent Palmer, none.
4. Parents: Rev. R.V. Palmer, Sr., deceased; Mrs. Gladys Palmer, deceased.
5. Grandparents: Augustus Young, deceased; Litha Young, deceased.
6. Brothers and Spouses: R.V. Palmer, II, none; Theresa Palmer, none; Charles Palmer, none; Mollie Palmer, none.
7. Sisters and Spouses: Miriam L. Golphin, none; Lewis Golphin, deceased; Seygbo M. Palmer, none.

*Kevin Glenn Nealer, of Maryland, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the Overseas Private Investment Corporation for a term expiring December 17, 2011.

*Carol Fulp, of Massachusetts, to be a Representative of the United States of America to the Sixty-fifth Session of the General Assembly of the United Nations.

*Jeanne Shaheen, of New Hampshire, to be a Representative of the United States of America to the Sixty-fifth Session of the General Assembly of the United Nations.

*Roger F. Wicker, of Mississippi, to be a Representative of the United States of America to the Sixty-fifth Session of the General Assembly of the United Nations.

*Gregory J. Nickels, of Washington, to be an Alternate Representative of the United States of America to the Sixty-fifth Session of the General Assembly of the United Nations.

*William R. Brownfield, of Texas, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Career Minister, to be an Assistant Secretary of State (International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs).

*Paige Eve Alexander, of Georgia, to be an Assistant Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development.

*Mark Green, of Wisconsin, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the Millennium Challenge Corporation for a term of three years.

*Thomas R. Nides, of the District of Columbia, to be Deputy Secretary of State for Management and Resources.

*Alan J. Patricof, of New York, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the Millennium Challenge Corporation for a term of two years.

Mr. KERRY. Mr. President, for the Committee on Foreign Relations I report favorably the following nomination lists which were printed in the RECORD on the dates indicated, and ask unanimous consent, to save the expense of reprinting on the Executive Calendar that these nominations lie at the Secretary's desk for the information of Senators.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

*Foreign Service nominations beginning with Connor Cherer and ending with Bernadette Regina Zielinski, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record on July 21, 2010.

*Foreign Service nominations beginning with Heather M. Rogers and ending with Stephanie L. Woodard, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record on September 23, 2010.

*Foreign Service nominations beginning with Joseph Farinella and ending with Joseph C. Williams, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record on September 23, 2010.

*Foreign Service nominations beginning with Patricia A. Butenis and ending with Keith A. Swinehart, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record on September 29, 2010.

*Foreign Service nominations beginning with Louis John Fintor and ending with Thomas F. Gray, Jr., which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record on November 17, 2010.

*Foreign Service nominations beginning with Alan Hallman and ending with Richard G. Simpson, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record on November 17, 2010.

*Foreign Service nominations beginning with Lloyd S. Harbert and ending with Daryl A. Brehm, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record on November 17, 2010.

*Foreign Service nominations beginning with James Franklin Jeffrey and ending with Earl A. Wayne, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record on November 18, 2010.

By Mr. LEAHY for the Committee on the Judiciary.

Patti B. Saris, of Massachusetts, to be Chair of the United States Sentencing Commission.

*Nomination was reported with recommendation that it be confirmed subject to the nominee's commitment to respond to requests to appear and testify before any duly constituted committee of the Senate.

(Nominations without an asterisk were reported with the recommendation that they be confirmed.)

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mr. CASEY:

S. 4025. A bill to require contractors to notify small business concerns that have been included in offers relating to contractors let by Federal agencies, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship.

By Mr. CASEY:

S. 4026. A bill to establish in the Department of Commerce the Minority Business Development Program to provide qualified minority businesses with technical assistance and contracting opportunities, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND SENATE RESOLUTIONS

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Mr. BROWN of Ohio:

S. Res. 701. A resolution congratulating the University of Akron men's soccer team on winning the National Collegiate Athletic Association Division I Men's Soccer Championship; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 599

At the request of Mr. CARPER, the name of the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. CASEY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 599, a bill to amend chapter 81 of title 5, United States Code, to create a presumption that a disability or death of a Federal employee in fire protection activities caused by any certain diseases is the result of the performance of such employee's duty.

S. 902

At the request of Mr. KERRY, the name of the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. JOHNSON) was added as a cosponsor of S. 902, a bill to provide grants to establish veteran's treatment courts.

S. 941

At the request of Mr. CRAPO, the name of the Senator from Nebraska (Mr. NELSON) was added as a cosponsor of S. 941, a bill to reform the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives, modernize firearm laws and regulations, protect the community from criminals, and for other purposes.

S. 1039

At the request of Mr. KERRY, the name of the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. CASEY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1039, a bill to provide grants for the renovation, modernization or construction of law enforcement facilities.

S. 2919

At the request of Mr. UDALL of Colorado, the name of the Senator from Hawaii (Mr. INOUE) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2919, a bill to amend the Federal Credit Union Act to advance the ability of credit unions to promote small business growth and economic development opportunities, and for other purposes.

S. 3694

At the request of Ms. CANTWELL, the name of the Senator from Ohio (Mr. BROWN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3694, a bill to prohibit the conducting of invasive research on great apes, and for other purposes.

S. 3737

At the request of Mr. ENZI, the name of the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. CONRAD) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3737, a bill to amend the Public Health Service Act and title XVIII of the Social Security Act to make the provision of technical services for medical imaging examinations and radiation therapy treatments safer, more accurate, and less costly.

S. 3739

At the request of Mr. CASEY, the name of the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. WHITEHOUSE) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3739, a bill to amend the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act to include bullying and harassment prevention programs.

S. 3756

At the request of Mr. ROCKEFELLER, the name of the Senator from Maryland (Mr. CARDIN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3756, a bill to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to provide public safety providers an additional 10 megahertz of spectrum to support a national, interoperable wireless broadband network and authorize the Federal Communications Commission to hold incentive auctions to provide funding to support such a network, and for other purposes.

S. 3973

At the request of Mr. VOINOVICH, the names of the Senator from Virginia (Mr. WEBB) and the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. MENENDEZ) were added as cosponsors of S. 3973, a bill to amend the Energy Policy Act of 2005 to reauthorize and modify provisions relating to the diesel emissions reduction program.

S. 4023

At the request of Mr. LIEBERMAN, the names of the Senator from Indiana (Mr. BAYH), the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. REED) and the Senator from Montana (Mr. TESTER) were added as cosponsors of S. 4023, a bill to provide for the repeal of the Department of Defense policy concerning homosexuality in the Armed Forces known as "Don't Ask, Don't Tell".

S. CON. RES. 63

At the request of Mr. JOHNSON, the name of the Senator from Idaho (Mr. CRAPO) was added as a cosponsor of S. Con. Res. 63, a concurrent resolution expressing the sense of Congress that Taiwan should be accorded observer status in the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO).

AMENDMENT NO. 4759

At the request of Mr. WICKER, the names of the Senator from Mississippi (Mr. COCHRAN), the Senator from Lou-

isiana (Ms. LANDRIEU) and the Senator from Florida (Mr. NELSON) were added as cosponsors of amendment No. 4759 intended to be proposed to H. R. 4853, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 4773

At the request of Ms. STABENOW, the name of the Senator from Indiana (Mr. BAYH) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 4773 intended to be proposed to H.R. 4853, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 4774

At the request of Ms. STABENOW, the name of the Senator from Oregon (Mr. MERKLEY) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 4774 intended to be proposed to H.R. 4853, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 4781

At the request of Mrs. MURRAY, the name of the Senator from Oregon (Mr. MERKLEY) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 4781 intended to be proposed to H.R. 4853, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 4786

At the request of Mr. WYDEN, the name of the Senator from California (Mrs. FEINSTEIN) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 4786 intended to be proposed to H.R. 4853, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 4788

At the request of Mr. NELSON of Florida, the names of the Senator from Oregon (Mr. MERKLEY), the Senator from Maine (Ms. COLLINS) and the Senator from Michigan (Ms. STABENOW) were added as cosponsors of amendment No. 4788 intended to be proposed to H. R. 4853, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend

title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 4790

At the request of Mrs. FEINSTEIN, the name of the Senator from Virginia (Mr. WEBB) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 4790 intended to be proposed to H.R. 4853, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 4791

At the request of Mrs. FEINSTEIN, the name of the Senator from Virginia (Mr. WEBB) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 4791 intended to be proposed to H.R. 4853, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 4792

At the request of Mrs. FEINSTEIN, the name of the Senator from Virginia (Mr. WEBB) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 4792 intended to be proposed to H.R. 4853, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 701—CONGRATULATING THE UNIVERSITY OF AKRON MEN'S SOCCER TEAM ON WINNING THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION DIVISION I MEN'S SOCCER CHAMPIONSHIP

Mr. BROWN of Ohio submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary:

S. RES. 701

Whereas on December 12, 2010, the University of Akron men's soccer team, known as the Zips, won the National Collegiate Athletic Association College Cup in Santa Barbara, California and became the first team to win a national title in the history of the University of Akron;

Whereas, with the victory over the previously undefeated and top-ranked University of Louisville Cardinals, the 2010 University of Akron men's soccer team finished its historic championship season with a record of 22 wins, 1 loss, and 2 draws;

Whereas the 2010 University of Akron men's soccer team has become a symbol of pride and success to the University of Akron and the communities in Northeast Ohio surrounding the University of Akron;

Whereas the athletic program of the University of Akron encourages student-athletes to compete on the field, complete degrees in the classroom, and become contributing members of society;

Whereas, each year, University of Akron student-athletes and coaches participate in community service activities;

Whereas the head coach of the University of Akron men's soccer team, Caleb Porter, has won 1 national title and taken the men's soccer team to the national championship game in 2009 and 2010;

Whereas associate head coach Jared Embick, assistant coach Oliver Slawson, and volunteer assistant coach Liam Curran played an important role in coaching the University of Akron men's soccer team;

Whereas midfielder Scott Caldwell was named the most outstanding offensive player of the College Cup;

Whereas defender Kofi Sarkodie was named the most outstanding defensive player of the College Cup;

Whereas forward and midfielder Darlington Nagbe is a finalist for the Hermann Trophy, which is awarded to the best men's collegiate soccer player in the United States;

Whereas 44 members of the University of Akron men's soccer team have been named All-Americans, including 2 members from the 2010 season, defender Kofi Sarkodie and forward and midfielder Darlington Nagbe;

Whereas 12 members of the University of Akron men's soccer team have been named Academic All-Americans, including 4 members from the 2010 season—defender Kofi Sarkodie, defender Chad Barson, goalkeeper David Meves, and midfielder Anthony Ampaipitakwong;

Whereas the 2010 University of Akron men's soccer team was comprised of—

(1) 3 seniors—midfielder Anthony Ampaipitakwong, defender Chris Korb, and defender Enrique Paez;

(2) 5 juniors—midfielder Michael Balogun, midfielder and defender Matt Dagilis, forward and midfielder Darlington Nagbe, midfielder Michael Nanchoff, and defender Kofi Sarkodie;

(3) 7 sophomores—defender Chad Barson, midfielder Scott Caldwell, goalkeeper David Meves, goalkeeper Anthony Ponikvar, forward Thomas Schmitt, midfielder Ben Spears, and defender Zarek Valentin; and

(4) 9 freshmen—midfielder Reinaldo Brenes, forward Richard Diaz, Jr., forward Gabriel Genovesi, midfielder Perry Kitchen, forward Darren Mattocks, goalkeeper Adrian McAdams, midfielder Martin Ontiveros, midfielder Eric Stevenson, and forward McKauly Tulloch;

Whereas 11 members of the 2010 University of Akron men's soccer team hail from the State of Ohio; and

Whereas the University of Akron men's soccer team should be praised for its historic season of both athletic and academic accomplishments: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) congratulates the University of Akron men's soccer team on winning the National Collegiate Athletic Association Division I Men's Soccer Championship;

(2) recognizes the athletic program of the University of Akron for encouraging student-athletes to achieve in both sports and academics; and

(3) requests the Secretary of the Senate to transmit an enrolled copy of this resolution for appropriate display to—

(A) the University of Akron;

(B) Dr. Luis M. Proenza, the President of the University of Akron; and

(C) Caleb Porter, the head coach of the University of Akron men's soccer team.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 4804. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4805. Mr. INOUE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to the House amendment to the Senate amendment to H.R. 3082, making appropriations for military construction, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4806. Mr. ENSIGN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4807. Mr. MCCAIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 3082, making appropriations for military construction, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4808. Mr. CORKER (for himself, Mrs. MCCASKILL, Mr. ALEXANDER, Mr. BURR, Mr. CHAMBLISS, Mr. CORNYN, Mr. ISAKSON, Mr. LEMIEUX, and Mr. MCCAIN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4809. Mr. SANDERS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 4804. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

Strike all after the first word and insert the following:

1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Tax Relief Certainty Act".

TITLE I—PERMANENT TAX RELIEF**SEC. 101. REPEAL OF EGTRRA SUNSET.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 901 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 is repealed.

(b) SUNSET MAINTAINED FOR EXPANSION OF ADOPTION BENEFITS UNDER THE PATIENT PROTECTION AND AFFORDABLE CARE ACT.—Subsection (c) of section 10909 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act is amended to read as follows:

“(c) SUNSET PROVISION.—All provisions of, and amendments made by, this section shall not apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2011, and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall be applied and administered to such years as if such provisions and amendments had never been enacted.”.

SEC. 102. REPEAL OF JGTRRA SUNSET.

Section 303 of the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003 is repealed.

SEC. 103. TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.

The Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary's delegate shall not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, submit to the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Finance of the Senate a draft of any technical and conforming changes in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 which are necessary to reflect throughout such Code the purposes of the provisions of, and amendments made by, this Act.

TITLE II—PERMANENT INDIVIDUAL AMT RELIEF**SEC. 201. PERMANENT INDIVIDUAL AMT RELIEF.**

(a) MODIFICATION OF ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX EXEMPTION AMOUNT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 55(d) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to exemption amount) is amended to read as follows:

“(1) EXEMPTION AMOUNT FOR TAXPAYERS OTHER THAN CORPORATIONS.—In the case of a taxpayer other than a corporation, the term ‘exemption amount’ means—

“(A) the dollar amount for taxable years beginning in the calendar year as specified in the table contained in paragraph (4)(A) in the case of—

“(i) a joint return, or

“(ii) a surviving spouse,

“(B) the dollar amount for taxable years beginning in the calendar year as specified in the table contained in paragraph (4)(B) in the case of an individual who—

“(i) is not a married individual, and

“(ii) is not a surviving spouse,

“(C) 50 percent of the dollar amount applicable under paragraph (1)(A) in the case of a married individual who files a separate return, and

“(D) \$22,500 in the case of an estate or trust.

For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘surviving spouse’ has the meaning given to such term by section 2(a), and marital status shall be determined under section 7703.”.

(2) SPECIFIED EXEMPTION AMOUNTS.—Section 55(d) of such Code is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) SPECIFIED EXEMPTION AMOUNTS.—

“(A) TAXPAYERS DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPH (1)(A).—For purposes of paragraph (1)(A)—

“For taxable years beginning in—	The exemption amount is:
2010	\$72,450
2011	\$74,450
2012	\$78,250

“For taxable years beginning in—	The exemption amount is:
2013	\$81,450
2014	\$85,050
2015	\$88,650
2016	\$92,650
2017	\$96,550
2018	\$100,950
2019	\$105,150
2020	\$109,950.

“(B) TAXPAYERS DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPH (1)(B).—For purposes of paragraph (1)(B)—

“For taxable years beginning in—	The exemption amount is:
2010	\$47,450
2011	\$48,450
2012	\$50,350
2013	\$51,950
2014	\$53,750
2015	\$55,550
2016	\$57,550
2017	\$59,500
2018	\$61,700
2019	\$63,800
2020	\$66,200.”.

(b) ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX RELIEF FOR NONREFUNDABLE CREDITS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a) of section 26 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended to read as follows:

“(a) LIMITATION BASED ON AMOUNT OF TAX.—The aggregate amount of credits allowed by this subpart for the taxable year shall not exceed the sum of—

“(1) the taxpayer's regular tax liability for the taxable year reduced by the foreign tax credit allowable under section 27(a), and

“(2) the tax imposed by section 55(a) for the taxable year.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) ADOPTION CREDIT.—

(i) Section 23(b) of such Code, as in effect on December 31, 2009, is amended by striking paragraph (4).

(ii) Section 23(c) of such Code, as in effect on December 31, 2009, is amended by striking paragraphs (1) and (2) and inserting the following:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—If the credit allowable under subsection (a) for any taxable year exceeds the limitation imposed by section 26(a) for such taxable year reduced by the sum of the credits allowable under this subpart (other than this section and sections 25D and 1400C), such excess shall be carried to the succeeding taxable year and added to the credit allowable under subsection (a) for such taxable year.”.

(iii) Section 23(c) of such Code, as in effect on December 31, 2009 amended by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (2).

(B) CHILD TAX CREDIT.—

(i) Section 24(b) of such Code is amended by striking paragraph (3).

(ii) Section 24(d)(1) of such Code is amended—

(I) by striking “section 26(a)(2) or subsection (b)(3), as the case may be,” each place it appears in subparagraphs (A) and (B) and inserting “section 26(a)”, and

(II) by striking “section 26(a)(2) or subsection (b)(3), as the case may be” in the second last sentence and inserting “section 26(a)”.

(C) CREDIT FOR INTEREST ON CERTAIN HOME MORTGAGES.—Section 25(e)(1)(C) of such Code is amended to read as follows:

“(C) APPLICABLE TAX LIMIT.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘applicable tax limit’ means the limitation imposed by section 26(a) for the taxable year reduced by the sum of the credits allowable under this subpart (other than this section and sections 23, 25D, and 1400C).”.

(D) SAVERS' CREDIT.—Section 25B of such Code is amended by striking subsection (g).

(E) RESIDENTIAL ENERGY EFFICIENT PROPERTY.—Section 25D(c) of such Code is amended to read as follows:

“(c) CARRYFORWARD OF UNUSED CREDIT.—If the credit allowable under subsection (a) exceeds the limitation imposed by section 26(a) for such taxable year reduced by the sum of the credits allowable under this subpart (other than this section), such excess shall be carried to the succeeding taxable year and added to the credit allowable under subsection (a) for such succeeding taxable year.”.

(F) CERTAIN PLUG-IN ELECTRIC VEHICLES.—Section 30(c)(2) of such Code is amended to read as follows:

“(2) PERSONAL CREDIT.—For purposes of this title, the credit allowed under subsection (a) for any taxable year (determined after application of paragraph (1)) shall be treated as a credit allowable under subpart A for such taxable year.”.

(G) ALTERNATIVE MOTOR VEHICLE CREDIT.—Section 30B(g)(2) of such Code is amended to read as follows:

“(2) PERSONAL CREDIT.—For purposes of this title, the credit allowed under subsection (a) for any taxable year (determined after application of paragraph (1)) shall be treated as a credit allowable under subpart A for such taxable year.”.

(H) NEW QUALIFIED PLUG-IN ELECTRIC VEHICLE CREDIT.—Section 30D(c)(2) of such Code is amended to read as follows:

“(2) PERSONAL CREDIT.—For purposes of this title, the credit allowed under subsection (a) for any taxable year (determined after application of paragraph (1)) shall be treated as a credit allowable under subpart A for such taxable year.”.

(I) CROSS REFERENCES.—Section 55(c)(3) of such Code is amended by striking “26(a), 30C(d)(2),” and inserting “30C(d)(2)”.

(J) FOREIGN TAX CREDIT.—Section 904 of such Code is amended by striking subsection (i) and by redesignating subsections (j), (k), and (l) as subsections (i), (j), and (k), respectively.

(K) FIRST-TIME HOME BUYER CREDIT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—Section 1400C(d) of such Code is amended to read as follows:

“(d) CARRYFORWARD OF UNUSED CREDIT.—If the credit allowable under subsection (a) exceeds the limitation imposed by section 26(a) for such taxable year reduced by the sum of the credits allowable under subpart A of part IV of subchapter A (other than this section and section 25D), such excess shall be carried to the succeeding taxable year and added to the credit allowable under subsection (a) for such taxable year.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SA 4805. Mr. INOUE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to the House amendment to the Senate amendment to H.R. 3082, making appropriations for military construction, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table, as follows:.

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted, insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2011”.

SEC. 2. TABLE OF CONTENTS.

The table of contents of this Act is as follows:

- Sec. 1. Short title.
- Sec. 2. Table of contents.
- Sec. 3. References.
- Sec. 4. Explanatory statement.
- Sec. 5. Emergency designation.
- Sec. 6. Statement of appropriations.
- Sec. 7. Federal civilian pay freeze.
- Sec. 8. Transfer authority.
- Sec. 9. Rescission of certain Federal expenses.
- Sec. 10. Limitation on award of certain specific projects.
- Sec. 11. Iran sanctions.
- Sec. 12. Detainee transfer restrictions.

DIVISION A—AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

- Title I—Agricultural Programs
- Title II—Conservation Programs
- Title III—Rural Development Programs
- Title IV—Domestic Food Programs
- Title V—Foreign Assistance and Related Programs

Title VI—Food and Drug Administration and Farm Credit Administration

Title VII—General provisions

DIVISION B—COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

- Title I—Department of Commerce
- Title II—Department of Justice
- Title III—Science
- Title IV—Related agencies
- Title V—General provisions

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

- Title I—Military Personnel
- Title II—Operation and Maintenance
- Title III—Procurement
- Title IV—Research, Development, Test and Evaluation

Title V—Revolving and Management Funds

Title VI—Other Department of Defense Programs

Title VII—Related agencies

Title VIII—General provisions

Title IX—Overseas contingency operations

DIVISION D—ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

- Title I—Corps of Engineers—Civil
- Title II—Department of the Interior
- Title III—Department of Energy
- Title IV—Independent agencies
- Title V—General provisions

DIVISION E—FINANCIAL SERVICES AND GENERAL GOVERNMENT APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

- Title I—Department of the Treasury
- Title II—Executive Office of the President and funds appropriated to the President

Title III—The judiciary

Title IV—District of Columbia

Title V—Independent agencies

Title VI—General provisions—This Act

Title VII—General provisions—Government-wide

Title VIII—General provisions—District of Columbia

DIVISION F—DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

Title I—Departmental management and operations

Title II—Security, enforcement, and investigations

Title III—Protection, preparedness, response, and recovery

Title IV—Research and development, training, and services

Title V—General provisions

DIVISION G—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

Title I—Department of the Interior

Title II—Environmental Protection Agency

Title III—Related agencies

Title IV—General provisions

Title V—Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta National Heritage Area

Title VI—National Women’s History Museum Act of 2009

Title VII—Montana forests

DIVISION H—DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

Title I—Department of Labor

Title II—Department of Health and Human Services

Title III—Department of Education

Title IV—Related agencies

Title V—General provisions

DIVISION I—LEGISLATIVE BRANCH APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

Title I—Legislative branch

Title II—General provisions

DIVISION J—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND VETERANS AFFAIRS, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

Title I—Department of Defense

Title II—Department of Veterans Affairs

Title III—Related agencies

Title IV—Overseas contingency operations

Title V—General provisions

DIVISION K—DEPARTMENT OF STATE, FOREIGN OPERATIONS, AND RELATED PROGRAMS APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

Title I—Department of State and related agency

Title II—United States Agency for International Development

Title III—Bilateral economic assistance

Title IV—International security assistance

Title V—Multilateral assistance

Title VI—Export and investment assistance

Title VII—General provisions

DIVISION L—TRANSPORTATION, AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

Title I—Department of Transportation

Title II—Department of Housing and Urban Development

Title III—Related agencies

Title IV—General provisions—This Act

Title V—Extension of current surface transportation programs

Title VI—Extension of aviation programs

DIVISION M—FOOD SAFETY

SEC. 3. REFERENCES.

Except as expressly provided otherwise, any reference to “this Act” contained in any division of this Act shall be treated as referring only to the provisions of that division.

SEC. 4. EXPLANATORY STATEMENT.

The explanatory statement regarding this legislation, printed in the Senate section of the Congressional Record on or about December 14, 2010 by the Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate, shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds and implementation of this Act as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.

SEC. 5. EMERGENCY DESIGNATION.

Any designation in any division of this Act referring to this section is a designation of an amount as an emergency requirement and necessary to meet emergency needs pursuant to sections 403(a) and 423(b) of S. Con. Res. 13 (111th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2010.

SEC. 6. STATEMENT OF APPROPRIATIONS.

The following sums in this Act are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011.

SEC. 7. FEDERAL CIVILIAN PAY FREEZE.

(A) For the purposes of this section—

(1) the term “employee”—

(A) means an employee as defined in section 2105 of title 5, United States Code; and

(B) includes an individual to whom subsection (b), (c), or (f) of such section 2105 pertains (whether or not such individual satisfies subparagraph (A));

(2) the term “senior executive” means—

(A) a member of the Senior Executive Service under subchapter VIII of chapter 53 of title 5, United States Code;

(B) a member of the FBI—DEA Senior Executive Service under subchapter III of chapter 31 of title 5, United States Code;

(C) a member of the Senior Foreign Service under chapter 4 of title I of the Foreign Service Act of 1980 (22 U.S.C. 3961 and following); and

(D) a member of any similar senior executive service in an Executive agency;

(3) the term “senior-level employee” means an employee who holds a position in an Executive agency and who is covered by section 5376 of title 5, United States Code, or any similar authority; and

(4) the term “Executive agency” has the meaning given such term by section 105 of title 5, United States Code.

(b)(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, except as provided in subsection (e), no statutory pay adjustment which (but for this subsection) would otherwise take effect during the period beginning on January 1, 2011, and ending on December 31, 2012, shall be made.

(2) For purposes of this subsection, the term “statutory pay adjustment” means—

(A) an adjustment required under section 5303, 5304, 5304a, 5318, or 5343(a) of title 5, United States Code; and

(B) any similar adjustment, required by statute, with respect to employees in an Executive agency.

(c) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, except as provided in subsection (e), during the period beginning on January 1, 2011, and ending on December 31, 2012, no senior executive or senior-level employee may receive an increase in his or her rate of basic pay absent a change of position that results in a substantial increase in responsibility, or a promotion.

(d) The President may issue guidance that Executive agencies shall apply in the implementation of this section.

(e) The Non-Foreign Area Retirement Equity Assurance Act of 2009 (5 U.S.C. 5304 note) shall be applied using the appropriate locality-based comparability payments established by the President as the applicable comparability payments in section 1914(2) and (3) of such Act.

SEC. 8. TRANSFER AUTHORITY.

(a) Up to \$1,350,000,000 of amounts made available by this Act or prior year appropriations Acts, shall be available for transfer by the head of the agency to the extent necessary to avoid furloughs or reductions in force, or to provide funding necessary for

programs and activities required by law: *Provided*, That such transfers may not result in the termination of programs, projects or activities: *Provided further*, That such transfers shall be subject to the approval of the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

(b) The authorities provided by subsection (a) of this section shall be in addition to any other transfer authority provided elsewhere in this statute.

SEC. 9. RESCISSION OF CERTAIN FEDERAL EXPENSES.

(a)(1) Of the discretionary funds made available to the agencies of the Federal Government in this Consolidated Appropriations Act, \$1,350,000,000 are hereby rescinded.

(2) Rescissions required by this subsection shall be taken on a pro rata basis from funds available to every Federal agency, department, and office in the executive branch for object class groups 20 (Contractual Services and Supplies) and 30 (Acquisition of Assets), as used in OMB Circular A-11.

(b)(1) Of the discretionary funds made available to the agencies of the Federal Government in this Consolidated Appropriations Act, \$2,000,000,000 are hereby rescinded.

(2) Rescissions required by this subsection shall be based on costs to the executive branch for the budgeted allowance for the January 2011 civilian pay raise amount described in section 32.1 of OMB Circular No. A-11 (Revised—November 2009) and requested at 1.4 percent in the 2011 President's budget submission.

(c) OMB shall administer the rescissions made pursuant to this section.

(d) Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations a listing of the amounts by account of the rescissions made pursuant to the provisions of subsections (a) and (b), including an explanation of the methodology used to identify the offices, accounts, and amounts rescinded.

SEC. 10. LIMITATION ON AWARD OF CERTAIN SPECIFIC PROJECTS.

Specific projects contained in the explanatory statement accompanying this Act that are considered congressional earmarks for purposes of clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, and are attributed to members of the House of Representatives in the Disclosure of Earmarks and Congressionally Directed Spending Items, shall not be awarded if the entity listed is a for-profit entity.

SEC. 11. IRAN SANCTIONS.

None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be obligated by any covered executive agency in contravention of the certification requirement of section 6(b) of the Iran Sanctions Act of 1996, as included in the revisions to the Federal Acquisition Regulation pursuant to such section.

SEC. 12. DETAINEE TRANSFER RESTRICTIONS.

(a) None of the funds made available in this or any prior Act may be used to transfer, release, or assist in the transfer or release to or within the United States, its territories, or possessions Khalid Sheikh Mohammed or any other detainee who—

(1) is not a United States citizen or a member of the Armed Forces of the United States; and

(2) is or was held on or after June 24, 2009, at the United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, by the Department of Defense.

(b) The prohibition under subsection (a) shall terminate on the earlier of the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing appro-

priations for fiscal year 2011 for the Department of Defense that includes a provision regarding the release or transfer of detainees held at the United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, by the Department of Defense, or September 30, 2011.

DIVISION A—AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

TITLE I

AGRICULTURAL PROGRAMS

PRODUCTION, PROCESSING AND MARKETING

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Secretary of Agriculture, \$5,338,000: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$11,000 of this amount shall be available for official reception and representation expenses, not otherwise provided for, as determined by the Secretary.

OFFICE OF TRIBAL RELATIONS

For necessary expenses of the Office of Tribal Relations, \$1,010,000, to support communication and consultation activities with Federally Recognized Tribes, as well as other requirements established by law.

HEALTHY FOOD FINANCING INITIATIVE

For necessary expenses of the Secretary to carry out demonstration projects to increase access to healthy foods through retail outlets, \$35,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, which the Secretary may use for the cost of grants (including for technical assistance), loans, and loan guarantees; and may use, not to exceed \$1,000,000, for the Federal administrative costs of carrying out and evaluating such demonstration projects: *Provided*, That the Secretary, to carry out such demonstration projects, may use one or more consolidated solicitation and application processes: *Provided further*, That any funds provided for under this heading for such demonstration projects shall be in addition to any other funds that the Secretary may use for carrying out such projects.

EXECUTIVE OPERATIONS

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ECONOMIST

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Chief Economist, \$13,100,000.

NATIONAL APPEALS DIVISION

For necessary expenses of the National Appeals Division, \$15,417,000.

OFFICE OF BUDGET AND PROGRAM ANALYSIS

For necessary expenses of the Office of Budget and Program Analysis, \$9,547,000.

OFFICE OF HOMELAND SECURITY

For necessary expenses of the Office of Homeland Security, \$1,876,000.

OFFICE OF ADVOCACY AND OUTREACH

For necessary expenses of the Office of Advocacy and Outreach, \$6,209,000: *Provided*, That \$4,000,000 shall be for grants authorized by section 14204 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Chief Information Officer, \$61,719,000.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Chief Financial Officer, \$6,632,000: *Provided*, That no funds made available by this appropriation may be obligated for FAIR Act or Circular A-76 activities until the Secretary has submitted to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress and the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives a report on the Department's contracting out

policies, including agency budgets for contracting out.

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR CIVIL RIGHTS

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, \$907,000.

OFFICE OF CIVIL RIGHTS

For necessary expenses of the Office of Civil Rights, \$24,133,000.

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration, \$814,000.

AGRICULTURE BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES AND RENTAL PAYMENTS

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For payment of space rental and related costs pursuant to Public Law 92-313, including authorities pursuant to the 1984 delegation of authority from the Administrator of General Services to the Department of Agriculture under 40 U.S.C. 486, for programs and activities of the Department which are included in this Act, and for alterations and other actions needed for the Department and its agencies to consolidate unneeded space into configurations suitable for release to the Administrator of General Services, and for the operation, maintenance, improvement, and repair of Agriculture buildings and facilities, and for related costs, \$261,608,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$178,470,000 shall be available for payments to the General Services Administration for rent; of which \$13,800,000 for payment to the Department of Homeland Security for building security activities; and of which \$69,338,000 for buildings operations and maintenance expenses: *Provided*, That the Secretary is authorized to transfer funds from a Departmental agency to this account to recover the full cost of the space and security expenses of that agency that are funded by this account when the actual costs exceed the agency estimate which will be available for the activities and payments described herein.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Department of Agriculture, to comply with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq.), \$5,139,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That appropriations and funds available herein to the Department for Hazardous Materials Management may be transferred to any agency of the Department for its use in meeting all requirements pursuant to the above Acts on Federal and non-Federal lands.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For Departmental Administration, \$29,706,000, to provide for necessary expenses for management support services to offices of the Department and for general administration, security, repairs and alterations, and other miscellaneous supplies and expenses not otherwise provided for and necessary for the practical and efficient work of the Department: *Provided*, That this appropriation shall be reimbursed from applicable appropriations in this Act for travel expenses incident to the holding of hearings as required by 5 U.S.C. 551-558.

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR
CONGRESSIONAL RELATIONS
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Congressional Relations to carry out the programs funded by this Act, including programs involving intergovernmental affairs and liaison within the executive branch, \$4,008,000: *Provided*, That these funds may be transferred to agencies of the Department of Agriculture funded by this Act to maintain personnel at the agency level: *Provided further*, That no funds made available by this appropriation may be obligated after 30 days from the date of enactment of this Act, unless the Secretary has notified the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress on the allocation of these funds by USDA agency: *Provided further*, That no other funds appropriated to the Department by this Act shall be available to the Department for support of activities of congressional relations.

OFFICE OF COMMUNICATIONS

For necessary expenses of the Office of Communications, \$9,839,000.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General, including employment pursuant to the Inspector General Act of 1978, \$94,300,000, including such sums as may be necessary for contracting and other arrangements with public agencies and private persons pursuant to section 6(a)(9) of the Inspector General Act of 1978, and including not to exceed \$125,000 for certain confidential operational expenses, including the payment of informants, to be expended under the direction of the Inspector General pursuant to Public Law 95-452 and section 1337 of Public Law 97-98.

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

For necessary expenses of the Office of the General Counsel, \$44,104,000.

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR
RESEARCH, EDUCATION AND ECONOMICS

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Under Secretary for Research, Education and Economics, \$904,000.

ECONOMIC RESEARCH SERVICE

For necessary expenses of the Economic Research Service, \$83,671,000.

NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS SERVICE

For necessary expenses of the National Agricultural Statistics Service, \$161,371,000, of which up to \$33,494,000 shall be available until expended for the Census of Agriculture.

AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Agricultural Research Service and for acquisition of lands by donation, exchange, or purchase at a nominal cost not to exceed \$100, and for land exchanges where the lands exchanged shall be of equal value or shall be equalized by a payment of money to the grantor which shall not exceed 25 percent of the total value of the land or interests transferred out of Federal ownership, \$1,199,986,000: *Provided*, That appropriations hereunder shall be available for the operation and maintenance of aircraft and the purchase of not to exceed one for replacement only: *Provided further*, That appropriations hereunder shall be available pursuant to 7 U.S.C. 2250 for the construction, alteration, and repair of buildings and improvements, but unless otherwise provided, the cost of constructing any one building shall not exceed \$375,000, except for headhouses or greenhouses which shall each

be limited to \$1,200,000, and except for 10 buildings to be constructed or improved at a cost not to exceed \$750,000 each, and the cost of altering any one building during the fiscal year shall not exceed 10 percent of the current replacement value of the building or \$375,000, whichever is greater: *Provided further*, That the limitations on alterations contained in this Act shall not apply to modernization or replacement of existing facilities at Beltsville, Maryland: *Provided further*, That the foregoing limitations shall not apply to the purchase of land from the Maine Farmland Trust, Unity, Maine, for the purpose of establishing an organic agricultural research program: *Provided further*, That appropriations hereunder shall be available for granting easements at the Beltsville Agricultural Research Center: *Provided further*, That the foregoing limitations shall not apply to replacement of buildings needed to carry out the Act of April 24, 1948 (21 U.S.C. 113a): *Provided further*, That funds may be received from any State, other political subdivision, organization, or individual for the purpose of establishing or operating any research facility or research project of the Agricultural Research Service, as authorized by law.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

For acquisition of land, construction, repair, improvement, extension, alteration, and purchase of fixed equipment or facilities as necessary to carry out the agricultural research programs of the Department of Agriculture, where not otherwise provided, \$67,966,000, to remain available until expended.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF FOOD AND
AGRICULTURE

RESEARCH AND EDUCATION ACTIVITIES

For payments to agricultural experiment stations, for cooperative forestry and other research, for facilities, and for other expenses, \$806,495,000, as follows: to carry out the provisions of the Hatch Act of 1887 (7 U.S.C. 361a-i), \$215,000,000; for grants for cooperative forestry research (16 U.S.C. 582a through a-7), \$29,000,000; for payments to eligible institutions (7 U.S.C. 3222), \$49,750,000, provided that each institution receives no less than \$1,000,000; for special grants (7 U.S.C. 450i(c)), \$75,517,000; for competitive grants on improved pest control (7 U.S.C. 450i(c)), \$16,185,000; for competitive grants (7 U.S.C. 450(i)(b)), \$288,730,000, to remain available until expended; for the support of animal health and disease programs (7 U.S.C. 3195), \$2,950,000; for supplemental and alternative crops and products (7 U.S.C. 3319d), \$835,000; for grants for research pursuant to the Critical Agricultural Materials Act (7 U.S.C. 178 et seq.), \$1,083,000, to remain available until expended; for the 1994 research grants program for 1994 institutions pursuant to section 536 of Public Law 103-382 (7 U.S.C. 301 note), \$1,805,000, to remain available until expended; for rangeland research grants (7 U.S.C. 3333), \$983,000; for higher education graduate fellowship grants (7 U.S.C. 3152(b)(6)), \$3,859,000, to remain available until expended (7 U.S.C. 2209b); for a program pursuant to section 1415A of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 3151a), \$5,000,000, to remain available until expended; for higher education challenge grants (7 U.S.C. 3152(b)(1)), \$5,654,000; for a higher education multicultural scholars program (7 U.S.C. 3152(b)(5)), \$1,241,000, to remain available until expended (7 U.S.C. 2209b); for an education grants program for Hispanic-serving Institutions (7 U.S.C. 3241),

\$9,619,000; for competitive grants for the purpose of carrying out all provisions of 7 U.S.C. 3156 to individual eligible institutions or consortia of eligible institutions in Alaska and in Hawaii, with funds awarded equally to each of the States of Alaska and Hawaii, \$3,200,000; for a secondary agriculture education program and 2-year post-secondary education (7 U.S.C. 3152(j)), \$983,000; for aquaculture grants (7 U.S.C. 3322), \$3,928,000; for sustainable agriculture research and education (7 U.S.C. 5811), \$15,000,000; for a program of capacity building grants (7 U.S.C. 3152(b)(4)) to institutions eligible to receive funds under 7 U.S.C. 3221 and 3222, \$19,375,000, to remain available until expended (7 U.S.C. 2209b); for payments to the 1994 Institutions pursuant to section 534(a)(1) of Public Law 103-382, \$3,342,000; for resident instruction grants for insular areas under section 1491 of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 3363), \$900,000; for distance education grants for insular areas under section 1490 of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 3362), \$750,000; for grants to upgrade agriculture and food sciences facilities and equipment for insular areas under section 1447B of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 3222b-2), \$750,000; for foreign agricultural scholarship grants under section 1458(a)(11) of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 3291(a)), as amended, \$500,000; for a new era rural technology program pursuant to section 1473E of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 3319e), \$875,000; for a competitive grants program for farm business management and benchmarking (7 U.S.C. 5925f), \$1,250,000; for a competitive grants program regarding biobased energy (7 U.S.C. 8114), \$2,250,000; and for necessary expenses of Research and Education Activities, \$46,181,000, of which \$2,704,000 for the Research, Education, and Economics Information System and \$2,136,000 for the Electronic Grants Information System, are to remain available until expended.

HISPANIC-SERVING AGRICULTURAL COLLEGES
AND UNIVERSITIES ENDOWMENT FUND

For the Hispanic-Serving Agricultural Colleges and Universities Endowment Fund under section 1456 (7 U.S.C. 3243) of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977, \$10,000,000, to remain available until expended.

NATIVE AMERICAN INSTITUTIONS ENDOWMENT
FUND

For the Native American Institutions Endowment Fund authorized by Public Law 103-382 (7 U.S.C. 301 note), \$11,880,000, to remain available until expended.

EXTENSION ACTIVITIES

For payments to States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the Virgin Islands, Micronesia, the Northern Marianas, and American Samoa, \$499,376,000, as follows: payments for cooperative extension work under the Smith-Lever Act, to be distributed under sections 3(b) and 3(c) of said Act, and under section 208(c) of Public Law 93-471, for retirement and employees' compensation costs for extension agents, \$297,500,000; payments for extension work at the 1994 Institutions under the Smith-Lever Act (7 U.S.C. 343(b)(3)), \$5,321,000; payments for the nutrition and family education program for low-income areas under section 3(d) of the Act, \$68,070,000; payments for the pest management program under section 3(d) of the Act,

\$9,938,000; payments for the farm safety program under section 3(d) of the Act, \$4,863,000; payments for New Technologies for Ag Extension under section 3(d) of the Act, \$1,750,000; payments to upgrade research, extension, and teaching facilities at institutions eligible to receive funds under 7 U.S.C. 3221 and 3222, and payments to upgrade facilities under 7 U.S.C. 3222b-1, \$22,000,000, to remain available until expended; payments for youth-at-risk programs under section 3(d) of the Smith-Lever Act, \$8,412,000; for youth farm safety education and certification extension grants, to be awarded competitively under section 3(d) of the Act, \$486,000; payments for carrying out the provisions of the Renewable Resources Extension Act of 1978 (16 U.S.C. 1671 et seq.), \$4,068,000; payments for the federally recognized Tribes Extension Program under section 3(d) of the Smith-Lever Act, \$3,750,000; payments for sustainable agriculture programs under section 3(d) of the Act, \$5,000,000; payments for rural health and safety education as authorized by section 502(i) of Public Law 92-419 (7 U.S.C. 2662(i)), \$1,738,000; payments for cooperative extension work by eligible institutions (7 U.S.C. 3221), \$44,700,000, provided that each institution receives no less than \$1,000,000; payments to carry out the food animal residue avoidance database program as authorized by 7 U.S.C. 7642, \$1,000,000; payments to carry out section 1672(e)(49) of the Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990 (7 U.S.C. 5925), as amended, \$400,000; and for necessary expenses of Extension Activities, \$20,380,000.

INTEGRATED ACTIVITIES

For the integrated research, education, and extension grants programs, including necessary administrative expenses, \$60,173,000, as follows: for competitive grants programs authorized under section 406 of the Agricultural Research, Extension, and Education Reform Act of 1998 (7 U.S.C. 7626), \$35,299,000, including \$12,649,000 for the water quality program, \$14,596,000 for the food safety program, \$3,054,000 for the methyl bromide transition program, and \$5,000,000 for the organic transition program; for a competitive international science and education grants program authorized under section 1459A of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 3292b), to remain available until expended, \$3,000,000; for grants programs authorized under section 2(c)(1)(B) of Public Law 89-106, as amended, \$732,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, for the critical issues program; \$1,312,000 for the regional rural development centers program; for grants authorized under section 1624 (7 U.S.C. 5813), \$10,000,000; and \$9,830,000 for the Food and Agriculture Defense Initiative authorized under section 1484 of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR MARKETING AND REGULATORY PROGRAMS

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Under Secretary for Marketing and Regulatory Programs, \$904,000.

ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, including up to \$30,000 for representation allowances and for expenses pursuant to the Foreign Service Act of 1980 (22 U.S.C. 4085),

\$903,794,000, of which \$1,585,000 shall be available for the control of outbreaks of insects, plant diseases, animal diseases and for control of pest animals and birds ("contingency fund") to the extent necessary to meet emergency conditions; of which \$22,254,000 shall be used for the cotton pests program for cost share purposes or for debt retirement for active eradication zones; of which \$900,000 shall be for activities under the authority of the Horse Protection Act of 1970, as amended (15 U.S.C. 1831); of which \$45,219,000 shall be used to prevent and control avian influenza and shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That funds provided for the contingency fund to meet emergency conditions, \$4,474,000 for information technology infrastructure, \$63,568,000 for the fruit fly program, \$169,163,000 for emerging plant pests, cotton pests program, \$5,637,000 for the grasshopper and mormon cricket program, \$2,129,000 for the plum pox program, \$3,771,000 for the National Veterinary Stockpile, \$1,500,000 in the scrapie program for indemnities, \$1,000,000 for wildlife services methods development, \$1,500,000 of the wildlife services operations program, and \$5,060,750 of the screwworm program shall remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That no funds shall be used to formulate or administer a brucellosis eradication program for the current fiscal year that does not require minimum matching by the States of at least 40 percent: *Provided further*, That this appropriation shall be available for the operation and maintenance of aircraft and the purchase of not to exceed four, of which two shall be for replacement only: *Provided further*, That, in addition, in emergencies which threaten any segment of the agricultural production industry of this country, the Secretary may transfer from other appropriations or funds available to the agencies or corporations of the Department such sums as may be deemed necessary, to be available only in such emergencies for the arrest and eradication of contagious or infectious disease or pests of animals, poultry, or plants, and for expenses in accordance with sections 10411 and 10417 of the Animal Health Protection Act (7 U.S.C. 8310 and 8316) and sections 431 and 442 of the Plant Protection Act (7 U.S.C. 7751 and 7772), and any unexpended balances of funds transferred for such emergency purposes in the preceding fiscal year shall be merged with such transferred amounts: *Provided further*, That appropriations hereunder shall be available pursuant to law (7 U.S.C. 2250) for the repair and alteration of leased buildings and improvements, but unless otherwise provided the cost of altering any one building during the fiscal year shall not exceed 10 percent of the current replacement value of the building.

In fiscal year 2011, the agency is authorized to collect fees to cover the total costs of providing technical assistance, goods, or services requested by States, other political subdivisions, domestic and international organizations, foreign governments, or individuals, provided that such fees are structured such that any entity's liability for such fees is reasonably based on the technical assistance, goods, or services provided to the entity by the agency, and such fees shall be credited to this account, to remain available until expended, without further appropriation, for providing such assistance, goods, or services.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

For plans, construction, repair, preventive maintenance, environmental support, improvement, extension, alteration, and purchase of fixed equipment or facilities, as authorized by 7 U.S.C. 2250, and acquisition of

land as authorized by 7 U.S.C. 428a, \$4,536,000, to remain available until expended.

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE MARKETING SERVICES

For necessary expenses of the Agricultural Marketing Service, \$96,645,000: *Provided*, That this appropriation shall be available pursuant to law (7 U.S.C. 2250) for the alteration and repair of buildings and improvements, but the cost of altering any one building during the fiscal year shall not exceed 10 percent of the current replacement value of the building.

Fees may be collected for the cost of standardization activities, as established by regulation pursuant to law (31 U.S.C. 9701).

LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Not to exceed \$60,947,000 (from fees collected) shall be obligated during the current fiscal year for administrative expenses: *Provided*, That if crop size is understated and/or other uncontrollable events occur, the agency may exceed this limitation by up to 10 percent with notification to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress.

FUNDS FOR STRENGTHENING MARKETS, INCOME, AND SUPPLY (SECTION 32)

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Funds available under section 32 of the Act of August 24, 1935 (7 U.S.C. 612c), shall be used only for commodity program expenses as authorized therein, and other related operating expenses, except for: (1) transfers to the Department of Commerce as authorized by the Fish and Wildlife Act of August 8, 1956; (2) transfers otherwise provided in this Act; and (3) not more than \$20,283,000 for formulation and administration of marketing agreements and orders pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937 and the Agricultural Act of 1961.

PAYMENTS TO STATES AND POSSESSIONS

For payments to departments of agriculture, bureaus and departments of markets, and similar agencies for marketing activities under section 204(b) of the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1623(b)), \$2,484,000.

GRAIN INSPECTION, PACKERS AND STOCKYARDS ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration, \$43,742,000: *Provided*, That this appropriation shall be available pursuant to law (7 U.S.C. 2250) for the alteration and repair of buildings and improvements, but the cost of altering any one building during the fiscal year shall not exceed 10 percent of the current replacement value of the building.

LIMITATION ON INSPECTION AND WEIGHING SERVICES EXPENSES

Not to exceed \$50,000,000 (from fees collected) shall be obligated during the current fiscal year for inspection and weighing services: *Provided*, That if grain export activities require additional supervision and oversight, or other uncontrollable factors occur, this limitation may be exceeded by up to 10 percent with notification to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress.

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR FOOD SAFETY

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Under Secretary for Food Safety, \$821,000.

FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE

For necessary expenses to carry out services authorized by the Federal Meat Inspection Act, the Poultry Products Inspection

Act, and the Egg Products Inspection Act, including not to exceed \$50,000 for representation allowances and for expenses pursuant to section 8 of the Act approved August 3, 1956 (7 U.S.C. 1766), \$1,047,200,000; and in addition, \$1,000,000 may be credited to this account from fees collected for the cost of laboratory accreditation as authorized by section 1327 of the Food, Agriculture, Conservation and Trade Act of 1990 (7 U.S.C. 138f): *Provided*, That funds provided for the Public Health Data Communication Infrastructure system and implementation of section 11016 of Public Law 110-246 shall remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That no fewer than 140 full-time equivalent positions shall be employed during fiscal year 2011 for purposes dedicated solely to inspections and enforcement related to the Humane Methods of Slaughter Act: *Provided further*, That of the amount available under this heading, \$3,000,000 shall be obligated to maintain the Humane Animal Tracking System as part of the Public Health Data Communication Infrastructure System: *Provided further*, That this appropriation shall be available pursuant to law (7 U.S.C. 2250) for the alteration and repair of buildings and improvements, but the cost of altering any one building during the fiscal year shall not exceed 10 percent of the current replacement value of the building.

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR FARM AND FOREIGN AGRICULTURAL SERVICES

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Under Secretary for Farm and Foreign Agricultural Services, \$904,000.

FARM SERVICE AGENCY
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Farm Service Agency, \$1,325,650,000: *Provided*, That the Secretary is authorized to use the services, facilities, and authorities (but not the funds) of the Commodity Credit Corporation to make program payments for all programs administered by the Agency: *Provided further*, That other funds made available to the Agency for authorized activities may be advanced to and merged with this account: *Provided further*, That funds made available to county committees shall remain available until expended.

STATE MEDIATION GRANTS

For grants pursuant to section 502(b) of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1987, as amended (7 U.S.C. 5101-5106), \$4,185,000.

GRASSROOTS SOURCE WATER PROTECTION
PROGRAM

For necessary expenses to carry out well-head or groundwater protection activities under section 12400 of the Food Security Act of 1985 (16 U.S.C. 3839bb-2), \$5,500,000, to remain available until expended.

DAIRY INDEMNITY PROGRAM

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses involved in making indemnity payments to dairy farmers and manufacturers of dairy products under a dairy indemnity program, such sums as may be necessary, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That such program is carried out by the Secretary in the same manner as the dairy indemnity program described in the Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2001 (Public Law 106-387, 114 Stat. 1549A-12).

AGRICULTURAL CREDIT INSURANCE FUND
PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For gross obligations for the principal amount of direct and guaranteed farm own-

ership (7 U.S.C. 1922 et seq.) and operating (7 U.S.C. 1941 et seq.) loans, Indian tribe land acquisition loans (25 U.S.C. 488), boll weevil loans (7 U.S.C. 1989), direct and guaranteed conservation loans (7 U.S.C. 1924 et seq.), and Indian highly fractionated land loans (25 U.S.C. 488), to be available from funds in the Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund, as follows: farm ownership loans, \$1,975,000,000, of which \$1,500,000,000 shall be for unsubsidized guaranteed loans and \$475,000,000 shall be for direct loans; operating loans, \$2,544,035,000, of which \$1,500,000,000 shall be for unsubsidized guaranteed loans, \$144,035,000 shall be for subsidized guaranteed loans and \$900,000,000 shall be for direct loans; Indian tribe land acquisition loans, \$10,000,000; conservation loans, \$150,000,000, of which \$75,000,000 shall be for guaranteed loans and \$75,000,000 shall be for direct loans; Indian highly fractionated land loans, \$10,000,000; and for boll weevil eradication program loans, \$100,000,000: *Provided*, That the Secretary shall deem the pink bollworm to be a boll weevil for the purpose of boll weevil eradication program loans.

For the cost of direct and guaranteed loans, including the cost of modifying loans as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as follows: farm ownership loans, \$38,570,000, of which \$5,700,000 shall be for unsubsidized guaranteed loans, and \$32,870,000 shall be for direct loans; operating loans, \$109,410,000, of which \$34,950,000 shall be for unsubsidized guaranteed loans, \$19,920,000 shall be for subsidized guaranteed loans, and \$54,540,000 shall be for direct loans; conservation loans, \$2,528,000, of which \$285,000 shall be for guaranteed loans, and \$2,243,000 shall be for direct loans; and Indian highly fractionated land loans, \$214,000.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the direct and guaranteed loan programs, \$321,093,000, of which \$313,173,000 shall be paid to the appropriation for "Farm Service Agency, Salaries and Expenses".

Funds appropriated by this Act to the Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund Program Account for farm ownership, operating and conservation direct loans and guaranteed loans may be transferred among these programs: *Provided*, That the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress are notified at least 15 days in advance of any transfer.

RISK MANAGEMENT AGENCY

For necessary expenses of the Risk Management Agency, \$83,064,000: *Provided*, That the funds made available under section 522(e) of the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7 U.S.C. 1522(e)) may be used for the Common Information Management System: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$1,000 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses, as authorized by 7 U.S.C. 1506(i).

CORPORATIONS

The following corporations and agencies are hereby authorized to make expenditures, within the limits of funds and borrowing authority available to each such corporation or agency and in accord with law, and to make contracts and commitments without regard to fiscal year limitations as provided by section 104 of the Government Corporation Control Act as may be necessary in carrying out the programs set forth in the budget for the current fiscal year for such corporation or agency, except as hereinafter provided.

FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE CORPORATION FUND

For payments as authorized by section 516 of the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7 U.S.C. 1516), such sums as may be necessary, to remain available until expended.

COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION FUND
REIMBURSEMENT FOR NET REALIZED LOSSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For the current fiscal year, such sums as may be necessary to reimburse the Commodity Credit Corporation for net realized losses sustained, but not previously reimbursed, pursuant to section 2 of the Act of August 17, 1961 (15 U.S.C. 713a-11): *Provided*, That of the funds available to the Commodity Credit Corporation under section 11 of the Commodity Credit Corporation Charter Act (15 U.S.C. 714i) for the conduct of its business with the Foreign Agricultural Service, up to \$5,000,000 may be transferred to and used by the Foreign Agricultural Service for information resource management activities of the Foreign Agricultural Service that are not related to Commodity Credit Corporation business.

HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT
(LIMITATION ON EXPENSES)

For the current fiscal year, the Commodity Credit Corporation shall not expend more than \$5,000,000 for site investigation and cleanup expenses, and operations and maintenance expenses to comply with the requirement of section 107(g) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (42 U.S.C. 9607(g)), and section 6001 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (42 U.S.C. 6961).

TITLE II
CONSERVATION PROGRAMS

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR
NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENT

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Under Secretary for Natural Resources and Environment, \$904,000.

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE
CONSERVATION OPERATIONS

For necessary expenses for carrying out the provisions of the Act of April 27, 1935 (16 U.S.C. 590a-f), including preparation of conservation plans and establishment of measures to conserve soil and water (including farm irrigation and land drainage and such special measures for soil and water management as may be necessary to prevent floods and the siltation of reservoirs and to control agricultural related pollutants); operation of conservation plant materials centers; classification and mapping of soil; dissemination of information; acquisition of lands, water, and interests therein for use in the plant materials program by donation, exchange, or purchase at a nominal cost not to exceed \$100 pursuant to the Act of August 3, 1956 (7 U.S.C. 428a); purchase and erection or alteration or improvement of permanent and temporary buildings; and operation and maintenance of aircraft, \$922,433,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That appropriations hereunder shall be available pursuant to 7 U.S.C. 2250 for construction and improvement of buildings and public improvements at plant materials centers, except that the cost of alterations and improvements to other buildings and other public improvements shall not exceed \$250,000: *Provided further*, That when buildings or other structures are erected on non-Federal land, that the right to use such land is obtained as provided in 7 U.S.C. 2250a.

WATERSHED AND FLOOD PREVENTION
OPERATIONS

For necessary expenses to carry out preventive measures, including but not limited to research, engineering operations, methods of cultivation, the growing of vegetation, rehabilitation of existing works and changes in

use of land, in accordance with the Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Act (16 U.S.C. 1001–1005 and 1007–1009), the provisions of the Act of April 27, 1935 (16 U.S.C. 590a–f), and in accordance with the provisions of laws relating to the activities of the Department, \$18,485,000, to remain available until expended.

WATERSHED REHABILITATION PROGRAM

For necessary expenses to carry out rehabilitation of structural measures, in accordance with section 14 of the Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Act (16 U.S.C. 1012), and in accordance with the provisions of laws relating to the activities of the Department, \$20,497,000, to remain available until expended.

RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

For necessary expenses in planning and carrying out projects for resource conservation and development and for sound land use pursuant to the provisions of sections 31 and 32 of the Bankhead-Jones Farm Tenant Act (7 U.S.C. 1010–1011; 76 Stat. 607); the Act of April 27, 1935 (16 U.S.C. 590a–f); and subtitle H of title XV of the Agriculture and Food Act of 1981 (16 U.S.C. 3451–3461), \$50,730,000: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$3,073,000 shall be available for national headquarters activities.

TITLE III

RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Under Secretary for Rural Development, \$904,000.

RURAL DEVELOPMENT SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses for carrying out the administration and implementation of programs in the Rural Development mission area, including activities with institutions concerning the development and operation of agricultural cooperatives; and for cooperative agreements; \$226,551,000: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds appropriated under this section may be used for advertising and promotional activities that support the Rural Development mission area: *Provided further*, That not more than \$10,000 may be expended to provide modest nonmonetary awards to non-USDA employees: *Provided further*, That any balances available from prior years for the Rural Utilities Service, Rural Housing Service, and the Rural Business-Cooperative Service salaries and expenses accounts shall be transferred to and merged with this appropriation.

RURAL HOUSING SERVICE

RURAL HOUSING INSURANCE FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For gross obligations for the principal amount of direct and guaranteed loans as authorized by title V of the Housing Act of 1949, to be available from funds in the rural housing insurance fund, as follows: \$25,840,256,000 for loans to section 502 borrowers, of which \$1,840,256,000 shall be for direct loans, and of which \$24,000,000,000 shall be for unsubsidized guaranteed loans; \$34,004,000 for section 504 housing repair loans; \$69,512,000 for section 515 rental housing; \$129,133,000 for section 538 guaranteed multi-family housing loans; \$5,052,000 for section 524 site loans; \$11,449,000 for credit sales of acquired property, of which up to \$1,449,000 may be for multi-family credit sales; and \$4,966,000 for section 523 self-help housing land development loans.

For the cost of direct and guaranteed loans, including the cost of modifying loans, as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as follows: section 502 loans, \$115,200,000 shall be for direct loans; section 504 housing repair loans, \$6,437,000; repair, rehabilitation, and new construction of section 515 rental housing, \$23,446,000; section 538 multi-family housing guaranteed loans, \$12,513,000; section 524 site development loans, \$294,000; credit sales of acquired property, \$556,000; and section 523 self-help land development housing loans, \$288,000: *Provided*, That of the total amount appropriated in this paragraph, the amount equal to the amount of Rural Housing Insurance Fund Program Account funds allocated by the Secretary for Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the fiscal year 2010, shall be available through June 30, 2011, for communities designated by the Secretary of Agriculture as Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones: *Provided further*, That section 538 multi-family housing guaranteed loans funded pursuant to this paragraph shall not be subject to a guarantee fee and the interest on such loans may not be subsidized.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the direct and guaranteed loan programs, \$458,313,000 shall be paid to the appropriation for “Rural Development, Salaries and Expenses”.

RENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

For rental assistance agreements entered into or renewed pursuant to the authority under section 521(a)(2) or agreements entered into in lieu of debt forgiveness or payments for eligible households as authorized by section 502(c)(5)(D) of the Housing Act of 1949, \$965,635,000; and, in addition, such sums as may be necessary, as authorized by section 521(c) of the Act, to liquidate debt incurred prior to fiscal year 1992 to carry out the rental assistance program under section 521(a)(2) of the Act: *Provided*, That of this amount, up to \$5,958,000 shall be available for debt forgiveness or payments for eligible households as authorized by section 502(c)(5)(D) of the Act, and not to exceed \$50,000 per project for advances to nonprofit organizations or public agencies to cover direct costs (other than purchase price) incurred in purchasing projects pursuant to section 502(c)(5)(C) of the Act: *Provided further*, That of this amount not less than \$3,000,000 is available for newly constructed units financed by section 515 of the Housing Act of 1949, and not less than \$3,000,000 is for newly constructed units financed under sections 514 and 516 of the Housing Act of 1949: *Provided further*, That rental assistance agreements entered into or renewed during the current fiscal year shall be funded for a one-year period: *Provided further*, That any unexpended balances remaining at the end of such one-year agreements may be transferred and used for the purposes of any debt reduction; maintenance, repair, or rehabilitation of any existing projects; preservation; and rental assistance activities authorized under title V of the Act: *Provided further*, That rental assistance provided under agreements entered into prior to fiscal year 2011 for a farm labor multi-family housing project financed under section 514 or 516 of the Act may not be recaptured for use in another project until such assistance has remained unused for a period of 12 consecutive months, if such project has a waiting list of tenants seeking such assistance or the project has rental assistance eligible tenants who are not receiving such assistance: *Provided further*, That such recaptured rental assistance shall, to the extent practicable, be applied to another

farm labor multi-family housing project financed under section 514 or 516 of the Act.

MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING REVITALIZATION PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the rural housing voucher program as authorized under section 542 of the Housing Act of 1949, but notwithstanding subsection (b) of such section, for the cost to conduct a housing demonstration program to provide revolving loans for the preservation of low-income multi-family housing projects, and for additional costs to conduct a demonstration program for the preservation and revitalization of multi-family rental housing properties described in this paragraph, \$40,791,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That of the funds made available under this heading, \$14,000,000, shall be available for rural housing vouchers to any low-income household (including those not receiving rental assistance) residing in a property originally financed with a section 515 loan which has been prepaid after September 30, 2005: *Provided further*, That the amount of such voucher shall be the difference between comparable market rent for the section 515 unit and the tenant paid rent for such unit: *Provided further*, That the vouchers be renewable subject to the availability of annual appropriations: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, administer such vouchers with current regulations and administrative guidance applicable to section 8 housing vouchers administered by the Secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban Development: *Provided further*, That if the Secretary determines that the amount made available for vouchers in this or any other Act is not needed for vouchers, the Secretary may use such funds for the demonstration programs for the preservation and revitalization of multi-family rental housing properties described in this paragraph: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available under this heading, \$1,791,000 shall be available for the cost of loans to private nonprofit organizations, or such nonprofit organizations' affiliate loan funds and State and local housing finance agencies, to carry out a housing demonstration program to provide revolving loans for the preservation of low-income multi-family housing projects: *Provided further*, That loans under such demonstration program shall have an interest rate of not more than 1 percent direct loan to the recipient: *Provided further*, That the Secretary may defer the interest and principal payment to the Rural Housing Service for up to 3 years and the term of such loans shall not exceed 30 years: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available under this heading, \$25,000,000 shall be available for a demonstration program for the preservation and revitalization of the sections 514, 515, and 516 multi-family rental housing properties to restructure existing USDA multi-family housing loans, as the Secretary deems appropriate, expressly for the purposes of ensuring the project has sufficient resources to preserve the project for the purpose of providing safe and affordable housing for low-income residents and farm laborers including reducing or eliminating interest; deferring loan payments, subordinating, reducing or reamortizing loan debt; and other financial assistance including advances, payments and incentives (including the ability of owners to obtain reasonable returns on investment) required by the Secretary: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall as part of the preservation and revitalization agreement obtain a restrictive use agreement consistent with the terms of the restructuring: *Provided further*, That if the Secretary determines that

additional funds for vouchers described in this paragraph are needed, funds for the preservation and revitalization demonstration program may be used for such vouchers: *Provided further*, That if Congress enacts legislation to permanently authorize a multi-family rental housing loan restructuring program similar to the demonstration program described herein, the Secretary may use funds made available for the demonstration program under this heading to carry out such legislation with the prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress: *Provided further*, That in addition to any other available funds, the Secretary may expend not more than \$1,000,000 total, from the program funds made available under this heading, for administrative expenses for activities funded under this heading.

MUTUAL AND SELF-HELP HOUSING GRANTS

For grants and contracts pursuant to section 523(b)(1)(A) of the Housing Act of 1949 (42 U.S.C. 1490c), \$41,864,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That of the total amount appropriated under this heading, the amount equal to the amount of Mutual and Self-Help Housing Grants allocated by the Secretary for Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the fiscal year 2010, shall be available through June 30, 2011, for communities designated by the Secretary of Agriculture as Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones.

RURAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE GRANTS

For grants and contracts for very low-income housing repair, supervisory and technical assistance, compensation for construction defects, and rural housing preservation made by the Rural Housing Service, as authorized by 42 U.S.C. 1474, 1479(c), 1490e, and 1490m, \$40,400,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That of the total amount appropriated under this heading, the amount equal to the amount of Rural Housing Assistance Grants allocated by the Secretary for Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the fiscal year 2010, shall be available through June 30, 2011, for communities designated by the Secretary of Agriculture as Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones.

FARM LABOR PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the cost of direct loans, grants, and contracts, as authorized by 42 U.S.C. 1484 and 1486, \$20,346,000, to remain available until expended, for direct farm labor housing loans and domestic farm labor housing grants and contracts.

RURAL COMMUNITY FACILITIES PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For the cost of direct loans, loan guarantees, and grants for rural community facilities programs as authorized by section 306 and described in section 381E(d)(1) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, \$52,678,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That \$6,256,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be available for a Rural Community Development Initiative: *Provided further*, That such funds shall be used solely to develop the capacity and ability of private, nonprofit community-based housing and community development organizations, low-income rural communities, and Federally Recognized Native American Tribes to undertake projects to improve housing, community facilities, community and economic development projects in rural areas: *Provided further*, That such funds shall be made available to qualified private, nonprofit and pub-

lic intermediary organizations proposing to carry out a program of financial and technical assistance: *Provided further*, That such intermediary organizations shall provide matching funds from other sources, including Federal funds for related activities, in an amount not less than funds provided: *Provided further*, That \$10,000,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be to provide grants for facilities in rural communities with extreme unemployment and severe economic depression (Public Law 106-387), with up to 5 percent for administration and capacity building in the State rural development offices: *Provided further*, That \$3,972,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be available for community facilities grants to tribal colleges, as authorized by section 306(a)(19) of such Act: *Provided further*, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, the amount equal to the amount of Rural Community Facilities Program Account funds allocated by the Secretary for Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the fiscal year 2010, shall be available through June 30, 2011, for communities designated by the Secretary of Agriculture as Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the rural community programs described in section 381E(d)(1) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act: *Provided further*, That sections 381E-H and 381N of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act are not applicable to the funds made available under this heading: *Provided further*, That any prior balances in the Rural Development, Rural Community Advancement Program account for programs authorized by section 306 and described in section 381E(d)(1) of such Act be transferred and merged with this account and any other prior balances from the Rural Development, Rural Community Advancement Program account that the Secretary determines is appropriate to transfer.

RURAL BUSINESS-COOPERATIVE SERVICE

RURAL BUSINESS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For the cost of loan guarantees and grants, for the rural business development programs authorized by sections 306 and 310B and described in sections 310B(f) and 381E(d)(3) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, \$86,689,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, not to exceed \$500,000 shall be made available for a grant to a qualified national organization to provide technical assistance for rural transportation in order to promote economic development and \$2,979,000 shall be for grants to the Delta Regional Authority (7 U.S.C. 2009aa et seq.) for any Rural Community Advancement Program purpose as described in section 381E(d) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, of which not more than 5 percent may be used for administrative expenses: *Provided further*, That \$4,000,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be for business grants to benefit Federally Recognized Native American Tribes, including \$250,000 for a grant to a qualified national organization to provide technical assistance for rural transportation in order to promote economic development: *Provided further*, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, the amount equal to the amount of Rural Business Program Account funds allocated by the Secretary for Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the fiscal year 2010, shall be available through June 30, 2011, for communities designated by the Secretary of Agriculture as

Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the rural business and cooperative development programs described in section 381E(d)(3) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act: *Provided further*, That sections 381E-H and 381N of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act are not applicable to funds made available under this heading: *Provided further*, That any prior balances in the Rural Development, Rural Community Advancement Program account for programs authorized by sections 306 and 310B and described in sections 310B(f) and 381E(d)(3) of such Act be transferred and merged with this account and any other prior balances from the Rural Development, Rural Community Advancement Program account that the Secretary determines is appropriate to transfer.

RURAL DEVELOPMENT LOAN FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the principal amount of direct loans, as authorized by the Rural Development Loan Fund (42 U.S.C. 9812(a)), \$33,533,000.

For the cost of direct loans, \$12,937,000, as authorized by the Rural Development Loan Fund (42 U.S.C. 9812(a)), of which \$1,582,000 shall be available through June 30, 2011, for Federally Recognized Native American Tribes and of which \$3,164,000 shall be available through June 30, 2011, for Mississippi Delta Region counties (as determined in accordance with Public Law 100-460): *Provided*, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: *Provided further*, That of the total amount appropriated under this heading, the amount equal to the amount of Rural Development Loan Fund Program Account funds allocated by the Secretary for Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the fiscal year 2010, shall be available through June 30, 2011, for communities designated by the Secretary of Agriculture as Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones.

In addition, for administrative expenses to carry out the direct loan programs, \$5,087,000 shall be paid to the appropriation for "Rural Development, Salaries and Expenses".

RURAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

For the principal amount of direct loans, as authorized under section 313 of the Rural Electrification Act, for the purpose of promoting rural economic development and job creation projects, \$33,077,000.

Of the funds derived from interest on the cushion of credit payments, as authorized by section 313 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, \$184,000,000 shall not be obligated and \$184,000,000 are rescinded.

RURAL COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT GRANTS

For rural cooperative development grants authorized under section 310B(e) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (7 U.S.C. 1932), \$35,554,000, of which \$2,800,000 shall be for cooperative agreements for the appropriate technology transfer for rural areas program: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$3,463,000 shall be for grants for cooperative development centers, individual cooperatives, or groups of cooperatives that serve socially disadvantaged groups and a majority of the boards of directors or governing boards of which are comprised of individuals who are members of socially disadvantaged groups; and of which \$20,367,000, to remain available until expended, shall be for value-added agricultural product market development grants, as authorized by section 231 of the Agricultural Risk Protection Act of 2000 (7 U.S.C. 1621 note).

RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE

RURAL WATER AND WASTE DISPOSAL PROGRAM
ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For the cost of direct loans, loan guarantees, and grants for the rural water, waste water, waste disposal, and solid waste management programs authorized by sections 306, 306A, 306C, 306D, 306E, and 310B and described in sections 306C(a)(2), 306D, 306E, and 381E(d)(2) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, \$582,851,000, to remain available until expended, of which not to exceed \$497,000 shall be available for the rural utilities program described in section 306(a)(2)(B) of such Act, and of which not to exceed \$993,000 shall be available for the rural utilities program described in section 306E of such Act: *Provided*, That \$3,432,000 of the amounts appropriated under this heading shall be for loans authorized under 16 U.S.C. 1006a, for projects whose features include agricultural water supply benefits, groundwater protection, environmental enhancement and flood control, except for the limitations contained in the last sentence of such authority and such loans shall be made by the Rural Utilities Service: *Provided further*, That \$70,000,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be for loans and grants including water and waste disposal systems grants authorized by 306C(a)(2)(B) and 306D of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, Federally recognized Native American Tribes authorized by 306C(a)(1), and the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands (of the State of Hawaii): *Provided further*, That funding provided for section 306D of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act may be provided to a consortium formed pursuant to section 325 of Public Law 105-83: *Provided further*, That not more than 2 percent of the funding provided for section 306D of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act may be used by the State of Alaska for training and technical assistance programs and not more than 2 percent of the funding provided for section 306D of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act may be used by a consortium formed pursuant to section 325 of Public Law 105-83 for training and technical assistance programs: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$19,500,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be for technical assistance grants for rural water and waste systems pursuant to section 306(a)(14) of such Act, unless the Secretary makes a determination of extreme need, of which \$6,000,000 shall be made available for a grant to a qualified non-profit multi-state regional technical assistance organization, with experience in working with small communities on water and waste water problems, the principal purpose of such grant shall be to assist rural communities with populations of 3,300 or less, in improving the planning, financing, development, operation, and management of water and waste water systems, and of which not less than \$800,000 shall be for a qualified national Native American organization to provide technical assistance for rural water systems for tribal communities: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$15,000,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be for contracting with qualified national organizations for a circuit rider program to provide technical assistance for rural water systems: *Provided further*, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, the amount equal to the amount of Rural Water and Waste Disposal Program Account funds allocated by the Secretary for Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for

the fiscal year 2010, shall be available through June 30, 2011, for communities designated by the Secretary of Agriculture as Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the rural utilities programs described in section 381E(d)(2) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act: *Provided further*, That \$17,500,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be transferred to, and merged with, the Rural Utilities Service, High Energy Cost Grants Account to provide grants authorized under section 19 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 918a): *Provided further*, That any prior year balances for high cost energy grants authorized by section 19 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 918a) shall be transferred to and merged with the Rural Utilities Service, High Energy Costs Grants Account: *Provided further*, That sections 381E-H and 381N of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act are not applicable to the funds made available under this heading: *Provided further*, That any prior balances in the Rural Development, Rural Community Advancement Program account programs authorized by sections 306, 306A, 306C, 306D, 306E, and 310B and described in sections 306C(a)(2), 306D, 306E, and 381E(d)(2) of such Act be transferred to and merged with this account and any other prior balances from the Rural Development, Rural Community Advancement Program account that the Secretary determines is appropriate to transfer.

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS
LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The principal amount of direct and guaranteed loans as authorized by sections 305 and 306 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 935 and 936) shall be made as follows: 5 percent rural electrification loans, \$100,000,000; loans made pursuant to section 306 of that Act, rural electric, \$6,500,000,000; guaranteed underwriting loans pursuant to section 313A, \$500,000,000; 5 percent rural telecommunications loans, \$145,000,000; cost of money rural telecommunications loans, \$250,000,000; and for loans made pursuant to section 306 of that Act, rural telecommunications loans, \$295,000,000.

For the cost of guaranteed loans, including the cost of modifying loans, as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as follows: \$700,000 for guaranteed underwriting loans authorized by section 313A of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 940c-1).

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the direct and guaranteed loan programs, \$38,709,000, which shall be paid to the appropriation for "Rural Development, Salaries and Expenses".

DISTANCE LEARNING, TELEMEDICINE, AND
BROADBAND PROGRAM

For the principal amount of broadband telecommunication loans, \$400,000,000.

For grants for telemedicine and distance learning services in rural areas, as authorized by 7 U.S.C. 950aaa et seq., \$37,755,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That \$3,000,000 shall be made available for grants authorized by 379G of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act: *Provided further*, That \$4,500,000 shall be made available to those noncommercial educational television broadcast stations that serve rural areas and are qualified for Community Service Grants by the Corporation for Public Broadcasting under section 396(k) of the Communications Act of 1934, including associated translators and repeaters, regardless of the location of their main transmitter, studio-to-transmitter links, and

equipment to allow local control over digital content and programming through the use of high-definition broadcast, multi-casting and datacasting technologies.

For the cost of broadband loans, as authorized by section 601 of the Rural Electrification Act, \$22,320,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the cost of direct loans shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

In addition, \$17,976,000, to remain available until expended, for a grant program to finance broadband transmission in rural areas eligible for Distance Learning and Telemedicine Program benefits authorized by 7 U.S.C. 950aaa.

TITLE IV

DOMESTIC FOOD PROGRAMS

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR FOOD,
NUTRITION AND CONSUMER SERVICES

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Under Secretary for Food, Nutrition and Consumer Services, \$821,000.

FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE

CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS

For necessary expenses to carry out the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1751 et seq.), except section 21, and the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1771 et seq.), except sections 17 and 21; \$17,319,981,000, to remain available through September 30, 2012, of which such sums as are made available under section 14222(b)(1) of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-246), as amended by this Act, shall be merged with and available for the same time period and purposes as provided herein: *Provided*, That of the total amount available, \$7,500,000 shall be available to be awarded as competitive grants to implement section 4405 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-246), and may be awarded notwithstanding the limitations imposed by sections 4405(b)(1)(A) and 4405(c)(1)(A): *Provided further*, That section 14222(b)(1) of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 is amended by adding at the end before the period, "except section 21, and the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1771 et seq.), except sections 17 and 21".

SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION PROGRAM
FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, AND CHILDREN (WIC)

For necessary expenses to carry out the special supplemental nutrition program as authorized by section 17 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786), \$6,852,522,000, to remain available through September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That notwithstanding section 17(g)(5) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786(g)(5)), up to \$15,000,000 of funds provided in this Act may be used for the purpose of evaluating program performance in the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children: *Provided further*, That of the amounts made available under this heading, up to \$14,000,000 shall be used for infrastructure, up to \$35,000,000 shall be used for management information systems, and up to \$80,000,000 shall be used for breastfeeding peer counselors and other related activities: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided in this account shall be available for the purchase of infant formula except in accordance with the cost containment and competitive bidding requirements specified in section 17 of such Act: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided shall be available for activities that are not fully reimbursed by other Federal Government departments or agencies unless authorized by section 17 of such Act

SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION ASSISTANCE
PROGRAM

For necessary expenses to carry out the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.), \$70,907,818,000, of which \$5,000,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2012, shall be placed in reserve for use only in such amounts and at such times as may become necessary to carry out program operations: *Provided*, That funds provided herein shall be expended in accordance with section 16 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008: *Provided further*, That this appropriation shall be subject to any work registration or workfare requirements as may be required by law: *Provided further*, That funds made available for Employment and Training under this heading shall remain available until expended, notwithstanding section 16(h)(1) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008: *Provided further*, That funds made available under this heading may be used to enter into contracts and employ staff to conduct studies, evaluations, or to conduct activities related to program integrity provided that such activities are authorized by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008.

COMMODITY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

For necessary expenses to carry out disaster assistance and the Commodity Supplemental Food Program as authorized by section 4(a) of the Agriculture and Consumer Protection Act of 1973 (7 U.S.C. 612c note); the Emergency Food Assistance Act of 1983; special assistance for the nuclear affected islands, as authorized by section 103(f)(2) of the Compact of Free Association Amendments Act of 2003 (Public Law 108-188); and the Farmers' Market Nutrition Program, as authorized by section 17(m) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966, \$262,619,000, to remain available through September 30, 2012, of which \$6,000,000 shall be for emergency food program infrastructure grants authorized by section 209 of the Emergency Food Assistance Act of 1983: *Provided*, That of the amount provided, \$5,000,000 is to begin service in six additional States that have plans approved by the Department for the commodity supplemental food program: *Provided further*, That none of these funds shall be available to reimburse the Commodity Credit Corporation for commodities donated to the program: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, effective with funds made available in fiscal year 2011 to support the Seniors Farmers' Market Nutrition Program, as authorized by section 4402 of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002, such funds shall remain available through September 30, 2012: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available under section 27(a) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2036(a)), the Secretary may use up to 10 percent for costs associated with the distribution of commodities.

NUTRITION PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATION

For necessary administrative expenses of the Food and Nutrition Service for carrying out any domestic nutrition assistance program, \$162,587,000: *Provided*, That \$3,000,000 shall be for section 4404 of Public Law 107-171, as amended by section 4401 of Public Law 110-246.

TITLE V

FOREIGN ASSISTANCE AND RELATED
PROGRAMS

FOREIGN AGRICULTURAL SERVICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Foreign Agricultural Service, including not to exceed

\$158,000 for representation allowances and for expenses pursuant to section 8 of the Act approved August 3, 1956 (7 U.S.C. 1766), \$219,280,000: *Provided*, That the Service may utilize advances of funds, or reimburse this appropriation for expenditures made on behalf of Federal agencies, public and private organizations and institutions under agreements executed pursuant to the agricultural food production assistance programs (7 U.S.C. 1737) and the foreign assistance programs of the United States Agency for International Development: *Provided further*, That of the amount appropriated under this heading \$14,600,000 is for stabilization and reconstruction activities to be carried out under the authority provided by title XIV of the Food and Agriculture Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 3101 et seq.) and other applicable laws: *Provided further*, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, \$5,000,000 is for the Secretary to provide technical assistance under available authorities for the establishment and growth of sustainable food production and marketing systems in developing countries: *Provided further*, That funds made available for middle-income country training programs and up to \$2,000,000 of the Foreign Agricultural Service appropriation solely for the purpose of offsetting fluctuations in international currency exchange rates, subject to documentation by the Foreign Agricultural Service, shall remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That of the total amount appropriated under this heading, \$4,500,000 shall be available for activities under the Technical Assistance for Specialty Crops Program pursuant to section 3205 of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-171), as amended.

FOOD FOR PEACE TITLE I DIRECT CREDIT AND
FOOD FOR PROGRESS PROGRAM ACCOUNT
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For administrative expenses to carry out the credit program of title I, Food for Peace Act (Public Law 83-480) and the Food for Progress Act of 1985, \$2,846,000, which shall be paid to the appropriation for "Farm Service Agency, Salaries and Expenses": *Provided*, That funds made available for the cost of agreements under title I of the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954 and for title I ocean freight differential may be used interchangeably between the two accounts with prior notice to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress.

FOOD FOR PEACE TITLE II GRANTS

For expenses during the current fiscal year, not otherwise recoverable, and uncovered prior years' costs, including interest thereon, under the Food for Peace Act (Public Law 83-480, as amended), for commodities supplied in connection with dispositions abroad under title II of said Act, \$1,690,000,000, to remain available until expended.

COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION EXPORT
LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For administrative expenses to carry out the Commodity Credit Corporation's export guarantee program, GSM 102 and GSM 103, \$6,884,000; to cover common overhead expenses as permitted by section 11 of the Commodity Credit Corporation Charter Act and in conformity with the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990, of which \$6,525,000 shall be paid to the appropriation for "Foreign Agricultural Service, Salaries and Expenses", and of which \$359,000 shall be paid to the appropriation for "Farm Service Agency, Salaries and Expenses".

MCGOVERN-DOLE INTERNATIONAL FOOD FOR
EDUCATION AND CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAM
GRANTS

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of section 3107 of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (7 U.S.C. 1736o-1), \$219,500,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the Commodity Credit Corporation is authorized to provide the services, facilities, and authorities for the purpose of implementing such section, subject to reimbursement from amounts provided herein: *Provided further*, That up to \$1,000,000 is made available for the purposes of section 3107 of Public Law 107-171, as amended by Public Law 111-203, and shall be available for activities under section (b)(1) and (b)(2) of the Act.

TITLE VI

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION AND
FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN
SERVICES

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Food and Drug Administration, including hire and purchase of passenger motor vehicles; for payment of space rental and related costs pursuant to Public Law 92-313 for programs and activities of the Food and Drug Administration which are included in this Act; for rental of special purpose space in the District of Columbia or elsewhere; for miscellaneous and emergency expenses of enforcement activities, authorized and approved by the Secretary and to be accounted for solely on the Secretary's certificate, not to exceed \$25,000; and notwithstanding section 521 of Public Law 107-188; \$3,745,044,000: *Provided*, That of the amount provided under this heading, \$667,057,000 shall be derived from prescription drug user fees authorized by 21 U.S.C. 379h shall be credited to this account and remain available until expended, and shall not include any fees pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 379h(a)(2) and (a)(3) assessed for fiscal year 2012 but collected in fiscal year 2011; \$61,860,000 shall be derived from medical device user fees authorized by 21 U.S.C. 379j, and shall be credited to this account and remain available until expended; \$19,448,000 shall be derived from animal drug user fees authorized by 21 U.S.C. 379j, and shall be credited to this account and remain available until expended; \$5,397,000 shall be derived from animal generic drug user fees authorized by 21 U.S.C. 379f, and shall be credited to this account and shall remain available until expended; and \$450,000,000 shall be derived from tobacco product user fees authorized by 21 U.S.C. 387s and shall be credited to this account and remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That in addition and notwithstanding any other provision under this heading, amounts collected for prescription drug user fees that exceed the fiscal year 2011 limitation are appropriated and shall be credited to this account and remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That fees derived from prescription drug, medical device, animal drug, animal generic drug, and tobacco product assessments for fiscal year 2011 received during fiscal year 2011, including any such fees assessed prior to fiscal year 2011 but credited for fiscal year 2011, shall be subject to the fiscal year 2011 limitations: *Provided further*, That none of these funds shall be used to develop, establish, or operate any program of user fees authorized by 31 U.S.C. 9701: *Provided further*, That of the total amount appropriated: (1) \$869,387,000 shall be for the

Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition and related field activities in the Office of Regulatory Affairs; (2) \$982,811,000 shall be for the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research and related field activities in the Office of Regulatory Affairs; (3) \$328,234,000 shall be for the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research and for related field activities in the Office of Regulatory Affairs; (4) \$167,875,000 shall be for the Center for Veterinary Medicine and for related field activities in the Office of Regulatory Affairs; (5) \$362,491,000 shall be for the Center for Devices and Radiological Health and for related field activities in the Office of Regulatory Affairs; (6) \$60,975,000 shall be for the National Center for Toxicological Research; (7) \$421,463,000 shall be for the Center for Tobacco Products and for related field activities in the Office of Regulatory Affairs; (8) not to exceed \$141,724,000 shall be for Rent and Related activities, of which \$41,951,000 is for White Oak Consolidation, other than the amounts paid to the General Services Administration for rent; (9) not to exceed \$185,983,000 shall be for payments to the General Services Administration for rent; and (10) \$224,101,000 shall be for other activities, including the Office of the Commissioner; the Office of Foods; the Office of the Chief Scientist; the Office of Policy, Planning and Budget; the Office of International Programs; the Office of Administration; and central services for these offices: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available under this heading shall be used to transfer funds under section 770(n) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 379dd): *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$25,000 of this amount shall be for official reception and representation expenses, not otherwise provided for, as determined by the Commissioner: *Provided further*, That funds may be transferred from one specified activity to another with the prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress.

In addition, mammography user fees authorized by 42 U.S.C. 263b, export certification user fees authorized by 21 U.S.C. 381, and priority review user fees authorized by 21 U.S.C. 360n may be credited to this account, to remain available until expended.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

For plans, construction, repair, improvement, extension, alteration, and purchase of fixed equipment or facilities of or used by the Food and Drug Administration, where not otherwise provided, \$10,000,000, to remain available until expended.

INDEPENDENT AGENCY

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Not to exceed \$59,400,000 (from assessments collected from farm credit institutions, including the Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation) shall be obligated during the current fiscal year for administrative expenses as authorized under 12 U.S.C. 2249: *Provided*, That this limitation shall not apply to expenses associated with receiverships.

TITLE VII

GENERAL PROVISIONS

(INCLUDING RESCISSIONS AND TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

SEC. 701. Within the unit limit of cost fixed by law, appropriations and authorizations made for the Department of Agriculture for the current fiscal year under this Act shall be available for the purchase, in addition to those specifically provided for, of not to ex-

ceed 204 passenger motor vehicles, of which 170 shall be for replacement only, and for the hire of such vehicles.

SEC. 702. The Secretary of Agriculture may transfer unobligated balances of discretionary funds appropriated by this Act or other available unobligated discretionary balances of the Department of Agriculture to the Working Capital Fund for the acquisition of plant and capital equipment necessary for the delivery of financial, administrative, and information technology services of primary benefit to the agencies of the Department of Agriculture: *Provided*, That none of the funds made available by this Act or any other Act shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund without the prior approval of the agency administrator: *Provided further*, That none of the funds transferred to the Working Capital Fund pursuant to this section shall be available for obligation without written notification to and the prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated by this Act or made available to the Department's Working Capital Fund shall be available for obligation or expenditure to make any changes to the Department's National Finance Center without written notification to and prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress as required by section 711 of this Act: *Provided further*, That of annual income amounts in the Working Capital Fund of the Department of Agriculture allocated for the National Finance Center, the Secretary may reserve not more than 4 percent for the replacement or acquisition of capital equipment, including equipment for the improvement and implementation of a financial management plan, information technology, and other systems of the National Finance Center or to pay any unforeseen, extraordinary cost of the National Finance Center: *Provided further*, That none of the amounts reserved shall be available for obligation unless the Secretary submits written notification of the obligation to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate: *Provided further*, That the limitation on the obligation of funds pending notification to Congressional Committees shall not apply to any obligation that, as determined by the Secretary, is necessary to respond to a declared state of emergency that significantly impacts the operations of the National Finance Center; or to evacuate employees of the National Finance Center to a safe haven to continue operations of the National Finance Center.

SEC. 703. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year unless expressly so provided herein.

SEC. 704. No funds appropriated by this Act may be used to pay negotiated indirect cost rates on cooperative agreements or similar arrangements between the United States Department of Agriculture and nonprofit institutions in excess of 10 percent of the total direct cost of the agreement when the purpose of such cooperative arrangements is to carry out programs of mutual interest between the two parties. This does not preclude appropriate payment of indirect costs on grants and contracts with such institutions when such indirect costs are computed on a similar basis for all agencies for which appropriations are provided in this Act.

SEC. 705. Appropriations to the Department of Agriculture for the cost of direct and guaranteed loans made available in the current fiscal year shall remain available until

expended to disburse obligations made in the current fiscal year for the following accounts: the Rural Development Loan Fund program account, the Rural Electrification and Telecommunication Loans program account, and the Rural Housing Insurance Fund program account.

SEC. 706. Of the funds made available by this Act, not more than \$1,800,000 shall be used to cover necessary expenses of activities related to all advisory committees, panels, commissions, and task forces of the Department of Agriculture, except for panels used to comply with negotiated rule makings and panels used to evaluate competitively awarded grants.

SEC. 707. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to carry out section 410 of the Federal Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 679a) or section 30 of the Poultry Products Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 471).

SEC. 708. No employee of the Department of Agriculture may be detailed or assigned from an agency or office funded by this Act or any other Act to any other agency or office of the Department for more than 30 days unless the individual's employing agency or office is fully reimbursed by the receiving agency or office for the salary and expenses of the employee for the period of assignment.

SEC. 709. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Agriculture or the Food and Drug Administration shall be used to transmit or otherwise make available to any non-Department of Agriculture or non-Department of Health and Human Services employee questions or responses to questions that are a result of information requested for the appropriations hearing process.

SEC. 710. None of the funds made available to the Department of Agriculture by this Act may be used to acquire new information technology systems or significant upgrades, as determined by the Office of the Chief Information Officer, without the approval of the Chief Information Officer and the concurrence of the Executive Information Technology Investment Review Board: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, none of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be transferred to the Office of the Chief Information Officer without written notification to and the prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress: *Provided further*, That none of the funds available to the Department of Agriculture for information technology shall be obligated for projects over \$25,000 prior to receipt of written approval by the Chief Information Officer.

SEC. 711. (a) None of the funds provided by this Act, or provided by previous Appropriations Acts to the agencies funded by this Act that remain available for obligation or expenditure in the current fiscal year, or provided from any accounts in the Treasury of the United States derived by the collection of fees available to the agencies funded by this Act, shall be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming or transfer of funds, or in the case of the Department of Agriculture, through use of the authority provided by section 702(b) of the Department of Agriculture Organic Act of 1944 (7 U.S.C. 2257) or section 8 of Public Law 89-106 (7 U.S.C. 2263), that—

- (1) creates new programs;
- (2) eliminates a program, project, or activity;
- (3) increases funds or personnel by any means for any project or activity for which funds have been denied or restricted;

(4) relocates an office or employees;
 (5) reorganizes offices, programs, or activities; or

(6) contracts out or privatizes any functions or activities presently performed by Federal employees;

unless the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of Health and Human Services, notifies, in writing, the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress at least 30 days in advance of the reprogramming of such funds or the use of such authority.

(b) None of the funds provided by this Act, or provided by previous Appropriations Acts to the agencies funded by this Act that remain available for obligation or expenditure in the current fiscal year, or provided from any accounts in the Treasury of the United States derived by the collection of fees available to the agencies funded by this Act, shall be available for obligation or expenditure for activities, programs, or projects through a reprogramming or use of the authorities referred to in subsection (a) involving funds in excess of \$500,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less, that:

(1) augments existing programs, projects, or activities;

(2) reduces by 10 percent funding for any existing program, project, or activity, or numbers of personnel by 10 percent as approved by Congress; or

(3) results from any general savings from a reduction in personnel which would result in a change in existing programs, activities, or projects as approved by Congress;

unless the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of Health and Human Services, notifies, in writing, the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress at least 30 days in advance of the reprogramming of such funds or the use of such authority.

(c) The Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of Health and Human Services, shall notify in writing the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress before implementing a program or activity not carried out during the previous fiscal year unless the program or activity is funded by this Act or specifically funded by any other Act.

SEC. 712. None of the funds appropriated by this or any other Act shall be used to pay the salaries and expenses of personnel who prepare or submit appropriations language as part of the President's Budget submission to the Congress of the United States for programs under the jurisdiction of the Appropriations Subcommittees on Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies that assumes revenues or reflects a reduction from the previous year due to user fees proposals that have not been enacted into law prior to the submission of the Budget unless such Budget submission identifies which additional spending reductions should occur in the event the user fees proposals are not enacted prior to the date of the convening of a committee of conference for the fiscal year 2012 appropriations Act.

SEC. 713. None of the funds made available by this or any other Act may be used to close or relocate a Rural Development office unless or until the Secretary of Agriculture determines the cost effectiveness and/or enhancement of program delivery: *Provided*, That not later than 120 days before the date of the proposed closure or relocation, the Secretary notifies in writing the Committees on Appropriation of the House and Senate, and the members of Congress from the State in which the office is located of the proposed closure or relocation and provides a report

that describes the justifications for such closures and relocations.

SEC. 714. None of the funds made available in fiscal year 2010 or preceding fiscal years for programs authorized under the Food for Peace Act (7 U.S.C. 1691 et seq.) in excess of \$20,000,000 shall be used to reimburse the Commodity Credit Corporation for the release of eligible commodities under section 302(f)(2)(A) of the Bill Emerson Humanitarian Trust Act (7 U.S.C. 1736f-1): *Provided*, That any such funds made available to reimburse the Commodity Credit Corporation shall only be used pursuant to section 302(b)(2)(B)(i) of the Bill Emerson Humanitarian Trust Act.

SEC. 715. None of the funds made available to the Food and Drug Administration by this Act shall be used to close or relocate, or to plan to close or relocate, the Food and Drug Administration Division of Pharmaceutical Analysis in St. Louis, Missouri, outside the city or county limits of St. Louis, Missouri.

SEC. 716. Funds made available under section 1240I and section 1241(a) of the Food Security Act of 1985 and section 524(b) of the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7 U.S.C. 1524(b)) in the current fiscal year shall remain available until expended to disburse obligations made in the current fiscal year.

SEC. 717. Unless otherwise authorized by existing law, none of the funds provided in this Act, may be used by an executive branch agency to produce any prepackaged news story intended for broadcast or distribution in the United States unless the story includes a clear notification within the text or audio of the prepackaged news story that the prepackaged news story was prepared or funded by that executive branch agency.

SEC. 718. There is hereby appropriated \$5,000,000, to remain available until expended, for a grant to the National Center for Natural Products Research for construction or renovation to carry out the research objectives of the natural products research grant issued by the Food and Drug Administration.

SEC. 719. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this or any other Act shall be used to pay the salaries and expenses of personnel to carry out in fiscal year 2011 the following:

(1) An Environmental Quality Incentives Program as authorized by sections 1240–1240 H of the Food Security of 1985, as amended (16 U.S.C. 3839aa–3839aa(8)), in excess of \$1,311,548,000.

(2) A program authorized by section 14(h)(1) of the Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Act (16 U.S.C. 1012(h)(1)).

(3) A program under subsection (b)(2)(A)(iii) of section 14222 of Public Law 110–246 in excess of \$1,052,000,000: *Provided*, That none of the funds made available in this Act or any other Act shall be used for salaries and expenses to carry out section 19(i)(1)(D) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act as amended by section 4304 of Public Law 110–246 in excess of \$37,000,000, including the transfer of funds under subsection (c) of section 14222 of Public Law 110–246, until October 1, 2011: *Provided further*, That \$113,000,000 made available on October 1, 2011, to carry out section 19(i)(1)(D) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act as amended by section 4304 of Public Law 110–246 shall be excluded from the limitation described in subsection (b)(2)(A)(iv) of section 14222 of Public Law 110–246.

(4) A Wetlands Reserve Program as authorized by sections 1237–1237F of the Food Security Act of 1985, as amended (16 U.S.C. 3837), to enroll in excess of 247,500 acres.

SEC. 720. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any former RUS borrower that has repaid or prepaid an insured, direct or guaranteed loan under the Rural Electrification Act, or any not-for-profit utility that is eligible to receive an insured or direct loan under such Act, shall be eligible for assistance under section 313(b)(2)(B) of such Act in the same manner as a borrower under such Act.

SEC. 721. None of the funds made available to the Department of Agriculture in this Act may be used to implement the risk-based inspection program in the 30 prototype locations announced on February 22, 2007, by the Under Secretary for Food Safety, or at any other locations, until the USDA Office of Inspector General has provided its findings to the Food Safety and Inspection Service and the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate on the data used in support of the development and design of the risk-based inspection program and FSIS has addressed and resolved issues identified by OIG.

SEC. 722. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Agriculture—

(1) shall consider—

(A) the town of Alden, NY, the town of Fallsburg, NY, and the town of Moreau, NY, to be rural areas for the purposes of eligibility for Rural Utilities Service water and waste disposal loans and grants;

(B) the town of Brattleboro, VT, (including individuals and entities with projects within the town) eligible for loans and grants funded through the Rural Utilities Service water and waste disposal program;

(C) the cities of Greenwood, SC, and Paragould, AR, (including individuals and entities with projects within the cities) eligible for loans and grants funded through the Rural Community Facilities Program Account;

(D) the area of South Apopka, FL, and the unincorporated community of Oceano, CA (including individuals and entities with projects within the community), eligible for loans and grants funded under the housing programs of the Rural Housing Service;

(E) the city of Wilkes-Barre, PA, the city of Pittston, PA, the city of Nanticoke, PA, the township of Pittston, PA, and the township of Hanover, PA (including individuals and entities with projects within the city) eligible for loans and grants funded through the Rural Business Program Account; and

(F) the area of Dededo, Guam, and the area of Yigo, Guam (including individuals and entities with projects within the city), eligible for loans and grants funded through the Rural Development mission area; and

(2) may fund Rural Community Facility Program projects of the Rural Housing Service and Water and Waste Disposal Program projects of the Rural Utilities Service for communities and municipal districts and areas in New York that filed applications for such projects with the appropriate Rural Development field office of the Department of Agriculture prior to January 1, 2010, and that such projects were determined by the field office to be eligible for funding.

SEC. 723. There is hereby appropriated \$2,600,000, to remain available until expended, for the construction and interim operations for establishment of an agricultural pest facility in the State of Hawaii.

SEC. 724. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Natural Resources Conservation Service shall provide financial and technical assistance through the Watershed and Flood Prevention Operations program to carry out—

(1) the Alameda Creek Watershed Project in Alameda County, California;

(2) the Pidcock-Mill Creeks Watershed project in Bucks County, Pennsylvania;

(3) the Gin Bayou Bank Stabilization in Mississippi;

(4) the North Drainage Projects in Mississippi;

(5) the Copper Mine Brook Watershed project in the State of Connecticut;

(6) the East Locust Creek Watershed Plan Revision in Missouri, including up to 100 percent of the engineering assistance and 75 percent cost share for construction cost of site RW1;

(7) the Little Otter Creek Watershed project in Missouri. The sponsoring local organization may obtain land rights by perpetual easements;

(8) the Lake County Watershed in the State of Illinois;

(9) the Dunloup Creek Watershed project in Fayette and Raleigh Counties, West Virginia;

(10) the North Fork of Elkhorn Creek Watershed project in the State of West Virginia;

(11) the Pocasset River Floodplain Management project in the State of Rhode Island; and

(12) the Southeast Quadrant Drainage and Flood Prevention project in the State of Alabama.

SEC. 725. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for the purposes of a grant under section 412 of the Agricultural Research, Extension, and Education Reform Act of 1998, none of the funds in this or any other Act may be used to prohibit the provision of in-kind support from non-Federal sources under section 412(e)(3) in the form of unrecovered indirect costs not otherwise charged against the grant, consistent with the indirect rate of cost approved for a recipient.

SEC. 726. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, there is hereby appropriated:

(1) \$3,000,000 of which \$2,000,000 shall be for a grant to the Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade, and Consumer Protection, and \$1,000,000 shall be for a grant to the Vermont Agency of Agriculture, Foods, and Markets, as authorized by section 6402 of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (7 U.S.C. 1621 note);

(2) \$350,000 for a grant to the Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection; and

(3) \$250,000 for the Tioga County, NY, Rural Economic Area Partnership.

SEC. 727. The Secretary of Agriculture may authorize a State agency to use funds provided in this Act to exceed the maximum amount of liquid infant formula specified in 7 C.F.R. 246.10 when issuing liquid infant formula to participants.

SEC. 728. Of the unobligated balances provided pursuant to section 16(h)(1)(A) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, \$15,000,000 is hereby rescinded.

SEC. 729. (a) None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to promulgate or implement a poultry products inspection rule allowing processed poultry or processed poultry products to be imported into the United States from the People's Republic of China unless the Secretary of Agriculture formally notifies Congress that the Department will—

(1) not provide any preferential consideration to any application by the People's Republic of China for authorization to export poultry or poultry products to the United States;

(2) conduct audits of inspection systems and on-site reviews of slaughter and proc-

essing facilities, laboratories and other control operations before any Chinese facilities are certified as eligible to ship poultry or poultry products to the United States and, in subsequent years, to conduct such audits and reviews at least once annually or more frequently as the Secretary determines necessary;

(3) implement a significantly increased level of port of entry re-inspection;

(4) establish and conduct a formal and expeditious information sharing program with other countries importing processed poultry or processed poultry products from China that have conducted audits and plant inspections;

(5) report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations within 60 days of the date of enactment of this Act, and every 90 days thereafter for an indefinite period, with respect to the promulgation or implementation of any poultry products inspection rule authorizing the People's Republic of China to export poultry or poultry products to the United States, including—

(A) actions taken or to be taken by the Secretary, including new audits and on-site reviews, to implement any poultry products inspection rule authorizing the People's Republic of China to export processed poultry or processed poultry products to the United States;

(B) actions taken or to be taken by the Secretary, including new audits and on-site reviews, to determine whether the poultry inspection system of the People's Republic of China achieves a level of sanitary protection equivalent to that achieved under United States standards;

(C) actions taken or to be taken by the Secretary to determine whether the administration and enforcement of the poultry and poultry products inspection system of the People's Republic of China ensures that it achieves a level of sanitary protection equivalent to that achieved under United States standards;

(D) the level of port of entry re-inspections to be conducted on processed poultry and processed poultry products offered for importation into the United States from the People's Republic of China; and

(E) a work plan incorporating any understandings or agreements between FSIS and relevant authorities of the People's Republic of China with respect to carrying out the Secretary's assessment of the equivalency of the poultry products inspection system of the People's Republic of China;

(6) make publicly available, no later than 30 days from the date they are finalized, the reports of any new audits and on-site reviews conducted by the Secretary, and, in addition, when such audit or review is being conducted to determine whether the People's Republic of China's poultry inspection system achieves a level of sanitary protection equivalent to that achieved under United States standards, to make the final report of such audit or review publicly available no later than 30 days prior to the publication of any notice of proposed rulemaking for such determination; and

(7) make publicly available a list of facilities in the People's Republic of China certified to export poultry or poultry products to the United States and to notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations if the number of facilities certified by the People's Republic of China exceeds ten.

(b) None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to promulgate any proposed or final rule allowing the importation into the United States of poultry slaugh-

tered or poultry products produced from poultry slaughtered in the People's Republic of China unless such rule is promulgated in accordance with the procedures for significant rules specified in Executive Order 12866.

(c) This section shall be applied in a manner consistent with United States obligations under its international trade agreements.

SEC. 730. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to pay the salaries or expenses of personnel to—

(1) inspect horses under section 3 of the Federal Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 603);

(2) inspect horses under section 903 of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 (7 U.S.C. 1901 note; Public Law 104-127); or

(3) implement or enforce section 352.19 of title 9, Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 731. There is hereby appropriated \$2,600,000 to carry out section 1621 of Public Law 110-246 and \$3,000,000, to remain available until expended, to carry out section 1613 of Public Law 110-246.

SEC. 732. There is hereby appropriated \$800,000 to the Farm Service Agency to carry out a pilot program to demonstrate the use of new technologies that increase the rate of growth of re-forested hardwood trees on private non-industrial forests lands, enrolling lands on the coast of the Gulf of Mexico that were damaged by Hurricane Katrina in 2005.

SEC. 733. In the case of each program established or amended by the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-246), other than by title I or subtitle A of title III of such Act, or programs for which indefinite amounts were provided in that Act that is authorized or required to be carried out using funds of the Commodity Credit Corporation—

(1) such funds shall be available for salaries and related administrative expenses, including technical assistance, associated with the implementation of the program, without regard to the limitation on the total amount of allotments and fund transfers contained in section 11 of the Commodity Credit Corporation Charter Act (15 U.S.C. 714i); and

(2) the use of such funds for such purpose shall not be considered to be a fund transfer or allotment for purposes of applying the limitation on the total amount of allotments and fund transfers contained in such section.

SEC. 734. Hereafter, notwithstanding section 310B(g)(5) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (7 U.S.C. 1932(g)(5)), the Secretary may assess a one-time fee for any guaranteed business and industry loan in an amount that does not exceed 3 percent of the guaranteed principal portion of the loan.

SEC. 735. The Secretary may reserve, through April 1, 2011, up to 5 percent of the funding available for the following items for projects in areas that are engaged in strategic regional development planning as defined by the Secretary: business and industry guaranteed loans; rural development loan fund; rural business enterprise grants; rural business opportunity grants; value-added producer grants; broadband program; water and waste program; and rural community facilities program.

SEC. 736. Appropriations to the Department of Agriculture made available in fiscal years 2005, 2006, and 2007 to carry out section 601 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 950bb) for the cost of direct loans shall remain available until expended to disburse valid obligations made in fiscal years 2005, 2006, 2007, and 2008.

SEC. 737. Of the unobligated balances in the Agricultural Research Service, Buildings and

Facilities account, \$2,226,000 are hereby rescinded: *Provided*, That no amounts may be rescinded from amounts that were designated by the Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget or the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended: *Provided further*, That no amounts may be rescinded from amounts greater than \$5,000,000 or that have received an appropriation since 2007 unless construction of those facilities has been completed.

SEC. 738. Of the unobligated balances in the Distance Learning, Telemedicine and Broadband Program for the cost of the broadband loans, \$39,000,000 are rescinded: *Provided*, That no amounts may be rescinded from amounts that were designated by the Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget or the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended.

SEC. 739. Of the unobligated balances available for Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service, Buildings and Facilities, \$3,531,000 are rescinded.

SEC. 740. For an additional amount for the "Departmental Administration" account, \$1,000,000, to increase the Department's acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities: *Provided*, That such funds may be transferred by the Secretary to any other account in the Department to carry out the purposes provided herein: *Provided further*, That such transfer authority is in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act: *Provided further*, That such funds shall be available only to supplement and not to supplant existing acquisition workforce activities: *Provided further*, That such funds shall be available for training, recruitment, and retention of additional members of the acquisition workforce as defined by the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act, as amended (41 U.S.C. 401 et seq.): *Provided further*, That such funds shall be available for information technology in support of acquisition workforce effectiveness or for management solutions to improve acquisition management.

SEC. 741. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, school food authorities which received a grant for equipment assistance under the grant program carried out pursuant to the heading "Food and Nutrition Service Child Nutrition Programs" in title I of division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-5) shall be eligible to receive a grant under section 749 (j) of the Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-80).

SEC. 742. The Agricultural Research Service may convey all rights and title of the United States, to a parcel of land comprising .93 acres, more or less, located in SW1/4 Section 26 and NW1/4 Section 35, Township 12 North, Range 1 East, Salt Lake Meridian in Cache County, Utah, originally conveyed by the Board of Trustees of the Utah State University of Agriculture and Applied Science, and described in instruments recorded in Book 45, pages 493-495, of the public land records of Cache County, Utah, including facilities, and fixed equipment, to the Utah State University, Logan, Utah, in their "as is" condition, once suitable headhouse and greenhouse facilities have been provided and when the facilities are vacated by the Agricultural Research Service.

SEC. 743. (a) When implementing the authority provided in paragraphs (2) and (3) of

section 740(c) of the Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-80) that requires the Commissioner of Food and Drugs to develop updated guidance documents and review standards for the development of safe and effective products to treat rare diseases and neglected tropical diseases, the Commissioner shall—

(1) maximize the use of accelerated approval where feasible and appropriate;

(2) work with sponsors to facilitate expanded access to investigational therapies;

(3) increase coordination and interaction with the World Health Organization, European Medicines Agency, and other international regulatory agencies;

(4) implement mechanisms for enhanced collaboration between the Food and Drug Administration and National Regulatory Authorities in developing countries;

(5) develop guidance on clinical development programs for rare diseases;

(6) develop guidance on the use of surrogate endpoints that are reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit of drugs and biological products under the regulations under subpart H of part 314 of title 21, Code of Federal Regulations and subpart E of part 601 of title 21, Code of Federal Regulations; and

(7) increase coordination among individual drug, biological product, and device review divisions across Food and Drug Administration centers to support the development of safe and effective medical products for rare and neglected diseases.

(b) The Commissioner of Food and Drugs shall submit a report to the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives not later than 180 days after the report required in section 740(c)(1) of the Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-80) is submitted: *Provided*, That the report submitted in response to this section shall describe in detail how the Food and Drug Administration is implementing subsection (a).

SEC. 744. (a) **STAKEHOLDER PANEL.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of Agriculture shall contract with a person, firm or organization that specializes in facilitating meetings to establish the Stakeholder Panel referred to in paragraph (b). Section 706 of this Act shall not apply to the Stakeholder Panel referred to in paragraph (b).

(b) **FORMATION.**—

(1) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the person, firm or organization that specializes in facilitating meetings described in subsection (a) shall select the members, convene, and preside over the Stakeholder Panel to analyze public health needs related to food safety and develop a concept for a modern food safety system designed to reduce the risk of foodborne illness for products regulated by the Food Safety and Inspection Service.

(2) **MEMBERSHIP.**—The Stakeholder Panel shall consist of 15 members and include a balanced representation from the following sectors—

(A) membership-based consumer organizations;

(B) the public health profession;

(C) Federal and industry employees, including a representative of employees of the Food Safety and Inspection Service that are represented by a labor organization (as defined in section (a)(4) of the Civil Service Re-

form Act (5 U.S.C. 7103)) and a representative of employees of the industries regulated by the Food Safety and Inspection Service that are represented by a labor organization (as defined in section 2 of the National Labor Relations Act (29 U.S.C. 152));

(D) agriculture and livestock producers of varying sizes whose products are regulated by the Food Safety and Inspection Service, including one representative of small agriculture or livestock producers; and

(E) food manufacturers and processors of varying sizes that are regulated by the Food Safety and Inspection Service, including at least one representative of small food manufacturers or processors.

(3) **INITIAL DUTIES AND REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date on which the stakeholder panel is initially convened, the stakeholder panel shall develop and submit to the Secretary of Agriculture the terms of reference and the scope of the work to be addressed by the Institute of Medicine and the National Research Council of the National Academy of Sciences study described in subsection (c) based on an analysis of public health needs related to food safety and a conception of a modern food safety system.

(4) **POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS AND FINAL REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date on which the Secretary of Agriculture submits to the stakeholder panel the report described in subsection (c)(3), the stakeholder panel shall develop and submit to the congressional agriculture committees policy recommendations, including identifying statutory and regulatory changes necessary, on how to improve the food safety system for products regulated by the Food Safety and Inspection Service based on an analysis of public health needs, a conception of a modern food safety system, and considering the report described in subsection (c)(3).

(c) **NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES STUDY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Agriculture shall contract with the Institute of Medicine and the National Research Council of the National Academy of Sciences to conduct an evidence-based study of the food safety system for products regulated by the Food Safety and Inspection Service.

(2) **USE OF TERMS AND SCOPE.**—The study described in subparagraph (1) shall use the terms of reference and be conducted within the scope developed by the stakeholder panel under subsection (b)(4).

(3) **REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date on which the stakeholder panel submits the report required under subsection (b)(3), the Institute of Medicine and the National Research Council of the National Academy of Sciences shall submit to the Secretary of Agriculture a report detailing the results of the study conducted under this subsection. Upon receipt of such report, the Secretary of Agriculture shall submit such report to the stakeholder panel.

(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **CONGRESSIONAL AGRICULTURE COMMITTEES.**—The term "congressional agriculture committees" means—

(A) the Committee on Agriculture and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives; and

(B) the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.

(2) **FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE.**—The term "Food Safety and Inspection Service" means the Food Safety and Inspection Service of the Department of Agriculture.

(3) **STAKEHOLDER PANEL.**—The term "stakeholder panel" means the stakeholder panel established under subsection (b)(2).

SEC. 745. The unobligated balances available for the wildlife habitat incentives program under section 1240N of the Food Security Act of 1985 (16 U.S.C. 3839bb-1), as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbol 12X3322, are rescinded; for the program under the Water Bank Act (16 U.S.C. 1301 et seq.), as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbol 12X3320; and for the wetlands reserve program under section 1237 of the Food Security Act of 1985 (16 U.S.C. 3837), as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbol 12X1080; are rescinded.

SEC. 746. Hereafter, under the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 the Secretary of Agriculture shall conduct a pilot program that provides loans or loan guarantees for the construction of not more than three baseload electric generation plants: *Provided*, That in issuing loans and loan guarantees the Secretary shall not discriminate based on the fuel input of such plants as long as the input is from fossil fuels and the generation facility emits into the ambient air CO₂ at a rate, in lbs CO₂/MWh, not greater than the CO₂ emitted from a natural gas fired generation facility of a similar size that began operation within the last 10 years, as determined by the Secretary: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall charge an upfront fee equal to the subsidy cost of such loans as calculated in accordance with section 502 of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990: *Provided further*, That the fee shall be paid from non-Federal sources: *Provided further*, That the source of such payment received from borrowers is not a loan or other debt obligation that is guaranteed by the Federal Government: *Provided further*, That gross obligations for the principal amount of loans authorized by this section shall not exceed \$1,500,000,000.

SEC. 747. The unobligated balances available for the Outreach for Socially Disadvantaged Farmers account, as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbol 12X0601, are rescinded; for the Rural Community Advancement Program, as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbol 12X0400, are rescinded; for the Payments to States program, as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund symbol 12X2501, are rescinded; for the Common Computing Environment account, as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbol 12X0113, \$1,866,000 are rescinded; for the Office of the Secretary, as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbol 12X0115, are rescinded; for the Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund, as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbol 12X1140, \$3,000,000 are rescinded; for the Resource Conservation and Development program, as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbol 12X1010, \$1,563,000 are rescinded; for the Emergency Conservation Program, as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbol 12X3316, \$19,939,000 are rescinded; for Watershed and Flood Prevention Operations, as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbol 12X1072, \$38,846,000 are rescinded; for the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service—Buildings and Facilities account, as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbol 12X1601, \$3,000,000 are rescinded. In addition, from prior year unobligated balances of Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service—Salaries and Expenses account, the following amounts are rescinded: Sudden Oak Death, \$295,000; Sirex Woodwasp, \$408,000; Avian Influenza, \$8,000,000; Information Technology Infrastructure, \$86,000; Screwworm, \$1,000,000; HUB Relocation, \$98,000; H1N1, \$5,000,000; and Contingency Funds, \$1,000,000.

SEC. 748. The unobligated balances available for the Agricultural Research Service—Salaries and Expenses account, as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbol 12X1400, as provided through Public Law 109-234 and Public Law 111-32, \$971,000 is hereby rescinded; the unobligated balances provided pursuant to section 9005 of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (7 U.S.C. 8105), \$28,042,000 is hereby rescinded; the unobligated balances provided pursuant to section 9003 of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (7 U.S.C. 8103), \$56,084,000 is hereby rescinded.

SEC. 749. None of the funds appropriated or made available by this or any other Act shall be used to pay the salaries and expenses of personnel to carry out a biomass crop assistance program as authorized by section 9011 of Public Law 107-171 in fiscal year 2011.

This division may be cited as the "Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2011".

DIVISION B—COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

INTERNATIONAL TRADE ADMINISTRATION

OPERATIONS AND ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses for international trade activities of the Department of Commerce provided for by law, and for engaging in trade promotional activities abroad, including expenses of grants and cooperative agreements for the purpose of promoting exports of United States firms, without regard to 44 U.S.C. 3702 and 3703; full medical coverage for dependent members of immediate families of employees stationed overseas and employees temporarily posted overseas; travel and transportation of employees of the International Trade Administration between two points abroad, without regard to 49 U.S.C. 40118; employment of Americans and aliens by contract for services; rental of space abroad for periods not exceeding 10 years, and expenses of alteration, repair, or improvement; purchase or construction of temporary demountable exhibition structures for use abroad; payment of tort claims, in the manner authorized in the first paragraph of 28 U.S.C. 2672 when such claims arise in foreign countries; not to exceed \$245,250 for official representation expenses abroad; purchase of passenger motor vehicles for official use abroad, not to exceed \$45,000 per vehicle; obtaining insurance on official motor vehicles; and rental of tie lines, \$514,204,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, of which \$9,439,000 is to be derived from fees to be retained and used by the International Trade Administration, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302: *Provided*, That not less than \$7,000,000 shall be for the Office of China Compliance, and not less than \$4,400,000 shall be for the China Countervailing Duty Group: *Provided further*, That the provisions of the first sentence of section 105(f) and all of section 108(c) of the Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2455(f) and 2458(c)) shall apply in carrying out these activities without regard to section 5412 of the Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988 (15 U.S.C. 4912); and that for the purpose of this Act, contributions under the provisions of the Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961 shall include payment for assessments for services provided as part of these activities: *Provided further*, That negotiations shall be conducted within the World

Trade Organization to recognize the right of members to distribute monies collected from antidumping and countervailing duties: *Provided further*, That negotiations shall be conducted within the World Trade Organization consistent with the negotiating objectives contained in the Trade Act of 2002, Public Law 107-210: *Provided further*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$3,400,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, as specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

BUREAU OF INDUSTRY AND SECURITY

OPERATIONS AND ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses for export administration and national security activities of the Department of Commerce, including costs associated with the performance of export administration field activities both domestically and abroad; full medical coverage for dependent members of immediate families of employees stationed overseas; employment of Americans and aliens by contract for services abroad; payment of tort claims, in the manner authorized in the first paragraph of 28 U.S.C. 2672 when such claims arise in foreign countries; not to exceed \$11,250 for official representation expenses abroad; awards of compensation to informers under the Export Administration Act of 1979, and as authorized by 22 U.S.C. 401(b); and purchase of passenger motor vehicles for official use and motor vehicles for law enforcement use with special requirement vehicles eligible for purchase without regard to any price limitation otherwise established by law, \$109,975,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$31,680,000 shall be for inspections and other activities related to national security: *Provided*, That the provisions of the first sentence of section 105(f) and all of section 108(c) of the Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2455(f) and 2458(c)) shall apply in carrying out these activities: *Provided further*, That payments and contributions collected and accepted for materials or services provided as part of such activities may be retained for use in covering the cost of such activities, and for providing information to the public with respect to the export administration and national security activities of the Department of Commerce and other export control programs of the United States and other governments.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

For grants for economic development assistance as provided by the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965, and for trade adjustment assistance, \$277,000,000, to remain available until expended.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of administering the economic development assistance programs as provided for by law, \$40,181,000: *Provided*, That these funds may be used to monitor projects approved pursuant to title I of the Public Works Employment Act of 1976, title II of the Trade Act of 1974, and the Community Emergency Drought Relief Act of 1977.

MINORITY BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

MINORITY BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

For necessary expenses of the Department of Commerce in fostering, promoting, and developing minority business enterprise, including expenses of grants, contracts, and other agreements with public or private organizations, \$32,316,000.

ECONOMIC AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses, as authorized by law, of economic and statistical analysis programs of the Department of Commerce, \$110,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for collecting, compiling, analyzing, preparing, and publishing statistics, provided for by law, \$271,364,000.

PERIODIC CENSUSES AND PROGRAMS

For necessary expenses to collect and publish statistics for periodic censuses and programs provided for by law, \$964,059,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That from amounts provided herein, funds may be used for promotion, outreach, and marketing activities.

NATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND
INFORMATION ADMINISTRATION
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses, as provided for by law, of the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA), \$41,568,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 1535(d), the Secretary of Commerce shall charge Federal agencies for costs incurred in spectrum management, analysis, operations, and related services, and such fees shall be retained and used as offsetting collections for costs of such spectrum services, to remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Commerce is authorized to retain and use as offsetting collections all funds transferred, or previously transferred, from other Government agencies for all costs incurred in telecommunications research, engineering, and related activities by the Institute for Telecommunication Sciences of NTIA, in furtherance of its assigned functions under this paragraph, and such funds received from other Government agencies shall remain available until expended.

PUBLIC TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES,
PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION

For the administration of grants, authorized by section 392 of the Communications Act of 1934, \$20,000,000, to remain available until expended as authorized by section 391 of the Act: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$2,000,000 shall be available for program administration as authorized by section 391 of the Act: *Provided further*, That, notwithstanding the provisions of section 391 of the Act, the prior year unobligated balances may be made available for grants for projects for which applications have been submitted and approved during any fiscal year.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK
OFFICE
SALARIES AND EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) provided for by law, including defense of suits instituted against the Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, \$2,262,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the sum herein appropriated from the general fund shall be reduced as offsetting collections assessed and collected pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1113 and 35 U.S.C. 41 and 376 are received during fiscal year 2011, so as to result in a fiscal year 2011 appropriation from

the general fund estimated at \$0: *Provided further*, That during fiscal year 2011, should the total amount of offsetting collections, and the surcharge provided herein, be less than \$2,262,000,000, this amount shall be reduced accordingly: *Provided further*, That any amount received in excess of \$2,262,000,000 in fiscal year 2011, in an amount up to \$200,000,000, shall remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That from amounts provided herein, not to exceed \$750 shall be made available in fiscal year 2011 for official reception and representation expenses: *Provided further*, That in fiscal year 2011 from the amounts made available for "Salaries and Expenses" for the USPTO, the amounts necessary to pay: (1) the difference between the percentage of basic pay contributed by the USPTO and employees under section 8334(a) of title 5, United States Code, and the normal cost percentage (as defined by section 8331(17) of that title) of basic pay, of employees subject to subchapter III of chapter 83 of that title; and (2) the present value of the otherwise unfunded accruing costs, as determined by the Office of Personnel Management, of post-retirement life insurance and post-retirement health benefits coverage for all USPTO employees, shall be transferred to the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund, the Employees Life Insurance Fund, and the Employees Health Benefits Fund, as appropriate, and shall be available for the authorized purposes of those accounts: *Provided further*, That sections 801, 802, and 803 of division B, Public Law 108-447 shall remain in effect during fiscal year 2011: *Provided further*, That the Director may, this year, reduce by regulation fees payable for documents in patent and trademark matters, in connection with the filing of documents filed electronically in a form prescribed by the Director: *Provided further*, That from the amounts provided herein, no less than \$4,000,000 shall be available only for the USPTO contribution in a cooperative or joint agreement or agreements with a non-profit organization or organizations, successfully audited within the previous year, and with previous experience in such programs, to conduct policy studies, including studies relating to activities of United Nations Specialized agencies and other international organizations, as well as conferences and other development programs, in support of fair international protection of intellectual property rights: *Provided further*, That there shall be a surcharge of 15 percent, rounded by standard arithmetic rules, on fees charged or authorized by subsections (a), (b) and (d)(1) of section 41 of title 35, United States Code, as administered under Public Law 108-447 and this Act and on fees charged or authorized by section 132(b) of title 35, United States Code: *Provided further*, That the surcharge established under the previous proviso shall be separate from, and in addition to, any other surcharge that may be required pursuant to any provision of title 35, United States Code: *Provided further*, That the surcharge established in the previous two provisions shall take effect on the date that is 10 days after the date of enactment of this Act, and shall remain in effect during fiscal year 2011: *Provided further*, That, the receipts collected as a result of these surcharges shall be available, within the amounts provided herein, to the USPTO without fiscal year limitation, for all authorized activities and operations of the Office: *Provided further*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$1,000,000 shall be transferred to the Office of Inspector General for activities associated with carrying out investigations and audits related to the USPTO.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND
TECHNOLOGY
SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL RESEARCH AND
SERVICES

For necessary expenses of the National Institute of Standards and Technology, \$541,246,000, to remain available until expended, of which not to exceed \$9,000,000 may be transferred to the "Working Capital Fund": *Provided*, That not to exceed \$7,500 shall be for official reception and representation expenses: *Provided further*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$5,275,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, as specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY SERVICES

For necessary expenses of the Industrial Technology Services of the National Institute of Standards and Technology, \$204,454,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That of the amounts appropriated, \$124,700,000 shall be for the Hollings Manufacturing Extension Partnership, \$69,900,000 shall be for the Technology Innovation Program, and \$9,854,000 shall be for the Baldrige Performance Excellence Program.

CONSTRUCTION OF RESEARCH FACILITIES

For construction of new research facilities, including architectural and engineering design, and for renovation and maintenance of existing facilities, not otherwise provided for the National Institute of Standards and Technology, as authorized by 15 U.S.C. 278c-278e, \$132,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$20,000,000 is for a competitive construction grant program for research science buildings: *Provided*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$50,000,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, as specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act): *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Commerce shall include in the budget justification materials that the Secretary submits to Congress in support of the Department of Commerce budget (as submitted with the budget of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code) an estimate for each National Institute of Standards and Technology construction project having a total multi-year program cost of more than \$5,000,000 and simultaneously the budget justification materials shall include an estimate of the budgetary requirements for each such project for each of the five subsequent fiscal years.

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC
ADMINISTRATION
OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of activities authorized by law for the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), including maintenance, operation, and hire of aircraft and vessels; grants, contracts, or other payments to nonprofit organizations for the purposes of conducting activities pursuant to cooperative agreements; and relocation of facilities, \$3,475,460,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, except for funds provided for cooperative enforcement, which shall remain available until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That fees and donations received by the National Ocean Service for the management of national marine sanctuaries may be retained and used for the salaries and expenses associated with those activities, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302: *Provided further*, That in addition, \$3,000,000

shall be derived by transfer from the fund entitled "Coastal Zone Management" and in addition \$68,000,000 shall be derived by transfer from the fund entitled "Promote and Develop Fishery Products and Research Pertaining to American Fisheries" and \$6,000,000 is derived from recoveries of prior-year obligations: *Provided further*, That of the \$3,552,460,000 provided for in direct obligations under this heading \$3,475,460,000 is appropriated from the general fund, and \$71,000,000 is provided by transfer: *Provided further*, That no more than \$391,000,000 of these funds may be used for administrative costs incurred by NOAA's corporate staff and line office headquarters offices, and within this amount \$245,028,000 shall be available for the NOAA corporate service administrative support costs: *Provided further*, That this \$391,000,000 limitation may be increased up to 5 percent, provided that the Administrator of NOAA shall notify the Committees on Appropriations at least 15 days in advance of the need with the reasons for any proposed increase: *Provided further*, That payments of funds made available under this heading to the Department of Commerce Working Capital Fund including Department of Commerce General Counsel legal services shall not exceed \$41,944,000: *Provided further*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$97,565,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, as specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act): *Provided further*, That none of the funds within the Fisheries Enforcement Asset Forfeiture Fund shall be available for obligation until the Administrator of NOAA completes a comprehensive independent audit of the fund's assets and related transactions, defines precisely what monies constitute fund assets, states how the fund will comply with all applicable laws, and receives approval from the Committees on Appropriations for its spend plan: *Provided further*, That the Administrator shall identify and account for the Fisheries Enforcement Asset Forfeiture Fund as a separate and distinct part of the agency's annual budget submissions: *Provided further*, That any deviation from the amounts designated for specific activities in the explanatory statement accompanying this Act, or any use of deobligated balances of funds provided under this heading in previous years, shall be subject to the procedures set forth in section 505 of this Act: *Provided further*, That in allocating grants under sections 306 and 306A of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended, no coastal State shall receive more than 5 percent or less than 1 percent of increased funds appropriated over the previous fiscal year.

In addition, for necessary retired pay expenses under the Retired Serviceman's Family Protection and Survivor Benefits Plan, and for payments for the medical care of retired personnel and their dependents under the Dependents Medical Care Act (10 U.S.C. 55), such sums as may be necessary.

PROCUREMENT, ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For procurement, acquisition and construction of capital assets, including alteration and modification costs, of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), \$2,002,219,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013, except funds provided for construction of facilities which shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That of the \$2,009,219,000 provided for in direct obligations under this heading, \$2,002,219,000 is appropriated from the general fund and \$7,000,000 is provided from recov-

eries of prior year obligations: *Provided further*, That no more than \$22,000,000 of these funds may be used for administrative costs incurred by NOAA's corporate staff and line office headquarters offices: *Provided further*, That this \$22,000,000 limitation may be increased up to 5 percent, provided that the Administrator of NOAA shall notify the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate at least 15 days in advance of the need with the reasons for any proposed increase: *Provided further*, That any deviation from the amounts designated for specific activities in the explanatory statement accompanying this Act, or any use of deobligated balances of funds provided under this heading in previous years, shall be subject to the procedures set forth in section 505 of this Act: *Provided further*, That except to the extent expressly prohibited by any other law, the Department of Defense may delegate procurement functions related to the National Polar-orbiting Operational Environmental Satellite System to officials of the Department of Commerce pursuant to section 2311 of title 10, United States Code: *Provided further*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$6,575,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, as specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act): *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Commerce shall include in budget justification materials that the Secretary submits to Congress in support of the Department of Commerce budget (as submitted with the budget of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code) an estimate for each NOAA Procurement, Acquisition or Construction project having a total of more than \$5,000,000 and simultaneously the budget justification shall include an estimate of the budgetary requirements for each such project for each of the five subsequent fiscal years: *Provided further*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$1,000,000 shall be transferred to the Office of Inspector General for activities associated with carrying out investigations and audits related to NOAA satellite programs.

PACIFIC COASTAL SALMON RECOVERY

For necessary expenses associated with the restoration of Pacific salmon populations, \$80,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That of the funds provided herein the Secretary of Commerce may issue grants to the States of Washington, Oregon, Idaho, Nevada, California, and Alaska, and Federally-recognized tribes of the Columbia River and Pacific Coast (including Alaska) for projects necessary for conservation of salmon and steelhead populations that are listed as threatened or endangered, or identified by a State as at-risk to be so-listed, for maintaining populations necessary for exercise of tribal treaty fishing rights or native subsistence fishing, or for conservation of Pacific coastal salmon and steelhead habitat, based on guidelines to be developed by the Secretary of Commerce: *Provided further*, That all funds shall be allocated based on scientific and other merit principles and shall not be available for marketing activities: *Provided further*, That funds disbursed to States shall be subject to a matching requirement of funds or documented in-kind contributions of at least 33 percent of the Federal funds.

FISHERMEN'S CONTINGENCY FUND

For carrying out the provisions of title IV of Public Law 95-372, not to exceed \$250,000, to be derived from receipts collected pursu-

ant to that Act, to remain available until expended.

COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT FUND (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Of amounts collected pursuant to section 308 of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1456a), not to exceed \$3,000,000 shall be transferred to the "Operations, Research, and Facilities" account to offset the costs of implementing such Act.

FISHERIES FINANCE PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Subject to section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, during fiscal year 2011, obligations of direct loans may not exceed \$16,000,000 for Individual Fishing Quota loans and not to exceed \$59,000,000 for traditional direct loans as authorized by the Merchant Marine Act of 1936: *Provided*, That none of the funds made available under this heading may be used for direct loans for any new fishing vessel that will increase the harvesting capacity in any United States fishery.

DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for the departmental management of the Department of Commerce provided for by law, including not to exceed \$5,000 for official reception and representation, \$64,595,000: *Provided*, That the Secretary, within 60 days of enactment of this Act, shall provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations that audits and evaluates all decision documents and expenditures by the Bureau of the Census as they relate to the 2010 and 2020 decennials: *Provided further*, That of the amounts provided to the Secretary within this account, \$5,000,000 shall not become available for obligation until the Secretary certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that the Bureau of the Census has followed and met all standards and best practices, and all Office of Management and Budget guidelines related to information technology projects and contract management.

RENOVATION AND MODERNIZATION

For expenses necessary, including blast windows, for the renovation and modernization of Department of Commerce facilities, \$5,000,000, to remain available until expended.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) (as amended), \$29,394,000.

GENERAL PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

SEC. 101. During the current fiscal year, applicable appropriations and funds made available to the Department of Commerce by this Act shall be available for the activities specified in the Act of October 26, 1949 (15 U.S.C. 1514), to the extent and in the manner prescribed by the Act, and, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3324, may be used for advanced payments not otherwise authorized only upon the certification of officials designated by the Secretary of Commerce that such payments are in the public interest.

SEC. 102. During the current fiscal year, appropriations made available to the Department of Commerce by this Act for salaries and expenses shall be available for hire of passenger motor vehicles as authorized by 31 U.S.C. 1343 and 1344; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; and uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by law (5 U.S.C. 5901-5902).

SEC. 103. Not to exceed 5 percent of any appropriation made available for the current

fiscal year for the Department of Commerce in this Act may be transferred between such appropriations, but no such appropriation shall be increased by more than 10 percent by any such transfers: *Provided*, That any transfer pursuant to this section shall be treated as a reprogramming of funds under section 505 of this Act and shall not be available for obligation or expenditure except in compliance with the procedures set forth in that section: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Commerce shall notify the Committees on Appropriations at least 15 days in advance of the acquisition or disposal of any capital asset (including land, structures, and equipment) not specifically provided for in this Act or any other law appropriating funds for the Department of Commerce.

SEC. 104. Any costs incurred by a department or agency funded under this title resulting from personnel actions taken in response to funding reductions included in this title or from actions taken for the care and protection of loan collateral or grant property shall be absorbed within the total budgetary resources available to such department or agency: *Provided*, That the authority to transfer funds between appropriations accounts as may be necessary to carry out this section is provided in addition to authorities included elsewhere in this Act: *Provided further*, That use of funds to carry out this section shall be treated as a reprogramming of funds under section 505 of this Act and shall not be available for obligation or expenditure except in compliance with the procedures set forth in that section.

SEC. 105. The requirements set forth by section 112 of division B of Public Law 110-161 are hereby adopted by reference.

SEC. 106. Notwithstanding any other law, the Secretary may furnish services (including but not limited to utilities, telecommunications, and security services) necessary to support the operation, maintenance, and improvement of space that persons, firms or organizations are authorized pursuant to the Public Buildings Cooperative Use Act of 1976 or other authority to use or occupy in the Herbert C. Hoover Building, Washington, DC, or other buildings, the maintenance, operation, and protection of which has been delegated to the Secretary from the Administrator of General Services pursuant to the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, as amended, on a reimbursable or non-reimbursable basis. Amounts received as reimbursement for services provided under this section or the authority under which the use or occupancy of the space is authorized, up to \$200,000, shall be credited to the appropriation or fund which initially bears the costs of such services.

SEC. 107. Nothing in this title shall be construed to prevent a grant recipient from deterring child pornography, copyright infringement, or any other unlawful activity over its networks.

SEC. 108. The Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration is authorized to use, with their consent, with reimbursement and subject to the limits of available appropriations, the land, services, equipment, personnel, and facilities of any department, agency or instrumentality of the United States, or of any State, local government, Indian tribal government, Territory or possession, or of any political subdivision thereof, or of any foreign government or international organization for purposes related to carrying out the responsibilities of any statute administered by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

SEC. 109. (a) The Secretary of State shall ensure participation in the Commission for the Conservation and Management of Highly Migratory Fish Stocks in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean ("Commission") and its subsidiary bodies by American Samoa, Guam, and the Northern Mariana Islands (collectively, the U.S. Participating Territories) to the same extent provided to the territories of other nations.

(b) The U.S. Participating Territories are each authorized to use, assign, and allocate catch limits of highly migratory fish stocks, or fishing effort limits, agreed to by the Commission for the participating territories of the Convention for the Conservation and Management of Highly Migratory Fish Stocks in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean, through arrangements with U.S. vessels with permits issued under the Pelagic Fishery Management Plan of the Western Pacific Region. Vessels under such arrangements are integral to the domestic fisheries of the U.S. Participating Territories, provided that such arrangements are funded by deposits to the Western Pacific Sustainable Fisheries Fund in support of fisheries development projects identified in a Territory's Marine Conservation Plan and adopted pursuant to section 204 of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1824). The Secretary of Commerce shall attribute catches made by vessels operating under such arrangements to the U.S. Participating Territories for the purposes of annual reporting to the Commission.

(c) The Western Pacific Regional Fisheries Management Council—

(1) is authorized to accept and deposit into the Western Pacific Sustainable Fisheries Fund funding for arrangements pursuant to subsection (b);

(2) shall use amounts deposited under paragraph (1) that are attributable to a particular U.S. Participating Territory only for implementation of that Territory's Marine Conservation Plan adopted pursuant to section 204 of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1824); and

(3) shall recommend an amendment to the Pelagics Fishery Ecosystem Plan for the Western Pacific Region, and associated regulations, to implement this section.

(d) Subsection (b) shall remain in effect until such time as—

(1) the Western Pacific Regional Fishery Management Council recommends an amendment to the Pelagics Fishery Ecosystem Plan for the Western Pacific Region, and implementing regulations, to the Secretary of Commerce that authorize use, assignment, and allocation of catch limits of highly migratory fish stocks, or fishing effort limits, established by the Commission and applicable to U.S. Participating Territories;

(2) the Secretary of Commerce approves the amendment; and

(3) such implementing regulations become effective.

This title may be cited as the "Department of Commerce Appropriations Act, 2011".

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for the administration of the Department of Justice, \$145,565,000, of which not to exceed \$4,000,000 for security and construction of Department of Justice facilities shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the Attorney General is authorized to transfer funds ap-

propriated within General Administration to any office in this account: *Provided further*, That \$32,701,000 is for Department Leadership; \$10,402,000 is for Intergovernmental Relations/External Affairs; \$13,477,000 is for Executive Support/Professional Responsibility; and \$88,985,000 is for the Justice Management Division: *Provided further*, That any change in amounts specified in the preceding proviso greater than 5 percent shall be submitted for approval to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations consistent with the terms of section 505 of this Act: *Provided further*, That this transfer authority is in addition to transfers authorized under section 505 of this Act.

NATIONAL DRUG INTELLIGENCE CENTER

For necessary expenses of the National Drug Intelligence Center, including reimbursement of Air Force personnel for the National Drug Intelligence Center to support the Department of Defense's counter-drug intelligence responsibilities, \$44,580,000: *Provided*, That the National Drug Intelligence Center shall maintain the personnel and technical resources to provide timely support to law enforcement authorities and the intelligence community by conducting document and computer exploitation of materials collected in Federal, State, and local law enforcement activity associated with counter-drug, counterterrorism, and national security investigations and operations.

JUSTICE INFORMATION SHARING TECHNOLOGY

For necessary expenses for information sharing technology, including planning, development, deployment and departmental direction, \$124,585,000, to remain available until expended, of which not less than \$21,132,000 is for the Unified Financial Management System.

LAW ENFORCEMENT WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS

For the costs of developing and implementing a nation-wide Integrated Wireless Network supporting Federal law enforcement communications, and for the costs of operations and maintenance of existing Land Mobile Radio legacy systems, \$207,727,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the Attorney General shall transfer to this account all funds made available to the Department of Justice for the purchase of portable and mobile radios: *Provided further*, That any transfer made under the preceding proviso shall be subject to section 505 of this Act.

ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW AND APPEALS

For expenses necessary for the administration of pardon and clemency petitions and immigration-related activities, \$319,420,000, of which \$4,000,000 shall be derived by transfer from the Executive Office for Immigration Review fees deposited in the "Immigration Examinations Fee" account.

DETENTION TRUSTEE

For necessary expenses of the Federal Detention Trustee, \$1,533,863,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the Trustee shall be responsible for managing the Justice Prisoner and Alien Transportation System: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$20,000,000 shall be considered "funds appropriated for State and local law enforcement assistance" pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 4013(b).

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General, \$88,792,000, including not to exceed \$10,000 to meet unforeseen emergencies of a confidential character.

UNITED STATES PAROLE COMMISSION
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the United States Parole Commission as authorized, \$13,582,000.

LEGAL ACTIVITIES

SALARIES AND EXPENSES, GENERAL LEGAL
ACTIVITIES

For expenses necessary for the legal activities of the Department of Justice, not otherwise provided for, including not to exceed \$20,000 for expenses of collecting evidence, to be expended under the direction of, and to be accounted for solely under the certificate of, the Attorney General; and rent of private or Government-owned space in the District of Columbia, \$969,989,000, of which not to exceed \$10,000,000 for litigation support contracts shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That of the total amount appropriated, not to exceed \$7,500 shall be available to the United States National Central Bureau, INTERPOL, for official reception and representation expenses: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding section 205 of this Act, upon a determination by the Attorney General that emergent circumstances require additional funding for litigation activities of the Civil Division, the Attorney General may transfer such amounts to "Salaries and Expenses, General Legal Activities" from available appropriations for the current fiscal year for the Department of Justice, as may be necessary to respond to such circumstances: *Provided further*, That any transfer pursuant to the previous proviso shall be treated as a reprogramming under section 505 of this Act and shall not be available for obligation or expenditure except in compliance with the procedures set forth in that section: *Provided further*, That of the amount appropriated, such sums as may be necessary shall be available to reimburse the Office of Personnel Management for salaries and expenses associated with the election monitoring program under section 8 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 (42 U.S.C. 1973f): *Provided further*, That of the amounts provided under this heading for the election monitoring program \$3,390,000, shall remain available until expended.

In addition, for reimbursement of expenses of the Department of Justice associated with processing cases under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, not to exceed \$7,833,000, to be appropriated from the Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES, ANTITRUST DIVISION

For expenses necessary for the enforcement of antitrust and kindred laws, \$167,028,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, fees collected for premerger notification filings under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 (15 U.S.C. 18a), regardless of the year of collection (and estimated to be \$96,000,000 in fiscal year 2011), shall be retained and used for necessary expenses in this appropriation, and shall remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That the sum herein appropriated from the general fund shall be reduced as such offsetting collections are received during fiscal year 2011, so as to result in a final fiscal year 2011 appropriation from the general fund estimated at \$71,028,000.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES, UNITED STATES
ATTORNEYS

For necessary expenses of the Offices of the United States Attorneys, including intergovernmental and cooperative agreements,

\$2,041,269,000: *Provided*, That of the total amount appropriated, not to exceed \$6,000 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$25,000,000 shall remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That of the amount provided under this heading, not less than \$38,460,000 shall be used for salaries and expenses for assistant U.S. Attorneys to carry out section 704 of the Adam Walsh Child Protection and Safety Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-248) concerning the prosecution of offenses relating to the sexual exploitation of children: *Provided further*, That of the amount provided under this heading, not less than \$31,965,000 is for prosecutions of serious crimes in Indian Country.

UNITED STATES TRUSTEE SYSTEM FUND

For necessary expenses of the United States Trustee Program, as authorized, \$236,435,000, to remain available until expended and to be derived from the United States Trustee System Fund: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, deposits to the Fund shall be available in such amounts as may be necessary to pay refunds due depositors: *Provided further*, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, \$231,435,000 of offsetting collections pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 589a(b) shall be retained and used for necessary expenses in this appropriation and shall remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That the sum herein appropriated from the Fund shall be reduced as such offsetting collections are received during fiscal year 2011, so as to result in a final fiscal year 2011 appropriation from the Fund estimated at \$0.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES, FOREIGN CLAIMS
SETTLEMENT COMMISSION

For expenses necessary to carry out the activities of the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission, including services as authorized by section 3109 of title 5, United States Code, \$2,159,000.

FEES AND EXPENSES OF WITNESSES

For fees and expenses of witnesses, for expenses of contracts for the procurement and supervision of expert witnesses, for private counsel expenses, including advances, and for expenses of foreign counsel, \$270,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$10,000,000 may be made available for construction of buildings for protected witness safe sites: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$3,000,000 may be made available for the purchase and maintenance of armored and other vehicles for witness security caravans: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$11,000,000 may be made available for the purchase, installation, maintenance, and upgrade of secure telecommunications equipment and a secure automated information network to store and retrieve the identities and locations of protected witnesses.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES, COMMUNITY
RELATIONS SERVICE

For necessary expenses of the Community Relations Service, \$12,606,000: *Provided*, That notwithstanding section 205 of this Act, upon a determination by the Attorney General that emergent circumstances require additional funding for conflict resolution and violence prevention activities of the Community Relations Service, the Attorney General may transfer such amounts to the Community Relations Service, from available appropriations for the current fiscal year for the Department of Justice, as may be necessary to respond to such circumstances: *Provided further*, That any transfer pursuant to the preceding proviso shall be treated as a re-

programming under section 505 of this Act and shall not be available for obligation or expenditure except in compliance with the procedures set forth in that section.

ASSETS FORFEITURE FUND

For expenses authorized by 28 U.S.C. 524(c)(1)(B), (F), and (G), \$20,990,000, to be derived from the Department of Justice Assets Forfeiture Fund.

UNITED STATES MARSHALS SERVICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the United States Marshals Service, \$1,180,534,000; of which not to exceed \$6,000 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses; and of which not to exceed \$10,000,000 shall remain available until expended for information technology systems.

CONSTRUCTION

For construction in space controlled, occupied or utilized by the United States Marshals Service for prisoner holding and related support, \$26,625,000, to remain available until expended; of which not less than \$12,625,000 shall be available for the costs of courthouse security equipment, including furnishings, relocations, and telephone systems and cabling.

NATIONAL SECURITY DIVISION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary to carry out the activities of the National Security Division, \$99,537,000; of which not to exceed \$5,000,000 for information technology systems shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That notwithstanding section 205 of this Act, upon a determination by the Attorney General that emergent circumstances require additional funding for the activities of the National Security Division, the Attorney General may transfer such amounts to this heading from available appropriations for the current fiscal year for the Department of Justice, as may be necessary to respond to such circumstances: *Provided further*, That any transfer pursuant to the preceding proviso shall be treated as a reprogramming under section 505 of this Act and shall not be available for obligation or expenditure except in compliance with the procedures set forth in that section.

INTERAGENCY LAW ENFORCEMENT

INTERAGENCY CRIME AND DRUG ENFORCEMENT

For necessary expenses for the identification, investigation, and prosecution of individuals associated with the most significant drug trafficking and affiliated money laundering organizations not otherwise provided for, to include inter-governmental agreements with State and local law enforcement agencies engaged in the investigation and prosecution of individuals involved in organized crime drug trafficking, \$574,319,000, of which \$50,000,000 shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That any amounts obligated from appropriations under this heading may be used under authorities available to the organizations reimbursed from this appropriation.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for detection, investigation, and prosecution of crimes against the United States, \$8,089,597,000, of which not to exceed \$150,000,000 shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$153,750 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses: *Provided further*, That of the amount provided under

this heading, not less than \$42,752,000 is for the investigation of serious crimes in Indian Country.

CONSTRUCTION

For necessary expenses, to include the cost of equipment, furniture, and information technology requirements, related to construction or acquisition of buildings, facilities and sites by purchase, or as otherwise authorized by law; conversion, modification and extension of federally owned buildings; preliminary planning and design of projects; and operation and maintenance of secure work environment facilities and secure networking capabilities; \$130,589,000, to remain available until expended.

DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Drug Enforcement Administration, including not to exceed \$70,000 to meet unforeseen emergencies of a confidential character pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 530C; and expenses for conducting drug education and training programs, including travel and related expenses for participants in such programs and the distribution of items of token value that promote the goals of such programs, \$2,088,176,000; of which not to exceed \$75,000,000 shall remain available until expended; and of which not to exceed \$75,000 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses.

CONSTRUCTION

For necessary expenses, to include the cost of equipment, furniture, and information technology requirements, related to construction or acquisition of buildings; and operation and maintenance of secure work environment facilities and secure networking capabilities; \$41,941,000, to remain available until expended.

BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS AND EXPLOSIVES

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, not to exceed \$30,000 for official reception and representation expenses; for training of State and local law enforcement agencies with or without reimbursement, including training in connection with the training and acquisition of canines for explosives and fire accelerants detection; and for provision of laboratory assistance to State and local law enforcement agencies, with or without reimbursement, \$1,162,986,000, of which not to exceed \$1,000,000 shall be available for the payment of attorneys' fees as provided by section 924(d)(2) of title 18, United States Code; and of which not to exceed \$20,000,000 shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That no funds appropriated herein shall be available for salaries or administrative expenses in connection with consolidating or centralizing, within the Department of Justice, the records, or any portion thereof, of acquisition and disposition of firearms maintained by Federal firearms licensees: *Provided further*, That no funds appropriated herein shall be used to pay administrative expenses or the compensation of any officer or employee of the United States to implement an amendment or amendments to 27 CFR 478.118 or to change the definition of "Curios or relics" in 27 CFR 478.11 or remove any item from ATF Publication 5300.11 as it existed on January 1, 1994: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated herein shall be available to investigate or act upon applications for relief from Federal firearms disabilities under 18 U.S.C. 925(c): *Provided*

further, That such funds shall be available to investigate and act upon applications filed by corporations for relief from Federal firearms disabilities under section 925(c) of title 18, United States Code: *Provided further*, That no funds made available by this or any other Act may be used to transfer the functions, missions, or activities of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives to other agencies or Departments in fiscal year 2011: *Provided further*, That, beginning in fiscal year 2011 and thereafter, no funds appropriated under this or any other Act may be used to disclose part or all of the contents of the Firearms Trace System database maintained by the National Trace Center of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives or any information required to be kept by licensees pursuant to section 923(g) of title 18, United States Code, or required to be reported pursuant to paragraphs (3) and (7) of such section 923(g), except to: (1) a Federal, State, local, or tribal law enforcement agency, or a Federal, State, or local prosecutor; or (2) a foreign law enforcement agency solely in connection with or for use in a criminal investigation or prosecution; or (3) a Federal agency for a national security or intelligence purpose; unless such disclosure of such data to any of the entities described in (1), (2) or (3) of this proviso would compromise the identity of any undercover law enforcement officer or confidential informant, or interfere with any case under investigation; and no person or entity described in (1), (2) or (3) shall knowingly and publicly disclose such data; and all such data shall be immune from legal process, shall not be subject to subpoena or other discovery, shall be inadmissible in evidence, and shall not be used, relied on, or disclosed in any manner, nor shall testimony or other evidence be permitted based on the data, in a civil action in any State (including the District of Columbia) or Federal court or in an administrative proceeding other than a proceeding commenced by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives to enforce the provisions of chapter 44 of such title, or a review of such an action or proceeding; except that this proviso shall not be construed to prevent: (A) the disclosure of statistical information concerning total production, importation, and exportation by each licensed importer (as defined in section 921(a)(9) of such title) and licensed manufacturer (as defined in section 921(a)(10) of such title); (B) the sharing or exchange of such information among and between Federal, State, local, or foreign law enforcement agencies, Federal, State, or local prosecutors, and Federal national security, intelligence, or counterterrorism officials; or (C) the publication of annual statistical reports on products regulated by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, including total production, importation, and exportation by each licensed importer (as so defined) and licensed manufacturer (as so defined), or statistical aggregate data regarding firearms traffickers and trafficking channels, or firearms misuse, felons, and trafficking investigations: *Provided further*, That no funds made available by this or any other Act shall be expended to promulgate or implement any rule requiring a physical inventory of any business licensed under section 923 of title 18, United States Code: *Provided further*, That no funds under this Act may be used to electronically retrieve information gathered pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 923(g)(4) by name or any personal identification code: *Provided further*, That no funds authorized or made available under this or any

other Act may be used to deny any application for a license under section 923 of title 18, United States Code, or renewal of such a license due to a lack of business activity, provided that the applicant is otherwise eligible to receive such a license, and is eligible to report business income or to claim an income tax deduction for business expenses under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

FEDERAL PRISON SYSTEM

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Federal Prison System for the administration, operation, and maintenance of Federal penal and correctional institutions, including purchase (not to exceed 591, of which 559 are for replacement only) and hire of law enforcement and passenger motor vehicles, and for the provision of technical assistance and advice on corrections related issues to foreign governments, \$6,553,779,000: *Provided*, That the Attorney General may transfer to the Health Resources and Services Administration such amounts as may be necessary for direct expenditures by that Administration for medical relief for inmates of Federal penal and correctional institutions: *Provided further*, That the Director of the Federal Prison System, where necessary, may enter into contracts with a fiscal agent or fiscal intermediary claims processor to determine the amounts payable to persons who, on behalf of the Federal Prison System, furnish health services to individuals committed to the custody of the Federal Prison System: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$4,500 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$50,000,000 shall remain available for necessary operations until September 30, 2012: *Provided further*, That, of the amounts provided for contract confinement, not to exceed \$20,000,000 shall remain available until expended to make payments in advance for grants, contracts and reimbursable agreements, and other expenses authorized by section 501(c) of the Refugee Education Assistance Act of 1980 (8 U.S.C. 1522 note), for the care and security in the United States of Cuban and Haitian entrants: *Provided further*, That the Director of the Federal Prison System may accept donated property and services relating to the operation of the prison card program from a not-for-profit entity which has operated such program in the past notwithstanding the fact that such not-for-profit entity furnishes services under contracts to the Federal Prison System relating to the operation of pre-release services, halfway houses, or other custodial facilities.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

For planning, acquisition of sites and construction of new facilities; purchase and acquisition of facilities and remodeling, and equipping of such facilities for penal and correctional use, including all necessary expenses incident thereto, by contract or force account; and constructing, remodeling, and equipping necessary buildings and facilities at existing penal and correctional institutions, including all necessary expenses incident thereto, by contract or force account, \$269,733,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$75,000,000 shall be derived from available unobligated balances previously appropriated under this heading, and of which not to exceed \$14,000,000 shall be available to construct areas for inmate work programs: *Provided*, That labor of United States prisoners may be used for work performed under this appropriation: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided under this heading in this or any prior Act

shall be available for the acquisition of any facility that is to be used wholly or in part for the incarceration or detention of any individual detained at Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, as of June 24, 2009.

FEDERAL PRISON INDUSTRIES, INCORPORATED

The Federal Prison Industries, Incorporated, is hereby authorized to make such expenditures, within the limits of funds and borrowing authority available, and in accord with the law, and to make such contracts and commitments, without regard to fiscal year limitations as provided by section 9104 of title 31, United States Code, as may be necessary in carrying out the program set forth in the budget for the current fiscal year for such corporation, including purchase (not to exceed five for replacement only) and hire of passenger motor vehicles.

LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES, FEDERAL PRISON INDUSTRIES, INCORPORATED

Not to exceed \$2,700,000 of the funds of the Federal Prison Industries, Incorporated shall be available for its administrative expenses, and for services as authorized by section 3109 of title 5, United States Code, to be computed on an accrual basis to be determined in accordance with the corporation's current prescribed accounting system, and such amounts shall be exclusive of depreciation, payment of claims, and expenditures which such accounting system requires to be capitalized or charged to cost of commodities acquired or produced, including selling and shipping expenses, and expenses in connection with acquisition, construction, operation, maintenance, improvement, protection, or disposition of facilities and other property belonging to the corporation or in which it has an interest.

STATE AND LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

OFFICE ON VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN PREVENTION AND PROSECUTION PROGRAMS (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For grants, contracts, cooperative agreements, and other assistance for the prevention and prosecution of violence against women, as authorized by the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 3711 et seq.) ("the 1968 Act"); the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-322) ("the 1994 Act"); the Victims of Child Abuse Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-647) ("the 1990 Act"); the Prosecutorial Remedies and Other Tools to end the Exploitation of Children Today Act of 2003 (Public Law 108-21); the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5601 et seq.) ("the 1974 Act"); the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000 (Public Law 106-386) ("the 2000 Act"); and the Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005 (Public Law 109-162) ("the 2005 Act"); and for related victims services, \$448,500,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That except as otherwise provided by law, not to exceed 3 percent of funds made available under this heading may be used for expenses related to evaluation, training, and technical assistance: *Provided further*, That of the amount provided (which shall be by transfer for programs administered by the Office of Justice Programs)—

(1) \$198,000,000 is for grants to combat violence against women, as authorized by part T of the 1968 Act;

(2) \$30,000,000 is for transitional housing assistance grants for victims of domestic violence, stalking or sexual assault as authorized by section 40299 of the 1994 Act;

(3) \$3,000,000 is for the National Institute of Justice for research and evaluation of violence against women and related issues addressed by grant programs of the Office on Violence Against Women;

(4) \$45,000,000 is for grants to encourage arrest policies as authorized by part U of the 1968 Act;

(5) \$30,000,000 is for sexual assault victims assistance, as authorized by section 41601 of the 1994 Act;

(6) \$37,000,000 is for rural domestic violence and child abuse enforcement assistance grants, as authorized by section 40295 of the 1994 Act;

(7) \$9,500,000 is for grants to reduce violent crimes against women on campus, as authorized by section 304 of the 2005 Act;

(8) \$50,000,000 is for legal assistance for victims, as authorized by section 1201 of the 2000 Act;

(9) \$4,250,000 is for enhanced training and services to end violence against and abuse of women in later life, as authorized by section 40802 of the 1994 Act;

(10) \$14,000,000 is for the safe havens for children program, as authorized by section 1301 of the 2000 Act;

(11) \$6,750,000 is for education and training to end violence against and abuse of women with disabilities, as authorized by section 1402 of the 2000 Act;

(12) \$3,000,000 is for an engaging men and youth in prevention program, as authorized by section 41305 of the 1994 Act;

(13) \$1,000,000 is for tracking of violence against Indian women, as authorized by section 905 of the 2005 Act and consistent with title I of the Adam Walsh Child Protection and Safety Act of 2006;

(14) \$3,500,000 is for services to advocate and respond to youth, as authorized by section 41201 of the 1994 Act;

(15) \$3,000,000 is for grants to assist children and youth exposed to violence, as authorized by section 41303 of the 1994 Act;

(16) \$3,000,000 is for the court training and improvements program, as authorized by section 41002 of the 1994 Act;

(17) \$1,000,000 is for the National Resource Center on Workplace Responses to assist victims of domestic violence, as authorized by section 41501 of the 1994 Act;

(18) \$2,500,000 is for the Supporting Teens through Education and Protection program, as authorized by section 41204 of the 1994 Act;

(19) \$3,000,000 is for analysis and research on violence against Indian women, including as authorized by section 904 of the 2005 Act;

(20) \$500,000 is for the Office on Violence Against Women to establish a national clearinghouse that provides training and technical assistance on issues relating to sexual assault of American Indian and Alaska Native women; and

(21) \$500,000 is for the Office on Violence Against Women to sponsor regional summits on violence against women in Indian country for Department of Justice representatives, local tribal advocates, law enforcement, and judges.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses, not elsewhere specified in this title, for management and administration of programs within the Office on Violence Against Women, \$17,800,000.

OFFICE OF JUSTICE PROGRAMS

RESEARCH, EVALUATION AND STATISTICS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For grants, contracts, cooperative agreements, and other assistance authorized by title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 ("the 1968 Act"); the

Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 ("the 1994 Act"); the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974 ("the 1974 Act"); the Missing Children's Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5771 et seq.); the Prosecutorial Remedies and Other Tools to end the Exploitation of Children Today Act of 2003 (Public Law 108-21); the Justice for All Act of 2004 (Public Law 108-405); the Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005 (Public Law 109-162) ("the 2005 Act"); the Victims of Child Abuse Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-647); the Second Chance Act of 2007 (Public Law 110-199); the Victims of Crime Act of 1984 (Public Law 98-473); the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000 (Public Law 106-386); the Adam Walsh Child Protection and Safety Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-248); the NICS Improvement Amendments Act of 2007 (Public Law 110-180); the PROTECT Our Children Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-401); subtitle D of title II of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-296) ("the 2002 Act"); and other programs, \$340,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which—

(1) \$60,000,000 is for criminal justice statistics programs, and other activities, as authorized by part C of title I of the 1968 Act, of which \$41,000,000 is for the administration and redesign of the National Crime Victimization Survey;

(2) \$60,000,000 is for research, development, and evaluation programs, and other activities as authorized by part B of title I of the 1968 Act and subtitle D of title II of the 2002 Act: *Provided*, That of the amounts provided under this heading, \$5,000,000 is transferred directly to the National Institute of Standards and Technology's Office of Law Enforcement Standards from the National Institute of Justice for research, testing and evaluation programs;

(3) \$1,000,000 is for an evaluation clearinghouse program;

(4) \$15,000,000 is for grants to assist State and tribal governments as authorized by the NICS Improvement Amendments Act of 2007 (Public Law 110-180);

(5) \$10,000,000 is for the National Criminal History Improvement Program for grants to upgrade criminal records;

(6) \$30,000,000 is for Paul Coverdell Forensic Sciences Improvement Grants under part BB of title I of the 1968 Act;

(7) \$3,000,000 is for grants to improve the stalking and domestic violence database, as authorized by section 40602 of the 1994 Act; and

(8) \$161,000,000 is for DNA-related and forensic programs and activities, of which—

(A) \$151,000,000 is for a DNA analysis and capacity enhancement program and for other local, State, and Federal forensic activities including the purposes of section 2 of the DNA Analysis Backlog Elimination Act of 2000 (the Debbie Smith DNA Backlog Grant Program);

(B) \$5,000,000 is for the purposes described in the Kirk Bloodsworth Post-Conviction DNA Testing Program (Public Law 108-405, section 412); and

(C) \$5,000,000 is for Sexual Assault Forensic Exam Program Grants as authorized by section 304 of Public Law 108-405.

STATE AND LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For grants, contracts, cooperative agreements, and other assistance authorized by the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-322) ("the 1994 Act"); the Omnibus Crime Control and

Safe Streets Act of 1968 ("the 1968 Act"); the Justice for All Act of 2004 (Public Law 108-405); the Victims of Child Abuse Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-647) ("the 1990 Act"); the Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2005 (Public Law 109-164); the Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005 (Public Law 109-162) ("the 2005 Act"); the Adam Walsh Child Protection and Safety Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-248) ("the Adam Walsh Act"); the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000 (Public Law 106-386); subtitle D of title II of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-296) ("the 2002 Act"); the Second Chance Act of 2007 (Public Law 110-199); the Prioritizing Resources and Organization for Intellectual Property Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-403); the Victims of Crime Act of 1984 (Public Law 98-473); and other programs (including the Statewide Automated Victim Notification program of the Bureau of Justice Assistance), \$1,651,780,000, to remain available until expended as follows—

(1) \$519,000,000 for the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant program as authorized by subpart 1 of part E of title I of the 1968 Act (except that section 1001(c), and the special rules for Puerto Rico under section 505(g), of title I of the 1968 Act shall not apply for purposes of this Act), of which \$5,000,000 is for use by the National Institute of Justice in assisting units of local government to identify, select, develop, modernize, and purchase new technologies for use by law enforcement, \$2,000,000 is for a program to improve State and local law enforcement intelligence capabilities including antiterrorism training and training to ensure that constitutional rights, civil liberties, civil rights, and privacy interests are protected throughout the intelligence process, \$6,000,000 is for a State and local assistance help desk and diagnostic center program, and \$7,000,000 is for necessary expenses to carry out the activities of the National Criminal Justice Commission, as authorized by section 542 of this Act;

(2) \$300,000,000 for the State Criminal Alien Assistance Program, as authorized by section 241(i)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1231(i)(5)); *Provided*, That no jurisdiction shall request compensation for any cost greater than the actual cost for Federal immigration and other detainees housed in State and local detention facilities;

(3) \$20,000,000 for the Southwest Border Prosecutor Initiative to reimburse State, county, parish, tribal, or municipal governments for costs associated with the prosecution of criminal cases declined by local offices of the United States Attorneys;

(4) \$199,780,000 for discretionary grants to improve the functioning of the criminal justice system, to prevent or combat juvenile delinquency, and to assist victims of crime (other than compensation), which shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, as specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act);

(5) \$35,000,000 for competitive grants to improve the functioning of the criminal justice system, to prevent or combat juvenile delinquency, and to assist victims of crime (other than compensation);

(6) \$2,000,000 for the purposes described in the Missing Alzheimer's Disease Patient Alert Program (section 240001 of the 1994 Act);

(7) \$15,000,000 for victim services programs for victims of trafficking, as authorized by

section 107(b)(2) of Public Law 106-386 and for programs authorized under Public Law 109-164; *Provided*, That no less than \$6,700,000 shall be for victim services grants for foreign national victims of trafficking;

(8) \$50,000,000 for Drug Courts, as authorized by section 1001(25)(A) of title I of the 1968 Act;

(9) \$10,000,000 for prison rape prevention and prosecution and other programs, as authorized by the Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 (Public Law 108-79);

(10) \$25,000,000 for grants for Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners, as authorized by part S of title I of the 1968 Act;

(11) \$12,500,000 for the Capital Litigation Improvement Grant Program, as authorized by section 426 of Public Law 108-405, and for grants for wrongful conviction review;

(12) \$12,000,000 for mental health courts and adult and juvenile collaboration program grants, as authorized by parts V and HH of title I of the 1968 Act, and the Mentally Ill Offender Treatment and Crime Reduction Reauthorization and Improvement Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-416);

(13) \$100,000,000 for assistance to Indian tribes: *Provided*, That section 20109(a), in subtitle A of title II of the 1994 Act, shall not apply to amounts appropriated in this or any other Act;

(14) \$23,000,000 for grants to prevent, investigate, prosecute, and otherwise combat economic, high technology and Internet crime, including as authorized by section 401 of Public Law 110-403;

(15) \$3,500,000 for training programs as authorized by section 40152 of the 1994 Act, and for related local demonstration projects;

(16) \$100,000,000 for offender reentry programs and research, as authorized by the Second Chance Act of 2007 (Public Law 110-199);

(17) \$20,000,000 for activities related to comprehensive criminal justice reform and recidivism reduction efforts;

(18) \$10,000,000 for a student loan repayment assistance program pursuant to section 952 of Public Law 110-315;

(19) \$5,000,000 for the Northern Border Prosecutor Initiative to reimburse State, county, parish, tribal, or municipal governments for the costs associated with the prosecution of criminal cases declined by local offices of the United States Attorneys;

(20) \$5,000,000 for an initiative to assist and support evidence-based policing;

(21) \$3,000,000 for technical and other targeted assistance to improve the functioning of the criminal justice system;

(22) \$5,000,000 for a justice information-sharing and technology program;

(23) \$20,000,000 for activities authorized by the Adam Walsh Act;

(24) \$25,000,000 for an initiative relating to children exposed to violence;

(25) \$30,000,000 for an Edward Byrne Memorial criminal justice innovation program;

(26) \$5,000,000 for sex offender management assistance as authorized by the Adam Walsh Act and the Violent Crime Control Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-322);

(27) \$25,000,000 for the matching grant program for law enforcement armor vests, as authorized by section 2501 of title I of the 1968 Act: *Provided*, That \$1,500,000 is transferred directly to the National Institute of Standards and Technology's Office of Law Enforcement Standards for research, testing and evaluation programs;

(28) \$1,000,000 for the National Sex Offender Public Website;

(29) \$10,000,000 for the Statewide Victim Notification System program of the Bureau of Justice Assistance;

(30) \$40,000,000 for regional information sharing activities, as authorized by part M of title I of the 1968 Act;

(31) \$10,000,000 for a program to improve State, local, and tribal probation supervision efforts and strategies;

(32) \$6,000,000 for a program to prosecute, prevent, and otherwise combat hate crimes, including related research, of which \$5,000,000 is for investigation and prosecution assistance grants and \$1,000,000 is for a hate crimes training program; and

(33) \$5,000,000 for a program to monitor prescription drugs and scheduled listed chemical products;

Provided, That if a unit of local government uses any of the funds made available under this heading to increase the number of law enforcement officers, the unit of local government will achieve a net gain in the number of law enforcement officers who perform nonadministrative public sector safety service.

JUVENILE JUSTICE PROGRAMS

For grants, contracts, cooperative agreements, and other assistance authorized by the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974 ("the 1974 Act"); the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 ("the 1968 Act"); the Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005 (Public Law 109-162); the Missing Children's Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5771 et seq.); the Prosecutorial Remedies and Other Tools to end the Exploitation of Children Today Act of 2003 (Public Law 108-21); the Victims of Child Abuse Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-647) ("the 1990 Act"); the Adam Walsh Child Protection and Safety Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-248); the PROTECT Our Children Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-401); and other juvenile justice programs, \$506,040,000, to remain available until expended as follows—

(1) \$72,000,000 for programs authorized by section 221 of the 1974 Act, and for training and technical assistance to assist small, non-profit organizations with the Federal grants process;

(2) \$73,240,000 for grants and projects, as authorized by sections 261 and 262 of the 1974 Act, which shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, as specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act);

(3) \$100,000,000 for youth mentoring grants;

(4) \$80,000,000 for delinquency prevention, as authorized by section 505 of the 1974 Act, of which, pursuant to sections 261 and 262 thereof—

(A) \$40,000,000 shall be for the Tribal Youth Program;

(B) \$15,000,000 shall be for gang and youth violence education and prevention and related activities; and

(C) \$25,000,000 shall be for grants of \$360,000 to each State and \$4,840,000 shall be available for discretionary grants, for programs and activities to enforce State laws prohibiting the sale of alcoholic beverages to minors or the purchase or consumption of alcoholic beverages by minors, for prevention and reduction of consumption of alcoholic beverages by minors, and for technical assistance and training;

(5) \$22,500,000 for programs authorized by the Victims of Child Abuse Act of 1990;

(6) \$45,000,000 for the Juvenile Accountability Block Grants program as authorized by part R of title I of the 1968 Act and Guam shall be considered a State;

(7) \$20,000,000 for community-based violence prevention initiatives;

(8) \$5,000,000 for a juvenile delinquency court improvement program;

(9) \$15,000,000 for the court-appointed special advocate program, as authorized by section 217 of the 1990 Act;

(10) \$2,500,000 for child abuse training programs for judicial personnel and practitioners, as authorized by section 222 of the 1990 Act;

(11) \$70,000,000 for missing and exploited children programs, including as authorized by sections 404(b) and 405(a) of the 1974 Act; and

(12) \$800,000 for a disproportionate minority contact evaluation and pilot program:

Provided, That not more than 10 percent of each amount may be used for research, evaluation, and statistics activities designed to benefit the programs or activities authorized: *Provided further*, That not more than 2 percent of each amount may be used for training and technical assistance: *Provided further*, That the previous two provisos shall not apply to grants and projects authorized by sections 261 and 262 of the 1974 Act, or by sections 217 and 222 of the 1990 Act, or to missing and exploited children programs.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER BENEFITS

For payments and expenses authorized under section 1001(a)(4) of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, such sums as are necessary (including amounts for administrative costs, which amounts shall be paid to the "Salaries and Expenses" account), to remain available until expended; and in addition, \$16,300,000 for payments authorized by section 1201(b) of such Act and for educational assistance authorized by section 1218 of such Act, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That notwithstanding section 205 of this Act, upon a determination by the Attorney General that emergent circumstances require additional funding for such disability and education payments, the Attorney General may transfer such amounts to "Public Safety Officer Benefits" from available appropriations for the current fiscal year for the Department of Justice as may be necessary to respond to such circumstances: *Provided further*, That any transfer pursuant to the previous proviso shall be treated as a reprogramming under section 505 of this Act and shall not be available for obligation or expenditure except in compliance with the procedures set forth in that section.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses, not elsewhere specified in this title, for management and administration of programs within the Office of Justice Programs, \$167,500,000: *Provided*, That, notwithstanding section 109 of title I of Public Law 90-351, an additional amount, not to exceed \$32,500,000 shall be available for authorized activities of the Office of Audit, Assessment, and Management.

COMMUNITY ORIENTED POLICING SERVICES

COMMUNITY ORIENTED POLICING SERVICES PROGRAMS

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For activities authorized by the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-322); the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 ("the 1968 Act"); and the Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005 (Public Law 109-162), \$542,070,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That any balances made available through prior year deobligations shall only be avail-

able in accordance with section 505 of this Act. Of the amount provided:

(1) \$30,000,000 is for improving tribal law enforcement, including hiring, equipment, training, and anti-methamphetamine activities;

(2) \$18,000,000 is for a national grant program the purpose of which is to assist State and local law enforcement to locate, arrest and prosecute child sexual predators and exploiters, and to enforce sex offender registration laws described in section 1701(b) of the 1968 Act;

(3) \$15,000,000 is for expenses authorized by part AA of the 1968 Act (Secure our Schools);

(4) \$363,000,000 is for grants under section 1701 of title I of the 1968 Act (42 U.S.C. 3796dd) for the hiring and rehiring of additional career law enforcement officers under part Q of such title notwithstanding subsection (i) of such section and notwithstanding 42 U.S.C. 3796dd-3(c): *Provided*, That subsection (g) of the 1968 Act (42 U.S.C. 3796dd) shall not apply with respect to funds appropriated in this Act: *Provided further*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$42,000,000 shall be transferred to the Tribal Resources Grant Program for improving tribal law enforcement: *Provided further*, That within the amounts appropriated, up to \$30,000,000 is available for the hiring or rehiring of officers who will be assigned to Internet Crimes Against Children Task Forces: *Provided further*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$26,000,000 is for community policing development activities;

(5) \$17,185,000 is for grants to entities described in section 1701 of title I of the 1968 Act, to address public safety and methamphetamine manufacturing, sale, and use in hot spots as authorized by section 754 of Public Law 109-177, and for other anti-methamphetamine-related activities: *Provided*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$7,185,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, as specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act): *Provided further*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$10,000,000 shall be transferred to the Drug Enforcement Administration upon enactment of this Act; and

(6) \$98,885,000 is for a law enforcement technologies and interoperable communications program, and related law enforcement and public safety equipment: *Provided*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$97,385,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, as specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act): *Provided further*, That of the amounts provided under this heading, \$1,500,000 is transferred directly to the National Institute of Standards and Technology's Office of Law Enforcement Standards from the Community Oriented Policing Services Office for research, testing, and evaluation programs.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses, not elsewhere specified in this title, for management and administration of programs within the Community Oriented Policing Services Office, \$39,000,000.

GENERAL PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

SEC. 201. In addition to amounts otherwise made available in this title for official reception and representation expenses, a total of not to exceed \$56,250 from funds appropriated to the Department of Justice in this title shall be available to the Attorney General for official reception and representation expenses.

SEC. 202. None of the funds appropriated by this title shall be available to pay for an abortion, except where the life of the mother would be endangered if the fetus were carried to term, or in the case of rape: *Provided*, That should this prohibition be declared unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, this section shall be null and void.

SEC. 203. None of the funds appropriated under this title shall be used to require any person to perform, or facilitate in any way the performance of, any abortion.

SEC. 204. Nothing in the preceding section shall remove the obligation of the Director of the Bureau of Prisons to provide escort services necessary for a female inmate to receive such service outside the Federal facility: *Provided*, That nothing in this section in any way diminishes the effect of section 203 intended to address the philosophical beliefs of individual employees of the Bureau of Prisons.

SEC. 205. Not to exceed 5 percent of any appropriation made available for the current fiscal year for the Department of Justice in this Act may be transferred between such appropriations, but no such appropriation, except as otherwise specifically provided, shall be increased by more than 10 percent by any such transfers: *Provided*, That any transfer pursuant to this section shall be treated as a reprogramming of funds under section 505 of this Act and shall not be available for obligation except in compliance with the procedures set forth in that section.

SEC. 206. The Attorney General is authorized to extend through September 30, 2012, the Personnel Management Demonstration Project transferred to the Attorney General pursuant to section 1115 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002, Public Law 107-296 (28 U.S.C. 599B) without limitation on the number of employees or the positions covered.

SEC. 207. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, Public Law 102-395 section 102(b) shall extend to the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives in the conduct of undercover investigative operations and shall apply without fiscal year limitation with respect to any undercover investigative operation by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives that is necessary for the detection and prosecution of crimes against the United States.

SEC. 208. None of the funds made available to the Department of Justice in this Act may be used for the purpose of transporting an individual who is a prisoner pursuant to conviction for crime under State or Federal law and is classified as a maximum or high security prisoner, other than to a prison or other facility certified by the Federal Bureau of Prisons as appropriately secure for housing such a prisoner.

SEC. 209. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used by Federal prisons to purchase cable television services, to rent or purchase videocassettes, videocassette recorders, or other audiovisual or electronic equipment used primarily for recreational purposes.

(b) The preceding sentence does not preclude the renting, maintenance, or purchase of audiovisual or electronic equipment for inmate training, religious, or educational programs.

SEC. 210. None of the funds made available under this title shall be obligated or expended for Sentinel, or for any other major new or enhanced information technology program having total estimated development costs in excess of \$100,000,000, unless the Deputy Attorney General and the investment review board certify to the Committees on Appropriations that the information technology program has appropriate program

management and contractor oversight mechanisms in place, and that the program is compatible with the enterprise architecture of the Department of Justice.

SEC. 211. The notification thresholds and procedures set forth in section 505 of this Act shall apply to deviations from the amounts designated for specific activities in this Act and accompanying statement, and to any re-obligation, for any purpose other than that of the program for which the prior obligation was made, of deobligated balances of funds provided under this title in previous years.

SEC. 212. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to plan for, begin, continue, finish, process, or approve a public-private competition under the Office of Management and Budget Circular A-76 or any successor administrative regulation, directive, or policy for work performed by employees of the Bureau of Prisons or of Federal Prison Industries, Incorporated.

SEC. 213. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no funds shall be available for the salary, benefits, or expenses of any United States Attorney assigned dual or additional responsibilities by the Attorney General or his designee that exempt that United States Attorney from the residency requirements of 28 U.S.C. 545.

SEC. 214. At the discretion of the Attorney General, and in addition to any amounts that otherwise may be available (or authorized to be made available) by law, with respect to funds appropriated by this Act under the headings for "Research, Evaluation and Statistics", "State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance" (other than funds specifically appropriated for discretionary grants to improve the functioning of the criminal justice system, to prevent or combat juvenile delinquency, and to assist victims of crime), and "Juvenile Justice Programs" (other than funds specifically appropriated for grants and projects, as authorized by sections 261 and 262 of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974)—

(1) Up to 3 percent of funds made available for grant or reimbursement programs may be used to provide training and technical assistance; and

(2) Notwithstanding section 205 of this Act, up to 3 percent of funds made available for grant or reimbursement programs under such headings, except for amounts appropriated specifically for research, evaluation, or statistical programs administered by the National Institute of Justice and the Bureau of Justice Statistics, may be transferred to and merged with funds provided to the National Institute of Justice and the Bureau of Justice Statistics, to be used by them for research, evaluation, or statistical purposes, without regard to the authorizations for such grant or reimbursement programs.

SEC. 215. The Attorney General may, upon request by a grantee and based upon a determination of fiscal hardship, waive the requirements of paragraph (1) of section 2976(g) and the requirements of paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 2978(e), and the requirements of section 2904 of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 3797w(g)(1) and 42 U.S.C. 3797w-2(e)(1) and 42 U.S.C. 3797w-2(e)(2) and 42 U.S.C. 3797q-3) with respect to funds appropriated in this or any other Act making appropriations for fiscal years 2010 and 2011 for Adult and Juvenile Offender State and Local Reentry Demonstration Projects, State, Tribal and Local Reentry Courts, and the Prosecution Drug Treatment Alternatives to Prison Program authorized under parts CC and FF of such title of such Act of 1968.

SEC. 216. Section 530A of title 28, United States Code, is hereby amended by replacing "appropriated" with "used from appropriations", and by inserting "(2)," before "(3)".

This title may be cited as the "Department of Justice Appropriations Act, 2011".

TITLE III SCIENCE

OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY

For necessary expenses of the Office of Science and Technology Policy, in carrying out the purposes of the National Science and Technology Policy, Organization, and Priorities Act of 1976 (42 U.S.C. 6601-6671), hire of passenger motor vehicles, and services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, not to exceed \$2,100 for official reception and representation expenses, and rental of conference rooms in the District of Columbia, \$6,990,000.

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

SCIENCE

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, in the conduct and support of science research and development activities, including research, development, operations, support, and services; maintenance; space flight, spacecraft control, and communications activities; program management; personnel and related costs, including uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901-5902; travel expenses; purchase and hire of passenger motor vehicles; and purchase, lease, charter, maintenance, and operation of mission and administrative aircraft, \$5,005,600,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That of the funds provided under this heading, \$15,000,000 shall be available for a reimbursable agreement with the Department of Energy for the re-establishment of facilities to produce fuel required for radioisotope thermoelectric generators to enable future science missions.

AERONAUTICS

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, in the conduct and support of aeronautics research and development activities, including research, development, operations, support, and services; maintenance; space flight, spacecraft control, and communications activities; program management; personnel and related costs, including uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901-5902; travel expenses; purchase and hire of passenger motor vehicles; and purchase, lease, charter, maintenance, and operation of mission and administrative aircraft, \$579,600,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

SPACE RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, in the conduct and support of space research and technology development activities, including research, development, operations, support, and services; maintenance; space flight, spacecraft control, and communications activities; program management; personnel and related costs, including uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901-5902; travel expenses; purchase and hire of passenger motor vehicles; and purchase, lease, charter, maintenance, and operation of mission and administrative aircraft, \$559,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

EXPLORATION

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, in the conduct and support of exploration research and development activities, including research, development, operations, support, and services; maintenance;

space flight, spacecraft control, and communications activities; program management, personnel and related costs, including uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901-5902; travel expenses; purchase and hire of passenger motor vehicles; and purchase, lease, charter, maintenance, and operation of mission and administrative aircraft, \$3,706,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That not less than \$300,000,000 shall be for commercial cargo development, not less than \$250,000,000 shall be for commercial crew, not less than \$1,800,000,000 shall be for the heavy lift launch vehicle system, and not less than \$1,200,000,000 shall be for the multipurpose crew vehicle: *Provided further*, That the initial lift capability for the heavy lift launch vehicle system shall be not less than 130 tons and that the upper stage and other core elements shall be developed simultaneously.

SPACE OPERATIONS

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, in the conduct and support of space operations research and development activities, including research, development, operations, support, and services; maintenance; space flight, spacecraft control and communications activities; program management; personnel and related costs, including uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901-5902; travel expenses; purchase and hire of passenger motor vehicles; and purchase, lease, charter, maintenance and operation of mission and administrative aircraft, \$5,247,900,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That of the amounts provided under this heading, \$989,100,000 shall be for Space Shuttle operations, production, research, development, and support, \$2,745,000,000 shall be for International Space Station operations, production, research, development, and support, and \$688,800,000 shall be for Space and Flight Support: *Provided further*, That should the Administrator determine that the Smithsonian Institution is an appropriate venue for an orbiter, such orbiter shall be made available to the Smithsonian at no or nominal cost: *Provided further*, That any funds received by National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) as a result of the disposition of any orbiter shall be available only as provided in subsequent appropriations Acts: *Provided further*, That funds made available under this heading in excess of those specified for Space Shuttle, International Space Station, and Space and Flight support may be transferred to "Construction and Environmental Compliance and Restoration" for construction activities only at NASA owned facilities: *Provided further*, That funds so transferred shall not be subject to section 505(a)(1) of this Act or to the transfer limitations described in the Administrative Provisions in this Act for NASA, and shall be available until September 30, 2015, only after notification of such transfers to the Committees on Appropriations.

EDUCATION

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, in carrying out aerospace and aeronautical education research and development activities, including research, development, operations, support, and services; program management; personnel and related costs, uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901-5902; travel expenses; purchase and hire of passenger motor vehicles; and purchase, lease, charter, maintenance, and operation of mission and administrative aircraft, \$180,000,000, to remain

available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$44,800,000 shall be for space grant activities: *Provided further*, That of the funds provided for space grant activities, none shall be available for National Aeronautics and Space Administration administrative costs: *Provided further*, That 42 U.S.C. 2467a is amended by adding at the end thereof:

“(d) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—The interest accruing from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Endeavor Teacher Fellowship Trust Fund principal shall be available in fiscal year 2011 for science, technology, engineering and math teacher development.”.

CROSS AGENCY SUPPORT

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, in the conduct and support of science, aeronautics, space research and technology, exploration, space operations and education research and development activities, including research, development, operations, support, and services; maintenance; space flight, spacecraft control, and communications activities; program management; personnel and related costs, including uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901-5902; travel expenses; purchase and hire of passenger motor vehicles; not to exceed \$52,500 for official reception and representation expenses; and purchase, lease, charter, maintenance, and operation of mission and administrative aircraft, \$3,085,700,000: *Provided*, That \$2,270,200,000 shall be available for center management and operations: *Provided further*, That not less than \$47,500,000 shall be available for independent verification and validation activities: *Provided further*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$56,125,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, as specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act): *Provided further*, That contracts may be entered into under this heading in fiscal year 2011 for maintenance and operation of facilities, and for other services, to be provided during the next fiscal year.

CONSTRUCTION AND ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE AND RESTORATION

For necessary expenses for construction of facilities including repair, rehabilitation, revitalization, and modification of facilities, construction of new facilities and additions to existing facilities, facility planning and design, and restoration, and acquisition or condemnation of real property, as authorized by law, and environmental compliance and restoration; \$508,700,000, together with \$20,000,000 to be derived from available unobligated balances previously appropriated for construction of facilities, to remain available until September 30, 2015: *Provided*, That within the funds provided, \$40,500,000 shall be available to support science research and development activities; \$109,800,000 shall be available to support exploration research and development activities; \$15,600,000 shall be available to support space operations research and development activities; \$300,700,000 shall be available for institutional construction of facilities; and \$62,100,000 shall be available for environmental compliance and restoration: *Provided further*, That proceeds from leases entered into under the authorities contained in 42 U.S.C. 2459j and deposited into this account shall be available for obligation for fiscal year 2011 in an amount not to exceed \$5,592,400.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the Inspector General Act of 1978, \$37,500,000.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Funds for announced prizes otherwise authorized shall remain available, without fiscal year limitation, until the prize is claimed or the offer is withdrawn.

Not to exceed 5 percent of any appropriation made available for the current fiscal year for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) in this Act may be transferred between such appropriations, but no such appropriation, except as otherwise specifically provided, shall be increased by more than 10 percent by any such transfers. Balances transferred may be merged with funds in the recipient account and thereafter may be accounted for as one fund under the same terms and conditions as the recipient account. Any transfer pursuant to this provision shall be treated as a reprogramming of funds under section 505 of this Act and shall not be available for obligation except in compliance with the procedures set forth in that section.

The unexpired balances of previous accounts, for activities for which funds are provided under this Act, may be transferred to the new accounts established in this Act that provide such activity. Balances so transferred shall be merged with the funds in the newly established accounts, but shall be available under the same terms, conditions and period of time as previously appropriated.

Funding designations and minimum funding requirements contained in any other Act shall not be applicable to funds appropriated by this title for NASA.

Of funds provided under the headings “Space Operations” and “Exploration” in this Act, up to \$60,000,000 may be transferred to “Economic Development Assistance Programs, Economic Development Administration, Department of Commerce”, to spur regional economic growth in areas impacted by Shuttle retirement and exploration programmatic changes.

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION RESEARCH AND RELATED ACTIVITIES (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses in carrying out the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1861-1875), and the Act to establish a National Medal of Science (42 U.S.C. 1880-1881); services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; maintenance and operation of aircraft and purchase of flight services for research support; acquisition of aircraft; and authorized travel; \$5,949,080,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, of which not to exceed \$590,000,000 shall remain available until expended for polar research and operations support, and for reimbursement to other Federal agencies for operational and science support and logistical and other related activities for the United States Antarctic program: *Provided*, That from funds specified in the fiscal year 2011 budget request for icebreaking services, \$54,000,000 shall be transferred to the U.S. Coast Guard “Operating Expenses” within 60 days of enactment of this Act: *Provided further*, That receipts for scientific support services and materials furnished by the National Research Centers and other National Science Foundation supported research facilities may be credited to this appropriation: *Provided further*, That not less than \$156,000,000 shall be available for activities authorized by section 7002(c)(2)(A)(iv) of Public Law 110-69.

MAJOR RESEARCH EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION

For necessary expenses for the acquisition, construction, commissioning, and upgrading of major research equipment, facilities, and other such capital assets pursuant to the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1861-1875), including authorized travel, \$157,190,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That none of the funds may be used to reimburse the Judgment Fund.

EDUCATION AND HUMAN RESOURCES

For necessary expenses in carrying out science, mathematics and engineering education and human resources programs and activities pursuant to the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1861-1875), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, authorized travel, and rental of conference rooms in the District of Columbia, \$900,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That not less than \$55,000,000 shall be available until expended for activities authorized by section 7030 of Public Law 110-69, not less than \$32,000,000 shall be available until expended for the Historically Black Colleges and Universities Undergraduate Program, and not less than \$14,250,000 shall be available until expended for the Tribal Colleges and Universities Program.

AGENCY OPERATIONS AND AWARD MANAGEMENT

For agency operations and award management necessary in carrying out the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1861-1875); services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; hire of passenger motor vehicles; not to exceed \$6,900 for official reception and representation expenses; uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901-5902; rental of conference rooms in the District of Columbia; and reimbursement of the Department of Homeland Security for security guard services; \$319,190,000: *Provided*, That contracts may be entered into under this heading in fiscal year 2011 for maintenance and operation of facilities, and for other services, to be provided during the next fiscal year.

OFFICE OF THE NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD

For necessary expenses (including payment of salaries, authorized travel, hire of passenger motor vehicles, the rental of conference rooms in the District of Columbia, and the employment of experts and consultants under section 3109 of title 5, United States Code) involved in carrying out section 4 of the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1863) and Public Law 86-209 (42 U.S.C. 1880 et seq.), \$4,840,000: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$2,100 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General as authorized by the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$14,700,000.

This title may be cited as the “Science Appropriations Act, 2011”.

TITLE IV

RELATED AGENCIES

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Commission on Civil Rights, including hire of passenger motor vehicles, \$9,400,000: *Provided*, That none of the funds appropriated in this paragraph shall be used to employ in excess of

four full-time individuals under Schedule C of the Excepted Service exclusive of one special assistant for each Commissioner: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated in this paragraph shall be used to reimburse Commissioners for more than 75 billable days, with the exception of the chairperson, who is permitted 125 billable days: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated in this paragraph shall be used for any activity or expense that is not explicitly authorized by 42 U.S.C. 1975a: *Provided further*, That there shall be an Inspector General at the Commission on Civil Rights who shall have the duties, responsibilities, and authorities specified in the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended: *Provided further*, That an individual appointed to the position of Inspector General of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) shall, by virtue of such appointment, also hold the position of Inspector General of the Commission on Civil Rights: *Provided further*, That the Inspector General of the Commission on Civil Rights shall utilize personnel of the Office of Inspector General of EEOC in performing the duties of the Inspector General of the Commission on Civil Rights, and shall not appoint any individuals to positions within the Commission on Civil Rights: *Provided further*, That of the amounts made available in this paragraph, \$900,000 shall be transferred directly to the Office of Inspector General of EEOC upon enactment of this Act for salaries and expenses necessary to carry out the duties of the Inspector General of the Commission on Civil Rights.

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission as authorized by title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, the Equal Pay Act of 1963, the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, the Civil Rights Act of 1991, the Genetic Information Non-Discrimination Act (GINA) of 2008 (Public Law 110-233), the ADA Amendments Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-325), and the Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-2), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; hire of passenger motor vehicles as authorized by 31 U.S.C. 1343(b); nonmonetary awards to private citizens, \$355,303,000: *Provided*, That the Commission is authorized to make available for official reception and representation expenses not to exceed \$1,875 from available funds: *Provided further*, That the Commission may take no action to implement any workforce repositioning, restructuring, or reorganization until such time as the Committees on Appropriations have been notified of such proposals, in accordance with the reprogramming requirements of section 505 of this Act: *Provided further*, That the Chair is authorized to accept and use any gift or donation to carry out the work of the Commission.

STATE AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE

For payments to State and local enforcement agencies for authorized services to the Commission, \$30,000,000.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the International Trade Commission, including hire of passenger motor vehicles, and services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, and not to exceed \$1,875 for official reception and representation expenses, \$87,000,000, to remain available until expended.

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION PAYMENT TO THE LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

For payment to the Legal Services Corporation to carry out the purposes of the Legal Services Corporation Act of 1974, \$440,000,000, of which \$410,650,000 is for basic field programs and required independent audits; \$4,350,000 is for the Office of Inspector General, of which such amounts as may be necessary may be used to conduct additional audits of recipients; \$20,000,000 is for management and grants oversight; \$4,000,000 is for client self-help and information technology; and \$1,000,000 is for loan repayment assistance: *Provided*, That the Legal Services Corporation may continue to provide locality pay to officers and employees at a rate no greater than that provided by the Federal Government to Washington, DC-based employees as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5304, notwithstanding section 1005(d) of the Legal Services Corporation Act, 42 U.S.C. 2996(d): *Provided further*, That the authorities provided in section 205 of this Act shall be applicable to the Legal Services Corporation.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION—LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

None of the funds appropriated in this Act to the Legal Services Corporation shall be expended for any purpose prohibited or limited by, or contrary to any of the provisions of, sections 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, and 506 of Public Law 105-119, and all funds appropriated in this Act to the Legal Services Corporation shall be subject to the same terms and conditions set forth in such sections, except that all references in sections 502 and 503 to 1997 and 1998 shall be deemed to refer instead to 2010 and 2011, respectively.

MARINE MAMMAL COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Marine Mammal Commission as authorized by title II of Public Law 92-522, \$3,500,000.

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Office of the United States Trade Representative, including the hire of passenger motor vehicles and the employment of experts and consultants as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, \$48,000,000, of which \$1,000,000 shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$93,000 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses: *Provided further*, That negotiations shall be conducted within the World Trade Organization to recognize the right of members to distribute monies collected from antidumping and countervailing duties: *Provided further*, That negotiations shall be conducted within the World Trade Organization consistent with the negotiating objectives contained in the Trade Act of 2002, Public Law 107-210.

STATE JUSTICE INSTITUTE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the State Justice Institute, as authorized by the State Justice Institute Authorization Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 10701 et seq.) \$6,273,000, of which \$500,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$1,875 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses.

COMMISSION ON WARTIME RELOCATION AND INTERNMENT OF LATIN AMERICANS OF JAPANESE DESCENT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses to carry out the activities of the Commission on Wartime Relo-

cation and Internment of Latin Americans of Japanese Descent, as authorized by section 539 of this Act, \$1,700,000.

TITLE V

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 501. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall be used for publicity or propaganda purposes not authorized by the Congress.

SEC. 502. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year unless expressly so provided herein.

SEC. 503. The expenditure of any appropriation under this Act for any consulting service through procurement contract, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3109, shall be limited to those contracts where such expenditures are a matter of public record and available for public inspection, except where otherwise provided under existing law, or under existing Executive order issued pursuant to existing law.

SEC. 504. If any provision of this Act or the application of such provision to any person or circumstances shall be held invalid, the remainder of the Act and the application of each provision to persons or circumstances other than those as to which it is held invalid shall not be affected thereby.

SEC. 505. (a) None of the funds provided under this Act, or provided under previous appropriations Acts to the agencies funded by this Act that remain available for obligation or expenditure in fiscal year 2011, or provided from any accounts in the Treasury of the United States derived by the collection of fees available to the agencies funded by this Act, shall be available for obligation or expenditure through the reprogramming of funds that—

(1) creates or initiates a new program, project or activity;

(2) eliminates a program, project or activity, unless the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations are notified 15 days in advance of such reprogramming of funds;

(3) increases funds or personnel by any means for any project or activity for which funds have been denied or restricted by this Act, unless the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations are notified 15 days in advance of such reprogramming of funds;

(4) relocates an office or employees, unless the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations are notified 15 days in advance of such reprogramming of funds;

(5) reorganizes or renames offices, programs or activities, unless the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations are notified 15 days in advance of such reprogramming of funds;

(6) contracts out or privatizes any functions or activities presently performed by Federal employees, unless the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations are notified 15 days in advance of such reprogramming of funds;

(7) proposes to use funds directed for a specific activity by either the House or Senate Committee on Appropriations for a different purpose, unless the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations are notified 15 days in advance of such reprogramming of funds;

(8) augments funds for existing programs, projects or activities in excess of \$500,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less, or reduces by 10 percent funding for any program, project or activity, or numbers of personnel by 10 percent as approved by Congress, unless the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations are notified 15 days in advance of such reprogramming of funds; or

(9) results from any general savings, including savings from a reduction in personnel, which would result in a change in existing programs, projects or activities as approved by Congress, unless the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations are notified 15 days in advance of such reprogramming of funds.

(b) None of the funds provided under this Act, or provided under previous appropriations Acts to the agencies funded by this Act that remain available for obligation or expenditure in fiscal year 2011, or provided from any accounts in the Treasury of the United States derived by the collection of fees available to the agencies funded by this Act, shall be available for obligation or expenditure, through the reprogramming of funds after August 1, except in extraordinary circumstances, and only after the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations are notified 30 days in advance of such reprogramming of funds.

SEC. 506. Hereafter, none of the funds made available in this or any other Act may be used to implement, administer, or enforce any guidelines of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission covering harassment based on religion, when it is made known to the Federal entity or official to which such funds are made available that such guidelines do not differ in any respect from the proposed guidelines published by the Commission on October 1, 1993 (58 Fed. Reg. 51266).

SEC. 507. If it has been finally determined by a court or Federal agency that any person intentionally affixed a label bearing a "Made in America" inscription, or any inscription with the same meaning, to any product sold in or shipped to the United States that is not made in the United States, the person shall be ineligible to receive any contract or subcontract made with funds made available in this Act, pursuant to the debarment, suspension, and ineligibility procedures described in sections 9.400 through 9.409 of title 48, Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 508. The Departments of Commerce and Justice, the National Science Foundation, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, shall provide to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a quarterly accounting of the cumulative balances of any unobligated funds that were received by such agency during any previous fiscal year.

SEC. 509. Any costs incurred by a department or agency funded under this Act resulting from, or to prevent, personnel actions taken in response to funding reductions included in this Act shall be absorbed within the total budgetary resources available to such department or agency: *Provided*, That the authority to transfer funds between appropriations accounts as may be necessary to carry out this section is provided in addition to authorities included elsewhere in this Act: *Provided further*, That use of funds to carry out this section shall be treated as a reprogramming of funds under section 505 of this Act and shall not be available for obligation or expenditure except in compliance with the procedures set forth in that section.

SEC. 510. None of the funds provided by this Act shall be available to promote the sale or export of tobacco or tobacco products, or to seek the reduction or removal by any foreign country of restrictions on the marketing of tobacco or tobacco products, except for restrictions which are not applied equally to all tobacco or tobacco products of the same type.

SEC. 511. None of the funds appropriated pursuant to this Act or any other provision of law may be used for—

(1) the implementation of any tax or fee in connection with the implementation of subsection 922(t) of title 18, United States Code; and

(2) any system to implement subsection 922(t) of title 18, United States Code, that does not require and result in the destruction of any identifying information submitted by or on behalf of any person who has been determined not to be prohibited from possessing or receiving a firearm no more than 24 hours after the system advises a Federal firearms licensee that possession or receipt of a firearm by the prospective transferee would not violate subsection (g) or (n) of section 922 of title 18, United States Code, or State law.

SEC. 512. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts deposited or available in the Fund established under section 1402 of the Victims of Crime Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 10601) in any fiscal year in excess of \$820,000,000 shall not be available for obligation until the following fiscal year.

SEC. 513. None of the funds made available to the Department of Justice in this Act may be used to discriminate against or denigrate the religious or moral beliefs of students who participate in programs for which financial assistance is provided from those funds, or of the parents or legal guardians of such students.

SEC. 514. None of the funds made available in this Act may be transferred to any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States Government, except pursuant to a transfer made by, or transfer authority provided in, this Act or any other appropriations Act.

SEC. 515. Any funds provided in this Act used to implement E-Government Initiatives shall be subject to the procedures set forth in section 505 of this Act.

SEC. 516. (a) Tracing studies conducted by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives are released without adequate disclaimers regarding the limitations of the data.

(b) The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives shall include in all such data releases, language similar to the following that would make clear that trace data cannot be used to draw broad conclusions about firearms-related crime:

(1) Firearm traces are designed to assist law enforcement authorities in conducting investigations by tracking the sale and possession of specific firearms. Law enforcement agencies may request firearms traces for any reason, and those reasons are not necessarily reported to the Federal Government. Not all firearms used in crime are traced and not all firearms traced are used in crime.

(2) Firearms selected for tracing are not chosen for purposes of determining which types, makes, or models of firearms are used for illicit purposes. The firearms selected do not constitute a random sample and should not be considered representative of the larger universe of all firearms used by criminals, or any subset of that universe. Firearms are normally traced to the first retail seller, and sources reported for firearms traced do not necessarily represent the sources or methods by which firearms in general are acquired for use in crime.

SEC. 517. (a) The Inspectors General of the Department of Commerce, the Department of Justice, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the National Science Foundation, and the Legal Services Corporation shall conduct audits, pursuant to the Inspector General Act (5 U.S.C. App.), of grants

or contracts for which funds are appropriated by this Act, and shall submit reports to Congress on the progress of such audits, which may include preliminary findings and a description of areas of particular interest, within 180 days after initiating such an audit and every 180 days thereafter until any such audit is completed.

(b) Within 60 days after the date on which an audit described in subsection (a) by an Inspector General is completed, the Secretary, Attorney General, Administrator, Director, or President, as appropriate, shall make the results of the audit available to the public on the Internet Web site maintained by the Department, Administration, Foundation, or Corporation, respectively. The results shall be made available in redacted form to exclude—

(1) any matter described in section 552(b) of title 5, United States Code; and

(2) sensitive personal information for any individual, the public access to which could be used to commit identity theft or for other inappropriate or unlawful purposes.

(c) A grant or contract funded by amounts appropriated by this Act may not be used for the purpose of defraying the costs of a banquet or conference that is not directly and programmatically related to the purpose for which the grant or contract was awarded, such as a banquet or conference held in connection with planning, training, assessment, review, or other routine purposes related to a project funded by the grant or contract.

(d) Any person awarded a grant or contract funded by amounts appropriated by this Act shall submit a statement to the Secretary of Commerce, the Attorney General, the Administrator, Director, or President, as appropriate, certifying that no funds derived from the grant or contract will be made available through a subcontract or in any other manner to another person who has a financial interest in the person awarded the grant or contract.

(e) The provisions of the preceding subsections of this section shall take effect 30 days after the date on which the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, in consultation with the Director of the Office of Government Ethics, determines that a uniform set of rules and requirements, substantially similar to the requirements in such subsections, consistently apply under the executive branch ethics program to all Federal departments, agencies, and entities.

SEC. 518. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available under this Act may be used to issue patents on claims directed to or encompassing a human organism.

SEC. 519. None of the funds made available in this Act shall be used in any way whatsoever to support or justify the use of torture by any official or contract employee of the United States Government.

SEC. 520. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law or treaty, none of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available under this Act or any other Act may be expended or obligated by a department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States to pay administrative expenses or to compensate an officer or employee of the United States in connection with requiring an export license for the export to Canada of components, parts, accessories or attachments for firearms listed in Category I, section 121.1 of title 22, Code of Federal Regulations (International Trafficking in Arms Regulations (ITAR), part 121, as it existed on April 1, 2005) with a total value not exceeding \$500 wholesale in any transaction, provided that the conditions of subsection (b) of this section are met by the exporting party for such articles.

(b) The foregoing exemption from obtaining an export license—

(1) does not exempt an exporter from filing any Shipper's Export Declaration or notification letter required by law, or from being otherwise eligible under the laws of the United States to possess, ship, transport, or export the articles enumerated in subsection (a); and

(2) does not permit the export without a license of—

(A) fully automatic firearms and components and parts for such firearms, other than for end use by the Federal Government, or a Provincial or Municipal Government of Canada;

(B) barrels, cylinders, receivers (frames) or complete breech mechanisms for any firearm listed in Category I, other than for end use by the Federal Government, or a Provincial or Municipal Government of Canada; or

(C) articles for export from Canada to another foreign destination.

(c) In accordance with this section, the District Directors of Customs and postmasters shall permit the permanent or temporary export without a license of any unclassified articles specified in subsection (a) to Canada for end use in Canada or return to the United States, or temporary import of Canadian-origin items from Canada for end use in the United States or return to Canada for a Canadian citizen.

(d) The President may require export licenses under this section on a temporary basis if the President determines, upon publication first in the Federal Register, that the Government of Canada has implemented or maintained inadequate import controls for the articles specified in subsection (a), such that a significant diversion of such articles has and continues to take place for use in international terrorism or in the escalation of a conflict in another nation. The President shall terminate the requirements of a license when reasons for the temporary requirements have ceased.

SEC. 521. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States receiving appropriated funds under this Act or any other Act shall obligate or expend in any way such funds to pay administrative expenses or the compensation of any officer or employee of the United States to deny any application submitted pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 2778(b)(1)(B) and qualified pursuant to 27 CFR section 478.112 or .113, for a permit to import United States origin "curios or relics" firearms, parts, or ammunition.

SEC. 522. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to include in any new bilateral or multilateral trade agreement the text of—

(1) paragraph 2 of article 16.7 of the United States-Singapore Free Trade Agreement;

(2) paragraph 4 of article 17.9 of the United States-Australia Free Trade Agreement; or

(3) paragraph 4 of article 15.9 of the United States-Morocco Free Trade Agreement.

SEC. 523. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to authorize or issue a national security letter in contravention of any of the following laws authorizing the Federal Bureau of Investigation to issue national security letters: The Right to Financial Privacy Act; The Electronic Communications Privacy Act; The Fair Credit Reporting Act; The National Security Act of 1947; USA PATRIOT Act; and the laws amended by these Acts.

SEC. 524. If at any time during any quarter, the program manager of a project within the jurisdiction of the Departments of Com-

merce or Justice, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, or the National Science Foundation totaling more than \$75,000,000 has reasonable cause to believe that the total program cost has increased by 10 percent, the program manager shall immediately inform the Secretary, Administrator, or Director. The Secretary, Administrator, or Director shall notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations within 30 days in writing of such increase, and shall include in such notice: the date on which such determination was made; a statement of the reasons for such increases; the action taken and proposed to be taken to control future cost growth of the project; changes made in the performance or schedule milestones and the degree to which such changes have contributed to the increase in total program costs or procurement costs; new estimates of the total project or procurement costs; and a statement validating that the project's management structure is adequate to control total project or procurement costs.

SEC. 525. Funds appropriated by this Act, or made available by the transfer of funds in this Act, for intelligence or intelligence related activities are deemed to be specifically authorized by the Congress for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 414) during fiscal year 2011 until the enactment of the Intelligence Authorization Act for fiscal year 2011.

SEC. 526. The Departments, agencies, and commissions funded under this Act, shall establish and maintain on the homepages of their Internet Web sites—

(1) direct links to the Internet Web sites of their Offices of Inspectors General; and

(2) mechanisms on the Offices of Inspectors General Web sites by which individuals may anonymously report cases of waste, fraud, or abuse with respect to those Departments, agencies, and commissions.

SEC. 527. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used to enter into a contract in an amount greater than \$5,000,000 or to award a grant in excess of such amount unless the prospective contractor or grantee certifies in writing to the agency awarding the contract or grant that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, the contractor or grantee has filed all Federal tax returns required during the three years preceding the certification, has not been convicted of a criminal offense under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and has not, more than 90 days prior to certification, been notified of any unpaid Federal tax assessment for which the liability remains unsatisfied, unless the assessment is the subject of an installment agreement or offer in compromise that has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service and is not in default, or the assessment is the subject of a non-frivolous administrative or judicial proceeding.

SEC. 528. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available in this Act may be used in a manner that is inconsistent with the principal negotiating objective of the United States with respect to trade remedy laws to preserve the ability of the United States—

(1) to enforce vigorously its trade laws, including antidumping, countervailing duty, and safeguard laws;

(2) to avoid agreements that—

(A) lessen the effectiveness of domestic and international disciplines on unfair trade, especially dumping and subsidies; or

(B) lessen the effectiveness of domestic and international safeguard provisions, in order

to ensure that United States workers, agricultural producers, and firms can compete fully on fair terms and enjoy the benefits of reciprocal trade concessions; and

(3) to address and remedy market distortions that lead to dumping and subsidization, including overcapacity, cartelization, and market access barriers.

SEC. 529. Section 504(a) of the Departments of Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1996 (as contained in Public Law 104-134) is amended by striking paragraph (7).

(RESCISSIONS)

SEC. 530. (a) Of the unobligated balances available to the Foreign Fishing Observer Fund, \$350,000 are hereby rescinded;

(b) Of the unobligated balances available to the Department of Justice from prior appropriations, the following funds are hereby rescinded, not later than September 30, 2011, from the following accounts in the specified amounts—

(1) "Legal Activities, Assets Forfeiture Fund", \$850,000,000;

(2) "Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, Violent Crime Reduction Program", \$1,028,000;

(3) "Office of Justice Programs", \$42,000,000;

(4) "Community Oriented Policing Services", \$10,200,000;

(5) "Working Capital Fund", \$20,000,000;

(6) "Federal Bureau of Investigation, Salaries and Expenses", \$57,000,000; and

(7) "Detention Trustee", \$6,000,000.

(c) Of the unobligated balances available to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration from prior year appropriations under the heading "Exploration", \$14,000,000 are hereby rescinded.

(d) Of the unobligated balances available to the Bureau of the Census from prior year appropriations, \$1,740,000,000 under the heading "Periodic Censuses and Programs" are hereby rescinded.

(e) Within 30 days of enactment of this Act, the Department of Justice, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and the Department of Commerce shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate a report specifying the amount of each rescission made pursuant to this section.

(f) The rescissions contained in this section shall not apply to funds provided in this Act.

SEC. 531. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to purchase first class or premium airline travel in contravention of sections 301-10.122 through 301-10.124 of title 41 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 532. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to send or otherwise pay for the attendance of more than 50 employees from a Federal department or agency at any single conference occurring outside the United States. This provision shall not apply to law enforcement training and/or operational conferences for law enforcement personnel when the majority of Federal employees in attendance are law enforcement personnel stationed outside the United States.

SEC. 533. None of the funds made available under this Act may be distributed to the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (ACORN) or its subsidiaries.

SEC. 534. To the extent practicable, funds made available in this Act should be used to purchase light bulbs that are "Energy Star" qualified or have the "Federal Energy Management Program" designation.

SEC. 535. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to relocate the Bureau of the Census or employees from the Department of Commerce to the jurisdiction of the Executive Office of the President.

SEC. 536. (a) The head of any department, agency, board or commission funded by this Act shall submit quarterly reports to the Inspector General for any entity without an inspector general or the senior ethics official of the appropriate department, agency, board or commission regarding the costs and contracting procedures relating to each conference held by the department, agency, board or commission during fiscal year 2011 for which the cost to the Government was more than \$20,000.

(b) Each report submitted under subsection (a) shall include, for each conference described in that subsection held during the applicable quarter—

(1) a description of the subject of and number of participants attending that conference;

(2) a detailed statement of the costs to the Government relating to that conference, including—

(A) the cost of any food or beverages;

(B) the cost of any audio-visual services; and

(C) a discussion of the methodology used to determine which costs relate to that conference; and

(3) a description of the contracting procedures relating to that conference, including—

(A) whether contracts were awarded on a competitive basis for that conference; and

(B) a discussion of any cost comparison conducted by the department, agency, board or commission in evaluating potential contractors for that conference.

SEC. 537. The Departments of Commerce and Justice, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and the National Science Foundation shall provide to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations an annual report, by September 30, 2011, and annually thereafter, on the progress toward achieving the sustainability goals and targets described in Executive Order 13514.

SEC. 538. (a) Of the amounts appropriated for grants and projects, as authorized by sections 261 and 262 of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974, under the heading “Juvenile Justice Programs” under the major heading “Office of Justice Programs” under the overarching heading “State and Local Law Enforcement Activities” under division B, title II of the Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111-8; 123 Stat. 581), the amounts to be made available to Youth Alive, Inc. in Louisville, Kentucky, for At-Risk Youths Crime Prevention pursuant to the joint statement of managers accompanying that Act shall be made available to the St. Stephen Family Life Center in Louisville, Kentucky, for a youth mentoring program.

(b) Of the amounts appropriated for discretionary grants to improve the functioning of the criminal justice system, to prevent or combat juvenile delinquency, and to assist victims of crime (other than compensation), under the heading “State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance” under the major heading “Office of Justice Programs” under the overarching heading “State and Local Law Enforcement Activities” under division B, title II of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-117; 123 Stat. 3133), the amounts to be made available to the Texas Engineering Extension Service in San

Marcos, Texas, for the ALERRT program pursuant to the joint statement of managers accompanying that Act shall be made available to Texas State University in San Marcos, Texas, for the same purpose.

(c) Of the amounts appropriated for a law enforcement technologies and interoperable communications program under the heading “Community Oriented Policing Services” under the overarching heading “State and Local Law Enforcement Activities” under division B, title II of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-117; 123 Stat. 3137), the amounts to be made available to the Elgin Police Department in Elgin, Illinois, for Police Car Video Recording Replacement pursuant to the joint statement of managers accompanying that Act shall be made available to the same entity, for law enforcement technology.

(d) Of the amounts appropriated for a law enforcement technologies and interoperable communications program under the heading “Community Oriented Policing Services” under the overarching heading “State and Local Law Enforcement Activities” under division B, title II of the Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111-8; 123 Stat. 583), the amounts to be made available to the City of Monroe, North Carolina, for an In-Car Camera Project pursuant to the joint statement of managers accompanying that Act shall be made available to the same entity, for an interoperable radio project.

(e) Of the amounts appropriated for a law enforcement technologies and interoperable communications program under the major heading “Community Oriented Policing Services” under the overarching heading “State and Local Law Enforcement Activities” under division B, title II of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-117; 123 Stat. 3137), the amounts to be made available to the Beaver County Sheriff in Beaver, Pennsylvania, for Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment pursuant to the joint statement of managers accompanying that Act shall be transferred to the appropriation for discretionary grants to improve the functioning of the criminal justice system, to prevent or combat juvenile delinquency, and to assist victims of crime (other than compensation) under the heading “State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance”, under the major heading “Office of Justice Programs” under the same overarching heading, for the same entity, for the same purpose.

(f) Of the amounts appropriated for a law enforcement technologies and interoperable communications program under the major heading “Community Oriented Policing Services” under the overarching heading “State and Local Law Enforcement Activities” under division B, title II of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-117; 123 Stat. 3137), the amounts to be made available to the Lawrence County Sheriff in New Castle, Pennsylvania, for Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment pursuant to the joint statement of managers accompanying that Act shall be transferred to the appropriation for discretionary grants to improve the functioning of the criminal justice system, to prevent or combat juvenile delinquency, and to assist victims of crime (other than compensation) under the heading “State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance”, under the major heading “Office of Justice Programs” under the same overarching heading, for the same entity, for the same purpose.

(g) Of the amounts appropriated for a law enforcement technologies and interoperable

communications program under the heading “Community Oriented Policing Services” under the overarching heading “State and Local Law Enforcement Activities” under division B, title II of the Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111-8; 123 Stat. 583), the amounts to be made available to the City of Green Bay, Wisconsin, for a Police Department Drying Room pursuant to the joint statement of managers accompanying that Act shall be made available to the same entity, for forensics equipment.

(h) Of the amounts appropriated for discretionary grants to improve the functioning of the criminal justice system, to prevent or combat juvenile delinquency, and to assist victims of crime (other than compensation), under the heading “State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance”, under the major heading “Office of Justice Programs”, under the overarching heading “State and Local Law Enforcement Activities”, under division B, title II of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-117; 123 Stat. 3133), the amounts to be made available to the Montana Sheriffs and Peace Officers Association in Helena, Montana, for the Montana Offender Notification and Tracking System—Juvenile Justice System (MONTSJJS), pursuant to the joint explanatory statement of the Committee of Conference accompanying that Act, shall be made available, instead, for adult initiatives.

(i) Of the amounts appropriated for grants and projects, as authorized by sections 261 and 262 of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974, under the heading “Juvenile Justice Programs”, under the major heading “Office of Justice Programs”, under the overarching heading “State and Local Law Enforcement Activities”, under division B, title II of the Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111-8; 123 Stat. 581), the amounts to be made available to the Self-Reliance Foundation in Washington, DC, for a Latino Youth Gang Prevention Project pursuant to the joint statement of managers accompanying that Act shall be made available to Identity, Inc. in Gaithersburg, Maryland, for the same purpose.

(j) Of the amounts appropriated for a law enforcement technologies and interoperable communications program under the heading “Community Oriented Policing Services”, under the overarching heading “State and Local Law Enforcement Activities”, under division B, title II of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-117; 123 Stat. 3137), the amounts to be made available to the Webb County Sheriff in Laredo, Texas, for a South Texas Forensics Laboratory pursuant to the joint statement of managers accompanying that Act shall be made available to the same entity, for South Texas emergency operations equipment.

(k) Of the amounts appropriated for grants and projects, as authorized by sections 261 and 262 of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974, under the heading “Juvenile Justice Programs”, under the major heading “Office of Justice Programs”, under the overarching heading “State and Local Law Enforcement Activities”, under division B, title II of the Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111-8; 123 Stat. 581), the amounts to be made available to the Self-Reliance Foundation in Washington, DC, for a Wake County Gang Prevention Partnership Spanish Language Anti-Gang Campaign pursuant to the joint statement of managers accompanying that Act shall be made available to the Department of 4-H Youth Development and Family

& Consumer Sciences at North Carolina State University in Raleigh, North Carolina, for the same purpose.

(l) Of the amounts appropriated for discretionary grants to improve the functioning of the criminal justice system, to prevent or combat juvenile delinquency, and to assist victims of crime (other than compensation), under the heading "State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance" under the major heading "Office of Justice Programs" under the overarching heading "State and Local Law Enforcement Activities", under division B, title II of the Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111-8; 123 Stat. 579), the amounts to be made available to the Louisiana District Attorney's Association in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, to support an early intervention program for at-risk elementary students, pursuant to the joint statement of managers accompanying that Act, shall be made available to the University of Louisiana-Lafayette in Lafayette, Louisiana, for the same purpose.

(m) Of the amounts appropriated for discretionary grants to improve the functioning of the criminal justice system, to prevent or combat juvenile delinquency, and to assist victims of crime (other than compensation), under the heading "State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance" under the major heading "Office of Justice Programs", under the overarching heading "State and Local Law Enforcement Activities", under division B, title II of the Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111-8; 123 Stat. 579), the amounts to be made available to the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, for copper wire theft prevention efforts, pursuant to the joint statement of managers accompanying that Act, shall be made available to the City of Las Vegas for the Shared Computer Operation for Protection and Enforcement (SCOPE), Las Vegas, Nevada.

COMMISSION ON WARTIME RELOCATION AND INTERNMENT OF LATIN AMERICANS OF JAPANESE DESCENT

SEC. 539. (a) FINDINGS.—Based on a preliminary study published in December 1982 by the Commission on Wartime Relocation and Internment of Civilians, Congress finds the following:

(1) During World War II, the United States—

(A) expanded its internment program and national security investigations to conduct the program and investigations in Latin America; and

(B) financed relocation to the United States, and internment, of approximately 2,300 Latin Americans of Japanese descent, for the purpose of exchanging the Latin Americans of Japanese descent for United States citizens held by Axis countries.

(2) Approximately 2,300 men, women, and children of Japanese descent from 13 Latin American countries were held in the custody of the Department of State in internment camps operated by the Immigration and Naturalization Service from 1941 through 1948.

(3) Those men, women, and children either—

(A) were arrested without a warrant, hearing, or indictment by local police, and sent to the United States for internment; or

(B) in some cases involving women and children, voluntarily entered internment camps to remain with their arrested husbands, fathers, and other male relatives.

(4) Passports held by individuals who were Latin Americans of Japanese descent were routinely confiscated before the individuals arrived in the United States, and the Department of State ordered United States consuls

in Latin American countries to refuse to issue visas to the individuals prior to departure.

(5) Despite their involuntary arrival, Latin American internees of Japanese descent were considered to be and treated as illegal entrants by the Immigration and Naturalization Service. Thus, the internees became illegal aliens in United States custody who were subject to deportation proceedings for immediate removal from the United States. In some cases, Latin American internees of Japanese descent were deported to Axis countries to enable the United States to conduct prisoner exchanges.

(6) Approximately 2,300 men, women, and children of Japanese descent were relocated from their homes in Latin America, detained in internment camps in the United States, and in some cases, deported to Axis countries to enable the United States to conduct prisoner exchanges.

(7) The Commission on Wartime Relocation and Internment of Civilians studied Federal actions conducted pursuant to Executive Order 9066 (relating to authorizing the Secretary of War to prescribe military areas). Although the United States program of internment of Latin Americans of Japanese descent was not conducted pursuant to Executive Order 9066, an examination of that extraordinary program is necessary to establish a complete account of Federal actions to detain and intern civilians of enemy or foreign nationality, particularly of Japanese descent. Although historical documents relating to the program exist in distant archives, the Commission on Wartime Relocation and Internment of Civilians did not research those documents.

(8) Latin American internees of Japanese descent were a group not covered by the Civil Liberties Act of 1988 (50 U.S.C. App. 1989b et seq.), which formally apologized and provided compensation payments to former Japanese Americans interned pursuant to Executive Order 9066.

(b) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this section is to establish a fact-finding Commission to extend the study of the Commission on Wartime Relocation and Internment of Civilians to investigate and determine facts and circumstances surrounding the relocation, internment, and deportation to Axis countries of Latin Americans of Japanese descent from December 1941 through February 1948, and the impact of those actions by the United States, and to recommend appropriate remedies, if any, based on preliminary findings by the original Commission and new discoveries.

(c) ESTABLISHMENT OF THE COMMISSION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—There is established the Commission on Wartime Relocation and Internment of Latin Americans of Japanese descent (referred to in this section as the "Commission").

(2) COMPOSITION.—The Commission shall be composed of 9 members, who shall be appointed not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this section, of whom—

(A) 3 members shall be appointed by the President;

(B) 3 members shall be appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives, on the joint recommendation of the majority leader of the House of Representatives and the minority leader of the House of Representatives; and

(C) 3 members shall be appointed by the President pro tempore of the Senate, on the joint recommendation of the majority leader of the Senate and the minority leader of the Senate.

(3) PERIOD OF APPOINTMENT; VACANCIES.—Members shall be appointed for the life of the Commission. A vacancy in the Commission shall not affect its powers, but shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment was made.

(4) MEETINGS.—

(A) FIRST MEETING.—The President shall call the first meeting of the Commission not later than the later of—

(i) 60 days after the date of enactment of this section; or

(ii) 30 days after the date of enactment of legislation making appropriations to carry out this section.

(B) SUBSEQUENT MEETINGS.—Except as provided in subparagraph (A), the Commission shall meet at the call of the Chairperson.

(5) QUORUM.—Five members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum, but a lesser number of members may hold hearings.

(6) CHAIRPERSON AND VICE CHAIRPERSON.—The Commission shall elect a Chairperson and Vice Chairperson from among its members. The Chairperson and Vice Chairperson shall serve for the life of the Commission.

(d) DUTIES OF THE COMMISSION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall—

(A) extend the study of the Commission on Wartime Relocation and Internment of Civilians, established by the Commission on Wartime Relocation and Internment of Civilians Act—

(i) to investigate and determine facts and circumstances surrounding the United States' relocation, internment, and deportation to Axis countries of Latin Americans of Japanese descent from December 1941 through February 1948, and the impact of those actions by the United States; and

(ii) in investigating those facts and circumstances, to review directives of the United States Armed Forces and the Department of State requiring the relocation, detention in internment camps, and deportation to Axis countries of Latin Americans of Japanese descent; and

(B) recommend appropriate remedies, if any, based on preliminary findings by the original Commission and new discoveries.

(2) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of the first meeting of the Commission pursuant to subsection (c)(4)(A), the Commission shall submit a written report to Congress, which shall contain findings resulting from the investigation conducted under paragraph (1)(A) and recommendations described in paragraph (1)(B).

(e) POWERS OF THE COMMISSION.—

(1) HEARINGS.—The Commission or, at its direction, any subcommittee or member of the Commission, may, for the purpose of carrying out this section—

(A) hold such public hearings in such cities and countries, sit and act at such times and places, take such testimony, receive such evidence, and administer such oaths as the Commission or such subcommittee or member considers advisable; and

(B) require, by subpoena or otherwise, the attendance and testimony of such witnesses and the production of such books, records, correspondence, memoranda, papers, documents, tapes, and materials as the Commission or such subcommittee or member considers advisable.

(2) ISSUANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OF SUBPOENAS.—

(A) ISSUANCE.—Subpoenas issued under paragraph (1) shall bear the signature of the Chairperson of the Commission and shall be served by any person or class of persons designated by the Chairperson for that purpose.

(B) ENFORCEMENT.—In the case of contumacy or failure to obey a subpoena issued

under paragraph (1), the United States district court for the judicial district in which the subpoenaed person resides, is served, or may be found may issue an order requiring such person to appear at any designated place to testify or to produce documentary or other evidence. Any failure to obey the order of the court may be punished by the court as a contempt of that court.

(3) **WITNESS ALLOWANCES AND FEES.**—Section 1821 of title 28, United States Code, shall apply to witnesses requested or subpoenaed to appear at any hearing of the Commission. The per diem and mileage allowances for witnesses shall be paid from funds available to pay the expenses of the Commission.

(4) **INFORMATION FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES.**—The Commission may secure directly from any Federal department or agency such information as the Commission considers necessary to perform its duties. Upon request of the Chairperson of the Commission, the head of such department or agency shall furnish such information to the Commission.

(5) **POSTAL SERVICES.**—The Commission may use the United States mails in the same manner and under the same conditions as other departments and agencies of the Federal Government.

(f) **PERSONNEL AND ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS.**—

(1) **COMPENSATION OF MEMBERS.**—Each member of the Commission who is not an officer or employee of the Federal Government shall be compensated at a rate equal to the daily equivalent of the annual rate of basic pay prescribed for level IV of the Executive Schedule under section 5315 of title 5, United States Code, for each day (including travel time) during which such member is engaged in the performance of the duties of the Commission. All members of the Commission who are officers or employees of the United States shall serve without compensation in addition to that received for their services as officers or employees of the United States.

(2) **TRAVEL EXPENSES.**—The members of the Commission shall be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, at rates authorized for employees of agencies under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code, while away from their homes or regular places of business in the performance of services for the Commission.

(3) **STAFF.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The Chairperson of the Commission may, without regard to the civil service laws and regulations, appoint and terminate the employment of such personnel as may be necessary to enable the Commission to perform its duties.

(B) **COMPENSATION.**—The Chairperson of the Commission may fix the compensation of the personnel without regard to chapter 51 and subchapter III of chapter 53 of title 5, United States Code, relating to classification of positions and General Schedule pay rates, except that the rate of pay for the personnel may not exceed the rate payable for level V of the Executive Schedule under section 5316 of such title.

(4) **DETAIL OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.**—Any Federal Government employee may be detailed to the Commission without reimbursement, and such detail shall be without interruption or loss of civil service status or privilege.

(5) **PROCUREMENT OF TEMPORARY AND INTERMITTENT SERVICES.**—The Chairperson of the Commission may procure temporary and intermittent services under section 3109(b) of title 5, United States Code, at rates for individuals that do not exceed the daily equivalent of the annual rate of basic pay pre-

scribed for level V of the Executive Schedule under section 5316 of such title.

(6) **OTHER ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS.**—The Commission may—

(A) enter into agreements with the Administrator of General Services to procure necessary financial and administrative services;

(B) enter into contracts to procure supplies, services, and property; and

(C) enter into contracts with Federal, State, or local agencies, or private institutions or organizations, for the conduct of research or surveys, the preparation of reports, and other activities necessary to enable the Commission to perform its duties.

(g) **TERMINATION.**—The Commission shall terminate 90 days after the date on which the Commission submits its report to Congress under subsection (d)(2).

(h) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—There are authorized to be appropriated such sums as may be necessary to carry out this section.

(2) **AVAILABILITY.**—Any sums appropriated under the authorization contained in this subsection shall remain available, without fiscal year limitation, until expended.

SEC. 540. (a) Using funds appropriated to the Legal Services Corporation (“Corporation”) in this Act, the Corporation shall comply with, and ensure that recipients and recipient attorneys comply with, the corresponding recommendations contained in the provisions of—

(1) the report entitled “Governance and Accountability Practices Need to Be Modernized and Strengthened”, GAO-07-993, issued August 2007 by the Government Accountability Office;

(2) the report entitled “Improved Internal Controls Needed in Grants Management and Oversight”, GAO-08-37, issued December 2007 by the Government Accountability Office;

(3) the report entitled “Selected Internal Controls at Legal Services NYC”, Report No. AU09-01, issued December 11, 2008 by the Office of Inspector General of the Corporation;

(4) the report entitled “Selected Internal Controls at Legal Aid and Defender Association, Inc.”, Report No. AU09-02, issued February 5, 2009 by that Office of Inspector General;

(5) the report entitled “Selected Internal Controls at California Indian Legal Services”, Report No. AU09-03, issued March 27, 2009 by that Office of Inspector General;

(6) the report entitled “Selected Internal Controls at Legal Assistance Foundation of Metropolitan Chicago”, Report No. AU08-05, issued September 30, 2008 by that Office of Inspector General;

(7) the report entitled “Selected Internal Controls at Philadelphia Legal Assistance Center”, Report No. AU08-04, issued August 14, 2008 by that Office of Inspector General;

(8) the report entitled “Legal Services Corporation FY 2008 Financial Statement Audit Report”, issued January 28, 2009 by that Office of Inspector General;

(9) the report entitled “Audit of Legal Services Corporation’s Consultant Contract”, Report No. AU09-05, issued July 7, 2009 by that Office of Inspector General;

(10) the report entitled “Selected Internal Controls at Legal Aid of Northwest Texas”, Report No. AU09-06, issued August 10, 2009 by that Office of Inspector General; and

(11) the report entitled “Protocol for the Acceptance and Use of Private Contributions to LSC”, issued August 2008 by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of the Corporation.

(b) The Corporation may not expend \$5,000,000 of the funds described in subsection

(a) until the President and the Chairman submit the certification described in subsection (c).

(c) The President and the Chairman shall, not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act, determine whether the Corporation has met the requirements of subsection (a). The President and the Chairman shall make the determination based on the standards, best management practices, and guidelines in the provisions described in subsection (a). If the President and the Chairman determine that the Corporation has met the requirements, the President and the Chairman shall submit a certification to the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate. Upon the President’s and the Chairman’s joint submission of the certification, the Corporation may expend the amount described in subsection (b).

(d) In this section, the terms “Corporation” and “recipient” have the meanings given the terms in section 1002 of the Legal Services Corporation Act (42 U.S.C. 2996a).

(e) In this section, the terms “President” and “Chairman” refer to the President of the Legal Services Corporation and the Chairman of the Board of the Legal Services Corporation.

SEC. 541. Chapter 85 of title 18, United States Code, is amended in section 1761—

(1) by striking “non-Federal” in subsection (c)(1);

(2) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (e); and

(3) by adding after subsection (c) the following new subsection:

“(d) This chapter shall not apply to goods, wares, or merchandise manufactured, produced, mined or assembled by convicts or prisoners who are participating in any pilot project approved by the Federal Prison Industries Board of Directors, which are currently, or would otherwise be, manufactured, produced, mined, or assembled outside the United States.”.

NATIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION ACT OF 2010

SEC. 542. (a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This section may be cited as the “National Criminal Justice Commission Act of 2010”.

(b) **FINDINGS.**—Congress finds that—

(1) it is in the interest of the Nation to establish a commission to undertake a comprehensive review of the criminal justice system;

(2) there has not been a comprehensive study since the President’s Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice was established in 1965;

(3) that commission, in a span of 18 months, produced a comprehensive report entitled “The Challenge of Crime in a Free Society,” which contained 200 specific recommendations on all aspects of the criminal justice system involving Federal, State, tribal, and local governments, civic organizations, religious institutions, business groups, and individual citizens; and

(4) developments over the intervening 45 years require once again that Federal, State, tribal, and local governments, civic organizations, religious institutions, business groups, and individual citizens come together to review evidence and consider how to improve the criminal justice system.

(c) **ESTABLISHMENT OF COMMISSION.**—There is established a commission to be known as the “National Criminal Justice Commission” (referred to in this section as the “Commission”).

(d) **PURPOSE OF THE COMMISSION.**—The Commission shall undertake a comprehensive review of the criminal justice system,

encompassing current Federal, State, local, and tribal criminal justice policies and practices, and make reform recommendations for the President, Congress, State, local, and tribal governments.

(e) REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS.—

(1) GENERAL REVIEW.—The Commission shall undertake a comprehensive review of all areas of the criminal justice system, including Federal, State, local, and tribal governments' criminal justice costs, practices, and policies.

(2) FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.—After conducting a review of the United States criminal justice system as required by paragraph (1), the Commission shall make findings regarding such review and recommendations for changes in oversight, policies, practices, and laws designed to prevent, deter, and reduce crime and violence, reduce recidivism, improve cost-effectiveness, and ensure the interests of justice at every step of the criminal justice system.

(3) PRIOR COMMISSIONS.—The Commission shall take into consideration the work of prior relevant commissions in conducting its review.

(4) STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT.—In making its recommendations, the Commission should consider the financial and human resources of State and local governments. Recommendations shall not infringe on the legitimate rights of the States to determine their own criminal laws or the enforcement of such laws.

(5) PUBLIC HEARINGS.—The Commission shall conduct public hearings in various locations around the United States.

(6) CONSULTATION WITH GOVERNMENT AND NONGOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVES.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall—

(i) closely consult with Federal, State, local, and tribal government and nongovernmental leaders, including State, local, and tribal law enforcement officials, legislators, public health officials, judges, court administrators, prosecutors, defense counsel, victims' rights organizations, probation and parole officials, criminal justice planners, criminologists, civil rights and liberties organizations, formerly incarcerated individuals, professional organizations, and corrections officials; and

(ii) include in the final report required by paragraph (7) summaries of the input and recommendations of these leaders.

(B) UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION.—To the extent the review and recommendations required by this section relate to sentencing policies and practices for the Federal criminal justice system, the Commission shall conduct such review and make such recommendations in consultation with the United States Sentencing Commission.

(7) REPORT.—

(A) REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after the first meeting of the Commission, the Commission shall prepare and submit a final report that contains a detailed statement of findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Commission to Congress, the President, State, local, and tribal governments.

(B) GOAL OF UNANIMITY.—It is the sense of the Congress that, given the national importance of the matters before the Commission, the Commission should work toward unanimously supported findings and recommendations.

(C) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—The report submitted under this paragraph shall be made available to the public.

(D) VOTES ON RECOMMENDATIONS IN REPORT.—Consistent with paragraph (2), the

Commission shall state the vote total for each recommendation contained in its report to Congress.

(f) MEMBERSHIP.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall be composed of 14 members, as follows:

(A) 1 member shall be appointed by the President, who shall serve as co-chairman of the Commission;

(B) 1 member shall be appointed by the leader of the Senate (majority or minority leader, as the case may be) of the Republican Party, in consultation with the leader of the House of Representatives (majority or minority leader, as the case may be) of the Republican Party, who shall serve as co-chairman of the Commission;

(C) 2 members shall be appointed by the senior member of the Senate leadership of the Democratic Party, in consultation with the Democratic leadership of the Committee on the Judiciary.

(D) 2 members shall be appointed by the senior member of the Senate leadership of the Republican Party, in consultation with the Republican leadership of the Committee on the Judiciary.

(E) 2 members shall be appointed by the senior member of the leadership of the House of Representatives of the Republican Party, in consultation with the Republican leadership of the Committee on the Judiciary.

(F) 2 members shall be appointed by the senior member of the leadership of the House of Representatives of the Democratic Party, in consultation with the Democratic leadership of the Committee on the Judiciary.

(G) 2 members, who shall be State and local representatives, shall be appointed by the President in agreement with leader of the Senate (majority or minority leader, as the case may be) of the Republican Party and the leader of the House of Representatives (majority or minority leader, as the case may be) of the Republican Party.

(H) 2 members, who shall be State and local representatives, shall be appointed by the President in agreement with leader of the Senate (majority or minority leader, as the case may be) of the Democratic Party and the leader of the House of Representatives (majority or minority leader, as the case may be) of the Democratic Party.

(2) MEMBERSHIP.—

(A) QUALIFICATIONS.—The individuals appointed from private life as members of the Commission shall be individuals with distinguished reputations for integrity and nonpartisanship who are nationally recognized for expertise, knowledge, or experience in such relevant areas as—

- (i) law enforcement;
- (ii) criminal justice;
- (iii) national security;
- (iv) prison and jail administration;
- (v) prisoner reentry;
- (vi) public health, including physical and sexual victimization, drug addiction and mental health;
- (vii) victims' rights;
- (viii) civil liberties;
- (ix) court administration;
- (x) social services; and
- (xi) State, local, and tribal government.

(B) DISQUALIFICATION.—An individual shall not be appointed as a member of the Commission if such individual possesses any personal financial interest in the discharge of any of the duties of the Commission.

(C) TERMS.—Members shall be appointed for the life of the Commission.

(3) APPOINTMENT; FIRST MEETING.—

(A) APPOINTMENT.—Members of the Commission shall be appointed not later than 45

days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(B) FIRST MEETING.—The Commission shall hold its first meeting on the date that is 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, or not later than 30 days after the date on which funds are made available for the Commission, whichever is later.

(C) ETHICS.—At the first meeting of the Commission, the Commission shall draft appropriate ethics guidelines for commissioners and staff, including guidelines relating to conflict of interest and financial disclosure. The Commission shall consult with the Senate and House Committees on the Judiciary as a part of drafting the guidelines and furnish the Committees with a copy of the completed guidelines.

(4) MEETINGS; QUORUM; VACANCIES.—

(A) MEETINGS.—The Commission shall meet at the call of the co-chairs or a majority of its members.

(B) QUORUM.—Seven members of the Commission, including at least 2 members chosen by either the senior member of the Senate leadership of the Democratic Party, the senior member of the leadership of the House of Representatives of the Democratic Party, or the senior member of the Senate leadership of the Republican Party and the senior member of the leadership of the House of Representatives of the Democratic Party in agreement with the President and 2 members chosen by either the senior member of the Senate leadership of the Republican Party, the senior member of the leadership of the House of Representatives of the Republican Party, or the senior member of the Senate leadership of the Republican Party and the senior member of the leadership of the House of Representatives of the Republican Party in agreement with the President, shall constitute a quorum for purposes of conducting business, except that 2 members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum for purposes of receiving testimony.

(C) VACANCIES.—Any vacancy in the Commission shall not affect its powers, but shall be filled in the same manner in which the original appointment was made. If vacancies in the Commission occur on any day after 45 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, a quorum shall consist of a majority of the members of the Commission as of such day, so long as at least 1 Commission member chosen by a member of each party, Republican and Democratic, is present.

(5) ACTIONS OF COMMISSION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Commission—

(i) shall act by resolution agreed to by a majority of the members of the Commission voting and present; and

(ii) may establish panels composed of less than the full membership of the Commission for purposes of carrying out the duties of the Commission under this title—

(I) which shall be subject to the review and control of the Commission; and

(II) any findings and determinations made by such a panel shall not be considered the findings and determinations of the Commission unless approved by the Commission.

(B) DELEGATION.—Any member, agent, or staff of the Commission may, if authorized by the co-chairs of the Commission, take any action which the Commission is authorized to take pursuant to this section.

(g) ADMINISTRATION.—

(1) STAFF.—

(A) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.—The Commission shall have a staff headed by an Executive Director. The Executive Director shall be paid at a rate established for the Certified Plan pay level for the Senior Executive Service

under section 5382 of title 5, United States Code.

(B) **APPOINTMENT AND COMPENSATION.**—The co-chairs of the Commission shall designate and fix the compensation of the Executive Director and, in accordance with rules agreed upon by the Commission, may appoint and fix the compensation of such other personnel as may be necessary to enable the Commission to carry out its functions, without regard to the provisions of title 5, United States Code, governing appointments in the competitive service, and without regard to the provisions of chapter 51 and subchapter III of chapter 53 of such title relating to classification and General Schedule pay rates, except that no rate of pay fixed under this paragraph may exceed the equivalent of that payable for a position at level V of the Executive Schedule under section 5316 of title 5, United States Code.

(C) **PERSONNEL AS FEDERAL EMPLOYEES.**—

(i) **IN GENERAL.**—The executive director and any personnel of the Commission who are employees shall be employees under section 2105 of title 5, United States Code, for purposes of chapters 63, 81, 83, 84, 85, 87, 89, and 90 of that title.

(ii) **MEMBERS OF COMMISSION.**—Clause (i) shall not be construed to apply to members of the Commission.

(D) **THE COMPENSATION OF COMMISSIONERS.**—Each member of the Commission may be compensated at not to exceed the daily equivalent of the annual rate of basic pay in effect for a position at level V of the Executive Schedule under section 5315 of title 5, United States Code, for each day during which that member is engaged in the actual performance of the duties of the Commission. All members of the Commission who are officers or employees of the United States, State, or local government shall serve without compensation in addition to that received for their services as officers or employees.

(E) **TRAVEL EXPENSES.**—While away from their homes or regular places of business in the performance of services for the Commission, members of the Commission shall be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, in the same manner as persons employed intermittently in the Government service are allowed expenses under section 5703(b) of title 5, United States Code.

(2) **EXPERTS AND CONSULTANTS.**—With the approval of the Commission, the Executive Director may procure temporary and intermittent services under section 3109(b) of title 5, United States Code.

(3) **DETAIL OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.**—Upon the request of the Commission, the head of any Federal agency may detail, without reimbursement, any of the personnel of such agency to the Commission to assist in carrying out the duties of the Commission. Any such detail shall not interrupt or otherwise affect the civil service status or privileges of the Federal employee.

(4) **OTHER RESOURCES.**—The Commission shall have reasonable access to materials, resources, statistical data, and other information such Commission determines to be necessary to carry out its duties from the Library of Congress, the Department of Justice, the Office of National Drug Control Policy, the Department of State, and other agencies of the executive and legislative branches of the Federal Government. The co-chairs of the Commission shall make requests for such access in writing when necessary.

(5) **VOLUNTEER SERVICES.**—Notwithstanding the provisions of section 1342 of title 31,

United States Code, the Commission is authorized to accept and utilize the services of volunteers serving without compensation. The Commission may reimburse such volunteers for local travel and office supplies, and for other travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, as authorized by section 5703 of title 5, United States Code. A person providing volunteer services to the Commission shall be considered an employee of the Federal Government in performance of those services for the purposes of chapter 81 of title 5 of the United States Code, relating to compensation for work-related injuries, chapter 171 of title 28 of the United States Code, relating to tort claims, and chapter 11 of title 18 of the United States Code, relating to conflicts of interest.

(6) **OBTAINING OFFICIAL DATA.**—The Commission may secure directly from any agency of the United States information necessary to enable it to carry out this section. Upon the request of the co-chairs of the Commission, the head of that department or agency shall furnish that information to the Commission. The Commission shall not have access to sensitive information regarding ongoing investigations.

(7) **MAILS.**—The Commission may use the United States mails in the same manner and under the same conditions as other departments and agencies of the United States.

(8) **ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTING.**—The Commission shall issue bi-annual status reports to Congress regarding the use of resources, salaries, and all expenditures of appropriated funds.

(9) **CONTRACTS.**—The Commission is authorized to enter into contracts with Federal and State agencies, private firms, institutions, and individuals for the conduct of activities necessary to the discharge of its duties and responsibilities. A contract, lease or other legal agreement entered into by the Commission may not extend beyond the date of the termination of the Commission.

(10) **GIFTS.**—Subject to existing law, the Commission may accept, use, and dispose of gifts or donations of services or property.

(11) **ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANCE.**—The Administrator of General Services shall provide to the Commission, on a reimbursable basis, the administrative support services necessary for the Commission to carry out its responsibilities under this section. These administrative services may include human resource management, budget, leasing, accounting, and payroll services.

(12) **NONAPPLICABILITY OF FACA AND PUBLIC ACCESS TO MEETINGS AND MINUTES.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to the Commission.

(B) **MEETINGS AND MINUTES.**—

(i) **MEETINGS.**—

(I) **ADMINISTRATION.**—All meetings of the Commission shall be open to the public, except that a meeting or any portion of it may be closed to the public if it concerns matters or information described in section 552b(c) of title 5, United States Code. Interested persons shall be permitted to appear at open meetings and present oral or written statements on the subject matter of the meeting. The Commission may administer oaths or affirmations to any person appearing before it.

(II) **NOTICE.**—All open meetings of the Commission shall be preceded by timely public notice in the Federal Register of the time, place, and subject of the meeting.

(i) **MINUTES AND PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.**—Minutes of each open meeting shall be kept and shall contain a record of the people present, a description of the discussion that

occurred, and copies of all statements filed. The minutes and records of all open meetings and other documents that were made available to or prepared for the Commission shall be available for public inspection and copying at a single location in the offices of the Commission.

(13) **ARCHIVING.**—Not later than the date of termination of the Commission, all records and papers of the Commission shall be delivered to the Archivist of the United States for deposit in the National Archives.

(h) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—There are authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years 2011 and 2012 such sums as are necessary to carry out the purposes of this section, not to exceed \$7,000,000 per year for each fiscal year, and not more than \$14,000,000 total. None of the funds appropriated under this section may be utilized for international travel.

(2) **AVAILABILITY.**—Any sums appropriated under the paragraph (1) shall remain available, without fiscal year limitation, until expended.

(i) **SUNSET.**—The Commission shall terminate 60 days after it submits its report to Congress.

This division may be cited as the “Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2011”.

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

TITLE I

MILITARY PERSONNEL

MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

For pay, allowances, individual clothing, subsistence, interest on deposits, gratuities, permanent change of station travel (including all expenses thereof for organizational movements), and expenses of temporary duty travel between permanent duty stations, for members of the Army on active duty, (except members of reserve components provided for elsewhere), cadets, and aviation cadets; for members of the Reserve Officers' Training Corps; and for payments pursuant to section 156 of Public Law 97-377, as amended (42 U.S.C. 402 note), and to the Department of Defense Military Retirement Fund, \$41,042,653,000.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

For pay, allowances, individual clothing, subsistence, interest on deposits, gratuities, permanent change of station travel (including all expenses thereof for organizational movements), and expenses of temporary duty travel between permanent duty stations, for members of the Navy on active duty (except members of the Reserve provided for elsewhere), midshipmen, and aviation cadets; for members of the Reserve Officers' Training Corps; and for payments pursuant to section 156 of Public Law 97-377, as amended (42 U.S.C. 402 note), and to the Department of Defense Military Retirement Fund, \$25,912,449,000.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

For pay, allowances, individual clothing, subsistence, interest on deposits, gratuities, permanent change of station travel (including all expenses thereof for organizational movements), and expenses of temporary duty travel between permanent duty stations, for members of the Marine Corps on active duty (except members of the Reserve provided for elsewhere); and for payments pursuant to section 156 of Public Law 97-377, as amended (42 U.S.C. 402 note), and to the Department of Defense Military Retirement Fund, \$13,210,161,000.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

For pay, allowances, individual clothing, subsistence, interest on deposits, gratuities,

permanent change of station travel (including all expenses thereof for organizational movements), and expenses of temporary duty travel between permanent duty stations, for members of the Air Force on active duty (except members of reserve components provided for elsewhere), cadets, and aviation cadets; for members of the Reserve Officers' Training Corps; and for payments pursuant to section 156 of Public Law 97-377, as amended (42 U.S.C. 402 note), and to the Department of Defense Military Retirement Fund, \$27,105,755,000.

RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY

For pay, allowances, clothing, subsistence, gratuities, travel, and related expenses for personnel of the Army Reserve on active duty under sections 10211, 10302, and 3038 of title 10, United States Code, or while serving on active duty under section 12301(d) of title 10, United States Code, in connection with performing duty specified in section 12310(a) of title 10, United States Code, or while undergoing reserve training, or while performing drills or equivalent duty or other duty, and expenses authorized by section 16131 of title 10, United States Code; and for payments to the Department of Defense Military Retirement Fund, \$4,333,165,000.

RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY

For pay, allowances, clothing, subsistence, gratuities, travel, and related expenses for personnel of the Navy Reserve on active duty under section 10211 of title 10, United States Code, or while serving on active duty under section 12301(d) of title 10, United States Code, in connection with performing duty specified in section 12310(a) of title 10, United States Code, or while undergoing reserve training, or while performing drills or equivalent duty, and expenses authorized by section 16131 of title 10, United States Code; and for payments to the Department of Defense Military Retirement Fund, \$1,940,191,000.

RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

For pay, allowances, clothing, subsistence, gratuities, travel, and related expenses for personnel of the Marine Corps Reserve on active duty under section 10211 of title 10, United States Code, or while serving on active duty under section 12301(d) of title 10, United States Code, in connection with performing duty specified in section 12310(a) of title 10, United States Code, or while undergoing reserve training, or while performing drills or equivalent duty, and for members of the Marine Corps platoon leaders class, and expenses authorized by section 16131 of title 10, United States Code; and for payments to the Department of Defense Military Retirement Fund, \$612,191,000.

RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

For pay, allowances, clothing, subsistence, gratuities, travel, and related expenses for personnel of the Air Force Reserve on active duty under sections 10211, 10305, and 8038 of title 10, United States Code, or while serving on active duty under section 12301(d) of title 10, United States Code, in connection with performing duty specified in section 12310(a) of title 10, United States Code, or while undergoing reserve training, or while performing drills or equivalent duty or other duty, and expenses authorized by section 16131 of title 10, United States Code; and for payments to the Department of Defense Military Retirement Fund, \$1,650,797,000.

NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

For pay, allowances, clothing, subsistence, gratuities, travel, and related expenses for personnel of the Army National Guard while on duty under section 10211, 10302, or 12402 of

title 10 or section 708 of title 32, United States Code, or while serving on duty under section 12301(d) of title 10 or section 502(f) of title 32, United States Code, in connection with performing duty specified in section 12310(a) of title 10, United States Code, or while undergoing training, or while performing drills or equivalent duty or other duty, and expenses authorized by section 16131 of title 10, United States Code; and for payments to the Department of Defense Military Retirement Fund, \$7,514,896,000.

NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

For pay, allowances, clothing, subsistence, gratuities, travel, and related expenses for personnel of the Air National Guard on duty under section 10211, 10305, or 12402 of title 10 or section 708 of title 32, United States Code, or while serving on duty under section 12301(d) of title 10 or section 502(f) of title 32, United States Code, in connection with performing duty specified in section 12310(a) of title 10, United States Code, or while undergoing training, or while performing drills or equivalent duty or other duty, and expenses authorized by section 16131 of title 10, United States Code; and for payments to the Department of Defense Military Retirement Fund, \$3,067,431,000.

TITLE II

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

For expenses, not otherwise provided for, necessary for the operation and maintenance of the Army, as authorized by law; and not to exceed \$12,478,000 can be used for emergencies and extraordinary expenses, to be expended on the approval or authority of the Secretary of the Army, and payments may be made on his certificate of necessity for confidential military purposes, \$33,351,597,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

For expenses, not otherwise provided for, necessary for the operation and maintenance of the Navy and the Marine Corps, as authorized by law; and not to exceed \$14,804,000 can be used for emergencies and extraordinary expenses, to be expended on the approval or authority of the Secretary of the Navy, and payments may be made on his certificate of necessity for confidential military purposes, \$37,849,700,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

For expenses, not otherwise provided for, necessary for the operation and maintenance of the Marine Corps, as authorized by law, \$5,546,060,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

For expenses, not otherwise provided for, necessary for the operation and maintenance of the Air Force, as authorized by law; and not to exceed \$7,699,000 can be used for emergencies and extraordinary expenses, to be expended on the approval or authority of the Secretary of the Air Force, and payments may be made on his certificate of necessity for confidential military purposes, \$36,110,720,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For expenses, not otherwise provided for, necessary for the operation and maintenance of activities and agencies of the Department of Defense (other than the military departments), as authorized by law, \$30,303,622,000: *Provided*, That not more than \$50,000,000 may be used for the Combatant Commander Initiative Fund authorized under section 166a of title 10, United States Code: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$36,000,000 can be used for

emergencies and extraordinary expenses, to be expended on the approval or authority of the Secretary of Defense, and payments may be made on his certificate of necessity for confidential military purposes: *Provided further*, That of the funds provided under this heading, not less than \$31,659,000 shall be made available for the Procurement Technical Assistance Cooperative Agreement Program, of which not less than \$3,600,000 shall be available for centers defined in 10 U.S.C. 2411(1)(D): *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used to plan or implement the consolidation of a budget or appropriations liaison office of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the office of the Secretary of a military department, or the service headquarters of one of the Armed Forces into a legislative affairs or legislative liaison office: *Provided further*, That \$8,251,000, to remain available until expended, is available only for expenses relating to certain classified activities, and may be transferred as necessary by the Secretary of Defense to operation and maintenance appropriations or research, development, test and evaluation appropriations, to be merged with and to be available for the same time period as the appropriations to which transferred: *Provided further*, That any ceiling on the investment item unit cost of items that may be purchased with operation and maintenance funds shall not apply to the funds described in the preceding proviso: *Provided further*, That the transfer authority provided under this heading is in addition to any other transfer authority provided elsewhere in this Act.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE

For expenses, not otherwise provided for, necessary for the operation and maintenance, including training, organization, and administration, of the Army Reserve; repair of facilities and equipment; hire of passenger motor vehicles; travel and transportation; care of the dead; recruiting; procurement of services, supplies, and equipment; and communications, \$2,840,427,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE

For expenses, not otherwise provided for, necessary for the operation and maintenance, including training, organization, and administration, of the Navy Reserve; repair of facilities and equipment; hire of passenger motor vehicles; travel and transportation; care of the dead; recruiting; procurement of services, supplies, and equipment; and communications, \$1,344,264,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE

For expenses, not otherwise provided for, necessary for the operation and maintenance, including training, organization, and administration, of the Marine Corps Reserve; repair of facilities and equipment; hire of passenger motor vehicles; travel and transportation; care of the dead; recruiting; procurement of services, supplies, and equipment; and communications, \$275,484,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE

For expenses, not otherwise provided for, necessary for the operation and maintenance, including training, organization, and administration, of the Air Force Reserve; repair of facilities and equipment; hire of passenger motor vehicles; travel and transportation; care of the dead; recruiting; procurement of services, supplies, and equipment; and communications, \$3,291,027,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY
NATIONAL GUARD

For expenses of training, organizing, and administering the Army National Guard, including medical and hospital treatment and related expenses in non-Federal hospitals; maintenance, operation, and repairs to structures and facilities; hire of passenger motor vehicles; personnel services in the National Guard Bureau; travel expenses (other than mileage), as authorized by law for Army personnel on active duty, for Army National Guard division, regimental, and battalion commanders while inspecting units in compliance with National Guard Bureau regulations when specifically authorized by the Chief, National Guard Bureau; supplying and equipping the Army National Guard as authorized by law; and expenses of repair, modification, maintenance, and issue of supplies and equipment (including aircraft), \$6,504,424,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL
GUARD

For expenses of training, organizing, and administering the Air National Guard, including medical and hospital treatment and related expenses in non-Federal hospitals; maintenance, operation, and repairs to structures and facilities; transportation of things, hire of passenger motor vehicles; supplying and equipping the Air National Guard, as authorized by law; expenses for repair, modification, maintenance, and issue of supplies and equipment, including those furnished from stocks under the control of agencies of the Department of Defense; travel expenses (other than mileage) on the same basis as authorized by law for Air National Guard personnel on active Federal duty, for Air National Guard commanders while inspecting units in compliance with National Guard Bureau regulations when specifically authorized by the Chief, National Guard Bureau, \$5,969,267,000.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE
ARMED FORCES

For salaries and expenses necessary for the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces, \$14,068,000, of which not to exceed \$5,000 may be used for official representation purposes.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the Department of the Army, \$464,581,000, to remain available until transferred: *Provided*, That the Secretary of the Army shall, upon determining that such funds are required for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris of the Department of the Army, or for similar purposes, transfer the funds made available by this appropriation to other appropriations made available to the Department of the Army, to be merged with and to be available for the same purposes and for the same time period as the appropriations to which transferred: *Provided further*, That upon a determination that all or part of the funds transferred from this appropriation are not necessary for the purposes provided herein, such amounts may be transferred back to this appropriation: *Provided further*, That the transfer authority provided under this heading is in addition to any other transfer authority provided elsewhere in this Act.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the Department of the Navy, \$304,867,000, to remain available until trans-

ferred: *Provided*, That the Secretary of the Navy shall, upon determining that such funds are required for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris of the Department of the Navy, or for similar purposes, transfer the funds made available by this appropriation to other appropriations made available to the Department of the Navy, to be merged with and to be available for the same purposes and for the same time period as the appropriations to which transferred: *Provided further*, That upon a determination that all or part of the funds transferred from this appropriation are not necessary for the purposes provided herein, such amounts may be transferred back to this appropriation: *Provided further*, That the transfer authority provided under this heading is in addition to any other transfer authority provided elsewhere in this Act.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the Department of the Air Force, \$502,653,000, to remain available until transferred: *Provided*, That the Secretary of the Air Force shall, upon determining that such funds are required for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris of the Department of the Air Force, or for similar purposes, transfer the funds made available by this appropriation to other appropriations made available to the Department of the Air Force, to be merged with and to be available for the same purposes and for the same time period as the appropriations to which transferred: *Provided further*, That upon a determination that all or part of the funds transferred from this appropriation are not necessary for the purposes provided herein, such amounts may be transferred back to this appropriation: *Provided further*, That the transfer authority provided under this heading is in addition to any other transfer authority provided elsewhere in this Act.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the Department of Defense, \$10,744,000, to remain available until transferred: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Defense shall, upon determining that such funds are required for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris of the Department of Defense, or for similar purposes, transfer the funds made available by this appropriation to other appropriations made available to the Department of Defense, to be merged with and to be available for the same purposes and for the same time period as the appropriations to which transferred: *Provided further*, That upon a determination that all or part of the funds transferred from this appropriation are not necessary for the purposes provided herein, such amounts may be transferred back to this appropriation: *Provided further*, That the transfer authority provided under this heading is in addition to any other transfer authority provided elsewhere in this Act.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY
USED DEFENSE SITES
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the Department of the Army, \$316,546,000, to remain available until transferred: *Provided*, That the Secretary of the Army shall, upon determining that such funds are required for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings

and debris at sites formerly used by the Department of Defense, transfer the funds made available by this appropriation to other appropriations made available to the Department of the Army, to be merged with and to be available for the same purposes and for the same time period as the appropriations to which transferred: *Provided further*, That upon a determination that all or part of the funds transferred from this appropriation are not necessary for the purposes provided herein, such amounts may be transferred back to this appropriation: *Provided further*, That the transfer authority provided under this heading is in addition to any other transfer authority provided elsewhere in this Act.

OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND
CIVIC AID

For expenses relating to the Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid programs of the Department of Defense (consisting of the programs provided under sections 401, 402, 404, 407, 2557, and 2561 of title 10, United States Code), \$108,032,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT

For assistance to the republics of the former Soviet Union and, with appropriate authorization by the Department of Defense and Department of State, to countries outside of the former Soviet Union, including assistance provided by contract or by grants, for facilitating the elimination and the safe and secure transportation and storage of nuclear, chemical and other weapons; for establishing programs to prevent the proliferation of weapons, weapons components, and weapon-related technology and expertise; for programs relating to the training and support of defense and military personnel for demilitarization and protection of weapons, weapons components and weapons technology and expertise, and for defense and military contacts, \$522,512,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That of the amounts provided under this heading, not less than \$13,500,000 shall be available only to support the dismantling and disposal of nuclear submarines, submarine reactor components, and security enhancements for transport and storage of nuclear warheads in the Russian Far East and North.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION
WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND

For the Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund, \$217,561,000.

TITLE III
PROCUREMENT

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

For construction, procurement, production, modification, and modernization of aircraft, equipment, including ordnance, ground handling equipment, spare parts, and accessories therefor; specialized equipment and training devices; expansion of public and private plants, including the land necessary therefor, for the foregoing purposes, and such lands and interests therein, may be acquired, and construction prosecuted thereon prior to approval of title; and procurement and installation of equipment, appliances, and machine tools in public and private plants; reserve plant and Government and contractor-owned equipment layaway; and other expenses necessary for the foregoing purposes, \$5,268,991,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

For construction, procurement, production, modification, and modernization of missiles, equipment, including ordnance,

ground handling equipment, spare parts, and accessories therefor; specialized equipment and training devices; expansion of public and private plants, including the land necessary therefor, for the foregoing purposes, and such lands and interests therein, may be acquired, and construction prosecuted thereon prior to approval of title; and procurement and installation of equipment, appliances, and machine tools in public and private plants; reserve plant and Government and contractor-owned equipment layaway; and other expenses necessary for the foregoing purposes, \$1,570,108,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013.

PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY

For construction, procurement, production, and modification of weapons and tracked combat vehicles, equipment, including ordnance, spare parts, and accessories therefor; specialized equipment and training devices; expansion of public and private plants, including the land necessary therefor, for the foregoing purposes, and such lands and interests therein, may be acquired, and construction prosecuted thereon prior to approval of title; and procurement and installation of equipment, appliances, and machine tools in public and private plants; reserve plant and Government and contractor-owned equipment layaway; and other expenses necessary for the foregoing purposes, \$1,477,922,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

For construction, procurement, production, and modification of ammunition, and accessories therefor; specialized equipment and training devices; expansion of public and private plants, including ammunition facilities, authorized by section 2854 of title 10, United States Code, and the land necessary therefor, for the foregoing purposes, and such lands and interests therein, may be acquired, and construction prosecuted thereon prior to approval of title; and procurement and installation of equipment, appliances, and machine tools in public and private plants; reserve plant and Government and contractor-owned equipment layaway; and other expenses necessary for the foregoing purposes, \$1,857,786,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For construction, procurement, production, and modification of vehicles, including tactical, support, and non-tracked combat vehicles; the purchase of passenger motor vehicles for replacement only; communications and electronic equipment; other support equipment; spare parts, ordnance, and accessories therefor; specialized equipment and training devices; expansion of public and private plants, including the land necessary therefor, for the foregoing purposes, and such lands and interests therein, may be acquired, and construction prosecuted thereon prior to approval of title; and procurement and installation of equipment, appliances, and machine tools in public and private plants; reserve plant and Government and contractor-owned equipment layaway; and other expenses necessary for the foregoing purposes, \$8,204,605,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That of the funds made available in this paragraph, \$15,000,000 shall be made available to procure equipment, not otherwise provided for, and may be transferred to other procurement accounts available to the Department of the Army, and that funds so

transferred shall be available for the same purposes and the same time period as the account to which transferred.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

For construction, procurement, production, modification, and modernization of aircraft, equipment, including ordnance, spare parts, and accessories therefor; specialized equipment; expansion of public and private plants, including the land necessary therefor, and such lands and interests therein, may be acquired, and construction prosecuted thereon prior to approval of title; and procurement and installation of equipment, appliances, and machine tools in public and private plants; reserve plant and Government and contractor-owned equipment layaway, \$17,473,588,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013.

WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

For construction, procurement, production, modification, and modernization of missiles, torpedoes, other weapons, and related support equipment including spare parts, and accessories therefor; expansion of public and private plants, including the land necessary therefor, and such lands and interests therein, may be acquired, and construction prosecuted thereon prior to approval of title; and procurement and installation of equipment, appliances, and machine tools in public and private plants; reserve plant and Government and contractor-owned equipment layaway, \$3,236,157,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

For construction, procurement, production, and modification of ammunition, and accessories therefor; specialized equipment and training devices; expansion of public and private plants, including ammunition facilities, authorized by section 2854 of title 10, United States Code, and the land necessary therefor, for the foregoing purposes, and such lands and interests therein, may be acquired, and construction prosecuted thereon prior to approval of title; and procurement and installation of equipment, appliances, and machine tools in public and private plants; reserve plant and Government and contractor-owned equipment layaway; and other expenses necessary for the foregoing purposes, \$790,527,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013.

SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY

For expenses necessary for the construction, acquisition, or conversion of vessels as authorized by law, including armor and armament thereof, plant equipment, appliances, and machine tools and installation thereof in public and private plants; reserve plant and Government and contractor-owned equipment layaway; procurement of critical, long lead time components and designs for vessels to be constructed or converted in the future; and expansion of public and private plants, including land necessary therefor, and such lands and interests therein, may be acquired, and construction prosecuted thereon prior to approval of title, as follows:

Carrier	Replacement	Program,
\$1,721,969,000;		
Carrier Replacement	Program (AP),	
\$908,313,000;		
NSSN, \$3,430,343,000;		
NSSN (AP), \$1,691,236,000;		
CVN Refueling, \$1,248,999,000;		
CVN Refuelings (AP), \$408,037,000;		
DDG-1000 Program, \$77,512,000;		
DDG-51 Destroyer, \$2,868,454,000;		
DDG-51 Destroyer (AP), \$47,984,000;		

Littoral Combat Ship, \$1,168,984,000;
Littoral Combat Ship (AP), \$190,351,000;
LHA-R, \$942,837,000;
Joint High Speed Vessel, \$180,703,000;
Oceanographic Ships, \$88,561,000;
LCAC Service Life Extension Program, \$83,035,000;

Service Craft, \$13,770,000; and
For outfitting, post delivery, conversions, and first destination transportation, \$295,570,000.

In all: \$15,366,658,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2015: *Provided*, That additional obligations may be incurred after September 30, 2015, for engineering services, tests, evaluations, and other such budgeted work that must be performed in the final stage of ship construction: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided under this heading for the construction or conversion of any naval vessel to be constructed in shipyards in the United States shall be expended in foreign facilities for the construction of major components of such vessel: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided under this heading shall be used for the construction of any naval vessel in foreign shipyards.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For procurement, production, and modernization of support equipment and materials not otherwise provided for, Navy ordnance (except ordnance for new aircraft, new ships, and ships authorized for conversion); the purchase of passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, and the purchase of seven vehicles required for physical security of personnel, notwithstanding price limitations applicable to passenger vehicles but not to exceed \$250,000 per vehicle; expansion of public and private plants, including the land necessary therefor, and such lands and interests therein, may be acquired, and construction prosecuted thereon prior to approval of title; and procurement and installation of equipment, appliances, and machine tools in public and private plants; reserve plant and Government and contractor-owned equipment layaway, \$5,833,683,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That of the funds made available in this paragraph, \$15,000,000 shall be made available to procure equipment, not otherwise provided for, and may be transferred to other procurement accounts available to the Department of the Navy, and that funds so transferred shall be available for the same purposes and the same time period as the account to which transferred.

PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

For expenses necessary for the procurement, manufacture, and modification of missiles, armament, military equipment, spare parts, and accessories therefor; plant equipment, appliances, and machine tools, and installation thereof in public and private plants; reserve plant and Government and contractor-owned equipment layaway; vehicles for the Marine Corps, including the purchase of passenger motor vehicles for replacement only; and expansion of public and private plants, including land necessary therefor, and such lands and interests therein, may be acquired, and construction prosecuted thereon prior to approval of title, \$1,238,036,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

For construction, procurement, and modification of aircraft and equipment, including armor and armament, specialized ground handling equipment, and training devices,

spare parts, and accessories therefor; specialized equipment; expansion of public and private plants, Government-owned equipment and installation thereof in such plants, erection of structures, and acquisition of land, for the foregoing purposes, and such lands and interests therein, may be acquired, and construction prosecuted thereon prior to approval of title; reserve plant and Government and contractor-owned equipment layaway; and other expenses necessary for the foregoing purposes including rents and transportation of things, \$12,528,779,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That none of the funds provided in this Act for modification of C-17 aircraft, Global Hawk Unmanned Aerial Vehicle and F-22 aircraft may be obligated until all C-17, Global Hawk and F-22 contracts funded with prior year "Aircraft Procurement, Air Force" appropriated funds are definitized unless the Secretary of the Air Force certifies in writing to the congressional defense committees that each such obligation is necessary to meet the needs of a warfighting requirement or prevents increased costs to the taxpayer, and provides the reasons for failing to definitize the prior year contracts along with the prospective contract definitization schedule: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of the Air Force shall expand the current HH-60 Operational Loss Replacement program to meet the approved HH-60 Recapitalization program requirements.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

For construction, procurement, and modification of missiles, spacecraft, rockets, and related equipment, including spare parts and accessories therefor, ground handling equipment, and training devices; expansion of public and private plants, Government-owned equipment and installation thereof in such plants, erection of structures, and acquisition of land, for the foregoing purposes, and such lands and interests therein, may be acquired, and construction prosecuted thereon prior to approval of title; reserve plant and Government and contractor-owned equipment layaway; and other expenses necessary for the foregoing purposes including rents and transportation of things, \$5,430,764,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

For construction, procurement, production, and modification of ammunition, and accessories therefor; specialized equipment and training devices; expansion of public and private plants, including ammunition facilities, authorized by section 2854 of title 10, United States Code, and the land necessary therefor, for the foregoing purposes, and such lands and interests therein, may be acquired, and construction prosecuted thereon prior to approval of title; and procurement and installation of equipment, appliances, and machine tools in public and private plants; reserve plant and Government and contractor-owned equipment layaway; and other expenses necessary for the foregoing purposes, \$735,487,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For procurement and modification of equipment (including ground guidance and electronic control equipment, and ground electronic and communication equipment), and supplies, materials, and spare parts therefor, not otherwise provided for; the purchase of passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, and the purchase of two vehicles required for physical security of per-

sonnel, notwithstanding price limitations applicable to passenger vehicles but not to exceed \$250,000 per vehicle; lease of passenger motor vehicles; and expansion of public and private plants, Government-owned equipment and installation thereof in such plants, erection of structures, and acquisition of land, for the foregoing purposes, and such lands and interests therein, may be acquired, and construction prosecuted thereon, prior to approval of title; reserve plant and Government and contractor-owned equipment layaway, \$17,598,331,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That of the funds made available in this paragraph, \$15,000,000 shall be made available to procure equipment, not otherwise provided for, and may be transferred to other procurement accounts available to the Department of the Air Force, and that funds so transferred shall be available for the same purposes and the same time period as the account to which transferred.

PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For expenses of activities and agencies of the Department of Defense (other than the military departments) necessary for procurement, production, and modification of equipment, supplies, materials, and spare parts therefor, not otherwise provided for; the purchase of passenger motor vehicles for replacement only; expansion of public and private plants, equipment, and installation thereof in such plants, erection of structures, and acquisition of land for the foregoing purposes, and such lands and interests therein, may be acquired, and construction prosecuted thereon prior to approval of title; reserve plant and Government and contractor-owned equipment layaway, \$4,042,241,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That of the funds made available in this paragraph, \$15,000,000 shall be made available to procure equipment, not otherwise provided for, and may be transferred to other procurement accounts available to the Department of Defense, and that funds so transferred shall be available for the same purposes and the same time period as the account to which transferred.

DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES

For activities by the Department of Defense pursuant to sections 108, 301, 302, and 303 of the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. App. 2078, 2091, 2092, and 2093), \$74,906,000, to remain available until expended.

TITLE IV

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

For expenses necessary for basic and applied scientific research, development, test and evaluation, including maintenance, rehabilitation, lease, and operation of facilities and equipment, \$10,775,081,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2012.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

For expenses necessary for basic and applied scientific research, development, test and evaluation, including maintenance, rehabilitation, lease, and operation of facilities and equipment, \$18,447,913,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That funds appropriated in this paragraph which are available for the V-22 may be used to meet unique operational

requirements of the Special Operations Forces: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated in this paragraph shall be available for the Cobra Judy program.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

For expenses necessary for basic and applied scientific research, development, test and evaluation, including maintenance, rehabilitation, lease, and operation of facilities and equipment, \$27,006,965,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2012.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

For expenses of activities and agencies of the Department of Defense (other than the military departments), necessary for basic and applied scientific research, development, test and evaluation; advanced research projects as may be designated and determined by the Secretary of Defense, pursuant to law; maintenance, rehabilitation, lease, and operation of facilities and equipment, \$21,171,272,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That of the funds made available in this paragraph, \$3,200,000 shall only be available for program management and oversight of innovative research and development.

OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE

For expenses, not otherwise provided for, necessary for the independent activities of the Director, Operational Test and Evaluation, in the direction and supervision of operational test and evaluation, including initial operational test and evaluation which is conducted prior to, and in support of, production decisions; joint operational testing and evaluation; and administrative expenses in connection therewith, \$194,910,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2012.

TITLE V

REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS

DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

For the Defense Working Capital Funds, \$1,434,536,000.

NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND

For National Defense Sealift Fund programs, projects, and activities, and for expenses of the National Defense Reserve Fleet, as established by section 11 of the Merchant Ship Sales Act of 1946 (50 U.S.C. App. 1744), and for the necessary expenses to maintain and preserve a U.S.-flag merchant fleet to serve the national security needs of the United States, \$1,077,266,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That none of the funds provided in this paragraph shall be used to award a new contract that provides for the acquisition of any of the following major components unless such components are manufactured in the United States: auxiliary equipment, including pumps, for all shipboard services; propulsion system components (engines, reduction gears, and propellers); shipboard cranes; and spreaders for shipboard cranes: *Provided further*, That the exercise of an option in a contract awarded through the obligation of previously appropriated funds shall not be considered to be the award of a new contract: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of the military department responsible for such procurement may waive the restrictions in the first proviso on a case-by-case basis by certifying in writing to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate that adequate domestic

supplies are not available to meet Department of Defense requirements on a timely basis and that such an acquisition must be made in order to acquire capability for national security purposes.

TITLE VI

OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS

DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

For expenses, not otherwise provided for, for medical and health care programs of the Department of Defense as authorized by law, \$31,460,770,000; of which \$29,697,516,000 shall be for operation and maintenance, of which not to exceed 1 percent shall remain available until September 30, 2012, and of which up to \$16,212,121,000 may be available for contracts entered into under the TRICARE program; of which \$534,921,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013, shall be for procurement; and of which \$1,228,333,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2012, shall be for research, development, test and evaluation: *Provided*, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, of the amount made available under this heading for research, development, test and evaluation, not less than \$10,000,000 shall be available for HIV prevention educational activities undertaken in connection with United States military training, exercises, and humanitarian assistance activities conducted primarily in African nations.

CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE

For expenses, not otherwise provided for, necessary for the destruction of the United States stockpile of lethal chemical agents and munitions, to include construction of facilities, in accordance with the provisions of section 1412 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (50 U.S.C. 1521), and for the destruction of other chemical warfare materials that are not in the chemical weapon stockpile, \$1,467,307,000, of which \$1,067,364,000 shall be for operation and maintenance, of which no less than \$111,178,000, shall be for the Chemical Stockpile Emergency Preparedness Program, consisting of \$35,130,000 for activities on military installations and \$76,048,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, to assist State and local governments; \$7,132,000 shall be for procurement, to remain available until September 30, 2013; and \$392,811,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, shall be for research, development, test and evaluation, of which \$385,868,000 shall only be for the Assembled Chemical Weapons Alternatives (ACWA) program.

DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For drug interdiction and counter-drug activities of the Department of Defense, for transfer to appropriations available to the Department of Defense for military personnel of the reserve components serving under the provisions of title 10 and title 32, United States Code; for operation and maintenance; for procurement; and for research, development, test and evaluation, \$1,207,877,000: *Provided*, That the funds appropriated under this heading shall be available for obligation for the same time period and for the same purpose as the appropriation to which transferred: *Provided further*, That upon a determination that all or part of the funds transferred from this appropriation are not necessary for the purposes provided herein, such amounts may be transferred back to this appropriation: *Provided further*, That the

transfer authority provided under this heading is in addition to any other transfer authority contained elsewhere in this Act.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

For expenses and activities of the Office of the Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$306,794,000, of which \$305,794,000 shall be for operation and maintenance, of which not to exceed \$700,000 is available for emergencies and extraordinary expenses to be expended on the approval or authority of the Inspector General, and payments may be made on the Inspector General's certificate of necessity for confidential military purposes; and of which \$1,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013, shall be for procurement.

TITLE VII

RELATED AGENCIES

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY SYSTEM FUND

For payment to the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund, to maintain the proper funding level for continuing the operation of the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, \$292,000,000.

INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT

For necessary expenses of the Intelligence Community Management Account, \$649,732,000.

TITLE VIII

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 8001. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall be used for publicity or propaganda purposes not authorized by the Congress.

SEC. 8002. During the current fiscal year, provisions of law prohibiting the payment of compensation to, or employment of, any person not a citizen of the United States shall not apply to personnel of the Department of Defense: *Provided*, That salary increases granted to direct and indirect hire foreign national employees of the Department of Defense funded by this Act shall not be at a rate in excess of the percentage increase authorized by law for civilian employees of the Department of Defense whose pay is computed under the provisions of section 5332 of title 5, United States Code, or at a rate in excess of the percentage increase provided by the appropriate host nation to its own employees, whichever is higher: *Provided further*, That, in the case of a host nation that does not provide salary increases on an annual basis, any increase granted by that nation shall be annualized for the purpose of applying the preceding proviso: *Provided further*, That this section shall not apply to Department of Defense foreign service national employees serving at United States diplomatic missions whose pay is set by the Department of State under the Foreign Service Act of 1980: *Provided further*, That the limitations of this provision shall not apply to foreign national employees of the Department of Defense in the Republic of Turkey.

SEC. 8003. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year, unless expressly so provided herein.

T5Sec. 8004. No more than 20 percent of the appropriations in this Act which are limited for obligation during the current fiscal year shall be obligated during the last 2 months of the fiscal year: *Provided*, That this section shall not apply to obligations for support of active duty training of reserve components or summer camp training of the Reserve Officers' Training Corps.

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 8005. Upon determination by the Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in the national interest, he may, with the approval of the Office of Management and Budget, transfer not to exceed \$4,000,000,000 of working capital funds of the Department of Defense or funds made available in this Act to the Department of Defense for military functions (except military construction) between such appropriations or funds or any subdivision thereof, to be merged with and to be available for the same purposes, and for the same time period, as the appropriation or fund to which transferred: *Provided*, That such authority to transfer may not be used unless for higher priority items, based on unforeseen military requirements, than those for which originally appropriated and in no case where the item for which funds are requested has been denied by the Congress: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Defense shall notify the Congress promptly of all transfers made pursuant to this authority or any other authority in this Act: *Provided further*, That no part of the funds in this Act shall be available to prepare or present a request to the Committees on Appropriations for reprogramming of funds, unless for higher priority items, based on unforeseen military requirements, than those for which originally appropriated and in no case where the item for which reprogramming is requested has been denied by the Congress: *Provided further*, That a request for multiple reprogrammings of funds using authority provided in this section shall be made prior to June 30, 2011: *Provided further*, That transfers among military personnel appropriations shall not be taken into account for purposes of the limitation on the amount of funds that may be transferred under this section.

SEC. 8006. (a) With regard to the list of specific programs, projects, and activities (and the dollar amounts and adjustments to budget activities corresponding to such programs, projects, and activities) contained in the tables titled "Explanation of Project Level Adjustments" in the explanatory statement regarding this Act, the obligation and expenditure of amounts appropriated or otherwise made available in this Act for those programs, projects, and activities for which the amounts appropriated exceed the amounts requested are hereby required by law to be carried out in the manner provided by such tables to the same extent as if the tables were included in the text of this Act.

(b) Amounts specified in the referenced tables described in subsection (a) shall not be treated as subdivisions of appropriations for purposes of section 8005 of this Act: *Provided*, That section 8005 shall apply when transfers of the amounts described in subsection (a) occur between appropriation accounts.

SEC. 8007. (a) Not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, the Department of Defense shall submit a report to the congressional defense committees to establish the baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for fiscal year 2011: *Provided*, That the report shall include—

(1) a table for each appropriation with a separate column to display the President's budget request, adjustments made by Congress, adjustments due to enacted rescissions, if appropriate, and the fiscal year enacted level;

(2) a delineation in the table for each appropriation both by budget activity and program, project, and activity as detailed in the Budget Appendix; and

(3) an identification of items of special congressional interest.

(b) Notwithstanding section 8005 of this Act, none of the funds provided in this Act shall be available for reprogramming or transfer until the report identified in subsection (a) is submitted to the congressional defense committees, unless the Secretary of Defense certifies in writing to the congressional defense committees that such reprogramming or transfer is necessary as an emergency requirement.

SEC. 8008. The Secretaries of the Air Force and the Army are authorized, using funds available under the headings "Operation and Maintenance, Air Force" and "Operation and Maintenance, Army", to complete facility conversions and phased repair projects which may include upgrades and additions to Alaskan range infrastructure and training areas, and improved access to these ranges.

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 8009. During the current fiscal year, cash balances in working capital funds of the Department of Defense established pursuant to section 2208 of title 10, United States Code, may be maintained in only such amounts as are necessary at any time for cash disbursements to be made from such funds: *Provided*, That transfers may be made between such funds: *Provided further*, That transfers may be made between working capital funds and the "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Defense" appropriation and the "Operation and Maintenance" appropriation accounts in such amounts as may be determined by the Secretary of Defense, with the approval of the Office of Management and Budget, except that such transfers may not be made unless the Secretary of Defense has notified the Congress of the proposed transfer. Except in amounts equal to the amounts appropriated to working capital funds in this Act, no obligations may be made against a working capital fund to procure or increase the value of war reserve material inventory, unless the Secretary of Defense has notified the Congress prior to any such obligation.

SEC. 8010. Funds appropriated by this Act may not be used to initiate a special access program without prior notification 30 calendar days in advance to the congressional defense committees.

SEC. 8011. None of the funds provided in this Act shall be available to initiate: (1) a multiyear contract that employs economic order quantity procurement in excess of \$20,000,000 in any one year of the contract or that includes an unfunded contingent liability in excess of \$20,000,000; or (2) a contract for advance procurement leading to a multiyear contract that employs economic order quantity procurement in excess of \$20,000,000 in any one year, unless the congressional defense committees have been notified at least 30 days in advance of the proposed contract award: *Provided*, That no part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall be available to initiate a multiyear contract for which the economic order quantity advance procurement is not funded at least to the limits of the Government's liability: *Provided further*, That no part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall be available to initiate multiyear procurement contracts for any systems or component thereof if the value of the multiyear contract would exceed \$500,000,000 unless specifically provided in this Act: *Provided further*, That no multiyear procurement contract can be terminated without 10-day prior notification to the congressional defense committees: *Provided further*, That the execution of multiyear authority shall require the use of

a present value analysis to determine lowest cost compared to an annual procurement: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided in this Act may be used for a multiyear contract executed after the date of the enactment of this Act unless in the case of any such contract—

(1) the Secretary of Defense has submitted to Congress a budget request for full funding of units to be procured through the contract and, in the case of a contract for procurement of aircraft, that includes, for any aircraft unit to be procured through the contract for which procurement funds are requested in that budget request for production beyond advance procurement activities in the fiscal year covered by the budget, full funding of procurement of such unit in that fiscal year;

(2) cancellation provisions in the contract do not include consideration of recurring manufacturing costs of the contractor associated with the production of unfunded units to be delivered under the contract;

(3) the contract provides that payments to the contractor under the contract shall not be made in advance of incurred costs on funded units; and

(4) the contract does not provide for a price adjustment based on a failure to award a follow-on contract.

Funds appropriated in title III of this Act may be used for a multiyear procurement contract as follows:

Navy MH-60R/S Helicopter Systems.

SEC. 8012. Within the funds appropriated for the operation and maintenance of the Armed Forces, funds are hereby appropriated pursuant to section 401 of title 10, United States Code, for humanitarian and civic assistance costs under chapter 20 of title 10, United States Code. Such funds may also be obligated for humanitarian and civic assistance costs incidental to authorized operations and pursuant to authority granted in section 401 of chapter 20 of title 10, United States Code, and these obligations shall be reported as required by section 401(d) of title 10, United States Code: *Provided*, That funds available for operation and maintenance shall be available for providing humanitarian and similar assistance by using Civic Action Teams in the Trust Territories of the Pacific Islands and freely associated states of Micronesia, pursuant to the Compact of Free Association as authorized by Public Law 99-239: *Provided further*, That upon a determination by the Secretary of the Army that such action is beneficial for graduate medical education programs conducted at Army medical facilities located in Hawaii, the Secretary of the Army may authorize the provision of medical services at such facilities and transportation to such facilities, on a nonreimbursable basis, for civilian patients from American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, the Marshall Islands, the Federated States of Micronesia, Palau, and Guam.

SEC. 8013. (a) During fiscal year 2011, the civilian personnel of the Department of Defense may not be managed on the basis of any end-strength, and the management of such personnel during that fiscal year shall not be subject to any constraint or limitation (known as an end-strength) on the number of such personnel who may be employed on the last day of such fiscal year.

(b) The fiscal year 2012 budget request for the Department of Defense as well as all justification material and other documentation supporting the fiscal year 2012 Department of Defense budget request shall be prepared and submitted to the Congress as if subsections

(a) and (b) of this provision were effective with regard to fiscal year 2012.

(c) Nothing in this section shall be construed to apply to military (civilian) technicians.

SEC. 8014. None of the funds made available by this Act shall be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before the Congress.

SEC. 8015. None of the funds appropriated by this Act shall be available for the basic pay and allowances of any member of the Army participating as a full-time student and receiving benefits paid by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs from the Department of Defense Education Benefits Fund when time spent as a full-time student is credited toward completion of a service commitment: *Provided*, That this section shall not apply to those members who have reenlisted with this option prior to October 1, 1987: *Provided further*, That this section applies only to active components of the Army.

SEC. 8016. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this Act shall be available to convert to contractor performance an activity or function of the Department of Defense that, on or after the date of the enactment of this Act, is performed by Department of Defense civilian employees unless—

(1) the conversion is based on the result of a public-private competition that includes a most efficient and cost effective organization plan developed by such activity or function;

(2) the Competitive Sourcing Official determines that, over all performance periods stated in the solicitation of offers for performance of the activity or function, the cost of performance of the activity or function by a contractor would be less costly to the Department of Defense by an amount that equals or exceeds the lesser of—

(A) 10 percent of the most efficient organization's personnel-related costs for performance of that activity or function by Federal employees; or

(B) \$10,000,000; and

(3) the contractor does not receive an advantage for a proposal that would reduce costs for the Department of Defense by—

(A) not making an employer-sponsored health insurance plan available to the workers who are to be employed in the performance of that activity or function under the contract; or

(B) offering to such workers an employer-sponsored health benefits plan that requires the employer to contribute less towards the premium or subscription share than the amount that is paid by the Department of Defense for health benefits for civilian employees under chapter 89 of title 5, United States Code.

(b)(1) The Department of Defense, without regard to subsection (a) of this section or subsection (a), (b), or (c) of section 2461 of title 10, United States Code, and notwithstanding any administrative regulation, requirement, or policy to the contrary shall have full authority to enter into a contract for the performance of any commercial or industrial type function of the Department of Defense that—

(A) is included on the procurement list established pursuant to section 2 of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 47);

(B) is planned to be converted to performance by a qualified nonprofit agency for the blind or by a qualified nonprofit agency for other severely handicapped individuals in accordance with that Act; or

(C) is planned to be converted to performance by a qualified firm under at least 51 percent ownership by an Indian tribe, as defined in section 4(e) of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 450b(e)), or a Native Hawaiian Organization, as defined in section 8(a)(15) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(a)(15)).

(2) This section shall not apply to depot contracts or contracts for depot maintenance as provided in sections 2469 and 2474 of title 10, United States Code.

(c) The conversion of any activity or function of the Department of Defense under the authority provided by this section shall be credited toward any competitive or outsourcing goal, target, or measurement that may be established by statute, regulation, or policy and is deemed to be awarded under the authority of, and in compliance with, subsection (h) of section 2304 of title 10, United States Code, for the competition or outsourcing of commercial activities.

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 8017. Funds appropriated in title III of this Act for the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protege Program may be transferred to any other appropriation contained in this Act solely for the purpose of implementing a Mentor-Protege Program developmental assistance agreement pursuant to section 831 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note), as amended, under the authority of this provision or any other transfer authority contained in this Act.

SEC. 8018. None of the funds in this Act may be available for the purchase by the Department of Defense (and its departments and agencies) of welded shipboard anchor and mooring chain 4 inches in diameter and under unless the anchor and mooring chain are manufactured in the United States from components which are substantially manufactured in the United States: *Provided*, That for the purpose of this section, the term "manufactured" shall include cutting, heat treating, quality control, testing of chain and welding (including the forging and shot blasting process): *Provided further*, That for the purpose of this section substantially all of the components of anchor and mooring chain shall be considered to be produced or manufactured in the United States if the aggregate cost of the components produced or manufactured in the United States exceeds the aggregate cost of the components produced or manufactured outside the United States: *Provided further*, That when adequate domestic supplies are not available to meet Department of Defense requirements on a timely basis, the Secretary of the service responsible for the procurement may waive this restriction on a case-by-case basis by certifying in writing to the Committees on Appropriations that such an acquisition must be made in order to acquire capability for national security purposes.

SEC. 8019. None of the funds available to the Department of Defense may be used to demilitarize or dispose of M-1 Carbines, M-1 Garand rifles, M-14 rifles, .22 caliber rifles, .30 caliber rifles, or M-1911 pistols, or to demilitarize or destroy small arms ammunition or ammunition components that are not otherwise prohibited from commercial sale under Federal law, unless the small arms ammunition or ammunition components are certified by the Secretary of the Army or designee as unserviceable or unsafe for further use.

SEC. 8020. No more than \$500,000 of the funds appropriated or made available in this Act shall be used during a single fiscal year

for any single relocation of an organization, unit, activity or function of the Department of Defense into or within the National Capital Region: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Defense may waive this restriction on a case-by-case basis by certifying in writing to the congressional defense committees that such a relocation is required in the best interest of the Government.

SEC. 8021. In addition to the funds provided elsewhere in this Act, \$15,000,000 is appropriated only for incentive payments authorized by section 504 of the Indian Financing Act of 1974 (25 U.S.C. 1544): *Provided*, That a prime contractor or a subcontractor at any tier that makes a subcontract award to any subcontractor or supplier as defined in section 1544 of title 25, United States Code, or a small business owned and controlled by an individual or individuals defined under section 4221(9) of title 25, United States Code, shall be considered a contractor for the purposes of being allowed additional compensation under section 504 of the Indian Financing Act of 1974 (25 U.S.C. 1544) whenever the prime contract or subcontract amount is over \$500,000 and involves the expenditure of funds appropriated by an Act making Appropriations for the Department of Defense with respect to any fiscal year: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding section 430 of title 41, United States Code, this section shall be applicable to any Department of Defense acquisition of supplies or services, including any contract and any subcontract at any tier for acquisition of commercial items produced or manufactured, in whole or in part by any subcontractor or supplier defined in section 1544 of title 25, United States Code, or a small business owned and controlled by an individual or individuals defined under section 4221(9) of title 25, United States Code.

SEC. 8022. Funds appropriated by this Act for the Defense Media Activity shall not be used for any national or international political or psychological activities.

SEC. 8023. During the current fiscal year, the Department of Defense is authorized to incur obligations of not to exceed \$350,000,000 for purposes specified in section 2350j(c) of title 10, United States Code, in anticipation of receipt of contributions, only from the Government of Kuwait, under that section: *Provided*, That upon receipt, such contributions from the Government of Kuwait shall be credited to the appropriations or fund which incurred such obligations.

SEC. 8024. (a) Of the funds made available in this Act, not less than \$30,374,000 shall be available for the Civil Air Patrol Corporation, of which—

(1) \$27,048,000 shall be available from "Operation and Maintenance, Air Force" to support Civil Air Patrol Corporation operation and maintenance, readiness, counterdrug activities, and drug demand reduction activities involving youth programs;

(2) \$2,424,000 shall be available from "Aircraft Procurement, Air Force"; and

(3) \$902,000 shall be available from "Other Procurement, Air Force" for vehicle procurement.

(b) The Secretary of the Air Force should waive reimbursement for any funds used by the Civil Air Patrol for counter-drug activities in support of Federal, State, and local government agencies.

SEC. 8025. (a) None of the funds appropriated in this Act are available to establish a new Department of Defense (department) federally funded research and development center (FFRDC), either as a new entity, or as a separate entity administered by an organization managing another FFRDC, or as a

nonprofit membership corporation consisting of a consortium of other FFRDCs and other nonprofit entities.

(b) No member of a Board of Directors, Trustees, Overseers, Advisory Group, Special Issues Panel, Visiting Committee, or any similar entity of a defense FFRDC, and no paid consultant to any defense FFRDC, except when acting in a technical advisory capacity, may be compensated for his or her services as a member of such entity, or as a paid consultant by more than one FFRDC in a fiscal year: *Provided*, That a member of any such entity referred to previously in this subsection shall be allowed travel expenses and per diem as authorized under the Federal Joint Travel Regulations, when engaged in the performance of membership duties.

(c) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, none of the funds available to the department from any source during fiscal year 2011 may be used by a defense FFRDC, through a fee or other payment mechanism, for construction of new buildings, for payment of cost sharing for projects funded by Government grants, for absorption of contract overruns, or for certain charitable contributions, not to include employee participation in community service and/or development.

(d) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, of the funds available to the department during fiscal year 2011, not more than 5,750 staff years of technical effort (staff years) may be funded for defense FFRDCs: *Provided*, That of the specific amount referred to previously in this subsection, not more than 1,125 staff years may be funded for the defense studies and analysis FFRDCs: *Provided further*, That this subsection shall not apply to staff years funded in the National Intelligence Program (NIP) and the Military Intelligence Program (MIP).

(e) The Secretary of Defense shall, with the submission of the department's fiscal year 2012 budget request, submit a report presenting the specific amounts of staff years of technical effort to be allocated for each defense FFRDC during that fiscal year and the associated budget estimates.

(f) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, the total amount appropriated in this Act for FFRDCs is hereby reduced by \$125,000,000.

SEC. 8026. None of the funds appropriated or made available in this Act shall be used to procure carbon, alloy or armor steel plate for use in any Government-owned facility or property under the control of the Department of Defense which were not melted and rolled in the United States or Canada: *Provided*, That these procurement restrictions shall apply to any and all Federal Supply Class 9515, American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) or American Iron and Steel Institute (AISI) specifications of carbon, alloy or armor steel plate: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of the military department responsible for the procurement may waive this restriction on a case-by-case basis by certifying in writing to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate that adequate domestic supplies are not available to meet Department of Defense requirements on a timely basis and that such an acquisition must be made in order to acquire capability for national security purposes: *Provided further*, That these restrictions shall not apply to contracts which are in being as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 8027. For the purposes of this Act, the term "congressional defense committees" means the Armed Services Committee of the

House of Representatives, the Armed Services Committee of the Senate, the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate, and the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 8028. During the current fiscal year, the Department of Defense may acquire the modification, depot maintenance and repair of aircraft, vehicles and vessels as well as the production of components and other Defense-related articles, through competition between Department of Defense depot maintenance activities and private firms: *Provided*, That the Senior Acquisition Executive of the military department or Defense Agency concerned, with power of delegation, shall certify that successful bids include comparable estimates of all direct and indirect costs for both public and private bids: *Provided further*, That Office of Management and Budget Circular A-76 shall not apply to competitions conducted under this section.

SEC. 8029. (a)(1) If the Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the United States Trade Representative, determines that a foreign country which is party to an agreement described in paragraph (2) has violated the terms of the agreement by discriminating against certain types of products produced in the United States that are covered by the agreement, the Secretary of Defense shall rescind the Secretary's blanket waiver of the Buy American Act with respect to such types of products produced in that foreign country.

(2) An agreement referred to in paragraph (1) is any reciprocal defense procurement memorandum of understanding, between the United States and a foreign country pursuant to which the Secretary of Defense has prospectively waived the Buy American Act for certain products in that country.

(b) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Congress a report on the amount of Department of Defense purchases from foreign entities in fiscal year 2011. Such report shall separately indicate the dollar value of items for which the Buy American Act was waived pursuant to any agreement described in subsection (a)(2), the Trade Agreement Act of 1979 (19 U.S.C. 2501 et seq.), or any international agreement to which the United States is a party.

(c) For purposes of this section, the term "Buy American Act" means title III of the Act entitled "An Act making appropriations for the Treasury and Post Office Departments for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934, and for other purposes", approved March 3, 1933 (41 U.S.C. 10a et seq.).

SEC. 8030. During the current fiscal year, amounts contained in the Department of Defense Overseas Military Facility Investment Recovery Account established by section 2921(c)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act of 1991 (Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) shall be available until expended for the payments specified by section 2921(c)(2) of that Act.

SEC. 8031. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of the Air Force may convey at no cost to the Air Force, without consideration, to Indian tribes located in the States of Nevada, Idaho, North Dakota, South Dakota, Montana, Oregon, Minnesota, and Washington relocatable military housing units located at Grand Forks Air Force Base, Malmstrom Air Force Base, Mountain Home Air Force Base, Ellsworth Air Force Base, and Minot Air Force Base that are excess to the needs of the Air Force.

(b) The Secretary of the Air Force shall convey, at no cost to the Air Force, military housing units under subsection (a) in accordance with the request for such units that are submitted to the Secretary by the Operation Walking Shield Program on behalf of Indian tribes located in the States of Nevada, Idaho, North Dakota, South Dakota, Montana, Oregon, Minnesota, and Washington. Any such conveyance shall be subject to the condition that the housing units shall be removed within a reasonable period of time, as determined by the Secretary.

(c) The Operation Walking Shield Program shall resolve any conflicts among requests of Indian tribes for housing units under subsection (a) before submitting requests to the Secretary of the Air Force under subsection (b).

(d) In this section, the term "Indian tribe" means any recognized Indian tribe included on the current list published by the Secretary of the Interior under section 104 of the Federally Recognized Indian Tribe Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-454; 108 Stat. 4792; 25 U.S.C. 479a-1).

SEC. 8032. During the current fiscal year, appropriations which are available to the Department of Defense for operation and maintenance may be used to purchase items having an investment item unit cost of not more than \$250,000.

SEC. 8033. (a) During the current fiscal year, none of the appropriations or funds available to the Department of Defense Working Capital Funds shall be used for the purchase of an investment item for the purpose of acquiring a new inventory item for sale or anticipated sale during the current fiscal year or a subsequent fiscal year to customers of the Department of Defense Working Capital Funds if such an item would not have been chargeable to the Department of Defense Business Operations Fund during fiscal year 1994 and if the purchase of such an investment item would be chargeable during the current fiscal year to appropriations made to the Department of Defense for procurement.

(b) The fiscal year 2012 budget request for the Department of Defense as well as all justification material and other documentation supporting the fiscal year 2012 Department of Defense budget shall be prepared and submitted to the Congress on the basis that any equipment which was classified as an end item and funded in a procurement appropriation contained in this Act shall be budgeted for in a proposed fiscal year 2012 procurement appropriation and not in the supply management business area or any other area or category of the Department of Defense Working Capital Funds.

SEC. 8034. None of the funds appropriated by this Act for programs of the Central Intelligence Agency shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year, except for funds appropriated for the Reserve for Contingencies, which shall remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That funds appropriated, transferred, or otherwise credited to the Central Intelligence Agency Central Services Working Capital Fund during this or any prior or subsequent fiscal year shall remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That any funds appropriated or transferred to the Central Intelligence Agency for advanced research and development acquisition, for agent operations, and for covert action programs authorized by the President under section 503 of the National Security Act of 1947, as amended, shall remain available until September 30, 2012.

SEC. 8035. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds made available in this

Act for the Defense Intelligence Agency may be used for the design, development, and deployment of General Defense Intelligence Program intelligence communications and intelligence information systems for the Services, the Unified and Specified Commands, and the component commands.

SEC. 8036. Of the funds appropriated to the Department of Defense under the heading "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide", not less than \$12,000,000 shall be made available only for the mitigation of environmental impacts, including training and technical assistance to tribes, related administrative support, the gathering of information, documenting of environmental damage, and developing a system for prioritization of mitigation and cost to complete estimates for mitigation, on Indian lands resulting from Department of Defense activities.

SEC. 8037. (a) None of the funds appropriated in this Act may be expended by an entity of the Department of Defense unless the entity, in expending the funds, complies with the Buy American Act. For purposes of this subsection, the term "Buy American Act" means title III of the Act entitled "An Act making appropriations for the Treasury and Post Office Departments for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934, and for other purposes", approved March 3, 1933 (41 U.S.C. 10a et seq.).

(b) If the Secretary of Defense determines that a person has been convicted of intentionally affixing a label bearing a "Made in America" inscription to any product sold in or shipped to the United States that is not made in America, the Secretary shall determine, in accordance with section 2410f of title 10, United States Code, whether the person should be debarred from contracting with the Department of Defense.

(c) In the case of any equipment or products purchased with appropriations provided under this Act, it is the sense of the Congress that any entity of the Department of Defense, in expending the appropriation, purchase only American-made equipment and products, provided that American-made equipment and products are cost-competitive, quality competitive, and available in a timely fashion.

SEC. 8038. None of the funds appropriated by this Act shall be available for a contract for studies, analysis, or consulting services entered into without competition on the basis of an unsolicited proposal unless the head of the activity responsible for the procurement determines—

(1) as a result of thorough technical evaluation, only one source is found fully qualified to perform the proposed work;

(2) the purpose of the contract is to explore an unsolicited proposal which offers significant scientific or technological promise, represents the product of original thinking, and was submitted in confidence by one source; or

(3) the purpose of the contract is to take advantage of unique and significant industrial accomplishment by a specific concern, or to insure that a new product or idea of a specific concern is given financial support: *Provided*, That this limitation shall not apply to contracts in an amount of less than \$25,000, contracts related to improvements of equipment that is in development or production, or contracts as to which a civilian official of the Department of Defense, who has been confirmed by the Senate, determines that the award of such contract is in the interest of the national defense.

SEC. 8039. (a) Except as provided in subsections (b) and (c), none of the funds made available by this Act may be used—

(1) to establish a field operating agency; or
 (2) to pay the basic pay of a member of the Armed Forces or civilian employee of the department who is transferred or reassigned from a headquarters activity if the member or employee's place of duty remains at the location of that headquarters.

(b) The Secretary of Defense or Secretary of a military department may waive the limitations in subsection (a), on a case-by-case basis, if the Secretary determines, and certifies to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate that the granting of the waiver will reduce the personnel requirements or the financial requirements of the department.

(c) This section does not apply to—

(1) field operating agencies funded within the National Intelligence Program; or

(2) an Army field operating agency established to eliminate, mitigate, or counter the effects of improvised explosive devices, and, as determined by the Secretary of the Army, other similar threats; or

(3) an Army field operating agency established to improve the effectiveness and efficiencies of biometric activities and to integrate common biometric technologies throughout the Department of Defense.

SEC. 8040. The Secretary of Defense, notwithstanding any other provision of law, acting through the Office of Economic Adjustment of the Department of Defense, may use funds made available in this Act under the heading "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" to make grants and supplement other Federal funds in accordance with the guidance provided in the explanatory statement regarding this Act.

(RESCISSIONS)

SEC. 8041. Of the funds appropriated in Department of Defense Appropriations Acts, the following funds are hereby rescinded from the following accounts and programs in the specified amounts:

"Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army, 2009/2011", \$86,300,000;

"Other Procurement, Army, 2009/2011", \$147,600,000;

"Aircraft Procurement, Navy, 2009/2011", \$26,100,000;

"Aircraft Procurement, Air Force, 2009/2011", \$116,900,000;

"Aircraft Procurement, Army, 2010/2012", \$14,000,000;

"Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army, 2010/2012", \$36,000,000;

"Missile Procurement, Army, 2010/2012", \$9,171,000;

"Aircraft Procurement, Navy, 2010/2012", \$184,847,000;

"Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps, 2010/2012", \$11,576,000;

Under the heading, "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy, 2010/2014": DDG-51 Destroyer, \$22,000,000;

"Other Procurement, Navy, 2010/2012", \$9,042,000;

"Aircraft Procurement, Air Force, 2010/2012", \$151,300,000;

"Other Procurement, Air Force, 2010/2012", \$36,600,000;

"Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army, 2010/2011", \$53,500,000;

"Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force, 2010/2011", \$198,600,000; and

"Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide, 2010/2011", \$10,000,000.

SEC. 8042. None of the funds available in this Act may be used to reduce the authorized positions for military (civilian) technicians of the Army National Guard, Air Na-

tional Guard, Army Reserve and Air Force Reserve for the purpose of applying any administratively imposed civilian personnel ceiling, freeze, or reduction on military (civilian) technicians, unless such reductions are a direct result of a reduction in military force structure.

SEC. 8043. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available in this Act may be obligated or expended for assistance to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea unless specifically appropriated for that purpose.

SEC. 8044. Funds appropriated in this Act for operation and maintenance of the Military Departments, Combatant Commands and Defense Agencies shall be available for reimbursement of pay, allowances and other expenses which would otherwise be incurred against appropriations for the National Guard and Reserve when members of the National Guard and Reserve provide intelligence or counterintelligence support to Combatant Commands, Defense Agencies and Joint Intelligence Activities, including the activities and programs included within the National Intelligence Program and the Military Intelligence Program: *Provided*, That nothing in this section authorizes deviation from established Reserve and National Guard personnel and training procedures.

SEC. 8045. During the current fiscal year, none of the funds appropriated in this Act may be used to reduce the civilian medical and medical support personnel assigned to military treatment facilities below the September 30, 2003, level: *Provided*, That the Service Surgeons General may waive this section by certifying to the congressional defense committees that the beneficiary population is declining in some catchment areas and civilian strength reductions may be consistent with responsible resource stewardship and capitation-based budgeting.

SEC. 8046. (a) None of the funds available to the Department of Defense for any fiscal year for drug interdiction or counter-drug activities may be transferred to any other department or agency of the United States except as specifically provided in an appropriations law.

(b) None of the funds available to the Central Intelligence Agency for any fiscal year for drug interdiction and counter-drug activities may be transferred to any other department or agency of the United States except as specifically provided in an appropriations law.

SEC. 8047. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used for the procurement of ball and roller bearings other than those produced by a domestic source and of domestic origin: *Provided*, That the Secretary of the military department responsible for such procurement may waive this restriction on a case-by-case basis by certifying in writing to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate, that adequate domestic supplies are not available to meet Department of Defense requirements on a timely basis and that such an acquisition must be made in order to acquire capability for national security purposes: *Provided further*, That this restriction shall not apply to the purchase of "commercial items", as defined by section 4(12) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act, except that the restriction shall apply to ball or roller bearings purchased as end items.

SEC. 8048. None of the funds in this Act may be used to purchase any supercomputer which is not manufactured in the United States, unless the Secretary of Defense cer-

tifies to the congressional defense committees that such an acquisition must be made in order to acquire capability for national security purposes that is not available from United States manufacturers.

SEC. 8049. None of the funds made available in this or any other Act may be used to pay the salary of any officer or employee of the Department of Defense who approves or implements the transfer of administrative responsibilities or budgetary resources of any program, project, or activity financed by this Act to the jurisdiction of another Federal agency not financed by this Act without the express authorization of Congress: *Provided*, That this limitation shall not apply to transfers of funds expressly provided for in Defense Appropriations Acts, or provisions of Acts providing supplemental appropriations for the Department of Defense.

SEC. 8050. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, none of the funds available to the Department of Defense for the current fiscal year may be obligated or expended to transfer to another nation or an international organization any defense articles or services (other than intelligence services) for use in the activities described in subsection (b) unless the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate are notified 15 days in advance of such transfer.

(b) This section applies to—

(1) any international peacekeeping or peace-enforcement operation under the authority of chapter VI or chapter VII of the United Nations Charter under the authority of a United Nations Security Council resolution; and

(2) any other international peacekeeping, peace-enforcement, or humanitarian assistance operation.

(c) A notice under subsection (a) shall include the following—

(1) A description of the equipment, supplies, or services to be transferred.

(2) A statement of the value of the equipment, supplies, or services to be transferred.

(3) In the case of a proposed transfer of equipment or supplies—

(A) a statement of whether the inventory requirements of all elements of the Armed Forces (including the reserve components) for the type of equipment or supplies to be transferred have been met; and

(B) a statement of whether the items proposed to be transferred will have to be replaced and, if so, how the President proposes to provide funds for such replacement.

SEC. 8051. None of the funds available to the Department of Defense under this Act shall be obligated or expended to pay a contractor under a contract with the Department of Defense for costs of any amount paid by the contractor to an employee when—

(1) such costs are for a bonus or otherwise in excess of the normal salary paid by the contractor to the employee; and

(2) such bonus is part of restructuring costs associated with a business combination.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 8052. During the current fiscal year, no more than \$30,000,000 of appropriations made in this Act under the heading "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" may be transferred to appropriations available for the pay of military personnel, to be merged with, and to be available for the same time period as the appropriations to which transferred, to be used in support of such personnel in connection with support and services for eligible organizations and activities

outside the Department of Defense pursuant to section 2012 of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 8053. During the current fiscal year, in the case of an appropriation account of the Department of Defense for which the period of availability for obligation has expired or which has closed under the provisions of section 1552 of title 31, United States Code, and which has a negative unliquidated or unexpended balance, an obligation or an adjustment of an obligation may be charged to any current appropriation account for the same purpose as the expired or closed account if—

(1) the obligation would have been properly chargeable (except as to amount) to the expired or closed account before the end of the period of availability or closing of that account;

(2) the obligation is not otherwise properly chargeable to any current appropriation account of the Department of Defense; and

(3) in the case of an expired account, the obligation is not chargeable to a current appropriation of the Department of Defense under the provisions of section 1405(b)(8) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991, Public Law 101-510, as amended (31 U.S.C. 1551 note): *Provided*, That in the case of an expired account, if subsequent review or investigation discloses that there was not in fact a negative unliquidated or unexpended balance in the account, any charge to a current account under the authority of this section shall be reversed and recorded against the expired account: *Provided further*, That the total amount charged to a current appropriation under this section may not exceed an amount equal to 1 percent of the total appropriation for that account.

SEC. 8054. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Chief of the National Guard Bureau may permit the use of equipment of the National Guard Distance Learning Project by any person or entity on a space-available, reimbursable basis. The Chief of the National Guard Bureau shall establish the amount of reimbursement for such use on a case-by-case basis.

(b) Amounts collected under subsection (a) shall be credited to funds available for the National Guard Distance Learning Project and be available to defray the costs associated with the use of equipment of the project under that subsection. Such funds shall be available for such purposes without fiscal year limitation.

SEC. 8055. Using funds available by this Act or any other Act, the Secretary of the Air Force, pursuant to a determination under section 2690 of title 10, United States Code, may implement cost-effective agreements for required heating facility modernization in the Kaiserslautern Military Community in the Federal Republic of Germany: *Provided*, That in the City of Kaiserslautern and at the Rhine Ordnance Barracks area, such agreements will include the use of United States anthracite as the base load energy for municipal district heat to the United States Defense installations: *Provided further*, That at Landstuhl Army Regional Medical Center and Ramstein Air Base, furnished heat may be obtained from private, regional or municipal services, if provisions are included for the consideration of United States coal as an energy source.

SEC. 8056. None of the funds appropriated in title IV of this Act may be used to procure end-items for delivery to military forces for operational training, operational use or inventory requirements: *Provided*, That this restriction does not apply to end-items used in development, prototyping, and test activi-

ties preceding and leading to acceptance for operational use: *Provided further*, That this restriction does not apply to programs funded within the National Intelligence Program: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Defense may waive this restriction on a case-by-case basis by certifying in writing to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate that it is in the national security interest to do so.

SEC. 8057. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to approve or license the sale of the F-22A advanced tactical fighter to any foreign government: *Provided*, That the Department of Defense may conduct or participate in studies, research, design and other activities to define and develop a future export version of the F-22A that protects classified and sensitive information, technologies and U.S. warfighting capabilities.

SEC. 8058. (a) The Secretary of Defense may, on a case-by-case basis, waive with respect to a foreign country each limitation on the procurement of defense items from foreign sources provided in law if the Secretary determines that the application of the limitation with respect to that country would invalidate cooperative programs entered into between the Department of Defense and the foreign country, or would invalidate reciprocal trade agreements for the procurement of defense items entered into under section 2531 of title 10, United States Code, and the country does not discriminate against the same or similar defense items produced in the United States for that country.

(b) Subsection (a) applies with respect to—

(1) contracts and subcontracts entered into on or after the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(2) options for the procurement of items that are exercised after such date under contracts that are entered into before such date if the option prices are adjusted for any reason other than the application of a waiver granted under subsection (a).

(c) Subsection (a) does not apply to a limitation regarding construction of public vessels, ball and roller bearings, food, and clothing or textile materials as defined by section 11 (chapters 50–65) of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule and products classified under headings 4010, 4202, 4203, 6401 through 6406, 6505, 7019, 7218 through 7229, 7304.41 through 7304.49, 7306.40, 7502 through 7508, 8105, 8108, 8109, 8211, 8215, and 9404.

SEC. 8059. (a) None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to support any training program involving a unit of the security forces or police of a foreign country if the Secretary of Defense has received credible information from the Department of State that the unit has committed a gross violation of human rights, unless all necessary corrective steps have been taken.

(b) The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, shall ensure that prior to a decision to conduct any training program referred to in subsection (a), full consideration is given to all credible information available to the Department of State relating to human rights violations by foreign security forces.

(c) The Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the Secretary of State, may waive the prohibition in subsection (a) if he determines that such waiver is required by extraordinary circumstances.

(d) Not more than 15 days after the exercise of any waiver under subsection (c), the Secretary of Defense shall submit a report to the congressional defense committees describing the extraordinary circumstances,

the purpose and duration of the training program, the United States forces and the foreign security forces involved in the training program, and the information relating to human rights violations that necessitates the waiver.

SEC. 8060. None of the funds appropriated or made available in this Act to the Department of the Navy shall be used to develop, lease or procure the T-AKE class of ships unless the main propulsion diesel engines and propulsors are manufactured in the United States by a domestically operated entity: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Defense may waive this restriction on a case-by-case basis by certifying in writing to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate that adequate domestic supplies are not available to meet Department of Defense requirements on a timely basis and that such an acquisition must be made in order to acquire capability for national security purposes or there exists a significant cost or quality difference.

SEC. 8061. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this or other Department of Defense Appropriations Acts may be obligated or expended for the purpose of performing repairs or maintenance to military family housing units of the Department of Defense, including areas in such military family housing units that may be used for the purpose of conducting official Department of Defense business.

SEC. 8062. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds appropriated in this Act under the heading “Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide” for any new start advanced concept technology demonstration project or joint capability demonstration project may only be obligated 30 days after a report, including a description of the project, the planned acquisition and transition strategy and its estimated annual and total cost, has been provided in writing to the congressional defense committees: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Defense may waive this restriction on a case-by-case basis by certifying to the congressional defense committees that it is in the national interest to do so.

SEC. 8063. The Secretary of Defense shall provide a classified quarterly report beginning 30 days after enactment of this Act, to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees, Subcommittees on Defense on certain matters as directed in the classified annex accompanying this Act.

SEC. 8064. During the current fiscal year, none of the funds available to the Department of Defense may be used to provide support to another department or agency of the United States if such department or agency is more than 90 days in arrears in making payment to the Department of Defense for goods or services previously provided to such department or agency on a reimbursable basis: *Provided*, That this restriction shall not apply if the department is authorized by law to provide support to such department or agency on a nonreimbursable basis, and is providing the requested support pursuant to such authority: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Defense may waive this restriction on a case-by-case basis by certifying in writing to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate that it is in the national security interest to do so.

SEC. 8065. Notwithstanding section 12310(b) of title 10, United States Code, a Reserve who is a member of the National Guard serving on full-time National Guard duty under section 502(f) of title 32, United States Code,

may perform duties in support of the ground-based elements of the National Ballistic Missile Defense System.

SEC. 8066. None of the funds provided in this Act may be used to transfer to any non-governmental entity ammunition held by the Department of Defense that has a center-fire cartridge and a United States military nomenclature designation of "armor penetrator", "armor piercing (AP)", "armor piercing incendiary (API)", or "armor-piercing incendiary tracer (API-T)", except to an entity performing demilitarization services for the Department of Defense under a contract that requires the entity to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Department of Defense that armor piercing projectiles are either: (1) rendered incapable of reuse by the demilitarization process; or (2) used to manufacture ammunition pursuant to a contract with the Department of Defense or the manufacture of ammunition for export pursuant to a License for Permanent Export of Unclassified Military Articles issued by the Department of State.

SEC. 8067. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Chief of the National Guard Bureau, or his designee, may waive payment of all or part of the consideration that otherwise would be required under section 2667 of title 10, United States Code, in the case of a lease of personal property for a period not in excess of 1 year to any organization specified in section 508(d) of title 32, United States Code, or any other youth, social, or fraternal nonprofit organization as may be approved by the Chief of the National Guard Bureau, or his designee, on a case-by-case basis.

SEC. 8068. None of the funds appropriated by this Act shall be used for the support of any nonappropriated funds activity of the Department of Defense that procures malt beverages and wine with nonappropriated funds for resale (including such alcoholic beverages sold by the drink) on a military installation located in the United States unless such malt beverages and wine are procured within that State, or in the case of the District of Columbia, within the District of Columbia, in which the military installation is located: *Provided*, That in a case in which the military installation is located in more than one State, purchases may be made in any State in which the installation is located: *Provided further*, That such local procurement requirements for malt beverages and wine shall apply to all alcoholic beverages only for military installations in States which are not contiguous with another State: *Provided further*, That alcoholic beverages other than wine and malt beverages, in contiguous States and the District of Columbia shall be procured from the most competitive source, price and other factors considered.

SEC. 8069. Funds available to the Department of Defense for the Global Positioning System during the current fiscal year, and hereafter, may be used to fund civil requirements associated with the satellite and ground control segments of such system's modernization program.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 8070. Of the amounts appropriated in this Act under the heading "Operation and Maintenance, Army", \$147,258,300 shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Defense is authorized to transfer such funds to other activities of the Federal Government: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Defense is authorized to enter into and carry out contracts for the

acquisition of real property, construction, personal services, and operations related to projects carrying out the purposes of this section: *Provided further*, That contracts entered into under the authority of this section may provide for such indemnification as the Secretary determines to be necessary: *Provided further*, That projects authorized by this section shall comply with applicable Federal, State, and local law to the maximum extent consistent with the national security, as determined by the Secretary of Defense.

SEC. 8071. Section 8106 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1997 (titles I through VIII of the matter under subsection 101(b) of Public Law 104-208; 110 Stat. 3009-111; 10 U.S.C. 113 note) shall continue in effect to apply to disbursements that are made by the Department of Defense in fiscal year 2011.

SEC. 8072. In addition to amounts provided elsewhere in this Act, \$4,000,000 is hereby appropriated to the Department of Defense, to remain available for obligation until expended: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, these funds shall be available only for a grant to the Fisher House Foundation, Inc., only for the construction and furnishing of additional Fisher Houses to meet the needs of military family members when confronted with the illness or hospitalization of an eligible military beneficiary.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 8073. Of the amounts appropriated in this Act under the headings "Procurement, Defense-Wide" and "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide", \$415,115,000 shall be for the Israeli Cooperative Programs: *Provided*, That of this amount, \$205,000,000 shall be for the Secretary of Defense to provide to the Government of Israel for the procurement of the Iron Dome defense system to counter short-range rocket threats, \$84,722,000 shall be for the Short Range Ballistic Missile Defense (SRBMD) program, including cruise missile defense research and development under the SRBMD program, \$58,966,000 shall be available for an upper-tier component to the Israeli Missile Defense Architecture, and \$66,427,000 shall be for the Arrow System Improvement Program including development of a long range, ground and airborne, detection suite, of which \$12,000,000 shall be for producing Arrow missile components in the United States and Arrow missile components in Israel to meet Israel's defense requirements, consistent with each nation's laws, regulations and procedures: *Provided further*, That funds made available under this provision for production of missiles and missile components may be transferred to appropriations available for the procurement of weapons and equipment, to be merged with and to be available for the same time period and the same purposes as the appropriation to which transferred: *Provided further*, That the transfer authority provided under this provision is in addition to any other transfer authority contained in this Act.

SEC. 8074. None of the funds available to the Department of Defense may be obligated to modify command and control relationships to give Fleet Forces Command administrative and operational control of U.S. Navy forces assigned to the Pacific fleet: *Provided*, That the command and control relationships which existed on October 1, 2004, shall remain in force unless changes are specifically authorized in a subsequent Act.

SEC. 8075. Notwithstanding any other provision of law or regulation, the Secretary of

Defense may exercise the provisions of section 7403(g) of title 38, United States Code, for occupations listed in section 7403(a)(2) of title 38, United States Code, as well as the following:

Pharmacists, Audiologists, Psychologists, Social Workers, Othotists/Prosthetists, Occupational Therapists, Physical Therapists, Rehabilitation Therapists, Respiratory Therapists, Speech Pathologists, Dietitian/Nutritionists, Industrial Hygienists, Psychology Technicians, Social Service Assistants, Practical Nurses, Nursing Assistants, and Dental Hygienists:

(A) The requirements of section 7403(g)(1)(A) of title 38, United States Code, shall apply.

(B) The limitations of section 7403(g)(1)(B) of title 38, United States Code, shall not apply.

SEC. 8076. Funds appropriated by this Act, or made available by the transfer of funds in this Act, for intelligence activities are deemed to be specifically authorized by the Congress for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 414) during fiscal year 2011 until the enactment of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011.

SEC. 8077. None of the funds provided in this Act shall be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming of funds that creates or initiates a new program, project, or activity unless such program, project, or activity must be undertaken immediately in the interest of national security and only after written prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

SEC. 8078. In addition to funds made available elsewhere in this Act, \$5,500,000 is hereby appropriated and shall remain available until expended to provide assistance, by grant or otherwise (such as the provision of funds for information technology and textbook purchases, professional development for educators, and student transition support) to public schools in states that are considered overseas assignments with unusually high concentrations of special needs military dependents enrolled: *Provided*, That up to 2 percent of the total appropriated funds under this section shall be available for the administration and execution of the programs and/or events that promote the purpose of this appropriation: *Provided further*, That up to 5 percent of the total appropriated funds under this section shall be available to public schools that have entered into a military partnership: *Provided further*, That \$1,000,000 shall be available for a nonprofit trust fund to assist in the public-private funding of public school repair and maintenance projects: *Provided further*, That \$500,000 shall be available to fund an ongoing special education support program in public schools with unusually high concentrations of active duty military dependents enrolled: *Provided further*, That to the extent a Federal agency provides this assistance by contract, grant, or otherwise, it may accept and expend non-Federal funds in combination with these Federal funds to provide assistance for the authorized purpose.

SEC. 8079. (a) In addition to the amounts provided elsewhere in this Act, \$3,200,000 is hereby appropriated to the Department of Defense for "Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard". Such amount shall be made available to the Secretary of the Army only to make a grant in the amount of \$3,200,000 to the entity specified in subsection (b) to facilitate access by veterans to opportunities for skilled employment in the construction industry.

(b) The entity referred to in subsection (a) is the Center for Military Recruitment, Assessment and Veterans Employment, a non-profit labor-management cooperation committee provided for by section 302(c)(9) of the Labor-Management Relations Act, 1947 (29 U.S.C. 186(c)(9)), for the purposes set forth in section 6(b) of the Labor Management Cooperation Act of 1978 (29 U.S.C. 175a note).

SEC. 8080. The budget of the President for fiscal year 2012 submitted to the Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, shall include separate budget justification documents for costs of United States Armed Forces' participation in contingency operations for the Military Personnel accounts, the Operation and Maintenance accounts, and the Procurement accounts: *Provided*, That these documents shall include a description of the funding requested for each contingency operation, for each military service, to include all Active and Reserve components, and for each appropriations account: *Provided further*, That these documents shall include estimated costs for each element of expense or object class, a reconciliation of increases and decreases for each contingency operation, and programmatic data including, but not limited to, troop strength for each Active and Reserve component, and estimates of the major weapons systems deployed in support of each contingency: *Provided further*, That these documents shall include budget exhibits OP-5 and OP-32 (as defined in the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation) for all contingency operations for the budget year and the two preceding fiscal years.

SEC. 8081. None of the funds in this Act may be used for research, development, test, evaluation, procurement or deployment of nuclear armed interceptors of a missile defense system.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 8082. In addition to the amounts appropriated or otherwise made available elsewhere in this Act, \$65,200,000 is hereby appropriated to the Department of Defense: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Defense shall make grants in the amounts specified as follows: \$20,000,000 to the United Service Organizations; \$24,000,000 to the Red Cross; \$1,200,000 to the Special Olympics; and \$20,000,000 to the Youth Mentoring Grants Program: *Provided further*, That funds available in this section for the Youth Mentoring Grants Program may be available for transfer to the Department of Justice Youth Mentoring Grants Program.

SEC. 8083. None of the funds appropriated or made available in this Act shall be used to reduce or disestablish the operation of the 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron of the Air Force Reserve, if such action would reduce the WC-130 Weather Reconnaissance mission below the levels funded in this Act: *Provided*, That the Air Force shall allow the 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron to perform other missions in support of national defense requirements during the non-hurricane season.

SEC. 8084. None of the funds provided in this Act shall be available for integration of foreign intelligence information unless the information has been lawfully collected and processed during the conduct of authorized foreign intelligence activities: *Provided*, That information pertaining to United States persons shall only be handled in accordance with protections provided in the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution as implemented through Executive Order No. 12333.

SEC. 8085. (a) At the time members of reserve components of the Armed Forces are called or ordered to active duty under section 12302(a) of title 10, United States Code, each member shall be notified in writing of the expected period during which the member will be mobilized.

(b) The Secretary of Defense may waive the requirements of subsection (a) in any case in which the Secretary determines that it is necessary to do so to respond to a national security emergency or to meet dire operational requirements of the Armed Forces.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 8086. The Secretary of Defense may transfer funds from any available Department of the Navy appropriation to any available Navy ship construction appropriation for the purpose of liquidating necessary changes resulting from inflation, market fluctuations, or rate adjustments for any ship construction program appropriated in law: *Provided*, That the Secretary may transfer not to exceed \$100,000,000 under the authority provided by this section: *Provided further*, That the Secretary may not transfer any funds until 30 days after the proposed transfer has been reported to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate, unless a response from the Committees is received sooner: *Provided further*, That any funds transferred pursuant to this section shall retain the same period of availability as when originally appropriated: *Provided further*, That the transfer authority provided by this section is in addition to any other transfer authority contained elsewhere in this Act.

SEC. 8087. For purposes of section 612 of title 41, United States Code, any subdivision of appropriations made under the heading "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" that is not closed at the time reimbursement is made shall be available to reimburse the Judgment Fund and shall be considered for the same purposes as any subdivision under the heading "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" appropriations in the current fiscal year or any prior fiscal year.

SEC. 8088. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to transfer research and development, acquisition, or other program authority relating to current tactical unmanned aerial vehicles (TUAVs) from the Army.

(b) The Army shall retain responsibility for and operational control of the MQ-1C Sky Warrior Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) in order to support the Secretary of Defense in matters relating to the employment of unmanned aerial vehicles.

SEC. 8089. Of the funds provided in this Act, \$7,080,000 shall be available for the operations and development of training and technology for the Joint Interagency Training and Education Center and the affiliated Center for National Response at the Memorial Tunnel and for providing homeland defense/security and traditional warfighting training to the Department of Defense, other Federal agencies, and State and local first responder personnel at the Joint Interagency Training and Education Center.

SEC. 8090. Notwithstanding any other provision of law or regulation, during the current fiscal year and hereafter, the Secretary of Defense may adjust wage rates for civilian employees hired for certain health care occupations as authorized for the Secretary of Veterans Affairs by section 7455 of title 38, United States Code.

SEC. 8091. Up to \$15,000,000 of the funds appropriated under the heading "Operation and

Maintenance, Navy" may be made available for the Asia Pacific Regional Initiative Program for the purpose of enabling the Pacific Command to execute Theater Security Cooperation activities such as humanitarian assistance, and payment of incremental and personnel costs of training and exercising with foreign security forces: *Provided*, That funds made available for this purpose may be used, notwithstanding any other funding authorities for humanitarian assistance, security assistance or combined exercise expenses: *Provided further*, That funds may not be obligated to provide assistance to any foreign country that is otherwise prohibited from receiving such type of assistance under any other provision of law.

SEC. 8092. None of the funds appropriated by this Act for programs of the Office of the Director of National Intelligence shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year, except for funds appropriated for research and technology, which shall remain available until September 30, 2012.

SEC. 8093. For purposes of section 1553(b) of title 31, United States Code, any subdivision of appropriations made in this Act under the heading "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" shall be considered to be for the same purpose as any subdivision under the heading "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" appropriations in any prior fiscal year, and the 1 percent limitation shall apply to the total amount of the appropriation.

SEC. 8094. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, not more than 35 percent of funds provided in this Act for environmental remediation may be obligated under indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity contracts with a total contract value of \$130,000,000 or higher.

SEC. 8095. The Director of National Intelligence shall include the budget exhibits identified in paragraphs (1) and (2) as described in the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation with the congressional budget justification books.

(1) For procurement programs requesting more than \$20,000,000 in any fiscal year, the P-1, Procurement Program; P-5, Cost Analysis; P-5a, Procurement History and Planning; P-21, Production Schedule; and P-40, Budget Item Justification.

(2) For research, development, test and evaluation projects requesting more than \$10,000,000 in any fiscal year, the R-1, RDT&E Program; R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification; R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis; and R-4, RDT&E Program Schedule Profile.

SEC. 8096. The Secretary of Defense shall create a major force program category for space for each future-years defense program of the Department of Defense submitted to Congress under section 221 of title 10, United States Code, during fiscal year 2011. The Secretary of Defense shall designate an official in the Office of the Secretary of Defense to provide overall supervision of the preparation and justification of program recommendations and budget proposals to be included in such major force program category.

SEC. 8097. (a) Not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, the Office of the Director of National Intelligence shall submit a report to the congressional intelligence committees to establish the baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for fiscal year 2011: *Provided*, That the report shall include—

(1) a table for each appropriation with a separate column to display the President's

budget request, adjustments made by Congress, adjustments due to enacted rescissions, if appropriate, and the fiscal year enacted level;

(2) a delineation in the table for each appropriation by Expenditure Center and project; and

(3) an identification of items of special congressional interest.

(b) None of the funds provided for the National Intelligence Program in this Act shall be available for reprogramming or transfer until the report identified in subsection (a) is submitted to the congressional intelligence committees, unless the Director of National Intelligence certifies in writing to the congressional intelligence committees that such reprogramming or transfer is necessary as an emergency requirement.

SEC. 8098. The Director of National Intelligence shall submit to Congress each year, at or about the time that the President's budget is submitted to Congress that year under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, a future-years intelligence program (including associated annexes) reflecting the estimated expenditures and proposed appropriations included in that budget. Any such future-years intelligence program shall cover the fiscal year with respect to which the budget is submitted and at least the four succeeding fiscal years.

SEC. 8099. For the purposes of this Act, the term "congressional intelligence committees" means the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives, and the Subcommittee on Defense of the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.

SEC. 8100. The Department of Defense shall continue to report incremental contingency operations costs for Operation New Dawn and Operation Enduring Freedom on a monthly basis in the Cost of War Execution Report as prescribed in the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation Department of Defense Instruction 7000.14, Volume 12, Chapter 23 "Contingency Operations", Annex 1, dated September 2005.

SEC. 8101. The amounts appropriated in title II of this Act are hereby reduced by \$483,000,000 to reflect excess cash balances in Department of Defense Working Capital Funds, as follows: From "Operation and Maintenance, Army", \$483,000,000.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 8102. During the current fiscal year, not to exceed \$11,000,000 from each of the appropriations made in title II of this Act for "Operation and Maintenance, Army", "Operation and Maintenance, Navy", and "Operation and Maintenance, Air Force" may be transferred by the military department concerned to its central fund established for Fisher Houses and Suites pursuant to section 2493(d) of title 10, United States Code.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 8103. Of the funds appropriated in the Intelligence Community Management Account for the Program Manager for the Information Sharing Environment, \$24,000,000 is available for transfer by the Director of National Intelligence to other departments and agencies for purposes of Government-wide information sharing activities: *Provided*, That funds transferred under this provision are to be merged with and available for the same purposes and time period as the appropriation to which transferred: *Provided further*, That the Office of Management and

Budget must approve any transfers made under this provision.

SEC. 8104. Funds appropriated by this Act for operation and maintenance may be available for the purpose of making remittances to the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund in accordance with the requirements of section 1705 of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 8105. (a) Any agency receiving funds made available in this Act, shall, subject to subsections (b) and (c), post on the public website of that agency any report required to be submitted by the Congress in this or any other Act, upon the determination by the head of the agency that it shall serve the national interest.

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to a report if—

(1) the public posting of the report compromises national security; or

(2) the report contains proprietary information.

(c) The head of the agency posting such report shall do so only after such report has been made available to the requesting Committee or Committees of Congress for no less than 45 days.

SEC. 8106. (a) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be expended for any Federal contract for an amount in excess of \$1,000,000 unless the contractor agrees not to:

(1) enter into any agreement with any of its employees or independent contractors that requires, as a condition of employment, that the employee or independent contractor agree to resolve through arbitration any claim under title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 or any tort related to or arising out of sexual assault or harassment, including assault and battery, intentional infliction of emotional distress, false imprisonment, or negligent hiring, supervision, or retention; or

(2) take any action to enforce any provision of an existing agreement with an employee or independent contractor that mandates that the employee or independent contractor resolve through arbitration any claim under title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 or any tort related to or arising out of sexual assault or harassment, including assault and battery, intentional infliction of emotional distress, false imprisonment, or negligent hiring, supervision, or retention.

(b) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be expended for any Federal contract unless the contractor certifies that it requires each covered subcontractor to agree not to enter into, and not to take any action to enforce any provision of, any agreement as described in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a), with respect to any employee or independent contractor performing work related to such subcontract. For purposes of this subsection, a "covered subcontractor" is an entity that has a subcontract in excess of \$1,000,000 on a contract subject to subsection (a).

(c) The prohibitions in this section do not apply with respect to a contractor's or subcontractor's agreements with employees or independent contractors that may not be enforced in a court of the United States.

(d) The Secretary of Defense may waive the application of subsection (a) or (b) to a particular contractor or subcontractor for the purposes of a particular contract or subcontract if the Secretary or the Deputy Secretary personally determines that the waiver is necessary to avoid harm to national security interests of the United States, and that the term of the contract or subcontract is

not longer than necessary to avoid such harm. The determination shall set forth with specificity the grounds for the waiver and for the contract or subcontract term selected, and shall state any alternatives considered in lieu of a waiver and the reasons each such alternative would not avoid harm to national security interests of the United States. The Secretary of Defense shall transmit to Congress, and simultaneously make public, any determination under this subsection not less than 15 business days before the contract or subcontract addressed in the determination may be awarded.

(e) By March 1, 2011, or within 60 days after enactment of this Act, whichever is later, the Government Accountability Office shall submit a report to the Congress evaluating the effect that the requirements of this section have had on national security, including recommendations, if any, for changes to these requirements.

SEC. 8107. (a) PROHIBITION ON CONVERSION OF FUNCTIONS PERFORMED BY FEDERAL EMPLOYEES TO CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE.—None of the funds appropriated by this Act or otherwise available to the Department of Defense may be used to begin or announce the competition to award to a contractor or convert to performance by a contractor any functions performed by Federal employees pursuant to a study conducted under Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-76.

(b) EXCEPTION.—The prohibition in subsection (a) shall not apply to the award of a function to a contractor or the conversion of a function to performance by a contractor pursuant to a study conducted under Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-76 once all reporting and certifications required by section 325 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84) have been satisfactorily completed.

SEC. 8108. (a)(1) No National Intelligence Program funds appropriated in this Act may be used for a mission critical or mission essential business management information technology system that is not registered with the Director of National Intelligence. A system shall be considered to be registered with that officer upon the furnishing notice of the system, together with such information concerning the system as the Director of the Business Transformation Office may prescribe.

(2) During the current fiscal year no funds may be obligated or expended for a financial management automated information system, a mixed information system supporting financial and non-financial systems, or a business system improvement of more than \$3,000,000, within the Intelligence Community without the approval of the Business Transformation Office, and the designated Intelligence Community functional lead element.

(b) The Director of the Business Transformation Office shall provide the congressional intelligence committees a semi-annual report of approvals under paragraph (1) no later than March 30 and September 30 of each year. The report shall include the results of the Business Transformation Investment Review Board's semi-annual activities, and each report shall certify that the following steps have been taken for systems approved under paragraph (1):

(1) Business process reengineering.

(2) An analysis of alternatives and an economic analysis that includes a calculation of the return on investment.

(3) Assurance the system is compatible with the enterprise-wide business architecture.

(4) Performance measures.

(5) An information assurance strategy consistent with the Chief Information Officer of the Intelligence Community.

(c) This section shall not apply to any programmatic or analytic systems or programmatic or analytic system improvements.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 8109. Of the funds appropriated in this Act for the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, \$50,000,000, may be transferred to appropriations available to the Central Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, and the National Geospatial Intelligence Agency, the Defense Intelligence Agency and the National Reconnaissance Office for the Business Transformation Transfer Funds, to be merged with and to be available for the same time period and the same purposes as the appropriation to which transferred: *Provided*, That the transfer authority provided under this provision is in addition to any other transfer authority contained in this Act.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 8110. In addition to funds made available elsewhere in this Act, there is hereby appropriated \$538,875,000, to remain available until transferred: *Provided*, That these funds are appropriated to the "Tanker Replacement Transfer Fund" (referred to as "the Fund" elsewhere in this section): *Provided further*, That the Secretary of the Air Force may transfer amounts in the Fund to "Operation and Maintenance, Air Force", "Air-craft Procurement, Air Force", and "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force", only for the purposes of proceeding with a tanker acquisition program: *Provided further*, That funds transferred shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes and for the same time period as the appropriations or fund to which transferred: *Provided further*, That this transfer authority is in addition to any other transfer authority available to the Department of Defense: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of the Air Force shall, not fewer than 15 days prior to making transfers using funds provided in this section, notify the congressional defense committees in writing of the details of any such transfer: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall submit a report no later than 30 days after the end of each fiscal quarter to the congressional defense committees summarizing the details of the transfer of funds from this appropriation.

SEC. 8111. (a) Each congressionally directed spending item specified in this Act or the explanatory statement regarding this Act intended for award to a for-profit entity shall be subject to acquisition regulations for full and open competition on the same basis as each spending item intended for a for-profit entity that is contained in the budget request of the President.

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to any contract awarded—

(1) by a means that is required by Federal statute, including for a purchase made under a mandated preferential program;

(2) pursuant to the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 631 et seq.); or

(3) in an amount less than the simplified acquisition threshold described in section 302A(a) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 252a(a)).

(c) In this section, the term "congressionally directed spending item" means a congressionally directed spending item, as defined in Rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 8112. From within the funds appropriated for operation and maintenance for the Defense Health Program in this Act, up to \$132,200,000, shall be available for transfer to the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund in accordance with the provisions of section 1704 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010, Public Law 111-84: *Provided*, That for purposes of section 1704(b), the facility operations funded are operations of the integrated Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, consisting of the North Chicago Veterans Affairs Medical Center, the Navy Ambulatory Care Center, and supporting facilities designated as a combined Federal medical facility as described by section 706 of Public Law 110-417: *Provided further*, That additional funds may be transferred from funds appropriated for operation and maintenance for the Defense Health Program to the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund upon written notification by the Secretary of Defense to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

SEC. 8113. (a) Of the amounts made available in this Act under the heading "Operation and Maintenance, Navy", not less than \$2,000,000, shall be made available for leveraging the Army's Contractor Manpower Reporting Application, modified as appropriate for Service-specific requirements, for documenting the number of full-time contractor employees (or its equivalent) pursuant to United States Code title 10, section 2330a(c) and meeting the requirements of United States Code title 10, section 2330a(e) and United States Code title 10, section 235.

(b) Of the amounts made available in this Act under the heading "Operation and Maintenance, Air Force", not less than \$2,000,000 shall be made available for leveraging the Army's Contractor Manpower Reporting Application, modified as appropriate for Service-specific requirements, for documenting the number of full-time contractor employees (or its equivalent) pursuant to United States Code title 10 section 2330a(c) and meeting the requirements of United States Code title 10, section 2330a(e) and United States Code title 10, section 235.

(c) The Secretaries of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and the Directors of the Defense Agencies and Field Activities (in coordination with the appropriate Principal Staff Assistant), in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, shall report to the congressional defense committees within 60 days of enactment of this Act their plan for documenting the number of full-time contractor employees (or its equivalent), as required by United States Code title 10, section 2330a.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 8114. In addition to amounts provided elsewhere in this Act, there is appropriated \$250,000,000, for an additional amount for "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide", to be available until expended: *Provided*, That such funds shall only be available to the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Office of Economic Adjustment of the Department of Defense, or for transfer to the Secretary of Education, notwithstanding any other provision of law, to make grants, conclude cooperative agreements, or supplement other Federal funds to construct, renovate, repair, or expand elementary and secondary public schools on military installations in order to address capacity or facility condi-

tion deficiencies at such schools: *Provided further*, That in making such funds available, the Office of Economic Adjustment or the Secretary of Education shall give priority consideration to those military installations with schools having the most serious capacity or facility condition deficiencies as determined by the Secretary of Defense.

SEC. 8115. In addition to amounts provided elsewhere in this Act, there is appropriated \$300,000,000, for an additional amount for "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide", to remain available until expended. Such funds may be available for the Office of Economic Adjustment, notwithstanding any other provision of law, for transportation infrastructure improvements associated with medical facilities related to recommendations of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.

SEC. 8116. Section 310(b) of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111-32; 124 Stat. 1871) is amended by striking "1 year" both places it appears and inserting "2 years".

SEC. 8117. The Office of the Director of National Intelligence shall not employ more Senior Executive employees than are specified in the classified annex: *Provided*, That not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall certify that the Office of the Director of National Intelligence selects individuals for Senior Executive positions in a manner consistent with statutes, regulations, and the requirements of other Federal agencies in making such appointments and will submit its policies and procedures related to the appointment of personnel to Senior Executive positions to the congressional intelligence oversight committees.

SEC. 8118. For all major defense acquisition programs for which the Department of Defense plans to proceed to source selection during the current fiscal year, the Secretary of Defense shall perform an assessment of the winning bidder to determine whether or not the proposed costs are realistic and reasonable with respect to proposed development and production costs. The Secretary of Defense shall provide a report of these assessments, to specifically include whether any cost assessments determined that such proposed costs were unreasonable or unrealistic, to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act and on a quarterly basis thereafter.

SEC. 8119. (a) The Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Installations and Environment, in collaboration with the Secretary of Energy, shall conduct energy security pilot projects at facilities of the Department of Defense.

(b) In addition to the amounts provided elsewhere in this Act, \$20,000,000, is appropriated to the Department of Defense for "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" for energy security pilot projects under subsection (a).

SEC. 8120. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be obligated or expended to pay a retired general or flag officer to serve as a senior mentor advising the Department of Defense unless such retired officer files a Standard Form 278 (or successor form concerning public financial disclosure under part 2634 of title 5, Code of Federal Regulations) to the Office of Government Ethics.

SEC. 8121. Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, the Chief of the Air Force Reserve, and the Director of the National

Guard Bureau, in collaboration with the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of the Interior, shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate, the House Committee on Agriculture, the Senate Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition and Forestry, the House Committee on Natural Resources, and the Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources a report of firefighting aviation assets. The report required under this section shall include each of the following:

(1) A description of the programming details necessary to obtain an appropriate mix of fixed wing and rotor wing firefighting assets needed to produce an effective aviation resource base to support the wildland fire management program into the future. Such programming details shall include the acquisition and contracting needs of the mix of aviation resources fleet, including the acquisition of up to 24 C-130Js equipped with the Mobile Airborne Fire Fighting System II (in this section referred to as "MAFFS"), to be acquired over several fiscal years starting in fiscal year 2012.

(2) The costs associated with acquisition and contracting of the aviation assets described in paragraph (1).

(3) A description of the costs of the operation, maintenance, and sustainment of a fixed and rotor wing aviation fleet, including a C-130J/MAFFS II in an Air National Guard tactical airlift unit construct of 4, 6, or 8 C-130Js per unit starting in fiscal year 2012, projected out through fiscal year 2020. Such description shall include the projected costs associated with each of the following through fiscal year 2020:

(A) Crew ratio based on 4, 6, or 8 C-130J Air National Guard unit construct and requirement for full-time equivalent crews.

(B) Associated maintenance and other support personnel and requirement for full-time equivalent positions.

(C) Yearly flying hour model and the cost for use of a fixed and rotor wing aviation fleet, including C-130J in its MAFFS capacity supporting the United States Forest Service.

(D) Yearly flying hour model and cost for use of a C-130J in its capacity supporting Air National Guard tactical airlift training.

(E) Any other costs required to conduct both the airlift and firefighting missions, including the Air National Guard unit construct for C-130Js.

(4) Proposed program management, utilization, and cost share arrangements for the aircraft described in paragraph (1) for primary support of the Forest Service and secondary support, on an as available basis, for the Department of Defense, together with any proposed statutory language needed to authorize and effectuate the same.

(5) An integrated plan for the Forest Service and the Department of the Interior wildland fire management programs to operate the fire fighting air tanker assets referred to in this section.

SEC. 8122. In addition to the amounts appropriated or otherwise made available elsewhere in this Act, \$41,400,000 is hereby appropriated to the Department of Defense: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Defense shall make grants in the amounts specified as follows: \$6,400,000 to the SOAR Virtual School District; \$7,800,000 to the New Jersey Technology Solutions Center; \$8,000,000 to the Edward M. Kennedy Institute for the United States Senate; \$10,000,000 to the John P. Murtha Foundation; \$1,040,000 to the Women in Military Service for American Memorial Foundation; \$8,000,000 to the Paralympics

Military Program; and \$160,000 to the Online Tax Preparation Assistance for Servicemembers.

SEC. 8123. Subject to the availability of appropriations, the Secretary of the Navy may award a contract or contracts for up to 20 Littoral Combat Ships (LCS).

SEC. 8124. Section 115 of the Miscellaneous Appropriations and Offsets Act, 2004 (division H of Public Law 108-199; 118 Stat. 439), as amended by section 1017 of the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act for Defense, the Global War on Terror, and Tsunami Relief, 2005 (Public Law 109-13; 119 Stat. 250), is amended by striking all after "company" through "requirements." and inserting ", of ocean going commercial vessels of 20,000 dwt or greater capable of supporting military sealift requirements."

SEC. 8125. Of the funds provided in this Act, \$3,600,000 shall be available for the operations and development of training and technology for the Columbia Geospatial Center and the affiliated universities for providing mapping information in support of emergency planning and response, economic development and resource management: *Provided*, That this funding will provide homeland defense/security and traditional warfighting training to the Department of Defense, other Federal agencies, and State and local first responder agencies and personnel: *Provided further*, That this funding is also available to pay for services provided to other Federal agencies and State and local first responder agencies and personnel by the Columbia Geospatial Center and the affiliated universities for service rendered between October 1, 2009 and September 30, 2010.

SEC. 8126. The authority provided by section 1222(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2532), shall continue in effect through September 30, 2011.

SEC. 8127. The authority provided by section 1234 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2532) shall continue in effect through the earlier of the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 or December 31, 2011.

SEC. 8128. The authority provided by section 1224 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2521) shall continue in effect through the earlier of the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 or December 31, 2011.

SEC. 8129. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, during fiscal year 2011 up to \$75,000,000 of funds made available for operation and maintenance in this Act may be obligated and expended for purposes of building the capacity of Yemeni Ministry of Interior forces to conduct counterterrorism operations, subject to the direction and control of the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Defense shall, not fewer than 15 days prior to providing assistance under this section, submit to the congressional defense committees a notice setting forth the assistance to be provided, including the types of such assistance, the budget for such assistance, and the completion date for the provision of such assistance.

SEC. 8130. The authority provided by section 1014 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4577; 10 U.S.C. 184 note) is amended by striking "fiscal years 2009 and 2010" and inserting "fiscal years 2009 through 2011."

SEC. 8131. Section 8905a(d)(4)(B) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in clause (i), by striking "October 1, 2010" and inserting "December 31, 2011"; and

(2) in clause (ii)—

(A) by striking "February 1, 2011" and inserting "February 1, 2012"; and

(B) by striking "October 1, 2010" and inserting "December 31, 2011".

SEC. 8132. The authority provided by section 1021 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375; 118 Stat. 2042), as amended by section 1011 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2441), shall continue in effect through the earlier of the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 or September 30, 2011.

SEC. 8133. The authority provided by section 1022 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108-136; 10 U.S.C. 371 note), as amended by section 1012 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2441), shall continue in effect through the earlier of the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 or September 30, 2011.

SEC. 8134. The authority provided by section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105-85), as amended by section 1014 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2442), shall continue in effect through the earlier of the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 or September 30, 2011.

SEC. 8135. The authority provided by sections 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, and 616 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84) shall continue in effect through the earlier of the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 or December 31, 2011.

SEC. 8136. The authority provided by section 631 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) shall continue in effect through the earlier of the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 or December 31, 2011.

SEC. 8137. The authority provided by section 1071 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84) shall continue in effect through the earlier of the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 or December 31, 2011.

SEC. 8138. The authority provided by section 931 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364) shall continue in effect through the earlier of the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 or December 31, 2011.

SEC. 8139. The authority provided by section 1106 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84) shall continue in effect through the earlier of the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 or December 31, 2011.

SEC. 8140. (a) EXTENSION OF WAIVER.—Paragraph (1) of section 941(b) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4577; 10 U.S.C. 184 note) is amended by striking "fiscal years 2009 and 2010" and inserting "fiscal years 2009 through 2011."

(b) ANNUAL REPORT.—Paragraph (3) of such section 941(b) is amended by striking "in 2010

and 2011" and inserting "in each year through 2012."

SEC. 8141. Subsection (a) of section 2808 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (division B of Public Law 108-136; 117 Stat. 1723), as amended by section 2806 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (division B of Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2660), shall continue in effect through September 30, 2011.

TITLE IX

OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

MILITARY PERSONNEL

MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

For an additional amount for "Military Personnel, Army", \$11,468,033,000.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

For an additional amount for "Military Personnel, Navy", \$1,308,719,000.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

For an additional amount for "Military Personnel, Marine Corps", \$732,920,000.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

For an additional amount for "Military Personnel, Air Force", \$2,060,442,000.

RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY

For an additional amount for "Reserve Personnel, Army", \$268,031,000.

RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY

For an additional amount for "Reserve Personnel, Navy", \$48,912,000.

RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

For an additional amount for "Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps", \$45,437,000.

RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

For an additional amount for "Reserve Personnel, Air Force", \$27,002,000.

NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

For an additional amount for "National Guard Personnel, Army", \$853,022,000.

NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

For an additional amount for "National Guard Personnel, Air Force", \$16,860,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

For an additional amount for "Operation and Maintenance, Army", \$59,212,782,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

For an additional amount for "Operation and Maintenance, Navy", \$8,970,724,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

For an additional amount for "Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps", \$4,008,022,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

For an additional amount for "Operation and Maintenance, Air Force", \$12,989,643,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

For an additional amount for "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide", \$9,276,990,000, of which:

(1) Not to exceed \$12,500,000 for the Combatant Commander Initiative Fund, to be used in support of Operation New Dawn and Operation Enduring Freedom; and

(2) Not to exceed \$1,600,000,000, to remain available until expended, for payments to reimburse key cooperating nations for logistical, military, and other support, including access provided to United States military operations in support of Operation New Dawn and Operation Enduring Freedom, notwithstanding any other provision of law: *Provided*, That such reimbursement payments may be made in such amounts as the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence

of the Secretary of State, and in consultation with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, may determine, in his discretion, based on documentation determined by the Secretary of Defense to adequately account for the support provided, and such determination is final and conclusive upon the accounting officers of the United States, and 15 days following notification to the appropriate congressional committees: *Provided further*, That the requirement to provide notification shall not apply with respect to a reimbursement for access based on an international agreement: *Provided further*, That these funds may be used for the purpose of providing specialized training and procuring supplies and specialized equipment and providing such supplies and loaning such equipment on a non-reimbursable basis to coalition forces supporting United States military operations in Iraq and Afghanistan, and 15 days following notification to the appropriate congressional committees: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Defense shall provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees on the use of funds provided in this paragraph.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

RESERVE

For an additional amount for "Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve", \$206,784,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE

For an additional amount for "Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve", \$93,559,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

RESERVE

For an additional amount for "Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve", \$29,685,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

RESERVE

For an additional amount for "Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve", \$203,807,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

NATIONAL GUARD

For an additional amount for "Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard", \$497,849,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

For an additional amount for "Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard", \$417,983,000.

AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

There is hereby established in the Treasury of the United States the "Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund". For the "Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund", \$400,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That such sums shall be available for infrastructure projects in Afghanistan, notwithstanding any other provision of law, which shall be undertaken by the Secretary of State, unless the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense jointly decide that a specific project will be undertaken by the Department of Defense: *Provided further*, That the infrastructure referred to in the preceding proviso is in support of the counterinsurgency strategy, requiring funding for facility and infrastructure projects, including, but not limited to, water, power, and transportation projects and related maintenance and sustainment costs: *Provided further*, That the authority to undertake such infrastructure projects is in addition to any other authority to provide assistance to for-

eign nations: *Provided further*, That any projects funded by this appropriation shall be jointly formulated and concurred in by the Secretary of State and Secretary of Defense: *Provided further*, That funds may be transferred to the Department of State for purposes of undertaking projects, which funds shall be considered to be economic assistance under the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 for purposes of making available the administrative authorities contained in that Act: *Provided further*, That the transfer authority in the preceding proviso is in addition to any other authority available to the Department of Defense to transfer funds: *Provided further*, That any unexpended funds transferred to the Secretary of State under this authority shall be returned to the Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund if the Secretary of State, in coordination with the Secretary of Defense, determines that the project cannot be implemented for any reason, or that the project no longer supports the counterinsurgency strategy in Afghanistan: *Provided further*, That any funds returned to the Secretary of Defense under the previous proviso shall be available for use under this appropriation and shall be treated in the same manner as funds not transferred to the Secretary of State: *Provided further*, That contributions of funds for the purposes provided herein to the Secretary of State in accordance with section 635(d) of the Foreign Assistance Act from any person, foreign government, or international organization may be credited to this Fund, to remain available until expended, and used for such purposes: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Defense shall, not fewer than 15 days prior to making transfers to or from, or obligations from the Fund, notify the appropriate committees of Congress in writing of the details of any such transfer: *Provided further*, That the "appropriate committees of Congress" are the Committees on Armed Services, Foreign Relations and Appropriations of the Senate and the Committees on Armed Services, Foreign Affairs and Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND

For the "Afghanistan Security Forces Fund", \$11,619,283,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That such funds shall be available to the Secretary of Defense, notwithstanding any other provision of law, for the purpose of allowing the Commander, Combined Security Transition Command—Afghanistan, or the Secretary's designee, to provide assistance, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to the security forces of Afghanistan, including the provision of equipment, supplies, services, training, facility and infrastructure repair, renovation, and construction, and funding: *Provided further*, That the authority to provide assistance under this heading is in addition to any other authority to provide assistance to foreign nations: *Provided further*, That up to \$15,000,000 of these funds may be available for coalition police trainer life support costs: *Provided further*, That contributions of funds for the purposes provided herein from any person, foreign government, or international organization may be credited to this Fund and used for such purposes: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Defense shall notify the congressional defense committees in writing upon the receipt and upon the obligation of any contribution, delineating the sources and amounts of the funds received and the specific use of such contributions: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Defense shall, not fewer than 15

days prior to obligating from this appropriation account, notify the congressional defense committees in writing of the details of any such obligation: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Defense shall notify the congressional defense committees of any proposed new projects or transfer of funds between budget sub-activity groups in excess of \$20,000,000.

IRAQ SECURITY FORCES FUND

For the "Iraq Security Forces Fund", \$1,500,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That such funds shall be available to the Secretary of Defense, notwithstanding any other provision of law, for the purpose of allowing the Commander, United States Forces-Iraq, or the Secretary's designee, to provide assistance, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to the security forces of Iraq, including the provision of equipment, supplies, services, training, facility and infrastructure repair, and renovation: *Provided further*, That the authority to provide assistance under this heading is in addition to any other authority to provide assistance to foreign nations: *Provided further*, That contributions of funds for the purposes provided herein from any person, foreign government, or international organization may be credited to this Fund and used for such purposes: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall notify the congressional defense committees in writing upon the receipt and upon the obligation of any contribution, delineating the sources and amounts of the funds received and the specific use of such contributions: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Defense shall, not fewer than 15 days prior to obligating from this appropriation account, notify the congressional defense committees in writing of the details of any such obligation: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Defense shall notify the congressional defense committees of any proposed new projects or transfer of funds between budget sub-activity groups in excess of \$20,000,000.

PROCUREMENT

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

For an additional amount for "Aircraft Procurement, Army", \$2,720,138,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

For an additional amount for "Missile Procurement, Army", \$343,828,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013.

PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY

For an additional amount for "Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army", \$896,996,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

For an additional amount for "Procurement of Ammunition, Army", \$369,885,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

For an additional amount for "Other Procurement, Army", \$6,423,832,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

For an additional amount for "Aircraft Procurement, Navy", \$1,269,549,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013.

WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

For an additional amount for "Weapons Procurement, Navy", \$90,502,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

For an additional amount for "Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine

Corps", \$558,024,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

For an additional amount for "Other Procurement, Navy", \$316,835,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013.

PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

For an additional amount for "Procurement, Marine Corps", \$1,589,119,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

For an additional amount for "Aircraft Procurement, Air Force", \$1,991,955,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

For an additional amount for "Missile Procurement, Air Force", \$56,621,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

For an additional amount for "Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force", \$292,959,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

For an additional amount for "Other Procurement, Air Force", \$2,868,593,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013.

PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

For an additional amount for "Procurement, Defense-Wide", \$1,262,499,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013.

NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT

For procurement of aircraft, missiles, tracked combat vehicles, ammunition, other weapons and other procurement for the reserve components of the Armed Forces, \$850,000,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013, of which \$250,000,000 shall be available only for the Army National Guard: *Provided*, That the Chiefs of National Guard and Reserve components shall, not later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act, individually submit to the congressional defense committees the modernization priority assessment for their respective National Guard or Reserve component.

MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROTECTED VEHICLE FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicle Fund, \$3,415,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That such funds shall be available to the Secretary of Defense, notwithstanding any other provision of law, to procure, sustain, transport, and field Mine Resistant Ambush Protected vehicles: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall transfer such funds only to appropriations made available in this or any other Act for operation and maintenance; procurement; research, development, test and evaluation; and defense working capital funds to accomplish the purpose provided herein: *Provided further*, That such transferred funds shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes and the same time period as the appropriation to which transferred: *Provided further*, That this transfer authority is in addition to any other transfer authority available to the Department of Defense: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall, not fewer than 10 days prior to making transfers from this appropriation, notify the congressional defense committees in writing of the details of any such transfer.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

For an additional amount for "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army",

\$143,234,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

For an additional amount for "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy", \$104,781,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

For an additional amount for "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force", \$484,382,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

For an additional amount for "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide", \$222,616,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS

DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

For an additional amount for "Defense Working Capital Funds", \$485,384,000.

OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS

DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

For an additional amount for "Defense Health Program", \$1,422,092,000, of which \$1,398,092,000 shall be for operation and maintenance, to remain available until September 30, 2011, and of which \$24,000,000 shall be for research, development, test and evaluation, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE

For an additional amount for "Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense", \$440,510,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the "Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund", \$2,793,768,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That such funds shall be available to the Secretary of Defense, notwithstanding any other provision of law, for the purpose of allowing the Director of the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization to investigate, develop and provide equipment, supplies, services, training, facilities, personnel and funds to assist United States forces in the defeat of improvised explosive devices: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Defense may transfer funds provided herein to appropriations for military personnel; operation and maintenance; procurement; research, development, test and evaluation; and defense working capital funds to accomplish the purpose provided herein: *Provided further*, That this transfer authority is in addition to any other transfer authority available to the Department of Defense: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Defense shall, not fewer than 15 days prior to making transfers from this appropriation, notify the congressional defense committees in writing of the details of any such transfer.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

For an additional amount for the "Office of the Inspector General", \$10,529,000.

GENERAL PROVISIONS—THIS TITLE

SEC. 9001. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds made available in this title are in addition to amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2011.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 9002. Upon the determination of the Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in the national interest, the Secretary may, with the approval of the Office of Management and Budget, transfer up to \$4,000,000,000 between the appropriations or funds made available to the Department of Defense in this title: *Provided*, That the Secretary shall notify the Congress promptly of each transfer made pursuant to the authority in this section: *Provided further*, That the authority provided in this section is in addition to any other transfer authority available to the Department of Defense and is subject to the same terms and conditions as the authority provided in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2011.

SEC. 9003. Supervision and administration costs associated with a construction project funded with appropriations available for operation and maintenance or the "Afghanistan Security Forces Fund" provided in this Act and executed in direct support of overseas contingency operations in Afghanistan, may be obligated at the time a construction contract is awarded: *Provided*, That for the purpose of this section, supervision and administration costs include all in-house Government costs.

SEC. 9004. From funds made available in this title, the Secretary of Defense may purchase for use by military and civilian employees of the Department of Defense in Iraq and Afghanistan: (a) passenger motor vehicles up to a limit of \$75,000 per vehicle and (b) heavy and light armored vehicles for the physical security of personnel or for force protection purposes up to a limit of \$250,000 per vehicle, notwithstanding price or other limitations applicable to the purchase of passenger carrying vehicles.

SEC. 9005. Not to exceed \$500,000,000 of the amount appropriated in this title under the heading "Operation and Maintenance, Army" may be used, notwithstanding any other provision of law, to fund the Commander's Emergency Response Program (CERP), for the purpose of enabling military commanders in Iraq and Afghanistan to respond to urgent, small scale, humanitarian relief and reconstruction requirements within their areas of responsibility: *Provided*, That projects (including any ancillary or related elements in connection with such project) executed under this authority shall not exceed \$20,000,000: *Provided further*, That not later than 45 days after the end of each fiscal year quarter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report regarding the source of funds and the allocation and use of funds during that quarter that were made available pursuant to the authority provided in this section or under any other provision of law for the purposes described herein: *Provided further*, That, not later than 30 days after the end of each month, the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees monthly commitment, obligation, and expenditure data for the Commander's Emergency Response Program in Iraq and Afghanistan: *Provided further*, That not less than 15 days before making funds available pursuant to the authority provided in this section or under any other provision of law for the purposes described herein for a project with a total anticipated cost for completion of \$5,000,000 or more, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a written notice containing each of the following:

(1) The location, nature and purpose of the proposed project, including how the project

is intended to advance the military campaign plan for the country in which it is to be carried out.

(2) The budget, implementation timeline with milestones, and completion date for the proposed project, including any other CERP funding that has been or is anticipated to be contributed to the completion of the project.

(3) A plan for the sustainment of the proposed project, including the agreement with either the host nation, a non-Department of Defense agency of the United States Government or a third party contributor to finance the sustainment of the activities and maintenance of any equipment or facilities to be provided through the proposed project.

SEC. 9006. Funds available to the Department of Defense for operation and maintenance may be used, notwithstanding any other provision of law, to provide supplies, services, transportation, including airlift and sealift, and other logistical support to coalition forces supporting military and stability operations in Iraq and Afghanistan: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Defense shall provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees regarding support provided under this section.

SEC. 9007. The amounts provided by this title are designated as described in section 5 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

SEC. 9008. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this or any other Act shall be obligated or expended by the United States Government for a purpose as follows:

(1) To establish any military installation or base for the purpose of providing for the permanent stationing of United States Armed Forces in Iraq.

(2) To exercise United States control over any oil resource of Iraq.

(3) To establish any military installation or base for the purpose of providing for the permanent stationing of United States Armed Forces in Afghanistan.

SEC. 9009. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used in contravention of the following laws enacted or regulations promulgated to implement the United Nations Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (done at New York on December 10, 1984):

(1) Section 2340A of title 18, United States Code.

(2) Section 2242 of the Foreign Affairs Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998 (division G of Public Law 105-277; 112 Stat. 2681-822; 8 U.S.C. 1231 note) and regulations prescribed thereto, including regulations under part 208 of title 8, Code of Federal Regulations, and part 95 of title 22, Code of Federal Regulations.

(3) Sections 1002 and 1003 of the Department of Defense, Emergency Supplemental Appropriations to Address Hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico, and Pandemic Influenza Act, 2006 (Public Law 109-148).

SEC. 9010. (a) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees not later than 45 days after the end of each fiscal quarter a report on the proposed use of all funds appropriated by this or any prior Act under each of the headings Iraq Security Forces Fund, Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund, and Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund on a project-by-project basis, for which the obligation of funds is anticipated during the 3-month period from such date, including estimates for the accounts referred to in this section of the costs required to complete each such project.

(b) The report required by this subsection shall include the following:

(1) The use of all funds on a project-by-project basis for which funds appropriated under the headings referred to in subsection (a) were obligated prior to the submission of the report, including estimates for the accounts referred to in subsection (a) of the costs to complete each project.

(2) The use of all funds on a project-by-project basis for which funds were appropriated under the headings referred to in subsection (a) in prior appropriations Acts, or for which funds were made available by transfer, reprogramming, or allocation from other headings in prior appropriations Acts, including estimates for the accounts referred to in subsection (a) of the costs to complete each project.

(3) An estimated total cost to train and equip the Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan security forces, disaggregated by major program and sub-elements by force, arrayed by fiscal year.

SEC. 9011. Funds made available in this title to the Department of Defense for operation and maintenance may be used to purchase items having an investment unit cost of not more than \$250,000: *Provided*, That, upon determination by the Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary to meet the operational requirements of a Commander of a Combatant Command engaged in contingency operations overseas, such funds may be used to purchase items having an investment item unit cost of not more than \$500,000.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 9012. Of the funds appropriated by this Act for the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, \$3,375,000 is available, as specified in the classified annex, for transfer to other departments and agencies of the Federal Government.

SEC. 9013. (a) The Task Force for Business and Stability Operations in Afghanistan may, subject to the direction and control of the Secretary of Defense and with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, carry out projects in fiscal year 2011 to assist the commander of the United States Central Command in developing a link between United States military operations in Afghanistan under Operation Enduring Freedom and the economic elements of United States national power in order to reduce violence, enhance stability, and restore economic normalcy in Afghanistan through strategic business and economic opportunities.

(b) The projects carried out under paragraph (a) may include projects that facilitate private investment, industrial development, banking and financial system development, agricultural diversification and revitalization, and energy development in and with respect to Afghanistan.

(c) The Secretary may use up to \$150,000,000 of the funds available for overseas contingency operations in "Operation and Maintenance, Army" for additional activities to carry out projects under paragraph (a).

SEC. 9014. (a) Not more than 85 percent of the funds provided in this title for Operation and Maintenance may be available for obligation or expenditure until the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits the report under subsection (b).

(b) Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on contractor employees in the United States Central Command, including—

(1) the number of employees of a contractor awarded a contract by the Department of Defense (including subcontractor employees) who are employed at the time of the report in the area of operations of the United States Central Command, including a list of the number of such employees in each of Iraq, Afghanistan, and all other areas of operations of the United States Central Command; and

(2) for each fiscal year quarter beginning on the date of the report and ending on September 30, 2012—

(A) the number of such employees planned by the Secretary to be employed during each such period in each of Iraq, Afghanistan, and all other areas of operations of the United States Central Command; and

(B) an explanation of how the number of such employees listed under subparagraph (A) relates to the planned number of military personnel in such locations.

This division may be cited as the “Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2011”.

DIVISION D—ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

TITLE I

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The following appropriations shall be expended under the direction of the Secretary of the Army and the supervision of the Chief of Engineers for authorized civil functions of the Department of the Army pertaining to rivers and harbors, flood and storm damage reduction, shore protection, aquatic ecosystem restoration, and related efforts.

INVESTIGATIONS

For expenses necessary where authorized by law for the collection and study of basic information pertaining to river and harbor, flood and storm damage reduction, shore protection, aquatic ecosystem restoration, and related needs; for surveys and detailed studies, and plans and specifications of proposed river and harbor, flood and storm damage reduction, shore protection, and aquatic ecosystem restoration projects and related efforts prior to construction; for restudy of authorized projects; and for miscellaneous investigations and, when authorized by law, surveys and detailed studies, and plans and specifications of projects prior to construction, \$150,000,000, to remain available until expended.

CONSTRUCTION

For expenses necessary for the construction of river and harbor, flood and storm damage reduction, shore protection, aquatic ecosystem restoration, and related projects authorized by law; for conducting detailed studies, and plans and specifications, of such projects (including those involving participation by States, local governments, or private groups) authorized or made eligible for selection by law (but such detailed studies, and plans and specifications, shall not constitute a commitment of the Government to construction); \$1,823,625,000, to remain available until expended; of which such sums as are necessary to cover the Federal share of construction costs for facilities under the Dredged Material Disposal Facilities program shall be derived from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund as authorized by Public Law 104-303; and of which such sums as are necessary to cover one-half of the costs of construction, replacement, rehabilitation, and expansion of inland waterways projects (including only Kentucky Lock and Dam,

Tennessee River, Kentucky; Lock and Dams 2, 3, and 4 Monongahela River, Pennsylvania; Lock and Dam 27, Mississippi River, Illinois; Markland Locks and Dam, Kentucky and Indiana; Olmsted Lock and Dam, Illinois and Kentucky; and Emsworth Locks and Dam, Ohio River, Pennsylvania) shall be derived from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund: *Provided*, That the Chief of Engineers is directed to use \$20,500,000 of the funds appropriated herein for the Dallas Floodway Extension, Texas, project, including the Cadillac Heights feature, generally in accordance with the Chief of Engineers report dated December 7, 1999: *Provided further*, That the Chief of Engineers is directed to use \$1,434,000 of funds available for the Greenbrier Basin, Marlinton, West Virginia, Local Protection Project to continue engineering and design efforts, execute a project partnership agreement for phases 1 and 2, and initiate construction of the project substantially in accordance with Alternative 1 as described in the Corps of Engineers Final Detailed Project Report and Environmental Impact Statement for Marlinton, West Virginia, Local Protection Project dated September 2008 with the Federal and non-Federal cost shares determined in accordance with the ability-to-pay provisions prescribed in section 103(m) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986: *Provided further*, That the Chief of Engineers is directed to use \$2,750,000 of the funds appropriated herein to continue planning, engineering, design or construction of the Lower Mingo County, Upper Mingo County, Wayne County, McDowell County, West Virginia, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River Project: *Provided further*, That the limitation concerning total project costs in section 902 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2280), shall not apply during fiscal year 2011 to any project that received funds provided in this title.

MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES

For expenses necessary for flood damage reduction projects and related efforts in the Mississippi River alluvial valley below Cape Girardeau, Missouri, as authorized by law, \$325,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as are necessary to cover the Federal share of eligible operation and maintenance costs for inland harbors shall be derived from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund: *Provided*, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers is directed to use \$10,500,000 appropriated herein for construction of water withdrawal features of the Grand Prairie, Arkansas, project.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

For expenses necessary for the operation, maintenance, and care of existing river and harbor, flood and storm damage reduction, aquatic ecosystem restoration, and related projects authorized by law; providing security for infrastructure owned or operated by the Corps, including administrative buildings and laboratories; maintaining harbor channels provided by a State, municipality, or other public agency that serve essential navigation needs of general commerce, where authorized by law; surveying and charting northern and northwestern lakes and connecting waters; clearing and straightening channels; and removing obstructions to navigation, \$2,475,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as are necessary to cover the Federal share of eligible operation and maintenance costs for coastal harbors and channels, and

for inland harbors shall be derived from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund; of which such sums as become available from the special account for the Corps established by the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601-6a(i)), shall be derived from that account for resource protection, research, interpretation, and maintenance activities related to resource protection in the areas at which outdoor recreation is available; and of which such sums as become available from fees collected under section 217 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-303) shall be used to cover the cost of operation and maintenance of the dredged material disposal facilities for which such fees have been collected: *Provided*, That 1 percent of the total amount of funds provided for each of the programs, projects or activities funded under this heading shall be available for use by the Chief of Engineers to fund such emergency activities as the Chief of Engineers determines to be necessary and appropriate.

REGULATORY PROGRAM

For expenses necessary for administration of laws pertaining to regulation of navigable waters and wetlands, \$193,000,000, to remain available until expended.

FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

For expenses necessary to clean up contamination from sites in the United States resulting from work performed as part of the Nation's early atomic energy program, \$130,000,000, to remain available until expended.

FLOOD CONTROL AND COASTAL EMERGENCIES

For expenses necessary to prepare for flood, hurricane, and other natural disasters and to support emergency operations, repairs, and other activities in response to such disasters as authorized by law, \$30,000,000, to remain available until expended.

EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for the supervision and general administration of the civil works program in Corps headquarters and division offices; and for the management and operation costs allocable to the civil works program of the Humphreys Engineer Center Support Activity, the Institute for Water Resources, the Engineer Research and Development Center, and the Corps Finance Center, \$187,375,000, to remain available until expended, of which not to exceed \$5,000 may be used for official reception and representation purposes and only during the current fiscal year: *Provided*, That no part of any other appropriation in this title shall be available to fund the above activities: *Provided further*, That any Flood Control and Coastal Emergencies appropriation may be used to fund the supervision and general administration of emergency operations, repairs, and other activities in response to any flood, hurricane, or other natural disaster.

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY FOR CIVIL WORKS

For the Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works as authorized by 10 U.S.C. 3016(b)(3), \$6,000,000, to remain available until expended.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

The Revolving Fund, Corps of Engineers, shall be available during the current fiscal year for purchase (not to exceed 100 for replacement only) and hire of passenger motor vehicles for the civil works program.

GENERAL PROVISIONS, CORPS OF ENGINEERS—
CIVIL

SEC. 101. (a) None of the funds provided in title I of this Act, or provided by previous appropriations Acts to the agencies or entities funded in title I of this Act that remain available for obligation or expenditure in fiscal year 2011, shall be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming of funds that:

- (1) creates or initiates a new program, project, or activity;
- (2) eliminates a program, project, or activity;

(3) increases funds or personnel for any program, project, or activity for which funds have been denied or restricted by this Act, unless prior approval is received from the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations;

(4) proposes to use funds directed for a specific activity for a different purpose, unless prior approval is received from the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations;

(5) augments or reduces existing programs, projects or activities in excess of the amounts contained in subsections 6 through 10, unless prior approval is received from the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations;

(6) INVESTIGATIONS.—For a base level over \$100,000, reprogramming of 25 percent of the base amount up to a limit of \$150,000 per project, study or activity is allowed: *Provided*, That for a base level less than \$100,000, the reprogramming limit is \$25,000: *Provided further*, That up to \$25,000 may be reprogrammed to continue ongoing work on any program, project, or activity that did not receive an appropriation for existing obligations and concomitant administrative expenses;

(7) CONSTRUCTION.—For a base level over \$2,000,000, reprogramming of 15 percent of the base amount up to a limit of \$3,000,000 per project, study or activity is allowed: *Provided*, That for a base level less than \$2,000,000, the reprogramming limit is \$300,000: *Provided further*, That up to \$3,000,000 may be reprogrammed for settled contractor claims, changed conditions, or real estate deficiency judgments: *Provided further*, That up to \$300,000 may be reprogrammed into continuing any study or activity that did not receive an appropriation for existing obligations and concomitant administrative expenses;

(8) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—Unlimited reprogramming authority is granted in order for the Corps to be able to respond to emergencies: *Provided*, That the Chief of Engineers must notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations of these emergency actions as soon thereafter as practicable: *Provided further*, That for a base level over \$1,000,000, reprogramming of 15 percent of the base amount up to a limit of \$5,000,000 per project, study or activity is allowed: *Provided further*, That for a base level less than \$1,000,000, the reprogramming limit is \$150,000: *Provided further*, That up to \$150,000 may be reprogrammed into continuing any study or activity that did not receive an appropriation;

(9) MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES.—The same reprogramming guidelines as provided in subsections 6 through 8 above apply to the Investigations, Construction, and Operation and Maintenance portions of the Mississippi River and Tributaries Account; and

(10) FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM.—Reprogramming of up to 15

percent of the base of the receiving project is permitted.

(b) DE MINIMIS REPROGRAMMINGS.—In no case should a reprogramming for less than \$50,000 be submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

(c) Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Corps of Engineers shall submit a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations to establish the baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the current fiscal year: *Provided*, That the report shall include:

(1) A table for each appropriation with a separate column to display the President's budget request, adjustments made by Congress, adjustments due to enacted rescissions, if appropriate, and the fiscal year enacted level;

(2) A delineation in the table for each appropriation both by object class and program, project and activity as detailed in the budget appendix for the respective appropriations; and

(3) An identification of items of special congressional interest.

SEC. 102. None of the funds in this Act, or previous Acts, making funds available to the Corps, shall be used to implement any pending or future competitive sourcing actions under OMB Circular A-76 or High Performing Organizations for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

SEC. 103. None of the funds in this Act, or previous Acts, making funds available to the Corps, shall be used to award any continuing contract that commits additional funding from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund unless or until such time that a long-term mechanism to enhance revenues in this Fund sufficient to meet the cost-sharing authorized in the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-662) is enacted.

SEC. 104. Within 120 days of the date of the Chief of Engineers Report on a water resource matter, the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works) shall submit the report to the appropriate authorizing and appropriating committees of the Congress.

SEC. 105. During the fiscal year period covered by this Act, the Secretary of the Army shall implement measures recommended in the efficacy study, or provided in interim reports, authorized under section 3061 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1121), with such modifications or emergency measures as the Secretary of the Army determines to be appropriate, to prevent aquatic nuisance species from bypassing the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal Dispersal Barrier Project referred to in that section and to prevent aquatic nuisance species from dispersing into the Great Lakes and such emergency measures as the Secretary of the Army determines to be appropriate to prevent such species from dispersing into the Great Lakes by way of any other hydrologic connections between the Great Lakes and the Mississippi River.

SEC. 106. That portion of the project for navigation, Block Island Harbor of Refuge, Rhode Island adopted by the Rivers and Harbors Act of July 11, 1870, consisting of the cut-stone breakwater lining the west side of the Inner Basin: Beginning at a point with coordinates N32579.55, E312625.53, thence running northerly about 76.59 feet to a point with coordinates N32655.92, E312631.32, thence running northerly about 206.81 feet to a point with coordinates N32858.33, E312673.74, thence running easterly about 109.00 feet to a point with coordinates N32832.15, E312779.54, shall no longer be authorized after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 107. Section 595(a)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 383; 117 Stat. 1836) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(2) in subparagraph (B), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) the portions of Utah County and Weber Counties that are located outside of a political subdivision, the population of which is greater than 10,000 residents.”.

SEC. 108. Section 595 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 383; 117 Stat. 1836; 118 Stat. 440), as amended by section 5067 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1219), is amended in subsection (h) by striking “\$150,000,000 for rural Nevada” and inserting “\$200,000,000 for rural Nevada”.

SEC. 109. (a) ACQUISITION.—The Secretary is authorized to acquire any real property and associated real property interests in the vicinity of Hanover, New Hampshire as may be needed for the Engineer Research and Development Center laboratory facilities at the Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory.

(b) REVOLVING FUND.—The Secretary is authorized to use the Revolving Fund (33 U.S.C. 576) through the Plant Replacement and Improvement Program to acquire the real property and associated real property interests in subsection (a). The Secretary shall ensure that the Revolving Fund is appropriately reimbursed from the benefitting appropriations.

(c) RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL.—The Secretary may provide the seller of any real property and associated property interests identified in subsection (a)—

(1) a right of first refusal to acquire such property, or any portion thereof, in the event the property, or any portion thereof, is no longer needed by the Department of the Army.

(2) a right of first refusal to acquire any real property or associated real property interests acquired by condemnation in Civil Action No. 81-360-L, in the event the property, or any portion thereof, is no longer needed by the Department of the Army.

(3) The purchase of any property by the seller exercising either right of first refusal authorized in this section shall be for consideration acceptable to the Secretary and shall be for not less than fair market value at the time the property becomes available for purchase. The right of first refusal authorized in this section shall not inure to the benefit of the Seller's successors or assigns.

(d) DISPOSAL.—The Secretary of the Army is authorized to dispose of any property or associated real property interests that are subject to the exercise of the right of first refusal as set forth herein.

SEC. 110. The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is authorized, using amounts available in the Revolving Fund established by section 101 of the Act of July 27, 1953, chap. 245 (33 U.S.C. 576), to construct a Ship/Tow Simulator building, an Engineer Research and Development Center headquarters building, and a Modular Hydraulic Flume building, and to purchase real estate, perform construction, and make facility, utility, street, road, and infrastructure improvements to the Engineer Research and Development Center's installations and facilities. The Secretary shall ensure that the Revolving Fund is appropriately reimbursed from the benefitting appropriations.

SEC. 111. Section 3113 of the Water Resources Development Act, 2007 (121 Stat.

1041) is amended by striking all after the words "total cost of" and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "\$38,800,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$25,220,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$13,580,000."

SEC. 112. The boundaries of the project referred to as "Des Moines Recreational River and Greenbelt, Iowa" in the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1985 (99 Stat. 313) are hereby expanded to include the entirety of sections 19 and 29, situated in T89N, R28W.

SEC. 113. That portion of the project of navigation, Chicago Harbor, Illinois, authorized by the River and Harbor Acts of March 3, 1899 and March 2, 1919, and that begins at the southwest corner of the Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago sluice gate that abuts the north wall of the Chicago River Lock and that continues north for approximately 290 feet, thence east approximately 1,000 feet, then south approximately 290 feet, thence west approximately 1,000 feet to the point of beginning shall no longer be authorized as of the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 114. (a) The Secretary shall assume responsibility for the long-term maintenance and repair of the major flood damage reduction features constructed by the Corps of Engineers at Devils Lake, North Dakota. The City of Devils Lake, North Dakota, shall be responsible for all costs of operation and maintenance other than those defined as Long-Term Maintenance and Repair in subsection (b) below.

(b) Long-Term Maintenance and Repair consists of replacing, reconstructing, or rehabilitating major flood damage reduction features such as embankments, pump stations, pumps and gate wells that: (1) have become dilapidated or in need of repair as a result of the passage of time or ordinary wear and tear; or (2) have been damaged or destroyed by wind, wave, or water action of other than an ordinary nature when, in the discretion of the Secretary, such replacement, reconstruction, or rehabilitation is warranted for the continued functioning of the flood damage reduction project at Devils Lake.

SEC. 115. Section 111 of title I of division C of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (118 Stat. 2944) as amended by section 3001 of Public Law 110-114 is further amended by adding the following before "(c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.":

"(3) may grant to the City of Tuscaloosa a long term lease or license agreement for any portion of the Parcel not required for construction of the new administrative facility under subsection (a)(2)(c) until such time as the City of Tuscaloosa is prepared to take fee simple title per the provisions of subsection (b)(2)."

SEC. 116. Section 333 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3718) is further amended to read as follows:

(1) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following in lieu thereof:

"(b) Lands individually acquired by the Secretary under this section for flood protection and flood management in the Passaic River Basin are to held by the Secretary and the non-Federal sponsor as tenants in common with, thereafter, any interest held by the Secretary in such lands to be transferred by Quitclaim Deed to the Non-Federal Sponsor for consideration as is necessary to render the project cost-sharing percentages to be in compliance with section 903(c) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213) and such other law as may be applicable;" and

(2) inserting the following as a new subsection (e):

"(e) FUNDS FOR LAND ACQUISITION.—Funds for acquiring such lands as are necessary in carrying out the requirements of this section and requirements as further recommended by the Secretary shall include funds as provided in subsection (c) and (d) of this section herein and also funds as previously appropriated with any and all such funds to be held by the Secretary for use in acquiring the requisite lands in proportion to the project cost-sharing percentages."

SEC. 117. Section 3182 of Public Law 110-114 is amended as follows by inserting a new subparagraph (k) and redesignating the existing subparagraph (k) as subparagraph (l):

"(k) ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI.—

"(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection, the following definitions apply:

"(A) FEDERAL LAND.—The term 'Federal land' means the 1 parcel of Corps of Engineers land totaling approximately 84 acres, located U.S. Survey No. 1838, Township 48 North, Range 6 East.

"(B) NON-FEDERAL LAND.—The term 'non-Federal land' means the approximately 70 acres of land, subject to any existing easements situated in Jersey County, Illinois, adjacent to existing Corps fee title land.

"(2) LAND EXCHANGE.—Subject to paragraph (3), on conveyance by Ameren U.E. to the United States of all right, title, and interest in and to the non-Federal land, the Secretary shall convey to Ameren U.E., all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the Federal land.

"(3) CONDITIONS.—

"(A) DEEDS.—

"(i) NON-FEDERAL LAND.—The conveyance of the non-Federal land to the Secretary shall be by a warranty deed acceptable to the Secretary.

"(ii) FEDERAL LAND.—The conveyance of the Federal land to Ameren U.E., shall be—

"(I) by quitclaim deed; and

"(II) subject to any reservations, terms, and conditions that the Secretary determines to be necessary to allow the United States to operate and maintain the Mississippi River 9-Foot Navigation Project.

"(iii) LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS.—The Secretary shall provide a legal description of the Federal land, and Ameren U.E., shall provide a legal description of the non-Federal land, for inclusion in the deeds referred to in clauses (i) and (ii).

"(B) REMOVAL OF IMPROVEMENTS.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may require the removal of, or Ameren U.E., may voluntarily remove, any improvements to the non-Federal land before the completion of the exchange or as a condition of the exchange.

"(ii) NO LIABILITY.—If Ameren U.E., removes any improvements to the non-Federal land under clause (i)—

"(I) Ameren U.E., shall have no claim against the United States relating to the removal; and

"(II) the United States shall not incur or be liable for any cost associated with the removal or relocation of the improvements.

"(C) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—The Secretary shall require Ameren U.E. to pay reasonable administrative costs associated with the exchange.

"(D) CASH EQUALIZATION PAYMENT.—If the appraised fair market value, as determined by the Secretary, of the Federal land exceeds the appraised fair market value, as determined by the Secretary, of the non-Federal land, Ameren U.E. shall make a cash equalization payment to the United States.

"(E) DEADLINE.—The land exchange under subparagraph (B) shall be completed not

later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act."

SEC. 118. The project for flood control, Little Calumet River, Indiana, authorized by section 401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4115) and modified by section 127 of the Energy and Water Appropriations Act, 2006 (119 Stat. 2259), is further modified to authorize the Secretary to construct the project, including all necessary tie backs, at a total cost of \$275,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$206,000,000, and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$69,000,000.

SEC. 119. The project for ecosystem restoration, Tres Rios, Arizona, authorized by section 101(b)(4) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2577), is modified to authorize the Secretary to construct the project at a total cost of \$230,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$149,500,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$80,500,000.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

For carrying out activities authorized by the Central Utah Project Completion Act, \$43,004,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$2,500,000 shall be deposited into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account for use by the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission, and of which \$1,694,000 for necessary expenses incurred in carrying out related responsibilities of the Secretary of the Interior. For fiscal year 2011, the Commission may use an amount not to exceed \$1,500,000 for administrative expenses.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

The following appropriations shall be expended to execute authorized functions of the Bureau of Reclamation:

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For management, development, and restoration of water and related natural resources and for related activities, including the operation, maintenance, and rehabilitation of reclamation and other facilities, participation in fulfilling related Federal responsibilities to Native Americans, and related grants to, and cooperative and other agreements with, State and local governments, federally recognized Indian tribes, and others, \$938,600,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$11,746,000 shall be available for transfer to the Upper Colorado River Basin Fund and \$8,627,000 shall be available for transfer to the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund; of which such amounts as may be necessary may be advanced to the Colorado River Dam Fund: *Provided*, That such transfers may be increased or decreased within the overall appropriation under this heading: *Provided further*, That of the total appropriated, the amount for program activities that can be financed by the Reclamation Fund or the Bureau of Reclamation special fee account established by 16 U.S.C. 4601-6a(i) shall be derived from that Fund or account: *Provided further*, That funds contributed under 43 U.S.C. 395 are available until expended for the purposes for which contributed: *Provided further*, That funds advanced under 43 U.S.C. 397a shall be credited to this account and are available until expended for the same purposes as the sums appropriated under this heading: *Provided*, That the funds provided herein for the St. Mary Storage Unit facilities, Milk River Project, Montana, shall be

used on a nonreimbursable basis: *Provided further*, That \$1,476,000 of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be deposited in the San Gabriel Basin Restoration Fund established by section 110 of title I of appendix D of Public Law 106-554: *Provided further*, That funds available for expenditure for the Departmental Irrigation Drainage Program may be expended by the Bureau of Reclamation for site remediation on a nonreimbursable basis: *Provided further*, That of the amounts provided herein, funds may be used for high priority projects which shall be carried out by the Youth Conservation Corps, as authorized by 16 U.S.C. 1706.

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

For carrying out the programs, projects, plans, habitat restoration, improvement, and acquisition provisions of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, \$49,915,000, to be derived from such sums as may be collected in the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund pursuant to sections 3407(d), 3404(c)(3), and 3405(f) of Public Law 102-575, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the Bureau of Reclamation is directed to assess and collect the full amount of the additional mitigation and restoration payments authorized by section 3407(d) of Public Law 102-575: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available under this heading may be used for the acquisition or leasing of water for in-stream purposes if the water is already committed to in-stream purposes by a court adopted decree or order.

CALIFORNIA BAY-DELTA RESTORATION (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For carrying out activities authorized by the Water Supply, Reliability, and Environmental Improvement Act, consistent with plans to be approved by the Secretary of the Interior, \$40,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which such amounts as may be necessary to carry out such activities may be transferred to appropriate accounts of other participating Federal agencies to carry out authorized purposes: *Provided*, That funds appropriated herein may be used for the Federal share of the costs of CALFED Program management: *Provided further*, That the use of any funds provided to the California Bay-Delta Authority for programwide management and oversight activities shall be subject to the approval of the Secretary of the Interior: *Provided further*, That CALFED implementation shall be carried out in a balanced manner with clear performance measures demonstrating concurrent progress in achieving the goals and objectives of the Program.

POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of policy, administration, and related functions in the Office of the Commissioner, the Denver office, and offices in the five regions of the Bureau of Reclamation, to remain available until expended, \$61,200,000, to be derived from the Reclamation Fund and be nonreimbursable as provided in 43 U.S.C. 377: *Provided*, That no part of any other appropriation in this Act shall be available for activities or functions budgeted as policy and administration expenses.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

Appropriations for the Bureau of Reclamation shall be available for purchase of not to exceed 5 passenger motor vehicles, which are for replacement only.

GENERAL PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

SEC. 201. (a) None of the funds provided in title II of this Act for Water and Related Re-

sources, or provided by previous appropriations Acts to the agencies or entities funded in title II of this Act for Water and Related Resources that remain available for obligation or expenditure in fiscal year 2011, shall be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming of funds that—

(1) initiates or creates a new program, project, or activity;

(2) eliminates a program, project, or activity;

(3) increases funds for any program, project, or activity for which funds have been denied or restricted by this Act, unless prior approval is received from the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate;

(4) restarts or resumes any program, project or activity for which funds are not provided in this Act, unless prior approval is received from the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate;

(5) transfers funds in excess of the following limits, unless prior approval is received from the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate:

(A) 15 percent for any program, project or activity for which \$2,000,000 or more is available at the beginning of the fiscal year; or

(B) \$300,000 for any program, project or activity for which less than \$2,000,000 is available at the beginning of the fiscal year;

(6) transfers more than \$500,000 from either the Facilities Operation, Maintenance, and Rehabilitation category or the Resources Management and Development category to any program, project, or activity in the other category, unless prior approval is received from the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate; or

(7) transfers, where necessary to discharge legal obligations of the Bureau of Reclamation, more than \$5,000,000 to provide adequate funds for settled contractor claims, increased contractor earnings due to accelerated rates of operations, and real estate deficiency judgments, unless prior approval is received from the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

(b) Subsection (a)(5) shall not apply to any transfer of funds within the Facilities Operation, Maintenance, and Rehabilitation category.

(c) For purposes of this section, the term “transfer” means any movement of funds into or out of a program, project, or activity.

(d) The Bureau of Reclamation shall submit reports on a quarterly basis to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate detailing all the funds reprogrammed between programs, projects, activities, or categories of funding. The first quarterly report shall be submitted not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 202. (a) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit until development by the Secretary of the Interior and the State of California of a plan, which shall conform to the water quality standards of the State of California as approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, to minimize any detrimental effect of the San Luis drainage waters.

(b) The costs of the Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and the costs of the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program shall be

classified by the Secretary of the Interior as reimbursable or nonreimbursable and collected until fully repaid pursuant to the “Cleanup Program-Alternative Repayment Plan” and the “SJVDP-Alternative Repayment Plan” described in the report entitled “Repayment Report, Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program, February 1995”, prepared by the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. Any future obligations of funds by the United States relating to, or providing for, drainage service or drainage studies for the San Luis Unit shall be fully reimbursable by San Luis Unit beneficiaries of such service or studies pursuant to Federal reclamation law.

SEC. 203. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this or any other Act may be used to pay the salaries and expenses of personnel to purchase or lease water in the Middle Rio Grande or the Carlsbad Projects in New Mexico unless said purchase or lease is in compliance with the purchase requirements of section 202 of Public Law 106-60.

SEC. 204. Funds under this title for Drought Emergency Assistance shall be made available primarily for leasing of water for specified drought related purposes from willing lessors, in compliance with existing State laws and administered under State water priority allocation.

SEC. 205. Section 529(b)(3) of Public Law 106-541 is amended by striking “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000” in lieu thereof.

SEC. 206. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, of amounts made available under section 2507 of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (43 U.S.C. 2211 note; Public Law 107-171), the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Commissioner of Reclamation, shall allocate—

(1) \$11,300,000 to the Bureau of Indian Affairs, of which—

(A) \$7,400,000 shall be for the participation by the Walker River Paiute Tribe in the settlement of surface water rights in the Walker River Basin, including water associated with the Walker River Indian Reservation;

(B) \$1,000,000 shall be for the Walker River Paiute Tribe for legal and professional services in support of settling tribal water claims in the Walker River Basin; and

(C) \$2,900,000 shall be for the acquisition of property upstream from and adjacent to the Reservation, title to which shall be taken in the name of the United States to be held in trust for the Tribe, and shall be added to the Reservation and appurtenant water rights which shall be used for the benefit of Walker Lake;

(2) \$2,500,000 to the Federal Water Master of the Walker River, Nevada, for water monitoring and measurement improvement in the Walker River Basin;

(3) \$3,080,000 to the Environmental Protection Agency, to provide funding relating to the Anaconda Mine site in Lyon County, Nevada, of which—

(A) \$750,000 shall be for groundwater testing for Arimetco portions of the site; and

(B) \$2,330,000 shall be for a pilot closure of an Arimetco heap leach pad;

(4) \$6,250,000 to provide grants of equal amounts to the State of Nevada, the State of California, the Truckee Meadows Water Authority, the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe, and the Federal Water Master of the Truckee River to implement the Truckee-Carson-Pyramid Lake Water Rights Settlement Act (title II of Public Law 101-618; 104 Stat. 3294);

(5) \$5,000,000 to be divided equally by the City of Fernley, Nevada, and the Pyramid

Lake Paiute Tribe for joint planning and development activities for water, wastewater, and sewer facilities;

(6) \$17,200,000 to the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe for the benefit of the Truckee River and Pyramid Lake, of which—

(A) \$10,000,000 shall be used for 1 or more of—

(i) implementing the 1996 Truckee River Water Quality Settlement Agreement; and

(ii) implementing the Newland Project Water Rights Fund for retirement of water rights;

(B) \$4,200,000 shall be used for 1 or more of—

(i) payment to the City of Fernley, with the agreement of the City, to temporarily transfer water rights owned by the City to the Truckee River; and

(ii) acquisition of ground-water rights to be traded with the City of Fernley, with the agreement of the City, for Truckee River water rights; and

(C) \$3,000,000 to acquire interests in fee-patented land, water rights, or surface rights to land within or contiguous to the exterior boundaries of the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation;

(7) \$15,000,000 to an entity selected by the Truckee Meadows Water Authority, Washoe County, and the cities of Reno and Sparks, Nevada, to acquire up to 6,700 acre-feet of water rights to help implement the Truckee River Operating Agreement;

(8) \$500,000 to Washoe County, Nevada, for a Regional Strategic Initiative to develop wastewater effluent management and reclaimed water resources;

(9) \$5,000,000 to the City of Sparks, Nevada, related to upgrading and realigning the North Truckee Drain for improved flood control;

(10) \$715,000 to the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe to enhance fish reproduction in the Truckee River watershed and to develop a water quality model for Pyramid Lake;

(11) \$1,500,000 to the Specialty Crop Institute of Western Nevada College to support alternative crops and alternative agricultural cooperatives programs that promote water conservation;

(12) \$1,000,000 to the Desert Research Institute to monitor reservoir evaporation and invasive species in the southwestern United States, including work in the Walker Basin; and

(13) not more than \$8,455,000 of available funds to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service to acquire water and water rights, with or without the land to which the rights are appurtenant, pursuant to subsection 206(a) of the Truckee-Carson-Pyramid Lake Water Rights Settlement Act (title II of Public Law 101-618; 104 Stat. 3308).

(b) Section 208 of the Energy and Water Development and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-85; 123 Stat. 2858) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1)—

(A) by striking “\$66,200,000” and inserting “\$81,200,000”; and

(B) by inserting “, and including associated activities that enhance recovery of the federally threatened Lahontan cutthroat trout” after “Rivers”; and

(2) in subsection (b)(1)(B)—

(A) in clause (i)(I), after “inflows”, by inserting “beginning on the date on which the first lease under the demonstration program is signed”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(vii) \$15,000,000 to be used as described in subparagraph (A), as determined by the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation: *Pro-*

vided, That the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation shall consult with Mono County, California, prior to spending any funds under this section to lease surface water rights appurtenant to lands in California.”.

(c) Section 208(a) of division C of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008 (Public Law 110-161; 121 Stat. 1953) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in subparagraph (C), by adding “and” at the end;

(B) by striking subsections (D) and (E); and

(C) by redesignating subparagraph (F) as subparagraph (D); and

(2) in paragraph (3), by striking “restoration efforts at the Summit Lake in Northern Washoe County” and inserting “restoration and environmental protection efforts at the Summit Lake in Humboldt County”.

(d) Notwithstanding this section or any amendment made by this section, the Commissioner of Reclamation may retain sufficient amounts from funds allocated to the Commissioner to administer all financial assistance agreements under the Desert Terminal Lakes program under section 2507 of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (43 U.S.C. 2211 note; Public Law 107-171).

SEC. 207. The Secretary of the Interior may extend the contract for water services between the United States and the East Bench Irrigation District, numbered 14-06-600-3593, until the earlier of—

(1) the date that is 2 years after the date on which the contract would have expired if this Act had not been enacted; or

(2) the date on which a new long-term contract is executed by the parties to the contract.

SEC. 208. The Secretary of the Interior is hereby directed, through the Commissioner of Reclamation, to amend or re-issue Seasonal Recreation Use Permits for the Northside Trailer Areas 1 and 2 and Southside Trailer Area around Heart Butte Reservoir (Lake Tschida) in North Dakota to extend the valid time period for those permits from the current 12 years to 15 years, to be measured from the date of original issuance, April 3, 2010. The amended or re-issued permits shall contain language ensuring the affected permits are fully transferrable for the full 15-year period.

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

ENERGY PROGRAMS

ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY

For Department of Energy expenses including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment, and other expenses necessary for energy efficiency and renewable energy activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, \$2,242,500,000 to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That \$145,000,000 shall be available until September 30, 2012 for program direction: *Provided further*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$211,580,000 shall be used for the projects specified in the table that appears under the heading “Congressionally Directed Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Projects” in the text and table under this heading in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

ELECTRICITY DELIVERY AND ENERGY RELIABILITY

For Department of Energy expenses including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment, and other expenses necessary for electricity delivery and energy reliability activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, \$172,000,000 to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That \$27,049,000 shall be available until September 30, 2012 for program direction: *Provided further*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$11,050,000 shall be used for the projects specified in the table that appears under the heading “Congressionally Directed Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability Projects” in the text and table under this heading in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act): *Provided further*, That notwithstanding section 3304 of title 5, United States Code, and without regard to the provisions of sections 3309 through 3318 of such title 5, the Secretary of Energy, upon a determination that there is a severe shortage of candidates or a critical hiring need for particular positions, may from within the funds provided, recruit and directly appoint highly qualified individuals into the competitive service: *Provided further*, That such authority shall not apply to positions in the Excepted Service or the Senior Executive Service: *Provided further*, That any action authorized herein shall be consistent with the merit principles of section 2301 of such title 5, and the Department shall comply with the public notice requirements of section 3327 of such title 5.

NUCLEAR ENERGY

For Department of Energy expenses including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment, and other expenses necessary for nuclear energy activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, and the purchase of not more than 9 buses, all for replacement only, \$775,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That \$86,452,000 shall be available until September 30, 2012 for program direction: *Provided further*, That if by June 30, 2011 the Secretary has not determined to proceed with the second project phase of the Next Generation Nuclear Plant program in accordance with section 643(b)(2) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, \$23,000,000 of the \$103,000,000 appropriated for the Next Generation Nuclear Plant program shall be transferred to the Small Modular Reactor program to remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$7,800,000 shall be used for the projects specified in the table that appears under the heading “Congressionally Directed Nuclear Energy Projects” in the text and table under this heading in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

FOSSIL ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

For necessary expenses in carrying out fossil energy research and development activities, under the authority of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition of interest,

including defeasible and equitable interests in any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition or expansion, and for conducting inquiries, technological investigations, and research concerning the extraction, processing, use, and disposal of mineral substances without objectionable social and environmental costs under section 2 of the Act of May 16, 1910 (chapter 240; 30 U.S.C. 3) and sections 3 and 4 of the National Materials and Minerals Policy, Research and Development Act of 1980 (30 U.S.C. 1602 and 1603), \$672,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That \$161,000,000 shall be available until September 30, 2012 for program direction: *Provided further*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$23,000,000 shall be used for the projects specified in the table that appears under the heading "Congressionally Directed Fossil Energy Projects" in the text and table under this heading in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

NAVAL PETROLEUM AND OIL SHALE RESERVES

For expenses necessary to carry out naval petroleum and oil shale reserve activities, \$23,614,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, unobligated funds remaining from prior years shall be available for all naval petroleum and oil shale reserve activities.

STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE

For necessary expenses for Strategic Petroleum Reserve facility development and operations and program management activities pursuant to the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975, (42 U.S.C. 6201 et seq.), \$209,861,000, to remain available until expended.

NORTHEAST HOME HEATING OIL RESERVE

For necessary expenses for Northeast Home Heating Oil Reserve storage, operation, and management activities pursuant to the Energy Policy and Conservation Act, \$11,300,000, to remain available until expended.

ENERGY INFORMATION ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses in carrying out the activities of the Energy Information Administration, \$111,000,000, to remain available until expended.

NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for non-defense environmental cleanup activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, \$244,163,000, to remain available until expended.

URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND

For necessary expenses in carrying out uranium enrichment facility decontamination and decommissioning, remedial actions, and other activities of title II of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, and title X, subtitle A, of the Energy Policy Act of 1992, \$550,000,000 to be derived from the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund, to remain available until expended.

SCIENCE

For Department of Energy expenses including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment, and

other expenses necessary for science activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, and purchase of not more than 57 passenger motor vehicles, 56 of which are for replacement only, including two law enforcement vehicles, two ambulances, and two buses, \$4,904,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That \$202,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012 for program direction: *Provided further*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$61,650,000 shall be used for the projects specified in the table that appears under the heading "Congressionally Directed Office of Science Projects" in the text and table under this heading in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY—ENERGY

For necessary expenses in carrying out the activities authorized by section 5012 of the America COMPETES Act (Public Law 110-69), \$200,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That \$20,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012 for program direction: *Provided further*, That of the funds provided in this paragraph, the Director shall have the authority to fix basic pay and payments in addition to basic pay without regard to the civil service laws, provided that aggregate pay does not exceed the Vice President's salary as specified in 3 U.S.C. section 104.

TITLE 17 INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY LOAN GUARANTEE PROGRAM (INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

Subject to section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, amounts necessary to support commitments to guarantee loans under title XVII of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, not to exceed a total principal amount of \$12,000,000,000, to remain available until committed: *Provided*, That of such amount \$8,000,000,000 is for nuclear power facilities and \$4,000,000,000 is for fossil energy technologies: *Provided further*, That these amounts are in addition to authorities provided in any other Act: *Provided further*, That for amounts collected pursuant to section 1702(b)(2) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, the source of such payment received from borrowers may not be a loan or other debt obligation that is guaranteed by the Federal Government: *Provided further*, That pursuant to section 1702(b)(2) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, no appropriations are available to pay the subsidy cost of such guarantees for nuclear power facilities or fossil energy technologies: *Provided further*, That none of the loan guarantee authority made available in this Act shall be available for commitments to guarantee loans for any projects where funds, personnel, or property (tangible or intangible) of any Federal agency, instrumentality, personnel, or affiliated entity are expected be used (directly or indirectly) through acquisitions, contracts, demonstrations, exchanges, grants, incentives, leases, procurements, sales, other transaction authority, or other arrangements, to support the project or to obtain goods or services from the project: *Provided further*, That the previous proviso shall not be interpreted as precluding the use of the loan guarantee authority in this Act for commitments to guarantee loans for (1) projects as a result of such projects benefitting from otherwise allow-

able Federal income tax benefits; (2) projects as a result of such projects benefitting from being located on Federal land pursuant to a lease or right-of-way agreement for which all consideration for all uses is (A) paid exclusively in cash, (B) deposited in the Treasury as offsetting receipts, and (C) equal to the fair market value as determined by the head of the relevant Federal agency; (3) projects as a result of such projects benefitting from Federal insurance programs, including under section 170 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2210; commonly known as the "Price-Anderson Act"); or (4) electric generation projects using transmission facilities owned or operated by a Federal Power Marketing Administration or the Tennessee Valley Authority that have been authorized, approved, and financed independent of the project receiving the guarantee: *Provided further*, That none of the loan guarantee authority made available in this Act shall be available for any project unless the Director of the Office of Management and Budget has certified in advance in writing that the loan guarantee and the project comply with the provisions under this section: *Provided further*, That in addition to amounts otherwise made available by this Act, \$405,982,000 is appropriated, to remain available until expended, for the cost of loan guarantees for projects that employ: (1) new or significantly improved technologies of renewable energy systems or efficient end-use energy technologies under section 1703 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005; or (2) notwithstanding section 1703(a)(2), commercial technologies of renewable energy systems, efficient end-use energy technologies, or leading edge biofuel projects: *Provided further*, That of the authority provided for commitments to guarantee loans under "Department of Energy—Energy Programs—Title 17 Innovative Technology Loan Guarantee Program" in title III of division C of Public Law 111-8 and title III of division C of Public Law 110-161, \$18,000,000,000 are rescinded: *Provided further*, That an additional amount for necessary administrative expenses to carry out this Loan Guarantee program, \$58,000,000 is appropriated, to remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That \$58,000,000 of the fees collected pursuant to section 1702(h) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 shall be credited as offsetting collections to this account to cover administrative expenses and shall remain available until expended, so as to result in a final fiscal year 2011 appropriations from the general fund estimated at not more than \$0: *Provided further*, That fees collected under such section 1702(h) in excess of the amount appropriated for administrative expenses shall not be available until appropriated.

ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY VEHICLES MANUFACTURING LOAN PROGRAM

For administrative expenses in carrying out the Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing Loan Program, \$9,998,000, to remain available until expended.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

For salaries and expenses of the Department of Energy necessary for departmental administration in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the hire of passenger motor vehicles and official reception and representation expenses not to exceed \$30,000; \$288,872,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, plus such additional amounts as necessary to cover increases in the estimated amount of cost of work for others notwithstanding the provisions of the Anti-Deficiency Act (31 U.S.C.

1511 et seq.): *Provided*, That such increases in cost of work are offset by revenue increases of the same or greater amount, to remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That moneys received by the Department for miscellaneous revenues estimated to total \$119,740,000 in fiscal year 2011 may be retained and used for operating expenses within this account, and shall remain available until September 30, 2012, as authorized by section 201 of Public Law 95-238, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302: *Provided further*, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of miscellaneous revenues received during 2011, and any related appropriated receipt account balances remaining from prior years' miscellaneous revenues, so as to result in a final fiscal year 2011 appropriation from the general fund estimated at not more than \$169,132,000.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, \$42,850,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other incidental expenses necessary for atomic energy defense weapons activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, the purchase of not to exceed one ambulance and one aircraft; \$7,008,835,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, \$30,000,000 is directed for the 09-D-007 LANSCE Refurbishment, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico: *Provided further*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$2,000,000 shall be used for the projects specified in the table that appears under the heading "Congressionally Directed Weapons Activities Projects" in the text and table under this heading in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other incidental expenses necessary for defense nuclear nonproliferation activities, in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, and the purchase of not to exceed one passenger motor vehicle for replacement only, \$2,575,167,000, to remain available until expended.

NAVAL REACTORS

For Department of Energy expenses necessary for naval reactors activities to carry out the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition (by purchase, condemnation, construction, or otherwise) of real property, plant, and capital equipment, facilities, and facility expansion, \$945,133,000, to remain available until expended.

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Administrator in the National Nuclear Security Administration, including official reception and representation expenses not to exceed \$12,000, \$438,267,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$13,150,000 shall be used for the projects specified in the table that appears under the heading "Congressionally Directed Office of the Administrator (NNSA) Projects" in the text and table under this heading in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense environmental cleanup activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, and the purchase of not to exceed two ambulances and one fire truck for replacement only, \$5,260,135,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$33,700,000 shall be transferred to the "Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund": *Provided*, That \$355,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012 for program direction.

OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses, necessary for atomic energy defense, other defense activities, and classified activities, in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, and the purchase of not to exceed 10 passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, \$866,317,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That \$120,244,000 shall be available until September 30, 2012 for program direction: *Provided further*, That within the amounts appropriated, \$2,000,000 shall be used for the projects specified in the table that appears under the heading "Congressionally Directed Other Defense Activities Projects" in the text and table under this heading in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION FUND

Expenditures from the Bonneville Power Administration Fund, established pursuant to Public Law 93-454, are approved for official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$7,000. During fiscal year 2011, no new direct loan obligations may be made.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy, including transmission wheeling and ancillary services pursuant to section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as

applied to the southeastern power area, \$8,034,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302 and section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944, up to \$8,034,000 collected by the Southeastern Power Administration from the sale of power and related services shall be credited to this account as discretionary offsetting collections, to remain available until expended for the sole purpose of funding the annual expenses of the Southeastern Power Administration: *Provided further*, That the sum herein appropriated for annual expenses shall be reduced as collections are received during the fiscal year so as to result in a final fiscal year 2011 appropriation estimated at not more than \$0: *Provided further*, That, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, up to \$74,157,000 collected by the Southeastern Power Administration pursuant to the Flood Control Act of 1944 to recover purchase power and wheeling expenses shall be credited to this account as offsetting collections, to remain available until expended for the sole purpose of making purchase power and wheeling expenditures: *Provided further*, That for purposes of this appropriation, annual expenses means expenditures that are generally recovered in the same year that they are incurred (excluding purchase power and wheeling expenses).

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE,

SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy, for construction and acquisition of transmission lines, substations and appurtenant facilities, and for administrative expenses, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1,500 in carrying out section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the Southwestern Power Administration, \$46,312,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302 and section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), up to \$33,613,000 collected by the Southwestern Power Administration from the sale of power and related services shall be credited to this account as discretionary offsetting collections, to remain available until expended, for the sole purpose of funding the annual expenses of the Southwestern Power Administration: *Provided further*, That the sum herein appropriated for annual expenses shall be reduced as collections are received during the fiscal year so as to result in a final fiscal year 2011 appropriation estimated at not more than \$12,699,000: *Provided further*, That, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, up to \$39,000,000 collected by the Southwestern Power Administration pursuant to the Flood Control Act of 1944 to recover purchase power and wheeling expenses shall be credited to this account as offsetting collections, to remain available until expended for the sole purpose of making purchase power and wheeling expenditures: *Provided further*, That for purposes of this appropriation, annual expenses means expenditures that are generally recovered in the same year that they are incurred (excluding purchase power and wheeling expenses).

CONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

For carrying out the functions authorized by title III, section 302(a)(1)(E) of the Act of August 4, 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7152), and other related activities including conservation and renewable resources programs as authorized,

including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1,500; \$285,864,000 to remain available until expended, of which \$277,430,000 shall be derived from the Department of the Interior Reclamation Fund: *Provided*, That notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), and section 1 of the Interior Department Appropriation Act, 1939 (43 U.S.C. 392a), up to \$180,306,000 collected by the Western Area Power Administration from the sale of power and related services shall be credited to this account as discretionary offsetting collections, to remain available until expended, for the sole purpose of funding the annual expenses of the Western Area Power Administration: *Provided further*, That the sum herein appropriated for annual expenses shall be reduced as collections are received during the fiscal year so as to result in a final fiscal year 2011 appropriation estimated at not more than \$105,558,000, of which \$97,124,000 is derived from the Reclamation Fund: *Provided further*, That of the amount herein appropriated, \$7,627,000 is for deposit into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account pursuant to title IV of the Reclamation Projects Authorization and Adjustment Act of 1992: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, up to \$350,919,000 collected by the Western Area Power Administration pursuant to the Flood Control Act of 1944 and the Reclamation Project Act of 1939 to recover purchase power and wheeling expenses shall be credited to this account as offsetting collections, to remain available until expended for the sole purpose of making purchase power and wheeling expenditures: *Provided further*, That for purposes of this appropriation, annual expenses means expenditures that are generally recovered in the same year that they are incurred (excluding purchase power and wheeling expenses).

FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND

For operation, maintenance, and emergency costs for the hydroelectric facilities at the Falcon and Amistad Dams, \$3,715,000, to remain available until expended, and to be derived from the Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund of the Western Area Power Administration, as provided in section 2 of the Act of June 18, 1954 (68 Stat. 255): *Provided*, That notwithstanding the provisions of that Act and of 31 U.S.C. 3302, up to \$3,495,000 collected by the Western Area Power Administration from the sale of power and related services from the Falcon and Amistad Dams shall be credited to this account as discretionary offsetting collections, to remain available until expended for the sole purpose of funding the annual expenses of the hydroelectric facilities of these Dams and associated Western Area Power Administration activities: *Provided further*, That the sum herein appropriated for annual expenses shall be reduced as collections are received during the fiscal year so as to result in a final fiscal year 2011 appropriation estimated at not more than \$220,000: *Provided further*, That for purposes of this appropriation, annual expenses means expenditures that are generally recovered in the same year that they are incurred.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to carry out the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C.

3109, the hire of passenger motor vehicles, and official reception and representation expenses not to exceed \$3,000, \$315,600,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, not to exceed \$315,600,000 of revenues from fees and annual charges, and other services and collections in fiscal year 2011 shall be retained and used for necessary expenses in this account, and shall remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That the sum herein appropriated from the general fund shall be reduced as revenues are received during fiscal year 2011 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2011 appropriation from the general fund estimated at not more than \$0.

GENERAL PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

SEC. 301. (a) None of the funds provided in this title shall be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming of funds that—

- (1) creates or initiates a new program, project, or activity;
- (2) eliminates a program, project, or activity;
- (3) increases funds or personnel for any program, project, or activity for which funds are denied or restricted by this Act;
- (4) reduces funds that are directed to be used for a specific program, project, or activity by this Act;
- (5) increases funds for any program, project, or activity by more than \$5,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less; or
- (6) reduces funds for any program, project, or activity by more than \$5,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less;

(b) The Secretary of Energy may waive this restriction on reprogramming under subsection (a) for reasons of national security, safety and health, environmental risk, or to accomplish project completion. In instances involving the National Nuclear Security Administration, the Secretary and the Administrator must jointly waive the restriction.

SEC. 302. None of the funds made available in this title and subsequent appropriation acts may be used to prepare or initiate Requests For Proposals (RFPs) or similar arrangements (including but not limited to: Requests for Quotations (RFQs), Requests for Information (RFIs), Funding Opportunity Announcements (FOAs), etc.) for a program or activity if the program or activity has not been funded by Congress.

SEC. 303. None of the funds appropriated by this Act and subsequent appropriation acts may be used—

- (1) to augment the funds made available for obligation by this Act for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants under section 4604 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2704) unless the Department of Energy submits a reprogramming request to the appropriate congressional committees; or
- (2) to provide enhanced severance payments or other benefits for employees of the Department of Energy under such section; or
- (3) develop or implement a workforce restructuring plan that covers employees of the Department of Energy.

SEC. 304. Plant or construction projects for which amounts are made available under this and subsequent appropriation Acts with an estimated cost of less than \$10,000,000 are considered for purposes of section 4703 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2743) as a plant project for which the approved total estimated cost does not exceed the minor construction threshold and for pur-

poses of section 4704 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2744) as a construction project with an estimated cost of less than a minor construction threshold.

SEC. 305. The unexpended balances of prior appropriations provided for activities in this title may be available to the same appropriation accounts for such activities established pursuant to this title. Available balances may be merged with funds in the applicable established accounts and thereafter may be accounted for as one fund for the same time period as originally enacted.

SEC. 306. Funds appropriated by this or any other Act, or made available by the transfer of funds in this Act, for intelligence activities are deemed to be specifically authorized by the Congress for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 414) during fiscal year 2011 until the enactment of the Intelligence Authorization Act for fiscal year 2011.

SEC. 307. None of the funds made available in this title may be used to approve critical decision-2 or critical decision-3 under Department of Energy Order 413.3A, or any successive departmental guidance, for construction projects where the total project cost exceeds \$100,000,000, until a separate independent cost estimate has been developed for the project for that critical decision.

SEC. 308. None of the funds made available in this title may be used to take any action to authorize the construction of any liquefied natural gas terminal or its infrastructure to be located within 5 miles of the City of Fall River, Massachusetts, or to authorize vessels carrying liquefied natural gas to serve such terminal.

SEC. 309. None of the funds made available by this title may be used to make a discretionary grant allocation, discretionary grant award, discretionary contract award, Other Transaction Agreement, or to issue a letter of intent totaling in excess of \$1,000,000, or to announce publicly the intention to make such an award, including a contract covered by the Federal Acquisition Regulation, unless the Secretary of Energy notifies the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives at least 3 full business days in advance of making such an award or issuing such a letter. The notification shall include the recipient, the amount of the award, the fiscal year for which the funds for the award were appropriated, and the account and program or activity from which the funds are being drawn. If the Secretary of the Department of Energy determines that compliance with this section would pose a substantial risk to human life, health, or safety, an award may be made without notification and the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives shall be notified not later than 5 full business days after such an award is made or letter issued. Purchases of power or transmission services made by the Federal Power Marketing Administrations shall not be subject to the notification requirements of this section.

SEC. 310. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no funds appropriated in this Act, or any other act, may be used in fiscal year 2011 to transfer, sell, barter, distribute, or otherwise provide more than 3.3 million pounds of natural uranium equivalent of uranium in any form from the Department's inventory.

(b) Any transfer, sale, barter, distribution, or other provision of uranium in any form under subsection (a) shall be carried out consistent with the Department's Excess Uranium Inventory Management Plan, dated December 16, 2008.

(c) The prohibition in subsection (a) shall not apply to the transfer, sale, barter, distribution, or provision of uranium in any form for use in initial reactor cores.

(d) Not less than 30 days prior to the provision of uranium in any form in accordance with this section, the Secretary shall notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, including:

- (1) the amount of uranium to be bartered;
- (2) the estimated market value of the uranium;
- (3) the expected date of provision of the uranium; and
- (4) the recipient of the uranium.

SEC. 311. None of the funds made available by this title or prior appropriation Acts may be used to make a final or conditional loan guarantee award unless the Secretary of Energy provides notification of the award, including the proposed subsidy cost, to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives at least three full business days in advance of such award.

SEC. 312. (a) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary of Energy shall submit to Congress each year, at the time that the President's budget is submitted to Congress that year under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, a future-years energy program reflecting the estimated expenditures and proposed appropriations included in that budget. Any such future-years energy program shall cover the fiscal year with respect to which the budget is submitted and at least the four succeeding fiscal years. A future-years energy program shall be included in the fiscal year 2013 budget submission to Congress and every fiscal year thereafter.

(b) ELEMENTS.—Each future-years energy program shall contain the following:

(1) The estimated expenditures and proposed appropriations necessary to support programs, projects, and activities of the Secretary of Energy during the five fiscal year period covered by the program, expressed in a level of detail comparable to that contained in the budget submitted by the President to Congress under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code.

(2) The estimated expenditures and proposed appropriations shaped by high-level, prioritized program and budgetary guidance that is consistent with the Administration's policies and out-year budget projections and reviewed by the Department's senior leadership to ensure that the future-years energy program is consistent and congruent with previously established program and budgetary guidance.

(3) A description of the anticipated workload requirements for each national laboratory during the five fiscal year period.

(c) CONSISTENCY IN BUDGETING.—

(1) The Secretary of Energy shall ensure that amounts described in subparagraph (A) of paragraph (2) for any fiscal year are consistent with amounts described in subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2) for that fiscal year.

(2) Amounts referred to in paragraph (1) are the following:

(A) The amounts specified in program and budget information submitted to Congress by the Secretary of Energy in support of expenditure estimates and proposed appropriations in the budget submitted to Congress by the President under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, for any fiscal year, as shown in the future-years energy program submitted pursuant to subsection (a).

(B) The total amounts of estimated expenditures and proposed appropriations nec-

essary to support the programs, projects, and activities of the Administration included pursuant to paragraph (5) of section 1105(a) of such title in the budget submitted to Congress under that section for any fiscal year.

TITLE IV

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

For expenses necessary to carry out the programs authorized by the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965, for necessary expenses for the Federal Co-Chairman and the Alternate on the Appalachian Regional Commission, for payment of the Federal share of the administrative expenses of the Commission, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, and hire of passenger motor vehicles, \$76,000,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board in carrying out activities authorized by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended by Public Law 100-456, section 1441, \$26,086,000, to remain available until expended.

DELTA REGIONAL AUTHORITY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Delta Regional Authority and to carry out its activities, as authorized by the Delta Regional Authority Act of 2000, notwithstanding sections 382C(b)(2), 382F(d), 382M, and 382N of said Act, \$13,000,000, to remain available until expended.

DENALI COMMISSION

For expenses of the Denali Commission including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment as necessary and other expenses, \$11,965,000, to remain available until expended, notwithstanding the limitations contained in section 306(g) of the Denali Commission Act of 1998: *Provided*, That funds shall be available for construction projects in an amount not to exceed 80 percent of total project cost for distressed communities, as defined by section 307 of the Denali Commission Act of 1998 (division C, title III, Public Law 105-277), as amended by section 701 of appendix D, title VII, Public Law 106-113 (113 Stat. 1501A-280), and an amount not to exceed 50 percent for nondistressed communities.

NORTHERN BORDER REGIONAL COMMISSION

For necessary expenses of the Northern Border Regional Commission in carrying out activities authorized by subtitle V of title 40, United States Code, notwithstanding section 15751(b), \$1,500,000, to remain available until expended.

SOUTHEAST CRESCENT REGIONAL COMMISSION

For necessary expenses of the Southeast Crescent Regional Commission in carrying out activities authorized by subtitle V of title 40, United States Code, notwithstanding section 15751(b), \$250,000, to remain available until expended.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in carrying out the purposes of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974 and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, including official representation expenses (not to exceed \$25,000), \$1,053,483,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That of the amount appropriated herein, \$10,000,000 shall be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: *Provided further*, That revenues from

licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections estimated at \$915,220,000 in fiscal year 2011 shall be retained and used for necessary salaries and expenses in this account, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, and shall remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 2011 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2011 appropriation estimated at not more than \$138,263,000: *Provided further*, That of the amounts appropriated, \$10,000,000 is provided to support university research and development in areas relevant to their respective organization's mission, and \$5,000,000 is to support a Nuclear Science and Engineering Grant Program that will support multiyear projects that do not align with programmatic missions but are critical to maintaining the discipline of nuclear science and engineering.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$10,102,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That revenues from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections estimated at \$9,092,000 in fiscal year 2011 shall be retained and be available until expended, for necessary salaries and expenses in this account, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302: *Provided further*, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 2011 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2011 appropriation estimated at not more than \$1,010,000.

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, as authorized by Public Law 100-203, section 5051, \$3,891,000, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund, and to remain available until expended.

OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL COORDINATOR FOR ALASKA NATURAL GAS TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS

For necessary expenses for the Office of the Federal Coordinator for Alaska Natural Gas Transportation Projects pursuant to the Alaska Natural Gas Pipeline Act of 2004, \$4,285,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That any fees, charges, or commissions received pursuant to section 802 of Public Law 110-140 in fiscal year 2011 in excess of \$4,683,000 shall not be available for obligation until appropriated in a subsequent Act of Congress.

TITLE V

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 501. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. 1913.

SEC. 502. None of the funds made available in this Act may be transferred to any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States Government, except pursuant to a transfer made by, or transfer authority provided in this Act or any other appropriation Act.

This division may be cited as the "Energy and Water Development and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2011".

**DIVISION E—FINANCIAL SERVICES AND
GENERAL GOVERNMENT APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011**

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Departmental Offices including operation and maintenance of the Treasury Building and Annex; hire of passenger motor vehicles; maintenance, repairs, and improvements of, and purchase of commercial insurance policies for, real properties leased or owned overseas, when necessary for the performance of official business, \$334,650,000, of which not to exceed \$38,531,000 is for executive direction program activities; not to exceed \$66,918,000 is for economic policies and programs activities, including \$1,000,000 that shall be transferred to the National Academy of Sciences for a study by the Board on Mathematical Sciences and Their Applications on the long-term economic effects of the aging population in the United States, to remain available until September 30, 2012; not to exceed \$86,075,000 is for financial policies and programs activities; not to exceed \$102,613,000 is for terrorism and financial intelligence activities; and not to exceed \$40,512,000 is for Treasury-wide management policies and programs activities: *Provided*, That the Secretary of the Treasury is authorized to transfer funds appropriated for any program activity of the Departmental Offices to any other program activity of the Departmental Offices upon notification to the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That no appropriation for any program activity shall be increased or decreased by more than 4 percent by all such transfers: *Provided further*, That any change in funding greater than 4 percent shall be submitted for approval to the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, not to exceed \$3,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, is for information technology modernization requirements; not to exceed \$200,000 is for official reception and representation expenses; \$400,000 is to support increased international representation commitments of the Secretary; and not to exceed \$258,000 is for unforeseen emergencies of a confidential nature, to be allocated and expended under the direction of the Secretary of the Treasury and to be accounted for solely on his certificate: *Provided further*, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, \$6,787,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, is for the Treasury-wide Financial Statement Audit and Internal Control Program, of which such amounts as may be necessary may be transferred to accounts of the Department's offices and bureaus to conduct audits: *Provided further*, That this transfer authority shall be in addition to any other provided in this Act: *Provided further*, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, \$500,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, is for secure space requirements: *Provided further*, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, \$1,100,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, is for salary and benefits for hiring of personnel whose work will require completion of a security clearance investigation in order to perform highly classified work to further the activities of the Office of Terrorism and Financial Intelligence: *Provided further*, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, up to \$3,400,000, to remain available

until September 30, 2013, is to develop and implement programs within the Office of Critical Infrastructure Protection and Compliance Policy, including entering into cooperative agreements: *Provided further*, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, \$3,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013, is for modernizing the Office of Debt Management's information technology: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, up to \$1,000,000, may be contributed to the Global Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information for Tax Purposes, a Part II Program of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), to cover the cost assessed by that organization for Treasury's participation therein, and to the Forum on Tax Administration of the OECD in which the Internal Revenue Service participates, to support the work of that forum to improve global tax administration: *Provided further*, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, \$2,500,000 shall be to supplement and not supplant training, recruitment, retention, and hiring additional members of the acquisition workforce as defined by the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 401 et seq.) and for information technology in support of acquisition workforce effectiveness and management.

**DEPARTMENT-WIDE SYSTEMS AND CAPITAL
INVESTMENTS PROGRAMS**

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For development and acquisition of automatic data processing equipment, software, and services for the Department of the Treasury, \$11,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That these funds shall be transferred to accounts and in amounts as necessary to satisfy the requirements of the Department's offices, bureaus, and other organizations: *Provided further*, That this transfer authority shall be in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be used to support or supplement "Internal Revenue Service, Operations Support" or "Internal Revenue Service, Business Systems Modernization".

**OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL
SALARIES AND EXPENSES**

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, \$32,269,000, of which not to exceed \$2,000,000 for official travel expenses, including hire of passenger motor vehicles; of which not to exceed \$100,000 for unforeseen emergencies of a confidential nature, to be allocated and expended under the direction of the Inspector General of the Treasury, and of which not to exceed \$2,500 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses.

**TREASURY INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR TAX
ADMINISTRATION
SALARIES AND EXPENSES**

For necessary expenses of the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration in carrying out the Inspector General Act of 1978, including purchase (not to exceed 150 for replacement only for police-type use) and hire of passenger motor vehicles (31 U.S.C. 1343(b)); services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, at such rates as may be determined by the Inspector General for Tax Administration; \$155,452,000, of which not to exceed \$6,000,000 shall be available for official travel expenses; of which not to exceed \$500,000 shall be available for unforeseen emergencies of a con-

fidential nature, to be allocated and expended under the direction of the Inspector General for Tax Administration; and of which not to exceed \$1,500 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses.

**SPECIAL INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR THE
TROUBLED ASSET RELIEF PROGRAM
SALARIES AND EXPENSES**

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Special Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-343), \$49,600,000.

**FINANCIAL CRIMES ENFORCEMENT NETWORK
SALARIES AND EXPENSES**

For necessary expenses of the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, including hire of passenger motor vehicles; travel and training expenses, including for course development, of non-Federal and foreign government personnel to attend meetings and training concerned with domestic and foreign financial intelligence activities, law enforcement, and financial regulation; not to exceed \$14,000 for official reception and representation expenses; and for assistance to Federal law enforcement agencies, with or without reimbursement, \$121,000,000, of which not to exceed \$45,835,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2013; and of which \$9,268,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That funds appropriated in this account may be used to procure personal services contracts.

**TREASURY FORFEITURE FUND
(RESCISSION)**

Of the unobligated balances available under this heading, \$370,000,000 are rescinded.

**FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SERVICE
SALARIES AND EXPENSES**

For necessary expenses of the Financial Management Service, \$235,253,000, of which not to exceed \$9,220,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2013, for information systems modernization initiatives; and of which not to exceed \$2,500 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses.

**ALCOHOL AND TOBACCO TAX AND TRADE
BUREAU**

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of carrying out section 1111 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002, including hire of passenger motor vehicles, \$101,000,000; of which not to exceed \$6,000 for official reception and representation expenses; not to exceed \$50,000 for cooperative research and development programs for laboratory services; and provision of laboratory assistance to State and local agencies with or without reimbursement.

UNITED STATES MINT

UNITED STATES MINT PUBLIC ENTERPRISE FUND
Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 5136, the United States Mint is provided funding through the United States Mint Public Enterprise Fund for costs associated with the production of circulating coins, numismatic coins, and protective services, including both operating expenses and capital investments. The aggregate amount of new liabilities and obligations incurred during fiscal year 2011 under such section 5136 for circulating coinage and protective service capital investments of the United States Mint shall not exceed \$25,000,000.

**BUREAU OF THE PUBLIC DEBT
ADMINISTERING THE PUBLIC DEBT**

For necessary expenses connected with any public-debt issues of the United States,

\$185,985,000, of which not to exceed \$2,500 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses, and of which not to exceed \$2,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2013, for systems modernization: *Provided*, That the sum appropriated herein from the general fund for fiscal year 2011 shall be reduced by not more than \$10,000,000 as definitive security issue fees and Legacy Treasury Direct Investor Account Maintenance fees are collected, so as to result in a final fiscal year 2011 appropriation from the general fund estimated at \$175,985,000. In addition, \$110,000 to be derived from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund to reimburse the Bureau for administrative and personnel expenses for financial management of the Fund, as authorized by section 1012 of Public Law 101-380.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

To carry out the Community Development Banking and Financial Institutions Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-325), including services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the rate for ES-3, notwithstanding 12 U.S.C. 4707(d) and (e), \$277,400,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012; of which \$12,000,000 shall be for financial assistance, technical assistance, training and outreach programs designed to benefit Native American, Native Hawaiian, and Alaskan Native communities and provided primarily through qualified community development lender organizations with experience and expertise in community development banking and lending in Indian country, Native American organizations, tribes and tribal organizations and other suitable providers; of which \$1,000,000 shall be available for the grant program under section 1132 of division A of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-289); of which, notwithstanding 12 U.S.C. 4707(d) and (e), up to \$25,000,000 shall be for a Healthy Food Financing Initiative to provide grants and loans to community development financial institutions for the purpose of offering affordable financing and technical assistance to expand the availability of healthy food options in distressed communities; of which up to \$52,400,000 shall be for initiatives designed to enable individuals with low or moderate income levels to establish bank accounts and to improve access to the provision of bank accounts as authorized by sections 1204 and 1205 of Public Law 111-203, of which not less than \$2,400,000 shall be for an eligible entity or entities located in the State of Hawaii; of which up to \$5,000,000 shall be for grants to establish loan-loss reserve funds to defray the costs of small dollar loan programs as authorized by section 1206 of Public Law 111-203; and of which up to \$26,000,000 may be used for administrative expenses, including administration of the New Markets Tax Credit.

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE TAXPAYER SERVICES

For necessary expenses of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) to provide taxpayer services, including pre-filing assistance and education, filing and account services, taxpayer advocacy services, and other services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, at such rates as may be determined by the Commissioner, \$2,338,215,000, of which not less than \$6,500,000 shall be for the Tax Counseling for the Elderly Program, of which not less than \$10,500,000 shall be available for low-income taxpayer clinic grants, of which not less than \$14,000,000, to remain available until Sep-

tember 30, 2012, shall be available for a Community Volunteer Income Tax Assistance matching grants program for tax return preparation assistance, and of which not less than \$212,500,000 shall be available for operating expenses of the Taxpayer Advocate Service.

ENFORCEMENT (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses for tax enforcement activities of the IRS to determine and collect owed taxes, to provide legal and litigation support, to conduct criminal investigations, to enforce criminal statutes related to violations of internal revenue laws and other financial crimes, to purchase (for police-type use, not to exceed 850) and hire passenger motor vehicles (31 U.S.C. 1343(b)), and to provide other services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, at such rates as may be determined by the Commissioner, \$5,709,547,000, of which not less than \$60,257,000 shall be for the Interagency Crime and Drug Enforcement program: *Provided*, That up to \$10,000,000 may be transferred as necessary from this account to "Operations Support" solely for the purposes of the Interagency Crime and Drug Enforcement program: *Provided further*, That this transfer authority shall be in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act.

OPERATIONS SUPPORT

For necessary expenses of the IRS to support taxpayer services and enforcement programs, including rent payments; facilities services; printing; postage; physical security; headquarters and other IRS-wide administration activities; research and statistics of income; telecommunications; information technology development, enhancement, operations, maintenance, and security; the hire of passenger motor vehicles (31 U.S.C. 1343(b)); and other services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, at such rates as may be determined by the Commissioner, \$4,079,591,000, of which up to \$75,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012, for information technology support; of which up to \$65,000,000 shall remain available until expended for acquisition of real property, equipment, construction and renovation of facilities; and of which not to exceed \$1,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2013, for research; of which not less than \$2,000,000 shall be for the IRS Oversight Board; of which not to exceed \$25,000 shall be for official reception and representation.

BUSINESS SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION

For necessary expenses of the IRS's business systems modernization program, \$364,181,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013, for the capital asset acquisition of information technology systems, including management and related contractual costs of said acquisitions, including related IRS labor costs, and contractual costs associated with operations authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109: *Provided*, That, with the exception of labor costs, none of these funds may be obligated until the IRS submits to the Committees on Appropriations, and such Committees approve, a plan for expenditure that: (1) meets the capital planning and investment control review requirements established by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), including Circular A-11; (2) complies with the IRS's enterprise architecture, including the modernization blueprint; (3) conforms with the IRS's enterprise life cycle methodology; (4) is approved by the IRS, the Department of the Treasury, and OMB; (5) has been reviewed by the Government Accountability Office; and (6) complies with the acquisition

rules, requirements, guidelines, and systems acquisition management practices of the Federal Government.

HEALTH INSURANCE TAX CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

For expenses necessary to implement the health insurance tax credit included in the Trade Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-210), \$18,987,000.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 101. Not to exceed 5 percent of any appropriation made available in this Act to the IRS or not to exceed 3 percent of appropriations under the heading "Enforcement" may be transferred to any other IRS appropriation upon the advance approval of the Committees on Appropriations.

SEC. 102. The IRS shall maintain a training program to ensure that IRS employees are trained in taxpayers' rights, in dealing courteously with taxpayers, and in cross-cultural relations.

SEC. 103. The IRS shall institute and enforce policies and procedures that will safeguard the confidentiality of taxpayer information.

SEC. 104. Funds made available by this or any other Act to the IRS shall be available for improved facilities and increased staffing to provide sufficient and effective 1-800 help line service for taxpayers. The Commissioner shall continue to make the improvement of the IRS 1-800 help line service a priority and allocate resources necessary to increase phone lines and staff to improve the IRS 1-800 help line service.

SEC. 105. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to enter into, renew, extend, administer, implement, enforce, or provide oversight of any qualified tax collection contract (as defined in section 6306 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986).

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

SEC. 106. Appropriations to the Department of the Treasury in this Act shall be available for uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by law (5 U.S.C. 5901), including maintenance, repairs, and cleaning; purchase of insurance for official motor vehicles operated in foreign countries; purchase of motor vehicles without regard to the general purchase price limitations for vehicles purchased and used overseas for the current fiscal year; entering into contracts with the Department of State for the furnishing of health and medical services to employees and their dependents serving in foreign countries; and services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109.

SEC. 107. Not to exceed 2 percent of any appropriations in this Act made available to the Departmental Offices—Salaries and Expenses, Office of Inspector General, Special Inspector General for the Troubled Asset Relief Program, Financial Management Service, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, and Bureau of the Public Debt, may be transferred between such appropriations upon the advance approval of the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided*, That no transfer may increase or decrease any such appropriation by more than 2 percent.

SEC. 108. Not to exceed 2 percent of any appropriation made available in this Act to the IRS may be transferred to the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration's appropriation upon the advance approval of the

Committees on Appropriations: *Provided*, That no transfer may increase or decrease any such appropriation by more than 2 percent.

SEC. 109. Of the funds available for the purchase of law enforcement vehicles, no funds may be obligated until the Secretary of the Treasury certifies that the purchase by the respective Treasury bureau is consistent with departmental vehicle management principles: *Provided*, That the Secretary may delegate this authority to the Assistant Secretary for Management.

SEC. 110. None of the funds appropriated in this Act or otherwise available to the Department of the Treasury or the Bureau of Engraving and Printing may be used to redesign the \$1 Federal Reserve note.

SEC. 111. The Secretary of the Treasury may transfer funds from Financial Management Service, Salaries and Expenses to the Debt Collection Fund as necessary to cover the costs of debt collection: *Provided*, That such amounts shall be reimbursed to such salaries and expenses account from debt collections received in the Debt Collection Fund.

SEC. 112. Section 122(g)(1) of Public Law 105-119 (5 U.S.C. 3104 note), is further amended by striking "12 years" and inserting "13 years".

SEC. 113. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this or any other Act may be used by the United States Mint to construct or operate any museum without the explicit approval of the Committees on Appropriations, the House Committee on Financial Services, and the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs.

SEC. 114. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this or any other Act or source to the Department of the Treasury, the Bureau of Engraving and Printing, and the United States Mint, individually or collectively, may be used to consolidate any or all functions of the Bureau of Engraving and Printing and the United States Mint without the explicit approval of the House Committee on Financial Services; the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs; and the Committees on Appropriations.

SEC. 115. Funds appropriated by this Act, or made available by the transfer of funds in this Act, for the Department of the Treasury's intelligence or intelligence related activities are deemed to be specifically authorized by the Congress for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 414) during fiscal year 2011 until the enactment of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011.

SEC. 116. Not to exceed \$5,000 shall be made available from the Bureau of Engraving and Printing's Industrial Revolving Fund for necessary official reception and representation expenses.

SEC. 117. The Secretary of the Treasury shall notify the Committees on Appropriations of any proposed transfer of funds available under 31 U.S.C. 9703(g)(4)(B) from the Department of the Treasury Forfeiture Fund to any agency or account within the Department of the Treasury: *Provided*, That none of the funds identified for such transfer may be obligated until the Committees on Appropriations approve the proposed transfers in writing: *Provided further*, That none of the funds identified for such transfers may be used to initiate or resume any project, program, or activity for which appropriations, funds, or other authority are not available during fiscal year 2011: *Provided further*, That

none of the funds identified for such transfer may be used during fiscal year 2011 for any project, program, or activity for which appropriations, funds, or other authority will be necessary to continue or complete such project, program, or activity in fiscal year 2012 or thereafter without prior notification of the multi-year nature and cost estimate of the project, program, or activity and written approval of the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That none of the funds identified for such transfer may be used for the purpose of any large-scale information technology modernization project.

SEC. 118. The Secretary of the Treasury shall submit a Capital Investment Plan to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 30 days following the submission of the annual budget for the Administration submitted by the President. Such Capital Investment Plan shall include capital investment spending included in the annual budget for the administration on programs, projects, or activities of the Department of the Treasury from all accounts within the Department of the Treasury, including but not limited to the Department-wide Systems and Capital Investment Programs account, the Working Capital Fund account, and the Treasury Forfeiture Fund account. Such Capital Investment Plan shall include expenditures occurring in previous fiscal years for each capital investment project that has not been fully completed.

This title may be cited as the "Department of the Treasury Appropriations Act, 2011".

TITLE II

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT AND FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

COMPENSATION OF THE PRESIDENT

For compensation of the President, including an expense allowance at the rate of \$50,000 per annum as authorized by 3 U.S.C. 102, \$450,000: *Provided*, That none of the funds made available for official expenses shall be expended for any other purpose and any unused amount shall revert to the Treasury pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1552.

THE WHITE HOUSE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for the White House as authorized by law, including not to exceed \$3,850,000 for services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109 and 3 U.S.C. 105; subsistence expenses as authorized by 3 U.S.C. 105, which shall be expended and accounted for as provided in that section; hire of passenger motor vehicles, newspapers, periodicals, teletype news service, and travel (not to exceed \$100,000 to be expended and accounted for as provided by 3 U.S.C. 103); and not to exceed \$19,000 for official entertainment expenses, to be available for allocation within the Executive Office of the President; and for necessary expenses of the Office of Policy Development, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109 and 3 U.S.C. 107, \$59,859,000, of which not less than \$1,400,000 shall be for the Office of National AIDS Policy.

EXECUTIVE RESIDENCE AT THE WHITE HOUSE OPERATING EXPENSES

For the care, maintenance, repair and alteration, refurbishing, improvement, heating, and lighting, including electric power and fixtures, of the Executive Residence at the White House and official entertainment expenses of the President, \$14,006,000, to be expended and accounted for as provided by 3 U.S.C. 105, 109, 110, and 112-114.

REIMBURSABLE EXPENSES

For the reimbursable expenses of the Executive Residence at the White House, such

sums as may be necessary: *Provided*, That all reimbursable operating expenses of the Executive Residence shall be made in accordance with the provisions of this paragraph: *Provided further*, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, such amount for reimbursable operating expenses shall be the exclusive authority of the Executive Residence to incur obligations and to receive offsetting collections, for such expenses: *Provided further*, That the Executive Residence shall require each person sponsoring a reimbursable political event to pay in advance an amount equal to the estimated cost of the event, and all such advance payments shall be credited to this account and remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That the Executive Residence shall require the national committee of the political party of the President to maintain on deposit \$25,000, to be separately accounted for and available for expenses relating to reimbursable political events sponsored by such committee during such fiscal year: *Provided further*, That the Executive Residence shall ensure that a written notice of any amount owed for a reimbursable operating expense under this paragraph is submitted to the person owing such amount within 60 days after such expense is incurred, and that such amount is collected within 30 days after the submission of such notice: *Provided further*, That the Executive Residence shall charge interest and assess penalties and other charges on any such amount that is not reimbursed within such 30 days, in accordance with the interest and penalty provisions applicable to an outstanding debt on a United States Government claim under 31 U.S.C. 3717: *Provided further*, That each such amount that is reimbursed, and any accompanying interest and charges, shall be deposited in the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts: *Provided further*, That the Executive Residence shall prepare and submit to the Committees on Appropriations, by not later than 90 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Act, a report setting forth the reimbursable operating expenses of the Executive Residence during the preceding fiscal year, including the total amount of such expenses, the amount of such total that consists of reimbursable official and ceremonial events, the amount of such total that consists of reimbursable political events, and the portion of each such amount that has been reimbursed as of the date of the report: *Provided further*, That the Executive Residence shall maintain a system for the tracking of expenses related to reimbursable events within the Executive Residence that includes a standard for the classification of any such expense as political or nonpolitical: *Provided further*, That no provision of this paragraph may be construed to exempt the Executive Residence from any other applicable requirement of subchapter I or II of chapter 37 of title 31, United States Code.

WHITE HOUSE REPAIR AND RESTORATION

For the repair, alteration, and improvement of the Executive Residence at the White House, \$2,005,000, to remain available until expended, for required maintenance, resolution of safety and health issues, and continued preventative maintenance.

COUNCIL OF ECONOMIC ADVISERS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Council of Economic Advisers in carrying out its functions under the Employment Act of 1946 (15 U.S.C. 1021 et seq.), \$4,403,000.

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL AND HOMELAND SECURITY COUNCIL

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the National Security Council and the Homeland Security Council, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, \$14,134,000.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Office of Administration, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109 and 3 U.S.C. 107, and hire of passenger motor vehicles, \$115,280,000, of which \$12,777,000 shall remain available until expended for continued modernization of the information technology infrastructure within the Executive Office of the President.

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), including hire of passenger motor vehicles and services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109 and to carry out the provisions of chapter 35 of title 44, U.S.C., \$92,863,000, of which not to exceed \$3,000 shall be available for official representation expenses: *Provided*, That none of the funds appropriated in this Act for OMB may be used for the purpose of reviewing any agricultural marketing orders or any activities or regulations under the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937 (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.): *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available for OMB by this Act may be expended for the altering of the transcript of actual testimony of witnesses, except for testimony of officials of OMB, before the Committees on Appropriations or their subcommittees: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided in this or prior Acts shall be used, directly or indirectly, by OMB, for evaluating or determining if water resource project or study reports submitted by the Chief of Engineers acting through the Secretary of the Army are in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations, and requirements relevant to the Civil Works water resource planning process: *Provided further*, That OMB shall have not more than 60 days in which to perform budgetary policy reviews of water resource matters on which the Chief of Engineers has reported: *Provided further*, That the Director of OMB shall notify the appropriate authorizing and appropriating committees when the 60-day review is initiated: *Provided further*, That if water resource reports have not been transmitted to the appropriate authorizing and appropriating committees within 15 days after the end of the OMB review period based on the notification from the Director, Congress shall assume OMB concurrence with the report and act accordingly.

GOVERNMENT-WIDE MANAGEMENT COUNCILS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 1346 and section 708 of this Act, the head of each Executive department and agency is hereby authorized to transfer to or reimburse "General Services Administration, Government-wide Policy" with the approval of the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), funds made available for fiscal year 2011 by this or any other Act, including rebates from charge card and other contracts: *Provided*, That these funds shall be administered by the Administrator of General Services to support Government-wide and other multi-agency financial, information technology, procurement, and other management innovations, initiatives, and activities, as approved

by the Director of OMB, in consultation with the appropriate interagency and multi-agency groups designated by the Director, including the President's Management Council for overall management improvement initiatives, the Chief Financial Officers Council for financial management initiatives, the Chief Information Officers Council for information technology initiatives, the Chief Human Capital Officers Council for human capital initiatives, the Chief Acquisition Officers Council for procurement initiatives, and the Performance Improvement Council for performance improvement initiatives: *Provided further*, That the total funds transferred or reimbursed shall not exceed \$17,000,000: *Provided further*, That the funds transferred to or for reimbursement of "General Services Administration, Government-wide Policy" during fiscal year 2011 shall remain available for obligation through September 30, 2012: *Provided further*, That such transfers or reimbursements may only be made following written approval of the Committees on Appropriations.

OFFICE OF NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL POLICY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Office of National Drug Control Policy; for research activities pursuant to the Office of National Drug Control Policy Reauthorization Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-469); not to exceed \$10,000 for official reception and representation expenses; and for participation in joint projects or in the provision of services on matters of mutual interest with nonprofit, research, or public organizations or agencies, with or without reimbursement, \$27,900,000; of which up to \$1,235,000 may remain available until expended upon receipt of an expenditure plan for policy research and evaluation: *Provided*, That the Office is authorized to accept, hold, administer, and utilize gifts, both real and personal, public and private, without fiscal year limitation, for the purpose of aiding or facilitating the work of the Office.

FEDERAL DRUG CONTROL PROGRAMS

HIGH INTENSITY DRUG TRAFFICKING AREAS PROGRAM

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Office of National Drug Control Policy's High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program, \$239,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, for drug control activities consistent with the approved strategy for each of the designated High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas ("HIDTAs"), of which not less than 51 percent shall be transferred to State and local entities for drug control activities and shall be obligated not later than 120 days after enactment of this Act: *Provided*, That up to 49 percent may be transferred to Federal agencies and departments in amounts determined by the Director of the Office of National Drug Control Policy ("the Director"), of which up to \$2,700,000 may be used for auditing services and associated activities (including up to \$500,000 to ensure the continued operation and maintenance of the Performance Management System): *Provided further*, That, notwithstanding the requirements of Public Law 106-58, any unexpended funds obligated prior to fiscal year 2009 may be used for any other approved activities of that High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area, subject to reprogramming requirements: *Provided further*, That each High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area designated as of September 30, 2010, shall be funded at not less than the fiscal year 2010 base level, unless the Director submits to the Committees on Appropria-

tions justification for changes to those levels based on clearly articulated priorities and published Office of National Drug Control Policy performance measures of effectiveness: *Provided further*, That the Director shall notify the Committees on Appropriations of the initial allocation of fiscal year 2011 funding among HIDTAs not later than 45 days after enactment of this Act, and shall notify the Committees of planned uses of discretionary HIDTA funding, as determined in consultation with the HIDTA Directors, not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act.

OTHER FEDERAL DRUG CONTROL PROGRAMS

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For other drug control activities authorized by the Office of National Drug Control Policy Reauthorization Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-469), \$150,825,000, to remain available until expended, which shall be available as follows: \$40,000,000 to support a national media campaign; \$96,000,000 for the Drug-Free Communities Program, of which \$2,000,000 shall be made available as directed by section 4 of Public Law 107-82, as amended by Public Law 109-469 (21 U.S.C. 1521 note); \$1,500,000 for the National Drug Court Institute; \$10,000,000 for the United States Anti-Doping Agency for anti-doping activities; \$1,900,000 for the United States membership dues to the World Anti-Doping Agency; \$1,187,500 for the National Alliance for Model State Drug Laws; and \$237,500 for evaluations and research related to National Drug Control Program performance measures, which may be transferred to other Federal departments and agencies to carry out such activities.

UNANTICIPATED NEEDS

For expenses necessary to enable the President to meet unanticipated needs, in furtherance of the national interest, security, or defense which may arise at home or abroad during the current fiscal year, as authorized by 3 U.S.C. 108, \$1,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

INTEGRATED, EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE USES OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses for the furtherance of integrated, efficient, and effective uses of information technology in the Federal Government, including the development and operation of government-wide shared information technology services, the implementation of consolidated, resource-saving and energy-efficient platforms, and the development and operation of information technology security services and the provision of architectural expertise to promote inter-agency interoperability, \$37,500,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) may transfer these funds to one or more Federal agencies to carry out projects to meet these purposes: *Provided further*, That such transfers may only be made following written approval of the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That the Director of OMB shall submit a progress report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than March 31, 2011 and semiannually thereafter until the program is completed, including detailed information on goals, objectives, performance measures, and evaluations of the program in general and of each specific project funded pursuant to this initiative.

SPECIAL ASSISTANCE TO THE PRESIDENT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses to enable the Vice President to provide assistance to the President in connection with specially assigned

functions; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109 and 3 U.S.C. 106, including subsistence expenses as authorized by 3 U.S.C. 106, which shall be expended and accounted for as provided in that section; and hire of passenger motor vehicles, \$4,657,000.

OFFICIAL RESIDENCE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT
OPERATING EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the care, operation, refurbishing, improvement, and to the extent not otherwise provided for, heating and lighting, including electric power and fixtures, of the official residence of the Vice President; the hire of passenger motor vehicles; and not to exceed \$90,000 for official entertainment expenses of the Vice President, to be accounted for solely on his certificate, \$335,000: *Provided*, That advances or repayments or transfers from this appropriation may be made to any department or agency for expenses of carrying out such activities.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT AND FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

SEC. 201. From funds made available in this Act under the headings "The White House", "Executive Residence at the White House", "White House Repair and Restoration", "Council of Economic Advisers", "National Security Council and Homeland Security Council", "Office of Administration", "Special Assistance to the President", and "Official Residence of the Vice President", the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (or such other officer as the President may designate in writing), may, 15 days after giving notice to the Committees on Appropriations, transfer not to exceed 10 percent of any such appropriation to any other such appropriation, to be merged with and available for the same time and for the same purposes as the appropriation to which transferred: *Provided*, That the amount of an appropriation shall not be increased by more than 50 percent by such transfers: *Provided further*, That no amount shall be transferred from "Special Assistance to the President" or "Official Residence of the Vice President" without the approval of the Vice President.

SEC. 202. The Director of the Office of National Drug Control Policy shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, and prior to the initial obligation of more than 20 percent of the funds appropriated in any account under the heading "Office of National Drug Control Policy", a detailed narrative and financial plan on the proposed uses of all funds under the account by program, project, and activity: *Provided*, That the reports required by this section shall be updated and submitted to the Committees on Appropriations every 6 months and shall include information detailing how the estimates and assumptions contained in previous reports have changed: *Provided further*, That any new projects and changes in funding of ongoing projects shall be subject to the prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations.

SEC. 203. Not to exceed 2 percent of any appropriations in this Act made available to the Office of National Drug Control Policy may be transferred between appropriated programs upon the advance approval of the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided*, That no transfer may increase or decrease any such appropriation by more than 3 percent.

SEC. 204. Not to exceed \$1,000,000 of any appropriations in this Act made available to

the Office of National Drug Control Policy may be reprogrammed among object class, program, project, or activity upon the advance approval of the Committees on Appropriations.

This title may be cited as the "Executive Office of the President Appropriations Act, 2011".

TITLE III
THE JUDICIARY

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for the operation of the Supreme Court, as required by law, excluding care of the building and grounds, including purchase or hire, driving, maintenance, and operation of an automobile for the Chief Justice, not to exceed \$10,000 for the purpose of transporting Associate Justices, and hire of passenger motor vehicles as authorized by 31 U.S.C. 1343 and 1344; not to exceed \$10,000 for official reception and representation expenses; and for miscellaneous expenses, to be expended as the Chief Justice may approve, \$77,758,000, of which \$2,000,000 shall remain available until expended.

CARE OF THE BUILDING AND GROUNDS

For such expenditures as may be necessary to enable the Architect of the Capitol to carry out the duties imposed upon the Architect by 40 U.S.C. 6111 and 6112, \$14,788,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$5,000,000 may not be obligated or expended until the Committee on Appropriations receives a detailed capital improvements report as required by Senate Report 111-238, filed on July 29, 2010.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE
FEDERAL CIRCUIT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For salaries of the chief judge, judges, and other officers and employees, and for necessary expenses of the court, as authorized by law, \$34,273,000.

UNITED STATES COURT OF INTERNATIONAL
TRADE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For salaries of the chief judge and eight judges, salaries of the officers and employees of the court, services, and necessary expenses of the court, as authorized by law, \$22,251,000.

COURTS OF APPEALS, DISTRICT COURTS, AND
OTHER JUDICIAL SERVICES

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the salaries of circuit and district judges (including judges of the territorial courts of the United States), justices and judges retired from office or from regular active service, judges of the United States Court of Federal Claims, bankruptcy judges, magistrate judges, and all other officers and employees of the Federal Judiciary not otherwise specifically provided for, and necessary expenses of the courts, as authorized by law, \$5,177,568,000 (including the purchase of firearms and ammunition); of which not to exceed \$27,817,000 shall remain available until expended for space alteration projects and for furniture and furnishings related to new space alteration and construction projects.

In addition, for expenses of the United States Court of Federal Claims associated with processing cases under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-660), not to exceed \$4,785,000, to be appropriated from the Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund.

DEFENDER SERVICES

For the operation of Federal Defender organizations; the compensation and reimbursement of expenses of attorneys appointed to represent persons under 18 U.S.C. 3006A, and also under 18 U.S.C. 3599, in cases in which a defendant is charged with a crime that may be punishable by death; the compensation and reimbursement of expenses of persons furnishing investigative, expert, and other services under 18 U.S.C. 3006A(e), and also under 18 U.S.C. 3599(f) and (g)(2), in cases in which a defendant is charged with a crime that may be punishable by death; the compensation (in accordance with the maximums under 18 U.S.C. 3006A) and reimbursement of expenses of attorneys appointed to assist the court in criminal cases where the defendant has waived representation by counsel; the compensation and reimbursement of travel expenses of guardians ad litem, appointed under 18 U.S.C. 4100(b); acting on behalf of financially eligible minor or incompetent offenders in connection with transfers from the United States to foreign countries with which the United States has a treaty for the execution of penal sentences (18 U.S.C. 4109(b)); the compensation and reimbursement of expenses of attorneys appointed to represent jurors in civil actions for the protection of their employment, as authorized by 28 U.S.C. 1875(d)(1); the compensation and reimbursement of expenses of attorneys appointed under 18 U.S.C. 983(b)(1) in connection with certain judicial civil forfeiture proceedings; and for necessary training and general administrative expenses, \$1,050,458,000, to remain available until expended.

FEES OF JURORS AND COMMISSIONERS

For fees and expenses of jurors as authorized by 28 U.S.C. 1871 and 1876; compensation of jury commissioners as authorized by 28 U.S.C. 1863; and compensation of commissioners appointed in condemnation cases pursuant to rule 71.1(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (28 U.S.C. Appendix Rule 71.1(h)), \$52,410,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the compensation of land commissioners shall not exceed the daily equivalent of the highest rate payable under 5 U.S.C. 5332.

COURT SECURITY

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, incident to the provision of protective guard services for United States courthouses and other facilities housing Federal court operations, and the procurement, installation, and maintenance of security systems and equipment for United States courthouses and other facilities housing Federal court operations, including building ingress-egress control, inspection of mail and packages, directed security patrols, perimeter security, basic security services provided by the Federal Protective Service, and other similar activities as authorized by section 1010 of the Judicial Improvement and Access to Justice Act (Public Law 100-702), \$489,753,000, of which not to exceed \$15,000,000 shall remain available until expended, to be expended directly or transferred to the United States Marshals Service, which shall be responsible for administering the Judicial Facility Security Program consistent with standards or guidelines agreed to by the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts and the Attorney General.

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts as authorized by law, including travel as authorized by 31 U.S.C. 1345, hire of a passenger motor vehicle as authorized by 31 U.S.C. 1343(b), advertising and rent in the District of Columbia and elsewhere, \$86,968,000, of which not to exceed \$8,500 is authorized for official reception and representation expenses.

FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Federal Judicial Center, as authorized by Public Law 90-219, \$28,284,000; of which \$1,800,000 shall remain available through September 30, 2012, to provide education and training to Federal court personnel; and of which not to exceed \$1,500 is authorized for official reception and representation expenses.

JUDICIAL RETIREMENT FUNDS

PAYMENT TO JUDICIARY TRUST FUNDS

For payment to the Judicial Officers' Retirement Fund, as authorized by 28 U.S.C. 377(o), \$79,061,400; to the Judicial Survivors' Annuities Fund, as authorized by 28 U.S.C. 376(c), \$7,300,000; and to the United States Court of Federal Claims Judges' Retirement Fund, as authorized by 28 U.S.C. 178(l), \$4,000,000.

UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For the salaries and expenses necessary to carry out the provisions of chapter 58 of title 28, U.S.C., \$17,595,000, of which not to exceed \$1,000 is authorized for official reception and representation expenses.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—THE JUDICIARY (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 301. Appropriations and authorizations made in this title which are available for salaries and expenses shall be available for services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109.

SEC. 302. Not to exceed 5 percent of any appropriation made available for the current fiscal year for the Judiciary in this Act may be transferred between such appropriations, but no such appropriation, except "Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other Judicial Services, Defender Services" and "Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other Judicial Services, Fees of Jurors and Commissioners", shall be increased by more than 10 percent by any such transfers: *Provided*, That any transfer pursuant to this section shall be treated as a reprogramming of funds under sections 604 and 608 of this Act and shall not be available for obligation or expenditure except in compliance with the procedures set forth in section 608.

SEC. 303. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the salaries and expenses appropriation for "Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other Judicial Services" shall be available for official reception and representation expenses of the Judicial Conference of the United States: *Provided*, That such available funds shall not exceed \$11,000 and shall be administered by the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts in the capacity as Secretary of the Judicial Conference.

SEC. 304. Within 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations a comprehensive financial plan for the Judiciary allocating all sources of available funds in-

cluding appropriations, fee collections, and carryover balances, to include a separate and detailed plan for the Judiciary Information Technology Fund, which will establish the baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the current fiscal year.

SEC. 305. Section 3314(a) of title 40, United States Code, shall be applied by substituting "Federal" for "executive" each place it appears.

SEC. 306. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. 561-569, and notwithstanding any other provision of law, the United States Marshals Service shall provide, for such courthouses as its Director may designate in consultation with the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, for purposes of a pilot program, the security services that 40 U.S.C. 1315 authorizes the Department of Homeland Security to provide, except for the services specified in 40 U.S.C. 1315(b)(2)(E). For building-specific security services at these courthouses, the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall reimburse the United States Marshals Service rather than the Department of Homeland Security.

SEC. 307. Section 203(c) of the Judicial Improvements Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-650; 28 U.S.C. 133 note), is amended—

(1) in the third sentence (relating to the District of Kansas), by striking "19 years" and inserting "20 years, plus any additional periods of time in which funding for the judiciary in fiscal year 2012 is provided by continuing resolutions";

(2) in the sixth sentence (relating to the Northern District of Ohio), by striking "19 years" and inserting "20 years, plus any additional periods of time in which funding for the judiciary in fiscal year 2012 is provided by continuing resolutions"; and

(3) in the seventh sentence (relating to the District of Hawaii), by striking "16 years" and inserting "17 years, plus any additional periods of time in which funding for the judiciary in fiscal year 2012 is provided by continuing resolutions".

This title may be cited as the "Judiciary Appropriations Act, 2011".

TITLE IV DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FEDERAL FUNDS

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR RESIDENT TUITION SUPPORT

For a Federal payment to the District of Columbia, to be deposited into a dedicated account, for a nationwide program to be administered by the Mayor, for District of Columbia resident tuition support, \$35,100,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That such funds, including any interest accrued thereon, may be used on behalf of eligible District of Columbia residents to pay an amount based upon the difference between in-State and out-of-State tuition at public institutions of higher education, or to pay up to \$2,500 each year at eligible private institutions of higher education: *Provided further*, That the awarding of such funds may be prioritized on the basis of a resident's academic merit, the income and need of eligible students and such other factors as may be authorized: *Provided further*, That the District of Columbia government shall maintain a dedicated account for the Resident Tuition Support Program that shall consist of the Federal funds appropriated to the Program in this Act and any subsequent appropriations, any unobligated balances from prior fiscal years, and any interest earned in this or any fiscal year: *Provided further*, That the

account shall be under the control of the District of Columbia Chief Financial Officer, who shall use those funds solely for the purposes of carrying out the Resident Tuition Support Program: *Provided further*, That the Office of the Chief Financial Officer shall provide a quarterly financial report to the Committees on Appropriations for these funds showing, by object class, the expenditures made and the purpose therefor.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR EMERGENCY PLANNING AND SECURITY COSTS IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

For a Federal payment of necessary expenses, as determined by the Mayor of the District of Columbia in written consultation with the elected county or city officials of surrounding jurisdictions, \$15,000,000, to remain available until expended and in addition any funds that remain available from prior year appropriations under this heading for the District of Columbia Government, for the costs of providing public safety at events related to the presence of the national capital in the District of Columbia, including support requested by the Director of the United States Secret Service Division in carrying out protective duties under the direction of the Secretary of Homeland Security, and for the costs of providing support to respond to immediate and specific terrorist threats or attacks in the District of Columbia or surrounding jurisdictions.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS

For salaries and expenses for the District of Columbia Courts, \$258,168,000 to be allocated as follows: for the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, \$12,998,000, of which not to exceed \$2,500 is for official reception and representation expenses; for the District of Columbia Superior Court, \$110,149,000, of which not to exceed \$2,500 is for official reception and representation expenses; for the District of Columbia Court System, \$65,371,000, of which not to exceed \$2,500 is for official reception and representation expenses; and \$69,650,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, for capital improvements for District of Columbia courthouse facilities, including structural improvements to the District of Columbia cell block at the Moultrie Courthouse, of which \$13,670,000 is for renovation of courtrooms and chambers in the Moultrie Courthouse: *Provided*, That funds made available for capital improvements shall be expended consistent with the General Services Administration (GSA) master plan study and building evaluation report: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, all amounts under this heading shall be apportioned quarterly by the Office of Management and Budget and obligated and expended in the same manner as funds appropriated for salaries and expenses of other Federal agencies, with payroll and financial services to be provided on a contractual basis with the GSA, and such services shall include the preparation of monthly financial reports, copies of which shall be submitted directly by GSA to the President and to the Committees on Appropriations, the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, and the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs: *Provided further*, That upon prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations, the District of Columbia Courts may reallocate not more than 10 percent of the funds provided under this heading among the items and entities funded under this heading for operations but no such allocation shall be increased by more than 10 percent.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR DEFENDER SERVICES IN
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS

For payments authorized under section 11-2604 and section 11-2605, D.C. Official Code (relating to representation provided under the District of Columbia Criminal Justice Act), payments for counsel appointed in proceedings in the Family Court of the Superior Court of the District of Columbia under chapter 23 of title 16, D.C. Official Code, or pursuant to contractual agreements to provide guardian ad litem representation, training, technical assistance, and such other services as are necessary to improve the quality of guardian ad litem representation, payments for counsel appointed in adoption proceedings under chapter 3 of title 16, D.C. Official Code, and payments for counsel authorized under section 21-2060, D.C. Official Code (relating to representation provided under the District of Columbia Guardianship, Protective Proceedings, and Durable Power of Attorney Act of 1986), \$55,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That funds provided under this heading shall be administered by the Joint Committee on Judicial Administration in the District of Columbia: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, this appropriation shall be apportioned quarterly by the Office of Management and Budget and obligated and expended in the same manner as funds appropriated for expenses of other Federal agencies, with payroll and financial services to be provided on a contractual basis with the General Services Administration (GSA), and such services shall include the preparation of monthly financial reports, copies of which shall be submitted directly by GSA to the President and to the Committees on Appropriations, the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, and the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE COURT SERVICES
AND OFFENDER SUPERVISION AGENCY FOR THE
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

For salaries and expenses, including the transfer and hire of motor vehicles, of the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia, as authorized by the National Capital Revitalization and Self-Government Improvement Act of 1997, \$217,783,000, of which not to exceed \$2,000 is for official reception and representation expenses related to Community Supervision and Pretrial Services Agency programs; of which not to exceed \$25,000 is for dues and assessments relating to the implementation of the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency Interstate Supervision Act of 2002; of which \$1,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2013 for relocation of the Pretrial Services Agency drug testing laboratory; of which \$156,472,000 shall be for necessary expenses of Community Supervision and Sex Offender Registration, to include expenses relating to the supervision of adults subject to protection orders or the provision of services for or related to such persons; of which \$61,311,000 shall be available to the Pretrial Services Agency: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, all amounts under this heading shall be apportioned quarterly by the Office of Management and Budget and obligated and expended in the same manner as funds appropriated for salaries and expenses of other Federal agencies: *Provided further*, That not less than \$1,500,000 shall be available for re-entrant housing in the District of Columbia: *Provided further*, That the Director is authorized to accept and use gifts in the form of in-kind contributions of space

and hospitality to support offender and defendant programs, and equipment and vocational training services to educate and train offenders and defendants: *Provided further*, That the Director shall keep accurate and detailed records of the acceptance and use of any gift or donation under the previous proviso, and shall make such records available for audit and public inspection: *Provided further*, That the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency Director is authorized to accept and use reimbursement from the District of Columbia Government for space and services provided on a cost reimbursable basis.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE PUBLIC DEFENDER
SERVICE FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

For salaries and expenses, including the transfer and hire of motor vehicles, of the District of Columbia Public Defender Service, as authorized by the National Capital Revitalization and Self-Government Improvement Act of 1997, \$40,690,000: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, all amounts under this heading shall be apportioned quarterly by the Office of Management and Budget and obligated and expended in the same manner as funds appropriated for salaries and expenses of Federal agencies.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE DISTRICT OF
COLUMBIA WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY

For a Federal payment to the District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, \$25,000,000, to remain available until expended, to continue implementation of the Combined Sewer Overflow Long-Term Plan: *Provided*, That the District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority provides a 100 percent match for this payment.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE
COORDINATING COUNCIL

For a Federal payment to the Criminal Justice Coordinating Council, \$1,800,000, to remain available until expended, to support initiatives related to the coordination of Federal and local criminal justice resources in the District of Columbia.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR JUDICIAL COMMISSIONS

For a Federal payment, to remain available until September 30, 2012, to the Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure, \$295,000, and for the Judicial Nomination Commission, \$205,000.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE OFFICE OF THE
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER FOR THE DISTRICT
OF COLUMBIA

For a Federal payment to the Office of the Chief Financial Officer for the District of Columbia, \$1,475,000, in the amounts and for the projects specified in the table that appears under the heading "Federal Payment to the Office of the Chief Financial Officer for the District of Columbia" in the explanatory statement described in section 4: *Provided*, That each entity that receives funding under this heading shall submit to the Office of the Chief Financial Officer for the District of Columbia (CFO), not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, a detailed budget and comprehensive description of the activities to be carried out with such funds, and the CFO shall submit a comprehensive report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than June 1, 2011.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT

For a Federal payment for a school improvement program in the District of Columbia, \$72,400,000, to be allocated as follows: for the District of Columbia Public Schools, \$33,000,000 to improve public school edu-

cation in the District of Columbia; for the State Education Office, \$20,000,000 to expand quality public charter schools in the District of Columbia, to remain available until expended; for the Secretary of the Department of Education, \$9,400,000 to provide opportunity scholarships for students in the District of Columbia in accordance with title III of division C of the District of Columbia Appropriations Act, 2004 (Public Law 108-199; 118 Stat. 126), to remain available until expended, of which up to \$1,000,000 may be used to administer and fund assessments: *Provided*, That notwithstanding the second proviso under this heading in Public Law 111-8, funds provided herein may only be used to provide opportunity scholarships to students who received scholarships in the 2010-2011 school year: *Provided further*, That funds available under this heading for opportunity scholarships, including from prior-year appropriations Acts, may be made available only for scholarships to students who received scholarships in the 2010-2011 school year: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided in this Act or any other Act for opportunity scholarships may be used by an eligible student to enroll in a participating school under the DC School Choice Incentive Act of 2003 unless (1) the participating school has and maintains a valid certificate of occupancy issued by the District of Columbia; (2) the core subject matter teachers of the eligible student hold 4-year bachelor's degrees; and (3) the participating school is in compliance with the accreditation and other standards prescribed under the District of Columbia compulsory school attendance laws that apply to educational institutions not affiliated with the District of Columbia Public Schools: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Education shall ensure that site inspections of participating schools are conducted at least twice annually.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR THE DISTRICT OF
COLUMBIA NATIONAL GUARD

For a Federal payment to the District of Columbia National Guard, \$1,375,000, to remain available until expended for the District of Columbia National Guard, of which \$375,000 shall be available for the "Major General David F. Wherley, Jr. District of Columbia National Guard Retention and College Access Program".

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR HOUSING FOR THE
HOMELESS

For a Federal payment to the District of Columbia, \$10,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, to support permanent supportive housing programs in the District.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR REDEVELOPMENT OF
THE ST. ELIZABETHS HOSPITAL CAMPUS

For a Federal payment to the District of Columbia, \$2,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, for planning activities to support redevelopment efforts at the site of the former St. Elizabeths Hospital in the District of Columbia.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR HIV/AIDS PREVENTION

For a Federal payment to the District of Columbia, \$5,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, to support initiatives designed to reduce the incidence of human immunodeficiency virus and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome in the District of Columbia.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FUNDS

The following amounts are appropriated for the District of Columbia for the current fiscal year out of the General Fund of the

District of Columbia ("General Fund"), except as otherwise specifically provided: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, except as provided in section 450A of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, (114 Stat. 2440; D.C. Official Code, section 1-204.50a) and provisions of the Fiscal Year 2011 Budget Request Act, the total amount appropriated in this Act for operating expenses for the District of Columbia for fiscal year 2011 under this heading shall not exceed the lesser of the sum of the total revenues of the District of Columbia for such fiscal year or \$10,440,946,000 (of which \$5,790,842,000 shall be from local funds, (including \$402,685,000 from dedicated taxes), \$2,611,497,000 shall be from Federal grant funds, \$2,031,730,000 shall be from other funds, and \$6,877,000 shall be from private funds); in addition, \$169,650,000 from funds previously appropriated in this Act as Federal payments, which does not include funds appropriated under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009: *Provided further*, That of the local funds, such amounts as may be necessary may be derived from the District's General Fund balance: *Provided further*, That of these funds the District's intradistrict authority shall be \$567,683,000; in addition for capital construction projects, an increase of \$1,390,591,000, of which \$1,121,261,000 shall be from local funds, \$46,350,000 from the District of Columbia Highway Trust fund, \$32,523,000 from the Local Street Maintenance fund, \$190,457,000 from Federal grant funds, and a rescission of \$741,735,000 from local funds and a rescission of \$145,874,000 from Local Street Maintenance funds appropriated under this heading in prior fiscal years for a net amount of \$502,983,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That the amounts provided under this heading are to be available, allocated and expended as proposed under title III of the Fiscal Year 2011 Budget Request Act of 2010 at the rate set forth under "District of Columbia Funds Division of Expenses" of the Fiscal Year 2011 Proposed Budget and Financial Plan submitted to the Congress of the United States by the District of Columbia: *Provided further*, That this amount may be increased by proceeds of one-time transactions, which are expended for emergency or unanticipated operating or capital needs: *Provided further*, That such increases shall be approved by enactment of local District law and shall comply with all reserve requirements contained in the District of Columbia Home Rule Act (87 Stat. 777; D.C. Official Code sec. 1-201.01 et seq.): *Provided further*, That the Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia shall take such steps as are necessary to assure that the District of Columbia meets these requirements, including the apportioning by the Chief Financial Officer of the appropriations and funds made available to the District during fiscal year 2011, except that the Chief Financial Officer may not reprogram for operating expenses any funds derived from bonds, notes, or other obligations issued for capital projects.

This title may be cited as the "District of Columbia Appropriations Act, 2011".

TITLE V

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Administrative Conference of the United States, authorized by 5 U.S.C. 591 et seq., \$2,800,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, of

which not to exceed \$1,000 is for official reception and representation expenses.

CHRISTOPHER COLUMBUS FELLOWSHIP FOUNDATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For payment to the Christopher Columbus Fellowship Foundation, established by section 423 of Public Law 102-281, \$750,000, to remain available until expended.

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of the Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. 1 et seq.), including the purchase and hire of passenger motor vehicles, and the rental of space (to include multiple year leases) in the District of Columbia and elsewhere, \$286,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, including not to exceed \$3,000 for official reception and representation expenses, and not to exceed \$25,000 for the expenses for consultations and meetings hosted by the Commission with foreign governmental and other regulatory officials.

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES (INCLUDING RESCISSION)

For necessary expenses of the Consumer Product Safety Commission, including hire of passenger motor vehicles, services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the maximum rate payable under 5 U.S.C. 5376, purchase of nominal awards to recognize non-Federal officials' contributions to Commission activities, and not to exceed \$2,000 for official reception and representation expenses, \$120,600,000, of which \$2,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012, for the grant program under section 1405 of the Virginia Graeme Baker Pool and Spa Safety Act (Public Law 110-140; 15 U.S.C. 8004): *Provided*, That of the amount made available under this heading for such program in title V of division C of Public Law 111-117, \$2,000,000 are rescinded.

ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to carry out the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-252), \$17,100,000, of which \$3,250,000 shall be transferred to the National Institute of Standards and Technology for election reform activities authorized under the Help America Vote Act of 2002: *Provided*, That \$750,000 shall be for the Help America Vote College Program as authorized by the Help America Vote Act of 2002: *Provided further*, That \$300,000 shall be for a competitive grant program to support community involvement in student and parent mock elections.

ELECTION REFORM PROGRAMS

For purposes of determining the eligibility of a State to receive a requirements payment under part 1 of subtitle D of title II of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (42 U.S.C. 15401 et seq.) for fiscal year 2011, any unobligated amount in the election fund of the State under section 254(b) of such Act which is attributable to interest earned on amounts appropriated to the fund by the State may, at the option of the State, be included under section 253(b)(5) of such Act.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Federal Communications Commission, as authorized by law, including uniforms and allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901-5902; not to exceed \$4,000 for official reception and

representation expenses; purchase and hire of motor vehicles; special counsel fees; and services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, \$355,500,000: *Provided*, That \$355,500,000 of offsetting collections shall be assessed and collected pursuant to section 9 of title I of the Communications Act of 1934, shall be retained and used for necessary expenses in this appropriation, and shall remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced as such offsetting collections are received during fiscal year 2011 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2011 appropriation estimated at \$0: *Provided further*, That any offsetting collections received in excess of \$355,500,000 in fiscal year 2011 shall not be available for obligation: *Provided further*, That remaining offsetting collections from prior years collected in excess of the amount specified for collection in each such year and otherwise becoming available on October 1, 2010, shall not be available for obligation: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding 47 U.S.C. 309(j)(8)(B), proceeds from the use of a competitive bidding system that may be retained and made available for obligation shall not exceed \$85,000,000 for fiscal year 2011: *Provided further*, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, not less than \$9,345,217 shall be for the salaries and expenses of the Office of Inspector General.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

SEC. 501. Section 302 of the Universal Service Antideficiency Temporary Suspension Act is amended by striking "December 31, 2010", each place it appears and inserting "December 31, 2011".

SEC. 502. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used by the Federal Communications Commission to modify, amend, or change its rules or regulations for universal service support payments to implement the February 27, 2004 recommendations of the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service regarding single connection or primary line restrictions on universal service support payments.

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, \$47,916,000, to be derived from the Deposit Insurance Fund or, only when appropriate, the FSLIC Resolution Fund.

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, \$69,800,000, of which not to exceed \$5,000 shall be available for reception and representation expenses.

FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS AUTHORITY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses to carry out functions of the Federal Labor Relations Authority, pursuant to Reorganization Plan Numbered 2 of 1978, and the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978, including services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, and including hire of experts and consultants, hire of passenger motor vehicles, and including official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$1,500) and rental of conference rooms in the District of Columbia and elsewhere, \$26,000,000: *Provided*, That public members of the Federal Service Impasses Panel may be paid travel expenses and per diem in lieu of subsistence as authorized by law (5 U.S.C. 5703) for persons employed intermittently in the

Government service, and compensation as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, funds received from fees charged to non-Federal participants at labor-management relations conferences shall be credited to and merged with this account, to be available without further appropriation for the costs of carrying out these conferences.

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Federal Trade Commission, including uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901-5902; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; hire of passenger motor vehicles; and not to exceed \$2,000 for official reception and representation expenses, \$316,500,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$300,000 shall be available for use to contract with a person or persons for collection services in accordance with the terms of 31 U.S.C. 3718: *Provided further*, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, not to exceed \$96,000,000 of offsetting collections derived from fees collected for premerger notification filings under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 (15 U.S.C. 18a), regardless of the year of collection, shall be retained and used for necessary expenses in this appropriation: *Provided further*, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, not to exceed \$21,000,000 in offsetting collections derived from fees sufficient to implement and enforce the Telemarketing Sales Rule, promulgated under the Telemarketing and Consumer Fraud and Abuse Prevention Act (15 U.S.C. 6101 et seq.), shall be credited to this account, and be retained and used for necessary expenses in this appropriation: *Provided further*, That the sum herein appropriated from the general fund shall be reduced as such offsetting collections are received during fiscal year 2011, so as to result in a final fiscal year 2011 appropriation from the general fund estimated at not more than \$199,500,000: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available to the Federal Trade Commission may be used to implement subsection (e)(2)(B) of section 43 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1831t).

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION
REAL PROPERTY ACTIVITIES
FEDERAL BUILDINGS FUND

LIMITATIONS ON AVAILABILITY OF REVENUE

Amounts in the Fund, including revenues and collections deposited into the Fund shall be available for necessary expenses of real property management and related activities not otherwise provided for, including operation, maintenance, and protection of federally owned and leased buildings; rental of buildings in the District of Columbia; restoration of leased premises; moving governmental agencies (including space adjustments and telecommunications relocation expenses) in connection with the assignment, allocation and transfer of space; contractual services incident to cleaning or servicing buildings, and moving; repair and alteration of federally owned buildings including grounds, approaches and appurtenances; care and safeguarding of sites; maintenance, preservation, demolition, and equipment; acquisition of buildings and sites by purchase, condemnation, or as otherwise authorized by law; acquisition of options to purchase buildings and sites; conversion and extension of federally owned buildings; preliminary planning and design of projects by contract or otherwise; construction of new buildings (in-

cluding equipment for such buildings); and payment of principal, interest, and any other obligations for public buildings acquired by installment purchase and purchase contract; in the aggregate amount of \$8,666,570,000, of which: (1) \$492,722,000 shall remain available until expended for construction and acquisition (including funds for sites and expenses and associated design and construction services) of additional projects at the following locations:

New Construction:
Colorado:
Lakewood, Denver Federal Center Remediation, \$7,957,000.
District of Columbia:
Washington, St. Elizabeths DHS Consolidation and Development, \$267,675,000.
Washington, St. Elizabeths Historic Preservation Mitigation, \$4,990,000.
Washington, St. Elizabeths Highway Interchange, \$8,350,000.
Maine:
Calais, Ferry Point Land Port of Entry, \$1,552,000.
Maryland:
White Oak, Food and Drug Administration Consolidation, \$173,773,000.
Michigan:
Detroit, P. V. McNamara Federal Building FBI Garage, \$3,658,000.
West Virginia:
Martinsburg, IRS Annex, \$24,767,000:

Provided, That, for the new courthouse project in Salt Lake City, Utah, for which funds have been appropriated in Public Law 111-117 and other Acts, the total estimated cost, exclusive of any permitted escalations, shall not exceed \$185,700,000: *Provided further*, That each of the foregoing limits of costs on new construction projects may be exceeded to the extent that savings are effected in other such projects, but not to exceed 10 percent of the amounts included in an approved prospectus, if required, unless advance approval is obtained from the Committees on Appropriations of a greater amount: *Provided further*, That all funds for direct construction projects shall expire on September 30, 2012 and remain in the Federal Buildings Fund except for funds for projects as to which funds for design or other funds have been obligated in whole or in part prior to such date: *Provided further*, That for fiscal year 2012 and thereafter, the annual budget submission to Congress for the General Services Administration shall include a detailed 5-year plan for Federal building construction projects with a yearly update of total projected future funding needs: *Provided further*, That for fiscal year 2012 and thereafter, the annual budget submission to Congress for the General Services Administration shall, in consultation with U.S. Customs and Border Protection, include a detailed 5-year plan for Federal land port-of-entry projects with a yearly update of total projected future funding needs; (2) \$500,014,000 shall remain available until expended for repairs and alterations, which includes associated design and construction services:

Repairs and Alterations:
California:
Richmond, Frank Hagel Federal Building, \$113,620,000.
Van Nuys, James C. Corman Federal Building, \$11,039,000.
District of Columbia:
Washington, West Wing Design Phase II, \$6,245,000.
Indiana:
Indianapolis, Major General Emmett J. Bean Federal Center, \$65,813,000.
New York:

New York, Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse, \$28,000,000.

Special Emphasis Programs:

Energy and Water Retrofit and Conservation Measures, \$15,000,000.

Fire Prevention Program, \$10,000,000.

Wellness and Fitness Program, \$3,500,000.

Judiciary Capital Security Program, \$20,000,000.

Basic Repairs and Alterations, \$226,797,000:

Provided further, That funds made available in this or any previous Act in the Federal Buildings Fund for Repairs and Alterations shall, for prospectus projects, be limited to the amount identified for each project, except each project in this or any previous Act may be increased by an amount not to exceed 10 percent unless advance approval is obtained from the Committees on Appropriations of a greater amount: *Provided further*, That additional projects for which prospectuses have been fully approved may be funded under this category only if advance approval is obtained from the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That the amounts provided in this or any prior Act for "Repairs and Alterations" may be used to fund costs associated with implementing security improvements to buildings necessary to meet the minimum standards for security in accordance with current law and in compliance with the reprogramming guidelines of the appropriate Committees of the House and Senate: *Provided further*, That the difference between the funds appropriated and expended on any projects in this or any prior Act, under the heading "Repairs and Alterations", may be transferred to Basic Repairs and Alterations or used to fund authorized increases in prospectus projects: *Provided further*, That all funds for repairs and alterations prospectus projects shall expire on September 30, 2012 and remain in the Federal Buildings Fund except funds for projects as to which funds for design or other funds have been obligated in whole or in part prior to such date: *Provided further*, That the amount provided in this or any prior Act for Basic Repairs and Alterations may be used to pay claims against the Government arising from any projects under the heading "Repairs and Alterations" or used to fund authorized increases in prospectus projects; (3) \$135,540,000 for installment acquisition payments including payments on purchase contracts which shall remain available until expended; (4) \$5,216,946,000 for rental of space which shall remain available until expended; and (5) \$2,321,348,000 for building operations which shall remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That funds available to the General Services Administration shall not be available for expenses of any construction, repair, alteration and acquisition project for which a prospectus, if required by 40 U.S.C. 3307(a), has not been approved, except that necessary funds may be expended for each project for required expenses for the development of a proposed prospectus: *Provided further*, That funds available in the Federal Buildings Fund may be expended for emergency repairs when advance approval is obtained from the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That amounts necessary to provide reimbursable special services to other agencies under 40 U.S.C. 592(b)(2) and amounts to provide such reimbursable fencing, lighting, guard booths, and other facilities on private or other property not in Government ownership or control as may be appropriate to enable the United States Secret Service to perform its protective functions pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 3056,

shall be available from such revenues and collections: *Provided further*, That revenues and collections and any other sums accruing to this Fund during fiscal year 2011, excluding reimbursements under 40 U.S.C. 592(b)(2) in excess of the aggregate new obligational authority authorized for Real Property Activities of the Federal Buildings Fund in this Act shall remain in the Fund and shall not be available for expenditure except as authorized in appropriations Acts.

GENERAL ACTIVITIES

GOVERNMENT-WIDE POLICY

For expenses authorized by law, not otherwise provided for, for Government-wide policy and evaluation activities associated with the management of real and personal property assets and certain administrative services; Government-wide policy support responsibilities relating to acquisition, telecommunications, information technology management, and related technology activities; and services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109 and the Office of Federal High Performance Green Buildings; \$77,621,000.

OPERATING EXPENSES

For expenses authorized by law, not otherwise provided for, for Government-wide activities associated with utilization and donation of surplus personal property; disposal of real property; agency-wide policy direction, management, and communications; the Civilian Board of Contract Appeals; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; and not to exceed \$7,500 for official reception and representation expenses; \$72,203,000.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General and service authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, \$61,025,000: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$15,000 shall be available for payment for information and detection of fraud against the Government, including payment for recovery of stolen Government property: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$2,500 shall be available for awards to employees of other Federal agencies and private citizens in recognition of efforts and initiatives resulting in enhanced Office of Inspector General effectiveness.

ELECTRONIC GOVERNMENT FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses in support of inter-agency projects that enable the Federal Government to expand its ability to conduct activities electronically, through the development and implementation of innovative uses of the Internet and other electronic methods, \$20,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That these funds may be transferred to Federal agencies to carry out the purpose of the Fund: *Provided further*, That this transfer authority shall be in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act: *Provided further*, That such transfers may not be made until 15 days after a proposed spending plan and explanation for each project to be undertaken has been submitted to the Committees on Appropriations.

ALLOWANCES AND OFFICE STAFF FOR FORMER PRESIDENTS

For carrying out the provisions of 3 U.S.C. 102 note and Public Law 95-138, \$3,907,000.

FEDERAL ACQUISITION WORKFORCE INITIATIVES FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses in support of government-wide investments in the capacity and capabilities of the acquisition workforce, \$10,000,000; of which \$4,000,000 shall be

available for salaries, curriculum development, competency management, certification management and career management: *Provided*, That up to 25 percent of the total amount appropriated herein may be transferred among such appropriations: *Provided further*, That these funds shall be administered by the Administrator of General Services, as approved by the Director of OMB: *Provided further*, That such funds may be transferred to Federal agencies, as approved by the Director of OMB, to carry out the purposes provided herein: *Provided further*, That this transfer authority is in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act; and of which \$6,000,000 shall be available to create and maintain the contractor inventory database required by section 743 of Public Law 111-117.

FEDERAL CITIZEN SERVICES FUND

For necessary expenses of the Office of Citizen Services, including services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, \$36,825,000, to be deposited into the Federal Citizen Services Fund: *Provided*, That the appropriations, revenues, and collections deposited into the Fund shall be available for necessary expenses of Federal Citizen Services activities in the aggregate amount not to exceed \$100,000,000. Appropriations, revenues, and collections accruing to this Fund during fiscal year 2011 in excess of such amount shall remain in the Fund and shall not be available for expenditure except as authorized in appropriations Acts.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

SEC. 510. Funds available to the General Services Administration (GSA) shall be available for the hire of passenger motor vehicles.

SEC. 511. Funds in the Federal Buildings Fund made available for fiscal year 2011 for Federal Buildings Fund activities may be transferred between such activities only to the extent necessary to meet program requirements: *Provided*, That any proposed transfers shall be approved in advance by the Committees on Appropriations.

SEC. 512. Except as otherwise provided in this title, funds made available by this Act shall be used to transmit a fiscal year 2012 request for United States Courthouse construction only if the request: (1) meets the design guide standards for construction as established and approved by GSA, the Judicial Conference of the United States, and OMB; (2) reflects the priorities of the Judicial Conference of the United States as set out in its approved 5-year construction plan; and (3) includes a standardized courtroom utilization study of each facility to be constructed, replaced, or expanded.

SEC. 513. None of the funds provided in this Act may be used to increase the amount of occupiable square feet, provide cleaning services, security enhancements, or any other service usually provided through the Federal Buildings Fund, to any agency that does not pay the rate per square foot assessment for space and services as determined by the GSA in compliance with the Public Buildings Amendments Act of 1972 (Public Law 92-313).

SEC. 514. From funds made available under the heading "Federal Buildings Fund, Limitations on Availability of Revenue", claims against the Government of less than \$250,000 arising from direct construction projects and acquisition of buildings may be liquidated from savings effected in other construction projects with prior notification to the Committees on Appropriations.

SEC. 515. In any case in which the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure and the Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works adopt a resolution granting lease authority pursuant to a prospectus transmitted to Congress by the Administrator of GSA under 40 U.S.C. 3307, the Administrator shall ensure that the delineated area of procurement is identical to the delineated area included in the prospectus for all lease agreements, except that, if the Administrator determines that the delineated area of the procurement should not be identical to the delineated area included in the prospectus, the Administrator shall provide an explanatory statement to each of such committees and the Committees on Appropriations prior to exercising any lease authority provided in the resolution.

SEC. 516. In furtherance of the emergency management policy set forth in the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, the Administrator of GSA may provide for the use of the GSA Federal supply schedules by relief and disaster assistance organizations as described in section 309 of that Act. Purchases under this authority shall be limited to use in preparation for, response to, and recovery from hazards as defined in section 602 of that Act.

SEC. 517. Section 37 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 433), as amended, is further amended in paragraph (h)(3)(E) by: (a) deleting "for training"; and (b) deleting "subparagraph (A)" and inserting in lieu thereof "subparagraphs (A) and (C) to (J) of section 405 (d)(5) of this title."

SEC. 518. (a) The Administrator of General Services (Administrator), through a deed of release or other appropriate instrument, may release to the city of Tracy, California (the City) the reversionary interests retained by the United States, and all other terms, conditions, reservations, and restrictions imposed, in connection with the conveyance of the 200 acres conveyed pursuant to Public Law 105-277 section 140, as amended by Public Law 106-31 section 3034 and Public Law 108-199 section 411. The exact acreage and legal description of the parcel to be released under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey that is satisfactory to the Administrator.

(b) As consideration for such release authorized under subsection (a), the City shall pay to the Administrator an amount not less than the property's appraised Fair Market Value as determined by the Administrator. The determination of the Administrator is final. The Administrator shall determine the property's Fair Market Value through an appraisal conducted by a licensed, independent appraiser. The appraisal shall be based on the property's highest and best use.

(c) As soon as practicable, but not more than 180 days after enactment of this Act, the City shall enter into a binding agreement with the Administrator for the conveyance described in subsection (a) of this section. The net proceeds from sale shall be deposited into the Federal Buildings Fund established under section 592 of title 40, U.S.C.

(d) The City shall be responsible for reimbursing the Administrator for the costs associated with implementing this section, including the costs of appraisal and survey. The Administrator may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the release under subsection (a) as the Administrator considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 519. Funds made available to GSA in the Federal Buildings Fund shall remain available to fund authorized increases or

costs arising from any projects identified in the detailed plan submitted by GSA pursuant to Public Law 111-5: *Provided*, That the Administrator of General Services shall obtain the advance approval of the Committees on Appropriations for any project cost increase in an amount greater than 10 percent.

SEC. 520. Of the amounts made available under the heading "Policy and Operations" for the maintenance, protection, and disposal of the U.S. Coast Guard Service Center at Governor's Island, NY, and the Lorton Correctional Facility in Lorton, VA in prior years, whether appropriated directly to GSA or to any other agency of the Government and received by GSA for such purpose, \$1,400,000 in unobligated balances are rescinded.

SEC. 521. (a) The Administrator of General Services, not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, shall prepare and submit to Congress a building project survey report related to a consolidated headquarters for the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) in the Washington metropolitan region (as defined in 40 U.S.C. 8301).

(b) The building project survey report shall be prepared by the Administrator of General Services in consultation with the Director of the FBI, and each strategy described in the report shall contain, at a minimum, an estimated cost, a financing and development plan, a budgetary and financial impact analysis, a procurement and implementation plan, an analysis of security and information technology issues specific to the FBI, and a schedule.

(c) The building project survey report shall identify a preferred strategy.

HARRY S TRUMAN SCHOLARSHIP FOUNDATION SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For payment to the Harry S Truman Scholarship Foundation Trust Fund, established by section 10 of Public Law 93-642, \$1,000,000 to remain available until expended.

MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD SALARIES AND EXPENSES (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to carry out functions of the Merit Systems Protection Board pursuant to Reorganization Plan Numbered 2 of 1978, the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978, and the Whistleblower Protection Act of 1989 (5 U.S.C. 5509 note), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, rental of conference rooms in the District of Columbia and elsewhere, hire of passenger motor vehicles, direct procurement of survey printing, and not to exceed \$2,000 for official reception and representation expenses, \$41,621,000 together with not to exceed \$2,579,000 for administrative expenses to adjudicate retirement appeals to be transferred from the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund in amounts determined by the Merit Systems Protection Board.

MORRIS K. UDALL AND STEWART L. UDALL FOUNDATION MORRIS K. UDALL AND STEWART L. UDALL TRUST FUND (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For payment to the Morris K. Udall and Stewart L. Udall Trust Fund, pursuant to the Morris K. Udall and Stewart L. Udall Foundation Act (20 U.S.C. 5601 et seq.), \$2,500,000, to remain available until expended, of which up to \$50,000 shall be used to conduct financial audits pursuant to the Accountability of Tax Dollars Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-289) notwithstanding sections 8 and 9 of Public Law 102-259: *Provided*, That up to 60 percent of such funds may be trans-

ferred by the Morris K. Udall and Stewart L. Udall Foundation for the necessary expenses of the Native Nations Institute.

ENVIRONMENTAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FUND

For payment to the Environmental Dispute Resolution Fund to carry out activities authorized in the Environmental Policy and Conflict Resolution Act of 1998, \$3,800,000, to remain available until expended.

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION OPERATING EXPENSES

For necessary expenses in connection with the administration of the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) (including the Information Security Oversight Office) and archived Federal records and related activities, as provided by law, and for expenses necessary for the review and declassification of documents and the activities of the Public Interest Declassification Board, and for the hire of passenger motor vehicles, and for uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by law (5 U.S.C. 5901 et seq.), including maintenance, repairs, and cleaning, \$348,689,000.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Reform Act of 2008, Public Law 110-409, 122 Stat. 4302-16 (2008), and the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.), and for the hire of passenger motor vehicles, \$4,250,000.

ELECTRONIC RECORDS ARCHIVES

For necessary expenses in connection with the development of the electronic records archives, to include all direct project costs associated with research, analysis, design, development, and program management, \$72,000,000, of which \$52,500,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That none of the multi-year funds may be obligated until the NARA submits to the Committees on Appropriations, and such Committees approve, a plan for expenditure that: (1) meets the capital planning and investment control review requirements established by OMB, including Circular A-11; (2) complies with NARA's enterprise architecture; (3) conforms with NARA's enterprise life cycle methodology; (4) is approved by NARA and OMB; (5) has been reviewed by the Government Accountability Office; and (6) complies with the acquisition rules, requirements, guidelines, and systems acquisition management practices of the Federal Government.

REPAIRS AND RESTORATION

For the repair, alteration, and improvement of archives facilities, and to provide adequate storage for holdings, \$11,848,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That language under the heading "Repairs and Restoration" in Public Law 109-115 shall be amended by striking "of which \$1,500,000 is to construct a new regional archives and records facility in Anchorage, Alaska,": *Provided further*, That language under the heading "Repairs and Restoration" in Public Law 108-447 shall be amended by striking "of which \$3,000,000 is for site preparation and construction management to construct a new regional archives and records facility in Anchorage, Alaska, and".

NATIONAL HISTORICAL PUBLICATIONS AND RECORDS COMMISSION GRANTS PROGRAM

For necessary expenses for allocations and grants for historical publications and records as authorized by 44 U.S.C. 2504, \$10,000,000, to remain available until expended.

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION CENTRAL LIQUIDITY FACILITY

During fiscal year 2011, gross obligations of the Central Liquidity Facility for the principal amount of new direct loans to member credit unions, as authorized by 12 U.S.C. 1795 et seq., shall be the amount authorized by section 307(a)(4)(A) of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1795f(a)(4)(A)): *Provided*, That administrative expenses of the Central Liquidity Facility in fiscal year 2011 shall not exceed \$1,250,000.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT REVOLVING LOAN FUND

For the Community Development Revolving Loan Fund program as authorized by 42 U.S.C. 9812, 9822 and 9910, \$2,000,000 shall be available until September 30, 2012 for technical assistance to low-income designated credit unions.

OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT ETHICS SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses to carry out functions of the Office of Government Ethics pursuant to the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, and the Ethics Reform Act of 1989, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, rental of conference rooms in the District of Columbia and elsewhere, hire of passenger motor vehicles, and not to exceed \$1,500 for official reception and representation expenses, \$14,227,000.

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT SALARIES AND EXPENSES (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF TRUST FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to carry out functions of the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) pursuant to Reorganization Plan Numbered 2 of 1978 and the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; medical examinations performed for veterans by private physicians on a fee basis; rental of conference rooms in the District of Columbia and elsewhere; hire of passenger motor vehicles; not to exceed \$2,500 for official reception and representation expenses; advances for reimbursements to applicable funds of OPM and the Federal Bureau of Investigation for expenses incurred under Executive Order No. 10422 of January 9, 1953, as amended; and payment of per diem and/or subsistence allowances to employees where Voting Rights Act activities require an employee to remain overnight at his or her post of duty, \$96,439,000, of which \$670,210 shall be available to increase the agency's acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities; of which \$6,004,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012 for the Enterprise Human Resources Integration project; \$1,416,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012 for the Human Resources Line of Business project; and in addition \$121,738,000 for administrative expenses, to be transferred from the appropriate trust funds of OPM without regard to other statutes, including direct procurement of printed materials, for the retirement and insurance programs, of which not more than \$9,495,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012 for the cost of implementing the new integrated financial system: *Provided*, That the provisions of this appropriation shall not affect the authority to use applicable trust funds as provided by sections 8348(a)(1)(B), and 9004(f)(2)(A) of title 5, U.S.C.: *Provided further*, That no part of this appropriation shall be available for salaries and expenses of the OPM Legal Examining Unit established pursuant to Executive Order No. 9358 of July 1, 1943, or any successor unit of like purpose:

Provided further, That the President's Commission on White House Fellows, established by Executive Order No. 11183 of October 3, 1964, may, during fiscal year 2011, accept donations of money, property, and personal services: *Provided further*, That such donations, including those from prior years, may be used for the development of publicity materials to provide information about the White House Fellows, except that no such donations shall be accepted for travel or reimbursement of travel expenses, or for the salaries of employees of such Commission: *Provided further*, That funds to increase the agency's acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities shall be available only to supplement and not to supplant existing acquisition workforce activities, and shall be available for training, recruitment, retention, and hiring additional members of the acquisition workforce as defined by the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act, as amended (41 U.S.C. 401 et seq.): *Provided further*, That such acquisition workforce funds shall be available for information technology in support of acquisition workforce effectiveness or for management solutions to improve acquisition management: *Provided further*, That such acquisition workforce improvement funds may be transferred by the Director of OPM to any other account in the agency to carry out the purposes provided herein: *Provided further*, That such transfer authority is in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF TRUST FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, hire of passenger motor vehicles, \$3,997,000, and in addition, not to exceed \$21,888,000 for administrative expenses to audit, investigate, and provide other oversight of OPM's retirement and insurance programs, to be transferred from the appropriate trust funds of OPM, as determined by the Inspector General: *Provided*, That the Inspector General is authorized to rent conference rooms in the District of Columbia and elsewhere.

GOVERNMENT PAYMENT FOR ANNUITANTS,
EMPLOYEES HEALTH BENEFITS

For payment of Government contributions with respect to retired employees, as authorized by chapter 89 of title 5, U.S.C., and the Retired Federal Employees Health Benefits Act (74 Stat. 849), such sums as may be necessary.

GOVERNMENT PAYMENT FOR ANNUITANTS,
EMPLOYEE LIFE INSURANCE

For payment of Government contributions with respect to employees retiring after December 31, 1989, as required by chapter 87 of title 5, U.S.C., such sums as may be necessary.

PAYMENT TO CIVIL SERVICE RETIREMENT AND
DISABILITY FUND

For financing the unfunded liability of new and increased annuity benefits becoming effective on or after October 20, 1969, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 8348, and annuities under special Acts to be credited to the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund, such sums as may be necessary: *Provided*, That annuities authorized by the Act of May 29, 1944, and the Act of August 19, 1950 (33 U.S.C. 771-775), may hereafter be paid out of the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund.

OFFICE OF SPECIAL COUNSEL

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses to carry out functions of the Office of Special Counsel pursuant to Reorganization Plan Numbered 2 of 1978, the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 (Public Law 95-454), the Whistleblower Protection Act of 1989 (Public Law 101-12), Public Law 107-304, and the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-353), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, payment of fees and expenses for witnesses, rental of conference rooms in the District of Columbia and elsewhere, and hire of passenger motor vehicles; \$19,486,000.

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Postal Regulatory Commission in carrying out the provisions of the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act (Public Law 109-435), \$14,450,000, to be derived by transfer from the Postal Service Fund and expended as authorized by section 603(a) of such Act.

PRIVACY AND CIVIL LIBERTIES OVERSIGHT
BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board, as authorized by section 1061 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (5 U.S.C. 601 note), \$1,500,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for the Securities and Exchange Commission, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, the rental of space (to include multiple year leases) in the District of Columbia and elsewhere, and not to exceed \$3,500 for official reception and representation expenses, \$1,300,000,000, to remain available until expended; of which not less than \$6,250,000 shall be for the Office of Inspector General; of which not to exceed \$30,000 may be used toward funding a permanent secretariat for the International Organization of Securities Commissions; and of which not to exceed \$100,000 shall be available for expenses for consultations and meetings hosted by the Commission with foreign governmental and other regulatory officials, members of their delegations, appropriate representatives and staff to exchange views concerning developments relating to securities matters, development and implementation of cooperation agreements concerning securities matters and provision of technical assistance for the development of foreign securities markets, such expenses to include necessary logistic and administrative expenses and the expenses of Commission staff and foreign invitees in attendance at such consultations and meetings including: (1) such incidental expenses as meals taken in the course of such attendance; (2) any travel and transportation to or from such meetings; and (3) any other related lodging or subsistence; and of which not to exceed \$483,130 shall be available to increase the Commission's acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities: *Provided*, That such acquisition workforce funds may be transferred by the Chairman to any other account in the Commission to carry out the purposes provided herein: *Provided further*, That such transfer authority is in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act: *Provided further*, That such acquisition workforce funds

shall be available only to supplement and not to supplant existing acquisition workforce activities: *Provided further*, That such funds shall be available for training, recruitment, retention, and hiring additional members of the acquisition workforce as defined by the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act, as amended (41 U.S.C. 401 et seq.): *Provided further*, That such funds shall be available for information technology in support of acquisition workforce effectiveness and management: *Provided further*, That fees and assessments authorized by sections 6(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. 77f(b)), and 13(e), 14(g) and 31 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m(e), 78n(g), and 78ee), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Public Law 111-203, 124 Stat. 1376) shall be credited to this account as offsetting collections: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$1,300,000,000 of such offsetting collections shall be available until expended for necessary expenses of this account: *Provided further*, That the total amount appropriated under this heading from the general fund for fiscal year 2011 shall be reduced as such offsetting collections are received so as to result in a final total fiscal year 2011 appropriation from the general fund estimated at not more than \$0.

SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Selective Service System, including expenses of attendance at meetings and of training for uniformed personnel assigned to the Selective Service System, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 4101-4118 for civilian employees; purchase of uniforms, or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901-5902; hire of passenger motor vehicles; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; and not to exceed \$750 for official reception and representation expenses; \$24,275,000: *Provided*, That during the current fiscal year, the President may exempt this appropriation from the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 1341, whenever the President deems such action to be necessary in the interest of national defense: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated by this Act may be expended for or in connection with the induction of any person into the Armed Forces of the United States.

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, of the Small Business Administration as authorized by Public Law 108-447, including hire of passenger motor vehicles as authorized by 31 U.S.C. 1343 and 1344, and not to exceed \$3,500 for official reception and representation expenses, \$459,125,000: *Provided*, That the Administrator is authorized to charge fees to cover the cost of publications developed by the Small Business Administration, and certain loan program activities, including fees authorized by section 5(b) of the Small Business Act: *Provided further*, That, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, revenues received from all such activities shall be credited to this account, to remain available until expended, for carrying out these purposes without further appropriations: *Provided further*, That \$115,250,000 shall be available to fund grants for performance in fiscal year 2011 or fiscal year 2012 as authorized by section 21 of the Small Business Act, of which \$1,000,000 shall be for the Veterans Assistance and Services Program authorized by section 21(n) of the Small Business Act, and of which \$1,000,000 shall be for the Small

Business Energy Efficiency Program authorized by section 1203(c) of Public Law 110-140: *Provided further*, That \$22,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012 for marketing, management, and technical assistance under section 7(m) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(m)(4)) by intermediaries that make microloans under the microloan program: *Provided further*, That during fiscal year 2011, the applicable percentage under section 7(m)(4)(A) of the Small Business Act shall be 50 percent: *Provided further*, That \$15,347,700 shall be available for the Loan Modernization and Accounting System, to be available until September 30, 2012: *Provided further*, That \$2,000,000 shall be for the Federal and State Technology Partnership Program under section 34 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657d): *Provided further*, That \$1,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, shall be for a pilot program to provide financial assistance in the form of grants or cooperative agreements to educational institutions, nonprofit organizations, or State and local departments and agencies for the purposes of providing management or technical assistance to Hispanic small businesses: *Provided further*, That \$1,767,090 shall be to supplement and not supplant training, recruitment, retention, and hiring additional members of the acquisition workforce as defined by the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 401 et seq.) and for information technology in support of acquisition workforce effectiveness and management.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, \$18,000,000.

BUSINESS LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the cost of direct loans, \$4,000,000, to remain available until expended, and for the cost of guaranteed loans as authorized by section 7(a) of the Small Business Act, \$79,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: *Provided further*, That subject to section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, during fiscal year 2011 commitments to guarantee loans under section 503 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 shall not exceed \$7,500,000,000: *Provided further*, That during fiscal year 2011 commitments for general business loans authorized under section 7(a) of the Small Business Act shall not exceed \$17,500,000,000 for a combination of amortizing term loans and the aggregated maximum line of credit provided by revolving loans: *Provided further*, That during fiscal year 2011 commitments to guarantee loans for debentures under section 303(b) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, shall not exceed \$3,000,000,000: *Provided further*, That during fiscal year 2011, guarantees of trust certificates authorized by section 5(g) of the Small Business Act shall not exceed a principal amount of \$12,000,000,000. In addition, for administrative expenses to carry out the direct and guaranteed loan programs, \$157,000,000, which may be transferred to and merged with the appropriations for Salaries and Expenses.

DISASTER LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For administrative expenses to carry out the direct loan program authorized by section 7(b) of the Small Business Act,

\$193,000,000, to be available until expended, of which \$1,000,000 is for the Office of Inspector General of the Small Business Administration for audits and reviews of disaster loans and the disaster loan programs and shall be transferred to and merged with the appropriations for the Office of Inspector General; of which \$183,000,000 is for direct administrative expenses of loan making and servicing to carry out the direct loan program, which may be transferred to and merged with the appropriations for Salaries and Expenses; of which \$9,000,000 is for indirect administrative expenses for the direct loan program, which may be transferred to and merged with the appropriations for Salaries and Expenses.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 530. Not to exceed 5 percent of any appropriation made available for the current fiscal year for the Small Business Administration in this Act may be transferred between such appropriations, but no such appropriation shall be increased by more than 10 percent by any such transfers: *Provided*, That any transfer pursuant to this paragraph shall be treated as a reprogramming of funds under section 608 of this Act and shall not be available for obligation or expenditure except in compliance with the procedures set forth in that section.

SEC. 531. All disaster loans issued in Alaska or North Dakota shall be administered by the Small Business Administration and shall not be sold during fiscal year 2010.

SEC. 532. Funds made available under Public Law 111-8 and Public Law 111-117 for Community Links Hawaii shall be made available to the Pacific International Center for High Technology Research.

SEC. 533. Public Law 111-240 is amended in section 1114 and section 1704 by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “September 30, 2011” each time it appears and in section 1704 by adding at the end the following: “(c) For purposes of the loans made under this section, the maximum guaranteed amount outstanding to the borrower may not exceed \$4,500,000.”

SEC. 534. For an additional amount under the heading “Small Business Administration—Salaries and Expenses,” \$47,575,000 to remain available until September 30, 2012, which shall be for initiatives related to small business development and entrepreneurship, including programmatic and construction activities, in the amounts and for the projects specified in the table that appears under the heading “Administrative Provisions—Small Business Administration” in the explanatory statement to accompany this Act.

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

PAYMENT TO THE POSTAL SERVICE FUND

For payment to the Postal Service Fund for revenue forgone on free and reduced rate mail, pursuant to subsections (c) and (d) of 39 U.S.C. 2401, \$103,905,000, of which \$74,905,000 shall not be available for obligation until October 1, 2011: *Provided*, That mail for overseas voting and mail for the blind shall continue to be free: *Provided further*, That 6-day delivery and rural delivery of mail shall continue at not less than the 1983 level: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available to the Postal Service by this Act shall be used to implement any rule, regulation, or policy of charging any officer or employee of any State or local child support enforcement agency, or any individual participating in a State or local program of child support enforcement, a fee for information requested or

provided concerning an address of a postal customer: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided in this Act shall be used to consolidate or close small rural and other small post offices in fiscal year 2011.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, \$244,397,000, to be derived by transfer from the Postal Service Fund and expended as authorized by section 603(b)(3) of the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act (Public Law 109-435).

UNITED STATES TAX COURT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses, including contract reporting and other services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, \$55,053,000, of which \$2,852,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That travel expenses of the judges shall be paid upon the written certificate of the judge.

TITLE VI

GENERAL PROVISIONS—THIS ACT

SEC. 601. None of the funds in this Act shall be used for the planning or execution of any program to pay the expenses of, or otherwise compensate, non-Federal parties intervening in regulatory or adjudicatory proceedings funded in this Act.

SEC. 602. None of the funds appropriated in this Act shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year, nor may any be transferred to other appropriations, unless expressly so provided herein.

SEC. 603. The expenditure of any appropriation under this Act for any consulting service through procurement contract pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3109, shall be limited to those contracts where such expenditures are a matter of public record and available for public inspection, except where otherwise provided under existing law, or under existing Executive order issued pursuant to existing law.

SEC. 604. None of the funds made available in this Act may be transferred to any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States Government, except pursuant to a transfer made by, or transfer authority provided in, this Act or any other appropriations Act.

SEC. 605. None of the funds made available by this Act shall be available for any activity or for paying the salary of any Government employee where funding an activity or paying a salary to a Government employee would result in a decision, determination, rule, regulation, or policy that would prohibit the enforcement of section 307 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1307).

SEC. 606. No funds appropriated pursuant to this Act may be expended by an entity unless the entity agrees that in expending the assistance the entity will comply with the Buy American Act (41 U.S.C. 10a-10c).

SEC. 607. No funds appropriated or otherwise made available under this Act shall be made available to any person or entity that has been convicted of violating the Buy American Act (41 U.S.C. 10a-10c).

SEC. 608. Except as otherwise provided in this Act, none of the funds provided in this Act, provided by previous appropriations Acts to the agencies or entities funded in this Act that remain available for obligation or expenditure in fiscal year 2011, or provided from any accounts in the Treasury derived by the collection of fees and available to the

agencies funded by this Act, shall be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming of funds that: (1) creates a new program; (2) eliminates a program, project, or activity; (3) increases funds or personnel for any program, project, or activity for which funds have been denied or restricted by the Congress; (4) proposes to use funds directed for a specific activity by the Committee on Appropriations of either the House of Representatives or the Senate for a different purpose; (5) augments existing programs, projects, or activities in excess of \$5,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less; (6) reduces existing programs, projects, or activities by \$5,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less; or (7) creates or reorganizes offices, programs, or activities unless prior approval is received from the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided*, That prior to any significant reorganization or restructuring of offices, programs, or activities, each agency or entity funded in this Act shall consult with the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, each agency funded by this Act shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations to establish the baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the current fiscal year: *Provided further*, That the report shall include: (1) a table for each appropriation with a separate column to display the President's budget request, adjustments made by Congress, adjustments due to enacted rescissions, if appropriate, and the fiscal year enacted level; (2) a delineation in the table for each appropriation both by object class and program, project, and activity as detailed in the budget appendix for the respective appropriation; and (3) an identification of items of special congressional interest: *Provided further*, That the amount appropriated or limited for salaries and expenses for an agency shall be reduced by \$100,000 per day for each day after the required date that the report has not been submitted to the Congress.

SEC. 609. Except as otherwise specifically provided by law, not to exceed 50 percent of unobligated balances remaining available at the end of fiscal year 2011 from appropriations made available for salaries and expenses for fiscal year 2011 in this Act, shall remain available through September 30, 2012, for each such account for the purposes authorized: *Provided*, That a request shall be submitted to the Committees on Appropriations for approval prior to the expenditure of such funds: *Provided further*, That these requests shall be made in compliance with reprogramming guidelines.

SEC. 610. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used by the Executive Office of the President to request from the Federal Bureau of Investigation any official background investigation report on any individual, except when—

(1) such individual has given his or her express written consent for such request not more than 6 months prior to the date of such request and during the same presidential administration; or

(2) such request is required due to extraordinary circumstances involving national security.

SEC. 611. The cost accounting standards promulgated under section 26 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (Public Law 93-400; 41 U.S.C. 422) shall not apply with respect to a contract under the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program established under chapter 89 of title 5, U.S.C.

SEC. 612. For the purpose of resolving litigation and implementing any settlement

agreements regarding the nonforeign area cost-of-living allowance program, the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) may accept and utilize (without regard to any restriction on unanticipated travel expenses imposed in an Appropriations Act) funds made available to OPM pursuant to court approval.

SEC. 613. No funds appropriated by this Act shall be available to pay for an abortion, or the administrative expenses in connection with any health plan under the Federal employees health benefits program which provides any benefits or coverage for abortions.

SEC. 614. The provision of section 613 shall not apply where the life of the mother would be endangered if the fetus were carried to term, or the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest.

SEC. 615. In order to promote Government access to commercial information technology, the restriction on purchasing non-domestic articles, materials, and supplies set forth in the Buy American Act (41 U.S.C. 10a et seq.), shall not apply to the acquisition by the Federal Government of information technology (as defined in 40 U.S.C. 1101), that is a commercial item (as defined in section 412) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12)).

SEC. 616. Notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 1353, no officer or employee of any regulatory agency or commission funded by this Act may accept on behalf of that agency, nor may such agency or commission accept, payment or reimbursement from a non-Federal entity for travel, subsistence, or related expenses for the purpose of enabling an officer or employee to attend and participate in any meeting or similar function relating to the official duties of the officer or employee when the entity offering payment or reimbursement is a person or entity subject to regulation by such agency or commission, or represents a person or entity subject to regulation by such agency or commission, unless the person or entity is an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and exempt from tax under section 501(a) of such Code.

SEC. 617. The Public Company Accounting Oversight Board shall have authority to obligate funds for the scholarship program established by section 109(c)(2) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-204) in an aggregate amount not exceeding the amount of funds collected by the Board as of December 31, 2010, including accrued interest, as a result of the assessment of monetary penalties. Funds available for obligation in fiscal year 2011 shall remain available until expended.

SEC. 618. From the unobligated balances of prior year appropriations made available for the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board, \$1,500,000 are rescinded.

SEC. 619. During fiscal year 2011, for purposes of section 908(b)(1) of the Trade Sanctions Reform and Export Enhancement Act of 2000 (22 U.S.C. 7207(b)(1)), the term "payment of cash in advance" shall be interpreted as payment before the transfer of title to, and control of, the exported items to the Cuban purchaser.

SEC. 620. (a) Section 1403(8) of the Virginia Graeme Baker Pool and Spa Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 8002(8)) is amended by adding at the end the following: "For purposes of eligibility for the grants authorized under section 1405, such term shall also include any political subdivision of a State."

(b) EXTENSION OF GRANT PROGRAM.—Section 1405(e) of the Virginia Graeme Baker Pool and Spa Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 8004 (e))

is amended by striking "2010" and inserting "2011".

SEC. 621. Notwithstanding section 708 of this Act, funds made available to the Commodity Futures Trading Commission and the Securities and Exchange Commission by this or any other Act may be used for the inter-agency funding and sponsorship of a joint advisory committee to advise on emerging regulatory issues.

SEC. 622. Any expenses incurred by the Election Assistance Commission using amounts appropriated under the heading "Election Assistance Commission, Election Reform Programs" in the Transportation, Treasury, and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 2004 (Public Law 108-199; 118 Stat. 327) for any program or activity which the Commission is authorized to carry out under the Help America Vote Act of 2002 shall be considered to have been incurred for the programs and activities described under such heading.

SEC. 623. Section 1107 of 31 U.S.C. 1107 is amended by adding to the end thereof the following: "The President shall transmit promptly to Congress without change, proposed deficiency and supplemental appropriations submitted to the President by the legislative branch and the judicial branch."

SEC. 624. Section 7 of the Abraham Lincoln Commemorative Coin Act (31 U.S.C. § 5112 note) is amended in subsection (b) by striking "Abraham Lincoln Bicentennial Commission to further the work of the Commission" and inserting "Abraham Lincoln Bicentennial Foundation for the purposes of commemorating the bicentennial of the birth of Abraham Lincoln, and fostering and promoting the awareness and study of the life of Abraham Lincoln" and in subsection (c) by striking "Abraham Lincoln Bicentennial Commission" and inserting "Abraham Lincoln Bicentennial Foundation".

SEC. 625. Of the unobligated balances available to the Federal Communications Commission from prior appropriations under the heading "Salaries and Expenses", \$2,800,000 are hereby rescinded.

TITLE VII

GENERAL PROVISIONS—GOVERNMENT-WIDE

DEPARTMENTS, AGENCIES, AND CORPORATIONS

SEC. 701. No department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States receiving appropriated funds under this or any other Act for fiscal year 2011 shall obligate or expend any such funds, unless such department, agency, or instrumentality has in place, and will continue to administer in good faith, a written policy designed to ensure that all of its workplaces are free from the illegal use, possession, or distribution of controlled substances (as defined in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802)) by the officers and employees of such department, agency, or instrumentality.

SEC. 702. Unless otherwise specifically provided, the maximum amount allowable during the current fiscal year in accordance with 31 U.S.C. 1343(c), for the purchase of any passenger motor vehicle (exclusive of buses, ambulances, law enforcement, and undercover surveillance vehicles), is hereby fixed at \$13,197 except station wagons for which the maximum shall be \$13,631: *Provided*, That these limits may be exceeded by not to exceed \$3,700 for police-type vehicles, and by not to exceed \$4,000 for special heavy-duty vehicles: *Provided further*, That the limits set forth in this section may not be exceeded by more than 5 percent for electric or hybrid vehicles purchased for demonstration under

the provisions of the Electric and Hybrid Vehicle Research, Development, and Demonstration Act of 1976: *Provided further*, That the limits set forth in this section may be exceeded by the incremental cost of clean alternative fuels vehicles acquired pursuant to Public Law 101-549 over the cost of comparable conventionally fueled vehicles: *Provided further*, That the limits set forth in this section shall not apply to any vehicle that is a commercial item and which operates on emerging motor vehicle technology, including but not limited to electric, plug-in hybrid electric, and hydrogen fuel cell vehicles.

SEC. 703. Appropriations of the executive departments and independent establishments for the current fiscal year available for expenses of travel, or for the expenses of the activity concerned, are hereby made available for quarters allowances and cost-of-living allowances, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 5922-5924.

SEC. 704. Unless otherwise specified during the current fiscal year, no part of any appropriation contained in this or any other Act shall be used to pay the compensation of any officer or employee of the Government of the United States (including any agency the majority of the stock of which is owned by the Government of the United States) whose post of duty is in the continental United States unless such person: (1) is a citizen of the United States; (2) is a person who is lawfully admitted for permanent residence and is seeking citizenship as outlined in 8 U.S.C. 1324b(a)(3)(B); (3) is a person who is admitted as a refugee under 8 U.S.C. 1157 or is granted asylum under 8 U.S.C. 1158 and has filed a declaration of intention to become a lawful permanent resident and then a citizen when eligible; or (4) is a person who owes allegiance to the United States: *Provided*, That for purposes of this section, affidavits signed by any such person shall be considered prima facie evidence that the requirements of this section with respect to his or her status are being complied with: *Provided further*, That any person making a false affidavit shall be guilty of a felony, and upon conviction, shall be fined not more than \$4,000 or imprisoned for not more than 1 year, or both: *Provided further*, That the above penal clause shall be in addition to, and not in substitution for, any other provisions of existing law: *Provided further*, That any payment made to any officer or employee contrary to the provisions of this section shall be recoverable in action by the Federal Government: *Provided further*, That this section shall not apply to any person who is an officer or employee of the Government of the United States on the date of enactment of this Act, or to international broadcasters employed by the Broadcasting Board of Governors, or to temporary employment of translators, or to temporary employment in the field service (not to exceed 60 days) as a result of emergencies: *Provided further*, That this section does not apply to the employment as Wildland firefighters for not more than 120 days of nonresident aliens employed by the Department of the Interior or the USDA Forest Service pursuant to an agreement with another country.

SEC. 705. Appropriations available to any department or agency during the current fiscal year for necessary expenses, including maintenance or operating expenses, shall also be available for payment to the General Services Administration for charges for space and services and those expenses of renovation and alteration of buildings and facilities which constitute public improvements performed in accordance with the Public Buildings Act of 1959 (73 Stat. 479),

the Public Buildings Amendments of 1972 (86 Stat. 216), or other applicable law.

SEC. 706. In addition to funds provided in this or any other Act, all Federal agencies are authorized to receive and use funds resulting from the sale of materials, including Federal records disposed of pursuant to a records schedule recovered through recycling or waste prevention programs. Such funds shall be available until expended for the following purposes:

(1) Acquisition, waste reduction and prevention, and recycling programs as described in Executive Order No. 13423 (January 24, 2007), including any such programs adopted prior to the effective date of the Executive order.

(2) Other Federal agency environmental management programs, including, but not limited to, the development and implementation of hazardous waste management and pollution prevention programs.

(3) Other employee programs as authorized by law or as deemed appropriate by the head of the Federal agency.

SEC. 707. Funds made available by this or any other Act for administrative expenses in the current fiscal year of the corporations and agencies subject to chapter 91 of title 31, U.S.C., shall be available, in addition to objects for which such funds are otherwise available, for rent in the District of Columbia; services in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 3109; and the objects specified under this head, all the provisions of which shall be applicable to the expenditure of such funds unless otherwise specified in the Act by which they are made available: *Provided*, That in the event any functions budgeted as administrative expenses are subsequently transferred to or paid from other funds, the limitations on administrative expenses shall be correspondingly reduced.

SEC. 708. No part of any appropriation contained in this or any other Act shall be available for interagency financing of boards (except Federal Executive Boards), commissions, councils, committees, or similar groups (whether or not they are interagency entities) which do not have a prior and specific statutory approval to receive financial support from more than one agency or instrumentality.

SEC. 709. None of the funds made available pursuant to the provisions of this Act shall be used to implement, administer, or enforce any regulation which has been disapproved pursuant to a joint resolution duly adopted in accordance with the applicable law of the United States.

SEC. 710. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, and except as otherwise provided in this section, no part of any of the funds appropriated for fiscal year 2011, by this or any other Act, may be used to pay any prevailing rate employee described in 5 U.S.C. 5342(a)(2)(A)—

(1) during the period from the date of expiration of the limitation imposed by the comparable section for previous fiscal years until the normal effective date of the applicable wage survey adjustment that is to take effect in fiscal year 2011, in an amount that exceeds the rate payable for the applicable grade and step of the applicable wage schedule in accordance with such section; and

(2) during the period consisting of the remainder of fiscal year 2011, in an amount that exceeds, as a result of a wage survey adjustment, the rate payable under paragraph (1) by more than the sum of—

(A) the percentage adjustment taking effect in fiscal year 2011 under section 5303 of title 5, United States Code, in the rates of pay under the General Schedule; and

(B) the difference between the overall average percentage of the locality-based comparability payments taking effect in fiscal year 2011 under section 5304 of such title (whether by adjustment or otherwise), and the overall average percentage of such payments which was effective in the previous fiscal year under such section.

(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no prevailing rate employee described in subparagraph (B) or (C) of section 5342(a)(2) of title 5, U.S.C., and no employee covered by section 5348 of such title, may be paid during the periods for which subsection (a) is in effect at a rate that exceeds the rates that would be payable under subsection (a) were subsection (a) applicable to such employee.

(c) For the purposes of this section, the rates payable to an employee who is covered by this section and who is paid from a schedule not in existence on September 30, 2010, shall be determined under regulations prescribed by the Office of Personnel Management (OPM).

(d) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, rates of premium pay for employees subject to this section may not be changed from the rates in effect on September 30, 2010, except to the extent determined by OPM to be consistent with the purpose of this section.

(e) This section shall apply with respect to pay for service performed after September 30, 2010.

(f) For the purpose of administering any provision of law (including any rule or regulation that provides premium pay, retirement, life insurance, or any other employee benefit) that requires any deduction or contribution, or that imposes any requirement or limitation on the basis of a rate of salary or basic pay, the rate of salary or basic pay payable after the application of this section shall be treated as the rate of salary or basic pay.

(g) Nothing in this section shall be considered to permit or require the payment to any employee covered by this section at a rate in excess of the rate that would be payable were this section not in effect.

(h) OPM may provide for exceptions to the limitations imposed by this section if OPM determines that such exceptions are necessary to ensure the recruitment or retention of qualified employees.

SEC. 711. During the period in which the head of any department or agency, or any other officer or civilian employee of the Federal Government appointed by the President of the United States, holds office, no funds may be obligated or expended in excess of \$5,000 to furnish or redecorate the office of such department head, agency head, officer, or employee, or to purchase furniture or make improvements for any such office, unless advance notice of such furnishing or redecoration is transmitted to the Committees on Appropriations. For the purposes of this section, the term "office" shall include the entire suite of offices assigned to the individual, as well as any other space used primarily by the individual or the use of which is directly controlled by the individual.

SEC. 712. Notwithstanding section 31 U.S.C. 1346, or section 708 of this Act, funds made available for the current fiscal year by this or any other Act shall be available for the interagency funding of national security and emergency preparedness telecommunications initiatives which benefit multiple Federal departments, agencies, or entities, as provided by Executive Order No. 12472 (April 3, 1984).

SEC. 713. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this or any other Act may be obligated or

expended by any Federal department, agency, or other instrumentality for the salaries or expenses of any employee appointed to a position of a confidential or policy-determining character excepted from the competitive service pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3302, without a certification to OPM from the head of the Federal department, agency, or other instrumentality employing the Schedule C appointee that the Schedule C position was not created solely or primarily in order to detail the employee to the White House.

(b) The provisions of this section shall not apply to Federal employees or members of the armed forces detailed to or from—

- (1) the Central Intelligence Agency;
- (2) the National Security Agency;
- (3) the Defense Intelligence Agency;
- (4) the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency;

(5) the offices within the Department of Defense for the collection of specialized national foreign intelligence through reconnaissance programs;

(6) the Bureau of Intelligence and Research of the Department of State;

(7) any agency, office, or unit of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps, the Department of Homeland Security, the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Drug Enforcement Administration of the Department of Justice, the Department of Transportation, the Department of the Treasury, and the Department of Energy performing intelligence functions; and

(8) the Director of National Intelligence or the Office of the Director of National Intelligence.

SEC. 714. No part of any appropriation contained in this or any other Act shall be available for the payment of the salary of any officer or employee of the Federal Government, who—

(1) prohibits or prevents, or attempts or threatens to prohibit or prevent, any other officer or employee of the Federal Government from having any direct oral or written communication or contact with any Member, committee, or subcommittee of the Congress in connection with any matter pertaining to the employment of such other officer or employee or pertaining to the department or agency of such other officer or employee in any way, irrespective of whether such communication or contact is at the initiative of such other officer or employee or in response to the request or inquiry of such Member, committee, or subcommittee; or

(2) removes, suspends from duty without pay, demotes, reduces in rank, seniority, status, pay, or performance or efficiency rating, denies promotion to, relocates, reassigns, transfers, disciplines, or discriminates in regard to any employment right, entitlement, or benefit, or any term or condition of employment of, any other officer or employee of the Federal Government, or attempts or threatens to commit any of the foregoing actions with respect to such other officer or employee, by reason of any communication or contact of such other officer or employee with any Member, committee, or subcommittee of the Congress as described in paragraph (1).

SEC. 715. (a) None of the funds made available in this or any other Act may be obligated or expended for any employee training that—

(1) does not meet identified needs for knowledge, skills, and abilities bearing directly upon the performance of official duties;

(2) contains elements likely to induce high levels of emotional response or psychological stress in some participants;

(3) does not require prior employee notification of the content and methods to be used in the training and written end of course evaluation;

(4) contains any methods or content associated with religious or quasi-religious belief systems or “new age” belief systems as defined in Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Notice N-915.022, dated September 2, 1988; or

(5) is offensive to, or designed to change, participants—personal values or lifestyle outside the workplace.

(b) Nothing in this section shall prohibit, restrict, or otherwise preclude an agency from conducting training bearing directly upon the performance of official duties.

SEC. 716. No funds appropriated in this or any other Act may be used to implement or enforce the agreements in Standard Forms 312 and 4114 of the Government or any other nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement if such policy, form, or agreement does not contain the following provisions: “These restrictions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by Executive Order No. 12958; 5 U.S.C. 7211 (governing disclosures to Congress); 10 U.S.C. 1034, as amended by the Military Whistleblower Protection Act (governing disclosure to Congress by members of the military); 5 U.S.C. 2302(b)(8), as amended by the Whistleblower Protection Act of 1989 (governing disclosures of illegality, waste, fraud, abuse or public health or safety threats); the Intelligence Identities Protection Act of 1982 (50 U.S.C. 421 et seq.) (governing disclosures that could expose confidential Government agents); and the statutes which protect against disclosure that may compromise the national security, including sections 641, 793, 794, 798, and 952 of title 18, U.S.C., and section 4(b) of the Subversive Activities Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 783(b)). The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by said Executive order and listed statutes are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling.”: *Provided*, That notwithstanding the preceding paragraph, a nondisclosure policy form or agreement that is to be executed by a person connected with the conduct of an intelligence or intelligence-related activity, other than an employee or officer of the United States Government, may contain provisions appropriate to the particular activity for which such document is to be used. Such form or agreement shall, at a minimum, require that the person will not disclose any classified information received in the course of such activity unless specifically authorized to do so by the United States Government. Such nondisclosure forms shall also make it clear that they do not bar disclosures to Congress, or to an authorized official of an executive agency or the Department of Justice, that are essential to reporting a substantial violation of law.

SEC. 717. No part of any funds appropriated in this or any other Act shall be used by an agency of the executive branch, other than for normal and recognized executive-legislative relationships, for publicity or propaganda purposes, and for the preparation, distribution or use of any kit, pamphlet, booklet, publication, radio, television, or film presentation designed to support or defeat legislation pending before the Congress, except in presentation to the Congress itself.

SEC. 718. None of the funds appropriated by this or any other Act may be used by an agency to provide a Federal employee's home address to any labor organization ex-

cept when the employee has authorized such disclosure or when such disclosure has been ordered by a court of competent jurisdiction.

SEC. 719. None of the funds made available in this Act or any other Act may be used to provide any non-public information such as mailing or telephone lists to any person or any organization outside of the Federal Government without the approval of the Committees on Appropriations.

SEC. 720. No part of any appropriation contained in this or any other Act shall be used directly or indirectly, including by private contractor, for publicity or propaganda purposes within the United States not heretofore authorized by the Congress.

SEC. 721. (a) In this section, the term “agency”—

(1) means an Executive agency, as defined under 5 U.S.C. 105;

(2) includes a military department, as defined under section 102 of such title, the Postal Service, and the Postal Regulatory Commission; and

(3) shall not include the Government Accountability Office.

(b) Unless authorized in accordance with law or regulations to use such time for other purposes, an employee of an agency shall use official time in an honest effort to perform official duties. An employee not under a leave system, including a Presidential appointee exempted under 5 U.S.C. 6301(2), has an obligation to expend an honest effort and a reasonable proportion of such employee's time in the performance of official duties.

SEC. 722. Notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 1346 and section 708 of this Act, funds made available for the current fiscal year by this or any other Act to any department or agency, which is a member of the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB), shall be available to finance an appropriate share of FASAB administrative costs.

SEC. 723. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a woman may breastfeed her child at any location in a Federal building or on Federal property, if the woman and her child are otherwise authorized to be present at the location.

SEC. 724. Notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 1346, or section 708 of this Act, funds made available for the current fiscal year by this or any other Act shall be available for the inter-agency funding of specific projects, workshops, studies, and similar efforts to carry out the purposes of the National Science and Technology Council (authorized by Executive Order No. 12881), which benefit multiple Federal departments, agencies, or entities: *Provided*, That the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) shall provide a report describing the budget of and resources connected with the National Science and Technology Council to the Committees on Appropriations, the House Committee on Science and Technology, and the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation 90 days after enactment of this Act.

SEC. 725. Any request for proposals, solicitation, grant application, form, notification, press release, or other publications involving the distribution of Federal funds shall indicate the agency providing the funds, the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number, as applicable, and the amount provided: *Provided*, That this provision shall apply to direct payments, formula funds, and grants received by a State receiving Federal funds.

SEC. 726. (a) PROHIBITION OF FEDERAL AGENCY MONITORING OF INDIVIDUALS' INTERNET USE.—None of the funds made available in this or any other Act may be used by any Federal agency—

(1) to collect, review, or create any aggregation of data, derived from any means, that includes any personally identifiable information relating to an individual's access to or use of any Federal Government Internet site of the agency; or

(2) to enter into any agreement with a third party (including another government agency) to collect, review, or obtain any aggregation of data, derived from any means, that includes any personally identifiable information relating to an individual's access to or use of any nongovernmental Internet site.

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—The limitations established in subsection (a) shall not apply to—

(1) any record of aggregate data that does not identify particular persons;

(2) any voluntary submission of personally identifiable information;

(3) any action taken for law enforcement, regulatory, or supervisory purposes, in accordance with applicable law; or

(4) any action described in subsection (a)(1) that is a system security action taken by the operator of an Internet site and is necessarily incident to providing the Internet site services or to protecting the rights or property of the provider of the Internet site.

(c) DEFINITIONS.—For the purposes of this section:

(1) The term “regulatory” means agency actions to implement, interpret or enforce authorities provided in law.

(2) The term “supervisory” means examinations of the agency's supervised institutions, including assessing safety and soundness, overall financial condition, management practices and policies and compliance with applicable standards as provided in law.

SEC. 727. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to enter into or renew a contract which includes a provision providing prescription drug coverage, except where the contract also includes a provision for contraceptive coverage.

(b) Nothing in this section shall apply to a contract with—

(1) any of the following religious plans:

(A) Personal Care's HMO; and

(B) OSF HealthPlans, Inc.; and

(2) any existing or future plan, if the carrier for the plan objects to such coverage on the basis of religious beliefs.

(c) In implementing this section, any plan that enters into or renews a contract under this section may not subject any individual to discrimination on the basis that the individual refuses to prescribe or otherwise provide for contraceptives because such activities would be contrary to the individual's religious beliefs or moral convictions.

(d) Nothing in this section shall be construed to require coverage of abortion or abortion-related services.

SEC. 728. The Congress of the United States recognizes the United States Anti-Doping Agency (USADA) as the official anti-doping agency for Olympic, Pan American, and Paralympic sport in the United States.

SEC. 729. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds appropriated for official travel by Federal departments and agencies may be used by such departments and agencies, if consistent with OMB Circular A-126 regarding official travel for Government personnel, to participate in the fractional aircraft ownership pilot program.

SEC. 730. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, none of the funds appropriated or made available under this Act or any other appropriations Act may be used to implement or enforce restrictions or limitations on the Coast Guard Congressional Fellowship

Program, or to implement the proposed regulations of OPM to add sections 300.311 through 300.316 to part 300 of title 5 of the Code of Federal Regulations, published in the Federal Register, volume 68, number 174, on September 9, 2003 (relating to the detail of executive branch employees to the legislative branch).

SEC. 731. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no executive branch agency shall purchase, construct, and/or lease any additional facilities, except within or contiguous to existing locations, to be used for the purpose of conducting Federal law enforcement training without the advance approval of the Committees on Appropriations, except that the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center is authorized to obtain the temporary use of additional facilities by lease, contract, or other agreement for training which cannot be accommodated in existing Center facilities.

SEC. 732. (a) For fiscal year 2011, no funds shall be available for transfers or reimbursements to the E-Government initiatives sponsored by OMB prior to 15 days following submission of a report to the Committees on Appropriations by the Director of OMB and receipt of approval to transfer funds by the Committees on Appropriations.

(b) The report in subsection (a) and other required justification materials shall include at a minimum—

(1) a description of each initiative including but not limited to its objectives, benefits, development status, risks, cost effectiveness (including estimated net costs or savings to the government), and the estimated date of full operational capability;

(2) the total development cost of each initiative by fiscal year including costs to date, the estimated costs to complete its development to full operational capability, and estimated annual operations and maintenance costs; and

(3) the sources and distribution of funding by fiscal year and by agency and bureau for each initiative including agency contributions to date and estimated future contributions by agency.

(c) No funds shall be available for obligation or expenditure for new E-Government initiatives without the explicit approval of the Committees on Appropriations.

SEC. 733. Notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 1346 and section 708 of this Act and any other provision of law, the head of each appropriate executive department and agency shall transfer to or reimburse the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, upon the direction of the Director of OMB, funds made available by this or any other Act for the purposes described below, and shall submit budget requests for such purposes. These funds shall be administered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, in consultation with the appropriate interagency groups designated by the Director and shall be used to ensure the uninterrupted, continuous operation of the Midway Atoll Airfield by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service pursuant to an operational agreement with the Federal Aviation Administration for the entirety of fiscal year 2011 and any period thereafter that precedes the enactment of the Financial Services and General Government Appropriations Act, 2012. The Director of OMB shall mandate the necessary transfers after determining an equitable allocation between the appropriate executive departments and agencies of the responsibility for funding the continuous operation of the Midway Atoll Airfield based on, but not limited to, potential use, interest in maintaining aviation safety, and applica-

bility to governmental operations and agency mission. The total funds transferred or reimbursed shall not exceed \$6,000,000 for any 12-month period. Such sums shall be sufficient to ensure continued operation of the airfield throughout the period cited above. Funds shall be available for operation of the airfield or airfield-related capital upgrades. The Director of OMB shall notify the Committees on Appropriations of such transfers or reimbursements within 15 days of this Act. Such transfers or reimbursements shall begin within 30 days of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 734. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this or any other Act may be used to begin or announce a study or public-private competition regarding the conversion to contractor performance of any function performed by Federal employees pursuant to OMB Circular A-76 or any other administrative regulation, directive, or policy.

SEC. 735. Unless otherwise authorized by existing law, none of the funds provided in this Act or any other Act may be used by an executive branch agency to produce any prepackaged news story intended for broadcast or distribution in the United States, unless the story includes a clear notification within the text or audio of the prepackaged news story that the prepackaged news story was prepared or funded by that executive branch agency.

SEC. 736. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used in contravention of 5 U.S.C. 552a (popularly known as the Privacy Act) and regulations implementing that section.

SEC. 737. Each executive department and agency shall evaluate the creditworthiness of an individual before issuing the individual a government travel charge card. Such evaluations for individually billed travel charge cards shall include an assessment of the individual's consumer report from a consumer reporting agency as those terms are defined in section 603 of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (Public Law 91-508): *Provided*, That the department or agency may not issue a government travel charge card to an individual that either lacks a credit history or is found to have an unsatisfactory credit history as a result of this evaluation: *Provided further*, That this restriction shall not preclude issuance of a restricted-use charge, debit, or stored value card made in accordance with agency procedures to: (1) an individual with an unsatisfactory credit history where such card is used to pay travel expenses and the agency determines there is no suitable alternative payment mechanism available before issuing the card; or (2) an individual who lacks a credit history. Each executive department and agency shall establish guidelines and procedures for disciplinary actions to be taken against agency personnel for improper, fraudulent, or abusive use of government charge cards, which shall include appropriate disciplinary actions for use of charge cards for purposes, and at establishments, that are inconsistent with the official business of the Department or agency or with applicable standards of conduct.

SEC. 738. (a) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section the following definitions apply:

(1) GREAT LAKES.—The terms “Great Lakes” and “Great Lakes State” have the same meanings as such terms have in section 506 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-22).

(2) GREAT LAKES RESTORATION ACTIVITIES.—The term “Great Lakes restoration activities” means any Federal or State activity

primarily or entirely within the Great Lakes watershed that seeks to improve the overall health of the Great Lakes ecosystem.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 45 days after submission of the budget of the President to Congress, the Director of OMB, in coordination with the Governor of each Great Lakes State and the Great Lakes Interagency Task Force, shall submit to the appropriate authorizing and appropriating committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives a financial report, certified by the Secretary of each agency that has budget authority for Great Lakes restoration activities, containing—

(1) an interagency budget crosscut report that—

(A) displays the budget proposed, including any planned interagency or intra-agency transfer, for each of the Federal agencies that carries out Great Lakes restoration activities in the upcoming fiscal year, separately reporting the amount of funding to be provided under existing laws pertaining to the Great Lakes ecosystem; and

(B) identifies all expenditures since fiscal year 2004 by the Federal Government and State governments for Great Lakes restoration activities;

(2) a detailed accounting of all funds received and obligated by all Federal agencies and, to the extent available, State agencies using Federal funds, for Great Lakes restoration activities during the current and previous fiscal years;

(3) a budget for the proposed projects (including a description of the project, authorization level, and project status) to be carried out in the upcoming fiscal year with the Federal portion of funds for activities; and

(4) a listing of all projects to be undertaken in the upcoming fiscal year with the Federal portion of funds for activities.

SEC. 739. (a) IN GENERAL.—None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this or any other Act may be used for any Federal Government contract with any foreign incorporated entity which is treated as an inverted domestic corporation under section 835(b) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 395(b)) or any subsidiary of such an entity.

(b) **WAIVERS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Any Secretary shall waive subsection (a) with respect to any Federal Government contract under the authority of such Secretary if the Secretary determines that the waiver is required in the interest of national security.

(2) **REPORT TO CONGRESS.**—Any Secretary issuing a waiver under paragraph (1) shall report such issuance to Congress.

(c) **EXCEPTION.**—This section shall not apply to any Federal Government contract entered into before the date of the enactment of this Act, or to any task order issued pursuant to such contract.

SEC. 740. None of the funds made available by this or any other Act may be used to implement, administer, enforce, or apply the rule entitled “Competitive Area” published by OPM in the Federal Register on April 15, 2008 (73 Fed. Reg. 20180 et seq.).

SEC. 741. Section 743 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-117; 31 U.S.C. 501 note) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(3), by inserting after “exercise of an option” the following: “, and task orders issued under any such contract,”;

(2) in subsection (a)(3)(G), by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, using direct labor hours and associated cost data collected from contractors”;

(3) in subsection (e)(2)(B), by striking the text and inserting the following: “the contracts exclude to the maximum extent practicable functions that are closely associated with inherently governmental functions;”; and

(4) by redesignating subsections (h) and (i) as subsections (i) and (j) and by inserting after subsection (g) the following new subsection:

“(h) **SUBMISSION OF REPORT ON ACTIONS TAKEN BEFORE PUBLIC-PRIVATE COMPETITION MAY OCCUR.**—An executive agency may not begin, plan for, or announce a study or public-private competition regarding the conversion to contractor performance of any function performed by Federal employees pursuant to OMB Circular A-76 or any other administrative regulation or directive until after that agency has submitted to OMB a report, pursuant to subsection (f), that includes actions taken to convert from contractor to Federal employee performance functions that are not inherently governmental, closely associated with governmental functions, critical, or should not otherwise be reserved for performance by Federal employees. This subsection shall take effect beginning with the report required under subsection (f) that is included as an attachment to the annual inventory due by December 31, 2011.”.

SEC. 742. (a) The Vice President may not receive a pay rate increase in calendar year 2011, notwithstanding 3 U.S.C. 104 or any other provision of law.

(b) An individual serving in an Executive Schedule position, or in a position for which the rate of pay is fixed by statute at an Executive Schedule rate, may not receive a pay rate increase in calendar year 2011, notwithstanding schedule adjustments made under 5 U.S.C. 5318, or any other provision of law, except as provided in subsection (g) or (h). The preceding sentence applies only to individuals who are holding a position in which they serve at the pleasure of the President or other appointing official.

(c) A chief of mission or ambassador at large may not receive a pay rate increase in calendar year 2011, notwithstanding section 401 of the Foreign Service Act of 1980 (Public Law 96-465) or any other provision of law, except as provided in subsection (g) or (h).

(d) A noncareer appointee in the Senior Executive Service may not receive a pay rate increase in calendar year 2011, notwithstanding sections 5382 and 5383 of title 5, U.S.C.

(e) Any employee paid a rate of basic pay (including locality-based payments under 5 U.S.C. 5304 or similar authority) at or above level IV of the Executive Schedule who serves at the pleasure of the appointing official may not receive a pay rate increase in calendar year 2011, notwithstanding any other provision of law, except as provided in subsection (g) or (h). This subsection does not apply to employees in the General Schedule pay system or the Foreign Service pay system, or to employees appointed under 5 U.S.C. 3161, or to employees in another pay system whose position would be classified at GS-15 or below if chapter 51 of title 5, U.S.C., applied to them.

(f) Nothing in this section shall prevent employees who do not serve at the pleasure of the appointing official from receiving pay increases as otherwise provided under applicable law.

(g) A career appointee in the Senior Executive Service who receives a Presidential appointment and who makes an election to retain Senior Executive Service basic pay enti-

tlements under 5 U.S.C. 3392, is not subject to this section.

(h) A member of Senior Foreign Service who receives a Presidential appointment to any position in the executive branch and who makes an election to retain Senior Foreign Service pay entitlements under section 302(b) of the Foreign Service Act of 1980 (Public Law 96-465) is not subject to this section.

SEC. 743. Except as expressly provided otherwise, any reference to “this Act” contained in any title other than title IV or VIII shall not apply to such title IV or VIII.

SEC. 744. (a) STUDY.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study of the feasibility of allowing agencies of the Federal Government to impose convenience fees for the use of credit cards for the purchase of goods or services by individuals or businesses from Federal agencies, where such convenience fees would be designed to recover the cost to the Federal agency of accepting credit card payments.

(b) **CONSIDERATIONS.**—In conducting the study required by subsection (a), the Comptroller General shall take into consideration—

(1) the impact of convenience fees on consumers;

(2) the extent to which convenience fees would affect the ability of smaller financial institutions and credit unions to offer basic banking and other services, as well as compete against larger financial institutions; and

(3) the impact of convenience fees on Federal agencies and departments.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to Congress on the results of the study required by this section.

TITLE VIII

GENERAL PROVISIONS—DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 801. Whenever in this Act, an amount is specified within an appropriation for particular purposes or objects of expenditure, such amount, unless otherwise specified, shall be considered as the maximum amount that may be expended for said purpose or object rather than an amount set apart exclusively therefor.

SEC. 802. Appropriations in this Act shall be available for expenses of travel and for the payment of dues of organizations concerned with the work of the District of Columbia government, when authorized by the Mayor, or, in the case of the Council of the District of Columbia, funds may be expended with the authorization of the Chairman of the Council.

SEC. 803. There are appropriated from the applicable funds of the District of Columbia such sums as may be necessary for making refunds and for the payment of legal settlements or judgments that have been entered against the District of Columbia government.

SEC. 804. (a) None of the Federal funds provided in this Act shall be used for publicity or propaganda purposes or implementation of any policy including boycott designed to support or defeat legislation pending before Congress or any State legislature.

(b) The District of Columbia may use local funds provided in this title to carry out lobbying activities on any matter.

SEC. 805. (a) None of the Federal funds provided under this Act to the agencies funded by this Act, both Federal and District government agencies, that remain available for

obligation or expenditure in fiscal year 2011, or provided from any accounts in the Treasury of the United States derived by the collection of fees available to the agencies funded by this Act, shall be available for obligation or expenditures for an agency through a reprogramming of funds which—

(1) creates new programs;

(2) eliminates a program, project, or responsibility center;

(3) establishes or changes allocations specifically denied, limited or increased under this Act;

(4) increases funds or personnel by any means for any program, project, or responsibility center for which funds have been denied or restricted;

(5) re-establishes any program or project previously deferred through reprogramming;

(6) augments any existing program, project, or responsibility center through a reprogramming of funds in excess of \$3,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less; or

(7) increases by 20 percent or more personnel assigned to a specific program, project or responsibility center,

unless the Committees on Appropriations are notified in writing 15 days in advance of the reprogramming.

(b) The District of Columbia government is authorized to approve and execute reprogramming and transfer requests of local funds under this title through November 1, 2011.

SEC. 806. Consistent with the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 1301(a), appropriations under this Act shall be applied only to the objects for which the appropriations were made except as otherwise provided by law.

SEC. 807. None of the Federal funds provided in this Act may be used by the District of Columbia to provide for salaries, expenses, or other costs associated with the offices of United States Senator or United States Representative under section 4(d) of the District of Columbia Statehood Constitutional Convention Initiatives of 1979 (D.C. Law 3-171; D.C. Official Code, sec. 1-123).

SEC. 808. Except as otherwise provided in this section, none of the funds made available by this Act or by any other Act may be used to provide any officer or employee of the District of Columbia with an official vehicle unless the officer or employee uses the vehicle only in the performance of the officer's or employee's official duties. For purposes of this section, the term "official duties" does not include travel between the officer's or employee's residence and workplace, except in the case of—

(1) an officer or employee of the Metropolitan Police Department who resides in the District of Columbia or a District of Columbia government employee as may otherwise be designated by the Chief of the Department;

(2) at the discretion of the Fire Chief, an officer or employee of the District of Columbia Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department who resides in the District of Columbia and is on call 24 hours a day or is otherwise designated by the Fire Chief;

(3) at the discretion of the Director of the Department of Corrections, an officer or employee of the District of Columbia Department of Corrections who resides in the District of Columbia and is on call 24 hours a day or is otherwise designated by the Director;

(4) the Mayor of the District of Columbia; and

(5) the Chairman of the Council of the District of Columbia.

SEC. 809. (a) None of the Federal funds contained in this Act may be used by the Dis-

trict of Columbia Attorney General or any other officer or entity of the District government to provide assistance for any petition drive or civil action which seeks to require Congress to provide for voting representation in Congress for the District of Columbia.

(b) Nothing in this section bars the District of Columbia Attorney General from reviewing or commenting on briefs in private lawsuits, or from consulting with officials of the District government regarding such lawsuits.

SEC. 810. None of the Federal funds contained in this Act may be used to distribute any needle or syringe for the purpose of preventing the spread of blood borne pathogens in any location that has been determined by the local public health or local law enforcement authorities to be inappropriate for such distribution.

SEC. 811. Nothing in this Act may be construed to prevent the Council or Mayor of the District of Columbia from addressing the issue of the provision of contraceptive coverage by health insurance plans, but it is the intent of Congress that any legislation enacted on such issue should include a "conscience clause" which provides exceptions for religious beliefs and moral convictions.

SEC. 812. The Mayor of the District of Columbia shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations, the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, and the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs annual reports addressing—

(1) crime, including the homicide rate, implementation of community policing, the number of police officers on local beats, and the closing down of open-air drug markets;

(2) access to substance and alcohol abuse treatment, including the number of treatment slots, the number of people served, the number of people on waiting lists, and the effectiveness of treatment programs, the retention rates in treatment programs, and the recidivism/re-arrest rates for treatment participants;

(3) management of parolees and pre-trial violent offenders, including the number of halfway houses escapes and steps taken to improve monitoring and supervision of halfway house residents to reduce the number of escapes to be provided in consultation with the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia;

(4) education, including access to special education services and student achievement to be provided in consultation with the District of Columbia Public Schools and the District of Columbia public charter schools, repeated grade rates, high school graduation rates, post-secondary education attendance rates, and teen pregnancy rates;

(5) improvement in basic District services, including rat control and abatement;

(6) application for and management of Federal grants, including the number and type of grants for which the District was eligible but failed to apply and the number and type of grants awarded to the District but for which the District failed to spend the amounts received;

(7) indicators of child and family well-being including child living arrangements by family structure, number of children aging out of foster care, poverty rates by family structure, crime by family structure, marriage rates by income quintile, and out-of-wedlock births; and

(8) employment, including job status and participation in assistance programs by income, education and family structure.

SEC. 813. None of the Federal funds contained in this Act may be used to enact or carry out any law, rule, or regulation to legalize or otherwise reduce penalties associated with the possession, use, or distribution of any schedule I substance under the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) or any tetrahydrocannabinols derivative.

SEC. 814. None of the Federal funds appropriated under this Act shall be expended for any abortion except where the life of the mother would be endangered if the fetus were carried to term or where the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest.

SEC. 815. (a) No later than 30 calendar days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Chief Financial Officer for the District of Columbia shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress, the Mayor, and the Council of the District of Columbia, a revised appropriated funds operating budget in the format of the budget that the District of Columbia government submitted pursuant to section 442 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act (D.C. Official Code, sec. 1-204.42), for all agencies of the District of Columbia government for fiscal year 2011 that is in the total amount of the approved appropriation and that realigns all budgeted data for personal services and other-than-personal services, respectively, with anticipated actual expenditures.

(b) This section shall apply only to an agency for which the Chief Financial Officer for the District of Columbia certifies that a reallocation is required to address unanticipated changes in program requirements.

SEC. 816. No later than 30 calendar days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Chief Financial Officer for the District of Columbia shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress, the Mayor, and the Council for the District of Columbia, a revised appropriated funds operating budget for the District of Columbia Public Schools that aligns schools budgets to actual enrollment. The revised appropriated funds budget shall be in the format of the budget that the District of Columbia government submitted pursuant to section 442 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act (D.C. Official Code, Sec. 1-204.42).

SEC. 817. Amounts appropriated in this Act as operating funds may be transferred to the District of Columbia's enterprise and capital funds and such amounts, once transferred, shall retain appropriation authority consistent with the provisions of this Act.

SEC. 818. Notwithstanding any other laws, for this and succeeding fiscal years, the Director of the District of Columbia Public Defender Service shall, to the extent the Director considers appropriate, provide representation for and hold harmless, or provide liability insurance for, any person who is an employee, member of the Board of Trustees, or officer of the District of Columbia Public Defender Service for money damages arising out of any claim, proceeding, or case at law relating to the furnishing of representational services or management services or related services while acting within the scope of that person's office or employment, including, but not limited to such claims, proceedings, or cases at law involving employment actions, injury, loss of liberty, property damage, loss of property, or personal injury, or death arising from malpractice or negligence of any such officer or employee.

SEC. 819. Section 346 of the District of Columbia Appropriations Act, 2005 (Public Law 108-335) is amended—

(1) in the title, by striking "BIENNIAL";

(2) in subsection (a), by striking "Biennial management" and inserting "Management";

(3) in subsection (a), by striking “States.” and inserting “States every five years.”; and (4) in subsection (b)(6), by striking “2” and inserting “5”.

SEC. 820. Except as expressly provided otherwise, any reference to “this Act” contained in this title or in title IV shall be treated as referring only to the provisions of this title or of title IV.

This division may be cited as the “Financial Services and General Government Appropriations Act, 2011”.

DIVISION F—DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

TITLE I

DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY AND EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Secretary of Homeland Security, as authorized by section 102 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 112), and executive management of the Department of Homeland Security, as authorized by law, \$150,126,000: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$55,000 shall be for official reception and representation expenses, of which \$15,000 shall be made available to the Office of Policy for Visa Waiver Program negotiations in Washington, DC, and for other international activities: *Provided further*, That all official costs associated with the use of Government aircraft by Department of Homeland Security personnel to support official travel of the Secretary and the Deputy Secretary shall be paid from amounts made available for the Immediate Office of the Secretary and the Immediate Office of the Deputy Secretary: *Provided further*, That \$25,000,000 shall not be available for obligation until the Secretary submits to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives: (1) an expenditure plan for the Office of Policy for fiscal year 2011; and (2) a comprehensive plan to initiate implementation of a biometric air exit capability in fiscal year 2011, or a written certification to the Congress that it is the position of the Administration that the statutory requirements for biometric air exit be repealed.

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR MANAGEMENT

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Under Secretary for Management, as authorized by sections 701 through 705 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 341 through 345), \$242,233,000, of which not less than \$500,000 shall be for logistics training; and of which not to exceed \$3,000 shall be for official reception and representation expenses: *Provided*, That of the total amount made available under this heading, \$5,000,000 shall remain available until expended solely for the alteration and improvement of facilities, tenant improvements, and relocation costs to consolidate Department headquarters operations at the Nebraska Avenue Complex; and \$14,641,000 shall remain available until expended for the Human Resources Information Technology program.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Chief Financial Officer, as authorized by section 103 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 113), \$64,480,000, of which \$11,000,000 shall remain available until expended for financial systems consolidation efforts.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Chief Information Officer, as authorized by

section 103 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 113), and Department-wide technology investments, \$375,359,000; of which \$82,727,000 shall be available for salaries and expenses; and of which \$292,632,000, to remain available until expended, shall be available for development and acquisition of information technology equipment, software, services, and related activities for the Department of Homeland Security: *Provided*, That of the total amount appropriated, not less than \$83,948,000 shall be available for data center development, of which not less than \$27,730,000 shall be available for power capabilities upgrades and facility construction projects at Data Center One (National Center for Critical Information Processing and Storage): *Provided further*, That the Chief Information Officer shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives, not more than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, an expenditure plan for all information technology acquisition projects that: (1) are funded under this heading; or (2) are funded by multiple components of the Department of Homeland Security through reimbursable agreements: *Provided further*, That such expenditure plan shall include each specific project funded, key milestones, all funding sources for each project, details of annual and lifecycle costs, and projected cost savings or cost avoidance to be achieved by the project: *Provided further*, That \$75,000,000 shall not be available for obligation until the submission of the expenditure plan to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

ANALYSIS AND OPERATIONS

For necessary expenses for intelligence analysis and operations coordination activities, as authorized by title II of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 121 et seq.), \$340,000,000, of which not to exceed \$5,000 shall be for official reception and representation expenses; and of which \$53,975,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That \$20,000,000 shall be withheld from obligation until an expenditure plan for the Office of Intelligence and Analysis is received by the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided in this or any other Act shall be available to commence operations of the National Immigration Information Sharing Operation or any follow-on entity until the Secretary certifies that such program complies with all existing laws, including all applicable privacy and civil liberties standards; the Comptroller General of the United States notifies the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives and the Secretary that the Comptroller has reviewed such certification; and the Secretary notifies the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives of all funds to be expended on operations of the National Immigration Information Sharing Operation or any follow-on entity pursuant to section 503 of this Act: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided under this heading may be obligated to create or operate a new program management office or similar organization or entity to oversee the State and Local Fusion Center program until the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives receive a notification pursuant to section 503 of this Act that describes the purpose, management goals, implementation timeline, budget, and funding sources for any proposed new office, organization, or entity.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.), \$115,806,000, of which not to exceed \$300,000 may be used for certain confidential operational expenses, including the payment of informants, to be expended at the direction of the Inspector General.

TITLE II

SECURITY, ENFORCEMENT, AND INVESTIGATIONS

U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for enforcement of laws relating to border security, immigration, customs, agricultural inspections and regulatory activities related to plant and animal imports, and transportation of unaccompanied minor aliens; purchase and lease of up to 8,000 (7,000 for replacement only) police-type vehicles; and contracting with individuals for personal services abroad; \$8,239,377,000, of which \$3,274,000 shall be derived from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund for administrative expenses related to the collection of the Harbor Maintenance Fee pursuant to section 9505(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C. 9505(c)(3)) and notwithstanding section 1511(e)(1) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 551(e)(1)); of which not to exceed \$45,000 shall be for official reception and representation expenses; of which not less than \$311,052,000 shall be for Air and Marine Operations; of which such sums as become available in the Customs User Fee Account, except sums subject to section 13031(f)(3) of the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (19 U.S.C. 58c(f)(3)), shall be derived from that account; of which not to exceed \$150,000 shall be available for payment for rental space in connection with preclearance operations; and of which not to exceed \$1,000,000 shall be for awards of compensation to informants, to be accounted for solely under the certificate of the Secretary of Homeland Security: *Provided*, That for fiscal year 2011, the overtime limitation prescribed in section 5(c)(1) of the Act of February 13, 1911 (19 U.S.C. 267(c)(1)) shall be \$35,000, and notwithstanding any other provision of law, none of the funds appropriated by this Act may be available to compensate any employee of U.S. Customs and Border Protection for overtime, from whatever source, in an amount that exceeds such limitation, except in individual cases determined by the Secretary of Homeland Security, or the designee of the Secretary, to be necessary for national security purposes, to prevent excessive costs, or in cases of immigration emergencies: *Provided further*, That of the total amount provided, \$1,700,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012, for the Global Advanced Passenger Information/Passenger Name Record Program: *Provided further*, That the Border Patrol shall maintain an active duty presence of not less than 20,500 full-time equivalent agents protecting the borders of the United States throughout the fiscal year.

AUTOMATION MODERNIZATION

For expenses for U.S. Customs and Border Protection automated systems, \$347,575,000, to remain available until expended, of which not less than \$153,090,000 shall be for the development of the Automated Commercial Environment: *Provided*, That not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and

the House of Representatives a report on the results to date of, and plans for completing, the Automated Commercial Environment program.

**BORDER SECURITY FENCING, INFRASTRUCTURE,
AND TECHNOLOGY**

For expenses for border security fencing, infrastructure, and technology, \$574,173,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That of the total amount made available under this heading, \$75,000,000 shall not be obligated until the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives receive and approve a plan for expenditure, prepared by the Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection, reviewed by the Government Accountability Office, and submitted not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, for a program to establish and maintain a security barrier along the borders of the United States, of fencing and vehicle barriers where practicable, and of other forms of tactical infrastructure and technology, that meets the statutory conditions specified under this heading in Public Law 111-83 and which may cite by reference previous expenditure plans and supporting documentation previously submitted to the Committees: *Provided further*, That at least 15 days before the award of any task order requiring an obligation of funds in an amount greater than \$25,000,000 and before the award of a task order that would cause cumulative obligations of funds to exceed 50 percent of the total amount appropriated under this heading, the Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection shall report to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the progress of the program, and obligations and expenditures for all outstanding task orders awarded under the program, and specific objectives to be achieved through the award of current and remaining task orders planned for the balance of available appropriations for the program: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available under this heading may be obligated unless the Department has complied with section 102(b)(1)(C)(i) of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (8 U.S.C. 1103 note), and the Secretary certifies such to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available under this heading may be obligated for any project or activity for which the Secretary has exercised waiver authority pursuant to section 102(c) of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (8 U.S.C. 1103 note) until 15 days have elapsed from the date of the publication in the Federal Register of the decision to exercise that authority.

**AIR AND MARINE INTERDICTION, OPERATIONS,
MAINTENANCE, AND PROCUREMENT**

For necessary expenses for the operations, maintenance, and procurement of marine vessels, aircraft, unmanned aircraft systems, and other related equipment of the air and marine program, including operational training and mission-related travel; the interdiction of narcotics and other goods; the provision of support to Federal, State, and local agencies in the enforcement or administration of laws enforced by the Department; and at the discretion of the Secretary of Homeland Security, the provision of assistance to Federal, State, and local agencies in other law enforcement and emergency humanitarian efforts, \$511,751,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That no

aircraft or other related equipment, with the exception of aircraft that are one of a kind and have been identified as excess to U.S. Customs and Border Protection requirements and aircraft that have been damaged beyond repair, shall be transferred to any other Federal agency, department, or office outside of the Department in fiscal year 2011 without the prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

CONSTRUCTION AND FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

For necessary expenses to plan, acquire, construct, renovate, equip, and maintain buildings and facilities necessary for the administration and enforcement of the laws relating to customs, immigration, and border security, \$282,740,000, to remain available until expended; of which \$4,000,000 shall be for constructing and equipping the Advanced Training Center: *Provided*, That for fiscal year 2012 and hereafter, the annual budget submission of U.S. Customs and Border Protection for "Construction and Facilities Management" shall, in consultation with the General Services Administration, include a detailed 5-year plan for all Federal land border port of entry projects with a yearly update of total projected future funding needs delineated by land port of entry.

**U.S. IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS
ENFORCEMENT**

**SALARIES AND EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)**

For the necessary expenses to conduct investigations of criminal violations of Federal law relating to border security, customs and trade, immigration and naturalization, intellectual property rights, and travel and transportation; for the civil enforcement of immigration and customs laws, including the detention and removal of immigration status violators; and for the purchase and lease of up to 3,790 (2,350 for replacement only) police-type vehicles, \$5,508,555,000, of which not less than \$250,000,000 shall be for activities to investigate violations of immigration and customs laws along the Southwest border of the United States, including Border Enforcement Security Task Force operations and Law Enforcement Agency Response Teams; of which not less than \$120,000,000 shall be for activities to investigate cyber crimes and child exploitation offenses, including sex trafficking, child pornography, child sex tourism, and promotion of public awareness of the child pornography tipline; of which \$15,770,000 shall be for activities in fiscal year 2011 to enforce laws against forced child labor, of which \$6,000,000 shall be available until expended; of which not to exceed \$10,000,000 shall be available until expended for conducting special operations under section 3131 of the Customs Enforcement Act of 1986 (19 U.S.C. 2081); of which not to exceed \$2,000,000 shall be for awards of compensation to informants, to be accounted for solely under the certificate of the Secretary of Homeland Security; of which not to exceed \$11,216,000 shall be available to fund or reimburse other Federal agencies for the costs associated with the care, maintenance, and repatriation of smuggled aliens unlawfully present in the United States; of which not to exceed \$15,000 shall be for official reception and representation expenses: *Provided*, That none of the funds made available under this heading shall be available to compensate any employee for overtime in an annual amount in excess of \$35,000, except that the Secretary, or the designee of the Secretary, may waive that amount as necessary for national security purposes and in cases of immigra-

tion emergencies: *Provided further*, That of the total amount made available under this heading, not less than \$2,000,000,000 shall be available to identify aliens convicted of a crime who may be deportable, and to remove them from the United States once they are judged deportable: *Provided further*, That the Secretary, or the designee of the Secretary, shall report to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives, not later than 45 days after the end of each quarter of the fiscal year, on progress in implementing the preceding proviso and the funds obligated during that quarter to make that progress: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall prioritize the identification and removal of aliens convicted of a crime by the severity of that crime: *Provided further*, That not less than \$5,400,000 shall be used to facilitate agreements consistent with section 287(g) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1357(g)): *Provided further*, That none of the funds under this heading may be used to continue a delegation of law enforcement authority authorized under section 287(g) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1357(g)) if the Department of Homeland Security Inspector General determines that the terms of the agreement governing the delegation of authority have been violated: *Provided further*, That of the total amount provided, not less than \$2,583,021,000 is for detention and removal operations, including transportation of unaccompanied alien minors: *Provided further*, That funding made available under this heading shall maintain a level of not less than 33,400 detention beds through September 30, 2011: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available under this heading may be used to continue any contract for the provision of detention services if the two most recent overall performance evaluations received by the contracted facility are less than "adequate" or the equivalent median score in any subsequent performance evaluation system: *Provided further*, That nothing under this heading shall prevent U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement from exercising those authorities provided under immigration laws (as defined in section 101(a)(17) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(17))) during priority operations pertaining to aliens convicted of a crime: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided under this heading may be obligated to collocate field offices of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement until the Secretary submits to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives a plan for the nationwide implementation of the Alternatives to Detention program that identifies: (1) how funding made available by this Act will be used to expand the Alternatives to Detention program; (2) the date by which the Secretary will achieve nationwide implementation of the Alternatives to Detention program; and (3) the milestones the Secretary will establish to measure progress toward achieving nationwide implementation of the Alternatives to Detention program: *Provided further*, That of the total amount provided for the purposes of identifying aliens convicted of a crime who may be deportable, and removing them from the United States once they are judged deportable, \$259,825,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012, of which up to \$30,625,000 may be available for transfer to U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement "Automation Modernization" for information technology investments associated with these purposes: *Provided further*, That of the total amount provided,

\$7,300,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012, for the Visa Security Program.

AUTOMATION MODERNIZATION

For expenses of immigration and customs enforcement automated systems, \$84,700,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That of the funds made available under this heading, \$10,000,000 shall not be obligated until the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives receive an expenditure plan prepared by the Assistant Secretary of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

TRANSPORTATION SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

AVIATION SECURITY

For necessary expenses of the Transportation Security Administration related to providing civil aviation security services pursuant to the Aviation and Transportation Security Act (Public Law 107-71), \$5,452,037,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, of which not to exceed \$10,000 shall be for official reception and representation expenses: *Provided*, That of the total amount made available under this heading, not to exceed \$4,363,000,000 shall be for screening operations, of which \$643,325,000 shall be available for explosives detection systems; and not to exceed \$1,089,037,000 shall be for aviation security direction and enforcement: *Provided further*, That of the amount made available in the preceding proviso for explosives detection systems, \$320,000,000 shall be available for the purchase and installation of these systems, of which not less than 9 percent shall be available for the purchase and installation of certified explosives detection systems at medium- and small-sized airports: *Provided further*, That any award to deploy explosives detection systems shall be based on risk, the airport's current reliance on other screening solutions, lobby congestion resulting in increased security concerns, high injury rates, airport readiness, and increased cost effectiveness: *Provided further*, That security service fees authorized under section 44940 of title 49, United States Code, shall be credited to this appropriation as offsetting collections and shall be available only for aviation security: *Provided further*, That the sum appropriated under this heading from the general fund shall be reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis as such offsetting collections are received in fiscal year 2011, so as to result in a final fiscal year appropriation from the general fund of not more than \$3,352,037,000: *Provided further*, That any security service fees collected in excess of the amount made available under this heading shall be available for fiscal year 2012: *Provided further*, That Members of the House of Representatives and Senate, including the leadership; the heads of Federal agencies and commissions, including the Secretary, Deputy Secretary, Under Secretaries, and Assistant Secretaries of the Department of Homeland Security; the Attorney General, Assistant Attorneys General, and United States attorneys; and senior members of the Executive Office of the President, including the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, shall not be exempt from Federal passenger and baggage screening.

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION SECURITY

For necessary expenses of the Transportation Security Administration related to surface transportation security activities, \$137,558,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

TRANSPORTATION THREAT ASSESSMENT AND CREDENTIALING

For necessary expenses for the development and implementation of screening programs of the Office of Transportation Threat Assessment and Credentialing, \$159,124,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That if the Assistant Secretary of Homeland Security (Transportation Security Administration) determines that the Secure Flight program does not need to check airline passenger names against the full terrorist watchlist, the Assistant Secretary shall certify to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives, not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, that no significant security risks are raised by screening airline passenger names only against a subset of the full terrorist watchlist.

TRANSPORTATION SECURITY SUPPORT

For necessary expenses of the Transportation Security Administration related to providing transportation security support and intelligence pursuant to the Aviation and Transportation Security Act (Public Law 107-71), \$1,039,777,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, \$50,000,000 may not be obligated for headquarters administration until the Assistant Secretary of Homeland Security (Transportation Security Administration) submits to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives detailed expenditure plans for air cargo security, and for checkpoint support and explosives detection systems refurbishment, procurement, and installations on an airport-by-airport basis for fiscal year 2011: *Provided further*, That such plans shall be submitted no later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

FEDERAL AIR MARSHALS

For necessary expenses of the Federal Air Marshals, \$945,015,000.

COAST GUARD

OPERATING EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for the operation and maintenance of the Coast Guard, not otherwise provided for; purchase or lease of not to exceed 25 passenger motor vehicles, which shall be for replacement only; purchase or lease of small boats for contingent and emergent requirements (at a unit cost of no more than \$700,000) and repairs and service-life replacements, not to exceed a total of \$26,000,000, in addition to boats necessary for overseas deployments and other activities; minor shore construction projects not exceeding \$1,000,000 in total cost at any location; payments pursuant to section 156 of Public Law 97-377 (42 U.S.C. 402 note; 96 Stat. 1920); and recreation and welfare; \$6,951,973,000, of which \$594,000,000 shall be for defense-related activities, of which \$254,000,000 is for overseas deployments and other activities; of which \$24,500,000 shall be derived from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund to carry out the purposes of section 1012(a)(5) of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2712(a)(5)); and of which not to exceed \$20,000 shall be for official reception and representation expenses: *Provided*, That none of the funds made available by this or any other Act shall be available for administrative expenses in connection with shipping commissioners in the United States: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available by this Act shall be for expenses incurred for recreational vessels under section

12114 of title 46, United States Code, except to the extent fees are collected from yacht owners and credited to this appropriation: *Provided further*, That the Coast Guard shall comply with the requirements of section 527 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (10 U.S.C. 4331 note) with respect to the Coast Guard Academy: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available under this heading, \$75,000,000 shall be withheld from obligation for Headquarters Directorates until: (1) the fiscal year 2011 second quarter acquisition report; (2) the annual review of the Revised Deepwater Implementation Plan; (3) the future-years capital investment plan for fiscal years 2012-2016; and (4) the Polar High Latitude Study are received by the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives: *Provided further*, That of the amount provided under this heading for overseas deployments and other activities, \$254,000,000 is designated as described in section 5 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act): *Provided further*, That funds made available under this heading for overseas deployments and other activities may be allocated by program, project, and activity, notwithstanding section 503 of this Act.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE AND RESTORATION

For necessary expenses to carry out the environmental compliance and restoration functions of the Coast Guard under chapter 19 of title 14, United States Code, \$13,329,000, to remain available until expended.

RESERVE TRAINING

For necessary expenses of the Coast Guard Reserve, as authorized by law; operations and maintenance of the reserve program; personnel and training costs; and equipment and services; \$135,675,000.

ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION, AND IMPROVEMENTS

For necessary expenses of acquisition, construction, renovation, and improvement of aids to navigation, shore facilities, vessels, and aircraft, including equipment related thereto; and maintenance, rehabilitation, lease and operation of facilities and equipment, as authorized by law; \$1,518,613,000, of which \$20,000,000 shall be derived from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund to carry out the purposes of section 1012(a)(5) of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2712(a)(5)); of which \$2,000,000 shall be derived from the Coast Guard Housing Fund, established pursuant to 14 U.S.C. 687, and shall remain available until expended for military family housing; of which \$73,200,000 shall be available until September 30, 2015, to acquire, effect major repairs, renovate, or improve vessels, small boats, and related equipment; of which \$36,000,000 shall be available until September 30, 2013, for other equipment; of which \$108,350,000 shall be available until September 30, 2013, for shore facilities and aids to navigation facilities, including not less than \$23,500,000 for waterfront improvements and support facilities for buoy tender operations at Naval Station Newport, not less than \$18,100,000 for the Coast Guard Sector Honolulu Command and Interagency Operations Center, and not less than \$21,050,000 for Coast Guard Station Cleveland Harbor; of which \$107,561,000 shall be available for personnel compensation and benefits and related costs; and of which \$1,191,502,000 shall be available until September 30, 2015, for the Integrated Deepwater Systems program: *Provided*, That of the funds made available for the Integrated Deepwater Systems program, \$103,000,000 is for aircraft and \$933,002,000 is

for surface ships: *Provided further*, That the Commandant of the Coast Guard shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives, in conjunction with the President's fiscal year 2012 budget, a review of the Revised Deepwater Implementation Plan that identifies any changes to the plan for the fiscal year; an annual performance comparison of Integrated Deepwater Systems program assets to pre-Deepwater legacy assets; a status report of such legacy assets; a detailed explanation of how the costs of such legacy assets are being accounted for within the Integrated Deepwater Systems program; and the earned value management system gold card data for each Integrated Deepwater Systems program asset: *Provided further*, That the Commandant of the Coast Guard shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives, in conjunction with the fiscal year 2016 budget request, and every 5 years thereafter, a comprehensive review of the Revised Deepwater Implementation Plan, that includes a complete projection of the acquisition costs and schedule for the duration of the plan: *Provided further*, That the Commandant of the Coast Guard shall annually submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives, at the time that the President's budget is submitted under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, a future-years capital investment plan for the Coast Guard that identifies for each capital budget line item—

- (1) the proposed appropriation included in that budget;
- (2) the estimated total acquisition cost;
- (3) projected funding levels, including a listing (by fiscal year) of the number of assets or segments that will be procured with the funding requested, for each fiscal year for the next 5 fiscal years or until project completion, whichever is earlier;
- (4) an estimated completion date at the projected funding levels;
- (5) the total number of planned assets or segments;
- (6) justification for each requested project including a qualitative description of mission performance envisioned to be achieved upon completion of the acquisition program and missions that will be supported by such project; and
- (7) changes, if any, in the total estimated cost of completion or estimated completion date from previous future-years capital investment plans submitted to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives:

Provided further, That the Commandant of the Coast Guard shall ensure that amounts specified in the future-years capital investment plan are consistent, to the maximum extent practicable, with proposed appropriations necessary to support the programs, projects, and activities of the Coast Guard in the President's budget as submitted under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, for that fiscal year: *Provided further*, That any inconsistencies between the capital investment plan and proposed appropriations shall be identified and justified: *Provided further*, That subsections (a) and (b) of section 6402 of the U.S. Troop Readiness, Veterans' Care, Katrina Recovery, and Iraq Accountability Appropriations Act, 2007 (Public Law 110-28) shall apply to fiscal year 2011.

ALTERATION OF BRIDGES

For necessary expenses for alteration or removal of obstructive bridges, as authorized by section 6 of the Truman-Hobbs Act (33 U.S.C. 516), \$4,000,000, to remain available

until expended: *Provided*, That of the amounts made available under this heading, \$4,000,000 shall be for the Union Pacific Railroad Bridge in Clinton, Iowa.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

For necessary expenses for applied scientific research, development, test, and evaluation; and for maintenance, rehabilitation, lease, and operation of facilities and equipment; as authorized by law; \$32,534,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$500,000 shall be derived from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund to carry out the purposes of section 1012(a)(5) of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2712(a)(5)): *Provided*, That there may be credited to and used for the purposes of this appropriation funds received from State and local governments, other public authorities, private sources, and foreign countries for expenses incurred for research, development, testing, and evaluation.

RETIRED PAY

For retired pay, including the payment of obligations otherwise chargeable to lapsed appropriations for this purpose, payments under the Retired Serviceman's Family Protection and Survivor Benefits Plans, payment for career status bonuses, concurrent receipts and combat-related special compensation under the National Defense Authorization Act, and payments for medical care of retired personnel and their dependents under chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, \$1,400,700,000, to remain available until expended.

UNITED STATES SECRET SERVICE SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the United States Secret Service, including: purchase of not to exceed 652 vehicles for police-type use for replacement only; hire of passenger motor vehicles; purchase of motorcycles made in the United States; hire of aircraft; services of expert witnesses at such rates as may be determined by the Director of the Secret Service; rental of buildings in the District of Columbia, and fencing, lighting, guard booths, and other facilities on private or other property not in Government ownership or control, as may be necessary to perform protective functions; payment of per diem or subsistence allowances to employees in a case in which a protective assignment during the actual day or days of the visit of a protectee requires an employee to work 16 hours per day or to remain overnight at a post of duty; conduct of and participation in firearms matches; presentation of awards; travel of United States Secret Service employees on protective missions without regard to the limitations on such expenditures in this or any other Act if approval is obtained in advance from the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives; research and development; grants to conduct behavioral research in support of protective research and operations; and payment in advance for commercial accommodations as may be necessary to perform protective functions; \$1,574,642,000, of which not to exceed \$25,000 shall be for official reception and representation expenses; of which not to exceed \$100,000 shall be to provide technical assistance and equipment to foreign law enforcement organizations in counterfeit investigations; of which \$2,366,000 shall be for forensic and related support of investigations of missing and exploited children; and of which \$6,000,000 shall be for a grant for activities related to the investigations of missing and exploited children and

shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That up to \$18,000,000 for protective travel shall remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided further*, That up to \$1,000,000 for National Special Security Events shall remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That the United States Secret Service is authorized to obligate funds in anticipation of reimbursements from Federal agencies and entities, as defined in section 105 of title 5, United States Code, receiving training sponsored by the James J. Rowley Training Center, except that total obligations for the fiscal year shall not exceed total budgetary resources available under this heading at the end of the fiscal year: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available under this heading shall be available to compensate any employee for overtime in an annual amount in excess of \$35,000, except that the Secretary of Homeland Security, or the designee of the Secretary, may waive that amount as necessary for national security purposes: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available to the United States Secret Service by this Act or by previous appropriations Acts may be made available for the protection of the head of a Federal agency other than the Secretary of Homeland Security: *Provided further*, That the Director of the Secret Service may enter into an agreement to perform such service on a fully reimbursable basis: *Provided further*, That of the total amount made available under this heading, \$69,960,000, to remain available until expended, is for information integration and technology transformation: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available in the preceding proviso, \$20,000,000 shall not be available for obligation until the Chief Information Officer of the Department submits a report to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives certifying that all plans for such activities are consistent with Department of Homeland Security data center migration and enterprise architecture requirements: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available to the United States Secret Service by this Act or by previous appropriations Acts may be obligated for the purpose of opening a new permanent domestic or overseas office or location unless the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives are notified 15 days in advance of such obligation.

ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION, IMPROVEMENTS, AND RELATED EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for acquisition, construction, repair, alteration, and improvement of facilities, \$3,975,000, to remain available until expended.

TITLE III

PROTECTION, PREPAREDNESS, RESPONSE, AND RECOVERY

NATIONAL PROTECTION AND PROGRAMS DIRECTORATE

MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

For salaries and expenses of the Office of the Under Secretary for the National Protection and Programs Directorate, support for operations, information technology, and the Office of Risk Management and Analysis, \$45,387,000: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$5,000 shall be for official reception and representation expenses.

INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION AND INFORMATION SECURITY

For necessary expenses for infrastructure protection and information security programs and activities, as authorized by title

II of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 121 et seq.), \$874,923,000, of which \$720,884,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That of the amount made available under this heading, \$100,000,000 may not be obligated for the National Cyber Security Division and \$10,000,000 may not be obligated for the Next Generation Networks program until the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives receive a plan for expenditure for each that describes the strategic context, the specific goals and milestones set, and the funds allocated to achieving each of those goals and milestones: *Provided further*, That of the total amount provided, not less than: \$18,000,000 is for the National Infrastructure Simulation and Analysis Center; \$3,000,000 is for State and local cyber security training; \$3,000,000 is for the Multi-State Information Sharing and Analysis Center; and \$1,000,000 is for interoperable communications, technical assistance, and outreach programs.

FEDERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE

The revenues and collections of security fees credited to this account shall be available until expended for necessary expenses related to the protection of federally-owned and leased buildings and for the operations of the Federal Protective Service: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall certify in writing to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives, no later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, that the operations of the Federal Protective Service will be fully funded in fiscal year 2011 through revenues and collection of security fees, and shall adjust the fees to ensure fee collections are sufficient to ensure that, no later than September 1, 2011, the Federal Protective Service maintains not fewer than 1,348 full-time staff and 1,011 full-time Police Officers, Inspectors, Area Commanders, and Special Agents who, while working, are directly engaged on a daily basis protecting and enforcing laws at Federal buildings (referred to as "in-service field staff").

UNITED STATES VISITOR AND IMMIGRANT STATUS INDICATOR TECHNOLOGY

For necessary expenses for the development of the United States Visitor and Immigrant Status Indicator Technology project, as authorized by section 110 of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (8 U.S.C. 1365a), \$339,263,000, of which \$50,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That of the total amount made available under this heading, \$125,000,000 shall not be obligated for the United States Visitor and Immigrant Status Indicator Technology project until the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives receive a plan for expenditure, prepared by the Secretary of Homeland Security, not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act that meets the statutory conditions specified under this heading in Public Law 110-329: *Provided further*, That not less than \$50,000,000 of unobligated balances of prior year appropriations shall remain available and be obligated solely for implementation of a biometric air exit capability.

OFFICE OF HEALTH AFFAIRS

For necessary expenses of the Office of Health Affairs, \$157,984,000, of which \$27,053,000 is for salaries and expenses: *Provided*, That \$130,931,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012, for biosurveillance,

BioWatch, medical readiness planning, chemical response, and other activities, including \$4,750,000 for the North Carolina Collaboratory for Bio-Preparedness, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill: *Provided further*, That of the amount made available under this heading, \$3,500,000 may not be obligated for the National Biosurveillance Integration System until the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives receive a plan for expenditure for such System: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$3,000 shall be for official reception and representation expenses.

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses for management and administration of the Federal Emergency Management Agency, \$764,296,000, including activities authorized by the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4001 et seq.), the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.), the Cerro Grande Fire Assistance Act of 2000 (division C, title I, 114 Stat. 583), the Earthquake Hazards Reduction Act of 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7701 et seq.), the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. App. 2061 et seq.), sections 107 and 303 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 404, 405), Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.), the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 101 et seq.), and the Post-Katrina Emergency Management Reform Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-295): *Provided*, That not to exceed \$3,000 shall be for official reception and representation expenses: *Provided further*, That the President's budget submitted under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, shall be detailed by office for the Federal Emergency Management Agency: *Provided further*, That the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency shall provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives an expenditure plan for all funds made available in this Act for Federal Emergency Management Agency "Management and Administration", not later than 75 days after the date of enactment of this Act: *Provided further*, That of the total amount made available under this heading, not to exceed \$12,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012, for capital improvements at the Mount Weather Emergency Operations Center: *Provided further*, That of the total amount made available under this heading, \$38,000,000 shall be for the Urban Search and Rescue Response System, of which not to exceed \$1,600,000 may be made available for administrative costs; and \$7,049,000 shall be for the Office of National Capital Region Coordination: *Provided further*, That for purposes of planning, coordination, execution, and decisionmaking related to mass evacuation during a disaster, for fiscal year 2011 and hereafter, the Governors of the State of West Virginia and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, or their designees, shall be incorporated into efforts to integrate the activities of Federal, State, and local governments in the National Capital Region, as defined in section 882 of Public Law 107-296, the Homeland Security Act of 2002.

STATE AND LOCAL PROGRAMS (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For grants, contracts, cooperative agreements, and other activities, \$3,080,450,000 shall be allocated as follows:

(1) \$950,000,000 shall be for the State Homeland Security Grant Program under section 2004 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 605): *Provided*, That of the amount

provided by this paragraph and not subject to the requirements of title XX, subtitle A of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 603, et seq.), \$60,000,000 shall be for Operation Stonegarden and \$10,000,000 shall be for the Citizen Corps Program: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding subsection (c)(4) of such section 2004, for fiscal year 2011, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico shall make available to local and tribal governments amounts provided to the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico under this paragraph in accordance with subsection (c)(1) of such section 2004.

(2) \$977,500,000 shall be for the Urban Area Security Initiative under section 2003 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 604): *Provided*, That, notwithstanding subsection (c)(1) of such section, \$19,000,000 shall be for grants to organizations (as described under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C. 501(c)(3))) and exempt from tax section 501(a) of such code (26 U.S.C. 501(a)) determined by the Secretary of Homeland Security to be at high risk of a terrorist attack: *Provided further*, That of the amount provided by this paragraph, \$20,000,000 shall be for radiological and nuclear detection systems: *Provided further*, That of the amount provided by this paragraph and not subject to the requirements of title XX, subtitle A of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 603, et seq.), \$17,500,000, to remain available until expended, shall be for necessary expenses for reimbursement of the actual costs to State and local governments for providing emergency management, public safety, and security at events, as determined by the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency, related to the presence of a National Special Security Event: *Provided further*, That the amount of any grant made to reimburse the actual costs related to a National Special Security Event shall not be deducted from the allocation of any amounts otherwise made available under this paragraph to any entity.

(3) \$35,000,000 shall be for Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grants.

(4) \$41,000,000 shall be for the Metropolitan Medical Response System under section 635 of the Post-Katrina Emergency Management Reform Act of 2006 (6 U.S.C. 723).

(5) \$350,000,000 shall be for Public Transportation Security Assistance, Railroad Security Assistance, and Over-the-Road Bus Security Assistance under section 1406, 1513, and 1532 of the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007 (Public Law 110-53; 6 U.S.C. 1135, 1163, and 1182); of which not less than \$25,000,000 shall be for Amtrak security; and not less than \$12,000,000 shall be for Over-the-Road Bus Security Assistance: *Provided*, That such public transportation security assistance shall be provided directly to public transportation agencies.

(6) \$350,000,000 shall be for Port Security Grants under section 70107 of title 46, United States Code.

(7) \$35,000,000 shall be for Buffer Zone Protection Program Grants.

(8) \$35,000,000 shall be for the Interoperable Emergency Communications Grant Program under section 1809 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 579).

(9) \$50,000,000 shall be for grants for Emergency Operations Centers under section 614 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5196c) to remain available until expended, of which not less than the amount specified for each Emergency Operations Center shall be provided as follows: \$700,000, California Emergency Management Agency; \$228,125, Cherry

Hill Township, New Jersey; \$800,000, City of Alexandria, Virginia; \$250,000, City of Baton Rouge, Louisiana; \$800,000, City of Bowie Police Department, Maryland; \$800,000, City of Brownsville, Texas; \$442,000, City of Columbia, South Carolina; \$800,000, City of Columbus, Ohio; \$800,000, City of Compton, California; \$800,000, City of Houston, Texas; \$800,000, City of Laredo, Texas; \$500,000, City of Lauderdale Lakes, Florida; \$800,000, City of New Orleans, Louisiana; \$600,000, City of Orange Township, New Jersey; \$800,000, City of Palm Beach Gardens, Florida; \$500,000, City of Pasadena, California; \$950,000, City of Passaic, New Jersey; \$800,000, City of Pharr, Texas; \$800,000, City of Phoenix, Arizona; \$800,000, City of South Daytona, Florida; \$375,000, City of Temple City, California; \$800,000, Clallam County Sheriff's Office, Washington; \$800,000, County of Gloucester, New Jersey; \$3,450,000, County of Hudson, New Jersey; \$771,000, Missoula County, Montana; \$250,000, Fulton County Government, Arkansas; \$300,000, Fulton County Government, County Manager's Office, Georgia; \$800,000, Hancock County Commission, West Virginia; \$750,000, Louisiana Sheriff's Association, Baton Rouge, Louisiana; \$250,000, Madison County, Texas; \$750,000, Maryland Emergency Management Agency; \$800,000, Oakland County Homeland Security Division, Michigan; \$129,000, Park County, Montana; \$800,000, Plaquemines Parish Sheriff's Office, Louisiana; \$610,000, Polk County, Iowa; \$750,000, Providence Emergency Management Agency and Office of Homeland Security, Rhode Island; \$1,000,000, Rhode Island Emergency Management Agency; \$750,000, Salt Lake County, Utah; \$1,000,000, State of Illinois; \$250,000, State of Michigan; \$5,000,000, State of West Virginia; \$800,000, Town of East Haven, Connecticut; \$800,000, Town of South Windsor, Connecticut; \$800,000, Town of Southwest Ranches, Florida; \$775,000, Uvalde County, Texas; and \$800,000, Wisconsin Division of Emergency Management.

(10) \$256,950,000 shall be for training, exercises, technical assistance, and other programs, of which—

(A) \$159,500,000 shall be for the National Domestic Preparedness Consortium in accordance with section 1204 of the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007 (6 U.S.C. 1102), of which \$62,500,000 shall be for the Center for Domestic Preparedness; \$23,000,000 shall be for the National Energetic Materials Research and Testing Center, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology; \$23,000,000 shall be for the National Center for Biomedical Research and Training, Louisiana State University; \$23,000,000 shall be for the National Emergency Response and Rescue Training Center, Texas A&M University; \$23,000,000 shall be for the National Exercise, Test, and Training Center, Nevada Test Site; and \$5,000,000 shall be for the National Disaster Preparedness Training Center, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii; and

(B) \$2,450,000 shall be for the Center for Counterterrorism and Cyber Crime, Norwich University, Northfield, Vermont:

Provided, That not to exceed 4.7 percent of the amounts provided under this heading shall be transferred to the Federal Emergency Management Agency "Management and Administration" account for program administration: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding section 2008(a)(11) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 609(a)(11)), or any other provision of law, a grantee may use not more than 5 percent of the amount of a grant made available under this heading

for expenses directly related to administration of the grant: *Provided further*, That for grants under paragraphs (1) through (4), the applications for grants shall be made available to eligible applicants not later than 25 days after the date of enactment of this Act, eligible applicants shall submit applications not later than 90 days after the grant announcement, and that the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency shall act within 90 days after receipt of an application: *Provided further*, That the previous proviso shall not apply to funds for necessary expenses related to the presence of a National Special Security Event: *Provided further*, That for grants under paragraphs (5) through (8), the applications for grants shall be made available to eligible applicants not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, eligible applicants shall submit applications within 45 days after the grant announcement, and the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency shall act not later than 60 days after receipt of an application: *Provided further*, That for grants under paragraphs (1) and (2), the installation of communications towers is not considered construction of a building or other physical facility: *Provided further*, That grantees shall provide reports on their use of funds, as determined necessary by the Secretary: *Provided further*, That in fiscal year 2011 and hereafter, (a) the Center for Domestic Preparedness may provide training to emergency response providers from the Federal Government, foreign governments, or private entities, if the Center for Domestic Preparedness is reimbursed for the cost of such training, and any reimbursement under this subsection shall be credited to the account from which the expenditure being reimbursed was made and shall be available, without fiscal year limitation, for the purposes for which amounts in the account may be expended; (b) the head of the Center for Domestic Preparedness shall ensure that any training provided under (a) does not interfere with the primary mission of the Center to train state and local emergency response providers; (c) subject to (b), nothing in (a) prohibits the Center for Domestic Preparedness from providing training to employees of the Federal Emergency Management Agency for the professional development of those employees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 4103 without reimbursement for the cost of such training.

FIREFIGHTER ASSISTANCE GRANTS

For necessary expenses for programs authorized by the Federal Fire Prevention and Control Act of 1974 (15 U.S.C. 2201 et seq.), \$840,000,000, of which \$420,000,000 shall be available to carry out section 33 of that Act (15 U.S.C. 2229) and \$420,000,000 shall be available to carry out section 34 of that Act (15 U.S.C. 2229a), to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That notwithstanding the requirement under section 34(a)(1)(A) of such Act that grants must be used to increase the number of firefighters in fire departments, the Secretary of Homeland Security, in making grants under section 34 of such Act using the funds made available under this heading, shall grant waivers from the requirements of subsections (a)(1)(B), (c)(1), (c)(2), and (c)(4)(A) of such section: *Provided further*, That section 34(a)(1)(E) of such Act shall not apply with respect to funds appropriated under this heading for grants under section 34 of such Act: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Homeland Security, in making grants under section 34 of such Act, shall ensure that funds appropriated under this heading are made available for the retention of firefighters: *Pro-*

vided further, That not to exceed 5 percent of the amount available under this heading shall be available for program administration.

EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE GRANTS

For necessary expenses for emergency management performance grants, as authorized by the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4001 et seq.), the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.), the Earthquake Hazards Reduction Act of 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7701 et seq.), and Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.), \$345,000,000: *Provided*, That total administrative costs shall not exceed 3 percent of the total amount appropriated under this heading.

RADIOLOGICAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAM

The aggregate charges assessed during fiscal year 2011, as authorized in title III of the Departments of Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 1999 (42 U.S.C. 5196e), shall not be less than 100 percent of the amounts anticipated by the Department of Homeland Security necessary for the radiological emergency preparedness program for the next fiscal year: *Provided*, That the methodology for assessment and collection of fees under that title shall be fair and equitable and shall reflect costs of providing such services, including administrative costs of collecting such fees: *Provided further*, That fees collected shall be deposited in this account as offsetting collections and will become available for authorized purposes on October 1, 2011, and remain available until expended.

UNITED STATES FIRE ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of the United States Fire Administration and for other purposes, as authorized by the Federal Fire Prevention and Control Act of 1974 (15 U.S.C. 2201 et seq.) and the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 101 et seq.), \$45,930,000.

DISASTER RELIEF

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses in carrying out the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.), \$1,950,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the Federal Emergency Management Agency shall submit an expenditure plan to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives detailing the use of the funds for disaster readiness and support not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act: *Provided further*, That the Federal Emergency Management Agency shall submit to such Committees a quarterly report detailing obligations against the expenditure plan and a justification for any changes in spending: *Provided further*, That of the total amount provided, \$16,000,000 shall be transferred to the Department of Homeland Security Office of Inspector General for audits and investigations related to disasters, subject to section 503 of this Act: *Provided further*, That, not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, \$145,600,000 shall be transferred to Federal Emergency Management Agency "Management and Administration" for management and administration functions: *Provided further*, That the Federal Emergency Management Agency shall submit the monthly "Disaster Relief" report, as specified in Public Law 110-161, to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives, and include

the amounts provided to each Federal agency for mission assignments: *Provided further*, That for any request for reimbursement from a Federal agency to the Department of Homeland Security to cover expenditures under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.), or any mission assignment orders issued by the Department for such purposes, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall take appropriate steps to ensure that each agency is periodically reminded of Department policies on—

(1) the detailed information required in supporting documentation for reimbursements; and

(2) the necessity for timeliness of agency billings.

DISASTER ASSISTANCE DIRECT LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For activities under section 319 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5162), \$295,000 is for the cost of direct loans: *Provided*, That gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans shall not exceed \$25,000,000: *Provided further*, That the cost of modifying such loans shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 661a).

FLOOD HAZARD MAPPING AND RISK ANALYSIS

For necessary expenses under section 1360 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4101), \$194,000,000, and such additional sums as may be provided by State and local governments or other political subdivisions for cost-shared mapping activities under section 1360(f)(2) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 4101(f)(2)), to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That total administrative costs shall not exceed 5 percent of the total amount appropriated under this heading.

NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE FUND

For activities under the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4001 et seq.) and the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (42 U.S.C. 4001 et seq.), \$169,000,000, which shall be derived from offsetting collections assessed and collected under section 1308(d) of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4015(d)), of which not to exceed \$22,145,000 shall be available for salaries and expenses associated with flood mitigation and flood insurance operations; and not less than \$146,855,000 shall be available for flood plain management and flood mapping, which shall remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That any additional fees collected pursuant to section 1308(d) of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4015(d)) shall be credited as an offsetting collection to this account, to be available for flood plain management and flood mapping: *Provided further*, That in fiscal year 2011, no funds shall be available from the National Flood Insurance Fund under section 1310 of that Act (42 U.S.C. 4017) in excess of: (1) \$110,000,000 for operating expenses; (2) \$963,339,000 for commissions and taxes of agents; (3) such sums as are necessary for interest on Treasury borrowings; and (4) \$120,000,000, which shall remain available until expended for flood mitigation actions, of which not less than \$40,000,000 is for severe repetitive loss properties under section 1361A of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4102a), of which \$10,000,000 shall be for repetitive insurance claims properties under section 1323 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4030), and of which \$40,000,000 shall be for flood mitigation assistance under section 1366 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4104c)

notwithstanding subparagraphs (B) and (C) of subsection (b)(3) and subsection (f) of section 1366 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4104c) and notwithstanding subsection (a)(7) of section 1310 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4017): *Provided further*, That amounts collected under section 102 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (42 U.S.C. 4012a) and section 1366(i) of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 shall be deposited in the National Flood Insurance Fund to supplement other amounts specified as available for section 1366 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, notwithstanding subsection (f)(8) of such section 102 (42 U.S.C. 4012a(f)(8)) and section 1366(i) and paragraphs (2) and (3) of section 1367(b) of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4104c(i), 4104d(b)(2)–(3)): *Provided further*, That total administrative costs shall not exceed 4 percent of the total appropriation.

NATIONAL PREDISASTER MITIGATION FUND

For the predisaster mitigation grant program under section 203 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5133), including administrative costs, \$85,000,000, to remain available until expended and to be obligated as detailed in the statement accompanying this Act: *Provided*, That the total administrative costs associated with such grants shall not exceed 3 percent of the total amount made available under this heading.

EMERGENCY FOOD AND SHELTER

To carry out the emergency food and shelter program pursuant to title III of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11331 et seq.), \$150,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That total administrative costs shall not exceed 3.5 percent of the total amount made available under this heading.

TITLE IV

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, TRAINING, AND SERVICES

UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES

For necessary expenses for citizenship and immigration services, \$297,993,000, of which \$176,400,000 is for processing applications for asylum or refugee status; and of which \$103,400,000 is for the E-Verify Program, as authorized by section 402 of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (8 U.S.C. 1324a note), to assist United States employers with maintaining a legal workforce: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds available to United States Citizenship and Immigration Services may be used to acquire, operate, equip, and dispose of up to five vehicles, for replacement only, for use in areas where the Administrator of General Services does not provide vehicles for lease: *Provided further*, That the Director of United States Citizenship and Immigration Services may authorize employees of United States Citizenship and Immigration Services who are assigned to those areas to use such vehicles to travel between the employees' residences and places of employment: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available in this Act for grants for immigrant integration may be used to provide services to aliens who have not been lawfully admitted to the United States for permanent residence.

FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING CENTER

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center as authorized

under section 884 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 464), including materials and support costs of Federal law enforcement basic training; the purchase of not to exceed 117 vehicles for police-type use and hire of passenger motor vehicles; expenses for student athletic and related activities; the conduct of and participation in firearms matches and presentation of awards; public awareness and enhancement of community support of law enforcement training; room and board for student interns; a flat monthly reimbursement to employees authorized to use personal mobile phones for official duties; and services as authorized by section 3109 of title 5, United States Code, \$234,500,000, of which up to \$48,420,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012, for materials and support costs of Federal law enforcement basic training; and of which not to exceed \$12,000 shall be for official reception and representation expenses: *Provided*, That of the total amount made available under this heading, not to exceed \$30,000,000 shall be for management and administration: *Provided further*, That the Center is authorized to obligate funds in anticipation of reimbursements from agencies receiving training sponsored by the Center, except that total obligations at the end of the fiscal year shall not exceed total budgetary resources available at the end of the fiscal year: *Provided further*, That the Director of the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center shall schedule basic or advanced law enforcement training, or both, at all four training facilities under the control of the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center to ensure that such training facilities are operated at the highest capacity throughout the fiscal year: *Provided further*, That section 1202(a) of the 2002 Supplemental Appropriations Act for Further Recovery From and Response to Terrorist Attacks on the United States (Public Law 107-206; 42 U.S.C. 3771 note), is amended by striking “December 31, 2012” and inserting “December 31, 2013”.

ACCREDITATION

For necessary expenses of Federal Law Enforcement Training Accreditation activities, \$1,419,000, of which \$300,000 shall remain available until expended to be distributed to Federal law enforcement agencies for expenses incurred participating in training accreditation: *Provided*, That the Federal Law Enforcement Training Accreditation Board, including representatives from the Federal law enforcement community and non-Federal accreditation experts involved in law enforcement training, shall lead the Federal law enforcement training accreditation process to continue the implementation of measuring and assessing the quality and effectiveness of Federal law enforcement training programs, facilities, and instructors.

ACQUISITIONS, CONSTRUCTION, IMPROVEMENTS, AND RELATED EXPENSES

For acquisition of necessary additional real property and facilities, construction, and ongoing maintenance, facility improvements, and related expenses of the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center, \$38,456,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the Center is authorized to accept reimbursement to this appropriation from Government agencies requesting the construction of special use facilities.

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

For salaries and expenses of the Office of the Under Secretary for Science and Technology and for management and administration of programs and activities, as authorized by title III of the Homeland Security

Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 181 et seq.), \$145,959,000: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$10,000 shall be for official reception and representation expenses.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, ACQUISITION, AND OPERATIONS

For necessary expenses for science and technology research, including advanced research projects; development; test and evaluation; acquisition; and operations, as authorized by title III of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 181 et seq.); and the purchase or lease of not to exceed five vehicles, \$902,651,000, of which \$780,651,000 is to remain available until September 30, 2013; and of which not less than \$122,000,000 is to remain available until September 30, 2015, solely for laboratory facilities: *Provided*, That not less than \$50,000,000 shall be available for university programs: *Provided further*, That not less than \$20,865,000 shall be available for the Southeast Region Research Initiative at the United States Army Corps of Engineers' Engineer Research and Development Center: *Provided further*, That not less than \$2,250,000 shall be available for Distributed Environment for Critical Infrastructure Decision-making Exercises: *Provided further*, That not less than \$500,000 shall be available to continue a project to develop situational awareness and decision support capabilities through remote sensing technologies: *Provided further*, That not less than \$1,000,000 shall be available to continue a homeland security research, development, and manufacturing pilot project.

DOMESTIC NUCLEAR DETECTION OFFICE MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

For salaries and expenses of the Domestic Nuclear Detection Office as authorized by title XIX of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 591 et seq.), for management and administration of programs and activities, \$36,400,000: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$3,000 shall be for official reception and representation expenses: *Provided further*, That not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives a strategic plan of investments necessary to implement the Department of Homeland Security's responsibilities under the domestic component of the global nuclear detection architecture that shall: (1) define each Departmental entity's roles and responsibilities in support of the domestic detection architecture, including any existing or planned programs to pre-screen cargo or conveyances overseas; (2) identify and describe the specific investments being made by Departmental organizations in fiscal year 2011, and planned for fiscal year 2012, to support the domestic architecture and the security of sea, land, and air pathways into the United States; (3) describe the investments necessary to close known vulnerabilities and gaps, including associated costs and timeframes, and estimates of feasibility and cost effectiveness; and (4) explain how the Department's research and development funding is furthering the implementation of the domestic nuclear detection architecture, including specific investments planned for fiscal years 2011 and 2012.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND OPERATIONS

For necessary expenses for radiological and nuclear detection, development, testing, evaluation, and operations, \$191,242,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, all prior year balances available for transformational

research and development shall be transferred to Science and Technology "Research, Development, Acquisition, and Operations".

SYSTEMS ACQUISITION

For expenses for the Domestic Nuclear Detection Office acquisition and deployment of radiological detection systems in accordance with the global nuclear detection architecture, \$52,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That none of the funds appropriated under this heading in this Act or any other Act shall be obligated for full-scale procurement of advanced spectroscopic portal monitors until the Secretary of Homeland Security submits to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report certifying that a significant increase in operational effectiveness will be achieved by such obligation: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall submit separate and distinct certifications prior to the procurement of advanced spectroscopic portal monitors for primary and secondary deployment that address the unique requirements for operational effectiveness of each type of deployment: *Provided further*, That the Secretary may continue to consult with the National Academy of Sciences before making such certifications: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be used for high-risk concurrent development and production of mutually dependent software and hardware.

TITLE V

GENERAL PROVISIONS

(INCLUDING RESCISSIONS OF FUNDS)

SEC. 501. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year unless expressly so provided herein.

SEC. 502. Subject to the requirements of section 503 of this Act, the unexpended balances of prior appropriations provided for activities in this Act may be transferred to appropriation accounts for such activities established pursuant to this Act, may be merged with funds in the applicable established accounts, and thereafter may be accounted for as one fund for the same time period as originally enacted.

SEC. 503. (a) None of the funds provided by this Act, provided by previous appropriations Acts to the agencies in or transferred to the Department of Homeland Security that remain available for obligation or expenditure in fiscal year 2011, or provided from any accounts in the Treasury of the United States derived by the collection of fees available to the agencies funded by this Act, shall be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming of funds that: (1) creates a new program, project, or activity; (2) eliminates a program, project, office, or activity; (3) increases funds for any program, project, or activity for which funds have been denied or restricted by the Congress; (4) proposes to use funds directed for a specific activity by either of the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate or the House of Representatives for a different purpose; or (5) contracts out any function or activity for which funding levels were requested for Federal full-time equivalents in the object classification tables contained in the fiscal year 2011 Budget Appendix for the Department of Homeland Security, as modified by the explanatory statement accompanying this Act, unless the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives are notified 15 days in advance of such reprogramming of funds.

(b) None of the funds provided by this Act, provided by previous appropriations Acts to

the agencies in or transferred to the Department of Homeland Security that remain available for obligation or expenditure in fiscal year 2011, or provided from any accounts in the Treasury of the United States derived by the collection of fees or proceeds available to the agencies funded by this Act, shall be available for obligation or expenditure for programs, projects, or activities through a reprogramming of funds in excess of \$5,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less, that: (1) augments existing programs, projects, or activities; (2) reduces by 10 percent funding for any existing program, project, or activity, or numbers of personnel by 10 percent as approved by the Congress; or (3) results from any general savings from a reduction in personnel that would result in a change in existing programs, projects, or activities as approved by the Congress, unless the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives are notified 15 days in advance of such reprogramming of funds.

(c) Not to exceed 5 percent of any appropriation made available for the current fiscal year for the Department of Homeland Security by this Act or provided by previous appropriations Acts may be transferred between such appropriations, but no such appropriation, except as otherwise specifically provided, shall be increased by more than 10 percent by such transfers: *Provided*, That any transfer under this section shall be treated as a reprogramming of funds under subsection (b) and shall not be available for obligation unless the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives are notified 15 days in advance of such transfer.

(d) Notwithstanding subsections (a), (b), and (c) of this section, no funds shall be reprogrammed within or transferred between appropriations after May 31, except in extraordinary circumstances that imminently threaten the safety of human life or the protection of property.

SEC. 504. The Department of Homeland Security Working Capital Fund, established pursuant to section 403 of the Federal Financial Management Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-356; 31 U.S.C. 501 note), shall continue operations as a permanent working capital fund for fiscal year 2011: *Provided*, That none of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Homeland Security may be used to make payments to the Working Capital Fund, except for the activities and amounts allowed in the President's fiscal year 2011 budget: *Provided further*, That funds provided to the Working Capital Fund shall be available for obligation until expended to carry out the purposes of the Working Capital Fund: *Provided further*, That all departmental components shall be charged only for direct usage of each Working Capital Fund service: *Provided further*, That funds provided to the Working Capital Fund shall be used only for purposes consistent with the contributing component: *Provided further*, That such funds shall be paid in advance or reimbursed at rates which will return the full cost of each service: *Provided further*, That the Working Capital Fund shall be subject to the requirements of section 503 of this Act.

SEC. 505. Except as otherwise specifically provided by law, not to exceed 50 percent of the amount of any unobligated balances remaining available at the end of fiscal year 2011 from appropriations for salaries and expenses for fiscal year 2011, under this Act shall remain available through September 30, 2012, in the account and for the purposes

for which the appropriations were provided: *Provided*, That prior to the obligation of such funds, a request shall be submitted to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives for approval in accordance with section 503 of this Act.

SEC. 506. Funds made available by this Act for intelligence activities are deemed to be specifically authorized by the Congress for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 414) during fiscal year 2011 until the enactment of an Act authorizing intelligence activities for fiscal year 2011.

SEC. 507. None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to make a grant allocation, grant award, contract award, other transaction agreement, a task or delivery order on a Department of Homeland Security multiple award contract, or to issue a letter of intent totaling in excess of \$1,000,000, or to announce publicly the intention to make such an award, including a contract covered by the Federal Acquisition Regulation, unless the Secretary of Homeland Security notifies the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives at least 3 full business days in advance of making such an award or issuing such a letter: *Provided*, That if the Secretary of Homeland Security determines that compliance with this section would pose a substantial risk to human life, health, or safety, an award may be made without such notification and the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives shall be notified not later than 5 full business days after such an award is made or letter issued: *Provided further*, That no notification shall involve funds that are not available for obligation: *Provided further*, That a notification under this section shall include the amount of the award, the fiscal year for which the funds for the award were appropriated, and the account from which the funds are being drawn: *Provided further*, That the Federal Emergency Management Agency shall brief the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives 5 full business days in advance of announcing publicly the intention of making an award under "State and Local Programs".

SEC. 508. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no Federal department or agency shall purchase, construct, or lease any additional facilities, except within or contiguous to existing locations, to be used for the purpose of conducting Federal law enforcement training without the advance approval of the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives, except that the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center is authorized to obtain the temporary use of additional facilities by lease, contract, or other agreement for training that cannot be accommodated in existing Center facilities.

SEC. 509. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used for expenses for any construction, repair, alteration, or acquisition project for which a prospectus otherwise required under chapter 33 of title 40, United States Code, has not been approved, except that necessary funds may be expended for each project for required expenses for the development of a proposed prospectus.

SEC. 510. Sections 520, 522, 528, and 530 of the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2008 (division E of Public Law 110-161; 121 Stat. 2073 et seq.) shall apply with respect to funds made available in this

Act in the same manner as such sections applied to funds made available in that Act.

SEC. 511. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used in contravention of the applicable provisions of the Buy American Act (41 U.S.C. 10a et seq.).

SEC. 512. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used by any person other than the Privacy Officer appointed under subsection (a) of section 222 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 142(a)) to alter, direct that changes be made to, delay, or prohibit the transmission to Congress of any report prepared under paragraph (6) of such subsection.

SEC. 513. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to amend the oath of allegiance required by section 337 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1448).

SEC. 514. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to process or approve a competition under Office of Management and Budget Circular A-76 for services provided as of June 1, 2004, by employees (including employees serving on a temporary or term basis) of United States Citizenship and Immigration Services of the Department of Homeland Security who are known as of that date as Immigration Information Officers, Contact Representatives, or Investigative Assistants.

SEC. 515. (a) The Assistant Secretary of Homeland Security (Transportation Security Administration) shall work with air carriers and airports to ensure that screening of cargo carried on passenger aircraft, as that term is defined in section 44901(g)(5) of title 49, United States Code, increases incrementally each quarter until the requirement under section 44901(g)(2)(B) of such title is met.

(b) Not later than 120 days after the end of each quarter, the Assistant Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on air cargo inspection statistics by airport and air carrier detailing the incremental progress being made to meet the requirement of section 44901(g)(2)(B) of title 49, United States Code.

SEC. 516. Not later than 45 days after the last day of each month, the Chief Financial Officer of the Department of Homeland Security shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives a monthly budget and staffing report for that month that includes total obligations, on-board versus funded full-time equivalent staffing levels, and the number of contract employees for each office of the Department.

SEC. 517. Any funds appropriated to Coast Guard "Acquisition, Construction, and Improvements" for fiscal years 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006 for the 110-123 foot patrol boat conversion that are recovered, collected, or otherwise received as the result of negotiation, mediation, or litigation, shall be available until expended for the Fast Response Cutter program.

SEC. 518. None of the funds provided by this or any other Act may be obligated for the development, testing, deployment, or operation of any portion of a human resources management system authorized by section 9701(a) of title 5, United States Code, or by regulations prescribed pursuant to such section, for an employee, as that term is defined in section 7103(a)(2) of such title.

SEC. 519. Section 532(a) of the Department of the Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2007 (Public Law 109-295, 120 Stat. 1384) is amended by striking "2010" and inserting "2011 and thereafter".

SEC. 520. The functions of the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center instructor staff shall be classified as inherently governmental for the purpose of the Federal Activities Inventory Reform Act of 1998 (31 U.S.C. 501 note).

SEC. 521. None of the funds provided by this or previous appropriations Acts shall be used to fund any position designated as a Principal Federal Official (or the successor thereto) for any Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.) declared disasters or emergencies unless—

(1) The responsibilities of the Principal Federal Official do not include operational functions related to incident management, including coordination of operations, and are consistent with the requirements of subsection 509(c) and subsections 503(c)(3) and (c)(4)(A) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 319(c) and 313(c)(3) and (c)(4)(A)) and section 302 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5143); and

(2) Not later than 10 business days after the latter of the date on which the Secretary of Homeland Security appoints the Principal Federal Official and the date on which the President issues a declaration under section 401 or section 501 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5170 and 5191, respectively), the Secretary of Homeland Security shall submit a notification of the appointment of the Principal Federal Official and a description of the responsibilities of such Official and how such responsibilities are consistent with paragraph (1) to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives, the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee of the House of Representatives, and the Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee of the Senate.

(3) Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall provide a report specifying timeframes and milestones regarding the update of operations, planning and policy documents, and training and exercise protocols, to ensure consistency with paragraph (1) of this section.

SEC. 522. (a) Except as provided in subsection (b), none of the funds appropriated in this or any other Act to the Office of the Secretary and Executive Management, the Office of the Under Secretary for Management, or the Office of the Chief Financial Officer may be obligated for a grant or contract funded under any such heading by any means other than full and open competition.

(b) Subsection (a) does not apply to obligation of funds for a contract awarded—

(1) by a means that is required by a Federal statute, including obligation for a purchase made under a mandated preferential program, including the AbilityOne Program, that is authorized under the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46 et seq.);

(2) pursuant to the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 631 et seq.);

(3) in an amount less than the simplified acquisition threshold described under section 302A(a) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 252a(a)); or

(4) by a Federal agency other than the Department of Homeland Security using funds provided through an interagency agreement.

(c)(1) Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary of Homeland Security may waive the applicability of this section with respect to the award of a contract if such a waiver is in

the interest of national security or if failure to waive such applicability would pose a substantial risk to human health or welfare.

(2) Not later than 5 days after the date on which the Secretary of Homeland Security issues a waiver under this subsection, the Secretary shall submit notification of that waiver to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives, including a description of the contract to which the waiver applies and an explanation of why the waiver authority was used. The Secretary may not delegate the authority to grant such a waiver.

(d) In addition to the requirements established by subsections (a), (b), and (c) of this section, the Inspector General of the Department of Homeland Security shall review departmental contracts awarded through means other than a full and open competition to assess departmental compliance with applicable laws and regulations: *Provided*, That the Inspector General shall review selected contracts awarded in the previous fiscal year through means other than a full and open competition: *Provided further*, That in selecting which contracts to review, the Inspector General shall consider the cost and complexity of the goods and services to be provided under the contract, the criticality of the contract to fulfilling Department missions, past performance problems on similar contracts or by the selected vendor, complaints received about the award process or contractor performance, and such other factors as the Inspector General determines are relevant: *Provided further*, That no later than February 7, 2011, the Inspector General shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the reviews conducted under this section.

SEC. 523. None of the funds made available in this or any other Act may be used to enforce section 4025(1) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (Public Law 108-458; 118 Stat. 3724) unless the Assistant Secretary of Homeland Security (Transportation Security Administration) reverses the determination of July 19, 2007, that butane lighters are not a significant threat to civil aviation security.

SEC. 524. None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to take an action that would violate Executive Order No. 13423 (72 Fed. Reg. 3919; relating to strengthening Federal environmental, energy, and transportation management).

SEC. 525. Funds made available in this Act may be used to alter operations within the Civil Engineering Program of the Coast Guard nationwide, including civil engineering units, facilities design and construction centers, maintenance and logistics commands, and the Coast Guard Academy, except that none of the funds provided in this Act may be used to reduce operations within any Civil Engineering Unit unless specifically authorized by a statute enacted after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 526. None of the funds made available in this Act shall be available to carry out section 872 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 452).

SEC. 527. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used by United States Citizenship and Immigration Services to grant an immigration benefit unless the results of background checks required by law to be completed prior to the granting of the benefit have been received by United States Citizenship and Immigration Services and such results do not preclude the granting of the benefit.

SEC. 528. None of the funds made available in this or any other Act for fiscal year 2011 and hereafter may be used to destroy or put out to pasture any horse or other equine belonging to any component or agency of the Department of Homeland Security that has become unfit for service, unless the trainer or handler is first given the option to take possession of the equine through an adoption program that has safeguards against slaughter and inhumane treatment.

SEC. 529. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to conduct, or to implement the results of, a competition under Office of Management and Budget Circular A-76 for activities performed with respect to the Coast Guard National Vessel Documentation Center.

SEC. 530. None of the funds provided in this Act under the heading "Office of the Chief Information Officer" shall be used for data center development other than for Data Center One (National Center for Critical Information Processing and Storage) until the Chief Information Officer certifies that Data Center One is fully utilized as the Department's primary data storage center at the highest capacity throughout the fiscal year.

SEC. 531. Section 831 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 391) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking "Until September 30, 2010," and inserting "Until September 30, 2011,"; and

(2) in subsection (d)(1), by striking "September 30, 2010," and inserting "September 30, 2011,".

SEC. 532. None of the funds in this Act shall be used to reduce the United States Coast Guard's Operations Systems Center mission or its Government-employed or contract staff levels.

SEC. 533. The Secretary of Homeland Security shall require that all contracts entered into by the Department of Homeland Security that provide award fees link such fees to successful acquisition outcomes (which outcomes shall be specified in terms of cost, schedule, and performance).

SEC. 534. None of the funds made available to the "Office of the Secretary and Executive Management" under this Act may be expended to hire any new employees of the Department of Homeland Security who are not verified through the E-Verify Program established under title IV of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (8 U.S.C. 1324a note).

SEC. 535. None of the funds made available in this Act for U.S. Customs and Border Protection may be used to prevent an individual not in the business of importing a prescription drug (within the meaning of section 801(g) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act) from importing a prescription drug from Canada that complies with the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act: *Provided*, That this section shall apply only to individuals transporting on their person a personal-use quantity of the prescription drug, not to exceed a 90-day supply: *Provided further*, That the prescription drug may not be—

(1) a controlled substance, as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802); or

(2) a biological product, as defined in section 351 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262).

SEC. 536. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used by the Secretary of Homeland Security or any delegate of the Secretary to issue any rule or regulation which implements the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking related to Petitions for Aliens

To Perform Temporary Nonagricultural Services or Labor (H-2B) set out beginning on 70 Fed. Reg. 3984 (January 27, 2005).

SEC. 537. The Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury, shall notify the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives of any proposed transfers of funds available under section 9703(g)(4)(B) of title 31, United States Code (added by Public Law 102-393) from the Department of the Treasury Forfeiture Fund to any agency within the Department of Homeland Security: *Provided*, That none of the funds identified for such a transfer may be obligated until the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives approve the proposed transfers.

SEC. 538. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used for planning, testing, piloting, or developing a national identification card.

SEC. 539. If the Assistant Secretary of Homeland Security (Transportation Security Administration) determines that an airport does not need to participate in the E-Verify Program established under title IV of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (8 U.S.C. 1324a note), the Assistant Secretary shall certify to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives that no security risks will result from such nonparticipation.

SEC. 540. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, except as provided in subsection (b), and by the later of 30 days after the date that the President determines whether to declare a major disaster because of an event or the date of the completion of any appeal by a Governor regarding such determination, the Administrator shall submit to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate, the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives, the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives, and publish on the website of the Federal Emergency Management Agency, a report regarding that decision, summarizing damage assessment information used to determine whether to declare a major disaster.

(b) The Administrator may redact from a report under subsection (a) any data that the Administrator determines would compromise national security.

(c) In this section—

(1) the term "Administrator" means the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency; and

(2) the term "major disaster" has the meaning given that term in section 102 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5122).

SEC. 541. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, during fiscal year 2011 or any subsequent fiscal year, if the Secretary of Homeland Security determines that the National Bio- and Agro-defense Facility is to be located at a site other than Plum Island, New York, the Secretary shall ensure that the Administrator of General Services sells, through public sale, all real and related personal property and transportation assets that support Plum Island operations, subject to such terms and conditions as may be necessary to protect Government interests and meet program requirements.

(b) The proceeds of any sale described in subsection (a) shall be deposited as offsetting

collections into the Department of Homeland Security Science and Technology "Research, Development, Acquisition, and Operations" account and, subject to appropriation, shall be available until expended, for site acquisition, construction, and costs related to the construction of the National Bio- and Agro-defense Facility, including the costs associated with the sale, including due diligence requirements, necessary environmental remediation at Plum Island, and reimbursement of any expenses incurred by the General Services Administration.

SEC. 542. (a) For an additional amount for Science and Technology, "Research, Development, Acquisition, and Operations", \$40,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, for construction of the Central Utility Plant at the approved National Bio- and Agro-defense Facility site in Manhattan, Kansas.

(b) The Department shall provide an update of the site-specific biosafety and biosecurity mitigation risk assessment of the National Bio- and Agro-defense Facility in Manhattan, Kansas that integrates findings from the Department's risk assessment, as well as findings from the National Academy of Sciences' evaluation of the Department's risk assessment. The update shall:

(1) include strategies to mitigate the risk of foot-and-mouth disease virus release from the laboratory and ensure safe operations at the approved National Bio- and Agro-defense Facility site in Manhattan, Kansas;

(2) address the impact of surveillance, response, and mitigation plans (developed in consultation with local, State, and national authorities and appropriate stakeholders) if a release occurs, to detect and control the spread of disease; and

(3) address how the Department will collaborate with the United States Department of Agriculture and other appropriate Federal departments and agencies to identify and complete such additional studies as may be necessary in order to secure a future permit from the United States Department of Agriculture to operate the National Bio- and Agro-defense Facility safely and securely.

(c) The Secretary of Homeland Security shall enter into a contract with the National Academy of Sciences to evaluate the adequacy and validity of the risk assessment required by subsection (b). The National Academy of Sciences shall submit a report on such evaluation within 4 months after the date the Department of Homeland Security concludes its mitigation risk assessment.

SEC. 543. Any official who is required by this Act to report or certify to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives may not delegate such authority to perform that act unless specifically authorized herein.

SEC. 544. Section 203(m) of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5133(m)) is amended by striking "September 30, 2010" and inserting "September 30, 2011".

SEC. 545. Section 550(b) of the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2007 (Public Law 109-295; 6 U.S.C. 121 note) is amended by striking "on October 4, 2010" and inserting "on October 4, 2011".

SEC. 546. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used for first-class travel by the employees of agencies funded by this Act in contravention of sections 301-10.122 through 301.10-124 of title 41, Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 547. For purposes of section 210C of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 124j), for fiscal year 2011 and hereafter, a rural

area shall also include any area that is located in a metropolitan statistical area and a county, borough, parish, or area under the jurisdiction of an Indian tribe with a population of not more than 50,000.

SEC. 548. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to propose or effect a disciplinary or adverse action with respect to any Department of Homeland Security employee who engages regularly with the public in the performance of his or her official duties solely because that employee elects to utilize protective equipment or measures, including surgical masks, N95 respirators, gloves, or hand-sanitizers, where use of such equipment or measures is in accordance with Department of Homeland Security policy, and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Office of Personnel Management guidance.

SEC. 549. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to employ workers described in section 274A(h)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1324a(h)(3)).

SEC. 550. (a) Any company that collects or retains personal information directly from any individual who participates in the Registered Traveler program of the Transportation Security Administration shall safeguard and dispose of such information in accordance with the requirements in—

(1) the National Institute for Standards and Technology Special Publication 800-30, entitled "Risk Management Guide for Information Technology Systems";

(2) the National Institute for Standards and Technology Special Publication 800-53, Revision 3, entitled "Recommended Security Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations"; and

(3) any supplemental standards established by the Assistant Secretary, Transportation Security Administration (referred to in this section as the "Assistant Secretary").

(b) The airport authority or air carrier operator that sponsors the company under the Registered Traveler program shall be known as the Sponsoring Entity.

(c) The Assistant Secretary shall require any company covered by subsection (a) to provide, not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, to the Sponsoring Entity written certification that the procedures used by the company to safeguard and dispose of information are in compliance with the requirements under subsection (a). Such certification shall include a description of the procedures used by the company to comply with such requirements.

(d) Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Assistant Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives a report that includes a description of—

(1) the procedures that have been used to safeguard and dispose of personal information collected through the Registered Traveler program; and

(2) the status of any certifications required to be submitted by subsection (c).

SEC. 551. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, none of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used to pay award or incentive fees for contractor performance that has been judged to be below satisfactory performance or for performance that does not meet the basic requirements of a contract.

SEC. 552. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used by the Department of Homeland Security to enter into any Federal contract un-

less such contract is entered into in accordance with the requirements of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253) or chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code, and the Federal Acquisition Regulation, unless such contract is otherwise authorized by statute to be entered into without regard to the above referenced statutes.

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

SEC. 553. (a) Funds made available by this Act solely for data center migration may be transferred by the Secretary between appropriations for the same purpose, notwithstanding section 503 of this Act.

(b) No transfer described in (a) shall occur until 15 days after the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives are notified of such transfer.

SEC. 554. The administrative law judge annuitants participating in the Senior Administrative Law Judge Program managed by the Director of the Office of Personnel Management under section 3323 of title 5, United States Code, shall be available on a temporary re-employment basis to conduct arbitrations of disputes as part of the arbitration panel established by the President under section 601 of division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-5; 123 Stat. 164).

SEC. 555. For an additional amount for the "Office of the Under Secretary for Management", \$270,800,000 to remain available until expended for necessary expenses to plan, acquire, construct, renovate, remediate, equip, furnish, and occupy buildings and facilities to consolidate the Department of Homeland Security headquarters at St. Elizabeths, and for associated mission support lease consolidation: *Provided*, That the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives shall receive an expenditure plan no later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act detailing the allocation of these funds.

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

SEC. 556. For an additional amount for the "Office of the Under Secretary for Management", \$10,000,000, to increase the acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities of the Department of Homeland Security: *Provided*, That such funds may be transferred by the Under Secretary for Management to any other account in the Department to carry out the purposes provided herein: *Provided further*, That such transfer authority is in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act, but no transfer shall occur until 15 days after the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives are notified of such transfer: *Provided further*, That any such notification shall include an expenditure plan that outlines the amount of funds to be obligated, the number of personnel to be hired and the details of any other intended uses of these funds: *Provided further*, That such funds shall be available only to supplement and not to supplant existing acquisition workforce activities: *Provided further*, That such funds shall be available for training, recruitment, retention, and hiring additional members of the acquisition workforce as defined by the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 401 et seq.): *Provided further*, That such funds shall be available for information technology in support of acquisition workforce effectiveness or for management solutions to improve acquisition management.

SEC. 557. Section 559(e) of the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-83) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding the first proviso, by striking “law, sell” and inserting “law, hereafter sell”; and

(2) in the first proviso—

(A) by striking “shall be deposited” and inserting “shall hereafter be deposited”; and

(B) by striking “subject to appropriation,” and inserting “without further appropriations,”.

SEC. 558. (a) Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Assistant Secretary of Homeland Security (Transportation Security Administration) shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives, a report that either—

(1) certifies that the requirement for screening all air cargo on passenger aircraft by the deadline under section 44901(g) of title 49, United States Code, has been met; or

(2) includes a strategy to comply with the requirements under title 44901(g) of title 49, United States Code, including—

(A) a plan to meet the requirement under section 44901(g) of title 49, United States Code, to screen 100 percent of air cargo transported on passenger aircraft arriving in the United States in foreign air transportation (as that term is defined in section 40102 of that title); and

(B) specification of—

(i) the percentage of such air cargo that is being screened; and

(ii) the schedule for achieving screening of 100 percent of such air cargo.

(b) The Assistant Secretary shall continue to submit reports described in subsection (a)(2) every 180 days thereafter until the Assistant Secretary certifies that the Transportation Security Administration has achieved screening of 100 percent of such air cargo.

SEC. 559. In developing any process to screen aviation passengers and crews for transportation or national security purposes, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall ensure that any processes developed take into consideration such passengers’ and crews’ privacy and civil liberties consistent with applicable laws, regulations, and guidance.

SEC. 560. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, should the Secretary of Homeland Security determine that specific U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Service Processing Centers or other U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement owned detention facilities no longer meet the mission need, the Secretary is authorized to dispose of individual Service Processing Centers or other U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement owned detention facilities by directing the Administrator of General Services to sell all real and related personal property which support Service Processing Centers or other U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement owned detention facilities, subject to such terms and conditions as necessary to protect Government interests and meet program requirements: *Provided*, That the proceeds, net of the costs of sale incurred by the General Services Administration and U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, shall be deposited as offsetting collections into a separate account that shall be available, subject to appropriation, until expended for other real property capital asset needs of existing U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement assets, excluding daily operations and maintenance costs, as the Secretary deems appropriate: *Provided further*, That any sale or collocation of federally owned detention facilities shall not result in the maintenance of fewer than 33,400 detention beds: *Provided further*, That the Commit-

tees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives shall be notified 15 days prior to the announcement of any proposed sale or collocation.

SEC. 561. (a) CIVIL PENALTIES.—Section 46301(a)(5)(A)(i) of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “or chapter 449” and inserting “chapter 449”; and

(2) by inserting “, or section 46314(a)” after “44909”.

(b) CRIMINAL PENALTIES.—Section 46314(b) of title 49, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(b) CRIMINAL PENALTY.—A person violating subsection (a) of this section shall be fined under title 18, imprisoned for not more than 10 years, or both.”.

(c) NOTICE OF PENALTIES.—Section 46314 of title 49, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(c) NOTICE OF PENALTIES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Each operator of an airport in the United States that is required to establish an air transportation security program pursuant to section 44903(c) shall ensure that signs that meet such requirements as the Secretary of Homeland Security may prescribe providing notice of the penalties imposed under sections 46301(a)(5)(A)(i) and subsection (b) of this section, are displayed near all screening locations, all locations where passengers exit the sterile area, and such other locations at the airport as the Secretary of Homeland Security determines appropriate.

“(2) EFFECT OF SIGNS ON PENALTIES.—An individual shall be subject to the penalty provided for under section 46301(a)(5)(A)(i) and subsection (b) of this section without regard to whether signs are displayed at an airport as required by paragraph (1).”.

SEC. 562. Section 301(b) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11331(b)) is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“(1) United Way Worldwide.”; and

(2) by striking paragraph (5) and inserting the following:

“(5) The Jewish Federations of North America, Inc.”.

SEC. 563. Lot 1 of the Morning Heights Subdivision, Lot 2 and PT ST of the Morning Heights Subdivision, Lot 1 and PT ST of the Bayless Addition, and Lot 24 of the Bayless Addition in Findlay, Ohio, shall be available for construction and operation of portions of a flood control levee if a feasibility study completed by the Chief of Engineers, of the civil works program, of the United States Army Corps of Engineers indicates that such construction is the most appropriate and cost-effective flood risk management project for the area: *Provided*, That those portions of the properties identified by the Chief of Engineers for construction and operation of portions of the flood control levee pursuant to the preceding proviso shall be excepted from section 404(b)(2)(B) of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, and those portions of the named properties that are not used to construct and operate portions of said flood control levee shall remain deeded as open space in perpetuity, in accordance with section 404(b)(2)(B).

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

SEC. 564. Notwithstanding the 10 percent limitation contained in section 503(c) of this Act, the Secretary of Homeland Security may transfer to the fund established by 8 U.S.C. 1101 (note), up to \$20,000,000 from ap-

propriations available to the Department of Homeland Security: *Provided*, That the Secretary shall notify the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives 5 days in advance of such transfer.

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

SEC. 565. (a) The Secretary of Homeland Security may transfer to the Secretary of the Interior amounts available for environmental mitigation requirements for “U.S. Customs and Border Protection—Border Security Fencing, Infrastructure, and Technology” for fiscal year 2009 or thereafter, for use by the Secretary of the Interior under laws administered by such Secretary to mitigate adverse environmental impacts, including impact on species listed under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) resulting from construction, operation, and maintenance activities related to border security.

(b) Uses of funds authorized by this section include acquisition of land or interests in land that will, in the judgment of the Secretary of the Interior, mitigate or offset such adverse impacts.

(c) Any funds transferred under this section shall be used in accordance with an agreement between the Secretaries.

(d) Not later than September 30, 2011, and on an annual basis thereafter, the Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security, shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report that describes in detail the actions taken in the preceding year with amounts transferred under this section.

SEC. 566. (a) Subject to subsection (b), for fiscal year 2011, the Coast Guard may enter into Economy Act Agreements (31 U.S.C. 1535) with the Secretary of the Navy for the disposal of Coast Guard vessels pursuant to the authority, terms and conditions set forth in 10 U.S.C. 7305 and 7305a.

(b) Any agreement entered into under subsection (a) shall be at no additional cost to the United States Navy.

SEC. 567. For fiscal year 2011 and hereafter, U.S. Customs and Border Protection’s Advanced Training Center is authorized to charge fees for any service and/or thing of value it provides to Federal Government or non-government entities or individuals, so long as the fees charged do not exceed the full costs associated with the service or thing of value provided: *Provided*, That notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302(b), fees collected by the Advanced Training Center are to be deposited into a separate account entitled the “Advanced Training Center Revolving Fund”, and be available, without further appropriations, for necessary expenses of the Advanced Training Center program, and are to remain available until expended.

SEC. 568. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, including any agreement, the Federal share of assistance, including direct Federal assistance provided under sections 403, 406, and 407 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5140b, 5172, and 5173), for damages resulting from FEMA-1867-DR, FEMA-1873-DR, FEMA-1889-DR, and FEMA-1897-DR shall not be less than 90 percent of the eligible costs under such sections.

SEC. 569. The Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency shall consider as non-discretionary the decision to award grants for the construction and equipping of any interoperable communications system for which construction was initiated before June 1, 2009, for which grant applications were made under section 573 of division

E of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008 (Public Law 110-161), section 10501 of division B of the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 110-329), or section 603 of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111-32).

RESCISSIONS

SEC. 570. The following unobligated balances made available pursuant to section 505 of Public Law 111-83 are rescinded: \$886,665 from the "Office of the Secretary and Executive Management"; \$603,638 from the "Office of the Under Secretary for Management"; \$24,379 from the "Office of the Chief Financial Officer"; \$29,736 from the "Office of the Chief Information Officer"; \$183,762 from "Analysis and Operations"; \$76,498 from the "Office of the Federal Coordinator for Gulf Coast Rebuilding"; \$152,735 from the "Office of Inspector General"; \$7,610,588 from U.S. Customs and Border Protection "Salaries and Expenses"; \$3,443,644 from U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement "Salaries and Expenses"; \$4,542,980 from the Transportation Security Administration "Federal Air Marshals"; \$246,435 from Coast Guard "Operating Expenses"; \$2,965,312 from Coast Guard "Reserve Training"; \$83,784 from National Protection and Programs Directorate "Management and Administration"; \$551,737 from National Protection and Programs Directorate "Infrastructure Protection and Information Security"; \$700,167 from United States Secret Service "Salaries and Expenses"; \$863,628 from Federal Emergency Management Agency "Management and Administration"; \$837,953 from "Office of Health Affairs"; \$32,945,983 from "United States Citizenship and Immigration Services"; \$927,823 from Federal Law Enforcement Training Center "Salaries and Expenses"; \$346,637 from Science and Technology "Management and Administration"; and \$42,257 from Domestic Nuclear Detection Office "Management and Administration".

SEC. 571. Of the unobligated balances available in the Department of the Treasury Forfeiture Fund established by section 9703 of title 31, United States Code, that was added to such title by section 638 of Public Law 102-393, \$22,600,000 are rescinded.

SEC. 572. From the unobligated balances for "Operations" of funds transferred to the Department of Homeland Security when it was created in 2003, \$1,891,657 are rescinded.

SEC. 573. From the unobligated balances of prior year appropriations made available for U.S. Customs and Border Protection "Automation Modernization", \$10,000,000 are rescinded.

SEC. 574. From the unobligated balances of prior year appropriations made available for U.S. Customs and Border Protection "Border Security Fencing, Infrastructure, and Technology", \$68,000,000 are rescinded.

SEC. 575. Of the unobligated balances available for U.S. Customs and Border Protection "Construction" for construction projects in prior year appropriations, \$99,772,000 are rescinded: *Provided*, That amounts rescinded shall be limited to Border Patrol projects and facilities: *Provided further*, That no amounts may be rescinded from amounts that were designated by Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to a concurrent resolution on the budget or the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.

SEC. 576. From the unobligated balances of funds for the "Violent Crime Reduction Program" transferred to the Department of Homeland Security when it was established in 2003, \$4,912,245 are rescinded.

SEC. 577. From the unobligated balances of prior year appropriations made available for U.S. Customs and Border Protection, "Salaries and Expenses" transferred to the Department of Homeland Security when it was established in 2003, \$18,122,393 are rescinded.

SEC. 578. From the unobligated balances of prior year appropriations made available for Transportation Security Administration, \$15,000,000 are rescinded: *Provided*, That the Transportation Security Administration shall not rescind any unobligated balances from the following programs: explosives detection systems; checkpoint support; aviation regulation and other enforcement; and air cargo.

SEC. 579. From the unobligated balances of prior year appropriations made available for National Protection and Programs Directorate "Infrastructure Protection and Information Security" the following amounts are rescinded—

(1) \$6,000,000 from Next Generation Networks; and

(2) \$9,600,000 to be specified in a report to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives which describes the amounts rescinded and the original purpose of such funds no later than 15 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 580. From the unobligated balances of prior year appropriations made available for the Federal Emergency Management Agency "National Pre-Disaster Mitigation Fund", \$18,173,641 are rescinded.

SEC. 581. From the unobligated balances of funds for the "Office for Domestic Preparedness" transferred to the Department of Homeland Security when it was established in 2003, \$10,568,964 are rescinded.

SEC. 582. From the unobligated balances of prior year appropriations made available for United States Visitor and Immigrant Status Indicator Technology, \$28,000,000 are rescinded: *Provided*, That none of these rescissions may be taken from the \$50,000,000 in unobligated balances of prior-year appropriations made available for a biometric air exit capability.

SEC. 583. From unobligated balances of prior year appropriations made available for United States Citizenship and Immigration Services for the program commonly known as the "REAL ID hub", \$18,500,000 are rescinded.

SEC. 584. From the unobligated balances of prior year appropriations made available for Science and Technology "Research, Development, Acquisition, and Operations", \$62,900,000 are rescinded: *Provided*, That this rescission shall not apply to funds made available for Laboratory Facilities in Public Law 111-83.

SEC. 585. From the unobligated balances of prior year appropriations made available for Domestic Nuclear Detection Office "Research, Development, and Operations", \$27,000,000 are rescinded.

SEC. 586. From the unobligated balances made available for Coast Guard "Operating Expenses" in chapter 6 of title I of Public Law 111-212, \$5,000,000 are rescinded.

SEC. 587. From the unobligated balances made available for "United States Citizenship and Immigration Services" in chapter 6 of title I of Public Law 111-212, \$6,500,000 are rescinded.

SEC. 588. From the unobligated balances made available for Coast Guard "Acquisition, Construction, and Improvements" in chapter 5 of title I of division B of Public Law 110-329, \$7,000,000 are rescinded.

SEC. 589. From the unobligated balances made available for Transportation Security

Administration "Aviation Security" in chapter 5 of title III of Public Law 110-28, \$18,345,000 are rescinded.

SEC. 590. From the unobligated balances made available for "Office of the Secretary and Executive Management" in chapter 4 of title II of division B of Public Law 109-148, \$196,653 are rescinded.

This division may be cited as the "Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2011".

DIVISION G—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT OF LANDS AND RESOURCES

For necessary expenses for protection, use, improvement, development, disposal, cadastral surveying, classification, acquisition of easements and other interests in lands, and performance of other functions, including maintenance of facilities, as authorized by law, in the management of lands and their resources under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Land Management, including the general administration of the Bureau, and assessment of mineral potential of public lands pursuant to Public Law 96-487 (16 U.S.C. 3150(a)), \$954,633,000, to remain available until expended; of which \$4,000,000 shall be available in fiscal year 2011 subject to a match by at least an equal amount by the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation for cost-shared projects supporting conservation of Bureau lands; and such funds shall be advanced to the Foundation as a lump sum grant without regard to when expenses are incurred.

In addition, \$45,500,000 is for the processing of applications for permit to drill and related use authorizations, to remain available until expended, to be reduced by amounts collected by the Bureau and credited to this appropriation that shall be derived from \$6,500 per new application for permit to drill that the Bureau shall collect upon submission of each new application, and in addition, \$20,000,000 is for conducting oil and gas inspection activities, to remain available until expended, to be reduced by amounts collected by the Bureau and credited to this appropriation that shall be derived from fees that the Bureau shall collect to offset inspection costs, as provided for in this Act, and in addition, \$36,696,000 is for Mining Law Administration program operations, including the cost of administering the mining claim fee program; to remain available until expended, to be reduced by amounts collected by the Bureau and credited to this appropriation from mining claim maintenance fees and location fees that are hereby authorized for fiscal year 2011 so as to result in a final appropriation estimated at not more than \$954,633,000, and \$2,000,000, to remain available until expended, from communication site rental fees established by the Bureau for the cost of administering communication site activities.

CONSTRUCTION

For construction of buildings, recreation facilities, roads, trails, and appurtenant facilities, \$4,066,000, to remain available until expended.

LAND ACQUISITION

For expenses necessary to carry out sections 205, 206, and 318(d) of Public Law 94-579, including administrative expenses and acquisition of lands or waters, or interests therein, \$36,550,000, to be derived from the Land

and Water Conservation Fund and to remain available until expended.

OREGON AND CALIFORNIA GRANT LANDS

For expenses necessary for management, protection, and development of resources and for construction, operation, and maintenance of access roads, reforestation, and other improvements on the revested Oregon and California Railroad grant lands, on other Federal lands in the Oregon and California land-grant counties of Oregon, and on adjacent rights-of-way; and acquisition of lands or interests therein, including existing connecting roads on or adjacent to such grant lands; \$111,759,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That 25 percent of the aggregate of all receipts during the current fiscal year from the revested Oregon and California Railroad grant lands is hereby made a charge against the Oregon and California land-grant fund and shall be transferred to the General Fund in the Treasury in accordance with the second paragraph of subsection (b) of title II of the Act of August 28, 1937 (50 Stat. 876).

RANGE IMPROVEMENTS

For rehabilitation, protection, and acquisition of lands and interests therein, and improvement of Federal rangelands pursuant to section 401 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701), notwithstanding any other Act, sums equal to 50 percent of all moneys received during the prior fiscal year under sections 3 and 15 of the Taylor Grazing Act (43 U.S.C. 315 et seq.) and the amount designated for range improvements from grazing fees and mineral leasing receipts from Bankhead-Jones lands transferred to the Department of the Interior pursuant to law, but not less than \$10,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$600,000 shall be available for administrative expenses.

SERVICE CHARGES, DEPOSITS, AND FORFEITURES

For administrative expenses and other costs related to processing application documents and other authorizations for use and disposal of public lands and resources, for costs of providing copies of official public land documents, for monitoring construction, operation, and termination of facilities in conjunction with use authorizations, and for rehabilitation of damaged property, such amounts as may be collected under Public Law 94-579, as amended, and Public Law 93-153, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That, notwithstanding any provision to the contrary of section 305(a) of Public Law 94-579 (43 U.S.C. 1735(a)), any moneys that have been or will be received pursuant to that section, whether as a result of forfeiture, compromise, or settlement, if not appropriate for refund pursuant to section 305(c) of that Act (43 U.S.C. 1735(c)), shall be available and may be expended under the authority of this Act by the Secretary to improve, protect, or rehabilitate any public lands administered through the Bureau of Land Management which have been damaged by the action of a resource developer, purchaser, permittee, or any unauthorized person, without regard to whether all moneys collected from each such action are used on the exact lands damaged which led to the action: *Provided further*, That any such moneys that are in excess of amounts needed to repair damage to the exact land for which funds were collected may be used to repair other damaged public lands.

MISCELLANEOUS TRUST FUNDS

In addition to amounts authorized to be expended under existing laws, there is hereby

appropriated such amounts as may be contributed under section 307 of the Act of October 21, 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701), and such amounts as may be advanced for administrative costs, surveys, appraisals, and costs of making conveyances of omitted lands under section 211(b) of that Act, to remain available until expended.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The Bureau of Land Management may carry out the operations funded under this Act by direct expenditure, contracts, grants, cooperative agreements and reimbursable agreements with public and private entities, including with States. For October 1, 2010 and hereafter, in carrying out work involving cooperation with any State or political subdivision thereof, the Bureau may record obligations against accounts receivable from any such entities. Appropriations for the Bureau shall be available for purchase, erection, and dismantlement of temporary structures, and alteration and maintenance of necessary buildings and appurtenant facilities to which the United States has title; up to \$100,000 for payments, at the discretion of the Secretary, for information or evidence concerning violations of laws administered by the Bureau; miscellaneous and emergency expenses of enforcement activities authorized or approved by the Secretary and to be accounted for solely on the Secretary's certificate, not to exceed \$10,000: *Provided*, That notwithstanding 44 U.S.C. 501, the Bureau may, under cooperative cost-sharing and partnership arrangements authorized by law, procure printing services from cooperators in connection with jointly produced publications for which the cooperators share the cost of printing either in cash or in services, and the Bureau determines the cooperator is capable of meeting accepted quality standards: *Provided further*, That projects to be funded pursuant to a written commitment by a State government to provide an identified amount of money in support of the project may be carried out by the Bureau on a reimbursable basis. Appropriations herein made shall not be available for the destruction of healthy, unadopted, wild horses and burros in the care of the Bureau or its contractors or for the sale of wild horses and burros that results in their destruction for processing into commercial products: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of the Interior may enter into multiyear cooperative agreements with nonprofit organizations and other appropriate entities, and may enter into multiyear contracts in accordance with the provisions of section 304B of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 254c) (except that the 5 year term restriction in subsection (d) shall not apply), for the long-term care and maintenance of excess wild horses and burros by such organizations or entities on private land. Such cooperative agreements and contracts may not exceed 10 years, subject to renewal at the discretion of the Secretary.

UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

For necessary expenses of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, as authorized by law, and for scientific and economic studies, general administration, and for the performance of other authorized functions related to such resources, \$1,296,770,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012 except as otherwise provided herein: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$21,945,000 shall be used for implementing subsections (a), (b), (c), and (e) of section 4 of the Endangered Species Act, as amended, (except for processing

petitions, developing and issuing proposed and final regulations, and taking any other steps to implement actions described in subsection (c)(2)(A), (c)(2)(B)(i), or (c)(2)(B)(ii)), of which not to exceed \$10,548,000 shall be used for any activity regarding the designation of critical habitat, pursuant to subsection (a)(3), excluding litigation support, for species listed pursuant to subsection (a)(1) prior to October 1, 2010; of which not to exceed \$1,500,000 shall be used for implementing subsections (a), (b), (c), and (e) of section 4 of the Endangered Species Act, as amended, for species that are not indigenous to the United States: *Provided further*, That, in fiscal year 2011 and hereafter of the amount available for law enforcement, up to \$400,000, to remain available until expended, may at the discretion of the Secretary be used for payment for information, rewards, or evidence concerning violations of laws administered by the Service, and miscellaneous and emergency expenses of enforcement activity, authorized or approved by the Secretary and to be accounted for solely on the Secretary's certificate.

CONSTRUCTION

For construction, improvement, acquisition, or removal of buildings and other facilities required in the conservation, management, investigation, protection, and utilization of fishery and wildlife resources, and the acquisition of lands and interests therein; \$35,676,000, to remain available until expended.

LAND ACQUISITION

For expenses necessary to carry out the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 4601-4 through 11), including administrative expenses, and for acquisition of land or waters, or interest therein, in accordance with statutory authority applicable to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, \$101,925,000, to be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund and to remain available until expended, of which, notwithstanding 16 U.S.C. 4601-9, not more than \$5,000,000 shall be for land conservation partnerships authorized by the Highlands Conservation Act of 2004, including not to exceed \$160,000 for administrative expenses: *Provided*, That none of the funds appropriated for specific land acquisition projects may be used to pay for any administrative overhead, planning or other management costs.

COOPERATIVE ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSERVATION FUND

For expenses necessary to carry out section 6 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), as amended, \$85,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$35,000,000 is to be derived from the Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund, of which \$4,987,000 shall be for the Idaho Salmon and Clearwater River Basins Habitat Account pursuant to the Snake River Water Rights Act of 2004; and of which \$50,000,000 is to be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund.

NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE FUND

For expenses necessary to implement the Act of October 17, 1978 (16 U.S.C. 715s), \$14,500,000.

NORTH AMERICAN WETLANDS CONSERVATION FUND

For expenses necessary to carry out the provisions of the North American Wetlands Conservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 4401-4414), \$45,000,000, to remain available until expended.

NEOTROPICAL MIGRATORY BIRD CONSERVATION

For expenses necessary to carry out the Neotropical Migratory Bird Conservation Act, as amended, (16 U.S.C. 6101 et seq.), \$5,000,000, to remain available until expended.

MULTINATIONAL SPECIES CONSERVATION FUND

For expenses necessary to carry out the African Elephant Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 4201–4203, 4211–4214, 4221–4225, 4241–4246, and 1538), the Asian Elephant Conservation Act of 1997 (16 U.S.C. 4261–4266), the Rhinoceros and Tiger Conservation Act of 1994 (16 U.S.C. 5301–5306), the Great Ape Conservation Act of 2000 (16 U.S.C. 6301–6305), and the Marine Turtle Conservation Act of 2004 (16 U.S.C. 6601–6606), \$12,000,000, to remain available until expended.

STATE AND TRIBAL WILDLIFE GRANTS

For wildlife conservation grants to States and to the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the United States Virgin Islands, the Northern Mariana Islands, American Samoa, and Indian tribes under the provisions of the Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 and the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, for the development and implementation of programs for the benefit of wildlife and their habitat, including species that are not hunted or fished, \$90,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That of the amount provided herein, \$7,000,000 is for a competitive grant program for Indian tribes not subject to the remaining provisions of this appropriation: *Provided further*, That \$5,000,000 is for a competitive grant program for States, territories, and other jurisdictions with approved plans, not subject to the remaining provisions of this appropriation: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall, after deducting \$12,000,000 and administrative expenses, apportion the amount provided herein in the following manner: (1) to the District of Columbia and to the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, each a sum equal to not more than one-half of 1 percent thereof; and (2) to Guam, American Samoa, the United States Virgin Islands, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, each a sum equal to not more than one-fourth of 1 percent thereof: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall apportion the remaining amount in the following manner: (1) one-third of which is based on the ratio to which the land area of such State bears to the total land area of all such States; and (2) two-thirds of which is based on the ratio to which the population of such State bears to the total population of all such States: *Provided further*, That the amounts apportioned under this paragraph shall be adjusted equitably so that no State shall be apportioned a sum which is less than 1 percent of the amount available for apportionment under this paragraph for any fiscal year or more than 5 percent of such amount: *Provided further*, That the Federal share of planning grants shall not exceed 75 percent of the total costs of such projects and the Federal share of implementation grants shall not exceed 50 percent of the total costs of such projects: *Provided further*, That the non-Federal share of such projects may not be derived from Federal grant programs: *Provided further*, That any amount apportioned in 2011 to any State, territory, or other jurisdiction that remains unobligated as of September 30, 2012, shall be reapportioned, together with funds appropriated in 2013, in the manner provided herein.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The Fish and Wildlife Service may carry out the operations of Service programs by di-

rect expenditure, contracts, grants, cooperative agreements and reimbursable agreements with public and private entities. Appropriations and funds available to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service shall be available for repair of damage to public roads within and adjacent to reservation areas caused by operations of the Service; options for the purchase of land at not to exceed \$1 for each option; facilities incident to such public recreational uses on conservation areas as are consistent with their primary purpose; and the maintenance and improvement of aquaria, buildings, and other facilities under the jurisdiction of the Service and to which the United States has title, and which are used pursuant to law in connection with management, and investigation of fish and wildlife resources: *Provided*, That notwithstanding 44 U.S.C. 501, the Service may, under cooperative cost sharing and partnership arrangements authorized by law, procure printing services from cooperators in connection with jointly produced publications for which the cooperators share at least one-half the cost of printing either in cash or services and the Service determines the cooperator is capable of meeting accepted quality standards: *Provided further*, That the Service may accept donated aircraft as replacements for existing aircraft.

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

OPERATION OF THE NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM

For expenses necessary for the management, operation, and maintenance of areas and facilities administered by the National Park Service (including expenses to carry out programs of the United States Park Police), and for the general administration of the National Park Service, \$2,298,577,000, of which \$9,943,000 for planning and interagency coordination in support of Everglades restoration and \$98,092,000 for maintenance, repair or rehabilitation projects for constructed assets, operation of the National Park Service automated facility management software system, and comprehensive facility condition assessments shall remain available until September 30, 2012.

NATIONAL RECREATION AND PRESERVATION

For expenses necessary to carry out recreation programs, natural programs, cultural programs, heritage partnership programs, environmental compliance and review, international park affairs, statutory or contractual aid for other activities, and grant administration, not otherwise provided for, \$67,958,000, of which \$3,000,000 under section 7301(b) of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–11) shall be available for competitive grants for programs and projects related to the sesquicentennial of the American Civil War.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND

For expenses necessary in carrying out the Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. 470), and the Omnibus Parks and Public Lands Management Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–333), \$78,000,000, to be derived from the Historic Preservation Fund and to remain available until September 30, 2012; of which \$20,000,000 shall be for Save America's Treasures grants as authorized by section 7303 of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–11).

CONSTRUCTION

For construction, improvements, repair or replacement of physical facilities, including modifications authorized by section 104 of the Everglades National Park Protection and Expansion Act of 1989, \$197,105,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That for

fiscal year 2011, funds provided in this account shall be available, not to exceed \$4,000,000, for further payments consistent with an agreement signed by the Secretary of the Interior that supersedes the agreement of July 30, 1943 (relating to the construction of the North Shore Road from the eastern boundary of Great Smoky Mountains National Park), and such payments shall be considered construction, improvements, repair or replacement of physical facilities for purposes of this account: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, a single procurement for phase 1 of the National Mall improvement project number 151515, may be issued that includes the full scope of this phase of the project, so long as the solicitation and contract shall contain the clause “availability of appropriated funds” found in CFR section 52.232.18 of title 48: *Provided further*, That the National Park Service may acquire through donation, land near Great Smoky Mountains National Park and adjacent to the Great Smoky Mountains Heritage Museum, in Townsend, Tennessee for the purpose of constructing a curatorial storage facility for the park.

LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND
(RESCISSION)

The contract authority provided for fiscal year 2011 by 16 U.S.C. 4601–10a is rescinded.

LAND ACQUISITION AND STATE ASSISTANCE

For expenses necessary to carry out the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 4601–4 through 11), including administrative expenses, and for acquisition of lands or waters, or interest therein, in accordance with the statutory authority applicable to the National Park Service, \$143,423,000, to be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund and to remain available until expended, of which \$50,000,000 is for the State assistance program and of which \$6,000,000 shall be for the American Battlefield Protection Program grants as authorized by section 7301 of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–11): *Provided*, That notwithstanding sections 6(b)(3) and 6(c) of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965, as amended, payments to any State through a competitive demonstration grants program shall not be counted towards an individual State's total allocation subject to the 10 per centum annual limitation and shall not cover more than 70 per centum of the total cost of the demonstration grant project.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

In addition to other uses set forth in section 407(d) of Public Law 105–391, franchise fees credited to a sub-account shall be available for expenditure by the Secretary, without further appropriation, for use at any unit within the National Park System to extinguish or reduce liability for Possessory Interest or leasehold surrender interest. Such funds may only be used for this purpose to the extent that the benefitting unit anticipated franchise fee receipts over the term of the contract at that unit exceed the amount of funds used to extinguish or reduce liability. Franchise fees at the benefitting unit shall be credited to the sub-account of the originating unit over a period not to exceed the term of a single contract at the benefitting unit, in the amount of funds so expended to extinguish or reduce liability.

For the costs of administration of the Land and Water Conservation Fund grants authorized by section 105(a)(2)(B) of the Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act of 2006 (Public Law 109–432), the National Park Service

may retain up to 3 percent of the amounts which are authorized to be disbursed under such section, such retained amounts to remain available until expended.

National Park Service funds may be transferred to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Department of Transportation, for purposes authorized under 23 U.S.C. 204. Transfers may include a reasonable amount for FHWA administrative support costs.

UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

SURVEYS, INVESTIGATIONS, AND RESEARCH

For expenses necessary for the United States Geological Survey to perform surveys, investigations, and research covering topography, geology, hydrology, biology, and the mineral and water resources of the United States, its territories and possessions, and other areas as authorized by 43 U.S.C. 31, 1332, and 1340; classify lands as to their mineral and water resources; give engineering supervision to power permittees and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission licensees; administer the minerals exploration program (30 U.S.C. 641); conduct inquiries into the economic conditions affecting mining and materials processing industries (30 U.S.C. 3, 21a, and 1603; 50 U.S.C. 98g(1)) and related purposes as authorized by law; and to publish and disseminate data relative to the foregoing activities; \$1,154,179,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, of which \$65,598,000 shall be available only for cooperation with States or municipalities for water resources investigations; of which \$53,500,000 shall remain available until expended for satellite operations; of which \$4,807,000 shall be available until expended for deferred maintenance and capital improvement projects that exceed \$100,000 in cost; and of which \$2,000,000 shall be available to fund the operating expenses for the Civil Applications Committee: *Provided*, That none of the funds provided for the biological research activity shall be used to conduct new surveys on private property, unless specifically authorized in writing by the property owner: *Provided further*, That no part of this appropriation shall be used to pay more than one-half the cost of topographic mapping or water resources data collection and investigations carried on in cooperation with States and municipalities.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

From within the amount appropriated for activities of the United States Geological Survey such sums as are necessary shall be available for reimbursement to the General Services Administration for security guard services; contracting for the furnishing of topographic maps and for the making of geophysical or other specialized surveys when it is administratively determined that such procedures are in the public interest; construction and maintenance of necessary buildings and appurtenant facilities; acquisition of lands for gauging stations and observation wells; expenses of the United States National Committee on Geology; and payment of compensation and expenses of persons on the rolls of the Survey duly appointed to represent the United States in the negotiation and administration of interstate compacts: *Provided*, That activities funded by appropriations herein made may be accomplished through the use of contracts, grants, or cooperative agreements as defined in 31 U.S.C. 6302 et seq.: *Provided further*, That the United States Geological Survey may enter into contracts or cooperative agreements directly with individuals or indirectly with institutions or nonprofit organizations, without regard to 41 U.S.C. 5, for the

temporary or intermittent services of students or recent graduates, who shall be considered employees for the purpose of chapters 57 and 81 of title 5, United States Code, relating to compensation for travel and work injuries, and chapter 171 of title 28, United States Code, relating to tort claims, but shall not be considered to be Federal employees for any other purposes.

BUREAU OF OCEAN ENERGY MANAGEMENT, REGULATION, AND ENFORCEMENT

(FORMERLY THE MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE)

ROYALTY AND OFFSHORE MINERALS MANAGEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For expenses necessary for minerals leasing and environmental studies, regulation of industry operations, and collection of royalties, as authorized by law; for enforcing laws and regulations applicable to oil, gas, and other minerals leases, permits, licenses and operating contracts; for energy-related or other authorized marine-related purposes on the Outer Continental Shelf; and for matching grants or cooperative agreements, \$221,113,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, of which \$113,174,000 shall be available for royalty management activities; and an amount not to exceed \$154,890,000, to be credited to this appropriation and to remain available until expended, from additions to receipts resulting from increases to rates in effect on August 5, 1993, and from cost recovery fees: *Provided*, That notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, in fiscal year 2011, such amounts as are assessed under 31 U.S.C. 9701 shall be collected and credited to this account and shall be available until expended for necessary expenses: *Provided further*, That to the extent \$154,890,000 in addition to receipts are not realized from the sources of receipts stated above, the amount needed to reach \$154,890,000 shall be credited to this appropriation from receipts resulting from rental rates for Outer Continental Shelf leases in effect before August 5, 1993: *Provided further*, That for fiscal year 2011 and each fiscal year thereafter, the term "qualified Outer Continental Shelf revenues", as defined in section 102(9)(A) of the Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act, division C of Public Law 109-432, shall include only the portion of rental revenues that would have been collected at the rental rates in effect before August 5, 1993: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$3,000 shall be available for reasonable expenses related to promoting volunteer beach and marine cleanup activities: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, \$15,000 under this heading shall be available for refunds of overpayments in connection with certain Indian leases in which the Director of the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement concurred with the claimed refund due, to pay amounts owed to Indian allottees or tribes, or to correct prior unrecoverable erroneous payments.

For an additional amount, \$60,000,000, to remain available until expended, which shall be derived from nonrefundable inspection fees collected in fiscal year 2011, as provided in this Act: *Provided*, That to the extent that such amounts are not realized from such fees, the amount needed to reach \$60,000,000 shall be credited to this appropriation from receipts resulting from rental rates for Outer Continental Shelf leases in effect before August 5, 1993: *Provided further*, That to implement a reorganization of the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement the Secretary may establish

accounts, transfer funds among and between the offices and bureaus affected by the reorganization, and take any other administrative actions necessary in conformance with the Appropriations Committees' reprogramming guidance (as described in House Report 111-316, the explanatory statement accompanying Public Law 111-88).

OIL SPILL RESEARCH

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to carry out title I, section 1016, title IV, sections 4202 and 4303, title VII, and title VIII, section 8201 of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, \$11,768,000, which shall be derived from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That to implement a reorganization of the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement the Secretary may establish accounts, transfer funds among and between the offices and bureaus affected by the reorganization, and take any other administrative actions necessary in conformance with the Appropriations Committees' reprogramming guidance (as described in House Report 111-316, the explanatory statement accompanying Public Law 111-88).

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

Notwithstanding the provisions of section 35(b) of the Mineral Leasing Act, as amended (30 U.S.C. 191(b)), the Secretary shall deduct 2 percent from the amount payable to each State in fiscal year 2011 and deposit the amount deducted to miscellaneous receipts of the Treasury.

OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT

REGULATION AND TECHNOLOGY

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977, Public Law 95-87, as amended, \$127,185,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That appropriations for the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement may provide for the travel and per diem expenses of State and tribal personnel attending Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement sponsored training.

ABANDONED MINE RECLAMATION FUND

For necessary expenses to carry out title IV of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977, Public Law 95-87, as amended, \$34,909,000, to be derived from receipts of the Abandoned Mine Reclamation Fund and to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That pursuant to Public Law 97-365, the Department of the Interior is authorized to use up to 20 percent from the recovery of the delinquent debt owed to the United States Government to pay for contracts to collect these debts: *Provided further*, That funds made available under title IV of Public Law 95-87 may be used for any required non-Federal share of the cost of projects funded by the Federal Government for the purpose of environmental restoration related to treatment or abatement of acid mine drainage from abandoned mines: *Provided further*, That such projects must be consistent with the purposes and priorities of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act: *Provided further*, That amounts provided under this heading may be used for the travel and per diem expenses of State and tribal personnel attending Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement sponsored training.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

With funds available for the Technical Innovation and Professional Services program

in this Act, the Secretary may transfer title for computer hardware, software and other technical equipment to State and tribal regulatory and reclamation programs.

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS AND BUREAU OF INDIAN EDUCATION

OPERATION OF INDIAN PROGRAMS
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For expenses necessary for the operation of Indian programs, as authorized by law, including the Snyder Act of November 2, 1921 (25 U.S.C. 13), the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act of 1975 (25 U.S.C. 450 et seq.), as amended, the Education Amendments of 1978 (25 U.S.C. 2001–2019), and the Tribally Controlled Schools Act of 1988 (25 U.S.C. 2501 et seq.), as amended, \$2,404,029,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012 except as otherwise provided herein; of which not to exceed \$8,500 may be for official reception and representation expenses; of which not to exceed \$74,911,000 shall be for welfare assistance payments: *Provided*, That in cases of designated Federal disasters, the Secretary may exceed such cap, from the amounts provided herein, to provide for disaster relief to Indian communities affected by the disaster; and of which, notwithstanding any other provision of law, including but not limited to the Indian Self-Determination Act of 1975, as amended, not to exceed \$187,526,000 shall be available for payments for contract support costs associated with ongoing contracts, grants, compacts, or annual funding agreements entered into with the Bureau prior to or during fiscal year 2011, as authorized by such Act, except that tribes, and tribal organizations, may use their tribal priority allocations for unmet contract support costs of ongoing contracts, grants, or compacts, or annual funding agreements and for unmet welfare assistance costs; of which not to exceed \$590,111,000 for school operations costs of Bureau-funded schools and other education programs shall become available on July 1, 2011, and shall remain available until September 30, 2012; *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any prohibitions in this Act, the Bureau shall fund the school operations costs of Jones Academy for the 2011–2012 school year in Hartshorne, Oklahoma for grades 1–6 as if Jones Academy were in the Bureau school system as of October 1, 1995 and in determining the academic ISEP formula pursuant to 25 CFR Part 39 for the 2011–2012 school year, Jones Academy shall be funded for academic ISEP based on its average student enrollment for the 2008–2009, 2009–2010, and 2010–2011 school years, and thereafter based on its three-year average enrollment determined pursuant to 25 CFR Part 39; and of which not to exceed \$59,630,000 shall remain available until expended for housing improvement, road maintenance, attorney fees, litigation support, the Indian Self-Determination Fund, land records improvement, and the Navajo-Hopi Settlement Program: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, including but not limited to the Indian Self-Determination Act of 1975, as amended, and 25 U.S.C. 2008, not to exceed \$46,373,000 within and only from such amounts made available for school operations shall be available for administrative cost grants associated with ongoing grants entered into with the Bureau prior to or during fiscal year 2010 for the operation of Bureau-funded schools, and up to \$500,000 within and only from such amounts made available for administrative cost grants shall be available for the transitional costs of initial administrative cost grants to

grantees that assume operation on or after July 1, 2010, of Bureau-funded schools: *Provided further*, That any forestry funds allocated to a tribe which remain unobligated as of September 30, 2012, may be transferred during fiscal year 2013 to an Indian forest land assistance account established for the benefit of the holder of the funds within the holder's trust fund account: *Provided further*, That any such unobligated balances not so transferred shall expire on September 30, 2013: *Provided further*, That in order to enhance the safety of Bureau field employees, the Bureau may use funds to purchase uniforms or other identifying articles of clothing for personnel.

CONSTRUCTION
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For construction, repair, improvement, and maintenance of irrigation and power systems, buildings, utilities, and other facilities, including architectural and engineering services by contract; acquisition of lands, and interests in lands; and preparation of lands for farming, and for construction of the Navajo Indian Irrigation Project pursuant to Public Law 87–483, \$125,723,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That such amounts as may be available for the construction of the Navajo Indian Irrigation Project may be transferred to the Bureau of Reclamation: *Provided further*, That not to exceed 6 percent of contract authority available to the Bureau of Indian Affairs from the Federal Highway Trust Fund may be used to cover the road program management costs of the Bureau: *Provided further*, That any funds provided for the Safety of Dams program pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 13 shall be made available on a nonreimbursable basis: *Provided further*, That for fiscal year 2011, in implementing new construction or facilities improvement and repair project grants in excess of \$100,000 that are provided to grant schools under Public Law 100–297, as amended, the Secretary of the Interior shall use the Administrative and Audit Requirements and Cost Principles for Assistance Programs contained in 43 CFR part 12 as the regulatory requirements: *Provided further*, That such grants shall not be subject to section 12.61 of 43 CFR; the Secretary and the grantee shall negotiate and determine a schedule of payments for the work to be performed: *Provided further*, That in considering grant applications, the Secretary shall consider whether such grantee would be deficient in assuring that the construction projects conform to applicable building standards and codes and Federal, tribal, or State health and safety standards as required by 25 U.S.C. 2005(b), with respect to organizational and financial management capabilities: *Provided further*, That if the Secretary declines a grant application, the Secretary shall follow the requirements contained in 25 U.S.C. 2504(f): *Provided further*, That any disputes between the Secretary and any grantee concerning a grant shall be subject to the disputes provision in 25 U.S.C. 2507(e): *Provided further*, That in order to ensure timely completion of construction projects, the Secretary may assume control of a project and all funds related to the project, if, within 18 months of the date of enactment of this Act, any grantee receiving funds appropriated in this Act or in any prior Act, has not completed the planning and design phase of the project and commenced construction: *Provided further*, That this appropriation may be reimbursed from the Office of the Special Trustee for American Indians appropriation for the appropriate share of construction costs for space

expansion needed in agency offices to meet trust reform implementation.

INDIAN LAND AND WATER CLAIM SETTLEMENTS
AND MISCELLANEOUS PAYMENTS TO INDIANS

For payments and necessary administrative expenses for implementation of Indian land and water claim settlements pursuant to Public Laws 99–264, 100–580, 101–618, 108–447, 109–479, 110–297, and 111–11, and for implementation of other land and water rights settlements, \$46,480,000, to remain available until expended.

INDIAN GUARANTEED LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the cost of guaranteed loans and insured loans, \$8,158,000, of which \$1,572,000 is for administrative expenses, as authorized by the Indian Financing Act of 1974, as amended: *Provided*, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: *Provided further*, That these funds are available to subsidize total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed or insured, not to exceed \$83,740,196.

INDIAN LAND CONSOLIDATION

For consolidation of fractional interests in Indian lands and expenses associated with re-determining and redistributing escheated interests in allotted lands, and for necessary expenses to carry out the Indian Land Consolidation Act of 1983, as amended, by direct expenditure or cooperative agreement, \$1,000,000, to remain available until expended.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The Bureau of Indian Affairs may carry out the operation of Indian programs by direct expenditure, contracts, cooperative agreements, compacts and grants, either directly or in cooperation with States and other organizations.

Notwithstanding 25 U.S.C. 15, the Bureau of Indian Affairs may contract for services in support of the management, operation, and maintenance of the Power Division of the San Carlos Irrigation Project.

Appropriations for the Bureau of Indian Affairs (except the Revolving Fund for Loans Liquidating Account, Indian Loan Guaranty and Insurance Fund Liquidating Account, Indian Guaranteed Loan Financing Account, Indian Direct Loan Financing Account, and the Indian Guaranteed Loan Program account) shall be available for expenses of exhibits.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no funds available to the Bureau of Indian Affairs for central office oversight and Executive Direction and Administrative Services (except executive direction and administrative services funding for Tribal Priority Allocations, regional offices, and facilities operations and maintenance) shall be available for contracts, grants, compacts, or cooperative agreements with the Bureau of Indian Affairs under the provisions of the Indian Self-Determination Act or the Tribal Self-Governance Act of 1994 (Public Law 103–413).

In the event any tribe returns appropriations made available by this Act to the Bureau of Indian Affairs, this action shall not diminish the Federal Government's trust responsibility to that tribe, or the government-to-government relationship between the United States and that tribe, or that tribe's ability to access future appropriations.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no funds available to the Bureau, other than the amounts provided herein for assistance to public schools under 25 U.S.C. 452 et

seq., shall be available to support the operation of any elementary or secondary school in the State of Alaska.

Appropriations made available in this or any other Act for schools funded by the Bureau shall be available only to the schools in the Bureau school system as of September 1, 1996. No funds available to the Bureau shall be used to support expanded grades for any school or dormitory beyond the grade structure in place or approved by the Secretary of the Interior at each school in the Bureau school system as of October 1, 1995. Funds made available under this Act may not be used to establish a charter school at a Bureau-funded school (as that term is defined in section 1146 of the Education Amendments of 1978 (25 U.S.C. 2026)), except that a charter school that is in existence on the date of the enactment of this Act and that has operated at a Bureau-funded school before September 1, 1999, may continue to operate during that period, but only if the charter school pays to the Bureau a pro rata share of funds to reimburse the Bureau for the use of the real and personal property (including buses and vans), the funds of the charter school are kept separate and apart from Bureau funds, and the Bureau does not assume any obligation for charter school programs of the State in which the school is located if the charter school loses such funding. Employees of Bureau-funded schools sharing a campus with a charter school and performing functions related to the charter schools operation and employees of a charter school shall not be treated as Federal employees for purposes of chapter 171 of title 28, United States Code.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, including section 113 of title I of appendix C of Public Law 106-113, if in fiscal year 2003 or 2004 a grantee received indirect and administrative costs pursuant to a distribution formula based on section 5(f) of Public Law 101-301, the Secretary shall continue to distribute indirect and administrative cost funds to such grantee using the section 5(f) distribution formula.

DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses for management of the Department of the Interior, \$121,987,000; of which not to exceed \$15,000 may be for official reception and representation expenses; and of which up to \$1,000,000 shall be available for workers compensation payments and unemployment compensation payments associated with the orderly closure of the United States Bureau of Mines; and of which \$14,136,000 for consolidated appraisal services is to be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund and shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That, for each fiscal year through fiscal year 2012, up to \$400,000 of the payments authorized by the Act of October 20, 1976, as amended (31 U.S.C. 6901-6907) may be retained for administrative expenses of the Payments in Lieu of Taxes Program: *Provided further*, That no payment shall be made pursuant to that Act to otherwise eligible units of local government if the computed amount of the payment is less than \$100: *Provided further*, That to implement a reorganization of the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement the Secretary may establish accounts, transfer funds among and between the offices and bureaus affected by the reorganization, and take any other administrative actions necessary in conformance with the Appropriations Committees' reprogram-

ming guidance (as described in House Report 111-316, the explanatory statement accompanying Public Law 111-88).

INSULAR AFFAIRS

ASSISTANCE TO TERRITORIES

For expenses necessary for assistance to territories under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Interior, \$88,507,000, of which: (1) \$77,808,000 shall remain available until expended for territorial assistance, including general technical assistance, maintenance assistance, disaster assistance, insular management controls, coral reef initiative activities, and brown tree snake control and research; grants to the judiciary in American Samoa for compensation and expenses, as authorized by law (48 U.S.C. 1661(c)); grants to the Government of American Samoa, in addition to current local revenues, for construction and support of governmental functions; grants to the Government of the Virgin Islands as authorized by law; grants to the Government of Guam, as authorized by law; and grants to the Government of the Northern Mariana Islands as authorized by law (Public Law 94-241; 90 Stat. 272); and (2) \$10,699,000 shall be available until September 30, 2012 for salaries and expenses of the Office of Insular Affairs: *Provided*, That all financial transactions of the territorial and local governments herein provided for, including such transactions of all agencies or instrumentalities established or used by such governments, may be audited by the Government Accountability Office, at its discretion, in accordance with chapter 35 of title 31, United States Code: *Provided further*, That Northern Mariana Islands Covenant grant funding shall be provided according to those terms of the Agreement of the Special Representatives on Future United States Financial Assistance for the Northern Mariana Islands approved by Public Law 104-134: *Provided further*, That of the amounts provided for technical assistance, sufficient funds shall be made available for a grant to the Pacific Basin Development Council: *Provided further*, That of the amounts provided for technical assistance, sufficient funding shall be made available for a grant to the Close Up Foundation: *Provided further*, That the funds for the program of operations and maintenance improvement are appropriated to institutionalize routine operations and maintenance improvement of capital infrastructure with territorial participation and cost sharing to be determined by the Secretary based on the grantee's commitment to timely maintenance of its capital assets: *Provided further*, That any appropriation for disaster assistance under this heading in this Act or previous appropriations Acts may be used as non-Federal matching funds for the purpose of hazard mitigation grants provided pursuant to section 404 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5170c).

COMPACT OF FREE ASSOCIATION

For grants and necessary expenses, \$5,318,000, to remain available until expended, as provided for in sections 221(a)(2), 221(b), and 233 of the Compact of Free Association for the Republic of Palau; and section 221(a)(2) of the Compacts of Free Association for the Government of the Republic of the Marshall Islands and the Federated States of Micronesia, as authorized by Public Law 99-658 and Public Law 108-188.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

At the request of the Governor of Guam, the Secretary may transfer discretionary

funds or mandatory funds provided under section 104(e) of Public Law 108-188 and Public Law 104-134, that are allocated for Guam, to the Secretary of Agriculture for the subsidy cost of direct or guaranteed loans, plus not to exceed three percent of the amount of the subsidy transferred for the cost of loan administration, for the purposes authorized by the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 and section 306(a)(1) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act for construction and repair projects in Guam, and such funds shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: *Provided further*, That such loans or loan guarantees may be made without regard to the population of the area, credit elsewhere requirements, and restrictions on the types of eligible entities under the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 and section 306(a)(1) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act: *Provided further*, That any funds transferred to the Secretary of Agriculture shall be in addition to funds otherwise made available to make or guarantee loans under such authorities.

OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Solicitor, \$67,894,000.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General, \$49,560,000.

OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL TRUSTEE FOR AMERICAN INDIANS

FEDERAL TRUST PROGRAMS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the operation of trust programs for Indians by direct expenditure, contracts, cooperative agreements, compacts, and grants, \$168,115,000, to remain available until expended, of which not to exceed \$31,534,000 from this or any other Act, shall be available for historical accounting: *Provided*, That funds for trust management improvements and litigation support may, as needed, be transferred to or merged with the Bureau of Indian Affairs, "Operation of Indian Programs" account; the Office of the Solicitor, "Salaries and Expenses" account; and the Office of the Secretary, "Salaries and Expenses" account: *Provided further*, That funds made available through contracts or grants obligated during fiscal year 2011, as authorized by the Indian Self-Determination Act of 1975 (25 U.S.C. 450 et seq.), shall remain available until expended by the contractor or grantee: *Provided further*, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, the statute of limitations shall not commence to run on any claim, including any claim in litigation pending on the date of the enactment of this Act, concerning losses to or mismanagement of trust funds, until the affected tribe or individual Indian has been furnished with an accounting of such funds from which the beneficiary can determine whether there has been a loss: *Provided further*, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary shall not be required to provide a quarterly statement of performance for any Indian trust account that has not had activity for at least 18 months and has a balance of \$15.00 or less: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall issue an annual account statement and maintain a record of any such accounts and shall permit the balance in each such account to be withdrawn upon the express written request of the account holder: *Provided*

further, That not to exceed \$50,000 is available for the Secretary to make payments to correct administrative errors of either disbursements from or deposits to Individual Indian Money or Tribal accounts after September 30, 2002: *Provided further*, That erroneous payments that are recovered shall be credited to and remain available in this account for this purpose.

DEPARTMENT-WIDE PROGRAMS

WILDLAND FIRE MANAGEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS AND RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses for fire preparedness, suppression operations, fire science and research, emergency rehabilitation, hazardous fuels reduction, and rural fire assistance by the Department of the Interior, \$825,452,000, to remain available until expended, of which not to exceed \$6,137,000 shall be for the renovation or construction of fire facilities: *Provided*, That such funds are also available for repayment of advances to other appropriation accounts from which funds were previously transferred for such purposes: *Provided further*, That persons hired pursuant to 43 U.S.C. 1469 may be furnished subsistence and lodging without cost from funds available from this appropriation: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding 42 U.S.C. 1856d, sums received by a bureau or office of the Department of the Interior for fire protection rendered pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1856 et seq., protection of United States property, may be credited to the appropriation from which funds were expended to provide that protection, and are available without fiscal year limitation: *Provided further*, That using the amounts designated under this title of this Act, the Secretary of the Interior may enter into procurement contracts, grants, or cooperative agreements, for hazardous fuels reduction activities, and for training and monitoring associated with such hazardous fuels reduction activities, on Federal land, or on adjacent non-Federal land for activities that benefit resources on Federal land: *Provided further*, That the costs of implementing any cooperative agreement between the Federal Government and any non-Federal entity may be shared, as mutually agreed on by the affected parties: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding requirements of the Competition in Contracting Act, the Secretary, for purposes of hazardous fuels reduction activities, may obtain maximum practicable competition among: (1) local private, nonprofit, or cooperative entities; (2) Youth Conservation Corps crews, Public Lands Corps (Public Law 109-154), or related partnerships with State, local, or nonprofit youth groups; (3) small or micro-businesses; or (4) other entities that will hire or train locally a significant percentage, defined as 50 percent or more, of the project workforce to complete such contracts: *Provided further*, That in implementing this section, the Secretary shall develop written guidance to field units to ensure accountability and consistent application of the authorities provided herein: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated under this head may be used to reimburse the United States Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service for the costs of carrying out their responsibilities under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) to consult and conference, as required by section 7 of such Act, in connection with wildland fire management activities: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of the Interior may use wildland fire appropriations to enter into

noncompetitive sole-source leases of real property with local governments, at or below fair market value, to construct capitalized improvements for fire facilities on such leased properties, including but not limited to fire guard stations, retardant stations, and other initial attack and fire support facilities, and to make advance payments for any such lease or for construction activity associated with the lease: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture may authorize the transfer of funds appropriated for wildland fire management, in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$50,000,000, between the Departments when such transfers would facilitate and expedite jointly funded wildland fire management programs and projects: *Provided further*, That funds provided for wildfire suppression shall be available for support of Federal emergency response actions: *Provided further*, That \$145,000,000 in unobligated fire suppression balances under this heading in Public Law 111-8 and Public Law 111-88 are hereby permanently rescinded.

FLAME WILDFIRE SUPPRESSION RESERVE FUND

For deposit in the FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund, as authorized in the FLAME Act of 2009 (title V of division A of Public Law 111-88), \$96,000,000, to remain available until expended.

CENTRAL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS FUND

For necessary expenses of the Department of the Interior and any of its component offices and bureaus for the response action, including associated activities, performed pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.), \$10,152,000, to remain available until expended.

NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGE ASSESSMENT AND RESTORATION

NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGE ASSESSMENT FUND

To conduct natural resource damage assessment and restoration activities by the Department of the Interior necessary to carry out the provisions of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.), the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.), the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.), and Public Law 101-337, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1911 et seq.), \$6,434,000, to remain available until expended.

WORKING CAPITAL FUND

For the acquisition of a departmental financial and business management system and information technology improvements of general benefit to the Department, \$81,619,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That hereafter none of the funds in this Act or any other Act may be used to establish reserves in the Working Capital Fund account other than for accrued annual leave and depreciation of equipment without prior approval of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That for fiscal years 2011 through 2013 the Secretary may assess reasonable charges to State, local and tribal government employees for training services provided by the National Indian Program Training Center, other than training related to Public Law 93-638: *Provided further*, That the Secretary may lease or otherwise provide space and related facilities, equipment or professional services of the National Indian Program Training Center to State, local and tribal government employees or persons or organizations engaged in cultural, edu-

cational, or recreational activities (as defined in 40 U.S.C. 3306(a)) at the prevailing rate for similar space, facilities, equipment, or services in the vicinity of the National Indian Program Training Center: *Provided further*, That for fiscal years 2011 through 2013 all funds received pursuant to the two preceding provisos shall be credited to this account, shall be available until expended, and shall be used by the Secretary for necessary expenses of the National Indian Program Training Center: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available under this heading, \$2,500,000 shall be used to increase acquisition workforce and capabilities and to support the implementation of Department-wide strategic sourcing vehicles for improved effectiveness and efficiency.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

There is hereby authorized for acquisition from available resources within the Working Capital Fund, 15 aircraft, 10 of which shall be for replacement and which may be obtained by donation, purchase or through available excess surplus property: *Provided*, That existing aircraft being replaced may be sold, with proceeds derived or trade-in value used to offset the purchase price for the replacement aircraft.

GENERAL PROVISIONS, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

EMERGENCY TRANSFER AUTHORITY—INTRA-BUREAU

SEC. 101. Appropriations made in this title shall be available for expenditure or transfer (within each bureau or office), with the approval of the Secretary, for the emergency reconstruction, replacement, or repair of aircraft, buildings, utilities, or other facilities or equipment damaged or destroyed by fire, flood, storm, or other unavoidable causes: *Provided*, That no funds shall be made available under this authority until funds specifically made available to the Department of the Interior for emergencies shall have been exhausted: *Provided further*, That all funds used pursuant to this section must be replenished by a supplemental appropriation which must be requested as promptly as possible.

EMERGENCY TRANSFER AUTHORITY—DEPARTMENT-WIDE

SEC. 102. The Secretary may authorize the expenditure or transfer of any no year appropriation in this title, in addition to the amounts included in the budget programs of the several agencies, for the suppression or emergency prevention of wildland fires on or threatening lands under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Interior; for the emergency rehabilitation of burned-over lands under its jurisdiction; for emergency actions related to potential or actual earthquakes, floods, volcanoes, storms, or other unavoidable causes; for contingency planning subsequent to actual oil spills; for response and natural resource damage assessment activities related to actual oil spills; for the prevention, suppression, and control of actual or potential grasshopper and Mormon cricket outbreaks on lands under the jurisdiction of the Secretary, pursuant to the authority in section 1773(b) of Public Law 99-198 (99 Stat. 1658); for emergency reclamation projects under section 410 of Public Law 95-87; and shall transfer, from any no year funds available to the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, such funds as may be necessary to permit assumption of regulatory authority in the event a primacy State is not carrying out the regulatory provisions of the Surface Mining Act: *Provided*,

That appropriations made in this title for wildland fire operations shall be available for the payment of obligations incurred during the preceding fiscal year, and for reimbursement to other Federal agencies for destruction of vehicles, aircraft, or other equipment in connection with their use for wildland fire operations, such reimbursement to be credited to appropriations currently available at the time of receipt thereof: *Provided further*, That for wildland fire operations, no funds shall be made available under this authority until the Secretary determines that funds appropriated for "wildland fire operations" and "FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund" shall be exhausted within 30 days: *Provided further*, That all funds used pursuant to this section must be replenished by a supplemental appropriation which must be requested as promptly as possible: *Provided further*, That such replenishment funds shall be used to reimburse, on a pro rata basis, accounts from which emergency funds were transferred.

AUTHORIZED USE OF FUNDS

SEC. 103. Appropriations made to the Department of the Interior in this title shall be available for services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, when authorized by the Secretary, in total amount not to exceed \$500,000; purchase and replacement of motor vehicles, including specially equipped law enforcement vehicles; hire, maintenance, and operation of aircraft; hire of passenger motor vehicles; purchase of reprints; payment for telephone service in private residences in the field, when authorized under regulations approved by the Secretary; and the payment of dues, when authorized by the Secretary, for library membership in societies or associations which issue publications to members only or at a price to members lower than to subscribers who are not members.

AUTHORIZED USE OF FUNDS, INDIAN TRUST MANAGEMENT

SEC. 104. Appropriations made in this Act under the headings Bureau of Indian Affairs and Office of the Special Trustee for American Indians and any unobligated balances from prior appropriations Acts made under the same headings shall be available for expenditure or transfer for Indian trust management and reform activities. Total funding for historical accounting activities shall not exceed amounts specifically designated in this Act for such purpose.

REDISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS, BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

SEC. 105. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of the Interior is authorized to redistribute any Tribal Priority Allocation funds, including tribal base funds, to alleviate tribal funding inequities by transferring funds to address identified, unmet needs, dual enrollment, overlapping service areas or inaccurate distribution methodologies. No tribe shall receive a reduction in Tribal Priority Allocation funds of more than 10 percent in fiscal year 2011. Under circumstances of dual enrollment, overlapping service areas or inaccurate distribution methodologies, the 10 percent limitation does not apply.

TWIN CITIES RESEARCH CENTER

SEC. 106. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in conveying the Twin Cities Research Center under the authority provided by Public Law 104-134, as amended by Public Law 104-208, the Secretary may accept and retain land and other forms of reimbursement: *Provided*, That the Secretary may re-

tain and use any such reimbursement until expended and without further appropriation: (1) for the benefit of the National Wildlife Refuge System within the State of Minnesota; and (2) for all activities authorized by 16 U.S.C. 460zz.

PAYMENT OF FEES

SEC. 107. The Secretary of the Interior may use discretionary funds to pay private attorney fees and costs for employees and former employees of the Department of the Interior reasonably incurred in connection with *Cobell v. Salazar* to the extent that such fees and costs are not paid by the Department of Justice or by private insurance. In no case shall the Secretary make payments under this section that would result in payment of hourly fees in excess of the highest hourly rate approved by the District Court for the District of Columbia for counsel in *Cobell v. Salazar*.

MASS MARKING OF SALMONIDS

SEC. 108. The United States Fish and Wildlife Service shall, in carrying out its responsibilities to protect threatened and endangered species of salmon, implement a system of mass marking of salmonid stocks, intended for harvest, that are released from federally operated or federally financed hatcheries including but not limited to fish releases of coho, chinook, and steelhead species. Marked fish must have a visible mark that can be readily identified by commercial and recreational fishers.

ELLIS, GOVERNORS, AND LIBERTY ISLANDS

SEC. 109. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of the Interior is authorized to acquire lands, waters, or interests therein including the use of all or part of any pier, dock, or landing within the State of New York and the State of New Jersey, for the purpose of operating and maintaining facilities in the support of transportation and accommodation of visitors to Ellis, Governors, and Liberty Islands, and of other program and administrative activities, by donation or with appropriated funds, including franchise fees (and other monetary consideration), or by exchange; and the Secretary is authorized to negotiate and enter into leases, subleases, concession contracts or other agreements for the use of such facilities on such terms and conditions as the Secretary may determine reasonable.

PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS, MOJAVE NATIONAL PRESERVE

SEC. 110. (a) Any proposed new use of the Arizona & California Railroad Company's Right of Way for conveyance of water shall not proceed unless the Secretary of the Interior certifies that the proposed new use is within the scope of the Right of Way.

(b) No funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of the Interior may be used, in relation to any proposal to store water underground for the purpose of export, for approval of any right-of-way or similar authorization on the Mojave National Preserve or lands managed by the Needles Field Office of the Bureau of Land Management, or for carrying out any activities associated with such right-of-way or similar approval.

ICE AGE NATIONAL SCENIC TRAIL

SEC. 111. Funds provided in this Act for Federal land acquisition by the National Park Service for Ice Age National Scenic Trail may be used for a grant to a State, a local government, or any other land management entity for the acquisition of lands without regard to any restriction on the use of Federal land acquisition funds provided

through the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 as amended.

OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF INSPECTION FEES

SEC. 112. (a) In fiscal year 2011, the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement (BOEMRE) shall collect a nonrefundable inspection fee, which shall be deposited in the "Royalty and Offshore Minerals Management" account, from the designated operator for facilities subject to inspection by BOEMRE under 43 U.S.C. 1348(c) that are above the waterline, except mobile offshore drilling units, and are in place at the start of fiscal year 2011.

(b) Fees for 2011 shall be:

(1) \$12,000 for facilities with no wells, but with processing equipment or gathering lines;

(2) \$19,500 for facilities with one to ten wells, with any combination of active or inactive wells; and

(3) \$36,000 for facilities with more than ten wells, with any combination of active or inactive wells.

(c) BOEMRE will bill designated operators within 60 days of enactment of this Act, with payment required within 30 days of billing.

PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS, POINT REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE

SEC. 113. None of the funds in this Act may be used to further reduce the number of Axis or Fallow deer at Point Reyes National Seashore below the number as of the date of enactment of this Act.

PEARL HARBOR NAVAL COMPLEX, JOINT TICKETING, AMENDMENT

SEC. 114. Section 121(b)(1) of Public Law 111-88 is amended by inserting the word "hereafter" between the words "may" and "enter".

ONSHORE OIL AND GAS INSPECTION FEES

SEC. 115. (a) In fiscal year 2011, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) shall collect a non-refundable inspection fee, which shall be deposited in the "Management of Lands and Resources" account, from the designated operator of each Federal and Indian lease or agreement subject to inspection by BLM under 30 U.S.C. 1718(b) that is in place at the start of fiscal year 2011.

(b) Fees for 2011 shall be:

(1) \$300 for each lease or agreement with no active or inactive wells, but with surface use, disturbance or reclamation;

(2) \$600 for each lease or agreement with one to ten wells, with any combination of active or inactive wells;

(3) \$1,500 for each lease or agreement with 11 to 50 wells, with any combination of active or inactive wells; and

(4) \$3,000 for each lease or agreement with more than 50 wells, with any combination of active or inactive wells.

(c) BLM will bill designated operators within 60 days of enactment of this Act, with payment required within 30 days of billing.

OIL AND GAS LEASING INTERNET PROGRAM

SEC. 116. Notwithstanding section 17(b)(1)(A) of the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 226(b)(1)(A)), the Secretary of the Interior shall have the authority to establish an oil and gas leasing Internet program, under which the Secretary may conduct lease sales through methods other than oral bidding.

INDIAN PROBATE JUDGES

SEC. 117. Section 108 of Public Law 109-54 (the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2006) is amended by striking "for fiscal

years 2006 through 2010, for the purpose of reducing the backlog of” and inserting “for fiscal year 2006 and each fiscal year thereafter, for the purpose of adjudicating”.

AUTHORIZED USE OF INDIAN EDUCATION FUNDS

SEC. 118. Beginning July 1, 2008, any funds (including investments and interest earned, except for construction funds) held by a Public Law 100-297 grant or a Public Law 93-638 contract school shall, upon retrocession to or re-assumption by the Bureau of Indian Education, remain available to BIE for a period of 5 years from the date of retrocession or re-assumption for the benefit of the programs approved for the school on October 1, 1995.

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS OPERATED SCHOOLS

SEC. 119. (a)(1) Notwithstanding section 586(c) of title 40, United States Code, the Director of the BIE, or the Director's designee, is authorized to enter into agreements with public and private persons and entities that provide for such persons and entities to rent or lease the land or facilities of a Bureau-operated school for such periods of time as the school is Bureau operated, in exchange for a consideration (in the form of funds) that benefits the school, as determined by the head of the school.

(2) Funds received under paragraph (1) shall be retained by the school and used for school purposes otherwise authorized by law. Any funds received under paragraph (1) are hereby made available until expended for such purposes, notwithstanding section 3302 of title 31, United States Code.

(3) Nothing in this section shall be construed to allow for the diminishment of, or otherwise affect, the appropriation of funds to the budget accounts for the operation and maintenance of Bureau-operated schools. No funds shall be withheld from the distribution to the budget of any Bureau-operated school due to the receipt by the school of a benefit in accordance with this section.

(b) The Secretary of the Interior shall promulgate regulations to carry out this section not later than 16 months after the date of the enactment of this Act. Such regulations shall include—

(1) provisions for the establishment and administration of mechanisms for the acceptance of consideration for the use and benefit of a school in accordance with this section (including, in appropriate cases, the establishment and administration of trust funds);

(2) accountability standards to ensure ethical conduct; and

(3) provisions for monitoring the amount and terms of consideration received, the manner in which the consideration is used, and any results achieved by such use.

(c) Provisions of this section shall apply to fiscal years 2011 through 2013.

TERMINATION OF HYDROPOWER RESERVATIONS

SEC. 120. In the Bureau of Land Management patent numbered 04-83-0065 (CA 6313) and dated May 13, 1983, the reservation under section 24 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 818) that is encumbering approximately 103.26 acres of private land owned by Donald L. Smith within sections 25, 26, 35, and 36, T. 4 S., R. 24 E., Mount Diablo Meridian, Madera County, California, is terminated; and to the extent that any reservation of use for hydropower could be deemed to have been omitted under section 24 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 818) from the patent numbered CA 6312 and dated September 25, 1987 to the approximately 41.323 acres of private land owned by Lindsay Smith, Peggy L. Birchim, Donald L. Smith, and Keith Smith and more particularly de-

scribed as embracing a portion of Secs. 25 and 36, Unsurveyed T. 4 S., R. 24 E., Mount Diablo Meridian, Jackass Mining District, Madera County, California, such reservation is terminated.

OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF LEASING REVIEW PERIOD

SEC. 121. Section 11 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1340) is amended in subsection (c)(1) in the fourth sentence by deleting “within 30 days of its submission” and inserting in lieu thereof “within 90 days of its submission”.

PROTECTION OF PUBLIC LANDS, MOJAVE DESERT

SEC. 122. No funds in this Act shall be used to process or grant a right of way, lease, or other property interest for the purpose of commercial energy production on public lands managed by the Bureau of Land Management previously acquired at least in part through donations for conservation purposes, within the boundaries of the area described as “potential conservation lands” and depicted on the map entitled “Mojave Desert Area” dated November 8, 2010 and on file at the Bureau of Land Management Director's office.

DISTRIBUTION OF GEOTHERMAL RECEIPTS

SEC. 123. Section 3003(a) of Public Law 111-212 (124 Stat. 2338) is amended by striking “fiscal year 2010 only” and inserting “fiscal year 2010 and 2011”.

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, LAND RECONFIGURATION

SEC. 124. Patent No. 27-2005-0081 and its associated land reconfiguration issued by the Bureau of Land Management on February 18, 2005, is hereby affirmed and validated as having been issued pursuant to and in compliance with the provisions of the Nevada-Florida Land Exchange Authorization Act of 1988 (Public Law 100-275), the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and the Federal Land Policy Management Act of 1976 for the benefit of the desert tortoise and other species and their habitat to increase the likelihood of their recovery. The process utilized by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service and the Bureau of Land Management in reconfiguring the lands as shown on Exhibit 1-4 of the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Planned Development Project MSHCP, Lincoln County, NV (FWS-R8-ES-2008-N0136) and the reconfiguration provided for in Special Condition 10 of Army Corps of Engineers Permit No. 000005042 are hereby ratified.

NATIVE HAWAIIAN RECOGNITION STUDY AUTHORIZATION

SEC. 125. The Secretary of the Interior shall, with funds appropriated for fiscal year 2011, and in coordination with the State of Hawaii and those offices designated under the Hawaii State Constitution as representative of the Native Hawaiian community, including the Office of Hawaiian Affairs and the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands, and the Attorney General of the United States, examine and make recommendations to Congress no later than September 30, 2011, on developing a mechanism for the reorganization of a Native Hawaiian governing entity and recognition by the United States of the Native Hawaiian governing entity as an Indian tribe within the meaning of Articles I and II of the Constitution.

TITLE II

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

For science and technology, including research and development activities, which

shall include research and development activities under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended; necessary expenses for personnel and related costs and travel expenses; procurement of laboratory equipment and supplies; and other operating expenses in support of research and development, \$852,197,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS AND MANAGEMENT

For environmental programs and management, including necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, for personnel and related costs and travel expenses; hire of passenger motor vehicles; hire, maintenance, and operation of aircraft; purchase of reprints; library memberships in societies or associations which issue publications to members only or at a price to members lower than to subscribers who are not members; administrative costs of the brownfields program under the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act of 2002; and not to exceed \$9,000 for official reception and representation expenses, \$2,926,881,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That of the funds included under this heading, not less than \$454,350,000 shall be for the Geographic Programs specified in the explanatory statement accompanying this Act.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$45,646,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

For construction, repair, improvement, extension, alteration, and purchase of fixed equipment or facilities of, or for use by, the Environmental Protection Agency, \$38,001,000, to remain available until expended.

HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SUPERFUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to carry out the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, including sections 111(c)(3), (c)(5), (c)(6), and (e)(4) (42 U.S.C. 9611) \$1,293,060,000, to remain available until expended, consisting of such sums as are available in the Trust Fund on September 30, 2010, as authorized by section 517(a) of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA) and up to \$1,293,060,000 as a payment from general revenues to the Hazardous Substance Superfund for purposes as authorized by section 517(b) of SARA, as amended: *Provided*, That funds appropriated under this heading may be allocated to other Federal agencies in accordance with section 111(a) of CERCLA: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, \$10,156,000 shall be paid to the “Office of Inspector General” appropriation to remain available until September 30, 2012, and \$24,527,000 shall be paid to the “Science and Technology” appropriation to remain available until September 30, 2012.

LEAKING UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK TRUST FUND PROGRAM

For necessary expenses to carry out leaking underground storage tank cleanup activities authorized by subtitle I of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, \$113,219,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$78,789,000 shall be for carrying out leaking underground storage tank cleanup activities authorized by section 9003(h) of the Solid

Waste Disposal Act, as amended; \$34,430,000 shall be for carrying out the other provisions of the Solid Waste Disposal Act specified in section 9508(c) of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended: *Provided*, That the Administrator is authorized to use appropriations made available under this heading to implement section 9013 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act to provide financial assistance to federally recognized Indian tribes for the development and implementation of programs to manage underground storage tanks.

OIL SPILL RESPONSE

For expenses necessary to carry out the Environmental Protection Agency's responsibilities under the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, \$18,468,000, to be derived from the Oil Spill Liability trust fund, to remain available until expended.

STATE AND TRIBAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

For environmental programs and infrastructure assistance, including capitalization grants for State revolving funds and performance partnership grants, \$4,768,929,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$1,898,000,000 shall be for making capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Funds under title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (the "Act"); of which \$1,206,000,000 shall be for making capitalization grants for the Drinking Water State Revolving Funds under section 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended: *Provided*, That for fiscal year 2011, to the extent there are sufficient eligible project applications, not less than 20 percent of the funds made available under this title to each State for Clean Water State Revolving Fund capitalization grants and not less than 20 percent of the funds made available under this title to each State for Drinking Water State Revolving Fund capitalization grants shall be used by the State for projects to address green infrastructure, water or energy efficiency improvements, or other environmentally innovative activities; \$17,000,000 shall be for architectural, engineering, planning, design, construction and related activities in connection with the construction of high priority water and wastewater facilities in the area of the United States-Mexico Border, after consultation with the appropriate border commission; \$13,000,000 shall be for grants to the State of Alaska to address drinking water and wastewater infrastructure needs of rural and Alaska Native Villages: *Provided further*, That, of these funds: (1) the State of Alaska shall provide a match of 25 percent; (2) no more than 5 percent of the funds may be used for administrative and overhead expenses; and (3) the State of Alaska shall make awards consistent with the State-wide priority list established in conjunction with the Agency and the U.S. Department of Agriculture for all water, sewer, waste disposal, and similar projects carried out by the State of Alaska that are funded under section 221 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1301) or the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (7 U.S.C. 1921 et seq.) which shall allocate not less than 25 percent of the funds provided for projects in regional hub communities; \$145,056,000 shall be for making special project grants and technical corrections to prior-year grants for the construction of drinking water, wastewater and storm water infrastructure and for water quality protection in accordance with the terms and conditions specified for such grants in the explanatory statement accompanying this Act, and, for purposes of these grants, each grant-

ee shall contribute not less than 45 percent of the cost of the project unless the grantee is approved for a waiver by the Agency; \$128,254,000 shall be to carry out section 104(k) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, including grants, interagency agreements, and associated program support costs; \$60,000,000 shall be for grants under title VII, subtitle G of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, as amended; \$15,000,000 shall be for emission reduction grants in accordance with the terms and conditions of the explanatory statement accompanying this Act; and \$1,286,619,000 shall be for grants, including associated program support costs, to States, federally recognized tribes, interstate agencies, tribal consortia, and air pollution control agencies for multimedia or single media pollution prevention, control and abatement and related activities, including activities pursuant to the provisions set forth under this heading in Public Law 104-134, and for making grants under section 103 of the Clean Air Act for particulate matter monitoring and data collection activities subject to terms and conditions specified by the Administrator, of which \$49,495,000 shall be for carrying out section 128 of CERCLA, as amended, \$10,200,000 shall be for Environmental Information Exchange Network grants, including associated program support costs, \$10,000,000 shall be for competitive grants to communities to develop plans and demonstrate and implement projects which reduce greenhouse gas emissions, \$30,000,000 shall be for grants to federally recognized Indian tribes for implementation of environmental programs and projects as defined by the Administrator that complement existing tribal environmental program grants, including interagency agreements, \$23,500,000 of the funds available for grants under section 106 of the Act shall be for State participation in national- and State-level statistical surveys of water resources and enhancements to State monitoring programs, and, in addition to funds appropriated under the heading "Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund Program" to carry out the provisions of the Solid Waste Disposal Act specified in section 9508(c) of the Internal Revenue Code other than section 9003(h) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, \$2,550,000 shall be for grants to States under section 2007(f)(2) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding section 603(d)(7) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, the limitation on the amounts in a State water pollution control revolving fund that may be used by a State to administer the fund shall not apply to amounts included as principal in loans made by such fund in fiscal year 2011 and prior years where such amounts represent costs of administering the fund to the extent that such amounts are or were deemed reasonable by the Administrator, accounted for separately from other assets in the fund, and used for eligible purposes of the fund, including administration: *Provided further*, That for fiscal year 2011, and notwithstanding section 518(f) of the Act, the Administrator is authorized to use the amounts appropriated for any fiscal year under section 319 of that Act to make grants to federally recognized Indian tribes pursuant to sections 319(h) and 518(e) of that Act: *Provided further*, That for fiscal year 2011, notwithstanding the limitation on amounts in section 518(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act and section 1452(i) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, up to a total of 2 percent of the funds appropriated

for State Revolving Funds under such Acts may be reserved by the Administrator for grants under section 518(c) and section 1452(i) of such Acts: *Provided further*, That for fiscal year 2011, notwithstanding the amounts specified in section 205(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, up to 1.5 percent of the aggregate funds appropriated for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund program under the Act less any sums reserved under section 518(c) of the Act may be reserved by the Administrator for grants made under title II of the Clean Water Act for American Samoa, Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and United States Virgin Islands: *Provided further*, That for fiscal year 2011, notwithstanding the limitations on amounts specified in section 1452(j) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, up to 1.5 percent of the funds appropriated for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund programs under the Safe Drinking Water Act may be reserved by the Administrator for grants made under section 1452(j) of the Safe Drinking Water Act: *Provided further*, That not less than 30 percent of the funds made available under this title to each State for Clean Water State Revolving Fund capitalization grants and not less than 30 percent of the funds made available under this title to each State for Drinking Water State Revolving Fund capitalization grants shall be used by the State to provide additional subsidy to eligible recipients in the form of forgiveness of principal, negative interest loans, or grants (or any combination of these), and shall be so used by the State only where such funds are provided as initial financing for an eligible recipient to buy, refinance, or restructure the debt obligations of eligible recipients only where such debt was incurred on or after the date of enactment of this Act, except that for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund capitalization grant appropriation this section shall only apply to the portion that exceeds \$1,000,000,000: *Provided further*, That no funds provided by this appropriations Act to address the water, wastewater and other critical infrastructure needs of the colonias in the United States along the United States-Mexico border shall be made available to a county or municipal government unless that government has established an enforceable local ordinance, or other zoning rule, which prevents in that jurisdiction the development or construction of any additional colonia areas, or the development within an existing colonia the construction of any new home, business, or other structure which lacks water, wastewater, or other necessary infrastructure: *Provided further*, That for fiscal year 2011 and hereafter, the Administrator may transfer funds provided for tribal set-asides through Clean Water State Revolving Funds and Drinking Water State Revolving Funds accounts between those accounts in the same manner as provided to States under section 302(a) of Public Law 104-182, as amended.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

(INCLUDING TRANSFER AND RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

For fiscal year 2011, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 6303(1) and 6305(1), the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, in carrying out the Agency's function to implement directly Federal environmental programs required or authorized by law in the absence of an acceptable tribal program, may award cooperative agreements to federally recognized Indian tribes or Intertribal consortia, if authorized by their member Tribes, to assist the Administrator in implementing Federal environmental programs

for Indian tribes required or authorized by law, except that no such cooperative agreements may be awarded from funds designated for State financial assistance agreements.

The Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency is authorized to collect and obligate pesticide registration service fees in accordance with section 33 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, as amended by Public Law 110-94, the Pesticide Registration Improvement Renewal Act.

The Administrator is authorized to transfer up to \$300,000,000 of the funds appropriated for the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative under the heading "Environmental Programs and Management" to the head of any Federal department or agency, with the concurrence of such head, to carry out activities that would support the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative and Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement programs, projects, or activities; to enter into an inter-agency agreement with the head of such Federal department or agency to carry out these activities; and to make grants to governmental entities, nonprofit organizations, institutions, and individuals for planning, research, monitoring, outreach, and implementation in furtherance of the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative and the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement.

From unobligated balances to carry out projects and activities funded through the "State and Tribal Assistance Grants" account, \$10,000,000 are permanently rescinded: *Provided*, That no amounts may be rescinded from amounts that were designated by Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget or the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended.

For fiscal year 2011, the requirements of section 513 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1372) shall apply to the construction of treatment works carried out in whole or in part with assistance made available by a State water pollution control revolving fund as authorized by title VI of that Act (33 U.S.C. 1381 et seq.), or with assistance made available under section 205(m) of that Act (33 U.S.C. 1285(m)), or both.

For fiscal year 2011, the requirements of section 1450(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-9(e)) shall apply to any construction project carried out in whole or in part with assistance made available by a drinking water treatment revolving loan fund as authorized by section 1452 of that Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12).

Under terms established by the Administrator, and in addition to funds otherwise available in other appropriations accounts for grant programs, the Agency may expend up to \$2,448,000 appropriated in the "Environmental Programs and Management" account for competitive grants to communities to implement Community Action for a Renewed Environment projects.

TITLE III RELATED AGENCIES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOREST SERVICE FOREST AND RANGELAND RESEARCH

For necessary expenses of forest and rangeland research as authorized by law, \$314,254,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That of the funds provided, \$66,939,000 is for the forest inventory and analysis program.

STATE AND PRIVATE FORESTRY

For necessary expenses of cooperating with and providing technical and financial assist-

ance to States, territories, possessions, and others, and for forest health management, including treatments of pests, pathogens, and invasive or noxious plants and for restoring and rehabilitating forests damaged by pests or invasive plants, cooperative forestry, and education and land conservation activities and conducting an international program as authorized, \$323,142,000, to remain available until expended, as authorized by law; of which \$87,285,000 is to be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund; and of which \$2,000,000 may be made available to the Pest and Disease Revolving Loan Fund established by section 10205(b) of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (16 U.S.C. 2104a(b)).

NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Forest Service, not otherwise provided for, for management, protection, improvement, and utilization of the National Forest System, \$1,618,743,000, to remain available until expended, which shall include 50 percent of all moneys received during prior fiscal years as fees collected under the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965, as amended, in accordance with section 4 of the Act (16 U.S.C. 4601-6a(i)): *Provided*, That, of the funds provided, \$40,000,000 shall be deposited in the Collaborative Forest Landscape Restoration Fund for ecological restoration treatments as authorized by 16 U.S.C. 7303(f).

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Forest Service, not otherwise provided for, \$544,547,000, to remain available until expended, for construction, capital improvement, maintenance and acquisition of buildings and other facilities and infrastructure; and for construction, capital improvement, decommissioning, and maintenance of forest roads and trails by the Forest Service as authorized by 16 U.S.C. 532-538 and 23 U.S.C. 101 and 205: *Provided*, That \$90,000,000 shall be designated for the Legacy Road and Trail Remediation Program as described under Administrative Provisions, Forest Service: *Provided further*, That no funds shall be expended to decommission any system road until notice and an opportunity for public comment has been provided on each decommissioning project: *Provided further*, That the decommissioning of unauthorized roads not part of the official transportation system shall be expedited in response to threats to public safety, water quality, or natural resources: *Provided further*, That funds becoming available in fiscal year 2011 under the Act of March 4, 1913 (16 U.S.C. 501) shall be transferred to the General Fund of the Treasury and shall not be available for transfer or obligation for any other purpose unless the funds are appropriated.

LAND ACQUISITION

For expenses necessary to carry out the provisions of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 4601-4 through 11), including administrative expenses, and for acquisition of land or waters, or interest therein, in accordance with statutory authority applicable to the Forest Service, \$73,489,000, to be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund and to remain available until expended.

ACQUISITION OF LANDS FOR NATIONAL FORESTS SPECIAL ACTS

For acquisition of lands within the exterior boundaries of the Cache, Uinta, and Wasatch National Forests, Utah; the Toiyabe

National Forest, Nevada; and the Angeles, San Bernardino, Sequoia, and Cleveland National Forests, California, as authorized by law, \$1,050,000, to be derived from forest receipts.

ACQUISITION OF LANDS TO COMPLETE LAND EXCHANGES

For acquisition of lands, such sums, to be derived from funds deposited by State, county, or municipal governments, public school districts, or other public school authorities, and for authorized expenditures from funds deposited by non-Federal parties pursuant to Land Sale and Exchange Acts, pursuant to the Act of December 4, 1967, as amended (16 U.S.C. 484a), to remain available until expended (16 U.S.C. 4601-516-617a, 555a; Public Law 96-586; Public Law 76-589, 76-591; and Public Law 78-310).

RANGE BETTERMENT FUND

For necessary expenses of range rehabilitation, protection, and improvement, 50 percent of all moneys received during the prior fiscal year, as fees for grazing domestic livestock on lands in National Forests in the 16 Western States, pursuant to section 401(b)(1) of Public Law 94-579, as amended, to remain available until expended, of which not to exceed 6 percent shall be available for administrative expenses associated with on-the-ground range rehabilitation, protection, and improvements.

GIFTS, DONATIONS AND BEQUESTS FOR FOREST AND RANGELAND RESEARCH

For expenses authorized by 16 U.S.C. 1643(b), \$50,000, to remain available until expended, to be derived from the fund established pursuant to the above Act.

MANAGEMENT OF NATIONAL FOREST LANDS FOR SUSTISTENCE USES

For necessary expenses of the Forest Service to manage Federal lands in Alaska for subsistence uses under title VIII of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (Public Law 96-487), \$2,606,000, to remain available until expended.

WILDLAND FIRE MANAGEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS AND RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses for forest fire suppression activities on National Forest System lands, for emergency fire suppression on or adjacent to such lands or other lands under fire protection agreement, hazardous fuels reduction on or adjacent to such lands, and for emergency rehabilitation of burned-over National Forest System lands and water, \$2,127,922,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That such funds including unobligated balances under this heading, are available for repayment of advances from other appropriations accounts previously transferred for such purposes: *Provided further*, That such funds shall be available to reimburse State and other cooperating entities for services provided in response to wildfire and other emergencies or disasters to the extent such reimbursements by the Forest Service for non-fire emergencies are fully repaid by the responsible emergency management agency: *Provided further*, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, \$9,009,000 of funds appropriated under this appropriation shall be available for the Forest Service in support of fire science research authorized by the Joint Fire Science Program, including all Forest Service authorities for the use of funds, such as contracts, grants, research joint venture agreements, and cooperative agreements: *Provided further*, That all authorities for the use of funds, including the use of contracts,

grants, and cooperative agreements, available to execute the Forest and Rangeland Research appropriation, are also available in the utilization of these funds for Fire Science Research: *Provided further*, That funds provided shall be available for emergency rehabilitation and restoration, hazardous fuels reduction activities in the urban-wildland interface, support to Federal emergency response, and wildfire suppression activities of the Forest Service: *Provided further*, That of the funds provided, \$369,447,000 is for hazardous fuels reduction activities, \$11,000,000 is for rehabilitation and restoration, \$24,060,000 is for research activities and to make competitive research grants pursuant to the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Research Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1641 et seq.), \$70,000,000 is for State fire assistance, \$9,000,000 is for volunteer fire assistance, \$20,752,000 is for forest health activities on Federal lands and \$11,428,000 is for forest health activities on State and private lands: *Provided further*, That amounts in this paragraph may be transferred to the "State and Private Forestry", "National Forest System", and "Forest and Rangeland Research" accounts to fund State fire assistance, volunteer fire assistance, forest health management, forest and rangeland research, the Joint Fire Science Program, vegetation and watershed management, heritage site rehabilitation, and wildlife and fish habitat management and restoration: *Provided further*, That up to \$10,000,000 of the funds provided under this heading for hazardous fuels treatments may be transferred to and made a part of the "National Forest System" account to facilitate integrated projects 30 days after notifying the House and the Senate Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That the costs of implementing any cooperative agreement between the Federal Government and any non-Federal entity may be shared, as mutually agreed on by the affected parties: *Provided further*, That up to \$15,000,000 of the funds provided herein may be used by the Secretary of Agriculture to enter into procurement contracts or cooperative agreements or to issue grants for hazardous fuels reduction and for training or monitoring associated with such hazardous fuels reduction activities on Federal land or on non-Federal land if the Secretary determines such activities implement a community wildfire protection plan (or equivalent) and benefit resources on Federal land: *Provided further*, That funds made available to implement the Community Forest Restoration Act, Public Law 106-393, title VI, shall be available for use on non-Federal lands in accordance with authorities made available to the Forest Service under the "State and Private Forestry" appropriation: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture may authorize the transfer of funds appropriated for wildland fire management, in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$50,000,000, between the Departments when such transfers would facilitate and expedite jointly funded wildland fire management programs and projects: *Provided further*, That of the funds provided for hazardous fuels reduction, not to exceed \$5,000,000 may be used to make grants, using any authorities available to the Forest Service under the "State and Private Forestry" appropriation, for the purpose of creating incentives for increased use of biomass from National Forest System lands; not to exceed \$5,000,000 may be transferred to the "State and Private Forestry" account as authorized under Public Law 110-246, section 9013, to

fund the Community Wood Energy Program; and not to exceed \$5,000,000 may be transferred to the "Forest and Rangeland Research" account as authorized under Public Law 110-246, section 9012, to fund the Forest Biomass for Energy Program: *Provided further*, That funds designated for wildfire suppression, including funds transferred from the FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund, shall be assessed for cost pools on the same basis as such assessments are calculated against other agency programs: *Provided further*, That \$155,000,000 in unobligated fire suppression balances under this heading from Public Law 111-88 are hereby permanently rescinded.

FLAME WILDFIRE SUPPRESSION RESERVE FUND
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For deposit in the FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund, as authorized in the FLAME Act of 2009 (title V of division A of Public Law 111-88), \$291,000,000, to remain available until expended.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS, FOREST SERVICE
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Appropriations to the Forest Service for the current fiscal year shall be available for: (1) purchase of passenger motor vehicles; acquisition of passenger motor vehicles from excess sources, and hire of such vehicles; purchase, lease, operation, maintenance, and acquisition of aircraft from excess sources to maintain the operable fleet for use in Forest Service wildland fire programs and other Forest Service programs; notwithstanding other provisions of law, existing aircraft being replaced may be sold, with proceeds derived or trade-in value used to offset the purchase price for the replacement aircraft; (2) services pursuant to 7 U.S.C. 2225, and not to exceed \$100,000 for employment under 5 U.S.C. 3109; (3) purchase, erection, and alteration of buildings and other public improvements (7 U.S.C. 2250); (4) acquisition of land, waters, and interests therein pursuant to 7 U.S.C. 428a; (5) for expenses pursuant to the Volunteers in the National Forest Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 558a, 558d, and 558a note); (6) the cost of uniforms as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901-5902; and (7) for debt collection contracts in accordance with 31 U.S.C. 3718(c).

Any appropriations or funds available to the Forest Service may be transferred to the "Wildland Fire Management" appropriation for forest firefighting, emergency rehabilitation of burned-over or damaged lands or waters under its jurisdiction, and fire preparedness due to severe burning conditions five days after the Secretary notifies the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations that all fire suppression funds appropriated under the headings "Wildland Fire Management" and "FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund" shall be fully obligated within 30 days: *Provided*, That all funds used pursuant to this paragraph must be replenished by a supplemental appropriation which must be requested as promptly as possible.

Funds appropriated to the Forest Service shall be available for assistance to or through the Agency for International Development in connection with forest and rangeland research, technical information, and assistance in foreign countries, and shall be available to support forestry and related natural resource activities outside the United States and its territories and possessions, including technical assistance, education and training, and cooperation with United States and international organizations. The Forest Service, acting for the International Program, may sign direct funding agreements

with foreign governments and institutions as well as other domestic agencies (including the U.S. Agency for International Development, the Department of State, and the Millennium Challenge Corporation), U.S. private sector firms, institutions and organizations to provide technical assistance and training programs overseas on forestry and rangeland management.

None of the funds made available to the Forest Service in this Act or any other Act with respect to any fiscal year shall be subject to transfer under the provisions of section 702(b) of the Department of Agriculture Organic Act of 1944 (7 U.S.C. 2257), section 442 of Public Law 106-224 (7 U.S.C. 7772), or section 10417(b) of Public Law 107-107 (7 U.S.C. 8316(b)).

None of the funds available to the Forest Service may be reprogrammed without the advance approval of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations in accordance with the reprogramming procedures contained in the explanatory statement accompanying this Act.

Not more than \$1,057,000,000 of funds made available to the Forest Service shall be assessed for cost pools 1 through 5.

Not more than \$75,310,000 of funds available to the Forest Service shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund of the Department of Agriculture and not more than \$16,726,000 of funds available to the Forest Service shall be transferred to the Department of Agriculture for Department Reimbursable Programs, commonly referred to as Greenbook charges. Nothing in this paragraph shall prohibit or limit the use of reimbursable agreements requested by the Forest Service in order to obtain services from the Department of Agriculture's National Information Technology Center.

Funds available to the Forest Service shall be available for priority projects within the scope of the approved budget, which shall be carried out by the Youth Conservation Corps and shall be carried out under the authority of the Public Lands Corps Act of 1993, Public Law 103-82, as amended by the Public Lands Corps Healthy Forests Restoration Act of 2005, Public Law 109-154.

Of the funds available to the Forest Service, \$4,000 is available to the Chief of the Forest Service for official reception and representation expenses.

Pursuant to sections 405(b) and 410(b) of Public Law 101-593, of the funds available to the Forest Service, \$3,000,000 may be advanced in a lump sum to the National Forest Foundation to aid conservation partnership projects in support of the Forest Service mission, without regard to when the Foundation incurs expenses, for projects on or benefiting National Forest System lands or related to Forest Service programs: *Provided*, That of the Federal funds made available to the Foundation, no more than \$50,000 shall be available for administrative expenses: *Provided further*, That the Foundation shall obtain, by the end of the period of Federal financial assistance, private contributions to match, on at least a one-for-one basis, funds made available by the Forest Service: *Provided further*, That the Foundation may transfer Federal funds to a Federal or a non-Federal recipient for a project at the same rate that the recipient has obtained the non-Federal matching funds: *Provided further*, That authorized investments of Federal funds held by the Foundation may be made only in interest-bearing obligations of the United States or in obligations guaranteed as to both principal and interest by the United States.

Pursuant to section 2(b)(2) of Public Law 98-244, \$3,000,000 of the funds available to the Forest Service shall be advanced to the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation in a lump sum to aid cost-share conservation projects, without regard to when expenses are incurred, on or benefitting National Forest System lands or related to Forest Service programs: *Provided*, That such funds shall be matched on at least a one-for-one basis by the Foundation or its sub-recipients: *Provided further*, That the Foundation may transfer Federal funds to a Federal or non-Federal recipient for a project at the same rate that the recipient has obtained the non-Federal matching funds.

Funds appropriated to the Forest Service shall be available for interactions with and providing technical assistance to rural communities and natural resource-based businesses for sustainable rural development purposes.

During fiscal year 2011 and subsequent fiscal years, the Secretary of Agriculture, acting through the Forest Service, may carry out a program, to be known as the "Legacy Road and Trail Remediation program", to conduct urgently needed decommissioning of Forest Service roads, forest road and trail repair and maintenance and associated activities, and removal of fish passage barriers on National Forest System lands, especially in areas where Forest Service roads may be contributing to water quality problems in streams and water bodies supporting threatened, endangered or sensitive species or community water sources.

In such amounts as may be provided in appropriation Acts, the Secretary of Agriculture, acting through the Forest Service, may provide for the decommissioning of Forest Service roads, including unauthorized roads not part of the Forest Service transportation system, which the Secretary determines are no longer needed.

Funds appropriated to the Forest Service shall be available for payments to counties within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, pursuant to section 14(c)(1) and (2), and section 16(a)(2) of Public Law 99-663.

Any funds appropriated to the Forest Service may be used to meet the non-Federal share requirement in section 502(c) of the Older American Act of 1965 (42 U.S.C. 3056(c)(2)).

Funds available to the Forest Service, not to exceed \$55,000,000, shall be assessed for the purpose of performing fire, administrative and other facilities maintenance and decommissioning. Such assessments shall occur using a square foot rate charged on the same basis the agency uses to assess programs for payment of rent, utilities, and other support services.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any appropriations or funds available to the Forest Service not to exceed \$500,000 may be used to reimburse the Office of the General Counsel (OGC), Department of Agriculture, for travel and related expenses incurred as a result of OGC assistance or participation requested by the Forest Service at meetings, training sessions, management reviews, land purchase negotiations and similar nonlitigation-related matters. Future budget justifications for both the Forest Service and the Department of Agriculture should clearly display the sums previously transferred and the requested funding transfers.

An eligible individual who is employed in any project funded under title V of the Older American Act of 1965 (42 U.S.C. 3056 et seq.) and administered by the Forest Service shall

be considered to be a Federal employee for purposes of chapter 171 of title 28, United States Code.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE

INDIAN HEALTH SERVICES

For expenses necessary to carry out the Act of August 5, 1954 (68 Stat. 674), the Indian Self-Determination Act, the Indian Health Care Improvement Act, and titles II and III of the Public Health Service Act with respect to the Indian Health Service, \$3,961,187,000, together with payments received during the fiscal year pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 238(b) and 238b for services furnished by the Indian Health Service: *Provided*, That funds made available to tribes and tribal organizations through contracts, grant agreements, or any other agreements or compacts authorized by the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act of 1975 (25 U.S.C. 450), shall be deemed to be obligated at the time of the grant or contract award and thereafter shall remain available to the tribe or tribal organization without fiscal year limitation: *Provided further*, That \$862,765,000 for contract medical care, including \$53,000,000 for the Indian Catastrophic Health Emergency Fund, shall remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That of the funding provided for information technology activities and, notwithstanding any other provision of law, \$4,000,000 shall be allocated at the discretion of the Director of the Indian Health Service: *Provided further*, That of the funds provided, up to \$36,000,000 shall remain available until expended for implementation of the loan repayment program under section 108 of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act: *Provided further*, That the amounts collected by the Federal Government as authorized by sections 104 and 108 of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (25 U.S.C. 1613a and 1616a) during the preceding fiscal year for breach of contracts shall be deposited to the Fund authorized by section 108A of the Act (25 U.S.C. 1616a-1) and shall remain available until expended and, notwithstanding section 108A(c) of the Act (25 U.S.C. 1616a-1(c)), funds shall be available to make new awards under the loan repayment and scholarship programs under sections 104 and 108 of the Act (25 U.S.C. 1613a and 1616a): *Provided further*, That \$16,391,000 is provided for the methamphetamine and suicide prevention and treatment initiative and \$10,000,000 is provided for the domestic violence prevention initiative and, notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amounts available under this proviso shall be allocated at the discretion of the Director of the Indian Health Service and shall remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That \$4,000,000 is provided for a substance abuse treatment grant program and, notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amounts available under this proviso shall be allocated at the discretion of the Director of the Indian Health Service and shall remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided further*, That funds provided in this Act may be used for annual contracts and grants that fall within 2 fiscal years, provided the total obligation is recorded in the year the funds are appropriated: *Provided further*, That the amounts collected by the Secretary of Health and Human Services under the authority of title IV of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act shall remain available until expended for the purpose of achieving compliance with the applicable conditions and requirements of titles XVIII

and XIX of the Social Security Act, except for those related to the planning, design, or construction of new facilities: *Provided further*, That funding contained herein for scholarship programs under the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (25 U.S.C. 1613) shall remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That amounts received by tribes and tribal organizations under title IV of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act shall be reported and accounted for and available to the receiving tribes and tribal organizations until expended: *Provided further*, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, of the amounts provided herein, not to exceed \$444,332,000 shall be for payments to tribes and tribal organizations for contract or grant support costs associated with contracts, grants, self-governance compacts, or annual funding agreements between the Indian Health Service and a tribe or tribal organization pursuant to the Indian Self-Determination Act of 1975, as amended, prior to or during fiscal year 2011, of which not to exceed \$10,000,000 may be used for contract support costs associated with new or expanded self-determination contracts, grants, self-governance compacts, or annual funding agreements: *Provided further*, That the Bureau of Indian Affairs may collect from the Indian Health Service, tribes and tribal organizations operating health facilities pursuant to Public Law 93-638, such individually identifiable health information relating to disabled children as may be necessary for the purpose of carrying out its functions under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. 1400, et seq.): *Provided further*, That the Indian Health Care Improvement Fund may be used, as needed, to carry out activities typically funded under the Indian Health Facilities account.

INDIAN HEALTH FACILITIES

For construction, repair, maintenance, improvement, and equipment of health and related auxiliary facilities, including quarters for personnel; preparation of plans, specifications, and drawings; acquisition of sites, purchase and erection of modular buildings, and purchases of trailers; and for provision of domestic and community sanitation facilities for Indians, as authorized by section 7 of the Act of August 5, 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2004a), the Indian Self-Determination Act, and the Indian Health Care Improvement Act, and for expenses necessary to carry out such Acts and titles II and III of the Public Health Service Act with respect to environmental health and facilities support activities of the Indian Health Service, \$445,242,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds appropriated for the planning, design, construction, renovation or expansion of health facilities for the benefit of an Indian tribe or tribes may be used to purchase land on which such facilities will be located: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$500,000 shall be used by the Indian Health Service to purchase TRANSAM equipment from the Department of Defense for distribution to the Indian Health Service and tribal facilities: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated to the Indian Health Service may be used for sanitation facilities construction for new homes funded with grants by the housing programs of the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$2,700,000 from this account and the "Indian Health Services" account shall be used by the Indian Health Service to obtain ambulances for the Indian Health Service and

tribal facilities in conjunction with an existing interagency agreement between the Indian Health Service and the General Services Administration: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$500,000 shall be placed in a Demolition Fund, to remain available until expended, and be used by the Indian Health Service for the demolition of Federal buildings.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS, INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE

Appropriations provided in this Act to the Indian Health Service shall be available for services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109 at rates not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the maximum rate payable for senior-level positions under 5 U.S.C. 5376; hire of passenger motor vehicles and aircraft; purchase of medical equipment; purchase of reprints; purchase, renovation and erection of modular buildings and renovation of existing facilities; payments for telephone service in private residences in the field, when authorized under regulations approved by the Secretary; uniforms or allowances therefor as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901–5902; and for expenses of attendance at meetings that relate to the functions or activities of the Indian Health Service.

In accordance with the provisions of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act, non-Indian patients may be extended health care at all tribally administered or Indian Health Service facilities, subject to charges, and the proceeds along with funds recovered under the Federal Medical Care Recovery Act (42 U.S.C. 2651–2653) shall be credited to the account of the facility providing the service and shall be available without fiscal year limitation. Notwithstanding any other law or regulation, funds transferred from the Department of Housing and Urban Development to the Indian Health Service shall be administered under Public Law 86–121, the Indian Sanitation Facilities Act and Public Law 93–638, as amended.

Funds appropriated to the Indian Health Service in this Act, except those used for administrative and program direction purposes, shall not be subject to limitations directed at curtailing Federal travel and transportation.

None of the funds made available to the Indian Health Service in this Act shall be used for any assessments or charges by the Department of Health and Human Services unless identified in the budget justification and provided in this Act, or approved by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations through the reprogramming process.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds previously or herein made available to a tribe or tribal organization through a contract, grant, or agreement authorized by title I or title V of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act of 1975 (25 U.S.C. 450), may be deobligated and reobligated to a self-determination contract under title I, or a self-governance agreement under title V of such Act and thereafter shall remain available to the tribe or tribal organization without fiscal year limitation.

None of the funds made available to the Indian Health Service in this Act shall be used to implement the final rule published in the Federal Register on September 16, 1987, by the Department of Health and Human Services, relating to the eligibility for the health care services of the Indian Health Service until the Indian Health Service has submitted a budget request reflecting the increased costs associated with the proposed final rule, and such request has been included in an appropriations Act and enacted into law.

With respect to functions transferred by the Indian Health Service to tribes or tribal organizations, the Indian Health Service is authorized to provide goods and services to those entities on a reimbursable basis, including payments in advance with subsequent adjustment. The reimbursements received therefrom, along with the funds received from those entities pursuant to the Indian Self-Determination Act, may be credited to the same or subsequent appropriation account from which the funds were originally derived, with such amounts to remain available until expended.

Reimbursements for training, technical assistance, or services provided by the Indian Health Service will contain total costs, including direct, administrative, and overhead associated with the provision of goods, services, or technical assistance.

The appropriation structure for the Indian Health Service may not be altered without advance notification to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SCIENCES

For necessary expenses for the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences in carrying out activities set forth in section 311(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, and section 126(g) of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, \$81,763,000.

AGENCY FOR TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND DISEASE REGISTRY

TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PUBLIC HEALTH

For necessary expenses for the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) in carrying out activities set forth in sections 104(i) and 111(c)(4) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended; section 118(f) of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA), as amended; and section 3019 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, \$76,337,000, of which up to \$1,000 per eligible employee of the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry shall remain available until expended for Individual Learning Accounts: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, in lieu of performing a health assessment under section 104(i)(6) of CERCLA, the Administrator of ATSDR may conduct other appropriate health studies, evaluations, or activities, including, without limitation, biomedical testing, clinical evaluations, medical monitoring, and referral to accredited health care providers: *Provided further*, That in performing any such health assessment or health study, evaluation, or activity, the Administrator of ATSDR shall not be bound by the deadlines in section 104(i)(6)(A) of CERCLA: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be available for ATSDR to issue in excess of 40 toxicological profiles pursuant to section 104(I) of CERCLA during fiscal year 2011, and existing profiles may be updated as necessary.

OTHER RELATED AGENCIES

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For necessary expenses to continue functions assigned to the Council on Environmental Quality and Office of Environmental Quality pursuant to the National Environ-

mental Policy Act of 1969, the Environmental Quality Improvement Act of 1970, and Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1977, and not to exceed \$750 for official reception and representation expenses, \$3,448,000: *Provided*, That notwithstanding section 202 of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1970, the Council shall consist of one member, appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, serving as chairman and exercising all powers, functions, and duties of the Council.

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses in carrying out activities pursuant to section 112(r)(6) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, including hire of passenger vehicles, uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901–5902, and for services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109 but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem equivalent to the maximum rate payable for senior level positions under 5 U.S.C. 5376, \$13,147,000: *Provided*, That the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board (Board) shall have not more than three career Senior Executive Service positions: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, the individual appointed to the position of Inspector General of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) shall, by virtue of such appointment, also hold the position of Inspector General of the Board: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Inspector General of the Board shall utilize personnel of the Office of Inspector General of EPA in performing the duties of the Inspector General of the Board, and shall not appoint any individuals to positions within the Board.

OFFICE OF NAVAJO AND HOPI INDIAN RELOCATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation as authorized by Public Law 93–531, \$8,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That funds provided in this or any other appropriations Act are to be used to relocate eligible individuals and groups including evictees from District 6, Hopi-partitioned lands residents, those in significantly substandard housing, and all others certified as eligible and not included in the preceding categories: *Provided further*, That none of the funds contained in this or any other Act may be used by the Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation to evict any single Navajo or Navajo family who, as of November 30, 1985, was physically domiciled on the lands partitioned to the Hopi Tribe unless a new or replacement home is provided for such household: *Provided further*, That no relocatee will be provided with more than one new or replacement home: *Provided further*, That the Office shall relocate any certified eligible relocatees who have selected and received an approved homesite on the Navajo reservation or selected a replacement residence off the Navajo reservation or on the land acquired pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 640d–10.

INSTITUTE OF AMERICAN INDIAN AND ALASKA NATIVE CULTURE AND ARTS DEVELOPMENT

PAYMENT TO THE INSTITUTE

For payment to the Institute of American Indian and Alaska Native Culture and Arts Development, as authorized by title XV of Public Law 99–498, as amended (20 U.S.C. 56 part A), \$8,750,000.

SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Smithsonian Institution, as authorized by law, including research in the fields of art, science, and history; development, preservation, and documentation of the National Collections; presentation of public exhibits and performances; collection, preparation, dissemination, and exchange of information and publications; conduct of education, training, and museum assistance programs; maintenance, alteration, operation, lease agreements of no more than 30 years, and protection of buildings, facilities, and approaches; not to exceed \$100,000 for services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; and purchase, rental, repair, and cleaning of uniforms for employees, \$660,850,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, except as otherwise provided herein; of which not to exceed \$20,556,000 for the instrumentation program, collections acquisition, exhibition reinstallation, the National Museum of African American History and Culture, and the repatriation of skeletal remains program shall remain available until expended; and including such funds as may be necessary to support American overseas research centers: *Provided*, That funds appropriated herein are available for advance payments to independent contractors performing research services or participating in official Smithsonian presentations.

FACILITIES CAPITAL

For necessary expenses of repair, revitalization, and alteration of facilities owned or occupied by the Smithsonian Institution, by contract or otherwise, as authorized by section 2 of the Act of August 22, 1949 (63 Stat. 623), and for construction, including necessary personnel, \$136,750,000, to remain available until expended, of which not to exceed \$10,000 is for services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109.

NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For the upkeep and operations of the National Gallery of Art, the protection and care of the works of art therein, and administrative expenses incident thereto, as authorized by the Act of March 24, 1937 (50 Stat. 51), as amended by the public resolution of April 13, 1939 (Public Resolution 9, Seventy-sixth Congress), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; payment in advance when authorized by the treasurer of the Gallery for membership in library, museum, and art associations or societies whose publications or services are available to members only, or to members at a price lower than to the general public; purchase, repair, and cleaning of uniforms for guards, and uniforms, or allowances therefor, for other employees as authorized by law (5 U.S.C. 5901–5902); purchase or rental of devices and services for protecting buildings and contents thereof, and maintenance, alteration, improvement, and repair of buildings, approaches, and grounds; and purchase of services for restoration and repair of works of art for the National Gallery of Art by contracts made, without advertising, with individuals, firms, or organizations at such rates or prices and under such terms and conditions as the Gallery may deem proper, \$116,324,000, of which not to exceed \$3,445,000 for the special exhibition program shall remain available until expended.

REPAIR, RESTORATION AND RENOVATION OF
BUILDINGS

For necessary expenses of repair, restoration and renovation of buildings, grounds

and facilities owned or occupied by the National Gallery of Art, by contract or otherwise, as authorized, \$48,221,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That of this amount, \$42,250,000 shall be available for repair of the National Gallery's East Building façade: *Provided further*, That contracts awarded for environmental systems, protection systems, and exterior repair or renovation of buildings of the National Gallery of Art may be negotiated with selected contractors and awarded on the basis of contractor qualifications as well as price.

JOHN F. KENNEDY CENTER FOR THE
PERFORMING ARTS
OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

For necessary expenses for the operation, maintenance and security of the John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts, \$23,500,000: *Provided*, That the proviso under this heading in division A of Public Law 111–88 is amended by striking “until expended” and all that follows and inserting “until September 30, 2011.”.

CAPITAL REPAIR AND RESTORATION

For necessary expenses for capital repair and restoration of the existing features of the building and site of the John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts, \$13,920,000, to remain available until expended.

WOODROW WILSON INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR
SCHOLARS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary in carrying out the provisions of the Woodrow Wilson Memorial Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 1356) including hire of passenger vehicles and services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, \$12,225,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE
HUMANITIES

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS
GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses to carry out the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, \$170,000,000 shall be available to the National Endowment for the Arts for the support of projects and productions in the arts, including arts education and public outreach activities, through assistance to organizations and individuals pursuant to section 5 of the Act, for program support, and for administering the functions of the Act, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That funds appropriated herein shall be expended in accordance with sections 309 and 311 of Public Law 108–447, as amended by Public Law 110–161.

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES
GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses to carry out the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, \$170,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$154,600,000 shall be available for support of activities in the humanities, pursuant to section 7(c) of the Act and for administering the functions of the Act; and \$15,400,000 shall be available to carry out the matching grants program pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Act including \$10,175,000 for the purposes of section 7(h): *Provided*, That appropriations for carrying out section 10(a)(2) shall be available for obligation only in such amounts as may be equal to the total amounts of gifts, bequests, and devises of money, and other property accepted by the chairman or by grantees of the Endowment under the provisions of subsections 11(a)(2)(B) and 11(a)(3)(B) during the current and preceding fiscal years for which equal

amounts have not previously been appropriated.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

None of the funds appropriated to the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities may be used to process any grant or contract documents which do not include the text of 18 U.S.C. 1913: *Provided*, That none of the funds appropriated to the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities may be used for official reception and representation expenses: *Provided further*, That funds from nonappropriated sources may be used as necessary for official reception and representation expenses: *Provided further*, That the Chairperson of the National Endowment for the Arts may approve grants of up to \$10,000, if in the aggregate this amount does not exceed 5 percent of the sums appropriated for grant-making purposes per year: *Provided further*, That such small grant actions are taken pursuant to the terms of an expressed and direct delegation of authority from the National Council on the Arts to the Chairperson.

COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses made necessary by the Act establishing a Commission of Fine Arts (40 U.S.C. 104), \$2,349,000: *Provided*, That the Commission is authorized to charge fees to cover the full costs of its publications, and such fees shall be credited to this account as an offsetting collection, to remain available until expended without further appropriation: *Provided further*, That the Commission is authorized to accept gifts, including objects, papers, artwork, drawings and artifacts, that pertain to the history and design of the Nation's Capital or the history and activities of the Commission of Fine Arts, for the purpose of artistic display, study or education.

NATIONAL CAPITAL ARTS AND CULTURAL
AFFAIRS

For necessary expenses as authorized by Public Law 99–190 (20 U.S.C. 956a), as amended, \$12,000,000.

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC
PRESERVATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (Public Law 89–665, as amended), \$5,908,000.

NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses, as authorized by the National Capital Planning Act of 1952 (40 U.S.C. 71–71i), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, \$9,100,000, of which \$300,000 shall be used for coordination of a regional innovation cluster initiative for the National Capital region: *Provided*, That one-quarter of 1 percent of the funds provided under this heading may be used for official reception and representational expenses associated with hosting international visitors engaged in the planning and physical development of world capitals.

UNITED STATES HOLOCAUST MEMORIAL
MUSEUM

HOLOCAUST MEMORIAL MUSEUM

For expenses of the Holocaust Memorial Museum, as authorized by Public Law 106–292 (36 U.S.C. 2301–2310), \$50,521,000, of which \$515,000 for the Museum's equipment replacement program, \$1,900,000 for the Museum's repair and rehabilitation program, and \$1,243,000 for the Museum's outreach initiatives program shall remain available until expended.

PRESIDIO TRUST
PRESIDIO TRUST FUND

For necessary expenses to carry out title I of the Omnibus Parks and Public Lands Management Act of 1996, \$21,600,000 shall be available to the Presidio Trust, to remain available until expended.

TITLE IV
GENERAL PROVISIONS

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

LIMITATION ON CONSULTING SERVICES

SEC. 401. The expenditure of any appropriation under this Act for any consulting service through procurement contract, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3109, shall be limited to those contracts where such expenditures are a matter of public record and available for public inspection, except where otherwise provided under existing law, or under existing Executive Order issued pursuant to existing law.

RESTRICTION ON USE OF FUNDS

SEC. 402. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall be available for any activity or the publication or distribution of literature that in any way tends to promote public support or opposition to any legislative proposal on which Congressional action is not complete other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. 1913.

OBLIGATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

SEC. 403. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year unless expressly so provided herein.

PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR PERSONAL SERVICES

SEC. 404. None of the funds provided in this Act to any department or agency shall be obligated or expended to provide a personal cook, chauffeur, or other personal servants to any officer or employee of such department or agency except as otherwise provided by law.

DISCLOSURE OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

SEC. 405. Estimated overhead charges, deductions, reserves or holdbacks from programs, projects, activities and subactivities to support government-wide, departmental, agency or bureau administrative functions or headquarters, regional or central operations shall be presented in annual budget justifications and subject to approval by the Committees on Appropriations. Changes to such estimates shall be presented to the Committees on Appropriations for approval.

GIANT SEQUOIA

SEC. 406. None of the funds in this Act may be used to plan, prepare, or offer for sale timber from trees classified as giant sequoia (*Sequoiadendron giganteum*) which are located on National Forest System or Bureau of Land Management lands in a manner different than such sales were conducted in fiscal year 2010.

TRANSFER OF FUNDS AUTHORITY

SEC. 407. None of the funds made available in this Act may be transferred to any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States Government except pursuant to a transfer made by, or transfer provided in, this Act or any other Act.

MINING APPLICATIONS

SEC. 408. (a) LIMITATION OF FUNDS.—None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available pursuant to this Act shall be obligated or expended to accept or process applications for a patent for any mining or mill

site claim located under the general mining laws.

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—The provisions of subsection (a) shall not apply if the Secretary of the Interior determines that, for the claim concerned: (1) a patent application was filed with the Secretary on or before September 30, 1994; and (2) all requirements established under sections 2325 and 2326 of the Revised Statutes (30 U.S.C. 29 and 30) for vein or lode claims and sections 2329, 2330, 2331, and 2333 of the Revised Statutes (30 U.S.C. 35, 36, and 37) for placer claims, and section 2337 of the Revised Statutes (30 U.S.C. 42) for mill site claims, as the case may be, were fully complied with by the applicant by that date.

(c) REPORT.—On September 30, 2011, the Secretary of the Interior shall file with the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate a report on actions taken by the Department under the plan submitted pursuant to section 314(c) of the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1997 (Public Law 104-208).

(d) MINERAL EXAMINATIONS.—In order to process patent applications in a timely and responsible manner, upon the request of a patent applicant, the Secretary of the Interior shall allow the applicant to fund a qualified third-party contractor to be selected by the Bureau of Land Management to conduct a mineral examination of the mining claims or mill sites contained in a patent application as set forth in subsection (b). The Bureau of Land Management shall have the sole responsibility to choose and pay the third-party contractor in accordance with the standard procedures employed by the Bureau of Land Management in the retention of third-party contractors.

CONTRACT SUPPORT COSTS

SEC. 409. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts appropriated to or otherwise designated in committee reports for the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Indian Health Service by Public Laws 103-138, 103-332, 104-134, 104-208, 105-83, 105-277, 106-113, 106-291, 107-63, 108-7, 108-108, 108-447, 109-54, 109-289, division B and Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2007 (division B of Public Law 109-289, as amended by Public Laws 110-5 and 110-28), Public Laws 110-92, 110-116, 110-137, 110-149, 110-161, 110-329, 111-6, 111-8 and 111-88 for payments for contract support costs associated with self-determination or self-governance contracts, grants, compacts, or annual funding agreements with the Bureau of Indian Affairs or the Indian Health Service as funded by such Acts, are the total amounts available for fiscal years 1994 through 2010 for such purposes, except that the Bureau of Indian Affairs, tribes and tribal organizations may use their tribal priority allocations for unmet contract support costs of ongoing contracts, grants, self-governance compacts, or annual funding agreements.

FOREST MANAGEMENT PLANS

SEC. 410. The Secretary of Agriculture shall not be considered to be in violation of subparagraph 6(f)(5)(A) of the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 1604(f)(5)(A)) solely because more than 15 years have passed without revision of the plan for a unit of the National Forest System. Nothing in this section exempts the Secretary from any other requirement of the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act (16 U.S.C. 1600 et seq.) or any other law: *Provided*, That

if the Secretary is not acting expeditiously and in good faith, within the funding available, to revise a plan for a unit of the National Forest System, this section shall be void with respect to such plan and a court of proper jurisdiction may order completion of the plan on an accelerated basis.

PROHIBITION WITHIN NATIONAL MONUMENTS

SEC. 411. No funds provided in this Act may be expended to conduct preleasing, leasing and related activities under either the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 181 et seq.) or the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1331 et seq.) within the boundaries of a National Monument established pursuant to the Act of June 8, 1906 (16 U.S.C. 431 et seq.) as such boundary existed on January 20, 2001, except where such activities are allowed under the Presidential proclamation establishing such monument.

INTERNATIONAL FIREFIGHTER COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS

SEC. 412. In entering into agreements with foreign fire organizations pursuant to the Temporary Emergency Wildfire Suppression Act (42 U.S.C. 1856m-1856o), the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of the Interior are authorized to enter into reciprocal agreements in which the individuals furnished under said agreements to provide wildfire services are considered, for purposes of tort liability, employees of the fire organization receiving said services when the individuals are engaged in fire suppression or presuppression: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Agriculture or the Secretary of the Interior shall not enter into any agreement under this provision unless the foreign fire organization agrees to assume any and all liability for the acts or omissions of American firefighters engaged in fire suppression or presuppression in a foreign country: *Provided further*, That when an agreement is reached for furnishing fire suppression or presuppression services, the only remedies for acts or omissions committed while engaged in fire suppression or presuppression shall be those provided under the laws applicable to the fire organization receiving the fire suppression or presuppression services, and those remedies shall be the exclusive remedies for any claim arising out of fire suppression or presuppression activities in a foreign country: *Provided further*, That neither the sending country nor any legal organization associated with the firefighter shall be subject to any legal action, consistent with the applicable laws governing sovereign immunity, pertaining to or arising out of the firefighter's role in fire suppression or presuppression, except that if the foreign fire organization is unable to provide immunity under laws applicable to it, it shall assume any and all liability for the United States or for any legal organization associated with the American firefighter, and for any and all costs incurred or assessed, including legal fees, for any act or omission pertaining to or arising out of the firefighter's role in fire suppression or presuppression.

CONTRACTING AUTHORITIES

SEC. 413. In awarding a Federal contract with funds made available by this Act, notwithstanding Federal Government procurement and contracting laws, the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of the Interior (the "Secretaries") may, in evaluating bids and proposals, give consideration to local contractors who are from, and who provide employment and training for, dislocated and displaced workers in an economically disadvantaged rural community, including those historically timber-dependent areas

that have been affected by reduced timber harvesting on Federal lands and other forest-dependent rural communities isolated from significant alternative employment opportunities: *Provided*, That notwithstanding Federal Government procurement and contracting laws the Secretaries may award contracts, grants or cooperative agreements to local non-profit entities, Youth Conservation Corps or related partnerships with State, local or non-profit youth groups, or small or micro-business or disadvantaged business: *Provided further*, That the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement is for forest hazardous fuels reduction, watershed or water quality monitoring or restoration, wildlife or fish population monitoring, road decommissioning, trail maintenance or improvement, or habitat restoration or management: *Provided further*, That the terms "rural community" and "economically disadvantaged" shall have the same meanings as in section 2374 of Public Law 101-624: *Provided further*, That the Secretaries shall develop guidance to implement this section: *Provided further*, That nothing in this section shall be construed as relieving the Secretaries of any duty under applicable procurement laws, except as provided in this section.

LIMITATION ON TAKINGS

SEC. 414. Unless otherwise provided herein, no funds appropriated in this Act for the acquisition of lands or interests in lands may be expended for the filing of declarations of taking or complaints in condemnation without the approval of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations: *Provided*, That this provision shall not apply to funds appropriated to implement the Everglades National Park Protection and Expansion Act of 1989, or to funds appropriated for Federal assistance to the State of Florida to acquire lands for Everglades restoration purposes.

HUNTERS POINT ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP

SEC. 415. In addition to the amounts otherwise provided to the Environmental Protection Agency in this Act, \$8,000,000, to remain available until expended, is provided to EPA to be transferred to the Department of the Navy for clean-up activities at the Treasure Island Naval Station—Hunters Point Annex.

TIMBER SALE REQUIREMENTS

SEC. 416. The Forest Service shall use the residual value approach to appraising all timber sales in Alaska's Region 10 that contain a component of Western red cedar and shall only offer sales that contain a component of Western red cedar that are not deficit. Western red cedar shall be appraised using lower 48 State domestic values if the timber might be eligible for shipment to the lower 48 States. All of the Western red cedar timber from those sales which is surplus to the needs of domestic processors in Alaska shall be made available to domestic processors in the contiguous 48 United States at prevailing domestic prices in the contiguous 48 United States. Western red cedar shall be deemed "surplus to the needs of domestic processors in Alaska" if the Forest Service determines it is surplus or if the timber sale holder has presented to the Forest Service documentation that the Forest Service determines is valid of the inability to sell Western red cedar logs from a given sale to domestic Alaska processors at a price equal to or greater than the log selling value stated in the contract. All additional Western red cedar volume not sold to Alaska or to contiguous 48 United States domestic processors may be exported to foreign markets if the Forest Service determines it is surplus

to the needs of the 50 States. All Alaska yellow cedar may be sold at prevailing export prices if the Forest Service determines it is surplus to the needs of the 50 States.

CABIN USER FEES

SEC. 417. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, none of the funds made available by this or any other Act may be used by the Secretary of Agriculture to increase a recreation residence user fee for calendar year 2011 by more than 25 percent of the recreation residence user fee applicable to the recreation residence for calendar year 2010.

REPORT ON USE OF CLIMATE CHANGE FUNDS

SEC. 418. Not later than 120 days after the date on which the President's fiscal year 2012 budget request is submitted to Congress, the President shall submit a comprehensive report to the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate describing in detail all Federal agency funding, domestic and international, for climate change programs, projects and activities in fiscal year 2010 and fiscal year 2011, including an accounting of funding by agency with each agency identifying climate change programs, projects and activities and associated costs by line item as presented in the President's Budget Appendix, and including citations and linkages where practicable to each strategic plan that is driving funding within each climate change program, project and activity listed in the report.

NATIONAL CAPITAL ARTS AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS AUTHORIZATION

SEC. 419. The item relating to "National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs" in the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1986, as enacted into law by section 101(d) of Public Law 99-190 and as amended by section 418 of the Department of the Interior, Environment and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2010 (20 U.S.C. 956a), is amended in the second sentence of the first paragraph by striking "\$10,000,000" and inserting "\$12,000,000".

PROHIBITION ON NO-BID CONTRACTS

SEC. 420. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act to executive branch agencies may be used to enter into any Federal contract unless such contract is entered into in accordance with the requirements of the Federal Property and Administrative Service Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253) or chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code, and the Federal Acquisition Regulations, unless:

- (1) Federal law specifically authorizes a contract to be entered into without regard for these requirements, including formula grants for States, or federally recognized Indian tribes; or
- (2) such contract is authorized by the Indian Self-Determination and Education and Assistance Act (Public Law 93-638, 25 U.S.C. 450 et seq., as amended) or by any other Federal laws that specifically authorize a contract within an Indian tribe as defined in section 4(e) of that Act (25 U.S.C. 450b(e)); or
- (3) such contract was awarded prior to the date of enactment of this Act.

POSTING OF REPORTS

SEC. 421. (a) Any agency receiving funds made available in this Act, shall, subject to subsections (b) and (c), post on the public website of that agency any report required to be submitted by the Congress in this or any other Act, upon the determination by the head of the agency that it shall serve the national interest.

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to a report if—

- (1) the public posting of the report compromises national security; or
- (2) the report contains proprietary information.

(c) The head of the agency posting such report shall do so only after such report has been made available to the requesting Committee or Committees of Congress for no less than 45 days.

SAN FRANCISCO BAY RESTORATION GRANT PROGRAM

SEC. 422. Title I of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"SEC. 123. SAN FRANCISCO BAY RESTORATION GRANT PROGRAM.

"(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

"(1) ANNUAL PRIORITY LIST.—The term 'annual priority list' means the annual priority list compiled under subsection (b).

"(2) COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.—The term 'comprehensive plan' means—

"(A) the comprehensive conservation and management plan approved under section 320 for the San Francisco Bay estuary; and

"(B) any amendments to that plan.

"(3) ESTUARY PARTNERSHIP.—The term 'Estuary Partnership' means the San Francisco Estuary Partnership, the entity that is designated as the management conference under section 320.

"(b) ANNUAL PRIORITY LIST.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—After providing public notice, the Administrator shall annually compile a priority list identifying and prioritizing the activities, projects, and studies intended to be funded with the amounts made available under subsection (c).

"(2) INCLUSIONS.—The annual priority list compiled under paragraph (1) shall include—

"(A) activities, projects, or studies, including restoration projects and habitat improvement for fish, waterfowl, and wildlife, that advance the goals and objectives of the approved comprehensive plan;

"(B) information on the activities, projects, programs, or studies specified under subparagraph (A), including a description of—

"(i) the identities of the financial assistance recipients; and

"(ii) the communities to be served; and

"(C) the criteria and methods established by the Administrator for selection of activities, projects, and studies.

"(3) CONSULTATION.—In developing the priority list under paragraph (1), the Administrator shall consult with and consider the recommendations of—

"(A) the Estuary Partnership;

"(B) the State of California and affected local governments in the San Francisco Bay estuary watershed; and

"(C) any other relevant stakeholder involved with the protection and restoration of the San Francisco Bay estuary that the Administrator determines to be appropriate.

"(c) GRANT PROGRAM.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Pursuant to section 320, the Administrator may provide funding through cooperative agreements, grants, or other means to State and local agencies, and public or nonprofit agencies, institutions, and organizations, including the Estuary Partnership, for activities, studies, or projects identified on the annual priority list.

"(2) MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF GRANTS; NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—

"(A) MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF GRANTS.—Funding provided to any individual or entity under this section for a fiscal year shall not exceed an amount equal to 75 percent of the total cost of eligible activities that are to be carried out using such funds.

“(B) NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—The non-Federal share of the total cost of any eligible activities that are carried out using funds provided under this section shall be—

“(i) not less than 25 percent; and

“(ii) provided from non-Federal sources.

“(d) FUNDING.—

“(1) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Administrator to carry out this section \$35,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2011 through 2020.

“(2) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—Of the amount made available to carry out this section for a fiscal year, the Administrator shall use not more than 5 percent to pay administrative expenses incurred in carrying out this section.

“(3) RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER FUNDING.—Nothing in this section shall limit the eligibility of the Estuary Partnership to receive funding under section 320(g).

“(4) PROHIBITION.—No funding made available under subsection (c) may be used for the administration of a management conference under section 320.”.

EXTENSION OF GRAZING PERMITS

SEC. 423. The terms and conditions of section 325 of Public Law 108-108, regarding grazing permits at the Department of the Interior and the Forest Service shall remain in effect for fiscal year 2011.

CONTROL OF BORDER

SEC. 424. None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to impede, prohibit, or restrict activities of the Secretary of Homeland Security on public lands to achieve operational control (as defined in section 2(b) of the Secure Fence Act of 2006 (8 U.S.C. 1701 note; Public Law 109-367)) over the international land and maritime borders of the United States with respect to section 102(b) of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (8 U.S.C. 1103 note).

INCORPORATION OF CONGRESSIONALLY REQUESTED PROJECTS

SEC. 425. Within the amounts appropriated in this Act, funding shall be allocated in the amounts specified for those projects and purposes delineated in the table titled “Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects” included in the explanatory statement accompanying this Act: *Provided*, That subject to the approval of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations funds appropriated in this Act for land acquisition, construction, and capital improvement and maintenance may be reallocated among projects funded by the same appropriation account: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated in this Act under the heading “National Park Service—Historic Preservation Fund” for Save America’s Treasures grants may be reallocated to be used for competitive grants under the Save America’s Treasures program if such reallocation has been approved by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That subject to the approval of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations the Bureau of Land Management, Fish and Wildlife Service, National Park Service, and Forest Service may allocate either greater or lesser amounts than those specified under the heading “Congressionally Directed Spending” accompanying Public Law 111-8 and in the table entitled “Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects” in the joint explanatory statement of managers accompanying Public Law 111-88 within the construction, land acquisition, or capital improvement and maintenance accounts when

necessary to complete projects based on the original project scope or to utilize excess funds available after completion of a project on other projects within the same account.

RESCISSION OF PRIOR-YEAR BALANCES, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

SEC. 426. Of the funds made available to the Department of the Interior for emergency wildland fire suppression under the headings “Bureau of Land Management—Wildland Fire Management” in chapter 6 of title I of division B of Public Law 110-329 and “Department-Wide Programs—Wildland Fire Management” in title VII of Public Law 111-32, \$160,000,000 are rescinded.

RESCISSION OF PRIOR-YEAR BALANCES, FOREST SERVICE

SEC. 427. Of the funds made available to the Forest Service for emergency wildland fire suppression under the headings “Forest Service—Wildland Fire Management” in chapter 6 of title I of division B of Public Law 110-329 and title VII of Public Law 111-32, \$140,000,000 are rescinded.

TITLE V—SACRAMENTO-SAN JOAQUIN DELTA NATIONAL HERITAGE AREA

SECTION 501. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta National Heritage Area Establishment Act”.

SEC. 502. SACRAMENTO-SAN JOAQUIN DELTA NATIONAL HERITAGE AREA.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) HERITAGE AREA.—The term “Heritage Area” means the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Heritage Area established by this section.

(2) HERITAGE AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN.—The term “Heritage Area management plan” means the plan developed and adopted by the management entity under this section.

(3) MANAGEMENT ENTITY.—The term “management entity” means the management entity for the Heritage Area designated by subsection (b)(4).

(4) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(b) SACRAMENTO-SAN JOAQUIN DELTA HERITAGE AREA.—

(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established the “Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Heritage Area” in the State of California.

(2) BOUNDARIES.—The boundaries of the Heritage Area shall be in the counties of Contra Costa, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Solano, and Yolo in the State of California, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta National Heritage Area Proposed Boundary”, numbered T27/105,030, and dated September 2010.

(3) AVAILABILITY OF MAP.—The map described in paragraph (2) shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the National Park Service and the Delta Protection Commission.

(4) MANAGEMENT ENTITY.—The management entity for the Heritage Area shall be the Delta Protection Commission established by section 29735 of the California Public Resources Code.

(5) ADMINISTRATION; MANAGEMENT PLAN.—

(A) ADMINISTRATION.—For purposes of carrying out the Heritage Area management plan, the Secretary, acting through the management entity, may use amounts made available under this section in accordance with section 8001(c) of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-11; 123 Stat. 991).

(B) MANAGEMENT PLAN.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), the management entity shall submit to the Secretary for approval a proposed management

plan for the Heritage Area in accordance with section 8001(d) of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-11; 123 Stat. 991) that—

(I) incorporates an integrated and cooperative approach to agricultural resources and activities, flood protection facilities, and other public infrastructure; and

(II) emphasizes the importance of those resources.

(ii) RESTRICTIONS.—The Heritage Area management plan submitted under this paragraph shall—

(I) ensure participation by appropriate Federal, State, tribal, and local agencies, including the Delta Stewardship Council, special districts, natural and historical resource protection and agricultural organizations, educational institutions, businesses, recreational organizations, community residents, and private property owners; and

(II) not be approved until the Secretary has received certification from the Delta Protection Commission that the Delta Stewardship Council has reviewed the Heritage Area management plan for consistency with the plan adopted by the Delta Stewardship Council pursuant to State law.

(6) RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES; PRIVATE PROPERTY.—

(A) RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES.—The provisions of section 8001(e) of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-11; 123 Stat. 991) shall apply to the Heritage Area.

(B) PRIVATE PROPERTY.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), the provisions of section 8001(f) of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-11; 123 Stat. 991) shall apply to the Heritage Area.

(ii) OPT OUT.—An owner of private property within the Heritage Area may opt out of participating in any plan, project, program, or activity carried out within the Heritage Area under this section, if the property owner provides written notice to the management entity.

(7) EVALUATION; REPORT.—The provisions of section 8001(g) of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-11; 123 Stat. 991) shall apply to the Heritage Area.

(8) EFFECT OF DESIGNATION.—Nothing in this section—

(A) precludes the management entity from using Federal funds made available under other laws for the purposes for which those funds were authorized; or

(B) affects any water rights or contracts.

(9) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$10,000,000, of which not more than \$1,000,000 may be made available for any fiscal year.

(B) COST-SHARING REQUIREMENT.—The Federal share of the total cost of any activity under this section shall be determined by the Secretary, but shall be not more than 50 percent.

(C) NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—The non-Federal share of the total cost of any activity under this section may be in the form of in-kind contributions of goods or services.

(10) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—If a proposed management plan has not been submitted to the Secretary by the date that is 5 years after the date of enactment of this title, the Heritage Area designation shall be rescinded.

(B) FUNDING AUTHORITY.—The authority of the Secretary to provide assistance under this section terminates on the date that is 15 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

TITLE VI—NATIONAL WOMEN'S HISTORY MUSEUM ACT OF 2009

SEC. 601. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "National Women's History Museum Act of 2009".

SEC. 602. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act, the following definitions apply:

(1) **ADMINISTRATOR.**—The term "Administrator" means the Administrator of General Services.

(2) **CERCLA.**—The term "CERCLA" means the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.).

(3) **COMMITTEES.**—The term "Committees" means the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate.

(4) **MUSEUM.**—The term "Museum" means the National Women's History Museum, Inc., a District of Columbia nonprofit corporation exempt from taxation pursuant to section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(5) **PROPERTY.**—The term "Property" means the property located in the District of Columbia, subject to survey and as determined by the Administrator, generally consisting of Squares 325 and 326. The Property is generally bounded by 12th Street, Independence Avenue, C Street, and the James Forrestal Building, all in Southwest Washington, District of Columbia, and shall include all associated air rights, improvements thereon, and appurtenances thereto.

SEC. 603. CONVEYANCE OF PROPERTY.

(a) **AUTHORITY TO CONVEY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to the requirements of this Act, the Administrator shall convey the Property to the Museum, on such terms and conditions as the Administrator considers reasonable and appropriate to protect the interests of the United States and further the purposes of this Act.

(2) **AGREEMENT.**—As soon as practicable, but not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator shall enter into an agreement with the Museum for the conveyance.

(3) **TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—The terms and conditions of the agreement shall address, among other things, mitigation of developmental impacts to existing Federal buildings and structures, security concerns, and operational protocols for development and use of the property.

(b) **PURCHASE PRICE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The purchase price for the Property shall be its fair market value based on its highest and best use as determined by an independent appraisal commissioned by the Administrator and paid for by the Museum.

(2) **SELECTION OF APPRAISER.**—The appraisal shall be performed by an appraiser mutually acceptable to the Administrator and the Museum.

(3) **TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR APPRAISAL.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided by subparagraph (B), the assumptions, scope of work, and other terms and conditions related to the appraisal assignment shall be mutually acceptable to the Administrator and the Museum.

(B) **REQUIRED TERMS.**—The appraisal shall assume that the Property does not contain hazardous substances (as defined in section 101 of CERCLA (42 U.S.C. 9601)) which require response action (as defined in such section).

(c) **APPLICATION OF PROCEEDS.**—The purchase price shall be paid into the Federal Buildings Fund established under section 592 of title 40, United States Code. Upon deposit, the Administrator may expend, in amounts

specified in appropriations Acts, the proceeds from the conveyance for any lawful purpose consistent with existing authorities granted to the Administrator.

(d) **QUIT CLAIM DEED.**—The Property shall be conveyed pursuant to a quit claim deed.

(e) **USE RESTRICTION.**—The Property shall be dedicated for use as a site for a national women's history museum for the 99-year period beginning on the date of conveyance to the Museum.

(f) **FUNDING RESTRICTION.**—No Federal funds shall be made available to the Museum for the purchase or clean-up of the Property or the design and construction of any facility thereon.

(g) **REVERSION.**—

(1) **BASES FOR REVERSION.**—The Property shall revert to the United States, at the option of the United States, without any obligation for repayment by the United States of any amount of the purchase price for the property, if—

(A) the Property is not used as a site for a national women's history museum at any time during the 99-year period referred to in subsection (e); or

(B) the Museum has not commenced construction of a museum facility on the Property in the 5-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, other than for reasons beyond the control of the Museum as reasonably determined by the Administrator.

(2) **ENFORCEMENT.**—The Administrator may perform any acts necessary to enforce the reversionary rights provided in this section.

(3) **CUSTODY OF PROPERTY UPON REVERSION.**—If the Property reverts to the United States pursuant to this section, such property shall be under the custody and control of the Administrator.

(h) **CLOSING.**—The conveyance pursuant to this Act shall occur not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act. The Administrator may extend that period for such time as is reasonably necessary for the Museum to perform its obligations under section 604(a).

SEC. 604. ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION TO CONTRACT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE ACTIONS.**—The Administrator is authorized to contract with the Museum or an affiliate thereof for the performance (on behalf of the Administrator) of response actions on the Property.

(b) **CREDITING OF RESPONSE COSTS.**—Any costs incurred by the Museum or an affiliate thereof pursuant to subsection (a) shall be credited to the purchase price for the Property.

(c) **NO EFFECT ON COMPLIANCE WITH ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS.**—Nothing in this Act, or any amendment made by this Act, affects or limits the application of or obligation to comply with any environmental law, including section 120(h) of CERCLA (42 U.S.C. 9620(h)).

SEC. 605. INCIDENTAL COSTS.

Subject to section 604, the Museum shall bear any and all costs associated with complying with the provisions of this Act, including studies and reports, surveys, relocating tenants, and mitigating impacts to existing Federal buildings and structures resulting directly from the development of the property by the Museum.

SEC. 606. LAND USE APPROVALS.

(a) **EXISTING AUTHORITIES.**—Nothing in this Act shall be construed as limiting or affecting the authority or responsibilities of the National Capital Planning Commission or the Commission of Fine Arts.

(b) **COOPERATION.**—

(1) **ZONING AND LAND USE.**—Subject to paragraph (2), the Administrator shall reasonably cooperate with the Museum with respect to any zoning or other land use matter relating to development of the Property in accordance with this Act. Such cooperation shall include consenting to applications by the Museum for applicable zoning and permitting with respect to the property.

(2) **LIMITATIONS.**—The Administrator shall not be required to incur any costs with respect to cooperation under this subsection and any consent provided under this subsection shall be premised on the property being developed and operated in accordance with this Act.

SEC. 607. REPORTS.

Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter until the end of the 5-year period following conveyance of the Property or until substantial completion of the museum facility (whichever is later), the Museum shall submit annual reports to the Administrator and the Committees detailing the development and construction activities of the Museum with respect to this Act.

TITLE VII—MONTANA FORESTS

Subtitle A—Montana Forest Jobs and Restoration Initiative

SEC. 701. PURPOSE.

The purpose of this subtitle is to establish an initiative—

(1) to preserve and create local jobs in rural communities that are located in or near National Forest System land;

(2) to create an immediate, predictable, and increased flow of wood fiber with commercial value to support and maintain locally-based infrastructure and economies that are necessary for the appropriate management and restoration of National Forest System land;

(3) to promote cooperation and collaboration in the management of National Forest System land;

(4) to restore and improve the ecological structure, composition, and function and the natural processes of priority watersheds within the National Forest System;

(5) to carry out collaborative projects to reduce the risk of disturbances from fire, insects, and disease to communities, watersheds, and natural resources through a collaborative process of planning, prioritizing, and implementing ecological restoration and hazardous fuel reduction projects; and

(6) to collect information from the projects carried out under this subtitle in an effort to better understand the manner in which to improve forest restoration and management activities.

SEC. 702. DEFINITIONS.

In this subtitle:

(1) **AUTHORIZED FOREST AND WATERSHED RESTORATION PROJECT.**—The term "authorized forest and watershed restoration project" means a collection of activities within a watershed area that are carried out—

(A) on eligible land; and

(B) to achieve the purposes of this subtitle.

(2) **DECOMMISSION.**—The term "decommission" means—

(A) to reestablish vegetation on a road or trail; and

(B) to restore any natural drainage, watershed function, or other ecological processes that are disrupted or adversely impacted by the road or trail by removing or hydrologically disconnecting the road prism.

(3) **ELIGIBLE LAND.**—The term "eligible land" means—

(A) land within the approximately 1,900,000 acres of land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest designated as "Suitable for Timber Production" and "Timber Harvest Is Allowed" as generally depicted on the map entitled "Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, Revised Forest Plan, Modeled Timber Harvest Classification" and dated December 10, 2008; and

(B)(i) land within the Three Rivers Ranger District of the Kootenai National Forest; and

(ii) any land within the adjacent ranger districts of the Kootenai National Forest that is necessary to achieve the requirements of section 703(b).

(4) **INFISH.**—The term "INFISH" means the land and resource management plan amendments made before the date of enactment of this Act arising from the document—

(A) entitled "Inland Native Fish Strategy";

(B) published by the Department of Agriculture; and

(C) dated July 28, 1995.

(5) **INITIATIVE.**—The term "Initiative" means the Montana Forest Jobs and Restoration Pilot Initiative established by section 703(a).

(6) **MECHANICAL TREATMENT.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The term "mechanical treatment" means an activity that uses a tool to remove fiber that has commercial value to local markets in the vicinity of the area treated.

(B) **INCLUSIONS.**—The term "mechanical treatment" includes leaving fiber on the forest floor after treatment with a tool, if an option for removal of the fiber is provided.

(C) **EXCLUSIONS.**—The term "mechanical treatment" excludes prescribed burning.

(7) **SECRETARY.**—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of Agriculture, acting through the Chief of the Forest Service.

(8) **STEWARDSHIP CONTRACT.**—The term "stewardship contract" means a contract authorized under section 347 of the Omnibus Consolidated and Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1999 (16 U.S.C. 2104 note; Public Law 105-277) to carry out land management goals that meet local and rural community needs through a source that is selected on a best-value basis.

(9) **WATERSHED AREA.**—The term "watershed area" means 1 or more subwatersheds (also known as 6th code hydrologic units).

SEC. 703. MONTANA FOREST JOBS AND RESTORATION PILOT INITIATIVE.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is established the Montana Forest Jobs and Restoration Pilot Initiative under which the Secretary shall implement authorized forest and watershed restoration projects and other land management projects on eligible land to achieve—

(1) the performance requirements under subsection (b); and

(2) the purposes of this subtitle.

(b) **PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS.**—Subject to subsection (g), on the eligible land, the Secretary shall place under contract for the mechanical treatment of vegetation—

(1) on the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, a minimum of 5,000 acres annually until the date on which a total of 70,000 acres in the National Forest have been placed under contract; and

(2) on the Kootenai National Forest—

(A) 2,000 acres during the first year after the date of enactment of this Act;

(B) 2,500 acres during the second year after the date of enactment of this Act; and

(C) 3,000 acres during each subsequent year until the date on which a total of 30,000 acres

in the National Forest have been placed under contract.

(c) **COLLABORATION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For each National Forest within the Initiative, the Secretary shall identify 1 or more collaborative groups or resource advisory committees that support the achievement of the purposes of this subtitle.

(2) **COMPOSITION.**—A collaborative group or resource advisory committee identified under paragraph (1) shall include multiple interested persons representing diverse interests in forest and watershed management.

(3) **CONSULTATION.**—The Secretary shall consult with a collaborative group or resource advisory committee identified under paragraph (1) in the development and implementation of each authorized forest and watershed restoration project carried out under the Initiative.

(4) **EXPANSION.**—The Secretary shall seek to expand the public participation and diversity of interests involved in the implementation of the Initiative in each National Forest participating in the Initiative.

(d) **ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The administrative review provisions of section 105 of the Healthy Forests Restoration Act of 2003 (16 U.S.C. 6515) shall apply to any administrative review of authorized forest and watershed restoration projects carried out under this subtitle.

(2) **PROPOSED DECISION.**—The Secretary shall provide notice of, and distribute, a proposed administrative decision with the environmental assessment or final environmental impact statement for any project subject to review under paragraph (1).

(3) **INDEPENDENT MEDIATOR.**—If 1 or more of the parties to a special administrative review process under paragraph (1) requests a mediator to help facilitate the process, an independent mediator may be used for the administrative review process.

(e) **JUDICIAL REVIEW.**—Any judicial proceeding arising from an authorized forest and watershed restoration project shall be conducted in accordance with section 106 of the Healthy Forests Restoration Act of 2003 (16 U.S.C. 6516).

(f) **REPORTS.**—

(1) **ANNUAL SUMMARY.**—The Secretary shall provide to the appropriate committees of Congress an annual summary of the progress of the Initiative toward accomplishing the purposes of this subtitle, including the performance requirements established under subsection (b).

(2) **PROGRESS REPORT.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 5 years after the date of enactment of this Act and every 5 years thereafter, the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report that assesses the progress of the Initiative toward accomplishing the purposes of this subtitle.

(B) **INCLUSIONS.**—The report under subparagraph (A) shall include an analysis, with respect to the Initiative, of—

(i) fire and fuel dynamics, including changes in—

(I) condition and class; and

(II) fuel levels and distribution;

(ii) biodiversity, including the selection of plant, terrestrial animals, and aquatic organisms;

(iii) soil and water, including soil movement, water quality, stream flows, and soil productivity;

(iv) economic effects, including job creation, labor income, and energy; and

(v) social implications, including land management practices, aesthetics, and attitudes towards land use.

(C) **DATA ANALYSIS.**—In preparing the report under this paragraph, the Secretary may consult with regional institutions of higher education and institutions with the capacity to coordinate, analyze, and archive the data collected as a result of monitoring under the Initiative.

(g) **EFFECT ON OTHER FUNDS.**—Amounts expended under the Initiative shall not reduce the allocations of appropriated funds to the Secretary for use in other regions of the Forest Service or other States.

(h) **EXPANSION OF INITIATIVE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may elect to include the Seeley Ranger District of the Lolo National Forest in the Initiative, if—

(A) the Seeley Ranger District no longer receives funding under section 4003(b)(1)(B) of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (16 U.S.C. 7303(b)(1)(B)); and

(B) a local collaborative group for the District requests inclusion in the Initiative.

(2) **REQUIREMENTS.**—On the election by the Secretary to include the Seeley Ranger District in the Initiative, the requirements of the Initiative under this subtitle shall apply to the District.

(i) **TERMINATION DATE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Initiative shall terminate on the later of—

(A) the date that is 15 years after the date of enactment of this Act; or

(B) the date on which the Secretary determines that the performance requirements under subsection (b) have been achieved.

(2) **EFFECT.**—Nothing in this subsection affects a valid contract in effect on the termination date under paragraph (1).

SEC. 704. AUTHORIZED FOREST AND WATERSHED RESTORATION PROJECTS.

(a) **IMPLEMENTATION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall annually implement 1 or more authorized forest and watershed restoration projects on the eligible land.

(2) **LANDSCAPE-SCALE PROJECTS.**—The Secretary shall implement in 1 or more watershed areas authorized forest and watershed restoration projects that provide landscape-scale work with the goal of minimizing entries into the watershed.

(3) **STEWARDSHIP CONTRACTS.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—To the maximum extent practicable, the Secretary shall enter into stewardship contracts or agreements to carry out authorized forest and watershed restoration projects.

(B) **STEWARDSHIP CONTRACT PRIORITIES.**—In developing a stewardship contract under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall, after consultation with the relevant collaborative groups or resource advisory committees identified under section 703(c)(1), prioritize areas consistent with the priorities described in paragraph (4).

(4) **PRIORITY.**—Consistent with the purposes of this subtitle, the Secretary shall give priority to carrying out authorized forest and watershed restoration projects in areas—

(A) in which the road density exceeds 1.5 miles per square mile;

(B) in the wildland-urban interface (as defined in section 101 of the Healthy Forests Restoration Act of 2003 (16 U.S.C. 6511)) that are at risk of wildfire that threatens public infrastructure or private property;

(C) in which fish and wildlife habitat connectivity is compromised as a result of past management practices; and

(D) that contain forests that are at risk from insect epidemics or high-severity wildfires.

(5) ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW.—An environmental review of authorized forest and watershed restoration projects shall be carried out in accordance with section 104 of the Healthy Forests Restoration Act of 2003 (16 U.S.C. 6515), except that—

(A) the review shall also address—

(i) the activities necessary to meet the purposes and requirements of this subtitle; and

(ii) the site-specific impacts of an authorized forest and watershed restoration project;

(B) on signing of a record of decision or finding of no significant impact for the authorized forest and watershed restoration project, the Secretary shall implement the authorized forest and watershed restoration project; and

(C) if the Secretary or a court determines that additional review is warranted due to significant new circumstances after implementation of an authorized forest and watershed restoration project has begun, the additional analysis shall not interrupt the implementation of the activities that are not subject to the additional review, in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

(b) PROJECT REQUIREMENTS.—

(1) RIPARIAN HABITAT PROTECTION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the Secretary shall comply with INFISH in carrying out each authorized forest and watershed restoration project.

(B) MODIFICATIONS.—The Secretary may modify INFISH if the Secretary determines, after taking into consideration the best available science, that the modifications would meet or exceed the intent and goals of INFISH.

(2) ROADS.—In carrying out any authorized forest and watershed restoration project under this subtitle, the Secretary shall—

(A) not construct any permanent road, unless—

(i) the Secretary determines that the road is a justifiable realignment of a permanent road to restore or improve the ecological structure, composition, and function and the natural processes of the affected forest or watershed; and

(ii) the replaced road bed is decommissioned by removing the road prism; and

(B) decommission any temporary road constructed to carry out the land management project by the conclusion of the contract.

(3) ROAD DENSITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the Secretary, at the conclusion of an authorized forest and watershed restoration project, shall achieve a road density maximum of 1.5 linear miles per square mile, averaged over the watershed area.

(B) EXCEPTIONS.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), the maximum road density provided in an applicable land management plan shall apply if—

(i) the applicable land management plan requires a road density maximum that is less than that required under subparagraph (A); or

(ii) the authorized forest and watershed restoration project is carried out in an area governed by an interagency grizzly bear conservation plan.

(C) METHOD.—The road density established under subparagraph (A) may be accomplished through a combination of decommissioning and year-round permanent closure, except that the Secretary shall prioritize for decommissioning any roads adversely affecting water quality or fish habitat.

(4) VEGETATION MANAGEMENT.—The Secretary shall design authorized forest and watershed restoration projects to produce commercial and noncommercial wood products, consistent with the purposes of this subtitle.

SEC. 705. MISCELLANEOUS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this subtitle, the Secretary shall administer the National Forests subject to the Initiative in accordance with applicable law.

(b) AGENCY PARTICIPATION.—The Secretary may, in accordance with applicable law, permit the Seeley Lake District Ranger of the Lolo National Forest and the Lincoln District Ranger of the Helena National Forest to serve in the official capacities of the districts on the Board of Directors of the Blackfoot Challenge.

(c) BIOMASS.—To help improve forest restoration activities by using and creating markets for small-diameter material and low-valued trees removed from forest restoration activities in the State, the Secretary may provide grants through the Woody Biomass Utilization Grant Program or any other biomass program in accordance with applicable law.

Subtitle B—Designation of Wilderness and Special Management Areas in Montana

SEC. 711. PURPOSES.

The purposes of this subtitle are—

(1) to protect and enhance motorized recreational opportunities in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, the Lolo National Forest, and the Kootenai National Forest; and

(2) to protect and enhance the wild heritage and backcountry traditions of the State through—

(A) the addition of certain land to the National Wilderness Preservation System; and

(B) the management of other land in a manner that preserves existing primitive and semi-primitive recreational activities.

SEC. 712. DEFINITIONS.

In this subtitle:

(1) BEAVERHEAD-DEERLODGE NATIONAL FOREST.—The term “Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest” means the National Forest that is—

(A) comprised of—

(i) the Beaverhead National Forest; and

(ii) the Deerlodge National Forest; and

(B) managed by the Secretary concerned as a single administrative unit.

(2) FOREST PLAN.—The term “forest plan” means a land and resource management plan prepared in accordance with section 6 of the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 1604).

(3) SECRETARY CONCERNED.—The term “Secretary concerned” means—

(A) the Secretary of Agriculture, acting through the Chief of the Forest Service, with respect to National Forest System land; and

(B) the Secretary of the Interior, with respect to land managed by the Bureau of Land Management (including land held for the benefit of an Indian tribe).

(4) STATE.—The term “State” means the State of Montana.

SEC. 713. DESIGNATION OF WILDERNESS AREAS.

(a) LAND ADMINISTERED BY THE FOREST SERVICE.—In furtherance of the purposes of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), the following areas in the State are designated as wilderness areas and as components of the National Wilderness Preservation System:

(1) ANACONDA PINTLAR WILDERNESS ADDITIONS.—Certain land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 65,407 acres, as generally de-

picted on the map entitled “Anaconda-Pintlar Wilderness Additions” and dated September 13, 2010, is incorporated in, and shall be considered to be a part of, the Anaconda-Pintlar Wilderness.

(2) BOB MARSHALL WILDERNESS ADDITIONS.—Certain land in the Lolo National Forest, comprising approximately 40,072 acres generally depicted as the “North Fork Blackfoot-Monture Creek Wilderness Addition (Bob Marshall Addition)” and approximately 7,792 acres generally depicted as the “Grizzly Basin of the Swan Range Wilderness Addition” on the map entitled “Bob Marshall, Mission Mountains and Scapegoat Wilderness Additions and Otatsy Recreation Management Area” and dated September 13, 2010, is incorporated in, and shall be considered to be a part of, the Bob Marshall Wilderness designated by Public Law 92-395 (86 Stat. 578).

(3) DOLUS LAKES WILDERNESS.—Certain land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 9,407 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Dolus Lakes Wilderness” and dated September 13, 2010, which shall be known as the “Dolus Lakes Wilderness”.

(4) EAST PIONEERS WILDERNESS.—Certain land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 77,438 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “East Pioneers Wilderness” and dated September 13, 2010, which shall be known as the “East Pioneers Wilderness”.

(5) ELECTRIC PEAK WILDERNESS.—Certain land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 5,670 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Electric Peak Wilderness and Thunderbolt Creek Recreation Management Area” and dated September 13, 2010, which shall be known as the “Electric Peak Wilderness”.

(6) HIGHLANDS WILDERNESS.—Certain land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 15,659 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Highlands Wilderness Area and Special Management Area” and dated September 13, 2010, which shall be known as the “Highlands Wilderness”.

(7) ITALIAN PEAKS WILDERNESS.—Certain land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 29,677 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Italian Peaks Wilderness” and dated September 13, 2010, which shall be known as the “Italian Peaks Wilderness”.

(8) LEE METCALF WILDERNESS ADDITIONS.—Certain land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 17,201 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Lee Metcalf Wilderness Additions” and dated September 13, 2010, is incorporated in, and shall be considered to be a part of, the Lee Metcalf Wilderness.

(9) LIMA PEAKS WILDERNESS.—Certain land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 35,012 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Lima Peaks Wilderness” and dated September 13, 2010, which shall be known as the “Lima Peaks Wilderness”.

(10) MISSION MOUNTAINS WILDERNESS ADDITION.—Certain land in the Lolo National Forest, which comprises approximately 4,460 acres, as generally depicted as the “West Fork Clearwater Wilderness Addition” on the map entitled “Bob Marshall, Mission Mountains and Scapegoat Wilderness Additions and Otatsy Recreation Management Area” and dated September 13, 2010, is incorporated in, and shall be considered to be a part of, the Mission Mountains Wilderness

designated by Public Law 93-632 (88 Stat. 2153).

(11) MOUNT JEFFERSON WILDERNESS.—Certain land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 4,465 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Mount Jefferson Wilderness” and dated September 13, 2010, which shall be known as the “Mount Jefferson Wilderness”.

(12) QUIGG PEAK WILDERNESS.—Certain land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 8,275 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Quigg Peak Wilderness” and dated September 13, 2010, which shall be known as the “Quigg Peak Wilderness”.

(13) RODERICK WILDERNESS.—Certain land in the Kootenai National Forest, which comprises approximately 29,467 acres, as generally depicted as the “Roderick Wilderness Area” on the map entitled “Roderick Wilderness and Special Management Area and Three Rivers Special Management Area” and dated September 13, 2010, which shall be known as the “Roderick Wilderness”.

(14) SAPPHIRES WILDERNESS.—Certain land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 43,101 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Sapphires Wilderness” and dated September 13, 2010, which shall be known as the “Sapphires Wilderness”.

(15) SCAPEGOAT WILDERNESS ADDITIONS.—Certain land in the Lolo National Forest, which comprises approximately 30,967 acres, as generally depicted as the “North Fork Blackfoot-Monture Creek Wilderness Addition (Scapegoat Addition)” on the map entitled “Bob Marshall, Mission Mountains and Scapegoat Wilderness Additions and Otatsy Recreation Management Area” and dated September 13, 2010, is incorporated in, and shall be considered to be a part of, the Bob Marshall Wilderness designated by Public Law 92-395 (86 Stat. 578).

(16) SNOWCREST WILDERNESS.—Certain land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 91,561 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Snowcrest Wilderness” and dated September 13, 2010, which shall be known as the “Snowcrest Wilderness”.

(17) STONY MOUNTAIN WILDERNESS.—Certain land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 14,213 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Stony Mountain Wilderness” and dated September 13, 2010, which shall be known as the “Stony Mountain Wilderness”.

(18) WEST BIG HOLE WILDERNESS.—Certain land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 44,156 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “West Big Hole Wilderness and Recreation Management Area” and dated September 13, 2010, which shall be known as the “West Big Hole Wilderness”.

(19) WEST PIONEERS WILDERNESS.—Certain land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 26,560 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “West Pioneers Wilderness and Recreation Management Area” and dated September 13, 2010, which shall be known as the “West Pioneers Wilderness”.

(b) LAND ADMINISTERED BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT.—In furtherance of the purposes of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), the following areas in the State are designated as wilderness areas and as components of the National Wilderness Preservation System:

(1) BLACKTAIL MOUNTAINS WILDERNESS.—Certain public land administered by the Bu-

reau of Land Management, comprising approximately 10,675 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Blacktail Mountains Wilderness” and dated July 27, 2010, which shall be known as the “Blacktail Mountains Wilderness”.

(2) CENTENNIAL MOUNTAINS WILDERNESS.—Certain public land administered by the Bureau of Land Management, comprising approximately 23,700 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Centennial Mountains Wilderness” and dated July 27, 2010, which shall be known as the “Centennial Mountains Wilderness”.

(3) RUBY MOUNTAINS WILDERNESS.—Certain public land administered by the Bureau of Land Management, comprising approximately 16,300 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Ruby Mountains Wilderness” and dated July 27, 2010, which shall be known as the “Ruby Mountains Wilderness”.

(4) EAST FORK BLACKTAIL WILDERNESS.—Certain public land administered by the Bureau of Land Management, comprising approximately 6,125 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “East Fork Blacktail Wilderness” and dated July 27, 2010, which shall be known as the “East Fork Blacktail Wilderness”.

(5) HUMBUG SPIRES WILDERNESS.—Certain public land administered by the Bureau of Land Management, comprising approximately 8,900 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Humbug Spires Wilderness” and dated July 27, 2010, which shall be known as the “Humbug Spires Wilderness”.

(c) TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION.—Administrative jurisdiction over certain public land administered by the Bureau of Land Management, comprising approximately 663 acres, as generally known as “Farlin Creek Administrative Transfer” depicted on the map entitled “East Pioneers Wilderness” and dated September 13, 2010, is transferred to the Secretary of Agriculture, and is incorporated in, and shall be considered to be a part of, the East Pioneers Wilderness designated by subsection (a)(3).

SEC. 714. ADMINISTRATION OF WILDERNESS AREAS.

(a) MANAGEMENT.—Subject to valid existing rights, each area designated as wilderness by section 713 shall be administered by the Secretary concerned in accordance with the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), except that—

(1) any reference in that Act to the effective date shall be considered to be a reference to the date of enactment of this Act; and

(2) with respect to public land administered by the Bureau of Land Management, any reference in that Act to the Secretary of Agriculture shall be considered to be a reference to the Secretary of the Interior.

(b) MAPS AND LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary concerned shall file a map and a legal description of each wilderness area and potential wilderness area designated by this section, with—

(A) the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives.

(2) FORCE OF LAW.—The maps and legal descriptions filed under paragraph (1) shall have the same force and effect as if included in this subtitle, except that the Secretary concerned may correct typographical errors in the maps and legal descriptions.

(3) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—Each map and legal description filed under paragraph (1)

shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management.

(c) INCORPORATION OF ACQUIRED LAND AND INTERESTS.—Any land within the boundary of a wilderness area designated by section 713 that is acquired by the United States shall—

(1) become part of the wilderness area in which the land is located; and

(2) be managed in accordance with this section, the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), and any other applicable law.

(d) WITHDRAWAL.—Subject to valid existing rights, the Federal land designated as wilderness by section 713 is withdrawn from all forms of—

(1) entry, appropriation, or disposal under the public land laws;

(2) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and

(3) disposition under all laws pertaining to mineral and geothermal leasing or mineral materials.

(e) FIRE, INSECTS, AND DISEASES.—In accordance with section 4(d)(1) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1133(d)(1)), within the wilderness areas designated by section 713, the Secretary concerned may take such measures as are necessary to control fire, insects, and diseases, subject to such terms and conditions as the Secretary concerned determines to be appropriate.

(f) ACCESS TO PRIVATE PROPERTY.—In accordance with section 5(a) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1134(a)), the Secretary concerned shall provide any owner of private property within the boundary of a wilderness area designated by section 713 adequate access to the property.

(g) FISH AND WILDLIFE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Nothing in this subtitle affects the jurisdiction or responsibilities of the State with respect to fish and wildlife, including the regulation of hunting, fishing, and trapping.

(2) MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES.—In furtherance of the purposes and principles of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), the Secretary concerned may carry out management activities to maintain or restore fish and wildlife populations (including activities to maintain and restore fish and wildlife habitats to support the populations) in a wilderness area designated by section 713 if the activities are—

(A) consistent with applicable wilderness management plans; and

(B) carried out in accordance with applicable guidelines and policies.

(h) SNOW SENSORS AND STREAM GAUGES.—Nothing in this subtitle prevents the installation or maintenance of hydrological, meteorological, or climatological instrumentation in a wilderness area designated by section 713 if the Secretary concerned determines that the installation or maintenance of the instrumentation is necessary to further the scientific, educational, or conservation purposes of the wilderness area.

(i) LIVESTOCK.—Within the wilderness areas, the grazing of livestock in which grazing is established before the date of enactment of this Act shall be allowed to continue, subject to such reasonable regulations, policies, and practices as the Secretary concerned determines to be necessary, in accordance with—

(1) section 4(d)(4) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131(d)(4));

(2) with respect to wilderness areas administered by the Secretary of Agriculture, the guidelines described in House Report 96-617 of the 96th Congress; and

(3) with respect to wilderness areas administered by the Secretary of the Interior, the guidelines described in Appendix A of House Report 101-405 of the 101st Congress.

(j) **OUTFITTING AND GUIDE ACTIVITIES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In accordance with section 4(d)(5) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1133(d)(5)), commercial services (including authorized outfitting and guide activities) within the wilderness areas designated by section 713 are authorized to the extent necessary for activities that are proper for realizing the recreational or other wilderness purposes of the wilderness areas.

(2) **EFFECT.**—Nothing in this subtitle requires the Secretary concerned to modify permits in effect as of the date of enactment of this Act to provide outfitting and guide services within the areas designated as wilderness by section 713, if the Secretary concerned determines that the activities are consistent with administration of the areas as wilderness.

(k) **ADJACENT MANAGEMENT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The designation of a wilderness area by section 713 shall not create any protective perimeter or buffer zone around the wilderness area.

(2) **NONWILDERNESS ACTIVITIES.**—The fact that nonwilderness activities or uses can be seen or heard from areas within a wilderness area designated by section 713 shall not preclude the conduct of the activities or uses outside the boundary of the wilderness area.

(l) **WATER IMPOUNDMENT STRUCTURES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary concerned may issue a special use authorization to an owner of a water storage, transport, or diversion facility located within the areas designated as wilderness by section 713 for the continued operation, maintenance, and reconstruction of the facility if—

(A) the facility was in existence before the date of the designation of the wilderness area; and

(B) the Secretary concerned determines that—

(i) the facility has been in substantially continuous use to deliver water for the beneficial use on the non-Federal land of the owner since the date of the designation of the wilderness area;

(ii) the owner of the facility holds a valid water right for use of the water under State law, with a priority date that predates the date of the designation of the wilderness area; and

(iii) it is not practicable or feasible to relocate the facility to land outside the boundary of the wilderness and continue the beneficial use of water on the non-Federal land recognized under State law.

(2) **USE OF MOTORIZED EQUIPMENT AND MECHANIZED TRANSPORT.**—The special use authorization under paragraph (1) may allow for the use of motorized equipment and mechanized transport if the Secretary concerned determines, after conducting a minimum tool analysis, that the use of nonmotorized equipment and nonmechanized transport is impracticable or infeasible.

(3) **TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—The Secretary concerned may include such terms and conditions in the special use authorization under paragraph (1) as the Secretary concerned determines appropriate to protect the wilderness values of the area.

(m) **SNOWCREST WILDERNESS AREA.**—With respect to the Snowcrest Wilderness Area—

(1) the continuation of reasonable motorized access to maintain water infrastructure for cattle that was constructed to protect fluvial Arctic Grayling and other aquatic species in the Ruby River may continue—

(A) subject to a permit; and

(B) in accordance with—

(i) section 4(d)(4) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1133(d)(4)); and

(ii) the guidelines described in House Report 96-617 of the 96th Congress; and

(2) the trailing of sheep across the Snowcrest Wilderness area to reach existing grazing allotments in the Gravelly Mountains may be continued for the tenure of the allotments—

(A) subject to—

(i) a permit; and

(ii) a determination by the Secretary of Agriculture (acting through the Forest Supervisor) that the use of nonmechanized transport is impracticable or infeasible; and

(B) to the maximum extent practicable, in accordance with the guidelines described in House Report 96-617 of the 96th Congress.

SEC. 715. RELEASE OF BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT STUDY AREAS.

(a) **FINDING.**—Congress finds that, for purposes of section 603 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1782), any portion of a wilderness study area described in subsection (b) that is not designated as a wilderness area by section 713 or any other Act enacted before the date of enactment of this Act has been adequately studied for wilderness.

(b) **DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREAS.**—The study areas referred to in subsection (a) are—

(1) the Axolotl Lakes Wilderness Study Area;

(2) the Bell and Limekiln Canyons Wilderness Study Area;

(3) the Blacktail Mountains Wilderness Study Area;

(4) the Centennial Mountains Wilderness Study Area;

(5) the Farlin Creek Wilderness Study Area;

(6) the Henneberry Ridge Wilderness Study Area;

(7) the Hidden Pasture Wilderness Study Area;

(8) the Humbug Spires Wilderness Study Area; and

(9) the Ruby Mountains Wilderness Study Area.

(c) **RELEASE.**—Any study area described in subsection (b) that is not designated as a wilderness area by section 713—

(1) is no longer subject to section 603(c) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1782(c)); and

(2) shall be managed in accordance with the applicable land management plans adopted under section 202 of that Act (43 U.S.C. 1712).

SEC. 716. RELEASE OF SAPPHIRE AND WEST PIONEER WILDERNESS STUDY AREAS.

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress finds that—

(1) the studies conducted under section 2 of the Montana Wilderness Study Act of 1977 (Public Law 95-150; 91 Stat. 1243) regarding each study area described in subsection (b) are adequate for the consideration of the suitability of each study area for inclusion as a component of the National Wilderness Preservation System; and

(2) the Secretary of Agriculture is not required—

(A) to review the wilderness option for each study area described in subsection (b) prior to the revision of the forest plan required for each land that comprises each study area in accordance with the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 1600 et seq.); and

(B) to manage the portion of each study area described in subsection (b) that is not

designated as wilderness by section 713 to ensure the suitability of the area for designation as a component of the National Wilderness Preservation System pending revision of the applicable forest plan.

(b) **DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREAS.**—The study areas referred to in subsection (a) are those portions of the following wilderness study areas which are not designated as wilderness by section 713:

(1) The Sapphire Wilderness Study Area, as described in section 2(4) of the Montana Wilderness Study Act of 1977 (Public Law 95-150; 91 Stat. 1243).

(2) The West Pioneer Wilderness Study Area, as described in section 2(1) of the Montana Wilderness Study Act of 1977 (Public Law 95-150; 91 Stat. 1243).

SEC. 717. SPECIAL MANAGEMENT AND RECREATION MANAGEMENT AREAS.

(a) **DESIGNATION.**—To conserve, protect, and enhance the scenic, fish and wildlife, recreational, backcountry heritage, and other natural resource values of the areas, the following areas in the State are designated for special management by the Secretary concerned in accordance with this section:

(1) **HIGHLANDS SPECIAL MANAGEMENT AREA.**—Certain Federal land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 5,011 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Highlands Wilderness Area and Special Management Area” and dated September 13, 2010, which is designated as the “Highlands Special Management Area”.

(2) **LOST CREEK RECREATION MANAGEMENT AREA.**—Certain Federal land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 14,589 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Lost Creek Recreation Management Area” and dated September 13, 2010, which is designated as the “Lost Creek Recreation Management Area”.

(3) **OTATSY RECREATION MANAGEMENT AREA.**—Certain Federal land in the Lolo National Forest, comprising approximately 1,859 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Bob Marshall, Mission Mountains and Scapegoat Wilderness Additions and Otatsy Recreation Management Area” and dated September 13, 2010, which is designated as the “Otatsy Recreation Management Area”.

(4) **RODERICK SPECIAL MANAGEMENT AREA.**—Certain Federal land in the Kootenai National Forest, comprising approximately 3,715 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Roderick Wilderness and Special Management Area and Three Rivers Special Management Area” and dated September 13, 2010, which is designated as the “Roderick Special Management Area”.

(5) **THREE RIVERS SPECIAL MANAGEMENT AREA.**—Certain Federal land in the Kootenai National Forest, comprising approximately 71,994 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Roderick Wilderness and Special Management Area and Three Rivers Special Management Area” and dated September 13, 2010, which is designated as the “Three Rivers Special Management Area”.

(6) **THUNDERBOLT CREEK RECREATION MANAGEMENT AREA.**—Certain Federal land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 19,641 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Electric Peak Wilderness and Thunderbolt Creek Recreation Management Area” and dated September 13, 2010, which is designated as the “Thunderbolt Recreation Management Area”.

(7) **TOBACCO ROOTS RECREATION MANAGEMENT AREA.**—Certain Federal land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 29,186 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Tobacco Roots Recreation Management Area” and dated September 13, 2010, which is designated as the “Tobacco Roots Recreation Management Area”.

(8) **WEST BIG HOLE RECREATION MANAGEMENT AREA.**—Certain Federal land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest comprising approximately 95,144 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “West Big Hole Wilderness and Recreation Management Area” and dated September 13, 2010, which is designated as the “West Big Hole Recreation Management Area”.

(9) **WEST PIONEERS RECREATION MANAGEMENT AREA.**—Certain Federal land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, comprising approximately 128,361 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “West Pioneers Wilderness and Recreation Management Area” and dated September 13, 2010, which is designated as the “West Pioneers Recreation Management Area”.

(b) **ADMINISTRATION.**—

(1) **APPLICABLE LAW.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary concerned shall administer each area designated by subsection (a)—

(i) in furtherance of the purposes for which the area is established; and

(ii) in accordance with—

(I) this section; and

(II) any laws (including regulations) relating to the National Forest System.

(B) **CLOSURE OF TRAILS.**—Nothing in this subtitle precludes the Secretary concerned from closing any trail or area located in the areas designated by subsection (a)—

(i) to protect a natural resource; or

(ii) to help ensure public safety.

(2) **WITHDRAWAL.**—Subject to valid existing rights, any Federal land within an area designated by subsection (a) (including any Federal land acquired after the date of enactment of this Act for inclusion in an area designated by subsection (a)) is withdrawn from all forms of—

(A) entry, appropriation, or disposal under the public land laws;

(B) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and

(C) disposition under all laws pertaining to mineral and geothermal leasing or mineral materials.

(3) **TIMBER HARVESTING.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in subparagraph (B) or as authorized under subsection (c), timber harvesting shall not be permitted within an area designated by subsection (a).

(B) **FIRE, INSECTS, AND DISEASES.**—Timber harvesting may be permitted in an area designated by subsection (a) to the extent allowed under section 4(d)(1) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1133(d)(1)) for purposes relating to the necessary control of fire, insects, and diseases.

(4) **USE OF MOTORIZED OR MECHANIZED VEHICLES.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Nothing in this section affects the use of motorized or mechanized vehicles that the Secretary concerned determines is necessary for administrative use or to respond to an emergency.

(B) **MECHANIZED VEHICLES, PEDESTRIANS, AND HORSE TRAVEL.**—Except as authorized under subsection (c), nothing in this section prohibits—

(i) the use of mechanized vehicles, access by pedestrians, or horse travel within the areas designated by subsection (a); or

(ii) the construction of trails for use by mechanized vehicles, pedestrians, and horse travel within the areas designated by subsection (a).

(5) **FIREWOOD.**—The Secretary concerned may allow for the collection of firewood for noncommercial personal use within the areas designated by subsection (a)—

(A) in accordance with any applicable laws; and

(B) subject to such terms and conditions as the Secretary concerned determines to be appropriate.

(c) **AREA SPECIFIC MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS.**—

(1) **HIGHLANDS SPECIAL MANAGEMENT AREA.**—

(A) **CAMPGROUND DEVELOPMENT.**—No permanent campground may be constructed within the Highlands Special Management Area.

(B) **MOTORIZED AND MECHANIZED RECREATION.**—Except as provided in subparagraph (C), and as necessary for administrative use or to respond to an emergency, the use of motorized or mechanized vehicles within the Highlands Special Management Area shall be prohibited.

(C) **TRANSMISSION TOWERS AND MUNICIPAL WATER PIPELINES.**—Nothing in this section affects—

(i) the reasonable access of the government of the applicable county to operate and maintain the communication site located on Table Mountain under a special use permit issued by the Forest Service; and

(ii) the reasonable access of the city of Butte, Montana, to operate, maintain, and if necessary, upgrade the water supply pipeline within the Highlands Special Management Area in existence as of the date of enactment of this Act for the city of Butte (including the surrounding community of the city of Butte).

(D) **HELICOPTER LANDINGS.**—Nothing in this section precludes or restricts the authority of the Secretary concerned to enter into agreements with the Secretary of Defense or the Montana National Guard to authorize limited and scheduled landings of aircraft in the Highlands Special Management Area.

(2) **LOST CREEK, THUNDERBOLT, AND WEST PIONEERS RECREATION MANAGEMENT AREAS.**—

(A) **MOTORIZED RECREATION.**—Subject to any terms and conditions the Secretary concerned determines to be necessary, the use of motorized vehicles within the Lost Creek, Thunderbolt, and West Pioneers Recreation Management Areas shall be limited to—

(i) the routes and trails designated for such use as of the date of enactment of this Act; and

(ii) during periods of adequate snow cover, the areas designated for snowmobile use as of the date of enactment of this Act.

(B) **CAMPGROUND DEVELOPMENT.**—No permanent campground may be constructed within the Lost Creek Recreation Area.

(3) **OTATSY RECREATION MANAGEMENT AREA.**—

(A) **MOTORIZED AND MECHANIZED RECREATION.**—

(i) **IN GENERAL.**—The use of motorized and mechanized vehicles in the Otatsy Recreation Management Area shall be permitted only on the roads, trails, and areas designated for use by motorized and mechanized vehicles by the management plan required under subparagraph (B).

(ii) **INTERIM MANAGEMENT.**—Until the date on which the management plan required under subparagraph (B) is approved, and subject to any terms and conditions that the Secretary concerned determines to be nec-

essary, the use of motorized or mechanized vehicles in the Otatsy Recreation Management Area shall be limited to the routes and trails designated for such use as of the date of enactment of this Act, except that during periods of adequate snow cover, the use of snowmobiles shall be allowed within the Otatsy Recreation Management Area.

(B) **MANAGEMENT PLAN.**—The Secretary concerned shall prepare a management plan for the Otatsy Recreation Management Area as part of the first revision of the applicable forest plan that is carried out after the date of enactment of this Act.

(4) **THREE RIVERS AND RODERICK SPECIAL MANAGEMENT AREAS.**—

(A) **MOTORIZED AND MECHANIZED RECREATION.**—Except as provided in subparagraphs (B) and (C), the use of motorized or mechanized vehicles within the Three Rivers Special Management Area and the Roderick Special Management Area shall be limited to the roads on which use by highway legal vehicles is permitted as of the date of enactment of this Act.

(B) **SNOWMOBILE AREA.**—Subject to any terms and conditions the Secretary concerned determines to be necessary, the use of snowmobiles shall be allowed in the areas designated as “motorized” in the map entitled “Roderick Wilderness and Special Management Area” and dated September 13, 2010.

(C) **GAME CARTS.**—The Secretary concerned may authorize the use of nonmotorized game carts in the area identified as “Roderick Special Management Area” on the map described in subparagraph (B).

(D) **CAMPGROUND DEVELOPMENT.**—No permanent campground may be constructed in the Three Rivers Special Management Area or the Roderick Special Management Area.

(5) **TOBACCO ROOTS RECREATION MANAGEMENT AREA.**—Subject to any terms and conditions that the Secretary concerned determines to be necessary, the use of motorized vehicles shall be limited to the roads, routes, and trails in the Tobacco Roots Recreation Management Area designated for such use as of the date of enactment of this Act.

(6) **WEST BIG HOLE RECREATION MANAGEMENT AREA.**—

(A) **MOTORIZED RECREATION.**—Subject to any terms and conditions that the Secretary concerned determines to be necessary, motorized use shall be permitted on approved designated, routes, trails, and areas in the West Big Hole Recreation Management Area, including the use of snowmobiles during periods of adequate snow cover.

(B) **TIMBER HARVEST.**—The Secretary concerned may authorize post and pole, firewood, and fuel reduction timber projects in the West Big Hole Recreation Management Area, subject to such terms and conditions that the Secretary concerned determines to be appropriate.

SEC. 718. ALL TERRAIN VEHICLE STUDY AND REPORT.

Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary concerned shall study and report on—

(1) the opportunities for expanded all-terrain vehicles routes and trails across the Three Rivers District and adjacent areas on the Kootenai National Forest;

(2) the interconnectedness of routes on private or State land; and

(3) the opportunities for expanded access points to existing trails.

This division may be cited as the “Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2011”.

**DIVISION H—DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR,
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND
EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES
APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011**

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ADMINISTRATION

TRAINING AND EMPLOYMENT SERVICES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 ("WIA"), the Second Chance Act of 2007, and the Women in Apprenticeship and Non-Traditional Occupations Act of 1992, including the purchase and hire of passenger motor vehicles, the construction, alteration, and repair of buildings and other facilities, and the purchase of real property for training centers as authorized by the WIA; \$3,861,457,000, plus reimbursements, shall be available. Of the amounts provided:

(1) for grants to States for adult employment and training activities, youth activities, dislocated worker employment and training activities, and for workforce innovation activities, \$3,110,380,000 as follows:

(A) \$891,540,000 for adult employment and training activities, of which not more than \$30,000,000, shall be available for workforce innovation activities to carry out projects authorized under section 171(b) of the WIA that demonstrate innovative strategies or replicate effective evidence-based strategies that align and strengthen the workforce investment system in order to improve program delivery and education and employment outcomes for program beneficiaries, and of which \$179,540,000 shall be available for the period July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012, and of which \$712,000,000 shall be available for the period October 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012;

(B) \$985,000,000 for youth activities, which shall be available for the period April 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012; and of which not more than \$85,000,000 shall be for workforce innovation activities to carry out projects authorized under section 171(b) of the WIA that demonstrate innovative strategies or replicate effective evidence-based strategies that align and strengthen the workforce investment system in order to improve program delivery and education and employment outcomes for youth; *Provided*, That notwithstanding section 128(a)(1) of the WIA, the amount available to the Governor for statewide activities shall not exceed 10 percent of the amount allotted to the State from the appropriation under this subparagraph: *Provided further*, That of the funds reserved in this subparagraph for workforce innovation activities not less than 30 percent shall be for projects providing summer employment activities for youth; and

(C) \$1,233,840,000 for dislocated worker employment and training activities, of which not more than \$50,000,000 shall be for workforce innovation activities to carry out projects authorized under section 171(b) of the WIA that demonstrate innovative strategies or replicate effective evidence-based strategies that align and strengthen the workforce investment system in order to improve program delivery and education and employment outcomes for program beneficiaries, and of which \$373,840,000 shall be available for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012, and of which \$860,000,000 shall be available for the period October 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012:

Provided, That with respect to a local board's transfer authority, section 133(b)(4) of the WIA shall be applied by substituting "30 per-

cent" for "20 percent" each place the term appears in such section: *Provided further*, That a local board may award a contract to an institution of higher education or other eligible training provider if the local board determines that it would facilitate the training of multiple individuals in high-demand occupations, if such contract does not limit customer choice: *Provided further*, That projects carried out with funds available for workforce innovation activities shall not be subject to the requirements of section 171(b)(2)(B) of the WIA and shall be administered by the Secretary of Labor in cooperation with the Secretary of Education and, as appropriate, other heads of departments and agencies: *Provided further*, That of the funds available for workforce innovation activities, not more than 5 percent shall be for technical assistance and evaluations related to the projects carried out with these funds;

(2) for federally administered programs, \$480,038,000 as follows:

(A) \$229,160,000 for the dislocated workers assistance national reserve, of which \$29,160,000 shall be available for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012, and of which \$200,000,000 shall be available for the period October 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012: *Provided*, That funds provided to carry out section 132(a)(2)(A) of the WIA may be used to provide assistance to a State for State-wide or local use in order to address cases where there have been worker dislocations across multiple sectors or across multiple local areas and such workers remain dislocated; coordinate the State workforce development plan with emerging economic development needs; and train such eligible dislocated workers: *Provided further*, That funds provided to carry out section 171(d) of the WIA may be used for demonstration projects that provide assistance to new entrants in the workforce and incumbent workers: *Provided further*, That none of the funds shall be obligated to carry out section 173(e) of the WIA;

(B) \$55,000,000 for Native American programs, which shall be available for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012;

(C) \$87,378,000 for migrant and seasonal farmworker programs under section 167 of the WIA, including \$80,968,000 for formula grants (of which not less than 70 percent shall be for employment and training services), \$5,900,000 for migrant and seasonal housing (of which not less than 70 percent shall be for permanent housing), and \$510,000 for other discretionary purposes, which shall be available for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law or related regulation, the Department of Labor shall take no action limiting the number or proportion of eligible participants receiving related assistance services or discouraging grantees from providing such services;

(D) \$1,000,000 for carrying out the Women in Apprenticeship and Nontraditional Occupations Act, which shall be available for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012; and

(E) \$107,500,000 for YouthBuild activities as described in section 173A of the WIA, which shall be available for the period April 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012;

(3) for national activities, \$271,039,000 as follows:

(A) \$94,689,000 for Pilots, Demonstrations, and Research, which shall be available for the period April 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012, of which \$40,000,000 shall be for Transitional Jobs activities, and shall not be subject to the requirements of section 171(b)(2)(B) or 171(c)(4)(D) of the WIA, and that up to 10 per-

cent of the amount available for Transitional Jobs activities may be used for evaluation of such projects or transferred to the Department of Health and Human Services and/or the Department of Justice for support of Transitional Jobs activities; and of which \$48,133,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, as specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act): *Provided*, That funding provided to carry out such projects shall not be subject to the requirements of sections 171(b)(2)(B) and 171(c)(4)(D) of the WIA, the joint funding requirements of sections 171(b)(2)(A) and 171(c)(4)(A) of the WIA, or any time limit requirements of sections 171(b)(2)(C) and 171(c)(4)(B) of the WIA;

(B) \$55,000,000 for activities that prepare workers for careers in energy efficiency and renewable energy as described in section 171(e)(1)(B) of the WIA, under the authority of section 171 of the WIA, which shall be available for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012, and which shall not be subject to the requirements of section 171(b)(2)(B) or 171(c)(4)(D);

(C) \$98,000,000 for ex-offender activities, under the authority of section 171 of the WIA and section 212 of the Second Chance Act of 2007, which shall be available for the period April 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012, notwithstanding the requirements of section 171(b)(2)(B) or 171(c)(4)(D) of the WIA;

(D) \$9,600,000 for Evaluation, which shall be available for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012; and

(E) \$13,750,000 for the Workforce Data Quality Initiative, under the authority of section 171(c)(2) of the WIA, which shall be available for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012, and which shall not be subject to the requirements of section 171(c)(4)(D).

OFFICE OF JOB CORPS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

To carry out subtitle C of title I of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998, including Federal administrative expenses, the purchase and hire of passenger motor vehicles, the construction, alteration and repairs of buildings and other facilities, and the purchase of real property for training centers as authorized by the Workforce Investment Act; \$1,719,125,000, plus reimbursements, as follows:

(1) \$1,584,015,000 for Job Corps Operations, of which \$993,015,000 shall be available for obligation for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 and of which \$591,000,000 shall be available for obligation for the period October 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012;

(2) \$104,250,000 for construction, rehabilitation and acquisition of Job Corps Centers, of which \$4,250,000 shall be available for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2014 and \$100,000,000 shall be available for the period October 1, 2011 through June 30, 2014: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Labor may transfer up to 25 percent of such funds to meet the operational needs of such centers: *Provided further*, That any funds transferred pursuant to the preceding proviso shall not be available for obligation after June 30, 2012; and

(3) \$30,860,000 for necessary expenses of the Office of Job Corps shall be available for obligation for the period October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011:

Provided, That no funds from any other appropriation shall be used to provide meal services at or for Job Corps centers.

COMMUNITY SERVICE EMPLOYMENT FOR OLDER AMERICANS

To carry out title V of the Older Americans Act of 1965 ("OAA"), \$620,425,000, which shall be available for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012: *Provided*, That funds made available under this heading may, in accordance with section 517(c) of the OAA, be recaptured and reobligated.

FEDERAL UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS AND ALLOWANCES

For payments during fiscal year 2011 of trade adjustment benefit payments and allowances under part I of subchapter B of chapter 2 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974, and section 246 of that Act; and for training, employment and case management services, allowances for job search and relocation, and related State administrative expenses under part II of subchapter B of chapter 2 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974, including benefit payments, allowances, training, and related State administration provided pursuant to paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 1891(b) of the Trade and Globalization Adjustment Assistance Act of 2009, \$1,938,200,000, together with such amounts as may be necessary to be charged to the subsequent appropriation for payments for any period subsequent to September 15, 2011.

STATE UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND EMPLOYMENT SERVICE OPERATIONS

For authorized administrative expenses, \$89,403,000, together with not to exceed \$4,168,924,000 which may be expended from the Employment Security Administration Account in the Unemployment Trust Fund ("the Trust Fund"), of which:

(1) \$3,390,079,000 from the Trust Fund is for grants to States for the administration of State unemployment insurance laws as authorized under title III of the Social Security Act (including \$65,000,000 to conduct in-person re-employment and eligibility assessments and unemployment insurance improper payment reviews), the administration of unemployment insurance for Federal employees and for ex-service members as authorized under 5 U.S.C. 8501–8523, and the administration of trade readjustment allowances, re-employment trade adjustment assistance, and alternative trade adjustment assistance under the Trade Act of 1974 and under section 1891(b) of the Trade and Globalization Adjustment Assistance Act of 2009, and shall be available for obligation by the States through December 31, 2011, except that funds used for automation acquisitions shall be available for obligation by the States through September 30, 2013, and funds used for unemployment insurance workloads experienced by the States through September 30, 2011, shall be available for Federal obligation through December 31, 2011: *Provided*, That funds awarded to States under the misclassification initiative or to conduct re-employment and eligibility assessment and improper payment reviews shall be available for obligation by the States through September 30, 2013;

(2) \$11,310,000 from the Trust Fund is for national activities necessary to support the administration of the Federal-State unemployment insurance system;

(3) \$680,893,000 from the Trust Fund, together with \$22,683,000 from the General Fund of the Treasury, is for grants to States in accordance with section 6 of the Wagner-Peyser Act, and shall be available for Federal obligation for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012;

(4) \$20,994,000 from the Trust Fund is for national activities of the Employment Serv-

ice, including administration of the work opportunity tax credit under section 51 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and the provision of technical assistance and staff training under the Wagner-Peyser Act, including not to exceed \$1,228,000 that may be used for amortization payments to States which had independent retirement plans in their State employment service agencies prior to 1980;

(5) \$65,648,000 from the Trust Fund is for the administration of foreign labor certifications and related activities under the Immigration and Nationality Act and related laws, of which \$50,519,000 shall be available for the Federal administration of such activities, and \$15,129,000 shall be available for grants to States for the administration of such activities; and

(6) \$66,720,000 from the General Fund is to provide workforce information, national electronic tools, and one-stop system building under the Wagner-Peyser Act and section 171 (e)(2)(C) of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 and shall be available for Federal obligation for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012:

Provided, That to the extent that the Average Weekly Insured Unemployment ("AWIU") for fiscal year 2011 is projected by the Department of Labor to exceed 6,051,000, an additional \$28,600,000 from the Trust Fund shall be available for obligation for every 100,000 increase in the AWIU level (including a pro rata amount for any increment less than 100,000) to carry out title III of the Social Security Act: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated in this Act that are allotted to a State to carry out activities under title III of the Social Security Act may be used by such State to assist other States in carrying out activities under such title III if the other States include areas that have suffered a major disaster declared by the President under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Labor may use funds appropriated for grants to States under title III of the Social Security Act to make payments on behalf of States for the use of the National Directory of New Hires under section 453(j)(8) of such Act: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated in this Act which are used to establish a national one-stop career center system, or which are used to support the national activities of the Federal-State unemployment insurance or immigration programs, may be obligated in contracts, grants, or agreements with non-State entities: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated under this Act for activities authorized under title III of the Social Security Act and the Wagner-Peyser Act may be used by States to fund integrated Unemployment Insurance and Employment Service automation efforts, notwithstanding cost allocation principles prescribed under the Office of Management and Budget Circular A-87: *Provided further*, That the Secretary, at the request of a State participating in a consortium with other States, may reallocate funds allotted to such State under title III of the Social Security Act to other States participating in the consortium in order to carry out activities that benefit the administration of the unemployment compensation law of the State making the request.

ADVANCES TO THE UNEMPLOYMENT TRUST FUND AND OTHER FUNDS

For repayable advances to the Unemployment Trust Fund as authorized by sections 905(d) and 1203 of the Social Security Act, and to the Black Lung Disability Trust Fund as authorized by section 9501(c)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; and for non-

repayable advances to the Unemployment Trust Fund as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 8509, and to the "Federal Unemployment Benefits and Allowances" account, such sums as may be necessary, which shall be available for obligation through September 30, 2012.

PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION

For expenses of administering employment and training programs, \$103,451,000, together with not to exceed \$55,472,000, which may be expended from the Employment Security Administration Account in the Unemployment Trust Fund.

EMPLOYEE BENEFITS SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for the Employee Benefits Security Administration, \$172,995,000.

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION FUND

The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ("Corporation") is authorized to make such expenditures, including financial assistance authorized by subtitle E of title IV of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, within limits of funds and borrowing authority available to the Corporation, and in accord with law, and to make such contracts and commitments without regard to fiscal year limitations, as provided by 31 U.S.C. 9104, as may be necessary in carrying out the program, including associated administrative expenses, through September 30, 2011, for the Corporation: *Provided*, That none of the funds available to the Corporation for fiscal year 2011 shall be available for obligations for administrative expenses in excess of \$466,301,000: *Provided further*, That to the extent that the number of new plan participants in plans terminated by the Corporation exceeds 100,000 in fiscal year 2011, an amount not to exceed an additional \$9,200,000 shall be available through September 30, 2012 for obligation for administrative expenses for every 20,000 additional terminated participants: *Provided further*, That an additional \$50,000 shall be made available through September 30, 2012, for obligation for investment management fees for every \$25,000,000 in assets received by the Corporation as a result of new plan terminations or asset growth, after approval by the Office of Management and Budget and notification of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate: *Provided further*, That obligations in excess of the amounts provided in this paragraph may be incurred for unforeseen and extraordinary pretermination expenses after approval by the Office of Management and Budget and notification of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

OFFICE OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION PROGRAMS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for the Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, \$123,765,000, together with \$2,181,000 which may be expended from the Special Fund in accordance with sections 39(c), 44(d), and 44(j) of the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act.

SPECIAL BENEFITS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the payment of compensation, benefits, and expenses (except administrative expenses) accruing during the current or any prior fiscal year authorized by 5 U.S.C. 81;

continuation of benefits as provided for under the heading "Civilian War Benefits" in the Federal Security Agency Appropriation Act, 1947; the Employees' Compensation Commission Appropriation Act, 1944; sections 4(c) and 5(f) of the War Claims Act of 1948; and 50 percent of the additional compensation and benefits required by section 10(h) of the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act, \$183,000,000, together with such amounts as may be necessary to be charged to the subsequent year appropriation for the payment of compensation and other benefits for any period subsequent to August 15 of the current year: *Provided*, That amounts appropriated may be used under 5 U.S.C. 8104, by the Secretary of Labor to reimburse an employer, who is not the employer at the time of injury, for portions of the salary of a re-employed, disabled beneficiary: *Provided further*, That balances of reimbursements unobligated on September 30, 2010, shall remain available until expended for the payment of compensation, benefits, and expenses: *Provided further*, That in addition there shall be transferred to this appropriation from the Postal Service and from any other corporation or instrumentality required under 5 U.S.C. 8147(c) to pay an amount for its fair share of the cost of administration, such sums as the Secretary determines to be the cost of administration for employees of such fair share entities through September 30, 2011: *Provided further*, That of those funds transferred to this account from the fair share entities to pay the cost of administration of the Federal Employees' Compensation Act, \$65,364,000 shall be made available to the Secretary as follows:

(1) For enhancement and maintenance of automated data processing systems and telecommunications systems, \$17,318,000;

(2) For automated workload processing operations, including document imaging, centralized mail intake, and medical bill processing, \$32,973,000;

(3) For periodic roll management and medical review, \$15,073,000; and

(4) The remaining funds shall be paid into the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts: *Provided further*, That the Secretary may require that any person filing a notice of injury or a claim for benefits under 5 U.S.C. 81, or the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act, provide as part of such notice and claim, such identifying information (including Social Security account number) as such regulations may prescribe.

SPECIAL BENEFITS FOR DISABLED COAL MINERS

For carrying out title IV of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977, as amended by Public Law 107-275, \$158,220,000, to remain available until expended.

For making after July 31 of the current fiscal year, benefit payments to individuals under title IV of such Act, for costs incurred in the current fiscal year, such amounts as may be necessary.

For making benefit payments under title IV for the first quarter of fiscal year 2012, \$41,000,000, to remain available until expended.

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES, ENERGY EMPLOYEES OCCUPATIONAL ILLNESS COMPENSATION FUND

For necessary expenses to administer the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act, \$53,778,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Labor may require that any person filing a claim for benefits under the Act provide as part of such claim, such identifying information (including So-

cial Security account number) as may be prescribed.

BLACK LUNG DISABILITY TRUST FUND (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

In fiscal year 2011, such sums as may be necessary from the Black Lung Disability Trust Fund ("Fund"), to remain available until expended, for payment of all benefits authorized by section 9501(d)(1), (2), (6), and (7) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; and repayment of, and payment of interest on advances, as authorized by section 9501(d)(4) of that Act. In addition, the following amounts may be expended from the Fund for fiscal year 2011 for expenses of operation and administration of the Black Lung Benefits program, as authorized by section 9501(d)(5): not to exceed \$33,075,000 for transfer to the Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, "Salaries and Expenses"; not to exceed \$25,394,000 for transfer to Departmental Management, "Salaries and Expenses"; not to exceed \$327,000 for transfer to Departmental Management, "Office of Inspector General"; and not to exceed \$356,000 for payments into miscellaneous receipts for the expenses of the Department of the Treasury.

WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for the Wage and Hour Division, including reimbursement to State, Federal, and local agencies and their employees for inspection services rendered, \$242,640,000.

OFFICE OF FEDERAL CONTRACT COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, \$110,333,000.

OFFICE OF LABOR MANAGEMENT STANDARDS SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for the Office of Labor Management Standards, \$45,181,000.

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, \$577,096,000, including not to exceed \$105,893,000 which shall be the maximum amount available for grants to States under section 23(g) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act ("Act"), which grants shall be no less than 50 percent of the costs of State occupational safety and health programs required to be incurred under plans approved by the Secretary of Labor under section 18 of the Act; and, in addition, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration may retain up to \$200,000 per fiscal year of training institute course tuition fees, otherwise authorized by law to be collected, and may utilize such sums for occupational safety and health training and education: *Provided*, That notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, the Secretary is authorized, during the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011, to collect and retain fees for services provided to Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratories, and may utilize such sums, in accordance with the provisions of 29 U.S.C. 9a, to administer national and international laboratory recognition programs that ensure the safety of equipment and products used by workers in the workplace: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated under this paragraph shall be obligated or expended to prescribe, issue, administer, or enforce any standard, rule, regulation, or order under the Act

which is applicable to any person who is engaged in a farming operation which does not maintain a temporary labor camp and employs 10 or fewer employees: *Provided further*, That no funds appropriated under this paragraph shall be obligated or expended to administer or enforce any standard, rule, regulation, or order under the Act with respect to any employer of 10 or fewer employees who is included within a category having a Days Away, Restricted, or Transferred (DART) occupational injury and illness rate, at the most precise industrial classification code for which such data are published, less than the national average rate as such rates are most recently published by the Secretary, acting through the Bureau of Labor Statistics, in accordance with section 24 of the Act, except—

(1) to provide, as authorized by the Act, consultation, technical assistance, educational and training services, and to conduct surveys and studies;

(2) to conduct an inspection or investigation in response to an employee complaint, to issue a citation for violations found during such inspection, and to assess a penalty for violations which are not corrected within a reasonable abatement period and for any willful violations found;

(3) to take any action authorized by the Act with respect to imminent dangers;

(4) to take any action authorized by the Act with respect to health hazards;

(5) to take any action authorized by the Act with respect to a report of an employment accident which is fatal to one or more employees or which results in hospitalization of two or more employees, and to take any action pursuant to such investigation authorized by the Act; and

(6) to take any action authorized by the Act with respect to complaints of discrimination against employees for exercising rights under the Act:

Provided further, That the foregoing proviso shall not apply to any person who is engaged in a farming operation which does not maintain a temporary labor camp and employs 10 or fewer employees: *Provided further*, That \$11,000,000 shall be available for Susan Harwood training grants.

MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for the Mine Safety and Health Administration, \$373,138,000, including purchase and bestowal of certificates and trophies in connection with mine rescue and first-aid work, and the hire of passenger motor vehicles, including up to \$2,000,000 for mine rescue and recovery activities, and \$1,500,000 to continue the project with the United Mine Workers of America, for classroom and simulated rescue training for mine rescue teams; in addition, not to exceed \$750,000 may be collected by the National Mine Health and Safety Academy for room, board, tuition, and the sale of training materials, otherwise authorized by law to be collected, to be available for mine safety and health education and training activities, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302; and, in addition, the Mine Safety and Health Administration may retain up to \$1,350,000 from fees collected for the approval and certification of equipment, materials, and explosives for use in mines, and may utilize such sums for such activities; the Secretary of Labor is authorized to accept lands, buildings, equipment, and other contributions from public and private sources and to prosecute projects in cooperation with other agencies, Federal, State, or private; the Mine Safety and

Health Administration is authorized to promote health and safety education and training in the mining community through cooperative programs with States, industry, and safety associations; the Secretary is authorized to recognize the Joseph A. Holmes Safety Association as a principal safety association and, notwithstanding any other provision of law, may provide funds and, with or without reimbursement, personnel, including service of Mine Safety and Health Administration officials as officers in local chapters or in the national organization; and any funds available to the Department of Labor may be used, with the approval of the Secretary, to provide for the costs of mine rescue and survival operations in the event of a major disaster.

BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for the Bureau of Labor Statistics, including advances or reimbursements to State, Federal, and local agencies and their employees for services rendered, \$565,050,000, together with not to exceed \$67,438,000, which may be expended from the Employment Security Administration Account in the Unemployment Trust Fund, of which \$1,500,000 may be used to fund the mass layoff statistics program under section 15 of the Wagner-Peyser Act: *Provided*, That the Current Employment Survey shall maintain the content of the survey issued prior to June 2005 with respect to the collection of data for the women worker series.

OFFICE OF DISABILITY EMPLOYMENT POLICY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for the Office of Disability Employment Policy to provide leadership, develop policy and initiatives, and award grants furthering the objective of eliminating barriers to the training and employment of people with disabilities, \$42,138,000.

DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses for Departmental Management, including the hire of three sedans, \$416,297,000, together with not to exceed \$327,000, which may be expended from the Employment Security Administration Account in the Unemployment Trust Fund: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Labor may transfer up to \$4,300,000 of the funds available under this heading for legal services to "Mine Safety and Health Administration—Salaries and Expenses" for activities related to the Department of Labor's caseload before the Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission, which may include case management of civil penalties, assignment of Pattern of Violations ("POV") status, and enhanced enforcement under the POV process: *Provided further*, That \$87,000,000 for the Bureau of International Labor Affairs shall be available for obligation through December 31, 2011: *Provided further*, That funds available to the Bureau of International Labor Affairs may be used to administer or operate international labor activities, bilateral and multilateral technical assistance, and microfinance programs, by or through contracts, grants, subgrants and other arrangements: *Provided further*, That \$40,000,000 shall be for the United States' contribution to the International Labour Organization's International Program on the Elimination of Child Labor: *Provided further*, That \$26,500,000 shall be used to implement model programs that address worker rights issues through technical assistance or other programs in

countries with which the United States has free trade agreements or trade preference programs: *Provided further*, That funds available for the acquisition of Departmental information technology, architecture, infrastructure, equipment, software and related needs, may be allocated to agencies of the Department by the Department's Chief Information Officer: *Provided further*, That \$27,000,000 shall be used for program evaluation, of which \$17,000,000 shall be available for obligation through September 30, 2012: *Provided further*, That funds available for program evaluation may be transferred to any other appropriate account in the Department for such purpose: *Provided further*, That the Women's Bureau shall have grant authority.

VETERANS EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING

Not to exceed \$211,523,000 may be derived from the Employment Security Administration Account in the Unemployment Trust Fund to carry out the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 4100–4113, 4211–4215, and 4321–4327, and Public Law 103–353, and which shall be available for obligation by the States through December 31, 2011, of which \$2,449,000 is for the National Veterans' Employment and Training Services Institute.

In addition, to carry out Department of Labor programs under section 5(a)(1) of the Homeless Veterans Comprehensive Assistance Act of 2001 and the Veterans Workforce Investment Programs under section 168 of the Workforce Investment Act, \$50,971,000, of which \$9,641,000 shall be available for obligation for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For salaries and expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, \$79,090,000, together with not to exceed \$5,992,000, which may be expended from the Employment Security Administration Account in the Unemployment Trust Fund.

WORKING CAPITAL FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the Department of Labor's acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities, \$4,537,000: *Provided*, That such funds may be transferred by the Secretary of Labor for that purpose to any other account in the Department (in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act): *Provided further*, That funds available under this heading shall be used only to supplement and not to supplant existing acquisition workforce activities and may be used for training, recruitment, retention, and hiring additional members of the acquisition workforce (as defined by the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act), for information technology in support of acquisition workforce effectiveness, or for activities to improve acquisition management.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 101. None of the funds appropriated in this Act for the Job Corps shall be used to pay the salary of an individual, either as direct costs or any proration as an indirect cost, at a rate in excess of Executive Level I.

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 102. Not to exceed 1 percent of any discretionary funds (pursuant to the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985) which are appropriated for the current fiscal year for the Department of Labor in this Act may be transferred between a program, project, or activity, but no such program, project, or activity shall be increased by more than 3 percent by any such

transfer: *Provided*, That the transfer authority granted by this section shall be available only to meet emergency needs and shall not be used to create any new program or to fund any project or activity for which no funds are provided in this Act: *Provided further*, That the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate are notified at least 15 days in advance of any transfer, with such notification to include an explanation of the effects of the proposed transfer by program, project, and activity.

SEC. 103. In accordance with Executive Order No. 13126, none of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available pursuant to this Act shall be obligated or expended for the procurement of goods mined, produced, manufactured, or harvested or services rendered, whole or in part, by forced or indentured child labor in industries and host countries already identified by the United States Department of Labor prior to enactment of this Act.

SEC. 104. None of the funds made available to the Department of Labor for grants under section 414(c) of the American Competitiveness and Workforce Improvement Act of 1998 may be used for any purpose other than training in the occupations and industries for which employers are using H–1B visas to hire foreign workers, and the related activities necessary to support such training.

SEC. 105. None of the funds available to the Secretary of Labor for grants authorized under section 414(c) of the American Competitiveness and Workforce Improvement Act of 1998 shall be obligated for a grant awarded on a non-competitive basis.

SEC. 106. None of the funds appropriated in this Act under the heading "Employment and Training Administration" shall be used by a recipient or subrecipient of such funds to pay the salary and bonuses of an individual, either as direct costs or indirect costs, at a rate in excess of Executive Level II. This limitation shall not apply to vendors providing goods and services as defined in Office of Management and Budget Circular A–133. Where States are recipients of such funds, States may establish a lower limit for salaries and bonuses of those receiving salaries and bonuses from subrecipients of such funds, taking into account factors including the relative cost-of-living in the State, the compensation levels for comparable State or local government employees, and the size of the organizations that administer Federal programs involved including Employment and Training Administration programs. Notwithstanding this section, the limitation on salaries for the Job Corps shall continue to be governed by Section 101 of this Act.

SEC. 107. The Secretary of Labor shall take no action to amend, through regulatory or administration action, the definition established in section 667.220 of title 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations for functions and activities under title I of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998, or to modify, through regulatory or administrative action, the procedure for redesignation of local areas as specified in subtitle B of title I of that Act (including applying the standards specified in section 116(a)(3)(B) of that Act, but notwithstanding the time limits specified in section 116(a)(3)(B) of that Act), until such time as legislation reauthorizing the Act is enacted. Nothing in the preceding sentence shall permit or require the Secretary to withdraw approval for such redesignation from a State that received the approval not later than October 12, 2005, or to revise action taken or modify the redesignation procedure being

used by the Secretary in order to complete such redesignation for a State that initiated the process of such redesignation by submitting any request for such redesignation not later than October 26, 2005.

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

SEC. 108. (a) Of the unobligated balances available in "Department of Labor—Working Capital Fund", \$3,900,000 are permanently rescinded, to be derived solely from amounts available for the Investment in Reinvention Fund (other than amounts that were designated by the Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to a concurrent resolution on the budget or the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985).

(b) Public Law 85-67 is amended by striking the third proviso under the heading "Working Capital Fund" (as added by Public Law 104-134 and relating to establishment of an Investment in Reinvention Fund).

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 109. Funds available to the Employment and Training Administration, either directly or through a set-aside, for technical assistance services to grantees may be transferred to "Program Administration" when it is determined that those services will be more efficiently performed by Federal staff.

This title may be cited as the "Department of Labor Appropriations Act, 2011".

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

HEALTH RESOURCES AND SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

HEALTH RESOURCES AND SERVICES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For carrying out titles II, III, IV, VII, VIII, X, XI, XII, XIX, XX, XXVI, and XXVIII of the Public Health Service Act ("PHS Act"), section 427(a) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act, title V and sections 711, 1128E, 1820, and 1886 of the Social Security Act, the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986, the Native Hawaiian Health Care Act of 1988, the Cardiac Arrest Survival Act of 2000, section 712 of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, the Stem Cell Therapeutic and Research Act of 2005, the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003, and the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, \$7,715,892,000, of which \$41,200,000 from general revenues, notwithstanding section 1820(j) of the Social Security Act, shall be available for carrying out the Medicare rural hospital flexibility grants program under such section: *Provided*, That sections 340G-1(d)(1) and (d)(2), 747(c)(2), 751(j)(2), and the proportional funding amounts in paragraphs (1) through (4) of section 756(e) of the PHS Act shall not apply to funds made available in this paragraph: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available under this heading for Medicare rural hospital flexibility grants, \$1,000,000 shall be to carry out section 1820(g)(6) of the Social Security Act, with funds provided for such grants available for the purchase and implementation of telehealth services, including pilots and demonstrations on the use of electronic health records to coordinate rural veterans care between rural providers and the Department of Veterans Affairs through the use of the VISTA-Electronic Health Record: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available under this heading, \$129,000 shall be available until expended for facilities renovations at the Gillis W. Long Hansen's Disease Center: *Provided further*, That in addition to fees authorized by section 427(b) of the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of

1986, fees shall be collected for the full disclosure of information under the Act sufficient to recover the full costs of operating the National Practitioner Data Bank, and shall remain available until expended to carry out that Act: *Provided further*, That fees collected for the full disclosure of information under the "Health Care Fraud and Abuse Data Collection Program", authorized by section 1128E(d)(2) of the Social Security Act, shall be sufficient to recover the full costs of operating the program, and shall remain available until expended to carry out that Act: *Provided further*, That no more than \$40,000 shall be available until expended for carrying out the provisions of section 224(o) of the PHS Act including associated administrative expenses and relevant evaluations: *Provided further*, That no more than \$100,000,000 shall be available until expended for carrying out the provisions of Public Law 104-73 and for expenses incurred by the Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS") pertaining to administrative claims made under such law: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available under this heading, \$327,356,000 shall be for the program under title X of the PHS Act to provide for voluntary family planning projects: *Provided further*, That amounts provided to said projects under such title shall not be expended for abortions, that all pregnancy counseling shall be nondirective, and that such amounts shall not be expended for any activity (including the publication or distribution of literature) that in any way tends to promote public support or opposition to any legislative proposal or candidate for public office: *Provided further*, That of the funds available under this heading, \$2,010,365,000 shall remain available to the Secretary of HHS through September 30, 2013, for parts A and B of title XXVI of the PHS Act, of which \$900,000,000 shall be for State AIDS Drug Assistance Programs under the authority of section 2616 or 311(c) of the PHS Act: *Provided further*, That within the amounts provided for part A of title XXVI of the PHS Act, \$4,919,000 shall be available to the Secretary through September 30, 2013, and shall be available to qualifying jurisdictions, within 30 days of enactment, for increasing supplemental grants for fiscal year 2011 to metropolitan and transitional areas that received grant funding in fiscal year 2010 under subparts I and II of part A of title XXVI of the PHS Act to ensure that an area's total funding under subparts I and II of part A for fiscal year 2010, together with the amount of this additional funding, is not less than 90.7 percent of the amount of such area's total funding under part A for fiscal year 2006: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding section 2603(c)(1) of the PHS Act, the additional funding to areas under the immediately preceding proviso, which may be used for costs incurred during fiscal year 2010, shall be available to the area for obligation from the date of the award through the end of the grant year for the award: *Provided further*, That in addition to amounts provided herein, \$25,000,000 shall be available from amounts available under section 241 of the PHS Act to carry out parts A, B, C, and D of title XXVI of the PHS Act to fund section 2691 Special Projects of National Significance: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding sections 502(a)(1) and 502(b)(1) of the Social Security Act, not to exceed \$93,999,263 shall be available for carrying out special projects of regional and national significance pursuant to section 501(a)(2) of such Act and \$11,810,915 shall be available for projects described in paragraphs (A) through (F) of sec-

tion 501(a)(3) of such Act: *Provided further*, That of the funds provided, \$34,927,000 shall be provided for the Delta Health Initiative as authorized in section 219 of division G of Public Law 110-161 and associated administrative expenses: *Provided further*, That, for any program operating under section 751 of the PHS Act on or before January 1, 2009, the Secretary of HHS may waive any of the requirements contained in sections 751(d)(2)(A) and 751(d)(2)(B) of such Act: *Provided further*, That funds provided under section 846 and subpart 3 of part D of title III of the PHS Act may be used to make prior-year adjustments to awards made under these sections: *Provided further*, That of the amount appropriated in this paragraph, \$257,375,000 shall be used for the projects financing the construction and renovation (including equipment) of healthcare and other facilities and for other health-related activities, and in the amounts, specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act), and of which up to 1 percent of the amount for each project may be used for related agency administrative expenses: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding section 338J(k) of the PHS Act, \$10,075,000 shall be available for State Offices of Rural Health: *Provided further*, That of the funds provided, \$15,000,000 shall be available for the Small Rural Hospital Improvement Grant Program: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding section 399BB(g) of the PHS Act, funds made available under this heading for section 399BB of the PHS Act are for carrying out the program as authorized under section 399BB(a)-(f) of such Act unless otherwise authorized subsequent to enactment of this Act: *Provided further*, That \$65,000,000 shall be available for State Health Access Grants to expand access to affordable health care coverage for the uninsured populations in such States: *Provided further*, That of the funds provided under this paragraph, \$90,000,000 shall be for grants to assist in the development of medical schools, including the construction and acquisition of property and facilities, in designated health professional shortage areas: *Provided further*, That funds made available in this paragraph may be used to continue operating the Council on Graduate Medical Education notwithstanding section 762(k) of the PHS Act.

For an additional amount to carry out the activities listed, and in the amounts specified, under the heading "Prevention and Public Health Fund" in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act), \$20,000,000, to be derived by transfer from funds appropriated under section 4002 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act: *Provided*, That such funds shall not be available for further transfer under authority granted in this or any other Act: *Provided further*, That the amounts shall be transferred within 45 days of enactment of this Act.

VACCINE INJURY COMPENSATION PROGRAM TRUST FUND

For payments from the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program Trust Fund ("Trust Fund"), such sums as may be necessary for claims associated with vaccine-related injury or death with respect to vaccines administered after September 30, 1988, pursuant to subtitle 2 of title XXI of the Public Health Service Act, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That for necessary administrative expenses, not to exceed \$6,502,000 shall be available from the Trust Fund to the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND
PREVENTIONDISEASE CONTROL, RESEARCH, AND TRAINING
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

To carry out titles II, III, VII, XI, XV, XVII, XIX, XXI, and XXVI of the Public Health Service Act ("PHS Act"), sections 101, 102, 103, 201, 202, 203, 301, 501, and 514 of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977, section 13 of the Mine Improvement and New Emergency Response Act of 2006, sections 20, 21, and 22 of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, titles II and IV of the Immigration and Nationality Act, section 501 of the Refugee Education Assistance Act of 1980, sections 4001, 4004, 4201, and 4301 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act ("PPACA"), section 103(a)(4)(H) of the Afghanistan Freedom Support Act of 2002, and for expenses necessary to support activities related to countering potential biological, nuclear, radiological, and chemical threats to civilian populations; including purchase and insurance of official motor vehicles in foreign countries; and purchase, hire, maintenance, and operation of aircraft, \$6,288,507,000, of which \$12,000,000 shall remain available until expended for acquisition of real property, equipment, construction, and renovation of facilities, including necessary repairs and improvements to laboratories leased or operated by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; of which \$523,305,000 shall remain available until expended for the Strategic National Stockpile under section 319F-2 of the PHS Act; of which \$25,000,000 shall remain available through September 30, 2012 for chronic disease grants; of which \$12,155,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act); of which \$118,023,000 for international HIV/AIDS shall remain available through September 30, 2012; of which \$150,137,000 shall be available until expended to provide screening and treatment for first response emergency services personnel, residents, students, and others related to the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center; and of which \$5,540,000 shall remain available until expended for research on underground mine refuge chambers and alternatives: *Provided*, That paragraphs (1) through (3) of section 2821(b) of the PHS Act shall not apply to funds made available under this heading: *Provided further*, That in addition, such sums as may be derived from authorized user fees shall be credited to this account: *Provided further*, That with respect to the previous proviso, authorized user fees from the Vessel Sanitation Program shall be available through September 30, 2012: *Provided further*, That in addition to amounts provided herein, the following amounts shall be available from amounts available under section 241 of the PHS Act: (1) \$12,864,000 to carry out the National Immunization Surveys; (2) \$161,883,000 to carry out the National Center for Health Statistics surveys; (3) \$61,916,000 for Scientific and Support Services; (4) \$31,170,000 to carry out Public Health Research; and (5) \$91,724,000 to carry out research activities within the National Occupational Research Agenda: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available for injury prevention and control at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention may be used, in whole or in part, to advocate or promote gun control: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available under this paragraph, up to \$1,000 per eligible employee of the Centers for Disease Control and Preven-

tion shall be made available until expended for Individual Learning Accounts: *Provided further*, That the Director may redirect the total amount made available under authority of Public Law 101-502, section 3, dated November 3, 1990, to activities the Director may so designate: *Provided further*, That the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate are to be notified promptly of any such redirection: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$22,787,000 may be available for making grants under section 1509 of the PHS Act to not less than 22 States, tribes, or tribal organizations: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention shall award a single contract or related contracts for development and construction that collectively include the full scope of the project: *Provided further*, That the solicitation and contract shall contain the clause "availability of funds" found at 48 CFR 52.232-18: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated, \$10,000 shall be for official reception and representation expenses when specifically approved by the Director of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: *Provided further*, That employees of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or the Public Health Service, both civilian and Commissioned Officers, detailed to States, municipalities, or other organizations under authority of section 214 of the PHS Act, or in overseas assignments, shall be treated as non-Federal employees for reporting purposes only and shall not be included within any personnel ceiling applicable to the Agency, Service, or the Department of Health and Human Services during the period of detail or assignment: *Provided further*, That, notwithstanding section 516 of this Act, no activity funded under this heading or funded through transfers to "Disease Control, Research, and Training" that has a funding amount specifically identified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act) may be eliminated, increased by more than 3 percent or reduced by more than 1 percent through any administrative action.

In addition, for necessary expenses to administer the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act, \$55,358,000, to remain available until expended, of which no less than \$4,500,000 shall be for use by or in support of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health ("the Board") to carry out its statutory responsibilities, including obtaining audits, technical assistance, and other support from the Board's audit contractor with regard to radiation dose estimation and reconstruction efforts, site profiles, procedures, and review of Special Exposure Cohort petitions and evaluation reports: *Provided*, That this amount shall be available consistent with the provision regarding administrative expenses in section 151(b) of division B, title I of Public Law 106-554.

For an additional amount to carry out the activities listed, and in the amounts specified, under the heading "Prevention and Public Health Fund" in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act), \$630,000,000, to be derived by transfer from funds appropriated under section 4002 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act: *Provided*, That such funds shall not be available for further transfer under authority granted in this or any other Act: *Provided further*, That the amounts shall be trans-

ferred within 45 days of enactment of this Act.

NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH
NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to cancer, \$5,221,908,000, of which up to \$8,000,000 may be used for facilities repairs and improvements at the National Cancer Institute-Frederick Federally Funded Research and Development Center in Frederick, Maryland.

NATIONAL HEART, LUNG, AND BLOOD INSTITUTE

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to cardiovascular, lung, and blood diseases, and blood and blood products, \$3,168,353,000.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF DENTAL AND
CRANIOFACIAL RESEARCH

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to dental disease, \$422,577,000.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF DIABETES AND
DIGESTIVE AND KIDNEY DISEASES

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to diabetes and digestive and kidney disease, \$1,849,285,000.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF NEUROLOGICAL
DISORDERS AND STROKE

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to neurological disorders and stroke, \$1,673,342,000.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ALLERGY AND
INFECTIOUS DISEASES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to allergy and infectious diseases, \$4,929,920,000: *Provided*, That \$300,000,000 may be made available to International Assistance Programs "Global Fund to Fight HIV/AIDS, Malaria, and Tuberculosis", to remain available until expended.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF GENERAL MEDICAL
SCIENCES

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to general medical sciences, \$2,123,944,000.

EUNICE KENNEDY SHRIVER NATIONAL INSTITUTE
OF CHILD HEALTH AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to child health and human development, \$1,359,515,000.

NATIONAL EYE INSTITUTE

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to eye diseases and visual disorders, \$723,020,000.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL
HEALTH SCIENCES

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to environmental health sciences, \$705,733,000.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON AGING

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to aging, \$1,136,097,000.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ARTHRITIS AND
MUSCULOSKELETAL AND SKIN DISEASES

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to arthritis and musculoskeletal and skin diseases, \$553,186,000.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON DEAFNESS AND OTHER
COMMUNICATION DISORDERS

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to deafness and other communication disorders, \$428,826,000.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF NURSING RESEARCH

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to nursing research, \$149,339,000.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON ALCOHOL ABUSE AND
ALCOHOLISM

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to alcohol abuse and alcoholism, \$472,795,000.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON DRUG ABUSE

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to drug abuse, \$1,084,288,000.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to mental health, \$1,524,787,000.

NATIONAL HUMAN GENOME RESEARCH INSTITUTE

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to human genome research, \$527,485,000.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF BIOMEDICAL IMAGING
AND BIOENGINEERING

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to biomedical imaging and bioengineering research, \$324,149,000.

NATIONAL CENTER FOR RESEARCH RESOURCES

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to research resources and general research support grants, \$1,306,868,000.

NATIONAL CENTER FOR COMPLEMENTARY AND
ALTERNATIVE MEDICINE

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to complementary and alternative medicine, \$131,693,000.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON MINORITY HEALTH AND
HEALTH DISPARITIES

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act with respect to minority health and health disparities research, \$217,430,000.

JOHN E. FOGARTY INTERNATIONAL CENTER

For carrying out the activities of the John E. Fogarty International Center (described in subpart 2 of part E of title IV of the Public Health Service Act), \$71,967,000.

NATIONAL LIBRARY OF MEDICINE

For carrying out section 301 and title IV of the Public Health Service Act ("PHS Act") with respect to health information communications, \$361,826,000, of which \$4,000,000 shall be available until expended for improvement of information systems: *Provided*, That in fiscal year 2011, the National Library of Medicine may enter into personal services contracts for the provision of services in facilities owned, operated, or constructed under the jurisdiction of the National Institutes of Health: *Provided further*, That in addition to amounts provided herein, \$8,200,000 shall be available from amounts available under section 241 of the PHS Act to carry out the purposes of the National Information Center on Health Services Research and Health Care Technology established under section 478A of the PHS Act and related health services.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For carrying out the responsibilities of the Office of the Director, National Institutes of

Health ("NIH"), \$1,252,514,000, of which up to \$25,000,000 shall be used to carry out section 213 of this Act: *Provided*, That funding shall be available for the purchase of not to exceed 29 passenger motor vehicles for replacement only: *Provided further*, That the NIH is authorized to collect third party payments for the cost of clinical services that are incurred in NIH research facilities and that such payments shall be credited to the NIH Management Fund: *Provided further*, That all funds credited to such Fund shall remain available for one fiscal year after the fiscal year in which they are deposited: *Provided further*, That up to \$194,400,000 shall be available for continuation of the National Children's Study: *Provided further*, That \$557,224,000 shall be available for the Common Fund established under section 402A(c)(1) of the Public Health Service Act ("PHS Act"): *Provided further*, That of the funds provided \$10,000 shall be for official reception and representation expenses when specifically approved by the Director of the NIH: *Provided further*, That the Office of AIDS Research within the Office of the Director of the NIH may spend up to \$8,000,000 to make grants for construction or renovation of facilities as provided for in section 2354(a)(5)(B) of the PHS Act: *Provided further*, That \$50,000,000 shall be available to implement section 402C of the PHS Act, relating to the Cures Acceleration Network: *Provided further*, That if the entirety of the \$50,000,000 cannot be obligated for the Cures Acceleration Network in fiscal year 2011, the Director is authorized to transfer the remaining funds to the Institutes and Centers, in proportion to, and for the same purposes as, the appropriations otherwise made to such Institutes and Centers in this Act, in order to obligate the full amount available prior to October 1, 2011.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

For the study of, construction of, renovation of, and acquisition of equipment for, facilities of or used by the National Institutes of Health, including the acquisition of real property, \$36,390,000, to remain available until expended.

SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND MENTAL HEALTH
SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND MENTAL HEALTH
SERVICES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For carrying out titles III, V, and XIX of the Public Health Service Act ("PHS Act") with respect to substance abuse and mental health services and the Protection and Advocacy for Individuals with Mental Illness Act, \$3,461,456,000, of which \$10,118,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act): *Provided*, That notwithstanding section 520A(f)(2) of the PHS Act, no funds appropriated for carrying out section 520A are available for carrying out section 1971 of the PHS Act: *Provided further*, That in addition to amounts provided herein, the following amounts shall be available under section 241 of the PHS Act: (1) \$79,200,000 to carry out subpart II of part B of title XIX of the PHS Act to fund section 1935(b) technical assistance, national data, data collection and evaluation activities, and further that the total available under this Act for section 1935(b) activities shall not exceed 5 percent of the amounts appropriated for subpart II of part B of title XIX; (2) \$21,039,000 to carry out subpart I of part B of title XIX of the PHS Act to fund section 1920(b) technical assistance, national data, data collection and evaluation

activities, and further that the total available under this Act for section 1920(b) activities shall not exceed 5 percent of the amounts appropriated for subpart I of part B of title XIX; (3) \$23,399,000 to carry out national surveys on drug abuse and mental health; and (4) \$8,596,000 to collect and analyze data and evaluate substance abuse treatment programs: *Provided further*, That section 520E(b)(2) of the PHS Act shall not apply to funds appropriated under this Act for fiscal year 2011.

For an additional amount to carry out the activities listed, and in the amounts specified, under the heading "Prevention and Public Health Fund" in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act), \$88,000,000, to be derived by transfer from funds appropriated under section 4002 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act: *Provided*, That such funds shall not be available for further transfer under authority granted in this or any other Act: *Provided further*, That the amounts shall be transferred within 45 days of enactment of this Act.

AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH AND
QUALITY

HEALTHCARE RESEARCH AND QUALITY
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For carrying out titles III and IX of the Public Health Service Act ("PHS Act"), part A of title XI of the Social Security Act, and section 1013 of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003, amounts received from Freedom of Information Act fees, reimbursable and interagency agreements, and the sale of data shall be credited to this appropriation and shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the amount made available pursuant to section 947(c) of the PHS Act shall not exceed \$403,700,000.

For an additional amount to carry out the activities listed, and in the amounts specified, under the heading "Prevention and Public Health Fund" in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act), \$12,000,000, to be derived by transfer from funds appropriated under section 4002 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act: *Provided*, That such funds shall not be available for further transfer under authority granted in this or any other Act: *Provided further*, That the amounts shall be transferred within 45 days of enactment of this Act.

CENTERS FOR MEDICARE AND MEDICAID
SERVICES

GRANTS TO STATES FOR MEDICAID

For carrying out, except as otherwise provided, titles XI and XIX of the Social Security Act, \$173,143,799,000, to remain available until expended.

For making, after May 31, 2011, payments to States or in the case of section 1928 on behalf of States under title XIX of the Social Security Act for the last quarter of fiscal year 2011 for unanticipated costs, incurred for the current fiscal year, such sums as may be necessary.

For making payments to States or in the case of section 1928 on behalf of States under title XIX of the Social Security Act for the first quarter of fiscal year 2012, \$86,445,289,000, to remain available until expended.

Payment under title XIX may be made for any quarter with respect to a State plan or plan amendment in effect during such quarter, if submitted in or prior to such quarter

and approved in that or any subsequent quarter.

PAYMENTS TO HEALTHCARE TRUST FUNDS

For payment to the Federal Hospital Insurance Trust Fund and the Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund, as provided under sections 217(g), 1844, and 1860D-16 of the Social Security Act, sections 103(c) and 111(d) of the Social Security Amendments of 1965, section 278(d) of Public Law 97-248, and for administrative expenses incurred pursuant to section 201(g) of the Social Security Act, \$229,624,000,000.

In addition, for making matching payments under section 1844, and benefit payments under section 1860D-16 of the Social Security Act, not anticipated in budget estimates, such sums as may be necessary.

PROGRAM MANAGEMENT

For carrying out, except as otherwise provided, titles XI, XVIII, XIX, and XXI of the Social Security Act, titles XIII and XXVII of the Public Health Service Act ("PHS Act"), the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, not to exceed \$3,646,147,000, to be transferred from the Federal Hospital Insurance Trust Fund and the Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund, as authorized by section 201(g) of the Social Security Act; together with all funds collected in accordance with section 353 of the PHS Act and section 1857(e)(2) of the Social Security Act, funds retained by the Secretary of Health and Human Services pursuant to section 302 of the Tax Relief and Health Care Act of 2006; and such sums as may be collected from authorized user fees and the sale of data, which shall be credited to this account and remain available until expended: *Provided*, That all funds derived in accordance with 31 U.S.C. 9701 from organizations established under title XIII of the PHS Act shall be credited to and available for carrying out the purposes of this appropriation: *Provided further*, That \$37,687,000, to remain available through September 30, 2012, shall be for contract costs for the Healthcare Integrated General Ledger Accounting System: *Provided further*, That \$9,120,000, to remain available through September 30, 2012, shall be for the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS") Medicare contracting reform activities: *Provided further*, That \$50,000,000 shall be available for the State high risk health insurance pool program as authorized by the State High Risk Pool Funding Extension Act of 2006: *Provided further*, That the Secretary is directed to collect fees in fiscal year 2011 from Medicare Advantage organizations pursuant to section 1857(e)(2) of the Social Security Act and from eligible organizations with risk-sharing contracts under section 1876 of that Act pursuant to section 1876(k)(4)(D) of that Act: *Provided further*, That \$4,415,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, specified under the heading "Program Management" in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

HEALTH CARE FRAUD AND ABUSE CONTROL ACCOUNT

In addition to amounts otherwise available for program integrity and program management, \$471,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2012, to be transferred from the Federal Hospital Insurance Trust Fund and the Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund, as authorized by section 201(g) of the Social Security Act, of

which \$280,640,000 shall be for the Medicare Integrity Program at the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, including administrative costs, to conduct oversight activities for Medicare Advantage and the Medicare Prescription Drug Program authorized in title XVIII of the Social Security Act and for activities listed in section 1893 of such Act; of which \$79,657,000 shall be for the Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General to carry out fraud and abuse activities authorized by section 1817(k)(3) of such Act; of which \$35,100,000 shall be for the Medicaid and Children's Health Insurance Program ("CHIP") program integrity activities; and of which \$75,603,000 shall be for the Department of Justice to carry out fraud and abuse activities authorized by section 1817(k)(3) of such Act: *Provided*, That the report required by section 1817(k)(5) of the Social Security Act for fiscal year 2011 shall include measures of the operational efficiency and impact on fraud, waste, and abuse in the Medicare, Medicaid, and CHIP programs for the funds provided by this appropriation.

ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES

PAYMENTS TO STATES FOR CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT AND FAMILY SUPPORT PROGRAMS

For making payments to States or other non-Federal entities under titles I, IV-D, X, XI, XIV, and XVI of the Social Security Act and the Act of July 5, 1960, \$2,482,814,000, to remain available until expended; and for such purposes for the first quarter of fiscal year 2012, \$1,200,000,000, to remain available until expended.

For making payments to each State for carrying out the program of Aid to Families with Dependent Children under title IV-A of the Social Security Act before the effective date of the program of Temporary Assistance for Needy Families with respect to such State, such sums as may be necessary: *Provided*, That the sum of the amounts available to a State with respect to expenditures under such title IV-A in fiscal year 1997 under this appropriation and under such title IV-A as amended by the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 shall not exceed the limitations under section 116(b) of such Act.

For making, after May 31 of the current fiscal year, payments to States or other non-Federal entities under titles I, IV-D, X, XI, XIV, and XVI of the Social Security Act and the Act of July 5, 1960, for the last 3 months of the current fiscal year for unanticipated costs, incurred for the current fiscal year, such sums as may be necessary.

LOW INCOME HOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE

For making payments under subsections (b), (d), and (e) of section 2602 of the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Act of 1981, \$5,000,000,000, of which \$4,509,672,000 shall be for payments under subsections (b) and (d) of such section; and of which \$490,328,000 shall be for payments under subsection (e) of such section, to be made notwithstanding the designation requirements of such subsection: *Provided*, That all but \$839,792,000 of the amount provided in this Act for subsections (b) and (d) shall be allocated as though the total appropriation for such payments for fiscal year 2011 was less than \$1,975,000,000: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding section 2605(b)(2)(B)(ii) of such Act, a State may use any amount of an allotment from prior appropriations Acts that is available to that State for providing assistance in fiscal year 2011, and any allotment from funds appropriated in this Act or any other appropriations Act for fiscal year 2011, to provide as-

sistance to households whose income does not exceed 75 percent of the State median income: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding section 2609(A)(a), of the amounts appropriated under section 2602(b), not more than \$5,000,000 of such amounts may be reserved by the Secretary of Health and Human Services for technical assistance, training, and monitoring of program activities for compliance with internal controls, policies, and procedures.

REFUGEE AND ENTRANT ASSISTANCE

For necessary expenses for refugee and entrant assistance activities authorized by section 414 of the Immigration and Nationality Act and section 501 of the Refugee Education Assistance Act of 1980, for carrying out section 462 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002, section 235 of the William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008, and the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000, for costs associated with the care and placement of unaccompanied alien children, and for carrying out the Torture Victims Relief Act of 1998, \$767,102,000, of which up to \$10,814,000 shall be available to carry out the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000: *Provided*, That funds appropriated under this heading pursuant to section 414(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, section 462 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002, section 235 of the William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008, and the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000 for fiscal year 2011 shall be available for the costs of assistance provided and other activities to remain available through September 30, 2013: *Provided further*, That amounts available herein for refugee school impact grants under title IV of the Immigration and Nationality Act shall also be available for grants by the Secretary of Health and Human Services to States for the purpose of assisting school districts serving significant numbers of children who entered the United States from Haiti during the period January 12, 2010 through May 31, 2010 and who are United States citizens or Haitian nationals, to meet the educational and related needs of such children.

PAYMENTS TO STATES FOR THE CHILD CARE AND DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT

For carrying out the Child Care and Development Block Grant Act of 1990, \$2,808,080,000 shall be used to supplement, not supplant State general revenue funds for child care assistance for low-income families: *Provided*, That \$23,224,000 shall be available for child care resource and referral and school-aged child care activities, of which \$1,000,000 shall be for the Child Care Aware toll-free hotline: *Provided further*, That, in addition to the amounts required to be reserved by the States under section 658G, \$358,292,000 shall be reserved by the States for activities authorized under section 658G, of which \$131,400,000 shall be for activities that improve the quality of infant and toddler care: *Provided further*, That \$9,910,000 shall be for use by the Secretary of Health and Human Services for child care research, demonstration, and evaluation activities.

SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

For making grants to States pursuant to section 2002 of the Social Security Act, \$1,700,000,000: *Provided*, That notwithstanding subparagraph (B) of section 404(d)(2) of such Act, the applicable percent specified under such subparagraph for a State to carry out State programs pursuant to title XX of such Act shall be 10 percent.

CHILDREN AND FAMILIES SERVICES PROGRAMS
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For carrying out, except as otherwise provided, the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act, the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act, the Head Start Act, the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act, sections 310 and 316 of the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act, the Native American Programs Act of 1974, title II of the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment and Adoption Reform Act of 1978 (adoption opportunities), the Abandoned Infants Assistance Act of 1988, sections 261 and 291 of the Help America Vote Act of 2002, part B-1 of title IV and sections 413, 1110, and 1115 of the Social Security Act; for making payments under the Community Services Block Grant Act ("CSBG Act"), sections 439(i), 473B, and 477(i) of the Social Security Act, and the Assets for Independence Act; and for necessary administrative expenses to carry out such Acts and titles I, IV, V, X, XI, XIV, XVI, and XX of the Social Security Act, the Act of July 5, 1960, the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Act of 1981, title IV of the Immigration and Nationality Act, section 501 of the Refugee Education Assistance Act of 1980, and section 505 of the Family Support Act of 1988, \$10,301,491,000, of which \$42,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2012, shall be for grants to States for adoption incentive payments, as authorized by section 473A of the Social Security Act and may be made for adoptions completed before September 30, 2011: *Provided*, That \$8,074,783,000 shall be for making payments under the Head Start Act: *Provided further*, That for purposes of allocating funds described by the immediately preceding proviso, the following provisions shall apply: (1) the term "base grant" as used in section 640(a)(7)(A) of such Act with respect to funding provided to a Head Start agency (including Early Head Start) for fiscal year 2010 shall be deemed to include funds appropriated in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 ("ARRA") and provided to such agency for carrying out expansion of Head Start programs, as that phrase is used in subsection (a)(4)(D) of such section 640, and provided to such agency as the ongoing funding level for operations in the 12 month budget period beginning in fiscal year 2010; (2) in subparagraph (C) of subsection (a)(2) of such section, the phrase "not less than 2.5 percent" shall be deemed to read "not less than 2.661 percent"; (3) the amount reserved under subparagraph (C) of subsection (a)(2) of such section shall be less than the amount that would be reserved under such subparagraph absent this proviso by a sum of \$5,131,935; (4) the amount reserved under subparagraph (E) of subsection (a)(2) of such section shall be more than the amount that would be reserved under such subparagraph absent this proviso by a sum of \$15,000,000; (5) of all amounts reserved under subparagraph (E) of subsection (a)(2) of such section, the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall use an amount of not less than \$15,000,000 for the purpose of reducing fraud and abuse in the Head Start (including Early Head Start) program; (6) subsection (a)(3) of such section shall be deemed to read as follows: "From any amount remaining for a fiscal year after the Secretary carries out paragraph (2), the Secretary shall provide \$10,000,000 for Indian Head Start programs (including Early Head Start programs), and \$10,000,000 for migrant and seasonal Head Start programs, to increase enrollment in the programs involved"; and (7) the text of paragraph (4)(B)(i) of such section shall be

deemed to read as follows: "Under the circumstances described in clause (ii), from the balance, the Secretary shall reserve remaining amounts, to be allotted to each Head Start agency (including Early Head Start) in an amount that bears the same ratio to such remaining amounts as the funds appropriated in ARRA and provided to such agency for carrying out quality improvement activities of Head Start programs, as that phrase is used in paragraph (5) of this subsection (which funds shall be referred to in this proviso as such agency's 'ARRA quality improvement funds') bear to the total of all such agencies' ARRA quality improvement funds, to carry out such quality improvement activities": *Provided further*, That \$850,000,000 shall be for making payments under the CSBG Act, of which \$55,000,000 shall be for section 680(a)(2) of the CSBG Act: *Provided further*, That not less than \$10,000,000 shall be for section 680(a)(3)(B) of the CSBG Act: *Provided further*, That in addition to amounts provided herein, \$5,762,000 shall be available from amounts available under section 241 of the Public Health Service Act to carry out the provisions of section 1110 of the Social Security Act: *Provided further*, That to the extent Community Services Block Grant funds are distributed as grant funds by a State to an eligible entity as provided under the CSBG Act, and have not been expended by such entity, they shall remain with such entity for carryover into the next fiscal year for expenditure by such entity consistent with program purposes: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall establish procedures regarding the disposition of intangible assets and program income that permit such assets acquired with, and program income derived from, grant funds authorized under section 680 of the CSBG Act to become the sole property of such grantees after a period of not more than 12 years after the end of the grant period for any activity consistent with section 680(a)(2)(A) of the CSBG Act: *Provided further*, That intangible assets in the form of loans, equity investments and other debt instruments, and program income may be used by grantees for any eligible purpose consistent with section 680(a)(2)(A) of the CSBG Act: *Provided further*, That these procedures shall apply to such grant funds made available after November 29, 1999: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated for section 680(a)(2) of the CSBG Act shall be available for financing construction and rehabilitation and loans or investments in private business enterprises owned by community development corporations: *Provided further*, That \$17,410,000 shall be for activities authorized by the Help America Vote Act of 2002, of which \$12,154,000 shall be for payments to States to promote access for voters with disabilities, and of which \$5,256,000 shall be for payments to States for protection and advocacy systems for voters with disabilities: *Provided further*, That \$2,000,000 shall be for a human services case management system for federally declared disasters, to include a comprehensive national case management contract and Federal costs of administering the system: *Provided further*, That up to \$2,000,000 shall be for improving the Public Assistance Reporting Information System, including grants to States to support data collection for a study of the system's effectiveness: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, \$1,500,000 shall be transferred to the National Commission on Children and Disasters to carry out title VI of division G of Public Law 110-161, and notwithstanding section 611(d)(1) of such

title, the National Commission on Children and Disasters shall terminate on October 1, 2011: *Provided further*, That \$22,627,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, as specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

PROMOTING SAFE AND STABLE FAMILIES

For carrying out section 436 of the Social Security Act, \$365,000,000 and section 437 of such Act, \$63,311,000.

PAYMENTS FOR FOSTER CARE AND PERMANENCY

For making payments to States or other non-Federal entities under title IV-E of the Social Security Act, \$5,366,000,000.

For making payments to States or other non-Federal entities under title IV-E of the Social Security Act, for the first quarter of fiscal year 2012, \$1,850,000,000.

For making, after May 31 of the current fiscal year, payments to States or other non-Federal entities under section 474 of title IV-E of the Social Security Act, for the last 3 months of the current fiscal year for unanticipated costs, incurred for the current fiscal year, such sums as may be necessary.

ADMINISTRATION ON AGING

AGING SERVICES PROGRAMS

For carrying out, to the extent not otherwise provided, the Older Americans Act of 1965 ("OAA"), section 398 and title XXIX of the Public Health Service Act ("PHS Act"), and section 119 of the Medicare Improvements for Patients and Providers Act of 2008 and for necessary administrative expenses to carry out title XVII of the PHS Act, \$1,633,078,000: *Provided*, That \$3,500,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, as specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act): *Provided further*, That amounts under this heading shall be available for grants to States under section 361 of the OAA only for disease prevention and health promotion programs and activities which have been demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Secretary of Health and Human Services to be evidence-based and effective: *Provided further*, That the total amount available for fiscal year 2011 under this and any other Act, to carry out activities relating to Aging and Disability Resource Centers under subsections (a)(20)(B)(iii) and (b)(8) of section 202 of the OAA, shall not exceed the amount obligated for such purposes for fiscal year 2010 from funds available under Public Law 111-117.

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

GENERAL DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided, for general departmental management, including hire of six sedans, and for carrying out titles III, IV, XVII, XX, XXI, and XXVII of the Public Health Service Act ("PHS Act"), the United States-Mexico Border Health Commission Act, and research studies under section 1110 of the Social Security Act, \$574,149,000; of which \$53,891,000 shall be for minority AIDS prevention and treatment activities: *Provided*, That in addition to amounts provided herein, \$65,211,000 shall be available from amounts available under section 241 of the PHS Act to carry out national health or human services research and evaluation activities, of which \$4,455,000 shall be available to carry out evaluations (including longitudinal evaluations) of teenage pregnancy prevention approaches: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available under this heading shall be available for carrying out activities specified

under section 2003(b)(2) or (3) of title XX of the PHS Act: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available under this heading, \$110,000,000 shall be for making competitive contracts and grants to public and private entities to fund medically accurate and age appropriate programs that reduce teen pregnancy and for the Federal costs associated with administering and evaluating such contracts and grants, of which not less than \$75,000,000 shall be for replicating programs that have been proven effective through rigorous evaluation to reduce teenage pregnancy, behavioral risk factors underlying teenage pregnancy, or other associated risk factors, of which not less than \$25,000,000 shall be available for research and demonstration grants to develop, replicate, refine, and test additional models and innovative strategies for preventing teenage pregnancy, and of which any remaining amounts shall be available for training and technical assistance, evaluation, outreach, and additional program support activities: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available under this heading, \$7,000,000 shall be available only to increase the Department's acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities, and may be transferred by the Secretary of Health and Human Services for that purpose to any other account in the Department (in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act): *Provided further*, That funds available under the previous proviso shall be used only to supplement and not to supplant existing acquisition workforce activities and may be used for training, recruitment, retention, and hiring additional members of the acquisition workforce (as defined by the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act), for information technology in support of acquisition workforce effectiveness, or for activities to improve acquisition management: *Provided further*, That \$3,165,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

OFFICE OF MEDICARE HEARINGS AND APPEALS

For expenses necessary for administrative law judges responsible for hearing cases under title XVIII of the Social Security Act (and related provisions of title XI of such Act), \$77,798,000, to be transferred in appropriate part from the Federal Hospital Insurance Trust Fund and the Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund.

OFFICE OF THE NATIONAL COORDINATOR FOR HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

For expenses necessary for the Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology, including grants, contracts, and cooperative agreements for the development and advancement of interoperable health information technology, \$38,734,000: *Provided*, That in addition to amounts provided herein, \$31,108,000 shall be available from amounts available under section 241 of the Public Health Service Act.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For expenses necessary for the Office of Inspector General, including the hire of passenger motor vehicles for investigations, in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, \$60,754,000: *Provided*, That of such amount, necessary sums shall be available for providing protective services to the Secretary of Health and Human Services and investigating non-payment of child support cases for which non-payment is a Federal offense under 18 U.S.C. 228: *Provided further*, That at least 40 percent of the funds

provided in this Act for the Office of Inspector General shall be used only for investigations, audits, and evaluations pertaining to the discretionary programs funded in this Act.

OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS

For expenses necessary for the Office for Civil Rights, \$44,382,000.

RETIREMENT PAY AND MEDICAL BENEFITS FOR COMMISSIONED OFFICERS

For retirement pay and medical benefits of Public Health Service Commissioned Officers as authorized by law, for payments under the Retired Serviceman's Family Protection Plan and Survivor Benefit Plan, and for medical care of dependents and retired personnel under the Dependents' Medical Care Act, such amounts as may be required during the current fiscal year.

PUBLIC HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES EMERGENCY FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For expenses necessary to support activities related to countering potential biological, nuclear, radiological, chemical, and cybersecurity threats to civilian populations, and for other public health emergencies, \$595,578,000; of which \$33,065,000 shall be to support preparedness and emergency operations; of which \$5,000,000, to support expenses due to response efforts, shall remain available until expended; and of which \$10,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2012, shall be to support the delivery of medical countermeasures: *Provided*, That of the amount made available herein for the delivery of medical countermeasures, up to \$8,000,000 may be transferred to the U.S. Postal Service to support delivery of medical countermeasures.

From funds transferred to this account pursuant to the fourth paragraph under this heading in Public Law 111-117, up to \$476,194,000 shall be available for expenses necessary to support advanced research and development pursuant to section 319L of the Public Health Service Act, and other administrative expenses of the Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority.

For expenses necessary to prepare for and respond to an influenza pandemic, \$65,000,000.

For expenses necessary for fit-out and other costs related to a competitive lease procurement to renovate or replace the existing headquarters building for Public Health Service agencies and other components of the Department of Health and Human Services, \$35,000,000, to remain available until expended.

From funds provided under this heading in Public Laws 111-8 and 111-117 and available for expenses necessary to prepare for and respond to an influenza pandemic, \$170,000,000 may also be used (1) to plan, conduct, and support research to advance regulatory science to improve the ability to determine safety, effectiveness, quality, and performance of medical countermeasure products against chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear agents including influenza virus, and (2) to analyze, conduct, and improve regulatory review and compliance processes for such products.

From funds provided without fiscal year limitation under this heading in Public Law 111-32, \$1,259,000,000 are rescinded, to be derived only from those amounts which have not yet been designated by the President as emergency funds.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 201. Funds appropriated in this title shall be available for not to exceed \$50,000 for

official reception and representation expenses when specifically approved by the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

SEC. 202. The Secretary of Health and Human Services shall make available through assignment not more than 60 employees of the Public Health Service to assist in child survival activities and to work in AIDS programs through and with funds provided by the Agency for International Development, the United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund or the World Health Organization.

SEC. 203. None of the funds appropriated in this Act for the National Institutes of Health, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, and the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration shall be used to pay the salary of an individual, through a grant or other extramural mechanism, at a rate in excess of Executive Level I.

SEC. 204. None of the funds appropriated in this Act may be expended pursuant to section 241 of the Public Health Service Act, except for funds specifically provided for in this Act, or for other taps and assessments made by any office located in the Department of Health and Human Services, prior to the preparation and submission of a report by the Secretary of Health and Human Services to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate detailing the planned uses of such funds.

SEC. 205. Notwithstanding section 241(a) of the Public Health Service Act, such portion as the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall determine, but not more than 2.5 percent, of any amounts appropriated for programs authorized under such Act shall be made available for the evaluation (directly, or by grants or contracts) of the implementation and effectiveness of such programs.

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 206. Not to exceed 1 percent of any discretionary funds (pursuant to the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985) which are appropriated for the current fiscal year for the Department of Health and Human Services in this Act may be transferred between appropriations, but no such appropriation shall be increased by more than 3 percent by any such transfer: *Provided*, That with respect to appropriations in this Act for "Health Resources and Services", "Disease Control, Research, and Training", and "Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services", no transfer of funds under this section may decrease any individual program, project, or activity by more than 1 percent or increase any program, project, or activity by more than 3 percent: *Provided further*, That the transfer authority granted by this section shall not be used to create any new program or to fund any project or activity for which no funds are provided in this Act: *Provided further*, That the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate shall be notified not less than 15 days in advance of any transfer under this section, with such notification to include an explanation of the effects of the proposed transfer by program, project, and activity.

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 207. The Director of the National Institutes of Health, jointly with the Director of the Office of AIDS Research, may transfer up to 3 percent among institutes and centers from the total amounts identified by these two Directors as funding for research pertaining to the human immunodeficiency virus: *Provided*, That the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives

and the Senate are notified at least 15 days in advance of any transfer.

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 208. Of the amounts made available in this Act for the National Institutes of Health, the amount for research related to the human immunodeficiency virus, as jointly determined by the Director of the National Institutes of Health and the Director of the Office of AIDS Research, shall be made available to the "Office of AIDS Research" account. The Director of the Office of AIDS Research shall transfer from such account amounts necessary to carry out section 2353(d)(3) of the Public Health Service Act.

SEC. 209. None of the funds appropriated in this Act may be made available to any entity under title X of the Public Health Service Act unless the applicant for the award certifies to the Secretary of Health and Human Services that it encourages family participation in the decision of minors to seek family planning services and that it provides counseling to minors on how to resist attempts to coerce minors into engaging in sexual activities.

SEC. 210. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no provider of services under title X of the Public Health Service Act shall be exempt from any State law requiring notification or the reporting of child abuse, child molestation, sexual abuse, rape, or incest.

SEC. 211. None of the funds appropriated by this Act (including funds appropriated to any trust fund) may be used to carry out the Medicare Advantage program if the Secretary of Health and Human Services denies participation in such program to an otherwise eligible entity (including a Provider Sponsored Organization) because the entity informs the Secretary that it will not provide, pay for, provide coverage of, or provide referrals for abortions: *Provided*, That the Secretary shall make appropriate prospective adjustments to the capitation payment to such an entity (based on an actuarially sound estimate of the expected costs of providing the service to such entity's enrollees): *Provided further*, That nothing in this section shall be construed to change the Medicare program's coverage for such services and a Medicare Advantage organization described in this section shall be responsible for informing enrollees where to obtain information about all Medicare covered services.

SEC. 212. In order for the Department of Health and Human Services to carry out international health activities, including HIV/AIDS and other infectious disease, chronic and environmental disease, and other health activities abroad during fiscal year 2011:

(1) The Secretary of Health and Human Services may exercise authority equivalent to that available to the Secretary of State in section 2(c) of the State Department Basic Authorities Act of 1956. The Secretary of Health and Human Services shall consult with the Secretary of State and relevant Chief of Mission to ensure that the authority provided in this section is exercised in a manner consistent with section 207 of the Foreign Service Act of 1980 and other applicable statutes administered by the Department of State.

(2) The Secretary of Health and Human Services is authorized to provide such funds by advance or reimbursement to the Secretary of State as may be necessary to pay the costs of acquisition, lease, alteration, renovation, and management of facilities outside of the United States for the use of the Department of Health and Human Serv-

ices. The Department of State shall cooperate fully with the Secretary of Health and Human Services to ensure that the Department of Health and Human Services has secure, safe, functional facilities that comply with applicable regulation governing location, setback, and other facilities requirements and serve the purposes established by this Act. The Secretary of Health and Human Services is authorized, in consultation with the Secretary of State, through grant or cooperative agreement, to make available to public or nonprofit private institutions or agencies in participating foreign countries, funds to acquire, lease, alter, or renovate facilities in those countries as necessary to conduct programs of assistance for international health activities, including activities relating to HIV/AIDS and other infectious diseases, chronic and environmental diseases, and other health activities abroad.

(3) The Secretary of Health and Human Services is authorized to provide to personnel appointed or assigned by the Secretary to serve abroad, allowances and benefits similar to those provided under chapter 9 of title I of the Foreign Service Act of 1980, and 22 U.S.C. 4081 through 4086 and subject to such regulations prescribed by the Secretary. The Secretary is further authorized to provide locality-based comparability payments (stated as a percentage) up to the amount of the locality-based comparability payment (stated as a percentage) that would be payable to such personnel under section 5304 of title 5, United States Code if such personnel's official duty station were in the District of Columbia. Leaves of absence for personnel under this subsection shall be on the same basis as that provided under subchapter I of chapter 63 of title 5, United States Code, or section 903 of the Foreign Service Act of 1980, to individuals serving in the Foreign Service.

SEC. 213. (a) **AUTHORITY.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Director of the National Institutes of Health ("Director") may use funds available under section 402(b)(7) or 402(b)(12) of the Public Health Service Act ("PHS Act") to enter into transactions (other than contracts, cooperative agreements, or grants) to carry out research identified pursuant to such section 402(b)(7) (pertaining to the Common Fund) or research and activities described in such section 402(b)(12).

(b) **PEER REVIEW.**—In entering into transactions under subsection (a), the Director may utilize such peer review procedures (including consultation with appropriate scientific experts) as the Director determines to be appropriate to obtain assessments of scientific and technical merit. Such procedures shall apply to such transactions in lieu of the peer review and advisory council review procedures that would otherwise be required under sections 301(a)(3), 405(b)(1)(B), 405(b)(2), 406(a)(3)(A), 492, and 494 of the PHS Act.

SEC. 214. Funds which are available for Individual Learning Accounts for employees of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry ("ATSDR") may be transferred to "Disease Control, Research, and Training", to be available only for Individual Learning Accounts: *Provided*, That such funds may be used for any individual full-time equivalent employee while such employee is employed either by CDC or ATSDR.

SEC. 215. Not to exceed \$35,000,000 of funds appropriated by this Act to the institutes and centers of the National Institutes of

Health may be used for alteration, repair, or improvement of facilities, as necessary for the proper and efficient conduct of the activities authorized herein, at not to exceed \$2,500,000 per project.

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 216. Of the amounts made available for the National Institutes of Health, 1 percent of the amount made available for National Research Service Awards ("NRSA") shall be made available to the Administrator of the Health Resources and Services Administration to make NRSA awards for research in primary medical care to individuals affiliated with entities who have received grants or contracts under section 747 of the Public Health Service Act, and 1 percent of the amount made available for NRSA shall be made available to the Director of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality to make NRSA awards for health service research.

SEC. 217. Henceforth, no funds appropriated for a fiscal year in this or any other or any subsequent Act shall be subject to the allocation requirements of section 1707A(e) of the Public Health Service Act.

SEC. 218. (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Health Education Assistance Loan ("HEAL") program under title VII, part A, subpart I of the Public Health Service Act, and the authority to administer such program, including servicing, collecting, and enforcing any loans that were made under such program that remain outstanding, shall be permanently transferred from the Secretary of Health and Human Services to the Secretary of Education.

(b) **TRANSFER OF FUNCTIONS, ASSETS, AND LIABILITIES.**—The functions, assets, and liabilities of the Secretary of Health and Human Services relating to such program shall be transferred to the Secretary of Education.

(c) **USE OF AUTHORITIES UNDER HIGHER EDUCATION ACT OF 1965.**—In servicing, collecting, and enforcing the loans described in subsection (a), the Secretary of Education shall have available any and all authorities available to such Secretary in servicing, collecting, or enforcing a loan made, insured, or guaranteed under part B of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965.

SEC. 219. Henceforth, no funds appropriated in an appropriations Act for fiscal year 2011, or in any previous or subsequent appropriations Act, shall be available for transfer under section 274 of the Public Health Service Act.

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 220. In addition to any other transfer authority provided by this Act, the Director of the National Institutes of Health may transfer funds under the authority granted in section 402A(d) of the Public Health Service Act, if the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate are notified not less than 15 days in advance of any such transfer.

This title may be cited as the "Department of Health and Human Services Appropriations Act, 2011".

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
EDUCATION FOR THE DISADVANTAGED

For carrying out title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 ("ESEA"), section 307 of this Act and section 418A of the Higher Education Act of 1965, \$16,387,212,000, of which \$5,453,056,000 shall become available on July 1, 2011, and shall remain available through September 30, 2012,

and of which \$10,841,176,000 shall become available on October 1, 2011, and shall remain available through September 30, 2012, for academic year 2011–2012: *Provided*, That \$6,597,946,000 shall be for basic grants under section 1124 of the ESEA: *Provided further*, That up to \$4,000,000 of these funds shall be available to the Secretary of Education on October 1, 2010, to obtain annually updated local educational-agency-level census poverty data from the Bureau of the Census: *Provided further*, That \$1,365,031,000 shall be for concentration grants under section 1124A of the ESEA: *Provided further*, That \$3,409,712,000 shall be for targeted grants under section 1125 of the ESEA: *Provided further*, That \$3,409,712,000 shall be for education finance incentive grants under section 1125A of the ESEA: *Provided further*, That \$300,000,000 shall be available to carry out section 307 of this Act: *Provided further*, That \$8,167,000 shall be to carry out sections 1501 and 1503 of the ESEA: *Provided further*, That \$545,633,000 shall be available for school improvement grants under section 1003(g) of the ESEA, which shall be allocated by the Secretary through the formula described in section 1003(g)(2) and shall be used consistent with the requirements of section 1003(g), except that State and local educational agencies may use such funds, and funds appropriated for section 1003(g) under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (“ARRA”), to serve any school eligible to receive assistance under part A of title I that has not made adequate yearly progress for at least 2 years or is in the State’s lowest quintile of performance based on proficiency rates and, in the case of secondary schools, notwithstanding the eligibility requirements under section 1003(g) of the ESEA, high schools with a graduation rate below 60 percent and their low-performing feeder middle schools irrespective of the eligibility of such middle and high schools to receive assistance under part A of title I of the ESEA: *Provided further*, That the grants provided in accordance with the previous proviso shall not be subject to the requirement published by the Secretary in the Federal Register on October 28, 2010 (75 Fed. Reg. 66368) that a local educational agency that has 9 or more tier I and tier II schools not implement the transformation model in more than 50 percent of those schools: *Provided further*, That each State educational agency shall ensure that the greater of 40 percent of its allocation under section 1003(g) of the ESEA, or the amount that bears the same relationship to the State’s allocation under such section as the sum of the number of students attending high schools with a graduation rate of less than 60 percent and the number of students attending their low-performing feeder middle schools bears to the total number of students attending schools in the State classified as tier I under the final requirements set forth in 75 Federal Register 66365 (October 28, 2010), as in effect on the date of enactment of this Act, is spent on improvement activities in such middle schools and high schools, unless the State educational agency determines that all such middle schools and high schools can be served with a lesser amount: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding section 1003(g)(5)(A), each State educational agency may establish a maximum subgrant size of not more than \$2,000,000 for each participating school applicable to such funds: *Provided further*, That \$225,000,000 shall be available under section 1502 of the ESEA for a comprehensive literacy development and education program to advance literacy skills, including pre-literacy skills, reading,

and writing, for students from birth through grade 12, including limited-English-proficient students and students with disabilities, of which one-half of 1 percent shall be reserved for the Secretary of the Interior for such a program at schools funded by the Bureau of Indian Education, one-half of 1 percent shall be reserved for grants to the outlying areas for such a program, up to \$21,000,000 may be used to continue the initiative on adolescent literacy, \$10,000,000 shall be reserved for formula grants to States based on each State’s relative share of funds under part A of title I of the ESEA for fiscal year 2010 except that no State shall receive less than \$150,000, to establish or support a State Literacy Team with expertise in literacy development and education for children from birth through grade 12 to assist the State in developing a comprehensive literacy plan, up to 5 percent may be reserved for national activities, and the remainder shall be used to award competitive grants to State educational agencies for such a program, of which a State educational agency may reserve up to 5 percent for State leadership activities, including technical assistance and training, data collection, reporting, and administration, and shall subgrant not less than 95 percent to local educational agencies or, in the case of early literacy, to local educational agencies or other nonprofit providers of early childhood education that partner with a public or private nonprofit organization or agency with a demonstrated record of effectiveness in improving the early literacy development of children from birth through kindergarten entry and in providing professional development in early literacy, giving priority to such agencies or other entities serving greater numbers or percentages of disadvantaged children: *Provided further*, That the State educational agency shall ensure that at least 15 percent of the subgranted funds are used to serve children from birth through age 5, 40 percent are used to serve students in kindergarten through grade 5, and 40 percent are used to serve students in middle and high school including an equitable distribution of funds between middle and high schools: *Provided further*, That eligible entities receiving subgrants from State educational agencies shall use such funds for services and activities that have the characteristics of effective literacy instruction through professional development, screening and assessment, targeted interventions for students reading below grade level and other research-based methods of improving classroom instruction and practice.

IMPACT AID

For carrying out programs of financial assistance to federally affected schools authorized by title VIII of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, \$1,298,581,000, of which \$1,153,000,000 shall be for basic support payments under section 8003(b), \$50,000,000 shall be for payments for children with disabilities under section 8003(d), \$18,509,000 shall be for construction under section 8007(b) and shall remain available through September 30, 2012, \$72,208,000 shall be for Federal property payments under section 8002, and \$4,864,000, to remain available until expended, shall be for facilities maintenance under section 8008: *Provided*, That for purposes of computing the amount of a payment for an eligible local educational agency under section 8003(a) for school year 2010–2011, children enrolled in a school of such agency that would otherwise be eligible for payment under section 8003(a)(1)(B) of such Act, but due to the deployment of both par-

ents or legal guardians, or a parent or legal guardian having sole custody of such children, or due to the death of a military parent or legal guardian while on active duty (so long as such children reside on Federal property as described in section 8003(a)(1)(B)), are no longer eligible under such section, shall be considered as eligible students under such section, provided such students remain in average daily attendance at a school in the same local educational agency they attended prior to their change in eligibility status: *Provided further*, That for the purpose of determining eligibility for housing claimed under section 8003(a)(4) of such Act, the Secretary of the applicable Federal agency shall for fiscal years 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010 deem eligible all unoccupied housing identified to be demolished as certified by the designated representative of the Secretary of the applicable Federal agency, notwithstanding the availability of funds designated for the project being demolished for a period not to exceed 3 years: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Education shall deem each local educational agency that received a fiscal year 2009 basic support payment for heavily impacted local educational agencies under section 8003(b)(2) of such Act as eligible to receive fiscal year 2010 and 2011 basic support payments for heavily impacted local educational agencies under such section and make a payment to such local educational agency under such section for fiscal years 2010 and 2011.

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS

For carrying out school improvement activities authorized by parts A, B, and D of title II, part B of title IV, subpart 9 of part D of title V, parts A and B of title VI, and parts B and C of title VII of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (“ESEA”); the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act; section 203 of the Educational Technical Assistance Act of 2002; the Compact of Free Association Amendments Act of 2003; part Z of title VIII of the Higher Education Act (“HEA”); and the Civil Rights Act of 1964, \$5,289,062,000, of which \$5,000,000 shall become available on October 1, 2010 and remain available through September 30, 2011, \$3,450,817,000 shall become available on July 1, 2011, and remain available through September 30, 2012, and of which \$1,681,441,000 shall become available on October 1, 2011, and shall remain available through September 30, 2012, for academic year 2011–2012: *Provided*, That funds made available to carry out part B of title VII of the ESEA may be used for construction, renovation, and modernization of any elementary school, secondary school, or structure related to an elementary school or secondary school, run by the Department of Education of the State of Hawaii, that serves a predominantly Native Hawaiian student body: *Provided further*, That from the funds referred to in the preceding proviso, not less than \$1,500,000 shall be for a grant to the Department of Education of the State of Hawaii for the activities described in such proviso and \$1,500,000 shall be for a grant to the University of Hawaii School of Law for a Center of Excellence in Native Hawaiian law: *Provided further*, That from the funds referred to in the second preceding proviso, \$500,000 shall be for part Z of title VIII of the HEA: *Provided further*, That funds made available to carry out part C of title VII of the ESEA may be used for construction: *Provided further*, That up to 100 percent of the funds available to a State educational agency under part D of title II of the ESEA may be used for subgrants described in section

2412(a)(2)(B) of such Act: *Provided further*, That funds made available under this heading for section 2421 of the ESEA may be used for activities authorized under section 802 of the Higher Education Opportunity Act: *Provided further*, That State educational agencies may subgrant funds available under part B of title IV of the ESEA for expanded-learning-time programs that significantly increase the number of hours in a regular school schedule and comprehensively redesign the school schedule: *Provided further*, That such expanded-learning-time programs shall provide additional learning time in the core academic and other subjects, and include enrichment activities: *Provided further*, That such after-school or expanded-learning-time programs shall include strong partnerships between schools and community partners: *Provided further*, That in implementing the program under part B of title IV of the ESEA, State educational agencies and the United States Department of Education shall not give priority or preference regarding the choice to use funds for expanded-learning-time or after-school programs: *Provided further*, That \$31,570,000 shall be available to carry out section 203 of the Educational Technical Assistance Act of 2002: *Provided further*, That \$26,928,000 shall be available to carry out part D of title V of the ESEA: *Provided further*, That no funds appropriated under this heading may be used to carry out section 5494 under the ESEA: *Provided further*, That \$17,687,000 shall be available to carry out the Supplemental Education Grants program for the Federated States of Micronesia and the Republic of the Marshall Islands: *Provided further*, That up to 5 percent of these amounts may be reserved by the Federated States of Micronesia and the Republic of the Marshall Islands to administer the Supplemental Education Grants programs and to obtain technical assistance, oversight and consultancy services in the administration of these grants and to reimburse the United States Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education for such services: *Provided further*, That up to \$11,500,000 of the funds available for the Foreign Language Assistance Program shall be available for 5-year grants to local educational agencies that would work in partnership with one or more institutions of higher education to establish or expand articulated programs of study in languages critical to United States national security that will enable successful students to advance from elementary school through college to achieve a superior level of proficiency in those languages: *Provided further*, That of the funds available for section 2103(a) of the ESEA, \$5,000,000 shall be available to continue a national school leadership partnership initiative and up to \$5,000,000 may be used to carry out a national teacher recruitment campaign.

INDIAN EDUCATION

For expenses necessary to carry out, to the extent not otherwise provided, title VII, part A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, \$130,282,000.

INNOVATION AND IMPROVEMENT

For carrying out activities authorized by part G of title I, subpart 5 of part A and parts C and D of title II, parts B, C, and D of title V, and section 1504 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 ("ESEA"), sections 14006 and 14007 of division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, and by parts A and F of title VIII of the Higher Education Act of 1965 ("HEA"), \$1,974,013,000, of which \$550,000,000

shall become available on July 1, 2011 and shall remain available through September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Education may use not more than \$550,000,000 for section 14006 of division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 to make awards to States in accordance with the applicable requirements of that section: *Provided further*, That the Secretary may use \$224,000,000 for section 14007 of division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 to make awards in accordance with applicable requirements of that section: *Provided further*, That \$10,649,000 shall be provided to the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards to carry out section 2151(c) of the ESEA: *Provided further*, That from funds for subpart 4, part C of title II of the ESEA, up to 3 percent shall be available to the Secretary for technical assistance and dissemination of information: *Provided further*, That \$505,759,000 shall be available to carry out part D of title V of the ESEA and \$9,000,000 shall be available to carry out part A of title VIII of the HEA: *Provided further*, That \$65,372,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, as specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act): *Provided further*, That \$300,000,000 of the funds for subpart 1 of part D of title V of the ESEA shall be for competitive grants to local educational agencies, including charter schools that are local educational agencies, or States, or partnerships of: (1) a local educational agency, a State, or both; and (2) at least one non-profit organization to develop and implement performance-based compensation systems for teachers, principals, and other personnel in high-need schools: *Provided further*, That such performance-based compensation systems must consider gains in student academic achievement as well as classroom evaluations conducted multiple times during each school year among other factors and provide educators with incentives to take on additional responsibilities and leadership roles: *Provided further*, That recipients of such grants may use such funds to develop or improve systems and tools (which may be developed and used for the entire local educational agency or only for schools served under the grant) that would enhance the quality and success of the compensation system, such as high-quality teacher evaluations and tools to measure growth in student achievement: *Provided further*, That applications for such grants shall include a plan to sustain financially the activities conducted and systems developed under the grant once the grant period has expired: *Provided further*, That up to 5 percent of such funds for competitive grants shall be available for technical assistance, training, peer review of applications, program outreach and evaluation activities: *Provided further*, That recipients of such grants shall demonstrate that such performance-based systems are developed collaboratively with teachers and school leaders and their representative organizations in the schools and local educational agencies to be served by the grant and that at least 60 percent of teachers in the local educational agency who would be affected by the performance-based compensation system vote affirmatively for the system before it may be implemented by the local educational agency, and in the case of a local educational agency in which there is no exclusive majority teacher representative, the recipient of such grant shall certify that at least 60 percent of the teachers in the local educational agency who would be affected by the system

have voted affirmatively to adopt the system: *Provided further*, That recipients of such grants must demonstrate how opportunities for professional development and collaboration among teachers directly support the system and allow teachers, other instructional staff, and principals to acquire and demonstrate the research-based skills necessary to improve their practice and student achievement: *Provided further*, That of the funds available for part B of title V of the ESEA, the Secretary shall not use less than \$23,031,000 to carry out activities under section 5205(b) and under subpart 2: *Provided further*, That of the funds available for subpart 1 of part B of title V of the ESEA, and notwithstanding section 5205(a), the Secretary may reserve up to \$50,000,000 to make multiple awards to non-profit charter management organizations and other entities that are not for-profit entities for the replication and expansion of successful charter school models: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall reserve \$15,000,000 to carry out the activities described in section 5205(a), of which \$5,000,000 shall be reserved to support activities to strengthen charter school authorizing by providing technical assistance and grants to authorized public chartering agencies in order to increase the number of high-performing charter schools and to improve quality and oversight of such schools through these agencies' use of nationally-accepted standards for quality charter school authorizing: *Provided further*, That the funds referenced in the preceding proviso shall not be obligated prior to submission of a report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate detailing the planned uses of such funds: *Provided further*, That new awards under section 5202 of the ESEA shall only be provided to a State that has in place a system for ensuring the quality of its authorized public chartering agencies that (1) makes student academic achievement for all groups of students described in section 1111(b)(2)(C)(v) of ESEA a primary factor in charter renewal decisions; (2) requires each public chartering agency to annually report to the State, and make publicly available, (a) an independently audited financial statement for each charter school authorized by the agency, (b) the academic performance of each charter school, disaggregated and reported in accordance with section 1111(h)(1)(C)(i) of ESEA and (c) the legally binding performance contract with each of its charter schools that describes the rights, duties, and remedies available to the school and the public chartering agency, and the date on which the charter is up for renewal; and (3) provides for intervention, revocation, or closure of the public chartering agencies and charter schools that fail to meet the standards and procedures established in such State system: *Provided further*, That each application submitted pursuant to section 5203(a) shall describe a plan to monitor and hold accountable authorized public chartering agencies through such activities as providing technical assistance or establishing a professional development program, which may include planning, training and systems development for staff of authorized public chartering agencies to improve the capacity of such agencies in the State to authorize, monitor, and hold accountable charter schools.

SAFE SCHOOLS AND CITIZENSHIP EDUCATION

For carrying out activities authorized by subpart 3 of part C of title II, part A of title IV, and subparts 1, 2, and 10 of part D of title V of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 ("ESEA"), \$458,553,000, of

which \$60,000,000 for Promise Neighborhoods shall become available on October 1, 2010 and remain available through September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That \$217,053,000 shall be available for subpart 2 of part A of title IV: *Provided further*, That \$195,000,000 shall be available to carry out part D of title V: *Provided further*, That \$46,500,000 shall be available to carry out subpart 3 of part C of title II of the ESEA, of which \$13,383,000 shall be used to carry out section 2345 of the ESEA; \$19,617,000 shall be used to carry out section 2344 (including \$2,957,000 for the Center for Civic Education to implement a comprehensive, joint program to improve public knowledge, understanding, and support of the Congress and the State legislatures); \$2,000,000 shall be awarded to the Center on Congress at Indiana University to support a joint initiative with iCivics; and the remainder of the funds available to carry out subpart 3 of part C of title II of the ESEA shall be available to the Secretary of Education for competitive grants to nonprofit organizations that have demonstrated effectiveness in the development and implementation of civic learning programs, with priority for those programs that demonstrate innovation, scalability, accountability, and a focus on underserved populations.

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ACQUISITION

For carrying out part A of title III of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, \$775,000,000, which shall become available on July 1, 2011, and shall remain available through September 30, 2012, except that 6.5 percent of such amount shall be available on October 1, 2010, and shall remain available through September 30, 2012, to carry out activities under section 3111(c)(1)(C): *Provided*, That the Secretary of Education may use estimates of the American Community Survey child counts for the most recent 3-year period available to calculate allocations under such part.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

For carrying out the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act ("IDEA") and the Special Olympics Sport and Empowerment Act of 2004, \$12,889,940,000, of which \$4,016,354,000 shall become available on July 1, 2011, and shall remain available through September 30, 2012, and of which \$8,592,383,000 shall become available on October 1, 2011, and shall remain available through September 30, 2012, for academic year 2011–2012: *Provided*, That \$13,250,000 shall be for Recording for the Blind and Dyslexic, Inc., to support the development, production, and circulation of accessible educational materials: *Provided further*, That \$737,000 shall be for the recipient of funds provided by Public Law 105–78 under section 687(b)(2)(G) of the IDEA (as in effect prior to the enactment of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004) to provide information on diagnosis, intervention, and teaching strategies for children with disabilities: *Provided further*, That the amount for section 611(b)(2) of the IDEA shall be equal to the lesser of the amount available for that activity during fiscal year 2010, increased by the amount of inflation as specified in section 619(d)(2)(B) of the IDEA, or the percent change in the funds appropriated under section 611(i) of the IDEA, but not less than the amount for that activity during fiscal year 2010: *Provided further*, That funds made available for the Special Olympics Sport and Empowerment Act of 2004 may be used to support expenses associated with the Special Olympics National and World games: *Provided further*, That \$10,000,000 shall be for

Best Buddies International, Inc. to increase the participation of people with intellectual disabilities in social relationships and other aspects of community life, including education and employment, within the United States.

REHABILITATION SERVICES AND DISABILITY RESEARCH

For carrying out, to the extent not otherwise provided, the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Assistive Technology Act of 1998, and the Helen Keller National Center Act, \$3,535,639,000: *Provided*, That \$2,100,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, specified under the heading "Rehabilitation Services and Disability Research" in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act): *Provided further*, That, of the amounts provided under this heading, \$27,000,000 shall remain available through September 30, 2012, and shall be available under title II of the Rehabilitation Act to the Secretary of Education in cooperation with the Secretary of Labor and, as appropriate, other heads of departments and agencies, to identify and validate innovative strategies or replicate effective evidence-based strategies, including strategies that align and strengthen the workforce investment system in order to improve program delivery and employment and education outcomes for individuals with disabilities.

SPECIAL INSTITUTIONS FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

AMERICAN PRINTING HOUSE FOR THE BLIND

For carrying out the Act of March 3, 1879, \$24,600,000.

NATIONAL TECHNICAL INSTITUTE FOR THE DEAF

For the National Technical Institute for the Deaf under titles I and II of the Education of the Deaf Act of 1986, \$65,677,000, of which \$240,000 shall be for construction and shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That from the total amount available, the Institute may at its discretion use funds for the endowment program as authorized under section 207 of such Act.

GALLAUDET UNIVERSITY

For the Kendall Demonstration Elementary School, the Model Secondary School for the Deaf, and the partial support of Gallaudet University under titles I and II of the Education of the Deaf Act of 1986, \$123,000,000, of which \$5,000,000 shall be for construction and shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That from the total amount available, the University may at its discretion use funds for the endowment program as authorized under section 207 of such Act.

CAREER, TECHNICAL, AND ADULT EDUCATION

For carrying out, to the extent not otherwise provided, the Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act of 2006, the Adult Education and Family Literacy Act ("AEFLA"), and title VIII–D of the Higher Education Amendments of 1998, \$1,922,541,000, of which \$1,131,541,000 shall become available on July 1, 2011, and shall remain available through September 30, 2012, and of which \$791,000,000 shall become available on October 1, 2011, and shall remain available through September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That of the amount provided for Adult Education State Grants, \$75,000,000 shall be made available for integrated English literacy and civics education services to immigrants and other limited English proficient populations: *Provided further*, That of the amount reserved for integrated English literacy and civics education, notwithstanding section 211 of the

AEFLA, 65 percent shall be allocated to States based on a State's absolute need as determined by calculating each State's share of a 10-year average of the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services data for immigrants admitted for legal permanent residence for the 10 most recent years, and 35 percent allocated to States that experienced growth as measured by the average of the 3 most recent years for which United States Citizenship and Immigration Services data for immigrants admitted for legal permanent residence are available, except that no State shall be allocated an amount less than \$60,000: *Provided further*, That of the amounts made available for AEFLA, \$36,346,000 shall be for national leadership activities under section 243 and, of that amount, \$25,000,000 shall be available to the Secretary of Education in cooperation with the Secretary of Labor and, as appropriate, other heads of departments and agencies, to identify and validate innovative strategies or replicate effective evidence-based strategies, including strategies that align and strengthen the workforce investment system, in order to improve program delivery and education and employment outcomes for program beneficiaries.

STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE (INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

For carrying out subparts 1 and 3 of part A, and part C of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965, \$24,899,957,000, which shall remain available through September 30, 2012.

The maximum Pell Grant for which a student shall be eligible during award year 2011–2012 shall be \$4,860.

Of the funds made available under section 401A(e)(1)(E) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, \$617,000,000 are rescinded.

STUDENT AID ADMINISTRATION

For Federal administrative expenses to carry out part D of title I, and subparts 1, 3, 4, 9, and 10 of part A, and parts B, C, D, and E of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 ("HEA"), \$1,011,491,000, which shall remain available through September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That of this amount, not more than \$341,866,000 shall be available for loan servicing contracts as defined by section 456 of the HEA, unless the Secretary determines that an additional amount is necessary for this purpose (within the funds available under this heading) and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate of that determination.

HIGHER EDUCATION

For carrying out, to the extent not otherwise provided, titles II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII of the Higher Education Act of 1965 ("HEA"), section 1543 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1992, the Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961 and section 117 of the Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act of 2006, \$2,269,557,000: *Provided*, That \$9,687,000, to remain available through September 30, 2012, shall be available to fund fellowships for academic year 2012–2013 under subpart 1 of part A of title VII of the HEA, under the terms and conditions of such subpart 1: *Provided further*, That \$609,000 shall be for data collection and evaluation activities for programs under the HEA, including such activities needed to comply with the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds made available in this Act to carry out title VI of the HEA and section 102(b)(6) of the Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961 may be used

to support visits and study in foreign countries by individuals who are participating in advanced foreign language training and international studies in areas that are vital to United States national security and who plan to apply their language skills and knowledge of these countries in the fields of government, the professions, or international development: *Provided further*, That of the funds referred to in the preceding proviso up to 1 percent may be used for program evaluation, national outreach, and information dissemination activities: *Provided further*, That, of the funds appropriated under this heading, not less than \$2,000,000 shall be made available for the Department of Education to expand study abroad, pursuant to section 604(b) of the HEA and that the Secretary of Education may waive limitations of grants to awardees under 604(c)(2) of that Act: *Provided further*, That, of the funds referred to in the preceding proviso, notwithstanding section 635 of the HEA, the Secretary may use up to 10 percent of available funds for program administration including national outreach and evaluation: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, a recipient of a multi-year award under section 316 of the HEA, as that section was in effect prior to the date of enactment of the Higher Education Opportunity Act ("HEOA"), that would have otherwise received a continuation award for fiscal year 2011 under that section, shall receive under section 316, as amended by the HEOA, not less than the amount that such recipient would have received under such a continuation award: *Provided further*, That the portion of the funds received under section 316 by a recipient described in the preceding proviso that is equal to the amount of such continuation award shall be used in accordance with the terms of such continuation award: *Provided further*, That \$70,746,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act): *Provided further*, That \$1,750,000 shall be used for the programs specified under the "Fund for the Improvement of Post Secondary Education" in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act): *Provided further*, That notwithstanding section 721(c) of the HEA, funds to carry out the Thurgood Marshall Legal Education Opportunity Program under section 721 shall be awarded competitively, and any recipient shall be authorized to award subcontracts and subgrants under section 721(f).

HOWARD UNIVERSITY

For partial support of Howard University, \$234,977,000, of which not less than \$3,600,000 shall be for a matching endowment grant pursuant to the Howard University Endowment Act and shall remain available until expended.

COLLEGE HOUSING AND ACADEMIC FACILITIES LOANS PROGRAM

For Federal administrative expenses to carry out activities related to existing facility loans pursuant to section 121 of the Higher Education Act of 1965, \$461,000.

HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY CAPITAL FINANCING PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the cost of guaranteed loans, \$20,228,000, as authorized pursuant to part D of title III of the Higher Education Act of 1965 ("HEA"): *Provided*, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: *Provided fur-*

ther, That these funds are available to subsidize total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed, not to exceed \$279,393,000: *Provided further*, That these funds may be used to support loans to public and private historically Black colleges and universities without regard to the limitations within paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 344(a) of the HEA.

In addition, for administrative expenses to carry out the Historically Black College and University Capital Financing Program entered into pursuant to part D of title III of the HEA, \$354,000.

INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION SCIENCES

For carrying out activities authorized by the Education Sciences Reform Act of 2002, the National Assessment of Educational Progress Authorization Act, section 208 of the Educational Technical Assistance Act of 2002, and section 664 of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, \$692,206,000, to remain available through September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That funds available to carry out section 208 of the Educational Technical Assistance Act may be used for Statewide data systems that include postsecondary and workforce information and information on children of all ages: *Provided further*, That up to \$10,000,000 of the funds available to carry out section 208 of the Educational Technical Assistance Act may be used for State data coordinators and for awards to public or private organizations or agencies to improve data coordination, quality, and use: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding section 174(d) and (e) of the Education Sciences Reform Act of 2002, \$69,650,000 may be used to continue the contracts for the Regional Educational Laboratories for one additional year: *Provided further*, That \$2,200,000 of the amount made available under this heading shall be provided to the National Academy of Sciences not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act for a study on teacher evaluation methods and their uses in systems of educational accountability, as described in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT

PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION

For carrying out, to the extent not otherwise provided, the Department of Education Organization Act, including rental of conference rooms in the District of Columbia and hire of three passenger motor vehicles, \$479,875,100, of which \$19,275,000, to remain available until expended, shall be for relocation of, and renovation of buildings occupied by, Department staff: *Provided*, That of the funds made available under this heading, \$2,696,100 shall be available only to increase the Department's acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities, and may be transferred by the Secretary of Education for that purpose to any other account within the Department (in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act): *Provided further*, That funds available under the previous proviso shall be used only to supplement and not to supplant existing acquisition workforce activities and may be used for training, recruitment, retention, and hiring additional members of the acquisition workforce (as defined in the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act), for information technology in support of acquisition workforce effectiveness, or for activities to improve acquisition management.

OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS

For expenses necessary for the Office for Civil Rights, as authorized by section 203 of

the Department of Education Organization Act, \$105,700,000.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

For expenses necessary for the Office of the Inspector General, as authorized by section 212 of the Department of Education Organization Act, \$65,238,000.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 301. No funds appropriated in this Act may be used for the transportation of students or teachers (or for the purchase of equipment for such transportation) in order to overcome racial imbalance in any school or school system, or for the transportation of students or teachers (or for the purchase of equipment for such transportation) in order to carry out a plan of racial desegregation of any school or school system.

SEC. 302. None of the funds contained in this Act shall be used to require, directly or indirectly, the transportation of any student to a school other than the school which is nearest the student's home, except for a student requiring special education, to the school offering such special education, in order to comply with title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. For the purpose of this section an indirect requirement of transportation of students includes the transportation of students to carry out a plan involving the reorganization of the grade structure of schools, the pairing of schools, or the clustering of schools, or any combination of grade restructuring, pairing or clustering. The prohibition described in this section does not include the establishment of magnet schools.

SEC. 303. No funds appropriated in this Act may be used to prevent the implementation of programs of voluntary prayer and meditation in the public schools.

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 304. Not to exceed 1 percent of any discretionary funds (pursuant to the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985) which are appropriated for the Department of Education in this Act may be transferred between appropriations, but no such appropriation shall be increased by more than 3 percent by any such transfer: *Provided*, That the transfer authority granted by this section shall be available only to meet emergency needs and shall not be used to create any new program or to fund any project or activity for which no funds are provided in this Act: *Provided further*, That the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate are notified at least 15 days in advance of any transfer under this section, with such notification to include an explanation of the effects of the proposed transfer by program, project, and activity.

SEC. 305. The Outlying Areas may consolidate funds received under this Act, pursuant to 48 U.S.C. 1469a, under part A of title V of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act.

SEC. 306. Section 105(f)(1)(B)(ix) of the Compact of Free Association Amendments Act of 2003 (48 U.S.C. 1921d(f)(1)(B)(ix)) shall be applied by substituting "2011" for "2010".

SEC. 307. (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Education shall establish an Early Learning Challenge Fund to award competitive grants to States that propose to provide an integrated system of high-quality early learning programs and services and to develop, implement or advance a statewide quality rating and improvement system for early learning programs. The Secretary of Education shall be responsible for obligating and disbursing funds and ensuring compliance with applicable laws and administrative requirements

with regard to this program, and shall administer it jointly with the Secretary of Health and Human Services on such terms as such secretaries shall set forth in an inter-agency agreement.

(b) **STATE APPLICATIONS.**—In order to be considered for a grant under this section, a State's application shall include a plan that includes the following—

(1) A description of the quantifiable goals and benchmarks that the State will establish to demonstrate that receiving a grant under this section will lead to a greater number and percentage of low-income and disadvantaged children in each age group of infants, toddlers, and preschoolers enrolled in high-quality early learning programs, and an increase in the number of high-quality early learning programs in low-income communities.

(2) A description of how the State will implement a governance structure and an integrated system of high-quality early learning programs and services that includes the following components—

(A) State early learning standards and program quality standards;

(B) A tiered program quality rating and improvement system;

(C) A comprehensive plan that promotes nutrition and wellness for children in early learning programs;

(D) A comprehensive plan for supporting professional preparation and the ongoing professional development of an effective, well-compensated early learning workforce; and

(E) Strategies to ensure the active engagement of parents and families in the learning and development of their children including their understanding of the State's quality rating and improvement system.

(3) An assurance that the State will continue to participate in section 619 of part B and part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act for the duration of the grant.

(4) An assurance that grant funds received will be used only to supplement, and not supplant, Federal, State, and local funds otherwise available to support early learning programs and services.

(5) An assurance that for each fiscal year for which a State receives funds under this section the expenditures by the State on early learning programs for such fiscal year shall not be less than the level of expenditures for such programs for fiscal year 2011.

(c) **CRITERIA USED IN AWARDING GRANTS.**—In awarding grants to States under this section, the Secretary shall evaluate applications and award grants under such section on a competitive basis based on—

(1) The quality of the application submitted;

(2) Evidence of significant progress in establishing and committing to maintain a high-quality system of early learning for children that integrates the components described in section (b)(2); and

(3) The State's capacity to fully implement such system.

(d) **STATE USES OF FUNDS.**—A State receiving a grant under this section shall use the grant (and may make subgrants) to develop and enhance the components of the high-quality early learning system described in subsection (b)(2) to improve the quality of early learning programs and services serving disadvantaged children.

(e) **RESERVATIONS OF FEDERAL FUNDS.**—The Secretary shall reserve not more than 2 percent to administer this section jointly with the Secretary of Health and Human Services for expenses of both agencies.

(f) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated, \$300,000,000 to carry out this section in fiscal year 2011.

SEC. 308. (a) Section 206 of the Department of Education Organization Act (20 U.S.C. 3416) is amended—

(1) by striking out the heading and inserting "Office of Career, Technical, and Adult Education";

(2) by striking out "Office of Vocational and Adult Education" and inserting "Office of Career, Technical, and Adult Education";

(3) by striking out "Assistant Secretary for Vocational and Adult Education" and inserting "Assistant Secretary for Career, Technical, and Adult Education"; and

(4) by striking out "vocational and adult education" each place it appears and inserting "career, technical, and adult education".

(b) Section 202 of the Department of Education Organization Act (20 U.S.C. 3412) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(1)(C), by striking out "Assistant Secretary for Vocational and Adult Education" and inserting "Assistant Secretary for Career, Technical, and Adult Education"; and

(2) in subsection (h), by striking out "Assistant Secretary for Vocational and Adult Education" each place it appears and inserting "Assistant Secretary for Career, Technical, and Adult Education".

(c) Section 1 of the Department of Education Organization Act (20 U.S.C. 3401 note) is amended by striking out the entry for section 206 and inserting "Sec. 206. Office of Career, Technical, and Adult Education".

(d) Section 114(b)(1) of the Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act of 2006 (20 U.S.C. 2324(b)(1)) is amended by striking out "Office of Vocational and Adult Education" and inserting "Office of Career, Technical, and Adult Education".

SEC. 309. Section 8002(i)(1) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7702(i)(1)) is amended—

(1) by striking "(not to exceed the amount equal to the difference between (A) the amount appropriated to carry out this section for fiscal year 1997 and (B) the amount appropriated to carry out this section for fiscal year 1996)"; and

(2) by striking "50 percent" and inserting "25 percent".

SEC. 310. (a) A "highly qualified teacher" includes a teacher who meets the requirements in 34 C.F.R. 200.56(a)(2)(ii), as published in the Federal Register on December 2, 2002.

(b) This provision is effective on the date of enactment of this Act through the end of the 2012–2013 academic year.

This title may be cited as the "Department of Education Appropriations Act, 2011".

TITLE IV

RELATED AGENCIES

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for the Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled established by Public Law 92–28, \$5,771,000.

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

OPERATING EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for the Corporation for National and Community Service ("the Corporation") to carry out the Domestic Volunteer Service Act of 1973 ("1973 Act") and the National and Community Service

Act of 1990 ("1990 Act"), \$941,983,000, of which \$331,100,000 shall be to carry out the 1973 Act and \$610,883,000 shall be to carry out the 1990 Act and notwithstanding sections 198B(b)(3), 198S(g), and 501(a)(4)(C) of the 1990 Act: *Provided*, That of the amounts provided under this heading: (1) up to 1 percent of program grant funds may be used to defray the costs of conducting grant application reviews, including the use of outside peer reviewers and electronic management of the grants cycle; (2) \$5,000,000 shall be available for expenses authorized under 501(a)(4)(F)(ii) of the 1990 Act, which, notwithstanding any other provision of law, shall be awarded by the Corporation on a competitive basis to State Commissions; (3) \$7,500,000 shall be available for expenses to carry out sections 112(e), 179A, and 198O and subtitle J of title I of the 1990 Act, notwithstanding section 501(a)(6) of the 1990 Act; (4) \$6,000,000 shall be available for grants to public or private nonprofit institutions to increase the participation of individuals with disabilities in national service and for demonstration activities in furtherance of this purpose, notwithstanding section 129(k)(1) of the 1990 Act; (5) \$18,000,000 shall be available to provide assistance to State commissions on national and community service under section 126(a) of the 1990 Act and notwithstanding section 501(a)(5)(B) of the 1990 Act; and (6) \$60,000,000 shall be available for expenses authorized under section 501(a)(4)(E) of the 1990 Act.

NATIONAL SERVICE TRUST

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses for the National Service Trust established under subtitle D of title I of the National and Community Service Act of 1990 ("1990 Act"), \$254,856,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the Corporation for National and Community Service may transfer additional funds from the amount provided within "Operating Expenses" allocated to grants under subtitle C of title I of the 1990 Act to the National Service Trust upon determination that such transfer is necessary to support the activities of national service participants and after notice is transmitted to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate: *Provided further*, That amounts appropriated for or transferred to the National Service Trust may be invested under section 145(b) of the 1990 Act without regard to the requirement to apportion funds under 31 U.S.C. 1513(b).

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of administration as provided under section 501(a)(5) of the National and Community Service Act of 1990 and under section 504(a) of the Domestic Volunteer Service Act of 1973, including payment of salaries, authorized travel, hire of passenger motor vehicles, the rental of conference rooms in the District of Columbia, the employment of experts and consultants authorized under 5 U.S.C. 3109, and not to exceed \$2,500 for official reception and representation expenses, \$100,522,000.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the Inspector General Act of 1978, \$9,000,000.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

SEC. 401. The Corporation for National and Community Service ("the Corporation") shall make any significant changes to program requirements, service delivery or policy only through public notice and comment rulemaking. For fiscal year 2011, during any

grant selection process, an officer or employee of the Corporation shall not knowingly disclose any covered grant selection information regarding such selection, directly or indirectly, to any person other than an officer or employee of the Corporation that is authorized by the Corporation to receive such information.

SEC. 402. AmeriCorps programs receiving grants under the National Service Trust program shall meet an overall minimum share requirement of 24 percent for the first 3 years that they receive AmeriCorps funding, and thereafter shall meet the overall minimum share requirement as provided in section 2521.60 of title 45, Code of Federal Regulations, without regard to the operating costs match requirement in section 121(e) or the member support Federal share limitations in section 140 of the National and Community Service Act of 1990, and subject to partial waiver consistent with section 2521.70 of title 45, Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 403. Donations made to the Corporation for National and Community Service under section 196 of the National and Community Service Act of 1990 ("1990 Act") for the purposes of financing programs and operations under titles I and II of the 1973 Act or subtitle B, C, D, or E of title I of the 1990 Act shall be used to supplement and not supplant current programs and operations.

SEC. 404. Notwithstanding the provisions of section 501(a)(1)(D) of the National and Community Service Act of 1990 ("the 1990 Act"), the Corporation for National and Community Service shall fund summer of service program grants authorized under section 119(c)(8) of the 1990 Act from funds made available to provide financial assistance under 501(a)(1)(F)(iii) of the 1990 Act.

SEC. 405. In addition to the requirements in section 146(a) of the National and Community Service Act of 1990 ("the 1990 Act"), use of an educational award for the purpose described in section 148(a)(4) shall be limited to individuals who are veterans as defined under section 101 of the 1990 Act.

CORPORATION FOR PUBLIC BROADCASTING

For payment to the Corporation for Public Broadcasting ("Corporation"), as authorized by the Communications Act of 1934, an amount which shall be available within limitations specified by that Act, for the fiscal year 2013, \$460,000,000: *Provided*, That none of the funds made available to the Corporation by this Act shall be used to pay for receptions, parties, or similar forms of entertainment for Government officials or employees: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available to the Corporation by this Act shall be available or used to aid or support any program or activity from which any person is excluded, or is denied benefits, or is discriminated against, on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, or sex: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available to the Corporation by this Act shall be used to apply any political test or qualification in selecting, appointing, promoting, or taking any other personnel action with respect to officers, agents, and employees of the Corporation: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available to the Corporation by this Act shall be used to support the Television Future Fund or any similar purpose: *Provided further*, That for fiscal year 2011, in addition to the amounts provided above, \$36,000,000 shall be provided for costs related to digital program production, development, and distribution, associated with the transition of public broadcasting to digital broadcasting, to be awarded as determined by the Corporation in consultation

with public radio and television licensees or permittees, or their designated representatives.

FEDERAL MEDIATION AND CONCILIATION SERVICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service ("Service") to carry out the functions vested in it by the Labor Management Relations Act, 1947, including hire of passenger motor vehicles; for expenses necessary for the Labor-Management Cooperation Act of 1978; and for expenses necessary for the Service to carry out the functions vested in it by the Civil Service Reform Act, \$48,025,000, including \$750,000 to remain available through September 30, 2012, for activities authorized by the Labor-Management Cooperation Act of 1978: *Provided*, That notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, fees charged, up to full-cost recovery, for special training activities and other conflict resolution services and technical assistance, including those provided to foreign governments and international organizations, and for arbitration services shall be credited to and merged with this account, and shall remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That fees for arbitration services shall be available only for education, training, and professional development of the agency workforce: *Provided further*, That the Director of the Service is authorized to accept and use on behalf of the United States gifts of services and real, personal, or other property in the aid of any projects or functions within the Director's jurisdiction.

FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for the Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission, \$14,705,000.

INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM AND LIBRARY SERVICES OFFICE OF MUSEUM AND LIBRARY SERVICES: GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION

For carrying out the Museum and Library Services Act of 1996 and the National Museum of African American History and Culture Act, \$270,619,000, of which \$4,750,000 shall be used for the projects, and in the amounts, as specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

MEDICARE PAYMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary to carry out section 1805 of the Social Security Act, \$13,100,000, to be transferred to this appropriation from the Federal Hospital Insurance Trust Fund and the Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund.

NATIONAL COUNCIL ON DISABILITY SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for the National Council on Disability as authorized by title IV of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, \$3,337,000.

NATIONAL HEALTH CARE WORKFORCE COMMISSION SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for the National Health Care Workforce Commission as authorized by section 5101 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended, \$3,000,000.

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for the National Labor Relations Board to carry out the func-

tions vested in it by the Labor-Management Relations Act, 1947, and other laws, \$287,100,000: *Provided*, That no part of this appropriation shall be available to organize or assist in organizing agricultural laborers or used in connection with investigations, hearings, directives, or orders concerning bargaining units composed of agricultural laborers as referred to in section 2(3) of the Act of July 5, 1935, and as amended by the Labor-Management Relations Act, 1947, and as defined in section 3(f) of the Act of June 25, 1938, and including in said definition employees engaged in the maintenance and operation of ditches, canals, reservoirs, and waterways when maintained or operated on a mutual, nonprofit basis and at least 95 percent of the water stored or supplied thereby is used for farming purposes.

NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary to carry out the provisions of the Railway Labor Act, including emergency boards appointed by the President, \$14,972,000.

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for the Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission, \$12,051,000.

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

DUAL BENEFITS PAYMENTS ACCOUNT

For payment to the Dual Benefits Payments Account, authorized under section 15(d) of the Railroad Retirement Act of 1974, \$57,000,000, which shall include amounts becoming available in fiscal year 2011 pursuant to section 224(c)(1)(B) of Public Law 98-76; and in addition, an amount, not to exceed 2 percent of the amount provided herein, shall be available proportional to the amount by which the product of recipients and the average benefit received exceeds the amount available for payment of vested dual benefits: *Provided*, That the total amount provided herein shall be credited in 12 approximately equal amounts on the first day of each month in the fiscal year.

FEDERAL PAYMENTS TO THE RAILROAD RETIREMENT ACCOUNTS

For payment to the accounts established in the Treasury for the payment of benefits under the Railroad Retirement Act for interest earned on unnegotiated checks, \$150,000, to remain available through September 30, 2012, which shall be the maximum amount available for payment pursuant to section 417 of Public Law 98-76.

LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses for the Railroad Retirement Board ("Board") for administration of the Railroad Retirement Act and the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, \$110,573,000, to be derived in such amounts as determined by the Board from the railroad retirement accounts and from moneys credited to the railroad unemployment insurance administration fund.

LIMITATION ON THE OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For expenses necessary for the Office of Inspector General for audit, investigatory and review activities, as authorized by the Inspector General Act of 1978, not more than \$8,936,000, to be derived from the railroad retirement accounts and railroad unemployment insurance account.

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION
PAYMENTS TO SOCIAL SECURITY TRUST FUNDS

For payment to the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund, as provided under sections 201(m), 217(g), 228(g), and 1131(b)(2) of the Social Security Act, \$21,404,000.

SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME PROGRAM

For carrying out titles XI and XVI of the Social Security Act, section 401 of Public Law 92-603, section 212 of Public Law 93-66, as amended, and section 405 of Public Law 95-216, including payment to the Social Security trust funds for administrative expenses incurred pursuant to section 201(g)(1) of the Social Security Act, \$40,482,124,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That any portion of the funds provided to a State in the current fiscal year and not obligated by the State during that year shall be returned to the Treasury: *Provided further*, That of the funds available for the Research and Demonstration program, not more than \$6,300,000 shall be used for the Special Initiative activity only to support the Financial Literacy Education Commission program.

For making, after June 15 of the current fiscal year, benefit payments to individuals under title XVI of the Social Security Act, for unanticipated costs incurred for the current fiscal year, such sums as may be necessary.

For making benefit payments under title XVI of the Social Security Act for the first quarter of fiscal year 2012, \$13,400,000,000, to remain available until expended.

LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFER AND RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses, including the hire of two passenger motor vehicles, and not to exceed \$20,000 for official reception and representation expenses, not more than \$11,629,863,000 may be expended, as authorized by section 201(g)(1) of the Social Security Act, from any one or all of the trust funds referred to therein: *Provided*, That not less than \$2,300,000 shall be for the Social Security Advisory Board: *Provided further*, That funds made available in this paragraph and remaining unobligated at the end of fiscal year 2011 may be, not later than the end of the fifth fiscal year after the last fiscal year for which such funds are available for the purposes for which appropriated, placed in an "Information Technology and Telecommunications Investment Fund" ("ITTI Fund") to be established within this account where they shall remain available until expended for investments in Social Security Administration information technology and telecommunications hardware and software infrastructure, including related equipment and non-payroll administrative expenses: *Provided further*, That unobligated balances of appropriations made to this account in prior fiscal years that remain available for the purposes specified in the preceding proviso may also be placed in the ITTI Fund not later than the end of the fifth fiscal year after the last fiscal year for which such funds are available for the purposes for which appropriated: *Provided further*, That the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration shall provide information to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate each year, at the same time the President's budget is submitted to Congress, regarding actual or estimated amounts placed in, and obligated and expended from, the ITTI Fund during the preceding, current, and suc-

ceeding fiscal years, including the nature and purposes of all such obligations and expenditures, and regarding the balances remaining (or expected to remain) in the ITTI Fund as of the close of each such fiscal year: *Provided further*, That reimbursement to the trust funds under this heading for expenditures for official time for employees of the Social Security Administration pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 7131, and for facilities or support services for labor organizations pursuant to policies, regulations, or procedures referred to in section 7135(b) of such title shall be made by the Secretary of the Treasury, with interest, from amounts in the general fund not otherwise appropriated, as soon as possible after such expenditures are made: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available under this heading, \$1,863,000 shall be available only to increase the Social Security Administration's acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities, and may be transferred by the Commissioner for that purpose to any other account in the Social Security Administration (in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act): *Provided further*, That funds available under the previous proviso shall be used only to supplement and not to supplant existing acquisition workforce activities and may be used for training, recruitment, retention, and hiring additional members of the acquisition workforce (as defined by the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act), for information technology in support of acquisition workforce effectiveness, or for activities to improve acquisition management.

From funds provided under the first paragraph, not less than \$283,000,000 shall be available for the cost associated with conducting continuing disability reviews under titles II and XVI of the Social Security Act and for the cost associated with conducting redeterminations of eligibility under title XVI of the Social Security Act.

In addition to the amounts made available above, and subject to the same terms and conditions, \$513,000,000, for additional continuing disability reviews and redeterminations of eligibility, of which up to \$10,000,000 shall be available to complete implementation of asset verification initiatives: *Provided*, That the Commissioner shall provide to the Congress (at the conclusion of the fiscal year) a report on the obligation and expenditure of these additional amounts, similar to the reports that were required by section 103(d)(2) of Public Law 104-121 for fiscal years 1996 through 2002.

In addition, \$186,000,000 to be derived from administration fees in excess of \$5.00 per supplementary payment collected pursuant to section 1616(d) of the Social Security Act or section 212(b)(3) of Public Law 93-66, which shall remain available until expended. To the extent that the amounts collected pursuant to such sections in fiscal year 2011 exceed \$186,000,000, the amounts shall be available in fiscal year 2012 only to the extent provided in advance in appropriations Acts.

In addition, up to \$500,000 to be derived from fees collected pursuant to section 303(c) of the Social Security Protection Act, which shall remain available until expended.

Upon enactment of this Act, \$455,700,000 of the remaining unobligated balances, including expired and non-expired amounts, of funds appropriated for "Social Security Administration—Limitation on Administrative Expenses" for fiscal years 2010 and prior years (other than funds appropriated in Public Law 111-5) shall be made part of and merged with the ITTI Fund, and of such funds \$455,700,000 are rescinded.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For expenses necessary for the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, \$30,000,000, together with not to exceed \$76,122,000, to be transferred and expended as authorized by section 201(g)(1) of the Social Security Act from the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund.

In addition, an amount not to exceed 3 percent of the total provided in this appropriation may be transferred from the "Limitation on Administrative Expenses", Social Security Administration, to be merged with this account, to be available for the time and purposes for which this account is available: *Provided*, That notice of such transfers shall be transmitted promptly to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

TITLE V
GENERAL PROVISIONS
(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 501. The Secretaries of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education are authorized to transfer unexpended balances of prior appropriations to accounts corresponding to current appropriations provided in this Act. Such transferred balances shall be used for the same purpose, and for the same periods of time, for which they were originally appropriated.

SEC. 502. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year unless expressly so provided herein.

SEC. 503. (a) No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall be used, other than for normal and recognized executive-legislative relationships, for publicity or propaganda purposes, for the preparation, distribution, or use of any kit, pamphlet, booklet, publication, radio, television, or video presentation designed to support or defeat legislation pending before the Congress or any State legislature, except in presentation to the Congress or any State legislature itself.

(b) No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall be used to pay the salary or expenses of any grant or contract recipient, or agent acting for such recipient, related to any activity designed to influence legislation or appropriations pending before the Congress or any State legislature.

SEC. 504. The Secretaries of Labor and Education are authorized to make available not to exceed \$28,000 and \$20,000, respectively, from funds available for salaries and expenses under titles I and III, respectively, for official reception and representation expenses; the Director of the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service is authorized to make available for official reception and representation expenses not to exceed \$5,000 from the funds available for "Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service, Salaries and Expenses"; and the Chairman of the National Mediation Board is authorized to make available for official reception and representation expenses not to exceed \$5,000 from funds available for "National Mediation Board, Salaries and Expenses".

SEC. 505. None of the funds contained in this Act may be used to distribute any needle or syringe for the purpose of preventing the spread of blood borne pathogens in any location that has been determined by the local public health or local law enforcement authorities to be inappropriate for such distribution.

SEC. 506. When issuing statements, press releases, requests for proposals, bid solicitations and other documents describing projects or programs funded in whole or in part with Federal money, all grantees receiving Federal funds included in this Act, including but not limited to State and local governments and recipients of Federal research grants, shall clearly state—

(1) the percentage of the total costs of the program or project which will be financed with Federal money;

(2) the dollar amount of Federal funds for the project or program; and

(3) percentage and dollar amount of the total costs of the project or program that will be financed by non-governmental sources.

SEC. 507. (a) None of the funds appropriated in this Act, and none of the funds in any trust fund to which funds are appropriated in this Act, shall be expended for any abortion.

(b) None of the funds appropriated in this Act, and none of the funds in any trust fund to which funds are appropriated in this Act, shall be expended for health benefits coverage that includes coverage of abortion.

(c) The term “health benefits coverage” means the package of services covered by a managed care provider or organization pursuant to a contract or other arrangement.

SEC. 508. (a) The limitations established in the preceding section shall not apply to an abortion—

(1) if the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest; or

(2) in the case where a woman suffers from a physical disorder, physical injury, or physical illness, including a life-endangering physical condition caused by or arising from the pregnancy itself, that would, as certified by a physician, place the woman in danger of death unless an abortion is performed.

(b) Nothing in the preceding section shall be construed as prohibiting the expenditure by a State, locality, entity, or private person of State, local, or private funds (other than a State's or locality's contribution of Medicaid matching funds).

(c) Nothing in the preceding section shall be construed as restricting the ability of any managed care provider from offering abortion coverage or the ability of a State or locality to contract separately with such a provider for such coverage with State funds (other than a State's or locality's contribution of Medicaid matching funds).

(d)(1) None of the funds made available in this Act may be made available to a Federal agency or program, or to a State or local government, if such agency, program, or government subjects any institutional or individual health care entity to discrimination on the basis that the health care entity does not provide, pay for, provide coverage of, or refer for abortions.

(2) In this subsection, the term “health care entity” includes an individual physician or other health care professional, a hospital, a provider-sponsored organization, a health maintenance organization, a health insurance plan, or any other kind of health care facility, organization, or plan.

SEC. 509. (a) None of the funds made available in this Act may be used for—

(1) the creation of a human embryo or embryos for research purposes; or

(2) research in which a human embryo or embryos are destroyed, discarded, or knowingly subjected to risk of injury or death greater than that allowed for research on fetuses in utero under 45 CFR 46.204(b) and section 498(b) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 289g(b)).

(b) For purposes of this section, the term “human embryo or embryos” includes any organism, not protected as a human subject under 45 CFR 46 as of the date of the enactment of this Act, that is derived by fertilization, parthenogenesis, cloning, or any other means from one or more human gametes or human diploid cells.

SEC. 510. (a) None of the funds made available in this Act may be used for any activity that promotes the legalization of any drug or other substance included in schedule I of the schedules of controlled substances established under section 202 of the Controlled Substances Act except for normal and recognized executive-congressional communications.

(b) The limitation in subsection (a) shall not apply when there is significant medical evidence of a therapeutic advantage to the use of such drug or other substance or that federally sponsored clinical trials are being conducted to determine therapeutic advantage.

SEC. 511. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to promulgate or adopt any final standard under section 1173(b) of the Social Security Act providing for, or providing for the assignment of, a unique health identifier for an individual (except in an individual's capacity as an employer or a health care provider), until legislation is enacted specifically approving the standard.

SEC. 512. None of the funds made available in this Act may be obligated or expended to enter into or renew a contract with an entity if—

(1) such entity is otherwise a contractor with the United States and is subject to the requirement in 38 U.S.C. 4212(d) regarding submission of an annual report to the Secretary of Labor concerning employment of certain veterans; and

(2) such entity has not submitted a report as required by that section for the most recent year for which such requirement was applicable to such entity.

SEC. 513. None of the funds made available in this Act may be transferred to any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States Government, except pursuant to a transfer made by, or transfer authority provided in, this Act or any other appropriation Act.

SEC. 514. None of the funds made available by this Act to carry out the Library Services and Technology Act may be made available to any library covered by paragraph (1) of section 224(f) of such Act, as amended by the Children's Internet Protection Act, unless such library has made the certifications required by paragraph (4) of such section.

SEC. 515. None of the funds made available by this Act to carry out part D of title II of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 may be made available to any elementary or secondary school covered by paragraph (1) of section 2441(a) of such Act, as amended by the Children's Internet Protection Act and the No Child Left Behind Act, unless the local educational agency with responsibility for such covered school has made the certifications required by paragraph (2) of such section.

SEC. 516. (a) None of the funds provided under this Act, or provided under previous appropriations Acts to the agencies funded by this Act that remain available for obligation or expenditure in fiscal year 2011, or provided from any accounts in the Treasury of the United States derived by the collection of fees available to the agencies funded by this Act, shall be available for obligation

or expenditure through a reprogramming of funds that—

(1) creates new programs;

(2) eliminates a program, project, or activity;

(3) increases funds or personnel by any means for any project or activity for which funds have been denied or restricted;

(4) relocates an office or employees;

(5) reorganizes or renames offices;

(6) reorganizes programs or activities; or

(7) contracts out or privatizes any functions or activities presently performed by Federal employees;

unless the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate are notified 15 days in advance of such reprogramming or of an announcement of intent relating to such reprogramming, whichever occurs earlier.

(b) None of the funds provided under this Act, or provided under previous appropriations Acts to the agencies funded by this Act that remain available for obligation or expenditure in fiscal year 2011, or provided from any accounts in the Treasury of the United States derived by the collection of fees available to the agencies funded by this Act, shall be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming of funds in excess of \$500,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less, that—

(1) augments existing programs, projects (including construction projects), or activities;

(2) reduces by 10 percent funding for any existing program, project, or activity, or numbers of personnel by 10 percent as approved by Congress; or

(3) results from any general savings from a reduction in personnel which would result in a change in existing programs, activities, or projects as approved by Congress;

unless the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate are notified 15 days in advance of such reprogramming or of an announcement of intent relating to such reprogramming, whichever occurs earlier.

SEC. 517. (a) None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to request that a candidate for appointment to a Federal scientific advisory committee disclose the political affiliation or voting history of the candidate or the position that the candidate holds with respect to political issues not directly related to and necessary for the work of the committee involved.

(b) None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to disseminate scientific information that is deliberately false or misleading.

SEC. 518. Within 45 days of enactment of this Act, each department and related agency funded through this Act shall submit an operating plan that details at the program, project, and activity level any funding allocations for fiscal year 2011 that are different than those specified in this Act, the accompanying detailed table in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act) or the fiscal year 2011 budget request.

SEC. 519. The Secretaries of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education shall each prepare and submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report on the number and amount of contracts, grants, and cooperative agreements exceeding \$500,000 in value and awarded by the Department on a non-competitive basis during each quarter of fiscal year 2011, but not to include grants awarded on a formula basis or directed by

law. Such report shall include the name of the contractor or grantee, the amount of funding, the governmental purpose, including a justification for issuing the award on a non-competitive basis. Such report shall be transmitted to the Committees within 30 days after the end of the quarter for which the report is submitted.

SEC. 520. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used for first-class travel by the employees of agencies funded by this Act in contravention of sections 301–10.124 of title 41, Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 521. None of the funds appropriated in this Act shall be expended or obligated by the Commissioner of Social Security, for purposes of administering Social Security benefit payments under title II of the Social Security Act, to process any claim for credit for a quarter of coverage based on work performed under a social security account number that is not the claimant's number and the performance of such work under such number has formed the basis for a conviction of the claimant of a violation of section 208(a)(6) or (7) of the Social Security Act.

SEC. 522. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used by the Commissioner of Social Security or the Social Security Administration to pay the compensation of employees of the Social Security Administration to administer Social Security benefit payments, under any agreement between the United States and Mexico establishing totalization arrangements between the social security system established by title II of the Social Security Act and the social security system of Mexico, which would not otherwise be payable but for such agreement.

SEC. 523. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used to enter into a contract in an amount greater than \$5,000,000 or to award a grant in excess of such amount unless the prospective contractor or grantee certifies in writing to the agency awarding the contract or grant that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, the contractor or grantee has filed all Federal tax returns required during the 3 years preceding the certification, has not been convicted of a criminal offense under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and has not, more than 90 days prior to certification, been notified of any unpaid Federal tax assessment for which the liability remains unsatisfied, unless the assessment is the subject of an installment agreement or offer in compromise that has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service and is not in default, or the assessment is the subject of a non-frivolous administrative or judicial proceeding.

SEC. 524. The policy regarding public access to research results established for the National Institutes of Health by section 217 of division F of Public Law 111–8 shall apply to all Departments funded in this Act having more than \$100,000,000 in annual expenditures for extramural research. Except with respect to the National Institutes of Health, the Secretaries of the Departments affected may designate other suitable online depositories to be used in lieu of the National Library of Medicine's PubMed Central.

SEC. 525. Section 6402(f)(3)(C) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended by section 801(a)(3)(C) of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010, is further amended by striking “not”.

This division may be cited as the “Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2011”.

DIVISION I—LEGISLATIVE BRANCH APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

TITLE I

LEGISLATIVE BRANCH SENATE

EXPENSE ALLOWANCES

For expense allowances of the Vice President, \$20,000; the President Pro Tempore of the Senate, \$40,000; Majority Leader of the Senate, \$40,000; Minority Leader of the Senate, \$40,000; Majority Whip of the Senate, \$10,000; Minority Whip of the Senate, \$10,000; Chairmen of the Majority and Minority Conference Committees, \$5,000 for each Chairman; and Chairmen of the Majority and Minority Policy Committees, \$5,000 for each Chairman; in all, \$180,000.

REPRESENTATION ALLOWANCES FOR THE MAJORITY AND MINORITY LEADERS

For representation allowances of the Majority and Minority Leaders of the Senate, \$15,000 for each such Leader; in all, \$30,000.

SALARIES, OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES

For compensation of officers, employees, and others as authorized by law, including agency contributions, \$185,982,000, which shall be paid from this appropriation without regard to the following limitations:

OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT

For the Office of the Vice President, \$2,517,000.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE

For the Office of the President Pro Tempore, \$752,000.

OFFICES OF THE MAJORITY AND MINORITY LEADERS

For Offices of the Majority and Minority Leaders, \$5,212,000.

OFFICES OF THE MAJORITY AND MINORITY WHIPS

For Offices of the Majority and Minority Whips, \$3,288,000.

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

For salaries of the Committee on Appropriations, \$15,844,000.

CONFERENCE COMMITTEES

For the Conference of the Majority and the Conference of the Minority, at rates of compensation to be fixed by the Chairman of each such committee, \$1,726,000 for each such committee; in all, \$3,452,000.

OFFICES OF THE SECRETARIES OF THE CONFERENCE OF THE MAJORITY AND THE CONFERENCE OF THE MINORITY

For Offices of the Secretaries of the Conference of the Majority and the Conference of the Minority, \$850,000.

POLICY COMMITTEES

For salaries of the Majority Policy Committee and the Minority Policy Committee, \$1,763,000 for each such committee; in all, \$3,526,000.

OFFICE OF THE CHAPLAIN

For Office of the Chaplain, \$415,000.

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

For Office of the Secretary, \$25,790,000.

OFFICE OF THE SERGEANT AT ARMS AND DOORKEEPER

For Office of the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper, \$77,000,000.

OFFICES OF THE SECRETARIES FOR THE MAJORITY AND MINORITY

For Offices of the Secretary for the Majority and the Secretary for the Minority, \$1,836,000.

AGENCY CONTRIBUTIONS AND RELATED EXPENSES

For agency contributions for employee benefits, as authorized by law, and related expenses, \$45,500,000.

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL OF THE SENATE

For salaries and expenses of the Office of the Legislative Counsel of the Senate, \$7,154,000.

OFFICE OF SENATE LEGAL COUNSEL

For salaries and expenses of the Office of Senate Legal Counsel, \$1,544,000.

EXPENSE ALLOWANCES OF THE SECRETARY OF THE SENATE, SERGEANT AT ARMS AND DOOR- KEEPER OF THE SENATE, AND SECRETARIES FOR THE MAJORITY AND MINORITY OF THE SENATE

For expense allowances of the Secretary of the Senate, \$7,500; Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper of the Senate, \$7,500; Secretary for the Majority of the Senate, \$7,500; Secretary for the Minority of the Senate, \$7,500; in all, \$30,000.

CONTINGENT EXPENSES OF THE SENATE

INQUIRIES AND INVESTIGATIONS

For expenses of inquiries and investigations ordered by the Senate, or conducted under paragraph 1 of rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate, section 112 of the Supplemental Appropriations and Rescission Act, 1980 (Public Law 96–304), and Senate Resolution 281, 96th Congress, agreed to March 11, 1980, \$140,500,000.

EXPENSES OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE

CAUCUS ON INTERNATIONAL NARCOTICS CONTROL

For expenses of the United States Senate Caucus on International Narcotics Control, \$520,000.

SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

For expenses of the Office of the Secretary of the Senate \$6,200,000 of which \$4,200,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2015.

SERGEANT AT ARMS AND DOORKEEPER OF THE SENATE

For expenses of the Office of the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper of the Senate, \$142,401,000, which shall remain available until September 30, 2015.

MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS

For miscellaneous items, \$19,145,000.

SENATORS' OFFICIAL PERSONNEL AND OFFICE EXPENSE ACCOUNT

For Senators' Official Personnel and Office Expense Account, \$422,000,000.

OFFICIAL MAIL COSTS

For expenses necessary for official mail costs of the Senate, \$300,000.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

ACQUISITION OF GOODS, SERVICES, OR SPACE

SEC. 1. Section 8 of the Legislative Branch Appropriations Act, 1990 (31 U.S.C. 1535 note) is amended by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:

“(3) Agreement under paragraph (1) shall be in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Committee on Rules and Administration of the Senate.”.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For salaries and expenses of the House of Representatives, \$1,371,172,000, as follows:

HOUSE LEADERSHIP OFFICES

For salaries and expenses, as authorized by law, \$26,157,000, including: Office of the Speaker, \$5,143,000, including \$25,000 for official expenses of the Speaker; Office of the Majority Floor Leader, \$2,560,000, including \$10,000 for official expenses of the Majority Leader; Office of the Minority Floor Leader, \$4,622,000, including \$10,000 for official expenses of the Minority Leader; Office of the

Majority Whip, including the Chief Deputy Majority Whip, \$2,222,000, including \$5,000 for official expenses of the Majority Whip; Office of the Minority Whip, including the Chief Deputy Minority Whip, \$1,713,000, including \$5,000 for official expenses of the Minority Whip; Speaker's Office for Legislative Floor Activities, \$518,000; Republican Steering Committee, \$984,000; Republican Conference, \$1,771,000; Republican Policy Committee, \$360,000; Democratic Steering and Policy Committee, \$1,371,000; Democratic Caucus, \$1,744,000; nine minority employees, \$1,553,000; training and program development—majority, \$290,000; training and program development—minority, \$290,000; Cloakroom Personnel—majority, \$508,000; and Cloakroom Personnel—minority, \$508,000.

MEMBERS' REPRESENTATIONAL ALLOWANCES INCLUDING MEMBERS' CLERK HIRE, OFFICIAL EXPENSES OF MEMEBERS, AND OFFICIAL MAIL

For Members' representational allowances, including Members' clerk hire, official expenses, and official mail, \$652,000,000.

COMMITTEE EMPLOYEES

STANDING COMMITTEES, SPECIAL AND SELECT

For salaries and expenses of standing committees, special and select, authorized by House resolutions, \$147,878,000: *Provided*, That such amount shall remain available for such salaries and expenses until December 31, 2012.

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

For salaries and expenses of the Committee on Appropriations, \$31,300,000, including studies and examinations of executive agencies and temporary personal services for such committee, to be expended in accordance with section 202(b) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 and to be available for reimbursement to agencies for services performed: *Provided*, That such amount shall remain available for such salaries and expenses until December 31, 2012.

SALARIES, OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES

For compensation and expenses of officers and employees, as authorized by law, \$193,011,000, including: for salaries and expenses of the Office of the Clerk, including not more than \$33,000, of which not more than \$30,000 is for the Family Room, for official representation and reception expenses, \$29,265,000; for salaries and expenses of the Office of the Sergeant at Arms, including the position of Superintendent of Garages, and including not more than \$3,000 for official representation and reception expenses, \$16,538,000 of which \$7,044,000 shall remain available until expended; for salaries and expenses of the Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, including not more than \$3,000 for official representation and reception expenses, \$123,209,000, of which \$3,937,000 shall remain available until expended and \$20,000,000 shall not be available for obligation until the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Committee on House Administration receive the House Services Action Plan from the Chief Administrative Officer; for salaries and expenses of the Office of the Inspector General, \$5,207,000; salaries and expenses of the Office of General Counsel, \$1,437,000; for the Office of the Chaplain, \$176,000; for salaries and expenses of the Office of the Parliamentarian, including the Parliamentarian, \$2,000 for preparing the Digest of Rules, and not more than \$1,000 for official representation and reception expenses, \$2,092,000; for salaries and expenses of the Office of the Law Re-

vision Counsel of the House, \$3,361,000; for salaries and expenses of the Office of the Legislative Counsel of the House, \$8,890,000; for salaries and expenses of the Office of Interparliamentary Affairs, \$878,000; for other authorized employees, \$1,355,000; and for salaries and expenses of the Office of the Historian, including the cost of the House Fellows Program (including lodging and related expenses for visiting Program participants), \$603,000.

ALLOWANCES AND EXPENSES

For allowances and expenses as authorized by House resolution or law, \$320,826,000, including: supplies, materials, administrative costs and Federal tort claims, \$4,323,000; official mail for committees, leadership offices, and administrative offices of the House, \$201,000; Government contributions for health, retirement, Social Security, and other applicable employee benefits, \$286,316,000, including employee tuition assistance benefit payments, \$3,500,000, if authorized, and employee child care benefit payments, \$1,000,000, if authorized; Business Continuity and Disaster Recovery, \$22,031,000; transition activities for new members and staff, \$2,664,000; Wounded Warrior Program, \$2,500,000, to remain available until expended; Office of Congressional Ethics, \$2,020,000; and miscellaneous items including purchase, exchange, maintenance, repair and operation of House motor vehicles, interparliamentary receptions, and gratuities to heirs of deceased employees of the House, \$771,000.

CHILD CARE CENTER

For salaries and expenses of the House of Representatives Child Care Center, such amounts as are deposited in the account established by section 312(d)(1) of the Legislative Branch Appropriations Act, 1992 (2 U.S.C. 2062), subject to the level specified in the budget of the Center, as submitted to the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

SEC. 101. (a) REQUIRING AMOUNTS REMAINING IN MEMBERS' REPRESENTATIONAL ALLOWANCES TO BE USED FOR DEFICIT REDUCTION OR TO REDUCE THE FEDERAL DEBT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any amounts appropriated under this Act for "HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES—Salaries and Expenses—Members' Representational Allowances" shall be available only for fiscal year 2011. Any amount remaining after all payments are made under such allowances for fiscal year 2011 shall be deposited in the Treasury and used for deficit reduction (or, if there is no Federal budget deficit after all such payments have been made, for reducing the Federal debt, in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury considers appropriate).

(b) REGULATIONS.—The Committee on House Administration of the House of Representatives shall have authority to prescribe regulations to carry out this section.

(c) DEFINITION.—As used in this section, the term "Member of the House of Representatives" means a Representative in, or a Delegate or Resident Commissioner to, the Congress.

TRANSFER OF HOUSE EMERGENCY PLANNING, PREPAREDNESS, AND OPERATIONS FUNCTIONS TO SERGEANT AT ARMS

SEC. 102. (a) TERMINATION OF OEPPPO.—Section 905 of the Emergency Supplemental Act, 2002 (2 U.S.C. 1301i) is repealed.

(b) TRANSFER TO SERGEANT AT ARMS.—The functions and responsibilities of the Office of

Emergency Planning, Preparedness, and Operations under section 905 of the Emergency Supplemental Act, 2002 (2 U.S.C. 1301i) (as in effect on the day before the date referred to in subsection (c)) shall be transferred and assigned to the Sergeant At Arms of the House of Representatives.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section and the amendment made by this section shall take effect February 1, 2010.

JOINT ITEMS

For Joint Items, as follows:

JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE

For salaries and expenses of the Joint Economic Committee, \$4,814,000, to be disbursed by the Secretary of the Senate.

JOINT COMMITTEE ON TAXATION

For salaries and expenses of the Joint Committee on Taxation, \$11,327,000, to be disbursed by the Chief Administrative Officer of the House of Representatives.

OFFICE OF THE ATTENDING PHYSICIAN

For medical supplies, equipment, and contingent expenses of the emergency rooms, and for the Attending Physician and his assistants, including: (1) an allowance of \$2,175 per month to the Attending Physician; (2) an allowance of \$1,300 per month to the Senior Medical Officer; (3) an allowance of \$725 per month each to three medical officers while on duty in the Office of the Attending Physician; (4) an allowance of \$725 per month to two assistants and \$580 per month each not to exceed 11 assistants on the basis heretofore provided for such assistants; and (5) \$2,426,000 for reimbursement to the Department of the Navy for expenses incurred for staff and equipment assigned to the Office of the Attending Physician, which shall be advanced and credited to the applicable appropriation or appropriations from which such salaries, allowances, and other expenses are payable and shall be available for all the purposes thereof, \$3,407,000, to be disbursed by the Chief Administrative Officer of the House of Representatives.

OFFICE OF CONGRESSIONAL ACCESSIBILITY SERVICES

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For salaries and expenses of the Office of Congressional Accessibility Services, \$1,377,000, to be disbursed by the Secretary of the Senate.

TECHNICAL CORRECTION

SEC. 1001. (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 102(a) of the Legislative Branch Appropriations Act, 2002 (2 U.S.C. 60c-5(a)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by inserting " , except as provided under subsection (b)(3)" after "means an individual"; and

(2) by striking paragraphs (2) and (3) and inserting the following:

"(2) EMPLOYEE OF THE SENATE.—The term 'employee of the Senate'—

"(A) has the meaning given the term under section 101 of the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1301); and

"(B) includes any employee of the Office of Congressional Accessibility Services whose pay is disbursed by the Secretary of the Senate.

"(3) EMPLOYING OFFICE.—The term 'employing office'—

"(A) means the employing office, as defined under section 101 of the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1301), of an employee of the Senate; and

"(B) includes the Office of Congressional Accessibility Services with respect to employees of that office whose pay is disbursed by the Secretary of the Senate."

(b) EXCLUSION FROM PARTICIPATION IN DUAL PROGRAMS.—Section 102(b) of the Legislative Branch Appropriations Act, 2002 (2 U.S.C. 60c-5(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(3) EXCLUSION FROM PARTICIPATION IN DUAL PROGRAMS.—Notwithstanding section 5379 of title 5, United States Code, an employee of the Office of Congressional Accessibility Services may not participate in the student loan repayment program through an agreement under that section and participate in the student loan repayment program through a service agreement under this section at the same time.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE AND APPLICATION.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act and apply to service agreements entered into under section 102 of the Legislative Branch Appropriations Act, 2002 (2 U.S.C. 60c-5) or section 5379 of title 5, United States Code, on or after that date.

CAPITOL POLICE

SALARIES

For salaries of employees of the Capitol Police, including overtime, hazardous duty pay, and Government contributions for health, retirement, social security, professional liability insurance, and other applicable employee benefits, \$279,224,000, of which \$1,945,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2014, to be disbursed by the Chief of the Capitol Police or his designee.

GENERAL EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Capitol Police, including motor vehicles, communications and other equipment, security equipment and installation, uniforms, weapons, supplies, materials, training, medical services, forensic services, stenographic services, personal and professional services, the employee assistance program, the awards program, postage, communication services, travel advances, relocation of instructor and liaison personnel for the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center, and not more than \$5,000 to be expended on the certification of the Chief of the Capitol Police in connection with official representation and reception expenses, \$57,985,000, to be disbursed by the Chief of the Capitol Police or his designee: *Provided*, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, the cost of basic training for the Capitol Police at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center for fiscal year 2011 shall be paid by the Secretary of Homeland Security from funds available to the Department of Homeland Security.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

TRANSFER AUTHORITY

SEC. 1101. Amounts appropriated for fiscal year 2011 for the Capitol Police may be transferred between the headings “Salaries” and “General Expenses” upon the approval of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

USE OF FUNDS FOR THE TRUCK INTERDICTION MONITORING PROGRAM

SEC. 1102. (a) Notwithstanding section 1018(d) of the Legislative Branch Appropriations Act, 2003 (2 U.S.C. 1907(d)), the use of any funds appropriated to the United States Capitol Police during fiscal year 2003 for transfer relating to the Truck Interdiction Monitoring Program to the working capital fund established under section 328 of title 49, United States Code is ratified.

(b) Nothing in subsection (a) may be construed to waive sections 1341, 1342, 1349, 1350, or 1351 of title 31, United States Code, or sub-

chapter II of chapter 15 of such title (commonly known as the “Anti-Deficiency Act”).

OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For salaries and expenses of the Office of Compliance, as authorized by section 305 of the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1385), \$4,377,000, of which \$884,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That not more than \$500 may be expended on the certification of the Executive Director of the Office of Compliance in connection with official representation and reception expenses.

CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For salaries and expenses necessary for operation of the Congressional Budget Office, including not more than \$6,000 to be expended on the certification of the Director of the Congressional Budget Office in connection with official representation and reception expenses, \$46,905,000.

ARCHITECT OF THE CAPITOL

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

For salaries for the Architect of the Capitol, and other personal services, at rates of pay provided by law; for surveys and studies in connection with activities under the care of the Architect of the Capitol; for all necessary expenses for the general and administrative support of the operations under the Architect of the Capitol including the Botanic Garden; electrical substations of the Capitol, Senate and House office buildings, and other facilities under the jurisdiction of the Architect of the Capitol; including furnishings and office equipment; including not more than \$5,000 for official reception and representation expenses, to be expended as the Architect of the Capitol may approve; for purchase or exchange, maintenance, and operation of a passenger motor vehicle, and for lease payments on behalf of the United States Capitol Historical Society, \$109,294,000, of which \$7,499,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2015.

CAPITOL BUILDING

For all necessary expenses for the maintenance, care and operation of the Capitol, \$52,916,000, of which \$25,526,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2015.

CAPITOL GROUNDS

For all necessary expenses for care and improvement of grounds surrounding the Capitol, the Senate and House office buildings, and the Capitol Power Plant, \$9,988,000.

SENATE OFFICE BUILDINGS

For all necessary expenses for the maintenance, care and operation of Senate office buildings; and furniture and furnishings to be expended under the control and supervision of the Architect of the Capitol, \$81,112,000, of which \$19,474,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2015.

HOUSE OFFICE BUILDINGS

For necessary expenses for the maintenance, care and operation of the House office buildings, \$75,619,000, of which \$25,323,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2015. In addition, for a payment to the House Historic Buildings Revitalization Trust Fund, \$40,000,000, to remain available until expended.

CAPITOL POWER PLANT

For all necessary expenses for the maintenance, care and operation of the Capitol Power Plant; lighting, heating, power (including the purchase of electrical energy) and water and sewer services for the Capitol,

Senate and House office buildings, Library of Congress buildings, and the grounds about the same, Botanic Garden, Senate garage, and air conditioning refrigeration not supplied from plants in any of such buildings; heating the Government Printing Office and Washington City Post Office, and heating and chilled water for air conditioning for the Supreme Court Building, the Union Station complex, the Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building and the Folger Shakespeare Library, expenses for which shall be advanced or reimbursed upon request of the Architect of the Capitol and amounts so received shall be deposited into the Treasury to the credit of this appropriation, \$109,069,000, of which \$15,100,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2015: *Provided*, That not more than \$8,000,000 of the funds credited or to be reimbursed to this appropriation as herein provided shall be available for obligation during fiscal year 2011.

LIBRARY BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS

For all necessary expenses for the mechanical and structural maintenance, care and operation of the Library buildings and grounds, \$40,796,000, of which \$13,857,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2015.

CAPITOL POLICE BUILDINGS, GROUNDS AND SECURITY

For all necessary expenses for the maintenance, care and operation of buildings, grounds and security enhancements of the United States Capitol Police, wherever located, the Alternate Computer Facility, and AOC security operations, \$26,266,000, of which \$6,436,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2015.

BOTANIC GARDEN

For all necessary expenses for the maintenance, care and operation of the Botanic Garden and the nurseries, buildings, grounds, and collections; and purchase and exchange, maintenance, repair, and operation of a passenger motor vehicle; all under the direction of the Joint Committee on the Library, \$13,834,000, of which \$1,505,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2015: *Provided*, That of the amount made available under this heading, the Architect of the Capitol may obligate and expend such sums as may be necessary for the maintenance, care and operation of the National Garden established under section 307E of the Legislative Branch Appropriations Act, 1989 (2 U.S.C. 2146), upon vouchers approved by the Architect of the Capitol or a duly authorized designee.

CAPITOL VISITOR CENTER

For all necessary expenses for the operation of the Capitol Visitor Center, \$22,771,000.

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Library of Congress not otherwise provided for, including development and maintenance of the Library's catalogs; custody and custodial care of the Library buildings; special clothing; cleaning, laundering and repair of uniforms; preservation of motion pictures in the custody of the Library; operation and maintenance of the American Folklife Center in the Library; activities under the Civil Rights History Project Act of 2009; preparation and distribution of catalog records and other publications of the Library; hire or purchase of one passenger motor vehicle; and expenses of the Library of Congress Trust Fund Board not properly chargeable to the income of any trust fund held by the Board, \$443,345,000, of which not more than \$6,000,000 shall be derived from collections credited to this appropriation during fiscal year 2011, and shall remain available until expended, under the Act

of June 28, 1902 (chapter 1301; 32 Stat. 480; 2 U.S.C. 150) and not more than \$350,000 shall be derived from collections during fiscal year 2011 and shall remain available until expended for the development and maintenance of an international legal information database and activities related thereto: *Provided*, That the Library of Congress may not obligate or expend any funds derived from collections under the Act of June 28, 1902, in excess of the amount authorized for obligation or expenditure in appropriations Acts: *Provided further*, That the total amount available for obligation shall be reduced by the amount by which collections are less than \$6,350,000: *Provided further*, That of the total amount appropriated, not more than \$12,000 may be expended, on the certification of the Librarian of Congress, in connection with official representation and reception expenses for the Overseas Field Offices: *Provided further*, That of the total amount appropriated, \$7,315,000 shall remain available until expended for the digital collections and educational curricula program.

COPYRIGHT OFFICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Copyright Office, \$55,994,000, of which not more than \$28,751,000, to remain available until expended, shall be derived from collections credited to this appropriation during fiscal year 2011 under section 708(d) of title 17, United States Code: *Provided*, That the Copyright Office may not obligate or expend any funds derived from collections under such section, in excess of the amount authorized for obligation or expenditure in appropriations Acts: *Provided further*, That not more than \$5,639,000 shall be derived from collections during fiscal year 2011 under sections 111(d)(2), 119(b)(2), 803(e), 1005, and 1316 of such title: *Provided further*, That the total amount available for obligation shall be reduced by the amount by which collections are less than \$34,390,000: *Provided further*, That not more than \$100,000 of the amount appropriated is available for the maintenance of an "International Copyright Institute" in the Copyright Office of the Library of Congress for the purpose of training nationals of developing countries in intellectual property laws and policies: *Provided further*, That not more than \$4,250 may be expended, on the certification of the Librarian of Congress, in connection with official representation and reception expenses for activities of the International Copyright Institute and for copyright delegations, visitors, and seminars: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any provision of chapter 8 of title 17, United States Code, any amounts made available under this heading which are attributable to royalty fees and payments received by the Copyright Office pursuant to sections 111, 119, and chapter 10 of such title may be used for the costs incurred in the administration of the Copyright Royalty Judges program, with the exception of the costs of salaries and benefits for the Copyright Royalty Judges and staff under section 802(e).

CONGRESSIONAL RESEARCH SERVICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of section 203 of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 166) and to revise and extend the Annotated Constitution of the United States of America, \$114,341,000: *Provided*, That no part of such amount may be used to pay any salary or expense in connection with any publication, or preparation of material therefor (except the

Digest of Public General Bills), to be issued by the Library of Congress unless such publication has obtained prior approval of either the Committee on House Administration of the House of Representatives or the Committee on Rules and Administration of the Senate.

BOOKS FOR THE BLIND AND PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For salaries and expenses to carry out the Act of March 3, 1931 (chapter 400; 46 Stat. 1487; 2 U.S.C. 135a), \$70,500,000, of which \$30,599,000 shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That of the total amount appropriated, \$650,000 shall be available to contract to provide newspapers to blind and physically handicapped residents at no cost to the individual.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

REIMBURSABLE AND REVOLVING FUND ACTIVITIES

SEC. 1301. (a) IN GENERAL.—For fiscal year 2011, the obligational authority of the Library of Congress for the activities described in subsection (b) may not exceed \$148,064,000.

(b) ACTIVITIES.—The activities referred to in subsection (a) are reimbursable and revolving fund activities that are funded from sources other than appropriations to the Library in appropriations Acts for the legislative branch.

(c) TRANSFER OF FUNDS.—During fiscal year 2011, the Librarian of Congress may temporarily transfer funds appropriated in this Act, under the heading "Library of Congress", under the subheading "Salaries and Expenses", to the revolving fund for the FEDLINK Program and the Federal Research Program established under section 103 of the Library of Congress Fiscal Operations Improvement Act of 2000 (Public Law 106-481; 2 U.S.C. 182c): *Provided*, That the total amount of such transfers may not exceed \$1,900,000: *Provided further*, That the appropriate revolving fund account shall reimburse the Library for any amounts transferred to it before the period of availability of the Library appropriation expires.

TRANSFER AUTHORITY

SEC. 1302. (a) IN GENERAL.—Amounts appropriated for fiscal year 2011 for the Library of Congress may be transferred during fiscal year 2011 between any of the headings under the heading "Library of Congress" upon the approval of the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

(b) LIMITATION.—Not more than 10 percent of the total amount of funds appropriated to the account under any heading under the heading "Library of Congress" for fiscal year 2011 may be transferred from that account by all transfers made under subsection (a).

FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR WORKERS COMPENSATION PAYMENTS

SEC. 1303. (a) IN GENERAL.—Unobligated balances of expired Library of Congress appropriations for fiscal year 2011 and each fiscal year thereafter shall be available to the Library of Congress to make the deposit to the credit of the Employees' Compensation Fund required by subsection 8147(b) of title 5, United States Code.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section shall apply with respect to appropriations for fiscal year 2011 and each fiscal year thereafter.

PROCEEDS FROM DISPOSITION OF SURPLUS OR OBSOLETE PROPERTY

SEC. 1304. (a) IN GENERAL.—Within the limits of available appropriations, the Librarian

of Congress may dispose of surplus or obsolete personal property of the Library of Congress by inter-agency transfer, donation, sale, trade-in, or discarding. Amounts received for the sale or trade-in of personal property shall be credited to funds available for the operations of the Library of Congress and be available for the costs of acquiring similar property. Such funds shall be available for such purposes during the fiscal year received and the following fiscal year.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section shall apply with respect to fiscal year 2011 and each fiscal year thereafter.

NONAPPROPRIATED FUNDS INITIATIVES

SEC. 1305. (a) REVOLVING FUNDS.—The Library of Congress Fiscal Operations Improvement Act of 2000 (2 U.S.C. 182a et seq.; Public Law 106-481) is amended—

(1) in section 101 (2 U.S.C. 182a)—

(A) in the section heading, by striking "duplication";

(B) in subsection (a)—

(i) by striking "duplication and delivery services provided by" and inserting "the following programs and activities of";

(ii) by striking the period and inserting a colon; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following:

"(1) Duplication and delivery services.

"(2) Storage of audiovisual materials."; and

(2) in section 102(a) (2 U.S.C. 182b(a)), by adding at the end the following:

"(5) Traveling exhibitions.

"(6) Training.".

(b) GIFTS.—Section 4 of the Act entitled "An Act to create a Library of Congress Trust Fund Board, and for other purposes", approved March 3, 1925 (2 U.S.C. 160), is amended—

(1) in the first undesignated paragraph—

(A) in the first sentence—

(i) by striking "Nothing" and inserting "(a) IN GENERAL.—Nothing";

(ii) by striking "gifts or bequests of money for immediate disbursement" and inserting "and"; and

(iii) by inserting " , gifts or bequests of personal property, nonpersonal services, voluntary and uncompensated personal services, or money for immediate disbursement" before the period;

(B) in the second sentence, by inserting "of money" after "bequests";

(C) in the third sentence, by striking "enter them" and inserting "enter the gift, bequest, or proceeds"; and

(D) by inserting "In the case of a gift of securities, the librarian shall sell the securities and provide the donor with a receipt from the proceeds of the sale." after the second sentence; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(b) REPORTING, DISCLOSURE, AND NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.—

"(1) REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE.—

"(A) ISSUANCE.—Each year the Librarian of Congress shall issue a public report that discloses—

"(i) each gift or bequest accepted under subsection (a), including each gift or bequest of personal property, nonpersonal services, voluntary and uncompensated personal services, or money for immediate disbursement; and

"(ii) details of any financial transaction required under subsection (a) relating to each of those gifts or bequests.

"(B) PUBLICATION.—Each public report issued under subparagraph (A) shall be published in the Annual Report of the Librarian

of Congress and the annual Financial Statements of the Library of Congress, with specific pagination of each gift or bequest listed in the table of contents or index.

“(C) WEBSITE PUBLIC ACCESS.—The Annual Report of the Librarian of Congress and the annual Financial Statements of the Library of Congress, including the public report issued under subparagraph (A), shall be posted on the website of the Library of Congress for public access.

“(2) NOTIFICATION.—Not later than 5 business days before acceptance or rejection of any gift or bequest under subsection (a), the Librarian of Congress shall notify the Chairman and the Vice-Chairman of the Joint Committee on the Library of—

“(A) the determination of the Librarian of Congress to accept or reject that gift or bequest; and

“(B) if the gift or bequest is accepted, the details of all financial transactions relating to that gift or bequest.”.

(C) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to fiscal year 2011, and each fiscal year thereafter.

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE CONGRESSIONAL PRINTING AND BINDING

For authorized printing and binding for the Congress and the distribution of Congressional information in any format; printing and binding for the Architect of the Capitol; expenses necessary for preparing the semi-monthly and session index to the Congressional Record, as authorized by law (section 902 of title 44, United States Code); printing and binding of Government publications authorized by law to be distributed to Members of Congress; and printing, binding, and distribution of Government publications authorized by law to be distributed without charge to the recipient, \$96,652,000: *Provided*, That this appropriation shall not be available for paper copies of the permanent edition of the Congressional Record for individual Representatives, Resident Commissioners or Delegates authorized under section 906 of title 44, United States Code: *Provided further*, That this appropriation shall be available for the payment of obligations incurred under the appropriations for similar purposes for preceding fiscal years: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding the 2-year limitation under section 718 of title 44, United States Code, none of the funds appropriated or made available under this Act or any other Act for printing and binding and related services provided to Congress under chapter 7 of title 44, United States Code, may be expended to print a document, report, or publication after the 27-month period beginning on the date that such document, report, or publication is authorized by Congress to be printed, unless Congress reauthorizes such printing in accordance with section 718 of title 44, United States Code: *Provided further*, That any unobligated or unexpended balances in this account or accounts for similar purposes for preceding fiscal years may be transferred to the Government Printing Office revolving fund for carrying out the purposes of this heading, subject to the approval of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate.

OFFICE OF SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUMENTS SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses of the Office of Superintendent of Documents necessary to provide for the cataloging and indexing of Government publications and their distribution to the public, Members of Congress, other Government agencies, and designated depository

and international exchange libraries as authorized by law, \$42,682,000: *Provided*, That amounts of not more than \$2,000,000 from current year appropriations are authorized for producing and disseminating congressional serial sets and other related publications for fiscal years 2009 and 2010 to depository and other designated libraries: *Provided further*, That any unobligated or unexpended balances in this account or accounts for similar purposes for preceding fiscal years may be transferred to the Government Printing Office revolving fund for carrying out the purposes of this heading, subject to the approval of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate.

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE REVOLVING FUND

For payment to the Government Printing Office Revolving Fund, \$8,127,000 for information technology development, facilities repair, and continuity of operations: *Provided*, That the Government Printing Office is hereby authorized to make such expenditures, within the limits of funds available and in accordance with law, and to make such contracts and commitments without regard to fiscal year limitations as provided by section 9104 of title 31, United States Code, as may be necessary in carrying out the programs and purposes set forth in the budget for the current fiscal year for the Government Printing Office revolving fund: *Provided further*, That not more than \$7,500 may be expended on the certification of the Public Printer in connection with official representation and reception expenses: *Provided further*, That the revolving fund shall be available for the hire or purchase of not more than 12 passenger motor vehicles: *Provided further*, That expenditures in connection with travel expenses of the advisory councils to the Public Printer shall be deemed necessary to carry out the provisions of title 44, United States Code: *Provided further*, That the revolving fund shall be available for temporary or intermittent services under section 3109(b) of title 5, United States Code, but at rates for individuals not more than the daily equivalent of the annual rate of basic pay for level V of the Executive Schedule under section 5316 of such title: *Provided further*, That activities financed through the revolving fund may provide information in any format: *Provided further*, That the revolving fund and the funds provided under the headings “Office of Superintendent of Documents” and “Salaries and Expenses” may not be used for contracted security services at GPO’s passport facility in the District of Columbia.

GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Government Accountability Office, including not more than \$12,500 to be expended on the certification of the Comptroller General of the United States in connection with official representation and reception expenses; temporary or intermittent services under section 3109(b) of title 5, United States Code, but at rates for individuals not more than the daily equivalent of the annual rate of basic pay for level IV of the Executive Schedule under section 5315 of such title; hire of one passenger motor vehicle; advance payments in foreign countries in accordance with section 3324 of title 31, United States Code; benefits comparable to those payable under sections 901(5), (6), and (8) of the Foreign Service Act of 1980 (22 U.S.C. 4081(5), (6), and (8)); and under regulations prescribed by the Comptroller General of the United

States, rental of living quarters in foreign countries, \$558,430,000: *Provided*, That not more than \$9,400,000 of payments received under section 782 of title 31, United States Code, shall be available for use in fiscal year 2011: *Provided further*, That not more than \$3,100,000 of reimbursements received under section 9105 of title 31, United States Code, shall be available for use in fiscal year 2011: *Provided further*, That not more than \$7,000,000 of reimbursements received under section 3521 of title 31, United States Code, shall be available for use in fiscal year 2011: *Provided further*, That this appropriation and appropriations for administrative expenses of any other department or agency which is a member of the National Intergovernmental Audit Forum or a Regional Intergovernmental Audit Forum shall be available to finance an appropriate share of either Forum’s costs as determined by the respective Forum, including necessary travel expenses of non-Federal participants: *Provided further*, That payments hereunder to the Forum may be credited as reimbursements to any appropriation from which costs involved are initially financed.

OPEN WORLD LEADERSHIP CENTER TRUST FUND

For a payment to the Open World Leadership Center Trust Fund for financing activities of the Open World Leadership Center under section 313 of the Legislative Branch Appropriations Act, 2001 (2 U.S.C. 1151), \$12,000,000.

JOHN C. STENNIS CENTER FOR PUBLIC SERVICE TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT

For payment to the John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Development Trust Fund established under section 116 of the John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Training and Development Act (2 U.S.C. 1105), \$430,000.

TITLE II

GENERAL PROVISIONS

MAINTENANCE AND CARE OF PRIVATE VEHICLES

SEC. 201. No part of the funds appropriated in this Act shall be used for the maintenance or care of private vehicles, except for emergency assistance and cleaning as may be provided under regulations relating to parking facilities for the House of Representatives issued by the Committee on House Administration and for the Senate issued by the Committee on Rules and Administration.

FISCAL YEAR LIMITATION

SEC. 202. No part of the funds appropriated in this Act shall remain available for obligation beyond fiscal year 2011 unless expressly so provided in this Act.

RATES OF COMPENSATION AND DESIGNATION

SEC. 203. Whenever in this Act any office or position not specifically established by the Legislative Pay Act of 1929 (46 Stat. 32 et seq.) is appropriated for or the rate of compensation or designation of any office or position appropriated for is different from that specifically established by such Act, the rate of compensation and the designation in this Act shall be the permanent law with respect thereto: *Provided*, That the provisions in this Act for the various items of official expenses of Members, officers, and committees of the Senate and House of Representatives, and clerk hire for Senators and Members of the House of Representatives shall be the permanent law with respect thereto.

CONSULTING SERVICES

SEC. 204. The expenditure of any appropriation under this Act for any consulting service through procurement contract, under section 3109 of title 5, United States Code,

shall be limited to those contracts where such expenditures are a matter of public record and available for public inspection, except where otherwise provided under existing law, or under existing Executive order issued under existing law.

AWARDS AND SETTLEMENTS

SEC. 205. Such sums as may be necessary are appropriated to the account described in subsection (a) of section 415 of the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1415(a)) to pay awards and settlements as authorized under such subsection.

COSTS OF LBFMC

SEC. 206. Amounts available for administrative expenses of any legislative branch entity which participates in the Legislative Branch Financial Managers Council (LBFMC) established by charter on March 26, 1996, shall be available to finance an appropriate share of LBFMC costs as determined by the LBFMC, except that the total LBFMC costs to be shared among all participating legislative branch entities (in such allocations among the entities as the entities may determine) may not exceed \$2,000.

LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE

SEC. 207. The Architect of the Capitol, in consultation with the District of Columbia, is authorized to maintain and improve the landscape features, excluding streets, in the irregular shaped grassy areas bounded by Washington Avenue, SW, on the northeast, Second Street, SW, on the west, Square 582 on the south, and the beginning of the I-395 tunnel on the southeast.

LIMITATION ON TRANSFERS

SEC. 208. None of the funds made available in this Act may be transferred to any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States Government, except pursuant to a transfer made by, or transfer authority provided in, this Act or any other appropriation Act.

GUIDED TOURS OF THE CAPITOL

SEC. 209. (a) Except as provided in subsection (b), none of the funds made available to the Architect of the Capitol in this Act may be used to eliminate or restrict guided tours of the United States Capitol which are led by employees and interns of offices of Members of Congress and other offices of the House of Representatives and Senate.

(b) At the direction of the Capitol Police Board, or at the direction of the Architect of the Capitol with the approval of the Capitol Police Board, guided tours of the United States Capitol which are led by employees and interns described in subsection (a) may be suspended temporarily or otherwise subject to restriction for security or related reasons to the same extent as guided tours of the United States Capitol which are led by the Architect of the Capitol.

(RESCISSION)

SEC. 210. Of the unobligated balances available to the Architect of the Capitol from prior year appropriations for the Capitol Visitor Center project, \$20,000,000 are hereby rescinded.

This division may be cited as the "Legislative Branch Appropriations Act, 2011".

DIVISION J—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND VETERANS AFFAIRS, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

For acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent

public works, military installations, facilities, and real property for the Army as currently authorized by law, including personnel in the Army Corps of Engineers and other personal services necessary for the purposes of this appropriation, and for construction and operation of facilities in support of the functions of the Commander in Chief, \$3,891,395,000 to remain available until September 30, 2015, of which \$190,000,000 shall be for trainee troop housing facilities: *Provided*, That of this amount, not to exceed \$263,783,000 shall be available for study, planning, design, architect and engineer services, and host nation support, as authorized by law, unless the Secretary of the Army determines that additional obligations are necessary for such purposes and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of the determination and the reasons therefor: *Provided further*, That, not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress an expenditure plan for the funds provided for trainee troop housing facilities: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided under this heading for military construction supporting new initiatives in Germany as identified in the table entitled "Military Construction" as specified in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act) may be obligated or expended until the Department of Defense completes an evaluation of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Strategic Concept Review and an accompanying United States assessment of its defense posture in Europe, and a "Front End Assessment" of the Department's global posture for the fiscal year 2012 to 2016 program budget review cycle, and the Secretary of Defense provides to the congressional defense committees a certification of the requirement identified by the assessments for each of the Army military construction projects in Germany funded in this section.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

For acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, naval installations, facilities, and real property for the Navy and Marine Corps as currently authorized by law, including personnel in the Naval Facilities Engineering Command and other personal services necessary for the purposes of this appropriation, \$3,506,557,000, to remain available until September 30, 2015: *Provided*, That of this amount, not to exceed \$128,970,000 shall be available for study, planning, design, and architect and engineer services, as authorized by law, unless the Secretary of the Navy determines that additional obligations are necessary for such purposes and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of the determination and the reasons therefor.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

For acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, military installations, facilities, and real property for the Air Force as currently authorized by law, \$1,296,967,000, to remain available until September 30, 2015: *Provided*, That of this amount, not to exceed \$84,401,000 shall be available for study, planning, design, and architect and engineer services, as authorized by law, unless the Secretary of the Air Force determines that additional obligations are necessary for such purposes and notifies the Committees on Ap-

propriations of both Houses of Congress of the determination and the reasons therefor.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE (INCLUDING TRANSFER AND RESCISSIONS OF FUNDS)

For acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, installations, facilities, and real property for activities and agencies of the Department of Defense (other than the military departments), as currently authorized by law, \$3,145,614,000, to remain available until September 30, 2015: *Provided*, That such amounts of this appropriation as may be determined by the Secretary of Defense may be transferred to such appropriations of the Department of Defense available for military construction or family housing as the Secretary may designate, to be merged with and to be available for the same purposes, and for the same time period, as the appropriation or fund to which transferred: *Provided further*, That of the amount appropriated, not to exceed \$449,041,000 shall be available for study, planning, design, and architect and engineer services, as authorized by law, unless the Secretary of Defense determines that additional obligations are necessary for such purposes and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of the determination and the reasons therefor: *Provided further*, That of the amount appropriated, notwithstanding any other provision of law, \$31,863,000 shall be available for payments to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization for the planning, design, and construction of a new North Atlantic Treaty Organization headquarters: *Provided further*, That of the unobligated balances available under the heading "Military Construction, Defense-Wide" in title I of division E of Public Law 111-117, \$125,500,000 is hereby rescinded: *Provided further*, That of the unobligated balances available under the heading "Military Construction, Defense-Wide" in title I of division E of Public Law 110-329, \$23,000,000 is hereby rescinded.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

For construction, acquisition, expansion, rehabilitation, and conversion of facilities for the training and administration of the Army National Guard, and contributions therefor, as authorized by chapter 1803 of title 10, United States Code, and Military Construction Authorization Acts, \$1,125,628,000, to remain available until September 30, 2015, of which \$60,000,000 shall be for critical unfunded requirements: *Provided*, That of the amount appropriated, not to exceed \$64,836,000 shall be available for study, planning, design, and architect and engineer services, as authorized by law, unless the Director of the Army National Guard determines that additional obligations are necessary for such purposes and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of the determination and the reasons therefor: *Provided further*, That, not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Army National Guard shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress an expenditure plan for the funds provided for critical unfunded requirements.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

For construction, acquisition, expansion, rehabilitation, and conversion of facilities for the training and administration of the Air National Guard, and contributions therefor, as authorized by chapter 1803 of title 10,

United States Code, and Military Construction Authorization Acts, \$441,549,000, to remain available until September 30, 2015, of which \$50,000,000 shall be for critical unfunded requirements: *Provided*, That of the amount appropriated, not to exceed \$37,177,000 shall be available for study, planning, design, and architect and engineer services, as authorized by law, unless the Director of the Air National Guard determines that additional obligations are necessary for such purposes and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of the determination and the reasons therefor: *Provided further*, That, not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Air National Guard shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress an expenditure plan for the funds provided for critical unfunded requirements.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY RESERVE

For construction, acquisition, expansion, rehabilitation, and conversion of facilities for the training and administration of the Army Reserve as authorized by chapter 1803 of title 10, United States Code, and Military Construction Authorization Acts, \$388,064,000, to remain available until September 30, 2015, of which \$30,000,000 shall be for critical unfunded requirements: *Provided*, That of the amount appropriated, not to exceed \$27,289,000 shall be available for study, planning, design, and architect and engineer services, as authorized by law, unless the Secretary of the Army determines that additional obligations are necessary for such purposes and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of the determination and the reasons therefor: *Provided further*, That, not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Chief of Army Reserve shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress an expenditure plan for the funds provided for critical unfunded requirements.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY RESERVE

For construction, acquisition, expansion, rehabilitation, and conversion of facilities for the training and administration of the reserve components of the Navy and Marine Corps as authorized by chapter 1803 of title 10, United States Code, and Military Construction Authorization Acts, \$91,557,000, to remain available until September 30, 2015, of which \$15,000,000 shall be for critical unfunded requirements of the Navy Reserve and \$15,000,000 shall be for critical unfunded requirements of the Marine Forces Reserve: *Provided*, That of the amount appropriated, not to exceed \$1,857,000 shall be available for study, planning, design, and architect and engineer services, as authorized by law, unless the Secretary of the Navy determines that additional obligations are necessary for such purposes and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of the determination and the reasons therefor: *Provided further*, That, not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Chief of Navy Reserve and the Commander, Marine Forces Reserve shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress an expenditure plan for the funds provided for critical unfunded requirements.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE RESERVE

For construction, acquisition, expansion, rehabilitation, and conversion of facilities for the training and administration of the Air Force Reserve as authorized by chapter 1803 of title 10, United States Code, and Military Construction Authorization Acts, \$48,182,000, to remain available until September 30, 2015, of which \$30,000,000 shall be for critical unfunded requirements: *Provided*, That of the amount appropriated, not to exceed \$2,503,000 shall be available for study, planning, design, and architect and engineer services, as authorized by law, unless the Secretary of the Air Force determines that additional obligations are necessary for such purposes and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of the determination and the reasons therefor: *Provided further*, That, not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Chief of Air Force Reserve shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress an expenditure plan for the funds provided for critical unfunded requirements.

For construction, acquisition, expansion, rehabilitation, and conversion of facilities for the training and administration of the Air Force Reserve as authorized by chapter 1803 of title 10, United States Code, and Military Construction Authorization Acts, \$48,182,000, to remain available until September 30, 2015, of which \$30,000,000 shall be for critical unfunded requirements: *Provided*, That of the amount appropriated, not to exceed \$2,503,000 shall be available for study, planning, design, and architect and engineer services, as authorized by law, unless the Secretary of the Air Force determines that additional obligations are necessary for such purposes and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of the determination and the reasons therefor: *Provided further*, That, not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Chief of Air Force Reserve shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress an expenditure plan for the funds provided for critical unfunded requirements.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

For the United States share of the cost of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program for the acquisition and construction of military facilities and installations (including international military headquarters) and for related expenses for the collective defense of the North Atlantic Treaty Area as authorized by section 2806 of title 10, United States Code, and Military Construction Authorization Acts, \$258,884,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, such funds may be obligated and expended for purposes of section 2806 of title 10, United States Code, and sections 2501 and 2502 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84).

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

For expenses of family housing for the Army for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension, and alteration, as authorized by law, \$92,369,000, to remain available until September 30, 2015.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

For expenses of family housing for the Army for operation and maintenance, including debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums, as authorized by law, \$518,140,000.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

For expenses of family housing for the Navy and Marine Corps for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension, and alteration, as authorized by law, \$186,444,000, to remain available until September 30, 2015.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

For expenses of family housing for the Navy and Marine Corps for operation and maintenance, including debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums, as authorized by law, \$366,346,000.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

For expenses of family housing for the Air Force for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension, and alteration, as authorized by law, \$78,025,000, to remain available until September 30, 2015.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

For expenses of family housing for the Air Force for operation and maintenance, in-

cluding debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums, as authorized by law, \$513,792,000.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

For expenses of family housing for the activities and agencies of the Department of Defense (other than the military departments) for operation and maintenance, leasing, and minor construction, as authorized by law, \$50,464,000.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

For the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund, \$1,096,000, to remain available until expended, for family housing initiatives undertaken pursuant to section 2883 of title 10, United States Code, providing alternative means of acquiring and improving military family housing and supporting facilities.

HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND

For the Homeowners Assistance Fund established by section 1013 of the Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966, (42 U.S.C. 3374), as amended by section 1001 of division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-5; 123 Stat. 194), \$16,515,000, to remain available until expended.

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

For expenses of construction, not otherwise provided for, necessary for the destruction of the United States stockpile of lethal chemical agents and munitions in accordance with section 1412 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (50 U.S.C. 1521), and for the destruction of other chemical warfare materials that are not in the chemical weapon stockpile, as currently authorized by law, \$124,971,000, to remain available until September 30, 2015, which shall be only for the Assembled Chemical Weapons Alternatives program.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT 1990

For deposit into the Department of Defense Base Closure Account 1990, established by section 2906(a)(1) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (10 U.S.C. 2687 note), \$450,474,000, to remain available until expended.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT 2005

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

For deposit into the Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005, established by section 2906A(a)(1) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (10 U.S.C. 2687 note), \$2,354,285,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the Department of Defense shall notify the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress 14 days prior to obligating an amount for a construction project that exceeds or reduces the amount identified for that project in the most recently submitted budget request for this account by 20 percent or \$2,000,000, whichever is less: *Provided further*, That the previous proviso shall not apply to projects costing less than \$5,000,000, except for those projects not previously identified in any budget submission for this account and exceeding the minor construction threshold under section 2805 of title 10, United States Code: *Provided further*, That of the unobligated balances available under this heading from prior appropriations Acts, \$200,000,000 is hereby rescinded: *Provided further*, That no funds may be rescinded from

amounts that were designated by the Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to a concurrent resolution on the budget or the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

SEC. 101. None of the funds made available in this title shall be expended for payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for construction, where cost estimates exceed \$25,000, to be performed within the United States, except Alaska, without the specific approval in writing of the Secretary of Defense setting forth the reasons therefor.

SEC. 102. Funds made available in this title for construction shall be available for hire of passenger motor vehicles.

SEC. 103. Funds made available in this title for construction may be used for advances to the Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation, for the construction of access roads as authorized by section 210 of title 23, United States Code, when projects authorized therein are certified as important to the national defense by the Secretary of Defense.

SEC. 104. None of the funds made available in this title may be used to begin construction of new bases in the United States for which specific appropriations have not been made.

SEC. 105. None of the funds made available in this title shall be used for purchase of land or land easements in excess of 100 percent of the value as determined by the Army Corps of Engineers or the Naval Facilities Engineering Command, except: (1) where there is a determination of value by a Federal court; (2) purchases negotiated by the Attorney General or the designee of the Attorney General; (3) where the estimated value is less than \$25,000; or (4) as otherwise determined by the Secretary of Defense to be in the public interest.

SEC. 106. None of the funds made available in this title shall be used to: (1) acquire land; (2) provide for site preparation; or (3) install utilities for any family housing, except housing for which funds have been made available in annual Acts making appropriations for military construction.

SEC. 107. None of the funds made available in this title for minor construction may be used to transfer or relocate any activity from one base or installation to another, without prior notification to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress.

SEC. 108. None of the funds made available in this title may be used for the procurement of steel for any construction project or activity for which American steel producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been denied the opportunity to compete for such steel procurement.

SEC. 109. None of the funds available to the Department of Defense for military construction or family housing during the current fiscal year may be used to pay real property taxes in any foreign nation.

SEC. 110. None of the funds made available in this title may be used to initiate a new installation overseas without prior notification to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress.

SEC. 111. None of the funds made available in this title may be obligated for architect and engineer contracts estimated by the Government to exceed \$500,000 for projects to be accomplished in Japan, in any North Atlantic Treaty Organization member country, or in countries bordering the Arabian Sea, unless such contracts are awarded to United States firms or United States firms in joint venture with host nation firms.

SEC. 112. None of the funds made available in this title for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in countries bordering the Arabian Sea, may be used to award any contract estimated by the Government to exceed \$1,000,000 to a foreign contractor: *Provided*, That this section shall not be applicable to contract awards for which the lowest responsive and responsible bid of a United States contractor exceeds the lowest responsive and responsible bid of a foreign contractor by greater than 20 percent: *Provided further*, That this section shall not apply to contract awards for military construction on Kwajalein Atoll for which the lowest responsive and responsible bid is submitted by a Marshallese contractor.

SEC. 113. The Secretary of Defense is to inform the appropriate committees of both Houses of Congress, including the Committees on Appropriations, of the plans and scope of any proposed military exercise involving United States personnel 30 days prior to its occurring, if amounts expended for construction, either temporary or permanent, are anticipated to exceed \$100,000.

SEC. 114. Not more than 20 percent of the funds made available in this title which are limited for obligation during the current fiscal year shall be obligated during the last 2 months of the fiscal year.

SEC. 115. Funds appropriated to the Department of Defense for construction in prior years shall be available for construction authorized for each such military department by the authorizations enacted into law during the current session of Congress.

SEC. 116. For military construction or family housing projects that are being completed with funds otherwise expired or lapsed for obligation, expired or lapsed funds may be used to pay the cost of associated supervision, inspection, overhead, engineering and design on those projects and on subsequent claims, if any.

SEC. 117. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any funds made available to a military department or defense agency for the construction of military projects may be obligated for a military construction project or contract, or for any portion of such a project or contract, at any time before the end of the fourth fiscal year after the fiscal year for which funds for such project were made available, if the funds obligated for such project: (1) are obligated from funds available for military construction projects; and (2) do not exceed the amount appropriated for such project, plus any amount by which the cost of such project is increased pursuant to law.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 118. In addition to any other transfer authority available to the Department of Defense, proceeds deposited to the Department of Defense Base Closure Account established by section 207(a)(1) of the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (10 U.S.C. 2687 note) pursuant to section 207(a)(2)(C) of such Act, may be transferred to the account established by section 2906(a)(1) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (10 U.S.C. 2687 note), to be merged with, and to be available for the same purposes and the same time period as that account.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 119. Subject to 30 days prior notification, or 14 days for a notification provided in an electronic medium pursuant to sections 480 and 2883, of title 10, United States Code, to the Committees on Appropriations of both

Houses of Congress, such additional amounts as may be determined by the Secretary of Defense may be transferred to: (1) the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund from amounts appropriated for construction in "Family Housing" accounts, to be merged with and to be available for the same purposes and for the same period of time as amounts appropriated directly to the Fund; or (2) the Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund from amounts appropriated for construction of military unaccompanied housing in "Military Construction" accounts, to be merged with and to be available for the same purposes and for the same period of time as amounts appropriated directly to the Fund: *Provided*, That appropriations made available to the Funds shall be available to cover the costs, as defined in section 502(5) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, of direct loans or loan guarantees issued by the Department of Defense pursuant to the provisions of subchapter IV of chapter 169 of title 10, United States Code, pertaining to alternative means of acquiring and improving military family housing, military unaccompanied housing, and supporting facilities.

SEC. 120. (a) Not later than 60 days before issuing any solicitation for a contract with the private sector for military family housing the Secretary of the military department concerned shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress the notice described in subsection (b).

(b)(1) A notice referred to in subsection (a) is a notice of any guarantee (including the making of mortgage or rental payments) proposed to be made by the Secretary to the private party under the contract involved in the event of—

(A) the closure or realignment of the installation for which housing is provided under the contract;

(B) a reduction in force of units stationed at such installation; or

(C) the extended deployment overseas of units stationed at such installation.

(2) Each notice under this subsection shall specify the nature of the guarantee involved and assess the extent and likelihood, if any, of the liability of the Federal Government with respect to the guarantee.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 121. In addition to any other transfer authority available to the Department of Defense, amounts may be transferred from the accounts established by sections 2906(a)(1) and 2906A(a)(1) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (10 U.S.C. 2687 note), to the fund established by section 1013(d) of the Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 3374) to pay for expenses associated with the Homeowners Assistance Program incurred under 42 U.S.C. 3374(a)(1)(A). Any amounts transferred shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes and for the same time period as the fund to which transferred.

SEC. 122. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds made available in this title for operation and maintenance of family housing shall be the exclusive source of funds for repair and maintenance of all family housing units, including general or flag officer quarters: *Provided*, That not more than \$35,000 per unit may be spent annually for the maintenance and repair of any general or flag officer quarters without 30 days prior notification, or 14 days for a notification provided in an electronic medium pursuant to sections 480 and 2883 of title 10, United

States Code, to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress, except that an after-the-fact notification shall be submitted if the limitation is exceeded solely due to costs associated with environmental remediation that could not be reasonably anticipated at the time of the budget submission: *Provided further*, That the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is to report annually to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress all operation and maintenance expenditures for each individual general or flag officer quarters for the prior fiscal year.

SEC. 123. Amounts contained in the Ford Island Improvement Account established by subsection (h) of section 2814 of title 10, United States Code, are appropriated and shall be available until expended for the purposes specified in subsection (i)(1) of such section or until transferred pursuant to subsection (i)(3) of such section.

SEC. 124. None of the funds made available in this title, or in any Act making appropriations for military construction which remain available for obligation, may be obligated or expended to carry out a military construction, land acquisition, or family housing project at or for a military installation approved for closure, or at a military installation for the purposes of supporting a function that has been approved for realignment to another installation, in 2005 under the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note), unless such a project at a military installation approved for realignment will support a continuing mission or function at that installation or a new mission or function that is planned for that installation, or unless the Secretary of Defense certifies that the cost to the United States of carrying out such project would be less than the cost to the United States of canceling such project, or if the project is at an active component base that shall be established as an enclave or in the case of projects having multi-agency use, that another Government agency has indicated it will assume ownership of the completed project. The Secretary of Defense may not transfer funds made available as a result of this limitation from any military construction project, land acquisition, or family housing project to another account or use such funds for another purpose or project without the prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress. This section shall not apply to military construction projects, land acquisition, or family housing projects for which the project is vital to the national security or the protection of health, safety, or environmental quality: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Defense shall notify the congressional defense committees within seven days of a decision to carry out such a military construction project.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 125. During the 5-year period after appropriations available in this Act to the Department of Defense for military construction and family housing operation and maintenance and construction have expired for obligation, upon a determination that such appropriations will not be necessary for the liquidation of obligations or for making authorized adjustments to such appropriations for obligations incurred during the period of availability of such appropriations, unobligated balances of such appropriations may be transferred into the appropriation "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Construction, Defense", to be merged with and to be available for the same time period and for the

same purposes as the appropriation to which transferred.

SEC. 126. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available in this title may be used for any action that is related to or promotes the expansion of the boundaries or size of the Pinon Canyon Maneuver Site, Colorado.

SEC. 127. Notwithstanding Department of Defense Instruction 1330.17, nonappropriated funds provided through the Commissary Surcharge Fund may be used in accordance with the authority provided in 10 U.S.C. 2484(h) to construct a commissary at U.S. Southern Command Headquarters in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

SEC. 128. Amounts appropriated or otherwise made available in an account funded under the headings in this title may be transferred among projects and activities within the account in accordance with the reprogramming guidelines for military construction and family housing construction contained in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act) and in the guidance for military construction reprogrammings and notifications contained in Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation 7000.14-R, Volume 3, Chapter 7, of February 2009, as in effect on the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 129. Amounts appropriated or otherwise made available in this title for "Military Construction, Army", "Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps", "Military Construction, Air Force", "Military Construction, Defense-Wide", "Military Construction, Army National Guard", "Military Construction, Air National Guard", "Military Construction, Army Reserve", "Military Construction, Navy Reserve", "Military Construction, Air Force Reserve", "Family Housing Construction, Army", "Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps", "Family Housing Construction, Air Force", and "Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-Wide" shall be for the projects and activities, and in the amounts specified, identified under those headings in the Committee recommendations, and under the headings for "Army", "Navy", "Air Force", "Defense-Wide", "Army National Guard", "Air National Guard", "Army Reserve", "Navy Reserve", "Air Force Reserve", "Family Housing Construction, Army", "Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps", "Family Housing Construction, Air Force", and "Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-Wide" in the table entitled "Military Construction" in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

SEC. 130. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Department of Defense is authorized to carry out planning, design, and construction not otherwise authorized by law for an Aegis Ashore Test Facility at the Pacific Missile Range Facility, Hawaii, in an amount not to exceed \$68,500,000 using funds appropriated or otherwise made available by title I of division E of Public Law 111-117 under the heading "Military Construction, Defense-Wide".

SEC. 131. None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to take beneficial occupancy of more than 1,000 parking spaces provided by the combination spaces provided by the proposed office complex to be developed at an established mixed-use business park in Alexandria, Virginia, to implement recommendation 133 of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission contained

in the report of the Commission transmitted to Congress on September 15, 2005, and the lease of spaces in the immediate vicinity of such office complex until both of the following occur:

(1) The Secretary submits to the congressional defense committees a viable transportation plan, as directed in House Report 111-559, for the proposed office complex.

(2) The Secretary certifies to the congressional defense committees that construction has been completed to provide adequate ingress to and egress from the business park at which the proposed office complex is located.

SEC. 132. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this title may be obligated and expended to carry out planning and design and military construction projects not otherwise authorized by law.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

VETERANS BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION

COMPENSATION AND PENSIONS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the payment of compensation benefits to or on behalf of veterans and a pilot program for disability examinations as authorized by section 107 and chapters 11, 13, 18, 51, 53, 55, and 61 of title 38, United States Code; pension benefits to or on behalf of veterans as authorized by chapters 15, 51, 53, 55, and 61 of title 38, United States Code; and burial benefits, the Reinstated Entitlement Program for Survivors, emergency and other officers' retirement pay, adjusted-service credits and certificates, payment of premiums due on commercial life insurance policies guaranteed under the provisions of title IV of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App. 541 et seq.) and for other benefits as authorized by sections 107, 1312, 1977, and 2106, and chapters 23, 51, 53, 55, and 61 of title 38, United States Code, \$53,978,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$30,423,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be reimbursed to "General operating expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration", "Medical support and compliance", and "Information technology systems" for necessary expenses in implementing the provisions of chapters 51, 53, and 55 of title 38, United States Code, the funding source for which is specifically provided as the "Compensation and pensions" appropriation: *Provided further*, That such sums as may be earned on an actual qualifying patient basis, shall be reimbursed to "Medical care collections fund" to augment the funding of individual medical facilities for nursing home care provided to pensioners as authorized.

READJUSTMENT BENEFITS

For the payment of readjustment and rehabilitation benefits to or on behalf of veterans as authorized by chapters 21, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 39, 51, 53, 55, and 61 of title 38, United States Code, \$10,396,106,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That expenses for rehabilitation program services and assistance which the Secretary is authorized to provide under subsection (a) of section 3104 of title 38, United States Code, other than under paragraphs (1), (2), (5), and (11) of that subsection, shall be charged to this account.

VETERANS INSURANCE AND INDEMNITIES

For military and naval insurance, national service life insurance, servicemen's indemnities, service-disabled veterans insurance, and veterans mortgage life insurance as authorized by title 38, United States Code, chapters 19 and 21, \$77,589,000, to remain available until expended.

VETERANS HOUSING BENEFIT PROGRAM FUND

For the cost of direct and guaranteed loans, such sums as may be necessary to carry out the program, as authorized by subchapters I through III of chapter 37 of title 38, United States Code: *Provided*, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: *Provided further*, That during fiscal year 2011, within the resources available, not to exceed \$500,000 in gross obligations for direct loans are authorized for specially adapted housing loans.

In addition, for administrative expenses to carry out the direct and guaranteed loan programs, \$163,646,000.

VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the cost of direct loans, \$48,000, as authorized by chapter 31 of title 38, United States Code: *Provided*, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: *Provided further*, That funds made available under this heading are available to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans not to exceed \$3,042,000.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the direct loan program, \$337,000, which may be paid to the appropriation for "General operating expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration".

NATIVE AMERICAN VETERAN HOUSING LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For administrative expenses to carry out the direct loan program authorized by subchapter V of chapter 37 of title 38, United States Code, \$707,000.

VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

MEDICAL SERVICES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses for furnishing, as authorized by law, inpatient and outpatient care and treatment to beneficiaries of the Department of Veterans Affairs and veterans described in section 1705(a) of title 38, United States Code, including care and treatment in facilities not under the jurisdiction of the Department, and including medical supplies and equipment, food services, and salaries and expenses of health care employees hired under title 38, United States Code, aid to State homes as authorized by section 1741 of title 38, United States Code, assistance and support services for caregivers as authorized by section 1720G of title 38, United States Code, and loan repayments authorized by section 604 of Public Law 111-163; \$39,649,985,000, plus reimbursements, shall become available on October 1, 2011, and shall remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall establish a priority for the provision of medical treatment for veterans who have service-connected disabilities, lower income, or have special needs: *Provided further*, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall give priority funding for the provision of basic medical benefits to veterans in enrollment priority groups 1 through 6: *Provided further*, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs may authorize the dispensing of prescription drugs from Veterans Health Administration facilities to enrolled veterans with privately written prescriptions based on requirements established by the Secretary: *Provided further*, That the imple-

mentation of the program described in the previous proviso shall incur no additional cost to the Department of Veterans Affairs.

MEDICAL SUPPORT AND COMPLIANCE

For necessary expenses in the administration of the medical, hospital, nursing home, domiciliary, construction, supply, and research activities, as authorized by law; administrative expenses in support of capital policy activities; and administrative and legal expenses of the Department for collecting and recovering amounts owed the Department as authorized under chapter 17 of title 38, United States Code, and the Federal Medical Care Recovery Act (42 U.S.C. 2651 et seq.); \$5,535,000,000, plus reimbursements, shall become available on October 1, 2011, and shall remain available until September 30, 2012.

MEDICAL FACILITIES

For necessary expenses for the maintenance and operation of hospitals, nursing homes, and domiciliary facilities and other necessary facilities of the Veterans Health Administration; for administrative expenses in support of planning, design, project management, real property acquisition and disposition, construction, and renovation of any facility under the jurisdiction or for the use of the Department; for oversight, engineering, and architectural activities not charged to project costs; for repairing, altering, improving, or providing facilities in the several hospitals and homes under the jurisdiction of the Department, not otherwise provided for, either by contract or by the hire of temporary employees and purchase of materials; for leases of facilities; and for laundry services, \$5,426,000,000, plus reimbursements, shall become available on October 1, 2011, and shall remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That of the amount available for fiscal year 2012, \$130,000,000 for non-recurring maintenance shall be allocated in a manner not subject to the Veterans Equitable Resource Allocation.

MEDICAL AND PROSTHETIC RESEARCH

For necessary expenses in carrying out programs of medical and prosthetic research and development as authorized by chapter 73 of title 38, United States Code, \$590,000,000, plus reimbursements, shall remain available until September 30, 2012.

NATIONAL CEMETERY ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of the National Cemetery Administration for operations and maintenance, not otherwise provided for, including uniforms or allowances therefor; cemetery expenses as authorized by law; purchase of one passenger motor vehicle for use in cemetery operations; hire of passenger motor vehicles; and repair, alteration or improvement of facilities under the jurisdiction of the National Cemetery Administration, \$259,004,000, of which not to exceed \$24,200,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary operating expenses of the Department of Veterans Affairs, not otherwise provided for, including administrative expenses in support of Department-Wide capital planning, management and policy activities, uniforms, or allowances therefor; not to exceed \$25,000 for official reception and representation expenses; hire of passenger motor vehicles; and reimbursement of the General Services Administration for security guard services, \$466,497,000, of which not to

exceed \$22,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That \$23,584,000 shall be to increase the Department's acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities and may be transferred by the Secretary to any other account in the Department to carry out the purposes provided therein: *Provided further*, That funds provided under this heading may be transferred to "General operating expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration".

GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES, VETERANS BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION

For necessary operating expenses of the Veterans Benefits Administration, not otherwise provided for, including hire of passenger motor vehicles, and reimbursement of the Department of Defense for the cost of overseas employee mail, \$2,162,776,000: *Provided*, That expenses for services and assistance authorized under paragraphs (1), (2), (5), and (11) of section 3104(a) of title 38, United States Code, that the Secretary of Veterans Affairs determines are necessary to enable entitled veterans: (1) to the maximum extent feasible, to become employable and to obtain and maintain suitable employment; or (2) to achieve maximum independence in daily living, shall be charged to this account: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available under this heading, not to exceed \$108,000,000 shall remain available until September 20, 2012: *Provided further*, That from the funds made available under this heading, the Veterans Benefits Administration may purchase (on a one-for-one replacement basis only) up to two passenger motor vehicles for use in operations of that Administration in Manila, Philippines.

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS

For necessary expenses for information technology systems and telecommunications support, including developmental information systems and operational information systems; for pay and associated costs; and for the capital asset acquisition of information technology systems, including management and related contractual costs of said acquisitions, including contractual costs associated with operations authorized by section 3109 of title 5, United States Code, \$3,162,501,000, plus reimbursements, shall remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That none of the funds made available under this heading may be obligated until the Department of Veterans Affairs submits to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress, and such Committees approve, a plan for expenditure that: (1) meets the capital planning and investment control review requirements established by the Office of Management and Budget; (2) complies with the Department of Veterans Affairs enterprise architecture; (3) conforms with an established enterprise life cycle methodology; and (4) complies with the acquisition rules, requirements, guidelines, and systems acquisition management practices of the Federal Government: *Provided further*, That not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress a reprogramming base letter which sets forth, by project, the operations and maintenance costs, with salary expenses separately designated, and development costs to be carried out utilizing amounts made available under this heading: *Provided further*, That of the amounts made available under this heading, \$742,816,000 may not be obligated or expended until the Secretary of Veterans Affairs or the Chief Information Officer of the

Department of Veterans Affairs submits to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress a certification of the amounts, in parts or in full, to be obligated and expended for each development project.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General, to include information technology, in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.), \$115,367,000, of which \$6,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012.

CONSTRUCTION, MAJOR PROJECTS

For constructing, altering, extending, and improving any of the facilities, including parking projects, under the jurisdiction or for the use of the Department of Veterans Affairs, or for any of the purposes set forth in sections 316, 2404, 2406, 8102, 8103, 8106, 8108, 8109, 8110, and 8122 of title 38, United States Code, including planning, architectural and engineering services, construction management services, maintenance or guarantee period services costs associated with equipment guarantees provided under the project, services of claims analysts, offsite utility and storm drainage system construction costs, and site acquisition, where the estimated cost of a project is more than the amount set forth in section 8104(a)(3)(A) of title 38, United States Code, or where funds for a project were made available in a previous major project appropriation, \$1,151,036,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$6,000,000 shall be to make reimbursements as provided in section 13 of the Contract Disputes Act of 1978 (41 U.S.C. 612) for claims paid for contract disputes: *Provided*, That except for advance planning activities, including needs assessments which may or may not lead to capital investments, and other capital asset management related activities, including portfolio development and management activities, and investment strategy studies funded through the advance planning fund and the planning and design activities funded through the design fund, including needs assessments which may or may not lead to capital investments, and salaries and associated costs of the resident engineers who oversee those capital investments funded through this account, and funds provided for the purchase of land for the National Cemetery Administration through the land acquisition line item, none of the funds made available under this heading shall be used for any project which has not been approved by the Congress in the budgetary process: *Provided further*, That funds made available under this heading for fiscal year 2011, for each approved project shall be obligated: (1) by the awarding of a construction documents contract by September 30, 2011; and (2) by the awarding of a construction contract by September 30, 2012: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall promptly submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress a written report on any approved major construction project for which obligations are not incurred within the time limitations established above: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available under this heading, \$940,932,000 shall be for the projects and activities, and in the amounts, specified under this heading in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

CONSTRUCTION, MINOR PROJECTS

For constructing, altering, extending, and improving any of the facilities, including parking projects, under the jurisdiction or

for the use of the Department of Veterans Affairs, including planning and assessments of needs which may lead to capital investments, architectural and engineering services costs associated with equipment guarantees provided under the project, services of claims analysts, offsite utility and storm drainage system construction costs, and site acquisition, or for any of the purposes set forth in sections 316, 2404, 2406, 8102, 8103, 8106, 8108, 8109, 8110, 8122, and 8162 of title 38, United States Code, where the estimated cost of a project is equal to or less than the amount set forth in section 8104(a)(3)(A) of title 38, United States Code, \$517,700,000, to remain available until expended, along with unobligated balances of previous "Construction, minor projects" appropriations which are hereby made available for any project where the estimated cost is equal to or less than the amount set forth in such section: *Provided*, That funds made available under this heading shall be for: (1) repairs to any of the nonmedical facilities under the jurisdiction or for the use of the Department which are necessary because of loss or damage caused by any natural disaster or catastrophe; and (2) temporary measures necessary to prevent or to minimize further loss by such causes.

GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF STATE EXTENDED CARE FACILITIES

For grants to assist States to acquire or construct State nursing home and domiciliary facilities and to remodel, modify, or alter existing hospital, nursing home, and domiciliary facilities in State homes, for furnishing care to veterans as authorized by sections 8131 through 8137 of title 38, United States Code, \$85,000,000, to remain available until expended.

GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF STATE VETERANS CEMETERIES

For grants to assist States in establishing, expanding, or improving State veterans cemeteries as authorized by section 2408 of title 38, United States Code, \$46,000,000, to remain available until expended.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 201. Any appropriation for fiscal year 2011 for "Compensation and pensions", "Readjustment benefits", and "Veterans insurance and indemnities" may be transferred as necessary to any other of the mentioned appropriations: *Provided*, That before a transfer may take place, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall request from the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress the authority to make the transfer and such Committees issue an approval, or absent a response, a period of 30 days has elapsed.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 202. Amounts made available for the Department of Veterans Affairs for fiscal year 2011, in this Act or any other Act, under the "Medical services", "Medical support and compliance", and "Medical facilities" accounts may be transferred among the accounts: *Provided*, That any transfers between the "Medical services" and "Medical support and compliance" accounts of 1 percent or less of the total amount appropriated to the account in this or any other Act may take place subject to notification from the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of the amount and purpose of the transfer: *Provided further*, That any transfers between the "Medical services" and "Medical support and compliance" accounts in excess

of 1 percent, or exceeding the cumulative 1 percent for the fiscal year, may take place only after the Secretary requests from the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress the authority to make the transfer and an approval is issued: *Provided further*, That any transfers to or from the "Medical facilities" account may take place only after the Secretary requests from the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress the authority to make the transfer and an approval is issued.

SEC. 203. Appropriations available in this title for salaries and expenses shall be available for services authorized by section 3109 of title 5, United States Code, hire of passenger motor vehicles; lease of a facility or land or both; and uniforms or allowances therefore, as authorized by sections 5901 through 5902 of title 5, United States Code.

SEC. 204. No appropriations in this title (except the appropriations for "Construction, major projects", and "Construction, minor projects") shall be available for the purchase of any site for or toward the construction of any new hospital or home.

SEC. 205. No appropriations in this title shall be available for hospitalization or examination of any persons (except beneficiaries entitled to such hospitalization or examination under the laws providing such benefits to veterans, and persons receiving such treatment under sections 7901 through 7904 of title 5, United States Code, or the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.)), unless reimbursement of the cost of such hospitalization or examination is made to the "Medical services" account at such rates as may be fixed by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

SEC. 206. Appropriations available in this title for "Compensation and pensions", "Readjustment benefits", and "Veterans insurance and indemnities" shall be available for payment of prior year accrued obligations required to be recorded by law against the corresponding prior year accounts within the last quarter of fiscal year 2010.

SEC. 207. Appropriations available in this title shall be available to pay prior year obligations of corresponding prior year appropriations accounts resulting from sections 3328(a), 3334, and 3712(a) of title 31, United States Code, except that if such obligations are from trust fund accounts they shall be payable only from "Compensation and pensions".

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 208. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, during fiscal year 2011, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall, from the National Service Life Insurance Fund under section 1920 of title 38, United States Code, the Veterans' Special Life Insurance Fund under section 1923 of title 38, United States Code, and the United States Government Life Insurance Fund under section 1955 of title 38, United States Code, reimburse the "General operating expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration" and "Information technology systems" accounts for the cost of administration of the insurance programs financed through those accounts: *Provided*, That reimbursement shall be made only from the surplus earnings accumulated in such an insurance program during fiscal year 2011 that are available for dividends in that program after claims have been paid and actuarially determined reserves have been set aside: *Provided further*, That if the cost of administration of such an insurance program exceeds the amount of surplus earnings accumulated in that program, reimbursement

shall be made only to the extent of such surplus earnings: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall determine the cost of administration for fiscal year 2011 which is properly allocable to the provision of each such insurance program and to the provision of any total disability income insurance included in that insurance program.

SEC. 209. Amounts deducted from enhanced-use lease proceeds to reimburse an account for expenses incurred by that account during a prior fiscal year for providing enhanced-use lease services, may be obligated during the fiscal year in which the proceeds are received.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 210. Funds available in this title or funds for salaries and other administrative expenses shall also be available to reimburse the Office of Resolution Management of the Department of Veterans Affairs and the Office of Employment Discrimination Complaint Adjudication under section 319 of title 38, United States Code, for all services provided at rates which will recover actual costs but not exceed \$38,783,000 for the Office of Resolution Management and \$3,354,000 for the Office of Employment Discrimination Complaint Adjudication: *Provided*, That payments may be made in advance for services to be furnished based on estimated costs: *Provided further*, That amounts received shall be credited to the "General administration" and "Information technology systems" accounts for use by the office that provided the service.

SEC. 211. No appropriations in this title shall be available to enter into any new lease of real property if the estimated annual rental cost is more than \$1,000,000, unless the Secretary submits a report which the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress approve within 30 days following the date on which the report is received.

SEC. 212. No funds of the Department of Veterans Affairs shall be available for hospital care, nursing home care, or medical services provided to any person under chapter 17 of title 38, United States Code, for a non-service-connected disability described in section 1729(a)(2) of such title, unless that person has disclosed to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, in such form as the Secretary may require, current, accurate third-party reimbursement information for purposes of section 1729 of such title: *Provided*, That the Secretary may recover, in the same manner as any other debt due the United States, the reasonable charges for such care or services from any person who does not make such disclosure as required: *Provided further*, That any amounts so recovered for care or services provided in a prior fiscal year may be obligated by the Secretary during the fiscal year in which amounts are received.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 213. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, proceeds or revenues derived from enhanced-use leasing activities (including disposal) may be deposited into the "Construction, major projects" and "Construction, minor projects" accounts and be used for construction (including site acquisition and disposition), alterations, and improvements of any medical facility under the jurisdiction or for the use of the Department of Veterans Affairs. Such sums as realized are in addition to the amount provided for in "Construction, major projects" and "Construction, minor projects".

SEC. 214. Amounts made available under "Medical services" are available—

(1) for furnishing recreational facilities, supplies, and equipment; and

(2) for funeral expenses, burial expenses, and other expenses incidental to funerals and burials for beneficiaries receiving care in the Department.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 215. Such sums as may be deposited to the Medical Care Collections Fund pursuant to section 1729A of title 38, United States Code, may be transferred to "Medical services", to remain available until expended for the purposes of that account.

SEC. 216. The Secretary of Veterans Affairs may enter into agreements with Indian tribes and tribal organizations which are party to the Alaska Native Health Compact with the Indian Health Service, and Indian tribes and tribal organizations serving rural Alaska which have entered into contracts with the Indian Health Service under the Indian Self Determination and Educational Assistance Act, to provide healthcare, including behavioral health and dental care. The Secretary shall require participating veterans and facilities to comply with all appropriate rules and regulations, as established by the Secretary. The term "rural Alaska" shall mean those lands sited within the external boundaries of the Alaska Native regions specified in sections 7(a)(1)–(4) and (7)–(12) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act, as amended (43 U.S.C. 1606), and those lands within the Alaska Native regions specified in sections 7(a)(5) and 7(a)(6) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act, as amended (43 U.S.C. 1606), which are not within the boundaries of the Municipality of Anchorage, the Fairbanks North Star Borough, the Kenai Peninsula Borough or the Matanuska Susitna Borough.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 217. Such sums as may be deposited to the Department of Veterans Affairs Capital Asset Fund pursuant to section 8118 of title 38, United States Code, may be transferred to the "Construction, major projects" and "Construction, minor projects" accounts, to remain available until expended for the purposes of these accounts.

SEC. 218. None of the funds made available in this title may be used to implement any policy prohibiting the Directors of the Veterans Integrated Services Networks from conducting outreach or marketing to enroll new veterans within their respective Networks.

SEC. 219. The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress a quarterly report on the financial status of the Veterans Health Administration.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 220. Amounts made available under the "Medical services", "Medical support and compliance", "Medical facilities", "General operating expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration", "General Administration", and "National Cemetery Administration" accounts for fiscal year 2011, may be transferred to or from the "Information technology systems" account: *Provided*, That before a transfer may take place, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall request from the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress the authority to make the transfer and an approval is issued.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 221. Amounts made available for the "Information technology systems" account may be transferred between projects: *Provided*, That no project may be increased or decreased by more than \$1,000,000 of cost prior to submitting a request to the Commit-

tees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress to make the transfer and an approval is issued, or absent a response, a period of 30 days has elapsed.

SEC. 222. (a) Upon a determination by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs that such action is in the national interest, and will have a direct benefit for veterans through increased access to treatment, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs may transfer not more than \$5,000,000 to the Secretary of Health and Human Services for the Graduate Psychology Education Program, which includes treatment of veterans, to support increased training of psychologists skilled in the treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder, traumatic brain injury, and related disorders.

(b) The Secretary of Health and Human Services may only use funds transferred under this section for the purposes described in subsection (a).

(c) The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall notify Congress of any such transfer of funds under this section.

SEC. 223. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act or any other Act for the Department of Veterans Affairs may be used in a manner that is inconsistent with—

(1) section 842 of the Transportation, Treasury, Housing and Urban Development, the Judiciary, the District of Columbia, and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 2006 (Public Law 109-115; 119 Stat. 2506); or

(2) section 8110(a)(5) of title 38, United States Code.

SEC. 224. Of the amounts made available to the Department of Veterans Affairs for fiscal year 2011, in this Act or any other Act, under the "Medical facilities" account for non-recurring maintenance, not more than 20 percent of the funds made available shall be obligated during the last 2 months of that fiscal year: *Provided*, That the Secretary may waive this requirement after providing written notice to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 225. Of the amounts appropriated to the Department of Veterans Affairs in this Act, and any other Act, for "Medical services", "Medical support and compliance", "Medical facilities", "Construction, minor projects", and "Information technology systems", up to \$235,360,000, plus reimbursements, may be transferred to the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund, established by section 1704 of title XVII of division A of Public Law 111-84, and shall be available to fund operations of the integrated Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, consisting of the North Chicago Veteran Affairs Medical Center, and Navy Ambulatory Care Center, and supporting facilities designated as a combined Federal medical facility as described by Section 706 of Public Law 110-417: *Provided*, That additional funds may be transferred from accounts designated in this section to the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund upon written notification by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 226. Such sums as may be deposited to the Medical Care Collections Fund pursuant to section 1729A of title 38, United States Code, for health care provided at the Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center may be transferred to the Joint Department

of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund, established by section 1704 of title XVII of division A of Public Law 111-84, and shall be available to fund operations of the integrated Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, consisting of the North Chicago Veteran Affairs Medical Center, and Navy Ambulatory Care Center, and supporting facilities designated as a combined Federal medical facility as described by section 1706 of Public Law 110-417.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 227. Of the amounts available in this title for “Medical services”, “Medical support and compliance”, and “Medical facilities”, a minimum of \$15,000,000, shall be transferred to the Department of Defense/Department of Veterans Affairs Health Care Sharing Incentive Fund, as authorized by section 8111(d) of title 38, United States Code, to remain available until expended, for any purpose authorized by section 8111 of title 38, United States Code.

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

SEC. 228. (a) Of the funds appropriated in the Military Construction and Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-117, division E), the following amounts which become available on October 1, 2010, are hereby rescinded from the following accounts in the amounts specified:

“Medical services”, Department of Veterans Affairs, \$1,015,000,000;

“Medical support and compliance”, Department of Veterans Affairs, \$145,000,000; and

“Medical facilities”, Department of Veterans Affairs, \$145,000,000.

(b) An additional amount is appropriated to the following accounts in the amounts specified, to become available on October 1, 2010, and to remain available until September 30, 2012:

“Medical services”, Department of Veterans Affairs, \$1,015,000,000;

“Medical support and compliance”, Department of Veterans Affairs, \$145,000,000; and

“Medical facilities”, Department of Veterans Affairs, \$145,000,000.

SEC. 229. The Secretary of the Department of Veterans Affairs shall notify the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of all bid savings in major construction projects that total at least \$5,000,000, or 5 percent of the programmed amount of the project, whichever is less: *Provided*, That such notification shall occur within 14 days of a contract identifying the programmed amount: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall notify the committees 14 days prior to the obligation of such bid savings and shall describe the anticipated use of such savings.

SEC. 230. The scope of work for a project included in “Construction, major projects” may not be increased above the scope specified for that project in the original justification data provided to the Congress as part of the request for appropriations.

SEC. 231. Of the amounts made available for fiscal year 2011 for “Medical facilities” in Public Law 111-117, \$162,734,000 shall be available for renewable energy projects at the Department of Veterans Affairs medical facility campuses subject to section 8103 of title 38, United States Code.

SEC. 232. For an additional amount for fiscal year 2011 for “Medical services”, \$74,776,000.

SEC. 233. For an additional amount for fiscal year 2011 for “Medical facilities”, \$35,000,000.

SEC. 234. In the Senate, section 902 of Public Law 111-212, the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2010, shall be subject to section 3002 of that Act and accordingly is designated as an emergency requirement and necessary to meet emergency needs pursuant to section 403(a) of S. Con. Res. 13 (111th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2010.

TITLE III
RELATED AGENCIES

AMERICAN BATTLE MONUMENTS COMMISSION
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, of the American Battle Monuments Commission, including the acquisition of land or interest in land in foreign countries; purchases and repair of uniforms for caretakers of national cemeteries and monuments outside of the United States and its territories and possessions; rent of office and garage space in foreign countries; purchase (one-for-one replacement basis only) and hire of passenger motor vehicles; not to exceed \$7,500 for official reception and representation expenses; and insurance of official motor vehicles in foreign countries, when required by law of such countries, \$67,200,000, to remain available until expended.

FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS ACCOUNT

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, of the American Battle Monuments Commission, such sums as may be necessary, to remain available until expended, for purposes authorized by section 2109 of title 36, United States Code.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR
VETERANS CLAIMS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for the operation of the United States Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims as authorized by sections 7251 through 7298 of title 38, United States Code, \$28,297,000: *Provided*, That \$2,515,229 shall be available for the purpose of providing financial assistance as described, and in accordance with the process and reporting procedures set forth, under this heading in Public Law 102-229.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL
CEMETERIAL EXPENSES, ARMY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses, as authorized by law, for maintenance, operation, and improvement of Arlington National Cemetery and Soldiers' and Airmen's Home National Cemetery, including the purchase of two passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, and not to exceed \$1,000 for official reception and representation expenses, \$50,340,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That none of the funds available under this heading shall be for construction of a perimeter wall at Arlington National Cemetery. In addition, such sums as may be necessary for parking maintenance, repairs and replacement, to be derived from the Lease of Department of Defense Real Property for Defense Agencies account.

Funds appropriated under this Act may be provided to Arlington County, Virginia, for the relocation of the federally owned water main at Arlington National Cemetery making additional land available for ground burials.

ARMED FORCES RETIREMENT HOME
TRUST FUND

For expenses necessary for the Armed Forces Retirement Home to operate and maintain the Armed Forces Retirement

Home—Washington, District of Columbia, and the Armed Forces Retirement Home—Gulfport, Mississippi, to be paid from funds available in the Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund, \$71,200,000, of which \$2,000,000 shall remain available until expended for construction and renovation of the physical plants at the Armed Forces Retirement Home—Washington, District of Columbia, and the Armed Forces Retirement Home—Gulfport, Mississippi.

TITLE IV
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For an additional amount for “Military Construction, Army”, \$918,845,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That of the amount appropriated, \$7,000,000 shall be transferred to “Department of Defense—Other Department of Defense Programs—Office of the Inspector General”, to be merged with and to be available for the same time period as the appropriation to which transferred, for the purpose of carrying out audits of military construction projects in Afghanistan: *Provided further*, That this transfer authority is in addition to any other transfer authority available to the Department of Defense.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE
CORPS

For an additional amount for “Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps”, \$160,430,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

For an additional amount for “Military Construction, Air Force”, \$129,266,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

For an additional amount for “Military Construction, Defense-Wide”, \$48,461,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, \$46,500,000 may be obligated and expended to construct facilities in a foreign country for the National Security Agency.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

SEC. 401. Each amount in this title is designated as described in section 5 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 402. Of the unobligated balances available under the headings “Military Construction, Army” and “Military Construction, Air Force” in title IV of division E of Public Law 111-117, a total of up to \$250,000,000 may be transferred among projects and activities within those accounts to accommodate cost and scope increases or changes of location, or may be used to undertake military construction projects not otherwise authorized by law that are necessary to support urgent military operational requirements in Afghanistan: *Provided*, That not less than 14 days before undertaking a military construction project as described under this section, the Secretary of Defense shall notify the congressional defense committees of the proposed reprogramming of funds and the details and estimated cost of the construction project: *Provided further*, That section 401 of this title shall not apply to the funds available in this provision.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 403. Of the unobligated balances available under the headings “Military Construction, Army” and “Military Construction, Air

Force" in chapter 9 of title I of Public Law 111-212, a total of up to \$250,000,000 may be transferred among projects and activities within those accounts to accommodate cost and scope increases or changes of location, or may be used to undertake military construction projects not otherwise authorized by law that are necessary to support urgent military operational requirements in Afghanistan: *Provided*, That not less than 14 days before undertaking a military construction project as described under this section, the Secretary of Defense shall notify the congressional defense committees of the proposed reprogramming of funds and the details and estimated cost of the construction project.

SEC. 404. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this title may be obligated and expended to carry out planning and design and military construction projects not otherwise authorized by law.

TITLE V

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 501. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year unless expressly so provided herein.

SEC. 502. Such sums as may be necessary for fiscal year 2011 for pay raises for programs funded by this Act shall be absorbed within the levels appropriated in this Act.

SEC. 503. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used for any program, project, or activity, when it is made known to the Federal entity or official to which the funds are made available that the program, project, or activity is not in compliance with any Federal law relating to risk assessment, the protection of private property rights, or unfunded mandates.

SEC. 504. No part of any funds appropriated in this Act shall be used by an agency of the executive branch, other than for normal and recognized executive-legislative relationships, for publicity or propaganda purposes, and for the preparation, distribution, or use of any kit, pamphlet, booklet, publication, radio, television, or film presentation designed to support or defeat legislation pending before Congress, except in presentation to Congress itself.

SEC. 505. All departments and agencies funded under this Act are encouraged, within the limits of the existing statutory authorities and funding, to expand their use of "E-Commerce" technologies and procedures in the conduct of their business practices and public service activities.

SEC. 506. None of the funds made available in this Act may be transferred to any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States Government except pursuant to a transfer made by, or transfer authority provided in, this or any other appropriations Act.

SEC. 507. Unless stated otherwise, all reports and notifications required by this Act shall be submitted to the Subcommittee on Military Construction and Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Subcommittee on Military Construction and Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies of the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.

SEC. 508. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used for a project or program named for an individual serving as a Member, Delegate, or Resident Commissioner of the United States House of Representatives.

SEC. 509. (a) Any agency receiving funds made available in this Act, shall, subject to

subsections (b) and (c), post on the public website of that agency any report required to be submitted by the Congress in this or any other Act, upon the determination by the head of the agency that it shall serve the national interest.

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to a report if—

(1) the public posting of the report compromises national security; or

(2) the report contains confidential or proprietary information.

(c) The head of the agency posting such report shall do so only after such report has been made available to the requesting Committee or Committees of Congress for no less than 45 days.

SEC. 510. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used for the processing of new enhanced-use leases at the National Home for Disabled Volunteer Soldiers located in Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

SEC. 511. For an additional amount for the Department of Veterans Affairs for "Construction, Major Projects", \$46,550,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That such funds shall be for the construction of a Nursing Home Care Unit at the Beckley, West Virginia, Veterans Affairs Medical Center: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, such funds may be obligated and expended to carry out planning and design and major medical facility construction not otherwise authorized by law.

SEC. 512. The Department of Veterans Affairs is authorized to carry out, as a major medical facility project, seismic corrections and renovation of various buildings to include Building 209 for housing facilities for homeless veterans at the Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center in West Los Angeles, California, in an amount not to exceed \$35,500,000: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Department of Veterans Affairs may obligate funds derived as result of bid savings from major medical facility projects for purposes of carrying out this provision.

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

SEC. 513. Of the unobligated balances available for "Military Construction, Army", from prior appropriations Acts, \$200,000,000 are hereby rescinded.

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

SEC. 514. Of the unobligated balances available in title X of Public Law 111-5 under the headings "Military Construction, Army", "Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps", "Military Construction, Air Force", "Military Construction, Defense-Wide", "Military Construction, Army National Guard", and "Military Construction, Air National Guard", \$128,000,000 are hereby rescinded.

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

SEC. 515. Of the unobligated balances available in Title II of division E of Public Law 111-117, under the heading "Departmental Administration, Information Technology Systems", for staffing and administrative payroll, \$117,505,000 are hereby rescinded.

This division may be cited as the "Military Construction and Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2011".

DIVISION K—DEPARTMENT OF STATE, FOREIGN OPERATIONS, AND RELATED PROGRAMS APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF STATE AND RELATED AGENCY

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

ADMINISTRATION OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS DIPLOMATIC AND CONSULAR PROGRAMS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Department of State and the Foreign Service not otherwise provided for, \$9,553,200,000, of which \$1,560,700,000 is for Worldwide Security Protection: *Provided*, That the Secretary of State may transfer up to \$250,000,000 of the total funds made available under this heading to any other appropriation of any department or agency of the United States, upon the concurrence of the head of such department or agency, to support operations in and assistance for Afghanistan and to carry out the provisions of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961: *Provided further*, That funds made available under this heading shall be allocated as follows:

(1) HUMAN RESOURCES.—For necessary expenses for training, human resources management, and salaries, including employment without regard to civil service and classification laws of persons on a temporary basis (not to exceed \$700,000), as authorized by section 801 of the United States Information and Educational Exchange Act of 1948, \$2,754,289,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, of which not less than \$140,728,000 shall be available only for public diplomacy American salaries, and \$249,315,000 is for Worldwide Security Protection and shall remain available until expended.

(2) OVERSEAS PROGRAMS.—For necessary expenses for the regional bureaus of the Department of State and overseas activities as authorized by law, \$3,432,216,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, of which not less than \$415,243,000 shall be available only for public diplomacy international information programs.

(3) DIPLOMATIC POLICY AND SUPPORT.—For necessary expenses for the functional bureaus of the Department of State including representation to certain international organizations in which the United States participates pursuant to treaties ratified pursuant to the advice and consent of the Senate or specific Acts of Congress, general administration, and arms control, nonproliferation and disarmament activities as authorized, \$884,988,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

(4) SECURITY PROGRAMS.—For necessary expenses for security activities, \$2,481,707,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, of which \$1,311,385,000 is for Worldwide Security Protection and shall remain available until expended.

(5) FEES AND PAYMENTS COLLECTED.—In addition to amounts otherwise made available under this heading—

(A) not to exceed \$1,702,904 shall be derived from fees collected from other executive agencies for lease or use of facilities located at the International Center in accordance with section 4 of the International Center Act, and, in addition, as authorized by section 5 of such Act, \$505,000, to be derived from the reserve authorized by that section, to be used for the purposes set out in that section;

(B) as authorized by section 810 of the United States Information and Educational Exchange Act, not to exceed \$6,000,000, to remain available until expended, may be credited to this appropriation from fees or other

payments received from English teaching, library, motion pictures, and publication programs and from fees from educational advising and counseling and exchange visitor programs; and

(C) not to exceed \$15,000, which shall be derived from reimbursements, surcharges and fees for use of Blair House facilities.

(6) TRANSFER, REPROGRAMMING, AND SPENDING PLAN.—

(A) Notwithstanding any provision of this Act, funds may be reprogrammed within and between subsections under this heading subject to section 7015 of this Act.

(B) Of the amount made available under this heading, not to exceed \$12,500,000 may be transferred to, and merged with, funds made available by this Act under the heading “Emergencies in the Diplomatic and Consular Service”, to be available only for emergency evacuations and rewards, as authorized.

(C) Funds appropriated under this heading are available for acquisition by exchange or purchase of passenger motor vehicles as authorized by law and, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1108(g), for the field examination of programs and activities in the United States funded from any account contained in this title.

(D) Not later than 45 days after the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations a report detailing planned expenditures for funds appropriated under this heading.

(7) PROPERTY INVENTORY.—Funds appropriated under this heading in this Act may not be made available to the Department of State for the purchase of vehicles, radios, cell phones, and other nonexpendable equipment unless the Secretary of State reports, in writing, to the Committees on Appropriations that the Department is taking steps to improve inventory procedures, including accounting for missing armored vehicles, and for the timely disposal of excess equipment.

CIVILIAN STABILIZATION INITIATIVE

For necessary expenses to support, maintain, mobilize, and deploy a civilian response corps in coordination with the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), and for related reconstruction and stabilization assistance to prevent or respond to conflict or civil strife in foreign countries or regions, or to enable transition from such strife, \$35,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That funds made available under this heading may be made available in fiscal year 2011 to provide administrative expenses for the Office of the Coordinator for Reconstruction and Stabilization: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, and following consultation with the Committees on Appropriations, the President may exercise transfer authorities contained in the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 for reconstruction and stabilization assistance managed by the Office of the Coordinator for Reconstruction and Stabilization only to support an actively deployed Civilian Response Corps, subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That not later than 45 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State and the USAID Administrator shall submit a coordinated joint spending plan for funds made available under this heading and under the heading “Civilian Stabilization Initiative” in title II of this Act.

CAPITAL INVESTMENT FUND

For necessary expenses of the Capital Investment Fund, \$139,000,000, to remain avail-

able until expended, as authorized: *Provided*, That section 135(e) of Public Law 103-236 shall not apply to funds available under this heading.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General, \$115,000,000, notwithstanding section 209(a)(1) of the Foreign Service Act of 1980 (Public Law 96-465), as it relates to post inspections, of which \$22,000,000 shall be for the Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction for reconstruction oversight, and \$30,287,000 shall be for the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction for reconstruction oversight.

EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL EXCHANGE PROGRAMS

For expenses of educational and cultural exchange programs, as authorized, \$654,200,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$5,000,000, to remain available until expended, may be credited to this appropriation from fees or other payments received from or in connection with English teaching, educational advising and counseling programs, and exchange visitor programs as authorized.

REPRESENTATION ALLOWANCES

For representation allowances as authorized, \$8,175,000.

PROTECTION OF FOREIGN MISSIONS AND OFFICIALS

For expenses, not otherwise provided, to enable the Secretary of State to provide for extraordinary protective services, as authorized, \$30,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

EMBASSY SECURITY, CONSTRUCTION, AND MAINTENANCE

For necessary expenses for carrying out the Foreign Service Buildings Act of 1926 (22 U.S.C. 292-303), preserving, maintaining, repairing, and planning for buildings that are owned or directly leased by the Department of State, renovating, in addition to funds otherwise available, the Harry S Truman Building, and carrying out the Diplomatic Security Construction Program as authorized, \$913,300,000, to remain available until expended as authorized, of which not to exceed \$25,000 may be used for domestic and overseas representation as authorized: *Provided*, That none of the funds appropriated in this paragraph shall be available for acquisition of furniture, furnishings, or generators for other departments and agencies.

In addition, for the costs of worldwide security upgrades, acquisition, and construction as authorized, \$925,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That not later than 45 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations the proposed allocation of funds made available under this heading and the actual and anticipated proceeds of sales for all projects in fiscal year 2011.

EMERGENCIES IN THE DIPLOMATIC AND CONSULAR SERVICE

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to enable the Secretary of State to meet unforeseen emergencies arising in the Diplomatic and Consular Service, \$10,500,000, to remain available until expended as authorized, of which not to exceed \$1,000,000 may be transferred to, and merged with, funds appropriated by this Act under the heading “Repatriation Loans Program Account”, subject to the same terms and conditions.

REPATRIATION LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the cost of direct loans, \$739,000, as authorized: *Provided*, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the direct loan program, \$711,000, which may be transferred to, and merged with, funds made available under the heading “Diplomatic and Consular Programs”.

PAYMENT TO THE AMERICAN INSTITUTE IN TAIWAN

For necessary expenses to carry out the Taiwan Relations Act (Public Law 96-8), \$21,420,000.

PAYMENT TO THE FOREIGN SERVICE RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY FUND

For payment to the Foreign Service Retirement and Disability Fund, as authorized, \$158,900,000.

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS CONTRIBUTIONS TO INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, to meet annual obligations of membership in international multilateral organizations, pursuant to treaties ratified pursuant to the advice and consent of the Senate, conventions or specific Acts of Congress, \$1,545,430,000: *Provided*, That the Secretary of State shall, at the time of the submission of the President's budget to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, transmit to the Committees on Appropriations the most recent biennial budget prepared by the United Nations for the operations of the United Nations: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of State shall notify the Committees on Appropriations at least 15 days in advance (or in an emergency, as far in advance as is practicable) of any United Nations action to increase funding for any United Nations program without identifying an offsetting decrease elsewhere in the United Nations budget: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, credits to United States assessed contributions to the United Nations Tax Equalization Fund should be used to offset other assessed contributions to the United Nations, subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That any payment of arrearages under this heading shall be directed toward activities that are mutually agreed upon by the United States and the respective international organization: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be available for a United States contribution to an international organization for the United States share of interest costs made known to the United States Government by such organization for loans incurred on or after October 1, 1984, through external borrowings: *Provided further*, That the reporting requirement in section 7052 of division F of Public Law 111-117 shall continue to be in effect until September 30, 2011.

CONTRIBUTIONS FOR INTERNATIONAL PEACEKEEPING ACTIVITIES

For necessary expenses to pay assessed and other expenses of international peacekeeping activities directed to the maintenance or restoration of international peace and security, \$2,096,382,000, of which 15 percent shall remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That at least 15 days in advance of voting for a new or expanded mission in the

United Nations Security Council (or in an emergency as far in advance as is practicable): (1) the Committees on Appropriations shall be notified of the estimated cost and length of the mission, the national interest that will be served, the planned exit strategy, and that the United Nations has taken appropriate measures to prevent United Nations employees, contractor personnel, and peacekeeping forces serving in the mission from trafficking in persons, exploiting victims of trafficking, or committing acts of illegal sexual exploitation, and to hold accountable individuals who engage in such acts while participating in the peacekeeping mission, including the prosecution in their home countries of such individuals in connection with such acts; and (2) notification pursuant to section 7015 of this Act is submitted, and the procedures therein followed, setting forth the source of funds that will be used to pay for the cost of the new or expanded mission: *Provided further*, That funds shall be available for peacekeeping expenses unless the Secretary of State determines that American manufacturers and suppliers are not being given opportunities to provide equipment, services, and material for United Nations peacekeeping activities equal to those being given to foreign manufacturers and suppliers: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of State should work with the United Nations and governments contributing peacekeeping troops to develop effective vetting procedures to ensure that troops have not been credibly alleged to have violated human rights: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, credits to United States assessed contributions to the United Nations Tax Equalization Fund should be used to offset other assessed contributions to the United Nations, subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSIONS

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, to meet obligations of the United States arising under treaties, or specific Acts of Congress, as follows:

INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY AND WATER COMMISSION, UNITED STATES AND MEXICO

For necessary expenses for the United States Section of the International Boundary and Water Commission, United States and Mexico, and to comply with laws applicable to the United States Section, including not to exceed \$6,000 for representation; as follows:

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For salaries and expenses, not otherwise provided for, \$47,431,000.

CONSTRUCTION

For detailed plan preparation and construction of authorized projects, \$26,900,000, to remain available until expended, as authorized.

AMERICAN SECTIONS, INTERNATIONAL COMMISSIONS

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided, for the International Joint Commission and the International Boundary Commission, United States and Canada, as authorized by treaties between the United States and Canada or Great Britain, and the Border Environment Cooperation Commission as authorized by Public Law 103-182, \$12,655,000: *Provided*, That of the amount provided under this heading for the International Joint Commission, \$9,000 may be made available for representation expenses.

INTERNATIONAL FISHERIES COMMISSIONS

For necessary expenses for international fisheries commissions, not otherwise pro-

vided for, as authorized by law, \$51,000,000, of which \$500,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That the United States share of such expenses may be advanced to the respective commissions pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3324: *Provided further*, That in addition to other funds available for such purposes, funds available under this heading may be used to make payments necessary to fulfill the United States' obligations under the Pacific Salmon Treaty.

RELATED AGENCY

BROADCASTING BOARD OF GOVERNORS

INTERNATIONAL BROADCASTING OPERATIONS

For necessary expenses to enable the Broadcasting Board of Governors (BBG), as authorized, to carry out international communication activities, including the purchase, rent, construction, and improvement of facilities for radio and television transmission and reception and purchase, lease, and installation of necessary equipment for radio and television transmission and reception to Cuba, and to make and supervise grants for radio and television broadcasting to the Middle East, \$744,500,000: *Provided*, That of the total amount in this heading, not to exceed \$16,000 may be used for official receptions within the United States as authorized, not to exceed \$35,000 may be used for representation abroad as authorized, and not to exceed \$39,000 may be used for official reception and representation expenses of Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty: *Provided further*, That the authority provided by section 504(c) of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107-228; 22 U.S.C. 6206 note) shall remain in effect through September 30, 2011: *Provided further*, That the BBG shall notify the Committees on Appropriations within 15 days of any determination by the Board that any of its broadcast entities, including its grantee organizations, provides an open platform for international terrorists or those who support international terrorism, or is in violation of the principles and standards set forth in the United States International Broadcasting Act of 1994 (22 U.S.C. 6202(a) and (b)) or the entity's journalistic code of ethics: *Provided further*, That reductions and increases to BBG broadcast hours previously justified to Congress, including changes to transmission platforms (shortwave, medium wave, satellite, and television), for all BBG language services shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That in addition to funds made available under this heading, and notwithstanding any other provision of law, up to \$2,000,000 in receipts from advertising and revenue from business ventures, up to \$500,000 in receipts from cooperating international organizations, and up to \$1,000,000 in receipts from privatization efforts of the Voice of America and the International Broadcasting Bureau, to remain available until expended for carrying out authorized purposes.

BROADCASTING CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

For the purchase, rent, construction, and improvement of facilities for radio and television transmission and reception, and purchase and installation of necessary equipment for radio and television transmission and reception as authorized, \$6,875,000, to remain available until expended, as authorized.

RELATED PROGRAMS

THE ASIA FOUNDATION

For a grant to The Asia Foundation, as authorized by The Asia Foundation Act (22

U.S.C. 4402), \$19,000,000, to remain available until expended, as authorized.

UNITED STATES INSTITUTE OF PEACE

For necessary expenses of the United States Institute of Peace, as authorized by the United States Institute of Peace Act, \$44,050,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, which shall not be used for construction activities.

CENTER FOR MIDDLE EASTERN-WESTERN DIALOGUE TRUST FUND

For necessary expenses of the Center for Middle Eastern-Western Dialogue Trust Fund, the total amount of the interest and earnings accruing to such Fund on or before September 30, 2011, to remain available until expended.

EISENHOWER EXCHANGE FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

For necessary expenses of Eisenhower Exchange Fellowships, Incorporated, as authorized by sections 4 and 5 of the Eisenhower Exchange Fellowship Act of 1990 (20 U.S.C. 5204-5205), all interest and earnings accruing to the Eisenhower Exchange Fellowship Program Trust Fund on or before September 30, 2011, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That none of the funds appropriated herein shall be used to pay any salary or other compensation, or to enter into any contract providing for the payment thereof, in excess of the rate authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5376; or for purposes which are not in accordance with OMB Circulars A-110 (Uniform Administrative Requirements) and A-122 (Cost Principles for Non-profit Organizations), including the restrictions on compensation for personal services.

ISRAELI ARAB SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM

For necessary expenses of the Israeli Arab Scholarship Program, as authorized by section 214 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (22 U.S.C. 2452), all interest and earnings accruing to the Israeli Arab Scholarship Fund on or before September 30, 2011, to remain available until expended.

EAST-WEST CENTER

To enable the Secretary of State to provide for carrying out the provisions of the Center for Cultural and Technical Interchange Between East and West Act of 1960, by grant to the Center for Cultural and Technical Interchange Between East and West in the State of Hawaii, \$23,100,000: *Provided*, That none of the funds appropriated herein shall be used to pay any salary, or enter into any contract providing for the payment thereof, in excess of the rate authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5376.

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR DEMOCRACY

For grants made by the Department of State to the National Endowment for Democracy, as authorized by the National Endowment for Democracy Act, \$118,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$100,000,000 shall be allocated in the traditional and customary manner, including for the core institutes, and \$18,000,000 shall be for democracy, human rights, and rule of law programs: *Provided*, That the President of the National Endowment for Democracy shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 45 days after the date of enactment of this Act a report on the proposed uses of funds under this heading on a regional and country basis.

In addition, for grants made by the Department of State to the National Endowment for Democracy, as authorized by the National Endowment for Democracy Act, \$10,500,000 for small grants for democracy programs in Egypt, Pakistan, Cuba, North

Korea, and the Democratic Republic of the Congo.

OTHER COMMISSIONS

COMMISSION FOR THE PRESERVATION OF AMERICA'S HERITAGE ABROAD SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for the Commission for the Preservation of America's Heritage Abroad, \$647,000, as authorized by section 1303 of Public Law 99-83.

UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON INTERNATIONAL RELIGIOUS FREEDOM SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for the United States Commission on International Religious Freedom, as authorized by title II of the International Religious Freedom Act of 1998 (Public Law 105-292), \$4,350,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That notwithstanding the expenditure limitation specified in section 208(c)(1) of such Act (22 U.S.C. 6435a(c)(1)), the Commission may expend up to \$250,000 of the funds made available under this heading to procure temporary and intermittent services under the authority of section 3109(b) of title 5, United States Code.

COMMISSION ON SECURITY AND COOPERATION IN EUROPE SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe, as authorized by Public Law 94-304, \$2,715,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

CONGRESSIONAL-EXECUTIVE COMMISSION ON THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Congressional-Executive Commission on the People's Republic of China, as authorized, \$2,000,000, including not more than \$3,000 for the purpose of official representation, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

UNITED STATES-CHINA ECONOMIC AND SECURITY REVIEW COMMISSION SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the United States-China Economic and Security Review Commission, \$3,625,000, including not more than \$4,000 for the purpose of official representation, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That the second through sixth provisos under this heading in division F of Public Law 111-117 shall continue in effect during fiscal year 2011 and shall apply as if part of this Act.

TITLE II

UNITED STATES AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT OPERATING EXPENSES (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of section 667 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, \$1,392,000,000, of which up to \$160,000,000 may remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That none of the funds appropriated under this heading and under the heading "Capital Investment Fund" in this title may be made available to finance the construction (including architect and engineering services), purchase, or long-term lease of offices for use by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), unless the USAID Administrator has identified such proposed construction (including architect and engineering services), purchase, or long-term lease of offices in a report submitted to the Commit-

tees on Appropriations at least 15 days prior to the obligation of funds for such purposes: *Provided further*, That the previous proviso shall not apply when the total cost of construction (including architect and engineering services), purchase, or long-term lease of offices does not exceed \$1,000,000: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading that are available for capital investments related to the Development Leadership Initiative, up to \$37,457,000 may remain available until September 30, 2014: *Provided further*, That contracts or agreements entered into with funds appropriated under this heading may entail commitments for the expenditure of such funds through the following fiscal year: *Provided further*, That any decision to open a new USAID mission or office or, except where there is a substantial security risk to mission personnel, to close or significantly reduce the number of personnel of any such mission or office, shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That the authority of sections 610 and 109 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 may be exercised by the Secretary of State to transfer funds appropriated to carry out chapter 1 of part I of such Act to "Operating Expenses" in accordance with the provisions of those sections: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated or made available under this heading, not to exceed \$250,000 may be available for representation and entertainment allowances, of which not to exceed \$5,000 may be available for entertainment allowances, for USAID during the current fiscal year: *Provided further*, That no such entertainment funds may be used for the purposes listed in section 7020 of this Act: *Provided further*, That appropriate steps shall be taken to assure that, to the maximum extent possible, United States-owned foreign currencies are utilized in lieu of dollars: *Provided further*, That not later than 45 days after enactment of this Act, the USAID Administrator shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations a report detailing planned expenditures for funds appropriated under this heading.

CIVILIAN STABILIZATION INITIATIVE

For necessary expenses to carry out section 667 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 for the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) to support, maintain, mobilize, and deploy a Civilian Response Corps in coordination with the Department of State, and for related reconstruction and stabilization assistance to prevent or respond to conflict or civil strife in foreign countries or regions, or to enable transition from such strife, \$15,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That not later than 45 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State and the USAID Administrator shall submit a coordinated joint spending plan for funds made available under this heading and under the heading "Civilian Stabilization Initiative" in title I of this Act.

CAPITAL INVESTMENT FUND

For necessary expenses for overseas construction and related costs, and for the procurement and enhancement of information technology and related capital investments, pursuant to section 667 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, \$173,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which not more than \$122,100,000 may be made available for the purpose of implementing the Capital Security Cost-Sharing Program: *Provided*, That this amount is in addition to funds otherwise available for such purposes: *Provided further*,

That funds appropriated under this heading shall be available for obligation only pursuant to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of section 667 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, \$46,500,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, which shall be available for the Office of Inspector General of the United States Agency for International Development.

TITLE III

BILATERAL ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

For necessary expenses to enable the President to carry out the provisions of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, and for other purposes, to remain available until September 30, 2012, unless otherwise specified herein, as follows:

GLOBAL HEALTH AND CHILD SURVIVAL (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of chapters 1 and 10 of part I of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, for global health activities, in addition to funds otherwise available for such purposes, \$2,722,000,000, which shall be apportioned directly to the United States Agency for International Development (USAID): *Provided*, That this amount shall be made available for training, equipment, and technical assistance to build the capacity of public health institutions and organizations in developing countries, and for such activities as: (1) child survival and maternal health programs; (2) immunization and oral rehydration programs; (3) other health, nutrition, water and sanitation programs which directly address the needs of mothers and children, and related education programs; (4) assistance for children displaced or orphaned by causes other than AIDS; (5) programs for the prevention, treatment, control of, and research on HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, polio, malaria, and other infectious diseases including neglected tropical diseases, and for assistance to communities severely affected by HIV/AIDS, including children infected or affected by AIDS; and (6) family planning/reproductive health: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated under this paragraph may be made available for nonproject assistance, except that funds may be made available for such assistance for ongoing health activities: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated under this paragraph shall be made available for a United States contribution to the GAVI Alliance: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available in this Act nor any unobligated balances from prior appropriations Acts may be made available to any organization or program which, as determined by the President of the United States, supports or participates in the management of a program of coercive abortion or involuntary sterilization: *Provided further*, That any determination made under the previous proviso must be accompanied by the evidence and criteria utilized to make the determination: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available under this Act may be used to pay for the performance of abortion as a method of family planning or to motivate or coerce any person to practice abortions: *Provided further*, That nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to alter any existing statutory prohibitions against abortion under section 104 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available under this Act may be used

to lobby for or against abortion: *Provided further*, That in order to reduce reliance on abortion in developing nations, funds shall be available only to voluntary family planning projects which offer, either directly or through referral to, or information about access to, a broad range of family planning methods and services, and that any such voluntary family planning project shall meet the following requirements: (1) service providers or referral agents in the project shall not implement or be subject to quotas, or other numerical targets, of total number of births, number of family planning acceptors, or acceptors of a particular method of family planning (this provision shall not be construed to include the use of quantitative estimates or indicators for budgeting and planning purposes); (2) the project shall not include payment of incentives, bribes, gratuities, or financial reward to: (A) an individual in exchange for becoming a family planning acceptor; or (B) program personnel for achieving a numerical target or quota of total number of births, number of family planning acceptors, or acceptors of a particular method of family planning; (3) the project shall not deny any right or benefit, including the right of access to participate in any program of general welfare or the right of access to health care, as a consequence of any individual's decision not to accept family planning services; (4) the project shall provide family planning acceptors comprehensible information on the health benefits and risks of the method chosen, including those conditions that might render the use of the method inadvisable and those adverse side effects known to be consequent to the use of the method; and (5) the project shall ensure that experimental contraceptive drugs and devices and medical procedures are provided only in the context of a scientific study in which participants are advised of potential risks and benefits; and, not less than 60 days after the date on which the USAID Administrator determines that there has been a violation of the requirements contained in paragraph (1), (2), (3), or (5) of this proviso, or a pattern or practice of violations of the requirements contained in paragraph (4) of this proviso, the Administrator shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations a report containing a description of such violation and the corrective action taken by the Agency: *Provided further*, That in awarding grants for natural family planning under section 104 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 no applicant shall be discriminated against because of such applicant's religious or conscientious commitment to offer only natural family planning; and, additionally, all such applicants shall comply with the requirements of the previous proviso: *Provided further*, That for purposes of this or any other Act authorizing or appropriating funds for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs, the term "motivate", as it relates to family planning assistance, shall not be construed to prohibit the provision, consistent with local law, of information or counseling about all pregnancy options: *Provided further*, That information provided about the use of condoms as part of projects or activities that are funded from amounts appropriated by this Act shall be medically accurate and shall include the public health benefits and failure rates of such use.

In addition, for necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 for the prevention, treatment, and control of, and research on, HIV/AIDS, \$5,500,000,000, to remain available until

September 30, 2013, which shall be appropriated directly to the Department of State: *Provided*, That of the funds appropriated under this paragraph, not less than \$825,000,000 shall be made available, notwithstanding any other provision of law, except for the United States Leadership Against HIV/AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria Act of 2003 (Public Law 108-25), as amended, for a United States contribution to the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria (Global Fund), and shall be expended at the minimum rate necessary to make timely payment for projects and activities: *Provided further*, That up to 5 percent of the aggregate amount of funds made available to the Global Fund in fiscal year 2011 may be made available to USAID for technical assistance related to the activities of the Global Fund: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this paragraph, up to \$14,250,000 may be made available, in addition to amounts otherwise available for such purposes, for administrative expenses of the Office of the United States Global AIDS Coordinator: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated for HIV/AIDS programs and activities under this paragraph in this Act and in prior acts making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations, including reprogramming requirements contained in sections 7015 and 7019 of this Act.

DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of sections 103, 105, 106, 214, and sections 251 through 255, and chapter 10 of part I of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, \$2,767,700,000: *Provided*, That relevant bureaus and offices of the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) that support cross-cutting development programs shall coordinate such programs on a regular basis: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated by this Act shall be made available for water and sanitation supply projects pursuant to the Paul Simon Water for the Poor Act of 2005 (Public Law 109-121): *Provided further*, That funds appropriated by this Act for food security and agricultural development programs may be made available notwithstanding any other provision of law and shall be made available for a United States contribution to the endowment of the Global Crop Diversity Trust pursuant to section 3202 of Public Law 110-246: *Provided further*, That the USAID Administrator should provide grants and cooperative agreements for private voluntary organizations and cooperatives to carry out agriculture, rural development and related programs authorized under the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated in this Act for food security and agricultural development programs, up to \$100,000,000 may be made available for payment by the Secretary of the Treasury for a United States contribution to a global food security fund: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated under this heading shall be made available for programs to improve women's leadership capacity in recipient countries.

INTERNATIONAL DISASTER ASSISTANCE

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of section 491 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 for international disaster relief, rehabilitation, and reconstruction assistance, \$851,000,000, to remain available until expended.

TRANSITION INITIATIVES

For necessary expenses for international disaster rehabilitation and reconstruction

assistance pursuant to section 491 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, \$55,000,000, to remain available until expended, to support transition to democracy and to long-term development of countries in crisis: *Provided*, That such support may include assistance to develop, strengthen, or preserve democratic institutions and processes, revitalize basic infrastructure, and foster the peaceful resolution of conflict: *Provided further*, That the United States Agency for International Development shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations at least 5 days prior to beginning a new program of assistance: *Provided further*, That if the Secretary of State determines that it is important to the national interests of the United States to provide transition assistance in excess of the amount appropriated under this heading, up to \$15,000,000 of the funds appropriated by this Act to carry out the provisions of part I of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 may be used for purposes of this heading and under the authorities applicable to funds appropriated under this heading: *Provided further*, That funds made available pursuant to the previous proviso shall be made available subject to prior consultation with the Committees on Appropriations.

COMPLEX CRISES FUND

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 to enable the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to support programs and activities to prevent or respond to emerging or unforeseen complex crises overseas, \$55,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the administrative authorities of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 shall be applicable to the funds appropriated under the heading: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated under this heading may be made available on such terms and conditions as the USAID Administrator may determine, in consultation with the Committees on Appropriations, for the purposes of preventing or responding to such crises, except that no funds shall be made available to respond to natural disasters: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated under this heading shall be made available notwithstanding section 10 of Public Law 91-672 and section 15 of the State Department Basic Authorities Act of 1956: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated under this heading may be made available notwithstanding any other provision of law, except sections 7007, 7008, and 7018 of this Act and section 620M of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended by this Act: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated under this heading shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations, except that such notifications shall be transmitted at least 5 days in advance of the obligation of funds: *Provided further*, That the provisions of section 7015(e) of this Act shall apply to funds made available under this heading.

DEVELOPMENT CREDIT AUTHORITY

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the cost of direct loans and loan guarantees provided by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), as authorized by sections 256 and 635 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, up to \$35,000,000 may be derived by transfer from funds appropriated by this Act to carry out part I of such Act and under the heading "Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia": *Provided*, That funds provided under this paragraph and funds provided as a gift pursuant

to section 635(d) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 shall be made available only for micro and small enterprise programs, urban programs, and other programs which further the purposes of part I of such Act: *Provided further*, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such direct and guaranteed loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: *Provided further*, That funds made available by this paragraph may be used for the cost of modifying any such guaranteed loans under this Act or prior Acts, and funds used for such costs shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That the provisions of section 107A(d) (relating to general provisions applicable to the Development Credit Authority) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as contained in section 306 of H.R. 1486 as reported by the House Committee on International Relations on May 9, 1997, shall be applicable to direct loans and loan guarantees provided under this heading: *Provided further*, That these funds are available to subsidize total loan principal, any portion of which is to be guaranteed, of up to \$1,000,000,000.

In addition, for administrative expenses to carry out credit programs administered by USAID, \$8,300,000, which may be transferred to, and merged with, funds made available under the heading "Operating Expenses" in title II of this Act: *Provided*, That funds made available under this heading shall remain available until September 30, 2013.

ECONOMIC SUPPORT FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of chapter 4 of part II of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, \$6,787,589,000: *Provided*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, \$250,000,000 shall be available for assistance for Egypt, of which not less than \$20,000,000 shall be made available for democracy, human rights and governance programs, and not less than \$35,000,000 shall be made available for education programs, of which not less than \$10,000,000 is for scholarships for Egyptian students with high financial need: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, not more than \$400,400,000 may be made available for assistance for the West Bank and Gaza, except that up to an additional \$9,300,000 may be made available for such assistance from funds appropriated for the Middle East Partnership Initiative: *Provided further*, That not more than \$200,000,000 of the funds provided for the West Bank and Gaza shall be for cash transfer assistance: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated under this heading that are made available for assistance for Cyprus shall be used only for scholarships, administrative support of the scholarship program, bicomunal projects, and measures aimed at reunification of the island and designed to reduce tensions and promote peace and cooperation between the two communities on Cyprus: *Provided further*, That \$12,000,000 of the funds made available for assistance for Lebanon under this heading shall be for scholarships for students in Lebanon with high financial need: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, not less than \$360,000,000 shall be made available for assistance for Jordan: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, \$195,000,000 shall be apportioned directly to the United States Agency for International Development for alternative development/institution building programs in Colombia: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading that

are available for assistance for Colombia, not less than \$8,000,000 shall be transferred to, and merged with, funds appropriated under the heading "Migration and Refugee Assistance" and shall be made available only for assistance to nongovernmental and international organizations that provide assistance to Colombian refugees in neighboring countries.

DEMOCRACY FUND

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 for the promotion of democracy globally, \$115,000,000, of which \$68,500,000 shall be made available for the Human Rights and Democracy Fund of the Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights and Labor, Department of State, and \$46,500,000 shall be made available for the Office of Democracy and Governance of the Bureau for Democracy, Conflict, and Humanitarian Assistance, United States Agency for International Development.

ASSISTANCE FOR EUROPE, EURASIA AND CENTRAL ASIA

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, the FREEDOM Support Act, and the Support for East European Democracy (SEED) Act of 1989, \$709,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, which shall be available, notwithstanding any other provision of law, for assistance and for related programs for countries identified in section 3 of the FREEDOM Support Act and section 3(c) of the SEED Act: *Provided*, That funds appropriated under this heading shall be considered to be economic assistance under the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 for purposes of making available the administrative authorities contained in that Act for the use of economic assistance: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any provision of this or any other Act, funds appropriated in prior years under the headings "Independent States of the Former Soviet Union" and similar headings and "Assistance for Eastern Europe and the Baltic States" and similar headings, and currencies generated by or converted from such funds, shall be available for use in any country for which funds are made available under this heading without regard to the geographic limitations of the heading under which such funds were originally appropriated: *Provided further*, That funds made available for the Southern Caucasus region may be used for confidence-building measures and other activities in furtherance of the peaceful resolution of conflicts, including in Nagorno-Karabakh.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

MIGRATION AND REFUGEE ASSISTANCE

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, to enable the Secretary of State to provide, as authorized by law, a contribution to the International Committee of the Red Cross, assistance to refugees, including contributions to the International Organization for Migration and the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, and other activities to meet refugee and migration needs; salaries and expenses of personnel and dependents as authorized by the Foreign Service Act of 1980; allowances as authorized by sections 5921 through 5925 of title 5, United States Code; purchase and hire of passenger motor vehicles; and services as authorized by section 3109 of title 5, United States Code, \$1,685,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which not less than \$25,000,000 shall be made available for refugees resettling in Israel, and not less than \$35,000,000 shall be made available to respond to small-scale emergency humanitarian requirements

of international and nongovernmental partners.

UNITED STATES EMERGENCY REFUGEE AND MIGRATION ASSISTANCE FUND

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of section 2(c) of the Migration and Refugee Assistance Act of 1962, as amended (22 U.S.C. 2601(c)), \$45,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That section 2(c) of the Migration and Refugee Assistance Act of 1962 (22 U.S.C. 2601(c)(2)) is amended in paragraph (1) by striking "President" and inserting "Secretary of State" and in paragraph (2) by striking "\$100,000,000" and inserting "\$200,000,000".

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

PEACE CORPS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of the Peace Corps Act (22 U.S.C. 2501-2523), including the purchase of not to exceed five passenger motor vehicles for administrative purposes for use outside of the United States, \$425,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That the Director of the Peace Corps may transfer to the Foreign Currency Fluctuations Account, as authorized by 22 U.S.C. 2515, an amount not to exceed \$5,000,000: *Provided further*, That funds transferred pursuant to the previous proviso may not be derived from amounts made available for Peace Corps overseas operations: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, not to exceed \$4,000 may be made available for entertainment expenses: *Provided further*, That any decision to open, close, significantly reduce, or suspend a domestic or overseas office or country program shall be subject to prior consultation with, and the regular notification procedures of, the Committees on Appropriations, except that prior consultation and regular notification procedures may be waived when there is a substantial security risk to volunteers or other Peace Corps personnel, pursuant to section 7015(e) of this Act: *Provided further*, That not later than 45 days after enactment of this Act, the Director shall submit a spending plan to the Committees on Appropriations on the proposed uses of funds under this heading: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be used to pay for abortions.

MILLENNIUM CHALLENGE CORPORATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of the Millennium Challenge Act of 2003, \$1,105,000,000 to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, up to \$105,000,000 may be available for administrative expenses of the Millennium Challenge Corporation (the Corporation): *Provided further*, That up to 10 percent of the funds appropriated under this heading may be made available to carry out the purposes of section 616 of the Millennium Challenge Act of 2003 for fiscal year 2011: *Provided further*, That section 605(e)(4) of the Millennium Challenge Act of 2003 shall apply to funds appropriated under this heading: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated under this heading may be made available for a Millennium Challenge Compact entered into pursuant to section 609 of the Millennium Challenge Act of 2003 only if such Compact obligates, or contains a commitment to obligate subject to the availability of funds and the mutual agreement of the parties to the Compact to proceed, the entire amount of the United

States Government funding anticipated for the duration of the Compact: *Provided further*, That the Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation shall notify the Committees on Appropriations not later than 15 days prior to signing any new country compact or new threshold country program; terminating or suspending any country compact or threshold country program; or commencing negotiations for any new compact or threshold country program: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated by this Act or any prior Act appropriating funds for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs that are made available for a Millennium Challenge Compact and that are suspended or terminated by the Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations prior to reobligation: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, not to exceed \$100,000 may be available for representation and entertainment allowances, of which not to exceed \$5,000 may be available for entertainment allowances.

INTER-AMERICAN FOUNDATION

For necessary expenses to carry out the functions of the Inter-American Foundation in accordance with the provisions of section 401 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1969, \$24,500,000: *Provided*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, not to exceed \$2,000 may be available for entertainment and representation allowances.

AFRICAN DEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION

For necessary expenses to carry out title V of the International Security and Development Cooperation Act of 1980 (Public Law 96-533), \$30,500,000: *Provided*, That funds made available to grantees may be invested pending expenditure for project purposes when authorized by the Board of Directors of the Foundation: *Provided further*, That interest earned shall be used only for the purposes for which the grant was made: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding section 505(a)(2) of the African Development Foundation Act, in exceptional circumstances the Board of Directors of the Foundation may waive the \$250,000 limitation contained in that section with respect to a project and a project may exceed the limitation by up to 10 percent if the increase is due solely to foreign currency fluctuation: *Provided further*, That the Foundation shall provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations after each time such waiver authority is exercised.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of section 129 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, \$30,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013, which shall be available notwithstanding any other provision of law.

DEBT RESTRUCTURING

For the cost, as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, of modifying loans and loan guarantees, as the President may determine, for which funds have been appropriated or otherwise made available for programs within the International Affairs Budget Function 150, including the cost of selling, reducing, or canceling amounts owed to the United States as a result of concessional loans made to eligible countries, pursuant to parts IV and V of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, of modifying concessional credit agreements with least developed countries, as authorized under sec-

tion 411 of the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954, as amended, of concessional loans, guarantees and credit agreements, as authorized under section 572 of the Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 1989 (Public Law 100-461), and of canceling amounts owed, as a result of loans or guarantees made pursuant to the Export-Import Bank Act of 1945, by countries that are eligible for debt reduction pursuant to title V of H.R. 3425 as enacted into law by section 1000(a)(5) of Public Law 106-113, \$56,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That not less than \$20,000,000 of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be made available to carry out the provisions of part V of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961: *Provided further*, That up to \$36,000,000 of the funds appropriated under this heading may be for the United States share of an increase in the resources of the Fund for Special Operations of the Inter-American Development Bank in furtherance of providing debt relief to Haiti in view of the Cancun Declaration of March 21, 2010: *Provided further*, That amounts paid to the Heavily Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC) Trust Fund may be used only to fund debt reduction under the enhanced HIPC initiative by—

- (1) the Inter-American Development Bank;
- (2) the African Development Fund;
- (3) the African Development Bank; and
- (4) the Central American Bank for Economic Integration:

Provided further, That funds may not be paid to the HIPC Trust Fund for the benefit of any country if the Secretary of State has credible evidence that the central government of such country is engaged in a consistent pattern of gross violations of internationally recognized human rights or in military or civil conflict that undermines its ability to develop and implement measures to alleviate poverty and to devote adequate human and financial resources to that end: *Provided further*, That on the basis of final appropriations, the Secretary of the Treasury shall consult with the Committees on Appropriations concerning which countries and international financial institutions are expected to benefit from a United States contribution to the HIPC Trust Fund during the fiscal year: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of the Treasury shall notify the Committees on Appropriations not less than 15 days in advance of the signature of an agreement by the United States to make payments to the HIPC Trust Fund of amounts for such countries and institutions: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of the Treasury may disburse funds designated for debt reduction through the HIPC Trust Fund only for the benefit of countries that—

- (1) have committed, for a period of 24 months, not to accept new market-rate loans from the international financial institution receiving debt repayment as a result of such disbursement, other than loans made by such institutions to export-oriented commercial projects that generate foreign exchange which are generally referred to as “enclave” loans; and
- (2) have documented and demonstrated their commitment to redirect their budgetary resources from international debt repayments to programs to alleviate poverty and promote economic growth that are additional to or expand upon those previously available for such purposes:

Provided further, That any limitation of subsection (e) of section 411 of the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954 shall not apply to funds appropriated

under this heading: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available under this heading in this or any other appropriations Act shall be made available for Sudan or Burma unless the Secretary of the Treasury determines and notifies the Committees on Appropriations that a democratically elected government has taken office.

TITLE IV

INTERNATIONAL SECURITY ASSISTANCE

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

INTERNATIONAL NARCOTICS CONTROL AND LAW ENFORCEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to carry out section 481 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, \$1,590,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That during fiscal year 2011, the Department of State may also use the authority of section 608 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, without regard to its restrictions, to receive excess property from an agency of the United States Government for the purpose of providing it to a foreign country or international organization under chapter 8 of part I of that Act subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of State shall provide to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 45 days after the date of enactment of this Act and prior to the initial obligation of funds appropriated under this heading, a report on the proposed uses of all funds under this heading on a country-by-country basis for each proposed program, project, or activity: *Provided further*, That section 482(b) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 shall not apply to funds appropriated under this heading: *Provided further*, That assistance provided with funds appropriated under this heading that is made available notwithstanding section 482(b) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 shall be made available subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, \$5,000,000 should be made available to combat piracy of United States copyrighted materials, consistent with the requirements of section 688(a) and (b) of the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2008 (division J of Public Law 110-161): *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, \$15,000,000 shall be apportioned directly to the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) for institution building, judicial reform, anti-corruption, rule of law activities, and sustainable development programs in Mexico and may be transferred to, and merged with, funds appropriated under the heading “Economic Support Fund” to continue programs administered by USAID: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated under this heading for assistance for Colombia shall be made available for budget support or as cash payments: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be made available for assistance for the Bolivian military and police unless the Secretary of State determines and reports to the Committees on Appropriations that the Government of Bolivia is investigating, prosecuting, and punishing military and police personnel who have been credibly alleged to have violated internationally recognized human rights.

NONPROLIFERATION, ANTI-TERRORISM, DEMINEING AND RELATED PROGRAMS

For necessary expenses for nonproliferation, anti-terrorism, demining and related

programs and activities, \$740,000,000, to carry out the provisions of chapter 8 of part II of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 for anti-terrorism assistance, chapter 9 of part II of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, section 504 of the FREEDOM Support Act, section 23 of the Arms Export Control Act or the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 for demining activities, the clearance of unexploded ordnance, the destruction of small arms, and related activities, notwithstanding any other provision of law, including activities implemented through nongovernmental and international organizations, and section 301 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 for a voluntary contribution to the International Atomic Energy Agency, and for a United States contribution to the Comprehensive Nuclear Test Ban Treaty Preparatory Commission: *Provided*, That of the funds made available under this heading, not to exceed \$57,000,000, to remain available until expended, may be made available for the Nonproliferation and Disarmament Fund, notwithstanding any other provision of law, to promote bilateral and multilateral activities relating to nonproliferation, disarmament and weapons destruction: *Provided further*, That such funds may also be used for such countries other than the Independent States of the former Soviet Union and international organizations when it is in the national security interest of the United States to do so: *Provided further*, That funds made available for the Nonproliferation and Disarmament Fund shall be subject to prior consultation with, and the regular notification procedures of, the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated under this heading may be made available for the IAEA unless the Secretary of State determines that Israel is being denied its right to participate in the activities of that Agency: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, not more than \$500,000 may be made available for public-private partnerships for conventional weapons and mine action by grant, cooperative agreement or contract: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available for demining and related activities, not to exceed \$700,000, in addition to funds otherwise available for such purposes, may be used for administrative expenses related to the operation and management of the demining program: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated under this heading that are available for "Anti-terrorism Assistance" and "Export Control and Border Security" shall remain available until September 30, 2012.

PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of section 551 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, \$305,000,000: *Provided*, That funds appropriated under this heading may be used, notwithstanding section 660 of such Act, to provide assistance to enhance the capacity of foreign civilian security forces, including gendarmes, to participate in peacekeeping operations: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, up to \$81,918,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, may be made available to pay assessed expenses of international peacekeeping activities in Somalia, subject to prior consultation with, and the regular notification procedures of, the Committees on Appropriations, except that such funds should not be made available unless the Secretary of State reports to the Committees on Appropriations that indiscriminate shelling and other abuses of civilians by African Union Mission troops are being addressed: *Provided further*, That funds appro-

priated under this heading should not be used to support any military training or operations that include child soldiers: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, not less than \$26,000,000 shall be made available for a United States contribution to the Multinational Force and Observers mission in the Sinai: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be obligated or expended except as provided through the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT INTERNATIONAL MILITARY EDUCATION AND TRAINING

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of section 541 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, \$107,000,000, of which up to \$3,500,000 may remain available until expended and may only be provided through the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided*, That the civilian personnel for whom military education and training may be provided under this heading may include civilians who are not members of a government whose participation would contribute to improved civil-military relations, civilian control of the military, or respect for human rights: *Provided further*, That funds made available under this heading for assistance for Angola, Bangladesh, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ethiopia, Guatemala, Guinea, Haiti, Indonesia, Kenya, Libya, Nepal, Nigeria, and Sri Lanka may only be provided through the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations and any such notification shall include a detailed description of proposed activities: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, not to exceed \$55,000 may be available for entertainment allowances.

FOREIGN MILITARY FINANCING PROGRAM

For necessary expenses for grants to enable the President to carry out the provisions of section 23 of the Arms Export Control Act, \$544,000,000: *Provided*, That to expedite the provision of assistance to foreign countries and international organizations, the Secretary of State, following consultation with the Committees on Appropriations and subject to the regular notification procedures of such Committees, may use the funds appropriated under this heading to procure defense articles and services to enhance the capacity of foreign security forces: *Provided further*, That funds made available under this heading may be used, notwithstanding any other provision of law, for demining, the clearance of unexploded ordnance, and related activities, and may include activities implemented through nongovernmental and international organizations: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, not less than \$3,000,000,000 shall be available for grants only for Israel, and not less than \$1,300,000,000 shall be made available for grants only for Egypt, including for border security programs and activities in the Sinai: *Provided further*, That the funds appropriated under this heading for assistance for Israel shall be disbursed within 30 days of enactment of this Act: *Provided further*, That to the extent that the Government of Israel requests that funds be used for such purposes, grants made available for Israel under this heading shall, as agreed by the United States and Israel, be available for advanced weapons systems, of which not less than \$789,000,000 shall be available for the

procurement in Israel of defense articles and defense services, including research and development: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated under this heading estimated to be outlaid for Egypt during fiscal year 2011 shall be transferred to an interest bearing account for Egypt in the Federal Reserve Bank of New York within 30 days of enactment of this Act: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, \$300,000,000 shall be made available for assistance for Jordan: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available under this heading shall be made available to support or continue any program initially funded under the authority of section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3456) unless the Secretary of State, in coordination with the Secretary of Defense, has justified such program to the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated or otherwise made available under this heading shall be nonrepayable notwithstanding any requirement in section 23 of the Arms Export Control Act: *Provided further*, That funds made available under this heading shall be obligated upon apportionment in accordance with paragraph (5)(C) of title 31, United States Code, section 1501(a).

None of the funds made available under this heading shall be available to finance the procurement of defense articles, defense services, or design and construction services that are not sold by the United States Government under the Arms Export Control Act unless the foreign country proposing to make such procurement has first signed an agreement with the United States Government specifying the conditions under which such procurement may be financed with such funds: *Provided*, That all country and funding level increases in allocations shall be submitted through the regular notification procedures of section 7015 of this Act: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated under this heading may be made available for assistance for Nepal, Sri Lanka, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Philippines, Indonesia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Haiti, Guatemala, Ethiopia, Cambodia, Kenya, Chad, and the Democratic Republic of the Congo except pursuant to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That only those countries for which assistance was justified for the "Foreign Military Sales Financing Program" in the fiscal year 1989 congressional presentation for security assistance programs may utilize funds made available under this heading for procurement of defense articles, defense services or design and construction services that are not sold by the United States Government under the Arms Export Control Act: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated under this heading shall be expended at the minimum rate necessary to make timely payment for defense articles and services: *Provided further*, That not more than \$56,583,000 of the funds appropriated under this heading may be obligated for necessary expenses, including the purchase of passenger motor vehicles for replacement only for use outside of the United States, for the general costs of administering military assistance and sales, except that this limitation may be exceeded only through the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading for general costs of administering military assistance and sales, not to exceed \$4,000 may be available for entertainment expenses and not to exceed

\$130,000 may be available for representation allowances: *Provided further*, That not more than \$749,597,000 of funds realized pursuant to section 21(e)(1)(A) of the Arms Export Control Act may be obligated for expenses incurred by the Department of Defense during fiscal year 2011 pursuant to section 43(b) of the Arms Export Control Act, except that this limitation may be exceeded only through the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

PAKISTAN COUNTERINSURGENCY CAPABILITY FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of chapter 8 of part I and chapters 2, 5, 6, and 8 of part II of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 and section 23 of the Arms Export Control Act, \$1,000,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, for the purpose of providing assistance for Pakistan to build and maintain the counterinsurgency capability of Pakistani security forces (including the Frontier Corps), to include program management, training in civil-military humanitarian assistance, human rights training, and the provision of equipment, supplies, services, training, and facility and infrastructure repair, renovation, and construction: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, such funds shall be available to the Secretary of State, with the concurrence of the Secretary of Defense: *Provided further*, That such funds may be transferred by the Secretary of State to the Department of Defense or other Federal departments or agencies to support counterinsurgency operations and may be merged with, and be available, for the same purposes and for the same time period as the appropriation or fund to which transferred or may be transferred pursuant to the authorities contained in the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of State shall, not fewer than 15 days prior to making transfers from this appropriation, notify the Committees on Appropriations, in writing, of the details of any such transfer: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of State shall submit not later than 30 days after the end of each fiscal quarter to the Committees on Appropriations a report in writing summarizing, on a project-by-project basis, the uses of funds under this heading: *Provided further*, That upon determination by the Secretary of State, with the concurrence of the Secretary of Defense, that all or part of the funds so transferred from this appropriation are not necessary for the purposes herein, such amounts may be transferred by the head of the relevant Federal department or agency back to this appropriation and shall be available for the same purposes and for the same time period as originally appropriated: *Provided further*, That any required notification or report may be submitted in classified form.

TITLE V

MULTILATERAL ASSISTANCE

FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND PROGRAMS

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of section 301 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, and of section 2 of the United Nations Environment Program Participation Act of 1973, \$395,500,000: *Provided*, That section 307(a) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 shall not apply to contributions to the United Nations Democracy Fund.

INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

GLOBAL ENVIRONMENT FACILITY

For payment to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development as trustee

for the Global Environment Facility by the Secretary of the Treasury, \$143,750,000, to remain available until expended.

CONTRIBUTION TO THE INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION

For payment to the International Development Association by the Secretary of the Treasury, \$1,235,000,000, to remain available until expended.

CONTRIBUTION TO THE CLEAN TECHNOLOGY FUND

For payment to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development as trustee for the Clean Technology Fund by the Secretary of the Treasury, \$315,000,000, to remain available until expended.

CONTRIBUTION TO THE STRATEGIC CLIMATE FUND

For payment to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development as trustee for the Strategic Climate Fund by the Secretary of the Treasury, \$205,000,000, to remain available until expended.

GLOBAL FOOD SECURITY FUND

For payment as a contribution to a global food security fund by the Secretary of the Treasury, \$215,000,000, to remain available until expended.

CONTRIBUTION TO THE INTER-AMERICAN DEVELOPMENT BANK

For payment to the Inter-American Investment Corporation by the Secretary of the Treasury, \$21,000,000, to remain available until expended.

CONTRIBUTION TO THE ENTERPRISE FOR THE AMERICAS MULTILATERAL INVESTMENT FUND

For payment to the Enterprise for the Americas Multilateral Investment Fund by the Secretary of the Treasury, \$25,000,000, to remain available until expended.

CONTRIBUTION TO THE ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK

For payment to the Asian Development Bank by the Secretary of the Treasury for the United States share of the paid-in portion of increase in capital stock, \$106,586,000, to remain available until expended.

LIMITATION ON CALLABLE CAPITAL SUBSCRIPTIONS

The United States Governor of the Asian Development Bank may subscribe without fiscal year limitation to the callable capital portion of the United States share of such capital stock in an amount not to exceed \$2,558,048,769.

CONTRIBUTION TO THE ASIAN DEVELOPMENT FUND

For payment to the Asian Development Bank's Asian Development Fund by the Secretary of the Treasury, \$77,000,000, to remain available until expended.

CONTRIBUTION TO THE AFRICAN DEVELOPMENT FUND

For payment to the African Development Fund by the Secretary of the Treasury, \$150,000,000, to remain available until expended.

CONTRIBUTION TO THE INTERNATIONAL FUND FOR AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT

For payment to the International Fund for Agricultural Development by the Secretary of the Treasury, \$30,000,000, to remain available until expended.

TITLE VI

EXPORT AND INVESTMENT ASSISTANCE

EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE UNITED STATES

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provi-

sions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$3,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The Export-Import Bank of the United States is authorized to make such expenditures within the limits of funds and borrowing authority available to such corporation, and in accordance with law, and to make such contracts and commitments without regard to fiscal year limitations, as provided by section 104 of the Government Corporation Control Act, as may be necessary in carrying out the program for the current fiscal year for such corporation: *Provided*, That none of the funds available during the current fiscal year may be used to make expenditures, contracts, or commitments for the export of nuclear equipment, fuel, or technology to any country, other than a nuclear-weapon state as defined in Article IX of the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons eligible to receive economic or military assistance under this Act, that has detonated a nuclear explosive after the date of the enactment of this Act: *Provided further*, That the use of the aggregate loan, guarantee, and insurance authority available to the Export-Import Bank during the current fiscal year should not result in greenhouse gas emissions from the extraction or production of fossil fuels and the use of fossil fuels in electricity generation exceeding the total amount of such emissions resulting from the use of such authority during fiscal year 2007, unless not less than 15 days prior to the use of such authority the Export-Import Bank provides written notification to the Committees on Appropriations that the use of such authority would result in greenhouse gas emissions exceeding such amount and indicating the amount of the increase, and posts such notification on the Bank's Web site: *Provided further*, That not less than 10 percent of such aggregate should be used for renewable energy technology and end-use energy efficiency technologies.

SUBSIDY APPROPRIATION

For the cost of direct loans, loan guarantees, insurance, and tied-aid grants as authorized by section 10 of the Export-Import Bank Act of 1945, as amended, not to exceed \$82,000,000: *Provided*, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: *Provided further*, That such funds shall remain available until September 30, 2026, for the disbursement of direct loans, loan guarantees, insurance and tied-aid grants obligated in fiscal years 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated by this Act or any prior Acts appropriating funds for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs for tied-aid credits or grants may be used for any other purpose except through the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated by this paragraph are made available notwithstanding section 2(b)(2) of the Export-Import Bank Act of 1945, in connection with the purchase or lease of any product by any Eastern European country, any Baltic State or any agency or national thereof.

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

For administrative expenses to carry out the direct and guaranteed loan and insurance programs, including hire of passenger motor vehicles and services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, and not to exceed \$30,000 for official reception and representation expenses for members of the Board of Directors, not to

exceed \$99,000,000: *Provided*, That the Export-Import Bank may accept, and use, payment or services provided by transaction participants for legal, financial, or technical services in connection with any transaction for which an application for a loan, guarantee or insurance commitment has been made: *Provided further*, That project specific transaction costs, including direct and indirect costs incurred in claims settlements, and other costs for systems infrastructure directly supporting transactions, shall not be considered administrative expenses for the purposes of this heading: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding subsection (b) of section 117 of the Export Enhancement Act of 1992, subsection (a) thereof shall remain in effect until October 1, 2011.

RECEIPTS COLLECTED

Receipts collected pursuant to the Export-Import Bank Act of 1945, as amended, and the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990, as amended, in an amount not to exceed the amount appropriated herein, shall be credited as offsetting collections to this account: *Provided*, That the sums herein appropriated from the General Fund shall be reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis by such offsetting collections so as to result in a final fiscal year appropriation from the General Fund estimated at \$0: *Provided further*, That amounts collected in fiscal year 2011 in excess of obligations shall become available on September 1, 2011 and shall remain available until September 30, 2014.

OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION NONCREDIT ACCOUNT

The Overseas Private Investment Corporation is authorized to make, without regard to fiscal year limitations, as provided by 31 U.S.C. 9104, such expenditures and commitments within the limits of funds available to it and in accordance with law as may be necessary: *Provided*, That the amount available for administrative expenses to carry out the credit and insurance programs (including an amount for official reception and representation expenses which shall not exceed \$35,000) shall not exceed \$53,946,000: *Provided further*, That project-specific transaction costs, including direct and indirect costs incurred in claims settlements, and other direct costs associated with services provided to specific investors or potential investors pursuant to section 234 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, shall not be considered administrative expenses for the purposes of this heading.

PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the cost of direct and guaranteed loans, \$29,000,000, as authorized by section 234 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, to be derived by transfer from the Overseas Private Investment Corporation Noncredit Account: *Provided*, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: *Provided further*, That such sums shall be available for direct loan obligations and loan guaranty commitments incurred or made during fiscal years 2011, 2012, and 2013: *Provided further*, That funds so obligated in fiscal year 2011 remain available for disbursement through 2019; funds obligated in fiscal year 2012 remain available for disbursement through 2020; and funds obligated in fiscal year 2013 remain available for disbursement through 2021: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Overseas Private Investment Corporation is authorized to undertake any program authorized by title IV of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 in Iraq: *Provided further*, That funds made available pursuant to

the authority of the previous proviso shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

In addition, such sums as may be necessary for administrative expenses to carry out the credit program may be derived from amounts available for administrative expenses to carry out the credit and insurance programs in the Overseas Private Investment Corporation Noncredit Account and merged with said account.

FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of section 661 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, \$55,200,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, not more than \$4,000 may be available for representation and entertainment allowances.

TITLE VII GENERAL PROVISIONS

ALLOWANCES AND DIFFERENTIALS

SEC. 7001. Funds appropriated under title I of this Act shall be available, except as otherwise provided, for allowances and differentials as authorized by subchapter 59 of title 5, United States Code; for services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; and for hire of passenger transportation pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1343(b).

UNOBLIGATED BALANCES REPORT

SEC. 7002. Any department or agency of the United States Government to which funds are appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act shall provide to the Committees on Appropriations a quarterly accounting of cumulative balances by program, project, and activity of the funds received by such department or agency in this fiscal year or any previous fiscal year that remain unobligated and unexpended: *Provided*, That such report should disaggregate such funds by fiscal year as soon as practicable.

CONSULTING SERVICES

SEC. 7003. The expenditure of any appropriation under title I of this Act for any consulting service through procurement contract, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3109, shall be limited to those contracts where such expenditures are a matter of public record and available for public inspection, except where otherwise provided under existing law, or under existing Executive order issued pursuant to existing law.

EMBASSY CONSTRUCTION

SEC. 7004. (a) Of funds provided under title I of this Act, except as provided in subsection (b), a project to construct a diplomatic facility of the United States may not include office space or other accommodations for an employee of a Federal agency or department if the Secretary of State determines that such department or agency has not provided to the Department of State the full amount of funding required by subsection (e) of section 604 of the Secure Embassy Construction and Counterterrorism Act of 1999 (as enacted into law by section 1000(a)(7) of Public Law 106-113 and contained in appendix G of that Act; 113 Stat. 1501A-453), as amended by section 629 of the Departments of Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2005.

(b) Notwithstanding the prohibition in subsection (a), a project to construct a diplomatic facility of the United States may include office space or other accommodations for members of the United States Marine Corps.

(c) Funds appropriated by this Act, and any prior Act making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs, which may be made available for the acquisition of property for diplomatic facilities in Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iraq, shall be subject to prior consultation with, and the regular notification procedures of, the Committees on Appropriations.

(d) None of the funds appropriated under the heading "Embassy Security, Construction, and Maintenance" in title I of this Act may be made available for construction of the New London Embassy.

PERSONNEL ACTIONS

SEC. 7005. Any costs incurred by a department or agency funded under title I of this Act resulting from personnel actions taken in response to funding reductions included in this Act shall be absorbed within the total budgetary resources available under title I to such department or agency: *Provided*, That the authority to transfer funds between appropriations accounts as may be necessary to carry out this section is provided in addition to authorities included elsewhere in this Act: *Provided further*, That use of funds to carry out this section shall be treated as a reprogramming of funds under section 7015 of this Act and shall not be available for obligation or expenditure except in compliance with the procedures set forth in that section.

LOCAL GUARD CONTRACTS

SEC. 7006. In evaluating proposals for local guard contracts, the Secretary of State shall award contracts in accordance with section 136 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (22 U.S.C. 4864), except that the Secretary may grant authorization to award such contracts on the basis of best value as determined by a cost-technical tradeoff analysis (as described in Federal Acquisition Regulation part 15.101) in Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan, notwithstanding subsection (c)(3) of such section: *Provided*, That the authority in this section shall apply to any options for renewal that may be exercised under such contracts that are awarded during the current fiscal year: *Provided further*, That prior to issuing a solicitation for a contract to be awarded pursuant to the authority under this section, the Secretary of State shall consult with the Committees on Appropriations.

PROHIBITION AGAINST DIRECT FUNDING FOR CERTAIN COUNTRIES

SEC. 7007. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available pursuant to titles III through VI of this Act shall be obligated or expended to finance directly any assistance or reparations for the governments of Cuba, North Korea, Iran, or Syria: *Provided*, That for purposes of this section, the prohibition on obligations or expenditures shall include direct loans, credits, insurance and guarantees of the Export-Import Bank or its agents.

COUPS D'ÉTAT

SEC. 7008. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available pursuant to titles III through VI of this Act shall be obligated or expended to finance directly any assistance to the government of any country whose duly elected head of government is deposed by coup d'état or decree: *Provided*, That assistance may be resumed to such government if the President determines and certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that subsequent to the termination of assistance a democratically elected government has taken office: *Provided further*, That the provisions of this section shall not apply to

assistance to promote democratic elections or public participation in democratic processes: *Provided further*, That funds made available pursuant to the previous provisos shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

TRANSFER AUTHORITY

SEC. 7009. (a) DEPARTMENT OF STATE AND BROADCASTING BOARD OF GOVERNORS.—

(1) Not to exceed 5 percent of any appropriation made available for the current fiscal year for the Department of State under title I of this Act may be transferred between such appropriations, but no such appropriation, except as otherwise specifically provided, shall be increased by more than 10 percent by any such transfers.

(2) Not to exceed 5 percent of any appropriation made available for the current fiscal year for the Broadcasting Board of Governors under title I of this Act may be transferred between such appropriations, but no such appropriation, except as otherwise specifically provided, shall be increased by more than 10 percent by any such transfers.

(3) Any transfer pursuant to this section shall be treated as a reprogramming of funds under section 7015(a) and (b) of this Act and shall not be available for obligation or expenditure except in compliance with the procedures set forth in that section.

(b) EXPORT FINANCING TRANSFER AUTHORITIES.—Not to exceed 5 percent of any appropriation other than for administrative expenses made available for fiscal year 2011, for programs under title VI of this Act may be transferred between such appropriations for use for any of the purposes, programs, and activities for which the funds in such receiving account may be used, but no such appropriation, except as otherwise specifically provided, shall be increased by more than 25 percent by any such transfer: *Provided*, That the exercise of such authority shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

(c) LIMITATION ON TRANSFERS BETWEEN AGENCIES.—

(1) None of the funds made available under titles II through V of this Act may be transferred to any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States Government, except pursuant to a transfer made by, or transfer authority provided in, this Act or any other appropriation Act.

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), in addition to transfers made by, or authorized elsewhere in, this Act, funds appropriated by this Act to carry out the purposes of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 may be allocated or transferred to agencies of the United States Government pursuant to the provisions of sections 109, 610, and 632 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961.

(3) Any agreement entered into by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) or the Department of State with any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States Government pursuant to section 632(b) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 valued in excess of \$1,000,000 and any agreement made pursuant to section 632(a) of such Act, with funds appropriated by this Act and prior Acts making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs under the headings "Global Health and Child Survival", "Development Assistance", and "Economic Support Fund" shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided*, That the requirement in the previous sentence shall not apply to agreements

entered into between USAID and the Department of State.

(d) TRANSFERS BETWEEN ACCOUNTS.—None of the funds made available under titles II through V of this Act may be obligated under an appropriation account to which they were not appropriated, except for transfers specifically provided for in this Act, unless the President, not less than 5 days prior to the exercise of any authority contained in the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 to transfer funds, consults with and provides a written policy justification to the Committees on Appropriations.

(e) AUDIT OF INTER-AGENCY TRANSFERS.—Any agreement for the transfer or allocation of funds appropriated by this Act, or prior Acts, entered into between the Department of State or USAID and another agency of the United States Government under the authority of section 632(a) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 or any comparable provision of law, shall expressly provide that the Inspector General for the agency receiving the transfer or allocation of such funds shall perform periodic program and financial audits of the use of such funds: *Provided*, That funds transferred under such authority may be made available for the cost of such audits.

REPORTING REQUIREMENT

SEC. 7010. The Secretary of State shall provide the Committees on Appropriations, not later than April 1, 2011, and for each fiscal quarter, a report in writing on the uses of funds made available under the headings "Foreign Military Financing Program", "International Military Education and Training", and "Peacekeeping Operations": *Provided*, That such report shall include a description of the obligation and expenditure of funds, and the specific country in receipt of, and the use or purpose of the assistance provided by such funds.

AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS

SEC. 7011. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall remain available for obligation after the expiration of the current fiscal year unless expressly so provided in this Act: *Provided*, That funds appropriated for the purposes of chapters 1, 8, 11, and 12 of part I, section 661, section 667, chapters 4, 5, 6, 8, and 9 of part II of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, section 23 of the Arms Export Control Act, and funds provided under the headings "Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia", "Democracy Fund", "Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund", and "Development Credit Authority", shall remain available for an additional 4 years from the date on which the availability of such funds would otherwise have expired, if such funds are initially obligated before the expiration of their respective periods of availability contained in this Act: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, any funds made available for the purposes of chapter 1 of part I and chapter 4 of part II of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 which are allocated or obligated for cash disbursements in order to address balance of payments or economic policy reform objectives, shall remain available until expended.

LIMITATION ON ASSISTANCE TO COUNTRIES IN DEFAULT

SEC. 7012. No part of any appropriation provided under titles III through VI in this Act shall be used to furnish assistance to the government of any country which is in default during a period in excess of one calendar year in payment to the United States of principal or interest on any loan made to the government of such country by the

United States pursuant to a program for which funds are appropriated under this Act unless the President determines, following consultations with the Committees on Appropriations, that assistance for such country is in the national interest of the United States.

PROHIBITION ON TAXATION OF UNITED STATES ASSISTANCE

SEC. 7013. (a) PROHIBITION ON TAXATION.—None of the funds appropriated under titles III through VI of this Act may be made available to provide assistance for a foreign country under a new bilateral agreement governing the terms and conditions under which such assistance is to be provided unless such agreement includes a provision stating that assistance provided by the United States shall be exempt from taxation, or reimbursed, by the foreign government, and the Secretary of State shall expeditiously seek to negotiate amendments to existing bilateral agreements, as necessary, to conform with this requirement.

(b) REIMBURSEMENT OF FOREIGN TAXES.—An amount equivalent to 200 percent of the total taxes assessed during fiscal year 2011 on funds appropriated by this Act by a foreign government or entity against commodities financed under United States assistance programs for which funds are appropriated by this Act, either directly or through grantees, contractors and subcontractors shall be withheld from obligation from funds appropriated for assistance for fiscal year 2012 and allocated for the central government of such country and for the West Bank and Gaza program to the extent that the Secretary of State certifies and reports in writing to the Committees on Appropriations that such taxes have not been reimbursed to the Government of the United States.

(c) DE MINIMIS EXCEPTION.—Foreign taxes of a de minimis nature shall not be subject to the provisions of subsection (b).

(d) REPROGRAMMING OF FUNDS.—Funds withheld from obligation for each country or entity pursuant to subsection (b) shall be reprogrammed for assistance to countries which do not assess taxes on United States assistance or which have an effective arrangement that is providing substantial reimbursement of such taxes.

(e) DETERMINATIONS.—

(1) The provisions of this section shall not apply to any country or entity the Secretary of State determines—

(A) does not assess taxes on United States assistance or which has an effective arrangement that is providing substantial reimbursement of such taxes; or

(B) the foreign policy interests of the United States outweigh the purpose of this section to ensure that United States assistance is not subject to taxation.

(2) The Secretary of State shall consult with the Committees on Appropriations at least 15 days prior to exercising the authority of this subsection with regard to any country or entity.

(f) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Secretary of State shall issue rules, regulations, or policy guidance, as appropriate, to implement the prohibition against the taxation of assistance contained in this section.

(g) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section—

(1) the terms "taxes" and "taxation" refer to value added taxes and customs duties imposed on commodities financed with United States assistance for programs for which funds are appropriated by this Act; and

(2) the term "bilateral agreement" refers to a framework bilateral agreement between the Government of the United States and the

government of the country receiving assistance that describes the privileges and immunities applicable to United States foreign assistance for such country generally, or an individual agreement between the Government of the United States and such government that describes, among other things, the treatment for tax purposes that will be accorded the United States assistance provided under that agreement.

RESERVATIONS OF FUNDS

SEC. 7014. (a) Funds appropriated under titles II through VI of this Act which are specifically designated may be reprogrammed for other programs within the same account notwithstanding the designation if compliance with the designation is made impossible by operation of any provision of this or any other Act: *Provided*, That any such reprogramming shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That assistance that is reprogrammed pursuant to this subsection shall be made available under the same terms and conditions as originally provided.

(b) In addition to the authority contained in subsection (a), the original period of availability of funds appropriated by this Act and administered by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) that are specifically designated for particular programs or activities by this or any other Act shall be extended for an additional fiscal year if the USAID Administrator determines and reports promptly to the Committees on Appropriations that the termination of assistance to a country or a significant change in circumstances makes it unlikely that such designated funds can be obligated during the original period of availability: *Provided*, That such designated funds that continue to be available for an additional fiscal year shall be obligated only for the purpose of such designation.

(c) Ceilings and specifically designated funding levels contained in this Act shall not be applicable to funds or authorities appropriated or otherwise made available by any subsequent Act unless such Act specifically so directs: *Provided*, That specifically designated funding levels or minimum funding requirements contained in any other Act shall not be applicable to funds appropriated by this Act.

REPROGRAMMING NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

SEC. 7015. (a) None of the funds made available in title I of this Act, or in prior appropriations Acts to the agencies and departments funded by this Act that remain available for obligation or expenditure in fiscal year 2011, or provided from any accounts in the Treasury of the United States derived by the collection of fees or of currency reflows or other offsetting collections, or made available by transfer, to the agencies and departments funded by this Act, shall be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming of funds that: (1) creates new programs; (2) eliminates a program, project, or activity; (3) increases funds or personnel by any means for any project or activity for which funds have been denied or restricted; (4) relocates an office or employees; (5) closes or opens a mission or post; (6) establishes, reorganizes, or renames offices or bureaus; (7) reorganizes programs or activities; or (8) contracts out or privatizes any functions or activities presently performed by Federal employees; unless the Committees on Appropriations are notified 15 days in advance of such reprogramming of funds.

(b) For the purposes of providing the executive branch with the necessary administra-

tive flexibility, none of the funds provided under title I of this Act, or provided under previous appropriations Acts to the agency or department funded under title I of this Act that remain available for obligation or expenditure in fiscal year 2011, or provided from any accounts in the Treasury of the United States derived by the collection of fees available to the agency or department funded under title I of this Act, shall be available for obligation or expenditure for activities, programs, or projects through a reprogramming of funds in excess of \$1,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less, that: (1) augments existing programs, projects, or activities; (2) reduces by 10 percent funding for any existing program, project, or activity, or numbers of personnel by 10 percent as approved by Congress; or (3) results from any general savings, including savings from a reduction in personnel, which would result in a change in existing programs, activities, or projects as approved by Congress; unless the Committees on Appropriations are notified 15 days in advance of such reprogramming of funds.

(c) For the purposes of providing the executive branch with the necessary administrative flexibility, none of the funds made available under titles II through VI in this Act under the headings "Global Health and Child Survival", "Development Assistance", "International Organizations and Programs", "Trade and Development Agency", "International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement", "Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia", "Economic Support Fund", "Democracy Fund", "Peacekeeping Operations", "Capital Investment Fund", "Operating Expenses", "Civilian Stabilization Initiative", "Office of Inspector General", "Nonproliferation, Anti-terrorism, Demining and Related Programs", "Millennium Challenge Corporation", "Foreign Military Financing Program", "International Military Education and Training", and "Peace Corps", shall be available for obligation for activities, programs, projects, type of materiel assistance, countries, or other operations not justified or in excess of the amount justified to the Committees on Appropriations for obligation under any of these specific headings unless the Committees on Appropriations are notified 15 days in advance: *Provided*, That the President shall not enter into any commitment of funds appropriated for the purposes of section 23 of the Arms Export Control Act for the provision of major defense equipment, other than conventional ammunition, or other major defense items defined to be aircraft, ships, missiles, or combat vehicles, not previously justified to Congress or 20 percent in excess of the quantities justified to Congress unless the Committees on Appropriations are notified 15 days in advance of such commitment: *Provided further*, That requirements of this subsection or any similar provision of this or any other Act shall not apply to any reprogramming for an activity, program, or project for which funds are appropriated under titles II through IV of this Act of less than 10 percent of the amount previously justified to the Congress for obligation for such activity, program, or project for the current fiscal year.

(d) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, with the exception of funds transferred to, and merged with, funds appropriated under title I of this Act, funds transferred by the Department of Defense to the Department of State and the United States Agency for International Development for assistance for foreign countries and international orga-

nizations (including for infrastructure projects in Afghanistan), and funds made available for programs authorized by section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163), shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

(e) The requirements of this section or any similar provision of this Act or any other Act, including any prior Act requiring notification in accordance with the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations, may be waived if failure to do so would pose a substantial risk to human health or welfare: *Provided*, That in case of any such waiver, notification to the Committees on Appropriations shall be provided as early as practicable, but in no event later than 3 days after taking the action to which such notification requirement was applicable, in the context of the circumstances necessitating such waiver: *Provided further*, That any notification provided pursuant to such a waiver shall contain an explanation of the emergency circumstances.

(f) None of the funds appropriated under titles III through VI of this Act shall be obligated or expended for assistance for Serbia, Sudan, Zimbabwe, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Dominican Republic, Cuba, Iran, Haiti, Libya, Ethiopia, Nepal, Colombia, Burma, Yemen, Mexico, Kazakhstan, Somalia, Sri Lanka, or Cambodia and countries listed in section 7044(c)(3) of this Act except as provided through the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

NOTIFICATION ON EXCESS DEFENSE EQUIPMENT

SEC. 7016. Prior to providing excess Department of Defense articles in accordance with section 516(a) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, the Department of Defense shall notify the Committees on Appropriations to the same extent and under the same conditions as other committees pursuant to subsection (f) of that section: *Provided*, That before issuing a letter of offer to sell excess defense articles under the Arms Export Control Act, the Department of Defense shall notify the Committees on Appropriations in accordance with the regular notification procedures of such Committees if such defense articles are significant military equipment (as defined in section 47(9) of the Arms Export Control Act) or are valued (in terms of original acquisition cost) at \$7,000,000 or more, or if notification is required elsewhere in this Act for the use of appropriated funds for specific countries that would receive such excess defense articles: *Provided further*, That such Committees shall also be informed of the original acquisition cost of such defense articles.

LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND PROGRAMS

SEC. 7017. Subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations, funds appropriated under titles III through VI of this Act and prior Acts making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs, which are returned or not made available for organizations and programs because of the implementation of section 307(a) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, shall remain available for obligation until September 30, 2012.

PROHIBITION ON FUNDING FOR ABORTIONS AND INVOLUNTARY STERILIZATION

SEC. 7018. None of the funds made available to carry out part I of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, may be used to pay for the performance of abortions as a method

of family planning or to motivate or coerce any person to practice abortions. None of the funds made available to carry out part I of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, may be used to pay for the performance of involuntary sterilization as a method of family planning or to coerce or provide any financial incentive to any person to undergo sterilizations. None of the funds made available to carry out part I of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, may be used to pay for any biomedical research which relates in whole or in part, to methods of, or the performance of, abortions or involuntary sterilization as a means of family planning. None of the funds made available to carry out part I of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, may be obligated or expended for any country or organization if the President certifies that the use of these funds by any such country or organization would violate any of the above provisions related to abortions and involuntary sterilizations.

ALLOCATIONS

SEC. 7019. (a) Funds provided in this Act for the following accounts shall be made available for programs and countries in the amounts contained in the respective tables included in the explanatory statement accompanying this Act:

“Diplomatic and Consular Programs”;
 “Educational and Cultural Exchange Programs”;
 “International Fisheries Commissions”;
 “International Broadcasting Operations”;
 “Operating Expenses”;
 “Global Health and Child Survival”;
 “Development Assistance”;
 “Democracy Fund”;
 “Economic Support Fund”;
 “Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia”;
 “International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement”;
 “Nonproliferation, Anti-terrorism, Demining and Related Programs”;
 “Peacekeeping Operations”;
 “International Military Education and Training”;
 “Foreign Military Financing Program”;
 and
 “International Organizations and Programs”.

(b) For the purposes of implementing this section and only with respect to the tables included in the explanatory statement accompanying this Act, the Secretary of State, the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development and the Broadcasting Board of Governors, as appropriate, may propose deviations to the amounts referenced in subsection (a), subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

(c) The requirements contained in subsection (a) shall apply to the tables under the headings “Bilateral Economic Assistance” and “General Provisions” in the explanatory statement.

(d) For the purposes of division K of this Act and unless otherwise specified, the term “explanatory statement” shall mean the matter in division K of the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act).

PROHIBITION OF PAYMENT OF CERTAIN EXPENSES

SEC. 7020. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act under the headings “International Military Education and Training” or “Foreign Military

Financing Program” for Informational Program activities or under the headings “Global Health and Child Survival”, “Development Assistance”, and “Economic Support Fund” may be obligated or expended to pay for—

- (1) alcoholic beverages; or
- (2) entertainment expenses for activities that are substantially of a recreational character, including but not limited to entrance fees at sporting events, theatrical and musical productions, and amusement parks.

PROHIBITION ON ASSISTANCE TO GOVERNMENTS SUPPORTING INTERNATIONAL TERRORISM

SEC. 7021. (a) LETHAL MILITARY EQUIPMENT EXPORTS.—

(1) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by titles III through VI of this Act may be available to any foreign government which provides lethal military equipment to a country the government of which the Secretary of State has determined supports international terrorism for purposes of section 6(j) of the Export Administration Act of 1979: *Provided*, That the prohibition under this section with respect to a foreign government shall terminate 12 months after that government ceases to provide such military equipment: *Provided further*, That this section applies with respect to lethal military equipment provided under a contract entered into after October 1, 1997.

(2) Assistance restricted by paragraph (1) or any other similar provision of law, may be furnished if the President determines that to do so is important to the national interests of the United States.

(3) Whenever the President makes a determination pursuant to paragraph (2), the President shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations a report with respect to the furnishing of such assistance, including a detailed explanation of the assistance to be provided, the estimated dollar amount of such assistance, and an explanation of how the assistance furthers United States national interests.

(b) BILATERAL ASSISTANCE.—

(1) Funds appropriated for bilateral assistance in titles III through VI of this Act and funds appropriated under any such title in prior acts making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs, shall not be made available to any foreign government which the President determines—

(A) grants sanctuary from prosecution to any individual or group which has committed an act of international terrorism; or

(B) otherwise supports international terrorism.

(2) The President may waive the application of paragraph (1) to a government if the President determines that national security or humanitarian reasons justify such waiver: *Provided*, That the President shall publish each such waiver in the Federal Register and, at least 15 days before the waiver takes effect, shall notify the Committees on Appropriations of the waiver (including the justification for the waiver) in accordance with the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS IN CONTRAVENTION OF CERTAIN LAWS

SEC. 7022. None of the funds made available in this Act or prior Acts may be used in contravention of any provision of, or amendment made by, this Act, unless such authority is expressly provided in statute: *Provided*, That if a determination is made on constitutional grounds by the executive branch that any provision of law covered by the pre-

ceding sentence shall not apply, the head of the relevant Federal agency shall notify the Committees on Appropriations in writing within 5 days of such determination, the basis for such determination and any resulting changes to program and policy.

AUTHORIZATION REQUIREMENTS

SEC. 7023. Funds appropriated by this Act, except funds appropriated under the heading “Trade and Development Agency”, may be obligated and expended notwithstanding section 10 of Public Law 91–672, section 15 of the State Department Basic Authorities Act of 1956, section 313 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1994 and 1995 (Public Law 103–236), and section 504(a)(1) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 414(a)(1)).

DEFINITION OF PROGRAM, PROJECT, AND ACTIVITY

SEC. 7024. For the purpose of titles II through VI of this Act “program, project, and activity” shall be defined at the appropriations Act account level and shall include all appropriations and authorizations Acts funding directives, ceilings, and limitations with the exception that for the following accounts: “Economic Support Fund” and “Foreign Military Financing Program”, “program, project, and activity” shall also be considered to include country, regional, and central program level funding within each such account; for the development assistance accounts of the United States Agency for International Development “program, project, and activity” shall also be considered to include central, country, regional, and program level funding, either as: (1) justified to the Congress; or (2) allocated by the executive branch in accordance with a report, to be provided to the Committees on Appropriations within 30 days of the enactment of this Act, as required by section 653(a) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961.

AUTHORITIES FOR THE PEACE CORPS, INTER-AMERICAN FOUNDATION AND AFRICAN DEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION

SEC. 7025. Unless expressly provided to the contrary, provisions of this or any other Act, including provisions contained in prior Acts authorizing or making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs, shall not be construed to prohibit activities authorized by or conducted under the Peace Corps Act, the Inter-American Foundation Act or the African Development Foundation Act: *Provided*, That the agency shall promptly report to the Committees on Appropriations whenever it is conducting activities or is proposing to conduct activities in a country for which assistance is prohibited.

COMMERCE, TRADE AND SURPLUS COMMODITIES

SEC. 7026. (a) None of the funds appropriated or made available pursuant to titles III through VI of this Act for direct assistance and none of the funds otherwise made available to the Export-Import Bank and the Overseas Private Investment Corporation shall be obligated or expended to finance any loan, any assistance or any other financial commitments for establishing or expanding production of any commodity for export by any country other than the United States, if the commodity is likely to be in surplus on world markets at the time the resulting productive capacity is expected to become operative and if the assistance will cause substantial injury to United States producers of the same, similar, or competing commodity: *Provided*, That such prohibition shall not apply to the Export-Import Bank if in the

judgment of its Board of Directors the benefits to industry and employment in the United States are likely to outweigh the injury to United States producers of the same, similar, or competing commodity, and the Chairman of the Board so notifies the Committees on Appropriations.

(b) None of the funds appropriated by this or any other Act to carry out chapter 1 of part I of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 shall be available for any testing or breeding feasibility study, variety improvement or introduction, consultancy, publication, conference, or training in connection with the growth or production in a foreign country of an agricultural commodity for export which would compete with a similar commodity grown or produced in the United States: *Provided*, That this subsection shall not prohibit—

(1) activities designed to increase food security in developing countries where such activities will not have a significant impact on the export of agricultural commodities of the United States; or

(2) research activities intended primarily to benefit American producers.

(c)(1) The Secretary of the Treasury shall instruct the United States executive directors of the international financial institutions to use the voice and vote of the United States to oppose any assistance by such institutions, using funds appropriated or made available pursuant to titles III through VI of this Act, for the production or extraction of any commodity or mineral for export, if it is in surplus on world markets and if the assistance will cause substantial injury to United States producers of the same, similar, or competing commodity.

(2) For the purposes of this Act the term “international financial institutions” shall mean the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, the International Development Association, the International Finance Corporation, the Inter-American Development Bank, the International Monetary Fund, the Asian Development Bank, the Asian Development Fund, the Inter-American Investment Corporation, the North American Development Bank, the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, the African Development Bank, and the African Development Fund.

SEPARATE ACCOUNTS

SEC. 7027. (a) SEPARATE ACCOUNTS FOR LOCAL CURRENCIES.—

(1) If assistance is furnished to the government of a foreign country under chapters 1 and 10 of part I or chapter 4 of part II of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 under agreements which result in the generation of local currencies of that country, the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) shall—

(A) require that local currencies be deposited in a separate account established by that government;

(B) enter into an agreement with that government which sets forth—

(i) the amount of the local currencies to be generated; and

(ii) the terms and conditions under which the currencies so deposited may be utilized, consistent with this section; and

(C) establish by agreement with that government the responsibilities of USAID and that government to monitor and account for deposits into and disbursements from the separate account.

(2) USES OF LOCAL CURRENCIES.—As may be agreed upon with the foreign government, local currencies deposited in a separate account pursuant to subsection (a), or an

equivalent amount of local currencies, shall be used only—

(A) to carry out chapter 1 or 10 of part I or chapter 4 of part II of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (as the case may be), for such purposes as—

(i) project and sector assistance activities; or

(ii) debt and deficit financing; or

(B) for the administrative requirements of the United States Government.

(3) PROGRAMMING ACCOUNTABILITY.—USAID shall take all necessary steps to ensure that the equivalent of the local currencies disbursed pursuant to subsection (a)(2)(A) from the separate account established pursuant to subsection (a)(1) are used for the purposes agreed upon pursuant to subsection (a)(2).

(4) TERMINATION OF ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS.—Upon termination of assistance to a country under chapter 1 or 10 of part I or chapter 4 of part II of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (as the case may be), any unencumbered balances of funds which remain in a separate account established pursuant to subsection (a) shall be disposed of for such purposes as may be agreed to by the government of that country and the United States Government.

(5) REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—The USAID Administrator shall report on an annual basis as part of the justification documents submitted to the Committees on Appropriations on the use of local currencies for the administrative requirements of the United States Government as authorized in subsection (a)(2)(B), and such report shall include the amount of local currency (and United States dollar equivalent) used and/or to be used for such purpose in each applicable country.

(b) SEPARATE ACCOUNTS FOR CASH TRANSFERS.—

(1) If assistance is made available to the government of a foreign country, under chapter 1 or 10 of part I or chapter 4 of part II of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as cash transfer assistance or as nonproject sector assistance, that country shall be required to maintain such funds in a separate account and not commingle them with any other funds.

(2) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER PROVISIONS OF LAW.—Such funds may be obligated and expended notwithstanding provisions of law which are inconsistent with the nature of this assistance including provisions which are referenced in the Joint Explanatory Statement of the Committee of Conference accompanying House Joint Resolution 648 (House Report No. 98-1159).

(3) NOTIFICATION.—At least 15 days prior to obligating any such cash transfer or nonproject sector assistance, the President shall submit a notification through the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations, which shall include a detailed description of how the funds proposed to be made available will be used, with a discussion of the United States interests that will be served by the assistance (including, as appropriate, a description of the economic policy reforms that will be promoted by such assistance).

(4) EXEMPTION.—Nonproject sector assistance funds may be exempt from the requirements of subsection (b)(1) only through the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

ASSISTANCE FOR NONGOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS

SEC. 7028. (a) Section 123 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2151u) is

amended at the end by adding the following new subsection:

“(i)(1) Restrictions contained in this or any other Act with respect to assistance for a country shall not be construed to restrict assistance in support of programs of nongovernmental organizations from—

“(A) funds made available to carry out this chapter and chapters 10, 11, and 12 of part I and chapter 4 of part II; or

“(B) funds made available for economic assistance activities under the Support for East European Democracy (SEED) Act of 1989 (22 U.S.C. 5401 et seq.).

“(2) The President shall submit to Congress, in accordance with section 634A, advance notice of an intent to obligate funds under the authority of this subsection to furnish assistance in support of programs of nongovernmental organizations.

“(3) Assistance may not be furnished through nongovernmental organizations to the central government of a country under the authority of this subsection, but assistance may be furnished to local, district, or subnational government entities under such authority.”

“(4) EXCEPTION.—This subsection shall not apply—

“(A) with respect to section 620A of this Act or any comparable provision of law prohibiting assistance to countries that support international terrorism; or

“(B) with respect to section 116 of this Act or any comparable provision of law prohibiting assistance to the government of a country that violates internationally recognized human rights.”

(b) PUBLIC LAW 480.—During fiscal year 2011, restrictions contained in this or any other Act with respect to assistance for a country shall not be construed to restrict assistance under the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954: *Provided*, That none of the funds appropriated to carry out title I of such Act and made available pursuant to this subsection may be obligated or expended except as provided through the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

IMPACT ON JOBS IN THE UNITED STATES

SEC. 7029. None of the funds appropriated under titles III through VI of this Act may be obligated or expended to provide—

(1) any financial incentive to a business enterprise currently located in the United States for the purpose of inducing such an enterprise to relocate outside the United States if such incentive or inducement is likely to reduce the number of employees of such business enterprise in the United States because United States production is being replaced by such enterprise outside the United States; or

(2) assistance for any program, project, or activity that contributes to the violation of internationally recognized workers rights, as defined in section 507(4) of the Trade Act of 1974, of workers in the recipient country, including any designated zone or area in that country: *Provided*, That the application of section 507(4)(D) and (E) of such Act should be commensurate with the level of development of the recipient country and sector, and shall not preclude assistance for the informal sector in such country, micro and small-scale enterprise, and smallholder agriculture.

INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

SEC. 7030. (a) None of the funds appropriated in title V of this Act may be made as payment to any international financial institution while the United States executive director to such institution is compensated by

the institution at a rate which, together with whatever compensation such executive director receives from the United States, is in excess of the rate provided for an individual occupying a position at level IV of the Executive Schedule under section 5315 of title 5, United States Code, or while any alternate United States executive director to such institution is compensated by the institution at a rate in excess of the rate provided for an individual occupying a position at level V of the Executive Schedule under section 5316 of title 5, United States Code.

(b) The Secretary of the Treasury shall instruct the United States executive director of each international financial institution to oppose any loan, grant, strategy or policy of such institution that would require user fees or service charges on poor people for primary education or primary healthcare, including prevention, care and treatment for HIV/AIDS, malaria, tuberculosis, and infant, child, and maternal health, in connection with such institution's financing programs.

(c) The Secretary of the Treasury shall instruct the United States Executive Director of the International Monetary Fund (the Fund) to use the voice and vote of the United States to oppose any loan, project, agreement, memorandum, instrument, plan, or other program of the Fund to a Heavily Indebted Poor Country that imposes budget caps or restraints that do not allow the maintenance of or an increase in governmental spending on health care or education; and to promote government spending on healthcare, education, food aid, or other critical safety net programs in all of the Fund's activities with respect to Heavily Indebted Poor Countries.

DEBT-FOR-DEVELOPMENT

SEC. 7031. In order to enhance the continued participation of nongovernmental organizations in debt-for-development and debt-for-nature exchanges, a nongovernmental organization which is a grantee or contractor of the United States Agency for International Development may place in interest bearing accounts local currencies which accrue to that organization as a result of economic assistance provided under title III of this Act and, subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations, any interest earned on such investment shall be used for the purpose for which the assistance was provided to that organization.

AUTHORITY TO ENGAGE IN DEBT BUYBACKS OR SALES

SEC. 7032. (a) LOANS ELIGIBLE FOR SALE, REDUCTION, OR CANCELLATION.—

(1) AUTHORITY TO SELL, REDUCE, OR CANCEL CERTAIN LOANS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the President may, in accordance with this section, sell to any eligible purchaser any concessional loan or portion thereof made before January 1, 1995, pursuant to the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, to the government of any eligible country as defined in section 702(6) of that Act or on receipt of payment from an eligible purchaser, reduce or cancel such loan or portion thereof, only for the purpose of facilitating—

(A) debt-for-equity swaps, debt-for-development swaps, or debt-for-nature swaps; or

(B) a debt buyback by an eligible country of its own qualified debt, only if the eligible country uses an additional amount of the local currency of the eligible country, equal to not less than 40 percent of the price paid for such debt by such eligible country, or the difference between the price paid for such debt and the face value of such debt, to sup-

port activities that link conservation and sustainable use of natural resources with local community development, and child survival and other child development, in a manner consistent with sections 707 through 710 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, if the sale, reduction, or cancellation would not contravene any term or condition of any prior agreement relating to such loan.

(2) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the President shall, in accordance with this section, establish the terms and conditions under which loans may be sold, reduced, or canceled pursuant to this section.

(3) ADMINISTRATION.—The Facility, as defined in section 702(8) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, shall notify the administrator of the agency primarily responsible for administering part I of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 of purchasers that the President has determined to be eligible, and shall direct such agency to carry out the sale, reduction, or cancellation of a loan pursuant to this section: *Provided*, That such agency shall make adjustment in its accounts to reflect the sale, reduction, or cancellation.

(4) LIMITATION.—The authorities of this subsection shall be available only to the extent that appropriations for the cost of the modification, as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, are made in advance.

(b) DEPOSIT OF PROCEEDS.—The proceeds from the sale, reduction, or cancellation of any loan sold, reduced, or canceled pursuant to this section shall be deposited in the United States Government account or accounts established for the repayment of such loan.

(c) ELIGIBLE PURCHASERS.—A loan may be sold pursuant to subsection (a)(1)(A) only to a purchaser who presents plans satisfactory to the President for using the loan for the purpose of engaging in debt-for-equity swaps, debt-for-development swaps, or debt-for-nature swaps.

(d) DEBTOR CONSULTATIONS.—Before the sale to any eligible purchaser, or any reduction or cancellation pursuant to this section, of any loan made to an eligible country, the President should consult with the country concerning the amount of loans to be sold, reduced, or canceled and their uses for debt-for-equity swaps, debt-for-development swaps, or debt-for-nature swaps.

(e) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—The authority provided by subsection (a) may be used only with regard to funds appropriated by this Act under the heading "Debt Restructuring".

SPECIAL DEBT RELIEF FOR THE POOREST

SEC. 7033. (a) AUTHORITY TO REDUCE DEBT.—The President may reduce amounts owed to the United States (or any agency of the United States) by an eligible country as a result of—

(1) guarantees issued under sections 221 and 222 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961;

(2) credits extended or guarantees issued under the Arms Export Control Act; or

(3) any obligation or portion of such obligation, to pay for purchases of United States agricultural commodities guaranteed by the Commodity Credit Corporation under export credit guarantee programs authorized pursuant to section 5(f) of the Commodity Credit Corporation Charter Act of June 29, 1948, as amended, section 4(b) of the Food for Peace Act of 1966, as amended (Public Law 89-808), or section 202 of the Agricultural Trade Act of 1978, as amended (Public Law 95-501).

(b) LIMITATIONS.—

(1) The authority provided by subsection (a) may be exercised only to implement multilateral official debt relief and referendum agreements, commonly referred to as "Paris Club Agreed Minutes".

(2) The authority provided by subsection (a) may be exercised only in such amounts or to such extent as is provided in advance by appropriations Acts.

(3) The authority provided by subsection (a) may be exercised only with respect to countries with heavy debt burdens that are eligible to borrow from the International Development Association, but not from the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, commonly referred to as "IDA-only" countries.

(c) CONDITIONS.—The authority provided by subsection (a) may be exercised only with respect to a country whose government—

(1) does not have an excessive level of military expenditures;

(2) has not repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism;

(3) is not failing to cooperate on international narcotics control matters;

(4) (including its military or other security forces) does not engage in a consistent pattern of gross violations of internationally recognized human rights; and

(5) is not ineligible for assistance because of the application of section 527 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1994 and 1995.

(d) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—The authority provided by subsection (a) may be used only with regard to the funds appropriated by this Act under the heading "Debt Restructuring".

(e) CERTAIN PROHIBITIONS INAPPLICABLE.—A reduction of debt pursuant to subsection (a) shall not be considered assistance for the purposes of any provision of law limiting assistance to a country: *Provided*, That the authority provided by subsection (a) may be exercised notwithstanding section 620(r) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 or section 321 of the International Development and Food Assistance Act of 1975.

SPECIAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 7034. (a) AFGHANISTAN, PAKISTAN, IRAQ, LEBANON, VICTIMS OF WAR, DISPLACED CHILDREN, AND DISPLACED BURMESE.—Funds appropriated under titles III through VI of this Act that are made available for assistance for Afghanistan may be made available notwithstanding section 7012 of this Act or any similar provision of law and section 660 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, and funds appropriated under titles III and VI of this Act that are made available for assistance for Pakistan, Iraq, and Lebanon and for victims of war, displaced children, displaced Burmese, and to assist victims of trafficking in persons and, subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations, to combat such trafficking, may be made available notwithstanding any other provision of law.

(b) WAIVER.—

(1) The President may waive the provisions of section 1003 of Public Law 100-204 if the President determines and certifies in writing to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the President pro tempore of the Senate, and the Committees on Appropriations that it is important to the national security interests of the United States.

(2) PERIOD OF APPLICATION OF WAIVER.—Any waiver pursuant to paragraph (1) shall be effective for no more than a period of 6 months at a time and shall not apply beyond 12 months after the enactment of this Act.

(c) SMALL BUSINESS.—In entering into multiple award indefinite-quantity contracts

with funds appropriated by this Act, the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) may provide an exception to the fair opportunity process for placing task orders under such contracts when the order is placed with any category of small or small disadvantaged business.

(d) **AUTHORITY REPEALED.**—Section 564(g)(4) of Public Law 106-429 and section 3204(f) of division B of Public Law 106-246, as amended, are hereby repealed.

(e) **RECONSTITUTING CIVILIAN POLICE AUTHORITY.**—In providing assistance with funds appropriated by this Act under section 660(b)(6) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, support for a nation emerging from instability may be deemed to mean support for regional, district, municipal, or other subnational entity emerging from instability, as well as a nation emerging from instability.

(f) **EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.**—The Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 1990 (Public Law 101-167) is amended—

(1) In section 599D (8 U.S.C. 1157 note)—

(A) in subsection (b)(3), by striking “and 2010” and inserting “2010, and 2011”; and

(B) in subsection (e), by striking “2010” each place it appears and inserting “2011”; and

(2) in section 599E (8 U.S.C. 1255 note) in subsection (b)(2), by striking “2010” and inserting “2011”.

(g) **WORLD FOOD PROGRAM.**—Of the funds managed by the Bureau for Democracy, Conflict, and Humanitarian Assistance, USAID, from this or any other Act, \$10,000,000 shall be made available as a general contribution to the World Food Program, notwithstanding any other provision of law.

(h) **DISARMAMENT, DEMOBILIZATION AND REINTEGRATION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, regulation or Executive order, funds appropriated by this Act and prior Acts making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs under the headings “Economic Support Fund”, “Peacekeeping Operations”, “International Disaster Assistance”, and “Transition Initiatives” may be made available to support programs to disarm, demobilize, and reintegrate into civilian society former members of foreign terrorist organizations: *Provided*, That the Secretary of State shall consult with the Committees on Appropriations prior to the obligation of funds pursuant to this subsection: *Provided further*, That for the purposes of this subsection the term “foreign terrorist organization” means an organization designated as a terrorist organization under section 219 of the Immigration and Nationality Act.

(i) **PERSONNEL.**—The authority provided by section 1113 of Public Law 111-32 shall remain in effect through fiscal year 2011: *Provided*, That none of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act or any other Act making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs may be used to implement phase 3 of such authority.

(j) **CONTINGENCIES.**—During fiscal year 2011, the President may use up to \$75,000,000 under the authority of section 451 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, notwithstanding any other provision of law.

(k) **CONSOLIDATION OF REPORTS.**—The Secretary of State, in coordination with the USAID Administrator, shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act recommendations for the consolidation or combination of reports (including plans and strategies) that are called for by any provi-

sion of law to be submitted to the Congress and that are substantially duplicative of others called for by any other provision of law: *Provided*, That reports are considered “substantially duplicative” if they are required to address at least more than half of the same substantive factors, criteria and issues that are required to be addressed by any other report, and any such consolidated report must address all the substantive factors, criteria and issues required to be addressed in each of the individual reports: *Provided further*, That reports affected by this subsection are those within the purview of, or prepared primarily by, the Department of State and USAID and that relate to matters addressed under this Act or any other Act authorizing or appropriating funds for use by, or actions of, the Department of State or USAID.

(l) **PROGRAM FOR RESEARCH AND TRAINING ON EASTERN EUROPE AND THE INDEPENDENT STATES OF THE FORMER SOVIET UNION.**—Of the funds appropriated by this Act under the heading, “Economic Support Fund”, not less than \$5,000,000 shall be made available to carry out the Program for Research and Training on Eastern Europe and the Independent States of the Former Soviet Union (title VIII) as authorized by the Soviet-Eastern European Research and Training Act of 1983 (22 U.S.C. 4501-4508, as amended).

(m) **INTERNATIONAL FUND FOR IRELAND.**—Of the funds appropriated under the heading “Economic Support Fund” in this Act, \$15,000,000 shall be made available for the United States contribution to the International Fund for Ireland to carry out the provisions of chapter 4 of part II of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 in accordance with the provisions of the Anglo-Irish Agreement Support Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-415): *Provided*, That such amount shall be expended at the minimum rate necessary to make timely payment for projects and activities.

(n) **DEMOCRACY PROMOTION.**—

(1) Funds made available by this Act that are made available for the promotion of democracy may be made available notwithstanding any other provision of law, and with regard to the National Endowment for Democracy, any regulation.

(2) For the purposes of funds appropriated by this Act, the term “promotion of democracy” means programs that support good governance, human rights, independent media, and the rule of law, and otherwise strengthen the capacity of democratic political parties, governments, nongovernmental organizations and institutions, and citizens to support the development of democratic states, institutions, and practices that are responsive and accountable to citizens.

(3) Any contract, grant, or cooperative agreement (or any amendment to any contract, grant or cooperative agreement) in excess of \$1,000,000 of funds under the heading “Democracy Fund”, and in excess of \$1,000,000 under other headings in this Act for the promotion of democracy, with the exception of programs and activities of the National Endowment for Democracy, shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

(4) With respect to the provision of assistance for democracy, human rights and governance activities in this Act, the organizations implementing such assistance and the specific nature of that assistance shall not be subject to the prior approval by the government of any foreign country.

(5) Of the funds appropriated under title III of this Act that are made available for the

promotion of democracy, up to \$20,000,000 shall be made available to expand access to information and communications through the Internet, and shall be used for programs that provide unmonitored and uncensored access to the Internet for large numbers of users living in closed societies that have acutely hostile Internet environments: *Provided*, That such funds, and any unobligated funds appropriated in prior Acts making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations and related programs for Internet freedom, shall not be obligated until the Secretary of State, in coordination with the USAID Administrator and the Broadcasting Board of Governors, submits to the Committees on Appropriations, in classified form if necessary, a detailed, multi-year strategy to promote Internet freedom abroad, including goals and objectives, funding data by Federal agency, program and fiscal year, and a detailed description of the following—

(A) mechanisms and tools, including censorship circumvention technology, to be used to promote expanded access and freedom via the Internet and other forms of connection technology, especially for people living in countries whose governments censor, monitor, distort, and restrict the Internet and other forms of media;

(B) the countries which will be focal points for such strategy, and an assessment of options to reach the largest number of people in each country;

(C) projected outcomes and metrics for measuring the impact and sustainability of programs established by such funds; and

(D) an assessment of the effectiveness of the uses of previously appropriated funds for this purpose.

(o) **ACCOUNTABILITY REVIEW BOARDS.**—The authority provided by section 301(a)(3) of the Omnibus Diplomatic Security and Antiterrorism Act of 1986 (22 U.S.C. 4831(a)(3)) shall remain in effect through September 30, 2011.

(p) **PARTNER VETTING.**—Funds appropriated by this Act may be used to implement a Partner Vetting System (PVS) pilot program, including necessary rulemaking: *Provided*, That any such PVS pilot program shall apply equally to the programs and activities of the Department of State and USAID: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of State and the USAID Administrator shall jointly consult with the Committees on Appropriations not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act on progress implementing the PVS pilot program, and preliminary results: *Provided further*, That such funds shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

(q) **MODIFICATION DATE OF REPORT.**—Section 102(b)(1) of the International Religious Freedom Act of 1998 (22 U.S.C. 6412(b)(1)) is amended by striking “September 1” and inserting “April 1”.

(r) **PROTECTIONS AND REMEDIES FOR EMPLOYEES OF DIPLOMATIC MISSIONS AND INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS.**—The Secretary of State shall implement section 203(a)(2) of the William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-457): *Provided*, That in determining whether to suspend the issuance of A-3 or G-5 visas to applicants seeking to work for officials of a diplomatic mission or international organization, the Secretary shall consider whether a final court judgment has been issued against a current or former employee of such mission or organization (and the time period for a final appeal

has expired) or whether the Department of State has requested that immunity of individual diplomats or family members be waived to permit criminal prosecution: *Provided further*, That the Secretary should continue to assist in obtaining payment of final court judgments awarded to A-3 and G-5 visa holders, including encouraging the sending states to provide compensation directly to victims: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall include, in a manner the Secretary deems appropriate, all trafficking cases involving A-3 or G-5 visa holders in the Trafficking in Persons annual report for which a final civil judgment has been issued (and the time period for final appeal has expired) or the Department of Justice has determined that the United States Government would seek to indict the diplomat or a family member but for diplomatic immunity.

(s) MODIFICATION OF AMENDMENT.—Section 620J of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (Limitation on Assistance to Security Forces) is amended as follows:

(1) by redesignating the section as section 620M;

(2) in subsection (a), by striking “evidence” and inserting “information” and by striking “gross violations” and inserting “a gross violation”; and

(3) by adding the following subsection:

“(d) CREDIBLE INFORMATION.—Not later than 180 days after the enactment of this section, the Secretary shall establish procedures to—

“(1) ensure that information about gross violations of human rights by units of the security forces of a foreign country is gathered and received (including from United States Government sources and from individuals and organizations outside the United States Government), maintained, and evaluated; and

“(2) identify the unit involved when credible information of a gross violation exists but the identity of the unit is lacking.”

(t) SECTIONS REPEALED.—Sections 494, 495, and 495B through 495K of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, and section 1511 of the Foreign Affairs Agencies Consolidation Act of 1998 (Public Law 105-277), are hereby repealed.

(u) MID-CAREER PILOT PROGRAM.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds appropriated under the heading “Diplomatic and Consular Programs” shall be made available for a pilot program to recruit, hire, and train up to 25 mid-career professionals for the Foreign Service: *Provided*, That the Secretary of State shall consult with the Committees on Appropriations on the parameters of such a pilot program.

(v) VIDEOCONFERENCE INTERVIEWS.—

(1) The Secretary of State shall develop and conduct a pilot program for the processing of tourist visas using secure remote videoconferencing technology as a method for conducting visa interviews of applicants, and shall work with other Federal agencies that use such secure communications to help ensure security of the videoconferencing transmission and encryption.

(2) Not later than 90 days after the end of the pilot program the Secretary of State shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations detailing the results of such program including recommendations on whether it should be continued, broadened, or modified.

(3) The Secretary of State may waive the requirement of paragraph (1) if the Secretary determines and reports to the Committees on Appropriations that such program poses an undue security risk, such that it cannot

be done in a manner consistent with maintaining security controls.

(w) ANNUITANT WAIVER.—

(1) Section 824(g) of the Foreign Service Act of 1980 (22 U.S.C. 4064(g)) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1)(B), by striking “to facilitate the” and all that follows through “Afghanistan.”;

(B) by striking paragraph (2); and

(C) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (2).

(2) Section 61 of the State Department Basic Authorities Act of 1956 (22 U.S.C. 2733) is amended in subsection (a)(2) by striking “2010” and inserting “2012”.

(3) Section 625 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2385) is amended in subsection (j)(1)(B) by striking “2010” and inserting “2012”.

(x) FEES.—

(1) Section 1(b)(2) of the Passport Act of June 4, 1920 (22 U.S.C. 214(b)(2)) is amended by striking “2010” and inserting instead “2011”.

(2) Section 410(a)(1)(A) of title IV of the Department of State and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1999 (contained in division A of Public Law 105-277) is amended by striking “a fee of \$13” and inserting “a fee of not to exceed half the amount of the fee that would otherwise apply for processing a machine readable combined border crossing identification card and non-immigrant visa, and may be increased not more than 50 percent in a fiscal year”.

(y) VICTIMS COMPENSATION.—Of the funds appropriated under the heading “Diplomatic and Consular Programs” in this Act, up to \$4,000,000 may be made available for the purposes described in the sixth proviso, under the terms and conditions of the seventh proviso, under such heading in division J of Public Law 110-161: *Provided*, That these funds are in addition to the amount previously appropriated for such purposes.

(z) TROPICAL FOREST PROGRAMS.—The second proviso of section 7081(d) of Public Law 111-117 is amended to read as follows: “*Provided further*, That Funds appropriated under title III of this Act for tropical forest programs shall be used for purposes including to implement and enforce section 8204 of Public Law 110-246, shall not be used to support or promote the expansion of industrial scale logging into primary tropical forests, and shall be subject to prior consultation with, and the regular notification procedures of, the Committees on Appropriations.”.

ARAB LEAGUE BOYCOTT OF ISRAEL

SEC. 7035. It is the sense of the Congress that—

(1) the Arab League boycott of Israel, and the secondary boycott of American firms that have commercial ties with Israel, is an impediment to peace in the region and to United States investment and trade in the Middle East and North Africa;

(2) the Arab League boycott, which was regrettably reinstated in 1997, should be immediately and publicly terminated, and the Central Office for the Boycott of Israel immediately disbanded;

(3) all Arab League states should normalize relations with their neighbor Israel;

(4) the President and the Secretary of State should continue to vigorously oppose the Arab League boycott of Israel and find concrete steps to demonstrate that opposition by, for example, taking into consideration the participation of any recipient country in the boycott when determining to sell weapons to said country; and

(5) the President should report to Congress annually on specific steps being taken by the

United States to encourage Arab League states to normalize their relations with Israel to bring about the termination of the Arab League boycott of Israel, including those to encourage allies and trading partners of the United States to enact laws prohibiting businesses from complying with the boycott and penalizing businesses that do comply.

PALESTINIAN STATEHOOD

SEC. 7036. (a) LIMITATION ON ASSISTANCE.—None of the funds appropriated under titles III through VI of this Act may be provided to support a Palestinian state unless the Secretary of State determines and certifies to the appropriate congressional committees that—

(1) the governing entity of a new Palestinian state—

(A) has demonstrated a firm commitment to peaceful co-existence with the State of Israel;

(B) is taking appropriate measures to counter terrorism and terrorist financing in the West Bank and Gaza, including the dismantling of terrorist infrastructures, and is cooperating with appropriate Israeli and other appropriate security organizations; and

(2) the Palestinian Authority (or the governing entity of a new Palestinian state) is working with other countries in the region to vigorously pursue efforts to establish a just, lasting, and comprehensive peace in the Middle East that will enable Israel and an independent Palestinian state to exist within the context of full and normal relationships, which should include—

(A) termination of all claims or states of belligerency;

(B) respect for and acknowledgment of the sovereignty, territorial integrity, and political independence of every state in the area through measures including the establishment of demilitarized zones;

(C) their right to live in peace within secure and recognized boundaries free from threats or acts of force;

(D) freedom of navigation through international waterways in the area; and

(E) a framework for achieving a just settlement of the refugee problem.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the governing entity should enact a constitution assuring the rule of law, an independent judiciary, and respect for human rights for its citizens, and should enact other laws and regulations assuring transparent and accountable governance.

(c) WAIVER.—The President may waive subsection (a) if he determines that it is important to the national security interests of the United States to do so.

(d) EXEMPTION.—The restriction in subsection (a) shall not apply to assistance intended to help reform the Palestinian Authority and affiliated institutions, or the governing entity, in order to help meet the requirements of subsection (a), consistent with the provisions of section 7040 of this Act (“Limitation on Assistance to the Palestinian Authority”).

RESTRICTIONS CONCERNING THE PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY

SEC. 7037. None of the funds appropriated under titles II through VI of this Act may be obligated or expended to create in any part of Jerusalem a new office of any department or agency of the United States Government for the purpose of conducting official United States Government business with the Palestinian Authority over Gaza and Jericho or any successor Palestinian governing entity

provided for in the Israel-PLO Declaration of Principles: *Provided*, That this restriction shall not apply to the acquisition of additional space for the existing Consulate General in Jerusalem: *Provided further*, That meetings between officers and employees of the United States and officials of the Palestinian Authority, or any successor Palestinian governing entity provided for in the Israel-PLO Declaration of Principles, for the purpose of conducting official United States Government business with such authority should continue to take place in locations other than Jerusalem: *Provided further*, That as has been true in the past, officers and employees of the United States Government may continue to meet in Jerusalem on other subjects with Palestinians (including those who now occupy positions in the Palestinian Authority), have social contacts, and have incidental discussions.

PROHIBITION ON ASSISTANCE TO THE PALESTINIAN BROADCASTING CORPORATION

SEC. 7038. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used to provide equipment, technical support, consulting services, or any other form of assistance to the Palestinian Broadcasting Corporation.

ASSISTANCE FOR THE WEST BANK AND GAZA

SEC. 7039. (a) OVERSIGHT.—For fiscal year 2011, 30 days prior to the initial obligation of funds for the bilateral West Bank and Gaza Program, the Secretary of State shall certify to the Committees on Appropriations that procedures have been established to assure the Comptroller General of the United States will have access to appropriate United States financial information in order to review the uses of United States assistance for the Program funded under the heading “Economic Support Fund” for the West Bank and Gaza.

(b) VETTING.—Prior to the obligation of funds appropriated by this Act under the heading “Economic Support Fund” for assistance for the West Bank and Gaza, the Secretary of State shall take all appropriate steps to ensure that such assistance is not provided to or through any individual, private or government entity, or educational institution that the Secretary knows or has reason to believe advocates, plans, sponsors, engages in, or has engaged in, terrorist activity nor, with respect to private entities or educational institutions, those that have as a principal officer of the entity’s governing board or governing board of trustees any individual that has been determined to be involved in, or advocating terrorist activity or determined to be a member of a designated foreign terrorist organization: *Provided*, That the Secretary of State shall, as appropriate, establish procedures specifying the steps to be taken in carrying out this subsection and shall terminate assistance to any individual, entity, or educational institution which the Secretary has determined to be involved in or advocating terrorist activity.

(c) PROHIBITION.—

(1) None of the funds appropriated under titles III through VI of this Act for assistance under the West Bank and Gaza Program may be made available for the purpose of recognizing or otherwise honoring individuals who commit, or have committed acts of terrorism.

(2) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, none of the funds made available by this or prior appropriations act, including funds made available by transfer, may be made available for obligation for security assistance for the West Bank and Gaza until the Secretary of State reports to the Commit-

tees on Appropriations on the benchmarks that have been established for security assistance for the West Bank and Gaza and reports on the extent of Palestinian compliance with such benchmarks.

(d) AUDITS.—

(1) The Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) shall ensure that Federal or non-Federal audits of all contractors and grantees, and significant subcontractors and subgrantees, under the West Bank and Gaza Program, are conducted at least on an annual basis to ensure, among other things, compliance with this section.

(2) Of the funds appropriated by this Act up to \$500,000 may be used by the Office of Inspector General of USAID for audits, inspections, and other activities in furtherance of the requirements of this subsection: *Provided*, That such funds are in addition to funds otherwise available for such purposes.

(e) Subsequent to the certification specified in subsection (a), the Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct an audit and an investigation of the treatment, handling, and uses of all funds for the bilateral West Bank and Gaza Program, including all funds provided as cash transfer assistance, in fiscal year 2011 under the heading “Economic Support Fund”, and such audit shall address—

(1) the extent to which such Program complies with the requirements of subsections (b) and (c); and

(2) an examination of all programs, projects, and activities carried out under such Program, including both obligations and expenditures.

(f) Funds made available in this Act for West Bank and Gaza shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

(g) Not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations updating the report contained in section 2106 of chapter 2 of title II of Public Law 109-13.

LIMITATION ON ASSISTANCE FOR THE PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY

SEC. 7040. (a) PROHIBITION OF FUNDS.—None of the funds appropriated by this Act to carry out the provisions of chapter 4 of part II of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 may be obligated or expended with respect to providing funds to the Palestinian Authority.

(b) WAIVER.—The prohibition included in subsection (a) shall not apply if the President certifies in writing to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the President pro tempore of the Senate, and the Committees on Appropriations that waiving such prohibition is important to the national security interests of the United States.

(c) PERIOD OF APPLICATION OF WAIVER.—Any waiver pursuant to subsection (b) shall be effective for no more than a period of 6 months at a time and shall not apply beyond 12 months after the enactment of this Act.

(d) REPORT.—Whenever the waiver authority pursuant to subsection (b) is exercised, the President shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations detailing the justification for the waiver, the purposes for which the funds will be spent, and the accounting procedures in place to ensure that the funds are properly disbursed: *Provided*, That the report shall also detail the steps the Palestinian Authority has taken to arrest terrorists, confiscate weapons and dismantle the terrorist infrastructure.

(e) CERTIFICATION.—If the President exercises the waiver authority under subsection

(b), the Secretary of State must certify and report to the Committees on Appropriations prior to the obligation of funds that the Palestinian Authority has established a single treasury account for all Palestinian Authority financing and all financing mechanisms flow through this account, no parallel financing mechanisms exist outside of the Palestinian Authority treasury account, and there is a single comprehensive civil service roster and payroll.

(f) PROHIBITION TO HAMAS AND THE PALESTINE LIBERATION ORGANIZATION.—

(1) None of the funds appropriated in titles III through VI of this Act may be obligated for salaries of personnel of the Palestinian Authority located in Gaza or may be obligated or expended for assistance to Hamas or any entity effectively controlled by Hamas or any power-sharing government of which Hamas is a member.

(2) Notwithstanding the limitation of subsection (1), assistance may be provided to a power-sharing government only if the President certifies and reports to the Committees on Appropriations that such government, including all of its ministers or such equivalent, has publicly accepted and is complying with the principles contained in section 620K(b)(1)(A) and (B) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended.

(3) The President may exercise the authority in section 620K(e) of the Foreign Assistance Act as added by the Palestinian Anti-Terrorism Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-446) with respect to this subsection.

(4) Whenever the certification pursuant to paragraph (2) is exercised, the Secretary of State shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations within 120 days of the certification and every quarter thereafter on whether such government, including all of its ministers or such equivalent are continuing to comply with the principles contained in section 620K(b)(1)(A) and (B) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended: *Provided*, That the report shall also detail the amount, purposes and delivery mechanisms for any assistance provided pursuant to the abovementioned certification and a full accounting of any direct support of such government.

(5) None of the funds appropriated under titles III through VI of this Act may be obligated for assistance for the Palestine Liberation Organization.

NEAR EAST

SEC. 7041. (a) IRAQ.—

(1) Funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act for assistance for Iraq shall be made available in a manner that utilizes Iraqi entities to the maximum extent practicable, and in accordance with the Department of State’s April 9, 2009 “Guidelines for Government of Iraq Financial Participation in United States Government-Funded Civilian Foreign Assistance Programs and Projects”.

(2) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used by the Government of the United States to enter into a permanent basing rights agreement between the United States and Iraq.

(3) Funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act for security-related programs in Iraq may only be made available if the Secretary of State certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that the Government of Iraq has committed to contributing to, and sustaining, such programs, including details on the manner in which such contributions and sustainment will be achieved.

(4) Of the funds appropriated by this Act for assistance for Iraq under the heading "Economic Support Fund", not less than \$10,000,000 shall be made available for programs and activities for which policy justifications and decisions shall be the responsibility of the United States Chief of Mission in Iraq.

(5) Not later than 45 days after enactment of this Act, and prior to the initial obligation of funds, the Secretary of State, in consultation with the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development, shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations a spending plan for funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act for assistance for Iraq, which shall include clear and achievable goals and objectives, indicators and benchmarks for measuring progress, and expected results: *Provided*, That such plan shall not be considered as meeting the notification requirements under section 7015 of this Act or under section 634A of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961.

(b) LEBANON.—Funds appropriated under the heading "Foreign Military Financing Program" in this Act for assistance for Lebanon shall be made available only to professionalize the Lebanese Armed Forces and to strengthen border security and combat terrorism, including training and equipping the Lebanese Armed Forces to secure Lebanon's borders, interdicting arms shipments, preventing the use of Lebanon as a safe haven for terrorist groups, and to implement United Nations Security Council Resolution 1701: *Provided*, That funds may not be made available for obligation until the Secretary of State provides the Committees on Appropriations a detailed spending plan: *Provided further*, That such plan shall not be considered as meeting the notification requirements under section 7015 of this Act or under section 634A of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961.

(c) MIDDLE EAST PEACE.—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations a strategy for curbing incitement and promoting tolerance in the Middle East region: *Provided*, That funds appropriated or otherwise made available in this Act for the Middle East Partnership Initiative should be made available to implement such strategy, subject to prior consultation with, and the regular notification procedures of, the Committees on Appropriations.

(d) SAUDI ARABIA.—Section 7041 in division F of Public Law 111-117 shall continue in effect during fiscal year 2011 and shall apply as if part of this Act.

(e) WEST BANK AND GAZA.—The reporting requirements regarding the United Nations Relief and Works Agency contained in the joint explanatory statement accompanying the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111-32) under the heading "Migration and Refugee Assistance" in title XI shall apply to funds made available by this Act under such heading.

IRAN SANCTIONS

SEC. 7042. (a) The declaration of policy in section 3 of the Iran Sanctions Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-172) is incorporated herein.

(b) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available in title VI of this Act under the heading "Export-Import Bank of the United States" may be used by the Export-Import Bank of the United States to provide any new financing (including loans, guarantees, other credits, insurance, and reinsurance) to any person that is subject to

sanctions under paragraph (2) or (3) of section 5(a) of the Iran Sanctions Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-172).

(c) The reporting requirement in section 7043(c)(2) in division F of Public Law 111-117 shall continue in effect during fiscal year 2011 as if part of this Act.

AIRCRAFT TRANSFER AND COORDINATION

SEC. 7043. (a) TRANSFER AUTHORITY.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law or regulation, aircraft procured with funds appropriated by this Act and prior Acts making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs under the headings "Diplomatic and Consular Programs", "International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement", "Andean Counterdrug Initiative" and "Andean Counterdrug Programs" may be used for any other program and in any region, including for the transportation of active and standby Civilian Response Corps personnel and equipment during a deployment: *Provided*, That the responsibility for policy decisions and justification for the use of such transfer authority shall be the responsibility of the Secretary of State and the Deputy Secretary of State and this responsibility shall not be delegated.

(b) PROPERTY DISPOSAL.—The authority provided in subsection (a) shall apply only after a determination by the Secretary of State to the Committees on Appropriations that the equipment is no longer required to meet programmatic purposes in the designated country or region: *Provided*, That any such transfer shall be subject to prior consultation with, and the regular notification procedures of, the Committees on Appropriations.

(c) AIRCRAFT COORDINATION.—

(1) Aircraft purchased or leased by the Department of State and the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) with funds made available in this Act or prior Acts making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs shall be coordinated under the authority of the appropriate Chief of Mission: *Provided*, That such aircraft may be used to transport, on a reimbursable or non-reimbursable basis, Federal and non-Federal personnel supporting the Department of State and USAID programs and activities: *Provided further*, That official travel for other agencies for other purposes may be supported on a reimbursable basis, or without reimbursement when traveling on a space available basis.

(2) The requirement and authorities of this subsection shall only apply to aircraft, the primary purpose of which is the transportation of personnel.

WESTERN HEMISPHERE

SEC. 7044. (a) TRADE CAPACITY.—Of the funds appropriated by this Act, not less than \$10,000,000 under the heading "Development Assistance" and not less than \$10,000,000 under the heading "Economic Support Fund" shall be made available for labor and environmental capacity building activities relating to free trade agreements with countries of Central America, Peru and the Dominican Republic.

(b) ASSISTANCE FOR HAITI.—

(1) The Government of Haiti shall be eligible to purchase defense articles and services under the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2751 et seq.), for the Coast Guard.

(2) Funds appropriated under the heading "Economic Support Fund" in this Act that are made available for assistance for Haiti shall be made available, to the maximum ex-

tent practicable, in a manner that emphasizes the participation and leadership of Haitian civil society organizations and directly improves the security, economic and social well-being, and political status, of Haitian women and girls.

(3) None of the funds made available by this Act under the heading "International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement" may be used to transfer excess weapons or ammunition of an agency of the United States Government to any individual or unit of the Haitian National Police if the Secretary of State has credible information that such individual or unit has committed a gross violation of internationally recognized human rights or other serious crime.

(c) CARIBBEAN BASIN SECURITY INITIATIVE.—

(1) Of the funds appropriated by this Act, not more than \$59,900,000 shall be made available for the Caribbean Basin Security Initiative (CBSI), of which not more than \$16,000,000 shall be funds appropriated under the heading "Foreign Military Financing Program" to support military reform and air and maritime operations: *Provided*, That a priority of the CBSI should be to build the capacity and professionalism of civilian police and judicial institutions: *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available under this subsection shall be made available for budget support or as cash payments.

(2) SPENDING PLAN.—Not later than 45 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and prior to the initial obligation of funds, the Secretary of State shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations a detailed spending plan for the countries of the Caribbean Basin which shall include clear and achievable goals and objectives, indicators and benchmarks for measuring progress, and expected results: *Provided*, That such plan shall not be considered as meeting the notification requirements under section 7015 of this Act or under section 634A of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961.

(3) DEFINITION.—For the purposes of this subsection, "Caribbean Basin Security Initiative" and "countries of the Caribbean Basin" include Antigua and Barbuda, The Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Dominica, Dominican Republic, Grenada, Guyana, Haiti, Jamaica, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Suriname, and Trinidad and Tobago.

(d) ASSISTANCE FOR GUATEMALA.—

(1) Of the funds appropriated in this Act under the heading "International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement" not less than \$4,000,000 shall be made available for a United States contribution to the International Commission Against Impunity in Guatemala.

(2) None of the funds appropriated under the headings "International Military Education and Training" and "Foreign Military Financing Program" may be made available for assistance for the Guatemalan Army, except that such funds may be made available for the Army Corps of Engineers only to improve disaster response capabilities and to participate in international peacekeeping operations.

(e) ASSISTANCE FOR MEXICO.—

(1) PROHIBITION.—None of the funds made available in this Act for assistance for Mexico may be made available for budget support or as cash payments.

(2) APPLICABILITY OF FISCAL YEAR 2009 PROVISIONS.—The provisions of paragraphs (1) through (3) of section 7045(e) of the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2009 (division H of Public Law 111-8) shall apply to

funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act for assistance for Mexico, and the report required in that section shall be based on a written determination by the Secretary of State of compliance with each of the requirements in those paragraphs: *Provided*, That the spending plan required in that section shall not be considered as meeting the notification requirements under section 7015 of this Act or under section 634A of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961.

(f) ASSISTANCE FOR THE COUNTRIES OF CENTRAL AMERICA.—

(1) PROHIBITION.—None of the funds made available in this Act for the countries of Central America may be made available for budget support or as cash payments.

(2) APPLICABILITY OF FISCAL YEAR 2009 PROVISIONS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph B, the provisions of paragraphs (1) through (3) of section 7045(f) of the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2009 (division H of Public Law 111-8) shall apply to funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act for assistance for countries of Central America.

(B) EXCEPTION.—Section 7045(f)(1) of division H of Public Law 111-8 is amended by striking “and ‘Foreign Military Financing Program’”.

(3) DEFINITION.—For the purposes of this subsection, the term “countries of Central America” means Belize, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, and Panama.

(g) AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE.—To the maximum extent practicable, the costs of operations and maintenance, including fuel, of aircraft funded by this Act should be borne by the recipient country.

COLOMBIA

SEC. 7045. (a) ASSISTANCE.—

(1) Funds appropriated by this Act and made available to the Department of State for counter-narcotics or other law enforcement assistance for the Government of Colombia may be used to support a unified campaign against narcotics trafficking and organizations designated as Foreign Terrorist Organizations and successor organizations, and to take actions to protect human health and welfare in emergency circumstances, including undertaking rescue operations: *Provided*, That no United States Armed Forces personnel or United States civilian contractor employed by the United States will participate in any combat operation in connection with assistance made available by this Act for Colombia: *Provided further*, That rotary and fixed-wing aircraft supported with funds appropriated under the heading “International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement” for assistance for Colombia may be used for aerial or manual drug eradication and interdiction, including to transport personnel and supplies and to provide security for such operations, if the Secretary of State determines that voluntary eradication, combined with alternative development programs, including access to land, markets and social services, is not feasible in such areas: *Provided further*, That such aircraft may also be used to provide transport in support of alternative development programs and investigations by civilian judicial authorities: *Provided further*, That the President shall ensure that if any helicopter procured with funds in this Act or prior Acts making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs, is used to aid or abet the operations of any illegal self-defense group,

paramilitary organization, illegal security cooperative or successor organizations in Colombia, such helicopter shall be immediately returned to the United States: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated by this Act or prior Acts making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs may be made available for assistance for the Colombian Departamento Administrativo de Seguridad or successor organizations.

(2) Of the funds available under the heading “International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement” for the Colombian national police for the procurement of chemicals for aerial coca and poppy eradication programs, not more than 20 percent of such funds may be made available for such eradication programs unless the Secretary of State certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that: (1) the herbicide is being used in accordance with Environmental Protection Agency label requirements for comparable use in the United States and with Colombian laws; and (2) the herbicide, in the manner it is being used, does not pose unreasonable risks or adverse effects to humans or the environment, including endemic species: *Provided*, That such funds may not be made available unless the Secretary of State certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that any complaints of harm to health or licit crops caused by such aerial eradication are thoroughly investigated and evaluated, and fair compensation is being paid in a timely manner for meritorious claims: *Provided further*, That such funds may not be made available for such purposes unless programs are being implemented by the United States Agency for International Development, the Government of Colombia, or other organizations, in consultation and coordination with local communities, to provide alternative sources of income in areas where security permits for small-acreage growers and communities whose illicit crops are targeted for aerial eradication: *Provided further*, That none of the funds appropriated by this Act for assistance for Colombia shall be made available for the cultivation or processing of African oil palm, if doing so would contribute to significant loss of native species, disrupt or contaminate natural water sources, reduce local food security, or cause the forced displacement of local people: *Provided further*, That funds appropriated by this Act may not be used for aerial eradication in Colombia’s national parks or reserves unless the Secretary of State certifies to the Committees on Appropriations on a case-by-case basis that there are no effective alternatives and the eradication is conducted in accordance with Colombian laws.

(b) APPLICABILITY OF FISCAL YEAR 2009 PROVISIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the provisions of subsections (b) through (f) of section 7046 of the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2009 (division H of Public Law 111-8), as amended by section 7046 (b)(2)(A) of division F of Public Law 111-117, shall apply to funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act for assistance for Colombia.

(2) EXCEPTIONS.—The following provisions of section 7046 of division H of Public Law 111-8 shall apply to funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act for assistance for Colombia as follows:

(A) Subsection (b)(1)(B) is amended as follows:

(i) By striking clause (i) and inserting the following:

“(i) The Colombian Armed Forces are suspending those members, of whatever rank, who have been credibly alleged to have violated internationally recognized human rights, or to have aided, abetted or benefitted from paramilitary organizations or successor armed groups; all such cases are promptly referred to civilian jurisdiction for investigation and prosecution, and the Colombian Armed Forces are no longer opposing civilian judicial jurisdiction in such cases; and the Colombian Armed Forces are cooperating fully with civilian prosecutors and judicial authorities.”.

(ii) By striking clause (iv) and inserting the following:

“(iv) The Government of Colombia is respecting the rights of human rights defenders, journalists, trade unionists, and other social activists, and the rights and territory of indigenous and Afro-Colombian communities; and the Colombian Armed Forces are implementing procedures to distinguish between civilians, including displaced persons, and combatants, in their operations.”.

(B) Subsection (b)(2) shall be applied by substituting “July 31, 2011” for the date contained therein;

(C) Subsection (c) shall be applied by substituting “September 30, 2011” for the date contained therein; and

(D) Subsection (d)(1) shall be applied—

(i) by substituting “18,000,000” for the dollar amount contained therein; and

(ii) by substituting “fiscal year 2011” for the fiscal year contained therein.

SERBIA

SEC. 7046. (a) Funds appropriated by this Act may be made available for assistance for the central Government of Serbia after May 31, 2011, if the Secretary of State has submitted the report required in subsection (c).

(b) After May 31, 2011, the Secretary of the Treasury should instruct the United States executive directors of the international financial institutions to support loans and assistance to the Government of Serbia subject to the condition in subsection (c).

(c) The report referred to in subsection (a) is a report by the Secretary of State to the Committees on Appropriations that the Government of Serbia is cooperating with the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia including access to investigators, the provision of documents, timely information on the location, movement, and sources of financial support of indictees, and the surrender and transfer of indictees or assistance in their apprehension, including Ratko Mladic and Goran Hadzic.

(d) This section shall not apply to humanitarian assistance or assistance to promote democracy.

COMMUNITY-BASED POLICE ASSISTANCE

SEC. 7047. (a) AUTHORITY.—Funds made available by titles III and IV of this Act to carry out the provisions of chapter 1 of part I and chapters 4 and 6 of part II of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, may be used, notwithstanding section 660 of that Act, to enhance the effectiveness and accountability of civilian police authority through training and technical assistance in human rights, prevention and response to gender-based violence, rule of law, anti-corruption, strategic planning, and through assistance to foster civilian police roles that support democratic governance including assistance for programs to prevent conflict, respond to disasters, address gender-based violence, and foster improved police relations with the communities they serve.

(b) NOTIFICATION.—Assistance provided under subsection (a) shall be subject to prior

consultation with, and the regular notification procedures of, the Committees on Appropriations.

PROHIBITION OF PAYMENTS TO UNITED NATIONS MEMBERS

SEC. 7048. None of the funds appropriated or made available pursuant to titles III through VI of this Act for carrying out the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, may be used to pay in whole or in part any assessments, arrearages, or dues of any member of the United Nations or, from funds appropriated by this Act to carry out chapter 1 of part I of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, the costs for participation of another country's delegation at international conferences held under the auspices of multilateral or international organizations.

WAR CRIMES TRIBUNALS DRAWDOWN

SEC. 7049. If the President determines that doing so will contribute to a just resolution of charges regarding genocide or other violations of international humanitarian law, the President may direct a drawdown pursuant to section 552(c) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 of up to \$30,000,000 of commodities and services for the United Nations War Crimes Tribunal established with regard to the former Yugoslavia by the United Nations Security Council or such other tribunals or commissions as the Council may establish or authorize to deal with such violations, without regard to the ceiling limitation contained in paragraph (2) thereof: *Provided*, That the determination required under this section shall be in lieu of any determinations otherwise required under section 552(c): *Provided further*, That funds made available pursuant to this section shall be made available subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

PEACEKEEPING

SEC. 7050. (a) MISSIONS.—None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by title I of this Act may be used for any United Nations peacekeeping mission that will involve United States Armed Forces under the command or operational control of a foreign national, unless the President's military advisors have submitted to the President a recommendation that such involvement is in the national interests of the United States and the President has submitted to the Congress such a recommendation.

(b) ASSESSMENT.—Section 404(b)(2)(B)(vi) of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1994 and 1995 (22 U.S.C. 287e note) is amended to read as follows:

“(vi) For assessments made during calendar year 2010 and 2011, 27.3 percent.”.

ATTENDANCE AT INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCES

SEC. 7051. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to send or otherwise pay for the attendance of more than 50 employees of agencies or departments of the United States Government who are stationed in the United States, at any single international conference occurring outside the United States, unless the Secretary of State reports to the Committees on Appropriations that such attendance is in the national interest: *Provided*, That for purposes of this section the term “international conference” shall mean a conference attended by representatives of the United States Government and of foreign governments, international organizations, or nongovernmental organizations.

RESTRICTIONS ON UNITED NATIONS DELEGATIONS

SEC. 7052. None of the funds made available under title I of this Act may be used to pay

expenses for any United States delegation to any specialized agency, body, or commission of the United Nations if such commission is chaired or presided over by a country, the government of which the Secretary of State has determined, for purposes of section 6(j)(1) of the Export Administration Act of 1979 (50 U.S.C. App. 2405(j)(1)), supports international terrorism.

PARKING FINES AND REAL PROPERTY TAXES OWED BY FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS

SEC. 7053. (a) Subject to subsection (c), of the funds appropriated under titles III through VI of this Act that are made available for assistance for a foreign country, an amount equal to 110 percent of the total amount of the unpaid fully adjudicated parking fines and penalties and unpaid property taxes owed by the central government of such country shall be withheld from obligation for assistance for the central government of such country until the Secretary of State submits a certification to the Committees on Appropriations stating that such parking fines and penalties and unpaid property taxes are fully paid.

(b) Funds withheld from obligation pursuant to subsection (a) may be made available for other programs or activities funded by this Act, after consultation with and subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations, provided that no such funds shall be made available for assistance for the central government of a foreign country that has not paid the total amount of the fully adjudicated parking fines and penalties and unpaid property taxes owed by such country.

(c) Subsection (a) shall not include amounts that have been withheld under any other provision of law.

(d)(1) The Secretary of State may waive the requirements set forth in subsection (a) with respect to parking fines and penalties no sooner than 60 days from the date of enactment of this Act, or at any time with respect to a particular country, if the Secretary determines that it is in the national interests of the United States to do so.

(2) The Secretary of State may waive the requirements set forth in subsection (a) with respect to the unpaid property taxes if the Secretary of State determines that it is in the national interests of the United States to do so.

(e) Not later than 6 months after the initial exercise of the waiver authority in subsection (d), the Secretary of State, after consultations with the City of New York, shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations describing a strategy, including a timetable and steps currently being taken, to collect the parking fines and penalties and unpaid property taxes and interest owed by nations receiving foreign assistance under this Act.

(f) In this section:

(1) The term “fully adjudicated” includes circumstances in which the person to whom the vehicle is registered—

(A)(i) has not responded to the parking violation summons; or

(ii) has not followed the appropriate adjudication procedure to challenge the summons; and

(B) the period of time for payment of or challenge to the summons has lapsed.

(2) The term “parking fines and penalties” means parking fines and penalties—

(A) owed to—

(i) the District of Columbia; or

(ii) New York, New York; and

(B) incurred during the period April 1, 1997, through September 30, 2010.

(3) The term “unpaid property taxes” means the amount of unpaid taxes and interest determined to be owed by a foreign country on real property in the District of Columbia or New York, New York in a court order or judgment entered against such country by a court of the United States or any State or subdivision thereof.

LANDMINES AND CLUSTER MUNITIONS

SEC. 7054. (a) LANDMINES.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, demining equipment available to the United States Agency for International Development and the Department of State and used in support of the clearance of landmines and unexploded ordnance for humanitarian purposes may be disposed of on a grant basis in foreign countries, subject to such terms and conditions as the President may prescribe.

(b) CLUSTER MUNITIONS.—No military assistance shall be furnished for cluster munitions, no defense export license for cluster munitions may be issued, and no cluster munitions or cluster munitions technology shall be sold or transferred, unless—

(1) the submunitions of the cluster munitions, after arming, do not result in more than 1 percent unexploded ordnance across the range of intended operational environments; and

(2) the agreement applicable to the assistance, transfer, or sale of such cluster munitions or cluster munitions technology specifies that the cluster munitions will only be used against clearly defined military targets and will not be used where civilians are known to be present or in areas normally inhabited by civilians.

PROHIBITION ON PUBLICITY OR PROPAGANDA

SEC. 7055. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall be used for publicity or propaganda purposes within the United States not authorized before the date of the enactment of this Act by the Congress: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$25,000 may be made available to carry out the provisions of section 316 of Public Law 96-533.

LIMITATION ON RESIDENCE EXPENSES

SEC. 7056. Of the funds appropriated or made available pursuant to title II of this Act, not to exceed \$100,500 shall be for official residence expenses of the United States Agency for International Development during the current fiscal year: *Provided*, That appropriate steps shall be taken to assure that, to the maximum extent possible, United States-owned foreign currencies are utilized in lieu of dollars.

UNITED STATES AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 7057. (a) AUTHORITY.—Up to \$93,000,000 of the funds made available in title III of this Act to carry out the provisions of part I of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, including funds appropriated under the heading “Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia”, may be used by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) to hire and employ individuals in the United States and overseas on a limited appointment basis pursuant to the authority of sections 308 and 309 of the Foreign Service Act of 1980.

(b) RESTRICTIONS.—

(1) The number of individuals hired in any fiscal year pursuant to the authority contained in subsection (a) may not exceed 175.

(2) The authority to hire individuals contained in subsection (a) shall expire on September 30, 2012.

(c) CONDITIONS.—The authority of subsection (a) should only be used to the extent

that an equivalent number of positions that are filled by personal services contractors or other nondirect hire employees of USAID, who are compensated with funds appropriated to carry out part I of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, including funds appropriated under the heading "Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia", are eliminated.

(d) **PRIORITY SECTORS.**—In exercising the authority of this section, primary emphasis shall be placed on enabling USAID to meet personnel positions in technical skill areas currently encumbered by contractor or other nondirect hire personnel.

(e) **CONSULTATIONS.**—The USAID Administrator shall consult with the Committees on Appropriations on a quarterly basis concerning the implementation of this section.

(f) **PROGRAM ACCOUNT CHARGED.**—The account charged for the cost of an individual hired and employed under the authority of this section shall be the account to which such individual's responsibilities primarily relate: *Provided*, That funds made available to carry out this section may be transferred to, and merged with, funds appropriated by this Act in title II under the heading "Operating Expenses".

(g) **FOREIGN SERVICE LIMITED EXTENSIONS.**—Individuals hired and employed by USAID, with funds made available in this Act or prior Acts making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs, pursuant to the authority of section 309 of the Foreign Service Act of 1980, may be extended for a period of up to 4 years notwithstanding the limitation set forth in such section.

(h) **JUNIOR OFFICER PLACEMENT AUTHORITY.**—Of the funds made available in subsection (a), USAID may use, in addition to funds otherwise available for such purposes, up to \$15,000,000 to fund overseas support costs of members of the Foreign Service with a Foreign Service rank of four or below: *Provided*, That such authority is only used to reduce USAID's reliance on overseas personal services contractors or other nondirect hire employees compensated with funds appropriated to carry out part I of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, including funds appropriated under the heading "Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia".

(i) **DISASTER SURGE CAPACITY.**—Funds appropriated under title III of this Act to carry out part I of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, including funds appropriated under the heading "Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia", may be used, in addition to funds otherwise available for such purposes, for the cost (including the support costs) of individuals detailed to or employed by USAID whose primary responsibility is to carry out programs in response to natural disasters.

(j) **TECHNICAL ADVISORS.**—Up to \$13,500,000 of the funds made available in title III of this Act for assistance under the heading "Global Health and Child Survival", may be used to reimburse United States Government agencies, agencies of State governments, institutions of higher learning, and private and voluntary organizations for the full cost of individuals (including for the personal services of such individuals) detailed or assigned to, or contracted by USAID for the purpose of carrying out activities under that heading: *Provided*, That up to \$3,500,000 of the funds made available by this Act for assistance under the heading "Development Assistance" may be used to reimburse such agencies, institutions, and organizations for such costs of such individuals carrying out other development assistance activities.

(k) **PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACTORS.**—Funds appropriated by this Act to carry out chapter 1 of part I, chapter 4 of part II, and section 667 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, and title II of the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954, may be used by USAID to employ up to 40 personal services contractors in the United States, notwithstanding any other provision of law, for the purpose of providing direct, interim support for new or expanded overseas programs and activities managed by the agency until permanent direct hire personnel are hired and trained: *Provided*, That not more than 10 of such contractors shall be assigned to any bureau or office: *Provided further*, That not more than 15 of such contractors shall be for activities related to USAID's Afghanistan or Pakistan program: *Provided further*, That such funds appropriated to carry out title II of the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954, may be made available only for personal services contractors assigned to the Office of Food for Peace.

(l) **HIRING AUTHORITY.**—Notwithstanding section 307 of the Foreign Service Act of 1980, the USAID Administrator may hire up to 85 individuals under the Development Leadership Initiative: *Provided*, That the authority contained in this subsection shall expire on September 30, 2012.

(m) **LOCALLY EMPLOYED STAFF.**—Of the funds appropriated under title II of this Act, up to \$1,000,000, in addition to funds otherwise made available for such purposes, may be made available for special compensation for overseas, locally employed staff.

(n) **SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE LIMITED APPOINTMENTS.**—Individuals hired pursuant to the authority provided by section 7059(o) of division F of Public Law 111-117 may be assigned to or support programs in Iraq, Afghanistan, or Pakistan with funds made available in this Act and prior Acts making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs.

GLOBAL HEALTH ACTIVITIES

SEC. 7058. Funds appropriated by title III of this Act that are made available for bilateral assistance for child survival activities or disease programs including activities relating to research on, and the prevention, treatment and control of, HIV/AIDS may be made available notwithstanding any other provision of law except for the provisions under the heading "Global Health and Child Survival" and the United States Leadership Against HIV/AIDS, Tuberculosis, and Malaria Act of 2003 (117 Stat. 711; 22 U.S.C. 7601 et seq.), as amended: *Provided*, That of the funds appropriated under title III of this Act, not less than \$710,000,000 shall be made available for family planning/reproductive health, including in areas where population growth threatens biodiversity or endangered species.

DEVELOPMENT GRANTS PROGRAM

SEC. 7059. Of the funds appropriated in title III of this Act, not less than \$45,000,000 shall be made available for the Development Grants Program established pursuant to section 674 of the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2008 (division J of Public Law 110-161), a significant portion of which is for unsolicited proposals, to support grants of not more than \$2,000,000 to small nongovernmental organizations: *Provided*, That funds made available under this section are in addition to other funds available for such purposes including funds designated by this Act by section 7063.

WOMEN IN DEVELOPMENT

SEC. 7060. (a) Programs funded under title III of this Act shall include, where appro-

priate, gender considerations in the planning, assessment, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of such programs.

(b) Funds made available under title III of this Act shall be made available to support programs to enhance economic opportunities for poor women in developing countries, including increasing the number and capacity of women-owned enterprises, improving property rights for women, increasing access to financial services, and improving women's ability to participate in the global economy.

(c) Funds made available under title III of this Act for food security and agricultural development shall take into consideration the unique needs of women, and technical assistance for women farmers should be a priority.

GENDER-BASED VIOLENCE

SEC. 7061. (a) Funds appropriated under the headings "Development Assistance", "Economic Support Fund", and "International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement" in this Act shall be made available for programs to address sexual and gender-based violence.

(b) Funds appropriated under the headings "International Disaster Assistance" and "Migration and Refugee Assistance" should be made available for gender-based violence prevention and response efforts, and to strengthen the capacity of nongovernmental organizations to address such violence.

(c) Programs and activities funded under titles III and IV of this Act to train foreign police, judicial, and military personnel, including for international peacekeeping operations, shall include, where appropriate, prevention and response to gender-based violence.

(d) The Secretary of State should seek to ensure that programs funded under titles III and IV of this Act are consistent with United Nations Security Council resolutions 1325, 1820 and 1888 in their design and implementation, as appropriate.

(e) The Secretary of State, in consultation with the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development, shall identify critical or widespread incidents of violence against women and girls in situations of armed conflict, develop emergency response measures, and consult with Congress on implementation plans.

EDUCATION

SEC. 7062. (a) **BASIC EDUCATION.**—

(1) Of the funds appropriated by title III of this Act, not less than \$925,000,000 should be made available for assistance for basic education, of which not less than \$355,000,000 shall be made available under the heading "Development Assistance": *Provided*, That funding provided under the headings "Development Assistance" and "Economic Support Fund" should be used to provide a continuity of assistance for basic education in humanitarian and other emergency situations.

(2) The United States Agency for International Development (USAID) shall ensure that programs supported by funding appropriated for basic education in this Act, and prior Acts, are integrated, as appropriate, with other health, agriculture and economic development funding, and provide a quality education: *Provided*, That schools supported by funding in this Act and in prior Acts should serve as "Communities of Learning" and should be the focal point for health, education and development activities, as appropriate.

(3) Of the funds appropriated by title III of this Act for basic education, up to \$25,000,000 shall be made available as a contribution to the Fast Track Initiative's Catalytic Fund.

(4) USAID shall serve as the coordinating agency for United States Government basic education programs globally.

(b) HIGHER EDUCATION.—Of the funds appropriated by title III of this Act, not less than \$225,000,000 shall be made available for assistance for higher education.

RECONCILIATION PROGRAMS

SEC. 7063. Of the funds appropriated by title III of this Act under the headings “Economic Support Fund” and “Development Assistance”, \$27,000,000 shall be made available to support people to people reconciliation programs which bring together individuals of different ethnic, religious and political backgrounds from areas of civil strife and war, of which \$11,000,000 shall be made available for such programs in the Middle East: *Provided*, That the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development shall consult with the Committees on Appropriations, prior to the initial obligation of funds, on the most effective uses of such funds.

COMPREHENSIVE EXPENDITURES REPORT

SEC. 7064. Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations detailing the total amount of United States Government expenditures in fiscal years 2009 and 2010, by Federal agency, for assistance programs and activities in each foreign country, identifying the line item as presented in the President’s Budget Appendix and the purpose for which the funds were provided: *Provided*, That if required, information may be submitted in classified form.

REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS

SEC. 7065. None of the funds appropriated or made available pursuant to titles III through VI of this Act shall be available to a nongovernmental organization, including any contractor, which fails to provide upon timely request any document, file, or record necessary to the auditing requirements of the United States Agency for International Development.

PROHIBITION ON USE OF TORTURE

SEC. 7066. (a) None of the funds made available in this Act shall be used in any way whatsoever to support or justify the use of torture, cruel or inhumane treatment by any official or contract employee of the United States Government.

(b) Not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations a report identifying those countries whose police, military, or other security forces use torture, as determined by the Assistant Secretary of State for Democracy, Human Rights and Labor based on the Department of State’s most recent Human Rights Report and other relevant information.

(c) Funds appropriated by this Act to carry out the provisions of chapters 1, 10, 11, and 12 of part I and chapter 4 of part II of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, and the Support for East European Democracy (SEED) Act of 1989, shall be made available, notwithstanding section 660 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, for assistance to help eliminate torture by foreign police, military or other security forces.

AFRICA

SEC. 7067. (a) EXPANDED INTERNATIONAL MILITARY EDUCATION AND TRAINING.—

(1) Funds appropriated under the heading “International Military Education and Training” in this Act that are made available for assistance for Angola, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Côte

d’Ivoire, Guinea and Zimbabwe may be made available only for training related to international peacekeeping operations and expanded international military education and training.

(2) None of the funds appropriated under the heading “International Military Education and Training” in this Act may be made available for assistance for Equatorial Guinea or Somalia.

(b) ETHIOPIA.—

(1) None of the funds appropriated by this Act under the heading “Foreign Military Financing Program” that are available for assistance for Ethiopia may be made available unless the Secretary of State—

(A) certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that the Government of Ethiopia is making significant efforts to respect due process and the rights of its citizens to peaceful expression and association, and is permitting access to independent human rights and humanitarian organizations to the Somalia region of Ethiopia; and

(B) submits a report to such Committees on the types and amounts of United States training and equipment proposed to be provided to the Ethiopian military including steps that will be taken to ensure that such assistance is not provided to military units or personnel that have violated internationally recognized human rights, and steps taken by the Government of Ethiopia to investigate and prosecute members of the Ethiopian military who have been credibly alleged to have violated such rights.

(2) The restriction in paragraph (1) shall not apply to assistance to Ethiopian military efforts in support of international peacekeeping operations and for assistance to the Ethiopian Defense Command and Staff College.

(c) CONFLICT MINERALS.—

(1) None of the funds appropriated by this Act under the heading “Foreign Military Financing Program” may be made available for assistance for Rwanda or Uganda if the Secretary of State has credible evidence that the Government of Rwanda or the Government of Uganda is providing political, military or financial support to armed groups in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) that are involved in the illegal exportation of minerals out of the DRC or have committed violations of internationally recognized human rights, including rape.

(2) The restriction in paragraph (1) shall not apply to assistance to improve border controls to prevent the illegal exportation of minerals out of the DRC by such groups, to protect relief efforts, or to support the training and deployment of members of the Rwandan or Ugandan militaries in international peacekeeping operations.

(d) SUDAN LIMITATION ON ASSISTANCE.—

(1) Subject to paragraph (2):

(A) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, none of the funds appropriated by this Act may be made available for assistance for the Government of Sudan.

(B) None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be made available for the cost, as defined in section 502, of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, of modifying loans and loan guarantees held by the Government of Sudan, including the cost of selling, reducing, or canceling amounts owed to the United States, and modifying concessional loans, guarantees, and credit agreements.

(2) Paragraph (1) shall not apply if the Secretary of State determines and certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that:

(A) The Government of Sudan honors its pledges to cease attacks upon civilians and

disarms and demobilizes the Janjaweed and other government-supported militias;

(B) The Government of Sudan and all government-supported militia groups are honoring their commitments made in all previous cease-fire agreements; and

(C) The Government of Sudan is allowing unimpeded access to Darfur to humanitarian aid organizations, the human rights investigation and humanitarian teams of the United Nations, including protection officers, and an international monitoring team that is based in Darfur and has the support of the United States.

(3) The provisions of paragraph (1) shall not apply to—

(A) humanitarian assistance;

(B) assistance for the Darfur region, Southern Sudan, Southern Kordofan/Nuba Mountains State, Blue Nile State, and Abyei; and

(C) assistance to support implementation of the Comprehensive Peace Agreement and the Darfur Peace Agreement or any other internationally recognized viable peace agreement in Sudan.

(4) For the purposes of this Act, the term “Government of Sudan” shall not include the Government of Southern Sudan.

(5) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, assistance in this Act may be made available to the Government of Southern Sudan to provide non-lethal military assistance, military education and training, and defense services controlled under the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (22 CFR 120.1 et seq.) if the Secretary of State—

(A) determines that the provision of such items is in the national interest of the United States; and

(B) not later than 15 days before the provision of any such assistance, notifies the Committees on Appropriations of such determination.

(e) SOUTHERN SUDAN.—The Secretary of State shall obtain regular audits of the financial accounts of the Government of Southern Sudan to ensure transparency and accountability of funds, including revenues from the extraction of oil and gas, and the public disclosure of such audits in a timely manner: *Provided*, That in determining amounts and types of United States assistance to make available to the Government of Southern Sudan, the Secretary shall consider the extent to which such government is ensuring transparency and accountability of funds: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall, as appropriate, assist the Government of Southern Sudan in conducting such audits, and shall submit a report not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act to the Committees on Appropriations detailing the steps that will be taken by the Government of Southern Sudan, which are additional to those which were taken in the previous fiscal year, to improve resource management and ensure transparency and accountability of funds.

(f) THE GAMBIA.—The Secretary of the Treasury shall instruct the United States executive directors of the international financial institutions to vote against any loan, agreement, or other financial support for The Gambia, except to meet basic human needs, unless the Secretary of State certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that the Government of The Gambia is making significant efforts to release and account for political prisoners, including Ebrimah Manneh.

(g) WAR CRIMES IN AFRICA.—

(1) The Congress reaffirms its support for the efforts of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR) and the Special

Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL) to bring to justice individuals responsible for war crimes and crimes against humanity in a timely manner.

(2) Funds appropriated by this Act, including funds for debt restructuring, may be made available for assistance for the central government of a country in which individuals indicted by ICTR and SCSL are credibly alleged to be living, if the Secretary of State determines and reports to the Committees on Appropriations that such government is cooperating with ICTR and SCSL, including the surrender and transfer of indictees in a timely manner: *Provided*, That this subsection shall not apply to assistance provided under section 551 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 or to project assistance under title VI of this Act: *Provided further*, That the United States shall use its voice and vote in the United Nations Security Council to fully support efforts by ICTR and SCSL to bring to justice individuals indicted by such tribunals in a timely manner.

(3) The prohibition in paragraph (2) may be waived on a country-by-country basis if the President determines that doing so is in the national security interest of the United States: *Provided*, That prior to exercising such waiver authority, the President shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations, in classified form if necessary, on—

(A) the steps being taken to obtain the co-operation of the government in surrendering the indictee in question to the court of jurisdiction;

(B) a strategy, including a timeline, for bringing the indictee before such court; and

(C) the justification for exercising the waiver authority.

(h) ZIMBABWE.—

(1) The Secretary of the Treasury shall instruct the United States executive director of each international financial institution to vote against any extension by the respective institution of any loans or grants to the Government of Zimbabwe, except to meet basic human needs or to promote democracy, unless the Secretary of State determines and reports in writing to the Committees on Appropriations that the rule of law has been restored in Zimbabwe, including respect for ownership and title to property, freedom of speech and association.

(2) None of the funds appropriated by this Act shall be made available for assistance for the central Government of Zimbabwe, except for health, education, and macroeconomic growth assistance, unless the Secretary of State makes the determination pursuant to paragraph (1).

ASIA

SEC. 7068. (a) TIBET.—

(1) The Secretary of the Treasury should instruct the United States executive director of each international financial institution to use the voice and vote of the United States to support projects in Tibet if such projects do not provide incentives for the migration and settlement of non-Tibetans into Tibet or facilitate the transfer of ownership of Tibetan land and natural resources to non-Tibetans; are based on a thorough needs-assessment; foster self-sufficiency of the Tibetan people and respect Tibetan culture and traditions; and are subject to effective monitoring.

(2) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, not less than \$7,500,000 of the funds appropriated by this Act under the heading “Economic Support Fund” should be made available to nongovernmental organizations to support activities which preserve cultural

traditions and promote sustainable development and environmental conservation in Tibetan communities in the Tibetan Autonomous Region and in other Tibetan communities in China.

(b) BURMA.—

(1) The Secretary of the Treasury shall instruct the United States executive director of each international financial institution to oppose and vote against the extension by such institution of any loan or financial or technical assistance or any other utilization of funds of the respective bank to and for Burma.

(2) Funds appropriated by this Act may be made available for assistance for Burma notwithstanding any other provision of law, except no such funds shall be made available to the State Peace and Development Council, or its successor, and its affiliated organizations: *Provided*, That such funds shall be made available to support programs in Burma, along Burma's borders, and for Burmese groups and organizations located outside Burma: *Provided further*, That not less than \$5,000,000 shall be made available for community-based organizations operating in Thailand to provide food, medical, and other humanitarian assistance to internally displaced persons in eastern Burma, in addition to assistance for Burmese refugees appropriated under the heading “Migration and Refugee Assistance” in this Act: *Provided further*, That any new program or activity initiated with funds made available by this Act shall be subject to prior consultation with the Committees on Appropriations, and all such funds shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

(c) CAMBODIA.—

(1) Funds made available in this Act for a United States contribution to a Khmer Rouge tribunal may only be made available if the Secretary of State certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that the United Nations and the Government of Cambodia are taking effective steps to address allegations of corruption and mismanagement within the tribunal.

(2) Not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations listing Cambodian officials known to have been involved in the decision to repatriate 20 Uigher asylum seekers from Cambodia to the People's Republic of China in December 2009: *Provided*, That such report shall be posted on the Department of State's public Web site not more than 7 days after such report is transmitted to Congress.

(d) INDONESIA.—

(1) Of the funds appropriated by this Act under the heading “Foreign Military Financing Program” that are available for assistance for Indonesia, \$2,000,000 may not be obligated until the Secretary of State submits to the Committees on Appropriations the report on Indonesia detailed under such heading in Senate Report 111-237: *Provided*, That such report shall include steps taken by the Government of Indonesia to guarantee freedom of expression in Papua and the southern Moluccan Islands.

(2) Of the funds appropriated by this Act under the heading “Economic Support Fund” that are available for assistance for Indonesia, not less than \$400,000 should be made available for grants for capacity building of Indonesian human rights organizations, including in Papua.

(e) NORTH KOREA.—

(1) Funds appropriated under the heading “Migration and Refugee Assistance” in this

Act should be made available for assistance for refugees from North Korea.

(2) Funds made available by this Act under the heading “Economic Support Fund” for assistance for countries in the North Asia region may be made available for programs and activities pursuant to section 4 of Public Law 108-333, as amended, and subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided*, That for the purposes of this subsection, such programs and activities shall be considered democracy promotion.

(f) PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.—

(1) None of the funds appropriated under the heading “Diplomatic and Consular Programs” in this Act may be obligated or expended for processing licenses for the export of satellites of United States origin (including commercial satellites and satellite components) to the People's Republic of China unless, at least 15 days in advance, the Committees on Appropriations are notified of such proposed action.

(2) The terms and requirements of section 620(h) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 shall apply to foreign assistance projects or activities of the People's Liberation Army (PLA) of the People's Republic of China, to include such projects or activities by any entity that is owned or controlled by, or an affiliate of, the PLA: *Provided*, That none of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available pursuant to this Act may be used to finance any grant, contract, or cooperative agreement with the PLA, or any entity that the Secretary of State has reason to believe is owned or controlled by, or an affiliate of, the PLA.

(3) Notwithstanding any other provision of law and subject to prior consultation with, and the regular notification procedures of, the Committees on Appropriations, of the funds appropriated by this Act under the heading “Development Assistance”, not less than \$15,000,000 shall be made available to United States educational institutions and nongovernmental organizations for programs and activities in the People's Republic of China relating to the environment, governance, and the rule of law.

(g) PHILIPPINES.—Of the funds appropriated by this Act under the heading “Foreign Military Financing Program” that are available for assistance for the Philippines, \$3,000,000 may not be obligated until the Secretary of State submits to the Committees on Appropriations the report on the Philippines detailed under such heading in Senate Report 111-237.

(h) TIMOR-LESTE.—Of the funds appropriated by this Act under the heading “Economic Support Fund”, not less than \$1,000,000, in addition to funds otherwise made available for such purposes, shall be made available for democracy programs and activities in Timor-Leste, and not less than \$2,000,000 shall be made available for higher education scholarships.

(i) VIETNAM.—Funds appropriated by this Act that are made available for assistance for Vietnam for remediation of dioxin contaminated sites and related health activities may be made available for assistance for the Government of Vietnam, including the military, for such purposes.

INDEPENDENT STATES OF THE FORMER SOVIET UNION

SEC. 7069. (a) None of the funds appropriated under the heading “Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia” may be made available for assistance for a government of an Independent State of the former Soviet Union if that government directs any

action in violation of the territorial integrity or national sovereignty of any other Independent State of the former Soviet Union, such as those violations included in the Helsinki Final Act, unless the Secretary of State determines that to do so is in the national security interests of the United States.

(b) Funds appropriated under the heading "Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia" for the Russian Federation, Armenia, Kazakhstan, and Uzbekistan shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

(c)(1) Of the funds appropriated under the heading "Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia" that are available for assistance for the Government of the Russian Federation, 60 percent shall be withheld from obligation until the Secretary of State certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that the Government of the Russian Federation—

(A) has terminated implementation of arrangements to provide Iran with technical expertise, training, technology, or equipment necessary to develop a nuclear reactor, related nuclear research facilities or programs, or ballistic missile capability; and

(B) is providing full access to international non-government organizations providing humanitarian relief to refugees and internally displaced persons in the North Caucasus.

(2) Paragraph (1) shall not apply to—

(A) assistance to combat infectious diseases, child survival activities, or assistance for victims of trafficking in persons; and

(B) activities authorized under title V (Nonproliferation and Disarmament Programs and Activities) of the FREEDOM Support Act.

(d) Section 907 of the FREEDOM Support Act shall not apply to—

(1) activities to support democracy or assistance under title V of the FREEDOM Support Act and section 1424 of Public Law 104-201 or nonproliferation assistance;

(2) any assistance provided by the Trade and Development Agency under section 661 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2421);

(3) any activity carried out by a member of the United States and Foreign Commercial Service while acting within his or her official capacity;

(4) any insurance, reinsurance, guarantee or other assistance provided by the Overseas Private Investment Corporation under title IV of chapter 2 of part I of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2191 et seq.);

(5) any financing provided under the Export-Import Bank Act of 1945; or

(6) humanitarian assistance.

CENTRAL ASIA

SEC. 7070. The terms and conditions of sections 7075(a) and (b) and 7076(a) through (e) of the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2009 (division H of Public Law 111-8) shall apply to funds appropriated by this Act: *Provided*, That for the purposes of the application of section 7076(e) to this Act, the term "assistance" shall not include expanded international military education and training.

SOUTH ASIA

SEC. 7071. (a) AFGHANISTAN.—

(1) LIMITATION.—None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act under the headings "Economic Support Fund" and "International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement" may be obligated for assistance for Afghanistan until the Sec-

retary of State, in consultation with the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), certifies and reports to the Committees on Appropriations that—

(A) The Government of Afghanistan is—

(i) demonstrating a commitment to reduce corruption and improve governance, including by investigating, prosecuting, sanctioning and/or removing corrupt officials from office and to implement financial transparency and accountability measures for government institutions and officials (including the Central Bank) as well as to conduct oversight of public resources;

(ii) taking significant steps to facilitate active public participation in governance and oversight; and

(iii) taking credible steps to protect the internationally recognized human rights of Afghan women.

(B) There is a unified United States Government anti-corruption strategy for Afghanistan that is adequately funded, and is being implemented in conjunction with relevant Afghan authorities.

(C) Funds will be programmed to support and strengthen the capacity of Afghan public and private institutions and entities to reduce corruption and to improve transparency and accountability of national, provincial and local governments, such as—

(i) the High Office of Oversight;

(ii) the Control and Audit Office;

(iii) the Afghan Criminal Justice Task Force;

(iv) the Afghan Judicial Security Unit;

(v) the Anti-Corruption Tribunal, and the Attorney General's Anti-Corruption Unit;

(vi) the training and mentoring of judicial personnel;

(vii) the training and mentoring of Afghan Government personnel in financial management, budgeting, and independent oversight of public funds; and

(viii) Afghan civil society organizations and media institutions that play an important role in government oversight.

(D) Representatives of Afghan national, provincial or local governments, local communities and civil society organizations, as appropriate, will be consulted and participate in the design of programs, projects, and activities, including participation in implementation and oversight, and the development of specific benchmarks to measure progress and outcomes.

(E) Funds will be used to train and deploy additional United States Government direct-hire personnel to improve monitoring and control of assistance to ensure that funds are used for the intended purpose and do not support illicit and/or corrupt activities.

(F) A framework and methodology is being utilized to assess national, provincial, local and sector level fiduciary risks relating to public financial management of United States Government assistance.

(2) DIRECT GOVERNMENT-TO-GOVERNMENT ASSISTANCE.—

(A) Funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act for assistance for Afghanistan may not be made available for direct government-to-government assistance unless the Secretary of State certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that the relevant Afghan implementing agency has been assessed and considered qualified to manage such funds and the Government of the United States and the Government of Afghanistan have agreed, in writing, to clear and achievable goals and objectives for the use of such funds, and have established mechanisms within each implementing agency to ensure

that such funds are used for the purposes for which they were intended: *Provided*, That the Secretary of State should suspend any direct government-to-government assistance to an implementing agency if the Secretary has credible information of misuse of such funds by any such agency: *Provided further*, That any such assistance shall be subject to prior consultation with, and the regular notification procedures of, the Committees on Appropriations.

(B) Funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act for assistance for Afghanistan may be made available as a United States contribution to the Afghanistan Reconstruction Trust Fund (ARTF) unless the Secretary of State determines and reports to the Committees on Appropriations that the World Bank Monitoring Agent of the ARTF is unable to conduct its financial control and audit responsibilities due to restrictions on security personnel by the Government of Afghanistan.

(3) ASSISTANCE AND OPERATIONS.—

(A) Funds appropriated under the headings "Economic Support Fund" and "International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement" in this Act that are available for assistance for Afghanistan—

(i) shall be made available, to the maximum extent practicable, in a manner that emphasizes the participation of Afghan women, and directly improves the security, economic and social well-being, and political status, and protects the rights of, Afghan women and girls and complies with sections 7060 and 7061 of this Act, including support for the Afghan Independent Human Rights Commission, the Afghan Ministry of Women's Affairs, and women-led nongovernmental organizations.

(ii) may be made available for a United States contribution to an internationally-managed fund to support the reconciliation with and disarmament, demobilization and reintegration into Afghan society of former combatants who have renounced violence against the Government of Afghanistan: *Provided*, That funds may be made available to support reconciliation and reintegration activities only if: (1) Afghan women are participating at national, provincial and local levels of government in the design, policy formulation and implementation of the reconciliation or reintegration process, and such process upholds steps taken by the Government of Afghanistan to protect the internationally recognized human rights of Afghan women; and (2) such funds will not be used to support any pardon or immunity from prosecution, or any position in the Government of Afghanistan or security forces, for any leader of an armed group responsible for crimes against humanity, war crimes, or other violations of internationally recognized human rights;

(iii) may be made available for a United States contribution to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization/International Security Assistance Force Post-Operations Humanitarian Relief Fund; and

(iv) should be made available, notwithstanding any provision of law that restricts assistance to foreign countries, for cross border stabilization and development programs between Afghanistan and Pakistan or between either country and the Central Asian republics.

(B) Programs and activities funded under titles III and IV of this Act that provide training for foreign police, judicial, and military personnel shall address, where appropriate, gender-based violence.

(C) The authority contained in section 1102(c) of Public Law 111-32 shall continue in

effect during fiscal year 2011 and shall apply as if part of this Act.

(D) The Coordinator for Rule of Law at the United States Embassy in Kabul, Afghanistan shall be consulted on the use of all funds appropriated by this Act for rule of law programs in Afghanistan.

(E) None of the funds made available by this Act may be used by the United States Government to enter into a permanent basing rights agreement between the United States and Afghanistan.

(F) The Secretary of State, after consultation with the USAID Administrator, shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 45 days after enactment of this Act, and prior to the initial obligation of funds, a detailed spending plan for assistance for Afghanistan which shall include clear and achievable goals, benchmarks for measuring progress, and expected results: *Provided*, That such plan shall not be considered as meeting the notification requirements under section 7015 of this Act or under section 634A of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961.

(G) Any significant modification to the scope, objectives or implementation mechanisms of United States assistance programs in Afghanistan shall be subject to prior consultation with, and the regular notification procedures of, the Committees on Appropriations, except that the prior consultation requirement may be waived in a manner consistent with section 7015(e) of this Act.

(4) OVERSIGHT.—

(A) The Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction, the Inspector General of the Department of State and the Inspector General of USAID, shall jointly develop and submit to the Committees on Appropriations within 45 days of enactment of this Act a coordinated audit and inspection plan of United States assistance for, and civilian operations in, Afghanistan.

(B) Of the funds appropriated in this Act under the heading “Economic Support Fund” for assistance for Afghanistan, \$3,000,000 shall be transferred to, and merged with, funds made available under the heading “Office of Inspector General” in title I of this Act, for increased oversight of programs in Afghanistan and shall be in addition to funds otherwise available for such purposes: *Provided*, That \$1,500,000 shall be for the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction.

(C) Of the funds appropriated in this Act under the heading “Economic Support Fund” for assistance for Afghanistan, \$1,500,000 shall be transferred to, and merged with, funds appropriated under the heading “Office of Inspector General” in title II of this Act for increased oversight of programs in Afghanistan and shall be in addition to funds otherwise available for such purposes.

(5) MODIFICATION TO PRIOR PROVISIONS.—

(A) Section 1004(c)(1)(C) of Public Law 111-212 is amended to read as follows:

“(C) taking credible steps to protect the internationally recognized human rights of Afghan women.”

(B) Section 1004(d)(1) of Public Law 111-212 is amended to read as follows:

“(1) Afghan women are participating at national, provincial and local levels of government in the design, policy formulation and implementation of the reconciliation or reintegration process, and such process upholds steps taken by the Government of Afghanistan to protect the internationally recognized human rights of Afghan women; and.”

(C) Section 1004(e)(1) of Public Law 111-212 is amended to read as follows:

“(1) based on information available to the Secretary, the Independent Electoral Commission has no members or other employees who participated in, or helped to cover up, acts of fraud in the 2009 presidential election in Afghanistan, and the Electoral Complaints Commission is a genuinely independent body with all the authorities that were invested in it under Afghan law as of December 31, 2009.”.

(b) NEPAL.—

(1) Funds appropriated by this Act under the headings “Foreign Military Financing Program” and “Peacekeeping Operations” may be made available for assistance for Nepal only if the Secretary of State certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that the Nepal Army is—

(A) cooperating fully with investigations and prosecutions by civilian judicial authorities of violations of internationally recognized human rights, including the 2004 murder of Maina Sunuwar; and

(B) working constructively to redefine the Nepal Army’s mission and adjust its size accordingly, implement reforms including strengthening the capacity of the civilian ministry of defense to improve budget transparency and accountability, and facilitate the integration of former rebel combatants into the security forces including the Nepal Army, consistent with the goals of reconciliation, peace and stability.

(2) The conditions in paragraph (1) shall not apply to assistance for humanitarian relief and reconstruction activities in Nepal.

(c) PAKISTAN.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Funds appropriated by this Act that are available for assistance for Pakistan shall be made available, to the maximum extent practicable, in a manner that utilizes Pakistani entities and directly improves the security, economic and social well-being of Pakistani women and girls.

(2) DIRECT GOVERNMENT-TO-GOVERNMENT ASSISTANCE.—Funds appropriated by this Act for assistance for Pakistan may be made available for direct government-to-government assistance only if the Secretary of State certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that the Government of the United States and the Government of Pakistan have agreed, in writing, to clear and achievable goals and objectives for the use of such funds, and have established mechanisms within each implementing agency to ensure that such funds are used for the purposes for which they were intended: *Provided*, That the Secretary of State should suspend any direct government-to-government assistance to an implementing agency if the Secretary has credible information of misuse of such funds by any such agency: *Provided further*, That funds made available pursuant to this subparagraph shall be subject to prior consultation with, and the regular notification procedures of, the Committees on Appropriations.

(3) CROSS BORDER ASSISTANCE.—Funds appropriated under the heading “Economic Support Fund” in this Act for assistance for Pakistan should be provided notwithstanding any provision of law that restricts assistance to foreign countries for cross border stabilization and development programs between Afghanistan and Pakistan or between either country and the Central Asian republics.

(4) INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS.—Funds appropriated under the heading “Economic Support Fund” in this Act that are made available for assistance for infrastructure projects in Pakistan shall be implemented in a manner consistent with section 507(6) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2467(6)).

(5) HUMAN RIGHTS.—

(A) Funds appropriated under the headings “Foreign Military Financing Program” and “Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund” in this Act that are available for assistance for Pakistan shall be made available—

(i) in a manner that promotes unimpeded access by humanitarian organizations to detainees, internally displaced persons, and other Pakistani civilians adversely affected by the conflict; and

(ii) in accordance with section 620M of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended by this Act.

(B) Funds appropriated under the heading “Economic Support Fund” in this Act for assistance for Pakistan shall be made available through the Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights and Labor, Department of State, for human rights programs in Pakistan, including training of government officials and security forces, and assistance for human rights organizations.

(6) CHIEF OF MISSION.—Of the funds appropriated under the heading “Economic Support Fund” in this Act for assistance for Pakistan, up to \$10,000,000 may be made available to the Chief of Mission to address unanticipated humanitarian and conflict related needs: *Provided*, That such funds shall be subject to prior consultation with, and the regular notification procedures of, the Committees on Appropriations, except that the prior consultation requirement may be waived in a manner consistent with section 7015(e) of this Act.

(7) SPENDING PLAN.—The Secretary of State, in consultation with the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development, shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 45 days after enactment of this Act, and prior to the initial obligation of funds, a detailed spending plan for assistance for Pakistan which shall include clear and achievable goals, benchmarks for measuring progress, and expected results: *Provided*, That such plan shall not be considered as meeting the notification requirements under section 7015 of this Act or under section 634A of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961.

(8) MODIFICATION TO PROGRAM.—Any significant modification to the scope, objectives or implementation mechanisms of United States assistance programs in Pakistan shall be subject to prior consultation with, and the regular notification procedures of, the Committees on Appropriations, except that the prior consultation requirement may be waived if it is determined that failure to do so would pose a substantial risk to human health or welfare: *Provided*, That in case of any such waiver, notification to the Committees on Appropriations shall be provided as early as practicable, but in no event later than 3 days after taking the action to which such consultation requirement was applicable.

(d) SRI LANKA.—

(1) Funds appropriated in title III of this Act that are available for assistance for Sri Lanka shall be made available for programs that promote reconciliation between ethnic Sinhalese and Tamil populations, support post-conflict reconstruction, and advance the participation of Tamils and other minorities in the political and economic life of the country, and shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

(2) None of the funds appropriated by this Act under the heading “Foreign Military Financing Program” may be made available

for assistance for Sri Lanka, no defense export license may be issued, and no military equipment or technology shall be sold or transferred to Sri Lanka pursuant to the authorities contained in this Act or any other Act, unless the Secretary of State certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that the Government of Sri Lanka is—

(A) investigating alleged violations of internationally recognized human rights and international humanitarian law by government forces and the Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam, including the assassination of Lasantha Wickrematunge;

(B) bringing to justice individuals who have been credibly alleged to have committed such violations;

(C) supporting and cooperating with any United Nations advisory panel or investigation of alleged violations of international humanitarian law;

(D) respecting due process and the rights of its citizens to peaceful expression and association;

(E) providing access to detainees and conflict-affected areas and populations by humanitarian organizations; and

(F) implementing policies to promote reconciliation and justice including devolution of power as provided for in the Constitution of Sri Lanka.

(3) Paragraph (2) shall not apply to assistance for humanitarian demining and aerial and maritime surveillance.

(4) If the Secretary makes the certification required in paragraph (2), funds appropriated under the heading "Foreign Military Financing Program" that are made available for assistance for Sri Lanka should be used to support the recruitment and training of Tamils into the Sri Lankan military, Tamil language training for Sinhalese military personnel, and human rights training for all military personnel.

(5) The Secretary of the Treasury shall instruct the United States executive directors of the international financial institutions to vote against any loan, agreement, or other financial support for Sri Lanka except to meet basic human needs, unless the Secretary of State certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that the Government of Sri Lanka is meeting the requirements in paragraph (2)(E) and (F) of this subsection.

ENTERPRISE FUNDS

SEC. 7072. (a) Prior to the distribution of any assets resulting from any liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of an Enterprise Fund, in whole or in part, the President shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations, in accordance with the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations, a plan for the distribution of the assets of the Enterprise Fund.

(b) Funds made available under titles III through VI of this Act for Enterprise Funds shall be expended at the minimum rate necessary to make timely payment for projects and activities and shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

UNITED NATIONS POPULATION FUND

SEC. 7073. (a) CONTRIBUTION.—Of the funds made available under the heading "International Organizations and Programs" in this Act for fiscal year 2011, \$57,500,000 shall be made available for the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA).

(b) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds appropriated by this Act for UNFPA, that are not made available for UNFPA because of the operation of any provision of law, shall be transferred to the "Global Health and Child

Survival" account and shall be made available for family planning, maternal, and reproductive health activities, subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

(c) PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS IN CHINA.—None of the funds made available by this Act may be used by UNFPA for a country program in the People's Republic of China.

(d) CONDITIONS ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds made available by this Act for UNFPA may not be made available unless—

(1) UNFPA maintains funds made available by this Act in an account separate from other accounts of UNFPA and does not commingle such funds with other sums; and

(2) UNFPA does not fund abortions.

(e) REPORT TO CONGRESS AND DOLLAR-FOR-DOLLAR WITHHOLDING OF FUNDS.—

(1) Not later than 4 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations indicating the amount of funds that the UNFPA is budgeting for the year in which the report is submitted for a country program in the People's Republic of China.

(2) If a report under paragraph (1) indicates that the UNFPA plans to spend funds for a country program in the People's Republic of China in the year covered by the report, then the amount of such funds the UNFPA plans to spend in the People's Republic of China shall be deducted from the funds made available to the UNFPA after March 1 for obligation for the remainder of the fiscal year in which the report is submitted.

OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 7074. (a) Whenever the President determines that it is in furtherance of the purposes of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, up to a total of \$20,000,000 of the funds appropriated under title III of this Act may be transferred to, and merged with, funds appropriated by this Act for the Overseas Private Investment Corporation Program Account, to be subject to the terms and conditions of that account: *Provided*, That such funds shall not be available for administrative expenses of the Overseas Private Investment Corporation: *Provided further*, That designated funding levels in this Act shall not be transferred pursuant to this section: *Provided further*, That the exercise of such authority shall be subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

(b) Notwithstanding section 235(a)(2) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2195(a)(2)), the authority of subsections (a) through (c) of section 234 of such Act shall remain in effect through September 30, 2013.

EXTRADITION

SEC. 7075. (a) None of the funds appropriated in this Act may be used to provide assistance (other than funds provided under the headings "International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement", "Migration and Refugee Assistance", "Emergency Migration and Refugee Assistance", and "Nonproliferation, Anti-terrorism, Demining and Related Assistance") for the central government of a country which has notified the Department of State of its refusal to extradite to the United States any individual indicted for a criminal offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment without the possibility of parole or for killing a law enforcement officer, as specified in a United States extradition request.

(b) Subsection (a) shall only apply to the central government of a country with which the United States maintains diplomatic relations and with which the United States has an extradition treaty and the government of that country is in violation of the terms and conditions of the treaty.

(c) The Secretary of State may waive the restriction in subsection (a) on a case-by-case basis if the Secretary certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that such waiver is important to the national interests of the United States.

CLIMATE CHANGE AND ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMS

SEC. 7076. (a) IN GENERAL.—Of the funds appropriated by this Act, up to \$1,476,550,000 may be made available for programs and activities to—

(1) reduce, mitigate, and sequester greenhouse gases that contribute to global climate change;

(2) support climate change adaptation; and

(3) protect biodiversity, including wildlife, tropical forests, and other critical landscapes.

(b) USES OF CLEAN ENERGY FUNDING.—Funds appropriated by this Act under the headings "Development Assistance", "Economic Support Fund", and "Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia" for clean energy programs and activities, may be made available only to support and promote the sustainable use of renewable energy technologies and end-use energy efficiency technologies, carbon sequestration, and carbon accounting.

(c) TROPICAL FOREST PROGRAMS.—Funds appropriated under title III of this Act for tropical forest programs shall be used for purposes including to implement and enforce section 8204 of Public Law 110-246, shall not be used to support or promote the expansion of industrial scale logging into primary tropical forests, and shall be subject to prior consultation with, and the regular notification procedures of, the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided*, That not more than \$5,000,000 of the funds that are available for the Central African Regional Program for the Environment (CARPE) and other tropical forest programs in the Congo Basin may be obligated before approval of a new CARPE strategy.

(d) AUTHORITY.—Funds appropriated by this Act to carry out the provisions of sections 103 through 106, and chapter 4 of part II, of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 may be used, notwithstanding any other provision of law except for the provisions of this section and subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations, to support climate change and environment programs.

(e) CONSULTATION.—Funds made available pursuant to this section are subject to prior consultation with, and the regular notification procedures of, the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided*, That prior to the obligation of funds appropriated by this Act for contributions to the Forest Carbon Partnership Facility and the Forest Investment Program, the Secretary of State and/or the Secretary of the Treasury, as appropriate, shall determine and report to the Committees on Appropriations that there have been meaningful consultations by the World Bank with interested civil society and indigenous organizations.

(f) EXTRACTION OF NATURAL RESOURCES.—

(1) Funds appropriated by this Act shall be made available to promote and support transparency and accountability of expenditures and revenues related to the extraction of timber, oil and gas, cacao and other natural resources, including by strengthening

implementation and monitoring of the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative and the Kimberley Process Certification Scheme, and by providing technical assistance to promote independent audit mechanisms and support civil society participation in natural resource management.

(2)(A) The Secretary of the Treasury shall inform the managements of the international financial institutions and post on the Department of the Treasury's Web site that it is the policy of the United States to oppose any assistance by such institutions (including but not limited to any loan, credit, grant, or guarantee) for the extraction and export of oil, gas, coal, timber, or other natural resource unless the government of the country has in place functioning systems in the sector in which assistance is being considered for:

(i) accurately accounting for and public disclosure of payments to the host government by companies involved in the extraction and export of natural resources;

(ii) the independent auditing of accounts receiving such payments and the widespread public dissemination of the findings of such audits; and

(iii) public disclosure of such documents as Host Government Agreements, Concession Agreements, and bidding documents, allowing in any such dissemination or disclosure for the redaction of, or exceptions for, information that is commercially proprietary or that would create competitive disadvantage.

(B) The requirements of subparagraph (A) shall not apply to assistance for the purpose of building the capacity of such government to meet the requirements of this paragraph.

(3) The Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary of State, as appropriate, shall instruct the United States executive director of each international financial institution and the United States representatives to all forest-related multilateral financing mechanisms and processes, that it is the policy of the United States to oppose the expansion of industrial scale logging into primary tropical forests.

(g) CLEAN TECHNOLOGY FUND.—

(1) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—For fiscal year 2011, up to \$315,000,000 is authorized to be appropriated for a United States contribution to the Clean Technology Fund (the Fund).

(2) LIMITS ON COUNTRY ACCESS.—The Secretary of the Treasury shall use the voice and vote of the United States to ensure that—

(A) the Fund does not provide more than 15 percent of Fund resources to any one country;

(B) prior to the obligation of funds from the Fund to a recipient country, recipient countries shall submit to the governing body of the Fund, and the governing body of the Fund appropriately reviews and considers, an investment plan that will achieve significant net reductions in national-level greenhouse gas emissions;

(C) the investment plan for a recipient country, whose borrowing status is classified by the World Bank as "International Development Association blend", shall have at least 15 percent of its total cost for public sector activities contributed from the public funds of the recipient country, and any recipient country whose borrowing status is classified by the World Bank as "International Bank for Reconstruction and Development Only" status, shall have at least 25 percent of its total cost for public sector activities contributed from public funds of the recipient country; and

(D) assistance made available by the Fund is used exclusively to support the deployment of clean energy technologies in developing countries (including, where appropriate, through the provision of technical support or support for policy or institutional reforms) in a manner that achieves substantial net reductions in greenhouse gas emissions.

(3) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this subsection—

(A) NET REDUCTIONS.—The term "net reductions" refers to the extent to which a project or program supported under this subsection results in lower greenhouse gas emissions than would be emitted by the same entity or sector in the same country in the absence of the Fund's project, taking into account, unless impracticable, effects beyond the physical boundaries of the project or program that result from project or program activities.

(B) PUBLIC FUNDS.—The term "public funds" may include sovereign loans assumed by the recipient country to contribute to the financing of the investment plan.

(C) CLEAN ENERGY TECHNOLOGY.—The term "clean energy technology" means a technology that, as compared with technologies being deployed at that time for widespread commercial use in the country involved—

(i) achieves substantial reductions in greenhouse gas emissions;

(ii) does not result in significant incremental adverse effects on public health or the environment; and

(iii) does one or more of the following:

(I) generates electricity or useful thermal energy from a renewable resource;

(II) substantially increases the energy efficiency of buildings, industrial, or agricultural processes, or of electricity transmission, distribution, or end-use consumption;

(III) substantially increases the energy efficiency of the transportation system or increases utilization of transportation fuels that have lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions that are substantially lower than those attributable to fossil fuel-based alternatives.

PROHIBITION ON PROMOTION OF TOBACCO

SEC. 7077. None of the funds provided by this Act shall be available to promote the sale or export of tobacco or tobacco products, or to seek the reduction or removal by any foreign country of restrictions on the marketing of tobacco or tobacco products, except for restrictions which are not applied equally to all tobacco or tobacco products of the same type.

COMMERCIAL LEASING OF DEFENSE ARTICLES

SEC. 7078. The second sentence of section 23(a) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended, (Public Law 96-29) is further amended by striking "and Egypt" and inserting " , Egypt, and NATO and major non-NATO allies".

INTERNATIONAL PRISON CONDITIONS

SEC. 7079. (a) Not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations a report, which shall also be made publicly available including on the Department of State's Web site, indicating those countries receiving assistance under the headings "Development Assistance", "Economic Support Fund", "International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement", and "Foreign Military Financing Program" in this Act where the Assistant Secretary of State for Democracy, Human Rights and Labor has determined, based on the Department of State's most recent Human Rights

Report and any other relevant information, inhumane conditions in prisons and other detention facilities are common.

(b) For purposes of each determination made pursuant to subsection (a), the Assistant Secretary shall consider the criteria listed in section 7085(b)(1 through 10) of division F of Public Law 111-117.

(c) Funds appropriated by this Act to carry out the provisions of chapters 1 and 11 of part I and chapter 4 of part II of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, and the Support for East European Democracy (SEED) Act of 1989, shall be made available, notwithstanding section 660 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, for assistance to help eliminate inhumane conditions in foreign prisons and other detention facilities.

TRANSPARENCY, ACCOUNTABILITY AND ANTI-KLEPTOCRACY

SEC. 7080. (a) UNITED NATIONS.—Funds appropriated by this Act shall be available to continue to support efforts to promote transparency and accountability at the United Nations, including access to audits and program information, as appropriate: *Provided*, That the Secretary of State, following consultation with the Committees on Appropriations, may withhold from obligation funds appropriated under the heading "International Organizations and Programs" for a United States contribution to a United Nations organization or agency if the Secretary determines that such organization or agency is not adequately implementing reforms to increase transparency and accountability.

(b) INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND.—

(1) The terms and conditions of section 7086(b) of division F of Public Law 111-117 shall apply to this Act.

(2) The Secretary of the Treasury shall instruct the United States Executive Director of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) to seek to ensure that any loan will be repaid to the IMF before other private creditors and in full.

(c) NATIONAL BUDGET AND CONTRACT TRANSPARENCY.—

(1) None of the funds appropriated under titles III and IV of this Act may be made available for assistance for the central government of any country that fails to publicly disclose on an annual basis its national budget, to include income and expenditures by ministry, and government contracts and licenses for natural resource exploitation, to include bidding and concession allocation practices.

(2) The Secretary of State may waive the prohibition in paragraph (1) on a country-by-country basis if the Secretary reports to the Committees on Appropriations that to do so is important to the national interests of the United States.

(3) Of the funds appropriated by this Act under the heading "Economic Support Fund", up to \$1,500,000 may be made available for programs and activities to assist the central government of any country named in the report required by paragraph (2) to improve budget transparency or to support civil society organizations in such countries that promote budget transparency: *Provided*, That such sums shall be in addition to funds otherwise made available for such purposes.

(d) GOOD GOVERNANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY.—Programs funded under title III of this Act shall include, where appropriate, efforts to—

(1) strengthen governance, counter corruption, promote accountability, and provide budget transparency to donors and citizens of recipient countries;

(2) enhance civil society participation in governance and oversight activities including participatory and transparent budgeting, and capacity building to increase legislative branch oversight; and

(3) improve police and justice systems that support anti-corruption efforts and enforce the rule of law.

(e) ANTI-KLEPTOCRACY.—

(1) In furtherance of the National Strategy to Internationalize Efforts Against Kleptocracy and Presidential Proclamation 7750, the Secretary of State shall compile and maintain a list of officials of foreign governments and their immediate family members who the Secretary has credible evidence have been involved in corruption relating to the extraction of natural resources in their countries.

(2) Any individual on the list compiled under paragraph (1) shall be ineligible for admission to the United States.

(3) The Secretary may waive the application of paragraph (2) if the Secretary determines that admission to the United States is necessary to attend the United Nations, to further important United States law enforcement objectives, or that the circumstances which caused the individual to be included on the list have changed sufficiently to justify the removal of the individual from the list.

(4) Not later than 120 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State shall report in writing, in classified form if necessary, to the Committees on Appropriations describing the evidence of corruption concerning each of the individuals listed pursuant to paragraph (1), which shall include a list of any waivers provided under paragraph (3), and the justification for each waiver.

(f) ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK.—Ten percent of the funds appropriated by this Act under the heading “Contribution to the Asian Development Fund” shall be withheld from obligation until the Secretary of the Treasury reports to the Committees on Appropriations that the Asian Development Bank (the Bank) is taking steps to—

(1) implement an independent review, to include external specialists, of the operations and internal controls of the Office of Information Systems and Technology and any other offices considered vulnerable to fraud and corruption;

(2) strengthen internal controls to improve accountability by management and prevent cases of fraud and corruption; and

(3) ensure that restitution, including criminal prosecution if appropriate, is sought if the Bank experiences losses from fraud and corruption.

DISABILITY PROGRAMS

SEC. 7081. (a) Funds appropriated by this Act under the heading “Economic Support Fund” shall be made available for programs and activities administered by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) to address the needs and protect and promote the rights of people with disabilities in developing countries, including initiatives that focus on independent living, economic self-sufficiency, advocacy, education, employment, transportation, sports, and integration of individuals with disabilities, including for the cost of transportation.

(b) Funds appropriated under the heading “Operating Expenses” in title II of this Act shall be made available to develop and implement training for staff in overseas USAID missions to promote the full inclusion and equal participation of people with disabilities in developing countries.

(c) The Secretary of State, the Secretary of the Treasury, and the USAID Administrator shall seek to ensure that, where practicable, construction projects funded by this Act are accessible to people with disabilities and in compliance with the USAID Policy on Standards for Accessibility for the Disabled, or other similar accessibility standards.

(d) Of the funds made available pursuant to subsection (a), not more than 7 percent may be for management, oversight, and technical support.

BUYING POWER MAINTENANCE, INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

SEC. 7082. (a) There may be established in the Treasury of the United States a “Buying Power Maintenance, International Organizations” account.

(b) At the end of each fiscal year, the Secretary of State may transfer to, and merge with, “Buying Power Maintenance, International Organizations” such amounts from “Contributions to International Organizations” as the Secretary determines are in excess of the needs of activities funded from “Contributions to International Organizations” because of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates.

(c) In order to offset adverse fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, the Secretary of State may transfer to, and merge with, “Contributions to International Organizations” such amounts from “Buying Power Maintenance, International Organizations” as the Secretary determines are necessary to provide for the activities funded from “Contributions to International Organizations”.

(d)(1) Subject to the limitations contained in this section, not later than the end of the fifth fiscal year after the fiscal year for which funds are appropriated or otherwise made available for “Contributions to International Organizations”, the Secretary of State may transfer any unobligated balance of such funds to the “Buying Power Maintenance, International Organizations” account.

(2) The balance of the Buying Power Maintenance, International Organizations account may not exceed \$15,000,000 as a result of any transfer under this subsection.

(3) Any transfer pursuant to this subsection shall be treated as a reprogramming of funds under section 34 of the State Department Basic Authorities Act of 1956 (22 U.S.C. 2706) and shall be available for obligation or expenditure only in accordance with the procedures under such section.

(e)(1) Funds transferred to the “Buying Power Maintenance, International Organizations” account pursuant to this section shall remain available until expended.

(2) The transfer authorities in this section shall be available for funds appropriated for fiscal year 2011 and for each fiscal year thereafter, and are in addition to any transfer authority otherwise available to the Department of State under other provisions of law.

PROHIBITION ON FIRST-CLASS TRAVEL

SEC. 7083. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used for first-class travel by employees of agencies funded by this Act in contravention of sections 301–10.122 through 301–10.124 of title 41, Code of Federal Regulations.

PROHIBITION ON FEDERAL CONTRACTORS IN VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS ACT

SEC. 7084. (a) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be expended for any Federal contract for an amount in excess of \$1,000,000

that is awarded more than 60 days after the effective date of this Act, unless the contractor agrees not to—

(1) enter into any agreement with any of its employees or independent contractors that requires, as a condition of employment, that the employee or independent contractor agree to resolve through arbitration any claim under title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 or any tort related to or arising out of sexual assault or harassment, including assault and battery, intentional infliction of emotional distress, false imprisonment, or negligent hiring, supervision, or retention; or

(2) take any action to enforce any provision of an existing agreement with an employee or independent contractor that mandates that the employee or independent contractor resolve through arbitration any claim under title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 or any tort related to or arising out of sexual assault or harassment, including assault and battery, intentional infliction of emotional distress, false imprisonment, or negligent hiring, supervision, or retention.

(b) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be expended for any Federal contract awarded more than 180 days after the effective date of this Act unless the contractor certifies that it requires each covered subcontractor to agree not to enter into, and not to take any action to enforce any provision of, any agreement as described in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a), with respect to any employee or independent contractor performing work related to such subcontract. For purposes of this subsection, a “covered subcontractor” is an entity that has a subcontract in excess of \$1,000,000 on a contract subject to subsection (a).

(c) The prohibitions in this section do not apply with respect to a contractor’s or subcontractor’s agreements with employees or independent contractors that may not be enforced in a court of the United States.

(d) The Secretary of State may waive the application of subsection (a) or (b) to a particular contractor or subcontractor for the purposes of a particular contract or subcontract if the Secretary determines that to do so is important to the national security interest of the United States: *Provided*, That prior to exercising such waiver authority (or, in an emergency, as soon as practicable), the Secretary shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations, in classified form if necessary, detailing the grounds for the waiver.

MILLENNIUM CHALLENGE CORPORATION COMPACTS

SEC. 7085. (a) EXTENSION OF COMPACTS.—Section 609(j) of the Millennium Challenge Act of 2003 (22 U.S.C. 7708(j)) is amended to read as follows:

“(j) EXTENSION OF COMPACT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided under paragraph (2), the duration of a Compact shall not exceed 5 years.

“(2) EXCEPTION.—The duration of a Compact may be extended beyond 5 years if the Board—

“(A) determines that a project included in the Compact cannot be completed within 5 years; and

“(B) approves an extension of the Compact that does not extend the total duration of the Compact beyond 7 years.

“(3) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—Not later than 15 days before the date on which the Board is scheduled to vote on the extension of a Compact beyond 5 years pursuant to paragraph (2), the Board, acting through the Chief Executive Officer, shall—

“(A) notify the Committees on Appropriations, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, of its intent to approve such extension; and

“(B) provide such committees with a detailed explanation for the determination and approval described in paragraph (2).”.

(b) CONCURRENT AND SUBSEQUENT COMPACTS.—Section 609(k) of such Act (22 U.S.C. 7708(k)) is amended to read as follows:

“(k) CONCURRENT AND SUBSEQUENT COMPACTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), and in accordance with the requirements of this title, an eligible country and the United States may enter into and have in effect concurrent and/or subsequent Compacts.

“(2) REQUIREMENTS.—An eligible country and the United States may enter into concurrent or subsequent Compacts if the Board determines that such country—

“(A) is making significant, consistent progress in implementing the terms of its existing Compact(s) and supplementary agreements to such Compact(s); and

“(B) will contribute, in the case of a Low Income Country as defined in section 606(a), not less than a 7.5 percent contribution of the total amount agreed upon for a subsequent Compact, or in the case of an Lower Middle Income Country (LMIC) as defined in section 606(b), a 15 percent contribution for a subsequent Compact.

“(3) FUNDING.—Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC) shall commit any funding for a concurrent Compact at the time it funds the Compact.

“(4) TIMING.—A concurrent Compact shall be signed not later than 2 years after the signing of the earlier compact.

“(5) LIMITATION ON COMPACTS.—The MCC shall provide no more than 15 years of compact funding to any country.”.

(c) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to Compacts entered into between the United States and an eligible country under the Millennium Challenge Act of 2003 (22 U.S.C. 7701 et seq.) before, on or after enactment of this Act, and those made by subsection (b) shall apply prospectively to new compacts.

(d) MAINTAINING CANDIDATE STATUS FOR PURPOSES OF INCOME CATEGORY.—Section 606 of the Millennium Challenge Act of 2003 (22 U.S.C. 7705) is amended as follows:

(1) Section (a)(1) is amended by striking the words “Fiscal year 2004” and inserting “In general”, and by striking the words “for fiscal year 2004” and inserting “for a fiscal year”.

(2) Section (a)(1)(A) is stricken and replaced with the following: “The country has a per capita income equal to or below the World Bank’s lower middle income country threshold for the fiscal year involved and is among the 75 lowest per capita income countries as identified by the World Bank; and”;

(3) Section (a)(2) is stricken.

(4) Section (b)(1)(A) is stricken and replaced with the following: “has a per capita income equal to or below the World Bank’s lower middle income country threshold for the fiscal year involved and is not among the 75 lowest per capita income countries as identified by the World Bank; and”.

(e) Section 606 is amended by inserting the following—

“(d) INCOME CLASSIFICATION TRANSITION.—Any country with a per capita income that changes in a given fiscal year such that the country would be reclassified in that fiscal year from a low income country to a lower middle income country or from a lower mid-

dle income country to a low income country shall retain its candidacy status in its former income classification for the fiscal year of the country’s transition and the two subsequent fiscal years.”.

GLOBAL WOMEN’S ISSUES

SEC. 7086. (a) DEPARTMENT OF STATE OFFICE FOR GLOBAL WOMEN’S ISSUES.—There is established, in the Office of the Secretary of State, the Office for Global Women’s Issues (the Office). The Secretary of State may assign appropriate staff with relevant technical and operational expertise to the Office to carry out the purposes of this section.

(b) AMBASSADOR-AT-LARGE FOR GLOBAL WOMEN’S ISSUES.—The Office shall be headed by an Ambassador-at-Large for Global Women’s Issues (the Ambassador), who shall be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate; report directly to the Secretary of State; and have the rank and status of Ambassador-at-Large.

(1) DUTIES.—The Ambassador is authorized to—

(A) coordinate, advise on, promote and, where relevant, design and implement, activities, policies, programs, and funding of relevant bureaus and offices of the Department of State, and other relevant executive branch agencies, which relate to—

(i) gender integration;

(ii) women’s and girls’ health, economic, social and legal development, protection, improvement in role and status in society; and

(iii) prevention and response to violence against women and girls, including child and forced marriage;

(B) work with relevant offices within the Department of State, and in other relevant executive branch agencies, to promote the collection, retention, and analysis of data using internationally comparable indicators, norms and methodologies to the extent possible on programs and activities in paragraph (A); and

(C) subject to the direction of the President and the Secretary of State, represent the United States in matters relevant to the status of women internationally.

(c) INTERAGENCY COOPERATION.—On behalf of the Secretary of State, the Ambassador shall convene periodic meetings with other executive branch agencies to enhance and ensure effective coordination of policies, programs, and resources regarding critical issues related to international women’s status and development.

(d) UNITED STATES AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT GENDER INTEGRATION AND DEVELOPMENT ADVISOR.—There is established, within the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), the Gender Integration and Development Advisor (the Advisor), who shall be appointed by, and should report directly to, the USAID Administrator; be highly qualified in the areas of international development and gender integration; and participate in high-level strategic policy, planning, operations, and evaluations throughout all regional and functional disciplines of USAID.

(1) SUPPORT STAFF.—The Office of Women in International Development shall report directly to the Advisor. The USAID Administrator shall assign additional staff with technical and operational expertise as may be needed to assist the Advisor in carrying out the purposes of this section.

(2) DUTIES.—The Advisor is authorized to—

(A) coordinate and advise USAID efforts to integrate gender in foreign assistance design, strategy, and programs, including to make recommendations to the USAID Administrator regarding USAID policies, procedures, and budgeting;

(B) collect and make publicly available data and analysis on gender integration activities, women’s development, and strategies for gender-based violence prevention and response, in accordance with agency-wide mechanisms for data collection, monitoring, and evaluation; and

(C) provide recommendations to the Administrator and the Ambassador.

(e) STRATEGY.—Not later than 1 year after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State and the USAID Administrator shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, a 5-year strategy, developed by the Ambassador and the Advisor in consultation with other Federal agencies, multilateral organizations, foreign governments and United States and foreign civil society organizations with relevant expertise, to prevent and respond to violence against women and girls comprehensively in at least 5 developing countries with severe levels of violence, which shall include multi-sector approaches, clear and achievable goals and objectives, indicators and benchmarks for measuring progress, and expected impacts, and the role of local women’s organizations in implementation.

(f) CLARIFICATION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed as affecting in any way existing statutory prohibitions related to abortion or existing statutory prohibitions on the use of funds to lobby for or against abortion.

ASIAN DEVELOPMENT FUND AND ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK AUTHORIZATIONS

SEC. 7087. The Asian Development Bank Act (22 U.S.C. 285 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following—

“SEC. 33. NINTH REPLENISHMENT.

“(a) CONTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED.—The United States Governor of the Bank is authorized to contribute \$461,000,000 on behalf of the United States to the ninth replenishment of the resources of the Fund, to the extent such amounts are made available in advance through appropriations Acts.

“(b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—In order to pay for the United States contribution under subsection (a), there are authorized to be appropriated, without fiscal year limitation, \$461,000,000 for payment by the Secretary of the Treasury.

“SEC. 34. FIFTH CAPITAL INCREASE.

“(a) SUBSCRIPTION AUTHORIZED.—

“(1) The United States Governor of the Bank may subscribe on behalf of the United States to 1,104,420 additional shares of the capital stock of the Bank.

“(2) Any subscription by the United States to the capital stock of the Bank shall be effective only to such extent and in such amounts as are made available in advance through appropriations Acts.

“(b) LIMITATIONS ON AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

“(1) In order to pay for the increase in the United States subscription to the Bank under subsection (a), there are authorized to be appropriated, without fiscal year limitation, \$13,323,173,083 for payment by the Secretary of the Treasury.

“(2) Of the amount authorized to be appropriated under paragraph (1)—

“(A) \$532,929,240 shall be for paid in shares of the Bank; and

“(B) \$12,790,243,843 shall be for callable shares of the Bank.”.

INSPECTORS GENERAL PERSONNEL

SEC. 7088. (a)(1) The provisions in this section shall apply to the Inspector General of

the Department of State and the Inspector General of the United States Agency for International Development.

(2) The term "Government Employee" has the meaning given the term employee in section 2105 of title 5, United States Code.

(3) The Inspector General may waive any of the following provisions to employ annuitants (individuals who are entitled to benefits under a retirement system for Government employees): subsections (a) through (d) of section 8344 of title 5, United States Code; subsections (a), (b) and (e) of section 8468 of title 5, United States Code; subsections (a) through (d) of section 824 of the Foreign Service Act of 1980 (22 U.S.C. 4064); and any other similar provision of law, as identified by the Inspector General in regulations: *Provided*, That the Inspector General may exercise this authority: only on a case-by-case basis and only for so long as is necessary; when necessary due to exceptional difficulty in the recruitment or retention of a qualified employee for the position involved or a temporary emergency hiring need; as long as it does not cause the number of employees within the Office of Inspector General (OIG) employed under this or other similar authority to exceed, as of any given date, 15 percent of the total OIG workforce, determined on a full-time equivalent basis; and this authority is repealed on October 1, 2013, except that an annuitant re-employed pursuant to the waiver in this section before October 1, 2013, may continue such employment until not later than September 30, 2014.

(4) Nothing in this section may be construed to permit or require that any re-employed annuitant benefitting from a waiver of a provision of law set forth in this section be treated as a Government employee for purposes of the retirement system to which such provision relates.

(5) The Inspector General is authorized to obtain services under section 3109 of title 5, United States Code, without regard to subsections (d)(1) of such section, and is considered the head of the agency under subsection (b) of such section for purposes of exercising this authority.

(A) Services may be obtained by the Inspector General for a period of up to 1 year, with an option to extend such services for an additional 2 years, and that the total number of individuals employed under this section shall not exceed 15 percent of the total OIG workforce, determined on a full-time equivalent basis.

(B) The authority to obtain such services shall expire on September 30, 2014 except that an individual whose service under this subsection is procured before October 1, 2014, may continue to provide such service until not later than September 30, 2015.

(b) Section 5545a of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(1)(1) The provisions of subsections (a)–(h) providing for availability pay shall apply to a Foreign Service officer serving as a criminal investigator in the Office of the Inspector General of the United States Agency for International Development.

"(2) For the purpose of this section, section 5542(d) of this title, and section 13(a)(16) and (b)(30) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (29 U.S.C. 213(a)(16) and (b)(30)), such a Foreign Service officer shall be deemed to be a criminal investigator as defined in this section.

"(3) For purposes of this subsection, the term 'Foreign Service officer' means as defined in section 103 (1)–(4) of the Foreign Service Act of 1980, as amended (22 U.S.C. 2903 (1)–(4)).".

RESCISSIONS

SEC. 7089. (a) Of the unobligated balances available under the heading "Subsidy Appropriation" for the Export-Import Bank of the United States in title VI of the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2009 (division H of Public Law 111-8; 123 Stat. 846) and under such heading in prior acts making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs, \$160,000,000 are rescinded.

(b) Of the funds appropriated in prior Acts making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs under the heading "Diplomatic and Consular Programs", \$55,000,000, of which \$50,000,000 shall be from amounts made available for Worldwide Security Protection, are rescinded: *Provided*, That no amounts may be rescinded from amounts that were designated by the Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget or the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended.

(c) Of the unobligated balances available for the International Broadcasting Operations account, as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbol 95X0206, \$633,000 are rescinded.

(d) Of the amounts appropriated or otherwise made available by section 101 of the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2007 (division B of Public Law 109-289), as amended by section 2 of the Revised Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2007 (Public Law 110-5; 121 Stat. 8), for the Broadcasting Board of Governors under the heading "Broadcasting Capital Improvements" that remain available for obligation as of the date of the enactment of this Act, \$72,000 are rescinded.

(e) Of the unobligated balances available for the Child Survival and Health Program Fund account, as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbols 7206/111095 and 7207/121095, \$6,317,000 are rescinded.

(f) Of the unobligated balances available for the Development Assistance account, as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbols 7206/111021 and 7207/121021, \$4,928,000 are rescinded.

(g) Of the unobligated balances available for the Economic Support Fund account, as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbols 7206/111037, 7207/121037, \$6,179,000 are rescinded.

(h) Of the unobligated balances available for the Assistance for the Independent States of the Former Soviet Union account, as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbols 7206/111093 and 7207/121093, \$3,294,000 are rescinded.

(i) Of the unobligated balances available for the International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement account, as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbols, 11X1022, 1911X1022, 1106/121022, and 191105/111022, \$11,143,000 are rescinded.

(j) Of the unobligated balances available for the Assistance for Counternarcotics Activities account, as identified by Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbol, 19X1154, \$3,148,000 are rescinded.

This division may be cited as the "Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2011".

DIVISION L—TRANSPORTATION, AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Secretary, \$115,509,000, of which not to exceed \$2,667,000 shall be available for the immediate Office of the Secretary; not to exceed \$1,000,000 shall be available for the Immediate Office of the Deputy Secretary; not to exceed \$19,960,000 shall be available for the Office of the General Counsel; not to exceed \$16,568,000 shall be available for the Office of the Under Secretary of Transportation for Policy; not to exceed \$11,156,000 shall be available for the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Budget and Programs; not to exceed \$2,500,000 shall be available for the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Governmental Affairs; not to exceed \$25,695,000 shall be available for the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration; not to exceed \$2,055,000 shall be available for the Office of Public Affairs; not to exceed \$1,683,000 shall be available for the Office of the Executive Secretariat; not to exceed \$1,563,000 shall be available for the Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization; not to exceed \$10,999,000 for the Office of Intelligence, Security, and Emergency Response; and not to exceed \$19,663,000 shall be available for the Office of the Chief Information Officer: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Transportation is authorized to transfer funds appropriated for any office of the Office of the Secretary to any other office of the Office of the Secretary: *Provided further*, That no appropriation for any office shall be increased or decreased by more than 5 percent by all such transfers: *Provided further*, That notice of any change in funding greater than 5 percent shall be submitted for approval to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$60,000 shall be for allocation within the Department for official reception and representation expenses as the Secretary may determine: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, excluding fees authorized in Public Law 107-71, there may be credited to this appropriation up to \$2,500,000 in funds received in user fees: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided in this Act shall be available for the position of Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs.

NATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT

For capital investments in transportation infrastructure, \$500,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Transportation shall distribute funds provided under this heading as discretionary grants to be awarded to a State, local government, transit agency, or a collaboration among such entities on a competitive basis for projects that will have a significant impact on the Nation, a metropolitan area, or a region: *Provided further*, That projects eligible for funding provided under this heading shall include, but not be limited to, highway or bridge projects eligible under title 23, United States Code; public transportation projects eligible under chapter 53 of title 49, United States Code; passenger and freight rail transportation projects; and port infrastructure investments: *Provided further*, That in distributing funds provided under this heading, the Secretary shall take such measures so as to ensure an equitable geographic distribution of

funds, an appropriate balance in addressing the needs of urban and rural areas, and the investment in a variety of transportation modes: *Provided further*, That a grant funded under this heading shall be not less than \$10,000,000 and not greater than \$125,000,000: *Provided further*, That not more than 25 percent of the funds made available under this heading may be awarded to projects in a single State: *Provided further*, That the Federal share of the costs for which an expenditure is made under this heading shall be, at the option of the recipient, up to 80 percent: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall give priority to projects that require a contribution of Federal funds in order to complete an overall financing package: *Provided further*, That not less than \$100,000,000 of the funds provided under this heading shall be for projects located in rural areas: *Provided further*, That for projects located in rural areas, the minimum grant size shall be \$1,000,000 and the Secretary may increase the Federal share of costs above 80 percent: *Provided further*, That of the amount made available under this heading, the Secretary may transfer to the Federal Highway Administration an amount not to exceed \$60,000,000 for the purpose of paying the subsidy and administrative costs of projects eligible for federal credit assistance under chapter 6 of title 23, United States Code, if the Secretary finds that such use of the funds would advance the purposes of this paragraph: *Provided further*, That of the amount made available under this heading, the Secretary may use an amount not to exceed \$20,000,000 for the planning, preparation or design of projects eligible for funding under this heading: *Provided further*, That projects conducted using funds provided under this heading must comply with the requirements of subchapter IV of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall publish criteria on which to base the competition for any grants awarded under this heading no sooner than 60 days after enactment of this Act, require applications for funding provided under this heading to be submitted no sooner than 120 days after the publication of such criteria, and announce all projects selected to be funded from funds provided under this heading no sooner than September 15, 2011: *Provided further*, That the Secretary may retain up to \$20,000,000 of the funds provided under this heading, and may transfer portions of those funds to the Administrators of the Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Transit Administration, the Federal Railroad Administration, and the Federal Maritime Administration, to fund the award and oversight of surface transportation grants.

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT CAPITAL

For necessary expenses for upgrading and enhancing the Department of Transportation's financial systems and re-engineering business processes, \$20,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2014.

CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVES

For necessary one-time expenses for cyber security initiatives, including improvement of network perimeter controls and identity management, testing and assessment of information technology against business, security, and other requirements, implementation of Federal cyber security initiatives and information infrastructure enhancements, implementation of enhanced security controls on network devices, and enhancement of cyber security workforce training tools, \$30,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2014.

OFFICE OF CIVIL RIGHTS

For necessary expenses of the Office of Civil Rights, \$9,767,000.

TRANSPORTATION PLANNING, RESEARCH, AND DEVELOPMENT

For necessary expenses for conducting transportation planning, research, systems development, development activities, and making grants, to remain available until expended, \$16,769,000.

WORKING CAPITAL FUND

For necessary expenses for operating costs and capital outlays of the Working Capital Fund, not to exceed \$148,096,000, shall be paid from appropriations made available to the Department of Transportation: *Provided*, That such services shall be provided on a competitive basis to entities within the Department of Transportation: *Provided further*, That the above limitation on operating expenses shall not apply to non-DOT entities: *Provided further*, That no funds appropriated in this Act to an agency of the Department shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund without the approval of the agency modal administrator: *Provided further*, That no assessments may be levied against any program, budget activity, subactivity or project funded by this Act unless notice of such assessments and the basis therefor are presented to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations and are approved by such Committees.

MINORITY BUSINESS RESOURCE CENTER PROGRAM

For the cost of guaranteed loans, \$329,000, as authorized by 49 U.S.C. 332: *Provided*, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: *Provided further*, That these funds are available to subsidize total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed, not to exceed \$18,367,000. In addition, for administrative expenses to carry out the guaranteed loan program, \$584,000.

MINORITY BUSINESS OUTREACH

For necessary expenses of Minority Business Resource Center outreach activities, \$3,553,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That notwithstanding 49 U.S.C. 332, these funds may be used for business opportunities related to any mode of transportation.

PAYMENTS TO AIR CARRIERS (AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND) (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

In addition to funds made available from any other source to carry out the essential air service program under 49 U.S.C. 41731 through 41742, \$146,000,000, to be derived from the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That, in determining between or among carriers competing to provide service to a community, the Secretary may consider the relative subsidy requirements of the carriers: *Provided further*, That, if the funds under this heading are insufficient to meet the costs of the essential air service program in the current fiscal year, the Secretary shall transfer such sums as may be necessary to carry out the essential air service program from any available amounts appropriated to or directly administered by the Office of the Secretary for such fiscal year.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION

SEC. 101. None of the funds made available in this Act to the Department of Transportation may be obligated for the Office of the

Secretary of Transportation to approve assessments or reimbursable agreements pertaining to funds appropriated to the modal administrations in this Act, except for activities underway on the date of enactment of this Act, unless such assessments or agreements have completed the normal reprogramming process for Congressional notification.

SEC. 102. None of the funds made available under this Act may be obligated or expended to establish or implement a program under which essential air service communities are required to assume subsidy costs commonly referred to as the EAS local participation program.

SEC. 103. The Secretary or his designee may engage in activities with States and State legislators to consider proposals related to the reduction of motorcycle fatalities.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION OPERATIONS (AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND) (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Federal Aviation Administration, not otherwise provided for, including operations and research activities related to commercial space transportation, administrative expenses for research and development, establishment of air navigation facilities, the operation (including leasing) and maintenance of aircraft, subsidizing the cost of aeronautical charts and maps sold to the public, lease or purchase of passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, in addition to amounts made available by Public Law 108-176, \$9,817,739,000, of which \$4,559,000,000 shall be derived from the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, of which not to exceed \$7,653,128,000 shall be available for air traffic organization activities; not to exceed \$1,304,486,000 shall be available for aviation safety activities; not to exceed \$16,747,000 shall be available for commercial space transportation activities; not to exceed \$114,784,000 shall be available for financial services activities; not to exceed \$103,297,000 shall be available for human resources program activities; not to exceed \$361,354,000 shall be available for region and center operations and regional coordination activities; not to exceed \$208,644,000 shall be available for staff offices; and not to exceed \$55,949,000 shall be available for information services: *Provided*, That the Secretary utilize not less than \$18,000,000 of the funds provided for aviation safety activities to pay for staff increases in the Office of Aviation Flight Standards and the Office of Aircraft Certification: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided for increases to the staffs of the aviation flight standards and aircraft certification offices shall be used for other purposes: *Provided further*, That not to exceed 2 percent of any budget activity, except for aviation safety budget activity, may be transferred to any budget activity under this heading: *Provided further*, That no transfer may increase or decrease any appropriation by more than 2 percent: *Provided further*, That any transfer in excess of 2 percent shall be treated as a reprogramming of funds under section 405 of this Act and shall not be available for obligation or expenditure except in compliance with the procedures set forth in that section: *Provided further*, That the Administrator shall study and report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations various alternatives for developing an objective, data-driven test to be used in the placement of air traffic controllers after the successful completion of their training

at the Federal Aviation Administration Academy: *Provided further*, That such study shall include an evaluation of the amount of training controllers should receive at the Academy: *Provided further*, That not later than March 31 of each fiscal year hereafter, the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration shall transmit to Congress an annual update to the report submitted to Congress in December 2004 pursuant to section 221 of Public Law 108-176: *Provided further*, That the amount herein appropriated shall be reduced by \$100,000 for each day after March 31 that such report has not been submitted to the Congress: *Provided further*, That not later than March 31 of each fiscal year hereafter, the Administrator shall transmit to Congress a companion report that describes a comprehensive strategy for staffing, hiring, and training flight standards and aircraft certification staff in a format similar to the one utilized for the controller staffing plan, including stated attrition estimates and numerical hiring goals by fiscal year, and a benchmark for assessing the amount of time aviation inspectors spend directly observing industry field operations: *Provided further*, That the amount herein appropriated shall be reduced by \$100,000 per day for each day after March 31 that such report has not been submitted to Congress: *Provided further*, That funds may be used to enter into a grant agreement with a non-profit standard-setting organization to assist in the development of aviation safety standards: *Provided further*, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for new applicants for the second career training program: *Provided further*, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for the Federal Aviation Administration to finalize or implement any regulation that would promulgate new aviation user fees not specifically authorized by law after the date of the enactment of this Act: *Provided further*, That there may be credited to this appropriation as offsetting collections funds received from States, counties, municipalities, foreign authorities, other public authorities, and private sources for expenses incurred in the provision of agency services, including receipts for the maintenance and operation of air navigation facilities, and for issuance, renewal or modification of certificates, including airman, aircraft, and repair station certificates, or for tests related thereto, or for processing major repair or alteration forms: *Provided further*, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, not less than \$9,500,000 shall be for the contract tower cost-sharing program: *Provided further*, That none of the funds in this Act for aeronautical charting and cartography are available for activities conducted by, or coordinated through, the Working Capital Fund.

FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT

(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, for acquisition, establishment, technical support services, improvement by contract or purchase, and hire of national airspace systems and experimental facilities and equipment, as authorized under part A of subtitle VII of title 49, United States Code, including initial acquisition of necessary sites by lease or grant; engineering and service testing, including construction of test facilities and acquisition of necessary sites by lease or grant; construction and furnishing of quarters and related accommodations for officers and employees of the Federal Aviation Administration stationed at remote localities where such accommodations are not available; and the purchase, lease, or trans-

fer of aircraft from funds available under this heading, including aircraft for aviation regulation and certification; to be derived from the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, \$2,990,000,000, of which \$2,508,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2013, and of which \$482,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2011: *Provided*, That of the funds provided under this heading, \$25,000,000 is available for next generation air transportation equipment: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Transportation shall use existing authorities to distribute funds made available for next generation air transportation equipment under the previous proviso to air carriers, other certificate holders, and avionics manufacturers, or a collaboration among such entities, on a competitive basis for projects that will demonstrate significant benefits to the public, aviation industry or aircraft operators, and take such measures so as to give priority to maximizing the anticipated public benefit and participant contribution: *Provided further*, That the Federal share of the costs for which an expenditure is made for next generation transportation equipment shall not exceed 80 percent of the total cost of the proposed equipment program: *Provided further*, That there may be credited to this appropriation funds received from States, counties, municipalities, other public authorities, and private sources, for expenses incurred in the establishment, improvement, and modernization of national airspace systems: *Provided further*, That upon initial submission to the Congress of the fiscal year 2012 President's budget, the Secretary of Transportation shall transmit to the Congress a comprehensive capital investment plan for the Federal Aviation Administration which includes funding for each budget line item for fiscal years 2012 through 2016, with total funding for each year of the plan constrained to the funding targets for those years as estimated and approved by the Office of Management and Budget.

RESEARCH, ENGINEERING, AND DEVELOPMENT

(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, for research, engineering, and development, as authorized under part A of subtitle VII of title 49, United States Code, including construction of experimental facilities and acquisition of necessary sites by lease or grant, \$198,000,000, to be derived from the Airport and Airway Trust Fund and to remain available until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That there may be credited to this appropriation as offsetting collections, funds received from States, counties, municipalities, other public authorities, and private sources, which shall be available for expenses incurred for research, engineering, and development.

GRANTS-IN-AID FOR AIRPORTS

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

For liquidation of obligations incurred for grants-in-aid for airport planning and development, and noise compatibility planning and programs as authorized under subchapter I of chapter 471 and subchapter I of chapter 475 of title 49, United States Code, and under other law authorizing such obligations; for procurement, installation, and commissioning of runway incursion prevention devices and systems at airports of such title; for grants authorized under section 41743 of title 49, United States Code; and for inspection activities and administration of

airport safety programs, including those related to airport operating certificates under section 44706 of title 49, United States Code, \$3,550,000,000 to be derived from the Airport and Airway Trust Fund and to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That none of the funds under this heading shall be available for the planning or execution of programs the obligations for which are in excess of \$3,515,000,000 in fiscal year 2011, notwithstanding section 47117(g) of title 49, United States Code: *Provided further*, That none of the funds under this heading shall be available for the replacement of baggage conveyor systems, reconfiguration of terminal baggage areas, or other airport improvements that are necessary to install bulk explosive detection systems: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, of funds limited under this heading, not more than \$99,622,000 shall be obligated for administration, not less than \$15,000,000 shall be available for the airport cooperative research program, not less than \$27,217,000 shall be for Airport Technology Research.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

SEC. 110. None of the funds in this Act may be used to compensate in excess of 600 technical staff-years under the federally funded research and development center contract between the Federal Aviation Administration and the Center for Advanced Aviation Systems Development during fiscal year 2011.

SEC. 111. None of the funds in this Act shall be used to pursue or adopt guidelines or regulations requiring airport sponsors to provide to the Federal Aviation Administration without cost building construction, maintenance, utilities and expenses, or space in airport sponsor-owned buildings for services relating to air traffic control, air navigation, or weather reporting: *Provided*, That the prohibition of funds in this section does not apply to negotiations between the agency and airport sponsors to achieve agreement on "below-market" rates for these items or to grant assurances that require airport sponsors to provide land without cost to the FAA for air traffic control facilities.

SEC. 112. The Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration may reimburse amounts made available to satisfy 49 U.S.C. 41742(a)(1) from fees credited under 49 U.S.C. 45303: *Provided*, That during fiscal year 2010, 49 U.S.C. 41742(b) shall not apply, and any amount remaining in such account at the close of that fiscal year may be made available to satisfy section 41742(a)(1) for the subsequent fiscal year.

SEC. 113. Amounts collected under section 40113(e) of title 49, United States Code, shall be credited to the appropriation current at the time of collection, to be merged with and available for the same purposes of such appropriation.

SEC. 114. None of the funds appropriated or limited by this Act may be used to change weight restrictions or prior permission rules at Teterboro airport in Teterboro, New Jersey.

SEC. 115. None of the funds limited by this Act for grants under the Airport Improvement Program shall be made available to the sponsor of a commercial service airport if such sponsor fails to agree to a request from the Secretary of Transportation for cost-free space in a nonrevenue producing, public use area of the airport terminal or other airport facilities for the purpose of carrying out a public service air passenger rights and consumer outreach campaign.

SEC. 116. None of the funds in this Act shall be available for paying premium pay under

subsection 5546(a) of title 5, United States Code, to any Federal Aviation Administration employee unless such employee actually performed work during the time corresponding to such premium pay.

SEC. 117. None of the funds in this Act may be obligated or expended for an employee of the Federal Aviation Administration to purchase a store gift card or gift certificate through use of a Government-issued credit card.

SEC. 118. The Secretary shall apportion to the sponsor of an airport that received scheduled or unscheduled air service from a large certified air carrier (as defined in part 241 of title 14 Code of Federal Regulations, or such other regulations as may be issued by the Secretary under the authority of section 41709) an amount equal to the minimum apportionment specified in 49 U.S.C. 47114(c), if the Secretary determines that airport had more than 10,000 passenger boardings in the preceding calendar year, based on data submitted to the Secretary under part 241 of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 119. None of the funds in this Act may be obligated or expended for retention bonuses for an employee of the Federal Aviation Administration without the prior written approval of the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Administration of the Department of Transportation.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Not to exceed \$420,843,000, together with advances and reimbursements received by the Federal Highway Administration, shall be paid in accordance with law from appropriations made available by this Act to the Federal Highway Administration for necessary expenses for administration and operation. In addition, not to exceed \$3,300,000 shall be paid from appropriations made available by this Act and transferred to the Appalachian Regional Commission in accordance with section 104 of title 23, United States Code.

FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS
(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)
(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

None of the funds in this Act shall be available for the implementation or execution of programs, the obligations for which are in excess of \$41,776,000,000 for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs for fiscal year 2011: *Provided*, That within the \$41,776,000,000 obligation limitation on Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs, not more than \$429,800,000 shall be available for the implementation or execution of programs for transportation research (chapter 5 of title 23, United States Code; sections 111, 5505, and 5506 of title 49, United States Code; and title 5 of Public Law 109-59) for fiscal year 2011: *Provided further*, That this limitation on transportation research programs shall not apply to any authority previously made available for obligation: *Provided further*, That the Secretary may, as authorized by section 605(b) of title 23, United States Code, collect and spend fees to cover the costs of services of expert firms, including counsel, in the field of municipal and project finance to assist in the underwriting and servicing of Federal credit instruments and all or a portion of the costs to the Federal Government of servicing such credit instruments: *Provided further*, That such fees are available until expended to pay for such costs: *Provided further*, That such amounts are in addition to administrative expenses that are also

available for such purpose, and are not subject to any obligation limitation or the limitation on administrative expenses under section 608 of title 23, United States Code.

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)
(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

For carrying out the provisions of title 23, United States Code, that are attributable to Federal-aid highways, not otherwise provided, including reimbursement for sums expended pursuant to the provisions of 23 U.S.C. 308, \$42,515,000,000 or so much thereof as may be available in and derived from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account), to remain available until expended.

(RESCISSION OF UNOBLIGATED BALANCES)
(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

Unobligated balances of funds made available for obligation under 23 U.S.C. 320, section 147 of Public Law 95-599, section 9(c) of Public Law 97-134, section 149 of Public Law 100-17, and sections 1006, 1069, 1103, 1104, 1105, 1106, 1107, 1108, 6005, 6015, and 6023 of Public Law 102-240 are permanently rescinded. In addition, the unobligated balance available on September 30, 2011, under section 1602 of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (Public Law 105-178) for each project for which less than 10 percent of the amount authorized for such project under such section has been obligated is permanently rescinded. In addition, of the amounts authorized for fiscal years 2005 through 2009 in section 1101(a)(16) of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109-59) to carry out the high priority projects program under section 117 of title 23, United States Code, that are not allocated for projects described in section 1702 of such Act, \$8,190,335 are permanently rescinded.

PLANNING CAPACITY GRANTS

For activities eligible under sections 134 and 135 of title 23, United States Code, and sections 5303 and 5304 of title 49 of such Code, \$100,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Transportation shall distribute funds provided under this heading as discretionary grants to be awarded to a metropolitan planning organization, or to a State, local, tribal government, or agency thereof, on a competitive basis for activities that will improve surface transportation planning: *Provided further*, That not less than \$25,000,000 of the funds provided under this heading shall be for grants that improve planning for rural areas: *Provided further*, That up to \$12,000,000 of the funds provided under this heading may be for grants that improve public involvement in surface transportation planning: *Provided further*, That a grant funded under this heading shall be not greater than \$5,000,000: *Provided further*, That the Federal share of the costs for which an expenditure is made under this heading shall be 80 percent: *Provided further*, That the Secretary may retain up to 1 percent of the funds provided under this section to fund the award and oversight of grants made under this heading: *Provided further*, That of the funds retained under the previous proviso, 50 percent shall be available to the Federal Highway Administration and 50 percent shall be transferred to the Federal Transit Administration.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—FEDERAL
HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
(INCLUDING RESCISSIONS)

SEC. 120. (a) For fiscal year 2011, the Secretary of Transportation shall—

(1) not distribute from the obligation limitation for Federal-aid highways amounts authorized for administrative expenses and programs by section 104(a) of title 23, United States Code; programs funded from the administrative takedown authorized by section 104(a)(1) of title 23, United States Code (as in effect on the date before the date of enactment of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users); the highway use tax evasion program; and the Bureau of Transportation Statistics;

(2) not distribute an amount from the obligation limitation for Federal-aid highways that is equal to the unobligated balance of amounts made available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) for Federal-aid highways and highway safety programs for previous fiscal years the funds for which are allocated by the Secretary;

(3) determine the ratio that—

(A) the obligation limitation for Federal-aid highways, less the aggregate of amounts not distributed under paragraphs (1) and (2), bears to

(B) the total of the sums authorized to be appropriated for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs (other than sums authorized to be appropriated for provisions of law described in paragraphs (1) through (9) of subsection (b) and sums authorized to be appropriated for section 105 of title 23, United States Code, equal to the amount referred to in subsection (b)(10) for such fiscal year), less the aggregate of the amounts not distributed under paragraphs (1) and (2) of this subsection;

(4)(A) distribute the obligation limitation for Federal-aid highways, less the aggregate amounts not distributed under paragraphs (1) and (2), for sections 1301, 1302, and 1934 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users; sections 117 (but individually for each project numbered 1 through 3676 listed in the table contained in section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users) and section 144(g) of title 23, United States Code; and section 14501 of title 40, United States Code, so that the amount of obligation authority available for each of such sections is equal to the amount determined by multiplying the ratio determined under paragraph (3) by the sums authorized to be appropriated for that section for the fiscal year; and

(B) distribute \$2,000,000,000 for section 105 of title 23, United States Code;

(5) distribute the obligation limitation provided for Federal-aid highways, less the aggregate amounts not distributed under paragraphs (1) and (2) and amounts distributed under paragraph (4), for each of the programs that are allocated by the Secretary under the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users and title 23, United States Code (other than to programs to which paragraphs (1) and (4) apply), by multiplying the ratio determined under paragraph (3) by the amounts authorized to be appropriated for each such program for such fiscal year; and

(6) distribute the obligation limitation provided for Federal-aid highways, less the aggregate amounts not distributed under paragraphs (1) and (2) and amounts distributed under paragraphs (4) and (5), for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs (other than the amounts apportioned for the equity bonus program, but only to the extent that the amounts apportioned for the equity bonus program for the

fiscal year are greater than \$2,639,000,000, and the Appalachian development highway system program) that are apportioned by the Secretary under the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users and title 23, United States Code, in the ratio that—

(A) amounts authorized to be appropriated for such programs that are apportioned to each State for such fiscal year, bear to

(B) the total of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for such programs that are apportioned to all States for such fiscal year.

(b) EXCEPTIONS FROM OBLIGATION LIMITATION.—The obligation limitation for Federal-aid highways shall not apply to obligations: (1) under section 125 of title 23, United States Code; (2) under section 147 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1978; (3) under section 9 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1981; (4) under subsections (b) and (j) of section 131 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982; (5) under subsections (b) and (c) of section 149 of the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987; (6) under sections 1103 through 1108 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991; (7) under section 157 of title 23, United States Code, as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century; (8) under section 105 of title 23, United States Code, as in effect for fiscal years 1998 through 2004, but only in an amount equal to \$639,000,000 for each of those fiscal years; (9) for Federal-aid highway programs for which obligation authority was made available under the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century or subsequent public laws for multiple years or to remain available until used, but only to the extent that the obligation authority has not lapsed or been used; (10) under section 105 of title 23, United States Code, but only in an amount equal to \$639,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2005 through 2011; and (11) under section 1603 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users, to the extent that funds obligated in accordance with that section were not subject to a limitation on obligations at the time at which the funds were initially made available for obligation.

(c) REDISTRIBUTION OF UNUSED OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), the Secretary shall, after August 1 of such fiscal year, revise a distribution of the obligation limitation made available under subsection (a) if the amount distributed cannot be obligated during that fiscal year and redistribute sufficient amounts to those States able to obligate amounts in addition to those previously distributed during that fiscal year, giving priority to those States having large unobligated balances of funds apportioned under sections 104 and 144 of title 23, United States Code.

(d) APPLICABILITY OF OBLIGATION LIMITATIONS TO TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH PROGRAMS.—The obligation limitation shall apply to transportation research programs carried out under chapter 5 of title 23, United States Code, and title V (research title) of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users, except that obligation authority made available for such programs under such limitation shall remain available for a period of 3 fiscal years and shall be in addition to the amount of any limitation imposed on obligations for Federal-aid highway and highway safety construction programs for future fiscal years.

(e) REDISTRIBUTION OF CERTAIN AUTHORIZED FUNDS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the distribution of obligation limitation under subsection (a), the Secretary shall distribute to the States any funds that—

(A) are authorized to be appropriated for such fiscal year for Federal-aid highways programs; and

(B) the Secretary determines will not be allocated to the States, and will not be available for obligation, in such fiscal year due to the imposition of any obligation limitation for such fiscal year.

(2) RATIO.—Funds shall be distributed under paragraph (1) in the same ratio as the distribution of obligation authority under subsection (a)(6).

(3) AVAILABILITY.—Funds distributed under paragraph (1) shall be available for any purposes described in section 133(b) of title 23, United States Code.

(f) SPECIAL LIMITATION CHARACTERISTICS.—Obligation limitation distributed for a fiscal year under subsection (a)(4) for the provision specified in subsection (a)(4) shall—

(1) remain available until used for obligation of funds for that provision; and

(2) be in addition to the amount of any limitation imposed on obligations for Federal-aid highway and highway safety construction programs for future fiscal years.

(g) HIGH PRIORITY PROJECT FLEXIBILITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), obligation authority distributed for such fiscal year under subsection (a)(4) for each project numbered 1 through 3676 listed in the table contained in section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users may be obligated for any other project in such section in the same State.

(2) RESTORATION.—Obligation authority used as described in paragraph (1) shall be restored to the original purpose on the date on which obligation authority is distributed under this section for the next fiscal year following obligation under paragraph (1).

(h) LIMITATION ON STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the distribution of obligation authority under subsection (a)(4)(A) for each of the individual projects numbered greater than 3676 listed in the table contained in section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users.

SEC. 121. Notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, funds received by the Bureau of Transportation Statistics from the sale of data products, for necessary expenses incurred pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 111 may be credited to the Federal-aid highways account for the purpose of reimbursing the Bureau for such expenses: *Provided*, That such funds shall be subject to the obligation limitation for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction.

SEC. 122. Not less than 15 days prior to waiving, under his statutory authority, any Buy America requirement for Federal-aid highway projects, the Secretary of Transportation shall make an informal public notice and comment opportunity on the intent to issue such waiver and the reasons therefor: *Provided*, That the Secretary shall provide an annual report to the Appropriations Committees of the Congress on any waivers granted under the Buy America requirements.

SEC. 123. (a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), none of the funds made available, limited, or otherwise affected by this Act shall be used to approve or otherwise authorize the imposition of any

toll on any segment of highway located on the Federal-aid system in the State of Texas that—

(1) as of the date of enactment of this Act, is not tolled;

(2) is constructed with Federal assistance provided under title 23, United States Code; and

(3) is in actual operation as of the date of enactment of this Act.

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—

(1) NUMBER OF TOLL LANES.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to any segment of highway on the Federal-aid system described in that subsection that, as of the date on which a toll is imposed on the segment, will have the same number of nontoll lanes as were in existence prior to that date.

(2) HIGH-OCCUPANCY VEHICLE LANES.—A high-occupancy vehicle lane that is converted to a toll lane shall not be subject to this section, and shall not be considered to be a nontoll lane for purposes of determining whether a highway will have fewer nontoll lanes than prior to the date of imposition of the toll, if—

(A) high-occupancy vehicles occupied by the number of passengers specified by the entity operating the toll lane may use the toll lane without paying a toll, unless otherwise specified by the appropriate county, town, municipal or other local government entity, or public toll road or transit authority; or

(B) each high-occupancy vehicle lane that was converted to a toll lane was constructed as a temporary lane to be replaced by a toll lane under a plan approved by the appropriate county, town, municipal or other local government entity, or public toll road or transit authority.

SEC. 124. There is hereby appropriated to the Secretary of Transportation for the necessary expenses of certain highway and surface transportation projects, \$226,860,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the amount provided by this section shall be made available for the programs, projects, and activities identified under this section in the Committee report accompanying this Act: *Provided further*, That funds provided by this section, at the request of a State, shall be transferred by the Secretary of Transportation to another Federal agency: *Provided further*, That the Federal share payable on account of any program, project, or activity carried out with funds provided under this section shall be 100 percent: *Provided further*, That none of the funds set aside by this section shall be subject to any limitation on obligations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs set forth in this Act or any other Act.

SEC. 125. Of the unobligated balances made available under Public Law 101-516, Public Law 102-143, Public Law 103-331, and Public Law 106-346, \$33,905,809 are rescinded: *Provided*, That in administering the rescission required under this section, the Secretary of Transportation shall first consider: (1) projects where the designated purpose has been completed and the remaining funds are no longer needed to meet that purpose; and (2) projects with more than 90 percent of the appropriated amount remaining available for obligation.

SEC. 126. Of the amounts made available for "Highway Related Safety Grants" by section 402 of title 23, United States Code, and administered by the Federal Highway Administration, \$3,651 in unobligated balances are rescinded.

SEC. 127. For the Capitol Street Renaissance Project transportation improvements,

MS; the Interstate 55 Interchange Lighting, MS; the Jonestown Bypass, MS; and the Statesman Boulevard and Trail, MS; as listed under the heading Delta Region Transportation Development Program in the explanatory statement accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-117), \$901,018, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the amount provided under this section shall be distributed among the listed projects in proportion to the listed dollar amount of each such project so that each project so listed be funded at an amount not to exceed 93.5 percent of the amount so authorized: *Provided further*, That the funds provided under this section shall be administered in the same manner as the funds authorized under section 1308 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109-59): *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided under this section shall be subject to any limitation on obligations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs set forth in this Act or any other Act.

FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY
ADMINISTRATION

MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY OPERATIONS AND
PROGRAMS

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

For payment of obligations incurred in the implementation, execution and administration of motor carrier safety operations and programs pursuant to section 31104(i) of title 49, United States Code, and sections 4127 and 4134 of Public Law 109-59, \$252,553,000, to be derived from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account), together with advances and reimbursements received by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, the sum of which shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That none of the funds derived from the Highway Trust Fund in this Act shall be available for the implementation, execution or administration of programs, the obligations for which are in excess of \$252,553,000, for "Motor Carrier Safety Operations and Programs" of which \$8,586,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013, is for the research and technology program and \$1,000,000 shall be available for commercial motor vehicle operator's grants to carry out section 4134 of Public Law 109-59: *Provided further*, That an additional \$7,325,000 shall be appropriated from the Highway Trust Fund for the execution and administration of information management operations and programs: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, none of the funds under this heading for outreach and education shall be available for transfer: *Provided further*, That the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration shall transmit to Congress a report on March 30, 2011, and September 30, 2011, on the agency's ability to meet its requirement to conduct compliance reviews on high-risk carriers.

MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY GRANTS

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

(INCLUDING RESCISSION)

For payment of obligations incurred in carrying out sections 31102, 31104(a), 31106, 31107, 31109, 31309, 31313 of title 49, United States Code, and sections 4126 and 4128 of Public Law 109-59, \$310,070,000, to be derived

from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) and to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for the implementation or execution of programs, the obligations for which are in excess of \$310,070,000, for "Motor Carrier Safety Grants"; of which \$212,070,000 shall be available for the motor carrier safety assistance program to carry out sections 31102 and 31104(a) of title 49, United States Code; \$25,000,000 shall be available for the commercial driver's license improvements program to carry out section 31313 of title 49, United States Code; \$32,000,000 shall be available for the border enforcement grants program to carry out section 31107 of title 49, United States Code; \$5,000,000 shall be available for the performance and registration information system management program to carry out sections 31106(b) and 31109 of title 49, United States Code; \$25,000,000 shall be available for the commercial vehicle information systems and networks deployment program to carry out section 4126 of Public Law 109-59; \$3,000,000 shall be available for the safety data improvement program to carry out section 4128 of Public Law 109-59; and \$8,000,000 shall be available for the commercial driver's license information system modernization program to carry out section 31309(e) of title 49, United States Code: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available for the motor carrier safety assistance program, \$32,000,000 shall be available for audits of new entrant motor carriers: *Provided further*, That of the amount made available under this heading for the commercial driver's license information system modernization program, \$3,000,000 shall be made available for audits of new entrant motor carriers to carry out section 4107(b) of Public Law 109-59, and 31104(a) of title 49, United States Code, and \$5,000,000 shall be made available for the commercial driver's license improvements program to carry out section 31313 of title 49, United States Code: *Provided further*, That \$30,569,000 in unobligated balances are permanently rescinded.

MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

(RESCISSION)

Of the amounts made available under this heading in prior appropriations Acts, \$7,330,000 in unobligated balances are permanently rescinded.

NATIONAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY PROGRAM

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

(RESCISSION)

Of the amounts made available under this heading in prior appropriations Acts, \$15,076,000 in unobligated balances are permanently rescinded.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION—FEDERAL MOTOR
CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

SEC. 135. Funds appropriated or limited in this Act shall be subject to the terms and conditions stipulated in section 350 of Public Law 107-87 and section 6901 of Public Law 110-28, including that the Secretary submit a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees annually on the safety and security of transportation into the United States by Mexico-domiciled motor carriers.

NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY
ADMINISTRATION

OPERATIONS AND RESEARCH

For expenses necessary to discharge the functions of the Secretary, with respect to traffic and highway safety under subtitle C of title X of Public Law 109-59 and chapter

301 and part C of subtitle VI of title 49, United States Code, \$163,177,000, of which \$44,945,000 shall remain available through September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That none of the funds appropriated by this Act may be obligated or expended to plan, finalize, or implement any rulemaking to add to section 575.104 of title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations any requirement pertaining to a grading standard that is different from the three grading standards (treadwear, traction, and temperature resistance) already in effect.

OPERATIONS AND RESEARCH

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

For payment of obligations incurred in carrying out the provisions of 23 U.S.C. 403, \$110,073,000 to be derived from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) and to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for the planning or execution of programs the total obligations for which, in fiscal year 2011, are in excess of \$110,073,000 for programs authorized under 23 U.S.C. 403: *Provided further*, That within the \$110,073,000 obligation limitation for operations and research, \$29,737,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012 and shall be in addition to the amount of any limitation imposed on obligations for future years.

NATIONAL DRIVER REGISTER

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

For payment of obligations incurred in carrying out chapter 303 of title 49, United States Code, \$4,170,000, to be derived from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) and to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for the implementation or execution of programs the total obligations for which, in fiscal year 2011, are in excess of \$4,170,000 for the National Driver Register authorized under such chapter.

NATIONAL DRIVER REGISTER MODERNIZATION

For an additional amount for the "National Driver Register" as authorized by chapter 303 of title 49, United States Code, \$2,530,000, to remain available through September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That the funding made available under this heading shall be used to continue the modernization of the National Driver Register.

HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY GRANTS

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

For payment of obligations incurred in carrying out the provisions of 23 U.S.C. 402, 405, 406, 408, and 410 and sections 2001(a)(11), 2009, 2010, and 2011 of Public Law 109-59, to remain available until expended, \$611,828,000 to be derived from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account): *Provided*, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for the planning or execution of programs the total obligations for which, in fiscal year 2011, are in excess of \$611,828,000 for programs authorized under 23 U.S.C. 402, 405, 406, 408, and 410 and sections 2001(a)(11), 2009, 2010, and 2011 of Public Law 109-59, of which \$235,000,000 shall be for "Highway Safety Programs" under 23 U.S.C. 402; \$25,000,000 shall be for "Occupant Protection Incentive Grants" under 23 U.S.C. 405;

\$110,000,000 shall be for "Safety Belt Performance Grants" under 23 U.S.C. 406, and such obligation limitation shall remain available until September 30, 2012 in accordance with subsection (f) of such section 406 and shall be in addition to the amount of any limitation imposed on obligations for such grants for future fiscal years, of which up to \$50,000,000 may be made available by the Secretary as grants to States that enact and enforce laws to prevent distracted driving; \$34,500,000 shall be for "State Traffic Safety Information System Improvements" under 23 U.S.C. 408; \$139,000,000 shall be for "Alcohol-Impaired Driving Countermeasures Incentive Grant Program" under 23 U.S.C. 410; \$25,328,000 shall be for "Administrative Expenses" under section 2001(a)(11) of Public Law 109-59; \$29,000,000 shall be for "High Visibility Enforcement Program" under section 2009 of Public Law 109-59; \$7,000,000 shall be for "Motorcyclist Safety" under section 2010 of Public Law 109-59; and \$7,000,000 shall be for "Child Safety and Child Booster Seat Safety Incentive Grants" under section 2011 of Public Law 109-59: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available for grants to States that enact and enforce laws to prevent distracted driving, up to \$5,000,000 may be available for the development, production, and use of broadcast and print media advertising for distracted driving prevention: *Provided further*, That none of these funds shall be used for construction, rehabilitation, or remodeling costs, or for office furnishings and fixtures for State, local or private buildings or structures: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$500,000 of the funds made available for section 410 "Alcohol-Impaired Driving Countermeasures Grants" shall be available for technical assistance to the States: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$750,000 of the funds made available for the "High Visibility Enforcement Program" shall be available for the evaluation required under section 2009(f) of Public Law 109-59.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—NATIONAL
HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION
(INCLUDING RESCISSIONS)

SEC. 140. Notwithstanding any other provision of law or limitation on the use of funds made available under section 403 of title 23, United States Code, an additional \$130,000 shall be made available to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, out of the amount limited for section 402 of title 23, United States Code, to pay for travel and related expenses for State management reviews and to pay for core competency development training and related expenses for highway safety staff.

SEC. 141. The limitations on obligations for the programs of the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration set in this Act shall not apply to obligations for which obligation authority was made available in previous public laws for multiple years but only to the extent that the obligation authority has not lapsed or been used.

SEC. 142. Of the amounts available for the Consumer Assistance to Recycle and Save Program, \$16,000,000 in unobligated balances are rescinded.

SEC. 143. Of the amounts made available under the heading "National Driver Register (Liquidation of Contract Authorization) (Limitation on Obligations) (Highway Trust Fund)" in prior appropriations Acts, \$24,000 in unobligated balances are permanently rescinded.

SEC. 144. Of the amounts made available under the heading "Highway Traffic Safety Grants (Liquidation of Contract Authorization) (Limitation on Obligations) (Highway

Trust Fund)" in prior appropriations Acts, \$78,847,000 in unobligated balances are permanently rescinded.

FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION
SAFETY AND OPERATIONS

For necessary expenses of the Federal Railroad Administration, not otherwise provided for, \$203,348,000, of which \$8,380,000 shall remain available through September 30, 2012, and \$24,913,000 shall remain available through September 30, 2015.

RAILROAD RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

For necessary expenses for railroad research and development, \$40,000,000, to remain available until expended.

RAILROAD SAFETY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM

For necessary expenses of carrying out section 20158 of title 49, United States Code, \$75,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That to be eligible for assistance under this heading, an entity need not have developed plans required under subsection 20156(e)(2) of title 49, United States Code, and section 20157 of such title.

RAILROAD REHABILITATION AND IMPROVEMENT
FINANCING PROGRAM

The Secretary of Transportation is authorized to issue to the Secretary of the Treasury notes or other obligations pursuant to section 512 of the Railroad Revitalization and Regulatory Reform Act of 1976 (Public Law 94-210), as amended, in such amounts and at such times as may be necessary to pay any amounts required pursuant to the guarantee of the principal amount of obligations under sections 511 through 513 of such Act, such authority to exist as long as any such guaranteed obligation is outstanding: *Provided*, That pursuant to section 502 of such Act, as amended, no new direct loans or loan guarantee commitments shall be made using Federal funds for the credit risk premium during fiscal year 2011.

CAPITAL ASSISTANCE FOR HIGH SPEED RAIL
CORRIDORS AND INTERCITY PASSENGER RAIL
SERVICE

To enable the Secretary of Transportation to make grants for high-speed rail projects as authorized under section 26106 of title 49, United States Code, capital investment grants to support intercity passenger rail service as authorized under section 24406 of title 49, United States Code, and congestion grants as authorized under section 24105 of title 49, United States Code, and to enter into cooperative agreements for these purposes as authorized, \$1,000,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That up to \$50,000,000 of funds provided under this paragraph are available to the Administrator of the Federal Railroad Administration to fund the award and oversight by the Administrator of grants and cooperative agreements for intercity and high-speed rail: *Provided further*, That up to \$30,000,000 of the funds provided under this paragraph are available to the Administrator for the purposes of conducting research and demonstrating technologies supporting the development of high-speed rail in the United States, including the demonstration of next-generation rolling stock fleet technology and the implementation of the Rail Cooperative Research Program authorized by section 24910 of title 49, United States Code: *Provided further*, That the national rail plan shall include a map depicting all high-speed rail service envisioned in the plan and the estimated cost to complete that service: *Provided further*, That up to \$50,000,000 of the funds provided under this paragraph may be used for planning activities that lead di-

rectly to the development of a passenger rail corridor investment plan consistent with the requirements established by the Administrator or a State rail plan consistent with chapter 227 of title 49, United States Code: *Provided further*, That the Secretary may retain a portion of the funds made available for planning activities under the previous proviso to facilitate the preparation of a service development plan and related environmental impact statement for high-speed corridors located in multiple States: *Provided further*, That not less than 85 percent of the funds provided under this heading shall be for cooperative agreements that lead to the development of entire segments or phases of intercity or high-speed rail corridors: *Provided further*, That at least 30 days prior to issuing a letter of intent or cooperative agreement pursuant to section 24402(f) of title 49, United States Code, for a major corridor development program, the Secretary shall provide to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations written notification consisting of a business and public investment case for the proposed corridor program which shall include: a comprehensive analysis of the monetary and nonmonetary costs and benefits of the corridor development program; an assessment of ridership, passenger travel time reductions, congestion relief benefits, environmental benefits, economic benefits, and other public benefits; operating financial forecasts for the program; a full capital cost estimation for the entire project, including the amount, source and security of non-Federal funds to complete the project; a summary of the grants management plan and an evaluation of the grantee's ability to sustain the project: *Provided further*, That the Federal share payable of the costs for which a grant or cooperative agreement is made under this heading shall be determined in accordance with the provisions of Public Law 110-432, except that the local share of expenditures shall be no less than 10 percent: *Provided further*, That in addition to the provisions of title 49, United States Code, that apply to each of the individual programs funded under this heading, subsections 24402(a)(2), 24402(f), 24402(i), and 24403(a) and (c) of title 49, United States Code, shall also apply to the provision of funds provided under this heading: *Provided further*, That a project need not be in a State rail plan developed under chapter 227 of title 49, United States Code, to be eligible for assistance under this heading: *Provided further*, That recipients of grants under this paragraph shall conduct all procurement transactions using such grant funds in a manner that provides full and open competition, as determined by the Secretary, in compliance with existing labor agreements.

OPERATING GRANTS TO THE NATIONAL
RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

To enable the Secretary of Transportation to make quarterly grants to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation for the operation of intercity passenger rail, as authorized by section 101 of the Passenger Rail Investment and Improvement Act of 2008 (division B of Public Law 110-432), \$563,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That each grant request shall be accompanied by a detailed financial analysis, revenue projection, and capital expenditure projection justifying the Federal support to the Secretary's satisfaction: *Provided further*, That concurrent with the President's budget request for fiscal year 2012, the Corporation shall submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a budget request

for fiscal year 2012 in similar format and substance to those submitted by executive agencies of the Federal Government: *Provided further*, That the Amtrak Inspector General shall provide semiannual reports to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on the estimated savings accrued as a result of all operational reforms instituted by the Corporation and estimations of possible future savings: *Provided further*, That the budget, business plan and the 5-Year Financial Plan shall include annual information on the maintenance, refurbishment, replacement, and expansion for all Amtrak rolling stock consistent with the comprehensive fleet plan: *Provided further*, That the Corporation shall notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations 5 days before making public any changes to the Corporation's budget, business plan, 5-Year Financial Plan, semiannual reports, or grant and legislative request, or any debt application.

CAPITAL AND DEBT SERVICE GRANTS TO THE NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

To enable the Secretary of Transportation to make grants to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation for capital investments as authorized by section 101(c) and 219(b) of the Passenger Rail Investment and Improvement Act of 2008 (division B of Public Law 110-432), \$1,338,484,000, to remain available until expended, of which not to exceed \$277,000,000 shall be for debt service obligations as authorized by section 102 of such Act: *Provided*, That after an initial distribution of up to \$200,000,000, which shall be used by the Corporation as a working capital account, all remaining funds shall be provided to the Corporation only on a reimbursable basis: *Provided further*, That the Secretary may retain up to one-half of 1 percent of the funds provided under this heading to fund the costs of project management oversight of capital projects funded by grants provided under this heading, as authorized by subsection 101(d) of division B of Public Law 110-432: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall approve funding for capital expenditures, including advance purchase orders of materials, for the Corporation only after receiving and reviewing a grant request for each specific capital project justifying the Federal support to the Secretary's satisfaction: *Provided further*, That none of the funds under this heading may be used to subsidize operating losses of the Corporation: *Provided further*, That none of the funds under this heading may be used for capital projects not approved by the Secretary of Transportation or on the Corporation's fiscal year 2011 business plan: *Provided further*, That of the funds provided under this heading, the Secretary may retain \$2,000,000 to fund expenses associated with implementing section 212 of division B of Public Law 110-432, including the amendments made by section 212 to section 24905 of title 49, United States Code.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION

SEC. 150. Hereafter, notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds provided in this Act for the National Railroad Passenger Corporation shall immediately cease to be available to said Corporation in the event that the Corporation contracts to have services provided at or from any location outside the United States. For purposes of this section, the word "services" shall mean any service that was, as of July 1, 2006, performed by a full-time or part-time Amtrak employee whose base of employment is located within the United States.

SEC. 151. The Secretary of Transportation may receive and expend cash, or receive and utilize spare parts and similar items, from non-United States Government sources to repair damages to or replace United States Government owned automated track inspection cars and equipment as a result of third party liability for such damages, and any amounts collected under this section shall be credited directly to the Safety and Operations account of the Federal Railroad Administration, and shall remain available until expended for the repair, operation and maintenance of automated track inspection cars and equipment in connection with the automated track inspection program.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

For necessary administrative expenses of the Federal Transit Administration's programs authorized by chapter 53 of title 49, United States Code, \$106,700,000: *Provided*, That for an additional amount to carry out public transportation fixed guideway safety oversight activities, \$5,000,000, if legislation authorizing such activities is enacted into law prior to September 30, 2011: *Provided further*, That of the funds available under this heading, not to exceed \$2,050,000 shall be available for travel: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided or limited in this Act may be used to create a permanent office of transit security under this heading: *Provided further*, That upon submission to the Congress of the fiscal year 2012 President's budget, the Secretary of Transportation shall transmit to Congress the annual report on new starts, including proposed allocations of funds for fiscal year 2012.

FORMULA AND BUS GRANTS

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

For payment of obligations incurred in carrying out the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 5305, 5307, 5308, 5309, 5310, 5311, 5316, 5317, 5320, 5335, 5339, and 5340 and section 3038 of Public Law 105-178, as amended, \$9,200,000,000 to be derived from the Mass Transit Account of the Highway Trust Fund and to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That funds available for the implementation or execution of programs authorized under 49 U.S.C. 5305, 5307, 5308, 5309, 5310, 5311, 5316, 5317, 5320, 5335, 5339, and 5340 and section 3038 of Public Law 105-178, as amended, shall not exceed total obligations of \$8,360,565,000 in fiscal year 2011.

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

(RESCISSION)

Of the amounts authorized for fiscal year 2010 by section 5338(b)(1) of title 49, United States Code, to carry out sections 5305, 5307, 5308, 5309, 5310, 5311, 5316, 5317, 5320, 5335, 5339, and 5340 of title 49, United States Code, and section 3038 of the Federal Transit Act of 1998 (112 Stat. 392), \$17,394,000 are permanently rescinded.

RESEARCH AND UNIVERSITY RESEARCH CENTERS

For necessary expenses to carry out 49 U.S.C. 5306, 5312-5315, 5322, and 5506, \$65,376,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That \$10,000,000 is available to carry out the transit cooperative research program under section 5313 of title 49, United States Code, \$4,300,000 is available for the National Transit Institute under section 5315 of title 49, United States Code, and \$7,000,000 is available for university transportation centers program under section 5506 of title 49, United States Code: *Provided further*, That

\$44,076,000 is available to carry out national research programs under sections 5312, 5313, 5314, and 5322 of title 49, United States Code: *Provided further*, That of the funds available to carry out section 5312 of title 49, United States Code, \$5,000,000 shall be available to the Secretary to develop standards for asset management plans, provide technical assistance to recipients engaged in the development or implementation of an asset management plan, improve data collection through the National Transit Database, and conduct a pilot program designed to identify the best practices of asset management.

CAPITAL INVESTMENT GRANTS

For necessary expenses to carry out section 5309 of title 49, United States Code, \$1,850,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which no less than \$200,000,000 is for section 5309(e) of such title.

(RESCISSION)

Of the amounts appropriated for Capital Investment Grants in Public Law 111-117, \$25,830,000 are rescinded.

GRANTS FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND GREENHOUSE GAS REDUCTIONS

For grants to public transit agencies for capital investments that will reduce the energy consumption or greenhouse gas emissions of their public transportation systems, \$65,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That priority shall be given to projects that use innovative and potentially replicable approaches to reducing energy consumption or greenhouse gas emissions: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall publish criteria on which to base the competition for any grants awarded under this heading no sooner than 90 days after the enactment of this Act, require applications for funding provided under this heading to be submitted no sooner than 120 days after the publication of such criteria, and announce all projects selected to be funded from funds provided under this heading no sooner than September 15, 2011.

WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT AUTHORITY

For grants to the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority as authorized under section 601 of division B of Public Law 110-432, \$150,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the Secretary shall approve grants for capital and preventive maintenance expenditures for the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority only after receiving and reviewing a request for each specific project: *Provided further*, That prior to approving such grants, the Secretary shall determine that the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority has placed the highest priority on those investments that will improve the safety of the system.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

SEC. 160. The limitations on obligations for the programs of the Federal Transit Administration shall not apply to any authority under 49 U.S.C. 5338, previously made available for obligation, or to any other authority previously made available for obligation.

SEC. 161. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds appropriated or limited by this Act under "Federal Transit Administration, Capital Investment Grants" and for bus and bus facilities under "Federal Transit Administration, Formula and Bus Grants" for projects specified in this Act or identified in reports accompanying this Act not obligated by September 30, 2013, and other recoveries, shall be directed to projects eligible to use

the funds for the purposes for which they were originally provided.

SEC. 162. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any funds appropriated before October 1, 2010, under any section of chapter 53 of title 49, United States Code, that remain available for expenditure, may be transferred to and administered under the most recent appropriation heading for any such section.

SEC. 163. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, unobligated funds made available for new fixed guideway system projects under the heading "Federal Transit Administration, Capital investment grants" in any appropriations Act prior to this Act may be used during this fiscal year to satisfy expenses incurred for such projects.

SEC. 164. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, unobligated funds or recoveries under section 5309 of title 49, United States Code, that are available to the Secretary of Transportation for reallocation shall be directed to projects eligible to use the funds for the purposes for which they were originally provided.

SEC. 165. Funds made available for Alaska or Hawaii ferry boats or ferry terminal facilities pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 5309(m)(6)(B) may be used to construct new vessels and facilities, or to improve existing vessels and facilities, including both the passenger and vehicle-related elements of such vessels and facilities, and for repair facilities: *Provided*, That not more than \$4,000,000 of the funds made available pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 5309(m)(6)(B) may be used by the City and County of Honolulu to operate a passenger ferry boat service demonstration project to test the viability of different intra-island ferry boat routes and technologies.

SEC. 166. None of the funds provided or limited under this Act may be used to enforce regulations related to charter bus service under part 604 of title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, for any transit agency who during fiscal year 2008 was both initially granted a 60-day period to come into compliance with part 604, and then was subsequently granted an exception from said part.

SEC. 167. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, when evaluating the local share of the project authorized to be carried out under section 3043(c)(86) of Public Law 109-59 (119 Stat. 1644) the Secretary shall give consideration to all non-New Starts funds expended for engineering, final design and construction of the Farrington Highway Guideway, Stations, Maintenance Storage Facility and related elements advanced with 100 percent non-New Starts funds.

SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

The Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation is hereby authorized to make such expenditures, within the limits of funds and borrowing authority available to the Corporation, and in accord with law, and to make such contracts and commitments without regard to fiscal year limitations as provided by section 104 of the Government Corporation Control Act, as amended, as may be necessary in carrying out the programs set forth in the Corporation's budget for the current fiscal year.

OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (HARBOR MAINTENANCE TRUST FUND)

For necessary expenses for operations, maintenance, and capital asset renewal of those portions of the Saint Lawrence Seaway owned, operated, and maintained by the Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation, \$33,868,000, to be derived from the

Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, pursuant to Public Law 99-662.

MARITIME ADMINISTRATION MARITIME SECURITY PROGRAM

For necessary expenses to maintain and preserve a U.S.-flag merchant fleet to serve the national security needs of the United States, \$174,000,000, to remain available until expended.

OPERATIONS AND TRAINING

For necessary expenses of operations and training activities authorized by law, \$172,262,000, of which \$11,240,000 shall remain available until expended for maintenance and repair of training ships at State Maritime Academies, and of which \$30,900,000 shall remain available until expended for capital improvements at the United States Merchant Marine Academy, and of which \$63,420,000 shall be available for operations at the United States Merchant Marine Academy, and of which \$6,000,000 shall be available until expended for the Secretary's reimbursement of overcharged midshipmen fees for academic years 2003-2004 through 2008-2009 and such action shall be final and conclusive: *Provided*, That amounts apportioned for the United States Merchant Marine Academy shall be available only upon allotments made personally by the Secretary of Transportation or the Assistant Secretary for Budget and Programs: *Provided further*, That the Superintendent, Deputy Superintendent and the Director of the Office of Resource Management of the United States Merchant Marine Academy may not be allotment holders for the United States Merchant Marine Academy, and the Administrator of the Maritime Administration shall hold all allotments made by the Secretary of Transportation or the Assistant Secretary for Budget and Programs under the previous proviso: *Provided further*, That 50 percent of the funding made available for the United States Merchant Marine Academy under this heading shall be available only after the Secretary, in consultation with the Superintendent and the Maritime Administrator, completes a plan detailing by program or activity how such funding will be expended at the Academy, and this plan is submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

SHIP DISPOSAL

For necessary expenses related to the disposal of obsolete vessels in the National Defense Reserve Fleet of the Maritime Administration, \$10,000,000, to remain available until expended.

MARITIME GUARANTEED LOAN (TITLE XI) PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For necessary administrative expenses of the maritime guaranteed loan program \$4,000,000 shall be paid to the appropriation for "Operations and Training", Maritime Administration.

ASSISTANCE TO SMALL SHIPYARDS

To make grants to qualified shipyards as authorized under section 3508 of Public Law 110-417 or section 54101 of title 46, United States Code, \$15,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That to be considered for assistance, a qualified shipyard shall submit an application for assistance no later than 60 days after enactment of this Act: *Provided further*, That from applications submitted under the previous proviso, the Secretary of Transportation shall make grants no later than 120 days after enactment of this Act in such amounts as the Secretary determines: *Provided further*, That not to exceed 2 percent of the funds appropriated

under this heading shall be available for necessary costs of grant administration.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

SEC. 175. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, the Maritime Administration is authorized to furnish utilities and services and make necessary repairs in connection with any lease, contract, or occupancy involving Government property under control of the Maritime Administration, and payments received therefor shall be credited to the appropriation charged with the cost thereof: *Provided*, That rental payments under any such lease, contract, or occupancy for items other than such utilities, services, or repairs shall be covered into the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts.

PIPELINE AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

OPERATIONAL EXPENSES

(PIPELINE SAFETY FUND)

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary operational expenses of the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, \$23,383,000, of which \$639,000 shall be derived from the Pipeline Safety Fund: *Provided*, That \$1,000,000 shall be transferred to "Pipeline Safety" in order to fund "Pipeline Safety Information Grants to Communities" as authorized under section 60130 of title 49, United States Code.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SAFETY

For expenses necessary to discharge the hazardous materials safety functions of the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, \$49,434,000, of which \$6,497,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That up to \$800,000 in fees collected under 49 U.S.C. 5108(g) shall be deposited in the general fund of the Treasury as offsetting receipts: *Provided further*, That there may be credited to this appropriation, to be available until expended, funds received from States, counties, municipalities, other public authorities, and private sources for expenses incurred for training, for reports publication and dissemination, and for travel expenses incurred in performance of hazardous materials exemptions and approvals functions: *Provided further*, That in fiscal year 2012, the Administrator of the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration shall propose to collect a reasonable fee for expenses incurred for processing applications for, and ensuring compliance with the terms of, special permits and approvals issued under 49 U.S.C. 5117.

PIPELINE SAFETY

(PIPELINE SAFETY FUND)

(OIL SPILL LIABILITY TRUST FUND)

For expenses necessary to conduct the functions of the pipeline safety program, for grants-in-aid to carry out a pipeline safety program, as authorized by 49 U.S.C. 60107, and to discharge the pipeline program responsibilities of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, \$111,111,000, of which \$18,905,000 shall be derived from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund and shall remain available until September 30, 2013; and of which \$92,206,000 shall be derived from the Pipeline Safety Fund, of which \$51,206,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That not less than \$1,053,000 of the funds provided under this heading shall be for the one-call State grant program.

EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS GRANTS (EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS FUND)

For necessary expenses to carry out 49 U.S.C. 5128(b), \$188,000, to be derived from the

Emergency Preparedness Fund, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That not more than \$28,318,000 shall be made available for obligation in fiscal year 2011 from amounts made available by 49 U.S.C. 5116(i) and 5128(b)–(c): *Provided further*, That none of the funds made available by 49 U.S.C. 5116(i), 5128(b), or 5128(c) shall be made available for obligation by individuals other than the Secretary of Transportation, or his designee.

RESEARCH AND INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY ADMINISTRATION

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

For necessary expenses of the Research and Innovative Technology Administration, \$16,790,000, of which \$9,655,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That there may be credited to this appropriation, to be available until expended, funds received from States, counties, municipalities, other public authorities, and private sources for expenses incurred for training.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General to carry out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$86,406,000: *Provided*, That the Inspector General shall have all necessary authority, in carrying out the duties specified in the Inspector General Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. 3), to investigate allegations of fraud, including false statements to the government (18 U.S.C. 1001), by any person or entity that is subject to regulation by the Department: *Provided further*, That the funds made available under this heading may be used to investigate, pursuant to section 41712 of title 49, United States Code: (1) unfair or deceptive practices and unfair methods of competition by domestic and foreign air carriers and ticket agents; and (2) the compliance of domestic and foreign air carriers with respect to item (1) of this proviso.

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Surface Transportation Board, including services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, \$30,874,000: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, not to exceed \$1,250,000 from fees established by the Chairman of the Surface Transportation Board shall be credited to this appropriation as offsetting collections and used for necessary and authorized expenses under this heading: *Provided further*, That the sum herein appropriated from the general fund shall be reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis as such offsetting collections are received during fiscal year 2011, to result in a final appropriation from the general fund estimated at no more than \$29,624,000.

GENERAL PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

SEC. 180. During the current fiscal year applicable appropriations to the Department of Transportation shall be available for maintenance and operation of aircraft; hire of passenger motor vehicles and aircraft; purchase of liability insurance for motor vehicles operating in foreign countries on official department business; and uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by law (5 U.S.C. 5901–5902).

SEC. 181. Appropriations contained in this Act for the Department of Transportation shall be available for services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the rate for an Executive Level IV.

SEC. 182. None of the funds in this Act shall be available for salaries and expenses of more than 110 political and Presidential appointees in the Department of Transportation: *Provided*, That none of the personnel covered by this provision may be assigned on temporary detail outside the Department of Transportation.

SEC. 183. None of the funds in this Act shall be used to implement section 404 of title 23, United States Code.

SEC. 184. (a) No recipient of funds made available in this Act shall disseminate personal information (as defined in 18 U.S.C. 2725(3)) obtained by a State department of motor vehicles in connection with a motor vehicle record as defined in 18 U.S.C. 2725(1), except as provided in 18 U.S.C. 2721 for a use permitted under 18 U.S.C. 2721.

(b) Notwithstanding subsection (a), the Secretary shall not withhold funds provided in this Act for any grantee if a State is in noncompliance with this provision.

SEC. 185. Funds received by the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, and Federal Railroad Administration from States, counties, municipalities, other public authorities, and private sources for expenses incurred for training may be credited respectively to the Federal Highway Administration's "Federal-Aid Highways" account, the Federal Transit Administration's "Research and University Research Centers" account, and to the Federal Railroad Administration's "Safety and Operations" account, except for State rail safety inspectors participating in training pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 20105.

SEC. 186. Funds provided or limited in this Act under the appropriate accounts within the Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Railroad Administration and the Federal Transit Administration shall be for the eligible programs, projects and activities in the corresponding amounts identified in the explanatory statement accompanying this Act for "Ferry Boats and Ferry Terminal Facilities", "Federal Lands", "Interstate Maintenance Discretionary", "Transportation, Community and System Preservation Program", "Delta Region Transportation Development Program", "Rail Line Relocation and Improvement Program", "Rail-highway crossing hazard eliminations", "Capital Investment Grants", "Alternatives analysis", and "Bus and bus facilities".

SEC. 187. Notwithstanding any other provisions of law, rule or regulation, the Secretary of Transportation is authorized to allow the issuer of any preferred stock heretofore sold to the Department to redeem or repurchase such stock upon the payment to the Department of an amount determined by the Secretary.

SEC. 188. None of the funds in this Act to the Department of Transportation may be used to make a grant unless the Secretary of Transportation notifies the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations not less than 3 full business days before any project competitively selected to receive a discretionary grant award, any discretionary grant award, letter of intent, or full funding grant agreement totaling \$1,000,000 or more is announced by the department or its modal administrations from: (1) any discretionary grant program of the Federal Highway Administration including the emergency relief program; (2) the airport improvement program of the Federal Aviation Administration; (3) any grant from the Federal Railroad Administration; or (4) any program of the Federal Transit Administration other than

the formula grants and fixed guideway modernization programs: *Provided*, That the Secretary gives concurrent notification to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations for any "quick release" of funds from the emergency relief program: *Provided further*, That no notification shall involve funds that are not available for obligation. In addition, none of the funds in this Act to the Department of Transportation may be used to make a grant award unless the Secretary of Transportation notifies the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations not less than 3 full business days before any announcement of a project competitively selected to receive a discretionary grant award from a program with an annual budget equal to or exceeding \$40,000,000.

SEC. 189. Rebates, refunds, incentive payments, minor fees and other funds received by the Department of Transportation from travel management centers, charge card programs, the subleasing of building space, and miscellaneous sources are to be credited to appropriations of the Department of Transportation and allocated to elements of the Department of Transportation using fair and equitable criteria and such funds shall be available until expended.

SEC. 190. Amounts made available in this or any other Act that the Secretary determines represent improper payments by the Department of Transportation to a third-party contractor under a financial assistance award, which are recovered pursuant to law, shall be available—

(1) to reimburse the actual expenses incurred by the Department of Transportation in recovering improper payments; and

(2) to pay contractors for services provided in recovering improper payments or contractor support in the implementation of the Improper Payments Information Act of 2002: *Provided*, That amounts in excess of that required for paragraphs (1) and (2)—

(A) shall be credited to and merged with the appropriation from which the improper payments were made, and shall be available for the purposes and period for which such appropriations are available; or

(B) if no such appropriation remains available, shall be deposited in the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts: *Provided further*, That prior to the transfer of any such recovery to an appropriations account, the Secretary shall notify to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations of the amount and reasons for such transfer: *Provided further*, That for purposes of this section, the term "improper payments", has the same meaning as that provided in section 2(d)(2) of Public Law 107–300.

SEC. 191. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if any funds provided in or limited by this Act are subject to a reprogramming action that requires notice to be provided to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, said reprogramming action shall be approved or denied solely by the Committees on Appropriations: *Provided*, That the Secretary may provide notice to other congressional committees of the action of the Committees on Appropriations on such reprogramming but not sooner than 30 days following the date on which the reprogramming action has been approved or denied by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

SEC. 192. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available under this Act may be used by the Surface Transportation Board of the Department of Transportation to charge or collect any filing fee for rate or practice complaints filed with the Board in

an amount in excess of the amount authorized for district court civil suit filing fees under section 1914 of title 28, United States Code.

SEC. 193. Notwithstanding section 3324 of title 31, United States Code, in addition to authority provided by section 327 of title 49, United States Code, the Department's Working Capital Fund is hereby authorized to provide payments in advance to vendors that are necessary to carry out the Federal transit pass transportation fringe benefit program under Executive Order 13150 and section 3049 of Public Law 109-59: *Provided*, That the Department shall include adequate safeguards in the contract with the vendors to ensure timely and high-quality performance under the contract.

SEC. 194. (a) In the explanatory statement contained in House Report 106-940 accompanying Public Law 106-346 (114 Stat. 1356A), in the table of projects under the heading "Capital Investments Grants", the item relating to "Lowell, Massachusetts-Nashua, New Hampshire Commuter Rail Project" is deemed to be amended by inserting "and Manchester" after "Nashua".

(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds made available under the Federal Transit Administration Capital Investment Grants Account in fiscal year 2008 (Public Law 110-161) for METRA Connects Southeast Service, Illinois, METRA Star Line, Illinois, METRA Union Pacific Northwest Line, Illinois, METRA Union Pacific West Line, Illinois and funds made available in fiscal year 2009 (Public Law 111-8) for METRA, Illinois, shall be made available until September 30, 2011.

(c) Of the \$1,000,000 appropriated under the heading "General Provisions" in Public Law 108-7 for Juneau Heliport, Alaska, the unobligated balance shall be available for improvements to bridges owned by the City and Borough of Juneau, Alaska.

(d) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds made available in Public Law 111-8 for "Phase 3 Rail Rehabilitation in Redwood Falls, MN" shall be available for obligation and expenditure for "Minnesota Valley Regional Rail Authority, MN."

(e) Funds made available for the City of Las Vegas, NV "Bonneville Clark Couplet" through Department of Transportation Appropriations Acts for fiscal year 2009 (Public Law 111-8) and fiscal year 2010 (Public Law 111-7) that remain unobligated or unexpended shall be made available to the "Dعاتر Boulevard/Charleston Boulevard Intersection Improvements" in Las Vegas, Nevada.

(f) In the explanatory statement referenced in section 186 of division K of Public Law 110-161, the item relating to "Walton Boulevard Bridge widening, MI" is deemed to be amended by striking "Walton Boulevard Bridge widening, MI" and inserting "Avon Road Bridge and Livernois Road Bridge Reconstruction, MI".

(g) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amounts made available for the Interstate 579 Cap-Urban Green Space and Park Plaza, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, by the explanatory statement accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-117; 123 Stat. 3034), shall be used for projects for street, traffic flow, pedestrian, and streetscape improvements in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

(h) The explanatory statement referenced in section 186 of title I of division A of Public Law 111-117 for "Alternative analysis" under "Federal Transit Administration—Formula and Bus Grants" is deemed to be amended by

striking "Hudson-Bergen MOS-2 Northern NJ" and inserting "Hudson-Bergen Light Rail Extension Route 440, Jersey City, NJ."

(i) In the explanatory statement referenced in section 186 of title I of division I of Public Law 111-8, the item relating to "Starkweather Creek Parkway Bike Path, WI" in the table of projects under the heading "Transportation, Community, and System Preservation Program" is deemed to be amended by striking "Starkweather Creek Parkway Bike Path, WI" and inserting "Military Ridge Trail/Cannonball Path multi-purpose bike and pedestrian bridge, WI".

(j) Public Law 111-8 is amended by striking "Construct On/Off Ramps Connecting I-20 to Cotton Flat Road" and inserting "Make Improvements to the I-20/250 Loop Interchange Project".

(k) The Secretary of Transportation shall not reallocate capital investment funds made available for the I-69 HOV/BRT, Mississippi, project and section 5309 bus funds made available to the LOU Public Transit System, Oxford, MS, in Public Law 110-161 and the accompanying explanatory statement.

(l) Amounts provided for Provo Orem Bus Rapid Transit, in Public Law 110-161 shall not be reallocated and shall be made available for Provo Orem Bus Rapid Transit and intermodal terminals.

(m) Funding provided for "Pierce Transit Peninsula Park & Ride, WA" under Bus and Bus Facilities in Public Law 110-161 shall be made available for "Pierce Transit Vehicle Replacement".

(n) The explanatory statement accompanying the Fiscal Year 2003 Consolidated Appropriations Act shall be deemed to be amended by striking "Ways to Work—EPIC Yakima" and inserting "Ways to Work, Metropolitan Family Service, SW Washington".

(o) The explanatory statement accompanying the Fiscal Year 2004 Consolidated Appropriations Act shall be deemed to be amended by striking "Ellensburg Interchange I-90, Milepost 108.31, Washington" and inserting "I-90 Ellensburg vicinity—US 97 and local roadway improvements".

(p) The explanatory statement accompanying the Fiscal Year 2004 Consolidated Appropriations Act shall be deemed to be amended by striking "SR 31, All Weather Roadway Construction and Widening, Pend Oreille County, Washington" and inserting "SR 31 Corridor Improvements and local transportation projects (Pend Oreille County)".

(q) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the funding made available for the Schuylkill Valley Metro project through the Department of Transportation Appropriations Acts for Federal Fiscal Year 2007, 2008 and 2009 shall remain available for that project during Federal fiscal years 2010 and 2011.

(r) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the \$10,976,000 appropriated for the CORRIDORone Regional Rail Project in Pennsylvania under the Capital Investment Grants account in division K of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008 (Public Law 110-161) shall be available for obligation until September 30, 2011.

(s) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, of the \$2,500,000 appropriated for the Alle-Kiski Connector Bridge in Department of Transportation Appropriations Act, 2005, Public Law 108-447, \$2,100,000 shall be available for right of way, design, and construction activities for the Hulton Bridge in Oakmont, Pennsylvania and \$400,000 shall be

available for a feasibility study for construction of the Alle-Kiski Connector Bridge.

(t) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the funding made available for the Franklin Street Station Restoration (BARTA) through the Department of Transportation Appropriations Act of Federal Fiscal Year 2008 shall remain available for that project during Federal fiscal year 2011.

(u) Funds provided for "I-85 NB Viaduct at SR 400 NB—Exit Lane, GA" in Public Law 111-8 shall be made available for "I-285/Ashford Dunwoody Interchange Reconstruction".

(v) In the explanatory statement referenced in section 186 of title I of division A of Public Law 111-117 (123 Stat. 3070), the item relating to "Chalk Bluff Road, Clay County, AR" in the table of projects under the heading "Delta Region Transportation Development Program" is deemed to be amended by striking "Chalk Bluff Road, Clay County, AR" and inserting "Cabot North Interchange, AR".

(w) In the explanatory statement referenced in section 186 of title I of division A of Public Law 111-117 (123 Stat. 3070), the item relating to "I-480/Tiedeman Road Interchange Modification, OH" in the table of projects under the heading "Interstate Maintenance Discretionary" is deemed to be amended by striking "I-480/Tiedeman Road Interchange Modification, OH" and inserting "Construction and upgrades at four grade crossings in Olmsted Falls, OH".

(x) Funds made available for "Construction of the I-278 Environmental Shield, Queens, NY" under the heading "Surface transportation priorities" in title I of division A of Public Law 111-117 (123 Stat. 3044) shall be made available for "Reconstruction and reconfiguration of the northbound off-ramp from Interstate 95 to Bartow/Baychester Avenue, Bronx, NY".

(y) In the explanatory statement referenced in section 186 of title I of division I of Public Law 111-8 (123 Stat. 947), the item relating to "Newton County Rails to Trails By-Pass Tunnel, GA" in the table of projects under the heading "Transportation, Community, and System Preservation Program" is deemed to be amended by striking "Newton County Rails to Trails By-Pass Tunnel, GA" and inserting "Newton County Eastside High School to County Library Trail, GA".

(z) The amount authorized for the project entitled "New I-25 Interchange near m.p. 217, NM" described on page 164 of the statement of the managers (H. Rept. 109-307) accompanying the Transportation, Treasury, Housing and Urban Development, the Judiciary, the District of Columbia, and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 2006 (Public Law 109-115), and related administrative funding, may be used to provide for an interchange on I-25 to provide access to Mesa del Sol, New Mexico.

(aa) The amount authorized for the project entitled "Paseo del Volcan I-40 Interchange, NM" described on page 165 of the statement of the managers (H. Rept. 109-307) accompanying the Transportation, Treasury, Housing and Urban Development, the Judiciary, the District of Columbia, and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 2006 (Public Law 109-115), and related administrative funding, may be used to provide for I-40 improvements in Bernalillo County, New Mexico.

(bb) The explanatory statement accompanying Public Law 108-447 is deemed to be amended by striking "SR509/SR518 Interchange/Intersection Redevelopment Burien, Washington" and inserting: "SR518 Interchange/Intersection Redevelopment (Burien), Washington".

(cc) Funds made available for “West Haven Intermodal Station, CT” through title IV of division K of Public Law 110-161 (121 Stat. 1844) and for the “West Haven Rail Passenger Station, CT” through title I of division A of Public Law 111-117 (123 Stat. 3034) shall be made available for bus projects eligible under section 5309(b)(3) of title 49, United States Code, and improvements to the surface transportation corridors in the City of West Haven, CT, including streetscapes and pedestrian walkways.

(dd) The explanatory statement accompanying the Fiscal Year 2010 Consolidated Appropriations Act shall be deemed to be amended by striking “Highway and Bridge Improvements CR97, Nicolls Road Highway Improvements” and inserting “Highway and bridge improvements to CR 46, William Floyd Parkway in the vicinity of Narrows Bay Bridge”.

(ee) Funds made available for “Empire Corridor West High Speed Rail Improvements, Monroe County, NY” under the heading “Surface transportation priorities” in title I of division A of Public Law 111-117 (123 Stat. 3044) shall be made available for “Rochester Intermodal Transportation Center, NY”.

(ff) Any unobligated balance appropriated under the heading “Highway Demonstration Projects” in title I of Public Law 102-143 (105 Stat. 929) and made available for the Delaware Street Bridge Replacement Project, (CR640) Bridge over Mathews Branch in West Deptford Township, New Jersey by section 191(d) of Division K of Public Law 110-161, shall be made available for Resurfacing and Safety Improvements to CR 553 (Buck Road) in Franklin and Elk Townships in Gloucester County, New Jersey.

(gg) The explanatory statement accompanying Public Law 111-8 shall be deemed to be amended by striking “Rich Passage Wake Impact Study, WA” and inserting “Rich Passage Wake Impact Study, including: wake impact shore monitoring and Prototype Field Operations Testing, including: live load passenger service”.

(hh) The explanatory statement accompanying Public Law 111-117 shall be deemed to be amended by striking “Northstar Phase II—Extension of Northstar Commuter Rail to the St. Cloud Area, MN” and inserting “Northstar Commuter Rail Station in Ramsey, Minnesota”.

SEC. 195. (a) Section 3044(a) of Public Law 109-59 is amended—

(1) By striking the project description in item 422 and inserting, “Anchorage People Mover transit needs, Anchorage, AK.”

(2) By striking the project description in item 160 and inserting, “Nebraska Statewide Vehicles, Facilities and Related Equipment”.

(3) By striking the project description in item 586 and inserting, “Nebraska Department of Roads—Statewide Vehicles, Facilities and Related Equipment”.

(b) All amounts made available in item 422 of section 3044(a) of Public Law 109-59 which have not been obligated by September 30, 2010 shall remain available for obligation until September 30, 2011.

(c) Section 3046(a)(22) of Public Law 109-59 is amended—

(1) In the paragraph heading, by striking “FUEL CELL-POWERED BUS” and inserting “HYDROGEN-POWERED TRANSIT”; and

(2) By striking “Fuel Cell-Powered Bus” and inserting “Hydrogen-Powered Transit”.

(d) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Transportation shall not reallocate any funding made available

for item 22 of section 3046 of Public Law 109-59.

(e) In section 1702 of Public Law 109-59, Project Authorizations, under item No. 400, strike the existing text under Project Description and insert in lieu thereof “Road, sidewalk, and drainage construction and improvements, City of Unalaska.”

(f) The table contained in section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (119 Stat. 1256) is amended in item 1399 by striking the project description and inserting “I-40 Frontage Road Reconstruction in the City of Gallup”.

(g) The table contained in section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (119 Stat. 1256) is amended in item 54 by striking the project description and inserting “Study of a direct link to I-80 and Iowa Highway 92, in proximity to Pella”.

(h) The table contained in section 1934(c) of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (119 Stat. 1485) is amended in item 105 by striking the project description and inserting “Study of a direct link to I-80 and Iowa Highway 92, in proximity to Pella”.

(i) Amounts made available for the Cumming Street Transportation Improvement Project in items 4497 and 4506 of section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109-59) and in item 276 of section 1934(c) of such Act may be expended for—

(1) lighting, landscaping, and pedestrian enhancements on Cumming Street from 16th Street to 30th Street and on Burt Street from 31st Street to Florence Boulevard, including burial of certain overhead utilities;

(2) pedestrian safety improvements on 24th Street from Cumming Street to Davenport Street, including the incorporation of traffic circles at Cass Street and Davenport Street and adjacent lighting, landscaping, and safety enhancements; and

(3) the reconfiguration of the Dodge Street/Douglas Street transition curve in conjunction with 30th Street.

(j) Section 1702 of the SAFETEA-LU: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109-59, 119 Stat. 114, 1278; Public Law 110-244, 122 Stat. 1571, 1579) is amended by striking the project description in item 576 and inserting “Design, right-of-way acquisition and construction of Nebraska Highway 35 between Norfolk and South Sioux City and for design, right-of-way acquisition and construction of an interchange east of Dakota Avenue on I-129.”

(k) Section 1702 of the SAFETEA-LU: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109-59, 199 Stat. 1144, 1429; Public Law 110-224, 122 Stat. 1571, 1595) is amended by striking the project description in item 4507 and inserting “Design, right-of-way acquisition and construction of Nebraska Highway 35 between Norfolk and south Sioux City and for design, right-of-way acquisition and construction of an interchange east of Dakota Avenue on I-129.”

(l) In Public Law 109-59, the table contained in section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (119 Stat. 1256) is amended in item number 2406 (119 Stat. 1350) by striking “in Fort Worth” in the project description and inserting “, or construct SH 199 (Henderson St.) through the Trinity Uptown Project between the West Fork and Clear Fork of the Trinity River, in Fort Worth”.

(m)(1) The project description in item 3730 under section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable,

Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109-59, 119 Stat. 1400) is amended by adding at the end the following: “(to include the Montgomery Outer Loop)”.

(n) The project description in item 16 under section 1934(c) of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109-59, 119 Stat. 1486) is amended by adding at the end the following: “(to include the Montgomery Outer Loop)”.

(o) The SAFETEA-LU (Public Law 109-59) is amended—

(1) in section 1702—

(A) by striking project number 4892 (119 Stat. 1443); and

(B) in project number 4924 (119 Stat. 444), by striking the project amount and inserting “\$6,149,733.82”; and

(2) in section 1934—

(A) by striking project number 374 (119 Stat. 1505); and

(B) in project number 382 (119 Stat. 1505), by striking the project amount and inserting “\$20,446,640”.

(p) Item 3557 of section 1702 of Public Law 109-59 is amended by striking “Improve Mill Plain Blvd between SE 172nd and SE 192nd in Vancouver” and inserting “Extend 18th Street between 87th Avenue and NE 192nd Avenue in Vancouver”.

(q) Item 744 of section 1702 of Public Law 109-59 is amended by striking “Widen I-5 through Lewis County” and inserting “I-5 Frontage Road and I-5 Interchange Improvements in Lewis County”.

(r) Item 2827 of section 1702 of Public Law 109-59 is amended by striking “Construct SR 9 Pedestrian Overpass in Arlington” and inserting “State Route 9/Crown Ridge Blvd. Improvements”.

(s) Item 249 of section 1702 of Public Law 109-59 is amended by striking “Complete preliminary engineering and environmental analysis for SR14 through Camas and Washougal” and inserting “Complete preliminary engineering, environmental and construction for SR 14 through Camas and Washougal”.

(t) The table contained in section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (119 Stat. 1256) is amended—

(1) in item number 1366, by striking the project description and inserting “Road and bridge improvements and storm water mitigation in the Town of Southampton”; and

(2) in item number 2252 by striking the project description and inserting “Operational safety studies, final design and/or construction of intersection operational and safety improvements for USH 53 between Rice Lake and Superior, Wisconsin”.

(u) The table contained in section 1602 of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (112 Stat. 257) is amended—

(1) in item number 414 by striking the project description and inserting “Engineering, design and construction of the North Street, Pittsfield, streetscaping project”; and

(2) in item number 815 by striking the project description and inserting “Highway 10 relocation, City of Wadena”.

(v) The table contained in section 1702 of the SAFETEA-LU (Public Law 109-59) is amended—

(1) In item number 598 (119 Stat. 1279) by striking the project description and inserting “Construction to provide access to Mesa del Sol in Albuquerque”.

(2) In item number 291 (119 Stat. 1267) by striking the project description and inserting “Development of Paseo del Volcan corridor in Sandoval County”.

(3) In item number 4546 (119 Stat. 1430) by striking the project description and inserting "I-40 improvements, Bernalillo County".

(4) In item number 4549 (119 Stat. 1430) by striking the project description and inserting "Paseo de Volcan in Rio Rancho".

(5) In items 371 and 4340, by striking "Allen Road under the CN Railroad Grade Separation, Woodhaven" and inserting "Allen and Van Horn Roads, Woodhaven".

SEC. 196. The Secretary shall continue an independent and comprehensive study and analysis to supplement that authorized under section 108, division C, of Public Law 111-8: *Provided*, That additional funding will help to engage stakeholders and Federal partners by creating a multi-agency task force funded to formulate DOT's coordination with the Departments of Energy, Commerce and Agriculture to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the full value of river flow support to users in the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers: *Provided further*, That subjects of analysis shall include energy (including hydropower and generation cooling), and water transport (including water-compelled rates, projected total transportation congestion considerations, transportation energy efficiency, air quality and carbon emissions) and water users (including the number and distribution of people, households, municipalities, and business throughout the Missouri and Mississippi River basins who use river water for multiple purposes): *Provided further*, That in addition to understanding current value, the Department is directed to work with appropriate Federal partners to develop recommendations on how to minimize impediments to growth and maximize water value of benefits related to energy production and efficiency, congestion relief, trade and transport efficiency, and air quality: *Provided further*, That the Department of Transportation shall provide its analysis and recommendations to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the White House, and the Congress no later than January 2012: *Provided further*, That \$2,000,000 is available until expended for such purposes.

SEC. 197. Section 194 of Public Law 111-117 is amended—

(1) in subsection (b) by striking "1-year" and inserting "2-year";

(2) in subsection (c) by striking "366" and inserting "731";

(3) in subsection (d) by striking "Interstate Routes 89, 91, and 93" and inserting "all portions of the Interstate System";

(4) in subsection (e) by striking "1-year" and inserting "2-year";

(5) in subsection (f) by striking "366" and inserting "731"; and

(6) in subsection (g) by—

(A) striking "on the Vermont Pilot Program";

(B) striking "2 years" and inserting "3 years";

(C) striking "pilot program under this paragraph" and inserting "pilot programs under this section"; and

(D) striking "State of Vermont" and inserting "States of Maine and Vermont".

This title may be cited as the Department of Transportation Appropriations Act, 2011.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

EXECUTIVE DIRECTION

For necessary salaries and expenses for Executive Direction, \$28,310,000, of which not to exceed \$7,464,000 shall be available for the immediate Office of the Secretary and Dep-

uty Secretary; not to exceed \$1,706,000 shall be available for the Office of Hearings and Appeals; not to exceed \$719,000 shall be available for the Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization; not to exceed \$839,000 shall be available for the immediate Office of the Chief Financial Officer; not to exceed \$1,395,500 shall be available for the immediate Office of the General Counsel; not to exceed \$2,709,000 shall be available to the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations; not to exceed \$4,691,000 shall be available for the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs; not to exceed \$1,843,000 shall be available to the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing; not to exceed \$1,487,500 shall be available to the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development; not to exceed \$3,015,000 shall be available to the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing, Federal Housing Commissioner; not to exceed \$992,000 shall be available to the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research; and not to exceed \$700,000 shall be available to the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity; and not to exceed \$749,000 shall be available to the Office of the Chief Operating Officer: *Provided*, That the Secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban Development is authorized to transfer funds appropriated for any office funded under this heading to any other office funded under this heading following the written notification to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall provide the Committees on Appropriations quarterly written notification regarding the status of pending congressional reports: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall provide all signed reports required by Congress electronically: *Provided further*, That not to exceed \$25,000 of the amount made available under this paragraph for the immediate Office of the Secretary shall be available for official reception and representation expenses as the Secretary may determine: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall notify the Committees on Appropriations one month before any of the funds made available under this heading may be used for international travel.

ADMINISTRATION, OPERATIONS AND MANAGEMENT

For necessary salaries and expenses for administration, operations and management for the Department of Housing and Urban Development, \$525,040,000, of which not to exceed \$65,449,000 shall be available for the personnel compensation and benefits of the Office of the Chief Human Capital Officer; not to exceed \$9,122,000 shall be available for the personnel compensation and benefits of the Office of Departmental Operations and Coordination; not to exceed \$48,465,000 shall be available for the personnel compensation and benefits of the Office of Field Policy and Management; not to exceed \$15,932,000 shall be available for the personnel compensation and benefits of the Office of the Chief Procurement Officer; not to exceed \$33,597,000 shall be available for the personnel compensation and benefits of the remaining staff in the Office of the Chief Financial Officer; not to exceed \$86,482,000 shall be available for the personnel compensation and benefits of the remaining staff in the Office of the General Counsel; not to exceed \$3,115,000 shall be available for the personnel compensation and benefits of the Office of Departmental Equal Employment Opportunity; not to exceed \$1,171,000 shall be available for the per-

sonnel compensation and benefits for the Center for Faith-Based and Community Initiatives; not to exceed \$2,237,000 shall be available for the personnel compensation and benefits for the Office of Sustainability; not to exceed \$3,695,000 shall be available for the personnel compensation and benefits for the Office of Strategic Planning and Management; not to exceed \$4,375,000 shall be available for the personnel compensation and benefits for the Office of the Chief Disaster and Emergency Management Officer; and not to exceed \$251,400,000 shall be available for non-personnel expenses of the Department of Housing and Urban Development: *Provided*, That, funds provided under this heading may be used for necessary administrative and nonadministrative expenses of the Department of Housing and Urban Development, not otherwise provided for, including purchase of uniforms, or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901-5902; hire of passenger motor vehicles; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds appropriated under this heading may be used for advertising and promotional activities that support the housing mission area: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall notify the Committees on Appropriations one month before any of the funds made available under this heading may be used for international travel.

PERSONNEL COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS PUBLIC AND INDIAN HOUSING

For necessary personnel compensation and benefits expenses of the Office of Public and Indian Housing, \$194,889,000.

COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

For necessary personnel compensation and benefits expenses of the Office of Community Planning and Development mission area, \$104,656,000.

HOUSING

For necessary personnel compensation and benefits expenses of the Office of Housing, \$390,885,000.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION

For necessary personnel compensation and benefits expenses of the Office of the Government National Mortgage Association, \$14,000,000, to be derived from the GNMA guarantees of mortgage backed securities guaranteed loan receipt account.

POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH

For necessary personnel compensation and benefits expenses of the Office of Policy Development and Research, \$21,138,000.

FAIR HOUSING AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

For necessary personnel compensation and benefits expenses of the Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity, \$70,363,000.

OFFICE OF HEALTHY HOMES AND LEAD HAZARD CONTROL

For necessary personnel compensation and benefits expenses of the Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control, \$7,151,000.

PUBLIC AND INDIAN HOUSING

TENANT-BASED RENTAL ASSISTANCE (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For activities and assistance for the provision of tenant-based rental assistance authorized under the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1437 et seq.) ("the Act" herein), not otherwise provided for, \$15,298,997,653, to remain available until expended, shall be available on October 1, 2010 (in addition to the \$4,000,000,000 previously appropriated under this heading that

will become available on October 1, 2010), and \$4,000,000,000, to remain available until expended, shall be available on October 1, 2011: *Provided*, That of the amounts made available under this heading are provided as follows:

(1) \$16,993,997,653 shall be available for renewals of expiring section 8 tenant-based annual contributions contracts (including renewals of enhanced vouchers under any provision of law authorizing such assistance under section 8(t) of the Act) and including renewal of other special purpose vouchers initially funded in fiscal years 2008, 2009 and 2010 (such as Family Unification, Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing Vouchers and Non-elderly Disabled Vouchers): *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, from amounts provided under this paragraph and any carryover, the Secretary for the calendar year 2011 funding cycle shall provide renewal funding for each public housing agency based on validated voucher management system (VMS) leasing and cost data for calendar year 2010 and by applying the most recent 12 months of the Annual Adjustment Factor as established by the Secretary, and by making any necessary adjustments for the costs associated with the first-time renewal of vouchers under this paragraph including tenant protection, and HOPE VI vouchers: *Provided further*, That none of the funds provided under this paragraph may be used to fund a total number of unit months under lease which exceeds a public housing agency's authorized level of units under contract, except for public housing agencies participating in the Moving to Work demonstration, which are instead governed by the terms and conditions of their MTW agreements: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall, to the extent necessary to stay within the amount specified under this paragraph, pro rate each public housing agency's allocation otherwise established pursuant to this paragraph: *Provided further*, That except as provided in the following provisos, the entire amount specified under this paragraph shall be obligated to the public housing agencies based on the allocation and pro rata method described above, and the Secretary shall notify public housing agencies of their annual budget not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act: *Provided further*, That the Secretary may extend the 60-day notification period with the prior written approval of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That public housing agencies participating in the Moving to Work demonstration shall be funded pursuant to their Moving to Work agreements and shall be subject to the same pro rata adjustments under the previous provisos: *Provided further*, That up to \$150,000,000 shall be available only: (1) to adjust the allocations for public housing agencies, after application for an adjustment by a public housing agency that experienced a significant increase, as determined by the Secretary, in renewal costs of tenant-based rental assistance resulting from unforeseen circumstances or from portability under section 8(r) of the Act; (2) for vouchers that were not in use during the 12-month period in order to be available to meet a commitment pursuant to section 8(o)(13) of the Act; (3) for any increase in the costs associated with deposits to family self-sufficiency program escrow accounts; (4) for one-time adjustments of renewal funding for public housing agencies in receivership with approved fungibility plans for calendar year 2009 as authorized in section 11003 of the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and

Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 110-329); or (5) to adjust allocations for public housing agencies to prevent termination of assistance to families receiving assistance under the disaster voucher program, as authorized by Public Law 109-148 under the heading "Tenant-Based Rental Assistance": *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall allocate amounts under the previous proviso based on need as determined by the Secretary: *Provided further*, That of the amounts made available under this paragraph, up to \$100,000,000 may be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "Transformation Initiative";

(2) \$150,000,000 shall be for section 8 rental assistance for relocation and replacement of housing units that are demolished or disposed of pursuant to the Omnibus Consolidated Rescissions and Appropriations Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-134), conversion of section 23 projects to assistance under section 8, the family unification program under section 8(x) of the Act, relocation of witnesses in connection with efforts to combat crime in public and assisted housing pursuant to a request from a law enforcement or prosecution agency, enhanced vouchers under any provision of law authorizing such assistance under section 8(t) of the Act, HOPE VI vouchers, mandatory and voluntary conversions, exigent health and safety issues in public housing units, and tenant protection assistance including replacement and relocation assistance or for project based assistance to prevent the displacement of unassisted elderly tenants currently residing in section 202 properties financed between 1959 and 1974 that are refinanced pursuant to Public Law 106-569, as amended, or under the authority as provided under this Act: *Provided*, That the Secretary may only provide replacement vouchers for all units that were occupied within the previous 24 months that cease to be available as assisted housing, subject only to the availability of funds: *Provided further*, That of the amounts made available under this paragraph, \$25,000,000 shall be available to provide tenant protection assistance, not otherwise provided under this paragraph, to residents residing in low-vacancy areas and who may have to pay rents greater than 30 percent of household income, as the result of (1) the maturity of a HUD-insured, HUD-held or section 202 loan that requires the permission of the Secretary prior to loan prepayment, (2) the expiration of a rental assistance contract for which the tenants are not eligible for enhanced voucher or tenant protection assistance under existing law, or (3) the expiration of affordability restrictions accompanying a mortgage or preservation program administered by the Secretary: *Provided further*, That such tenant protection assistance made available under the previous proviso may be provided under the authority of section 8(t) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f(t)): *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall issue guidance to implement the previous two provisos, including but not limited to requirements for defining eligible at-risk households within 120 days of the enactment of this Act;

(3) \$1,851,000,000 shall be for administrative and other expenses of public housing agencies in administering the section 8 tenant-based rental assistance program, of which up to \$50,000,000 shall be available to the Secretary to allocate to public housing agencies that need additional funds to administer their section 8 programs, including fees associated with section 8 tenant protection rental assistance, the administration of disaster

related vouchers, Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing vouchers, and other incremental vouchers: *Provided*, That no less than \$1,741,000,000 of the amount provided in this paragraph shall be allocated to public housing agencies for the calendar year 2011 funding cycle based on section 8(q) of the Act (and related Appropriation Act provisions) as in effect immediately before the enactment of the Quality Housing and Work Responsibility Act of 1998 (Public Law 105-276): *Provided further*, That if the amounts made available under this paragraph are insufficient to pay the amounts determined under the previous proviso, the Secretary may decrease the amounts allocated to agencies by a uniform percentage applicable to all agencies receiving funding under this paragraph or may, to the extent necessary to provide full payment of amounts determined under the previous proviso, utilize unobligated balances, including recaptures and carryovers, remaining from funds appropriated to the Department of Housing and Urban Development under this heading, for fiscal year 2010 and prior fiscal years, notwithstanding the purposes for which such amounts were appropriated: *Provided further*, That amounts provided under this paragraph shall be only for activities related to the provision of tenant-based rental assistance authorized under section 8, including related development activities: *Provided further*, That of the total amount provided under this paragraph, \$60,000,000 shall be available for family self-sufficiency coordinators under section 23 of the Act: *Provided further*, That amounts provided for family self-sufficiency coordinators shall be obligated to the public housing agencies not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act;

(4) \$15,000,000 for incremental voucher assistance through the Family Unification Program: *Provided*, That the assistance made available under this paragraph shall continue to remain available for family unification upon turnover: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall make such funding available, notwithstanding section 204 (competition provision) of this title, to entities with demonstrated experience and resources for supportive services;

(5) \$63,000,000 for renewal of tenant-based assistance contracts under section 811 of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act (42 U.S.C. 8013) entered into prior to fiscal year 2007: *Provided*, That such renewals shall be entered into pursuant to section 8(o) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 in an amount necessary to fully fund the conversion of the number of authorized vouchers under each such section 811 contract to each such section 8(o) contract, including necessary administrative expenses, from the date of renewal through the end of calendar year 2011: *Provided further*, That unobligated balances, including recaptures and carryover, remaining from funds appropriated to the Department of Housing and Urban Development in prior fiscal years for tenant-based assistance under such section 811 shall be available for renewal or amendment of contracts converted under this paragraph: *Provided further*, That all assistance made available under this paragraph shall continue to remain available only to persons with disabilities upon turnover: *Provided further*, That such converted vouchers may be administered by the entity administering the vouchers prior to conversion and any such entity shall be considered a "public housing agency" authorized to engage in the operation of tenant-based assistance under

such section 8(o) with respect to such converted vouchers;

(6) \$75,000,000 for incremental rental voucher assistance for use through a supported housing program administered in conjunction with the Department of Veterans Affairs as authorized under section 8(o)(19) of the United States Housing Act of 1937: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall make such funding available, notwithstanding section 204 (competition provision) of this title, to public housing agencies that partner with eligible VA Medical Centers or other entities as designated by the Secretary of the Department of Veterans Affairs, based on geographical need for such assistance as identified by the Secretary of the Department of Veterans Affairs, public housing agency administrative performance, and other factors as specified by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development in consultation with the Secretary of the Department of Veterans Affairs: *Provided further*, That Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing projects may be designated as single sex projects for such purposes as approved by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development and the Secretary of the Department of Veterans Affairs, notwithstanding any other statutory or regulatory requirement: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development may waive, or specify alternative requirements for (in consultation with the Secretary of the Department of Veterans Affairs), any provision of any statute or regulation that the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development administers in connection with the use of funds made available under this paragraph (except for requirements related to fair housing, nondiscrimination, labor standards, and the environment), upon a finding by the Secretary that any such waivers or alternative requirements are necessary for the effective delivery and administration of such voucher assistance: *Provided further*, That assistance made available under this paragraph shall continue to remain available for homeless veterans upon turnover;

(7) up to \$66,000,000 for incremental tenant-based assistance for eligible families assisted under the Disaster Housing Assistance Program for Hurricanes Ike and Gustav: *Provided*, That these vouchers will not be reissued when families leave the program;

(8) \$85,000,000 for incremental voucher assistance under section 8(o) of the United States Housing Act of 1937, including related administrative expenses, for two competitive demonstration programs to address the needs of families and individuals who are homeless or at risk of homelessness, as defined by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, to be administered by the Department of Housing and Urban Development in conjunction with the Department of Health and Human Services and the Department of Education: *Provided*, That one demonstration program shall make funding available to public housing agencies that: (1) partner with eligible State or local entities responsible for distributing Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and other health and human services as designated by the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services, and (2) partner with school homelessness liaisons funded through the Department of Education's Education for Homeless Children and Youths program: *Provided further*, That the other demonstration program shall make funding available to public housing agencies that partner with eligible state Medicaid agencies

and State behavioral health entities as designated by the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services to provide housing in conjunction with Medicaid case management, substance abuse treatment, and mental health services: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall make the funding specified in this subsection available through such allocation procedures as the Secretary determines to be appropriate, notwithstanding section 213 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 1439) and section 204 (competition provision) of this title, to entities with demonstrated experience and that meet such other requirements as determined by the Secretary: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development may waive, or specify alternative requirements for any provision of any statute or regulation that the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development administers in connection with the use of funds made available under this paragraph (except for requirements related to fair housing, nondiscrimination, labor standards, and the environment), upon a finding by the Secretary that any such waivers or alternative requirements are necessary for the effective delivery and administration of such voucher assistance: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall publish in the Federal Register any waiver of any statute or regulation applicable to the entire demonstration that the Secretary administers pursuant to this subsection no later than 10 days before the effective date of such waiver: *Provided further*, That assistance made available under this subsection shall continue to remain available for these purposes upon turnover; and

(9) the Secretary shall separately track all special purpose vouchers funded under this heading.

HOUSING CERTIFICATE FUND (RESCISSION)

Unobligated balances, including recaptures and carryover, remaining from funds appropriated to the Department of Housing and Urban Development under this heading, the heading "Annual Contributions for Assisted Housing" and the heading "Project-Based Rental Assistance", for fiscal year 2011 and prior years may be used for renewal of or amendments to section 8 project-based contracts and for performance-based contract administrators, notwithstanding the purposes for which such funds were appropriated: *Provided*, That any obligated balances of contract authority from fiscal year 1974 and prior that have been terminated shall be cancelled: *Provided further*, That amounts heretofore recaptured, or recaptured during the current fiscal year, from project-based section 8 contracts from source years fiscal year 1975 through fiscal year 1987 are hereby rescinded, and an amount of additional new budget authority, equivalent to the amount rescinded is hereby appropriated, to remain available until expended, for the purposes set forth under this heading, in addition to amounts otherwise available.

PUBLIC HOUSING CAPITAL FUND

For the Public Housing Capital Fund Program to carry out capital and management activities for public housing agencies, as authorized under section 9 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437g) (the "Act") \$2,500,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2014: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law or regulation, during fiscal year 2011 the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development may not delegate to any Department official

other than the Deputy Secretary and the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing any authority under paragraph (2) of section 9(j) regarding the extension of the time periods under such section: *Provided further*, That for purposes of such section 9(j), the term "obligate" means, with respect to amounts, that the amounts are subject to a binding agreement that will result in outlays, immediately or in the future: *Provided further*, That up to \$15,345,000 shall be to support the ongoing Public Housing Financial and Physical Assessment activities of the Real Estate Assessment Center (REAC): *Provided further*, That of the total amount provided under this heading, not to exceed \$30,000,000 shall be available for the Secretary to make grants, notwithstanding section 204 of this Act, to public housing agencies for emergency capital needs including safety and security measures necessary to address crime and drug-related activity as well as needs resulting from unforeseen or unpreventable emergencies and natural disasters excluding Presidentially declared emergencies and natural disasters under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Act (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.) occurring in fiscal year 2011: *Provided further*, That of the amounts made available under the previous proviso, not less than \$10,000,000 shall be for safety and security measures: *Provided further*, That of the amounts provided under this heading up to \$25,000,000 may be for grants to be competitively awarded to public housing agencies for the construction, rehabilitation or purchase of facilities to be used to provide early education, adult education, job training or other appropriate services to public housing residents: *Provided further*, That the Department of Housing and Urban Development shall publish a notice of funding availability within 90 days of the enactment of this Act: *Provided further*, That grantees shall demonstrate an ability to leverage other Federal, State, local or private resources for the construction, rehabilitation or acquisition of such facilities, and that selected grantees shall demonstrate a capacity to pay the long-term costs of operating such facilities: *Provided further*, That of the total amount provided under this heading, \$50,000,000 shall be for supportive services, service coordinators and congregate services as authorized by section 34 of the Act (42 U.S.C. 1437z-6) and the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4101 et seq.): *Provided further*, That a Notice of Funding Availability for the funds provided in the previous proviso shall be issued not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act: *Provided further*, That of the total amount provided under this heading up to \$8,820,000 is to support the costs of administrative and judicial receiverships: *Provided further*, That from the funds made available under this heading, the Secretary shall provide bonus awards in fiscal year 2011 to public housing agencies that are designated high performers.

PUBLIC HOUSING OPERATING FUND (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For 2011 payments to public housing agencies for the operation and management of public housing, as authorized by section 9(e) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437g(e)), \$4,775,000,000: *Provided*, That, in fiscal year 2009 and all fiscal years hereafter, no amounts under this heading in any appropriations Act may be used for payments to public housing agencies for the costs of operation and management of public housing for any year prior to the current

year of such Act: *Provided further*, That of the amounts made available under this heading, up to \$15,000,000 may be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "Transformation Initiative".

REVITALIZATION OF SEVERELY DISTRESSED
PUBLIC HOUSING (HOPE VI)

For grants to public housing agencies for demolition, site revitalization, replacement housing, and tenant-based assistance grants to projects as authorized by section 24 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437v), \$200,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, of which the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development may use up to \$5,000,000 for technical assistance and contract expertise, to be provided directly or indirectly by grants, contracts or cooperative agreements, including training and cost of necessary travel for participants in such training, by or to officials and employees of the department and of public housing agencies and to residents: *Provided*, That none of such funds shall be used directly or indirectly by granting competitive advantage in awards to settle litigation or pay judgments, unless expressly permitted herein: *Provided further*, That a Notice of Funding Availability for the funds provided under this heading shall be issued not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act: *Provided further*, That of the amounts provided under this heading, up to \$90,000,000 may be available for a demonstration of the Choice Neighborhoods Initiative (subject to such section 24 except as otherwise specified under the provisions for this demonstration under this heading) for the transformation, rehabilitation and replacement housing needs of both public and HUD-assisted housing and to transform neighborhoods of poverty into functioning, sustainable mixed income neighborhoods with appropriate services, public assets, transportation and access to jobs and schools, including public schools, community schools and charter schools: *Provided further*, That for this demonstration, funds may also be used for the conversion of vacant or foreclosed properties to affordable housing: *Provided further*, That use of funds made available for this demonstration under this heading shall not be deemed to be public housing notwithstanding section 3(b)(1) of such Act: *Provided further*, That grantees shall commit to an additional period of affordability, determined by the Secretary, but not fewer than 20 years: *Provided further*, That grantees shall undertake comprehensive local planning with input from residents and the community: *Provided further*, That for the purpose of this demonstration, applicants may include local governments, public housing authorities, and nonprofits: *Provided further*, That for-profit developers may apply jointly with a public entity: *Provided further*, That such grantees shall create partnerships with other local organizations including assisted housing owners, service agencies and resident organizations: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall consult with the Secretaries of Education, Labor, Transportation, Health and Human Services, Agriculture, and Commerce, and the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to coordinate and leverage other appropriate Federal resources: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall develop and publish a Notice of Funding Availability for the allocation and the use of such competitive funds in this demonstration, including but not limited to eligible activities, program requirements, protections and services for affected residents and performance metrics.

NATIVE AMERICAN HOUSING BLOCK GRANTS

For the Native American Housing Block Grants program, as authorized under title I of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (NAHASDA) (25 U.S.C. 4111 et seq.), \$700,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That, notwithstanding the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996, to determine the amount of the allocation under title I of such Act for each Indian tribe, the Secretary shall apply the formula under section 302 of such Act with the need component based on single-race Census data and with the need component based on multi-race Census data, and the amount of the allocation for each Indian tribe shall be the greater of the two resulting allocation amounts: *Provided further*, That the Department shall notify grantees of their formula allocation within 60 days of enactment of this Act: *Provided further*, That of the amounts made available under this heading, \$3,500,000 shall be contracted for assistance for a national organization representing Native American housing interests for providing training and technical assistance to Indian housing authorities and tribally designated housing entities as authorized under NAHASDA; and \$4,250,000 shall be to support the inspection of Indian housing units, contract expertise, training, and technical assistance in the training, oversight, and management of such Indian housing and tenant-based assistance, including up to \$300,000 for related travel: *Provided further*, That of the amount provided under this heading, \$2,000,000 shall be made available for the cost of guaranteed notes and other obligations, as authorized by title VI of NAHASDA: *Provided further*, That such costs, including the costs of modifying such notes and other obligations, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: *Provided further*, That these funds are available to subsidize the total principal amount of any notes and other obligations, any part of which is to be guaranteed, not to exceed \$20,000,000.

NATIVE HAWAIIAN HOUSING BLOCK GRANT

For the Native Hawaiian Housing Block Grant program, as authorized under title VIII of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4111 et seq.), \$13,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That of this amount, \$300,000 shall be for training and technical assistance activities, including up to \$100,000 for related travel by Hawaii-based HUD employees.

INDIAN HOUSING LOAN GUARANTEE FUND
PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the cost of guaranteed loans, as authorized by section 184 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 (12 U.S.C. 1715z), \$9,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That such costs, including the costs of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: *Provided further*, That these funds are available to subsidize total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed, up to \$994,000,000: *Provided further*, That up to \$750,000 shall be for administrative contract expenses including management processes and systems to carry out the loan guarantee program.

NATIVE HAWAIIAN HOUSING LOAN GUARANTEE
FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the cost of guaranteed loans, as authorized by section 184A of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 (12 U.S.C. 1715z), \$1,044,000, to remain available

until expended: *Provided*, That such costs, including the costs of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: *Provided further*, That these funds are available to subsidize total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed, not to exceed \$41,504,255.

COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES FOR PERSONS WITH
AIDS

For carrying out the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS program, as authorized by the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12901 et seq.), \$345,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, except that amounts allocated pursuant to section 854(c)(3) of such Act shall remain available until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That the Secretary shall renew all expiring contracts for permanent supportive housing that were funded under section 854(c)(3) of such Act that meet all program requirements before awarding funds for new contracts and activities authorized under this section: *Provided further*, That the Department shall notify grantees of their formula allocation within 60 days of enactment of this Act.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUND

For assistance to units of State and local government, and to other entities, for economic and community development activities, and for other purposes, \$4,450,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013, unless otherwise specified: *Provided*, That of the total amount provided, \$3,990,000,000 is for carrying out the community development block grant program under title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended (the "Act" herein) (42 U.S.C. 5301 et seq.): *Provided further*, That unless explicitly provided for under this heading (except for planning grants provided in the second paragraph and amounts made available under the third paragraph), not to exceed 20 percent of any grant made with funds appropriated under this heading shall be expended for planning and management development and administration: *Provided further*, That the Department shall notify grantees of their formula allocation within 60 days of enactment of this Act: *Provided further*, That \$65,000,000 shall be for grants to Indian tribes notwithstanding section 106(a)(1) of such Act, of which, notwithstanding any other provision of law (including section 204 of this Act), up to \$3,960,000 may be used for emergencies that constitute imminent threats to health and safety.

Of the amount made available under this heading, \$170,176,000 shall be available for grants for the Economic Development Initiative (EDI) to finance a variety of targeted economic investments in accordance with the terms and conditions specified in the explanatory statement accompanying this Act: *Provided*, That none of the funds provided under this paragraph may be used for program operations: *Provided further*, That, for fiscal years 2009, 2010 and 2011, no unobligated funds for EDI grants may be used for any purpose except acquisition, planning, design, purchase of equipment, revitalization, redevelopment or construction.

Of the amount made available under this heading, \$23,600,000 shall be available for neighborhood initiatives that are utilized to improve the conditions of distressed and blighted areas and neighborhoods, to stimulate investment, economic diversification, and community revitalization in areas with population outmigration or a stagnating or declining economic base, or to determine

whether housing benefits can be integrated more effectively with welfare reform initiatives: *Provided*, That amounts made available under this paragraph shall be provided in accordance with the terms and conditions specified in the explanatory statement accompanying this Act.

The referenced explanatory statement for item 113 under the heading "Community Development Fund" in title III of division A of Public Law 109-115 is deemed to be amended by striking "a pedestrian bridge" and inserting "pedestrian and disabled access improvements".

The referenced statement of the managers under this heading in title II of division A of Public Law 111-117 is deemed to be amended by striking "World Trade Center of St. Louis, MO for the construction of a commercialization center" and inserting "World Trade Center of St. Louis, MO for equipment and the construction of a commercialization center".

The referenced explanatory statement under this heading in division I of Public Law 111-8 is deemed to be amended with respect to "Providence Community Action, RI" by striking "for purchase of a building to provide transitional housing for homeless families" and inserting "for purchase and renovation of a building to provide transitional housing for homeless families".

The referenced explanatory statement under this heading in title II of division I of Public Law 111-8 (123 Stat. 524), is deemed to be amended with respect to "Jefferson County, CO" by striking "for the purchase of a 15-unit apartment complex located in Golden, CO to provide housing for homeless veterans" and inserting "for the construction, purchase, or renovation of a facility to provide housing for homeless veterans".

The referenced explanatory statement under this heading in title II of division A of Public Law 111-117 (123 Stat. 3034), is deemed to be amended with respect to the item relating to "Jefferson County, CO" by striking "For the housing authority to establish a new program of housing and supportive services for homeless veterans" and inserting "for the construction, purchase, or renovation of a facility to provide housing for homeless veterans".

The referenced statement of managers under the heading "Community Planning and Development" in title II in division I of Public Law 111-8 is deemed to be amended by striking "City of Wilson, NC, for demolition of dilapidated structures from downtown Wilson to further downtown redevelopment" and inserting "City of Wilson, NC, for the renovation of blighted structures to enhance downtown development".

The referenced statement of managers under the heading "Community Planning and Development" in title II in division I of Public Law 111-8 is deemed to be amended by striking "Catskill Visitor Interpretative Center, Shandaken, NY, for construction of a visitor's center" and inserting "New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, NY, for planning and design of the Catskill Visitor Interpretative Center".

The referenced statement of managers under the heading "Community Planning and Development" in title II in division I of Public Law 111-8 is deemed to be amended by striking "Charles County Department of Human Services, Maryland, Port Tobacco, MD, for acquisition and rehabilitation of the former Changing Point South facility as a homeless shelter and transitional housing" and inserting "Charles County Department of Human Services, Port Tobacco, MD, for acquisition and rehabilitation of a facility".

The referenced statement of managers under the heading "Community Planning and Development" in title II in division I of Public Law 111-8 is deemed to be amended by striking "Covenant House California, Los Angeles, CA, For design and construction of a homeless youth shelter" and inserting "Covenant House California, Los Angeles, CA, To renovate a support services facility to serve homeless youth in Los Angeles".

The referenced statement of managers under the heading "Community Planning and Development" in title II in division A of Public Law 111-117 is deemed to be amended by striking "Altadena Library District, Altadena, CA, Renovation, expansion and ADA compliance at a public library" and inserting "Altadena Library District, Altadena, CA, For planning, design, renovation, expansion and ADA compliance at a public library".

Of the amounts made available under this heading, \$150,000,000 shall be made available for a Sustainable Communities Initiative to improve regional planning efforts that integrate housing and transportation decisions, and increase the capacity to improve land use and zoning: *Provided*, That grants under such Initiative may only be made to metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs), rural planning organizations, States or other units of general local government, Indian tribes, and housing-, economic development- or transportation-related nonprofit organizations: *Provided further*, That \$100,000,000 shall be for Regional Integrated Planning Grants to support the linking of transportation and land use planning: *Provided further*, That not less than \$25,000,000 of the funding made available for Regional Integrated Planning Grants shall be awarded to metropolitan areas of less than 500,000: *Provided further*, That \$40,000,000 shall be for Community Challenge Planning Grants to foster reform and reduce barriers to achieve affordable, economically vital, and sustainable communities: *Provided further*, That before funding is made available for Regional Integrated Planning Grants or Community Challenge Planning Grants, the Secretary, in coordination with the Secretary of Transportation, shall submit a plan to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, the Senate Committee on Banking and Urban Affairs, and the House Committee on Financial Services detailing any changes to the grant criteria or performance measures by which the success of grantees will be measured that were first established in fiscal year 2010: *Provided further*, That the Secretary will consult with the Secretary of Transportation in evaluating grant proposals: *Provided further*, That up to \$10,000,000 shall be for a joint Department of Housing and Urban Development and Department of Transportation research effort that shall include a rigorous evaluation of the Regional Integrated Planning Grants and Community Challenge Planning Grants programs, as well as to provide funding for a clearinghouse and capacity building efforts: *Provided further*, That of the amounts made available under this heading, \$25,000,000 shall be made available for the Rural Innovation Fund for grants to Indian tribes, State housing finance agencies, State community and/or economic development agencies, local rural nonprofits and community development corporations to address the problems of concentrated rural housing distress and community poverty: *Provided further*, That of the funding made available under the previous proviso, at least \$5,000,000 shall be made available to promote economic development and entrepreneurship for federally recog-

nized Indian Tribes, through activities including the capitalization of revolving loan programs and business planning and development, funding is also made available for technical assistance to increase capacity through training and outreach activities: *Provided further*, That the Department of Housing and Urban Development shall publish a notice of funding availability for the Rural Innovation Fund within 120 days of enactment of this Act: *Provided further*, That of the amounts made available under this heading, \$26,224,000 is for grants pursuant to section 107 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5307).

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT LOAN GUARANTEES PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the cost of guaranteed loans, \$8,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, as authorized by section 108 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5308): *Provided*, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: *Provided further*, That these funds are available to subsidize total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed, not to exceed \$341,880,000, notwithstanding any aggregate limitation on outstanding obligations guaranteed in section 108(k) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended.

BROWNFIELDS REDEVELOPMENT

For competitive economic development grants, as authorized by section 108(q) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, for Brownfields redevelopment projects, \$10,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That no funds made available under this heading may be used to establish loan loss reserves for the section 108 Community Development Loan Guarantee program: *Provided further*, That a Notice of Funding Availability shall be issued not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act.

HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM

For the HOME investment partnerships program, as authorized under title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act, as amended, \$1,825,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2013: *Provided*, That, funds provided in prior appropriations Acts for technical assistance, that were made available for Community Housing Development Organizations technical assistance, and that still remain available, may be used for HOME technical assistance notwithstanding the purposes for which such amounts were appropriated: *Provided further*, That the Department shall notify grantees of their formula allocation within 60 days of enactment of this Act.

SELF-HELP AND ASSISTED HOMEOWNERSHIP OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM

For the Self-Help and Assisted Homeownership Opportunity Program, as authorized under section 11 of the Housing Opportunity Program Extension Act of 1996, as amended, \$82,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That of the total amount provided under this heading, \$27,000,000 shall be made available to the Self-Help and Assisted Homeownership Opportunity Program as authorized under section 11 of the Housing Opportunity Program Extension Act of 1996, as amended: *Provided further*, That \$50,000,000 shall be made available for the second, third and fourth capacity building activities authorized under section 4(a) of the HUD Demonstration Act of

1993 (42 U.S.C. 9816 note), of which not less than \$5,000,000 may be made available for rural capacity building activities: *Provided further*, That \$5,000,000 shall be made available for capacity building activities as authorized in sections 6301 through 6305 of Public Law 110-246: *Provided further*, That a Notice of Funding Availability shall be issued not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act.

HOMELESS ASSISTANCE GRANTS

For the emergency solutions grants program as authorized under subtitle B of title IV of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, as amended; the continuum of care program as authorized under subtitle C of title IV of such Act; and the rural housing stability assistance program as authorized under subtitle D of title IV of such Act, \$2,200,000,000, of which \$2,195,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2013, and of which \$5,000,000 shall remain available until expended for project-based rental assistance with rehabilitation for such projects with 10-year grant terms and any rental assistance amounts that are recaptured under such continuum of care program shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That at least \$345,000,000 of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be available for such emergency solutions grants program: *Provided further*, That up to \$1,844,000,000 of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be available for such continuum of care and rural housing stability assistance programs: *Provided further*, That up to \$6,000,000 of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be available for the national homeless data analysis project: *Provided further*, That for all match requirements applicable to funds made available under this heading for this fiscal year and prior years, a grantee may use (or could have used) as a source of match funds other funds administered by the Secretary and other Federal agencies unless there is (or was) a specific statutory prohibition on any such use of any such funds: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall renew on an annual basis expiring contracts or amendments to contracts funded under the continuum of care program if the program is determined to be needed under the applicable continuum of care and meets appropriate program requirements and financial standards, as determined by the Secretary: *Provided further*, That all awards of assistance under this heading shall be required to coordinate and integrate homeless programs with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs for which homeless populations may be eligible, including Medicaid, State Children's Health Insurance Program, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, Food Stamps, and services funding through the Mental Health and Substance Abuse Block Grant, Workforce Investment Act, and the Welfare-to-Work grant program: *Provided further*, That all balances for Shelter Plus Care renewals previously funded from the Shelter Plus Care Renewal account and transferred to this account shall be available, if recaptured, for continuum of care renewals in fiscal year 2011.

HOUSING PROGRAMS

PROJECT-BASED RENTAL ASSISTANCE

For activities and assistance for the provision of project-based subsidy contracts under the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437 et seq.) ("the Act"), not otherwise provided for, \$8,882,328,000, to remain available until expended, shall be available on October 1, 2010 (in addition to the

\$393,672,000 previously appropriated under this heading that will become available October 1, 2010), and \$400,000,000, to remain available until expended, shall be available on October 1, 2011: *Provided*, That the amounts made available under this heading shall be available for expiring or terminating section 8 project-based subsidy contracts (including section 8 moderate rehabilitation contracts), for amendments to section 8 project-based subsidy contracts (including section 8 moderate rehabilitation contracts), for contracts entered into pursuant to section 441 of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11401), for renewal of section 8 contracts for units in projects that are subject to approved plans of action under the Emergency Low Income Housing Preservation Act of 1987 or the Low-Income Housing Preservation and Resident Homeownership Act of 1990, and for administrative and other expenses associated with project-based activities and assistance funded under this paragraph: *Provided further*, That of the total amounts provided under this heading, not to exceed \$326,000,000 shall be available for performance-based contract administrators for section 8 project-based assistance: *Provided further*, That the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development may also use such amounts in the previous proviso for performance-based contract administrators for the administration of: interest reduction payments pursuant to section 236(a) of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715z-1(a)); rent supplement payments pursuant to section 101 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1965 (12 U.S.C. 1701s); section 236(f)(2) rental assistance payments (12 U.S.C. 1715z-1(f)(2)); project rental assistance contracts for the elderly under section 202(c)(2) of the Housing Act of 1959 (12 U.S.C. 1701q); project rental assistance contracts for supportive housing for persons with disabilities under section 811(d)(2) of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act (42 U.S.C. 8013(d)(2)); project assistance contracts pursuant to section 202(h) of the Housing Act of 1959 (Public Law 86-372; 73 Stat. 667); and loans under section 202 of the Housing Act of 1959 (Public Law 86-372; 73 Stat. 667): *Provided further*, That amounts recaptured under this heading, the heading "Annual Contributions for Assisted Housing", or the heading "Housing Certificate Fund" may be used for renewals of or amendments to section 8 project-based contracts or for performance-based contract administrators, notwithstanding the purposes for which such amounts were appropriated.

HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY

For capital advances, including amendments to capital advance contracts, for housing for the elderly, as authorized by section 202 of the Housing Act of 1959, as amended, and for project rental assistance for the elderly under section 202(c)(2) of such Act, including amendments to contracts for such assistance and renewal of expiring contracts for such assistance for up to a 1-year term, and for supportive services associated with the housing, \$825,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2014, of which up to \$465,000,000 shall be for capital advance and project-based rental assistance awards: *Provided*, That amounts for project rental assistance contracts are to remain available for the liquidation of valid obligations for 10 years following the date of such obligation: *Provided further*, That of the amount provided under this heading, up to \$90,000,000 shall be for service coordinators and the continuation of existing congregate service grants for residents of assisted housing

projects, and of which up to \$40,000,000 shall be for grants under section 202b of the Housing Act of 1959 (12 U.S.C. 1701q-2) for conversion of eligible projects under such section to assisted living or related use and for substantial and emergency capital repairs as determined by the Secretary: *Provided further*, That of the amount made available under this heading, \$20,000,000 shall be available to the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development only for making competitive grants to private nonprofit organizations and consumer cooperatives for covering costs of architectural and engineering work, site control, and other planning relating to the development of supportive housing for the elderly that is eligible for assistance under section 202 of the Housing Act of 1959 (12 U.S.C. 1701q): *Provided further*, That amounts under this heading shall be available for Real Estate Assessment Center inspections and inspection-related activities associated with section 202 capital advance projects: *Provided further*, That the Secretary may waive the provisions of section 202 governing the terms and conditions of project rental assistance, except that the initial contract term for such assistance shall not exceed 5 years in duration.

HOUSING FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

For capital advance contracts, including amendments to capital advance contracts, for supportive housing for persons with disabilities, as authorized by section 811 of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act (42 U.S.C. 8013), for project rental assistance for supportive housing for persons with disabilities under section 811(d)(2) of such Act, including amendments to contracts for such assistance and renewal of expiring contracts for such assistance for up to a 1-year term, and for supportive services associated with the housing for persons with disabilities as authorized by section 811(b)(1) of such Act, \$235,000,000, of which up to \$169,000,000 shall be for capital advances and project-based rental assistance contracts, to remain available until September 30, 2014: *Provided*, That amounts for project rental assistance contracts are to remain available for the liquidation of valid obligations for 10 years following the date of such obligation: *Provided further*, That the Secretary may waive the provisions of section 811 governing the terms and conditions of project rental assistance, except that the initial contract term for such assistance shall not exceed 5 years in duration: *Provided further*, That amounts made available under this heading shall be available for Real Estate Assessment Center inspections and inspection-related activities associated with section 811 Capital Advance Projects.

HOUSING COUNSELING ASSISTANCE

For contracts, grants, and other assistance excluding loans, as authorized under section 106 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, as amended, \$88,000,000, including up to \$2,500,000 for administrative contract services, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That funds shall be used for providing counseling and advice to tenants and homeowners, both current and prospective, with respect to property maintenance, financial management/literacy, and such other matters as may be appropriate to assist them in improving their housing conditions, meeting their financial needs, and fulfilling the responsibilities of tenancy or homeownership; for program administration; and for housing counselor training.

OTHER ASSISTED HOUSING PROGRAMS
RENTAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE

For amendments to or extensions for up to 1 year of expiring contracts under section 101 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1965 (12 U.S.C. 1701s) and section 236(f)(2) of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715z-1) in State-aided, noninsured rental housing projects, \$40,600,000, to remain available until expended.

RENT SUPPLEMENT
(RESCISSION)

Of the amounts recaptured from terminated contracts under section 101 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1965 (12 U.S.C. 1701s) and section 236 of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715z-1) \$40,600,000 are rescinded: *Provided*, That no amounts may be rescinded from amounts that were designated by the Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget or the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended.

PAYMENT TO MANUFACTURED HOUSING FEES
TRUST FUND

For necessary expenses as authorized by the National Manufactured Housing Construction and Safety Standards Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5401 et seq.), up to \$14,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$7,000,000 is to be derived from the Manufactured Housing Fees Trust Fund: *Provided*, That not to exceed the total amount appropriated under this heading shall be available from the general fund of the Treasury to the extent necessary to incur obligations and make expenditures pending the receipt of collections to the Fund pursuant to section 620 of such Act: *Provided further*, That the amount made available under this heading from the general fund shall be reduced as such collections are received during fiscal year 2011 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2011 appropriation from the general fund estimated at not more than \$7,000,000 and fees pursuant to such section 620 shall be modified as necessary to ensure such a final fiscal year 2011 appropriation: *Provided further*, That for the dispute resolution and installation programs, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development may assess and collect fees from any program participant: *Provided further*, That such collections shall be deposited into the Fund, and the Secretary, as provided herein, may use such collections, as well as fees collected under section 620, for necessary expenses of such Act: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding the requirements of section 620 of such Act, the Secretary may carry out responsibilities of the Secretary under such Act through the use of approved service providers that are paid directly by the recipients of their services.

FEDERAL HOUSING ADMINISTRATION
MUTUAL MORTGAGE INSURANCE PROGRAM
ACCOUNT
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

New commitments to guarantee single family loans insured under the Mutual Mortgage Insurance Fund shall not exceed \$400,000,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That during fiscal year 2011, obligations to make direct loans to carry out the purposes of section 204(g) of the National Housing Act, as amended, shall not exceed \$50,000,000: *Provided further*, That the foregoing amount in the previous proviso shall be for loans to nonprofit and governmental entities in connection with sales of single family real properties owned by the Secretary and formerly

insured under the Mutual Mortgage Insurance Fund. For administrative contract expenses of the Federal Housing Administration, \$221,125,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, of which up to \$71,500,000 may be transferred to and merged with the Working Capital Fund: *Provided further*, That to the extent guaranteed loan commitments exceed \$200,000,000,000 on or before April 1, 2011, an additional \$1,400 for administrative contract expenses shall be available for each \$1,000,000 in additional guaranteed loan commitments (including a pro rata amount for any amount below \$1,000,000), but in no case shall funds made available by this proviso exceed \$30,000,000.

GENERAL AND SPECIAL RISK PROGRAM ACCOUNT

During fiscal year 2011, commitments to guarantee loans incurred under the General and Special Risk Insurance Funds, as authorized by sections 238 and 519 of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715z-3 and 1735c), shall not exceed \$20,000,000,000 in total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed.

Gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans, as authorized by sections 204(g), 207(1), 238, and 519(a) of the National Housing Act, shall not exceed \$20,000,000, which shall be for loans to nonprofit and governmental entities in connection with the sale of single family real properties owned by the Secretary and formerly insured under such Act.

GOVERNMENT NATIONAL MORTGAGE
ASSOCIATION

GUARANTEES OF MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES
LOAN GUARANTEE PROGRAM ACCOUNT

New commitments to issue guarantees to carry out the purposes of section 306 of the National Housing Act, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1721(g)), shall not exceed \$500,000,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH
RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY

For contracts, grants, and necessary expenses of programs of research and studies relating to housing and urban problems, not otherwise provided for, as authorized by title V of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1970 (12 U.S.C. 1701z-1 et seq.), including carrying out the functions of the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development under section 1(a)(1)(i) of Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1968, \$54,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

FAIR HOUSING AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY
FAIR HOUSING ACTIVITIES

For contracts, grants, and other assistance, not otherwise provided for, as authorized by title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968, as amended by the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, and section 561 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1987, as amended, \$72,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, of which \$42,500,000 shall be to carry out activities pursuant to such section 561: *Provided*, That of the funds made available to carry out section 561, not less than \$10,000,000 shall be available to carry out authorized activities, including training, education and enforcement in order to protect the public from discriminatory lending practices and mortgage rescue scams: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall publish a notice of funding availability for amounts made available under the previous proviso within 30 days of the enactment of this Act: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, the Secretary may assess and collect fees to cover the costs of the Fair Housing Training Acad-

emy, and may use such funds to provide such training: *Provided further*, That no funds made available under this heading shall be used to lobby the executive or legislative branches of the Federal Government in connection with a specific contract, grant or loan: *Provided further*, That of the funds made available under this heading, \$500,000 shall be available to the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development for the creation and promotion of translated materials and other programs that support the assistance of persons with limited English proficiency in utilizing the services provided by the Department of Housing and Urban Development.

OFFICE OF HEALTHY HOMES AND LEAD HAZARD
CONTROL

LEAD HAZARD REDUCTION

For the Lead Hazard Reduction Program, as authorized by section 1011 of the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992, \$140,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012, of which not less than \$20,000,000 shall be for the Healthy Homes Initiative, pursuant to sections 501 and 502 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1970 that shall include research, studies, testing, and demonstration efforts, including education and outreach concerning lead-based paint poisoning and other housing-related diseases and hazards: *Provided*, That for purposes of environmental review, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and other provisions of the law that further the purposes of such Act, a grant under the Healthy Homes Initiative, Operation Lead Elimination Action Plan (LEAP), or the Lead Technical Studies program under this heading or under prior appropriations Acts for such purposes under this heading, shall be considered to be funds for a special project for purposes of section 305(c) of the Multifamily Housing Property Disposition Reform Act of 1994: *Provided further*, That of the total amount made available under this heading, \$48,000,000 shall be made available on a competitive basis for areas with the highest lead paint abatement needs: *Provided further*, That each recipient of funds provided under the second proviso shall make a matching contribution in an amount not less than 25 percent: *Provided further*, That the Secretary may waive the matching requirement cited in the preceding proviso on a case by case basis if the Secretary determines that such a waiver is necessary to advance the purposes of this program: *Provided further*, That each applicant shall submit a detailed plan and strategy that demonstrates adequate capacity that is acceptable to the Secretary to carry out the proposed use of funds pursuant to a notice of funding availability: *Provided further*, That amounts made available under this heading in this or prior appropriations Acts, and that still remain available, may be used for any purpose under this heading notwithstanding the purpose for which such amounts were appropriated if a program competition is undersubscribed and there are other program competitions under this heading that are oversubscribed: *Provided further*, That a Notice of Funding Availability shall be issued not later than 120 days after enactment of this Act.

MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION
WORKING CAPITAL FUND

For additional capital for the Working Capital Fund (42 U.S.C. 3535) for the maintenance of infrastructure for Department-wide information technology systems, for the continuing operation and maintenance of both

Department-wide and program-specific information systems, and for program-related maintenance activities, \$228,500,000, to remain available until September 30, 2012: *Provided*, That any amounts transferred to this Fund under this Act shall remain available until expended: *Provided further*, That any amounts transferred to this Fund from amounts appropriated by previously enacted appropriations Acts may be used for the purposes specified under this Fund, in addition to any other information technology the purposes for which such amounts were appropriated.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary salaries and expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$125,000,000: *Provided*, That the Inspector General shall have independent authority over all personnel issues within this office.

TRANSFORMATION INITIATIVE (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses for combating mortgage fraud, \$20,000,000, to remain available until expended. In addition, of the amounts made available in this Act under each of the following headings under this title, the Secretary may transfer to, and merge with, this account up to 1 percent from each such account, and such transferred amounts shall be available until September 30, 2015, for: (1) research, evaluation, and program metrics; (2) program demonstrations; (3) technical assistance and capacity building; and (4) information technology: "Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS", "Community Development Fund", "Housing Counseling Assistance", "Payment to Manufactured Housing Fees Trust Fund", "Mutual Mortgage Insurance Program Account", "Lead Hazard Reduction", and "Rental Housing Assistance": *Provided*, That of the amounts made available under this paragraph, not less than \$100,000,000 and not more than \$116,000,000 shall be available for information technology modernization, including development and deployment of a Next Generation of Voucher Management System and development and deployment of modernized Federal Housing Administration systems: *Provided further*, That not more than 25 percent of the funds made available for information technology modernization may be obligated until the Secretary submits to the Committees on Appropriations a plan for expenditure that: (1) identifies for each modernization project: (a) the functional and performance capabilities to be delivered and the mission benefits to be realized; (b) the estimated lifecycle cost; and (c) key milestones to be met; (2) demonstrates that each modernization project is: (a) compliant with the department's enterprise architecture; (b) being managed in accordance with applicable lifecycle management policies and guidance; (c) subject to the department's capital planning and investment control requirements; and (d) supported by an adequately staffed project office; and (3) has been reviewed by the Government Accountability Office: *Provided further*, That of the amounts made available under this paragraph, not more than \$45,000,000 shall be available for technical assistance and capacity building: *Provided further*, That technical assistance activities shall include, technical assistance for HUD programs, including HOME, Community Development Block Grant, homeless programs, HOPWA, HOPE VI, Public Housing, the Housing Choice Voucher Program, Fair Housing Initiative Program, Housing Coun-

seling, Healthy Homes, Sustainable Communities, Energy Innovation Fund and other technical assistance as determined by the Secretary: *Provided further*, That of the amounts made available for research, evaluation and program metrics and program demonstrations, the Secretary shall include an assessment of the effectiveness of HUD funded service coordinators: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall submit a plan to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations for approval detailing how the funding provided under this heading will be allocated to each of the categories identified under this heading and for what projects or activities funding will be used: *Provided further*, That following the initial approval of this plan, the Secretary may amend the plan with the approval of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations: *Provided further*, That with respect to amounts made available under this heading for research, evaluation, program metrics, and program demonstrations, notwithstanding section 204 of this title, the Secretary may make grants or enter into cooperative agreements that include a substantial match contribution.

GENERAL PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

SEC. 201. Fifty percent of the amounts of budget authority, or in lieu thereof 50 percent of the cash amounts associated with such budget authority, that are recaptured from projects described in section 1012(a) of the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Amendments Act of 1988 (42 U.S.C. 1437 note) shall be rescinded or in the case of cash, shall be remitted to the Treasury, and such amounts of budget authority or cash recaptured and not rescinded or remitted to the Treasury shall be used by State housing finance agencies or local governments or local housing agencies with projects approved by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development for which settlement occurred after January 1, 1992, in accordance with such section. Notwithstanding the previous sentence, the Secretary may award up to 15 percent of the budget authority or cash recaptured and not rescinded or remitted to the Treasury to provide project owners with incentives to refinance their project at a lower interest rate.

SEC. 202. None of the amounts made available under this Act may be used during fiscal year 2011 to investigate or prosecute under the Fair Housing Act any otherwise lawful activity engaged in by one or more persons, including the filing or maintaining of a non-frivolous legal action, that is engaged in solely for the purpose of achieving or preventing action by a Government official or entity, or a court of competent jurisdiction.

SEC. 203. (a) Notwithstanding section 854(c)(1)(A) of the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12903(c)(1)(A)), from any amounts made available under this title for fiscal year 2011 that are allocated under such section, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall allocate and make a grant, in the amount determined under subsection (b), for any State that—

(1) received an allocation in a prior fiscal year under clause (ii) of such section; and

(2) is not otherwise eligible for an allocation for fiscal year 2011 under such clause (ii) because the areas in the State outside of the metropolitan statistical areas that qualify under clause (i) in fiscal year 2011 do not have the number of cases of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) required under such clause.

(b) The amount of the allocation and grant for any State described in subsection (a)

shall be an amount based on the cumulative number of AIDS cases in the areas of that State that are outside of metropolitan statistical areas that qualify under clause (i) of such section 854(c)(1)(A) in fiscal year 2011, in proportion to AIDS cases among cities and States that qualify under clauses (i) and (ii) of such section and States deemed eligible under subsection (a).

(c) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amount allocated for fiscal year 2011 under section 854(c) of the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12903(c)), to the City of New York, New York, on behalf of the New York-Wayne-White Plains, New York-New Jersey Metropolitan Division (hereafter "metropolitan division") of the New York-Newark-Edison, NY-NJ-PA Metropolitan Statistical Area, shall be adjusted by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development by: (1) allocating to the City of Jersey City, New Jersey, the proportion of the metropolitan area's or division's amount that is based on the number of cases of AIDS reported in the portion of the metropolitan area or division that is located in Hudson County, New Jersey, and adjusting for the proportion of the metropolitan division's high incidence bonus if this area in New Jersey also has a higher than average per capita incidence of AIDS; and (2) allocating to the City of Paterson, New Jersey, the proportion of the metropolitan area's or division's amount that is based on the number of cases of AIDS reported in the portion of the metropolitan area or division that is located in Bergen County and Passaic County, New Jersey, and adjusting for the proportion of the metropolitan division's high incidence bonus if this area in New Jersey also has a higher than average per capita incidence of AIDS. The recipient cities shall use amounts allocated under this subsection to carry out eligible activities under section 855 of the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12904) in their respective portions of the metropolitan division that is located in New Jersey.

(d) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amount allocated for fiscal year 2011 under section 854(c) of the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12903(c)) to areas with a higher than average per capita incidence of AIDS, shall be adjusted by the Secretary on the basis of area incidence reported over a 3-year period.

SEC. 204. Except as explicitly provided in law, any grant, cooperative agreement or other assistance made pursuant to title II of this Act shall be made on a competitive basis and in accordance with section 102 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989 (42 U.S.C. 3545).

SEC. 205. Funds of the Department of Housing and Urban Development subject to the Government Corporation Control Act or section 402 of the Housing Act of 1950 shall be available, without regard to the limitations on administrative expenses, for legal services on a contract or fee basis, and for utilizing and making payment for services and facilities of the Federal National Mortgage Association, Government National Mortgage Association, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, Federal Financing Bank, Federal Reserve banks or any member thereof, Federal Home Loan banks, and any insured bank within the meaning of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Act, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1811-1).

SEC. 206. Unless otherwise provided for in this Act or through a reprogramming of funds, no part of any appropriation for the Department of Housing and Urban Development shall be available for any program,

project or activity in excess of amounts set forth in the budget estimates submitted to Congress.

SEC. 207. Corporations and agencies of the Department of Housing and Urban Development which are subject to the Government Corporation Control Act, are hereby authorized to make such expenditures, within the limits of funds and borrowing authority available to each such corporation or agency and in accordance with law, and to make such contracts and commitments without regard to fiscal year limitations as provided by section 104 of such Act as may be necessary in carrying out the programs set forth in the budget for 2011 for such corporation or agency except as hereinafter provided: *Provided*, That collections of these corporations and agencies may be used for new loan or mortgage purchase commitments only to the extent expressly provided for in this Act (unless such loans are in support of other forms of assistance provided for in this or prior appropriations Acts), except that this proviso shall not apply to the mortgage insurance or guaranty operations of these corporations, or where loans or mortgage purchases are necessary to protect the financial interest of the United States Government.

SEC. 208. The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall provide quarterly reports to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations regarding all uncommitted, unobligated, recaptured and excess funds in each program and activity within the jurisdiction of the Department and shall submit additional, updated budget information to these Committees upon request.

SEC. 209. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amount allocated for fiscal year 2011 under section 854(c) of the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12903(c)), to the City of Wilmington, Delaware, on behalf of the Wilmington, Delaware-Maryland-New Jersey Metropolitan Division (hereafter "metropolitan division"), shall be adjusted by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development by allocating to the State of New Jersey the proportion of the metropolitan division's amount that is based on the number of cases of AIDS reported in the portion of the metropolitan division that is located in New Jersey, and adjusting for the proportion of the metropolitan division's high-incidence bonus if this area in New Jersey also has a higher than average per capita incidence of AIDS. The State of New Jersey shall use amounts allocated to the State under this subsection to carry out eligible activities under section 855 of the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12904) in the portion of the metropolitan division that is located in New Jersey.

(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall allocate to Wake County, North Carolina, the amounts that otherwise would be allocated for fiscal year 2011 under section 854(c) of the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12903(c)) to the City of Raleigh, North Carolina, on behalf of the Raleigh-Cary, North Carolina Metropolitan Statistical Area. Any amounts allocated to Wake County shall be used to carry out eligible activities under section 855 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 12904) within such metropolitan statistical area.

(c) Notwithstanding section 854(c) of the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12903(c)), the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development may adjust the allocation of the amounts that otherwise would be allocated for fiscal year 2011 under section 854(c) of such Act, upon the written request of an

applicant, in conjunction with the State(s), for a formula allocation on behalf of a metropolitan statistical area, to designate the State or States in which the metropolitan statistical area is located as the eligible grantee(s) of the allocation. In the case that a metropolitan statistical area involves more than one State, such amounts allocated to each State shall be in proportion to the number of cases of AIDS reported in the portion of the metropolitan statistical area located in that State. Any amounts allocated to a State under this section shall be used to carry out eligible activities within the portion of the metropolitan statistical area located in that State.

SEC. 210. The President's formal budget request for fiscal year 2012, as well as the Department of Housing and Urban Development's congressional budget justifications to be submitted to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate, shall use the identical account and sub-account structure provided under this Act.

SEC. 211. A public housing agency or such other entity that administers Federal housing assistance for the Housing Authority of the county of Los Angeles, California, the States of Alaska, Iowa, and Mississippi shall not be required to include a resident of public housing or a recipient of assistance provided under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 on the board of directors or a similar governing board of such agency or entity as required under section (2)(b) of such Act. Each public housing agency or other entity that administers Federal housing assistance under section 8 for the Housing Authority of the county of Los Angeles, California and the States of Alaska, Iowa and Mississippi that chooses not to include a resident of public housing or a recipient of section 8 assistance on the board of directors or a similar governing board shall establish an advisory board of not less than six residents of public housing or recipients of section 8 assistance to provide advice and comment to the public housing agency or other administering entity on issues related to public housing and section 8. Such advisory board shall meet not less than quarterly.

SEC. 212. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, subject to the conditions listed in subsection (b), for fiscal years 2011 and 2012, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development may authorize the transfer of some or all project-based assistance, debt and statutorily required low-income and very low-income use restrictions, associated with one or more multifamily housing project to another multifamily housing project or projects.

(b) PHASED TRANSFERS.—Transfers of project-based assistance under this section may be done in phases to accommodate the financing and other requirements related to rehabilitating or constructing the project or projects to which the assistance is transferred to ensure that such project or projects meet the standards under section c.

(c) The transfer authorized in subsection (a) is subject to the following conditions:

(1) Number and bedroom size of units—

(A) For occupied units in the transferring project: the number of low-income and very low-income units and the configuration (i.e. bedroom size) provided by the transferring project shall be no less than when transferred to the receiving project or projects and the net dollar amount of Federal assistance provided by the transferring project shall remain the same in the receiving project or projects.

(B) For unoccupied units in the transferring project: the Secretary may authorize a reduction in the number of dwelling units in the receiving project or projects to allow for a reconfiguration of bedroom sizes to meet current market demands, as determined by the Secretary and provided there is no increase in the project-based section 8 budget authority.

(2) The transferring project shall, as determined by the Secretary, be either physically obsolete or economically non-viable.

(3) The receiving project or projects shall meet or exceed applicable physical standards established by the Secretary.

(4) The owner or mortgagor of the transferring project shall notify and consult with the tenants residing in the transferring project and provide a certification of approval by all appropriate local governmental officials.

(5) The tenants of the transferring project who remain eligible for assistance to be provided by the receiving project or projects shall not be required to vacate their units in the transferring project or projects until new units in the receiving project are available for occupancy.

(6) The Secretary determines that this transfer is in the best interest of the tenants.

(7) If either the transferring project or the receiving project or projects meets the condition specified in subsection (d)(2)(A), any lien on the receiving project resulting from additional financing obtained by the owner shall be subordinate to any FHA-insured mortgage lien transferred to, or placed on, such project by the Secretary, except the Secretary may waive this requirement upon determination that such a waiver is necessary to facilitate the financing of acquisition, construction, and/or rehabilitation of the receiving project or projects.

(8) If the transferring project meets the requirements of subsection (d)(2)(E), the owner or mortgagor of the receiving project or projects shall execute and record either a continuation of the existing use agreement or a new use agreement for the project where, in either case, any use restrictions in such agreement are of no lesser duration than the existing use restrictions.

(d) For purposes of this section—

(1) the terms "low-income" and "very low-income" shall have the meanings provided by the statute and/or regulations governing the program under which the project is insured or assisted;

(2) the term "multifamily housing project" means housing that meets one of the following conditions—

(A) housing that is subject to a mortgage insured under the National Housing Act;

(B) housing that has project-based assistance attached to the structure including projects undergoing mark to market debt restructuring under the Multifamily Assisted Housing Reform and Affordability Housing Act;

(C) housing that is assisted under section 202 of the Housing Act of 1959 as amended by section 801 of the Cranston-Gonzales National Affordable Housing Act;

(D) housing that is assisted under section 202 of the Housing Act of 1959, as such section existed before the enactment of the Cranston-Gonzales National Affordable Housing Act; or

(E) housing or vacant land that is subject to a use agreement;

(3) the term "project-based assistance" means—

(A) assistance provided under section 8(b) of the United States Housing Act of 1937;

(B) assistance for housing constructed or substantially rehabilitated pursuant to assistance provided under section 8(b)(2) of such Act (as such section existed immediately before October 1, 1983);

(C) rent supplement payments under section 101 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1965;

(D) interest reduction payments under section 236 and/or additional assistance payments under section 236(f)(2) of the National Housing Act;

(E) assistance payments made under section 202(c)(2) of the Housing Act of 1959; or

(F) assistance payments made under section 811(d)(2) of the Housing Assistance Act of 1959;

(4) the term “receiving project or projects” means the multifamily housing project or projects to which some or all of the project-based assistance, debt, and statutorily required use low-income and very low-income restrictions are to be transferred;

(5) the term “transferring project” means the multifamily housing project which is transferring some or all of the project-based assistance, debt and the statutorily required low-income and very low-income use restrictions to the receiving project or projects; and

(6) the term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development.

SEC. 213. The funds made available for Native Alaskans under the heading “Native American Housing Block Grants” in title III of this Act shall be allocated to the same Native Alaskan housing block grant recipients that received funds in fiscal year 2005.

SEC. 214. No funds provided under this title may be used for an audit of the Government National Mortgage Association that makes applicable requirements under the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (2 U.S.C. 661 et seq.).

SEC. 215. (a) No assistance shall be provided under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f) to any individual who—

(1) is enrolled as a student at an institution of higher education (as defined under section 102 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1002));

(2) is under 24 years of age;

(3) is not a veteran;

(4) is unmarried;

(5) does not have a dependent child;

(6) is not a person with disabilities, as such term is defined in section 3(b)(3)(E) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437a(b)(3)(E)) and was not receiving assistance under such section 8 as of November 30, 2005; and

(7) is not otherwise individually eligible, or has parents who, individually or jointly, are not eligible, to receive assistance under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f).

(b) For purposes of determining the eligibility of a person to receive assistance under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f), any financial assistance (in excess of amounts received for tuition) that an individual receives under the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001 et seq.), from private sources, or an institution of higher education (as defined under the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1002)), shall be considered income to that individual, except for a person over the age of 23 with dependent children.

SEC. 216. Notwithstanding the limitation in the first sentence of section 255(g) of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715z–g), the Secretary of Housing and Urban Develop-

ment may, until September 30, 2011, insure and enter into commitments to insure mortgages under section 255(g) of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715z–20).

SEC. 217. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in fiscal year 2011, in managing and disposing of any multifamily property that is owned or has a mortgage held by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, and during the process of foreclosure on any property with a contract for rental assistance payments under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 or other Federal programs, the Secretary shall maintain any rental assistance payments under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 and other programs that are attached to any dwelling units in the property. To the extent the Secretary determines, in consultation with the tenants and the local government, that such a multifamily property owned or held by the Secretary is not feasible for continued rental assistance payments under such section 8 or other programs, based on consideration of (1) the costs of rehabilitating and operating the property and all available Federal, State, and local resources, including rent adjustments under section 524 of the Multifamily Assisted Housing Reform and Affordability Act of 1997 (“MAHRAA”) and (2) environmental conditions that cannot be remedied in a cost-effective fashion, the Secretary may, in consultation with the tenants of that property, contract for project-based rental assistance payments with an owner or owners of other existing housing properties, or provide other rental assistance. The Secretary shall also take appropriate steps to ensure that project-based contracts remain in effect prior to foreclosure, subject to the exercise of contractual abatement remedies to assist relocation of tenants for imminent major threats to health and safety after written notice to and informed consent of the affected tenants and use of other available remedies, such as partial abatements or receivership. After disposition of any multifamily property described under this section, the contract and allowable rent levels on such properties shall be subject to the requirements under section 524 of MAHRAA.

SEC. 218. During fiscal year 2011, in the provision of rental assistance under section 8(o) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f(o)) in connection with a program to demonstrate the economy and effectiveness of providing such assistance for use in assisted living facilities that is carried out in the counties of the State of Michigan notwithstanding paragraphs (3) and (18)(B)(iii) of such section 8(o), a family residing in an assisted living facility in any such county, on behalf of which a public housing agency provides assistance pursuant to section 8(o)(18) of such Act, may be required, at the time the family initially receives such assistance, to pay rent in an amount exceeding 40 percent of the monthly adjusted income of the family by such a percentage or amount as the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development determines to be appropriate.

SEC. 219. The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall report quarterly to the House of Representatives and Senate Committees on Appropriations on HUD’s use of all sole source contracts, including terms of the contracts, cost, and a substantive rationale for using a sole source contract.

SEC. 220. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the recipient of a grant under section 202b of the Housing Act of 1959 (12 U.S.C. 1701q) after December 26, 2000, in accordance with the unnumbered paragraph at

the end of section 202(b) of such Act, may, at its option, establish a single-asset nonprofit entity to own the project and may lend the grant funds to such entity, which may be a private nonprofit organization described in section 831 of the American Homeownership and Economic Opportunity Act of 2000.

SEC. 221. (a) The amounts provided under the subheading “Program Account” under the heading “Community Development Loan Guarantees” may be used to guarantee, or make commitments to guarantee, notes, or other obligations issued by any State on behalf of non-entitlement communities in the State in accordance with the requirements of section 108 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 in fiscal year 2011 and subsequent years: *Provided*, That, any State receiving such a guarantee or commitment shall distribute all funds subject to such guarantee to the units of general local government in non-entitlement areas that received the commitment.

(b) Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall promulgate regulations governing the administration of the funds described under subsection (a).

SEC. 222. Section 24 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437v) is amended—

(1) in subsection (m)(1), by striking “fiscal year” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “fiscal year 2011.”; and

(2) in subsection (o), by striking “September” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “September 30, 2011.”.

SEC. 223. Public housing agencies that own and operate 400 or fewer public housing units may elect to be exempt from any asset management requirement imposed by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development in connection with the operating fund rule: *Provided*, That an agency seeking a discontinuance of a reduction of subsidy under the operating fund formula shall not be exempt from asset management requirements.

SEC. 224. With respect to the use of amounts provided in this Act and in future Acts for the operation, capital improvement and management of public housing as authorized by sections 9(d) and 9(e) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437g(d) and (e)), the Secretary shall not impose any requirement or guideline relating to asset management that restricts or limits in any way the use of capital funds for central office costs pursuant to section 9(g)(1) or 9(g)(2) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437g(g)(1), (2)): *Provided*, That a public housing agency may not use capital funds authorized under section 9(d) for activities that are eligible under section 9(e) for assistance with amounts from the operating fund in excess of the amounts permitted under section 9(g)(1) or 9(g)(2).

SEC. 225. No official or employee of the Department of Housing and Urban Development shall be designated as an allotment holder unless the Office of the Chief Financial Officer has determined that such allotment holder has implemented an adequate system of funds control and has received training in funds control procedures and directives. The Chief Financial Officer shall ensure that, not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, a trained allotment holder shall be designated for each HUD subaccount under the headings “Executive Direction” and heading “Administration, Operations, and Management” as well as each account

receiving appropriations for "personnel compensation and benefits" within the Department of Housing and Urban Development.

SEC. 226. The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall report quarterly to the House of Representatives and Senate Committees on Appropriations on the status of all section 8 project-based housing, including the number of all project-based units by region as well as an analysis of all federally subsidized housing being refinanced under the Mark-to-Market program. The Secretary shall in the report identify all existing units maintained by region as section 8 project-based units and all project-based units that have opted out of section 8 or have otherwise been eliminated as section 8 project-based units. The Secretary shall identify in detail and by project all the efforts made by the Department to preserve all section 8 project-based housing units and all the reasons for any units which opted out or otherwise were lost as section 8 project-based units. Such analysis shall include a review of the impact of the loss of any subsidized units in that housing marketplace, such as the impact of cost and the loss of available subsidized, low-income housing in areas with scarce housing resources for low-income families.

SEC. 227. Payment of attorney fees in program-related litigation must be paid from individual program office personnel benefits and compensation funding. The annual budget submission for program office personnel benefit and compensation funding must include program-related litigation costs for attorney fees as a separate line item request.

SEC. 228. The Secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban Development shall for fiscal year 2011 and subsequent fiscal years, notify the public through the Federal Register and other means, as determined appropriate, of the issuance of a notice of the availability of assistance or notice of funding availability (NOFA) for any program or discretionary fund administered by the Secretary that is to be competitively awarded. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for fiscal year 2011 and subsequent fiscal years, the Secretary may make the NOFA available only on the Internet at the appropriate Government Web site or Web site or through other electronic media, as determined by the Secretary.

SEC. 229. Upon request of the project sponsor of a project assisted with a loan under section 202 of the Housing Act of 1959 (as in effect before the enactment of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act), for which the Secretary's consent to prepayment is required, the Secretary may approve the prepayment of any indebtedness to the Secretary relating to any remaining principal and interest under the loan as part of a prepayment plan under which—

(1) the project sponsor agrees to operate the project until the maturity date of the original loan under terms at least as advantageous to existing and future tenants as the terms required by the original loan agreement or any project-based rental assistance payments contract under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (or any other project-based rental housing assistance programs of the Department of Housing and Urban Development, including the rent supplement program under section 101 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1965 (12 U.S.C. 1701s)) or any successor project-based rental assistance program, except as provided by subsection (a)(2)(B); and

(2) the prepayment may involve refinancing of the loan if such refinancing results—

(A) in a lower interest rate on the principal of the loan for the project and in reductions in debt service related to such loan; or

(B) in the case of a project that is assisted with a loan under such section 202 carrying an interest rate of 6 percent or lower, a transaction under which—

(i) the project owner shall address the physical needs of the project;

(ii) the prepayment plan for the transaction, including the refinancing, shall meet a cost benefit analysis, as established by the Secretary, that the benefit of the transaction outweighs the cost of the transaction including any increases in rent charged to unassisted tenants;

(iii) the overall cost for providing rental assistance under section 8 for the project (if any) is not increased, except, upon approval by the Secretary to—

(I) mark-up-to-market contracts pursuant to section 524(a)(3) of the Multifamily Assisted Housing Reform and Affordability Act (42 U.S.C. 1437f note), as such section is carried out by the Secretary for properties owned by nonprofit organizations; or

(II) mark-up-to-budget contracts pursuant to section 524(a)(4) of the Multifamily Assisted Housing Reform and Affordability Act (42 U.S.C. 1437f note), as such section is carried out by the Secretary for properties owned by eligible owners (as such term is defined in section 202(k) of the Housing Act of 1959 (12 U.S.C. 1701q(k)));

(iv) the project owner may charge tenants rent sufficient to meet debt service payments and operating cost requirements, as approved by the Secretary, if project-based rental assistance is not available or is insufficient for the debt service and operating cost of the project after refinancing. Such approval by the Secretary—

(I) shall be the basis for the owner to agree to terminate the project-based rental assistance contract that is insufficient for the debt service and operating cost of the project after refinancing; and

(II) shall be an eligibility event for the project for purposes of section 8(t) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f(t));

(v) units to be occupied by tenants assisted under section 8(t) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f(t)) shall, upon termination of the occupancy of such tenants, become eligible for project-based assistance under section 8(o)(13) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f(o)(13)) without regard to the percentage limitations provided in such section; and

(vi) there shall be a use agreement of 20 years from the date of the maturity date of the original 202 loan for all units, including units to be occupied by tenants assisted under section 8(t) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f(t)).

SEC. 230. No property identified by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development as surplus Federal property for use to assist the homeless shall be made available to any homeless group unless the group is a member in good standing under any of HUD's homeless assistance programs or is in good standing with any other program which receives funds from any other Federal or State agency or entity: *Provided*, That an exception may be made for an entity not involved with Federal homeless programs to use surplus Federal property for the homeless only after the Secretary or another responsible Federal agency has fully and comprehensively reviewed all relevant finances of the entity, the track record of the entity in assisting the homeless, the ability of the entity to

manage the property, including all costs, the ability of the entity to administer homeless programs in a manner that is effective to meet the needs of the homeless population that is expected to use the property and any other related issues that demonstrate a commitment to assist the homeless: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall not require the entity to have cash in hand in order to demonstrate financial ability but may rely on the entity's prior demonstrated fundraising ability or commitments for in-kind donations of goods and services: *Provided further*, That the Secretary shall make all such information and its decision regarding the award of the surplus property available to the committees of jurisdiction, including a full justification of the appropriateness of the use of the property to assist the homeless as well as the appropriateness of the group seeking to obtain the property to use such property to assist the homeless: *Provided further*, That, this section shall apply to properties in fiscal years 2010 and 2011 made available as surplus Federal property for use to assist the homeless.

SEC. 231. The Secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban Development is authorized to transfer up to 5 percent or \$5,000,000, whichever is less, of the funds made available for personnel or nonpersonnel expenses under any account under this title under the general heading "Personnel Compensation and Benefits", or under any set-aside within the accounts under the headings "Executive Direction" and "Administration, Operations and Management", to any other such account or any other such set-aside within such accounts: *Provided*, That any transfer over 5 percent or \$5,000,000, whichever is less, must be submitted to and receive the prior written approval of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

SEC. 232. The Disaster Housing Assistance Programs, administered by the Department of Housing and Urban Development, shall be considered a "program of the Department of Housing and Urban Development" under section 904 of the McKinney Act for the purpose of income verifications and matching.

SEC. 233. Of the amounts made available for salaries and expenses under all accounts under this title (except for the Office of Inspector General account), a total of up to \$15,000,000 may be transferred to and merged with amounts made available in the "Working Capital Fund" account or the "Transformation Initiative" account under this title. Any amounts transferred to the "Transformation Initiative" account shall only be available for information technology requirements and shall remain available until September 30, 2013.

SEC. 234. The transfer requirement established under the heading "Flexible Subsidy Fund" in Public Law 108-447 and in Public Law 109-115 shall not apply in fiscal year 2011 and all subsequent fiscal years.

This title may be cited as the "Department of Housing and Urban Development Appropriations Act, 2011".

TITLE III RELATED AGENCIES ACCESS BOARD SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for the Access Board, as authorized by section 502 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, \$7,367,000: *Provided*, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, there may be credited to this appropriation funds received for publications and training expenses.

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Federal Maritime Commission as authorized by section 201(d) of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended (46 U.S.C. App. 1111), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; hire of passenger motor vehicles as authorized by 31 U.S.C. 1343(b); and uniforms or allowances therefore, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901–5902, \$25,300,000: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$2,000 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses.

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General for the National Railroad Passenger Corporation to carry out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$20,000,000: *Provided*, That the Inspector General shall have all necessary authority, in carrying out the duties specified in the Inspector General Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. 3), to investigate allegations of fraud, including false statements to the government (18 U.S.C. 1001), by any person or entity that is subject to regulation by the National Railroad Passenger Corporation: *Provided further*, That the Inspector General may enter into contracts and other arrangements for audits, studies, analyses, and other services with public agencies and with private persons, subject to the applicable laws and regulations that govern the obtaining of such services within the National Railroad Passenger Corporation: *Provided further*, That the Inspector General may select, appoint, and employ such officers and employees as may be necessary for carrying out the functions, powers, and duties of the Office of Inspector General, subject to the applicable laws and regulations that govern such selections, appointments, and employment within Amtrak: *Provided further*, That concurrent with the President's budget request for fiscal year 2012, the Inspector General shall submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a budget request for fiscal year 2012 in similar format and substance to those submitted by executive agencies of the Federal Government.

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the National Transportation Safety Board, including hire of passenger motor vehicles and aircraft; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the rate for a GS–15; uniforms, or allowances therefore, as authorized by law (5 U.S.C. 5901–5902) \$104,300,000, of which not to exceed \$2,000 may be used for official reception and representation expenses: *Provided*, That the amounts made available to the National Transportation Safety Board in this Act include amounts necessary to make lease payments on an obligation incurred in fiscal year 2001 for a capital lease.

NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION
PAYMENT TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD
REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

For payment to the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation for use in neighborhood reinvestment activities, as authorized by the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation Act (42 U.S.C. 8101–8107), \$140,000,000, of which \$5,000,000 shall be for a multi-family rental housing program: *Provided*, That in addition, \$35,000,000 shall be made available

until expended for capital grants to rehabilitate or finance the rehabilitation of affordable housing units, including necessary administrative expenses: *Provided further*, That in addition, \$125,000,000 shall be made available until expended to the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation for mortgage foreclosure mitigation activities, under the following terms and conditions:

(1) The Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation (“NRC”), shall make grants to counseling intermediaries approved by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) (with match to be determined by the NRC based on affordability and the economic conditions of an area; a match also may be waived by the NRC based on the aforementioned conditions) to provide mortgage foreclosure mitigation assistance primarily to States and areas with high rates of defaults and foreclosures to help eliminate the default and foreclosure of mortgages of owner-occupied single-family homes that are at risk of such foreclosure. Other than areas with high rates of defaults and foreclosures, grants may also be provided to approved counseling intermediaries based on a geographic analysis of the Nation by the NRC which determines where there is a prevalence of mortgages that are risky and likely to fail, including any trends for mortgages that are likely to default and face foreclosure. A State Housing Finance Agency may also be eligible where the State Housing Finance Agency meets all the requirements under this paragraph. A HUD-approved counseling intermediary shall meet certain mortgage foreclosure mitigation assistance counseling requirements, as determined by the NRC, and shall be approved by HUD or the NRC as meeting these requirements.

(2) Mortgage foreclosure mitigation assistance shall only be made available to homeowners of owner-occupied homes with mortgages in default or in danger of default. These mortgages shall likely be subject to a foreclosure action and homeowners will be provided such assistance that shall consist of activities that are likely to prevent foreclosures and result in the long-term affordability of the mortgage retained pursuant to such activity or another positive outcome for the homeowner. No funds made available under this paragraph may be provided directly to lenders or homeowners to discharge outstanding mortgage balances or for any other direct debt reduction payments.

(3) The use of Mortgage Foreclosure Mitigation Assistance by approved counseling intermediaries and State Housing Finance Agencies shall involve a reasonable analysis of the borrower's financial situation, an evaluation of the current value of the property that is subject to the mortgage, counseling regarding the assumption of the mortgage by another non-Federal party, counseling regarding the possible purchase of the mortgage by a non-Federal third party, counseling and advice of all likely restructuring and refinancing strategies or the approval of a work-out strategy by all interested parties.

(4) NRC may provide up to 15 percent of the total funds under this paragraph to its own charter members with expertise in foreclosure prevention counseling, subject to a certification by the NRC that the procedures for selection do not consist of any procedures or activities that could be construed as an unacceptable conflict of interest or have the appearance of impropriety.

(5) HUD-approved counseling entities and State Housing Finance Agencies receiving funds under this paragraph shall have dem-

onstrated experience in successfully working with financial institutions as well as borrowers facing default, delinquency and foreclosure as well as documented counseling capacity, outreach capacity, past successful performance and positive outcomes with documented counseling plans (including post mortgage foreclosure mitigation counseling), loan workout agreements and loan modification agreements. NRC may use other criteria to demonstrate capacity in underserved areas.

(6) Of the total amount made available under this paragraph, up to \$3,000,000 may be made available to build the mortgage foreclosure and default mitigation counseling capacity of counseling intermediaries through NRC training courses with HUD-approved counseling intermediaries and their partners, except that private financial institutions that participate in NRC training shall pay market rates for such training.

(7) Of the total amount made available under this paragraph, up to 5 percent may be used for associated administrative expenses for the NRC to carry out activities provided under this section.

(8) Mortgage foreclosure mitigation assistance grants may include a budget for outreach and advertising, and training, as determined by the NRC.

(9) The NRC shall continue to report bi-annually to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations as well as the Senate Banking Committee and House Financial Services Committee on its efforts to mitigate mortgage default.

UNITED STATES INTERAGENCY COUNCIL ON
HOMELESSNESS
OPERATING EXPENSES

For necessary expenses (including payment of salaries, authorized travel, hire of passenger motor vehicles, the rental of conference rooms, and the employment of experts and consultants under section 3109 of title 5, United States Code) of the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness in carrying out the functions pursuant to title II of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, as amended, \$3,930,000.

Section 209 of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11319) is deleted.

TITLE IV
GENERAL PROVISIONS—THIS ACT

SEC. 401. Such sums as may be necessary for fiscal year 2010 pay raises for programs funded in this Act shall be absorbed within the levels appropriated in this Act or previous appropriations Acts.

SEC. 402. None of the funds in this Act shall be used for the planning or execution of any program to pay the expenses of, or otherwise compensate, non-Federal parties intervening in regulatory or adjudicatory proceedings funded in this Act.

SEC. 403. None of the funds appropriated in this Act shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year, nor may any be transferred to other appropriations, unless expressly so provided herein.

SEC. 404. The expenditure of any appropriation under this Act for any consulting service through procurement contract pursuant to section 3109 of title 5, United States Code, shall be limited to those contracts where such expenditures are a matter of public record and available for public inspection, except where otherwise provided under existing law, or under existing Executive order issued pursuant to existing law.

SEC. 405. Except as otherwise provided in this Act, none of the funds provided in this

Act, provided by previous appropriations Acts to the agencies or entities funded in this Act that remain available for obligation or expenditure in fiscal year 2011, or provided from any accounts in the Treasury derived by the collection of fees and available to the agencies funded by this Act, shall be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming of funds that: (1) creates a new program; (2) eliminates a program, project, or activity; (3) increases funds or personnel for any program, project, or activity for which funds have been denied or restricted by the Congress; (4) proposes to use funds directed for a specific activity by either the House or Senate Committees on Appropriations for a different purpose; (5) augments existing programs, projects, or activities in excess of \$5,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less; (6) reduces existing programs, projects, or activities by \$5,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less; or (7) creates, reorganizes, or restructures a branch, division, office, bureau, board, commission, agency, administration, or department different from the budget justifications submitted to the Committees on Appropriations or the table accompanying the explanatory statement accompanying this Act, whichever is more detailed, unless prior approval is received from the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations: *Provided*, That not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, each agency funded by this Act shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and of the House of Representatives to establish the baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the current fiscal year: *Provided further*, That the report shall include: (1) a table for each appropriation with a separate column to display the President's budget request, adjustments made by Congress, adjustments due to enacted rescissions, if appropriate, and the fiscal year enacted level; (2) a delineation in the table for each appropriation both by object class and program, project, and activity as detailed in the budget appendix for the respective appropriation; and (3) an identification of items of special congressional interest: *Provided further*, That the amount appropriated or limited for salaries and expenses for an agency shall be reduced by \$100,000 per day for each day after the required date that the report has not been submitted to the Congress.

SEC. 406. Except as otherwise specifically provided by law, not to exceed 50 percent of unobligated balances remaining available at the end of fiscal year 2011 from appropriations made available for salaries and expenses for fiscal year 2011 in this Act, shall remain available through September 30, 2012, for each such account for the purposes authorized: *Provided*, That a request shall be submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations for approval prior to the expenditure of such funds: *Provided further*, That these requests shall be made in compliance with reprogramming guidelines under section 405 of this Act.

SEC. 407. All Federal agencies and departments that are funded under this Act shall issue a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on all sole-source contracts by no later than July 30, 2011. Such report shall include the contractor, the amount of the contract and the rationale for using a sole-source contract.

SEC. 408. (a) None of the funds made available in this Act may be obligated or expended for any employee training that—

(1) does not meet identified needs for knowledge, skills, and abilities bearing di-

rectly upon the performance of official duties;

(2) contains elements likely to induce high levels of emotional response or psychological stress in some participants;

(3) does not require prior employee notification of the content and methods to be used in the training and written end of course evaluation;

(4) contains any methods or content associated with religious or quasi-religious belief systems or "new age" belief systems as defined in Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Notice N-915.022, dated September 2, 1988; or

(5) is offensive to, or designed to change, participants' personal values or lifestyle outside the workplace.

(b) Nothing in this section shall prohibit, restrict, or otherwise preclude an agency from conducting training bearing directly upon the performance of official duties.

SEC. 409. No funds in this Act may be used to support any Federal, State, or local projects that seek to use the power of eminent domain, unless eminent domain is employed only for a public use: *Provided*, That for purposes of this section, public use shall not be construed to include economic development that primarily benefits private entities: *Provided further*, That any use of funds for mass transit, railroad, airport, seaport or highway projects as well as utility projects which benefit or serve the general public (including energy-related, communication-related, water-related and wastewater-related infrastructure), other structures designated for use by the general public or which have other common-carrier or public-utility functions that serve the general public and are subject to regulation and oversight by the government, and projects for the removal of an immediate threat to public health and safety or brownfields as defined in the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act (Public Law 107-118) shall be considered a public use for purposes of eminent domain.

SEC. 410. None of the funds made available in this Act may be transferred to any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States Government, except pursuant to a transfer made by, or transfer authority provided in, this Act or any other appropriations Act.

SEC. 411. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall be available to pay the salary for any person filling a position, other than a temporary position, formerly held by an employee who has left to enter the Armed Forces of the United States and has satisfactorily completed his period of active military or naval service, and has within 90 days after his release from such service or from hospitalization continuing after discharge for a period of not more than 1 year, made application for restoration to his former position and has been certified by the Office of Personnel Management as still qualified to perform the duties of his former position and has not been restored thereto.

SEC. 412. No funds appropriated pursuant to this Act may be expended in contravention of sections 2 through 4 of the Act of March 3, 1933 (41 U.S.C. 10a-10c, popularly known as the "Buy American Act").

SEC. 413. No funds appropriated or otherwise made available under this Act shall be made available to any person or entity that has been convicted of violating the Buy American Act (41 U.S.C. 10a-10c).

SEC. 414. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used for first-class airline accommodations in contravention of sec-

tions 301-10.122 and 301-10.123 of title 41, Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 415. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to purchase a light bulb for an office building unless the light bulb has, to the extent practicable, an Energy Star or Federal Energy Management Program designation.

SEC. 416. After any notice of funding availability or any other notice designed to solicit applications for funding issued by either of the following departments for a competitive grant program with an annual budget, including grants, equal to or exceeding \$100,000,000, or for the Department of Transportation's Grants for Energy Efficiency and Greenhouse Gas Reductions program, the Secretary of the Department of Transportation and the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall post on their Web sites the following information regarding any of the applicable programs including, but not limited to, the primary purpose of the grant program, the criteria for grant selection, and the process for the decision-making by the Department: *Provided*, That once all valid applications have been received by the Department for a program by a date certain established by the Department, the Department shall post on its Web site a summary of the primary information in each grant application, including the applicant's name, address, phone number, point of contact, and the primary funding or other request of each grantee: *Provided further*, That a department shall post on its Web site the name of all successful grantees, the grant award amount, and the justification for the selection by the department as well as the methodology for the award selections, including how the selected awards are consistent with program goals, and as soon as is available, a summary of all benchmarks and deadlines that are expected to be met by a grantee.

SEC. 417. (a) None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to establish, issue, implement, administer, or enforce any prohibition or restriction on the establishment or effectiveness of any occupancy preference for veterans in supportive housing for the elderly that: (1) is provided assistance by the Department of Housing and Urban Development; and (2)(A) is or would be located on property of the Department of Veterans Affairs; or (B) is subject to an enhanced use lease with the Department of Veterans Affairs.

TITLE V—EXTENSION OF CURRENT SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAMS

SEC. 501. SHORT TITLE; RECONCILIATION OF FUNDS.

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This title may be cited as the "Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2010, Part II".

(b) **RECONCILIATION OF FUNDS.**—The Secretary of Transportation shall reduce the amount apportioned or allocated for a program, project, or activity under this title in fiscal year 2011 by amounts apportioned or allocated pursuant to the Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2010 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010.

Subtitle A—Federal-Aid Highways **SEC. 511. EXTENSION OF FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY PROGRAMS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 411 of the Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-147; 124 Stat. 78) is amended—

(1) by striking "the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010" each place it appears (except in subsection (c)(2)) and inserting "fiscal year 2011";

(2) in subsection (a) by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “September 30, 2011”;

(3) in subsection (b)(2) by striking “¼ of”;

(4) in subsection (c)—

(A) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking “¼ of”; and

(ii) by striking “the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010,” and inserting “fiscal year 2011”;

(B) in paragraph (4)—

(i) in subparagraph (A)(ii) by striking “, except that during such period obligations subject to such limitation shall not exceed ¼ of the limitation on obligations included in an Act making appropriations for fiscal year 2011”; and

(ii) in subparagraph (B)(ii)(II) by striking “\$159,750,000” and inserting “\$639,000,000”; and

(C) by striking paragraph (5);

(5) in subsection (d)—

(A) by striking “¼ of” each place it appears; and

(B) in paragraph (2)(A)—

(i) in the matter preceding clause (i) by striking “apportioned under sections 104(b) and 144 of title 23, United States Code,” and inserting “specified in section 105(a)(2) of title 23, United States Code (except the high priority projects program),”; and

(ii) in clause (ii) by striking “apportioned under such sections of such Code” and inserting “specified in such section 105(a)(2) (except the high priority projects program),”; and

(6) in subsection (e)(1)(B) by striking “¼”.

(b) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—Section 412(a)(2) of the Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-147; 124 Stat. 83) is amended—

(1) by striking “\$105,606,250” and inserting “\$422,425,000”; and

(2) by striking “the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010” and inserting “fiscal year 2011”.

Subtitle B—Extension of National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, and Additional Programs

SEC. 521. EXTENSION OF NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAMS.

(a) CHAPTER 4 HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAMS.—Section 2001(a)(1) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1519) is amended by striking “and \$58,750,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010,” and inserting “and \$235,000,000 for fiscal year 2011.”

(b) HIGHWAY SAFETY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.—Section 2001(a)(2) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1519) is amended by striking “and \$27,061,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010,” and inserting “and \$108,244,000 for fiscal year 2011.”

(c) OCCUPANT PROTECTION INCENTIVE GRANTS.—Section 2001(a)(3) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1519) is amended by striking “and \$6,250,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010,” and inserting “and \$25,000,000 for fiscal year 2011.”

(d) SAFETY BELT PERFORMANCE GRANTS.—Section 2001(a)(4) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1519) is amended by striking “and \$31,125,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010,” and inserting “and \$124,500,000 for fiscal year 2011.”

(e) STATE TRAFFIC SAFETY INFORMATION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS.—Section 2001(a)(5) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1519) is amended by striking “and \$8,625,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on De-

cember 31, 2010.” and inserting “and \$34,500,000 for fiscal year 2011.”

(f) ALCOHOL-IMPAIRED DRIVING COUNTERMEASURES INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAM.—Section 2001(a)(6) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1519) is amended by striking “and \$34,750,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010,” and inserting “and \$139,000,000 for fiscal year 2011.”

(g) NATIONAL DRIVER REGISTER.—Section 2001(a)(7) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1520) is amended by striking “and \$1,029,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010,” and inserting “and \$4,116,000 for fiscal year 2011.”

(h) HIGH VISIBILITY ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM.—Section 2001(a)(8) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1520) is amended by striking “and \$7,250,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010,” and inserting “and \$29,000,000 for fiscal year 2011.”

(i) MOTORCYCLIST SAFETY.—Section 2001(a)(9) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1520) is amended by striking “and \$1,750,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010,” and inserting “and \$7,000,000 for fiscal year 2011.”

(j) CHILD SAFETY AND CHILD BOOSTER SEAT SAFETY INCENTIVE GRANTS.—Section 2001(a)(10) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1520) is amended by striking “and \$1,750,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010,” and inserting “and \$7,000,000 for fiscal year 2011.”

(k) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—Section 2001(a)(11) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1520) is amended by striking “and \$6,332,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010,” and inserting “and \$25,328,000 for fiscal year 2011.”

SEC. 522. EXTENSION OF FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION PROGRAMS.

(a) MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY GRANTS.—Section 31104(a)(7) of title 49, United States Code, is amended by striking “\$52,679,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010,” and inserting “\$209,000,000 for fiscal year 2011.”

(b) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—Section 31104(i)(1)(G) of title 49, United States Code, is amended by striking “\$61,036,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010,” and inserting “\$244,144,000 for fiscal year 2011.”

(c) GRANT PROGRAMS.—Section 4101(c) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1715) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “and” after “2009,”; and

(B) by striking “and \$6,301,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010” and inserting “and \$25,000,000 for fiscal year 2011”;

(2) in paragraph (2) by striking “and \$8,066,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010” and inserting “and \$32,000,000 for fiscal year 2011”;

(3) in paragraph (3) by striking “and \$1,260,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010” and inserting “and \$5,000,000 for fiscal year 2011”;

(4) in paragraph (4) by striking “and \$6,301,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010” and inserting “and \$25,000,000 for fiscal year 2011”; and

(5) in paragraph (5) by striking “and \$756,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010” and inserting “and \$3,000,000 for fiscal year 2011”.

(d) HIGH-PRIORITY ACTIVITIES.—Section 31104(k)(2) of title 49, United States Code, is

amended by striking “and \$3,781,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010” and inserting “and \$15,000,000 for fiscal year 2011”.

(e) NEW ENTRANT AUDITS.—Section 31144(g)(5)(B) of title 49, United States Code, is amended by striking “(and up to \$7,310,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010)”.

(f) COMMERCIAL DRIVER'S LICENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM MODERNIZATION.—Section 4123(d)(6) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1736) is amended by striking “\$2,016,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010,” and inserting “\$8,000,000 for fiscal year 2011.”

(g) OUTREACH AND EDUCATION.—Section 4127(e) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1741) is amended by striking “and 2010” and all that follows before “to carry out” and inserting “2010, and 2011”.

(h) GRANT PROGRAM FOR COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLE OPERATORS.—Section 4134(c) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1744) is amended by striking “2009, 2010, and \$252,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2010, and ending on December 31, 2010,” and inserting “2011”.

(i) MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADVISORY COMMITTEE.—Section 4144(d) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1748) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “September 30, 2011”.

(j) WORKING GROUP FOR DEVELOPMENT OF PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES TO ENHANCE FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS.—Section 4213(d) of SAFETEA-LU (49 U.S.C. 14710 note; 119 Stat. 1759) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “September 30, 2011”.

SEC. 523. ADDITIONAL PROGRAMS.

(a) HAZARDOUS MATERIALS RESEARCH PROJECTS.—Section 7131(c) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1910) is amended by striking “through 2010” and all that follows before “shall be available” and inserting “through 2011”.

(b) DINGELL-JOHNSON SPORT FISH RESTORATION ACT.—Section 4 of the Dingell-Johnson Sport Fish Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 777c) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a) by striking “For each of fiscal years 2006” and all that follows before paragraph (1) and inserting the following: “For each of fiscal years 2006 through 2011, the balance of each annual appropriation made in accordance with the provisions of section 3 remaining after the distributions for administrative expenses and other purposes under subsection (b) and for multistate conservation grants under section 14 shall be distributed as follows:”; and

(2) in subsection (b)(1)(A) by striking the first sentence and inserting the following: “From the annual appropriation made in accordance with section 3, for each of fiscal years 2006 through 2011, the Secretary of the Interior may use no more than the amount specified in subparagraph (B) for the fiscal year for expenses for administration incurred in the implementation of this Act, in accordance with this section and section 9.”

(c) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROJECT DELIVERY PILOT PROGRAM.—Section 327(i)(1) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “6 years after” and inserting “7 years after”.

(d) IMPLEMENTATION OF FUTURE STRATEGIC HIGHWAY RESEARCH PROGRAM.—Section 510 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(h) IMPLEMENTATION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the Secretary may use funds made available to carry out this section for implementation of

research products related to the future strategic highway research program, including development, demonstration, evaluation, and technology transfer activities.”.

Subtitle C—Public Transportation Programs
SEC. 531. ALLOCATION OF FUNDS FOR PLANNING PROGRAMS.

Section 5305(g) of title 49, United States Code, is amended by striking “2010, and for the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “2011”.

SEC. 532. SPECIAL RULE FOR URBANIZED AREA FORMULA GRANTS.

Section 5307(b)(2) of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the paragraph heading by striking “2010, AND THE PERIOD BEGINNING OCTOBER 1, 2010, AND ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2010” and inserting “2011”;

(2) in subparagraph (A) by striking “2010, and the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “2011.”; and

(3) in subparagraph (E)—

(A) in the subparagraph heading by striking “2010 AND DURING THE PERIOD BEGINNING OCTOBER 1, 2010, AND ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2010” and inserting “2011”; and

(B) in the matter preceding clause (i) by striking “In fiscal years 2008 through 2010, and during the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “In each of fiscal years 2008 through 2011”.

SEC. 533. ALLOCATING AMOUNTS FOR CAPITAL INVESTMENT GRANTS.

Section 5309(m) of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2)—

(A) in the paragraph heading by striking “2010 AND OCTOBER 1, 2010, THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2010” and inserting “2011”;

(B) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) by striking “2010, and during the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “2011”; and

(C) in subparagraph (A)(i) by striking “2010, and \$50,000,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “2011”;

(2) in paragraph (6)—

(A) in subparagraph (B) by striking “2010, and \$3,750,000 shall be available for the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “2011”; and

(B) in subparagraph (C) by striking “2010, and \$1,250,000 shall be available for the period beginning October 1, 2010 and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “2011”; and

(3) in paragraph (7)—

(A) in subparagraph (A)—

(i) by striking “(A) FERRY BOAT SYSTEMS.—” and all that follows through “(i) FISCAL YEAR 2006 THROUGH 2010.—\$10,000,000 shall be available in each of fiscal years 2006 through 2010” and inserting the following:

“(A) FERRY BOAT SYSTEMS.—\$10,000,000 shall be available in each of fiscal years 2006 through 2011”;

(ii) by striking clause (ii);

(iii) by redesignating subclauses (I) through (VIII) as clauses (i) through (viii), respectively, and moving the text of such clauses 2 ems to the left; and

(iv) by inserting a period at the end of clause (iv) (as so redesignated);

(B) by striking subparagraph (B)(vi) and inserting the following:

“(vi) \$13,500,000 for fiscal year 2011.”;

(C) in subparagraph (C) by striking “, and during the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010,”;

(D) in subparagraph (D) by striking “, and not less than \$8,750,000 shall be available for

the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010.”; and

(E) in subparagraph (E) by striking “, and \$750,000 shall be available for the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010.”.

SEC. 534. APPORTIONMENT OF FORMULA GRANTS FOR OTHER THAN URBANIZED AREAS.

Section 5311(c)(1)(F) of title 49, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(F) \$15,000,000 for fiscal year 2011.”.

SEC. 535. APPORTIONMENT BASED ON FIXED GUIDEWAY FACTORS.

Section 5337 of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “2010” and inserting “2011”; and

(2) by striking subsection (g).

SEC. 536. AUTHORIZATIONS FOR PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION.

(a) FORMULA AND BUS GRANTS.—Section 5338(b) of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (1)(F) and inserting the following:

“(F) \$8,360,565,000 for fiscal year 2011.”; and

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) in subparagraph (A) by striking “\$28,375,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “\$113,500,000 for fiscal year 2011”;

(B) in subparagraph (B) by striking “\$1,040,091,250 for the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “\$4,160,365,000 for fiscal year 2011”;

(C) in subparagraph (C) by striking “\$12,875,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “\$51,500,000 for fiscal year 2011”;

(D) in subparagraph (D) by striking “\$416,625,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2010 and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “\$1,666,500,000 for fiscal year 2011”;

(E) in subparagraph (E) by striking “\$246,000,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2010 and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “\$984,000,000 for fiscal year 2011”;

(F) in subparagraph (F) by striking “\$33,375,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2010 and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “\$133,500,000 for fiscal year 2011”;

(G) in subparagraph (G) by striking “\$116,250,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2010 and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “\$465,000,000 for fiscal year 2011”;

(H) in subparagraph (H) by striking “\$41,125,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2010 and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “\$164,500,000 for fiscal year 2011”;

(I) in subparagraph (I) by striking “\$23,125,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2010 and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “\$92,500,000 for fiscal year 2011”;

(J) in subparagraph (J) by striking “\$6,725,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2010 and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “\$26,900,000 for fiscal year 2011”;

(K) in subparagraph (K) by striking “\$875,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2010 and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “\$3,500,000 for fiscal year 2011”;

(L) in subparagraph (L) by striking “\$6,250,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2010 and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “\$25,000,000 for fiscal year 2011”;

(M) in subparagraph (M) by striking “\$116,250,000 for the period beginning October 1, 2010 and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “\$465,000,000 for fiscal year 2011”; and

(N) in subparagraph (N) by striking “\$2,200,000 for the period beginning October

1, 2010 and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “\$8,800,000 for fiscal year 2011”.

(b) CAPITAL INVESTMENT GRANTS.—Section 5338(c)(6) of title 49, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(6) \$2,000,000,000 for fiscal year 2011.”.

(c) RESEARCH AND UNIVERSITY RESEARCH CENTERS.—Section 5338(d) of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) by striking “\$17,437,500 for the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010” and inserting “\$69,750,000 for fiscal year 2011”; and

(B) in subparagraph (A) by striking “fiscal year 2009” and inserting “each of fiscal years 2009, 2010, and 2011”;

(2) in paragraph (2)(A)—

(A) in clauses (i), (ii), and (iii) by striking “2009” and inserting “2011”; and

(B) in clauses (v), (vi), (vii), and (viii) by striking “and 2009” and inserting “through 2011”; and

(3) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:

“(3) FUNDING.—If the Secretary determines that a project or activity described in paragraph (2) received sufficient funds in fiscal year 2010, or a previous fiscal year, to carry out the purpose for which the project or activity was authorized, the Secretary may not allocate any amounts under paragraph (2) for the project or activity for fiscal year 2011, or any subsequent fiscal year.”.

(d) ADMINISTRATION.—Section 5338(e)(6) of title 49, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(6) \$98,911,000 for fiscal year 2011.”.

SEC. 537. AMENDMENTS TO SAFETEA-LU.

(a) CONTRACTED PARATRANSIT PILOT.—Section 3009(i)(1) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1572) is amended by striking “2010, and for the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010” and inserting “2011”.

(b) PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP PILOT PROGRAM.—Section 3011 of SAFETEA-LU (49 U.S.C. 5309 note; 119 Stat. 1588) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c)(5) by striking “2010 and the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010” and inserting “2011”; and

(2) in subsection (d) by striking “2010, and for the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010” and inserting “2011”.

(c) ELDERLY INDIVIDUALS AND INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES PILOT PROGRAM.—Section 3012(b)(8) of SAFETEA-LU (49 U.S.C. 5310 note; 119 Stat. 1593) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “September 30, 2011”.

(d) OBLIGATION CEILING.—Section 3040(7) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1639) is amended to read as follows:

“(7) \$10,507,752,000 for fiscal year 2011, of which not more than \$8,360,565,000 shall be from the Mass Transit Account.”.

(e) PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS FOR NEW FIXED GUIDEWAY CAPITAL PROJECTS.—Section 3043 of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1640) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “2010, and for the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “2011”; and

(2) in subsection (c), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “2010, and for the period beginning October 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2010,” and inserting “2011”.

(f) ALLOCATIONS FOR NATIONAL RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAMS.—Section 3046 of

SAFETEA-LU (49 U.S.C. 5338 note; 119 Stat. 1706) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b) by striking “or period”;

(2) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following:

“(c) **ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS.**—The Secretary shall allocate amounts appropriated pursuant to section 5338(d) of title 49, United States Code, for national research and technology programs under sections 5312, 5314, and 5322 of such title for fiscal years 2010 and 2011, in amounts equal to the amounts allocated for fiscal year 2009 under each of paragraphs (2), (3), (5), (6), and (8) through (25) of subsection (a).”; and

(3) in subsection (d)—

(A) by striking “2009” and inserting “2010”; and

(B) by striking “2010” and inserting “2011”.

SEC. 538. LEVEL OF OBLIGATION LIMITATIONS.

(a) **HIGHWAY CATEGORY.**—Section 8003(a) of SAFETEA-LU (2 U.S.C. 901 note; 119 Stat. 1917) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (6) by striking “for the period beginning on October 1, 2009, and ending on September 30, 2010,” and inserting “for fiscal year 2010.”; and

(2) by striking paragraph (7) and inserting the following:

“(7) for fiscal year 2011, \$42,469,970,178.”.

(b) **MASS TRANSIT CATEGORY.**—Section 8003(b) of SAFETEA-LU (2 U.S.C. 901 note; 119 Stat. 1917) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (6) by striking “for the period beginning on October 1, 2009, and ending on December 31, 2010,” and inserting “for fiscal year 2010.”; and

(2) by striking paragraph (7) and inserting the following:

“(7) for fiscal year 2011, \$10,338,065,000.”.

Subtitle D—Extension of Expenditure Authority

SEC. 541. EXTENSION OF EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY.

(a) **HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.**—Section 9503 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2010 (January 1, 2011, in the case of expenditures for administrative expenses)” in subsections (b)(6)(B) and (c)(1) and inserting “October 1, 2011”;

(2) by striking “the Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2010” in subsections (c)(1) and (e)(3) and inserting “the Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2010, Part II”, and

(3) by striking “January 1, 2011” in subsection (e)(3) and inserting “October 1, 2011”.

(b) **SPORT FISH RESTORATION AND BOATING TRUST FUND.**—Section 9504 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended—

(1) by striking “Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2010” each place it appears in subsection (b)(2) and inserting “Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2010, Part II”, and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2011” in subsection (d)(2) and inserting “October 1, 2011”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on December 31, 2010.

TITLE VI—EXTENSION OF AVIATION PROGRAMS

SECTION 601. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Airport and Airway Extension Act of 2010, Part IV”.

SEC. 602. EXTENSION OF TAXES FUNDING AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND.

(a) **FUEL TAXES.**—Subparagraph (B) of section 4081(d)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “September 30, 2011”.

(b) **TICKET TAXES.**—

(1) **PERSONS.**—Clause (ii) of section 4261(j)(1)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “September 30, 2011”.

(2) **PROPERTY.**—Clause (ii) of section 4271(d)(1)(A) of such Code is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “September 30, 2011”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on January 1, 2011.

SEC. 603. EXTENSION OF AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (1) of section 9502(d) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended—

(1) by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “October 1, 2011”; and

(2) by inserting “for the Airport and Airway Extension Act of 2010, Part IV” before the semicolon at the end of subparagraph (A).

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Paragraph (2) of section 9502(e) of such Code is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “October 1, 2011”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on January 1, 2011.

SEC. 604. EXTENSION OF AIRPORT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Section 48103(8) of title 49, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(8) \$3,700,000,000 for fiscal year 2011.”.

(b) **PROJECT GRANT AUTHORITY.**—Section 47104(c) of such title is amended by striking “December 31, 2010,” and inserting “September 30, 2011.”.

SEC. 605. EXTENSION OF EXPIRING AUTHORITIES.

(a) Section 40117(1)(7) of title 49, United States Code, is amended by striking “January 1, 2011,” and inserting “October 1, 2011”.

(b) Section 44302(f)(1) of such title is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2010,” and inserting “September 30, 2011.”; and

(2) by striking “March 31, 2011,” and inserting “December 31, 2011.”.

(c) Section 44303(b) of such title is amended by striking “March 31, 2011,” and inserting “December 31, 2011.”.

(d) Section 47107(s)(3) of such title is amended by striking “January 1, 2011,” and inserting “October 1, 2011.”.

(e) Section 47115(j) of such title is amended by striking “fiscal years 2004 through 2010, and for the portion of fiscal year 2011 ending before January 1, 2011,” and inserting “fiscal years 2004 through 2011.”.

(f) Section 47141(f) of such title is amended by striking “December 31, 2010,” and inserting “September 30, 2011.”.

(g) Section 49108 of such title is amended by striking “December 31, 2010,” and inserting “September 30, 2011.”.

(h) Section 161 of the Vision 100—Century of Aviation Reauthorization Act (49 U.S.C. 47109 note) is amended by striking “fiscal year 2009 or 2010, or in the portion of fiscal year 2011 ending before January 1, 2011,” and inserting “fiscal year 2009, 2010, or 2011”.

(i) Section 186(d) of such Act (117 Stat. 2518) is amended by striking “for fiscal years ending before October 1, 2010, and for the portion of fiscal year 2011 ending before January 1, 2011,” and inserting “for fiscal years ending before October 1, 2011.”.

(j) The amendments made by this section shall take effect on January 1, 2011.

This division may be cited as the “Transportation, Housing and Urban Development,

and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2011”.

DIVISION M—FOOD SAFETY

SEC. 6001. SHORT TITLE; REFERENCES; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This division may be cited as the “FDA Food Safety Modernization Act”.

(b) **REFERENCES.**—Except as otherwise specified, whenever in this division an amendment is expressed in terms of an amendment to a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 301 et seq.).

(c) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—The table of contents for this division is as follows:

DIVISION D—FOOD SAFETY

Sec. 6001. Short title; references; table of contents.

TITLE I—IMPROVING CAPACITY TO PREVENT FOOD SAFETY PROBLEMS

Sec. 6101. Inspections of records.

Sec. 6102. Registration of food facilities.

Sec. 6103. Hazard analysis and risk-based preventive controls.

Sec. 6104. Performance standards.

Sec. 6105. Standards for produce safety.

Sec. 6106. Protection against intentional adulteration.

Sec. 6107. Authority to collect fees.

Sec. 6108. National agriculture and food defense strategy.

Sec. 6109. Food and Agriculture Coordinating Councils.

Sec. 6110. Building domestic capacity.

Sec. 6111. Sanitary transportation of food.

Sec. 6112. Food allergy and anaphylaxis management.

Sec. 6113. New dietary ingredients.

Sec. 6114. Requirement for guidance relating to post-harvest processing of raw oysters.

Sec. 6115. Port shopping.

Sec. 6116. Alcohol-related facilities.

TITLE II—IMPROVING CAPACITY TO DETECT AND RESPOND TO FOOD SAFETY PROBLEMS

Sec. 6201. Targeting of inspection resources for domestic facilities, foreign facilities, and ports of entry; annual report.

Sec. 6202. Laboratory accreditation for analyses of foods.

Sec. 6203. Integrated consortium of laboratory networks.

Sec. 6204. Enhancing tracking and tracing of food and recordkeeping.

Sec. 6205. Surveillance.

Sec. 6206. Mandatory recall authority.

Sec. 6207. Administrative detention of food.

Sec. 6208. Decontamination and disposal standards and plans.

Sec. 6209. Improving the training of State, local, territorial, and tribal food safety officials.

Sec. 6210. Enhancing food safety.

Sec. 6211. Improving the reportable food registry.

TITLE III—IMPROVING THE SAFETY OF IMPORTED FOOD

Sec. 6301. Foreign supplier verification program.

Sec. 6302. Voluntary qualified importer program.

Sec. 6303. Authority to require import certifications for food.

Sec. 6304. Prior notice of imported food shipments.

Sec. 6305. Building capacity of foreign governments with respect to food safety.

Sec. 6306. Inspection of foreign food facilities.

Sec. 6307. Accreditation of third-party auditors.

Sec. 6308. Foreign offices of the Food and Drug Administration.

Sec. 6309. Smuggled food.

TITLE IV—MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

Sec. 6401. Funding for food safety.

Sec. 6402. Employee protections.

Sec. 6403. Jurisdiction; authorities.

Sec. 6404. Compliance with international agreements.

Sec. 6405. Determination of budgetary effects.

TITLE I—IMPROVING CAPACITY TO PREVENT FOOD SAFETY PROBLEMS

SEC. 6101. INSPECTIONS OF RECORDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 414(a) (21 U.S.C. 350c(a)) is amended—

(1) by striking the subsection heading and all that follows through “of food is” and inserting the following: “RECORDS INSPECTION.—

“(1) ADULTERATED FOOD.—If the Secretary has a reasonable belief that an article of food, and any other article of food that the Secretary reasonably believes is likely to be affected in a similar manner, is”;

(2) by inserting “, and to any other article of food that the Secretary reasonably believes is likely to be affected in a similar manner,” after “relating to such article”;

(3) by striking the last sentence; and

(4) by inserting at the end the following:

“(2) USE OF OR EXPOSURE TO FOOD OF CONCERN.—If the Secretary believes that there is a reasonable probability that the use of or exposure to an article of food, and any other article of food that the Secretary reasonably believes is likely to be affected in a similar manner, will cause serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals, each person (excluding farms and restaurants) who manufactures, processes, packs, distributes, receives, holds, or imports such article shall, at the request of an officer or employee duly designated by the Secretary, permit such officer or employee, upon presentation of appropriate credentials and a written notice to such person, at reasonable times and within reasonable limits and in a reasonable manner, to have access to and copy all records relating to such article and to any other article of food that the Secretary reasonably believes is likely to be affected in a similar manner, that are needed to assist the Secretary in determining whether there is a reasonable probability that the use of or exposure to the food will cause serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals.

“(3) APPLICATION.—The requirement under paragraphs (1) and (2) applies to all records relating to the manufacture, processing, packing, distribution, receipt, holding, or importation of such article maintained by or on behalf of such person in any format (including paper and electronic formats) and at any location.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 704(a)(1)(B) (21 U.S.C. 374(a)(1)(B)) is amended by striking “section 414 when” and all that follows through “subject to” and inserting “section 414, when the standard for records inspection under paragraph (1) or (2) of section 414(a) applies, subject to”.

SEC. 6102. REGISTRATION OF FOOD FACILITIES.

(a) UPDATING OF FOOD CATEGORY REGULATIONS; BIENNIAL REGISTRATION RENEWAL.—Section 415(a) (21 U.S.C. 350d(a)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by—

(A) striking “conducts business and” and inserting “conducts business, the e-mail address for the contact person of the facility or, in the case of a foreign facility, the United States agent for the facility, and”; and

(B) inserting “, or any other food categories as determined appropriate by the Secretary, including by guidance” after “Code of Federal Regulations”;

(2) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and (4) as paragraphs (4) and (5), respectively; and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following:

“(3) BIENNIAL REGISTRATION RENEWAL.—During the period beginning on October 1 and ending on December 31 of each even-numbered year, a registrant that has submitted a registration under paragraph (1) shall submit to the Secretary a renewal registration containing the information described in paragraph (2). The Secretary shall provide for an abbreviated registration renewal process for any registrant that has not had any changes to such information since the registrant submitted the preceding registration or registration renewal for the facility involved.”.

(b) SUSPENSION OF REGISTRATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 415 (21 U.S.C. 350d) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)(2), by inserting after the first sentence the following: “The registration shall contain an assurance that the Secretary will be permitted to inspect such facility at the times and in the manner permitted by this Act.”;

(B) by redesignating subsections (b) and (c) as subsections (c) and (d), respectively; and

(C) by inserting after subsection (a) the following:

“(b) SUSPENSION OF REGISTRATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary determines that food manufactured, processed, packed, received, or held by a facility registered under this section has a reasonable probability of causing serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals, the Secretary may by order suspend the registration of a facility—

“(A) that created, caused, or was otherwise responsible for such reasonable probability; or

“(B)(i) that knew of, or had reason to know of, such reasonable probability; and

“(ii) packed, received, or held such food.

“(2) HEARING ON SUSPENSION.—The Secretary shall provide the registrant subject to an order under paragraph (1) with an opportunity for an informal hearing, to be held as soon as possible but not later than 2 business days after the issuance of the order or such other time period, as agreed upon by the Secretary and the registrant, on the actions required for reinstatement of registration and why the registration that is subject to suspension should be reinstated. The Secretary shall reinstate a registration if the Secretary determines, based on evidence presented, that adequate grounds do not exist to continue the suspension of the registration.

“(3) POST-HEARING CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN; VACATING OF ORDER.—

“(A) CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN.—If, after providing opportunity for an informal hearing under paragraph (2), the Secretary determines that the suspension of registration remains necessary, the Secretary shall require the registrant to submit a corrective action plan to demonstrate how the registrant plans to correct the conditions found by the Secretary. The Secretary shall review such plan not later than 14 days after the submission of the corrective action plan or such

other time period as determined by the Secretary.

“(B) VACATING OF ORDER.—Upon a determination by the Secretary that adequate grounds do not exist to continue the suspension actions required by the order, or that such actions should be modified, the Secretary shall promptly vacate the order and reinstate the registration of the facility subject to the order or modify the order, as appropriate.

“(4) EFFECT OF SUSPENSION.—If the registration of a facility is suspended under this subsection, no person shall import or export food into the United States from such facility, offer to import or export food into the United States from such facility, or otherwise introduce food from such facility into interstate or intrastate commerce in the United States.

“(5) REGULATIONS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall promulgate regulations to implement this subsection. The Secretary may promulgate such regulations on an interim final basis.

“(B) REGISTRATION REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may require that registration under this section be submitted in an electronic format. Such requirement may not take effect before the date that is 5 years after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act.

“(6) APPLICATION DATE.—Facilities shall be subject to the requirements of this subsection beginning on the earlier of—

“(A) the date on which the Secretary issues regulations under paragraph (5); or

“(B) 180 days after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act.

“(7) NO DELEGATION.—The authority conferred by this subsection to issue an order to suspend a registration or vacate an order of suspension shall not be delegated to any officer or employee other than the Commissioner.”.

(2) SMALL ENTITY COMPLIANCE POLICY GUIDE.—Not later than 180 days after the issuance of the regulations promulgated under section 415(b)(5) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (as added by this section), the Secretary shall issue a small entity compliance policy guide setting forth in plain language the requirements of such regulations to assist small entities in complying with registration requirements and other activities required under such section.

(3) IMPORTED FOOD.—Section 801(l) (21 U.S.C. 381(l)) is amended by inserting “(or for which a registration has been suspended under such section)” after “section 415”.

(c) CLARIFICATION OF INTENT.—

(1) RETAIL FOOD ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary shall amend the definition of the term “retail food establishment” in section 1.227(b)(11) of title 21, Code of Federal Regulations to clarify that, in determining the primary function of an establishment or a retail food establishment under such section, the sale of food products directly to consumers by such establishment and the sale of food directly to consumers by such retail food establishment include—

(A) the sale of such food products or food directly to consumers by such establishment at a roadside stand or farmers’ market where such stand or market is located other than where the food was manufactured or processed;

(B) the sale and distribution of such food through a community supported agriculture program; and

(C) the sale and distribution of such food at any other such direct sales platform as determined by the Secretary.

(2) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of paragraph (1)—

(A) the term “community supported agriculture program” has the same meaning given the term “community supported agriculture (CSA) program” in section 249.2 of title 7, Code of Federal Regulations (or any successor regulation); and

(B) the term “consumer” does not include a business.

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 301(d) (21 U.S.C. 331(d)) is amended by inserting “415,” after “404.”

(2) Section 415(d), as redesignated by subsection (b), is amended by adding at the end before the period “for a facility to be registered, except with respect to the reinstatement of a registration that is suspended under subsection (b)”.

SEC. 6103. HAZARD ANALYSIS AND RISK-BASED PREVENTIVE CONTROLS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter IV (21 U.S.C. 341 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 418. HAZARD ANALYSIS AND RISK-BASED PREVENTIVE CONTROLS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The owner, operator, or agent in charge of a facility shall, in accordance with this section, evaluate the hazards that could affect food manufactured, processed, packed, or held by such facility, identify and implement preventive controls to significantly minimize or prevent the occurrence of such hazards and provide assurances that such food is not adulterated under section 402 or misbranded under section 403(w), monitor the performance of those controls, and maintain records of this monitoring as a matter of routine practice.

“(b) HAZARD ANALYSIS.—The owner, operator, or agent in charge of a facility shall—

“(1) identify and evaluate known or reasonably foreseeable hazards that may be associated with the facility, including—

“(A) biological, chemical, physical, and radiological hazards, natural toxins, pesticides, drug residues, decomposition, parasites, allergens, and unapproved food and color additives; and

“(B) hazards that occur naturally, or may be unintentionally introduced; and

“(2) identify and evaluate hazards that may be intentionally introduced, including by acts of terrorism; and

“(3) develop a written analysis of the hazards.

“(c) PREVENTIVE CONTROLS.—The owner, operator, or agent in charge of a facility shall identify and implement preventive controls, including at critical control points, if any, to provide assurances that—

“(1) hazards identified in the hazard analysis conducted under subsection (b)(1) will be significantly minimized or prevented;

“(2) any hazards identified in the hazard analysis conducted under subsection (b)(2) will be significantly minimized or prevented and addressed, consistent with section 420, as applicable; and

“(3) the food manufactured, processed, packed, or held by such facility will not be adulterated under section 402 or misbranded under section 403(w).

“(d) MONITORING OF EFFECTIVENESS.—The owner, operator, or agent in charge of a facility shall monitor the effectiveness of the preventive controls implemented under subsection (c) to provide assurances that the outcomes described in subsection (c) shall be achieved.

“(e) CORRECTIVE ACTIONS.—The owner, operator, or agent in charge of a facility shall establish procedures to ensure that, if the preventive controls implemented under sub-

section (c) are not properly implemented or are found to be ineffective—

“(1) appropriate action is taken to reduce the likelihood of recurrence of the implementation failure;

“(2) all affected food is evaluated for safety; and

“(3) all affected food is prevented from entering into commerce if the owner, operator, or agent in charge of such facility cannot ensure that the affected food is not adulterated under section 402 or misbranded under section 403(w).

“(f) VERIFICATION.—The owner, operator, or agent in charge of a facility shall verify that—

“(1) the preventive controls implemented under subsection (c) are adequate to control the hazards identified under subsection (b);

“(2) the owner, operator, or agent is conducting monitoring in accordance with subsection (d);

“(3) the owner, operator, or agent is making appropriate decisions about corrective actions taken under subsection (e);

“(4) the preventive controls implemented under subsection (c) are effectively and significantly minimizing or preventing the occurrence of identified hazards, including through the use of environmental and product testing programs and other appropriate means; and

“(5) there is documented, periodic reanalysis of the plan under subsection (i) to ensure that the plan is still relevant to the raw materials, conditions, and processes in the facility, and new and emerging threats.

“(g) RECORDKEEPING.—The owner, operator, or agent in charge of a facility shall maintain, for not less than 2 years, records documenting the monitoring of the preventive controls implemented under subsection (c), instances of nonconformance material to food safety, the results of testing and other appropriate means of verification under subsection (f)(4), instances when corrective actions were implemented, and the efficacy of preventive controls and corrective actions.

“(h) WRITTEN PLAN AND DOCUMENTATION.—The owner, operator, or agent in charge of a facility shall prepare a written plan that documents and describes the procedures used by the facility to comply with the requirements of this section, including analyzing the hazards under subsection (b) and identifying the preventive controls adopted under subsection (c) to address those hazards. Such written plan, together with the documentation described in subsection (g), shall be made promptly available to a duly authorized representative of the Secretary upon oral or written request.

“(i) REQUIREMENT TO REANALYZE.—The owner, operator, or agent in charge of a facility shall conduct a reanalysis under subsection (b) whenever a significant change is made in the activities conducted at a facility operated by such owner, operator, or agent if the change creates a reasonable potential for a new hazard or a significant increase in a previously identified hazard or not less frequently than once every 3 years, whichever is earlier. Such reanalysis shall be completed and additional preventive controls needed to address the hazard identified, if any, shall be implemented before the change in activities at the facility is operative. Such owner, operator, or agent shall revise the written plan required under subsection (h) if such a significant change is made or document the basis for the conclusion that no additional or revised preventive controls are needed. The Secretary may require a reanalysis under this section to respond to new hazards and

developments in scientific understanding, including, as appropriate, results from the Department of Homeland Security biological, chemical, radiological, or other terrorism risk assessment.

“(j) EXEMPTION FOR SEAFOOD, JUICE, AND LOW-ACID CANNED FOOD FACILITIES SUBJECT TO HACCP.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—This section shall not apply to a facility if the owner, operator, or agent in charge of such facility is required to comply with, and is in compliance with, 1 of the following standards and regulations with respect to such facility:

“(A) The Seafood Hazard Analysis Critical Control Points Program of the Food and Drug Administration.

“(B) The Juice Hazard Analysis Critical Control Points Program of the Food and Drug Administration.

“(C) The Thermally Processed Low-Acid Foods Packaged in Hermetically Sealed Containers standards of the Food and Drug Administration (or any successor standards).

“(2) APPLICABILITY.—The exemption under paragraph (1)(C) shall apply only with respect to microbiological hazards that are regulated under the standards for Thermally Processed Low-Acid Foods Packaged in Hermetically Sealed Containers under part 113 of chapter 21, Code of Federal Regulations (or any successor regulations).

“(k) EXCEPTION FOR ACTIVITIES OF FACILITIES SUBJECT TO SECTION 419.—This section shall not apply to activities of a facility that are subject to section 419.

“(l) MODIFIED REQUIREMENTS FOR QUALIFIED FACILITIES.—

“(1) QUALIFIED FACILITIES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—A facility is a qualified facility for purposes of this subsection if the facility meets the conditions under subparagraph (B) or (C).

“(B) VERY SMALL BUSINESS.—A facility is a qualified facility under this subparagraph—

“(i) if the facility, including any subsidiary or affiliate of the facility, is, collectively, a very small business (as defined in the regulations promulgated under subsection (n)); and

“(ii) in the case where the facility is a subsidiary or affiliate of an entity, if such subsidiaries or affiliates, are, collectively, a very small business (as so defined).

“(C) LIMITED ANNUAL MONETARY VALUE OF SALES.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—A facility is a qualified facility under this subparagraph if clause (ii) applies—

“(I) to the facility, including any subsidiary or affiliate of the facility, collectively; and

“(II) to the subsidiaries or affiliates, collectively, of any entity of which the facility is a subsidiary or affiliate.

“(ii) AVERAGE ANNUAL MONETARY VALUE.—This clause applies if—

“(I) during the 3-year period preceding the applicable calendar year, the average annual monetary value of the food manufactured, processed, packed, or held at such facility (or the collective average annual monetary value of such food at any subsidiary or affiliate, as described in clause (i)) that is sold directly to qualified end-users during such period exceeded the average annual monetary value of the food manufactured, processed, packed, or held at such facility (or the collective average annual monetary value of such food at any subsidiary or affiliate, as so described) sold by such facility (or collectively by any such subsidiary or affiliate) to all other purchasers during such period; and

“(II) the average annual monetary value of all food sold by such facility (or the collective average annual monetary value of such

food sold by any subsidiary or affiliate, as described in clause (i) during such period was less than \$500,000, adjusted for inflation.

“(2) EXEMPTION.—A qualified facility—

“(A) shall not be subject to the requirements under subsections (a) through (i) and subsection (n) in an applicable calendar year; and

“(B) shall submit to the Secretary—

“(i)(I) documentation that demonstrates that the owner, operator, or agent in charge of the facility has identified potential hazards associated with the food being produced, is implementing preventive controls to address the hazards, and is monitoring the preventive controls to ensure that such controls are effective; or

“(II) documentation (which may include licenses, inspection reports, certificates, permits, credentials, certification by an appropriate agency (such as a State department of agriculture), or other evidence of oversight), as specified by the Secretary, that the facility is in compliance with State, local, county, or other applicable non-Federal food safety law; and

“(ii) documentation, as specified by the Secretary in a guidance document issued not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this section, that the facility is a qualified facility under paragraph (1)(B) or (1)(C).

“(3) WITHDRAWAL; RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In the event of an active investigation of a foodborne illness outbreak that is directly linked to a qualified facility subject to an exemption under this subsection, or if the Secretary determines that it is necessary to protect the public health and prevent or mitigate a foodborne illness outbreak based on conduct or conditions associated with a qualified facility that are material to the safety of the food manufactured, processed, packed, or held at such facility, the Secretary may withdraw the exemption provided to such facility under this subsection.

“(B) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to expand or limit the inspection authority of the Secretary.

“(4) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

“(A) AFFILIATE.—The term ‘affiliate’ means any facility that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with another facility.

“(B) QUALIFIED END-USER.—The term ‘qualified end-user’, with respect to a food, means—

“(i) the consumer of the food; or

“(ii) a restaurant or retail food establishment (as those terms are defined by the Secretary for purposes of section 415) that—

“(I) is located—

“(aa) in the same State as the qualified facility that sold the food to such restaurant or establishment; or

“(bb) not more than 275 miles from such facility; and

“(II) is purchasing the food for sale directly to consumers at such restaurant or retail food establishment.

“(C) CONSUMER.—For purposes of subparagraph (B), the term ‘consumer’ does not include a business.

“(D) SUBSIDIARY.—The term ‘subsidiary’ means any company which is owned or controlled directly or indirectly by another company.

“(5) STUDY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, shall conduct a study of the food processing sector regulated by the Secretary to determine—

“(i) the distribution of food production by type and size of operation, including monetary value of food sold;

“(ii) the proportion of food produced by each type and size of operation;

“(iii) the number and types of food facilities co-located on farms, including the number and proportion by commodity and by manufacturing or processing activity;

“(iv) the incidence of foodborne illness originating from each size and type of operation and the type of food facilities for which no reported or known hazard exists; and

“(v) the effect on foodborne illness risk associated with commingling, processing, transporting, and storing food and raw agricultural commodities, including differences in risk based on the scale and duration of such activities.

“(B) SIZE.—The results of the study conducted under subparagraph (A) shall include the information necessary to enable the Secretary to define the terms ‘small business’ and ‘very small business’, for purposes of promulgating the regulation under subsection (n). In defining such terms, the Secretary shall include consideration of harvestable acres, income, the number of employees, and the volume of food harvested.

“(C) SUBMISSION OF REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report that describes the results of the study conducted under subparagraph (A).

“(6) NO PREEMPTION.—Nothing in this subsection preempts State, local, county, or other non-Federal law regarding the safe production of food. Compliance with this subsection shall not relieve any person from liability at common law or under State statutory law.

“(7) NOTIFICATION TO CONSUMERS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—A qualified facility that is exempt from the requirements under subsections (a) through (i) and subsection (n) and does not prepare documentation under paragraph (2)(B)(i)(I) shall—

“(i) with respect to a food for which a food packaging label is required by the Secretary under any other provision of this Act, include prominently and conspicuously on such label the name and business address of the facility where the food was manufactured or processed; or

“(ii) with respect to a food for which a food packaging label is not required by the Secretary under any other provisions of this Act, prominently and conspicuously display, at the point of purchase, the name and business address of the facility where the food was manufactured or processed, on a label, poster, sign, placard, or documents delivered contemporaneously with the food in the normal course of business, or, in the case of Internet sales, in an electronic notice.

“(B) NO ADDITIONAL LABEL.—Subparagraph (A) does not provide authority to the Secretary to require a label that is in addition to any label required under any other provision of this Act.

“(m) AUTHORITY WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN FACILITIES.—The Secretary may, by regulation, exempt or modify the requirements for compliance under this section with respect to facilities that are solely engaged in the production of food for animals other than man, the storage of raw agricultural commodities (other than fruits and vegetables) intended for further distribution or processing, or the storage of packaged foods that are not exposed to the environment.

“(n) REGULATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of the FDA Food

Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary shall promulgate regulations—

“(A) to establish science-based minimum standards for conducting a hazard analysis, documenting hazards, implementing preventive controls, and documenting the implementation of the preventive controls under this section; and

“(B) to define, for purposes of this section, the terms ‘small business’ and ‘very small business’, taking into consideration the study described in subsection (1)(5).

“(2) COORDINATION.—In promulgating the regulations under paragraph (1)(A), with regard to hazards that may be intentionally introduced, including by acts of terrorism, the Secretary shall coordinate with the Secretary of Homeland Security, as appropriate.

“(3) CONTENT.—The regulations promulgated under paragraph (1)(A) shall—

“(A) provide sufficient flexibility to be practicable for all sizes and types of facilities, including small businesses such as a small food processing facility co-located on a farm;

“(B) comply with chapter 35 of title 44, United States Code (commonly known as the ‘Paperwork Reduction Act’), with special attention to minimizing the burden (as defined in section 3502(2) of such Act) on the facility, and collection of information (as defined in section 3502(3) of such Act), associated with such regulations;

“(C) acknowledge differences in risk and minimize, as appropriate, the number of separate standards that apply to separate foods; and

“(D) not require a facility to hire a consultant or other third party to identify, implement, certify, or audit preventative controls, except in the case of negotiated enforcement resolutions that may require such a consultant or third party.

“(4) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to provide the Secretary with the authority to prescribe specific technologies, practices, or critical controls for an individual facility.

“(5) REVIEW.—In promulgating the regulations under paragraph (1)(A), the Secretary shall review regulatory hazard analysis and preventive control programs in existence on the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, including the Grade ‘A’ Pasteurized Milk Ordinance to ensure that such regulations are consistent, to the extent practicable, with applicable domestic and internationally recognized standards in existence on such date.

“(o) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section:

“(1) CRITICAL CONTROL POINT.—The term ‘critical control point’ means a point, step, or procedure in a food process at which control can be applied and is essential to prevent or eliminate a food safety hazard or reduce such hazard to an acceptable level.

“(2) FACILITY.—The term ‘facility’ means a domestic facility or a foreign facility that is required to register under section 415.

“(3) PREVENTIVE CONTROLS.—The term ‘preventive controls’ means those risk-based, reasonably appropriate procedures, practices, and processes that a person knowledgeable about the safe manufacturing, processing, packing, or holding of food would employ to significantly minimize or prevent the hazards identified under the hazard analysis conducted under subsection (b) and that are consistent with the current scientific understanding of safe food manufacturing, processing, packing, or holding at the time of the analysis. Those procedures, practices, and processes may include the following:

“(A) Sanitation procedures for food contact surfaces and utensils and food-contact surfaces of equipment.

“(B) Supervisor, manager, and employee hygiene training.

“(C) An environmental monitoring program to verify the effectiveness of pathogen controls in processes where a food is exposed to a potential contaminant in the environment.

“(D) A food allergen control program.

“(E) A recall plan.

“(F) Current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMPs) under part 110 of title 21, Code of Federal Regulations (or any successor regulations).

“(G) Supplier verification activities that relate to the safety of food.”.

(b) **GUIDANCE DOCUMENT.**—The Secretary shall issue a guidance document related to the regulations promulgated under subsection (b)(1) with respect to the hazard analysis and preventive controls under section 418 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (as added by subsection (a)).

(c) **RULEMAKING.**—

(1) **PROPOSED RULEMAKING.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 9 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Health and Human Services (referred to in this subsection as the “Secretary”) shall publish a notice of proposed rulemaking in the Federal Register to promulgate regulations with respect to—

(i) activities that constitute on-farm packing or holding of food that is not grown, raised, or consumed on such farm or another farm under the same ownership for purposes of section 415 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 350d), as amended by this Act; and

(ii) activities that constitute on-farm manufacturing or processing of food that is not consumed on that farm or on another farm under common ownership for purposes of such section 415.

(B) **CLARIFICATION.**—The rulemaking described under subparagraph (A) shall enhance the implementation of such section 415 and clarify the activities that are included as part of the definition of the term “facility” under such section 415. Nothing in this Act authorizes the Secretary to modify the definition of the term “facility” under such section.

(C) **SCIENCE-BASED RISK ANALYSIS.**—In promulgating regulations under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall conduct a science-based risk analysis of—

(i) specific types of on-farm packing or holding of food that is not grown, raised, or consumed on such farm or another farm under the same ownership, as such packing and holding relates to specific foods; and

(ii) specific on-farm manufacturing and processing activities as such activities relate to specific foods that are not consumed on that farm or on another farm under common ownership.

(D) **AUTHORITY WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN FACILITIES.**—

(i) **IN GENERAL.**—In promulgating the regulations under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall consider the results of the science-based risk analysis conducted under subparagraph (C), and shall exempt certain facilities from the requirements in section 418 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (as added by this section), including hazard analysis and preventive controls, and the mandatory inspection frequency in section 421 of such Act (as added by section 6201), or modify the requirements in such sections 418 or 421, as the Secretary determines appropriate,

if such facilities are engaged only in specific types of on-farm manufacturing, processing, packing, or holding activities that the Secretary determines to be low risk involving specific foods the Secretary determines to be low risk.

(ii) **LIMITATION.**—The exemptions or modifications under clause (i) shall not include an exemption from the requirement to register under section 415 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 350d), as amended by this Act, if applicable, and shall apply only to small businesses and very small businesses, as defined in the regulation promulgated under section 418(n) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (as added under subsection (a)).

(2) **FINAL REGULATIONS.**—Not later than 9 months after the close of the comment period for the proposed rulemaking under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall adopt final rules with respect to—

(A) activities that constitute on-farm packing or holding of food that is not grown, raised, or consumed on such farm or another farm under the same ownership for purposes of section 415 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 350d), as amended by this Act;

(B) activities that constitute on-farm manufacturing or processing of food that is not consumed on that farm or on another farm under common ownership for purposes of such section 415; and

(C) the requirements under sections 418 and 421 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as added by this Act, from which the Secretary may issue exemptions or modifications of the requirements for certain types of facilities.

(d) **SMALL ENTITY COMPLIANCE POLICY GUIDE.**—Not later than 180 days after the issuance of the regulations promulgated under subsection (n) of section 418 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (as added by subsection (a)), the Secretary shall issue a small entity compliance policy guide setting forth in plain language the requirements of such section 418 and this section to assist small entities in complying with the hazard analysis and other activities required under such section 418 and this section.

(e) **PROHIBITED ACTS.**—Section 301 (21 U.S.C. 331) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(uu) The operation of a facility that manufactures, processes, packs, or holds food for sale in the United States if the owner, operator, or agent in charge of such facility is not in compliance with section 418.”.

(f) **NO EFFECT ON HACCP AUTHORITIES.**—Nothing in the amendments made by this section limits the authority of the Secretary under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 301 et seq.) or the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) to revise, issue, or enforce Hazard Analysis Critical Control programs and the Thermally Processed Low-Acid Foods Packaged in Hermetically Sealed Containers standards.

(g) **DIETARY SUPPLEMENTS.**—Nothing in the amendments made by this section shall apply to any facility with regard to the manufacturing, processing, packing, or holding of a dietary supplement that is in compliance with the requirements of sections 402(g)(2) and 761 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 342(g)(2), 379aa-1).

(h) **UPDATING GUIDANCE RELATING TO FISH AND FISHERIES PRODUCTS HAZARDS AND CONTROLS.**—The Secretary shall, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, update the Fish and Fisheries Products

Hazards and Control Guidance to take into account advances in technology that have occurred since the previous publication of such Guidance by the Secretary.

(i) **EFFECTIVE DATES.**—

(1) **GENERAL RULE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) **FLEXIBILITY FOR SMALL BUSINESSES.**—Notwithstanding paragraph (1)—

(A) the amendments made by this section shall apply to a small business (as defined in the regulations promulgated under section 418(n) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (as added by this section)) beginning on the date that is 6 months after the effective date of such regulations; and

(B) the amendments made by this section shall apply to a very small business (as defined in such regulations) beginning on the date that is 18 months after the effective date of such regulations.

SEC. 6104. PERFORMANCE STANDARDS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall, in coordination with the Secretary of Agriculture, not less frequently than every 2 years, review and evaluate relevant health data and other relevant information, including from toxicological and epidemiological studies and analyses, current Good Manufacturing Practices issued by the Secretary relating to food, and relevant recommendations of relevant advisory committees, including the Food Advisory Committee, to determine the most significant foodborne contaminants.

(b) **GUIDANCE DOCUMENTS AND REGULATIONS.**—Based on the review and evaluation conducted under subsection (a), and when appropriate to reduce the risk of serious illness or death to humans or animals or to prevent adulteration of the food under section 402 of the Federal Food, Drug, or Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 342) or to prevent the spread by food of communicable disease under section 361 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 264), the Secretary shall issue contaminant-specific and science-based guidance documents, including guidance documents regarding action levels, or regulations. Such guidance, including guidance regarding action levels, or regulations—

(1) shall apply to products or product classes;

(2) shall, where appropriate, differentiate between food for human consumption and food intended for consumption by animals other than humans; and

(3) shall not be written to be facility-specific.

(c) **NO DUPLICATION OF EFFORTS.**—The Secretary shall coordinate with the Secretary of Agriculture to avoid issuing duplicative guidance on the same contaminants.

(d) **REVIEW.**—The Secretary shall periodically review and revise, as appropriate, the guidance documents, including guidance documents regarding action levels, or regulations promulgated under this section.

SEC. 6105. STANDARDS FOR PRODUCE SAFETY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter IV (21 U.S.C. 341 et seq.), as amended by section 6103, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 419. STANDARDS FOR PRODUCE SAFETY.

“(a) **PROPOSED RULEMAKING.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—

“(A) **RULEMAKING.**—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary, in coordination with the Secretary of Agriculture and representatives of State departments of agriculture (including with regard to the national organic program established under the Organic Foods Production Act of

1990), and in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security, shall publish a notice of proposed rulemaking to establish science-based minimum standards for the safe production and harvesting of those types of fruits and vegetables, including specific mixes or categories of fruits and vegetables, that are raw agricultural commodities for which the Secretary has determined that such standards minimize the risk of serious adverse health consequences or death.

“(B) DETERMINATION BY SECRETARY.—With respect to small businesses and very small businesses (as such terms are defined in the regulation promulgated under subparagraph (A)) that produce and harvest those types of fruits and vegetables that are raw agricultural commodities that the Secretary has determined are low risk and do not present a risk of serious adverse health consequences or death, the Secretary may determine not to include production and harvesting of such fruits and vegetables in such rulemaking, or may modify the applicable requirements of regulations promulgated pursuant to this section.

“(2) PUBLIC INPUT.—During the comment period on the notice of proposed rulemaking under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall conduct not less than 3 public meetings in diverse geographical areas of the United States to provide persons in different regions an opportunity to comment.

“(3) CONTENT.—The proposed rulemaking under paragraph (1) shall—

“(A) provide sufficient flexibility to be applicable to various types of entities engaged in the production and harvesting of fruits and vegetables that are raw agricultural commodities, including small businesses and entities that sell directly to consumers, and be appropriate to the scale and diversity of the production and harvesting of such commodities;

“(B) include, with respect to growing, harvesting, sorting, packing, and storage operations, science-based minimum standards related to soil amendments, hygiene, packaging, temperature controls, animals in the growing area, and water;

“(C) consider hazards that occur naturally, may be unintentionally introduced, or may be intentionally introduced, including by acts of terrorism;

“(D) take into consideration, consistent with ensuring enforceable public health protection, conservation and environmental practice standards and policies established by Federal natural resource conservation, wildlife conservation, and environmental agencies;

“(E) in the case of production that is certified organic, not include any requirements that conflict with or duplicate the requirements of the national organic program established under the Organic Foods Production Act of 1990, while providing the same level of public health protection as the requirements under guidance documents, including guidance documents regarding action levels, and regulations under the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act; and

“(F) define, for purposes of this section, the terms ‘small business’ and ‘very small business’.

“(4) PRIORITIZATION.—The Secretary shall prioritize the implementation of the regulations under this section for specific fruits and vegetables that are raw agricultural commodities based on known risks which may include a history and severity of foodborne illness outbreaks.

“(b) FINAL REGULATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the close of the comment period for the

proposed rulemaking under subsection (a), the Secretary shall adopt a final regulation to provide for minimum science-based standards for those types of fruits and vegetables, including specific mixes or categories of fruits or vegetables, that are raw agricultural commodities, based on known safety risks, which may include a history of foodborne illness outbreaks.

“(2) FINAL REGULATION.—The final regulation shall—

“(A) provide for coordination of education and enforcement activities by State and local officials, as designated by the Governors of the respective States or the appropriate elected State official as recognized by State statute; and

“(B) include a description of the variance process under subsection (c) and the types of permissible variances the Secretary may grant.

“(3) FLEXIBILITY FOR SMALL BUSINESSES.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1)—

“(A) the regulations promulgated under this section shall apply to a small business (as defined in the regulation promulgated under subsection (a)(1)) after the date that is 1 year after the effective date of the final regulation under paragraph (1); and

“(B) the regulations promulgated under this section shall apply to a very small business (as defined in the regulation promulgated under subsection (a)(1)) after the date that is 2 years after the effective date of the final regulation under paragraph (1).

“(c) CRITERIA.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The regulations adopted under subsection (b) shall—

“(A) set forth those procedures, processes, and practices that the Secretary determines to minimize the risk of serious adverse health consequences or death, including procedures, processes, and practices that the Secretary determines to be reasonably necessary to prevent the introduction of known or reasonably foreseeable biological, chemical, and physical hazards, including hazards that occur naturally, may be unintentionally introduced, or may be intentionally introduced, including by acts of terrorism, into fruits and vegetables, including specific mixes or categories of fruits and vegetables, that are raw agricultural commodities and to provide reasonable assurances that the produce is not adulterated under section 402;

“(B) provide sufficient flexibility to be practicable for all sizes and types of businesses, including small businesses such as a small food processing facility co-located on a farm;

“(C) comply with chapter 35 of title 44, United States Code (commonly known as the ‘Paperwork Reduction Act’), with special attention to minimizing the burden (as defined in section 3502(2) of such Act) on the business, and collection of information (as defined in section 3502(3) of such Act), associated with such regulations;

“(D) acknowledge differences in risk and minimize, as appropriate, the number of separate standards that apply to separate foods; and

“(E) not require a business to hire a consultant or other third party to identify, implement, or certify compliance with these procedures, processes, and practices, except in the case of negotiated enforcement resolutions that may require such a consultant or third party; and

“(F) permit States and foreign countries from which food is imported into the United States to request from the Secretary variances from the requirements of the regulations, subject to paragraph (2), where the

State or foreign country determines that the variance is necessary in light of local growing conditions and that the procedures, processes, and practices to be followed under the variance are reasonably likely to ensure that the produce is not adulterated under section 402 and to provide the same level of public health protection as the requirements of the regulations adopted under subsection (b).

“(2) VARIANCES.—

“(A) REQUESTS FOR VARIANCES.—A State or foreign country from which food is imported into the United States may in writing request a variance from the Secretary. Such request shall describe the variance requested and present information demonstrating that the variance does not increase the likelihood that the food for which the variance is requested will be adulterated under section 402, and that the variance provides the same level of public health protection as the requirements of the regulations adopted under subsection (b). The Secretary shall review such requests in a reasonable timeframe.

“(B) APPROVAL OF VARIANCES.—The Secretary may approve a variance in whole or in part, as appropriate, and may specify the scope of applicability of a variance to other similarly situated persons.

“(C) DENIAL OF VARIANCES.—The Secretary may deny a variance request if the Secretary determines that such variance is not reasonably likely to ensure that the food is not adulterated under section 402 and is not reasonably likely to provide the same level of public health protection as the requirements of the regulation adopted under subsection (b). The Secretary shall notify the person requesting such variance of the reasons for the denial.

“(D) MODIFICATION OR REVOCATION OF A VARIANCE.—The Secretary, after notice and an opportunity for a hearing, may modify or revoke a variance if the Secretary determines that such variance is not reasonably likely to ensure that the food is not adulterated under section 402 and is not reasonably likely to provide the same level of public health protection as the requirements of the regulations adopted under subsection (b).

“(d) ENFORCEMENT.—The Secretary may coordinate with the Secretary of Agriculture and, as appropriate, shall contract and coordinate with the agency or department designated by the Governor of each State to perform activities to ensure compliance with this section.

“(e) GUIDANCE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary shall publish, after consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, representatives of State departments of agriculture, farmer representatives, and various types of entities engaged in the production and harvesting or importing of fruits and vegetables that are raw agricultural commodities, including small businesses, updated good agricultural practices and guidance for the safe production and harvesting of specific types of fresh produce under this section.

“(2) PUBLIC MEETINGS.—The Secretary shall conduct not fewer than 3 public meetings in diverse geographical areas of the United States as part of an effort to conduct education and outreach regarding the guidance described in paragraph (1) for persons in different regions who are involved in the production and harvesting of fruits and vegetables that are raw agricultural commodities, including persons that sell directly to consumers and farmer representatives, and for importers of fruits and vegetables that are raw agricultural commodities.

“(3) PAPERWORK REDUCTION.—The Secretary shall ensure that any updated guidance under this section will—

“(A) provide sufficient flexibility to be practicable for all sizes and types of facilities, including small businesses such as a small food processing facility co-located on a farm; and

“(B) acknowledge differences in risk and minimize, as appropriate, the number of separate standards that apply to separate foods.

“(f) EXEMPTION FOR DIRECT FARM MARKETING.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—A farm shall be exempt from the requirements under this section in a calendar year if—

“(A) during the previous 3-year period, the average annual monetary value of the food sold by such farm directly to qualified end-users during such period exceeded the average annual monetary value of the food sold by such farm to all other buyers during such period; and

“(B) the average annual monetary value of all food sold during such period was less than \$500,000, adjusted for inflation.

“(2) NOTIFICATION TO CONSUMERS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—A farm that is exempt from the requirements under this section shall—

“(i) with respect to a food for which a food packaging label is required by the Secretary under any other provision of this Act, include prominently and conspicuously on such label the name and business address of the farm where the produce was grown; or

“(ii) with respect to a food for which a food packaging label is not required by the Secretary under any other provision of this Act, prominently and conspicuously display, at the point of purchase, the name and business address of the farm where the produce was grown, on a label, poster, sign, placard, or document delivered contemporaneously with the food in the normal course of business, or, in the case of Internet sales, in an electronic notice.

“(B) NO ADDITIONAL LABEL.—Subparagraph (A) does not provide authority to the Secretary to require a label that is in addition to any label required under any other provision of this Act.

“(3) WITHDRAWAL; RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In the event of an active investigation of a foodborne illness outbreak that is directly linked to a farm subject to an exemption under this subsection, or if the Secretary determines that it is necessary to protect the public health and prevent or mitigate a foodborne illness outbreak based on conduct or conditions associated with a farm that are material to the safety of the food produced or harvested at such farm, the Secretary may withdraw the exemption provided to such farm under this subsection.

“(B) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to expand or limit the inspection authority of the Secretary.

“(4) DEFINITIONS.—

“(A) QUALIFIED END-USER.—In this subsection, the term ‘qualified end-user’, with respect to a food means—

“(i) the consumer of the food; or

“(ii) a restaurant or retail food establishment (as those terms are defined by the Secretary for purposes of section 415) that is located—

“(I) in the same State as the farm that produced the food; or

“(II) not more than 275 miles from such farm.

“(B) CONSUMER.—For purposes of subparagraph (A), the term ‘consumer’ does not include a business.

“(5) NO PREEMPTION.—Nothing in this subsection preempts State, local, county, or other non-Federal law regarding the safe production, harvesting, holding, transportation, and sale of fresh fruits and vegetables. Compliance with this subsection shall not relieve any person from liability at common law or under State statutory law.

“(6) LIMITATION OF EFFECT.—Nothing in this subsection shall prevent the Secretary from exercising any authority granted in the other sections of this Act.

“(g) CLARIFICATION.—This section shall not apply to produce that is produced by an individual for personal consumption.

“(h) EXCEPTION FOR ACTIVITIES OF FACILITIES SUBJECT TO SECTION 418.—This section shall not apply to activities of a facility that are subject to section 418.”

(b) SMALL ENTITY COMPLIANCE POLICY GUIDE.—Not later than 180 days after the issuance of regulations under section 419 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (as added by subsection (a)), the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall issue a small entity compliance policy guide setting forth in plain language the requirements of such section 419 and to assist small entities in complying with standards for safe production and harvesting and other activities required under such section.

(c) PROHIBITED ACTS.—Section 301 (21 U.S.C. 331), as amended by section 6103, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(vv) The failure to comply with the requirements under section 419.”

(d) NO EFFECT ON HACCP AUTHORITIES.—Nothing in the amendments made by this section limits the authority of the Secretary under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 301 et seq.) or the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) to revise, issue, or enforce product and category-specific regulations, such as the Seafood Hazard Analysis Critical Controls Points Program, the Juice Hazard Analysis Critical Control Program, and the Thermally Processed Low-Acid Foods Packaged in Hermetically Sealed Containers standards.

SEC. 6106. PROTECTION AGAINST INTENTIONAL ADULTERATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter IV (21 U.S.C. 341 et seq.), as amended by section 6105, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 420. PROTECTION AGAINST INTENTIONAL ADULTERATION.

“(a) DETERMINATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall—

“(A) conduct a vulnerability assessment of the food system, including by consideration of the Department of Homeland Security biological, chemical, radiological, or other terrorism risk assessments;

“(B) consider the best available understanding of uncertainties, risks, costs, and benefits associated with guarding against intentional adulteration of food at vulnerable points; and

“(C) determine the types of science-based mitigation strategies or measures that are necessary to protect against the intentional adulteration of food.

“(2) LIMITED DISTRIBUTION.—In the interest of national security, the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security, may determine the time, manner, and form in which determinations made under paragraph (1) are made publicly available.

“(b) REGULATIONS.—Not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary, in coordination with the Secretary of Homeland Security and in con-

sultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, shall promulgate regulations to protect against the intentional adulteration of food subject to this Act. Such regulations shall—

“(1) specify how a person shall assess whether the person is required to implement mitigation strategies or measures intended to protect against the intentional adulteration of food; and

“(2) specify appropriate science-based mitigation strategies or measures to prepare and protect the food supply chain at specific vulnerable points, as appropriate.

“(c) APPLICABILITY.—Regulations promulgated under subsection (b) shall apply only to food for which there is a high risk of intentional contamination, as determined by the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security, under subsection (a), that could cause serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals and shall include those foods—

“(1) for which the Secretary has identified clear vulnerabilities (including short shelf-life or susceptibility to intentional contamination at critical control points); and

“(2) in bulk or batch form, prior to being packaged for the final consumer.

“(d) EXCEPTION.—This section shall not apply to farms, except for those that produce milk.

“(e) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘farm’ has the meaning given that term in section 1.227 of title 21, Code of Federal Regulations (or any successor regulation).”

(b) GUIDANCE DOCUMENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of Agriculture, shall issue guidance documents related to protection against the intentional adulteration of food, including mitigation strategies or measures to guard against such adulteration as required under section 420 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as added by subsection (a).

(2) CONTENT.—The guidance documents issued under paragraph (1) shall—

(A) include a model assessment for a person to use under subsection (b)(1) of section 420 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as added by subsection (a);

(B) include examples of mitigation strategies or measures described in subsection (b)(2) of such section; and

(C) specify situations in which the examples of mitigation strategies or measures described in subsection (b)(2) of such section are appropriate.

(3) LIMITED DISTRIBUTION.—In the interest of national security, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security, may determine the time, manner, and form in which the guidance documents issued under paragraph (1) are made public, including by releasing such documents to targeted audiences.

(c) PERIODIC REVIEW.—The Secretary of Health and Human Services shall periodically review and, as appropriate, update the regulations under section 420(b) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as added by subsection (a), and the guidance documents under subsection (b).

(d) PROHIBITED ACTS.—Section 301 (21 U.S.C. 331 et seq.), as amended by section 6105, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(ww) The failure to comply with section 420.”

SEC. 6107. AUTHORITY TO COLLECT FEES.

(a) FEES FOR REINSPECTION, RECALL, AND IMPORTATION ACTIVITIES.—Subchapter C of chapter VII (21 U.S.C. 379f et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“PART 6—FEES RELATED TO FOOD**“SEC. 743. AUTHORITY TO COLLECT AND USE FEES.**

“(a) IN GENERAL.—

“(1) PURPOSE AND AUTHORITY.—For fiscal year 2010 and each subsequent fiscal year, the Secretary shall, in accordance with this section, assess and collect fees from—

“(A) the responsible party for each domestic facility (as defined in section 415(b)) and the United States agent for each foreign facility subject to a reinspection in such fiscal year, to cover reinspection-related costs for such year;

“(B) the responsible party for a domestic facility (as defined in section 415(b)) and an importer who does not comply with a recall order under section 423 or under section 412(f) in such fiscal year, to cover food recall activities associated with such order performed by the Secretary, including technical assistance, follow-up effectiveness checks, and public notifications, for such year;

“(C) each importer participating in the voluntary qualified importer program under section 806 in such year, to cover the administrative costs of such program for such year; and

“(D) each importer subject to a reinspection in such fiscal year, to cover reinspection-related costs for such year.

“(2) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section—

“(A) the term ‘reinspection’ means—

“(i) with respect to domestic facilities (as defined in section 415(b)), 1 or more inspections conducted under section 704 subsequent to an inspection conducted under such provision which identified noncompliance materially related to a food safety requirement of this Act, specifically to determine whether compliance has been achieved to the Secretary’s satisfaction; and

“(ii) with respect to importers, 1 or more examinations conducted under section 801 subsequent to an examination conducted under such provision which identified noncompliance materially related to a food safety requirement of this Act, specifically to determine whether compliance has been achieved to the Secretary’s satisfaction;

“(B) the term ‘reinspection-related costs’ means all expenses, including administrative expenses, incurred in connection with—

“(i) arranging, conducting, and evaluating the results of reinspections; and

“(ii) assessing and collecting reinspection fees under this section; and

“(C) the term ‘responsible party’ has the meaning given such term in section 417(a)(1).

“(b) ESTABLISHMENT OF FEES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsections (c) and (d), the Secretary shall establish the fees to be collected under this section for each fiscal year specified in subsection (a)(1), based on the methodology described under paragraph (2), and shall publish such fees in a Federal Register notice not later than 60 days before the start of each such year.

“(2) FEE METHODOLOGY.—

“(A) FEES.—Fees amounts established for collection—

“(i) under subparagraph (A) of subsection (a)(1) for a fiscal year shall be based on the Secretary’s estimate of 100 percent of the costs of the reinspection-related activities (including by type or level of reinspection activity, as the Secretary determines applicable) described in such subparagraph (A) for such year;

“(ii) under subparagraph (B) of subsection (a)(1) for a fiscal year shall be based on the Secretary’s estimate of 100 percent of the costs of the activities described in such subparagraph (B) for such year;

“(iii) under subparagraph (C) of subsection (a)(1) for a fiscal year shall be based on the Secretary’s estimate of 100 percent of the costs of the activities described in such subparagraph (C) for such year; and

“(iv) under subparagraph (D) of subsection (a)(1) for a fiscal year shall be based on the Secretary’s estimate of 100 percent of the costs of the activities described in such subparagraph (D) for such year.

“(B) OTHER CONSIDERATIONS.—

“(i) VOLUNTARY QUALIFIED IMPORTER PROGRAM.—In establishing the fee amounts under subparagraph (A)(iii) for a fiscal year, the Secretary shall provide for the number of importers who have submitted to the Secretary a notice under section 806(c) informing the Secretary of the intent of such importer to participate in the program under section 806 in such fiscal year.

“(ii) CREDITING OF FEES.—In establishing the fee amounts under subparagraph (A) for a fiscal year, the Secretary shall provide for the crediting of fees from the previous year to the next year if the Secretary overestimated the amount of fees needed to carry out such activities, and consider the need to account for any adjustment of fees and such other factors as the Secretary determines appropriate.

“(iii) PUBLISHED GUIDELINES.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary shall publish in the Federal Register a proposed set of guidelines in consideration of the burden of fee amounts on small business. Such consideration may include reduced fee amounts for small businesses. The Secretary shall provide for a period of public comment on such guidelines. The Secretary shall adjust the fee schedule for small businesses subject to such fees only through notice and comment rulemaking.

“(3) USE OF FEES.—The Secretary shall make all of the fees collected pursuant to clause (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) of paragraph (2)(A) available solely to pay for the costs referred to in such clause (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) of paragraph (2)(A), respectively.

“(c) LIMITATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Fees under subsection (a) shall be refunded for a fiscal year beginning after fiscal year 2010 unless the amount of the total appropriations for food safety activities at the Food and Drug Administration for such fiscal year (excluding the amount of fees appropriated for such fiscal year) is equal to or greater than the amount of appropriations for food safety activities at the Food and Drug Administration for fiscal year 2009 (excluding the amount of fees appropriated for such fiscal year), multiplied by the adjustment factor under paragraph (3).

“(2) AUTHORITY.—If—

“(A) the Secretary does not assess fees under subsection (a) for a portion of a fiscal year because paragraph (1) applies; and

“(B) at a later date in such fiscal year, such paragraph (1) ceases to apply, the Secretary may assess and collect such fees under subsection (a), without any modification to the rate of such fees, notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a) relating to the date fees are to be paid.

“(3) ADJUSTMENT FACTOR.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The adjustment factor described in paragraph (1) shall be the total percentage change that occurred in the Con-

sumer Price Index for all urban consumers (all items; United States city average) for the 12-month period ending June 30 preceding the fiscal year, but in no case shall such adjustment factor be negative.

“(B) COMPOUNDED BASIS.—The adjustment under subparagraph (A) made each fiscal year shall be added on a compounded basis to the sum of all adjustments made each fiscal year after fiscal year 2009.

“(4) LIMITATION ON AMOUNT OF CERTAIN FEES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this section and subject to subparagraph (B), the Secretary may not collect fees in a fiscal year such that the amount collected—

“(i) under subparagraph (B) of subsection (a)(1) exceeds \$20,000,000; and

“(ii) under subparagraphs (A) and (D) of subsection (a)(1) exceeds \$25,000,000 combined.

“(B) EXCEPTION.—If a domestic facility (as defined in section 415(b)) or an importer becomes subject to a fee described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (D) of subsection (a)(1) after the maximum amount of fees has been collected by the Secretary under subparagraph (A), the Secretary may collect a fee from such facility or importer.

“(d) CREDITING AND AVAILABILITY OF FEES.—Fees authorized under subsection (a) shall be collected and available for obligation only to the extent and in the amount provided in appropriations Acts. Such fees are authorized to remain available until expended. Such sums as may be necessary may be transferred from the Food and Drug Administration salaries and expenses account without fiscal year limitation to such appropriation account for salaries and expenses with such fiscal year limitation. The sums transferred shall be available solely for the purpose of paying the operating expenses of the Food and Drug Administration employees and contractors performing activities associated with these food safety fees.

“(e) COLLECTION OF FEES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall specify in the Federal Register notice described in subsection (b)(1) the time and manner in which fees assessed under this section shall be collected.

“(2) COLLECTION OF UNPAID FEES.—In any case where the Secretary does not receive payment of a fee assessed under this section within 30 days after it is due, such fee shall be treated as a claim of the United States Government subject to provisions of subchapter II of chapter 37 of title 31, United States Code.

“(f) ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 120 days after each fiscal year for which fees are assessed under this section, the Secretary shall submit a report to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions of the Senate and the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives, to include a description of fees assessed and collected for each such year and a summary description of the entities paying such fees and the types of business in which such entities engage.

“(g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—For fiscal year 2010 and each fiscal year thereafter, there is authorized to be appropriated for fees under this section an amount equal to the total revenue amount determined under subsection (b) for the fiscal year, as adjusted or otherwise affected under the other provisions of this section.”

(b) EXPORT CERTIFICATION FEES FOR FOODS AND ANIMAL FEED.—

(1) AUTHORITY FOR EXPORT CERTIFICATIONS FOR FOOD, INCLUDING ANIMAL FEED.—Section

801(e)(4)(A) (21 U.S.C. 381(e)(4)(A)) is amended—

(A) in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking “a drug” and inserting “a food, drug”;

(B) in clause (i) by striking “exported drug” and inserting “exported food, drug”;

(C) in clause (ii) by striking “the drug” each place it appears and inserting “the food, drug”.

(2) CLARIFICATION OF CERTIFICATION.—Section 801(e)(4) (21 U.S.C. 381(e)(4)) is amended by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraph:

“(C) For purposes of this paragraph, a certification by the Secretary shall be made on such basis, and in such form (including a publicly available listing) as the Secretary determines appropriate.”.

(3) LIMITATIONS ON USE AND AMOUNT OF FEES.—Paragraph (4) of section 801(e) (21 U.S.C. 381(e)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(D) With regard to fees pursuant to subparagraph (B) in connection with written export certifications for food:

“(i) Such fees shall be collected and available solely for the costs of the Food and Drug Administration associated with issuing such certifications.

“(ii) Such fees may not be retained in an amount that exceeds such costs.”.

SEC. 6108. NATIONAL AGRICULTURE AND FOOD DEFENSE STRATEGY.

(a) DEVELOPMENT AND SUBMISSION OF STRATEGY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Health and Human Services and the Secretary of Agriculture, in coordination with the Secretary of Homeland Security, shall prepare and transmit to the relevant committees of Congress, and make publicly available on the Internet Web sites of the Department of Health and Human Services and the Department of Agriculture, the National Agriculture and Food Defense Strategy.

(2) IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.—The strategy shall include an implementation plan for use by the Secretaries described under paragraph (1) in carrying out the strategy.

(3) RESEARCH.—The strategy shall include a coordinated research agenda for use by the Secretaries described under paragraph (1) in conducting research to support the goals and activities described in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (b).

(4) REVISIONS.—Not later than 4 years after the date on which the strategy is submitted to the relevant committees of Congress under paragraph (1), and not less frequently than every 4 years thereafter, the Secretary of Health and Human Services and the Secretary of Agriculture, in coordination with the Secretary of Homeland Security, shall revise and submit to the relevant committees of Congress the strategy.

(5) CONSISTENCY WITH EXISTING PLANS.—The strategy described in paragraph (1) shall be consistent with—

(A) the National Incident Management System;

(B) the National Response Framework;

(C) the National Infrastructure Protection Plan;

(D) the National Preparedness Goals; and

(E) other relevant national strategies.

(b) COMPONENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The strategy shall include a description of the process to be used by the Department of Health and Human Services, the Department of Agriculture, and the Department of Homeland Security—

(A) to achieve each goal described in paragraph (2); and

(B) to evaluate the progress made by Federal, State, local, and tribal governments towards the achievement of each goal described in paragraph (2).

(2) GOALS.—The strategy shall include a description of the process to be used by the Department of Health and Human Services, the Department of Agriculture, and the Department of Homeland Security to achieve the following goals:

(A) PREPAREDNESS GOAL.—Enhance the preparedness of the agriculture and food system by—

(i) conducting vulnerability assessments of the agriculture and food system;

(ii) mitigating vulnerabilities of the system;

(iii) improving communication and training relating to the system;

(iv) developing and conducting exercises to test decontamination and disposal plans;

(v) developing modeling tools to improve event consequence assessment and decision support; and

(vi) preparing risk communication tools and enhancing public awareness through outreach.

(B) DETECTION GOAL.—Improve agriculture and food system detection capabilities by—

(i) identifying contamination in food products at the earliest possible time; and

(ii) conducting surveillance to prevent the spread of diseases.

(C) EMERGENCY RESPONSE GOAL.—Ensure an efficient response to agriculture and food emergencies by—

(i) immediately investigating animal disease outbreaks and suspected food contamination;

(ii) preventing additional human illnesses;

(iii) organizing, training, and equipping animal, plant, and food emergency response teams of—

(I) the Federal Government; and

(II) State, local, and tribal governments;

(iv) designing, developing, and evaluating training and exercises carried out under agriculture and food defense plans; and

(v) ensuring consistent and organized risk communication to the public by—

(I) the Federal Government;

(II) State, local, and tribal governments; and

(III) the private sector.

(D) RECOVERY GOAL.—Secure agriculture and food production after an agriculture or food emergency by—

(i) working with the private sector to develop business recovery plans to rapidly resume agriculture, food production, and international trade;

(ii) conducting exercises of the plans described in subparagraph (C) with the goal of long-term recovery results;

(iii) rapidly removing, and effectively disposing of—

(I) contaminated agriculture and food products; and

(II) infected plants and animals; and

(iv) decontaminating and restoring areas affected by an agriculture or food emergency.

(3) EVALUATION.—The Secretary, in coordination with the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of Homeland Security, shall—

(A) develop metrics to measure progress for the evaluation process described in paragraph (1)(B); and

(B) report on the progress measured in subparagraph (A) as part of the National Agriculture and Food Defense strategy described in subsection (a)(1).

(c) LIMITED DISTRIBUTION.—In the interest of national security, the Secretary of Health and Human Services and the Secretary of Agriculture, in coordination with the Secretary of Homeland Security, may determine the manner and format in which the National Agriculture and Food Defense strategy established under this section is made publicly available on the Internet Web sites of the Department of Health and Human Services, the Department of Homeland Security, and the Department of Agriculture, as described in subsection (a)(1).

SEC. 6109. FOOD AND AGRICULTURE COORDINATING COUNCILS.

The Secretary of Homeland Security, in coordination with the Secretary of Health and Human Services and the Secretary of Agriculture, shall within 180 days of enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, submit to the relevant committees of Congress, and make publicly available on the Internet Web site of the Department of Homeland Security, a report on the activities of the Food and Agriculture Government Coordinating Council and the Food and Agriculture Sector Coordinating Council, including the progress of such Councils on—

(1) facilitating partnerships between public and private entities to help coordinate and enhance the protection of the agriculture and food system of the United States;

(2) providing for the regular and timely interchange of information between each council relating to the security of the agriculture and food system (including intelligence information);

(3) identifying best practices and methods for improving the coordination among Federal, State, local, and private sector preparedness and response plans for agriculture and food defense; and

(4) recommending methods by which to protect the economy and the public health of the United States from the effects of—

(A) animal or plant disease outbreaks;

(B) food contamination; and

(C) natural disasters affecting agriculture and food.

SEC. 6110. BUILDING DOMESTIC CAPACITY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) INITIAL REPORT.—The Secretary, in coordination with the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of Homeland Security, shall, not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, submit to Congress a comprehensive report that identifies programs and practices that are intended to promote the safety and supply chain security of food and to prevent outbreaks of foodborne illness and other food-related hazards that can be addressed through preventive activities. Such report shall include a description of the following:

(A) Analysis of the need for further regulations or guidance to industry.

(B) Outreach to food industry sectors, including through the Food and Agriculture Coordinating Councils referred to in section 6109, to identify potential sources of emerging threats to the safety and security of the food supply and preventive strategies to address those threats.

(C) Systems to ensure the prompt distribution to the food industry of information and technical assistance concerning preventive strategies.

(D) Communication systems to ensure that information about specific threats to the safety and security of the food supply are rapidly and effectively disseminated.

(E) Surveillance systems and laboratory networks to rapidly detect and respond to foodborne illness outbreaks and other food-

related hazards, including how such systems and networks are integrated.

(F) Outreach, education, and training provided to States and local governments to build State and local food safety and food defense capabilities, including progress implementing strategies developed under sections 6108 and 6205.

(G) The estimated resources needed to effectively implement the programs and practices identified in the report developed in this section over a 5-year period.

(H) The impact of requirements under this Act (including amendments made by this Act) on certified organic farms and facilities (as defined in section 415 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 350d)).

(I) Specific efforts taken pursuant to the agreements authorized under section 421(c) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (as added by section 6201), together with, as necessary, a description of any additional authorities necessary to improve seafood safety.

(2) BIENNIAL REPORTS.—On a biennial basis following the submission of the report under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report that—

(A) reviews previous food safety programs and practices;

(B) outlines the success of those programs and practices;

(C) identifies future programs and practices; and

(D) includes information related to any matter described in subparagraphs (A) through (H) of paragraph (1), as necessary.

(b) RISK-BASED ACTIVITIES.—The report developed under subsection (a)(1) shall describe methods that seek to ensure that resources available to the Secretary for food safety-related activities are directed at those actions most likely to reduce risks from food, including the use of preventive strategies and allocation of inspection resources. The Secretary shall promptly undertake those risk-based actions that are identified during the development of the report as likely to contribute to the safety and security of the food supply.

(c) CAPABILITY FOR LABORATORY ANALYSES; RESEARCH.—The report developed under subsection (a)(1) shall provide a description of methods to increase capacity to undertake analyses of food samples promptly after collection, to identify new and rapid analytical techniques, including commercially available techniques that can be employed at ports of entry and by Food Emergency Response Network laboratories, and to provide for well-equipped and staffed laboratory facilities and progress toward laboratory accreditation under section 422 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (as added by section 6202).

(d) INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.—The report developed under subsection (a)(1) shall include a description of such information technology systems as may be needed to identify risks and receive data from multiple sources, including foreign governments, State, local, and tribal governments, other Federal agencies, the food industry, laboratories, laboratory networks, and consumers. The information technology systems that the Secretary describes shall also provide for the integration of the facility registration system under section 415 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 350d), and the prior notice system under section 801(m) of such Act (21 U.S.C. 381(m)) with other information technology systems that are used by the Federal Government for the processing of

food offered for import into the United States.

(e) AUTOMATED RISK ASSESSMENT.—The report developed under subsection (a)(1) shall include a description of progress toward developing and improving an automated risk assessment system for food safety surveillance and allocation of resources.

(f) TRACEBACK AND SURVEILLANCE REPORT.—The Secretary shall include in the report developed under subsection (a)(1) an analysis of the Food and Drug Administration's performance in foodborne illness outbreaks during the 5-year period preceding the date of enactment of this Act involving fruits and vegetables that are raw agricultural commodities (as defined in section 6201(r) (21 U.S.C. 321(r))) and recommendations for enhanced surveillance, outbreak response, and traceability. Such findings and recommendations shall address communication and coordination with the public, industry, and State and local governments, as such communication and coordination relates to outbreak identification and traceback.

(g) BIENNIAL FOOD SAFETY AND FOOD DEFENSE RESEARCH PLAN.—The Secretary, the Secretary of Agriculture, and the Secretary of Homeland Security shall, on a biennial basis, submit to Congress a joint food safety and food defense research plan which may include studying the long-term health effects of foodborne illness. Such biennial plan shall include a list and description of projects conducted during the previous 2-year period and the plan for projects to be conducted during the subsequent 2-year period.

(h) EFFECTIVENESS OF PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—To determine whether existing Federal programs administered by the Department of Health and Human Services are effective in achieving the stated goals of such programs, the Secretary shall, beginning not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act—

(A) conduct an annual evaluation of each program of such Department to determine the effectiveness of each such program in achieving legislated intent, purposes, and objectives; and

(B) submit to Congress a report concerning such evaluation.

(2) CONTENT.—The report described under paragraph (1)(B) shall—

(A) include conclusions concerning the reasons that such existing programs have proven successful or not successful and what factors contributed to such conclusions;

(B) include recommendations for consolidation and elimination to reduce duplication and inefficiencies in such programs at such Department as identified during the evaluation conduct under this subsection; and

(C) be made publicly available in a publication entitled "Guide to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Programs".

(i) UNIQUE IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, acting through the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, shall conduct a study regarding the need for, and challenges associated with, development and implementation of a program that requires a unique identification number for each food facility registered with the Secretary and, as appropriate, each broker that imports food into the United States. Such study shall include an evaluation of the costs associated with development and implementation of such a system, and make recommendations about

what new authorities, if any, would be necessary to develop and implement such a system.

(2) REPORT.—Not later than 15 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report that describes the findings of the study conducted under paragraph (1) and that includes any recommendations determined appropriate by the Secretary.

SEC. 6111. SANITARY TRANSPORTATION OF FOOD.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall promulgate regulations described in section 416(b) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 350e(b)).

(b) FOOD TRANSPORTATION STUDY.—The Secretary, acting through the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, shall conduct a study of the transportation of food for consumption in the United States, including transportation by air, that includes an examination of the unique needs of rural and frontier areas with regard to the delivery of safe food.

SEC. 6112. FOOD ALLERGY AND ANAPHYLAXIS MANAGEMENT.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION PROGRAM.—The term "early childhood education program" means—

(A) a Head Start program or an Early Head Start program carried out under the Head Start Act (42 U.S.C. 9831 et seq.);

(B) a State licensed or regulated child care program or school; or

(C) a State prekindergarten program that serves children from birth through kindergarten.

(2) ESEA DEFINITIONS.—The terms "local educational agency", "secondary school", "elementary school", and "parent" have the meanings given the terms in section 9101 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7801).

(3) SCHOOL.—The term "school" includes public—

(A) kindergartens;

(B) elementary schools; and

(C) secondary schools.

(4) SECRETARY.—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

(b) ESTABLISHMENT OF VOLUNTARY FOOD ALLERGY AND ANAPHYLAXIS MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES.—

(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Education, shall—

(i) develop guidelines to be used on a voluntary basis to develop plans for individuals to manage the risk of food allergy and anaphylaxis in schools and early childhood education programs; and

(ii) make such guidelines available to local educational agencies, schools, early childhood education programs, and other interested entities and individuals to be implemented on a voluntary basis only.

(B) APPLICABILITY OF FERPA.—Each plan described in subparagraph (A) that is developed for an individual shall be considered an education record for the purpose of section 444 of the General Education Provisions Act (commonly referred to as the "Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974") (20 U.S.C. 1232g).

(2) CONTENTS.—The voluntary guidelines developed by the Secretary under paragraph (1) shall address each of the following and may be updated as the Secretary determines necessary:

(A) Parental obligation to provide the school or early childhood education program, prior to the start of every school year, with—

(i) documentation from their child's physician or nurse—

(I) supporting a diagnosis of food allergy, and any risk of anaphylaxis, if applicable;

(II) identifying any food to which the child is allergic;

(III) describing, if appropriate, any prior history of anaphylaxis;

(IV) listing any medication prescribed for the child for the treatment of anaphylaxis;

(V) detailing emergency treatment procedures in the event of a reaction;

(VI) listing the signs and symptoms of a reaction; and

(VII) assessing the child's readiness for self-administration of prescription medication; and

(i) a list of substitute meals that may be offered to the child by school or early childhood education program food service personnel.

(B) The creation and maintenance of an individual plan for food allergy management, in consultation with the parent, tailored to the needs of each child with a documented risk for anaphylaxis, including any procedures for the self-administration of medication by such children in instances where—

(i) the children are capable of self-administering medication; and

(ii) such administration is not prohibited by State law.

(C) Communication strategies between individual schools or early childhood education programs and providers of emergency medical services, including appropriate instructions for emergency medical response.

(D) Strategies to reduce the risk of exposure to anaphylactic causative agents in classrooms and common school or early childhood education program areas such as cafeterias.

(E) The dissemination of general information on life-threatening food allergies to school or early childhood education program staff, parents, and children.

(F) Food allergy management training of school or early childhood education program personnel who regularly come into contact with children with life-threatening food allergies.

(G) The authorization and training of school or early childhood education program personnel to administer epinephrine when the nurse is not immediately available.

(H) The timely accessibility of epinephrine by school or early childhood education program personnel when the nurse is not immediately available.

(I) The creation of a plan contained in each individual plan for food allergy management that addresses the appropriate response to an incident of anaphylaxis of a child while such child is engaged in extracurricular programs of a school or early childhood education program, such as nonacademic outings and field trips, before- and after-school programs or before- and after-early childhood education program programs, and school-sponsored or early childhood education program-sponsored programs held on weekends.

(J) Maintenance of information for each administration of epinephrine to a child at risk for anaphylaxis and prompt notification to parents.

(K) Other elements the Secretary determines necessary for the management of food allergies and anaphylaxis in schools and early childhood education programs.

(3) RELATION TO STATE LAW.—Nothing in this section or the guidelines developed by

the Secretary under paragraph (1) shall be construed to preempt State law, including any State law regarding whether students at risk for anaphylaxis may self-administer medication.

(c) SCHOOL-BASED FOOD ALLERGY MANAGEMENT GRANTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may award grants to local educational agencies to assist such agencies with implementing voluntary food allergy and anaphylaxis management guidelines described in subsection (b).

(2) APPLICATION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—To be eligible to receive a grant under this subsection, a local educational agency shall submit an application to the Secretary at such time, in such manner, and including such information as the Secretary may reasonably require.

(B) CONTENTS.—Each application submitted under subparagraph (A) shall include—

(i) an assurance that the local educational agency has developed plans in accordance with the food allergy and anaphylaxis management guidelines described in subsection (b);

(ii) a description of the activities to be funded by the grant in carrying out the food allergy and anaphylaxis management guidelines, including—

(I) how the guidelines will be carried out at individual schools served by the local educational agency;

(II) how the local educational agency will inform parents and students of the guidelines in place;

(III) how school nurses, teachers, administrators, and other school-based staff will be made aware of, and given training on, when applicable, the guidelines in place; and

(IV) any other activities that the Secretary determines appropriate;

(iii) an itemization of how grant funds received under this subsection will be expended;

(iv) a description of how adoption of the guidelines and implementation of grant activities will be monitored; and

(v) an agreement by the local educational agency to report information required by the Secretary to conduct evaluations under this subsection.

(3) USE OF FUNDS.—Each local educational agency that receives a grant under this subsection may use the grant funds for the following:

(A) Purchase of materials and supplies, including limited medical supplies such as epinephrine and disposable wet wipes, to support carrying out the food allergy and anaphylaxis management guidelines described in subsection (b).

(B) In partnership with local health departments, school nurse, teacher, and personnel training for food allergy management.

(C) Programs that educate students as to the presence of, and policies and procedures in place related to, food allergies and anaphylactic shock.

(D) Outreach to parents.

(E) Any other activities consistent with the guidelines described in subsection (b).

(4) DURATION OF AWARDS.—The Secretary may award grants under this subsection for a period of not more than 2 years. In the event the Secretary conducts a program evaluation under this subsection, funding in the second year of the grant, where applicable, shall be contingent on a successful program evaluation by the Secretary after the first year.

(5) LIMITATION ON GRANT FUNDING.—The Secretary may not provide grant funding to a local educational agency under this sub-

section after such local educational agency has received 2 years of grant funding under this subsection.

(6) MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF ANNUAL AWARDS.—A grant awarded under this subsection may not be made in an amount that is more than \$50,000 annually.

(7) PRIORITY.—In awarding grants under this subsection, the Secretary shall give priority to local educational agencies with the highest percentages of children who are counted under section 1124(c) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6333(c)).

(8) MATCHING FUNDS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may not award a grant under this subsection unless the local educational agency agrees that, with respect to the costs to be incurred by such local educational agency in carrying out the grant activities, the local educational agency shall make available (directly or through donations from public or private entities) non-Federal funds toward such costs in an amount equal to not less than 25 percent of the amount of the grant.

(B) DETERMINATION OF AMOUNT OF NON-FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION.—Non-Federal funds required under subparagraph (A) may be cash or in kind, including plant, equipment, or services. Amounts provided by the Federal Government, and any portion of any service subsidized by the Federal Government, may not be included in determining the amount of such non-Federal funds.

(9) ADMINISTRATIVE FUNDS.—A local educational agency that receives a grant under this subsection may use not more than 2 percent of the grant amount for administrative costs related to carrying out this subsection.

(10) PROGRESS AND EVALUATIONS.—At the completion of the grant period referred to in paragraph (4), a local educational agency shall provide the Secretary with information on how grant funds were spent and the status of implementation of the food allergy and anaphylaxis management guidelines described in subsection (b).

(11) SUPPLEMENT, NOT SUPPLANT.—Grant funds received under this subsection shall be used to supplement, and not supplant, non-Federal funds and any other Federal funds available to carry out the activities described in this subsection.

(12) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this subsection \$30,000,000 for fiscal year 2011 and such sums as may be necessary for each of the 4 succeeding fiscal years.

(d) VOLUNTARY NATURE OF GUIDELINES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The food allergy and anaphylaxis management guidelines developed by the Secretary under subsection (b) are voluntary. Nothing in this section or the guidelines developed by the Secretary under subsection (b) shall be construed to require a local educational agency to implement such guidelines.

(2) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the Secretary may enforce an agreement by a local educational agency to implement food allergy and anaphylaxis management guidelines as a condition of the receipt of a grant under subsection (c).

SEC. 6113. NEW DIETARY INGREDIENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 413 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 350b) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (d); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (b) the following:

“(c) NOTIFICATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary determines that the information in a new dietary

ingredient notification submitted under this section for an article purported to be a new dietary ingredient is inadequate to establish that a dietary supplement containing such article will reasonably be expected to be safe because the article may be, or may contain, an anabolic steroid or an analogue of an anabolic steroid, the Secretary shall notify the Drug Enforcement Administration of such determination. Such notification by the Secretary shall include, at a minimum, the name of the dietary supplement or article, the name of the person or persons who marketed the product or made the submission of information regarding the article to the Secretary under this section, and any contact information for such person or persons that the Secretary has.

“(2) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this subsection—

“(A) the term ‘anabolic steroid’ has the meaning given such term in section 102(41) of the Controlled Substances Act; and

“(B) the term ‘analogue of an anabolic steroid’ means a substance whose chemical structure is substantially similar to the chemical structure of an anabolic steroid.”.

(b) GUIDANCE.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall publish guidance that clarifies when a dietary supplement ingredient is a new dietary ingredient, when the manufacturer or distributor of a dietary ingredient or dietary supplement should provide the Secretary with information as described in section 413(a)(2) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, the evidence needed to document the safety of new dietary ingredients, and appropriate methods for establishing the identity of a new dietary ingredient.

SEC. 6114. REQUIREMENT FOR GUIDANCE RELATING TO POST-HARVEST PROCESSING OF RAW OYSTERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days prior to the issuance of any guidance, regulation, or suggested amendment by the Food and Drug Administration to the National Shellfish Sanitation Program’s Model Ordinance, or the issuance of any guidance or regulation by the Food and Drug Administration relating to the Seafood Hazard Analysis Critical Control Points Program of the Food and Drug Administration (parts 123 and 1240 of title 21, Code of Federal Regulations (or any successor regulations), where such guidance, regulation, or suggested amendment relates to post-harvest processing for raw oysters, the Secretary shall prepare and submit to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions of the Senate and the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives a report which shall include—

(1) an assessment of how post-harvest processing or other equivalent controls feasibly may be implemented in the fastest, safest, and most economical manner;

(2) the projected public health benefits of any proposed post-harvest processing;

(3) the projected costs of compliance with such post-harvest processing measures;

(4) the impact post-harvest processing is expected to have on the sales, cost, and availability of raw oysters;

(5) criteria for ensuring post-harvest processing standards will be applied equally to shellfish imported from all nations of origin;

(6) an evaluation of alternative measures to prevent, eliminate, or reduce to an acceptable level the occurrence of foodborne illness; and

(7) the extent to which the Food and Drug Administration has consulted with the

States and other regulatory agencies, as appropriate, with regard to post-harvest processing measures.

(b) LIMITATION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to the guidance described in section 6103(h).

(c) REVIEW AND EVALUATION.—Not later than 30 days after the Secretary issues a proposed regulation or guidance described in subsection (a), the Comptroller General of the United States shall—

(1) review and evaluate the report described in subsection (a) and report to Congress on the findings of the estimates and analysis in the report;

(2) compare such proposed regulation or guidance to similar regulations or guidance with respect to other regulated foods, including a comparison of risks the Secretary may find associated with seafood and the instances of those risks in such other regulated foods; and

(3) evaluate the impact of post-harvest processing on the competitiveness of the domestic oyster industry in the United States and in international markets.

(d) WAIVER.—The requirement of preparing a report under subsection (a) shall be waived if the Secretary issues a guidance that is adopted as a consensus agreement between Federal and State regulators and the oyster industry, acting through the Interstate Shellfish Sanitation Conference.

(e) PUBLIC ACCESS.—Any report prepared under this section shall be made available to the public.

SEC. 6115. PORT SHOPPING.

Until the date on which the Secretary promulgates a final rule that implements the amendments made by section 308 of the Public Health Security and Bioterrorism Preparedness and Response Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-188), the Secretary shall notify the Secretary of Homeland Security of all instances in which the Secretary refuses to admit a food into the United States under section 801(a) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 381(a)) so that the Secretary of Homeland Security, acting through the Commissioner of Customs and Border Protection, may prevent food refused admittance into the United States by a United States port of entry from being admitted by another United States port of entry, through the notification of other such United States ports of entry.

SEC. 6116. ALCOHOL-RELATED FACILITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided by sections 6102, 6206, 6207, 6302, 6304, 6402, 6403, and 6404 of this Act, and the amendments made by such sections, nothing in this Act, or the amendments made by this Act, shall be construed to apply to a facility that—

(1) under the Federal Alcohol Administration Act (27 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) or chapter 51 of subtitle E of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C. 5001 et seq.) is required to obtain a permit or to register with the Secretary of the Treasury as a condition of doing business in the United States; and

(2) under section 415 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 350d) is required to register as a facility because such facility is engaged in manufacturing, processing, packing, or holding 1 or more alcoholic beverages, with respect to the activities of such facility that relate to the manufacturing, processing, packing, or holding of alcoholic beverages.

(b) LIMITED RECEIPT AND DISTRIBUTION OF NONALCOHOL FOOD.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to a facility engaged in the receipt and distribution of any nonalcohol food, except that such paragraph shall apply to a facility

described in such paragraph that receives and distributes nonalcohol food, provided such food is received and distributed—

(1) in a prepackaged form that prevents any direct human contact with such food; and

(2) in amounts that constitute not more than 5 percent of the overall sales of such facility, as determined by the Secretary of the Treasury.

(c) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Except as provided in subsections (a) and (b), this section shall not be construed to exempt any food, other than alcoholic beverages, as defined in section 214 of the Federal Alcohol Administration Act (27 U.S.C. 214), from the requirements of this Act (including the amendments made by this Act).

TITLE II—IMPROVING CAPACITY TO DETECT AND RESPOND TO FOOD SAFETY PROBLEMS

SEC. 6201. TARGETING OF INSPECTION RESOURCES FOR DOMESTIC FACILITIES, FOREIGN FACILITIES, AND PORTS OF ENTRY; ANNUAL REPORT.

(a) TARGETING OF INSPECTION RESOURCES FOR DOMESTIC FACILITIES, FOREIGN FACILITIES, AND PORTS OF ENTRY.—Chapter IV (21 U.S.C. 341 et seq.), as amended by section 6106, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 421. TARGETING OF INSPECTION RESOURCES FOR DOMESTIC FACILITIES, FOREIGN FACILITIES, AND PORTS OF ENTRY; ANNUAL REPORT.

“(a) IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION OF FACILITIES.—

“(1) IDENTIFICATION.—The Secretary shall identify high-risk facilities and shall allocate resources to inspect facilities according to the known safety risks of the facilities, which shall be based on the following factors:

“(A) The known safety risks of the food manufactured, processed, packed, or held at the facility.

“(B) The compliance history of a facility, including with regard to food recalls, outbreaks of foodborne illness, and violations of food safety standards.

“(C) The rigor and effectiveness of the facility’s hazard analysis and risk-based preventive controls.

“(D) Whether the food manufactured, processed, packed, or held at the facility meets the criteria for priority under section 801(h)(1).

“(E) Whether the food or the facility that manufactured, processed, packed, or held such food has received a certification as described in section 801(q) or 806, as appropriate.

“(F) Any other criteria deemed necessary and appropriate by the Secretary for purposes of allocating inspection resources.

“(2) INSPECTIONS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary shall increase the frequency of inspection of all facilities.

“(B) DOMESTIC HIGH-RISK FACILITIES.—The Secretary shall increase the frequency of inspection of domestic facilities identified under paragraph (1) as high-risk facilities such that each such facility is inspected—

“(i) not less often than once in the 5-year period following the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act; and

“(ii) not less often than once every 3 years thereafter.

“(C) DOMESTIC NON-HIGH-RISK FACILITIES.—The Secretary shall ensure that each domestic facility that is not identified under paragraph (1) as a high-risk facility is inspected—

“(i) not less often than once in the 7-year period following the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act; and

“(ii) not less often than once every 5 years thereafter.

“(D) FOREIGN FACILITIES.—

“(i) YEAR 1.—In the 1-year period following the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary shall inspect not fewer than 600 foreign facilities.

“(ii) SUBSEQUENT YEARS.—In each of the 5 years following the 1-year period described in clause (i), the Secretary shall inspect not fewer than twice the number of foreign facilities inspected by the Secretary during the previous year.

“(E) RELIANCE ON FEDERAL, STATE, OR LOCAL INSPECTIONS.—In meeting the inspection requirements under this subsection for domestic facilities, the Secretary may rely on inspections conducted by other Federal, State, or local agencies under interagency agreements, contracts, memoranda of understanding, or other obligations.

“(b) IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION AT PORTS OF ENTRY.—The Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security, shall allocate resources to inspect any article of food imported into the United States according to the known safety risks of the article of food, which shall be based on the following factors:

“(1) The known safety risks of the food imported.

“(2) The known safety risks of the countries or regions of origin and countries through which such article of food is transported.

“(3) The compliance history of the importer, including with regard to food recalls, outbreaks of foodborne illness, and violations of food safety standards.

“(4) The rigor and effectiveness of the activities conducted by the importer of such article of food to satisfy the requirements of the foreign supplier verification program under section 805.

“(5) Whether the food importer participates in the voluntary qualified importer program under section 806.

“(6) Whether the food meets the criteria for priority under section 801(h)(1).

“(7) Whether the food or the facility that manufactured, processed, packed, or held such food received a certification as described in section 801(q) or 806.

“(8) Any other criteria deemed necessary and appropriate by the Secretary for purposes of allocating inspection resources.

“(c) INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS WITH RESPECT TO SEAFOOD.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Health and Human Services, the Secretary of Commerce, the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Chairman of the Federal Trade Commission, and the heads of other appropriate agencies may enter into such agreements as may be necessary or appropriate to improve seafood safety.

“(2) SCOPE OF AGREEMENTS.—The agreements under paragraph (1) may include—

“(A) cooperative arrangements for examining and testing seafood imports that leverage the resources, capabilities, and authorities of each party to the agreement;

“(B) coordination of inspections of foreign facilities to increase the percentage of imported seafood and seafood facilities inspected;

“(C) standardization of data on seafood names, inspection records, and laboratory testing to improve interagency coordination;

“(D) coordination to detect and investigate violations under applicable Federal law;

“(E) a process, including the use or modification of existing processes, by which officers and employees of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration may be duly designated by the Secretary to carry out seafood examinations and investigations under section 801 of this Act or section 203 of the Food Allergen Labeling and Consumer Protection Act of 2004;

“(F) the sharing of information concerning observed noncompliance with United States food requirements domestically and in foreign nations and new regulatory decisions and policies that may affect the safety of food imported into the United States;

“(G) conducting joint training on subjects that affect and strengthen seafood inspection effectiveness by Federal authorities; and

“(H) outreach on Federal efforts to enhance seafood safety and compliance with Federal food safety requirements.

“(d) COORDINATION.—The Secretary shall improve coordination and cooperation with the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of Homeland Security to target food inspection resources.

“(e) FACILITY.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘facility’ means a domestic facility or a foreign facility that is required to register under section 415.”

(b) ANNUAL REPORT.—Section 1003 (21 U.S.C. 393) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(h) ANNUAL REPORT REGARDING FOOD.—Not later than February 1 of each year, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report, including efforts to coordinate and cooperate with other Federal agencies with responsibilities for food inspections, regarding—

“(1) information about food facilities including—

“(A) the appropriations used to inspect facilities registered pursuant to section 415 in the previous fiscal year;

“(B) the average cost of both a non-high-risk food facility inspection and a high-risk food facility inspection, if such a difference exists, in the previous fiscal year;

“(C) the number of domestic facilities and the number of foreign facilities registered pursuant to section 415 that the Secretary inspected in the previous fiscal year;

“(D) the number of domestic facilities and the number of foreign facilities registered pursuant to section 415 that were scheduled for inspection in the previous fiscal year and which the Secretary did not inspect in such year;

“(E) the number of high-risk facilities identified pursuant to section 421 that the Secretary inspected in the previous fiscal year; and

“(F) the number of high-risk facilities identified pursuant to section 421 that were scheduled for inspection in the previous fiscal year and which the Secretary did not inspect in such year.

“(2) information about food imports including—

“(A) the number of lines of food imported into the United States that the Secretary physically inspected or sampled in the previous fiscal year;

“(B) the number of lines of food imported into the United States that the Secretary did not physically inspect or sample in the previous fiscal year; and

“(C) the average cost of physically inspecting or sampling a line of food subject to this Act that is imported or offered for import into the United States; and

“(3) information on the foreign offices of the Food and Drug Administration including—

“(A) the number of foreign offices established; and

“(B) the number of personnel permanently stationed in each foreign office.

“(i) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY OF ANNUAL FOOD REPORTS.—The Secretary shall make the reports required under subsection (h) available to the public on the Internet Web site of the Food and Drug Administration.”

(c) ADVISORY COMMITTEE CONSULTATION.—In allocating inspection resources as described in section 421 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (as added by subsection (a)), the Secretary may, as appropriate, consult with any relevant advisory committee within the Department of Health and Human Services.

SEC. 6202. LABORATORY ACCREDITATION FOR ANALYSES OF FOODS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter IV (21 U.S.C. 341 et seq.), as amended by section 6201, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 422. LABORATORY ACCREDITATION FOR ANALYSES OF FOODS.

“(a) RECOGNITION OF LABORATORY ACCREDITATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary shall—

“(A) establish a program for the testing of food by accredited laboratories;

“(B) establish a publicly available registry of accreditation bodies recognized by the Secretary and laboratories accredited by a recognized accreditation body, including the name of, contact information for, and other information deemed appropriate by the Secretary about such bodies and laboratories; and

“(C) require, as a condition of recognition or accreditation, as appropriate, that recognized accreditation bodies and accredited laboratories report to the Secretary any changes that would affect the recognition of such accreditation body or the accreditation of such laboratory.

“(2) PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS.—The program established under paragraph (1)(A) shall provide for the recognition of laboratory accreditation bodies that meet criteria established by the Secretary for accreditation of laboratories, including independent private laboratories and laboratories run and operated by a Federal agency (including the Department of Commerce), State, or locality with a demonstrated capability to conduct 1 or more sampling and analytical testing methodologies for food.

“(3) INCREASING THE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED LABORATORIES.—The Secretary shall work with the laboratory accreditation bodies recognized under paragraph (1), as appropriate, to increase the number of qualified laboratories that are eligible to perform testing under subsection (b) beyond the number so qualified on the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act.

“(4) LIMITED DISTRIBUTION.—In the interest of national security, the Secretary, in coordination with the Secretary of Homeland Security, may determine the time, manner, and form in which the registry established under paragraph (1)(B) is made publicly available.

“(5) FOREIGN LABORATORIES.—Accreditation bodies recognized by the Secretary under paragraph (1) may accredit laboratories that operate outside the United States, so long as such laboratories meet the accreditation standards applicable to domestic laboratories accredited under this section.

“(6) MODEL LABORATORY STANDARDS.—The Secretary shall develop model standards that

a laboratory shall meet to be accredited by a recognized accreditation body for a specified sampling or analytical testing methodology and included in the registry provided for under paragraph (1). In developing the model standards, the Secretary shall consult existing standards for guidance. The model standards shall include—

“(A) methods to ensure that—

“(i) appropriate sampling, analytical procedures (including rapid analytical procedures), and commercially available techniques are followed and reports of analyses are certified as true and accurate;

“(ii) internal quality systems are established and maintained;

“(iii) procedures exist to evaluate and respond promptly to complaints regarding analyses and other activities for which the laboratory is accredited; and

“(iv) individuals who conduct the sampling and analyses are qualified by training and experience to do so; and

“(B) any other criteria determined appropriate by the Secretary.

“(7) REVIEW OF RECOGNITION.—To ensure compliance with the requirements of this section, the Secretary—

“(A) shall periodically, and in no case less than once every 5 years, reevaluate accreditation bodies recognized under paragraph (1) and may accompany auditors from an accreditation body to assess whether the accreditation body meets the criteria for recognition; and

“(B) shall promptly revoke the recognition of any accreditation body found not to be in compliance with the requirements of this section, specifying, as appropriate, any terms and conditions necessary for laboratories accredited by such body to continue to perform testing as described in this section.

“(b) TESTING PROCEDURES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 months after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, food testing shall be conducted by Federal laboratories or non-Federal laboratories that have been accredited for the appropriate sampling or analytical testing methodology or methodologies by a recognized accreditation body on the registry established by the Secretary under subsection (a)(1)(B) whenever such testing is conducted—

“(A) by or on behalf of an owner or consignee—

“(i) in response to a specific testing requirement under this Act or implementing regulations, when applied to address an identified or suspected food safety problem; and

“(ii) as required by the Secretary, as the Secretary deems appropriate, to address an identified or suspected food safety problem; or

“(B) on behalf of an owner or consignee—

“(i) in support of admission of an article of food under section 801(a); and

“(ii) under an Import Alert that requires successful consecutive tests.

“(2) RESULTS OF TESTING.—The results of any such testing shall be sent directly to the Food and Drug Administration, except the Secretary may by regulation exempt test results from such submission requirement if the Secretary determines that such results do not contribute to the protection of public health. Test results required to be submitted may be submitted to the Food and Drug Administration through electronic means.

“(3) EXCEPTION.—The Secretary may waive requirements under this subsection if—

“(A) a new methodology or methodologies have been developed and validated but a laboratory has not yet been accredited to per-

form such methodology or methodologies; and

“(B) the use of such methodology or methodologies are necessary to prevent, control, or mitigate a food emergency or foodborne illness outbreak.

“(c) REVIEW BY SECRETARY.—If food sampling and testing performed by a laboratory run and operated by a State or locality that is accredited by a recognized accreditation body on the registry established by the Secretary under subsection (a) result in a State recalling a food, the Secretary shall review the sampling and testing results for the purpose of determining the need for a national recall or other compliance and enforcement activities.

“(d) NO LIMIT ON SECRETARIAL AUTHORITY.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the ability of the Secretary to review and act upon information from food testing, including determining the sufficiency of such information and testing.”

(b) FOOD EMERGENCY RESPONSE NETWORK.—The Secretary, in coordination with the Secretary of Agriculture, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and State, local, and tribal governments shall, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, and biennially thereafter, submit to the relevant committees of Congress, and make publicly available on the Internet Web site of the Department of Health and Human Services, a report on the progress in implementing a national food emergency response laboratory network that—

(1) provides ongoing surveillance, rapid detection, and surge capacity for large-scale food-related emergencies, including intentional adulteration of the food supply;

(2) coordinates the food laboratory capacities of State, local, and tribal food laboratories, including the adoption of novel surveillance and identification technologies and the sharing of data among Federal agencies and State laboratories to develop national situational awareness;

(3) provides accessible, timely, accurate, and consistent food laboratory services throughout the United States;

(4) develops and implements a methods repository for use by Federal, State, and local officials;

(5) responds to food-related emergencies; and

(6) is integrated with relevant laboratory networks administered by other Federal agencies.

SEC. 6203. INTEGRATED CONSORTIUM OF LABORATORY NETWORKS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Homeland Security, in coordination with the Secretary of Health and Human Services, the Secretary of Agriculture, the Secretary of Commerce, and the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, shall maintain an agreement through which relevant laboratory network members, as determined by the Secretary of Homeland Security, shall—

(1) agree on common laboratory methods in order to reduce the time required to detect and respond to foodborne illness outbreaks and facilitate the sharing of knowledge and information relating to animal health, agriculture, and human health;

(2) identify means by which laboratory network members could work cooperatively—

(A) to optimize national laboratory preparedness; and

(B) to provide surge capacity during emergencies; and

(3) engage in ongoing dialogue and build relationships that will support a more effec-

tive and integrated response during emergencies.

(b) REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary of Homeland Security shall, on a biennial basis, submit to the relevant committees of Congress, and make publicly available on the Internet Web site of the Department of Homeland Security, a report on the progress of the integrated consortium of laboratory networks, as established under subsection (a), in carrying out this section.

SEC. 6204. ENHANCING TRACKING AND TRACING OF FOOD AND RECORDKEEPING.

(a) PILOT PROJECTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 270 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Health and Human Services (referred to in this section as the “Secretary”), taking into account recommendations from the Secretary of Agriculture and representatives of State departments of health and agriculture, shall establish pilot projects in coordination with the food industry to explore and evaluate methods to rapidly and effectively identify recipients of food to prevent or mitigate a foodborne illness outbreak and to address credible threats of serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals as a result of such food being adulterated under section 402 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 342) or misbranded under section 403(w) of such Act (21 U.S.C. 343(w)).

(2) CONTENT.—The Secretary shall conduct 1 or more pilot projects under paragraph (1) in coordination with the processed food sector and 1 or more such pilot projects in coordination with processors or distributors of fruits and vegetables that are raw agricultural commodities. The Secretary shall ensure that the pilot projects under paragraph (1) reflect the diversity of the food supply and include at least 3 different types of foods that have been the subject of significant outbreaks during the 5-year period preceding the date of enactment of this Act, and are selected in order to—

(A) develop and demonstrate methods for rapid and effective tracking and tracing of foods in a manner that is practicable for facilities of varying sizes, including small businesses;

(B) develop and demonstrate appropriate technologies, including technologies existing on the date of enactment of this Act, that enhance the tracking and tracing of food; and

(C) inform the promulgation of regulations under subsection (d).

(3) REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall report to Congress on the findings of the pilot projects under this subsection together with recommendations for improving the tracking and tracing of food.

(b) ADDITIONAL DATA GATHERING.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in coordination with the Secretary of Agriculture and multiple representatives of State departments of health and agriculture, shall assess—

(A) the costs and benefits associated with the adoption and use of several product tracing technologies, including technologies used in the pilot projects under subsection (a);

(B) the feasibility of such technologies for different sectors of the food industry, including small businesses; and

(C) whether such technologies are compatible with the requirements of this subsection.

(2) REQUIREMENTS.—To the extent practicable, in carrying out paragraph (1), the Secretary shall—

(A) evaluate domestic and international product tracing practices in commercial use;

(B) consider international efforts, including an assessment of whether product tracing requirements developed under this section are compatible with global tracing systems, as appropriate; and

(C) consult with a diverse and broad range of experts and stakeholders, including representatives of the food industry, agricultural producers, and nongovernmental organizations that represent the interests of consumers.

(c) **PRODUCT TRACING SYSTEM.**—The Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, shall, as appropriate, establish within the Food and Drug Administration a product tracing system to receive information that improves the capacity of the Secretary to effectively and rapidly track and trace food that is in the United States or offered for import into the United States. Prior to the establishment of such product tracing system, the Secretary shall examine the results of applicable pilot projects and shall ensure that the activities of such system are adequately supported by the results of such pilot projects.

(d) **ADDITIONAL RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS FOR HIGH-RISK FOODS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In order to rapidly and effectively identify recipients of a food to prevent or mitigate a foodborne illness outbreak and to address credible threats of serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals as a result of such food being adulterated under section 402 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act or misbranded under section 403(w) of such Act, not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall publish a notice of proposed rulemaking to establish recordkeeping requirements, in addition to the requirements under section 414 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 350c) and subpart J of part 1 of title 21, Code of Federal Regulations (or any successor regulations), for facilities that manufacture, process, pack, or hold foods that the Secretary designates under paragraph (2) as high-risk foods. The Secretary shall set an appropriate effective date of such additional requirements for foods designated as high risk that takes into account the length of time necessary to comply with such requirements. Such requirements shall—

(A) relate only to information that is reasonably available and appropriate;

(B) be science-based;

(C) not prescribe specific technologies for the maintenance of records;

(D) ensure that the public health benefits of imposing additional recordkeeping requirements outweigh the cost of compliance with such requirements;

(E) be scale-appropriate and practicable for facilities of varying sizes and capabilities with respect to costs and recordkeeping burdens, and not require the creation and maintenance of duplicate records where the information is contained in other company records kept in the normal course of business;

(F) minimize the number of different recordkeeping requirements for facilities that handle more than 1 type of food;

(G) to the extent practicable, not require a facility to change business systems to comply with such requirements;

(H) allow any person subject to this subsection to maintain records required under this subsection at a central or reasonably accessible location provided that such records can be made available to the Secretary not

later than 24 hours after the Secretary requests such records;

(I) include a process by which the Secretary may issue a waiver of the requirements under this subsection if the Secretary determines that such requirements would result in an economic hardship for an individual facility or a type of facility;

(J) be commensurate with the known safety risks of the designated food;

(K) take into account international trade obligations;

(L) not require—

(i) a full pedigree, or a record of the complete previous distribution history of the food from the point of origin of such food;

(ii) records of recipients of a food beyond the immediate subsequent recipient of such food; or

(iii) product tracking to the case level by persons subject to such requirements; and

(M) include a process by which the Secretary may remove a high-risk food designation developed under paragraph (2) for a food or type of food.

(2) **DESIGNATION OF HIGH-RISK FOODS.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, and thereafter as the Secretary determines necessary, the Secretary shall designate high-risk foods for which the additional recordkeeping requirements described in paragraph (1) are appropriate and necessary to protect the public health. Each such designation shall be based on—

(i) the known safety risks of a particular food, including the history and severity of foodborne illness outbreaks attributed to such food, taking into consideration foodborne illness data collected by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention;

(ii) the likelihood that a particular food has a high potential risk for microbiological or chemical contamination or would support the growth of pathogenic microorganisms due to the nature of the food or the processes used to produce such food;

(iii) the point in the manufacturing process of the food where contamination is most likely to occur;

(iv) the likelihood of contamination and steps taken during the manufacturing process to reduce the possibility of contamination;

(v) the likelihood that consuming a particular food will result in a foodborne illness due to contamination of the food; and

(vi) the likely or known severity, including health and economic impacts, of a foodborne illness attributed to a particular food.

(B) **LIST OF HIGH-RISK FOODS.**—At the time the Secretary promulgates the final rules under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall publish the list of the foods designated under subparagraph (A) as high-risk foods on the Internet website of the Food and Drug Administration. The Secretary may update the list to designate new high-risk foods and to remove foods that are no longer deemed to be high-risk foods, provided that each such update to the list is consistent with the requirements of this subsection and notice of such update is published in the Federal Register.

(3) **PROTECTION OF SENSITIVE INFORMATION.**—In promulgating regulations under this subsection, the Secretary shall take appropriate measures to ensure that there are effective procedures to prevent the unauthorized disclosure of any trade secret or confidential information that is obtained by the Secretary pursuant to this section, including periodic risk assessment and planning to prevent unauthorized release and controls to—

(A) prevent unauthorized reproduction of trade secret or confidential information;

(B) prevent unauthorized access to trade secret or confidential information; and

(C) maintain records with respect to access by any person to trade secret or confidential information maintained by the agency.

(4) **PUBLIC INPUT.**—During the comment period in the notice of proposed rulemaking under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall conduct not less than 3 public meetings in diverse geographical areas of the United States to provide persons in different regions an opportunity to comment.

(5) **RETENTION OF RECORDS.**—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the Secretary may require that a facility retain records under this subsection for not more than 2 years, taking into consideration the risk of spoilage, loss of value, or loss of palatability of the applicable food when determining the appropriate timeframes.

(6) **LIMITATIONS.**—

(A) **FARM-TO-SCHOOL PROGRAMS.**—In establishing requirements under this subsection, the Secretary shall, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, consider the impact of requirements on farm-to-school or farm-to-institution programs of the Department of Agriculture and other farm-to-school and farm-to-institution programs outside such agency, and shall modify the requirements under this subsection, as appropriate, with respect to such programs so that the requirements do not place undue burdens on farm-to-school or farm-to-institution programs.

(B) **IDENTITY-PRESERVED LABELS WITH RESPECT TO FARM SALES OF FOOD THAT IS PRODUCED AND PACKAGED ON A FARM.**—The requirements under this subsection shall not apply to a food that is produced and packaged on a farm if—

(i) the packaging of the food maintains the integrity of the product and prevents subsequent contamination or alteration of the product; and

(ii) the labeling of the food includes the name, complete address (street address, town, State, country, and zip or other postal code), and business phone number of the farm, unless the Secretary waives the requirement to include a business phone number of the farm, as appropriate, in order to accommodate a religious belief of the individual in charge of such farm.

(C) **FISHING VESSELS.**—The requirements under this subsection with respect to a food that is produced through the use of a fishing vessel (as defined in section 3(18) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1802(18))) shall be limited to the requirements under subparagraph (F) until such time as the food is sold by the owner, operator, or agent in charge of such fishing vessel.

(D) **COMMINGLED RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES.**—

(i) **LIMITATION ON EXTENT OF TRACING.**—Recordkeeping requirements under this subsection with regard to any commingled raw agricultural commodity shall be limited to the requirements under subparagraph (F).

(ii) **DEFINITIONS.**—For the purposes of this subparagraph—

(I) the term “commingled raw agricultural commodity” means any commodity that is combined or mixed after harvesting, but before processing;

(II) the term “commingled raw agricultural commodity” shall not include types of fruits and vegetables that are raw agricultural commodities for which the Secretary has determined that standards promulgated

under section 419 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (as added by section 6105) would minimize the risk of serious adverse health consequences or death; and

(III) the term “processing” means operations that alter the general state of the commodity, such as canning, cooking, freezing, dehydration, milling, grinding, pasteurization, or homogenization.

(E) EXEMPTION OF OTHER FOODS.—The Secretary may, by notice in the Federal Register, modify the requirements under this subsection with respect to, or exempt a food or a type of facility from, the requirements of this subsection (other than the requirements under subparagraph (F), if applicable) if the Secretary determines that product tracing requirements for such food (such as bulk or commingled ingredients that are intended to be processed to destroy pathogens) or type of facility is not necessary to protect the public health.

(F) RECORDKEEPING REGARDING PREVIOUS SOURCES AND SUBSEQUENT RECIPIENTS.—In the case of a person or food to which a limitation or exemption under subparagraph (C), (D), or (E) applies, if such person, or a person who manufactures, processes, packs, or holds such food, is required to register with the Secretary under section 415 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 350d) with respect to the manufacturing, processing, packing, or holding of the applicable food, the Secretary shall require such person to maintain records that identify the immediate previous source of such food and the immediate subsequent recipient of such food.

(G) GROCERY STORES.—With respect to a sale of a food described in subparagraph (H) to a grocery store, the Secretary shall not require such grocery store to maintain records under this subsection other than records documenting the farm that was the source of such food. The Secretary shall not require that such records be kept for more than 180 days.

(H) FARM SALES TO CONSUMERS.—The Secretary shall not require a farm to maintain any distribution records under this subsection with respect to a sale of a food described in subparagraph (I) (including a sale of a food that is produced and packaged on such farm), if such sale is made by the farm directly to a consumer.

(I) SALE OF A FOOD.—A sale of a food described in this subparagraph is a sale of a food in which—

(i) the food is produced on a farm; and

(ii) the sale is made by the owner, operator, or agent in charge of such farm directly to a consumer or grocery store.

(J) NO IMPACT ON NON-HIGH-RISK FOODS.—The recordkeeping requirements established under paragraph (1) shall have no effect on foods that are not designated by the Secretary under paragraph (2) as high-risk foods. Foods described in the preceding sentence shall be subject solely to the recordkeeping requirements under section 414 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 350c) and subpart J of part 1 of title 21, Code of Federal Regulations (or any successor regulations).

(k) EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.—

(1) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the effective date of the final rule promulgated under subsection (d)(1), the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report, taking into consideration the costs of compliance and other regulatory burdens on small businesses and Federal, State, and local food safety practices and requirements, that evaluates the

public health benefits and risks, if any, of limiting—

(A) the product tracing requirements under subsection (d) to foods identified under paragraph (2) of such subsection, including whether such requirements provide adequate assurance of traceability in the event of intentional adulteration, including by acts of terrorism; and

(B) the participation of restaurants in the recordkeeping requirements.

(2) DETERMINATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.—In conducting the evaluation and report under paragraph (1), if the Comptroller General of the United States determines that the limitations described in such paragraph do not adequately protect the public health, the Comptroller General shall submit to Congress recommendations, if appropriate, regarding recordkeeping requirements for restaurants and additional foods, in order to protect the public health.

(f) FARMS.—

(1) REQUEST FOR INFORMATION.—Notwithstanding subsection (d), during an active investigation of a foodborne illness outbreak, or if the Secretary determines it is necessary to protect the public health and prevent or mitigate a foodborne illness outbreak, the Secretary, in consultation and coordination with State and local agencies responsible for food safety, as appropriate, may request that the owner, operator, or agent of a farm identify potential immediate recipients, other than consumers, of an article of the food that is the subject of such investigation if the Secretary reasonably believes such article of food—

(A) is adulterated under section 402 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act;

(B) presents a threat of serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals; and

(C) was adulterated as described in subparagraph (A) on a particular farm (as defined in section 1.227 of chapter 21, Code of Federal Regulations (or any successor regulation)).

(2) MANNER OF REQUEST.—In making a request under paragraph (1), the Secretary, in consultation and coordination with State and local agencies responsible for food safety, as appropriate, shall issue a written notice to the owner, operator, or agent of the farm to which the article of food has been traced. The individual providing such notice shall present to such owner, operator, or agent appropriate credentials and shall deliver such notice at reasonable times and within reasonable limits and in a reasonable manner.

(3) DELIVERY OF INFORMATION REQUESTED.—The owner, operator, or agent of a farm shall deliver the information requested under paragraph (1) in a prompt and reasonable manner. Such information may consist of records kept in the normal course of business, and may be in electronic or nonelectronic format.

(4) LIMITATION.—A request made under paragraph (1) shall not include a request for information relating to the finances, pricing of commodities produced, personnel, research, sales (other than information relating to shipping), or other disclosures that may reveal trade secrets or confidential information from the farm to which the article of food has been traced, other than information necessary to identify potential immediate recipients of such food. Section 301(j) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the Freedom of Information Act shall apply with respect to any confidential commercial information that is disclosed to the

Food and Drug Administration in the course of responding to a request under paragraph (1).

(5) RECORDS.—Except with respect to identifying potential immediate recipients in response to a request under this subsection, nothing in this subsection shall require the establishment or maintenance by farms of new records.

(g) NO LIMITATION ON COMMINGLING OF FOOD.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to authorize the Secretary to impose any limitation on the commingling of food.

(h) SMALL ENTITY COMPLIANCE GUIDE.—Not later than 180 days after promulgation of a final rule under subsection (d), the Secretary shall issue a small entity compliance guide setting forth in plain language the requirements of the regulations under such subsection in order to assist small entities, including farms and small businesses, in complying with the recordkeeping requirements under such subsection.

(i) FLEXIBILITY FOR SMALL BUSINESSES.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the regulations promulgated under subsection (d) shall apply—

(1) to small businesses (as defined by the Secretary in section 6103, not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act) beginning on the date that is 1 year after the effective date of the final regulations promulgated under subsection (d); and

(2) to very small businesses (as defined by the Secretary in section 6103, not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act) beginning on the date that is 2 years after the effective date of the final regulations promulgated under subsection (d).

(j) ENFORCEMENT.—

(1) PROHIBITED ACTS.—Section 301(e) (21 U.S.C. 331(e)) is amended by inserting “; or the violation of any recordkeeping requirement under section 6204 of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act (except when such violation is committed by a farm)” before the period at the end.

(2) IMPORTS.—Section 801(a) (21 U.S.C. 381(a)) is amended by inserting “or (4) the recordkeeping requirements under section 6204 of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act (other than the requirements under subsection (f) of such section) have not been complied with regarding such article,” in the third sentence before “then such article shall be refused admission”.

SEC. 6205. SURVEILLANCE.

(a) DEFINITION OF FOODBORNE ILLNESS OUTBREAK.—In this Act, the term “foodborne illness outbreak” means the occurrence of 2 or more cases of a similar illness resulting from the ingestion of a certain food.

(b) FOODBORNE ILLNESS SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, acting through the Director of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, shall enhance foodborne illness surveillance systems to improve the collection, analysis, reporting, and usefulness of data on foodborne illnesses by—

(A) coordinating Federal, State, and local foodborne illness surveillance systems, including complaint systems, and increasing participation in national networks of public health and food regulatory agencies and laboratories;

(B) facilitating sharing of surveillance information on a more timely basis among governmental agencies, including the Food and Drug Administration, the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Homeland Security, and State and local agencies, and with the public;

(C) developing improved epidemiological tools for obtaining quality exposure data and

microbiological methods for classifying cases;

(D) augmenting such systems to improve attribution of a foodborne illness outbreak to a specific food;

(E) expanding capacity of such systems, including working toward automatic electronic searches, for implementation of identification practices, including fingerprinting strategies, for foodborne infectious agents, in order to identify new or rarely documented causes of foodborne illness and submit standardized information to a centralized database;

(F) allowing timely public access to aggregated, de-identified surveillance data;

(G) at least annually, publishing current reports on findings from such systems;

(H) establishing a flexible mechanism for rapidly initiating scientific research by academic institutions;

(I) integrating foodborne illness surveillance systems and data with other biosurveillance and public health situational awareness capabilities at the Federal, State, and local levels, including by sharing foodborne illness surveillance data with the National Biosurveillance Integration Center; and

(J) other activities as determined appropriate by the Secretary.

(2) **WORKING GROUP.**—The Secretary shall support and maintain a diverse working group of experts and stakeholders from Federal, State, and local food safety and health agencies, the food and food testing industries, consumer organizations, and academia. Such working group shall provide the Secretary, through at least annual meetings of the working group and an annual public report, advice and recommendations on an ongoing and regular basis regarding the improvement of foodborne illness surveillance and implementation of this section, including advice and recommendations on—

(A) the priority needs of regulatory agencies, the food industry, and consumers for information and analysis on foodborne illness and its causes;

(B) opportunities to improve the effectiveness of initiatives at the Federal, State, and local levels, including coordination and integration of activities among Federal agencies, and among the Federal, State, and local levels of government;

(C) improvement in the timeliness and depth of access by regulatory and health agencies, the food industry, academic researchers, and consumers to foodborne illness aggregated, de-identified surveillance data collected by government agencies at all levels, including data compiled by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention;

(D) key barriers at Federal, State, and local levels to improving foodborne illness surveillance and the utility of such surveillance for preventing foodborne illness;

(E) the capabilities needed for establishing automatic electronic searches of surveillance data; and

(F) specific actions to reduce barriers to improvement, implement the working group's recommendations, and achieve the purposes of this section, with measurable objectives and timelines, and identification of resource and staffing needs.

(3) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—To carry out the activities described in paragraph (1), there is authorized to be appropriated \$24,000,000 for each fiscal years 2011 through 2015.

(C) **IMPROVING FOOD SAFETY AND DEFENSE CAPACITY AT THE STATE AND LOCAL LEVEL.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall develop and implement strategies to leverage

and enhance the food safety and defense capacities of State and local agencies in order to achieve the following goals:

(A) Improve foodborne illness outbreak response and containment.

(B) Accelerate foodborne illness surveillance and outbreak investigation, including rapid shipment of clinical isolates from clinical laboratories to appropriate State laboratories, and conducting more standardized illness outbreak interviews.

(C) Strengthen the capacity of State and local agencies to carry out inspections and enforce safety standards.

(D) Improve the effectiveness of Federal, State, and local partnerships to coordinate food safety and defense resources and reduce the incidence of foodborne illness.

(E) Share information on a timely basis among public health and food regulatory agencies, with the food industry, with health care providers, and with the public.

(F) Strengthen the capacity of State and local agencies to achieve the goals described in section 6108.

(2) **REVIEW.**—In developing of the strategies required by paragraph (1), the Secretary shall, not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, complete a review of State and local capacities, and needs for enhancement, which may include a survey with respect to—

(A) staffing levels and expertise available to perform food safety and defense functions;

(B) laboratory capacity to support surveillance, outbreak response, inspection, and enforcement activities;

(C) information systems to support data management and sharing of food safety and defense information among State and local agencies and with counterparts at the Federal level; and

(D) other State and local activities and needs as determined appropriate by the Secretary.

(d) **FOOD SAFETY CAPACITY BUILDING GRANTS.**—Section 317R(b) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 247b–20(b)) is amended—

(1) by striking “2002” and inserting “2010”; and

(2) by striking “2003 through 2006” and inserting “2011 through 2015”.

SEC. 6206. MANDATORY RECALL AUTHORITY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter IV (21 U.S.C. 341 et seq.), as amended by section 6202, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 423. MANDATORY RECALL AUTHORITY.

“(a) **VOLUNTARY PROCEDURES.**—If the Secretary determines, based on information gathered through the reportable food registry under section 417 or through any other means, that there is a reasonable probability that an article of food (other than infant formula) is adulterated under section 402 or misbranded under section 403(w) and the use of or exposure to such article will cause serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals, the Secretary shall provide the responsible party (as defined in section 417) with an opportunity to cease distribution and recall such article.

“(b) **PREHEARING ORDER TO CEASE DISTRIBUTION AND GIVE NOTICE.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—If the responsible party refuses to or does not voluntarily cease distribution or recall such article within the time and in the manner prescribed by the Secretary (if so prescribed), the Secretary may, by order require, as the Secretary deems necessary, such person to—

“(A) immediately cease distribution of such article; and

“(B) as applicable, immediately notify all persons—

“(i) manufacturing, processing, packing, transporting, distributing, receiving, holding, or importing and selling such article; and

“(ii) to which such article has been distributed, transported, or sold, to immediately cease distribution of such article.

“(2) **REQUIRED ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—If an article of food covered by a recall order issued under paragraph (1)(B) has been distributed to a warehouse-based third-party logistics provider without providing such provider sufficient information to know or reasonably determine the precise identity of the article of food covered by a recall order that is in its possession, the notice provided by the responsible party subject to the order issued under paragraph (1)(B) shall include such information as is necessary for the warehouse-based third-party logistics provider to identify the food.

“(B) **RULES OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed—

“(i) to exempt a warehouse-based third-party logistics provider from the requirements of this Act, including the requirements in this section and section 414; or

“(ii) to exempt a warehouse-based third party logistics provider from being the subject of a mandatory recall order.

“(3) **DETERMINATION TO LIMIT AREAS AFFECTED.**—If the Secretary requires a responsible party to cease distribution under paragraph (1)(A) of an article of food identified in subsection (a), the Secretary may limit the size of the geographic area and the markets affected by such cessation if such limitation would not compromise the public health.

“(c) **HEARING ON ORDER.**—The Secretary shall provide the responsible party subject to an order under subsection (b) with an opportunity for an informal hearing, to be held as soon as possible, but not later than 2 days after the issuance of the order, on the actions required by the order and on why the article that is the subject of the order should not be recalled.

“(d) **POST-HEARING RECALL ORDER AND MODIFICATION OF ORDER.**—

“(1) **AMENDMENT OF ORDER.**—If, after providing opportunity for an informal hearing under subsection (c), the Secretary determines that removal of the article from commerce is necessary, the Secretary shall, as appropriate—

“(A) amend the order to require recall of such article or other appropriate action;

“(B) specify a timetable in which the recall shall occur;

“(C) require periodic reports to the Secretary describing the progress of the recall; and

“(D) provide notice to consumers to whom such article was, or may have been, distributed.

“(2) **VACATING OF ORDER.**—If, after such hearing, the Secretary determines that adequate grounds do not exist to continue the actions required by the order, or that such actions should be modified, the Secretary shall vacate the order or modify the order.

“(e) **RULE REGARDING ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES.**—The Secretary shall not initiate a mandatory recall or take any other action under this section with respect to any alcohol beverage until the Secretary has provided the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau with a reasonable opportunity to cease distribution and recall such article under the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau authority.

“(f) **COOPERATION AND CONSULTATION.**—The Secretary shall work with State and local

public health officials in carrying out this section, as appropriate.

“(g) PUBLIC NOTIFICATION.—In conducting a recall under this section, the Secretary shall—

“(1) ensure that a press release is published regarding the recall, as well as alerts and public notices, as appropriate, in order to provide notification—

“(A) of the recall to consumers and retailers to whom such article was, or may have been, distributed; and

“(B) that includes, at a minimum—

“(i) the name of the article of food subject to the recall;

“(ii) a description of the risk associated with such article; and

“(iii) to the extent practicable, information for consumers about similar articles of food that are not affected by the recall;

“(2) consult the policies of the Department of Agriculture regarding providing to the public a list of retail consignees receiving products involved in a Class I recall and shall consider providing such a list to the public, as determined appropriate by the Secretary; and

“(3) if available, publish on the Internet Web site of the Food and Drug Administration an image of the article that is the subject of the press release described in paragraph (1).

“(h) NO DELEGATION.—The authority conferred by this section to order a recall or vacate a recall order shall not be delegated to any officer or employee other than the Commissioner.

“(i) EFFECT.—Nothing in this section shall affect the authority of the Secretary to request or participate in a voluntary recall, or to issue an order to cease distribution or to recall under any other provision of this Act or under the Public Health Service Act.

“(j) COORDINATED COMMUNICATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—To assist in carrying out the requirements of this subsection, the Secretary shall establish an incident command operation or a similar operation within the Department of Health and Human Services that will operate not later than 24 hours after the initiation of a mandatory recall or the recall of an article of food for which the use of, or exposure to, such article will cause serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals.

“(2) REQUIREMENTS.—To reduce the potential for miscommunication during recalls or regarding investigations of a foodborne illness outbreak associated with a food that is subject to a recall, each incident command operation or similar operation under paragraph (1) shall use regular staff and resources of the Department of Health and Human Services to—

“(A) ensure timely and coordinated communication within the Department, including enhanced communication and coordination between different agencies and organizations within the Department;

“(B) ensure timely and coordinated communication from the Department, including public statements, throughout the duration of the investigation and related foodborne illness outbreak;

“(C) identify a single point of contact within the Department for public inquiries regarding any actions by the Secretary related to a recall;

“(D) coordinate with Federal, State, local, and tribal authorities, as appropriate, that have responsibilities related to the recall of a food or a foodborne illness outbreak associated with a food that is subject to the recall, including notification of the Secretary of

Agriculture and the Secretary of Education in the event such recalled food is a commodity intended for use in a child nutrition program (as identified in section 25(b) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1769f(b)); and

“(E) conclude operations at such time as the Secretary determines appropriate.

“(3) MULTIPLE RECALLS.—The Secretary may establish multiple or concurrent incident command operations or similar operations in the event of multiple recalls or foodborne illness outbreaks necessitating such action by the Department of Health and Human Services.”.

(b) SEARCH ENGINE.—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall modify the Internet Web site of the Food and Drug Administration to include a search engine that—

(1) is consumer-friendly, as determined by the Secretary; and

(2) provides a means by which an individual may locate relevant information regarding each article of food subject to a recall under section 423 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the status of such recall (such as whether a recall is ongoing or has been completed).

(c) CIVIL PENALTY.—Section 303(f)(2)(A) (21 U.S.C. 333(f)(2)(A)) is amended by inserting “or any person who does not comply with a recall order under section 423” after “section 402(a)(2)(B)”.

(d) PROHIBITED ACTS.—Section 301 (21 U.S.C. 331 et seq.), as amended by section 6106, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(xx) The refusal or failure to follow an order under section 423.”.

(e) GAO REVIEW.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report that—

(A) identifies State and local agencies with the authority to require the mandatory recall of food, and evaluates use of such authority with regard to frequency, effectiveness, and appropriateness, including consideration of any new or existing mechanisms available to compensate persons for general and specific recall-related costs when a recall is subsequently determined by the relevant authority to have been an error;

(B) identifies Federal agencies, other than the Department of Health and Human Services, with mandatory recall authority and examines use of that authority with regard to frequency, effectiveness, and appropriateness, including any new or existing mechanisms available to compensate persons for general and specific recall-related costs when a recall is subsequently determined by the relevant agency to have been an error;

(C) considers models for farmer restitution implemented in other nations in cases of erroneous recalls; and

(D) makes recommendations to the Secretary regarding use of the authority under section 423 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (as added by this section) to protect the public health while seeking to minimize unnecessary economic costs.

(2) EFFECT OF REVIEW.—If the Comptroller General of the United States finds, after the review conducted under paragraph (1), that the mechanisms described in such paragraph do not exist or are inadequate, then, not later than 90 days after the conclusion of such review, the Secretary of Agriculture shall conduct a study of the feasibility of implementing a farmer indemnification program to provide restitution to agricultural

producers for losses sustained as a result of a mandatory recall of an agricultural commodity by a Federal or State regulatory agency that is subsequently determined to be in error. The Secretary of Agriculture shall submit to the Committee on Agriculture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate a report that describes the results of the study, including any recommendations.

(f) ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act and annually thereafter, the Secretary of Health and Human Services (referred to in this subsection as the “Secretary”) shall submit a report to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions of the Senate and the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives on the use of recall authority under section 423 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (as added by subsection (a)) and any public health advisories issued by the Secretary that advise against the consumption of an article of food on the ground that the article of food is adulterated and poses an imminent danger to health.

(2) CONTENT.—The report under paragraph (1) shall include, with respect to the report year—

(A) the identity of each article of food that was the subject of a public health advisory described in paragraph (1), an opportunity to cease distribution and recall under subsection (a) of section 423 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or a mandatory recall order under subsection (b) of such section;

(B) the number of responsible parties, as defined in section 417 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, formally given the opportunity to cease distribution of an article of food and recall such article, as described in section 423(a) of such Act;

(C) the number of responsible parties described in subparagraph (B) who did not cease distribution of or recall an article of food after given the opportunity to cease distribution or recall under section 423(a) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act;

(D) the number of recall orders issued under section 423(b) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act; and

(E) a description of any instances in which there was no testing that confirmed adulteration of an article of food that was the subject of a recall under section 423(b) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act or a public health advisory described in paragraph (1).

SEC. 6207. ADMINISTRATIVE DETENTION OF FOOD.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 304(h)(1)(A) (21 U.S.C. 334(h)(1)(A)) is amended by—

(1) striking “credible evidence or information indicating” and inserting “reason to believe”; and

(2) striking “presents a threat of serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals” and inserting “is adulterated or misbranded”.

(b) REGULATIONS.—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall issue an interim final rule amending subpart K of part 1 of title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, to implement the amendment made by this section.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall take effect 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 6208. DECONTAMINATION AND DISPOSAL STANDARDS AND PLANS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (referred to in this section as the “Administrator”), in coordination with the Secretary of Health and Human Services, Secretary of Homeland Security, and Secretary of Agriculture, shall provide support for, and technical assistance to, State, local, and tribal governments in preparing for, assessing, decontaminating, and recovering from an agriculture or food emergency.

(b) DEVELOPMENT OF STANDARDS.—In carrying out subsection (a), the Administrator, in coordination with the Secretary of Health and Human Services, Secretary of Homeland Security, Secretary of Agriculture, and State, local, and tribal governments, shall develop and disseminate specific standards and protocols to undertake clean-up, clearance, and recovery activities following the decontamination and disposal of specific threat agents and foreign animal diseases.

(c) DEVELOPMENT OF MODEL PLANS.—In carrying out subsection (a), the Administrator, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and the Secretary of Agriculture shall jointly develop and disseminate model plans for—

(1) the decontamination of individuals, equipment, and facilities following an intentional contamination of agriculture or food; and

(2) the disposal of large quantities of animals, plants, or food products that have been infected or contaminated by specific threat agents and foreign animal diseases.

(d) EXERCISES.—In carrying out subsection (a), the Administrator, in coordination with the entities described under subsection (b), shall conduct exercises at least annually to evaluate and identify weaknesses in the decontamination and disposal model plans described in subsection (c). Such exercises shall be carried out, to the maximum extent practicable, as part of the national exercise program under section 648(b)(1) of the Post-Katrina Emergency Management Reform Act of 2006 (6 U.S.C. 748(b)(1)).

(e) MODIFICATIONS.—Based on the exercises described in subsection (d), the Administrator, in coordination with the entities described in subsection (b), shall review and modify as necessary the plans described in subsection (c) not less frequently than biennially.

(f) PRIORITIZATION.—The Administrator, in coordination with the entities described in subsection (b), shall develop standards and plans under subsections (b) and (c) in an identified order of priority that takes into account—

(1) highest risk biological, chemical, and radiological threat agents;

(2) agents that could cause the greatest economic devastation to the agriculture and food system; and

(3) agents that are most difficult to clean or remediate.

SEC. 6209. IMPROVING THE TRAINING OF STATE, LOCAL, TERRITORIAL, AND TRIBAL FOOD SAFETY OFFICIALS.

(a) IMPROVING TRAINING.—Chapter X (21 U.S.C. 391 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 1012. IMPROVING THE TRAINING OF STATE, LOCAL, TERRITORIAL, AND TRIBAL FOOD SAFETY OFFICIALS.

“(a) TRAINING.—The Secretary shall set standards and administer training and education programs for the employees of State, local, territorial, and tribal food safety officials relating to the regulatory responsibilities and policies established by this Act, including programs for—

“(1) scientific training;

“(2) training to improve the skill of officers and employees authorized to conduct inspections under sections 702 and 704;

“(3) training to achieve advanced product or process specialization in such inspections;

“(4) training that addresses best practices;

“(5) training in administrative process and procedure and integrity issues;

“(6) training in appropriate sampling and laboratory analysis methodology; and

“(7) training in building enforcement actions following inspections, examinations, testing, and investigations.

“(b) PARTNERSHIPS WITH STATE AND LOCAL OFFICIALS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, pursuant to a contract or memorandum of understanding between the Secretary and the head of a State, local, territorial, or tribal department or agency, is authorized and encouraged to conduct examinations, testing, and investigations for the purposes of determining compliance with the food safety provisions of this Act through the officers and employees of such State, local, territorial, or tribal department or agency.

“(2) CONTENT.—A contract or memorandum described under paragraph (1) shall include provisions to ensure adequate training of such officers and employees to conduct such examinations, testing, and investigations. The contract or memorandum shall contain provisions regarding reimbursement. Such provisions may, at the sole discretion of the head of the other department or agency, require reimbursement, in whole or in part, from the Secretary for the examinations, testing, or investigations performed pursuant to this section by the officers or employees of the State, territorial, or tribal department or agency.

“(3) EFFECT.—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to limit the authority of the Secretary under section 702.

“(c) EXTENSION SERVICE.—The Secretary shall ensure coordination with the extension activities of the National Institute of Food and Agriculture of the Department of Agriculture in advising producers and small processors transitioning into new practices required as a result of the enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act and assisting regulated industry with compliance with such Act.

“(d) NATIONAL FOOD SAFETY TRAINING, EDUCATION, EXTENSION, OUTREACH, AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In order to improve food safety and reduce the incidence of foodborne illness, the Secretary shall, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, enter into one or more memoranda of understanding, or enter into other cooperative agreements, with the Secretary of Agriculture to establish a competitive grant program within the National Institute for Food and Agriculture to provide food safety training, education, extension, outreach, and technical assistance to—

“(A) owners and operators of farms;

“(B) small food processors; and

“(C) small fruit and vegetable merchant wholesalers.

“(2) IMPLEMENTATION.—The competitive grant program established under paragraph (1) shall be carried out in accordance with section 405 of the Agricultural Research, Extension, and Education Reform Act of 1998.

“(e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated such sums as may be necessary to carry out this section for fiscal years 2011 through 2015.”.

(b) NATIONAL FOOD SAFETY TRAINING, EDUCATION, EXTENSION, OUTREACH, AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.—Title IV of the Agricultural Research, Extension, and Education Reform Act of 1998 is amended by inserting after section 404 (7 U.S.C. 7624) the following:

“SEC. 405. NATIONAL FOOD SAFETY TRAINING, EDUCATION, EXTENSION, OUTREACH, AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall award grants under this section to carry out the competitive grant program established under section 1012(d) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, pursuant to any memoranda of understanding entered into under such section.

“(b) INTEGRATED APPROACH.—The grant program described under subsection (a) shall be carried out under this section in a manner that facilitates the integration of food safety standards and guidance with the variety of agricultural production systems, encompassing conventional, sustainable, organic, conservation, and environmental practices.

“(c) PRIORITY.—In awarding grants under this section, the Secretary shall give priority to projects that target small- and medium-sized farms, beginning farmers, socially disadvantaged farmers, small processors, or small fresh fruit and vegetable merchant wholesalers.

“(d) PROGRAM COORDINATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall coordinate implementation of the grant program under this section with the National Integrated Food Safety Initiative.

“(2) INTERACTION.—The Secretary shall—

“(A) in carrying out the grant program under this section, take into consideration applied research, education, and extension results obtained from the National Integrated Food Safety Initiative; and

“(B) in determining the applied research agenda for the National Integrated Food Safety Initiative, take into consideration the needs articulated by participants in projects funded by the program under this section.

“(e) GRANTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out this section, the Secretary shall make competitive grants to support training, education, extension, outreach, and technical assistance projects that will help improve public health by increasing the understanding and adoption of established food safety standards, guidance, and protocols.

“(2) ENCOURAGED FEATURES.—The Secretary shall encourage projects carried out using grant funds under this section to include co-management of food safety, conservation systems, and ecological health.

“(3) MAXIMUM TERM AND SIZE OF GRANT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—A grant under this section shall have a term that is not more than 3 years.

“(B) LIMITATION ON GRANT FUNDING.—The Secretary may not provide grant funding to an entity under this section after such entity has received 3 years of grant funding under this section.

“(f) GRANT ELIGIBILITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—To be eligible for a grant under this section, an entity shall be—

“(A) a State cooperative extension service;

“(B) a Federal, State, local, or tribal agency, a nonprofit community-based or non-governmental organization, or an organization representing owners and operators of farms, small food processors, or small fruit and vegetable merchant wholesalers that has a commitment to public health and expertise in administering programs that contribute to food safety;

“(C) an institution of higher education (as defined in section 101(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001(a))) or a foundation maintained by an institution of higher education;

“(D) a collaboration of 2 or more eligible entities described in this subsection; or

“(E) such other appropriate entity, as determined by the Secretary.

“(2) MULTISTATE PARTNERSHIPS.—Grants under this section may be made for projects involving more than 1 State.

“(g) REGIONAL BALANCE.—In making grants under this section, the Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, ensure—

“(1) geographic diversity; and

“(2) diversity of types of agricultural production.

“(h) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—The Secretary may use funds made available under this section to provide technical assistance to grant recipients to further the purposes of this section.

“(i) BEST PRACTICES AND MODEL PROGRAMS.—Based on evaluations of, and responses arising from, projects funded under this section, the Secretary may issue a set of recommended best practices and models for food safety training programs for agricultural producers, small food processors, and small fresh fruit and vegetable merchant wholesalers.

“(j) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—For the purposes of making grants under this section, there are authorized to be appropriated such sums as may be necessary for fiscal years 2011 through 2015.”

SEC. 6210. ENHANCING FOOD SAFETY.

(a) GRANTS TO ENHANCE FOOD SAFETY.—Section 1009 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 399) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 1009. GRANTS TO ENHANCE FOOD SAFETY.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to make grants to eligible entities to—

“(1) undertake examinations, inspections, investigations, and related food safety activities under section 702;

“(2) train to the standards of the Secretary for the examination, inspection, and investigation of food manufacturing, processing, packing, holding, distribution, and importation, including as such examination, inspection, and investigation relate to retail food establishments;

“(3) build the food safety capacity of the laboratories of such eligible entity, including the detection of zoonotic diseases;

“(4) build the infrastructure and capacity of the food safety programs of such eligible entity to meet the standards as outlined in the grant application; and

“(5) take appropriate action to protect the public health in response to—

“(A) a notification under section 1008, including planning and otherwise preparing to take such action; or

“(B) a recall of food under this Act.

“(b) ELIGIBLE ENTITIES; APPLICATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In this section, the term ‘eligible entity’ means an entity—

“(A) that is—

“(i) a State;

“(ii) a locality;

“(iii) a territory;

“(iv) an Indian tribe (as defined in section 4(e) of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act); or

“(v) a nonprofit food safety training entity that collaborates with 1 or more institutions of higher education; and

“(B) that submits an application to the Secretary at such time, in such manner, and including such information as the Secretary may reasonably require.

“(2) CONTENTS.—Each application submitted under paragraph (1) shall include—

“(A) an assurance that the eligible entity has developed plans to engage in the types of activities described in subsection (a);

“(B) a description of the types of activities to be funded by the grant;

“(C) an itemization of how grant funds received under this section will be expended;

“(D) a description of how grant activities will be monitored; and

“(E) an agreement by the eligible entity to report information required by the Secretary to conduct evaluations under this section.

“(c) LIMITATIONS.—The funds provided under subsection (a) shall be available to an eligible entity that receives a grant under this section only to the extent such entity funds the food safety programs of such entity independently of any grant under this section in each year of the grant at a level equal to the level of such funding in the previous year, increased by the Consumer Price Index. Such non-Federal matching funds may be provided directly or through donations from public or private entities and may be in cash or in-kind, fairly evaluated, including plant, equipment, or services.

“(d) ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY.—The Secretary may—

“(1) award a grant under this section in each subsequent fiscal year without reapplication for a period of not more than 3 years, provided the requirements of subsection (c) are met for the previous fiscal year; and

“(2) award a grant under this section in a fiscal year for which the requirement of subsection (c) has not been met only if such requirement was not met because such funding was diverted for response to 1 or more natural disasters or in other extenuating circumstances that the Secretary may determine appropriate.

“(e) DURATION OF AWARDS.—The Secretary may award grants to an individual grant recipient under this section for periods of not more than 3 years. In the event the Secretary conducts a program evaluation, funding in the second year or third year of the grant, where applicable, shall be contingent on a successful program evaluation by the Secretary after the first year.

“(f) PROGRESS AND EVALUATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall measure the status and success of each grant program authorized under the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act (and any amendment made by such Act), including the grant program under this section. A recipient of a grant described in the preceding sentence shall, at the end of each grant year, provide the Secretary with information on how grant funds were spent and the status of the efforts by such recipient to enhance food safety. To the extent practicable, the Secretary shall take the performance of such a grant recipient into account when determining whether to continue funding for such recipient.

“(2) NO DUPLICATION.—In carrying out paragraph (1), the Secretary shall not duplicate the efforts of the Secretary under other provisions of this Act or the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act that require measurement and review of the activities of grant recipients under either such Act.

“(g) SUPPLEMENT NOT SUPPLANT.—Grant funds received under this section shall be used to supplement, and not supplant, non-Federal funds and any other Federal funds available to carry out the activities described in this section.

“(h) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—For the purpose of making grants under this

section, there are authorized to be appropriated such sums as may be necessary for fiscal years 2011 through 2015.”

(b) CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE.—Part P of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 280g et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 399V-5. FOOD SAFETY INTEGRATED CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary, acting through the Director of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and in consultation with the working group described in subsection (b)(2), shall designate 5 Integrated Food Safety Centers of Excellence (referred to in this section as the ‘Centers of Excellence’) to serve as resources for Federal, State, and local public health professionals to respond to foodborne illness outbreaks. The Centers of Excellence shall be headquartered at selected State health departments.

“(b) SELECTION OF CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE.—

“(1) ELIGIBLE ENTITIES.—To be eligible to be designated as a Center of Excellence under subsection (a), an entity shall—

“(A) be a State health department;

“(B) partner with 1 or more institutions of higher education that have demonstrated knowledge, expertise, and meaningful experience with regional or national food production, processing, and distribution, as well as leadership in the laboratory, epidemiological, and environmental detection and investigation of foodborne illness; and

“(C) provide to the Secretary such information, at such time, and in such manner, as the Secretary may require.

“(2) WORKING GROUP.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary shall establish a diverse working group of experts and stakeholders from Federal, State, and local food safety and health agencies, the food industry, including food retailers and food manufacturers, consumer organizations, and academia to make recommendations to the Secretary regarding designations of the Centers of Excellence.

“(3) ADDITIONAL CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE.—The Secretary may designate eligible entities to be regional Food Safety Centers of Excellence, in addition to the 5 Centers designated under subsection (a).

“(c) ACTIVITIES.—Under the leadership of the Director of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, each Center of Excellence shall be based out of a selected State health department, which shall provide assistance to other regional, State, and local departments of health through activities that include—

“(1) providing resources, including timely information concerning symptoms and tests, for frontline health professionals interviewing individuals as part of routine surveillance and outbreak investigations;

“(2) providing analysis of the timeliness and effectiveness of foodborne disease surveillance and outbreak response activities;

“(3) providing training for epidemiological and environmental investigation of foodborne illness, including suggestions for streamlining and standardizing the investigation process;

“(4) establishing fellowships, stipends, and scholarships to train future epidemiological and food-safety leaders and to address critical workforce shortages;

“(5) training and coordinating State and local personnel;

“(6) strengthening capacity to participate in existing or new foodborne illness surveillance and environmental assessment information systems; and

“(7) conducting research and outreach activities focused on increasing prevention, communication, and education regarding food safety.

“(d) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report that—

“(1) describes the effectiveness of the Centers of Excellence; and

“(2) provides legislative recommendations or describes additional resources required by the Centers of Excellence.

“(e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated such sums as may be necessary to carry out this section.

“(f) NO DUPLICATION OF EFFORT.—In carrying out activities of the Centers of Excellence or other programs under this section, the Secretary shall not duplicate other Federal foodborne illness response efforts.”

SEC. 6211. IMPROVING THE REPORTABLE FOOD REGISTRY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 417 (21 U.S.C. 350f) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (f) through (k) as subsections (i) through (n), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (e) the following:

“(f) CRITICAL INFORMATION.—Except with respect to fruits and vegetables that are raw agricultural commodities, not more than 18 months after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary may require a responsible party to submit to the Secretary consumer-oriented information regarding a reportable food, which shall include—

“(1) a description of the article of food as provided in subsection (e)(3);

“(2) as provided in subsection (e)(7), affected product identification codes, such as UPC, SKU, or lot or batch numbers sufficient for the consumer to identify the article of food;

“(3) contact information for the responsible party as provided in subsection (e)(8); and

“(4) any other information the Secretary determines is necessary to enable a consumer to accurately identify whether such consumer is in possession of the reportable food.

“(g) GROCERY STORE NOTIFICATION.—

“(1) ACTION BY SECRETARY.—The Secretary shall—

“(A) prepare the critical information described under subsection (f) for a reportable food as a standardized one-page summary;

“(B) publish such one-page summary on the Internet website of the Food and Drug Administration in a format that can be easily printed by a grocery store for purposes of consumer notification.

“(2) ACTION BY GROCERY STORE.—A notification described under paragraph (1)(B) shall include the date and time such summary was posted on the Internet website of the Food and Drug Administration.

“(h) CONSUMER NOTIFICATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—If a grocery store sold a reportable food that is the subject of the posting and such establishment is part of chain of establishments with 15 or more physical locations, then such establishment shall, not later than 24 hours after a one page summary described in subsection (g) is

published, prominently display such summary or the information from such summary via at least one of the methods identified under paragraph (2) and maintain the display for 14 days.

“(2) LIST OF CONSPICUOUS LOCATIONS.—Not more than 1 year after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary shall develop and publish a list of acceptable conspicuous locations and manners, from which grocery stores shall select at least one, for providing the notification required in paragraph (1). Such list shall include—

“(A) posting the notification at or near the register;

“(B) providing the location of the reportable food;

“(C) providing targeted recall information given to customers upon purchase of a food; and

“(D) other such prominent and conspicuous locations and manners utilized by grocery stores as of the date of the enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act to provide notice of such recalls to consumers as considered appropriate by the Secretary.”

(b) PROHIBITED ACT.—Section 301 (21 U.S.C. 331), as amended by section 6206, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(yy) The knowing and willful failure to comply with the notification requirement under section 417(h).”

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 301(e) (21 U.S.C. 331(e)) is amended by striking “417(g)” and inserting “417(j)”.

TITLE III—IMPROVING THE SAFETY OF IMPORTED FOOD

SEC. 6301. FOREIGN SUPPLIER VERIFICATION PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter VIII (21 U.S.C. 381 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 805. FOREIGN SUPPLIER VERIFICATION PROGRAM.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—

“(1) VERIFICATION REQUIREMENT.—Except as provided under subsections (e) and (f), each importer shall perform risk-based foreign supplier verification activities for the purpose of verifying that the food imported by the importer or agent of an importer is—

“(A) produced in compliance with the requirements of section 418 or section 419, as appropriate; and

“(B) is not adulterated under section 402 or misbranded under section 403(w).

“(2) IMPORTER DEFINED.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘importer’ means, with respect to an article of food—

“(A) the United States owner or consignee of the article of food at the time of entry of such article into the United States; or

“(B) in the case when there is no United States owner or consignee as described in subparagraph (A), the United States agent or representative of a foreign owner or consignee of the article of food at the time of entry of such article into the United States.

“(b) GUIDANCE.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary shall issue guidance to assist importers in developing foreign supplier verification programs.

“(c) REGULATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary shall promulgate regulations to provide for the content of the foreign supplier verification program established under subsection (a).

“(2) REQUIREMENTS.—The regulations promulgated under paragraph (1)—

“(A) shall require that the foreign supplier verification program of each importer be adequate to provide assurances that each foreign supplier to the importer produces the imported food in compliance with—

“(i) processes and procedures, including reasonably appropriate risk-based preventive controls, that provide the same level of public health protection as those required under section 418 or section 419 (taking into consideration variances granted under section 419), as appropriate; and

“(ii) section 402 and section 403(w).

“(B) shall include such other requirements as the Secretary deems necessary and appropriate to verify that food imported into the United States is as safe as food produced and sold within the United States.

“(3) CONSIDERATIONS.—In promulgating regulations under this subsection, the Secretary shall, as appropriate, take into account differences among importers and types of imported foods, including based on the level of risk posed by the imported food.

“(4) ACTIVITIES.—Verification activities under a foreign supplier verification program under this section may include monitoring records for shipments, lot-by-lot certification of compliance, annual on-site inspections, checking the hazard analysis and risk-based preventive control plan of the foreign supplier, and periodically testing and sampling shipments.

“(d) RECORD MAINTENANCE AND ACCESS.—Records of an importer related to a foreign supplier verification program shall be maintained for a period of not less than 2 years and shall be made available promptly to a duly authorized representative of the Secretary upon request.

“(e) EXEMPTION OF SEAFOOD, JUICE, AND LOW-ACID CANNED FOOD FACILITIES IN COMPLIANCE WITH HACCP.—This section shall not apply to a facility if the owner, operator, or agent in charge of such facility is required to comply with, and is in compliance with, 1 of the following standards and regulations with respect to such facility:

“(1) The Seafood Hazard Analysis Critical Control Points Program of the Food and Drug Administration.

“(2) The Juice Hazard Analysis Critical Control Points Program of the Food and Drug Administration.

“(3) The Thermally Processed Low-Acid Foods Packaged in Hermetically Sealed Containers standards of the Food and Drug Administration (or any successor standards).

The exemption under paragraph (3) shall apply only with respect to microbiological hazards that are regulated under the standards for Thermally Processed Low-Acid Foods Packaged in Hermetically Sealed Containers under part 113 of chapter 21, Code of Federal Regulations (or any successor regulations).

“(f) ADDITIONAL EXEMPTIONS.—The Secretary, by notice published in the Federal Register, shall establish an exemption from the requirements of this section for articles of food imported in small quantities for research and evaluation purposes or for personal consumption, provided that such foods are not intended for retail sale and are not sold or distributed to the public.

“(g) PUBLICATION OF LIST OF PARTICIPANTS.—The Secretary shall publish and maintain on the Internet Web site of the Food and Drug Administration a current list that includes the name of, location of, and other information deemed necessary by the Secretary about, importers participating under this section.”

(b) PROHIBITED ACT.—Section 301 (21 U.S.C. 331), as amended by section 6211, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(zz) The importation or offering for importation of a food if the importer (as defined in section 805) does not have in place a foreign supplier verification program in compliance with such section 805.”

(c) **IMPORTS.**—Section 801(a) (21 U.S.C. 381(a)) is amended by adding “or the importer (as defined in section 805) is in violation of such section 805” after “or in violation of section 505”.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 6302. VOLUNTARY QUALIFIED IMPORTER PROGRAM.

Chapter VIII (21 U.S.C. 381 et seq.), as amended by section 6301, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 806. VOLUNTARY QUALIFIED IMPORTER PROGRAM.

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Beginning not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary shall—

“(1) establish a program, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security—

“(A) to provide for the expedited review and importation of food offered for importation by importers who have voluntarily agreed to participate in such program; and

“(B) consistent with section 808, establish a process for the issuance of a facility certification to accompany food offered for importation by importers who have voluntarily agreed to participate in such program; and

“(2) issue a guidance document related to participation in, revocation of such participation in, reinstatement in, and compliance with, such program.

“(b) **VOLUNTARY PARTICIPATION.**—An importer may request the Secretary to provide for the expedited review and importation of designated foods in accordance with the program established by the Secretary under subsection (a).

“(c) **NOTICE OF INTENT TO PARTICIPATE.**—An importer that intends to participate in the program under this section in a fiscal year shall submit a notice and application to the Secretary of such intent at the time and in a manner established by the Secretary.

“(d) **ELIGIBILITY.**—Eligibility shall be limited to an importer offering food for importation from a facility that has a certification described in subsection (a). In reviewing the applications and making determinations on such applications, the Secretary shall consider the risk of the food to be imported based on factors, such as the following:

“(1) The known safety risks of the food to be imported.

“(2) The compliance history of foreign suppliers used by the importer, as appropriate.

“(3) The capability of the regulatory system of the country of export to ensure compliance with United States food safety standards for a designated food.

“(4) The compliance of the importer with the requirements of section 805.

“(5) The recordkeeping, testing, inspections and audits of facilities, traceability of articles of food, temperature controls, and sourcing practices of the importer.

“(6) The potential risk for intentional adulteration of the food.

“(7) Any other factor that the Secretary determines appropriate.

“(e) **REVIEW AND REVOCATION.**—Any importer qualified by the Secretary in accordance with the eligibility criteria set forth in this section shall be reevaluated not less often than once every 3 years and the Secretary shall promptly revoke the qualified importer status of any importer found not to be in compliance with such criteria.

“(f) **FALSE STATEMENTS.**—Any statement or representation made by an importer to the Secretary shall be subject to section 1001 of title 18, United States Code.

“(g) **DEFINITION.**—For purposes of this section, the term ‘importer’ means the person that brings food, or causes food to be brought, from a foreign country into the customs territory of the United States.”

SEC. 6303. AUTHORITY TO REQUIRE IMPORT CERTIFICATIONS FOR FOOD.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 801(a) (21 U.S.C. 381(a)) is amended by inserting after the third sentence the following: “With respect to an article of food, if importation of such food is subject to, but not compliant with, the requirement under subsection (q) that such food be accompanied by a certification or other assurance that the food meets applicable requirements of this Act, then such article shall be refused admission.”

(b) **ADDITION OF CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.**—Section 801 (21 U.S.C. 381) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(q) **CERTIFICATIONS CONCERNING IMPORTED FOODS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may require, as a condition of granting admission to an article of food imported or offered for import into the United States, that an entity described in paragraph (3) provide a certification, or such other assurances as the Secretary determines appropriate, that the article of food complies with applicable requirements of this Act. Such certification or assurances may be provided in the form of shipment-specific certificates, a listing of certified facilities that manufacture, process, pack, or hold such food, or in such other form as the Secretary may specify.

“(2) **FACTORS TO BE CONSIDERED IN REQUIRING CERTIFICATION.**—The Secretary shall base the determination that an article of food is required to have a certification described in paragraph (1) on the risk of the food, including—

“(A) known safety risks associated with the food;

“(B) known food safety risks associated with the country, territory, or region of origin of the food;

“(C) a finding by the Secretary, supported by scientific, risk-based evidence, that—

“(i) the food safety programs, systems, and standards in the country, territory, or region of origin of the food are inadequate to ensure that the article of food is as safe as a similar article of food that is manufactured, processed, packed, or held in the United States in accordance with the requirements of this Act; and

“(ii) the certification would assist the Secretary in determining whether to refuse or admit the article of food under subsection (a); and

“(D) information submitted to the Secretary in accordance with the process established in paragraph (7).

“(3) **CERTIFYING ENTITIES.**—For purposes of paragraph (1), entities that shall provide the certification or assurances described in such paragraph are—

“(A) an agency or a representative of the government of the country from which the article of food at issue originated, as designated by the Secretary; or

“(B) such other persons or entities accredited pursuant to section 808 to provide such certification or assurance.

“(4) **RENEWAL AND REFUSAL OF CERTIFICATIONS.**—The Secretary may—

“(A) require that any certification or other assurance provided by an entity specified in

paragraph (2) be renewed by such entity at such times as the Secretary determines appropriate; and

“(B) refuse to accept any certification or assurance if the Secretary determines that such certification or assurance is not valid or reliable.

“(5) **ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION.**—The Secretary shall provide for the electronic submission of certifications under this subsection.

“(6) **FALSE STATEMENTS.**—Any statement or representation made by an entity described in paragraph (2) to the Secretary shall be subject to section 1001 of title 18, United States Code.

“(7) **ASSESSMENT OF FOOD SAFETY PROGRAMS, SYSTEMS, AND STANDARDS.**—If the Secretary determines that the food safety programs, systems, and standards in a foreign region, country, or territory are inadequate to ensure that an article of food is as safe as a similar article of food that is manufactured, processed, packed, or held in the United States in accordance with the requirements of this Act, the Secretary shall, to the extent practicable, identify such inadequacies and establish a process by which the foreign region, country, or territory may inform the Secretary of improvements made to such food safety program, system, or standard and demonstrate that those controls are adequate to ensure that an article of food is as safe as a similar article of food that is manufactured, processed, packed, or held in the United States in accordance with the requirements of this Act.”

(c) **CONFORMING TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.**—Section 801(b) (21 U.S.C. 381(b)) is amended in the second sentence by striking “with respect to an article included within the provision of the fourth sentence of subsection (a)” and inserting “with respect to an article described in subsection (a) relating to the requirements of sections 760 and 761.”

(d) **NO LIMIT ON AUTHORITY.**—Nothing in the amendments made by this section shall limit the authority of the Secretary to conduct inspections of imported food or to take such other steps as the Secretary deems appropriate to determine the admissibility of imported food.

SEC. 6304. PRIOR NOTICE OF IMPORTED FOOD SHIPMENTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 801(m)(1) (21 U.S.C. 381(m)(1)) is amended by inserting “any country to which the article has been refused entry;” after “the country from which the article is shipped;”

(b) **REGULATIONS.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall issue an interim final rule amending subpart I of part 1 of title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, to implement the amendment made by this section.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall take effect 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 6305. BUILDING CAPACITY OF FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS WITH RESPECT TO FOOD SAFETY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall, not later than 2 years of the date of enactment of this Act, develop a comprehensive plan to expand the technical, scientific, and regulatory food safety capacity of foreign governments, and their respective food industries, from which foods are exported to the United States.

(b) **CONSULTATION.**—In developing the plan under subsection (a), the Secretary shall consult with the Secretary of Agriculture, Secretary of State, Secretary of the Treasury, the Secretary of Homeland Security,

the United States Trade Representative, and the Secretary of Commerce, representatives of the food industry, appropriate foreign government officials, nongovernmental organizations that represent the interests of consumers, and other stakeholders.

(c) **PLAN.**—The plan developed under subsection (a) shall include, as appropriate, the following:

(1) Recommendations for bilateral and multilateral arrangements and agreements, including provisions to provide for responsibility of exporting countries to ensure the safety of food.

(2) Provisions for secure electronic data sharing.

(3) Provisions for mutual recognition of inspection reports.

(4) Training of foreign governments and food producers on United States requirements for safe food.

(5) Recommendations on whether and how to harmonize requirements under the Codex Alimentarius.

(6) Provisions for the multilateral acceptance of laboratory methods and testing and detection techniques.

(d) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect the regulation of dietary supplements under the Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-417).

SEC. 6306. INSPECTION OF FOREIGN FOOD FACILITIES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter VIII (21 U.S.C. 381 et seq.), as amended by section 6302, is amended by inserting at the end the following:

“SEC. 807. INSPECTION OF FOREIGN FOOD FACILITIES.

“(a) **INSPECTION.**—The Secretary—

“(1) may enter into arrangements and agreements with foreign governments to facilitate the inspection of foreign facilities registered under section 415; and

“(2) shall direct resources to inspections of foreign facilities, suppliers, and food types, especially such facilities, suppliers, and food types that present a high risk (as identified by the Secretary), to help ensure the safety and security of the food supply of the United States.

“(b) **EFFECT OF INABILITY TO INSPECT.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, food shall be refused admission into the United States if it is from a foreign factory, warehouse, or other establishment of which the owner, operator, or agent in charge, or the government of the foreign country, refuses to permit entry of United States inspectors or other individuals duly designated by the Secretary, upon request, to inspect such factory, warehouse, or other establishment. For purposes of this subsection, such an owner, operator, or agent in charge shall be considered to have refused an inspection if such owner, operator, or agent in charge does not permit an inspection of a factory, warehouse, or other establishment during the 24-hour period after such request is submitted, or after such other time period, as agreed upon by the Secretary and the foreign factory, warehouse, or other establishment.”.

(b) **INSPECTION BY THE SECRETARY OF COMMERCE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Commerce, in coordination with the Secretary of Health and Human Services, may send 1 or more inspectors to a country or facility of an exporter from which seafood imported into the United States originates. The inspectors shall assess practices and processes used in connection with the farming, cultivation,

harvesting, preparation for market, or transportation of such seafood and may provide technical assistance related to such activities.

(2) **INSPECTION REPORT.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Health and Human Services, in coordination with the Secretary of Commerce, shall—

(i) prepare an inspection report for each inspection conducted under paragraph (1);

(ii) provide the report to the country or exporter that is the subject of the report; and

(iii) provide a 30-day period during which the country or exporter may provide a rebuttal or other comments on the findings of the report to the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

(B) **DISTRIBUTION AND USE OF REPORT.**—The Secretary of Health and Human Services shall consider the inspection reports described in subparagraph (A) in distributing inspection resources under section 421 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as added by section 6201.

SEC. 6307. ACCREDITATION OF THIRD-PARTY AUDITORS.

Chapter VIII (21 U.S.C. 381 et seq.), as amended by section 6306, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 808. ACCREDITATION OF THIRD-PARTY AUDITORS.

“(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

“(1) **AUDIT AGENT.**—The term ‘audit agent’ means an individual who is an employee or agent of an accredited third-party auditor and, although not individually accredited, is qualified to conduct food safety audits on behalf of an accredited third-party auditor.

“(2) **ACCREDITATION BODY.**—The term ‘accreditation body’ means an authority that performs accreditation of third-party auditors.

“(3) **THIRD-PARTY AUDITOR.**—The term ‘third-party auditor’ means a foreign government, agency of a foreign government, foreign cooperative, or any other third party, as the Secretary determines appropriate in accordance with the model standards described in subsection (b)(2), that is eligible to be considered for accreditation to conduct food safety audits to certify that eligible entities meet the applicable requirements of this section. A third-party auditor may be a single individual. A third-party auditor may employ or use audit agents to help conduct consultative and regulatory audits.

“(4) **ACCREDITED THIRD-PARTY AUDITOR.**—The term ‘accredited third-party auditor’ means a third-party auditor accredited by an accreditation body to conduct audits of eligible entities to certify that such eligible entities meet the applicable requirements of this section. An accredited third-party auditor may be an individual who conducts food safety audits to certify that eligible entities meet the applicable requirements of this section.

“(5) **CONSULTATIVE AUDIT.**—The term ‘consultative audit’ means an audit of an eligible entity—

“(A) to determine whether such entity is in compliance with the provisions of this Act and with applicable industry standards and practices; and

“(B) the results of which are for internal purposes only.

“(6) **ELIGIBLE ENTITY.**—The term ‘eligible entity’ means a foreign entity, including a foreign facility registered under section 415, in the food import supply chain that chooses to be audited by an accredited third-party auditor or the audit agent of such accredited third-party auditor.

“(7) **REGULATORY AUDIT.**—The term ‘regulatory audit’ means an audit of an eligible entity—

“(A) to determine whether such entity is in compliance with the provisions of this Act; and

“(B) the results of which determine—

“(i) whether an article of food manufactured, processed, packed, or held by such entity is eligible to receive a food certification under section 801(q); or

“(ii) whether a facility is eligible to receive a facility certification under section 806(a) for purposes of participating in the program under section 806.

“(b) **ACCREDITATION SYSTEM.**—

“(1) **ACCREDITATION BODIES.**—

“(A) **RECOGNITION OF ACCREDITATION BODIES.**—

“(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary shall establish a system for the recognition of accreditation bodies that accredit third-party auditors to certify that eligible entities meet the applicable requirements of this section.

“(ii) **DIRECT ACCREDITATION.**—If, by the date that is 2 years after the date of establishment of the system described in clause (i), the Secretary has not identified and recognized an accreditation body to meet the requirements of this section, the Secretary may directly accredit third-party auditors.

“(B) **NOTIFICATION.**—Each accreditation body recognized by the Secretary shall submit to the Secretary a list of all accredited third-party auditors accredited by such body and the audit agents of such auditors.

“(C) **REVOCATION OF RECOGNITION AS AN ACCREDITATION BODY.**—The Secretary shall promptly revoke the recognition of any accreditation body found not to be in compliance with the requirements of this section.

“(D) **REINSTATEMENT.**—The Secretary shall establish procedures to reinstate recognition of an accreditation body if the Secretary determines, based on evidence presented by such accreditation body, that revocation was inappropriate or that the body meets the requirements for recognition under this section.

“(2) **MODEL ACCREDITATION STANDARDS.**—Not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act, the Secretary shall develop model standards, including requirements for regulatory audit reports, and each recognized accreditation body shall ensure that third-party auditors and audit agents of such auditors meet such standards in order to qualify such third-party auditors as accredited third-party auditors under this section. In developing the model standards, the Secretary shall look to standards in place on the date of the enactment of this section for guidance, to avoid unnecessary duplication of efforts and costs.

“(c) **THIRD-PARTY AUDITORS.**—

“(1) **REQUIREMENTS FOR ACCREDITATION AS A THIRD-PARTY AUDITOR.**—

“(A) **FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS.**—Prior to accrediting a foreign government or an agency of a foreign government as an accredited third-party auditor, the accreditation body (or, in the case of direct accreditation under subsection (b)(1)(A)(ii), the Secretary) shall perform such reviews and audits of food safety programs, systems, and standards of the government or agency of the government as the Secretary deems necessary, including requirements under the model standards developed under subsection (b)(2), to determine that the foreign government or agency of the

foreign government is capable of adequately ensuring that eligible entities or foods certified by such government or agency meet the requirements of this Act with respect to food manufactured, processed, packed, or held for import into the United States.

“(B) FOREIGN COOPERATIVES AND OTHER THIRD PARTIES.—Prior to accrediting a foreign cooperative that aggregates the products of growers or processors, or any other third party to be an accredited third-party auditor, the accreditation body (or, in the case of direct accreditation under subsection (b)(1)(A)(ii), the Secretary) shall perform such reviews and audits of the training and qualifications of audit agents used by that cooperative or party and conduct such reviews of internal systems and such other investigation of the cooperative or party as the Secretary deems necessary, including requirements under the model standards developed under subsection (b)(2), to determine that each eligible entity certified by the cooperative or party has systems and standards in use to ensure that such entity or food meets the requirements of this Act.

“(2) REQUIREMENT TO ISSUE CERTIFICATION OF ELIGIBLE ENTITIES OR FOODS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—An accreditation body (or, in the case of direct accreditation under subsection (b)(1)(A)(ii), the Secretary) may not accredit a third-party auditor unless such third-party auditor agrees to issue a written and, as appropriate, electronic food certification, described in section 801(q), or facility certification under section 806(a), as appropriate, to accompany each food shipment for import into the United States from an eligible entity, subject to requirements set forth by the Secretary. Such written or electronic certification may be included with other documentation regarding such food shipment. The Secretary shall consider certifications under section 801(q) and participation in the voluntary qualified importer program described in section 806 when targeting inspection resources under section 421.

“(B) PURPOSE OF CERTIFICATION.—The Secretary shall use certification provided by accredited third-party auditors to—

“(i) determine, in conjunction with any other assurances the Secretary may require under section 801(q), whether a food satisfies the requirements of such section; and

“(ii) determine whether a facility is eligible to be a facility from which food may be offered for import under the voluntary qualified importer program under section 806.

“(C) REQUIREMENTS FOR ISSUING CERTIFICATION.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—An accredited third-party auditor shall issue a food certification under section 801(q) or a facility certification described under subparagraph (B) only after conducting a regulatory audit and such other activities that may be necessary to establish compliance with the requirements of such sections.

“(ii) PROVISION OF CERTIFICATION.—Only an accredited third-party auditor or the Secretary may provide a facility certification under section 806(a). Only those parties described in 801(q)(3) or the Secretary may provide a food certification under 301(g).

“(3) AUDIT REPORT SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS.—

“(A) REQUIREMENTS IN GENERAL.—As a condition of accreditation, not later than 45 days after conducting an audit, an accredited third-party auditor or audit agent of such auditor shall prepare, and, in the case of a regulatory audit, submit, the audit report for each audit conducted, in a form and man-

ner designated by the Secretary, which shall include—

“(i) the identity of the persons at the audited eligible entity responsible for compliance with food safety requirements;

“(ii) the dates of the audit;

“(iii) the scope of the audit; and

“(iv) any other information required by the Secretary that relates to or may influence an assessment of compliance with this Act.

“(B) RECORDS.—Following any accreditation of a third-party auditor, the Secretary may, at any time, require the accredited third-party auditor to submit to the Secretary an onsite audit report and such other reports or documents required as part of the audit process, for any eligible entity certified by the third-party auditor or audit agent of such auditor. Such report may include documentation that the eligible entity is in compliance with any applicable registration requirements.

“(C) LIMITATION.—The requirement under subparagraph (B) shall not include any report or other documents resulting from a consultative audit by the accredited third-party auditor, except that the Secretary may access the results of a consultative audit in accordance with section 414.

“(4) REQUIREMENTS OF ACCREDITED THIRD-PARTY AUDITORS AND AUDIT AGENTS OF SUCH AUDITORS.—

“(A) RISKS TO PUBLIC HEALTH.—If, at any time during an audit, an accredited third-party auditor or audit agent of such auditor discovers a condition that could cause or contribute to a serious risk to the public health, such auditor shall immediately notify the Secretary of—

“(i) the identification of the eligible entity subject to the audit; and

“(ii) such condition.

“(B) TYPES OF AUDITS.—An accredited third-party auditor or audit agent of such auditor may perform consultative and regulatory audits of eligible entities.

“(C) LIMITATIONS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—An accredited third-party auditor may not perform a regulatory audit of an eligible entity if such agent has performed a consultative audit or a regulatory audit of such eligible entity during the previous 13-month period.

“(ii) WAIVER.—The Secretary may waive the application of clause (i) if the Secretary determines that there is insufficient access to accredited third-party auditors in a country or region.

“(5) CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.—

“(A) THIRD-PARTY AUDITORS.—An accredited third-party auditor shall—

“(i) not be owned, managed, or controlled by any person that owns or operates an eligible entity to be certified by such auditor;

“(ii) in carrying out audits of eligible entities under this section, have procedures to ensure against the use of any officer or employee of such auditor that has a financial conflict of interest regarding an eligible entity to be certified by such auditor; and

“(iii) annually make available to the Secretary disclosures of the extent to which such auditor and the officers and employees of such auditor have maintained compliance with clauses (i) and (ii) relating to financial conflicts of interest.

“(B) AUDIT AGENTS.—An audit agent shall—

“(i) not own or operate an eligible entity to be audited by such agent;

“(ii) in carrying out audits of eligible entities under this section, have procedures to ensure that such agent does not have a fi-

nancial conflict of interest regarding an eligible entity to be audited by such agent; and

“(iii) annually make available to the Secretary disclosures of the extent to which such agent has maintained compliance with clauses (i) and (ii) relating to financial conflicts of interest.

“(C) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall promulgate regulations not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act to implement this section and to ensure that there are protections against conflicts of interest between an accredited third-party auditor and the eligible entity to be certified by such auditor or audited by such audit agent. Such regulations shall include—

“(i) requiring that audits performed under this section be unannounced;

“(ii) a structure to decrease the potential for conflicts of interest, including timing and public disclosure, for fees paid by eligible entities to accredited third-party auditors; and

“(iii) appropriate limits on financial affiliations between an accredited third-party auditor or audit agents of such auditor and any person that owns or operates an eligible entity to be certified by such auditor, as described in subparagraphs (A) and (B).

“(6) WITHDRAWAL OF ACCREDITATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall withdraw accreditation from an accredited third-party auditor—

“(i) if food certified under section 801(q) or from a facility certified under paragraph (2)(B) by such third-party auditor is linked to an outbreak of foodborne illness that has a reasonable probability of causing serious adverse health consequences or death in humans or animals;

“(ii) following an evaluation and finding by the Secretary that the third-party auditor no longer meets the requirements for accreditation; or

“(iii) following a refusal to allow United States officials to conduct such audits and investigations as may be necessary to ensure continued compliance with the requirements set forth in this section.

“(B) ADDITIONAL BASIS FOR WITHDRAWAL OF ACCREDITATION.—The Secretary may withdraw accreditation from an accredited third-party auditor in the case that such third-party auditor is accredited by an accreditation body for which recognition as an accreditation body under subsection (b)(1)(C) is revoked, if the Secretary determines that there is good cause for the withdrawal.

“(C) EXCEPTION.—The Secretary may waive the application of subparagraph (A)(i) if the Secretary—

“(i) conducts an investigation of the material facts related to the outbreak of human or animal illness; and

“(ii) reviews the steps or actions taken by the third-party auditor to justify the certification and determines that the accredited third-party auditor satisfied the requirements under section 801(q) of certifying the food, or the requirements under paragraph (2)(B) of certifying the entity.

“(7) REACCREDITATION.—The Secretary shall establish procedures to reinstate the accreditation of a third-party auditor for which accreditation has been withdrawn under paragraph (6)—

“(A) if the Secretary determines, based on evidence presented, that the third-party auditor satisfies the requirements of this section and adequate grounds for revocation no longer exist; and

“(B) in the case of a third-party auditor accredited by an accreditation body for which

recognition as an accreditation body under subsection (b)(1)(C) is revoked—

“(i) if the third-party auditor becomes accredited not later than 1 year after revocation of accreditation under paragraph (6)(A), through direct accreditation under subsection (b)(1)(A)(ii) or by an accreditation body in good standing; or

“(ii) under such conditions as the Secretary may require for a third-party auditor under paragraph (6)(B).

“(8) **NEUTRALIZING COSTS.**—The Secretary shall establish by regulation a reimbursement (user fee) program, similar to the method described in section 203(h) of the Agriculture Marketing Act of 1946, by which the Secretary assesses fees and requires accredited third-party auditors and audit agents to reimburse the Food and Drug Administration for the work performed to establish and administer the accreditation system under this section. The Secretary shall make operating this program revenue-neutral and shall not generate surplus revenue from such a reimbursement mechanism. Fees authorized under this paragraph shall be collected and available for obligation only to the extent and in the amount provided in advance in appropriation Acts. Such fees are authorized to remain available until expended.

“(d) **RECERTIFICATION OF ELIGIBLE ENTITIES.**—An eligible entity shall apply for annual recertification by an accredited third-party auditor if such entity—

“(1) intends to participate in voluntary qualified importer program under section 806; or

“(2) is required to provide to the Secretary a certification under section 801(q) for any food from such entity.

“(e) **FALSE STATEMENTS.**—Any statement or representation made—

“(1) by an employee or agent of an eligible entity to an accredited third-party auditor or audit agent; or

“(2) by an accredited third-party auditor to the Secretary, shall be subject to section 1001 of title 18, United States Code.

“(f) **MONITORING.**—To ensure compliance with the requirements of this section, the Secretary shall—

“(1) periodically, or at least once every 4 years, reevaluate the accreditation bodies described in subsection (b)(1);

“(2) periodically, or at least once every 4 years, evaluate the performance of each accredited third-party auditor, through the review of regulatory audit reports by such auditors, the compliance history as available of eligible entities certified by such auditors, and any other measures deemed necessary by the Secretary;

“(3) at any time, conduct an onsite audit of any eligible entity certified by an accredited third-party auditor, with or without the auditor present; and

“(4) take any other measures deemed necessary by the Secretary.

“(g) **PUBLICLY AVAILABLE REGISTRY.**—The Secretary shall establish a publicly available registry of accreditation bodies and of accredited third-party auditors, including the name of, contact information for, and other information deemed necessary by the Secretary about such bodies and auditors.

“(h) **LIMITATIONS.**—

“(1) **NO EFFECT ON SECTION 704 INSPECTIONS.**—The audits performed under this section shall not be considered inspections under section 704.

“(2) **NO EFFECT ON INSPECTION AUTHORITY.**—Nothing in this section affects the authority

of the Secretary to inspect any eligible entity pursuant to this Act.”.

SEC. 6308. FOREIGN OFFICES OF THE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall establish offices of the Food and Drug Administration in foreign countries selected by the Secretary, to provide assistance to the appropriate governmental entities of such countries with respect to measures to provide for the safety of articles of food and other products regulated by the Food and Drug Administration exported by such country to the United States, including by directly conducting risk-based inspections of such articles and supporting such inspections by such governmental entity.

(b) **CONSULTATION.**—In establishing the foreign offices described in subsection (a), the Secretary shall consult with the Secretary of State, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the United States Trade Representative.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than October 1, 2011, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the basis for the selection by the Secretary of the foreign countries in which the Secretary established offices, the progress which such offices have made with respect to assisting the governments of such countries in providing for the safety of articles of food and other products regulated by the Food and Drug Administration exported to the United States, and the plans of the Secretary for establishing additional foreign offices of the Food and Drug Administration, as appropriate.

SEC. 6309. SMUGGLED FOOD.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall, in coordination with the Secretary of Homeland Security, develop and implement a strategy to better identify smuggled food and prevent entry of such food into the United States.

(b) **NOTIFICATION TO HOMELAND SECURITY.**—Not later than 10 days after the Secretary identifies a smuggled food that the Secretary believes would cause serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals, the Secretary shall provide to the Secretary of Homeland Security a notification under section 417(n) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 350f(k)) describing the smuggled food and, if available, the names of the individuals or entities that attempted to import such food into the United States.

(c) **PUBLIC NOTIFICATION.**—If the Secretary—

(1) identifies a smuggled food;

(2) reasonably believes exposure to the food would cause serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals; and

(3) reasonably believes that the food has entered domestic commerce and is likely to be consumed, the Secretary shall promptly issue a press release describing that food and shall use other emergency communication or recall networks, as appropriate, to warn consumers and vendors about the potential threat.

(d) **EFFECT OF SECTION.**—Nothing in this section shall affect the authority of the Secretary to issue public notifications under other circumstances.

(e) **DEFINITION.**—In this subsection, the term “smuggled food” means any food that a person introduces into the United States through fraudulent means or with the intent to defraud or mislead.

TITLE IV—MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

SEC. 6401. FUNDING FOR FOOD SAFETY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out the activities of

the Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, the Center for Veterinary Medicine, and related field activities in the Office of Regulatory Affairs of the Food and Drug Administration such sums as may be necessary for fiscal years 2011 through 2015.

(b) **INCREASED NUMBER OF FIELD STAFF.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—To carry out the activities of the Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, the Center for Veterinary Medicine, and related field activities of the Office of Regulatory Affairs of the Food and Drug Administration, the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall increase the field staff of such Centers and Office with a goal of not fewer than—

(A) 4,000 staff members in fiscal year 2011;

(B) 4,200 staff members in fiscal year 2012;

(C) 4,600 staff members in fiscal year 2013; and

(D) 5,000 staff members in fiscal year 2014.

(2) **FIELD STAFF FOR FOOD DEFENSE.**—The goal under paragraph (1) shall include an increase of 150 employees by fiscal year 2011 to—

(A) provide additional detection of and response to food defense threats; and

(B) detect, track, and remove smuggled food (as defined in section 6309) from commerce.

SEC. 6402. EMPLOYEE PROTECTIONS.

Chapter X of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 391 et seq.), as amended by section 6209, is further amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 1013. EMPLOYEE PROTECTIONS.

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—No entity engaged in the manufacture, processing, packing, transporting, distribution, reception, holding, or importation of food may discharge an employee or otherwise discriminate against an employee with respect to compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment because the employee, whether at the employee's initiative or in the ordinary course of the employee's duties (or any person acting pursuant to a request of the employee)—

“(1) provided, caused to be provided, or is about to provide or cause to be provided to the employer, the Federal Government, or the attorney general of a State information relating to any violation of, or any act or omission the employee reasonably believes to be a violation of any provision of this Act or any order, rule, regulation, standard, or ban under this Act, or any order, rule, regulation, standard, or ban under this Act;

“(2) testified or is about to testify in a proceeding concerning such violation;

“(3) assisted or participated or is about to assist or participate in such a proceeding; or

“(4) objected to, or refused to participate in, any activity, policy, practice, or assigned task that the employee (or other such person) reasonably believed to be in violation of any provision of this Act, or any order, rule, regulation, standard, or ban under this Act.

“(b) **PROCESS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—A person who believes that he or she has been discharged or otherwise discriminated against by any person in violation of subsection (a) may, not later than 180 days after the date on which such violation occurs, file (or have any person file on his or her behalf) a complaint with the Secretary of Labor (referred to in this section as the ‘Secretary’) alleging such discharge or discrimination and identifying the person responsible for such act. Upon receipt of such a complaint, the Secretary shall notify, in writing, the person named in the complaint of the filing of the complaint, of the allegations contained in the complaint,

of the substance of evidence supporting the complaint, and of the opportunities that will be afforded to such person under paragraph (2).

“(2) INVESTIGATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days after the date of receipt of a complaint filed under paragraph (1) and after affording the complainant and the person named in the complaint an opportunity to submit to the Secretary a written response to the complaint and an opportunity to meet with a representative of the Secretary to present statements from witnesses, the Secretary shall initiate an investigation and determine whether there is reasonable cause to believe that the complaint has merit and notify, in writing, the complainant and the person alleged to have committed a violation of subsection (a) of the Secretary's findings.

“(B) REASONABLE CAUSE FOUND; PRELIMINARY ORDER.—If the Secretary concludes that there is reasonable cause to believe that a violation of subsection (a) has occurred, the Secretary shall accompany the Secretary's findings with a preliminary order providing the relief prescribed by paragraph (3)(B). Not later than 30 days after the date of notification of findings under this paragraph, the person alleged to have committed the violation or the complainant may file objections to the findings or preliminary order, or both, and request a hearing on the record. The filing of such objections shall not operate to stay any reinstatement remedy contained in the preliminary order. Any such hearing shall be conducted expeditiously. If a hearing is not requested in such 30-day period, the preliminary order shall be deemed a final order that is not subject to judicial review.

“(C) DISMISSAL OF COMPLAINT.—

“(i) STANDARD FOR COMPLAINANT.—The Secretary shall dismiss a complaint filed under this subsection and shall not conduct an investigation otherwise required under subparagraph (A) unless the complainant makes a prima facie showing that any behavior described in paragraphs (1) through (4) of subsection (a) was a contributing factor in the unfavorable personnel action alleged in the complaint.

“(ii) STANDARD FOR EMPLOYER.—Notwithstanding a finding by the Secretary that the complainant has made the showing required under clause (i), no investigation otherwise required under subparagraph (A) shall be conducted if the employer demonstrates, by clear and convincing evidence, that the employer would have taken the same unfavorable personnel action in the absence of that behavior.

“(iii) VIOLATION STANDARD.—The Secretary may determine that a violation of subsection (a) has occurred only if the complainant demonstrates that any behavior described in paragraphs (1) through (4) of subsection (a) was a contributing factor in the unfavorable personnel action alleged in the complaint.

“(iv) RELIEF STANDARD.—Relief may not be ordered under subparagraph (A) if the employer demonstrates by clear and convincing evidence that the employer would have taken the same unfavorable personnel action in the absence of that behavior.

“(3) FINAL ORDER.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of conclusion of any hearing under paragraph (2), the Secretary shall issue a final order providing the relief prescribed by this paragraph or denying the complaint. At any time before issuance of a final order, a proceeding under this subsection may be terminated on the basis of a

settlement agreement entered into by the Secretary, the complainant, and the person alleged to have committed the violation.

“(B) CONTENT OF ORDER.—If, in response to a complaint filed under paragraph (1), the Secretary determines that a violation of subsection (a) has occurred, the Secretary shall order the person who committed such violation—

“(i) to take affirmative action to abate the violation;

“(ii) to reinstate the complainant to his or her former position together with compensation (including back pay) and restore the terms, conditions, and privileges associated with his or her employment; and

“(iii) to provide compensatory damages to the complainant.

“(C) PENALTY.—If such an order is issued under this paragraph, the Secretary, at the request of the complainant, shall assess against the person against whom the order is issued a sum equal to the aggregate amount of all costs and expenses (including attorneys' and expert witness fees) reasonably incurred, as determined by the Secretary, by the complainant for, or in connection with, the bringing of the complaint upon which the order was issued.

“(D) BAD FAITH CLAIM.—If the Secretary finds that a complaint under paragraph (1) is frivolous or has been brought in bad faith, the Secretary may award to the prevailing employer a reasonable attorneys' fee, not exceeding \$1,000, to be paid by the complainant.

“(4) ACTION IN COURT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary has not issued a final decision within 210 days after the filing of the complaint, or within 90 days after receiving a written determination, the complainant may bring an action at law or equity for de novo review in the appropriate district court of the United States with jurisdiction, which shall have jurisdiction over such an action without regard to the amount in controversy, and which action shall, at the request of either party to such action, be tried by the court with a jury. The proceedings shall be governed by the same legal burdens of proof specified in paragraph (2)(C).

“(B) RELIEF.—The court shall have jurisdiction to grant all relief necessary to make the employee whole, including injunctive relief and compensatory damages, including—

“(i) reinstatement with the same seniority status that the employee would have had, but for the discharge or discrimination;

“(ii) the amount of back pay, with interest; and

“(iii) compensation for any special damages sustained as a result of the discharge or discrimination, including litigation costs, expert witness fees, and reasonable attorney's fees.

“(5) REVIEW.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Unless the complainant brings an action under paragraph (4), any person adversely affected or aggrieved by a final order issued under paragraph (3) may obtain review of the order in the United States Court of Appeals for the circuit in which the violation, with respect to which the order was issued, allegedly occurred or the circuit in which the complainant resided on the date of such violation. The petition for review must be filed not later than 60 days after the date of the issuance of the final order of the Secretary. Review shall conform to chapter 7 of title 5, United States Code. The commencement of proceedings under this subparagraph shall not, unless ordered by the court, operate as a stay of the order.

“(B) NO JUDICIAL REVIEW.—An order of the Secretary with respect to which review could have been obtained under subparagraph (A) shall not be subject to judicial review in any criminal or other civil proceeding.

“(6) FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ORDER.—Whenever any person has failed to comply with an order issued under paragraph (3), the Secretary may file a civil action in the United States district court for the district in which the violation was found to occur, or in the United States district court for the District of Columbia, to enforce such order. In actions brought under this paragraph, the district courts shall have jurisdiction to grant all appropriate relief including, but not limited to, injunctive relief and compensatory damages.

“(7) CIVIL ACTION TO REQUIRE COMPLIANCE.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—A person on whose behalf an order was issued under paragraph (3) may commence a civil action against the person to whom such order was issued to require compliance with such order. The appropriate United States district court shall have jurisdiction, without regard to the amount in controversy or the citizenship of the parties, to enforce such order.

“(B) AWARD.—The court, in issuing any final order under this paragraph, may award costs of litigation (including reasonable attorneys' and expert witness fees) to any party whenever the court determines such award is appropriate.

“(C) EFFECT OF SECTION.—

“(1) OTHER LAWS.—Nothing in this section preempts or diminishes any other safeguards against discrimination, demotion, discharge, suspension, threats, harassment, reprimand, retaliation, or any other manner of discrimination provided by Federal or State law.

“(2) RIGHTS OF EMPLOYEES.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to diminish the rights, privileges, or remedies of any employee under any Federal or State law or under any collective bargaining agreement. The rights and remedies in this section may not be waived by any agreement, policy, form, or condition of employment.

“(d) ENFORCEMENT.—Any nondiscretionary duty imposed by this section shall be enforceable in a mandamus proceeding brought under section 1361 of title 28, United States Code.

“(e) LIMITATION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply with respect to an employee of an entity engaged in the manufacture, processing, packing, transporting, distribution, reception, holding, or importation of food who, acting without direction from such entity (or such entity's agent), deliberately causes a violation of any requirement relating to any violation or alleged violation of any order, rule, regulation, standard, or ban under this Act.”

SEC. 6403. JURISDICTION; AUTHORITIES.

Nothing in this Act, or an amendment made by this Act, shall be construed to—

(1) alter the jurisdiction between the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of Health and Human Services, under applicable statutes, regulations, or agreements regarding voluntary inspection of non-amenable species under the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1621 et seq.);

(2) alter the jurisdiction between the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau and the Secretary of Health and Human Services, under applicable statutes and regulations;

(3) limit the authority of the Secretary of Health and Human Services under—

(A) the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 301 et seq.) as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act; or

(B) the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 301 et seq.) as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act;

(4) alter or limit the authority of the Secretary of Agriculture under the laws administered by such Secretary, including—

(A) the Federal Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);

(B) the Poultry Products Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 451 et seq.);

(C) the Egg Products Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 1031 et seq.);

(D) the United States Grain Standards Act (7 U.S.C. 71 et seq.);

(E) the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921 (7 U.S.C. 181 et seq.);

(F) the United States Warehouse Act (7 U.S.C. 241 et seq.);

(G) the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1621 et seq.); and

(H) the Agricultural Adjustment Act (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), reenacted with the amendments made by the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937; or

(5) alter, impede, or affect the authority of the Secretary of Homeland Security under the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 101 et seq.) or any other statute, including any authority related to securing the borders of the United States, managing ports of entry, or agricultural import and entry inspection activities.

SEC. 6404. COMPLIANCE WITH INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS.

Nothing in this Act (or an amendment made by this Act) shall be construed in a manner inconsistent with the agreement establishing the World Trade Organization or any other treaty or international agreement to which the United States is a party.

SEC. 6405. DETERMINATION OF BUDGETARY EFFECTS.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled “Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation” for this Act, jointly submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairmen of the House and Senate Budget Committees, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage in the House acting first on this conference report or amendment between the Houses.

SA 4806. Mr. ENSIGN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 1, line 10, strike all after the first word and insert the following:

1. SHORT TITLE; ETC.

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This Act may be cited as the “Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010”.

(b) **AMENDMENT OF 1986 CODE.**—Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this Act an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a

section or other provision of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(c) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; etc.

TITLE I—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF TAX RELIEF

Sec. 101. Temporary extension of 2001 tax relief.

Sec. 102. Temporary extension of 2003 tax relief.

Sec. 103. Temporary extension of 2009 tax relief.

TITLE II—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INDIVIDUAL AMT RELIEF

Sec. 201. Temporary extension of increased alternative minimum tax exemption amount.

Sec. 202. Temporary extension of alternative minimum tax relief for non-refundable personal credits.

TITLE III—TEMPORARY ESTATE TAX RELIEF

Sec. 301. Reinstatement of estate tax; repeal of carryover basis.

Sec. 302. Modifications to estate, gift, and generation-skipping transfer taxes.

Sec. 303. Applicable exclusion amount increased by unused exclusion amount of deceased spouse.

Sec. 304. Application of EGTRRA sunset to this title.

TITLE IV—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INVESTMENT INCENTIVES

Sec. 401. Extension of bonus depreciation; temporary 100 percent expensing for certain business assets.

Sec. 402. Temporary extension of increased small business expensing.

TITLE V—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND RELATED MATTERS

Sec. 501. Temporary extension of unemployment insurance provisions.

Sec. 502. Temporary modification of indicators under the extended benefit program.

Sec. 503. Technical amendment relating to collection of unemployment compensation debts.

Sec. 504. Technical correction relating to repeal of continued dumping and subsidy offset.

Sec. 505. Additional extended unemployment benefits under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act.

TITLE VI—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF CERTAIN EXPIRING PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Energy

Sec. 601. Incentives for biodiesel and renewable diesel.

Sec. 602. Credit for refined coal facilities.

Sec. 603. New energy efficient home credit.

Sec. 604. Excise tax credits and outlay payments for alternative fuel and alternative fuel mixtures.

Sec. 605. Special rule for sales or dispositions to implement FERC or State electric restructuring policy for qualified electric utilities.

Sec. 606. Suspension of limitation on percentage depletion for oil and gas from marginal wells.

Sec. 607. Extension of grants for specified energy property in lieu of tax credits.

Sec. 608. Energy efficient appliance credit.

Sec. 610. Credit for nonbusiness energy property.

Sec. 611. Alternative fuel vehicle refueling property.

Subtitle B—Individual Tax Relief

Sec. 621. Deduction for certain expenses of elementary and secondary school teachers.

Sec. 622. Deduction of State and local sales taxes.

Sec. 623. Contributions of capital gain real property made for conservation purposes.

Sec. 624. Above-the-line deduction for qualified tuition and related expenses.

Sec. 625. Tax-free distributions from individual retirement plans for charitable purposes.

Sec. 626. Look-thru of certain regulated investment company stock in determining gross estate of non-residents.

Sec. 627. Parity for exclusion from income for employer-provided mass transit and parking benefits.

Sec. 628. Refunds disregarded in the administration of Federal programs and federally assisted programs.

Subtitle C—Business Tax Relief

Sec. 631. Research credit.

Sec. 632. Indian employment tax credit.

Sec. 633. New markets tax credit.

Sec. 634. Railroad track maintenance credit.

Sec. 635. Mine rescue team training credit.

Sec. 636. Employer wage credit for employees who are active duty members of the uniformed services.

Sec. 637. 15-year straight-line cost recovery for qualified leasehold improvements, qualified restaurant buildings and improvements, and qualified retail improvements.

Sec. 638. 7-year recovery period for motor-sports entertainment complexes.

Sec. 639. Accelerated depreciation for business property on an Indian reservation.

Sec. 640. Enhanced charitable deduction for contributions of food inventory.

Sec. 641. Enhanced charitable deduction for contributions of book inventories to public schools.

Sec. 642. Enhanced charitable deduction for corporate contributions of computer inventory for educational purposes.

Sec. 643. Election to expense mine safety equipment.

Sec. 644. Special expensing rules for certain film and television productions.

Sec. 645. Expensing of environmental remediation costs.

Sec. 646. Deduction allowable with respect to income attributable to domestic production activities in Puerto Rico.

Sec. 647. Modification of tax treatment of certain payments to controlling exempt organizations.

Sec. 648. Treatment of certain dividends of regulated investment companies.

Sec. 649. RIC qualified investment entity treatment under FIRPTA.

Sec. 650. Exceptions for active financing income.

Sec. 651. Look-thru treatment of payments between related controlled foreign corporations under foreign personal holding company rules.

Sec. 652. Basis adjustment to stock of S corps making charitable contributions of property.

Sec. 653. Empowerment zone tax incentives.

Sec. 654. Tax incentives for investment in the District of Columbia.

Sec. 655. Temporary increase in limit on cover over of rum excise taxes to Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands.

Sec. 656. American Samoa economic development credit.

Sec. 657. Work opportunity credit.

Sec. 658. Qualified zone academy bonds.

Sec. 659. Mortgage insurance premiums.

Sec. 660. Temporary exclusion of 100 percent of gain on certain small business stock.

Subtitle D—Temporary Disaster Relief Provisions

PART I—NEW YORK LIBERTY ZONE

Sec. 661. Tax-exempt bond financing.

PART II—GO ZONE

Sec. 662. Increase in rehabilitation credit.

Sec. 663. Low-income housing credit rules for buildings in GO zones.

Sec. 664. Tax-exempt bond financing.

Sec. 665. Bonus depreciation deduction applicable to the GO Zone.

TITLE VII—RESCISSIONS

Subtitle A—Rescissions and Elimination of Wasteful Government Programs

Sec. 701. 15 Percent Reduction in appropriations to the Executive Office of the President and Congress.

Sec. 702. No cost of living adjustment in pay of Members of Congress.

Sec. 703. Freeze on cost of Federal employees (including civilian employees of the Department of Defense) salaries.

Sec. 704. Reduction in the number of Federal employees.

Sec. 705. Limitation on Government printing costs.

Sec. 706. Limitation of Government travel costs.

Sec. 707. Reduction in Federal vehicle costs.

Sec. 708. Sale of excess Federal property.

Sec. 709. Prohibition on use of Federal funds to pay unemployment compensation to millionaires.

Sec. 710. Mandatory elimination of duplicative government programs.

Sec. 711. Collection of unpaid taxes from employees of the Federal Government.

Sec. 712. Ten percent reduction in voluntary contributions to the United Nations.

Sec. 713. Low-priority construction projects of Corps of Engineers.

Sec. 714. Ten percent reduction in international development and humanitarian assistance funding.

Sec. 715. Elimination of the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities program.

Sec. 716. Rescission of amounts for Economic Development Administration.

Sec. 717. Department of Justice wasteful activities.

Sec. 718. Rescission of amounts for Hollings Manufacturing Partnership Program and Baldrige Performance Excellence Program.

Sec. 719. Fossil fuel applied research.

Sec. 720. Corporation for Public Broadcasting.

Sec. 721. Fifteen percent reduction in fiscal year 2011 funding for the Department of Defense for procurement.

Sec. 722. Ten percent reduction in fiscal year 2011 funding for the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation.

Sec. 723. Reduction in Department of Defense spending in support of military installations.

Sec. 724. Rescission of Diplomatic and Consular Programs funding.

Sec. 725. Elimination of program to pay institutions of higher education for administrative expenses relating to student aid program.

Sec. 726. Consolidate all Federal Fire Management Programs and reducing funding by 10 percent.

Sec. 727. High-energy cost grant program.

Sec. 728. Resource conservation and development programs.

Sec. 729. Repeal of LEAP.

Sec. 730. Elimination of the B.J. Stupak Olympic Scholarships program.

Sec. 731. Repeal of Robert C. Byrd Honors Scholarship Program.

Sec. 732. Elimination of the Historic Whaling and Trading Partners program.

Sec. 733. Elimination of the Underground Railroad educational and cultural program.

Sec. 734. Brownfields economic development initiative.

Sec. 735. Election reform grants.

Sec. 736. Election Assistance Commission.

Sec. 737. Emergency operations center grant program.

Sec. 738. Elimination of health care facilities and construction program.

Sec. 739. High priority surface transportation projects.

Sec. 740. Save America's Treasures Program; Preserve America Program.

Sec. 741. Targeted water infrastructure grants.

Sec. 742. National Park Service Challenge Cost Share Program.

Sec. 743. Termination of the Constellation Program of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Sec. 744. Delta health initiative.

Sec. 745. Department of Agriculture health care services grant program.

Sec. 746. Elimination of loan repayment for civil legal assistance attorneys.

Sec. 747. Targeted air shed grant program.

Sec. 748. Requiring transparency and ensuring no special treatment for the AARP or AMA.

Subtitle B—Fighting Fraud and Abuse to Save Taxpayers' Dollars

Sec. 760. Findings.

Sec. 761. Tracking excluded providers across State lines.

Sec. 762. Access for private sector and governmental entities.

Sec. 763. Liability of Medicare administrative contractors for claims submitted by excluded providers.

Sec. 764. Limiting the discharge of debts in bankruptcy proceedings in cases where a health care provider or a supplier engages in fraudulent activity.

Sec. 765. Prevention of waste, fraud, and abuse in the Medicaid and CHIP programs.

Sec. 766. Illegal distribution of a Medicare, Medicaid, or CHIP beneficiary identification or billing privileges.

Sec. 767. Pilot program for the use of universal product numbers on claim forms for reimbursement under the Medicare program.

Sec. 768. Prohibition of inclusion of social security account numbers on Medicare cards.

Sec. 769. Implementation.

TITLE VIII—BUDGETARY PROVISIONS

Sec. 801. Determination of budgetary effects.

Sec. 802. Emergency designations.

Sec. 803. Spending caps.

TITLE I—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF TAX RELIEF

SEC. 101. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2001 TAX RELIEF.

(a) TEMPORARY EXTENSION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 901 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” both places it appears and inserting “December 31, 2012”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001.

(b) SEPARATE SUNSET FOR EXPANSION OF ADOPTION BENEFITS UNDER THE PATIENT PROTECTION AND AFFORDABLE CARE ACT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (c) of section 10909 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act is amended to read as follows:

“(c) SUNSET PROVISION.—Each provision of law amended by this section is amended to read as such provision would read if this section had never been enacted. The amendments made by the preceding sentence shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2011.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (d) of section 10909 of such Act is amended by striking “The amendments” and inserting “Except as provided in subsection (c), the amendments”.

SEC. 102. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2003 TAX RELIEF.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 303 of the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003 is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003.

SEC. 103. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2009 TAX RELIEF.

(a) AMERICAN OPPORTUNITY TAX CREDIT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 25A(i) is amended by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, 2011, or 2012”.

(2) TREATMENT OF POSSESSIONS.—Section 1004(c)(1) of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009 is amended by striking “and 2010” each place it appears and inserting “, 2010, 2011, and 2012”.

(b) CHILD TAX CREDIT.—Section 24(d)(4) is amended—

(1) by striking “2009 AND 2010” in the heading and inserting “2009, 2010, 2011, AND 2012”, and

(2) by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, 2011, or 2012”.

(c) EARNED INCOME TAX CREDIT.—Section 32(b)(3) is amended—

(1) by striking “2009 AND 2010” in the heading and inserting “2009, 2010, 2011, AND 2012”, and

(2) by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, 2011, or 2012”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

TITLE II—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INDIVIDUAL AMT RELIEF

SEC. 201. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INCREASED ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX EXEMPTION AMOUNT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 55(d) is amended—

(1) by striking “\$70,950” and all that follows through “2009” in subparagraph (A) and inserting “\$72,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2010 and \$74,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2011”, and

(2) by striking “\$46,700” and all that follows through “2009” in subparagraph (B) and inserting “\$47,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2010 and \$48,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

(c) REPEAL OF EGTRRA SUNSET.—Title IX of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 (relating to sunset of provisions of such Act) shall not apply to title VII of such Act (relating to alternative minimum tax).

SEC. 202. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX RELIEF FOR NONREFUNDABLE PERSONAL CREDITS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 26(a) is amended—

(1) by striking “or 2009” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”, and

(2) by striking “2009” in the heading thereof and inserting “2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

TITLE III—TEMPORARY ESTATE TAX RELIEF

SEC. 301. REINSTATEMENT OF ESTATE TAX; REPEAL OF CARRYOVER BASIS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Each provision of law amended by subtitle A or E of title V of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 is amended to read as such provision would read if such subtitle had never been enacted.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—On and after January 1, 2011, paragraph (1) of section 2505(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended to read as such paragraph would read if section 521(b)(2) of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 had never been enacted.

(c) SPECIAL ELECTION WITH RESPECT TO ESTATES OF DECEDENTS DYING IN 2010.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), in the case of an estate of a decedent dying after December 31, 2009, and before January 1, 2011, the executor (within the meaning of section 2203 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) may elect to apply such Code as though the amendments made by subsection (a) do not apply with respect to chapter 11 of such Code and with respect to property acquired or passing from such decedent (within the meaning of section 1014(b) of such Code). Such election shall be made at such time and in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary's delegate shall provide. Such an election once made shall be revocable only with the consent of the Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary's delegate. For purposes of section 2652(a)(1) of such Code, the determination of whether any property is subject to the tax imposed by such chapter 11 shall be made without regard to any election made under this subsection.

(d) EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PERFORMING CERTAIN ACTS.—

(1) ESTATE TAX.—In the case of the estate of a decedent dying after December 31, 2009, and before the date of the enactment of this Act, the due date for—

(A) filing any return under section 6018 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (including any election required to be made on such a return) as such section is in effect after the date of the enactment of this Act without regard to any election under subsection (c),

(B) making any payment of tax under chapter 11 of such Code, and

(C) making any disclaimer described in section 2518(b) of such Code of an interest in property passing by reason of the death of such decedent,

shall not be earlier than the date which is 9 months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) GENERATION-SKIPPING TAX.—In the case of any generation-skipping transfer made after December 31, 2009, and before the date of the enactment of this Act, the due date for filing any return under section 2662 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (including any election required to be made on such a return) shall not be earlier than the date which is 9 months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Except as otherwise provided in this section, the amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying, and transfers made, after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 302. MODIFICATIONS TO ESTATE, GIFT, AND GENERATION-SKIPPING TRANSFER TAXES.

(a) MODIFICATIONS TO ESTATE TAX.—

(1) \$5,000,000 APPLICABLE EXCLUSION AMOUNT.—Subsection (c) of section 2010 is amended to read as follows:

“(c) APPLICABLE CREDIT AMOUNT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this section, the applicable credit amount is the amount of the tentative tax which would be determined under section 2001(c) if the amount with respect to which such tentative tax is to be computed were equal to the applicable exclusion amount.

“(2) APPLICABLE EXCLUSION AMOUNT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this subsection, the applicable exclusion amount is \$5,000,000.

“(B) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—In the case of any decedent dying in a calendar year after 2011, the dollar amount in subparagraph (A) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

“(i) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(ii) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for such calendar year by substituting ‘calendar year 2010’ for ‘calendar year 1992’ in subparagraph (B) thereof.

If any amount as adjusted under the preceding sentence is not a multiple of \$10,000, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10,000.”.

(2) MAXIMUM ESTATE TAX RATE EQUAL TO 35 PERCENT.—Subsection (c) of section 2001 is amended—

(A) by striking “Over \$500,000” and all that follows in the table contained in paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“Over \$500,000. \$155,800, plus 35 percent of the excess of such amount over \$500,000.”.

(B) by striking “(1) IN GENERAL.—”, and

(C) by striking paragraph (2).

(b) MODIFICATIONS TO GIFT TAX.—

(1) RESTORATION OF UNIFIED CREDIT AGAINST GIFT TAX.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 2505(a), after the application of section 301(b), is amended by striking “(determined

as if the applicable exclusion amount were \$1,000,000)”.

(B) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this paragraph shall apply to gifts made after December 31, 2010.

(2) MODIFICATION OF GIFT TAX RATE.—On and after January 1, 2011, subsection (a) of section 2502 is amended to read as such subsection would read if section 511(d) of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 had never been enacted.

(c) MODIFICATION OF GENERATION-SKIPPING TRANSFER TAX.—In the case of any generation-skipping transfer made after December 31, 2009, and before January 1, 2011, the applicable rate determined under section 2641(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall be zero.

(d) MODIFICATIONS OF ESTATE AND GIFT TAXES TO REFLECT DIFFERENCES IN CREDIT RESULTING FROM DIFFERENT TAX RATES.—

(1) ESTATE TAX.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Section 2001(b)(2) is amended by striking “if the provisions of subsection (c) (as in effect at the decedent's death)” and inserting “if the modifications described in subsection (g)”.

(B) MODIFICATIONS.—Section 2001 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) MODIFICATIONS TO GIFT TAX PAYABLE TO REFLECT DIFFERENT TAX RATES.—For purposes of applying subsection (b)(2) with respect to 1 or more gifts, the rates of tax under subsection (c) in effect at the decedent's death shall, in lieu of the rates of tax in effect at the time of such gifts, be used both to compute—

“(1) the tax imposed by chapter 12 with respect to such gifts, and

“(2) the credit allowed against such tax under section 2505, including in computing—

“(A) the applicable credit amount under section 2505(a)(1), and

“(B) the sum of the amounts allowed as a credit for all preceding periods under section 2505(a)(2).”.

(2) GIFT TAX.—Section 2505(a) is amended by adding at the end the following new flush sentence:

“For purposes of applying paragraph (2) for any calendar year, the rates of tax in effect under section 2502(a)(2) for such calendar year shall, in lieu of the rates of tax in effect for preceding calendar periods, be used in determining the amounts allowable as a credit under this section for all preceding calendar periods.”.

(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 2511 is amended by striking subsection (c).

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Except as otherwise provided in this section, the amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying, generation-skipping transfers, and gifts made, after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 303. APPLICABLE EXCLUSION AMOUNT INCREASED BY UNUSED EXCLUSION AMOUNT OF DECEASED SPOUSE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2010(c), as amended by section 302(a), is amended by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following new paragraphs:

“(2) APPLICABLE EXCLUSION AMOUNT.—For purposes of this subsection, the applicable exclusion amount is the sum of—

“(A) the basic exclusion amount, and

“(B) in the case of a surviving spouse, the deceased spousal unused exclusion amount.

“(3) BASIC EXCLUSION AMOUNT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this subsection, the basic exclusion amount is \$5,000,000.

“(B) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—In the case of any decedent dying in a calendar year

after 2011, the dollar amount in subparagraph (A) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

“(i) such dollar amount, multiplied by
“(ii) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for such calendar year by substituting ‘calendar year 2010’ for ‘calendar year 1992’ in subparagraph (B) thereof.

If any amount as adjusted under the preceding sentence is not a multiple of \$10,000, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10,000.

“(4) DECEASED SPOUSAL UNUSED EXCLUSION AMOUNT.—For purposes of this subsection, with respect to a surviving spouse of a deceased spouse dying after December 31, 2010, the term ‘deceased spousal unused exclusion amount’ means the lesser of—

“(A) the basic exclusion amount, or
“(B) the excess of—

“(i) the basic exclusion amount of the last such deceased spouse of such surviving spouse, over

“(ii) the amount with respect to which the tentative tax is determined under section 2001(b)(1) on the estate of such deceased spouse.

“(5) SPECIAL RULES.—

“(A) ELECTION REQUIRED.—A deceased spousal unused exclusion amount may not be taken into account by a surviving spouse under paragraph (2) unless the executor of the estate of the deceased spouse files an estate tax return on which such amount is computed and makes an election on such return that such amount may be so taken into account. Such election, once made, shall be irrevocable. No election may be made under this subparagraph if such return is filed after the time prescribed by law (including extensions) for filing such return.

“(B) EXAMINATION OF PRIOR RETURNS AFTER EXPIRATION OF PERIOD OF LIMITATIONS WITH RESPECT TO DECEASED SPOUSAL UNUSED EXCLUSION AMOUNT.—Notwithstanding any period of limitation in section 6501, after the time has expired under section 6501 within which a tax may be assessed under chapter 11 or 12 with respect to a deceased spousal unused exclusion amount, the Secretary may examine a return of the deceased spouse to make determinations with respect to such amount for purposes of carrying out this subsection.

“(6) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall prescribe such regulations as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out this subsection.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Paragraph (1) of section 2505(a), as amended by section 302(b)(1), is amended to read as follows:

“(1) the applicable credit amount in effect under section 2010(c) which would apply if the donor died as of the end of the calendar year, reduced by”.

(2) Section 2631(c) is amended by striking “the applicable exclusion amount” and inserting “the basic exclusion amount”.

(3) Section 6018(a)(1) is amended by striking “applicable exclusion amount” and inserting “basic exclusion amount”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying and gifts made after December 31, 2010.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT RELATING TO GENERATION-SKIPPING TRANSFERS.—The amendment made by subsection (b)(2) shall apply to generation-skipping transfers after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 304. APPLICATION OF EGTRRA SUNSET TO THIS TITLE.

Section 901 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 shall apply to the amendments made by this title.

TITLE IV—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INVESTMENT INCENTIVES

SEC. 401. EXTENSION OF BONUS DEPRECIATION; TEMPORARY 100 PERCENT EXPENSING FOR CERTAIN BUSINESS ASSETS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 168(k) is amended—

(1) by striking “January 1, 2012” in subparagraph (A)(iv) and inserting “January 1, 2014”, and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2011” each place it appears and inserting “January 1, 2013”.

(b) TEMPORARY 100 PERCENT EXPENSING.—Subsection (k) of section 168 is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) SPECIAL RULE FOR PROPERTY ACQUIRED DURING CERTAIN PRE-2012 PERIODS.—In the case of qualified property acquired by the taxpayer (under rules similar to the rules of clauses (ii) and (iii) of paragraph (2)(A)) after September 8, 2010, and before January 1, 2012, and which is placed in service by the taxpayer before January 1, 2012 (January 1, 2013, in the case of property described in subparagraph (2)(B) or (2)(C)), paragraph (1)(A) shall be applied by substituting ‘100 percent’ for ‘50 percent’.”.

(c) EXTENSION OF ELECTION TO ACCELERATE THE AMT CREDIT IN LIEU OF BONUS DEPRECIATION.—

(1) EXTENSION.—Clause (iii) of section 168(k)(4)(D) is amended by striking “or production” and all that follows and inserting “or production—

“(I) after March 31, 2008, and before January 1, 2010, and

“(II) after December 31, 2010, and before January 1, 2013,

shall be taken into account under subparagraph (B)(ii) thereof.”.

(2) RULES FOR ROUND 2 EXTENSION PROPERTY.—Paragraph (4) of section 168(k) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(I) SPECIAL RULES FOR ROUND 2 EXTENSION PROPERTY.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—In the case of round 2 extension property, this paragraph shall be applied without regard to—

“(I) the limitation described in subparagraph (B)(i) thereof, and

“(II) the business credit increase amount under subparagraph (E)(iii) thereof.

“(ii) TAXPAYERS PREVIOUSLY ELECTING ACCELERATION.—In the case of a taxpayer who made the election under subparagraph (A) for its first taxable year ending after March 31, 2008, or a taxpayer who made the election under subparagraph (H)(ii) for its first taxable year ending after December 31, 2008—

“(I) the taxpayer may elect not to have this paragraph apply to round 2 extension property, but

“(II) if the taxpayer does not make the election under subclause (I), in applying this paragraph to the taxpayer the bonus depreciation amount, maximum amount, and maximum increase amount shall be computed and applied to eligible qualified property which is round 2 extension property.

The amounts described in subclause (II) shall be computed separately from any amounts computed with respect to eligible qualified property which is not round 2 extension property.

“(iii) TAXPAYERS NOT PREVIOUSLY ELECTING ACCELERATION.—In the case of a taxpayer

who neither made the election under subparagraph (A) for its first taxable year ending after March 31, 2008, nor made the election under subparagraph (H)(ii) for its first taxable year ending after December 31, 2008—

“(I) the taxpayer may elect to have this paragraph apply to its first taxable year ending after December 31, 2010, and each subsequent taxable year, and

“(II) if the taxpayer makes the election under subclause (I), this paragraph shall only apply to eligible qualified property which is round 2 extension property.

“(iv) ROUND 2 EXTENSION PROPERTY.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term ‘round 2 extension property’ means property which is eligible qualified property solely by reason of the extension of the application of the special allowance under paragraph (1) pursuant to the amendments made by section 401(a) of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (and the application of such extension to this paragraph pursuant to the amendment made by section 401(c)(1) of such Act).”.

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The heading for subsection (k) of section 168 is amended by striking “JANUARY 1, 2011” and inserting “JANUARY 1, 2013”.

(2) The heading for clause (ii) of section 168(k)(2)(B) is amended by striking “PRE-JANUARY 1, 2011” and inserting “PRE-JANUARY 1, 2013”.

(3) Subparagraph (D) of section 168(k)(4) is amended—

(A) by striking clauses (iv) and (v),

(B) by inserting “and” at the end of clause (ii), and

(C) by striking the comma at the end of clause (iii) and inserting a period.

(4) Paragraph (5) of section 168(l) is amended—

(A) by inserting “and” at the end of subparagraph (A),

(B) by striking subparagraph (B), and

(C) by redesignating subparagraph (C) as subparagraph (B).

(5) Subparagraph (C) of section 168(n)(2) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2013”.

(6) Subparagraph (D) of section 1400L(b)(2) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2013”.

(7) Subparagraph (B) of section 1400N(d)(3) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2013”.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2010, in taxable years ending after such date.

(2) TEMPORARY 100 PERCENT EXPENSING.—The amendment made by subsection (b) shall apply to property placed in service after September 8, 2010, in taxable years ending after such date.

SEC. 402. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INCREASED SMALL BUSINESS EXPENSING.

(a) DOLLAR LIMITATION.—Section 179(b)(1) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (B) and by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) \$125,000 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2012, and

“(D) \$25,000 in the case of taxable years beginning after 2012.”.

(b) REDUCTION IN LIMITATION.—Section 179(b)(2) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (B) and by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) \$500,000 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2012, and

“(D) \$200,000 in the case of taxable years beginning after 2012.”.

(c) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—Subsection (b) of section 179 is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any taxable year beginning in calendar year 2012, the \$25,000 and \$500,000 amounts in paragraphs (1)(C) and (2)(C) shall each be increased by an amount equal to—

“(i) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(ii) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for the calendar year in which the taxable year begins, by substituting ‘calendar year 2006’ for ‘calendar year 1992’ in subparagraph (B) thereof.

“(B) ROUNDING.—

“(i) DOLLAR LIMITATION.—If the amount in paragraph (1) as increased under subparagraph (A) is not a multiple of \$1,000, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$1,000.

“(ii) PHASEOUT AMOUNT.—If the amount in paragraph (2) as increased under subparagraph (A) is not a multiple of \$10,000, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10,000.”.

(d) COMPUTER SOFTWARE.—Section 179(d)(1)(A)(ii) is amended by striking “2012” and inserting “2013”.

(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 179(c)(2) is amended by striking “2012” and inserting “2013”.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2011.

TITLE V—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND RELATED MATTERS

SEC. 501. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE PROVISIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Section 4007 of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (Public Law 110–252; 26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended—

(A) by striking “November 30, 2010” each place it appears and inserting “January 3, 2012”;

(B) in the heading for subsection (b)(2), by striking “NOVEMBER 30, 2010” and inserting “JANUARY 3, 2012”; and

(C) in subsection (b)(3), by striking “April 30, 2011” and inserting “June 9, 2012”.

(2) Section 2005 of the Assistance for Unemployed Workers and Struggling Families Act, as contained in Public Law 111–5 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note; 123 Stat. 444), is amended—

(A) by striking “December 1, 2010” each place it appears and inserting “January 4, 2012”; and

(B) in subsection (c), by striking “May 1, 2011” and inserting “June 11, 2012”.

(3) Section 5 of the Unemployment Compensation Extension Act of 2008 (Public Law 110–449; 26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended by striking “April 30, 2011” and inserting “June 10, 2012”.

(b) FUNDING.—Section 4004(e)(1) of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (Public Law 110–252; 26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (E), by striking “and” at the end; and

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (F) the following:

“(G) the amendments made by section 501(a)(1) of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010; and”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect as if

included in the enactment of the Unemployment Compensation Extension Act of 2010 (Public Law 111–205).

SEC. 502. TEMPORARY MODIFICATION OF INDICATORS UNDER THE EXTENDED BENEFIT PROGRAM.

(a) INDICATOR.—Section 203(d) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended, in the flush matter following paragraph (2), by inserting after the first sentence the following sentence: “Effective with respect to compensation for weeks of unemployment beginning after the date of enactment of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (or, if later, the date established pursuant to State law), and ending on or before December 31, 2011, the State may by law provide that the determination of whether there has been a state ‘on’ or ‘off’ indicator beginning or ending any extended benefit period shall be made under this subsection as if the word ‘two’ were ‘three’ in subparagraph (1)(A).”.

(b) ALTERNATIVE TRIGGER.—Section 203(f) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph:

“(2) Effective with respect to compensation for weeks of unemployment beginning after the date of enactment of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (or, if later, the date established pursuant to State law), and ending on or before December 31, 2011, the State may by law provide that the determination of whether there has been a state ‘on’ or ‘off’ indicator beginning or ending any extended benefit period shall be made under this subsection as if the word ‘either’ were ‘any’, the word ‘both’ were ‘all’, and the figure ‘2’ were ‘3’ in clause (1)(A)(ii).”.

SEC. 503. TECHNICAL AMENDMENT RELATING TO COLLECTION OF UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION DEBTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6402(f)(3)(C), as amended by section 801 of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010, is amended by striking “is not a covered unemployment compensation debt” and inserting “is a covered unemployment compensation debt”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect as if included in section 801 of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010.

SEC. 504. TECHNICAL CORRECTION RELATING TO REPEAL OF CONTINUED DUMPING AND SUBSIDY OFFSET.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 822(2)(A) of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010 is amended by striking “or” and inserting “and”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect as if included in the provisions of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010.

SEC. 505. ADDITIONAL EXTENDED UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS UNDER THE RAILROAD UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE ACT.

(a) EXTENSION.—Section 2(c)(2)(D)(iii) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, as added by section 2006 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–5) and as amended by section 9 of the Worker, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–92), is amended—

(1) by striking “June 30, 2010” and inserting “June 30, 2011”; and

(2) by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) CLARIFICATION ON AUTHORITY TO USE FUNDS.—Funds appropriated under either the first or second sentence of clause (iv) of section 2(c)(2)(D) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act shall be available to cover the cost of additional extended unemployment benefits provided under such section 2(c)(2)(D) by reason of the amendments made by subsection (a) as well as to cover the cost of such benefits provided under such section 2(c)(2)(D), as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act.

TITLE VI—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF CERTAIN EXPIRING PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Energy

SEC. 601. INCENTIVES FOR BIODIESEL AND RENEWABLE DIESEL.

(a) CREDITS FOR BIODIESEL AND RENEWABLE DIESEL USED AS FUEL.—Subsection (g) of section 40A is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EXCISE TAX CREDITS AND OUTLAY PAYMENTS FOR BIODIESEL AND RENEWABLE DIESEL FUEL MIXTURES.—

(1) Paragraph (6) of section 6426(c) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) Subparagraph (B) of section 6427(e)(6) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) SPECIAL RULE FOR 2010.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in the case of any biodiesel mixture credit properly determined under section 6426(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for periods during 2010, such credit shall be allowed, and any refund or payment attributable to such credit (including any payment under section 6427(e) of such Code) shall be made, only in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary’s delegate) shall provide. Such Secretary shall issue guidance within 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act providing for a one-time submission of claims covering periods during 2010. Such guidance shall provide for a 180-day period for the submission of such claims (in such manner as prescribed by such Secretary) to begin not later than 30 days after such guidance is issued. Such claims shall be paid by such Secretary not later than 60 days after receipt. If such Secretary has not paid pursuant to a claim filed under this subsection within 60 days after the date of the filing of such claim, the claim shall be paid with interest from such date determined by using the overpayment rate and method under section 6621 of such Code.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to fuel sold or used after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 602. CREDIT FOR REFINED COAL FACILITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (B) of section 45(d)(8) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to facilities placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 603. NEW ENERGY EFFICIENT HOME CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (g) of section 45L is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to homes acquired after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 604. EXCISE TAX CREDITS AND OUTLAY PAYMENTS FOR ALTERNATIVE FUEL AND ALTERNATIVE FUEL MIXTURES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Sections 6426(d)(5), 6426(e)(3), and 6427(e)(6)(C) are each amended

by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EXCLUSION OF BLACK LIQUOR FROM CREDIT ELIGIBILITY.**—The last sentence of section 6426(d)(2) is amended by striking “or biodiesel” and inserting “biodiesel, or any fuel (including lignin, wood residues, or spent pulping liquors) derived from the production of paper or pulp”.

(c) **SPECIAL RULE FOR 2010.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in the case of any alternative fuel credit or any alternative fuel mixture credit properly determined under subsection (d) or (e) of section 6426 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for periods during 2010, such credit shall be allowed, and any refund or payment attributable to such credit (including any payment under section 6427(e) of such Code) shall be made, only in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary's delegate) shall provide. Such Secretary shall issue guidance within 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act providing for a one-time submission of claims covering periods during 2010. Such guidance shall provide for a 180-day period for the submission of such claims (in such manner as prescribed by such Secretary) to begin not later than 30 days after such guidance is issued. Such claims shall be paid by such Secretary not later than 60 days after receipt. If such Secretary has not paid pursuant to a claim filed under this subsection within 60 days after the date of the filing of such claim, the claim shall be paid with interest from such date determined by using the overpayment rate and method under section 6621 of such Code.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to fuel sold or used after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 605. SPECIAL RULE FOR SALES OR DISPOSITIONS TO IMPLEMENT FERC OR STATE ELECTRIC RESTRUCTURING POLICY FOR QUALIFIED ELECTRIC UTILITIES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (3) of section 451(i) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to dispositions after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 606. SUSPENSION OF LIMITATION ON PERCENTAGE DEPLETION FOR OIL AND GAS FROM MARGINAL WELLS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Clause (ii) of section 613A(c)(6)(H) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 607. EXTENSION OF GRANTS FOR SPECIFIED ENERGY PROPERTY IN LIEU OF TAX CREDITS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (a) of section 1603 of division B of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “2009 or 2010” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”, and

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by striking “after 2010” and inserting “after 2011”, and

(B) by striking “2009 or 2010” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Subsection (j) of section 1603 of division B of such Act is amended by striking “2011” and inserting “2012”.

SEC. 608. ENERGY EFFICIENT APPLIANCE CREDIT.

(a) **DISHWASHERS.**—Paragraph (1) of section 45M(b) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (A), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (B) and inserting a comma, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) \$25 in the case of a dishwasher which is manufactured in calendar year 2011 and which uses no more than 307 kilowatt hours per year and 5.0 gallons per cycle (5.5 gallons per cycle for dishwashers designed for greater than 12 place settings),

“(D) \$50 in the case of a dishwasher which is manufactured in calendar year 2011 and which uses no more than 295 kilowatt hours per year and 4.25 gallons per cycle (4.75 gallons per cycle for dishwashers designed for greater than 12 place settings), and

“(E) \$75 in the case of a dishwasher which is manufactured in calendar year 2011 and which uses no more than 280 kilowatt hours per year and 4 gallons per cycle (4.5 gallons per cycle for dishwashers designed for greater than 12 place settings).”.

(b) **CLOTHES WASHERS.**—Paragraph (2) of section 45M(b) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (D) and inserting a comma, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) \$175 in the case of a top-loading clothes washer manufactured in calendar year 2011 which meets or exceeds a 2.2 modified energy factor and does not exceed a 4.5 water consumption factor, and

“(F) \$225 in the case of a clothes washer manufactured in calendar year 2011—

“(i) which is a top-loading clothes washer and which meets or exceeds a 2.4 modified energy factor and does not exceed a 4.2 water consumption factor, or

“(ii) which is a front-loading clothes washer and which meets or exceeds a 2.8 modified energy factor and does not exceed a 3.5 water consumption factor.”.

(c) **REFRIGERATORS.**—Paragraph (3) of section 45M(b) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (D) and inserting a comma, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) \$150 in the case of a refrigerator manufactured in calendar year 2011 which consumes at least 30 percent less energy than the 2001 energy conservation standards, and

“(F) \$200 in the case of a refrigerator manufactured in calendar year 2011 which consumes at least 35 percent less energy than the 2001 energy conservation standards.”.

(d) **REBASING OF LIMITATIONS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (1) of section 45M(e) is amended—

(A) by striking “\$75,000,000” and inserting “\$25,000,000”, and

(B) by striking “December 31, 2007” and inserting “December 31, 2010”.

(2) **EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN REFRIGERATORS AND CLOTHES WASHERS.**—Paragraph (2) of section 45M(e) is amended—

(A) by striking “subsection (b)(3)(D)” and inserting “subsection (b)(3)(F)”, and

(B) by striking “subsection (b)(2)(D)” and inserting “subsection (b)(2)(F)”.

(3) **GROSS RECEIPTS LIMITATION.**—Paragraph (3) of section 45M(e) is amended by striking “2 percent” and inserting “4 percent”.

(e) **EFFECTIVE DATES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The amendments made by subsections (a), (b), and (c) shall apply to appliances produced after December 31, 2010.

(2) **LIMITATIONS.**—The amendments made by subsection (d) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 609. CREDIT FOR NONBUSINESS ENERGY PROPERTY.

(a) **EXTENSION.**—Section 25C(g)(2) is amended by striking “2010” and inserting “2011”.

(b) **RETURN TO PRE-ARRA LIMITATIONS AND STANDARDS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsections (a) and (b) of section 25C are amended to read as follows:

“(a) **ALLOWANCE OF CREDIT.**—In the case of an individual, there shall be allowed as a credit against the tax imposed by this chapter for the taxable year an amount equal to the sum of—

“(1) 10 percent of the amount paid or incurred by the taxpayer for qualified energy efficiency improvements installed during such taxable year, and

“(2) the amount of the residential energy property expenditures paid or incurred by the taxpayer during such taxable year.

“(b) **LIMITATIONS.**—

“(1) **LIFETIME LIMITATION.**—The credit allowed under this section with respect to any taxpayer for any taxable year shall not exceed the excess (if any) of \$500 over the aggregate credits allowed under this section with respect to such taxpayer for all prior taxable years ending after December 31, 2005.

“(2) **WINDOWS.**—In the case of amounts paid or incurred for components described in subsection (c)(2)(B) by any taxpayer for any taxable year, the credit allowed under this section with respect to such amounts for such year shall not exceed the excess (if any) of \$200 over the aggregate credits allowed under this section with respect to such amounts for all prior taxable years ending after December 31, 2005.

“(3) **LIMITATION ON RESIDENTIAL ENERGY PROPERTY EXPENDITURES.**—The amount of the credit allowed under this section by reason of subsection (a)(2) shall not exceed—

“(A) \$50 for any advanced main air circulating fan,

“(B) \$150 for any qualified natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler, and

“(C) \$300 for any item of energy-efficient building property.”.

(2) **MODIFICATION OF STANDARDS.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (1) of section 25C(c) is amended by striking “2000” and all that follows through “this section” and inserting “2009 International Energy Conservation Code, as such Code (including supplements) is in effect on the date of the enactment of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009”.

(B) **WOOD STOVES.**—Subparagraph (E) of section 25C(d)(3) is amended by striking “, as measured using a lower heating value”.

(C) **OIL FURNACES AND HOT WATER BOILERS.**—

(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (4) of section 25C(d) is amended to read as follows:

“(4) **QUALIFIED NATURAL GAS, PROPANE, OR OIL FURNACE OR HOT WATER BOILER.**—The term ‘qualified natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler’ means a natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler which achieves an annual fuel utilization efficiency rate of not less than 95.”.

(ii) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Clause (ii) of section 25C(d)(2)(A) is amended to read as follows:

“(ii) a qualified natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler, or”.

(D) **EXTERIOR WINDOWS, DOORS, AND SKYLIGHTS.**—

(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (c) of section 25C is amended by striking paragraph (4).

(ii) **APPLICATION OF ENERGY STAR STANDARDS.**—Paragraph (1) of section 25C(c) is amended by inserting “an exterior window, a skylight, an exterior door,” after “in the case of” in the matter preceding subparagraph (A).

(E) **INSULATION.**—Subparagraph (A) of section 25C(c)(2) is amended by striking “and meets the prescriptive criteria for such material or system established by the 2009 International Energy Conservation Code, as such Code (including supplements) is in effect on the date of the enactment of the

American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009”.

(3) **SUBSIDIZED ENERGY FINANCING.**—Subsection (e) of section 25C is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) **PROPERTY FINANCED BY SUBSIDIZED ENERGY FINANCING.**—For purposes of determining the amount of expenditures made by any individual with respect to any property, there shall not be taken into account expenditures which are made from subsidized energy financing (as defined in section 48(a)(4)(C)).”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 610. ALTERNATIVE FUEL VEHICLE REFUELING PROPERTY.

(a) **EXTENSION OF CREDIT.**—Paragraph (2) of section 30C(g) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2010.

Subtitle B—Individual Tax Relief

SEC. 621. DEDUCTION FOR CERTAIN EXPENSES OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (D) of section 62(a)(2) is amended by striking “or 2009” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 622. DEDUCTION OF STATE AND LOCAL SALES TAXES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (I) of section 164(b)(5) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 623. CONTRIBUTIONS OF CAPITAL GAIN REAL PROPERTY MADE FOR CONSERVATION PURPOSES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Clause (vi) of section 170(b)(1)(E) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **CONTRIBUTIONS BY CERTAIN CORPORATE FARMERS AND RANCHERS.**—Clause (iii) of section 170(b)(2)(B) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 624. ABOVE-THE-LINE DEDUCTION FOR QUALIFIED TUITION AND RELATED EXPENSES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (e) of section 222 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 625. TAX-FREE DISTRIBUTIONS FROM INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT PLANS FOR CHARITABLE PURPOSES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (F) of section 408(d)(8) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE; SPECIAL RULE.**—

(1) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to distributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

(2) **SPECIAL RULE.**—For purposes of subsections (a)(6), (b)(3), and (d)(8) of section 408 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, at the election of the taxpayer (at such time and in

such manner as prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury) any qualified charitable distribution made after December 31, 2010, and before February 1, 2011, shall be deemed to have been made on December 31, 2010.

SEC. 626. LOOK-THRU OF CERTAIN REGULATED INVESTMENT COMPANY STOCK IN DETERMINING GROSS ESTATE OF NONRESIDENTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (3) of section 2105(d) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 627. PARITY FOR EXCLUSION FROM INCOME FOR EMPLOYER-PROVIDED MASS TRANSIT AND PARKING BENEFITS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (2) of section 132(f) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to months after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 628. REFUNDS DISREGARDED IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF FEDERAL PROGRAMS AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED PROGRAMS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subchapter A of chapter 65 is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“**SEC. 6409. REFUNDS DISREGARDED IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF FEDERAL PROGRAMS AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED PROGRAMS.**

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any refund (or advance payment with respect to a refundable credit) made to any individual under this title shall not be taken into account as income, and shall not be taken into account as resources for a period of 12 months from receipt, for purposes of determining the eligibility of such individual (or any other individual) for benefits or assistance (or the amount or extent of benefits or assistance) under any Federal program or under any State or local program financed in whole or in part with Federal funds.

“(b) **TERMINATION.**—Subsection (a) shall not apply to any amount received after December 31, 2012.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for such subchapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“Sec. 6409. Refunds disregarded in the administration of Federal programs and federally assisted programs.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts received after December 31, 2009.

Subtitle C—Business Tax Relief

SEC. 631. RESEARCH CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (B) of section 41(h)(1) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Subparagraph (D) of section 45C(b)(1) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 632. INDIAN EMPLOYMENT TAX CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (f) of section 45A is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 633. NEW MARKETS TAX CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (1) of section 45D(f) is amended—

(1) by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (E),

(2) by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (F), and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(G) \$3,500,000,000 for 2010 and 2011.”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Paragraph (3) of section 45D(f) is amended by striking “2014” and inserting “2016”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to calendar years beginning after 2009.

SEC. 634. RAILROAD TRACK MAINTENANCE CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (f) of section 45G is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to expenditures paid or incurred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 635. MINE RESCUE TEAM TRAINING CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (e) of section 45N is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 636. EMPLOYER WAGE CREDIT FOR EMPLOYEES WHO ARE ACTIVE DUTY MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (f) of section 45P is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to payments made after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 637. 15-YEAR STRAIGHT-LINE COST RECOVERY FOR QUALIFIED LEASEHOLD IMPROVEMENTS, QUALIFIED RESTAURANT BUILDINGS AND IMPROVEMENTS, AND QUALIFIED RETAIL IMPROVEMENTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Clauses (iv), (v), and (ix) of section 168(e)(3)(E) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) Clause (i) of section 168(e)(7)(A) is amended by striking “if such building is placed in service after December 31, 2008, and before January 1, 2010.”.

(2) Paragraph (8) of section 168(e) is amended by striking subparagraph (E).

(3) Section 179(f)(2) is amended—

(A) by striking “(without regard to the dates specified in subparagraph (A)(i) thereof)” in subparagraph (B), and

(B) by striking “(without regard to subparagraph (E) thereof)” in subparagraph (C).

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 638. 7-YEAR RECOVERY PERIOD FOR MOTORSPORTS ENTERTAINMENT COMPLEXES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (D) of section 168(i)(15) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 639. ACCELERATED DEPRECIATION FOR BUSINESS PROPERTY ON AN INDIAN RESERVATION.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (8) of section 168(j) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 640. ENHANCED CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF FOOD INVENTORY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 170(e)(3)(C) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 641. ENHANCED CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF BOOK INVENTORIES TO PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 170(e)(3)(D) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 642. ENHANCED CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CORPORATE CONTRIBUTIONS OF COMPUTER INVENTORY FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (G) of section 170(e)(6) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 643. ELECTION TO EXPENSE MINE SAFETY EQUIPMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (g) of section 179E is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 644. SPECIAL EXPENSING RULES FOR CERTAIN FILM AND TELEVISION PRODUCTIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 181 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to productions commencing after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 645. EXPENSING OF ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION COSTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (h) of section 198 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to expenditures paid or incurred after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 646. DEDUCTION ALLOWABLE WITH RESPECT TO INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO DOMESTIC PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES IN PUERTO RICO.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (C) of section 199(d)(8) is amended—

(1) by striking “first 4 taxable years” and inserting “first 6 taxable years”; and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 647. MODIFICATION OF TAX TREATMENT OF CERTAIN PAYMENTS TO CONTROLLING EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 512(b)(13)(E) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to payments received or accrued after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 648. TREATMENT OF CERTAIN DIVIDENDS OF REGULATED INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraphs (1)(C) and (2)(C) of section 871(k) are each amended by

striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 649. RIC QUALIFIED INVESTMENT ENTITY TREATMENT UNDER FIRPTA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (ii) of section 897(h)(4)(A) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on January 1, 2010. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, such amendment shall not apply with respect to the withholding requirement under section 1445 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for any payment made before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) AMOUNTS WITHHELD ON OR BEFORE DATE OF ENACTMENT.—In the case of a regulated investment company—

(A) which makes a distribution after December 31, 2009, and before the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(B) which would (but for the second sentence of paragraph (1)) have been required to withhold with respect to such distribution under section 1445 of such Code, such investment company shall not be liable to any person to whom such distribution was made for any amount so withheld and paid over to the Secretary of the Treasury.

SEC. 650. EXCEPTIONS FOR ACTIVE FINANCING INCOME.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Sections 953(e)(10) and 954(h)(9) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 953(e)(10) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2009, and to taxable years of United States shareholders with or within which any such taxable year of such foreign corporation ends.

SEC. 651. LOOK-THRU TREATMENT OF PAYMENTS BETWEEN RELATED CONTROLLED FOREIGN CORPORATIONS UNDER FOREIGN PERSONAL HOLDING COMPANY RULES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (C) of section 954(c)(6) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2009, and to taxable years of United States shareholders with or within which any such taxable year of such foreign corporation ends.

SEC. 652. BASIS ADJUSTMENT TO STOCK OF S CORPS MAKING CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS OF PROPERTY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 1367(a) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 653. EMPOWERMENT ZONE TAX INCENTIVES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1391 is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2009” in subsection (d)(1)(A)(i) and inserting “December 31, 2011”; and

(2) by striking the last sentence of subsection (h)(2).

(b) INCREASED EXCLUSION OF GAIN ON STOCK OF EMPOWERMENT ZONE BUSINESSES.—Subparagraph (C) of section 1202(a)(2) is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”; and

(2) by striking “2014” in the heading and inserting “2016”.

(c) TREATMENT OF CERTAIN TERMINATION DATES SPECIFIED IN NOMINATIONS.—In the case of a designation of an empowerment zone the nomination for which included a termination date which is contemporaneous with the date specified in subparagraph (A)(i) of section 1391(d)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as in effect before the enactment of this Act), subparagraph (B) of such section shall not apply with respect to such designation if, after the date of the enactment of this section, the entity which made such nomination amends the nomination to provide for a new termination date in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary’s designee) may provide.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to periods after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 654. TAX INCENTIVES FOR INVESTMENT IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 1400 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” each place it appears and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) TAX-EXEMPT DC EMPOWERMENT ZONE BONDS.—Subsection (b) of section 1400A is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) ZERO-PERCENT CAPITAL GAINS RATE.—

(1) ACQUISITION DATE.—Paragraphs (2)(A)(i), (3)(A), (4)(A)(i), and (4)(B)(i)(I) of section 1400B(b) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(2) LIMITATION ON PERIOD OF GAINS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 1400B(e) is amended—

(i) by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”; and

(ii) by striking “2014” in the heading and inserting “2016”.

(B) PARTNERSHIPS AND S-CORPS.—Paragraph (2) of section 1400B(g) is amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”.

(d) FIRST-TIME HOMEBUYER CREDIT.—Subsection (i) of section 1400C is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the amendments made by this section shall apply to periods after December 31, 2009.

(2) TAX-EXEMPT DC EMPOWERMENT ZONE BONDS.—The amendment made by subsection (b) shall apply to bonds issued after December 31, 2009.

(3) ACQUISITION DATES FOR ZERO-PERCENT CAPITAL GAINS RATE.—The amendments made by subsection (c) shall apply to property acquired or substantially improved after December 31, 2009.

(4) HOMEBUYER CREDIT.—The amendment made by subsection (d) shall apply to homes purchased after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 655. TEMPORARY INCREASE IN LIMIT ON COVER OVER OF RUM EXCISE TAXES TO PUERTO RICO AND THE VIRGIN ISLANDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 7652(f) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to distilled spirits brought into the United States after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 656. AMERICAN SAMOA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (d) of section 119 of division A of the Tax Relief and Health Care Act of 2006 is amended—

(1) by striking “first 4 taxable years” and inserting “first 6 taxable years”, and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 657. WORK OPPORTUNITY CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (B) of section 51(c)(4) is amended by striking “August 31, 2011” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to individuals who begin work for the employer after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 658. QUALIFIED ZONE ACADEMY BONDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 54E(c)(1) is amended—

(1) by striking “2008 and” and inserting “2008,” and

(2) by inserting “and \$400,000,000 for 2011” after “2010.”.

(b) REPEAL OF REFUNDABLE CREDIT FOR QZABS.—Paragraph (3) of section 6431(f) is amended by inserting “determined without regard to any allocation relating to the national zone academy bond limitation for 2011 or any carryforward of such allocation” after “54E)” in subparagraph (A)(iii).

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to obligations issued after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 659. MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 163(h)(3)(E) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or accrued after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 660. TEMPORARY EXCLUSION OF 100 PERCENT OF GAIN ON CERTAIN SMALL BUSINESS STOCK.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (4) of section 1202(a) is amended—

(1) by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”, and

(2) by inserting “AND 2011” after “2010” in the heading thereof.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to stock acquired after December 31, 2010.

Subtitle D—Temporary Disaster Relief Provisions

PART I—NEW YORK LIBERTY ZONE**SEC. 661. TAX-EXEMPT BOND FINANCING.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (D) of section 1400L(d)(2) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to bonds issued after December 31, 2009.

PART II—GO ZONE**SEC. 662. INCREASE IN REHABILITATION CREDIT.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (h) of section 1400N is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 663. LOW-INCOME HOUSING CREDIT RULES FOR BUILDINGS IN GO ZONES.

Section 1400N(c)(5) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

SEC. 664. TAX-EXEMPT BOND FINANCING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraphs (2)(D) and (7)(C) of section 1400N(a) are each amended

by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Sections 702(d)(1) and 704(a) of the Heartland Disaster Tax Relief Act of 2008 are each amended by striking “January 1, 2011” each place it appears and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

SEC. 665. BONUS DEPRECIATION DEDUCTION APPLICABLE TO THE GO ZONE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (6) of section 1400N(d) is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2010” both places it appears in subparagraph (B) and inserting “December 31, 2011”, and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2010” in the heading and the text of subparagraph (D) and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

TITLE VII—RESCISSIONS

Subtitle A—Rescissions and Elimination of Wasteful Government Programs

SEC. 701. 15 PERCENT REDUCTION IN APPROPRIATIONS TO THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT AND CONGRESS.

(a) RESCISSIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—There is rescinded an amount equal to 15 percent of the budget authority provided for any discretionary account in appropriations to the Legislative Branch for fiscal year 2011.

(2) PROPORTIONATE APPLICATION.—Any rescission made by paragraph (1) shall be applied proportionately—

(A) to each discretionary account and each item of budget authority described in such paragraph; and

(B) within each such account and item, to each program, project, and activity (with programs, projects, and activities as delineated in the appropriation Act or accompanying reports for the relevant fiscal year covering such account or item, or for accounts and items not included in appropriation Acts, as delineated in the most recently submitted President’s budget).

(3) EXCEPTION.—This subsection shall not apply to appropriations under the heading “CAPITOL POLICE”.

(4) ADMINISTRATION OF ACROSS-THE-BOARD REDUCTIONS.—In the administration of paragraph (1), with respect to the budget authority provided under the heading “SENATE” in—

(A) the percentage rescissions under paragraph (1) shall apply to the total amount of all funds appropriated under that heading; and

(B) the rescissions may be applied without regard to paragraph (2).

(b) APPROPRIATIONS TO THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of funds appropriated to the appropriations account under the heading under the heading “EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT AND FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT” for each of fiscal years 2012 and 2013 may not exceed the total amount of funds appropriated to that account for fiscal year 2011 after application of the rescission under subsection (a).

(c) APPROPRIATIONS TO CONGRESS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of funds appropriated under the headings “SENATE” and “HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES” for each of fiscal years 2012 and 2013 may not exceed the total amount of funds appropriated under those headings for fiscal year 2011 after application of the rescission under subsection (a).

SEC. 702. NO COST OF LIVING ADJUSTMENT IN PAY OF MEMBERS OF CONGRESS.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no adjustment shall be made under section 601(a) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 31) (relating to cost of living adjustments for Members of Congress) during fiscal years 2012, 2013, and 2014.

SEC. 703. FREEZE ON COST OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEES (INCLUDING CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE) SALARIES.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of funds expended on salaries for civilian employees of the Federal Government, including civilian employees of the Department of Defense, for fiscal year 2011, fiscal year 2012, and fiscal year 2013 shall not exceed the total costs for such salaries in fiscal year 2010: *Provided*, That the amounts spent on salaries of members of the armed forces are exempt from the provisions of this subsection: *Provided further*, That nothing in this subsection prohibits an employee from receiving an increase in salary or other compensation so long as such an increase does not increase an agency’s net expenditures for employee salaries.

SEC. 704. REDUCTION IN THE NUMBER OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEES.

(a) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term “agency” means an executive agency as defined under section 105 of title 5, United States Code.

(b) DETERMINATION OF NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES.—Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall determine the number of full-time employees employed in each agency. The head of each agency shall cooperate with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget in making the determinations.

(c) REDUCTIONS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the head of each agency shall take such actions as necessary, including a reduction in force under sections 3502 and 3595 of title 5, United States Code, to reduce the number of full-time employees employed in that agency as determined under subsection (b) by 10 percent not later than October 1, 2020.

(d) REPLACEMENT HIRE RATE.—In implementing subsection (c), the head of each agency may hire no more than 2 employees in that agency for every 3 employees who leave employment in that agency during any fiscal year.

SEC. 705. LIMITATION ON GOVERNMENT PRINTING COSTS.

Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall coordinate with the heads of Federal departments and independent agencies to—

(a) determine which Government publications could be available on Government websites and no longer printed and to devise a strategy to reduce overall Government printing costs over the 10-year period beginning with fiscal year 2011, except that the Director shall ensure that essential printed documents prepared for social security recipients, medicare beneficiaries, and other populations in areas with limited internet access or use continue to remain available;

(b) establish government-wide Federal guidelines on employee printing;

(c) issue on the Office of Management and Budget’s public website the results of a cost-benefit analysis on implementing a digital signature system and on establishing employee printing identification systems, such as the use of individual employee cards or

codes, to monitor the amount of printing done by Federal employees; except that the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall ensure that Federal employee printing costs unrelated to national defense, homeland security, border security, national disasters, and other emergencies do not exceed \$860,000,000 annually; and

(d) issue guidelines requiring every department, agency, commission or office to list at a prominent place near the beginning of each publication distributed to the public and issued or paid for by the Federal Government—

(1) the name of the issuing agency, department, commission or office;

(2) the total number of copies of the document printed;

(3) the collective cost of producing and printing all of the copies of the document; and

(4) the name of the firm publishing the document.

SEC. 706. LIMITATION OF GOVERNMENT TRAVEL COSTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Within 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, in consultation with the heads of the Federal departments and agencies, shall establish a definition of “nonessential travel” and criteria to determine if travel-related expenses and requests by Federal employees meet the definition of “nonessential travel”. No travel expenses paid for, in whole or in part, with Federal funds shall be paid by the Federal Government unless a request is made prior to the travel and the requested travel meets the criteria established by this section. Any travel request that does not meet the definition and criteria shall be disallowed, including reimbursement for air flights, automobile rentals, train tickets, lodging, per diem, and other travel-related costs. The definition established by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget may include exemptions in the definition, including travel related to national defense, homeland security, border security, national disasters, and other emergencies. The Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall ensure that all travel costs paid for in part or whole by the Federal Government not related to national defense, homeland security, border security, national disasters, and other emergencies do not exceed \$5,000,000,000 annually.

(b) RESCISSIONS.—

(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection—

(A) the term “agency”—

(i) means an executive agency as defined under section 105 of title 5, United States Code; and

(ii) does not include the Department of Defense; and

(B) the term “travel expense amount” means, with respect to each agency, an amount equal to 20 percent of all funds expended by that agency on travel expenses during fiscal year 2010.

(2) IN GENERAL.—There is rescinded a travel expense amount from appropriations made for fiscal year 2011 in each agency appropriations account providing for travel expenses.

(3) FREEZE.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of funds appropriated to the appropriations account providing for travel expenses for each agency for each of fiscal years 2012 and 2013 may not exceed the total amount of funds appropriated to that account for fiscal year 2011 after application of the rescission under paragraph (2).

SEC. 707. REDUCTION IN FEDERAL VEHICLE COSTS.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(a) of the amounts made available to the General Services Administration for the acquisition of new vehicles for the Federal fleet for fiscal year 2011 and remaining unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act, an amount equal to 20 percent of all such amounts is rescinded;

(b) for fiscal year 2012 and each fiscal year thereafter—

(1) the amount made available to the General Services Administration for the acquisition of new vehicles for the Federal fleet shall not exceed an amount equal to 80 percent of the amount made available for the acquisition of those vehicles for fiscal year 2011 (before application of subsection (a)); and

(2) the number of new vehicles acquired by the General Services Administration for the Federal fleet shall not exceed a number equal to 50 percent of the vehicles so acquired for fiscal year 2011; and

(c) any amounts made available under Public Law 111-5 for the acquisition of new vehicles for the Federal fleet shall be disregarded by for purposes of determining the baseline.

SEC. 708. SALE OF EXCESS FEDERAL PROPERTY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 5 of subtitle I of title 40, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SUBCHAPTER VII—EXPEDITED DISPOSAL OF REAL PROPERTY

“§ 621. Definitions

“In this subchapter:

“(1) DIRECTOR.—The term ‘Director’ means the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

“(2) LANDHOLDING AGENCY.—The term ‘landholding agency’ means a landholding agency (as defined in section 501(i) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11411(i))).

“(3) REAL PROPERTY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘real property’ means—

“(i) a parcel of real property under the administrative jurisdiction of the Federal Government that is—

“(I) excess;

“(II) surplus;

“(III) underperforming; or

“(IV) otherwise not meeting the needs of the Federal Government, as determined by the Director; and

“(ii) a building or other structure located on real property described in clause (i).

“(B) EXCLUSION.—The term ‘real property’ excludes any parcel of real property, and any building or other structure located on real property, that is to be closed or realigned under the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (10 U.S.C. 2687 note; Public Law 100-526).

“§ 622. Disposal program

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (e), the Director shall, by sale or auction, dispose of a quantity of real property with an aggregate value of not less than \$15,000,000,000 that, as determined by the Director, is not being used, and will not be used, to meet the needs of the Federal Government for the period of fiscal years 2010 through 2015.

“(b) RECOMMENDATIONS.—The head of each landholding agency shall recommend to the Director real property for disposal under subsection (a).

“(c) SELECTION OF PROPERTIES.—After receiving recommendations of candidate real property under subsection (b), the Director—

“(1) with the concurrence of the head of each landholding agency, may select the real property for disposal under subsection (a); and

“(2) shall notify the recommending landholding agency head of the selection of the real property.

“(d) WEB SITE.—The Director shall ensure that all real properties selected for disposal under this section are listed on a Web site that shall—

“(1) be updated routinely; and

“(2) include the functionality to allow any member of the public, at the option of the member, to receive updates of the list through electronic mail.

“(e) TRANSFER OF PROPERTY.—The Director may transfer real property selected for disposal under this section to the Department of Housing and Urban Development if the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development determines that the real property is suitable for use in assisting the homeless.”.

(b) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 5 of subtitle I of title 40, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 611 the following:

“SUBCHAPTER VII—EXPEDITED DISPOSAL OF REAL PROPERTY

“Sec. 621. Definitions.

“Sec. 622. Disposal program.”.

SEC. 709. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FEDERAL FUNDS TO PAY UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION TO MILLIONAIRES.

(a) PROHIBITION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no Federal funds may be used to make payments of unemployment compensation (including such compensation under the Federal-State Extended Compensation Act of 1970 and the emergency unemployment compensation program under title IV of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008) in a year to an individual whose resources in the preceding year was equal to or greater than \$1,000,000. For purposes of the preceding sentence, with respect to a year, an individual’s resources shall be determined in the same manner as a subsidy eligible individual’s resources are determined for the year for purposes of the Medicare part D drug benefit under section 1860D-14(a)(3)(E) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395w-114(a)(3)(E)).

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The prohibition under subsection (a) shall apply to weeks of unemployment beginning on or after January 1, 2011.

SEC. 710. MANDATORY ELIMINATION OF DUPLICATIVE GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS.

(a) REDUCING DUPLICATION.—The Director of the Office of Management Budget and the Secretary of each Federal Government agency (and the head of each independent agency) shall work with the Chairman and ranking member of the relevant congressional appropriations subcommittees and the congressional authorizing committees and the Director of the Office of Management Budget to consolidate programs with duplicative goals, missions, and initiatives.

(b) OMB REPORT.—Within 120 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall submit to Congress a list of programs with duplicative goals, missions, and initiatives with recommendations for consolidation or elimination.

(c) FAILURE TO ACT.—If Congress takes no action to address the recommendations submitted in subsection (b) within 60 days, Secretary of each Federal Government agency and the head of each independent agency shall carry out the recommendations as submitted to Congress.

SEC. 711. COLLECTION OF UNPAID TAXES FROM EMPLOYEES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 73 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SUBCHAPTER VIII—COLLECTION OF UNPAID TAXES FROM EMPLOYEES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

“§ 7381. Collection of unpaid taxes from employees of the Federal Government

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) the term ‘seriously delinquent tax debt’ means an outstanding debt under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for which a notice of lien has been filed in public records pursuant to section 6323 of such Code, except that such term does not include—

“(A) a debt that is being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement under section 6159 or section 7122 of such Code; and

“(B) a debt with respect to which a collection due process hearing under section 6330 of such Code, or relief under subsection (a), (b), or (f) of section 6015 of such Code, is requested or pending; and

“(2) the term ‘Federal employee’ means—

“(A) an employee, as defined by section 2105; and

“(B) an employee of the United States Congress, including Members of the House of Representatives and Senators.

“(b) COLLECTION OF UNPAID TAXES.—The Internal Revenue Service shall coordinate with the Department of Treasury and the hiring agency of a Federal employee who has a seriously delinquent tax debt to collect such taxes by withholding a portion of the employee’s salary over a period set by the hiring agency to ensure prompt payment.”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 73 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SUBCHAPTER VIII—COLLECTION OF UNPAID TAXES FROM EMPLOYEES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

“Sec. 7381. Collection of unpaid taxes from employees of the Federal Government.”

SEC. 712. TEN PERCENT REDUCTION IN VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE UNITED NATIONS.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2011, voluntary contributions to the United Nations paid by the United States shall not exceed an amount that is 10 percent less than the amount provided in fiscal year 2010.

SEC. 713. LOW-PRIORITY CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS OF CORPS OF ENGINEERS.

(a) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority to carry out low-priority construction projects of the Corps of Engineers is terminated.

(b) RESCISSION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for low-priority construction projects of the Corps of Engineers that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the projects referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects described in paragraph (1), as determined by the Secretary of the Army, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 714. TEN PERCENT REDUCTION IN INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE FUNDING.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2011, international development and humanitarian assistance expenditures of the United States shall not exceed an amount that is 10 percent less than the amount provided in fiscal year 2010.

(a) REPEAL.—Part A of title IV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.) is repealed.

(b) RESCISSION OF FUNDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Program under part A of title IV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 715. ELIMINATION OF THE SAFE AND DRUG-FREE SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITIES PROGRAM.

(a) REPEAL.—Part A of title IV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.) is repealed.

(b) RESCISSION OF FUNDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Program under part A of title IV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 716. RESCISSION OF AMOUNTS FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for programs, activities, and grants of the Economic Development Administration that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs, activities, and grants referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating such programs, activities, and grants, as determined by the Secretary of Commerce, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 717. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE WASTEFUL ACTIVITIES.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, 5 percent of all unobligated balances held by the Attorney General as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded to eliminate wasteful activities of the Department of Justice.

SEC. 718. RESCISSION OF AMOUNTS FOR HOLLINGS MANUFACTURING PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM AND BALDRIDGE PERFORMANCE EXCELLENCE PROGRAM.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Hollings Manufacturing Partnership Program and the Baldrige Performance Excellence Program that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under such programs, as determined by the Secretary of Commerce, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 719. FOSSIL FUEL APPLIED RESEARCH.

(a) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority of the Secretary of Energy to carry out fossil fuel applied research is terminated.

(b) RESCISSION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for fossil fuel applied research described in subsection (a) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for research referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing research described in paragraph (1), as determined by the Secretary of Energy, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 720. CORPORATION FOR PUBLIC BROADCASTING.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the portion of all unobligated balances held by the Corporation for Public Broadcasting that consists of Federal funds are rescinded and no Federal funds appropriated hereafter for the Corporation for Public Broadcasting shall be obligated or expended by such Corporation.

SEC. 721. FIFTEEN PERCENT REDUCTION IN FISCAL YEAR 2011 FUNDING FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FOR PROCUREMENT.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amount available to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2011 for procurement is the amount equal to the aggregate amount otherwise authorized to be appropriated to the Department for that fiscal year for procurement minus an amount equal to 15 percent of such aggregate amount.

SEC. 722. TEN PERCENT REDUCTION IN FISCAL YEAR 2011 FUNDING FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FOR RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amount available to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2011 for research, development, test, and evaluation is the amount equal to the aggregate amount otherwise authorized to be appropriated to the Department for that fiscal year for research, development, test, and evaluation minus an amount equal to 10 percent of such aggregate amount.

SEC. 723. REDUCTION IN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SPENDING IN SUPPORT OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.

The Secretary of Defense shall reduce the amount obligated or expended in support of military installations through the reduction or elimination of waste, fraud, and abuse attributable to programs and activities related to such support.

SEC. 724. RESCISSION OF DIPLOMATIC AND CONSULAR PROGRAMS FUNDING.

Ten percent of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Secretary of State for diplomatic and consular programs and available for obligation as of the date of the enactment of this Act is hereby rescinded.

SEC. 725. ELIMINATION OF PROGRAM TO PAY INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES RELATING TO STUDENT AID PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Section 489 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1096) is repealed.

(b) **RECESSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for payments to institutions of higher education under section 489 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1096), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such payments shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 726. CONSOLIDATE ALL FEDERAL FIRE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS AND REDUCING FUNDING BY 10 PERCENT.

(a) **CONSOLIDATION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall consolidate all fire management programs carried out under laws administered by the Secretary.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) of amounts made available for programs consolidated under subsection (a), the lesser of 10 percent of such amounts, on the one hand, and the amount of such amounts that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act, on the other hand, are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating or reducing ongoing projects and activities under such programs, as determined by the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 727. HIGH-ENERGY COST GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Section 19 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 918a) is repealed.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the program carried out under section 19 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 918a) (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the program referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating the program described in paragraph (1), as determined by the Secretary of Agriculture, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 728. RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority to carry out the resource conservation and development program of the Natural Resources Conservation Service of the Department of Agriculture is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the resource conservation and development program of the Natural Resources Conservation Service of the Department of Agriculture (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the program referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under that program, as determined by the Secretary of Agriculture, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 729. REPEAL OF LEAP.

(a) **REPEAL OF LEAP.**—Subpart 4 of part A of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070c) is repealed.

(b) **RECESSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Leveraging Educational Assistance Partnership Program under subpart 4 of part A of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070c), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such program shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 730. ELIMINATION OF THE B.J. STUPAK OLYMPIC SCHOLARSHIPS PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Section 1543 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1992 (20 U.S.C. 1070 note) is repealed.

(b) **ELIMINATION OF FUNDING.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the B.J. Stupak Olympic Scholarships program under section 1543 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1992 (20 U.S.C. 1070 note), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 731. REPEAL OF ROBERT C. BYRD HONORS SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL OF LEAP.**—Subpart 6 of part A of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070c) is repealed.

(b) **RECESSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Robert C. Byrd Honors Scholarship Program under subpart 6 of part A of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070c), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such program shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 732. ELIMINATION OF THE HISTORIC WHALING AND TRADING PARTNERS PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Subpart 12 of part D of title V of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7265 et seq.) is repealed.

(b) **RESCISSION OF FUNDS.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Educational, Cultural, Apprenticeship, and Exchange Programs for Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians, and Their Historical Whaling and Trading Partners in Massachusetts under subpart 12 of part D of title V of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7265 et seq.), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 733. ELIMINATION OF THE UNDERGROUND RAILROAD EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Section 841 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1998 (20 U.S.C. 1153) is repealed.

(b) **ELIMINATION OF FUNDING.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Underground Railroad educational and cultural program under section 841 of the Higher Education Amendments of 1998 (20 U.S.C. 1153), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 734. BROWNFIELDS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding section 108(q) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5309(q)) or any other provision of law, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development may not make any competitive economic development grants, as otherwise authorized by section 108(q) of that Act, for Brownfields redevelopment projects.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for grants described in subsection (a) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for grants described in subsection (a) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under those grants, as determined by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 735. ELECTION REFORM GRANTS.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority to make requirements payments to States under part 1 of subtitle D of title II of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (42 U.S.C. 15401 et seq.) is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for such requirements payments (as of the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for such requirements payments shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities using such requirements payments, as determined by the Administrator of General Services, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 736. ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The Election Assistance Commission established under section 201 of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (42 U.S.C. 15321) is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Election Assistance Commission (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the Commission described in paragraph (1) shall be

expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities of the Commission, as determined by the Administrator of General Services, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 737. EMERGENCY OPERATIONS CENTER GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) **TERMINATION.**—Section 614 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5196c) is repealed.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Homeland Security for the emergency operations center grant program under section 614 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5196c), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 738. ELIMINATION OF HEALTH CARE FACILITIES AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Health and Human Services for health care facilities and construction are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 739. HIGH PRIORITY SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109–59; 119 Stat. 1256) is repealed.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for high priority projects under section 1702 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109–59; 119 Stat. 1256) (before the amendment made by subsection (a)) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for high priority projects described in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under those projects, as determined by the Secretary of Transportation, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 740. SAVE AMERICA'S TREASURES PROGRAM; PRESERVE AMERICA PROGRAM.

(a) **REPEALS.**—Sections 7302 and 7303 of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (16 U.S.C. 469n, 469o) are repealed.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Save America's Treasures Program or Preserve America Program that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under those programs, as determined by the Secretary of the Interior in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 741. TARGETED WATER INFRASTRUCTURE GRANTS.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The Targeted Watershed Grants Program and the U.S.–Mexico Border Water Infrastructure Program of the Environmental Protection Agency are terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Targeted Watershed Grants Program and the U.S.–Mexico Border Water Infrastructure Program of the Environmental Protection Agency (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the programs referred to in paragraph (1) (as so in existence) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under those programs, as determined by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 742. NATIONAL PARK SERVICE CHALLENGE COST SHARE PROGRAM.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority to provide Department of the Interior Challenge Cost Share Program grants is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Department of the Interior Challenge Cost Share Program (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the Department of the Interior Challenge Cost Share Program shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under the program, as determined by the Secretary of the Interior in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 743. TERMINATION OF THE CONSTELLATION PROGRAM OF THE NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION.

(a) **TERMINATION REQUIRED.**—The Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration shall terminate the Constellation Program of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

(b) **DISPOSITION OF UNOBLIGATED FUNDS.**—

(1) **RESCISSION.**—Except as provided in paragraph (2), any funds available for obligation by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration as of the date of the enactment of this Act for the Constellation Program are hereby rescinded.

(2) **AVAILABILITY FOR WIND-UP OF PROGRAM.**—Funds described in paragraph (1) may be utilized by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration solely for costs related to the winding-up of the provision of the Constellation Program.

SEC. 744. DELTA HEALTH INITIATIVE.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Health and Human Services to carry out the Delta Health Initiative are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such Initiative shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 745. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE HEALTH CARE SERVICES GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority to carry out any health care services grant program of the Department of Agriculture is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for any health care services grant program of the Department of Agriculture (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the program referred to in paragraph (1) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under that program, as determined by the Secretary of Agriculture, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 746. ELIMINATION OF LOAN REPAYMENT FOR CIVIL LEGAL ASSISTANCE ATTORNEYS.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Section 428L of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1078–12) is repealed.

(b) **ELIMINATION OF FUNDING.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, all unobligated balances held by the Secretary of Education for the Repayment for Civil Legal Assistance Attorneys program under section 428L of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1078–12), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, are rescinded and no funds appropriated hereafter for such activities shall be expended, except as determined necessary or essential by such Secretary, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 747. TARGETED AIR SHED GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The Targeted Air Shed Grant Program of the Environmental Protection Agency is terminated.

(b) **RESCISSION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) all amounts made available for the Targeted Air Shed Grant Program of the Environmental Protection Agency (as in existence on the day before the date of enactment of this Act) that remain unobligated as of the date of enactment of this Act are rescinded; and

(2) no amounts made available after the date of enactment of this Act for the program referred to in paragraph (1) (as so in existence) shall be expended, other than such amounts as are necessary to cover costs incurred in terminating ongoing projects and activities under that program, as determined by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 748. REQUIRING TRANSPARENCY AND ENSURING NO SPECIAL TREATMENT FOR THE AARP OR AMA.

(a) **REQUIREMENT.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no Federal grants or contracts may be made available to the AARP or the American Medical Association (commonly referred to as the “AMA”) for fiscal year 2011 or any fiscal year thereafter unless awarded by a competitive bidding process.

(b) **DISCLOSURE CONDITIONS.**—Any physician trade and lobbying organization partnering with the Federal Government by participating in technical reviews, making

health care payment policy recommendations, representing physician interests on advisory panels, or otherwise representing physicians in matters being reviewed or examined by the Department of Health and Human Services shall disclose the following:

(1) The number of dues paying physician-members the organization currently represents.

(2) The professional status of such members, whether said physicians are currently practicing medicine, teaching, retired, or a medical student in residency.

(c) **MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENT.**—No physician trade and lobbying organization shall be eligible to participate in activities listed in subsection (b) unless such organizations have a membership composed of at least 50 percent of currently-practicing physicians in the same calendar year. The requirement of the preceding sentence shall apply to all physician trade organizations, regardless of whether the organization is a State, regional, or national organization, and regardless of what specialty or practice areas said organizations represent.

(d) **REQUIREMENT FOR CERTAIN MEDIGAP SELLERS OR ISSUERS.**—Sellers or issuers of Medicare supplemental policies under section 1882 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395ss) that constitute more than 20 percent of the market share of the previous fiscal year shall be required to spend at least 80 percent of their premium dollars on medical claims to ensure value for seniors.

Subtitle B—Fighting Fraud and Abuse to Save Taxpayers' Dollars

SEC. 760. FINDINGS.

Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The Medicare program loses an estimated \$60,000,000,000 annually to wasted and fraudulent payments.

(2) The Medicaid program also suffers from rampant fraud. As the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services noted in 2009, in an analysis of the only source of nationwide Medicaid claims and beneficiary eligibility information, the Medicaid Statistical Information System, the Federal Government does not have “timely, accurate, or comprehensive information for fraud, waste, and abuse detection” in the Medicaid program.

(3) Absent comprehensive estimates, the Medicaid program’s improper payment rate may be the most objective measure of taxpayer dollars lost to fraud. The national average improper payment rate ranges between 8.7 percent and 10.5 percent, but many States have much higher improper payment rates.

(4) The new Federal health reform law substantially expands the Medicaid program, significantly changes the Medicare program, creates new mandates and regulations, and will send hundreds of billions of dollars to insurance companies.

(5) It is the duty of public officials and public servants in Congress and the Administration to protect the American public’s taxpayer dollars. Congress and the Administration must continue to aggressively combat waste, fraud, and abuse in public health care programs.

(6) The Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services has stated that “swift and effective detection of and response to waste, fraud, and abuse remain an essential program integrity strategy”. Furthermore, the Inspector General noted that “effective use of Medicare and Medicaid data is critical to the success of the Government’s efforts to reduce waste, fraud, and abuse”.

(7) The loss of taxpayer dollars due to waste and fraud under the Medicare and

Medicaid programs not only threatens the financial viability of those programs, it erodes the public trust. American taxpayers should not be expected to tolerate rampant waste, fraud, and abuse in publicly funded health care programs.

(8) Congress supports the commitment of the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services to “enhancing existing data analysis and mining capabilities and employing advanced techniques such as predictive analytics and social network analysis, to counter new and existing fraud schemes”.

(9) Congress supports the use of predictive modeling and other smart technologies that can transform the current “pay and chase” payment cultures under the Medicare and Medicaid programs and prevent taxpayer dollars from being lost to waste, fraud, and abuse.

SEC. 761. TRACKING EXCLUDED PROVIDERS ACROSS STATE LINES.

(a) **GREATER COORDINATION.**—In order to ensure that providers of services and suppliers that have operated in one State and are excluded from participation in the Medicare program are unable to begin operation and participation in other Federal health care programs in another State, the Secretary shall provide for increased coordination between the following:

(1) The Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

(2) Regional offices of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

(3) Medicare administrative contractors, fiscal intermediaries, and carriers.

(4) State health agencies, State plans under title XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.), State plans under title XXI of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1397aa et seq.), and entities that contract with such agencies and plans, as directed by the Secretary.

(5) The Federation of State Medical Boards.

(b) **IMPROVED INFORMATION SYSTEMS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall improve information systems to allow greater integration between databases under the Medicare program so that—

(A) Medicare administrative contractors, fiscal intermediaries, and carriers have immediate access to information identifying providers and suppliers excluded from participation in the Medicare program, the Medicaid program under title XIX of the Social Security Act, the State Children’s Health Insurance Program under title XXI of such Act, and other Federal health care programs; and

(B) such information can be shared on a real-time basis, in accordance with protocols established under subsection (g)(2)—

(i) across Federal health care programs and agencies, including between the Department of Health and Human Services, the Social Security Administration, the Department of Veterans Affairs, the Department of Defense, the Department of Justice, and the Office of Personnel Management; and

(ii) with State health agencies, State plans under title XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.), State child health plans under title XXI of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1397aa et seq.), and entities that contract with such agencies and plans, as directed by the Secretary.

(2) **SHARING OF INFORMATION IN ADDITION TO HEAT EFFORTS.**—The information shared under paragraph (1) shall be in addition to, and shall not replace, activities of the Health Care Fraud Prevention and Enforce-

ment Action Team (HEAT) established by the Attorney General and the Department of Health and Human Services.

(3) **APPROPRIATE COORDINATION.**—In implementing this subsection, the Secretary shall provide for the maximum appropriate coordination with the process established under section 6401(b)(2) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-148).

(c) **“ONE PI” DATABASE FOR MEDICARE, MEDICAID, AND CHIP.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall—

(A) continue to upload Medicare claims, provider, and beneficiary data into the Integrated Data Repository under section 1128J(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, as added by section 6402(a) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act until such time as the Secretary determines that the Integrated Data Repository is completed; and

(B) fully implement the waste, fraud, and abuse detection solution of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, called the “One PI project” (in this subsection referred to as the “project”) by not later than January 1, 2013.

(2) **ACCESS.**—The Secretary, in consultation with Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, may allow stakeholders who combat, or could assist in combating, waste, fraud, and abuse under Federal health care programs to have access to the One PI system established under the project. Such stakeholders may include the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Comptroller General of the United States, Medicare administrative contractors, fiscal intermediaries, and carriers.

(d) **FEDERAL AND STATE AGENCY ACCESS TO NATIONAL PRACTITIONER DATA BANK.**—For purposes of enhancing data sharing in order to identify programmatic weaknesses and improving the timeliness of analysis and actions to prevent waste, fraud, and abuse, relevant Federal and State agencies, including the Department of Health and Human Services, the Department of Justice, State departments of health, State Medicaid plans under title XIX of the Social Security Act, State child health plans under title XXI of such Act, and State Medicaid fraud control units (as described in section 1903(q) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396b(q))), shall have real-time access to the National Practitioner Data Bank, as directed by the Secretary. The Secretary may, in consultation with the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, give such real-time access to State attorneys general and State and local law enforcement agencies.

(e) **ACCESS TO CLAIMS AND PAYMENT DATABASES.**—Section 1128J(a)(2) of the Social Security Act, as added by section 6402(a) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-148) is amended—

(1) by striking “DATABASES.—For purposes” and inserting “DATABASES.—

“(A) ACCESS FOR THE CONDUCT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES.—For purposes”;

(2) in subparagraph (A), as added by paragraph (1), by inserting “, including the Integrated Data Repository under paragraph (1)” before the period at the end; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) **ACCESS TO REDUCE WASTE, FRAUD, AND ABUSE.**—For purposes of reducing waste, fraud, and abuse, and to the extent consistent with applicable information, privacy, security, and disclosure laws, including the

regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 and section 552a of title 5, United States Code, and subject to any information systems security requirements under such laws or otherwise required by the Secretary, the Secretary, in consultation with the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, may allow State Medicaid fraud control units and State and local law enforcement officials to have access to claims and payment data of the Department of Health and Human Services and its contractors related to titles XVIII, XIX, and XXI, including the Integrated Data Repository under paragraph (1)."

(f) ENSURING DATA IS UPLOADED TO THE IDR ON A DAILY BASIS.—Section 1128J(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, as added by section 6402(a) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-148) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

"(C) UPLOADING OF MEDICARE CLAIMS DATA ON A DAILY BASIS.—All Medicare claims data shall be uploaded into the Integrated Data Repository on a daily basis."

(g) REAL-TIME ACCESS TO DATA.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall ensure that any data provided to an entity or individual under the provisions of or amendments made by this section is provided to such entity or individual on a real-time basis, in accordance with protocols established by the Secretary under paragraph (2). The Secretary shall consult with the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services prior to implementing this subsection.

(2) PROTOCOLS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish protocols to ensure the secure transfer and storage of any data provided to another entity or individual under the provisions of or amendments made by this section.

(B) CONSIDERATION OF HHS OIG RECOMMENDATIONS.—In establishing protocols under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall take into account recommendations submitted to the Secretary by the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services with respect to the secure transfer and storage of such data.

(h) GAO STUDY AND REPORT ON USE OF FEDERATION OF STATE MEDICAL BOARDS TO STRENGTHEN ENROLLMENT INTEGRITY PROCESSES.—

(1) STUDY.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall, in consultation with the Federation of State Medical Boards, conduct a study on whether and, if so, to what degree, such Federation may be useful to the Secretary in further strengthening the integrity of processes for enrolling providers of services and suppliers under Federal health care programs.

(2) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report containing the results of the study conducted under paragraph (1), together with recommendations for such legislation and administrative action as the Comptroller General determines appropriate.

(i) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term "Administrator" means the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

(2) CHIP.—The term "CHIP" means the State Children's Health Insurance Program under title XXI of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1397aa et seq.).

(3) FEDERAL HEALTH CARE PROGRAM.—The term "Federal health care program" has the meaning given such term in section 1128B(f) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a-7b(f)).

(4) HHS OIG.—The term "HHS OIG" means the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services.

(5) MEDICARE ADMINISTRATIVE CONTRACTORS, FISCAL INTERMEDIARIES, AND CARRIERS.—The term "Medicare administrative contractors, fiscal intermediaries, and carriers" includes zone program integrity contractors, program safeguard or integrity contractors, recovery audit contractors under section 1893(h) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395ddd(h)), and special investigative units at Medicare contractors (as defined in section 1889(g) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395zz(g))).

(6) MEDICARE PROGRAM.—The term "Medicare program" means the program under title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.).

(7) PROVIDER OF SERVICES.—The term "provider of services" has the meaning given such term in section 1861(u) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x(u)).

(8) SECRETARY.—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

(9) STATE.—The term "State" includes the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa.

(10) SUPPLIER.—The term "supplier" has the meaning given such term in section 1861(d) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x(d)).

SEC. 762. ACCESS FOR PRIVATE SECTOR AND GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Title XI of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1301 et seq.), as amended by section 6402(a) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-148), is amended by inserting after section 1128J the following new section:

"EXPANDED ACCESS TO THE NATIONAL PRACTITIONER DATA BANK

"SEC. 1128K. (a) EXPANDED ACCESS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—The information in the National Practitioner Data Bank established pursuant to the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986 (42 U.S.C. 11101 et seq.) may be available on a real-time basis, in accordance with protocols established by the Secretary under subsection (b), to—

"(A) Federal and State government agencies and health plans, commercial health plans, and any health care provider, supplier, or practitioner entering an employment or contractual relationship with an individual or entity who has been subject to a final adverse action in the past 10 years, where the contract involves the furnishing of items or services reimbursed by 1 or more Federal health care programs (regardless of whether the individual or entity is paid by the programs directly, or whether the items or services are reimbursed directly or indirectly through the claims of a direct provider); and

"(B) utilization and quality control peer review organizations and accreditation entities as defined by the Secretary, including but not limited to organizations described in part B of this title and in section 1154(a)(4)(C).

"(2) NO EFFECT ON ACCESS UNDER OTHER APPLICABLE LAW; APPROPRIATE COORDINATION.—Nothing in this section shall affect the availability of information in the National Practitioner Data Bank under other applicable law, including the availability of such information to entities or individuals under part

B of the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986 (42 U.S.C. 11131 et seq.). In implementing this section, the Secretary shall provide for the maximum appropriate coordination with such part.

"(b) PROTOCOLS.—The Secretary shall establish protocols to ensure the secure transfer and storage of data made available under this section. In establishing such protocols the Secretary shall take into account recommendations submitted to the Secretary by the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners with respect to the secure transfer and storage of such data, the establishment or approval of a fee structure under subsection (c), and the establishment of user access protocols.

"(c) FEES FOR DISCLOSURE.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—

"(A) FEES.—Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary may establish or approve reasonable fees for the disclosure of information under this section, including with respect to requests by Federal agencies or other entities, such as fiscal intermediaries and carriers, acting under contract on behalf of such agencies.

"(B) ESTABLISHMENT OR APPROVAL OF FEE AMOUNTS.—In establishing or approving the amount of such fees, the Secretary shall ensure that the total amount of the fees to be collected is equal to the total costs of processing the requests for disclosure and of providing such information. Such fees shall be available to the Secretary to cover such costs.

"(C) FOR-PROFIT ENTITIES.—The Secretary may allow for-profit entities to receive data under this section for a fee that is comparable to the fee charged to a Federal agency or other entity under subparagraph (A) with respect to a similar request.

"(2) FREE ACCESS TO CERTAIN DATA.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the Fighting Fraud and Abuse to Save Taxpayers' Dollars Act, for purposes of identifying additional strategies and tools to combat waste, fraud, and abuse, the Secretary—

"(i) establish protocols to ensure the secure transmission of data under this section; and

"(ii) may ensure nonprofit academic, policy, and research institutions have access to data from the National Practitioner Data Bank.

"(B) ACCESS FREE OF CHARGE.—Data shall be provided under subparagraph (A)(ii) free of charge to academic, policy, and research institutions.

"(C) REQUIREMENT.—Any academic, policy, or research institution that is provided data under subparagraph (A)(ii) shall, as a condition of receiving such data, be required to share with the Secretary any findings using such data to combat waste, fraud, and abuse (in a form and manner of the academic, policy, or research institution's choosing).

"(d) ESTABLISHMENT OF APPEALS PROCESS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish a transparent and responsive appeals process under which a provider of services or supplier may have their name removed from the National Practitioner Data Bank. Under such process, appeals shall be conducted in a timely manner (not more than 90 days after the earlier of the date of the listing in the National Practitioner Data Bank or the issuance of any penalty involved) in order to minimize the time that providers of services

or suppliers who successfully appeal are excluded from participation under the programs under titles XVIII and XIX.

“(2) CONSULTATION.—The Secretary shall consult with major colleges of medical practice in the United States, commercial health plans, the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, and the Federation of State Medical Boards in establishing the appeals process under paragraph (1).

“(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) COMMERCIAL HEALTH PLAN.—The term ‘commercial health plan’ means health insurance coverage (as defined in section 2791 of the Public Health Service Act and including group health plans).

“(2) FINAL ADVERSE ACTION.—The term ‘final adverse action’ means one or more of the following actions:

“(A) A Medicare-imposed revocation of any Medicare billing privileges.

“(B) Suspension or revocation of a license to provide health care by any State licensing authority.

“(C) A conviction of a Federal or State felony offense within the last 10 years preceding enrollment, revalidation, or re-enrollment.

“(D) An exclusion or debarment from participation in a Federal or State health care program.”.

(b) CRIMINAL PENALTY FOR MISUSE OF INFORMATION DISCLOSED.—Section 1128B(b) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a-7b(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(4) Whoever knowingly uses information disclosed from the National Practitioner Data Bank under section 1128K for a purpose other than those authorized under that section shall be imprisoned for not more than 3 years or fined under title 18, United States Code, or both.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 763. LIABILITY OF MEDICARE ADMINISTRATIVE CONTRACTORS FOR CLAIMS SUBMITTED BY EXCLUDED PROVIDERS.

(a) REIMBURSEMENT TO THE SECRETARY FOR AMOUNTS PAID TO EXCLUDED PROVIDERS.—Section 1874A(b) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395kk(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) REIMBURSEMENTS TO SECRETARY FOR AMOUNTS PAID TO EXCLUDED PROVIDERS.—

“(A) LIMITATION.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in clause (ii), the Secretary shall not enter into a contract with a Medicare administrative contractor under this section unless the contractor agrees to reimburse the Secretary for any amounts paid by the contractor for with respect to any item or service (other than an emergency item or service, not including items or services furnished in an emergency room of a hospital) which is furnished—

“(I) by an individual or entity during the period when such individual or entity is excluded pursuant to section 1128, 1128A, 1156 or 1842(j)(2) from participation in the program under this title; or

“(II) at the medical direction or on the prescription of a physician during the period when he is excluded pursuant to section 1128, 1128A, 1156 or 1842(j)(2) from participation in the program under this title and when the person furnishing such item or service knew or had reason to know of the exclusion (after a reasonable time period after reasonable notice has been furnished to the person).

“(ii) EXCEPTION.—Where a Medicare administrative contractor pays a claim for payment for items or services furnished by an individual or entity excluded from participation in the programs under this title, pursuant to section 1128, 1128A, 1156, or 1866, and such Medicare administrative contractor did not know or have reason to know that such individual or entity was so excluded, then, to the extent permitted by this title, and notwithstanding such exclusion, the contractor shall not be required to reimburse the Secretary under clause (i) for any amounts paid with respect to such items or services. In each such case the Secretary shall notify the contractor of the exclusion of the individual or entity furnishing the items or services. A Medicare administrative contractor shall not make payment for items or services furnished by an excluded individual or entity to a beneficiary after a reasonable time (as determined by the Secretary in regulations) after the Secretary has notified the contractor of the exclusion of that individual or entity.

“(B) REQUIREMENT TO REVIEW CLAIMS.—A Medicare administrative contractor shall review claims submitted to the contractor for payment for services under this title in order to ensure that such services were not furnished by an individual or entity during any period for which the individual or entity is excluded from such participation (as described in subparagraph (A)).”.

(b) REPORT ON EFFECTIVENESS AND DEVELOPMENT OF SCORECARD AND MEASURABLE PERFORMANCE METRICS FOR MEDICARE CONTRACTORS.—

(1) REPORT.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall submit to Congress a report on the overall effectiveness and potential of Medicare contractors.

(B) CONTENTS OF REPORT.—The report submitted under subparagraph (A) shall include the Secretary’s recommendations for the development of measurable performance metrics and a scorecard for Medicare contractors (or, in the case of Medicare administrative contractors, updated and revised measurable performance metrics and a revised scorecard), together with recommendations for such legislation and administrative action as the Secretary determines appropriate.

(2) CONSULTATION.—The Secretary shall consult with Medicare contractors, the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, private sector waste, fraud, and abuse experts, and entities with experience combating and preventing waste, fraud, and abuse, including through the review of Medicare claims, in preparing the report submitted under paragraph (1).

(3) MEDICARE CONTRACTORS DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term “Medicare contractor” means any of the following:

(A) A Medicare administrative contractor under section 1874A of the Social Security Act.

(B) A Medicare Program Safeguard Contractor.

(C) A Zone Program Integrity Contractor.

(D) A Medicare Drug Integrity Contractor.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to claims for reimbursement submitted on or after the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) CONTRACT MODIFICATION.—The Secretary of Health and Human Services shall take such steps as may be necessary to modify

contracts entered into, renewed, or extended prior to the date of enactment of this Act to conform such contracts to the provisions of and amendments made by this section.

SEC. 764. LIMITING THE DISCHARGE OF DEBTS IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS IN CASES WHERE A HEALTH CARE PROVIDER OR A SUPPLIER ENGAGES IN FRAUDULENT ACTIVITY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) CIVIL MONETARY PENALTIES.—Section 1128A(a) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a-7a(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following: “Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts made payable under this section are not dischargeable under section 727, 944, 1141, 1228, or 1328 of title 11, United States Code, or any other provision of such title.”.

(2) RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT TO PROVIDERS OF SERVICES UNDER PART A.—Section 1815(d) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395g(d)) is amended—

(A) by inserting “(1)” after “(d)”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts due to the Secretary under this section are not dischargeable under section 727, 944, 1141, 1228, or 1328 of title 11, United States Code, or any other provision of such title if the overpayment was the result of fraudulent activity, as may be defined by the Secretary.”.

(3) RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF BENEFITS UNDER PART B.—Section 1833(j) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395l(j)) is amended—

(A) by inserting “(1)” after “(j)”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts due to the Secretary under this section are not dischargeable under section 727, 944, 1141, 1228, or 1328 of title 11, United States Code, or any other provision of such title if the overpayment was the result of fraudulent activity, as may be defined by the Secretary.”.

(4) COLLECTION OF PAST-DUE OBLIGATIONS ARISING FROM BREACH OF SCHOLARSHIP AND LOAN CONTRACT.—Section 1892(a) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395ccc(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(5) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts due to the Secretary under this section are not dischargeable under section 727, 944, 1141, 1228, or 1328 of title 11, United States Code, or any other provision of such title.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to bankruptcy petitions filed after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 765. PREVENTION OF WASTE, FRAUD, AND ABUSE IN THE MEDICAID AND CHIP PROGRAMS.

(a) DETECTION OF FRAUDULENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS WITHIN THE MEDICAID AND CHIP PROGRAMS.—

(1) MEDICAID.—Section 1903(i) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396b(i)), as amended by section 2001(a)(2)(B) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-148), is amended—

(A) in paragraph (25), by striking “or” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (26), by striking the period and inserting “; or”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(27) with respect to amounts expended for an item or service for which medical assistance is provided under the State plan or under a waiver of such plan unless the claim for payment for such item or service contains—

“(A) a valid beneficiary identification number that, for purposes of the individual who received such item or service, has been determined by the State agency to correspond to an individual who is eligible to receive benefits under the State plan or waiver; and

“(B) a valid National Provider Identifier that, for purposes of the provider that furnished such item or service, has been determined by the State agency to correspond to a participating provider that is eligible to receive payment for furnishing such item or service under the State plan or waiver.”.

(2) CHIP.—Section 2107(e)(1)(I) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1397gg(e)(1)(I)) is amended by striking “and (17)” and inserting “(17), and (27)”.

(b) SCREENING REQUIREMENTS FOR MANAGED CARE ENTITIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 1902 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396a) is amended—

(A) by redesignating the second subsection (ii), as added by section 6401(b)(1)(B) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as subsection (kk) of such section; and

(B) in subsection (kk), as so redesignated—

(i) by redesignating paragraph (8) as paragraph (9); and

(ii) by inserting after paragraph (7) the following new paragraph:

“(8) MANAGED CARE ENTITIES.—The State establishes procedures to ensure that any managed care entity (as defined in section 1932(a)(1)(B)) under contract with the State complies with all applicable requirements under this subsection.”.

(2) MEDICAID MANAGED CARE ORGANIZATIONS.—Section 1903(m)(2)(A) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396b(m)(2)(A)) is amended—

(A) in clause (xii), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in clause (xiii), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(xiv) such contract requires that the entity comply with any applicable screening, oversight, and reporting requirements under section 1902(kk).”.

(3) MANAGED CARE ENTITIES.—Section 1932(d) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396u–2(d)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) COMPLIANCE WITH SCREENING, OVERSIGHT, AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—A managed care entity shall comply with any applicable screening, oversight, and reporting requirements under section 1902(kk).”.

(c) REQUIRED DATABASE CHECKS.—Clause (i) of section 1866(j)(2)(B) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395cc(j)(2)(B)) is amended to read as follows:

“(i) shall include—

“(I) a licensure check, which may include such checks across States; and

“(II) for purposes of the Medicaid program under title XIX—

“(aa) database checks (including such checks across States), which shall include—

“(AA) the Medicaid Statistical Information System (as described in section 1903(r)(1)(F)); and

“(BB) any relevant medical databases that are maintained by the State agencies, as determined by the Secretary in consultation with the directors of the State agencies; and

“(bb) coordination of excluded provider lists between the Secretary and the State agency, including exchanges of data regarding excluding providers between Federal and State databases; and”.

(d) TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.—Section 1902 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396a),

as amended by subsection (b)(1), is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (23), by striking “subsection (ii)(4)” and inserting “subsection (kk)(4)”; and

(B) in paragraph (77), by striking “subsection (ii)” and inserting “subsection (kk)”; and

(2) in subsection (kk), by striking “section 1866” each place it appears and inserting “section 1866”.

SEC. 766. ILLEGAL DISTRIBUTION OF A MEDICARE, MEDICAID, OR CHIP BENEFICIARY IDENTIFICATION OR BILLING PRIVILEGES.

Section 1128B(b) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a–7b(b)), as amended by section 962(b), is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(5) Whoever knowingly, intentionally, and with the intent to defraud purchases, sells or distributes, or arranges for the purchase, sale, or distribution of a Medicare, Medicaid, or CHIP beneficiary identification number or billing privileges under title XVIII, title XIX, or title XXI shall be imprisoned for not more than 10 years or fined not more than \$500,000 (\$1,000,000 in the case of a corporation), or both.”.

SEC. 767. PILOT PROGRAM FOR THE USE OF UNIVERSAL PRODUCT NUMBERS ON CLAIM FORMS FOR REIMBURSEMENT UNDER THE MEDICARE PROGRAM.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than January 1, 2013, the Secretary shall establish a pilot program under which claims for reimbursement under the Medicare program for UPN covered items contain the universal product number of the UPN covered item.

(2) DURATION.—The pilot program under this section shall be conducted for a 2-year period.

(3) CONSIDERATION OF GAO RECOMMENDATIONS.—The Secretary shall take into account the recommendations of the Comptroller General of the United States in establishing the pilot program under this section.

(b) DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF PROCEDURES.—

(1) INFORMATION INCLUDED IN UPN.—The Secretary, in consultation with manufacturers and entities with appropriate expertise, shall determine the relevant descriptive information appropriate for inclusion in a universal product number for a UPN covered item under the pilot program.

(2) REVIEW OF PROCEDURE.—The Secretary, in consultation with interested parties (which shall, at a minimum, include the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services and private sector and health industry experts), shall use information obtained under the pilot program through the use of universal product numbers on claims for reimbursement under the Medicare program to periodically review the UPN covered items billed under the Health Care Financing Administration Common Procedure Coding System and adjust such coding system to ensure that functionally equivalent UPN covered items are billed and reimbursed under the same codes.

(c) GAO REPORTS TO CONGRESS ON EFFECTIVENESS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF PILOT PROGRAM.—

(1) INITIAL REPORT.—Not later than 6 months after the implementation of the pilot program under this section, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report on the effectiveness of such implementation.

(2) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after the completion of the pilot pro-

gram under this section, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report on the effectiveness of the pilot program, together with recommendations regarding the use of universal product numbers and the use of data obtained from the use of such numbers, and recommendations for such legislation and administrative action as the Comptroller General determines appropriate.

(d) USE OF AVAILABLE FUNDING.—The Secretary shall use amounts available in the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Program Management Account or in the Health Care Fraud and Abuse Control Account under section 1817(k) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395i(k)) to carry out the pilot program under this section.

(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) MEDICARE PROGRAM.—The term “Medicare program” means the program under title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.).

(2) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

(3) UNIVERSAL PRODUCT NUMBER.—The term “universal product number” means a number that is—

(A) affixed by the manufacturer to each individual UPN covered item that uniquely identifies the item at each packaging level; and

(B) based on commercially acceptable identification standards such as, but not limited to, standards established by the Uniform Code Council—International Article Numbering System or the Health Industry Business Communication Council.

(4) UPN COVERED ITEM.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the term “UPN covered item” means—

(i) a covered item as that term is defined in section 1834(a)(13) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395m(a)(13));

(ii) an item described in paragraph (8) or (9) of section 1861(s) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x);

(iii) an item described in paragraph (5) of such section 1861(s); and

(iv) any other item for which payment is made under this title that the Secretary determines to be appropriate.

(B) EXCLUSION.—The term “UPN covered item” does not include a customized item for which payment is made under this title.

SEC. 768. PROHIBITION OF INCLUSION OF SOCIAL SECURITY ACCOUNT NUMBERS ON MEDICARE CARDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 205(c)(2)(C) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 405(c)(2)(C)), as amended by section 1414(a)(2) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111–148), is amended by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(xi) The Secretary of Health and Human Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Social Security, shall establish cost-effective procedures to ensure that a social security account number (or any derivative thereof) is not displayed, coded, or embedded on the Medicare card issued to an individual who is entitled to benefits under part A of title XVIII or enrolled under part B of title XVIII and that any other identifier displayed on such card is easily identifiable as not being the social security account number (or a derivative thereof).”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to Medicare cards issued on and after an effective date specified by the Secretary of

Health and Human Services, but in no case shall such effective date be later than the date that is 24 months after the date adequate funding is provided pursuant to subsection (d)(2).

(2) REISSUANCE.—Subject to subsection (d)(2), in the case of individuals who have been issued such cards before such date, the Secretary of Health and Human Services—

(A) shall provide for the reissuance for such individuals of such a card that complies with such amendment not later than 3 years after the effective date specified under paragraph (1); and

(B) may permit such individuals to apply for the reissuance of such a card that complies with such amendment before the date of reissuance otherwise provided under subparagraph (A) in such exceptional circumstances as the Secretary may specify.

(c) OUTREACH PROGRAM.—Subject to subsection (d)(2), the Secretary of Health and Human Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Social Security, shall conduct an outreach program to Medicare beneficiaries and providers about the new Medicare card provided under this section.

(d) REPORT TO CONGRESS AND LIMITATIONS ON EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, acting through the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services and in consultation with the Commissioner of Social Security, shall submit to Congress a report that includes detailed options regarding the implementation of this section, including line-item estimates of and justifications for the costs associated with such options and estimates of timeframes for each stage of implementation. In recommending such options, the Secretary shall take into consideration, among other factors, cost-effectiveness and beneficiary outreach and education.

(2) LIMITATION; MODIFICATION OF DEADLINES.—With respect to the amendment made by subsection (a), and the requirements of subsections (b) and (c)—

(A) such amendment and requirements shall not apply until adequate funding is transferred pursuant to section 11(b) to implement the provisions of this section, as determined by Congress; and

(B) any deadlines otherwise established under this section for such amendment and requirements are contingent upon the receipt of adequate funding (as determined in subparagraph (A)) for such implementation. The previous sentence shall not affect the timely submission of the report required under paragraph (1).

SEC. 769. IMPLEMENTATION.

(a) EMPOWERING THE HHS OIG AND GAO.—Except as otherwise provided, to the extent practicable, the Secretary of Health and Human Services (in this section referred to as the “Secretary”) shall—

(1) carry out the provisions of and amendments made by this subtitle in consultation with the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services; and

(2) take into consideration the findings and recommendations of the Comptroller General of the United States in carrying out such provisions and amendments.

(b) FUNDING.—The Secretary shall provide for the transfer, from the Health Care Fraud and Abuse Control Account under section 1817(k) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395i(k)), to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Program Management Account, of such sums, provided such sums are

fully offset, as the Secretary determines are for necessary administrative expenses associated with carrying out the provisions of and amendments made by this subtitle (other than section 967). Amounts transferred under the preceding sentence shall remain available until expended.

(c) SAVINGS.—Any reduction in outlays under the Medicare program under title XVIII of the Social Security Act under the provisions of, and amendments made by, this subtitle may only be utilized to offset outlays under part A of title XVIII of the Social Security Act.

TITLE VIII—BUDGETARY PROVISIONS

SEC. 801. DETERMINATION OF BUDGETARY EFFECTS.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled “Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation” for this Act, jointly submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairmen of the House and Senate Budget Committees, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage in the House acting first on this conference report or amendment between the Houses.

SEC. 802. EMERGENCY DESIGNATIONS.

(a) STATUTORY PAYGO.—This Act is designated as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 4(g) of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-139; 2 U.S.C. 933(g)) except to the extent that the budgetary effects of this Act are determined to be subject to the current policy adjustments under sections 4(c) and 7 of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act.

(b) SENATE.—In the Senate, this Act is designated as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 403(a) of S. Con. Res. 13 (111th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2010.

(c) HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.—In the House of Representatives, every provision of this Act is expressly designated as an emergency for purposes of pay-as-you-go principles except to the extent that any such provision is subject to the current policy adjustments under section 4(c) of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010.

SEC. 803. SPENDING CAPS.

The Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 is amended by inserting after section 253 the following:

“SEC. 253A. ENFORCING SPENDING CAPS.

“(a) ENFORCING DISCRETIONARY SPENDING LIMITS.—In this section, the term ‘discretionary spending limit’ means an amount, as estimated by OMB, not to exceed—

“(1) for fiscal year 2012, an amount equal to discretionary outlays for fiscal year 2011;

“(2) for fiscal year 2013, an amount equal to discretionary outlays for fiscal year 2008, adjusted for inflation; and

“(3) for each of the fiscal years 2014 through 2020, an amount equal to the discretionary outlays for preceding fiscal year increased by an amount equal to 50 percent of the projected rate of inflation.

“(b) DISCRETIONARY SPENDING LIMIT.—The Office of Management and Budget shall estimate the discretionary spending limit for the target fiscal year at the outset of the previous fiscal year, on April 30, on August 20, and 15 days after the conclusion of the fiscal year. CBO shall provide advisory reports calculating the discretionary spending limit at identical times.

“(c) SEQUESTRATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—

“(A) EXCESS SPENDING.—Not later than 45 calendar days after the beginning of a fiscal year, OMB shall conduct a sequestration to eliminate any excess discretionary spending.

“(B) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘excess discretionary spending’ means the amount by which total Federal discretionary spending for a fiscal year exceeds the discretionary spending limit as adjusted pursuant to paragraph (2).

“(2) PREVIEW REPORT.—CBO shall submit an advisory sequestration preview report as described in section 254(c)(4) on August 10 of each year. OMB shall produce an sequestration preview report on August 20 as described in section 254(c)(4). Fifteen days after the fiscal year begins, OMB shall issue an updated sequestration report as described in section 254(e). Thirty days later, the OMB should issue its final sequestration report as described in section 254(f)(3). It shall be accompanied by a Presidential order detailing the uniform spending reductions. The reductions should generally follow the process set forth in section 253 and 254, except as provided in this section.

“(3) CONGRESSIONAL ACTION.—If the August 20 OMB report projects a sequestration, the Senate and House Budget Committees may report a resolution directing their committees to change the existing law to achieve the goals outlined in the August 20 report. If the Committees report their respective resolutions, a reconciliation process shall be triggered in accordance with section 258C.

“(4) REDUCING NONEXEMPT BUDGETARY RESOURCES BY A UNIFORM PERCENTAGE.—OMB shall calculate the uniform percentage by which the budgetary resources of nonexempt direct and discretionary spending programs are to be sequestered such that the outlay savings resulting from that sequestration, as calculated under this subsection, to eliminate excess outlays.

“(d) NO EXEMPT PROGRAMS.—Section 255 shall not apply to this section, except that payments for net interest (budget function 900) shall be exempt.

“(e) LOOK BACK.—If, after June 30, a bill resulting in outlays for the fiscal year in progress is enacted that causes excess outlays, the excess outlays for the next fiscal year shall be increased by the amount or amounts of that breach.”.

SA 4807. Mr. MCCAIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 3082, making appropriations for military construction, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

SEC. ____ . PROHIBITION ON FUNDING EARMARKS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, none of the funds provided in this Act may be expended to fund an earmark. Any account in this Act from which an earmark is made shall be reduced by an amount equal to any such earmark.

(b) EARMARK DEFINED.—The term “earmark” means a congressionally directed spending item, limited tax benefit, or limited tariff benefit as defined in paragraph 5 of rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, as added by section 521 of the Honest Leadership and Open Government Act of 2007 (Public Law 110-81).

SA 4808. Mr. CORKER (for himself, Mrs. McCASKILL, Mr. ALEXANDER, Mr. BURR, Mr. CHAMBLISS, Mr. CORNYN, Mr. ISAKSON, Mr. LEMIEUX, and Mr. MCCAIN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page __, between lines __ and __, insert the following:

TITLE __—CAP ACT OF 2010

SEC. 01. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Commitment to American Prosperity Act of 2010” or the “CAP Act of 2010”.

SEC. 02. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) This Act is authorized by the United States Constitution under clause 1 of section 8 of article I, relating to the power of the Congress to tax and spend.

(2) Total Federal outlays have averaged 20.4 percent of gross domestic product over the past 50 years.

(3) Total Federal outlays in fiscal year 2010 were 23.8 percent of gross domestic product.

(4) Total Federal outlays in fiscal year 2020 will be 25.9 percent of gross domestic product.

(5) It is appropriate and necessary to put total federal outlays under a limitation, as a percent of gross domestic product, such that a downward glide path ultimately brings spending in line with historical norms.

SEC. 03. OUTLAYS EXCEEDING THE GDP OUTLAY LIMIT.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—Section 250(c)(4) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 is amended by striking paragraph (4), redesignating the succeeding paragraphs accordingly, and adding the following paragraphs:

“(19) The term ‘GDP’, for any fiscal year, means the gross domestic product during such fiscal year consistent with Department of Commerce definitions.

“(20)(A) The term ‘emergency requirement’ means any provision that provides new budget authority and resulting outlays for a situation that poses a threat to life, property, or national security and is—

“(i) sudden, quickly coming into being, and not building up over time;

“(ii) an urgent, pressing, and compelling need requiring immediate action;

“(iii) unforeseen, unpredictable, and unanticipated; and

“(iv) not permanent, temporary in nature.

“(B) An emergency that is part of an aggregate level of anticipated emergencies, particularly when normally estimated in advance, is not unforeseen.

“(21) The term ‘target fiscal year’ means the fiscal year in which a GDP outlay limit is in effect under section 253A.”.

(b) **CAPS.**—The Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 is amended by inserting after section 253 the following:

“SEC. 253A. ENFORCING GDP OUTLAY LIMITS.

“(a) **ENFORCING GDP OUTLAY LIMITS.**—In this section, the term ‘GDP outlay limit’ means an amount, as estimated by OMB, equal to—

“(1) the average GDP for the first 3 of the 4 fiscal years preceding the target fiscal year (fiscal year 2009, fiscal year 2010 and fiscal year 2011 for target year fiscal year 2013, and so on); multiplied by

“(2)(A) 25 percent for fiscal year 2013; and

“(B) for fiscal years 2014 through 2022, 25 percent minus 0.168 percent accumulating for each fiscal year (25 percent minus .168 percent in fiscal year 2014, 25 percent minus .336 percent in fiscal year 2015, and so on).

“(b) **GDP OUTLAY LIMIT AND OUTLAYS.**—

“(1) **DETERMINING THE GDP OUTLAY LIMIT.**—The Office of Management and Budget shall estimate the GDP outlay limit for the target fiscal year at the outset of the previous fiscal year, on April 30, on August 20, and 15 days after the conclusion of the fiscal year. CBO shall provide advisory reports calculating the GDP outlay limit at identical times.

“(2) **TOTAL FEDERAL OUTLAYS.**—In this section, total Federal outlays shall—

“(A) include all on-budget and off-budget outlays; and

“(B) exclude surplus-funded redemptions of the public debt.

“(c) **SEQUESTRATION.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—

“(A) **EXCESS SPENDING.**—Not later than 45 calendar days after the beginning of a fiscal year, OMB shall conduct a sequestration to eliminate the excess outlay amount.

“(B) **DEFINITION.**—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘excess outlay amount’ means the amount by which total Federal outlays for a fiscal year exceed the GDP outlay limit as adjusted pursuant to paragraph (2).

“(2) **PREVIEW REPORT.**—CBO shall submit an advisory sequestration preview report as described in section 254(c)(4) on August 10 of each year. OMB shall produce an sequestration preview report on August 20 as described in section 254(c)(4). Fifteen days after the fiscal year begins, OMB shall issue an updated sequestration report as described in section 254(e). Thirty days later, the OMB should issue its final sequestration report as described in section 254(f)(3). It shall be accompanied by a Presidential order detailing the uniform spending reductions. The reductions should generally follow the process set forth in section 253 and 254, except as provided in this section.

“(3) **CONGRESSIONAL ACTION.**—If the August 20 OMB report projects a sequestration, the Senate and House Budget Committees may report a resolution directing their committees to change the existing law to achieve the goals outlined in the August 20 report. If the Committees report their respective resolutions, a reconciliation process shall be triggered in accordance with section 258C.

“(4) **REDUCING NONEXEMPT BUDGETARY RESOURCES BY A UNIFORM PERCENTAGE.**—OMB shall calculate the uniform percentage by which the budgetary resources of nonexempt direct and discretionary spending programs are to be sequestered such that the outlay savings resulting from that sequestration, as calculated under this subsection, to eliminate excess outlays.

“(d) **EXCEPTIONS.**—Total Federal outlays may exceed the GDP outlay limit if during the fiscal year the excess amount is being paid to reduce the public debt or the public debt is zero.

“(e) **NO EXEMPT PROGRAMS.**—Section 255 shall not apply to this section, except that payments for net interest (budget function 900) shall be exempt.

“(f) **LOOK BACK.**—If, after June 30, a bill resulting in outlays for the fiscal year in

progress is enacted that causes excess outlays, the excess outlays for the next fiscal year shall be increased by the amount or amounts of that breach.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—This section shall apply beginning in fiscal year 2013 and beyond.

SEC. 05. ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES UNDER THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET ACT OF 1974.

(a) **ENFORCEMENT.**—Title III of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 is amended by adding after section 315 the following:

“SEC. 316. ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES.

“(a) **GDP OUTLAY LIMITS.**—It shall not be in order in the House of Representatives or the Senate to consider any bill, joint resolution, amendment, or conference report that includes any provision that would cause the most recently reported, current GDP outlay limits set forth in section 253A of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 to be exceeded.

“(b) **WAIVER OR SUSPENSION.**—

“(1) **IN THE SENATE.**—The provisions of this section may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the Members, duly chosen and sworn.

“(2) **IN THE HOUSE.**—The provisions of this section may be waived or suspended in the House of Representatives only by a rule or order proposing only to waive such provisions by an affirmative vote of two-thirds of the Members, duly chosen and sworn.

“(c) **POINT OF ORDER PROTECTION.**—In the House, it shall not be in order to consider a rule or order that waives the application of paragraph (2) of subsection (b).

“(d) **MOTION TO SUSPEND.**—It shall not be in order for the Speaker to entertain a motion to suspend the application of this section under clause 1 of rule XV.”.

(b) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—The table of contents in section 1(b) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 315 the following:

“Sec. 316. Enforcement procedures.”.

SA 4809. Mr. SANDERS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4753 proposed by Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. MCCONNELL) to the bill H.R. 4853, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, to amend title 49, United States Code, to extend authorizations for the airport improvement program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

Strike all after the first word and insert the following:

1. SHORT TITLE; ETC.

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This Act may be cited as the “Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010”.

(b) **AMENDMENT OF 1986 CODE.**—Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this Act an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(c) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; etc.

TITLE I—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF TAX RELIEF

- Sec. 101. Temporary extension of 2001 tax relief.
- Sec. 102. Temporary extension of 2003 tax relief.
- Sec. 103. Temporary extension of 2009 tax relief.

TITLE II—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INDIVIDUAL AMT RELIEF

- Sec. 201. Temporary extension of increased alternative minimum tax exemption amount.
- Sec. 202. Temporary extension of alternative minimum tax relief for non-refundable personal credits.

TITLE III—RESPONSIBLE ESTATE TAX REFORM

- Sec. 301. Short title.
- Sec. 302. Reinstatement of estate tax; repeal of carryover basis.
- Sec. 303. Modifications to estate, gift, and generation-skipping transfer taxes.
- Sec. 304. Application of EGTRRA sunset to this title.

TITLE IV—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INVESTMENT INCENTIVES

- Sec. 401. Extension of bonus depreciation; temporary 100 percent expensing for certain business assets.
- Sec. 402. Temporary extension of increased small business expensing.

TITLE V—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND RELATED MATTERS

- Sec. 501. Temporary extension of unemployment insurance provisions.
- Sec. 502. Temporary modification of indicators under the extended benefit program.
- Sec. 503. Technical amendment relating to collection of unemployment compensation debts.
- Sec. 504. Technical correction relating to repeal of continued dumping and subsidy offset.
- Sec. 505. Additional extended unemployment benefits under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act.

TITLE VI—EXTENSION OF MAKING WORK PAY CREDIT

- Sec. 601. Making work pay credit.

TITLE VII—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF CERTAIN EXPIRING PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Energy

- Sec. 701. Incentives for biodiesel and renewable diesel.
- Sec. 702. Credit for refined coal facilities.
- Sec. 703. New energy efficient home credit.
- Sec. 704. Excise tax credits and outlay payments for alternative fuel and alternative fuel mixtures.
- Sec. 705. Special rule for sales or dispositions to implement FERC or State electric restructuring policy for qualified electric utilities.
- Sec. 706. Suspension of limitation on percentage depletion for oil and gas from marginal wells.
- Sec. 707. Extension of grants for specified energy property in lieu of tax credits.
- Sec. 708. Extension of provisions related to alcohol used as fuel.
- Sec. 709. Energy efficient appliance credit.
- Sec. 710. Credit for nonbusiness energy property.
- Sec. 711. Alternative fuel vehicle refueling property.

Subtitle B—Individual Tax Relief

- Sec. 721. Deduction for certain expenses of elementary and secondary school teachers.
- Sec. 722. Deduction of State and local sales taxes.
- Sec. 723. Contributions of capital gain real property made for conservation purposes.
- Sec. 724. Above-the-line deduction for qualified tuition and related expenses.
- Sec. 725. Tax-free distributions from individual retirement plans for charitable purposes.
- Sec. 726. Look-thru of certain regulated investment company stock in determining gross estate of non-residents.
- Sec. 727. Parity for exclusion from income for employer-provided mass transit and parking benefits.
- Sec. 728. Refunds disregarded in the administration of Federal programs and federally assisted programs.

Subtitle C—Business Tax Relief

- Sec. 731. Research credit.
- Sec. 732. Indian employment tax credit.
- Sec. 733. New markets tax credit.
- Sec. 734. Railroad track maintenance credit.
- Sec. 735. Mine rescue team training credit.
- Sec. 736. Employer wage credit for employees who are active duty members of the uniformed services.
- Sec. 737. 15-year straight-line cost recovery for qualified leasehold improvements, qualified restaurant buildings and improvements, and qualified retail improvements.
- Sec. 738. 7-year recovery period for motor-sports entertainment complexes.
- Sec. 739. Accelerated depreciation for business property on an Indian reservation.
- Sec. 740. Enhanced charitable deduction for contributions of food inventory.
- Sec. 741. Enhanced charitable deduction for contributions of book inventories to public schools.
- Sec. 742. Enhanced charitable deduction for corporate contributions of computer inventory for educational purposes.
- Sec. 743. Election to expense mine safety equipment.
- Sec. 744. Special expensing rules for certain film and television productions.
- Sec. 745. Expensing of environmental remediation costs.
- Sec. 746. Deduction allowable with respect to income attributable to domestic production activities in Puerto Rico.
- Sec. 747. Modification of tax treatment of certain payments to controlling exempt organizations.
- Sec. 748. Treatment of certain dividends of regulated investment companies.
- Sec. 749. RIC qualified investment entity treatment under FIRPTA.
- Sec. 750. Exceptions for active financing income.
- Sec. 751. Look-thru treatment of payments between related controlled foreign corporations under foreign personal holding company rules.
- Sec. 752. Basis adjustment to stock of S corps making charitable contributions of property.

- Sec. 753. Empowerment zone tax incentives.
- Sec. 754. Tax incentives for investment in the District of Columbia.
- Sec. 755. Temporary increase in limit on cover over of rum excise taxes to Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands.
- Sec. 756. American Samoa economic development credit.
- Sec. 757. Work opportunity credit.
- Sec. 758. Qualified zone academy bonds.
- Sec. 759. Mortgage insurance premiums.
- Sec. 760. Temporary exclusion of 100 percent of gain on certain small business stock.

Subtitle D—Temporary Disaster Relief Provisions

SUBPART A—NEW YORK LIBERTY ZONE

- Sec. 761. Tax-exempt bond financing.

SUBPART B—GO ZONE

- Sec. 762. Increase in rehabilitation credit.
- Sec. 763. Low-income housing credit rules for buildings in GO zones.
- Sec. 764. Tax-exempt bond financing.
- Sec. 765. Bonus depreciation deduction applicable to the GO Zone.

TITLE VIII—SENIOR CITIZENS RELIEF

- Sec. 801. Short title.
- Sec. 802. Extension and modification of certain economic recovery payments.

TITLE IX—INFRASTRUCTURE, ENERGY, AND WATER PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—TIGER Discretionary Grants

- Sec. 901. TIGER discretionary grants.

Subtitle B—National Infrastructure Bank

- Sec. 911. Findings.
- Sec. 912. Definitions.
- Sec. 913. Establishment of national infrastructure development bank.
- Sec. 914. Board of directors.
- Sec. 915. Executive committee.
- Sec. 916. Risk management committee.
- Sec. 917. Audit Committee.
- Sec. 918. Personnel.
- Sec. 919. Eligibility criteria for assistance from Bank.
- Sec. 920. Exemption from local taxation.
- Sec. 921. Status and applicability of certain Federal laws; full faith and credit.
- Sec. 922. Compliance with Davis-Bacon Act.
- Sec. 923. Applicability of certain State laws.
- Sec. 924. Audits; reports to President and Congress.
- Sec. 925. Capitalization of bank.
- Sec. 926. Sunset.

Subtitle C—Energy and Water Programs

- Sec. 931. Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program.
- Sec. 932. State water pollution control revolving funds.
- Sec. 933. State drinking water revolving loan funds.
- Sec. 934. State energy conservation plans.
- Sec. 935. Temporary program for rapid deployment of renewable energy and electric power transmission projects.
- Sec. 936. Extension of qualifying advanced energy project credit.
- Sec. 937. Land and Water Conservation Fund.
- Sec. 938. Flood control projects.

Subtitle D—Housing Programs

- Sec. 941. National Housing Trust Fund.
- Sec. 942. Green retrofit program.

TITLE X—BUDGETARY PROVISIONS

- Sec. 1001. Determination of budgetary effects.

Sec. 1002. Emergency designations.

TITLE I—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF TAX RELIEF

SEC. 101. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2001 TAX RELIEF.

(a) TEMPORARY EXTENSION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 901 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” both places it appears and inserting “December 31, 2012”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001.

(b) APPLICATION TO TAXPAYERS WITH INCOME OF \$250,000 OR MORE.—

(1) INCOME TAX RATES.—

(A) 25- AND 28- PERCENT RATE BRACKETS MADE PERMANENT.—Paragraph (2) of section 1(i) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) 25- AND 28- PERCENT RATE BRACKETS.—The tables under subsections (a), (b), (c), (d), and (e) shall be applied—

“(A) by substituting ‘25%’ for ‘28%’ each place it appears (before the application of subparagraph (B)), and

“(B) by substituting ‘28%’ for ‘31%’ each place it appears.”.

(B) 33-PERCENT RATE BRACKET.—Subsection (i) of section 1 is amended by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4) and by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph:

“(3) 33-PERCENT RATE BRACKET.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010—

“(i) the rate of tax under subsections (a), (b), (c), and (d) on a taxpayer’s taxable income in the fourth rate bracket shall be 33 percent to the extent such income does not exceed an amount equal to the excess of—

“(I) the applicable amount, over

“(II) the dollar amount at which such bracket begins, and

“(ii) the 36 percent rate of tax under such subsections shall apply only to the taxpayer’s taxable income in such bracket in excess of the amount to which clause (i) applies.

“(B) APPLICABLE AMOUNT.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘applicable amount’ means the excess of—

“(i) the applicable threshold, over

“(ii) the sum of the following amounts in effect for the taxable year:

“(I) the basic standard deduction (within the meaning of section 63(c)(2)), and

“(II) the exemption amount (within the meaning of section 151(d)(1) (or, in the case of subsection (a), 2 such exemption amounts).

“(C) APPLICABLE THRESHOLD.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘applicable threshold’ means—

“(i) \$250,000 in the case of subsection (a),

“(ii) \$200,000 in the case of subsections (b) and (c), and

“(iii) ½ the amount applicable under clause (i) (after adjustment, if any, under subparagraph (E)) in the case of subsection (d).

“(D) FOURTH RATE BRACKET.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘fourth rate bracket’ means the bracket which would (determined without regard to this paragraph) be the 36-percent rate bracket.

“(E) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—For purposes of this paragraph, a rule similar to the rule of paragraph (1)(C) shall apply with respect to taxable years beginning in calendar years after 2010, applied by substituting ‘2008’ for ‘1992’ in subsection (f)(3)(B).”.

(2) PHASEOUT OF PERSONAL EXEMPTIONS AND ITEMIZED DEDUCTIONS.—

(A) OVERALL LIMITATION ON ITEMIZED DEDUCTIONS.—Section 68 is amended—

(i) by striking “the applicable amount” the first place it appears in subsection (a) and inserting “the applicable threshold in effect under section 1(i)(3)”;

(ii) by striking “the applicable amount” in subsection (a)(1) and inserting “such applicable threshold”;

(iii) by striking subsection (b) and redesignating subsections (c), (d), and (e) as subsections (b), (c), and (d), respectively, and

(iv) by striking subsections (f) and (g).

(B) PHASEOUT OF DEDUCTIONS FOR PERSONAL EXEMPTIONS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (3) of section 151(d) is amended—

(I) by striking “the threshold amount” in subparagraphs (A) and (B) and inserting “the applicable threshold in effect under section 1(i)(3)”;

(II) by striking subparagraph (C) and redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (C), and

(III) by striking subparagraphs (E) and (F).

(ii) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Paragraph (4) of section 151(d) is amended—

(I) by striking subparagraph (B),

(II) by redesignating clauses (i) and (ii) of subparagraph (A) as subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively, and by indenting such subparagraphs (as so redesignated) accordingly, and

(III) by striking all that precedes “in a calendar year after 1989,” and inserting the following:

“(4) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—In the case of any taxable year beginning”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Except as otherwise provided, the amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 102. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2003 TAX RELIEF.

(a) EXTENSION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 303 of the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003 is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2012”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003.

(b) 20-PERCENT CAPITAL GAINS RATE FOR CERTAIN HIGH INCOME INDIVIDUALS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 1(h) is amended by striking subparagraph (C), by redesignating subparagraphs (D) and (E) as subparagraphs (E) and (F) and by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) 15 percent of the lesser of—

“(i) so much of the adjusted net capital gain (or, if less, taxable income) as exceeds the amount on which a tax is determined under subparagraph (B), or

“(ii) the excess (if any) of—

“(I) the amount of taxable income which would (without regard to this paragraph) be taxed at a rate below 39.6 percent, over

“(II) the sum of the amounts on which a tax is determined under subparagraphs (A) and (B),

“(D) 20 percent of the adjusted net capital gain (or, if less, taxable income) in excess of the sum of the amounts on which tax is determined under subparagraphs (B) and (C).”.

(2) MINIMUM TAX.—Paragraph (3) of section 55(b) is amended by striking subparagraph (C), by redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (E), and by inserting after sub-

paragraph (B) the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) 15 percent of the lesser of—

“(i) so much of the adjusted net capital gain (or, if less, taxable excess) as exceeds the amount on which tax is determined under subparagraph (B), or

“(ii) the excess described in section 1(h)(1)(C)(ii), plus

“(D) 20 percent of the adjusted net capital gain (or, if less, taxable excess) in excess of the sum of the amounts on which tax is determined under subparagraphs (B) and (C), plus”.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The following provisions are each amended by striking “15 percent” and inserting “20 percent”:

(A) Section 531.

(B) Section 541.

(C) Section 1445(e)(1).

(D) The second sentence of section 7518(g)(6)(A).

(E) Section 53511(f)(2) of title 46, United States Code.

(2) Sections 1(h)(1)(B) and 55(b)(3)(B) are each amended by striking “5 percent (0 percent in the case of taxable years beginning after 2007)” and inserting “0 percent”.

(3) Section 1445(e)(6) is amended by striking “15 percent (20 percent in the case of taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010)” and inserting “20 percent”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in otherwise provided, the amendments made by subsections (b) and (c) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

(2) WITHHOLDING.—The amendments made by paragraphs (1)(C) and (3) of subsection (c) shall apply to amounts paid on or after January 1, 2011.

SEC. 103. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2009 TAX RELIEF.

(a) AMERICAN OPPORTUNITY TAX CREDIT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 25A(i) is amended by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, 2011, or 2012”.

(2) TREATMENT OF POSSESSIONS.—Section 1004(c)(1) of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009 is amended by striking “and 2010” each place it appears and inserting “, 2010, 2011, and 2012”.

(b) CHILD TAX CREDIT.—Section 24(d)(4) is amended—

(1) by striking “2009 AND 2010” in the heading and inserting “2009, 2010, 2011, AND 2012”, and

(2) by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, 2011, or 2012”.

(c) EARNED INCOME TAX CREDIT.—Section 32(b)(3) is amended—

(1) by striking “2009 AND 2010” in the heading and inserting “2009, 2010, 2011, AND 2012”, and

(2) by striking “or 2010” and inserting “, 2010, 2011, or 2012”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

TITLE II—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INDIVIDUAL AMT RELIEF

SEC. 201. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INCREASED ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX EXEMPTION AMOUNT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 55(d) is amended—

(1) by striking “\$70,950” and all that follows through “2009” in subparagraph (A) and inserting “\$72,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2010 and \$74,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2011”, and

(2) by striking “\$46,700” and all that follows through “2009” in subparagraph (B) and

inserting “\$47,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2010 and \$48,450 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

(c) **REPEAL OF EGTRRA SUNSET.**—Title IX of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 (relating to sunset of provisions of such Act) shall not apply to title VII of such Act (relating to alternative minimum tax).

SEC. 202. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX RELIEF FOR NONREFUNDABLE PERSONAL CREDITS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (2) of section 26(a) is amended—

(1) by striking “or 2009” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”, and

(2) by striking “2009” in the heading thereof and inserting “2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

TITLE III—RESPONSIBLE ESTATE TAX REFORM

SEC. 301. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Responsible Estate Tax Act”.

SEC. 302. REINSTATEMENT OF ESTATE TAX; REPEAL OF CARRYOVER BASIS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Each provision of law amended by subtitle A or E of title V of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 is amended to read as such provision would read if such subtitle had never been enacted.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—On and after January 1, 2011, paragraph (1) of section 2505(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended to read as such paragraph would read if section 521(b)(2) of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 had never been enacted.

(c) **SPECIAL ELECTION WITH RESPECT TO ESTATES OF DECEDENTS DYING IN 2010.**—Notwithstanding subsection (a), in the case of an estate of a decedent dying after December 31, 2009, and before January 1, 2011, the executor (within the meaning of section 2203 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) may elect to apply such Code as though the amendments made by subsection (a) do not apply with respect to chapter 11 of such Code and with respect to property acquired or passing from such decedent (within the meaning of section 1014(b) of such Code). Such election shall be made at such time and in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary's delegate shall provide. Such an election once made shall be revocable only with the consent of the Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary's delegate. For purposes of section 2652(a)(1) of such Code, the determination of whether any property is subject to the tax imposed by such chapter 11 shall be made without regard to any election made under this subsection.

(d) **EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PERFORMING CERTAIN ACTS.**—

(1) **ESTATE TAX.**—In the case of the estate of a decedent dying after December 31, 2009, and before the date of the enactment of this Act, the due date for—

(A) filing any return under section 6018 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (including any election required to be made on such a return) as such section is in effect after the date of the enactment of this Act without regard to any election under subsection (c),

(B) making any payment of tax under chapter 11 of such Code, and

(C) making any disclaimer described in section 2518(b) of such Code of an interest in

property passing by reason of the death of such decedent,

shall not be earlier than the date which is 9 months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) **GENERATION-SKIPPING TAX.**—In the case of any generation-skipping transfer made after December 31, 2009, and before the date of the enactment of this Act, the due date for filing any return under section 2662 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (including any election required to be made on such a return) shall not be earlier than the date which is 9 months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(e) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—Except as otherwise provided in this section, the amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying, and transfers made, after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 303. MODIFICATIONS TO ESTATE, GIFT, AND GENERATION-SKIPPING TRANSFER TAXES.

(a) **MODIFICATIONS TO ESTATE TAX.**—

(1) **\$3,500,000 APPLICABLE EXCLUSION AMOUNT.**—Subsection (c) of section 2010 is amended to read as follows:

“(c) **APPLICABLE CREDIT AMOUNT.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of this section, the applicable credit amount is the amount of the tentative tax which would be determined under section 2001(c) if the amount with respect to which such tentative tax is to be computed were equal to the applicable exclusion amount.

“(2) **APPLICABLE EXCLUSION AMOUNT.**—For purposes of this subsection, the applicable exclusion amount is \$3,500,000.”.

(2) **MAXIMUM ESTATE TAX RATE EQUAL TO 45 PERCENT.**—Subsection (c) of section 2001 is amended—

(A) by striking “Over \$1,500,000” and all that follows in the table contained in paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“Over \$555,800, plus 35 percent of the \$1,500,000. excess of such amount over \$1,500,000.”.

(B) by striking “(1) **IN GENERAL.**—”, and

(C) by striking paragraph (2).

(b) **MODIFICATIONS TO GIFT TAX.**—

(1) **RESTORATION OF UNIFIED CREDIT AGAINST GIFT TAX.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (1) of section 2505(a), after the application of section 301(b), is amended by striking “(determined as if the applicable exclusion amount were \$1,000,000)”.

(B) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this paragraph shall apply to gifts made after December 31, 2010.

(2) **MODIFICATION OF GIFT TAX RATE.**—On and after January 1, 2011, subsection (a) of section 2502 is amended to read as such subsection would read if section 511(d) of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 had never been enacted.

(c) **MODIFICATION OF GENERATION-SKIPPING TRANSFER TAX.**—In the case of any generation-skipping transfer made after December 31, 2009, and before January 1, 2011, the applicable rate determined under section 2641(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall be zero.

(d) **MODIFICATIONS OF ESTATE AND GIFT TAXES TO REFLECT DIFFERENCES IN CREDIT RESULTING FROM DIFFERENT TAX RATES.**—

(1) **ESTATE TAX.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 2001(b)(2) is amended by striking “if the provisions of subsection (c) (as in effect at the decedent's death)” and inserting “if the modifications described in subsection (g)”.

(B) **MODIFICATIONS.**—Section 2001 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) **MODIFICATIONS TO GIFT TAX PAYABLE TO REFLECT DIFFERENT TAX RATES.**—For purposes of applying subsection (b)(2) with respect to 1 or more gifts, the rates of tax under subsection (c) in effect at the decedent's death shall, in lieu of the rates of tax in effect at the time of such gifts, be used both to compute—

“(1) the tax imposed by chapter 12 with respect to such gifts, and

“(2) the credit allowed against such tax under section 2505, including in computing—

“(A) the applicable credit amount under section 2505(a)(1), and

“(B) the sum of the amounts allowed as a credit for all preceding periods under section 2505(a)(2).”.

(2) **GIFT TAX.**—Section 2505(a) is amended by adding at the end the following new flush sentence:

“For purposes of applying paragraph (2) for any calendar year, the rates of tax in effect under section 2502(a)(2) for such calendar year shall, in lieu of the rates of tax in effect for preceding calendar periods, be used in determining the amounts allowable as a credit under this section for all preceding calendar periods.”.

(e) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 2511 is amended by striking subsection (c).

(f) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the amendments made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying, generation-skipping transfers, and gifts made, after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 304. APPLICATION OF EGTRRA SUNSET TO THIS TITLE.

Section 901 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 shall apply to the amendments made by this title.

TITLE IV—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INVESTMENT INCENTIVES

SEC. 401. EXTENSION OF BONUS DEPRECIATION; TEMPORARY 100 PERCENT EXPENSING FOR CERTAIN BUSINESS ASSETS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (2) of section 168(k) is amended—

(1) by striking “January 1, 2012” in subparagraph (A)(iv) and inserting “January 1, 2014”, and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2011” each place it appears and inserting “January 1, 2013”.

(b) **TEMPORARY 100 PERCENT EXPENSING.**—Subsection (k) of section 168 is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) **SPECIAL RULE FOR PROPERTY ACQUIRED DURING CERTAIN PRE-2012 PERIODS.**—In the case of qualified property acquired by the taxpayer (under rules similar to the rules of clauses (ii) and (iii) of paragraph (2)(A)) after September 8, 2010, and before January 1, 2012, and which is placed in service by the taxpayer before January 1, 2012 (January 1, 2013, in the case of property described in subparagraph (2)(B) or (2)(C)), paragraph (1)(A) shall be applied by substituting ‘100 percent’ for ‘50 percent’.”.

(c) **EXTENSION OF ELECTION TO ACCELERATE THE AMT CREDIT IN LIEU OF BONUS DEPRECIATION.**—

(1) **EXTENSION.**—Clause (iii) of section 168(k)(4)(D) is amended by striking “or production” and all that follows and inserting “or production—

“(I) after March 31, 2008, and before January 1, 2010, and

“(II) after December 31, 2010, and before January 1, 2013, shall be taken into account under subparagraph (B)(ii) thereof.”.

(2) RULES FOR ROUND 2 EXTENSION PROPERTY.—Paragraph (4) of section 168(k) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(I) SPECIAL RULES FOR ROUND 2 EXTENSION PROPERTY.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—In the case of round 2 extension property, this paragraph shall be applied without regard to—

“(I) the limitation described in subparagraph (B)(i) thereof, and

“(II) the business credit increase amount under subparagraph (E)(iii) thereof.

“(ii) TAXPAYERS PREVIOUSLY ELECTING ACCELERATION.—In the case of a taxpayer who made the election under subparagraph (A) for its first taxable year ending after March 31, 2008, or a taxpayer who made the election under subparagraph (H)(ii) for its first taxable year ending after December 31, 2008—

“(I) the taxpayer may elect not to have this paragraph apply to round 2 extension property, but

“(II) if the taxpayer does not make the election under subclause (I), in applying this paragraph to the taxpayer the bonus depreciation amount, maximum amount, and maximum increase amount shall be computed and applied to eligible qualified property which is round 2 extension property.

The amounts described in subclause (II) shall be computed separately from any amounts computed with respect to eligible qualified property which is not round 2 extension property.

“(iii) TAXPAYERS NOT PREVIOUSLY ELECTING ACCELERATION.—In the case of a taxpayer who neither made the election under subparagraph (A) for its first taxable year ending after March 31, 2008, nor made the election under subparagraph (H)(ii) for its first taxable year ending after December 31, 2008—

“(I) the taxpayer may elect to have this paragraph apply to its first taxable year ending after December 31, 2010, and each subsequent taxable year, and

“(II) if the taxpayer makes the election under subclause (I), this paragraph shall only apply to eligible qualified property which is round 2 extension property.

“(iv) ROUND 2 EXTENSION PROPERTY.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term ‘round 2 extension property’ means property which is eligible qualified property solely by reason of the extension of the application of the special allowance under paragraph (1) pursuant to the amendments made by section 401(a) of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (and the application of such extension to this paragraph pursuant to the amendment made by section 401(c)(1) of such Act).”.

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The heading for subsection (k) of section 168 is amended by striking “JANUARY 1, 2011” and inserting “JANUARY 1, 2013”.

(2) The heading for clause (ii) of section 168(k)(2)(B) is amended by striking “PRE-JANUARY 1, 2011” and inserting “PRE-JANUARY 1, 2013”.

(3) Subparagraph (D) of section 168(k)(4) is amended—

(A) by striking clauses (iv) and (v),

(B) by inserting “and” at the end of clause (ii), and

(C) by striking the comma at the end of clause (iii) and inserting a period.

(4) Paragraph (5) of section 168(l) is amended—

(A) by inserting “and” at the end of subparagraph (A),

(B) by striking subparagraph (B), and

(C) by redesignating subparagraph (C) as subparagraph (B).

(5) Subparagraph (C) of section 168(n)(2) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2013”.

(6) Subparagraph (D) of section 1400L(b)(2) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2013”.

(7) Subparagraph (B) of section 1400N(d)(3) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2013”.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2010, in taxable years ending after such date.

(2) TEMPORARY 100 PERCENT EXPENSING.—The amendment made by subsection (b) shall apply to property placed in service after September 8, 2010, in taxable years ending after such date.

SEC. 402. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF INCREASED SMALL BUSINESS EXPENSING.

(a) DOLLAR LIMITATION.—Section 179(b)(1) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (B) and by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) \$125,000 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2012, and

“(D) \$25,000 in the case of taxable years beginning after 2012.”.

(b) REDUCTION IN LIMITATION.—Section 179(b)(2) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (B) and by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) \$500,000 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2012, and

“(D) \$200,000 in the case of taxable years beginning after 2012.”.

(c) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—Subsection (b) of section 179 is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any taxable year beginning in calendar year 2012, the \$125,000 and \$500,000 amounts in paragraphs (1)(C) and (2)(C) shall each be increased by an amount equal to—

“(i) such dollar amount, multiplied by

“(ii) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for the calendar year in which the taxable year begins, by substituting ‘calendar year 2006’ for ‘calendar year 1992’ in subparagraph (B) thereof.

“(B) ROUNDING.—

“(i) DOLLAR LIMITATION.—If the amount in paragraph (1) as increased under subparagraph (A) is not a multiple of \$1,000, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$1,000.

“(ii) PHASEOUT AMOUNT.—If the amount in paragraph (2) as increased under subparagraph (A) is not a multiple of \$10,000, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10,000.”.

(d) COMPUTER SOFTWARE.—Section 179(d)(1)(A)(ii) is amended by striking “2012” and inserting “2013”.

(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 179(c)(2) is amended by striking “2012” and inserting “2013”.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2011.

TITLE V—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND RELATED MATTERS

SEC. 501. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE PROVISIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Section 4007 of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (Public Law 110–252; 26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended—

(A) by striking “November 30, 2010” each place it appears and inserting “January 3, 2012”;

(B) in the heading for subsection (b)(2), by striking “NOVEMBER 30, 2010” and inserting “JANUARY 3, 2012”; and

(C) in subsection (b)(3), by striking “April 30, 2011” and inserting “June 9, 2012”.

(2) Section 2005 of the Assistance for Unemployed Workers and Struggling Families Act, as contained in Public Law 111–5 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note; 123 Stat. 444), is amended—

(A) by striking “December 1, 2010” each place it appears and inserting “January 4, 2012”; and

(B) in subsection (c), by striking “May 1, 2011” and inserting “June 11, 2012”.

(3) Section 5 of the Unemployment Compensation Extension Act of 2008 (Public Law 110–449; 26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended by striking “April 30, 2011” and inserting “June 10, 2012”.

(b) FUNDING.—Section 4004(e)(1) of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (Public Law 110–252; 26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (E), by striking “and” at the end; and

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (F) the following:

“(G) the amendments made by section 501(a)(1) of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010; and”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Unemployment Compensation Extension Act of 2010 (Public Law 111–205).

SEC. 502. TEMPORARY MODIFICATION OF INDICATORS UNDER THE EXTENDED BENEFIT PROGRAM.

(a) INDICATOR.—Section 203(d) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended, in the flush matter following paragraph (2), by inserting after the first sentence the following sentence: “Effective with respect to compensation for weeks of unemployment beginning after the date of enactment of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (or, if later, the date established pursuant to State law), and ending on or before December 31, 2011, the State may by law provide that the determination of whether there has been a state ‘on’ or ‘off’ indicator beginning or ending any extended benefit period shall be made under this subsection as if the word ‘two’ were ‘three’ in subparagraph (1)(A).”.

(b) ALTERNATIVE TRIGGER.—Section 203(f) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 (26 U.S.C. 3304 note) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph:

“(2) Effective with respect to compensation for weeks of unemployment beginning after the date of enactment of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (or, if later, the date established pursuant to State

law), and ending on or before December 31, 2011, the State may by law provide that the determination of whether there has been a state 'on' or 'off' indicator beginning or ending any extended benefit period shall be made under this subsection as if the word 'either' were 'any', the word 'both' were 'all', and the figure '2' were '3' in clause (1)(A)(ii).''

SEC. 503. TECHNICAL AMENDMENT RELATING TO COLLECTION OF UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION DEBTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6402(f)(3)(C), as amended by section 801 of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010, is amended by striking "is not a covered unemployment compensation debt" and inserting "is a covered unemployment compensation debt".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect as if included in section 801 of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010.

SEC. 504. TECHNICAL CORRECTION RELATING TO REPEAL OF CONTINUED DUMPING AND SUBSIDY OFFSET.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 822(2)(A) of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010 is amended by striking "or" and inserting "and".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect as if included in the provisions of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010.

SEC. 505. ADDITIONAL EXTENDED UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS UNDER THE RAILROAD UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE ACT.

(a) EXTENSION.—Section 2(c)(2)(D)(iii) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, as added by section 2006 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-5) and as amended by section 9 of the Worker, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-92), is amended—

(1) by striking "June 30, 2010" and inserting "June 30, 2011"; and

(2) by striking "December 31, 2010" and inserting "December 31, 2011".

(b) CLARIFICATION ON AUTHORITY TO USE FUNDS.—Funds appropriated under either the first or second sentence of clause (iv) of section 2(c)(2)(D) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act shall be available to cover the cost of additional extended unemployment benefits provided under such section 2(c)(2)(D) by reason of the amendments made by subsection (a) as well as to cover the cost of such benefits provided under such section 2(c)(2)(D), as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act.

TITLE VI—EXTENSION OF MAKING WORK PAY CREDIT

SEC. 601. MAKING WORK PAY CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 36A(e) is amended by striking "December 31, 2010" and inserting "December 31, 2011".

(b) TREATMENT OF POSSESSIONS.—Section 1001(b)(1) of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009 is amended by striking "2009 and 2010" both places it appears and inserting "2009, 2010, and 2011".

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

TITLE VII—TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF CERTAIN EXPIRING PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Energy

SEC. 701. INCENTIVES FOR BIODIESEL AND RENEWABLE DIESEL.

(a) CREDITS FOR BIODIESEL AND RENEWABLE DIESEL USED AS FUEL.—Subsection (g) of section 40A is amended by striking "December 31, 2009" and inserting "December 31, 2011".

(b) EXCISE TAX CREDITS AND OUTLAY PAYMENTS FOR BIODIESEL AND RENEWABLE DIESEL FUEL MIXTURES.—

(1) Paragraph (6) of section 6426(c) is amended by striking "December 31, 2009" and inserting "December 31, 2011".

(2) Subparagraph (B) of section 6427(e)(6) is amended by striking "December 31, 2009" and inserting "December 31, 2011".

(c) SPECIAL RULE FOR 2010.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in the case of any biodiesel mixture credit properly determined under section 6426(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for periods during 2010, such credit shall be allowed, and any refund or payment attributable to such credit (including any payment under section 6427(e) of such Code) shall be made, only in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary's delegate) shall provide. Such Secretary shall issue guidance within 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act providing for a one-time submission of claims covering periods during 2010. Such guidance shall provide for a 180-day period for the submission of such claims (in such manner as prescribed by such Secretary) to begin not later than 30 days after such guidance is issued. Such claims shall be paid by such Secretary not later than 60 days after receipt. If such Secretary has not paid pursuant to a claim filed under this subsection within 60 days after the date of the filing of such claim, the claim shall be paid with interest from such date determined by using the overpayment rate and method under section 6621 of such Code.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to fuel sold or used after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 702. CREDIT FOR REFINED COAL FACILITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (B) of section 45(d)(8) is amended by striking "January 1, 2010" and inserting "January 1, 2012".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to facilities placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 703. NEW ENERGY EFFICIENT HOME CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (g) of section 45L is amended by striking "December 31, 2009" and inserting "December 31, 2011".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to homes acquired after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 704. EXCISE TAX CREDITS AND OUTLAY PAYMENTS FOR ALTERNATIVE FUEL AND ALTERNATIVE FUEL MIXTURES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Sections 6426(d)(5), 6426(e)(3), and 6427(e)(6)(C) are each amended by striking "December 31, 2009" and inserting "December 31, 2011".

(b) EXCLUSION OF BLACK LIQUOR FROM CREDIT ELIGIBILITY.—The last sentence of section 6426(d)(2) is amended by striking "or biodiesel" and inserting "biodiesel, or any fuel (including lignin, wood residues, or spent pulping liquors) derived from the production of paper or pulp".

(c) SPECIAL RULE FOR 2010.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in the case of any alternative fuel credit or any alternative fuel mixture credit properly determined under subsection (d) or (e) of section 6426 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for periods during 2010, such credit shall be allowed, and any refund or payment attributable to such credit (including any payment under section 6427(e) of such Code) shall be made, only in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary's delegate) shall provide. Such Secretary shall issue guidance within 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act providing for a one-

time submission of claims covering periods during 2010. Such guidance shall provide for a 180-day period for the submission of such claims (in such manner as prescribed by such Secretary) to begin not later than 30 days after such guidance is issued. Such claims shall be paid by such Secretary not later than 60 days after receipt. If such Secretary has not paid pursuant to a claim filed under this subsection within 60 days after the date of the filing of such claim, the claim shall be paid with interest from such date determined by using the overpayment rate and method under section 6621 of such Code.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to fuel sold or used after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 705. SPECIAL RULE FOR SALES OR DISPOSITIONS TO IMPLEMENT FERC OR STATE ELECTRIC RESTRUCTURING POLICY FOR QUALIFIED ELECTRIC UTILITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (3) of section 451(i) is amended by striking "January 1, 2010" and inserting "January 1, 2012".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to dispositions after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 706. SUSPENSION OF LIMITATION ON PERCENTAGE DEPLETION FOR OIL AND GAS FROM MARGINAL WELLS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (ii) of section 613A(c)(6)(H) is amended by striking "January 1, 2010" and inserting "January 1, 2012".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 707. EXTENSION OF GRANTS FOR SPECIFIED ENERGY PROPERTY IN LIEU OF TAX CREDITS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a) of section 1603 of division B of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking "2009 or 2010" and inserting "2009, 2010, or 2011", and

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by striking "after 2010" and inserting "after 2011", and

(B) by striking "2009 or 2010" and inserting "2009, 2010, or 2011".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (j) of section 1603 of division B of such Act is amended by striking "2011" and inserting "2012".

SEC. 708. EXTENSION OF PROVISIONS RELATED TO ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.

(a) EXTENSION OF INCOME TAX CREDIT FOR ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 40(e) is amended—

(A) by striking "December 31, 2010" in subparagraph (A) and inserting "December 31, 2011", and

(B) by striking "January 1, 2011" in subparagraph (B) and inserting "January 1, 2012".

(2) REDUCED AMOUNT FOR ETHANOL BLENDED.—Subsection (h) of section 40 is amended by striking "2010" both places it appears and inserting "2011".

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to periods after December 31, 2010.

(b) EXTENSION OF EXCISE TAX CREDIT FOR ALCOHOL USED AS FUEL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (6) of section 6426(b) is amended by striking "December 31, 2010" and inserting "December 31, 2011".

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to periods after December 31, 2010.

(c) EXTENSION OF PAYMENT FOR ALCOHOL FUEL MIXTURE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (A) of section 6427(e)(6) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to sales and uses after December 31, 2010.

(d) EXTENSION OF ADDITIONAL DUTIES ON ETHANOL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Headings 9901.00.50 and 9901.00.52 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States are each amended in the effective period column by striking “1/1/2011” and inserting “1/1/2012”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall take effect on January 1, 2011.

SEC. 709. ENERGY EFFICIENT APPLIANCE CREDIT.

(a) DISHWASHERS.—Paragraph (1) of section 45M(b) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (A), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (B) and inserting a comma, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) \$25 in the case of a dishwasher which is manufactured in calendar year 2011 and which uses no more than 307 kilowatt hours per year and 5.0 gallons per cycle (5.5 gallons per cycle for dishwashers designed for greater than 12 place settings),

“(D) \$50 in the case of a dishwasher which is manufactured in calendar year 2011 and which uses no more than 295 kilowatt hours per year and 4.25 gallons per cycle (4.75 gallons per cycle for dishwashers designed for greater than 12 place settings), and

“(E) \$75 in the case of a dishwasher which is manufactured in calendar year 2011 and which uses no more than 280 kilowatt hours per year and 4 gallons per cycle (4.5 gallons per cycle for dishwashers designed for greater than 12 place settings).”

(b) CLOTHES WASHERS.—Paragraph (2) of section 45M(b) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (D) and inserting a comma, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) \$175 in the case of a top-loading clothes washer manufactured in calendar year 2011 which meets or exceeds a 2.2 modified energy factor and does not exceed a 4.5 water consumption factor, and

“(F) \$225 in the case of a clothes washer manufactured in calendar year 2011—

“(i) which is a top-loading clothes washer and which meets or exceeds a 2.4 modified energy factor and does not exceed a 4.2 water consumption factor, or

“(ii) which is a front-loading clothes washer and which meets or exceeds a 2.8 modified energy factor and does not exceed a 3.5 water consumption factor.”

(c) REFRIGERATORS.—Paragraph (3) of section 45M(b) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (D) and inserting a comma, and by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) \$150 in the case of a refrigerator manufactured in calendar year 2011 which consumes at least 30 percent less energy than the 2001 energy conservation standards, and

“(F) \$200 in the case of a refrigerator manufactured in calendar year 2011 which consumes at least 35 percent less energy than the 2001 energy conservation standards.”

(d) REBASING OF LIMITATIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 45M(e) is amended—

(A) by striking “\$75,000,000” and inserting “\$25,000,000”, and

(B) by striking “December 31, 2007” and inserting “December 31, 2010”.

(2) EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN REFRIGERATORS AND CLOTHES WASHERS.—Paragraph (2) of section 45M(e) is amended—

(A) by striking “subsection (b)(3)(D)” and inserting “subsection (b)(3)(F)”, and

(B) by striking “subsection (b)(2)(D)” and inserting “subsection (b)(2)(F)”.

(3) GROSS RECEIPTS LIMITATION.—Paragraph (3) of section 45M(e) is amended by striking “2 percent” and inserting “4 percent”.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by subsections (a), (b), and (c) shall apply to appliances produced after December 31, 2010.

(2) LIMITATIONS.—The amendments made by subsection (d) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 710. CREDIT FOR NONBUSINESS ENERGY PROPERTY.

(a) EXTENSION.—Section 25C(g)(2) is amended by striking “2010” and inserting “2011”.

(b) RETURN TO PRE-ARRA LIMITATIONS AND STANDARDS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsections (a) and (b) of section 25C are amended to read as follows:

“(a) ALLOWANCE OF CREDIT.—In the case of an individual, there shall be allowed as a credit against the tax imposed by this chapter for the taxable year an amount equal to the sum of—

“(1) 10 percent of the amount paid or incurred by the taxpayer for qualified energy efficiency improvements installed during such taxable year, and

“(2) the amount of the residential energy property expenditures paid or incurred by the taxpayer during such taxable year.

“(b) LIMITATIONS.—

“(1) LIFETIME LIMITATION.—The credit allowed under this section with respect to any taxpayer for any taxable year shall not exceed the excess (if any) of \$500 over the aggregate credits allowed under this section with respect to such taxpayer for all prior taxable years ending after December 31, 2005.

“(2) WINDOWS.—In the case of amounts paid or incurred for components described in subsection (c)(2)(B) by any taxpayer for any taxable year, the credit allowed under this section with respect to such amounts for such year shall not exceed the excess (if any) of \$200 over the aggregate credits allowed under this section with respect to such amounts for all prior taxable years ending after December 31, 2005.

“(3) LIMITATION ON RESIDENTIAL ENERGY PROPERTY EXPENDITURES.—The amount of the credit allowed under this section by reason of subsection (a)(2) shall not exceed—

“(A) \$50 for any advanced main air circulating fan,

“(B) \$150 for any qualified natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler, and

“(C) \$300 for any item of energy-efficient building property.”

(2) MODIFICATION OF STANDARDS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 25C(c) is amended by striking “2000” and all that follows through “this section” and inserting “2009 International Energy Conservation Code, as such Code (including supplements) is in effect on the date of the enactment of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009”.

(B) WOOD STOVES.—Subparagraph (E) of section 25C(d)(3) is amended by striking “, as measured using a lower heating value”.

(C) OIL FURNACES AND HOT WATER BOILERS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (4) of section 25C(d) is amended to read as follows:

“(4) QUALIFIED NATURAL GAS, PROPANE, OR OIL FURNACE OR HOT WATER BOILER.—The term ‘qualified natural gas, propane, or oil

furnace or hot water boiler’ means a natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler which achieves an annual fuel utilization efficiency rate of not less than 95.”

(ii) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Clause (ii) of section 25C(d)(2)(A) is amended to read as follows:

“(ii) a qualified natural gas, propane, or oil furnace or hot water boiler, or”.

(D) EXTERIOR WINDOWS, DOORS, AND SKYLIGHTS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (c) of section 25C is amended by striking paragraph (4).

(ii) APPLICATION OF ENERGY STAR STANDARDS.—Paragraph (1) of section 25C(c) is amended by inserting “an exterior window, a skylight, an exterior door,” after “in the case of” in the matter preceding subparagraph (A).

(E) INSULATION.—Subparagraph (A) of section 25C(c)(2) is amended by striking “and meets the prescriptive criteria for such material or system established by the 2009 International Energy Conservation Code, as such Code (including supplements) is in effect on the date of the enactment of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009”.

(3) SUBSIDIZED ENERGY FINANCING.—Subsection (e) of section 25C is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) PROPERTY FINANCED BY SUBSIDIZED ENERGY FINANCING.—For purposes of determining the amount of expenditures made by any individual with respect to any property, there shall not be taken into account expenditures which are made from subsidized energy financing (as defined in section 48(a)(4)(C)).”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 711. ALTERNATIVE FUEL VEHICLE REFUELING PROPERTY.

(a) EXTENSION OF CREDIT.—Paragraph (2) of section 30C(g) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2010.

Subtitle B—Individual Tax Relief

SEC. 721. DEDUCTION FOR CERTAIN EXPENSES OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (D) of section 62(a)(2) is amended by striking “or 2009” and inserting “2009, 2010, or 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 722. DEDUCTION OF STATE AND LOCAL SALES TAXES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (I) of section 164(b)(5) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 723. CONTRIBUTIONS OF CAPITAL GAIN REAL PROPERTY MADE FOR CONSERVATION PURPOSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (vi) of section 170(b)(1)(E) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) CONTRIBUTIONS BY CERTAIN CORPORATE FARMERS AND RANCHERS.—Clause (iii) of section 170(b)(2)(B) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 724. ABOVE-THE-LINE DEDUCTION FOR QUALIFIED TUITION AND RELATED EXPENSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (e) of section 222 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 725. TAX-FREE DISTRIBUTIONS FROM INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT PLANS FOR CHARITABLE PURPOSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (F) of section 408(d)(8) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE; SPECIAL RULE.—

(1) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to distributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

(2) SPECIAL RULE.—For purposes of subsections (a)(6), (b)(3), and (d)(8) of section 408 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, at the election of the taxpayer (at such time and in such manner as prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury) any qualified charitable distribution made after December 31, 2010, and before February 1, 2011, shall be deemed to have been made on December 31, 2010.

SEC. 726. LOOK-THRU OF CERTAIN REGULATED INVESTMENT COMPANY STOCK IN DETERMINING GROSS ESTATE OF NONRESIDENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (3) of section 2105(d) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to estates of decedents dying after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 727. PARITY FOR EXCLUSION FROM INCOME FOR EMPLOYER-PROVIDED MASS TRANSIT AND PARKING BENEFITS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 132(f) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to months after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 728. REFUNDS DISREGARDED IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF FEDERAL PROGRAMS AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED PROGRAMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter A of chapter 65 is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 6409. REFUNDS DISREGARDED IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF FEDERAL PROGRAMS AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED PROGRAMS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any refund (or advance payment with respect to a refundable credit) made to any individual under this title shall not be taken into account as income, and shall not be taken into account as resources for a period of 12 months from receipt, for purposes of determining the eligibility of such individual (or any other individual) for benefits or assistance (or the amount or extent of benefits or assistance) under any Federal program or under any State or local program financed in whole or in part with Federal funds.

“(b) TERMINATION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to any amount received after December 31, 2012.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for such subchapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“Sec. 6409. Refunds disregarded in the administration of Federal programs and federally assisted programs.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts received after December 31, 2009.

Subtitle C—Business Tax Relief**SEC. 731. RESEARCH CREDIT.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (B) of section 41(h)(1) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subparagraph (D) of section 45C(b)(1) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 732. INDIAN EMPLOYMENT TAX CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 45A is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 733. NEW MARKETS TAX CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 45D(f) is amended—

(1) by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (E),

(2) by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (F), and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(G) \$3,500,000,000 for 2010 and 2011.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Paragraph (3) of section 45D(f) is amended by striking “2014” and inserting “2016”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to calendar years beginning after 2009.

SEC. 734. RAILROAD TRACK MAINTENANCE CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 45G is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to expenditures paid or incurred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 735. MINE RESCUE TEAM TRAINING CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (e) of section 45N is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 736. EMPLOYER WAGE CREDIT FOR EMPLOYEES WHO ARE ACTIVE DUTY MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 45P is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to payments made after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 737. 15-YEAR STRAIGHT-LINE COST RECOVERY FOR QUALIFIED LEASEHOLD IMPROVEMENTS, QUALIFIED RESTAURANT BUILDINGS AND IMPROVEMENTS, AND QUALIFIED RETAIL IMPROVEMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clauses (iv), (v), and (ix) of section 168(e)(3)(E) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Clause (i) of section 168(e)(7)(A) is amended by striking “if such building is placed in service after December 31, 2008, and before January 1, 2010.”.

(2) Paragraph (8) of section 168(e) is amended by striking subparagraph (E).

(3) Section 179(f)(2) is amended—

(A) by striking “(without regard to the dates specified in subparagraph (A)(i) thereof)” in subparagraph (B), and

(B) by striking “(without regard to subparagraph (E) thereof)” in subparagraph (C).

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 738. 7-YEAR RECOVERY PERIOD FOR MOTORSPORTS ENTERTAINMENT COMPLEXES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (D) of section 168(i)(15) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 739. ACCELERATED DEPRECIATION FOR BUSINESS PROPERTY ON AN INDIAN RESERVATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (8) of section 168(j) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 740. ENHANCED CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF FOOD INVENTORY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 170(e)(3)(C) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 741. ENHANCED CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF BOOK INVENTORIES TO PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (iv) of section 170(e)(3)(D) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 742. ENHANCED CHARITABLE DEDUCTION FOR CORPORATE CONTRIBUTIONS OF COMPUTER INVENTORY FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (G) of section 170(e)(6) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 743. ELECTION TO EXPENSE MINE SAFETY EQUIPMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (g) of section 179E is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 744. SPECIAL EXPENSING RULES FOR CERTAIN FILM AND TELEVISION PRODUCTIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (f) of section 181 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to productions commencing after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 745. EXPENSING OF ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION COSTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (h) of section 198 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to expenditures paid or incurred after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 746. DEDUCTION ALLOWABLE WITH RESPECT TO INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO DOMESTIC PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES IN PUERTO RICO.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (C) of section 199(d)(8) is amended—

(1) by striking “first 4 taxable years” and inserting “first 6 taxable years”; and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 747. MODIFICATION OF TAX TREATMENT OF CERTAIN PAYMENTS TO CONTROLLING EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Clause (iv) of section 512(b)(13)(E) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to payments received or accrued after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 748. TREATMENT OF CERTAIN DIVIDENDS OF REGULATED INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraphs (1)(C) and (2)(C) of section 871(k) are each amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 749. RIC QUALIFIED INVESTMENT ENTITY TREATMENT UNDER FIRPTA.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Clause (ii) of section 897(h)(4)(A) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on January 1, 2010. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, such amendment shall not apply with respect to the withholding requirement under section 1445 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for any payment made before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) **AMOUNTS WITHHELD ON OR BEFORE DATE OF ENACTMENT.**—In the case of a regulated investment company—

(A) which makes a distribution after December 31, 2009, and before the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(B) which would (but for the second sentence of paragraph (1)) have been required to withhold with respect to such distribution under section 1445 of such Code, such investment company shall not be liable to any person to whom such distribution was made for any amount so withheld and paid over to the Secretary of the Treasury.

SEC. 750. EXCEPTIONS FOR ACTIVE FINANCING INCOME.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Sections 953(e)(10) and 954(h)(9) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 953(e)(10) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2009, and to taxable years of United States shareholders with or within which any such taxable year of such foreign corporation ends.

SEC. 751. LOOK-THRU TREATMENT OF PAYMENTS BETWEEN RELATED CONTROLLED FOREIGN CORPORATIONS UNDER FOREIGN PERSONAL HOLDING COMPANY RULES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (C) of section 954(c)(6) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2009, and to taxable years of United States shareholders with or within

which any such taxable year of such foreign corporation ends.

SEC. 752. BASIS ADJUSTMENT TO STOCK OF S CORP. MAKING CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS OF PROPERTY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (2) of section 1367(a) is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 753. EMPOWERMENT ZONE TAX INCENTIVES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1391 is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2009” in subsection (d)(1)(A)(i) and inserting “December 31, 2011”; and

(2) by striking the last sentence of subsection (h)(2).

(b) **INCREASED EXCLUSION OF GAIN ON STOCK OF EMPOWERMENT ZONE BUSINESSES.**—Subparagraph (C) of section 1202(a)(2) is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”; and

(2) by striking “2014” in the heading and inserting “2016”.

(c) **TREATMENT OF CERTAIN TERMINATION DATES SPECIFIED IN NOMINATIONS.**—In the case of a designation of an empowerment zone the nomination for which included a termination date which is contemporaneous with the date specified in subparagraph (A)(i) of section 1391(d)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as in effect before the enactment of this Act), subparagraph (B) of such section shall not apply with respect to such designation if, after the date of the enactment of this section, the entity which made such nomination amends the nomination to provide for a new termination date in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury (or the Secretary’s designee) may provide.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to periods after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 754. TAX INCENTIVES FOR INVESTMENT IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (f) of section 1400 is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” each place it appears and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **TAX-EXEMPT DC EMPOWERMENT ZONE BONDS.**—Subsection (b) of section 1400A is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(c) **ZERO-PERCENT CAPITAL GAINS RATE.**—

(1) **ACQUISITION DATE.**—Paragraphs (2)(A)(i), (3)(A), (4)(A)(i), and (4)(B)(i)(I) of section 1400B(b) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(2) **LIMITATION ON PERIOD OF GAINS.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (2) of section 1400B(e) is amended—

(i) by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”; and

(ii) by striking “2014” in the heading and inserting “2016”.

(B) **PARTNERSHIPS AND S-CORPS.**—Paragraph (2) of section 1400B(g) is amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”.

(d) **FIRST-TIME HOMEBUYER CREDIT.**—Subsection (i) of section 1400C is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(e) **EFFECTIVE DATES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the amendments made by this section shall apply to periods after December 31, 2009.

(2) **TAX-EXEMPT DC EMPOWERMENT ZONE BONDS.**—The amendment made by subsection

(b) shall apply to bonds issued after December 31, 2009.

(3) **ACQUISITION DATES FOR ZERO-PERCENT CAPITAL GAINS RATE.**—The amendments made by subsection (c) shall apply to property acquired or substantially improved after December 31, 2009.

(4) **HOMEBUYER CREDIT.**—The amendment made by subsection (d) shall apply to homes purchased after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 755. TEMPORARY INCREASE IN LIMIT ON COVER OVER OF RUM EXCISE TAXES TO PUERTO RICO AND THE VIRGIN ISLANDS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (1) of section 7652(f) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to distilled spirits brought into the United States after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 756. AMERICAN SAMOA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (d) of section 119 of division A of the Tax Relief and Health Care Act of 2006 is amended—

(1) by striking “first 4 taxable years” and inserting “first 6 taxable years”; and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 757. WORK OPPORTUNITY CREDIT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subparagraph (B) of section 51(c)(4) is amended by striking “August 31, 2011” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to individuals who begin work for the employer after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 758. QUALIFIED ZONE ACADEMY BONDS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 54E(c)(1) is amended—

(1) by striking “2008 and” and inserting “2008,”; and

(2) by inserting “and \$400,000,000 for 2011” after “2010.”.

(b) **REPEAL OF REFUNDABLE CREDIT FOR QZABS.**—Paragraph (3) of section 6431(f) is amended by inserting “determined without regard to any allocation relating to the national zone academy bond limitation for 2011 or any carryforward of such allocation” after “54E” in subparagraph (A)(iii).

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to obligations issued after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 759. MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Clause (iv) of section 163(h)(3)(E) is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or accrued after December 31, 2010.

SEC. 760. TEMPORARY EXCLUSION OF 100 PERCENT OF GAIN ON CERTAIN SMALL BUSINESS STOCK.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (4) of section 1202(a) is amended—

(1) by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”; and

(2) by inserting “AND 2011” after “2010” in the heading thereof.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to stock acquired after December 31, 2010.

Subtitle D—Temporary Disaster Relief Provisions

PART

Subpart A—New York Liberty Zone

SEC. 761. TAX-EXEMPT BOND FINANCING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (D) of section 1400L(d)(2) is amended by striking “January 1, 2010” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to bonds issued after December 31, 2009.

Subpart B—GO Zone

SEC. 762. INCREASE IN REHABILITATION CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (h) of section 1400N is amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 763. LOW-INCOME HOUSING CREDIT RULES FOR BUILDINGS IN GO ZONES.

Section 1400N(c)(5) is amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

SEC. 764. TAX-EXEMPT BOND FINANCING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraphs (2)(D) and (7)(C) of section 1400N(a) are each amended by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Sections 702(d)(1) and 704(a) of the Heartland Disaster Tax Relief Act of 2008 are each amended by striking “January 1, 2011” each place it appears and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

SEC. 765. BONUS DEPRECIATION DEDUCTION APPLICABLE TO THE GO ZONE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (6) of section 1400N(d) is amended—

(1) by striking “December 31, 2010” both places it appears in subparagraph (B) and inserting “December 31, 2011”, and

(2) by striking “January 1, 2010” in the heading and the text of subparagraph (D) and inserting “January 1, 2012”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2009.

TITLE VIII—SENIOR CITIZENS RELIEF

SEC. 801. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Emergency Senior Citizens Relief Act of 2010”.

SEC. 802. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF CERTAIN ECONOMIC RECOVERY PAYMENTS.

(a) EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF PAYMENTS.—Section 2201 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009 is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1)(A)—

(A) by inserting “for each of calendar years 2009 and 2011” after “shall disburse”,

(B) by inserting “(for purposes of payments made for calendar year 2009), or the 3-month period ending with December 2010 (for purposes of payments made for calendar year 2011)” after “the date of the enactment of this Act”, and

(C) by adding at the end the following new sentence: “In the case of an individual who is eligible for a payment under the preceding sentence by reason of entitlement to a benefit described in subparagraph (B)(i), no such payment shall be made to such individual for calendar year 2011 unless such individual was paid a benefit described in such subparagraph (B)(i) for any month in the 12-month period ending with December 2010.”,

(2) in subsection (a)(1)(B)(iii), by inserting “(for purposes of payments made under this paragraph for calendar year 2009), or the 3-month period ending with December 2010 (for purposes of payments made under this para-

graph for calendar year 2011)” before the period at the end,

(3) in subsection (a)(2)—

(A) by inserting “, or who are utilizing a foreign or domestic Army Post Office, Fleet Post Office, or Diplomatic Post Office address” after “Northern Mariana Islands”, and

(B) by striking “current address of record” and inserting “address of record, as of the date of certification under subsection (b) for a payment under this section”,

(4) in subsection (a)(3)—

(A) by inserting “per calendar year (determined with respect to the calendar year for which the payment is made, and without regard to the date such payment is actually paid to such individual)” after “only 1 payment under this section”, and

(B) by inserting “FOR THE SAME YEAR” after “PAYMENTS” in the heading thereof,

(5) in subsection (a)(4)—

(A) by inserting “(or, in the case of subparagraph (D), shall not be due)” after “made” in the matter preceding subparagraph (A),

(B) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

“(A) in the case of an individual entitled to a benefit specified in paragraph (1)(B)(i) or paragraph (1)(B)(ii)(VIII) if—

“(i) for the most recent month of such individual’s entitlement in the applicable 3-month period described in paragraph (1); or

“(ii) for any month thereafter which is before the month after the month of the payment;

such individual’s benefit under such paragraph was not payable by reason of subsection (x) or (y) of section 202 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 402) or section 1129A of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a–8a);”,

(C) in subparagraph (B), by striking “3 month period” and inserting “applicable 3-month period”,

(D) by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following:

“(C) in the case of an individual entitled to a benefit specified in paragraph (1)(C) if—

“(i) for the most recent month of such individual’s eligibility in the applicable 3-month period described in paragraph (1); or

“(ii) for any month thereafter which is before the month after the month of the payment;

such individual’s benefit under such paragraph was not able by reason of subsection (e)(1)(A) or (e)(4) of section 1611 (42 U.S.C. 1382) or section 1129A of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a–8a); or”

(E) by striking subparagraph (D) and inserting the following:

“(D) in the case of any individual whose date of death occurs—

“(i) before the date of receipt of the payment; or

“(ii) in the case of a direct deposit, before the date on which such payment is deposited into such individual’s account.”,

(F) by adding at the end the following flush sentence:

“In the case of any individual whose date of death occurs before a payment is negotiated (in the case of a check) or deposited (in the case of a direct deposit), such payment shall not be due and shall not be reissued to the estate of such individual or to any other person.”, and

(G) by adding at the end, as amended by subparagraph (F), the following new sentence: “Subparagraphs (A)(ii) and (C)(ii) shall apply only in the case of certifications under subsection (b) which are, or but for this paragraph would be, made after the date

of the enactment of Emergency Senior Citizens Relief Act of 2010, and shall apply to such certifications without regard to the calendar year of the payments to which such certifications apply.”.

(6) in subsection (a)(5)—

(A) by inserting “, in the case of payments for calendar year 2009, and no later than April 30, 2011, in the case of payments for calendar year 2011” before the period at the end of the first sentence of subparagraph (A), and

(B) by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following:

“(B) DEADLINE.—No payment for calendar year 2009 shall be disbursed under this section after December 31, 2010, and no payment for calendar year 2011 shall be disbursed under this section after December 31, 2012, regardless of any determinations of entitlement to, or eligibility for, such payment made after whichever of such dates is applicable to such payment.”.

(7) in subsection (b), by inserting “(except that such certification shall be affected by a determination that an individual is an individual described in subparagraph (A), (B), (C), or (D) of subsection (a)(4) during a period described in such subparagraphs), and no individual shall be certified to receive a payment under this section for a calendar year if such individual has at any time been denied certification for such a payment for such calendar year by reason of subparagraph (A)(ii) or (C)(ii) of subsection (a)(4) (unless such individual is subsequently determined not to have been an individual described in either such subparagraph at the time of such denial)” before the period at the end of the last sentence,

(8) in subsection (c), by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the following:

“(4) PAYMENTS SUBJECT TO OFFSET AND RECLAMATION.—Notwithstanding paragraph (3), any payment made under this section—

“(A) shall, in the case of a payment by direct deposit which is made after the date of the enactment of the Emergency Senior Citizens Relief Act of 2010, be subject to the reclamation provisions under subpart B of part 210 of title 31, Code of Federal Regulations (relating to reclamation of benefit payments); and

“(B) shall not, for purposes of section 3716 of title 31, United States Code, be considered a benefit payment or cash benefit made under the applicable program described in subparagraph (B) or (C) of subsection (a)(1), and all amounts paid shall be subject to offset under such section 3716 to collect delinquent debts.”.

(9) in subsection (e)—

(A) by striking “2011” and inserting “2013”,

(B) by inserting “section 2(b) of the Emergency Senior Citizens Relief Act of 2010,” after “section 2202,” in paragraph (1), and

(C) by adding at the following new paragraph:

“(5)(A) For the Secretary of the Treasury, an additional \$5,200,000 for purposes described in paragraph (1).

“(B) For the Commissioner of Social Security, an additional \$5,000,000 for the purposes described in paragraph (2)(B).

“(C) For the Railroad Retirement Board, an additional \$600,000 for the purposes described in paragraph (3)(B).

“(D) For the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, an additional \$625,000 for the Information Systems Technology account”.

(b) EXTENSION OF SPECIAL CREDIT FOR CERTAIN GOVERNMENT RETIREES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of an eligible individual (as defined in section 2202(b) of

the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009, applied by substituting “2011” for “2009”), with respect to the first taxable year of such individual beginning in 2011, section 2202 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009 shall be applied by substituting “2011” for “2009” each place it appears.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (c) of section 36A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by inserting “, and any credit allowed to the taxpayer under section 2(b)(1) of the Emergency Senior Citizens Relief Act of 2010” after “the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) APPLICATION OF RULE RELATING TO DECEASED INDIVIDUALS.—The amendment made by subsection (a)(5)(F) shall take effect as if included in section 2201 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009.

TITLE IX—INFRASTRUCTURE, ENERGY, AND WATER PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—TIGER Discretionary Grants

SEC. 901. TIGER DISCRETIONARY GRANTS.

There are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$5,000,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2011 and 2012, for the discretionary grant program established under the heading “NATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS” under the heading “OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY” under the heading “DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION” of title I of division A of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-117; 123 Stat. 3036), commonly referred to as the “TIGER II Discretionary Grant Program”: *Provided, That the amount of a grant under this section may not exceed \$400,000,000: Provided further, That not less than 20 percent of the funds made available under this section for each fiscal year may be awarded to projects located in rural areas: Provided further, That not less than 1 percent of the funds made available under this section for each fiscal year may be used for the planning, preparation, or design of projects eligible for funding under the TIGER II Discretionary Grant Program: Provided further, That not more than 15 percent of the funds made available under this section for a fiscal year may be awarded to projects in a single State: Provided further, That the Secretary may award a grant of less than \$10,000,000 to fund a significant project in a smaller city, region, or State: Provided further, That the Federal share of the cost of a significant project in a smaller city, region, or State may exceed 80 percent: Provided further, That, of the amounts made available under this section for a fiscal year, the Secretary may use an amount not to exceed \$750,000,000 for the purpose of paying the subsidy and administrative costs of projects eligible for Federal credit assistance under chapter 6 of title 23, United States Code, if the Secretary finds that such use of the funds would advance the purposes of this section.*

Subtitle B—National Infrastructure Bank

SEC. 911. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) According to the American Society of Civil Engineers, the current condition of the infrastructure in the United States earns a grade point average of D, and an estimated \$2,200,000,000,000 investment is needed over the next 5 years to meet adequate conditions.

(2) According to the National Surface Transportation Policy and Revenue Study

Commission, \$225,000,000,000 is needed annually from all sources for the next 50 years to upgrade our surface transportation system to a state of good repair and create a more advanced system.

(3) According to the Federal Highway Administration up to \$131,700,000,000 must be invested annually for a 20-year period to improve bridge efficiencies and the physical condition and operational performance of the highway system of the United States.

(4) According to the Federal Transit Administration, up to \$21,800,000,000 must be invested annually for a 20-year period to improve conditions and performance of the major transit systems of the United States.

(5) The Environmental Protection Agency projects that—

(A) \$183,600,000,000 is needed for installation and maintenance of drinking water transmission and distribution systems through 2022; and

(B) \$202,500,000,000 is needed for publicly owned wastewater systems-related infrastructure needs through 2024.

(6) According to the Edison Electric Institute, to maintain current levels of service given expected growth in demand, electric utilities need to invest an annual average of—

(A) \$28,000,000,000 for generation;

(B) \$12,000,000,000 for transmission; and

(C) \$34,000,000,000 for distribution of electricity.

(7) According to the American Council on Renewable Energy, renewable energy could provide up to 635 gigawatts of new electricity generating capacity by 2025—a substantial contribution and potentially more than the Nation’s need for new capacity, according to the United States Energy Information Administration.

(8) According to the United States Green Building Council, United States buildings account for 38.9 percent of primary energy use, 38 percent of carbon emissions, and 72 percent of electricity consumption.

(9) There are over 1,200,000 units of public housing nationwide, with an accumulated capital needs backlog of approximately \$18,000,000,000, with an additional \$2,000,000,000 accruing each year.

(10) According to the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), the United States ranks 15th among OECD nations in broadband access per 100 inhabitants.

(11) Although grant programs of the Government must continue to play a central role in financing the transportation, environment, energy, and telecommunications infrastructure needs of the United States, current and foreseeable demands on existing Federal, State, and local funding for infrastructure expansion exceed the resources to support these programs by margins wide enough to prompt serious concerns about the United States’ ability to sustain long-term economic development, productivity, and international competitiveness.

(12) The capital markets, including central banks, pension funds, financial institutions, sovereign wealth funds and insurance companies, have a growing interest in infrastructure investment. The establishment of a United States Government-owned institution that would provide this investment opportunity through high quality bond issues that would be used to finance qualifying infrastructure projects would attract needed capital for United States infrastructure development.

SEC. 912. DEFINITIONS.

For purposes of this subtitle, the following definitions shall apply, unless the context requires otherwise:

(1) BANK.—The term “Bank” means the National Infrastructure Development Bank established under section 913(a) of this subtitle.

(2) BOARD.—The term “Board” means the National Infrastructure Development Bank Board.

(3) CHIEF ASSET AND LIABILITY MANAGEMENT OFFICER.—The term “chief asset and liability management officer” means the chief individual responsible for coordinating the management of assets and liabilities of the Bank.

(4) CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER.—The term “chief compliance officer or CCO” means the chief individual responsible for overseeing and managing the compliance and regulatory affairs issues of the Bank.

(5) CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER.—The term “chief financial officer or CFO” means the chief individual responsible for managing the financial risks, planning, and reporting of the Bank.

(6) CHIEF LOAN ORIGATION OFFICER.—The term “chief loan origination officer” means the chief individual responsible for the processing of new loans provided by the Bank.

(7) CHIEF OPERATIONS OFFICER.—The term “chief operations officer or COO” means the chief individual responsible for information technology and the day to day operations of the Bank.

(8) CHIEF RISK OFFICER.—The term “chief risk officer or CRO” means the chief individual responsible for managing operational and compliance-related risks of the Bank.

(9) CHIEF TREASURY OFFICER.—The term “chief treasury officer” means the chief individual responsible for managing the Bank’s treasury operations.

(10) DEVELOPMENT.—The terms “development” and “develop” mean, with respect to an infrastructure project, any—

(A) preconstruction planning, feasibility review, permitting, design work, and other preconstruction activities; and

(B) construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, replacement, or expansion.

(11) DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITY.—The term “disadvantaged community” means a community with a median household income of less than 80 percent of the statewide median household income for the State in which the community is located.

(12) ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT.—The term “energy infrastructure project” means any project for energy transmission, energy efficiency enhancement for buildings, public housing, and schools, renewable energy, and energy storage.

(13) ENTITY.—The term “entity” means an individual, corporation, partnership (including a public-private partnership), joint venture, trust, and a State or other governmental entity, including a political subdivision or any other instrumentality of a State or a revolving fund.

(14) ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT.—The term “environmental infrastructure project” means any project for the establishment, maintenance, or enhancement of any drinking water and wastewater treatment facility, storm water management system, dam, levee, open space management system, solid waste disposal facility, hazardous waste facility, or industrial site cleanup.

(15) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.—The term “executive director” means the individual serving as the chief executive officer of the Bank.

(16) **GENERAL COUNSEL.**—The term “general counsel” means the individual who serves as the chief lawyer for the Bank.

(17) **INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT.**—The term “infrastructure project” means any energy, environmental, telecommunications, or transportation infrastructure project.

(18) **PUBLIC BENEFIT BOND.**—The term “public benefit bond” means a bond issued with respect to an infrastructure project in accordance with this subtitle if—

(A) the net spendable proceeds from the sale of the issue may be used for expenditures incurred after the date of issuance with respect to the project, subject to the rules of the Bank;

(B) the bond issued by the Bank is in registered form and meets the requirements of this subtitle and otherwise applicable law;

(C) the term of each bond which is part of the issue is greater than 30 years; and

(D) the payment of principal with respect to the bond is the obligation of the Bank.

(19) **PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP.**—The term “public-private partnership” means any entity—

(A)(i) which is undertaking the development of all or part of an infrastructure project, which will have a public benefit, pursuant to requirements established in one or more contracts between the entity and a State or an instrumentality of a State; or

(ii) the activities of which, with respect to such an infrastructure project, are subject to regulation by a State or any instrumentality of a State; and

(B) which owns, leases, or operates, or will own, lease, or operate, the project in whole or in part, and at least one of the participants in the entity is a nongovernmental entity.

(20) **REVOLVING FUND.**—The term “revolving fund” means a fund or program established by a State or a political subdivision or other instrumentality of a State, the principal activity of which is to make loans, commitments, or other financial accommodation available for the development of one or more categories of infrastructure projects.

(21) **SECRETARY.**—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Treasury or the designee of the Secretary.

(22) **SMART GRID.**—The term “smart grid” means a system that provides for any of the smart grid functions set forth in section 1306(d) of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (42 U.S.C. 17386(d)).

(23) **SMART GROWTH.**—The term “smart growth” means growth in the center of a city to avoid urban sprawl.

(24) **STATE.**—The term “State” includes the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, American Samoa, the Virgin Islands, the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands, and any other territory of the United States.

(25) **TELECOMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT.**—The term “telecommunications infrastructure project” means any project involving infrastructure required to provide communications by wire or radio.

(26) **TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT.**—The term “transportation infrastructure project” means any project for the construction, maintenance, or enhancement of highways, roads, bridges, transit and intermodal systems, inland waterways, commercial ports, airports, high speed rail and freight rail systems.

SEC. 913. ESTABLISHMENT OF NATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT BANK.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT OF NATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT BANK.**—The National Infrastructure Development Bank is established as a wholly owned Government

corporation subject to chapter 91 of title 31, United States Code (commonly known as the “Government Corporation Control Act”), except as otherwise provided in this subtitle.

(b) **RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SECRETARY.**—The Secretary shall take such action as may be necessary to assist in implementing the establishment of the Bank in accordance with this subtitle.

(c) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 9101(3) of title 31, United States Code, is amended by inserting after subparagraph (N) the following:

“(O) the National Infrastructure Development Bank.”.

SEC. 914. BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Bank shall have a Board of Directors consisting of 5 members appointed by the President by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.

(b) **QUALIFICATIONS.**—The directors of the Board shall include individuals representing different regions of the United States and—

(1) 2 of the directors shall have public sector experience; and

(2) 3 of the directors shall have private sector experience.

(c) **CHAIRPERSON AND VICE CHAIRPERSON.**—As designated at the time of appointment, one of the directors of the Board shall be designated chairperson of the Board by the President and one shall be designated as vice chairperson of the Board by the President.

(d) **TERMS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in paragraph (2) and subsection (f), each director shall be appointed for a term of 6 years.

(2) **INITIAL STAGGERED TERMS.**—Of the initial members of the Board—

(A) the chairperson and vice chairperson shall be appointed for terms of 6 years;

(B) 1 shall be appointed for a term of 5 years;

(C) 1 shall be appointed for a term of 4 years; and

(D) 1 shall be appointed for a term of 3 years.

(e) **DATE OF INITIAL NOMINATIONS.**—The initial nominations by the President for appointment of directors to the Board shall be made not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(f) **VACANCIES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—A vacancy on the Board shall be filled in the manner in which the original appointment was made.

(2) **APPOINTMENT TO REPLACE DURING TERM.**—Any director appointed to fill a vacancy occurring before the expiration of the term for which the director's predecessor was appointed shall be appointed only for the remainder of the term.

(3) **DURATION.**—A director may serve after the expiration of that director's term until a successor has taken office.

(g) **QUORUM.**—Three directors shall constitute a quorum.

(h) **REAPPOINTMENT.**—A director of the Board appointed by the President may be reappointed by the President in accordance with this section.

(i) **PER DIEM REIMBURSEMENT.**—Directors of the Board shall serve on a part-time basis and shall receive a per diem when engaged in the actual performance of Bank business, plus reasonable reimbursement for travel, subsistence, and other necessary expenses incurred in the performance of their duties.

(j) **LIMITATIONS.**—A director of the Board may not participate in any review or decision affecting a project under consideration for assistance under this subtitle if the director has or is affiliated with a person who has an interest in such project.

(k) **POWERS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE BOARD.**—

(1) **POWERS.**—In order to carry out the purposes of the Bank as set forth in this subtitle, the Board shall be responsible for monitoring and overseeing infrastructure projects and have the following powers:

(A) To make senior and subordinated loans and purchase senior and subordinated debt securities and enter into a binding commitment to make any such loan or purchase any such security, on such terms as the Board may determine, in the Board's discretion, to be appropriate, the proceeds of which are to be used to finance or refinance the development of one or more infrastructure projects.

(B) To issue and sell debt securities of the Bank on such terms as the Board shall determine from time to time.

(C) To issue public benefit bonds and to provide direct subsidies to infrastructure projects from amounts made available from the issuance of such bonds.

(D) To make loan guarantees.

(E) To make agreements and contracts with any entity in furtherance of the business of the Bank.

(F) To borrow on the global capital market and lend to regional, State, and local entities, and commercial banks for the purpose of funding infrastructure projects.

(G) To purchase, pool, and sell infrastructure-related loans and securities on the global capital market.

(H) To purchase in the open market any of the Bank's outstanding obligations at any time and at any price.

(I) To monitor and oversee infrastructure projects financed, in whole or in part, by the Bank.

(J) To acquire, lease, pledge, exchange, and dispose of real and personal property and otherwise exercise all the usual incidents of ownership of property to the extent the exercise of such powers are appropriate to and consistent with the purposes of the Bank.

(K) To sue and be sued in the Bank's corporate capacity in any court of competent jurisdiction, except that no attachment, injunction, or similar process, may be issued against the property of the Bank or against the Bank with respect to such property.

(L) To indemnify the directors and officers of the Bank for liabilities arising out of the actions of the directors and officers in such capacity, in accordance with, and subject to the limitations contained in, this subtitle.

(M) To serve as the primary liaison between the Bank, Congress, the executive branch, and State and local governments and to represent the Bank's interests.

(N) To exercise all other lawful powers which are necessary or appropriate to carry out, and are consistent with, the purposes of the Bank.

(2) **LIMITATIONS.**—

(A) **ISSUANCE OF DEBT SECURITY.**—The Board may not issue any debt security without the prior consent of the Secretary.

(B) **ISSUANCE OF VOTING SECURITY.**—The Board may not issue any voting security in the Bank to any entity other than the Secretary.

(3) **ACTIONS CONSISTENT WITH SELF-SUPPORTING ENTITY STATUS.**—The Board shall conduct its business in a manner consistent with the requirements of this section.

(4) **COORDINATION WITH STATE AND LOCAL REGULATORY AUTHORITY.**—The provision of financial assistance by the Board pursuant to this subtitle shall not be construed as—

(A) limiting the right of any State or political subdivision or other instrumentality of

a State to approve or regulate rates of return on private equity invested in a project; or

(B) otherwise superseding any State law or regulation applicable to a project.

(5) **FEDERAL PERSONNEL REQUESTS.**—The Board shall have the power to request the detail, on a reimbursable basis, of personnel from other Federal agencies with specific expertise not available from within the Bank or elsewhere. The head of any Federal agency may detail, on a reimbursable basis, any personnel of such agency requested by the Board and shall not withhold unreasonably the detail of any personnel requested by the Board.

(1) **MEETINGS.**—

(1) **OPEN TO THE PUBLIC; NOTICE.**—All meetings of the Board held to conduct the business of the Bank shall be open to the public and shall be preceded by reasonable notice.

(2) **INITIAL MEETING.**—The Board shall meet not later than 90 days after the date on which all directors of the Board are first appointed and otherwise at the call of the Chairperson.

(3) **EXCEPTION FOR CLOSED MEETINGS.**—Pursuant to such rules as the Board may establish through their bylaws, the directors may close a meeting of the Board if, at the meeting, there is likely to be disclosed information which could adversely affect or lead to speculation relating to an infrastructure project under consideration for assistance under this subtitle or in financial or securities or commodities markets or institutions, utilities, or real estate. The determination to close any meeting of the Board shall be made in a meeting of the Board, open to the public, and preceded by reasonable notice. The Board shall prepare minutes of any meeting which is closed to the public and make such minutes available as soon as the considerations necessitating closing such meeting no longer apply.

SEC. 915. EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Board shall have an executive committee consisting of 9 members, headed by the executive director of the Bank.

(b) **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.**—A majority of the Board shall have the authority to appoint and reappoint the executive director.

(c) **CEO.**—The executive director shall be the chief executive officer of the Bank, with such executive functions, powers, and duties as may be prescribed by this subtitle, the bylaws of the Bank, or the Board.

(d) **OTHER EXECUTIVE OFFICERS.**—The Board shall appoint, remove, fix the compensation, and define duties of 8 other executive officers to serve on the Executive Committee as the—

- (1) chief compliance officer;
- (2) chief financial officer;
- (3) chief asset and liability management officer;
- (4) chief loan origination officer;
- (5) chief operations officer;
- (6) chief risk officer;
- (7) chief treasury officer; and
- (8) general counsel.

(e) **QUALIFICATIONS.**—The executive director and other executive officers shall have demonstrated experience and expertise in one or more of the following:

- (1) Transportation infrastructure.
- (2) Environmental infrastructure.
- (3) Energy infrastructure.
- (4) Telecommunications infrastructure.
- (5) Economic development.
- (6) Workforce development.
- (7) Public health.
- (8) Private or public finance.

(f) **DUTIES.**—In order to carry out the purposes of the Bank as set forth in this subtitle, the executive committee shall—

(1) establish disclosure and application procedures for entities nominating projects for assistance under this subtitle;

(2) accept, for consideration, project proposals relating to the development of infrastructure projects, which meet the basic criteria established by the Board, and which are submitted by an entity;

(3) provide recommendations to the Board and place project proposals accepted by the executive committee on a list for consideration for financial assistance from the Board;

(4) provide technical assistance to entities receiving financing from the Bank and otherwise implement decisions of the Board.

(g) **VACANCY.**—A vacancy in the position of executive director shall be filled in the manner in which the original appointment was made.

(h) **COMPENSATION.**—The compensation of the executive director and other executive officers of the executive committee shall be determined by the Board.

(i) **REMOVAL.**—The executive director and other executive officers may be removed at the discretion of a majority of the Board.

(j) **TERM.**—The executive director and other executive officers shall serve a 6-year term and may be reappointed in accordance with this section.

(k) **LIMITATIONS.**—The executive director and other executive officers shall not—

- (1) hold any other public office;
- (2) have any interest in an infrastructure project considered by the Board;
- (3) have any interest in an investment institution, commercial bank, or other entity seeking financial assistance for any infrastructure project from the Bank; and
- (4) have any such interest during the 2-year period beginning on the date such officer ceases to serve in such capacity.

SEC. 916. RISK MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT OF RISK MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE.**—The Bank shall establish a risk management committee consisting of 5 members, headed by the chief risk officer.

(b) **APPOINTMENTS.**—A majority of the Board shall have the authority to appoint and reappoint the CRO of the Bank.

(c) **FUNCTIONS; DUTIES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The CRO shall have such functions, powers, and duties as may be prescribed by one or more of the following: this subtitle, the bylaws of the Bank, and the Board. The CRO shall report directly to the Board.

(2) **RISK MANAGEMENT DUTIES.**—In order to carry out the purposes of this subtitle, the risk management committee shall—

(A) create financial, credit, and operational risk management guidelines and policies to be adhered to by the Bank;

(B) set guidelines to ensure diversification of lending activities by both region and infrastructure project type;

(C) create conforming standards for infrastructure finance securities;

(D) monitor financial, credit and operational exposure of the Bank; and

(E) provide financial recommendations to the Board.

(d) **OTHER RISK MANAGEMENT OFFICERS.**—The Board shall appoint, remove, fix the compensation, and define the duties of 4 other risk management officers to serve on the risk management committee.

(e) **QUALIFICATIONS.**—The CRO and other risk management officers shall have demonstrated experience and expertise in one or more of the following:

(1) Treasury and asset and liability management.

(2) Investment regulations.

(3) Insurance.

(4) Credit risk management and credit evaluations.

(5) Related disciplines.

(f) **VACANCY.**—A vacancy in the position of CRO or any other risk management officer shall be filled in the manner in which the original appointment was made.

(g) **COMPENSATION.**—The compensation of the CRO and other risk management officers shall be determined by the Board.

(h) **REMOVAL.**—The CRO and any other risk management officers may be removed at the discretion of a majority of the Board.

(i) **TERM.**—The CRO and other risk management officers shall serve a 6-year term and may be reappointed in accordance with this section.

(j) **LIMITATIONS.**—The CRO and other risk management officers shall not—

- (1) hold any other public office;
- (2) have any interest in an infrastructure project considered by the Board;
- (3) have any interest in an investment institution, commercial bank, or other entity seeking financial assistance for any infrastructure project from the Bank; and
- (4) have any such interest during the 2-year period beginning on the date such officer ceases to serve in such capacity.

SEC. 917. AUDIT COMMITTEE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Bank shall have an audit committee consisting of 5 members, headed by the chief compliance officer of the Bank.

(b) **APPOINTMENTS.**—A majority of the Board shall have the authority to appoint and reappoint the CCO of the Bank.

(c) **FUNCTIONS; DUTIES.**—The CCO shall have such functions, powers, and duties as may be prescribed by one or more of the following: this subtitle, the bylaws of the Bank, and the Board. The CCO shall report directly to the Board.

(d) **AUDIT DUTIES.**—In order to carry out the purposes of the Bank under this subtitle, the audit committee shall—

- (1) provide internal controls and internal auditing activities for the Bank;
- (2) maintain responsibility for the accounting activities of the Bank;
- (3) issue financial reports of the Bank; and
- (4) complete reports with outside auditors and public accountants appointed by the Board.

(e) **OTHER AUDIT OFFICERS.**—The Board shall appoint, remove, fix the compensation, and define the duties of 4 other audit officers to serve on the audit committee.

(f) **QUALIFICATIONS.**—The CCO and other audit officers shall have demonstrated experience and expertise in one or more of the following:

- (1) Internal auditing.
- (2) Internal investigations.
- (3) Accounting practices.
- (4) Financing practices.

(g) **VACANCY.**—A vacancy in the position of CCO or any other audit officer shall be filled in the manner in which the original appointment was made.

(h) **COMPENSATION.**—The compensation of the CCO and other audit officers shall be determined by the Board.

(i) **REMOVAL.**—The CCO and other audit officers may be removed at the discretion of a majority of the Board.

(j) **TERM.**—The CCO and other audit officers shall serve a 6-year term and may be reappointed in accordance with this section.

(k) **LIMITATIONS.**—The CCO and other audit officers shall not—

(1) hold any other public office;
 (2) have any interest in an infrastructure project considered by the Board;

(3) have any interest in an investment institution, commercial bank, or other entity seeking financial assistance for any infrastructure project from the Bank; and

(4) have any such interest during the 2-year period beginning on the date such officer ceases to serve in such capacity.

SEC. 918. PERSONNEL.

The chairperson of the Board, executive director, chief risk officer, and chief compliance officer shall appoint, remove, fix the compensation of, and define the duties of such qualified personnel to serve under the Board, executive committee, risk management committee, or audit committee, as the case may be, as necessary and prescribed by one or more of the following: this subtitle, the bylaws of the Bank, and the Board.

SEC. 919. ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA FOR ASSISTANCE FROM BANK.

(a) IN GENERAL.—No financial assistance shall be available under this subtitle from the Bank unless the applicant for such assistance has demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Board that the project for which such assistance is being sought meets—

(1) the requirements of this subtitle; and
 (2) any criteria established in accordance with this subtitle by the Board.

(b) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROJECT CRITERIA.—
 (1) IN GENERAL.—Consistent with the requirements of subsections (c) and (d), the Board shall establish—

(A) criteria for determining eligibility for financial assistance under this subtitle;

(B) disclosure and application procedures to be followed by entities to nominate projects for assistance under this subtitle; and

(C) such other criteria as the Board may consider to be appropriate for purposes of carrying out this subtitle.

(2) FACTORS TO BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Bank shall conduct an analysis that takes into account the economic, environmental, social benefits, and costs of each project under consideration for financial assistance under this subtitle, prioritizing projects that contribute to economic growth, lead to job creation, and are of regional or national significance.

(B) CRITERIA.—The criteria established pursuant to paragraph (1)(A) shall provide for the consideration of the following factors in considering eligibility for financial assistance under this subtitle:

(i) The means by which development of the infrastructure project under consideration is being financed, including—

(I) the terms and conditions and financial structure of the proposed financing; and

(II) the financial assumptions and projections on which the project is based.

(ii) The likelihood that the provision of assistance by the Bank will cause such development to proceed more promptly and with lower costs for financing than would be the case without such assistance.

(iii) The extent to which the provision of assistance by the Bank maximizes the level of private investment in the infrastructure project while providing a public benefit.

(c) FACTORS FOR SPECIFIC TYPES OF PROJECTS.—

(1) TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS.—For any transportation infrastructure project, the Board shall consider the following:

(A) Job creation, including workforce development for women and minorities, responsible employment practices, and quality job training opportunities.

(B) Reduction in carbon emissions.

(C) Reduction in surface and air traffic congestion.

(D) Smart growth in urban areas.

(E) Poverty and inequality reduction through targeted training and employment opportunities for low-income workers.

(F) Use of smart tolling, such as vehicle miles traveled and congestion pricing, for highway, road, and bridge projects.

(G) Public health benefits.

(2) ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT.—For any environmental infrastructure project, the Board shall consider the following:

(A) Public health benefits.

(B) Pollution reductions.

(C) Job creation, including workforce development for women and minorities, responsible employment practices, and quality job training opportunities.

(D) Poverty and inequality reduction through targeted training and employment opportunities for low-income workers.

(3) ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT.—For any energy infrastructure project, the Board shall consider the following:

(A) Job creation, including workforce development for women and minorities, responsible employment practices, and quality job training opportunities.

(B) Poverty and inequality reduction through targeted training and employment opportunities for low-income workers.

(C) Reduction in carbon emissions.

(D) Smart growth in urban areas.

(E) Expanded use of renewable energy, including hydroelectric, solar, and wind.

(F) Development of a smart grid.

(G) Energy efficient building, housing, and school modernization.

(H) In any case in which the project is also a public housing project—

(i) improvement of the physical shape and layout;

(ii) environmental improvement; and

(iii) mobility improvements for residents.

(I) Public health benefits.

(4) TELECOMMUNICATIONS.—For any telecommunications project, the Board shall consider the following:

(A) The extent to which assistance expands or improves broadband and wireless services in rural and disadvantaged communities.

(B) Poverty and inequality reduction through targeted training and employment opportunities for low-income workers.

(C) Job creation, including work force development for women and minorities, responsible employment practices, and quality job training opportunities.

(d) CONSIDERATION OF PROJECT PROPOSALS.—

(1) PARTICIPATION BY OTHER AGENCY PERSONNEL.—Consideration of projects by the executive committee and the Board shall be conducted with personnel on detail to the Bank from relevant Federal agencies from among individuals who are familiar with and experienced in the selection criteria for competitive projects.

(2) FEES.—A fee may be charged for the review of any project proposal in such amount as maybe considered appropriate by the executive committee to cover the cost of such review.

(e) DISCRETION OF BOARD.—Consistent with other provisions of this subtitle, any determination of the Board to provide assistance to any project, and the manner in which such assistance is provided, including the terms, conditions, fees, and charges shall be at the sole discretion of the Board.

(f) STATE AND LOCAL PERMITS REQUIRED.—The provision of assistance by the Board in

accordance with this subtitle shall not be deemed to relieve any recipient of assistance or the related project of any obligation to obtain required State and local permits and approvals.

(g) ANNUAL REPORT.—An entity receiving assistance from the Board shall make annual reports to the Board on the use of any such assistance, compliance with the criteria set forth in this section, and a disclosure of all entities with a development, ownership, or operational interest in a project assisted or proposed to be assisted under this subtitle.

SEC. 920. EXEMPTION FROM LOCAL TAXATION.

All notes, debentures, bonds or other such obligations issued by the Bank, and the interest on or credits with respect to such bonds or other obligations, shall not be subject to taxation by any State, county, municipality, or local taxing authority.

SEC. 921. STATUS AND APPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN FEDERAL LAWS; FULL FAITH AND CREDIT.

(a) BUDGETING AND AUDITORS PRACTICES.—The Bank shall comply with all Federal laws regulating the budgetary and auditing practices of a government corporation, except as otherwise provided in this subtitle.

(b) FULL FAITH AND CREDIT.—Any bond or other obligation issued by the Bank under this subtitle shall be an obligation supported by the full faith and credit of the United States.

(c) EFFECT OF AND EXEMPTIONS FROM OTHER LAWS.—

(1) EXEMPT SECURITIES.—All debt securities and other obligations issued by the Bank pursuant to this subtitle shall be deemed to be exempt securities within the meaning of laws administered by the Securities and Exchange Commission to the same extent as securities which are direct obligations of, or obligations fully guaranteed as to principal or interest by, the United States.

(2) OPEN MARKET OPERATIONS AND STATE TAX EXEMPT STATUS.—The obligations of the Bank shall be deemed to be obligations of the United States for the purposes of the provision designated as (b)(2) of the 2nd undesignated paragraph of section 14 of the Federal Reserve Act (12 U.S.C. 355) and section 3124 of title 31, United States Code.

(3) NO PRIORITY AS A FEDERAL CLAIM.—The priority established in favor of the United States by section 3713 of title 31, United States Code, shall not apply with respect to any indebtedness of the Bank.

(d) FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS AS DEPOSITORIES, CUSTODIANS, AND FISCAL AGENTS.—The Federal reserve banks may act as depositories for, or custodians or fiscal agents of, the Bank.

(e) ACCESS TO BOOK-ENTRY SYSTEM.—The Secretary may authorize the Bank to use the book-entry system of the Federal reserve system.

SEC. 922. COMPLIANCE WITH DAVIS-BACON ACT.

All laborers and mechanics employed by contractors and subcontractors on projects funded directly by or assisted in whole or in part by and through the Bank pursuant to this subtitle shall be paid wages at rates not less than those prevailing on projects of a character similar in the locality as determined by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with subchapter IV of chapter 31 of part A of title 40, United States Code. With respect to the labor standards specified in this section, the Secretary of Labor shall have the authority and functions set forth in Reorganization Plan Numbered 14 of 1950 (64 Stat. 1267; 5 U.S.C. App.) and section 3145 of title 40, United States Code.

SEC. 923. APPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN STATE LAWS.

The receipt by any entity of any assistance under this subtitle, directly or indirectly, and any financial assistance provided by any governmental entity in connection with such assistance under this subtitle shall be valid and lawful notwithstanding any State or local restrictions regarding extensions of credit or other benefits to private persons or entities, or other similar restrictions.

SEC. 924. AUDITS; REPORTS TO PRESIDENT AND CONGRESS.

(a) **ACCOUNTING.**—The books of account of the Bank shall be maintained in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and shall be subject to an annual audit by independent public accountants appointed by the Board and of nationally recognized standing.

(b) **REPORTS.**—

(1) **BOARD.**—The Board shall submit to the President and Congress, within 90 days after the last day of each fiscal year, a complete and detailed report with respect to the preceding fiscal year, setting forth—

(A) a summary of the Bank's operations, for such preceding fiscal year;

(B) a schedule of the Bank's obligations and capital securities outstanding at the end of such preceding fiscal year, with a statement of the amounts issued and redeemed or paid during such preceding fiscal year; and

(C) the status of projects receiving funding or other assistance pursuant to this subtitle, including disclosure of all entities with a development, ownership, or operational interest in such projects.

(2) **GAO.**—Not later than 5 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report evaluating activities of the Bank for the fiscal years covered by the report that includes an assessment of the impact and benefits of each funded project, including a review of how effectively each project accomplished the goals prioritized by the Bank's project criteria.

(c) **BOOKS AND RECORDS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Bank shall maintain adequate books and records to support the financial transactions of the Bank with a description of financial transactions and infrastructure projects receiving funding, and the amount of funding for each project maintained on a publicly accessible database.

(2) **AUDITS BY THE SECRETARY AND GAO.**—The books and records of the Bank shall be maintained in accordance with recommended accounting practices and shall be open to inspection by the Secretary and the Comptroller General of the United States.

SEC. 925. CAPITALIZATION OF BANK.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATION.**—Subject to subsection (b), there is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary for purchase of the shares of the Bank \$15,000,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2011 and 2012, with the aggregate representing 10 percent of the total subscribed capital of the Bank.

(b) **RESERVATION FOR RURAL AREAS.**—For each fiscal year, not less than 20 percent of any amounts appropriated to carry out this subtitle shall be used to finance projects in rural areas.

(c) **CALLABLE CAPITAL.**—Of the total subscribed capital of the Bank, 90 percent shall be callable capital subject to call from the Secretary only as and when required by the Bank to meet its obligations on borrowing of funds for inclusion in its ordinary capital resources or guarantees chargeable to such resources.

(d) **OUTSTANDING LOANS.**—At any time, the aggregate amount outstanding of bonds issued by the Bank shall not exceed 250 percent of its total subscribed capital.

SEC. 926. SUNSET.

The Bank shall cease to exist 15 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

Subtitle C—Energy and Water Programs**SEC. 931. ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND CONSERVATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM.**

In addition to the amounts made available under section 548(a)(1) of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (42 U.S.C. 17158(a)(1)), there is authorized to be appropriated to carry out the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program established under 542(a) of that Act (42 U.S.C. 17152(a)) \$3,000,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2011 and 2012, to remain available until expended.

SEC. 932. STATE WATER POLLUTION CONTROL REVOLVING FUNDS.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Subject to subsections (b) through (j), there is authorized to be appropriated to carry out title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1381 et seq.) \$2,500,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2011 and 2012, to remain available until expended.

(b) **MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT.**—Of the amounts made available under subsection (a), the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (referred to in this subtitle as the "Administrator") may reserve not more than 1 percent for management and oversight purposes.

(c) **NON-FEDERAL SHARE.**—A capitalization grant provided using the funds made available under subsection (a) shall not be subject to the non-Federal share requirements of section 202 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1282) or paragraph (2) or (3) of section 602(b) of that Act (33 U.S.C. 1382(b)).

(d) **REALLOCATION.**—The Administrator shall reallocate the funds made available under subsection (a) for eligible projects that are not under contract or construction during the 1-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

(e) **PRIORITY.**—Notwithstanding the priority rankings a project would otherwise receive under the program under title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1381 et seq.), priority for the funds made available under subsection (a) shall be given to projects that—

(1) are included on a State priority list; and

(2) are ready to proceed to construction during the 1-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

(f) **FORMS OF ASSISTANCE.**—Notwithstanding section 603(d) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1383(d)), of the amount of a capitalization grant provided using the funds made available under subsection (a), a State shall use not less than 50 percent to provide additional subsidization to eligible recipients in the form of—

(1) forgiveness of principal;

(2) negative interest loans;

(3) grants; or

(4) any combination of those forms.

(g) **GREEN ENERGY.**—To the extent that sufficient eligible project applications exist, not less than 20 percent of the funds made available under subsection (a) shall be used for projects to address—

(1) green infrastructure;

(2) water or energy efficiency improvements; or

(3) other environmentally innovative activities.

(h) **INDIAN TRIBES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding the limitation specified in subsection (c) of section 518 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1377), the Administrator may reserve not more than 1.5 percent of the funds made available under subsection (a) for grants to Indian tribes under that section.

(2) **INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE.**—Of the amount reserved under paragraph (1), the Administrator may transfer to the Indian Health Service not more than 4 percent to support management and oversight of tribal projects.

(i) **PROHIBITION.**—No funds made available under subsection (a) shall be available for the purchase of any land or easement pursuant to section 603(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1383(c)).

(j) **DEBT OBLIGATIONS.**—Notwithstanding section 603(d)(2) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1383(d)(2)), the funds made available under subsection (a) may be used to purchase, refinance, or restructure the debt obligation of an eligible recipient only in a case in which the debt obligation was incurred on or after October 1, 2008.

SEC. 933. STATE DRINKING WATER REVOLVING LOAN FUNDS.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Subject to subsections (b) through (j), there is authorized to be appropriated to carry out section 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12) \$2,500,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2011 and 2012, to remain available until expended.

(b) **MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT.**—Of the amounts made available under subsection (a), the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (referred to in this subtitle as the "Administrator") may reserve not more than 1 percent for management and oversight purposes.

(c) **NON-FEDERAL SHARE.**—A capitalization grant provided using the funds made available under subsection (a) shall not be subject to the non-Federal share requirements of section 1452(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(e)).

(d) **REALLOCATION.**—The Administrator shall reallocate the funds made available under subsection (a) for eligible projects that are not under contract or construction during the 1-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

(e) **PRIORITY.**—Notwithstanding the priority rankings a project would otherwise receive under the program under section 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12), priority for the funds made available under subsection (a) shall be given to projects that—

(1) are included on a State priority list; and

(2) are ready to proceed to construction during the 1-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

(f) **FORMS OF ASSISTANCE.**—Notwithstanding section 1452(f) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(f)), of the amount of a capitalization grant provided using the funds made available under subsection (a), a State shall use not less than 50 percent to provide additional subsidization to eligible recipients in the form of—

(1) forgiveness of principal;

(2) negative interest loans;

(3) grants; or

(4) any combination of those forms.

(g) **GREEN ENERGY.**—To the extent that sufficient eligible project applications exist, not less than 20 percent of the funds made available under subsection (a) shall be used for projects to address—

(1) green infrastructure;
 (2) water or energy efficiency improvements; or
 (3) other environmentally innovative activities.

(h) INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE.—Of the amounts made available under subsection (a) that are reserved under for allocation to Indian tribes and Alaska Native villages under section 1452(i) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(i)), the Administrator may transfer to the Indian Health Service not more than 4 percent to support management and oversight of tribal projects.

(i) PROHIBITION.—No funds made available under subsection (a) shall be available for any activity authorized under section 1452(k) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(k)).

(j) DEBT OBLIGATIONS.—Notwithstanding section 1452(f)(2) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(f)(2)), the funds made available under subsection (a) may be used to purchase, refinance, or restructure the debt obligation of an eligible recipient only in a case in which the debt obligation was incurred on or after October 1, 2008.

SEC. 934. STATE ENERGY CONSERVATION PLANS.

There is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Energy to provide grants for State renewable energy and efficiency projects under part D of title III of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6321 et seq.) \$2,000,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2011 and 2012, to remain available until expended.

SEC. 935. TEMPORARY PROGRAM FOR RAPID DEPLOYMENT OF RENEWABLE ENERGY AND ELECTRIC POWER TRANSMISSION PROJECTS.

There is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Energy to make loan guarantees under section 1705 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 16516) for renewable energy, biofuel, and electric grid projects \$1,000,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2011 and 2012.

SEC. 936. EXTENSION OF QUALIFYING ADVANCED ENERGY PROJECT CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 48C of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended—

(1) by striking “shall not exceed \$2,300,000,000.” in subsection (d)(1)(B) and inserting “shall not exceed—

“(i) \$2,300,000,000 in the case of taxable years beginning during the 2-year period beginning on the date the Secretary establishes the program under this paragraph,

“(ii) \$1,000,000,000 in the case of taxable years beginning during the 1-year period immediately following such 2-year period, and

“(iii) \$1,000,000,000 in the case of taxable years beginning during the 1-year period immediately following the 1-year period described in clause (ii).”, and

(2) by striking “2-year period” in subsection (d)(2)(A) and inserting “4-year period”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply as if included in the amendments made by section 1302 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009.

SEC. 937. LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND.

(a) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the amendments made by subsection (b) are—

(1) to provide consistent and reliable authority for, and for the funding of, the land and water conservation fund established under section 2 of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601-5); and

(2) to maximize the effectiveness of the fund for future generations.

(b) AMENDMENTS.—

(1) PERMANENT AUTHORIZATION.—Section 2 of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601-5) is amended—

(A) in the matter preceding subsection (a), by striking “During the period ending September 30, 2015, there” and inserting “There”; and

(B) in subsection (c)(1), by striking “through September 30, 2015”.

(2) FULL FUNDING.—Section 3 of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601-6) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 3. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.

“Monies covered into the fund under section 2 shall be available for expenditure to carry out the purposes of this Act, without further appropriation.”.

SEC. 938. FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, for the purposes described in subsection (b), \$1,000,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2011 and 2012.

(b) USE OF AMOUNTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), amounts appropriated under subsection (a) shall be used to carry out Corps of Engineer projects relating to navigable channels, including projects that—

(A) reduce flood and storm damage;

(B) restore aquatic ecosystems; or

(C) relate to municipal water or wastewater.

(2) ALLOCATION OF AMOUNTS.—For each project funded under this section—

(A) 50 percent of the amount allocated to carry out the project shall be used for construction; and

(B) 50 percent of the amount allocated to carry out the project shall be used for operations and maintenance.

Subtitle D—Housing Programs

SEC. 941. NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST FUND.

There is appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the Housing Trust Fund established pursuant to section 1338 of the Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Safety and Soundness Act of 1992 (12 U.S.C. 4568), \$1,500,000,000 to the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development to provide grants to States to build, preserve, and rehabilitate rental homes that are affordable for very low-income families: Provided, That notwithstanding the limitations set forth in subsection (c) of such section 1338, each State shall be entitled to receive a minimum allocation of amounts made available under this heading equal to the greater of \$3,000,000 or 0.5 percent of the total amount of funds made available in that fiscal year.

SEC. 942. GREEN RETROFIT PROGRAM.

There is appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for energy retrofit and green investments under the grant program established under the subheading “Assisted Housing Stability And Energy And Green Retrofit Investments” under the heading “Housing Programs” under title XII of division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, \$500,000,000: Provided, That in addition to the assisted housing deemed eligible to receive grants under such heading, that such grant amounts may be made available to housing that is receiving or has received assistance pursuant to the HOME Investment Partnerships program under title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act (42 U.S.C. 12721 et seq.), the community development block grant program under title I of the

Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5301 et seq.), or the low-income housing tax credit allocated pursuant to section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986: Provided further, That grant amounts made available under this heading shall be awarded on a competitive basis nationwide: Provided further, That grant amounts made available under this heading shall be available for housing of not less than 20 units: Provided further, That in allocating grants under this heading, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall (1) ensure that such grants are made in a manner that balances the needs of rural and urban communities, and (2) ensure an equitable geographic distribution of funds.

TITLE X—BUDGETARY PROVISIONS

SEC. 1001. DETERMINATION OF BUDGETARY EFFECTS.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled “Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation” for this Act, jointly submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairmen of the House and Senate Budget Committees, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage in the House acting first on this conference report or amendment between the Houses.

SEC. 1002. EMERGENCY DESIGNATIONS.

(a) STATUTORY PAYGO.—This Act is designated as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 4(g) of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-139; 2 U.S.C. 933(g)) except to the extent that the budgetary effects of this Act are determined to be subject to the current policy adjustments under sections 4(c) and 7 of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act.

(b) SENATE.—In the Senate, this Act is designated as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 403(a) of S. Con. Res. 13 (111th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2010.

(c) HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.—In the House of Representatives, every provision of this Act is expressly designated as an emergency for purposes of pay-as-you-go principles except to the extent that any such provision is subject to the current policy adjustments under section 4(c) of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010.

NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUSPEND THE RULES

Mr. MCCAIN. Mr. President, in accordance with rule V of the Standing Rules of the Senate, I hereby give notice in writing that it is my intention to move to suspend rule XXII for the purpose of proposing and considering amendment No. 4758 to H.R. 4853.

Mr. SANDERS. Mr. President, in accordance with rule V of the Standing Rules of the Senate, I hereby give notice in writing that it is my intention to move to suspend rule XXII for the purposes of proposing and considering amendment No. 4793 to the House Message to accompany H.R. 4853.

Mr. DEMINT. Mr. President, I submit the following notice in writing. In accordance with rule V of the Standing Rules of the Senate, I hereby give notice in writing that it is my intention

to move to suspend rule XXII for the purpose of proposing and considering amendment No. 4804 to H.R. 4853.

Mr. SANDERS. Mr. President, in accordance with rule V of the Standing Rules of the Senate, I hereby give notice in writing that it is my intention to move to suspend rule XXII for the purposes of proposing and considering amendment No. 4809 to the House Message to accompany H.R. 4853.

AUTHORITY FOR COMMITTEES TO MEET

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

Mr. CONRAD. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Armed Services be authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on December 14, 2010, at 2:30 p.m.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON BANKING, HOUSING, AND URBAN AFFAIRS

Mr. CONRAD. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs be authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on December 14, 2010, at 2:05 p.m.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

Mr. CONRAD. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Foreign Relations be authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on December 14, 2010, at 2:15 p.m.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PRIVILEGES OF THE FLOOR

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, first I ask unanimous consent that Kia Hamadanchy and Awatif Chafie of my staff be granted the privilege of the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND VETERANS AFFAIRS AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS

Mr. INOUE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD the following statement in explanation of the Inouye amendment to the House amendment to the bill H.R. 3082, the Continuing Appropriations Act.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD as follows:

EXPLANATORY STATEMENT SUBMITTED BY SENATOR DANIEL K. INOUE REGARDING THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE AMENDMENT OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES TO THE SENATE AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3082

Following is an explanation of the amendment proposed by Senator Daniel K. Inouye to the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate to H.R. 3082, the Military Construction and Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2010, including disclosure of congressionally directed spending items as defined in rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate. Section 4 of the amendment specifies that this explanatory statement shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds and implementation of this Act as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.

Sections one through six provide the title, table of contents, references, clarification on the explanatory statement, emergency designation and statement of appropriations. Sections 7 through 12 provide direction across all divisions banning pay raises for Federal civilian employees, rescinding funding for administrative costs, and establishing other authorities and restrictions on government activities. Divisions A through L provide detailed explanation and guidance on governing the Appropriations contained in this Act as described in detail below.

Division M contains the text of the Food Safety Bill (S. 510) that previously passed the Senate, was added to the House amendment to the Senate amendment, and is included in this amendment. The bill was added in order to meet certain procedural requirements.

DIVISION A—AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATION ACT, 2011

Following is an explanation of the effects of Division A, which makes appropriations for the Department of Agriculture and Food and Drug Administration for fiscal year 2011. As provided in Section 4 of the consolidated bill, this explanatory statement shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds and the implementation of this division as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.

TITLE I

AGRICULTURAL PROGRAMS

PRODUCTION, PROCESSING, AND MARKETING

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

The bill provides \$5,338,000 for the Office of the Secretary.

In carrying out the provisions of this Act, the Department shall follow the directives provided in Senate Report 111-221 unless otherwise modified by this Act or Explanatory Statement. Reports requested in Senate Report 111-221 or this Explanatory Statement are due 90 days after the enactment of this Act unless a specific due date is provided. The Department is directed, through the Office of Budget and Program Analysis, to provide all reports and studies to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate (hereafter referred to as "the Committees") in both an electronic and hard copy format.

The Secretary is directed to provide quarterly reports to the Committees on the status of obligations and funds availability for the loan and grant programs provided in this

bill. The Secretary is further directed that if an estimate of loan activity for any program funded in Titles I and III of this Act indicates that a limitation on authority to make commitments for a fiscal year will be reached before the end of that fiscal year, or in any event whenever 75 per cent of the authority to make commitments has been utilized, the Secretary shall promptly so notify the Committees.

OFFICE OF TRIBAL RELATIONS

The bill provides \$1,010,000 for the Office of Tribal Relations.

HEALTHY FOOD FINANCING INITIATIVE

The bill provides \$35,000,000 for the Healthy Food Financing Initiative.

EXECUTIVE OPERATIONS

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ECONOMIST

The bill provides \$13,100,000 for the Office of the Chief Economist.

NATIONAL APPEALS DIVISION

The bill provides \$15,417,000 for the National Appeals Division.

OFFICE OF BUDGET AND PROGRAM ANALYSIS

The bill provides \$9,547,000 for the Office of Budget and Program Analysis.

OFFICE OF HOMELAND SECURITY

The bill provides \$1,876,000 for the Office of Homeland Security (OHS).

The Committees are concerned that OHS is charging other offices for positions for which funding has not been provided previously and is not included in the budget request. The Department is directed to stop charging other offices for these positions and to request the funding through the normal budget process.

OFFICE OF ADVOCACY AND OUTREACH

The bill provides \$6,209,000 for the Office of Advocacy and Outreach.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER

The bill provides \$61,719,000 for the Office of the Chief Information Officer.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

The bill provides \$6,632,000 for the Office of the Chief Financial Officer.

Assessments that USDA charges its agencies for government-wide and department-wide activities continue to escalate. The Chief Financial Officer is directed to scrutinize the need for each activity for which an assessment is proposed; to consider its benefit to the mission of each agency; and to limit spending wherever possible.

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR CIVIL RIGHTS

The bill provides \$907,000 for the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights.

OFFICE OF CIVIL RIGHTS

The bill provides \$24,133,000 for the Office of Civil Rights.

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR ADMINISTRATION

The bill provides \$814,000 for the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration.

AGRICULTURE BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES AND RENTAL PAYMENTS

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$261,608,000 for Agriculture Buildings and Facilities and Rental payments. The bill includes an increase of \$1,417,000 for repair and maintenance needs and/or for South Building modernization.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$5,139,000 for Hazardous Materials Management.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$29,706,000 for the Departmental Administration.

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR
CONGRESSIONAL RELATIONS
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$4,008,000 for the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Congressional Relations.

The Department is directed to notify the Committees, within 30 days of enactment of this Act, of the allocation of these funds by USDA agency, along with an explanation of the agency-by-agency distribution of the funds as well as the staff years funded by these transfers.

OFFICE OF COMMUNICATIONS

The bill provides \$9,839,000 for the Office of Communications.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The bill provides \$94,300,000 for the Office of Inspector General.

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

The bill provides \$44,104,000 for the Office of the General Counsel.

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR
RESEARCH, EDUCATION AND ECONOMICS

The bill provides \$904,000 for the Office of the Under Secretary for Research, Education and Economics.

USDA is directed to engage with farmers, land grant universities, State departments of agriculture, and the private sector to identify issues and to develop solutions related to weed resistance that are practicable, cost-effective, and minimize environmental impacts. The Chief Scientist, in consultation with the Chief of the Natural Resources Conservation Service, shall provide the Committees with a report, which should include details on USDA's research on weed resistance to date, a future research agenda, and outreach efforts undertaken in carrying out this directive.

ECONOMIC RESEARCH SERVICE

The bill provides \$83,671,000 for the Economic Research Service (ERS). This includes increases of \$1,500,000 to improve user access to statistical data provided by ERS; \$1,000,000 for economic research on the access to affordable and nutritious food by low-income communities; \$990,000 for data integrity measures; and assumes all savings proposed in the budget request.

NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS SERVICE

The bill provides \$161,371,000 for the National Agricultural Statistics Service. This includes increases of \$2,500,000 to enhance the annual county estimates program; \$250,000 to begin a comprehensive data series on organic production, handling and distribution; \$200,000 to enhance remote sensing activities; and assumes all savings proposed in the budget request.

AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$1,199,986,000 for the Agricultural Research Service (ARS). Salaries and Expenses. This includes the following increases, as requested in the budget: \$2,150,000 for increased food safety research; \$290,000 for research aimed at reducing world hunger; \$2,750,000 for increased research on human nutrition; \$1,800,000 for Regional Biofuels Feedstocks Research and Demonstration Centers; \$500,000 for research on colony collapse disorder; \$250,000 for research regarding locally grown foods; \$250,000 for crop breeding to enhance food production and security; \$1,600,000 for enhancing plant breeding for insect and disease resistance; and \$1,625,000 for research on global climate change.

The ARS budget proposal regarding laboratory closures is not accepted, and ARS is expected to continue research at those locations.

Additionally, the following funding increases are included: \$2,750,000 to mitigate the constraints placed upon the National Program Initiative of Bioenergy/Biomass

and Production Systems for Sustainable Agriculture, especially the availability and cost of energy, water, feed, fertilizer, and technology to control invasive pest species; \$250,000 to study new production practices and systems that can maximize yield of high quality feedstock; \$250,000 to develop management practices that improve the production efficiency of cattle grazing operations in temperate pastures; \$500,000 for research regarding what nutrients and dietary factors are needed to optimize infant and child development, and what infants should be fed to reduce childhood diseases and diseases they will experience later as adults; \$1,500,000 for expanded research on the emerging serotype of Bluetongue virus, zoonotic Rift Valley Fever virus, African Swine Fever, and Classical Swine Fever to develop countermeasures and control insect vectors; \$750,000 for cranberry research; \$250,000 to research and improve the properties of pulse crops as food ingredients; \$500,000 to provide solutions and management practices for controlling invasive species and restoring ecosystem structure and function; and \$2,500,000 to accelerate efforts to develop land use systems and new conservation tools to support the long-term future of rural and urban working farms and landscapes.

Of the funding provided to restore human nutrition research, \$350,000 is for Boston, MA; \$300,000 is for Houston, TX; and \$1,000,000 is for Kannapolis, NC.

The ARS is encouraged to expand the work it is doing in collaboration with the Great Lakes Water Institute and the Milwaukee Water Council regarding the production of yellow perch, whose populations have decreased significantly in recent years.

In response to the recent discovery of citrus black spot, ARS is encouraged to provide scientific assistance to the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service as needed.

The following is a list of Congressionally-designated projects:

Agricultural Research Service**Salaries and Expenses****Congressionally Designated Projects**

	Amount
Animal Vaccines, Greenport, NY	\$1,518,000
Aquaculture Fisheries Center, Stuttgart, AR	519,000
Aquaculture Initiatives, Stuttgart, AR	1,597,000
Biomass Crop Production, Brookings, SD	1,250,000
Biomedical Materials in Plants (Biotech Foundation), Beltsville, MD	1,700,000
Bioremediation Research, Beltsville, MD	111,000
Biotechnology Research and Development Center, Washington, DC	3,500,000
Computer Vision Engineer, Kearneysville, WV	400,000
Dairy Forage Research Center, Marshfield, WI	2,500,000
Dale Bumpers Small Farms Research Center, Booneville, AR	1,805,000
Diet Nutrition and Obesity Research, New Orleans, LA	623,000
Endophyte Research, Booneville, AR	994,000
Forage Crop Stress Tolerance and Virus Disease Management, Prosser, WA	200,000
Formosan Subterranean Termites Research, New Orleans, LA	3,490,000
Human Nutrition Research, Boston, MA; Houston, TX; Kannapolis, NC	1,650,000
Lyme Disease, 4 Poster Project, Washington, DC	700,000
Medicinal and Bioactive Crops, Washington, DC	111,000
Mosquito Trapping Research/West Nile Virus, Gainesville, FL	1,454,000
National Center for Agricultural Law, Beltsville, MD	654,000
Northern Great Plains Research Laboratory, Mandan, ND	543,000
Northwest Center for Small Fruits, Corvallis, OR	275,000
Peanut Research, Athens and Tifton, GA	1,000,000
Phytoestrogen Research, New Orleans, LA	1,750,000
Poultry and Potato Disease Research, Beltsville, MD	469,000

Soil Management Research, Morris, MN	500,000
Sorghum Research, Little Rock, AR	135,000
Technology Transfer, Washington, DC	250,000
Water Management Research Laboratory, Brawley, CA	340,000
Water Use Reduction, Dawson, GA	1,200,000
Wild Rice and Soybean Research, St. Paul, MN	503,000
	<hr/>
Total	31,741,000

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

The bill provides \$67,966,000 for the Agricultural Research Service, Buildings and Facilities.

The following is a list of Congressionally-designated projects:

**Agricultural Research Service
Buildings and Facilities
Congressionally Designated Projects**

	Amount
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Auburn, AL	\$6,000,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Beltsville, MD	3,000,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Bowling Green, KY	5,000,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Bozeman, MT	3,000,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Canal Point, FL	3,654,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Davis, CA	3,000,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Geneva, NY	3,654,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Hilo, HI	5,000,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Houma, LA	1,000,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Kearneysville, WV	1,500,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Lincoln, NE	4,000,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Logan, UT	6,000,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Lorman, MS	3,000,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Orono, ME	1,500,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Prairie du Sac, WI	1,000,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Pullman, WA	5,000,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Salinas, CA	5,350,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Storrs, CT	3,654,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Service facility, Toledo, OH	3,654,000
	<hr/>
Total	67,966,000

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE

RESEARCH AND EDUCATION ACTIVITIES

The bill provides \$806,495,000 for Research and Education Activities.

The following table reflects the bill:

**National Institute of Food and Agriculture
Research and Education Activities**

	Amount
Hatch Act	\$215,000,000
McIntire-Stennis, Cooperative Forestry Research Program	29,000,000
Evans-Allen Program (1890 Colleges, Tuskegee University and West Virginia State University)	49,750,000
Agriculture and Food Research Initiative	288,730,000
Special Research Grants:	
Potato Research	1,436,000
Congressionally Designated Projects	74,081,000
Improved Pest Control:	
Expert IPM Decision Support System	156,000
Integrated Pest Management	2,415,000
Minor Crop Pest Management (IR-4)	12,180,000
Pest Management Alternatives	1,434,000
Total, Improved Pest Control	16,185,000
Animal Health and Disease Research (Sec. 1433)	2,950,000
1994 Institutions Research Program	1,805,000
Rangeland Research	983,000
Graduate Fellowship Grants	3,859,000
Institution Challenge Grants	5,654,000
Multicultural Scholars Program	1,241,000
Hispanic Serving Institutions Education Grants	9,619,000
Secondary/2-year Post-Secondary	983,000
Capacity Building Grants (1890 Institutions)	19,375,000
Payments to the 1994 Institutions (Tribal Colleges)	3,342,000
Alaska Native-serving and Native Hawaiian-serving Education Grants	3,200,000
Resident Instruction Grants for Insular Areas	900,000
Distance Education Grants for Insular Areas	750,000
Grants to Upgrade Agriculture and Food Sciences Facilities and Equipment for Insular Areas	750,000
Farm Business Management and Benchmarking Program	1,250,000
Sun Grant Program	2,250,000

Foreign Agricultural Scholarships	500,000
New Era Rural Technology Program	875,000
Veterinary Medical Services Act	5,000,000
Federal Administration:	
Data Information System (REEIS)	2,704,000
Electronic Grants Administration System	2,136,000
Office of Extramural Programs	440,000
Pay Costs	5,826,000
Peer Panels	397,000
Other Federal Administration	34,678,000
Total, Federal Administration	<hr/> 46,181,000
Other:	
Supplemental and Alternative Crops	835,000
Aquaculture Centers (Sec. 1475)	3,928,000
Critical Agricultural Materials Act	1,083,000
Sustainable Agriculture	15,000,000
Total, Research and Education	<hr/> <hr/> 806,495,000

The following is a list of Congressionally-designated projects:

**National Institute of Food and Agriculture
Research and Education Activities
Congressionally Designated Projects**

Special Research Grants:	Amount
Advanced Genetic Technologies, KY	\$650,000
Advancing Biofuel Production, TX	300,000
Aegilops Cylindrica, WA	245,000
Agricultural Diversification, HI	153,000
Agricultural Entrepreneurial Alternatives, PA	233,000
Agricultural Marketing, IL	176,000
Agriculture Science, OH	450,000
Agroecology/Chesapeake Bay Agro-ecology, MD	439,000
Air Quality, KS, TX	300,000
Animal Science Food Safety Consortium, AR, IA, KS	1,000,000

Aquaculture, CA, FL, TX	416,000
Aquaculture, ID, WA	529,000
Aquaculture, LA	150,000
Aquaculture, MS	337,000
Aquaculture, NC	227,000
Avian Bioscience, DE	150,000
Babcock Institute, WI	416,000
Barley for Rural Development, ID	500,000
Beef Improvement Research, MO, TX	693,000
Bioactive Foods Research for Health and Food Safety, MA	525,000
Biodesign and Processing Research Center, VA	868,000
Bioenergy Production and Carbon Sequestration, TN	500,000
Biomass-based Energy Research, MS, OK	839,000
Bioresource Land Use Planning Project, KY	671,000
Biotechnology, NC	199,000
Bovine Tuberculosis, MI, MN	246,000
Cataloging Genes Associated with Drought and Disease Resistance, NM	168,000
Center for Food, Nutrition, and Obesity, WV	550,000
Center for Multi-Functional Prairie Agriculture, SD	700,000
Center for One Medicine, IL	500,000
Center for Rural Studies, VT	350,000
Childhood Obesity and Nutrition, VT	250,000
Competitiveness of Agricultural Products, WA	469,000
Cool Season Legume Research, ID, ND, WA	350,000
Cotton Insect Management and Fiber Quality, GA	346,000
Cranberry/Blueberry Disease and Breeding, NJ	522,000

Cranberry/Blueberry, MA	152,000
Crop Adaptation, TN	500,000
Crop Diversification and Biofuel Research and Education , KY	525,000
Crop Pathogens, NC	225,000
Dairy and Meat Goat Research, TX	200,000
Dairy Farm Profitability, PA	372,000
Delta Revitalization Project, MS	176,000
Designing Foods for Health, TX	885,000
Detection and Food Safety, AL	1,748,000
Drought Mitigation, NE	600,000
Efficient Irrigation, NM, TX	1,160,000
Emerald Ash Borer, OH	522,000
Environmental Research, NY	258,000
Environmentally Safe Products, VT	250,000
Expanded Wheat Pasture, OK	223,000
Floriculture, HI	300,000
Food & Fuel Initiative, IA	298,000
Food and Agriculture Policy Research Institute	1,213,000
Food Marketing Policy Center, CT	401,000
Food Safety Research Consortium, NY	693,000
Food Security, WA	276,000
Forages for Advancing Livestock Production, KY	473,000
Forestry Research, AR	319,000
Fresh Produce Food Safety, CA	750,000
Genetically Enhanced Plants for Micro-nutrients and Genomics for Southern Crop Stress and Disease, MS	797,000

Geographic Information System	1,248,000
Global Change/UVB Radiation	1,500,000
Grain Sorghum, KS, TX	1,250,000
Grass Seed Cropping for Sustainable Agriculture, ID, OR, WA	142,000
Human Nutrition, LA	526,000
Human Nutrition, NY	377,000
Illinois Plant Breeding Center, IL	617,000
Improved Dairy Management Practices, PA	243,000
Infectious Disease Research, CO	617,000
Inland Marine Aquaculture, VA	400,000
Institute for Food Science and Engineering, AR	500,000
Integrated Economic, Environmental and Technical Analysis of Sustainable Biomass Energy Systems, IN	188,000
Integrated Production Systems, OK	177,000
International Arid Lands Consortium, AZ	401,000
Leopold Center Hypoxia Project, IA	100,000
Livestock and Dairy Policy, NY, TX	693,000
Maple Research, VT	165,000
Midwest Center for Bioenergy Grasses, IN	188,000
Midwest Poultry Consortium, IA	250,000
Milk Safety, PA	780,000
Minor Use Animal Drugs	429,000
Molluscan Shellfish, OR	253,000
Multi-commodity Research, OR	244,000
National Beef Cattle Genetic Evaluation Consortium, CO, GA, NY	655,000
National Biological Impact Assessment Program	184,000

National Center for Agricultural and Rural Sustainability, AR	175,000
National Center for Soybean Technology, MO	690,000
Native Grassland and Sustainability, KS	1,000,000
Nematode Resistance Genetic Engineering, NM	199,000
Nevada Arid Rangelands Initiative, NV	500,000
New Century Farm, IA	350,000
New Satellite and Computer-Based Technology for Agriculture, MS	654,000
Organic Cropping, OR	142,000
Organic Cropping, WA	264,000
Oyster Safety, FL	500,000
Phytophthora Research, MI	346,000
Pierce's Disease, CA	2,000,000
Policy Analyses for National Secure and Sustainable Food, Fiber, Forestry and Energy Program, TX	200,000
Preharvest Food Safety, KS	500,000
Protein Production for Research to Combat Viruses and Microbes, CT	500,000
Protein Utilization, IA	500,000
Rangeland Ecosystems Dynamics, ID	300,000
Regionalized Implications of Farm Programs, MO, TX	595,000
Renewable Energy and Products, ND	1,000,000
Rural Policies Research Institute	755,000
Russian Wheat Aphid, CO	237,000
Small Fruit Research, ID, OR, WA	307,000
Soil-borne Disease Prevention in Irrigated Agriculture, NM	178,000
Southern Great Plains Dairy Consortium, NM	350,000
Southwest Consortium for Plant Genetics and Water Resources, NM	271,000

Soybean Cyst Nematode, MO	556,000
Soybean Research, IL	745,000
Specialty Crops, IN	220,000
STEEP IV -- Water Quality in Northwest	444,000
Sustainable Agriculture and Natural Resources, PA	133,000
Sustainable Agriculture, CA	357,000
Sustainable Engineered Materials from Renewable Sources, VA	485,000
Sustainable Food and Bio-Energy Systems, MT	305,000
Sustainable Production and Processing Research for Lowbush Specialty Crops, ME	200,000
Sustainable Wheat Production and Food Security, SD	600,000
Swine and Other Animal Waste Management, NC	349,000
Texas Obesity Research Project	500,000
Tick Borne Disease Prevention, RI	280,000
Tillage, Silviculture, Waste Management, LA	180,000
Tri-state Joint Peanut Research, AL	413,000
Tropical and Subtropical Research/T-Star	5,000,000
Virtual Plant Database Enhancement Project, MO	588,000
Virus-free Wine Grape Cultivars, WA	247,000
Viticulture Consortium, CA, NY, PA	1,454,000
Water Conservation, KS	600,000
Wetland Plants, LA	200,000
Wheat Genetic Research, KS	1,250,000
Wildlife/Livestock Disease Research Partnership, WY	300,000
Wood Utilization, AK, ID, LA, ME, MI, MN, MS, NC, OR, TN, WV	4,841,000
World Food and Health Initiative, IL	<u>461,000</u>

Subtotal	74,081,000
Other Federal Administration:	
Ag-Based Industrial Lubricants, IA	405,000
Agbioworks Initiative, TN	500,000
Agricultural Research Training, IL	495,000
Agriculture Development in the American Pacific	400,000
Agriculture Technology Innovation, MD	150,000
Agriculture Waste Utilization, WV	500,000
Applied Agriculture and Environmental Research, CA	693,000
Aquaculture Research and Education Center, PA	300,000
Biofuel and Feedstock Research, OH	500,000
Biofuels Research, VT	100,000
Biotechnology Research, MS	480,000
Cellulosic Biomass, SC	469,000
Center for Dairy and Beef Excellence, PA	340,000
Center for Innovative Food Technology, OH	793,000
Center for North American Studies, TX	693,000
Clemson University Veterinary Institute, SC	1,000,000
Climate Forecasting, FL	2,494,000
Converting Agricultural Waste to Energy, KS	2,000,000
Cotton Research, TX	200,000
Council for Agriculture Science and Technology, IA	110,000
Development of Biobased Chemicals and Materials from Agriculture Raw Materials, MI	125,000
Dietary Intervention, OH	866,000
Ethnobotanicals, MD	500,000

Farmland Preservation, OH	152,000
Florida Biomass to Biofuels Conversion Program, FL	285,000
Greenhouse Nurseries, OH	1,504,000
High Value Horticultural Crops, VA	502,000
International Center for Food Technology Development to Expand Markets, IN	450,000
Kansas Advanced Biofuel Development	2,000,000
Kansas Center for Advanced Plant Design	2,000,000
Mariculture, NC	220,000
Midwest Agribusiness Trade and Information Center MATRIC, IA	95,000
Mississippi Valley State University	1,002,000
New England Center for Invasive Plants, CT, ME, VT	295,000
Nutrition and Diet Research, CA	925,000
Nutrition Research, NY	188,000
Pasteurization of Shell Eggs, MI	935,000
PM-10 Study, WA	268,000
Polymer Research, KS	2,350,000
Rural Systems, MS	215,000
Shrimp Aquaculture, AZ, HI, LA, MA, MS, SC, TX	2,908,000
Sustainable Agricultural Freshwater Conservation, TX	1,434,000
Sustainable Agriculture and Rural Development Law Center, VT	437,000
University of Wisconsin- Stevens Point- Institute for Sustainable Technologies	1,400,000
Viral Hemorrhagic Septicemia, OH	500,000
Water Pollutants, WV	<u>500,000</u>
Subtotal	<u>34,678,000</u>
Total	108,759,000

Of the funding provided for the Food and Agriculture Policy Institute, \$340,000 shall be used to conduct analysis of rangeland, cattle, and hay with the University of Nevada-Reno.

HISPANIC-SERVING AGRICULTURAL COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES ENDOWMENT FUND

The bill provides \$10,000,000 for the Hispanic-Serving Agricultural Colleges and Universities Endowment Fund.

NATIVE AMERICAN INSTITUTIONS ENDOWMENT FUND

The bill provides \$11,880,000 for the Native American Institutions Endowment Fund.

EXTENSION ACTIVITIES

The bill provides \$499,376,000 for Extension Activities.

The following table reflects the bill:

**National Institute of Food and Agriculture
Extension Activities**

	Amount
Smith-Lever Sections 3(b) and (c)	\$297,500,000
1890 Colleges, Tuskegee University and West Virginia State University	44,700,000
Smith-Lever Section 3(d):	
Food and Nutrition Education (EFNEP)	68,070,000
Farm Safety	4,863,000
New Technologies for Ag Extension	1,750,000
Federally Recognized Tribes Extension Program	3,750,000
Pest Management	9,938,000
Sustainable Agriculture Federal-State Matching Grant Program	5,000,000
Children, Youth, and Families at Risk	8,412,000
Youth Farm Safety Education and Certification	486,000
Total, Section 3(d) Programs	102,269,000
1890 Facilities Grants (Sec. 1447)	22,000,000
Renewable Resources Extension Act (RREA)	4,068,000
Rural Health and Safety Education	1,738,000
Extension Services at the 1994 Institutions	5,321,000
Food Animal Residue Avoidance Database	1,000,000
Women and Minorities in STEM Fields	400,000
Subtotal	478,996,000
Federal Administration and Special Grants:	
Ag in the Classroom	553,000
General Administration	8,252,000
Other Federal Administration and Special Grants	11,575,000
Subtotal	20,380,000
Total, Extension Activities	499,376,000

The following is a list of Congressionally-designated projects:

National Institute of Food and Agriculture Extension Activities Congressionally Designated Projects	
	Amount
Childhood Farm Safety, Urbandale, IA	\$75,000
Conservation Technology Transfer, WI	376,000
Dairy Education, IA	175,000
Dairy Management, NY	200,000
Diabetes Detection and Prevention, WA, PA	1,033,000
E-commerce, MS	231,000
Efficient Irrigation, NM, TX	1,610,000
Extension Specialist, MS	173,000
Food Production Education, VT	116,000
Health Education Leadership, KY	300,000
Income Enhancement Demonstration, OH	864,000
Institute for Sustainable Agriculture, WI	400,000
Invasive Phragmites Control and Outreach, MI	147,000
Iowa Vitality Center, IA	250,000
Maine Cattle Health Assurance Program, ME	700,000
National Center for Farm Safety, IA	150,000
Northeast Energy and Environmental Dairy System, PA	305,000
Nutrition Enhancement, WI	950,000
Ohio-Israel Agriculture Initiative, OH	700,000
Pilot Technology Transfer, MS, OK	209,000
Pilot Technology Transfer, WI	174,000
Potato Integrated Pest Management, ME	450,000
Potato Pest Management, WI	277,000
Range Improvement, NM	209,000
Sustainable Agriculture Center, TN	500,000
University of Wisconsin-Extension Northern Aquaculture Demonstration Facility	450,000
Urban Horticulture and Marketing, IL	175,000
Urban Horticulture, WI	376,000
Total	11,575,000

INTEGRATED ACTIVITIES

The following table reflects the bill:

The bill provides \$60,173,000 for Integrated Activities.

**National Institute of Food and Agriculture
Integrated Activities**

	Amount
Section 406 Legislative Authority:	
Water Quality	\$12,649,000
Food Safety	14,596,000
Methyl Bromide Transition Program	3,054,000
Organic Transition Program	5,000,000
Total, Section 406	<u>35,299,000</u>
International Science and Education Grants Program	3,000,000
Sustainable Agriculture Federal-State Matching Grant Program	10,000,000
 Critical Issues Program	 732,000
Regional Rural Development Centers Program	1,312,000
Food and Agriculture Defense Initiative (Homeland Security)	9,830,000
Total, Integrated Activities	<u><u>60,173,000</u></u>

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR
MARKETING AND REGULATORY PROGRAMS

The bill provides \$904,000 for the Office of the Under Secretary for Marketing and Regulatory Programs.

ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION
SERVICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$903,794,000 for the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS), Salaries and Expenses.

The bill provides \$3,850,000 for animal disease traceability. It includes a minimum of \$360,000 for blackbird management and an increase of \$1,500,000 for the Center for Veterinary Biologics.

There was a recent discovery of citrus black spot. APHIS is encouraged to continue to determine the extent of the infestation and implement the necessary measures to prevent the spread of the disease and to col-

laborate with, and utilize the scientific expertise of universities and ARS, as necessary, to develop methods to control and eradicate the disease.

APHIS is encouraged to support research and assist states and localities in controlling the spread of emerald ash borer.

There are difficulties in effectively addressing the cattle fever tick problem on the southern border of the United States. APHIS is urged to work with the livestock industry, including local businesses, nonprofits, and universities, to incorporate and implement new methods and strategies developed independently and cooperatively as part of the Cattle Fever Tick Eradication Program.

APHIS is encouraged to use an appropriate portion of the funding provided for sudden oak death (*Phytophthora ramorum*) to determine the actual level of infection in nurseries in all regions of the country through rigorous and expanded survey and to promote the development and testing of new

systems of nursery pest and disease management.

Infectious disease outbreaks within the equine community threaten the biological and commercial health of the U.S. horse industry and have occurred with increased frequency over the last several years. APHIS is encouraged to develop a comprehensive plan to respond to equine infectious diseases, including their prevention, diagnosis, and containment.

APHIS shall provide the Committees with a report on the current availability and use of electronic animal health certificates. The report should solicit input from State Veterinarians, animal health practitioners, and livestock producers. In particular, the report should focus on user experiences with the currently available systems and compatibility issues among systems.

The following table reflects the bill:

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

Program	Amount
Pest and Disease Exclusion:	
Agricultural Quarantine Inspection.....	\$29,526
Cattle Ticks.....	16,250
Foreign Animal Diseases/FMD.....	4,007
Fruit Fly Exclusion and Detection.....	63,568
Import-Export Inspection.....	13,564
Overseas Technical and Trade Operations	16,595
Screwworm.....	20,243
Tropical Bont Tick.....	432
Total, Pest and Disease Exclusion.....	164,185

Plant and Animal Health Monitoring:

Animal Health Monitoring & Surveillance.....	120,620
Animal and Plant Health Regulatory Enforcement.....	15,913
Avian Influenza	45,219
Emergency Management Systems.....	15,933
National Veterinary Stockpile.....	3,771
Pest Detection.....	27,576
Select Agents.....	5,214
Total, Plant & Animal Health Monitoring.....	234,246

Pest and Disease Management:

Aquaculture.....	6,366
Biological Control.....	11,399
Brucellosis.....	9,804
Chronic Wasting Disease.....	15,232
Contingency Fund.....	1,585
Cotton Pests	22,254

Emerging plant pests:

Asian Long-horned Beetle.....	49,911
Citrus Canker/Citrus Health Program.....	48,000
Emerald Ash Borer.....	24,000
Glassy-winged Sharpshooter.....	22,527
Sudden Oak Death	5,700
Potato Cyst Nematode.....	7,286
Karnal Bunt.....	2,160
Light Brown Apple Moth.....	6,000
Sirex Woodwasp.....	1,505
Varroa Mite.....	469
Other Emerging Plant Pests.....	1,605

Total, Emerging Plant Pests.....	169,163
Golden Nematode.....	843
Grasshopper and Mormon cricket.....	5,637
Gypsy Moth.....	4,981
Imported Fire Ant	1,909
Johne's Disease.....	5,647
Noxious Weeds.....	2,293
Plum pox.....	2,129
Pseudorabies.....	2,560
Scrapie.....	18,043
Tuberculosis.....	15,849
Wildlife Services Operations.....	77,675
Witchweed.....	1,522
Total, Pest and Disease Management.....	374,891
Animal Care:	
Animal Welfare.....	25,933
Horse Protection.....	900
Total, Animal Care.....	26,833
Scientific and Technical Services:	
Biotechnology Regulatory Services.....	14,687
Environmental Compliance.....	2,750
Plant Methods Development Labs.....	10,637
Veterinary Biologics.....	19,145
Veterinary Diagnostics.....	28,290
Wildlife Services Methods Development.....	17,931
Total, Scientific and Technical Services.....	93,440
Management:	
Information Technology Infrastructure.....	4,474

Physical Security.....	5,725
Total, Management.....	10,199
TOTAL, SALARIES AND EXPENSES.....	903,794

The following is a list of Congressionally-designated projects:

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	
Salaries and Expenses	
Congressionally Designated Projects	
	Amount
Adirondack Park Management, NY	\$500,000
Agriculture Compliance Laboratory Equipment, DE	69,000
Arthropod Damage Control, NV	1,000,000
Beaver Management, NC	208,000
Berryman Institute, MS, UT	1,500,000
Bio-safety and Antibiotic Resistance, VT	240,000
Blackbird Management, LA	94,000
Bovine Tuberculosis Eradication, MI	248,000
Brucellosis Eradication in the Greater Yellowstone Area States, ID, MT, WY	650,000
California County Pest Detection Augmentation Program	619,000
Chronic Wasting Disease Surveillance, WI	1,024,000
Cogongrass Control, MS	208,000
Cooperative Livestock Protection Program, PA	223,000
Cormorant Control, MI	139,000
Cormorant Control, MS	223,000
Cormorant Control, VT	103,000
Database of North Carolina's Agricultural Industry for Rapid Response	208,000
Disease Prevention, LA	69,000

Genetically Modified Products, IA	259,000
Giant Salvinia Control, LA	500,000
Hawaii Interline, HI	3,000,000
Hawaii Wildlife Services Activities	2,230,000
Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, TN	1,250,000
Integrated Predation Management Activities, WV	280,000
Johne's Disease Activities, WI	939,000
National Agriculture Biosecurity Center, KS	750,000
National Wildlife Research Station, TX	290,000
New Mexico Rapid Syndrome Validation Program, NM	404,000
Nez Perce Bio-control Center, Nez Perce Tribe	176,000
Noxious Weed Management, NV	235,000
Technology to Combat Asian Long-Horned Beetles in New York Forests	500,000
Tri-State Predator Control, ID, MT, WY	926,000
Varroa Mite Suppression, HI	469,000
Viral Hemorrhagic Septicemia Monitoring and Management, WI	550,000
Wildlife Management and Control, MS	496,000
Wildlife Services, SD	519,000
Wisconsin Livestock Identification Consortium	1,500,000
Wolf Predation Management, MI, MN, WI	<u>727,000</u>
Total	23,325,000

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

The bill provides \$4,536,000 for the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Buildings and Facilities.

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

MARKETING SERVICES

The bill provides \$96,645,000 for marketing services of the Agricultural Marketing Service. The bill provides the following increases: \$150,000 for the Market News Program to expand coverage of organic production and distribution markets; \$891,000 for the Pesticide Data Program; \$250,000 for the Microbiological Data Program to enter into a sampling agreement with the State of Arizona; \$3,111,000 for the National Organic Program for regulatory review and enforcement; and \$460,000 for Wholesale, Farmers, and Alternative Markets.

LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

The bill includes a limitation of \$60,947,000 for the Agricultural Marketing Service, Limitation on Administrative Expenses.

FUNDS FOR STRENGTHENING MARKETS, INCOME, AND SUPPLY (SECTION 32)

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$20,283,000 for the Agricultural Marketing Service, Funds for Strengthening Markets, Income, and Supply.

The Secretary is directed to provide notification to the Committees in advance of any public announcement or release of section 32 funds under the authority described in clause 3 of 7 U.S.C. 612c.

PAYMENTS TO STATES AND POSSESSIONS

The bill provides \$2,484,000 for the Agricultural Marketing Service, Payments to States and Possessions.

GRAIN INSPECTION, PACKERS AND STOCKYARDS ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$43,742,000 for the Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration, Salaries and Expenses.

The agency is expected to strongly consider the submitted comments regarding the practical and economic impact that aspects of the proposed rule on the marketing of meat products may have on the smooth operation of commerce within the livestock industry. For example, consideration should be given to the impact the packer-to-packer prohibition may have on the marketplace or how the proposed rule may impact producers' abilities to enter into marketing agreements that provide premiums for their products.

LIMITATION ON INSPECTION AND WEIGHING SERVICES EXPENSES

The bill includes a limitation of \$50,000,000 for the Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration, Limitation on Inspection and Weighing Services Expenses.

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR FOOD SAFETY

The bill provides \$821,000 for the Office of the Under Secretary for Food Safety.

FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE

The bill provides \$1,047,200,000 for the Food Safety and Inspection Service.

FSIS is directed to use its appropriated funds for activities directly in support of the public health to the maximum available extent before using them for bonus awards for senior officials and report on bonuses awarded to senior officials for fiscal year 2010.

FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE

FUNDING BY ACTIVITY

Food Safety and Inspection:	
Federal	\$919,248,000
State	65,118,000
International	19,461,000
CODEX	3,903,000
Public Health Data Communication Infrastructure System	39,470,000

Total \$1,047,200,000

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR FARM AND FOREIGN AGRICULTURAL SERVICES

The bill provides \$904,000 for the Office of the Under Secretary for Farm and Foreign Agricultural Services.

The Secretary is encouraged further to develop adequate standardization of quality control mechanisms for commodities used for food aid and to use funds from the CCC to supplement inspections of such commodities in order to avoid breaks in food pipelines and to maintain an orderly conveyance of food to affected populations.

FARM SERVICE AGENCY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$1,325,650,000 for the Farm Service Agency, Salaries and Expenses, which includes \$18,000,000 for the Common Computing Environment and \$38,300,000 for MIDAS.

Of this, the bill provides \$24,000,000, the same as last year's level, for the National Agricultural Imagery Program (NAIP). This amount is in addition to any provided by co-operating funds from any other federal, state, or local government for NAIP.

STATE MEDIATION GRANTS

The bill provides \$4,185,000 for State Mediation Grants.

GRASSROOTS SOURCE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM

The bill provides \$5,500,000 for the Grassroots Source Water Protection Program.

DAIRY INDEMNITY PROGRAM

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides an appropriation of such sums as may be necessary for the Dairy Indemnity Program.

AGRICULTURAL CREDIT INSURANCE FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$471,815,000 for the Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund Program Account.

The following table reflects the amounts provided in the bill:

Farm Ownership Loans:	
Direct	(\$475,000,000)
Subsidy	32,870,000
Guaranteed	(1,500,000,000)
Subsidy	5,700,000
Farm Operating Loans:	
Direct	(\$900,000,000)
Subsidy	54,540,000
Unsubsidized Guaranteed	(1,500,000,000)
Subsidy	34,950,000
Subsidized Guaranteed ...	(144,035,000)
Subsidy	19,920,000
Indian Tribe Land Acquisition Conservation:	(10,000,000)
Direct	(75,000,000)
Subsidy	2,243,000
Guaranteed	(75,000,000)
Subsidy	285,000
Indian Highly Fractionated Land	
Subsidy	214,000
Boll Weevil Eradication	(100,000,000)
ACIF Expenses:	
Salaries and Expenses	\$313,173,000
Administrative Expenses	7,920,000

RISK MANAGEMENT AGENCY

The bill provides \$83,064,000 for the Risk Management Agency.

Corporations

FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE CORPORATION FUND

The bill provides an appropriation of such sums as may be necessary for the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation Fund.

COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION FUND

REIMBURSEMENT FOR NET REALIZED LOSSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill provides an appropriation of such sums as may be necessary for Reimbursement for Net Realized Losses of the Commodity Credit Corporation.

HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT

(LIMITATION ON EXPENSES)

The bill provides a limitation of \$5,000,000 for Hazardous Waste Management.

TITLE II

CONSERVATION PROGRAMS

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENT

The bill provides \$904,000 for the Office of the Under Secretary for Natural Resources and Environment.

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

CONSERVATION OPERATIONS

The bill provides \$922,433,000 for Conservation Operations, which includes \$5,000,000 for streamlining and integrating business model tools; \$7,500,000 for strategic watershed action teams; \$15,500,000 for modernization and upgrades of the Common Computing Environment; \$250,000 for the soil climate analysis network; and an additional \$500,000 above the budget request for snow surveys.

The following is a list of Congressionally-designated projects:

**Natural Resources Conservation Service
Conservation Operations
Congressionally Designated Projects**

	Amount
Accelerated Soil Mapping Survey, WY	\$200,000
Agricultural Development and Resource Conservation, HI	1,400,000
Agricultural Wildlife Conservation Center, MS	939,000
Audubon Conservation Curriculum, NY, VA	800,000
Borderlands Conservation, TX	500,000
CEMSA with Iowa Soybean Association	288,000
Center for Invasive Species Eradication, TX	1,000,000
Chesapeake Bay Activities	3,998,000
Connecting for Conservation, MA	450,000
Conservation Enhancements, PA	200,000

Conservation Internships, WI	120,000
Conservation Planning, MA, WI	423,000
Conservation Technical Assistance, KY	500,000
Conservation Technical Assistance, NJ	236,000
Conservation Technical Assistance, TN	1,000,000
Conservation Technology Transfer, WI	516,000
Cooperative Agreement with Tufts University, CT	333,000
Cover Crop Program, MD	1,000,000
Delta Conservation Demonstration, MS	376,000
Delta Water Study, MS	235,000
Farm Viability Program, VT	300,000
Fountain Creek Watershed Project, CO	500,000
Georgia Soil and Water Conservation Commission Cooperative Agreement	2,423,000
Gilbert M. Grosvenor Center for Geographic Education Watershed Project, TX	282,000
Grazing Lands Conservation Initiative, WI	835,000
Great Basin Plant Materials Center, NV	269,000
Great Lakes Basin Program for Soil Erosion and Sediment Control, Great Lakes Commission	404,000
Hungry Canyons Alliance, IA	282,000
Illinois Conservation Initiative	1,126,000
Kentucky Soil Erosion Control	724,000
Long Island Sound Watershed, NY	133,000
Massaro Community Farm, CT	300,000
Maumee Watershed Hydrological and Flood Mitigation, OH	667,000
Mississippi Conservation Initiative	4,000,000
Municipal Water District of Orange County for Efficient Irrigation, CA	134,000
Native Plant Initiative, LA	500,000

Nutrient Management, MD	500,000
Operation Oak Program	100,000
Pace University Land Use Law Center, White Plains, NY	133,000
Pastureland Management/Rotational Grazing, NY	400,000
Phosphorous Loading in Lake Champlain, VT	179,000
Prairie Biomass, IA	100,000
Quabbin to Cardigan Conservation Initiative, NH	282,000
Range Revegetation for Fort Hood, TX	333,000
Riparian Restoration, NM	200,000
Sand County Foundation, WI	892,000
Soil Surveys, RI	134,000
Technical Assistance Grants to Kentucky Soil Conservation Districts, KY	545,000
Technical Assistance to Livestock/Poultry Producers, NC	300,000
Town of Cary Swift Creek Stream Bank Restoration, NC	199,000
Upper Cedar River Watershed Coalition, IA	150,000
Washington State Conservation Program	500,000
Water Quality Protection Program for the Monterey Bay Sanctuary, CA	400,000
Watershed Agricultural Council, NY	480,000
Watershed Demonstration Project, IA	134,000
Watershed Planning Staff, Pacific Island Area	500,000
Watershed Protection Plan for Hood County, TX	100,000
West Virginia Conservation Initiative	<u>1,000,000</u>
Total	34,954,000

WATERSHED AND FLOOD PREVENTION
OPERATIONS

The bill provides \$18,485,000 for Watershed
and Flood Prevention Operations.

The following is a list of Congressionally-designated projects:

Natural Resources Conservation Service Watershed and Flood Prevention Operations Congressionally Designated Projects	
	Amount
Alameda Creek Watershed Project, CA	1,337,000
Big Slough Watershed Project, AR	100,000
Copper Mine Brook Watershed, CT	330,000
Dunloup Creek Watershed Project, WV	1,500,000
Gin Bayou Bank Stabilization, MS	500,000
Lahaina Watershed, HI	4,000,000
Lake County Watershed, IL	350,000
Lower Hamakua Ditch Watershed Project, HI	1,800,000
Missouri Watershed Projects	2,000,000
North Drainage Project, MS	500,000
North Fork of the Elkhorn Creek, WV	300,000
Pidcock-Mill Creeks Watershed Project, PA	573,000
Pocasset River, RI	2,000,000
Soap Creek Watershed Project, IA	595,000
Southeast Quadrant Drainage and Flood Prevention Project, AL	350,000
Upcountry Maui Watershed Project, HI	2,000,000
Wailuku-Alenaio Watershed Project, HI	<u>250,000</u>
Total	18,485,000

WATERSHED REHABILITATION PROGRAM

The bill provides \$20,497,000 for the Watershed Rehabilitation Program.

Funding under this program is to be provided for rehabilitation of structures determined to be of high priority need in order to protect property and ensure public safety.

RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

The bill provides \$50,730,000 for Resource Conservation and Development.

TITLE III

RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT

The bill provides \$904,000 for the Office of the Under Secretary for Rural Development. The Secretary is directed to provide a report, by September 30, 2011, to the Committees detailing performance results of the Regional Innovation Initiative.

The Secretary is encouraged to consider the statutory requirements authorized in the 2008 Farm Bill when issuing the final rule for the Rural Energy for America Program. The 2008 Farm Bill did not restrict the application of this program to agricultural producers in rural areas. The Secretary is directed to provide a report within 30 days of enactment, to the Committees on the status of issuing the final rule and to provide them quarterly thereafter.

RURAL DEVELOPMENT SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$226,551,000 for Rural Development Salaries and Expenses, which includes \$6,000,000 for the Common Computing Environment.

The bill provides the same level of funding as fiscal year 2010 to maintain "portfolio compliance, safety and soundness." The Secretary is directed to ensure that proper lender monitoring and underwriting standards are sustained.

RURAL HOUSING SERVICE

RURAL HOUSING INSURANCE FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The bill provides \$617,047,000 for the Rural Housing Insurance Fund Program Account.

The following table reflects the amounts provided in the bill:

Loan authorizations:	
Single family direct (sec. 502)	(\$1,840,256,000)
Single family unsubsidized guaranteed	(24,000,000,000)
Rental housing (sec. 515)	(69,512,000)
Multi-family housing guaranteed (sec. 538)	(129,133,000)
Housing repair (sec. 504)	(34,004,000)
Credit sales of acquired property	(11,449,000)
Site loans (sec. 524)	(5,052,000)
Self-help housing land development	(4,966,000)
Total, loan authorizations	(\$26,094,372,000)

Loan subsidies:

Single family direct (sec. 502)	\$115,200,000
Rental housing (sec. 515)	23,446,000
Multi-family housing guaranteed (sec. 538)	12,513,000
Housing repair (sec. 504)	6,437,000
Credit sales of acquired property	556,000
Site loans (sec. 524)	294,000

Self-help housing land development	288,000
Total, loan subsidies ...	\$158,734,000

RHIF administrative expenses (paid to RD)	\$458,313,000
---	---------------

RENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

The bill provides \$965,635,000 for the Rental Assistance Program.

MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING REVITALIZATION PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The bill provides \$40,791,000 for the Multi-Family Housing Revitalization Program Account.

MUTUAL AND SELF-HELP HOUSING GRANTS

The bill provides \$41,864,000 for Mutual and Self-Help Housing Grants.

RURAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE GRANTS

The bill provides \$40,400,000 for Rural Housing Assistance Grants.

FARM LABOR PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The bill provides \$20,346,000 for the Farm Labor Program Account.

RURAL COMMUNITY FACILITIES PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$52,678,000 for the Rural Community Facilities Program Account.

The following table reflects the agreement:

Community Facilities:	
Direct loans	(\$295,038,000)
Direct subsidy	3,924,000
Guaranteed loans	(206,405,000)
Guaranteed subsidy	8,153,000
Grants	20,373,000
Rural community development initiative	6,256,000
Economic impact initiative grants	10,000,000
Tribal college grants	3,972,000

Total, loan subsidies and grants	\$52,678,000
--	--------------

RURAL BUSINESS-COOPERATIVE SERVICE

RURAL BUSINESS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$86,689,000 for the Rural Business Program Account.

The following table reflects the agreement:

Business and Industry:	
Guaranteed loans	(\$993,000,000)
Guaranteed subsidy	42,500,000
Rural business enterprise grants	38,727,000
Rural business opportunity grants	2,483,000
Delta regional authority ...	2,979,000

Total, loan subsidy and grants	\$86,689,000
--------------------------------------	--------------

RURAL DEVELOPMENT LOAN FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The bill provides \$18,024,000 for the Rural Development Loan Fund Program Account.

RURAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The bill provides an estimated loan program level of \$33,077,000 for the Rural Economic Development Loan Program Account.

RURAL COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT GRANTS

The bill provides \$35,554,000 for Rural Cooperative Development Grants.

The bill provides \$8,924,000 for cooperative development grants; \$2,800,000 for a coopera-

tive agreement for the Appropriate Technology Transfer for Rural Areas program; \$3,463,000 for cooperative development centers, individual cooperatives, or groups of cooperatives that serve socially disadvantaged groups; and \$20,367,000 for the value-added agricultural product market development grant program.

RURAL ENERGY FOR AMERICA PROGRAM

The bill does not include additional funding for the Rural Energy for America Program. The 2008 Farm Bill provided \$70,000,000 in mandatory funding for this program in fiscal year 2011, which is an increase of \$10,000,000 above fiscal year 2010. In addition, this account has carryover from prior years to support a higher program level in fiscal year 2011.

RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE

RURAL WATER AND WASTE DISPOSAL PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$582,851,000 for the Rural Water and Waste Disposal Program Account.

The following table reflects the agreement:

Water and Waste:	
Direct loans	(\$1,022,156,000)
Direct subsidy	87,701,000
Loans authorized by P.L. 83-566	(40,000,000)
Loans authorized by P.L. 83-566 subsidy	3,432,000
Guaranteed loans	(75,000,000)
Grants	469,228,000
Solid waste management grants	3,500,000
Water well system grants ..	497,000
Water and waste water revolving funds	993,000
High energy cost grants	17,500,000

Total, loan subsidy and grants	\$582,851,000
--------------------------------------	---------------

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The bill provides \$39,409,000 for the Rural Electrification and Telecommunications Loans Program Account.

The bill includes a general provision to improve the capacity for electrical generation in rural areas and the Secretary shall ensure that emissions control standards will be employed suitable for the level of power such facilities will generate.

The following table reflects the amounts provided in the bill:

Loan authorizations:	
Electric:	
Direct, 5 percent	(\$100,000,000)
Direct, FFB	(6,500,000,000)
Guaranteed Underwriting	(500,000,000)
Subtotal	(7,100,000,000)

Telecommunications:

Direct, 5 percent	(\$145,000,000)
Direct, Treasury rate ..	(250,000,000)

Direct, FFB	(295,000,000)
Subtotal	(690,000,000)
Total, loan authorizations	(\$7,790,000,000)
Guaranteed Underwriting subsidy	\$700,000
Administrative expenses (paid to RD)	\$38,709,000
DISTANCE LEARNING, TELEMEDICINE, AND BROADBAND PROGRAM	
The bill provides \$78,051,000 for the Distance Learning, Telemedicine, and Broadband Program Account.	
The following table reflects the amounts provided in the bill:	
Broadband:	
Direct loans	(\$400,000,000)
Direct subsidy	22,320,000
Grants	17,976,000
Distance learning and telemedicine grants	37,755,000
Total, loan subsidy and grants	\$78,051,000

TITLE IV

DOMESTIC FOOD PROGRAMS

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR FOOD, NUTRITION AND CONSUMER SERVICES

The bill provides \$821,000 for the Office of the Under Secretary for Food, Nutrition and Consumer Services.

The Secretary is encouraged to continue collaboration between the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) and the Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) to connect local farmers to local school districts. The Secretary is also encouraged to have AMS and FNS expand collaboration with the Department of Defense FRESH program to increase the quantity of fresh produce purchased locally or regionally. The Secretary is directed to submit a report to the Committees within 180 days of enactment of this Act describing the economic and other barriers to farmer participation in sales of local food to schools.

FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE
CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS

The bill provides \$17,319,981,000 for Child Nutrition Programs. Included in this amount is \$7,500,000 for Hunger-Free Community grants; \$3,517,000 for food safety education; \$11,553,000 for computer support and processing, including information technology modernization and support; \$2,000,000 for farm-to-school tactical teams; and \$1,750,000 for program payment systems and reimbursement monitoring.

The bill also provides \$21,711,000 for studies and other activities. Included in this amount is \$10,000,000 for the school lunch and breakfast cost study III which will incorporate additional information on nutritional quality. Additionally, this study should review the linkages between wages and benefits of food service workers with student satisfaction with school meals and the nutritional quality of meals.

The Secretary is urged to assist program providers in reducing and eventually eliminating trans fats in child nutrition programs. The Secretary is directed to submit a report to the Committees within 180 days of enactment describing the Department's efforts to provide assistance.

The following table reflects the agreement:

Child Nutrition Programs:	
School lunch program	\$9,831,095,000
School breakfast program	3,093,970,000
Child and adult care food program	2,686,344,000
Summer food service program	392,680,000
Special milk program	12,512,000
State administrative expenses	208,651,000
Commodity procurement	1,019,914,000
Computer support and processing	11,553,000
Program payment systems and reimbursement monitoring	1,750,000
Team nutrition	15,046,000
Food safety education	3,517,000
Coordinated review	5,833,000
CACFP training and technical assistance	3,567,000
Studies and other activities	21,711,000
Hunger free community grants	7,500,000
Farm to school tactical team	2,000,000
CN payment accuracy	2,338,000
Child nutrition reauthorization	0
Total, Child Nutrition Programs	\$17,319,981,000

SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, AND CHILDREN (WIC)

The bill provides \$6,852,522,000 for the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC). The bill fully funds estimated WIC participation in fiscal year 2011. The bill includes \$80,000,000 for breastfeeding support initiatives, \$35,000,000 for State management information systems, \$14,000,000 for infrastructure improvements, and \$15,000,000 for evaluating program performance. In addition, the bill maintains funding for fruit and vegetable vouchers for women and implements fruit and vegetable vouchers for children up to the Institute of Medicine recommendation.

The authority for the WIC program requires the Secretary to make available supplemental foods that reflect current nutrition science, public health concerns, and cultural eating patterns. The Department has made improvements to the WIC program to improve access to fruits, vegetables and whole grains to program participants as recommended by the National Academies' Institute of Medicine study. The Department should continue to recognize that calcium remains a priority nutrient of concern and that adequate calcium consumption can be attained through a diet with a variety of dairy products.

SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

The bill provides \$70,907,818,000 for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. Included in this amount is \$5,000,000,000 for a contingency reserve; \$3,500,000 for improving program access and application timeliness; \$1,750,000 for financial management systems modernization; and \$1,000,000 for information technology modernization and support.

The following table reflects the agreement:

Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program:	
Benefits	\$59,652,032,000
State Administrative Cost	3,618,000,000
Employment & Training	387,946,000
Other Program Costs	131,623,000

Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations (FDPIR)	96,958,000
Associated Activities	10,000,000
Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands	12,148,000
Contingency Reserve	5,000,000,000
Nutrition Assistance for Puerto Rico	1,744,605,000
Nutrition Assistance for American Samoa	7,006,000
Emergency Food Assistance Program (TEFAP)	247,500,000
Total	\$70,907,818,000

COMMODITY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

The bill provides \$262,619,000 for the Commodity Assistance Program.

Of that amount, the bill provides \$181,788,000 for the Commodity Supplemental Food Program, which includes \$5,000,000 to begin service in six additional States that have plans approved by the Department; \$53,000,000 for administrative funding for The Emergency Food Assistance Program (TEFAP); \$6,000,000 for TEFAP infrastructure grants; and \$20,000,000 for the Farmers' Market Nutrition Program.

NUTRITION PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATION

The bill provides \$162,587,000 for Nutrition Programs Administration. Included in this amount is \$5,170,000 for improved program administration and \$5,000,000 to develop and promote dietary guidelines.

TITLE V

FOREIGN ASSISTANCE AND RELATED PROGRAMS

FOREIGN AGRICULTURAL SERVICE
SALARIES AND EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$219,280,000 for the Foreign Agricultural Service, Salaries and Expenses, which includes increases of \$3,430,000 for international cooperative administrative support services; \$4,004,000 for overseas IT support; \$5,000,000 for the development and growth of sustainable food systems in developing countries; and \$4,500,000 for technical assistance for specialty crops. The bill also provides a total of \$14,600,000 for reconstruction and stabilization activities, \$4,500,000 for the Cochran Fellowship Program, \$2,500,000 for the Borlaug Fellowship Program, and \$4,500,000 for the National Export Initiative.

FOOD FOR PEACE TITLE I DIRECT CREDIT AND FOOD FOR PROGRESS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$2,846,000 for administrative expenses for the Food for Peace Title I Direct Credit and Food for Progress Program Account, to be paid to the appropriation for Farm Service Agency, Salaries and Expenses.

FOOD FOR PEACE TITLE II GRANTS

The bill provides \$1,690,000,000 for Food For Peace Title II Grants.

The Committees direct the Secretary, through the Office of Budget and Program Analysis, in consultation with the Administrator of the U.S. Agency for International Development, to submit quarterly reports to the Committees on the status of the Bill Emerson Humanitarian Trust, as well as immediately to notify the Committees when the trust has been drawn down.

COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION EXPORT
LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$6,884,000 for the Commodity Credit Corporation Export Loans Program Account.

McGOVERN-DOLE INTERNATIONAL FOOD FOR
EDUCATION AND CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAM
GRANTS

The bill provides \$219,500,000 for the McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Program.

TITLE VI

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION AND
FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN
SERVICES

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides a direct appropriation of \$2,541,282,000 for Food and Drug Administration, Salaries and Expenses. The bill also provides \$667,057,000 for prescription drug user fees; \$61,860,000 for medical device user fees; \$19,448,000 for animal drug user fees; \$5,397,000 for animal generic drug user fees; \$450,000,000 for tobacco product user fees; and authorized collections for mammography fees, export and color certification fees, and priority review fees, as requested. It is expected that FDA will continue all projects, activities, and programs as included in the fiscal year 2011 budget request unless otherwise specified.

The following table reflects funding provided for direct appropriations:

*Food and Drug Administration, Salaries and
Expenses*

(thousands of dollars)

Program	Budget Authority
Foods	
Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition	267,986
Field Activities	601,401
Human Drugs	
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research	371,632
Field Activities	132,037
Biologics	
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research	173,875
Field Activities	41,151
Animal Drugs & Feeds	
Center for Veterinary Medicine	91,467
Field Activities	54,135
Device & Radiological Products	
Center for Devices and Radiological Health	243,255
Field Activities	82,471
National Center for Toxicological Research	60,975
Other Activities	161,659
White Oak Consolidation ..	38,536
Other Rent & Rent Related	66,703
GSA Rent	153,999
Total Salaries & Expenses	2,541,282

In carrying out the provisions of this Act, FDA shall follow the directives provided in Senate Report 111-221 unless otherwise modified by this Act or Explanatory Statement. Reports requested in Senate Report 111-221 or this Explanatory Statement are due 90 days after the enactment of this Act unless a specific due date is provided. FDA is di-

rected to provide all reports and studies to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate (hereafter referred to as "the Committees") in both an electronic and hard copy format.

The bill provides the following increases in budget authority for FDA salaries and expenses activities, as requested in the budget: \$10,896,000 for cost of living adjustments; \$83,000,000 for food safety investments; \$43,323,000 for investments relating to safer drugs, biologics, and medical devices; and \$25,000,000 for regulatory science.

The bill provides the following increases above the request: \$14,083,000 for food safety activities; \$2,000,000 for orphan product development grants; \$1,000,000 for the Office of the Associate Director for Rare Diseases; \$7,500,000 for generic drug review activities; \$3,000,000 for the review of direct-to-consumer advertising in the Division of Drug Marketing and Communication (DDMAC); \$2,000,000 for the review of communications to medical professionals in DDMAC; \$4,000,000 for the Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology for post-market activities; \$1,850,000 for the review of adverse drug experience reports in the Center for Veterinary Medicine; and \$2,000,000 for the National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System.

Funds provided in addition to the budget request for food safety will allow FDA to access additional information on foreign food exports and take other actions to ensure that foods imported into the United States are safe. Increased funding is provided for food safety inspections to increase the number of inspectors and enhance the ability of inspectors to review imports at the border. It will also allow FDA to perform additional work to ensure that animal feed and feed ingredients imported into the United States meet FDA safety standards.

In Senate Report 109-266, concerns were raised about FDA's inability to provide Congressional reports in a timely manner. After implementing changes that temporarily remedied this situation, recent Congressional directives have either been responded to late, or not responded to at all. This is unacceptable. In the fiscal year 2010 bill, FDA was asked to report on eight issues. The due date for all of these reports has long since passed, and more than one year after the enactment of the fiscal year 2010 bill, FDA has provided only four of the requested reports. FDA is again reminded that reports to Congress play an important role in the decision making process. Not more than 15 days after the enactment of this Act, FDA is directed to report on the status of all reports requested in Appropriations Acts for fiscal years 2009, 2010, and 2011. The report shall include the following information: report topic; the House, Senate, or conference report from which the report is requested; the due date for the report; the date the report was submitted to the Congress; and for those reports that have not been submitted to Congress, the expected submission date and an update on where the report is in the drafting and clearance process. Following the initial report, FDA is directed to provide updated reports on the first of each month.

The Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS) should continue to work with the Secretary of Commerce in support of the current Memorandum of Understanding between the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and FDA to strengthen cooperation on seafood safety, labeling and fraud. Agreements should focus on coordination of testing seafood imports, inspection of

imported seafood at both domestic and international facilities, data standardization and collection, joint training and outreach for testing facilities, and information sharing. An increase is encouraged in the use of NOAA laboratory testing and the commissioning of NOAA officers by the Secretary of HHS, as needed, in order to increase capacity for seafood inspection and testing. Sharing of information with the Federal Trade Commission is also encouraged as appropriate on consumer protection issues with respect to fraud in seafood marketing and labeling.

While there is strong leadership in place at FDA, concerns have been raised about the existing structure at the agency, especially as it pertains to the safety of prescription drugs, and the perceived imbalance that exists within FDA between the Office of New Drugs and the Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology. There is significant concern that this structure is biased because those who initially approve drugs also have a large role in determining how they are regulated for safety in post-marketing. This could create a conflict of interest.

Therefore, FDA is directed to issue a report by March 31, 2011 that would outline the process necessary to create an independent office within FDA focused on post-market evaluation that would work collaboratively with the office that reviews and approves drugs for marketing. FDA is also strongly urged to study legislative proposals that have been introduced to create a new Center for Postmarket Drug Evaluation and Research at FDA as part of the report.

FDA is directed to issue a sunscreen final rule that addresses both ultraviolet B (UVB) and ultraviolet A (UVA) radiation protection no later than 60 days after enactment.

FDA is urged to more proactively engage public health and consumer nonprofit organizations that are not funded by regulated industries, to encourage their greater input and written evaluations on PDUFA, MDUFMA, Sentinel Projects, Critical Path, the public availability of post-market research findings, and other key issues regarding medical products.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

The bill provides \$10,000,000 for FDA Buildings and Facilities.

INDEPENDENT AGENCY

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

The bill includes a limitation of \$59,400,000 on administrative expenses of the Farm Credit Administration.

TITLE VII—GENERAL PROVISIONS

(INCLUDING RESCISSIONS AND TRANSFERS OF
FUNDS)

Section 701.—The bill includes language making funds available for the purchase, replacement, and hire of passenger motor vehicles.

Section 702.—The bill includes language allowing for unobligated balances to be transferred to the Working Capital Fund.

Section 703.—The bill includes language limiting the funding provided in the bill to one year, unless otherwise specified.

Section 704.—The bill includes language limiting indirect costs on cooperative agreements between the Department of Agriculture and nonprofit organizations to 10 percent.

Section 705.—The bill includes language making appropriations to the Department of Agriculture for the cost of direct and guaranteed loans available until expended to disburse obligations for certain Rural Development programs.

Section 706.—The bill includes language for funds to cover necessary expenses related to advisory committees.

Section 707.—The bill includes language prohibiting the use of funds to establish an inspection panel at the Department of Agriculture.

Section 708.—The bill includes language regarding detailed employees.

Section 709.—The bill includes language regarding the appropriations hearing process.

Section 710.—The bill includes language regarding the transfer of funds to the Office of the Chief Information Officer and information technology funding obligations.

Section 711.—The bill includes language regarding the reprogramming of funds.

Section 712.—The bill includes language regarding user fee proposals.

Section 713.—The bill includes language regarding the closure or relocation of Rural Development offices.

Section 714.—The bill includes language allowing for reimbursement of the Bill Emerson Humanitarian Trust.

Section 715.—The bill includes language regarding the closure or relocation of a Food and Drug Administration office.

Section 716.—The bill includes language regarding the availability of funds for certain conservation programs.

Section 717.—The bill includes language regarding government-sponsored news stories.

Section 718.—The bill provides funding for the National Center for Natural Products Research.

Section 719.—The bill includes language limiting certain programs.

Section 720.—The bill includes language regarding eligibility for certain rural development programs.

Section 721.—The bill includes language regarding meat inspection.

Section 722.—The bill includes language providing that certain locations shall be considered eligible for certain rural development programs.

Section 723.—The bill provides funding for an agriculture pest facility in Hawaii.

Section 724.—The bill includes language authorizing certain watershed projects.

Section 725.—The bill includes language modifying matching requirements for certain research grants.

Section 726.—The bill provides funding for certain projects.

Section 727.—The bill includes language relating to infant formula.

Section 728.—The bill rescinds certain funds.

Section 729.—The bill includes language relating to the export of poultry products to the United States.

Section 730.—The bill includes language regarding the Federal Meat Inspection and other acts.

Section 731.—The bill includes funding for two farm bill programs.

Section 732.—The bill includes language establishing a forestry pilot program for lands affected by Hurricane Katrina.

Section 733.—The bill includes language regarding administrative funds for certain farm bill programs.

Section 734.—The bill includes language regarding guaranteed business and industry loan fees.

Section 735.—The bill includes language regarding strategic regional development planning.

Section 736.—The bill includes language regarding the broadband program.

Section 737.—The bill provides for the rescission of certain facilities funds.

Section 738.—The bill provides for the rescission of certain rural development funds.

Section 739.—The bill provides for the rescission of certain facilities funds.

Section 740.—The bill includes funding for workforce acquisition capacity and capabilities.

Section 741.—The bill includes language regarding school food authorities.

Section 742.—The bill includes language regarding properties of the Agricultural Research Service.

Section 743.—The bill includes language regarding rare and neglected diseases.

Section 744.—The bill includes language regarding a food safety stakeholder panel.

Section 745.—The bill rescinds certain funds.

Section 746.—The bill includes language regarding baseload electric generation.

Section 747.—The bill rescinds certain funds.

Section 748.—The bill rescinds certain funds.

Section 749.—The bill limits certain funds.

DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

Following is a list of congressional earmarks and congressionally directed spending items (as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, respectively) included in the bill or this explanatory statement, along with the name of each Senator, House Member, Delegate, or Resident Commissioner who submitted a request to the House or Senate Committee of jurisdiction for each item so identified. Neither the bill nor the explanatory statement contains any limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in the applicable House and Senate rules.

AGENCY	H ACCOUNT	C PROJECT	C AMOUNT	HOUSE	SENATE	ADMINISTRATION
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Animal Vaccines, Greenport, NY	1,518,000	Delaura		
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Aquaculture Fisheries Center, Stuttgart, AR	319,000	Berry, Ross	Lincoln, Pryor	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Aquaculture Initiatives, Stuttgart, AR	1,597,000	Wasserman Schultz		
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Biomass Crop Production, Brookings, SD	1,250,000	Herseth Sandlin	Johnson, Thune	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Biomedical Materials in Plants (Borch Foundation), Beltsville, MD	1,700,000	Hoyer		
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Bioremediation Research, Beltsville, MD	111,000	Blumenauer, Hoyer, Schrader	Merkley, Wyden	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Biotechnology Research and Development Center, Washington, DC	3,500,000		Durbin	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Computer Vision Engineer, Kearneysville, WV	400,000		Rocketteller	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Dairy Forage Research Center, Marshfield, WI	2,500,000		Kohl	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Dale Bumpers Small Farms Research Center, Booneville, AR	1,805,000	Berry, Ross	Lincoln, Pryor	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Diet Nutrition and Obesity Research, New Orleans, LA	623,000		Landrieu	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Endophyte Research, Booneville, AR	994,000	Blumenauer, Ross, Schrader	Lincoln, Merkley, Pryor, Wyden	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Forage Crop Stress Tolerance and Virus Disease Management, Prosser, WA	200,000		Cantwell	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Formosan Subterranean Termites Research, New Orleans, LA	3,490,000	Melancon	Landrieu	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Human Nutrition Research, Boston, MA; Houston, TX; Kannapolis, NC	1,650,000	Bishop (GA); Capuano, Kissell, Markey (MA); Price (NC); Watt	Burr, Hagan, Hutchinson, Kerry	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Lyme Disease, 4 Poster Project, Washington, DC	700,000			
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Medicinal and Bioactive Crops, Washington, DC	111,000	Hoyer		
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Mosquito Trapping Research/West Nile Virus, Gainesville, FL	1,454,000	Delaura		
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	National Center for Agricultural Law, Beltsville, MD	654,000		Harkin, Lincoln, Pryor	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Northern Great Plains Research Laboratory, Mandan, ND	543,000	Pomeroy	Conrad, Dorgan	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Northwest Center for Small Fruits, Corvallis, OR	275,000	Blumenauer, Inlee, Larsen (WA); Schrader, Wu	Grapo, Merkley, Risch, Wyden	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Peanut Research, Athens and Tifton, GA	1,000,000		Chambliss	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Phytohormone Research, New Orleans, LA	1,750,000	Bishop (GA); Marshall, Scott (GA)		
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Poultry and Potato Disease Research, Beltsville, MD	469,000	Cao, Kaptur	Landrieu	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Soil Management Research, Morris, MN	500,000	Hoyer	Mikulski	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Sorghum Research, Little Rock, AR	135,000	Peterson	Franken, Klobuchar	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Technology Transfer, Washington, DC	250,000	Berry, Snyder	Lincoln, Pryor	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Water Management Research Laboratory, Brawley, CA	340,000	Finer	Cochran	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Water Use Reduction, Dawson, GA	1,200,000	Bishop (GA); Johnson (GA); Marshall, Scott (GA)	Boxer, Feinstein	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Wild Rice and Soybean Research, St. Paul, MN	503,000	Peterson, Walz	Chambliss, Isakson	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Auburn, AL	6,000,000		Shelby	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Beltsville, MD	3,000,000	Hoyer	Mikulski	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Bowling Green, KY	5,000,000		McConnell	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Bozeman, MT	3,000,000		Baucus, Tester	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Canal Point, FL	3,654,000	Boyd, Grayson; Hastings (FL); Klein (FL); Meek (FL); Melancon; Wasserman Schultz		
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Davis, CA	3,000,000	Thompson (CA)		
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Geneva, NY	3,654,000	Arundt, Hinchey		
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Hilo, HI	5,000,000	Hirono	Akaka, Inouye	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Houns, LA	1,000,000	Melancon	Landrieu; Vitter	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Kearneysville, WV	1,500,000		Rocketteller	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Lincoln, NE	4,000,000		Nelson, Ben	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Logan, UT	6,000,000		Bennett	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Lorman, MS	3,000,000		Cochran	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Orono, ME	1,500,000		Collins, Snowe	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Prairie du Sac, WI	1,000,000	Michaud; Pingree (ME)	Kohl	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Pullman, WA	5,000,000	Dicks	Cantwell, Murray	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Salinas, CA	5,350,000	Farr		
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Storrs, CT	3,654,000	Delaura		
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Service Facility, Toledo, OH	3,654,000	Kaptur		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Childhood Farm Safety, Urbana, IL	75,000			Grassley, Harkin
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Conservation Technology Transfer, WI	376,000		Kohl	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Dairy Education, IA	175,000		Grassley, Harkin	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Dairy Management, NY	200,000	Murphy (NY)		

National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Diabetes Detection and Prevention, WA, PA		1,033,000	Fattah	Murray	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	E-commerce, MS		231,000	Childers	Cochran; Wicker	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Efficient Irrigation, NM, TX		1,610,000	Edwards [TX]; Reyes; Rodriguez; Teague	Bingaman; Cornyn; Hutchison	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Extension Specialist, MS		173,000		Cochran; Wicker	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Food Production Education, VT		116,000		Sanders	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Health Education Leadership, KY		300,000		McConnell	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Income Enhancement Demonstration, OH		864,000	Kapur		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Institute for Sustainable Agriculture, WI		400,000	Baldwin	Kohl	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Invasive Phragmites Control and Outreach, MI		147,000		Levin; Stabenow	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Iowa Vitality Center, IA		250,000		Harkin	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Maine Cattle Health Assurance Program, ME		700,000		Collins	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	National Center for Farm Safety, IA		150,000		Harkin	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Northeast Energy and Environmental Dairy System, PA		305,000	Hinchey; Holden	Specter	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Nutrition Enhancement, WI		950,000		Kohl	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Ohio-Israel Agriculture Initiative, OH		700,000		Brown; Sherrod; Voinovich	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Pilot Technology Transfer, MS, OK		209,000	Boren	Cochran; Inhofe; Wicker	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Pilot Technology Transfer, WI		174,000	Obey		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Potato Integrated Pest Management, ME		450,000	Michaud; Pingree [ME]	Collins; Snowe	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Potato Pest Management, WI		277,000	Obey		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Range Improvement, NM		209,000	Lujan; Teague	Bingaman; Udall; Tom	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Sustainable Agriculture Center, TN		500,000	Davis [TN]		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	University of Wisconsin-Extension Northern Aquaculture Demonstration Facility		450,000	Obey		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Urban Horticulture and Marketing, IL		175,000	Davis [IL]; Gutierrez; Jackson [IL]	Durbin	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Urban Horticulture, WI		376,000		Kohl	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Ag Based Industrial Lubricants, IA		405,000	Braley [IA]	Grassley; Harkin	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Agbioworks Initiative, TN		500,000	Cohen		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Agricultural Research Training, IL		495,000	Costello		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Agriculture Development in the American Pacific		400,000	Bordallo; Hirono	Akaka; Inouye	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Agriculture Technology Innovation, MD		150,000		Cardin	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Agriculture Waste Utilization, WV		500,000		Rothfeller	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Applied Agriculture and Environmental Research, CA		693,000	Capps; Costa; Farr; McInerney; Neapolitano; Schiff; Thompson [CA]	Boxer; Feinstein	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Aquaculture Research and Education Center, PA		300,000	Sestak		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Biofuel and Feedstock Research, OH		500,000	Kapur		

National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Biofuels Research, VT		100,000		Sanders
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Biotechnology Research, MS		480,000	Thompson (MS)	Cochran; Wicker
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Cellulosic Biomass, SC		469,000	Cyburn	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Center for Dairy and Beef Excellence, PA		340,000	Holden	Specter
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Center for Innovative Food Technology, OH		793,000	Kapur	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Center for North American Studies, TX		693,000	Edwards (TX)	Brigman; Udall; Tom
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Clemson University Veterinary Institute, SC		1,000,000	Cyburn; Spratt	Graham
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Climate Forecasting, FL		2,494,000	Boyd; Miller (NC)	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Converting Agricultural Waste to Energy, KS		2,000,000		Brownback
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Cotton Research, TX		200,000	Edwards (TX)	Cornyn; Hutchinson
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Council for Agriculture Science and Technology, IA		110,000		Harkin
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Development of Biobased Chemicals and Materials from Agriculture Raw Materials, MI		125,000		Levin; Stabenow
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Dietary Intervention, OH		866,000	Kapur	Brown; Sherrod; Voinovich
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Ethnobotanicals, MD		500,000		Cardin
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Farmland Preservation, OH		152,000	Boccell; Ryan (OH)	Brown; Sherrod
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Florida Biomass to Biofuels Conversion Program, FL		285,000	Brown; Carrine; Grayson; Kosmas	Nelson; Bill
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Greenhouse Nurseries, OH		1,504,000	Kapur	Brown; Sherrod
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	High Value Horticultural Crops, VA		502,000	Perriello	Warner; Webb
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	International Center for Food Technology Development to Expand Markets, IN		450,000		Lugar
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Kansas Advanced Biofuel Development		2,000,000		Brownback
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Kansas Center for Advanced Plant Design		2,000,000		Brownback
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Mariculture, NC		220,000	McIntyre	Burr; Hagan
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Midwest Agribusiness Trade and Information Center MAIRIC, IA		95,000		Harkin
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Mississippi Valley State University		1,002,000	Thompson (MS)	Cochran
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	New England Center for Invasive Plants, CT, ME, VT		295,000	DeLauro; Michaud; Pingree (ME)	Collins; Snowe
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Nutrition and Diet Research, CA		925,000	Baca	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Nutrition Research, NY		188,000	Serrano	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Pasteurization of Shell Eggs, MI		935,000	Dingell	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	PM-10 Study, WA		268,000	Dicks	Cantwell; Murray
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Polymer Research, KS		2,350,000		Brownback
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Rural Systems, MS		215,000	Thompson (MS)	Cochran; Wicker
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Shrimp Aquaculture, AZ, HI, LA, MA, MS, SC, TX		2,908,000	Abercrombie; Grijalva; Hirono; Neal; Ortiz; Pastor (AZ)	Cochran; Wicker
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Sustainable Agricultural Freshwater Conservation, TX		1,434,000	Reyes; Rodriguez	

National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Sustainable Agriculture and Rural Development Law Center, VT	437,000	Leahy	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point- Institute for Sustainable Technologies	1,400,000	Obey	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Viral Hemorrhagic Septicemia, OH	500,000	Kapur	Brown, Sherrod, Voinovich
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Water Pollutants, WV	500,000		Rockefeller
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Advanced Genetic Technologies, KY	650,000		McConnell
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Advancing Biofuel Production, TX	300,000	Edwards (TX)	Hutchison
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Aegleops Cylindrica, WA	245,000	Dicks, Insee	Cantwell; Murray
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Agricultural Diversification, HI	153,000	Hirono	Akaka, Inouye
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Agricultural Entrepreneurial Alternatives, PA	233,000	Carnley, Dahlkemper, Holden	Specter
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Agricultural Marketing, IL	176,000	Jackson (IL)	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Agriculture Science, OH	430,000	Boccheri; Kapur	Brown, Sherrod, Voinovich
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Agroecology/Chesapeake Bay Agro-ecology, MD	439,000	Cummings; Ruppertsberger; Sarbanes	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Air Quality, KS, TX	300,000	Edwards (TX)	Cornyn, Roberts
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Animal Science Food Safety Consortium, AR, IA, KS	1,000,000		Grassley, Harkin; Lincoln, Pryor; Roberts
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Aquaculture, CA, FL, TX	416,000	Davis (CA)	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Aquaculture, ID, WA	529,000	Baird, Dicks	Cantwell; Crapo; Murray, Risch
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Aquaculture, LA	150,000		Landrieu; Vitter
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Aquaculture, MS	337,000		Cochran; Wicker
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Aquaculture, NC	227,000	Butterfield; Etheridge; Price (NC)	Burr; Hagan
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Avian Bioscience, DE	130,000		Carper; Kaufman
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Babcock Institute, WI	416,000	Baldwin	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Barley for Rural Development, ID	500,000		Baucus; Crapo; Risch; Tester
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Beef Improvement Research, MO, TX	693,000	Rodriguez	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Bioactive Foods Research for Health and Food Safety, MA	525,000	Oliver	Kerry
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Biodesign and Processing Research Center, VA	868,000	Boucher	Warner; Webb
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Bioenergy Production and Carbon Sequestration, TN	500,000	Davis (TN)	Alexander
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Biomass-based Energy Research, MS, OK	839,000	Boren	Cochran; Inhofe; Wicker
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Bioresource Land Use Planning Project, KY	671,000		McConnell
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Biotechnology, NC	139,000	Etheridge; Miller (NC); Price (NC)	Burr; Hagan
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Bovine Tuberculosis, MI, MN	246,000	McColum; Peterson	Franken; Klobuchar; Levin; Stabenow
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Cataloging Genes Associated with Drought and Disease Resistance, NM	168,000	Teague	Bingaman; Udall; Tom
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Center for Food, Nutrition, and Obesity, WV	550,000		Rockefeller
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Center for Multi-Functional Prairie Agriculture, SD	700,000	Herseth Sandlin	Johnson; Thune

National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Center for One Medicine, IL		500,000	Burris, Durbin
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Center for Rural Studies, VT		350,000	Leahy
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Childhood Obesity and Nutrition, VT		250,000	Leahy
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Competitiveness of Agricultural Products, WA		469,000	Dicks, Lensen (WA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Cool Season Legume Research, ID, ND, WA		350,000	Dicks, Pomeroy
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Cotton Insect Management and Fiber Quality, GA		346,000	Barrow, Bishop (GA); Johnson (GA); Marshall; Scott (GA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Cranberry/Blueberry Disease and Breeding, NJ		522,000	Holt; Pallone; Rothman (NJ)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Cranberry/Blueberry, MA		152,000	Frank (MA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Crop Adaptation, TN		500,000	Davis (TN)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Crop Diversification and Biofuel Research and Education, KY		525,000	McConnell
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Crop Pathogens, NC		225,000	Butterfield; Etheridge; Miller (NC); Price (NC)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Dairy and Meat Goat Research, TX		200,000	Hutchison
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Dairy Farm Profitability, PA		372,000	Altmire; Carney; Dohkemper; Holden
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Delta Revitalization Project, MS		176,000	Cochran; Wicker
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Designing Foods for Health, TX		885,000	Edwards (TX); Rodriguez
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Detection and Food Safety, AL		1,748,000	Bright
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Drought Mitigation, NE		600,000	Sessions
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Efficient Irrigation, MA, TX		1,160,000	Edwards (TX); Heinrich; Reyes; Rodriguez
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Emerald Ash Borer, OH		522,000	Brown; Sherrod
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Environmental Research, NY		258,000	Hinchey
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Environmentally Safe Products, VT		250,000	Leahy
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Expanded Wheat Pasture, OK		223,000	Inhofe
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Floriculture, HI		300,000	Akaka; Inouye
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Food & Fuel Initiative, IA		298,000	Harkin
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Food and Agriculture Policy Research Institute		1,213,000	Bond; Harkin; Reid
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Food Marketing Policy Center, CT		401,000	DeLauro
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Food Safety Research Consortium, NY		693,000	Gillibrand
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Food Security, WA		276,000	Dicks
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Forages for Advancing Livestock Production, KY		473,000	Murray
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Forestry Research, AR		319,000	McConnell
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Fresh Produce Food Safety, CA		750,000	Lincoln; Pryor
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Genetically Enhanced Plants for Micro-nutrients and Genomics for Southern Crop Stress and Disease, MS		797,000	Boxer; Feinstein
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Geographic Information System		1,248,000	Cochran; Wicker
					Casey

National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Global Change/UWB Radiation	1,500,000				The President
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Grain Sorghum, KS, TX	1,250,000	Edwards (TX)	Brownback; Roberts		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Grass Seed Cropping for Sustainable Agriculture, ID, OR, WA	142,000	Dicks; Schrader; Wu	Cantwell; Merkley; Murray; Wyden		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Human Nutrition, LA	526,000		Landrieu		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Human Nutrition, NY	377,000	Hinchey			
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Illinois Plant Breeding Center, IL	617,000	Jackson (IL)	Durbin		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Improved Dairy Management Practices, PA	243,000	Carney; Holden	Casey; Specter		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Infectious Disease Research, CO	617,000	Markey (CO)	Bennet; Udall; Mark		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Inland Marine Aquaculture, VA	400,000	Boucher; Perriello	Warner; Webb		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Institute for Food Science and Engineering, AR	500,000		Lincoln; Pryor		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Integrated Economic, Environmental and Technical Analysis of Sustainable Biomass Energy Systems, IN	188,000		Lugar		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Integrated Production Systems, OK	177,000	Boren	Inhofe		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	International Arid Land Consortium, AZ	401,000	Grijalva; Herseth Sandlin; Hinojosa; Ortiz; Pastor (AZ)	Bingaman; Johnson; Udall; Tom		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Leopold Center Hypoxia Project, IA	100,000		Harkin		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Livestock and Dairy Policy, NY, TX	693,000	Edwards (TX); Hinchey	Gillibrand; Schumer		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Maple Research, VT	165,000		Leahy		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Midwest Center for Bioenergy Grasses, IN	188,000		Lugar		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Midwest Poultry Consortium, IA	250,000		Franken; Markin; Klobuchar		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Milk Safety, PA	780,000	Carney; Holden	Casey; Specter		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Minor Use Animal Drugs	429,000	Hinchey	Stabenow		The President
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Molluscan Shellfish, OR	253,000	Schrader; Wu	Merkley; Wyden		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Multi-commodity Research, OR	244,000	Blumenauer; DeFazio; Schrader; Wu	Merkley; Wyden		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	National Beef Cattle Genetic Evaluation Consortium, CO, GA, NY	655,000	Boswell; Hinchey; Markey (CO); Marshall; Scott (GA)	Bennet; Chambliss		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	National Biological Impact Assessment Program	184,000	Boucher			The President
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	National Center for Agricultural and Rural Sustainability, AR	175,000		Lincoln; Pryor		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	National Center for Soybean Technology, MD	690,000		Bond		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Native Grassland and Sustainability, KS	1,000,000		Brownback		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Nematode Resistance Genetic Engineering, NM	199,000	Teague	Bingaman; Udall; Tom		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Nevada Arid Rangelands Initiative, NV	500,000		Reid		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	New Century Farm, IA	350,000	Boswell	Grassley; Harkin		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	New Satellite and Computer-Based Technology for Agriculture, MS	654,000		Cochran; Wicker		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Organic Cropping, OR	142,000	Blumenauer; DeFazio; Schrader; Wu	Merkley; Wyden		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Organic Cropping, WA	264,000	Dicks; Larsen (WA); Smith (WA)	Cantwell; Murray		

National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Oyster Safety, FL		500,000	Boyd		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Phytophthora Research, MI		346,000	Conyers; Dingell; Kildee		Levin; Stabenow
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Pierce's Disease, CA		2,000,000	Capps; Thompson (CA)		Boxer; Feinstein
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Policy Analyses for National Secure and Sustainable Food, Fiber, Forestry and Energy Program, TX		200,000	Edwards (TX)		Hutchison
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Peanut Food Safety, KS		500,000	Moore (KS)		Brownback; Roberts
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Protein Production for Research to Combat Viruses and Microbes, CT		500,000	DeLauro		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Protein Utilization, IA		500,000			Grassley; Harkin
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Rangeland Ecosystems Dynamics, ID		300,000			Crapo; Risch
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Regionalized Implications of Farm Programs, MO, TX		555,000	Edwards (TX)		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Renewable Energy and Products, ND		1,000,000	Pomeroy		Conrad; Dorgan
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Rural Policies Research Institute		755,000			Harkin
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Russian Wheat Aphid, CO		237,000	Markey (CO)		Benner; Udall; Mark
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Snail Fruit Research, ID, OR, WA		307,000	Blumenthauer; Dicks; Larsen (WA); Schrader, Wu		Cantwell; Crapo; Merkley; Murray; Risch; Wyden
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Soil borne Disease Prevention in Irrigated Agriculture, NM		178,000	Teague		Bingaman; Udall; Tom
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Southern Great Plains Dairy Consortium, NM		350,000	Heinrich; Lujan; Pastor (AZ); Teague		Bingaman; Udall; Tom
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Southwest Consortium for Plant Genetics and Water Resources, NM		271,000	Grijalva; Pastor (AZ); Teague		Bingaman; Udall; Tom
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Soybean Cyst Nematode, MO		556,000	Clay		Bond
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Soybean Research, IL		745,000	Jackson (IL)		Burr's
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Specialty Crops, IN		220,000	Elsworth		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	STEEP IV -- Water Quality in Northwest		444,000	Dicks; McDermott; Schrader; Wu		Cantwell; Crapo; Merkley; Murray; Risch; Wyden
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Sustainable Agriculture and Natural Resources, PA		133,000	Holden		Specter
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Sustainable Agriculture, CA		357,000	Fair		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Sustainable Engineered Materials from Renewable Sources, VA		485,000	Boucher		Warner; Webb
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Sustainable Food and Bio-Energy Systems, MT		305,000			Tester
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Sustainable Production and Processing Research for Lowbush Specialty Crops, ME		200,000	Michaud; Pingree (ME)		Collins; Sroufe
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Sustainable Wheat Production and Food Security, SD		600,000	Herseth Sandlin		Johnson; Thune
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Swine and Other Animal Waste Management, NC		349,000	Butterfield; Etheridge; Miller (NC); Price (NC)		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Texas Obesity Research Project		500,000	Johnson; Eddie Bernice		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Tick Borne Disease Prevention, RI		280,000	Kennedy; Langevin		Reed; Whitehouse
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Tillage, Silviculture, Waste Management, LA		180,000	Melanson		Landrieu
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Tri-state Joint Peanut Research, AL		413,000	Bright		Chambliss
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Tropical and Subtropical Research/1-Star		5,000,000	Abercrombie; Borsalio; Hirono; Wasserman Schultz		Akaka; Inouye
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Virtual Plant Database Enhancement Project, MO		588,000	Carnahan		Bond

National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Virus-free Wine Grape Cultivars, WA	247,000	Dicks, Inslee, Larsen (WA)	Cantwell, Murray
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Viticulture Consortium, CA, NY, PA	1,454,000	Farr, Hinchey, Thompson (CA)	Boxer
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Water Conservation, KS	600,000		Brownback, Roberts
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Wetland Plants, LA	200,000	Melancon	Landrieu, Vitter
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Wheat Genetic Research, KS	1,250,000		Brownback, Roberts
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Wildlife/Livestock Disease Research Partnership, WY	300,000		Barrasso
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Wood Utilization, AK, ID, LA, ME, MI, MN, MS, NC, OR, TN, WV	4,841,000	Butterfield, Childers, DeFazio, Michaud, Miller (NC), Oberstar, Pingree (ME), Price (NC), Schrader, Wu, Young (AK)	Burr, Cochran, Collins, Crapo, Franken, Klobuchar, Landrieu, Levin, Merkley, Murkowski, Risch, Rockefeller, Snowe, Stabenow, Wicker, Wyden
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	World Food and Health Initiative, IL	461,000	Jackson (IL)	Burris
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Adirondack Park Management, NY	500,000	Owens	
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Agriculture Compliance Laboratory Equipment, DE	69,000		Carper, Kaufman
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Arthropod Damage Control, NV	1,000,000		Reid
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Beaver Management, NC	208,000	McIntyre, Price (NC)	Hagan
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Berryman Institute, MS, UT	1,500,000		Bennett, Cochran, Wicker
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Bio-safety and Antibiotic Resistance, VT	240,000		Leahy
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Blackbird Management, LA	94,000		Landrieu
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Bovine Tuberculosis Eradication, MI	248,000	Stupak	Levin, Stabenow
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Brucellosis Eradication in the Greater Yellowstone Area States, ID, MT, WY	630,000		Barrasso, Baucus, Crapo, Enzi, Risch, Tester
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	California County Pest Detection Augmentation Program	619,000	Capps, Costa, Farr	Boxer, Feinstein
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Chronic Wasting Disease Surveillance, WI	1,024,000	Kagan, Obey	
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Cogongrass Control, MS	208,000		Cochran
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Cooperative Livestock Protection Program, PA	223,000		Specter
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Cormorant Control, MI	139,000	Stupak	Levin, Stabenow
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Cormorant Control, MS	223,000		Cochran
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Cormorant Control, VT	103,000		Leahy
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Database of North Carolina's Agricultural Industry for Rapid Response	208,000	Ehrhidge, Kissell, McIntyre, Miller (NC), Price (NC)	Burr, Hagan
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Disease Prevention, LA	69,000	Melancon	Landrieu
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Genetically Modified Products, IA	259,000		Grassley, Harkin
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Giant Salvinia Control, LA	500,000		Landrieu
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Hawaii Interline, HI	3,000,000	Abercrombie, Hirono	Akaka, Inouye
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Hawaii Wildlife Services Activities	2,250,000	Abercrombie, Hirono	Akaka, Inouye
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, TN	1,250,000		Alexander
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Integrated Predation Management Activities, WV	280,000		Rockefeller

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Johnie's Disease Activities, WI	939,000	Kagen, Obey		
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	National Agriculture Biosecurity Center, KS	750,000	Moore (KS)		Brownback, Roberts
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	National Wildlife Research Station, TX	290,000	Ortiz		
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	New Mexico Rapid Syndrome Validation Program, NM	404,000	Teague		Bingaman, Udall, Tom
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Nex Perce Bio-control Center, Nez Perce Tribe	176,000			Crapo, Risch
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Noxious Weed Management, NV	235,000			Reid
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Technology to Combat Asian Long-Horned Beetles in New York Forests	500,000	Arcuri, Bishop (NY); Clarke, Crowley, Engel; Hinchey, Maffei; Maloney, Meeks (NY); Murphy (NY); Owens, Tonko;		Gillibrand, Schumer
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Tri-State Predator Control, ID, MT, WY	926,000			Barrasso, Baucus, Crapo, Enzi, Risch
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Varrua Mite Suppression, HI	469,000	Abercrombie; Hirano		Akaka, Inouye
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Viral Hemorrhagic Septicemia Monitoring and Management, WI	550,000	Kagen, Obey		
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Wildlife Management and Control, MS	496,000			Cochran
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Wildlife Services, SD	519,000			Johnson
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Wisconsin Livestock Identification Consortium	1,500,000	Kagen, Obey		
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Wolf Predation Management, MI, MN, WI	727,000	Oberstar		
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Marketing Services	Microbiological Data Program, AZ	250,000			
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Accelerated Soil Mapping Survey, WY	200,000			The President
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Agricultural Development and Resource Conservation, HI	1,400,000	Hirano		
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Agricultural Wildlife Conservation Center, MS	939,000			
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Audubon Conservation Curriculum, NY, VA	800,000	Israel; Moran (VA)		
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Borderlands Conservation, TX	500,000	Rodriguez		
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	CEMSA with Iowa Soybean Association	288,000	Boswell; Braley (IA); Loebsack		Grassley, Harkin
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Center for Invasive Species Eradication, TX	1,000,000			Hutchison
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Chesapeake Bay Activities	3,998,000	Connolly (VA); Kratochvil; Moran (VA); Sarbanes; Scott (VA); Van Hollen		
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Connecting for Conservation, MA	450,000	Oliver		
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Conservation Enhancements, PA	200,000			Specter
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Conservation Internships, WI	120,000			Kohl
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Conservation Planning, MA, WI	423,000	Frank (MA)		Kerry
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Conservation Technical Assistance, KY	500,000	Chandler		
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Conservation Technical Assistance, NJ	236,000	Holt; Pallone; Sires		Lautenberg; Menendez
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Conservation Technical Assistance, TN	1,000,000			Alexander
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Conservation Technology Transfer, WI	516,000			Kohl
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Cooperative Agreement with Tufts University, CT	333,000	Courtney; DeLauro		Dodd; Lieberman
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Cover Crop Program, MD	1,000,000	Edwards (MD); Kratochvil; Sarbanes		Cardin; Mikulski

Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Delta Conservation Demonstration, MS	376,000		Cochran
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Delta Water Study, MS	235,000		Cochran
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Farm Viability Program, VT	300,000		Leahy
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Fountain Creek Watershed Project, CO	500,000	Salazar	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Georgia Soil and Water Conservation Commission Cooperative Agreement	2,473,000	Bishop (GA), Marshall, Scott (GA)	Chambliss, Jackson
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Gilbert M. Grosvenor Center for Geographic Education Watershed Project, TX	282,000	Doggett	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Grazing Lands Conservation Initiative, WI	835,000	Obey	Kohl
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Great Basin Plant Materials Center, NV	269,000		Reid
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Great Lakes Basin Program for Soil Erosion and Sediment Control, Great Lakes Commission	404,000		Brown, Sherrod, Durbin, Levin, Schumer, Stabenow, Voinovich
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Hungry Canyons Alliance, IA	282,000		Grassley, Harkin
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Illinois Conservation Initiative	1,126,000		Durbin
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Kentucky Soil Erosion Control	724,000		Bunning, McConnell
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Long Island Sound Watershed, NY	333,000	Lowe	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Massaro Community Farm, CT	300,000	DeLauro	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Maumee Watershed Hydrological and Flood Mitigation, OH	667,000	Kaptur	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Mississippi Conservation Initiative	4,000,000		Cochran
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Municipal Water District of Orange County for Efficient Irrigation, CA	134,000	Sanchez, Loretta	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Native Plant Initiative, LA	500,000		Landrieu
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Nutrient Management, MD	500,000	Kratovil	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Operation Oak Program	100,000	Berry, Bishop (GA)	Chambliss, Cochran, Graham
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Pace University Land Use Law Center, White Plains, NY	133,000	Lowe	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Pastureland Management/Rotational Grazing, NY	400,000	Arcuri	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Phosphorus Loading in Lake Champlain, VT	179,000		Leahy
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Prairie Biomass, IA	100,000	Goswell	Grassley, Harkin
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Quabbin to Cardigan Conservation Initiative, NH	282,000		Shaheen
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Range Revegetation for Fort Hood, TX	333,000	Edwards (TX)	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Riparian Restoration, NM	200,000		Bingaman
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Sand County Foundation, WI	892,000	Baldwin	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Soil Surveys, RI	134,000		Reed
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Technical Assistance Grants to Kentucky Soil Conservation Districts, KY	545,000		Bunning, McConnell
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Technical Assistance to Livestock/Poultry Producers, NC	300,000	McIntyre, Price (NC)	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Town of Cary Swift Creek Stream Bank Restoration, NC	199,000	Miller (NC)	Burr, Hagan
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Upper Cedar River Watershed Coalition, IA	150,000	Loebbeck	Grassley, Harkin

Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Washington State Conservation Program	500,000	Dicks	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Water Quality Protection Program for the Monterey Bay Sanctuary, CA	400,000	Farr	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Watershed Agricultural Council, NY	480,000	Hinche	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Watershed Demonstration Project, IA	134,000	Boswell, Braley (IA); Loebback	Harkin
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Watershed Planning Staff, Pacific Island Area	500,000	Hirono	Akaka, Inouye
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Watershed Protection Plan for Hood County, TX	100,000	Edwards (TX)	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	West Virginia Conservation Initiative	1,000,000	Rockefeller	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Alameda Creek Watershed Project, CA	1,337,000	Garamendi	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Big Slough Watershed Project, AR	100,000	Berry	Lincoln, Pryor
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Copper Mine Brook Watershed, CT	330,000	Larson (CT)	Dodd; Lieberman
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Dunlop Creek Watershed Project, WV	1,500,000	Rahall	Rockefeller
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Gin Bayou Bank Stabilization, MS	500,000	Thompson (MS)	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Lahaina Watershed, HI	4,000,000	Hirono	Akaka, Inouye
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Lake County Watershed, IL	350,000	Orrin	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Lower Hamakua Ditch Watershed Project, HI	1,800,000	Abercrombie; Hirono	Akaka, Inouye
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Missouri Watershed Projects	2,000,000	Bond	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	North Drainage Project, MS	500,000	Childers	Wicker
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	North Fork of the Elkhorn Creek, WV	300,000	Rahall	Rockefeller
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Pidcock Mill Creeks Watershed Project, PA	573,000	Murphy, Patrick	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Pocasset River, RI	2,000,000	Reed; Whitehouse	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Soap Creek Watershed Project, IA	595,000	Loebback	Harkin
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Southwest Quadrant Drainage and Flood Prevention Project, AL	350,000	Sessions	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Upcountry Maui Watershed Project, HI	2,000,000	Hirono	Akaka, Inouye
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Waiuku-Alenaio Watershed Project, HI	250,000	Abercrombie; Hirono	Akaka, Inouye
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Rural development	Appropriate Technology Transfer for Rural Areas	2,800,000	Boswell, Carney, Farr, Hinche	Baucus; Feinstein; Harkin; Johnson; Lincoln; Pryor; Specter; Tester
Food and Nutrition Service	Nutrition programs administration	Bill Emerson National Hunger Fellowship Program and the Mickey Leland International Hunger Fellowship Program	3,000,000	Kaplan; McGovern	The President
General Provision	General Provision	Agricultural Pest Facility, HI	2,600,000	Hirono	Akaka, Inouye
General Provision	General Provision	Market Development, VT	1,000,000	Leahy	
General Provision	General Provision	Market Development, WI	2,000,000	Kagan	Kohl
General Provision	General Provision	Phase II construction, National Center for Natural Products Research, Oxford, MS	5,000,000	Childers	Cochran; Wicker
General Provision	General Provision	Specialty Markets, WI	350,000	Kagan	Kohl
General Provision	General Provision	Tonga County BEAP	250,000	Hinche	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
TITLE I—AGRICULTURAL PROGRAMS					
Production, Processing, and Marketing					
Office of the Secretary	5,285	5,936	5,338	+ 53	- 598
Office of Tribal Relations	1,000	1,025	1,010	+ 10	- 15
Healthy Food Financing Initiative		35,000	35,000	+ 35,000	
Executive Operations:					
Office of Chief Economist	13,032	13,175	13,100	+ 68	- 75
National Appeals Division	15,254	15,424	15,417	+ 163	- 7
Office of Budget and Program Analysis	9,436	9,547	9,547	+ 111	
Office of Homeland Security	1,859	1,876	1,876	+ 17	
Office of Advocacy and Outreach	1,700	7,009	6,209	+ 4,509	- 800
Office of the Chief Information Officer	61,579	63,719	61,719	+ 140	- 2,000
Office of the Chief Financial Officer	6,566	6,632	6,632	+ 66	
Total, Executive Operations	109,426	117,382	114,500	+ 5,074	- 2,882
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights	895	907	907	+ 12	
Office of Civil Rights	23,922	24,133	24,133	+ 211	
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration	806	814	814	+ 8	- 14
Agriculture buildings and facilities and rental payments	(293,093)	(277,537)	(261,608)	(- 31,485)	(- 16,329)
Payments to USA	184,812	178,470	178,470	- 6,342	
Department of Homeland Security	13,500	13,800	13,800	+ 300	
Building operations and maintenance	94,781	85,667	69,338	- 25,443	- 16,329
Hazardous materials management	5,125	5,139	5,139	+ 14	
Departmental Administration	41,319	30,706	29,706	- 11,613	- 1,000
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Congressional Relations	3,968	4,081	4,008	+ 40	- 73
Office of Communications	9,722	9,839	9,839	+ 117	
Office of the Inspector General	88,725	90,300	94,300	+ 5,575	+ 4,000
Office of the General Counsel	43,551	45,654	44,104	+ 553	- 1,550
Office of the Under Secretary for Research, Education, and Economics	895	920	904	+ 9	- 16
Economic Research Service	82,478	87,171	83,671	+ 1,193	- 3,500
National Agricultural Statistics Service	161,830	164,721	161,371	- 459	- 3,350
Census of Agriculture	(37,908)	(33,494)	(33,494)	(- 4,414)	
Agricultural Research Service:					
Salaries and expenses	1,179,639	1,199,669	1,199,986	+ 20,347	+ 317
Buildings and facilities	70,873		67,966	- 2,907	+ 67,966
Total, Agricultural Research Service	1,250,512	1,199,669	1,267,952	+ 17,440	+ 68,283
National Institute of Food and Agriculture:					
Research and education activities	788,243	838,729	806,495	+ 18,252	- 32,234
Native American Institutions Endowment Fund	(11,880)	(11,880)	(11,880)		
Extension activities	494,923	479,203	499,373	+ 4,453	+ 20,173
Integrated activities	60,022	24,874	60,173	+ 151	+ 35,299
Hispanic-Serving Agricultural Colleges and Universities Endowment Fund		(10,000)	(10,000)	(+ 10,000)	
Total, National Institute of Food and Agriculture	1,343,188	1,342,806	1,366,044	+ 22,856	+ 23,238
Office of the Under Secretary for Marketing and Regulatory Programs	895	920	904	+ 9	- 16
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service:					
Salaries and expenses	904,953	870,576	903,794	- 1,159	+ 33,218
Buildings and facilities	4,712	4,712	4,536	- 176	- 176
Total, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	909,665	875,288	908,330	- 1,335	+ 33,042
Agricultural Marketing Service:					
Marketing Services	91,148	97,255	96,645	+ 5,497	- 610
(Limitation on administrative expenses, from fees collected)	(64,583)	(60,947)	(60,947)	(- 3,636)	
Funds for strengthening markets, income, and supply (Section 32):					
Permanent, Section 32	1,300,000	1,200,000	1,200,000	- 100,000	
Marketing agreements and orders (transfer from section 32)	20,056	20,283	20,283	+ 227	
Commodity purchases support system	(10,000)			(- 10,000)	
Payments to States and Possessions	1,334	2,634	2,484	+ 1,150	- 150
Total, Agricultural Marketing Service program	1,487,121	1,381,119	1,380,359	- 106,762	- 760
Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration:					
Salaries and expenses	41,964	44,192	43,742	+ 1,778	- 450
Limitation on inspection and weighing services	(42,463)	(45,041)	(50,000)	(+ 7,557)	(+ 4,959)
Office of the Under Secretary for Food Safety	813	836	821	+ 8	- 15
Food Safety and Inspection Service	1,018,520	1,035,900	1,047,200	+ 28,580	+ 10,300
Lab accreditation fees	(1,000)	(1,000)	(1,000)		
Total, Production, Processing, and Marketing	6,850,135	6,722,462	6,830,757	- 19,378	+ 108,295
Farm Assistance Programs					
Office of the Under Secretary for Farm and Foreign Agricultural Services	895	920	904	+ 9	- 16
Farm Service Agency:					
Salaries and expenses	1,253,777	1,364,673	1,325,650	+ 71,873	- 39,023
(Transfer from Food for Peace (Public Law 480))	(2,812)	(2,846)	(2,846)	(+ 34)	
(Transfer from export loans)	(355)	(359)	(359)	(+ 4)	
(Transfer from ACIF)	(313,173)	(318,200)	(313,173)		(- 5,027)
Subtotal, transfers from program accounts	(316,340)	(321,405)	(316,378)	(+ 38)	(- 5,027)
Total, Salaries and expenses	(1,570,117)	(1,686,078)	(1,642,028)	(+ 71,911)	(- 44,050)
State mediation grants	4,369	4,369	4,185	- 184	- 184
Grassroot source water protection program	5,000		5,500	+ 500	+ 5,500
Dairy indemnity program	930	876	876	- 54	
Subtotal, Farm Service Agency	1,264,076	1,369,918	1,336,211	+ 72,135	- 33,707
Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund (ACIF) Program Account:					
Loan authorizations:					
Farm ownership loans:					
Direct	(650,000)	(475,000)	(475,000)	(- 175,000)	
Guaranteed	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)		
Subtotal	(2,150,000)	(1,975,000)	(1,975,000)	(- 175,000)	
Farm operating loans:					
Direct	(1,000,000)	(900,000)	(900,000)	(- 100,000)	
Unsubsidized guaranteed	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)		
Subsidized guaranteed	(170,000)	(144,035)	(144,035)	(- 25,965)	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
Subtotal	(2,670,000)	(2,544,035)	(2,544,035)	(- 125,965)	
Indian tribe land acquisition loans	(3,940)	(2,000)	(10,000)	(+ 6,060)	(+ 8,000)
Conservation loans:					
Direct	(75,000)	(75,000)	(75,000)		
Guaranteed	(75,000)	(75,000)	(75,000)		
Subtotal	(150,000)	(150,000)	(150,000)		
Indian Highly Fractionated Land Loans ..	(10,000)	(10,000)	(10,000)		
Boll weevil eradication loans	(100,000)	(60,000)	(100,000)		(+ 40,000)
Total, Loan authorizations	(5,083,940)	(4,741,035)	(4,789,035)	(- 294,905)	(+ 48,000)
Loan subsidies:					
Farm ownership loans:					
Direct	26,520	32,870	32,870	+ 6,350	
Guaranteed	5,550	5,700	5,700	+ 150	
Subtotal	32,070	38,570	38,570	+ 6,500	
Farm operating loans:					
Direct	47,400	54,540	54,540	+ 7,140	
Unsubsidized guaranteed	35,100	34,950	34,950	- 150	
Subsidized guaranteed	23,902	19,920	19,920	- 3,982	
Subtotal	106,402	109,410	109,410	+ 3,008	
Direct	1,065	2,243	2,243	+ 1,178	
Guaranteed	278	285	285	+ 7	
Subtotal	1,343	2,528	2,528	+ 1,185	
Indian Highly Fractionated Land Loans ..	793	214	214	- 579	
Total, Loan subsidies	140,608	150,722	150,722	+ 10,114	
ACIF administrative expenses:					
Salaries and expense (transfer to FSA) ..	313,173	318,200	313,173		- 5,027
Administrative expenses	7,920	7,920	7,920		
Total, ACIF expenses	321,093	326,120	321,093		- 5,027
Total, Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund ..	461,701	476,842	471,815	+ 10,114	- 5,027
(Loan authorization)	(5,083,940)	(4,741,035)	(4,789,035)	(- 294,905)	(+ 48,000)
Total, Farm Service Agency	1,725,777	1,846,760	1,808,026	+ 82,249	- 38,734
Risk Management Agency, Administrative and operating expenses ..	80,325	83,064	83,064	+ 2,739	
Total, Farm Assistance Programs	1,806,997	1,930,744	1,891,994	+ 84,997	- 38,750
Corporations					
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation:					
Federal crop insurance corporation fund ..	6,455,278	7,613,232	7,613,232	+ 1,157,954	
Commodity Credit Corporation Fund:					
Reimbursement for net realized losses ..	15,079,163	13,925,575	13,925,575	- 1,153,588	
Hazardous waste management (limitation on expenses) ..	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)		
Total, Corporations	21,534,441	21,538,807	21,538,807	+ 4,366	
Total, Title I, Agricultural Programs	30,191,573	30,192,013	30,261,558	+ 69,985	+ 69,545
(By transfer)	(316,340)	(321,405)	(316,378)	(+ 38)	(- 5,027)
(Loan authorization)	(5,083,940)	(4,741,035)	(4,789,035)	(- 294,905)	(+ 48,000)
(Limitation on administrative expenses) ..	(112,046)	(110,988)	(115,947)	(+ 3,901)	(+ 4,959)
TITLE II—CONSERVATION PROGRAMS					
Office of the Under Secretary for Natural Resources and Environment ..	895	920	904	+ 9	- 16
Office of Ecosystem Services Management ..		2,021			- 2,021
Natural Resources Conservation Service:					
Conservation operations	887,629	923,729	922,433	+ 34,804	- 1,296
Watershed and flood prevention operations ..	30,000		18,485	- 11,515	+ 18,485
Watershed rehabilitation program	40,161	40,497	20,497	- 19,664	- 20,000
Resource conservation and development ..	50,730		50,730		+ 50,730
Total, Natural Resources Conservation Service ..	1,008,520	964,226	1,012,145	+ 3,625	+ 47,919
Total, Title II, Conservation Programs	1,009,415	967,167	1,013,049	+ 3,634	+ 45,882
TITLE III—RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS					
Office of the Under Secretary for Rural Development	895	920	904	+ 9	- 16
Rural Development:					
Rural development expenses:					
Salaries and expenses	201,987	232,257	226,551	+ 24,564	- 5,706
(Transfer from RHIF)	(468,593)	(454,383)	(458,313)	(- 10,280)	(+ 3,930)
(Transfer from RDLFP)	(4,941)	(5,046)	(5,087)	(+ 146)	(+ 41)
(Transfer from RETLP)	(39,959)	(38,374)	(38,709)	(- 1,250)	(+ 335)
Subtotal, Transfers from program accounts ..	(513,493)	(497,803)	(502,109)	(- 11,384)	(+ 4,306)
Total, Rural development expenses	(715,480)	(730,060)	(728,660)	(+ 13,180)	(- 1,400)
Rural Housing Service:					
Rural Housing Insurance Fund Program Account:					
Loan authorizations:					
Single family direct (Sec. 502)	(1,121,488)	(1,200,000)	(1,840,256)	(+ 718,768)	(+ 640,256)
Unsubsidized guaranteed	(11,961,563)	(12,000,000)	(24,000,000)	(+ 12,038,437)	(+ 12,000,000)
Subtotal, Single family	(13,083,051)	(13,200,000)	(25,840,256)	(+ 12,757,205)	(+ 12,640,256)
Housing repair (Sec. 504)	(34,412)	(34,004)	(34,004)	(- 408)	
Rental housing (Sec. 515)		(69,512)	(69,512)		(- 25,724)
Site loans (Sec. 524)	(5,045)	(5,052)	(5,052)	(+ 7)	
Multi-family housing guarantees (Sec. 538) ..	(129,130)	(129,133)	(129,133)	(+ 3)	
Multi-family housing credit sales	(1,448)	(1,448)			(+ 1)
Single family housing credit sales	(10,000)	(10,000)	(10,000)		

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
Self-help housing land develop. (Sec. 523)	(4,970)	(4,966)	(4,966)	(-4)
Total, Loan authorizations	(13,337,568)	(13,479,840)	(26,094,372)	(+12,756,804)	(+12,614,532)
Loan subsidies:					
Single family direct (Sec. 502)	40,710	75,120	115,200	+74,490	+40,080
Unsubsidized guaranteed	172,800	-172,800
Subtotal, Single family	213,510	75,120	115,200	-98,310	+40,080
Housing repair (Sec. 504)	4,422	6,437	6,437	+2,015
Rental housing (Sec. 515)	18,935	32,123	23,446	+4,511	-8,677
Multi-family housing guarantees (Sec. 538)	1,485	12,513	12,513	+11,028
Site development loans (Sec. 524)	294	294	+294
Multi-family housing credit sales	556	556	556
Self-help land dev. housing loans (Sec. 523)	288	288	+288
Total, Loan subsidies	238,908	127,331	158,734	-80,174	+31,403
RHIF administrative expenses (transfer to RD)	468,593	454,383	458,313	-10,280	+3,930
Total, Rural Housing Insurance Fund program (Loan authorization)	707,501 (13,337,568)	581,714 (13,479,840)	617,047 (26,094,372)	-90,454 (+12,756,804)	+35,333 (+12,614,532)
Rental assistance program:					
Rental assistance (Sec. 521)	968,612	959,635	953,677	-14,935	-5,958
Eligible households (Sec. 502(c)(5)(D))	5,958	5,958	+5,958
New construction (Sec. 515)	2,030	3,000	3,000	+970
New construction (Farm Labor Housing)	3,400	3,000	3,000	-400
Total, Rental assistance program	980,000	965,635	955,635	-14,365
Rural housing voucher program	16,400	18,000	14,000	-2,400	-4,000
Multi-family housing revitalization program	25,000	25,000	+25,000
Multi-family housing preservation revolving loans	1,791	1,791	+1,791
Total, Multi-family housing revitalization	43,191	18,000	40,791	-2,400	+22,791
Mutual and self-help housing grants	41,864	37,000	41,864	+4,864
Rural housing assistance grants	45,500	40,400	40,400	-5,100
Farm labor program account:					
(Loan authorization)	(27,319)	(27,288)	(27,288)	(-31)
Loan subsidy	9,873	10,473	10,473	+600
Grants	9,873	9,873	9,873
Total, Farm Labor Program Account	19,746	20,346	20,346	+600
Rural community facilities program account:					
Loan authorizations:					
Community facility:					
Direct	(294,962)	(295,038)	(295,038)	(-76)
Guaranteed	(206,417)	(206,405)	(206,405)	(-12)
Total, Loan authorizations	(501,379)	(501,443)	(501,443)	(-64)
Loan subsidies and grants:					
Community facility:					
Direct	3,864	3,924	3,924	+60
Guaranteed	5,626	8,153	8,153	+1,527
Grants	20,373	29,640	20,373	-9,267	-9,267
Rural community development initiative	6,256	6,256	+6,256
Economic impact initiative grants	13,902	10,000	10,000	-3,902	+10,000
Tribal college grants	3,972	3,972	3,972	+3,972
Total, RCFP Loan subsidies and grants	54,993	41,717	52,678	-2,315	+10,961
Subtotal, grants and payments	162,103	139,463	155,288	-6,815	+15,825
Total, Rural Housing Service	1,892,795 (13,866,266)	1,704,812 (14,008,571)	1,778,761 (26,623,103)	-114,034 (+12,756,837)	+73,949 (+12,614,532)
Rural Business—Cooperative Service:					
Rural Business Program Account:					
(Guaranteed business and industry loans)	(993,002)	(941,963)	(993,000)	(-2)	(+51,037)
Loan subsidies and grants:					
Guaranteed business and industry subsidy	52,927	40,316	42,500	-10,427	+2,184
Grants:					
Rural business enterprise	38,727	38,727	38,727
Rural business opportunity	2,483	2,483	2,483
Delta regional authority	2,979	2,979	2,979	+2,979
Total, RBP loan subsidies and grants	97,116	81,526	85,689	-10,427	+5,163
Rural Development Loan Fund Program Account:					
(Loan authorization)	(33,536)	(36,376)	(33,533)	(-3)	(-2,843)
Loan subsidy	8,464	14,034	12,937	+4,473	-1,097
Administrative expenses (transfer to RD)	4,941	5,046	5,087	+146	+41
Total, Rural Development Loan Fund	13,405	19,080	18,024	+4,619	-1,056
Rural Economic Development Loans Program Account:					
(Loan authorization)	(33,077)	(33,077)	(33,077)
Limit cushion of credit interest spending	(44,463)	(103,000)	(184,000)	(+139,537)	(+81,000)
(Rescission)	-44,463	-103,000	-184,000	-139,537	-81,000
Rural cooperative development grants:					
Cooperative development	7,924	13,424	8,924	+1,000	-4,500
Appropriate technology transfer for rural areas	2,800	2,800	2,800
Cooperative research agreement	300	-300
Value-added agricultural product market development	20,367	20,367	20,367
Grants to assist minority producers	3,463	3,463	3,463
Total, Rural Cooperative development grants	34,854	40,054	35,554	+700	-4,500
Rural Microenterprise Investment Program Account:					
(Loan authorization)	(11,831)	(23,523)	(-11,831)	(-23,523)
Loan subsidy	2,500	6,850	-2,500	-6,850
Grants	2,500	850	-2,500	-850
Total, Rural Microenterprise Investment	5,000	7,700	-5,000	-7,700
Renewable energy program (Rural Energy for America):					
(Loan authorization)	(144,209)	(11,519)	(-144,209)	(-11,519)
Loan subsidy	19,670	5,340	-19,670	-5,340

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
Grants	19,670	34,000		-19,670	-34,000
Total, Renewable energy program	39,340	39,340		-39,340	-39,340
Biorefinery Assistance Program:					
(Loan authorization)		(49,856)			(-49,856)
Loan subsidy		17,300			-17,300
Total, Biorefinery Assistance Program		17,300			-17,300
Total, Rural Business—Cooperative Service	145,252	102,000	-43,733	-188,985	-145,733
(Loan authorization)	(1,215,655)	(1,096,314)	(1,059,610)	(-156,045)	(-36,704)
Rural Utilities Service:					
Rural water and waste disposal program account:					
Loan authorizations:					
Direct	(1,022,163)	(1,036,294)	(1,022,156)	(-7)	(-14,138)
Public Law 83-566 loans		(40,000)		(+40,000)	(+40,000)
Guaranteed	(75,000)	(75,000)	(75,000)		
Total, Loan authorization	1,097,163	1,111,294	1,137,156	+39,993	+25,862
Loan subsidies and grants:					
Direct subsidy	77,071	88,914	87,701	+10,630	-1,213
Public Law 83-566 loans			3,432	+3,432	+3,432
Water and waste grants	469,228	440,510	469,228		+28,718
Solid waste management grants	3,441	3,500	3,500		
Water and waste financing revolving fund	497	497	497	+59	
Water well system grants	993	993	993		
High energy cost grants	17,500		17,500		+17,500
Rural water and waste disposal					
Total, Water loan subsidies and grants	568,730	534,414	582,851	+14,121	+48,437
Rural Electrification and Telecommunications Loans Program Account:					
Loan authorizations:					
Electric:					
Direct, 5 percent	(100,000)	(100,000)	(100,000)		
Direct, FFB	(6,500,000)	(4,000,000)	(6,500,000)		(+2,500,000)
Guaranteed underwriting	(500,000)		(500,000)		(+500,000)
Subtotal, Electric	(7,100,000)	(4,100,000)	(7,100,000)		(+3,000,000)
Telecommunications:					
Direct, 5 percent	(145,000)	(145,000)	(145,000)		
Direct, Treasury rate	(250,000)	(250,000)	(250,000)		
Direct, FFB	(295,000)	(295,000)	(295,000)		
Subtotal, Telecommunications	(690,000)	(690,000)	(690,000)		
Total, Loan authorizations	(7,790,000)	(4,790,000)	(7,790,000)		(+3,000,000)
Loan subsidies:					
Guaranteed underwriting			700	+700	+700
RETLAP administrative expenses (transfer to RD)	39,959	38,374	38,709	-1,250	+335
Total, Rural Electrification and Telecommunications Loans Program Account	39,959	38,374	39,409	-550	+1,035
(Loan authorization)	(7,790,000)	(4,790,000)	(7,790,000)		(+3,000,000)
Distance learning, telemedicine, and broadband program:					
Loan authorizations:					
Broadband telecommunications	(400,000)	(400,000)	(400,000)		
Total, Loan authorizations	(400,000)	(400,000)	(400,000)		
Loan subsidies and grants:					
Distance learning and telemedicine:					
Grants	37,755	30,000	37,755		+7,755
Broadband telecommunications:					
Direct	28,960	22,320	22,320	-6,640	
Grants	17,976	17,976	17,976		
Total, Loan subsidies and grants	84,691	70,296	78,051	-6,640	+7,755
Total, Rural Utilities Service	693,380	643,084	700,311	+6,931	+57,227
(Loan authorization)	(9,287,163)	(6,301,294)	(9,327,156)	(+39,993)	(+3,025,862)
Total, Title III, Rural Development Programs	2,934,309	2,683,073	2,662,794	-271,515	-20,279
(By transfer)	(513,493)	(497,803)	(502,109)	(-11,384)	(+4,306)
(Loan authorization)	(24,369,084)	(21,406,179)	(37,009,869)	(+12,640,785)	(+15,603,690)
TITLE IV—DOMESTIC FOOD PROGRAMS					
Office of the Under Secretary for Food, Nutrition and Consumer Services	813	836	821	+8	-15
Food and Nutrition Service:					
Child nutrition programs	9,859,930	18,153,393	17,312,481	+7,452,551	-840,912
Competitive grants	5,000	5,000	7,500	+2,500	+2,500
Nutrition education	1,000			-1,000	
Transfer from section 32	6,989,899			-6,989,899	
Total, Child nutrition programs	16,855,829	18,158,393	17,319,981	+464,152	-838,412
Special supplemental nutrition program for women, infants, and children (WIC)	7,252,000	7,478,000	6,852,522	-399,478	-625,478
Reserve (WIC)		125,000			-125,000
Total, WIC program	7,252,000	7,603,000	6,852,522	-399,478	-750,478
Supplemental nutrition assistance program:					
(Food stamp program)	58,278,181	68,206,790	70,907,818	+12,629,637	+2,701,028
Commodity assistance program:					
Commodity supplemental food program	171,409	176,788	181,788	+10,379	+5,000
Farmers market nutrition program	20,000	20,000	20,000		
Emergency food assistance program	49,500	50,000	53,000	+3,500	+3,000
Emergency food program infrastructure grants	6,000		6,000		+6,000
Pacific island and disaster assistance	1,070	1,081	1,081	+11	
IT modernization and support		1,750	750	+750	-1,000
Total, Commodity assistance program	247,979	249,619	262,619	+14,540	+13,000
Nutrition programs administration	147,801	172,087	162,587	+14,786	-9,500

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
Total, Food and Nutrition Service	82,781,790	94,389,889	95,505,527	+ 12,723,737	+ 1,115,638
Total, Title IV, Domestic Food Programs	82,782,603	94,390,725	95,506,348	+ 12,723,745	+ 1,115,623
TITLE V—FOREIGN ASSISTANCE AND RELATED PROGRAMS					
Foreign Agricultural Service					
Salaries and expenses	180,367	258,780	219,280	+ 38,913	— 39,500
(Transfer from export loans)	(6,465)	(6,525)	(6,525)	(+ 60)	
Total, Salaries and expenses	186,832	265,305	225,805	+ 38,973	— 39,500
Food for Peace Title I Direct Credit and Food for Progress Program Account, Administrative Expenses:					
Farm Service Agency, Salaries and expenses (transfer to FSA)	2,812	2,846	2,846	+ 34	
Food for Peace Title II Grants:					
Expenses	1,690,000	1,690,000	1,690,000		
Total, Food for Peace (Public Law 480)	1,692,812	1,692,846	1,692,846	+ 34	
Commodity Credit Corporation Export Loans Program Account (administrative expenses):					
Salaries and expenses (Export Loans):					
General Sales Manager (transfer to FAS)	6,465	6,525	6,525	+ 60	
Farm Service Agency S&E (transfer to FSA)	355	359	359	+ 4	
Total, CCC Export Loans Program Account	6,820	6,884	6,884	+ 64	
McGovern-Dole international food for education and child nutrition program grants	209,500	209,500	219,500	+ 10,000	+ 10,000
Total, Title V, Foreign Assistance and Related Programs	2,089,499	2,168,010	2,138,510	+ 49,011	— 29,500
(By transfer)	(6,465)	(6,525)	(6,525)	(+ 60)	
TITLE VI—FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION					
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES					
Food and Drug Administration and Farm Credit Administration					
Salaries and expenses, direct appropriation	2,344,656	2,503,849	2,541,282	+ 196,626	+ 37,433
Prescription drug user fees	(578,162)	(667,057)	(667,057)	(+ 88,895)	
Medical device user fees	(57,014)	(61,860)	(61,860)	(+ 4,846)	
Animal drug user fees	(17,280)	(19,448)	(19,448)	(+ 2,168)	
Generic animal drug user fees	(5,106)	(5,397)	(5,397)	(+ 291)	
Tobacco product user fees	(235,000)	(450,000)	(450,000)	(+ 215,000)	
Subtotal (including user fees)	(3,237,218)	(3,707,611)	(3,745,044)	(+ 507,826)	(+ 37,433)
Mammography user fees	(19,318)			(— 19,318)	
Export certification user fees	(10,400)			(— 10,400)	
FDA New User Fees (Leg. proposals):					
Generic drug review user fees		(38,015)			(— 38,015)
Reinspection fees		(27,296)			(— 27,296)
Food and Feed Export Certification user fees		(4,385)			(— 4,385)
Food Facility Registration and Inspection fees		(220,200)			(— 220,200)
Subtotal, FDA New User fees		(289,896)			(— 289,896)
Buildings and facilities	12,433	12,433	10,000	— 2,433	— 2,433
Total, FDA (w/user fees, including proposals)	(3,279,369)	(4,009,940)	(3,755,044)	(+ 475,675)	(— 254,896)
Total, FDA (w/enacted user fees only)	(3,279,369)	(3,720,044)	(3,755,044)	(+ 475,675)	(+ 35,000)
Total, FDA (excluding user fees)	2,357,089	2,516,282	2,551,282	+ 194,193	+ 35,000
INDEPENDENT AGENCY					
Farm Credit Administration (limitation on administrative expenses)	(54,500)	(59,400)	(59,400)	(+ 4,900)	
Total, Title VI, Food and Drug Administration and Farm Credit Administration	2,357,089	2,516,282	2,551,282	+ 194,193	+ 35,000
TITLE VII—GENERAL PROVISIONS					
Rural Community Out Migration (Sec. 716)	499			— 499	
Natl Center for Natural Products Research (Sec. 718)	3,497		5,000	+ 1,503	+ 5,000
Limit Wetlands reserve		— 142,000	— 6,000	— 6,000	+ 136,000
Limit Conservation stewardship		— 2,000			+ 2,000
Limit Grasslands reserve		— 14,000			+ 14,000
Limit Farmland protection		— 15,000			+ 15,000
Limit Agriculture management assistance (Section 1524)		— 5,000			+ 5,000
Limit Environmental Quality Incentives prog. (Sec. 721)	— 270,000	— 380,000	— 276,452	— 6,452	+ 103,548
Limit Dam Rehab (Sec. 721)	— 165,000	— 165,000	— 165,000		
Limit Wildlife habitat incentives		— 12,000			+ 12,000
Limit fruit and vegetable program (Sec. 721)	— 76,000		— 113,000	— 37,000	— 113,000
Limit Biomass Crop Assistance program			— 134,000	— 134,000	— 134,000
Section 32 (recession) (Sec. 721)	— 52,470	— 50,000		+ 52,470	+ 50,000
Hawaii APHIS facility (Sec. 723)	2,600		2,600		+ 2,600
Food Aid Products (Sec. 724)	4,000			— 4,000	
Hunger Fellowships (Sec. 727)	3,000			— 3,000	
Market development (WV, VI) (Sec. 728)	3,000		3,000		+ 3,000
Specialty market (Sec. 728)	350		350		+ 350
Rural Economic Area Partnership			250	+ 250	+ 250
Carbon Inventory and Accounting System (Sec. 728)	1,000			— 1,000	
Internat'l Food Protection Training Institute (Sec. 728)	1,000			— 1,000	
Foodborne Illness Health Registry (Sec. 728)	200			— 200	
At risk supper program (Sec. 730)	1,000			— 1,000	
Methamphetamine inhibitor grant program (Sec. 735)	1,000			— 1,000	
Kansas Farm Bureau Foundation (Sec. 738)	250			— 250	
Hardwood Trees (Sec. 739)	800				+ 800
Geographic Disadvantaged (Sec. 741)	2,600		2,600		+ 2,600
Durum Wheat (Sec. 741)	3,000		3,000		+ 3,000
Product Access (Sec. 745)	1,000			— 1,000	
rural Utilities Service baseload generation			15,000	+ 15,000	+ 15,000
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Employment and Training (recession) (Sec. 742)	— 11,000		— 15,000	— 4,000	— 15,000
Dairy (Sec. 748)	350,000			— 350,000	
HINI program (Sec. 745)	2,000			— 2,000	
Child Nutrition Reauthorization (Sec. 749) (outlays)					
Agricultural Research Service, Buildings and facilities (recession)		— 75,500	— 2,226	— 2,226	+ 73,274
Rural Business program (recession)		— 20,070			+ 20,070
Departmental Administration acquisition workforce		6,500	1,000	+ 1,000	— 5,500

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
Broadband loan balances (rescission)		-15,000	-39,000	-39,000	-24,000
NIFA B&F (rescission)			-3,531	-3,531	-3,531
NRCS expired accounts (rescission)			-13,935	-13,935	-13,935
Bio-refinery (rescission)			-56,084	-56,084	-56,084
Advanced Biofuels (rescission)			-28,042	-28,042	-28,042
ARS S&E (rescission of emergency funding)			-971	-971	-971
Emergency Watershed Protection (rescission of emergency funding)			-38,846	-38,846	-38,846
Emergency Conservation Program (rescission of emergency fund)			-19,939	-19,939	-19,939
RC&D (rescission)			-1,563	-1,563	-1,563
Socially Disadvantaged Farmers (rescission)			-710	-710	-710
Rural Community Assistance Program (rescission)			-993	-993	-993
Agricultural Marketing service (rescission)			-610	-610	-610
ACIF (rescission of emergency funding)			-3,000	-3,000	-3,000
APHIS (rescission)			-15,887	-15,887	-15,887
Office of the Secretary (rescission of emergency funding)			-1,342	-1,342	-1,342
APHIS B&F (rescission)			-3,000	-3,000	-3,000
Common Computing Environment (rescission)			-1,866	-1,866	-1,866
Total, Title VII, General provisions	-193,674	-889,070	-907,397	-713,723	-18,327
OTHER APPROPRIATIONS					
DIVISION B—OTHER MATTERS (PUBLIC LAW 111-118)					
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE					
Food and Nutrition Service					
Supplemental nutrition assistance program (Sec. 1001) (emergency)	400,000			-400,000	
Total, Public Law 111-118 (emergency)	400,000			-400,000	
Total, Other appropriations	400,000			-400,000	
Grand total	121,570,814	132,028,200	133,226,144	+11,655,330	+1,197,944
Appropriations	(121,278,747)	(132,291,770)	(133,656,689)	(+12,377,942)	(+1,364,919)
Emergency Appropriations	(400,000)			(-400,000)	
Rescissions	(-107,933)	(-263,570)	(-366,447)	(-258,514)	(-102,877)
Rescission of emergency funding			(-64,098)	(-64,098)	(-64,098)
(By transfer)	(836,298)	(825,733)	(825,012)	(-11,286)	(-721)
(Loan authorization)	(29,453,024)	(26,147,214)	(41,798,904)	(+12,345,880)	(+15,651,690)
(Limitation on administrative expenses)	(166,546)	(170,388)	(175,347)	(+4,801)	(+4,959)
RECAPITULATION					
Title I—Agricultural programs	30,191,573	30,192,013	30,261,558	+69,985	+69,545
Mandatory	(22,855,427)	(22,759,966)	(22,759,966)	(-95,461)	
Discretionary	(7,336,146)	(7,432,047)	(7,501,592)	(+165,446)	(+69,545)
Title II—Conservation programs (discretionary)	1,009,415	967,167	1,013,049	+3,634	+45,882
Title III—Rural development programs (discretionary)	2,934,309	2,683,073	2,662,794	+271,515	-20,279
Title IV—Domestic food programs	82,782,503	94,390,725	95,506,348	+12,723,745	+1,115,623
Mandatory	(75,178,010)	(86,360,183)	(88,220,299)	(+13,092,289)	(+1,860,116)
Discretionary	(7,654,593)	(8,030,542)	(7,286,045)	(-368,544)	(-744,493)
Title V—Foreign assistance and related programs (discretionary)	2,089,499	2,168,010	2,138,510	+49,011	-29,500
Title VI—Related agencies and Food and Drug Administration (discretionary)	2,357,089	2,516,282	2,551,282	+194,193	+35,000
Title VII—General provisions (discretionary)					
Other appropriations (discretionary)	400,000			-400,000	
Total	121,764,488	132,917,270	134,133,541	+12,369,053	+1,216,271

FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS
BUDGET AUTHORITY TOTAL—WITH
COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for fiscal year 2011 provided in the bill, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2010 amount and the 2011 budget estimate follows:

DIVISION B—COMMERCE, JUSTICE,
SCIENCE, AND RELATED AGENCIES AP-
PROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

Following is an explanation of the effects of Division B, which makes appropriations for the Departments of Commerce and Justice, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the National Science Foundation, and related agencies for fiscal year 2011. As provide in Section 4 of the consolidated bill, this explanatory statement shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds and the implementation of this division as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference. Direction contained in Senate report 111-129, unless otherwise addressed in this statement, shall also provide legislative intent on the appropriations contained in division B of this Act. Funding levels for appropriations by account, and comparisons to fiscal year 2010 levels and the budget request, can be found in the table at the end of this division.

The Departments of Commerce and Justice, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation are directed to submit spending plans, signed by the respective department or agency head, for review by the Committees on

Appropriations within 60 days of enactment of this Act. In addition, each department and agency funded herein shall follow the directions set forth in this Act and in this statement, and shall not reallocate resources or reorganize activities except as provided herein. Reprogramming procedures shall apply to funds provided in this Act, unobligated balances from previous appropriations Acts that are available for obligation or expenditure in fiscal year 2011, and non-appropriated resources such as fee collections that are used to meet program requirements in fiscal year 2011.

TITLE I
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
INTERNATIONAL TRADE ADMINISTRATION
OPERATIONS AND ADMINISTRATION

The bill includes \$514,204,000 in total resources for the programs of the International Trade Administration (ITA), which includes \$9,439,000 in offsetting collections.

China anti-dumping and countervailing duty activities.—The agency is encouraged to allocate additional resources above the request to the activities of both these offices; is directed to fully staff anti-dumping efforts, and notify the Committees on Appropriations of any impediments to hiring or retaining staff with the required expertise.

National Export Initiative (NEI) and trade compliance and enforcement.—The bill includes funding to support a comprehensive export strategy. However, ITA and the Department are expected to focus just as aggressively on unfair imports and reducing

foreign subsidy programs as they do in trying to overcome unfair trade barriers to U.S. exports. ITA is directed to provide a spend plan for the NEI within 60 days of enactment of this Act to the Committees on Appropriations, and to provide quarterly reports on the implementation of this strategy.

U.S. Foreign Commercial Service (FCS).—The Department is directed to increase FCS's role overseas. However, the Department is cautioned to better plan for how ITA will reasonably grow the FCS and incorporate an influx of new personnel. ITA is directed to provide the Committees on Appropriations with a report within 60 days of enactment of this Act that will include a detailed workforce plan and refined cost estimates, as well as a specific management plan as to how the agencies will manage FCS's financial and workforce resources.

Travel expenditures.—The Department is expected to continue to submit quarterly reports regarding ITA's travel expenditures, including separate breakouts of funding, the number of trips and the purposes of travel.

Human rights training.—The agency is directed to provide human rights training for foreign commercial service officers and foreign national employees within the requested funding level.

The bill provides \$3,400,000 for congressionally-designated projects. ITA is directed to refrain from charging administrative costs and is expected to provide appropriate management and oversight of each grant.

International Trade Administration
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Cornell University, Ithaca, NY	National Textile Center - Cornell University	400
John H. Chafee Center for International Business, Smithfield, RI	Rhode Island Export Development Program (RIEDP)	500
Medgar Evers College of the City University of New York, Brooklyn, NY	Brooklyn International Trade Development Center	400
North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC	National Textile Center Research	500
Philadelphia Hispanic Chamber of Commerce Services, Philadelphia, PA	Small Business Latin American Trade and Education Program	100
Textile/Clothing Technology Corporation, Cary, NC	Textile Research Programs	1,000
University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE	International Trade Scholars Program	500

BUREAU OF INDUSTRY AND SECURITY
OPERATIONS AND ADMINISTRATION

The bill includes \$109,975,000 for the Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS).

Export controls.—The publication of patent applications for national security and dual-use technologies defeats the purpose of the Nation's export control laws. During the multi-agency effort to reform these laws, the Administration is urged to consider whether all such applications should be restricted automatically from publication, and whether the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office needs additional legislative authority to restrict such publication. BIS is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations on this effort within 90 days of enactment of this Act.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

The bill includes \$317,181,000 for the programs and administrative expenses of the EDA. The total recommendation for EDA consists of the amounts appropriated in the following two accounts.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION
PROGRAMS

The bill includes \$277,000,000 for Economic Development Assistance programs. Funds are to be distributed as follows; any deviation of funds shall be subject to the procedures set forth in section 505 of this Act:

Public works	\$160,000,000
Global climate change mitigation initiative	(25,000,000)
Planning	34,800,000
Technical assistance	12,700,000
Research and evaluation ...	1,500,000
Trade adjustment assistance	18,000,000
Economic adjustment assistance	50,000,000

Public works (PW).—PW funds are critical to economic development within local communities and industries and should not be reduced as proposed.

Planning.—The agency is directed to allocate this funding to the existing network of Economic Development Districts (EDDs), consistent with previous years' direction.

Technical assistance.—The bill includes an increase of \$400,000 to continue the competition of two additional university centers each year, with the goal of ensuring that each State has at least one center. EDA is encouraged to develop a plan to restore funding to historic levels, extend the three-year review process to five years, and re-establish the peer review mechanism for evaluating university centers. EDA is also directed to commission an independent study to evaluate the performance of the university center program to assist in the redesign.

Trade adjustment assistance (TAA).—The bill includes an increase of \$2,200,000 over fiscal year 2010 as a result of the inclusion of service firms. EDA is directed to continue to provide quarterly reports on the number of firms assisted, how that assistance is quantified, and the value each center adds to the TAA process. Collection of performance data is required to warrant increased funding.

Economic adjustment assistance (EAA).—EDA grantees have testified to the need for EAA grants but maintain that PW projects are the mainstay of economic development for their communities. The proposed shift of funds from PW to the EAA account would result in a net reduction in the number of PW projects funded. Therefore, the level of PW funding is maintained while EAA funding levels have been increased. EDA is encouraged to request significant additional funding for both programs in fiscal year 2012 and

beyond. Regional innovation clusters and business incubators, within the parameters of EDA's report of May 25, 2010, are supported within funding provided; EDA is encouraged to facilitate a system of consultation and referral among service providers. EDA is directed to provide quarterly reports on progress and funding awards for both initiatives, and expects proven performance. EDA is also encouraged to increase the number of revolving loan funds awarded and re-capitalized for worthy applicants. These funds are critical to communities that lack access to credit, particularly during economic downturns when many banks refuse to lend even to credit-worthy clients that have successfully borrowed in the past.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill includes \$40,181,000 for EDA's salaries and expenses. EDA is directed to fill vacancies in regional offices prior to those in headquarters, and to continue to increase the number of Economic Development Representatives in those areas where the territory to be covered presents geographical challenges to serving all communities in a timely and consistent manner. EDA is also encouraged to increase staff support for exceptionally large geographic areas.

MINORITY BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

MINORITY BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

The bill includes \$32,316,000 for the Minority Business Development Agency (MBDA). The agency is urged to request sufficient funds in fiscal year 2012 and beyond to increase the annual funding levels for each of its Minority and Native American Business Enterprise Centers to at least \$300,000.

Office of Native American Business Development (ONABD).—The bill includes not less than \$1,000,000 for the ONABD, as requested, and the ONABD is directed to utilize the assistance of the Native American Business Enterprise Centers to help fulfill its obligations.

Technical assistance.—The agency is directed to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations by March 1, 2011, on the centers that offer technical assistance in more than one language, specifying the type of assistance each center offers, in which languages, and the disaggregated data on minority firms served.

Multilingual staff.—To the extent feasible, MBDA is directed to increase the multilingual staff at headquarters and at MBDA centers, particularly in those geographic service areas that have significant populations with limited English proficiency as defined by the most recent Census data.

ECONOMIC AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill includes \$110,000,000 for Economic and Statistical Analysis. The proposed new measurements will help Federal, State, and local governments shape better policies to spur job creation and assist in long-term planning decisions.

BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

The bill includes a total operating level of \$1,235,423,000 for the Bureau of the Census.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill includes \$271,364,000 for the salaries and expenses of Census, which includes \$5,000,000, as requested, to allow Census to work in coordination with the Bureau of Labor Statistics to supplement the official poverty measures with annual measures from the Current Population Survey.

PERIODIC CENSUSES AND PROGRAMS

The bill includes a total of \$964,059,000 for all periodic censuses and related programs

and includes funding for the requested initiatives, albeit at a reduced level. Census may use up to \$255,600 for additional acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities, as requested, from available funds.

American Community Survey (ACS).—More than 180 Federal assistance programs rely on ACS data to distribute \$416 billion annually. The fiscal year 2011 request proposes to begin to increase the sample size to 3.5 million housing units annually. However, GAO has expressed concerns with the current staffing plan. Census shall provide more detailed and transparent staffing documentation to the Committees on Appropriations within 60 days of enactment of this Act.

Data processing systems.—The bill includes funding to strengthen information security capabilities, meet increasingly demanding security requirements, and proactively protect data resources.

Monthly status reports.—Census is directed to continue its dashboard monthly status reports as it transitions into preparations for the 2020 decennial.

Inspector general (IG) recommendations.—Census is directed to incorporate all IG recommendations as it transitions into the 2020 decennial, including a thorough review of the training process; better communication of Census' various enumeration practices; and increased budget transparency.

Other languages.—Census is encouraged to create language assistance guides for the ACS, and is directed to continue to provide updates on a semi-annual basis on its language assistance program.

Data on small population groups.—Census' efforts to collect and make available data from the ACS and the decennial on small population groups and national origins subgroups continues to be of great importance for policymakers, as the needs among various populations vary significantly.

Offshore U.S. jurisdictions.—Census is urged to ensure that future statistics incorporate data on all U.S. citizens, including those in Puerto Rico and other offshore jurisdictions.

Best practices.—Census is urged to develop and share its best practices and methods for outreach to hard-to-count communities with Federal agencies and State and local governments.

NATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND
INFORMATION ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill includes \$41,568,000 for the salaries and expenses of the NTIA. The agency is directed to provide quarterly reports on grant activities.

Minority Serving Institution (MSI) Digital and Wireless Technology Opportunity Program.—Within 60 days of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Commerce is directed to submit to the Committees on Appropriations a report describing the Department's plans to support and assist MSIs in improving their instrumentation, connectivity, hardware and software for instructional and research purposes as contemplated by the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980, and is urged to include a request for this program in fiscal year 2012.

Reverse auctions.—GAO is directed to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations by May 1, 2011, on the feasibility of using reverse auctions to distribute any future broadband subsidies through commercially available, online procurement tools, including best practices, rigorous evaluation techniques, and recommendations to ensure compliance and accountability for auction winners.

PUBLIC TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES,
PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION

The bill includes \$20,000,000 for Public Telecommunications Facilities, Planning and Construction (PTFPC). The Administration once again proposed to eliminate the PTFPC program, despite its own report that delineates the need for PTFPC. Both the Corporation for Public Broadcasting (CPB) and PTFPC work closely to ensure that they are not funding the same projects. Each agency has a separate sphere of responsibility and the programs differ in their respective eligibility requirements and in the scope of the projects that each fund. PTFPC funds only planning and construction projects through a competitive process. CPB's community service grant program does not fund planning or construction projects. In addition, PTFPC funding is essential to providing radio and television services to the print-handicapped and individuals served by small or low-power stations and stations faced with a catastrophic loss of service, and new stations seeking to serve communities in remote or rural areas.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK
OFFICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill includes \$2,262,000,000 for the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), including \$2,090,000,000 under the current fee structure, and an additional \$172,000,000 to be generated by a surcharge on patent fees, as provided in this bill.

Projected fee collections.—The misperception by some USPTO stakeholders that fees collected by the agency and not appropriated for a particular fiscal year are "diverted" to fund other agencies in the bill is an entirely inaccurate description. But USPTO's inability to provide accurate projections of its fee collections has had the effect of diverting funding from other agencies and critical priorities in the bill when the agency has overestimated the fees it will collect. To the extent that an estimate may be significantly more or less than actual fee collections, it is the failure of USPTO's own estimation technique that causes the problem. In fact, Congress has appropriated USPTO's full fee collection estimates for many years, and any fees collected by USPTO but not appropriated for a particular fiscal year are credited to the agency and remain available for appropriation at a later date.

Neither are all of the Federal costs of patents borne by stakeholders through fee payments. Patent-related litigation activities by the Department of Justice and the Federal Judiciary are a benefit to patent holders and are financed outside of the patent fee system, at great expense to the American taxpayer. These are just two examples. If the stakeholders continue to insist on full access to fee collections, those fees should arguably include an accounting of all the costs of Federal agencies for patent activities.

Some USPTO stakeholders are reportedly encouraging the elimination of the role of the Appropriations Committees in any decisions related to the annual budget of USPTO. However, pendency and backlog issues are not fundamentally the result of a lack of funds, but of a lack of management. Stakeholders would be better served by continued oversight and improved budget formulation, execution, and management than by advocating for an agency with no annual budgeting oversight by Congress.

Operating reserve fund.—USPTO is directed to provide a plan for the proposed operating

reserve fund, including limitations on spending, within 60 days of enactment of this Act, to the Committees on Appropriations.

Provision of fee collection projections.—USPTO is directed to continue to provide quarterly reports on its projected fee collections and to notify the Committees on Appropriations during any month when significant changes in such projections prompt serious concern or require significant budgetary responses.

Justification improvements.—USPTO's efforts to provide a requirements-based budget for fiscal year 2011 are acknowledged. However, the text needs to make the argument for the funding requested and serve as resource materials for Congressional use, and the justification shall be subjected to Department of Commerce (DOC) oversight and editing. USPTO is directed to work with DOC, as well as the Committees on Appropriations, to ensure the fiscal year 2012 budget request is appropriately formatted.

Reprogramming and spend plan.—USPTO is required to follow the reprogramming procedures outlined in section 505 of this Act before using excess fee collections to forward fund expenses beyond fiscal year 2011. Any deviations from the funding distribution provided for in this Act, including carryover balances, are subject to the standard reprogramming procedures set forth in section 505 of this Act. USPTO is directed to provide a spend plan for fiscal year 2011, within 60 days of enactment of this Act, incorporating all carryover balances from previous fiscal years, and describing any changes to the patent or trademark fee structure. Any changes from the spend plan shall also be subject to section 505 of this Act.

Backlog of patent applications.—GAO has found that the hiring of additional patent examiners is not sufficient to address the backlog of patent examinations. Too often, patent examiners, extensively trained at great expense to the agency, have become private sector patent attorneys after only a short tenure with the agency. USPTO has identified alternative methods to meet backlog reduction goals and is directed to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations on its progress with this and other backlog reduction strategies within 90 days of enactment of this Act.

Economic security.—In some circumstances, the time between the statute-mandated publishing of patent applications and the award of a patent allows competitors market advantage. This risks U.S. economic security and inventors' intellectual property rights. USPTO is directed to study its patent publishing process and consider the alternative of publishing only the patent abstract instead of the entire application, and report to the Committees on Appropriations on this effort within 90 days of enactment of this Act.

Small business international patent protection.—DOC is directed to work with USPTO and ITA to provide the Committees on Appropriations with a report, within 90 days of enactment of this Act, on how best to help small businesses with international patent protection, including, if a fund is a reasonable option, the initial level of appropriations; management recommendations; eligible applicants and selection criteria; and ways to ensure the fund becomes financially self-sufficient.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND
TECHNOLOGY

The bill includes \$877,700,000 for the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL RESEARCH AND
SERVICES

The bill includes \$541,246,000 for NIST's scientific and technical core programs.

Cybersecurity.—The bill provides \$10,000,000 for the Director to establish and operate a National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence (NCCOE) in addition to NIST's ongoing cybersecurity activities, of which \$6,650,000 should be for internal NIST requirements to staff and scale up the center, and \$3,350,000 shall be allocated on a merit-based competitive basis for activities with relevant non-Federal entities.

Molecular genetic assays.—Molecular genetic assays provide the cutting edge for many individualized therapies in oncology, transplantation, infectious disease and genetics, but the production of certified reference materials has fallen behind the technical capabilities of these assays. NIST is urged to develop certified reference materials for tests that are urgently needed to improve patient care and safety, including cytomegalovirus, BCR/ABL mutation, KRAS mutation, EGFR mutation, BK virus, and Epstein Barr virus.

NIST is directed to begin a national scale greenhouse gas (GHG) observation testbed to examine data from existing and emerging national GHG networks to verify measurement efficacy and integration into inverse climate models, in order to provide the foundation for independent measuring, reporting and verification activities.

The bill provides \$5,275,000 for congressionally-designated projects. NIST is directed to refrain from charging administrative costs and is expected to provide appropriate management and oversight of each grant.

INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY SERVICES

The bill includes \$204,454,000 for Industrial Technology Services (ITS). Of this amount, \$124,700,000 is provided for the Manufacturing Extension Partnerships, including full support for the National Innovation Marketplace; \$69,900,000 is provided for the Technology Innovation Program to continue existing awards; and \$9,854,000 is provided for the Baldrige Performance Excellence Program, as requested. During fiscal year 2010, the former Baldrige National Quality Program was renamed and moved to ITS to consolidate NIST's non-laboratory extramural programs.

CONSTRUCTION OF RESEARCH FACILITIES

The bill includes \$132,000,000 for NIST construction. The bill provides funding for the agency's highest priorities, including completion of the JILA expansion. NIST is directed to provide quarterly reports on the status of all construction projects to the Committees on Appropriations.

Competitive construction grants.—Within funds provided, \$20,000,000 is included for competitive construction grants for research science buildings in fiscal year 2011. The program continues to attract significant numbers of applicants, leverages additional public and private funding, provides jobs, and improves science research in the Nation. The Administration is expected to include this program in future requests.

The bill provides \$50,000,000 for congressionally-designated projects. NIST is directed to refrain from charging administrative costs and is expected to provide appropriate management and oversight of each grant.

National Institute of Standards and Technology
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Glenville State College, Glenville, WV	Center of Teacher Excellence	2,000
Mississippi State University, Starkville, MS	Life Sciences Commercialization Laboratory	4,000
Rhode Island School of Design, Providence, RI	Facility for Advanced Visualization Technologies	750
University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL	Interdisciplinary Science and Engineering Teaching and Research Corridor	30,000
University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI	Hawaii Open Supercomputing Center and Coral Biodiversity	3,000
University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY	University of Kentucky Evaluation of Firefighter Turnout Gear for Safety	275
University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS	Mississippi Biotechnology Research Park	8,000
University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI	Rhode Island Consortium for Nanoscience and Nanotechnology	1,250
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS	Mississippi Polymer Institute	6,000

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

The bill includes a total of \$5,551,928,000 in discretionary appropriations for the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). Within the amount provided, NOAA is directed to fund the congressionally-designated activities in the amounts identified in the table at the end of this account. NOAA is directed to refrain from charging administrative costs to these grants and is expected to provide appropriate management and oversight of each grant.

OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill includes a total program level of \$3,478,460,000 under this account for the coastal, fisheries, marine, weather, satellite and other programs of NOAA.

NATIONAL OCEAN SERVICE (NOS)

Mapping and charting.—The bill includes \$51,350,000 for mapping and charting, of which \$1,500,000 is for the development and demonstration of unmanned surface vehicles for hydrographic survey operations.

Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS).—The bill provides \$33,000,000 for the IOOS regional observations, of which \$6,000,000 is for program administration; \$24,000,000 is for a competitive, regional ocean observing systems solicitation; and \$3,000,000 is to continue to establish a consortium for testing and advancing new sensor technologies. Proposals for continuation of existing multi-regional extramural test beds shall be considered and evaluated concurrently and equally as part of the regional ocean observing systems solicitation.

Coral reef programs.—The bill includes a total of \$32,706,000 for coral reef research and operations, including \$27,000,000 in NOS; \$2,506,000 for deep sea corals in the National Marine Fisheries Service; \$2,000,000 in Oceanic and Atmospheric Research, and \$1,200,000 in congressionally-directed projects.

Response and restoration.—The bill includes \$29,418,000 for response and restoration, of which \$2,900,000 is for initial operations of the Gulf of Mexico Disaster Response Center (DRC). NOAA is expected to appropriately staff and fund the DRC in out-years to achieve operational capacity as the gulf coast hub for NOAA's emergency preparedness, response, and recovery operations. The duration of the Deepwater Horizon spill has revealed that ORR staff is overextended. While the costs of NOAA's response and restoration efforts should ultimately be reimbursed by the party or parties responsible, it is imperative that NOAA have appropriate levels of funding available to conduct efforts as needed. NOAA is directed to provide the Committees on Appropriations with a long-term budget plan that reflects an updated and more realistic core staffing and resource profile based on lessons learned.

Oil spill research.—The budget materials for fiscal year 2012 shall include and justify a unified and coordinated Federal approach for oil spill research that includes the Department of the Interior, the Department of Energy, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and the Coast Guard.

Competitive external research.—The bill includes \$16,000,000 for competitive external ocean science research, including harmful algal blooms, hypoxia and regional ecosystems.

Coastal zone management grants.—The bill includes \$68,146,000 for coastal zone management grants and NOAA is directed to use a portion of the increases provided for efforts

to modernize and improve state information systems to assess, track and manage permitting and land-use tracking procedures.

National Estuarine Research Reserve System (NERRS).—The bill includes \$1,174,000 above the request for NERRS operations.

Marine sanctuary program.—The bill includes \$49,500,000 for the marine sanctuary program, of which \$2,000,000 is for the purchase and installation of integrated vessel electronics for the Marine Sanctuary small boats program.

NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE (NMFS)

National catch share program.—The bill includes \$49,500,000 for the national catch share program. This fully funds the implementation and operation of planned and existing catch share programs, and includes \$6,002,000 for cooperative research specific to catch share programs. Ensuring sustainable fisheries ensures jobs—from harvesters to processors to retailers—and ensures the profitability of coastal communities, which depend upon those jobs. Over time, improved fisheries will increase tax revenue, as well as ensure a reliable and sustainable food source for the Nation. Catch shares are designed to end overfishing and return a fishery to sustainable levels, in part by providing fishermen with the tools to ensure that they can sell the fish they catch, rather than throw excess back into the ocean, which frequently kills the fish. Over time, catch shares improve the overall economic performance of the fishery, because as overfishing ends and the stock rebounds, the catch allowed increases, which is of increasing value to the fishermen.

The Committees on Appropriations recognize the importance of the commercial and recreational fishing industries and are sensitive to the objections raised by some fishermen to the concept of catch shares. The Committees share the concerns of these fishermen about the consolidation of the industry, declining catches, and the challenge of complying with regulations imposed by the Magnuson-Stevens Reauthorization Act (MSRA). However, the Committees note that catch shares are not the driving force behind consolidation or declining catches. Instead, they are a tool that may be adopted by each regional council if such council determines that a fishery would benefit from their use; catch shares are not NOAA-mandated. Catch shares are intended to provide fishermen with more business flexibility in responding to the MSRA regulations, allowing fishermen to consider market and weather conditions in deciding when to fish, thus increasing the price per pound and improving safety at sea.

Catch shares are also less amenable to long-term consolidation, because a specific portion of the total allowable fishery catch is allocated to individuals, cooperatives, communities, or other entities, including sectors. Nevertheless, NOAA is directed to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations, within 120 days of enactment of this Act, detailing the steps being taken, either by the agency or by the regional councils, to address excessive consolidation.

Recreational fisheries.—Recreational fishing is economically and culturally valuable to our Nation. The Secretary is directed to issue guidance to councils to consider biological, social and economic impacts as criteria in determining the initial distribution of catch shares among sectors in multi-sector fisheries, and to consider transferability of catch share privileges between commercial and recreational sectors. In addition, the Secretary is encouraged to ensure that com-

position of the individual regional councils is sensitive to the statutory requirements of equity and fairness with respect to the balance between commercial and recreational fishermen.

Fishery-independent surveys.—The closure of fisheries due to the Deepwater Horizon oil spill has eliminated landing data from both commercial and recreational fisheries sectors, and combined with the lack of fishery-independent survey information, the result is that the assessment of the immediate impact of the oil spill on Gulf fisheries stocks, as well as the ability to predict long-term impacts, is impeded. NOAA is encouraged to fully implement a fishery-independent data collection strategy, and is directed to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations on implementation of such a strategy within 90 days of enactment of this Act.

Salmon management activities.—The bill includes \$49,729,000 for salmon management activities, of which no less than \$23,729,000 is directed for Pacific Salmon Treaty activities, an increase of \$4,611,000 above the request. In addition, an increase of \$9,500,000 is provided above the request to allow hatcheries to be reformed according to the recommendations of the Hatchery Scientific Review Group.

Fisheries enforcement asset forfeiture fund (AFF).—The Inspector General's (IG) investigation into the AFF, established to use revenues from fisheries fines and penalties to pay for enforcement activities, revealed a serious lack of budgetary oversight by NOAA. The independent forensic review of this fund revealed the revenues have been co-mingled with other funds, resulting in a lack of visibility over the entire fund by any one organization in NOAA or DOC or any one responsible and accountable individual; and that many transactions had no supporting documentation, were missing approvals, and may ultimately be found to be improper expenditures from the fund. Moreover, at any given time, it is unclear how much is available in the fund, though it should be, at all times, strictly accounted for and included as offsetting funds for any appropriations request. In addition, the fishing industry has made claims, particularly in the Northeast region, that NOAA's fines are excessive, constituting a form of bounty, because NOAA is able to retain the proceeds from its enforcement cases. NOAA is directed to: (1) define what appropriate expenses may be charged against the asset forfeiture fund and develop guidance accordingly; (2) conduct an annual audit of the fund which will be commissioned by the IG for which expenses shall be paid from the fund account; (3) post results of the annual audit of the fund to NOAA's website in a prominent and conspicuous place; (4) generate and implement an audit action plan incorporating all the IG's recommendations; (5) utilize the science available to set priorities and focus enforcement on those priorities; and (6) provide a spend plan, containing all these elements, to the Committees on Appropriations. The bill includes \$60,000,000 for enforcement, and essentially freezes the AFF until NOAA receives approval from the Committees on the AFF spend plan.

Ombudsman.—Given the overall results of the IG reviews; persistent complaints about the complexity of the regulations; and the fact that the penalty assessment and defense process can put members of the fishing industry—predominantly small business owners—out of business without recourse, NOAA is directed to consider establishing an ombudsman position for the fishing community that reports independently to the Under Secretary. Additionally, or as an alternative to

an ombudsman, NOAA is directed, within its enforcement program, to establish an independent office empowered to advocate or advise the regulated community on violation avoidance, compliance assistance, and defense and settlement advocacy.

Observers.—The bill includes a total of \$5,000,000 for the Longline Observer program.

Community-based restoration program (CRP) grants.—The bill includes \$28,967,000 for fisheries habitat restoration, the same as the request. NOAA's goal of implementing larger-scale ecological restoration and the enormous backlog of coastal habitat restoration projects is acknowledged, and future budget requests are expected to provide appropriate funding levels for such efforts. However, the multitude of important ecosystem benefits of small- to mid-scale habitat restoration projects that involve local communities and contribute to larger-scale regional conservation or restoration plans are critical. NOAA is expected to maintain the small- to mid-scale project focus of the CRP that continues to provide broad ecosystem benefits; involving community oriented organizations and their communities and citizens at the local level has been essential to CRP's highly successful on-the-ground restoration efforts.

Antarctic research.—The fiscal year 2010 Antarctic field research season was significantly affected by the lack of an appropriate vessel and unexpected weather patterns, reducing the number of samples below minimum levels in an effort to ensure the safety of the crew and research teams. However, maintaining the long-term database is critical to assuring validity with respect to assessing the impacts of climate change. NOAA is directed to ensure that funding levels are sufficient to provide an appropriate and safe vessel, regardless of weather conditions, and that research is conducted as required to maintain continuity of the data.

Cooperative research.—Cooperative research provides an effective means for fishermen to become involved in the collection of fundamental fisheries information, and given the challenging economic and regulatory conditions facing fishermen, the bill provides \$11,600,000, which is \$4,500,000 above the request, and is in addition to the \$6,002,000 included in the catch share program.

Regional studies.—NOAA's Chesapeake Bay Office is directed to collaborate with the States of Maryland and Virginia to advance multiple species management. NOAA shall continue to utilize Sea Grant programs from both States and the National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science, particularly the Cooperative Oxford Laboratory (COL), on oyster restoration and spatial planning science and management goals. NOAA is directed to provide the Committees on Appropriations with a report within 60 days of enactment of this Act, detailing a clear strategic partnership between NOAA's Chesapeake Bay Laboratory and COL, including collaborative breakouts on personnel, resources, and costs.

OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC RESEARCH (OAR)

Climate research.—The bill includes \$163,159,000 for the competitive research program; out of the increase provided \$2,200,000 is for continued chemical climate research important to climate modeling; \$1,400,000 is for atmospheric chemistry to avoid losing a key sampling component; and \$1,000,000 is provided for replacement of equipment and facilities damaged by the tsunamis triggered by the earthquake that occurred in September 2009. NOAA is encouraged to reestablish this important research station as quickly as possible and is directed to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations

within 90 days of enactment of this Act, on its plans to do so.

Urban greenhouse gas measurements.—NOAA is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations on the status of urban greenhouse gas measurement within 120 days of enactment of this Act. The report should include whether there is a need to ensure accurate monitoring of greenhouse gases in the urban environment and an opportunity to partner with institutions of higher learning; as well as the feasibility of deploying a network of portable, highly-accurate, low-maintenance analyzers that meet the highest international standards in multiple, geographically-diverse cities.

Laboratories and cooperative institutes.—The Committees on Appropriations are aware that NOAA is underfunding cooperative institutes and creating partnerships with the external community under false financial pretenses. An increase is provided to help bridge this gap, including \$2,000,000 in competitive funding above the ocean, coastal, and Great Lakes laboratories and cooperative institutes request for external coral reef institutes. The Administration is expected to fully fund cooperative institutes and laboratories at appropriate levels in future years.

Weather and air quality research.—The bill includes \$650,000 for laboratories and cooperative institutes for instrumentation and operation of state of the art monitoring of nutrients and mercury speciation measurement stations and laboratories.

National Sea Grant College program.—The bill includes \$63,000,000, of which \$4,500,000 is for marine aquaculture research and \$2,000,000 is for aquatic invasive species research; both shall be coordinated by NOAA's Sea Grant office.

NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE (NWS)

Space weather.—The importance of solar flare predictions in space weather forecasting is recognized, and NOAA is encouraged to further develop research efforts to improve the statistical certainty of solar flare predictions.

National Mesonet program.—The bill includes \$25,400,000 for continuation and expansion of the National Mesonet program, including: (1) \$13,400,000 to maintain data procurements from existing surface in-situ observations including those initiated during the initial phases of the program, as well as those added in recent years; (2) \$4,000,000 for expansion of surface in-situ observations in all areas of the country including urban and non-urban rural, coastal and mountainous regions for purposes of weather and climate monitoring; (3) \$2,000,000 for establishment of a National Mesonet Test Bed project to demonstrate the integration of multifunctional observing systems including both surface in-situ and remote sensing profilers for improved forecasts and benefits to top priority segments of the economy including renewable energy growth and aviation efficiencies; (4) \$5,000,000 for the continued development and expansion of the Mobile Platform Environment (MoPED) System into full capability to ensure that mobile platform environmental data is available to support efforts of the NWS; (5) \$500,000 for enhancements to the Meteorological Assimilation Data Ingest System (MADIS), including continued evolution of metadata handling and performance capabilities, contingent upon submission of a plan that shows a multi-year justification for why MADIS expansion is preferable to competitive procurement of tools developed by the private sector for the same purpose; and (6) \$500,000 for the National Mesonet program office for oversight

and data utilization initiatives. NOAA is expected to include a robust and expanded national mesonet program in its fiscal year 2012 budget request.

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SATELLITE, DATA AND INFORMATION SERVICE (NESDIS)

Scatterometer.—NOAA is directed, together with NASA which is similarly directed, to continue co-funding joint studies within available funds that should lead to a fiscal year 2012 request to build and fly an operational scatterometer providing sea surface vector wind measurements. The Committees on Appropriations are concerned that such a request was not included in fiscal year 2011, given the demise of the QuikSCAT. NOAA should aggressively pursue negotiations to secure a flight opportunity for this instrument that is both reliable and timely.

Archive, access, and assessment.—The bill includes \$75,000,000, an increase of \$10,145,000 above fiscal year 2010, to transition climate data records (CDR) to operations; continue additional CDR development; and to close the gap in long-term safe storage of and access to the Nation's environmental data and information.

PROGRAM SUPPORT (PS)

Facilities.—The bill includes \$36,346,000 for NOAA facilities, which includes \$7,000,000 for renovations and modernization of facilities necessary to support weather and climate modeling needs. No funding for the Marine Operations Center—Atlantic facility is provided as its construction has already been financed.

Acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities.—NOAA may use up to \$1,908,414 for additional acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities, as requested, from available funds.

Administrative funding cap.—The Committees on Appropriations are concerned about the lack of transparency and visibility of all administrative costs incurred by NOAA's corporate staff and line offices, including regional and field offices. The Committees are particularly concerned that the non-visible administrative cost components may be increasing more rapidly than the directly visible corporate services appropriation enacted to pay for NOAA corporate administrative costs. For example, NOAA has continued to assess line office programs for a portion of their corporate administrative costs through a "direct billing" process that is not visible to the Committees. Over the past five years, the corporate services appropriation has accounted for a declining share of total NOAA corporate administrative costs, while the "direct billing" share of these costs has increased significantly.

To address these concerns, the bill establishes a cap on all NOAA headquarters (HQ) administrative costs for fiscal year 2011. This new cap limits the amount of ORF and PAC funds that can be used for administrative costs incurred by NOAA's corporate and line office HQ operations. The cap for fiscal year 2011 is \$413,000,000, of which up to \$391,000,000 may be met using ORF funds and up to \$22,000,000 may be met using PAC funds. This \$413,000,000 limitation may be increased up to five percent, with congressional notification of the reasons for any proposed increase at least 15 days in advance of the need. This cap includes the corporate services appropriation within ORF and all NOAA payments to the Department of Commerce for administrative services. No other funds appropriated for NOAA in this Act may be used to pay for NOAA administrative costs. Administrative costs consist of those for the following

standard administrative functions: (1) general management and direction, including public affairs and information dissemination activities; (2) legal services; (3) CFO operations including budget, finance, and accounting activities; (4) CIO operations and all IT-related expenses; (5) CAO operations, including facilities and security costs; (6) human resources services, including EEO; and (7) procurement, acquisition and grants management operations.

Although the NOAA line offices also incur administrative costs at the field offices and financial management centers (FMCs) that execute their programs, these costs are not included in the administrative cost cap for two reasons. First, the distinction between administrative and program costs for field operations is less clear than for line office HQ operations. Second, the current data identifying these field administrative costs appear incomplete and less reliable than HQ data. A cap may be imposed on these costs at a future date.

In addition, NOAA shall provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations within 30

days of enactment of this Act that identifies total NOAA administrative costs for fiscal year 2009 actual, fiscal year 2010 actual, and fiscal year 2011 planned for NOAA corporate staff and each line office, including the Office of Marine and Aircraft Operations. The report shall also identify the administrative costs incurred by these organizational entities, as well as the field offices and FMCs, for the standard administrative functions described above. Similar tables shall be included in all subsequent NOAA annual budget justifications provided to Congress.

Education.—The bill includes \$10,000,000 for competitive educational grants, of which \$2,500,000 is to continue the ocean education partnership and \$2,500,000 is to improve geographic literacy in the Nation's schools utilizing NOAA's national network of weather and environmental activities; \$14,000,000 for the educational partnership program with minority serving institutions; a total of \$8,700,000 for BWET regional programs; \$2,000,000 for the GLOBE program in partnership with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration; and \$2,000,000 to en-

sure an appropriate administrative level. NOAA is directed to request separate funding for each program element in future budget requests, rather than rolling the funding up into one line.

Justification improvement.—NOAA is directed to continue to work with the Committees on Appropriations to reformat the justification into a more transparent, informative and user-friendly document. The Department is similarly directed.

Research and development (R&D) tracking and outcomes.—NOAA is directed to continue to track the division of R&D funds between intramural and extramural research; assure consistency and clarity in the collection and reporting of data; clearly state expected research outcomes and available funding to provide transparency into the competitive grant process; and increase extramural research funding in future requests to build broad community support and leverage external funding for mission-oriented research.

**NATIONAL OCEAN SERVICE
OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES**

(In thousands of dollars)

	Conference Recommendation
Navigation Services:	
Mapping & Charting	51,350
Hydrographic Research and Technology Development	7,424
Electronic Navigational Charts	6,128
Shoreline Mapping	2,424
Address Survey Backlog/Contracts	31,173
Geodesy	26,895
National Height Modernization	2,541
Tide & Current Data	29,715
Total, Navigation Services	<u>157,650</u>
Ocean Resources Conservation and Assessment:	
Ocean Assessment Program (OAP):	
Integrated Ocean Observing System	27,000
NOAA IOOS	6,000
Coastal Services Centers	29,995
Coastal Storms	2,800
Ocean Health Initiative	2,500
Coral Reef Programs	27,000
Marine Spatial Planning	5,000
Subtotal, Ocean Assessment Program (OAP)	<u>100,295</u>
Response and Restoration:	
Response and Restoration Base	13,118
Estuary Restoration Program	3,000
Damage Assessment Program	9,300
Marine Debris	4,000
Subtotal, Response and Restoration	<u>29,418</u>
National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS):	
NCCOS Headquarters	4,000
Competitive External Research (HABs, Hypoxia and Regional Ecosystem)	16,000
Center for Coastal Environmental Health & Biomolecular Research	11,300
Oxford Cooperative Lab	4,500
Center for Sponsored Coastal Ocean Research	2,760
Center for Coastal Monitoring & Assessment	7,100
Center for Coastal Fisheries and Habitat Research	5,000
Marine Env. Health Research Lab - MEHRL	4,000
Subtotal, National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science	<u>54,660</u>
Total, Ocean Resources Conservation and Assessment	<u>184,373</u>
Ocean and Coastal Management:	
CZM Grants	68,146
CZM and Stewardship (formerly Program Administration)	8,785
Regional Ocean Partnership	10,000
National Estuarine Research Reserve System	23,500
Marine Protected Areas	3,000
Marine Sanctuary Program	49,500
Energy Licensing and Appeals	750
Total, Ocean and Coastal Management	<u>163,681</u>
GRAND TOTAL NOS	505,704

**NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE
OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES**
(In thousands of dollars)

	Conference Recommendation
Marine Mammals, Marine Turtles, and Marine Protected Species:	
Protected Species Research and Management Programs	43,815
Species Recovery Grants	20,500
Marine Mammal Protection (MMP)	50,000
Other Protected Species	8,487
Marine Turtles	12,887
Atlantic Salmon	8,000
Pacific Salmon (Salmon Management Activities)	67,000
Total, Marine Mammals, Marine Turtles, and Marine Protected Species	<u>210,689</u>
Fisheries Research and Management:	
Fisheries Research and Management Programs	179,799
National Catch Share Program	49,500
Expand Annual Stock Assessments - Improve Data Collection	51,725
Economics and Social Sciences Research	10,750
Salmon Management Activities	49,729
Regional Councils and Fisheries Commissions	31,900
Fisheries Statistics	21,100
Fish Information Networks	22,147
Survey and Monitoring Projects	24,152
Fisheries Oceanography	6,000
American Fisheries Act	5,600
Interjurisdictional Fisheries Grants	2,576
National Standard 8	1,079
Reduce Fishing Impacts on Essential Fish Habitat (EFH)	538
Reducing Bycatch	3,442
Product Quality and Safety	7,523
Total, Fisheries Research and Management	<u>467,560</u>
Enforcement and Observers:	
Enforcement and Surveillance	60,000
Observers and Training	39,683
Total, Enforcement and Observers/Training	<u>99,683</u>
Habitat Conservation & Restoration:	
Sustainable Habitat Management	23,476
Fisheries Habitat Restoration (Open Rivers & CBRP)	28,967
Total, Habitat Conservation & Restoration	<u>52,443</u>
Other Activities Supporting Fisheries:	
Antarctic Research	2,757
Aquaculture	6,000
Climate Regimes & Ecosystem Productivity	3,405
Computer Hardware and Software	3,490
Cooperative Research	11,600
Information Analyses & Dissemination	20,300
New England Fisheries Capacity Reduction Program	8,000
Marine Resources Monitoring, Assessment & Prediction Program (MarMap)	842
National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)	8,450
NMFS Facilities Maintenance	6,500
Regional Studies	12,275
Total, Other Activities Supporting Fisheries	<u>83,619</u>
GRAND TOTAL NMFS	913,994

**OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC RESEARCH
OPERATIONS, RESEARCH AND FACILITIES**

(In thousands of dollars)

	Conference Recommendation
Climate Research:	
Laboratories & Cooperative Institutes	53,846
Climate Data & Information	13,591
Competitive Research Program	163,159
Climate Operations	913
Total, Climate Research	<u>231,509</u>
Weather & Air Quality Research Programs:	
Laboratories & Cooperative Institutes	60,655
U.S. Weather Research Program	5,500
Tornado Severe Storm Research/Phased Array Radar	7,000
Total, Weather & Air Quality Research	<u>73,155</u>
Ocean, Coastal & Great Lakes Research:	
Laboratories & Cooperative Institutes	26,200
National Sea Grant College Program	63,000
Integrated Ocean Acidification	7,500
Ocean Exploration & Research	21,816
NOAA's Undersea Research Program	8,900
Total, Ocean, Coastal & Great Lakes Research	<u>127,416</u>
Info Tech, R&D, & Science Education	
High Performance Computing Initiatives	<u>13,130</u>
GRAND TOTAL OAR	445,210

**NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE
OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES**

(In thousands of dollars)

	Conference Recommendation
Observations, Forecasts, and Communications:	
Local Warnings and Forecasts:	
Local Warnings and Forecasts Base	636,000
Air Quality Forecasting	5,445
Alaska Data Buoys	1,683
Sustain Cooperative Observer Network	1,871
National Mesonet Network	25,400
NOAA Profiler Network	4,774
Pacific Island Compact	3,615
Strengthen U.S. Tsunami Warning Network	23,314
Subtotal, Local Warnings and Forecasts	<u>702,102</u>
Operations and Research:	
Advanced Hydrological Prediction Services	6,000
Aviation Weather	23,500
WFO Maintenance	7,300
Central Forecast Guidance	80,492
Subtotal, Operations and Research	<u>117,292</u>
Total, Observations, Forecasts, and Communications	<u><u>819,394</u></u>
Systems Operation & Maintenance:	
NEXRAD	46,121
ASOS	11,000
Weather Radio Transmitters and Communications	2,297
AWIPS	39,346
NWSTG Backup - CIP	5,500
Total, Systems Operation & Maintenance	<u><u>104,264</u></u>
GRAND TOTAL NWS	923,658

**NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SATELLITE, DATA &
INFORMATION SERVICE
OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES**
(In thousands of dollars)

	Conference Recommendation
Environmental Satellite Observing Systems:	
Satellite Command and Control Base	40,000
NSOF Operations	7,950
Product Processing and Distribution	34,240
Product Development, Readiness & Application	20,950
Ocean Remote Sensing	4,030
Joint Center/Accelerate Use of Satellites	3,365
Commercial Remote Sensing Licensing & Enforcement	1,319
Office of Space Commercialization	658
Group on Earth Observations (GEO)	506
 Total, Environmental Satellite Observing Systems	 <u>113,018</u>
 Data Centers & Information Services:	
Climate Data Records and Archive, Access & Assessment	75,000
Coastal Data Development	4,600
Regional Climate Centers	3,000
Environmental Data Systems Modernization	9,550
 Total, NOAA's Data Centers & Information Services	 <u>92,150</u>
 GRAND TOTAL NESDIS	 205,168

PROGRAM SUPPORT OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES

(In thousands of dollars)

	Conference Recommendation
Corporate Services:	
Under Secretary and Associate Offices Base	28,438
Facilities	36,346
NOAA Wide Corporate Services & Agency Management	121,300
Commerce Business System	10,171
IT Security	6,829
DOC Working Capital Fund	41,944
Total, Corporate Services	<u>245,028</u>
NOAA Education Program:	
Education Program / Initiative	2,000
Competitive Educational Grants	10,000
Educational Partnership Program/Minority Serving Institutions (EPPMSI)	14,000
GLOBE	2,000
BWET Regional Programs	7,200
Total, NOAA Education Program	<u>35,200</u>
Marine Operations & Maintenance:	
Marine Services	127,133
Fleet Planning and Maintenance	23,300
Aviation Services	30,000
Total, Marine Operations & Maintenance	<u>180,433</u>
GRAND TOTAL PS	460,661

PROCUREMENT, ACQUISITION, AND
CONSTRUCTION
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill includes a total program level of \$2,002,219,000 in direct obligations for procurement, acquisition, and construction (PAC). The PAC cap for fiscal year 2011 is \$22,000,000, which may be increased by up to five percent with congressional notification of the reasons for any proposed increase at least 15 days in advance of the need. No other funds appropriated for NOAA in this Act may be used to pay for NOAA administrative costs.

The following narrative descriptions and tables identify the specific activities and funding levels included in this Act:

National Environmental Satellite, Data and Information Service (NESDIS).—NOAA's efforts to move forward with a full-scale radio occultation satellite constellation is supported. However, NOAA is encouraged to explore fully the possibility of buying radio occultation data from private American companies, as a sole or supplemental source for this data. Funding is also provided for DSCVR and replacement of the ACE spacecraft, and NOAA is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations by February

7, 2011, on the most expeditious and cost effective options for making DSCVR operational and replacing the ACE spacecraft.

Joint polar satellite system (JPSS).—Despite months of encouraging rhetoric about overhauling the highly troubled National Polar-orbiting Operational Environmental Satellite System (NPOESS), the Administration has failed to fully execute plans to restructure the civil portion of this program and migrate its associated contracts over to NOAA and NASA. The Committees on Appropriations remain concerned at the lack of definitive budgeting as well as decisional delays caused by uncertainty over the transition of the legacy program, including possible contract termination costs. It is unconscionable that critical National weather and climate data are held hostage to contract negotiations, not to mention the budgetary impacts and implications. As a result of the lack of clarity, the bill includes \$1,803,609,000 for all of NOAA's satellite programs. The agency is directed to ensure a 2014 launch date of JPSS-1, to minimize the potential gap in civil weather forecasting. NOAA is directed to provide a detailed budget plan no later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, to include funding level options ranging

from less expensive to preferred path. Once NOAA has provided a more refined budget, should the need for reprogramming become evident, the Committees will be receptive to such a request. NOAA is further directed to provide monthly programmatic and procurement status reports.

Inspector General (IG) oversight.—The bill includes a transfer of \$1,000,000 from PAC to the IG for oversight and auditing to ensure that the current satellite programs avoid the cost overruns and enormous administrative overhead associated with NPOESS. Without aggressive oversight and fiscal vigilance, this program has the potential to overwhelm the remainder of NOAA's future budgets. NOAA is directed to incorporate all IG recommendations for the geostationary and polar-orbiting satellite programs.

Comprehensive large array stewardship system (CLASS).—The bill includes \$18,476,000 for CLASS. Of these funds, \$5,500,000 is for maintenance, operations, and implementation of enhancements from development activity, and \$15,976,000 is for contracted development, with project administration and oversight to be at NOAA's National Climatic Data Center.

PROCUREMENT, ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION

(In thousands of dollars)

	Conference Recommendation
National Ocean Service:	
Coastal and Estuarine Land Protection Program	20,000
National Estuarine Research Reserve Construction & Land Acquisition	3,890
Marine Sanctuaries Construction/Acquisition	11,000
Total, National Ocean Service - PAC	34,890
Oceanic and Atmospheric Research:	
Research Supercomputing/CCRI	10,379
Total, Oceanic and Atmospheric Research - PAC	10,379
National Weather Service:	
Systems Acquisition:	
ASOS	1,635
AWIPS	24,000
NEXRAD	7,976
NWSTG Legacy Replacement	1,195
Radiosonde Network Replacement	4,000
Weather and Climate Supercomputing	29,000
Cooperative Observer Network Modernization (NERON)	3,734
Complete and Sustain NOAA Weather Radio	11,255
NOAA Profiler Network	7,500
Subtotal, NWS Systems Acquisition	90,295
Construction:	
WFO Construction	3,150
Subtotal, NWS Construction	3,150
Total, National Weather Service - PAC	93,445
NESDIS:	
Systems Acquisition & Construction:	
NOAA Satellites and Climate Sensors	1,803,609
EOS & Advanced Polar Data Processing, Distribution & Archiving Systems	990
CIP - single point of failure	2,772
Comprehensive Large Array Data Stewardship System (CLASS)	18,476
NPOESS Preparatory Data Exploration	4,455
Satellite CDA Facility	2,228
Total, NESDIS - PAC	1,832,530
Program Support:	
Vessel Equipment and Tech Refresh	7,900
New Vessel Construction	3,500
Pacific Regional Facility	20,000
Total, Program Support - PAC	31,400
GRAND TOTAL PAC	2,002,644

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences, East Boothbay, ME	US National Culture Collection for Marine Phytoplankton	300
Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, OH	Monitoring of Lake Erie Water Quality with Remote Sensing	250
Coastal Restoration and Enhancement through Science and Technology, Baton Rouge, LA	CREST Program Competitive Grants and Science Support	2,000
Colville Confederated Tribes, Nespelem, WA	Salmon Hatchery and Harvest Reform Project	500
Dauphin Island Sea Lab, Dauphin Island, AL	NOAA Independent Data Collection in the Gulf of Mexico	4,000
Ferris State University, Big Rapids, MI	Ferris State Interdisciplinary Research, Technology, and Economic Development Program	400
Florida Fish And Wildlife Conservation Commission, Tallahassee, FL	Cooperative Grouper-Snapper Fisheries Data Collection	1,000
Great Bay Resource Protection Partnership, Portsmouth, NH	Great Bay Land Acquisition	2,575
Great Lakes Science Center, Cleveland, OH	Northeast Ohio Informal STEM Education	250
Guam Fishermens Cooperative Association, Hagatna, GU	Fishery Equipment	125
Gulf and South Atlantic Fisheries Foundation, Inc., Tampa, FL	Gulf States Consumer Education Program	1,000
Gulf of Maine Research Institute, Portland, ME	Community-Based Research	400
Idaho State University, Pocatello, ID	Boise Center for Aerospace Laboratory Watershed Modeling Utilizing LiDAR	200
Jackson State University, Jackson, MS	Development of a Regional Ensembling System for Atmospheric Dispersion	1,000
JASON Project, Ashburn, VA	JASON Project Education Program	8,400
Maine Department of Marine Resources, Augusta, ME	Collaborative Shellfish Research	750
Maine Department of Marine Resources, Augusta, ME	East Coast Herring Sampling and Stock Assessment	350
Maine Department of Marine Resources, Augusta, ME	Groundfish Research	300
Maine Department of Marine Resources, Augusta, ME	Maine/New Hampshire Inshore Trawl Survey	300
Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA	Center for Regenerative Biology and Medicine	300
Marine Conservation Biology Institute, Bellevue, WA	Marine Bioregion Classification of the US Exclusive Economic Zone	700
Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Boston, MA	Shellfish Safety Testing Pilot	175
Massachusetts Maritime Academy, Buzzards Bay, MA	Massachusetts Maritime Academy Marine Renewable Energy Workforce Training Initiative	300
Mississippi State University, Starkville, MS	NOAA Northern Gulf Institute	5,500
Monmouth University, West Long Branch, NJ	Mid-Atlantic Regional Coastal Community and Ocean Ecosystem Initiative	750
Monterey Bay Aquarium, Monterey, CA	Bluefin Tuna Tagging and Research Program	500

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
National Environmental Satellite, Data and Information Service, Honolulu, HI	Integrated Data and Environmental Applications Center	2,750
National Marine Fisheries Service, Hilo, HI	Marine Education and Training	1,000
National Marine Fisheries Service, Honolulu, HI	Domestic Fisheries Monitoring, including Support for Regional Fishery Management Organizations	2,750
National Marine Fisheries Service, Honolulu, HI	Hawaii Fisheries Development	400
National Marine Fisheries Service, Honolulu, HI	Hawaii Seafood Program	1,000
National Marine Fisheries Service, Juneau, AK	Alaska Native Marine Mammal Co-Management	500
National Marine Fisheries Service, Kaneohe Bay, HI	Joint Institute of Marine and Atmospheric Research Pelagic Fisheries Research Program	750
National Marine Fisheries Service, Silver Spring, MD	Shrimp Industry Fishing Effort Research Continuation	500
National Ocean Service, Honolulu, HI	Hawaii Coral Reef Initiative	1,000
National Ocean Service, Honolulu, HI	Ordinance Reef Project	200
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Washington, DC	West Coast Governors Agreement on Ocean Health	1,000
National Weather Service, Silver Spring, MD	Remote Infrasonic Monitoring of Natural Hazards	1,500
Nature Conservancy, Middletown, CT	Long Island Sound Coastal Resilience	500
New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services, Concord, NH	Securing New Hampshire's Water Future	400
New Hampshire Fish & Game, Concord, NH	New Hampshire Groundfish Sectors Permit Bank	1,165
NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office, Annapolis, MD	Chesapeake Bay Oyster Restoration	3,000
NOAA Office of Education, Honolulu, HI	Hawaii Education Program	1,000
NOAA Office of Education, Monterey, CA	California Bay Watershed Education and Training Program	1,500
Northwest Straits Marine Conservation Initiative, Mount Vernon, WA	Northwest Straits Citizens Advisory Commission	1,800
Oregon Department of State Lands, Salem, OR	Oregon Seafloor Mapping for Tsunami Hazards and Ecosystem Benefit	500
Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission, Portland, OR	Pacific Ocean Shelf Tracking Project-Marine Animal Tracking System	2,000
Partnership for Mid-Atlantic Fisheries Science, Point Pleasant Beach, NJ	Summer Flounder and Black Sea Bass Initiative	525
Penobscot East Resource Center, Stonington, ME	Northern Gulf of Maine Groundfish Sentinel Fishery	250
Plymouth State University, Plymouth, NH	New England Weather Technology and Research Initiative	575
Provincetown Center for Coastal Studies, Provincetown, MA	Northeast Coastal Monitoring Collaborative	300
Rhode Island Coastal Resources Management Council, Wakefield, RI	Offshore Renewable Energy Surveys	700
San Jose State University Foundation, San Jose, CA	Highly Migratory Shark Fishery Research Program	500
Save The Bay, Providence, RI	Save the Bay - Marine Education Program	500

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
School for Marine Science & Technology, New Bedford, MA	New England Multi-Species Surveys and Development	1,500
Society for the Protection of New Hampshire Forests, Concord, NH	Merrimack River Anadromous Fish Habitat Conservation	350
State of Alaska, Juneau, AK	Bering Sea Crab Management and Research	400
State of Alaska, Juneau, AK	Seal and Steller Sea Lion Biological Research	500
Susquehanna River Basin Commission, Harrisburg, PA	Susquehanna Flood Forecast and Warning System	2,400
Tennessee Tombigbee Waterway Authority, Columbus, MS	Tennessee Tombigbee Waterway Research Center	1,200
Thunder Bay National Marine Sanctuary and Underwater Preserve, Alpena, MI	Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center Lease Buy Down	1,000
University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL	Nanotoxicology Research	650
University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK	Ocean Acidification Research Center for Alaska	200
University of Guam, Mangilao, GU	Western Pacific Coral Reef Institute	200
University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, HI	International Pacific Research Center	1,000
University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI	Hawaii Institute of Marine Biology Coral Research	2,500
University of Hawaii, Pearl Harbor, HI	Rehabilitation of Stable Semi-submersible Platform Kaimalino	3,000
University of Maryland Baltimore County, University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, Solomons, MD	Sensors for Monitoring Chesapeake Bay Watershed Health	2,000
University of Massachusetts Dartmouth, School for Marine Science and Technology, New Bedford, MA	Northeast Scallop Fishery Assessment	600
University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA	Global Climate Research	500
University of Mississippi, Oxford, MS	National Institute for Undersea Science and Technology	5,550
University of Mississippi, Oxford, MS	National Sea Grant Law Center	750
University of New Orleans, New Orleans, LA	Pontchartrain Basin Restoration	250
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS	Marine Aquaculture Lab Operations	4,000
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS	Science Consortium for Ocean Replenishment	500
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS	Storm Surge and Flooding Disaster Mitigation	500
University of Tennessee Space Institute, Tullahoma, TN	Atmospheric Science Research	300
University of Toledo, Toledo, OH	Lake Erie Hydrological & Climate Modeling	500
University of Vermont, Burlington, VT	Lake Champlain Emerging Threats Initiative	500
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI	Center for Water Technology and Policy	4,500
West Virginia Division of Natural Resources, South Charleston, WV	Natural Stream Design and Restoration	2,000

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	Environmental Research Center	3,250
West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	REDUCE	500
West Virginia University, Parkersburg, WV	West Virginia University-Parkersburg STEM lab	1,000
Westminster College, Salt Lake City, UT	Great Salt Lake Institute	150
Wildlife Conservation Society, Bronx, NY	Bronx River and South Bronx Waterfront	1,000

PACIFIC COASTAL SALMON RECOVERY

The bill includes \$80,000,000 for the Pacific Coastal Salmon Recovery Fund, requires all funds to be allocated based on scientific and merit principles, and prohibits the availability of funds for marketing activities.

FISHERMEN'S CONTINGENCY FUND

The bill includes \$250,000 for the Fishermen's contingency fund and directs the agency to request funding for this program on an annual basis.

COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill includes language transferring not to exceed \$3,000,000 from the Coastal Zone Management Fund to "Operations, Research, and Facilities."

FISHERIES FINANCE PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The bill includes language under this heading limiting obligations of direct loans to \$16,000,000 for Individual Fishing Quota loans and \$59,000,000 for traditional direct loans.

DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill includes \$64,595,000 for Departmental Management, which provides for the Department's highest priorities, including full funding of the IT cyber security initiative. The Department may use up to \$1,377,991 for increasing acquisition work-force capacity and capabilities, as requested, from available funds.

Repatriation initiative.—A key component to doubling U.S. exports is the repatriation of U.S. jobs that have moved abroad. The Secretary is directed to launch a job repatriation initiative, to include the development of a "best practices" for States and local communities to use to grow their manufacturing base, and the expertise and resources of ITA, EDA, MBDA, and NIST, in coordination with other Federal economic development agencies, such as the Small Business Administration. The Secretary is directed to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations on the implementation of this initiative 120 days after enactment of this Act.

Justification improvement.—The Department is directed to continue to work to reformat all Department of Commerce (DOC) justifications into more transparent, informative, and user-friendly documents.

Departmental oversight.—The Department is directed to continue to develop oversight capacity of the USPTO; track fee collections and other pertinent policy and economic impacts; avoid budgetary shortfalls; and ensure that the Department and the Committees on Appropriations are fully informed on USPTO funding issues.

Emergency steel loan guarantee (ESLG) program.—The proposed rescission of the remaining unobligated subsidy balances associated with the ESLG program is rejected.

Native American affairs.—The Department is encouraged to expand the scope of the operations of the new office of the Senior Advisor for Native American Affairs within available funding.

U.S. Israel Science and Technology Commission (USISTC).—DOC is directed to report on the feasibility of reestablishing the USISTC within the DOC, and encourages the commitment of appropriate resources.

National manufacturing strategy.—Within six months of enactment of this Act, DOC is directed to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations and post on a public website a National manufacturing strategy outlining the initiatives the Administration is pursuing to strengthen the Nation's manufacturing sector and detailing progress made

since the release of "A Framework for Revitalizing American Manufacturing" in December 2009.

RENOVATION AND MODERNIZATION

The bill includes \$5,000,000 for continued renovation activities.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The bill includes \$29,394,000 for the Office of Inspector General (IG) for fiscal year 2011. In addition, the bill includes transfers to the IG from USPTO and NOAA satellites for oversight and audits of those activities. The IG is directed to provide semiannual reports on the status and progress of the 2020 decennial.

GENERAL PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

The following general provisions are included for the Department of Commerce:

Sections 101, 102 and 104 through 108 continue longstanding general provisions without substantive change from previous years.

Section 103 modifies the authority to transfer funds between Department of Commerce accounts, including NOAA, and requires notification to the Committees on Appropriations of certain actions.

Section 109 establishes interim authorities regarding American Samoa's bigeye tuna fishery catch allocation.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$145,565,000 for General Administration, Salaries and Expenses.

Terrorism trials of former Guantanamo Bay detainees.—The bill does not include the Administration's request of \$72,771,000 for the first year costs of criminal trials for some former Guantanamo Bay detainees. This reduction reflects the fact that the Administration's plan for these trials is still undefined.

Office of Legislative Affairs (OLA).—OLA needs to provide the Committees on Appropriations with information proactively and in a timely manner, but this has not been consistent practice over the past two years. OLA is directed to take whatever steps are necessary (including communicating with the White House to end unhelpful and counterproductive information embargoes) to improve the sharing of information with the Congress.

International Organized Crime (IOC).—The bill includes funds for the IOC Intelligence and Operations Center, the Attorney General's Organized Crime Council Program Support Office and IOC increases requested in other bureaus. Resources requested to provide a central fund for IOC case operation costs, however, should continue to be derived from the Attorney General's special projects fund or other available sources. If the IOC initiative is intended to be a permanent fixture that will appear in future requests, the Department of Justice (DOJ) should develop a strategy for funding this program somewhere other than the executive leadership budget.

Cooperation with the Government Accountability Office (GAO).—The Department is directed to develop, in consultation with GAO, a compromise that will allow congressional oversight to proceed as necessary on intelligence-related programs. As part of the negotiation of this compromise solution, DOJ is directed to work more broadly with GAO on improving the quality, quantity and timeliness of DOJ responses to GAO reviews on all subjects, including those that are not directly related to intelligence or national security programs.

Missing and unidentified persons system.—The Department is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations on how it plans to share information between the National Crime Information Center and online databases containing information on unidentified decedents and missing persons. This report shall be submitted within 180 days of the enactment of this Act.

Gang enforcement.—The Department should continue to strive for better cooperation among anti-gang entities and greater effectiveness in enhancing and linking gang cases. In addition, the Department shall continue keeping the Committees on Appropriations informed of any planned management and organizational changes regarding anti-gang efforts.

Obscenity enforcement.—The Department shall report to the Committees on Appropriations within 180 days of enactment of this Act on Obscenity Prosecution Task Force staffing and spending levels, as well as on obscenity investigation and prosecution workload statistics and accomplishments, both currently and since the creation of the Task Force in 2005.

Prison rape elimination.—The Department shall publish, as soon as possible, a final rule adopting national standards for eliminating prison rape as mandated by the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) of 2003, and continue efforts to provide assistance in the form of training, technical assistance, and implementation grants to assist State, local, and tribal jurisdictions in achieving compliance with PREA national standards.

Tribal law enforcement.—The Department shall submit a report outlining how a pilot project would be structured to assess the impacts of expanding tribal law enforcement jurisdiction. The report should include a description of: 1) how a pilot tribe would be selected; 2) which categories of crime would be included in the jurisdictional expansion; 3) how tribal capacity issues would be addressed; 4) what statutory changes would be necessary; 5) how constitutional safeguards would be implemented; and 6) how the Department would evaluate the success or failure of the pilot. This report shall be submitted to the Committees on Appropriations no later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act. To the extent additional funding would be required to enhance tribal capacity as part of such a pilot, grant funding through the Department's tribal grant programs shall be available for this purpose in fiscal year 2011 and future years as necessary.

Tribal consultation.—Within 150 days of enactment of this Act, the Attorney General shall provide the Committees on Appropriations with a report on how DOJ will use the tribal consultation process to further streamline and coordinate programs and funding opportunities for Native Americans both within DOJ and with relevant programs of the Department of the Interior.

NATIONAL DRUG INTELLIGENCE CENTER

The bill provides \$44,580,000 for the National Drug Intelligence Center.

JUSTICE INFORMATION SHARING TECHNOLOGY

The bill provides \$124,585,000 for Justice Information Sharing Technology.

Unified Financial Management System (UFMS).—The direct appropriation for UFMS is focused on the ongoing needs of the Project Management Office rather than pre-funding the initiation of any additional new work. The Department should add any further necessary development and deployment costs to the planned fiscal year 2011 UFMS reprogramming. For UFMS activity that is

undertaken in fiscal year 2011, the Department is directed to continue submitting quarterly reports to the Committees on Appropriations listing milestones for the year, with budget and schedule estimates for each, and describing progress made against each of those milestones.

Joint Automated Booking System (JABS).—In order to account for a slower rollout schedule for JABS biometric upgrades, the JABS enhancement request has been reduced by \$3,000,000.

Justice Consolidated Office Network (JCON).—The Department is directed to find program and acquisition efficiencies sufficient to sustain an \$8,000,000 reduction to this line item.

Litigation Case Management System (LCMS).—Since the budget request was submitted, the Department has decided to terminate LCMS due to intractable programmatic and management problems. As a result, the bill has eliminated all LCMS funding from this account. Any closeout costs needed for the program can be covered with funds remaining from the fiscal year 2010 LCMS reprogramming or other available sources.

Cyber security and secure communications.—The bill provides \$32,100,000 for the continued strengthening of DOJ's cyber security and secure communications programs.

LAW ENFORCEMENT WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS

The bill provides \$207,727,000 for Law Enforcement Wireless Communications.

Integrated Wireless Network (IWN).—The Department is directed to continue submitting quarterly reports to the Committees on Appropriations on planned and actual IWN milestone achievement, including budget and schedule parameters. This year, the quarterly reports should also include a description of the Department's efforts to ensure that components are purchasing equipment that is compliant with Project 25 standards.

ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW AND APPEALS

This bill provides \$315,420,000 for Administrative Review and Appeals.

Legal Orientation Program (LOP).—The bill provides \$6,200,000 for the LOP, which includes \$2,000,000 for the custodians of unaccompanied alien children program and an increase of \$200,000 to cover the costs of the recent expansion of the LOP. The Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR) is directed to dedicate additional funds to LOP, as necessary and available, to ensure that there is no decrease in the level of support for LOP from year to year.

Immigration Court staffing.—EOIR is directed to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations showing authorized Immigration Judge (IJ) staffing, start of year on-board levels, anticipated attrition, planned hiring and expected end of year on-board levels. This report should be provided no later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act and should be supplemented by quarterly updates showing actual data as it is received. When allocating new law clerk positions among IJ teams, EOIR is directed to prioritize the addition of clerk positions to teams where the ratio of judges to clerks exceeds 1:1.

DETENTION TRUSTEE

The bill provides \$1,533,863,000 for the Office of the Federal Detention Trustee (OFDT).

Population estimates.—The Appropriations Committees continue to be concerned with the Department's ability to anticipate the funding needs for this account. In order to

improve tracking of the cost drivers for detention space needs, OFDT is directed to continue reporting to the Committees on a quarterly basis the number of individuals in the detention system, the projected number of individuals and the annualized costs associated with them.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The bill provides \$88,792,000 for the Office of Inspector General.

UNITED STATES PAROLE COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$13,582,000 for the United States Parole Commission.

LEGAL ACTIVITIES

SALARIES AND EXPENSES, GENERAL LEGAL ACTIVITIES

This bill provides \$969,989,000 for General Legal Activities. The funding is provided as follows:

Program	Amount
Solicitor General	\$11,018,000
Tax Division	115,972,000
Criminal Division	187,625,000
Civil Division	334,944,000
Environment and Natural Resources Division	111,410,000
Office of Legal Counsel	7,782,000
Civil Rights Division	161,885,000
INTERPOL-USNCB	38,518,000
Office of Dispute Resolution	835,000
Total	969,989,000

Tribal trust litigation.—The Environment and Natural Resources Division's costs for conducting all tribal trust litigation and related activities in fiscal year 2011 will be paid for by the Department of Interior; consequently, these funds were not provided directly through this division.

Human trafficking and slavery.—Within amounts provided for the Civil Rights Division, \$5,300,000 is for the Human Trafficking and Slavery Prosecution Unit.

Human rights crimes.—The Criminal Division is directed to continue increasing efforts to investigate and prosecute serious human rights crimes, including genocide, torture, use or recruitment of child soldiers and war crimes. Within the Criminal Division budget, \$1,800,000 shall be allocated for attorneys, analysts and support personnel to pursue these cases.

Child exploitation.—The bill provides \$1,500,000 above the request to enhance INTERPOL's efforts to establish a dedicated global unit to fight child exploitation. This unit will assist in enforcement requirements outlined in the Adam Walsh Child Protection and Safety Act (the "Adam Walsh Act," or AWA) and other initiatives aimed at combating child sexual exploitation.

VACCINE INJURY COMPENSATION TRUST FUND

The bill provides \$7,833,000 for the Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES, ANTITRUST DIVISION

The bill provides \$71,028,000 in direct appropriations for the Antitrust Division.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES, UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS

The bill provides \$2,041,269,000 for the United States Attorneys.

AWA enforcement.—The U.S. Attorneys are directed to spend no less than \$38,460,000 on prosecutions and other activities pursuant to the AWA. The U.S. Attorneys should continue to make AWA and other child exploitation cases a prosecutorial priority.

Human trafficking.—The Executive Office for U.S. Attorneys (EOUSA), in consultation

with each U.S. Attorney, is directed to designate a point of contact in each U.S. Attorney Office who shall serve as the coordinator for all activities within that office concerning human trafficking and slavery matters covered by the Trafficking Victims Protection Act. Each office is also urged to convene quarterly working-level meetings, where Federal, State and local law enforcement are represented, focusing specifically on combating human trafficking.

Indian Country programs.—The U.S. Attorneys are directed to spend no less than \$31,965,000 on prosecutions and related activities in Indian Country. EOUSA is directed to closely monitor caseload trends in districts with Indian Country responsibilities and to take actions, as appropriate, to direct additional funds to these districts if needed. EOUSA is also directed to submit a report describing the status of its pilot tribal community prosecution teams and summarizing their activities and achievements to date. This report shall be submitted to the Committees on Appropriations no later than 150 days after the enactment of this Act.

UNITED STATES TRUSTEE SYSTEM FUND

The bill provides a net direct appropriation of \$0 for the United States Trustees.

Debtor audits.—The Trustees shall submit a report quantifying the budgetary need for debtor audits in 2011 and outlining a plan for how those needs will be met with available resources. This report shall be submitted to the Committees on Appropriations no later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES, FOREIGN CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMISSION

The bill provides \$2,159,000 for the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission.

FEES AND EXPENSES OF WITNESSES

The bill provides \$270,000,000 for Fees and Expenses of Witnesses.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES, COMMUNITY RELATIONS SERVICE

The bill provides \$12,606,000 for the Community Relations Service (CRS).

Emmett Till Unsolved Civil Rights Crime Act activities.—With funds provided, CRS should continue partnering with law enforcement agencies and communities in conflict resulting from the investigation of unsolved civil rights era cold cases.

ASSETS FORFEITURE FUND

The bill provides \$20,990,000 for the Assets Forfeiture Fund.

UNITED STATES MARSHALS SERVICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$1,180,534,000 for the United States Marshals Service (USMS), Salaries and Expenses.

Sex offender apprehension.—Although the Marshals Service has annualized prior year AWA enforcement funding into its base budget, it has consistently failed to request new resources to expand the sex offender apprehension program. In order to provide an infusion of new resources in fiscal year 2011, the bill provides \$10,181,000 for additional AWA-related activities and the operations of the National Sex Offender Targeting Center. These funds are provided in place of the money requested for the Special Operations Group and the Technical Operations Group. If additional funds are needed to meet AWA program needs, the Department should reprogram resources from lower priority programs.

Contract management in the districts.—Marshals Headquarters should continue to exert more central control over contracting practices in the districts in order to move every

district toward contracting best practices and identify problems in the field more quickly. The Marshals Service should also continue preliminary efforts, in consultation with affected employees, to establish an ombudsman office to address contract-related problems that arise in the districts.

Regional Fugitive Task Forces (RFTFs).—The Marshals are directed to provide \$20,000,000 to enhance existing RFTFs and to establish new task force capabilities in areas not currently served by RFTFs.

CONSTRUCTION

The bill provides \$26,625,000 for Marshals Construction.

NATIONAL SECURITY DIVISION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$99,537,000 for the National Security Division.

INTERAGENCY LAW ENFORCEMENT

INTERAGENCY CRIME AND DRUG ENFORCEMENT

The bill provides \$574,319,000 for the Organized Crime and Drug Enforcement Task Forces (OCDETF).

Strike Force personnel.—OCDETF is directed to submit a report showing the fiscal year 2010 and planned fiscal year 2011 distribution of personnel (by bureau) to each of the collocated Strike Forces. This report should be submitted to the Committees on Appropriations no later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$8,089,597,000 for the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Salaries and Expenses.

Program increases.—The bill provides funds for all requested program increases in full, with the exception of Render Safe. The FBI can space the acquisition of its Render Safe planes over two years without degrading its readiness posture below that which is currently available via the leased aircraft. Consequently, the bill has reduced the request by \$17,878,000, or the cost of one plane. If the FBI wants to proceed with the acquisition of the second plane in fiscal year 2011, a reprogramming request may be submitted to accomplish this.

Human trafficking.—The bill includes \$5,000,000 above the request for the investigation of trafficking in persons and the provision of victim witness coordinators for trafficking cases.

Law enforcement in Indian Country.—The bill funds 81 new FBI positions for law enforcement in Indian Country, at a cost of \$19,000,000, within the FBI's own budget rather than through the Bureau of Indian Affairs. These positions are to be available exclusively for Indian Country programs and allocated to areas of greatest need.

Adherence to notification requirements.—The FBI is directed to work with the Office of Management and Budget to transition its budget from the current four decision units to a new set based more closely on the FBI's operating structure. This will rationalize the Bureau's budget execution and improve its ability to identify when an internal reallocation of funds should trigger Section 505 notification requirements. To ensure that notifications are provided as needed during the time that the new decision units are being constructed, the FBI is directed to develop and issue strict new guidance on adherence to Section 505 requirements, including guidance on how and when to provide notification of reallocations that are intended to be temporary.

Hiring plan.—The FBI is directed to once again submit a hiring plan and quarterly up-

dates on staffing, consistent with the direction provided in the statement accompanying Public Law 111-117. Along with the Bureau-wide staffing data already requested, the FBI is directed to include in the quarterly reports a tracking of the number of authorized and onboard victim-witness coordinators at the Bureau.

Intellectual property rights (IPR) enforcement.—IPR enforcement should remain an investigative priority at the Bureau. In order to maximize the effectiveness of IPR enforcement, the Bureau should make all necessary efforts to coordinate and cooperate with IPR units at the U.S. Attorneys and the Criminal Division. In addition, the FBI is directed to submit a report on the activities of its dedicated agents investigating IPR cases. Specifically, the report should demonstrate that the additional IPR agents provided in P.L. 111-8 and 111-117 (to a base level of no less than \$25,100,000) are solely investigating and prosecuting violations of Federal intellectual property law. The report shall also provide an accounting of the agents placed in specific field offices with Computer Hacking and Intellectual Property units and the types of intellectual property investigations pursued by these agents. The report shall be submitted to the Committees on Appropriations no later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act.

Unobligated and unexpended balances.—The FBI is directed to work with the Committees on Appropriations to develop a reporting structure that will provide clear accountings of unobligated and unexpended balances on a regular schedule. In the meantime, GAO is directed to review the FBI's processes for periodically reviewing its unexpended balances and reallocating any balances that are determined to be available for deobligation. This review should ensure that the FBI's procedures are appropriately rigorous and include a comparison of the FBI's practices to those in other Federal agencies. GAO shall report to the Committees on Appropriations on the results of this review no later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act.

Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Division.—The bill provides \$675,600,000 for the CJIS Division. This total includes \$291,100,000 of appropriated funds and \$384,500,000 of user fees.

Animal cruelty data in the Uniform Crime Report (UCR).—The FBI is directed to facilitate the prompt consideration by the CJIS Advisory Policy Board of any received proposal to add animal cruelty data to the UCR.

Next Generation Identification (NGI) system.—The FBI is directed to identify the fiscal year 2011 expected costs for NGI in its portion of the DOJ spending plan and to immediately notify the Committees on Appropriations of any changes to NGI's budget, schedule or expected achievements that emerge during the course of the year.

File inventory.—The FBI is directed to continue supporting its nationwide file inventory program at a funding level no less than the level provided for this activity in fiscal year 2010.

Gang enforcement.—The FBI is directed to continue supporting its Safe Streets Task Force program at no less than the current services level.

Surveillance.—The bill provides an increase of \$25,179,000 to hire additional personnel to help address gaps within the Bureau's surveillance program. No less than 75 percent of these additional funds shall be spent on Special Surveillance Groups.

Cyber security.—In recognition of the FBI's unique cyber-related authorities and exper-

tise, the bill provides \$181,754,000, an increase of 163 positions and \$45,926,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level, to further the Bureau's investigatory, intelligence gathering and technological capabilities to address cybercrime.

Human rights violations.—The FBI is directed to increase its efforts to investigate and support the prosecution of serious human rights crimes committed by foreign nationals, including genocide, torture, and use or recruitment of child soldiers. Within the amounts provided, the FBI is directed to allocate \$1,500,000 for agents and associated support personnel at FBI headquarters to assist with these cases.

Civil rights enforcement.—Civil rights investigations are a top investigative priority of both the Bureau and the Congress. The bill provides the request of \$36,600,000 for the civil rights enforcement program, which will support investigations of hate crimes, civil rights era cold cases pursued under the Emmett Till Unsolved Civil Rights Crime Act, and related offenses.

Child exploitation programs.—Despite a multiyear increase in Internet exploitation cases, the FBI requested a current services budget of \$52,971,000 for the Innocent Images program in fiscal year 2011. The bill provides this funding, but the FBI is directed to closely monitor the online sexual predator threat and to allocate additional resources to this program in future requests if necessary. For child prostitution and domestic sex trafficking cases that are not primarily Internet based, the bill provides a total of \$26,100,000 through the Bureau's Innocence Lost program.

Mortgage fraud.—Mortgage fraud activity, particularly in the subprime market, was a contributing factor to the recent economic crisis and continues to play a role in undermining national economic stability. In order to improve the Bureau's ability to identify and investigate these schemes, the bill provides an increase of \$71,497,000 to hire additional agents, analysts and support personnel in the white collar crime program to focus on mortgage fraud.

The DOJ Inspector General revealed last year that the FBI was not making full use of its white collar crime resources despite the greatly increasing workload in this area. In light of these findings and to ensure that the mortgage fraud program is receiving the resources and attention that are expected, the FBI is directed to provide on a quarterly basis a break-out of the personnel allocated to mortgage fraud investigations, the amount of any under- or overburn experienced on mortgage fraud that quarter, and the number of mortgage fraud cases being worked in that quarter.

DNA technical review standards.—The Committees are aware of proposals to make changes to the FBI's DNA technical review standards; the FBI is directed to keep the Committees on Appropriations apprised of any activity regarding decisions to modify or maintain those standards.

Sentinel.—The FBI asserts that the problems it has experienced with the Sentinel project are contained; the project will finish late but within budget; and the product delivered through the end of Phase 2 largely reflects what was expected under the program plan. Outside sources seem to agree unanimously that each of these three contentions is overly optimistic. Relatively little was achieved, in terms of delivered benefits to end users, through Phases 1 and 2 even though more than 90 percent of the project budget was consumed. It is difficult to imagine that all of Phases 3 and 4 can still be

achieved with so little remaining funding unless major corners are cut in either execution or function.

Despite these concerns, the FBI has persisted in committing itself to completing the project within its \$451,000,000 budget, and the Congress will hold the Bureau to that commitment. Consequently, the FBI is prohibited from spending anything in excess of the \$451,000,000 total without first providing notification to the Committees on Appropriations, even if a funding source is available that would not otherwise trigger Section 505 notification requirements.

To manage the completion of Sentinel, the FBI shall develop a Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) that complies with guidance provided in GAO-09-3SP: *Best Practices for Developing and Managing Capital Program Costs*. The product-based WBS shall contain the capabilities that complete Sentinel as identified by the DOJ OIG in its 11-01 report (October, 2010). The structure of the Sentinel Completion WBS shall include components for (1) Phase 3 Capabilities, (2) Phase 4 Capabilities and (3) Deferred Capabilities. The FBI shall elaborate on each of the three WBS components to itemize the planned or deferred functionality that will complete Sentinel.

The FBI shall also configure its Earned Value Management System to report progress on the itemized functionality associated with each component.

CONSTRUCTION

The bill provides \$130,589,000 for FBI Construction.

Modernization of FBI Academy facilities.—The bill provides \$6,287,000 for renovation and abatement activities necessary for the modernization of existing FBI Academy facilities and \$17,000,000 for the construction of a new dormitory on the Academy campus.

DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$2,088,176,000 for the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), Salaries and Expenses.

Prescription drug abuse.—The bill provides \$291,832,000 for DEA's diversion control program. These funds are fully offset by fee collections.

Methamphetamine cleanup.—The bill provides \$10,000,000 through the Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) program to assist State, local and tribal law enforcement agencies with the proper removal and disposal of hazardous materials at clandestine methamphetamine labs, including funds for training, technical assistance, a container program and purchase of equipment. These funds will be provided by transfer to DEA.

Terrorism Investigations Unit.—DEA is directed to use \$4,000,000 for the Special Operations Division to create a third Terrorism Investigations Unit for Afghanistan.

CONSTRUCTION

The bill provides \$41,941,000 for DEA Construction.

El Paso Intelligence Center (EPIC).—The bill provides \$41,941,000 for costs related to the renovation of the existing EPIC facility and the expansion of the building. The improvement of physical infrastructure at EPIC should allow DEA to accommodate the increasing interagency demand for space there and will meet the government's need for a centralized and consolidated location for agencies to further coordinate their interdiction, intelligence and investigative activities focused on the Southwest border region.

BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS AND EXPLOSIVES

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$1,162,986,000 for the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF).

Project Gunrunner performance.—ATF is directed to provide to the Committees on Appropriations performance data showing how Project Gunrunner offices are contributing to the program's overall goal of reducing firearms trafficking into Mexico. This report shall be submitted no later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act.

Firearms trafficking between the United States and Mexico.—A reliable baseline of the annual weapons traffic across the U.S. southern border is necessary for the development of the most effective firearms enforcement strategies and the determination of the necessary levels of budgetary support for those strategies. Because such a baseline does not currently exist, ATF is directed to work with the Department of Homeland Security to develop and submit an estimate to the Committees on Appropriations, along with any necessary explanatory material, no later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act.

Firearms tracing capacity.—ATF is urged to identify resources via reprogramming in fiscal year 2011 to begin addressing National Tracing Center capacity and digitization needs and to prioritize funds for these issues in its fiscal year 2012 budget request.

Addressing the regulatory backlog.—ATF is directed to dedicate \$500,000 from within the funds provided to hiring additional regulatory writers to reduce the regulatory backlog.

Implementation of the Prevent All Cigarette Trafficking (PACT) Act.—ATF is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations on its expected annual costs for implementation of the PACT Act, the extent to which those costs might be covered by deposits in the PACT Anti-Trafficking Fund and the plan for covering any new costs that are not offset by the Fund. This report shall be submitted no later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act.

Gang enforcement.—ATF is directed to continue supporting its Violent Crime Impact Team program at no less than the current services level.

FEDERAL PRISON SYSTEM

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$6,553,779,000 for the salaries and expenses of the Federal Prison System. As part of the Department's fiscal year 2011 spending plan, the Bureau of Prisons (BOP) shall propose a distribution of funds by decision unit that incorporates directives in this statement. BOP's fiscal year 2012 budget request shall provide detailed descriptions of the major categories of activities comprising each decision unit and the proposed funding levels for each such category, including comparisons to prior year obligations for each category.

Correctional worker staffing.—No less than \$120,500,000 is for additional base correctional worker staffing in fiscal year 2011 and for the annualized cost of base correctional workers hired during fiscal year 2010. BOP shall identify, as part of the budget requests for fiscal year 2012 and future years, the number of authorized positions for each BOP facility in each correctional worker staffing category, including comparisons of the number of authorized positions in each category for fiscal years 2009 through 2012 and a description of the process used to determine the number of authorized positions for each year.

Staffing in housing units.—BOP is directed to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations, within 180 days of enactment of this Act, describing BOP's policies for assigning correctional officers to housing units in low, medium, and high security prisons. The report should describe how BOP determines the vulnerability of officers to assaults by inmates in housing units; how this vulnerability determination informs the staffing assignments for housing units; the extent to which low staffing levels have prevented BOP from adequately staffing housing units to minimize officer vulnerability; the extent to which additional funding for staffing provided in this bill will be used to increase staffing in housing units; and any strategies BOP has adopted, considered or may consider to mitigate officer vulnerability in housing units.

New prison activation.—Not less than \$72,600,000 shall be used to begin or complete the activation of newly constructed prisons.

Inmate reentry and Second Chance Act implementation.—No less than \$39,695,000 is for BOP's Second Chance Act (SCA) requirements and Residential Reentry Centers (RRC), as requested. BOP shall report quarterly to the Committees on Appropriations on the average length of stay in community corrections, differentiated by average lengths of stay in RRCs and home confinement. In addition, BOP shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations, within 120 days of enactment of this Act, on the status of implementing Government Accountability Office recommendations (GAO-010-854R) regarding BOP's strategic approach to budgeting for inmate reentry programs. As part of its portion of the Department's fiscal year 2011 spending plan, BOP shall delineate the funding to be provided for each of its programs and activities related to inmate reentry and SCA implementation. Current and future reentry programming should be informed by the recommendations and themes included in the recent report of BOP's independent panel on prisoner reentry. As part of the fiscal year 2012 budget submission, BOP shall provide a detailed description of the coordinated prisoner reentry strategy required by the SCA, which should include clearly defined goals, an implementation timeline, the estimated costs of implementing the strategy in fiscal year 2012, and the estimated cost of fully implementing the strategy.

Counterterrorism activities.—No less than \$14,200,000 is for the full estimated cost of BOP's counterterrorism activities.

National Institute of Corrections (NIC).—No less than \$20,320,000 is for the NIC.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

The bill provides \$269,733,000 for the construction, acquisition, modernization and repair of prison and detention facilities housing Federal inmates.

Modernization and repair (M&R).—BOP shall provide an updated report to the Committees on Appropriations, within 90 days of enactment of this Act, identifying the total estimated cost of all pending M&R projects by region and the methodology used to develop those estimates. BOP shall provide a similar M&R backlog list as part of its budget request for future years. In addition, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) shall review the methods that BOP uses to identify and prioritize needed facility improvements, the processes it uses to estimate associated costs, and its plan for addressing its M&R backlog. GAO shall report to the Committees on Appropriations, making recommendations for any needed improvements, by September 15, 2011.

Construction.—Within 30 days of enactment of this Act, BOP shall provide to the Committees on Appropriations the most recent status of construction report and shall notify the Committees of any deviations from the construction and activation schedule, including detailed explanations of the causes of delays and actions proposed to address them.

LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES, FEDERAL PRISON INDUSTRIES, INCORPORATED

The bill establishes a limitation on administrative expenses of \$2,700,000 for Federal Prison Industries, Incorporated (FPI). FPI is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations within 180 days of enactment of this Act on how it plans to use new pilot program authorities included in Title V of this Act.

STATE AND LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

Salaries and expenses.—All activities related to the management and administration of discretionary grant programs, grants, and cooperative agreements shall be supported only with funding provided via the separate Salaries and Expenses (S&E) appropriation provided in the bill for each grant office. The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW), the Office of Justice Programs (OJP), and the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) are directed to develop formal definitions of management and administration costs or detailed guidance governing decisions about the types of costs that may be charged to each office's S&E account. In addition, each grant office shall detail actual and projected S&E costs by program, including personnel costs, as part of the budget submission for future fiscal years.

Workload analysis.—OVW, OJP, and COPS are each directed to conduct a workload analysis to ensure that their respective staffing levels and mix of personnel accurately reflect workload and requirements. Each office shall provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations within six months of the date of enactment of this Act describing its updated staffing model based on the results of its workload analysis. Within six months of the date the offices submit their reports, GAO is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations, evaluating each office's staffing model and making recommendations, as warranted, on how each office's staffing model could be further improved.

Training, technical assistance, research and statistics, and peer review.—Training and technical assistance (T&TA) activities, research and statistics activities and peer review performed by OJP, OVW, and COPS, or through interagency agreements or under contract for OJP, OVW, and COPS, may be supported with program funds, subject to the submission of details related to planned costs in these categories by program as part of the Department's fiscal year 2011 spending plan. As part of the budget submission for fiscal year 2012 and future years, the Department is directed to detail the actual costs for each grant office in each of these categories for the prior fiscal year, along with estimates of planned expenditures by each grant office in each of these categories for the current year and the budget year.

Non-compliant grantees.—OJP, COPS and OVW appear to be using different sanctions and remedies for grantees that are determined to be out of compliance with grant requirements. The Department should work to consolidate rules and procedures across OJP, COPS and OVW in order to produce the most consistent possible compliance enforcement process.

**OFFICE ON VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN
VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN PREVENTION AND
PROSECUTION PROGRAMS
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)**

The bill provides \$448,500,000 for Violence Against Women Prevention and Prosecution Programs. These funds are distributed as follows:

Program	Amount
STOP Grants	\$198,000,000
National Institute of Justice (R&D)	3,000,000
Transitional Housing Assistance	30,000,000
Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies	45,000,000
Rural Domestic Violence Assistance Grants	37,000,000
Violence on College Campuses	9,500,000
Civil Legal Assistance	50,000,000
Sexual Assault Victims Services	30,000,000
Elder Abuse Grant Program	4,250,000
Safe Havens Project	14,000,000
Education & Training for Disabled Female Victims Court Training and Improvement	6,750,000
Services for Children/Youth Exposed to Violence	3,000,000
Advocates for Youth/Services for Youth Victims (STARY)	3,000,000
National Tribal Sex Offender Registry	3,500,000
Engaging Men and Youth in Prevention	1,000,000
National Resource Center on Workplace Responses Supporting Teens through Education and Prevention	3,000,000
Analysis and Research on Violence Against Indian Women	2,500,000
American Indian/Native Alaskan Sexual Assault Clearinghouse	3,000,000
Regional Summits on Violence Against Indian Women	500,000
Total	500,000
Total	448,500,000

Tribal funding.—The bill provides \$48,909,000 in OVW funds for tribal purposes. This includes \$43,064,000 in set-asides for tribal coalitions and tribal governments; \$845,000 in other tribal set-asides; and \$5,000,000 for tribally focused programs, including the National Tribal Sex Offender Registry, Analysis and Research on Violence Against Indian Women, Regional Summits on Violence Against Indian Women and the American Indian/Native Alaskan Sexual Assault Clearinghouse.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$17,800,000 for the management and administration of OVW programs.

**OFFICE OF JUSTICE PROGRAMS
RESEARCH, EVALUATION AND STATISTICS
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)**

The bill provides \$340,000,000, as follows, for Research, Evaluation and Statistics programs administered by the National Institute of Justice and the Bureau of Justice Statistics:

Program	Amount
National Institute of Justice	\$60,000,000

Program	Amount
Bureau of Justice Statistics	60,000,000
Evaluation Clearinghouse	1,000,000
National Instant Criminal Background Check System	15,000,000
Criminal Records Upgrade	10,000,000
Paul Coverdell Forensic Science	30,000,000
Stalking Database	3,000,000
DNA Initiative	161,000,000
Debbie Smith DNA Backlog grants	(151,000,000)
Kirk Bloodsworth Post Conviction DNA Testing grants	(5,000,000)
Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners	(5,000,000)

Total 340,000,000

National Institute of Justice (NIJ).—NIJ should carry out the new initiatives proposed for fiscal year 2011, including the Bureau of Prison inmate reentry evaluation, the Arrestee Drug Abuse Monitoring Program, and the Stopping Crime Block-by-Block Field Experiments. As part of the Department's fiscal year 2011 spending plan, NIJ is directed to provide a plan for the use of all funding it administers, and to include details regarding research and evaluation specifically related to prevention and intervention approaches directed at individuals under the age of 18. Within 120 days of enactment of this Act, NIJ is directed to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations responding to the July 2010 report by the National Research Council, Strengthening the National Institute of Justice. The report should include detailed responses to the report's recommendations; a strategy for implementing recommendations with which NIJ concurs, including an estimated timeline for implementation; and detailed rebuttals of any recommendations with which NIJ does not concur. In lieu of the two Office of Inspector General (OIG) directives in Senate report 111-229 related to NIJ, the OIG is directed to review DNA backlog expenditures over the last three fiscal years, including an evaluation of the process for developing funding solicitations to ensure they are appropriately tied to established program goals and designed to ensure competition among qualified applicants.

DNA and forensics research and evaluation.—From the amounts provided for NIJ, the bill transfers \$5,000,000 to the National Institute of Standards and Technology Office of Law Enforcement Standards to support the continued development of standards and standard reference materials.

Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS).—BJS should implement the proposed initiatives on Indian Country statistics and indigent defense.

Evaluation clearinghouse/what works repository.—The Evaluation Clearinghouse/What Works Repository, an on-line source for evidence-based information on what works and what is promising in criminal and juvenile justice policy and practice, shall be administered by the Office of the Assistant Attorney General.

STATE AND LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$1,651,780,000, as follows, for State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance programs administered by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA), the Office for Victims of Crime, the Community Capacity Development Office, or the Office of Sex Offender Sentencing, Monitoring, Apprehending, Registering and Tracking:

<i>Program</i>	<i>Amount</i>	<i>Program</i>	<i>Amount</i>	<i>Program</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grants	\$519,000,000	Children Exposed to Violence Initiative	25,000,000	Second Chance Act Programs	100,000,000
National Institute of Justice	(5,000,000)	Matthew Shepard Hate Crimes Prevention Program	6,000,000	Bulletproof Vests	25,000,000
State and Local Antiterrorism Training	(2,000,000)	Flexible Tribal Assistance	100,000,000	NIST/OLES	(1,500,000)
State and Local Assistance Help Desk & Diagnostic Center	(6,000,000)	State Criminal Alien Assistance Program	300,000,000	State Automated Victim Notification System	10,000,000
National Criminal Justice Commission (Section 542 of this Act)	(7,000,000)	Southwest Border Prosecutor Program	20,000,000	Regional Information Sharing Activities	40,000,000
Byrne Competitive Grants	35,000,000	Northern Border Prosecutor Program	5,000,000	Total	1,651,780,000
Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation Program	30,000,000	Victims of Trafficking Grants	15,000,000	<i>Edward Byrne memorial justice assistance grant program.</i> —The bill provides \$519,000,000 for the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant program. Funding is not available for luxury items, real estate, or construction projects. The Department should strongly encourage State, local and tribal governments to target funding to programs and activities that are in conformance with evidence-based strategic plans developed through broad stakeholder involvement. The Department is directed to make technical assistance available to State, local and tribal governments for the development or updating of such plans. <i>Byrne discretionary grants.</i> —The bill incorporates by reference the following congressionally-designated activities to prevent crime, improve the criminal justice system, provide victim services, or other related activities:	
Byrne Discretionary Grants	199,780,000	Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners	25,000,000		
Criminal Justice Reform and Recidivism Reduction	20,000,000	Drug Courts	50,000,000		
John R. Justice Grant Program	10,000,000	Mentally Ill Offender Act ..	12,000,000		
Smart Policing	5,000,000	Prescription Drug Monitoring	5,000,000		
Ensuring Fairness and Justice in the Criminal Justice System	3,000,000	Prison Rape Prevention and Prosecution	10,000,000		
Justice Information Sharing and Technology	5,000,000	Capital Litigation/Wrongful Conviction Review Grants	12,500,000		
Smart Probation	10,000,000	Missing Alzheimer's Patient Grants	2,000,000		
Adam Walsh Act Implementation	20,000,000	Economic, High-tech and Cybercrime Prevention ..	23,000,000		
Sex Offender Management Assistance	5,000,000	Training Program to Assist Probation and Parole Officers	3,500,000		
National Sex Offender Public Website	1,000,000				

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants
Congressionally-designated Items
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
14th Judicial District, District Attorney's Office, Manchester, TN	Drug and Violent Crime Task Force	300
14th Judicial District, Durham, NC	New Life Job Court	200
9th Judicial District, Koochiching County, International Falls, MN	DUI/Substance Abuse Court	250
A Better LA, Los Angeles, CA	Crime Reduction Program	200
A Child Is Missing, Inc, Ft. Lauderdale, FL	A Child is Missing Expansion	150
Above The Rim Inc., Newark, NJ	Coach/Mentor Program	260
Acadiana Criminalistics Laboratory, New Iberia, LA	Forensic Laboratory Equipment	275
ACCESS, Dearborn, MI	Youth and Family Services Center	1,000
Advanced Science and Technology Adjudication Resource Center, Inc., Washington, DC	Initiative to Deploy Judges Trained in Forensic Science and Technology Law	500
Alabama Department of Forensics, Montgomery, AL	Forensic Equipment, Support and Capacity Enhancement	3,000
Alabama Department of Public Safety, Montgomery, AL	Operation Swordphish Project	3,000
Alabama District Attorneys Association, Montgomery, AL	Computer Forensic, Victim Restitution, and Drug Prevention Initiatives	4,300
Alamo Area Rape Crisis Center (dba The Rape Crisis Center), San Antonio, TX	Comprehensive Services for Sexual Assault Survivors	400
Aliviane, Inc., El Paso, TX	Border Institute of Excellence	400
Allegheny County, Pittsburgh, PA	Mobile Crime Scene Unit	500
Arkansas State Police, Little Rock, AR	Police Body Armor Acquisition	300
Atlantic County Sheriff's Office, Mays Landing, NJ	Atlantic County Law Enforcement Street Crimes Task Force	250
Autauga County Sheriffs Department, Prattville, AL	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence Technology Upgrades	400
Baltimore City Mayor's Office Of Employment Development, Baltimore, MD	Re-Entry Center at the Northwest One-Stop Career Center	500
Baltimore City Police Department, Baltimore, MD	Crime Laboratory Improvements	1,500
Baltimore City Police Department, Baltimore, MD	Gun Violence Reduction Initiative	1,000
Belmont County Sherriff, St. Clairsville, OH	Communications Dispatch	85
Bergen Community College, Paramus, NJ	Center for Suburban Criminal Justice	100
Blackstone Valley River Rescue Committee, Cumberland, RI	River Rescue Equipment	50
Bolivar County Board of Supervisors, Cleveland, MS	Sheriff Department Response Improvement and Upgrade Project	100
Bonner County, Sandpoint, ID	Idaho Cooperative Agencies' Wireless Interoperable Network	200
Border Area Mental Health Services, Inc., Silver City, NM	Jail Diversion Project	200
Boyd Law School, Las Vegas, NV	Kids' Court School	300
Buckeye Ranch, Inc., Grove City, OH	Visitation and Exchange Program	300

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Bucks County Community College, Newtown, PA	Public Safety Training Center	985
Caddo Parish District Attorney, Shreveport, LA	Northwest Louisiana Sexual Predator Task Force	250
California State Department of Justice, Bureau of Narcotics Enforcement, Sacramento, CA	California Department of Justice Digital Forensic Evidence Laboratories	500
California State Department of Justice, Sacramento, CA	Cold Hit Outcome Project	750
California State Department of Justice, Sacramento, CA	Gang Suppression Enforcement Program in Santa Clara	350
Camden County Sheriffs Office, Camden, NJ	Situation Awareness Tools for Crime Response	225
Canton Police Department, Canton, OH	Gang Intervention and Drug Enforcement Task Force	150
Cape Girardeau County Sheriff's Office, Cape Girardeau, MO	Missouri Sheriff's Methamphetamine Relief Team	1,700
CARE Law Program, Carson City, NV	Retired and Senior Volunteer Program	100
Carson City, Carson City, NV	Courthouse and Juvenile Facilities Security Upgrades	300
CASA de Maryland, Inc., Langley Park, MD	Langley Park Community-Based Crime Prevention Initiative	750
Cedar City Police Department/Safety Solutions Coalition, Cedar City, UT	Prescription Drug and Over the Counter Drug Abuse Strategic Education and Empowerment Project	300
Center for Court Innovation, New York, NY	Tompkins County Drug Courts Enhancement Project	100
Center for Women Policy Studies, Washington, DC	National Institute on State Policy on Trafficking of Women and Girls	500
Center Point, Inc., San Rafael, CA	Rehabilitation and Social Support Services	300
Charlotte-Mecklenburg Police Department, Charlotte, NC	Gang of One & Police Activities League - Youth Initiative	400
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Eagle Butte, SD	Justice System	1,000
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Eagle Butte, SD	Tribal Law Enforcement	400
Children's Hospital of the King's Daughters, Norfolk, VA	Comprehensive Child Abuse Treatment Services	400
CHRIS Kids, Atlanta, GA	Transitionz for Youth	300
Chrysalis Changing Lives Through Jobs, Los Angeles, CA	Ex-Offender and Homeless Job Training Initiative	300
Citizens for New York City, New York, NY	Community Crime Stoppers	250
City and County of San Francisco, Department on the Status of Women, San Francisco, CA	San Francisco Human Trafficking Prosecution and Victim Support Project	750
City of Atlanta Police Department, Atlanta, GA	Atlanta Safety Partnerships and Community Policing	200
City of Boulder, Boulder, NV	Regional Law Enforcement Training Facility	500
City of Denton, Denton, TX	Regional Public Safety Training Facility Technology & Equipment	300
City of Denver, Denver, CO	Gang Suppression	500
City of Detroit, Detroit, MI	Project Safe Haven	400
City of Eagan, Eagan, MN	Public Safety Center Equipment	100

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
City of Eagle Pass, Eagle Pass, TX	Police Technology Enhancements	500
City of East Providence, East Providence, RI	Public Safety Mobile Data Terminals	200
City of Glendale, Glendale, CA	Foothill Regional Forensic DNA LAB	1,000
City of Great Falls, Great Falls, MT	Police Department Emergency Equipment Replacement	100
City of Greenville, Greenville, NC	Pitt County Regional Family Justice Center	200
City of Henderson, Henderson, NV	Regional Public Safety Training Facility	600
City of High Point, High Point, NC	Domestic Violence Initiative	200
City of High Point, Hight Point, NC	Job Training and Placement Services for Offenders and Ex-Offenders	200
City of Houston, Houston, TX	Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction	250
City of Jacksonville, Jacksonville, FL	Jacksonville Journey Anti-Violence Initiative	250
City of Laredo, Laredo, TX	Laredo Police Safe Borders Initiative	1,200
City of Madison Police Department, Madison, WI	Crime Prevention Program	200
City of Manchester, Manchester, NH	Equipment for the Manchester Police Department	300
City of Monroe Police Department, Monroe, LA	Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, and Explosive (CBRNE) Incident Response Vehicle	125
City of Morgantown Police Department, Morgantown, WV	Equipment and Technology Integration	250
City of Nacogdoches, Nacogdoches, TX	Firearms/Use-of-Force Training Facilities Initiative	100
City of New Haven, New Haven, CT	Northeast Regional Law Enforcement Training	300
City of Newton, Newton, MA	Communications Tower	300
City of Pascagoula, Pascagoula, MS	City of Pascagoula Police Department Document Imaging System	100
City of Peoria, Peoria, IL	Drug Intervention Program	100
City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA	Philadelphia Youth Violence Reduction Partnership	1,000
City of Providence, Providence, RI	Predictive Policing Initiative	500
City of Racine, Racine, WI	Racine Gang Crime Diversion Program	150
City of Stockton, Stockton, CA	Operation Peacekeeper Gang Outreach Program	100
City of Taylorsville, Taylorsville, UT	Police Technology	250
City of Torrance, Torrance, CA	South Bay Regional Forensic DNA Lab	200
City of Tucson, Tucson, AZ	Drug Trafficking Suppression Initiative	450
City of Vicksburg, Vicksburg, MS	Vicksburg Police Technology Upgrades	100
City of Warwick, Warwick, RI	Warwick Police Vehicles	300
City of Waukegan, Waukegan, IL	Waukegan Police Department	450
City of West Covina, West Covina, CA	Police Emergency Response System	200
City of Yonkers, Yonkers, NY	WAVE drug sweep	525
City University of New York-York College, Jamaica, NY	Science, Engineering, Mathematics, and Aerospace Academy	350
Clackamas County, Oregon City, OR	Clackamas County Interagency Poly-Drug Enforcement and Treatment Initiative	400
Clafin University, Orangeburg, SC	Clafin Certified Forensics Laboratory	1,720
Claiborne County Sheriff, Port Gibson, MS	Law Enforcement Programs	200

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Clay County Sheriff's Office, Green Cove Springs, FL	Law Enforcement Improvement Initiatives	300
Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands - Personnel, Systems Upgrade & Training The Judiciary, Saipan, MP		400
Communities in Schools of the San Fernando Valley and Greater Los Angeles, North Hills, CA	Fighting Gang Violence through Female Empowerment	400
Communities In Schools, Lewisburg, WV	At-Risk Youth Dropout Prevention Program	3,000
Community Legal Services of Mid-Florida, Orlando, FL	Free Legal Services for Victims of Crime and Abuse	200
Connecticut Commission on Child Protection, Hartford, CT	KidsVoice At-Risk Children Services	350
Connecticut State University System, Hartford, CT	Family Justice Center	300
County of Armstrong, Kittanning, PA	Public Safety Radio Network Upgrade	450
County of Los Angeles Sheriffs Department, Monterey Park, CA	Rape Kit Backlog Reduction Program	725
County of Mercer, Trenton, NJ	County-Wide Public Safety Radio Communications Project	300
County of Monterey, Salinas, CA	Street and Anti-Gang Project	500
County of Multnomah District Attorney, Portland, OR	Financial and Elder Abuse Prosecution Project	200
County of San Diego, San Diego, CA	Criminal Justice Information Technology Infrastructure Compatibility Project	300
County of Santa Clara, Office of the Sheriff, San Jose, CA	Law Enforcement Training Initiative	500
County of Suffolk District Attorney, Hauppauge, NY	Prosecute Gangs Transporting and Selling Illegal Firearms	200
County of Ventura Sheriffs Department, Ventura, CA	Sexual Assault Felony Enforcement (SAFE) Team Task Force	500
County of Washington, Hillsboro, OR	Reentry Mentors	200
Criminal Justice Institute, Little Rock, AR	Arkansas Illicit Drug Initiative	800
Criminal Justice Institute, Little Rock, AR	Rural Executive Management Institute	900
Crystal Judson Family Justice Center, Tacoma, WA	Domestic Violence Response Project	750
Cuyahoga County Board of County Commissioners, Cleveland, OH	Prisoner Re-entry Program	300
Daviess County Fiscal Court, Owensboro, KY	Daviess County Detention Center Security Upgrades	200
Dawson County Sheriff's Office, Glendive, MT	Law Enforcement Equipment Upgrades	150
Disability Rights Vermont, Inc., Montpelier, VT	Vermont Communications Support Project	100
Diverse and Resilient, Inc., Milwaukee, WI	Intimate Partner Violence Response Program	200
Domestic Abuse Project of Delaware County, Inc., Media, PA	Emergency Shelter Program for Domestic Violence Victims	75
Downriver Community Conference, Southgate, MI	Downriver Mutual Aid System Preparedness V	750

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
DuPage County, Wheaton, IL	DuPage County Department of Probation & Court Services	150
EAC, Inc., Brooklyn, NY	Treatment Alternatives for Safer Communities	300
East Bay Community Law Center, Berkeley, CA	Clean Slate Clinic for Community Re-entry & Reintegration	250
East Brunswick Township, East Brunswick, NJ	Communications Upgrade	300
East Central University, Ada, OK	Campus Safety and Security Enhancement Program	300
East Stroudsburg University, East Stroudsburg, PA	Cyber Crime and Forensics Institute	300
Eau Claire County, Eau Claire, WI	Achieving Employment for Eau Claire County Treatment Court Participants	75
Employment Opportunity & Training Center of Northeastern Pennsylvania, Scranton, PA	Lackawanna County Women's Transition Program	200
England Police Department, England, AR	Crime Prevention Activities	150
Family Service League, Inc., Huntington, NY	Fresh Start: A Gang Prevention Program	500
Father's Day Rally Committee, Inc., Philadelphia, PA	Project Life Line II	500
Fayette County Commonwealth, Lexington, KY	Domestic Violence Prevention Monitoring System	875
Fighting Back Partnership, Vallejo, CA	Solano County Parolee Re-Entry Program	300
First Step, Plymouth, MI	Wayne County Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner Program	600
Frankford Special Services District, Philadelphia, PA	Frankford Safety Ambassador Program	200
Franklin County Sheriff, Greenfield, MA	TRIAD Senior Citizen Safety Program	145
Fulton County, Atlanta, GA	Highway Narcotics Interdiction Team	200
George County Regional Correctional Facility, Lucedale, MS	Facility Equipment Upgrade	100
Glenville State College, Glenville, WV	Anti-Recidivism Prisoner Education Program	650
Glenville State College, Glenville, WV	Electronic Crimes Education and Training Program	800
Grambling State University, Grambling, LA	Creating Honorable Opportunities that Involve Community, Education, and Service (CHOICES)	200
Hamilton County, Chattanooga, TN	Hamilton County Regional Law Enforcement Center	600
Harbor House of Orlando Florida, Orlando, FL	Harbor House Domestic Violence Assistance Program	350
Harris County Sheriffs Office, Houston, TX	Contract Aviation For Law Enforcement	200
Heartland Family Service, Inc., Council Bluffs, IA	Residential Methamphetamine Treatment in Southwestern Iowa Program	600
Helena Police Department, Helena, MT	Law Enforcement Equipment	250
Helena Regional Airport Authority, Helena, MT	Rocky Mountain Emergency Services Training Facility	300
Hidalgo County Sheriff, Edinburg, TX	Radio Communication Project	100
Holmes County Commissioners, Millersburg, OH	Communications Towers for Public Safety	450

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Holmes County Sheriff Department, Lexington, MS	Law Enforcement Programs	200
Homestretch, Falls Church, VA	Homelessness and Domestic Violence Programs	600
Honolulu Police Department, Honolulu, HI	Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC)	5,000
Hope Center, Inc., Houston, TX	Project David	300
Howard County Government, Ellicott City, MD	Howard County Family Justice Center	900
Hurley Medical Center, Flint, MI	Sexual Assault Assistance -- Forensic Nurse Examiner Program	625
Idaho Department of Correction, Boise, ID	NCOMS Medical and Mental Health Sharing Software Development	200
Idaho State Police, Meridian, ID	Western Regional Microanalysis/Trace Analysis Forensic Laboratory	200
Independent Development Enterprise Alliance, Portland, OR	Project Clean Slate	450
Institute for the Study and Practice of Nonviolence, Providence, RI	Nonviolence Streetworkers	300
Iowa Central Community College, Fort Dodge, IA	Iowa Central Law Enforcement Training Center	200
Iowa Legal Aid, Des Moines, IA	Health and Law Project	400
Iowa State University, Ames, IA	ISEAGE: Internet-Scale Event and Attack Generation Environment	200
Jackson State University, Jackson, MS	National Center for Biodefense Communications (NCBC)	1,000
Jacksonville State University, Jacksonville, AL	Cybersecurity Training Project	200
Jefferson County Sheriff, Fayette, MS	Law Enforcement Programs	200
Jefferson County Sheriff's Office, Oskaloosa, KS	Northeast Kansas Regional AFIS	600
Jefferson Parish Sheriffs Office, Harvey, LA	Cops and Clergy	350
Johnson County Board of Commissioners, Wrightsville, GA	Law Enforcement Equipment	200
Johnson County Sheriff, Cleburne, TX	Stop the Offender Program	200
Juneau Police Department, Juneau, AK	Law Enforcement Training	100
Kane County Sheriff's Office, St. Charles, IL	Special Victims Unit	200
Kansas City Police Department, Kansas City, MO	Gun Shot Location Technology System	300
Kansas Regional Community Policing Institute at Wichita State University, Wichita, KS	Research and Development, and Training	290
Kauai and Hawaii Counties Police Departments, Lihue and Hilo, HI	Law Enforcement Personnel and Technology	3,200
King County Sheriff's Office, Seattle, WA	King County Sheriff's Office Gang Intervention Initiative	400
Laraway Youth and Family Services, Inc., Johnson, VT	Youth Services Program	200
Lawrence County Sheriff's Office, Monticello, MS	Technology Upgrade	100
Lincoln/Lancaster County Child Advocacy Center, Inc., Lincoln, NE	Program to Help to Child Victims of Abuse	250
Local Initiatives Support Corporation, New York, NY	Community Safety Initiative	200
Los Angeles Police Department, Los Angeles, CA	Rape Kit Backlog Elimination Program	725

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule, SD	Court Services Project	200
Lower Makefield Township Police Department, Yardley, PA	Bucks County Security Threat Group	500
Luzerne County Commissioners, Wilkes-Barre, PA	Reentry Program	500
Macomb County Prosecutor's Office, Mt. Clemens, MI	Law Enforcement and Court Paperless Technology Program	400
Major Cities Chiefs of Police Association, Salt Lake City, UT	National Crime Map Expansion	300
Makah Tribe, Neah Bay, WA	Neah Bay Public Safety Corrections Facility	110
Marion County, Salem, OR	Student Opportunities Achieve Results	400
Marshall County Family Resource Network, Moundsville, WV	Sanford Center At-Risk Youth Program	100
Marshall University, Huntington, WV	Computer Forensics Initiative	785
Marshall University, Huntington, WV	Forensics Science Center DNA Laboratory	4,325
Maryland Crime Victims Resource Center, Upper Marlboro, MD	Legal Services for Victims of Crime	500
Maryland Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation, Baltimore, MD	Mortgage Fraud Enforcement Teams	500
Maryland Department of State Police, Annapolis, MD	Gang Elimination Task Force	1,000
Massachusetts Office for Victim Assistance, Boston, MA	Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner Program	700
Maui Economic Opportunity, Wailuku, HI	Being Empowered and Safe Together Reintegration Program	750
McLean County, Bloomington, IL	McLean County Court Services	250
Melrose Alliance Against Violence, Melrose, MA	Breaking the Cycle of Domestic Violence Through Community Collaboration	85
Methodist University, Fayetteville, NC	Cyber Security Education and Training Program	250
Metropolitan Crime Commission, New Orleans, LA	Support, Personnel and Equipment	500
Metropolitan Organization to Counter Sexual Assault, Kansas City, MO	Meeting the Expanding Demand for Sexual Violence Services in the Greater Kansas City Area: MOCSA's Responsibility and Response	400
Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, TN	Forensic Investigations	660
Middlesex Community College, Lowell, MA	Regional Crime Analysis Resource Center	800
Middlesex Sheriff's Office, Medford, MA	Facility Security Improvement Project	90
Milwaukee Safe & Sound, Milwaukee, WI	Project Enhancements	500
Mississippi Center for Police & Sheriffs, Raymond, MS	Domestic Violence Prevention & Prosecution	235
Mississippi State University, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Starkville, MS	Law Enforcement Intelligence Gathering and Analysis	1,000
Mississippi State University, Starkville, MS	Cyber Crime Initiative and National Consortium for Digital Forensics Training	1,565

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Missoula YWCA, Missoula, MT	Battered Women and Children's Shelter	750
Monroe County Department of Public Safety, Rochester, NY	Sex Offender GPS Surveillance Program	300
Monroe County Prosecutor, Monroe, MI	Paperless Records Management System and Automated Workflow	250
Montana State University - Billings, Billings, MT	Academic and Workforce Development Program at the Montana Women's Prison	350
Montana Supreme Court, Helena, MT	Montana Drug Courts	300
Montgomery County Department of Correction and Rehabilitation, Rockville, MD	Offender Employment Project	200
Montrose County, Montrose, CO	Justice Center Equipment Upgrades	200
Mothers In Charge, Philadelphia, PA	Peer Care Support 16	95
Municipality of Anchorage, Anchorage, AK	Domestic Violence Prevention Project	350
Municipality of Anchorage, Anchorage, AK	Sexual Assault Forensic Nursing Services	400
Nashville Drug Court, Nashville, TN	Drug Court Recidivism Prevention and Rehabilitation Programs	500
Nassau County Police Department, Mineola, NY	Operation Street Sweeper Program	500
National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges, Reno, NV	Child Abuse Training for Judicial Personnel and Practitioners	350
National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges, Reno, NV	Judicial Training, Research, and Technical Assistance Project	150
National Crime Victim Law Institute, Portland, OR	Crime Victims' Rights Enforcement Project in Oregon	400
National Crime Victim Law Institute, Portland, OR	Legal Advocacy for Crime Victims (Nationwide)	2,500
National District Attorneys Association (NDAA), Alexandria, VA	State and Local Prosecutor Training Program	500
National Judicial College, Reno, NV	Judicial Education and Scholarships for Judges	500
Native American Law Enforcement Summit, Minneapolis, MN	Indian Crime Awareness Research and Evaluation	375
New Castle County Police Department, New Castle, DE	New Castle County Police Department Technology Improvements	150
New Directions for Youth, Inc., North Hollywood, CA	Community Technology Center	100
New Jersey Association of School Resource Officers, Fort Lee, NJ	SPEAK UP Hotline Outreach and Public Education	250
New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark, NJ	User-Authenticating Personalized Weapon	350
New Mexico Administrative Office of the Courts, Santa Fe, NM	Drug Court Program	250
New Mexico Coalition for Sexual Assault Programs, Albuquerque, NM	Evaluation and Plan for the Legal Needs of Sexual Assault Victims	150
New Mexico Corrections Department, Santa Fe, NM	Prisoner Reentry Initiative	250
New Mexico Department of Public Safety, Santa Fe, NM	Auto Theft Task Force	650

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants
Congressionally-designated Items
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
New Mexico Sheriff and Police Athletic League, Albuquerque, NM	Anti-Gang and Mentorship Program	200
New Orleans Crime Coalition, New Orleans, LA	Violent Crime Reduction Initiative	1,000
New York City Outward Bound, Bronx, NY	Building Strong Minds & Strong Bodies for At-Risk Youth	100
Newberry College, Newberry, SC	Forensics Chemistry Program Technology and Equipment	500
Newburgh City Police, Newburgh, NY	Patrol Operations Upgrade	75
North Carolina Coalition to Prevent Domestic Violence, Durham, NC	Improving Responses to Domestic Violence	320
North Carolina Sheriffs' Association, Inc., Raleigh, NC	Sheriffs Training and Technical Assistance	500
North Lawndale Employment Network, Chicago, IL	U-Turn Permitted	250
Northern Virginia Gang Task Force, Leesburg, VA	Pursuit and prevention of Gang-Related Crimes	1,000
Northwest Family Services, Inc., Alva, OK	Outreach Prevention and Community	100
Nye County, Pahrump, NV	Jail Security Enhancements	600
Oakhurst Outreach, White Sulphur Springs, WV	Transitional Living	350
Office of the Attorney General of Virginia, Richmond, VA	Virginia Triad Crime Prevention for Senior Citizens	100
Office of the Attorney General, Topeka, KS	DNA Database Advancements	300
Office of the Queens County District Attorney, Kew Gardens, NY	Combating Financial Exploitation of the Elderly	250
Oglala Sioux Tribe, Pine Ridge, SD	Department of Public Safety	1,000
Ohio Attorney General, Columbus, OH	BCI&I Computer Crimes Unit Technology Expansion to Combat Serious Crimes	350
Ohio Attorney General, Columbus, OH	Fugitive Safe Surrender	500
Ohio-West Virginia YMCA, Parsons, WV	Institute for IDEAS	200
Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigation, Oklahoma City, OK	Oklahoma Participation in the Criminal Information Sharing Alliance Network	300
Oklahoma State University - Center for Health Sciences, Tulsa, OK	Forensic Science Equipment	500
Oregon Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Division, Salem, OR	Child Pornography Investigation and Prosecution Team	1,000
Partnership of African American Churches, Institute, WV	Empowering Communities	300
Partnership of Community Resources, Minden, NV	Western Nevada Coalition for Substance Abuse Prevention	500
Passage Home, Inc., Raleigh, NC	Greening Our Community with Jobs and Affordable Housing	550
Peach County Family Connection, IAC., Inc, Fort Valley, GA	Community Empowerment and Services Program	75
Pegasus Research Foundation, Little Rock, AR	Nationwide Pegasus Program	200
Pemberton Township, Pemberton, NJ	Youth Against Gang Program	200
Pennsylvania Coalition Against Rape, Enola, PA	HERO Project Media Campaign	250
Pennyrile Narcotics Task Force, Hopkinsville, KY	Law Enforcement Equipment and Training	250

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants
Congressionally-designated Items
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Philadelphia District Attorneys Office, Philadelphia, PA	District Attorneys Response Team	200
Phoenix Academy of Dallas, Dallas, TX	Adolescent Drug Prevention and Treatment For Area Youth	250
Phoenix House, Austin, TX	Adolescent Substance Abuse Treatment and Recovery Services for Williamson County Youth	750
Phoenix House, Santa Fe Springs, CA	Drug Treatment for Women	300
Phoenix House, Springfield, MA	Clinical Management System Enhancement	500
Phoenix House, Springfield, MA	Drug Treatment Enhancement Initiative for Western Massachusetts	700
Polk County, Des Moines, IA	Jail Diversion Program	150
Potter County, Roulette, PA	Community Re-entry Project	100
Ramsey County Attorney, St. Paul, MN	Runaway Intervention Project	200
Ramsey County Community Corrections, St. Paul, MN	Young Adult Offender Transitions Project	200
Rape, Abuse, & Incest National Network, Washington, DC	Hotline Services Expansion	450
Resurrection Health Care, Chicago, IL	Psychiatric Services Expansion	200
Rhode Island Commission on Prejudice and Bias, Providence, RI	Hate Crimes/Civil Rights Training and Education: Law Enforcement and Our Schools	50
Rhode Island Family Court, Providence, RI	Family Treatment Drug Court	350
Richmond County District Attorney, Staten Island, NY	Criminal Enforcement Support Team	90
RiverStone Health, Billings, MT	Community Crisis Center Operations	500
Rockland Family Shelter, Inc., New City, NY	Domestic Violence Law Project	125
Rocky Mountain Supercomputing Centers, Inc., Butte, MT	Simulation and Visualization Technology Upgrades	1,500
Roger Williams University, Bristol, RI	Center for Forensics, Applied Networking and Security	680
Roosevelt PAL, Roosevelt, NY	Before and After School Program	175
Rose Brooks Center, Kansas City, MO	Outreach Therapy Program	400
Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Rosebud, SD	Justice System	1,000
Roseland CeaseFire Project Inc., Chicago, IL	Violence Prevention Programs	500
S.H.A.R.E., Inc., Fort Morgan, CO	Morgan County Domestic Violence Program	300
Sacramento County Sherrif's Department, Sacramento, CA	School Resource Officer Program	200
Safe Streets Campaign, Tacoma, WA	Pierce County Regional Gang Prevention Initiative	1,000
Sam Houston State University, Huntsville, TX	Regional Crime Lab	2,750
San Diego Second Chance Program, San Diego, CA	Prisoner Reentry Employment Program	900
San Diego Superior Court, San Diego, CA	San Diego Superior Court Case Management System	1,000
San Francisco District Attorneys Office, San Francisco, CA	San Francisco Bay Area Environmental Justice Law Enforcement Project	200
Sandoval County, Bernalillo, NM	Restorative Justice Program	150

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Santa Clara University, Santa Clara, CA	Training Law Enforcement and Service Providers to Work Effectively with Victims of Trauma	350
Schenectady County District Attorney, Schenectady, NY	Upstate NY Law Enforcement/Forensic Science Integration Project	400
Schuylkill Women in Crisis, Pottsville, PA	Maintaining Effectiveness of Collaborative Community Response to Domestic Violence	100
Sheriff's Office of Cook County, Chicago, IL	Reentry Program at Cook County Jail	350
Simon Wiesenthal Center, New York, NY	Tools for Tolerance	1,000
Sojourner Family Peace Center, Milwaukee, WI	Milwaukee Family Justice Center	150
Sonoma County Water Agency, Santa Rosa, CA	Youth Ecology Corps	250
South Brunswick Police Department, Monmouth Junction, NJ	911 Trunkline Replacement	200
South Royalton Legal Clinic, S. Royalton, VT	Legal Assistance Program for the Underserved	100
Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL	Veterans Legal Assistance Program	250
Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX	Effective Strategies for Protecting Women from Sexual Coercion and Assault	1,000
Spokane County Sheriff's Office, Spokane, WA	Regional First Responders	500
St. Joseph's University, Philadelphia, PA	Institute for Violence Research and Prevention	750
St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department, St. Louis, MO	Security Upgrades for Area Stations	200
St. Thomas University, Miami Gardens, FL	Human Trafficking Initiative	250
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, Fort Yates, ND	Juvenile Prevention Services	500
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, Fort Yates, ND	Tribal Justice Center	300
State Appellate Defender Office, Detroit, MI	Michigan Criminal Justice Improvement Project	800
State of Alaska, Juneau, AK	Domestic Violence Sexual Assault Prevention	500
State of Alaska, Juneau, AK	Rural Drug and Alcohol Interdiction and Prosecution	500
State of Iowa, Department of Public Health, Des Moines, IA	Jail-Based Substance Abuse Treatment	1,200
State of Iowa, Office of Drug Control Policy, Des Moines, IA	Drug Endangered Children	300
State of Iowa, Office of Drug Control Policy, Des Moines, IA	Meth & Other Drug Enforcement	1,500
State of Maine, Augusta, ME	Department of Public Safety Crime Lab Project	200
Stearns County, St. Cloud, MN	Felony Domestic Violence Court	300
Stevenson University, Owings Mills, MD	Cyber Forensics Curriculum	775
Stop It Now!, Northampton, MA	Helpline Extension	400
Suffolk County District Attorney, Hauppauge, NY	Project Persist	750
Suffolk County Police Department, Hauppauge, NY	Gun, Gang and Heroin Suppression Initiative	200
Sul Ross State University; Texas State University System, Alpine, TX	Center for Digital Forensics Training and Research	250
Tahirih Justice Center, Falls Church, VA	Immigrant Women and Girls Legal Services	900
TASC, Inc., Chicago, IL	Indigenous Community Connections and Aftercare	200

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Texas State University - San Marcos, San Marcos, TX	Advanced Law Enforcement Rapid Response Training	1,500
Toledo Police Department, Toledo, OH	Officer Training	400
Township of Nutley, Nutley, NJ	Police and Emergency Services Interoperability Equipment Upgrade	275
Troy University, Troy, AL	Computer Forensics Institute and Lab	600
Tulsa Public Schools, Tulsa, OK	Campus Police Force	100
Turning Point, Inc., Minneapolis, MN	Phoenix Program - Assisting Battered Women	400
Turning the Hearts Center, Chula Vista, CA	Youth and Family Empowerment Program	300
UNC Greensboro, Greensboro, NC	Project PREVENT: Preventing Violence against Women and Children by Engaging Information Technology	300
Unified Government of Kansas City, Kansas City, KS	Separation of Youth from Adults in the Justice System	200
United Tribes Technical College, Bismarck, ND	Tribal Law Enforcement Training	1,000
University of Arkansas at Little Rock, Little Rock, AR	Higher Education for Prisoners Project	300
University of Arkansas at Little Rock, Little Rock, AR	Juvenile Justice Center	500
University of Detroit/Mercy, Detroit, MI	Cyber Crime Training	400
University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL	Ceasefire Program	500
University of Maryland College Park, College Park, MD	Center for the Study of Crime and Conflict	1,000
University of Maryland Public Safety Training and Technical Assistance Program, Greenbelt, MD	Joint County Gang Task Force	2,500
University of Memphis, Memphis, TN	Memphis-Shelby County Operation Safe Community	500
University of Mississippi, Oxford, MS	National Center for Justice and the Rule of Law	2,000
University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND	Native Americans Into Law	300
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS	Indoor Cannabis Eradication Technical Support	250
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS	Mississippi Automated System Project	1,450
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS	Mississippi Rural Law Enforcement Training	300
University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN	Law Enforcement Innovation Center	500
Urban League of Long Island, Hempstead, NY	Stop the Violence Campaign	250
Utica Police Department, Utica, NY	Law Enforcement Applications for Policing in Cyber Space	500
Verdugo Workforce Investment Board, Glendale, CA	Smart Grid Technology Job Training for At-Risk Youth	100
Vermont Department of Fish and Wildlife, Waterbury, VT	Equipment Support for Rural Law Enforcement Officers	100
Vermont Department of Public Safety, Waterbury, VT	Vermont Drug Task Force	1,000

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Vermont Department of States Attorneys and Sheriffs, St. Albans, VT	Model Special Investigative Unit	850
Vermont Legal Aid, Inc., Burlington, VT	Vermont Employment Law Project	100
Veterans Outreach Center, Inc., Rochester, NY	Veterans Alternative to Incarceration Program	200
Victims for Justice, Anchorage, AK	Victims Services Program	500
Village of Franklin Park, Franklin Park, IL	Police Department Facilities Enhancements	400
Violence Prevention Coalition of SW Colorado, Durango, CO	Creating Healthy Communities and Violence Prevention Campaign in Rural Southwest Colorado	320
Virginia Community Action Re-Entry System, Inc., Richmond, VA	PAPIS: Virginia for Reentry	80
Visiting Nurse Association, Omaha, NE	Comprehensive Home Visitation Services to Young, At-Risk Mothers	565
Voices for Children Foundation, Inc., Parkersburg, WV	CASA Program	100
Wakulla County Board of County Commissioners, Crawfordville, FL	Smith Creek Communication Unification	165
Warren County Fiscal Court, Bowling Green, KY	Emergency Management Agency Improvements	100
Washington Association of Sheriffs & Police Chiefs, Lacey, WA	Preventing Armed Confrontations between Police Officers and the Public	250
Washington County Sheriff's Department, Greenville, MS	Law Enforcement Programs	200
Washington DC Police Foundation, Washington, DC	Metropolitan Police Department Tactical Village	1,000
Wayne Gomes Youth Baseball Diversity Foundation, Hampton, VA	Baseball Initiative for At-Risk Youth	75
Web Wise Kids, Santa Ana, CA	Broward County Youth Internet Safety & Digital Citizenship Project	400
West Valley City, West Valley, UT	Salt Lake Area Chiefs Alliance Forensic/DNA Lab and Evidence Processing Facility	750
West Virginia State Police, South Charleston, WV	Undercover Officer Safety Transmitters & Law Enforcement Technology	1,000
West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	Forensic Science and Identification Program	4,000
West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	Identification Technology Research and Transition Center	500
West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	Technology Design Initiative	1,000
Westfield State College, Westfield, MA	Law Enforcement Training Program in Southbridge, MA	600
Westside Health Authority, Chicago, IL	Prisoner Reentry Center	300
White Earth Reservation Tribal Council, White Earth, MN	Police Radio Improvement	800
White Pine County, Ely, NV	Public Safety Project	400
Wilmington Department of Police, Wilmington, DE	Police Weapons Range Improvement	1,425
Winona State University, Winona, MN	National Child Protection Training Center	500
Winona State University, Winona, MN	Training for Child Protection Personnel	400

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants
Congressionally-designated Items
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Winston County Sheriff's Office, Louisville, MS	Technology Upgrade and Personnel	100
Wisconsin Alliance for Children and Families, Milwaukee, WI	Road to Opportunity Project	500
Wisconsin Community Services, Milwaukee, WI	Wisconsin Driver	100
Women's Center, Vienna, VA	Domestic Violence Program	200
World Vision, Inc-Appalachia, Philippi, WV	Strengthening Fatherhood in West Virginia	100
Yakima County, Yakima, WA	Violent Crimes Task Force	750
YWCA Dayton, Dayton, OH	Domestic Violence Shelter	300
YWCA Kankakee, Kankakee, IL	Comprehensive At-Risk Youth Violence Prevention Initiative	400
YWCA Lake County, Waukegan, IL	Project SUCCEED At-Risk Youth Initiative	200
YWCA of Greater Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH	Domestic Violence Protection Program	200
YWCA of Greater Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA	Sexual Assault Crisis Services	350
YWCA of Hawaii Island, Hilo, HI	YWCA of Hawaii Island and the YWCA of Kauais Sexual Assault Response Services	400
YWCA Pueblo, Pueblo, CO	Domestic Violence Prevention for Youth Pueblo	300
YWCA Quincy, Quincy, IL	Domestic Violence Assistance Initiative	400
YWCA Tucson, Tucson, AZ	Comprehensive Services for Victims of Domestic Abuse and their Children	300
ZERO TO THREE National Center for Infants, Toddlers, and Families, Cherokee, NC	Cherokee Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	300
ZERO TO THREE, Des Moines, IA	Des Moines Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	300
ZERO TO THREE, New Orleans, LA	Orleans Parish Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	300
ZERO TO THREE, White Earth, MN	White Earth Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	200
ZERO TO THREE: National Center for Infants, Toddlers, and Families, Washington, DC	Forrest County Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	200

Byrne competitive grants.—As part of OJP's portion of the Department's fiscal year 2011 spending plan, OJP is directed to detail the criteria and methodology that will be used to award these grants. OJP is encouraged to continue supporting efforts to solve civil rights cold cases.

Smart probation.—The Smart Probation initiative will help States and units of local government reduce crime and recidivism through court-based and other probation approaches that provide swift, predictable, and graduated sanctions for noncompliance with the conditions of probation.

Flexible tribal assistance.—OJP is expected to consult closely with tribal stakeholders in determining how tribal assistance funds will be awarded for detention facilities, courts, alcohol and substance abuse programs, civil and criminal legal assistance, and other priorities. As part of the Department's spending plan for fiscal year 2011, OJP shall provide a plan for the use of these funds that has been informed by such consultation.

Victims of trafficking.—The bill provides \$15,000,000 for task force activities and services for U.S. citizens, permanent residents, and foreign nationals who are victims of trafficking, including no less than \$6,700,000 for victim services for foreign national victims of trafficking. OJP shall consult with stakeholder groups in determining the overall allocation of Victims of Trafficking funding, and shall provide a plan for the use of these funds as part of the Department's fiscal year 2011 spending plan. The spending plan should be guided by the best information available on the regions of the United States with the highest incidence of trafficking. In addition, the Department of Justice is directed to undertake outreach efforts in the form of public notices, such as newspaper advertisements, in ethnic communities in the United States, the home countries of which represent the top ten countries with regard to the prevalence of human trafficking activities. These efforts shall be designed to increase awareness of what constitutes human trafficking crimes and provide information on how assistance can be obtained, with the objective being the discovery and rescue of victims and the identification and prosecution of offenders.

Capital litigation/wrongful conviction review.—Of the amount provided, \$2,500,000 is for capital litigation grants and \$10,000,000 is for competitive grants to public and non-profit entities that work to exonerate individuals who have been wrongfully convicted.

Economic, high-tech and cybercrime prevention.—Within the funds provided, no less than \$6,000,000 is for competitive grants to State and local law enforcement entities and related organizations to address criminal intellectual property enforcement and prosecution, which may include grants for related training and technical assistance provided to State and local law enforcement entities.

Second Chance Act.—OJP shall consult with stakeholder groups in determining the allocation of Second Chance Act funding among authorized programs and shall provide a plan for the use of these funds as part of the Department's fiscal year 2011 spending plan.

Byrne criminal justice innovation program.—OJP is encouraged to give consideration to current Weed and Seed grantees as noted in Senate Report 111-229.

Regional information sharing activities.—The bill provides \$40,000,000 to support activities that enable the sharing of nationwide criminal intelligence and other resources with State, local, and other law enforcement agencies and organizations. Such activities should address critical and chronic criminal threats, including gangs, terrorism, narcotics, weapons, and officer safety or "event deconfliction," and should reflect regional as well as national threat priorities. All activities shall be consistent with national information sharing standards and requirements as determined by BJA.

JUVENILE JUSTICE PROGRAMS

The bill provides \$506,040,000, as follows, for Juvenile Justice Programs administered by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP):

Program	Amount
Part B—State Formula	\$72,000,000
Part E—Challenge Grants and Projects	73,240,000
Youth Mentoring Grants ...	100,000,000
Title V—Incentive Grants	80,000,000
Tribal Youth	(40,000,000)
Gang and Youth Violence Prevention and Education	(15,000,000)

Program	Amount
Alcohol Prevention	(25,000,000)
Community-Based Violence Prevention Initiative	20,000,000
CASA—Special Advocates ..	15,000,000
Training for Judicial Personnel	2,500,000
Missing and Exploited Children	70,000,000
Internet Crimes Against Children Task Forces ..	(30,000,000)
Investigation and Prosecution of Child Abuse	22,500,000
Juvenile Accountability Block Grants	45,000,000
National Juvenile Delinquency Court Improvement Program	5,000,000
Disproportionate Minority Contact and Evaluation Program	800,000
Total	506,040,000

Youth mentoring.—Among other activities, youth mentoring funds should support programs, particularly in minority and underserved communities, that also help foster the development of employment skills, entrepreneurship, financial literacy, and educational achievement, including preparation for post-secondary education success. In addition, in light of the alarming high school dropout, arrest, and unemployment rates among youth with disabilities, OJJDP is encouraged to fund expansions of mentoring services for youth with disabilities. As part of its portion of the Department's spending plan, OJJDP is directed to detail the criteria and methodology that will be used to award youth mentoring grants.

Missing and exploited children.—Within the total, \$30,000,000 is for the Internet Crimes Against Children Task Force program. As part of the Department's spending plan for fiscal year 2011, OJP is directed to provide a plan for the use of Missing and Exploited Children program funds.

Part E discretionary grants.—The following congressionally-designated activities related to juvenile justice and at-risk youth are incorporated into the bill by reference:

OJP - Juvenile Justice
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
180 Turning Lives Around, Inc, Hazlet, NJ	Child and Teen Violence Reduction and Treatment Expansion	500
92nd Street Y, New York, NY	Education Outreach Initiative for At-Risk Youth	400
Academy for Urban School Leadership, Chicago, IL	Youth Violence Prevention Program	450
ACCESS - Arab Community Center for Economic and Social Services, Dearborn, MI	ACCESS Youth Center	200
Alcohol and Substance Abuse Prevention Council of Saratoga County, Inc., Saratoga Springs, NY	Saratoga County Youth Court	40
Ali Forney Center, New York, NY	24/7 Drop-in Center for Homeless LGBT Youth	400
American Gold Shield, Cranbury, NJ	High School Drug and Violence Prevention Project	200
American School for the Deaf, West Hartford, CT	Behavioral Support Services for At-Risk, Deaf, and Hard of Hearing Students	500
Amigos del Museo del Barrio, New York, NY	El Museo in the Classroom	100
An Achievable Dream, Inc., Newport News, VA	An Achievable Dream Academy	400
ARISE Foundation, North Palm Beach, FL	Life-Management Skills Intervention/Re-entry Program for High Risk Youth	300
Artists Collective, Hartford, CT	Delinquency Prevention Program	500
AS220, Providence, RI	AS220 Labs	200
Asbury Speight Community Development Corporation, Fort Gaines, GA	At-Risk Youth Program	75
Baltimore Chesapeake Bay Outward Bound Center, Baltimore, MD	Outward Bound At-Risk Youth Development Program	1,000
Baltimore City Public School System, Baltimore, MD	Youth Intervention Initiative	850
Bardavon 1869 Opera House, Inc. (UCCC), Poughkeepsie, NY	In-School Residency and Community Outreach Support for At-Risk Youth	100
Beloved Community and Family Services, Chicago, IL	Total Family Project	400
Benton/Iowa County Decategorization Project, Marengo, IA	After-School Program and Functional Family Therapy Initiative	400
Big Brothers Big Sisters New Mexico Consortium, Santa Fe, NM	Youth Mentoring Initiative	200
Big Brothers Big Sisters of Essex, Hudson & Union Counties, Newark, NJ	Comprehensive Mentoring Program	400
Boat People SOS, Inc., Philadelphia, PA	BPSOS Asian Youth Anti-Violence and Leadership Program	100
Bolder Options, Rochester, MN	Bolder Options Rochester Expansion	100
Boys & Girls Club of Burlington, Burlington, VT	Early Promise Program	150
Boys & Girls Club of Harlem, Inc., New York, NY	Youth Leadership & Mentoring Program	250
Boys & Girls Clubs in New Jersey, Morristown, NJ	Gang Prevention Through Targeted Outreach	250
Boys & Girls Clubs of Boston, Boston, MA	Franklin Hill Club	75
Boys & Girls Clubs of Town of Wallkill, Circleville, NY	Taking Back Youth Project at the Boys & Girls Clubs of Town of Wallkill, Pine Bush & Sullivan County	250

OJP - Juvenile Justice
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Boys & Girls Clubs, Honolulu, HI	Outreach to Youth in Isolated and Rural Communities Phase 2: Building a Technology Bridge	1,500
Boys and Girls Club of Leech Lake Area, Cass Lake, MN	Tribal Youth Development At-Risk Program	350
Boys and Girls Club of Monmouth County (BFCM), Asbury Park, NJ	Expansion of Boys & Girls Clubs	300
Boys and Girls Club of Pharr, Pharr, TX	At-Risk Youth and Education Initiative	250
Boys Town Nebraska, Omaha, NE	Boys Town Day School	250
Brighton Park Neighborhood Council, Chicago, IL	Counseling and Violence Prevention Services	300
Cal Ripken, Sr. Foundation, Baltimore, MD	Cal Ripken, Sr. Foundation At-Risk Youth Mentoring Programs	1,000
California State University Sacramento, Sacramento, CA	Young Guardian Scholars Pilot Program	200
Casa Central, Chicago, IL	After-School Enrichment Program	350
Chabad of San Jose, Los Gatos, CA	Prevention, Resource, Information and Drug Education Project	200
Chabad of the Valley, Tarzana, CA	Prevention, Resource, Information and Drug Education Project	100
Chavez County, Roswell, NM	Juvenile Justice Comprehensive Strategy Board	200
Chicago Jesuit Academy, Chicago, IL	After-School Enrichment Program	100
Child Advocacy Center of North Central Wisconsin, Wausau, WI	Children's Service Society	500
Children's Cabinet, Reno, NV	Adolescent Drug and Alcohol Prevention and Treatment	175
Children's Home + Aid, Granite City, IL	Youth Services Program	100
Children's Media Project, Poughkeepsie, NY	Talking Walls	35
Christian Activity Center, East St. Louis, IL	After-School Enrichment Program	250
City of Alexandria, Alexandria, VA	Youth Building Green	200
City of Bridgeport, Bridgeport, CT	Lighthouse After-School Program	500
City of Brighton, Brighton, CO	Workforce Development for At-Risk Youth	500
City of Charleston, Charleston, SC	Robert Gould Shaw Center	460
City of Detroit Department of Health and Wellness Promotion, Detroit, MI	Successful Alliance For Educating Talented Youth (SAFETY) Program	500
City of East Palo Alto, East Palo Alto, CA	Parolee Reentry Initiative	470
City of Fontana, Fontana, CA	Teen Center	100
City of Huntington Park, Huntington Park, CA	Computers for After-School Program	200
City of Hyattsville, Hyattsville, MD	After School/Summer Program for Youth	100
City of Iola, Iola, KS	Program for At-Risk Youth	110
City of Moultrie, Moultrie, GA	R.D. Smith After School Program (RDSASP)	150
City of Oakland, Oakland, CA	Restorative Justice	300
City of Richmond, Richmond, VA	Richmond Public Schools Project Recovery	200
City of Rochester, Rochester, NY	After-School Jobs Program for At-Risk Youth	1,000
City of San Fernando Police Department, San Fernando, CA	Youth Leadership Academy	300
City of Seattle, Seattle, WA	Seattle Youth Violence Prevention Initiative	100

OJP - Juvenile Justice
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
City of South Salt Lake, Salt Lake City, UT	Pete Suazo Center At-Risk Youth Program	200
City of Waterbury, Waterbury, CT	Waterbury Truancy Clinic	800
City Year Greater Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA	Mentoring and Youth Development Services	250
Cleveland Botanical Garden, Cleveland, OH	Green Corps Urban Youth Program	500
Communities In Schools of Brunswick County, Inc., Bolivia, NC	Action for Success - Dropout Prevention Project	300
Community Conferencing Center, Baltimore, MD	Community Conferencing Court Diversion for Juvenile Offenders	200
County of Arlington, Arlington, VA	Court Services Juvenile Outreach Program	500
County of Fairfax, Fairfax, VA	Community-Based Services for At-Risk Youth	200
County of San Luis Obispo, San Luis Obispo, CA	Anti-Gang Employment Coordinator	80
Crisp County Community Council, Inc., Cordele, GA	Teen Maze	75
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe, Fort Thompson, SD	Three Districts Boys & Girls Club	150
Dakota County Administration Center, Hastings, MN	Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiatives	500
Dance Theatre of Harlem, New York, NY	Harlem smARTS	150
Dauphin County Human Service Director, Harrisburg, PA	Family Group Conferencing Community Expansion Project	200
Dave Thomas Foundation for Adoption, Columbus, OH	Every Child Counts	500
Devereux Massachusetts, Rutland, MA	At-Risk Youth Program	350
Directing through Recreation, Education, Adventure, and Mentoring (DREAM) Program, Winooski, VT	Expansion of a mentoring program	200
Dover Police Department, Dover, NH	At-Risk Teen Center	240
Durham County, Durham, NC	Programs for At-Risk Youth	400
Eastern Connecticut State University, Willimantic, CT	Dual Enrollment Initiative for At-Risk Youth	200
Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti, MI	B. Side Boot Camp: Entrepreneurship Education Program for At-Risk Youth	300
Epworth Village, York, NE	Behavioral Services for At-Risk Youth in Rural Communities	700
Every Person Influences Children, Buffalo, NY	Ready, Set, Parent: Newborn Health and Parenting Education	200
Fairfax Childhelp Center, Fairfax, VA	Child Advocacy Center	300
Florida Department of Juvenile Justice, Tallahassee, FL	Juvenile Justice Institute at Florida A & M University	650
Focus: HOPE, Detroit, MI	Youth Safety Initiative	200
Franciscan Hospital for Children, Brighton, MA	Juvenile Delinquency and Early Intervention Program	300
Freedom From Unnecessary Negatives, Memphis, TN	Stop the Killing	400
Friends of the Children Portland, Portland, OR	Child Investment Initiative	300
Friends with Jesus, Inc, Bainbridge, GA	Friendship House	75
Gadsden County School Board, Quincy, FL	Criminal Justice Career Academy	100

OJP - Juvenile Justice
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Gang Alternatives Program, Lynwood, CA	Gang Alternatives Program for Southeast Los Angeles County	150
Generations, Inc., Lindenwold, NJ	Progress Around the Schools	175
Girl Scouts of the USA, New York, NY	Girl Scouts Beyond Bars	1,000
Girl's Incorporated, Hampton, VA	Operation Impact	200
Growing Power, Inc., Milwaukee, WI	Effective Training for At-Risk Milwaukee Youth	200
Hathaway-Sycamores Child and Family Services, Pasadena, CA	Stay in School Training for At-Risk Youth	150
Hawaii Council on Economic Education, Honolulu, HI	Financial Education for At-Risk Youth and Families	350
Highbridge Community Life Center, Bronx, NY	Highbridge Youth Education Project	100
HoustonWorks USA, Houston, TX	Juvenile Justice Initiative	250
Huckleberry Youth Programs, San Francisco, CA	Huckleberry Community Assessment and Referral Center (CARC) for San Francisco Non-Violent Juvenile Offenders	300
Human Development Commission, Caro, MI	Generations Program	100
Improved Solutions for Urban Systems, Dayton, OH	Court Involved Drop-Out Youth Re-Engagement	250
i-SAFE, Inc., Carlsbad, CA	Internet Safety Programs	1,000
Karnes Wilson Juvenile Probation Department, Karnes City, TX	JJAP ELITE Program	300
Kennedy Krieger Institute, Baltimore, MD	Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Program at Fort Meade	500
Kenosha Boys & Girls Club, Kenosha, WI	Gang Prevention	150
Kidsave International Inc., Culver City, CA	Kidsave Los Angeles Weekend Miracles Program	100
KidsVoice, Pittsburgh, PA	Child Advocacy Program	200
Lane County Administration, Eugene, OR	Teen Courts Initiative	500
LA's BEST, Los Angeles, CA	Connects After School with High School	600
Latin American Youth Center, Washington, DC	Maryland Multicultural Youth Centers	750
Lawrence Hall Youth Services, Chicago, IL	Youth Violence Prevention Program	450
Lehman College, Bronx, NY	Public Service Leadership Institute	200
Los Angeles Centers for Alcohol and Drug Abuse, Santa Fe Springs, CA	After-School Youth Enrichment Services	50
Los Angeles County Office of Education, Downey, CA	Pomona and Los Padrinos Juvenile Courts School Court Liaison Program	150
Lourdes College, Sylvania, OH	At-Risk Youth Student Success Mentoring Initiative	500
Main Line Chinese Culture Center, Radnor, PA	Preparing Philadelphias Future Global Leader	100
Manna House Workshops, New York, NY	Elevating Access to Performing Arts Education for Children by Enhancing our Infrastructure and Enriching Structure	250
Marian University, Indianapolis, IN	Youth Build Indy Program	250
Martin Luther King Jr. Freedom Center, Oakland, CA	Nonviolence Leadership Program	250
Mary Mitchell Family and Youth Center, Inc., Bronx, NY	Programs for At-Risk Youth	200

OJP - Juvenile Justice
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Maryland Association of Youth Services Bureaus, Greenbelt, MD	At-risk Youth Program	1,000
Mass Mentoring Partnership, Boston, MA	Mentoring Programs for At-Risk Children and Youth	360
Matt Garcia Police Athletic League Center, Fairfield, CA	At-Risk Youth Program	190
Men Engaged in Nonviolence, Taos, NM	Crime Prevention Initiative	200
Metropolitan Council on Jewish Poverty, New York, NY	Study on At-Risk Teens	150
Mid Valley Family YMCA, Van Nuys, CA	Youth & Teen Outreach Program	300
Moody County Boys and Girls Club, Flandreau, SD	Educational Programs	100
Mosholu Montefiore Community Center, Bronx, NY	MMCC Co-Op City Youth Center	375
Museum of Chinese in America, New York, NY	Afterschool Program	100
Museum of the Moving Image, Astoria, NY	Programs for Youth	150
My Turn Inc., Brockton, MA	Out-of-School Youth Intervention Program	200
Neighborhood First Program, Inc., Bristol, PA	Expansion of H.A.V.E.N. Program	180
New Mexico Juvenile Justice Continuum, Santa Fe, NM	Statewide Juvenile Justice Initiative	500
New York Shakespeare Festival (d.b.a. The Public Theater), New York, NY	Shakespeare Initiative for At-Risk Youth	200
North Area Athletic & Education Center, Syracuse, NY	Stepping Stones for Your Future	50
Northern Forest Canoe Trail, Waitsfield, VT	Explorers Program	300
Northwest Virginia Regional Gang Task Force, Berryville, VA	Northwest Virginia Regional Gang Task Force	500
Nuyorican Poets Cafe, New York, NY	Arts Programming for At-Risk Youth	250
NYC Outward Bound, Long Island City, NY	Expeditionary Learning	400
Ohel Childrens Home and Family Services, Brooklyn, NY	Violence Prevention Program	400
Operation QT, Inc., Paradise Valley, AZ	After School Program	600
Our Children's Homestead, Rockford, IL	Foster Youth Support Program	200
Overtown Youth Center, Inc., Miami, FL	Youth Programs	100
Parents Against Addiction, Nitro, WV	Drug Rehabilitation Program	500
Part of the Solution, Inc., Bronx, NY	After-School Education Program for At-Risk Youth	50
Patterson Volunteer Committee, Inc, Bronx, NY	Students Against Gun Violence	50
People United for Sustainable Housing, Buffalo, NY	Programs to Assist At-Risk Youth	250
Permanent Fund, Montpelier, VT	Mentoring Collaborative	500
Phoenix Houses of Los Angeles, Inc., Lake View Terrace, CA	Strengthening Family and Mental Health Services for Underserved Youth in Los Angeles County	500
Pinellas County, Clearwater, FL	At-Risk Youth Diversion and Recidivism Prevention Program	300
Pittsburgh TASK, Pittsburgh, PA	Mobilizing Male Mentors Project	100

OJP - Juvenile Justice
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
POINT Community Development Corporation, Bronx, NY	Youth Development Program	250
Police Athletic League, Toledo, OH	Youth Center	400
PortSide NewYork, Brooklyn, NY	Youth Summer Work and Education	50
Project Intercept, Inc., Brooklyn, NY	Mentoring A Drug Prevention Program for At-Risk Youth	100
Pulaski County Youth Services, Little Rock, AR	Youth Initiative to Encourage Learning and Development	200
Railroad Street Youth Project, Great Barrington, MA	Berkshire Youth Development Project	265
Randolph County Family Connection, Inc, Cuthbert, GA	After School Program for At-Risk Children	75
Red Hook Initiative, Brooklyn, NY	Education Program for At-Risk Youth	250
Renaissance EMS, Bronx, NY	At-Risk Youth Program	100
Restorative Justice for Oakland Youth, Oakland, CA	Restorative Alternatives: Youth Diversion and Re-entry Program	250
Rhode Island Mentoring Partnership, Warwick, RI	Support for Mentoring Programs	200
Richmond Police Activities League, Richmond, CA	Changing Habits, Attitudes & Mind Program (CHAMP)	400
Roca, Chelsea, MA	Roca Springfield Project	500
Rock School for Dance Education, Philadelphia, PA	RockReach Program	250
Sankofa Safe Child Initiative, Chicago, IL	Juvenile Justice Leadership Navigation Project	150
Save Our Future, Inc., Los Angeles, CA	Mothers On the March After-School Program, Intervention & Enrichment	300
Save The Children, Washington, DC	Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Program	500
Save the Children, Westport, CT	Arkansas Rural Literacy Program	400
Sea Research Foundation, Mystic, CT	Ocean Exploration and Science Education for At-Risk Youth	1,750
Search to Involve Pilipino Americans, Los Angeles, CA	SIPA Youth Empowerment and Employment Training Institute	500
SEED School of Maryland, Baltimore, MD	SEED Maryland Student Life Program	500
SGA Youth & Family Service, Chicago, IL	College Success Initiative	250
SGA Youth & Family Services, Chicago, IL	Roseland College Success Initiative	250
Sid Jacobson Jewish Community Center, East Hills, NY	Youth Action After School Program	100
Social Service and Community Development Foundation, Inc., Joliet, IL	Hill Memorial Learning Center	150
Sound Mental Health, Seattle, WA	Childrens Domestic Violence Response Team Program	280
Soundview Community in Action, Bronx, NY	Outreach Program for At-Risk Youth	250
South Carolina Afterschool Alliance, Columbia, SC	Disconnected Youth Program	350
South Queens Boys & Girls Club, Richmond Hill, NY	After School Program	200
SOWEGA CASA, Inc., Americus, GA	A CASA for Every Child	75

OJP - Juvenile Justice
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Sports & Arts in Schools Foundation, Woodside, NY	At-Risk Youth Program	300
St. Louis Science Center, St. Louis, Missouri, MO	Youth Exploring Science (YES)	200
Starr Commonwealth, Albion, MI	Technology Upgrades for At-Risk Youth Programs	250
Suffolk University, Boston, MA	Juvenile Justice Center	200
Team Focus, Inc., Tupelo, MS	Mississippi Team Focus Youth Mentoring Program	200
Team Focus, Morgan, TX	Youth Mentoring Program	500
Texas A&M Corpus Christi, Corpus Christi, TX	Adjudicated Youth Program	270
The Manor, Jonesville, MI	Children and Family Community Reintegration Program	300
Thurgood Marshall College Fund, New York, NY	Rebuilding Communities Initiatives through Mentorship and Education	500
Tilles Center for the Performing Arts, Greenvale, NY	Stay in School Initiative	200
Township of Irvington, Irvington, NJ	Youth Violence Prevention Initiative	300
Turnaround for Children, New York, NY	At-Risk Youth Education Programs	400
U.S. Dream Academy Mentoring Project, Columbia, MD	Mentoring Project	250
U.S. Soccer Foundation, Washington, DC	Youth Soccer Gang Prevention Initiative	200
Uhlich Children's Advantage Network, Chicago, IL	Violence Prevention and Job Development Program for Youth	250
University of Montana, Missoula, MT	Montana Safe School Center: Trauma-Informed Communities	500
University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls, IA	Center for Minority Youth Development	300
Urban Dreams, Des Moines, IA	Urban Children are Really Essential (U-CARE)	200
Urban League of Hudson County, Jersey City, NJ	Project Restore Gang Education and Prevention Program	400
Vermont Department of Children and Families, Waterbury, VT	At-Risk Youth Assistance Program	800
Vermont Department of Education, Montpelier, VT	Extended Education Programming in Schools	500
Virginia Early Childhood Foundation, Richmond, VA	Southside/Southwest Virginia School Readiness Initiative	250
Virginia Foundation for Community College Education, Richmond, VA	Great Expectations Program for Virginia's Foster Youth	370
Virginia Mentoring Partnership, Richmond, VA	Mentoring Programs for At-Risk Children and Youth	200
Western Connecticut State University, Danbury, CT	Expanded Building a Bridge to Improve Student Success At-Risk Youth Project	400
William A. Lawson Institute for Peace and Prosperity, Houston, TX	Mentoring Program	200
Women's Studio Workshop, Kingston, NY	Cultural Experiences for At-Risk Youth: Hands-On Art ARTFarm	60

OJP - Juvenile Justice
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Woonsocket Prevention Coalition, Woonsocket, RI	RI Third Eye Youth Crime Watch	220
YMCA of Greater Houston, Houston, TX	Apartment Outreach Program	300
YMCA of Metropolitan Hartford, Inc., Hartford, CT	Youth Violence Prevention Initiative	500
Youngstown State University, Youngstown, OH	YSU SMARTSStudents Motivated by the Arts at the Mahoning County Juvenile Justice Center	100
Youth as Possibility, Chicago, IL	At-Risk Youth Programs	150
Youth Policy Institute, Los Angeles, CA	Los Angeles Community Schools Initiative	400
Youthworks, Bismarck, ND	At Risk Youth Intervention	600
YWCA Columbus, Columbus, OH	At-Risk Youth Initiative	250
YWCA Corpus Christi, Corpus Christi, TX	YW Teen Program	500
YWCA Elgin, Elgin, IL	At-Risk Youth Initiative	350
YWCA Great Lakes Bay Region, Bay City, MI	Empowerment Initiative for At-Risk Youth and Women	500
YWCA Northern Rhode Island, Woonsocket, RI	Bright Futures Initiative for At-Risk Youth	750
YWCA of Greater Lawrence, Lawrence, MA	Initiative for At-Risk Youth	300
YWCA of Mohawk Valley, Utica, NY	Child Advocacy Centers and Support Services, Oneida, Herkimer and Cortland Counties, NY	450
YWCA of Salt Lake City, Salt Lake City, UT	Shelter and Residence for Women and Children	485
YWCA Pueblo, Pueblo, CO	Teen Domestic Violence Prevention Education	300
YWCA Vermont, Colchester, VT	Initiative for At-Risk Girls	150
YWCA Youngstown, Youngstown, OH	At-Risk Youth Strengthening Initiative	300
Zero to Three, Douglasville, GA	Douglas County Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	100
Zero to Three, San Francisco, CA	Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	300

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER BENEFITS

The bill provides \$77,300,000 for the Public Safety Officer Benefits program, including \$61,000,000 for death benefits for survivors, an amount estimated by the Congressional Budget Office that is considered mandatory for scorekeeping purposes, and \$16,300,000 for disability and education benefits.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$200,000,000 for salaries and expenses for the Office of Justice Programs (OJP), including \$32,500,000 for OJP's Office of Audit, Assessment, and Management.

COMMUNITY ORIENTED POLICING SERVICES
COMMUNITY ORIENTED POLICING SERVICES
PROGRAMS
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$542,070,000 for COPS Programs. These funds are distributed as follows:

Program	Amount
COPS Hiring and Policing Development	\$363,000,000
Transfer to Tribal Resources Grant Program	(42,000,000)
Tribal Resources Grant Program	30,000,000

Program	Amount
COPS Technology and Interoperability	98,885,000
Transfer to NIST OLES ..	(1,500,000)
Meth Hotspots	17,185,000
Transfer to DEA	(10,000,000)
Child Sexual Predator Prosecution Program	18,000,000
Secure Our Schools	15,000,000
Total	542,070,000

COPS hiring and policing development.—The bill includes \$363,000,000 for hiring and policing development grants for State, local and tribal law enforcement. Within the amount provided, COPS is directed to fund \$26,000,000 of community policing development programs, including police integrity initiatives. Within the funds available for hiring grants, \$30,000,000 is for the hiring or rehiring of officers who will be assigned to Internet Crimes Against Children (ICAC) Task Forces. These funds, together with those provided under the OJP heading, will fully meet the level authorized for ICACs under the PROTECT Act. In recognition of average personnel and benefit levels in many parts of the country that exceed the \$75,000 per officer statutory cap for the hiring program, the cap has been waived for fiscal year 2011. The local match

requirement for these grants has also been waived.

Tribal resources.—At the enacted levels, COPS will be supporting \$72,000,000 in programs targeted exclusively to tribal communities through the Tribal Resource Grant Program (TRGP). Within the TRGP, \$30,000,000 is provided through direct appropriations and \$42,000,000 by transfer from the hiring and policing development program. All funds available to the TRGP can be used for hiring, equipment, training and anti-methamphetamine activities. This will allow tribes maximum flexibility to respond to their most urgent local priorities.

Technology and interoperability grants.—The bill provides \$98,885,000 for technology and interoperability grants, including a transfer of \$1,500,000 to the NIST Office of Law Enforcement Standards to complete remaining aspects of Project 25 interface standards and to begin developing standards for emerging technologies, such as VoIP applications, for public safety operations. Within the amount provided, COPS is directed to fund congressionally-designated activities in the amounts identified in the following table, which the bill incorporates by reference:

COPS Law Enforcement Technology
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Abington Township Police Department, Abington, PA	Communications Equipment Upgrade	200
Anson County Sheriff, Wadesboro, NC	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence Tool	400
Beckley Police Department, Beckley, WV	Interoperable Digital Radio Equipment	190
Bexar County Sheriff, San Antonio, TX	Sheriff's Office Vehicle Technology Enhancement Project	150
Blount County, Maryville, TN	Blount County Interoperable Communications	400
Board of County Commissioners, St. Marys County, Leonardtown, MD	Jail Security Project	750
Borough of Bellevue, Bellevue, PA	Law Enforcement Technology Advancements	60
Borough of Cliffside Park, Cliffside Park, NJ	Public Safety Communications Enhancements	300
Borough of Homestead, Homestead, PA	Police Cameras	200
Borough of Little Ferry, Little Ferry, NJ	Emergency Communications System	550
Borough of Mount Oliver, Mount Oliver, PA	Technology Upgrades	55
Borough of Naugatuck, Naugatuck, CT	Public Safety Communications Project	500
Bowling Green Police Department, Bowling Green, KY	Public Safety Radio System Upgrade	200
Boyle County Sheriff, Danville, KY	Communications Technology	25
Broward County, Fort Lauderdale, FL	Public Safety Emergency Communications	1,000
Brown County Public Safety Communications, Green Bay, WI	Public Safety Communications	355
Bryan Police Department, Bryan, TX	Brazos County Warrant Access and Reduction Program	300
Buchanan County Sheriffs, Grundy, VA	Technology Improvement Program	75
Buena Vista Police Department, Buena Vista, GA	Police Equipment	75
Burnett County, Siren, WI	Public Safety Communications System Upgrade	1,000
Cecil County Department of Emergency Services, Elkton, MD	Cecil County Public Safety Communications Network	650
Central Texas Council of Governments, Belton, TX	Central Texas Project 25 Interoperability Initiative	250
Centre County, Bellefonte, PA	Centre County Emergency Communications System Upgrade	300
Charles County Sheriff, La Plata, MD	Police Technology Upgrades	100
Charleston County, Charleston, SC	Mobile Data Terminals	125
Charlevoix Cheboygan Emmet Central Dispatch Authority, Petoskey, MI	Equipment & Technology	300
Cherry Hill Township, Cherry Hill, NJ	Public Safety Improvements	550
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Eagle Butte, SD	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe Emergency Dispatching System	200
Choctaw Nation, Durant, OK	Public Safety Communications Project	250
City and County of San Francisco Police Department, San Francisco, CA	COMPSTAT	1,000
City of Alexandria Police Department, Alexandria, KY	Kentucky Data Interoperability Project	200
City of Allentown, Allentown, PA	Allentown Radio Encryption	300

COPS Law Enforcement Technology
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
City of Auburn, Auburn, WA	Valley Cities Public Safety Wireless Regional Broadband Network	200
City of Bay St. Louis Police Department, Bay St. Louis, MS	Help I.D. Our Children	20
City of Bayonne, Bayonne, NJ	Bayonne Law Enforcement Project	150
City of Beaver Falls Police Department, Beaver Falls, PA	Police Firing Range Equipment	300
City of Bell Gardens Police Department, Bell Gardens, CA	Gunshot Location System Acquisition and Surveillance Infrastructure	500
City of Belzoni, Belzoni, MS	Police Technology Upgrades	170
City of Benton, Benton, KY	City of Benton Public Safety Communication Equipment	35
City of Bethlehem, Bethlehem, PA	Multi-Jurisdiction Public Safety Camera Project	100
City of Billings, Billings, MT	Public Safety Radio System Upgrades	500
City of Birmingham, Birmingham, AL	Gunshot Location System Expansion Project	200
City of Bridgeport, Bridgeport, CT	Public Safety Technology Enhancements	375
City of Brockton Police Department, Brockton, MA	Gunshot Location System Acquisition	200
City of Buda, Buda, TX	Police Technology and Equipment	50
City of Buffalo Police Department, Buffalo, NY	Surveillance Cameras	360
City of Cedar Rapids, Cedar Rapids, IA	Emergency Communications Center Equipment	200
City of Cerritos, Cerritos, CA	Public Safety Technology Improvements	200
City of Chisholm, Chisholm, MN	Communications Upgrade	200
City of Clarksburg Police Department, Clarksburg, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	100
City of Cleveland, Office of the Mayor, Cleveland, OH	800 MHz Radio System	250
City of Clifton, Clifton, NJ	Police Technology Enhancement Project	400
City of College Park, College Park, GA	Law Enforcement Video Patrol	500
City of Colorado Springs Police Department, Colorado Springs, CO	Police Technology Enhancement	400
City of Columbiana, Columbiana, OH	Communication Dispatch Upgrade Project	150
City of Countryside Police Department, Countryside, IL	Law Enforcement Equipment / Technology Upgrades	135
City of Dothan, Dothan, AL	Digital In-Car Cameras and Technological Advances	150
City of Duluth Police Department, Duluth, MN	Forensic Technology Task Force Project	200
City of Elizabeth Police Department, Elizabeth, NJ	Gun Detection Technology System	375
City of Fairmont Police Department, Fairmont, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	50
City of Fayetteville, Fayetteville, AR	Simulcast System for Public Safety Communication	600
City of Flint, Flint, MI	City of Flint Interoperable Communications Project	500

COPS Law Enforcement Technology
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
City of Fort Smith Police Department, Fort Smith, AR	River Valley Mobile Data Network	400
City of Fresno, Fresno, CA	Law Enforcement Equipment	300
City of Garden Grove, Garden Grove, CA	Law Enforcement Technology Assistance	140
City of Gardena, Gardena, CA	Emergency Operations Center Equipment & Technology	300
City of Gary, Gary, IN	Law Enforcement Equipment	500
City of Gillett, Gillett, AR	Police & Court Upgrades	50
City of Glendale, Glendale, AZ	Digital Evidence Data System	500
City of Granville Police Department, Granville, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	50
City of Guadalupe, Guadalupe, CA	Police Department Technology Equipment and Upgrades	200
City of Hammond, Hammond, IN	Law Enforcement Equipment	500
City of Hampton, Hampton, VA	Police Mobile Field Reporting Project	150
City of Hartford, Hartford, CT	Gunshot Location System	400
City of Hayward Police Department, Hayward, CA	Emergency Communications Upgrades	585
City of Hobart, Hobart, IN	Law Enforcement Equipment	175
City of Holladay, Holladay, UT	Gang Prevention Initiative	170
City of Hollywood, Hollywood, FL	Law Enforcement Technology	250
City of Hot Springs Police Department, Hot Springs, AR	In-Car Video Acquisition	250
City of Indianapolis, Indianapolis, IN	Public Safety, Interrogation, and Crime Scene Investigation Equipment	200
City of Inglewood, Inglewood, CA	Law Enforcement Technology Upgrades and Infrastructure Improvements	100
City of Jackson Police Department, Jackson, TN	Records Management System Upgrade Project	365
City of Jersey City, Jersey City, NJ	Comprehensive Communication System	350
City of Kannapolis, Kannapolis, NC	Kannapolis and Concord Regional Radio Upgrade	150
City of Keyser Police Department, Keyser, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	50
City of Las Cruces, Las Cruces, NM	Police Department East Mesa Substation Equipment	300
City of Longview, Longview, WA	Regional 911 Dispatch Improvements	200
City of Luling Police Department, Luling, TX	Police Technology and Equipment	50
City of Milford, Milford, CT	Public Safety Emergency Communications and Operations System	500
City of Monterey Park, Monterey Park, CA	Online Police Reporting System	35
City of Mountain View, Mountain View, CA	Emergency Operations Center Equipment & Technology	80
City of Mountlake Terrace, Mountlake Terrace, WA	Emergency Services Equipment Project	300
City of Muncie, Muncie, IN	MPD Enhanced Technology Initiative	200
City of Newport, Newport, RI	Newport COPS Technology	365
City of Parkersburg Division of Police, Parkersburg, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	100

COPS Law Enforcement Technology
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
City of Pasadena, Pasadena, CA	Emergency Operations Center Technology	350
City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA	Crime Prevention Cameras	250
City of Phoenix, Phoenix, AZ	Phoenix Electronic Evidence Collection and Preservation Project	500
City of Phoenix, Phoenix, AZ	Rapid Criminal Identification Project	500
City of Pine Bluff Police Department, Pine Bluff, AR	Gun Detection Technology System	250
City of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA	CrimeWatch Neighborhood Camera Security Systems	1,000
City of Pompano Beach, Pompano Beach, FL	Security Technology for Public Infrastructure	100
City of Ranson, Ranson, WV	Crime Tracking/Reporting Initiative	435
City of Rialto Police Department, Rialto, CA	Police Department Surveillance Cameras	200
City of Rivesville Police Department, Rivesville, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	50
City of Riviera Beach, Riviera Beach, FL	Law Enforcement Technology Improvement Project	250
City of Rochester, Rochester, NH	Equipment for the Rochester, NH Police Department	135
City of Rockville, Rockville, MD	Police Technology Upgrade Project	400
City of Saginaw Police Department, Saginaw, MI	Gunshot Location System Acquisition	500
City of Salisbury, Salisbury, MD	Public Safety Communications Equipment	500
City of San Diego, San Diego, CA	Public Safety Technology Initiative	200
City of San Gabriel, San Gabriel, CA	Public Safety Video Surveillance in City Parks	180
City of San Jose, San Jose, CA	In-Field Data Management and Identification Technology	700
City of San Marcos, San Marcos, TX	Regional Tactical Operations Command Center Equipment	400
City of Shelbyville, Shelbyville, IN	Shelbyville 800 MHz Radio System	200
City of Signal Hill, Signal Hill, CA	Emergency Operations Center Communication System	400
City of Smithville Police Department, Smithville, TX	Police Technology	50
City of South Pasadena, South Pasadena, CA	Interoperable Communications Equipment and Computer Forensic Software	85
City of Sumter Police Department, Sumter, SC	Police Technology Upgrades	1,000
City of Tempe, Tempe, AZ	Public Safety Communications Interoperability	400
City of Terra Alta Police Department, Terra Alta, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	50
City of Triadelphia Police Department, Triadelphia, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	50
City of Valparaiso, Valparaiso, IN	Law Enforcement Equipment	230
City of Warren Police Department, Warren, MI	Law Enforcement Technology Upgrades	100
City of Wheeling Police Department, Wheeling, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	100
Clark County, Las Vegas, NV	Justice Information Sharing System	200

COPS Law Enforcement Technology
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Cobb County Government, Marietta, GA	Cobb County Regional Communications Interoperability Network	350
Colquitt County Sheriff, Moultrie, GA	In-Car Camera Systems	45
Commerce City, Commerce City, CO	Interoperable Communications Equipment	400
Cottonwood Heights Police Department, Cottonwood Heights, UT	Crime Prevention Technology	150
County of Arlington, Arlington, VA	Public Safety Radio Equipment	200
County of Columbus, Whiteville, NC	Emergency Communications System	400
County of DeKalb, Decatur, GA	Ballistic Identification Laboratory Equipment	400
County of Fluvanna, Palmyra, VA	Public Safety Communications System	350
County of Forrest, Hattiesburg, MS	Southern Regional Law Enforcement Initiative	630
County of Fresno, Fresno, CA	Regional Data Interoperability	675
County of Merced, Merced, CA	Interoperability Project	165
County of Montgomery, Christiansburg, VA	New River Valley Emergency System	600
County of Nelson, Lovingson, VA	Public Safety Land Mobile Radio and Interoperable Communications System	200
County of Oakland, Pontiac, MI	Courts and Law Enforcement Management System	700
County of Orange, Orlando, FL	Public Safety Radio System Upgrade	300
County of Oswego, Oswego, NY	Interoperable Emergency Communications System	350
County of Prince George's, Office of Homeland Security, Largo, MD	Enhancements to Computer Aided Dispatch System	900
County of Santa Clara Office of the District Attorney, San Jose, CA	District Attorney Wire Tap Room Project	1,250
County of Seminole, Sanford, FL	Radio Communications Upgrade for Law Enforcement	250
County of Stanislaus, Modesto, CA	Regional Operability for Public Safety Communications	200
County of Yolo, Woodland, CA	Yolo Emergency Communications System Improvements	1,000
Coventry Police Department, Coventry, RI	Enhanced Mobile Communications Equipment	150
Cumberland Police Department, Cumberland, RI	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	40
Dakota County, Hastings, MN	Criminal Justice Integration Information Network Enhancements	600
Delaware State Police, Dover, DE	In-Car Camera System for Delaware State Police Patrol Cars	400
Delaware State Police, Dover, DE	Safety Equipment for Delaware State Police	550
Delaware State University, Dover, DE	Campus Video 9-1-1 Project	400
Department of Oregon State Police, Salem, OR	Mobile Data Terminal System	500
Dixie State College of Utah, St. George, UT	Cybercrime Detection and Computer Support Training	400
Dona Ana County Sheriff's Office, Las Cruces, NM	Countywide Simulcast Radio Initiative	500
East Bay Regional Communications System Authority, Dublin, CA	East Bay Regional Communications System	1,000
El Paso County Sheriffs Office, El Paso, TX	Border Crime Enhancements	250

COPS Law Enforcement Technology
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Fayette County, Uniontown, PA	Public Safety Equipment Upgrade	400
Floodwood Police Department, Floodwood, MN	Communication Technology	30
Floyd County Sheriff, New Albany, IN	Communications Equipment	500
Fort Madison Police Department, Fort Madison, IA	Police Equipment	80
Gallatin County, Bozeman, MT	Mobile Data Improvement Program	650
Grayson County Sheriffs Office, Independence, VA	Communications Upgrade Project	300
Green Bay Police Department, Green Bay, WI	Green Bay Police Department Mobile Technology	75
Greenbrier County Commission, Lewisburg, WV	Prevention Resource Officer Program	170
Grimes County Sheriff, Anderson, TX	Law Enforcement Technology	100
Gwinnett County Police Department, Lawrenceville, GA	Gwinnett County Police Department Technology Improvement	150
Harrisburg Area Community College, Harrisburg, PA	Shumaker Public Safety Center Equipment	200
Harrison County Sheriff, Cadiz, OH	Critical Communication Infrastructure Upgrade	100
Hart County Fiscal Court, Munfordville, KY	Hart County Law Enforcement Technology Upgrades	40
Haywood County, Waynesville, NC	Public Safety Radio Network Upgrade	400
Hennepin County, Minneapolis, MN	Radio Consoles for Sheriff's 911 Dispatch Center	500
Hidalgo County Sheriff's Office, Edinburg, TX	Digital Radio System	1,500
Idaho State Police, Meridian, ID	Idaho State Police Participation in Criminal Information Sharing Alliance Network	200
Interagency Communications Interoperability System Joint Powers Authority, Glendale, CA	Interagency Communications Interoperability System	200
Interoperability Montana - Local Gov. Association, Helena, MT	Big Sky 11 Consortium Public Safety Interoperable Radio System Expansion	150
Iowa State Patrol, Des Moines, IA	Digital Video Camera/Computer Upgrade	200
Iowa State University, Ames, IA	Forensic Science Testing and Evaluation Laboratory	1,000
Jasper County Sheriff, Monticello, GA	Replace In-Car Video Cameras	70
Jeanerette City Marshal's Office, Jeanerette, LA	Community Policing	110
Jefferson County Board of Commissioners, Louisville, GA	Emergency Dispatch System Upgrade and Enhancement Project	250
Jefferson County Sheriff's Office, Louisville, KY	Mobile Data Terminal Systems	375
Johnson County Emergency Communications Center, Olathe, KS	Computer Aided Dispatch Interoperability System	200
Jones County Sheriff, Gray, GA	In Car Computers	95
Juneau Police Department, Juneau, AK	Technology Upgrades	500
Lackawanna County, Scranton, PA	Public Safety Radio System Upgrade	500
Lake County Sheriffs Department, Crown Point, IN	Law Enforcement Equipment	500
Lansdale Borough Police Department, Lansdale, PA	Radio Communications Interoperability Project	210

COPS Law Enforcement Technology
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Las Vegas, NV	Major Crime Scene Response Vehicle	700
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Las Vegas, NV	Mobile Field Reporting	300
Laurens County Sheriff, Dublin, GA	Investigative and Record Management Technology	20
Lehigh County, Allentown, PA	Lehigh Valley Regional Crime Center	400
Leominster Police Department, Leominster, MA	Leominster and Chester Computer and Communications Upgrades	250
Lexington Police Department, Lexington, MA	Diamond Middle School Security Project	250
Lorain County Sheriff, Elyria, OH	Law Enforcement Digital Radio Project	300
Madison Police Department, Madison, WI	Madison Police Department Communications Upgrade	400
Maine Warden Service, Augusta, ME	Rural Search and Rescue Equipment	200
Marinette County Communication and Dispatch Center, Marinette, WI	Public Safety Communications	195
McAdoo Police Department, McAdoo, PA	Patrol Equipment	100
McHenry County, Woodstock, IL	Law Enforcement Technology	100
Medina County Sheriffs Office, Hondo, TX	Mobile Data Systems	150
Michigan State Police, East Lansing, MI	Equipment & Technology	250
Millcreek Township Police Department, Erie, PA	Mobile Data Terminals	100
Milton Township, Lake Milton, OH	Technology and Equipment Upgrade	75
Milwaukee Police Department, Milwaukee, WI	Milwaukee Police Department In-Squad Cameras	100
Mission Police Department, Mission, TX	Law Enforcement Equipment for Pharr, Palmview, Mission Police Departments	200
Monroe County Sheriff's Department, Clarendon, AR	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	90
Morrisville Borough, Morrisville, PA	Police Communications	155
Mount Kisco Police Department, Mount Kisco, NY	Police Communications Center Equipment & Infrastructure	120
Municipality of Guaynabo, Guaynabo, PR	Surveillance System	250
Navajo County Sheriff Office, Holbrook, AZ	Mobile Data Terminals	150
Nevada Department of Public Safety, Carson City, NV	Nevada Statewide Computer Aided Dispatch Project	250
New York State Sheriffs Association, Albany, NY	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence Technology	200
Newton County Sheriff, Covington, GA	In Car Computers	40
North Central Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission, Wausau, WI	North Central Wisconsin Communications System	150
North Central Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission, Wausau, WI	North East Wisconsin Public Safety Communication (NEWCOM) Regional Radio System Narrowbanding	500
North Texas Interoperable Communications Coalition, Euless, TX	North Texas Interoperable Communications Regional System Upgrade	250
Office of the Mineral County Sheriff, Keyser, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	50
Oglala Sioux Tribe, Pine Ridge, SD	Emergency Response Upgrades	800

COPS Law Enforcement Technology
Congressionally-designated Items
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Oklahoma Department of Public Safety, Oklahoma City, OK	Statewide Public Safety Communications System	500
Oklahoma District Attorneys Council, Oklahoma City, OK	District Attorneys Security and Technology Project	600
Olive Branch Police Department, Olive Branch, MS	Enhanced Response Initiative	270
Onondaga County, Syracuse, NY	Interoperable Emergency Communications	350
Orange County District Attorney, Santa Ana, CA	Advanced Technology for Cold Case Investigations	300
Pacifica Police Department, Pacifica, CA	Police Dispatch Consolidation - North San Mateo County	300
Passaic County Sheriffs Department, Wayne, NJ	Passaic Valley Water Commissions Security Upgrade Initiative	400
Pendleton County Commission, Franklin, WV	Emergency Radio Communications	1,500
Philander Smith College, Little Rock, AR	Technology/Security Infrastructure Upgrade	250
Pierce County Sheriff's Office, Tacoma, WA	Pierce County First Responders Radio System Infrastructure Project	1,250
Pima County Sheriffs Department, Tucson, AZ	PCWIN Countywide Public Safety Emergency Communications System	200
Prince George's County, Upper Marlboro, MD	Management Accountability Meeting (CompStat)	500
Providence Department of Public Safety, Providence, RI	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	1,200
Red Bank Police Department, Red Bank, NJ	Red Bank Police Department Communication Center	100
Roane County Government, Kingston, TN	Emergency Dispatch Radio Upgrades	200
Rochester Police Department, Rochester, NH	Law Enforcement Technology	200
Rockdale County Sheriffs Office, Conyers, GA	Law Enforcement Mobile Data Network	150
Rockland County Office of Fire & Emergency Services, Pomona, NY	Public Safety Interoperable Communications Network	250
Rogers County Sheriff's Office, Claremore, OK	Mobile Deputy	100
Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Rosebud, SD	Rosebud Sioux Tribe Emergency Dispatching System	200
Rusk County Sheriff's Department, Ladysmith, WI	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence	1,000
San Antonio Police Department, San Antonio, TX	Police Technology Improvements	250
San Pablo Police Department, San Pablo, CA	Gunshot Location System	400
Seguin Police Department, Seguin, TX	Law Enforcement Equipment for Shertz, Cibolo, Seguin, Marion Police Departments	200
Snohomish County Sheriffs Office, Everett, WA	Automated Field Reporting Infrastructure Improvement Project	200
Snohomish Regional Drug Task Force, Everett, WA	Multi-State Drug Trafficking Prevention Program	300
Somerset County, Skowhegan, ME	Communications Equipment Upgrade	350
South Burlington Police Department, South Burlington, VT	Police Facility Technology Enhancement	250

COPS Law Enforcement Technology
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
South Carolina Judicial Department, Columbia, SC	South Carolina Courts Statewide Electronic Filing Initiative	200
SouthCom Emergency Dispatch, Matteson, IL	SouthCom VoIP Private Branch Exchange Upgrades	225
Southeast Connecticut Police Communications Group, Stonington, CT	Regional Police Radio Study	120
Southwick Police Department, Southwick, MA	Emergency Agencies Enhanced Communications System	200
St. Louis County, Duluth, MN	St. Louis County Public Safety Interoperability for Law Enforcement	500
St. Martin Parish Sheriff, St. Martinville, LA	CIT Program	190
Stark Council of Governments, Canton, OH	Radio Purchasing and Reprogramming Project	400
Suffolk County Sheriff, Riverhead, NY	Mobile Data Terminals	100
Sullivan County Commission, Sullivan, IN	Emergency Response System Upgrades	400
Susquehanna County 911, Montrose, PA	Public Safety Radio System	550
Switzerland County Emergency Management, Vevay, IN	Emergency Management Equipment	100
Texarkana Police Department, Texarkana, AR	Alternate Emergency Operations Center	500
Texas State Technical College Waco, Waco, TX	Security Enhancements	350
Town of Carolina Beach, Carolina Beach, NC	Communications Enhancements	135
Town of Davie, Davie, FL	Law Enforcement Technology	500
Town of Enfield, Enfield, CT	Radio Communications Improvement Project	750
Town of Greenburgh, White Plains, NY	Police Department Communications Equipment	60
Town of Hammonton, Hammonton, NJ	Police Equipment Upgrades	200
Town of Kouts, Kouts, IN	Law Enforcement Equipment	45
Town of Mamaroneck, Mamaroneck, NY	Police Department Equipment	100
Town of North Andover, North Andover, MA	Police Communications Equipment Upgrades	200
Town of Pelham, Pelham, NY	Police Department Equipment	50
Town of Porter, Porter, IN	Law Enforcement Equipment	230
Town of Stratford, Stratford, CT	Police Department Computer Aided Dispatch and Record Management Systems Upgrade	500
Town of Trumbull, Trumbull, CT	Regional Communications Center for Trumbull, Monroe and Easton	300
Township of Maplewood, Maplewood, NJ	Maplewood Law Enforcement Project	300
Township of Saddle Brook, Saddle Brook, NJ	Communications Equipment and Community Alerting System	150
Township of Teaneck Police Department, Teaneck, NJ	Technology Upgrades for Police Cars	200
Township of Weehawken, Weehawken, NJ	Law Enforcement Project	100
Trumbull County, Warren, OH	Northeast Ohio Regional Integrated Justice Information System	500
Union City, Union City, NJ	Union City Law Enforcement Project	400
University of Arkansas at Monticello, Monticello, AR	Emergency Communications System	500
University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, TX	Law Enforcement Intelligence Analysis	800

COPS Law Enforcement Technology
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Upper Peninsula 15 County Consortium, Marquette, MI	Upper Peninsula 15 County Consortium Interoperable Communications	750
Utah County Major Crimes Task Force, Orem, UT	Utah Law Enforcement Technology Improvement Initiative	915
Valencia County Sheriff, Los Lunas, NM	Community Safety Equipment	300
Vermont Association of Chiefs of Police, South Burlington, VT	Equipment Support for Local Police Departments	100
Vermont Department of Public Safety, Waterbury, VT	Mobile Data Project	500
Vermont Department of States Attorneys and Sheriffs, Montpelier, VT	Equipment Support for Sheriffs' Departments	100
Vermont State Police, Waterbury, VT	Mobile Video Project	100
Vienna Police Department, Vienna, VA	Digital In-car Camera Project	95
Village of Brookfield Police Department, Brookfield, IL	Law Enforcement Equipment / Technology Upgrades	65
Village of Dobbs Ferry, Dobbs Ferry, NY	Police Department Portable Radios	50
Village of Homewood, Homewood, IL	Surveillance Cameras	350
Village of Larchmont, Larchmont, NY	Police Department Equipment	50
Village of Lincolnwood, Lincolnwood, IL	Community Policing	75
Village of Ossining, Ossining, NY	Police Department Equipment	60
Village of Pelham Manor, Pelham Manor, NY	Police Department Equipment	60
Village of Rye Brook, Rye Brook, NY	Police Department Equipment	50
Village of South Holland, South Holland, IL	Emergency Warning System	90
Village of Wilmette, Wilmette, IL	911 Dispatch Center Upgrades	250
Vineland Police Department, Vineland, NJ	Gun Detection Technology System	375
Virgin Islands Police Department, St. Croix, VI	Modernization of Surveillance Camera System	500
Warminster Township Police Department, Warminster, PA	Police Radio Upgrades	510
Warrick County, Boonville, IN	Warrick County Interoperable Communications	200
Washoe County Sheriff's Office, Reno, NV	Police Equipment	425
Wayne County Prosecutor's Office, Detroit, MI	Go Green Technology & Equipment	350
Wichita Police Department, Wichita, KS	In-Car Cameras for Police Vehicles	600
Will County, Joliet, IL	Will County Sheriff's Department	250
Yavapai County Sheriff, Prescott, AZ	Radio Communication Standardization	400
Yonkers Police Department, Yonkers, NY	Police Department Equipment and Training	425

Methamphetamine hotspots.—The bill provides \$17,185,000 for the Methamphetamine Hotspots program, including a transfer of \$10,000,000 to DEA for assistance to State,

local and tribal law enforcement agencies with the removal and disposal of hazardous materials at clandestine meth labs. Within the amount provided, COPS is directed to

fund congressionally-designated activities in the amounts identified in the following table, which the bill incorporates by reference:

COPS Meth
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
City of Greenville, Greenville, MS	Greenville/Delta Regional Meth Enforcement Initiative	100
Colorado Meth Project, Denver, CO	"Not Even Once" Campaign	500
Georgia Meth Project, Atlanta, GA	Meth Prevention and Awareness	300
Greene County Sheriff's Department, Leakesville, MS	Anti-Meth Activity Enforcement and Education Project	200
Jackson County Board of Supervisors, Pascagoula, MS	Methamphetamine Enforcement and Clean-up in Jackson County	200
Jefferson County, Golden, CO	Methamphetamine Interdiction and Response	200
Lincoln County District Attorney, Newport, OR	Meth Initiative	100
New Mexico State Police, Santa Fe, NM	Rural Methamphetamine Project	400
Pierce County Alliance, Tacoma, WA	National Methamphetamine Training and Technical Assistance Center	1,200
Pierce County Alliance, Tacoma, WA	Washington State Methamphetamine Initiative	1,000
Shawnee Regional Prevention and Recovery, Topeka, KS	Kansas Methamphetamine Prevention Project	250
Sioux City Police Department, Sioux City, IA	National Training Center	200
South Coast Interagency Narcotics Team, Coquille, OR	Interagency Narcotics Enforcement	500
Tennessee Bureau of Investigation, Nashville, TN	Tennessee Meth Task Force	1,435
University of Missouri St. Louis College of Nursing, St. Louis, MO	Methamphetamine Prevention Education	100
Virginia State Police, Wytheville, VA	Southwest Virginia Drug Task Force Assistance	500

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$39,000,000 for the management and administration of COPS programs.

GENERAL PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

The Act includes the following general provisions for the Department of Justice:

Section 201 makes available additional reception and representation funding for the Attorney General from the amounts provided in this title.

Sections 202 through 210 and sections 212 through 213 continue general provisions without substantive change from previous years.

Section 211 requires the Department to follow reprogramming procedures prior to any deviation from the program amounts specified in this title and to any re-obligation, for any purpose other than that of the program for which the prior obligation was made, of de-obligated balances of funds provided in previous years.

Section 214 permits up to 3 percent of grant and reimbursement program funds made available to the Office of Justice Programs to be used for training and technical assistance and permits up to 3 percent of grant and reimbursement program funds made available to that office to be transferred to NIJ or BJS for criminal justice research and statistics.

Section 215 gives the Attorney General the authority to waive matching requirements for certain Second Chance Act grant programs.

Section 216 permits the use of appropriated funds for travel and health care of personnel serving abroad.

TITLE III

SCIENCE

OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY

The bill provides \$6,990,000 for the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP). OSTP shall provide leadership and active coordination on STEM education; nanotechnology, including its societal dimensions; and hydrology research and water resources, understanding terrestrial managed and unmanaged ecosystems and their role in climate change, and soil science and the role of soils in the carbon cycle. Each of these areas involves significant activities of multiple departments and agencies and is critical to issues of national importance.

OSTP personnel.—OSTP shall provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 90 days following enactment of this Act, detailing the number, by function, of personnel authorized and currently on-board, at the OSTP, including all IPAs, reimbursable, non-reimbursable and detailed personnel, and identifying the agencies from which the staff is detailed.

Plant data.—OSTP shall provide a report within 120 days of enactment on maintenance of plant data, both genotypic and phenotypic, through combined public and private efforts.

Dispersants.—OSTP Director shall, within 60 days of enactment of this Act, submit to the Committees on Appropriations a research plan for the most urgent research questions regarding dispersants and within 180 days of enactment of this Act outline a comprehensive research plan and funding strategy for research on dispersants including their benefits, detriments, and the monitoring of their long-term effects on the environment.

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE
ADMINISTRATION
AGENCY SUMMARY

Annual budget preparation and presentation.—NASA's annual budget submission continues to be disappointing in its lack of transparency. NASA is directed to prepare the annual budget submission to Congress in a manner fully consistent with direction contained in 42 USC 16611b.

Reserves reporting.—NASA is directed to include in its budget justification the reserve assumed by NASA to be necessary within the amount proposed for each directorate, theme, program, project and activity, or, if the proposed funding level for a directorate, theme, program, project or activity is based on confidence level budgeting, the confidence level assumed in the proposed funding level.

Reporting of cost, schedule and content for NASA research and development projects.—NASA is directed to cooperate fully and to provide timely program analysis, evaluation data, and relevant information to the Government Accountability Office (GAO) so that GAO can report to Congress in advance of the annual budget submission of the President and semiannually thereafter on the status of large-scale NASA programs, projects, and activities based on its review of this information.

Breach reporting.—Pursuant to section 103 of Public Law 109-155, the NASA Authorization Act of 2005, NASA is required to deliver several reports to the appropriate authorizing committees when project costs grow in excess of certain thresholds. NASA is directed to submit these reports concurrently to the Committees on Appropriations.

Monthly reports.—To improve the usefulness of monthly reports on obligations and disbursements required by section 525(b) of the Fiscal Year 2008 Omnibus Appropriations Act, NASA is directed to include in the funding that it reports as available not only new budget authority, but also carryover and recoveries. Specifically, on the 15th business day of each month beginning in March 2011, the Administrator of NASA shall report in writing to the Committees on Appropriations detailed financial information on the execution of the budget for the preceding month and for the fiscal year to date. Each report shall provide information on obligations incurred against, and outlays made from, the appropriations for fiscal year 2011 and prior years; available carryover from prior year appropriations; and recoveries from prior or current year appropriations, presented by (1) appropriation account, (2) theme, (3) program or project, (4) Center, and (5) object class, and any other financial information requested by the Committees on Appropriations.

Contracting.—NASA is directed to minimize its use of cost plus fee contracting and to employ fixed price contracts for all systems, components, and projects using proven or high technical readiness technology.

Operating plans.—NASA is directed to provide the amount of civil service manpower assumed in the plans for each account and for the four themes within the Science account, the three themes within the Space Research and Technology account, and the four themes within the Space Operations account.

Summary funding provided for NASA is delineated more fully in the table below and in the account summaries.
NASA (\$'s in thousands)

Science	5,005,600
Aeronautics	579,600
Space Research and Technology	559,000

Exploration	3,706,000
Space Operations	5,247,900
Education	180,000
Cross Agency Support	3,085,700
Construction and Environmental Compliance and Restoration ¹	528,700
Inspector General	37,500

Total	18,930,000
-------------	------------

¹ Includes \$20,000,000 in prior year appropriations

SCIENCE

The bill provides \$5,005,600,000 for science, and within funds provided for planetary science, makes \$15,000,000 available for a reimbursable agreement with the Department of Energy to re-establish the facilities required to produce fuel for radioisotope thermoelectric generators to enable future science missions.

Earth system science pathfinder, other missions and data analysis.—NASA is directed to use at least \$10,000,000 within funds provided to continue and expand its pilot data product effort on above-ground terrestrial biomass carbon using data available from existing sources and measurement systems to establish a baseline forest biomass and carbon inventory pilot at the management relevant 30-meter scale of Landsat data sufficient to resolve forest biomass on parcels of 10 acres or more. Also within funds provided, \$5,000,000 is for continuing and expanding ongoing carbon monitoring system pilot activities and to add a pilot effort on soil carbon working with the appropriate other Federal agencies.

Scatterometer.—NASA shall support NOAA in any efforts to develop a dual-frequency operational scatterometer to provide sea surface vector wind measurements in both light and strong wind conditions.

Jupiter system mission.—In support of the potential collaboration between NASA and the European Space Agency on Jupiter system exploration with orbiting spacecraft for both Europa and Ganymede, the bill provides \$30,000,000 within funds provided for Outer Planets to continue studies of this flagship mission.

International lunar network.—NASA shall immediately move forward with this mission.

Solar Probe Plus.—The bill includes \$14,100,000 for the Solar Probe Plus mission, and NASA is directed to work aggressively to achieve a launch in 2016.

Wide Field Infrared Survey Telescope (WFIRST).—WFIRST was identified as the first priority in the recent astronomy and astrophysics decadal survey. NASA is strongly encouraged to make a robust request for WFIRST in fiscal year 2012 that builds on the work of the Joint Dark Energy Mission project.

Civil service labor and expenses.—Although funds for the Science account are made available for two years, NASA shall manage civil service labor and expenses within the account on a one-year basis.

Details of funding for the Science Mission Directorate are provided in the following table.

Science Mission Directorate (dollars in thousands)

Earth Science	
Earth Science Research	438,100
Earth Science Research and Analysis	324,600
Computing and Management	113,500
Earth Systematic Missions	809,400
Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM)	128,800

<i>Science Mission Directorate (dollars in thousands)—Continued</i>	
Glory Mission	21,900
Landsat Data Continuity Mission (LDCM)	156,800
NPOESS Preparatory Project (NPP)	64,400
Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite (ICESat-2)	68,500
Soil Moisture Active and Passive (SMAP)	82,500
Other Missions and Data Analysis	286,500
Earth System Science Pathfinder	307,700
Aquarius	17,000
OCO-2	171,000
Venture Class Missions	73,500
Other Missions and Data Analysis	46,200
Earth Science Multi-Mission Operations	161,200
Earth Science Technology	52,800
Applied Sciences	32,600
Subtotal, Earth Science	1,801,800
Planetary Science	
Planetary Science Research	180,400
Planetary Science Research and Analysis	131,100
Other Missions and Data Analysis	23,900
Education and Directorate Management	5,100
Near Earth Object Observations	20,300
Lunar Quest Program	136,600
Lunar Science	74,700
Lunar Atmosphere and Dust Environment Explorer	57,900
International Lunar Network	4,000
Discovery	202,000
Gravity Recovery and Interior Laboratory (GRAIL)	104,800
Other Missions and Data Analysis	97,200
New Frontiers	214,500
Juno	184,200
Other Missions and Data Analysis	30,300
Mars Exploration	532,800
2009 Mars Science Lab	231,600
MAVEN	161,200
Other Missions and Data Analysis	140,000
Outer Planets	112,800
Technology	106,500
Subtotal, Planetary Science	1,485,600
Astrophysics	
Astrophysics Research	155,500
Astrophysics Research and Analysis	60,100
Balloon Project	27,100
Other Missions and Data Analysis	68,300
Cosmic Origins	687,100
Hubble Space Telescope (HST)	102,700
James Webb Space Telescope (JWST)	444,800
Stratospheric Observatory for Infrared Astronomy (SOFIA)	79,600
Other Missions And Data Analysis	60,000
Physics of the Cosmos	102,300
Exoplanet Exploration	42,200
Astrophysics Explorer	89,200
Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array (NuStar)	32,100
Gravity and Extreme Magnetism	21,000
Other Missions and Data Analysis	36,100
Subtotal, Astrophysics	1,076,300

<i>Science Mission Directorate (dollars in thousands)—Continued</i>	
Heliophysics	
Heliophysics Research	165,200
Heliophysics Research and Analysis	30,700
Sounding Rockets	48,900
Research Range	19,600
Other Missions and Data Analysis	66,000
Living with a Star	213,600
Radiation Belt Storm Probes (RBSP)	140,000
Solar Probe Plus	14,100
Other Missions and Data Analysis	59,500
Solar Terrestrial Probes	162,800
Magnetospheric Multiscale (MMS)	143,800
Other Missions and Data Analysis	19,000
Heliophysics Explorer Program	100,200
IRIS	69,000
Other Missions and Data Analysis	31,200
New Millennium	100
Subtotal, Heliophysics	641,900
Total, Science	5,005,600

AERONAUTICS

The bill provides \$579,600,000 for aeronautics.

Civil service labor and expenses.—Although funds for the Aeronautics account are made available for two years, NASA shall manage civil service labor and expenses within the account on a one-year basis.

Green aviation.—NASA is directed to establish a lead Center of Excellence (COE) for Green Aviation at an existing NASA Research Center with appropriate expertise and comprehensive test facilities. This COE shall coordinate the program as it grows and establish public-private partnerships with industry and academia to test and develop subsystems, full scale concept aircraft and other technologies in realistic flight environments up to technology readiness level 6. NASA shall report on its detailed implementation of these tasks by April 1, 2011.

SPACE RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY

The bill provides \$559,000,000 for Space Research and Technology including funding for Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) and Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR) programs, for exploration technology research and development proposed within the Exploration account, and for general space research and technology. NASA shall propose an appropriate allocation of funds for this account, including commercial reusable suborbital research, as part of its operating plan for fiscal year 2011. Consequently, no detail is provided herein.

Exploration technology development.—Any activities requested as part of exploration technology development under the Exploration account, including initiation of the Flagship 1 program, may be funded in this account.

Low technology readiness space research and technology.—The general space research and technology element of this program will deal with technologies at low levels of technology readiness. NASA is directed to pattern the management of this program element on the proven advanced research projects agency model. It will be critical that NASA attract visionary technology leaders to limited-term positions as program managers at NASA Headquarters because their decisions will determine the success of this program. To en-

sure broad outreach to the best centers of new and innovative technologies, no NASA center shall be assigned a role in the management of this program, and NASA center research and development shall not be accorded any preference over research by public and private entities outside NASA.

Civil service labor and expenses.—Although funds for the Space Research and Technology account are made available for two years, NASA shall manage civil service labor and expenses within the account on a one-year basis.

EXPLORATION

The conference agreement provides \$3,706,000,000 for exploration. Within funds provided, no less than \$300,000,000 shall be for Commercial Cargo; no less than \$250,000,000 shall be for Commercial Crew; no less than \$1,800,000,000 shall be for development of a heavy lift launch vehicle system; and no less than \$1,200,000,000 shall be for development of the Orion multipurpose crew exploration vehicle.

Heavy lift launch vehicle.—The bill provides \$1,800,000,000 to begin building an integrated heavy lift launch vehicle system for crew and cargo. The system shall enable human transportation at the highest possible safety standards and lowest life cycle costs for human exploration beyond low Earth orbit and shall be designed, managed, and integrated by the Marshall Space Flight Center. This funding shall be part of a sustained, parallel development effort of a common core and an upper stage to culminate in an initial human space transportation capability by 2016. The heavy lift launch vehicle, as authorized in Public Law 111-267, shall have an initial lift capability of not less than 130 tons to low Earth orbit, have the capability to lift the necessary elements for missions beyond low Earth orbit in order to extend human exploration capabilities, and serve as back-up for crew and cargo transportation to the International Space Station. No funding from this appropriation for the vehicle shall be used for technology development, crew vehicle development, or supporting mission or ground operations systems development. The program shall be managed under a strict cost cap of \$11,500,000,000 through fiscal year 2017. Within 60 days of enactment of this Act, NASA shall report to the Committees on Appropriations on planned milestones, draft requirements, the conceptual design of the heavy lift launch vehicle, planned ground and early flight testing programs and deliverables for the heavy lift launch vehicle program, along with any existing contract vehicles the agency intends to use. As part of this report, NASA shall evaluate the preceding recommended cost cap and either validate the proposed cap or provide a viable and validated alternative.

Orion multipurpose crew exploration vehicle.—The bill provides \$1,200,000,000 for the Orion multipurpose crew exploration vehicle that will enable human transportation beyond low Earth orbit. The vehicle shall be capable of being launched on the heavy lift launch vehicle and may also provide alternative access to low Earth orbit, including the International Space Station by fiscal year 2014. The program shall be managed under a strict cost cap of \$5,500,000,000 through fiscal year 2017. No funding from this appropriation for the Orion capsule shall be used for technology development, heavy lift launch vehicle development, or supporting mission or ground operations systems development. Within 60 days of enactment of this Act, NASA shall report to the

Committees on planned milestones, expected performance and configurations, a planned testing program, and deliverables for the crew exploration vehicle program, along with any suggestions for streamlining oversight. As part of this report, NASA shall evaluate the preceding cost cap and either validate the cap or provide a viable and validated alternative.

Robotic precursor program.—NASA shall consolidate all elements of the lunar lander and exploration robotics programs and locate project management at the Marshall Space Flight Center as proposed.

Additional funds.—The unallocated amount of \$156,000,000 may be used for activities requested under human research or robotic precursors or to augment or enhance other activities explicitly funded under Exploration. Funding for exploration technology development is provided as part of Space Research and Technology.

Civil service labor and expenses.—Although funds for the Exploration account are made available for two years, NASA shall manage civil service labor and expenses within the account on a one-year basis.

SPACE OPERATIONS

The bill provides \$5,247,900,000 for space operations including \$989,100,000 for Space Shuttle operations and support; \$825,000,000 for an additional Space Shuttle logistics flight, launch complex development, and development of ground operations for the heavy lift launch vehicle and the Orion crew exploration vehicle; \$2,745,000,000 for International Space Station operations, maintenance, research, development and support; and \$688,800,000 for Space and Flight Support operations, production, research, development and support.

Additional shuttle logistics flight and launch complex development.—Prior to obligation of any funds for an additional shuttle logistics flight the NASA Administrator shall certify to the Committees on Appropriations that an additional shuttle flight will be at least as safe as the remaining flights on the shuttle manifest dated February 28, 2010, that the intended mission is in the national interest, and that the risks to be incurred are commensurate with the benefits.

Any funds not spent on an additional shuttle logistics flight shall be available for: launch complex development only for activities at the Kennedy Space Center related to the civil, non-defense launch complex; use at other NASA flight facilities that are currently scheduled to launch cargo to the International Space Station; and development of ground operations for the heavy lift launch vehicle and the Orion crew exploration vehicle. No funds are provided for the refurbishment of ET-94.

Within 60 days of enactment of this Act, NASA shall provide the Committees on Appropriations the five-year plan for the civil launch complex and other NASA flight facilities and a plan and budget estimate for development of ground and mission operations to support the heavy lift launch vehicle and the Orion crew exploration vehicle. As part of this plan, NASA shall clearly delineate the respective responsibilities of ground and mission operations development and operations as distinct from the responsibilities of the heavy lift launch vehicle and Orion crew exploration vehicle; explain how the recommended plan will provide the lowest life cycle costs for human exploration beyond low Earth orbit; and supply cost estimates for development and operations to serve as the basis for an appropriate cost cap on development and an achievable target level of annual operating costs.

Any funds for construction activities shall be transferred to the construction and environmental compliance and restoration account and become available for five years.

Satellite servicing.—Within the amounts provided for space operations, \$75,000,000 is for continuing efforts in use of the next generation of human space flight architecture to service existing and future observatory-class scientific spacecraft as identified in the conference report accompanying division B of Public Law 111-8. The activities to be undertaken shall be a joint project of the Space Operations, Science, and Exploration mission directorates, and shall include technology demonstrations for both robotic and human servicing capabilities.

International Space Station national laboratory.—NASA shall provide the guaranteed access for International Space Station (ISS) national laboratory experiments provided in Section 504(d)(1) of Public Law 111-267.

Disposition of space shuttle orbiters.—Following the retirement of the space shuttles, NASA should bear any costs that normally would be associated with surplusing the orbiters, including taking hazardous orbiter systems offline. Any shuttle recipient should bear transportation costs, along with costs associated with preparing the surplused orbiter for display. If an orbiter is awarded to the Smithsonian Institution, NASA shall provide it at nominal or no charge. Any funds received from shuttle orbiter disposition shall be available only as provided in subsequent appropriations Acts.

Civil service labor and expenses.—Although funds for the Space Operations account are made available for two years, NASA shall manage civil service labor and expenses within the account on a one-year basis.

EDUCATION

The bill provides \$180,000,000 for education including \$44,800,000 for Space Grant programs. The bill makes a technical amendment related to the availability of the Endeavor Teacher Fellowship Trust Fund.

National space grant college and fellowship program.—NASA is directed to fund 42 states or jurisdictions at \$900,000 each and 10 states or jurisdictions at \$700,000 each. No funds for administrative costs may be withheld by NASA from these grants.

Educational activities at NASA centers.—NASA is directed to fund each of the ten NASA center visitor centers at \$1,000,000 for the development of educational activities. No funds for administrative costs may be withheld by NASA from these grants.

Informal education grant program.—NASA is directed to use \$8,000,000 to fund competitively selected grants to informal education institutions for science, technology, engineering, and mathematics education activities including partnerships between these institutions and school systems to accomplish inquiry-based education. No funds for administrative costs may be withheld by NASA from these grants.

Minority university research and education program.—NASA is directed to fund this program at \$30,000,000.

Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research.—NASA is directed to fund this program at \$24,900,000.

Civil service labor and expenses.—Although funds for the Education account are made available for two years, NASA shall manage civil service labor and expenses within the account on a one-year basis.

CROSS AGENCY SUPPORT

The bill provides \$3,085,700,000 for Cross Agency Support including \$2,270,200,000 for

NASA center management and operations; \$47,500,000 for independent verification and validation (IV&V); and \$56,125,000 for congressionally-designated projects that are included by reference. Funds are made available for one year.

Activities of the Chief Engineer.—NASA is directed to fund these activities at the amount included in the budget request.

Acquisition workforce increase.—Within funds provided, up to \$3,592,000, as requested, may be used to enhance NASA's acquisition workforce.

Affordability adjustment.—The bill assumes a general reduction that may be applied to unobligated balances from prior years and to amounts provided herein. Such reductions, which shall be detailed in NASA's initial operating plan, shall not be assessed against those activities augmented by the bill or otherwise addressed by this explanatory statement.

Employee performance communications system (EPCS).—In lieu of the report requested in the Senate report to S. 3636, Government Accountability Office [GAO] shall assess NASA's EPCS. To the extent practical, GAO should assess whether EPCS, as implemented by NASA, utilizes leading human capital practices and results in a system that provides both accountability and fairness for all employees. GAO should also include in its assessment the extent to which NASA's EPCS has a process for planning, monitoring, developing, assessing, and rewarding employee performance that is aligned to the agency's goals and promotes a performance culture that focuses on two-way communication and accountability for results, and clearly differentiates between high and low performing employees. NASA's Office of Diversity and Equal Opportunity shall complete its assessment of the issues raised in the Senate report regarding equal opportunity and inequity among supervisory and non-supervisory staff and NASA shall respond appropriately to those findings.

Allocation of funds to specific program elements.—Details of the funding break-out within Cross Agency Support are provided in the following table.

Cross Agency Support (dollars in thousands)	
Center Management and Operations	2,270,200
Center Institutional Capabilities	1,776,200
Center Programmatic Capabilities	494,000
Agency Management and Operations	809,200
Agency Management	400,000
Safety and Mission Success ...	204,200
Safety and Mission Assurance	49,000
Chief Engineer	103,600
Chief Health and Medical Officer	4,100
Independent Verification and Validation	47,500
Agency IT Services (AITS)	177,800
IT Management	16,100
Applications	79,100
Infrastructure	82,600
Strategic Capabilities Assets	
Program	29,800
Simulators	11,700
Thermal Vacuum Chambers	8,400
Arc Jets	9,700
Congressionally Directed Items	56,125
Affordability adjustment	-52,425

Total, Cross Agency Support 3,085,700
Congressionally-designated projects.—Within the appropriation for cross agency support

programs, the conference agreement provides for the following congressionally-directed activities:

National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Cross Agency Support
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Anne Arundel County Public Schools, Annapolis, MD	STEM Innovation Incubator	1,000
Bay Area Houston Economic Partnership, Houston, TX	Space Alliance Technology Outreach Program	2,000
Baylor University, Waco, TX	Baylor Advanced Composite Materials Research and Baylor Research Innovation Collaborative	2,300
Baylor University, Waco, TX	Space Sciences Consortium	1,000
City of Downey, Downey, CA	Remote Sensing Exhibit	500
College Opportunity Resources for Education, Philadelphia, PA	STEM Scholarship Program	1,500
Dallas County Community College District, Dallas, TX	STEM Professions Initiative	300
Delaware AeroSpace Education Foundation, Smyrna, DE	Science and Technology Literacy	175
Dillard University, New Orleans, LA	Dillard University Eighth Grade Initiative	300
Fulton-Montgomery Community College, Johnstown, NY	Center for Engineering and Technology	400
Glenville State College, Glenville, WV	Center of Teacher Excellence	10,000
GEAR UP Collaborative, Philadelphia, PA	Math, Science and Technology Enrichment Program	2,000
Imiloa Astronomy Center, Honolulu, HI	Imiloa Astronomy Center	2,500
Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL	Advanced Algorithm, Integration, and Maturation	500
Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL	Development of Characterization Techniques for Advanced High Temperature Materials in Space Launch Applications	500
Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL	NASA Space Nuclear Power Systems Research and Development	500
Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL	Product Lifecycle Management	500
Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, TN	Aviation Safety and Human Factors Research Using Emerging Technologies	400
Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, TN	Future Aerospace Scientists in Tennessee	300
Mississippi State University, Starkville, MS	Linking Disruptive Manufacturing Research with Manufacturing Industries	1,250
Morgan State University, University of Maryland Eastern Shore, and Bowie State University, Baltimore, MD	Chesapeake Information Based Aeronautics Consortium	3,000
National Commission on Teaching and America's Future, Towson, MD	NASA "21st Century Learning Studios"	300
National Institute for Aviation Research, Wichita, KS	National Center for Advanced Materials Performance	950
New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM	Science, Engineering, Math, Aerospace Academy	200
North Carolina A&T University, Greensboro, NC	Low-Power Microelectronics for Harsh Environments Encountered in NASA Missions	200

National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Cross Agency Support
Congressionally-designated Items
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Northern Kentucky University Research Foundation, Highland Heights, KY	STEM Outreach Initiative	200
Rochester Area Colleges, Inc., Rochester, NY	Center for Excellence in Math and Science	500
Southeast Missouri State University, Cape Girardeau, MO	Missouri NASA Math, Science and Technology Education Enhancement Program	500
Space Florida, Cape Canaveral, FL	Clean Energy Initiatives	750
Space Florida, Cape Canaveral, FL	Launch Complex-36/46 Infrastructure	1,650
Spelman College, Atlanta, GA	Women in Science and Engineering Program	100
St. Louis Community College, St. Louis, MO	Missouri Challenge	500
Teach for America, New York, NY	Teach for America STEM Activities	3,000
Tennessee Technological University, Cookeville, TN	STEM Innovation Through Digital Manufacturing	200
Towson University, Coppin State University, and University of Maryland Biotechnology Institute, Towson and Baltimore, MD	Baltimore Excellence in Science Teaching Partnership	1,000
University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL	Airborne Sensor for Disasters and Environmental Monitoring	500
University of Louisville, Louisville, KY	University of Louisville Diagnosing and Mitigating Human Exposure to Radiation	2,000
University of Maryland, College Park, MD	University-Assisted K-12 Engineering Education Program	800
University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Amherst, MA	Large Millimeter Telescope	500
University of Mississippi, Oxford, MS	National Center for Remote Sensing, Air, and Space Law	1,400
University of Mississippi, University, MS	Innovative STEM Education	200
University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND	Upper Midwest Aerospace Consortium	3,000
University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls, IA	National Institute for Early Mathematics and Science Education	200
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS	Transitioning Space Technologies into the Commercial Sector	1,750
University of Toledo, Toledo, OH	Advanced Photovoltaics Array Testing	1,000
University of Vermont, Burlington, VT	Complex Systems Center	1,300
West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	Automatic Sensor Webs for Scientific Discovery	500
West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	Aviation Safety Research and Design	500
Wheeling Jesuit University, Wheeling, WV	National Technology Transfer Center	1,500

CONSTRUCTION AND ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE AND RESTORATION

The bill provides \$508,700,000 and \$20,000,000 to be derived from available unobligated balances appropriated in previous years. The recommendation fully supports the requests in the budget. NASA is directed to allocate these funds as follows:

Science	\$40,500,000
Exploration	109,800,000
Space operations	15,600,000
Cross agency support	362,800,000
Institutional investments	(300,700,000)
Environmental compliance and restoration ...	(62,100,000)

Historic buildings.—Within funds provided for cross agency support construction, NASA is directed to use \$20,000,000 for restoration of historic buildings.

Provision of form 1509.—NASA is directed to continue to provide NASA form 1509 for each construction of facilities (CoF) project submitted in the annual budget request or an initial operating plan and for each CoF project subject to a reprogramming notification.

Capabilities for the future.—NASA is urged to request funding for aeronautics, science, and institutional construction of facilities to address at least 20 percent per year of the need identified in the National Research Council report *Capabilities for the Future—An Assessment of NASA Laboratories for Basic Research*.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement provides \$37,500,000 for the Office of Inspector General, which shall be available for one year.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The bill contains language regarding the availability of funds for announced prizes.

The bill contains language providing for the transfer of certain amounts between appropriations accounts.

The bill contains language regarding transfers of unexpired balances.

The bill contains a provision that stipulates that funding designations and minimum funding requirements contained in any other Act shall not apply to funds appropriated in this Act for NASA.

The bill includes language permitting transfer of up to \$60,000,000 from NASA Exploration and Space Operations accounts to the Economic Development Administration to promote economic development and ease workforce transition related to the retirement of the Space Shuttle and programmatic changes in the Exploration program.

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Continuity in funding levels.—The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) included \$3,002,000,000 in funding for the National Science Foundation (NSF). Roughly two-thirds of these supplemental funds have supported 2-, 3-, 4-, and 5-year grants. Consistent with normal NSF practice, the majority of the awards fund 3-year grants. In the Rising Above the Gathering Storm Revisited report of the National Research Council the authors state, “Without new actions the precipitous reduction in efforts that were being funded by that mechanism will be very damaging to America’s future ability to compete for jobs in the global marketplace.” The fiscal year 2011 budget request projects NSF funding in fiscal year 2012 of \$7,766,000,000 compared to \$8,490,000,000 projected for that year by the budget request for fiscal year 2010. This lower level is only 4.6 percent above the budget request for fis-

cal year 2011 and \$1,104,420,000 below the total funding level for fiscal year 2009. Should private sector R&D employment not grow sufficiently to make up the difference, the resulting downturn in employment and career opportunities in science, engineering and mathematics will undermine the objectives articulated in Rising Above the Gathering Storm to “make the U.S. the most attractive setting in which to study and perform research so that we can develop, recruit, and retain the best and brightest students, scientists, and engineers from within the U.S. and throughout the world.”

RESEARCH AND RELATED ACTIVITIES (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$5,949,080,000 for research and related activities, including a transfer of \$54,000,000 from NSF to the United States Coast Guard (USCG) for icebreaking services to cover all anticipated operation and maintenance costs for fiscal year 2011. It is expected that all future year operation and maintenance budget authority for these USCG icebreakers will be requested by the Department of Homeland Security, and the Committees will not entertain a request for this funding under NSF in fiscal year 2012.

Within the funds provided, NSF is directed to maintain funding at the levels requested for the following activities:

Climate change
Cyber-enabled discovery and innovation
Science and engineering beyond Moore’s law
Cybersecurity Research
National Radio Astronomy Observatory
National Astronomy and Ionosphere Center.—NSF is directed to maintain support for the National Astronomy and Ionosphere Center at the same level as in fiscal year 2010.

Hydrology, terrestrial ecosystems and soils.—NSF shall strengthen integration and coordination, including interagency coordination, of data systems, observations, and modeling across hydrology research, across soil science, and across terrestrial ecology research.

Gemini telescope.—NSF is directed to increase U.S. support of the Gemini telescope by \$2,000,000 above the request in order to acquire additional telescope time for NSF investigators.

EPSCoR.—Within the funds provided, \$156,000,000 is for EPSCoR.

Inquiry-based science and engineering courses.—NSF is strongly encouraged to fund augmentations of research grants to support development and implementation of inquiry-based, experiential science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) courses for college freshmen, sophomores, or non-majors whereby students develop the habits of mind of a scientist.

Robotics research.—NSF shall increase support for robotics research that brings together products of the Beyond Moore’s Law and Nanotechnology initiatives along with rapid advances in computer science, electronics, and sensing.

MAJOR RESEARCH EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION

The bill provides \$157,190,000 for Major Research Equipment and Facilities Construction. None of the funds may be used to reimburse the Judgment fund. The following table shows the specific projects for which funding was requested and is provided.

Advanced Ligo	\$23,580,000
Atacama Large Millimeter Array (ALMA)	13,910,000
Advanced Technology Solar Telescope (ATST)	17,000,000

NEON	12,000,000
Ocean Observatories Initiative (OOI)	90,700,000

NSF shall be mindful that research systems for modeling and observing the environment have the potential to demonstrate techniques that may become part of operational systems.

EDUCATION AND HUMAN RESOURCES

The bill provides \$900,000,000 for Education and Human Resources. Adjustments to the budget request for specific programs are as follows:

Informal Science Education	+1,600,000
Pilot comprehensive initiatives in Inquiry-Based Education	+64,000,000
Historically Black Colleges and Universities UP	+32,000,000
Louis Stokes Alliances for Minority Participation ...	+44,750,000
Tribal Colleges and Universities	+14,250,000
Federal Cyber Service: Scholarships for Service/Cybercorps	+30,000,000

Graduate STEM fellows in K-12 education.—All proposals for fellows programs shall require science and engineering fellows to be trained in inquiry-based instruction and prepared to support this approach in the schools where they are assigned. NSF may broaden this program to allow fellow assignments in community colleges.

Inquiry-based college courses in STEM.—NSF shall use at least 20 percent of the funds provided for Transforming Undergraduate Education in STEM to support the development and implementation of inquiry-based, experiential STEM instruction in courses for freshmen, sophomores, and non-majors whereby the students develop the habits of mind of a scientist.

Within the Discovery Research K-12 program, NSF shall competitively select and fund at least four 4-year pilot comprehensive initiatives to demonstrate how to effectively implement and sustain inquiry-based, experiential instruction in K-12 STEM classes. The aim is to ensure that K-12 courses support the development in students of the habits of mind of a scientist. From among the highly reviewed proposals, NSF shall select pilots in at least one rural and one urban setting, from both primary and secondary instructional levels, and from different regions of the country. Each pilot is to incorporate the full range of activities necessary including teacher education, mentoring, and support; implementation in one or more schools; student assessment; and project evaluation. Each pilot should provide a report documenting the experience; identifying evidence about best practices and lessons learned, including a recommended implementation plan; evaluation of curricula, materials and assessment instruments used; and student learning outcomes. These reports shall be in a form suitable for use by other schools and school systems and be made widely available. As appropriate, pilots may be extended with additional funding in future fiscal years.

Robotics competitions.—Not less than \$2,000,000 is for competitive grants to promote STEM education through robotics competitions. Within 60 days of enactment of this Act NSF shall provide a report and spend plan to the Committees on Appropriations that details the proposed scope and implementation methodology for this program.

Robert Noyce teacher scholarships.—The bill provides \$55,000,000 for the Robert Noyce Teacher Scholarship program.

Best practices in K-12 STEM education.—NSF has yet to submit an interim or final report on the work of a panel of experts on STEM education called for in the statement of managers accompanying Public Law 111-117. NSF shall submit this report expeditiously and distribute widely the findings and recommendations of the report to U.S. educators.

AGENCY OPERATIONS AND AWARD MANAGEMENT

The bill provides \$319,190,000 for agency operations and award management. NSF may use up to \$2,000,000 within funds provided to increase the Foundation's acquisition workforce.

Within 90 days of enactment of this Act, NSF shall provide to the Committees on Appropriations a report detailing total personnel costs, excluding costs for program travel and travel support for reviewers, for operating the Foundation, including rotators, IPAs, and support contractors. The report shall detail the accounts and directorates within Research and Related Activities that are the source of this funding.

OFFICE OF THE NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD

The bill provides \$4,840,000 for the National Science Board.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The bill provides \$14,700,000 for the Office of Inspector General (OIG). Within 180 days of enactment of this Act, the OIG shall develop a report analyzing NSF actions to improve workforce management and work environment.

TITLE IV

RELATED AGENCIES

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$9,400,000 for the Commission on Civil Rights.

Improving oversight.—Chronic financial and managerial problems at the Commission have been allowed to continue for too long without sufficient attention. In order to rectify this situation, the bill establishes an Inspector General for the Commission and provides that the post will be filled by the individual holding the position of Inspector General at the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). The IG is tasked with the duties and responsibilities specified in the Inspector General Act of 1978, to include the conduct of audits and reviews of Commission programs, finances and personnel. Funding for the operation of the Commission's OIG, in the amount of \$900,000, is provided by transfer to the EEOC OIG.

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$355,303,000 for EEOC Salaries and Expenses.

Backlog reduction.—In order to advance EEOC toward its backlog reduction goals, the bill supports an increase in EEOC personnel, which shall be prioritized toward the hiring of frontline staff that have the biggest impact on case resolutions. To assist in the monitoring of EEOC's hiring progress, EEOC is directed to continue submitting quarterly staffing reports, consistent with the direction provided in the statement accompanying P.L. 111-117.

Full service intake.—EEOC is currently reviewing and considering the adoption of a National Full Service Intake Model, which would create dedicated charge intake units in each field office to handle the intake process from pre-charge counseling through

charge filing. EEOC is directed to submit a report detailing its views on this model to the Committees on Appropriations within 120 days of the enactment of this Act.

Federal sector hearings.—EEOC is directed to submit a copy of the updated Federal Sector hearing plan (adjusted for stakeholder input) to the Committees on Appropriations prior to the implementation of such plan.

Office of Inspector General (OIG).—The appropriating paragraph for the Commission on Civil Rights establishes that EEOC's Inspector General will simultaneously hold the post of Inspector General of the Commission on Civil Rights. The funds needed by the EEOC OIG to carry out this new responsibility are provided by transfer directly from the Commission on Civil Rights budget.

STATE AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE

The bill provides \$30,000,000 for EEOC State and Local Assistance.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

The bill includes \$87,000,000 for the International Trade Commission (ITC) for fiscal year 2011.

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

PAYMENT TO THE LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

The bill provides \$440,000,000 for the Legal Services Corporation (LSC).

Governance and management.—Continuing reports of governance and management issues at LSC undermine the credibility of the organization and complicate efforts to direct additional funds into legal aid, where they are desperately needed. Progress has been made in completing action on reform recommendations identified by GAO and the LSC Inspector General, but some recommendations remain outstanding and additional issues have been raised. The timely resolution of these issues must be a priority of the Corporation so that management and governance questions do not continue to overshadow the good work being done by LSC and its grantees.

Cost effectiveness studies.—LSC is directed to continue its collaboration with DOJ to conduct a national level economic study of the cost effectiveness of legal aid that would expand on existing state models and establish more broadly applicable results.

Private attorney involvement.—All LSC grantees are required to take steps to incentivize private attorney involvement in their programs, but these efforts are not performing up to their potential. By making a more aggressive push for pro bono private attorney services, grantees could alleviate some pressure on their own budgets and increase the number of clients served. LSC is urged to seek further action from its grantees to improve private attorney participation.

Legal aid fellowships.—LSC is reportedly considering a proposal to create a fellowship program for retirees or recent law school graduates who will commit to working in legal aid for a designated period of time. LSC is directed to conduct a study of this proposal in order to further develop how such a fellowship program would work and how much it would cost to implement. LSC shall report to the Committees on Appropriations with the results of that study no later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION—LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

Title V of the bill revises the LSC administrative provision to permit the use of funds for the pursuit of class action cases on behalf of individuals eligible for legal aid. This revision

will better enable LSC grantees to address systemic problems, such as predatory lending and wrongful eviction, in a more efficient manner by consolidating cases. All other restrictions are unchanged.

MARINE MAMMAL COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill includes \$3,500,000 for the Marine Mammal Commission (MMC). Within the funds provided, the MMC will be able to establish an interagency task force to harmonize environmental analyses without compromising the integrity of the analytical results, which has the potential to save millions of dollars every year; continue efforts to build capacity for marine mammal research and conservation in foreign and international waters; and respond to Deepwater Horizon oil spill events, including conducting reviews of lessons learned among the relevant agencies and governments, assessment of the effects of the spill on marine mammals, and monitoring and documenting recovery rates.

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

The bill includes \$48,000,000 for the Office of the U.S. Trade Representative (USTR).

Office of Legislative Affairs.—USTR's Office of Legislative Affairs has a responsibility to provide Congress with information, including the timely submittal of fiscal year budget justifications and the appropriate points of contact, neither of which occurred during the fiscal year 2011 budget cycle.

Travel.—USTR is directed to submit a report detailing travel expenditures of all senior staff on a monthly basis to the Committees on Appropriations.

World Trade Organization (WTO).—USTR is directed to continue to negotiate within the WTO, in consultation with the Department of Commerce, to seek express recognition of the existing right of WTO members to distribute monies collected from antidumping and countervailing duties as they deem appropriate. USTR shall consult with and provide regular reports on the negotiations to the Committees on Appropriations every 60 days upon enactment of this Act. The agency is also directed to conduct negotiations within the WTO consistent with the objectives of the Trade Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-210), maintain strong U.S. trade remedies laws, prevent overreaching by WTO Panels and the WTO Appellate Body, and prevent the creation of obligations to which the United States has never agreed.

STATE JUSTICE INSTITUTE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$6,273,000 for the State Justice Institute.

COMMISSION ON WARTIME RELOCATION AND INTERNMENT OF LATIN AMERICANS OF JAPANESE DESCENT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$1,700,000 for the Commission on Wartime Relocation and Internment of Latin Americans of Japanese Descent.

TITLE V

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sections 501 through 511, sections 513 through 528, section 531, and sections 533 through 535 continue general provisions without substantive change from previous years.

Section 512 delays the obligations of any receipts deposited into the Crime Victims Fund in excess of \$820,000,000 until October 1, 2011. This language is continued to ensure a stable source of funds will remain available

for the program, despite inconsistent levels of criminal fines deposited annually into the Fund.

Section 529 amends Public Law 104-134 to permit the use of Legal Services Corporation funds for class action lawsuits on behalf of individuals eligible for legal aid.

Section 530 provides for rescissions of unobligated balances in certain departments and agencies funded in this Act.

Section 532 prohibits the use of funds to pay the attendance of more than 50 employees at any single conference outside the United States, except for law enforcement training and/or operational conferences for law enforcement personnel when the majority of Federal employees in attendance are law enforcement personnel stationed outside the United States.

Section 536 requires agencies funded under the Act to submit quarterly reports to their Inspector General or senior ethics official regarding the costs and contracting procedures

relating to conferences for which the cost to the Government is more than \$20,000.

Section 537 requires the Department of Justice, the Department of Commerce, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and the National Science Foundation to submit reports related to Federal agency clean energy sustainability goals and targets.

Section 538 makes adjustments to prior year congressionally-designated projects.

Section 539 authorizes the establishment of a Commission on Wartime Relocation and Internment of Latin Americans of Japanese Descent.

Section 540 requires the Legal Services Corporation to comply with audits by the Government Accountability Office and the Corporation's Inspector General.

Section 541 allows Federal Prison Industries (FPI) to participate in the Prison Industries Enhancement Certification program and allows FPI to carry out pilot projects to

produce items that are no longer produced in the United States.

Section 542 authorizes the establishment of a National Criminal Justice Commission.

DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

Following is a list of congressional earmarks and congressionally directed spending items (as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, respectively) included in the bill or this explanatory statement, along with the name of each Senator, House Member, Delegate, or Resident Commissioner who submitted a request to the Committee of jurisdiction for each item so identified. Neither the bill nor the explanatory statement contains any limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in the applicable House and Senate rules.

DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND CON-
GRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING
ITEMS

Following is a list of congressional ear-
marks and congressionally directed spending
items (as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI of

the Rules of the House of Representatives
and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the
Senate, respectively) included in the bill or
this explanatory statement, along with the
name of each Senator, House Member, Dele-
gate, or Resident Commissioner who sub-

mitted a request to the House or Senate
Committee of jurisdiction for each item so
identified. Neither the bill nor the explana-
tory statement contains any limited tax ben-
efits or limited tariff benefits as defined in
the applicable House and Senate rules.

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE
(Presidentially Directed Spending Items)

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
DOI	NDIC	National Drug Intelligence Center, Johnstown, PA	Operations of the NDIC	\$44,580,000	Administration	Senate
					The President	

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Agency	Account	Recipient	Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
DOC	IIA	Cornell University, Ithaca, NY	National Textile Center—Cornell University	\$400,000	Hinchee	Schumer
DOC	IIA	John H. Chalker Center for International Business, Smithfield, RI	Rhode Island Export Development Program (RIEDP)	\$500,000		Reed, Whitehouse
DOC	IIA	Medgar Evers College of the City University of New York, Brooklyn, NY	Brooklyn International Trade Development Center	\$400,000	Towms, Clarke	
DOC	IIA	North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC	National Textile Center Research	\$500,000	Priest (NC), Butterfield, Ethridge, Kissell, Miller (NC), Watt	Hagan
DOC	IIA	Philadelphia Hispanic Chamber of Commerce Services, Philadelphia, PA	Small Business Latin American Trade and Education Program	\$100,000		Specter
DOC	IIA	Textile/Clothing Technology Corporation, Cary, NC	Textile Research Programs	\$1,000,000	Priest (NC), Butterfield, Kissell, Miller (NC), Spratt, Watt	Graham, Burr, Hagan
DOC	IIA	University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE	International Trade Scholars Program	\$500,000		Nelson, Ben
DOC	NIST	Glenville State College, Glenville, WV	Center of Teacher Excellence	\$2,000,000	Mullohan	
DOC	NIST	Mississippi State University, Starkville, MS	Life Sciences Commercialization Laboratory	\$4,000,000		Cochran, Wicker
DOC	NIST	Rhode Island School of Design, Providence, RI	Facility for Advanced Visualization Technologies	\$750,000		Reed, Whitehouse
DOC	NIST	University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL	Interdisciplinary Science and Engineering Teaching and Research Corridor	\$30,000,000		Shelby
DOC	NIST	University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI	Hawaii Open Supercomputing Center and Coral Biodiversity	\$3,000,000		Inouye
DOC	NIST	University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY	University of Kentucky Evaluation of Firefighter Turnout Gear for Safety	\$275,000		McConnell
DOC	NIST	University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS	Mississippi Biotechnology Research Park	\$8,000,000		Cochran, Wicker
DOC	NIST	University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI	Rhode Island Consortium for Nanoscience and Nanotechnology	\$1,750,000	Langevin	Reed, Whitehouse
DOC	NIST	University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS	Mississippi Polymer Institute	\$5,000,000		Cochran, Wicker
DOC	NOAA	Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences, East Boothbay, ME	US National Culture Collection for Marine Phytoplankton	\$300,000		Collins
DOC	NOAA	Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, OH	Monitoring of Lake Erie Water Quality with Remote Sensing	\$250,000	Kaplan	Voinovich, Brown, Sherrod
DOC	NOAA	Coastal Restoration and Enhancement through Science and Technology, Baton Rouge, LA	OREST Program Comparative Grants and Science Support	\$2,000,000	Cao, Melancon	Landrieu, Vitter
DOC	NOAA	Colville Confederated Tribes, Nespalem, WA	Salmon Hatchery and Harvest Reform Project	\$500,000	Dicks	
DOC	NOAA	Dauphin Island Sea Lab, Dauphin Island, AL	NOAA Independent Data Collection in the Gulf of Mexico	\$4,000,000		Shelby
DOC	NOAA	Ferris State University, Big Rapids, MI	Ferris State Interdisciplinary Research, Technology, and Economic Development Program	\$400,000	Kilpatrick (MI)	
DOC	NOAA	Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Tallahassee, FL	Cooperative Groupers Snappers Fisheries Data Collection	\$1,000,000		Nelson, Bill
DOC	NOAA	Great Bay Resource Protection Partnership, Portsmouth, NH	Great Bay Land Acquisition	\$2,575,000		Gregg, Shaheen
DOC	NOAA	Great Lakes Science Center, Cleveland, OH	Northeast Ohio Informal STEM Education	\$250,000		Voinovich, Brown, Sherrod
DOC	NOAA	Guam Fishermen's Cooperative Association, Hagatga, GU	Fishery Equipment	\$125,000	Bordallo	
DOC	NOAA	Gulf and South Atlantic Fisheries Foundation, Inc., Tampa, FL	Gulf States Consumer Education Program	\$1,000,000	Paul, Boyd	Cochran, Landrieu, Nelson, Bill, Wicker
DOC	NOAA	Gulf of Maine Research Institute, Portland, ME	Community-Based Research	\$400,000	Pingree (ME), Michaud	Collins, Snowe
DOC	NOAA	Idaho State University, Pocatello, ID	Boise Center for Aerospace Laboratory Watershed Modeling Utilizing LIDAR	\$200,000		Crapo, Risch
DOC	NOAA	Jackson State University, Jackson, MS	Development of a Regional Ensembling System for Atmospheric Dispersion	\$1,000,000		Cochran, Wicker
DOC	NOAA	JASON Project, Ashburn, VA	JASON Project Education Program	\$8,400,000	Moran (VA), Kennedy, Langevin, Mullohan	

DOC	NOAA	Maine Department of Marine Resources, Augusta, ME	Collaborative Shellfish Research		\$750,000	Collins, Snowe
DOC	NOAA	Maine Department of Marine Resources, Augusta, ME	East Coast Herring Sampling and Stock Assessment		\$350,000	Collins, Snowe
DOC	NOAA	Maine Department of Marine Resources, Augusta, ME	Groundfish Research		\$300,000	Collins, Snowe
DOC	NOAA	Maine Department of Marine Resources, Augusta, ME	Maine/New Hampshire Inshore Trawl Survey		\$300,000	Collins, Snowe
DOC	NOAA	Maine Department of Marine Resources, Augusta, ME	Center for Regenerative Biology and Medicine		\$300,000	Kerry
DOC	NOAA	Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA	Marine Boregon Classification of the US Exclusive Economic Zone		\$700,000	Farr
DOC	NOAA	Marine Conservation Biology Institute, Bellevue, WA	Shellfish Safety Testing Pilot		\$175,000	Frank (MA)
DOC	NOAA	Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Boston, MA	Massachusetts Maritime Academy Marine Renewable Energy Workforce Training Initiative		\$300,000	DeLaHunt, Neal
DOC	NOAA	Massachusetts Maritime Academy, Buzzards Bay, MA				
DOC	NOAA	Mississippi State University, Starkville, MS	NOAA Northern Gulf Institute		\$5,500,000	Cochran
DOC	NOAA	Marmouth University, West Long Branch, NJ	Mid-Atlantic Regional Coastal Community and Ocean Ecosystem Initiative		\$750,000	Lautenberg, Menendez
DOC	NOAA	Monterey Bay Aquarium, Monterey, CA	Bluefin Tuna Tagging and Research Program		\$500,000	Farr
DOC	NOAA	National Environmental Satellite, Data and Information Service, Honolulu, HI	Integrated Data and Environmental Applications Center		\$2,750,000	Inouye
DOC	NOAA	National Marine Fisheries Service, Hilo, HI	Marine Education and Training		\$1,000,000	Inouye, Begich
DOC	NOAA	National Marine Fisheries Service, Honolulu, HI	Domestic Fisheries Monitoring, including Support for Regional Fishery Management Organizations		\$2,750,000	Inouye
DOC	NOAA	National Marine Fisheries Service, Honolulu, HI	Hawaii Fisheries Development		\$400,000	Inouye
DOC	NOAA	National Marine Fisheries Service, Honolulu, HI	Hawaii Seafood Program		\$1,000,000	Inouye
DOC	NOAA	National Marine Fisheries Service, Juneau, AK	Alaska Native Marine Mammal Co-Management		\$500,000	Murkowski, Begich
DOC	NOAA	National Marine Fisheries Service, Kaneohe Bay, HI	Joint Institute of Marine and Atmospheric Research Pacific Fisheries Research Program		\$750,000	Inouye
DOC	NOAA	National Marine Fisheries Service, Silver Spring, MD	Shrimp Industry Fishing Effort Research Continuation		\$500,000	Cochran, Landrieu, Nelson, Blitt, Wicker
DOC	NOAA	National Ocean Service, Honolulu, HI	Hawaii Coral Reef Initiative		\$1,000,000	Inouye
DOC	NOAA	National Ocean Service, Honolulu, HI	Ordinance Reef Project		\$200,000	Inouye
DOC	NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Washington, DC	West Coast Governors' Agreement on Ocean Health		\$1,000,000	Wyden, Merkley
DOC	NOAA	National Weather Service, Silver Spring, MD	Remote Infrasonic Monitoring of Natural Hazards		\$1,500,000	Inouye, Cochran, Wicker
DOC	NOAA	Nature Conservancy, Middletown, CT	Long Island Sound Coastal Resilience		\$500,000	Dodd, Lieberman
DOC	NOAA	New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services, Concord, NH	Securing New Hampshire's Water Future		\$400,000	Shaheen
DOC	NOAA	New Hampshire Fish & Game, Concord, NH	New Hampshire Groundfish Sectors Permit Bank		\$1,165,000	Shaheen
DOC	NOAA	NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office, Annapolis, MD	Chesapeake Bay Oyster Restoration		\$3,000,000	Edwards (MD), Hoyer, Kaitovitz, Moran (VA), Ruppelberger, Sarbanes, Scott (VA), Van Hollen
DOC	NOAA	NOAA Office of Education, Honolulu, HI	Hawaii Education Program		\$1,000,000	Inouye
DOC	NOAA	NOAA Office of Education, Monterey, CA	California Bay Watershed Education and Training Program		\$1,500,000	Pelosi, Capps, Farr
DOC	NOAA	Northwest Straits Marine Conservation Initiative, Mount Vernon, WA	Northwest Straits Citizens Advisory Commission		\$1,800,000	Larsen (WA)
DOC	NOAA	Oregon Department of State Lands, Salem, OR	Oregon Seafloor Mapping for Tsunami Hazards and Ecosystem Benefit		\$500,000	Blumenauer, Wu
DOC	NOAA	Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission, Portland, OR	Pacific Ocean Shell Tracking Project Marine Animal Tracking System		\$2,000,000	Young (IA), Band, Dicks, Farr
DOC	NOAA	Partnership for Mid-Atlantic Fisheries Science, Point Pleasant Beach, NJ	Summer Flounder and Black Sea Bass Initiative		\$525,000	Bishop (NY), Pallone
DOC	NOAA	Penobscot East Resource Center, Stonington, ME	Northern Gulf of Maine Groundfish Sentinel Fishery		\$750,000	Pingree (ME)
DOC	NOAA	Plymouth State University, Plymouth, NH	New England Weather Technology and Research Initiative		\$575,000	Gregg
DOC	NOAA	Provincetown Center for Coastal Studies, Provincetown, MA	Northeast Coastal Monitoring Collaborative		\$300,000	DeLaHunt, Tierney
DOC	NOAA	Rhode Island Coastal Resources Management Council, Wakefield, RI	Offshore Renewable Energy Surveys		\$700,000	Kerry
DOC	NOAA	San Jose State University Foundation, San Jose, CA	Highly Migratory Shark Fishery Research Program		\$500,000	Honda, Farr
DOC	NOAA	Save The Bay, Providence, RI	Save the Bay—Marine Education Program		\$500,000	Kennedy
DOC	NOAA	School for Marine Science & Technology, New Bedford, MA	New England Multi-Species Surveys and Development		\$1,500,000	Frank (MA)

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Agency	Account	Recipient	Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
DOC	NOAA	Society for the Protection of New Hampshire Forests, Concord, NH	Merrimack River Anadromous Fish Habitat Conservation	\$350,000		Gregg, Shalteen
DOC	NOAA	State of Alaska, Juneau, AK	Bering Sea Crab Management and Research	\$400,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski
DOC	NOAA	State of Alaska, Juneau, AK	Seal and Steller Sea Lion Biological Research	\$500,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski, Begich
DOC	NOAA	Susquehanna River Basin Commission, Harrisburg, PA	Susquehanna Flood Forecast and Warning System	\$2,400,000	Kanjorski, Ruppersberger	Mikulski
DOC	NOAA	Tennessee Tombigbee Waterway Authority, Columbus, MS	Tennessee Tombigbee Waterway Research Center	\$1,200,000		Cochran
DOC	NOAA	Thunder Bay National Marine Sanctuary and Underwater Preserve, Alpena, MI	Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center Lease Buy Down	\$1,000,000		Levin, Stabenow
DOC	NOAA	University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL	Nanotoxicology Research	\$650,000		Shelby
DOC	NOAA	University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK	Ocean Acidification Research Center for Alaska	\$200,000	Young (AK)	Begich
DOC	NOAA	University of Guam, Manglao, GU	Western Pacific Coral Reef Institute	\$200,000	Bordallo	
DOC	NOAA	University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, HI	International Pacific Research Center	\$1,000,000	Hirono	Inouye, Akaka
DOC	NOAA	University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI	Hawaii Institute of Marine Biology Coral Research	\$2,500,000	Hirono	Inouye, Akaka
DOC	NOAA	University of Hawaii, Pearl Harbor, HI	Rehabilitation of Stable Semi-submersible Platform Kaimalino	\$3,000,000		Inouye, Akaka
DOC	NOAA	University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, Solomons, MD	Sensors for Monitoring Chesapeake Bay Watershed Health	\$2,000,000		Mikulski
DOC	NOAA	University of Massachusetts Dartmouth, School for Marine Science and Technology, New Bedford, MA	Northeast Scallop Fishery Assessment	\$600,000	Frank (MA)	Kerry
DOC	NOAA	University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA	Global Climate Research	\$500,000	Oliver	
DOC	NOAA	University of Mississippi, Oxford, MS	National Institute for Undersea Science and Technology	\$5,550,000		Cochran, Wicker
DOC	NOAA	University of Mississippi, Oxford, MS	National Sea Grant Law Center	\$750,000		Cochran, Wicker
DOC	NOAA	University of New Orleans, New Orleans, LA	Pontchartrain Basin Restoration	\$250,000		Landrieu, Vitter
DOC	NOAA	University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS	Marine Aquaculture Lab Operations	\$4,000,000		Cochran, Wicker
DOC	NOAA	University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS	Science Consortium for Ocean Replenishment	\$500,000		Cochran, Wicker
DOC	NOAA	University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS	Storm Surge and Flooding Disaster Mitigation	\$500,000		Cochran, Wicker
DOC	NOAA	University of Tennessee Space Institute, Tullahoma, TN	Atmospheric Science Research	\$300,000	Davis (TN)	
DOC	NOAA	University of Toledo, Toledo, OH	Lake Erie Hydrological & Climate Modeling	\$500,000	Kaptur	Brown, Sherrod
DOC	NOAA	University of Vermont, Burlington, VT	Lake Champlain Emerging Threats Initiative	\$500,000		Leahy
DOC	NOAA	University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI	Center for Water Technology and Policy	\$4,500,000		Kohl
DOC	NOAA	West Virginia Division of Natural Resources, South Charleston, WV	Natural Stream Design and Restoration	\$2,000,000	Mollohan	
DOC	NOAA	West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	Environmental Research Center	\$3,250,000	Mollohan	
DOC	NOAA	West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	REDUCE	\$500,000	Mollohan	
DOC	NOAA	West Virginia University, Parkersburg, WV	West Virginia University Parkersburg STEM Job	\$1,000,000	Mollohan	
DOC	NOAA	Westminster College, Salt Lake City, UT	Great Salt Lake Institute	\$150,000		Bennett
DOC	NOAA	Wildlife Conservation Society, Bronx, NY	Bronx River and South Bronx Waterfront	\$1,000,000	Serrano	
DOI	COPS—Meth	City of Greenville, Greenville, MS	Greenville/Delta Regional Meth Enforcement Initiative	\$100,000		Cochran, Wicker
DOI	COPS—Meth	Colorado Meth Project, Denver, CO	Not Even Once Campaign	\$500,000	Salazar	Bennet
DOI	COPS—Meth	Georgia Meth Project, Atlanta, GA	Meth Prevention and Awareness	\$300,000	Bishop (GA), Lewis (GA)	Chambliss, Isakson
DOI	COPS—Meth	Greene County Sheriff's Department, Leakesville, MS	Anti-Meth Activity Enforcement and Education Project	\$200,000	Taylor	Cochran, Wicker
DOI	COPS—Meth	Jackson County Board of Supervisors, Pascagoula, MS	Methamphetamine Enforcement and Clean-up in Jackson County	\$200,000	Taylor	Cochran, Wicker
DOI	COPS—Meth	Jefferson County, Golden, CO	Methamphetamine Interdiction and Response	\$200,000	Poiz, Perlmutter	Udall, Mark, Bennet
DOI	COPS—Meth	Lincoln County District Attorney, Newport, OR	Meth Initiative	\$100,000	Schader	Hyden, Merkley
DOI	COPS—Meth	New Mexico State Police, Santa Fe, NM	Rural Methamphetamine Project	\$400,000	Lujan, Heinrich	Bingaman, Udall, Tom

DOI	COPS—Meth	Pierce County Alliance, Tacoma, WA	National Methamphetamine Training and Technical Assistance Center	\$1,200,000	Murray
DOI	COPS—Meth	Pierce County Alliance, Tacoma, WA	Washington State Methamphetamine Initiative	\$1,000,000	Dicks, Larsen (WA); Smith (WA)
DOI	COPS—Meth	Shawnee Regional Prevention and Recovery, Topeka, KS	Kansas Methamphetamine Prevention Project	\$250,000	Brownback
DOI	COPS—Meth	South City Police Department, Sioux City, IA	National Training Center	\$200,000	Harkin, Grassley
DOI	COPS—Meth	South Coast Interagency Narcotics Team, Coquille, OR	Interagency Narcotics Enforcement	\$500,000	Wyden; Merkley
DOI	COPS—Meth	Tennessee Bureau of Investigation, Nashville, TN	Tennessee Meth Task Force	\$1,435,000	Alexander
DOI	COPS—Meth	University of Missouri St. Louis College of Nursing, St. Louis, MO	Methamphetamine Prevention Education	\$100,000	Carnahan
DOI	COPS—Meth	Virginia State Police, Wytheville, VA	Southwest Virginia Drug Task Force Assistance	\$500,000	Webb; Warner
DOI	COPS—IE	Abington Township Police Department, Abington, PA	Communications Equipment Upgrade	\$200,000	Schwartz
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Arson County Sheriff, Wadsworth, NC	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence Tool	\$400,000	Kissell
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Beckley Police Department, Beckley, WV	Interoperable Digital Radio Equipment	\$190,000	Rahall
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Bear County Sheriff, San Antonio, TX	Sheriff's Office Vehicle Technology Enhancement Project	\$150,000	Gonzalez
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Blount County, Mayville, TN	Blount County Interoperable Communications	\$400,000	Alexander
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Board of County Commissioners, St. Mary's County, Leonardtown, MD	Jail Security Project	\$750,000	Hoyer
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Borough of Bellevue, Bellevue, PA	Law Enforcement Technology Advancements	\$60,000	Doyle
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Borough of Cliffside Park, Cliffside Park, NJ	Public Safety Communications Enhancements	\$300,000	Rothman (NJ)
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Borough of Homestead, Homestead, PA	Police Cameras	\$200,000	Doyle
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Borough of Little Ferry, Little Ferry, NJ	Emergency Communications System	\$550,000	Rothman (NJ)
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Borough of Mount Oliver, Mount Oliver, PA	Technology Upgrades	\$55,000	Doyle
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Borough of Naugatuck, Naugatuck, CT	Public Safety Communications Project	\$500,000	DeLauro
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Bowling Green Police Department, Bowling Green, KY	Public Safety Radio System Upgrade	\$200,000	Bunning
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Boyle County Sheriff, Danville, KY	Communications Technology	\$25,000	Chandler
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Broward County, Fort Lauderdale, FL	Public Safety Emergency Communications	\$1,000,000	Wasserman Schultz; Hastings (FL); Meek (FL)
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Brown County Public Safety Communications, Green Bay, WI	Public Safety Communications	\$355,000	Kagen
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Bryan Police Department, Bryan, TX	Brazos County Warrant Access and Reduction Program	\$300,000	Edwards (TX)
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Buchanan County Sheriffs, Grundy, VA	Technology Improvement Program	\$75,000	Boucher
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Buena Vista Police Department, Buena Vista, GA	Police Equipment	\$75,000	Bishop (GA)
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Burnett County, Sioux, WI	Public Safety Communications System Upgrade	\$1,000,000	Ohey
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Cecil County Department of Emergency Services, Elkton, MD	Cecil County Public Safety Communications Network	\$650,000	Mikulski
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Central Texas Council of Governments, Belton, TX	Central Texas Project 25 Interoperability Initiative	\$250,000	Cornyn
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Centre County, Bellefonte, PA	Centre County Emergency Communications System Upgrade	\$300,000	Specter; Casey
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Charles County Sheriff, La Plata, MD	Police Technology Upgrades	\$100,000	Hoyer
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Charleston County, Charleston, SC	Mobile Data Terminals	\$125,000	Graham
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Charlevoix Chebogan Emmet Central Dispatch Authority, Petoskey, MI	Equipment & Technology	\$300,000	Stupak
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Cherry Hill Township, Cherry Hill, NJ	Public Safety Improvements	\$550,000	Adler (NJ)
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Eagle Butte, SD	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe Emergency Dispatching System	\$200,000	Lautenberg; Menendez
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	Choctaw Nation, Durant, OK	Public Safety Communications Project	\$250,000	Johnson; Thune
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	City and County of San Francisco Police Department, San Francisco, CA	COMPSTAT	\$1,000,000	Boren
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	City of Alexandria Police Department, Alexandria, KY	Kentucky Data Interoperability Project	\$200,000	Pelosi
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	City of Allentown, Allentown, PA	Allentown Radio Encryption	\$300,000	McCormack; Bunning
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	City of Auburn, Auburn, WA	Valley Cities Public Safety Wireless Regional Broadband Network	\$200,000	Casey
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	City of Bay St. Louis Police Department, Bay St. Louis, MS	Help I.D. Our Children	\$20,000	Smith (WA)
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	City of Bayonne, Bayonne, NJ	Bayonne Law Enforcement Project	\$150,000	Taylor
DOI	COPS—IE Tech	City of Beaver Falls Police Department, Beaver Falls, PA	Police Firing Range Equipment	\$300,000	Sires
DOI	COPS—IE Tech				Lautenberg; Menendez

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Agency	Account	Recipient	Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Bell Gardens Police Department, Bell Gardens, CA	Gunshot Location System Acquisition and Surveillance Infrastructure	\$500,000	Royal Allard	Senate
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Belzoni, Belzoni, MS	Police Technology Upgrades	\$170,000	Thompson (MS)	Cochran, Wicker
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Benton, Benton, KY	City of Benton Public Safety Communication Equipment	\$35,000		McConnell
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Bethlehem, Bethlehem, PA	Multi-Jurisdiction Public Safety Camera Project	\$100,000		Specter
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Billings, Billings, MT	Public Safety Radio System Upgrades	\$500,000		Tester, Baucus
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Birmingham, Birmingham, AL	Gunshot Location System Expansion Project	\$200,000	Davis (AL)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Bridgeport, Bridgeport, CT	Public Safety Technology Enhancements	\$375,000		Lieberman
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Brockton Police Department, Brockton, MA	Gunshot Location System Acquisition	\$200,000	Lynch	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Buda, Buda, TX	Police Technology and Equipment	\$50,000	Duggett	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Buffalo Police Department, Buffalo, NY	Surveillance Cameras	\$360,000	Slaughter, Higgins	Schumer, Gillibrand
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Cedar Rapids, Cedar Rapids, IA	Emergency Communications Center Equipment	\$200,000	Luebke	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Cerritos, Cerritos, CA	Public Safety Technology Improvements	\$200,000	Sanchez, Linda	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Chisholm, Chisholm, MN	Communications Upgrade	\$200,000	Oberstar	Klobuchar
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Clarksburg Police Department, Clarksburg, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	\$100,000	Mollohan	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Cleveland, Office of the Mayor, Cleveland, OH	800 MHz Radio System	\$250,000	Fudge, Kucinich	Voinovich, Brown, Sherrod
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Clifton, Clifton, NJ	Police Technology Enhancement Project	\$400,000	Pastorel	Lautenberg, Menendez
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of College Park, College Park, CA	Law Enforcement Video Patrol	\$500,000	Lewis (CA)	Chambliss
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Colorado Springs Police Department, Colorado Springs, CO	Police Technology Enhancement	\$400,000		Udall, Mark, Bennet
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Columbiana, Columbiana, OH	Communication Dispatch Upgrade Project	\$150,000	Wilson (OH)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Countryside Police Department, Countryside, IL	Law Enforcement Equipment / Technology Upgrades	\$135,000	Lipinski	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Dothan, Dothan, AL	Digital In-Car Cameras and Technological Advances	\$150,000	Bright	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Duluth Police Department, Duluth, MN	Forensic Technology Task Force Project	\$200,000	Oberstar	Klobuchar, Franken
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Elizabeth Police Department, Elizabeth, NJ	Gun Detection Technology System	\$375,000	Payne, Sires	Lautenberg, Menendez
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Fairmont Police Department, Fairmont, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	\$50,000	Mollohan	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Fayetteville, Fayetteville, AR	Simulcast System for Public Safety Communication	\$600,000		Pryor, Lincoln
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Flint, Flint, MI	City of Flint Interoperable Communications Project	\$500,000	Kildee	Levin, Stabenow
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Fort Smith Police Department, Fort Smith, AR	River Valley Mobile Data Network	\$400,000		Pryor, Lincoln
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Fresno, Fresno, CA	Law Enforcement Equipment	\$300,000		Feinstein
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Garden Grove, Garden Grove, CA	Law Enforcement Technology Assistance	\$140,000	Sanchez, Loretta	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Gardena, Gardena, CA	Emergency Operations Center Equipment & Technology	\$300,000	Waters	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Gary, Gary, IN	Law Enforcement Equipment	\$500,000	Visclosky	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Gillett, Gillett, AR	Police & Court Upgrades	\$50,000	Berry	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Glendale, Glendale, AZ	Digital Evidence Data System	\$500,000	Pastor (AZ)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Granville Police Department, Granville, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	\$50,000	Mollohan	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Guadalupe, Guadalupe, CA	Police Department Technology Equipment and Upgrades	\$200,000	Capps	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Hammond, Hammond, IN	Law Enforcement Equipment	\$500,000	Visclosky	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Hampton, Hampton, VA	Police Mobile Field Reporting Project	\$150,000	Nes, Scott (VA)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Hartford, Hartford, CT	Gunshot Location System	\$400,000	Larson (CT)	Dodd, Lieberman
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Hayward Police Department, Hayward, CA	Emergency Communications Upgrades	\$585,000	Stark	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Hobart, Hobart, IN	Law Enforcement Equipment	\$175,000	Visclosky	

DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Holladay, Holladay, UT	Gang Prevention Initiative	\$170,000	Matheson	Bennett
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Hollywood, Hollywood, FL	Law Enforcement Technology	\$250,000	Wasserman Schultz	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Hot Springs Police Department, Hot Springs, AR	In-Car Video Acquisition	\$250,000	Ross	Prior, Lincoln
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Indianapolis, Indianapolis, IN	Public Safety, Interrogation, and Crime Scene Investigation Equipment	\$200,000	Carson (IN)	Lugar
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Inglewood, Inglewood, CA	Law Enforcement Technology Upgrades and Infrastructure Improvements	\$100,000	Waters	Boxer
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Jackson Police Department, Jackson, TN	Records Management System Upgrade Project	\$365,000	Tanner	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Jersey City, Jersey City, NJ	Comprehensive Communication System	\$350,000	Rothman (NJ), Sires	Lautenberg, Menendez
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Kannapolis, Kannapolis, NC	Kannapolis and Concord Regional Radio Upgrade	\$150,000	Kussell, Watt	Burr, Hagan
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Keyser Police Department, Keyser, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	\$50,000	Mollohan	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Las Cruces, Las Cruces, NM	Police Department East Mesa Substation Equipment	\$300,000	Teague	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Longview, Longview, WA	Regional 911 Dispatch Improvements	\$200,000	Baird	Murray, Cantwell
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Luling Police Department, Luling, TX	Police Technology and Equipment	\$50,000	Doggett	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Milford, Milford, CT	Public Safety Emergency Communications and Operations System	\$500,000	DeLauro	Dodd, Lieberman
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Monterey Park, Monterey Park, CA	Online Police Reporting System	\$35,000	Schiff	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Mountain View, Mountain View, CA	Emergency Operations Center Equipment & Technology	\$80,000	Echco	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Mountlake Terrace, Mountlake Terrace, WA	Emergency Services Equipment Project	\$300,000	Inslee	Murray
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Muncie, Muncie, IN	MPD Enhanced Technology Initiative	\$200,000		Lugar
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Newport, Newport, RI	Newport COPS Technology	\$365,000	Kennedy	Reid, Whitehouse
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Parkersburg Division of Police, Parkersburg, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	\$100,000	Mollohan	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Pasadena, Pasadena, CA	Emergency Operations Center Technology	\$350,000	Schiff	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA	Crime Prevention Cameras	\$750,000		Specter, Casey
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Phoenix, Phoenix, AZ	Phoenix Electronic Evidence Collection and Preservation Project	\$500,000	Pastor (AZ), Mitchell	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Phoenix, Phoenix, AZ	Rapid Criminal Identification Project	\$500,000	Pastor (AZ), Mitchell	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Pine Bluff Police Department, Pine Bluff, AR	Gun Detection Technology System	\$750,000		Prior, Lincoln
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA	CrimeWatch Neighborhood Camera Security Systems	\$1,000,000		Specter, Casey
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Pompano Beach, Pompano Beach, FL	Security Technology for Public Infrastructure	\$100,000	Klein (FL), Deutch	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Ransom, Ransom, WV	Crime Tracking/Reporting Initiative	\$435,000		Rockefeller
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Rialto Police Department, Rialto, CA	Police Department Surveillance Cameras	\$200,000	Baca	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Rivesville Police Department, Rivesville, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	\$50,000	Mollohan	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Riviera Beach, Riviera Beach, FL	Law Enforcement Technology Improvement Project	\$750,000	Hastings (FL), Klein (FL)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Rochester, Rochester, NH	Equipment for the Rochester Police Department	\$135,000		Shahen
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Rockville, Rockville, MD	Police Technology Upgrade Project	\$400,000	Van Hollen, Edwards (MD)	Cardin
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Saginaw Police Department, Saginaw, MI	Gunshot Location System Acquisition	\$500,000	Kildee	Levin, Stabenow
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Salisbury, Salisbury, MD	Public Safety Communications Equipment	\$500,000	Kratovil	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of San Diego, San Diego, CA	Public Safety Technology Initiative	\$200,000	Davis (CA)	Boxer
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of San Gabriel, San Gabriel, CA	Public Safety Video Surveillance in City Parks	\$180,000	Schiff	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of San Jose, San Jose, CA	In-Field Data Management and Identification Technology	\$700,000	Honda, Lofgren, Zoe	Boxer
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of San Marcos, San Marcos, TX	Regional Tactical Operations Command Center Equipment	\$400,000	Doggett	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Shelbyville, Shelbyville, IN	Shelbyville 800 MHz Radio System	\$200,000		Lugar
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Signal Hill, Signal Hill, CA	Emergency Operations Center Communication System	\$400,000	Richardson	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Smithville Police Department, Smithville, TX	Police Technology	\$50,000	Doggett	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of South Pasadena, South Pasadena, CA	Interoperable Communications Equipment and Computer Forensic Software	\$85,000	Schiff	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Sumter Police Department, Sumter, SC	Police Technology Upgrades	\$1,000,000	Sprett, Clyburn	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Tempe, Tempe, AZ	Public Safety Communications Interoperability	\$400,000	Mitchell	

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Agency	Account	Recipient	Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Tera Alta Police Department, Tera Alta, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	\$50,000	Mollohan	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Triadelphia Police Department, Triadelphia, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	\$50,000	Mollohan	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Valparaiso, Valparaiso, IN	Law Enforcement Equipment	\$230,000	Viscossy	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Warren Police Department, Warren, MI	Law Enforcement Technology Upgrades	\$100,000	Levin	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	City of Wheeling Police Department, Wheeling, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	\$100,000	Mollohan	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Clark County, Las Vegas, NV	Justice Information Sharing System	\$200,000	Berkley, Titus	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Cobb County Government, Marietta, GA	Cobb County Regional Communications Interoperability Network	\$350,000	Scott (GA)	Chambliss, Isakson
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Colquitt County Sheriff, Moultrie, GA	In-Car Camera Systems	\$45,000	Marshall	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Commerce City, Commerce City, CO	Interoperable Communications Equipment	\$400,000	Perlmutter	Udall, Mark, Bennett
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Cottonwood Heights Police Department, Cottonwood Heights, UT	Crime Prevention Technology	\$150,000		Bennett
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	County of Arlington, Arlington, VA	Public Safety Radio Equipment	\$200,000	Moran (VA)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	County of Columbus, Whiteville, NC	Emergency Communications System	\$400,000	McIntyre	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	County of DeKalb, Decatur, GA	Ballistic Identification Laboratory Equipment	\$400,000	Johnson (GA), Scott (GA)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	County of DuPage, DuPage, IL	Public Safety Communications System	\$350,000	Perello	Webb, Warner
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	County of Fluvanna, Palmyra, VA	Southern Regional Law Enforcement Initiative	\$500,000	Taylor	Cochran, Wicker
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	County of Fresno, Fresno, CA	Regional Data Interoperability	\$675,000	Costa	Boxer
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	County of Merced, Merced, CA	Interoperability Project	\$165,000	Cardoza	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	County of Montgomery, Christiansburg, VA	New River Valley Emergency System	\$600,000	Boucher	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	County of Nelson, Livingston, VA	Public Safety Land Mobile Radio and Interoperable Communications System	\$200,000	Perello	Webb, Warner
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	County of Oakland, Pontiac, MI	Courts and Law Enforcement Management System	\$700,000	Levin	Levin, Stabenow
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	County of Orange, Orlando, FL	Public Safety Radio System Upgrade	\$300,000	Kosmas, Grayson	Nelson, Bill
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	County of Oswego, Oswego, NY	Interoperable Emergency Communications System	\$350,000	Owens	Schumer
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	County of Prince George's, Office of Homeland Security, Largo, MD	Enhancements to Computer Aided Dispatch System	\$900,000	Hoyer, Van Hollen, Edwards (MD)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	County of Santa Clara Office of the District Attorney, San Jose, CA	District Attorney Wire Tap Room Project	\$1,250,000	Hontela	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	County of Seminole, Sanford, FL	Radio Communications Upgrade for Law Enforcement	\$250,000	Kosmas	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	County of Stanislaus, Modesto, CA	Regional Operability for Public Safety Communications	\$200,000	Cardoza	Feinstein
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	County of Yolo, Woodland, CA	Yolo Emergency Communications System Improvements	\$1,000,000	Thompson (CA)	Feinstein, Boxer
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Coventry Police Department, Coventry, RI	Enhanced Mobile Communications Equipment	\$150,000	Langevin	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Cumberland Police Department, Cumberland, RI	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	\$40,000	Kennedy	Reed, Whitehouse
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Dakota County, Hastings, MN	Criminal Justice Integration Information Network Enhancements	\$600,000	McCollum	Klobuchar, Franken
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Delaware State Police, Dover, DE	In-Car Camera System for Delaware State Police Patrol Cars	\$400,000		Carper, Kaufman
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Delaware State Police, Dover, DE	Safety Equipment for Delaware State Police	\$550,000		Carper, Kaufman
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Department of Oregon State Police, Salem, OR	Campus Video 9-1-1 Project	\$400,000		Carper, Kaufman
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Due State College of Utah, St. George, UT	Mobile Data Terminal System	\$500,000		Wyden, Merkley
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Dona Ana County Sheriff's Office, Las Cruces, NM	Cybercrime Detection and Computer Support Training	\$400,000		Bennett
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	East Bay Regional Communications System Authority, Dublin, CA	Countywide Simulcast Radio Initiative	\$500,000		Udall, Tom
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	El Paso County Sheriff's Office, El Paso, TX	Border Crime Enhancements	\$1,000,000	Stark, McHenry	Feinstein, Boxer
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Fayette County, Uniontown, PA	Public Safety Equipment Upgrade	\$250,000	Rodriguez	Cornyn
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech			\$400,000	Critz	Speier, Casey

DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Floodwood Police Department, Floodwood, MN	Communication Technology	\$30,000	Obersier	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Floyd County Sheriff, New Albany, IN	Communications Equipment	\$300,000	Hill	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Fort Madison Police Department, Fort Madison, IA	Police Equipment	\$80,000	Loebisack	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Gallatin County, Bozeman, MT	Mobile Data Improvement Program	\$650,000		Tester, Bauous
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Grayson County Sheriff's Office, Independence, VA	Communications Upgrade Project	\$300,000	Boucher	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Green Bay Police Department, Green Bay, WI	Green Bay Police Department Mobile Technology	\$75,000	Kohl	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Greenbrier County Commission, Lewisburg, WV	Prevention Resource Officer Program	\$170,000	Rahall	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Grimes County Sheriff, Anderson, TX	Law Enforcement Technology	\$100,000	Edwards (X)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Gwinnett County Police Department, Lawrenceville, GA	Gwinnett County Police Department Technology Improvement	\$150,000	Johnson (GA)	Chambliss
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Harrisburg Area Community College, Harrisburg, PA	Shumaker Public Safety Center Equipment	\$200,000	Holden	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Harrison County Sheriff, Canz, OH	Critical Communication Infrastructure Upgrade	\$100,000	Space	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Hart County Fiscal Court, Mulfordville, KY	Hart County Law Enforcement Technology Upgrades	\$40,000		McConnell
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Haywood County, Waynesville, NC	Public Safety Radio Network Upgrade	\$400,000	Shuler	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Hennepin County, Minneapolis, MN	Radio Consoles for Sheriff's 911 Dispatch Center	\$500,000		Klobucher, Franken
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Hidalgo County Sheriff's Office, Edinburg, TX	Digital Radio System	\$1,500,000		Hutchison
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Idaho State Police, Meridian, ID	Idaho State Police Participation in Criminal Information Sharing Alliance Meeting	\$200,000		Crapo, Risch
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Interagency Communications Interoperability System, Joint Powers Authority, Glendale, CA	Interagency Communications Interoperability System	\$200,000		Feinstein
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Interoperability Montana—Local Gov. Association, Helena, MT	Big Sky J1 Consortium Public Safety Interoperable Radio System Expansion	\$150,000		Tester, Bauous
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Iowa State Patrol, Des Moines, IA	Digital Video Camera/Computer Upgrade	\$200,000		Harkin, Grassley
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Iowa State University, Ames, IA	Forensic Science Testing and Evaluation Laboratory	\$1,000,000		Harkin, Grassley
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Jasper County Sheriff, Monticello, GA	Replace In-Car Video Cameras	\$70,000	Marshall	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Jeanette City Marshal's Office, Jeanette, LA	Community Policing	\$110,000	Melancon	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Jefferson County Board of Commissioners, Louisville, GA	Emergency Dispatch System Upgrade and Enhancement Project	\$250,000	Barrow	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Jefferson County Sheriff's Office, Louisville, KY	Mobile Data Terminal Systems	\$375,000	Yarmuth	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Johnson County Emergency Communications Center, Olathe, KS	Computer Aided Dispatch Interoperability System	\$700,000	Moore (KS)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Jones County Sheriff, Gray, GA	In-Car Computers	\$95,000	Marshall	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Juneau Police Department, Juneau, AK	Technology Upgrades	\$500,000	Young (AK)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Lackawanna County, Scranton, PA	Public Safety Radio System Upgrade	\$500,000	Kanjorski	Specker, Casey
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Lake County Sheriff's Department, Crown Point, IN	Law Enforcement Equipment	\$500,000	Visclosky	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Lansdale Borough Police Department, Lansdale, PA	Radio Communications Interoperability Project	\$210,000	Schwartz	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Las Vegas, NV	Major Crime Scene Response Vehicle	\$700,000		Reid
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Las Vegas, NV	Mobile Field Reporting	\$300,000	Berkley, Titus	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Laurens County Sheriff, Dublin, GA	Investigative and Record Management Technology	\$20,000	Marshall	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Lehigh County, Allentown, PA	Lehigh Valley Regional Crime Center	\$400,000		Specker, Casey
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Leominster Police Department, Leominster, MA	Leominster and Chester Computer and Communications Upgrades	\$250,000	Oliver	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Lexington Police Department, Lexington, MA	Diamond Middle School Security Project	\$250,000	Manley (MA)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Loran County Sheriff, Elyria, OH	Law Enforcement Digital Radio Project	\$300,000	Sutton	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Madison Police Department, Madison, WI	Madison Police Department Communications Upgrade	\$400,000		Kohl
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Maine Warden Service, Augusta, ME	Rural Search and Rescue Equipment	\$200,000	Michaud	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Marquette County Communication and Dispatch Center, Marquette, WI	Public Safety Communications	\$195,000	Kagen	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	McAdoo Police Department, McAdoo, PA	Patrol Equipment	\$100,000	Holden	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	McHenry County, Woodstock, IL	Law Enforcement Technology	\$100,000	Bean	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Medina County Sheriff's Office, Hondo, TX	Mobile Data Systems	\$150,000	Rodriguez	

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Agency	Account	Recipient	Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Michigan State Police, East Lansing, MI	Equipment & Technology	\$250,000	Stupak	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Milicreek Township Police Department, Erie, PA	Mobile Data Terminals	\$100,000	Dahlkemper	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Milton Township, Lake Milton, OH	Technology and Equipment Upgrade	\$75,000	Wilson (OH)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Milwaukee Police Department, Milwaukee, WI	Milwaukee Police Department In-Squad Cameras	\$100,000		Kohl
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Missouri Police Department, Nissau, TX	Law Enforcement Equipment for Phair, Palmview and Mission Police Departments	\$200,000	Cuellar	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Monroe County Sheriff's Department, Clarendon, AR	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	\$90,000	Berry	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Morrisville Borough, Morrisville, PA	Police Communications	\$155,000	Murphy, Patrick	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Mount Kisco Police Department, Mount Kisco, NY	Police Communications Center Equipment & Infrastructure	\$120,000	Hall (NY)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Municipality of Guaynabo, Guaynabo, PR	Surveillance System	\$250,000	Pierluisi	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Navajo County Sheriff Office, Holbrook, AZ	Mobile Data Terminals	\$150,000	Kirkpatrick (AZ)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Nevada Department of Public Safety, Carson City, NV	Nevada Statewide Computer Aided Dispatch Project	\$250,000	Benley, Titus	Reid; Ensign
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	New York State Sheriff's Association, Albany, NY	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence Technology	\$200,000	Owens	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Newtown County Sheriff, Covington, CA	In Car Computers	\$40,000	Marshall	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	North Central Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission, Wausau, WI	North Central Wisconsin Communications System	\$150,000		Kohl
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	North Central Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission, Wausau, WI	North East Wisconsin Public Safety Communication (NEMCOM) Regional Radio System Narrowbanding	\$500,000	Oby	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	North Texas Interoperable Communications Coalition, Euless, TX	North Texas Interoperable Communications Regional System Upgrade	\$250,000		Conyn
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Office of the Mineral County Sheriff, Keyser, WV	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	\$50,000	Melohan	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Ogala Sioux Tribe, Pine Ridge, SD	Emergency Response Upgrades	\$800,000		Johnson
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Oklahoma Department of Public Safety, Oklahoma City, OK	Statewide Public Safety Communications System	\$500,000		Inhole
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Oklahoma District Attorneys Council, Oklahoma City, OK	District Attorney Security and Technology Project	\$600,000		Inhole
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Olive Branch Police Department, Olive Branch, MS	Enhanced Response Initiative	\$270,000	Childers	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Onondaga County, Syracuse, NY	Interoperable Emergency Communications	\$350,000	Maffei	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Orange County District Attorney, Santa Ana, CA	Advanced Technology for Cold Case Investigations	\$300,000	Sanchez, Loretta	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Pacificia Police Department, Pacifica, CA	Police Dispatch Consolidation—North San Mateo County	\$300,000	Speier	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Passaic County Sheriff's Department, Wayne, NJ	Passaic Valley Water Commission's Security Upgrade Initiative	\$400,000	Rothman (NJ); Pasarelli	Lautenberg; Menendez
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Pendleton County Commission, Franklin, WV	Emergency Radio Communications	\$1,500,000		Rockefeller
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Phlander Smith College, Little Rock, AR	Technology/Security Infrastructure Upgrade	\$250,000		Pryor; Lincoln
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Pierce County Sheriff's Office, Tacoma, WA	Pierce County First Responders Radio System Infrastructure Project	\$1,250,000	Dicks; Smith (WA)	Murray; Cantwell
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Pima County Sheriff's Department, Tucson, AZ	PCHN Countywide Public Safety Emergency Communications System	\$200,000	Pastor (AZ); Gilford	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Prince George's County, Upper Marlboro, MD	Management Accountability Meeting (CompStat)	\$500,000		Cardin
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Providence Department of Public Safety, Providence, RI	Law Enforcement Technology & Equipment	\$1,200,000	Kennedy; Langwin	Reed; Whitehouse
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Red Bank Police Department, Red Bank, NJ	Red Bank Police Department Communication Center	\$100,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Roane County Government, Kingston, IN	Emergency Dispatch Radio Upgrades	\$200,000	Davis (IN)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Rochester Police Department, Rochester, NH	Law Enforcement Technology	\$200,000	Shear Porter	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Rockdale County Sheriff's Office, Conyers, CA	Law Enforcement Mobile Data Network	\$150,000	Johnson (CA)	Chambliss; Isakson
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Rockland County Office of Fire & Emergency Services, Pomona, NY	Public Safety Interoperable Communications Network	\$250,000	Lowe; Hall (NY)	Gillibrand
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Rogers County Sheriff's Office, Claremore, OK	Mobile Deploy	\$100,000	Boren	Inhole
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Rosebud, SD	Rosebud Sioux Tribe Emergency Dispatching System	\$200,000		Johnson; Thune
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Rusk County Sheriff's Department, Ladysmith, WI	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence	\$1,000,000	Oby	

DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	San Antonio Police Department, San Antonio, TX	Police Technology Improvements	\$250,000	Rodriguez	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	San Pablo Police Department, San Pablo, CA	Gunsbot Location System	\$400,000	Miller, George	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Seguin Police Department, Seguin, TX	Law Enforcement Equipment for Shertz, Cibola, Seguin, Maroon Police Departments	\$200,000	Cuellar	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Snohomish County Sheriff's Office, Everett, WA	Automated Field Reporting Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$200,000	Larsen (WA); Insole	Murray, Carlwell
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Snohomish Regional Drug Task Force, Everett, WA	Multi-State Drug Trafficking Prevention Program	\$300,000	Larsen (WA)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Somerset County, Skowhegan, ME	Communications Equipment Upgrade	\$350,000	Michaud	Collins, Stowe
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	South Burlington Police Department, South Burlington, VT	Police Facility Technology Enhancement	\$250,000	Welch	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	South Carolina Judicial Department, Columbia, SC	South Carolina Courts Statewide Electronic Filing Initiative	\$200,000		Graham
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	SouthCom Emergency Dispatch, Maitrean, IL	SouthCom VoIP Private Branch Exchange Upgrades	\$225,000	Jackson (IL)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Southeast Connecticut Police Communications Group, Stonington, CT	Regional Police Radio Study	\$120,000	Courtney	Dodd, Lieberman
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Southwick Police Department, Southwick, MA	Emergency Agencies Enhanced Communications System	\$200,000	Oiver	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	St. Louis County, Duluth, MN	St. Louis County Public Safety Interoperability for Law Enforcement	\$500,000		Klobuchar, Franken
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	St. Martin Parish Sheriff, St. Martinville, LA	CIT Program	\$190,000	Melancon	Vitter
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Stark Council of Governments, Canton, OH	Radio Purchasing and Reprogramming Project	\$400,000	Boczen	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Suffolk County Sheriff, Riverhead, NY	Mobile Data Terminals	\$100,000	Bishop (NY)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Sullivan County Commission, Sullivan, IN	Emergency Response System Upgrades	\$550,000	Ellsworth	Lugar
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Susquehanna County 911, Montrose, PA	Public Safety Radio System	\$100,000	Hill	Casey
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Switzerland County Emergency Management, Vevay, IN	Emergency Management Equipment	\$500,000		
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Teaakana Police Department, Teakana, AR	Alternate Emergency Operations Center	\$500,000		Pryor, Lincoln
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Texas State Technical College Waco, Waco, TX	Security Enhancements	\$350,000	Edwards (TX)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Town of Carolina Beach, Carolina Beach, NC	Communications Enhancements	\$135,000	McIntyre	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Town of Davis, Davis, FL	Law Enforcement Technology	\$500,000	Wasserman, Schultz, Wien (FL)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Town of Enfield, Enfield, CT	Radio Communications Improvement Project	\$750,000	Courtney	Dodd, Lieberman
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Town of Greenburgh, White Plains, NY	Police Department Communications Equipment	\$60,000	Lowe	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Town of Hammonville, Hammonville, NJ	Police Equipment Upgrades	\$200,000		Lautenberg, Menendez
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Town of Kouts, Kouts, IN	Law Enforcement Equipment	\$45,000	Visitsky	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Town of Mamareoneck, Mamareoneck, NY	Police Department Equipment	\$100,000	Lowe	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Town of North Andover, North Andover, MA	Police Communications Equipment Upgrades	\$200,000	Tierney	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Town of Pelham, Pelham, NY	Police Department Equipment	\$50,000	Lowe	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Town of Porter, Porter, IN	Law Enforcement Equipment	\$230,000	Visitsky	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Town of Stratford, Stratford, CT	Police Department Computer Aided Dispatch and Record Management Systems Upgrade	\$500,000	DeLauro	Dodd, Lieberman
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Town of Trumbull, Trumbull, CT	Regional Communications Center for Trumbull, Monroe and Easton	\$300,000	Himes	Dodd, Lieberman
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Township of Maplewood, Maplewood, NJ	Maplewood Law Enforcement Project	\$300,000		Lautenberg, Menendez
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Township of Saddle Brook, Saddle Brook, NJ	Communications Equipment and Community Alerting System	\$150,000	Rothman (NJ)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Township of Teaneck Police Department, Teaneck, NJ	Technology Upgrades for Police Cars	\$200,000	Rothman (NJ)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Township of Weehawken, Weehawken, NJ	Law Enforcement Project	\$100,000	Sires	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Trumbull County, Warren, OH	Northeast Ohio Regional Integrated Justice Information System	\$500,000	Ryan (OH)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Union City, Union City, NJ	Union City Law Enforcement Project	\$400,000	Sires	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	University of Arkansas at Monticello, Monticello, AR	Emergency Communications System	\$500,000	Ross	Pryor, Lincoln
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, TX	Law Enforcement Intelligence Analysis	\$800,000	Reyes	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Upper Peninsula 15 County Consortium, Marquette, MI	Upper Peninsula 15 County Consortium Interoperable Communications	\$750,000		Levin, Stabenow
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Utah County Major Crimes Task Force, Orem, UT	Utah Law Enforcement Technology Improvement Initiative	\$915,000		Bennett
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Valencia County Sheriff, Los Lunas, NM	Community Safety Equipment	\$300,000	Teague, Heinrich	

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Agency	Account	Recipient	Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Vermont Association of Chiefs of Police, South Burlington, VT	Equipment Support for Local Police Departments	\$100,000		Sanders
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Vermont Department of Public Safety, Waterbury, VT	Mobile Data Project	\$500,000		Leahy
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Vermont Department of State Attorneys and Sheriffs, Montpelier, VT	Equipment Support for Sheriff's Departments	\$100,000		Sanders
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Vermont State Police, Waterbury, VT	Mobile Video Project	\$100,000		Sanders
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Vienna Police Department, Vienna, VA	Digital In-car Camera Project	\$95,000	Connolly (VA)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Village of Brookfield Police Department, Brookfield, IL	Law Enforcement Equipment / Technology Upgrades	\$65,000	Lipinski	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Village of Dobbs Ferry, Dobbs Ferry, NY	Police Department Portable Radios	\$50,000	Lowe	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Village of Homewood, Homewood, IL	Surveillance Cameras	\$350,000	Jackson (IL)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Village of Larchmont, Larchmont, NY	Police Department Equipment	\$50,000	Lowe	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Village of Lincolnwood, Lincolnwood, IL	Community Policing	\$75,000	Schakowsky	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Village of Ossining, Ossining, NY	Police Department Equipment	\$60,000	Lowe	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Village of Pelham Manor, Pelham Manor, NY	Police Department Equipment	\$60,000	Lowe	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Village of Rye Brook, Rye Brook, NY	Police Department Equipment	\$50,000	Lowe	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Village of South Holland, South Holland, IL	Emergency Warning System	\$90,000	Jackson (IL)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Village of Wilmette, Wilmette, IL	911 Dispatch Center Upgrades	\$250,000	Schakowsky	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Vireland Police Department, Vireland, NJ	Gun Detection Technology System	\$375,000		Lautenberg, Menendez
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Virgin Islands Police Department, St. Cruz, VI	Modernization of Surveillance Camera System	\$500,000	Christensen	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Warminster Township Police Department, Warminster, PA	Police Radio Upgrades	\$510,000	Murphy, Patrick	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Warren County, Boonville, IN	Warren County Interoperable Communications	\$200,000		Lugar
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Washtenaw County Sheriff's Office, Reno, NY	Police Equipment	\$425,000		Reid
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Wayne County Prosecutor's Office, Detroit, MI	Go Green Technology & Equipment	\$350,000	Conyers, Dingell	Levin, Stabenow
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Wichita Police Department, Wichita, KS	In-Car Cameras for Police Vehicles	\$600,000		Roberts
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Will County, Joliet, IL	Will County Sheriff's Department	\$750,000		Durbin
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Yavapai County Sheriff, Prescott, AZ	Radio Communication Standardization	\$400,000	Kirkpatrick (AZ)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Yonkers Police Department, Yonkers, NY	Police Department Equipment and Training	\$425,000	Lowe	
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	14th Judicial District, District Attorney's Office, Manchester, IN	Drug and Violent Crime Task Force	\$300,000	Davis (IN)	Alexander
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	14th Judicial District, Durham, NC	New Life Job Court	\$200,000	Price (NC)	
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	9th Judicial District, Koochiching County, International Falls, MN	DMU/Substance Abuse Court	\$250,000	Oberstar	
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	A Better LA, Los Angeles, CA	Crime Reduction Program	\$200,000	Waters	
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	A Child Is Missing, Inc, Ft. Lauderdale, FL	A Child Is Missing Expansion	\$150,000	Norton	
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Above The Rim Inc., Newark, NJ	Coach/Mentor Program	\$260,000	Payne	Lautenberg, Menendez
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Acadiana Criminalistics Laboratory, New Iberia, LA	Forensic Laboratory Equipment	\$275,000		Vitter
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	ACCESS: Dearborn, MI	Youth and Family Services Center	\$1,000,000		Levin, Stabenow
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Advanced Science and Technology Adjudication Resource Center, Inc., Washington, DC	Initiative to Deploy Judges Trained in Forensic Science and Technology Law	\$500,000		Mikulski
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Alabama Department of Forensics, Montgomery, AL	Forensic Equipment, Support and Capacity Enhancement	\$3,000,000		Shelby
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Alabama Department of Public Safety, Montgomery, AL	Operation Swordfish Project	\$3,000,000		Shelby, Sessions
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Alabama District Attorneys Association, Montgomery, AL	Computer Forensic, Victim Restitution, and Drug Prevention Initiatives	\$4,300,000		Shelby
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Alamo Area Rape Crisis Center (dba The Rape Crisis Center), San Antonio, TX	Comprehensive Services for Sexual Assault Survivors	\$400,000	Gonzalez	
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Alivane, Inc., El Paso, TX	Border Institute of Excellence	\$400,000	Reyes, Rodriguez	

DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Allegheny County, Pittsburgh, PA	Mobile Crime Scene Unit		\$500,000	Specter
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Arkansas State Police, Little Rock, AR	Police Body Armor Acquisition		\$300,000	Pryor, Lincoln
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Atlantic County Sheriff's Office, Mays Landing, NJ	Atlantic County Law Enforcement Street Crimes Task Force		\$750,000	Lautenberg, Menendez
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Autauga County Sheriff's Department, Prattville, AL	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence Technology Upgrades		\$400,000	Bright
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Baltimore City Mayor's Office of Employment Development, Baltimore, MD	Re-entry Center at the Northwest One-Stop Career Center		\$500,000	Cardin
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Baltimore City Police Department, Baltimore, MD	Crime Laboratory Improvements		\$1,500,000	Mikulski
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Baltimore City Police Department, Baltimore, MD	Gun Violence Reduction Initiative		\$1,000,000	Ruppersberger
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Belmont County Sheriff, St. Clairsville, OH	Communications Dispatch		\$85,000	Wilson (OH)
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Bergen Community College, Paramus, NJ	Center for Suburban Criminal Justice		\$100,000	Rothman (NJ)
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Blackstone Valley River Rescue Committee, Cumberland, RI	River Rescue Equipment		\$50,000	Kennedy
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Bolivar County Board of Supervisors, Cleveland, MS	Sheriff Department Response Improvement and Upgrade Project		\$100,000	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Booner County, Sandpoint, ID	Idaho Cooperative Agencies' Wireless Interoperable Network		\$200,000	Cochran, Wicker
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Border Area Mental Health Services, Inc., Silver City, NM	Jail Diversion Project		\$200,000	Crapo, Risch
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Boyd Law School, Las Vegas, NV	Kids' Court School		\$300,000	Bingaman, Udall, Tom
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Buckeye Ranch, Inc., Grove City, OH	Visitation and Exchange Program		\$300,000	Reid
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Bucks County Community College, Newtown, PA	Public Safety Training Center		\$985,000	Kilroy
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Caddo Parish District Attorney, Shreveport, LA	Northwest Louisiana Sexual Predator Task Force		\$250,000	Murphy, Patrick
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	California State Department of Justice, Bureau of Narcotics Enforcement, Sacramento, CA	California Department of Justice Digital Forensic Evidence Laboratories		\$500,000	Vitter
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	California State Department of Justice, Sacramento, CA	Cold Hit Outcome Project		\$750,000	Fensten
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	California State Department of Justice, Sacramento, CA	Gang Suppression Enforcement Program in Santa Clara		\$350,000	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Camden County Sheriff's Office, Camden, NJ	Situation Awareness Tools for Crime Response		\$225,000	Honda
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Canton Police Department, Canton, OH	Gang Intervention and Drug Enforcement Task Force		\$150,000	Andrews
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Cape Girardeau County Sheriff's Office, Cape Girardeau, MO	Missouri Sheriff's Methamphetamine Relief Team		\$1,700,000	Bocieri
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	CARE Law Program, Carson City, NV	Retired and Senior Volunteer Program		\$100,000	Bond
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Carson City, Carson City, NV	Courthouse and Juvenile Facilities Security Upgrades		\$300,000	Reid
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	CASA de Maryland, Inc., Langley Park, MD	Langley Park Community-Based Crime Prevention Initiative		\$750,000	Mikulski, Cardin
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Cedar City Police Department/Safety Solutions Coalition, Cedar City, UT	Prescription Drug and Over the Counter Drug Abuse Strategic Education and Empowerment Project		\$300,000	Bennett
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Center for Court Innovation, New York, NY	Tompkins County Drug Courts Enhancement Project		\$100,000	Arcuri, Hinchey
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Center for Women Policy Studies, Washington, DC	National Institute on State Policy on Trafficking of Women and Girls		\$500,000	Pastor (AZ), Woolsey
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Center Point, Inc., San Rafael, CA	Rehabilitation and Social Support Services		\$300,000	Canwell
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Charlotte-Mecklenburg Police Department, Charlotte, NC	Gang of One & Police Activities League—Youth Initiative		\$400,000	Walt, Kissell
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Eagle Butte, SD	Justice System		\$1,000,000	Burr, Hagan
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Eagle Butte, SD	Tribal Law Enforcement		\$400,000	Johnson, Thune
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Children's Hospital of the King's Daughters, Norfolk, VA	Comprehensive Child Abuse Treatment Services		\$400,000	Herseth, Sandlin
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	CHRIS Kids, Atlanta, GA	Transitions for Youth		\$300,000	Nye, Scott (VA)
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Chrysalis Changing Lives Through Jobs, Los Angeles, CA	Ex-Offender and Homeless Job Training Initiative		\$300,000	Lewis (GA)
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Citizens for New York City, New York, NY	Community Crime Stoppers		\$250,000	Roybal-Alford
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City and County of San Francisco, Department on the Status of Women, San Francisco, CA	San Francisco Human Trafficking Prosecution and Victim Support Project		\$750,000	Crowley
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Atlanta Police Department, Atlanta, GA	Atlanta Safety Partnerships and Community Policing		\$200,000	Pelosi
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Boulder, Boulder, NV	Regional Law Enforcement Training Facility		\$500,000	Lewis (CA)
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Denton, Denton, TX	Regional Public Safety Training Facility Technology & Equipment		\$300,000	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Denver, Denver, CO	Gang Suppression		\$500,000	Reid
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Detroit, Detroit, MI	Project Safe Haven		\$400,000	Hutchison, Cornyn
DOJ	OIP—Byrne					Udall, Mark, Bennet
DOJ	OIP—Byrne					Levin, Stabenow

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Agency	Account	Recipient	Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Eagan, Eagan, MN	Public Safety Center Equipment	\$100,000		Klobuchar
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Eagle Pass, Eagle Pass, TX	Police Technology Enhancements	\$500,000	Rodriguez	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of East Providence, East Providence, RI	Public Safety Mobile Data Terminals	\$200,000	Kennedy	Reed, Whitehouse
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Glendale, Glendale, CA	FootHill Regional Forensic DNA LAB	\$1,000,000	Schiff	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Great Falls, Great Falls, MT	Police Department Emergency Equipment Replacement	\$100,000		Tester, Baucus
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Greenville, Greenville, NC	Pitt County Regional Family Justice Center	\$200,000	Bitterfield	Hagan
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Henderson, Henderson, NV	Regional Public Safety Training Facility	\$600,000	Titus	Reid
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of High Point, High Point, NC	Domestic Violence Initiative	\$200,000		Hagan
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of High Point, High Point, NC	Job Training and Placement Services for Offenders and Ex-Offenders	\$200,000	Walt	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Houston, Houston, TX	Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction	\$250,000	Jackson Lee (TX), Green, Al., Green, Gene	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Jacksonville, Jacksonville, FL	Jacksonville Journey Anti-Violence Initiative	\$250,000	Brown, Corrine	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Laredo, Laredo, TX	Laredo Police Safe Borders Initiative	\$1,200,000		Hutchison
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Madison Police Department, Madison, WI	Crime Prevention Program	\$200,000	Baldwin	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Manchester, Manchester, NH	Equipment for the Manchester Police Department	\$300,000		Shaheen
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Monroe Police Department, Monroe, LA	Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, and Explosive (CBRNE) Incident Response Vehicle	\$125,000		Vitter
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Morgantown Police Department, Morgantown, WV	Equipment and Technology Integration	\$250,000	Molohan	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Nagadoches, Nagadoches, TX	Firearms/Use-of Force Training Facilities Initiative	\$100,000		Cornyn
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of New Haven, New Haven, CT	Northeast Regional Law Enforcement Training	\$300,000	DeLauro	Dodd, Lieberman
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Newton, Newton, MA	Communications Tower	\$300,000	Frank (MA)	Cornyn; Kerry
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Pascagoula, Pascagoula, MS	City of Pascagoula Police Department Document Imaging System	\$100,000		Cochran, Wicker
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Peoria, Peoria, IL	Drug Intervention Program	\$100,000		Durbin
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA	Philadelphia Youth Violence Reduction Partnership	\$1,000,000	Fattah	Specter, Casey
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Providence, Providence, RI	Predictive Policing Initiative	\$500,000	Kennedy	Reed, Whitehouse
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Racine, Racine, WI	Racine Gang Crime Diversion Program	\$150,000		Kohl
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Stockton, Stockton, CA	Operation Peacekeeper Gang Outreach Program	\$100,000	McHenry, Cardoza	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Taylorsville, Taylorsville, UT	Police Technology	\$250,000		Bennett
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Torrance, Torrance, CA	South Bay Regional Forensic DNA Lab	\$200,000	Harman	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Tucson, Tucson, AZ	Drug Trafficking Suppression Initiative	\$450,000	Giffords	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Vicksburg, Vicksburg, MS	Vicksburg Police Technology Upgrades	\$100,000		Cochran, Wicker
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Warwick, Warwick, RI	Warwick Police Vehicles	\$300,000		Reed
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Waukegan, Waukegan, IL	Waukegan Police Department	\$450,000		Durbin
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of West Covina, West Covina, CA	Police Emergency Response System	\$200,000	Chu	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City of Yonkers, Yonkers, NY	WANE drug sweep	\$25,000		Schumer, Gillibrand
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	City University of New York College Jamaica, NY	Science, Engineering, Mathematics, and Aerospace Academy	\$350,000	Meeks (NY)	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Clackamas County, Oregon City, OR	Clackamas County Interagency Poly-Drug Enforcement and Treatment Initiative	\$400,000	Blumenauer, Schrader	Wyden, Merkley
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Clallin University, Orangeburg, SC	Clallin Certified Forensics Laboratory	\$1,720,000	Cyburn	Graham
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Clatsop County Sheriff, Port Gibson, MS	Law Enforcement Programs	\$200,000	Thompson (MS)	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Clay County Sheriff's Office, Green Cove Springs, FL	Law Enforcement Improvement Initiatives	\$300,000	Brown, Corrine	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands—The Judiciary, Saipan, MP	Personnel Systems Upgrade & Training	\$400,000	Sablan	

DOI	OIP—Byrne	Communities in Schools of the San Fernando Valley and Greater Los Angeles, North Hills, CA	Fighting Gang Violence through Female Empowerment	\$400,000	Berman	Rockefeller
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Communities in Schools, Lewistown, WV	At-Risk Youth Dropout Prevention Program	\$3,000,000		
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Community Legal Services of Mid-Florida, Orlando, FL	Free Legal Services for Victims of Crime and Abuse	\$200,000	Grayson	
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Connecticut Commission on Child Protection, Hartford, CT	KidsVoice At-Risk Children Services	\$350,000	Courtney, DeLauro	Dodd, Lieberman
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Connecticut State University System, Hartford, CT	Family Justice Center	\$300,000		Dodd, Lieberman
DOI	OIP—Byrne	County of Armstrong, Mifflin, PA	Public Safety Radio Network Upgrade	\$450,000	Dahlkemper, Critz	Casey, Specter
DOI	OIP—Byrne	County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, Monterey Park, CA	Rape Kit Backlog Reduction Program	\$725,000	Schiff	
DOI	OIP—Byrne	County of Mercer, Trenton, NJ	County-Wide Public Safety Radio Communications Project	\$300,000	Holt	Lautenberg, Menendez
DOI	OIP—Byrne	County of Monterey, Salinas, CA	Street and Anti-Gang Project	\$500,000	Farr	Fenstem, Boer
DOI	OIP—Byrne	County of Multnomah District Attorney, Portland, OR	Financial and Elder Abuse Prosecution Project	\$200,000	Wu, Blumenauer	Wyden, Merkley
DOI	OIP—Byrne	County of San Diego, San Diego, CA	Criminal Justice Information Technology Infrastructure Compatibility Project	\$300,000	Davis (CA)	
DOI	OIP—Byrne	County of Santa Clara, Office of the Sheriff, San Jose, CA	Law Enforcement Training Initiative	\$500,000	Loigren, Zee	
DOI	OIP—Byrne	County of Suffolk District Attorney, Hauppauge, NY	Prosecute Gangs Transporting and Selling Illegal Firearms	\$200,000	Bishop (NY)	
DOI	OIP—Byrne	County of Ventura Sheriff's Department, Ventura, CA	Sexual Assault Felony Enforcement (SAFE) Team Task Force	\$500,000	Capps	Boer
DOI	OIP—Byrne	County of Washington, Hillsboro, OR	Reentry Mentors	\$200,000	Wu	Wyden, Merkley
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Criminal Justice Institute, Little Rock, AR	Arkansas Illicit Drug Initiative	\$800,000		Pryor, Lincoln
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Criminal Justice Institute, Little Rock, AR	Rural Executive Management Institute	\$900,000	Berry, Snyder	Pryor, Lincoln
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Crystal Jackson Family Justice Center, Tacoma, WA	Domestic Violence Response Project	\$750,000	Dicks; Smith (WA)	Murray, Cantwell
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Cuyahoga County Board of County Commissioners, Cleveland, OH	Prisoner Re-entry Program	\$300,000	Fudge; Kucinich; Sutton	Voinovich; Brown; Sherrod
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Dayness County Fiscal Court, Owensboro, KY	Dayness County Detention Center Security Upgrades	\$200,000		Bunning
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Dawson County Sheriff's Office, Glendive, MT	Law Enforcement Equipment Upgrades	\$150,000		Lester; Baucus
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Disability Rights Vermont, Inc., Montpelier, VT	Vermont Communications Support Project	\$100,000		Sanders
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Diverse and Resilient, Inc., Milwaukee, WI	Intimate Partner Violence Response Program	\$200,000	Baldwin	
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Domestic Abuse Project of Delaware County, Inc., Media, PA	Emergency Shelter Program for Domestic Violence Victims	\$75,000	Sestak	
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Downriver Community Conference, Southington, MI	Downriver Mutual Aid System Preparedness V	\$750,000		Levin; Stabenow
DOI	OIP—Byrne	DuPage County, Wheaton, IL	DuPage County Department of Probation & Court Services	\$150,000		Durbin
DOI	OIP—Byrne	EAC, Inc., Brooklyn, NY	Treatment Alternatives for Sales Communities	\$300,000	Clarke	
DOI	OIP—Byrne	East Bay Community Law Center, Berkeley, CA	Clean State Clinic for Community Re-entry & Reintegration	\$250,000	Lee (CA)	
DOI	OIP—Byrne	East Brunswick Township, East Brunswick, NJ	Communications Upgrade	\$300,000	Holt	
DOI	OIP—Byrne	East Central University, Ada, OK	Campus Safety and Security Enhancement Program	\$300,000		Inhofe
DOI	OIP—Byrne	East Stroudsburg University, East Stroudsburg, PA	Cyber Crime and Forensics Institute	\$300,000	Kanjorski	
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Eau Claire County, Eau Claire, WI	Achieving Employment for Eau Claire County Treatment Court Participants	\$75,000		Kohl
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Employment Opportunity & Training Center of Northeastern Pennsylvania, Scranton, PA	Lackawanna County Women's Transition Program	\$200,000	Kanjorski	Casey
DOI	OIP—Byrne	England Police Department, England, AR	Crime Prevention Activities	\$150,000	Berry	
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Family Service League, Inc., Huntington, NY	Fresh Start: A Gang Prevention Program	\$500,000		Schumer; Gillibrand
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Father's Day Rally Committee, Inc., Philadelphia, PA	Project Life Line II	\$500,000	Fattah	
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Fayette County Commonwealth, Lexington, KY	Domestic Violence Prevention Monitoring System	\$875,000	Chandler	
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Fighting Back Partnership, Vallejo, CA	Solano County Parolee Re-Entry Program	\$300,000	Miller, George	
DOI	OIP—Byrne	First Step, Plymouth, MI	Wayne County Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner Program	\$600,000	Dingell	
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Frankford Special Services District, Philadelphia, PA	Frankford Safety Ambassador Program	\$200,000	Brady (PA)	
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Franklin County Sheriff, Greenfield, MA	FRAD Senior Citizen Safety Program	\$145,000	Oliver	
DOI	OIP—Byrne	Fulton County, Atlanta, GA	Highway Narcotics Interdiction Team	\$200,000		Chambliss
DOI	OIP—Byrne	George County Regional Correctional Facility, Lucedale, MS	Facility Equipment Upgrade	\$100,000		Cochran

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Agency	Account	Recipient	Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Glenville State College, Glenville, WV	Anti-Retribution Prisoner Education Program	\$550,000	Molohan	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Glenville State College, Glenville, WV	Electronic Crimes Education and Training Program	\$800,000	Molohan	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Gambling State University, Gambling, LA	Creating Honorable Opportunities that Involve Community, Education, and Service (CHOICES)	\$200,000		Landreau
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Hamilton County, Chattanooga, TN	Hamilton County Regional Law Enforcement Center	\$600,000		Alexander
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Harbor House of Central Florida, Orlando, FL	Harbor House Domestic Violence Assistance Program	\$350,000	Grayson	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Harris County Sheriff's Office, Houston, TX	Contract Aviation for Law Enforcement	\$200,000	Green, AI	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Heartland Family Service, Inc., Council Bluffs, IA	Residential Methamphetamine Treatment in Southwestern Iowa Program	\$600,000		Harkin; Grassley
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Helena Police Department, Helena, MT	Law Enforcement Equipment	\$250,000		Tester; Baucus
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Helena Regional Airport Authority, Helena, MT	Risky Mountain Emergency Services Training Facility	\$300,000		Baucus
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Hidalgo County Sheriff, Edinburg, TX	Radio Communication Project	\$100,000		Coryn
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Holmes County Commissioners, Millersburg, OH	Communications Towers for Public Safety	\$450,000	Space	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Holmes County Sheriff Department, Lexington, MS	Law Enforcement Programs	\$200,000	Thompson (MS)	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Honestrich, Falls Church, VA	Homelessness and Domestic Violence Programs	\$600,000	Moran (VA)	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Honolulu Police Department, Honolulu, HI	Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC)	\$5,000,000		Inouye; Akaka
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Hope Center, Inc., Houston, TX	Project David	\$300,000	Jackson Lee (TX)	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Howard County Government, Ellicott City, MD	Howard County Family Justice Center	\$900,000	Cummings; Sarbanes	Mikulski; Cardin
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Hurley Medical Center, Flint, MI	Sexual Assault Assistance -- Forensic Nurse Examiner Program	\$625,000	Kildee	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Idaho Department of Correction, Boise, ID	NCJMS Medical and Mental Health Sharing Software Development	\$200,000		Crapo; Risch
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Idaho State Police, Meridian, ID	Western Regional Microanalysis/Trace Analysis Forensic Laboratory	\$200,000		Crapo; Risch
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Independent Development Enterprise Alliance, Portland, OR	Project Clean State	\$450,000		Wyden; Merkley
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Institute for the Study and Practice of Nonviolence, Providence, RI	Nonviolence Streetworkers	\$300,000	Kennedy; Langevin	Reed; Whitehouse
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Iowa Central Community College, Fort Dodge, IA	Iowa Central Law Enforcement Training Center	\$200,000		Harkin; Grassley
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Iowa Legal Aid, Des Moines, IA	Health and Law Project	\$400,000		Harkin
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Iowa State University, Ames, IA	ISEAGE: Internet-Scale Event and Attack Generation Environment	\$200,000		Harkin; Grassley
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Jackson State University, Jackson, MS	National Center for Biodefense Communications (NCBC)	\$1,000,000		Cochran; Wicker
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Jacksonville State University, Jacksonville, AL	Cybersecurity Training Project	\$200,000		Sessions
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Jefferson County Sheriff, Fayette, MS	Law Enforcement Programs	\$200,000	Thompson (MS)	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Jefferson County Sheriff's Office, Okaloosa, MS	Northeast Kansas Regional AFS	\$600,000		Brownback; Roberts
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Jefferson Parish Sheriff's Office, Harvey, LA	Cops and Clergy	\$350,000	Cao	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Johnson County Board of Commissioners, Wrightsville, GA	Law Enforcement Equipment	\$200,000	Barrow	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Johnson County Sheriff, Cleburne, TX	Stop the Offender Program	\$200,000	Edwards (TX)	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Juneau Police Department, Juneau, AK	Law Enforcement Training	\$100,000	Young (AK)	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Kane County Sheriff's Office, St. Charles, IL	Special Victims Unit	\$200,000	Foster	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Kansas City Police Department, Kansas City, MO	Gun Shot Location Technology System	\$300,000	Cleaver	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Kansas Regional Community Policing Institute at Wichita State University, Wichita, KS	Research and Development, and Training	\$290,000		Brownback; Roberts
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Kauai and Hawaii Counties Police Departments, Lihue and Hilo, HI	Law Enforcement Personnel and Technology	\$3,200,000		Inouye
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	King County Sheriff's Office, Seattle, WA	King County Sheriff's Office Gang Intervention Initiative	\$400,000		Murray; Cantwell
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Laraway Youth and Family Services, Inc., Johnson, VT	Youth Services Program	\$200,000		Leahy
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Lawrence County Sheriff's Office, Monticello, MS	Technology Upgrade	\$100,000		Cochran

DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Uncle Sam/Lancaster County Child Advocacy Center, Inc., Lincoln, NE	Program to Help to Child Victims of Abuse	\$250,000	Nelson, Ben
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Local Initiatives Support Corporation, New York, NY	Community Safety Initiative	\$200,000	Cochran
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Los Angeles Police Department, Los Angeles, CA	Rape Kit Backlog Elimination Program	\$725,000	Schiff
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule, SD	Court Services Project	\$200,000	Thune
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Lower Merikfield Township Police Department, Yardley, PA	Bucks County Security Threat Group	\$500,000	Murphy, Patrick
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Luene County Commissioners, Wilkes-Barre, PA	Reentry Program	\$500,000	Kanorski
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Macomb County Prosecutor's Office, Mt. Clemens, MI	Law Enforcement and Court Paperless Technology Program	\$400,000	Levin
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Major Cities Chiefs of Police Association, Salt Lake City, UT	National Crime Map Expansion	\$300,000	Bennett
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Makah Tribe, Neah Bay, WA	Neah Bay Public Safety Corrections Facility	\$110,000	Dicks
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Marion County, Salem, OR	Student Opportunities Achieve Results	\$400,000	Schader
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Marshall County Family Resource Network, Moundsville, WV	Sanford Center At-Risk Youth Program	\$100,000	Mellicham
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Marshall University, Huntington, WV	Computer Forensics Initiative	\$785,000	Rockefeller
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Marshall University, Huntington, WV	Forensics Science Center DNA Laboratory	\$4,325,000	Rockefeller
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Maryland Crime Victims Resource Center, Upper Marlboro, MD	Legal Services for Victims of Crime	\$500,000	Mikulski, Cardin
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Maryland Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation, Baltimore, MD	Mortgage Fraud Enforcement Teams	\$500,000	Cummings; Edwards (MD); Ruppersberger; Van Hollen
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Maryland Department of State Police, Annapolis, MD	Gang Elimination Task Force	\$1,000,000	Ruppersberger
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Massachusetts Office for Victim Assistance, Boston, MA	Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner Program	\$700,000	McGovern
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Mau Economic Opportunity, Waikuku, HI	Being Empowered and Safe Together Reintegration Program	\$750,000	Hirono
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	McLean County, Bloomington, IL	McLean County Court Services	\$250,000	Halvorson
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Melrose Alliance Against Violence, Melrose, MA	Breaking the Cycle of Domestic Violence Through Community Collaboration	\$85,000	Markey (MA)
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Methodist University, Fayetteville, NC	Cyber Security Education and Training Program	\$250,000	Etheridge; Kissell; McIntyre
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Metropolitan Crime Commission, New Orleans, LA	Support, Personnel and Equipment	\$500,000	Vitter
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Metropolitan Organization to Counter Sexual Assault, Kansas City, MO	Meeting the Expanding Demand for Sexual Violence Services in the Greater Kansas City Area: MOCASA's Responsibility and Response	\$400,000	Cleaver; Moore (KS)
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, TN	Forensic Investigations	\$660,000	Gordon (TN)
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Middlesex Community College, Lowell, MA	Regional Crime Analysis Resource Center	\$800,000	Markey (MA); Tierney; Tongas
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Middlesex Sheriff's Office, Medford, MA	Facility Security Improvement Project	\$90,000	Markey (MA)
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Milwaukee Safe & Sound, Milwaukee, WI	Project Enhancements	\$500,000	Kohl
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Mississippi Center for Police & Sheriffs, Raymond, MS	Domestic Violence Prevention & Prosecution	\$235,000	Cochran; Wicker
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Mississippi State University, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Starkville, MS	Law Enforcement Intelligence Gathering and Analysis	\$1,000,000	Cochran; Wicker
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Mississippi State University, Starkville, MS	Cyber Crime Initiative and National Consortium for Digital Forensics Training	\$1,565,000	Cochran; Wicker
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Missoula YWCA, Missoula, MT	Battered Women and Children's Shelter	\$750,000	Lester; Baucus
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Monroe County Department of Public Safety, Rochester, NY	Sex Offender GPS Surveillance Program	\$300,000	Schumer; Gillibrand
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Monroe County Prosecutor, Monroe, MI	Paperless Records Management System and Automated Workflow	\$250,000	Dingell
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Montana State University—Billings, Billings, MT	Academic and Workforce Development Program at the Montana Women's Prison	\$350,000	Lester; Baucus
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Montana Supreme Court, Helena, MT	Montana Drug Courts	\$300,000	Lester; Baucus
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Montgomery County Department of Correction and Rehabilitation, Rockville, MD	Offender Employment Project	\$200,000	Cardin
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Monroe County, Montrose, CO	Justice Center Equipment Upgrades	\$200,000	Udall; Mark; Bennett
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Mothers In Charge, Philadelphia, PA	Peer Care Support 16	\$95,000	Brady (PA)
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Municipality of Anchorage, Anchorage, AK	Domestic Violence Prevention Project	\$350,000	Murkowski; Begich
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Municipality of Anchorage, Anchorage, AK	Sexual Assault Forensic Nursing Services	\$400,000	Murkowski; Begich
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Nashville Drug Court, Nashville, TN	Drug Court Recidivism Prevention and Rehabilitation Programs	\$500,000	Alexander
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Nassau County Police Department, Mineola, NY	Operation Street Sweeper Program	\$500,000	McCarthy (NY)

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Agency	Account	Recipient	Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges, Reno, NV	Child Abuse Training for Judicial Personnel and Practitioners	\$350,000	Coygers, Honda, Kanjorski, Lofgren, Zoe	Reid, Ensign, Specter; Bennett; Landrieu, Brown, Sherrod
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges, Reno, NV	Judicial Training, Research, and Technical Assistance Project	\$150,000	Beckley, Coppers, Fattah, Hironaka, Kanjorski, Lofgren, Zoe, Pappas, for (M); Reyes, Ruppertsberger	Reid, Ensign; Landrieu, Bennett; Brown, Sherrod
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	National Crime Victim Law Institute, Portland, OR	Crime Victims' Rights Enforcement Project in Oregon	\$400,000		Wyden; Merkley
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	National Crime Victim Law Institute, Portland, OR	Legal Advocacy for Crime Victims (Nationwide)	\$2,500,000		Feinstein; Bennett
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	National District Attorneys Association (NDAA), Alexandria, VA	State and Local Prosecutor Training Program	\$500,000	Spratt, Bishop (GA); Holt-Kostant, Robinson (WI); Ruppertsberger	Graham, Alexander, Hagans; Kerry, Landrieu, Lincoln; Pryor
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	National Judicial College, Reno, NV	Judicial Education and Scholarships for Judges	\$500,000		Reid, Ensign
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Native American Law Enforcement Summit, Minneapolis, MN	Indian Crime Awareness Research and Evaluation	\$375,000		Franken
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	New Castle County Police Department, New Castle, DE	New Castle County Police Department Technology Improvements	\$150,000		Carper; Kaufman
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	New Directions for Youth, Inc., North Hollywood, CA	Community Technology Center	\$100,000	Sherman	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	New Jersey Association of School Resource Officers, Fort Lee, NJ	SPEAK UP Hotline Outreach and Public Education	\$250,000	Robinson (WI)	Lautenberg; Menendez
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark, NJ	User-Authenticating Personalized Weapon	\$350,000	Pascarelli; Payne	Lautenberg; Menendez
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	New Mexico Administrative Office of the Courts, Santa Fe, NM	Drug Court Program	\$250,000		Bingaman; Udall, Tom
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	New Mexico Coalition for Sexual Assault Programs, Albuquerque, NM	Evaluation and Plan for the Legal Needs of Sexual Assault Victims	\$150,000	Herrich	Bingaman; Udall, Tom
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	New Mexico Corrections Department, Santa Fe, NM	Prisoner Reentry Initiative	\$250,000		Bingaman
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	New Mexico Department of Public Safety, Santa Fe, NM	Auto Theft Task Force	\$650,000		Bingaman
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	New Mexico Sheriff and Police Athletic League, Albuquerque, NM	Anti-Gang and Mentorship Program	\$200,000	Lujan	Bingaman; Udall, Tom
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	New Orleans Crime Coalition, New Orleans, LA	Violent Crime Reduction Initiative	\$1,000,000	Cao	Landrieu; Vitter
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	New York City Outward Bound, Bronx, NY	Building Strong Minds & Strong Bodies for At-Risk Youth	\$100,000		Gillibrand
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Newberry College, Newberry, SC	Forensics Chemistry Program Technology and Equipment	\$500,000	Spratt	Graham
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Newburgh City Police, Newburgh, NY	Patrol Operations Upgrade	\$75,000	Hinchey	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	North Carolina Coalition to Prevent Domestic Violence, Durham, NC	Improving Responses to Domestic Violence	\$320,000	Price (NC)	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	North Carolina Sheriff's Association, Inc., Raleigh, NC	Sheriff's Training and Technical Assistance	\$500,000	Butterfield, Kissell, Miller (NC); Watt	Hagan
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	North Lawndale Employment Network, Chicago, IL	U-Turn Permitted	\$250,000	Davis (IL)	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Northern Virginia Gang Task Force, Leesburg, VA	Pursuit and Prevention of Gang-Related Crimes	\$1,000,000	Connolly (VA)	Webb; Warner
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Northwest Family Services, Inc., Alva, OK	Outreach Prevention and Community	\$100,000		Inhofe
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Nye County, Pahrump, NV	Jail Security Enhancements	\$600,000		Reid
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Oakhurst Outreach, White Sulphur Springs, WV	Transitional Living	\$350,000		Rockefeller
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Office of the Attorney General, Virginia, Richmond, VA	Virginia Trad Crime Prevention for Senior Citizens	\$100,000		Webb; Warner
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Office of the Attorney General, Topeka, KS	DNA Database Advancements	\$300,000	Moore (KS)	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Office of the Queens County District Attorney, New Gardens, NY	Combating Financial Exploitation of the Elderly	\$250,000		Schumer
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Oglala Sioux Tribe, Pine Ridge, SD	Department of Public Safety	\$1,000,000	Herrath Sandlin	Johnson
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Ohio Attorney General, Columbus, OH	BCIA Computer Crimes Unit—Technology Expansion to Combat Serious Crimes	\$350,000	Driebhaus; Kilroy	Brown, Sherrod
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Ohio Attorney General, Columbus, OH	Fugitive Sale Surrender	\$500,000	Driebhaus; Kucinich	Brown, Sherrod
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Ohio-West Virginia YMCA, Parsons, WV	Institute for DCAS	\$200,000	Molohan	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigation, Oklahoma City, OK	Oklahoma Participation in the Criminal Information Sharing Alliance Network	\$300,000		Inhofe
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Oklahoma State University—Center for Health Sciences, Tulsa, OK	Forensic Science Equipment	\$500,000		Inhofe

DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Oregon Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Division, Salem, OR	Child Pornography Investigation and Prosecution Team		\$1,000,000	Wydien, Merley
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Partnership of African American Churches, Institute, WV	Empowering Communities		\$300,000	Rockefeller
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Partnership of Community Resources, Minden, NV	Western Nevada Coalition for Substance Abuse Prevention		\$500,000	Reid
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Passage Home, Inc., Raleigh, NC	Greening Our Community with Jobs and Affordable Housing		\$550,000	Etheridge
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Pease County Family Connection, INC., Inc., Fort Valley, GA	Community Empowerment and Services Program		\$75,000	Bishop (GA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Pegasus Research Foundation, Little Rock, AR	Nationwide Pegasus Program		\$200,000	Snyder
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Pemberton Township, Pemberton, NJ	Youth Against Gang Program		\$200,000	Lautenberg, Menéndez
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Pennsylvania Coalition Against Rape, Enola, PA	HERO Project Media Campaign		\$750,000	Casey
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Pennsylvania Narcotics Task Force, Hopkinsville, NY	Law Enforcement Equipment and Training		\$750,000	McConnell, Bunning
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Philadelphia District Attorney's Office, Philadelphia, PA	District Attorney's Response Team		\$200,000	Specter
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Phoenix Academy of Dallas, Dallas, TX	Adolescent Drug Prevention and Treatment for Area Youth		\$250,000	Johnson, Eddie Bernice
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Phoenix House, Austin, TX	Adolescent Substance Abuse Treatment and Recovery Services for Williamson County Youth		\$750,000	Hutchison
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Phoenix House, Santa Fe Springs, CA	Drug Treatment for Women		\$300,000	Napolitano
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Phoenix House, Springfield, MA	Clinical Management System Enhancement		\$500,000	Neal
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Phoenix House, Springfield, MA	Drug Treatment Enhancement Initiative for Western Massachusetts		\$700,000	Kerry
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Polk County, Des Moines, IA	Jail Diversion Program		\$150,000	Boswell
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Potter County, Roulette, PA	Community Re-entry Project		\$100,000	Specter, Casey
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Ramsey County Attorney, St. Paul, MN	Runaway Intervention Project		\$200,000	McCollum
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Ramsey County Community Corrections, St. Paul, MN	Young Adult Offender Transitions Project		\$200,000	Franken
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Rape, Abuse, & Incest National Network, Washington, DC	Hotline Services Expansion		\$450,000	McDonough, Chafee, Conyers, Costa, Gohmert, Harkin, Hironaka, Hoyer, Loefer, Lujan, Menendez, Miller, Molyneux, Murkowski, Nelson, Obama, Parnell, Reid, Rothman (NY), Ruppersberger, Sullivan, Wasserman Schultz
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Resurrection Health Care, Chicago, IL	Psychiatric Services Expansion		\$200,000	Burris
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Rhode Island Commission on Prejudice and Bias, Providence, RI	Hate Crimes/Civil Rights Training and Education: Law Enforcement and Our Schools		\$50,000	Whitehouse
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Rhode Island Family Court, Providence, RI	Family Treatment Drug Court		\$350,000	Reed, Whitehouse
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Richmond County District Attorney, Staten Island, NY	Criminal Enforcement Support Team		\$90,000	McMahon
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	RiverStone Health, Billings, MT	Community Crisis Center Operations		\$500,000	Teeter, Baucus
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Rockland Family Shelter, Inc., New City, NY	Domestic Violence Law Project		\$125,000	Engel
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Rocky Mountain Supercomputing Centers, Inc., Bulte, MT	Simulation and Visualization Technology Upgrades		\$1,500,000	Kennedy
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Roger Williams University, Bristol, RI	Center for Forensics, Applied Networking and Security		\$680,000	McCarthy (NY)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Rosebud PAL, Rosebud, MT	Before and After School Program		\$175,000	Bond
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Rose Brooks Center, Kansas City, MO	Outreach Therapy Program		\$400,000	Cleaver
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Rosebud, SD	Justice System		\$1,000,000	Johnson
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Roseland Ceasefire Project Inc., Chicago, IL	Violence Prevention Programs		\$500,000	Burris
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	S.H.A.R.E., Inc., Fort Morgan, CO	Morgan County Domestic Violence Program		\$300,000	Markey (CO)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Sacramento County Sheriff's Department, Sacramento, CA	School Resource Officer Program		\$200,000	Matsui
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Safe Streets Campaign, Tacoma, WA	Pierce County Regional Gang Prevention Initiative		\$1,000,000	Dicks, Smith (WA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Sam Houston State University, Huntsville, TX	Regional Crime Lab		\$2,750,000	Murray, Cantwell
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	San Diego Second Chance Program, San Diego, CA	Prisoner Reentry Employment Program		\$900,000	Filner
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	San Diego Superior Court, San Diego, CA	San Diego Superior Court Case Management System		\$1,000,000	Feinstein
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	San Francisco District Attorney's Office, San Francisco, CA	San Francisco Bay Area Environmental Justice Law Enforcement Project		\$200,000	Pelosi
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Sandoval County, Bernalillo, NM	Restorative Justice Program		\$150,000	Bingaman, Udall, Tom
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Santa Clara University, Santa Clara, CA	Training Law Enforcement and Service Providers to Work Effectively with Victims of Trauma		\$350,000	Honda

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Recipient	Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Schenectady County District Attorney, Schenectady, NY	Update NY Law Enforcement/Forensic Science Integration Project	\$400,000	Murphy (NY), Tonko	Schumer
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Schuykill Women in Crisis, Pittsfield, PA	Maintaining Effectiveness of Collaborative Community Response to Domestic Violence	\$100,000	Holden	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Sheriff's Office of Cook County, Chicago, IL	Reentry Program at Cook County Jail	\$350,000	Davis (IL), Gutierrez, Dugley, Rush	Durbin
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Simon Wiesenthal Center, New York, NY	Tools for Tolerance	\$1,000,000		Schumer, Boxer, Gillibrand
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Southern Family Peace Center, Milwaukee, WI	Milwaukee Family Justice Center	\$150,000		Kohl
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Sonoma County Water Agency, Santa Rosa, CA	Youth Ecology Corps	\$250,000	Woolsey, Thompson (CA)	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	South Brunswick Police Department, Monmouth Junction, NJ	911 Trunkline Replacement	\$200,000	Holt	Lautenberg, Menendez
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	South Royalton Legal Clinic, S. Royalton, VT	Legal Assistance Program for the Underserved	\$100,000		Sanders
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL	Veterans Legal Assistance Program	\$250,000		Durbin
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX	Effective Strategies for Protecting Women from Sexual Coercion and Assault	\$1,000,000		Hatchison
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Spokane County Sheriff's Office, Spokane, WA	Regional First Responders	\$500,000		Murray, Cantwell
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	St. Joseph's University, Philadelphia, PA	Institute for Violence Research and Prevention	\$750,000	Brady (PA)	Specter
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department, St. Louis, MO	Security Upgrades for Area Stations	\$200,000	Clay	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	St. Thomas University, Miami Gardens, FL	Human Trafficking Initiative	\$250,000	Wasserman Schultz, Meek (FL)	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, Fort Yates, ND	Juvenile Prevention Services	\$500,000		Dorgan, Conrad
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, Fort Yates, ND	Tribal Justice Center	\$300,000	Pomeroy	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	State Appellate Defender Office, Detroit, MI	Michigan Criminal Justice Improvement Project	\$800,000	Conger	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	State of Alaska, Juneau, AK	Domestic Violence Sexual Assault Prevention	\$500,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	State of Alaska, Juneau, AK	Rural Drug and Alcohol Interdiction and Prosecution	\$500,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	State of Iowa, Department of Public Health, Des Moines, IA	Jail-Based Substance Abuse Treatment	\$1,200,000		Harkin
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	State of Iowa, Office of Drug Control Policy, Des Moines, IA	Drug Endangered Children	\$300,000	Boswell	Harkin, Grassley
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	State of Iowa, Office of Drug Control Policy, Des Moines, IA	Meth & Other Drug Enforcement	\$1,500,000	Brady (IA)	Harkin, Grassley
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	State of Maine, Augusta, ME	Department of Public Safety Crime Lab Project	\$200,000	Michaud	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Stearns County, St. Cloud, MN	Felony Domestic Violence Court	\$300,000		Klobuchar
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Stevenson University, Owings Mills, MD	Cyber Forensics Curriculum	\$775,000	Sabares	Mikulski
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Stop It Now!, Northampton, MA	Helpline Extension	\$400,000	Neal	Kerry
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Suffolk County District Attorney, Haverhill, MA	Project Persist	\$750,000	Israel	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Suffolk County Police Department, Haverhill, MA	Gun, Gang and Heroin Suppression Initiative	\$200,000		Gillibrand
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Sul Ross State University, Texas State University System, Alpine, TX	Center for Digital Forensics Training and Research	\$250,000	Rodriguez	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Tahiri Justice Center, Falls Church, VA	Immigrant Women and Girls Legal Services	\$900,000	Moran (VA), Jackson Lee (TX)	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	TASC, Inc., Chicago, IL	Indigenous Community Connections and Aftercare	\$200,000	Davis (IL)	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Texas State University—San Marcos, San Marcos, TX	Advanced Law Enforcement Rapid Response Training	\$1,500,000	Paul, Cuellar, Edwards (TX), Gonzalez, Johnson, Eddie Bernice	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Toledo Police Department, Toledo, OH	Officer Training	\$400,000	Kaptur	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Township of Nulley, Nulley, NJ	Police and Emergency Services Interoperability Equipment Upgrade	\$275,000	Pascrell	Lautenberg, Menendez
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Troy University, Troy, AL	Computer Forensics Institute and Lab	\$600,000		Shelby
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Tulsa Public Schools, Tulsa, OK	Campus Police Force	\$100,000		Inhofe
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Turning Point, Inc., Minneapolis, MN	Phoenix Program—Assisting Battered Women	\$400,000	Elison	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Turning the Heats Center, Chula Vista, CA	Youth and Family Empowerment Program	\$300,000	Finer	

DOI	OJP—Byrne	UNC Greensboro, Greensboro, NC	Project PREVENT: Preventing Violence against Women and Children by Engaging Information Technology	\$300,000	Hagan
DOI	OJP—Byrne	United Government of Kansas City, Kansas City, KS	Separation of Youth from Adults in the Justice System	\$200,000	Brownback, Roberts
DOI	OJP—Byrne	United Tribes Technical College, Bismarck, ND	Tribal Law Enforcement Training	\$1,000,000	Dorgan, Conrad
DOI	OJP—Byrne	University of Arkansas at Little Rock, Little Rock, AR	Higher Education for Prisoners Project	\$300,000	Snyder
DOI	OJP—Byrne	University of Arkansas at Little Rock, Little Rock, AR	Juvenile Justice Center	\$500,000	Pryor, Lincoln
DOI	OJP—Byrne	University of Detroit/Mercy, Detroit, MI	Cyber Crime Training	\$400,000	Lewis, Stabenow
DOI	OJP—Byrne	University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL	Ceasefire Program	\$500,000	Durbin
DOI	OJP—Byrne	University of Maryland College Park, College Park, MD	Center for the Study of Crime and Conflict	\$1,000,000	Ruppersberger, Edwards (MD)
DOI	OJP—Byrne	University of Maryland Public Safety Training and Technical Assistance Program, Greenbelt, MD	Joint County Gang Task Force	\$2,500,000	Hoyer, Van Hollen, Edwards (MD)
DOI	OJP—Byrne	University of Memphis, Memphis, TN	Memphis-Shelby County Operation Safe Community	\$500,000	Cohen
DOI	OJP—Byrne	University of Mississippi, Oxford, MS	National Center for Justice and the Rule of Law	\$7,000,000	Alexander
DOI	OJP—Byrne	University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND	Native Americans Into Law	\$300,000	Cochran, Wicker
DOI	OJP—Byrne	University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS	Indian Cannabis Eradication Technical Support	\$250,000	Dorgan, Conrad
DOI	OJP—Byrne	University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS	Mississippi Automated System Project	\$1,450,000	Cochran, Wicker
DOI	OJP—Byrne	University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS	Mississippi Rural Law Enforcement Training	\$300,000	Cochran, Wicker
DOI	OJP—Byrne	University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN	Law Enforcement Innovation Center	\$500,000	Alexander
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Urban League of Long Island, Hempstead, NY	Stop the Violence Campaign	\$250,000	Israel
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Utica Police Department, Utica, NY	Law Enforcement Applications for Policing in Cyber Space	\$500,000	Schumer
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Verdugo Workforce Investment Board, Glendale, CA	Smart Grid Technology Job Training for At-Risk Youth	\$100,000	Schiff
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Vermont Department of Fish and Wildlife, Waterbury, VT	Equipment Support for Rural Law Enforcement Officers	\$100,000	Sanders
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Vermont Department of Public Safety, Waterbury, VT	Vermont Drug Task Force	\$1,000,000	Leahy
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Vermont Department of States Attorneys and Sheriffs, St. Albans, VT	Model Special Investigative Unit	\$850,000	Leahy
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Vermont Legal Aid, Inc., Burlington, VT	Vermont Employment Law Project	\$100,000	Sanders
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Veterans Outreach Center, Inc., Rochester, NY	Veterans Alternative to Incarceration Program	\$200,000	Maffei
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Victims for Justice, Anchorage, AK	Victims Services Program	\$500,000	Young (AK)
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Village of Franklin Park, Franklin Park, IL	Police Department Facilities Enhancements	\$400,000	Quigley
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Violence Prevention Coalition of SW Colorado, Durango, CO	Creating Healthy Communities and Violence Prevention Campaign in Rural Southwest Colorado	\$320,000	Salazar
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Virginia Community Action Re-Entry System, Inc., Richmond, VA	PAPPS: Virginia for Reentry	\$60,000	Webb, Warner
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Visiting Nurse Association, Omaha, NE	Comprehensive Home Visitation Services to Young At-Risk Mothers	\$585,000	Nelson, Ben
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Voices for Children Foundation, Inc., Parkersburg, WV	CASA Program	\$100,000	Molohan
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Wakulla County Board of County Commissioners, Crawfordville, FL	Smith Creek Communication Unification	\$165,000	Boyd
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Warren County Fiscal Court, Bowling Green, KY	Emergency Management Agency Improvements	\$100,000	McConnell
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Washington Association of Sheriffs & Police Chiefs, Lacey, WA	Preventing Armed Confrontations between Police Officers and the Public	\$250,000	Inslee, Smith (WA)
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Washington County Sheriff's Department, Greenville, MS	Law Enforcement Programs	\$200,000	Thompson (MS)
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Washington DC Police Foundation, Washington, DC	Metropolitan Police Department Tactical Village	\$1,000,000	Norton
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Wayne Gomes Youth Baseball Diversity Foundation, Hampton, VA	Baseball Initiative for At-Risk Youth	\$75,000	Scott (VA)
DOI	OJP—Byrne	Web Wise Kids, Santa Ana, CA	Broward County Youth Internet Safety & Digital Citizenship Project	\$400,000	Wasserman Schultz
DOI	OJP—Byrne	West Valley City, West Valley, UT	Salt Lake Area Chiefs Alliance Forensic/DNA Lab and Evidence Processing Facility	\$750,000	Bennett
DOI	OJP—Byrne	West Virginia State Police, South Charleston, WV	Undercover Officer Safety Transmitters & Law Enforcement Technology	\$1,000,000	Molohan, Rohall
DOI	OJP—Byrne	West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	Forensic Science and Identification Program	\$4,000,000	Rockefeller
DOI	OJP—Byrne	West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	Identification Technology Research and Transition Center	\$500,000	Molohan
DOI	OJP—Byrne	West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	Technology Design Initiative	\$1,000,000	Molohan

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Agency	Account	Recipient	Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Westfield State College, Westfield, MA	Law Enforcement Training Program in Southbridge, MA	\$600,000	Neal	Kerry
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Westside Health Authority, Chicago, IL	Prisoner Reentry Center	\$300,000	Davis (IL)	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	White Earth Reservation Tribal Council, White Earth, MN	Police Radio Improvement	\$800,000	Peterson	Klobuchar; Franken
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	White Pine County, Ely, NV	Public Safety Project	\$400,000		Reid
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Wilmington Department of Police, Wilmington, DE	Police Weapons Range Improvement	\$1,425,000		Carper; Kaulman
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Winona State University, Winona, MN	National Child Protection Training Center	\$500,000		Klobuchar; Franken
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Winona State University, Winona, MN	Training for Child Protection Personnel	\$400,000	Weitz	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Winston County Sheriff's Office, Louisville, MS	Technology Upgrade and Personnel	\$100,000		Cochran; Wicker
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Wisconsin Alliance for Children and Families, Milwaukee, WI	Road to Opportunity Project	\$500,000	Ober	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Wisconsin Community Services, Milwaukee, WI	Wisconsin Driver Program	\$100,000	Moore (WI)	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Women's Center, Vienna, VA	Domestic Violence Program	\$200,000	Moran (VA); Connolly (VA)	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	World Vision, Inc.-Appalachia, Philippi, WV	Strengthening Fatherhood in West Virginia	\$100,000	Mollath	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	Yakima County, Yakima, WA	Violent Crimes Task Force	\$750,000		Murray; Cantwell
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	YMCA Dayton, Dayton, OH	Domestic Violence Shelter	\$300,000	Brown, Sherrod	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	YMCA Kankakee, Kankakee, IL	Comprehensive At Risk Youth Violence Prevention Initiative	\$400,000	Halvorson	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	YMCA Lake County, Waukegan, IL	Project SUCEED At-Risk Youth Initiative	\$200,000	Bean	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	YMCA of Greater Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH	Domestic Violence Protection Program	\$200,000		Brown, Sherrod
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	YMCA of Greater Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA	Sexual Assault Crisis Services	\$350,000	Harman	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	YMCA of Hawaii Island, Hilo, HI	YMCA of Hawaii Island and the YMCA of Kauai's Sexual Assault Response Services	\$400,000	Hirono	Akaka
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	YMCA Pueblo, Pueblo, CO	Domestic Violence Prevention for Youth Pueblo	\$300,000	Salazar	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	YMCA Quincy, Quincy, IL	Domestic Violence Assistance Initiative	\$400,000	Hare	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	YMCA Tucson, Tucson, AZ	Comprehensive Services for Victims of Domestic Abuse and their Children	\$300,000	Grijalva; Giffords	
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	ZERO TO THREE National Center for Infants, Toddlers, and Families, Cherokee, NC	Cherokee Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	\$300,000		Hagan
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	ZERO TO THREE, Des Moines, IA	Des Moines Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	\$300,000	Biswell	Harkin; Grassley
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	ZERO TO THREE, New Orleans, LA	Orleans Parish Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	\$300,000		Landrieu
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	ZERO TO THREE, White Earth, MN	White Earth Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	\$200,000		Klobuchar; Franken
DOJ	OIP—Byrne	ZERO TO THREE National Center for Infants, Toddlers, and Families, Washington, DC	Forrest County Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	\$200,000		Cochran; Wicker
DOJ	OIP—JI	180 Turning Lives Around, Inc., Hazlet, NJ	Child and Teen Violence Reduction and Treatment Expansion	\$500,000	Pallone; Holt	Lautenberg; Menendez
DOJ	OIP—JI	92nd Street Y, New York, NY	Education Outreach Initiative for At-Risk Youth	\$400,000	Maloney	
DOJ	OIP—JI	Academy for Urban School Leadership, Chicago, IL	Youth Violence Prevention Program	\$450,000		Durbin
DOJ	OIP—JI	ACCESS—Arab Community Center for Economic and Social Services, Dearborn, MI	ACCESS Youth Center	\$200,000	Dingell	
DOJ	OIP—JI	Alcohol and Substance Abuse Prevention Council of Saratoga County, Inc., Saratoga Springs, NY	Saratoga County Youth Court	\$40,000	Murphy (NY)	
DOJ	OIP—JI	Alt Faneer Center, New York, NY	24/7 Drop-in Center for Homeless LGBT Youth	\$400,000	Nadler (NY)	
DOJ	OIP—JI	American Gold Shield, Cranbury, NJ	High School Drug and Violence Prevention Project	\$200,000	Pallone; Rohman (NJ)	Lautenberg; Menendez
DOJ	OIP—JI	American School for the Deaf, West Hartford, CT	Behavioral Support Services for At-Risk, Deaf, and Hard of Hearing Students	\$500,000	Larson (CT)	
DOJ	OIP—JI	Amigos del Museo del Barro, New York, NY	El Museo in the Classroom	\$100,000	Rangel; Maloney; Serrano	
DOJ	OIP—JI	An Achievable Dream, Inc., Newport News, VA	An Achievable Dream Academy	\$400,000	Scott (VA)	Webb; Warner

DOI	OIP—JJ	ARISE Foundation, North Palm Beach, FL	Life Management Skills Intervention/Re-entry Program for High Risk Youth	\$300,000	Hastings (FL), Nien (FL), Meek (FL), Wasserman Schultz	Nelson, Bill
DOI	OIP—JJ	Artists Collective, Hartford, CT	Delinquency Prevention Program	\$500,000	Larson (CT)	Dodd, Lieberman
DOI	OIP—JJ	AS270, Providence, RI	AS270 Labs	\$200,000		Reed
DOI	OIP—JJ	Ashbury Sreight Community Development Corporation, Fort Gaines, GA	At Risk Youth Program	\$75,000	Bishop (GA)	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Baltimore Chesapeake Bay Outward Bound Center, Baltimore, MD	Outward Bound At Risk Youth Development Program	\$1,000,000		Mikulski, Cardin
DOI	OIP—JJ	Baltimore City Public School System, Baltimore, MD	Youth Intervention Initiative	\$850,000	Ruppersberger, Cummings	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Bardawon 1869 Opera House, Inc. (UCCO), Poughkeepsie, NY	In-School Residency and Community Outreach Support for At-Risk Youth	\$100,000	Hinchey	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Beloved Community and Family Services, Chicago, IL	Total Family Project	\$400,000	Rush	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Benetton/Indiana County Decarceration Project, Macongo, IA	After-School Program and Functional Family Therapy Initiative	\$400,000	Boswell	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Big Brothers Big Sisters New Mexico Consortium, Santa Fe, NM	Youth Mentoring Initiative	\$200,000		Bingaman, Udall, Tom
DOI	OIP—JJ	Big Brothers Big Sisters of Essex, Hudson & Union Counties, Newark, NJ	Comprehensive Mentoring Program	\$400,000	Payne, Rothman (NJ), Sires	Lautenberg, Menendez
DOI	OIP—JJ	Boat People SOS, Inc., Philadelphia, PA	BPSOS Asian Youth Anti-Violence and Leadership Program	\$100,000	Brady (PA)	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Bolder Options, Rochester, MN	Bolder Options Rochester Expansion	\$100,000		Klobuchar, Franken
DOI	OIP—JJ	Boys & Girls Club of Burlington, Burlington, VT	Early Promise Program	\$150,000		Leahy
DOI	OIP—JJ	Boys & Girls Club of Harlem, Inc., New York, NY	Youth Leadership & Mentoring Program	\$250,000	Rangel	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Boys & Girls Clubs of New Jersey, Morristown, NJ	Gang Prevention Through Targeted Outreach	\$250,000		Lautenberg, Menendez
DOI	OIP—JJ	Boys & Girls Clubs of Boston, Boston, MA	Franklin Hill Club	\$75,000	Capuano	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Boys & Girls Clubs of Town of Wallkill, Circleville, NY	Taking Back Youth Project at the Boys & Girls Clubs of Town of Wallkill, Pine Bush & Sullivan County	\$250,000	Hinchey	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Boys & Girls Clubs, Honolulu, HI	Outreach to Youth in Isolated and Rural Communities Phase 2: Building a Technology Bridge	\$1,500,000		Inouye
DOI	OIP—JJ	Boys and Girls Club of Leech Lake Area, Cass Lake, MN	Tribal Youth Development At-Risk Program	\$350,000	Oberstar	Klobuchar
DOI	OIP—JJ	Boys and Girls Club of Mammoth County (BGCN), Asbury Park, NJ	Expansion of Boys & Girls Clubs	\$300,000	Palone	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Boys and Girls Club of Pharr, Pharr, TX	At-Risk Youth and Education Initiative	\$250,000	Hinojosa	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Boys Town Nebraska, Omaha, NE	Boys Town Day School	\$250,000		Nelson, Ben
DOI	OIP—JJ	Brighton Park Neighborhood Council, Chicago, IL	Counseling and Violence Prevention Services	\$300,000	Gutierrez	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Cal Ripken, Sr. Foundation, Baltimore, MD	Cal Ripken, Sr. Foundation At Risk Youth Mentoring Programs	\$1,000,000		Mikulski
DOI	OIP—JJ	California State University Sacramento, Sacramento, CA	Young Guardian Scholars Pilot Program	\$200,000	Matsui	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Casa Central, Chicago, IL	After-School Enrichment Program	\$350,000		Durbin
DOI	OIP—JJ	Chabad of San Jose, Los Gatos, CA	Prevention, Resource, Information and Drug Education Project	\$200,000	Honda	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Chabad of the Valley, Tarzana, CA	Prevention, Resource, Information and Drug Education Project	\$100,000	Sherman	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Chavez County, Roswell, NM	Juvenile Justice Comprehensive Strategy Board	\$200,000		Bingaman, Udall, Tom
DOI	OIP—JJ	Chicago Jesuit Academy, Chicago, IL	After-School Enrichment Program	\$100,000	Burris	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Child Advocacy Center of North Central Wisconsin, Wausau, WI	Children's Service Society	\$500,000	Obey	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Children's Cabinet, Reno, NV	Adolescent Drug and Alcohol Prevention and Treatment	\$175,000		Reid
DOI	OIP—JJ	Children's Home + Aid, Granite City, IL	Youth Services Program	\$100,000	Costello	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Children's Media Project, Poughkeepsie, NY	Talking Walls	\$35,000	Hinchey	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Christian Activity Center, East St. Louis, IL	After-School Enrichment Program	\$250,000		Durbin
DOI	OIP—JJ	City of Alexandria, Alexandria, VA	Youth Building Green	\$200,000	Moran (VA)	Webb, Warner
DOI	OIP—JJ	City of Bridgeport, Bridgeport, CT	Lighthouse After-School Program	\$500,000	Himes	Dodd, Lieberman
DOI	OIP—JJ	City of Brighton, Brighton, CO	Workforce Development for At-Risk Youth	\$500,000	Perlmutter	Udall, Mark, Bennet
DOI	OIP—JJ	City of Charleston, Charleston, SC	Robert Gould Shaw Center	\$460,000	Cyburn	
DOI	OIP—JJ	City of Detroit, Department of Health and Wellness Promotion, Detroit, MI	Successful Alliance For Educating Talented Youth (SAFETY) Program	\$500,000	Coyers	
DOI	OIP—JJ	City of East Palo Alto, East Palo Alto, CA	Parolee Reentry Initiative	\$470,000	Eshoo	
DOI	OIP—JJ	City of Fontana, Fontana, CA	Teen Center	\$100,000	Baca	

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Recipient	Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
DOJ	01P—JJ	City of Huntington Park, Huntington Park, CA	Computers for After-School Program	\$200,000	Royal Allard	
DOJ	01P—JJ	City of Hyattsville, Hyattsville, MD	After School/Summer Program for Youth	\$100,000	Mayer	
DOJ	01P—JJ	City of Iola, Iola, KS	Program for At-Risk Youth	\$110,000		Roberts
DOJ	01P—JJ	City of Moultrie, Moultrie, GA	R.D. Smith After School Program (RDSASP)	\$150,000		Chambliss
DOJ	01P—JJ	City of Oakland, Oakland, CA	Restorative Justice	\$300,000	Lee (CA)	
DOJ	01P—JJ	City of Richmond, Richmond, VA	Richmond Public Schools Project Recovery	\$200,000	Scott (VA)	Webb; Warner
DOJ	01P—JJ	City of Rochester, Rochester, NY	After-School Jobs Program for At-Risk Youth	\$1,000,000		Gillibrand
DOJ	01P—JJ	City of San Fernando Police Department, San Fernando, CA	Youth Leadership Academy	\$300,000	Berman	
DOJ	01P—JJ	City of Seattle, Seattle, WA	Seattle Youth Violence Prevention Initiative	\$100,000		Murray; Cantwell
DOJ	01P—JJ	City of South Salt Lake, Salt Lake City, UT	Pete Szabo Center At-Risk Youth Program	\$200,000	Malheon	Bennett
DOJ	01P—JJ	City of Waterbury, Waterbury, CT	Waterbury Truancy Clinic	\$800,000	Murphy (CT); DeLauro	Dodd; Lieberman
DOJ	01P—JJ	City Year Greater Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA	Mentoring and Youth Development Services	\$250,000	Fattah; Schwartz	Specter
DOJ	01P—JJ	Cleveland Botanical Garden, Cleveland, OH	Green Corps Urban Youth Program	\$500,000	Kucinich; Fudge; Sutton	Brown; Sherrod
DOJ	01P—JJ	Communities In Schools of Brunswick County, Inc., Bolivia, NC	Action for Success—Dropout Prevention Project	\$300,000		Hagan
DOJ	01P—JJ	Community Conferencing Center, Baltimore, MD	Community Conferencing Court Diversion for Juvenile Offenders	\$200,000		Mikulski
DOJ	01P—JJ	County of Arlington, Arlington, VA	Court Services Juvenile Outreach Program	\$500,000	Moran (VA)	
DOJ	01P—JJ	County of Fairfax, Fairfax, VA	Community-Based Services for At-Risk Youth	\$200,000	Moran (VA)	
DOJ	01P—JJ	County of San Luis Obispo, San Luis Obispo, CA	Anti-Gang Employment Coordinator	\$80,000	Capps	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Crisp County Community Council, Inc., Cordele, GA	Teen Maze	\$75,000	Bishop (GA)	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Crow Creek Sioux Tribe, Fort Thompson, SD	Three Districts Boys & Girls Club	\$150,000	Herseth; Sandlin	Johnson
DOJ	01P—JJ	Dakota County Administration Center, Hastings, MN	Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiatives	\$500,000	McCollum	Klobuchar; Franken
DOJ	01P—JJ	Dance Theatre of Harlem, New York, NY	Harlem smARTS	\$150,000	Rangel	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Dauphin County Human Service Director, Harrisburg, PA	Family Group Conferencing Community Expansion Project	\$200,000	Holden	Cassey; Specter
DOJ	01P—JJ	Dave Thomas Foundation for Adoption, Columbus, OH	Every Child Counts	\$500,000		Brown; Sherrod
DOJ	01P—JJ	Devereux Massachusetts, Rutland, MA	At-Risk Youth Program	\$350,000	McGovern	Kerry
DOJ	01P—JJ	Directing Through Recreation, Education, Adventure, and Mentoring (DREAM) Program, Winoski, VT	Expansion of a mentoring program	\$200,000		Leahy
DOJ	01P—JJ	Dover Police Department, Dover, NH	At-Risk Teen Center	\$240,000	Shea-Porter	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Durham County, Durham, NC	Programs for At-Risk Youth	\$400,000	Price (NC)	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Eastern Connecticut State University, Willimantic, CT	Dual Enrollment Initiative for At-Risk Youth	\$200,000		Dodd; Lieberman
DOJ	01P—JJ	Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti, MI	B. Side Boot Camp: Entrepreneurship Education Program for At-Risk Youth	\$300,000	Dingell; Cymerys	Levin; Stabenow
DOJ	01P—JJ	Epworth Village, York, NE	Behavioral Services for At-Risk Youth in Rural Communities	\$700,000		Nelson; Ben
DOJ	01P—JJ	Every Person Influences Children, Buffalo, NY	Ready, Set, Parent: Newborn Health and Parenting Education	\$200,000	Slaughter	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Fairfax Childhelp Center, Fairfax, VA	Child Advocacy Center	\$300,000	Connolly (VA); Moran (VA)	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Florida Department of Juvenile Justice, Tallahassee, FL	Juvenile Justice Institute at Florida A & M University	\$550,000	Boyd; Brown; Corrine; Hastings (FL)	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Focus: HOPE, Detroit, MI	Youth Safety Initiative	\$200,000	Cymerys	Levin; Stabenow
DOJ	01P—JJ	Franciscan Hospital for Children, Brighton, MA	Juvenile Delinquency and Early Intervention Program	\$300,000	Caputo	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Freedom From Unnecessary Negatives, Memphis, TN	Stop the Killing	\$400,000	Cohen	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Friends of the Children Portland, Portland, OR	Child Investment Initiative	\$300,000	Blumentrater	Wyden; Merkley
DOJ	01P—JJ	Friends with Jesus, Inc., Banbridge, GA	Friendship House	\$75,000	Bishop (GA)	

DOJ	OJP—JJ	Gadsden County School Board, Quincy, FL	Criminal Justice Career Academy		\$100,000	Boyd	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Gang Alternatives Program, Lynwood, CA	Gang Alternatives Program for Southeast Los Angeles County		\$150,000	Sanchez, Linda	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Generations, Inc., Lindenwald, NJ	Progress Around the Schools		\$175,000	Andrews, Rohman (NJ)	Lautenberg, Menendez
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Girl Scouts of the USA, New York, NY	Girl Scouts Beyond Bars		\$1,000,000	Kennedy, Ruppersberger	Mikulski
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Girl's Incorporated, Hampton, VA	Operation Impact		\$200,000	Scott (VA)	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Growing Power, Inc., Milwaukee, WI	Effective Training for At-Risk Milwaukee Youth		\$200,000	Moore (WI)	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Haltway-Sycamores Child and Family Services, Pasadena, CA	Stay in School Training for At-Risk Youth		\$150,000	Becerra	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Hawaii Council on Economic Education, Honolulu, HI	Financial Education for At-Risk Youth and Families		\$350,000		Alaska
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Highbridge Community Life Center, Bronx, NY	Highbridge Youth Education Project		\$100,000	Sereno	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	HoustonWorks USA, Houston, TX	Juvenile Justice Initiative		\$250,000	Green, Gore, Green, Al, Jackson Lee (TX)	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Huckleberry Youth Programs, San Francisco, CA	Huckleberry Community Assessment and Referral Center (CHRC) for San Francisco Non-Violent Juvenile Offenders		\$300,000	Pelosi	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Human Development Commission, Caro, MI	Generations Program		\$100,000	Kildee	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Improved Solutions for Urban Systems, Dayton, OH	Court Involved Drop Out Youth Re-Engagement		\$250,000		Voitovich, Brown, Sherrod
DOJ	OJP—JJ	i-SAFE, Inc., Garland, CA	Internet Safety Programs		\$1,000,000	Young (AM); Beckley, Canahan; Herseth Sandlin; Pomeroy	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Kaines Wilson Juvenile Probation Department, Kansas City, TX	JIAEP ELITE Program		\$300,000	Hingosa	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Kennedy Krueger Institute, Baltimore, MD	Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Program at Fort Meade		\$500,000	Sarbanes	Mikulski
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Kenosha Boys & Girls Club, Kenosha, WI	Gang Prevention		\$150,000		Kohl
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Kidsave International, Inc., Culver City, CA	Kidsave Los Angeles Weekend Miracles Program		\$100,000	Watson	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	KidsVoice, Pittsburgh, PA	Child Advocacy Program		\$200,000	Doyle	Specter
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Lane County Administration, Eugene, OR	Ten Courts Initiative		\$500,000	DeFazio	Wyden; Merkley
DOJ	OJP—JJ	LA's BEST, Los Angeles, CA	Connects After School with High School		\$600,000	Becerra	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Lain American Youth Center, Washington, DC	Maryland Multicultural Youth Centers		\$750,000		Mikulski
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Lawrence Hall Youth Services, Chicago, IL	Youth Violence Prevention Program		\$450,000	Quigley	Durbin
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Lehman College, Bronx, NY	Public Service Leadership Institute		\$200,000	Sereno	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Los Angeles Centers for Alcohol and Drug Abuse, Santa Fe Springs, CA	After-School Youth Enrichment Services		\$50,000	Napolitano	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Los Angeles County Office of Education, Downey, CA	Pomona and Los Padrones Juvenile Courts School Court Liaison Program		\$150,000	Napolitano	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Lourdes College, Sylmar, OH	At-Risk Youth Student Success Mentoring Initiative		\$500,000	Kaytor	Brown, Sherrod
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Man Lue Chinese Culture Center, Radnor, PA	Preparing Philadelphia's Future Global Leaders		\$100,000	Blady (PA)	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Manna House Workshops, New York, NY	Elevating Access to Performing Arts Education for Children by Enhancing our Infrastructure and Enriching Structure		\$250,000	Rangel	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Manan University, Indianapolis, IN	Youth Build Indy Program		\$250,000	Carsin (IN)	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Martin Luther King Jr. Freedom Center, Oakland, CA	Nonviolence Leadership Program		\$250,000	Lee (CA)	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Mary Mitchell Family and Youth Center, Inc., Bronx, NY	Programs for At-Risk Youth		\$200,000	Sereno	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Maryland Association of Youth Services Bureaus, Greenbelt, MD	At-Risk Youth Program		\$1,000,000		Mikulski; Cardin
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Mass Mentoring Partnership, Boston, MA	Mentoring Programs for At-Risk Children and Youth		\$360,000	Caputo	Kerry
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Matt Garcia Police Athletic League Center, Fairfield, CA	At-Risk Youth Program		\$190,000	Garamendi	Boxer
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Men Engaged in Nonviolence, Taos, NM	Crime Prevention Initiative		\$200,000		Bingaman
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Metropolitan Council on Jewish Poverty, New York, NY	Study on At-Risk Teens		\$150,000	Maloney	Schumer; Gillibrand
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Mid Valley Family YMCA, Van Nuys, CA	Youth & Teen Outreach Program		\$300,000	Berman	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Moody County Boys and Girls Club, Handrean, SD	Educational Programs		\$100,000		Johnson
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Moshulu Montefiore Community Center, Bronx, NY	MMCC Co-Op City Youth Center		\$375,000	Engel	Gillibrand
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Museum of Chinese in America, New York, NY	Alterschool Program		\$100,000	Velazquez	
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Museum of the Moving Image, Astoria, NY	Programs for Youth		\$150,000	Maloney	

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Agency	Account	Recipient	Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
DOJ	01P—JJ	My Turn, Inc., Brooklyn, MA	Out-of-School Youth Intervention Program	\$200,000	McGovern	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Neighborhood First Program, Inc., Bristol, PA	Expansion of H.A.V.E.N. Program	\$180,000	Murphy, Patrick	
DOJ	01P—JJ	New Mexico Juvenile Justice Continuum, Santa Fe, NM	Statewide Juvenile Justice Initiative	\$500,000		Bingaman, Udall, Tom
DOJ	01P—JJ	New York Shakespeare Festival (d.b.a. The Public Theater), New York, NY	Shakespeare Initiative for At-Risk Youth	\$200,000	Nadler (NY)	
DOJ	01P—JJ	North Area Athletic & Education Center, Syracuse, NY	Sleeping Stones for Your Future	\$50,000	Marfa	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Northern Forest Canoe Trail, Watfield, VT	Employers Program	\$300,000	Arcuri, Michael; Owens, Pingree (ME); Welch	Leahy, Snowe
DOJ	01P—JJ	Northwest Virginia Regional Gang Task Force, Berryville, VA	Northwest Virginia Regional Gang Task Force	\$500,000		Webb, Warner
DOJ	01P—JJ	Nuyoric Peets Cafe, New York, NY	Arts Programming for At-Risk Youth	\$750,000	Velezquez	
DOJ	01P—JJ	NYC Outward Bound, Long Island City, NY	Expeditionary Learning	\$400,000	McMahon	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Onel Children's Home and Family Services, Brooklyn, NY	Violence Prevention Program	\$400,000	Weiner, Engel	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Operation OJ, Inc., Paradise Valley, AZ	After School Program	\$600,000	Pastor (AZ)	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Our Children's Homestead, Rockford, IL	Foster Youth Support Program	\$200,000		Durbin
DOJ	01P—JJ	Overtown Youth Center, Inc., Miami, FL	Youth Programs	\$100,000	Meek (FL)	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Parents Against Addiction, Nitro, WV	Drug Rehabilitation Program	\$500,000	Rahall	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Part of the Solution, Inc., Bronx, NY	After-School Education Program for At-Risk Youth	\$50,000	Serrano	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Patterson Volunteer Committee, Inc., Bronx, NY	Students Against Gun Violence	\$50,000	Serrano	
DOJ	01P—JJ	People United for Sustainable Housing, Buffalo, NY	Programs to Assist At-Risk Youth	\$250,000	Higgins	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Permanent Fund, Minneapolis, VT	Mentoring Collaborative	\$500,000		Leahy
DOJ	01P—JJ	Phoenix Houses of Los Angeles, Inc., Lake View Terrace, CA	Strengthening Family and Mental Health Services for Underserved Youth in Los Angeles County	\$500,000	Sherman, Berman; Roybal-Allard	Boxer
DOJ	01P—JJ	Pinebluffs County, Clearwater, FL	At-Risk Youth Diversion and Recidivism Prevention Program	\$300,000	Castor (FL)	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Pittsburgh TASK, Pittsburgh, PA	Mobilizing Male Mentors Project	\$100,000	Doyle	
DOJ	01P—JJ	POINT Community Development Corporation, Bronx, NY	Youth Development Program	\$250,000	Serrano	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Police Athletic League, Toledo, OH	Youth Center	\$400,000	Kaptur	
DOJ	01P—JJ	PortSide New York, Brooklyn, NY	Youth Summer Work and Education	\$50,000	Velezquez, Nadler (NY)	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Project Intercept, Inc., Brooklyn, NY	Mentoring—A Drug Prevention Program for At-Risk Youth	\$100,000	Weiner	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Pulaski County Youth Services, Little Rock, AR	Youth Initiative to Encourage Learning and Development	\$200,000	Snyder	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Railroad Street Youth Project, Great Barrington, MA	Berkshire Youth Development Project	\$765,000	Oliver	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Randolph County Family Connection, Inc., Cuthbert, GA	After School Program for At-Risk Children	\$75,000	Bishop (GA)	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Red Hook Initiative, Brooklyn, NY	Education Program for At-Risk Youth	\$250,000	Velezquez	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Renaissance EMS, Bronx, NY	At-Risk Youth Program	\$100,000	Serrano	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Restorative Justice for Oakland Youth, Oakland, CA	Restorative Alternatives: Youth Diversion and Re-entry Program	\$250,000	Lee (CA)	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Richmond Police Activities League, Richmond, CA	Support for Mentoring Programs	\$200,000	Langwin	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Roca, Chelsea, MA	Changing Habits, Attitudes & Mind Program (CHAMP)	\$400,000	Miller, George	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Roca, Chelsea, MA	Roca Springfield Project	\$500,000		Nery
DOJ	01P—JJ	Roca School for Dance Education, Philadelphia, PA	RockReach Program	\$250,000	Andrews; Brady (PA); Fallah	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Sankola Safe Child Initiative, Chicago, IL	Juvenile Justice Leadership Navigation Project	\$150,000	Davis (IL)	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Save Our Future, Inc., Los Angeles, CA	Mothers On the March After-School Program, Intervention & Enrichment	\$300,000	Watson	
DOJ	01P—JJ	Save The Children, Washington, DC	Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Program	\$500,000		Prior, Lincoln
DOJ	01P—JJ	Save the Children, Westport, CT	Arkansas Rural Literacy Program	\$400,000	Berry	

DOI	OIP—JJ	Sea Research Foundation, Mystic, CT	Ocean Exploration and Science Education for At-Risk Youth	\$1,750,000	DeLauro, Kennedy, Courtney, Moran (VA)	Dodd, Lieberman
DOI	OIP—JJ	Search to Involve Filipino Americans, Los Angeles, CA	SIPA Youth Empowerment and Employment Training Institute	\$500,000	Receira	
DOI	OIP—JJ	SEED School of Maryland, Baltimore, MD	SEED Maryland Student Life Program	\$500,000	Cummings, Edwards (MD); Ruppersberger, Sarbanes	Cardin
DOI	OIP—JJ	SCA Youth & Family Service, Chicago, IL	College Success Initiative	\$250,000	Gutierrez	
DOI	OIP—JJ	SCA Youth & Family Services, Chicago, IL	Roseland College Success Initiative	\$250,000	Jackson (IL)	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Sid Jacobson Jewish Community Center, East Hills, NY	Youth Action After School Program	\$100,000	Ackerman	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Social Service and Community Development Foundation, Inc., Joliet, IL	Hill Memorial Learning Center	\$150,000	Halvorson	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Sound Mental Health, Seattle, WA	Children's Domestic Violence Response Team Program	\$280,000	McDermott	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Soundview Community in Action, Bronx, NY	Outreach Program for At-Risk Youth	\$250,000	Crowley	
DOI	OIP—JJ	South Carolina Alterschool Alliance, Columbia, SC	Disconnected Youth Program	\$350,000	Cyburn	
DOI	OIP—JJ	South Queens Boys & Girls Club, Richmond Hill, NY	After School Program	\$200,000	Meeks (NY)	
DOI	OIP—JJ	SOWEGA CASA, Inc., Amherst, GA	A CASA for Every Child	\$75,000	Bishop (GA)	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Sports & Arts in Schools Foundation, Woodside, NY	At-Risk Youth Program	\$300,000	Towns; Clarke; Maloney; Velazquez	
DOI	OIP—JJ	St. Louis Science Center, St. Louis, Missouri, MO	Youth Exploring Science (YES)	\$200,000	Clay, Carnahan	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Starr Commonwealth, Albion, MI	Technology Upgrades for At-Risk Youth Programs	\$750,000	Schauer	Levin; Stabenow
DOI	OIP—JJ	Suffolk University, Boston, MA	Juvenile Justice Center	\$200,000	Lynch	Merry
DOI	OIP—JJ	Team Focus, Inc., Tupelo, MS	Mississippi Team Focus Youth Mentoring Program	\$200,000		Cochran
DOI	OIP—JJ	Team Focus, Morgan, TX	Youth Mentoring Program	\$500,000		Hutchison
DOI	OIP—JJ	Texas A&M Corpus Christi, Corpus Christi, TX	Adjudicated Youth Program	\$270,000	Ortiz	
DOI	OIP—JJ	The Manor, Jonesville, MI	Children and Family Community Reintegration Program	\$300,000	Schauer	Levin; Stabenow
DOI	OIP—JJ	Thurgood Marshall College Fund, New York, NY	Rebuilding Communities Initiatives through Mentorship and Education	\$500,000	Towns; Rutherford; Hastings (NY); Johnson (GA); Johnson, Eddie Bernice; Meek (FL); Norton	Landrieu; Pryor; Lincoln; Hagan
DOI	OIP—JJ	Tiles Center for the Performing Arts, Greenvale, NY	Stay in School Initiative	\$200,000	Ackerman	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Township of Irvington, Irvington, NJ	Youth Violence Prevention Initiative	\$300,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
DOI	OIP—JJ	Turnaround for Children, New York, NY	At-Risk Youth Education Programs	\$400,000	Rangel	Schumer
DOI	OIP—JJ	U.S. Dream Academy Mentoring Project, Columbia, MD	Mentoring Project	\$250,000	Cummings	
DOI	OIP—JJ	U.S. Soccer Foundation, Washington, DC	Youth Soccer Gang Prevention Initiative	\$200,000	Royal-Allard	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Ulrich Children's Advantage Network, Chicago, IL	Violence Prevention and Job Development Program for Youth	\$250,000	Jackson (IL); Qungley	
DOI	OIP—JJ	University of Montana, Missoula, MT	Montana Safe School Center: Trauma-Informed Communities	\$500,000		Tester; Baucus
DOI	OIP—JJ	University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls, IA	Center for Minority Youth Development	\$300,000	Braley (IA)	Harkin; Grassley
DOI	OIP—JJ	Urban Dreams, Des Moines, IA	Urban Children are Really Essential (U-CARE)	\$200,000	Roswell; Braley (IA)	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Urban League of Hudson County, Jersey City, NJ	Project Restore Gang Education and Prevention Program	\$400,000	Payne; Sires	Lautenberg; Menendez
DOI	OIP—JJ	Vermont Department of Children and Families, Waterbury, VT	At-Risk Youth Assistance Program	\$800,000		Sanders
DOI	OIP—JJ	Vermont Department of Education, Montpelier, VT	Extended Education Programming in Schools	\$500,000		Sanders
DOI	OIP—JJ	Virginia Early Childhood Foundation, Richmond, VA	Southside/Southwest Virginia School Readiness Initiative	\$250,000		Webb; Warner
DOI	OIP—JJ	Virginia Foundation for Community College Education, Richmond, VA	Great Expectations Program for Virginia's Foster Youth	\$370,000		Webb; Warner
DOI	OIP—JJ	Virginia Mentoring Partnership, Richmond, VA	Mentoring Programs for At-Risk Children and Youth	\$200,000	Nye	Webb; Warner
DOI	OIP—JJ	Western Connecticut State University, Danbury, CT	Expanded Building a Bridge to Improve Student Success At-Risk Youth Project	\$400,000	Murphy (CT)	Dodd; Lieberman
DOI	OIP—JJ	William A. Lawson Institute for Peace and Prosperity, Houston, TX	Mentoring Program	\$200,000	Green, Al	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Women's Studio Workshop, Kingston, NY	Cultural Experiences for At-Risk Youth: Hands-On Art (HART)am	\$60,000	Hinchey	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Woonsocket Prevention Coalition, Woonsocket, RI	RI Third Eye Youth Crime Watch	\$270,000	Kennedy	Reed; Whitehouse
DOI	OIP—JJ	YMCA of Greater Houston, Houston, TX	Apartment Outreach Program	\$300,000	Green, Gene	

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Agency	Account	Recipient	Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
DOI	OIP—JJ	YMCA of Metropolitan Hartford, Inc., Hartford, CT	Youth Violence Prevention Initiative	\$500,000	Larson (CT)	Senate
DOI	OIP—JJ	Youngstown State University, Youngstown, OH	YSU SMARTS—Students Motivated by the Arts at the Mahoning County Juvenile Justice Center	\$100,000	Ryan (OH)	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Youth as Possibility, Chicago, IL	At-Risk Youth Programs	\$150,000	Rush	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Youth Policy Institute, Los Angeles, CA	Los Angeles Community Schools Initiative	\$400,000	Beckera	
DOI	OIP—JJ	Youthworks, Bismarck, ND	At Risk Youth Intervention	\$600,000		Dorgan, Conrad
DOI	OIP—JJ	YMCA Columbus, Columbus, OH	At-Risk Youth Initiative	\$250,000	Kilroy	Voinovich, Brown, Sherrod
DOI	OIP—JJ	YMCA Corpus Christi, Corpus Christi, TX	YW Teen Program	\$500,000	Ortiz, Hinojosa	
DOI	OIP—JJ	YMCA Elgin, Elgin, IL	At-Risk Youth Initiative	\$350,000	Foster	Burris
DOI	OIP—JJ	YMCA Great Lakes Bay Region, Bay City, MI	Empowerment Initiative for At-Risk Youth and Women	\$500,000	Kildee	Levin, Stabenow
DOI	OIP—JJ	YMCA Northern Rhode Island, Woonsocket, RI	Bright Futures Initiative for At-Risk Youth	\$750,000	Kennedy	Reed, Whitehouse
DOI	OIP—JJ	YMCA of Greater Lawrence, Lawrence, MA	Initiative for At-Risk Youth	\$300,000	Tsongas	
DOI	OIP—JJ	YMCA of Mohawk Valley, Utica, NY	Child Advocacy Centers and Support Services, Oneida, Herkimer and Cortland Counties, NY	\$450,000	Arcuri	
DOI	OIP—JJ	YMCA of Salt Lake City, Salt Lake City, UT	Shelter and Residence for Women and Children	\$485,000		Bennett
DOI	OIP—JJ	YMCA Pueblo, Pueblo, CO	Teen Domestic Violence Prevention Education	\$300,000		Bennet
DOI	OIP—JJ	YMCA Vermont, Colchester, VT	Initiative for At-Risk Girls	\$150,000	Welch	
DOI	OIP—JJ	YMCA Youngstown, Youngstown, OH	At-Risk Youth Strengthening Initiative	\$300,000	Ryan (OH)	Voinovich, Brown, Sherrod
DOI	OIP—JJ	Zero to Three, Douglasville, GA	Douglas County Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	\$100,000	Scott (GA)	Chambliss
DOI	OIP—JJ	Zero to Three, San Francisco, CA	Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	\$300,000	Peossi	
NSA	CAS	Anne Arundel County Public Schools, Annapolis, MD	STEM Innovation Incubator	\$1,000,000	Ruppersberger	
NSA	CAS	Bay Area Houston Economic Partnership, Houston, TX	Space Alliance Technology Outreach Program	\$2,000,000	Paul	Hutchison, Cornyn
NSA	CAS	Baylor University, Waco, TX	Baylor Advanced Composite Materials Research and Baylor Research Innovation Collaborative	\$2,300,000	Edwards (TX)	
NSA	CAS	Baylor University, Waco, TX	Space Sciences Consortium	\$1,000,000		Hutchison
NSA	CAS	City of Downey, Downey, CA	Remote Sensing Exhibit	\$500,000	Roybal-Allard	
NSA	CAS	College Opportunity Resources for Education, Philadelphia, PA	STEM Scholarship Program	\$1,500,000	Fattah	
NSA	CAS	Dallas County Community College District, Dallas, TX	STEM Professions Initiative	\$300,000	Johnson, Eddie Benice	
NSA	CAS	Delaware AeroSpace Education Foundation, Smyrna, DE	Science and Technology Literacy	\$175,000		Carper, Kautman
NSA	CAS	Dillard University, New Orleans, LA	Dillard University Eighth Grade Initiative	\$300,000	Cao	Landrieu
NSA	CAS	Fulton-Montgomery Community College, Johnstown, NY	Center for Engineering and Technology	\$400,000	Tonko	Schumer, Gillibrand
NSA	CAS	GEAR UP Collaborative, Philadelphia, PA	Math, Science and Technology Enrichment Program	\$2,000,000	Fattah	
NSA	CAS	Glenville State College, Glenville, WV	Center for Teacher Excellence	\$10,000,000	Molohan	
NSA	CAS	Imilia Astronomy Center, Honolulu, HI	Imilia Astronomy Center	\$2,500,000	Hirono	Inouye, Akaka
NSA	CAS	Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL	Advanced Algorithm, Integration, and Maturation	\$500,000		Shelby
NSA	CAS	Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL	Development of Characterization Techniques for Advanced High Temperature Materials in Space Launch Applications	\$500,000	Davis (AL)	Shelby
NSA	CAS	Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL	NASA Space Nuclear Power Systems Research and Development	\$500,000		Shelby
NSA	CAS	Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL	Product Lifecycle Management	\$500,000		Shelby
NSA	CAS	Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, TN	Aviation Safety and Human Factors Research Using Emerging Technologies	\$400,000	Gordon (TN)	
NSA	CAS	Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, TN	Future Aerospace Scientists in Tennessee	\$300,000	Gordon (TN)	
NSA	CAS	Mississippi State University, Starkville, MS	Linking Disruptive Manufacturing Research with Manufacturing Industries	\$1,250,000		Coburn, Wicker

NASA	CAS	Morgan State University, University of Maryland Eastern Shore, and Bowie State University, Baltimore, MD	Chesapeake Information Based Aeronautics Consortium		\$3,000,000	Cummings	Mikuiski
NASA	CAS	National Commission on Teaching and America's Future, Towson, MD	NASA 21st Century Learning Studies		\$300,000	Sabanes; Edwards (MD); Ruppertsberger	
NASA	CAS	National Institute for Aviation Research, Wichita, KS	National Center for Advanced Materials Performance		\$950,000		Brownback; Roberts
NASA	CAS	New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM	Science, Engineering, Math, Aerospace Academy		\$200,000	Teague	Bingaman
NASA	CAS	North Carolina A&T University, Greensboro, NC	Low-Power Microelectronics for Harsh Environments Encountered in NASA Missions		\$200,000	Miller (NC)	Hagan
NASA	CAS	Northern Kentucky University Research Foundation, Highland Heights, KY	STEM Outreach Initiative		\$200,000		Bunning
NASA	CAS	Rochester Area Colleges, Inc., Rochester, NY	Center for Excellence in Math and Science		\$500,000	Slaughter; Maffei	
NASA	CAS	Southwest Missouri State University, Cape Girardeau, MO	Missouri NASA Math, Science and Technology Education Enhancement Program		\$500,000		Bond
NASA	CAS	Space Florida, Cape Canaveral, FL	Clean Energy Initiatives		\$750,000		Nelson; Bill
NASA	CAS	Space Florida, Cape Canaveral, FL	Launch Complex 36/46 Infrastructure		\$1,650,000		Nelson; Bill
NASA	CAS	Spelman College, Atlanta, GA	Women in Science and Engineering Program		\$100,000	Johnson (GA); Lewis (GA)	Chambliss; Isakson
NASA	CAS	St. Louis Community College, St. Louis, MO	Missouri Challenge		\$500,000		Bond
NASA	CAS	Teach for America, New York, NY	Teach for America STEM Activities		\$3,000,000		Mikuiski
NASA	CAS	Tennessee Technological University, Cookeville, TN	STEM Innovation Through Digital Manufacturing		\$200,000	Gordon (TN)	
NASA	CAS	Towson University, Coppin State University, and University of Maryland Biotechnology Institute, Towson and Baltimore, MD	Baltimore Excellence in Science Teaching Partnership		\$1,000,000	Ruppertsberger	Mikuiski
NASA	CAS	University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL	Airborne Sensor for Disasters and Environmental Monitoring		\$500,000		Shelby
NASA	CAS	University of Louisville, Louisville, KY	University of Louisville Diagnosing and Mitigating Human Exposure to Radiation		\$2,000,000		McConnell
NASA	CAS	University of Maryland, College Park, MD	University-Assisted K-12 Engineering Education Program		\$800,000	Edwards (MD); Hoyer; Ruppertsberger; Sabanes	Cardin
NASA	CAS	University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Amherst, MA	Large Millimeter Telescope		\$500,000	Oliver	Kerry
NASA	CAS	University of Mississippi, Oxford, MS	National Center for Remote Sensing, Air, and Space Law		\$1,400,000		Cochran; Wicker
NASA	CAS	University of Mississippi, University, MS	Innovative STEM Education		\$200,000	Childers	
NASA	CAS	University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND	Upper Midwest Aerospace Consortium		\$3,000,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan; Conrad
NASA	CAS	University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls, IA	National Institute for Early Mathematics and Science Education		\$200,000	Briley (IA)	Harkin; Grassley
NASA	CAS	University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS	Translating Space Technologies into the Commercial Sector		\$1,750,000		Cochran; Wicker
NASA	CAS	University of Toledo, Toledo, OH	Advanced Photovoltaics Array Testing		\$1,000,000	Kapur	Voinovich; Brown; Sherrod
NASA	CAS	University of Vermont, Burlington, VT	Complex Systems Center		\$1,300,000		Leahy
NASA	CAS	West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	Automatic Sensor Webs for Scientific Discovery		\$500,000	Molohan	
NASA	CAS	West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	Aviation Safety Research and Design		\$500,000	Molohan	
NASA	CAS	Wheeling Jesuit University, Wheeling, WV	National Technology Transfer Center		\$1,500,000		Rockefeller

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE
(Fiscal Year 2009 Project Corrections)

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOI	COPS—LE Tech	City of Green Bay, Green Bay, WI	Police Department Forensics Equipment	\$40,000	Kagen
DOI	OIP—Byrne	City of Las Vegas, Las Vegas, NV	Shared Computer Operation for Protection and Enforcement (SCOPE)	\$400,000	Reid
DOI	COPS—LE Tech	City of Monroe, Monroe, NC	Police Department Interoperable Radio Project	\$300,000	Kissell
DOI	OIP—JJ	Department of 4-H Youth Development and Family & Consumer Sciences at North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC	Wake County Gang Prevention Partnership Spanish Language Anti-Gang Campaign	\$650,000	Price
DOI	OIP—JJ	Identity, Inc., Galveston, TX	Latino Youth Gang Prevention Project	\$200,000	Van Hollen
DOI	OIP—Byrne	St. Stephen Family Life Center, Louisville, KY	Youth Mentoring Program	\$150,000	Yarmuth
DOI	OIP—Byrne	University of Louisiana-Lafayette, Lafayette, LA	Early Intervention Program for At-Risk Youth	\$800,000	Landreau; Witter

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE
[Fiscal Year 2010 Project Corrections]

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Beaver County Sheriff, Beaver, PA	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$120,000	Altmire	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Elgin Police Department, Elgin, IL	Law Enforcement Technology	\$460,000	Foster	
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Lawrence County Sheriff, New Castle, PA	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$120,000	Altmire	
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Montana Sheriffs and Peace Officers Association, Helena, MT	Adult Initiatives	\$300,000		Tester; Baucus
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Texas State University, San Marcos, TX	ALERT (Advanced Law Enforcement Rapid Response Training)	\$1,300,000	Edwards (TX)	
DOJ	COPS—LE Tech	Webb County Sheriff, Laredo, TX	South Texas Emergency Operations Center Equipment	\$750,000	Caellar	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE					
International Trade Administration					
Operations and administration	456,204	543,704	514,204	+58,000	—29,500
Offsetting fee collections	—9,439	—9,439	—9,439		
Direct appropriation	446,765	534,265	504,765	+58,000	—29,500
Bureau of Industry and Security					
Operations and administration	85,575	81,106	78,295	—7,280	—2,811
CWC enforcement	14,767	32,000	31,580	+15,913	—320
Total, Bureau of Industry and Security	100,342	113,106	109,975	+9,633	—3,131
Economic Development Administration					
Economic development assistance programs	255,000	246,000	277,000	+22,000	+31,000
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–212)	54,000			—54,000	
Subtotal	309,000	246,000	277,000	—32,000	+31,000
Salaries and expenses	38,000	40,181	40,181	+2,181	
Total, Economic Development Administration	347,000	286,181	317,181	—29,819	+31,000
Minority business development	31,500	32,316	32,316	+816	
Economic and Statistical Analysis	97,255	113,200	110,000	+12,745	—3,200
Bureau of the Census					
Salaries and expenses	259,024	280,364	271,364	+12,340	—9,000
Periodic censuses and programs	7,065,707	986,359	964,059	—6,101,648	—22,300
Acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities		256			—256
Rescission (Public Law 111–224)	—129,000			+129,000	
Subtotal	6,936,707	986,615	964,059	—5,972,648	—22,556
Total, Bureau of the Census	7,195,731	1,266,979	1,235,423	—5,960,308	—31,556
National Telecommunications and Information Administration					
Salaries and expenses	19,999	21,825	41,568	+21,569	+19,743
Public telecommunications facilities, planning and construction	20,000		20,000		+20,000
Broadband technology opportunities program, administrative expenses		23,700			—23,700
Total, National Telecommunications and Information Administration	39,999	45,525	61,568	+21,569	+16,043
United States Patent and Trademark Office					
Current year fee funding	1,887,000	2,321,724	2,262,000	+375,000	—59,724
Offsetting fee collections	—1,887,000	—2,321,724	—2,262,000	—375,000	+59,724
Salaries and expenses (Public Law 111–224)	129,000			—129,000	
Total, Patent and Trademark Office	129,000			—129,000	
National Institute of Standards and Technology					
Scientific and technical research and services	515,000	584,500	541,246	+26,246	—43,254
(Transfer out)	(—9,000)	(—9,000)	(—9,000)		
Industrial technology services	194,600	209,600	204,454	+9,854	—5,146
Manufacturing Extension Partnerships	(124,700)	(129,700)	(124,700)		(—5,000)
Technology Innovation Program	(69,900)	(79,900)	(69,900)		(—10,000)
Baldrige Performance Excellence Program		(9,854)		(+9,854)	(+9,854)
Construction of research facilities	147,000	124,800	132,000	—15,000	+7,200
Working capital fund (by transfer)	(9,000)	(9,000)	(9,000)		
Total, National Institute of Standards and Technology	856,600	918,900	877,700	+21,100	—41,200
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration					
Operations, research, and facilities	3,305,178	3,301,173	3,475,460	+170,282	+174,287
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–212)	25,000			—25,000	
(By transfer)	(104,600)	(104,600)	(104,600)		(—36,600)
Promote and Develop Fund (transfer out)	(—104,600)	(—104,600)	(—104,600)		(+36,600)
Acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities		1,908			—1,908
Coastal zone management transfer	3,000		3,000		+3,000
Subtotal	3,333,178	3,303,081	3,478,460	+145,282	+175,379
Procurement, acquisition and construction	1,358,353	2,184,091	2,002,219	+643,866	—181,872
Rescission of emergency funding (Public Law 111–212)	—26,000			+26,000	
Subtotal	1,332,353	2,184,091	2,002,219	+669,866	—181,872
Pacific coastal salmon recovery	80,000	65,000	80,000		+15,000
Fisheries disaster relief (emergency) (Public Law 111–212)	26,000			—26,000	
Fishermen's contingency fund		350	250	+250	—100
Coastal zone management fund	—3,000	—1,000	—1,000	+2,000	
Fisheries finance program account	—6,000	—8,001	—8,001	—2,001	
Total, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	4,762,531	5,543,521	5,551,928	+789,397	+8,407
Departmental Management					
Salaries and expenses	58,000	65,248	64,595	+6,595	—653
Acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities		1,378			—1,378
Subtotal	58,000	66,626	64,595	+6,595	—2,031
Renovation and modernization	22,500	17,487	5,000	—17,500	—12,487
Office of Inspector General	27,000	29,394	29,394	+2,394	
Total, Departmental Management	107,500	113,507	98,989	—8,511	—14,518
Total, title I, Department of Commerce	14,114,223	8,967,500	8,899,845	—5,214,378	—67,655
Appropriations	(14,164,223)	(8,967,500)	(8,899,845)	(—5,264,378)	(—67,655)
Rescissions	(—129,000)			(+129,000)	
Emergency appropriations	(105,000)			(—105,000)	
Rescissions of emergency funding	(—26,000)			(+26,000)	
(By transfer)	(113,600)	(113,600)	(77,000)	(—36,600)	(+36,600)
(Transfer out)	(—113,600)	(—113,600)	(—77,000)	(+36,600)	(+36,600)

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
TITLE II—DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE					
General Administration					
Salaries and expenses	118,488	212,558	145,565	+ 27,077	— 66,993
Acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities		10,778			— 10,778
Subtotal	118,488	223,336	145,565	+ 27,077	— 77,771
National Drug Intelligence Center	44,023	44,580	44,580	+ 557	
Justice information sharing technology	88,285	179,785	124,585	+ 36,300	— 55,200
Tactical law enforcement wireless communications	206,143	207,727	207,727	+ 1,584	
Total, General Administration	456,939	655,428	522,457	+ 65,518	— 132,971
Administrative review and appeals	300,685	319,220	319,420	+ 18,735	+ 200
Transfer from immigration examinations fee account	— 4,000	— 4,000			
Direct appropriation	296,685	315,220	315,420	+ 18,735	+ 200
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–230)	2,118			— 2,118	
Subtotal	298,803	315,220	315,420	+ 16,617	+ 200
Detention trustee	1,438,663	1,533,863	1,533,863	+ 95,200	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–230)	7,000			— 7,000	
Subtotal	1,445,663	1,533,863	1,533,863	+ 88,200	
Office of Inspector General	84,368	88,792	88,792	+ 4,424	
United States Parole Commission					
Salaries and expenses	12,859	13,582	13,582	+ 723	
Legal Activities					
Salaries and expenses, general legal activities	875,097	976,389	969,989	+ 94,892	— 6,400
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 110–230)	3,852			— 3,852	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–212)	10,000			— 10,000	
Subtotal	888,959	976,389	969,989	+ 81,030	— 6,400
Vaccine injury compensation trust fund	7,833	7,833	7,833		
Antitrust Division, salaries and expenses	163,170	167,028	167,028	+ 3,858	
Offsetting fee collections—current year	— 102,000	— 96,000	— 96,000	+ 6,000	
Direct appropriation	61,170	71,028	71,028	+ 9,858	
United States Attorneys, salaries and expenses	1,934,003	2,041,269	2,041,269	+ 107,266	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 110–230)	9,198			— 9,198	
Subtotal	1,943,201	2,041,269	2,041,269	+ 98,068	
United States Trustee System Fund	219,250	236,435	236,435	+ 17,185	
Offsetting fee collections	— 210,000	— 231,435	— 231,435	— 21,435	
Interest on U.S. securities	— 5,000	— 5,000	— 5,000		
Direct appropriation	4,250			— 4,250	
Foreign Claims Settlement Commission	2,117	2,159	2,159	+ 42	
Fees and expenses of witnesses	168,300	270,000	270,000	+ 101,700	
Salaries and expenses, Community Relations Service	11,479	12,606	12,606	+ 1,127	
Assets forfeiture fund	20,990	20,990	20,990		
Total, Legal Activities	3,108,299	3,402,274	3,395,874	+ 287,575	— 6,400
United States Marshals Service					
Salaries and expenses	1,125,763	1,180,534	1,180,534	+ 54,771	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–230)	29,651			— 29,651	
Subtotal	1,155,414	1,180,534	1,180,534	+ 25,120	
Construction	26,625	26,625	26,625		
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–230)	8,000			— 8,000	
Subtotal	34,625	26,625	26,625	— 8,000	
Total, United States Marshals Service	1,190,039	1,207,159	1,207,159	+ 17,120	
National Security Division					
Salaries and expenses	87,938	99,537	99,537	+ 11,599	
Interagency Law Enforcement					
Interagency crime and drug enforcement	528,569	579,319	574,319	+ 45,750	— 5,000
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–230)	21,000			— 21,000	
Subtotal	549,569	579,319	574,319	+ 24,750	— 5,000
Federal Bureau of Investigation					
Salaries and expenses	7,557,556	8,083,475	8,089,597	+ 532,041	+ 6,122
Overseas contingency operations	101,066			— 101,066	
Subtotal	7,658,622	8,083,475	8,089,597	+ 430,975	+ 6,122
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–230)	24,000			— 24,000	
Subtotal	7,682,622	8,083,475	8,089,597	+ 406,975	+ 6,122
Construction	239,915	181,202	130,589	— 109,326	— 50,613
Total, Federal Bureau of Investigation	7,922,537	8,264,677	8,220,186	+ 297,649	— 44,491
Drug Enforcement Administration					
Salaries and expenses	2,271,472	2,380,008	2,380,008	+ 108,536	
Diversion control fund	— 251,790	— 291,832	— 291,832	— 40,042	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–230)	33,671			— 33,671	
Subtotal	2,053,353	2,088,176	2,088,176	+ 34,823	
Construction		41,941	41,941	+ 41,941	
Total, Drug Enforcement Administration	2,053,353	2,130,117	2,130,117	+ 76,764	
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives					
Salaries and expenses	1,114,772	1,162,986	1,162,986	+ 48,214	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-230)	37,500			-37,500	
Subtotal	1,152,272	1,162,986	1,162,986	+10,714	
Construction	6,000			-6,000	
Total, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives	1,158,272	1,162,986	1,162,986	+4,714	
Federal Prison System					
Salaries and expenses	6,086,231	6,533,779	6,553,779	+467,548	+20,000
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-230)	20,000			-20,000	
Subtotal	6,106,231	6,533,779	6,553,779	+447,548	+20,000
Buildings and facilities	99,155	269,733	269,733	+170,578	
Federal Prison Industries, incorporated (limitation on administrative expenses)	2,700	2,700	2,700		
Total, Federal Prison System	6,208,086	6,806,212	6,826,212	+618,126	+20,000
State and Local Law Enforcement Activities					
Office of Violence Against Women:					
Salaries and expenses			17,800	+17,800	+17,800
Prevention and prosecution programs	418,500	438,000	448,500	+30,000	+10,500
Salaries and expenses (by transfer)		(22,735)			(-22,735)
Subtotal	418,500	438,000	466,300	+47,800	+28,300
Office of Justice Programs:					
Salaries and expenses			200,000	+200,000	+200,000
Research, evaluation and statistics	235,000	224,300	340,000	+105,000	+115,700
State and local law enforcement assistance	1,534,768	1,478,500	1,651,780	+117,012	+173,280
Weed and seed program fund	20,000			-20,000	
Juvenile justice programs	423,595	289,806	506,040	+82,445	+216,234
Public safety officers benefits:					
Death benefits	61,000	61,000	61,000		
Disability and education benefits	9,100	16,300	16,300	+7,200	
Subtotal	70,100	77,300	77,300	+7,200	
Total, Office of Justice Programs	2,283,463	2,069,906	2,775,120	+491,657	+705,214
Community oriented policing services:					
Salaries and expenses			39,000	+39,000	+39,000
COPS programs	791,608	690,000	542,070	-249,538	-147,930
Salaries and expenses (by transfer)		(40,312)			(-40,312)
Subtotal	791,608	690,000	581,070	-210,538	-108,930
OJP, OW, COPS Salaries and expenses	213,388	279,443		-213,388	-279,443
(transfer out)		(-63,047)			(+63,047)
Total, State and Local Law Enforcement Activities	3,706,959	3,477,349	3,822,490	+115,531	+345,141
Total, title II, Department of Justice	28,283,684	29,736,515	29,912,994	+1,629,310	+176,479
Appropriations	(28,077,684)	(29,736,515)	(29,912,994)	(+1,835,310)	(+176,479)
Emergency appropriations	(206,000)			(-206,000)	
TITLE III—SCIENCE					
Executive Office of the President					
Office of Science and Technology Policy	7,000	6,990	6,990	-10	
National Aeronautics and Space Administration					
Science	4,469,000	5,005,600	5,005,600	+536,600	
Aeronautics and space research and technology		1,151,800			-1,151,800
Aeronautics	501,000		579,600	+78,600	+579,600
Space research and technology			559,000	+559,000	+559,000
Exploration	3,746,300	4,263,400	3,706,000	-40,300	-557,400
Space operations	6,146,800	4,887,700	5,247,900	-898,900	+360,200
Education	182,500	145,800	180,000	-2,500	+34,200
Cross-agency support programs	3,194,000	3,107,808	3,085,700	-108,300	-22,108
Acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities		3,592			-3,592
Subtotal	3,194,000	3,111,400	3,085,700	-108,300	-25,700
Construction and environmental compliance and remediation	448,300	397,300	508,700	+60,400	+111,400
Office of Inspector General	36,400	37,000	37,500	+1,100	+500
Total, National Aeronautics and Space Administration	18,724,300	19,000,000	18,910,000	+185,700	-90,000
National Science Foundation					
Research and related activities	5,549,920	5,950,830	5,881,080	+331,160	-69,750
Defense function	68,000	68,000	68,000		
Subtotal	5,617,920	6,018,830	5,949,080	+331,160	-69,750
Major research equipment and facilities construction	117,290	165,190	157,190	+39,900	-8,000
Education and human resources	872,760	852,000	900,000	+27,240	+8,000
Agency operations and award management	300,000	327,190	319,190	+19,190	-8,000
Acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities		2,000			-2,000
Subtotal	300,000	329,190	319,190	+19,190	-10,000
National Science Board	4,540	4,840	4,840	+300	
Office of Inspector General	14,000	14,350	14,700	+700	+350
Total, National Science Foundation	6,926,510	7,424,400	7,345,000	+418,490	-79,400
Total, title III, Science	25,657,810	26,431,390	26,261,990	+604,180	-169,400
TITLE IV—RELATED AGENCIES					
Commission on Civil Rights	9,400	9,400	9,400		
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission					
Salaries and expenses	367,303	385,303	355,303	-12,000	-30,000
State and local assistance			30,000	+30,000	+30,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
Total, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	367,303	385,303	385,303	+ 18,000	
International Trade Commission	81,860	87,000	87,000	+ 5,140	
Payment to the Legal Services Corporation	420,000	435,000	440,000	+ 20,000	+ 5,000
Marine Mammal Commission	3,250	3,000	3,500	+ 250	+ 500
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative	47,826	48,257	48,000	+ 174	- 257
State Justice Institute	5,131	5,411	6,273	+ 1,142	+ 862
Commission on Wartime Relocation and Internment of Latin Americans of Japanese Descent			1,700	+ 1,700	+ 1,700
Total, title IV, Related Agencies	934,770	973,371	981,176	+ 46,406	+ 7,805
TITLE V—GENERAL PROVISIONS					
Rescissions	- 531,200	- 690,528	- 2,740,578	- 2,209,378	- 2,050,050
Grand total	68,459,287	65,418,248	63,315,427	- 5,143,860	- 2,102,821
Appropriations	(68,733,421)	(66,108,776)	(66,056,005)	(- 2,677,416)	(- 52,771)
Overseas contingency operations	(101,068)			(- 101,068)	
Emergency appropriations	(311,000)			(- 311,000)	
Rescissions	(- 660,200)	(- 690,528)	(- 2,740,578)	(- 2,080,378)	(- 2,050,050)
Rescissions of emergency funding	(- 26,000)			(- 26,000)	
(By transfer)	(113,600)	(176,647)	(77,000)	(- 36,600)	(- 99,647)
(Transfer out)	(- 113,600)	(- 176,647)	(- 77,000)	(+ 36,600)	(+ 99,647)

BUDGET AUTHORITY TOTAL--WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for fiscal year 2011 provided in the bill, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2010 amount and the 2011 budget estimates follow:

(In thousands of dollars)	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2010.....	\$ 68,459,287
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2011.....	65,418,248
Bill, fiscal year 2011.....	63,315,427
Bill compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2010.....	-5,143,860
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2011.....	-2,102,821

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

Following is an explanation of the effects of Division C, which makes appropriations for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2011. As provided in Section 4 of the consolidated bill, this explanatory statement shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds and the implementation of this division as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.

The recommendation in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2011, incorporates some of the provisions of the Senate-reported version of the bill (S. 3800). The language and allocations set forth in Senate Report 111-295 should be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in the accompanying bill and explanatory statement.

Funding levels for appropriations by account, and comparisons to last year's levels and the budget request, can be found in the table at the end of this division.

DEFINITION OF PROGRAM, PROJECT, AND ACTIVITY

For the purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99-177) as amended by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Reaffirmation Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-119) and by the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-508), the term program, project, and activity for appropriations contained in this Act shall be defined as the most specific level of budget items identified in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2011, the related classified annexes and explanatory statements, and the P-1 and R-1 budget justification documents as subsequently modified by congressional action. The following exception to the above definition shall apply: for the military personnel and the operation and maintenance accounts, for which the term "program, project, and activity" is defined as the appropriations accounts contained in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act.

At the time the President submits his budget for fiscal year 2012, the Department of Defense is directed to transmit to the congressional defense committees budget justification documents to be known as the "M-1" and "O-1" which shall identify, at the budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group level, the amounts requested by the President to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for military personnel and operation and maintenance in any budget request, or amended budget request, for fiscal year 2012.

CLASSIFIED ANNEX

Adjustments to classified programs are addressed in the accompanying classified annex.

CONGRESSIONAL SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" are congressional special interest items for purposes of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount, as specifically addressed in these materials.

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE

The Department of Defense is directed to continue following the reprogramming guidance for acquisition accounts as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the fiscal year 2008 Department of Defense Appropriations bill (H.R. 110-279). For operation and maintenance accounts, the Department of Defense shall continue to follow the reprogramming guidelines specified title II of this statement. The dollar threshold for reprogramming funds shall remain at \$15,000,000 for operation and maintenance; \$20,000,000 for procurement; and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

Also, the Under Secretary of Defense, Comptroller, is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for service and defense-wide accounts in titles I, II, III and IV of this Act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with guidance specified in the statement of managers accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of an operation and maintenance (O-1), procurement (P-1) or a research, development, test and evaluation (R-1) line exceed the identified threshold, the Department of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this statement.

FUNDING INCREASES

The funding increases outlined in the tables for each appropriation account shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables.

ADMINISTRATIVE FEES

For contracts in the amount greater than the simplified acquisition threshold, the Secretary of Defense may not retain any fee or charge in excess of the actual cost (or estimated cost if the actual cost is not known) of entering into and administering the contract or other agreement under which the order is filled. In no event may the administrative fee or charge retained for such contracts exceed five percent of the funds appropriated, unless approved by the service acquisition executive concerned. Such approvals shall be reported to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and the Senate not later than 30 days after approval.

INNOVATIVE PROCUREMENT AND RESEARCH FUNDS

The recommendation includes an additional \$60,000,000 for innovative procurement efforts and \$439,200,000 for innovative research and development activities. A wide variety of valuable equipment, services and good ideas that can contribute to improving defense capabilities may never be fielded or

developed due to a lack of funding. The additional funding provided in this Act is intended to capture some of these items, with an emphasis on small business activities.

Within the research and development accounts it is directed that not less than \$128,000,000 (\$32,000,000 for each military department and Defense-Wide) shall be made available only for Phase III Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) projects to transition ideas into projects that support acquisition programs. In addition, not less than \$40,000,000 of Defense-Wide funds shall be available only for unsolicited proposals which will be unique in nature. Projects shall be awarded based on an assessment of the benefits defined as enhancing military capability, accelerating the delivery of military capability, reducing the cost of weapons systems either fielded or under development, or improving the quality of life for service personnel. The maximum value of each project awarded with this funding shall not exceed \$4,000,000. Projects may be awarded in connection with equipment items, software and services consistent with the criteria noted above.

Procurements shall be competitively awarded based on current law and regulations. Procurements shall also be awarded based on an assessment of the benefits defined as enhancing military capability, accelerating the delivery of military capability, reducing the cost of weapons systems or improving the quality of life for service personnel.

It is directed that the overall guidance for the execution of this funding shall be established by the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition Technology & Logistics) (USD (AT&L)). Funds available to the military departments shall be administered by the military departments' civilian acquisition executives. Funds available for Defense-Wide activities, including unsolicited proposals, shall be administered by the USD (AT&L). Included in the funding allocated to the USD (AT&L) is \$3,200,000 for the management and administration of the program.

The USD (AT&L) shall provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act that provides a detailed description of overarching guidance for these initiative funds. The military departments' civilian acquisition executives and the USD (AT&L) shall also provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, and at the close of each quarter of the fiscal year thereafter, listing the projects that have been awarded with this funding, separately listing the projects, procurements for small businesses and unsolicited proposals. These reports shall also indicate the criteria used to award projects and procurements to include the expected benefits as noted above, and the estimated savings, if any, that the projects and procurements may generate.

TITLE I—MILITARY PERSONNEL

For Military Personnel, funds are to be available for fiscal year 2011, as follows:

[in thousands of dollars]:

M-1 ---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---
MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY		
---	---	---
BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS	---	---
BASIC PAY	6,392,861	6,392,861
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,088,308	2,088,308
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,854,718	1,854,718
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	255,925	255,925
INCENTIVE PAYS	97,698	97,698
SPECIAL PAYS	300,939	300,939
ALLOWANCES	198,601	198,601
SEPARATION PAY	61,798	61,798
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	487,469	487,469
TOTAL, BA-1	11,738,317	11,738,317
---	---	---
BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL	---	---
BASIC PAY	13,682,488	13,682,488
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	4,470,859	4,470,859
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	4,395,850	4,395,850
INCENTIVE PAYS	102,851	102,851
SPECIAL PAYS	1,269,047	1,129,047
Enlistment Bonuses - Excess to Requirement	---	-40,000
Re-enlistment Bonuses - Excess to Requirement	---	-100,000
ALLOWANCES	806,471	806,471
SEPARATION PAY	255,127	255,127
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	1,046,710	1,046,710
TOTAL, BA-2	26,029,403	25,889,403
---	---	---
BA-3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS	---	---
ACADEMY CADETS	74,773	74,773
TOTAL, BA-3	74,773	74,773
---	---	---
BA-4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL	---	---
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	1,313,309	1,313,309
SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	817,691	817,691
FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	748	748
TOTAL, BA-4	2,131,748	2,131,748
---	---	---
BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL	---	---
ACCESSION TRAVEL	202,699	202,699
TRAINING TRAVEL	142,749	142,749
OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	494,937	494,937
ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	674,831	674,831
SEPARATION TRAVEL	198,439	198,439
TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	12,137	12,137
NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	12,639	12,639
TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	38,931	38,931
TOTAL, BA-5	1,777,362	1,777,362
---	---	---
BA-6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS	---	---
APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	2,233	2,233
INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	648	648
DEATH GRATUITIES	45,500	45,500
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	188,778	188,778
EDUCATION BENEFITS	30,879	30,879
ADOPTION EXPENSES	610	610
TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	8,007	8,007
PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	338	338
RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	138,731	138,731
JUNIOR ROTC	50,201	50,201
TOTAL, BA-6	465,925	465,925
---	---	---
LESS REIMBURSABLES	-245,251	-245,251
---	---	---
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS	0	-789,624
Undistributed Transfer to Title IX	---	-789,624
---	---	---
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY	41,972,277	41,042,653
---	---	---
MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY		
---	---	---
BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS	---	---
BASIC PAY	3,680,703	3,680,703
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,202,462	1,202,462
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,263,675	1,263,675
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	143,344	143,344

M-1 ---	Budget Request	Recommendation
--- INCENTIVE PAYS	155,148	155,148
--- SPECIAL PAYS	355,821	355,821
--- ALLOWANCES	104,291	104,291
--- SEPARATION PAY	25,353	25,353
--- SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	278,666	278,666
--- TOTAL, BA-1	7,209,463	7,209,463
--- BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL	---	---
--- BASIC PAY	8,257,803	8,257,803
--- RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,700,204	2,700,204
--- BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	3,682,915	3,682,915
--- INCENTIVE PAYS	100,499	100,499
--- SPECIAL PAYS	839,787	814,787
--- Re-enlistment Bonuses - Excess to Requirement	---	-5,000
--- Enlistment Bonuses - Excess to Requirement	---	-20,000
--- ALLOWANCES	498,621	498,621
--- SEPARATION PAY	127,343	127,343
--- SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	631,722	631,722
--- TOTAL, BA-2	16,838,894	16,813,894
--- BA-3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF MIDSHIPMEN	---	---
--- MIDSHIPMEN	74,950	74,950
--- TOTAL, BA-3	74,950	74,950
--- BA-4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL	---	---
--- BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	685,085	685,085
--- SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	419,333	419,333
--- FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	12	12
--- TOTAL, BA-4	1,104,430	1,104,430
--- BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL	---	---
--- ACCESSION TRAVEL	76,220	76,220
--- TRAINING TRAVEL	71,814	71,814
--- OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	219,685	219,685
--- ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	354,275	354,275
--- SEPARATION TRAVEL	103,806	103,806
--- TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	39,368	39,368
--- NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	5,760	5,760
--- TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	6,386	6,386
--- OTHER	6,406	6,406
--- TOTAL, BA-5	883,720	883,720
--- BA-6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS	---	---
--- APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	261	261
--- INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	1,427	1,427
--- DEATH GRATUITIES	17,700	17,700
--- UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	88,350	88,350
--- EDUCATION BENEFITS	21,515	21,515
--- ADOPTION EXPENSES	271	271
--- TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	8,030	8,030
--- PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	190	190
--- RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	27,345	27,345
--- JUNIOR R.O.T.C	14,093	14,093
--- TOTAL, BA-6	179,182	179,182
--- LESS REIMBURSABLES	-339,690	-339,690
--- UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	0	-13,500
--- Unobligated/Unexpended Balances	---	-13,500
--- TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY	25,950,949	25,912,449
MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS		
--- BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS	---	---
--- BASIC PAY	1,433,200	1,433,200
--- RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	465,072	465,072
--- BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	462,438	462,438
--- BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	59,613	59,613
--- INCENTIVE PAYS	50,011	50,011
--- SPECIAL PAYS	27,921	27,921
--- ALLOWANCES	34,404	34,404
--- SEPARATION PAY	13,299	13,299
--- SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	109,014	109,014
--- TOTAL, BA-1	2,654,972	2,654,972

M-1 ---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---
---	---	---
BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED	---	---
PERSONNEL	---	---
BASIC PAY	4,910,560	4,910,560
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,591,322	1,591,322
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,660,161	1,660,161
INCENTIVE PAYS	9,158	9,158
SPECIAL PAYS	288,654	288,654
ALLOWANCES	278,060	278,060
SEPARATION PAY	65,101	65,101
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	372,411	372,411
TOTAL, BA-2	9,175,427	9,175,427
---	---	---
BA-4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL	---	---
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	489,789	489,789
SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	324,565	324,565
FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	750	750
TOTAL, BA-4	815,104	815,104
---	---	---
BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL	---	---
ACCESSION TRAVEL	79,378	79,378
TRAINING TRAVEL	10,079	10,079
OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	239,442	239,442
ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	115,330	115,330
SEPARATION TRAVEL	55,528	55,528
TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	742	742
NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	6,305	6,305
TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	13,818	13,818
OTHER	2,683	2,683
TOTAL, BA-5	523,305	523,305
---	---	---
BA-6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS	---	---
APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	1,823	1,823
INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	19	19
DEATH GRATUITIES	17,200	17,200
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	69,359	69,359
EDUCATION BENEFITS	4,249	4,249
ADOPTION EXPENSES	159	159
TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	2,853	2,853
PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	278	278
JUNIOR R.O.T.C	5,573	5,573
TOTAL, BA-6	101,513	101,513
---	---	---
LESS REIMBURSABLES	-20,160	-20,160
---	---	---
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	0	-40,000
Unobligated/Unexpended Balances	---	-40,000
---	---	---
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	13,250,161	13,210,161
---	---	---
MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE		
---	---	---
BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS	---	---
BASIC PAY	4,687,593	4,687,593
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,522,644	1,522,644
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,347,403	1,347,403
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	182,253	182,253
INCENTIVE PAYS	239,121	239,121
SPECIAL PAYS	322,642	322,642
ALLOWANCES	128,157	128,157
SEPARATION PAY	64,974	64,974
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	355,711	355,711
TOTAL, BA-1	8,850,498	8,850,498
---	---	---
BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED	---	---
PERSONNEL	---	---
BASIC PAY	8,540,083	8,540,083
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,781,402	2,781,402
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	3,038,904	3,038,904
INCENTIVE PAYS	36,980	36,980
SPECIAL PAYS	396,103	380,103
Re-enlistment Bonuses - Excess to Requirement	---	-16,000
ALLOWANCES	570,857	570,857
SEPARATION PAY	124,411	124,411
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	653,317	653,317
TOTAL, BA-2	16,142,057	16,126,057

M-1 ---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---
---	---	---
---	---	---
--- BA-3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS	---	---
--- ACADEMY CADETS	75,383	75,383
--- TOTAL, BA-3	75,383	75,383
---	---	---
---	---	---
--- BA-4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL	---	---
--- BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	872,055	872,055
--- SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	169,924	169,924
--- FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	37	37
--- TOTAL, BA-4	1,042,016	1,042,016
---	---	---
---	---	---
--- BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION	---	---
--- ACCESSION TRAVEL	87,377	87,377
--- TRAINING TRAVEL	72,521	72,521
--- OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	296,604	296,604
--- ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	505,198	505,198
--- SEPARATION TRAVEL	176,549	176,549
--- TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	23,561	23,561
--- NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	40,772	40,772
--- TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	28,936	28,936
--- TOTAL, BA-5	1,231,518	1,231,518
---	---	---
---	---	---
--- BA-6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS	---	---
--- APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	131	131
--- INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	2,179	2,179
--- DEATH GRATUITIES	19,900	19,900
--- UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	49,143	49,143
--- SURVIVOR BENEFITS	1,760	1,760
--- EDUCATION BENEFITS	484	484
--- ADOPTION EXPENSES	395	395
--- TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	6,903	6,903
--- PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	1,578	1,578
--- RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	45,571	45,571
--- JUNIOR ROTC	16,185	16,185
--- TOTAL, BA-6	144,229	144,229
---	---	---
--- LESS REIMBURSABLES	-363,946	-363,946
---	---	---
--- TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	27,121,755	27,105,755
---	---	---
RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY		
---	---	---
---	---	---
--- BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT	---	---
--- PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	1,249,133	1,249,133
--- PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	44,460	36,460
--- Projected Underexecution	---	-8,000
--- PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	268,215	268,215
--- PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	8,830	8,830
--- MOBILIZATION TRAINING	21,460	10,460
--- Projected Underexecution	---	-11,000
--- SCHOOL TRAINING	177,121	177,121
--- SPECIAL TRAINING	293,439	283,439
--- Excessive Growth	---	-10,000
--- ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	2,129,646	2,129,646
--- EDUCATION BENEFITS	57,633	57,633
--- HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	66,940	66,940
--- OTHER PROGRAMS	80,288	80,288
--- TOTAL, BA-1	4,397,165	4,368,165
---	---	---
---	---	---
--- UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	0	-35,000
--- Unobligated/Unexpended Balances	---	-35,000
---	---	---
--- TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY	4,397,165	4,333,165
---	---	---
RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY		
---	---	---
---	---	---
--- BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT	---	---
--- PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	626,657	626,657
--- PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	9,070	9,070
--- PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	45,603	45,603
--- MOBILIZATION TRAINING	8,434	8,434
--- SCHOOL TRAINING	45,930	45,930
--- SPECIAL TRAINING	89,647	89,647
--- ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	1,061,128	1,061,128

M-1 ---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---
--- EDUCATION BENEFITS	3,780	3,780
--- HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	53,942	53,942
--- TOTAL, BA-1	1,944,191	1,944,191
---	---	---
--- UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	0	-4,000
--- Unobligated/Unexpended Balances	---	-4,000
---	---	---
--- TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY	1,944,191	1,940,191
---	---	---
RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS		
---	---	---
---	---	---
--- BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT	---	---
--- PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	196,974	196,974
--- PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	36,116	36,116
--- PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	96,138	96,138
--- MOBILIZATION TRAINING	3,724	3,724
--- SCHOOL TRAINING	16,810	16,810
--- SPECIAL TRAINING	27,688	27,688
--- ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	216,537	216,537
--- PLATOON LEADER CLASS	12,256	12,256
--- EDUCATION BENEFITS	11,198	11,198
--- TOTAL, BA-1	617,441	617,441
---	---	---
--- UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS	0	-5,250
--- Unobligated/Unexpended Balances	---	-1,250
--- MIP Marine Corps Reserve Intelligence Program	---	-4,000
---	---	---
--- TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	617,441	612,191
---	---	---
RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE		
---	---	---
---	---	---
--- BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT	---	---
--- PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	670,341	670,341
--- PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	101,951	101,951
--- PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	54,850	54,850
--- PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	50	50
--- MOBILIZATION TRAINING	447	447
--- SCHOOL TRAINING	163,272	163,272
--- SPECIAL TRAINING	243,233	243,233
--- ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	378,772	378,772
--- EDUCATION BENEFITS	18,295	18,295
--- HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	51,331	51,331
--- OTHER PROGRAMS (ADMINISTRATION and SUPPORT)	4,255	4,255
--- TOTAL, BA-1	1,686,797	1,686,797
---	---	---
--- UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS	0	-36,000
--- Unobligated/Unexpended Balances	---	-15,000
--- Below Budgeted End Strength	---	-21,000
---	---	---
--- TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	1,686,797	1,650,797
---	---	---
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY		
---	---	---
---	---	---
--- BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT	---	---
--- PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	2,010,867	1,980,867
--- Unjustified Growth	---	-30,000
--- PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	510,859	510,859
--- PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	71,222	71,222
--- SCHOOL TRAINING	577,600	564,600
--- Recruiter Mandays - Excess to Requirement	---	-13,000
--- SPECIAL TRAINING	534,954	534,954
--- ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	3,788,954	3,788,954
--- EDUCATION BENEFITS	129,840	129,840
--- TOTAL, BA-1	7,624,296	7,581,296
---	---	---
--- UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS	0	-66,400
--- Unobligated/Unexpended Balances	---	-70,000
--- Creating High Tech Jobs for Service Disabled Veterans	---	+1,600
--- Joint Interagency Training and Education Center	---	+2,000
---	---	---
--- TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY	7,624,296	7,514,896
---	---	---
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE		

M-1	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---
---	---	---
---	---	---
BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT	---	---
PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	939,636	931,636
Inactive Duty Training - Unjustified Growth	---	-8,000
PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	99,839	99,839
PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	298	298
SCHOOL TRAINING	209,944	209,944
SPECIAL TRAINING	131,226	131,226
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	1,692,112	1,682,112
Bonuses - Unjustified Requirement	---	-10,000
EDUCATION BENEFITS	30,543	30,543
TOTAL, BA-1	3,103,598	3,085,598
---	---	---
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS	0	-18,167
Unobligated/Unexpended Balances	---	-17,500
Lower than Budgeted Pay Grade Mix	---	-8,000
Additional Mandays for the Nevada National Guard	---	+6,533
Joint Interagency Training and Education Center	---	+800
---	---	---
TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	3,103,598	3,067,431
---	---	---
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL	127,668,630	126,389,689

TITLE II—OPERATION AND
MAINTENANCE

[in thousands of dollars]:

For Operation and Maintenance, funds are
to be available for fiscal year 2011, as follows:

O-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY			
---	---	---	---
111	MANEUVER UNITS	1,087,321	1,087,321
112	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	114,448	113,790
	Deployment Offset	---	-658
113	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES	773,540	769,338
	Deployment Offset	---	-4,202
114	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	794,806	767,727
	Aircraft Lease for Casualty Evacuation Funded in fiscal year 2011 OCO	---	-18,500
	Transfer to Title IX - Chemical Defense Equipment Sustainment	---	-8,579
115	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,399,332	1,392,912
	Transfer to Title IX - MRAP Vehicle Sustainment at Combat Training Centers	---	-6,420
116	AVIATION ASSETS	897,666	870,226
	Deployment Offset	---	-30,000
	UAS Branch Concept Development	---	+2,560
121	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,520,995	2,317,441
	Unjustified Increase for Travel	---	-91,000
	Fort Hood Training Lands Restoration and Maintenance	---	+600
	Removal of One-Time fiscal year 2010 Costs	---	-35,000
	Fire Resistant Environmental Ensemble	---	+2,800
	Transfer to Title IX - Body Armor Sustainment	---	-71,660
	Transfer to Title IX - Rapid Equipping Force Readiness	---	-9,294
122	LAND FORCES FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	596,117	574,946
	Transfer to Title IX - Fixed Wing Life Cycle Contract	---	-21,171
123	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	890,122	955,202
	CP DEPMEDS Care of Supplies in Storage	---	+400
	CBPS Care of Supplies in Storage	---	+200
	M17 Care of Supplies in Storage	---	+240
	M12A1 Care of Supplies in Storage	---	+240
	UH-60 A to L Conversions	---	+60,000
	Installation Management Command, Non-Tactical Vehicle, Service Life Extension Program	---	+4,000
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	7,563,566	7,288,391
	Transfer from the Defense Health Program for Centralized Management of the Substance Abuse Program	---	+30,625
	Fort Bliss Data Center	---	+2,000
	Storm Water Harvest and Reuse System	---	+1,200
	Army Tenant Pentagon Rent Requirements	---	-33,000
	Reduced Requirement for Collateral Equipment in fiscal year 2011	---	-50,000
	Transfer to Title IX - Overseas Security Guards	---	-200,000
	Transfer to Title IX - Senior Leader Initiative: Comprehensive Soldier Fitness Program	---	-30,000
	Army Conservation and Ecosystem Management	---	+4,000
132	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	2,500,892	2,510,812
	Americans with Disabilities Act and Safety System Compliance for the Historical Fort Hamilton Community Club	---	+2,560
	Entrance Road Resurfacing (Gaffney Road)	---	+960
	Install/Repair HVAC Systems	---	+1,600
	Rock Island Arsenal, Building #299 Roof Replacement and Restoration (Phase V)	---	+4,800
133	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	390,004	390,004
134	COMBATANT COMMANDER'S CORE OPERATIONS	167,758	167,758
138	COMBATANT COMMANDER'S DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	464,851	464,851
211	STRATEGIC MOBILITY	333,266	333,266
212	ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS	102,240	102,240
213	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	5,736	5,736
311	OFFICER ACQUISITION	129,902	129,902
312	RECRUIT TRAINING	74,705	74,705
313	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING	63,223	63,223
314	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS	479,343	481,543
	ROTC and Reserve Component Strategic Language HUB Pilot	---	+1,000
	Air Battle Captain ROTC Helicopter Training	---	+1,200
321	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	1,082,517	1,028,934
	Unjustified Growth in Supply and Equipment Purchases	---	-40,000

O-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
	Fayetteville State University Center for Critical Language and Culture	---	+1,600
	Transfer to Title IX-Survivability and Maneuverability Training	---	-15,183
322	FLIGHT TRAINING	1,046,124	1,033,324
	Eckerd College Leadership Development and Conflict Management Training for Government Agencies	---	+1,200
	Budget Justification Does Not Match Summary of Price and Program Changes	---	-14,000
323	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	163,607	169,207
	Paine College Critical Languages Institute	---	+800
	Academic Support and Research Compliance for Knowledge Gathering	---	+1,600
	Environmental/Geography Research for Army Operations Research, Development, and Engineering Command	---	+1,200
	Workforce Development and Training	---	+2,000
324	TRAINING SUPPORT	695,200	695,200
331	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	544,014	525,214
	Diversity Recruitment for West Point Military Academy	---	+1,200
	Budget Justification Does Not Match Summary of Price and Program Changes	---	-20,000
332	EXAMINING	153,091	153,091
333	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	241,170	241,330
	Troops to Teachers Program	---	+160
334	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	220,771	220,771
335	JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS	175,347	183,347
	Program Increase - Junior ROTC	---	+8,000
411	SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,030,355	1,030,355
421	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	587,952	557,826
	First Destination Transportation Cost of New Equipment is Financed in the Cost of Equipment	---	-30,126
422	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES	669,853	669,853
423	LOGISTIC SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	503,876	505,876
	New England Defense Manufacturing Supply Chain Initiative	---	+2,000
424	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	435,020	435,020
431	ADMINISTRATION	912,355	902,355
	Unjustified Growth for Headquarters Accounts	---	-10,000
432	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	1,528,371	1,529,171
	Biometrics Operations Directorate Transition	---	+800
433	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	368,480	328,480
	Unsupported Request for 712 Temporary Hires	---	-40,000
434	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	261,829	263,429
	Wounded Warrior Careers	---	+1,600
435	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT	1,145,902	1,150,782
	Capitol 4th	---	+3,920
	Mobile Aerosol Monitoring System for Department of Defense	---	+960
436	ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES	205,967	205,967
437	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	168,664	168,664
441	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY HEADQUARTERS	462,488	476,888
	Outfitting of NATO SOF Headquarters Building	---	+14,400
442	MISCELLANEOUS SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	19,179	16,179
	Information Operations	---	-3,000
	FIVE PERCENT COST SAVINGS FOR INVESTMENT IN ENERGY AND UTILITIES PROJECTS THROUGH THE AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT	---	-1,000
---	---	---	---
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY	33,971,965	33,351,597
---	---	---	---
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY	---	---
---	---	---	---
1A1A	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	4,429,832	4,429,832
1A2A	FLEET AIR TRAINING	81,345	1,605,720
	Transfer of Fleet Air Training funding from SAG 3B2K	---	+958,200
	Unjustified Administrative Overhead Cost Growth	---	-4,225
	Transfer of Chief of Naval Air Training from SAG 3B2K	---	+570,400
1A3A	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING SERVICES	38,932	38,932
1A4A	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	100,485	100,485
1A4N	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	355,520	365,120
	Data Conversion, Integration and Support for Naval Air Systems Command	---	+8,000
	Technical Documentation Modernization to SD1000D	---	+1,600
1A5A	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,221,410	1,221,410

O-1		Budget Request	Recommendation
1A6A	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	27,448	29,048
	Air-speed - Fleet Readiness Center (Cherry Point)	---	+1,600
1B1B	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	3,696,913	3,666,913
	Unjustified Growth in Per Diem Days	---	-30,000
1B2B	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING	728,983	728,983
1B4B	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	4,761,670	4,764,870
	Advanced Naval Sustainment and Reset	---	+3,200
1B5B	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,344,844	1,338,844
	Transfer to RDTE, DW per Memorandum of Agreement	---	-1,500
	NAVSEA Process Requirements and Improvement Office		
	Budget Realignment and Consolidation Justified as		
	Program Growth	---	-4,500
1C1C	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	615,069	550,069
	Overstatement of DISA Pricing Adjustment	---	-65,000
1C2C	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	89,340	89,340
1C3C	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE	177,397	177,397
1C4C	WARFARE TACTICS	416,068	416,068
1C5C	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	316,525	316,525
1C6C	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	1,083,618	872,817
	Unjustified Growth for Naval Expeditionary Combat		
	Command	---	-20,000
	Transfer to Title IX - Naval Expeditionary Combat		
	Command Increases	---	-192,801
	Hemostatic Combat Gauze/Combat Gauze Trauma Pad	---	+2,000
1C7C	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	165,985	165,985
1C8C	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,836	2,836
1CCH	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	208,250	208,250
1CCM	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	274,071	274,071
1D1D	CRUISE MISSILE	130,219	130,219
1D2D	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE	1,138,418	1,138,418
1D3D	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	89,184	89,184
1D4D	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	459,561	459,561
1D7D	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	366,751	361,751
	Civilian Personnel Over-Pricing	---	-5,000
BSIT	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	820,507	1,031,207
	Requested Transfer from OP, N line 147 for NGEN Funding	---	+217,700
	Overstatement of DISA Pricing Adjustment	---	-7,000
BSM1	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,900,386	1,903,586
	Infrastructure Modernization for Condition-Based		
	Maintenance of Shipyard Facilities and Capital Production		
	Assets	---	+3,200
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	4,502,857	4,457,257
	Enhanced Navy Shore Readiness Integration	---	+4,400
	Transfer to Title IX - Regional/Emergency Operations		
	Center	---	-50,000
2A1F	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	424,047	424,047
2B1G	AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	7,593	7,593
2B2G	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	177,482	180,682
	Program Increase - Ship Disposal Program	---	+3,200
2C1H	FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	70,990	70,990
2C2H	INDUSTRIAL READINESS	2,707	2,707
2C3H	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	23,845	23,845
3A1J	OFFICER ACQUISITION	141,057	141,057
3A2J	RECRUIT TRAINING	10,853	10,853
3A3J	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	143,504	143,504
3B1K	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	533,004	530,964
	FSCJ Institute for Threat Reduction and Response -		
	Training for a Global Presence	---	+960
	Transfer to Title IX - NAVSEA VSSS/EOD Training	---	-3,000
3B2K	FLIGHT TRAINING	1,538,171	9,571
	Transfer of Fleet Air Training funding to SAG 1A2A	---	-958,200
	Transfer of Chief of Naval Air Training to SAG 1A2A	---	-570,400
3B3K	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	162,844	167,345
	Center for Defense Technology and Education for the		
	Military Services	---	+2,800
	Distance Learning Education for U.S. Navy Personnel	---	+901
	Military Physician Combat Medical Training by the		
	University of Florida College of Medicine - Jacksonville for		
	the Navy	---	+800
3B4K	TRAINING SUPPORT	171,153	171,153
3C1L	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	261,287	263,522
	Diversity Recruitment for the Naval Academy	---	+1,600
	Program Increase - Naval Sea Cadet Corps	---	+635

O-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
3C3L	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	145,560	145,960
	Energy Education Accreditation Training for Military Personnel	---	+400
3C4L	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	109,865	109,865
3C5L	JUNIOR ROTC	50,369	53,369
	Program Increase - Junior ROTC	---	+3,000
4A1M	ADMINISTRATION	829,010	829,010
4A2M	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	7,632	9,232
	National Military History Heritage Preservation	---	+1,600
4A3M	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	118,838	111,838
	Overstated Requirement for Other Intragovernmental Purchases	---	-7,000
4A4M	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	194,775	198,775
	Independent Verification and Validation of Navy Future Pay and Personnel System	---	+4,000
4A5M	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	282,580	282,580
4A6M	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	503,067	496,089
	Nuclear Command, Control and Communications Systems		
	Budget Realignment and Consolidation Justified as Program Growth	---	-6,978
4B1N	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	230,294	230,294
4B2N	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	259,990	259,990
4B3N	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	868,069	856,069
	Civilian Personnel Over-Pricing	---	-12,000
4B5N	HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT	55,217	55,217
4B6N	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS	19,053	19,053
4B7N	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	77,702	77,702
4C1P	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	549,484	549,884
	Civilian Personnel Over-Pricing	---	-3,000
	Digitization, Integration, and Analyst Access of Investigative Files, Naval Criminal Investigative Service	---	+3,400
4D1Q	INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES	5,567	5,567
999	OTHER PROGRAMS	614,275	607,475
	Classified Adjustment	---	-6,800
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DUE TO HISTORIC UNDEREXECUTION	---	-127,200
	FIVE PERCENT COST SAVINGS FOR INVESTMENT IN ENERGY AND UTILITIES PROJECTS THROUGH THE AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT	---	-3,600
---	---	---	---
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY	38,134,308	37,849,700
---	---	---	---
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS		
---	---	---	---
1A1A	OPERATIONAL FORCES	745,678	750,798
	Rapid Data Management System	---	+3,600
	Spray Technique Analysis and Research for Defense	---	+1,520
1A2A	FIELD LOGISTICS	658,616	658,616
1A3A	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	78,891	78,891
1B1B	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING	72,344	72,344
BSM1	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	594,904	594,904
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	2,206,137	2,199,637
	Heroes and Healthy Families - Mental Health Support for Active Duty Military Personnel	---	+1,200
	Collateral Equipment Decrease in fiscal year 2011 Not Properly Accounted For In Budget Documentation	---	-7,700
3A1C	RECRUIT TRAINING	16,096	16,096
3A2C	OFFICER ACQUISITION	420	420
3B1D	SPECIALIZED SKILLS TRAINING	91,197	91,197
3B3D	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	32,379	32,379
3B4D	TRAINING SUPPORT	319,742	319,742
3C1F	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	233,663	233,663
3C2F	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	61,980	61,980
3C3F	JUNIOR ROTC	19,497	19,497
4A3G	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	29,569	29,569
4A4G	ADMINISTRATION	341,657	335,657
	Administrative Efficiencies	---	-6,000
4B3N	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	87,570	87,570
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DUE TO HISTORIC UNDEREXECUTION	---	-34,400
	FIVE PERCENT COST SAVINGS FOR INVESTMENT IN ENERGY AND UTILITIES PROJECTS THROUGH THE AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT	---	-2,500

O-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS		5,590,340	5,546,060
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
---		---	---
011A	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	4,261,115	4,218,222
	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	---	-34,408
	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	---	-8,485
011C	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	2,995,278	2,933,353
	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	---	-61,925
011D	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING	1,573,602	1,510,352
	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	---	-13,598
	Transfer of Range Maintenance funding to SAG 011R	---	-33,652
	National Unmanned Aircraft System Maintenance Training Program	---	+2,000
	Removal of One-Time fiscal year 2010 Cost for F-35A Beddown Costs	---	-18,000
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	2,189,481	2,184,793
	Program Increase - Warner Robins Air Logistics Center Aircraft Depot Maintenance	---	+4,000
	Air Force Requested Transfer to O&M ANG for C-130s	---	-10,879
	Air Force Requested Transfer to O&M AFR for C-130s	---	-5,809
	B-1 High Velocity Maintenance Initiative	---	+8,000
011R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,556,234	1,674,246
	Transfer of Range Maintenance from SAG 011D	---	+33,652
	Adjustments to Meet Life, Health, Safety and ADA Compliance Standards	---	+75,000
	Repair Arctic Utilities and Infrastructure - Phase 1	---	+1,200
	Upgrade Readiness Training Center Main Area	---	+1,360
	Electrical Distribution Upgrade at Hickam AFB	---	+6,800
011Z	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	3,088,003	2,944,421
	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	---	-91,675
	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	---	-58,707
	Alaska Land Mobile Radio System	---	+800
	Alaska NORAD Region Communication Upgrades and Repairs	---	+400
	Joint Pacific Alaska Range Complex Sustainment/Enhancements	---	+5,600
012A	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	1,511,243	1,450,927
	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	---	-16,013
	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	---	-44,303
012C	OTHER COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT PROGRAMS	1,035,291	1,027,400
	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	---	-12,268
	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	---	-2,723
	HQ USNORTHCOM - National Center for Integrated Civilian-Military Domestic Disaster Medical Response (Yale New Haven Health System)	---	+5,600
	Battlefield and Airspace Network Integration	---	+1,200
012F	TACTICAL INTELLIGENCE AND SPECIAL ACTIVITIES	595,028	595,028
013A	LAUNCH FACILITIES	342,355	342,355
013C	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	811,022	811,022
015A	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	797,754	791,754
	Information Operations	---	-6,000
015B	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	233,021	225,865
	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	---	-7,156
021A	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	2,975,663	2,975,663
021D	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	158,647	158,647
021M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	140,286	140,286
021R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	348,231	348,231
021Z	BASE SUPPORT	683,286	635,231
	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	---	-45,577
	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	---	-2,478
031A	OFFICER ACQUISITION	114,403	114,563
	Air Force Academy Space and Defense Studies Research and Curriculum Development	---	+160
031B	RECRUIT TRAINING	28,195	28,195
031D	RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	90,453	90,453
031R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	411,570	400,652
	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	---	-10,918
031Z	BASE SUPPORT (ACADEMIES ONLY)	902,323	845,576

O-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	---	-16,216
	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	---	-40,531
032A	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	510,065	474,584
	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	---	-11,481
	Military Medical Training and Disaster Response Program	---	+1,600
	Growth in Overhead Expenses Not Justified by Increases to Training Metrics	---	-28,000
	Improved Pararescue Upgrade Training	---	+2,400
032B	FLIGHT TRAINING	1,012,816	1,013,776
	Minority Aerospace Training Program	---	+960
032C	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	221,553	223,953
	Defense Critical Languages and Cultures Initiative	---	+2,400
032D	TRAINING SUPPORT	126,784	123,260
	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	---	-3,524
032M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	619	619
033A	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	150,222	145,286
	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	---	-1,487
	Air Force Academy Diversity Recruitment Outreach Program	---	+1,651
	Air Force Recruiting Information Support System—Air Force Requested Transfer to RDTE, AF	---	-5,100
033B	EXAMINING	409	409
033C	OFF DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	172,643	172,643
033D	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	208,872	208,872
033E	JUNIOR ROTC	77,692	81,692
	Program Increase - Junior ROTC	---	+4,000
041A	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	1,110,471	1,088,027
	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	---	-28,044
	Global Logistics Support Center Materiel Information Management Environment	---	+3,200
	Native American Document Conversion Program	---	+2,400
041B	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	949,018	937,913
	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	---	-5,866
	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	---	-5,239
041M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	7,365	7,365
041R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	368,349	367,651
	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	---	-698
041Z	BASE SUPPORT	1,363,230	1,292,621
	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	---	-30,609
	Pentagon Reservation Maintenance Fund Pricing	---	-40,000
042A	ADMINISTRATION	657,268	657,268
042B	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	693,379	672,562
	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	---	-20,817
042G	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	1,152,877	1,138,670
	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	---	-22,207
	Analytical Support for the Executive Agent for Space, transfer from RDTE, AF line 216	---	+8,000
042I	CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION	22,848	27,048
	Civil Air Patrol Program Increase	---	+4,200
043A	SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,159,342	1,141,160
	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	---	-18,182
044A	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	36,206	36,206
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DUE TO HISTORIC UNDEREXECUTION	---	-134,300
	FIVE PERCENT COST SAVINGS FOR INVESTMENT IN ENERGY AND UTILITIES PROJECTS THROUGH THE AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT	---	-13,500
---	---	---	---
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	36,844,512	36,110,720
---	---	---	---
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE		
---	---	---	---
	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	420,940	420,940
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	3,944,330	3,934,170
	Non-Standard Aviation Platforms Sustainment and Logistical Support	---	-5,000
	Removal of One-Time fiscal year 2010 Congressional Increases	---	-9,000
	Special Operations Forces - Modular Glove System	---	+1,600
	Special Operations Tactical Systems Development - Naval Special Warfare Tactical Athlete Program	---	+2,240
	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY	145,896	145,896
	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY	97,633	97,633

O-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS		156,043	165,243
STARBASE Youth Program	---		+8,000
Alaska National Guard Youth Challenge Facilities	---		+1,200
BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION AGENCY		143,441	143,441
DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY		486,143	482,643
Removal of One-Time fiscal year 2010 Cost for Renewing			
Three Year License for Software	---		-3,500
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE		1,593	1,593
DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY		1,384,450	1,374,450
Multinational Information Sharing Programs	---		-10,000
DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY		42,404	42,404
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY		448,043	396,395
Facilities Sustainment	---		-58,848
Procurement Technical Assistance Program	---		+7,200
DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY		255,878	255,878
DEFENSE POW /MISSING PERSONS OFFICE		24,155	24,155
DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY AGENCY		37,624	37,624
DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY		463,522	445,682
Core Operational Support Activities - unnecessary increase	---		-17,840
DEFENSE DEPENDENTS EDUCATION		2,514,537	2,679,537
Military Spouse Career Advancement Accounts	---		+165,000
DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY		824,153	799,153
Joint Advertising, Market Research and Studies	---		-29,800
Strategic Language Initiative	---		+2,800
Defense Critical Languages and Cultures Program	---		+2,000
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY		1,112,849	1,107,849
Overstatement of NSPS to GS Conversion	---		-5,000
DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY		683,853	539,369
Global Train and Equip (1206)	---		-139,507
Stability Operations Fellowship Program -- not authorized	---		-4,977
DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE		518,743	518,743
OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT		50,811	101,163
Blue Grass Army Depot Support Airport Study	---		+288
Almaden Air Force Station Environmental Assessment, Remediation and Demolition	---		+2,400
Hunters Point Shipyard	---		+7,200
Pier 70 Hazardous Materials Abatement	---		+2,400
Castner Range Conservation Conveyance	---		+400
Delaware Valley Continuing Education Initiative for Veterans, National Guard and Reserves	---		+1,200
Phase 2 of Berth N2 Reconstruction -- MOTBY	---		+3,304
McClellan AFB Infrastructure Improvements Gateway VI	---		+3,920
Fleet Industrial Supply Center Infrastructure Improvements -- Alameda Landing	---		+3,200
Economic Development Assistance - South Weymouth Naval Air Station	---		+800
Economic Development Assistance - Otis ANGB/Massachusetts Military Reservation	---		+800
Reskinning Hangar One at former NAS Moffett Field	---		+8,000
Highland Falls/Fort Montgomery Central School District -- Education Assistance	---		+1,440
Badger Oversight and Management Commission	---		+200
Apra Harbor Strategic Port Infrastructure Improvements for Military Build Up	---		+800
Reuse Site Development/Infrastructure Improvements at the Former Castle Air Force Base	---		+1,600
Port of Anchorage Intermodal Expansion Project	---		+8,000
Remediation at Mare Island Naval Shipyard	---		+4,400
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE		2,245,300	2,254,066
Battlefield Information Collection and Exploitation System	---		-15,000
Combatant Commander's Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)	---		-26,500
Office of the Secretary, Undistributed:	---		
Middle East Regional Security Issues Program	---		+3,200
Critical Language Training at SDSU	---		+2,800
East Asian Security Studies Program	---		+680
Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative	---		+60,186
Overstatement of Civilian Personnel Pay Requirements	---		-24,500
AT&L - Integrated Acquisition Environment Internal Realignment Not Properly Accounted for in Budget			
Documentation	---		-6,500
IASTAR Federal Information Security Management Act Compliance	---		+2,400
Joint Operational Contract Support Program Management	---		+7,600

O-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
	Joint Safety Climate System	---	+1,600
	Rule of Law	---	+1,200
	Smart Electrochlorinator Scale-Up Project	---	+1,600
	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES	604,130	594,330
	Overstatement of Civilian Personnel Pay Requirements	---	-9,800
	OTHER PROGRAMS	13,977,425	13,692,525
	Classified Adjustments	---	-291,700
	Armed Forces Health and Food Supply Research	---	+3,200
	Counter-Threat Finance - Global	---	+1,200
	DaVinci Program for Counter Threat Finance Intelligence	---	+1,600
	Center of Excellence for Geospatial Science	---	+800
	IMPACT AID	---	40,000
	IMPACT AID FOR CHILDREN WITH SEVERE DISABILITIES	---	4,000
	UNDISTRIBUTED	---	4,740
	Soldier Center at Patriot Park	---	+4,000
	Emergency Aid to Military Families in Crisis	---	+740
---	---	---	---
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE	30,583,896	30,303,622
---	---	---	---
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE		
---	---	---	---
111	MANEUVER UNITS	1,282	1,282
112	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	12,413	12,413
113	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES	460,814	460,814
114	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	168,020	168,020
115	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	555,944	555,944
116	AVIATION ASSETS	70,378	70,378
121	FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	391,326	381,326
	Decrease Requested Growth for Travel	---	-10,000
122	LAND FORCES SYSTEM READINESS	108,093	108,093
123	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	136,854	136,854
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	577,146	567,146
	Unjustified Increase in Motor Pool Operations Costs	---	-10,000
132	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	234,486	234,486
421	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	12,717	12,717
431	ADMINISTRATION	74,685	74,685
432	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	3,797	3,797
433	PERSONNEL/FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION	9,245	9,245
434	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	61,877	61,877
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DUE TO HISTORIC UNDEREXECUTION	---	-18,650
---	---	---	---
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE	2,879,077	2,840,427
---	---	---	---
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE		
---	---	---	---
1A1A	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	599,649	599,649
1A3A	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	13,209	13,209
1A4A	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	2,668	2,668
1A5A	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	140,377	140,377
1A6A	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	309	309
1B1B	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	65,757	62,757
	Mismatch of OPTEMPO and Steaming Day Performance Data	---	-3,000
1B2B	SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING	587	587
1B4B	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	91,054	91,054
1C1C	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	15,882	15,882
1C6C	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	140,186	140,186
1D4D	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	5,492	5,492
BSIT	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	56,046	56,046
BSMR	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	81,407	81,407
BSSR	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	131,988	131,988
4A1M	ADMINISTRATION	3,276	3,276
4A4M	MILITARY MANPOWER & PERSONNEL	13,698	13,698
4A6M	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	2,628	2,628
4B3N	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	3,551	3,551
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DUE TO HISTORIC UNDEREXECUTION	---	-20,500
---	---	---	---

O-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE		1,367,764	1,344,264
---		---	---
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE			
---		---	---
1A1A	OPERATING FORCES	104,566	104,566
1A3A	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	16,392	16,392
BSM1	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	38,762	38,762
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	99,924	92,424
	Eliminate Growth in Administrative Costs	---	-7,500
BSM1	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	835	835
BSS1	ADMINISTRATION	15,871	15,871
3A1C	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	8,884	8,884
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DUE TO HISTORIC UNDEREXECUTION	---	-2,250
---		---	---
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE		285,234	275,484
---		---	---
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE			
---		---	---
011A	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	2,275,407	2,276,450
	Air Force Requested Transfer to OM, ANG for C-130s	---	-2,017
	Air Force Requested Transfer from OM, AF for C-130s	---	+3,060
011G	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	111,742	111,742
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	415,687	418,436
	Air Force Requested Transfer from OM, AF for C-130s	---	+2,749
011R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	88,822	88,822
011Z	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	277,985	277,985
042A	ADMINISTRATION	80,526	80,526
042J	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	24,353	24,353
042K	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	19,716	19,716
042L	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	6,071	6,071
042M	AUDIOVISUAL	726	726
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DUE TO HISTORIC UNDEREXECUTION	---	-13,800
---		---	---
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE		3,301,035	3,291,027
---		---	---
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
---		---	---
111	MANEUVER UNITS	807,193	807,193
112	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	166,474	166,474
113	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	607,567	607,567
114	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	249,930	249,930
115	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	35,657	37,157
	National Guard CST/CERFP Sustainment Training and Evaluation Program	---	+1,500
116	AVIATION ASSETS	838,895	856,495
	Aircraft Maintenance Program Increase	---	+16,000
	Controlled Humidity Protection for South Carolina Army National Guard Aviation Support Facilities	---	+1,600
121	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	570,119	558,919
	Distance Learning--Transferred from OCO OM, ARNG SAG 135	---	+9,000
	Camp Perry Staff Training Program	---	+1,800
	New Hampshire National Guard Deployment Cycle Support Program	---	+2,000
	Family Assistance Centers	---	+800
	Realignment of Funding for the Organizational Clothing and Equipment Enterprise Environment Not Properly Accounted For In Budget Documentation	---	-35,000
	Colorado National Guard Reintegration Program	---	+800
	Joint Interagency Training and Education Center	---	+3,600
	National Guard and First Responder Resiliency Training	---	+1,200
	Oregon National Guard Yellow Ribbon Reintegration	---	+1,600
	Rapid Data Management System	---	+600
	Vermont Service Member, Veteran, Family Outreach, Readiness and Reintegration Program	---	+2,400
122	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	121,980	125,580
	Advanced Law Enforcement Rapid Response Training Program	---	+1,600

O-1		Budget Request	Recommendation
	Oregon National Guard PT-1 SC Ballistic Eyewear and CAG-1 Combat Glove Kit	---	+400
	Regional Geospatial Service Centers	---	+1,600
123	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	380,789	380,789
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	933,514	866,214
	Unjustified Growth for Information Management Systems	---	-80,000
	Washington National Guard Employment Enhancement Project	---	+1,500
	Our Military Kids	---	+2,000
	Minnesota National Guard Beyond the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program	---	+2,000
	Women Veterans and Service members Joint Health Resource Center	---	+2,400
	Continuity of Operations Planning Site	---	+800
	Ethan Allen Firing Range Upgrades	---	+1,200
	Joint Operations Center modernization	---	+2,800
132	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	621,843	666,643
	Army National Guard Program Increase	---	+40,000
	Vermont National Guard Sustainable Energy Project	---	+4,800
133	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	540,738	549,626
	Transfer from Defense Health Program for Psychological Health - State Directors for the National Guard	---	+8,888
135	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	0	5,600
	Indiana National Guard Civilian Training	---	+4,000
	Texas Facilities Initiative	---	+1,600
421	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	17,771	17,771
431	ADMINISTRATION	183,781	156,663
	Pay and Benefits Mismatch Between Op-5 and Op-32	---	-32,318
	Wounded Warrior Training Facility Feasibility Study	---	+1,200
	National Guard Global Education Program	---	+800
	Army National Guard Unit History Records	---	+3,200
432	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	48,188	48,188
433	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	8,020	8,020
434	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	440,245	440,245
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DUE TO HISTORIC UNDEREXECUTION	---	-36,650
	FIVE PERCENT COST SAVINGS FOR INVESTMENT IN ENERGY AND UTILITIES PROJECTS THROUGH THE AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT	---	-8,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	6,572,704	6,504,424
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
011F	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	3,519,452	3,527,525
	Remote Piloted Aircraft Expansion	---	+2,000
	Air Force Requested Transfer from OM, AFR for C-130s	---	+2,017
	Air Force Requested Transfer from OM, AF for C-130s	---	+4,056
011G	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	762,937	765,805
	Expansion of National Disaster Training Center	---	+2,400
	Connecticut National Guard Military Support Program	---	+400
	Joint Interagency Training and Education Center	---	+68
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	598,779	605,602
	Air Force Requested Transfer from OM, AF for C-130s	---	+6,823
011R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	315,210	355,210
	Air National Guard Program Increase	---	+40,000
011Z	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	668,176	668,736
	Incident Management and Emergency Notification System	---	+560
042A	ADMINISTRATION	41,930	41,930
042J	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	34,659	34,659
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DUE TO HISTORIC UNDEREXECUTION	---	-30,200
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD	5,941,143	5,969,267
MISCELLANEOUS			
	OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER ACCOUNT	5,000	0
	Unjustified Request	---	-5,000

O-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
	U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES	14,068	14,068
	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY	444,581	464,581
	Program Increase	---	+20,000
	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY	304,867	304,867
	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	502,653	502,653
	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	10,744	10,744
	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FUDS	276,546	316,546
	Program Increase	---	+40,000
	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID	108,032	108,032
	COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAM	522,512	522,512
	ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND	217,561	217,561
---	---	---	---
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	167,878,542	165,848,156

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE REPROGRAMMINGS

The Secretary of Defense is directed to submit the Base for Reprogramming (DD 1414) for each of the fiscal year 2011 appropriation accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act. The Secretary of Defense is prohibited from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to use the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures to transfer funds in the Services' operation and maintenance accounts between O-1 budget activities in excess of \$15,000,000. In addition, the Secretary of Defense shall follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 out of the following budget subactivities:

Army:
 Maneuver Units
 Modular Support Brigades
 Land Forces Operations Support
 Force Readiness Operations Support
 Land Forces Depot Maintenance
 Base Operations Support
 Facilities Sustainment, Repair and Modernization
Navy:
 Aircraft Depot Maintenance
 Ship Depot Maintenance
 Facilities Sustainment, Repair and Modernization
Marine Corps:
 Depot Maintenance
 Facilities Sustainment, Repair and Modernization
Air Force:
 Operating Forces Depot Maintenance
 Mobilization Depot Maintenance
 Training and Recruiting Depot Maintenance
 Administration and Servicewide Depot Maintenance
 Primary Combat Forces
 Combat Enhancement Forces
 Combat Communications
 Facilities Sustainment, Repair and Modernization

Finally, the Secretary of Defense shall follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 into the following budget subactivity:

Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard:
 Other Personnel Support/Recruiting and Advertising

With respect to Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, proposed transfers of funds to or from the levels specified for defense agencies in excess of \$15,000,000 shall be subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET EXECUTION DATA

The Secretary of Defense is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees with quarterly budget execution data. Such data should be provided not later than 45 days after the end of each quarter for the fiscal year, and should be provided for each O-1 budget activity, activity group and subactivity group for each of the active, defense-wide, reserve and National Guard components. For each O-1 budget activity, activity group and subactivity group, these reports should include the budget request and actual obligation amount; the distribution of unallocated congressional adjustments to the budget re-

quest; all adjustments made by the Department in establishing the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) report; all adjustments resulting from below threshold reprogrammings and all adjustments resulting from prior approval reprogramming requests.

CIVILIAN PERSONNEL PAY RATES

The budget request included discrepancies between the services in the price growth budgeted for civilian personnel pay. The Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to ensure consistency across the Department for civilian personnel pay rates in future budget submissions.

INFORMATION OPERATIONS

The recommendation includes reductions to certain information operation programs. Due to the classification of these activities, a table is included in the classified annex of the statement indicating the programs to which adjustments have been made. In addition, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) shall continue to submit a strategic communications and information operations programs report to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the submission of the President's annual budget request to Congress. The report shall include supplemental budget justification materials for strategic communication programs to include information operations, military information support operations and influence activities of the Department of Defense for which base budget, supplemental or overseas contingency operations funds have been appropriated or requested over the fiscal year 2010 through 2012 period, including: program strategies, target audiences, goals, and measures of effectiveness; budget exhibits at the appropriations account and subactivity level; spend plans (including positions and other direct costs associated with the activity); and production and dissemination mechanisms and locations. The report shall identify any current or prior year funds provided that have been obligated or expended for activities other than those for which the funding was requested, or transferred either above or below the reprogramming threshold notification requirements and the purposes for which the funds were otherwise used. Finally, the report shall include an annex to explain and support classified information.

MARITIME SECURITY EXERCISE

The Secretary of the Navy is directed to develop and conduct a tabletop exercise to identify specific gaps in surveillance procedures, information collection and sharing and predictive capability concerning terrorist-related overseas movements of weapons of mass destruction components through international waters. This analytical exercise should utilize the Naval Postgraduate School or a similar government academic organization with substantial ties to the Department of the Navy and background in both maritime security and national security matters. This exercise is to be limited and targeted to determine where vulnerabilities lie in our nation's overall maritime security posture.

ENERGY SECURITY

The Secretary of Defense is to be commended for collaborative pilot projects that demonstrate a systems-level approach to energy security. The intent of these projects include such things as improvements to energy self-sufficiency, reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, and increased use of renewable energy sources. Some joint bases

have been found to be well situated to conduct these projects which provide the foundation to replicate the energy security efforts at other Department of Defense installations, and therefore \$20,000,000 is provided for these Energy Security Pilot Projects. The fast track projects shall put these installations on a path to energy security within 18 months. Over the long term, the Department can use the fast-track pilot programs' foundational data, tools and process models to develop and implement a multiyear plan to achieve energy security at all Department of Defense installations. The Secretary of Defense is directed to provide an implementation plan to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act, an initial status report of the pilot programs not later than March 15, 2011 and a comprehensive multiyear Energy Security Program plan not later than September 30, 2011.

MILITARY TIRES

To preserve a competitive and vigorous industrial base for military tires, the Director of the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) is directed to: request that all contractors involved in any way with the Tire Procurement Initiative (TPI) provide DLA with any competitive tire pricing information they have received in connection with their performance of the TPI contract; make this information known to all interested contractors; report to the congressional defense committees not later than 45 days after enactment of this Act whether the requested information was provided; and, if the requested information was not provided, include in that report a plan for ensuring that real or perceived conflicts of interest related to the possession of this information does not undermine the integrity of future tire contracts.

MULTINATIONAL INFORMATION SHARING PROGRAMS

The Secretary of Defense is directed to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act to include the following: a list of every program funded by the Department whose objective is to facilitate the secure exchange of electronic information between the United States and its partners and allies; the amount of funding provided to these programs in fiscal year 2010 and requested in fiscal year 2011; a plan for consolidating these programs into one program that can be used throughout the entire Department for the stated objective; and an explanation, if necessary, of why multiple information sharing systems are necessary and financially justified.

OUTSIDE LEGAL DEFENSE EXPENSES

The Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Attorney General of the United States, is directed to provide a report to the congressional defense committees on the financial, legal, or other impacts of each of the following: amending public law to specifically make military personnel eligible for reimbursement of legal bills when they successfully defend themselves against charges of abusing detainees; providing servicemembers Professional Liability Insurance to cover legal fees, similar to the insurance that is available to other Federal employees; and reimbursing the \$1,000,000 that the Congressional Budget Office estimates U.S. servicemembers have already had to pay for their own defense against these types of charges for which later, the charges were dismissed or the servicemember acquitted since September 11, 2001. The Secretary is directed

to provide this report not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act.

JOINT ADVERTISING, MARKET RESEARCH AND STUDIES

The recommendation includes \$7,972,000 for the Joint Advertising, Market Research and Studies (JAMRS) Program under the Defense Human Resources Activity. The funding is directed to be used for the costs associated with maintaining the JAMRS Recruiting Database and the remainder to be used for market research and studies only related to recruiting medical health professionals.

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION INITIATIVE

The Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI) program has contributed significantly to relieving encroachment pressures at military installations across the nation. Many military installations either include lands that serve as critical habitat for species or find that community development on adjacent land threatens to impinge on operations. In order to expand the impact of the REPI program, the recommendation includes \$60,186,000 above the amount requested in the budget. In allocating funds appropriated for the REPI program, the Department is directed to consider proposals for acquiring property and easements to pre-

serve Clear Zone areas, Accident Potential Zones, firing ranges, maneuver areas, and airfields on the same basis as all other proposals.

YOUTH MENTORING PROGRAM

The recommendation provides \$20,000,000 for Youth Mentoring programs. The Department of Defense is directed to allocate this funding on a competitive basis to activities that are in close proximity to Department of Defense installations.

TITLE III—PROCUREMENT

For Procurement, funds are to be available for fiscal year 2011, as follows:

[in thousands of dollars]:

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
--	--	--	--
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY			
--	--	--	--
3	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP)	88,483	0
--	Program Adjustment for Schedule Slip	--	-88,483
4	MQ-1 UAV	459,310	434,310
--	Contract Savings	--	-25,000
5	RQ-11 (RAVEN)	20,152	20,152
6	BCT UNMANNED AERIAL VEH (UAVS) INCR 1	44,206	26,568
--	Program Reduction	--	-17,638
8	HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH)	305,272	310,272
--	Light Utility Helicopter Survivability	--	+5,000
9	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK III	332,681	332,681
10	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK III (AP-CY)	161,150	161,150
11	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)	1,250,566	1,250,566
12	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP) (AP-CY)	100,532	100,532
13	CH-47 HELICOPTER	1,101,293	1,101,293
14	CH-47 HELICOPTER (AP-CY)	57,756	57,756
15	HELICOPTER NEW TRAINING	9,383	0
--	Unjustified Request	--	-9,383
17	MQ-1 PAYLOAD - UAS	100,413	80,413
--	Tactical SIGINT Payload Schedule Adjustment	--	-20,000
18	MQ-1 WEAPONIZATION - UAS	14,729	14,729
19	GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP)	29,899	25,799
--	Airborne Precision Geolocation	--	-4,100
20	MULTI SENSOR AIRBORNE RECON (MIP)	16,981	16,981
21	AH-64 MODS	393,769	396,169
--	Reduced Size Crashworthy External Fuel System 125 Gallon Tank	--	+2,400
23	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS	66,207	68,287
--	Damage Tolerance Improvement and Monitoring for Condition Based Maintenance	--	+2,080
25	UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS	13,716	13,716
26	AIRCRAFT LONG RANGE MODS	814	814
27	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS	63,085	82,405
--	Air Filtration Systems for the Army National Guard	--	+1,600
--	Forward Looking Infrared Sensors for the Minnesota National Guard	--	+720
--	UH-60 A to L conversions	--	+17,000
28	KIOWA WARRIOR	94,400	42,300
--	Cockpit and Sensor Upgrade Program Funding Ahead of Need	--	-52,100
29	AIRBORNE AVIONICS	219,425	207,425
--	Contract Savings	--	-12,000
30	GATM ROLLUP	100,862	100,862
31	RQ-7 UAV MODS	505,015	2,515
--	Funding Ahead of Need for Installation	--	-5,000
--	Transfer to Title IX	--	-497,500
34	SPARE PARTS (AIR)	7,328	9,956
--	Transfer from OP/A line 195 at Army request	--	+2,628
35	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT	24,478	24,478
36	ASE INFRARED COUNTER MEASURES	174,222	163,722
--	Excess to Requirement	--	-10,500
37	AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	4,885	4,885
38	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	76,129	76,129
39	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS	52,423	54,823
--	Air Warrior Survival Vest Ensemble Reset Program	--	+2,400
40	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	82,844	82,844
41	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	1,567	1,567
42	LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET	2,892	2,892
--	--	--	--
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY		5,976,867	5,268,991
--	--	--	--
MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY			
--	--	--	--
1	PATRIOT SYSTEM SUMMARY	480,247	613,847
--	PAC-3 Launchers and Missiles - Army UFR	--	+133,600
2	SURFACE-LAUNCHED AMRAAM SYS SUMMARY	116,732	102,732
--	Program Reduction	--	-14,000
4	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY	31,881	31,881
5	JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY	163,929	163,929
6	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY	30,326	24,326

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
--	Program Adjustment for Growth in Management and Administration Costs	--	-6,000
7	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY (AP-CY)	48,355	0
--	Excess to Requirement	--	-48,355
8	BCT NON LINE OF SIGHT LAUNCH SYSTEM	350,574	0
--	Program Termination	--	-350,574
9	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS)	291,041	266,041
--	Program Reduction	--	-25,000
10	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR)	15,886	15,886
11	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM	211,517	204,517
--	Program Adjustment, Carriers Procured in Fiscal Year 2010	--	-7,000
12	PATRIOT MODS	57,170	57,170
13	ITAS/TOW MODS	13,281	13,281
14	MLRS MODS	8,217	8,217
15	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS	39,371	39,371
16	HELLFIRE MODIFICATIONS	10	10
17	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	19,569	19,569
18	AIR DEFENSE TARGETS	3,613	3,613
19	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES)	1,208	1,208
20	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT	4,510	4,510
--	--	--	--
TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY		1,887,437	1,570,108
PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY			
--	--	--	--
4	STRYKER VEHICLE	299,545	350,945
--	Transfer from OP,A, line 9	--	+61,300
--	Adjust Program Management Costs	--	-9,900
9	STRYKER (MOD)	146,352	85,052
--	Transfer to OP,A, line 4	--	-61,300
10	FIST VEHICLE (MOD)	31,083	31,083
11	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD)	215,133	204,133
--	Program Reduction	--	-11,000
12	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD)	105,277	5,277
--	Program Adjustment for Schedule Slip	--	-70,000
--	Transfer to RDTE,A line 116 for Paladin PIM	--	-30,000
13	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES)	69,609	69,609
14	ARMORED BREACHER VEHICLE	77,930	77,930
15	M88 FOV MODS	9,157	9,157
16	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE	44,133	0
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-44,133
17	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD)	230,907	230,907
18	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM	183,000	183,000
19	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV)	3,145	3,145
20	HOWITZER, LIGHT, TOWED, 105MM, M119	5,575	0
--	Funds Excess to Requirement	--	-5,575
21	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN (7.62MM)	28,179	20,479
--	Pricing Correction	--	-7,700
22	MACHINE GUN, CAL .50 M2 ROLL	79,496	408
--	M2 Machine guns for the Nevada ARNG	--	+408
--	Transfer to Title IX	--	-79,496
23	LIGHTWEIGHT .50 CALIBER MACHINE GUN	18,941	18,941
24	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN (5.56MM)	0	265
--	M249 SAW Machine Guns for the Nevada ARNG	--	+220
--	M249 Light SAW Machine Guns for the Nevada ARNG	--	+45
25	MK-19 GRENADE MACHINE GUN (40MM)	4,465	4,465
26	MORTAR SYSTEMS	17,082	17,082
27	M107, CAL. 50, SNIPER RIFLE	235	235
28	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM)	16,282	16,282
29	M110 SEMI-AUTOMATIC SNIPER SYSTEM (SASS)	5,159	5,159
30	M4 CARBINE	20,180	20,525
--	M4 Carbines for the Nevada ARNG	--	+345
31	SHOTGUN, MODULAR ACCESSORY SYSTEM (MASS)	7,153	7,153
33	HANDGUN	3,371	58
--	Program Reduction	--	-3,371
--	M9 Handguns for the Nevada ARNG	--	+58
35	MK-19 GRENADE MACHINE GUN MODS	4,286	2,986
--	Tactical Engagement Simulator Terminated	--	-1,300
36	M4 CARBINE MODS	14,044	14,044
37	M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS	0	4,800
--	M2 .50 Cal Quick Change Barrel Kits	--	+4,800

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
38	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS	5,922	5,922
39	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS	15,852	15,852
40	M119 MODIFICATIONS	39,810	39,810
41	M16 RIFLE MODS	3,855	3,855
43	MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)	6,083	6,083
45	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV)	7,869	18,829
--	Arsenal Support Program Initiative, Rock Island	--	+3,200
--	Arsenal Support Program Initiative, Watervliet	--	+2,400
--	Rock Island Arsenal Joint Manufacturing and Technology Center Mini-Rearmament	--	+5,360
46	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	409	409
47	SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG)	4,042	4,042
--	--	--	--
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY		1,723,561	1,477,922
--	--	--	--
PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY			
--	--	--	--
1	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES	195,406	195,406
2	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES	79,622	79,622
3	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES	5,377	5,377
4	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES	160,712	160,712
6	CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES	15,887	15,887
7	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES	95,222	95,222
8	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES	167,632	167,632
9	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	14,340	14,340
10	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	24,036	24,036
11	CTG, MORTAR, 120MM, ALL TYPES	96,335	67,735
--	APMI Unit Cost Savings	--	-28,600
12	CTG TANK 105MM: ALL TYPES	7,794	7,794
13	CTG, TANK, 120MM, ALL TYPES	114,798	114,798
14	CTG, ARTY, 75MM: ALL TYPES	7,329	7,329
15	CTG, ARTY, 105MM: ALL TYPES	76,658	76,658
16	CTG, ARTY, 155MM, ALL TYPES	45,752	45,752
17	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982	62,114	30,700
--	Exceeds Revised Requirement	--	-31,414
18	MODULAR ARTILLERY CHARGE SYSTEM (MACS), ALL T	29,309	21,909
--	Decrease to Reduce Backlog in MACS M232 Production	--	-7,400
19	ARTILLERY FUZES, ALL TYPES	25,047	15,047
--	Program Delay, Precision Guidance Kit	--	-10,000
20	MINES, ALL TYPES	817	817
21	MINE, CLEARING CHARGE, ALL TYPES	8,000	8,000
22	ANTIPERSONNEL LANDMINE ALTERNATIVES	53,005	8,317
--	FRD Slipped to Fiscal Year 2012	--	-44,688
23	INTELLIGENT MUNITIONS SYSTEM (IMS), ALL TYPES	10,246	0
--	Program Adjustment for Schedule Slip	--	-10,246
24	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	43,873	43,873
25	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES	120,628	120,628
26	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	19,824	19,824
27	GRENADES, ALL TYPES	41,803	41,803
28	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES	39,472	39,472
29	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES	11,389	11,389
30	AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES	17,499	17,499
31	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES	5,266	5,266
32	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES	5,322	5,322
33	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	9,768	9,768
34	AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT	12,721	12,721
35	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO)	11,786	11,786
36	CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES	100	100
37	PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	144,368	155,088
--	Blue Grass Army Depot Robotic Welding Equipment	--	+1,600
--	Ammunition Production Base Support	--	+2,400
--	Electrical System Modernization - Holston Army	--	
--	Ammunition Plant	--	+4,800
--	EPACT Utility Tracking, Iowa Army Ammunition Plant	--	+1,920
38	LAYAWAY OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	9,504	9,504
39	MAINTENANCE OF INACTIVE FACILITIES	9,025	9,025
40	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION, ALL	178,367	178,367
41	ARMS INITIATIVE	3,261	3,261
--	--	--	--
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY		1,979,414	1,857,786
--	--	--	--

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY			
--	--	--	--
1	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS	25,560	0
--	Army Requested Program Adjustment	--	-25,560
2	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED:	38,713	0
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-38,713
5	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV)	918,195	693,495
--	Pricing Adjustment	--	-224,700
6	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMEN	21,317	21,317
7	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV)	549,741	549,741
8	PALLETIZED LOAD SYS - EXTENDED SERVICE PGM	100,108	56,208
--	Program Adjustment for Schedule Slip	--	-43,900
9	ARMORED SECURITY VEHICLES (ASV)	114,478	114,478
10	MINE PROTECTION VEHICLE FAMILY	230,978	14,000
--	Transfer to Title IX	--	-230,978
--	Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles Virtual Trainers for the Alabama ARNG	--	+4,000
--	Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles Virtual Trainers for the California ARNG	--	+3,200
--	Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles Virtual Trainers for the Idaho ARNG	--	+800
--	Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles Virtual Trainers for the Louisiana ARNG	--	+1,200
--	Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles Virtual Trainers for the Utah ARNG	--	+4,800
12	TRUCK, TRACTOR, LINE HAUL, M915/M916	37,519	21,519
--	Excess to Need	--	-16,000
13	HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV	173,565	173,565
15	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP	349,256	0
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-56,300
--	Transfer to Title IX	--	-292,956
16	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (TAC VEH)	0	2,400
--	Ultra Light Utility Vehicles for the ARNG	--	+2,400
17	TOWING DEVICE-FIFTH WHEEL	234	234
18	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS, OPA1	746	746
19	HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN	1,875	0
--	Slow Execution	--	-1,875
20	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	3,323	1,323
--	Slow Execution	--	-2,000
21	NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER	19,586	19,586
23	JOINT COMBAT IDENTIFICATION MARKING SYSTEM	11,411	11,411
24	WIN-T - GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK	421,798	395,798
--	Program Adjustment, Increment 2 Slow Execution	--	-20,000
--	Program Adjustment, Area Common User System	--	--
--	Modernization Slow Execution	--	-10,000
--	Dismounted Soldier Network Extension	--	+4,000
25	JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM)	4,690	4,690
26	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS	115,744	115,744
27	SHF TERM	14,198	14,198
28	SAT TERM, EMUT (SPACE)	662	662
29	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE)	32,193	32,193
30	SMART-T (SPACE)	10,285	10,285
31	SCAMP (SPACE)	930	930
32	GLOBAL BRDCST SVC - GBS	4,586	4,586
33	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT)	1,506	1,506
34	MOD-IN-SERVICE PROFILER	938	938
35	ARMY GLOBAL CMD & CONTROL SYS (AGCCS)	20,387	20,387
36	ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (DATA RADIO)	700	700
37	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM	209,568	159,468
--	Program Reduction in Small Form Factor-C Radio	--	-5,000
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-45,100
38	RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2)	5,796	5,796
39	SINCGARS FAMILY	14,504	18,204
--	Unjustified Growth	--	-1,900
--	Radio Personality Modules for SINCGARS Test Sets	--	+5,600
40	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS - OPA2	3,860	3,860
41	MULTI-PURPOSE INFORMATION OPERATIONS SYSTEMS	9,501	9,501
42	COMMS-ELEC EQUIP FIELDING	5,965	8,605
--	New York ARNG Mobile Satellite Communications Terminal	--	+1,200
--	Mobile C3 and Asset Tracking Equipment for the Texas ARNG	--	+1,440
43	SPIDER APLA REMOTE CONTROL UNIT	26,358	6,758

P-1 --	Budget Request	Recommendation
-- Army Requested Program Adjustment	--	-19,600
INTELLIGENT MUNITIONS SYSTEM REMOTE		
44 CONTROL UNIT	6,603	0
-- Funded Ahead of Need	--	-6,603
SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMM AND		
45 ELECTRONICS	5,125	5,125
46 COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATOR (CSEL)	2,397	2,397
47 RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY	9,983	9,983
48 MEDICAL COMM FOR CBT CASUALTY CARE (MC4)	23,606	23,606
49 CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE (MIP)	1,465	1,465
50 TSEC - ARMY KEY MGT SYS (AKMS)	25,959	25,959
51 INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP	63,340	54,858
Protected Information - Biometrics - transfer to OP,A, line 51x		
--	--	-8,482
51x FAMILY OF BIOMETRICS	0	8,482
-- Non-MIP Biometrics - transfer from OP,A, line 51	--	+8,482
52 TERRESTRIAL TRANSMISSION	137	137
53 BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS	28,406	31,986
Colorado National Guard Emergency Response		
-- Network	--	+2,000
-- Drill Hall Communications for the Minnesota ARNG	--	+880
Emergency Communications Management and Knowledge		
-- Management Systems for the Minnesota ARNG	--	+700
54 WW TECH CON IMP PROG (WWTCIP)	11,566	11,566
55 INFORMATION SYSTEMS	201,081	201,081
56 DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS)	6,264	6,264
57 INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM	178,242	178,242
58 PENTAGON INFORMATION MGT AND TELECOM	10,427	10,427
64 JTT/CIBS-M (MIP)	3,321	3,321
65 PROPHET GROUND (MIP)	71,517	71,517
68 DIGITAL TOPOGRAPHIC SPT SYS (DTSS) (MIP)	441	441
70 DCGS-A (MIP)	137,424	0
-- Transfer to Title IX	--	-137,424
71 JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (JTAGS)	9,279	9,279
72 TROJAN (MIP)	28,345	28,345
73 MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (MIP)	7,602	7,602
74 CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS)(MIP)	7,416	7,416
75 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MIP)	18,721	18,721
76 LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR	32,980	80,080
-- Program Adjustment	--	+47,100
77 WARLOCK	24,127	16,127
-- Excess to Need	--	-8,000
78 BCT UNATTENDED GROUND SENSOR	29,718	14,718
-- Program Reduction	--	-15,000
79 COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURE	1,394	1,394
80 CI MODERNIZATION (MIP)	1,263	1,263
81 SENSOR	91,467	91,467
82 SENTINEL MODS	30,976	30,976
83 SENSE THROUGH THE WALL (STTW)	24,939	24,939
84 NIGHT VISION DEVICES	70,528	72,128
-- Modular Crew Served Weapon Light	--	+1,600
85 LONG RANGE ADVANCED SCOUT SURVEILLANCE SYS	255,641	230,641
-- Excess to Need	--	-25,000
86 NIGHT VISION, THERMAL WPN SIGHT	248,899	248,899
87 SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF	8,520	8,520
89 COUNTER-ROCKET, ARTILLERY & MORTAR	2,088	2,088
91 ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP	6,042	0
-- Funded Ahead of Need	--	-6,042
94 PROFILER	4,408	4,408
95 MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS)	2,843	2,843
96 FORCE XXI BATTLE CMD BRIGADE & BELOW (FBCB2)	39,786	39,786
97 JOINT BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM (JBC-P)	147	147
98 LIGHTWEIGHT LASER DESIGNATOR/RANGEFINDER	65,970	65,970
99 COMPUTER BALLISTICS: LHMBC XM32	815	815
100 MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM	16,475	16,475
101 COUNTERFIRE RADARS	275,867	0
-- Transfer to Title IX	--	-275,867
102 ENHANCED SENSOR & MONITORING SYSTEM	2,062	2,062
103 TACTICAL OPERATIONS CENTERS	53,768	43,768
-- Program Reduction	--	-10,000
104 FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY	49,077	49,077
105 BATTLE COMMAND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT SYSTEM	25,866	25,866

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
106	FAAD C2	42,511	32,511
--	Program Reduction	--	-10,000
107	AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS	57,038	57,038
108	KNIGHT FAMILY	120,723	120,723
109	LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS)	1,710	1,710
110	AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	10,858	12,458
--	Automatic Identification Technology for Red River Army Depot	--	+1,600
111	TC AIMS II	10,457	10,457
113	TACTICAL INTERNET MANAGER	1,594	1,594
114	NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE	18,492	18,492
115	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)	96,162	96,162
116	SINGLE ARMY LOGISTICS ENTERPRISE (SALE)	99,819	99,819
117	RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET	15,466	15,466
119	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM	97,858	97,858
120	ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION	36,158	36,158
121	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT	203,864	203,864
122	CSS COMMUNICATIONS	39,811	39,811
123	RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS)	39,360	39,360
124	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (A/V)	663	663
125	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SURVEYING EQUIPMENT)	6,467	6,467
128	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E)	542	542
129	BCT NETWORK	176,543	136,543
--	Program Reduction	--	-40,000
130	PROTECTIVE SYSTEMS	2,489	2,489
131	FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (FNLE)	9,305	9,305
132	CBRN SOLDIER PROTECTION	180,351	180,351
133	SMOKE & OBSCURANT FAMILY: SOF (NON AAO ITEM)	831	831
134	TACTICAL BRIDGING	62,817	62,817
135	TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON	105,837	105,837
136	HANDHELD STANDOFF MINEFIELD DETECTION SYS	43,871	43,871
137	GROUND STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM	35,002	37,802
--	FIDO Explosives Detection	--	+2,800
138	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIPMENT	54,093	54,093
139	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT	3,655	3,655
141	HEATERS AND ECU'S	20,610	20,610
143	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT	5,416	5,416
146	PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM (PRSS)	7,813	7,813
147	GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM	110,524	96,024
--	Program Reduction	--	-14,500
148	MOUNTED SOLDIER SYSTEM	38,872	38,872
149	FORCE PROVIDER	41,539	41,539
150	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT	23,826	23,826
	CARGO AERIAL DELIVERY AND PERSONNEL		
151	PARACHUTE SYSTEM	69,496	69,496
152	MOBILE INTEGRATED REMAINS COLLECTION SYSTEM	26,532	26,532
153	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (ENGINEER SUPPORT)	31,420	31,420
154	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM AND WATER	175,069	164,369
--	Program Adjustment	--	-10,700
155	WATER PURIFICATION SYSTEMS	3,597	0
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-3,597
156	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL	30,365	30,765
--	Doppler-Based, Dual Mode, Noise Immune Stethoscope for Medical Evacuation	--	+400
157	MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS	159,285	139,985
--	Unjustified Growth	--	-19,300
158	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ)	3,702	3,702
159	GRADER, ROAD MOTORIZED, HVY, 6X4 (CCE)	48,379	48,379
160	SKID STEER LOADER (SSL) FAMILY OF SYSTEM	17,498	17,498
161	SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING	12,452	12,452
163	MISSION MODULES - ENGINEERING	62,111	54,111
--	Unjustified Growth	--	-8,000
164	LOADERS	7,205	7,205
165	HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR	8,458	8,458
166	TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED	64,032	64,032
167	PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING	10,783	10,783
168	HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR (HME) FOS	64,959	60,959
--	Unjustified Growth	--	-4,000
169	CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT ESP	11,063	11,063
170	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONSTRUCTION EQUIP)	20,565	17,565
--	Unjustified Growth	--	-3,000
171	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV)	202,764	202,764

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
172	HARBORMASTER COMMAND AND CONTROL CENTER(HC)	37,683	37,683
173	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL)	8,052	8,052
174	GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT	113,573	113,573
175	ROUGH TERRAIN CONTAINER HANDLER (RTCH)	29,460	29,460
176	FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS	12,936	12,936
177	ALL TERRAIN LIFTING ARMY SYSTEM	17,352	17,352
178	COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT	23,400	24,920
--	100 Meter Indoor Small Arms Range	--	+1,520
179	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM	297,200	336,600
--	Combat Skills Marksmanship Trainer	--	+4,800
--	Immersive Group Simulation Virtual Training System for the Hawaii ARNG	--	+2,000
--	Virtual Door Gunner Trainers for the Alaska ARNG	--	+1,600
--	Virtual Interactive Combat Environment for the New Jersey ARNG	--	+2,000
--	Wheeled Vehicle Virtual Operations Trainers for the Tennessee ARNG	--	+4,000
--	Training Range Upgrades	--	+25,000
180	CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER	64,912	64,912
181	AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER	26,120	26,120
182	GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING	4,964	4,964
183	CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT	38,778	38,778
184	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE)	104,472	104,472
185	TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD)	19,166	18,166
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-1,000
186	RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	42,229	21,229
--	Excess to Need	--	-21,000
187	PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3)	56,195	56,195
188	BASE LEVEL COMMERCIAL EQUIPMENT	1,873	6,273
--	Container Gantry Crane, Blue Grass Army Depot	--	+4,400
189	MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3)	103,046	82,046
--	Program Adjustment	--	-21,000
190	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH)	2,233	2,233
192	SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING	44,483	44,483
193	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS OPA3	13,104	13,104
194	MA8975	3,894	3,894
195	BCT UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE	20,046	20,046
196	BCT TRAINING/LOGISTICS/MANAGEMENT	61,581	31,581
--	Program Reduction	--	-30,000
197	INITIAL SPARES - C&E	38,707	36,079
--	Transfer to AP,A line 34 at Army request	--	-2,628
--	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	2,560	2,560
xx	PROCUREMENT INNOVATION	0	15,000
--	Procurement Innovation	--	+15,000
--	--	--	--
TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY		9,765,808	8,204,605
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY		--	--
1	EA-18G	1,028,801	971,241
--	Multi-year Procurement Savings	--	-49,836
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-7,724
2	EA-18G (AP-CY)	55,081	55,081
3	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP)	1,784,894	1,684,086
--	Multi-year Procurement Savings	--	-92,746
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-8,062
4	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP) (AP-CY)	2,295	2,295
5	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER	1,667,093	1,653,093
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-14,000
6	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	219,895	219,895
7	JSF STOVL	2,289,816	1,855,716
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-42,500
--	Delete Two Aircraft	--	-391,600
8	JSF STOVL (AP-CY)	286,326	286,326
9	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)	2,121,036	2,121,036
10	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) (AP-CY)	81,875	81,875
11	UH-1Y/AH-1Z	738,709	738,709
12	UH-1Y/AH-1Z (AP-CY)	69,360	58,560
--	Unjustified Cost Growth	--	-10,800
13	MH-60S (MYP)	478,591	478,591
14	MH-60S (MYP) (AP-CY)	70,080	66,280
--	Unexecutable EOQ	--	-3,800

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
15	MH-60R	897,933	897,933
16	MH-60R (AP-CY)	162,006	129,006
--	Unexecutable EOQ	--	-33,000
17	P-8A POSEIDON	1,824,437	1,820,560
--	Operational Flight Trainer Cost Growth	--	-2,155
--	Weapons Tactics Trainer Cost Growth	--	-1,722
18	P-8A POSEIDON (ADVANCED PROCUREMENT)	166,153	147,653
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-18,500
19	E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP)	819,184	819,184
20	E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP) (AP-CY)	118,619	118,619
21	C-40A	--	74,100
--	Add One Aircraft	--	+74,100
22	JPATS	266,065	26,274
--	Contract Delay	--	-234,849
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-4,942
26	MQ-8 UAV	47,484	43,984
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-3,500
27	STUASLO UAV	23,912	0
--	Program Delay	--	-23,912
29	EA-6 SERIES	14,891	0
--	Unjustified Request in Avionics and Structural Improvements OSIP	--	-8,900
--	ICAP III OSIP Unjustified Request	--	-5,991
30	AEA SYSTEMS	33,772	29,972
--	Low Band Transmitter Modification Kit Pricing	--	-1,400
--	ECO growth	--	-2,400
31	AV-8 SERIES	19,386	19,386
32	F-18 SERIES	492,821	443,806
--	ECP 904 Modification Kit Cost Growth	--	-2,310
--	ECP 583R2 Installation Equipment Kit Cost Growth	--	-3,780
--	ATFLIR Installation Equipment Kit Cost Growth	--	-11,745
--	Mission Planning/Unique Planning Component Growth	--	-2,400
--	OSIP 002-07 Excess ECO Funding	--	-9,000
--	ECP6279 Radar Modification Kits Ahead of Need	--	-7,880
--	OSIP 001-10 Integrated Logistics Support Growth	--	-2,500
--	Unjustified Cost Growth	--	-9,400
33	H-46 SERIES	17,685	17,685
34	AH-1W SERIES	11,011	11,011
35	H-53 SERIES	25,871	25,871
36	SH-60 SERIES	67,779	67,779
37	H-1 SERIES	3,060	3,060
38	EP-3 SERIES	90,323	90,323
39	P-3 SERIES	221,982	186,982
--	Unjustified Cost Growth	--	-35,000
40	E-2 SERIES	47,046	67,046
--	Reliability Enhancements for E-2C	--	+20,000
41	TRAINER A/C SERIES	23,999	23,999
42	C-2A	16,020	16,020
43	C-130 SERIES	17,839	17,839
44	FEWSG	21,928	16,696
--	AN/ALQ-167 Modification Kit Cost Growth	--	-5,232
45	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES	16,092	16,092
46	E-6 SERIES	149,164	121,194
--	Block 1 Upgrade Training Kit Cost Growth	--	-5,040
--	Block 1 Upgrade OSIP Support Funding Growth	--	-3,000
--	SLEP Installation Delay	--	-2,630
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-17,300
47	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES	43,443	43,443
48	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT	14,679	14,679
49	T-45 SERIES	61,515	46,215
--	Engine Surge OSIP Installation Funding Ahead of Need	--	-500
--	Engine Surge OSIP Contract Delay	--	-2,800
--	Required Avionics Modernization Program Modification Kit Cost Growth	--	-3,900
--	Synthetic Aperture Radar OSIP Contract Delay	--	-8,100
50	POWER PLANT CHANGES	19,948	19,948
51	JPATS SERIES	1,831	1,831
52	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT MODS	8,084	2,984
--	Transfer to RDTE,N line 93 for Common Mobile Aircrew Restraint System	--	-5,100
53	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT	21,947	21,947
54	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES	101,120	79,820

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
--	CNS/ATM Installation Equipment Contract Savings	--	-12,400
--	CNS/ATM Installation Funding Ahead of Need	--	-1,400
--	Tactical Moving Map Capability Modifications Funding Ahead of Need	--	-7,500
56	ID SYSTEMS	20,397	20,397
57	RQ-7 SERIES	18,121	18,121
58	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY	21,985	21,985
59	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	1,244,673	1,234,084
--	JPATS Contract Delay	--	-10,589
60	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	322,063	324,783
--	Direct Squadron Support Readiness Training Program	--	+2,720
61	AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	17,998	17,998
62	WAR CONSUMABLES	25,248	25,248
63	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	7,579	7,579
64	SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	45,916	45,916
65	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	1,752	1,752
--	--	--	--
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY		18,508,613	17,473,588
WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY		--	--
--	--	--	--
1	TRIDENT II MODS	1,106,911	1,106,911
2	MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	3,446	3,446
3	TOMAHAWK	300,178	288,278
--	Production Engineering Support Growth	--	-1,900
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-10,000
4	AMRAAM	155,553	145,553
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-10,000
5	SEAWINDER	52,293	52,293
6	JSOW	131,141	129,641
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-1,500
7	STANDARD MISSILE	295,922	248,222
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-5,700
--	Smooth Production Ramp, SM 6	--	-42,000
8	RAM	74,976	68,046
--	Contract Savings	--	-1,930
--	Program Rebaselined, Milestone C Slip for Block II	--	-5,000
9	HELLFIRE	43,495	41,995
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-1,500
10	AERIAL TARGETS	43,988	42,888
--	ECM/Emitter Equipment Cost Growth	--	-1,100
11	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT	3,981	3,981
12	ESSM	48,152	45,515
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-2,637
13	HARM MODS	53,543	52,191
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-1,352
14	STANDARD MISSILES MODS	61,896	61,896
15	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	3,281	6,481
--	ABL Accelerate Facility Restoration Plan	--	+3,200
16	FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON	505,734	505,734
18	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	52,152	52,152
19	ASW TARGETS	10,123	5,197
--	Contract Delay	--	-4,926
20	MK-46 TORPEDO MODS	42,144	42,144
21	MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS	43,559	29,859
--	Contract Delay - Funds for 15 kits and NRE	--	-13,700
22	QUICKSTRIKE MINE	6,090	6,090
23	TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	43,766	43,766
24	ASW RANGE SUPPORT	9,557	9,557
25	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	3,494	3,494
26	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS	14,316	14,316
27	CIWS MODS	41,408	29,022
--	Block 1B Systems Ahead of Need	--	-12,386
28	COAST GUARD WEAPONS	20,657	13,259
--	CIWS Ahead of Need	--	-5,698
--	MK160 Ahead of Need	--	-1,700
29	GUN MOUNT MODS	43,991	51,791
--	Installation Funding Ahead of Need	--	-3,200
--	Mk 110 Naval Gun System	--	+6,000
--	Mk 38 Mod 2 Minor Caliber Gun System	--	+5,000
30	LCS MODULE WEAPONS	9,808	0
--	NLOS Program Termination	--	-9,808

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
31	CRUISER MODERNIZATION WEAPONS	52,426	50,626
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-1,800
32	AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS	23,007	23,007
35	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	58,806	58,806
--	--	--	--
--	TOTAL, WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY	3,359,794	3,236,157
--	--	--	--
PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS			
--	--	--	--
1	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	80,028	77,928
--	Direct Attack Moving Target Capability Program Cost Growth	--	-2,100
3	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	38,721	23,171
--	MK 66 Rocket Motor (Mod 4) Unit Cost Efficiencies	--	-6,000
--	2.75" Launcher Unit Cost Efficiencies	--	-9,550
4	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION	21,003	21,003
5	PRACTICE BOMBS	33,666	31,666
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-2,000
6	CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES	53,667	52,167
--	Program Execution Delays	--	-1,500
7	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES	59,626	59,626
8	JATOS	2,869	2,869
9	5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION	34,492	33,492
--	Product Improvement Growth	--	-1,000
10	INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION	37,234	37,234
11	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION	36,275	36,275
12	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO	46,192	46,192
13	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION	11,310	10,079
--	MK-62 Firing Device Contract Delay	--	-1,231
14	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	4,105	4,105
15	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION	64,839	64,839
16	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES	15,329	15,329
17	40 MM, ALL TYPES	62,835	62,835
18	60MM, ALL TYPES	17,877	17,877
19	81MM, ALL TYPES	41,053	41,053
20	120MM, ALL TYPES	6,458	6,458
21	CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES	2,937	2,937
22	GRENADES, ALL TYPES	9,298	8,092
--	Funded Ahead of Need for Scorpion	--	-1,206
23	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	13,995	13,995
24	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES	70,423	67,546
--	Decrease to Reduce Backlog in MACS M232 Production	--	-2,877
25	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	19,464	19,464
26	FUZE, ALL TYPES	18,032	18,032
27	NON LETHALS	3,009	3,009
28	AMMO MODERNIZATION	8,985	8,985
29	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	4,269	4,269
--	--	--	--
--	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS	817,991	790,527
--	--	--	--
SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY			
--	--	--	--
1	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	1,731,256	1,721,969
--	Consolidated Afloat Navy Enterprise System Increment 1	--	-2,600
--	Surface Electronic Warfare Improvement	--	-4,900
--	AN/UPX-29	--	-1,787
2	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM (AP-CY)	908,313	908,313
3	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	3,441,452	3,430,343
--	Sonar System Hardware Cost Growth	--	-5,795
--	Modular Mast Cost Growth	--	-1,430
--	Propulsor Cost Growth	--	-3,884
4	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE (AP-CY)	1,691,236	1,691,236
5	CVN REFUELING OVERHAUL	1,255,799	1,248,999
--	SSDS Program Management Excess	--	-1,800
--	SSDS Software Growth	--	-2,000
--	CEC Testing and Evaluation Excess	--	-3,000
6	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS (AP-CY)	408,037	408,037
9	DDG 1000	186,312	77,512
--	Volume Search Radar	--	-108,800
10	DDG-51	2,922,190	2,868,454
--	MK-12 IFF Cost Growth	--	-4,986

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
--	CIWS Block 1B Cost Growth	--	-2,256
--	Exterior Communication System Cost Growth	--	-6,294
--	Main Reduction Gear Systems Engineering Growth	--	-10,200
--	Main Reduction Gear Contract Savings	--	-30,000
11	DDG-51 (AP-CY)	47,984	47,984
12	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP	1,230,984	1,168,984
--	Cost Savings	--	-62,000
13	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (AP-CY)	278,351	190,351
--	Program Reduction	--	-88,000
16	LHA REPLACEMENT (AP-CY)	949,897	942,837
--	C4ISR Cost Growth	--	-5,174
--	Rolling Airframe Missile System Cost Growth	--	-1,886
18	INTRATHEATER CONNECTOR	180,703	180,703
19	OCEANOGRAPHIC SHIPS	88,561	88,561
20	OUTFITTING	306,640	295,570
--	JHSV-1 Outfitting Funding Phasing	--	-3,426
--	LPD-25 Outfitting Funding Phasing	--	-2,500
--	DDG-1000 Post-Delivery Phasing	--	-1,757
--	LPD-23 Post-Delivery Phasing	--	-3,387
21	SERVICE CRAFT	13,770	13,770
22	LCAC SLEP	83,035	83,035
--	--	--	--
TOTAL, SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY		15,724,520	15,366,658
OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY			
--	--	--	--
1	LM-2500 GAS TURBINE	12,137	10,525
--	Turbine Digital Fuel Controls Cost Growth	--	-1,612
2	ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE	14,923	14,923
4	OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT	23,167	23,167
5	SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP	85,619	73,559
--	AN/BVS-1 Mast Tech Insertion Spares	--	-1,849
--	ISIS Tech Insertion Kits Ahead of Need	--	-2,769
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-1,700
--	Contractor Repair Funding Growth	--	-5,742
6	DDG MOD	296,691	291,611
--	Multi-Mission BMD Capability Upgrade Kits Cost Growth	--	-1,000
--	Engineering Services Unjustified Cost Growth	--	-6,000
--	Smart Valve Autonomic Fire Suppression System	--	+1,920
7	FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT	11,974	9,304
--	Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus Kits Excess to Requirements	--	-1,570
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-1,100
8	COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD	3,962	2,362
--	Unjustified Request	--	-1,600
9	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	25,614	25,614
10	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	7,730	7,730
11	VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	132,039	130,039
--	Spare Main Propulsion Shaft Ahead of Need	--	-2,000
12	SUBMARINE BATTERIES	44,057	31,057
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-1,500
--	Excess Installation Funding	--	-11,500
13	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP	22,811	22,811
14	DSSP EQUIPMENT	3,869	3,869
15	CG-MODERNIZATION	356,958	350,958
--	Engineering Services Unjustified Cost Growth	--	-6,000
16	LCAC	9,142	2,642
--	Personnel Transport Module Contract Delay	--	-6,500
18	UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS	15,908	15,908
19	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	126,842	119,698
--	LCS Waterjets Spares Ahead of Need	--	-5,296
--	Voltage Regulators Ahead of Need	--	-1,848
20	CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS	7,470	7,470
21	SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM	13,016	13,016
22	REACTOR POWER UNITS	438,503	438,503
23	REACTOR COMPONENTS	266,469	266,469
24	DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT	10,227	10,227
25	STANDARD BOATS	27,725	55,625
--	Advanced Amphibious Transportation Lift and Storage System	--	+1,600
--	Force Protection Boats	--	+3,200
--	Impact Mitigating Boat Decking	--	+1,600

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
--	Range Support Craft	--	+21,500
26	OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT	16,094	16,094
27	OPERATING FORCES IPE	49,856	94,436
--	Program Increase - Shipyard Capital Investment Program	--	+41,620
--	Pearl Harbor Navy Shipyard Equipment Modernization	--	+2,960
28	NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS	116,829	116,829
29	LCS MODULES	82,951	41,369
--	MCM Module Production Support Growth	--	-6,000
--	Consulting Services Growth	--	-3,064
--	Excess Remote Multi-Mission Vehicle Funding	--	-7,600
--	Mission Package Computer Environment Units Ahead of Need	--	-2,268
--	AN/AQS-20A - Ahead of Need	--	-22,650
30	LSD MIDLIFE	106,612	102,612
--	60-ton Deck Crane Contract Delay	--	-1,000
--	Boat Davit and Ballast Control System Installations Ahead of Need	--	-3,000
31	RADAR SUPPORT	12,030	7,000
--	Periscope Detection Radar Installation Funding Ahead of Need	--	-3,500
--	Excess Miscellaneous Funding	--	-1,530
32	SPQ-9B RADAR	8,887	5,687
--	Excess Antenna Funding	--	-2,200
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-1,000
33	AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM	87,219	85,219
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-2,000
34	SSN ACOUSTICS	237,015	234,015
--	Installation Costs Unjustified Growth	--	-3,000
35	UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	29,641	27,241
--	Common Data Link Modification Installation Funding Ahead of Need	--	-2,400
36	SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS	14,056	13,056
--	TR-317 Module Cost Growth	--	-1,000
37	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM	20,739	18,539
--	Next Generation Countermeasure Funding Ahead of Need	--	-2,200
38	SSTD	2,206	0
--	AN/SLQ-25D Ahead of Need	--	-2,206
39	FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	57,481	57,481
40	SURTASS	8,468	8,468
41	TACTICAL SUPPORT CENTER	18,586	18,586
42	AN/SLQ-32	49,677	23,257
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-2,000
--	Block 1B3 Incremental Funding	--	-7,520
--	Block 2 Incremental Funding	--	-16,900
43	SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT	105,624	105,624
44	AUTOMATED IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS)	1,299	1,299
45	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG	71,558	70,108
--	ESM Capability Insertion (CI-06) Kits Ahead of Need	--	-1,450
46	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY	31,091	25,691
--	Planar Antenna Funding Ahead of Need	--	-5,400
47	TRUSTED INFORMATION SYSTEM (TIS)	338	338
48	NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS)	33,358	33,358
49	ATDLS	2,273	2,273
50	NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS)	8,920	8,920
51	MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT	81,441	60,710
--	Remote Minehunting System (RMS)	--	-5,027
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-2,272
--	Expendable Mine Neutralization System Funding Ahead of Need	--	-12,432
--	Assessment and Identification of Mine Susceptibility Growth	--	-1,000
52	SHALLOW WATER MCM	9,236	1,261
--	Cobra Block 1 Contract Delay	--	-7,975
53	NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE)	9,319	9,319
54	ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV	3,328	3,328
55	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP	4,248	4,248
56	OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT	29,061	27,761
--	COTS Obsolescence Growth	--	-1,300
57	MATCALS	16,747	14,747
--	ASPARCS Cost Growth	--	-2,000
58	SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	7,658	7,658
59	AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM	15,169	10,782

P-1 --	Budget Request	Recommendation
-- AN/SPN-46 Radar Modification Kits Ahead of Need	--	-4,387
60 NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM	17,531	17,531
61 AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	6,851	6,851
62 MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM	8,551	8,551
63 ID SYSTEMS	29,572	23,122
-- AN/URN-25 TACAN Upgrade Kits Ahead of Need	--	-2,450
-- Support Funding Carryover	--	-4,000
64 TAC A/C MISSION PLANNING SYS(TAMPS)	9,098	7,798
-- Support Funding Carryover	--	-1,300
65 DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONT	8,542	8,542
66 TADIX-B	6,909	2,944
-- AN/USC-151 Upgrade Kit Ahead of Need	--	-3,965
67 GCCS-M EQUIPMENT TACTICAL/MOBILE	9,832	9,832
68 DCGS-N	16,634	16,634
69 CANES	34,398	10,264
-- Funded Ahead of Need	--	-24,134
70 RADIAC	6,104	5,197
-- Air Particulate Detector Contract Delay	--	-907
71 CANES-INTELL	10,432	3,140
-- Ahead of Need	--	-7,292
72 GPETE	5,861	5,861
73 INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY	4,445	4,445
74 EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION	4,737	4,737
75 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	51,048	29,307
-- SPS-73 Tech Refresh/Obsolescence Growth	--	-741
-- SPS-48 ECO and Support Cost Growth	--	-3,000
-- SPS-48 Upgrade Kits Ahead of Need	--	-13,600
-- Installation Funding Ahead of Need	--	-4,400
78 SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION	260,551	230,174
-- Support Funding Carryover	--	-1,500
-- ISNS Upgrade Kits Installation Funding Ahead of Need	--	-9,000
-- CENTRIXS Installation Funding Ahead of Need	--	-1,425
-- SCI Network Installation Funding Ahead of Need	--	-2,100
-- ADNS Units Ahead of Need	--	-16,352
79 MARITIME DOMAIN AWARENESS (MDA)	9,250	7,650
-- CENTRIXS Modification Kit Installation Funding Ahead of Need	--	-1,600
80 COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M	39,846	31,169
-- Battle Force Tactical Network Ahead of Need	--	-8,677
82 SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	59,013	55,737
-- Common Submarine Radio Room Modification Kit Cost Growth	--	-1,029
-- CSSR Seawolf Ahead of Need	--	-2,247
83 SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	28,665	28,665
84 NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT)	161,021	161,021
85 JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	2,256	2,256
86 ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS	1,309	1,309
87 NAVAL SHORE COMMUNICATIONS	3,422	3,422
88 INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)	120,529	114,357
-- SV-21 Unit Cost Growth	--	-1,672
-- Support Funding Carryover	--	-2,000
-- CND Increment 2 Ahead of Need	--	-2,500
89 CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP	18,322	18,322
90 COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT	20,189	20,189
92 SONOBUOYS - ALL TYPES	87,846	83,846
-- Support Funding Carryover	--	-4,000
93 WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	51,742	59,700
-- East Coast USWTR Support Funding Carryover	--	-3,500
-- East Coast USWTR Ahead of Need	--	-8,542
-- Training Range Upgrades	--	+20,000
94 EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS	8,429	8,429
95 AIRCRAFT REARMING EQUIPMENT	11,134	11,134
96 AIRCRAFT LAUNCH & RECOVERY EQUIPMENT	37,063	28,881
-- Advanced Recovery Control and Aviation Data Management and Control Systems Cost Growth	--	-1,782
-- Support Funding Carryover	--	-1,400
-- Production Engineering Unjustified Cost Growth	--	-5,000
97 METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT	25,581	25,581
98 OTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT	1,573	1,573
99 AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT	40,696	24,796
-- JHMCS Ahead of Need	--	-15,900
100 AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES	35,855	35,855

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
101	LAMPS MK III SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT	20,662	16,382
--	Units Ahead of Need	--	-4,280
102	PORTABLE ELECTRONIC MAINTENANCE AIDS	12,812	10,612
--	Production Support Growth	--	-2,200
103	OTHER AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	12,018	12,018
104	NAVAL FIRES CONTROL SYSTEM	1,086	1,086
105	GUN FIRE CONTROL EQUIPMENT	8,076	8,076
106	NATO SEASPARROW	11,121	10,161
--	ECP and Production Support Growth	--	-960
107	RAM GMLS	11,805	6,800
--	GMLS Ordalts Contract Delay	--	-5,005
108	SHIP SELF DEFENSE SYSTEM	54,290	45,902
--	Ship Self Defense System Modification Kits Ahead of Need	--	-8,388
109	AEGIS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	162,307	82,307
--	COTS Tech Refresh Growth	--	-3,000
--	Ship Change Documentation Growth	--	-4,500
--	Navy Requested Transfer to RDTE, DW line 84 for Ballistic Missile Defense	--	-72,500
110	TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	88,698	88,698
111	VERTICAL LAUNCH SYSTEMS	5,698	5,698
112	STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP	184,034	159,034
--	Fire Control Tech Refresh Growth	--	-5,000
--	Contract Delays	--	-20,000
113	SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS	88,004	77,390
--	TI-04 Modification Contract Savings	--	-2,214
--	Excess TI-04 and Out Modification Installation Funding	--	-8,400
114	SUBMARINE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	5,282	5,282
115	SURFACE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	8,323	8,323
116	ASW RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	7,121	7,121
117	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP	58,288	62,208
--	Mission Helmet Recording System for EOD	--	+3,920
118	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	3,546	2,480
--	Industrial Facilities Contract Delay	--	-1,066
119	ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DECOY SYSTEM	36,588	36,588
120	SURFACE TRAINING DEVICE MODS	7,337	7,337
121	SUBMARINE TRAINING DEVICE MODS	34,519	34,519
122	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	3,719	3,719
123	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS	584	584
124	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP	13,935	10,435
--	Contract Delays	--	-3,500
125	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT	12,853	12,853
126	TACTICAL VEHICLES	31,741	25,241
--	FMTV Contract Savings	--	-2,300
--	Energy Initiative Unjustified Requirement	--	-4,200
127	AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT	3,132	3,132
128	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	5,154	5,154
129	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION	24,770	24,770
130	PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES	1,128	1,128
131	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT	15,504	14,030
--	General Purpose Forklift Cost Growth	--	-1,474
132	OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	6,655	9,855
--	Navy AIT Logistics Modernization Initiative	--	+3,200
133	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	6,315	6,315
134	SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS	66,549	66,549
135	TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	11,429	11,429
137	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	47,306	42,560
--	BUPERS Software Cost Growth	--	-2,500
--	SPAWAR Hardware Items Cost Growth	--	-1,080
--	ERP Kits Cost Growth	--	-900
--	JFCOM National Small Unit Center	--	-3,075
--	Future Pay and Personnel System Ahead of Need	--	-1,911
--	Man Overboard Indicator Program	--	+4,720
138	EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	2,067	2,067
139	MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	7,679	5,679
--	Fleet Allowance List Outfitting Cost Growth	--	-2,000
141	NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	1,433	1,433
143	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	12,754	12,754
144	C4ISR EQUIPMENT	5,317	5,317
145	ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	20,033	21,633
--	High Speed/High Resolution Combined Multi-Beam Side Scan Sonar	--	+1,600
146	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	154,805	141,475

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
--	Shipboard Protection System Installation Costs Excess to Need	--	-5,500
--	Shipboard Protection System Support Cost Growth	--	-6,000
--	Biometrics Ahead of Need	--	-1,830
XX	PROCUREMENT INNOVATION	--	15,000
--	Procurement Innovation	--	+15,000
147	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	377,353	163,653
--	Navy Requested Transfer to OM,N AGSAG BSIT for NGEN	--	-217,700
--	SPAWAR Systems Center (SSC/ITC)	--	+4,000
149	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	215,906	215,906
--	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	19,767	19,767
--	--	--	--
TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY		6,450,208	5,833,683
--	--	--	--
PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS			
--	--	--	--
1	AAV7A1 PIP	7,749	7,749
2	LAV PIP	41,277	41,277
4	EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	9,723	9,723
5	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER	10,356	10,356
6	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM	22,230	22,230
7	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION	26,091	26,091
9	MODIFICATION KITS	40,916	30,559
--	Unexecutable Program, M1A1 Survivability Kits	--	-10,357
10	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM	13,115	13,115
11	GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE	5,175	3,855
--	Program Adjustment	--	-1,320
13	FOLLOW ON TO SMAW	21,570	21,570
14	ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAWS-H)	20,315	20,315
15	MODIFICATION KITS	3,798	3,798
16	COMBAT OPERATIONS CENTER	10,776	10,776
17	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT	25,636	25,636
18	COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	32,877	32,877
20	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)	3,405	3,405
21	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS	67,568	67,568
22	RADAR SYSTEMS	860	860
23	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	3,906	3,906
24	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	92,377	92,377
25	RQ-11 UAV	32,490	16,490
--	Program Delay, Tier 2 UAS	--	-16,000
26	DCGS-MC	4,582	0
--	DCGS-MC Program Delay	--	-4,582
27	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT	0	1,600
--	Small Tactical Optical Rifle Mounted Micro Laser Range Finder	--	+1,600
28	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES	258,947	218,947
--	Unjustified Request, MC Intranet	--	-40,000
29	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS	33,021	33,021
30	RADIO SYSTEMS	40,551	20,051
--	Program Delay, JTRS handheld	--	-20,500
31	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS	32,279	22,279
--	Execution Delay, WNS-T	--	-10,000
32	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	15,278	15,278
33	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES	1,157	1,157
34	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES	12,696	12,696
35	5/4T TRUCK HMMWV (MYP)	4,849	0
--	Service Requested Reduction	--	-4,849
36	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS	5,253	5,253
37	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE REPLACEMENT	11,721	11,721
38	LOGISTICS VEHICLE SYSTEM REPLACEMENT	133,827	133,827
39	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS	19,156	19,156
40	TRAILERS	8,075	8,075
41	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	6,016	6,016
42	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT	5,110	5,110
43	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT	10,743	10,743
44	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS	29,330	29,330
45	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED	19,419	19,419
46	AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	11,718	11,718
47	EOD SYSTEMS	64,093	64,093
48	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	16,419	16,419
49	GARRISON MOBILE ENGR EQUIP	10,976	10,976
50	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP	24,376	24,376

P-1		Budget Request	Recommendation
51	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	2,748	2,748
52	FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT	6,722	6,722
53	TRAINING DEVICES	5,668	5,668
54	CONTAINER FAMILY	897	897
55	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT	18,261	18,261
57	BRIDGE BOATS	12,567	12,567
58	RAPID DEPLOYABLE KITCHEN	4,283	4,283
59	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	7,572	7,572
60	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	13,524	13,524
--	--	--	--
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS	1,344,044	1,238,036
--	--	--	--
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
--	--	--	--
1	F-35	3,729,242	3,090,442
--	Air Force Requested Transfer from AP,AF, line 43	--	+29,700
--	Production Support Carryover	--	-60,000
--	Delete Five Aircraft	--	-608,500
2	F-35 (AP-CY)	257,000	257,000
3	F-22A	158,039	158,039
5	C-17A (MYP)	14,283	48,683
--	Air Force Requested Transfer from AP,AF, line 88	--	+114,400
--	Slow Execution	--	-80,000
6	C-130J	463,267	455,267
--	Updated Pricing	--	-8,000
7	C-130J ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	48,000	40,000
--	Updated Pricing	--	-8,000
8	HC-130J	349,300	307,800
--	Updated Pricing	--	-41,500
9	HC-130J (AP-CY)	10,000	10,000
10	MC-130J	467,465	415,465
--	Updated Pricing	--	-52,000
11	MC-130J (AP-CY)	60,000	60,000
14	JOINT CARGO AIRCRAFT	351,200	351,200
15	LIGHT MOBILITY AIRCRAFT	65,699	65,699
16	USFAA POWERED FLIGHT PROGRAM	4,099	4,099
18	COMM VERT LIFT SPT PLATFORM (UH-1N)	6,432	0
--	Air Force Requested Transfer to RDTE,AF line 113	--	-6,432
19	V-22 OSPREY	393,098	393,098
20	V-22 OSPREY (AP-CY)	13,621	13,621
24	CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C	2,424	2,424
25	HH-60M OPERATIONAL LOSS REPLACEMENT	104,447	104,447
27	STUASLO	3,253	3,253
28	TARGET DRONES	85,505	85,505
29	C-37A	52,000	52,000
30	RQ-4 UAV	649,629	503,029
--	Air Force Requested Transfer to AP,AF, line 31	--	-25,600
--	Unjustified Cost Increase, Sensors	--	-11,000
--	Unjustified Request, Spares	--	-110,000
31	RQ-4 UAV (AP-CY)	90,200	72,300
--	Air Force Requested Transfer from AP,AF, line 30	--	+25,600
--	Air Force Adjustment	--	-43,500
32	MC 130 IN BA 04	9,932	0
--	Air Force Requested Transfer to AC-130 Recap Program	--	-9,932
xx	AC-130 Recap	--	9,932
--	Air Force Requested Transfer from MC-130 program	--	+9,932
34	MQ-9	863,595	318,131
--	Spares	--	-167,788
--	Support Equipment - Forward Funding	--	-42,000
--	Production Support - Forward Funding	--	-98,376
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-21,300
--	Transfer 12 Aircraft to Title IX	--	-216,000
35	B-2A	63,371	63,371
37	B-1B	200,090	200,090
38	B-52	69,074	21,074
--	CONNECT - Funded Ahead of Need	--	-35,000
--	Transfer to RDTE,AF line 117 for Internal Weapons Bay	--	-13,000
39	A-10	165,361	187,361
--	Program Increase - Helmet Mounting Cueing System	--	+22,000
40	F-15	302,235	337,041
--	C/D Flight Data Recorder - Early to Need	--	-11,408
--	E-model Flight Data Recorder - Early to Need	--	-11,786

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
--	Program Reduction	--	-4,000
--	AESA Radar for ANG F-15Cs	--	+62,000
41	F-16	167,188	167,188
42	F-22A	492,199	437,739
--	Unjustified Request	--	-54,460
43	F-35 MODIFICATIONS	123,936	4,636
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-82,000
--	Air Force Requested Transfer to AP,AF, line 1	--	-29,700
--	Air Force Requested Transfer to RDTE,AF line 81 for Auto GCAS	--	-7,600
44	C-5	740,369	37,252
--	Block Upgrade - Ahead of Need	--	-21,260
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-5,400
--	Transfer C-5 RERP to New AP,AF Line	--	-676,457
45	C-5 (AP-CY)	166,900	106,900
--	Funded with Fiscal Year 2009 and 2010 funds	--	-60,000
xx	C-5 RERP	--	676,457
--	Transfer C-5 RERP from AP,AF, line 44	--	+676,457
46	C-9C	10	0
--	Program Terminated	--	-10
47	C-17A	351,614	217,547
--	OBIGGS Kits - Reduction of Four kits	--	-13,800
--	Extended Range Retrofits Kits, Reduction of One Kit	--	-5,267
--	Excess to Need	--	-98,000
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-17,000
48	C-21	339	339
49	C-32A	12,113	12,113
50	C-37A	12,162	12,162
51	GLIDER MODS	120	120
52	T6	24,644	24,644
53	T-1	83	83
54	T-38	28,288	26,288
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-2,000
56	KC-10A (ATCA)	13,777	11,777
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-2,000
57	C-12	7,645	7,645
58	MC-12W	10,826	10,826
59	C-20 MODS	736	736
60	VC-25A MOD	13,175	13,175
61	C-40	10,697	10,697
62	C-130	257,339	304,939
--	Air Force Requested Transfer from RDTE,AF line 220 for Avionics Upgrades to Special Mission Aircraft	--	+65,000
--	Excess to Need	--	-25,400
--	109th Airlift Wing Propeller Improvement Program	--	+3,200
--	On-Board Oxygen Generation System Retrofit for AFSOC C-130	--	+4,800
63	C-130 MODS INTEL	3,963	11,243
--	SENIOR SCOUT IMINT Integration for Utah ANG	--	+5,760
--	Support Equipment for Time Critical Targeting, SENIOR SCOUT	--	+1,520
64	C130J MODS	80,205	64,205
--	Contract Slip, Crashworthy Seats	--	-16,000
65	C-135	44,228	38,788
--	Block 45 Contract Delay	--	-8,400
--	Low Cost Modifications	--	+1,600
--	Aircraft Patient Support Systems for 190 ARW, Kansas	--	+1,360
66	COMPASS CALL MODS	176,558	101,558
--	EC-130 Program Full Funding Violation	--	-75,000
67	DARP	105,540	105,540
68	E-3	195,163	195,163
69	E-4	37,526	37,526
70	E-8	188,504	6,397
--	E-8 Reengining, Ahead of Need	--	-120,407
--	Engine Installs, Ahead of Need	--	-5,000
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-56,700
71	H-1	2,457	2,457
72	H-60	11,630	41,930
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-1,700
--	Simulators and Low Cost Modifications	--	+32,000
73	RQ-4 UAV MODS	119,415	116,415
--	Unjustified Cost Increase, ASIP sensors	--	-3,000
74	HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS	1,944	1,944

P-1		Budget Request	Recommendation
75	OTHER AIRCRAFT	159,423	15,723
--	Transfer FAB-T Funds to RDTE, AF line 180	--	-119,700
--	Delete FAB-T Funds, Early to Need	--	-24,000
76	MQ-1 MODS	208,213	20,213
--	Excess to Need	--	-188,000
77	MQ-9 MODS	108,922	0
--	Contract Delay, GCS	--	-50,884
--	Contract Delay, Reaper Retrofits	--	-58,038
78	MQ-9 PAYLOAD - UAS	115,383	0
--	Transfer to Title IX	--	-115,383
79	CV-22 MODS	13,964	13,964
80	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	622,020	698,220
--	Unjustified Request, Joint Stars Re-engining Spares	--	-11,700
--	Program Increase - F-22 Engine Spares	--	+100,000
--	Excess to Need	--	-12,100
81	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP	91,701	58,301
--	Underexecution	--	-20,000
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-13,400
82	B-1	6,791	6,791
83	B-2A	26,217	26,217
84	B-52	3,443	1,743
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-1,700
85	C-5	195	195
87	KC-10A (ATCA)	5,702	5,702
88	C-17A	153,347	20,947
--	Air Force Requested Transfer to AP, AF, line 5	--	-114,400
--	Unjustified Funding for Shutdown Activities	--	-18,000
89	C-130	28,295	30,695
--	C-130 Cargo Rail Systems for the 118th Airlift Wing	--	+2,400
91	F-15 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT	21,599	17,599
--	Excess to Need	--	-4,000
92	F-16 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT	17,838	12,738
--	Excess to Need	--	-5,100
93	T-6	9,450	9,450
94	OTHER AIRCRAFT	53,953	53,953
96	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	24,619	24,619
97	WAR CONSUMABLES	92,939	92,939
98	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	1,079,742	912,372
--	Funded Ahead of Need	--	-6,732
--	Transfer to Title IX	--	-160,638
99	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES - MQ-1	37,500	37,500
104	DARP	19,117	19,117
--	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	12,981	12,981
--	--	--	--
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		15,366,508	12,528,779
MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE			
1	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLISTIC	60,647	60,647
2	JASSM	215,825	215,825
3	SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X)	64,523	64,523
4	AMRAAM	355,358	348,358
--	Support Funding Carryover	--	-7,000
5	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE	44,570	44,570
6	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	134,884	119,884
--	Accounting Error	--	-15,000
7	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS/POLLUTION PREVENTION	833	833
8	ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE	48	48
9	MM III MODIFICATIONS	123,378	139,178
--	Air Force Requested Transfer from RDTE, AF line 175 for MEECN	--	+9,800
--	Minuteman III Solid Rocket Motor Warm Line Program	--	+6,000
10	AGM-65D MAVERICK	260	260
11	AGM-88A HARM	4,079	4,079
12	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE	10,795	10,795
13	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	43,192	43,192
14	ADVANCED EHF	38,078	38,078
15	ADVANCED EHF (AP-CY)	208,520	208,520
16	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES	517,601	517,601
17	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES (AP-CY)	58,110	58,110
18	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	122,490	122,490
19	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC)	14,894	14,894

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
20	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE)	64,609	64,609
23	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG (SPACE)	88,719	88,719
24	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH (SPACE)	1,153,976	1,153,976
26	SBIR HIGH (SPACE) (AP-CY)	700,704	700,704
27	SBIR HIGH (SPACE)	270,000	270,000
28	NATL POLAR-ORBITING OP ENV SATELLITE	26,308	0
--	Program Termination, Early to Need	--	-26,308
33	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS	247,584	247,584
--	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	893,287	893,287
--	--	--	--
TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		5,463,272	5,430,764
--	--	--	--
PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE			
--	--	--	--
1	ROCKETS	19,106	19,106
2	CARTRIDGES	141,049	141,049
3	PRACTICE BOMBS	34,094	23,442
--	BDU-56A/B CDI Program Delay	--	-10,652
4	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	183,845	187,845
--	McAlester Army Ammunition Plant Bomb Line Modernization	--	+4,000
5	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	104,642	179,361
--	Additional JDAM for War Reserve Stockpile	--	+74,719
6	CAD/PAD	37,016	37,016
7	EXPLOSIVE ORDINANCE DISPOSAL (EOD)	3,383	3,383
8	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	1,000	1,000
9	MODIFICATIONS	1,112	1,112
10	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000	5,015	5,015
11	FLARES	72,758	72,758
12	FUZES	57,337	57,337
13	SMALL ARMS	7,063	7,063
--	--	--	--
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		667,420	735,487
--	--	--	--
OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE			
--	--	--	--
1	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLE	29,207	29,207
2	FAMILY MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE	45,618	37,618
--	Contract Savings	--	-8,000
3	CAP VEHICLES	902	902
4	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (CARGO)	31,773	31,773
5	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES	52,867	48,867
--	Up-Armored HMMWV Unjustified Cost Growth	--	-4,000
6	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M	18,358	18,358
7	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES	26,924	26,924
9	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000	14,501	14,501
10	RUNWAY SNOW REMOVAL & CLEANING EQUIP	25,404	25,404
11	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M	54,570	60,170
--	Airfield Mobility and Logistics Enhancement	--	+5,600
13	COMSEC EQUIPMENT	216,381	180,381
--	Unjustified Growth	--	-36,000
14	MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC)	1,582	0
--	Undefined Requirement	--	-1,582
15	INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT	2,634	2,634
16	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIP	30,685	35,085
--	Eagle Vision for the Hawaii Air National Guard	--	+4,400
17	TRAFFIC CONTROL/LANDING	6,517	6,517
18	NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM	112,056	88,940
--	Site Activation Ahead of Need	--	-23,116
19	THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO	55,326	55,326
20	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST	21,018	18,045
--	OS-21 Contract Delays	--	-2,973
21	STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL	28,164	28,164
22	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX	18,416	15,716
--	Contract Delays	--	-2,700
23	TAC SIGINT SPT	377	377
25	GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	74,285	74,285
26	AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYSTEM	9,210	9,210
27	MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL	8,688	7,388
--	Contract Delays	--	-1,300
28	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM	99,281	99,281

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
29	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	29,637	65,077
--	Joint Threat Emitter	--	+800
--	Air National Guard Joint Threat Emitter	--	+4,800
--	Joint Threat Emitter for Mountain Home AFB	--	+1,360
--	Red Integrated Air Defense System-Phase IVB	--	+4,000
--	Test/Training Communication Instrumentation Upgrade	--	+4,480
--	Training Range Enhancements	--	+20,000
30	C3 COUNTERMEASURES	11,112	11,112
31	GCSS-AF FOS	53,349	31,335
--	ECSS Ahead of Need	--	-20,914
--	CMOS Excess to Need	--	-1,100
32	THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYS	20,525	20,525
33	AIR OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)	58,284	38,534
--	Technical Refresh Unjustified Growth	--	-15,000
--	Recurring Events Unjustified Growth	--	-4,750
34	INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS	101,993	56,993
--	Unjustified Growth	--	-45,000
35	BASE INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE	193,830	113,830
--	Unjustified Growth	--	-80,000
36	AFNET	151,643	91,643
--	Unjustified Growth	--	-60,000
37	VOICE SYSTEMS	25,399	15,399
--	Unjustified Growth	--	-10,000
38	USCENTCOM	36,020	36,020
39	SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PROG SPACE	24,804	24,804
40	NAVSTAR GPS SPACE	5,279	5,279
41	NUDET DETECTION SYS (NDS) SPACE	5,926	5,926
42	AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE	60,383	60,383
43	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE	91,004	91,004
44	MILSATCOM SPACE	221,545	190,717
--	FAB-T Early to Need	--	-7,538
--	AFWET Modernization Enterprise Terminal Ahead of Need	--	-23,290
45	SPACE MODS SPACE	18,384	18,384
46	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM	18,801	18,801
47	TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT	268,140	242,995
--	JTC Training and Rehearsal System Ahead of Need	--	-25,145
48	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATER	34,925	34,925
49	RADIO EQUIPMENT	14,541	7,041
--	Contract Delays	--	-7,500
50	CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT	11,613	11,613
51	BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE	108,308	110,708
--	Emergency Communications Network	--	+2,400
52	COMM ELECT MODS	74,356	68,538
--	ILS Ahead of Need	--	-2,300
--	BMEWS Ahead of Need	--	-2,000
--	OS-21 Contract Delays	--	-1,518
53	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES	20,873	14,573
--	Night Vision Cueing and Display Contract Delays	--	-6,300
54	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 (SAFETY)	14,292	16,692
--	NBC Version of Advanced Mission Extender Device	--	+800
--	Guardian Angel Integrated Oxygen System	--	+1,600
55	MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING	12,853	12,853
56	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT	4,788	4,788
57	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS	28,390	27,190
--	Rapid Airfield Damage Assessment System Ahead of Need	--	-1,200
58	PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT	1,879	1,879
59	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT	38,558	38,558
60	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (BASE SUPPORT)	4,989	4,989
62	DARP RC135	23,296	23,296
63	DISTRIBUTED GROUND SYSTEMS	271,015	264,015
--	Program Reduction	--	-7,000
65	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM	489,680	439,680
--	Classified Adjustment	--	-50,000
66	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM	32,668	32,668
XX	PROCUREMENT INNOVATION	--	15,000
--	Procurement Innovation	--	+15,000
70	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	19,046	19,046
--	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	14,258,508	14,396,445
--	Classified Adjustment	--	+137,937
--	--	--	--
--	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	17,845,380	17,598,331
--	--	--	--

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE			
--	--	--	--
1	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, BTA	4,000	4,000
2	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCCA, ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M	1,477	1,477
3	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA	2,052	2,052
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA, PERSONNEL		
4	ADMINISTRATION	32,263	32,263
17	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY	14,625	14,625
18	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS	5,275	5,275
19	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	2,803	2,803
20	TELEPORT PROGRAM	78,227	78,227
21	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M	153,288	153,288
22	NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)	4,391	4,391
23	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS NETWORK	86,206	86,206
24	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE	1,710	1,710
27	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	22,493	22,493
28	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA	4,846	4,846
29	COST	10,478	10,478
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA,		
30	AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS	1,451	1,451
31	VEHICLES	50	50
32	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT	12,007	12,007
34	TERMINAL HIGH ALTITUDE AREA DEFENSE FIELDING	858,870	586,870
	Production Delay Due to Investigation of Failed Safety		
--	Component	--	-272,000
35	AEGIS FIELDING	94,080	94,080
35A	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS	0	205,000
--	Iron Dome Program	--	+205,000
45	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)	2,546	2,546
50	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD	124,050	126,450
	Northeastern University Autonomous Biomimetic Robotic		
--	System	--	+2,400
51	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, INTELLIGENCE	20,138	20,138
53	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS	11,526	11,526
54	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS	27,179	27,179
55	SOF ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT	79,840	79,840
55A	MH-47G	0	100,449
--	SOCOM Requested Transfer from P,DW line 56	--	+100,449
56	MH-47 SERVICE LIFE EXTENSION PROGRAM	107,934	7,485
--	SOCOM Requested Transfer to P,DW line 55A	--	-100,449
57	MH-60 SOF MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	179,375	137,875
--	SOCOM Requested Transfer to RDTE,DW line 268	--	-25,100
--	Quantity Reduction Due to Program Delay	--	-16,400
58	NON-STANDARD AVIATION	179,949	58,681
--	Medium NSAV -- Transfer to Title IX	--	-121,268
60	SOF TANKER RECAPITALIZATION	19,996	4,996
--	Contract Delays	--	-15,000
61	SOF U-28	404	404
62	RQ-11 UAV	2,090	2,090
63	CV-22 SOF MODIFICATION	124,035	124,035
64	MQ-1 UAV	1,948	1,948
65	MQ-9 UAV	1,965	1,965
66	STUASLO	12,148	12,148
67	C-130 MODIFICATIONS	22,500	9,261
--	Low Cost Modifications, Execution	--	-7,039
--	Aircrew Situational Awareness System	--	-6,200
68	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	489	489
69X	PROCUREMENT INNOVATION	0	15,000
--	Procurement Innovation	--	+15,000
70	MK VIII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY VEH	823	823
71	SOF ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT	79,608	79,608
72	SOF ORDNANCE ACQUISITION	24,215	24,215
73	COMM EQUIPMENT & ELECTRONICS	58,390	44,390
--	SOF Deployable Node Delays Due to Protests	--	-14,000
74	SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS	75,892	81,092
--	Program Increase, Unfunded Requirement	--	+5,200
75	SMALL ARMS & WEAPONS	30,094	31,694
--	Special Operations Combat Assault Rifle	--	+1,600
76	DCGS-SOF	5,225	5,225
77	MARITIME EQUIPMENT MODS	206	206
79	SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS	11,706	12,306
--	Special Operations Craft - Riverine	--	+4,000

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
--	Unvalidated Requirement, Large SFA Craft	--	-3,400
80	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	977	977
81	TACTICAL VEHICLES	30,965	33,365
--	Program Increase - AFSOC Unfunded Requirement	--	+2,400
82	MISSION TRAINING AND PREPARATIONS SYSTEMS	28,354	18,354
--	MH-60M Simulator Modernization Program	--	-10,000
83	COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS	20,000	20,000
84	MILCON COLLATERAL EQUIPMENT	102,556	102,556
88	SOF AUTOMATION SYSTEMS	52,353	52,353
89	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	9,714	9,714
90	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	30,900	30,900
91	SOF SOLDIER PROTECTION AND SURVIVAL SYSTEMS	221	5,661
--	Program Increase - Unfunded Requirement	--	+5,440
92	SOF VISUAL AUGMENTATION, LASERS AND SENSOR SYSTEM	18,626	28,946
--	Clip-On Thermal Imager	--	+3,920
--	Coded Small Lightweight Laser Marker	--	+2,400
--	SOVAS Hand Held Imagers - Long Range	--	+4,000
93	SOF TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEMS	35,234	41,154
--	Program Increase, Unfunded Requirement	--	+2,320
--	AN/PRC-148 Radio for Naval Special Warfare	--	+3,600
94	SOF MARITIME EQUIPMENT	804	804
96	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT	7,774	7,774
97	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	269,182	263,182
--	Program Increase, HSAC Unfunded Requirement	--	+4,000
--	Program Adjustment	--	-10,000
98	PSYOP EQUIPMENT	25,266	25,266
99	INSTALLATION FORCE PROTECTION	90,635	90,635
100	INDIVIDUAL PROTECTION	74,686	74,686
101	DECONTAMINATION	21,570	26,170
--	Reactive Skin Decontamination Lotion	--	4,600
102	JOINT BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	19,389	10,389
--	Reduction for Anthrax Vaccine Purchased by HHS	--	-9,000
103	COLLECTIVE PROTECTION	27,542	33,942
--	Chemical Biological Protective Shelters for the Illinois National Guard	--	+6,400
104	CONTAMINATION AVOIDANCE	136,114	136,114
--	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	682,643	681,643
--	Classified Adjustment	--	-1,000
--	--	--	--
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE		4,280,368	4,042,241
DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT			
--	--	--	--
--	GALLIUM NITRIDE X-BAND MONOLITHIC MICROWAVE	--	--
--	INTEGRATED CIRCUITS	2,000	2,000
--	GALLIUM NITRIDE RADAR AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE	--	--
--	MONOLITHIC MICROWAVE INTEGRATED CIRCUITS	8,579	8,579
--	GALLIUM NITRIDE ADVANCED ELECTRONIC WARFARE	--	--
--	MONOLITHIC MICROWAVE INTEGRATED CIRCUITS	2,000	2,000
--	BERYLLIUM SUPPLY INDUSTRIAL BASE	6,897	6,897
--	SPACE	770	770
--	NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE INDUSTRIAL AND SUPPLY	--	--
--	BASE RISK MITIGATION PROGRAM	8,500	10,900
--	Program Increase	--	+2,400
--	ALTERNATIVE ENERGY FROM ORGANIC SOURCES	--	3,200
--	ADVANCED CARBON NANOTUBE VOLUME	--	--
--	PRODUCTION FACILITY	--	3,120
--	ADVANCED COMPOSITE RADOME	--	3,200
--	ADVANCED TACTICAL FUELS MANDAN BIOMASS	--	--
--	REFINERY	--	6,400
--	AUTOMATED COMPOSITE TECHNOLOGIES AND	--	--
--	MANUFACTURING CENTER	--	4,000
--	CONFORMAL COATED CHIP CAPACITOR	--	1,280
--	DOMESTIC PRODUCTION SOURCE FOR GUN HARD	--	--
--	INERTIAL MEASUREMENT UNIT	--	2,400
--	EXTREMELY LARGE DOMESTIC EXPENDABLE AND	--	--
--	REUSABLE STRUCTURES MANUFACTURING CENTER	--	4,000
--	HIGH RATE POLYIMIDE COMPOSITES FOR MILITARY	--	--
--	AIRCRAFT	--	1,600
--	HOSTILE FIRE INDICATOR SYSTEM	--	2,400
--	HYBRID PLASTICS AND POSS NANOTECHNOLOGY	--	--
--	ENGINEERING SCALE-UP INITIATIVE	--	960

P-1	--	Budget Request	Recommendation
--	MULTI-MODE CWDM OPTICAL COMPONENT		
--	PRODUCTION CAPABILITY FOR SPACE AND AVIONICS	--	2,400
--	NAVY PRODUCTION CAPACITY IMPROVEMENT		
--	PROJECT AT LEHIGH HEAVY FORGE	--	1,600
--	PRESERVATION OF DOMESTIC COPPER NICKEL		
--	TUBING MANUFACTURING	--	4,800
--	TERAHERTZ SPECTROMETER	--	2,400
--	--	--	--
--	TOTAL, DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT	28,746	74,906
--			
--	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT	111,189,951	102,728,569

ACQUISITION CATEGORIES

Category I (ACAT I) Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAPs) are the high-cost and special interest programs for which the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics) (USD (AT&L)) retains decision authority and conducts more extensive senior-level management reviews. Programs are identified as ACAT I based on their estimated total costs in constant fiscal year 2000 dollars or as designated by the USD (AT&L). Programs costing more than \$365,000,000 in research, development, test and evaluation or more than \$2,190,000,000 in procurement are automatically considered ACAT I. However, a number of expensive and critical programs, such as the F-22 Raptor and C-17 Globemaster, are falling outside the ACAT I process despite their very high modernization costs. The current criteria for senior level review fail to capture some programs most deserving of that review.

The USD (AT&L) is directed to review the standards used to identify the various acquisition categories and provide a report detailing the steps taken to ensure that programs such as the F-22 and C-17 receive the oversight required of large programs. The report is due to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act.

M4 CARBINE

Industry offers a wide array of commercially available upgrades to improve the reliability, lethality and accuracy of the M4 carbine. However, Army efforts to procure upgrades have not drawn fully on industry's capabilities. Therefore, the Secretary of the Army is directed to ensure that full and open competition maximizing the participation of industry is used in finding vendors for M4 carbine upgrades.

HIGH MOBILITY MULTI-PURPOSE WHEELED VEHICLE

Fiscal year 2011 funding of \$989,067,000, the President's request, is recommended for High Mobility Multi-Purpose Wheeled Vehicles (HMMWV), with all funding intended for recapitalization of old HMMWVs and no funding for procurement of new HMMWVs. The Army has been slow to execute fiscal year 2010 funds that were appropriated by Congress as requested by the Army for the procurement of new HMMWVs. The Secretary of the Army is directed to act promptly to obligate the funds appropriated for the procurement of new production HMMWVs in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2010.

BRADLEY FIGHTING VEHICLE

The Congress has been a strong supporter of the Bradley program. It is noted that not all Army National Guard Bradley Fighting Vehicles have been upgraded to a minimum of the Operation Desert Storm Situational Awareness configuration. The Army is expected to use prior year funds to upgrade remaining M2/M3 variants in the Army National Guard.

HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR

In 2007, the Army successfully fielded a high speed, armored, self-deployable excavation system with attachments to execute a wide range of mobility, counter-mobility, survivability, and general engineering missions. It also satisfies specific transportability requirements allowing it to be used by airborne, air assault and light units. Since its fielding, the Army has employed this excavator with great success, especially with regard to route and mine clearing as it provides vastly improved force protection and soldier survivability for engineers performing mine and improvised explosive device clearing missions. The Marine Corps is reviewing the performance of this excavator and is considering adding it to their requirements documents. The Corps is encouraged to procure this machine and to include funding in future Marine Corps budget requests.

EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PRICING

The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Director of the National Reconnaissance Office, is directed to analyze the pricing strategies for Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle (EELV) Launch Services (ELS) that will support both United Launch Alliance and Tier 2 and 3 supplier stability and encourage broader demand for ELS capabilities. This analysis should stress recovery of the marginal costs of the booster alone rather than the fully allocated costs of the EELV Launch Capability contract. The results of this analysis shall be reported to the congressional defense and intelligence committees not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND UNFUNDED REQUIREMENTS

The recommendation includes additional funding to address critical capability shortfalls in procurement and research and development for which a requirement has been identified by Special Operations Command (SOCOM) and funding was not included in the fiscal year 2011 budget submission. These program increases are provided in several

lines in "Procurement, Defense-Wide", and "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide". This funding should be executed in support of the prioritized unfunded requirements SOCOM has identified and/or submitted to the congressional defense committees. In this regard, funding is provided for the tactical vehicle unfunded requirement identified by Air Force Special Operations Command (AFSOC).

PRINTED CIRCUIT BOARD INDUSTRIAL BASE

The shift in the Department towards commercial off-the-shelf electronics has caused an increased dependence on off-shore circuit boards and a decline in the Nation's printed circuit board industrial base, possibly compromising our Nation's security. Therefore, the Secretary of Defense is directed to conduct an assessment of the domestic circuit board industry and its associated supply chain. This assessment should include a survey of the current capability and capacity of, along with the associated risks to, the domestic printed circuit board industrial base, a forecast of the long term maintenance requirements of the industrial base and an evaluation of the supply base required to ensure that current and future national security requirements can be satisfied domestically. The results of this assessment shall be reported to the congressional defense committees not later than six months after enactment of this Act.

C-17A GLOBEMASTER III

No funds are provided in the bill to acquire additional C-17 airlifter aircraft. Funding provided for C-17 Post Production Support is to protect needed production capabilities, assets, systems and processes for the continued life cycle support of the C-17. The C-17 is not to be used as a source for reprogrammings. The Department is directed to retain appropriated funds in the program.

JOINT SURVEILLANCE TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JSTARS) RE-ENGINEING

While no funds are provided specifically for the re-engineing effort in the fiscal year 2011 bill, there is substantial unobligated funding available from prior fiscal year appropriations within the JSTARS program. The Air Force may, as needed, use those funds to procure engine ship-sets.

TITLE IV—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

For Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, funds are to be available for fiscal year 2011, as follows:

[in thousands of dollars]:

R-1		Budget Request	Recommendation
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY			
1	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	21,780	21,780
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	195,845	223,445
	Michigan State University Center for Advanced Energy		
	Storage Research and Technology	---	+2,000
	Fuel Cell Hybrid Diesel Engine Project	---	+1,040
	Ultracold Matter Systems Engineering Initiative at the		
	University of Colorado	---	+2,000
	Integrated Electronic Product Design	---	+2,400
	Global Military Operating Environments	---	+2,000
	Terahertz-Frequency Materials and Devices		
	Research Center	---	+1,920
	Combat Mental Health Initiative	---	+2,400
	Bioactive Polymers and Coating Systems for Protection		
	against Bio-threats	---	+4,000
	High Frequency Devices and Circuits for Nanotubes and		
	Nanowires	---	+2,400
	Integrated Flexible Electronics	---	+1,600
	Protection using Ballistic Core Technology	---	+2,800
	Revolutionary Biomedical Engineering for Surgery and	---	+1,840
	Vision Integrating Strategies in Ophthalmology and		
	Neurochemistry	---	+1,200
3	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	91,161	121,206
	Social Work Center for Soldiers and Military Families	---	+765
	Portable High Performance Sensor Technologies (Columbia		
	College Chicago Construct TrustTable)	---	+4,000
	Carbon Nanotechnology	---	+2,000
	Research and Development Initiative - Capacity Building for		
	Stronger National Defense with Greater Diversity	---	+3,600
	Mobile Nanotechnology-Based Sensor Network System for		
	Ground Vehicles	---	+880
	Multi-Disciplinary Center for Diagnosis and Treatment of		
	Breast Cancer	---	+3,200
	Open Source Intelligence for Force Protection and		
	Intelligence Analysis	---	+2,080
	High Fidelity Medical Simulation to Optimize Healthcare		
	Delivery and Teamwork for Military Use	---	+1,600
	Cooperative Developmental Energy Program at Fort Valley		
	State University	---	+1,600
	V72: Transfer to D55	---	-3,300
	D55: Transfer from V72	---	+3,300
	V72: Non-Department of Defense funding	---	-3,600
	Advanced Materials Design and Processing Development	---	+1,600
	Burn and Shock Trauma Institute	---	+1,920
	High Tech Brain Interface Research	---	+1,200
	National Security Human Rights Strategy	---	+7,600
	MRAP Armored Vehicle Precision Engineering and		
	Manufacturing Advancement	---	+1,600
4	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS	98,087	134,089
	Manufacturing and Industrial Training Center	---	+400
	Intelligent Network-Centric Sensor Development		
	Program	---	+2,400
	Appalachian State University/North Carolina		
	Research Campus Human Performance Lab	---	+762
	Development of Enabling Chemical Technologies for Power		
	from Green Sources	---	+2,000
	Center for Hetero-Functional Materials	---	+1,600
	Self-Righting All Sensor Suite	---	+2,080
	Laser Sinterstation for Rapid Engineering, Development		
	and Production of Aerospace and On-Demand Special		
	Forces Products	---	+920
	DoD Diabetes Research and Development Initiative	---	+4,000
	Anode Materials for Improved Lithium Ion Battery		
	Performance	---	+1,600
	Biomarkers of Exposure to Toxic Industrial Chemicals	---	+2,000
	Electrolyte Additives for Improved Lithium Ion Battery		
	Performance	---	+1,440
	Materials Processing and Applications Development Center	---	+3,200
	Micro-electro-mechanical Systems (MEMS) Antenna for		
	Wireless Communications	---	+2,400
	Nanoscale Solar Powered Bio-Sensors	---	+2,400
	National Information Assurance Center	---	+6,000
	Partnership for National Security	---	+2,800
5	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY	29,882	89,882
	Development of JP-8 Fuel from Domestic Coal	---	+2,400
	Large-Scale Manufacturing of Revolutionary Nanostructured		
	Materials	---	+1,600

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	Advanced Nanocomposite Integrated Survivability and Force Protection Systems	---	+2,800
	Advanced Materials and Manufacturing Solutions to Complex Problems	---	+3,600
	Advanced Ceramic Material for Lightweight ESAPI Body Armor	---	+1,600
	Nano-Bio-Hybrid Solar and Light Scavenging	---	+1,600
	Nanomanufacturing of Integrated Smart Materials Systems	---	+3,200
	Additive Manufacturing Repair Center	---	+3,200
	Advanced Ballistic Testing of Lithia Alumina Silicate and Disilicate Glass Ceramic Armor	---	+3,200
	Affordable Light-Weight Metal Matrix Composite	---	+2,800
	Ballistic Armor Research	---	+3,200
	Composite Applied Research and Technology for Tactical Vehicle Security	---	+2,400
	Implementation of Aging and Battle Damaged Weapon Systems Repair Processes	---	+1,200
	Lighter Body Armor Inserts for U.S. Soldiers	---	+2,000
	Magnesium Armor Manufacturing for Ground Vehicles	---	+1,600
	Materials Technology for LED Lighting Applications	---	+2,400
	Multifunctional Technologies Alliance	---	+4,800
	Next Generation Aluminum Armor Plate Alloy	---	+1,200
	Next Generation High Strength Glass Fibers for Ballistic Armor Applications	---	+2,000
	Next Generation Lightweight Drive Systems for Army Weapon Systems	---	+1,600
	Next Generation Materials Corrosion Inhibitor	---	+2,000
	Next Generation Protective Seat	---	+4,000
	Renewable Jet Fuel from Lignocellulosic Feedstocks	---	+1,600
	Surface Preservation/Enhancement Repair Research	---	+4,000
6	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY	48,929	58,129
	Hydrogen Batteries for the Warfighter	---	+1,200
	Advanced Communications for Mobile Networks	---	+3,200
	Miniature Universal Electro-optic Soldier Sensor Platform	---	---
	IED Detection	---	+2,800
	Nanophotonic Devices	---	+2,000
7	TRACTOR HIP	14,624	14,624
8	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY	43,476	49,396
	Advanced Prognostic Capabilities System for Unmanned Aerial Systems	---	+3,520
	CH-47 Vibration Control System	---	+2,400
9	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	17,330	20,930
	Matchbox/MX Server	---	+3,600
10	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY	49,525	51,525
	Swarms Defense System	---	+2,000
11	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	18,190	18,190
12	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION	20,582	30,822
	Cognitive Map-Based Modeling and Simulation for Tactical Decision Support	---	+2,000
	Compact Optical Biothreat Rapid Analyzer for Military Applications	---	+5,040
	LVC - Advanced Live, Virtual and Constructive Training Systems	---	+3,200
13	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY	64,740	93,140
	University of Detroit, Mercy, Advanced Robotics Research and Education	---	+400
	Enhanced Visual Fidelity Simulation	---	+2,000
	Multi-Functional Armor Development for Integrated Survivability Suits in Light Tactical Vehicles	---	+1,600
	Humanoid Robotics for Enhanced Mobility, Agility and Access in Complex Urban Environments	---	+1,600
	ONAMI Mobile Military Energy Initiative	---	+2,000
	Advanced Materials Research for Alternative Energy and Transportation	---	+800
	Defense Advanced Transportation Technology Program, Hybrid Truck Users Forum	---	+2,400
	Hybrid Electric Drive Vehicle Reliability Research	---	+2,400
	Manufacturing Process Improvements for Powertrain Components	---	+3,200
	Simulation Based Reliability and Safety (SimBRS) DoD Program	---	+4,000
	Unmanned Ground Vehicle Initiative	---	+8,000
14	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY	60,342	69,342
	Forensic Science Center of Excellence Focusing on National Security	---	+1,600
	Spider Mortar Base Plate	---	+800
	Enabling Optimization of Reactive Armor	---	+5,000
	Eye-safe Standoff Fusion Detection of CBE Threats	---	+1,600

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY		
15	TECHNOLOGY	5,324	16,124
	Developing Molecular Sensors to Detect Pathogens and Toxins	---	+2,800
	Emerging Chemical Agent Threat	---	+5,600
	Missouri Multi-Threat Detection Initiative	---	+2,400
16	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	7,893	7,893
17	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	42,645	106,285
	Applied Communications and Information Networking	---	+3,800
	Accelerated Materials Development and Characterization for Army Cannon Systems	---	+2,400
	Emerging Technologies in Advanced Materials	---	+4,000
	Energy Efficient Electronic Systems	---	+4,800
	Dauphin/Perry Regional Integrated Command Operations Program	---	+1,600
	Novel Advanced Projectile Technologies	---	+2,400
	Submerged Munitions Research Program	---	+2,400
	Unmanned Hybrid Projectile Project	---	+3,200
	Threat Detection and Neutralization	---	+3,200
	Scalable Smart Reserve Cell Technology	---	+2,000
	Southern West Virginia Regional Integrated Command Operations Program	---	+1,440
	Northern West Virginia Regional Integrated Command Operations Program	---	+1,200
	Demilitarization Technology Research and Development Initiative	---	+4,400
	Advanced Lightweight Materials for Enhanced Lethality and Survivability of Warfighter	---	+1,200
	Advanced Materials and Process for Armament Structures	---	+4,000
	Laser Guided Energy	---	+4,400
	NanoTechnology Enterprise Consortium	---	+4,000
	Powder Injection Molding for Advanced Munitions Applications	---	+1,600
	Riptide Unmanned Ground Vehicle	---	+2,000
	Weaponization	---	+800
	Self Inerting Munitions	---	+4,000
	Static Detonation Chamber	---	+4,000
	Titanium Extraction, Mining and Process Engineering Research	---	+4,800
18	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES	60,859	123,629
	Advanced Portable Power Institute	---	+440
	New Paltz Power Device Testing Program	---	+4,000
	Clarkson University Solar Thermal Technology Project	---	+800
	Mission Based Field Experimentation	---	+2,400
	Multi-Campus Base Facility Energy Independence Integration	---	+4,000
	Camp Perry Army National Guard, Base Facility Independence	---	+2,750
	Unmanned System Algorithm Development	---	+3,200
	Fuel-Efficient Tactically-Agile Mobile-Electric Power System	---	+3,200
	McConnellsville Readiness Center Renewable Energy Demonstration Project	---	+3,200
	Integrated Smart-device Test-wafer Platform	---	+800
	Advanced Power Source for Future Soldiers	---	+1,500
	2.0kW Stirling Tactical Cogeneration System	---	+2,800
	Advanced High Energy Density Battery Chemistry for Portable Power	---	+2,640
	Advanced Wearable Power Systems	---	+2,400
	Army Tactical Asset Visibility	---	+1,200
	DC Air Conditioner Suitable for PV Solar Air Conditioning Using Novel VSD Technology and Commercial AC Compressors	---	+2,800
	Extreme Temperature, Lightweight, Lithium-Iron Disulfide Batteries	---	+800
	High-Frequency, High-Power Electronic and Optoelectric Devices on Aluminum Nitride	---	+5,200
	Large Format Lithium Ion Battery	---	+4,000
	Logistics Fuel Processing Advancement	---	+2,000
	Low Cost Automated Production of Superior High Capacity, High Rate, Rechargeable Lithium-Ion Batteries	---	+2,400
	Research Program in Plastic Materials for Portable Soldier Power and Electronics	---	+4,000
	Silicon Carbide Devices for Power Systems	---	+1,600

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	Stabilized Enzyme Biofuel Cell for Unmanned Ground Sensors	---	+1,600
	Ultra High Efficiency Heat Pump for Cooling and Heating Using In-Rush Control via Variable Speed Operation and Pulsing Refrigerant Flow Control	---	+3,040
19	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY	40,228	45,508
	Standoff Improvised Explosive Detection Program at Florida A&M University	---	+2,800
	National Center for Robotics Engineering and Engineering Technology	---	+1,280
	Laser Detection of Radionuclides for Nuclear Facilities	---	+1,200
20	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS	19,118	28,318
	Sensors for Terrorist IED Network Defeat only at the University of Rhode Island	---	+3,600
	Microcantilever MEMS Microsensors for Protection of the Warfighter	---	+3,200
	Pacific Island UXO Detection and Munition Study	---	+2,400
21	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	21,042	21,042
22	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	18,364	23,964
	Research, Development and Engineering Command	---	+4,000
	Responsible Environmental Nanotechnologies	---	+1,600
23	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	25,573	25,573
24	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY	6,768	8,768
	University of Maryland Center for Advanced Cyber Security	---	---
	Research and Technology Development	---	+2,000
25	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	79,189	79,304
	Geosciences/Atmospheric Research	---	+2,400
	Joint Integrated Base Defense Program Office transfer to line 60 at request of the Army	---	-4,005
	Cellulose Nanocomposites Panels for Forward Operating Base Infrastructure	---	+1,720
26	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY	22,198	22,198
27	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY	27,746	38,146
	Combat Ration Supplements for Improved Physical and Cognitive Performance Using Nanodelivery Systems to Improve Bioavailability	---	+1,600
	Cognitive Performance of Dismounted Combatants/Homeland Defenders	---	+1,600
	Adjustable and Adaptive Insulation for Small Tents and Sleeping Bags	---	+800
	Biosecurity Research for Soldier Food Safety	---	+2,400
	Improved Thermal Resistant Nylon for Enhanced Durability and Thermal Protection in Combat Uniforms	---	+2,400
	Laser Studied and Enhanced Reactive Materials: Self-Decontaminating Polymers for Chemical-Biological Defense	---	+1,600
28	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	96,797	214,343
	Center for Vaccine Scale-Up/Process Research	---	+1,360
	Development of Drugs for Malaria and Leishmaniasis	---	+3,400
	New Technologies for Infectious Disease Pathogens	---	+1,200
	Combat Stress Intervention Program	---	+1,200
	Bone-Integrated Prosthetics for Combat-Injured Soldiers	---	+1,600
	North American Clinical Trials Network	---	+800
	Infectious Diseases Research	---	+1,280
	Patient Safety Software Application	---	+1,600
	National Malaria Diagnostic Reference Lab	---	+2,000
	Neuromechanics Research for Spinal Cord Injury	---	+560
	Non-Thermal Plasma Wound Treatment	---	+1,000
	Cancer Vaccine and Immunotherapy Project	---	+3,600
	Ohio State University - Neurotrauma Battlefield Wound Healing	---	+2,320
	National Optimum Healing Environments Evaluation	---	+1,600
	New York Medical College Bioterrorism Training	---	+1,040
	Drug and Vaccine Testing for Force Protection	---	+1,600
	Womens Cancer Genomics Center	---	+3,200
	Seattle University College of Nursing	---	+2,400
	Pacific Rim Blood Alliance Perfect Match Program	---	+4,000
	Center for Neuroprosthetics and BioMEMS	---	+2,800
	Center for Regenerative Biology and Medicine	---	+2,000
	Identification of the Immune Tolerance to RhD	---	+960
	Healing our Troops - Trauma Recovery and Suicide Prevention Program	---	+1,200
	Evaluation of Integrative Approaches to Resilience	---	+2,800
	Neuro-Performance Research	---	+2,400
	Improving Soldier Recovery from Catastrophic Bone Injuries	---	+2,560

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	Blood Loss Therapy for Critically Wounded		
	Warfighters	---	+2,080
	Human Performance, Movement and Analyses Lab		
	Equipment	---	+680
	Traumatic Brain Injury and Long-Term Health Effects in the		
	Military	---	+2,400
	Young Adult Oncology Program	---	+800
	Inner Ear Research to Improve Hearing Capabilities of		
	Combat Veterans	---	+800
	Tracking the Health of Soldiers with Advanced Implantable		
	Nano-Sensors	---	+2,000
	Cyclotron-Based Radiotracer Technology for Advanced		
	High Performance Molecular Imaging of Injuries and		
	Repairs	---	+4,000
	Technologies for Rapid Response in Interventional		
	Medicine	---	+800
	Multi-Functional Bone Cements	---	+1,200
	Heat Illness Research at West Chester University's HEAT		
	Institute to Ensure the Safety of Warfighters	---	+300
	Cell Based Therapeutic Products for Burns	---	+3,200
	Center for Advanced Emergency Response Training System	---	+3,440
	Center for Respiratory Biodefense	---	+2,400
	Clinical Development of a Norovirus Gastroenteritis Vaccine	---	+4,000
	Complementary and Alternative Medicine Research for		
	Military Operations	---	+5,200
	Emerging Infectious Diseases and Links to Desert		
	Environments	---	+1,600
	FASTinfo: Patient Status Monitor	---	+800
	Neurotrauma	---	+4,480
	Locally-Delivered Treatments for Noise Induced Hearing		
	Loss and Tinnitus	---	+1,600
	Long Term Pain and Infection Management for Combat		
	Casualty Care	---	+2,200
	New Vaccines for Central Nervous System Disorders	---	+1,600
	Optical Neural Techniques for Combat and Post-Trauma		
	Healthcare	---	+2,800
	Oxygen Delivery Patch	---	+1,200
	Protein Hydrogel for Surgical Repair of Battlefield Injuries	---	+1,440
	Regenerative Sciences Initiative	---	+2,880
	Regenerative Therapeutics for Combat Wound Healing	---	+3,200
	Regenerative Wound Repair Technology	---	+1,600
	Scleral Healing and Bone Repair with Sphere-templated		
	(6S) Polymers	---	+1,200
	Spray Dried Plasma	---	+1,600
	The Operating Room of the Future	---	+1,600
	Tissue Regeneration Following Injury	---	+766
	Translational Research in Motor Performance	---	+1,600
	Treatment of Osteoporosis and Bone Fractures	---	+1,600
29	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	37,364	47,204
	Precision Airdrop Accuracy Enhancement	---	+1,600
	Advanced Packaging Materials for Combat Rations	---	+800
	Durability Study on Flame Resistant Garments	---	+1,200
	Flame and Thermal Protection for the Individual Soldier	---	+1,840
	Forward Osmosis Water Purification	---	+800
	Fully-Integrated Head Protection System	---	+2,000
	Sustainable High Performance Rigid Containers for Military	---	+1,600
30	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	71,510	289,070
	Peer-Reviewed Neurotoxin Exposure Treatment Parkinsons		
	Research Program	---	+20,000
	Neurofibromatosis Research	---	+16,000
	In-Home Tele-Behavioral Health Care Study	---	+2,000
	Development of a Novel Therapy for Traumatic Injury and		
	Uncontrolled Hemorrhage	---	+1,120
	Neuroprosthetic Solutions for Restoring Sensorimotor		
	Function	---	+1,360
	Tele-Health Outreach for Psychological Services	---	+1,440
	Iowa Simulation Center for Patient Safety and Clinics Skills		
	Enhanced Training of Iowa National Guard and Army	---	+880
	Operation Re-Entry North Carolina	---	+2,240
	Ophthalmic Robotic Surgery	---	+2,960
	ALS Therapy Development Institute Gulf War Research		
	Project	---	+3,840
	Regenerative Medicine and Advanced Engineering	---	+1,200
	Center for Integration of Medicine and Innovative	---	+6,000
	National Functional Genomics Center	---	+1,600
	Shock Trauma Research Center - Effects of		
	Shock on Cells	---	+1,200
	Inova Institute for Personalized Medicine and Translational		
	Research	---	+8,000
	Nursing Clinical Simulation Center	---	+2,000
	Brain Safety Net	---	+1,600

R-1	Budget Request	Recommendation
Center for Genetic Origins of Cancer	---	+2,000
Bioinformatics Center at the University of Pittsburgh	---	+1,600
Airlift Research Foundation	---	+1,600
Montefiore Clinical Looking Glass	---	+1,200
Northern Illinois Proton Treatment and Research Center	---	+2,400
Hadron Particle Therapy	---	+1,600
Soldier Safe Head and Neck Injury Protection	---	+1,600
Nicholson Center for Surgical Advancement Medical Robotics and Simulation Research Project	---	+4,000
Telemedicine for Trauma Battlefield, Disaster and Large Scale Emergencies	---	+2,400
Advanced Restoration Therapies for Spinal Cord Injuries	---	+800
Henry Ford Health System Physician Executable Guidelines Systems	---	+1,600
Fighting Combat-Related Fatigue Syndrome	---	+1,600
Linear Accelerator Cancer Research Project	---	+2,000
Maine Institute for Human Genetics and Health	---	+1,600
Impact of the Battlefield Environment on Soldiers' and Veterans' Health	---	+800
DoD Health IT - Exchange of Diagnostic Images	---	+2,400
Parsons Institute for Information Mapping for Defense Health Program	---	+4,000
Cancer Initiative for Research at the Dean and Betty Gallo Prostate Cancer Center	---	+1,600
Human Genomics, Molecular Epidemiology and Clinical Diagnostics for Infectious Diseases	---	+1,600
Neuropsychiatric Trauma in U.S. Combat Troops	---	+5,000
Spinal Muscular Atrophy Research Program	---	+2,400
Enhancing Soldier Effectiveness at High Altitude	---	+2,000
Institute for the Advancement of Bloodless Medicine	---	+1,520
Medical Errors Reduction Initiative	---	+800
Mass Casualty First Responders Disaster Surge Technology Program	---	+2,400
Harbor Hospital Military Medical Simulation and Training Initiative	---	+2,000
Multi-Modal Biometric Patient Identification and Registration System	---	+1,200
Cyclotron at the UB Clinical and Translational Research Center	---	+3,680
Advanced Cancer Genome Institute	---	+960
Pediatric Center Research and Clinical Trials	---	+4,000
GLA Adjuvants for Influenza/Cancer Vaccines	---	+1,600
Bethesda Hospitals Emergency Preparedness Partnership	---	+1,600
Surgical Skills and Simulation Training Program	---	+4,000
Brain Interventional Initiative	---	+2,000
Composite Tissue Allotransplantation	---	+800
Anthrax Vaccine Research	---	+400
Techniques to Manage Hemorrhage Following Combat Injury	---	+1,200
Advanced Regenerative Medicine Therapies for Combat Injuries	---	+2,400
Advanced Ultrasound for Combat Casualty Care	---	+800
Advancing iPSC Technology for Regenerative Medicine Therapies to Treat Combat Injuries	---	+2,400
Amputee Skin Breakdown Research and Development	---	+2,400
Army Injury Prevention and Performance Enhancement Research Initiative	---	+1,600
Battlefield Telemedicine System	---	+3,200
Biosensor, Communicator and Controller System	---	+4,000
Blood Safety and Decontamination Technology	---	+2,400
Bone Fracture Dart Gun for Rapid Fixation of Battlefield Injuries	---	+1,000
Enhanced Gait and Balance Retraining for Injured Soldiers	---	+1,600
Highly Functional Neurally Controlled Skeletally Attached and Intelligent Prosthetic Devices	---	+3,200
Infection Prevention Program for Battlefield Wounds	---	+1,600
Intelligent Orthopedic Fracture Implant Program	---	+1,200
Manufacturability of Advanced Prosthetic Limbs	---	+3,200
Military Burn Trauma Research Program	---	+8,000
Military Drug Management Systems	---	+1,600
Military Nutrition Research	---	+800
National Biodefense Training Center	---	+4,000
Ocular Wound Treatment	---	+2,000
Rapid Bedside Cellular Therapy for Burn Wounds	---	+1,800
Rehabilitation Technology Transition Center	---	+2,800
Smart Prosthetic Hand Technology	---	+2,400
Smart Wound Dressing for MRSA-infected Battlefield Wounds	---	+2,800

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	Staph Vaccine	---	+4,800
	The Cooperative International Neuromuscular Research Group	---	+4,160
	Trauma Care, Research and Training	---	+2,400
	Treatment of Battlefield Spinal Cord and Burn Injuries	---	+1,600
31	AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	57,454	81,934
	Enhanced Rapid Tactical Integration for Fielding of Systems Initiative	---	+4,000
	Installation Support and Production Qualification		
	Testing of Army's First Fielding of V35/85 and Torquemeter Equipment	---	+2,000
	Parts on Demand for CONUS Operations	---	+3,200
	Self-Separation Technologies for Army Unmanned Aircraft	---	+2,000
	Technology Insertion for Army Aviation Legacy Systems	---	+2,080
	UH-60 Transmission/Gearbox Galvanic Corrosion Reduction	---	+1,600
	Universal Control Program	---	+4,000
	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Resupply (UAVR)-BURRO	---	+2,400
	Vectored Thrust Ducted Propeller Compound Helicopter		
	Flight Demonstration Program	---	+3,200
32	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	64,438	103,958
	Armament Research, Development and Engineering		
	Center/Ohio Manufacturing Extension Partnership DoC/DoD Bridge Program	---	+1,600
	SMDI- Rapid Prototyping Solutions for the Warfighter	---	+2,000
	Quad City Manufacturing Lab at Rock Island		
	Arsenal	---	+5,120
	Miniaturization of Electronic Circuitry to Reduce Electronic Susceptibilities	---	+2,400
	Next Generation Machining Technology and Equipment	---	+1,600
	National Nanotechnology Manufacturing Center	---	+1,200
	Munitions Technology Advanced Development for Pennsylvania Innovative and Advanced Technology Association	---	+1,600
	Advanced Medium Caliber Tungsten Penetrators	---	+3,200
	Advanced Reactive Hybrid Armor Material Technology	---	+2,560
	Advanced Robot and Sensor Technologies for Surveillance and Energy Efficiency Applications	---	+2,000
	Containment Vehicle for the Suppression and Transport of Munitions and IEDs	---	+1,600
	Experimental Fighting Vehicle	---	+6,000
	Lightweight Cannon Recoil Reduction	---	+1,600
	Lightweight Munitions and Surveillance System for Unmanned Air Vehicles	---	+3,840
	Non-Lethal Portable Vehicle Immobilization Device for Large Vehicles	---	+800
	Stand-off IED Detection	---	+2,400
33	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADV TECHNOLOGY	89,499	193,299
	Autonomous Connected Vehicle Proving Center	---	+1,600
	Accelerated Advanced Manufacturing Open Innovation Network for Transportation	---	+4,000
	Flammability, Smoke, Toxicity Standards for Military Ground Vehicles to Improve Health for the Troops	---	+3,840
	Model Based Enterprise Tools for Reverse Engineering	---	+3,800
	Development and Demonstration of an Expeditionary Closed Loop System to Produce JP-8 from Algae	---	+2,400
	Advanced Battery Manufacturing Research and Development	---	+800
	Smart Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicle Program	---	+2,400
	Advanced Motion Simulation System	---	+400
	Advanced Thermal Management Systems	---	+1,600
	Alternative Energy	---	+36,320
	Development of Large Scale PEM Electrolysis for Hydrogen Fueling of Ground Vehicle Fleets	---	+1,600
	Force Projection Technology Development	---	+3,200
	Future Tactical Truck Composite Shelter	---	+1,600
	Ground System Power and Mobility Enhancement	---	+8,000
	Hydraulic Hybrid Vehicles for the Tactical Wheeled Fleet	---	+2,160
	Hydrocarbon Fueled Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Manufacturability	---	+1,600
	Improved Ground System Survivability	---	+4,000
	Joint Vehicle Lifecycle Management	---	+2,400
	Lightweight Armored Hybrid Power Generating Tactical Vehicle	---	+1,600
	Military Installation Electric Vehicle Demonstration Project	---	+1,600
	Nanophosphate Battery Storage for the Smart Grid and V2G Integration	---	+1,600
	On-Board Vehicle Power Systems Development	---	+3,680
	Plug-in Hybrid Electric Cargo Vehicle	---	+3,200
	Rubber Track Conversion System for MRAP Vehicles	---	+1,600

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	Sustainable Water Production	---	+2,000
	Threat Cue Research	---	+1,600
	Vehicle Electronics and Architecture Development	---	+4,000
	Zouline Armor	---	+1,200
34	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS ADV TECH	8,102	12,102
	Multi Frequency Meshed Battle-Cloud Network	---	+4,000
35	MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADV TECH	7,921	7,921
36	ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	50,359	51,559
	Cybersecurity in Tactical Environments	---	+1,200
37	TRACTOR HIKE	8,015	8,015
38	NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS	15,334	19,894
	Center for Experiential Technologies for Urban Warfare and Disaster Response	---	+2,960
	Virtual Medical Simulation Training Center	---	+800
	Combat Medic Trainer	---	+800
39	TRACTOR ROSE	12,309	12,309
41	MILITARY HIV RESEARCH	6,688	26,688
	HIV Research	---	+20,000
42	COMBATING TERRORISM, TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	10,550	10,550
43	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	18,350	23,150
	Advanced Ground Electronic Warfare System	---	+2,400
	Advanced Ground EW and Signals Intelligence System	---	+2,400
44	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	84,553	96,973
	Captive Carry Sensor Test-Bed	---	+1,200
	Continuous Threat Alerting Sensing System	---	+1,600
	P 704 excessive growth without strategy	---	-5,500
	Cyberspace Technology Integration	---	+3,360
	Advanced Commercial Technology Insertion for Aviation and Missile Research, Development and Engineering	---	+2,480
	Army Responsive Tactical Space System Exerciser (ARTSSE)	---	+2,080
	Low-Cost Anti-Tamper Integrated Circuit	---	+3,600
	Rapid Response Hostile Fire Detection and Active Protection of Ground and Air Vehicles Sensor	---	+3,600
45	TRACTOR CAGE	9,986	9,986
46	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECH	26,953	30,953
	Force Protection Demining	---	+4,000
47	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	9,151	9,151
48	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	39,912	54,792
	Compact Airborne Multi-Mission Payload	---	+2,400
	Enhanced Situational Awareness Technology Demonstrator	---	+1,600
	Mini Long Range Scout Surveillance System	---	+2,080
	Smart Sensor Supercomputing Center	---	+7,200
	Spider Munitions System Research	---	+1,600
49	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMO	15,878	20,678
	West Point Solar Energy Security Project	---	+4,000
	Permafrost Tunnel	---	+800
50	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	27,393	44,713
	Program reduction	---	-3,000
	University Center for Disaster Preparedness and Emergency Response	---	+1,200
	Distributed Power from Wastewater	---	+1,200
	2nd Generation Fully Fungible Biofuels	---	+4,000
	Advanced Energy Storage Systems for Military Use	---	+1,600
	Advanced High Performance Coatings for DoD Infrastructure	---	+1,600
	Biomass to Liquid Fuel Using Synthetic Enzymes (Phase II)	---	+1,600
	Photovoltaic Technology Development	---	+4,000
	Production of Wood-Based Diesel and Jet Fuel at Pulp and Paper Facilities	---	+2,400
	Projectile Penetration Research	---	+1,920
	Solar-Assisted Hot Water and Space Conditioning	---	+800
	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE AND		
51	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	24,873	26,073
	Shared Vision	---	+1,200
53	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	11,455	46,155
	Vertical Integration for Missile Defense Surveillance Data	---	+3,560
	Thermal and Electrical Nanoscale Transport	---	+1,200
	Adaptive Lightweight Materials for Missile Defense	---	+4,000
	Adaptive Robotics Technology for Space, Air and Missiles	---	+4,320
	Advanced Cavitation Power Technology	---	+3,300
	Advanced Environmental Control System	---	+3,200
	Advanced Fuel Cell Research Program	---	+2,400
	Alternative Power Technology for Missile Defense	---	+1,600
	Detection Algorithms and Software for Force Protection	---	+960

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	Discriminatory Imaging and Network Advancement for Missiles, Aviation and Space	---	+5,200
	High Temperature Polymers for Missile System Applications	---	+3,200
	Real Time MS Laser Applied Research	---	+1,760
	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION (SPACE)	27,551	44,831
54	Miniaturized Satellite Technology Development and Testing	---	+1,600
	Army Responsive Tactical Space	---	+1,600
	Cyber Assurance Tool Set	---	+3,680
	High Altitude Shuttle System	---	+3,200
	Nanocomposite Enhanced Radar and Aerospace Materials	---	+1,600
	Positron Capture and Storage	---	+2,400
	Small Agile Tactical Spacecraft	---	+3,200
55	AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	0	800
	Data Analytics for Conditioned Based Maintenance of Weapon Systems	---	+800
56	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER - ADV DEV SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-	15,596	15,596
57	ADV DEV	2,425	2,425
58	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION	42,183	37,183
	AKE 120mm cartridge EMD Phase II contract award delay	---	-5,000
59	ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS)	136,302	207,702
	S-MOD milestone B delay	---	-57,000
	Stryker DVH	---	+128,400
60	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	18,556	8,239
	Joint Integrated Base Defense Program Office - transfer from line 25 at request of the Army	---	+4,005
	REF funded in Title IX	---	-14,322
61	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - AD	17,962	12,162
	Unsustained growth	---	-5,800
62	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	0	5,159
	CSP - transfer from line 177 at request of the Army	---	+5,159
63	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	4,695	9,895
	Biowaste to Bioenergy Center	---	+2,800
	Hawaii Undersea Military Munitions Assessment	---	+2,400
64	WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK-TACTICAL	190,903	190,903
65	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	5,060	5,060
66	AVIATION - ADV DEV	8,355	8,355
67	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ADV DEV	80,490	66,915
	JLTV EMD contract award delay	---	-15,175
	Expeditionary Water Reclamation System	---	+1,600
	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT CONTROL SYSTEM		
68	EVALUATION	14,290	14,290
69	MEDICAL SYSTEMS - ADV DEV	28,132	29,732
	Wireless Medical Monitoring System (W/Med)	---	+1,600
70	SOLDIER SYSTEMS - ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	48,323	48,323
71	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	970	970
72	ENDURANCE UAVS	93,000	93,000
73	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	89,210	74,210
	SOSCOE Apache Block III integration change in requirements	---	-15,000
74	ARMED, DEPLOYABLE OH-58D	72,550	72,550
75	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	172,269	152,955
	CIRCM test and evaluation funds requested ahead of need	---	-22,514
	Hostile Fire Detection for US Army/Navy helicopters	---	+3,200
76	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO	784	784
77	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM	22,574	18,074
	EMD contract award delay	---	-4,500
78	TRACTOR CAGE	23,194	23,194
79	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	80,337	72,337
	S62: Milestone B delay	---	-10,000
	Portable Helicopter Oxygen Delivery System Console	---	+2,000
80	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES	3,710	3,710
81	SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-SDI	5,335	5,335
82	JAVELIN	9,999	0
	Lack of acquisition strategy	---	-9,999
83	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES	3,519	13,119
	Family of Heavy Tactical Vehicles	---	+9,600
84	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	9,892	9,892
85	LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES	1,990	1,990
86	NON-LINE OF SIGHT LAUNCH SYSTEM	81,247	0
	Program termination	---	-81,247
89	FCS SYSTEMS OF SYSTEMS ENGR & PROGRAM MGMT	568,711	498,711
	Program reduction	---	-70,000
90	FCS RECONNAISSANCE (UAV) PLATFORMS	50,304	50,304
91	FCS UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLES	249,948	200,000

R-1		Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	Program reduction	---	-49,948
92	FCS UNATTENDED GROUND SENSORS	7,515	7,515
93	FCS SUSTAINMENT & TRAINING R&D	610,389	610,389
95	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS - SDD	52,549	52,549
96	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT	2,118	2,118
97	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES - SDD	27,756	27,756
98	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE	34,209	34,209
99	CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	30,291	31,491
	Small Footprint Wide Angle Visual Display	---	+1,200
100	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	14,041	14,041
101	DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS) - SDD	15,547	15,547
103	COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE	27,670	27,670
105	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - SDD	24,345	20,145
	PGK Increment II EMD delay	---	-9,000
	155mm Less Toxic Smoke Projectile	---	+4,800
106	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - SDD	41,039	42,639
	Basecamp Environmental Management Systems	---	+1,600
	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS -		
107	SDD	90,736	75,736
	JBC-P unsustained growth	---	-15,000
	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE		
108	EQUIPMENT	34,474	38,074
	Automated Critical Care System	---	+3,600
109	LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER - SDD	95,577	52,297
	Project 016: Scorpion acceleration funded in prior approval	---	-16,000
	reprogramming	---	---
	Project 415: ASTAMIDS/GSTAMIDS lack of acquisition	---	-30,000
	strategy	---	---
	AT4-Confined Space Tandem Warhead YJ05	---	+2,720
110	ARTILLERY MUNITIONS	26,371	26,371
111	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION	29,884	3,000
	Unexecutable request	---	-26,884
	ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE &		
112	SOFTWARE	60,970	60,970
	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM		
113	(GFEBS)	13,576	13,576
114	FIREFINDER	24,736	24,736
115	SOLDIER SYSTEMS - WARRIOR DEM/VAL	20,886	20,886
116	ARTILLERY SYSTEMS	53,624	103,624
	Program increase	---	+20,000
	Transfer from WTCV,A line 12 for Paladin PIM	---	+30,000
117	PATRIOT/MEADS COMBINED AGGREGATE PROGRAM	467,139	467,139
	NUCLEAR ARMS CONTROL MONITORING SENSOR		
118	NETWORK	7,276	7,276
119	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	23,957	23,957
	ARMY INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES		
120	SYSTEM (A-IMH)	100,500	60,500
	Excessive growth without acquisition strategy	---	-40,000
121	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	130,340	130,340
122	SLAMRAAM	23,700	23,700
123	PAC-2/MSE MISSILE	62,500	62,500
124	ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AIAMD)	251,124	251,124
125	MANNED GROUND VEHICLE	934,366	461,100
	Program adjustment	---	-473,266
126	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR	211,500	211,500
127	TROJAN - RH12	3,697	3,697
128	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	21,571	13,571
	EW5: Unsustained growth	---	-8,000
129	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	26,158	32,398
	Integrated Cyber Test and Evaluation Environment	---	+6,240
130	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	8,614	8,614
131	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	42,102	42,102
132	RAND ARROYO CENTER	20,492	20,492
133	ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL	163,788	163,788
134	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM	17,704	17,704
136	ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES	393,937	412,257
	Army Test Range Infrastructure unfunded requirement	---	+18,320
	ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND		
137	TARGETS	59,040	72,560
	Advanced CB Aerosol Referee Instrumentation for Dugway	---	+3,200
	Triad Phase 3	---	+1,600
	Test and Evaluation Instrumentation unfunded requirement	---	+8,720
138	SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS	41,812	43,412
	Test and Evaluation Instrumentation unfunded requirement	---	+1,600
139	DOD HIGH ENERGY LASER TEST FACILITY	4,710	8,710
	HELSTF	---	+4,000
140	AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION	5,055	5,055
141	METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES	7,185	7,185

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
142	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS	18,078	22,318
	Test and Evaluation Instrumentation unfunded requirement	---	+1,200
	VePro - Implementation of Fatigue Data Analysis and Management Methods to Extend Vehicle Life	---	+3,040
143	EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS	5,460	5,460
144	SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING	68,191	68,191
145	ARMY EVALUATION CENTER	61,450	64,090
	Test and Evaluation Instrumentation unfunded requirement	---	+2,640
	SIMULATION & MODELING FOR ACQ, RQTS, & TNG (SMART)	3,926	3,926
146	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES	73,685	73,685
148	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES	48,309	48,309
	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY	53,338	68,822
	Nano Advanced Cluster Energetics	---	+4,000
	Defense Metals Technology Center	---	+2,400
	Stark State College Materials Joining and Welding Center	---	+2,000
	Advanced Foamed Celluloid Technology	---	+2,400
	Project 862: 155mm HE projectile unfunded new start	---	-9,296
	Army Range Technology Program	---	+5,000
	Demilitarization of HC White Smokes	---	+2,000
	Large Caliber Parts Upgrade	---	+1,600
	Press-Loaded Explosive Projectile Washout Line	---	+1,200
	Unserviceable Ammunition Demilitarization via Chemical Acid Dissolution	---	+2,080
	Zirconium Oxychloride Manufacturing Optimization	---	+2,100
	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT		
150	SUPPORT	3,195	3,195
	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT)	16,154	16,154
151	MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	51,619	25,619
	GMLRS AVV EMD contract award delay	---	-26,000
154	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE	372,493	372,493
155	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO CYBER (ISC) MIP	2,360	2,360
156	ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM	24,622	24,622
157	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	204,481	207,681
	Vibration Management Enhancement Program	---	+3,200
158	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM	25,540	25,540
159	AIRCRAFT MODS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	134,999	124,856
	P430: Chinook RW crashworthy seating previously fully funded	---	-10,143
160	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROG	710	710
161	DIGITIZATION	6,329	6,329
	FORCE XXI BATTLE COMMAND, BRIGADE AND BELOW (FBCB2)	3,935	3,935
	MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	24,280	27,480
	Sentinel Active Electronically Scanned Array	---	+3,200
165	TRACTOR CARD	14,870	14,870
167	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM	12,403	12,403
168	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV)	3,153	3,153
170	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	0	2,000
	Distributive Crowd Behavior Modeling for Irregular Warfare Training and Research	---	+2,000
171	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	54,784	11,905
	Protected Information - Biometrics - transfer to line 171x	---	-42,879
171x	FAMILY OF BIOMETRICS	0	43,479
	Protected Information - Biometrics - transfer from line 171	---	+42,879
	Biometrics DNA	---	+600
172	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	125,569	125,569
173	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE)	33,694	33,694
174	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS	13,024	13,024
177	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	54,300	52,741
	CSP: transfer of HD IR funds to line 62, at request of the Army for execution	---	-5,159
	4th Generation Wireless RF Target Exploitation from Unmanned Aerial Vehicles	---	+3,600
178	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	103,002	103,002
179	MQ-1 SKY WARRIOR A UAV	123,156	123,156
180	RQ-11 UAV	1,599	1,599
181	RQ-7 UAV	7,805	7,805
183	BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE	14,114	2,114
	Protected Information - Biometrics	---	-12,000
185	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES	61,098	79,898
	Monitoring and Control of Manufacturing Connectivity	---	+1,600
	Titanium Stockpile Pilot Program	---	+2,400

R-1		Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	Advanced Ultrasonic Inspection of Helicopter Rotor Blades and Condition Monitoring of Helicopter Components	---	+1,600
	End Item Industrial Preparedness Activities	---	+1,600
	Improved Manufacturing Processes Demonstration Program for Army Tactical Vehicles	---	+1,200
	Polymeric Web Run-Flat Tire Inserts for Convoy Protection	---	+3,200
	Smart Machine Platform Initiative	---	+2,800
	Superior Weapons Systems Through Castings	---	+2,000
	Vet-Biz Initiative for National Sustainment	---	+2,400
xx	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INNOVATION	0	105,000
	Research and Development Innovation	---	+105,000
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	4,447	4,447
		---	---
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, ARMY	10,333,392	10,775,081
---	---	---	---
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY	---	---
---	---	---	---
1	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	108,679	115,079
	Center for Island Sustainability	---	+800
	Gulf of Mexico/Texas Border Geoid Model	---	+1,600
	Center for Assured Critical Application and Infrastructure Security	---	+3,200
	Spin-Torque Effect Research for MRAM	---	+800
2	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	17,979	21,179
	STEM/Energy and Power Career Development Initiative	---	+3,200
3	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	429,767	445,317
	Jet Engine Noise Reduction	---	+3,040
	Characterization and Exploitation of Magnetic and Electric Fields in the Coastal Ocean Environment-Dania Beach	---	+1,600
	High-Precision Nanoparticle Formation Control	---	+800
	Traumatic Brain Injury Research	---	+4,000
	Human Neural Cell-based Biosensor	---	+960
	ONAMI Nanoelectronics, Nanometrology and Nanobiotechnology Initiative	---	+2,750
	Texas Microfactory	---	+2,400
4	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	98,150	108,550
	Program for Space and Defense Nano-Photovoltaics	---	+1,600
	Aging Military Aircraft Fleet Support	---	+1,600
	Guidance, Navigation, Control and Targeting System	---	+5,600
	Millimeter Wave Imaging	---	+1,600
5	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	107,448	171,588
	Agent Defeat by Energetic Nano-Materials	---	+1,600
	Anthropomorphic Test Device Sensors for Kinetic and Impact Networks	---	+1,500
	Arena Testing Utilizing LASER Systems	---	+1,040
	Integration of Electro-Kinetic Weapons into Next Generation of Navy Ships	---	+4,400
	Advanced Energy Systems Integration	---	+3,200
	Advanced Energetics Initiative	---	+3,200
	Carbon Composite Thin Films for Power Generation and Energy Storage in Military and Civilian Settings	---	+800
	Applied Research in Intelligent Autonomous Systems	---	+1,200
	Alternative Energy	---	+40,000
	Advanced Simulation Tools for Aircraft Structures Made of Composite Materials	---	+800
	Fuel Efficient, High Specific Power Engine for USVs	---	+2,000
	Naval Port Security System	---	+4,400
6	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY	43,776	44,976
	Light Weight Water Resistant Body Armor for Special Operations	---	+1,200
	MATERIALS, ELECTRONICS AND COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY	0	1,600
7	TECHNOLOGY	---	+1,600
8	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH	70,168	79,768
	Coactive Design of Human and Robot Teams for Materials Handling and IED Response	---	+3,200
	Cognitive Radio Institute	---	+400
	Unmanned Ground Vehicle Mobility for Complex Urban Environments in Coastal Zones	---	+3,200
	Cosite Interference Mitigation Suite	---	+1,200
	Network-centric Communications Using All-digital Radio Frequency Systems	---	+1,600
9	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	113,724	128,724
	Biosensors for Defense Application	---	+2,000
	Advanced Composite Maritime Manufacturing	---	+1,200

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
	Fuel and Energy Laboratory	---	+800
	Managing and Extending DoD Asset Lifecycles	---	+1,600
	Nanotechnology for Anti-Reverse Engineering	---	+1,600
	Neural Control of External Devices (Limb Movement)	---	+1,200
	Polymer Science Research and Development	---	+4,200
	Productization of Anti-fouling and Fouling Release Coating Systems	---	+2,400
10	RF SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH	83,902	83,902
	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED		
11	RESEARCH	49,491	52,691
	Ellipsoidal Unmanned Underwater Vehicle	---	+1,600
	Littoral Battlespace Sensing-UUV	---	+1,600
12	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH	6,002	6,002
13	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	69,186	72,386
	Turbulence Research Facility	---	+3,200
	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED		
14	RESEARCH	36,833	39,633
	Inland Water Quality and Desalination Program	---	+1,600
	Virtual Onboard Analyst for Multi-Sensor Mine Detection	---	+1,200
15	POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	117,908	124,708
	Countermine LIDAR UAV-based System	---	+2,000
	Smart Instrument Development for the Magdalena Ridge Observatory	---	+4,800
16	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	61,877	83,957
	Mobile Laser Deposition Work Cell	---	+2,400
	Agile Port and High Speed Ship Technology Transition Program	---	+1,600
	Joint Force Deployment Command and Control - Joint Deployment Distribution Support Platform Prototype	---	+800
	Advanced Ship Self Defense Technology Testing	---	+4,880
	Advanced Technology for Networked Autonomous Unmanned Systems	---	+1,600
	Formable Textile for Complex Shaped Aerospace Composite Structures	---	+1,600
	High Temperature Fuel Cells	---	+2,400
	M65 Bismaleimide Carbon Fiber Prepreg	---	+2,800
	Rare Earth Alternatives for Permanent Magnet Motors	---	+4,000
17	COMMON PICTURE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	96,720	102,320
	4-D Data Fusion and Visualization	---	+1,600
	Intelligent Decision Exploration	---	+4,000
18	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	98,261	99,461
	Nanofluidic Lubricants for Increased Fuel Efficiency in Heavy Duty Vehicles	---	+1,200
	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED		
19	TECHNOLOGY	82,143	99,143
	Hawaii Surveillance Initiative	---	+17,000
	MARINE CORPS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY		
20	DEMONSTRATION (ATD)	115,089	120,689
	MEMS Microdetonator Packaging Technology for Advanced DoD Weapons	---	+2,400
	Navy Nanotechnology Fuze-on-a-Chip	---	+3,200
	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY		
21	DEVELOPMENT	11,131	11,131
22	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	18,076	68,536
	C.W Bill Young Bone Marrow Donor Recruitment and Research Program	---	+31,500
	Pervasive Healthcare Technology	---	+2,000
	Navy Special Warfare Performance and Injury Prevention Program for SBT 22 at Stennis Space Center	---	+2,400
	Program Increase - Tactical Athlete Program	---	+5,760
	Hearing Restoration through Cellular Regeneration	---	+2,400
	In-Field Body Temperature Conditioner	---	+2,400
	Silicon Nanofiber Medical Devices for the Warfighter	---	+4,000
23	UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	49,276	56,476
	Underwater Warhead Research	---	+3,200
	Program Increase - ASW Research	---	+4,000
	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND		
24	DEMONSTRATIONS	53,177	53,177
	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED		
25	TECHNOLOGY	21,941	21,941
XX	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INNOVATION	0	105,000
	Research and Development Innovation	---	+105,000
26	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS	123,331	118,331
	JMAPS program delay	---	-5,000
27	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY	9,480	16,680
	Design for Maintainability Man-Machine Interface Lab Boat	---	+1,600
		---	+4,000

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	Intelligent Autonomy Technology Transition/Intelligence Gathering Uninhabited System	---	+1,600
28	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL	4,275	4,275
29	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	8,249	8,249
30	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE	6,452	6,452
31	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE	1,658	1,658
32	COUNTERMEASURES	81,347	79,247
	Unmanned Surface Sweep System program delay	---	-2,100
33	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	57,796	50,796
	Milestone B delay	---	-7,000
34	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	93,830	91,830
	Navy requested transfer to line 49 for Automatic Test and Re-Test	---	-2,000
35	SHIPBOARD SYSTEM COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT	51	16,151
	Advanced Fluid Controls for Shipboard Applications	---	+3,600
	DDG-51 Hybrid Drive System	---	+12,500
36	PILOT FISH	81,784	81,784
37	RETRACT LARCH	142,858	142,858
38	RETRACT JUNIPER	134,497	134,497
39	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL	1,358	1,358
40	SURFACE ASW	21,673	21,673
41	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	608,566	582,066
	Battery Research Initiative	---	+4,000
	CISRT Enabling Materials Technology	---	+1,600
	Controllable Shock Absorber for Advanced Submarine	---	---
	Weapon Shock Mitigation	---	+2,400
	Development of Hybrid Multi-functional Composites for Submarine Structures	---	+4,000
	Quiet Drive Advanced Rotary Actuator	---	+2,400
	Submarine Payload Integration	---	+6,400
	Wave Energy Conversion for Persistent Surveillance and Communications	---	+2,000
	Execution delays	---	-49,300
42	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS	5,590	5,590
43	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN	17,883	18,683
	Composite Surface Ship Louvers	---	+800
44	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES	1,796	8,996
	Bow Lifting Body Ship Research	---	+7,200
45	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	366,509	366,509
46	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS	5,459	15,859
	Fan Coil Assembly of the Future	---	+2,000
	High Efficiency Power Electronics Module	---	+2,000
	Hybrid Propulsion/Power Generation for Increased Fuel Efficiency for Surface Combatants	---	+6,400
47	CHALK EAGLE	447,804	447,804
48	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS)	226,288	194,788
	LCS-2 post shakedown availability delay	---	-15,800
	LCS-1 post shakedown availability planning funding excess	---	-500
	NLOS missile termination	---	-15,400
	Steel Hardening for U.S. Navy Littoral Combat Ships	---	+2,400
	Program Increase - Mine Warfare Testing Disruption	---	+4,000
	Navy requested transfer to line 49 for Automatic Test and Re-Test	---	-2,000
	Program Increase - Small Business Technology Insertion (Mine Warfare Modules)	---	+8,000
	LCS Axial Flow High Power Density Waterjets	---	+2,800
	Savings from accelerated DT	---	-15,000
49	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	24,344	34,344
	Navy requested transfer from lines 34, 48, 107, 122 and 136 for Automatic Test and Re-Test	---	+10,000
50	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	5,388	5,388
51	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES	242,765	222,765
	Expeditionary Fighting Vehicle	---	-165,000
	Termination Liability	---	+145,000
52	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM	40,505	36,345
	USMC Military Fleet Computer Co-simulation	---	+640
	Decision and Energy Reduction Tool	---	+1,600
	Expeditionary Capabilities Laboratory	---	+5,600
	JLTV EMD contract award delay	---	-12,000
53	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	25,873	25,873
54	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT	52,282	52,282
55	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	13,560	17,880
	PACNORWEST Large Diameter Unmanned Undersea Vehicle Experimentation	---	+4,320
56	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	20,207	26,847
	Puget Sound Littoral Environmental Sensing Network	---	+4,960
	Graywater Treatment Technology	---	+880

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	Internet-Based Installation Environmental Management Information System	---	+800
57	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	30,403	37,603
	Program Increase - Alternative Energy from Organic Sources	---	+4,000
	High Density Energy Storage	---	+3,200
58	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT	3,746	12,866
	Critical Power Securitization for Naval Installations	---	+2,960
	Tidal Energy Test Platform	---	+3,760
	Puget Sound Baseline Monitoring/Intrusion Detection System	---	+1,600
	UPSIDE Extension to Virtual Perimeter Monitoring System	---	+800
59	CHALK CORAL	71,920	71,920
60	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY	4,139	8,939
	Hawaii Event Response Command Center	---	+2,400
	Real-Time Tactical Intelligence Collection System	---	+2,400
61	RETRACT MAPLE	219,463	219,463
62	LINK PLUMERIA	58,030	58,030
63	RETRACT ELM	183,187	183,187
64	SHIP SELF DEFENSE	4,385	4,385
65	LINK EVERGREEN	41,433	41,433
66	SPECIAL PROCESSES	36,457	36,457
67	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	9,196	9,196
68	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY	905	905
69	NONLETHAL WEAPONS	43,272	44,072
	Non-Lethal Defense Technologies	---	+800
70	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS	159,151	159,151
73	DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS	---	16,400
	Global Law Enforcement Support for Counter-Narcotics	---	+2,400
	High Power Laser Technologies Initiative	---	+1,600
	Naval Electronic Warfare Technology Integration Center	---	+2,400
	Research Airship	---	+2,000
	Directed Energy Development and Test	---	+8,000
	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED	---	---
74	COUNTERMEASURES	51,693	51,693
	JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC	---	---
75	WARFARE	56,542	50,242
	Program delay	---	-6,300
	PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT	---	---
76	PROGRAM	25,121	25,121
	SPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW)	---	---
77	ARCHITECTURE/ENGINE	34,793	34,793
78	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT - MIP	2,161	2,161
79	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS - MIP	4,253	4,253
80	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT - MIP	663	663
81	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT	44,329	44,329
82	AV-8B AIRCRAFT - ENG DEV	22,867	22,867
83	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT	45,667	45,667
84	MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT	55,792	55,792
85	AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING	5,735	5,735
86	P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	3,574	3,574
87	WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM	3,733	8,533
	Tunable MicroRadio for Military Applications	---	+4,800
88	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM	89,955	87,955
	Systems engineering growth	---	-2,000
89	ADVANCED HAWKEYE	171,132	171,132
90	H-1 UPGRADES	60,498	60,498
90A	NAVAL AIRSHIP	---	8,000
	Military Propulsion Advanced Program	---	+8,000
91	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS	64,834	64,834
92	V-22A	46,070	44,425
	Fuel forward funded in fiscal year 2010 supplemental	---	-1,645
93	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	8,689	11,189
	Transfer from AP,N line 52 for Common Mobile Aircrew Restraint System	---	+2,500
94	EA-18	22,042	21,773
	Fuel forward funded in fiscal year 2010 supplemental	---	-269
95	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	80,819	80,819
96	VH-71A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT	159,785	159,785
97	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ)	120,602	90,602
	Technology development contract delay	---	-30,000
98	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM - NAVY (JTRS-NAVY)	687,723	627,723
	Airborne Maritime Fixed unjustified increase	---	-60,000
100	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING	193,933	193,933
101	LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	1,373	23,113
	Gulf Coast Land Based Test Facility	---	+21,740
102	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	44,091	24,091

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	Program delay	---	-20,000
103	STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS	96,186	96,186
104	AIRBORNE MCM	45,885	45,885
	NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL-COUNTER AIR		
105	SYSTEMS ENG	21,517	21,517
106	ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS	274,371	276,771
	Electronic Periscope Detection Radar	---	+2,400
107	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION	118,897	114,997
	Navy requested transfer to line 49 for Automatic Test and Re-Test	---	-2,000
	TB-33 Thinline Towed Array	---	+2,800
	Communications at Speed and Depth	---	-4,700
108	AIR CONTROL	5,665	5,665
109	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS	70,117	70,117
110	COMBAT INFORMATION CENTER CONVERSION	5,044	5,044
111	NEW DESIGN SSN	155,489	176,289
	Program Increase - Small Business Technology Insertion	---	+16,000
	Common Command and Control System Module	---	+4,800
112	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	50,537	65,337
	Artificial Intelligence-Based Combat System	---	+4,000
	Organic Submarine Airborne ISRT Deployment	---	+3,600
	SSGN Weapon Launch Technology Insertion	---	+1,600
	Submarine Environment for Evaluation and Development	---	+3,200
	Weapon Acquisition and Firing System	---	+2,400
113	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E	153,686	169,886
	Automated Fiber Optic Manufacturing Initiative	---	+3,200
	Full Ship Shock Trial Alternative transfer from line 136	---	+13,000
114	NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES	4,443	4,443
115	MINE DEVELOPMENT	5,455	5,455
116	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT	25,282	25,282
117	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	10,489	10,489
	PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN		
118	FACTORS	10,759	10,759
119	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS	12,567	12,567
120	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL)	45,930	51,130
	Persistent Surveillance Wave Power Buoy System	---	+2,800
	Wind-Powered Autonomous Unmanned Surface Vessel	---	+2,400
121	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)	5,860	17,860
	Laser Phalanx	---	+12,000
122	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW)	84,525	82,525
	Navy requested transfer to line 49 for Automatic Test and Re-Test	---	-2,000
123	INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING	6,820	6,820
124	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT	12,337	53,137
	Wound Care Research	---	+10,400
	Military Dental Research	---	+6,400
	City of Hope National Medical Center Advanced Molecular		
	Medical Initiative	---	+1,600
	National Functional Genomics Center Collaborating Site	---	+4,000
	Proton Therapy Institute	---	+8,000
	U.S. Navy Pandemic Influenza Vaccine Program	---	+1,600
	Repair	---	+1,600
	Treatment and Rehabilitation Solutions for Battlefield Cranio-		
	Facial Wounds	---	+4,000
	Vision Restoration using Wireless Retinal Implants	---	+3,200
125	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM	66,636	66,636
126	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) - EMD	667,916	613,864
	Block IV capabilities funding ahead of need	---	-29,052
	Underexecution of test program	---	-25,000
127	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)	707,791	901,806
	Block IV capabilities funding ahead of need	---	-29,000
	Fuel forward funded in fiscal year 2010 supplemental	---	-1,985
	Alternate engine development	---	+225,000
128	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	22,783	22,783
129	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	28,280	53,980
	Intelligent Integrated Manufacturing System	---	+2,400
	Condition-based Maintenance Enabling Technologies		
	Program	---	+2,040
	Cyber Engineering and Technology Development Center		
	Project	---	+1,600
	Digitization, Integration and Analyst Access of NCIS Files	---	+1,020
	Integration of Logistics Information for Knowledge		
	Projection and Readiness Assessment Program	---	+1,360
	METOC Integrated Network-Centric Technology Systems	---	+2,400
	Production Efficiency Program	---	+1,680
	Southern Maryland Defense Facility Fiber Connectivity		
	Initiative	---	+6,000
	Supply Chain Logistics Capability at the ABL NIROP	---	+3,200

R-1		Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	SPAWAR Systems Center (SSC/ITC), Atlantic New Orleans	---	+4,000
	NAVY INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES		
130	SYSTEM	27,444	15,444
	Reduction to pre-development activities	---	-12,000
131	CH-53K	577,435	577,435
133	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	100,846	100,846
134	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA)	929,240	941,240
	Program Increase - Small Business Technology Insertion	---	+12,000
136	DDG-1000	549,241	534,241
	Navy requested transfer to line 49 for Automatic Test and Re-Test	---	-2,000
	Full Ship Shock Trial Alternative transfer to line 113	---	-13,000
137	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM - MIP	1,318	1,318
138	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION - MIP	1,415	1,415
139	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS	17,019	12,387
	Execution delays	---	-4,632
140	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	18,755	18,755
141	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	66,066	66,066
142	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	37,522	44,722
	SOF COMMS, PSYOPS	---	+4,000
	Surveillance and Strike Mission Radar Test Bed	---	+3,200
143	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT - NAVY	8,149	8,149
144	CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES	49,165	49,165
146	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES	662	15,062
	Hawaii Technology Development Venture	---	+10,000
	Integrated Manufacturing Enterprise	---	+2,800
	Virtual Business Accelerator for the Silicon Prairie	---	+1,600
	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL		
147	SUPPORT	58,329	58,329
148	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT	3,451	3,451
149	RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT	72,094	72,094
150	RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	95,332	93,871
	Fuel forward funded in fiscal year 2010 supplemental	---	-1,461
151	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	376,418	376,418
152	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY	15,746	15,746
	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW)		
153	SUPPORT	4,013	4,013
154	SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT	19,700	19,700
155	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT	17,721	20,521
	Enhanced Logistics Data Analysis via Data Pedigree	---	+1,200
	Global Supply Chain Management - Center for Advanced Logistics Management	---	+1,600
156	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC ACTIVITIES	1,859	1,859
157	SERVICE SUPPORT TO JFCOM, JNTC	4,260	4,260
	UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV) ADVANCED		
161	COMPONENT	266,368	266,368
162	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	81,184	75,584
	Nuclear Security Sensor System	---	+3,600
	Virtual Maintenance Engineering Platform for SSGN	---	
	Voyage Repair	---	+800
	Conventional Trident Modification	---	-10,000
163	SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	34,997	34,997
164	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	6,815	6,815
165	NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS	10,331	10,331
166	RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT)	35,120	35,120
167	F/A-18 SQUADRONS	148,438	150,938
	High Performance Military Aircraft Noise Reduction	---	+2,500
168	E-2 SQUADRONS	19,011	22,211
	Airborne High Gain UHF ESA	---	+3,200
169	FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL)	26,894	30,894
	Virtual Secure Enclave	---	+4,000
	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING		
170	CENTER (TMPC)	10,587	13,627
	Tomahawk Cost Reduction Initiative	---	+3,040
171	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	23,464	23,464
172	AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS	4,357	4,357
173	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	50,750	50,750
174	CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT	1,519	1,519
175	ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT	39,398	39,398
176	HARM IMPROVEMENT	14,207	12,207
	Systems engineering growth	---	-2,000
177	TACTICAL DATA LINKS	28,854	28,854
178	SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	32,877	36,877
	Program Increase - Small Business Technology Insertion	---	+4,000
179	MK-48 ADCAP	26,234	34,234
	Program Increase - Small Business Technology Insertion	---	+8,000
180	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS	133,611	105,330

R-1	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---
F-135 engine ahead of need	---	-27,000
Multi-purpose bomb rack program delay	---	-5,721
Solid State Arc Fault Detection with Arc Location System	---	+1,240
Wireless Sensors for Navy Aircraft	---	+3,200
181 NAVY SCIENCE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	3,535	3,535
182 OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	74,229	74,229
183 MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	245,298	234,098
Enhance STRIKE Functionality and Integrate Actionable Intelligence into National Intelligence Systems	---	+1,200
Joint Cooperative Target Identification - Ground	---	-12,400
MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS		
184 SYSTEMS	100,424	84,824
Marine personnel carrier program delay	---	-20,000
Energy Efficient and Survivable Tent Technology	---	+2,400
Inertial North Finding for Handheld Sensors	---	+1,200
IUID Web-Based Tracking and Accountability Software	---	+3,200
MPC Performance Feedback and Assessment System	---	+1,600
LAV-AT contract delay	---	-4,000
185 MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT	19,466	21,066
Joint Modular Intermodal Container	---	+1,600
186 USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	20,316	20,316
187 TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	912	912
ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE		
188 (AMRAAM)	2,633	2,633
189 JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV)	3,586	3,586
194 SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE)	422,268	422,268
CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE		
195 SERVICES	63,563	44,563
Increment 1 transition contract delay	---	-19,000
196 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	25,934	25,934
CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE		
199 SERVICES - MIP	8,375	8,375
201 COBRA JUDY	36,527	36,527
NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-		
202 SPACE (METOC)	63,878	63,878
203 JOINT MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS	4,435	4,435
204 TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	35,212	18,912
Marinized UAS	---	-16,300
206 AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	---	53,400
Program increase	---	+5,200
EP-3/SPA systems development	---	+45,000
Fusion Exploitation Algorithm Targeting High Altitude Reconnaissance	---	+3,200
207 MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	19,263	19,263
DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE		
208 SYSTEMS	8,377	8,377
DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE		
209 SYSTEMS	16,665	16,665
210 RQ-4 UAV	529,250	529,250
211 MQ-8 UAV	10,665	10,665
212 RQ-11 UAV	512	512
213 RQ-7 UAV	934	934
214 SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO)	26,209	26,209
215 SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO)	18,098	12,710
STUAS Lite termination	---	-5,388
218 MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT	8,158	8,158
219 DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	18,649	18,649
220 AVIONICS COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	3,250	4,250
Avionics Research and Development Laboratory	---	+1,000
221 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	46,173	54,173
Lightweight Ship Structures Technologies to Reduce Total Ownership Costs	---	+3,200
Life Extension of Navy Weapon System Structures through AMP Technologies	---	+1,600
Out of Autoclave Composite Wing Project	---	+2,400
Rapid Casting Technology for Naval Castings	---	+800
222 MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH)	---	2,000
Navy Ordnance Real-Time Location System Using Passive Radio Frequency Identification	---	+2,000
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,284,901	1,499,901
Classified adjustment	---	+215,000
---	---	---
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY	17,693,496	18,447,913
---	---	---
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE		
---	---	---

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
1	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	350,978	356,898
	Advanced Performance Aircraft Engine Laboratory	---	+2,320
	Center for Microplasma Science and Technology	---	+1,200
	Chabot Space and Science Center	---	+800
	Development and Validation of Advanced Design Technologies for Hypersonic Research	---	+1,600
2	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	136,297	156,137
	Center for Metamaterials and Nanophotonics for Advanced Technology	---	+2,960
	Hypersonic Wind Tunnel	---	+3,200
	ARL-ONAMI Center for Nanoarchitectures for Enhanced Performance	---	+1,600
	BattleSpace: Reducing Military Decision Cycles, Phase II	---	+2,000
	Computer Network Attack/Computer Network Defense	---	+800
	Cyber Innovation Center - Research and Development	---	---
	Seed Fund	---	+4,000
	Cyberattack Forecasting	---	+2,080
	Institute for Advanced Energy Studies	---	+3,200
3	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES	13,198	13,198
4	MATERIALS	137,273	169,993
	Blast Resistant Concrete Products	---	+2,400
	Pennsylvania NanoMaterials Commercialization Center	---	+1,600
	Rio Grande Valley Nanotech Institute	---	+1,600
	Accelerated Insertion of Advanced Materials and Certification for Military Aircraft Structure Material	---	+2,000
	Advanced Aerospace Carbon Foam Heat Exchangers	---	+3,200
	Air Force Minority Leaders Program	---	+2,400
	Aircraft Fatigue Modeling and Simulation	---	+3,200
	Conducting Polymer Stress and Polymer Damage Sensors for Composites	---	+1,600
	Energy Efficiency, Recovery and Generation	---	+800
	LGX High Temperature Acoustic Wave Sensors for Monitoring of Aerospace Components	---	+2,400
	Nanocomposites for Lightning Protection of Composite Airframe Structures	---	+2,400
	ONAMI Safer Nanomaterials and Nanomanufacturing Partnership for Emerging Energy Technologies	---	+3,200
	Wyoming Army National Guard Joint Training and Experimentation Center	---	+1,600
5	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES	144,699	151,499
	UAV Sensor and Maintenance Development Center	---	+4,320
	Unmanned Aerial System Exploitation	---	+2,800
6	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH	87,452	91,052
	Vigilance Assistance in Screening, Surveillance and Reconnaissance	---	+3,600
7	AEROSPACE PROPULSION	207,049	214,849
	Advanced Research Laboratory Upgrade for Material Sciences and Aeronautics	---	+1,200
	National Test Facility for Aerospace Fuels and Propulsion	---	+1,920
	AFRL Edwards Rocket Test Stand 2-A Improvements	---	+2,400
	In-Space Solar Electric Resource Transport Development	---	+800
	Military Base Energy Security Demonstration Project	---	+1,600
	Renewable Aromatic Jet Fuel Components for DoD Energy Needs	---	+2,880
	Unjustified program growth	---	-3,000
8	AEROSPACE SENSORS	157,497	174,217
	Program Increase - Materials for Structures, Propulsion, and Subsystems	---	+2,400
	Advanced Integrated Microsystems for Military Systems	---	+4,000
	Gallium Nitride Materials for High Performance Devices	---	+2,800
	Information Quality Tools for Persistent Surveillance Data Sets	---	+1,600
	On-Chip Integrated Photonic Polymer Transceiver	---	+4,000
	Random Obfuscating Compiler Anti-Tamper Software	---	+1,920
9	SPACE TECHNOLOGY	111,857	124,577
	Technology Research and Innovation Outreach for Space	---	+2,400
	Center for Solar Electricity and Hydrogen	---	+4,000
	Nuclear Test Monitoring Seismic Research	---	+4,000
	Reconfigurable Electronics and Non-Volatile Memory Research	---	+720
	Space Plasma Research Augmentation	---	+1,600
10	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	61,330	61,330
11	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY	103,596	114,896
	Re-alignment of funding for ground optical imaging research and technology	---	+11,300
13	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS	117,283	115,783
	Transfer to line 11	---	-1,500
14	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH	53,384	53,384
15	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS	33,414	59,454

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	Advanced Solar Photovoltaics and Power Conversion Modules	---	+1,360
	Wayne State University Sonic IR Imaging Technology Development	---	+800
	Transfer to line 11	---	-1,000
	Advanced Aircraft Maintenance Technologies	---	+1,600
	Aircraft Evaluation Readiness Initiative	---	+2,080
	Alaska Industrial Supercritical Water	---	+800
	KC-135 Structural Teardown Examination	---	+1,600
	Metals Affordability Initiative	---	+8,000
	Military Waste-to-Energy Project using the Hydro-Thermal Energy Conversion Process	---	+4,000
	Science, Technology, Academic, Research, Outreach Program	---	+3,280
	Sewage-Derived Biofuels Project	---	+3,520
16	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T)	2,935	2,935
17	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS	44,677	50,777
	SiC RF Power for Airborne Avionics and Radar	---	+1,600
	Silicon Carbide Material Manufacturing Initiative	---	+4,500
18	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO	53,588	52,588
	Transfer to line 11	---	-1,000
19	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY	136,135	145,735
	Renewable Hydrocarbon Fuels for Military Applications	---	+4,000
	Texas Research Institute for Environmental Studies	---	+2,800
	Transfer to line 11	---	-2,000
	Algal Biofuels for Aviation	---	+1,600
	Silicon Carbide Power Modules for the F-35 Joint Strike Fighter	---	+3,200
	CREW SYSTEMS AND PERSONNEL PROTECTION TECHNOLOGY	0	1,600
	Joint Strike Fighter Authentic Tactical Fighting System	---	+1,600
21	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY	16,992	16,992
22	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY	83,705	82,755
	Microsatellite Autonomous Assembly	---	+1,440
	Transfer to line 11	---	-3,590
	Secure Supply of High-Purity Carbon Nanotube Solutions	---	+1,200
23	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS)	5,899	23,799
	Program increase	---	+7,500
	Flash Hyper-Dimensional Imaging System for Space	---	+3,200
	Situational Awareness and Ballistic Missile Defense	---	+7,200
	PanSTARRS	---	+7,200
	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	24,814	26,414
24	PhasorBIRD Tracker Development	---	+1,600
25	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	15,755	15,755
26	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	17,461	28,261
	Counter-MANPAD RF Amplifier	---	+1,600
	LIDAR Applications for Vehicles with Analysis	---	+5,200
	Real-time Optical Surveillance Applications	---	+4,000
27	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	39,701	54,501
	Washington Aerospace Training and Research Center	---	+800
	Supply Chain Solutions Lab	---	+1,200
	Program Increase - Best Industrial Process for Department of Defense Depots	---	+8,000
	Advanced Development of Aerospace Fabrication and Assembly Technologies	---	+1,600
	Next Generation Casting Initiative	---	+1,600
	Rapid Automated Processing of Advanced Low	---	+1,600
	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION	32,382	40,782
	Cyber Security Shield Initiative	---	+2,400
	Computer and Communications Network Vulnerability Solutions	---	+3,600
	Cyber Center for Innovation and Education	---	+2,400
	HIGH ENERGY LASER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	1,847	1,847
30	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INNOVATION	0	105,000
XX	Research and Development Innovation	---	+105,000
31	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	5,019	6,619
	Collaboration Gateway	---	+1,600
32	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	3,576	1,000
	Unjustified program request	---	-2,576
33	GPS III - OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT	0	356,867
	Operational Control Segment (OCX), transfer from line 212	---	+356,867
34	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)	351,817	394,817
	Program Increase - Capabilities Insertion Program	---	+43,000
35	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE)	164,232	164,232
36	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	45,012	51,412

R-1	Budget Request	Recommendation
	Advanced Sensor Data Integration for Space Superiority	+2,400
	High Accuracy Network Determination System-Intelligent	
	Optical Network for Space Situational Awareness	+4,000
37	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	26,172
	Program Increase - Automatic Dependent Surveillance - Broadcast	+10,000
38	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	4,372
39	INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D	635
40	SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM (SPP)	8,349
42	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	20,580
43	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE	66,745
44	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SYSTEM RDT&E (SPACE)	36,123
	Program Increase - Capabilities Insertion Program	+43,000
45	POLLUTION PREVENTION (DEM/VAL)	2,534
46	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS	13,952
47	NEXT GENERATION BOMBER	198,957
48	BATTLE MGMT COM & CTRL SENSOR DEVELOPMENT	0
	Program Increase - GMTI Radar Development	+12,000
49	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM	22,389
50	JOINT DUAL ROLE AIR DOMINANCE MISSILE	9,799
51	REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION	34,339
	NEXT-GENERATION MILSATCOM TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	0
	Program Increase - Acquisition Planning and Studies	+20,000
53	GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT	32,513
	Program delay	-10,000
54	ALTERNATIVE FUELS	24,064
55	AUTOMATED AIR-TO-AIR REFUELING	85
56	OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE	93,978
	Program Increase - Responsive Launch Capabilities	+32,000
	Space Sensor Data Link Technology	+3,520
57	TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM	12,260
	NATIONAL POLAR-ORBITING OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAT	325,505
	Program Reduction	-225,505
58A	DEFENSE WEATHER SATELLITE SYSTEM (DWSS)	75,000
	DWSS-only for defense sensor development	+75,000
59	GLOBAL BROADCAST SERVICE (GBS)	18,171
60	NUCLEAR WEAPONS SUPPORT	60,545
	Nuclear Enterprise Surety Tracking II	+4,000
	High Energy Conventional Energetics	+800
62	SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING	8,066
	AT-6B Demonstration for the Air National Guard	+5,600
64	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	89,966
65	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO	631
66	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE	102,941
67	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	50
68	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	153,505
	SDB II - Contract Award Delay	-53,000
69	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS	40,276
70	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS	426,525
	SBSS Follow On	-45,100
	Space Fence	-35,000
	Integration of Missile Defense Agency radar systems into Space Surveillance Network	+4,000
71	AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK	25,937
72	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD	530,047
74	ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	6,693
	Network Centric Airborne Defense Element	+4,800
75	SUBMUNITIONS	1,622
76	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT	37,987
77	LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS	10,650
	Active Noise Reduction Communication Ear Plug for Military Aircrew	+2,000
78	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	36,905
	INTEGRATED COMMAND & CONTROL APPLICATIONS (IC2A)	10
	Service Oriented Architecture Implementation for Cyber-Security Tools	+800
80	INTELLIGENCE EQUIPMENT	1,364
81	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)	883,773
	Air Force requested transfer from line 135	+159,837
	Mitigating RoHS Lead-Free Issues in Aerospace Circuit Board Manufacturing	+1,600
	Alternate Engine Development	+225,000
	Air Force requested transfer for Auto GCAS from AP,AF line 43	+7,600
82	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE	71,843

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM		
83	(SPACE)	30,245	55,245
	Program Increase - EELV Common Upper Stage	---	+25,000
85	NEXT GENERATION AERIAL REFUELING AIRCRAFT	863,875	0
	Transfer to Tanker Transfer Fund	---	-863,875
86	CSAR HH-60 RECAPITALIZATION	12,584	0
	Program Termination	---	-12,584
86A	HH-60 RDT&E	0	1,934
	Terrain and Traffic Avoidance Systems - Transfer from line 86	---	+1,934
88	HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E	15,536	15,536
91	SINGLE INTEGRATED AIR PICTURE (SIAP)	1,832	0
	Program termination	---	-1,832
92	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	57,393	57,393
94	JOINT CARGO AIRCRAFT (JCA)	26,407	26,407
95	CV-22	18,270	18,270
96	AIRBORNE SENIOR LEADER C3 (SLC3S)	15,826	7,826
	Contract award delay for SLC3S-A Communications	---	-8,000
	Program (SCP)	---	-8,000
97	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	21,245	21,245
98	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	61,587	65,187
	Holloman High Speed Test Track - Expanded Test Capability	---	+3,600
99	RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE	26,752	26,752
101	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION	20,665	20,665
102	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	759,868	762,868
	Minority Defense Federal Supply Initiative	---	+3,000
103	ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)	23,551	23,551
104	SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP)	47,623	47,623
	FACILITIES RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION - TEST & EVAL		
105	Base Facility Energy Independence	46,327	51,927
	Inter-Base Facility Energy Independence	---	+2,400
	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT - TEST AND EVALUATION	---	+3,200
106	SUPPORT	27,579	27,579
107	MULTI-SERVICE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING INITIATIVE	18,901	18,901
108	ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	24,968	24,968
109	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING	1,544	1,544
111	INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	3,764	3,764
113	COMMON VERTICAL LIFT SUPPORT PLATFORM	0	4,000
	Air Force requested transfer from AP,AF line 18	---	+4,000
	AIR FORCE INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN		
114	RESOURCES SYSTEM	43,300	23,300
	Funding ahead of need	---	-20,000
115	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY	42,255	42,255
117	B-52 SQUADRONS	146,096	147,396
	EHF Request - early to need	---	-24,700
	Program Increase to continue advanced targeting pod integration	---	+6,500
	Air Force requested transfer from AP,AF line 38 for Internal Weapons Bay	---	+13,000
	B-52 Satellite Communications	---	+6,500
118	AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM)	3,631	3,631
119	B-1B SQUADRONS	33,234	34,834
	B-1B AESA Radar Operational Utility Evaluation	---	+1,600
120	B-2 SQUADRONS	260,466	276,466
	Program Increase - Mixed Loads and Other Capabilities	---	+16,000
121	STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM - USSTRATCOM	28,441	28,441
122	NIGHT FIST - USSTRATCOM	5,359	5,359
	REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER		
125	MODERNIZATION	23,732	23,732
	STRATEGIC AEROSPACE INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM		
126	ACTIVITIES	15	15
	WARFIGHTER RAPID ACQUISITION PROCESS (WRAP)		
127	RAPID TRAN	10,580	10,580
128	MQ-9 UAV	125,427	125,427
129	MULTI-PLATFORM ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT	15,574	15,574
130	A-10 SQUADRONS	5,661	5,661
131	F-16 SQUADRONS	129,103	129,103
132	F-15E SQUADRONS	222,677	207,677
	Contract award delays	---	-15,000
133	MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION	12,937	12,937
134	F-22 SQUADRONS	576,330	511,330
	Modernization program	---	-100,000
	MADL - Transfer from line 155	---	+35,000
135	F-35 SQUADRONS	217,561	0
	Block 4 Development	---	-57,724
	Air Force requested transfer to line 81	---	-159,837

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
136	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	6,040	6,040
	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE		
137	(AMRAAM)	62,922	62,922
138	JOINT HELMET MOUNTED CUEING SYSTEM (JHMCS)	2,407	2,407
139	COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY	944	944
140	COMBAT RESCUE - PARARESCUE	2,921	2,921
141	AF TENCAP	11,648	11,648
142	PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT	3,017	3,017
143	COMPASS CALL	20,652	20,652
	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT		
144	PROGRAM	147,396	120,626
	F-135 Component Improvement Program - premature request	---	-26,770
146	JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)	20,000	20,000
147	AIR AND SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)	93,102	93,102
148	CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC)	58,313	58,313
149	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS)	239,755	229,755
	Contract award and schedule delays for Block 40/45 EMD and DRAGON	---	-10,000
151	ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	67,532	67,532
153	COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES	3,310	3,310
154	THEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT (TBM) C4i	15,170	15,170
155	FIGHTER TACTICAL DATA LINK	85,492	23,992
	MADL - Transfer to line 134	---	-61,500
157	C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK	1,584	1,584
158	COMMAND AND CONTROL (C2) CONSTELLATION	24,229	24,229
	JOINT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR		
159	SYSTEM	168,917	168,917
160	SEEK EAGLE	19,263	19,263
161	USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION	21,638	23,158
	Critical Infrastructure Cyber Operations Simulation	---	+1,520
162	WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS	6,020	6,020
163	DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES	2,863	2,863
164	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	79,112	79,912
	Education	---	+800
165	INFORMATION WARFARE SUPPORT	2,294	4,694
	Electromagnetic Battlespace Management	---	+2,400
166	CYBER COMMAND ACTIVITIES	1,117	1,117
173	SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE	10,006	10,006
	E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER		
174	(NAOC)	12,532	12,532
	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS		
175	NETWORK	78,784	68,984
	MMPU Production - Air Force requested transfer to MP,AF line 9	---	-9,800
176	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	140,017	140,017
177	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	3,393	3,393
178	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	3,055	11,612
	Defense Energy and Awareness Program	---	+6,400
	Air Force requested transfer from line 179	---	+2,157
179	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2)	2,157	0
	Air Force requested transfer to line 178	---	-2,157
180	MILSATCOM TERMINALS	186,582	306,282
	FAB-T - Air Force requested transfer from AP,AF line 75	---	+119,700
182	AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE	149,268	144,268
	Program execution	---	-5,000
185	GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)	5,708	5,708
186	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	2,030	2,030
187	DOD CYBER CRIME CENTER	279	279
188	SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE)	21,667	21,667
189	WEATHER SERVICE	32,373	32,373
	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING		
190	SYSTEM (ATC)	33,268	35,668
	Transportable Transponder Landing System	---	+2,400
191	AERIAL TARGETS	63,573	58,573
	Program execution	---	-5,000
194	SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES	469	469
196	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	40	40
	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER		
198	EQUIPMENT)	165,936	165,936
	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE AND		
199	CONTROL)	34,471	34,471
201	SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER	4,572	4,572
202	SPACE WARFARE CENTER	2,929	2,929
203	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE)	9,933	9,933
	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION		
204	OPERATIONS	1,254	1,254

R-1		Budget Request	Recommendation
206	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	168,963	93,943
	Wide Area Airborne Surveillance Program of Record - ahead of need	---	-78,700
	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Environment-Aware Adaptive Monitoring	---	+3,680
207	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	15,337	15,337
208	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	93,398	85,898
	Program Reduction	---	-7,500
209	PREDATOR UAV (JMIP)	28,913	23,913
	Program execution	---	-5,000
210	RQ-4 UAV	251,318	220,318
	Execution adjustment	---	-31,000
211	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGET (TIARA)	7,267	7,267
212	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	828,171	446,304
	Operational Control Segment (OCX) - Transfer to line 33	---	-381,867
213	JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM	132,706	109,506
	JSPOC Mission System	---	-28,000
	Karnac	---	+4,800
214	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION WARFARE	5,512	5,512
215	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE)	72,199	72,199
216	NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE OFFICE	10,630	0
	Program termination - Funding transferred to Executive Agent for Space, Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	---	-10,630
217	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS	43,838	43,838
	INFORMATION OPS TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION & TOOL DEVELOP	21,912	22,712
	Cyber Transition Program	---	+800
219	SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW)	2,952	2,952
220	C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON	113,107	43,472
	Air Force requested transfer to AP,AF line 61	---	-69,635
221	C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS	58,990	58,990
222	C-17 AIRCRAFT	177,212	162,212
	Contract award delays	---	-15,000
223	C-130J PROGRAM	26,770	26,770
224	LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCM)	17,227	17,227
225	KC-135S	20,453	20,453
226	KC-10S	56,669	41,669
	Milestone B slip	---	-15,000
227	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT	4,988	4,988
228	C-STOL AIRCRAFT	1,283	3,683
	Next Generation Simulation Training for AFSOC Pararescue Forces	---	+2,400
230	SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL	7,345	7,345
231	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	1,514	1,514
234	LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT)	227,614	227,614
235	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	6,141	44,221
	Demonstration and Validation of Renewable Energy Technology	---	+1,600
	Alternative energy research and integration	---	+32,000
	Freedom Fuels/Coal Fuel Alliance	---	+2,480
	University Level Technology Research and Analysis	---	+2,000
235A	AIR FORCE RECRUITING INFORMATION SUPPORT SYSTEM	0	5,100
	Air Force Recruiting Information Support System—Air Force requested transfer from OM,AF	---	+5,100
236	OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING	667	667
237	JOINT NATIONAL TRAINING CENTER	9	9
239	OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES	116	116
240	JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY	6,107	6,107
242	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM	7,811	7,811
243	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	11,179	11,179
	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS		
244	DEVELOPMENT	49,816	49,816
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	12,406,781	12,916,531
	Classified Adjustment	---	+508,790
	Close Proximity Space Situational Awareness	---	+960
---		---	---
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE	27,247,302	27,006,965
---		---	---
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE		
---		---	---
	DTRA UNIVERSITY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BASIC		
1	RESEARCH	47,412	47,412
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	328,195	303,159
	Self-Organized High-Assurance Wireless Networks	---	+200
	STEM Initiative	---	+800
	Islet Cell Resource Center at Georgetown University	---	+2,000

R-1	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---
Infectious Disease Research	---	+1,200
Vaccine Stabilization Initiative	---	+1,600
Barry University Institute for Collaborative Sciences Research	---	+800
Ant-Based Cyber Defense	---	+864
Excessive growth	---	-32,500
GOVT/INDUSTRY COSPONSORSHIP OF UNIVERSITY		
3 RESEARCH	0	5,600
Integrated Cryo-cooled High Power Density Systems	---	+3,200
Advanced Nanocomposite Battery Materials	---	+3,400
5 NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM	109,911	98,503
Unexecutable growth	---	-15,600
STEM Initiative - Vandenberg Air Force Base	---	+1,600
STEM Outreach Education in Southwest Indiana	---	+992
Diversity Transformation - Applicable Knowledge of Global and Cultural Perspectives	---	+800
STEM-UP Inglewood School District	---	+800
6 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	49,508	60,708
Synchrotron Beamline and Experimental Station	---	+1,600
Enhancing Vaccine Development and Capacity	---	+1,600
Viral WMD - Protection and Treatment for the Warfighter	---	+2,000
Immunotherapeutics	---	+1,200
Portable Rapid Bacterial Warfare Detection Unit	---	+4,800
INSENSITIVE MUNITIONS--EXPLORATORY		
7 DEVELOPMENT	22,448	20,448
Excessive growth	---	-2,000
HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES & UNIV (HBCU)		
8 SCIENCE	15,067	26,667
Program Increase	---	+8,000
Nanoscience and Biotechnology Laboratories and Research Program	---	+1,600
Morehouse College John H. Hopps Defense Research Scholars Program	---	+2,000
9 LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM	32,830	32,830
10 INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	281,262	253,262
DISCOVER contract award delays	---	-10,000
Extreme Computing contract award delays	---	-18,000
11 COGNITIVE COMPUTING SYSTEMS	90,143	90,143
12 MACHINE INTELLIGENCE	44,682	44,682
13 BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE	32,692	34,692
Center for the Diagnosis of Pathogens	---	+2,000
14 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	169,287	200,747
Rapid Forensic Evaluation of Microbes in Biodefense	---	+1,280
Chemical and Biological Agent Fate Appropriate Response Operational Tool	---	+2,400
Protecting Military Personnel and the Nation Against Agents of Bioterror - Developing a Therapeutic Drug with Activity Against Multiple and Unforeseen Bio-Threat Agents	---	+800
Garden State Cancer Vaccine Development Program	---	+2,400
TMTI BA 5 unexecutable funding transferred back to S&T at request of the Department	---	+5,000
Accelerated Vaccine Design and Development Toolkit	---	+1,600
Advanced Novel Drug Development for the Warfighter	---	+2,100
Anti-Viral Vaccine Development	---	+4,880
Chemical/Biological Infrared Detection System	---	+2,400
Contaminated Human Remains Pouch	---	+2,400
Paintshield for Protection from Microbial Threats	---	+3,200
National Center for Therapeutics Manufacturing	---	+3,000
15 JOINT DATA MANAGEMENT ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	3,261	0
Duplicate effort	---	-3,261
16 CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH	10,000	5,000
Lack of authorization	---	-5,000
HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) APP	9,499	7,999
Excessive growth	---	-1,500
18 TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY	224,378	233,578
Center for Critical and Strategic Materials	---	+1,200
Center of Excellence for Research in Ocean Sciences (CEROS)	---	+8,000
19 MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY	312,586	312,642
Maine Natural Biopolymer Research and Development Partnership	---	+1,056
Strategic Materials	---	+4,000
Unsustained growth	---	-5,000
20 ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY	286,936	266,936
Excessive growth	---	-20,000
WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT		
21 TECHNOLOGIES	212,742	220,642

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	Center for Nonproliferation Studies at the Monterey Institute of International Studies	---	+1,600
	Reachback Decision Informatics Research Program	---	+3,500
	National Center for Blast Mitigation and Protection	---	+1,200
	University Strategic Partnerships	---	+1,600
22	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	26,545	36,745
	Program Increase - Unfunded Requirement	---	+15,200
	Unexecutable growth	---	-5,000
	JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECH INSENSITIVE		
24	MUNITIONS AD	20,556	15,556
	Unjustified growth	---	-5,000
25	SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	44,423	44,423
26	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	85,299	133,667
	SOF Mission Based Equipage	---	+1,840
	Early Responders Distance Learning Center	---	+1,008
	Combating Terrorism - Value-Added Application of Effective Measurement and Financial Efficiency Associated with Terrorism and Counter-terrorism Risks, Hazards and Vulnerabilities	---	+1,600
	Affordable Robust Mid-Sized Unmanned Ground Vehicle	---	+2,400
	Chemterrorism Operations for Public Emergency Response	---	+3,200
	Covert Sensing and Tagging System	---	+800
	Cross Lingual Information Correlation	---	+1,600
	DB-110 on MQ-9	---	+2,400
	Dynamic Flow Management System	---	+1,600
	Expeditionary Surveillance and Reconnaissance Program	---	+7,200
	Heron TP UAS	---	+6,400
	Integrated Rugged Checkpoint Container	---	+2,000
	Integrated Support System for Soldiers	---	+1,360
	Ka-Band Mini-Multimode Search and Imaging Radar Completion and Flight Testing	---	+2,400
	Reconnaissance and Data Exploitation System	---	+3,200
	Technical Exploitation Operations Center, Gowen Field	---	+2,800
	Technology for Rapid Foreign Language Acquisition for Specialized Military and Intelligence Purposes	---	+2,560
	Transparent Lithia Alumina Silicate Glass Ceramic Armor Systems	---	+2,800
	Unmanned Aerial Platforms to Support First Responders During Local and National Disaster	---	+1,200
	COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES--PROLIF PREV & DEFEAT		
27	DEFEAT	295,163	295,163
28	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY	132,220	92,220
	SM-3 Block IIB Development transfer to line 84, AEGIS BMD	---	-40,000
29	JOINT ADVANCED CONCEPTS	6,808	6,808
	JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY		
30	DEVELOPMENT	22,700	22,700
	AGILE TRANSPO FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (AT21) -		
31	THEATER CA	750	750
32	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS	303,078	241,378
	ArcLight	---	-5,000
	ISIS lack of transition partner	---	-21,700
	MoTr program delays	---	-15,000
	Vulture program descope and delays	---	-20,000
33	SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY	98,130	98,130
	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM -		
34	ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	177,113	235,813
	Monmouth University Dynamic Visualization Information Project	---	+3,500
	Bioterrorism and Battlefield Medical Solutions	---	+4,000
	Automated Sample Preparation for Biological Detection	---	+800
	TMTI BA 5 unexecutable funding transferred back to S&T at request of the Department	---	+45,600
	Army Plant Vaccine Development Program	---	+2,400
	NIDS Handheld Common Identifier for Biological Agents	---	+2,400
35	JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	8,386	8,386
36	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	206,917	201,517
	Unjustified growth	---	-15,000
	Cultural Intelligence for Enhanced Strategic Communications	---	+1,600
	MAGIC UAS	---	+8,000
37	NETWORKED COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES	30,035	25,035
	Unjustified growth	---	-5,000
38	JOINT DATA MANAGEMENT RESEARCH	6,289	4,289
	Excessive growth	---	-2,000
39	BIOMETRICS SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	11,416	11,416
40	CYBER SECURITY ADVANCED RESEARCH	10,000	5,000
	Lack of authorization	---	-5,000

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING		
41	(HSCB) ADV	11,510	10,510
	Excessive growth	---	-1,000
42	DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROG	18,916	46,676
	Ohio Enhanced Defense Alternate Energy Suppliers Program	---	+3,760
	Industrial Base Innovation Fund	---	+24,000
43	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM/AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS	9,943	13,143
	National Robotics Training Center	---	+3,200
	GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY		
44	DEMONSTRATIONS	20,542	40,402
	Eastern Kentucky University Cellulosic-Derived Biofuels Research Project	---	+2,400
	Next Generation Manufacturing Technologies Initiative	---	+3,000
	Woody Biomass Conversion to Jet Fuel	---	+2,500
	Biofuels Program	---	+1,600
	Commodity Management Systems Consolidation Program	---	+1,360
	Continuous Acquisition and Life-Cycle Support Integrated Data Environment and Defense Logistics Enterprise Services Program	---	+2,720
	Electronic Commodity Project	---	+680
	Green Product Evaluation and Implementation Program	---	+2,400
	Radio Frequency Identification Technologies	---	+1,000
	Thin-Film PV Module Deployment and Verification	---	+2,200
	DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE		
45	TECHNOLOGY	29,109	29,109
46	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM	68,021	65,421
	Environmental Baseline Assessment and Monitoring U.S. Navy South Florida Testing Facility Offshore Range	---	+1,400
	Unexecutable growth	---	-4,000
	MICROELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT		
47	SUPPORT	26,878	41,198
	Superconducting Quantum Information Technology	---	+2,320
	Electronics and Materials for Flexible Sensors and Transponders	---	+5,200
	3-D Laser Radar Technology Development	---	+2,800
	Rapid DNA Identification System	---	+1,600
	Terrorist Threat Detection System for Shipping Containers	---	+2,400
48	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM	10,966	10,966
49	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES	197,098	200,298
	Institute of Advanced Flexible Manufacturing Systems	---	+3,200
	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM		
52	PROGRAM	200,986	245,746
	High Performance Computational Design of Novel Materials	---	+3,000
	High Performance Computing in Biomedical Engineering and Health Sciences Benefitting the Warfighter	---	+1,760
	Program adjustment	---	+40,000
	COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS		
53	SYSTEMS	219,809	219,809
54	CLASSIFIED DARPA PROGRAMS	167,008	150,308
	Poor justification materials	---	-16,700
55	NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	234,985	227,985
	Unsustained growth	---	-7,000
56	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	205,032	205,032
	DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY		
58	DEVELOPMENT	13,986	13,986
59	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE	30,910	30,910
61	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS	78,244	61,844
	Excessive growth	---	-13,000
	P826 - Excess to Quick Reaction Fund requirements	---	-7,000
	Mobile Renewable Energy for U.S. Bases in Afghanistan	---	+2,400
	Warfighter Interoperability Technologies	---	+1,200
62	JOINT EXPERIMENTATION	111,946	91,946
	Excessive growth	---	-20,000
63	MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE	38,140	33,140
	Unexecutable growth	---	-5,000
64	DIRECTED ENERGY RESEARCH	98,688	123,688
	Program Increase	---	+25,000
65	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	97,642	99,242
	Active Duty Military Training and Entrepreneurship Program	---	+1,600
66	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	23,310	24,270
	Unjustified growth	---	-6,000
	FirstLink	---	+1,600
	Center for Innovation at Arlington	---	+2,160
	MilTech Extension Program	---	+1,600
	SpringBoard Technology Transfer	---	+1,600

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY		
67	DEVELOPMENT	30,806	48,726
	SOF ACTD Programs	---	+8,000
	Partnership for Defense Innovation Wi-Fi Laboratory		
	Testing and Assessment Center	---	+2,400
	Antennas and Carbon Nanotube Devices for Intelligence	---	+1,600
	Collision Avoidance System for UAVs	---	+1,600
	Escalation of Force Non-Lethal Technology for Special		
	Operations Forces	---	+2,400
	Small Assault Vessel Expeditionary	---	+1,920
68	AVIATION ENGINEERING ANALYSIS	4,234	4,234
	SOF INFORMATION & BROADCAST SYSTEMS		
69	ADVANCED TECHNOLOG	4,942	4,942
69X	INNOVATIVE RESEARCH	0	124,200
	Program adjustment	---	+124,200
	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY		
70	EQUIPMENT	32,132	35,332
	Street Sweeper	---	+3,200
71	RETRACT LARCH	21,592	21,592
72	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM	9,878	9,878
73	ADVANCE SENSOR APPLICATIONS PROGRAM	18,060	18,060
	ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL		
74	CERTIFICATION PROGRAM	30,419	39,219
	Inland Empire Groundwater Perchlorate Remediation	---	+6,400
	Toxic Chemicals and Hazardous Material Improvement and		
	Remediation	---	+2,400
	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE		
75	SEGMENT	436,482	431,482
	Funding no longer required for transition to Reagan Test		
	Site	---	-5,000
	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE		
76	SEGMENT	1,346,181	1,311,181
	Excess Award Fee and Test and Integration Delays	---	-35,000
78	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	277,062	287,562
	Improved Nerve Agent Treatment System - slow obligation		
	rate in fiscal year 2010	---	-5,000
	Butanol Production Research	---	+6,960
	Lightweight Chemical/Biological Ensemble execution delays	---	-1,000
	Advanced Non-Woven Biological and Chemical Agent		
	Protective Gear	---	+2,080
	Automated PicoLiter Digital Nucleic Acid Analysis for Medical		
	Biologic Detection and Diagnostics	---	+1,300
	Detecting Exposure to Nerve Agents	---	+2,160
	Military Medical Decontamination System	---	+4,000
79	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS	454,859	392,159
	Transfer to line 88 for Concurrent Test, Training and		
	Operations	---	-35,900
	Transfer to line 88 for TPY-2 C2BMC Fielding	---	-13,000
	Transfer to line 88 for BMDS Radars Communications		
	Sustainment (TPY-2)	---	-13,800
81	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST & TARGETS	1,113,425	1,008,525
	Transfer to lines 82 and 88	---	-94,900
	Funding no longer required for move to Reagan Test Site	---	-5,000
	Program Growth in Program Operations Systems		
	Engineering and Systems Management	---	-5,000
82	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ENABLING PROGRAMS	402,769	406,269
	Transfer from line 81	---	+43,500
	Excessive contractor support, advisory services and		
	program growth	---	-40,000
83	SPECIAL PROGRAMS - MDA	270,189	245,189
	Transfer to higher priority near-term MDA procurement		
	programs	---	-25,000
84	AEGIS BMD	1,467,278	1,573,278
	Program growth	---	-12,000
	Navy requested transfer from OP,N line 109	---	+72,500
	Aegis BMD Ships - Navy requested transfer from OM,N line		
	1B5B	---	+1,500
	SM-3 Block IIB Development - transfer from line 28	---	+40,000
	Multiple-Target-Tracking Optical Sensor-Array Technology	---	+4,000
85	SPACE SURVEILLANCE & TRACKING SYSTEM	112,678	112,678
	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE		
87	PROGRAMS	10,942	10,942
88	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE C2BMC	342,625	456,725
	Transfer from line 81 for Concurrent Test, Training and		
	Operations	---	+51,400
	Transfer from line 79 for Concurrent Test, Training and		
	Operations	---	+35,900
	Transfer from line 79 for TPY-2 C2BMC Fielding	---	+13,000

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	Transfer from line 79 for BMDS Radar Communications Sustainment (TPY-2)	---	+13,800
	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER		
90	SUPPORT	68,726	58,726
	Duplication of effort with MDA core programs	---	-10,000
91	CENTER (MDIOC)	86,198	86,198
92	REGARDING TRENCH	7,529	7,529
93	SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX)	153,056	153,056
98	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS	121,735	209,935
	David's Sling Weapons Program	---	+38,000
	Arrow System Improvement Program (ASIP)	---	+42,000
	Arrow 3 Upper Tier Interceptor Program	---	+8,200
99	HUMANITARIAN DEMINING	14,735	15,335
	ORDATA II	---	+600
100	COALITION WARFARE	13,786	13,786
101	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM	4,802	48,142
	Corrosion Training Simulation	---	+1,840
	National Center for Education Research on Corrosion and Materials Performance	---	+2,000
	Corrosion Control, Prevention and Prediction through Polymer	---	+4,800
	Department of Defense Corrosion Prevention and Control Program	---	+34,700
	DOD UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON		
102	DEVELOPMENT	49,292	53,292
	Center for UAS Research, Education and Training	---	+4,000
	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING		
104	(HSCB) RES	7,459	7,459
105	JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION COMMAND (JSIC)	19,413	19,413
106	JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION & INTEROPERABILITY TEAM	16,637	16,637
107	LAND-BASED SM-3 (LBSM3)	281,378	281,378
108	AEGIS SM-3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT	318,800	322,000
	Chemical Vapor Composite Silicon Carbide and Silicon Carbide Corrugated Mirror Processes for the SM-3 Block IIA Telescope	---	+3,200
109	PRECISION TRACKING SPACE SYSTEM RDT&E	66,969	36,969
	Transfer to higher priority near-term MDA procurement programs	---	-30,000
110	AIRBORNE INFRARED (ABIR)	111,671	76,671
	Transfer to higher priority near-term MDA procurement programs	---	-35,000
111	REDUCTION OF TOTAL OWNERSHIP COST	20,310	20,310
	JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET)		
112	PROGRAM	4,027	4,027
113	DEFENSE ACQUISITION CHALLENGE PROGRAM (DACP)	24,344	24,344
	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY		
114	EQUIPMENT	7,973	7,973
115	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT	239,861	239,861
116	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	407,162	301,922
	Plague Vaccine - slow obligation rate in fiscal year 2010	---	-5,000
	TMTI BA 5 unexecutable funding transferred back to S&T at request of the Department	---	-65,600
	Bioscavenger Increment II schedule delays	---	-12,000
	Decontamination Family of Systems schedule delays	---	-9,000
	Next Generation Chemical Standoff Detection schedule delays	---	-9,000
	SSI NBCRS growth without acquisition strategy	---	-6,000
	Joint Services Aircrew Mask (JSAM) Don/Doff In-flight Upgrade	---	+1,360
117	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM	4,155	4,155
	ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS)		
118	JPO)	49,364	23,695
	Technology Initiatives Investment Fund	---	-25,669
	JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		
119	(JTIDS)	20,954	20,954
	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT		
120	CAPABILITIES	7,307	10,507
	Electric Grid Test Bed	---	+3,200
121	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	11,937	11,937
	DEFENSE INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES		
122	SYSTEM	11,800	11,800
123	BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION AGENCY R&D ACTIVITIES	184,131	181,166
	VIPS Increment II contract award in fiscal year 2012	---	-2,965
124	HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE	391	391
125	OUS(D) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES	5,000	5,000
126	TRUSTED FOUNDRY	35,512	40,312
	Trusted Foundry	---	+4,800

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
128	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM WOUNDED ILL AND INJURED SENIOR OVERSIGHT	17,842	17,842
130	COMMITTEE OFF	1,590	1,590
132	DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS)	5,113	5,113
133	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT	8,052	8,052
134	DEVELOPMENT	162,286	168,686
	Border Security and Defense Systems Research	---	+2,400
	Pacific Region Interoperability Test and Evaluation	---	+4,000
135	ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS	2,500	5,700
	Jefferson Program	---	+3,200
136	THERMAL VICAR JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY	8,851	8,851
137	(JMETC)	10,287	10,287
138	TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS	49,282	55,682
	Energy Storage Systems for DoD	---	+6,400
139	USD(A&T)--CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	4,743	4,743
140	FOREIGN MATERIAL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE	95,520	95,520
141	ORGANIZATION	94,577	94,577
142	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P)	0	106,000
	Classified Program USD(P)	---	+106,000
143	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING	32,755	27,755
	Unjustified growth	---	-5,000
144	SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	29,824	37,024
	Sustainment of fiscal year 2010 level	---	+7,200
145	NUCLEAR MATTERS - PHYSICAL SECURITY SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION	6,264	6,264
146	INTEGRATION	15,091	15,091
147	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE) DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT	6,227	6,227
147X	CAPACITY	0	12,000
	Program increase - contract management services program	---	+12,000
148	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH/CHALLENGE	120,995	120,995
155	ADMINISTR	2,189	2,189
156	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	13,858	11,158
	P796 - Technical Grand Challenge Program	---	-2,700
157	FORCE TRANSFORMATION DIRECTORATE	19,701	19,701
158	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC)	61,054	58,554
	Excessive growth	---	-2,500
	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING & EVALUATION	64,737	66,737
	Cybersecurity and Operational Identity Management	---	+2,000
160	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	18,688	25,888
	Sustainment of fiscal year 2010 level	---	+7,200
161	DARPA AGENCY RELOCATION MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT)	11,000	11,000
162	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS	56,257	56,257
163	AVIATION SAFETY TECHNOLOGIES	6,099	6,099
164	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT	10,900	10,900
165	Growth without acquisition strategy SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO)	23,081	8,081
	---	---	-15,000
168	CAPABILITIES	31,500	36,500
	Virtual Integrated Support for the Information Operations eNvironment	---	+5,000
169	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY RAPID ACQUISITION	5,135	5,135
170	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	10,000	10,000
171	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO)	21,272	21,272
173	WARFIGHTING AND INTELLIGENCE-RELATED SUPPORT COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING	845	845
174	TRANSFORMATION	92,253	55,488
	Playas Training and Research Center Joint National Training Experiment	---	+2,400
	P 754 - Initiatives funded by Services	---	-33,315
	P 764 - NPSUE funding without program	---	-10,250
	Agile Software Capability Intervention	---	+1,600
	Modeling and Simulation Development in Support of National Security Training and Decision Analysis	---	+2,800
175	PENTAGON RESERVATION	20,482	20,482
176	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS - MDA	29,754	29,754
177	IT SOFTWARE DEV INITIATIVES	278	278
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	61,577	61,577
178	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR SECURITY (DISS)	5,522	1,000
	Unjustified program	---	-4,522

R-1	---	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
	REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH &		
179	PARTNERSHIP FOR PEAC	2,139	2,139
	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED		
180	INFORMATION SY	290	290
	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL		
181	SYSTEMS D	6,634	6,634
183	JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY	44,139	44,139
185	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	2,288	2,288
186	C4I INTEROPERABILITY	74,023	74,023
188	JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING	9,379	9,379
	NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE		
195	SUPPORT	467	467
	DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING AND		
196	INTEGRATION	16,629	36,629
	Cyber Security Pilot Programs	---	+20,000
197	LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS (DCS)	9,130	13,130
	Critical Asset Protection for Electro-Magnetic Pulse	---	+4,000
	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS		
198	NETWORK	9,529	9,529
199	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI)	8,881	8,881
200	KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI)	45,941	45,941
201	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	14,077	14,077
202	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	388,827	390,827
	Ensuring Reliable Internet Communications	---	+2,000
205	C4I FOR THE WARRIOR	2,261	2,261
206	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	26,247	25,047
	Fiscal year 2012 testing	---	-1,200
207	JOINT SPECTRUM CENTER	20,991	20,991
208	NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)	3,366	3,606
	Creating an Assured Joint DoD and Interagency		
	Interoperable Net-Centric Enterprise	---	+240
209	JOINT MILITARY DECEPTION INITIATIVE	1,161	1,161
210	TELEPORT PROGRAM	6,880	6,880
211	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES	16,272	23,872
	Maritime ISR Technology Center	---	+1,600
	Quiet Tactical UAV Development	---	+3,200
	UAV/UAS Test Facility	---	+2,800
214	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	501	2,101
	Secure Procurement Logistics Project	---	+1,600
216	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	2,251	3,851
	Cyber Security Initiative	---	+1,600
217	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	10,486	10,486
221	POLICY R&D PROGRAMS	9,136	9,136
223	NET CENTRICITY	29,831	14,831
	Unjustified growth	---	-15,000
227	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	1,290	1,290
230	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	3,513	3,513
232	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV	98	98
	HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER		
234	PROGRAM	2,988	2,988
	INT'L INTELLIGENCE TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT,		
235	ADVANCEMENT	1,416	1,416
245	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	21,798	21,798
246	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	2,813	2,813
247	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (JCS)	2,807	2,807
249	NATO AGS	93,885	93,885
250	MQ-9 UAV	98	98
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS AVIATION SYSTEMS ADVANCED		
252	DEV	68,691	68,691
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TACTICAL SYSTEMS		
253	DEVELOPMENT	1,582	1,582
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS		
254	DEVELOPMENT	23,879	30,279
	Program Increase - Unfunded Requirement	---	+1,600
	Advanced, Long Endurance Unattended Ground Sensor		
	Technologies	---	+2,000
	Camouflage Direction-finding Antennas Program	---	+2,800
255	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	62,592	69,692
	Program Increase - Unfunded Requirement	---	+4,000
	Program termination	---	-2,900
	ASIC Miniaturization for SOF Sensor and Night Vision		
	Technologies	---	+4,000
	Upgrade of FilmArray Bio-threat Detection System	---	+2,000
256	SPECIAL OPERATIONS CV-22 DEVELOPMENT	14,406	14,406
257	JOINT MULTI-MISSION SUBMERSIBLE	14,924	0
	SOCOM requested transfer to line 269	---	-14,924
	MISSION TRAINING AND PREPARATION SYSTEMS		
259	(MTPS)	2,915	2,915

R-1		Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
261	MC130J SOF TANKER RECAPITALIZATION	7,624	7,624
	SOF COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT AND		
262	ELECTRONICS SYSTEMS	1,922	922
	Execution delays	---	-1,000
263	SOF TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEMS	2,347	2,347
264	SOF WEAPONS SYSTEMS	479	479
265	SOF SOLDIER PROTECTION AND SURVIVAL SYSTEMS	593	2,193
	Responsive Textiles	---	+1,600
267	SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES	1,994	994
	Change in requirements	---	-1,000
268	SOF ROTARY WING AVIATION	14,473	33,715
	SOCOM requested transfer from P,DW line 57	---	+19,242
269	SOF UNDERWATER SYSTEMS	13,986	31,950
	Lithium Ion Battery Safety - Detection and Control	---	+1,600
	SOCOM requested transfer from line 257	---	+14,924
	Phoenix Non-Gasoline Burning Outboard Engine	---	+1,440
270	SOF SURFACE CRAFT	2,933	18,933
	Program Increase - CCM Unfunded Requirement	---	+16,000
271	SOF PSYOP	4,193	4,193
272	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	5,135	5,135
273	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	9,167	9,167
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	3,832,019	4,037,531
	Classified adjustment	---	+179,552
	Armed Forces Health and Food Supply Research	---	+3,200
	Center for Intelligence and Security Studies	---	+3,000
	Collaboration for Improved Missile Assessments	---	+2,000
	Hawaii Advanced Laboratory for Information Integration	---	+2,960
	Initiative to Advance Adaptive Petascale Supercomputing	---	+6,400
	Intelligence Collection Management Tool Follow-on	---	
	Development	---	+2,000
	NetCentric Intelligence Node-Pacific	---	+2,400
	neu VISION - Intelligent Explosives Detection System	---	+2,400
	Biological And Chemical Warfare Online Repository of	---	
	Technical Holdings 2 System	---	+1,600
---	---	---	---
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &		
	EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	20,661,600	21,171,272
---	---	---	---
	OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE		
---	---	---	---
1	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION	59,430	59,430
2	LIVE FIRE TEST AND EVALUATION	12,899	12,899
3	OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES	122,581	122,581
---	---	---	---
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE	194,910	194,910
---	---	---	---
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &		
	EVALUATION	76,130,700	77,596,141
---	---	---	---

SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT COST ESTIMATION

The Secretary of Defense is directed to provide the congressional defense committees a report, not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, on its analysis identifying the common attributes of successful software intensive programs. The report should include the required metrics, data, and resources that are needed and used to better estimate and baseline future Department of Defense software program developments.

CONTRACT MANAGEMENT SERVICES PILOT PROGRAM

The Department of Defense has recently started a pilot program, in conjunction with the Ability One Services program, in which wounded veterans are trained and employed to conduct contract closeout activities. Thus far, in the infancy of the effort, millions of dollars have been recouped and over 1,500 contracts have been closed out with no rework required. The program could reasonably achieve a ratio of 10:1 return on investment. The recommendation provides an additional \$12,000,000 in the "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide" appropriation to expand this pilot program. The Director of Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy shall be responsible for the management of this pilot program and shall provide a quarterly report to the congressional defense committees on the progress of the pilot program.

BIOMETRICS

Due to concern that the fiscal year 2011 request for biometrics funded duplicative efforts, the recommendation decreases biometrics funding by \$12,000,000. To ensure the program benefits from information technology best practices, the Secretary of the Army shall submit a report not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act which details: how biometric data will interface with and leverage the Army's Digital Common Ground System's cloud architecture that allows for real-time updates, device agnostic tactical nodes, and existing cross domain security capabilities that handle U.S. persons data; how the next generation biometric database configuration incorporates the lessons learned from earlier large intelligence database software license acquisitions; and how military intelligence program funding and non-military intelligence program funding will be determined for biometric programs going forward.

EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTING VEHICLE

A total of \$222,265,000 is provided for the Expeditionary Fighting Vehicle, including \$145,265,000 for termination liability. Funds designated for termination liability may be released for use in system development and demonstration activities upon certification by the Secretary of Defense to the congressional defense committees that testing on prototype vehicles has demonstrated sufficient confidence to meet program requirements.

BONE MARROW REGISTRY

The recommendation includes \$31,500,000 for the Department of the Navy, to be administered by the C.W. "Bill" Young Marrow Donor Recruitment and Research Program, also known as and referred to within the Naval Medical Research Center as the Bone Marrow Registry. Funds appropriated for the C.W. "Bill" Young Marrow Donor Recruitment and Research Program shall remain available only for the purposes for which they were appropriated, and may only be obligated for the C.W. "Bill" Young Marrow Program. Further, the agencies involved in contingency planning are encouraged to continue to include the C.W. "Bill" Young Marrow Donor Recruitment and Research Program in the development and testing of their contingency plans. The Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) shall show this as a congressional special interest item. The Department is further directed to release all the funds appropriated for this purpose to the C.W. "Bill" Young Marrow Donor Recruitment and Research Program not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act.

COMMON DATA LINKS

The Secretary of the Air Force is directed to research, define and test a government specified interface that ensures open access to configuration commands between the host platform and common data links (CDL). Once defined, the Air Force should include this interface into the existing CDL family of specifications for new CDL terminal procurement contract awards. Recognizing the benefits of multiple vendors supplying competitive offerings for this vital communication service, the Secretary of Defense is encouraged to ensure that all Department of Defense platforms employing CDL shall adopt the Air Force defined CDL interface and that multiple vendor participation occurs in the further development of CDL family of specifications.

BEST INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES FOR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPOTS

The recommendation includes \$8,000,000 to be used for the analysis of emerging technologies and best industrial processes for insertion into the Department of Defense maintenance depots. The funding will help the Department identify technological gaps, perform return on investment analyses and conduct procurement studies based on business case analyses studies.

GROUND MOVING TARGET INDICATION (GMTI) RADAR DEVELOPMENT

The recommendation provides \$12,000,000 for Ground Moving Target Indication (GMTI) Radar Development to conduct studies and analysis on candidate GMTI radar technologies which could lead to the development of platform-independent prototypes to demonstrate critical technical parameters (range, minimum detectable velocity and target location error) to support initial integration with air vehicles such as the E8 Joint Surveillance Attack Radar System, the Navy's P8A Multi-mission Maritime Aircraft, RQ4 Global Hawk or others. The Secretary of the Air Force is directed to provide an execution plan for these funds not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and the Senate. Subsequent updates shall be provided at the beginning of the first and third quarter of each fiscal year until all funds are obligated and expended.

DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY (DARPA)

The Director of DARPA is directed to provide to the congressional defense committees, not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, a report detailing by program element and project the application of undistributed reductions.

CHEMICAL TESTING ON ANIMALS

The Secretary of the Defense is directed to report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, on the impact of reducing or restricting funds provided for the purchase of animals for the purposes of demonstrating the effects of chemical agents on the animals.

Title V—Revolving and Management Funds

For Revolving and Management Funds, funds are to be available for fiscal year 2011, as follows:

[in thousands of dollars]:

	Budget Request	Recommendation
--	--	--
DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS		
--	--	--
DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS	1,434,536	1,434,536
--	--	--
NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND		
--	--	--
STRATEGIC SHIP ACQUISITION	411,202	511,202
Mobile Landing Platform Advance Procurement		100,000
DoD MOBILIZATION ASSETS	158,647	158,647
STRATEGIC SEALIFT SUPPORT	4,875	4,875
SEALIFT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	28,012	28,012
READY RESERVE FORCE OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	332,130	332,130
MARITIME ADMINISTRATION SHIP FINANCING GUARANTEE PROGRAM	--	40,000
TEXAS MARITIME ACADEMY TRAINING VESSEL CONVERSION	--	2,400
--	--	--
TOTAL, NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND	934,866	1,077,266
--	--	--
DEFENSE COALITION SUPPORT FUND		
--	--	--
DEFENSE COALITION SUPPORT FUND	10,000	0
Program Reduction	--	-10,000
TOTAL, DEFENSE COALITION SUPPORT FUND	10,000	0
TOTAL, REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS	2,379,402	2,511,802

TITLE VI—OTHER DEPARTMENT OF
DEFENSE PROGRAMS

[in thousands of dollars]:

For Other Department of Defense Programs, funds are to be available for fiscal year 2011, as follows:

	Budget Request	Recommendation
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM		
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	29,915,277	29,697,516
IN-HOUSE CARE	7,781,877	7,795,389
Army Substance Abuse Program - Transfer to OM,A line 131	2,800	-2,800
Fort Drum Regional Health Planning Organization		+344
Military Family Wellness Initiative		+468
Madigan Army Medical Center Trauma Assistance Program		
at Tacoma Trauma Trust		+3,500
Pain Management Task Force		+12,000
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE	16,034,745	15,673,745
TRICARE Underexecution		-236,000
Global Deployment of the Force medical research funding -		
DOD requested transfer to maintain full funding for the		
program		-125,000
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH CARE	2,122,483	2,095,370
Army Substance Abuse Program - Transfer to OM,A line 131	27,825	-27,825
Psychological Health - State Directors for the National Guard -		
Transfer to OM, ARNG line 133		-8,888
AFIP/Joint Pathology Center Records Digitization and		
Repository Modernization		+9,600
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT/IT	1,452,330	1,454,330
Virtual Agent Technology Pilot Program - afterdeployment.org		+1,200
Childrens Hospital Integrated Patient Electronic Records		
Systems		+800
MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS	293,698	288,698
MHS Strategic Communications efficiencies		-5,000
EDUCATION AND TRAINING	632,534	642,374
Shock Trauma Center/National Traumatic Injury Simulation		
Training Center		+2,400
African Humanitarian Medical Outreach Program		+1,040
Advanced Medical Training and Technology Platform		+2,400
Web-Based Teaching Programs for Military Social Work		+4,000
BASE OPERATIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS	1,597,610	1,747,610
Medical Facilities Sustainment, Restoration and		
Modernization		+150,000
PROCUREMENT	519,921	534,921
Procurement of Medical Equipment and IO&T - Navy		+15,000
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	499,913	1,228,333
ALS		+8,000
Armed Forces Institute of Regenerative Medicine		+4,800
Autism Research		+6,400
Bone Marrow Failure Disease Research Program		+4,000
Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy		+4,000
Global HIV/AIDS Prevention		+10,000
Traumatic Brain Injury and Psychological Health		+100,000
Global Deployment of the Force medical research funding -		
Department of Defense requested transfer to maintain full		
funding for the program		+125,000
Gulf War Illness Peer-Reviewed Research Program		+8,000
Multiple Sclerosis		+4,800
Diabetes Model Program		+2,400
Peer-Reviewed Alzheimer Research		+15,000
Peer-Reviewed Breast Cancer Research Program		+150,000
Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research Program		+16,000
Peer-Reviewed Lung Cancer Research Program		+12,800
Peer-Reviewed Orthopedic Research Program		+24,000
Peer-Reviewed Ovarian Cancer Research Program		+20,000
Peer Reviewed Vision research in conjunction with the DoD		
Vision Center of Excellence		+4,000
Peer-Reviewed Prostate Cancer Research Program		+80,000
Peer-Reviewed Spinal Cord Research Program		+12,000
Research in Alcohol and Substance Use Disorders		+5,200
SBIR to the core funded RDT&E		+1,200
Tuberous Sclerosis Complex (TSC)		+6,400
Customized Nursing Programs		+2,000
Health Research and Disparities Eradication Program		+6,400
Autism Brain Morphology Library		+1,600
Nanoparticle Delivery Systems for Pocket Vaccines		+1,120
Medical Evaluation Data Conversion Support System		+800
Pain Management Task Force Research		+4,000

		Budget Request	Recommendation
---	Soldier Health Promotion to Examine and Reduce Suicide Deaths	---	+2,000
---	Combined Injury Research Consortium	---	+2,000
---	Old Dominion University Bioelectrics Research for Casualty Care and Management	---	+1,600
---	Accelerated Therapies for Healing Battlefield Wounds and Scars	---	+3,000
---	Rescue Eagle Program	---	+3,200
---	High Bandwidth, Mobile Medical Communications Platform to Revolutionize Rural Health Care	---	+1,600
---	Austen BioInnovation Institute in Akron	---	+4,000
---	Hawaii Federal Health Care Network	---	+21,100
---	Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program	---	+50,000
---	TOTAL, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM	30,935,111	31,460,770
CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE			
---	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,067,364	1,067,364
---	PROCUREMENT	7,132	7,132
---	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION	392,811	392,811
---	TOTAL, CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE	1,467,307	1,467,307
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE			
PC1293	Supplies and Materials (non-fund) - NSA	---	-1,000
PC1329	Other Intra-Governmental Purchases - Navy	---	-2,500
PC6501	Other Intra-Governmental Purchases - OSD	---	-2,000
PC9206	Other Intra-Governmental Purchases - OSD	---	-4,000
PC9205	EUCOM Counternarcotics Operations Support excessive growth	---	-3,000
PC1293	International crime and narcotics analytic tools excessive growth	---	-1,000
PC2360	EUCOM Tactical Analysis Team Support unauthorized new Start	---	-1,500
---	FFRDC cost growth and CN indicated no need	---	-11,394
---	National Guard Counter-Drug Program-State Plans	---	+50,000
---	Young Marines-Drug Demand Reduction	---	+2,000
---	Oculus Counter-Drug/Counter-IED Intelligence, Surveillance, Target Acquisition and Reconnaissance ISTAR Platform	---	+2,400
---	Florida Counter-Drug Program	---	+3,200
---	Western Regional Counter-Drug Training Center	---	+1,600
---	Tennessee National Guard Counter-Drug Task Force, Appalachia	---	+3,500
---	Indiana National Guard Counter-Drug Program	---	+2,400
---	Nevada National Guard Counter-Drug Program	---	+4,500
---	Alaska National Guard Counter-Drug Program	---	+2,400
---	Colorado Joint Counter-Drug Task Force	---	+1,200
---	Delaware National Guard Counter-Drug Task Force	---	+240
---	Hawaii National Guard Counter-Drug	---	+3,000
---	Kentucky National Guard Marijuana Eradication Efforts	---	+4,000
---	Midwest Counter-Drug Training Center	---	+5,600
---	Minnesota National Guard Counter-Drug Program	---	+1,600
---	Montana National Guard Counter-Drug Task Force	---	+800
---	New Mexico National Guard Counter-Drug Support Program	---	+4,800
---	Northeast Counter-Drug Training Center	---	+2,800
---	Regional Counter-Drug Training Academy-Meridian	---	+3,400
---	Texas Army National Guard Joint Counter-Drug Task Force	---	+1,600
---	Utah National Guard Counter-Drug State Plan	---	+1,200
---	West Virginia Counter-Drug Program	---	+680
---	TOTAL, DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE	1,131,351	1,207,877

		Budget Request	Recommendation
		---	---
JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND			
---	---	---	---
4	STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE	215,868	0
---	Transfer to Title IX	---	-215,868
---	---	---	---
---	TOTAL, JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND	215,868	0
---	---	---	---
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL			
---	---	---	---
---	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	282,354	305,794
---	Program Increase	---	+23,440
---	PROCUREMENT	1,000	1,000
---	---	---	---
---	TOTAL, OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL	283,354	306,794
---	---	---	---
---	TOTAL, OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS	34,032,991	34,442,748

DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM REPROGRAMMING PROCEDURES

The recommendation designates the funding for the Direct Care System as a congressional special interest item, as defined elsewhere in this statement. The Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) is also directed to provide quarterly reports to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and the Senate on budget execution data for all of the Defense Health Program accounts and to adequately reflect changes to the budget activities requested by the Services in future budget submissions.

CARRYOVER

The Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) is directed to submit a detailed spending plan for any fiscal year 2010 designated carryover funds to the congressional defense committees not later than 15 days prior to executing the carryover funds.

PRIVATE SECTOR CARE SHORTFALL

The recommendation reduces the Private Sector Care budget activity group by \$236,000,000 as a result of pharmaceutical rebates being realized and the Military Health System adjusting to more normalized rates, creating excess funds. The Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) is directed to report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act on the status of additional rebates that have been collected.

PEER-REVIEWED CANCER RESEARCH PROGRAM

The recommendation provides \$16,000,000 for a peer-reviewed cancer research program. The Department of Defense is directed to provide a report not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees on the status of the peer-reviewed cancer research programs. The funds provided are directed to be used to conduct research in the following areas: melanoma and other skin cancers, pediatric and childhood cancer research, genetic cancer research, pancreatic cancer, kidney cancer, blood cancer, colorectal cancer, mesothelioma, radiation protection utilizing nanotechnology, and Listeria Vaccine for infectious disease and cancer. The funds provided under the Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research Program shall be used only for the purposes listed above.

PEER-REVIEWED MEDICAL RESEARCH PROGRAM

The recommendation provides \$50,000,000 for a Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program and the Secretary of Defense, in conjunction with the Service Surgeons General, is directed to select medical research projects of clear scientific merit and direct relevance to military health. Research areas considered under this funding are restricted to: chronic fatigue syndrome, chronic migraine and post-traumatic headache, drug abuse, epidermolysis bullosa, epilepsy, fragile x syndrome, inflammatory bowel disease, interstitial cystitis, lupus, neuroblastoma, osteoporosis and related bone disease, Paget's disease, pancreatitis, pheochromocytoma, polycystic kidney disease, post-traumatic osteoarthritis, scleroderma, social work research, and tinnitus. The additional funding provided under the Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program shall be devoted only to the purposes listed above.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PHARMACY DATA TRANSACTION SERVICE

According to Army reports, the prescription of pain management medications is handled inconsistently at military medical facilities, particularly in theater, where prescription data is not transmitted to the De-

partment of Defense's Pharmacy Data Transaction Service. The Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) is directed to provide a report to the congressional defense committees on the obstacles and steps toward such transmission not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act.

JOINT PATHOLOGY CENTER AND NATIONAL TISSUE REPOSITORY

The Joint Pathology Center (JPC) was established in accordance with Section 722 of the National Defense Authorization Act, 2008. Given the importance of the JPC and its assigned mission in medical research, education, consultation and management of the National Tissue Repository, the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) is directed to include funding for the JPC in the upcoming fiscal year 2012 budget request.

SMOKING CESSATION IN THE MILITARY

There are significant burdens caused by tobacco use in the United States military including its impact on readiness and productivity. The Department of Defense shall continue implementing the recommendations outlined in the Institute of Medicine report Combating Tobacco Use in Military and Veterans Populations."

TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY AND PSYCHOLOGICAL HEALTH

The Secretary of Defense is directed to report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act on the availability of appropriate and accessible counseling to servicemembers and their families who live in locations that are not close to military treatment facilities, other Military Health System health facilities or TRICARE providers. Initiatives, such as telehealth, clinical standards supporting TBI and psychological health, and training and education outreach should also be included.

CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE AT WALTER REED ARMY MEDICAL CENTER (WRAMC)/WALTER REED NATIONAL MILITARY MEDICAL CENTER (WRNMMC)

The recommendation includes the budget request for the following Centers of Excellence at the current Walter Reed Army Medical Center: Breast Care, Gynecologic, Prostate, Pain and Neuroscience, and Integrated Cardiac Health (Wellness). The Secretary of Defense is directed to include full funding for the Centers of Excellence at WRAMC and WRNMMC in the fiscal year 2012 budget submission as these centers are the forefront in the advancement of modern medical care.

TITLE VII—RELATED AGENCIES

CLASSIFIED ANNEX

Adjustments to the classified programs are addressed in a separate detailed and comprehensive classified annex. The Intelligence Community, Department of Defense and other organizations are expected to fully comply with the recommendations and directions in the classified annex accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2011.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY SYSTEM FUND

For the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund, \$292,000,000 is provided for fiscal year 2011.

INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT

For the Intelligence Community Management Account, \$649,732,000 is provided for fiscal year 2011.

INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION

To meet the statutory requirement of achieving an unqualified National Intelligence Program financial audit by September 30, 2013, a business transformation transfer fund has been created for the Director of the Business Transformation Office and the designated functional lead intelligence element to identify to the congressional intelligence committees and the Office of Management and Budget which of the following intelligence elements; the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, the Central Intelligence Agency, the National Reconnaissance Office, and the Defense Intelligence Agency, will take the lead for the following business areas: Acquire-to-Retire, Budget-to-Report, Procure-to-Pay, Hire-to-Retire, Data Center Infrastructure and Data Security. The lead intelligence element will select the single business system for each functional area by April 15, 2011, and lead the implementation and business process standardization of that specific business area for the other four Intelligence elements and the Office of the Director of National Intelligence. There are two general provisions in Title VIII of this Division that provide the authority for the lead element to leverage the existing business system program of record funds and to expend the transfer fund dollars under the policy direction and architectural guidance of the Director of the Business Transformation Office. Not later than 15 days prior to making such transfers, the Director of National Intelligence shall submit a report on such transfers to the congressional intelligence committees.

TITLE VIII GENERAL PROVISIONS

The recommendation includes general provisions which address requests by the Administration for various authorities, the continuation or modification of provisions carried in Acts from previous years, or new matters of specific concern. The bill also includes rescissions to prior year appropriations, as detailed in the table below.

(RESCISSIONS)

Language is included that rescinds \$1,113,536,000 from the following programs:

2009 Appropriations:

Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army:	
Future Combat Systems	\$86,300,000
Other Procurement, Army:	
Armored Security Vehicles	55,000,000
Force XXII Battle Command Brigade and Below	30,600,000
Semi-trailers, Flatbed Aircraft Procurement, Navy:	
KC-130J	12,000,000
F/A-18E/F	14,100,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:	
Global Hawk excess funds	49,000,000
C-130 AMP	31,900,000
HC/MC updated pricing	36,000,000

2010 Appropriations:

Aircraft Procurement, Army:	
Tactical SIGINT Payload	14,000,000
Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army:	
Future Combat Systems spin-outs	19,600,000

Improved Recovery Vehicle	8,700,000	Other Procurement, Air Force:	
MK-19 Grenade Machine Gun Modifications	7,700,000	FAB-T	36,600,000
Missile Procurement, Army:		Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army:	
GMLRS	9,171,000	Aircraft Avionics—JTRS AMF	10,200,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy:		HFDS	15,000,000
EA-18G MYP savings ...	89,120,000	Future Combat System—Class IV UAV	
F/A-18E/F MYP savings	72,727,000	Program of Record ...	12,000,000
F-18 Series ECO	17,000,000	TUAV-TSP	16,300,000
E-6 Series	6,000,000	Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force:	
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps:		B-2	90,000,000
General Purpose Bombs	11,576,000	Classified Program	10,000,000
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy:		Alternative Fuels	10,000,000
DDG-51main reduction gear savings	22,000,000	Small Diameter Bomb	22,000,000
Other Procurement, Navy:		Engine CIP	15,000,000
Minesweeping System		JSTARS	14,600,000
Replacement	5,400,000	RQ-4 UAV	18,000,000
Aircraft Launch Recovery	3,642,000	C-5 Airlift Squadrons ..	19,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:		Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide:	
B-2A	5,900,000	BMD Hercules	10,000,000
B-52	39,300,000		
C-17 Modifications	12,200,000		
C-130J updated pricing	7,000,000		
C-130 AP updated pricing	15,100,000		
HC/MC-130 AP	46,900,000		
HC/MC-130 updated pricing	13,200,000		
Initial Spares—Joint Stars Re-engining	11,700,000		

TITLE IX—OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY

OPERATIONS

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Secretary of Defense is directed to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act on the allocation of the funds within the accounts listed in this title. The Secretary shall submit updated reports 30 days after the end of each fiscal quarter until funds listed in this title are no longer

available for obligation. These reports shall include: a detailed accounting of obligations and expenditures of appropriations provided in this title by program and subactivity group for the continuation of military operations in Iraq and Afghanistan and a listing of equipment procured using funds provided in this title. It is expected that, in order to meet unanticipated requirements, the Secretary of Defense may need to transfer funds within these appropriations accounts for purposes other than those specified in this report. The Secretary of Defense is directed to follow normal prior approval reprogramming procedures should it be necessary to transfer funding between different appropriations accounts in this title.

Additionally, the Secretary of Defense is directed to continue to report incremental contingency operations costs for Operation New Dawn and Operation Enduring Freedom on a monthly basis in the Cost of War Execution report as required by Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation, Chapter 23, Volume 12. Further, the Secretary of Defense is directed to continue to provide the Cost of War Reports to the congressional defense committees that include the following information by appropriation: funding appropriated, funding allocated, monthly obligations, monthly disbursements, cumulative fiscal year obligations, and cumulative fiscal year disbursements.

MILITARY PERSONNEL

For Military Personnel, funds are to be available for fiscal year 2011, as follows:

[in thousands of dollars]:

M-1	Budget Request	Recommendation
MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY		
BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS		
BASIC PAY	1,237,779	1,237,779
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	313,278	313,278
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	349,839	349,839
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	44,752	44,752
INCENTIVE PAYS	2,835	2,835
SPECIAL PAYS	159,261	159,261
ALLOWANCES	56,632	56,632
SEPARATION PAY	1,303	1,303
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	94,650	94,650
TOTAL, BA-1	2,260,329	2,260,329
BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
BASIC PAY	2,708,271	2,708,271
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	693,325	693,325
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,113,877	1,113,877
INCENTIVE PAYS	6,714	6,714
SPECIAL PAYS	574,120	574,120
ALLOWANCES	241,921	241,921
SEPARATION PAY	26,276	26,276
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	207,174	207,174
TOTAL, BA-2	5,571,678	5,571,678
BA-4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	329,046	329,046
SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	1,871,805	1,871,805
TOTAL, BA-4	2,200,851	2,200,851
BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL		
ACCESSION TRAVEL	45,512	45,512
OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	107,025	107,025
ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	45,514	45,514
TOTAL, BA-5	198,051	198,051
BA-6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS		
INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	16,102	16,102
DEATH GRATUITIES	66,220	66,220
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	192,223	192,223
RESERVE INCOME REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	1,895	1,895
SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	171,060	171,060
TOTAL, BA-6	447,500	447,500
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		789,624
Undistributed Transfer from Title I		+789,624
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY	10,678,409	11,468,033
MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY		
BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS		
BASIC PAY	213,340	213,340
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	59,067	59,067
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	67,023	67,023
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	7,315	7,315
INCENTIVE PAYS	1,543	1,543
SPECIAL PAYS	16,667	16,667
ALLOWANCES	16,754	16,754
SEPARATION PAY	14	14
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	16,320	16,320
TOTAL, BA-1	398,043	398,043
BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
BASIC PAY	262,656	262,656
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	74,338	74,338
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	121,913	121,913
INCENTIVE PAYS	325	325
SPECIAL PAYS	80,007	80,007
ALLOWANCES	27,692	27,692
SEPARATION PAY	3,535	3,535
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	20,093	20,093
TOTAL, BA-2	590,559	590,559

M-1	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---
BA-4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL	---	---
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	28,639	28,639
SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	14,546	14,546
TOTAL, BA-4	43,185	43,185
---	---	---
BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL	---	---
ACCESSION TRAVEL	5,214	5,214
OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	23,903	23,903
ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	30,110	30,110
SEPARATION TRAVEL	3,132	3,132
TOTAL, BA-5	62,359	62,359
---	---	---
BA-6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS	---	---
DEATH GRATUITIES	3,800	3,800
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	29,662	29,662
SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	51,111	51,111
TOTAL, BA-6	84,573	84,573
---	---	---
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	---	130,000
Higher than Budgeted Mobilization Levels	---	+110,000
Increased Deployment Levels	---	+20,000
---	---	---
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY	1,178,719	1,308,719
---	---	---
MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS		
---	---	---
BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS	---	---
BASIC PAY	40,079	40,079
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	13,308	13,308
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	18,565	18,565
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	1,760	1,760
SPECIAL PAYS	10,747	10,747
ALLOWANCES	4,805	4,805
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	4,176	4,176
TOTAL, BA-1	93,440	93,440
---	---	---
BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL	---	---
BASIC PAY	190,013	190,013
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	43,090	43,090
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	45,977	45,977
SPECIAL PAYS	95,395	95,395
ALLOWANCES	40,431	40,431
SEPARATION PAY	3,017	3,017
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	13,435	13,435
TOTAL, BA-2	431,358	431,358
---	---	---
BA-4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL	---	---
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	21,420	21,420
TOTAL, BA-4	21,420	21,420
---	---	---
BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL	---	---
ACCESSION TRAVEL	3,270	3,270
TOTAL, BA-5	3,270	3,270
---	---	---
BA-6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS	---	---
DEATH GRATUITIES	27,000	27,000
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	19,942	19,942
SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	48,345	48,345
TOTAL, BA-6	95,287	95,287
---	---	---
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	---	88,145
Over Budgeted End Strength	---	+88,145
---	---	---
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	644,775	732,920
---	---	---
MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE		
---	---	---
BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS	---	---
BASIC PAY	188,334	188,334
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	45,953	45,953
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	58,889	58,889
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	7,320	7,320
SPECIAL PAYS	13,613	13,613
ALLOWANCES	5,760	5,760
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	14,408	14,408
TOTAL, BA-1	334,277	334,277

M-1	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---
---	---	---
BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL	---	---
BASIC PAY	472,896	472,896
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	115,387	115,387
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	177,545	177,545
SPECIAL PAYS	49,964	49,964
ALLOWANCES	16,254	16,254
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	36,177	36,177
TOTAL, BA-2	868,223	868,223
---	---	---
BA-4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL	---	---
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	39,090	39,090
SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	61,805	61,805
TOTAL, BA-4	100,895	100,895
---	---	---
BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL	---	---
OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	5,957	5,957
TOTAL, BA-5	5,957	5,957
---	---	---
BA-6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS	---	---
DEATH GRATUITIES	2,000	2,000
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	27,978	27,978
SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	67,057	67,057
TOTAL, BA-6	97,035	97,035
---	---	---
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	---	654,055
Higher than Budgeted Mobilization Levels	---	+378,000
Over Budgeted End Strength	---	+276,055
---	---	---
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	1,406,387	2,060,442
---	---	---
RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY		
---	---	---
BA-1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING	---	---
PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	104,230	104,230
SCHOOL TRAINING	9,886	9,886
SPECIAL TRAINING	153,915	153,915
TOTAL, BA-1	268,031	268,031
---	---	---
TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY	268,031	268,031
---	---	---
RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY		
---	---	---
BA-1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING	---	---
SCHOOL TRAINING	7,019	7,019
SPECIAL TRAINING	38,683	38,683
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	3,210	3,210
TOTAL, BA-1	48,912	48,912
---	---	---
TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY	48,912	48,912
---	---	---
RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS		
---	---	---
BA-1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING	---	---
SCHOOL TRAINING	5,467	5,467
SPECIAL TRAINING	24,797	24,797
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	373	373
TOTAL, BA-1	30,637	30,637
---	---	---
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	---	14,800
Over Budgeted End Strength	---	+14,800
---	---	---
TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	30,637	45,437
---	---	---
RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE		
---	---	---
BA-1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING	---	---
SPECIAL TRAINING	27,002	27,002
TOTAL, BA-1	27,002	27,002
---	---	---
TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	27,002	27,002
---	---	---
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY		
---	---	---
BA-1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING	---	---

M-1	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---
--- PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	231,547	231,547
--- SPECIAL TRAINING	550,090	550,090
--- ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	46,485	46,485
--- TOTAL, BA-1	828,122	828,122
---	---	---
--- UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	---	24,900
--- Support to Southwest Border	---	+24,900
---	---	---
--- TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY	828,122	853,022
---	---	---
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE		
---	---	---
--- BA-1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING	---	---
--- SPECIAL TRAINING	21,060	11,060
--- Excess to Need	---	-10,000
--- TOTAL, BA-1	21,060	11,060
---	---	---
--- UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	---	5,800
--- Support to Southwest Border	---	+5,800
---	---	---
--- TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	21,060	16,860
---	---	---
--- TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL	15,132,054	16,829,378

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

[in thousands of dollars]:

For Operation and Maintenance, funds are to be available for fiscal year 2011, as follows:

O-1	Budget Request	Recommendation
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY		
131 BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	0	1,000,000
Increased Peacetime Base Operations Support Costs to Redeployment of Soldiers from Iraq		+1,000,000
135 ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	47,638,208	44,608,615
Reduced Deployment Level		-2,500,000
Transfer to SAG 421 for Subsistence Transportation Costs		-1,013,000
Transfer From Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund for Detainee Operations		+80,000
Transfer from JIEDDO: Synchronization and Integration WTI Cell		+3,200
Transfer from JIEDDO: Thermal Station (National IED Exploitation Facility (NIEF))		+13,000
Transfer from JIEDDO: Beachcomber		+3,000
Transfer from JIEDDO: Counter Bomber		+1,500
Transfer from JIEDDO: CREW-SSM Universal Test Set		+3,000
Transfer from JIEDDO: Subtle Magnetic Anomaly Detection Network Systems		+1,000
Transfer from JIEDDO: Technical Collection Training Program		+16,400
Transfer from Title II: Chemical Defense Equipment Sustainment		+8,579
Transfer from Title II: MRAP Vehicle Sustainment at Combat Training Centers		+6,420
Transfer from Title II: Body Armor Sustainment		+71,660
Transfer from Title II: Rapid Equipping Force Readiness		+9,294
Transfer from Title II: Fixed Wing Life Cycle Contract Support		+21,171
Transfer from Title II: Overseas Security Guards		+200,000
Transfer from Title II: Senior Leader Initiative: Comprehensive Soldier Fitness Program		+30,000
Transfer from Title II: Survivability and Maneuverability Training		+15,183
136 COMMANDERS EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM	1,300,000	500,000
Program reduction		-400,000
Transfer to Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund		-400,000
137 RESET	7,840,211	6,261,568
Army-Identified Excess Reset Requirement		-1,578,643
411 SECURITY PROGRAMS	2,358,865	2,364,265
Transfer from JIEDDO: Air Vigilance		+5,400
421 SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	3,465,334	4,478,334
Transfer from SAG 135 for Subsistence Transportation Costs		+1,013,000
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY	62,602,618	59,212,782
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY		
1A1A MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	1,839,918	1,839,918
1A2A FLEET AIR TRAINING	3,453	3,453
1A3A AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SVCS	1,400	1,400
1A4A AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	26,837	26,837
1A4N AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	44,567	44,567
1A5A AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	233,114	281,114
Aircraft Depot Maintenance Increase		+48,000
1B1B MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	1,151,465	1,151,465
1B2B SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	27,472	27,472
1B4B SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,266,556	1,290,556
Ship Depot Maintenance Increase		+24,000
1C1C COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	38,468	38,468
1C4C WARFARE TACTICS	82,801	32,801
Navy Identified Excess to Requirement for CENTCOM Operations		-50,000
1C5C OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	24,855	24,855
1C6C COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	2,737,727	2,930,528
Transfer from Title II: Naval Expeditionary Combat Command Increases		+192,801
1C7C EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	3,677	3,677
1CCH COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	7,000	7,000
COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION		
1CCM SUPPORT	7,455	7,455
1D3D IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	99,118	100,118

O-1	Budget Request	Recommendation
Transfer from JIEDDO: CREW-SSM Universal Test Set	---	+1,000
1D4D WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	82,519	82,519
1D7D OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	16,938	16,938
BSIT ENTERPRISE INFORMATION	10,350	0
ONE-NET Baseline Budget Requirement	---	-10,350
BSM1 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	28,250	49,250
Continuing Operations at Guantanamo Bay--Transfer From Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund	---	+21,000
BSS1 BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	381,749	436,249
Continuing Operations at Guantanamo Bay--Transfer From Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund	---	+4,000
Transfer from JIEDDO: Counter Bomber	---	+500
Transfer from Title II: Regional/Emergency Operations	---	+50,000
2A1F SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	27,300	27,300
2C1H FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	4,400	4,400
2C3H COAST GUARD SUPPORT	254,461	0
Transfer to Department of Homeland Security	---	-254,461
3B1K SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	81,454	84,454
Transfer from Title II: NAVSEA VSSS/EOD Training	---	+3,000
3B4K TRAINING SUPPORT	5,400	0
Training Support Baseline Budget Requirement	---	-5,400
4A1M ADMINISTRATION	4,265	4,265
4A2M EXTERNAL RELATIONS	467	467
4A3M CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	450	450
4A4M MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	11,214	11,214
4A5M OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	2,706	2,706
4A6M SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	28,671	28,671
4B1N SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	300,868	300,868
4B3N ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	6,091	6,091
4B7N SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	2,153	2,153
4C1P NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	78,464	78,464
9999 OTHER PROGRAMS	22,581	22,581
---	---	---
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY	8,946,634	8,970,724
---	---	---
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS		
---	---	---
1A1A OPERATIONAL FORCES	2,448,572	2,317,572
Excess to Requirement for Cargo UAS	---	-90,400
Transfer to RDTE,N for Cargo UAS	---	-36,000
Transfer to OP,N for AM-2 Matting	---	-4,600
1A2A FIELD LOGISTICS	514,748	517,248
Transfer from JIEDDO: Counter Bomber	---	+1,000
Transfer from JIEDDO: CREW-SSM Universal Test Set	---	+1,000
Transfer from JIEDDO: Subtle Magnetic Anomaly Detection Network Systems	---	+500
1A3A DEPOT MAINTENANCE	523,250	523,250
1B1B MARITIME PREPOSITIONING	7,808	7,808
BSS1 BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	55,301	55,301
3B4D TRAINING SUPPORT	223,071	223,071
4A3G SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	360,000	360,000
4A4G ADMINISTRATION	3,772	3,772
---	---	---
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	4,136,522	4,008,022
---	---	---
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE		
---	---	---
011A PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,896,647	1,896,647
011C COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	1,954,759	1,954,759
011D AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING	113,948	113,948
011M DEPOT MAINTENANCE	297,623	399,983
Weapons System Sustainment	---	+102,360
011R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	704,463	504,463
Unjustified Growth From Fiscal Year 2010 Baseline	---	-200,000
011Z BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	1,780,052	1,780,052
012A GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	128,632	128,632
012C OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS	397,894	397,894
013A LAUNCH FACILITIES	28,975	28,975
013C SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	34,091	34,091
015A COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	127,861	127,861
021A AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	4,403,800	4,403,800

O-1	Budget Request	Recommendation
021D MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	240,394	240,394
021M DEPOT MAINTENANCE	217,023	217,023
021R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	20,360	20,360
021Z BASE SUPPORT	57,362	57,362
031R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,948	1,948
031Z BASE SUPPORT	6,088	6,088
032A SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	45,893	45,893
032B FLIGHT TRAINING	20,277	20,277
032C PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	1,500	1,500
032D TRAINING SUPPORT	1,820	1,820
041A LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	292,030	292,030
041R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	10,500	10,500
041Z BASE SUPPORT	31,985	31,985
042A ADMINISTRATION	5,438	5,438
042B SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	247,149	247,149
042G OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	113,082	113,082
043A SECURITY PROGRAMS	305,689	305,689
REDUCED DEPLOYMENT LEVELS	---	-400,000
---	---	---
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	13,487,283	12,989,643
---	---	---
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE		
---	---	---
1PL1 JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	20,500	20,500
1PL2 SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	3,012,026	2,903,126
Information Operations	---	-49,400
Leased Aircraft: Unjustified Request	---	-65,500
Transfer from JIEDDO: Wolfhound II	---	+6,000
ES18 DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	14,799	14,799
4GT6 DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	27,000	27,000
4GT9 DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	136,316	144,316
Increase Afghanistan FOB Fiber Connectivity	---	+8,000
4GTJ DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	74,862	74,862
4GTA DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY	120,469	116,969
Overstatement of Habeas Corpus Civilian Personnel Pricing	---	-3,500
4GTJ DEFENSE DEPENDENTS EDUCATION	485,769	501,769
Additional Funding for Outreach and Reintegration Services	---	---
Under the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program	---	+16,000
4GTD DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	2,000,000	2,000,000
4GTI DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	1,218	1,218
4GTN OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	188,099	173,099
Knowledge Management	---	-15,000
9999 OTHER PROGRAMS	3,345,300	3,299,332
Classified Adjustments	---	-49,168
Transfer from JIEDDO: Synchronization and Integration	---	---
WTI Cell	---	+3,200
---	---	---
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE	9,426,358	9,276,990
---	---	---
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE		
---	---	---
135 ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	286,950	206,784
Army Reserve Identified Excess to Requirement	---	-80,166
---	---	---
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE	286,950	206,784
---	---	---
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE		
---	---	---
1A1A MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	49,089	49,089
1A3A INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	400	400
1A5A AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	17,760	17,760
1B1B MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	9,395	9,395
1B4B SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	497	497
1C1C COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	3,185	3,185
1C6C COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	12,169	12,169
4A4M MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	1,064	1,064
---	---	---
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE	93,559	93,559

O-1		Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE			
---	---	---	---
1A1A	OPERATING FORCES	23,571	23,571
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	6,114	6,114
---	---	---	---
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE		29,685	29,685
---	---	---	---
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE			
---	---	---	---
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	116,924	191,124
	Weapons System Sustainment	---	+74,200
011Z	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	12,683	12,683
---	---	---	---
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE		129,607	203,807
---	---	---	---
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
---	---	---	---
135	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	544,349	497,849
	Distance Learning--Transferred to Baseline OMARNG SAG		
	121	---	-9,000
	Air OPTEMPO Duplicate Request	---	-44,000
	Support to the Southwest Border	---	+6,500
---	---	---	---
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		544,349	497,849
---	---	---	---
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
---	---	---	---
011F	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	152,896	152,896
011G	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	57,800	59,400
	Support to Southwest Border	---	+1,600
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	140,127	205,687
	Weapons System Sustainment	---	+65,560
---	---	---	---
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD		350,823	417,983

O-1	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND		
---	---	---
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND	1,551,781	0
Transfer to OM,A SAG 135	---	-80,000
Transfer to OM,N SAGs BSS1 and BSM1	---	-25,000
Unjustified Program Change	---	-1,446,781
---	---	---
TOTAL, OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER ACCOUNT	1,551,781	0
---	---	---
AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND		
---	---	---
Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund--Transfer from CERP	---	+400,000
---	---	---
TOTAL, AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND	0	400,000
---	---	---
AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND		
---	---	---
Afghan National Army	7,467,014	7,467,014
Infrastructure	1,790,933	1,790,933
Equipment and Transportation	1,846,623	1,846,623
Training and Operations	836,842	836,842
Sustainment	2,992,616	2,992,616
Afghan National Police	4,085,437	4,085,437
Infrastructure	1,078,413	1,078,413
Equipment and Transportation	917,966	917,966
Training and Operations	990,213	990,213
Sustainment	1,098,845	1,098,845
Related Activities	66,832	66,832
Detainee Operations -- Sustainment	6,037	6,037
Detainee Operations -- Training and Operations	1,530	1,530
Detainee Operations -- Infrastructure	58,265	58,265
COIN Activities	1,000	1,000
---	---	---
TOTAL, AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND	11,619,283	11,619,283
---	---	---
IRAQ SECURITY FORCES FUND		
---	---	---
Defense Security Forces	1,656,906	1,656,906
Equipment and Transportation	1,067,706	1,067,706
Training	248,075	248,075
Sustainment	341,125	341,125
Interior Security Forces	268,094	268,094
Equipment and Transportation	220,469	220,469
Sustainment	47,625	47,625
Related Activities	75,000	75,000
Authorization Reduction	---	-500,000
---	---	---
TOTAL, IRAQ SECURITY FORCES FUND	2,000,000	1,500,000
---	---	---
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	115,205,452	109,427,111

AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND

At the request of the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State, the recommendation includes a new appropriation to fund infrastructure projects in Afghanistan. These projects will be jointly formulated by the Department of Defense and the Department of State and will be executed in support of the counterinsurgency strategy in Afghanistan. The Secretary of Defense is directed to notify the appropriate committees of Congress not fewer than 15 days prior to making transfers or obligations to or from this Fund.

AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND

The Secretary of Defense is directed to follow guidance included in Senate Report 111-295 regarding the oversight of the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (ASFF) and contracts, except for the following changes. The ASFF Executive Council shall include representatives from the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller); Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics); the senior contracting executives of the Defense Logistics Agency and relevant military departments; Commander, Central Command; Commander, NTM-A/CSTC-A; Director, Defense Security Cooperation Agency; Director, Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA); and Director, Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA) and shall meet on a quarterly basis at a minimum. The Council shall ensure the development of an acquisition strategy to fulfill the commander's needs and clearly articulate contract requirements. Appropriate procedures and personnel shall be in place in CONUS and Afghanistan for sound financial steward-

ship of the ASFF to include following all required federal regulations, oversight of all service and equipment contracts, and review of contractor invoices. DCMA and DCAA are responsible for pre and post-award peer reviews for large contracts and the Council shall ensure the distribution of peer-review lessons learned. In addition to the responsibilities outlined above, the Steering Council should encourage building the capacity of Afghans to perform contracting, accounting and payroll functions that are currently being performed by Department of Defense and contract personnel.

TASK FORCE FOR BUSINESS AND STABILITY
OPERATIONS IN AFGHANISTAN

Temporary authority has been included for the Task Force for Business and Stability Operations in Afghanistan only for fiscal year 2011 to assist the International Security Assistance Force and the U.S. Embassy, Kabul in developing economic stability and opportunity in Afghanistan through strategic business and economic projects. Those efforts must be jointly coordinated and approved by the U.S. military and civilian leadership in Afghanistan, and be directly linked to the Government of Afghanistan's economic development strategy. Projects may only be carried out subject to the direction and oversight of the Secretary of Defense and with the concurrence of the Secretary of State.

This authority has been granted in order to avoid disrupting projects currently underway that are viewed by the leadership of both U.S. Forces—Afghanistan and U.S. Embassy, Kabul as important to achieving U.S.

objectives in Afghanistan. These projects clearly fall outside the normal jurisdiction and expertise of the Department of Defense and should have been developed and resourced by the Afghan government or U.S. civilian entities operating in Afghanistan. If it is required to continue these projects and efforts beyond fiscal year 2011 then the Department of Defense, in coordination with the Department of State and the U.S. Agency for International Development must develop and implement a transition plan to the respective civilian entities for fiscal year 2012 and beyond. This transition plan shall be delivered to the Congress concurrent with the President's fiscal year 2012 budget submission.

INFORMATION OPERATIONS

The Overseas Contingency Operations budget request includes \$61,000,000 for information operations programs in Iraq. The recommendation fully funds the request. However, it is directed that not more than \$31,000,000 of the funds provided may be expended by the Department of Defense until 15 days after the Undersecretary of Defense (Comptroller) submits a report to both the Committees on Appropriations of the House and the Senate which details in writing a formal spending plan for all fiscal year 2011 funding provided for this purpose.

PROCUREMENT

For Procurement, funds are to be available for fiscal year 2011, as follows:

[in thousands of dollars]:

P-1	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
---	---	---
2 C-12 CARGO AIRPLANE (OCO)	78,060	78,060
4 MQ-1 UAV (OCO)	47,000	24,000
Reduction to Projected Battle Losses	---	-23,000
5 RQ-11 (RAVEN) (OCO)	17,430	17,430
9 AH-64 APACHE BLOCK III	---	34,600
War Replacement Aircraft	---	+34,600
11 UH-60 BLACKHAWK (OCO)	40,500	373,400
Program Increase for Army National Guard	---	+80,000
Three Combat Loss UH-60	---	+52,500
Accelerate 12 Aircraft	---	+200,400
13 CH-47 HELICOPTER (OCO)	70,600	258,400
Accelerate Six Aircraft	---	+187,800
16 C12 AIRCRAFT MODS (OCO)	122,340	122,340
17 MQ-1 PAYLOAD - UAS (OCO)	3,600	3,600
19 GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP) (OCO)	30,200	6,000
Authorization Adjustment	---	-24,200
20 MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP) (OCO)	86,200	86,200
21 AH-64 MODS (OCO)	199,200	654,200
AH-64A to AH-64D Conversion for the Texas and Mississippi National Guard	---	+455,000
23 CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS (OCO)	82,900	66,900
Cargo On/Off Loading System (COOLS) ahead of need	---	-16,000
27 UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS (OCO)	14,530	14,530
28 KIOWA WARRIOR (OCO)	187,288	160,378
Fielded Fleet Upgrades	---	+20,000
Limit Ramp Rate on Replacement Aircraft	---	-46,910
29 AIRBORNE AVIONICS (OCO)	24,983	24,983
31 RQ-7 UAV MODS (OCO)	97,800	546,500
Funding Ahead of Need	---	-1,000
Transfer from Title III	---	+497,500
Ahead of Need	---	-47,800
36 ASE INFRARED CM (OCO)	197,990	182,990
Excess to Need	---	-15,000
38 COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT (OCO)	65,627	65,627
40 AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL (OCO)	7,555	---
Unjustified Request	---	-7,555
---	---	---
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY	1,373,803	2,720,138
---	---	---
MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
---	---	---
4 HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY (OCO)	190,459	190,459
6 TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY (OCO)	112,769	112,769
13 ITAS/TOW MODS (OCO)	40,600	40,600
---	---	---
TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY	343,828	343,828
---	---	---
PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY		
---	---	---
4 STRYKER VEHICLE (OCO)	---	545,000
Transfer from Stryker Modifications, line 9	---	+445,000
Increase for Stryker Double V Hull	---	+100,000
9 STRYKER VEHICLE MODS (OCO)	445,000	---
Transfer to Stryker Vehicle, line 4	---	-445,000
22 MACHINE GUN, CAL .50, M2 ROLL	---	79,496
Transfer from Title III	---	+79,496
26 MORTAR SYSTEMS (OCO)	8,600	8,600
28 XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (OCO)	22,500	22,500
COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION	---	---
(OCO)	100,000	100,000
32 HOWITZER LT WT 155MM (T) (OCO)	62,000	62,000
36 M4 CARBINE MODS (OCO)	12,900	42,900
Program Increase	---	+30,000
37 M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS (OCO)	15,000	15,000
40 M119 MODIFICATIONS (OCO)	21,500	21,500
---	---	---
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY	687,500	896,996
---	---	---

P-1		Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY			
---	---	---	---
2	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	32,604	13,000
	Per Army Request	---	-19,604
4	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES (OCO)	128,876	47,000
	Per Army Request	---	-81,876
5	CTG, 20MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	20,056	10,500
	Per Army Request	---	-9,556
7	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	23,826	9,500
	Per Army Request	---	-14,326
8	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	62,700	25,000
	Per Army Request	---	-37,700
11	120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES (OCO)	120,160	26,900
	APMI Unit Cost Savings	---	-50,100
	Per Army Request	---	-43,160
15	CTG, ARTY, 105MM: ALL TYPES (OCO)	37,620	15,000
	Per Army Request	---	-22,620
16	CTG, ARTY, 155MM: ALL TYPES (OCO)	37,620	15,000
	Per Army Request	---	-22,620
	MODULAR ARTILLERY CHARGE SYS,		
18	ALL TYPES (OCO)	15,048	6,000
	Per Army Request	---	-9,048
19	ARTILLERY FUZES, ALL TYPES (OCO)	12,540	5,000
	Per Army Request	---	-7,540
	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL		
24	TYPES (OCO)	17,556	---
	Per Army Request	---	-17,556
25	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES (OCO)	139,285	139,285
26	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES (OCO)	---	20,000
	Per Army Request	---	+20,000
27	GRENADERS, ALL TYPES (OCO)	2,000	---
	Per Army Request	---	-2,000
31	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES (OCO)	15,000	---
	Per Army Request	---	-15,000
	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION, ALL		
40	TYPES (OCO)	37,700	37,700
---	---	---	---
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY		702,591	369,885
---	---	---	---
OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY			
---	---	---	---
5	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV) (OCO)	516,350	398,925
	Battle Loss Replacement	---	+8,875
	Contract Savings	---	-126,300
7	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (OCO)	188,677	199,809
	Battle Loss Replacement	---	+11,132
9	ARMORED SECURITY VEHICLES (ASV) (OCO)	52,780	52,780
10	MINE PROTECTION VEHICLE FAMILY (OCO)	136,700	367,678
	Transfer from Title III	---	+230,978
14	HMMWV RECAPITALIZATION PROGRAM (OCO)	989,067	989,067
15	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP (OCO)	20,000	312,956
	Transfer from Title III	---	+292,956
24	WIN-T -GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK (OCO)	8,163	8,163
27	SHF TERM (OCO)	62,415	62,415
29	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (OCO)	13,500	63,500
	Additional DAGRs	---	+50,000
40	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS - OPA2 (OCO)	3,946	3,946
47	RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY (OCO)	78,253	78,253
48	MEDICAL COMM FOR CBT CASUALTY CARE (OCO)	15,000	15,000
51x	FAMILY OF BIOMETRICS	---	38,172
	Non-MIP Biometrics - Transfer from RDTE, A line 171	---	+38,172
53	BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS (OCO)	70,000	47,500
	Excess to Need	---	-22,500
55	INFORMATION SYSTEMS (OCO)	---	55,000
	Program Adjustment for Tactical Local Area Network (TACLAN)	---	+55,000
57	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD (OCO)	413,200	413,200
65	PROPHET GROUND (OCO)	18,900	18,900
70	DCGS-A (MIP) (OCO)	197,092	334,516
	Transfer from Title III	---	+137,424

P-1	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---
74 CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL (OCO)	52,277	47,377
Excess to Need	---	-4,900
75 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MIP) (OCO)	5,400	5,400
76 LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR (OCO)	25,000	10,000
Program Decrease	---	-15,000
77 WARLOCK (OCO)	225,682	225,682
COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY		
79 COUNTERMEASURES (OCO)	455,639	455,639
81 FAAD GBS (OCO)	167,460	167,460
84 NIGHT VISION DEVICES (OCO)	5,019	5,019
COUNTER-ROCKET, ARTILLERY & MORTAR (C-RAM)		
89 (OCO)	291,400	251,200
Funded Ahead of Need	---	-40,200
90 BASE EXPEDITIONARY TARGETING & SURV SYS (OCO)	486,050	408,050
Program Decrease	---	-78,000
95 MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS) (OCO)	69,800	69,800
96 FORCE XXI BATTLE CMD BRIGADE & BELOW (OCO)	135,500	135,500
98 LIGHTWEIGHT LASER DESIGNATOR/RANGEFINDER	22,371	22,371
99 COMPUTER BALLISTICS: LHMBBC XM32 (OCO)	1,800	1,800
101 COUNTERFIRE RADARS (OCO)	20,000	285,867
Transfer from Title III	---	+275,867
Funded Ahead of Need	---	-10,000
103 TACTICAL OPERATIONS CENTERS (OCO)	43,800	43,800
104 FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY (OCO)	566	13,566
Advanced Field Artillery Tactical Data System	---	+13,000
105 BATTLE COMMAND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT SYS	420	420
108 KNIGHT FAMILY (OCO)	49,744	49,744
110 AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY (OCO)	2,222	2,222
NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION & SERVICE		
114 (OCO)	5,000	5,000
115 MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (OCO)	60,111	60,111
121 AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP (OCO)	10,500	10,500
130 PROTECTIVE SYSTEMS (OCO)	5,690	5,690
135 TACTICAL BRIDGING, FLOAT RIBBON (OCO)	3,220	3,220
136 HANDHELD STANDOFF MINEFIELD DETECTION SYSTEM	---	28,000
Transfer from JIEDDO for Proper Execution	---	+28,000
137 GRND STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM (OCO)	191,000	191,000
141 HEATERS AND ECU'S (OCO)	8,708	8,708
149 FORCE PROVIDER (OCO)	261,599	52,499
Excess to Need	---	-209,100
150 FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT (OCO)	29,903	29,903
154 DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER (OCO)	55,105	55,105
155 WATER PURIFICATION SYSTEMS (OCO)	12,086	---
Funded Ahead of Need	---	-12,086
156 COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL (OCO)	8,680	8,680
157 MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS (OCO)	41,398	41,398
159 GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HVY, 6X4 (CCE) (OCO)	3,390	3,390
161 SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING (OCO)	3,195	3,195
164 LOADERS (OCO)	1,157	1,157
168 HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR FOS (OCO)	3,750	3,750
170 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP) (OCO)	4,140	4,140
174 GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP (OCO)	37,480	37,480
175 ROUGH TERRAIN CONTAINER HANDLER (OCO)	4,562	4,562
177 ALL TERRAIN LIFTING ARMY SYSTEM (OCO)	56,609	58,049
Battle Loss Replacement	---	+1,440
179 TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM (OCO)	28,624	28,624
180 CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER (OCO)	8,200	---
Funded Ahead of Need	---	-8,200
184 INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (OCO)	622	622
186 RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPT EQUIPMENT (OCO)	58,590	38,590
Excess to Need	---	-20,000
187 PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3) (OCO)	77,000	77,000
192 SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING (OCO)	1,987	1,987
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS (OCO)	775	775
---	---	---
TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY	5,827,274	6,423,832

P-1	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---
---	---	---
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
---	---	---
3 F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP)	---	495,000
Strike Fighter Shortfall Mitigation - Nine Aircraft	---	+495,000
11 UH-1Y/AH-1Z (OCO)	88,500	88,500
19 E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP)	---	175,000
Program Increase - Combat Loss Replacement	---	+175,000
29 EA-6 SERIES (OCO)	15,000	12,700
Install Equipment Program Adjustment	---	-2,300
31 AV-8 SERIES (OCO)	72,100	65,371
Pod Upgrade Kits Cost Growth	---	-1,529
GEN4 Pod Cost Growth	---	-5,200
32 F-18 SERIES (OCO)	43,250	43,250
34 AH-1W SERIES (OCO)	35,510	35,510
35 H-53 SERIES (OCO)	36,248	27,148
Funded Ahead of Need	---	-9,100
36 SH-60 SERIES (OCO)	6,430	6,430
39 P-3 SERIES (OCO)	6,000	6,000
48 SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT (OCO)	6,100	6,100
53 COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT (OCO)	38,700	31,020
Directed Infrared Countermeasures Installation Kit Cost Growth	---	-7,680
54 COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES (OCO)	14,100	14,100
55 COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM (OCO)	10,500	10,500
57 RQ-7 SERIES (OCO)	8,000	8,000
58 V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY (OCO)	36,420	36,420
59 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS (OCO)	3,500	208,500
Aviation Spares	---	+205,000
---	---	---
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY	420,358	1,269,549
---	---	---
WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
---	---	---
5 SIDEWINDER (OCO)	2,923	---
Non-combat Expenditures	---	-2,923
9 HELLFIRE (OCO)	85,504	85,504
26 SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS (OCO)	4,998	4,998
---	---	---
TOTAL, WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY	93,425	90,502
---	---	---
PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS		
---	---	---
1 GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS (OCO)	6,060	---
Contract Delay	---	-6,060
3 AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES (OCO)	76,043	76,043
4 MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION (OCO)	69,660	68,660
20mm Linked TP, PGU-27 Cost Growth	---	-1,000
7 AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES (OCO)	33,632	33,632
11 OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION (OCO)	455	455
12 SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO (OCO)	7,757	7,757
13 PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION (OCO)	1,209	1,209
15 SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION (OCO)	19,498	19,498
16 LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES (OCO)	4,677	4,677
17 40 MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	11,307	11,307
18 60MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	17,150	17,150
19 81MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	27,738	27,738
20 120MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	96,895	96,895
21 CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	990	990
22 GRENADES, ALL TYPES (OCO)	6,137	6,137
23 ROCKETS, ALL TYPES (OCO)	13,543	13,543
24 ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES (OCO)	137,118	137,118
25 DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES (OCO)	9,296	9,296
26 FUZE, ALL TYPES (OCO)	25,888	25,888
27 NON LETHALS (OCO)	31	31
---	---	---
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS	565,084	558,024
---	---	---

P-1		Budget Request	Recommendation
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
25	STANDARD BOATS (OCO)	30,706	23,706
	Riverine Patrol Boats - Unjustified Request	---	-7,000
57	MATCALs (OCO)	27,080	25,080
	ASPARCS - Unjustified Cost Growth	---	-2,000
74	EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION (OCO)	1,800	1,800
94	EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS (OCO)	---	4,600
	AM-2 Matting Expeditionary Airfield - Requested Transfer from OM,MC	---	+4,600
99	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT (OCO)	26,024	10,024
	CSEL Excess to Need	---	-16,000
117	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP (OCO)	132,386	10,386
	JCREW - Funding No Longer Required	---	-122,000
122	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES (OCO)	1,234	1,234
123	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS (OCO)	420	420
124	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP (OCO)	55,474	41,474
	Contract Delays	---	-14,000
126	TACTICAL VEHICLES (OCO)	91,802	91,802
129	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (OCO)	26,016	26,016
131	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT (OCO)	33,659	33,659
137	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT (OCO)	2,775	2,775
146	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT (OCO)	46,417	38,917
	ATFP Afloat - Ahead of Need	---	-7,500
149	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS (OCO)	4,942	4,942
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY	480,735	316,835
	PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS		
2	LAV PIP (OCO)	152,333	37,573
	Baseline Budget Requirement	---	-114,760
5	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER (OCO)	103,600	103,600
6	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM (OCO)	145,533	145,533
7	WEAPONS & COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 M (OCO)	7,329	7,329
9	MODIFICATION KITS (OCO)	12,000	12,000
10	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM (OCO)	18,571	18,571
16	UNIT OPERATIONS CENTER (OCO)	112,424	112,424
17	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT (OCO)	15,962	38,762
	OCO Shortfall: ETMS and Obsolescence Upgrades	---	+22,800
19	MODIFICATION KITS (OCO)	18,545	3,345
	Unexecutable Funding - CESAS	---	-15,200
20	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC) (OCO)	11,549	11,549
21	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS (OCO)	41,031	41,031
22	RADAR SYSTEMS (OCO)	5,493	10,993
	OCO Shortfall: TPS-59	---	+5,500
23	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM (OCO)	4,710	4,710
24	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT (OCO)	82,897	82,897
26	DCGS-MC (OCO)	21,789	21,789
28	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES (OCO)	29,412	29,412
29	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS (OCO)	36,256	36,256
30	RADIO SYSTEMS (OCO)	155,545	110,545
	E-LMR - Not an OCO Requirement	---	-45,000
31	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS (OCO)	63,280	28,280
	Previously Funded UUNS	---	-35,000
35	5/4T TRUCK HMMWV (MYP) (OCO)	12,994	---
	Service Requested Reduction	---	-12,994
37	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE REPLACEMENT (OCO)	80,559	80,559
38	LOGISTICS VEHICLE SYSTEM REP (OCO)	109,100	109,100
39	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS (OCO)	22,130	22,130
42	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT (OCO)	17,799	27,399
	OCO Shortfall: ECU and SFRS	---	+9,600
43	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT (OCO)	1,628	16,758
	OCO Shortfall: Tank and Pump Modules	---	+15,130
44	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS (OCO)	83,698	89,498
	OCO Shortfall: Liquid Fuel Storage	---	+5,800
45	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED (OCO)	41,536	41,536
47	EOD SYSTEMS (OCO)	213,985	188,985
	Excess to Requirement	---	-25,000
48	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT (OCO)	5,200	5,200
50	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP (OCO)	58,264	58,264
53	TRAINING DEVICES (OCO)	55,864	55,864
54	CONTAINER FAMILY (OCO)	8,826	8,826
56	FAMILY OF INTERNALLY TRANSPORTABLE VEHICLE (OCO)	28,401	28,401
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS	1,778,243	1,589,119

P-1		Budget Request	Recommendation
---		---	---
---		---	---
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE			
---		---	---
1	F-35 (OCO)	204,900	---
	Unjustified Request	---	-204,900
19	CV-22 (OCO)	---	70,000
	Program Increase - Provides for One Additional Combat Loss Aircraft	---	+70,000
25	HH-60M OPERATIONAL LOSS REPLACEMENT (OCO)	114,000	417,400
	Program Increase (Adds 10 Aircraft, Not Less Than Four for the Air National Guard)	---	+303,400
26	RQ-11 (OCO)	9,380	9,380
34	MQ-9 (OCO)	216,000	376,814
	Spares	---	-55,186
	Transfer 12 Aircraft from Title III	---	+216,000
37	B-1B (OCO)	8,500	8,500
39	A-10 (OCO)	16,500	16,500
44	C-5 (OCO)	73,400	73,400
47	C-17A (OCO)	224,450	176,450
	Program Decrease	---	-48,000
56	KC-10A (ATCA) (OCO)	3,540	3,540
62	C-130 (OCO)	166,720	166,720
63	C-130 MODS INTEL (OCO)	10,900	10,900
66	COMPASS CALL MODS	10,000	10,000
72	H-60 (OCO)	81,000	153,200
	Excess to Need for Radars	---	-61,000
	Program Increase - Transportable Blackhawk Operation Simulators	---	+92,800
	Program Increase - Control Display Unit Mission	---	+12,500
	Program Increase - GPS/Inertial Navigation Units	---	+27,900
75	OTHER AIRCRAFT (OCO)	61,600	61,600
78	MQ-9 PAYLOAD - UAS	45,000	160,383
	Transfer from Title III	---	+115,383
79	CV-22 MODS (OCO)	830	830
80	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	10,900	10,900
98	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES (OCO)	57,500	218,138
	Transfer from Title III	---	+160,638
104	DARP (OCO)	47,300	47,300
---		---	---
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		1,362,420	1,991,955
---		---	---
MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE			
---		---	---
5	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE (OCO)	41,621	41,621
10	AGM-65D MAVERICK (OCO)	15,000	15,000
---		---	---
TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		56,621	56,621
---		---	---
PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE			
---		---	---
2	CARTRIDGES (OCO)	30,801	30,801
4	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS (OCO)	53,192	53,192
5	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION (OCO)	147,991	147,991
11	FLARES (OCO)	20,486	20,486
12	FUZES (OCO)	24,982	24,982
13	SMALL ARMS (OCO)	15,507	15,507
---		---	---
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		292,959	292,959
---		---	---
OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE			
---		---	---
2	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE (OCO)	7,350	5,350
	Contract Savings	---	-2,000
5	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES (OCO)	15,540	13,540
	Uparmored HMMWV - Unjustified Cost Growth	---	-2,000
11	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000(VEHICLES)(OCO)	690	690
16	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIPMENT (OCO)	1,400	1,400
19	THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPROVEMEN	4,354	4,354
20	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST (OCO)	9,825	---
	OS-21 Contract Delays	---	-9,825
28	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM (OCO)	6,100	6,100

P-1		Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
38	USCENTCOM (OCO)	28,784	28,784
44	MILSATCOM SPACE (OCO)	4,300	4,300
46	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM (OCO)	8,200	8,200
47	TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT (OCO)	2,552	2,552
52	COMM ELECT MODS (OCO)	470	470
53	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES (OCO)	8,833	4,433
	NVCD-NSL Contract Delays	---	-4,400
57	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (OCO)	131,559	16,759
	JCREW Ahead of Need	---	-114,800
56	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT (OCO)	9,070	9,070
59	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT (OCO)	16,588	16,588
66	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG (OCO)	9,700	9,700
	OTHER PROGRAMS (OCO)	2,822,166	2,736,303
	Classified Adjustment	---	-85,863
---	---	---	---
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	3,087,481	2,868,593
---	---	---	---
PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE			
---	---	---	---
5	DIA SUPT TO CENTCOM INTELLIGENCE ACT (OCO)	27,702	27,702
18	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS (OCO)	1,000	1,000
20	TELEPORT PROGRAM (OCO)	6,191	6,191
23	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM NETWORK (OCO)	520	520
35	AEGIS FIELDING	---	189,720
	SM-3 Block IA - Additional 20 Interceptors	---	+189,720
50	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD (OCO)	5,700	5,700
52	UNDISTRIBUTED, INTELLIGENCE	15,000	15,000
XX	OTHER PROGRAMS (OCO)	323,486	333,675
	Classified Adjustment	---	+10,189
55	ROTARY WING UPGRADES & SUSTAINMENT (OCO)	5,600	5,600
55A	MH-47G	---	28,500
	Combat Loss Replacement Aircraft	---	+28,500
56	MH-47 SERVICE LIFE EXTENSION PROG (OCO)	4,222	15,222
	Modifications for Combat Loss Replacement Aircraft	---	+11,000
57	MH-60 SOF MODERNIZATION (OCO)	---	7,800
	Modifications for Combat Loss Replacement Aircraft	---	+7,800
58	NON-STANDARD AVIATION	---	121,268
	Medium NSAV -- Transfer from Title III	---	+121,268
63	CV-22 SOF MODIFICATION	---	15,000
	Modifications for Combat Loss Replacement Aircraft	---	+15,000
64	MQ-1 UAS(OCO)	8,202	8,202
65	MQ-9 UAV (OCO)	4,368	4,368
71	SOF ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT (OCO)	75,878	65,878
	Execution Delays	---	-10,000
72	SOF ORDNANCE ACQUISITION (OCO)	49,776	49,776
73	COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT & ELECTRONICS (OCO)	9,417	31,817
	Program Increase - Unfunded Requirement	---	22,400
74	SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS (OCO)	149,406	81,306
	Leased Aircraft - Unjustified Request	---	-42,800
	HF-TTL Baseline Budget Requirement	---	-25,300
81	TACTICAL VEHICLES (OCO)	36,262	91,262
	Program Increase - Unfunded Requirement	---	+55,000
83	COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS (OCO)	30,000	---
	OCO Program Growth	---	-30,000
88	SOF AUTOMATION SYSTEMS (OCO)	1,291	1,291
	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	---	---
90	(OCO)	25,000	25,000
	SOF VISUAL AUGMENTATION, LASERS & SENSORS	---	---
92	(OCO)	3,200	22,700
	Program Increase - Unfunded Requirement	---	+19,500
93	SOF TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEMS (OCO)	3,985	3,985
96	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT (OCO)	5,530	5,530
97	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS (OCO)	79,869	95,545
	Program Increase - Unfunded Requirement	---	+51,376
	Requirement Addressed by Reprogramming	---	-35,700
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	2,941	2,941
---	---	---	---
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE	874,546	1,262,499
---	---	---	---

P-1	Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---
NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT		
---	---	---
NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT		850,000
Program Increase		+850,000
---	---	---
MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROTECTED VEHICLE FUND		
---	---	---
MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROTECTED VEHICLE FUND	3,415,000	3,415,000
---	---	---
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT	21,361,868	25,316,335
---	---	---

MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROTECTED (MRAP)
AND MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROTECTED
ALL TERRAIN VEHICLES (MATVS)

The recommendation provides \$3,415,000,000, equal to the request, to address MRAP and M-ATV requirements, as identified by the Department. The Department shall continue to adhere to the execution and reporting requirements contained in section 8122 of Public Law 110-116.

NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT

The recommendation for the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account is \$850,000,000. Of that amount, \$250,000,000 is for the Army National Guard; \$250,000,000 is for the Air National Guard; \$140,000,000 is for the U.S. Army Reserve; \$70,000,000 is for the

Navy Reserve; \$70,000,000 is for the Marine Corps Reserve; and \$70,000,000 is for the Air Force Reserve to meet urgent equipment needs that may arise this fiscal year.

This funding will allow the Guard and reserve components to procure high priority equipment that may be used by these units for both their combat missions and their missions in support of State governors. In addition to the items previous listed in Senate Report 111-295, this funding will allow the Guard and reserve components to procure high priority items such as: Generation 4 Advanced Targeting Pods; Lightweight Airborne Radio Systems; Active Electronically Scanned Array radars; Helmet Mounted Integrated Targeting Systems; Upgraded air ground power units; Real Time in Cockpit

Tactical Datalink Capability; C-130 Loadmaster Crashworthy Seats; secure radios; Security Forces equipment; digital computer communications equipment; Family of Medium Tactical Vehicles; Light Utility Helicopter Mission Enhancement Program; Climate Regulated Cargo Trailer; Modular Small Arms Training Systems; Joint Threat Emitters; tactical radios; tactical trailers; and field engineering, logistics, and maintenance equipment.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND
EVALUATION

For Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, funds are to be available for fiscal year 2011, as follows:

[in thousands of dollars]:

R-1		Budget Request	Recommendation
---	---	---	---
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, ARMY			
---	---	---	---
48	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY (OCO)	0	23,100
	Program increase - Aviation night and limited visibility sensor demonstration	---	+23,100
59	ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (OCO)	0	0
	Stryker V-Hull Development - funded in Title IV	---	0
60	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY (OCO)	57,900	14,900
	HFDS - transfer to line 75 for execution at request of the Army	---	-48,000
	REF - transfer from Title IV for OCO requirement	---	+5,000
61	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - ADV DEV	0	7,800
	Transfer from JIEDDO: Air Vigilance	---	+7,800
75	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT (OCO)	5,400	48,000
	HFDS - transfer from line 60 for execution at request of the Army	---	+48,000
	Long-term development effort	---	-5,400
77	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM (OCO)	8,100	8,100
171	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (OCO)	63,306	0
	Protected Information - Biometrics - Transfer to line 171x	---	-25,134
	Transfer to OP,A, line 51 for execution at request of the Army	---	-38,172
171x	FAMILY OF BIOMETRICS	0	25,134
	Non-MIP Biometrics - Transfer from line 171	---	+25,134
178	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS (OCO)	16,200	16,200
---	---	---	---
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, ARMY		150,906	143,234
---	---	---	---
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY			
---	---	---	---
19	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY (OCO)	14,100	10,680
	Unjustified request	---	-3,420
53	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT (OCO)	1,000	1,000
75	JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE (OCO)	0	11,800
	Network Enabled EW - Transfer from JIEDDO	---	+11,800
124	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT (OCO)	300	300
153	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT (OCO)	5,200	5,200
204	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	0	36,000
	Transfer from OM,MC for Qualitative Risk Assessment	---	+36,000
213	RQ-7 UAV (OCO)	6,900	6,900
999	OTHER PROGRAMS (OCO)	32,901	32,901
---	---	---	---
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY		60,401	104,781
---	---	---	---
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE			
---	---	---	---
17	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS	0	56,000
	Blue Devil Block 2 - transfer from JIEDDO	---	+56,000
36	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY (OCO)	16,000	16,000
66	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE (OCO)	30,000	30,000
128	MQ9 UAV (OCO)	0	88,500
	VADER/DDR on MQ-9 - transfer from JIEDDO	---	+88,500
145	CSAF INNOVATION PROGRAM (OR ISR INNOVATIONS)	0	112,000
	ISR Sensor Pilot Program	---	+112,000
164	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS (OCO)	4,443	4,443

R-1		Budget Request	Recommendation
211	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGETING (OCO)	6,100	6,100
230	SPECIAL TACTICS/COMBAT CONTROL (OCO)	10,325	10,325
999	OTHER PROGRAMS (OCO)	199,373	161,014
	Classified Adjustment	---	-38,359
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE		266,241	484,382
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE			
56	DARPA SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	0	40,000
	Transfer from JIEDDO: Wide Area Surveillance Development Roadmap	---	+40,000
197	LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS DCS (OCO)	23,125	23,125
202	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (OCO)	750	750
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT (OCO)	9,440	9,440
254	SOF Operational Enhancements	0	14,500
	Transfer from JIEDDO: EW Family of Systems	---	+14,500
999	OTHER PROGRAMS (OCO)	123,925	134,801
	Classified Adjustment	---	+3,376
	Transfer from JIEDDO: Wallaby	---	+7,500
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE		157,240	222,616
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION		634,788	955,013

INTELLIGENCE, SURVEILLANCE AND
RECONNAISSANCE (ISR) PROGRAMS

The Act includes an increase of \$112,000,000 for an ISR Sensor Pilot Program (Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force). These funds are provided to allow the Secretary of the Air Force the opportunity to fund programs that may provide game-changing capabilities with significant savings to the taxpayer. The Secretary of the Air Force shall fund at least one Predator C aircraft as a prototype platform and shall fund a prototype capability using commercial-off-the-shelf long range, dual-band electro-optical/infrared solutions for the

MQ-9 that are currently in use by partner nations and derived from sensors currently utilized on the Air Force's U-2. Further, the Secretary of the Air Force shall review other programs such as active near-infrared imaging for high resolution ISR, counter-IED capabilities, sensors which provide signals intelligence tracking capability, and/or a sensor testbed aircraft as a surrogate platform for MQ-9 testing. The Secretary of the Air Force is directed to provide an execution plan for these funds not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act and prior to obligation to the congressional defense committees. Subsequent updates shall be provided at

the beginning of the first and third quarter of each fiscal year until all funds are obligated and expended.

REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS
DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

For the Defense Working Capital Funds, \$485,384,000 is provided for fiscal year 2011.

OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
PROGRAMS

For Other Department of Defense Programs, funds are to be available for fiscal year 2011, as follows:

[in thousands of dollars]:

	Budget Request	Recommendation
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM		
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,398,092	1,398,092
IN-HOUSE CARE	709,004	709,004
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE	538,376	538,376
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH CARE	128,412	128,412
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT/IT	2,286	2,286
MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS	518	518
EDUCATION AND TRAINING	18,061	18,061
BASE OPERATIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS	1,435	1,435
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	0	24,000
Blast Recovery Monitors (transfer from JIEDDO)		+8,000
Body Blood Flow Monitor (transfer from JIEDDO)		+9,000
EMF Blast Pulse Effects (transfer from JIEDDO)		+7,000
TOTAL, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM	1,398,092	1,422,092
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE		
AFGHANISTAN AIR MOBILITY	141,634	141,634
AFGHANISTAN BORDER FACILITIES	5,000	5,000
AFGHANISTAN BORDER POLICE EQUIP	19,500	19,500
AFGHANISTAN BORDER TRAINING	20,000	20,000
CENTCOM SUPPORT - AFGHANISTAN	3,000	3,000
COUNTER NARCOTICS POLICE AFGHANISTAN		
FACILITIES	25,295	25,295
TRAINING	50,250	50,250
COUNTER NARCOTICS POLICE AFGHANISTAN (CNP-A)		
EQUIPMENT	1,241	1,241
INTELLIGENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	61,500	56,900
Program Adjustment		-4,600
PAKISTAN	49,590	49,590
KAZAKHSTAN	7,850	7,850
KYRGYZSTAN	27,900	27,900
TAJIKISTAN	8,500	8,500
TURKMENISTAN	10,350	10,350
UZBEKISTAN	8,500	8,500
YEMEN	17,000	17,000
PROGRAM ADJUSTMENT		-12,000
TOTAL, DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG		
ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE	457,110	440,510

		Budget Request	Recommendation
JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND			
1	ATTACK THE NETWORK	1,434,400	765,200
	Transfer to Staff and Infrastructure for proper execution		-238,800
	Air Vigilance - outside JIEDDO mission, transfer to RDTE,A		
	line 61 and OM,A line 411 for proper execution		-13,200
	Blue Devil Block 2 - transfer to RDTE,AF line 17 for proper		
	execution		-56,000
	Copperhead - program terminated		-125,000
	Electronic Warfare Family of Systems (EW FoS) - transfer		
	to SOCOM, RDTE,DW for proper execution		-14,500
	JUON Reserve		+100,000
	Solar ISE - outside JIEDDO mission		-7,000
	Synchronization and Integration WTI Cell - transfer to		
	OM,A, SAG 135 and OM,DW for proper execution		-6,400
	Thermal Station (National IED Exploitation Facility (NIEF) -		
	transfer to OM,A, SAG 135 for proper execution		-13,000
	VADER development transfer \$88.5 million to RDTE,AF line		
	128		-241,800
	Wallaby - transfer to RDTE,DW for proper execution		-7,500
	Wide Area Surveillance Development Roadmap (WASDP) -		
	transfer to DARPA for proper execution		-40,000
	Wolfhound II - transfer to OM,DW for proper execution		-6,000
2	DEFEAT THE DEVICE	1,529,390	1,223,090
	ACES HY Roadmap - Program terminated		-28,000
	Transfer to Staff and Infrastructure for proper execution		-105,000
	Beachcomber - transfer to OM,A, SAG 135 for proper		
	execution		-3,000
	Counter Bomber - transfer to OM,A, SAG 135, OM,N,		
	OM,MC, and OM,AF for proper execution		-3,000
	CREW -SSM - Universal Test Set - transfer to OM,A, SAG		
	135, OM,N, and OM,MC for proper execution		-5,000
	JUON Reserve		-105,000
	Networked Enabled EW - transfer to RDTE,N line 75 for		
	proper execution		-11,800
	Personnel Borne IED/Vehicle Borne IED (PBIED/VBIED) -		
	transfer to OP,A line136 for proper execution		-28,000
	Starlite Development Program - Program terminated		-16,000
	Subtle Magnetic Anomaly Detection Networked Systems -		
	transfer to OM,A SAG 135 and OM,MC for proper execution		-1,500
3	TRAIN THE FORCE	286,210	170,410
	Transfer to Staff and Infrastructure for proper execution		-75,400
	Blast Recovery Monitors - transfer to DHP RDTE for proper		
	execution		-8,000
	Body Blood Flow Monitor - transfer to DHP RDTE for proper		
	execution		-9,000
	EMF Blast Pulse Effects - transfer to DHP RDTE for proper		
	execution		-7,000
	Technical Collection Training Program - transfer to OM,A,		
	SAG 135 for proper execution		-16,400
4	STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE	0	635,068
	Transfer from Title VI		+215,868
	Transfer from Attack the Network for proper execution		+238,800
	Transfer from Defeat the Device for proper execution		+105,000
	Transfer from Train the Force for proper execution		+75,400
TOTAL, JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT			
FUND		3,250,000	2,793,768
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL			
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL		10,529	10,529
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL		10,529	10,529
TOTAL, OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS		5,115,731	4,666,899

GENERAL PROVISIONS—THIS TITLE

The recommendation includes general provisions which address requests by the Administration for various authorities, the continuation or modification of provisions carried in Acts from previous years, or new matters of specific concern.

DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND
CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

Following is a list of congressional earmarks and congressionally directed spending items (as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, respectively) included in the bill or this explanatory statement, along with the

name of each Senator, House Member, Delegate, or Resident Commissioner who submitted a request to the House or Senate Committee of jurisdiction for each item so identified. Neither the bill nor the explanatory statement contains any limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in the applicable House and Senate rules.

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
AP,A	Air Filtration Systems for the Army National Guard	1,600,000	---	Bond
AP,A	Air Warrior Survival Vest Ensemble Reset Program	2,400,000	---	Warner, Webb
AP,A	Damage Tolerance Improvement and Monitoring for Condition Based Maintenance	2,080,000	---	Shelby
AP,A	Forward Looking Infrared Sensors for the Minnesota National Guard	720,000	Peterson (MN)	Franken, Klobuchar
AP,A	Light Utility Helicopter Survivability	5,000,000	---	Cochran
AP,A	Reduced Size Crashworthy External Fuel System 125 Gallon Tank	2,400,000	---	Bennett, Leahy
AP,AF	109th Airlift Wing Propeller Improvement Program	3,200,000	---	Dodd, Gillibrand, Lieberman, Schumer
AP,AF	Aircraft Patient Support Systems for 190th ARW, KS ANG	1,360,000	---	Brownback
AP,AF	C-130 Cargo Rail Systems for the 118th Airlift Wing	2,400,000	---	Alexander
AP,AF	On-Board Oxygen Generation System Retrofit for AFSOC C-130	4,800,000	---	Harkin
AP,AF	SENIOR SCOUT IMINT Integration for UT ANG	5,760,000	---	Bennett
AP,AF	Support Equipment for Time Critical Targeting, SENIOR SCOUT	1,520,000	---	Bennett, Crapo, Risch
AP,N	Direct Squadron Support Readiness Training Program	2,720,000	---	Rockefeller
DHP	Accelerated Therapies for Healing Battlefield Wounds and Scars	3,000,000	Ryan (OH)	Cornyn, Hutchison
DHP	Advanced Medical Training and Technology Platform	2,400,000	Smith (WA)	Cantwell
DHP	AFIP/Joint Pathology Center Records Digitization and Repository Modernization	9,600,000	---	Rockefeller
DHP	African Humanitarian Medical Outreach Program	1,040,000	Scott (VA)	---
DHP	Austen BioInnovation Institute in Akron	4,000,000	Ryan (OH)	---
DHP	Autism Brain Morphology Library	1,600,000	Edwards (TX)	---
DHP	Childrens Hospital Integrated Patient Electronic Records System	800,000	Lee	---
DHP	Combined Injury Research Consortium	2,000,000	Snyder	Lincoln, Pryor
DHP	Customized Nursing Programs	2,000,000	Bishop (GA)	Isakson
DHP	Diabetes Model Program	2,400,000	Ortiz	---
DHP	Fort Drum Regional Health Planning Organization	344,000	Owens	---
DHP	Hawaii Federal Health Care Network	21,100,000	---	Inouye
DHP	Health Research and Disparities Eradication Program	6,400,000	Clyburn	---
DHP	High Bandwidth, Mobile Medical Communications Platform to Revolutionize Rural Health Care	1,600,000	Space	---
DHP	Madigan Army Medical Center Trauma Assistance Program at Tacoma Trauma Trust	3,500,000	Dicks, Smith (WA)	Cantwell, Murray
DHP	Medical Evaluation Data Conversion Support System	800,000	Rahall	---
DHP	Military Family Wellness Initiative	468,000	McCarthy (NY), Nadler (NY), Rothman	---
DHP	Nanoparticle Delivery Systems for Pocket Vaccines	1,120,000	Edwards (TX)	---
DHP	Old Dominion University Bioelectronics Research for Casualty Care and Management	1,600,000	Nye	---
DHP	Rescue Eagle Program	3,200,000	Davis (IL)	---
DHP	Shock Trauma Center/National Traumatic Injury Simulation Training Center	2,400,000	Ruppersberger, Cummings	---
DHP	Virtual Agent Technology Pilot Program - afterdeployment.org	1,200,000	---	Murray
DHP	Web Based Teaching Programs for Military Social Work	4,000,000	Roybal-Allard	Boxer
DHP	Soldier Health Promotion to Examine and Reduce Suicide Deaths	2,000,000	Clyburn	---
DPA	Advanced Carbon Nanotube Volume Production Facility	3,120,000	---	Gregg, Shaheen
DPA	Advanced Composite Radome	3,200,000	---	Gregg
DPA	Advanced Tactical Fuels Mandan Biomass Refinery	6,400,000	---	Conrad, Dorgan

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
DPA	Automated Composite Technologies and Manufacturing Center	4,000,000	---	Bennett
DPA	Conformal Coated Chip Capacitor	1,280,000	---	Collins, Snowe
DPA	Domestic Production Source for Gun Hard Inertial Measurement Unit	2,400,000	---	Dodd, Lieberman
DPA	Extremely Large Domestic Expendable and Reusable Structures Manufacturing Center	4,000,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
DPA	High Rate Polyimide Composites for Military Aircraft	1,600,000	---	Brown (OH)
DPA	Hostile Fire Indicator System	2,400,000	---	Reed, Whitehouse
DPA	Hybrid Plastics and POSS Nanotechnology Engineering Scale-Up Initiative	960,000	---	Wicker
DPA	Multi-mode CWDM Optical Component Production Capability for Space and Avionics	2,400,000	---	Reid
DPA	Navy Production Capacity Improvement Project at Lehigh Heavy Forge	1,600,000	---	Casey, Specter
DPA	Preservation of Domestic Copper Nickel Tubing Manufacturing	4,800,000	---	Dodd
DPA	Terahertz Spectrometer	2,400,000	---	Dodd, Lieberman
Drugs	Alaska National Guard Counter-Drug Program	2,400,000	---	Begich, Murkowski
Drugs	Colorado Joint Counter-Drug Task Force	1,200,000	---	Udall (CO)
Drugs	Delaware National Guard Counter-Drug Task Force	240,000	---	Carper, Kaufman
Drugs	Florida Counter-Drug Program	3,200,000	Brown (FL), Meek (FL)	Nelson (FL)
Drugs	Hawaii National Guard Counter-Drug Program	3,000,000	---	Akaka, Inouye
Drugs	Indiana National Guard Counter-Drug Program	2,400,000	Visclosky	---
Drugs	Kentucky National Guard Marijuana Eradication Efforts	4,000,000	---	McConnell
Drugs	Midwest Counter-Drug Training Center	5,600,000	---	Grassley, Harkin
Drugs	Minnesota National Guard Counter-Drug Program	1,600,000	Oberstar	Franken, Klobuchar
Drugs	Montana National Guard Counter-Drug Task Force	800,000	---	Baucus, Tester
Drugs	Nevada National Guard Counter-Drug Program	4,500,000	Berkley, Titus	Ensign, Reid
Drugs	New Mexico National Guard Counter-Drug Support Program	4,800,000	Teague	Bingaman, Udall (NM)
Drugs	Northeast Counter-Drug Training Center	2,800,000	---	Casey, Specter
Drugs	Oculus Counter-Drug/Counter-IED Intelligence, Surveillance, Target Acquisition and Reconnaissance ISTAR Platform	2,400,000	Mollohan	---
Drugs	Regional Counter-Drug Training Academy - Meridian	3,400,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
Drugs	Tennessee National Guard Counter-Drug Task Force, Appalachia	3,500,000	Tanner, Davis (TN)	Alexander
Drugs	Texas Army National Guard Joint Counter-Drug Task Force	1,600,000	Rodriguez	Cornyn
Drugs	Utah National Guard Counter-Drug State Plan	1,200,000	---	Bennett
Drugs	West Virginia Counter-Drug Program	680,000	---	Rockefeller
Drugs	Western Regional Counter-Drug Training Center	1,600,000	Smith (WA), Dicks, Larsen (WA)	Cantwell, Murray
GP	Center for Military Recruitment, Assessment and Employment	3,200,000	Kennedy, Kaptur	Boxer
GP	Edward M. Kennedy Institute for the United States Senate	8,000,000	Markey (MA)	---
GP	John P. Murtha Foundation	10,000,000	Brady (PA), Fattah, Critz, Moran (VA), Ryan (OH)	---
GP	Joint Venture Education Program	5,500,000	---	Inouye
GP	New Jersey Technology Solutions Center	7,800,000	Holt, Pallone	Lautenberg, Menendez
GP	Online Tax Preparation Assistance for Servicemembers	160,000	Sanchez, Loretta	---
GP	SOAR Virtual School District	6,400,000	Braley	Grassley, Harkin
GP	Training Range Upgrades		---	Murkowski
GP	United States Olympic Committee Paralympic Military Program	8,000,000	Langevin, Kennedy	---

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
GP	Women In Military Service for America Memorial Foundation	1,040,000	Richardson	---
Milpers, ANG	Additional Mandays for the Nevada National Guard	6,533,000	---	Ensign, Reid
Milpers, ANG	Joint Interagency Training and Education Center	800,000	---	Rockefeller
Milpers, ARNG	Creating High Tech Jobs for Service Disabled Veterans	1,600,000	Kanjorski	---
Milpers, ARNG	Joint Interagency Training and Education Center	2,000,000	---	Rockefeller
MP,AF	Minuteman III Solid Rocket Motor Warm Line Program	6,000,000	---	Bennett
NDSF	Texas Maritime Academy Training Vessel Conversion	2,400,000	Green, Gene (TX); Paul	Hutchison
OM,A	Academic Support and Research Compliance for Knowledge Gathering	1,600,000	---	Roberts
OM,A	Air Battle Captain ROTC Helicopter Training	1,200,000	Pomeroy	Conrad, Dorgan
OM,A	Americans with Disabilities Act and Safety System Compliance for the Historical Fort Hamilton Community Club	2,560,000	McMahon	Gillibrand
OM,A	Army Conservation and Ecosystem Management	4,000,000	---	Inouye
OM,A	Biometrics Operations Directorate Transition	800,000	---	Rockefeller
OM,A	CBPS Care of Supplies in Storage	200,000	Ross	---
OM,A	CP DEPMEDS Care of Supplies in Storage	400,000	Ross	---
OM,A	Diversity Recruitment for West Point Military Academy	1,200,000	Becerra, Hall (NY)	Gillibrand
OM,A	Eckerd College Leadership Development and Conflict Management Training for Government Agencies	1,200,000	Castor (FL)	---
OM,A	Entrance Road Resurfacing (Gaffney Road)	960,000	---	Begich, Murkowski
OM,A	Environmental/Geography Research for Army Operations	1,200,000	---	Roberts
OM,A	Fayetteville State University Center for Critical Language and Culture	1,600,000	Kissell	---
OM,A	Fire Resistant Environmental Ensemble	2,800,000	---	Carper, Kaufman, Mikulski
OM,A	Fort Bliss Data Center	2,000,000	Reyes	---
OM,A	Fort Hood Training Lands Restoration and Maintenance	600,000	Edwards (TX)	---
OM,A	Install/Repair HVAC Systems	1,600,000	---	Murkowski
OM,A	Installation Management Command, Non-Tactical Vehicle, Service Life Extension Program	4,000,000	---	Collins, Snowe
OM,A	M12A1 Care of Supplies in Storage	240,000	Ross	---
OM,A	M17 Care of Supplies in Storage	240,000	Ross	---
OM,A	Mobile Aerosol Monitoring System for Department of Defense	960,000	Berkley	Reid
OM,A	New England Defense Manufacturing Supply Chain Initiative	2,000,000	Welch, McGovern, Michaud	Collins, Snowe
OM,A	Paine College Critical Languages Institute	800,000	Barrow	---
OM,A	Research, Development, and Engineering Command Workforce Development and Training	2,000,000	---	Mikulski
OM,A	Rock Island Arsenal, Building #299 Roof Replacement and Restoration (Phase V)	4,800,000	Braley	Durbin, Grassley, Harkin
OM,A	ROTC and Reserve Component Strategic Language HUB Pilot	1,000,000	Bishop (GA), Marshall	Chambliss
OM,A	Storm Water Harvest and Reuse System	1,200,000	Giffords	---
OM,A	Troops to Teachers Program	160,000	---	Burris
OM,A	UAS Branch Concept Development	2,560,000	Bright	Sessions
OM,A	Wounded Warrior Careers	1,600,000	Moran (VA), Kennedy, Kissell, Langevin, McIntyre	Bennet, Hagan, Murray
OM,AF	Air Force Academy Diversity Recruitment Outreach Program	1,651,000	Sanchez, Loretta; Becerra	---

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
OM,AF	Air Force Academy Space and Defense Studies Research and Curriculum Development	160,000	---	Udall (CO)
OM,AF	Alaska Land Mobile Radio System	800,000	---	Begich
OM,AF	Alaska NORAD Region Communication Upgrades and Repairs	400,000	---	Begich
OM,AF	B-1 High Velocity Maintenance Initiative	8,000,000	---	Thune
OM,AF	Battlefield and Airspace Network Integration	1,200,000	---	Reid
OM,AF	Defense Critical Languages and Cultures Initiative	2,400,000	---	Hutchison
OM,AF	Electrical Distribution Upgrade at Hickam AFB	6,800,000	---	Inouye
OM,AF	Global Logistics Support Center Materiel Information Management Environment	3,200,000	---	Grassley, Harkin
OM,AF	HQ USNORTHCOM - National Center for Integrated Civilian-Military Domestic Disaster Medical Response (Yale New Haven Health System)	5,600,000	DeLauro	Dodd, Lieberman
OM,AF	Improved Pararescue Upgrade Training	2,400,000	---	Tester
OM,AF	Joint Pacific Alaska Range Complex Sustainment/Enhancements	5,600,000	---	Murkowski
OM,AF	Military Medical Training and Disaster Response Program	1,600,000	Mitchell	---
OM,AF	Minority Aerospace Training Program	960,000	Meek (FL), Hastings (FL)	---
OM,AF	National Unmanned Aircraft System Maintenance Training Program	2,000,000	Peterson	Franken, Klobuchar
OM,AF	Native American Document Conversion Program	2,400,000	---	Conrad, Dorgan, Udall (NM)
OM,AF	Repair Arctic Utilities and Infrastructure - Phase 1	1,200,000	---	Murkowski
OM,AF	Upgrade Readiness Training Center Main Area	1,360,000	---	Begich, Murkowski
OM,ANG	Connecticut National Guard Military Support Program	400,000	DeLauro, Courtney	---
OM,ANG	Expansion of National Disaster Training Center	2,400,000	Larson (CT)	Dodd, Lieberman
OM,ANG	Incident Management and Emergency Notification System	560,000	Pierluisi, Bordallo	---
OM,ANG	Joint Interagency Training and Education Center	68,000	---	Rockefeller
OM,ANG	Remote Piloted Aircraft Expansion	2,000,000	Titus, Berkley	---
OM,ARNG	Advanced Law Enforcement Rapid Response Training Program	1,600,000	Doggett	Hutchison
OM,ARNG	Army National Guard Unit History Records	3,200,000	---	Bennett
OM,ARNG	Camp Perry Staff Training Program	1,800,000	Kaptur	---
OM,ARNG	Colorado National Guard Reintegration Program	800,000	---	Bennet, Udall (CO)
OM,ARNG	Continuity of Operations Planning	800,000	Oberstar	Franken, Klobuchar
OM,ARNG	Controlled Humidity Protection for South Carolina Army National Guard Aviation Support Facilities	1,600,000	---	Graham
OM,ARNG	Ethan Allen Firing Range Upgrades	1,200,000	Welch	Leahy, Sanders
OM,ARNG	Family Assistance Centers	800,000	Etheridge, Butterfield, Kissell, McIntyre, Miller (NC), Price (NC), Watt	---
OM,ARNG	Indiana National Guard Civilian Training	4,000,000	Hill, Visclosky	---
OM,ARNG	Joint Interagency Training and Education Center	3,600,000	---	Rockefeller
OM,ARNG	Joint Operations Center modernization	2,800,000	---	Graham
OM,ARNG	Minnesota National Guard Beyond the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program	2,000,000	Walz, Ellison, McCollum, Oberstar	Franken, Klobuchar
OM,ARNG	National Guard and First Responder Resiliency Training	1,200,000	---	Brownback
OM,ARNG	National Guard CST/CERFP Sustainment Training and Evaluation Program	1,500,000	Dicks	Cantwell, Murray
OM,ARNG	National Guard Global Education Program	800,000	Rothman	Lautenberg, Menendez
OM,ARNG	New Hampshire National Guard Deployment Cycle Support Program	2,000,000	Shea-Porter	Gregg, Shaheen

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
OM,ARNG	Oregon National Guard PT-1 SC Ballistic Eyewear and CAG-1 Combat Glove Kit	400,000	---	Merkley, Wyden
OM,ARNG	Oregon National Guard Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program	1,600,000	Schrader, Blumenauer, DeFazio	Merkley, Wyden
OM,ARNG	Our Military Kids	2,000,000	Moran (VA), Connolly, Kennedy, Kilroy	---
OM,ARNG	Rapid Data Management System	600,000	---	Collins
OM,ARNG	Regional Geospatial Service Centers	1,600,000	---	Hutchison
OM,ARNG	Texas Facilities Initiative	1,600,000	Cuellar	---
OM,ARNG	Vermont National Guard Sustainable Energy Project	4,800,000	---	Sanders
OM,ARNG	Vermont Service Member, Veteran, Family Outreach, Readiness and Reintegration Program	2,400,000	---	Sanders
OM,ARNG	Washington National Guard Employment Enhancement Project	1,500,000	Larsen (WA), Baird, Smith (WA)	Cantwell, Murray
OM,ARNG	Women Veterans and Servicemembers Joint Health Resource Center	2,400,000	Adler (NJ), Rothman	---
OM,ARNG	Wounded Warrior Training Facility Feasibility Study	1,200,000	Mollohan	---
OM,DW	Alaska National Guard Youth Challenge Facilities	1,200,000	---	Murkowski
OM,DW	Almaden Air Force Station Environmental Assessment, Remediation and Demolition	2,400,000	Honda, Lofgren	Boxer, Feinstein
OM,DW	Apra Harbor Strategic Port Infrastructure Improvements for Military Build Up	800,000	Bordallo	---
OM,DW	Armed Forces Health and Food Supply Research	3,200,000	---	Roberts
OM,DW	Badger Oversight and Management Commission	200,000	Baldwin	---
OM,DW	Blue Grass Army Depot Support Airport Study	288,000	Chandler	---
OM,DW	Castner Range Conservation Conveyance	400,000	Reyes	---
OM,DW	Center of Excellence of Geospatial Science	800,000	Teague	Bingaman, Udall (NM)
OM,DW	Counter-Threat Finance - Global	1,200,000	---	Brown (OH), Feinstein
OM,DW	Critical Language Training at SDSU	2,800,000	Davis (CA), Filner	---
OM,DW	DaVinci Program for Counter Threat Finance Intelligence	1,600,000	---	Feinstein
OM,DW	Defense Critical Languages and Cultures Program	2,000,000	---	Baucus, Tester
OM,DW	Delaware Valley Continuing Education Initiative for Veterans, National Guard and Reserves	1,200,000	Schwartz, Sestak	Casey, Lautenberg, Menendez
OM,DW	East Asian Security Studies Program	680,000	Sánchez, Linda	---
OM,DW	Economic Development Assistance - Otis ANGB/Massachusetts Military Reservation	800,000	Delahunt	---
OM,DW	Economic Development Assistance - South Weymouth Naval Air Station	800,000	Delahunt	---
OM,DW	Emergency Aid to Military Families in Crisis	740,000	Meek (FL), Hastings (FL), Klein, Wasserman Schultz	---
OM,DW	Fleet Industrial Supply Center Infrastructure Improvements - Alameda Landing	3,200,000	Stark	Boxer, Feinstein
OM,DW	Highland Falls/Fort Montgomery Central School District - Education Assistance	1,440,000	Hall (NY)	Schumer
OM,DW	Hunters Point Shipyard	7,200,000	Pelosi	---
OM,DW	IASTAR Federal Information Security Management Act Compliance	2,400,000	---	Bond
OM,DW	Joint Operational Contract Support Program Management	7,600,000	---	Rockefeller
OM,DW	Joint Safety Climate System	1,600,000	---	Kerry, Reed
OM,DW	McClellan AFB Infrastructure Improvements Gateway VI	3,920,000	Matsui	Boxer
OM,DW	Middle East Regional Security Issues Program	3,200,000	Berman	---
OM,DW	Naval Special Warfare Tactical Athlete Program	2,240,000	Nye, Davis (CA)	---
OM,DW	Phase 2 of Berth N2 Reconstruction - MOTBY	3,304,000	Sires	Lautenberg, Menendez
OM,DW	Pier 70 Hazardous Materials Abatement	2,400,000	Pelosi	---

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
OM,DW	Port of Anchorage Intermodal Expansion Project	8,000,000	Young (AK)	Begich, Murkowski
OM,DW	Remediation at Mare Island Naval Shipyard	4,400,000	Miller, George (CA)	---
OM,DW	Reskinning Hangar One at former NAS Moffett Field	8,000,000	Eshoo, Lofgren, Farr, Honda, Thompson (CA)	---
OM,DW	Reuse Site Development/Infrastructure Improvements at the Former Castle Air Force Base	1,600,000	Cardoza	---
OM,DW	Rule of Law	1,200,000	---	Graham
OM,DW	Smart Electrochlorinator Scale-Up Project	1,600,000	---	Cantwell
OM,DW	Soldier Center at Patriot Park	4,000,000	Bishop (GA)	---
OM,DW	Special Operation Forces – Modular Glove System	1,600,000	---	Murray
OM,DW	Strategic Language Initiative	2,800,000	Sherman, Lofgren	Boxer
OM,MC	Heroes and Healthy Families - Mental Health Support for Active Duty Military Personnel	1,200,000	Sanchez, Loretta	---
OM,MC	Rapid Data Management System	3,600,000	---	Gregg, Shaheen
OM,MC	Spray Technique Analysis and Research for Defense	1,520,000	Braley	Grassley, Harkin
OM,N	Advanced Naval Sustainment and Reset	3,200,000	Dicks	Murray
OM,N	Air-speed – Fleet Readiness Center (Cherry Point)	1,600,000	---	Hagan
OM,N	Center for Defense Technology and Education for the Military Services	2,800,000	Farr	---
OM,N	Data Conversion, Integration and Support for Naval Air Systems Command	8,000,000	---	Baucus, Tester
OM,N	Digitization, Integration and Analyst Access of Investigative Files, Naval Criminal Investigative Service	3,400,000	---	Rockefeller
OM,N	Distance Learning Education for U.S. Navy Personnel	901,000	Schauer	Levin, Stabenow
OM,N	Diversity Recruitment for the Naval Academy	1,600,000	Sarbanes, Becerra	---
OM,N	Energy Education Accreditation Training for Military Personnel	400,000	Pomeroy	Conrad, Dorgan
OM,N	Enhanced Navy Shore Readiness Integration	4,400,000	Dicks	---
OM,N	FSCJ Institute for Threat Reduction and Response - Training for a Global Presence	960,000	Brown (FL)	---
OM,N	Hemostatic Combat Gauze/Combat Gauze Trauma Pad	2,000,000	---	Dodd, Lieberman
OM,N	Independent Verification and Validation of Navy Future Pay and Personnel System	4,000,000	---	Landrieu, Vitter
OM,N	Infrastructure Modernization for Condition-Based Maintenance of Shipyard Facilities and Capital Production Assets	3,200,000	Hirono, Abercrombie	---
OM,N	Military Physician Combat Medical Training by the University of Florida College of Medicine-Jacksonville for the Navy	800,000	Brown (FL)	---
OM,N	National Military History Heritage Preservation	1,600,000	Sarbanes	---
OM,N	Technical Documentation Modernization to SD1000D	1,600,000	---	Conrad, Dorgan
OP,A	100 Meter Indoor Small Arms Range	1,520,000	Sanchez, Loretta	---
OP,A	Automatic Identification Technology for Red River Army Depot	1,600,000	---	Bond
OP,A	Colorado National Guard Emergency Response Network	2,000,000	DeGette, Polis	---
OP,A	Combat Skills Marksmanship Trainer	4,800,000	---	Chambliss, Isakson
OP,A	Container Gantry Crane, Blue Grass Army Depot	4,400,000	---	Bunning
OP,A	Dismounted Soldier Network Extension	4,000,000	---	Kerry
OP,A	Doppler-Based, Dual-Mode, Noise-Immune Stethoscope for Medical Evacuation	400,000	---	Cardin
OP,A	Drill Hall Communications for the MN ARNG	880,000	Walz	Franken, Klobuchar
OP,A	Emergency Communications Management and Knowledge Management Systems for the MN ARNG	700,000	Oberstar, Peterson	Franken, Klobuchar
OP,A	FIDO Explosives Detection	2,800,000	---	Inhofe
OP,A	Immersive Group Simulation Virtual Training System for the HI ARNG	2,000,000	---	Akaka
OP,A	Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles Virtual Trainers for the AL ARNG	4,000,000	Bright	Sessions

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
OP,A	Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles Virtual Trainers for the CA ARNG	3,200,000	Capps; Sanchez, Loretta	Boxer, Feinstein
OP,A	Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles Virtual Trainers for the ID ARNG	800,000	---	Crapo, Risch
OP,A	Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles Virtual Trainers for the LA ARNG	1,200,000	---	Vitter
OP,A	Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles Virtual Trainers for the UT ARNG	4,800,000	---	Bennett
OP,A	Mobile C3 and Asset Tracking Equipment for the TX ARNG	1,440,000	Ortiz	Cornyn
OP,A	Modular Crew Served Weapon Light	1,600,000	---	Reid
OP,A	New York Army National Guard Mobile Satellite Communications Terminal	1,200,000	Lowey, Engel	---
OP,A	Radio Personality Modules for SINCGARS Test Sets	5,600,000	---	Brownback
OP,A	Ultra Light Utility Vehicles for the ARNG	2,400,000	---	Franken, Harkin, Klobuchar
OP,A	Virtual Door Gunner Trainers for the AK ARNG	1,600,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski
OP,A	Virtual Interactive Combat Environment for the NJ ARNG	2,000,000	---	Lautenberg, Menendez
OP,A	Wheeled Vehicle Virtual Operations Trainers for the TN ARNG	4,000,000	Davis (TN), Tanner	Alexander
OP,AF	Air National Guard Joint Threat Emitter	4,800,000	---	Chambliss
OP,AF	Airfield Mobility and Logistics Enhancement	5,600,000	---	Reid
OP,AF	Eagle Vision for the Hawaii Air National Guard	4,400,000	---	Akaka, Inouye
OP,AF	Emergency Communications Network	2,400,000	---	Akaka, Inouye
OP,AF	Guardian Angel Integrated Oxygen System	1,600,000	---	Bond
OP,AF	Joint Threat Emitter	800,000	Stupak	Levin
OP,AF	Joint Threat Emitter for Mountain Home AFB	1,360,000	---	Crapo, Risch
OP,AF	NBC Version of Advanced Mission Extender Device	800,000	---	Leahy
OP,AF	Red Integrated Air Defense System Phase IVB	4,000,000	---	Murkowski
OP,AF	Test/Training Communication Instrumentation Upgrade	4,480,000	---	Murkowski
OP,N	Advanced Amphibious Transportation Lift and Storage System	1,600,000	---	Murray
OP,N	Force Protection Boats	3,200,000	---	Landrieu, Vitter
OP,N	High Speed/High Resolution Combined Multi-beam Side Scan Sonar	1,600,000	---	Gregg, Shaheen
OP,N	Impact Mitigating Boat Decking	1,600,000	---	Bond
OP,N	Man Overboard Indicator Program	4,720,000	---	Lugar
OP,N	Mission Helmet Recording System for EOD	3,920,000	---	Collins, Gregg, Shaheen, Snowe
OP,N	Navy AIT Logistics Modernization Initiative	3,200,000	---	Brown (OH), Grassley, Hagan, Harkin, Murray
OP,N	Pearl Harbor Navy Shipyard Equipment Modernization	2,960,000	---	Inouye
OP,N	Smart Valve Autonomic Fire Suppression System	1,920,000	---	Collins, Snowe
OP,N	SPAWAR Systems Center (SSC/ITC)	4,000,000	Cao	Landrieu, Vitter
P,DW	AN/PRC-148 Radio for Naval Special Warfare	3,600,000	---	Mikulski
P,DW	Chemical Biological Protective Shelter for the Illinois National Guard	6,400,000	---	Bond, Mikulski, Durbin
P,DW	Clip-on Thermal Imager	3,920,000	---	Gregg, Shaheen
P,DW	Coded Small Lightweight Laser Marker	2,400,000	---	Gregg, Shaheen
P,DW	Northeastern University Autonomous Biomimetic Robotic System	2,400,000	Tierney	---
P,DW	Reactive Skin Decontamination Lotion	4,600,000	---	Cochran
P,DW	SOVAS Hand Held Imagers - Long Range	4,000,000	---	Baucus, Kerry, Tester
P,DW	Special Operations Combat Assault Rifle	1,600,000	---	Graham
P,DW	Special Operations Craft - Riverine	4,000,000	---	Cochran

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
P,MC	Small Tactical Optical Rifle Mounted Micro Laser Range Finder	1,600,000	---	Gregg, Shaheen
PA,A	Ammunition Production Base Support	2,400,000	---	Casey, Specter
PA,A	Blue Grass Army Depot Robotic Welding Equipment	1,600,000	Chandler	---
PA,A	Electrical System Modernization - Holston Army Ammunition Plant	4,800,000	---	Alexander
PA,A	EPACT Utility Tracking, Iowa Army Ammunition Plant	1,920,000	Loebsack	Grassley, Harkin
PA,AF	McAlester Army Ammunition Plant Bomb Line Modernization	4,000,000	Boren	Inhofe
RDTE,A	155mm Less Toxic Smoke Projectile	4,800,000	---	Lincoln, Pryor
RDTE,A	2.0kW Stirling Tactical Cogeneration System	2,800,000	---	Murray
RDTE,A	2nd Generation Fully Fungible Biofuels	4,000,000	---	Lincoln, Pryor
RDTE,A	4th Generation Wireless RF Target Exploitation from Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs)	3,600,000	---	Gregg, Shaheen
RDTE,A	Accelerated Advanced Manufacturing Open Innovation Network for Transportation	4,000,000	Kilpatrick	Levin
RDTE,A	Accelerated Materials Development and Characterization for Army Cannon Systems	2,400,000	Hereth Sandlin	Johnson
RDTE,A	Adaptive Lightweight Materials for Missile Defense	4,000,000	---	Baucus, Tester
RDTE,A	Adaptive Robotics Technology for Space, Air and Missiles	4,320,000	---	Sessions
RDTE,A	Additive Manufacturing Repair Center	3,200,000	---	Lugar
RDTE,A	Adjustable and Adaptive Insulation for Small Tents and Sleeping Bags	800,000	---	Gregg, Shaheen
RDTE,A	Advanced Ballistic Testing of Lithia Alumina Silicate and Disilicate Glass Ceramic Armor	3,200,000	---	Casey, Specter
RDTE,A	Advanced Battery Manufacturing Research and Development	800,000	Yarmuth, Chandler	---
RDTE,A	Advanced Cancer Genome Institute	960,000	Slaughter, Higgins	Gillibrand
RDTE,A	Advanced Cavitation Power Technology	3,300,000	---	Cochran
RDTE,A	Advanced CB Aerosol Referee Instrumentation for Dugway	3,200,000	---	Bennett
RDTE,A	Advanced Ceramic Material for Lightweight ESAPI Body Armor	1,600,000	---	Lincoln, Pryor
RDTE,A	Advanced Commercial Technology Insertion for Aviation and Missile Research, Development and Engineering	2,480,000	---	Sessions, Shelby
RDTE,A	Advanced Communications for Mobile Networks	3,200,000	Mollohan	---
RDTE,A	Advanced Energy Storage Systems for Military Use	1,600,000	---	Bond
RDTE,A	Advanced Environmental Control System	3,200,000	---	Reid
RDTE,A	Advanced Foamed Celluloid Technology	2,400,000	Rothman	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,A	Advanced Fuel Cell Research Program	2,400,000	---	Hutchison
RDTE,A	Advanced Ground Electronic Warfare System	2,400,000	Maffei, Owens	Gillibrand
RDTE,A	Advanced Ground EW and Signals Intelligence System	2,400,000	---	Murray
RDTE,A	Advanced High Energy Density Battery Chemistry for Portable Power	2,640,000	---	Brownback, Roberts
RDTE,A	Advanced High Performance Coatings for DoD Infrastructure	1,600,000	---	Lincoln, Pryor
RDTE,A	Advanced Lightweight Materials for Enhanced Lethality and Survivability of Warfighter	1,200,000	---	Dodd, Lieberman
RDTE,A	Advanced Materials and Manufacturing Solutions to Complex Problems	3,600,000	Hereth Sandlin	Johnson
RDTE,A	Advanced Materials and Process for Armament Structures	4,000,000	---	Brown (OH)
RDTE,A	Advanced Materials Design and Processing Development	1,600,000	Brown (FL), Hastings (FL)	Nelson (FL)
RDTE,A	Advanced Materials Research for Alternative Energy and Transportation	800,000	---	Levin
RDTE,A	Advanced Medium Caliber Tungsten Penetrators	3,200,000	---	Lincoln, Pryor
RDTE,A	Advanced Motion Simulation System	400,000	---	Baucus, Tester
RDTE,A	Advanced Nanocomposite Integrated Survivability and Force Protection Systems	2,800,000	Ryan (OH)	---

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,A	Advanced Packaging Materials for Combat Rations	800,000	---	Isakson, Landrieu, Vitter
RDTE,A	Advanced Portable Power Institute	440,000	Gordon, Davis (TN)	---
RDTE,A	Advanced Power Source for Future Soldiers	1,500,000	Visclosky, Carson	Lugar
RDTE,A	Advanced Prognostic Capabilities System for Unmanned Aerial Systems	3,520,000	---	Shelby
RDTE,A	Advanced Reactive Hybrid Armor Material Technology	2,560,000	---	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,A	Advanced Regenerative Medicine Therapies for Combat Injuries	2,400,000	Doyle	Casey, Specter
RDTE,A	Advanced Restoration Therapies for Spinal Cord Injuries	800,000	Hoyer, Cummings, Ruppersberger	Cardin
RDTE,A	Advanced Robot and Sensor Technologies for Surveillance and Energy Efficiency Applications	2,000,000	---	Johnson
RDTE,A	Advanced Thermal Management Systems	1,600,000	---	Levin
RDTE,A	Advanced Ultrasonic Inspection of Helicopter Rotor Blades and Condition Monitoring of Helicopter Components	1,600,000	---	Inhofe
RDTE,A	Advanced Ultrasound for Combat Casualty Care	800,000	---	Nelson (FL)
RDTE,A	Advanced Wearable Power Systems	2,400,000	---	Baucus, Tester
RDTE,A	Advancing iPSC Technology for Regenerative Medicine Therapies to Treat Combat Injuries	2,400,000	---	Kerry, Murray
RDTE,A	Affordable Light-Weight Metal Matrix Composite	2,800,000	---	Reid
RDTE,A	Airlift Research Foundation	1,600,000	Doyle	---
RDTE,A	ALS Therapy Development Institute Gulf War Research Project	3,840,000	Capuano, Spratt, Kennedy	Kerry, Murkowski
RDTE,A	Alternative Power Technology for Missile Defense	1,600,000	Herseeth Sandlin	Johnson, Thune
RDTE,A	Amputee Skin Breakdown Research and Development	2,400,000	---	Merkley, Wyden
RDTE,A	Anode Materials for Improved Lithium Ion Battery Performance	1,600,000	---	Franken, Klobuchar
RDTE,A	Anthrax Vaccine Research	400,000	Crowley	---
RDTE,A	Appalachian State University/North Carolina Research Campus Human Performance Lab	762,000	Kissell	---
RDTE,A	Applied Communications and Information Networking	3,800,000	Andrews	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,A	Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center/Ohio Manufacturing Extension Partnership DoC/DoD Bridge Program	1,600,000	Bocchieri	---
RDTE,A	Army Injury Prevention and Performance Enhancement Research Initiative	1,600,000	---	Bunning
RDTE,A	Army Range Technology Program	5,000,000	---	Cochran
RDTE,A	Army Responsive Tactical Space	1,600,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,A	Army Responsive Tactical Space System Exerciser (ARTSSE)	2,080,000	---	Sessions, Shelby
RDTE,A	Army Tactical Asset Visibility	1,200,000	---	Reid
RDTE,A	AT4-Confined Space Tandem Warhead YJ05	2,720,000	---	Bunning
RDTE,A	Automated Critical Care System	3,600,000	---	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,A	Autonomous Connected Vehicle Proving Center	1,600,000	Dingell	Levin
RDTE,A	Ballistic Armor Research	3,200,000	---	Specter
RDTE,A	Basecamp Environmental Management Systems	1,600,000	---	Tester
RDTE,A	Battlefield Telemedicine System	3,200,000	---	Nelson (NE)
RDTE,A	Bethesda Hospitals Emergency Preparedness Partnership	1,600,000	Van Hollen	Cardin
RDTE,A	Bioactive Polymers and Coating Systems for Protection against Bio-threats	4,000,000	Pomeroy	Conrad, Dorgan
RDTE,A	Bioinformatics Center at the University of Pittsburgh	1,600,000	Doyle	---
RDTE,A	Biomarkers of Exposure to Toxic Industrial Chemicals	2,000,000	---	McConnell
RDTE,A	Biomass to Liquid Fuel Using Synthetic Enzymes (Phase II)	1,600,000	---	Bingaman, Udall (NM)

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,A	Biometrics DNA	600,000	---	Rockefeller
RDTE,A	Biosecurity Research for Soldier Food Safety	2,400,000	---	Roberts
RDTE,A	Biosensor, Communicator and Controller System	4,000,000	---	Reid
RDTE,A	Biowaste to Bioenergy Center	2,800,000	Tonko	Schumer
RDTE,A	Blood Loss Therapy for Critically Wounded Warfighters	2,080,000	Oberstar	---
RDTE,A	Blood Safety and Decontamination Technology	2,400,000	---	Casey, Feinstein, Specter
RDTE,A	Bone Fracture Dart Gun for Rapid Fixation of Battlefield Injuries	1,000,000	---	Lugar
RDTE,A	Bone-Integrated Prosthetics for Combat-Injured Soldiers	1,600,000	Etheridge, Kissell	---
RDTE,A	Brain Interventional Initiative	2,000,000	Wasserman Schultz	---
RDTE,A	Brain Safety Net	1,600,000	DeFazio, Blumenauer, Schrader, Wu	Merkley, Wyden
RDTE,A	Burn and Shock Trauma Institute	1,920,000	---	Durbin
RDTE,A	Camp Perry Army National Guard, Base Facility Independence	2,750,000	Kaptur	---
RDTE,A	Cancer Initiative for Research at the Dean and Betty Gallo Prostate Cancer Center	1,600,000	Pallone, Payne	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,A	Cancer Vaccine and Immunotherapy Project	3,600,000	Kilpatrick	Levin, Stabenow
RDTE,A	Captive Carry Sensor Test-Bed	1,200,000	Davis (AL)	---
RDTE,A	Carbon Nanotechnology	2,000,000	Price (NC), Etheridge, Miller (NC)	---
RDTE,A	Cell Based Therapeutic Products for Burns	3,200,000	---	Kohl, Levin
RDTE,A	Cellulose Nanocomposites Panels for Forward Operating Base Infrastructure	1,720,000	Michaud, Pingree (ME)	Collins, Snowe
RDTE,A	Center for Advanced Emergency Response Training System	3,440,000	---	Durbin
RDTE,A	Center for Experiential Technologies for Urban Warfare and Disaster Response	2,960,000	Price (NC), McIntyre	Burr
RDTE,A	Center for Genetic Origins of Cancer	2,000,000	Dingell	Levin, Stabenow
RDTE,A	Center for Hetero-Functional Materials	1,600,000	Doggett	---
RDTE,A	Center for Integration of Medicine and Innovative Technology	6,000,000	Capuano, Lynch	Kerry
RDTE,A	Center for Neuroprosthetics and BioMEMS	2,800,000	McGovern	---
RDTE,A	Center for Regenerative Biology and Medicine	2,000,000	Michaud	Collins, Snowe
RDTE,A	Center for Respiratory Biodefense	2,400,000	---	Bennet
RDTE,A	Center for Vaccine Scale-Up/Process Research	1,360,000	Bishop (GA), Johnson (GA), Lewis (GA), Scott (GA)	Chambliss
RDTE,A	CH-47 Vibration Control System	2,400,000	---	Casey, Specter
RDTE,A	Clarkson University Solar Thermal Technology Project	800,000	Hinchey	Gillibrand
RDTE,A	Clinical Development of a Norovirus Gastroenteritis Vaccine	4,000,000	---	Baucus, Tester
RDTE,A	Cognitive Map-Based Modeling and Simulation for Tactical Decision Support	2,000,000	Bishop (GA)	Chambliss, Isakson
RDTE,A	Cognitive Performance of Dismounted Combatants/Homeland Defenders	1,600,000	Lynch, Capuano	---
RDTE,A	Combat Medic Trainer	800,000	---	Casey, Nelson (FL), Specter
RDTE,A	Combat Mental Health Initiative	2,400,000	Kaptur	---
RDTE,A	Combat Ration Supplements for Improved Physical and Cognitive Performance Using Nanodelivery Systems to Improve Bioavailability	1,600,000	Rothman	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,A	Combat Stress Intervention Program	1,200,000	Critz	---
RDTE,A	Compact Airborne Multi-mission Payload	2,400,000	---	Bond
RDTE,A	Compact Optical Biothreat Rapid Analyzer for Military Applications	5,040,000	Capuano	Kerry

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,A	Complementary and Alternative Medicine Research for Military Operations	5,200,000	---	Harkin
RDTE,A	Composite Applied Research and Technology for Tactical Vehicle Survivability	2,400,000	---	Carper, Kaufman
RDTE,A	Composite Tissue Allotransplantation	800,000	Yarmuth	---
RDTE,A	Containment Vehicle for the Suppression and Transport of Munitions and IEDs	1,600,000	---	Reid
RDTE,A	Continuous Threat Alerting Sensing System	1,600,000	---	Reid
RDTE,A	Cooperative Developmental Energy Program at Fort Valley State University	1,600,000	Bishop (GA)	---
RDTE,A	Cyber Assurance Tool Set	3,680,000	---	Sessions, Shelby
RDTE,A	Cybersecurity in Tactical Environments	1,200,000	---	Carper, Kaufman
RDTE,A	Cyberspace Technology Integration	3,360,000	---	Shelby
RDTE,A	Cyclotron at the UB Clinical and Translational Research Center	3,680,000	Slaughter	Gillibrand, Schumer
RDTE,A	Cyclotron-Based Radiotracer Technology for Advanced High Performance Molecular Imaging of Injuries and Repairs	4,000,000	Jackson	Durbin
RDTE,A	Data Analytics for Condition-Based Maintenance of Weapon Systems	800,000	Davis (AL)	Sessions
RDTE,A	Dauphin/Perry Regional Integrated Command Operations Program	1,600,000	Holden	---
RDTE,A	DC Air Conditioner Suitable for PV Solar Air Conditioning Using Novel VSD Technology and Commercial AC Compressors	2,800,000	---	Reid
RDTE,A	Defense Advanced Transportation Technology Program, Hybrid Truck Users Forum	2,400,000	---	Boxer
RDTE,A	Defense Metals Technology Center	2,400,000	Ryan (OH), Boccieri	Brown (OH)
RDTE,A	Demilitarization of HC White Smokes	2,000,000	---	Baucus, Tester
RDTE,A	Demilitarization Technology Research and Development Initiative	4,400,000	Rothman	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,A	Detection Algorithms and Software for Force Protection	960,000	---	Reed, Shelby
RDTE,A	Developing Molecular Sensors to Detect Pathogens and Toxins	2,800,000	Clay	---
RDTE,A	Development and Demonstration of an Expeditionary Closed Loop System to Produce JP-8 from Algae	2,400,000	Watson	---
RDTE,A	Development of a Novel Therapy for Traumatic Injury and Uncontrolled Hemorrhage	1,120,000	Ackerman	Gillibrand
RDTE,A	Development of Drugs for Malaria and Leishmaniasis	3,400,000	Childers	Cochran
RDTE,A	Development of Enabling Chemical Technologies for Power from Green Sources	2,000,000	Olver	Kerry
RDTE,A	Development of JP-8 Fuel from Domestic Coal	2,400,000	Rahall	---
RDTE,A	Development of Large Scale PEM Electrolysis for Hydrogen Fueling of Ground Vehicle Fleets	1,600,000	---	Dodd, Lieberman
RDTE,A	Discriminatory Imaging and Network Advancement for Missiles, Aviation and Space	5,200,000	---	McConnell
RDTE,A	Distributed Power from Wastewater	1,200,000	Wilson (OH)	Brown (OH), Voinovich
RDTE,A	Distributive Crowd Behavior Modeling for Irregular Warfare Training and Research	2,000,000	Gonzalez	---
RDTE,A	DoD Diabetes Research and Development Initiative	4,000,000	Dicks	---
RDTE,A	DOD Health IT - Exchange of Diagnostic Images	2,400,000	Moran (VA)	---
RDTE,A	Drug and Vaccine Testing for Force Protection	1,600,000	Maffei	Gillibrand
RDTE,A	Durability Study on Flame Resistant Garments	1,200,000	---	Graham
RDTE,A	Electrolyte Additives for Improved Lithium Ion Battery Performance	1,440,000	---	Burr

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,A	Emerging Infectious Diseases and Links to Desert Environments	1,600,000	---	Reid
RDTE,A	Emerging Technologies in Advanced Materials	4,000,000	Herseht Sandlin	Johnson, Thune
RDTE,A	Enabling Optimization of Reactive Armor	5,000,000	---	Bunning, Dodd,
RDTE,A	End Item Industrial Preparedness Activities	1,600,000	---	Lieberman, McConnell
RDTE,A	Energy Efficient Electronic Systems	4,800,000	Hinchey	Burris
RDTE,A	Enhanced Gait and Balance Retraining for Injured Soldiers	1,600,000	Scott (VA)	Gillibrand, Schumer
RDTE,A	Enhanced Rapid Tactical Integration for Fielding of Systems Initiative	4,000,000	---	Warner, Webb
RDTE,A	Enhanced Situational Awareness Technology Demonstrator	1,600,000	---	Sessions, Shelby
RDTE,A	Enhanced Visual Fidelity Simulation	2,000,000	Levin	Nelson (FL)
RDTE,A	Enhancing Soldier Effectiveness at High Altitude	2,000,000	Perlmutter	Levin
RDTE,A	Evaluation of Integrative Approaches to Resilience	2,800,000	Moran (VA)	---
RDTE,A	Expeditionary Water Reclamation System	1,600,000	---	Bond
RDTE,A	Experimental Fighting Vehicle	6,000,000	---	Rockefeller
RDTE,A	Extreme Temperature, Lightweight, Lithium-Iron Disulfide Batteries	800,000	---	Bond, Brown (OH), Leahy
RDTE,A	Eye-safe Standoff Fusion Detection of CBE Threats	1,600,000	---	Specter
RDTE,A	Family of Heavy Tactical Vehicles	9,600,000	---	Kohl
RDTE,A	FASTinfo: Patient Status Monitor	800,000	---	Murray
RDTE,A	Fighting Combat-Related Fatigue Syndrome	1,600,000	Kosmas, Brown (FL)	Nelson (FL)
RDTE,A	Flame and Thermal Protection for the Individual Soldier	1,840,000	---	Kohl
RDTE,A	Flammability, Smoke, Toxicity Standards for Military Ground Vehicles to Improve Health for the Troops	3,840,000	Levin	Levin, Stabenow
RDTE,A	Force Projection Technology Development	3,200,000	---	Levin
RDTE,A	Force Protection Demining	4,000,000	---	Leahy
RDTE,A	Forensic Science Center of Excellence Focusing on National Security	1,600,000	Hastings (FL), Meek (FL), Wasserman Schultz	---
RDTE,A	Forward Osmosis Water Purification	800,000	---	Inhofe, Merkley, Wyden
RDTE,A	Fuel Cell Hybrid Diesel Engine Project	1,040,000	Clyburn	---
RDTE,A	Fuel-Efficient Tactically-Agile Mobile-Electric Power System	3,200,000	Mollohan	---
RDTE,A	Fully-Integrated Head Protection System	2,000,000	---	Leahy
RDTE,A	Future Tactical Truck Composite Shelter	1,600,000	---	Begich
RDTE,A	Geosciences/Atmospheric Research	2,400,000	Markey (CO), Salazar	Bennet
RDTE,A	GLA Adjuvants for Influenza/Cancer Vaccines	1,600,000	McDermott	---
RDTE,A	Global Military Operating Environments	2,000,000	Titus	Reid
RDTE,A	Ground System Power and Mobility Enhancement	8,000,000	---	Levin
RDTE,A	Hadron Particle Therapy	1,600,000	Foster	---
RDTE,A	Harbor Hospital Military Medical Simulation and Training Initiative	2,000,000	Ruppersberger	---
RDTE,A	Hawaii Undersea Military Munitions Assessment	2,400,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,A	Healing our Troops - Trauma Recovery and Suicide Prevention Program	1,200,000	Moran (VA)	Harkin
RDTE,A	Heat Illness Research at West Chester University's HEAT Institute to Ensure the Safety of Warfighters	300,000	Sestak	---
RDTE,A	HELSTF	4,000,000	---	Bingaman, Udall (NM)
RDTE,A	Henry Ford Health System Physician Executable Guidelines Systems	1,600,000	Kilpatrick	Levin
RDTE,A	High Altitude Shuttle System	3,200,000	---	Merkley, Wyden
RDTE,A	High Fidelity Medical Simulation to Optimize Healthcare Delivery and Teamwork for Military Use	1,600,000	Lipinski	---
RDTE,A	High Frequency Devices and Circuits for Nanotubes and Nanowires	2,400,000	---	Lincoln, Pryor

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,A	High Tech Brain Interface Research	1,200,000	---	Hutchison
RDTE,A	High Temperature Polymers for Missile System Applications	3,200,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,A	High-Frequency, High-Power Electronic and Optoelectric Devices on Aluminum Nitride	5,200,000	---	Burr
RDTE,A	Highly Functional Neurally Controlled Skeletally Attached and Intelligent Prosthetic Devices	3,200,000	---	Bennett
RDTE,A	Hostile Fire Detection for the US Army/Navy Helicopters	3,200,000	---	Shaheen
RDTE,A	Human Genomics, Molecular Epidemiology and Clinical Diagnostics for Infectious Diseases	1,600,000	Pastor (AZ)	---
RDTE,A	Human Performance, Movement and Analyses Lab Equipment	680,000	Pascrell	---
RDTE,A	Humanoid Robotics for Enhanced Mobility, Agility and Access in Complex Urban Environments	1,600,000	Neal	Kerry
RDTE,A	Hybrid Electric Drive Vehicle Reliability Research	2,400,000	---	Levin
RDTE,A	Hydraulic Hybrid Vehicles for the Tactical Wheeled Fleet	2,160,000	---	Levin, Stabenow
RDTE,A	Hydrocarbon Fueled Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Manufacturability	1,600,000	---	Levin, Stabenow
RDTE,A	Hydrogen Batteries for the Warfighter	1,200,000	Clyburn	
RDTE,A	Hypothermia and Regenerative Treatments for Neurotrauma	4,480,000	---	Nelson (FL), Specter
RDTE,A	Identification of the Immune Tolerance to RhD	960,000	Moore (WI)	Kohl
RDTE,A	Impact of the Battlefield Environment on Soldiers' and Veterans' Health	800,000	Michaud, Pingree (ME)	Snowe
RDTE,A	Implementation of Aging and Battle Damaged Weapon Systems Repair Processes	1,200,000	---	Johnson, Thune
RDTE,A	Improved Ground System Survivability	4,000,000	---	Levin
RDTE,A	Improved Manufacturing Processes Demonstration Program for Army Tactical Vehicles	1,200,000	---	Bond
RDTE,A	Improved Thermal Resistant Nylon for Enhanced Durability and Thermal Protection in Combat Uniforms	2,400,000	---	Carper, Graham, Kaufman
RDTE,A	Improving Soldier Recovery from Catastrophic Bone Injuries	2,560,000	Murphy (CT)	Lieberman
RDTE,A	Infection Prevention Program for Battlefield Wounds	1,600,000	---	Kerry
RDTE,A	Infectious Diseases Research	1,280,000	Harman	---
RDTE,A	In-Home Tele-Behavioral Health Care Study	2,000,000	Dicks	---
RDTE,A	Inner Ear Research to Improve Hearing Capabilities of Combat Veterans	800,000	Schiff	---
RDTE,A	Inova Institute for Personalized Medicine and Translational Research	8,000,000	Connolly, Moran (VA)	---
RDTE,A	Installation Support and Production Qualification Testing of Army's First Fielding of V35/85 and Torquemeter Equipment	2,000,000	---	Leahy
RDTE,A	Institute for the Advancement of Bloodless Medicine	1,520,000	Rothman	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,A	Integrated Cyber Test and Evaluation Environment	6,240,000	---	Shelby
RDTE,A	Integrated Electronic Product Design	2,400,000	Price (NC), Etheridge, Miller (NC)	---
RDTE,A	Integrated Flexible Electronics	1,600,000	---	Specter
RDTE,A	Integrated Smart-device Test-wafer Platform	800,000	Tonko	---
RDTE,A	Intelligent Network-Centric Sensor Development Program	2,400,000	Cohen	---
RDTE,A	Intelligent Orthopedic Fracture Implant Program	1,200,000	Kildee	Hutchison, Levin, Stabenow
RDTE,A	Iowa Simulation Center for Patient Safety and Clinic Skills			
RDTE,A	Enhanced Training of Iowa National Guard and Army Reserve	880,000	Boswell	Harkin, Grassley
RDTE,A	Joint Vehicle Lifecycle Management	2,400,000	---	Leahy
RDTE,A	Large Caliber Parts Upgrade	1,600,000	---	Casey, Specter
RDTE,A	Large Format Lithium Ion Battery	4,000,000	---	Kohl

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,A	Large-Scale Manufacturing of Revolutionary Nanostructured Materials	1,600,000	Moore (WI)	Kohl
RDTE,A	Laser Detection of Radionuclides for Nuclear Facilities	1,200,000	Berry	Lincoln, Pryor
RDTE,A	Laser Guided Energy	4,400,000	---	Cochran
RDTE,A	Laser Sinterstation for Rapid Engineering, Development and Production of Aerospace and On-Demand Special Forces Products	920,000	Shuler	Hagan
RDTE,A	Laser Studied and Enhanced Reactive Materials: Self-Decontaminating Polymers for Chemical-Biological Defense	1,600,000	---	Crapo, Risch
RDTE,A	Lighter Body Armor Inserts for U.S. Soldier	2,000,000	---	McConnell, Shaheen
RDTE,A	Lightweight Armored Hybrid Power Generating Tactical Vehicle	1,600,000	---	Bennett
RDTE,A	Lightweight Cannon Recoil Reduction	1,600,000	---	Reid
RDTE,A	Lightweight Munitions and Surveillance System for Unmanned Air Vehicles	3,840,000	---	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,A	Linear Accelerator Cancer Research Project	2,000,000	Maloney, Hinchey, Lowey, Rangel	Gillibrand
RDTE,A	Locally-Delivered Treatments for Noise Induced Hearing Loss and Tinnitus	1,600,000	---	Feinstein
RDTE,A	Logistics Fuel Processing Advancement	2,000,000	---	Cardin
RDTE,A	Long Term Pain and Infection Management for Combat Casualty Care	2,200,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,A	Low Cost Automated Production of Superior High Capacity, High Rate, Rechargeable Lithium-Ion Batteries	2,400,000	---	Casey, Specter
RDTE,A	Low-Cost Anti-Tamper Integrated Circuit	3,600,000	---	Lugar
RDTE,A	LVC - Advanced Live, Virtual and Constructive Training Systems	3,200,000	---	Grassley, Harkin
RDTE,A	Magnesium Armor Manufacturing for Ground Vehicles	1,600,000	---	Levin, Stabenow
RDTE,A	Maine Institute for Human Genetics and Health	1,600,000	Michaud	Collins, Snowe
RDTE,A	Manufacturability of Advanced Prosthetic Limbs	3,200,000	---	Warner, Webb
RDTE,A	Manufacturing and Industrial Training Center	400,000	Boyd	---
RDTE,A	Manufacturing Process Improvements for Powertrain Components	3,200,000	---	Durbin
RDTE,A	Mass Casualty First Responders Disaster Surge Technology Program	2,400,000	Rothman, Pallone	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,A	MatchBox/MX Server	3,600,000	---	Rockefeller
RDTE,A	Materials Processing and Applications Development Center	3,200,000	---	Sessions
RDTE,A	Materials Technology for LED Lighting Applications	2,400,000	---	Baucus, Tester
RDTE,A	McConnellsville Readiness Center Renewable Energy Demonstration Project	3,200,000	Space	---
RDTE,A	Medical Errors Reduction Initiative	800,000	Rothman	---
RDTE,A	Michigan State University Center for Advanced Energy Storage Research and Technology	2,000,000	Kilpatrick	Levin, Stabenow
RDTE,A	Microcantilever MEMS Microsensors for Protection of the Warfighter	3,200,000	Pomeroy	Conrad, Dorgan
RDTE,A	Micro-electro-mechanical Systems (MEMS) Antenna for Wireless Communications	2,400,000	Pomeroy	Conrad, Dorgan
RDTE,A	Military Drug Management Systems	1,600,000	---	Rockefeller
RDTE,A	Military Installation Electric Vehicle Demonstration Project	1,600,000	---	Bond, Brownback
RDTE,A	Military Nutrition Research	800,000	---	Landrieu, Vitter
RDTE,A	Mini Long Range Scout Surveillance System	2,080,000	---	Gregg, Shaheen
RDTE,A	Miniature Universal Electro-optic Soldier Sensor Platform IED Detection	2,800,000	---	Casey, Specter
RDTE,A	Miniaturization of Electronic Circuitry to Reduce Electronic Susceptibilities	2,400,000	Hinchey	---
RDTE,A	Miniaturized Satellite Technology Development and Testing	1,600,000	Kosmas	---

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,A	Mission Based Field Experimentation	2,400,000	Hoyer	---
RDTE,A	Missouri Multi-Threat Detection Initiative	2,400,000	---	Bond
RDTE,A	Mobile Nanotechnology-Based Sensor Network System for Ground Vehicles	880,000	Carson	---
RDTE,A	Model Based Enterprise Tools for Reverse Engineering	3,800,000	Ryan (OH)	---
RDTE,A	Monitoring and Control of Manufacturing Connectivity	1,600,000	Driehaus	Brown (OH)
RDTE,A	Montefiore Clinical Looking Glass	1,200,000	Engel	Gillibrand
RDTE,A	MRAP Armored Vehicle Precision Engineering and Manufacturing Advancement	1,600,000	---	Levin, Stabenow
RDTE,A	Multi Frequency Meshed Battle-Cloud Network	4,000,000	---	Casey, Specter
RDTE,A	Multi-Campus Base Facility Energy Independence Integration	4,000,000	Kaptur	---
RDTE,A	Multi-Disciplinary Center for Diagnosis and Treatment of Breast Cancer	3,200,000	Kilpatrick	Levin, Stabenow
RDTE,A	Multi-Functional Armor Development for Integrated Survivability Suits in Light Tactical Vehicles	1,600,000	Visclosky	Lugar
RDTE,A	Multi-Functional Bone Cements	1,200,000	Kaptur	---
RDTE,A	Multifunctional Technologies Alliance	4,800,000	---	Carper, Kaufman
RDTE,A	Multi-Modal Biometric Patient Identification and Registration System	1,200,000	Ryan (OH)	---
RDTE,A	Munitions Technology Advanced Development for Pennsylvania Innovative and Advanced Technology Association	1,600,000	Carney, Kanjorski	---
RDTE,A	Nano Advanced Cluster Energetics	4,000,000	Rothman, Payne	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,A	Nano-Bio-Hybrid Solar and Light Scavenging	1,600,000	Stupak	Levin
RDTE,A	Nanocomposite Enhanced Radar and Aerospace Materials	1,600,000	---	Hutchison
RDTE,A	Nanomanufacturing of Integrated Smart Materials Systems	3,200,000	Tsongas	Kerry
RDTE,A	Nanophosphate Battery Storage for the Smart Grid and V2G Integration	1,600,000	---	Kerry
RDTE,A	Nanophotonic Devices	2,000,000	---	Hutchison
RDTE,A	Nanoscale Solar Powered Bio-Sensors	2,400,000	---	Lincoln, Pryor
RDTE,A	NanoTechnology Enterprise Consortium	4,000,000	---	Bond
RDTE,A	National Biodefense Training Center	4,000,000	---	Hutchison
RDTE,A	National Center for Robotics Engineering and Engineering Technology	1,280,000	Critz	Casey
RDTE,A	National Functional Genomics Center	1,600,000	Castor (FL)	Nelson (FL)
RDTE,A	National Information Assurance Center	6,000,000	---	McConnell
RDTE,A	National Malaria Diagnostic Reference Lab	2,000,000	Holden	---
RDTE,A	National Nanotechnology Manufacturing Center	1,200,000	Bishop (GA)	Isakson
RDTE,A	National Optimum Healing Environments Evaluation Program	1,600,000	Larson (CT)	Dodd, Lieberman
RDTE,A	National Security Human Rights Strategy	7,600,000	---	Dodd
RDTE,A	Neuromechanics Research for Spinal Cord Injury	560,000	Jackson, Gutierrez, Rush	---
RDTE,A	Neuro-Performance Research	2,400,000	Moran (VA)	---
RDTE,A	Neuroprosthetic Solutions for Restoring Sensorimotor Function	1,360,000	Altmire	---
RDTE,A	Neuropsychiatric Trauma in U.S. Combat Troops	5,000,000	Pelosi	---
RDTE,A	New Paltz Power Device Testing Program	4,000,000	Hinchey	---
RDTE,A	New Technologies for Infectious Disease Pathogens	1,200,000	Cohen	---
RDTE,A	New Vaccines for Central Nervous System Disorders	1,600,000	---	Grassley, Harkin, Nelson (NE)
RDTE,A	New York Medical College Bioterrorism Training	1,040,000	Lowey	---
RDTE,A	Next Generation Aluminum Armor Plate Alloy	1,200,000	---	Rockefeller
RDTE,A	Next Generation High Strength Glass Fibers for Ballistic Armor Applications	2,000,000	---	Graham

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,A	Next Generation Lightweight Drive Systems for Army Weapon Systems	1,600,000	---	Johnson
RDTE,A	Next Generation Machining Technology and Equipment	1,600,000	Murphy (NY)	---
RDTE,A	Next Generation Materials Corrosion Inhibitor	2,000,000	---	Rockefeller
RDTE,A	Next Generation Protective Seat	4,000,000	---	Casey, Specter
RDTE,A	Nicholson Center for Surgical Advancement Medical Robotics and Simulation Research Project	4,000,000	Grayson	---
RDTE,A	Non-Lethal Portable Vehicle Immobilization Device for Large Vehicles	800,000	---	Murray
RDTE,A	Non-Thermal Plasma Wound Treatment	1,000,000	Andrews	---
RDTE,A	North American Clinical Trials Network	800,000	Green, Al; Brady (PA)	Bunning
RDTE,A	Northern Illinois Proton Treatment and Research Center	2,400,000	Foster	---
RDTE,A	Northern West Virginia Regional Integrated Command Operations Program	1,200,000	Mollohan	---
RDTE,A	Novel Advanced Projectile Technologies	2,400,000	Kanjorski	---
RDTE,A	Nursing Clinical Simulation Center	2,000,000	Cummings	---
RDTE,A	Ocular Wound Treatment	2,000,000	---	Feinstein
RDTE,A	Ohio State University - Neurotrauma Battlefield Wound Healing	2,320,000	Kilroy	---
RDTE,A	ONAMI Mobile Military Energy Initiative	2,000,000	Schrader, Blumenauer, DeFazio, Wu	Merkley, Wyden
RDTE,A	On-Board Vehicle Power Systems Development	3,680,000	---	Sessions, Shelby
RDTE,A	Open Source Intelligence for Force Protection and Intelligence Analysis	2,080,000	DeLauro	Dodd, Hutchison, Lieberman
RDTE,A	Operation Re-Entry North Carolina	2,240,000	Butterfield	Hagan
RDTE,A	Ophthalmic Robotic Surgery	2,960,000	Brady (PA)	---
RDTE,A	Optical Neural Techniques for Combat and Post-Trauma Healthcare	2,800,000	---	Cantwell
RDTE,A	Oxygen Delivery Patch	1,200,000	---	Bennett
RDTE,A	Pacific Island UXO Detection and Munition Study	2,400,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,A	Pacific Rim Blood Alliance Perfect Match Program	4,000,000	McDermott	Cantwell, Murray
RDTE,A	Parsons Institute for Information Mapping for Defense Health Program	4,000,000	Nadler	Gillibrand
RDTE,A	Partnership for National Security	2,800,000	---	Hagan
RDTE,A	Parts on Demand for CONUS Operations	3,200,000	---	Conrad, Dorgan
RDTE,A	Patient Safety Software Application	1,600,000	Hinchey	---
RDTE,A	Pediatric Center Research and Clinical Trials	4,000,000	Van Hollen, Conyers, Ryan (OH), Sestak, Sires	---
RDTE,A	Permafrost Tunnel	800,000	---	Begich
RDTE,A	Photovoltaic Technology Development	4,000,000	---	Levin
RDTE,A	Plug-in Hybrid Electric Cargo Vehicle	3,200,000	---	Lugar
RDTE,A	Polymeric Web Run-Flat Tire Inserts for Convoy Protection	3,200,000	---	Kohl
RDTE,A	Portable Helicopter Oxygen Delivery System Console	2,000,000	---	Merkley, Wyden
RDTE,A	Portable High Performance Sensor Technologies - Columbia College Chicago Construct TrustTable	4,000,000	Gutierrez, Quigley, Davis (IL), Jackson, Rush	Durbin
RDTE,A	Positron Capture and Storage	2,400,000	---	Murray
RDTE,A	Powder Injection Molding for Advanced Munitions Applications	1,600,000	---	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,A	Precision Airdrop Accuracy Enhancement	1,600,000	Markey (MA)	---
RDTE,A	Press-Loaded Explosive Projectile Washout Line	1,200,000	---	Klobuchar, Lugar
RDTE,A	Production of Wood-Based Diesel and Jet Fuel at Pulp and Paper Facilities	2,400,000	---	Kerry, Klobuchar, Kohl, Snowe
RDTE,A	Projectile Penetration Research	1,920,000	Thompson (MS)	Cochran, Wicker

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,A	Protection using Ballistic Core Technology	2,800,000	---	Collins
RDTE,A	Protein Hydrogel for Surgical Repair of Battlefield Injuries	1,440,000	---	Chambliss, Isakson
RDTE,A	Quad City Manufacturing Lab at Rock Island Arsenal	5,120,000	Hare, Braley	Durbin, Grassley, Harkin
RDTE,A	Rapid Bedside Cellular Therapy for Burn Wounds	1,800,000	---	Bennett
RDTE,A	Rapid Response Hostile Fire Detection and Active Protection of Ground and Air Vehicles Sensor Demonstration	3,600,000	---	Shelby
RDTE,A	Real Time MS Laser Applied Research	1,760,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,A	Regenerative Medicine and Advanced Engineering Research	1,200,000	Capuano	
RDTE,A	Regenerative Sciences Initiative	2,880,000	Davis (IL)	Durbin
RDTE,A	Regenerative Therapeutics for Combat Wound Healing	3,200,000	---	Baucus, Tester
RDTE,A	Regenerative Wound Repair Technology	1,600,000	---	Casey
RDTE,A	Rehabilitation Technology Transition Center	2,800,000	---	Cardin, Grassley, Harkin, Inhofe
RDTE,A	Renewable Jet Fuel from Lignocellulosic Feedstocks	1,600,000	Herseht Sandlin	Johnson, Thune
RDTE,A	Research and Development Initiative - Capacity Building for Stronger National Defense with Greater Diversity	3,600,000	Norton; Bishop (GA); Butterfield; Clay; Johnson, Eddie Bernice (TX); Sestak	Cochran, Gillibrand, Landrieu
RDTE,A	Research Program in Plastic Materials for Portable Soldier Power and Electronics	4,000,000	---	Durbin
RDTE,A	Responsible Environmental Nanotechnologies	1,600,000	---	Bond
RDTE,A	Revolutionary Biomedical Engineering for Surgery and Triage	1,840,000	---	Hutchison
RDTE,A	Riptide Unmanned Ground Vehicle Weaponization	2,000,000	---	Collins, Snowe
RDTE,A	Rubber Track Conversion System for MRAP Vehicles	1,600,000	---	Franken, Klobuchar
RDTE,A	Scalable Smart Reserve Cell Technology	2,000,000	Payne	Dodd, Lautenberg, Lieberman, Menendez
RDTE,A	Scleral Healing and Bone Repair with Sphere-templated (6S) Polymers	1,200,000	Smith (WA)	Murray
RDTE,A	Seattle University College of Nursing	2,400,000	McDermott	---
RDTE,A	Self Inerting Munitions	800,000	Kennedy, Langevin	Reed, Whitehouse
RDTE,A	Self-Righting All Sensor Suite	2,080,000	Richardson	---
RDTE,A	Self-Separation Technologies for Army Unmanned Aircraft	2,000,000	---	Shelby
RDTE,A	Sensors for Terrorist IED Network Defeat at the University of Rhode Island	3,600,000	Kennedy, Langevin	Kerry, Reed, Whitehouse
RDTE,A	Sentinel Active Electronically Scanned Array	3,200,000	---	Cochran
RDTE,A	Shared Vision	1,200,000	---	Harkin
RDTE,A	Shock Trauma Research Center - Effects of Shock on Cells	1,200,000	Cleaver	---
RDTE,A	Silicon Carbide Devices for Power Systems	1,600,000	---	Levin, Stabenow
RDTE,A	Simulation Based Reliability and Safety (SimBRS) DoD Program	4,000,000	Childers	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,A	Small Agile Tactical Spacecraft	3,200,000	---	Murray
RDTE,A	Small Footprint Wide Angle Visual Display	1,200,000	Hinchey	---
RDTE,A	Smart Machine Platform Initiative	2,800,000	---	Voinovich
RDTE,A	Smart Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicle Program	2,400,000	Dingell, Conyers	Levin, Stabenow
RDTE,A	Smart Prosthetic Hand Technology	2,400,000	---	Crapo, Risch
RDTE,A	Smart Sensor Supercomputing Center	7,200,000	---	Rockefeller
RDTE,A	Smart Wound Dressing for MRSA-infected Battlefield Wounds	2,800,000	---	Kerry, Warner, Webb, Voinovich
RDTE,A	SMDI- Rapid Prototyping Solutions for the Warfighter	2,000,000	Doyle, Altmire	Casey, Specter
RDTE,A	Social Work Center for Soldiers and Military Families	765,000	Etheridge, Kissell	---
RDTE,A	Solar-Assisted Hot Water and Space Conditioning	800,000	---	Durbin
RDTE,A	Soldier Safe Head and Neck Injury Protection	1,600,000	Fudge	---
RDTE,A	Southern West Virginia Regional Integrated Command Operations Program	1,440,000	Rahall	---
RDTE,A	Spider Mortar Base Plate	800,000	Ryan (OH)	Brown (OH)

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,A	Spider Munitions System Research	1,600,000	---	Warner, Webb
RDTE,A	Spinal Muscular Atrophy Research Program	2,400,000	Pelosi, Lowey, Nadler (NY)	Gillibrand
RDTE,A	Spray Dried Plasma	1,600,000	---	Kerry
RDTE,A	Stabilized Enzyme Biofuel Call for Unmanned Ground Sensors	1,600,000	---	Bond
RDTE,A	Stand-off IED Detection	2,400,000	---	Leahy
RDTE,A	Standoff Improvised Explosive Detection Program at Florida A&M University	2,800,000	Boyd, Brown (FL), Meek (FL), Wasserman Schultz	---
RDTE,A	Staph Vaccine	4,800,000	---	Conrad, Dorgan
RDTE,A	Stark State College Materials Joining and Welding Center	2,000,000	Bocchieri	---
RDTE,A	Static Detonation Chamber	4,000,000	---	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,A	Submerged Munitions Research Program	2,400,000	Langevin, Kennedy	Whitehouse, Reed
RDTE,A	Superior Weapons Systems Through Castings	2,000,000	---	Bond, Brownback, Roberts
RDTE,A	Surface Preservation/Enhancement Repair Research Facility	4,000,000	---	Conrad, Dorgan
RDTE,A	Surgical Skills and Simulation Training Program	4,000,000	Wasserman Schultz	Nelson (FL)
RDTE,A	Sustainable High Performance Rigid Containers for Military Rations	1,600,000	---	Lugar
RDTE,A	Sustainable Water Production	2,000,000	---	Dodd, Lieberman
RDTE,A	Swarms Defense System	2,000,000	---	Sessions, Shelby
RDTE,A	Techniques to Manage Hemorrhage Following Combat Injury	1,200,000	Rodriguez, Gonzalez, Oritz	---
RDTE,A	Technologies for Rapid Response in Interventional Medicine	800,000	Schiff	---
RDTE,A	Technology Insertion for Army Aviation Legacy Systems	2,080,000	---	Landrieu, Vitter
RDTE,A	Tele-Health Outreach for Psychological Services	1,440,000	Bishop (GA)	Chambliss
RDTE,A	Telemedicine for Trauma Battlefield, Disaster and Large Scale Emergencies	2,400,000	Grijalva	---
RDTE,A	Terahertz-Frequency Materials and Devices Research Center	1,920,000	Towns	Gillibrand
RDTE,A	The Cooperative International Neuromuscular Research Group	4,160,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,A	The Operating Room of the Future	1,600,000	---	Boxer
RDTE,A	Thermal and Electrical Nanoscale Transport	1,200,000	Honda	---
RDTE,A	Threat Cue Research	1,600,000	---	Levin, Stabenow
RDTE,A	Threat Detection and Neutralization	3,200,000	Mollohan	---
RDTE,A	Tissue Regeneration Following Injury	766,000	Herseth Sandlin	Johnson, Thune
RDTE,A	Titanium Extraction, Mining and Process Engineering Research	4,800,000	---	Baucus, Tester
RDTE,A	Titanium Stockpile Pilot Program	2,400,000	Ryan (OH)	Brown (OH)
RDTE,A	Tracking the Health of Soldiers with Advanced Implantable Nano-Sensors	2,000,000	DeLauro, Courtney	Dodd
RDTE,A	Translational Research in Motor Performance	1,600,000	---	Durbin
RDTE,A	Trauma Care, Research and Training	2,400,000	---	Cornyn, Hutchison
RDTE,A	Traumatic Brain Injury and Long-Term Health Effects in the Military	2,400,000	Pelosi	---
RDTE,A	Treatment of Battlefield Spinal Cord and Burn Injuries	1,600,000	---	Merkley, Wyden
RDTE,A	Treatment of Osteoporosis and Bone Fractures	1,600,000	---	Lincoln, Pryor
RDTE,A	Triad Phase 3	1,600,000	Teague	Bingaman, Udall (NM)
RDTE,A	UH-60 Transmission/Gearbox Galvanic Corrosion Reduction	1,600,000	---	Burr, Hagan
RDTE,A	Ultra High Efficiency Heat Pump for Cooling and Heating Using In-Rush Control via Variable Speed Operation and Pulsing Refrigerant Flow Control	3,040,000	---	Reid
RDTE,A	Ultracold Matter Systems Engineering Initiative at the University of Colorado	2,000,000	Polis	Udall (CO)
RDTE,A	Universal Control Program	4,000,000	---	Dodd, Lieberman

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,A	University Center for Disaster Preparedness and Emergency Response	1,200,000	Pallone, Holt, Rothman	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,A	University of Detroit, Mercy, Advanced Robotics Research and Education	400,000	Kilpatrick, Conyers	Levin, Stabenow
RDTE,A	University of Maryland Center for Advanced Cyber Security Research and Technology Development	2,000,000	Edwards (MD), Cummings, Hoyer, Ruppersberger	Cardin
RDTE,A	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Resupply (UAVR)-BURRO	2,400,000	---	Dodd, Lieberman
RDTE,A	Unmanned Ground Vehicle Initiative	8,000,000	---	Levin
RDTE,A	Unmanned Hybrid Projectile Project	3,200,000	Larson (CT), Courtney	Dodd, Lieberman
RDTE,A	Unmanned System Algorithm Development	3,200,000	Mollohan	---
RDTE,A	Unserviceable Ammunition Demilitarization via Chemical Acid Dissolution	2,080,000	---	Bennett
RDTE,A	Vectored Thrust Ducted Propeller Compound Helicopter Flight Demonstration Program	3,200,000	---	Carper, Casey, Cochran, Kaufman, Specter
RDTE,A	Vehicle Electronics and Architecture Development	4,000,000	---	Levin
RDTE,A	VePro - Implementation of Fatigue Data Analysis and Management Methods to Extend Vehicle Life	3,040,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,A	Vertical Integration for Missile Defense Surveillance Data	3,560,000	Thompson (MS)	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,A	Vet-Biz Initiative for National Sustainment	2,400,000	Salazar	Udall (CO)
RDTE,A	Vibration Management Enhancement Program	3,200,000	---	Feinstein
RDTE,A	Virtual Medical Simulation Training Center	800,000	Kosmas	---
RDTE,A	Vision Integrating Strategies in Ophthalmology and Neurochemistry	1,200,000	---	Cornyn
RDTE,A	West Point Energy Security Project	4,000,000	Hinchey	---
RDTE,A	Wireless Medical Monitoring System (WiMed)	1,600,000	---	Grassley, Harkin
RDTE,A	Womens Cancer Genomics Center	3,200,000	McCarthy (NY), Lowey	Gillibrand, Schumer
RDTE,A	Young Adult Oncology Program	800,000	Schiff	---
RDTE,A	Zirconium Oxychloride Manufacturing Optimization	2,100,000	---	Cochran
RDTE,A	Zouline Armor	1,200,000	---	Bond
RDTE,AF	Accelerated Insertion of Advanced Materials and Certification for Military Aircraft Structure Material Substitution and Repair	2,000,000	---	Brownback, Roberts
RDTE,AF	Active Noise Reduction Communication Ear Plug for Military Aircrew	2,000,000	---	Leahy
RDTE,AF	Advanced Aerospace Carbon Foam Heat Exchangers	3,200,000	---	Voinovich
RDTE,AF	Advanced Aircraft Maintenance Technologies	1,600,000	---	Specter
RDTE,AF	Advanced Development of Aerospace Fabrication and Assembly Technologies	1,600,000	---	Bond
RDTE,AF	Advanced Integrated Microsystems for Military Systems	4,000,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,AF	Advanced Performance Aircraft Engine Laboratory	2,320,000	Visclosky	---
RDTE,AF	Advanced Research Laboratory Upgrade for Material Sciences and Aeronautics	1,200,000	Napolitano	---
RDTE,AF	Advanced Sensor Data Integration for Space Superiority	2,400,000	---	Specter
RDTE,AF	Advanced Solar Photovoltaics and Power Conversion Modules	1,360,000	Spratt	---
RDTE,AF	AFRL Edwards Rocket Test Stand 2-A Improvements	2,400,000	---	Feinstein
RDTE,AF	Air Force Minority Leaders Program	2,400,000	---	Alexander, Brown (OH), Landrieu
RDTE,AF	Aircraft Evaluation Readiness Initiative	2,080,000	---	Grassley, Harkin
RDTE,AF	Aircraft Fatigue Modeling and Simulation	3,200,000	---	Hutchison
RDTE,AF	Alaska Industrial Supercritical Water	800,000	---	Begich
RDTE,AF	Algal Biofuels for Aviation	1,600,000	Teague	Bingaman, Udall (NM)
RDTE,AF	ARL-ONAMI Center for Nanoarchitectures for Enhanced Performance	1,600,000	---	Merkley, Wyden

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,AF	AT-6B Demonstration for the Air National Guard	5,600,000	---	Brownback, Roberts
RDTE,AF	B-1B AESA Radar Operations Utility Evaluation	1,600,000	---	Johnson, Thune
RDTE,AF	B-52 Satellite Communications	5,600,000	---	Brownback, Roberts
RDTE,AF	Base Facility Energy Independence	2,400,000	Hinchey	---
RDTE,AF	BattleSpace - Reducing Military Decision Cycles, Phase II	2,000,000	---	Nelson (NE)
RDTE,AF	Blast Resistant Concrete Products	2,400,000	Boyd	---
RDTE,AF	Center for Metamaterials and Nanophotonics for Advanced Technology	2,960,000	Scott (VA)	---
RDTE,AF	Center for Microplasma Science and Technology	1,200,000	Rothman, Sires	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,AF	Center for Solar Electricity and Hydrogen	4,000,000	Kaptur	---
RDTE,AF	Chabot Space and Science Center	800,000	Lee (CA)	---
RDTE,AF	Close Proximity Space Situational Awareness	960,000	Edwards (TX)	---
RDTE,AF	Collaboration Gateway	1,600,000	---	Burr, Hagan
RDTE,AF	Computer and Communications Network Vulnerability Solutions	3,600,000	---	Gillibrand
RDTE,AF	Computer Network Attack/Computer Network Defense	800,000	Nye, Scott (VA)	Warner, Webb
RDTE,AF	Conducting Polymer Stress and Polymer Damage Sensors for Composites	1,600,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,AF	Counter-MANPAD RF Amplifier	1,600,000	---	Crapo, Risch
RDTE,AF	Critical Infrastructure Cyber Operations Simulation	1,520,000	---	Crapo, Risch
RDTE,AF	Cyber Attack Prevention via Technology and User Education	800,000	---	Cornyn, Hutchison
RDTE,AF	Cyber Center for Innovation and Education	2,400,000	Arcuri	Gillibrand, Schumer
RDTE,AF	Cyber Innovation Center - Research and Development Seed Fund	4,000,000	---	Landrieu, Vitter
RDTE,AF	Cyber Security Shield Initiative	2,400,000	Arcuri	Schumer
RDTE,AF	Cyber Transition Program	800,000	Rodriguez	---
RDTE,AF	Cyberattack Forecasting	2,080,000	---	Nelson (NE)
RDTE,AF	Defense Energy and Awareness Program	6,400,000	Murphy (PA)	Casey
RDTE,AF	Demonstration and Validation of Renewable Energy Technology	1,600,000	Bishop (GA)	---
RDTE,AF	Development and Validation of Advanced Design Technologies for Hypersonic Research	1,600,000	---	Franken, Klobuchar
RDTE,AF	Electromagnetic Battlespace Management	2,400,000	Edwards (TX)	---
RDTE,AF	Energy Efficiency, Recovery and Generation	800,000	---	Johnson, Thune
RDTE,AF	Flash Hyper-Dimensional Imaging System for Space Situational Awareness and Ballistic Missile Defense	3,200,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,AF	Freedom Fuels/Coal Fuel Alliance	2,480,000	---	Bunning
RDTE,AF	Gallium Nitride Materials for High Performance Devices	2,800,000	---	Reid
RDTE,AF	High Accuracy Network Determination System-Intelligent Optical Network for Space Situational Awareness	4,000,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,AF	High Energy Conventional Energetics	800,000	---	Bingaman, Udall (NM)
RDTE,AF	Holloman High Speed Test Track - Expanded Test Capability	3,600,000	---	Bingaman, Udall (NM)
RDTE,AF	Hypersonic Wind Tunnel	3,200,000	Giffords	---
RDTE,AF	Information Quality Tools for Persistent Surveillance Data Sets	1,600,000	Snyder	Lincoln, Pryor
RDTE,AF	In-Space Solar Electric Resource Transport Development	800,000	---	Murray
RDTE,AF	Institute for Advanced Energy Studies	3,200,000	---	Dorgan
RDTE,AF	Inter-Base Facility Energy Independence	3,200,000	Kaptur	---
RDTE,AF	Joint Strike Fighter Authentic Tactical Fighting System	1,600,000	---	Casey, Specter
RDTE,AF	KC-135 Structural Teardown Examination	1,600,000	---	Brownback, Roberts
RDTE,AF	LGX High Temperature Acoustic Wave Sensors for Monitoring of Aerospace Components	2,400,000	Pingree (ME)	Collins, Snowe
RDTE,AF	LIDAR Applications for Vehicles with Analysis	5,200,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,AF	Microsatellite Autonomous Assembly	1,440,000	Harman	---
RDTE,AF	Military Base Energy Security Demonstration Project	1,600,000	---	Bond

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,AF	Military Waste-to-Energy Project using the Hydro-Thermal Energy Conversion Process	4,000,000	---	Johnson
RDTE,AF	Minority Defense Federal Supply Initiative	3,000,000	Fattah	---
RDTE,AF	Mitigating RoHS Lead-Free Issues in Aerospace Circuit Board Manufacturing	1,600,000	Sutton, Kaptur	Brown (OH), Voinovich
RDTE,AF	Nanocomposites for Lightning Protection of Composite Airframe Structures	2,400,000	---	Brownback, Roberts
RDTE,AF	National Test Facility for Aerospace Fuels and Propulsion	1,920,000	Visclosky	---
RDTE,AF	Network Centric Airborne Defense Element	4,800,000	---	Baucus, Tester
RDTE,AF	Next Generation Casting Initiative	1,600,000	---	Levin, Reid, Stabenow
RDTE,AF	Next Generation Simulation Training for AFSOC Pararescue Forces	2,400,000	---	Baucus, Tester
RDTE,AF	Nuclear Enterprise Surety Tracking II	4,000,000	---	Vitter
RDTE,AF	Nuclear Test Monitoring Seismic Research	4,000,000	---	Kerry, Leahy
RDTE,AF	ONAMI Safer Nanomaterials and Nanomanufacturing	3,200,000	Blumenauer, DeFazio, Schrader, Wu	Merkley, Wyden
RDTE,AF	On-Chip Integrated Photonic Polymer Transceiver	4,000,000	---	Murray
RDTE,AF	PanSTARRS	7,200,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,AF	Partnership for Emerging Energy Technologies	1,600,000	Heinrich	Bingaman, Udall (NM)
RDTE,AF	Pennsylvania NanoMaterials Commercialization Center	1,600,000	Doyle	Casey
RDTE,AF	Phasor/BIRD Tracker Development	1,600,000	---	Leahy
RDTE,AF	Random Obfuscating Compiler Anti-Tamper Software	1,920,000	---	Collins, Snowe
RDTE,AF	Rapid Automated Processing of Advanced Low Observables	1,600,000	---	Brown (OH)
RDTE,AF	Real-time Optical Surveillance Applications	4,000,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,AF	Reconfigurable Electronics and Non-Volatile Memory Research	720,000	---	Crapo, Risch
RDTE,AF	Renewable Aromatic Jet Fuel Components for DoD Energy Needs	2,880,000	---	Burris
RDTE,AF	Renewable Hydrocarbon Fuels for Military Applications	4,000,000	Kaptur, Kucinich	Brown (OH)
RDTE,AF	Rio Grande Valley Nanotech Institute	1,600,000	Ortiz	---
RDTE,AF	Science, Technology, Academic, Research, Outreach Program	3,280,000	---	Bennett
RDTE,AF	Secure Supply of High-Purity Carbon Nanotube Solutions	1,200,000	---	Inhofe
RDTE,AF	Service Oriented Architecture Implementation for Cyber-Security Tools	800,000	---	Bond
RDTE,AF	Sewage-Derived Biofuels Project	3,520,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,AF	SiC RF Power for Airborne Avionics and Radar	1,600,000	---	Merkley, Wyden
RDTE,AF	Silicon Carbide Material Manufacturing Initiative	4,500,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,AF	Silicon Carbide Power Modules for the F-35 Joint Strike Fighter	3,200,000	---	Lincoln, Pryor
RDTE,AF	Space Plasma Research Augmentation	1,600,000	---	Bingaman, Udall (NM)
RDTE,AF	Space Sensor Data Link Technology	3,520,000	---	Bennett
RDTE,AF	Supply Chain Solutions Lab	1,200,000	Altmire	Casey
RDTE,AF	Technology Research and Innovation Outreach for Space	2,400,000	Heinrich	Bingaman, Udall (NM)
RDTE,AF	Texas Research Institute for Environmental Studies	2,800,000	Rodriguez	---
RDTE,AF	Transportable Transponder Landing System	2,400,000	---	Merkley, Wyden
RDTE,AF	UAV Sensor and Maintenance Development Center	4,000,000	---	Bennett
RDTE,AF	University Level Technology Research and Analysis	2,000,000	Marshall	---
RDTE,AF	Unmanned Aerial System Exploitation	2,800,000	---	Voinovich
RDTE,AF	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Environment-Aware Adaptive Monitoring	3,680,000	---	Nelson (NE)
RDTE,AF	Vigilance Assistance in Screening, Surveillance and Reconnaissance	3,600,000	---	Akaka
RDTE,AF	Washington Aerospace Training and Research Center	800,000	Inslee	Cantwell

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,AF	Wayne State University Sonic IR Imaging Technology Development	800,000	Kilpatrick	Levin, Stabenow
RDTE,AF	Wyoming Army National Guard Joint Training and Experimentation Center	4,320,000	---	Barrasso
RDTE,DW	3-D Laser Radar Technology Development	2,800,000	---	Cardin, Mikulski
RDTE,DW	Accelerated Vaccine Design and Development Toolkit	1,600,000	---	Reed, Whitehouse
RDTE,DW	Active Duty Military Training and Entrepreneurship Program	1,600,000	Clyburn	---
RDTE,DW	Advanced Nanocomposite Battery Materials	3,400,000	Israel, Bishop (NY)	Gillibrand, Schumer
RDTE,DW	Advanced Non-Woven Biological and Chemical Agent Protective Gear	2,080,000	---	Nelson (NE)
RDTE,DW	Advanced Novel Drug Development for the Warfighter	2,100,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,DW	Advanced, Long Endurance Unattended Ground Sensor Technologies	2,000,000	Childers	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,DW	Affordable Robust Mid-Sized Unmanned Ground Vehicle	2,400,000	---	Kerry
RDTE,DW	Agile Software Capability Intervention	1,600,000	---	Bond
RDTE,DW	Ant-Based Cyber Defense	864,000	Kissell, Watt	---
RDTE,DW	Antennas and Carbon Nanotube Devices for Intelligence	1,600,000	---	Bond
RDTE,DW	Anti-Viral Vaccine Development	4,880,000	---	Grassley, Harkin
RDTE,DW	Armed Forces Health and Food Supply Research	3,200,000	---	Roberts
RDTE,DW	Army Plant Vaccine Development Program	2,400,000	---	Carper, Kaufman
RDTE,DW	ASIC Miniaturization for SOF Sensor and Night Vision Technologies	4,000,000	---	Leahy
RDTE,DW	Automated Picoliter Digital Nucleic Acid Analysis for Medical Biologic Detection and Diagnostics	1,300,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,DW	Automated Sample Preparation for Biological Detection	800,000	Slaughter	---
RDTE,DW	Barry University Institute for Collaborative Sciences Research	800,000	Hastings (FL), Meek (FL), Wasserman Schultz	---
RDTE,DW	Biofuels Program	1,600,000	---	Levin
RDTE,DW	Biological And Chemical Warfare Online Repository of Technical Holdings 2 System	1,600,000	---	Murray
RDTE,DW	Bioterrorism and Battlefield Medical Solutions	4,000,000	Rothman, Pascrell, Sires	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,DW	Border Security and Defense Systems Research	2,400,000	---	Hutchison
RDTE,DW	Butanol Production Research	6,960,000	Clyburn	---
RDTE,DW	Camouflage Direction-finding Antennas Program	2,800,000	---	Feinstein
RDTE,DW	Center for Critical and Strategic Materials	1,200,000	Perlmutter	---
RDTE,DW	Center for Innovation at Arlington	2,160,000	---	Hutchison
RDTE,DW	Center for Intelligence and Security Studies	3,000,000	Childers	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,DW	Center for Nonproliferation Studies at the Monterey Institute of International Studies	1,600,000	Berman	---
RDTE,DW	Center for the Diagnosis of Pathogens	2,000,000	Bishop (GA), Lewis (GA), Scott (GA)	---
RDTE,DW	Center for UAS Research, Education and Training	4,000,000	Pomeroy	Conrad, Dorgan
RDTE,DW	Center of Excellence for Research in Ocean Sciences (CEROS)	8,000,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,DW	Chemical and Biological Agent Fate Appropriate Response Operational Tool	2,400,000	Kildee	Levin, Stabenow
RDTE,DW	Chemical Vapor Composite Silicon Carbide and Silicon Carbide Corrugated Mirror Processes for the SM-3 Block IIA Telescope	3,200,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,DW	Chemical/Biological Infrared Detection System	2,400,000	---	Collins, Snowe
RDTE,DW	Chemterrorism Operations for Public Emergency Response	3,200,000	---	Burr
RDTE,DW	Collaboration for Improved Missile Assessments	2,000,000	---	Shelby
RDTE,DW	Collision Avoidance System for UAVs	1,600,000	---	Bennett, Leahy

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,DW	Combating Terrorism - Value-Added Application of Effective Measurement and Financial Efficiency Associated with Terrorism and Counter-terrorism Risks, Hazards and Vulnerabilities	1,600,000	Brady (PA)	---
RDTE,DW	Commodity Management Systems Consolidation Program	1,360,000	---	Rockefeller
RDTE,DW	Contaminated Human Remains Pouch	2,400,000	---	Brownback, Roberts
RDTE,DW	Continuous Acquisition and Life-Cycle Support Integrated Data Environment and Defense Logistics Enterprise Services Program	2,720,000	---	Rockefeller
RDTE,DW	Corrosion Control, Prevention and Prediction through Polymer	4,800,000	Taylor	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,DW	Corrosion Training Simulation	1,840,000	Oberstar	---
RDTE,DW	Covert Sensing and Tagging System	800,000	---	Akaka
RDTE,DW	Creating an Assured Joint DoD and Interagency Interoperable Net-Centric Enterprise	240,000	---	Lugar
RDTE,DW	Critical Asset Protection for Electro-Magnetic Pulse	4,000,000	Doyle	---
RDTE,DW	Cross Lingual Information Correlation	1,600,000	---	Lincoln, Pryor
RDTE,DW	Cultural Intelligence for Enhanced Strategic Communications	1,600,000	---	Brownback
RDTE,DW	Cyber Security Initiative	1,600,000	Rush	Durbin
RDTE,DW	Cybersecurity and Operational Identity Management	2,000,000	Farr	---
RDTE,DW	DB-110 on MQ-9	2,400,000	---	Kerry
RDTE,DW	Detecting Exposure to Nerve Agents	2,160,000	---	Nelson (NE)
RDTE,DW	Development of Dendritic Cell Targeted Immunotherapeutics	1,200,000	Kaptur	---
RDTE,DW	Diversity Transformation - Applicable Knowledge of Global and Cultural Perspectives	800,000	Meek (FL)	---
RDTE,DW	Dynamic Flow Management System	1,600,000	---	Conrad, Dorgan
RDTE,DW	Early Responders Distance Learning Center	1,008,000	Brady (PA)	Specter
RDTE,DW	Eastern Kentucky University Cellulosic-Derived Biofuels Research Project	2,400,000	Chandler	---
RDTE,DW	Electric Grid Test Bed	3,200,000	---	Crapo, Risch
RDTE,DW	Electronic Commodity Project	680,000	---	Rockefeller
RDTE,DW	Electronics and Materials for Flexible Sensors and Transponders	5,200,000	Pomeroy	Conrad, Dorgan
RDTE,DW	Energy Storage Systems for DoD	6,400,000	Larson (CT)	---
RDTE,DW	Enhancing Vaccine Development and Capacity	1,600,000	Bishop (GA)	---
RDTE,DW	Ensuring Reliable Internet Communications	2,000,000	Moran (VA)	---
RDTE,DW	Environmental Baseline Assessment and Monitoring U.S. Navy South Florida Testing Facility Offshore Range	1,400,000	Wasserman Schultz, Klein	---
RDTE,DW	Escalation of Force Non-Lethal Technology for Special Operations Forces	2,400,000	---	Reid
RDTE,DW	Expeditionary Surveillance and Reconnaissance Program	7,200,000	---	Rockefeller
RDTE,DW	FirstLink	1,600,000	Altmire, Doyle	---
RDTE,DW	Garden State Cancer Vaccine Development Program	2,400,000	Pascrell, Rothman	---
RDTE,DW	Green Product Evaluation and Implementation Program	2,400,000	---	Graham
RDTE,DW	Hawaii Advanced Laboratory for Information Integration	2,960,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,DW	Heron TP UAS	6,400,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,DW	High Performance Computational Design of Novel Materials	3,000,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,DW	High Performance Computing in Biomedical Engineering and Health Sciences Benefitting the Warfighter	1,760,000	Watt	Burr
RDTE,DW	Infectious Disease Research	1,200,000	Lowey, Nadler (NY)	---
RDTE,DW	Initiative to Advance Adaptive Petascale Supercomputing	6,400,000	---	Alexander
RDTE,DW	Inland Empire Groundwater Perchlorate Remediation	6,400,000	Baca	Boxer
RDTE,DW	Institute of Advanced Flexible Manufacturing Systems	3,200,000	---	Rockefeller
RDTE,DW	Integrated Cryo-cooled High Power Density Systems	3,200,000	Boyd	Nelson (FL)
RDTE,DW	Integrated Rugged Checkpoint Container	2,000,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,DW	Integrated Support System for Soldiers	1,360,000	---	Cornyn

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,DW	Intelligence Collection Management Tool Follow-on Development	2,000,000	---	Sessions, Shelby
RDTE,DW	Islet Cell Resource Center at Georgetown University	2,000,000	Bishop (GA)	---
RDTE,DW	Jefferson Program	3,200,000	Perriello	---
RDTE,DW	Joint Services Aircrew Mask (JSAM) Don/Doff In-flight Upgrade	1,360,000	---	Carper, Kaufman
RDTE,DW	Ka-Band Mini-Multimode Search and Imaging Radar Completion and Flight Testing	2,400,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,DW	Lithium-Ion Battery Safety Detection and Control	1,600,000	Carson, Visclosky	Lugar
RDTE,DW	MAGIC UAS	8,000,000	---	Cochran
RDTE,DW	Maine Natural Biopolymer Research and Development Partnership	1,056,000	Pingree (ME), Michaud	---
RDTE,DW	Maritime ISR Technology Center	1,600,000	Scott (GA), Johnson (GA)	Chambliss
RDTE,DW	Military Medical Decontamination System	4,000,000	---	Brown (OH), Voinovich
RDTE,DW	MilTech Extension Program	1,600,000	---	Baucus, Tester
RDTE,DW	Mobile Renewable Energy for U.S. Bases in Afghanistan	2,400,000	---	Cardin
RDTE,DW	Modeling and Simulation Development in Support of National Security Training and Decision Analysis	2,800,000	Nye, Scott (VA)	Warner, Webb
RDTE,DW	Monmouth University Dynamic Visualization Information Project	3,500,000	Rothman, Holt	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,DW	Morehouse College John H. Hopps Defense Research Scholars Program	2,000,000	Lewis (GA), Bishop (GA), Johnson (GA)	---
RDTE,DW	Multiple-Target-Tracking Optical Sensor-Array Technology	4,000,000	---	Akaka
RDTE,DW	Nanoscience and Biotechnology Laboratories and Research Program	1,600,000	Johnson (GA), Lewis (GA)	---
RDTE,DW	National Center for Education Research on Corrosion and Materials Performance	2,000,000	Sutton, Ryan (OH)	Brown (OH)
RDTE,DW	National Center for Blast Mitigation and Protection	1,200,000	Connolly	---
RDTE,DW	National Center for Therapeutics Manufacturing	3,000,000	Edwards (TX)	---
RDTE,DW	National Robotics Training Center	3,200,000	Clyburn	---
RDTE,DW	NetCentric Intelligence Node-Pacific	2,400,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,DW	neu VISION - Intelligent Explosives Detection System	2,400,000	---	Cardin
RDTE,DW	Next Generation Manufacturing Technologies Initiative	3,000,000	Loebsack	Grassley, Harkin
RDTE,DW	NIDS Handheld Common Identifier for Biological Agents	2,400,000	---	Carper, Kaufman
RDTE,DW	Ohio Enhanced Defense Alternate Energy Suppliers Program	3,760,000	Ryan (OH)	---
RDTE,DW	ORDATA II	600,000	Moran (VA)	---
RDTE,DW	Pacific Region Interoperability Test and Evaluation Capability	4,000,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,DW	Paintshield for Protection from Microbial Threats	3,200,000	---	Brown (OH), Voinovich
RDTE,DW	Partnership for Defense Innovation Wi-Fi Laboratory Testing and Assessment Center	2,400,000	McIntyre, Etheridge, Kissell	Burr, Hagan
RDTE,DW	Phoenix Non-Gasoline Burning Outboard Engine	1,440,000	Mollohan	---
RDTE,DW	Playas Training and Research Center Joint National Training Experiment	2,400,000	Teague	Bingaman, Udall (NM)
RDTE,DW	Portable Rapid Bacterial Warfare Detection Unit	4,800,000	---	Grassley, Harkin
RDTE,DW	Protecting Military Personnel and the Nation Against Agents of Bioterror - Developing a Therapeutic Drug with Activity Against Multiple and Unforeseen Bio-Threat Agents	800,000	Murphy (PA)	---
RDTE,DW	Quiet Tactical UAV Development	3,200,000	Bishop (GA), Johnson (GA), Scott (GA)	Chambliss
RDTE,DW	Radio Frequency Identification Technologies	1,000,000	Yarmuth	Bunning, McConnell
RDTE,DW	Rapid DNA Identification System	1,600,000	---	Conrad, Dorgan
RDTE,DW	Rapid Forensic Evaluation of Microbes in Biodefense	1,280,000	Critz	---

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,DW	Reachback Decision Informatics Research Program	3,500,000	Boucher	Warner, Webb
RDTE,DW	Reconnaissance and Data Exploitation System	3,200,000	---	Akaka
RDTE,DW	Responsive Textiles	1,600,000	---	Kerry, Shaheen
RDTE,DW	Secure Procurement Logistics Project	1,600,000	---	Bond
RDTE,DW	Self-Organized High-Assurance Wireless Networks	200,000	Boyd, Brown (FL), Meek (FL)	---
RDTE,DW	Small Assault Vessel Expeditionary	1,920,000	---	Landrieu
RDTE,DW	SOF Mission Based Equipage	1,840,000	Hoyer	---
RDTE,DW	SpringBoard Technology Transfer	1,600,000	---	Begich
RDTE,DW	STEM Initiative	800,000	Jackson-Lee; Green, Al; Green, Gene	---
RDTE,DW	STEM Initiative - Vandenberg Air Force Base	1,600,000	Capps	---
RDTE,DW	STEM Outreach Education in Southwest Indiana	992,000	Ellsworth	Lugar
RDTE,DW	STEM-UP Inglewood School District	800,000	Waters	---
RDTE,DW	Strategic Materials	4,000,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,DW	Street Sweeper	3,200,000	---	Gregg, Shaheen
RDTE,DW	Superconducting Quantum Information Technology	2,320,000	Moore (KS)	Brownback
RDTE,DW	Synchrotron Beamline and Experimental Station	1,600,000	Bishop (NY)	Gillibrand
RDTE,DW	Technical Exploitation Operations Center, Gowen Field	2,800,000	---	Crapo, Risch
RDTE,DW	Technology for Rapid Foreign Language Acquisition for Specialized Military and Intelligence Purposes	2,560,000	---	Gregg, Shaheen
RDTE,DW	Terrorist Threat Detection System for Shipping Containers	2,400,000	---	Reid
RDTE,DW	Thin-Film PV Module Deployment and Verification	2,200,000	---	Lugar
RDTE,DW	Toxic Chemicals and Hazardous Material Improvement and Remediation	2,400,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,DW	Transparent Lithia Alumina Silicate Glass Ceramic Armor Systems	2,800,000	---	Lugar
RDTE,DW	Trusted Foundry	4,800,000	---	Leahy
RDTE,DW	UAV/UAS Test Facility	2,800,000	---	Inhofe
RDTE,DW	University Strategic Partnerships	1,600,000	Heinrich	Bingaman, Udall (NM)
RDTE,DW	Unmanned Aerial Platforms to Support First Responders During Local and National Disaster	1,200,000	---	Reid
RDTE,DW	Upgrade of FilmArray Bio-threat Detection System	2,000,000	---	Bennett
RDTE,DW	Vaccine Stabilization Initiative	1,600,000	Smith (WA), Baird, Inslee	Murray
RDTE,DW	Viral WMD - Protection and Treatment for the Warfighter	2,000,000	Higgins, Slaughter	Gillibrand, Schumer
RDTE,DW	Virtual Integrated Support for the Information Operations eNvironment	5,000,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,DW	Warfighter Interoperability Technologies	1,200,000	---	Collins, Snowe
RDTE,DW	Woody Biomass Conversion to Jet Fuel	2,500,000	Pingree (ME), Michaud	Collins, Snowe
RDTE,N	4-D Data Fusion and Visualization	1,600,000	---	Akaka, Inouye
RDTE,N	Advanced Composite Maritime Manufacturing	1,200,000	---	Carper, Kaufman
RDTE,N	Advanced Energetics Initiative	3,200,000	Hoyer	---
RDTE,N	Advanced Energy Systems Integration	3,200,000	Hinchey	---
RDTE,N	Advanced Fluid Controls for Shipboard Applications	3,600,000	---	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,N	Advanced Ship Self Defense Technology Testing	4,880,000	---	Bennett
RDTE,N	Advanced Simulation Tools for Aircraft Structures Made of Composite Materials	800,000	---	Bond
RDTE,N	Advanced Technology for Networked Autonomous Unmanned Systems	1,600,000	---	Gillibrand, Schumer
RDTE,N	Agent Defeat by Energetic Nano-Materials	1,600,000	Rothman, Payne	Lautenberg, Menendez

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,N	Agile Port and High Speed Ship Technology Transition Program	1,600,000	Sánchez, Linda	---
RDTE,N	Aging Military Aircraft Fleet Support	1,600,000	---	Brownback, Roberts
RDTE,N	Airborne High Gain UHF ESA	3,200,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,N	AMTC Research and Development Riverine Command Boat	4,000,000	---	Murray
RDTE,N	Anthropomorphic Test Device Sensors for Kinetic and Impact Networks	1,500,000	Berry	Lincoln, Pryor
RDTE,N	Applied Research in Intelligent Autonomous Systems	1,200,000	Sestak	---
RDTE,N	Arena Testing Utilizing LASER Systems	1,040,000	Berry	Lincoln, Pryor
RDTE,N	Artificial Intelligence-Based Combat System	4,000,000	---	Reed, Whitehouse
RDTE,N	Automated Fiber Optic Manufacturing Initiative	3,200,000	---	Kerry, Warner, Webb
RDTE,N	Avionics Research and Development Laboratory	1,000,000	Edwards (TX)	Hutchison
RDTE,N	Battery Research Initiative	4,000,000	Visclosky, Ellsworth	Lugar
RDTE,N	Biosensors for Defense Application	2,000,000	Cao	Landrieu, Vitter
RDTE,N	Bow Lifting Body Ship Research	7,200,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,N	Carbon Composite Thin Films for Power Generation and Energy Storage in Military and Civilian Settings	800,000	Jackson-Lee	---
RDTE,N	Center for Assured Critical Application and Infrastructure Security	3,200,000	---	Durbin
RDTE,N	Center for Island Sustainability	800,000	Bordallo	---
RDTE,N	Characterization and Exploitation of Magnetic and Electric Fields in the Coastal Ocean Environment - Dania Beach	1,600,000	Klein, Wasserman Schultz	Nelson (FL)
RDTE,N	CISRT Enabling Materials Technology	1,600,000	---	Reid
RDTE,N	City of Hope National Medical Center Advanced Molecular Medical Initiative	1,600,000	Chu	---
RDTE,N	Coactive Design of Human and Robot Teams for Materials Handling and IED Response	3,200,000	Boyd	---
RDTE,N	Cognitive Radio Institute	400,000	Gordon	---
RDTE,N	Common Command and Control System Module	4,800,000	---	Dodd, Lieberman, Reed, Whitehouse
RDTE,N	Composite Surface Ship Louvers	800,000	---	Klobuchar
RDTE,N	Composite Tissue Transplantation for Combat Wound Repair	1,600,000	---	Chambliss
RDTE,N	Condition-based Maintenance Enabling Technologies Program	2,040,000	---	Rockefeller
RDTE,N	Controllable Shock Absorber for Advanced Submarine Weapon Shock Mitigation	2,400,000	---	Reid
RDTE,N	Cosite Interference Mitigation Suite	1,200,000	---	Akaka, Inouye
RDTE,N	Countermine LIDAR UAV-based System	2,000,000	---	Cochran
RDTE,N	Critical Power Securitization for Naval Installations	2,960,000	Courtney	Dodd, Lieberman
RDTE,N	Cyber Engineering and Technology Development Center Project	1,600,000	---	Landrieu, Vitter
RDTE,N	DDG-51 Hybrid Drive System	12,500,000	---	Cochran, Kerry, Wicker
RDTE,N	Decision and Energy Reduction Tool	1,600,000	---	Levin, Stabenow, Udall (CO)
RDTE,N	Design for Maintainability Man-Machine Interface Lab	1,600,000	Hoyer	---
RDTE,N	Development of Hybrid Multi-functional Composites for Submarine Structures	4,000,000	---	Cochran
RDTE,N	Digitization, Integration and Analyst Access of NCIS Files	1,020,000	---	Rockefeller
RDTE,N	Electronic Periscope Detection Radar	2,400,000	---	Reid
RDTE,N	Ellipsoidal Unmanned Underwater Vehicle	1,600,000	---	Reed, Whitehouse
RDTE,N	Energy Efficient and Survivable Tent Technology	2,400,000	Hinchey	Gillibrand, Schumer
RDTE,N	Enhance STRIKE Functionality and Integrate Actionable Intelligence into National Intelligence Systems	1,200,000	---	Nelson (FL)
RDTE,N	Enhanced Logistics Data Analysis via Data Pedigree	1,200,000	Bishop (GA)	---
RDTE,N	Expeditionary Capabilities Laboratory	5,600,000	---	Brownback, Roberts

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,N	Fan Coil Assembly of the Future	2,000,000	---	Dodd, Kerry, Lieberman
RDTE,N	Formable Textile for Complex Shaped Aerospace Composite Structures	1,600,000	---	Collins, Snowe
RDTE,N	Fuel and Energy Laboratory	800,000	---	Cantwell, Murray
RDTE,N	Fuel Efficient, High Specific Power Engine for USVs	2,000,000	---	Collins, Snowe
RDTE,N	Fusion Exploitation Algorithm Targeting High Altitude Reconnaissance	3,200,000	---	Bennett
RDTE,N	Global Law Enforcement Support for Counter-Narcotics	2,400,000	---	Burr
RDTE,N	Global Supply Chain Management - Center for Advanced Logistics Management	1,600,000	Bishop (GA)	---
RDTE,N	Graywater Treatment Technology	880,000	---	Crapo, Risch
RDTE,N	Guidance, Navigation, Control and Targeting System	5,600,000	---	Leahy
RDTE,N	Gulf Coast Land Based Test Facility	21,740,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,N	Gulf of Mexico/Texas Border Geoid Model	1,600,000	Ortiz	---
RDTE,N	Hawaii Event Response Command Center	2,400,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,N	Hawaii Surveillance Initiative	17,000,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,N	Hawaii Technology Development Venture	10,000,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,N	Hearing Restoration through Cellular Regeneration	2,400,000	---	Murray
RDTE,N	High Density Energy Storage	3,200,000	---	Nelson (NE)
RDTE,N	High Efficiency Power Electronics Module	2,000,000	---	Kohl
RDTE,N	High Performance Military Aircraft Noise Reduction	2,500,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,N	High Power Laser Technologies Initiative	1,600,000	Brown (FL), Kosmas	Nelson (FL)
RDTE,N	High Temperature Fuel Cells	2,400,000	---	Dodd, Lieberman
RDTE,N	High-Precision Nanoparticle Formation Control	800,000	Sestak	---
RDTE,N	Human Neural Cell-based Biosensor	960,000	---	Isakson
RDTE,N	Hybrid Propulsion/Power Generation for Increased Fuel Efficiency for Surface Combatants	6,400,000	---	Feinstein
RDTE,N	Inertial North Finding for Handheld Sensors	1,200,000	---	Gregg
RDTE,N	In-Field Body Temperature Conditioner	2,400,000	---	Reid
RDTE,N	Infrared Materials Laboratories	1,600,000	---	Inhofe
RDTE,N	Inland Water Quality and Desalination Program	1,600,000	Teague	Bingaman, Udall (NM)
RDTE,N	Integrated Manufacturing Enterprise	2,800,000	---	Landrieu, Vitter
RDTE,N	Integration of Electro-Kinetic Weapons into Next Generation of Navy Ships	4,400,000	Boyd	Nelson (FL)
RDTE,N	Integration of Logistics Information for Knowledge Projection and Readiness Assessment Program	1,360,000	---	Rockefeller
RDTE,N	Intelligent Autonomy Technology Transition/Intelligence Gathering Uninhabited System	1,600,000	---	Burr
RDTE,N	Intelligent Decision Exploration	4,000,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,N	Intelligent Integrated Manufacturing System	2,400,000	Melancon	Vitter
RDTE,N	Internet-Based Installation Environmental Management Information System	800,000	---	Akaka
RDTE,N	IUID Web-Based Tracking and Accountability Software	3,200,000	---	Reed
RDTE,N	Jet Engine Noise Reduction	3,040,000	Boyd	Nelson (FL)
RDTE,N	Joint Force Deployment Command and Control - Joint Deployment Distribution Support Platform Prototype	800,000	Scott (GA)	---
RDTE,N	Joint Modular Intermodal Container	1,600,000	---	Leahy
RDTE,N	Laser Phalanx	12,000,000	---	Bunning, Kerry, McConnell
RDTE,N	LCS Axial Flow High Power Density Waterjets	2,800,000	---	Kerry, Wicker
RDTE,N	Life Extension of Navy Weapon System Structures through AMP Technologies	1,600,000	---	Johnson
RDTE,N	Light Weight Water Resistant Body Armor for Special Operations	1,200,000	---	Hagan

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,N	Lightweight Ship Structures Technologies to Reduce Total Ownership Costs	3,200,000	Dicks	---
RDTE,N	Littoral Battlespace Sensing-UUV	1,600,000	---	Vitter
RDTE,N	M65 Bismaleimide Carbon Fiber Prepreg	2,800,000	---	Bennett
RDTE,N	Managing and Extending DoD Asset Lifecycles	1,600,000	---	Akaka
RDTE,N	MEMS Microdetonator Packaging Technology for Advanced DoD Weapons	2,400,000	---	Johnson
RDTE,N	METOC Integrated Network-Centric Technology Systems	2,400,000	---	Vitter
RDTE,N	Military Propulsion Advanced Program	8,000,000	---	Kohl
RDTE,N	Millimeter Wave Imaging	1,600,000	---	Carper, Kaufman
RDTE,N	Mobile Laser Deposition Work Cell	2,400,000	Conyers, Dingell, Kilpatrick, Levin	Levin, Stabenow
RDTE,N	MPC Performance Feedback and Assessment System	1,600,000	---	Warner, Webb
RDTE,N	Nanofluidic Lubricants for Increased Fuel Efficiency in Heavy Duty Vehicles	1,200,000	Price (NC)	---
RDTE,N	Nanotechnology for Anti-Reverse Engineering	1,600,000	---	Lincoln, Pryor
RDTE,N	National Functional Genomics Center Collaborating Site	4,000,000	Holden	---
RDTE,N	Naval Electronic Warfare Technology Integration Center	2,400,000	---	Lugar
RDTE,N	Naval Port Security System	4,400,000	---	Reed, Voinovich, Whitehouse
RDTE,N	Navy Nanotechnology Fuze-on-a-Chip	3,200,000	---	Kohl
RDTE,N	Navy Ordnance Real-Time Location System Using Passive Radio Frequency Identification	2,000,000	---	Cochran
RDTE,N	Navy Special Warfare Performance and Injury Prevention Program for SBT 22 at Stennis Space Center	2,400,000	Taylor	Cochran, Specter, Wicker
RDTE,N	Network-centric Communications Using All-digital Radio Frequency Systems	1,600,000	---	Schumer
RDTE,N	Neural Control of External Devices (Limb Movement)	1,200,000	---	Bennett
RDTE,N	Non-Lethal Defense Technologies	800,000	Critz	---
RDTE,N	Nuclear Security Sensor System	3,600,000	---	Baucus, Tester
RDTE,N	ONAMI Nanoelectronics, Nanometrology and Nanobiotechnology Initiative	2,750,000	Wu, Blumenauer, DeFazio, Schrader	Merkley, Wyden
RDTE,N	Organic Submarine Airborne ISRT Deployment	3,600,000	---	Reed
RDTE,N	Out of Autoclave Composite Wing Project	2,400,000	---	Bond
RDTE,N	PACNORWEST Large Diameter Unmanned Undersea Vehicle Experimentation	4,320,000	Dicks	---
RDTE,N	Persistent Surveillance Wave Power Buoy System	2,800,000	---	Lautenberg, Menendez
RDTE,N	Pervasive Healthcare Technology	2,000,000	Johnson, Eddie Bernice (TX)	---
RDTE,N	Polymer Science Research and Development	4,200,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
RDTE,N	Production Efficiency Program	1,680,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,N	Productization of Anti-fouling and Fouling Release Coating Systems	2,400,000	Pomeroy	Conrad, Dorgan
RDTE,N	Program for Space and Defense Nano-Photovoltaics	1,600,000	Green, Gene (TX)	---
RDTE,N	Proton Therapy Institute	8,000,000	Moran (VA), Scott (VA)	---
RDTE,N	Puget Sound Baseline Monitoring/Intrusion Detection System	1,600,000	---	Murray
RDTE,N	Puget Sound Littoral Environmental Sensing Network	4,960,000	Dicks	---
RDTE,N	Quiet Drive Advanced Rotary Actuator	2,400,000	---	Warner, Webb
RDTE,N	Rapid Casting Technology for Naval Castings	800,000	---	Murray
RDTE,N	Rare Earth Alternatives for Permanent Magnet Motors	4,000,000	---	Inhofe, Lincoln, Pryor, Wicker
RDTE,N	Real-Time Tactical Intelligence Collection System	2,400,000	---	Cardin, Mikulski
RDTE,N	Research Airship	2,000,000	---	Collins
RDTE,N	Silicon Nanofiber Medical Devices for the Warfighter	4,000,000	---	Conrad, Dorgan

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
RDTE,N	Smart Instrument Development for the Magdalena Ridge Observatory	4,800,000	Teague	Bingaman, Udall (NM)
RDTE,N	SOF COMMS, PSYOPS	4,000,000	Hoyer	---
RDTE,N	Solid State Arc Fault Detection with Arc Location System	1,240,000	---	Bennett
RDTE,N	Southern Maryland Defense Facility Fiber Connectivity Initiative	6,000,000	---	Mikulski
RDTE,N	SPAWAR Systems Center (SSC/ITC), Atlantic New Orleans	4,000,000	Cao	Landrieu, Vitter
RDTE,N	Spin-Torque Effect Research for MRAM	800,000	---	Baucus, Tester
RDTE,N	SSGN Weapon Launch Technology Insertion	1,600,000	---	Reed, Whitehouse
RDTE,N	Steel Hardening for U.S. Navy Littoral Combat Ships	2,400,000	Ryan (OH)	---
RDTE,N	STEM/Energy and Power Career Development Initiative	3,200,000	Schwartz, Sestak	Kaufman
RDTE,N	Submarine Environment for Evaluation and Development	3,200,000	---	Reed
RDTE,N	Submarine Payload Integration	6,400,000	---	Dodd, Lieberman, Reed, Whitehouse
RDTE,N	Supply Chain Logistics Capability at the ABL NIROP	3,200,000	---	Rockefeller
RDTE,N	Surveillance and Strike Mission Radar Test Bed	3,200,000	---	Mikulski
RDTE,N	TB-33 Thinline Towed Array	2,800,000	---	Reed, Whitehouse
RDTE,N	Texas Microfactory	2,400,000	---	Hutchison
RDTE,N	Tidal Energy Test Platform	3,760,000	Dicks, Baird, Inslee	Cantwell, Murray
RDTE,N	Tomahawk Cost Reduction Initiative	3,040,000	---	Bennett, Levin, Stabenow
RDTE,N	Traumatic Brain Injury Research	4,000,000	Hoyer	Cardin
RDTE,N	Treatment and Rehabilitation Solutions for Battlefield Cranio-Facial Wounds	4,000,000	---	Cornyn, Hutchison
RDTE,N	Tunable MicroRadio for Military Applications	4,800,000	---	Conrad, Dorgan
RDTE,N	Turbulence Research Facility	3,200,000	---	Gregg, Shaheen
RDTE,N	U.S. Navy Pandemic Influenza Vaccine Program	1,600,000	Owens	Gillibrand, Schumer
RDTE,N	Underwater Warhead Research	3,200,000	Hoyer	---
RDTE,N	Unmanned Ground Vehicle Mobility for Complex Urban Environments in Coastal Zones	3,200,000	Carney	Specter
RDTE,N	UPSIDE Extension to Virtual Perimeter Monitoring System	800,000	Langevin	Reed, Whitehouse
RDTE,N	USMC Military Fleet Computer Co-simulation	640,000	Markey (CO)	---
RDTE,N	Virtual Business Accelerator for the Silicon Prairie	1,600,000	---	Nelson (NE)
RDTE,N	Virtual Maintenance Engineering Platform for SSGN Voyage Repair	800,000	---	Dodd, Lieberman, Reed, Whitehouse
RDTE,N	Virtual Onboard Analyst for Multi-Sensor Mine Detection	1,200,000	---	Akaka, Inouye
RDTE,N	Virtual Secure Enclave	4,000,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,N	Vision Restoration using Wireless Retinal Implants	3,200,000	---	Nelson (NE)
RDTE,N	Wave Energy Conversion for Persistent Surveillance and Communications	2,000,000	---	Inouye
RDTE,N	Weapon Acquisition and Firing System	2,400,000	---	Kerry, Reed, Whitehouse
RDTE,N	Wind-Powered Autonomous Unmanned Surface Vessel	2,400,000	---	Akaka
RDTE,N	Wireless Sensors for Navy Aircraft	3,200,000	---	Leahy
WP,N	ABL Accelerate Facility Restoration Plan	3,200,000	---	Rockefeller
WP,N	Mk 110 Naval Gun System	6,000,000	---	McConnell
WP,N	Mk 38 Mod 2 Minor Caliber Gun System	5,000,000	---	McConnell
WTCV,A	Arsenal Support Program Initiative, Rock Island	3,200,000	Braley, Hare	Burris, Durbin, Grassley, Harkin
WTCV,A	Arsenal Support Program Initiative, Watervliet	2,400,000	Tonko	Gillibrand, Schumer
WTCV,A	M2 .50 Cal Quick Change Barrel Kits	4,800,000	---	Collins, Snowe
WTCV,A	M2 Machine Guns for the NV ARNG	408,000	---	Ensign, Reid
WTCV,A	M249 Light SAW Machine Guns for the NV ARNG	45,000	---	Ensign, Reid
WTCV,A	M249 SAW Machine Guns for the NV ARNG	220,000	---	Ensign, Reid
WTCV,A	M4 Carbines for the NV ARNG	345,000	---	Ensign, Reid

DEFENSE [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
			House	Senate
WTCV,A	M9 Handguns for the NV ARNG	58,000	---	Ensign, Reid
WTCV,A	Rock Island Arsenal Joint Manufacturing and Technology Center Mini-Rearmament	5,360,000	Hare, Braley	Durbin, Grassley, Harkin

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
TITLE I					
MILITARY PERSONNEL					
Military Personnel, Army	41,005,612	41,972,277	41,042,853	+ 37,041	- 929,524
Military Personnel, Navy	25,289,049	25,950,949	25,912,449	+ 623,400	- 38,500
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	17,799,990	13,250,161	13,210,161	+ 410,171	- 40,000
Military Personnel, Air Force	26,174,136	27,121,755	27,105,755	+ 931,619	- 16,000
Reserve Personnel, Army	4,304,713	4,397,165	4,333,165	+ 28,452	- 64,000
Reserve Personnel, Navy	1,909,301	1,944,191	1,940,191	+ 30,890	- 4,000
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	613,500	617,441	612,191	- 1,309	- 5,250
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	1,589,412	1,686,797	1,650,797	+ 61,385	- 36,000
National Guard Personnel, Army	7,546,905	7,624,296	7,514,896	- 32,009	- 109,400
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	2,938,229	3,103,598	3,067,431	+ 129,202	- 36,167
Total, Title I, Military Personnel	124,170,847	127,668,630	126,385,689	+ 2,218,842	- 1,278,941
TITLE II					
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE					
Operation and Maintenance, Army	30,934,550	33,971,965	33,351,597	+ 2,417,047	- 620,368
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	34,714,396	38,134,308	37,849,700	+ 3,135,304	- 284,608
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	5,539,117	5,590,340	5,545,060	+ 6,343	- 44,280
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	33,477,116	36,844,512	36,110,720	+ 2,633,504	- 733,792
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	28,115,793	30,583,896	30,303,622	+ 2,187,829	- 280,274
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	2,617,496	2,879,077	2,840,427	+ 222,931	- 38,650
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	1,273,701	1,367,764	1,344,264	+ 70,563	- 23,500
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	223,175	285,234	275,484	+ 52,309	- 9,750
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	3,131,200	3,301,035	3,291,027	+ 159,827	- 10,008
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	6,189,713	6,572,704	6,504,424	+ 314,711	- 68,280
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	5,862,251	5,941,143	5,969,267	+ 87,016	+ 28,124
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account		5,000			- 5,000
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	13,932	14,068	14,068		+ 136
Environmental Restoration, Army	423,364	444,581	464,581	+ 41,217	+ 20,000
Environmental Restoration, Navy	285,869	304,867	304,867	+ 18,998	
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	494,276	502,653	502,653	+ 8,377	
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	11,100	10,744	10,744		- 356
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	292,700	276,546	316,546	+ 23,846	+ 40,000
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	109,869	108,032	108,032	- 1,837	
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	424,093	522,512	522,512	+ 98,419	
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund	100,000	217,561	217,561	+ 117,561	
Total, Title II, Operation and maintenance	154,253,711	167,878,542	165,848,156	+ 11,594,445	- 2,030,386
TITLE III					
PROCUREMENT					
Aircraft Procurement, Army	5,093,822	5,976,867	5,258,991	+ 175,169	- 707,876
Missile Procurement, Army	1,251,053	1,887,437	1,570,108	+ 319,055	- 317,329
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	2,335,807	1,723,561	1,477,922	- 857,885	- 245,639
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	2,056,115	1,979,414	1,857,786	- 198,329	- 121,628
Other Procurement, Army	8,582,660	9,765,808	8,204,605	- 378,055	- 1,561,203
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	18,643,221	18,508,613	17,473,588	- 1,169,633	- 1,035,025
Weapons Procurement, Navy	3,357,572	3,359,794	3,236,157	- 121,415	- 123,637
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	800,651	817,991	790,527	- 10,124	- 27,464
Shipyards and Conversion, Navy	13,881,532	15,724,520	15,366,658	+ 1,485,126	- 357,862
Other Procurement, Navy	5,441,234	6,450,208	5,833,683	+ 392,449	- 616,525
Procurement, Marine Corps	1,521,505	1,344,044	1,238,036	- 283,469	- 106,008
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	13,295,474	15,366,508	12,528,779	- 766,695	- 2,837,729
Missile Procurement, Air Force	5,995,544	5,463,272	5,430,764	- 564,780	- 32,508
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	801,550	667,420	735,487	+ 68,063	+ 68,067
Other Procurement, Air Force	17,138,239	17,845,380	17,598,331	+ 460,092	- 247,049
Procurement, Defense-Wide	4,050,537	4,290,368	4,047,241	- 243,266	- 246,127
Defense Production Act Purchases	150,746	28,746	74,906	- 75,840	+ 46,160
Total, Title III, Procurement	104,397,262	111,189,951	102,728,569	- 1,668,693	- 8,461,382
TITLE IV					
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION					
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	11,474,180	10,333,392	10,775,081	- 699,099	+ 441,689
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	20,003,463	17,693,496	18,447,913	- 1,555,550	+ 754,417
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	28,121,985	27,247,302	27,006,965	- 1,115,020	- 240,337
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	20,747,081	20,661,600	21,171,272	+ 424,191	+ 509,672
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	190,770	194,910	194,910	+ 4,140	
Total, Title IV, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	80,537,479	76,130,700	77,596,141	- 2,941,338	+ 1,465,441
TITLE V					
REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS					
Defense Working Capital Funds	1,455,004	1,434,536	1,434,536	- 20,468	
National Defense Sealift Fund	1,672,758	934,866	1,077,266	- 595,492	+ 142,400
Defense Coalition Support Fund		10,000			- 10,000
Total, Title V, Revolving and Management Funds	3,127,762	2,379,402	2,511,802	- 615,960	+ 132,400
TITLE VI					
OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS					
Defense Health Program:					
Operation and maintenance	27,596,689	29,915,277	29,697,516	+ 2,100,827	- 217,761
Procurement	366,692	519,921	534,921	+ 168,229	+ 15,000
Research, development, test and evaluation	1,280,047	499,913	1,228,333	- 51,714	+ 728,420
Total, Defense Health Program ¹	29,243,428	30,935,111	31,460,770	+ 2,217,342	+ 525,659
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense:					
Operation and maintenance	1,146,802	1,067,364	1,067,364	- 79,438	
Procurement	12,689	7,132	7,132	- 5,557	
Research, development, test and evaluation	401,269	392,811	392,811	- 8,458	
Total, Chemical Agents ²	1,560,760	1,467,307	1,467,307	- 93,453	
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	1,158,226	1,131,351	1,207,877	+ 49,651	+ 76,526
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund ³	121,550	215,868		- 121,550	- 215,868
Office of the Inspector General ⁴	288,100	283,354	306,794	+ 18,694	+ 23,440

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
Total, Title VI, Other Department of Defense Programs	32,372,064	34,032,991	34,442,748	+ 2,070,684	+ 409,757
TITLE VII					
RELATED AGENCIES					
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund	290,900	292,000	292,000	+ 1,100	
Intelligence Community Management Account (ICMA)	707,912	706,692	649,732	- 58,180	- 56,960
Total, Title VII, Related agencies	998,812	998,692	941,732	- 57,080	- 56,960
TITLE VIII					
GENERAL PROVISIONS					
Additional transfer authority (Sec. 8005)	(4,000,000)	(5,000,000)	(4,000,000)		(- 1,000,000)
Indian Financing Act incentives (Sec. 8021)	15,000		15,000		+ 15,000
FFRDC (Sec. 8025)	- 125,200		- 125,200	+ 200	- 125,000
Overseas Military Facility Invest Recovery (Sec. 8030)	1,000	1,000	1,000		
Rescissions (Sec. 8041)	- 1,244,057		- 1,113,536	+ 130,521	- 1,113,536
O&M, Defense-wide transfer authority (Sec. 8052)	(30,000)	(30,000)	(30,000)		
Fisher House Foundation (Sec. 8072)	3,750		4,000	+ 250	+ 4,000
Special needs students (Sec. 8078)	5,500		5,500		+ 5,500
Military Recruitment Assessment & Vet Empl (Sec. 8079)	3,000		3,200	+ 200	+ 3,200
National grants (Sec. 8082)			65,200	+ 65,200	+ 65,200
Shipbuilding & conversion funds, Navy (Sec. 8087)	10,000	10,000	10,000		
Revised economic assumptions	- 861,000		- 861,000		
Working Capital Fund excess cash (Sec. 8101)	- 400,000		- 483,000	- 83,000	- 483,000
Fisher House transfer authority (Sec. 8102)	(11,000)	(11,000)	(11,000)		
ICMA transfer authority (Sec. 8103)	(24,000)	(24,000)	(24,000)		
Business Transformation (transfer authority) (Sec. 8109)			(50,000)	(+ 50,000)	(+ 50,000)
Tanker Replacement Transfer Fund (Sec. 8110)	291,715		538,875	+ 247,160	+ 538,875
Iraq/Afghan Refugee Resettlement Support	4,000			- 4,000	
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide (Sec. 8117)			250,000	+ 250,000	+ 250,000
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide (Sec. 8118)			300,000	+ 300,000	+ 300,000
Energy Security Pilot Projects (Sec. 8122)			20,000	+ 20,000	+ 20,000
Various grants (Sec. 8125)	110,640		41,400	- 69,240	+ 41,400
Total, Title VIII, General Provisions	- 2,185,652	11,000	- 467,361	+ 1,718,291	- 478,361
TITLE IX					
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS					
Military Personnel					
Military Personnel, Army (emergency)	1,429,809		11,458,033	+ 10,038,224	+ 11,468,033
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	9,958,840	10,578,409		- 9,958,840	- 10,578,409
Military Personnel, Navy (emergency)	40,478		1,308,719	+ 1,268,241	+ 1,308,719
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	1,388,649	1,178,719		- 1,388,649	- 1,178,719
Military Personnel, Marine Corps (emergency)	145,499		732,920	+ 587,421	+ 732,920
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	778,722	644,775		- 778,722	- 644,775
Military Personnel, Air Force (emergency)	94,068		2,060,442	+ 1,966,374	+ 2,060,442
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	1,667,376	1,406,387		- 1,667,376	- 1,406,387
Reserve Personnel, Army (emergency)	5,722		268,031	+ 262,309	+ 268,031
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	293,137	268,031		- 293,137	- 268,031
Reserve Personnel, Navy (emergency)	2,637		48,912	+ 46,275	+ 48,912
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	37,040	48,912		- 37,040	- 48,912
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps (emergency)	34,758		45,437	+ 10,679	+ 45,437
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	31,337	30,637		- 31,337	- 30,637
Reserve Personnel, Air Force (emergency)	1,292		27,002	+ 25,710	+ 27,002
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	19,822	27,002		- 19,822	- 27,002
National Guard Personnel, Army (emergency)	33,184		853,022	+ 819,838	+ 853,022
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	824,966	828,122		- 824,966	- 828,122
National Guard Personnel, Air Force (emergency)			16,860	+ 16,860	+ 16,860
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	9,500	21,060		- 9,500	- 21,060
Total, Military Personnel	16,796,788	15,132,054	16,829,378	+ 32,590	+ 1,697,324
Operation and Maintenance					
Operation & Maintenance, Army (emergency)	11,719,927		59,212,782	+ 47,492,855	+ 59,212,782
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	47,821,154	62,602,618		- 47,821,154	- 62,602,618
Operation & Maintenance, Navy (emergency)	2,735,194		8,970,724	+ 6,235,530	+ 8,970,724
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	5,475,925	8,946,634		- 5,475,925	- 8,946,634
Coast Guard (by transfer) (OCO)		(254,461)			(- 254,461)
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps (emergency)	829,326		4,008,022	+ 3,178,696	+ 4,008,022
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	3,430,258	4,136,522		- 3,430,258	- 4,136,522
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force (emergency)	3,835,095		12,989,643	+ 9,154,548	+ 12,989,643
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	9,216,319	13,487,283		- 9,216,319	- 13,487,283
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide (emergency)	1,236,727		9,276,990	+ 8,040,263	+ 9,276,990
Coalition support funds (emergency)			(1,600,000)	(+ 1,600,000)	(+ 1,600,000)
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	7,490,900	9,426,358		- 7,490,900	- 9,426,358
Coalition support funds (OCO) ²	(1,570,000)	(1,600,000)		(- 1,570,000)	(- 1,600,000)
Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve (emergency)	41,006		206,784	+ 165,778	+ 206,784
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	204,326	286,950		- 204,326	- 286,950
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve (emergency)	75,878		93,559	+ 17,681	+ 93,559
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	68,059	93,559		- 68,059	- 93,559
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve (emergency)	857		29,685	+ 28,828	+ 29,685
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	86,667	29,685		- 86,667	- 29,685
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force Reserve (emergency)	124,039		203,807	+ 79,768	+ 203,807
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	125,925	129,607		- 125,925	- 129,607
Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard (emergency)	180,960		497,849	+ 316,889	+ 497,849
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	321,646	544,349		- 321,646	- 544,349
Operation & Maintenance, Air National Guard (emergency)	203,287		417,983	+ 214,696	+ 417,983
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	289,852	350,823		- 289,852	- 350,823
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund					
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	5,000,000	1,551,781		- 5,000,000	- 1,551,781
Subtotal, Operation and Maintenance	100,513,337	101,586,169	95,907,828	- 4,605,509	- 5,678,341
Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund (emergency)			400,000	+ 400,000	+ 400,000
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (emergency)	2,604,000		11,619,283	+ 9,015,283	+ 11,619,283
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹	6,562,769	11,619,283		- 6,562,769	- 11,619,283
Iraq Security Forces Fund (emergency)	1,000,000		1,500,000	+ 500,000	+ 1,500,000
(Overseas contingency operations) ¹		2,000,000			- 2,000,000
Total, Operation and Maintenance	110,680,106	115,205,452	109,427,111	- 1,252,995	- 5,778,341
Procurement					
Aircraft Procurement, Army (emergency)	219,470		2,720,138	+ 2,500,668	+ 2,720,138

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	1,238,219	1,373,803		-1,238,219	-1,373,803
Army National Guard & Army Reserve (by transfer)		(7,555)			(-7,555)
Missile Procurement, Army (emergency)			343,828	+343,828	+343,828
(Overseas contingency operations)	475,954	343,828		-475,954	-343,828
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army (emergency)	3,000		896,996	+893,996	+896,996
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	1,169,466	687,500		-1,169,466	-687,500
Procurement of Ammunition, Army (emergency)	17,055		365,885	+352,830	+369,885
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	365,635	702,591		-365,635	-702,591
Other Procurement, Army (emergency)	2,065,006		6,423,832	+4,358,826	+6,423,832
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	5,800,516	5,827,274		-5,800,516	-5,827,274
Army National Guard & Army Reserve (by transfer)		(980,215)			(-980,215)
Aircraft Procurement, Navy (emergency)	296,000		1,269,549	+973,549	+1,269,549
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	853,297	420,358		-853,297	-420,358
Weapons Procurement, Navy (emergency)			90,502	+90,502	+90,502
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	50,700	93,425		-50,700	-93,425
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps (emergency)			558,024	+558,024	+558,024
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	675,957	565,084		-675,957	-565,084
Other Procurement, Navy (emergency)	31,576		316,835	+285,259	+316,835
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	241,018	480,735		-241,018	-480,735
Navy Reserve & Marine Corps Reserve (by transfer)		(29,706)			(-29,706)
Procurement, Marine Corps (emergency)	162,927		1,589,119	+1,426,192	+1,589,119
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	893,197	1,778,243		-893,197	-1,778,243
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force (emergency)	174,766		1,991,955	+1,817,189	+1,991,955
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	736,501	1,362,420		-736,501	-1,362,420
Missile Procurement, Air Force (emergency)			56,621	+56,621	+56,621
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	36,625	56,621		-36,625	-56,621
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force (emergency)			292,959	+292,959	+292,959
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	256,819	292,959		-256,819	-292,959
Other Procurement, Air Force (emergency)	872,741		2,868,593	+2,195,852	+2,868,593
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	2,583,421	3,087,481		-2,583,421	-3,087,481
Procurement, Defense-Wide (emergency)	189,275		1,262,499	+1,073,223	+1,262,499
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	480,780	874,546		-480,780	-874,546
National Guard and Reserve Equipment (emergency)			850,000	+850,000	+850,000
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	950,000			-950,000	
Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicle Fund (emergency)	1,123,000		3,415,000	+2,292,000	+3,415,000
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	6,281,000	3,415,000		-6,281,000	-3,415,000
Total, Procurement	28,043,922	21,361,868	25,316,335	-2,727,587	+3,954,467
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation					
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army (emergency)			143,234	+143,234	+143,234
(Overseas contingency operations)	57,962	150,906		-57,962	-150,906
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (emergency)	44,835		104,781	+59,946	+104,781
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	58,660	60,401		-58,660	-60,401
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Air Force (emergency)	163,775		484,382	+320,607	+484,382
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	39,286	266,241		-39,286	-266,241
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide (emergency)	65,138		222,616	+157,478	+222,616
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	112,196	157,240		-112,196	-157,240
Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	541,852	634,788	955,013	+413,161	+320,225
Revolving and Management Funds					
Defense Working Capital Funds (emergency)	1,134,887		485,384	-649,503	+485,384
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	412,215	485,384		-412,215	-485,384
Total, Revolving and Management Funds	1,547,102	485,384	485,384	-1,061,718	
Other Department of Defense Programs					
Defense Health Program:					
Operation and maintenance (emergency)	33,367		1,398,092	+1,364,725	+1,398,092
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	1,256,675	1,398,092		-1,256,675	-1,398,092
Research, development, test & evaluation (emergency)			24,000	+24,000	+24,000
Total, Defense Health Program ¹	1,290,042	1,398,092	1,422,092	+132,050	+24,000
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense (emergency)			440,510	+346,510	+440,510
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	94,000	457,110		-346,603	-457,110
Joint IED Defeat Fund (emergency)	346,603		2,793,768	+2,793,768	+2,793,768
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	1,762,010	3,250,000		-1,762,010	-3,250,000
Office of the Inspector General (emergency)			10,529	+10,529	+10,529
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	8,876	10,529		-8,876	-10,529
Total, Other Department of Defense Programs	3,501,531	5,115,731	4,666,899	+1,165,368	-448,832
Title IX General Provisions					
Additional transfer authority (emergency) (Sec. 9002)	(500,000)		(4,000,000)	(+3,500,000)	(+4,000,000)
(Overseas contingency operations) ³	(4,000,000)	(4,000,000)		(-4,000,000)	(-4,000,000)
National Intelligence for Overseas Contingency Operations (transfer authority) (emergency) (Sec. 9012)			(3,375)	(+3,375)	(+3,375)
(Transfer authority)		(49,270)			(-49,270)
Rescissions (Other Proc. AF —\$5M, RDTE, Army —\$72.161 million)	-77,161			+77,161	
Total, General Provisions	-77,161			+77,161	
Total, Title IX	161,034,140	157,935,277	157,680,120	-3,354,020	-255,157
Total for the bill (net)	658,706,425	678,225,185	667,671,596	+8,965,171	-10,563,589
Net grand total (including other appropriations)	658,706,425	678,225,185	667,671,596	+8,965,171	-10,563,589

¹ Included in Budget under Operation and Maintenance.² Included in Budget under Procurement.³ Overseas Deployments and Other Activities (ODOA) pursuant to FY 2010 concurrent budget resolution.⁴ Contributions to Department of Defense Retiree Health Care Fund (Sec. 725, Public Law 108-375) (CBO est.).

DIVISION D—ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

Following is an explanation of the effects of Division D, which makes appropriations for the energy and water development and related agencies for fiscal year 2011. As provided in Section 4 of the consolidated bill, this explanatory statement shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds and the implementation of this division as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.

TITLE I
CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The summary tables included in this title set forth the dispositions with respect to the individual appropriations, projects, and activities of the Corps of Engineers. Additional items of the Act are discussed below.

Five-year Plan.—Historically the Administration has been directed to provide five-year investment plans for all the agencies within the Energy and Water jurisdiction, particularly the Corps. The five-year plan should be based on realistic assumptions of project funding needs.

The executive branch has traditionally been unwilling to project five-year horizons for projects they do not support through the

budget process. This leaves a considerable percentage of Corps funding that relies upon congressional direction and a year-to-year horizon for planning purposes. It would be beneficial for Congress, the Administration, and project partners to have a comprehensive plan to outline requirements for all projects that receive an appropriation. The Committees on Appropriations would welcome a dialogue to reach a mutually-agreeable way to comprehensively plan for all ongoing projects.

Inland Waterways Trust Fund.—For guidance on the Inland Waterways Trust Fund, reference Senate Report 111-228.

INVESTIGATIONS

The allocation for projects and activities within the Investigations account is shown in the following table:

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—INVESTIGATIONS

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST			CONFERENCE AMOUNT		
	RECON	FEAS	PED	RECON	FEAS	PED
ALASKA						
HOMER HARBOR NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENTS, AK					284	
MATANUSKA RIVER WATERSHED, AK		100			95	
VALDEZ NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENTS, AK						284
YAKUTAT HARBOR, AK		450			408	
ARIZONA						
LITTLE COLORADO RIVER, WINSLOW, AZ					474	
RIO SALADO OESTE, SALT RIVER, AZ					1,560	
ARKANSAS						
LOWER MISSISSIPPI RIVER RESOURCE ASSESSMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO, & TN					95	
MAY BRANCH, FORT SMITH, AR						216
WHITE RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, AR & MO					108	
WHITE RIVER NAVIGATION TO NEWPORT, AR					95	
CALIFORNIA						
ALISO CREEK MAINSTEM, CA					108	
ARROYO SECO WATERSHED, CA					207	
BALLONA CREEK RESTORATION, CA					207	
CALIFORNIA COASTAL SEDIMENT MASTER PLAN, CA		900			815	
CENTRAL VALLEY INTEGRATED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STUDY, CA					474	
CITY OF INGLEWOOD, CA					190	
COYOTE AND BERRYESSA CREEKS, CA			500			453
COYOTE DAM, CA					108	
ESTUERO CANAL, CA					17	
LONG BEACH BREAKWATER STUDY, CA					284	
LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA (LACDA) WATER CONSERVATION AND SUPPLY, WHITTIER NARROWS DAM, CA					212	
LOS ANGELES RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA					932	
LOWER CACHE CREEK, YOLO COUNTY, WOODLAND AND VICINITY, CA					281	
LOWER MISSION CREEK, CA						108
MALIBU CREEK WATERSHED, CA		210			191	
MATILJA DAM, CA						518
MIDDLE CREEK, CA						173
PAJARO RIVER, CA						485
REDWOOD CITY HARBOR, CA					276	
SAC-SAN JOAQUIN DELTA, DELTA ISLANDS AND LEVEES, CA		468			711	
SACRAMENTO RIVER FLOOD CONTROL (GRR), CA (SYSTEM EVALUATION)					569	
SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHORELINE, CA					95	
SAN FRANCISCO CREEK, CA					438	
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, FRAZIER CREEK, CA					95	
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, LOWER SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, CA					501	
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, WEST STANISLAUS COUNTY, ORESTIMBA CREEK, CA					476	
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, WHITE RIVER AND DRY CREEK, CA					95	
SANTA CLARA RIVER WATERSHED, CA					108	
SOLANA-ENCINITAS SHORELINE, CA		307			291	
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO SHORELINE, CA					2,559	
ST. HELENA RIVER, CA					95	
SUN VALLEY WATERSHED, CA					228	
SUTTER COUNTY, CA		339			307	
UPPER PENITENCIA CREEK, CA		177			160	
WESTMINSTER, EAST GARDEN GROVE, CA					120	
COLORADO						
ADAMS COUNTY, CO				44	95	
CACHE LA POUDE RIVER BASIN, GREELEY, CO					95	
CHATFIELD, CHERRY CREEK AND BEAR CREEK RESERVOIRS, CO					253	
CONNECTICUT						
CONNECTICUT RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CT, MA, NH & VT					317	
DELAWARE						
RED CLAY CREEK, CHRISTINA RIVER WATERSHED, DE					95	
FLORIDA						
FLAGLER COUNTY, FL					124	
LAKE WORTH INLET, FL		340			308	
MILE POINT, FL					360	
SARASOTA, LIDO KEY BEACH, FL						108
WALTON COUNTY, FL						227
GEORGIA						
AUGUSTA, GA		578			249	
SAVANNAH HARBOR EXPANSION, GA			600			
TYBEE ISLAND, GA		200			181	
HAWAII						
ALA WAI CANAL, OAHU, HI		408			387	
HILO HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, HAWAII, HI					284	
WAIKAEA-PALAI STREAMS FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION, HAWAII, HI					284	
WEST MAUI WATERSHED RESTORATION, MAUI, HI					95	
ILLINOIS						
DES PLAINES RIVER, IL (PHASE II)		500			453	
ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION, IL		400			514	
INTERBASIN CONTROL OF GREAT LAKES-MISSISSIPPI RIVER AQUATIC NUISANCE SPECIES, IL, IN, OH, WI		400			711	
PRAIRIE DUPONT LEVEE AND SANITARY DISTRICT AND FISH LAKE DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT, IL					580	
UPPER MISS RIVER COMPREHENSIVE PLAN IL, IA, MO, MN & WI				324		
UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER—ILLINOIS WW SYSTEM, IL, IA, MN, MO & WI						2,202
INDIANA						
INDIANA HARBOR, IN			300			272
IOWA						
CEDAR RIVER TIME CHECK AREA, CEDAR RAPIDS, IA						2,370
HUMBOLDT, IA					166	
KANSAS						
BRUSH CREEK BASIN, KS & MO					190	
MANHATTAN, KS					190	
TOPEKA, KS			100			379
UPPER TURKEY CREEK, KS						85

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—INVESTIGATIONS—Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST			CONFERENCE AMOUNT		
	RECON	FEAS	PED	RECON	FEAS	PED
KENTUCKY						
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, MILL CREEK BASIN, KY					408	
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, SOUTHWEST, KY						118
LOUISIANA						
AMITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA					108	
BAYOU SORREL LOCK, LA		2,000				1,812
BOSSIER PARISH, LA					216	
CALCASIEU LOCK, LA		1,000			864	
CALCASIEU RIVER BASIN, LA						108
CROSS LAKE, LA					47	
LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA		16,595			11,122	
PORT OF IBERIA, LA						864
SOUTHWEST COASTAL LOUISIANA HURRICANE PROTECTION, LA					432	
ST. CHARLES PARISH URBAN FLOOD CONTROL, LA					151	
WEST SHORE—LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN, LA					130	
MAINE						
SEARSPORT HARBOR, SEARSPORT, ME						284
MARYLAND						
ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MD & DC (COMPREHENSIVE PLAN)		183			190	
ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY LEVEE, MD & DC					108	
BALTIMORE METRO AREA—PATAPSCO AND BLACK RIVERS, MD				9		
CHESAPEAKE BAY MARSHLANDS, MD (BLACKWATER WILDLIFE REFUGE)				9		
CHESAPEAKE BAY SUSQUEHANNA RESERVOIR SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT, PA, MD & VA				50	95	
EASTERN SHORE, MID-CHESAPEAKE BAY ISLAND, MD		483				154
MIDDLE POTOMAC COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, MD, VA, PA, WV & DC					62	
MIDDLE POTOMAC RIVER, GREAT SENECA/MUDDY BRANCH, MD					30	
SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND LOW FLOW MANAGEMENT, NY, PA & MD					108	
MASSACHUSETTS						
BLACKSTONE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION, MA & RI					95	
BOSTON HARBOR (45-FOOT CHANNEL), MA						948
PILGRIM LAKE, TRURO & PROVINCETOWN, MA		100			91	
MICHIGAN						
CLINTON RIVER, MI					95	
DETROIT RIVER MASTER PLAN, MI					190	
ECORSE CREEK, MI						252
GREAT LAKES NAVIGATION SYSTEM STUDY, MI, IL, IN, MN, NY, OH, PA & WI	400			362		
GREAT LAKES REMEDIAL ACTION PLANS & SEDIMENT REMEDIATION, MI, IL, IN, MN, NY, OH, PA & WI					215	
LANSING GRAND RIVER WATERFRONT RESTORATION, MI					95	
MINNESOTA						
MINNESOTA RIVER WATERSHED STUDY, MN & SD		350			317	
MISSISSIPPI						
PEARL RIVER WATERSHED, MS					95	
MISSOURI						
KANSAS CITYS, MO & KS		500			453	
MISSOURI RIVER DEGRADATION, MO & KS		600			543	
MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, UNITS L-455 & R 460-471, MO & KS						95
RIVER DES PERES, MO						43
MONTANA						
YELLOWSTONE RIVER CORRIDOR, MT		200			311	
NEVADA						
TRUCKEE MEADOWS						4,265
NEW HAMPSHIRE						
MERRIMACK RIVER WATERSHED STUDY, NH & MA		200			181	
PORTSMOUTH HARBOR AND PISCATAQUA RIVER, NH & ME					4	91
NEW JERSEY						
DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, NJ		290			315	
HUDSON-RARITAN ESTUARY, HACKENSACK MEADOWLANDS, NJ		200			181	
HUDSON-RARITAN ESTUARY, LOWER PASSAIC RIVER, NJ		200			181	
LOWER SADDLE RIVER, NJ						95
NEW JERSEY SHORE PROTECTION, HERFORD INLET TO CAPE MAY INLET, NJ					108	
NEW JERSEY SHORELINE ALTERNATIVE LONG-TERM NOURISHMENT, NJ					95	
PASSAIC RIVER MAIN STEM, NJ & NY						95
PECKMAN RIVER, HARRISON, NJ						95
RAHWAY RIVER BASIN, NJ					588	
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, HIGHLANDS, NJ					345	
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, LEONARDO, NJ					95	
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, UNION BEACH, NJ					95	
SOUTH RIVER, RARITAN RIVER BASIN, NJ						95
STONY BROOK, MILLSTONE RIVER BASIN, NJ					108	
NEW MEXICO						
ESPANOLA VALLEY, RIO GRANDE AND TRIBUTARIES, NM					108	
RIO GRANDE BASIN, NM, CO AND TX (SECTION 729)		500			453	
NEW YORK						
DUTCHESS COUNTY WATERSHEDS, NY					95	
FORGE RIVER WATERSHED, NY					410	
HUDSON-RARITAN ESTUARY, NY & NJ		200			948	
JAMAICA BAY, MARINE PARK AND PLUMB BEACH, NY			170			154
LAKE MONTAUK HARBOR, NY		172			155	
NORTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, ASHAROKEN, NY					95	
SOUTH SHORE OF STATEN ISLAND, NY					95	
TEN MILE RIVER, DUTCHESS COUNTY, NY AND LITCHFIELD COUNTY, CT					47	
UPPER SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION, NY				114		
WESTCHESTER COUNTY STREAM, BYRAM RIVER BASIN, NY		200			181	
NORTH CAROLINA						
CURRITUCK SOUND, NC		300			272	
NEUSE RIVER BASIN, NC			200		181	

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—INVESTIGATIONS—Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST			CONFERENCE AMOUNT		
	RECON	FEAS	PED	RECON	FEAS	PED
NORTH CAROLINA INTERNATIONAL TERMINAL, NC	104					
SURF CITY AND NORTH TOPSAIL BEACH, NC		300			272	
NORTH DAKOTA						
FARGO, ND—MOORHEAD, MN & UPSTREAM (RED RIVER OF THE NORTH BASIN)					190	
FARGO-MOORHEAD METRO STUDY, ND & MN (RRN BASIN AUTHORITY)		150	15,000		4,716	4,763
JAMES RIVER, ND					95	
MISSOURI RIVER, ND, MT, SD, NE, IA, KS & MO					4,000	
RED RIVER OF THE NORTH BASIN, ND, MN, SD & MANITOBA, CANADA		433			711	
SHEYENNE RIVER, ND					142	
OHIO						
WESTERN LAKE ERIE BASIN, OH, IN, & MI					1,422	
OKLAHOMA						
ARKANSAS RIVER CORRIDOR, OK					1,422	
GRAND (NEOSHO) RIVER BASIN WATERSHED, OK, KS, MO & AR					95	
SOUTHEAST OKLAHOMA WATER RESOURCE STUDY, OK					140	
WASHITA RIVER BASIN, OK					140	
OREGON						
LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR & WA		300			272	
WALLA WALLA WATERSHED, OR & WA					100	95
WILLAMETTE RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, OR		220			199	
WILLAMETTE RIVER FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION, OR			153			138
PENNSYLVANIA						
BLOOMSBURG, PA						216
DELAWARE RIVER DREDGED MATERIAL UTILIZATION, PA, DE & NJ					181	
DELAWARE RIVER WATERFRONT, PA				13	142	
SCHUYLKILL RIVER BASIN, WISSEHICKON WATERSHED, PA		214			194	
UPPER OHIO NAVIGATION SYSTEM STUDY, PA		749			1,087	
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION, PA				4		
SOUTH CAROLINA						
CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC					379	
EDISTO ISLAND, SC					103	
TENNESSEE						
MILL CREEK WATERSHED, DAVIDSON COUNTY, TN		50			46	
TEXAS						
ABILENE, TX (BRAZOS RIVER BASIN-ELM CREEK)					95	
BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, BROWNSVILLE CHANNEL, TX		726			658	
DALLAS FLOODWAY, DALLAS, TX		700			2,084	
FREEPORT HARBOR, TX					474	
GHW, HIGH ISLAND TO BRAZOS RIVER REALIGNMENTS, TX		200			181	
GUADALUPE AND SAN ANTONIO RIVER BASINS, TX		600			543	
LOWER COLORADO RIVER BASIN, TX		425			385	
NUECES RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, TX		250			711	
SABINE PASS TO GALVESTON BAY, TX		200			181	
SABINE-NECHES WATERWAY, TX						173
SPARKS ARROYO COLONIA, EL PASO COUNTY, TX					181	
VIRGINIA						
CHOWAN RIVER BASIN, VA & NC				118		
CLINCH RIVER WATERSHED, VA					181	
DISMAL SWAMP & DISMAL SWAMP CANAL, VA					21	
FOUR MILE RUN, VA					50	
GATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE MOOMAW, VA					95	
JOHN H. KERR DAM & RESERVOIR, VA & NC (SEC 215)		300			272	
LYNNHAVEN RIVER BASIN, VIRGINIA BEACH, VA			50			47
MIDDLE POTOMAC RIVER-CAMERON RUN/HOLMES RUN, VA					466	
NEW RIVER, CLAYTON LAKE, VA					142	
UPPER RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER BASIN, VA		200			181	
WASHINGTON						
CENTRALIA, CHEHALIS RIVER, LEWIS COUNTY, WA					572	
CHEHALIS RIVER BASIN, WA					382	
ELLIOTT BAY SEAWALL, WA					880	
GRAYS HARBOR, WA					474	
MT. ST. HELENS, WA		225			204	
PUGET SOUND NEARSHORE MARINE HABITAT RESTORATION, WA		400			362	
PUYALLUP RIVER, WA					408	
SKAGIT RIVER, WA					1,078	
SKOKOMISH RIVER BASIN, WA					296	
WEST VIRGINIA						
OHIO RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE STUDY, WV, KY, OH, PA, IL, IN, VA, AL, TN, NY, MD, NC, MS & GA				474	948	
WISCONSIN						
ST. CROIX RIVER RELOCATION OF ENDANGERED MUSSELS, MN & WI					332	
SUBTOTAL—PROJECTS LISTED UNDER STATES	504	34,323	19,556	1,521	71,188	22,975
NATIONAL PROGRAMS						
COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA:						
COASTAL FIELD DATA COLLECTION		1,400			1,268	
PACIFIC ISLAND LAND OCEAN TYPHOON EXPERIMENT					948	
SURGE AND WAVE ISLAND MODELING STUDIES, HI					948	
AUTOMATED INFORMATION SYSTEM SUPPORT TRI-CADD		350			317	
ENVIRONMENTAL DATA STUDIES		75			68	
FLOOD DAMAGE DATA PROGRAM		220			199	
FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT SERVICES		8,000			8,531	
CITY OF ALEXANDRIA, LA [GIS]					(182)	
EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA [GIS]					(100)	
LIVINGSTON PARISH, LA [GIS]					(228)	
GALAX, VA					(100)	
SOUTHERN MARYLAND, MD					(250)	
DELAWARE DAM SAFETY, DE					(114)	
NUJANO (OAHU), HI					(200)	
WAIOHULI GULCH (MAUI), HI					(200)	
WAIWEEA (KAUAI), HI					(200)	
ANAHOLA (KAUAI), HI					(200)	

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—INVESTIGATIONS—Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST			CONFERENCE AMOUNT		
	RECON	FEAS	PED	RECON	FEAS	PED
HURRICANE EVACUATION STUDIES, HI					(300)	
DES MOINES RIVER REGULATED FREQUENCY CURVE AND SAYLORVILLE AND RED ROCK RESERVOIRS POOL ELEVATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES, IA					(80)	
IOWA LIDAR, IA					(100)	
URBAN LEVEE EVALUATIONS, MUSCATINE, IA					(60)	
WICHTA AREA DRAINAGE MASTER PLAN, KS					(137)	
AU TRAIN, MI					(75)	
PAPILLION CREEK WATERSHED, NE					(198)	
BUCKS COUNTY, PA					(125)	
OTERO COUNTY, NM					(100)	
SOUTHEASTERN, PA					(114)	
ABINGDON, VA					(55)	
CHITIMACHA TRIBE OF LOUISIANA, LA (GIS)					(182)	
HYDROLOGIC STUDIES		250			227	
INTERNATIONAL WATER STUDIES		200			181	
PRECIPITATION STUDIES (NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE)		225			204	
REMOTE SENSING/GEOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION SYSTEM SUPPORT		150			136	
SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTERS		50			46	
STREAM GAGING (U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY)		600			543	
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM		350			317	
COORDINATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES:						
COMMITTEE ON MARINE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS		100			91	
ACCESS TO WATER DATA		750			680	
OTHER COORDINATION PROGRAMS:						
CALFED		100			91	
NORTH AMERICAN WATERFOWL MANAGEMENT		50			46	
PACIFIC NW FOREST CASE		50			46	
SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS		1,550			1,404	
CHESAPEAKE BAY PROGRAM		75			68	
COORDINATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES		200			181	
GULF OF MEXICO		100			91	
INTERAGENCY AND INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT		700			634	
INTERAGENCY WATER RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT		955			865	
INVENTORY OF DAMS		400			362	
LAKE TAHOE		100			948	
NATIONAL ESTUARY PROGRAM		50			46	
PLANNING ASSISTANCE TO STATES		7,000			7,110	
AUGUSTA RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, AR					(50)	
CHOCTAW COUNTY RESERVOIR, MS					(50)	
BIG LAKE PLEIN/MUSCONGUIS RIVER, WI					(250)	
FOURMILE CREEK WATERSHED STUDY, IA					(150)	
SOUTHERN CUMBERLAND PLATEAU, TN					(100)	
DELAWARE DAM SAFETY, DE					(114)	
SUSUPE/CHALAN KANOA DRAINAGE PLAN (NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS)					(100)	
PALA LAGOON (AMERICAN SAMOA)					(100)	
CLIMATE CHANGE VULNERABILITY AND RISK ASSESSMENT, HI					(114)	
HE'EIA WATERSHED, HI					(100)	
PALMYRA ATOLL, HI					(100)	
GREENWAYS COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, DES MOINES, IA					(100)	
LOWER NISHNABOTNA RIVER BASIN, IA					(100)	
MIDDLE AMANA, IA					(74)	
SHUNGANUNGA CREEK, KS					(100)	
JONES COUNTY RESERVOIR, MS					(151)	
GENESEE RIVER SEDIMENT STUDY, NY					(114)	
OKLAHOMA COMPREHENSIVE WATER PLAN, OK					(228)	
DRIFT CREEK RESERVOIR PROJECT, OR					(20)	
STATE OF HAWAII GENERAL FLOOD CONTROL PLAN UPDATE, STATE OF HAWAII AND PACIFIC TERRITORIES, HI					(587)	
OTHER:						
FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT (FEMA/MAP MOD COORDINATION)		2,000			1,812	
INDEPENDENT PEER REVIEW		700			634	
NATIONAL SHORELINE STUDY		375			339	
PLANNING SUPPORT PROGRAM		2,100			2,844	
TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM		1,000			1,382	
WATER RESOURCE PRIORITIES STUDY		2,000				
WATER RESOURCES PRINCIPLES AND GUIDELINES		500			453	
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT					2,349	
BASIC RESEARCH		1,689			1,530	
ECOSYSTEM MANAGEMENT AND RESTORATION		2,597			2,353	
FLOOD AND COASTAL SYSTEMS		2,714			2,469	
NAVIGATION SYSTEMS RESEARCH		3,439			3,116	
SYSTEM-WIDE WATER RESOURCES		6,083			5,511	
WATER RESOURCE INFRASTRUCTURE (FLD & CSTL SYS)		370			336	
SUBMERGED AQUATIC VEGETATION, MD & VA					864	
URBAN FLOOD DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM, DRI, NV					1,728	
SUBTOTAL—NATIONAL PROGRAMS		49,617			54,316	
TOTAL	504	83,940	19,556	1,521	125,504	22,975
GRAND TOTAL		104,000			150,000	

Interbasin Control of Great Lakes—Mississippi River Aquatic Nuisance Species, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Wisconsin.—Within the funds provided, the Corps is directed to include interim studies providing the costs and benefits of hydrologic separation of the Great Lakes watershed from the Mississippi River watershed.

Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans and Sediment Remediation—Michigan, Illinois, Indiana, Minnesota, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin.—Studies on the Black, Cuyahoga

and Maumee Rivers, Ohio shall be undertaken within the funds provided.

Coastal Field Data Collection.—The Wave Data Study should be continued within the funds provided.

Planning Support Program.—\$744,000 over the budget request is provided for the Planning Support Program. The Corps has a number of Planning Centers of Expertise, however, these Centers do not have the resources necessary to make them truly effective. The additional funds provided should be utilized to ensure the effectiveness of these Centers and the Corps is urged to budget necessary

resources in future budgets to ensure that these Centers of Expertise remain viable assets for the Corps' planning program.

Tribal Partnership Program.—Within the funds provided studies with tribes in New Mexico and with the Lower Brule Sioux Tribe in South Dakota should be undertaken.

CONSTRUCTION

The allocation for projects and activities within the Construction account is shown in the following table:

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CONSTRUCTION

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE AMOUNT
ALABAMA		
TUSCALOOSA, AL		8,240
ALASKA		
AKUTAN HARBOR, AKUTAN, AK	7,000	6,846
ALASKA COASTAL EROSION, AK		1,500
BETHEL BANK STABILIZATION, AK		3,000
HAINES HARBOR, AK		478
KENAI RIVER BLUFF EROSION, AK		2,390
UNALASKA HARBOR, AK		500
ARIZONA		
NOGALES WASH, AZ		2,000
RIO DE FLAG, FLAGSTAFF, AZ		2,000
TRES RIOS, AZ		8,000
TUCSON DRAINAGE AREA, AZ		5,000
ARKANSAS		
OZARK—JETA TAYLOR POWERHOUSE, AR (MAJOR REHAB)		12,900
RED RIVER BELOW DENISON DAM, AR, LA & TX		2,390
RED RIVER EMERGENCY BANK PROTECTION, AR, LA, OK, & TX		1,912
CALIFORNIA		
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (COMMON FEATURES), CA	4,200	11,200
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (FOLSOM DAM MODIFICATIONS), CA	78,000	61,000
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (FOLSOM DAM RAISE & BRIDGE), CA	500	489
CALFED LEVEL STABILITY PROGRAM, CA		4,780
CONTRA COSTA CANAL, OAKLEY AND KNIGHTSEN, CA		2,000
CORTE MADERA CREEK, CA		489
FARMINGTON RECHARGE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM, CA		239
GUADALUPE RIVER, CA		200
HAMILTON AIRFIELD WETLANDS RESTORA- TION, CA	20,000	11,750
HARBOR/SOUTH BAY WATER RECYCLING PROJECT, LOS ANGELES, CA		956
LAGAS CREEK, CA		350
LOS ANGELES RIVER DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS, CA		978
MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA (GRR)		500
MID-VALLEY AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUC- TION, CA		717
MURRIETA CREEK, CA		3,346
NAPA RIVER, CA		2,009
NAPA RIVER, SALT MARSH RESTORATION, CA	12,000	11,736
OAKLAND HARBOR (50 FOOT PROJECT), CA	4,330	6,417
PETALUMA RIVER, CA		951
PLACER COUNTY, CA		1,000
SACRAMENTO DEEPWATER SHIP CHANNEL, CA	12,500	12,226
SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION PROJECT, CA	10,000	9,780
SACRAMENTO RIVER, GLENN-COLUSA IRRI- GATION DISTRICT, CA		669
SAN FRANCISCO BAY TO STOCKTON, CA		239
SAN FRANCISCO, CA		5,000
SAN LUIS REY RIVER, CA		1,195
SAN RAMON VALLEY RECYCLED WATER, CA		459
SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CA	25,000	27,000
SOUTH SACRAMENTO COUNTY STREAMS, CA	4,800	4,800
SUCCESS DAM AND RESERVOIR, CA (DAM SAFETY)	500	6,728
TAHOE BASIN RESTORATION, CA & NV		1,000
INCLINE AND THIRD CREEK PROJECT		2,700
UPPER GUADALUPE RIVER, CA		2,195
WEST SACRAMENTO, CA	5,000	4,890
YUBA RIVER BASIN, CA		3,346
DELAWARE		
DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, BROADKILL BEACH, DE & NJ		239
DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, DE & NJ, OAK- WOOD BEACH, NJ		239
DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, ROOSEVELT INLET TO LEWES BEACH, DE	350	335
DELAWARE COAST PROTECTION, DE		373
DELAWARE COAST, CAPE HENLOPEN TO FENWICK ISLAND, BETHANY BEACH TO SOUTH BETHANY, DE		4,494
DELAWARE COAST, CAPE HENLOPEN TO FENWICK ISLAND, FENWICK ISLAND, DE		143
DELAWARE COAST, CAPE HENLOPEN TO FENWICK ISLAND, REHOBOTH BEACH AND DEWEY BEACH, DE		143
DELAWARE RIVER MAINSTEM AND CHANNEL DEEPENING, DE, NJ & PA		5,000
FLORIDA		
BREVARD COUNTY, FL	350	342
DADE COUNTY BEACH EROSION CONTROL AND HURRICANE PROTECTION PROJECT, FL	11,000	11,000
DUVAL COUNTY, FL	7,500	7,335
FLORIDA KEYS WATER QUALITY IMPROVE- MENTS, FL		2,000
FT. PIERCE BEACH, FL	350	342
HERBERT HOOVER DIKE, FL (SEEPAGE CON- TROL)	104,800	102,298

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CONSTRUCTION—Continued

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE AMOUNT
JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL		400
JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL (DMDF)	6,000	5,868
MANATEE COUNTY, FL	100	100
MANATEE HARBOR, FL		100
MARTIN COUNTY, FL	8,000	7,824
NASSAU COUNTY, FL	350	342
PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL (REIMBURSE- MENT)		325
PINELLAS COUNTY, FL		1,195
SOUTH FLORIDA EVERGLADES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL	180,000	164,096
CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL EVERGLADES AND SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL	(152,330)	(136,426)
MISSIMMEE RIVER PROJECT, FL	(5,170)	(5,170)
ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FL	(22,500)	(22,500)
TAMPA HARBOR, FL	350	350
	1,000	1,200
GEORGIA		
ATLANTA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUC- TURE, GA		2,000
RICHARD B. RUSSELL DAM & LAKE, GA & SC	1,000	978
SAVANNAH HARBOR EXPANSION, GA		5,000
SAVANNAH HARBOR, GA		400
HAWAII		
HAWAII WATER MANAGEMENT, HI		2,000
KAHUKU STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION, HI		4,590
IDAHO		
LITTLE WOOD RIVER, GOODING, ID		150
RURAL IDAHO, ID		1,500
ILLINOIS		
ALTON TO GALE ORGANIZED LEVEE DIS- TRICT, IL & MO (DEF CORR)	150	147
CHAIN OF ROCKS CANAL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL (DEF CORR)	5,385	5,267
CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DIS- PERSAL BARRIER, IL	5,200	11,100
COOK COUNTY, IL		2,000
DES PLAINES RIVER, IL	6,500	9,561
EAST ST. LOUIS, IL	1,000	1,456
LOCK AND DAM 27, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL (MAJOR REHAB)	350	342
MCCOOK AND THORNTON RESERVOIRS, IL	40,000	39,122
MELVIN PRICE LOCK AND DAM, IL & MO		1,492
NUTWOOD DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT, IL		143
OLMSTED LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, IL & KY	136,000	133,013
UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER RESTORATION, IL, IA, MN, MO & WI	21,150	18,165
WOOD RIVER LEVEE, IL	1,098	1,074
INDIANA		
CALUMET REGION, IN		4,000
FORT WAYNE, ST. MARY'S AND MAUMEE RIVERS, IN (GREENWOOD AVENUE TOE DRAIN)		100
INDIANA HARBOR, CONFINED DISPOSAL FA- CILITY, IN	8,000	7,824
INDIANA SHORELINE, IN		1,650
INDIANAPOLIS ENVIRONMENTAL INFRA- STRUCTURE, IN		1,875
LAKE MICHIGAN WATERFRONT, IN		4,000
LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, IN	10,000	9,780
MT. ZION DAM, FULTON COUNTY, IN		1,706
OHIO RIVER GREENWAY PUBLIC ACCESS, IN		100
IOWA		
DES MOINES RECREATIONAL RIVER AND GREENBELT, IA		3,500
MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM (UNIT L- 385), IA, NE, KS, MO		717
MISSOURI RVR FISH AND WILDLIFE RECOV- ERY, IA, KS, MO, MT, NE, ND & SD	75,000	78,400
KANSAS		
TURKEY CREEK BASIN, KANSAS CITY, KS & MO	8,000	7,824
KENTUCKY		
KENTUCKY LOCK AND DAM, TENNESSEE RIVER, KY	2,858	2,805
KENTUCKY RIVER, LOCK AND DAM JO, KY		400
MARKLAND LOCKS AND DAM, KY (MAJOR REHAB)	5,400	5,281
WOLF CREEK DAM, LAKE CUMBERLAND, KY (SEEPAGE CONTROL)	134,000	85,000
LOUISIANA		
ASCENSION PARISH ENVIRONMENTAL IN- FRASTRUCTURE, LA		100
COMITE RIVER, LA		7,649
EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH ENVIRON- MENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, LA		239
EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA		1,434
J. BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA	1,500	6,693
LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW, LA (HURRI- CANE PROTECTION)	5,500	5,379
LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA	19,000	10,000
OUACHITA RIVER LEVEES, LA		956
WEST BANK AND VICINITY, NEW ORLEANS, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)	5,000	4,890

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CONSTRUCTION—Continued

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE AMOUNT
MARYLAND		
ASSATEAGUE ISLAND, MD	1,000	978
ATLANTIC COAST OF MARYLAND, MD		730
BALTIMORE METRO RESOURCES, GWYNNS FALLS, MD		100
CHESAPEAKE BAY ENVIRONMENTAL RESTO- RATION AND PROTECTION, MD, VA & PA		2,500
WINDMILL HILL BULKHEAD REPLACE- MENT, VA		500
CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY, MD & VA	5,000	5,000
POPLAR ISLAND DREDGED MATERIAL PLACEMENT, MD	1,530	1,496
MASSACHUSETTS		
MUDDY RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AND FLOOD DAMAGE CONTROL, MA	500	2,445
MICHIGAN		
GREAT LAKES FISHERY AND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, MI		956
HAMILTON DAM, FLINT RIVER, FLINT, MI		625
OAKLAND COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL INFRAS- TRUCTURE, MI		489
SAULT STE. MARIE (REPLACEMENT LOCK), MI		12,000
ST. CLAIR RIVER AND LAKE ST. CLAIR, MI		300
MINNESOTA		
BRECKENRIDGE, MN		407
CROOKSTON, MN		1,250
NORTHEASTERN MINNESOTA ENVIRON- MENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, MN		2,000
ROSEAU, MN (RED RIVER OF THE NORTH BASIN)		5,000
MISSISSIPPI		
DESOTO COUNTY, MS		11,473
MISSISSIPPI ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUC- TURE, MS		17,209
MISSOURI		
BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MO		526
BLUE RIVER CHANNEL, KANSAS CITY, MO	4,500	4,401
CHESTERFIELD, MO	3,439	3,102
CLEARWATER LAKE, MO (SEEPAGE CON- TROL)	40,000	39,122
KANSAS CITY, MO & KS	700	685
MERAMEC RIVER BASIN, VALLEY PARK LEVEE, MO		1,912
MISSISSIPPI RIVER BETWEEN THE OHIO AND MISSOURI RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO & IL	4,345	4,250
MISSOURI AND MIDDLE MISSISSIPPI RIVERS ENHANCEMENT, MO		574
ST. LOUIS FLOOD PROTECTION, MO	100	191
ST. LOUIS, MO (COMBINED SEWER OVER- FLOW)		1,500
STE. GENEVIEVE, MO		750
SWOPE PARK INDUSTRIAL AREA, KANSAS CITY, MO		4,900
MONTANA		
FORT PECK CABIN CONVEYANCE, MT		956
RURAL MONTANA, MT		4,780
NEBRASKA		
ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NE		315
WESTERN SARPY COUNTY AND CLEAR CREEK, NE		3,120
NEVADA		
RURAL NEVADA, NV		19,000
NEW JERSEY		
BARNEGAT INLET TO LITTLE EGG HARBOR INLET, NJ		7,600
BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET, ABSECON ISLAND, NJ		191
BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET, BRIGANTINE ISLAND, NJ		76
CAPE MAY INLET TO LOWER TOWNSHIP, NJ	200	192
GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET AND PECK BEACH, NJ	500	489
CREAT EGG HARBOR INLET TO TOWNSEND INLET, NJ		956
HACKENSACK MEADOWLANDS, NJ		143
JOSEPH G. MINISH PASSAIC RIVER WATER- FRONT, NJ		2,868
LOWER CAPE MAY MEADOWS, CAPE MAY POINT, NJ	8,920	8,724
PASSAIC RIVER BASIN FLOOD MANAGE- MENT, NJ		500
RARITAN & SANDY HOOK BAY, PORT MON- MOUTH, NJ		1,217
RARITAN RIVER BASIN, GREEN BROOK SUB- BASIN, NJ	1,000	978
SANDY HOOK TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ		1,456
TOWNSEND INLET TO CAPE MAY INLET, NJ		956
NEW MEXICO		
ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM, NM		2,648
ALAMOGORDO, NV		4,104
CENTRAL NEW MEXICO, NM (EI)		1,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CONSTRUCTION—Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE AMOUNT
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE FLOOD PROTECTION, BERNALILLO TO BELEN, NM		400
RIO GRANDE FLOODWAY, SAN ACACIA TO BOSQUE DEL APACHE, NM	10,000	1,200
SOUTHWEST VALLEY, FLOOD DAMAGE RE- DUCTION, ALBUQUERQUE, NM		5,809
NEW YORK		
ATLANTIC COAST OF NYC, ROCKAWAY INLET TO NORTON POINT, NY	300	293
EAST ROCKAWAY INLET TO ROCKAWAY INLET AND JAMAICA BAY, NY		978
FIRE ISLAND INLET TO MONTAUK POINT, NY LONG BEACH ISLAND, NY	1,100 300	4,000 293
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY & NJ	57,000	59,000
NEW YORK CITY WATERSHED ENVIRON- MENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, NY		978
ONONDAGA LAKE, NY		478
NORTH CAROLINA		
BRUNSWICK COUNTY BEACHES, NC		342
WEST ONSLOW BEACH AND NEW RIVER INLET TOPSAIL BEACH, NC		400
WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC	1,800	1,721
WRIGHTSVILLE BEACH, NC	0	143
NORTH DAKOTA		
GARRISON DAM AND POWER PLANT, ND (REPLACEMENT)	11,088	10,844
NORTH DAKOTA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRA- STRUCTURE, ND		14,300
PARK RIVER AT GRAFTON		3,000
OHIO		
DOVER DAM, MUSKINGUM RIVER, OH	36,000	35,209
HOLES CREEK, WEST CARROLLTON, OH		1,500
OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, OH		2,000
TOLEDO, OH		300
CITY OF WELLSVILLE, OH		250
VINTON COUNTY, OH		500
QUERNSY COUNTY, OH		500
CITY OF BARBERTON, OH		500
FRANKLIN COUNTY, OH		500
VILLAGE OF DILLONVALE, OH		300
OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER		500
EUCLED, OH		1,100
PORTSMOUTH CSO MITIGATION, OH		500
TOLEDO WATERWAYS INITIATIVE PHASE 2, OH		1,000
VILLAGE OF SEVILLE, OH		250
CITY OF SEVEN HILLS, OH		1,000
OHIO RIVERFRONT, CINCINNATI, OH		2,184
OKLAHOMA		
CANTON LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY)	24,334	23,800
YUKON, OK, MUNICIPAL WATER INFRA- STRUCTURE REHABILITATION		500
OREGON		
COLUMBIA RIVER TREATY FISHING ACCESS SITES, OR & WA	500	489
LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER ECOSYSTEM RES- Toration, OR & WA	4,700	4,597
PENNSYLVANIA		
EMSWORTH LOCKS & DAM, OHIO RIVER, PA (STATIC INSTABILITY CORRECTION)	11,500	11,247
LACKAWANNA RIVER, OLYPHANT, PA		500
LACKAWANNA RIVER, SCRANTON, PA		500
LOCKS AND DAMS 2, 3 AND 4, MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	2,000	1,956
PRESQUE ISLE PENINSULA, PA (PERMA- NENT)	1,000	978
SOUTH CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRON- MENTAL IMPROVEMENT, PA		1,500

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CONSTRUCTION—Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE AMOUNT
SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRON- MENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, PA: SANDYFORD RUN WETLAND CREATION PA		200
BOROUGH OF QUAKERTOWN, PA		500
BRISTOL TOWNSHIP, PA		300
DELEWARE AND CHESTER COUNTY STREAMS		200
THREE RIVERS WET WEATHER DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM, ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PA		200
ASPINWALL BOROUGH		200
FOUR MILE RUN STREAM		100
DAYLIGHTING PROJECT		1,157
WYOMING VALLEY, PA (LEEVE RAISING)		
PUERTO RICO		
PORTUGUES AND BUCANA RIVERS, PR	39,539	38,521
RIO PUERTO NUEVO, PR	12,000	11,586
SOUTH CAROLINA		
LAKES MARION AND MOUTRIE, SC		5,000
SOUTH DAKOTA		
BIG SIOUX RIVER, SIOUX FALLS, SD		2,868
MISSOURI RIVER RESTORATION, SD		287
TENNESSEE		
CENTER HILL DAM, TN (SEEPAGE CONTROL) CUMBERLAND COUNTY WATER SUPPLY, TN	77,800	55,000
TEXAS		
BOSQUE RIVER WATERSHED, TX		750
BRAYS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	7,740	7,570
CENTRAL CITY, FORT WORTH, UPPER TRIN- ITY RIVER BASIN, TX		4,500
DALLAS FLOODWAY EXTENSION, TRINITY RIVER PROJECT, TX		20,500
EL PASO COUNTY, TX		1,500
JOHNSON CREEK, UPPER TRINITY BASIN, ARLINGTON, TX		478
LOWER COLORADO RIVER BASIN, WHARTON/ ONION, TX	10,000	
RED RIVER BASIN CHLORIDE CONTROL, TX & OK		1,000
ELM FORK, AREA VI ELEMENT		382
UTAH		
RURAL UTAH, UT		22,500
VERMONT		
LAKE CHAMPLAIN WATERSHED INITIATIVE, VT		500
VIRGINIA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AT DEEP CREEK, CHESAPEAKE, VA	1,590	1,520
JOHN H. KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA & NC (REPLACEMENT)	6,000	5,868
NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS (DEEP- ENING), VA		478
NORFOLK HARBOR, CRANEY ISLAND, VA		978
ROANOKE RIVER UPPER BASIN, HEAD- WATERS AREA, VA	1,075	1,051
SANDBRIDGE BEACH, VA		287
VIRGINIA BEACH, VA (HURRICANE PROTEC- TION)		1,912
WASHINGTON		
CHIEF JOSEPH DAM GAS ABATEMENT, WA	200	196
COLUMBIA RIVER FISH MITIGATION, WA, OR & ID	137,615	116,000
DUWAMISH AND GREEN RIVER BASIN, WA	5,500	5,379
HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA	500	489
LOWER SNAKE RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE COMPENSATION, WA, OR & ID	1,500	1,467

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CONSTRUCTION—Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE AMOUNT
MT. ST. HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA	800	1,260
MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA (FISH PASSAGE) PUGET SOUND AND ADJACENT WATERS RESTORATION, WA	1,000	978
SHOALWATER BAY, WA		1,434
WEST VIRGINIA		
BLUESTONE LAKE, WV (DAM SAFETY ASSUR- ANCE)	15,000	14,671
GREENBRIER RIVER BASIN, WV		1,434
ISLAND CREEK, LOGAN, WV		4,780
LEVISA AND TUG FORMS AND UPPER CUM- BERLAND RIVER, WV, VA & KY	19,500 (19,500)	19,500
WEST VIRGINIA		2,750
LOWER MUD RIVER, MILTON, WV		1,500
NORTHERN WEST VIRGINIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, WV		1,000
ROBERT C. BYRD LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, WV & OH		75
SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM, WV		2,000
WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD CONTROL, PA & WV		750
WISCONSIN		
NORTHERN WISCONSIN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSISTANCE, WI		5,000
SUBTOTAL PROJECTS LISTED UNDER STATES	1,571,596	1,823,978
NATIONAL PROGRAMS		
AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL	4,000	3,500
LAKES GRANBURY AND WITNEY, TX WATER QUALITY PROGRAM		500
LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT		500
SKANEATELES LAKE, NY		100
BLACK ROCK LAKE, OGDENSBURG, NY CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAM: AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION (SECTION 205)		150
BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATE- RIAL (SECTION 204, 207, 993)	7,273	7,273
EMERGENCY STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE PROTECTION (SECTION 14)	2,195	2,160
FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS (SECTION 205)	4,993	4,993
NAVIGATION PROGRAM (SECTION 107) MITIGATION OF SHORE DAMAGES (SECTION 111)	6,635 1,227	6,635 1,173
PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IM- PROVEMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT (SECTION 1135)	8,300	8,300
SHORE PROTECTION (SECTION 103)	7,046	7,046
SNAGGING AND CLEARING (SECTION 208)	3,100	2,964
DAM SAFETY AND SEEPAGE/STABILITY COR- RECTION PROGRAM	200	191
EMPLOYEES COMPENSATION FUND	49,100	49,100
ESTUARY RESTORATION PROGRAM (P.L. 106-457)	19,000	18,583
COLORADO LAGOON, LONG BEACH, CA INLAND WATERWAYS USER BOARD (BOARD EXPENSES)	5,000	2,695
INLAND WATERWAYS USER BOARD (COE EX- PENSES)	60	59
SHORELINE EROSION CONTROL DEVELOP- MENT AND DEMONSTRATION	275	269
SUBTOTAL NATIONAL PROGRAMS	118,404	119,647
USE OF PRIOR YEAR CAP BALANCES		-120,000
GRAND TOTAL	1,690,000	1,823,625

Ozark-Jeta Taylor, Arkansas.—This is another case where Administration investments in our infrastructure seem to be penny-wise and pound-foolish. The budget request provided no funds for this on-going continuing contract. Termination costs for this continuing contract are estimated to be \$20,000,000. Energy losses over the past 3 fiscal years exceed \$25,000,000 due to forced outages of the hydropower units. Funds are provided to complete the rehabilitation work on turbine unit 3.

Oakland Harbor, California.—Within the funds provided, \$2,087,000 is for a repayment to the Port of Oakland to settle the port's payments in excess of the local cost share on the 42-foot construction project DACW07-95-003.

Everglades and South Florida Ecosystem Restoration, Florida.—For activities in the Central and South Florida Project \$136,426,000 is provided for Picayune Strand including Faka Union Pump Station; Indian River Lagoon South; C-111 (South Dade); for testing of the Pilot Projects; for West Palm Beach Canal; and E&D for CERP including Adaptive Assessment and Monitoring.

Tampa Harbor, Florida.—\$200,000 is provided above the budget request to complete the

General Reevaluation Report of the federal project.

Olmsted Locks and Dam, Ohio River, Illinois and Kentucky.—Funds are provided to continue construction of this project. None of the funds provided for the Olmsted Locks and Dam Project or any other construction funds are to be used to reimburse the Claims and Judgment Fund.

Muddy River, Boston and Brookline, Massachusetts.—Funding is included to continue project design and construction, including ecosystem restoration features.

Rural Nevada, Nevada.—For guidance on the expenditure of these funds reference Senate Report 111-228.

North Dakota [EI], North Dakota.—Within the funds provided: \$1,600,000 is recommended for the Mandan Raw Water Intake; \$75,000 for the Southeast Water Users District SEWUD; \$1,700,000 for the Grafton Water Treatment Plant Improvements; \$1,375,000 for the North Prairie Rural Water District; \$1,575,000 for the Park River Water Distribution System Improvements; \$900,000 for the Walsh Rural Water District Ground Storage Expansion; \$1,500,000 for the Grand Forks Water Treatment Plant—Pilot Testing and Design; \$275,000 for the Fargo Water Treatment

Plant Facility Study Plan; \$1,825,000 for the McKenzie County Regional Water Service; \$750,000 for the Williams Rural Water Phase I; \$1,500,000 for the Greater Ramsey Water District expansion; \$100,000 for the Williston Waste Water Treatment System Improvements; \$425,000 for the LaMoure Sanitary Sewer Lining Project; and \$700,000 for the Traill Rural Water District Phase III project.

Rural Utah, Utah.—For guidance on the expenditure of these funds reference Senate Report 111-228.

Shore Line Erosion Control Development and Demonstration Program.—\$2,500,000 is provided for construction of a shoreline erosion abatement device at Oil Piers in Ventura County, California, as well as monitoring programs and other appropriate activities under this authority.

Continuing Authorities Program.—For guidance on the Continuing Authorities Program, reference Senate Report 111-228.

MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES

The allocation for projects and activities within the Mississippi River and Tributaries account is shown in the following table:

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND
TRIBUTARIES
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE AMOUNT
INVESTIGATIONS		
SOUTHEAST ARKANSAS, AR	200	
DONALDSONVILLE TO THE GULF, LA	325	
MORGANZA TO THE GULF, LA	1,600	
SPRING BAYOU, LA	81	
COLDWATER RIVER BASIN BELOW		
ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS	246	246
QUIVER RIVER, MS		100
MEMPHIS METRO AREA, STORM WATER		
MANAGEMENT STUDY, TN	100	100
COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA,		
AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	500	500
LIDAR MAPPING IN YAZOO BASIN		900
CONSTRUCTION		
BAYOU METO BASIN, AR		4,000
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA,		
MS, MO & TN	47,209	47,209
GRAND PRAIRIE REGION, AR		10,500
MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY,		
LA, MS, MO & TN	29,150	34,873
ST. FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO		3,900
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN FLOODWAY SYSTEM,		
LA	2,631	2,631
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA	6,300	11,300
MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA		921
ST. JOHNS BAYOU & NEW MADRID		
FLOODWAY, MO		200
YAZOO BASIN, BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER,		
MS		2,130
YAZOO BASIN, MAIN STEM, MS		25
YAZOO BASIN, MISSISSIPPI DELTA HEAD-		
WATERS PROJECT, MS		21,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND
TRIBUTARIES—Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE AMOUNT
YAZOO BASIN, REFORMULATION, MS		1,600
YAZOO BASIN, UPPER YAZOO PROJECTS,		
MS		12,875
YAZOO BASIN, YAZOO BACKWATER LESS		
ROCKY BAYOU, MS		575
WEST TENNESSEE TRIBUTARIES, TN		500
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA		
MS, MO & TN	66,008	66,008
HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR	198	580
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR	190	185
LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, NORTH BANK,		
AR	223	216
LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, SOUTH BANK,		
AR	200	195
MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY,		
LA, MS, MO & TN	7,582	11,465
ST. FRANCIS RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,		
AR & MO	6,293	8,293
TENSAS BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIV-		
ERS, AR & LA	2,374	2,300
WHITE RIVER BACKWATER, AR	1,276	1,235
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL	100	100
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY	20	20
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN FLOODWAY SYSTEM,		
LA	1,878	1,820
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA	12,398	12,000
BATON ROUGE HARBOR, DEVIL SWAMP,		
LA	42	42
BAYOU CACODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES, LA	47	47
BONNET CARRE, LA	2,300	3,390
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA	586	567

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND
TRIBUTARIES—Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE AMOUNT
LOWER RED RIVER, SOUTH BANK LEVEES,		
LA	377	365
MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA	921	921
OLD RIVER, LA	9,255	9,000
TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER,		
LA	3,286	3,180
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO	185	180
WAPPAPPELLO LAKE, MO	4,966	4,805
GREENVILLE HARBOR, MS	18	783
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS	109	105
VICKSBURG HARBOR, MS	32	750
YAZOO BASIN, ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS	5,961	6,961
YAZOO BASIN, BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER,		
MS	184	1,630
YAZOO BASIN, ENID LAKE, MS	5,784	6,874
YAZOO BASIN, GREENWOOD, MS	790	765
YAZOO BASIN, GREENADA LAKE, MS	6,301	6,801
YAZOO BASIN, MAIN STEM, MS	1,469	1,469
YAZOO BASIN, SARDIS LAKE, MS	7,113	8,113
YAZOO BASIN, TRIBUTARIES, MS	967	985
CHAN, MS	377	387
YAZOO BASIN, YAZOO BACKWATER AREA,		
MS	583	710
YAZOO BASIN, YAZOO CITY, MS	731	805
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN	70	70
MEMPHIS HARBOR, MCKELLAR LAKE, TN	1,433	1,390
REGIONAL PROGRAMS		
MAPPING	1,237	1,197
GRAND TOTAL	240,000	325,000

Yazoo Basin, Big Sunflower Basin, Mississippi.—Not more than \$2,130,000 should be used for the continued construction of these water quality and sedimentation reduction measures. Water quality funds shall be used for the monitoring and establishment of water quality reference indicators and the development of total maximum daily loads target loads on Yazoo Basin projects.

Yazoo Basin, Delta Headwaters Project, Mississippi.—Funds are provided for the Corps to undertake construction work in the following watersheds: Abiaca Creek, Batupan Bogue, Black Creek, Coldwater River, Cane-Mussacana Creek, Hurricane-Wolfe Creek, Hickahala-Senatobia Creek, Hotophia Creek, Long Creek, Pelucia Creek, Otoucalofa Creek, Toby-Tubby Creek, Yalobusha River,

and Skuna River. The Corps shall design and construct future work, acquire real estate, and monitor results for all watersheds in fiscal year 2011 and for future work as required for completion of the total program.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The allocation for projects and activities within the Operation and Maintenance account is shown in the following table:

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE AMOUNT
ALABAMA		
ALABAMA-COOSA COMPREHENSIVE WATER STUDY, AL	253	250
ALABAMA RIVER LAKES, AL	15,745	15,885
BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL	20,751	20,338
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, AL	5,230	5,126
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AL	30	29
MOBILE HARBOR, AL	23,560	23,091
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AL	100	98
TENNESSEE-TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY WILDLIFE MITIGATION, AL & MS	1,900	2,077
TENNESSEE-TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS	23,767	25,345
WALTER F. GEORGE LOCK AND DAM, AL & GA	8,394	8,227
ALASKA		
ANCHORAGE HARBOR, AK	14,013	13,734
BETHEL HARBOR, AK	234	743
CHENA RIVER LAKES, AK	2,999	2,939
DILLINGHAM HARBOR, AK	955	936
DOUGLAS HARBOR, AK	241	236
HAINES HARBOR, AK	241	236
HOMER HARBOR, AK	513	503
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AK	180	176
KODIAK HARBORS, AK	496	496
NILINCHIK HARBOR, AK	420	412
NOME HARBOR, AK	973	954
PETERSBURG HARBOR, AK	500	743
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AK	1,350	1,333
ARIZONA		
ALAMO LAKE, AZ	3,019	2,959
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AZ	90	88
PAINTED ROCK DAM, AZ	1,522	1,492
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AZ	33	32
WHITLOW RANCH DAM, AZ	607	595
ARKANSAS		
BEAVER LAKE, AR	10,570	10,360
BLAKLEY MT DAM, LAKE OUACHITA, AR	8,452	8,549
BLUE MOUNTAIN LAKE, AR	1,612	1,580
BULL SHOALS LAKE, AR	7,292	7,147
DARDANELLE LOCK & DAM, AR	7,632	7,480
DEGRAY LAKE, AR	6,979	7,093
DEQUEEN LAKE, AR	1,467	1,438
DIERS LAKE, AR	1,570	1,539
GILLHAM LAKE, AR	1,340	1,313
GREERS FERRY LAKE, AR	10,230	10,026
HELENA HARBOR, AR	15	485
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR	311	305
MCCLELLAN-KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR	33,553	32,885
MILLWOOD LAKE, AR	4,802	4,706
NARROWS DAM, LAKE GREESON, AR	4,874	4,959
NIMROD LAKE, AR	1,957	1,918
NORTON LAKE, AR	6,224	6,100
OSCEOLA HARBOR, AR	15	492
OUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, AR & LA	7,505	9,305
OZARK-JETA TAYLOR LOCK & DAM, AR	5,485	5,376
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AR	3	3
WHITE RIVER, AR	30	29
YELLOW BEND PORT, AR	3	111
CALIFORNIA		
BLACK BUTTE LAKE, CA	2,367	2,320
BUCHANAN DAM, HV EASTMAN LAKE, CA	2,119	2,077
CHANNEL ISLANDS HARBOR, CA	4,600	4,508
COYOTE VALLEY DAM, LAKE MENDOCINO, CA	3,652	3,542
DRY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS) LAKE & CHANNEL, CA	5,831	8,425
FARMINGTON DAM, CA	450	441
HIDDEN DAM, HENSLEY LAKE, CA	2,163	2,120
HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CA	5,848	5,732
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CA	4,604	4,512
ISABELLA LAKE, CA	1,956	2,633
LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA	7,035	6,895
MARINA DEL REY, CA	2,050	3,463
MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA	401	393
MOJAVE RIVER DAM, CA	522	512
MORRO BAY HARBOR, CA	1,590	1,558
NEW HOGAN LAKE, CA	2,476	2,427
NEW MELONES LAKE, DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL, CA	1,929	1,891
NEWPORT BAY HARBOR, CA	1,280	1,255
OAKLAND HARBOR, CA	7,500	9,416
OCEANSIDE HARBOR, CA	1,520	1,490
PINE FLAT LAKE, CA	3,378	3,311
PINOLE SHORE MANAGEMENT STUDY, CA	1,211	1,211
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, CA	2,090	2,090
RICHMOND HARBOR, CA	8,375	8,208
SACRAMENTO RIVER (30 FOOT PROJECT), CA	3,585	3,514
SACRAMENTO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES (DEBRIS CONTROL), CA	1,475	1,446
SACRAMENTO RIVER SHALLOW DRAFT CHANNEL, CA	161	158
SAN FRANCISCO BAY, DELTA MODEL STRUCTURE, CA	1,087	1,065
SAN FRANCISCO BAY, LONG TERM MANAGEMENT STRATEGY, CA	2,478	2,478
SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR AND BAY, CA (DRIFT REMOVAL)	3,090	3,028
SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR, CA	2,776	2,980
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, PORT OF STOCKTON, CA	3,603	4,956
SAN PABLO BAY AND MARE ISLAND STRAIT, CA	2,750	2,695
SANTA ANA RIVER BASIN, CA	4,883	4,786
SANTA BARBARA HARBOR, CA	2,040	1,999

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE—
Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE AMOUNT
COLORADO		
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CO	1,751	1,716
SUCCESS LAKE, CO	2,529	2,479
SUNSHINE BAY CHANNEL, CO	2,980	2,921
TERMINUS DAM, LAKE KAWEAH, CO	2,133	2,091
VENTURA HARBOR, CO	2,840	2,783
YUBA RIVER, CO	121	119
CONNECTICUT		
BLACK ROCK LAKE, CT	537	526
COLEBROOK RIVER LAKE, CT	689	675
GREENWICH HARBOR, CT	297	297
HANCOCK BROOK LAKE, CT	478	468
HOP BROOK LAKE, CT	1,092	1,070
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CT	292	286
LONG ISLAND SOUND, DMPP, CT	2,000	1,938
MANSFIELD HOLLOW LAKE, CT	699	685
MYSTIC RIVER, CT	160	157
NEW HAVEN HARBOR, CT	400	392
NORTHFIELD BROOK LAKE, CT	559	548
NORWALK HARBOR, CT	555	555
PATCHOGUE RIVER, WESTBROOK, CT	892	892
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, CT	1,050	1,029
STAMFORD HURRICANE BARRIER, CT	467	458
THOMASTON DAM, CT	994	974
WEST THOMPSON LAKE, CT	845	832
DELAWARE		
CEDAR CREEK DE	50	49
HARBOR OF REFUGE, LEWES, DE	100	98
INDIAN RIVER INLET AND BAY, DE	16,075	15,755
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, DELAWARE RIVER TO CHESAPEAKE BAY, DE & MD	100	98
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, REHOBOTH BAY TO DELAWARE BAY, DE	100	98
MISPILLION RIVER, DE	50	49
MURDERKILL RIVER, DE	50	49
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, DE	40	39
WILMINGTON HARBOR, DE	4,270	6,443
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, DC	100	98
POTOMAC AND ANACOSTIA RIVER, DC (DRIFT REMOVAL)	845	828
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, DC	30	29
WASHINGTON HARBOR, DC	25	25
FLORIDA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NORFOLK VA TO ST. JOHNS RIVER, FL, GA, SC, NC, & VA	4,715	1,982
CANAVARAL HARBOR, FL	4,621	4,621
CENTRAL & SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL	19,520	18,506
EVERGLADES AND SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL	68	67
ESCAMBIA AND CONCHUG RIVERS, FL	1,294	1,268
FERNANDINA HARBOR, FL	900	882
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, FL	969	969
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, CALOOSAHECHEE RIVER TO ANCLOTE RIVER, FL	350	2,929
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, JACKSONVILLE TO MIAMI, FL	5,708	5,594
JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL	9,449	9,261
JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM, LAKE SEMINOLE, FL, AL & GA	97	97
MIAMI HARBOR, FL	2,444	2,395
OKEECHOBEE WATERWAY, FL	2,510	2,460
PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL	55	54
PENSACOLA HARBOR, FL	67	66
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, FL	1,450	1,421
REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, FL	3,500	3,430
ST. LUCIE INLET, FL	250	1,236
TAMPA HARBOR, FL	5,200	5,096
WATER/ENVIRONMENTAL CERTIFICATION, FL	400	392
GEORGIA		
ALLATOONA LAKE, GA	7,008	6,869
APALACHICOLA, CHATTAHOOCHEE AND FLINT RIVERS, GA, AL & FL	2,603	2,551
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, GA	265	265
BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA	6,719	6,585
BUFORD DAM AND LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GA	8,840	8,664
CARTERS DAM AND LAKE, GA	8,136	7,974
HARTWELL LAKE, GA & SC	11,501	11,272
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, GA	85	83
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, GA	141	138
J. STROM THURMOND LAKE, GA & SC	10,918	10,701
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, GA	122	120
RICHARD B. RUSSELL DAM & LAKE, GA & SC	9,387	9,200
SAVANNAH HARBOR, GA	18,452	18,095
SAVANNAH RIVER BELOW AUGUSTA, GA	230	225
WEST POINT DAM AND LAKE, GA & AL	8,845	8,669
HAWAII		
BARBERS POINT HARBOR, HI	245	327

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE—
Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE AMOUNT
IDAHO		
ALBENI FALLS DAM, ID	1,521	1,491
DWORKSHAM DAM AND RESERVOIR, ID	2,965	2,906
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ID	288	282
LUCKY PEAK LAKE, ID	2,689	2,635
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ID	499	489
ILLINOIS		
CALUMET HARBOR AND RIVER, IL & IN	4,238	4,154
CARLYLE LAKE, IL	5,643	5,531
CHICAGO HARBOR, IL	2,064	2,519
CHICAGO RIVER, IL	510	500
CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DISPOSAL BARRIER, IL	7,450	7,384
FARM CREEK RESERVOIRS, IL	398	349
ILLINOIS WATERWAY, IL & IN (MVR PORTION)	32,238	31,595
ILLINOIS WATERWAY, IL & IN (MVS PORTION)	1,802	1,766
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, IL	65	64
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL	1,707	1,673
KASKASKIA RIVER NAVIGATION, IL	2,176	2,133
LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION, IL	710	696
LAKE SHELBYVILLE, IL	5,512	5,402
MISSISSIPPI RIVER BETWEEN MISSOURI RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVR PORTION), IL	53,692	52,623
MISSISSIPPI RIVER BETWEEN MISSOURI RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVS PORTION), IL	21,581	21,151
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IL	106	104
REND LAKE, IL	5,702	5,589
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IL	689	675
WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL	590	578
INDIANA		
BROOKVILLE LAKE, IN	1,141	1,118
BURNS WATERWAY HARBOR, IN	171	168
CAGLES MILL LAKE, IN	1,030	1,009
CECIL M. HARDEN LAKE, IN	1,013	993
INDIANA HARBOR, IN	5,700	5,587
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IN	800	784
J. EDWARD ROUSH LAKE, IN	1,793	1,757
MISSISSINAWA LAKE, IN	1,147	1,124
MONROE LAKE, IN	1,899	1,861
PATOKA LAKE, IN	980	960
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IN	185	181
SALAMONE LAKE, IN	1,012	992
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IN	125	123
IOWA		
CORALVILLE LAKE, IA	4,559	4,682
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IA	393	385
MISSOURI RIVER—KENSLENS BEND, NE TO SIOUX CITY, IA	137	134
MISSOURI RIVER—RULO TO MOUTH, IA, NE, KS & MO	6,443	9,912
MISSOURI RIVER—SIOUX CITY TO RULO, IA, NE, KS & MO	2,578	2,555
RATHBUN LAKE, IA	2,501	2,451
RED ROCK DAM AND LAKE, RED ROCK, IA	8,135	8,392
SAYLORVILLE LAKE, IA	5,003	5,144
KANSAS		
CLINTON LAKE, KS	2,080	2,039
COUNCIL GROVE LAKE, KS	1,645	1,616
EL DORADO LAKE, KS	609	597
ELK CITY LAKE, KS	1,040	1,019
FALL RIVER LAKE, KS	1,200	1,176
HILLSDALE LAKE, KS	791	775
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KS	318	312
JOHN REDMOND DAM AND RESERVOIR, KS	1,760	1,725
MANITOPOLIS, KS	1,561	1,530
MARION LAKE, KS	1,613	1,581
MELVERN LAKE, KS	1,581	1,542
MILFORD LAKE, KS	2,391	2,343
PEARSON-SKUBITZ BIG HILL LAKE, KS	1,332	1,305
PERRY LAKE, KS	2,254	2,209
POMONA LAKE, KS	1,965	1,926
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, KS	100	98
TORONTO LAKE, KS	652	639
TUTTLE CREEK LAKE, KS	2,644	2,591
WILSON LAKE, KS	1,415	1,387
REALLOCATION STUDY	300	300
KENTUCKY		
BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE, BARKLEY, KY & TN	10,025	9,825
BARRER RIVER LAKE, KY	3,454	3,385
BIG SANDY HARBOR, KY	1,600	1,568
BUCKHORN LAKE, KY	1,655	1,622
CARR CREEK LAKE, KY	1,882	1,845
CAVE RUN LAKE, KY	965	946
DEWEY LAKE, KY	1,762	1,727
ELVIS STARR (HICKMAN) HARBOR, KY	16	496
FISHTRAP LAKE, KY	1,942	1,903
GRAYSON LAKE, KY	1,434	1,405
GREEN AND BARRER RIVERS, KY	2,100	2,058
GREEN RIVER LAKE, KY	2,242	2,197
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY	839	822
KENTUCKY RIVER, KY	10	10
LAUREL RIVER LAKE, KY	1,646	1,613
MARTINS FORK LAKE, KY	1,088	1,066
MIDDLESBORO CUMBERLAND RIVER BASIN, KY	113	111

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE—
Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET RE- QUEST	CON- FERENCE AMOUNT
NOLIN LAKE, KY	2,821	2,765
OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, KY, IL, IN & OH	31,433	30,807
OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY, IL, IN, OH, PA & WV	5,682	5,569
PAINTSVILLE LAKE, KY	1,361	1,334
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, KY	2	2
ROUGH RIVER LAKE, KY	2,606	2,554
TAYLORSVILLE LAKE, KY	1,232	1,207
WOLF CREEK DAM, LAKE CUMBERLAND, KY	8,085	7,928
YATESVILLE LAKE, KY	1,154	1,131
LOUISIANA		
ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOUFU & BLACK LA	8,230	10,139
BARATARIA BAY WATERWAY, LA	135	132
BAYOU BODCAU RESERVOIR, LA	1,072	1,051
BAYOU LAFOURCHE AND LAFOURCHE-JUMP WATERWAY, LA	1,050	1,029
BAYOU PIERRE, LA	24	24
BAYOU SEGNETTE WATERWAY, LA	37	36
BAYOU TACHE & VERMILION RIVER, LA	11	11
BAYOU TACHE, LA	150	147
CADDO LAKE, LA	222	218
CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LA	14,495	18,337
FRESHWATER BAYOU, LA	1,625	1,593
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, LA	19,031	18,652
HOUMA NAVIGATION CANAL, LA	2,352	3,274
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA	758	743
J. BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA	7,745	10,903
LAKE PROVIDENCE HARBOR, LA	17	496
MADISON PARISH PORT, LA	5	146
MERMENTAU RIVER, LA	2,008	1,968
MISSISSIPPI RIVER OUTLETS AT VENICE, LA	2,215	3,140
MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF OF MEXICO, LA	62,969	64,127
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, LA	60	59
REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, LA	1,410	1,382
WALLACE LAKE, LA	241	236
WATERWAY FROM EMPIRE TO THE GULF, LA	5	5
WATERWAY FROM INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY TO BAYOU DULAC, LA	30	29
MAINE		
BASS HARBOR, TREMONT, ME	65	64
BEALS HARBOR, ME	100	99
DISPOSAL AREA MONITORING, ME	1,050	1,029
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ME	148	145
PIG ISLAND GUT, ME	100	98
PORTLAND HARBOR, ME	5,947	5,947
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, ME	750	735
ROYAL RIVER, ME	0	99
SACO RIVER, ME	140	137
SCARBOROUGH RIVER, ME	160	157
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ME	20	20
MARYLAND		
BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS (50 FOOT), MD	17,215	18,508
BALTIMORE HARBOR, MD (DRIFT REMOVAL) CRISFIELD HARBOR, MD	375	368
CUMBERLAND, MD AND RIDGELEY, WV	188	184
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MD	124	122
JENNINGS RANDOLPH LAKE, MD & WV	1,756	2,767
LOWER THOROFARE, DEAL ISLAND, MD	160	991
NANTICOKE RIVER NORTHWEST FORK, MD	160	157
OCEAN CITY HARBOR AND INLET AND SINEPUENT BAY, MD	1,400	1,372
POCONOKE RIVER, MD	475	1,487
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MD	60	465
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MD	1,500	1,470
WICOMICO RIVER, MD	1,500	1,470
MASSACHUSETTS		
BARRE FALLS DAM, MA	700	685
BIRCH HILL DAM, MA	792	776
BOSTON HARBOR, MA	2,700	2,646
BUFFUMVILLE LAKE, MA	657	644
CAPE COD CANAL, MA	14,174	13,892
CHARLES RIVER NATURAL VALLEY STORAGE AREA, MA	329	327
CONANT BROOK LAKE, MA	403	395
DUXBURY HARBOR, MA	100	98
EAST BRIMFIELD LAKE, MA	557	546
HODGES VILLAGE DAM, MA	629	616
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MA	349	342
KNIGHTVILLE DAM, MA	688	674
LITTLEVILLE LAKE, MA	682	668
NEW BEDFORD, FAIRHAVEN AND ACUSHNET HURRICANE BARRIER, MA	1,250	1,225
NEWBURYPORT HARBOR, MA (SOUTH JETTY) PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MA	1,200	1,176
TULLY LAKE, MA	726	712
WEST HILL DAM, MA	842	825
WESTVILLE LAKE, MA	661	648
MICHIGAN		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MI	125	123
MICHIGAN NAVIGATION O&M, MI	6,938	6,938
ALPENA HARBOR, MI		
ARCADIA HARBOR, MI		
BIG BAY HARBOR, MI		
BLACK RIVER HARBOR, GOGEBIC CO-OP, MI		

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE—
Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET RE- QUEST	CON- FERENCE AMOUNT
BOLLES HARBOR, MI		
CEDAR RIVER HARBOR, MI		
CHANNELS IN LAKE ST. CLAIR, MI	1,117	1,095
CHARLEVOIX HARBOR, MI	208	204
CHEBOYGAN HARBOR, MI		
CLINTON RIVER, MI		
DETROIT HARBOR, MI		
DETROIT RIVER, MI	5,218	5,114
EAGLE HARBOR, MI		
FRANKFORT HARBOR, MI		
GRAND HAVEN HARBOR, MI	722	708
GRAND MARAIS HARBOR, MI (NAVIGA- TION STRUCTURES)		
HARBOR BEACH HARBOR, MI		
HARRISVILLE HARBOR, MI		
HOLLAND HARBOR, MI	655	642
KEWEENAW WATERWAY, MI	12	12
LAC LA BELLE HARBOR, MI		
LELAND HARBOR, MI		
LES CHENEUX ISLANDS CHANNELS, MI		
LEXINGTON HARBOR, MI		
LITTLE LAKE HARBOR, MI		
LUDINGTON HARBOR, MI	1,419	1,391
MANISTEE HARBOR AND RIVER CHAN- NEL, MI	252	247
MARQUETTE HARBOR, MI	230	225
MEMONIEE HARBOR, MI & WI		
MONROE HARBOR, MI	1,340	1,313
MUSKEGON HARBOR, MI	872	855
ONTONAGON HARBOR, MI	1,172	1,149
PENTWATER HARBOR, MI		
PORT SANILAC HARBOR, MI		
PORTAGE LAKE HARBOR, MI (NAVIGA- TION STRUCTURES)		
PRESQUE ISLE HARBOR, MI		
ROUGE RIVER, MI	3,190	3,622
SAGINAW RIVER, MI		
SAUGATUCK HARBOR, KALAMAZOO RIVER, MI	75	74
SEBWAING RIVER, MI		
SOUTH HAVEN HARBOR, MI		
ST. CLAIR RIVER, MI	1,233	1,208
ST. JOSEPH HARBOR, MI	755	740
ST. MARYS RIVER, MI	22,074	21,635
WHITE LAKE HARBOR, MI		
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MI	2,501	2,451
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MI	430	421
MINNESOTA		
BIGSTONE LAKE AND WHETSTONE RIVER, MN & SD	251	246
DULUTH-SUPERIOR HARBOR, MN & WI	7,237	7,093
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MN	463	454
LAC QUI PARLE LAKES, MINNESOTA RIVER, MN	677	664
MINNESOTA RIVER, MN	262	257
MISSISSIPPI RIVER BETWEEN MISSOURI RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVP PORTION), MN	48,426	47,462
ORWELL LAKE, MN	462	453
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MN	84	82
RED LAKE RESERVOIR, MN	233	228
RESERVOIRS AT HEADWATERS OF MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN	4,381	4,294
ST. PAUL SMALL BOAT HARBOR, MN	97	97
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MN	446	437
MISSISSIPPI		
BILOXI HARBOR, MS	1,400	1,372
CLARIBORNE COUNTY PORT, MS	1	71
EAST FORK, TOMBIGEE RIVER, MS	220	216
GULFPORT HARBOR, MS	3,882	7,533
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS	34	33
MOUTH OF YAZOO RIVER, MS	30	169
OKATIBEE LAKE, MS	1,655	2,034
PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS	5,520	8,022
PEARL RIVER, MS & LA	145	142
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MS	77	75
ROSDALE HARBOR, MS	11	1,163
YAZOO RIVER, MS	26	150
MISSOURI		
CARUTHERSVILLE HARBOR, MO	13	872
CLARENCE CANNON DAM AND MARK TWAIN LAKE, MO	7,841	7,685
CLEARWATER LAKE, MO	3,021	2,961
HARRY S. TRUMAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, MO	8,842	8,566
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO	1,713	1,679
LITTLE BLUE RIVER LAKES, MO	741	726
LONG BRANCH LAKE, MO	941	922
MISSISSIPPI RIVER BETWEEN THE OHIO AND MISSOURI RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO & IL	28,731	28,159
NEW MADRID HARBOR, MO	407	407
NEW MADRID HARBOR, MO	210	220
POMME DE TERRE LAKE, MO	2,156	2,113
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MO	327	320
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MO	1,160	1,137
SMITHVILLE LAKE, MO		
SOUTHEAST MISSOURI PORT, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MO		349
STOCKTON LAKE, MO	4,153	4,070
TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO & AR	8,293	8,128
UNION LAKE, MO	5	5
MONTANA		
FT. PECK DAM AND LAKE, MT	5,411	5,303

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE—
Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET RE- QUEST	CON- FERENCE AMOUNT
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MT	120	118
LIBBY DAM, MT	1,846	1,809
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MT	270	265
NEBRASKA		
GAVINS POINT DAM, LEWIS AND CLARK LAKE, NE & SD	7,706	7,553
HARLAN COUNTY LAKE, NE	2,222	2,178
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NE	343	336
PAPILLION CREEK, NE	738	723
SALT CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, NE	1,067	1,046
NEVADA		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NV	66	65
MARTIS CREEK LAKE, NV & CA	1,170	1,147
PINE AND MATHEWS CANYONS LAKES, NV	557	546
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
BLACKWATER DAM, NH	716	702
EDWARD MACDOWELL LAKE, NH	635	622
FRANKLIN FALLS DAM, NH	724	710
HOPKINTON-EVERETT LAKES, NH	1,440	1,411
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NH	105	103
NEW HAMPSHIRE AND SOUTHERN MAINE OCEAN DISPOSAL SITE DESIGNATION STUDY	730	198
OTTER BROOK LAKE, NH		715
PORTSMOUTH HARBOR (SAGAMORE CREEK CHANNELS), NH		99
PORTSMOUTH HARBOR AND PISCATAQUA RIVER, NH		490
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NH	275	270
SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE, NH	746	731
NEW JERSEY		
ABSECON INLET, NJ		97
BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	325	665
COLD SPRING INLET, NJ	350	343
DELAWARE RIVER AT CAMDEN, NJ	15	15
DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ, PA & DE	20,020	19,622
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NJ	145	142
MANASQUAN RIVER, NJ	0	121
NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NJ	250	245
NEWARK BAY, HACKENSACK AND PASSAIC RIVERS, NJ	100	98
PASSAIC RIVER FLOOD WARNING SYSTEM, NJ	570	559
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NJ	1,506	1,476
RARITAN RIVER TO ARTHUR KILL CUT-OFF, NJ	100	388
RARITAN RIVER, NJ	80	149
SANDY HOOK BAY AT LEONARDO, NJ	100	98
SHARK RIVER, NJ	500	490
SHOAL HARBOR AND COMPTON CREEK, NJ	80	78
SHREWSBURY RIVER, MAIN CHANNEL, NJ	80	78
NEW MEXICO		
ABIQUIU DAM, NM	2,891	2,833
COCHITI LAKE, NM	3,546	3,475
CONCHAS LAKE, NM	2,067	2,464
GALISTEO DAM, NM	927	909
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM	740	725
JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM	1,398	1,370
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE ENDANGERED SPECIES COLLABORATIVE PROGRAM, NM (MRGESP) SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM	2,500	2,450
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM	1,220	1,195
TWO RIVERS DAM, NM	532	521
UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL STUDY, CO, NM, TX	601	589
	1,000	980
NEW YORK		
ALMOND LAKE, NY	490	480
ARKPORT DAM, NY	223	219
BROWNS CREEK, NY	100	148
BUTTERMILK CHANNEL, NY	8,600	8,429
EAST RIVER, NY	2,800	2,744
EAST ROCKAWAY INLET, NY	200	196
EAST SIDNEY LAKE, NY	573	562
EASTCHESTER CREEK, NY	150	147
FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY	100	4,942
FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NY	100	98
GREAT KILLS HARBOR, STATEN ISLAND, NY	60	103
GREAT SOUTH BAY, NY	100	98
HUDSON RIVER CHANNEL, NY	100	98
HUDSON RIVER, NY (MAINT) HUDSON RIVER, NY (O & C)	3,700	3,626
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NY	1,650	1,617
JAMAICA BAY, NY	858	841
JONES INLET, NY	120	118
LAKE MONTAUK HARBOR, NY	150	147
LONG ISLAND INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NY	60	59
MATTITUCK HARBOR, NY	100	98
MORICHES INLET, NY	100	98
MOUNT MORRIS DAM, NY	3,661	3,588
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY CHANNELS, NY	6,150	6,028
NEW YORK HARBOR, NY & NJ (DRIFT RE- MOVAL)	3,796	3,720
NEW YORK HARBOR, NY	7,200	7,057
NEW YORK HARBOR, NY & NJ (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS)	1,045	1,024
NEW YORK GREAT LAKES NAVIGATION O&M, NY		4,232
BARCELONA HARBOR, NY		416
BLACK ROCK CHANNEL AND TONAWANDA HARBOR, NY	1,552	1,521
BUFFALO HARBOR, NY	1,165	1,142

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE—
Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CON-FERENCE AMOUNT
CAPE HARBOR, NY		
GREAT SODUS HARBOR, NY		
IRONDEQUOT HARBOR, NY		
LITTLE RIVER HARBOR, NY		
LITTLE SODUS BAY HARBOR, NY	6	417
MORRISTOWN HARBOR, NY		
OAK ORCHARD HARBOR, NY		
OGDENSBURG HARBOR, NY		
OSWEGO HARBOR, NY	34	33
PORT ONTARIO HARBOR, NY		
ROCHESTER HARBOR, NY		
VINCENT HARBOR, NY		
WILSON HARBOR, NY		
NEWTOWN CREEK, NY	60	59
PORTCHESTER HARBOR, NY	60	59
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NY	1,928	1,890
ROCHESTER HARBOR, NY	56	55
SHINNECOCK INLET, NY	100	98
SOUTHERN NEW YORK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS, NY	925	907
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, NY	635	622
WESTCHESTER CREEK, NY	100	98
WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY	642	629
NORTH CAROLINA		
ATLANTIC INTRACASTAL WATERWAY, NC	4,750	4,655
B. EVERETT JORDAN DAM AND LAKE, NC	1,918	1,880
BOGUE INLET AND CHANNEL, NC		485
CAPE FEAR RIVER ABOVE WILMINGTON, NC	2,243	2,198
CAROLINA BEACH INLET, NC		614
FALLS LAKE, NC	2,042	2,001
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NC	255	250
LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC		242
WANTED (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC	4,095	7,844
MASONBORO INLET AND CHANNELS, NC	50	49
WOREHEAD CITY HARBOR, NC	3,800	3,870
NEW RIVER INLET, NC	600	588
NEW TOPSAIL INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC		485
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NC	655	642
ROLLINSON CHANNEL, NC	50	49
SILVER LAKE HARBOR, NC	150	147
W. KERR SCOTT DAM AND RESERVOIR, NC	3,591	3,520
WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC	12,247	12,594
NORTH DAKOTA		
BOWMAN HALEY, ND	246	241
GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAKAWA, ND	12,564	12,764
HOMME LAKE, ND	276	271
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ND	303	303
LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALD HILL DAM, ND	1,424	1,396
MISSOURI RIVER, ND (Section 33) MANDAN DIKE RESTORATION		560
PIPESTEM LAKE, ND	550	539
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ND	253	248
SOURIS RIVER, ND	509	499
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ND	35	34
OHIO		
ALUM CREEK LAKE, OH	1,435	1,406
ASHTABULA HARBOR, OH	1,995	1,955
BERLIN LAKE, OH	2,347	2,300
CAESAR CREEK LAKE, OH	1,528	1,528
CLARENCE J. BROWN DAM, OH	1,190	1,166
CLEVELAND HARBOR, OH	10,680	12,885
CONNEAUT HARBOR, OH	940	921
DEER CREEK LAKE, OH	1,323	1,297
DELAWARE LAKE, OH	1,362	1,335
DILLON LAKE, OH	1,260	1,235
FAIRPORT HARBOR, OH	1,572	1,541
HURON HARBOR, OH		485
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OH	624	612
LORAIN HARBOR, OH	443	434
MASSILLON LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH	21	21
MICHAEL J. KIRWAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, OH	1,403	1,375
MOSQUITO CREEK LAKE, OH	1,413	1,385
MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH	8,244	8,080
NORTH BRANCH KOMOSING RIVER LAKE, OH	296	290
OHIO-MISSISSIPPI FLOOD CONTROL, OH	1,722	1,688
PAINT CREEK LAKE, OH	1,297	1,271
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OH	295	289
ROSEVILLE LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH	35	34
SANDUSKY HARBOR, OH	1,102	1,080
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OH	257	252
TOLEDO HARBOR, OH	5,049	6,938
TOM JENKINS DAM, OH	603	591
WEST FORK OF MILL CREEK LAKE, OH	750	735
WILLIAM H. HARSHA LAKE, OH	1,611	1,579
OKLAHOMA		
ARCADIA LAKE, OK	595	584
BIRCH LAKE, OK	646	633
BROKEN BOW LAKE, OK	2,458	2,409
CANTON LAKE, OK	1,949	1,910
COPAN LAKE, OK	1,104	1,082
EUFULA LAKE, OK	7,232	7,088
FORT GIBSON LAKE, OK	1,037	1,037
FORT SUPPLY LAKE, OK	1,038	1,038
GREAT SALT PLAINS LAKE, OK	406	398
HEYBURN LAKE, OK	603	591
HUGO LAKE, OK	1,748	1,713
HULAH LAKE, OK	901	883

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE—
Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CON-FERENCE AMOUNT
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OK	261	256
KAW LAKE, OK	2,121	2,079
KEYSTONE LAKE, OK	5,006	4,906
MCLELLAN-KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, OK	5,794	5,679
OLOGAH LAKE, OK	2,089	2,047
OPTIMA LAKE, OK	197	193
PENSACOLA RESERVOIR, LAKE OF THE CHEROKEES, OK	167	164
PINE CREEK LAKE, OK	1,032	1,011
ROBERT S. KERR LOCK AND DAM AND RESERVOIR, OK	7,604	7,453
SARDIS LAKE, OK	1,130	1,108
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OK	900	882
SKIATOOK LAKE, OK	1,465	1,436
TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK	4,459	4,370
WAURIKA LAKE, OK	2,568	2,517
WEBBERS FALLS LOCK & DAM, OK	5,617	5,505
WISTER LAKE, OK	922	904
OREGON		
APLEGATE LAKE, OR	1,298	1,272
BLUE RIVER LAKE, OR	573	562
BONNEVILLE LOCK & DAM, OR & WA	7,487	7,338
CHETCO RIVER, OR	586	574
COLUMBIA & LOWER WILLAMETTE RIVER BELOW VANCOUVER, WA AND PORTLAND, OR	24,868	24,373
COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA	12,850	12,454
SOUTH JETTY PROJECT		5,452
COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN VANCOUVER, WA & THE DALLES, OR	645	632
COOS BAY, OR	4,697	5,253
COQUILLE RIVER, OR	433	424
COTTAGE GROVE LAKE, OR	1,319	1,283
COUGAR LAKE, OR	1,733	1,699
DEPOE BAY, OR		161
DETROIT LAKE, OR	1,127	1,105
DORENA LAKE, OR	1,055	1,034
ELK CREEK LAKE, OR	87	85
FALL CREEK LAKE, OR	1,149	1,126
FERN RIDGE LAKE, OR	1,800	1,764
GREEN PETER-FOSTER LAKES, OR	2,139	2,095
HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR	863	846
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, OR	30	29
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OR	559	548
JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	5,217	5,113
LOOKOUT POINT LAKE, OR	2,080	2,039
LOST CREEK LAKE, OR	3,897	3,819
MCNARY LOCK & DAM, OR & WA	6,277	6,152
PORT ORFORD, OR		1,091
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OR	200	196
ROGUE RIVER AT GOLD BEACH, OR	579	567
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OR	92	90
SUSLAW RIVER, OR	708	684
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OR	4,100	4,018
TILLAMOOK BAY AND BAR, OR		582
UMPOUA RIVER, OR	800	784
WILLAMETTE RIVER AT WILLAMETTE FALLS, OR	92	171
WILLAMETTE RIVER BANK PROTECTION, OR	85	83
WILLOW CREEK LAKE, OR	657	644
YAUQUINA BAY AND HARBOR, OR	1,785	1,847
YAUQUINA RIVER, OR		6
PENNSYLVANIA		
ALLEGHENY RIVER, PA	8,456	8,288
ALVIN R. RUSH DAM, PA	600	588
AYRESWORTH CREEK LAKE, PA	230	225
BELTZVILLE LAKE, PA	1,425	1,397
BLUE MARSH LAKE, PA	2,817	2,761
CONEMAUGH RIVER LAKE, PA	1,351	1,324
COWANESQUE LAKE, PA	1,772	1,737
CROOKED CREEK LAKE, PA	2,039	1,998
CURWENSVILLE LAKE, PA	587	673
DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO TRENTON, NJ	820	804
EAST BRANCH CLARION RIVER LAKE, PA	1,571	1,538
ERIE HARBOR, PA	1,389	1,361
FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS DAM, PA	672	659
FRANCIS E. WALTER DAM, PA	880	862
GENERAL EDGAR JADWIN DAM AND RESERVOIR, PA		250
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, PA	744	729
JOHNSTOWN, PA	36	35
KINZUA DAM AND ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PA	1,469	1,440
LOYALHANNA LAKE, PA	1,460	1,431
MAHONING CREEK LAKE, PA	1,326	1,300
MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	15,861	16,041
OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, PA, OH & WV	28,023	27,465
OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, PA, OH & WV		633
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, PA	170	167
PROMPTON LAKE, PA	506	496
PUNXSUTAWNEY, PA	22	22
RAYSTOWN LAKE, PA	3,752	3,677
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, PA	46	45
SCHUYLKILL RIVER, PA	250	1,063
SHENANGO RIVER LAKE, PA	2,496	2,446
STILLWATER LAKE, PA	363	355
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, PA	107	105
TIOGA HAMMOND LAKES, PA	2,384	2,337
TIONESTA LAKE, PA	2,200	2,156
UNION CITY LAKE, PA	425	417

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE—
Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CON-FERENCE AMOUNT
WOODCOCK CREEK LAKE, PA	1,078	1,057
YORK INDIAN ROCK DAM, PA	480	470
YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER LAKE, PA & MD	2,358	2,311
PUERTO RICO		
SAN JUAN HARBOR, PR	3,700	3,626
RHODE ISLAND		
BLOCK ISLAND HARBOR OF REFUGE, RI	1,250	1,225
FOX POINT HURRICANE BARRIER, PROVIDENCE, RI	500	490
GREAT SALT POND, BLOCK ISLAND, RI		245
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, RI	24	24
PAWCATUCK RIVER, LITTLE NARRAGANSETT BAY & WATCH HILL COVE, CT & RI		1,735
POINT JUDITH HARBOR OF REFUGE, RI		485
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, RI	500	490
PROVIDENCE RIVER AND HARBOR, RI	300	154
WOONSOCKET, RI		294
SOUTH CAROLINA		
ATLANTIC INTRACASTAL WATERWAY, SC	900	1,657
CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	9,925	9,984
COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	6,140	6,018
GEORGETOWN HARBOR, SC	320	1,222
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SC	64	63
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, SC	625	613
TOWN CREEK, SC	380	372
SOUTH DAKOTA		
BIG BEND DAM, LAKE SHARPE, SD	9,768	9,574
COLD BROOK LAKE, SD	1,080	1,059
COTTONWOOD SPRINGS LAKE, SD	285	279
FORT RANDALL DAM, LAKE FRANCIS CASE, SD	8,970	8,791
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SD	100	98
LAKE TRAVERSE, SD & MN	656	643
DAHE DAM, LAKE DAHE, SD & ND	10,477	10,268
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, SD	144	141
TENNESSEE		
CENTER HILL LAKE, TN	5,067	4,966
CHEATHAM LOCK AND DAM, TN	6,358	6,231
CHICKAMAUGA LOCK, TENNESSEE RIVER, TN	3,500	4,863
CORDELL HULL DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN	6,425	6,301
DALE HOLLOW LAKE, TN	6,219	6,095
J. PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN	4,608	4,516
J. PERCY PRIEST GREENWAY, TN	0	991
OLD HICKORY LOCK AND DAM, TN	8,237	8,073
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TN	3	3
TENNESSEE RIVER, TN	15,540	16,211
WOLF RIVER HARBOR, TN	180	176
TEXAS		
AQUILLA LAKE, TX	1,120	1,098
ARKANSAS-RED RIVER BASINS CHLORIDE CONTROL—AREA VIII, TX	1,439	1,410
BARBOUR TERMINAL CHANNEL, TX	1,811	1,755
BARDWELL LAKE, TX	1,847	1,879
BAYPORT SHIP CHANNEL, TX	4,028	3,903
BELTON LAKE, TX	3,682	3,609
BENBROOK LAKE, TX	2,578	2,527
BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, TX	3,458	4,158
BUFFALO BAYOU & TRIBUTARIES, TX	3,518	3,448
CANYON LAKE, TX	3,429	3,361
CEDAR BAYOU, TX	1,695	1,661
CHANNEL TO PORT BOLIVAR, TX	323	322
CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX	4,608	4,516
DENISON DAM, LAKE TEXOMA, TX & OK	10,057	9,857
ESTELLE SPRINGS EXPERIMENTAL PROJECT, TX		43
FERRELLS BRIDGE DAM, LAKE O' THE PINES, TX	3,709	3,635
FREEMONT HARBOR, TX	3,538	3,468
GALVESTON HARBOR AND CHANNEL, TX	8,441	8,273
GIWW, CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX	1,825	1,789
GRANGER DAM AND LAKE, TX	2,360	2,313
GRAPEVINE LAKE, TX	3,014	2,954
GULF INTRACASTAL WATERWAY, TX	27,752	27,239
HORDS CREEK DAM AND LAKE, TX	1,574	1,574
HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX	17,578	20,175
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TX	1,364	1,337
JIM CHAPMAN LAKE, TX	1,939	1,900
JOE POOL LAKE, TX	1,094	1,072
LAKE KEMP, TX	467	458
LAVON LAKE, TX	3,135	3,073
LEWISVILLE DAM, TX	3,542	3,471
MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX	3,024	3,921
NAVARRO MILLS LAKE, TX	2,767	2,712
NORTH SAN GABRIEL DAM AND LAKE		
GEORGETOWN, TX	2,536	2,486
O.C. FISHER DAM AND LAKE, TX	1,373	1,346
PAT MAYSE LAKE, TX	992	972
PROCTOR DAM AND LAKE, TX	2,336	2,290
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TX	451	442
RAY ROBERTS LAKE, TX	1,525	1,495
SABINE-NECHES WATERWAY, TX	14,330	14,369
SAM RAYBURN DAM AND RESERVOIR, TX	6,396	6,269
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, TX	194	190
SOMERVILLE LAKE, TX	3,292	3,262
STILLHOUSE HOLLOW DAM, TX	2,150	2,107
TEXAS CITY SHIP CHANNEL, TX	1,436	1,407
TEXAS WATER ALLOCATION ASSESSMENT, TX	100	991
TOWN BLUFF DAM, B. A. STEINHAGEN LAKE, TX	2,666	2,613
WACO LAKE, TX	3,131	3,069

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE—
Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET RE- QUEST	CON- FERENCE AMOUNT
WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX	2,175	2,132
WHITNEY LAKE, TX	7,221	7,342
WRIGHT PATMAN DAM AND LAKE, TX	3,804	3,778
UTAH		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, UT	31	30
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, UT	653	640
VERMONT		
BALL MOUNTAIN, VT	896	878
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VT	79	77
NARROWS OF LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT & NY	85	83
NORTH HARTLAND LAKE, VT	719	705
NORTH SPRINGFIELD LAKE, VT	838	821
TOWNSHEND LAKE, VT	797	781
UNION VILLAGE DAM, VT	583	669
VIRGINIA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY—ACC, VA	2,150	2,107
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY—DSC, NC & VA	895	1,328
CHINCOTEAGUE INLET, VA	500	490
GATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE MOOMAW, VA	2,268	2,223
HAMPTON ROADS, NORFOLK & NEWPORT NEWS HARBOR, VA (DRIFT REMOVAL)	1,225	1,201
HAMPTON ROADS, VA (PREVENTION OF OB- STRUCTIVE DEPOSITS)	50	49
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VA	367	360
JAMES RIVER CHANNEL, VA	4,180	4,494
JOHN H. KERR LAKE, VA & NC	11,423	11,196
JOHN W. FLANNAGAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA	2,230	2,185
LYNNHAVEN INLET, VA	300	391
NORFOLK HARBOR, VA	9,756	10,169
NORTH FORK OF POUND RIVER LAKE, VA	668	655
PHILPOT LAKE, VA & NC	6,396	6,269
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, VA	870	853
RUDEE INLET, VA	520	510
TANGER CHANNEL, VA	1,135	1,112
WATER/ENVIRONMENTAL CERTIFICATION, VA	104	102
WATERWAY ON THE COAST OF VIRGINIA, VA	143	362
WASHINGTON		
CHIEF JOSEPH DAM, WA	772	757
COLUMBIA RIVER PORTS AT BAKER BAY, AND BETWEEN CHINOOK AND SAND ISLAND, WA & OR		1,647
EDIZ HOOK, WA	30	29
EVERETT HARBOR AND SNOHOMISH RIVER, WA	1,006	986
GRAYS HARBOR AND CHEHALIS RIVER, WA	10,550	11,881
HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA	3,276	3,211
ICE HARBOR LOCK & DAM, WA	4,147	4,064
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, WA	32	31
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WA	750	735
LAKE CROCKETT (KEYSTONE HARBOR), WA	550	539
LITTLE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA	8,276	12,562
LITTLE GOOSE LOCK & DAM, WA	2,314	2,268
LOWER GRANITE LOCK & DAM, WA	5,872	5,755
LOWER MONUMENT LOCK & DAM, WA	4,734	4,640
MILL CREEK LAKE, WA	3,817	3,741
MT. ST. HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA	265	260
MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA	3,441	3,373
NEAH BAY, WA	50	49
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WA	459	450
PUGET SOUND AND TRIBUTARY WATERS, WA ..	905	887
QUILLAYUTE RIVER, WA	1,590	1,558
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WA	447	438

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE—
Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET RE- QUEST	CON- FERENCE AMOUNT
SEATTLE HARBOR, WA	951	932
STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA	265	260
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WA	74	73
SWINOMISH CHANNEL, WA	62	321
TACOMA, PUYALLUP RIVER, WA	142	139
THE DALLES LOCK & DAM, WA & OR	8,702	8,529
WILLAPA RIVER AND HARBOR, WA	30	51
WEST VIRGINIA		
BEECH FORK LAKE, WV	1,377	1,350
BLUESTONE LAKE, WV	1,700	1,666
BURNSVILLE LAKE, WV	3,049	2,988
EAST LYNN LAKE, WV	2,215	2,171
ELKINS, WV	15	15
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WV	279	273
KANAWHA RIVER LOCKS & DAM, WV	9,791	9,596
OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV, KY & OH ..	34,802	34,109
OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, WV, KY & OH	3,088	3,027
R. D. BAILEY LAKE, WV	2,237	2,192
STONEWALL JACKSON LAKE, WV	1,173	1,234
SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV	2,376	2,554
SUTTON LAKE, WV	3,075	3,014
TYGART LAKE, WV	1,434	1,405
WISCONSIN		
EAU GALLE RIVER LAKE, WI	729	714
FOX RIVER, WI	2,402	2,354
GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI	2,698	6,938
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WI	64	63
KEAUWEE HARBOR, WI	8	8
MILWAUKEE HARBOR, WI	1,572	1,639
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WI	239	234
STURGEON BAY HARBOR AND LAKE MICHIGAN SHIP CANAL, WI	19	19
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WI	509	499
TWO RIVERS HARBOR, WI		988
WYOMING		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, WY	10	10
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WY	37	36
JACKSON HOLE LEVES, WY	1,001	981
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WY	213	209
SUBTOTAL—PROJECTS LISTED UNDER STATES	2,198,519	2,303,827
NATIONAL PROGRAMS		
AQUATIC NUISANCE CONTROL RESEARCH	690	676
ASSET MANAGEMENT/FACILITIES AND EQUIP- MENT MAINTENANCE	4,750	5,000
BUDGET/MANAGEMENT SUPPORT FOR O&M BUSINESS LINES	750	735
STEWARDSHIP SUPPORT PROGRAM		
PERFORMANCE BASED BUDGETING SUP- PORT PROGRAM	4,000	3,920
RECREATION MANAGEMENT SUPPORT PROGRAM	1,650	1,617
OPTIMIZATION TOOLS FOR NAVIGATION ..	392	384
COASTAL DATA INFORMATION PROGRAM (CDIP)	3,000	4,845
COASTAL INLET RESEARCH PROGRAM	3,000	2,940
CULTURAL RESOURCES (NAGPRA/CURATION) ..	5,500	5,391
DREDGE MCFARLAND READY RESERVE	10,000	9,801
DREDGE WHEELER READY RESERVE	11,000	10,781

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE—
Continued
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET RE- QUEST	CON- FERENCE AMOUNT
DREDGING DATA AND LOCK PERFORMANCE MONITORING SYSTEM	1,150	1,127
DREDGING OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH (DOER)	7,000	6,861
DREDGING OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT PROGRAM (DOTS)	2,000	1,960
EARTHQUAKE HAZARDS REDUCTION PROGRAM ..	270	265
FACILITY PROTECTION	6,500	6,371
FERC HYDROPOWER COORDINATION	3,000	2,940
FISH & WILDLIFE OPERATING FISH HATCHERY REIMBURSEMENT	3,800	3,724
GLOBAL CHANGE SUSTAINABILITY	10,000	9,801
GREAT LAKES NAVIGATION, IN, IL, MI, MN, NY, OH, PA & WI		4,500
GREAT LAKES SEDIMENT TRANSPORT MODEL ..	1,200	1,176
INLAND WATERWAY NAVIGATION CHARTS	3,800	3,724
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS	1,780	1,745
INTERAGENCY PERFORMANCE EVALUATION TASK FORCE/HURRICANE PROTECTION DE- CISION CHRONOLOGY LESSONS LEARNED	8,000	7,841
IMPLEMENTATION	1,500	1,470
LONG TERM OPTION ASSESSMENT FOR LOW USE NAVIGATION	1,800	1,764
MONITORING OF COMPLETED NAVIGATION PROJECTS	15,000	14,701
NATIONAL (LEVEE) FLOOD INVENTORY	7,000	6,888
NATIONAL COASTAL MAPPING PROGRAM		2,500
COASTAL ZONE MAPPING/UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN MISSISSIPPI	17,450	17,103
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM		6,616
NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PRO- GRAM (NEPP)	4,230	4,146
NATIONAL NATURAL RESOURCES MANAGE- MENT ACTIVITIES	571	560
NATIONAL PORTFOLIO ASSESSMENT FOR RE- ALLOCATION	300	294
PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL SUP- PORT (ABS-P2, WINABS)	825	809
PROTECTION OF NAVIGATION: HARBOR MAIN- TENANCE FEE DATA COLLECTION	50	49
PROTECTION OF NAVIGATION: PROTECT, CLEAR AND STRAIGHTEN CHANNELS (SEC 3)	500	490
PROTECTION OF NAVIGATION: WATERBORNE SUNKEN VESSELS	4,771	4,676
PROTECTION OF NAVIGATION: WATERBORNE COMMERCE STATISTICS	65	64
RECREATION ON STOP (RIS) NATIONAL RECREATION RESERVATION	2,000	1,928
REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT DEM- ONSTRATION PROGRAM		59
CHESAPEAKE BAY, NEWPOINT COMFORT, MATHEWS COUNTY, VA		1,000
HAWAII RSM, HI		600
NORTH CAROLINA RSM, NC		200
DELAWARE ESTUARY RSM, NJ		750
SOUTH COASTAL RHODE ISLAND RSM, RI		
RELIABILITY MODELS PROGRAM FOR MAJOR REHAB	608	596
RESPONSES TO CLIMATE CHANGE AT CORPS PROJECTS	5,000	4,900
SHORELINE USE PERMIT STUDY	250	245
WATER OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (WOTS)	653	640
SUBTOTAL FOR NATIONAL PROGRAMS	162,555	171,173
ROUNDING	-74	
GRAND TOTAL	2,361,000	2,475,000

Coyote Valley Dam, Lake Mendocino and Dry Creek (Warm Springs) Lake and Channel.—All funding above the budget request for these items is to be utilized to implement relevant measures, particularly Reasonable and Prudent Alternative 3, described in the National Marine Fisheries Service Biological Opinion for Water Supply, Flood Control and Channel Operations and Maintenance in the Russian River Watershed, dated September 24, 2008.

San Francisco Harbor, California.—Fiscal year 2011 funds may be used to perform required annual maintenance dredging and to finalize planning studies and the environmental requirements for the potential project. The Corps shall proceed to construction of a beach and dune nourishment project as quickly as possible, and that initiation of the construction shall be undertaken no later than fiscal year 2012.

Michigan Great Lakes Harbor Maintenance and Dredging, Michigan.—The Corps shall propose a maintenance program for fiscal year 2011 that would most effectively utilize both the budgeted funds along with the funds provided in this line item for these harbor and waterway projects.

New York Great Lakes Harbor Maintenance and Dredging, New York.—The Corps shall propose a maintenance program for fiscal year 2011 that would most effectively utilize

both the budgeted funds along with the funds provided in this line item for these harbor and waterway projects.

Wilmington Harbor, North Carolina.—\$300,000 is provided for studies and modeling of potential erosion impacts on adjacent shorelines at the southern end of Wilmington Harbor.

Garrison Dam and Lake Sakakawea, North Dakota.—\$100,000 above the budget request is provided for mosquito control in the Williston area due to shallow water habitat created by the impoundment of Lake Sakakawea.

Asset Management/Facilities and Equipment Maintenance.—For guidance on the expenditure of these funds reference Senate Report 111–228.

Coastal Data Information Program.—Additional funding recommended above the budget request along with the budget request is directed toward the maintenance of wave observations and the expansion of the national wave monitoring network. The Corps is requested to report back to the Committees on Appropriations on its plan to address critical data gaps in this system.

Great Lakes Navigation, IN, IL, MI, MN, NY, OH, PA & WI.—The Corps shall propose a maintenance program for fiscal year 2011 that would most effectively utilize these

funds along with the funds provided for harbor and waterway projects bordering the Great Lakes.

EXPENSES

Within the funds provided, \$2,365,000 is for the Mid-Atlantic River Basin Commissions. Inclusion of this funding should not be construed as a commitment by the Congress to providing any funding for these commissions in the future.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Reprogramming.—To ensure that the expenditure of funds in fiscal year 2011 is consistent with congressional direction, to minimize the movement of funds, and to improve overall budget execution, the bill includes a legislative provision outlining the circumstances under which the Bureau of Reclamation may reprogram funds.

The allocation for projects and activities within the Water and Related Resources account is shown in the following table:

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION—WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

[AMOUNT IN THOUSANDS]

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	
	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&RRR	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&RRR
ARIZONA				
AK CHIN INDIAN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT		10,960		10,644
COLORADO RIVER BASIN, CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT	9,055	361	8,794	351
COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM	2,255		2,190	
NORTHERN ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	182		177	
SALT RIVER PROJECT	581	219	564	213
SAN CARLOS APACHE TRIBE WATER SETTLEMENT ACT	325		316	
SIERRA VISTA SUBWATERSHED FEASIBILITY STUDY			971	
SOUTH/CENTRAL ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	608		590	
CENTRAL ARIZONA SALINITY STUDY	(45)		45	
YUMA AREA PROJECTS	1,362	20,058	1,323	19,480
YUMA EAST WETLANDS			2,000	
CALIFORNIA				
CACHUMA PROJECT	787	614	764	596
CALIFORNIA BASINS GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION FUND, RIALTO RECLAIMED WATER SYSTEM			1,000	
CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	233		226	
CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECTS				
AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION	1,631	7,833	1,583	7,507
EL DORADO TEMPERATURE CONTROL DEVICE			920	
AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT	1,400		1,360	
DELTA DIVISION	14,004	6,004	13,601	5,831
EAST SIDE DIVISION	1,458	2,943	1,416	2,858
FRIANT DIVISION	1,733	3,342	1,683	3,246
SEMITROPIC PHASE II GROUNDWATER BANKING			300	
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER RESTORATION SETTLEMENT			9,000	
MISCELLANEOUS PROJECT PROGRAMS	10,949	910	10,634	884
DIVERSIFIED LEVEL IV/LEVEL IV REFUGE WATER SUPPLY PROGRAM			984	
ANADROMOUS FISH SCREENS			21,656	21,032
REPLACEMENTS, ADDITIONS, & EXTRAORDINARY MAINT PROG			1,722	1,672
SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVISION	41,642		41,401	
SAN FELIPE DIVISION	1,173	6	1,139	6
SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION	400		389	
SHASTA DIVISION	925	9,566	898	9,290
TRINITY RIVER DIVISION	12,331	4,330	11,976	4,205
WATER AND POWER OPERATIONS	1,483	8,408	1,440	8,166
WEST SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION, SAN LUIS UNIT	8,367	7,033	8,126	6,830
YIELD FEASIBILITY INVESTIGATION	448		435	
LAKE TAHOE REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	94		91	
MOKELUNNE RIVER REGIONAL WATER STORAGE PROJECT			240	
ORLAND PROJECT		767		745
RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT			250	
SALTON SEA RESEARCH PROJECT	400		388	
SAN DIEGO FOUR-RESERVOIR INTERTIE			240	
SAN GABRIEL BASIN RESTORATION FUND			1,476	
SOBORA WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT PROJECT	4,000		3,885	
SOLANO PROJECT	1,498	2,337	1,455	2,270
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	262		255	
VENTURA RIVER PROJECT	203	9	197	9
COLORADO				
ANIMAS-LA PLATA PROJECT	12,134	328	11,784	319
ARKANSAS VALLEY CONDUIT	3,000		3,000	
COLLBRAN PROJECT	249	2,265	242	2,200
COLORADO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	183		178	
COLORADO-BIG THOMPSON PROJECT	221	12,557	215	12,195
FRUITGROWERS DAM PROJECT	154	165	150	160
FRYINGPAN-ARKANSAS PROJECT	103	8,721	4,340	4,230
GRAND VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP, TITLE II	195	1,345	189	1,306
LEADVILLE/ARKANSAS RIVER RECOVERY PROJECT		6,659		6,467
MANCOS PROJECT	113	163	110	158
JACKSON GULCH REHABILITATION PROJECT			1,290	
PARADOX VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP, TITLE II	93	2,850	90	2,768
PINE RIVER PROJECT	326	237	317	230
SAN LUIS VALLEY PROJECT	319	4,743	310	4,606
UNCOMPAHGRE PROJECT	252	145	245	141
HAWAII				
HAWAII WATER RESOURCES STUDY			300	
IDAHO				
BOISE AREA PROJECTS	3,031	2,515	2,944	2,443
COLUMBIA AND SNAKE RIVER FCRPS ESA IMP.	18,000		17,481	
IDAHO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	390		379	
LEWISTON ORCHARDS PROJECT	721	105	700	102
MINIDOKA AREA PROJECTS	2,901	2,310	2,817	2,243
KANSAS				
KANSAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	5		5	
WICHITA PROJECT—CHENEY DIVISION	10	404	10	392
WICHITA PROJECT—EQUUS BEDS DIVISION	50		49	
MONTANA				
FORT PECK RESERVATION/DRY PRAIRIE RURAL WATER SYSTEM	2,000		5,000	
HUNGRY HORSE PROJECT		702		682
HUNTLEY PROJECT	31	84	30	82
LOWER YELLOWSTONE PROJECT	34	15	33	15
MILK RIVER PROJECT	327	2,456	327	2,456
MONTANA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	20		20	
ROCKY BOYS/NORTH CENTRAL MONTANA RURAL WATER SYSTEM	1,000		4,000	
ST. MARY PROJECT			1,000	
SUN RIVER PROJECT	52	272	51	264
NEBRASKA				
MIRAGE FLATS PROJECT	13	131	13	127
NEVADA				
HALFWAY WASH PROJECT STUDY	75		73	
LAHONTAN BASIN PROJECT	4,056	2,667	3,939	2,590
LAKE MEAD/LAS VEGAS WASH PROGRAM	700		1,500	
NEW MEXICO				
CARLSBAD PROJECT	3,032	1,748	2,945	1,698

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION—WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES—Continued
(AMOUNT IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	
	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&RRR	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&RRR
EASTERN NEW MEXICO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAMS	23		22	
EASTERN NEW MEXICO WATER SUPPLY	0		2,000	
JICARILLA APACHE RESERVATION RURAL WATER SYSTEM	500		486	
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE PROJECT	14,772	10,323	14,658	10,026
NAVAJO NATION INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	114		111	
NAVAJO-GALLUP WATER SUPPLY	10,000		9,712	
PECOS RIVER BASIN WATER SALVAGE PROJECT	0	485	0	471
RIO GRANDE PROJECT	1,141	5,222	1,141	5,222
RIO GRANDE PUEBLO IRRIGATION INFRASTRUCTURE	0		500	
SAN JUAN RIVER BASIN INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	91		88	
SOUTHERN NEW MEXICO/WEST TEXAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	91		88	
TUCUMCARI PROJECT	25	16	24	16
UPPER RIO GRANDE BASIN INVESTIGATIONS	35		34	
NORTH DAKOTA				
PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN PROGRAM—GARRISON DIVERSION UNIT	30,672	5,879	44,121	5,879
OKLAHOMA				
ARBuckle PROJECT	48	182	47	177
MC GEE CREEK PROJECT	20	660	19	641
MOUNTAIN PARK PROJECT	7	472	7	458
NORMAN FEASIBILITY STUDY			755	
NORMAN PROJECT	9	467	9	454
OKLAHOMA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	47		46	
W.C. AUSTIN PROJECT	18	481	17	467
WASHITA BASIN PROJECT	7	1,425	7	1,384
OREGON				
CROOKED RIVER PROJECT	458	486	445	472
DESCHUTES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECT			240	
DESCHUTES PROJECT	434	392	802	381
EASTERN OREGON PROJECTS	555	297	539	288
KLAMATH DAM REMOVAL STUDY	5,000		4,856	
KLAMATH PROJECT	18,813	3,687	18,271	3,581
OREGON INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	157		157	
UMATILLA ON-RESERVATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS			225	
ROGUE RIVER BASIN PROJECT, TALENT DIVISION	1,222	354	1,187	344
WATER FOR IRRIGATION STREAMS AND ECONOMY FEASIBILITY STUDY			300	
TUALATIN PROJECT	315	238	306	231
UMATILLA PROJECT	1,370	2,767	1,331	2,687
WALLOWA LAKE DAM REHABILITATION AND WATER MANAGEMENT			479	
SOUTH DAKOTA				
LEWIS AND CLARK RURAL WATER SYSTEM	2,000		7,000	
MID-DAKOTA RURAL WATER PROJECT		15		15
MNI WICONI PROJECT	17,280	10,200	18,220	9,906
PERKINS COUNTY RURAL WATER SYSTEM	1,000			
RAPID VALLEY/DEERFIELD PROJECT		84		82
TEXAS				
BALMORHEA PROJECT	41	10	40	10
CANADIAN RIVER PROJECT	54	111	52	108
LOWER RIO GRANDE WATER RESOURCES CONSERVATION PROGRAM	50		1,008	
NUCES RIVER PROJECT	20	543	19	527
ROUND ROCK RECLAIMED WATER PROJECT			2,000	
SAN ANGELO PROJECT	35	383	34	372
TEXAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	47		46	
UTAH				
HYRUM PROJECT	167	157	162	152
MOON LAKE PROJECT	13	38	13	37
NEWTON PROJECT	69	26	67	25
NORTHERN UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	102		99	
RURAL WATER TECHNOLOGY ALLIANCE			500	
OSDEN RIVER PROJECT	280	166	272	161
PROVO RIVER PROJECT	1,076	520	1,045	505
SCORFIELD PROJECT	346	77	336	75
SOUTHERN NEVADA/UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	26		25	
SOUTHERN UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	128		124	
STRAWBERRY VALLEY PROJECT	289	22	281	21
SUMMIT COUNTY WATER IMPORTATION PROJECT			500	
WEBER BASIN PROJECT	914	756	888	734
WEBER RIVER PROJECT	71	134	69	130
WASHINGTON				
COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT	4,575	6,500	4,422	6,313
POTHOLES RESERVOIR SUPPLEMENTAL FEED ROUTE			750	
ODESSA SUBAREA SPECIAL STUDY			1,250	
WASHINGTON AREA PROJECTS	407	52	395	51
WASHINGTON INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	75		73	
YAKIMA PROJECT	1,493	6,992	1,450	6,791
YAKIMA RIVER BASIN WATER ENHANCEMENT PROJECT	12,395		12,030	
SUNNYSIDE ID DIVERSION REDUCTION AGREEMENT			3,592	
WYOMING				
KENDRICK PROJECT	119	4,191	116	4,070
NORTH PLATTE PROJECT	265	1,569	258	1,524
SHOSHONE PROJECT	76	1,122	74	1,090
SUBTOTAL—ITEMS LISTED UNDER STATES	302,937	230,166	363,779	219,687
REGIONAL PROGRAMS				
COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROGRAM, TITLE I		10,536		10,466
COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROGRAM, TITLE II	7,000		6,798	
COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT, (CRSP), SECTION 5	3,955	4,947	3,995	4,804
COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT, (CRSP), SECTION 8	3,752		3,644	
COLORADO RIVER WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	635		617	
DAM SAFETY PROGRAM				
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR DAM SAFETY PROGRAM		1,900		1,845
INITIATE SOD CORRECTIVE ACTION		74,274		72,134
SAFETY OF EVALUATION OF EXISTING DAMS		19,000		18,453
DROUGHT EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	380		369	
EMERGENCY PLANNING & DISASTER RESPONSE PROGRAM		1,157		1,124
ENDANGERED SPECIES RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM	23,721		23,038	

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION—WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES—Continued
(AMOUNT IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	
	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&RRR	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&RRR
ENVIRONMENTAL & INTERAGENCY COORDINATION ACTIVITIES	1,883		1,829	
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION	1,140		1,107	
EXAMINATION OF EXISTING STRUCTURES		9,037	0	8,777
FEDERAL BUILDING SEISMIC SAFETY PROGRAM		1,140	0	1,107
GENERAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES	2,347		2,033	
LAND RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	10,665		10,200	
LOWER COLORADO RIVER INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	137		133	
LOWER COLORADO RIVER OPERATIONS PROGRAM	25,300		25,000	
MISCELLANEOUS FLOOD CONTROL OPERATIONS		803		780
NATIVE AMERICAN AFFAIRS PROGRAM	7,465		7,250	
SID YATES SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM			210	
NEGOTIATION & ADMINISTRATION OF WATER MARKETING	1,924		1,865	
OPERATIONS AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	936	1,044	909	1,014
PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN PROGRAM—OTHER PICK SLOAN	3,018	37,339	2,931	36,263
POWER PROGRAM SERVICES	1,269	307	1,232	298
PUBLIC ACCESS AND SAFETY PROGRAM	616	155	598	151
RECLAMATION LAW ADMINISTRATION	2,265		2,200	
RECREATION & FISH & WILDLIFE PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION	2,137		2,075	
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT:				
DESALINATION AND WATER PURIFICATION PROGRAM	2,066	1,500	2,006	1,554
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	11,488		10,500	
QUAGGA & ZEBRA MUSSEL RESEARCH ACTIVITIES			500	
RURAL WATER—TITLE I	2,677		2,000	
SITE SECURITY		30,268		29,396
UNITED STATES/MEXICO BORDER ISSUES—TECHNICAL SUPPORT	90		87	
UPPER COLORADO RIVER OPERATIONS PROGRAM	252		245	
WATER CONSERVATION FIELD SERVICES PROGRAM	7,854		7,136	
WATERSMART PROGRAM:				
WATERSMART GRANTS	27,000		17,332	
BASIN STUDIES	6,000		5,000	
TITLE XVI PROGRAM:				
TITLE XVI FUNDING OPPORTUNITY	20,189		6,875	
SAN BERNARDINO MWD, CA			239	
WATSONVILLE AREA WATER RECYCLING PROJECT, CA			492	
ALBUQUERQUE METRO AREA WATER & RECLAMATION REUSE, NM			609	
NORTH LAS VEGAS WATER REUSE, NV			1,930	
AVRA/BLACK WASH WASTEWATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE, AZ			245	
LONG BEACH DESALINATION RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECT, CA	1,000		971	
LONG BEACH AREA WATER RECLAMATION PROJECT, CA	1,000		971	
PHOENIX METROPOLITAN WATER REUSE PROJECT, AZ	200		194	
SAN DIEGO AREA WATER RECLAMATION PROGRAM, CA	4,969		4,826	
SAN JOSE AREA WATER RECLAMATION/REUSE PROGRAM, CA	242		235	
CALLEGUAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT RECYCLING PROJECT, CA	1,400		1,360	
BAY AREA REGIONAL WATER RECYCLING PROJECT, CA			1,600	
NORTH BAY WATER REUSE PROJECT, CA			984	
WATERREUSE RESEARCH FOUNDATION			2,500	
SUBTOTAL—REGIONAL PROGRAMS	186,972	193,507	166,968	188,166
SUBTOTAL—WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES	489,909	423,673	530,747	407,853
GRAND TOTAL	913,582		938,600	

Central Valley Project—Friant Division.—Funds provided for the San Joaquin River Restoration should be used in conjunction with and in advance of those funds available from the San Joaquin River Restoration Fund.

St. Mary Project.—\$1,000,000 has been recommended for the St. Mary Diversion Rehabilitation Project. These funds should be utilized using applicable Reclamation Law and as directed in this Act.

Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin, Garrison Diversion Unit, North Dakota.—Within the funds provided, \$2,000,000 is for the Northwest Area Water Supply; \$7,000,000 is for the South Central Regional Water District; \$7,000,000 is for the Southwest Pipeline; and, \$3,120,000 is for the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe Irrigation Project.

Drought Emergency Assistance.—Within the funds provided, the Bureau of Reclamation is directed to recognize the unique conditions in Hawaii and provide full and fair consideration of the request for drought assistance from the State of Hawaii and fund if meritorious.

POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

Five-year Plan.—The Administration is directed to provide the Committees on Appropriations with a five-year plan. The five-year plan will include the following: (1) two funding scenarios, one which reflects the Administration's expenditure ceilings and a second which reflects an expenditure level consistent with the fiscal year 2011 appropriation, including inflation for the out-years; (2) a list of active projects, as defined by a project receiving funding in the previous three years, for which funding is not proposed in the plan; (3) a full accounting of all rural water, Tribal water settlement, and Title XVI projects that are currently authorized, the total authorization, the balance to complete, and total appropriations to date; (4) an estimate of the total cost of extraordinary and emergency operation and maintenance to address the backlog of project needs due to the aging of Reclamation infrastructure; and, (5) an explanation of the methodology used in determining the project allocations, together with the direction provided to field offices in the preparation of the five-year plan.

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the congressional direction with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Department of Energy. Additional items in the Act are discussed below.

The bill provides funding to continue the three Energy Innovation Hubs established in fiscal year 2010. The initial grants for these hubs were awarded at or near the end of fiscal year 2010, and the level of funding provided in this bill for fiscal year 2011 together with funding provided in fiscal year 2010 are intended to fund the hubs through the end of September 2011. Since funding for the hubs provided under this Act is an acknowledgment of a change in the hubs' five-year timelines to begin late in fiscal year 2010 and is not intended to reduce their overall five-year funding projections, the Department is directed to adjust its funding timeline and plan to reflect this delayed start rather than to change the scope of its research activities.

The Department's Under Secretary of Science and the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) Administrator are directed to prepare, within 120 days of enactment of this Act, a joint, integrated strategy

and program plan on how the Office of Science's Advanced Scientific Computing Research and NNSA's Advanced Simulation and Computing programs will share responsibilities and coordinate research and development activities to reach exascale computing required for national security, energy, environmental, and other science missions and to retain the United States' global leadership and competitiveness in advanced computing.

The Department is prohibited from funding fellowship and scholarship programs in fiscal year 2011 unless they appeared in the fiscal year 2011 congressional budget request documents and are supported in this bill. Any new or ongoing fellowship programs that the Department wishes to fund in fiscal year 2012 must be detailed in the fiscal year 2012 budget request documents.

The Department's efforts to achieve greater transparency in financial and programmatic performance have resulted in substantial improvements in the Department's financial reporting. This has particularly been demonstrated in the execution of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. In other more focused efforts, greater transparency has exposed significant financial risks and liabilities facing the Department, such as those liabilities arising from contractor managed defined benefit pension liabilities and other contractor benefits. The Committees on Appropriations direct the Department to apply the same degree of transparency to its base programs and mission support activities by the end of fiscal year 2011.

Cost-Share Requirements.—Section 988 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPACT) imposes statutory cost-share requirements on Department of Energy grants that vary for basic research and development, applied research and development, and demonstration projects. The Secretary has the authority to waive cost-share requirements on individual projects. While the well-reasoned, judicious use of this authority may be warranted in individual cases, the Department should extend its commitment to transparency to its use of cost-share waivers. The Department is therefore directed to notify the Committees on Appropriations, within three days of awarding funding, of the specific terms of any cost-share waiver or modification granted to the recipient.

CONGRESSIONAL DIRECTION

The Department of Energy is directed to operate in a manner fully consistent with the following reprogramming guidelines. For the first year, reprogramming requirements are included in statute owing to the Department's failure to comply with existing reprogramming guidelines. A reprogramming request must be submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations for consideration before any implementation of a reorganization proposal which includes moving previous appropriations between appropriation accounts. The Department is directed to inform the Committees promptly and fully when a change in program execution and funding is required during the fiscal year. To assist the Department in this effort, the following guidance is provided for programs and activities funded in the Energy and Water Development and Related Agencies Appropriations Act. The Department is directed to follow this guidance for all programs and activities unless specific reprogramming guidance is provided for a program or activity.

Definition.—A reprogramming includes the reallocation of funds from one activity to an-

other within an appropriation, or any significant departure from a program, project, activity, or organization described in the agency's budget justification as presented to and approved by Congress, except where specific deviations are allowed in the text that follows. For construction projects, a reprogramming constitutes the reallocation of funds from one construction project identified in the justifications to another project or a significant change in the scope of an approved project.

Any reallocation of new or prior year budget authority or prior year de-obligations must be submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations in writing and may not be implemented prior to approval by the Committees.

COST ESTIMATING

There continues to be concern with the Department's ability to manage large construction projects within estimated cost and schedule. The Department has several multi-billion dollar facilities underway, and it is critical that improvements be made in project management. For some projects, the Department is making critical decisions for state-of-the-art nuclear facilities prior to 90 percent completion of design. The Department is directed in its project management order to specifically define the required levels of design maturity that are necessary at each critical decision point, and to ensure that these levels are consistent with accepted construction industry best practices. In addition, the Department's policies for gauging the maturity of new technologies being developed or proposed for its projects are inconsistent with best practices used by other federal agencies. The Government Accountability Office has found that the Department's policies allow a project to proceed with construction with insufficient assurance that a new technology is adequately mature. Therefore, the Department is directed to evaluate where its policies, orders, and guidance for gauging the maturity of new technologies is inconsistent with best practices and, as appropriate, revise them to ensure consistency or specifically justify why such differences are necessary or appropriate.

Another concern is the current organization of the Department's cost estimating offices. The newer Office of Cost Analysis (OCA) is separate from the Department's existing office that performs a similar but broader cost review function. Placing the OCA under the office that manages the Department's finances, the Office of the Chief Financial Officer (CFO), may limit the OCA's independence, ability to conduct objective analysis, and access to relevantly skilled staff. This may lead to duplication of efforts and does not reflect best practices. Centralizing a cost estimating team, rather than maintaining separate teams, facilitates sharing resources and using standard processes. This organization is also inconsistent with Congress' recent action to establish an independent cost estimating office at the Department of Defense, whose project management responsibilities are similar to those of the Department of Energy. The Department was directed in fiscal year 2009 and 2010 to move the OCA from the CFO and consolidate the OCA with the existing cost estimating group within the Management Office. The Department did not respond directly to this direction; however, it is currently reviewing its policy. Upon completion of this review, and not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, the Department is directed to provide the Committees on Appropriations with

a report outlining the organizational justification for the future of the cost estimating office(s) at the Department, and to provide a detailed analysis of how this arrangement will better serve the Department's dismal cost estimating record.

ENERGY PROGRAMS

ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY

Reporting Requirements.—In some cases, of the amount directed to each specific program or activity, the Department has redirected a significant fraction to other purposes within the account. Sometimes as high as 11 or 12 percent of each program's appropriated budget, this "tax" on the individual programs includes some justifiable purposes, such as reserving 2.8 percent of funds for Small Business Innovation Research and Small Business Technology Transfer grants. However, the remaining unexplained redirection of funds in many cases appears counter to congressional direction and lacks transparency. The Department is therefore directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations, not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, for each funding level provided in this Act, the exact quantity of funds allocated by the Department for that purpose, and the specific reasons and redirected funding amounts for any program whose allocation is less than that directed by the bill.

As the nation continues to focus on ways to improve energy efficiency, the Department is requested to update its 1995 report on the Impacts of Landscaping for Energy Efficiency (DOE/GO-10095-046).

Minority Outreach Programs.—In fiscal year 2009, the Department was directed to implement an aggressive program to take advantage of the Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Hispanic Serving Institutions across the country in order to deepen the recruiting pool of diverse scientific and technical staff available to support the growing renewable energy marketplace. The Department is encouraged to continue these programs as a means to tap the nation's full diversity of talent as the Department works with the public and private sectors to meet our nation's energy challenges.

Hydrogen Technology.—Within available funds, the bill includes \$15,000,000 for Technology Validation, at least half of which is focused on vehicle and hydrogen infrastructure applications; \$47,000,000 for hydrogen fuels R&D, with a particular focus on the synergies between hydrogen and renewable energy and deriving hydrogen from renewable resources; and \$15,000,000 for Market Transformation in early markets, particularly for stationary sources (not safety codes and standards).

Biomass and Biorefinery Systems Research and Development.—Within available funds, the bill provides \$59,000,000 for integrated biorefineries; \$10,000,000 for analysis and sustainability; \$85,080,000 for conversion technologies; \$10,710,000 for the sustainable production within the feedstocks activity; and \$10,710,000 for logistics within the feedstocks activity.

The bill provides a total of \$30,000,000 for algae biofuels, to include \$5,000,000 for research and development activities for halophilic algae applications in partnership with a university-led consortia having expertise in this area and ready access to a wide variety of high-salinity algae strains. The bill provides \$10,000,000 for the cellulosic reverse auction; as the Department is considering devoting \$4,500,000 from fiscal year 2008 to a reverse auction, the Department is urged to delay such action and combine pre-

vious year funds with fiscal year 2011 funds to make the reverse auction more compelling.

The bill provides \$2,000,000 for coordination with the Fuels Technology subprogram under Vehicle Technologies to continue testing the effects of intermediate fuel blends (15–20 percent ethanol mixed with 80–85 percent gasoline) on Tier 1 vehicles and small engines. The testing will provide data on how these blends may affect materials, durability, performance and emissions of legacy engines. Work should be done in coordination with the Vehicle Technologies Program. The bill further provides \$2,500,000 for a demonstration of a catalytic process to convert liquid ethanol into gaseous ethylene.

Solar Energy.—Within available funds, the Department is directed to provide \$50,000,000 for concentrating solar power demonstration projects as proposed in the budget request. The Department is encouraged to designate and fund, in fiscal year 2011, a center for solar energy innovation to be located in close proximity to high-quality solar resources and the site chosen by the Department for its Solar Demonstration Zone Project. The center should support the research, development, and deployment of the essential components of the solar energy supply chain to promote the integration of solar technologies and products into utility, building and commercial systems, and to improve their reliability, affordability and rapid deployment across the Southwest region and the United States.

The Department is encouraged to continue its efforts to invest in promising high efficiency, low-cost concentrated photovoltaic solar technology that will accelerate the achievement of grid parity.

Solar films can provide significant cost and efficiency advantages to thin film and crystalline silicon modules, and the Department is encouraged to expand the funding of solar films research and development to support the development of a cross-cutting advanced solar films program to improve the cost-effectiveness of solar technologies.

Geothermal Technology.—The Department is directed to make not less than \$5,000,000 available to continue development and deployment of low-temperature geothermal systems. The Department shall provide the Committees on Appropriations with a copy of its report required by section 621 of Public Law 110-140 evaluating the Department's progress implementing the geothermal provisions of Public Law 110-140 and evaluating additional advanced concepts and technologies to maximize the geothermal resource potential of the United States.

Water Power.—Within the funds provided, \$14,000,000 is for conventional hydropower activities and \$41,000,000 is for marine and hydrokinetic activities. Within available funds, the Department is directed to provide not less than \$6,000,000 for the construction of necessary testing infrastructure for marine and hydrokinetic systems, and \$1,000,000 for research and development of tidal barrage technology.

Vehicle Technologies.—The Department is directed to make \$3,000,000 available to continue efforts on both stationary and in-motion inductive power transfer technology to a national laboratory and university consortium.

Batteries that reach the end of their useful lives in electric vehicles will have remaining capacity for other uses, and the Department is directed to use \$2,000,000 in conjunction with the Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability to commence work on the

secondary use program authorized in section 915 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005. The Department is further directed to establish a program to collect and synthesize data on the use of plug-in electric drive vehicles and provide technical assistance to communities that want to prepare for plug-in electric drive vehicle deployment.

Building Technologies.—Within the funds provided, \$5,000,000 is for the solar decathlon, and \$16,000,000 is for the Energy Efficient Building Systems Design Energy Innovation Hub.

The bill provides \$10,000,000 for the Bright Tomorrow Lighting Prize to fund prizes for competitions specified in section 655 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 that have been previously announced by the Department.

Further, an additional \$26,809,000 is for solid state lighting research and development. While solid state lighting is still too expensive to compete with existing general lighting products, solid state lighting has the potential to substantially reduce energy consumption while cutting energy bills. To reduce product costs, the Department was encouraged in fiscal year 2010 to fund research and development aiming to lower solid state lighting manufacturing costs, and the Department did so with Recovery Act funds but has yet to devote any regular fiscal year funding. The bill therefore includes \$6,500,000 for new research and development awards, from within the amount provided, of which no less than \$3,200,000 is provided for new awards for manufacturing research and development.

The bill includes \$1,500,000 for research and development activities for advanced sensing and control technologies for task-intuitive lighting systems, and the Department is directed to identify a university-led partnership with experience in this field.

The Energy Policy Conservation Act of 1975 authorized the Department to issue efficiency standards for a list of products, and to date televisions are the only item for which the Department has failed to issue a standard. The Secretary is encouraged to complete a rulemaking process to establish effective efficiency standards for televisions, as expeditiously as possible.

Industrial Technologies.—Within the funds provided, \$30,000,000 is for research, development, demonstration and market transformation of large, medium, and small scale combined heat and power generation systems, to include not less than \$8,000,000 for small scale systems at or below 20 kilowatts generation capacity.

The bill includes not less than \$6,832,000 for Industries of the Future (Specific), to include activities to improve production processes in the glass industry, and to include \$4,205,000 for the steel industry for improvements in production.

District energy and combined heat and power (CHP) systems can significantly reduce primary energy usage by taking advantage of economies of scale and capturing waste energy that would otherwise go unused. District energy systems offer near-term opportunities to increase the energy efficiency and ambit of existing networks through the addition of combined heat and power systems, connection with additional buildings dependent on single-building-based heating and cooling, and conversion from fossil-fuel to renewable fuel sources including biomass. Recent investments made by the Department in these systems are to be commended, and the Department is encouraged to consider avenues for further improving related technologies, addressing their

barriers to deployment, and encouraging their widespread use.

Federal Energy Management Program.—The bill includes \$1,000,000 for the Department to conduct an assessment of the most appropriate places to deploy electric vehicles in the federal fleet and to begin a pilot program to deploy electric vehicles based on the assessment.

The FEMP is intended to assist federal agencies—which together spend roughly \$10,000,000,000 annually on energy procurements—in achieving energy savings. In its recent audit report on the FEMP, the Department's Inspector General (OIG) found

that the FEMP “had not always maintained up-to-date energy efficiency specifications; could not demonstrate that it had adequately pursued the development of new energy efficiency specifications; and had not effectively managed relevant contractor efforts essential to the program.” The Department is directed to deliver by March 15, 2011 an action plan to address the problems identified by the OIG.

Facilities and Infrastructure.—The bill provides \$57,500,000 for facilities and infrastructure, to include \$39,500,000 for construction of the Energy Systems Integration Facility (ESIF) at the National Renewable Energy

Laboratory. It is expected that this funding, in conjunction with two prior year appropriations, is the final appropriation required to construct and fully equip ESIF and that the Department will begin construction of the facility without further delay.

Program Support.—Within the funds provided, \$2,000,000 is to continue the United States-Israel energy cooperation agreement.

State Energy Program.—The bill provides \$40,000,000 for formula grants and \$10,000,000 for competitive grants.

Congressionally Directed Projects.—The bill provides \$211,580,000 for the following congressionally directed projects and activities.

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECTS

PROJECT	AMOUNT
21st Century Renewable Fuels, Energy, and Materials Initiative	\$400,000
Adams State College Solar Project (CO)	\$400,000
Advanced Closed-Cycle Turbine Generator (WA)	\$1,000,000
Advanced Energy Studies (ND)	\$1,500,000
Advanced Solid State Lithium Ion Battery Domestic Manufacturing Initiative (MI)	\$300,000
Alexander Hamilton High School Solar Power Project	\$250,000
Algae Biofuels Research (WA)	\$2,000,000
Algae to Biofuels Fully Integrated System	\$1,000,000
Alternative and Unconventional Energy Research and Development (UT)	\$10,000,000
Alternative Energy Medical Complex (NV)	\$500,000
Bio-Energy Research Center (MT)	\$800,000
Biofuel from Nevada's Municipal Wastes (NV)	\$500,000
Biofuel Micro-Refinery for Local Sustainability, Memphis, TN	\$750,000
Biofuels, Biopower and Biomaterials Initiative	\$1,250,000
Biomass Boiler and Steam Absorption Chiller Plant (SD)	\$650,000
Biomass Boiler at Ferrum College (VA)	\$300,000
Blue Ridge Alternative Fuels Training Program	\$200,000
Borough of Rochester Police Headquarters Emergency Power Demonstration Project	\$175,000
Bucknell University Marcellus Shale Research Initiative (PA)	\$200,000
Carolinas Energy Training Center	\$800,000
Center for Biomass Utilization (ND)	\$2,000,000
Center for Ocean Renewable Energy (NH)	\$500,000
Center for Ozark Community Biomass Energy Development (MO)	\$1,500,000
Chicago Public Schools Solar Energy Capital Improvement Program	\$300,000
Chittenden County Natural Gas Refueling Project (VT)	\$1,000,000
CHRISTUS Center for Healthy Living and Energy Efficient LEED Technology (LA)	\$300,000
City of Grand Rapids City Building Solar and Wind Renewable Energy Demonstration Project (MI)	\$400,000
City of Lansing Bioenergy Generation Demonstration Project (MI)	\$400,000
City of Los Angeles LED Street Lighting Project	\$500,000
City of Madison Renewable Energy and Sustainability Center	\$1,000,000
City of San Jose Smart LEED Streetlights, CA (CA)	\$600,000
City of Yreka Biomass Facility, CA (CA)	\$500,000
Clean Energy and Education Fuel Cell Initiative	\$800,000
Clean Energy Infrastructure Educational Initiative (OH)	\$200,000
Co-Gen Electrostatic Precipitator (NY)	\$700,000
Collaboration on Renewable Energy Job Creation Strategies (NV)	\$300,000
Community Transportation Infrastructure Options Now (ACTION) Project	\$1,000,000
Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research	\$2,000,000
Delaware Energy Efficiency (DE)	\$55,000
Development of High Yield Tropical Feedstocks and Biomass Conversion Technology for Renewable Energy Production (HI)	\$6,000,000
Eastern Gateway Community College Wind Turbine Technology Program	\$300,000
Energy Audit and Weatherization Mobile Field Unit	\$225,000
Energy Conservation, Solar Energy Education and Research (LA)	\$300,000
Energy Recovery at the Water Reclamation Facility (MT)	\$750,000
Englewood Cliffs Solar Array Project	\$300,000
Ethanol from Agriculture for Arkansas and America	\$1,000,000
Farm Solar Share (DE)	\$645,000
Farm-Based Renewable Energy (WA)	\$400,000
Fremont School District Wind Turbine	\$200,000
FL Garland Geothermal	\$760,000
Garry Elementary Solar Panel Construction and Installation	\$120,000
Genetic Improvement of Switchgrass for Biofuels (RI)	\$500,000
Geothermal Conversion Project (IN)	\$1,000,000
Geothermal Heating and Cooling Project	\$900,000
Geothermal Power Generation Plant at Oregon Institute of Technology	\$400,000
Geothermal Renovation of Residences Pilot Project	\$500,000
Going Green Solar Panel Demonstration	\$210,000
Great Basin College—Direct Use Geothermal Demonstration Project (NV)	\$1,000,000
Green Energy Sustainable Loan Program (NY)	\$700,000
Green Impact Zone—Energy & Healthy Homes Nexus Study	\$1,000,000
Green Jobs Education and Training Program	\$700,000
Hampton University Geothermal Heating System	\$1,125,000
Hawaii Energy Sustainability Program	\$6,000,000
Hawaii Renewable Energy Development Venture (HI)	\$6,000,000
High Performance Computing Infrastructure for Modeling Solar Energy	\$950,000
High Speed Wind Turbine Noise Model with Suppression (MS)	\$1,000,000
High-Tech Solid State Lighting Demonstration for Manadas and LLC	\$550,000
Home Energy Efficiency Research and Development Initiative (PA)	\$500,000
Hoonah IPEC Hydroelectric Project (AK)	\$1,500,000

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECTS—Continued

PROJECT	AMOUNT
Illinois Community College Sustainability Network Green Jobs Centers	\$600,000
Improving Fuel Cell Durability and Reliability	\$2,000,000
Industrial Technologies Program Language (NV)	\$1,000,000
Iowa Central Community College Renewable Fuels Laboratory (IA)	\$500,000
Kaukauna Utilities Hydroelectric Generator Replacement (WI)	\$1,000,000
Lancaster Landfill Solar Facility	\$850,000
Large-Scale Wind Training	\$450,000
Las Vegas Residential Energy Efficiency Program (NV)	\$500,000
Lignocellulosic Biofuels from Bioenergy Crops	\$2,000,000
LIPA Renewable Energy Beta Sites	\$3,900,000
Livingston Campus Geothermal Energy System	\$750,000
Long Island Sound Biofuel Research	\$1,000,000
Long Island Sustainable Schools Network	\$450,000
Maine Tidal Power Initiative	\$1,000,000
Martin County Microfiber Fuel Cell Technology Development (NC)	\$500,000
Maryland Clean Energy Center	\$500,000
Maximizing Electricity Output From Wind Farms	\$500,000
Mega-Turbine Research for Enhanced Wind Power (NE)	\$750,000
Mendocino County for the Strategic Woody-Biomass Initiative (CA)	\$450,000
Mid-Atlantic Regional Institute for Coastal and Ocean Renewable Energy (MARICORE)	\$2,100,000
MidSouth/Southeast BioEnergy Consortium (AR)	\$1,000,000
Mission Verde Center	\$750,000
Montana Algal BioDiesel Initiative (MT)	\$750,000
Morgan State University Life Cycle Assessment of Historic Buildings Research and Training Program	\$725,000
Mt. Hope High School Solar Energy and Curriculum (RI)	\$750,000
Nano-antenna Power Sources for Field Deployable Systems	\$850,000
Nanostructured Materials for Improved Photovoltaics (MS)	\$1,000,000
National Center for Deepwater Offshore Wind	\$10,000,000
National Open-Ocean Energy Laboratory	\$1,500,000
National Wind Energy Center, University of Houston, Houston, TX (TX)	\$3,000,000
National Wind Resource Center at Pantex (TX)	\$1,000,000
Natural Resource Research Center (NRCC) (AR)	\$750,000
Neighborhood Energy Outreach Center	\$400,000
Nevada Inter-Tribal Energy Consortium (NV)	\$150,000
Nevada Renewable Energy Consortium (NV)	\$3,500,000
Nevada Renewable Energy Innovation and Deployment Cluster—Technology Commercialization and Site Development (NV)	\$3,000,000
New England Marine Renewable Energy Center	\$2,800,000
New York State Solar Electric Incentive Programs	\$35,000
NMCC Wind Power Technology Program	\$800,000
North Carolina A&T State University Transportation Fuels and Biobased Products from Agricultural Waste	\$1,000,000
North Country Community Wood Energy Systems (NH)	\$200,000
Northeast Ohio Biomass Program Initiative	\$1,000,000
Nushagak Area Hydropower Project (AK)	\$500,000
Onshore-Based Energy Generation (WA)	\$600,000
Offshore Wind Energy Research and Education Initiative	\$800,000
Ohio Advanced Energy Manufacturing Center (OEMC)	\$500,000
Ohio Low Cost Carbon Fiber Initiative (OH)	\$1,500,000
Old Dominion University, Construction and Operation of a Demonstration Scale Algal Research Center (VA)	\$750,000
Oremunda Community College Sustainability Initiative: Developing Talent for Emerging Jobs in the Energy and Environmental Systems Industry	\$290,000
Optimized Concentrated Solar Power Trough Development Project (PA)	\$750,000
Oregon Institute of Technology Green Technology Center	\$550,000
Oregon MOVES	\$400,000
OSU Wave Energy Test Berth	\$1,000,000
Phoenix Children's Hospital Water-To-Water Heat Pump Demonstration	\$2,000,000
Photo Voltaic Solar Power Roof Project	\$100,000
Photovoltaic System at Closed, Capped Landfill (NY)	\$650,000
Pittsburgh Green House—Training and Outreach	\$150,000
Plant 22 Photovoltaic Generator	\$700,000
PLU Geothermal Heat Plant Project	\$1,200,000
Producing Renewable, Value-Added Products from Waste Feedstocks (LA)	\$1,000,000
Project Green Lighting LED Installation	\$120,000
PV/Solar Thermal Deployment	\$800,000
Questa Business Park Solar Energy Infrastructure	\$750,000
Red Wing Regional Biosolids Processing Facility (MN)	\$700,000
Reedport Wave Power Project (Phase I) (OR)	\$400,000
Renewable Energy Technical Training Center (NY)	\$500,000
Renewable Energy Demonstration (IL)	\$750,000
Renewable Energy Demonstration Project at Old Fort Lewis College	\$300,000
Renewable Energy Feasibility Study and Resources Assessment for Lincoln County, NV (NV)	\$500,000
Renewable Energy Initiative (IL)	\$500,000
Renewable Energy Solar Farm (NJ)	\$650,000
Renewable Energy Technology Training Lab	\$200,000
Reno Hydrogen Fuel (NV)	\$1,000,000
Research and Develop Geothermal Energy (AK)	\$700,000

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECTS—Continued

PROJECT	AMOUNT
Research of Anaerobic Biotechnology for Renewable Energy Research, Development and Demonstration of Plug-In Hybrid Electric Drive Kits (WI)	\$500,000
Rowan University Algae-Based Renewable Fuel Initiative (NJ)	\$1,000,000
RubeLab Alternative Energy Program	\$750,000
Salem City Solar Energy Project (UT)	\$600,000
San Francisco Bay Area Biosolids to Energy Project, CA (CA)	\$300,000
San Francisco Electric Vehicle Initiative	\$750,000
San Francisco Energy Efficient LED Streetlight Project	\$850,000
Shenandoah Valley as a National Demonstration Project	\$1,000,000
Achieving 25 Percent Renewable Energy by the Year 2025 (VA)	\$1,000,000
Siena Heights Sustainable Energy Program	\$350,000
Sitka Blue Lake Hydroelectric Expansion Project	\$600,000
Small Vertical Wind Turbine Demonstration Project	\$1,000,000
Snohomish County PUD Geothermal Energy Study	\$150,000
Solar Cell Connectivity Research and Development (RI)	\$1,200,000
Solar Electric System (NY)	\$200,000
Solar Energy Infrastructure Enhancement (NV)	\$500,000
Solar Energy Water Reclamation Demonstration Project	\$500,000
Solar Furnace Research Program, Valparaiso University, IN	\$600,000
Solar Panel Demonstration for the Lodi Municipal Complex	\$300,000
Solar Panels for the Municipal Library, East Brunswick, NJ	\$500,000
Solar Parking Canopies and Plug-In Electric Stations Demonstration	\$400,000
Solar Photovoltaic Panels on Ocean County Parking Deck	\$500,000
Solar Power Demonstration to Create an Energy Efficient Building	\$500,000
Solar Power Module Development (VT)	\$500,000
Solar Production of Hydrogen from Water	\$750,000
Solar Testing and Training (CT)	\$300,000
South Jordan NGV Fleet Implementation Program (UT)	\$400,000
South Utah Electric District Crab Creek Small Hydropower Facility (UT)	\$1,000,000
Southern Arizona Green Energy Training Enhancement Project	\$590,000
Southern Regional Center for Lightweight Innovative Designs (MS)	\$3,500,000
Southwest Washington Tidal Energy Project	\$1,000,000
Springfield Fast-Fill CNG Facility (MO)	\$500,000
Strategic Biomass Initiative (MS)	\$500,000
Sun Grant Initiative, South Dakota State University Regional Biomass Feedstock Research and Development (SD)	\$2,000,000
Sustainable Biofuel Production—Consolidated Bioprocessing Strategy for Biomass Conversion (MA)	\$600,000
Sustainable Campus Initiative of Sierra Nevada College at Lake Tahoe (NV)	\$400,000
Sustainable Energy Homeowner Outreach Program (VT)	\$200,000
Sustainable Energy in Schools (VT)	\$500,000
Sustainable Energy Institute (IA)	\$500,000
Sustainable Energy Research Center	\$9,000,000
Switched Reluctance Motor Technology for Zero Emission Mobility	\$500,000
TCC Green Workforce	\$400,000
Town of North Hempstead Solar Farm	\$350,000
Training for Employment in the Algae Economy (TEALE)	\$600,000
Tri-County Biomass Energy Pilot Project (MT)	\$800,000
Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility Environmental and Energy Enhancement (NV)	\$1,000,000
Tulahoma City Schools Solar Roof Demonstration	\$500,000
UDC Renewable Energy Institute	\$500,000
Utah Natural Gas Fueling Station (UT)	\$300,000
University of Arkansas at Little Rock Nanostructured Composite Project	\$1,000,000
University of Georgia MidSouth/Southeast BioEnergy Consortium	\$1,100,000
University of Louisville Research and Energy Independence Program (KY)	\$2,000,000
UNLV—Intermountain West Sustainable Development Project (NV)	\$500,000
UNR—Great Basin Center for Geothermal Energy (NV)	\$250,000
Urban Wind Demonstration Project	\$300,000
Utah Center for Energy Innovation and Commercialization (UT)	\$3,400,000
Vermont Biofuels and Carbon Harvest Initiative (VT)	\$750,000
Vermont Biomass Energy Resource Center (VT)	\$1,000,000
Veronica Sustainable Schools (OR)	\$300,000
Village of Alsip Wind and Solar	\$675,000
Wartburg College Wind Energy Demonstration Project	\$1,000,000
White Earth Tribal Biomass Bio Fuel Project (MN)	\$700,000
Wind Energy Infrastructure (ND)	\$550,000
Wind Energy Materials Development (ND)	\$2,000,000
Wind Energy Technology Training Program (WA)	\$500,000
Wind Energy Training and Infrastructure (ND)	\$1,000,000
Wind Power Exploration (MT)	\$100,000
Wind Resource Monitoring and Training Program (NV)	\$1,000,000
Winthrop Hospital Solar Array System	\$700,000
Wireless Turbine Blade Sensor System Research (NY)	\$1,000,000
YWCA Carolyn House Geothermal Improvements	\$600,000

ELECTRICITY DELIVERY AND ENERGY
RELIABILITY

Cyber Security for Energy Delivery Systems Research and Development.—It is critical that the Department works to ensure the deployment of smart grid technologies does not jeopardize the reliability or security of the nation's electrical infrastructure. The Department is directed to work with its national laboratories on research to evaluate the potential impacts of new technologies on grid reliability and security. The Department shall report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, on its efforts to coop-

eratively work with the private sector on grid security standards and implementation.

Smart Grid Research and Development.—Growing constraints and requirements on the nation's electric power delivery system have resulted in various efforts to modernize the grid by realizing energy efficiency improvements in power transmission and distribution. While recent programs focused on transmission, smart metering, and in-home energy management technologies, other important approaches, such as those that increase the efficiency of the distribution grid, have received less public attention. As the Department has recently highlighted the importance of optimizing the entire electric de-

livery supply chain, the Department is encouraged to advance distribution-based technologies that increase energy efficiency by means of reducing energy loss that occurs during electricity distribution, and that optimize the load levels through voltage controls on the distribution system. Such grid-based technologies can greatly enhance energy savings across the electric power delivery system and are essential for the integration of renewable and distributed energy resources, electric vehicles and demand response applications.

Congressionally Directed Projects.—The bill provides \$11,050,000 for the following congressionally directed projects and activities.

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED ELECTRICITY
DELIVERABILITY AND ENERGY RELIABILITY PROJECTS

PROJECT	AMOUNT
Advanced Cyber-Controlled Smart Grid with Renewable Energy Sources	\$1,000,000
Dubuque Smarter Energy Project (IA)	\$500,000
Emerging Smart Grid Technology Study	\$200,000
Energy Development and Reliability (ND)	\$300,000
Great Lakes Energy Institute	\$950,000
Heber Light and Power Automated Metering Infrastructure	\$500,000
Legal and Regulatory Implications of a Smart Electric Grid (Phase II)	\$900,000

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED ELECTRICITY DELIVER-
ABILITY AND ENERGY RELIABILITY PROJECTS—Contin-
ued

PROJECT	AMOUNT
Navajo Nation Electrification Program (NM)	\$900,000
Northern Westchester Energy Action Consortium Smart Grid	\$400,000
Renewable Energy for South Texas Colonias	\$500,000
Research for Operation of High Voltage Transmission Lines at Overloads	\$850,000
Smart Grid Simulation Technology (ND)	\$800,000
Technology Development (ND)	\$750,000

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED ELECTRICITY DELIVER-
ABILITY AND ENERGY RELIABILITY PROJECTS—Contin-
ued

PROJECT	AMOUNT
University of Arizona Compressed Air Energy Storage	\$500,000
University of Toledo, Energy and Innovation Infrastructure, Pilot Project	\$1,000,000
UNR—Energy Storage for Intermittent Renewable Energy Sources (NV)	\$1,000,000

NUCLEAR ENERGY
NUCLEAR ENERGY RESEARCH AND
DEVELOPMENT

Nuclear Energy Enabling Technologies.—Within the funds provided, \$16,000,000 is for the Modeling Simulation Energy Innovation Hub, \$31,320,000 is for Cross-cutting Technology Development, and no funds are provided for Transformative Nuclear Concepts.

Integrated University Program.—The bill provides \$5,000,000 for the Integrated University Program.

Reactor Concepts Research, Development, and Demonstration.—The bill provides \$196,830,000, of which \$55,000,000 is for Small Modular Reactors, \$17,500,000 is for Light Water Reactor (LWR) Sustainability, and \$15,870,000 is for Advanced Concepts.

The bill provides \$103,000,000 for the Next Generation Nuclear Plant (NGNP). There continues to be serious questions regarding the participation of industry and its cost-share contribution to the project. This has brought the future of the project, as currently configured, into question. The Department has delayed the Secretarial decision on proceeding to Phase 2 of the NGNP project until August 2011. The justification for the slippage in the Secretarial decision is unclear given the technology readiness analysis

and Nuclear Energy Advisory Committee report will be completed by May. If by the end of June 2011, the Secretary has not decided to advance the NGNP project to Phase 2, the Department is directed to use \$23,000,000 of NGNP funds to advance the Small Modular Reactors program.

Fuel Cycle Research and Development.—Within the funds provided, \$15,000,000 is provided to continue the multi-year effort deep-burn research and development of high-performance ceramic-particle-based fuels for the current nuclear reactor fleet and future light-water and high-temperature gas reactors and demonstrate concept feasibility and initial fuel performance for utilization in commercial reactors within 2 years. Further, \$3,500,000 is provided to issue a competitive solicitation requesting industry teams (fuel suppliers, utilities and advanced ceramic developers) for cost-shared proposals to develop and test advanced LWR fuel with ceramic cladding, with the capability of very high burn up and with the objective of achieving readiness for Lead Test Rod operation in commercial reactors within 5 years.

The bill includes \$45,000,000 for Used Nuclear Fuel Disposition, of which \$26,000,000 is to establish a Center of Excellence for Nuclear Waste Management. The Center's research agenda shall be informed by the rec-

ommendations of the President's Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future and be supportive of and consistent with other nuclear waste management research activities. The Center will be competitively awarded to preserve and build upon the expertise and science formerly within the Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. Within 60 days of the enactment of this Act, the Department is directed to submit a report on (1) how the Center of Excellence for Nuclear Waste Management will support the used nuclear fuel research agenda and (2) plans to retain the federal and contractor expertise on geological waste repositories and archive all scientific documentation relating to the Yucca Mountain project.

RADIOLOGICAL FACILITIES
MANAGEMENT

Space and Defense Infrastructure.—Within the funds provided, \$15,160,000 is for nuclear infrastructure at Oak Ridge.

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED
PROJECTS

Congressionally Directed Projects.—The bill provides \$7,800,000 for the following congressionally directed projects and activities.

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED NUCLEAR ENERGY
PROJECTS

PROJECT	AMOUNT
Nuclear Engineering Research Center (UT)	\$500,000
Nuclear Fabrication Consortium (OH)	\$2,000,000
Nuclear Power Operator Simulator	\$800,000
Ohio State Nuclear Reactor Laboratory Facility Research and Education Expansion	\$2,000,000
Oversight of Yucca Mountain Shut Down and Reclamation (NV)	\$2,500,000

FOSSIL ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Fuels and Power Systems.—The bill provides \$10,000,000 in addition to sums allocated for university research, to initiate the National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL)-Regional University Alliance for Energy Innovation and the Department's support for this initiative is encouraged. Within Innovations at Existing Plants, \$5,000,000 is for the mercury research program. The bill provides \$155,000,000 for Carbon Sequestration activities, including funds for the integrated emission reduction initiative, a Focus Area for Carbon Sequestration Science, and \$12,000,000 for an initiative focused on innovative concepts for the beneficial use of carbon dioxide for non-geological activities.

Natural Gas Technologies.—The bill includes \$15,000,000 for methane hydrates research. The bill has restored the hydrates technology program to this account and does not support funding a gas hydrates research program within the Office of Science. Within available funds, funding is provided to continue research that targets small, independent producers for exploration and production and safety activities, including completion and well control technology, and for

efforts to minimize the environmental impacts of natural gas development, including advancement of water resources treatment technologies. The bill supports initiatives to treat produced water, including for beneficial reuse, and includes funding to support demonstration-scale projects to treat water resources associated with domestic natural gas development. The bill also includes funding for continued support of unconventional natural gas production from basins that contain tight gas sands, shale gas, and coal bed methane resources.

Unconventional Fossil Energy Technologies.—The bill provides \$20,000,000 to continue the implementation of the Department's research, development, and deployment technology strategy for unconventional fossil energy resources. Within this amount, \$15,000,000 is for activities to address technological, economic, and environmental challenges associated with development of unconventional resources in the Western Energy Corridor of which \$8,000,000 is for oil shale and tar sands activities. The bill also provides \$1,200,000 to continue the Risk Based Data Management System. Within available funds, the bill supports the stripper

well program as well as ongoing research being conducted for small producers for enhanced oil recovery, exploration and production, safety, and environmental solutions. The bill does not provide funding for methane hydrates research and development in the Unconventional Fossil Energy Technologies account.

There is deep concern that the Department disregarded Congressional direction and reprogramming guidelines by improperly augmenting the \$17,833,000 provided in the Fiscal Year 2010 conference agreement for methane hydrates research with \$4,000,000 from the Unconventional Fossil Energy Technologies account. It is expected that the Department will avoid the use of such transfers to exceed congressionally established spending limits, and will strictly adhere to those limits in the future.

Program Direction.—Within the funds provided, \$129,400,000 is for program direction at NETL.

Congressionally Directed Projects.—The bill provides \$23,000,000 for congressionally directed projects in the following table.

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED FOSSIL ENERGY PROJECTS

PROJECT	AMOUNT
Advanced Coal Gasification Research and Development	\$500,000
Carbon Dioxide Capture Project	\$250,000
Carbon Management Center	\$1,000,000
Clean Energy Technology (ND)	\$2,000,000
Fossil Fuel Research and Development (ND)	\$3,000,000
Gulf of Mexico Hydrates Research Consortium	\$1,000,000
Hydrogen Fuel Dispensing Station (WV)	\$750,000
Innovative Carbon Dioxide Science and Technology	\$400,000

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED FOSSIL ENERGY
PROJECTS—Continued

PROJECT	AMOUNT
Long Term Environmental and Economic Impacts of the Development of a Coal Liquefaction Sector in China (WV)	\$750,000
National Center for Hydrogen Technology (ND)	\$3,000,000
Oklahoma State University Energy Center—Natural Gas Technology Training	\$1,100,000

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED FOSSIL ENERGY
PROJECTS—Continued

PROJECT	AMOUNT
Sunbury Generation/Calera Carbon Capture Project (PA)	\$750,000
Ultra-Low CO ₂ Emission Coal Fuel Cell Technology	\$500,000
University of Kentucky Coal-Derived Low Energy Materials for Sustainable Construction Project (KY)	\$1,000,000
Utah Center for Ultra-Clean Coal Utilization and Heavy Oil Research (UT)	\$7,000,000

NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP

Reprogramming Authority.—In fiscal year 2011, the Department may transfer funding between operating expense-funded projects within the controls listed below. All capital construction line item projects remain separate controls from the operation projects. The Committees on Appropriations must be formally notified in advance of all reprogrammings, and the Department is to take no financial action in anticipation of a congressional response. The following are the reprogramming control points: Fast Flux Test Reactor Facility, Gaseous Diffusion Plants, Small Sites, West Valley Demonstration Project, and construction line-items.

Internal Reprogramming.—In fiscal year 2011, Environmental Management site managers may transfer up to \$2,000,000, one time, between accounts listed above to reduce health and/or safety risks, gain cost savings, or complete projects as long as a program or project is not increased or decreased by more than \$2,000,000 in total during the year. The reprogramming authority may not be used to initiate new programs or to change funding for programs specifically denied, limited, or increased by Congress in the Act or report. The Committees on Appropriations must be notified within 30 days after the use of the internal reprogramming authority.

Economic Development.—None of the Non-Defense Environmental Management funds, including those provided in the Non-Defense Environmental Cleanup and Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund, are available for economic development activities.

URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND

The bill provides \$550,000,000 for activities funded from the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund. The bill includes \$200,000,000 for Oak Ridge, Tennessee; \$85,000,000 for Paducah, Kentucky; and \$265,000,000 for Portsmouth, Ohio.

SCIENCE

Basic Energy Sciences.—\$16,000,000 is provided for the Fuels from Sunlight Energy In-

novation Hub, \$11,000,000 is provided for the proposed Batteries and Energy Storage Energy Innovation Hub, and no funding is provided to establish additional Energy Frontier Research Centers. The bill provides \$17,500,000 for the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research. The bill provides no funding for a research program in gas hydrates within the Office of Science, but rather provides funding within Fossil Energy for the program. No funding is provided for the proposed new effort in the area of multiscale models for advanced engine design.

Biological and Environmental Research.—Within available funds, the bill provides \$11,000,000 for artificial retina research. The Department is directed to provide to the Committees on Appropriations, not later than 120 days after enactment of this Act, a plan for collaboration with the National Institutes of Health (NIH) to produce an artificial retina meeting the goal of more than 1,000 electrodes, in which the Department of Energy provides its expertise in materials, engineering, and the physical sciences, and the NIH utilizes its expertise in medicine and human biological science. The bill provides no funding for nuclear medicine research in this program, but instead provides funding within the Nuclear Physics program.

Fusion Energy Sciences.—Within available funds, the bill provides \$4,000,000 for ongoing experiments using krypton fluoride lasers to advance inertial fusion energy. The Department is directed to submit a 10-year plan, not later than 12 months after enactment of this Act, on the Department's proposed research and development activities in magnetic fusion under four realistic budget scenarios. The report shall (1) identify specific areas of fusion energy research and enabling technology development in which the United States can and should establish or solidify a lead in the global fusion energy development effort and (2) identify priorities for facility construction and facility decommissioning under each of the four budget scenarios. The Department is encouraged to use a similar approach adopted by the Particle Physics

Project Prioritization Panel that developed a 10-year strategic plan for the Department's high energy physics program.

Nuclear Physics.—Within the funds provided, \$15,400,000 is for nuclear medicine research with human application. The Department is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations, not later than 120 days after enactment of this Act, a plan to transition this research to the National Institutes of Health (NIH). The plan should include how the Department of Energy can provide its expertise in nuclear science and fundamental research applicable to diagnostic and therapeutic tools to support NIH's applications in human medicine and biological sciences.

Workforce Development for Teachers and Scientists.—Within the funds provided, up to \$6,500,000 is for the graduate fellowship program, and the Department is directed to provide to the Committees on Appropriations, not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, a plan on the objectives of this program and funding needs over the next 10 years at a level that is sustainable and within realistic budgetary constraints.

The bill provides \$2,000,000 for the Department to contract with the National Academy of Sciences to conduct an independent study of best practices to support implementation of college and career ready standards for math and science. The study will include development and alignment of curriculum, alignment of professional development, alignment to teacher and school leader preparation program curriculum and training, and development of a comprehensive assessment system aligned to such standards. The Department is directed to report on the findings of the study to the Committees on Appropriations and appropriate authorizing committees not later than September 30, 2012.

Science Laboratories Infrastructure.—The bill provides \$115,500,000 for Science Laboratories Infrastructure.

Congressionally Directed Projects.—The bill provides \$61,650,000 for the following congressionally directed projects and activities.

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED OFFICE OF SCIENCE PROJECTS		CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED OFFICE OF SCIENCE PROJECTS—Continued		CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED OFFICE OF SCIENCE PROJECTS—Continued	
PROJECT	AMOUNT	PROJECT	AMOUNT	PROJECT	AMOUNT
Advanced Computer Simulation Initiative (NV)	\$1,000,000	Functional Nanoparticles for Improved Ultra Capacitor De- vices (MS)	\$1,000,000	RNAi Research	\$300,000
Alaska Climate Center	\$500,000	Fusion Energy Spheromak Turbulent Plasma Experiment	\$1,100,000	STEMicroscope and Electron-Beam Lithography Tool for Nanotechnology Research	\$2,500,000
Antibodies Research (ND)	\$4,000,000	Houston Works Urban Energy to Science Education Initia- tive	\$600,000	Solid State Preparation and Characterization Lab (NV)	\$500,000
Brown University Carbon Sequestration	\$1,200,000	Idaho National Laboratory Center for Advanced Energy Studies (ID)	\$1,000,000	Southern Illinois University Research (IL)	\$750,000
Center for Diagnostic Nanosystems (WV)	\$2,000,000	Institute for Integrated Sciences	\$2,000,000	St. Edwards University Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Equipment	\$1,000,000
Center for Nanoscale Energy (ND)	\$7,000,000	KU Center Research Equipment (KS)	\$4,000,000	Sustainable Bioenergy Development Center	\$500,000
Center for Sustainable Energy at Bronx Community College ..	\$300,000	Lincoln Memorial University/ORNL Partnership on Geo- thermal Energy (TN)	\$2,000,000	Technology Transfer & Commercialization of Technologies at DOE (NM)	\$500,000
Center for Sustainable Energy Solutions	\$500,000	Material Science Smart Coatings (NE)	\$500,000	The Center for Science Education at Emmanuel College	\$1,400,000
Characteristics and Cleanup of the U.S. Nuclear Legacy (MS)	\$3,500,000	Metal-Enhanced Fluorescence Research and Development ..	\$750,000	Thurgood Marshall College Fund Minority Science Initiative ..	\$2,500,000
Climate Model Evaluation Program (AL)	\$2,000,000	Missouri University Research Reactor Reflector Shield (MO) ..	\$1,000,000	TU Algae to Green Fuels Energy Project (OK)	\$750,000
Computing Capability (ND)	\$2,000,000	Morris College STEM Infrastructure Improvement Project ...	\$600,000	UMass New Laboratory Science Building Laboratory Outfit- ting and Equipment	\$2,000,000
Development of Nontechnology-enhanced Solar Cells with Low Cost and High Conversion Efficiency (NV)	\$500,000	MST Minority Graduate Program	\$2,250,000	University of California, San Diego Seismic Research (CA) ..	\$1,500,000
Eastern Michigan University Biofuels Laboratory	\$500,000	Nanotechnology Initiative	\$600,000	University of Rhode Island Regional Earth Systems Center ..	\$750,000
Energy and Environmental Engineering and Sustainability Energy Research (MS)	\$500,000	Nevada Water Resources Data, Modeling, and Visualization (DMV) Center (NV)	\$750,000	University of South Dakota Project on Renewable Energy and the Economy (SD)	\$350,000
Environmental Radioactivity Monitoring Labora- tory/Monitoring/Assessment of the Radioactive Pollut- ants in the South-West Mississippi (MS)	\$750,000	Oregon Sustainability Center	\$300,000		
Fordham Green Sciences Instrumentation, Research, Teaching and Learning Initiative	\$400,000	Research Program in Transparent, Flexible, and Ultrathin Silicon Solar Cells	\$1,000,000		

TITLE 17 INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY LOAN
GUARANTEE PROGRAM

The bill provides \$8,000,000,000 in loan authority for nuclear power facilities and \$4,000,000,000 in loan authority for fossil energy facilities which includes coal and petroleum coke-based power generation and industrial gasification projects that incorporate carbon capture and sequestration (CCS), pipelines, and transportation of CO₂. The bill also provides \$405,982,000 in credit subsidy for renewable projects.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY
ADMINISTRATION

The NNSA is directed to submit to the Committees on Appropriations both a classified and unclassified report 90 days after the completion of the B61 Phase 6.2/2A study with (1) a description of the safety and security features the NNSA would add to a refurbished B61 and (2) a cost and benefit analysis of installing the proposed features in the warhead. The cost and benefit analysis should include (1) the costs of science, technology, and engineering to install new safety and security features, (2) the costs of assessing the impact the new features may have on the performance of the nuclear explosive package at the national laboratories, (3) the extent to which the proposed safety and security features address specific safety and security concerns, and (4) why current safety and security features would not be sufficient.

Upon completion of the report above, the NNSA is further directed to commission an independent assessment from the JASON Group of scientific advisers that would set forth meaningful criteria for evaluating how much intrinsic nuclear warhead safety and security is enough when measured against the plausible range of deployment scenarios and threats likely to confront the future stockpile, and analyze the specific costs and benefits of installing such feature or features in a warhead. The NNSA is also directed to take actions to improve the management of the life extension program as recommended by the GAO in report GAO-09-385.

The Administrator of the NNSA is directed to review the current surveillance program and report to the Committees on improvements that should be made and an implementation plan for such improvements, along with budgetary requirements, within 120 days of the enactment of this Act.

WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

DIRECTED STOCKPILE WORK

Stockpile Systems.—Within the funds provided, \$26,000,000 is for the new W78 life extension study as described in the budget justification. No budget request was made for a Phase 2/2A study. Within these funds, the bill provides \$165,000,000 to support surveillance activities.

Weapons Dismantlement and Disposition.—Within the funds provided, \$27,500,000 shall be used for weapons dismantlement and disposition work at Pantex. The NNSA is directed to provide the Committees on Appropriations an annual report on the progress on the backlog, including, but not limited to, how many weapons and weapons components are planned for dismantlement, how many were dismantled, the remainder awaiting dismantlement and future year plans.

Stockpile Services.—Within the funds provided, at least \$74,000,000 shall be used to support surveillance activities and \$84,100,000 shall be used for scheduled weapons assembly, disassembly and dismantlement work at Pantex.

CAMPAIGNS

Science Campaign.—Within the funds provided, \$44,501,000 shall be used at the Sandia National Laboratory's Z facility.

Inertial Confinement Fusion Ignition and High Yield Campaign.—Within the funds provided, at least \$62,477,000 and \$48,000,000 shall be used at the Omega facility and the Z facility, respectively.

NNSA did not attempt the first ignition shot at the National Ignition Facility (NIF) at the end of fiscal year 2010, as originally planned, because of scientific and technical challenges and management weaknesses. The first ignition shot has now been delayed to

the end of fiscal year 2011—a delay of 1 year. For this reason, the NNSA, within 120 days of enactment of this Act, is directed to establish an independent federal advisory committee made up of subject-matter experts that will regularly evaluate experiments planned on NIF, identify potential weaknesses to the experimental plan, and recommend, if necessary, alternative and innovative approaches to address scientific and technical challenges to achieve ignition by the end of fiscal year 2011. The federal advisory committee meetings and their results should be open to the scientific community where proposals, component designs, and the ignition shot plan are discussed and debated. Timely access to raw scientific data by outside users will be an essential first step to attracting a user community when NIF becomes a national user facility.

READINESS IN TECHNICAL BASE AND
FACILITIES

NNSA is directed in the budget request to identify funds for the Kansas City Responsive Infrastructure Manufacturing and Sourcing project as a separate line item under the RTBF account with an explanation of how the funds will be used to support the project.

SITE STEWARDSHIP

The NNSA is directed to submit with the fiscal year 2012 budget request the list of projects under the energy modernization and investment program. The list of projects should include the total cost of each project, the expected cost savings and other benefits of each project and when those cost savings will be realized, and the extent to which they contribute to NNSA's energy conservation goals.

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED
PROJECTS

The bill provides \$2,000,000 for the following congressionally directed projects and activities.

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED WEAPONS ACTIVITIES PROJECTS	
PROJECT	AMOUNT
Center for Innovation through Visualization and Simula- tion, Purdue University-Calumet, IN	\$2,000,000

DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION

The NNSA is directed to provide a report by April 1, 2011, with (1) the measures and indicators it will use to assess the progress in meeting the 4 year goal of securing all vulnerable nuclear materials, (2) the progress it has made toward meeting the goals 2 years into the effort, and (3) additional measures it must take and funding requirements for the last 2 years. Such measures should include the fraction of the total number of sites in the world with nuclear weapons or weapons-usable materials where all of those stocks have been eliminated and the fraction that have demonstrated that their security systems are performing effectively and could protect against a broad range of outsider and insider threats.

NONPROLIFERATION AND VERIFICATION RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The bill includes \$10,000,000 for National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) laboratories to use their expertise for space situational awareness and at least \$500,000 for the Global Seismographic Network equipment replacement. The NNSA is directed to submit a report with the fiscal year 2012 budget to the Committees on Appropriations on each project with the baseline cost, scope and schedule, deliverables, the public or private entity performing the research and development, and the proposed user.

INTERNATIONAL NUCLEAR MATERIALS PROTECTION AND COOPERATION

The NNSA is directed to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 90 days of enactment on (1) whether Russia has taken steps to implement the Joint Transition Plan, (2) the remaining challenges in sustaining security upgrades and how NNSA plans to address those challenges, and (3) U.S. resources, whether direct or indirect, needed after fiscal year 2012 to support U.S.-funded MPC&A activities.

FISSILE MATERIALS DISPOSITION

The bill provides \$918,213,000 for Fissile Materials Disposition. The bill includes \$248,940,000 for U.S. Surplus Fissile Material Disposition, of which \$82,999,000 is for the Pit

Disassembly and Conversion facility (PDCF). This reduction is due to adjustments in the schedule and scope of the PDCF project that result in the deferment of costs into fiscal year 2012.

The NNSA is directed to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act on what actions it has taken to inform utilities about the MOX fuel program and related incentives and how it plans to increase the number of customers for MOX fuel.

The bill includes \$30,500,000 for Russian Surplus Fissile Material Disposition. There is strong support for the nonproliferation goal of this program to dispose of 34 metric tons of Russian surplus weapons-usable plutonium. However, this bill does not provide the funding request for this program because of delays in establishing the infrastructure and milestones required for Russia to receive U.S. contributions and the NNSA does not plan on providing any funding to Russia until fiscal year 2012. The NNSA is directed to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act on how the United States will spend the \$400,000,000 to help Russia dispose of excess plutonium and the milestones in the program that Russia must meet before the United States releases any of these funds.

GLOBAL THREAT REDUCTION INITIATIVE

The bill includes \$568,838,000 for the Global Threat Reduction Initiative. The bill provides the requested funding level for Highly-Enriched Uranium Reactor Conversion.

The bill includes \$355,691,000 for Nuclear and Radiological Material. If by the end of the third quarter of fiscal year 2011 NNSA has not entered into an agreement with South Africa to remove South African highly enriched uranium, the NNSA is directed to use \$27,000,000 of the \$108,000,000 appropriated for gap nuclear material removal activities for domestic material protection activities.

The bill includes \$95,147,000 for Nuclear and Radiological Material Protect of which not less than \$35,147,000 should be used for Do-

mestic Material Protection in the United States.

USE OF PRIOR YEAR FUNDS

Use of prior-year balances.—The Department is directed to use \$20,000,000 of prior year balances associated with the Elimination of Weapons Grade Plutonium Production Program.

NAVAL REACTORS

The NNSA is directed to submit a report within 90 days of enactment of this Act on its facilities recapitalization plan including a project-by-project description; the funding profile for the next five years; the prioritization scheme employed to support the funding profile and schedule; the operations and maintenance cost savings resulting from replacing facilities; the environmental remediation costs associated with recapitalization; and the project management and controls in place to ensure projects are completed on-cost and on-schedule.

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

Support to Minority Colleges and Universities.—The bill provides \$25,000,000 for the Office of the Administrator's support for Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCU), Hispanic Serving Institutions (HSI), and minority outreach at other colleges and universities. Of this amount, \$10,000,000 is for HBCUs, fully supporting the Dr. Samuel Massie Chairs of Excellence; up to \$10,000,000 is for HSIs; and \$5,000,000 is for the Educational Advancement Alliance HBCU Graduate program, including a National Conference for Potential Scholars and an endowment. Additionally, the bill includes \$6,000,000 in Weapons Activities, \$3,000,000 in Nonproliferation, and \$1,000,000 in Naval Reactors to engage HBCUs, and further directs the engagement of HSIs and minority outreach at other colleges and universities in research areas directly supporting program activities.

Congressionally Directed Projects.—The bill provides \$13,150,000 for the following congressionally directed projects and activities.

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED OFFICE OF THE
ADMINISTRATOR (NNSA) PROJECTS

PROJECT	AMOUNT
Achieving a College Education Program at Maricopa County Community Colleges	\$1,000,000
GEAR UP Collaborative HBCU Graduate Program	\$1,750,000
Historically Black Colleges and Universities Program	\$10,000,000
Minority Energy Science Research and Education Initiative	\$400,000

ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER DEFENSE
ACTIVITIESDEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$5,620,135,000 for the Defense Environmental Cleanup program, including \$10,000,000 for hazardous worker training.

Reprogramming Authority.—In fiscal year 2011, the Department may transfer funding between operating expense-funded projects within the controls listed below. All capital construction line item projects remain separate controls from the operating projects. The Committees on Appropriations must be formally notified in advance of all reprogrammings, and the Department is to take no financial action in anticipation of a congressional response. The following are the reprogramming control points: Closure Sites; Hanford site; Idaho National Laboratory; NNSA sites; Oak Ridge Reservation; Office of River Protection; Savannah River site; Waste Isolation Pilot Plant; Program Direction; Program Support; Technology Development and Deployment; Safeguards and Security; and all capital construction line items, regardless of site.

Internal Reprogramming.—Consistent with Section 301 of the bill, Environmental Management (EM) site managers may transfer up to \$5,000,000, one time, between accounts listed above to reduce health and/or safety risks, gain cost savings, or complete projects as

long as a program or project is not increased or decreased by more than \$5,000,000 in total during the year. The reprogramming authority may not be used to initiate new programs or to change funding for programs specifically denied, limited, or increased by Congress in the Act or report. The Committee on Appropriations must be notified within 30 days after the use of the internal reprogramming authority.

Prioritization of Cleanup Projects.—The Department is directed to submit, within 120 days of enactment of this Act, a report describing in detail how EM prioritizes its projects, including a list of the factors considered in prioritization and the relative weight assigned to each factor.

Nuclear Waste Treatment.—The Department is directed to report, within 15 days of a decision to modify current policy, any modifications regarding waste loading within EM's waste treatment strategy for high-level waste.

Hanford Site.—The Department is directed to carry out maintenance and public safety efforts at the B Reactor. Funding for the Hazardous Materials Management and Emergency Response facilities are provided for within available funds.

Oak Ridge Reservation.—The amount provided for cleanup activities at ORNL shall include the 2000 Area slabs and soils remediation and the Isotope Area decommissioning and demolition.

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant.—The bill provides funding for educational support and infrastructure improvements.

Technology Development and Deployment.—The Department is directed to continue its partnership with industry to transfer and demonstrate international technologies and approaches to the cleanup program. The Committees on Appropriations also encourage the Department to work with industry to improve the transition of international technology into practice.

OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES
ACQUISITION WORKFORCE
IMPROVEMENT

The Department did not submit a comprehensive plan to justify a new, stand-alone initiative. Therefore, the bill includes no funding for the acquisition workforce improvement initiative under Other Defense Activities. The Committees on Appropriations fully support a robust acquisition and contracting workforce and will work with the Department to ensure that program direction under the various accounts is sufficient to meet the requirements of the agency to ensure proper management and oversight of the acquisition process.

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED
PROJECTS

The bill provides \$2,000,000 for the following congressionally directed projects and activities.

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES
PROJECTS

PROJECT	AMOUNT
Burlington Atomic Energy Commission Plant and Ames Laboratory Former Workers Medical Surveillance Programs (IA)	\$1,000,000
Medical Monitoring at Paducah, KY, Portsmouth, OH, and Oak Ridge, TN (TN)	\$1,000,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY					
Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy R&D:					
Hydrogen technology	174,000		155,500	-18,500	+155,500
Hydrogen and fuel cell technologies		137,000			-137,000
Biomass and Biorefinery Systems R&D	220,000	220,000	220,000		
Solar energy	225,000	302,398	275,300	+50,300	-27,098
Wind energy	80,000	122,500	102,255	+22,255	-20,245
Geothermal technology	44,000	55,000	44,000		-11,000
Water Power	50,000	40,488	55,000	+5,000	+14,512
Vehicle technologies	311,365	325,302	311,365		-13,937
Building technologies	200,000	230,698	209,000	+9,000	-21,698
Industrial technologies	96,000	100,000	104,000	+8,000	+4,000
Federal energy management program	32,000	42,272	32,000		-10,272
RE-ENERGYSE (Regaining our energy science and engineering edge)		50,000			-50,000
Facilities and infrastructure:					
National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL)	19,000	18,000	18,000	-1,000	
Construction:					
10-EE-01 South table mountain ingress/egress and traffic capacity upgrades, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, Co	44,000			-44,000	
08-EE-01 Energy systems integration facility, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, Co		39,500	39,500	+39,500	
Subtotal, Construction	44,000	39,500	39,500	-4,500	
Subtotal, Facilities and infrastructure	63,000	57,500	57,500	-5,500	
Program direction	140,000	200,008	145,000	+5,000	-55,008
Program support	45,000	87,307	45,000		-42,307
Subtotal, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy R&D	1,680,365	1,970,473	1,755,920	+75,555	-214,553
Weatherization and intragovernmental:					
Weatherization:					
Weatherization assistance	210,000	262,500	172,500	-37,500	-90,000
Training and technical assistance		7,500	7,500	+7,500	
Innovations in weatherization		30,000	30,000	+30,000	
Subtotal, Weatherization	210,000	300,000	210,000		-90,000
Other:					
State energy program grants	50,000	75,000	50,000		-25,000
Tribal energy activities	10,000	10,000	15,000	+5,000	+5,000
Subtotal, Other	60,000	85,000	65,000	+5,000	-20,000
Subtotal, Weatherization and intragovernmental	270,000	385,000	275,000	+5,000	-110,000
Congressionally directed projects	292,135		211,580	-80,555	+211,580
Total, Other	2,242,500	2,355,473	2,242,500		-112,973
ELECTRICITY DELIVERY AND ENERGY RELIABILITY					
Research and development:					
Clean energy transmission and reliability	38,450	35,000	34,000	-4,450	-1,000
Smart grid research and development	32,450	32,890	32,890	+440	-6,009
Energy storage	14,000	40,000	24,424	+10,424	-15,576
Cyber security for energy delivery systems	40,000	30,000	30,000	-10,000	
Subtotal, Research and development	124,900	144,293	121,314	-3,586	-22,979
Permitting, siting and analysis	6,400	6,400	6,400		
Infrastructure security and energy restoration	6,187	6,188	6,187		-1
Program direction	21,420	25,049	27,049	+5,629	-2,000
Congressionally directed projects	13,075		11,050	-2,025	+11,050
TOTAL, ELECTRICITY DELIVERY AND ENERGY RELIABILITY	171,982	185,930	172,000	+18	-13,930
NUCLEAR ENERGY					
Research and development:					
Nuclear energy enabling technologies		99,300	50,100	+50,100	-49,200
Integrated university program	5,000		5,000		+5,000
RE-ENERGYSE		5,000			-5,000
Reactor concepts R&D		195,000	196,830	+196,830	+1,830
Generation IV nuclear energy systems	220,137				-220,137
Nuclear power 2010	105,000				-105,000
Fuel cycle research and development	136,000	201,000	191,000	+55,000	-10,000
International nuclear energy cooperation		3,000	3,000	+3,000	
Subtotal, Research and development	466,137	503,300	445,930	-20,207	-57,370
Infrastructure:					
Radiological facilities management:					
Space and defense infrastructure	42,000	47,000	57,000	+15,000	+10,000
Research reactor infrastructure	10,000	4,818	4,818	-5,182	
Oak Ridge nuclear infrastructure	10,000			-10,000	
Los Alamos nuclear infrastructure	10,000			-10,000	
PU-238 production restart project		15,000			-15,000
Subtotal, Radiological facilities management	72,000	66,818	61,818	-10,182	-5,000
INL facilities management:					
INL Operations and infrastructure	173,000	162,482	173,000		+10,518
Program direction	73,000	91,452	86,452	+13,452	-5,000
Subtotal, Nuclear Energy	784,137	824,052	767,200	-16,937	-56,852
Congressional directed projects	2,500		7,800	+5,300	+7,800
TOTAL, NUCLEAR ENERGY	786,637	824,052	775,000	-11,637	-49,052
FOSSIL ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT					
Fuels and Power Systems:					
Innovations for existing plants	52,000	55,000	65,000	+13,000	
Advanced integrated gasification combined cycle	63,000	55,000	55,000	-8,000	
Advanced turbines	32,000	31,000	31,000	-1,000	
Carbon sequestration	154,000	143,000	155,000	+1,000	+12,000
Fuels	25,000	12,000	15,000	-10,000	+3,000
Fuel cells	50,000	50,000	50,000		
Advanced research	28,000	47,850	41,300	+13,300	-6,550

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
Subtotal, Fuels and power systems	404,000	403,850	412,300	+ 8,300	+ 8,450
Natural Gas Technologies	17,833		20,000	+ 2,167	+ 20,000
Unconventional fossil energy technologies from Petroleum—Oil Technologies	20,000		20,000		+ 20,000
Program direction	158,000	152,033	161,000	+ 3,000	+ 8,967
Plant and Capital Equipment	20,000	20,000	20,000		
Fossil energy environmental restoration	10,000	10,000	10,000		
Special recruitment programs	700	700	700		
Cooperative research and development	5,000		5,000		+ 5,000
Congressionally directed projects	36,850		23,000	- 13,850	+ 23,000
TOTAL, FOSSIL ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	672,383	586,583	672,000	- 383	+ 85,417
NAVAL PETROLEUM AND OIL SHALE RESERVES	23,627	23,614	23,614	- 13	
STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVES					
Storage facilities development	224,732	188,906	188,906	- 35,826	
Management for SPR operations	19,091	20,955	20,955	+ 1,864	
Rescission		- 71,000			+ 71,000
TOTAL, STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE	243,823	138,861	209,861	- 33,962	+ 71,000
NORTHEAST HOME HEATING OIL RESERVE	11,300	11,300	11,300		
ENERGY INFORMATION ADMINISTRATION	110,595	128,833	111,000	+ 405	- 17,833
NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP					
Fast Flux Test Reactor Facility (WA)	7,662	3,659	3,659	- 3,993	
Gaseous Diffusion Plants	100,885	99,464	99,464	- 1,421	
Small Sites:					
Brookhaven National Lab	15,000	13,861	13,861	- 1,139	
SNF stabilization and disposition—2012 Idaho National Lab	5,000	4,900	4,900	- 100	
Consolidated Business Center:					
California Site support	262			- 262	
Soil and water remediation:					
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center	4,600	3,526	3,526	- 1,074	
Nuclear facility D&D:					
Energy Technology Engineering Center	13,000	10,679	10,679	- 2,321	
Soil and water remediation—Moab	39,000	31,000	50,000	+ 11,000	+ 19,000
Completed sites administration and support	1,200			- 1,200	
Subtotal, Consolidated Business Center	58,062	45,205	64,205	+ 6,143	+ 19,000
Subtotal, Small Sites	78,062	63,966	82,966	+ 4,904	+ 19,000
West Valley Demonstration Project	58,074	58,074	58,074		
TOTAL, NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP	244,673	225,163	244,163	- 510	+ 19,000
URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND					
Decontamination and decommissioning	573,850	730,498		- 573,850	- 730,498
Oak Ridge			200,000	+ 200,000	+ 200,000
Paducah			85,000	+ 85,000	+ 85,000
Portsmouth			265,000	+ 265,000	+ 265,000
Offsetting collections		- 200,000			+ 200,000
TOTAL, UED&D FUND/URANIUM INVENTORY CLEANUP	573,850	530,498	550,000	- 23,850	+ 19,502
SCIENCE					
Advanced scientific computing research	394,000	426,000	419,000	+ 25,000	- 7,000
Basic energy sciences:					
Research	1,482,260	1,683,400	1,497,500	+ 15,240	- 185,900
Construction:					
07-SC-06 Project engineering and design (PED) National Synchrotron light source II (NSLS-II)	139,000	151,600	147,600	+ 8,600	- 4,000
05-R-320 LINAC coherent light source (LCLS)	15,240			- 15,240	
Subtotal, Construction	154,240	151,600	147,600	- 6,640	- 4,000
Total, Basic energy sciences	1,636,500	1,835,000	1,645,100	+ 8,600	- 189,900
Biological and environmental research:					
Biological systems science	318,476	321,947	301,947	- 16,529	- 20,000
Climate and environmental sciences	285,706	304,953	292,603	+ 6,897	- 12,350
Total, Biological and environmental research	604,182	626,900	594,550	- 9,632	- 32,350
Fusion energy sciences program	426,000	380,000	380,000	- 46,000	
High energy physics:					
Research			799,800	+ 799,800	+ 799,800
Proton accelerator-based physics	434,471	439,262		- 434,471	- 439,262
Electron accelerator-based physics	26,420	24,707		- 26,420	- 24,707
Non-accelerator physics	99,321	88,539		- 99,321	- 88,539
Theoretical physics	67,240	69,524		- 67,240	- 69,524
Advanced technology R&D	183,031	189,968		- 183,031	- 189,968
Construction:					
11-SC-40 Project engineering and design (PED) long baseline neutrino experiment, FNAL		12,000	11,000	+ 11,000	- 1,000
11-SC-41 Project engineering and design (PED) muon to electron conversion experiment, FNAL		5,000	4,500	+ 4,500	- 500
Subtotal, Construction		17,000	15,500	+ 15,500	- 1,500
Total, High energy physics	810,483	829,000	815,300	+ 4,817	- 13,700
Nuclear physics:					
Operations and maintenance	515,000	526,000	529,830	+ 14,830	+ 3,830
Construction:					
06-SC-01 Project engineering and design (PED) 12 GeV continuous electron beam accelerator facility upgrade, Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator facility (was project 07-SC-001), Newport News, VA	20,000	36,000	32,170	+ 12,170	- 3,830
Total, Nuclear physics	535,000	562,000	562,000	+ 27,000	
Workforce development for teachers and scientists	20,678	35,600	22,400	+ 1,722	- 13,200
Science laboratories infrastructure:					
Laboratories facilities support:					
Infrastructure support:					
Payment in lieu of taxes	1,385	1,385	1,385		
Oak Ridge landford	5,214	5,260	5,260	+ 46	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
Subtotal, Infrastructure support	6,599	6,645	6,645	+46
Construction:					
11-SC-70 Utilities upgrade, FNAL		7,524	6,862	+6,862	-662
11-SC-71 Utility infrastructure modernization at TJNAF		7,828	7,139	+7,139	-689
10-SC-70 Research support building and infrastructure modernization, SLAC	6,900	33,100	30,188	+23,288	-2,912
10-SC-71 Energy sciences building, ANL	8,000	15,000	13,680	+5,680	-1,320
10-SC-72 Renovate science laboratory, Phase II, BNL	5,000	15,000	13,681	+8,681	-1,319
09-SC-72 Seismic life-safety, modernization and replacement of general purpose buildings Phase 2, PED/Construction, LBNL	34,027	20,103	18,335	-15,692	-1,768
09-SC-73, Interdisciplinary science building Phase 1, PED, BNL	39,387			-39,387	
09-SC-74, Technology and engineering development facilities PED, TJNAF	27,687	20,800	18,970	-8,717	-1,830
Subtotal, Construction	121,001	119,355	108,855	-12,146	-10,500
Total, Science laboratories infrastructure	127,600	126,000	115,500	-12,100	-10,500
Safeguards and security	83,000	86,500	86,500	+3,500
Science program direction:					
Headquarters	75,261	87,236	81,614	+6,353	-5,622
Office of Science and Technical Information	8,916	8,963	8,916	-47
Field offices	105,200	118,238	111,470	+6,270	-6,768
Total, Science program direction	189,377	214,437	202,000	+12,623	-12,437
Congressionally directed projects	76,890		61,650	-15,240	+61,650
TOTAL, SCIENCE	4,903,710	5,121,437	4,904,000	+290	-217,437
NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL					
Repository program	28,400			-28,400
Program direction	70,000			-70,000
TOTAL, NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL	98,400			-98,400
ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY—ENERGY					
Advanced research projects agency—Energy ARPA-E projects		273,400	180,000	+180,000	-93,400
Program direction		26,566	20,000	+20,000	-6,566
Total, ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY—ENERGY		299,966	200,000	+200,000	-99,966
TITLE 17—INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY GUARANTEE PROGRAM					
Administrative operations	43,000	58,000	58,000	+15,000
Offsetting collection	-43,000	-58,000	-58,000	-15,000
Cost of loan guarantees		500,000	120,000	+405,982	-380,000
Additional loan volume		360,000	405,982	+120,000	+45,982
Additional subsidy cost		300,000			-300,000
Loan volume (rescission)			-180,000	-180,000	-180,000
TOTAL, TITLE 17—INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY GUARANTEE PROGRAM		1,160,000	345,982	+345,982	-814,018
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY VEHICLES MANUFACTURING LOAN PGM					
Administrative expenses	20,000	9,998	9,998	-10,002
DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION					
Administrative operations:					
Salaries and expenses					
Office of the Secretary:					
Program direction	5,864	5,864	5,864
Management reform		2,000			-2,000
Total, Office of the Secretary	5,864	7,864	5,864		-2,000
Chief Financial Officer	62,981	62,731	62,731	-250
Management	78,456	74,783	74,783	-3,673
Human capital management	29,537	27,560	27,560	-1,977
Chief Information Officer	38,146	36,238	36,238	-1,908
Congressional and intergovernmental affairs:					
Program direction	4,826	4,826	4,826
Office of Indian Energy Policy and Programs	5,500	1,500	5,500		+4,000
Total, Congressional and intergovernmental affairs	10,326	6,326	10,326		+4,000
Economic impact and diversity	3,896	4,033	4,033	+137
General Counsel	32,478	36,654	34,654	+2,176	-2,000
Policy and international affairs	19,296	19,296	19,296	
Public affairs	4,500	4,500	4,500	
Subtotal, Salaries and expenses	285,480	279,985	279,985	-5,495
Program support:					
Minority economic impact	2,775	2,304	2,304	-471
Policy analysis and system studies	1,159	1,159	1,159	
Environmental policy studies	528	528	528	
Climate change technology program (prog. supp)	9,270	9,270	9,270	
Cybersecurity and secure communications	33,365	35,872	35,872	+2,507
Corporate management information program	9,403	8,933	8,933	-470
Energy information technology services	22,149	21,120	21,120	-1,029
Subtotal, Program support	78,649	79,186	79,186	+537
Total, Administrative operations	364,129	359,171	359,171	-4,958
Cost of work for others	47,537	48,537	48,537	+1,000
Subtotal, DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION	411,666	407,708	407,708	-3,958
Funding from other defense activities	-122,982	-118,836	-118,836	+4,146
Undistributed reduction
Total, Departmental administration (gross)	288,684	288,872	288,872	+188
Miscellaneous revenues	-119,740	-119,740	-119,740	
TOTAL, DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION (net)	168,944	169,132	169,132	+188
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL	51,927	42,850	42,850	-9,077

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES					
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION					
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES					
Directed stockpile work:					
Life extension program:					
W76 Life extension program	223,196	249,463	249,463	+ 26,267	
Stockpile systems:					
B61 Stockpile systems	91,956	317,136	317,136	+ 225,180	
W75 Stockpile systems	65,497	64,521	64,521	- 976	
W78 Stockpile systems	50,741	85,898	85,898	+ 35,157	
W80 Stockpile systems	19,064	34,193	34,193	+ 15,129	
B83 Stockpile systems	35,682	39,349	39,349	+ 3,667	
W87 Stockpile systems	51,817	62,603	62,603	+ 10,786	
W88 Stockpile systems	43,043	45,666	45,666	+ 2,623	
Total, Stockpile systems	357,800	649,366	649,366	+ 291,566	
Weapons dismantlement and disposition:					
Operations and maintenance	96,100	58,025	58,025	- 28,075	+ 10,000
Stockpile services:					
Production support	301,484	309,761	309,761	+ 8,277	
Research and development support	37,071	38,582	38,582	+ 1,511	
Research and development certification and safety	165,076	209,053	209,053	+ 43,977	
Management, technology, and production	183,223	193,811	193,811	+ 10,588	
Plutonium sustainment	141,909	190,318	170,068	+ 28,159	- 20,250
Subtotal, Stockpile services	828,763	941,525	921,275	+ 92,512	- 20,250
Total, Directed stockpile work	1,505,859	1,898,379	1,888,129	+ 382,270	- 10,250
Campaigns:					
Science campaign:					
Advanced certification	19,400	76,972	61,279	+ 41,879	- 15,693
Primary assessment technologies	83,181	85,723	85,723	+ 2,542	
Dynamic materials properties	86,617	96,984	98,189	+ 11,572	+ 1,205
Advanced radiography	28,535	23,594	23,594	- 4,941	
Secondary assessment technologies	77,913	81,949	81,949	+ 4,036	
Subtotal, Science campaigns	295,646	365,222	350,734	+ 55,088	- 14,488
Engineering campaign:					
Enhanced surety	42,000	42,429	42,429	+ 429	
Weapons system engineering assessment technology	18,000	15,000			+ 4,470
Nuclear survivability	21,000	19,786	19,786	- 1,214	
Enhanced surveillance	69,000	66,175	66,175	- 2,825	
Subtotal, Engineering campaign	150,000	141,920	146,390	- 3,610	+ 4,470
Inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield campaign:					
Ignition	106,734	109,506	109,506	+ 2,772	
NIF diagnostics, cryogenics and experimental support	72,252	102,649	102,649	+ 30,397	
Pulsed power inertial confinement fusion	5,000	5,000	5,000		
Joint program in high energy density laboratory plasmas	4,000	4,000	4,000		
Facility operations and target production	269,929	260,393	260,393	- 9,536	
Subtotal, Inertial confinement fusion	457,915	481,548	481,548	+ 23,633	
Advanced simulation and computing	567,625	615,748	612,748	+ 45,123	- 3,000
Readiness campaign:					
Stockpile readiness	5,746	18,941	18,941	+ 13,195	
High explosives and weapon operations	4,608	3,000	3,000	- 1,608	
Nonnuclear readiness	12,701	21,864	21,864	+ 9,163	
Tritium readiness	68,246	50,187	30,229	- 38,017	- 19,958
Advanced design and production technologies	8,699	18,100	18,100	+ 9,401	
Subtotal, Readiness campaign	100,000	112,092	92,134	- 7,866	- 19,958
Total, Campaigns	1,571,186	1,716,530	1,683,554	+ 112,368	- 32,976
Readiness in technical base and facilities (RTBF):					
Operations of facilities:					
Operations of facilities		1,257,991			- 1,257,991
Kansas City Plant	156,056		185,102	+ 29,046	+ 185,102
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	86,670		80,106	- 6,564	+ 80,106
Los Alamos National Laboratory	311,776		318,464	+ 6,688	+ 318,464
Nevada Test Site	79,583		82,077	+ 2,494	+ 82,077
Pantex	131,602		142,130	+ 10,528	+ 142,130
Sandia National Laboratory	104,133		117,369	+ 13,236	+ 117,369
Savannah River Site	128,580		92,722	- 35,858	+ 92,722
Y-12 Productions Plant	229,774		239,428	+ 9,654	+ 239,428
Institutional Site Support	120,129		20,970	- 99,159	+ 20,970
Subtotal, Operations of facilities	1,348,303	1,257,991	1,278,368	- 69,935	+ 20,377
Program readiness	73,021	69,309	69,309	- 3,712	
Material recycle and recovery	69,542	70,429	75,429	+ 5,887	+ 5,000
Containers	23,392	27,992	27,992	+ 4,600	
Storage	24,708	24,233	24,233	- 475	
Subtotal, RTBF	1,538,966	1,449,954	1,475,331	- 63,635	+ 25,377
Construction					
11-D-801 TA-55 Reinvestment project, LANL		20,000	20,000	+ 20,000	
10-D-501 Nuclear facilities risk reduction Y-12 National security complex, Oakridge, TN	12,500			- 12,500	
09-D-007, LANSCE Reinvestment PED Los Alamos National Lab, Los Alamos, NM	20,000		30,000	+ 10,000	+ 30,000
09-D-404, Test capabilities revitalization IL Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM	3,200		1,500	- 1,700	+ 1,500
08-D-801 High pressure fire loop (HPFL) Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX	31,910			- 31,910	
08-D-802 High explosive pressing facility Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX		30,000	30,000	+ 30,000	
07-D-140 Project engineering and design (PED), various locations		5,000	5,000	+ 5,000	
06-D-140 Project engineering and design (PED), various locations	12,000	4,000		- 8,000	
06-D-141 Project engineering and design (PED), Y-12 LPP, Oak Ridge, TN	94,000	115,016	115,016	+ 21,016	
06-D-402 NTS replace fire stations 1 & 2 Nevada Test Site, NV	1,473			- 1,473	
04-D-125 Chemistry and metallurgy facility replacement project, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM	97,000	225,000	225,000	+ 128,000	
04-D-128 TA-18 mission relocation project, Los Alamos Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM	1,500			- 1,500	
99-D-141 Pit disassembly and conversion facility, SRS	30,321			- 30,321	
Subtotal, Construction	303,904	399,016	430,516	+ 126,512	+ 31,500

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
Total, Readiness in technical base and facilities	1,842,870	1,848,970	1,905,847	+ 62,977	+ 56,877
Secure transportation asset:					
Operations and equipment	138,772	149,018	149,018	+ 10,246	
Program direction	96,143	99,027	99,027	+ 2,884	
Subtotal, Secure transportation asset	234,915	248,045	248,045	+ 13,130	
Nuclear counterterrorism incident response	221,936	233,134	233,134	+ 11,198	
Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization pgm	83,959	94,000	94,000	+ 10,041	
Construction:					
07-D-253 TA 1 heating systems modernization (HSM) Sandia National Laboratory	9,963			- 9,963	
Subtotal, Construction	9,963			- 9,963	
Total, Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization program	93,922	94,000	94,000	+ 78	
Site stewardship:					
Site stewardship	61,288	90,478	83,827	+ 22,539	- 6,651
Construction:					
11-D-601 Sanitary effluent reclamation facility LANL		15,000	15,000	+ 15,000	
Total, Site stewardship	61,288	105,478	98,827	+ 37,539	- 6,651
Safeguards and security:					
Defense nuclear security	720,044	667,954	667,954	- 52,090	
Construction:					
10-D-701 Security improvements project Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, TN	49,000			- 49,000	
08-D-701 Nuclear materials S&S upgrade project Los Alamos National Laboratory		52,000	43,000	+ 43,000	- 9,000
Subtotal, Construction	49,000	52,000	43,000	- 6,000	- 9,000
Subtotal, Defense nuclear security	769,044	719,954	710,954	- 58,090	- 9,000
Cybersecurity	122,511	124,345	124,345	+ 1,834	
Total, Safeguards and security	891,555	844,299	835,299	- 56,256	- 9,000
Science, technology and engineering capability		20,000	20,000	+ 20,000	
Congressionally directed projects	3,000		2,000	- 1,000	+ 2,000
Rescission	- 42,100			+ 42,100	
TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES	6,384,431	7,008,835	7,008,835	+ 624,404	
DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION					
Nonproliferation and verification, R&D	317,300	351,568	362,068	+ 44,768	+ 10,500
Nonproliferation and international security	187,202	155,930	155,930	- 31,272	
International nuclear materials protection and cooperation	572,050	590,118	590,118	+ 18,068	
Elimination of weapons-grade plutonium production program	24,507			- 24,507	
Fissile materials disposition:					
U.S. plutonium disposition	90,896	278,940	248,940	+ 158,044	- 30,000
U.S. uranium disposition	34,591	25,985	25,985	- 8,706	
Supporting activities	1,075			- 1,075	
Construction:					
MOX fuel fabrication facilities 99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River, SC	504,238	475,788	475,788	- 28,450	
99-D-141-01 Pit disassembly and conversion facility, Savannah River, SC		80,000	80,000	+ 80,000	
99-D-141-02 Waste solidification building, Savannah River, SC	70,000	57,000	57,000	- 13,000	
Subtotal, Construction	574,238	612,788	612,788	+ 38,550	
Subtotal, U.S. surplus fissile materials disp	700,900	917,713	887,713	+ 186,813	- 30,000
Russian surplus materials disposition	1,000	113,000	30,500	+ 29,500	- 82,500
Total, Fissile materials disposition	701,900	1,030,713	918,213	+ 216,313	- 112,500
Global threat reduction initiative	333,500	558,838	568,838	+ 235,338	+ 10,000
Congressionally directed projects	250			- 250	
Rescission					
Use of prior year balances			- 20,000	- 20,000	- 20,000
TOTAL, DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION	2,136,709	2,687,167	2,575,167	+ 438,458	- 112,000
NAVAL REACTORS					
Naval reactors development	877,533	997,886		- 877,533	- 997,886
Construction:					
10-D-093, Security upgrades, KAPL	1,500	400		- 1,500	- 400
10-D-904, NRF infrastructure upgrades, Idaho	700	500		- 700	- 500
09-D-190, PED, Infrastructure upgrades, KAPL	1,000			- 1,000	
09-D-902, NRF Office Building #2, ECC upgrade, Idaho	6,400	4,000		- 6,400	- 4,000
08-D-190 Project engineering and design, Expanded Core Facility M-290 recovering discharge station, Naval Reactor Facility, ID	9,500	25,000		- 9,500	- 25,000
07-D-190 Materials research technology complex (MRTC)	11,700	2,700		- 11,700	- 2,700
Subtotal, Construction	30,800	32,600		- 30,800	- 32,600
Total, Naval reactors development	908,333	1,030,486	905,133	- 3,200	- 125,353
Program direction	36,800	40,000	40,000	+ 3,200	
TOTAL, NAVAL REACTORS	945,133	1,070,486	945,133		- 125,353
OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR					
Office of the Administrator	418,074	448,267	425,117	+ 7,043	- 23,150
Congressionally directed projects	13,000		13,150	+ 150	+ 13,150
Use of prior year balances	- 10,320			+ 10,320	
TOTAL, OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR	420,754	448,267	438,267	+ 17,513	- 10,000
TOTAL, NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION	9,887,027	11,214,755	10,967,402	+ 1,080,375	- 247,353
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP					
Closure Sites:					
Closure sites administration	8,225	6,375	6,375	- 1,850	
Miamisburg	33,243			- 33,243	
Total, Closure sites	41,468	6,375	6,375	- 35,093	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
Hanford Site:					
Nuclear facility D&D, river corridor closure project	327,955			- 327,955	
Nuclear material stabilization & disposition PFP	118,087			- 118,087	
SNF stabilization and disposition	95,325			- 95,325	
Subtotal, 2012 accelerated completions	541,367			- 541,367	
Nuclear facility D&D—remainder of Hanford	70,250			- 70,250	
Richland community and regulatory support	21,940			- 21,940	
Soil & water remediation—groundwater vadose zone	223,766			- 223,766	
Solid waste stabilization & disposition—200 area	132,757			- 132,757	
Subtotal, 2035 accelerated completions	448,713			- 448,713	
Central plateau remediation:					
Nuclear material stabilization and disposition PFP		64,969	79,969	+ 79,969	+ 15,000
Solid waste stabilization and disposition 2035		135,026	135,026	+ 135,026	
Soil and water remediation—groundwater vadose zone 2035		129,629	204,629	+ 204,629	+ 75,000
Nuclear facility D&D—remainder of Hanford 2035		135,641	99,641	+ 99,641	- 40,000
Subtotal, Central plateau remediation		469,265	519,265	+ 519,265	+ 50,000
River corridor and other cleanup operations:					
Nuclear facility D&D river corridor closure project		386,028	380,228	+ 380,228	- 5,800
Richland community and regulatory support		19,620	25,420	+ 25,420	+ 5,800
SNF stabilization and disposition		94,016	94,016	+ 94,016	
Subtotal, River corridor and other cleanup operations		499,664	499,664	+ 499,664	
Total, Hanford Site	990,080	968,929	1,018,929	+ 28,849	+ 50,000
Idaho National Laboratory:					
SNF stabilization and disposition—2012	34,268	43,337	43,337	+ 9,069	
Solid waste stabilization and disposition	143,500	172,631	176,631	+ 33,131	+ 4,000
Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition	91,850	111,773	111,773	+ 19,923	
06-D-401, Sodium bearing waste treatment project, ID	93,700	6,500	12,500	- 81,200	+ 6,000
11-D-402 PE&D, Calume disposition project, ID					
Soil and water remediation—2012	91,500	68,959	68,959	- 22,541	
Nuclear facility D&D	5,450			- 5,450	
Idaho community and regulatory support	3,900	3,900	3,900		
Total, Idaho National Laboratory	464,168	407,100	417,100	- 47,068	+ 10,000
NNSA:					
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	910	635	635	- 275	
NNSA Service Center/SPRU	17,938	15,547	15,547	- 2,391	
Nevada	65,674	66,000	66,000	+ 326	
California site support	238	238	238		
Sandia National Laboratories	2,864			- 2,864	
Los Alamos National Laboratory	196,500	196,953	196,953	+ 453	
Total, NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites	284,124	279,373	279,373	- 4,751	
Oak Ridge Reservation:					
Building 3019	38,900	50,001	50,001	+ 11,101	
Nuclear facility D&D ORNL	44,400	58,101	88,503	+ 44,103	+ 30,402
Nuclear facility D&D, E. Tenn. Technology Park	53,500	63,775	63,775	+ 10,275	
Nuclear facility D&D, E. Tenn. Technology Park	100	87	87	- 13	
OR reservation community & regulatory support	6,253	6,409	6,409	+ 156	
Solid waste stabilization and disposition—2012	35,615	23,925	23,925	- 11,690	
Total, Oak Ridge Reservation	178,768	202,298	232,700	+ 53,932	+ 30,402
Office of River Protection:					
Waste treatment & immobilization plant:					
01-D-16 A-D	365,000	370,178		- 365,000	- 370,178
01-D-16E Pretreatment facility	325,000	370,000		- 325,000	- 370,000
01-D-16 A-E			740,178	+ 740,178	+ 740,178
Subtotal, Waste treatment & immobilization plant	690,000	740,178	740,178	+ 50,178	
Tank Farm activities:					
Rad liquid tank waste stabil. and disposition	408,000	418,000	418,000	+ 10,000	
Subtotal, Tank Farm activities	408,000	418,000	418,000	+ 10,000	
Total, Office of River Protection	1,098,000	1,158,178	1,158,178	+ 60,178	
Savannah River site:					
Nuclear material stabilization and disposition:					
Nuclear material stabilization and disposition	385,310			- 385,310	
Construction:					
08-D-414 Project engineering and design plutonium preparation facility, VL	6,315			- 6,315	
Subtotal, 2012 accelerated completions	391,625			- 391,625	
SR community and regulatory support	18,300			- 18,300	
Spent nuclear fuel stabilization and disposition	38,768			- 38,768	
Subtotal, 2035 accelerated completions	57,068			- 57,068	
Cleanup and waste disposition:					
Savannah River community and regulatory support		18,330	18,330	+ 18,330	
Site risk management operations:					
NM stabilization and disposition		370,042	275,542	+ 275,542	- 94,500
SNF stabilization and disposition		23,194	13,394	+ 13,394	- 9,800
Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition		549,282	653,582	+ 653,582	+ 104,300
Construction:					
05-D-405 Salt waste processing facility, Savannah River		256,951	256,951	+ 256,951	
Total, Radioactive liquid tank waste		806,233	910,533	+ 910,533	+ 104,300
Total, Site risk management operations		1,199,469	1,199,469	+ 1,199,469	
Tank Farm activities:					
Rad liquid tank waste stabil. and disposition	527,138			- 527,138	
05-D-405, Salt waste processing facility	234,118			- 234,118	
Subtotal, Tank farm activities	761,256			- 761,256	
Total, Savannah River site	1,209,949	1,217,799	1,217,799	+ 7,850	
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant:					
Operate WIPP	154,902	134,999	145,091	- 9,811	+ 10,092

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
Central Characterization Project	13,730	25,797	25,797	+ 12,067	
Transportation	33,851	30,678	30,678	- 3,173	
Community and regulatory support	27,854	28,771	28,771	+ 917	
Total, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant	230,337	220,245	230,337		+ 10,092
Program direction	345,000	323,825	355,000	+ 10,000	+ 31,175
Program support	34,000	25,143	28,570	- 5,430	+ 3,427
Safeguards and Security:					
Oak Ridge Reservation	32,400	17,300	17,300	- 15,100	
Paducah	8,190	8,496	8,496	+ 306	
Portsmouth	17,509	15,979	15,979	- 1,530	
Richland/Hanford Site	82,771	69,234	69,234	- 13,537	
Savannah River Site	132,064	132,064	132,064		
Waste Isolation Pilot Project	4,644	4,755	4,755	+ 111	
West Valley	1,859	1,926	1,926	+ 67	
Total, Safeguards and Security	279,437	249,754	249,754	- 29,683	
Technology development	20,000	32,320	32,320	+ 12,320	
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution	463,000	496,700	33,700	- 429,300	- 463,000
SUBTOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEAN UP	5,638,331	5,588,039	5,260,135	- 378,196	- 327,904
Congressionally directed projects	4,000			- 4,000	
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEAN UP	5,642,331	5,588,039	5,260,135	- 382,196	- 327,904
OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES					
Health, safety and security:					
Health, safety and security	337,757	356,471	354,471	+ 16,714	- 2,000
Program direction	104,125	107,740	107,740	+ 3,615	
Total, Health, safety and security	441,882	464,211	462,211	+ 20,329	- 2,000
Office of Legacy Management:					
Legacy management	177,618	176,122	176,122	- 1,496	
Program direction	12,184	12,504	12,504	+ 320	
Total, Office of Legacy Management	189,802	188,626	188,626	- 1,176	
Defense-related activities:					
Infrastructure:					
Idaho sitewide safeguards and security	83,358	88,200	88,200	+ 4,842	
Defense related administrative support	122,982	118,836	118,836	- 4,146	
Office of Hearings and Appeals	6,444	6,444	6,444		
Subtotal, Other Defense Activities	844,468	866,317	864,317	+ 19,849	- 2,000
Acquisitions workforce improvement		11,892			- 11,892
Congressionally directed projects	3,000		2,000	- 1,000	+ 2,000
TOTAL, OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	847,468	878,209	866,317	+ 18,849	- 11,892
DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL	98,400			- 98,400	
TOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	16,475,226	17,581,003	17,093,854	+ 618,628	- 587,149
POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS ¹					
SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION:					
Operation and maintenance:					
Purchase power and wheeling	85,228	88,615	88,615	+ 3,387	
Program direction	7,638	8,034	8,034	+ 396	
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	92,866	96,649	96,649	+ 3,783	
Less alternative financing (PPW)	- 14,422	- 14,458	- 14,458	- 36	
Offsetting collections	- 78,444	- 82,191	- 82,191	- 3,747	
Cost of implementing reclassification of receipts	7,638			- 7,638	
TOTAL, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	7,638			- 7,638	
SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION:					
Operation and maintenance:					
Operating expenses	13,775	13,676	13,676	- 99	
Purchase power and wheeling	48,000	49,000	49,000	+ 1,000	
Program direction	27,153	28,381	28,381	+ 1,228	
Construction	6,016	8,073	8,073	+ 2,057	
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	94,944	99,130	99,130	+ 4,186	
Less alternative financing	- 12,000	- 13,818	- 13,818	- 1,818	
Offsetting collections	- 69,868	- 72,613	- 72,613	- 2,745	
Cost of implementing reclassification of receipts	31,868			- 31,868	
TOTAL, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	44,944	12,699	12,699	- 32,245	
WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION:					
Operation and maintenance:					
Construction and rehabilitation	104,971	109,887	109,887	+ 4,916	
Operation and maintenance	57,159	57,793	57,793	+ 634	
Purchase power and wheeling	548,847	543,622	543,622	- 5,225	
Program direction	180,756	193,961	193,961	+ 13,205	
Utah mitigation and conservation	7,584	7,627	7,627	+ 43	
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	899,317	912,890	912,890	+ 13,573	
Less alternative financing	- 288,920	- 271,895	- 271,895	+ 17,025	
Offsetting collections (Public Law 108-477, Public Law 109-103)	- 349,807	- 350,919	- 350,919	- 1,112	
Offsetting collections (Public Law 98-381)	3,879	- 4,212	- 4,212	- 333	
Offsetting collections (for program direction)	- 110,492	- 149,036	- 149,036	- 38,544	
Offsetting collections (for O&M)	- 37,038	- 31,270	- 31,270	+ 5,768	
Cost of implementing reclassification of receipts	147,530			- 147,530	
TOTAL, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION	256,711	105,558	105,558	- 151,153	
FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND:					
Operation and maintenance	2,568	3,715	3,715	+ 1,147	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
Offsetting collections	-2,348	-3,495	-3,495	-1,147
Cost of implementing reclassification	2,348	-2,348
TOTAL, FALCON AND AMISTAD O&M FUND	2,568	220	220	-2,348
TOTAL, POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS	311,861	118,477	118,477	-193,384
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION					
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	298,000	315,600	315,600	+17,600
FERC revenues	-298,000	-315,600	-315,600	-17,600
GRAND TOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	27,111,438	29,613,170	27,895,731	+784,293	-1,717,439
SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTS					
Energy efficiency and renewable energy	2,242,500	2,355,473	2,242,500	-112,973
Electricity delivery and energy reliability	171,582	185,930	172,000	+18	-13,930
Nuclear energy	786,637	824,052	775,000	-11,637	-49,052
Fossil Energy Research and Development	672,383	586,583	672,000	-383	+85,417
Naval Petroleum & Oil Shale Reserves	23,627	23,614	23,614	-13
Strategic petroleum reserves	243,823	138,861	209,861	-33,962	+71,000
Northeast home heating oil reserve	11,300	11,300	11,300
Energy Information Administration	110,595	128,833	111,000	+405	-17,833
Non-Defense Environmental Cleanup	244,673	225,163	244,163	-510	+19,000
Uranium enrichment D&D fund	573,850	530,498	550,000	-23,850	+19,502
Science	4,903,710	5,121,437	4,904,000	+290	-217,437
Nuclear waste disposal	98,400	-98,400
Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy	299,966	200,000	+200,000	-99,966
Innovative technology loan guarantee program	1,160,000	345,982	+345,982	-814,018
Advanced technology vehicles manufacturing loan pgm	20,000	9,998	9,998	-10,002
Departmental administration	288,684	288,872	288,872	+188
Revenues	-119,740	-119,740	-119,740
Total, Departmental administration	168,944	169,132	169,132	+188
Office of the Inspector General	51,927	42,850	42,850	-9,077
Atomic energy defense activities:					
National Nuclear Security Administration:					
Weapons activities	6,384,431	7,008,835	7,008,835	+624,404
Defense nuclear nonproliferation	2,136,709	2,687,167	2,575,167	+438,458	-112,000
Naval reactors	945,133	1,070,486	945,133	-125,353
Office of the Administrator	420,754	448,267	438,267	+17,513	-10,000
Subtotal, National Nuclear Security Admin	9,887,027	11,214,755	10,967,402	+1,080,375	-247,353
Defense environmental cleanup	5,642,331	5,588,039	5,260,135	-382,196	-327,904
Other defense activities	847,468	878,209	866,317	+18,849	-11,892
Defense nuclear waste disposal	98,400	-98,400
Total, Atomic energy defense activities	16,475,226	17,681,003	17,093,854	+618,628	-587,149
Power marketing administrations	1
Southeastern Power Administration	7,638	-7,638
Southwestern Power Administration	44,944	12,699	12,699	-32,245
Western Area Power Administration	256,711	105,558	105,558	-151,153
Falcon and Amistad operating and maintenance fund	2,568	220	220	-2,348
Total, Power marketing administrations	311,861	118,477	118,477	-193,384
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission:					
Salaries and expenses	298,000	315,600	315,600	+17,600
Revenues	-298,000	-315,600	-315,600	-17,600
Total Summary of Accounts, Department of Energy	27,111,438	29,613,170	27,895,731	+784,293	-1,717,439

¹ Totals include alternative financing costs, reimbursable agreement funding, and power purchase and wheeling expenditures. Offsetting collection totals reflect funds collected for annual expenses, including power purchase and wheeling.

TITLE IV

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

Funding levels for appropriations by independent agency within this Act, and comparisons to last year's level and the budget request, can be found in the table at the end of this division. Additional items in the Act are discussed below.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$1,053,483,000 for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) salaries and expenses. The additional \$10,000,000 of funding supplements the \$5,000,000 included in the budget request for NRC participation in an Integrated University Program as directed by section 313 of Public Law 111-8. Further, \$10,000,000 of this amount is to be used to support university education programs relevant to the NRC mission. In addition, not less than \$5,000,000 of this amount will be used for grants to support research projects that do not align with programmatic missions but are critical to maintaining the discipline of nuclear science and engineering. The NRC is encouraged to work with the Department of Energy on Small

Modular Reactors so that technical issues can be resolved as early as possible. The Commission is directed to continue to provide semi-annual reports on the status of its licensing and other regulatory activities.

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

The Committees on Appropriations expect NWTRB to be involved in the Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future on issues involving nuclear waste disposal. The NWTRB shall support the Department of Energy and Nuclear Regulatory Commission's effort to archive and preserve Yucca Mountain-related documents and physical materials of scientific value.

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

NNSA Tritium Program.—The Tennessee Valley Authority is directed to bill the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) on a quarterly basis for the work supporting the NNSA's tritium program.

Reports.—The Inspector General is directed to forward copies of all audit and inspection reports to the Committees on Appropriations immediately after they are issued, and immediately make the Committees aware of any review that recommends cancellation of,

or modification to, any major acquisition project or grant, or which recommends significant budgetary savings. The Inspector General is also directed to withhold from public distribution for a period of 15 days any final audit or investigation report that was requested by the Committees on Appropriations.

DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

Following is a list of congressional earmarks and congressionally directed spending items (as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, respectively) included in the bill or this explanatory statement, along with the name of each Senator, House Member, Delegate, or Resident Commissioner who submitted a request to the House or Senate Committee of jurisdiction for each item so identified. Neither the bill nor the explanatory statement contains any limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in the applicable House or Senate rules.

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	AK CHIN INDIAN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT	10,644,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ANIMAS-LA PLATA PROJECT	12,103,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ARBUCKLE PROJECT	224,000	The President	Markley (CO), Salazar	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ARKANSAS VALLEY CONDUIT	3,000,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	BAUMHORHEA PROJECT	50,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	BOISE AREA PROJECTS	5,387,000	The President	Capps	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	CACHUMA PROJECT	1,360,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	226,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	CANADIAN RIVER PROJECT	160,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	CARLSBAD PROJECT	4,643,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECTS:				
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION	9,190,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT	1,360,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	DELTA DIVISION	19,432,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	EAST SIDE DIVISION	4,274,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	FRIANT DIVISION	4,929,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	MISCELLANEOUS PROJECT PROGRAMS	11,518,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	REPLACEMENTS, ADDITIONS, & EXTRAORDINARY MAINT. PROG.	21,032,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVISION	43,073,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SAN FELIPE DIVISION	1,145,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION	389,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SHASTA DIVISION	10,188,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	TRINITY RIVER DIVISION	16,181,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WATER AND POWER OPERATIONS	9,606,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WEST SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION, SAN LUIS UNIT	14,956,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	YIELD FEASIBILITY INVESTIGATION	435,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	COLLBRAN PROJECT	2,442,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	COLORADO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	178,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	COLORADO RIVER BASIN, CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT	9,145,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM	2,190,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	COLORADO-BIG THOMPSON PROJECT	17,410,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	COLUMBIA AND SNAKE RIVER FCRPS ESA IMP.	17,481,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT	10,735,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	CROOKED RIVER PROJECT	917,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	DESCHUTES PROJECT	1,183,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	EASTERN NEW MEXICO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAMS	22,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	EASTERN OREGON PROJECTS	827,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	FORT PECK RESERVATION/DRY PRAIRIE RURAL WATER SYSTEM	5,000,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	FRUITGROWERS DAM PROJECT	310,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	FRYINGPAN-ARKANSAS PROJECT	8,570,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	GRAND VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP, TITLE II	1,495,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	HALFWAY WASH PROJECT STUDY	73,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	HUNGRY HORSE PROJECT	682,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	HUNTLEY PROJECT	112,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	HYRUM PROJECT	314,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	IDAHO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	379,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	JICARILLA APACHE RESERVATION RURAL WATER SYSTEM	486,000	The President	Lujan	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	KANSAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	5,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	KENDRICK PROJECT	4,186,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	KLAMATH DAM REMOVAL STUDY	4,856,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	KLAMATH PROJECT	21,852,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	LAHONTAN BASIN PROJECT	6,529,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	LAME MEAD/LAS VEGAS WASH PROGRAM	1,500,000	The President	Berkley, Titus	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	LAME TAHOE REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	91,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	LEADVILLE/ ARKANSAS RIVER RECOVERY PROJECT	6,467,000	The President		

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	LEWIS AND CLARK RURAL WATER SYSTEM	7,000,000	The President	Herseth Sandlin; Walz	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	LEWISTON ORCHARDS PROJECT	802,000	The President	Hinojosa; Ortiz; Reyes	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	LOWER RIO GRANDE WATER RESOURCES CONSERVATION PROGRAM	1,008,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	LOWER YELLOWSTONE PROJECT	48,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	MANCOS PROJECT	268,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	MC GEE CREEK PROJECT	660,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	MID-DAKOTA RURAL WATER PROJECT	15,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	MIDDLE RIO GRANDE PROJECT	24,684,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	MILK RIVER PROJECT	2,783,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	MINIDOKA AREA PROJECTS	5,060,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	MIRAGE FLATS PROJECT	140,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	MINI WICONI PROJECT	28,126,000	The President	Herseth Sandlin	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	MONTANA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	20,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	MOON LAKE PROJECT	50,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	MOUNTAIN PARK PROJECT	465,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NAVAJO NATION INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	111,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NAVAJO-GALLUP WATER SUPPLY	9,712,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NEWTON PROJECT	92,000	The President	Lujan	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NORMAN PROJECT	463,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NORTH PLATTE PROJECT	1,782,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NORTHERN ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	177,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NORTHERN UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	99,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NUECES RIVER PROJECT	546,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	OGDEN RIVER PROJECT	433,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	OKLAHOMA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	46,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	OREGON INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	157,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ORLAND PROJECT	745,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	PARADOX VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP, TITLE II	2,858,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	PECOS RIVER BASIN WATER SALVAGE PROJECT	471,000	The President	Teague	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN PROGRAM - GARRISON DIVERSION UNIT	50,000,000	The President	Pomeroy	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	PINE RIVER PROJECT	547,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	PROVO RIVER PROJECT	1,550,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	RAPID VALLEY/DEERFIELD PROJECT	82,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	RIO GRANDE PROJECT	6,363,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ROCKY BOYS/NORTH CENTRAL MONTANA RURAL WATER SYSTEM	4,000,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ROGUE RIVER BASIN PROJECT, TALENT DIVISION	1,531,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SALT RIVER PROJECT	777,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SALTON SEA RESEARCH PROJECT	388,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SAN ANGELO PROJECT	406,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SAN CARLOS APACHE TRIBE WATER SETTLEMENT ACT	316,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SAN JUAN RIVER BASIN INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	88,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SAN LUIS VALLEY PROJECT	4,916,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SCOFIELD PROJECT	411,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SHOSHONE PROJECT	1,164,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SOBOBA WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT PROJECT	3,885,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SOLANO PROJECT	3,725,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SOUTH/CENTRAL ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	590,000	The President	Pastor (AZ)	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	CENTRAL ARIZONA SALINITY STUDY	45,000	The President	Waters	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	255,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SOUTHERN NEVADA/UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	25,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SOUTHERN NEW MEXICO/WEST TEXAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	88,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SOUTHERN UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	124,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	STRAWBERRY VALLEY PROJECT	302,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SUN RIVER PROJECT	315,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	TEXAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	46,000	The President		

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	TUALATIN PROJECT	537,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	TUCUMCARI PROJECT	40,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	UMATILLA PROJECT	4,018,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	UNCOMPAGNE PROJECT	385,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	UPPER RIO GRANDE BASIN INVESTIGATIONS	34,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	VENTURA RIVER PROJECT	206,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	W.C. AUSTIN PROJECT	484,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WASHINGTON AREA PROJECTS	445,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WASHINGTON INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	73,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WASHITA BASIN PROJECT	1,391,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WEBER BASIN PROJECT	1,622,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WEBER RIVER PROJECT	199,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WICHITA PROJECT-CHENEY DIVISION	402,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WICHITA PROJECT-EQUUS BEDS DIVISION	49,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	YAKIMA PROJECT	8,241,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	YAKIMA RIVER BASIN WATER ENHANCEMENT PROJECT	12,030,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	YUMA AREA PROJECTS	20,803,000	The President		
		WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES - REGIONAL PROGRAMS				
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	LOWER COLORADO RIVER INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	137,000	The President	Filner	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WATERSMART PROGRAM:		The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	TITLE XVI PROGRAM:				
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	TITLE XVI FUNDING OPPORTUNITY	7,689,000	The President	Capps	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	CALLEGUAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT RECYCLING PROJECT, CA	1,360,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	LONG BEACH AREA WATER RECLAMATION PROJECT, CA	971,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	LONG BEACH DESALINATION RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECT, CA	971,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	PHOENIX METROPOLITAN WATER REUSE PROJECT, AZ	194,000	The President		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SAN DIEGO AREA WATER RECLAMATION PROGRAM, CA	4,826,000	The President	Honda	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SAN JOSE AREA WATER RECLAMATION/REUSE PROGRAM, CA	235,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	AKUTAN HARBOR, AKUTAN, AK	6,846,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ALTON TO GALE ORGANIZED LEVEE DISTRICT, IL & MO (DEF CORR)	147,000	The President	Matsui	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (COMMON FEATURES), CA	11,200,000	The President	Matsui	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (FOLSOM DAM MODIFICATIONS), CA	61,000,000	The President	Matsui	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (FOLSOM DAM RAISE & BRIDGE), CA	489,000	The President	Kratovil	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ASSATEAGUE ISLAND, MD	978,000	The President	Nadler (NY)	
		ATLANTIC COAST OF NYC, ROCKAWAY INLET TO NORTON POINT, NY	293,000	The President		
		ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AT DEEP CREEK, CHESAPEAKE, VA				
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BLUE RIVER CHANNEL, KANSAS CITY, MO	1,520,000	The President	Cleaver	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BLUESTONE LAKE, WV (DAM SAFETY ASSURANCE)	4,401,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BRAYS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	14,671,000	The President	Green, Al	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BREVARD COUNTY, FL	7,570,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CANTON LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY)	342,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CAPE MAY INLET TO LOWER TOWNSHIP, NJ	23,800,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CENTER HILL DAM, TN (SEEPAGE CONTROL)	192,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CHAIN OF ROCKS CANAL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL (DEF CORR)	55,000,000	The President		
			5,267,000	The President	Connolly (VA); Edwards (MD); Hoyer; Kratovil; Moran (VA); Sarbanes; Scott (VA); Van Hollen	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY, MD & VA	5,000,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CHESTERFIELD, MO	3,102,000	The President	Hollen	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DISPERSAL BARRIER, IL	11,100,000	The President	Kaptur	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CHIEF JOSEPH DAM GAS ABATEMENT, WA	196,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CLEARWATER LAKE, MO (SEEPAGE CONTROL)	39,122,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	COLUMBIA RIVER FISH MITIGATION, WA, OR & ID	116,000,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	COLUMBIA RIVER TREATY FISHING ACCESS SITES, OR & WA	489,000	The President		

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DADE COUNTY BEACH EROSION CONTROL AND HURRICANE PROTECTION PROJECT, FL	11,000,000 The President	Wasserman Schultz		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, ROOSEVELT INLET TO LEWES BEACH, DE	335,000 The President			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DIES PLAINES RIVER, IL	9,561,000 The President	Space		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DOVER DAM, MUSKINGUM RIVER, OH	35,209,000 The President			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DUAL COUNTY, FL	7,335,000 The President			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DUWAMISH AND GREEN RIVER BASIN, WA	5,379,000 The President	Dicks; McDermott; Smith (WA)		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	EAST ST. LOUIS, IL	1,456,000 The President	Costello		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	EMSWORTH LOCKS & DAM, OHIO RIVER, PA (STATIC INSTABILITY CORRECTION)	11,247,000 The President	Altmore; Doyle		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	FIRE ISLAND INLET TO MONTAUK POINT, NY	4,000,000 The President	Bishop (NY)		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	FT. PIERCE BEACH, FL	342,000 The President			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	GARRISON DAM AND POWER PLANT, ND (REPLACEMENT)	10,844,000 The President			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET AND PECK BEACH, NJ	489,000 The President			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	HAMILTON AIRFIELD WETLANDS RESTORATION, CA	11,750,000 The President	Pelosi; Woolsey		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	HERBERT HOOVER DIKE, FL (SEEPAGE CONTROL)	102,298,000 The President	Hastings (FL); Klein (FL); Wasserman Schultz		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA	489,000 The President	Dicks		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	INDIANA HARBOR, CONFINED DISPOSAL FACILITY, IN	7,824,000 The President	Visclosky		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	J. BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA	6,693,000 The President			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL (DMDF)	5,868,000 The President			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	JOHN H. KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA & NC (REPLACEMENT)	5,868,000 The President			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	KANSAS CITIES, MO & KS	685,000 The President	Cleaver; Moore (KS)		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	KENTUCKY LOCK AND DAM, TENNESSEE RIVER, KY	2,805,000 The President			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)	5,379,000 The President	Melancon		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LEVISA AND TUG FORKS AND UPPER CUMBERLAND RIVER, WV, VA & KY	22,250,000 The President	Boucher		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, IN	9,780,000 The President	Visclosky		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LOCK AND DAM 27, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL (MAJOR REHAB)	342,000 The President	Doyle		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LOCKS AND DAMS 2, 3 AND 4, MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	1,956,000 The President			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LONG BEACH ISLAND, NY	293,000 The President	Melancon		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA	10,000,000 The President			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LOWER CAPE MAY MEADOWS, CAPE MAY POINT, NJ	8,724,000 The President			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR & WA	4,597,000 The President	Baird; Blumentrater		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LOWER SNAKE RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE COMPENSATION, WA, OR & ID	1,467,000 The President			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MANATEE COUNTY, FL	100,000 The President			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MARKLAND LOCKS AND DAM, KY (MAJOR REHAB)	5,281,000 The President	Hastings (FL)		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MARTIN COUNTY, FL	7,824,000 The President	Davis (IL); Gutierrez; Jackson (IL); Lipinski; Quigley;		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MCCOOK AND THORNTON RESERVOIRS, IL	39,122,000 The President	Schakowsky		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MISSISSIPPI RIVER BETWEEN THE OHIO AND MISSOURI RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO & IL	4,250,000 The President			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MISSOURI RVR FISH AND WILDLIFE RECOVERY, IA, KS, MO, MT, NE, ND & SD	78,400,000 The President			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MT. ST. HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA	1,260,000 The President	Baird		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA (FISH PASSAGE)	978,000 The President	Dicks		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MUDDY RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AND FLOOD DAMAGE CONTROL, MA	2,445,000 The President	Frank (MA)		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NAPA RIVER, SALT MARSH RESTORATION, CA	11,736,000 The President	Thompson (CA)		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NASSAU COUNTY, FL	342,000 The President			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY & NJ	59,000,000 The President	Nadler (NY); Rothman (NJ);		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NORFOLK HARBOR, CRANEY ISLAND, VA	978,000 The President	Sires		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	OAKLAND HARBOR (50 FOOT PROJECT), CA	6,417,000 The President	Nye; Scott (VA)		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	OLMSTED LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, IL & KY	133,013,000 The President	Lee (CA); Pelosi		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	POPULAR ISLAND DREDGED MATERIAL PLACEMENT, MD	1,496,000 The President	Cummings; Kratochvil;		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	PORTUGUES AND BUCANA RIVERS, PR	38,521,000 The President	Ruppersberger; Sarbanes		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	PRESQUE ISLE PENINSULA, PA (PERMANENT)	978,000 The President	Pierluisi		

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RARITAN RIVER BASIN, GREEN BROOK SUB-BASIN, NJ	978,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RICHARD B. RUSSELL DAM & LAKE, GA & SC	978,000	The President	Teague	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RIO GRANDE FLOODWAY, SAN ACACIA TO BOSQUE DELAPACHE, NM	1,200,000	The President	Pierluisi	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RIO PUERTO NUEVO, PR	11,586,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ROANOKE RIVER UPPER BASIN, HEADWATERS AREA, VA	1,051,000	The President	Thompson (CA)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SACRAMENTO DEEPWATER SHIP CHANNEL, CA	12,226,000	The President	Matsui	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION PROJECT, CA	9,780,000	The President	Sanchez, Loretta	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CA	27,000,000	The President	Lewis (GA)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SAVANNAH HARBOR, GA	400,000	The President	Hastings (FL); Klein (FL); Wasserman Schultz	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SOUTH FLORIDA EVERGLADES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL	164,096,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL	(336,426,000)			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	EVERGLADES AND SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL	(5,170,000)	The President	Hastings (FL); Meek (FL)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	KISSIMMEE RIVER PROJECT, FL	(22,500,000)			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SOUTH SACRAMENTO COUNTY STREAMS, CA	4,800,000	The President	Matsui	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ST. JOHN'S COUNTY, FL	350,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ST. LOUIS FLOOD PROTECTION, MO	191,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SUCCESS DAM AND RESERVOIR, CA (DAM SAFETY)	6,728,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	TAMPA HARBOR, FL	1,200,000	The President	Castor (FL)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	TURKEY CREEK BASIN, KANSAS CITY, KS & MO	7,824,000	The President	Cleaver; Moore (KS)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER RESTORATION, IL, IA, MN, MO & WI	18,165,000	The President	Braley (IA); Carnahan; Ellison; Halvorson; Hare; Loebsack; McCollum; Oberstar	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	WEST BANK AND VICINITY, NEW ORLEANS, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)	4,890,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	WEST SACRAMENTO, CA	4,890,000	The President	Thompson (CA)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC	1,721,000	The President	McIntyre	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	WOLF CREEK DAM, LAKE CUMBERLAND, KY (SEEPAGE CONTROL)	85,000,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	WOOD RIVER LEVEE II	1,074,000	The President	Costello	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ALA WAI CANAL, OAHU, HI	387,000	The President	Abercrombie	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MD & DC (COMPREHENSIVE PLAN)	190,000	The President	Edwards (MD); Hoyer	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	AUGUSTA, GA	249,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BAYOU SORREL LOCK, LA	1,812,000	The President	Ortiz	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, BROWNSVILLE CHANNEL, TX	658,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CALCASIEU LOCK, LA	864,000	The President	Capps; Harman	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CALIFORNIA COASTAL SEDIMENT MASTER PLAN, CA	815,000	The President	Honda	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	COYOTE AND BERRYESSA CREEKS, CA	453,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CURRITUCK SOUND, NC	272,000	The President	Johnson, Eddie Bernice	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DALLAS FLOODWAY, DALLAS, TX	2,084,000	The President	Holt	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, NJ	315,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DES PLAINES RIVER, IL (PHASE II)	453,000	The President	Cummings; Edwards (MD); Kratochvil; Ruppertsberger; Sarbanes	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	EASTERN SHORE, MID-CHESAPEAKE BAY ISLAND, MD	154,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	EDISTO ISLAND, SC	103,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	FARGO-MOORHEAD METRO STUDY, ND & MN (RRN BASIN AUTHORITY)	9,479,000	The President	Peterson; Pomeroy	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	GIWW, HIGH ISLAND TO BRAZOS RIVER REALIGNMENTS, TX	181,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	GREAT LAKES NAVIGATION SYSTEM STUDY, MI, IL, IN, MN, NY, OH, PA & WI	362,000	The President	Gonzalez, Rodriguez	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	GUADALUPE AND SAN ANTONIO RIVER BASINS, TX	543,000	The President	Rothman (NJ)	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	HUDSON-RARITAN ESTUARY, HACKENSACK MEADOWLANDS, NJ	181,000	The President	Pascrelli; Rothman (NJ)	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	HUDSON-RARITAN ESTUARY, LOWER PASSAIC RIVER, NJ	181,000	The President	Crowley; Serrano	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	HUDSON-RARITAN ESTUARY, NY & NJ	948,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION, IL	514,000	The President	Halvorson	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	INDIANA HARBOR, IN	277,000	The President		

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	INTERBASIN CONTROL OF GREAT LAKES-MISSISSIPPI RIVER AQUATIC NUISANCE SPECIES, IL, IN, OH, WI	711,000	The President	Kaptur, Lipinski	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	JAMAICA BAY, MARINE PARK AND PLUMB BEACH, NY	154,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	JOHN H. KERR DAM & RESERVOIR, VA & NC (SEC 216)	272,000	The President	Cleaver	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	KANSAS CITIES, MO & KS	453,000	The President	Bishop (NY)	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LAKE MONTAUK HARBOR, NY	155,000	The President	Hastings (FL)	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LAKE WORTH INLET, FL	308,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA	11,122,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LOWER COLORADO RIVER BASIN, TX	385,000	The President	Nye	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR & WA	272,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LYNNHAVEN RIVER BASIN, VIRGINIA BEACH, VA	47,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MALIBU CREEK WATERSHED, CA	191,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MATANUSKA RIVER WATERSHED, AK	95,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MERRIMACK RIVER WATERSHED STUDY, NH & MA	181,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MILL CREEK WATERSHED, DAVIDSON COUNTY, TN	46,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MINNESOTA RIVER WATERSHED STUDY, MN & SD	317,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MISSOURI RIVER DEGRADATION, MO & KS	543,000	The President	Cleaver; Moore (KS)	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MT. ST. HELENS, WA	204,000	The President	Baird	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	NEUSE RIVER BASIN, NC	181,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	NUCES RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, TX	711,000	The President	Cuellar; Gonzalez; Ortiz; Rodriguez	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PILGRIM LAKE, TRURO & PROVINCETOWN, MA	91,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PUGET SOUND NEARSHORE MARINE HABITAT RESTORATION, WA	362,000	The President	Baird; Dicks; Larsen (WA); Smith (WA)	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RED RIVER OF THE NORTH BASIN, ND, MN, SD & MANITOBA, CANADA	711,000	The President	Pomeroy	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RIO GRANDE BASIN, NM, CO AND TX (SECTION 729)	453,000	The President	Lujan; Reyes; Salazar; Teague	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SABINE PASS TO GALVESTON BAY, TX	181,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SAC-SAN JOAQUIN DELTA, DELTA ISLANDS AND LEVEES, CA	711,000	The President	Garamendi; McInerney	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SCHUYLKILL RIVER BASIN, WISSAHICKON WATERSHED, PA	194,000	The President	Fattah	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SOLANA-ENCINITAS SHORELINE, CA	291,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SURF CITY AND NORTH TOPSAIL BEACH, NC	272,000	The President	McIntyre	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SUTTER COUNTY, CA	307,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	TOPEKA, KS	379,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	TYBEE ISLAND, GA	181,000	The President	Altmire	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	UPPER OHIO NAVIGATION SYSTEM STUDY, PA	1,087,000	The President	Honda	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	UPPER PENITENCIA CREEK, CA	160,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	UPPER RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER BASIN, VA	181,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WESTCHESTER COUNTY STREAM, BYRAM RIVER BASIN, NY	199,000	The President	Blumenauer; Wu	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WILLAMETTE RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, OR	138,000	The President	Blumenauer; DeFazio	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WILLAMETTE RIVER FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION, OR	408,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	YAKUTAI HARBOR, AK	311,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Construction	YELLOWSTONE RIVER CORRIDOR, MT	2,631,000	The President	Melancon	
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Construction	ATCHAFALAYA BASIN FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA	11,300,000	The President	Melancon Berry	
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Construction	CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	47,209,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Construction	MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	34,873,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Investigations	COLDWATER RIVER BASIN BELOW ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS	246,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Investigations	COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	500,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Investigations	MEMPHIS METRO AREA, STORM WATER MANAGEMENT STUDY, TN	100,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	ATCHAFALAYA BASIN FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA	1,820,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA	12,000,000	The President	Melancon	
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	BATON ROUGE HARBOR, DEVIL SWAMP, LA	42,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	BAYOU COCODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES, LA	47,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	BONNET CARRE, LA	3,390,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	66,008,000	The President		

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	GREENVILLE HARBOR, MS	783,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR	580,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR	185,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL	100,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY	20,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA	567,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO	180,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS	105,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN	70,000	The President	Tanner	
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, NORTH BANK, AR	216,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, SOUTH BANK, AR	195,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	LOWER RED RIVER, SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA	365,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	MEMPHIS HARBOR, MCKELLAR LAKE, TN	1,390,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA	921,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	11,465,000	The President	Berry, Cao	
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	OLD RIVER, LA	9,000,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	ST. FRANCIS RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, AR & MO	8,293,000	The President	Berry	
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	TENSAS BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA	2,300,000	The President	Ross	
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA	3,180,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	WICKSBURG HARBOR, MS	750,000	The President	Thompson (MS)	
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	WAPPAPPELO LAKE, MO	4,805,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	WHITE RIVER BACKWATER, AR	1,235,000	The President	Berry	
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	YAZOO BASIN, ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS	6,961,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	YAZOO BASIN, BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS	1,630,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	YAZOO BASIN, ENID LAKE, MS	6,874,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	YAZOO BASIN, GREENWOOD, MS	765,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	YAZOO BASIN, GRENADE LAKE, MS	6,801,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	YAZOO BASIN, MAIN STEM, MS	1,469,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	YAZOO BASIN, SARDIS LAKE, MS	8,113,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	YAZOO BASIN, TRIBUTARIES, MS	985,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	YAZOO BASIN, WILL M WHITTINGTON AUX CHAN, MS	387,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	YAZOO BASIN, YAZOO BACKWATER AREA, MS	710,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Operations and Maintenance	YAZOO BASIN, YAZOO CITY, MS	805,000	The President	Lujan	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ABIGUUI DAM, NM	2,833,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ALABAMA - COOSA COMPREHENSIVE WATER STUDY, AL	250,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ALABAMA RIVER LAKES, AL	16,885,000	The President	Davis (AL)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ALAMO LAKE, AZ	2,959,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ALBENI FALLS DAM, ID	1,491,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ALLATOONA LAKE, GA	6,869,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ALLEGHENY RIVER, PA	8,288,000	The President	Doyle	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ALMOND LAKE, NY	480,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ALUM CREEK LAKE, OH	1,406,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ALVIN R. RUSH DAM, PA	588,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ANCHORAGE HARBOR, AK	13,734,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	APALACHICOLA, CHATTAHOOCHEE AND FLINT RIVERS, GA, AL & FL	2,551,000	The President	Bishop (GA)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	APLEGATE LAKE, OR	1,272,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	AQUILLA LAKE, TX	1,098,000	The President	Edwards (TX)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ARCADIA LAKE, OK	584,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ARKANSAS-RED RIVER BASINS CHLORIDE CONTROL-AREA VIII, TX	1,410,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ARKPORT DAM, NY	219,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ASHTABULA HARBOR, OH	1,955,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF & BLACK, LA	10,139,000	The President	Melancon	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY - ACC, VA	2,107,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY - DSC, NC & VA	1,328,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, GA	991,000	The President		

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NC	4,655,000	The President	McIntyre	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC	1,657,000	The President	Brown (SC)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	AYLESWORTH CREEK LAKE, PA	225,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	B. EVERETT JORDAN DAM AND LAKE, NC	1,880,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BALL MOUNTAIN, VT	878,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS (50 FOOT), MD	18,508,000	The President	Cummings; Ruppersberger; Sarbanes	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BALTIMORE HARBOR, MD (DRIFT REMOVAL)	368,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BARATARIA BAY WATERWAY, LA	132,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BARBERS POINT HARBOR, HI	327,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BARBOUR TERMINAL CHANNEL, TX	2,755,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BARDWELL LAKE, TX	1,842,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE, BARKLEY, KY & TN	9,825,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	665,000	The President	Adler (NJ)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BARRE FALLS DAM, MA	686,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BARNEN RIVER LAKE, KY	3,385,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BASS HARBOR, TREMONT, ME	64,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BAYOU BOUCAU RESERVOIR, LA	1,051,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BAYOU LAFOURCHE AND LAFOURCHE-JUMP WATERWAY, LA	1,029,000	The President	Melancon	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BAYOU PIERRE, LA	24,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BAYOU SEGNETTE WATERWAY, LA	36,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BAYOU TECHE & VERMILLION RIVER, LA	11,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BAYOU TECHE, LA	147,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BAYPORT SHIP CHANNEL, TX	3,903,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BEALS HARBOR, ME	991,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BEAR CREEK DAM & LAKE, CO	634,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BEAVER LAKE, AR	10,360,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BEECH FORK LAKE, WV	1,350,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BELTON LAKE, TX	3,609,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BELTZVILLE LAKE, PA	1,397,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BENBROOK LAKE, TX	2,527,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BERLIN LAKE, OH	2,300,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BETHEL HARBOR, AK	743,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BIG BEND DAM, LAKE SHARPE, SD	9,574,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BIG SANDY HARBOR, KY	1,568,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BIGSTONE LAKE AND WHETSTONE RIVER, MN & SD	246,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BILOXI HARBOR, MS	1,372,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BIRCH HILL DAM, MA	776,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BIRCH LAKE, OK	633,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BLACK BUTTE LAKE, CA	2,320,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BLACK ROCK LAKE, CT	526,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL	20,338,000	The President	Davis (AL)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BLACKWATER DAM, NH	702,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BLAKLEY MT DAM, LAKE QUACHITA, AR	8,549,000	The President	Ross	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BLOCK ISLAND HARBOR OF REFUGE, RI	1,225,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BLUE MARSH LAKE, PA	2,761,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BLUE MOUNTAIN LAKE, AR	1,580,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BLUE RIVER LAKE, OR	562,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BLUESTONE LAKE, WV	1,666,000	The President	Rahall	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BONNEVILLE LOCK & DAM, OR & WA	7,338,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BOSTON HARBOR, MA	2,646,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BOWMAN HALEY, ND	241,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, TX	4,158,000	The President	Ortiz	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BROKEN BOW LAKE, OK	2,409,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BROOKVILLE LAKE, IN	1,118,000	The President		

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
orps of Engineers	O&M	BROWNS CREEK, NY	148,000	The President	Israel	
orps of Engineers	O&M	BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA	6,585,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	BUCHANAN DAM, HV EASTMAN LAKE, CA	2,077,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	BUCKHORN LAKE, KY	1,622,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	BUFFALO BAYOU & TRIBUTARIES, TX	3,448,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	BUFFUMVILLE LAKE, MA	644,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	BUFFORD DAM AND LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GA	8,664,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	BULL SHOALS LAKE, AR	7,147,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	BURNS WATERWAY HARBOR, IN	169,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	BURNSVILLE LAKE, WV	2,988,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	BUTTERMILK CHANNEL, NY	8,429,000	The President	Delahunt	
orps of Engineers	O&M	CADDO LAKE, LA	218,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CAESAR CREEK LAKE, OH	1,528,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CAGLES MILL LAKE, IN	1,009,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LA	18,337,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CALUMET HARBOR AND RIVER, IL & IN	4,154,000	The President	Jackson (IL)	
orps of Engineers	O&M	CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL	4,621,000	The President	Kosmas	
orps of Engineers	O&M	CANTON LAKE, OK	1,910,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CANYON LAKE, TX	3,361,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CAPE COD CANAL, MA	13,892,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CAPE FEAR RIVER ABOVE WILMINGTON, NC	2,198,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CARLYLE LAKE, IL	5,531,000	The President	McIntyre	
orps of Engineers	O&M	CARR CREEK LAKE, KY	1,845,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CARTERS DAM AND LAKE, GA	7,874,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CARUTHERSVILLE HARBOR, MO	872,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CAVE RUIN LAKE, KY	946,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CECIL M. HARDEN LAKE, IN	993,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CEDAR BAYOU, TX	1,661,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CEDAR CREEK, DE	49,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CENTER HILL LAKE, TN	4,966,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CENTRAL & SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL	18,506,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CHANNEL ISLANDS HARBOR, CA	4,508,000	The President	Capps	
orps of Engineers	O&M	CHANNEL TO PORT BOLIVAR, TX	322,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CHARLES RIVER NATURAL VALLEY STORAGE AREA, MA	322,000	The President	Brown (SC), Clyburn	
orps of Engineers	O&M	CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	9,884,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CHATFIELD DAM & LAKE, CO	1,348,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CHEATHAM LOCK AND DAM, TN	6,231,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CHENA RIVER LAKES, AK	2,839,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CHERRY CREEK DAM & LAKE, CO	1,036,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CHETCO RIVER, OR	574,000	The President	DeFazio	
orps of Engineers	O&M	CHICAGO HARBOR, IL	2,519,000	The President	Davis (IL)	
orps of Engineers	O&M	CHICAGO RIVER, IL	500,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CHICKAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DISPERSAL BARRIER, IL	7,384,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CHICKAMAUGA LOCK, TENNESSEE RIVER, TN	4,863,000	The President	Davis (TN)	
orps of Engineers	O&M	CHIEF JOSEPH DAM, WA	757,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CHINCOTEAGUE INLET, VA	490,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CLAIBORNE COUNTY PORT, MS	71,000	The President	Thompson (MS)	
orps of Engineers	O&M	CLARENCE CANNON DAM AND MARK TWAIN LAKE, MO	7,685,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CLARENCE J BROWN DAM, OH	1,166,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CLEARWATER LAKE, MO	2,961,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CLEVELAND HARBOR, OH	12,885,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	CLINTON LAKE, MS	2,039,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	COCHITI LAKE, NM	3,475,000	The President		
orps of Engineers	O&M	COLD BROOK LAKE, SD	1,059,000	The President	Lujan	
orps of Engineers	O&M	COLD SPRING INLET, NJ	343,000	The President		

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	O&M	COLEBROOK RIVER LAKE, CT	675,000	The President	Baird	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	COLUMBIA & LOWER WILLAMETTE RIVER BELOW VANCOUVER, WA AND PORTLAND, OR	24,373,000	The President	Baird; Blumenauer; Inglee; Wu	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA	12,454,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN VANCOUVER, WA & THE DALLES, OR	632,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	CONANT BROOK LAKE, MA	395,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	CONCHAS LAKE, NM	2,464,000	The President	Lujan	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	CONEMAUGH RIVER LAKE, PA	1,324,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	CONNEAUT RIVER, OH	921,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	6,018,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	COOS BAY, OR	5,253,000	The President	DeFazio	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	COPAN LAKE, OK	1,082,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	COQUILLE RIVER, OR	424,000	The President	DeFazio	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	CORALVILLE LAKE, IA	4,682,000	The President	Loebbeck	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	CORDELL HULL DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN	6,301,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX	4,516,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	COTTAGE GROVE LAKE, OR	1,293,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	COTTONWOOD SPRINGS LAKE, SD	279,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	COUGAR LAKE, OR	1,699,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	COUNCIL GROVE LAKE, KS	1,616,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	COWANESQUE LAKE, PA	1,737,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	COYOTE VALLEY DAM, LAKE MENDOCINO, CA	5,452,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	CROOKED CREEK LAKE, PA	1,998,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	CUMBERLAND, MD AND RIDGELEY, WV	184,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	CURWENSVILLE LAKE, PA	673,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DALE HOLLOW LAKE, TN	6,095,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DARDANELLE LOCK & DAM, AR	7,480,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DEER CREEK LAKE, OH	1,297,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DEGRAY LAKE, AR	7,093,000	The President	Ross	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DELAWARE LAKE, OH	1,335,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DELAWARE RIVER AT CAMDEN, NJ	15,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ, PA & DE	19,622,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO TRENTON, NJ	804,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DENISON DAM, LAKE TEXOMA, TX & OK	9,857,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DEQUEEN LAKE, AR	1,438,000	The President	Ross	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DETROIT LAKE, OR	1,105,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DEWEY LAKE, KY	1,727,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DIERKS LAKE, AR	1,539,000	The President	Ross	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DILLINGHAM HARBOR, AK	936,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DILLON LAKE, OH	1,235,000	The President	Space	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DISPOSAL AREA MONITORING, ME	1,029,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DORENA LAKE, OR	1,034,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DOUGLAS HARBOR, AK	236,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DRY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS) LAKE & CHANNEL, CA	8,425,000	The President	Woolsey	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DULUTH-SUPERIOR HARBOR, MN & WI	7,093,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DUXBURY HARBOR, MA	98,000	The President	Delahunt	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	DWORKSHAK DAM AND RESERVOIR, ID	2,906,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	EAST BRIMFIELD LAKE, MA	1,638,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	EAST BRIMFIELD LAKE, MA	546,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	EAST FORK, TOMBIGBEE RIVER, MS	216,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	EAST LYNN LAKE, WV	2,171,000	The President	Rahall	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	EAST RIVER, NY	2,744,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	EAST ROCKAWAY INLET, NY	196,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	EAST SIDNEY LAKE, NY	562,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	EASTCHESTER CREEK, NY	147,000	The President	Crowley	

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	O&M	EAU GALLE RIVER LAKE, WI	714,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	EDIZ HOOK, WA	29,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	EDWARD MACDOWELL LAKE, NH	622,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	EL DORADO LAKE, KS	597,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ELK CITY LAKE, KS	1,019,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ELK CREEK LAKE, OR	85,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ELKINS, WV	15,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ELVIS STAHR (HICKMAN) HARBOR, KY	496,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ERIE HARBOR, PA	1,361,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ESCAMBIA AND CONECUH RIVERS, FL	42,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ESTELLINE SPRINGS EXPERIMENTAL PROJECT, TX	7,088,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	EUFALA LAKE, OK	986,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	EVERETT HARBOR AND SNOHOMISH RIVER, WA	1,541,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FAIRPORT HARBOR, OH	1,126,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FALL CREEK LAKE, OR	1,176,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FALL RIVER LAKE, KS	2,001,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FALLS LAKE, NC	349,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FARM CREEK RESERVOIRS, IL	441,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FARMINGTON DAM, CA	1,764,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FERN RIDGE LAKE, OR	1,768,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FERNANDINA HARBOR, FL	3,635,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FERRIS BRIDGE DAM, LAKE O' THE PINES, TX	4,942,000	The President	Israel	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY	1,903,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FISHTRAP LAKE, KY	98,000	The President	Crowley Boren	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NY	6,092,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FORT GIBSON LAKE, OK	8,791,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FORT RANDALL DAM, LAKE FRANCIS CASE, SD	1,037,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FORT SUPPLY LAKE, OK	659,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS DAM, PA	490,000	The President	Kennedy	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FOX POINT HURRICANE BARRIER, PROVIDENCE, RI	2,354,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FOX RIVER, WI	862,000	The President	Kanjorski	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FRANCIS E WALTER DAM, PA	710,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FRANKLIN FALLS DAM, NH	3,468,000	The President	Paul	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FREEMONT HARBOR, TX	1,593,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FRESHWATER BAYOU, LA	5,303,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	FT. PECK DAM AND LAKE, MT	909,000	The President	Lujan Paul	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GALISTEO DAM, NM	8,273,000	The President	Pomeroy	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GALVESTON HARBOR AND CHANNEL, TX	12,764,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAWA, ND	2,223,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE MOOMAW, VA	7,553,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GAVERIN POINT DAM, LEWIS AND CLARK LAKE, NE & SD	245,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GENERAL EDGAR JADWIN DAM AND RESERVOIR, PA	1,222,000	The President	Brown (SC), Clyburn Ross	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GEORGETOWN HARBOR, SC	1,313,000	The President	Paul	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GILLHAM LAKE, AR	1,789,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GIWW, CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX	2,313,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GRANGER DAM AND LAKE, TX	2,954,000	The President	Dicks	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GRAPEVINE LAKE, TX	11,331,000	The President	McMahon	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GRAYS HARBOR AND CHEHALIS RIVER, WA	1,405,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GRAYSON LAKE, KY	103,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GREAT KILLS HARBOR, STATEN ISLAND, NY	398,000	The President	Bishop (NY)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GREAT SALT PLAINS LAKE, OK	98,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GREAT SOUTH BAY, NY	2,058,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS, KY	6,538,000	The President	Kagan	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI	2,096,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GREEN PETER - FOSTER LAKES, OR				

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GREEN RIVER LAKE, KY	2,197,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GREENS FERRY LAKE, AR	10,026,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, AL	5,126,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, LA	18,652,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, TX	27,239,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	GULFPORT HARBOR, MS	7,930,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HAINE'S HARBOR, AK	236,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HAMPTON ROADS, NORFOLK & NEWPORT NEWS HARBOR, VA (DRIFT REMOVAL)	1,201,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HAMPTON ROADS, VA (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS)	49,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HANCOCK BROOK LAKE, CT	468,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HARLAN COUNTY LAKE, NE	2,178,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HARRY S. TRUMAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, MO	8,666,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HARTWELL LAKE, GA & SC	11,272,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HELENA HARBOR, AR	485,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HEYBURN LAKE, OK	591,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HIDDEN DAM, HENSLEY LAKE, CA	2,120,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR	846,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HILLSDALE LAKE, KS	775,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HODGES VILLAGE DAM, MA	616,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HOMER HARBOR, AK	503,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HOMME LAKE, ND	271,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HOP BROOK LAKE, CT	1,070,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HOPKINTON-EVERETT LAKES, NH	1,411,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HORDS CREEK DAM AND LAKE, TX	1,674,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HOUMA NAVIGATION CANAL, LA	3,274,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX	20,175,000	The President	Melancon Green, Al; Green, Gene; Jackson Lee (TX)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA	3,211,000	The President	Baird; Dicks; Inslee; McDermott; Smith (WA)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HUDSON RIVER CHANNEL, NY	98,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HUDSON RIVER, NY (MAINT)	3,626,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HUDSON RIVER, NY (O & C)	1,617,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HUGO LAKE, OK	1,713,000	The President	Boren	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HULAH LAKE, OK	883,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CA	5,732,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ICE HARBOR LOCK & DAM, WA	4,064,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ILLINOIS WATERWAY, IL & IN (MVR PORTION)	31,596,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ILLINOIS WATERWAY, IL & IN (MVS PORTION)	1,766,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INDIAN RIVER INLET AND BAY, DE	98,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INDIANA HARBOR, IN	5,587,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, GA	83,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, IL	64,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, OR	29,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, WA	31,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, WY	176,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AK	29,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AL	305,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR	88,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AZ	4,512,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CA	561,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CO	286,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CT	98,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, DC	882,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, FL	138,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, GA				

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, HI	953,000	The President	Hirono	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IA	385,000	The President	Loebbeck	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ID	282,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL	1,673,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IN	784,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KS	312,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY	822,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA	743,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MA	342,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MD	122,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ME	145,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MI	123,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MN	454,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO	1,679,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS	33,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MT	118,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NC	250,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ND	297,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NE	336,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NH	103,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NJ	142,000	The President	Lujan; Teague	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM	725,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NV	65,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NY	841,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OH	612,000	The President	Space	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OK	256,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OR	548,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, PA	729,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, RI	24,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SC	63,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SD	98,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TX	1,337,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, UT	30,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VA	360,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VT	77,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WA	735,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WI	63,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WV	273,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WY	36,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, DELAWARE RIVER TO CHESAPEAKE BAY, DE & MD	15,755,000	The President	Cummings; Ruppertsberger; Sarbanes	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, JACKSONVILLE TO MIAMI, FL	2,929,000	The President	Klein (FL); Kosmas; Meek (FL); Wasserman Schultz	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, REHOBOTH BAY TO DELAWARE BAY, DE	98,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ISABELLA LAKE, CA	2,633,000	The President	Costa	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	J. BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA	10,903,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	J. EDWARD ROUSH LAKE, IN	1,757,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	J. PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN	4,516,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	J. STROM THURMOND LAKE, GA & SC	10,701,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	JACKSON HOLE LEVEES, WY	981,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL	5,594,000	The President	Brown, Corrine	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	JAMAICA BAY, NY	118,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	JAMES RIVER CHANNEL, VA	4,494,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM	1,370,000	The President	Lujan	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	JENNINGS RANDOLPH LAKE, MD & WV	2,767,000	The President		

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	O&M	JIM CHAPMAN LAKE, TX	1,900,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM, LAKE SEMINOLE, FL, AL & GA	9,261,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	JOE POOL LAKE, TX	1,072,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	5,113,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	JOHN H. KERR LAKE, VA & NC	11,196,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	JOHN MARTIN RESERVOIR, CO	2,882,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	JOHN REDMOND DAM AND RESERVOIR, KS	1,725,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	JOHN W. FLANNAGAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA	2,186,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	JOHNSTOWN, PA	35,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	JONES INLET, NY	147,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	KANAWHA RIVER LOCKS & DAM, WV	9,596,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	KANOPOLIS, KS	1,530,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	KASKASKIA RIVER NAVIGATION, IL	2,133,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	KAW LAKE, OK	2,079,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	KENTUCKY RIVER, KY	10,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	KEWEENAW WATERWAY, MI	8,000	The President	Stupak	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	KEYSTONE LAKE, OK	4,906,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	KINZUA DAM AND ALLEGHANY RESERVOIR, PA	1,440,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	KNIGHTVILLE DAM, MA	674,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LAC QUI PARLE LAKES, MINNESOTA RIVER, MN	664,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDILL DAM, ND	1,396,000	The President	Pomeroy	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LAKE CROCKETT (KEYSTONE HARBOR), WA	539,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LAKE KEMP, TX	459,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION, IL	696,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LAKE MONTAUK HARBOR, NY	59,000	The President	Bishop (NY)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LAKE PROVIDENCE HARBOR, LA	496,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LAKE SHELBYVILLE, IL	5,402,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LAKE TRAVERSE, SD & MN	643,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA	12,962,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LAUREL RIVER LAKE, KY	1,613,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LEWISVILLE DAM, TX	3,073,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LIBBY DAM, MT	3,471,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LITTLE BLUE RIVER LAKES, MO	1,809,000	The President	Cleaver	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LITTLE GOOSE LOCK & DAM, WA	726,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LITTLEVILLE LAKE, MA	2,268,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LONG BRANCH LAKE, MO	668,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LONG ISLAND INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NY	922,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LONG ISLAND SOUND, DMMP, CT	98,000	The President	Bishop (NY)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LOOKOUT POINT LAKE, OR	1,938,000	The President	Courtney, Delauro	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LORAIN HARBOR, OH	2,039,000	The President	Sutton	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA	434,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LOST CREEK LAKE, OR	6,895,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LOWER GRANITE LOCK & DAM, WA	3,819,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LOWER MONUMENT LOCK & DAM, WA	5,755,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LOYALHANNA LAKE, PA	4,640,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LUCKY PEAK LAKE, ID	1,431,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LYNNHAVEN INLET, VA	2,635,000	The President	Nye	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MADISON PARISH PORT, LA	391,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MAHONING CREEK LAKE, PA	146,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MANSFIELD HOLLOW LAKE, CT	1,300,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MANTLED (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC	685,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MARINA DEL REY, CA	7,844,000	The President	Price (NC)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MARION LAKE, KS	3,463,000	The President	Harman	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MARTINS FORK LAKE, KY	1,581,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M		1,066,000	The President		

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MARTIN CREEK LAKE, NV & CA	1,147,000	The President	McIntyre	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MASONBORO INLET AND CHANNELS, NC	49,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MASSILLON LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH	21,000	The President	Paul	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX	3,921,000	The President	Bishop (NY)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MATTITUCK HARBOR, NY	59,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MCCELLAN-KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR	32,885,000	The President	Boren	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MCCELLAN-KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, OK	5,679,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MCNARY LOCK & DAM, OR & WA	6,152,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MELVERN LAKE, KS	1,942,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA	393,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MERMENTAU RIVER, LA	1,968,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MICHAEL J. KIRWAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, OH	1,375,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MICHIGAN NAVIGATION O&M, MI:	1,095,000	The President		
		CHANNELS IN LAKE ST. CLAIR, MI	204,000	The President		
		CHARLEVOIX HARBOR, MI	5,114,000	The President		
		DETROIT RIVER, MI	708,000	The President		
		GRAND HAVEN HARBOR, MI	642,000	The President		
		HOLLAND HARBOR, MI	12,000	The President		
		KEWEENAW WATERWAY, MI	1,391,000	The President		
		LUDINGTON HARBOR, MI	247,000	The President		
		MANISTEE HARBOR AND RIVER CHANNEL, MI	225,000	The President		
		MARQUETTE HARBOR, MI	1,313,000	The President		
		MONROE HARBOR, MI	855,000	The President		
		MUSKEGON HARBOR, MI	1,149,000	The President	Stupak	
		ONTONAGON HARBOR, MI	3,622,000	The President	Kildee	
		SAGINAW RIVER, MI	74,000	The President		
		SEBEWAING RIVER, MI	1,208,000	The President		
		ST. CLAIR RIVER, MI	740,000	The President		
		ST. JOSEPH HARBOR, MI	21,635,000	The President		
		ST. MARYS RIVER, MI				
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MIDDLE RIO GRANDE ENDANGERED SPECIES COLLABORATIVE PROGRAM, NM (MRGESCP)	2,450,000	The President	Lujan; Teague	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MIDDLEBORO CUMBERLAND RIVER BASIN, KY	111,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MILFORD LAKE, KS	2,343,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MILL CREEK LAKE, WA	3,741,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MILLWUKEE LAKE, AR	4,706,000	The President	Ross	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MILWAUKEE HARBOR, WI	1,639,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MINNESOTA RIVER, MN	257,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MISSILLON RIVER, DE	49,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MISSISSINAWA LAKE, IN	1,124,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MISSISSIPPI RIVER BETWEEN MISSOURI RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVP PORTION), MN	47,462,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MISSISSIPPI RIVER BETWEEN MISSOURI RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVR PORTION), IL	52,623,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MISSISSIPPI RIVER BETWEEN MISSOURI RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVS PORTION), IL	21,151,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MISSISSIPPI RIVER BETWEEN THE OHIO AND MISSOURI RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO & IL	28,159,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MISSISSIPPI RIVER OUTLETS AT VENICE, LA	3,140,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF OF MEXICO, LA	64,127,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MISSOURI RIVER - KENSLERS BEND, NE TO SIOUX CITY, IA	134,000	The President	Cleaver	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MISSOURI RIVER - RULO TO MOUTH, IA, NE, KS & MO	9,912,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MISSOURI RIVER - SIOUX CITY TO RULO, IA, NE, KS & MO	2,555,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MOJAVE RIVER DAM, CA	23,091,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	512,000	The President	Doyle	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MONROE LAKE, IN	16,041,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MOREHEAD CITY HARBOR, NC	1,861,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MORICHES INLET, NY	3,870,000	The President	Bishop (NY)	

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MORRO BAY HARBOR, CA	1,558,000	The President	Capps	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MOSQUITO CREEK LAKE, OH	1,385,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MOUNT MORRIS DAM, NY	3,586,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MOUTH OF YAZOO RIVER, MS	169,000	The President	Baird	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MT. ST. HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA	260,000	The President	Smith (WA)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA	3,373,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MURDERKILL RIVER, DE	49,000	The President	Space	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH	8,080,000	The President	Courtney	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	MYSTIC RIVER, CT	157,000	The President	Ross	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NANTICOKE RIVER NORTHWEST FORK, MD	157,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NARROWS DAM, LAKE GREESE, AR	4,959,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NARROWS OF LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT & NY	83,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NAVARRO MILLS LAKE, TX	2,712,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NEAH BAY, WA	49,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NEW BEDFORD FAIRHAVEN AND ACUSHNET HURRICANE BARRIER, MA	1,225,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NEW HAVEN HARBOR, CT	392,000	The President	DeLauro	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NEW HOGAN LAKE, CA	2,427,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NJ	245,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NEW MADRID HARBOR, MO	220,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NEW MELONES LAKE, DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL, CA	1,891,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NEW RIVER INLET, NC	588,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY CHANNELS, NY	6,028,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NEW YORK HARBOR, NY	7,057,000	The President	Pallone	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NEW YORK HARBOR, NY & NJ (DRIFT REMOVAL)	3,720,000	The President	Pallone	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NEW YORK GREAT LAKES NAVIGATION O&M, NY	1,024,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BLACK ROCK CHANNEL AND TONAWANDA HARBOR, NY			Higgins	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	BUFFALO HARBOR, NY	1,142,000	The President	Higgins	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	LITTLE SODUS BAY HARBOR, NY	417,000	The President	Maffei	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OSWEGO HARBOR, NY	33,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NEWARK BAY, HACKENSACK AND PASSAIC RIVERS, NJ	1,521,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NEWPORT BAY HARBOR, CA	98,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NEWTOWN CREEK, NY	1,255,000	The President	Sanchez, Loretta	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NIMROD LAKE, AR	59,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NINILCHIK HARBOR, AK	1,918,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NOLIN LAKE, KY	412,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NOME HARBOR, AK	2,765,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NORFOLK HARBOR, VA	954,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NORFOLK LAKE, AR	10,169,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NORTH BRANCH KOKOSING RIVER LAKE, OH	6,100,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NORTH FORK OF POUND RIVER LAKE, VA	290,000	The President	Space	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NORTH HARTLAND LAKE, VT	655,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NORTH SAN GABRIEL DAM AND LAKE GEORGETOWN, TX	705,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NORTH SPRINGFIELD LAKE, VT	2,486,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	NORTHFIELD BROOK LAKE, CT	821,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	O C. FISHER DAM AND LAKE, TX	548,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OAHE DAM, LAKE OAHE, SD & ND	1,346,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OAKLAND HARBOR, CA	10,268,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OCEAN CITY HARBOR AND INLET AND SINEPUXENT BAY, MD	9,416,000	The President	Lee (CA)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OCEANSIDE HARBOR, CA	1,372,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, KY, IL, IN & OH	1,490,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, PA, OH & WV	30,807,000	The President	Altmaier	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV, KY & OH	27,465,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY, IL, IN, OH, PA & WV	34,109,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, PA, OH & WV	5,569,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M		620,000	The President		

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, WV, KY & OH	3,027,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OHIO-MISSISSIPPI FLOOD CONTROL, OH	1,688,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OKATIBBEE LAKE, MS	2,034,000	The President	Hastings (FL)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OKECHEEBE WATERWAY, FL	2,395,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OLD HICKORY LOCK AND DAM, TN	8,073,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OOLOGAH LAKE, OK	2,047,000	The President	Boren	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OPTIMA LAKE, OK	193,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ORWELL LAKE, MN	453,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OSCEOLA HARBOR, AR	492,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OTTER BROOK LAKE, NH	715,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, AR & LA	9,305,000	The President	Ross	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	OZARK-JETA TAYLOR LOCK & DAM, AR	5,376,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PAINT CREEK LAKE, OH	1,271,000	The President	Space	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PAINTED ROCK DAM, AZ	1,492,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PAINTSVILLE LAKE, KY	1,334,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PALM BEACH HARBOR, FL	2,460,000	The President	Hastings (FL); Klein (FL)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL	54,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PAPILLION CREEK, NE	723,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS	8,022,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PASSAIC RIVER FLOOD WARNING SYSTEM, NJ	559,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PAT MAYSE LAKE, TX	972,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PATOKA LAKE, IN	960,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PEARL RIVER, MS & LA	142,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PEARSON-SKUBITZ BIG HILL LAKE, KS	1,305,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PENSACOLA HARBOR, FL	66,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PENSACOLA RESERVOIR, LAKE OF THE CHEROKEES, OK	164,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PERRY LAKE, KS	2,209,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PETERSBURG HARBOR, AK	743,000	The President	Michaud	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PHILPOTT LAKE, VA & NC	6,269,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PIG ISLAND GUT, ME	98,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PINE AND MATHEWS CANYONS LAKES, NV	546,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PINE CREEK LAKE, OK	1,011,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PINE FLAT LAKE, CA	3,311,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PIPESTEM LAKE, ND	539,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	POMME DE TERRE LAKE, MO	2,113,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	POMONA LAKE, KS	1,926,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PORT CHESTER HARBOR, NY	59,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	POTOMAC AND ANACOSTIA RIVER, DC (DRIFT REMOVAL)	828,000	The President	Hoyer	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROCTOR DAM AND LAKE, TX	2,290,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AK	1,333,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AL	98,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AR	3,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, CA	2,090,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, CT	1,029,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, DC	29,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, DE	39,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, FL	1,421,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, GA	120,000	The President	Hirono	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, HI	599,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IL	104,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IN	181,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, KY	2,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, LA	59,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MA	1,176,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MD	466,000	The President		

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, ME	735,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MI	421,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MN	82,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MO	4,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MS	75,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NC	642,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NH	270,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NJ	1,476,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NY	1,890,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OH	289,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OR	196,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, PA	167,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, RI	490,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, SC	613,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TN	3,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TX	442,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, VA	853,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WA	450,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WI	234,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PROMPTON LAKE, PA	496,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PUGET SOUND AND TRIBUTARY WATERS, WA	887,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	PUNXSUTAWNEY, PA	22,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	QUILLAYUTE RIVER, WA	1,558,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	R. D. BAILEY LAKE, WV	2,192,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	RARITAN RIVER TO ARTHUR KILL CUT-OFF, NJ	388,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	RATHBUN LAKE, IA	149,000	The President	Pallone	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	RAY ROBERTS LAKE, TX	2,451,000	The President	Loebbeck	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	RAYSTOWN LAKE, PA	1,495,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	RED LAKE RESERVOIR, MN	3,677,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	RED ROCK DAM AND LAKE, RED ROCK, IA	228,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, FL	8,392,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, IA	3,430,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	REND LAKE, IL	1,382,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	RESERVOIRS AT HEADWATERS OF MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN	5,589,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	RICHARD B. RUSSELL DAM & LAKE, GA & SC	4,294,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	RICHMOND HARBOR, CA	9,200,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ROBERT S. KERR LOCK AND DAM AND RESERVOIR, OK	8,208,000	The President	Boren	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ROCHESTER HARBOR, NY	7,453,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ROGUE RIVER AT GOLD BEACH, OR	55,000	The President	Defazio	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ROLLINSON CHANNEL, NC	567,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ROSEDALE HARBOR, MS	49,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ROSEVILLE LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH	1,163,000	The President	Thompson (MS)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ROUGH RIVER LAKE, KY	34,000	The President	Space	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	RUDEE INLET, VA	2,554,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SABINE-NECHES WATERWAY, TX	510,000	The President	Nye	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SACO RIVER, ME	14,369,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SACRAMENTO RIVER (30 FOOT PROJECT), CA	137,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SACRAMENTO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES (DEBRIS CONTROL), CA	3,514,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SACRAMENTO RIVER SHALLOW DRAFT CHANNEL, CA	1,446,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SALAMONIE LAKE, IN	158,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SALT CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, NE	992,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SAM RAYBURN DAM AND RESERVOIR, TX	1,046,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SAN FRANCISCO BAY, DELTA MODEL STRUCTURE, CA	6,269,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR AND BAY, CA (DRIFT REMOVAL)	1,065,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M		3,028,000	The President	Pelosi	

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR, CA	2,980,000	The President	Pelosi	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, PORT OF STOCKTON, CA	4,956,000	The President	Cardoza; McNerney	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SAN JUAN HARBOR, PR	3,626,000	The President	Pierluisi	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SAN PABLO BAY AND MARE ISLAND STRAIT, CA	2,695,000	The President	McNerney	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SANDUSKY HARBOR, OH	1,080,000	The President	Kaptur	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SANDY HOOK BAY AT LEONARDO, NJ	98,000	The President	Pallone	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SANTA ANA RIVER BASIN, CA	4,786,000	The President	Capps	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SANTA BARBARA HARBOR, CA	1,999,000	The President	Teague	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM	1,196,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SARDIS LAKE, OK	1,108,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SAVANNAH HARBOR, GA	18,095,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SAVANNAH RIVER BELOW AUGUSTA, GA	225,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SAYLORVILLE LAKE, IA	5,144,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCARBOROUGH RIVER, ME	157,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AZ	32,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CA	1,716,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CO	750,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ID	489,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, KS	98,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MD	59,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MO	320,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MT	265,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ND	248,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM	521,000	The President	Lujan; Teague	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OK	882,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OR	90,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, PA	45,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, SD	141,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, TX	190,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, UT	640,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WA	438,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WY	209,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SCHUYLKILL RIVER, PA	1,063,000	The President	Brady (PA)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SEATTLE HARBOR, WA	932,000	The President	Pallone	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SHENANGO RIVER LAKE, PA	490,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SHINNECOCK INLET, NY	2,446,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SHOAL HARBOR AND COMPTON CREEK, NJ	98,000	The President	Bishop (NY)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SHREWSBURY RIVER, MAIN CHANNEL, NJ	78,000	The President	Pallone	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SILVER LAKE HARBOR, NC	147,000	The President	Pallone	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SIUSLAU RIVER, OR	684,000	The President	DeFazio	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SKIATOOK LAKE, OK	1,436,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SMITHVILLE LAKE, MO	1,137,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SOMERVILLE LAKE, TX	3,226,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SOURIS RIVER, ND	499,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SOUTHERN NEW YORK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS, NY	907,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	ST. LUCIE INLET, FL	1,236,000	The President	Hastings (FL)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	STAMFORD HURRICANE BARRIER, CT	458,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	STILLGAMISH RIVER, WA	260,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	STILLHOUSE HOLLOW DAM, TX	2,107,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	STILLWATER LAKE, PA	356,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	STOCKTON LAKE, MO	4,070,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	STONEWALL JACKSON LAKE, WV	1,234,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	STURGEON BAY HARBOR AND LAKE MICHIGAN SHIP CANAL, WI	19,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SUCCESS LAKE, CA	2,475,000	The President		

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SUISUN BAY CHANNEL, CA	2,521,000	The President	McNerney	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV	2,554,000	The President	Rahall	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE, NH	731,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IL	675,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IN	123,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ME	20,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MI	2,451,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MN	437,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ND	34,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, NY	622,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OH	252,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OR	4,018,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, PA	105,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WA	73,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WI	499,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SUTTON LAKE, WV	3,014,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	SWINOMISH CHANNEL, WA	321,000	The President	Larsen (WA)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO & AR	8,128,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TACOMA, PUYALLUP RIVER, WA	139,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TAMPA HARBOR, FL	5,096,000	The President	Castor (FL)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TANGIER CHANNEL, VA	1,112,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TAYLORSVILLE LAKE, KY	1,207,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK	4,370,000	The President	Boren	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TENNESSEE RIVER, TN	16,211,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TENNESSEE-TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY WILDLIFE MITIGATION, AL & MS	2,077,000	The President	Childers; Davis (AL)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TERMINUS DAM, LAKE KAWAHAH, CA	25,345,000	The President	Childers; Davis (AL)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TEXAS CITY SHIP CHANNEL, TX	2,091,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TEXAS WATER ALLOCATION ASSESSMENT, TX	1,407,000	The President	Paul	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	THE DALLES LOCK & DAM, WA & OR	991,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	THOMASTON DAM, CT	8,529,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TIOGA HAMMOND LAKES, PA	974,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TIONESTA LAKE, PA	2,337,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TOLEDO HARBOR, OH	2,156,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TOM JENKINS DAM, OH	6,938,000	The President	Kaptur	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TORONTO LAKE, KS	591,000	The President	Space	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TOWN BLUFF DAM, B. A. STEINHAGEN LAKE, TX	639,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TOWN CREEK, SC	2,613,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TOWNSHEND LAKE, VT	372,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TRINIDAD LAKE, CO	781,000	The President	Salazar	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TULLY LAKE, MA	1,218,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TUTTLE CREEK LAKE, KS	712,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TWO RIVERS DAM, NM	2,591,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	TYGART LAKE, WV	589,000	The President	Teague	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	UMPUQUA RIVER, OR	1,405,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	UNION CITY LAKE, PA	784,000	The President	Defazio	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	UNION LAKE, MO	417,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	UNION VILLAGE DAM, VT	5,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL STUDY, CO, NM, TX	669,000	The President	Lujan; Teague	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	VENTURA HARBOR, CA	980,000	The President	Capps	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	W. KERR SCOTT DAM AND RESERVOIR, NC	2,783,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WACO LAKE, TX	3,520,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WALLACE LAKE, LA	3,069,000	The President	Edwards (TX)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX	236,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WALTER F. GEORGE LOCK AND DAM, AL & GA	2,132,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M		8,227,000	The President		

(PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Administration	House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WASHINGTON HARBOR, DC	25,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WATER/ENVIRONMENTAL CERTIFICATION, FL	392,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WATER/ENVIRONMENTAL CERTIFICATION, VA	102,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WATERWAY FROM EMPIRE TO THE GULF, LA	5,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WATERWAY FROM INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY TO BAYOU DULAC, LA	29,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WATERWAY ON THE COAST OF VIRGINIA, VA	362,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL	578,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WAURIKA LAKE, OK	2,517,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WEBBERS FALLS LOCK & DAM, OK	5,505,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WEST FORK OF MILL CREEK LAKE, OH	735,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WEST HILL DAM, MA	825,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WEST POINT DAM AND LAKE, GA & AL	8,665,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WEST THOMPSON LAKE, CT	832,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WESTCHESTER CREEK, NY	98,000	The President	Crowley	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WESTVILLE LAKE, MA	648,000	The President	Berry	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WHITE RIVER, AR	29,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WHITLOW RANCH DAM, AZ	595,000	The President	Edwards (TX)	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WHITNEY LAKE, TX	7,542,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY	629,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WICOMICO RIVER, MD	1,470,000	The President	Schrader	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WILLAMETTE RIVER AT WILLAMETTE FALLS, OR	171,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WILLAMETTE RIVER BANK PROTECTION, OR	83,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WILLAPA RIVER AND HARBOR, WA	51,000	The President	Baird	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WILLIAM H. HARSHA LAKE, OH	1,579,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WILLOW CREEK LAKE, OR	644,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WILMINGTON HARBOR, DE	6,443,000	The President	McIntyre	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC	12,594,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WILSON LAKE, KS	1,387,000	The President	Boren	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WISTER LAKE, OK	904,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WOLF CREEK DAM, LAKE CUMBERLAND, KY	7,928,000	The President	Cohen	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WOLF RIVER HARBOR, TN	176,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WOODCOCK CREEK LAKE, PA	1,057,000	The President	Kennedy	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WOONSOCKET, RI	294,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	WRIGHT PATMAN DAM AND LAKE, TX	3,728,000	The President	Schrader	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	YAQUINA BAY AND HARBOR, OR	1,847,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	YATESVILLE LAKE, KY	1,131,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	YAZOO RIVER, MS	150,000	The President	Ross	
Corps of Engineers	O&M	YELLOW BEND PORT, AR	111,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	YORK INDIAN ROCK DAM, PA	470,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER LAKE, PA & MD	2,311,000	The President		
Corps of Engineers	O&M	YUBA RIVER, CA	119,000	The President		

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM, NM	2,648,000	Lujan; Teague	Biagman; Tom Udall
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ALAMOGORDO, NM	4,104,000	Teague	Tom Udall
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ALASKA COASTAL EROSION, AK	1,500,000		Murkowski
Corps of Engineers	Construction	AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (COMMON FEATURES), CA	7,000,000	Matsui	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NE	315,000		Ben Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ASCENSION PARISH ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, LA	100,000		Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ATLANTA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, GA	2,000,000	Johnson (GA); Lewis (GA); Scott (GA)	Chambliss; Isakson
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ATLANTIC COAST OF MARYLAND, MD	730,000	Kratovil	Mikulski; Cardin
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BALTIMORE METRO RESOURCES, GWYNNS FALLS, MD	100,000		Cardin
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BARNEGAT INLET TO LITTLE EGG HARBOR INLET, NJ	7,600,000	Adler (NJ); Rothman (NJ)	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BETHEL BANK STABILIZATION, AK	3,000,000		Murkowski; Begich
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BIG SIOUX RIVER, SIOUX FALLS, SD	2,868,000	Herseth Sandlin	Johnson; Thune
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MO	526,000	Cleaver	Bond
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BOSQUE RIVER WATERSHED, TX	750,000	Edwards (TX)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BRECKENRIDGE, MN	407,000	Peterson; Pomeroy	Klobuchar
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET, ABSECON ISLAND, NJ	191,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET, BRIGANTINE ISLAND, NJ	76,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BRUNSWICK COUNTY BEACHES, NC	342,000	McIntyre	Burr; Hagan
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CALFED LEVEE STABILITY PROGRAM, CA	4,780,000	Garamendi; McNerney	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CALUMET REGION, IN	4,000,000	Visclosky	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CENTRAL CITY, FORT WORTH, UPPER TRINITY RIVER BASIN, TX	4,500,000		Hutchison; Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CENTRAL NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, NM	1,000,000	Heinrich; Lujan	Biagman; Tom Udall
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CHESAPEAKE BAY ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND PROTECTION, MD, VA & PA	2,500,000	Hoyer; Sarbanes	Mikulski; Cardin; Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Construction	WINDMILL HILL BULKHEAD REPLACEMENT, VA	500,000	Moran (VA)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL, DISPERSAL BARRIER, IL	5,900,000	Kaptur	Voinovich; Durbin; Levin; Stabenow; Sherrod Brown
Corps of Engineers	Construction	COMITE RIVER, LA	7,649,000		Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CONTRA COSTA CANAL, OAKLEY AND KNIGHTSEN, CA	2,000,000	McNerney; Miller, George	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	COOK COUNTY, IL	2,000,000	Jackson (IL); Lipinski	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CORTE MADERA CREEK, CA	489,000	Woolsey	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CROOKSTON, MN	1,250,000	Peterson	Klobuchar
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CUMBERLAND COUNTY WATER SUPPLY, TN	205,000	Davis (TN)	Alexander
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DALLAS FLOODWAY EXTENSION, TRINITY RIVER PROJECT, TX	20,500,000	Johnson, Eddie Bernice	Hutchison; Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, BROADKILL BEACH, DE & NJ	239,000		Carper; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, DE & NJ, OAKWOOD BEACH, NJ	239,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DELAWARE COAST PROTECTION, DE	373,000		Carper; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DELAWARE COAST, CAPE HENLOPEN TO FENWICK ISLAND, BETHANY BEACH TO SOUTH BETHANY, DE	4,494,000		Carper; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DELAWARE COAST, CAPE HENLOPEN TO FENWICK ISLAND, FENWICK ISLAND, DE	143,000		Carper; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DELAWARE COAST, CAPE HENLOPEN TO FENWICK ISLAND, REHOBOTH BEACH AND DEWEY BEACH, DE	143,000		Carper; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DELAWARE RIVER MAINSTEM AND CHANNEL DEEPENING, DE, NJ & PA	5,000,000	Brady (PA); Sestak	Specter; Casey
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DES MOINES RECREATIONAL RIVER AND GREENBELT, IA	3,500,000	Boswell	Harkin; Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DES PLAINES RIVER, IL	3,061,000		Durbin
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DESOTO COUNTY, MS	11,473,000	Childers	Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Construction	EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, LA	239,000		Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Construction	EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA	1,434,000		Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Construction	EAST ROCKAWAY INLET TO ROCKAWAY INLET AND JAMAICA BAY, NY	978,000	Weiner	Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Construction	EAST ST. LOUIS, IL	456,000	Costello	Durbin
Corps of Engineers	Construction	EL PASO COUNTY, TX	1,500,000	Reyes, Rodriguez	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	FARMINGTON RECHARGE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM, CA	239,000	McNerney	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	FIRE ISLAND INLET TO MONTAUK POINT, NY	2,900,000	Bishop (NY)	Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Construction	FLORIDA KEYS WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS, FL	2,000,000		Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Construction	FORT PECK CABIN CONVEYANCE, MT	956,000		Tester; Baucus
Corps of Engineers	Construction	FORT WAYNE, ST. MARY'S AND MAUMEE RIVERS, IN (GREENWOOD AVENUE TOE DRAIN)	100,000		Lugar
Corps of Engineers	Construction	GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET TO TOWNSEND INLET, NJ	956,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	GREAT LAKES FISHERY AND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, MI	956,000	Jackson (IL)	Voinovich; Levin; Stabenow; Sherrod Brown
Corps of Engineers	Construction	GREENBRIER RIVER BASIN, WV	1,434,000	Rahall	Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Construction	GUADALUPE RIVER, CA	200,000	Honda; Lofgren, Zoe	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	HACKENSACK MEADOWLANDS, NJ	143,000	Rothman	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	HAINES HARBOR, AK	478,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski
Corps of Engineers	Construction	HAMILTON DAM, FLINT RIVER, FLINT, MI	625,000	Kildee	Levin; Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Construction	HARBOR/SOUTH BAY WATER RECYCLING PROJECT, LOS ANGELES, CA	956,000	Harman; Roybal-Allard	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	HAWAII WATER MANAGEMENT, HI	2,000,000	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Construction	HOLDS CREEK, WEST CARROLLTON, OH	1,500,000		Voinovich
Corps of Engineers	Construction	INDIANA SHORELINE, IN	1,650,000	Visclosky	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	INDIANAPOLIS ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, IN	1,875,000	Carson (IN)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ISLAND CREEK, LOGAN, WV	1,875,000	Rahall	Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Construction	J. BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA	5,193,000		Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Construction	JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL	400,000	Brown, Corrine	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	JOHNSON CREEK, UPPER TRINITY BASIN, ARLINGTON, TX	478,000		Hutchison; Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Construction	JOSEPH G. MINISH PASSAIC RIVER WATERFRONT, NJ	2,868,000	Payne; Sires	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	KAHUKU STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION, HI	4,590,000	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Construction	KENAI RIVER BLUFF EROSION, AK	2,390,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski
Corps of Engineers	Construction	KENTUCKY RIVER, LOCK AND DAM 10, KY	400,000	Chandler	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LACKAWANNA RIVER, OLYPHANT, PA	500,000	Carney, Kanjorski	Specter; Casey
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LACKAWANNA RIVER, SCRANTON, PA	500,000	Kanjorski	Specter; Casey
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LAKE CHAMPLAIN WATERSHED INITIATIVE, VT	500,000		Leahy; Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LAKE MICHIGAN WATERFRONT, IN	4,000,000	Visclosky	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE, SC	5,000,000	Clyburn	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LEVISA AND TUG FORKS AND UPPER CUMBERLAND RIVER, WV, VA & KY			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	WEST VIRGINIA	2,750,000	Rahall	Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LITTLE WOOD RIVER, GOODING, ID	150,000		Crapo; Risch
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LLAGAS CREEK, CA	350,000	Honda; Lofgren, Zoe; McNerney	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LOS ANGELES RIVER DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS, CA	978,000	Becerra; Roybal-Allard	Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LOWER MUD RIVER, MILTON, WV	1,500,000	Rahall	Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MANATEE HARBOR, FL	100,000	Castor (FL)	Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MELVIN PRICE LOCK AND DAM, IL & MO	1,492,000	Costello	Durbin
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MERAMEC RIVER BASIN, VALLEY PARK LEVEE, MO	1,912,000		Bond
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA (GRR)	500,000	Cardoza	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MIDDLE RIO GRANDE FLOOD PROTECTION, BERNALILLO TO BELEN, NM	400,000	Teague	Biagman; Tom Udall
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MID-VALLEY AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	717,000	Thompson (CA)	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MISSISSIPPI ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, MS	17,209,000	Childers, Taylor	Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MISSOURI AND MIDDLE MISSISSIPPI RIVERS ENHANCEMENT, MO	574,000		Harkin
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM (UNIT L-385), IA, NE, KS, MO	717,000		Bond
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MISSOURI RIVER RESTORATION, SD	287,000		Johnson
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MT. ST. HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA	460,000	Baird	Murray
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MT. ZION DAM, FULTON COUNTY, IN	1,706,000	Donnelly (IN)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MUDDY RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AND FLOOD DAMAGE CONTROL, MA	1,945,000	Frank	Kerry
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MURRIETA CREEK, CA	3,346,000		Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NAPA RIVER, CA	2,009,000	Thompson (CA)	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY & NJ	2,000,000	Nadler; Rothman; Sires	Lautenberg; Menendez; Schumer; Gillibrand

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)—Continued

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NEW YORK CITY WATERSHED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, NY	978,000	Engel	Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NOGALES WASH, AZ	2,000,000	Grijalva	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS (DEEPENING), VA	478,000		Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NORTH DAKOTA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, ND	14,300,000	Pomerooy	Dorgan
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NORTHEASTERN MINNESOTA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, MN	2,000,000	Omnistar	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NORTHERN WEST VIRGINIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, WV	1,000,000	Molichan	Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NORTHERN WISCONSIN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSISTANCE, WI	5,000,000	Obey	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NUTWOOD DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT, IL	143,000		Durbin
Corps of Engineers	Construction	OAKLAND COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, MI	489,000	Levin	Levin; Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Construction	OAKLAND HARBOR (50 FOOT PROJECT), CA	2,087,000	Lee; Pelosi	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, OH:			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CITY OF BARBERTON, OH	500,000	Sutton	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CITY OF SEVEN HILLS, OH	1,000,000	Kucinich	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CITY OF WELLSVILLE, OH	300,000	Wilson (OH)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	EUCLID, OH	1,100,000		Voinovich
Corps of Engineers	Construction	FRANKLIN COUNTY, OH	500,000	Kilroy	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	GUERNSEY COUNTY, OH	500,000	Space	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER	500,000	Kilroy	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	PORTSMOUTH CSD MITIGATION, OH	500,000		Voinovich; Sherrod Brown
Corps of Engineers	Construction	TOLEDO WATERWAYS INITIATIVE PHASE 2, OH	1,000,000		Voinovich; Sherrod Brown
Corps of Engineers	Construction	TOLEDO, OH	2,000,000	Kaptur	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	VILLAGE OF DILLONVALE, OH	500,000	Wilson (OH)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	VILLAGE OF SEVILLE, OH	250,000	Boccheri	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	VINTON COUNTY, OH	250,000	Space	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	OHIO RIVER GREENWAY PUBLIC ACCESS, IN	100,000	Hill	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	OHIO RIVERFRONT, CINCINNATI, OH	2,184,000	Driehaus	Voinovich; Sherrod Brown
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ONONDAGA LAKE, NY	478,000		Schumer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	QUACHITA RIVER LEVEES, LA	956,000		Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Construction	QZARK-JETA TAYLOR POWERHOUSE, AR (MAJOR REHAB)	12,900,000	Berry	Pryor
Corps of Engineers	Construction	PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL (REIMBURSEMENT)	325,000	Hastings (FL); Klein (FL)	Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Construction	PARK RIVER AT GRATON, WY	3,000,000	Pomerooy	Dorgan; Conrad
Corps of Engineers	Construction	PASSAIC RIVER BASIN FLOOD MANAGEMENT, NJ	500,000	Pascrell	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	PETALUMA RIVER, CA	951,000	Woolsey	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	PINELLAS COUNTY, FL	1,195,000		Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Construction	PLACER COUNTY, CA	1,000,000		Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	PUGET SOUND AND ADJACENT WATERS RESTORATION, WA	1,434,000	Baur; Dicks; Inslee; Larsen (WA); Smith (WA)	Murray; Cantwell
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RARITAN & SANDY HOOK BAY, PORT MONMOUTH, NJ	1,217,000	Pallone	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RED RIVER BASIN CHLORIDE CONTROL, TX & OK	1,000,000		Carmy
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ELM FORK AREA VI ELEMENT	382,000		Inhofe
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RED RIVER BELOW DENISON DAM, AR, LA & TX	2,390,000	Ross	Landrieu; Pryor; Lincoln; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RED RIVER EMERGENCY BANK PROTECTION, AR, LA, OK & TX	1,912,000	Ross	Landrieu; Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RIO DE FLAG, FLAGSTAFF, AZ	2,000,000	Kirkpatrick (AZ)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ROBERT C. BYRD LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, WV & OH	75,000	Rahall	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ROSEAU, MN (RED RIVER OF THE NORTH BASIN)	5,000,000	Peterson	Klobuchar; Franken
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RURAL IDAHO, ID	1,500,000		Crapo; Risch
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RURAL MONTANA, MT	4,780,000		Tester; Baucus
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RURAL NEVADA, NV	19,000,000		Reid; Ensign
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RURAL UTAH, UT	22,500,000		Bennett
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SACRAMENTO RIVER, GLENN-COLUSA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, CA	669,000		Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SAN FRANCISCO BAY TO STOCKTON, CA	239,000	McNerney	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SAN FRANCISCO, CA	5,000,000	Pelosi	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SAN LUIS REY RIVER, CA	1,195,000		Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SAN RAMON VALLEY RECYCLED WATER, CA	459,000	Garamendi; McNerney	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SANDBRIDGE BEACH, VA	287,000	Nye	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SANDY HOOK TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	1,456,000	Pallone	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CA	2,000,000	Sanchez; Loretta	Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SAULT STE. MARIE (REPLACEMENT LOCK), MI	12,000,000	Stupak	Levin; Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SAVANNAH HARBOR EXPANSION, GA	5,000,000	Barrow; Bishop (GA); Johnson (GA); Marshall; Scott (GA)	Chambliss; Isakson
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SHOALWATER BAY, WA	1,963,000	Baird	Murray
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SOUTH CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT, PA	1,500,000	Critz	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, PA:			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BOROUGH OF QUAKERTOWN, PA	500,000	Murphy, Patrick	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BRISTOL TOWNSHIP, PA	300,000	Murphy, Patrick	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DELFWARE AND CHESTER COUNTY STREAMS	200,000	Sestak	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SANDYFORD RUN WETLAND CREATION, PA	200,000	Schwartz	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM, WV	2,000,000	Rahall	Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SOUTHWEST VALLEY FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION, ALBUQUERQUE, NM	5,809,000	Heinrich	Bingaman; Tom Udall
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ST. CLAIR RIVER AND LAKE ST. CLAIR, MI	300,000	Levin	Levin; Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ST. LOUIS FLOOD PROTECTION, MO	91,000		Bond
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ST. LOUIS, MO (COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW)	1,500,000	Carnahan; Clay	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	STE. GENEVIEVE, MO	750,000	Carnahan	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SUCCESS DAM AND RESERVOIR, CA (DAM SAFETY)	6,228,000		Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SWOPE PARK INDUSTRIAL AREA, KANSAS CITY, MO	4,900,000	Cleaver	Bond
Corps of Engineers	Construction	TAHOE BASIN RESTORATION, CA & NV	1,000,000		Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	INCLINE AND THIRD CREEK PROJECT	2,700,000		Reid
Corps of Engineers	Construction	TAMPA HARBOR, FL	200,000	Castor (FL)	Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Construction	THREE RIVERS WET WEATHER DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM, ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PA:			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ASPINWALL BOROUGH	200,000	Altire	Specter
Corps of Engineers	Construction	FOUR MILE RUN STREAM DAYLIGHTING PROJECT	100,000	Doyle	Specter
Corps of Engineers	Construction	TOWNSEND INLET TO CAPE MAY INLET, NJ	956,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	TRES RIDES, AZ	8,000,000	Pastor (AZ)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	TUCSON DRAINAGE AREA, AZ	5,000,000	Giffords; Grijalva	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	TUSCALOOSA, AL	8,240,000		Shelby
Corps of Engineers	Construction	UNALASKA HARBOR, AK	500,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski; Begich
Corps of Engineers	Construction	UPPER GUADALUPE RIVER, CA	2,195,000	Honda; Lofgren; Zoe	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)	1,912,000	Nye	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Construction	WEST ONSLOW BEACH AND NEW RIVER INLET TOPSAIL BEACH, NC	400,000	McIntyre	Butt; Hagan
Corps of Engineers	Construction	WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD CONTROL, PA & WV	750,000	Molichan	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	WESTERN SAPPY COUNTY AND CLEAR CREEK, NE	3,122,000		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	WRIGHTSVILLE BEACH, NC	143,000	McIntyre	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	WYOMING VALLEY, PA (LEVEE RAISING)	1,157,000	Carney; Kanjorski	Ben Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Construction	YUBA RIVER BASIN, CA	3,346,000		Burr; Hagan
Corps of Engineers	Construction	YUKON, OK, MUNICIPAL WATER INFRASTRUCTURE REHABILITATION	500,000		Specter; Casey
		CONSTRUCTION—NATIONAL PROGRAMS			Inhofe
Corps of Engineers	Construction	AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BLACK ROCK LAKE, OGDENSBURG, NY	150,000		Schumer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT	500,000		Leahy
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LAKES GRANBURY AND WITNEY, TX WATER QUALITY PROGRAM	500,000	Edwards (TX)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SKANEATELES LAKE, NY	100,000	Maffei	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ESTUARY RESTORATION PROGRAM (P.L. 106-457)	956,000		Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	COLORADO LAGOON, LONG BEACH, CA	2,500,000		Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SHORELINE EROSION CONTROL DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION	2,365,000	Brady (PA); Carney; Connolly (VA); Hall (NY); Hinchey; Holden; Kanjorski; Moran (VA); Schwartz; Sestak; Van Hollen	Mikulski; Lautenberg; Specter; Carper; Kaufman; Cardin; Menendez; Casey
Corps of Engineers	Expenses	MID-ATLANTIC RIVER BASIN COMMISSIONS, MD, PA, NY, VA, NJ, DE			

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)—Continued

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ABILENE, TX (BRAZOS RIVER BASIN-ELM CREEK)	95,000		Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ADAMS COUNTY, CO	139,000	DeGette	Bennet; Mark Udall
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ALISO CREEK MAINSTEM, CA	108,000		Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	AMITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA	108,000		Landrieu
		ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MD & DC (COMPREHENSIVE PLAN)	7,000		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY LEVEE, MD & DC	108,000	Edwards (MD); Hoyer	Mikulski; Cardin
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ARKANSAS RIVER CORRIDOR, OK	1,422,000		Inhofe
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ARROYO SECO WATERSHED, CA	207,000	Becerra; Roybal-Allard; Schiff	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BALLONA CREEK RESTORATION, CA	207,000	Harman	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BALTIMORE METRO AREA—PATAPSCO AND BLACK RIVERS, MD	9,000		Cardin
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BLACKSTONE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION, MA & RI	95,000	McGovern	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BLOOMSBURG, PA	216,000	Kanjorski	Specter; Casey
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BOSTON HARBOR (45-FOOT CHANNEL), MA	948,000	Lynch	Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BRUSH CREEK BASIN, KS & MO	190,000	Cleaver; Moore (KS)	Kerry
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CACHE LA POUDE RIVER BASIN, CREELEY, CO	95,000		Bond; Roberts
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CALCASIEU RIVER BASIN, LA	108,000		Mark Udall
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CEDAR RIVER TIME CHECK AREA, CEDAR RAPIDS, IA	2,370,000	Loebback	Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CENTRAL VALLEY INTEGRATED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STUDY, CA	474,000	Garamendi; McInerney	Harkin; Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CENTRALIA, CHEHALIS RIVER, LEWIS COUNTY, WA	572,000	Baird; Dicks	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	379,000	Brown (SC); Clyburn; Spratt	Murray; Cantwell
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CHARTFIELD, CHERRY CREEK AND BEAR CREEK RESERVOIRS, CO	253,000	DeGette; Markey (CO); Perlmutter	Graham
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CHEHALIS RIVER BASIN, WA	382,000	Baird; Dicks	Bennet; Mark Udall
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CHESAPEAKE BAY MARSHLANDS, MD (BLACKWATER WILDLIFE REFUGE)	9,000	Cummings; Edwards (MD); Kratochvil	Murray; Cantwell
				Ruppersberger	Cardin
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CHESAPEAKE BAY SUSQUEHANNA RESERVOIR SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT, PA, MD & VA	145,000		Mikulski; Cardin
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CHOWAN RIVER BASIN, VA & NC	118,000		Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CITY OF INGLEWOOD, CA	190,000	Waters	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CLINCH RIVER WATERSHED, VA	181,000	Boucher	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CLINTON RIVER, MI	95,000		Levin; Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CONNECTICUT RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CT, MA, NH & VT	317,000	Courtney; Murphy (CT); Neal; Oliver	Leahy; Dodd; Lieberman; Kerry
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	COYOTE DAM, CA	108,000		Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CROSS LAKE, IA	47,000		Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DALLAS FLOODWAY, UPPER TRINITY RIVER BASIN, TX	1,384,000	Eddie Bernice Johnson	Hutchison; Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, NJ	25,000	Holt	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DELAWARE RIVER DREDGED MATERIAL UTILIZATION, PA, DE & NJ	181,000	Brady (PA); Murphy, Patrick; Schwartz; Sestak	Kaufman; Specter
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DELAWARE RIVER WATERFRONT, PA	155,000	Schwartz	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DETROIT RIVER MASTER PLAN, MI	190,000	Dingell	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DISMAL SWAMP & DISMAL SWAMP CANAL, VA	21,000		Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DUTCHESS COUNTY WATERSHEDS, NY	95,000	Hall (NY)	Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ECORSE CREEK, MI	252,000	Conyers; Dingell; Kilpatrick (MI)	Levin; Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ELLIOTT BAY SEAWALL, WA	880,000	Dicks; McDermott	Murray; Cantwell
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ESPANOLA VALLEY, RIO GRANDE AND TRIBUTARIES, NM	108,000	Lujan	Bingaman; Tom Udall
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ESTUDILLO CANAL, CA	17,000	Stark	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	FARGO, ND-MOORHEAD, MN & UPSTREAM (RED RIVER OF THE NORTH BASIN)	190,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan; Conrad
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	FLAGLER COUNTY, FL	124,000		Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	FORGE RIVER WATERSHED, NY	410,000	Bishop (NY)	Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	FOUR MILE RUN, VA	50,000	Moran (VA)	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	FREIGHT RIVER, TX	474,000	Paul	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CATRIGHT DAM AND LAKE MOOMAW, VA	95,000		Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	GRAND (NEOSHO) RIVER BASIN WATERSHED, OK, KS, MO & AR	95,000		Roberts
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	GRAYS HARBOR, WA	474,000	Dicks	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	GREAT LAKES REMEDIAL ACTION PLANS & SEDIMENT REMEDIATION, MI, IL, IN, MN, NY, OH, PA & WI	216,000	Kaptur	Voinovich; Levin; Stabenow; Sherrod
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	HLO HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, HAWAII, HI	284,000	Hirono	Brown
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	HOMER HARBOR NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENTS, AK	284,000	Inouye	Murkowski
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	HUDSON-RARITAN ESTUARY, NY & NJ	748,000	Crowley; Serrano	Lautenberg; Menendez; Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	HUMBOLDT, IA	166,000		Harkin; Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION, IL	114,000	Halvorson	Durbin; Burris
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	INTERBASIN CONTROL OF GREAT LAKES-MISSISSIPPI RIVER AQUATIC NUISANCE SPECIES, IL, IN, OH, WI	311,000	Kaptur; Lipinski	Voinovich; Durbin; Levin; Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	JAMES RIVER, ND	95,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan; Conrad
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LANSING GRAND RIVER WATERFRONT RESTORATION, MI	95,000		Levin; Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LITTLE COLORADO RIVER, WINSLOW, AZ	474,000	Kirkpatrick (AZ)	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LONG BEACH BREAKWATER STUDY, CA	284,000	Richardson	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA (LACDA) WATER CONSERVATION AND SUPPLY, WHITTIER NARROWS DAM, CA	212,000	Chu; Napolitano; Roybal-Allard	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LOS ANGELES RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA	932,000	Berman; Roybal-Allard; Schiff; Sherman	Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LOWER CACHE CREEK, YOLO COUNTY, WOODLAND AND VICINITY, CA	281,000	Thompson (CA)	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LOWER MISSION CREEK, CA	108,000		Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LOWER MISSISSIPPI RIVER RESOURCE ASSESSMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO, & TN	95,000		Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LOWER SADDLE RIVER, NJ	95,000	Rothman	Pryor; Lincoln; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MANHATTAN, KS	190,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MATHLIA DAM, CA	518,000	Capps	Brownback; Roberts
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MAY BRANCH, FORT SMITH, AR	216,000		Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, MILL CREEK BASIN, KY	408,000	Yarmuth	Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, SOUTHWEST, KY	118,000	Yarmuth	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MIDDLE CREEK, CA	173,000		Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MIDDLE POTOMAC COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, MD, VA, PA, WV & DC	62,000	Connolly (VA); Moran (VA); Norton; Van Hollen	Cardin
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MIDDLE POTOMAC RIVER-CAMERON RUN/HOLMES RUN, VA	466,000	Moran (VA)	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MIDDLE POTOMAC RIVER, GREAT SENECA/MUDDY BRANCH, MD	30,000	Edwards (MD); Van Hollen	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MILE POINT, FL	360,000	Brown, Corrine	Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, UNITS L-455 & R 460-471, MO & KS	95,000		Bond; Roberts
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MISSOURI RIVER, ND, MT, SD, NE, IA, KS & MO	4,000,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan; Harkin; Conrad
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	NEW JERSEY SHORE PROTECTION, HERFORD INLET TO CAPE MAY INLET, NJ	108,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	NEW JERSEY SHORELINE ALTERNATIVE LONG-TERM NOURISHMENT, NJ	95,000	Adler (NJ)	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	NEW RIVER, CLAYTON LAKE, VA	142,000	Boucher	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	NORTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, ASHAROKEN, NY	95,000	Israel	Schumer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	NUCES RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, TX	461,000	Cuellar; Gonzalez; Ortiz; Rodriguez	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	OHIO RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE STUDY, WV, KY, OH, PA, IL, IN, VA, AL, TN, NY, MD, NC, MS & GA	1,422,000	Rahall	Voinovich; Bunning; Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PAJARO RIVER, CA	485,000	Farr; Honda	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PASSAIC RIVER MAIN STEM, NJ & NY	95,000	Pascrell	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PASSAIC RIVER, HARRISON, NJ	95,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PEARL RIVER WATERSHED, MS	95,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PECKMAN RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NJ	588,000	Pascrell	Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PORT OF IBERIA, LA	864,000	Melancon	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PORTSMOUTH HARBOR AND PISCATAQUA RIVER, NH & ME	95,000	Shea-Porter	Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PRAIRIE DUPONT LEVEE AND SANITARY DISTRICT AND FISH LAKE DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT, IL	680,000	Costello	Shahen
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PUYALLUP RIVER, WA	408,000	Dicks; Smith (WA)	Durbin; Burris
					Murray; Cantwell

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)—Continued

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RAHWAY RIVER BASIN, NJ	345,000	Payne	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, HIGHLANDS, NJ	95,000	Pallone	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, LEONARD, NJ	95,000	Pallone	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, UNION BEACH, NJ	95,000	Pallone	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RED CLAY CREEK, CHRISTINA RIVER WATERSHED, DE	95,000	Carper; Kaufman	Carper; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RED RIVER OF THE NORTH BASIN, ND, MN, SD & MANITOBA, CANADA	278,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan; Klobuchar; Conrad
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SEYENNE RIVER, ND	142,000	Dorgan; Conrad	Dorgan; Conrad
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	REDWOOD CITY HARBOR, CA	276,000	Eshoo	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RIO SALADO OESTE, SALT RIVER, AZ	1,560,000	Pastor (AZ)	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RIVER DES PERES, MO	43,000	Carnahan, Clay	Bond
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SABINE-NECHES WATERWAY, TX	173,000	Cornyn	Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SACRAMENTO RIVER FLOOD CONTROL (GRR), CA (SYSTEM EVALUATION)	569,000	Matsui	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SAC-SAN JOAQUIN DELTA, DELTA ISLANDS AND LEVEES, CA	243,000	Garamendi; McNerney	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHORELINE, CA	95,000	Feinstein	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SAN FRANCISCO CREEK, CA	438,000	Eshoo	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, FRAZIER CREEK, CA	95,000	Feinstein	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, LOWER SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, CA	501,000	Cardoza; McNerney	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, WEST STANISLAUS COUNTY, ORESTIMBA CREEK, CA	476,000	Cardoza	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, WHITE RIVER AND DRY CREEK, CA	95,000	Feinstein	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SANTA CLARA RIVER WATERSHED, CA	108,000	Capps	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SARASOTA, LIDO KEY BEACH, FL	108,000	Bill Nelson	Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SEARSPORT HARBOR, SEARSPORT, ME	284,000	Collins	Collins
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SKAGIT RIVER, WA	1,078,000	Larsen (WA)	Murray; Cantwell
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SKOKOMISH RIVER BASIN, WA	296,000	Dicks	Cantwell
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SOUTH RIVER, RARITAN RIVER BASIN, NJ	95,000	Pallone	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO SHORELINE, CA	2,559,000	Eshoo; Honda; Lofgren; Zoe; Pelosi	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SOUTH SHORE OF STATEN ISLAND, NY	95,000	Schumer; Gillibrand	Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SOUTHEAST OKLAHOMA WATER RESOURCE STUDY, OK	140,000	Inhofe	Inhofe
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SOUTHWEST COASTAL LOUISIANA HURRICANE PROTECTION, LA	432,000	Landrieu	Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SPARKS ARROYO COLONIA, EL PASO COUNTY, TX	181,000	Reyes	Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ST. CHARLES PARISH URBAN FLOOD CONTROL, LA	151,000	Melancon	Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ST. CROIX RIVER RELOCATION OF ENDANGERED MUSSELS, MN & WI	332,000	Obey	Obey
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ST. HELENA-NAPA RIVER, CA	95,000	Thompson (CA)	Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	STONY BROOK, WILLSTONE RIVER BASIN, NJ	108,000	Lautenberg; Menendez	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SUN VALLEY WATERSHED, CA	228,000	Berman; Roybal-Allard; Sherman	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND LOW FLOW MANAGEMENT, NY, PA & MD	108,000	Carney	Mikulski
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	TEN MILE RIVER, DUTCHESS COUNTY, NY AND LITCHFIELD COUNTY, CT	95,000	Hall (NY)	Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	TOPEKA, KS	279,000	Brownback; Roberts	Brownback; Roberts
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	TRUCKEE MEADOWS, NV	4,265,000	Reid; Ensign	Reid; Ensign
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	UPPER MISS RIVER COMPREHENSIVE PLAN II, IA, MO, MN & WI	324,000	Loebbeck	Harkin; Durbin; Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER-ILLINOIS WW SYSTEM, IL, IA, MN, MO & WI	2,202,000	Braley (IA); Carnahan; Ellison; Halvorson; Hare; Loebbeck; McCollum; Oberstar	Durbin; Harkin; Burris; Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	UPPER OHIO NAVIGATION SYSTEM STUDY, PA	338,000	Altmire; Doyle	Specter; Casey
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	UPPER SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION, NY	161,000	Hinchey	Specter; Casey
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	UPPER TURKEY CREEK, KS	85,000	Moore (KS)	Roberts
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	VALDEZ NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENTS, AK	284,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski; Begich
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WAIKAEA-PALAI STREAMS FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION, HAWAII, HI	284,000	Abercrombie; Hirono	Inouye; Akaka
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WALLA WALLA WATERSHED, OR & WA	195,000	Murray; Wyden; Merkley; Cantwell	Murray; Wyden; Merkley; Cantwell
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WALTON COUNTY, FL	227,000	Boyd	Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WASHITA RIVER BASIN, OK	140,000	Inhofe	Inhofe
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WEST MAUI WATERSHED RESTORATION, MAUI, HI	95,000	Hirono	Inouye; Akaka
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WEST SHORE—LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN, LA	130,000	Melancon	Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WESTERN LAKE ERIC BASIN, OH, IN, & MI	1,422,000	Kaptur	Voinovich; Sherrod Brown
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION, PA	120,000	Sanchez; Loretta	Casey
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WESTMINSTER, EAST GARDEN GROVE, CA	108,000	Feinstein	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WHITE RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, AR & MO	95,000	Pryor; Lincoln	Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WHITE RIVER NAVIGATION TO NEWPORT, AR	111,000	Berry	Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	YELLOWSTONE RIVER CORRIDOR, MT	111,000	Tester; Baucus	Tester; Baucus
INVESTIGATIONS—NATIONAL PROGRAMS					
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA:			
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	COASTAL FIELD DATA COLLECTION:			
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PACIFIC ISLAND LAND OCEAN TYPHOON EXPERIMENT	948,000	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SURGE AND WAVE ISLAND MODELING STUDIES, HI	948,000	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT SERVICES:			
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ABINGDON, VA	(55,000)	Boucher	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ANAHOLA (MAUI), HI	(200,000)	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	AU TRAIN, NJ	(75,000)	Levin; Stabenow	Levin; Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BUCKS COUNTY, PA	(125,000)	Murphy, Patrick	Murphy, Patrick
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CHITIMACHA TRIBE OF LOUISIANA, LA [GIS]	(182,000)	Landrieu	Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CITY OF ALEXANDRIA, LA [GIS]	(182,000)	Landrieu	Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DELAWARE DAM SAFETY, DE	(114,000)	Carper; Kaufman	Carper; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DES MOINES RIVER REGULATED FREQUENCY CURVE AND SAYLORVILLE AND RED ROCK RESERVOIRS POOL ELEVATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES, IA	(80,000)	Grassley	Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA [GIS]	(100,000)	Landrieu	Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	GALAX, VA	(100,000)	Boucher	Boucher
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	HURRICANE EVACUATION STUDIES, HI	(300,000)	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	IOWA LIDAR, IA	(100,000)	Grassley	Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LIVINGSTON PARISH, LA [GIS]	(228,000)	Landrieu	Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	NUUANU (OAHU), HI	(200,000)	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	OTERO COUNTY, NM	(100,000)	Teague	Bingaman; Tom Udall
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PAPILLION CREEK WATERSHED, NE	(196,000)	Ben Nelson	Ben Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SOUTHEASTERN, PA	(114,000)	Specter; Casey	Specter; Casey
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SOUTHERN MARYLAND, MD	(250,000)	Hoyer	Hoyer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	URBAN LEVEE EVALUATIONS, MUSCATINE, IA	(60,000)	Loebbeck	Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WAIKAEA (MAUI), HI	(200,000)	Hirono	Hirono
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WAIHOLI GULCH (MAUI, HI)	(200,000)	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WICHITA AREA DRAINAGE MASTER PLAN, KS	(137,000)	Brownback; Roberts	Brownback; Roberts
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	COORDINATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES:			
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	OTHER COORDINATION PROGRAMS:			
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LAKE TAHOE	848,000	Reid; Feinstein	Reid; Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PLANNING ASSISTANCE TO STATES:			
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	AUGUSTA RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, AR	(50,000)	Pryor; Lincoln	Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BIG EAU PLEINE/WISCONSIN RIVER, WI	(250,000)	Obey	Obey
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CHOCTAW COUNTY RESERVOIR, MS	(50,000)	Wicker	Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CLIMATE CHANGE VULNERABILITY AND RISK ASSESSMENT, HI	(114,000)	Inouye; Akaka	Inouye; Akaka
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DELAWARE DAM SAFETY, DE	(114,000)	Carper; Kaufman	Carper; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DRIFT CREEK RESERVOIR PROJECT, OR	(20,000)	Wyden; Merkley	Wyden; Merkley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	FOURMILE CREEK WATERSHED STUDY, IA	(150,000)	Boswell	Boswell
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	GENESSEE RIVER SEDIMENT STUDY, NY	(114,000)	Schumer	Schumer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	GREENWAYS COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, DES MOINES, IA	(100,000)	Grassley	Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	HE'EIA WATERSHED, HI	(100,000)	Inouye; Akaka	Inouye; Akaka
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	JONES COUNTY RESERVOIR, MS	(151,000)	Cochran; Wicker	Cochran; Wicker

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)—Continued

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LOWER NISHABOTNA RIVER BASIN, IA	(100,000)		Harkin; Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MIDDLE AMANA, IA	(74,000)		Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	OKLAHOMA COMPREHENSIVE WATER PLAN, OK	(228,000)		Inhofe
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PALA LAGOON (AMERICAN SAMOA)	(100,000)	Faleomavaega; Hirono	Inouye; Akaka
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PALMYRA ATOLL, HI	(100,000)	Hirono	Inouye; Akaka
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SHUNGANUNGA CREEK, KS	(100,000)		Roberts
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SOUTHERN CUMBERLAND PLATEAU, TN	(100,000)	Davis (TN)	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	STATE OF HAWAII GENERAL FLOOD CONTROL PLAN UPDATE, STATE OF HAWAII AND PACIFIC TERRITORIES, HI	(587,000)	Faleomavaega; Hirono	Inouye; Akaka
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SUSUPE/CHALAN KANOA DRAINAGE PLAN (NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS)	(100,000)		Inouye; Akaka
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	OTHER			
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM	382,000	Lujan; Teague	Johnson; Bingaman; Tom Udall
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	2,349,000		Cochran
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SUBMERGED AQUATIC VEGETATION, MD & VA	864,000		Cardin
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	URBAN FLOOD DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM, DRI, NV	1,728,000	Berkley	Reid; Ensign
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Construction	ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA	5,000,000	Melancon	Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Construction	BAYOU METO BASIN, AR	4,000,000	Berry	Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Construction	GRAND PRAIRIE REGION, AR	10,500,000	Berry	Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Construction	MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA	921,000	Cao	
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Construction	MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	5,723,000	Berry; Cao; Hare	Cochran; Landrieu; Bond; Pryor; Lincoln; Wicker; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Construction	ST. FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO	3,900,000	Berry	Bond; Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Construction	ST. JOHNS BAYOU & NEW MADRID FLOODWAY, MO	200,000		
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Construction	WEST TENNESSEE TRIBUTARIES, TN	500,000	Tanner	
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Construction	YAZOO BASIN, BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS	2,130,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Construction	YAZOO BASIN, MAIN STEM, MS	25,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Construction	YAZOO BASIN, MISSISSIPPI DELTA HEADWATERS PROJECT, MS	21,000,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Construction	YAZOO BASIN, REFORMULATION, MS	1,600,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Construction	YAZOO BASIN, UPPER YAZOO PROJECTS, MS	12,875,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Construction	YAZOO BASIN, YAZOO BACKWATER LESS ROCKY BAYOU, MS	575,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Investigations	COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN			
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Investigations	LIDAR MAPPING IN YAZOO BASIN	900,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Investigations	DONALDSONVILLE TO THE GULF, LA	325,000	Melancon	Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Investigations	MORGANZA TO THE GULF, LA	1,600,000	Melancon	Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Investigations	QUIVER RIVER, MS	100,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Investigations	SOUTHEAST ARKANSAS, AR	200,000	Ross	Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	MRT—Investigations	SPRING BAYOU, LA	81,000		Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	MRT—O&M	BONNET CARRE, LA	1,090,000		Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	MRT—O&M	GREENVILLE HARBOR, MS	765,000	Thompson (MS)	Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT—O&M	HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR	382,000		Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	MRT—O&M	MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	3,883,000	Berry; Cao; Hare	Cochran; Landrieu; Pryor; Lincoln; Vitter; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT—O&M	ST. FRANCIS RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, AR & MO	2,000,000	Berry	Bond; Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	MRT—O&M	VICKSBURG HARBOR, MS	718,000	Thompson (MS)	Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT—O&M	YAZOO BASIN, ARKABULA LAKE, MS	1,000,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT—O&M	YAZOO BASIN, BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS	1,445,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT—O&M	YAZOO BASIN, ENID LAKE, MS	1,090,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT—O&M	YAZOO BASIN, GRENADA LAKE, MS	500,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT—O&M	YAZOO BASIN, SARDIS LAKE, MS	1,000,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT—O&M	YAZOO BASIN, TRIBUTARIES, MS	18,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT—O&M	YAZOO BASIN, WILL M WHITTINGTON AUX CHAN, MS	10,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT—O&M	YAZOO BASIN, YAZOO BACKWATER AREA, MS	127,000		Cochran
Corps of Engineers	MRT—O&M	YAZOO BASIN, YAZOO CITY, MS	74,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ABSECON INLET, NJ	97,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ALABAMA RIVER, AL	1,140,000	Davis (AL)	Sessions
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF & BLACK, LA	1,909,000		Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY—DSC, NC & VA	433,000	McIntyre	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, GA	726,000		Chambliss; Isakson
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NORFOLK, VA TO ST. JOHNS RIVER, FL, GA, SC, NC, & VA	1,982,000		Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC	757,000	Brown (SC)	Graham
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS (50 FOOT), MD	1,293,000	Cummings; Ruppersberger; Sarbanes	Mikulski; Cardin
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BARBERS POINT HARBOR, HI	82,000		Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BARNEAT INLET, NJ	340,000	Adler (NJ)	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BEALS HARBOR, ME	891,000		Collins
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BETHEL HARBOR, AK	509,000		Murkowski
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BLAKLEY MT DAM, LAKE OUACHITA, AR	97,000	Ross	Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BOGUE INLET AND CHANNEL, NC	485,000		Hagan
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, TX	690,000	Ortiz	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BROWNS CREEK, NY	48,000	Israel	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LA	3,842,000		Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CAROLINA BEACH INLET, NC	614,000	McIntyre	Burr
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CARTHERSVILLE HARBOR, MO	859,000		Bond
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CENTRAL & SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL			
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	EVERGLADES AND SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL	625,000	Hastings (FL); Meek (FL); Wasserman Schultz	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CHICAGO HARBOR, IL	455,000	Davis (IL)	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CHICKAMAUGA LOCK, TENNESSEE RIVER, TN	1,363,000	Davis (TN)	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CLAIRBORNE COUNTY PORT, MS	70,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CLEVELAND HARBOR, OH	2,205,000		Wyden; Merkley; Sherrod Brown
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA			
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SOUTH JETTY PROJECT	5,452,000	Baird; Blumenauer; Inslee; Wu	Murray; Crapo; Risch; Wyden; Merkley; Cantwell
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	COLUMBIA RIVER PORTS AT BAKER BAY, AND BETWEEN CHINOOK AND SAND ISLAND, WA & OR	1,647,000	Baird	Murray
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CONCHAS LAKE, NV	397,000	Lujan	Bingaman; Tom Udall
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	COOS BAY, OR	556,000	Defazio	Wyden; Merkley
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CORALVILLE LAKE, IA	123,000	Loebbeck	Harkin; Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	COYOTE VALLEY DAM, LAKE MENDOCINO, CA	1,800,000		Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CROSFIELD HARBOR, MD	78,000		Mikulski
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	DEGRAY LAKE, AR	114,000	Ross	Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	DEPOE BAY, OR	161,000		Wyden; Merkley
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	DRY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS) LAKE & CHANNEL, CA	2,594,000	Woolsey	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ELVIS STAHR (HICKMAN) HARBOR, KY	480,000		Bunning
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY	484,200	Israel	Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAKAWA, ND	100,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	GEORGETOWN HARBOR, SC	902,000	Brown (SC); Clyburn	Graham
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	GRAYS HARBOR AND CHEHALIS RIVER, WA	1,281,000	Dicks	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	GREAT HILLS HARBOR, STATEN ISLAND, NY	43,000	McMahon	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	GREAT SALT POND, BLOCK ISLAND, RI	245,000	Langevin	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI	4,240,000	Kagan	Reed; Whitehouse
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	GREENWICH HARBOR, CT	297,000	Himes	Kohl
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	GULFPORT HARBOR, MS	4,048,000	Taylor	Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	HARBOR OF REFUGE, LEWES, DE	97,000		Carpenter; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	HELENA HARBOR, AR	470,000		Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	HOUMA NAVIGATION CANAL, LA	922,000	Melancon	Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX	2,197,000	Green, Al; Green, Gene; Jackson-Lee	Hutchison; Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	HURON HARBOR, OH	485,000	Kaptur	Voinovich
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, HI	311,000	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY CALOOSAHATCHEE RIVER TO ANCLOTE RIVER, FL	969,000		Bill Nelson

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)—Continued

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, JACKSONVILLE TO MIAMI, FL	2,579,000	Klein; Kosmas, Meek, Wasserman	Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ISABELLA LAKE, CA	677,000	Schultz	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	J. BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA	3,158,000	Costa	Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	J. PERCY PRIEST GREENWAY, TN	991,000	Gordon (TN)	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	JAMES RIVER CHANNEL, VA	1,011,000		Mikulski; Cardin; Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	JENNINGS RANDOLPH LAKE, MD & WV	1,011,000		Begich
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	KODIAK HARBORS, AK	495,000		Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	LAKE PROVIDENCE HARBOR, LA	479,000		Murray
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA	4,686,000		Hagan
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC	242,000	McIntyre	Mikulski
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	LOWER THOROFARE, DEAL ISLAND, MD	991,000	Nye	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	LYNNHAVEN INLET, VA	91,000		Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MADISON PARISH PORT, LA	141,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MANASQUAN RIVER, NJ	121,000		Burr; Hagan
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MANTEDO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC	3,749,000	Price	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MARINA DEL REY, CA	1,413,000	Harman	Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX	897,000	Meek (FL); Wasserman Schultz	Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MIAMI HARBOR, FL	97,000	Stupak	Levin; Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MICHIGAN NAVIGATION O&M, MI	6,938,000	Kildee	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SAGINAW RIVER, MI	432,000		Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MISSISSIPPI RIVER OUTLETS AT VENICE, LA	925,000		Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF OF MEXICO, LA	1,158,000		Harkin; Bond
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MISSOURI RIVER—RULO TO MOUTH, IA, NE, KS & MO	3,469,000	Cleaver	Dorgan
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MISSOURI RIVER, ND (Section 33) MANDAN DIKE RESTORATION	560,000		
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	180,000	Doyle	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MOREHEAD CITY HARBOR, NC	70,000		Hagan
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MOUTH OF YAZOO RIVER, MS	139,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	NARROWS DAM, LAKE GREESE, AR	85,000	Ross	Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	NEW HAMPSHIRE AND SOUTHERN MAINE OCEAN DISPOSAL SITE DESIGNATION STUDY	198,000	Shea-Porter	Collins
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	NEW MADRID HARBOR, MILE 889, MO	407,000		Bond
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	NEW MADRID HARBOR, MO	10,000		Bond
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	NEW TOPSAIL INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC	485,000	McIntyre	Hagan
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	NEW YORK GREAT LAKES NAVIGATION O&M, NY	4,232,000	Owens; Slaughter	Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BARCELONA HARBOR, NY	416,000	Higgins	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	LITTLE SODUS HARBOR, NY	411,000	Maffei	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	NEUBURYPORT HARBOR, MA (SOUTH JETTY)	1,949,000	Tierney	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	NORFOLK HARBOR, VA	403,000		Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	NORFOLK HARBOR, VA	403,000	Himes	Dodd; Lieberman
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	OAKLAND HARBOR, CA	1,916,000	Lee (CA)	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	OKATIBEE LAKE, MS	379,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	OSCEOLA HARBOR, AR	477,000		Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	OUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, AR & LA	1,800,000	Ross	Landrieu; Pryor; Lincoln; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS	2,502,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PATCHOGUE RIVER, WESTBROOK, CT	892,000	Courtney	Lieberman
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PAWCATUCK RIVER, LITTLE NARRAGANSETT BAY & WATCH HILL COVE, CT & RI	1,735,000	Langevin	Reed; Whitehouse
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PETERSBURG HARBOR, AK	243,000		Murkowski; Begich
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PINOLE SHOAL MANAGEMENT STUDY, CA	1,211,000	Garamendi; McNerney	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	POCOMOKE RIVER, MD	1,487,000		Mikulski
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	POINT JUDITH HARBOR OF REFUGE, RI	485,000	Langevin	Reed; Whitehouse
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PORT ORFORD, OR	1,091,000	DeFazio	Wyden; Merkley
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PORTLAND HARBOR, ME	5,947,000		Collins
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PORTSMOUTH HARBOR (SAGAMORE CREEK CHANNELS), NH	99,000	Shea-Porter	Shaheen
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PORTSMOUTH HARBOR AND PISCATAQUA RIVER, NH	490,000	Shea-Porter	Gregg; Shaheen
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PROVIDENCE RIVER AND HARBOR, RI	154,000	Kennedy; Langevin	Reed; Whitehouse
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	RARITAN RIVER TO ARTHUR KILL CUT-OFF, NJ	288,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	RARITAN RIVER, NJ	69,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	RED ROCK DAM AND LAKE, RED ROCK, IA	257,000		Harkin; Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ROSEDALE HARBOR, MS	1,152,000	Thompson (MS)	Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ROYAL RIVER, ME	99,000	Pingree (ME)	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SABINE-NECHES WATERWAY, TX	39,000		Hutchison
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SAN FRANCISCO BAY, LONG TERM MANAGEMENT STRATEGY, CA	2,478,000	Pelosi	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR, CA	204,000	Pelosi	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, PORT OF STOCKTON, CA	1,353,000	Cardoza; McNerney	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SAYLORVILLE LAKE, IA	141,000		Harkin; Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SCHUYLKILL RIVER, PA	813,000	Brady (PA)	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SOUTHEAST MISSOURI PORT, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MO	349,000		Bond
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ST. LUCIE INLET, FL	986,000	Hastings (FL)	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ST. PAUL SMALL BOAT HARBOR, MN	91,000		Franken
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	STONEWALL JACKSON LAKE, WV	61,000		Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV	178,000	Rahali	Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SWINOMISH CHANNEL, WA	259,000	Larsen (WA)	Murray; Cantwell
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	TENNESSEE-TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY WILDLIFE MITIGATION, AL & MS	177,000	Childers; Davis (MS)	Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	TENNESSEE-TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS	1,578,000	Childers; Davis (MS)	Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	TEXAS WATER ALLOCATION ASSESSMENT, TX	891,000		Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	TILLAMOOK BAY AND BAR, OR	582,000	Schrader	Wyden; Merkley
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	TOLEDO HARBOR, OH	1,889,000	Kaptur	Voinovich; Sherrill Brown
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	TWO RIVERS HARBOR, WI	988,000		Kohl
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	WATERWAY ON THE COAST OF VIRGINIA, VA	219,000		Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	WHITNEY LAKE, TX	321,000	Edwards (TX)	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	WILLAMETTE RIVER AT WILLAMETTE FALLS, OR	79,000	Schrader	Wyden; Merkley
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	WILLAPA RIVER AND HARBOR, WA	21,000	Baird	Murray
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	WILMINGTON HARBOR, DE	2,173,000		Carper; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC	347,000	McIntyre	Hagan
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	WILSON LAKE, KS			
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	REALLOCATION STUDY	300,000		Roberts
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	YAGUINA BAY AND HARBOR, OR	61,000	Schrader	Wyden; Merkley
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	YAZOO RIVER, MS	6,000		Wyden; Merkley
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	YAZOO RIVER, MS	124,000	Ross	Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	YELLOW BEND PORT, AR	108,000		Pryor; Lincoln
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE—NATIONAL PROGRAMS					
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	COASTAL DATA INFORMATION PROGRAM (CDIP)	1,845,000	Woolsey	Shaheen; Hagan; Wyden; Merkley
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	NATIONAL COASTAL MAPPING PROGRAM			
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	COASTAL ZONE MAPPING/UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN MISSISSIPPI	2,500,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM			
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CHESAPEAKE BAY, NEWPOINT COMFORT, MATHEWS COUNTY, VA	59,000		Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	HAWAII RSM, HI	1,000,000	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	NORTH CAROLINA RSM, NC	600,000		Burr; Hagan
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	DELAWARE ESTUARY RSM, NJ	200,000		Lautenberg; Specter; Kaufman; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SOUTH COASTAL RHODE ISLAND RSM, RI	750,000		Reed
Corps of Engineers	General Provision	Section 108			Reed
Corps of Engineers	General Provision	Section 109			Cochran; Shaheen
Corps of Engineers	General Provision	Section 110			Cochran
Corps of Engineers	General Provision	Section 111			Ben Nelson
Corps of Engineers	General Provision	Section 114			Dorgan; Conrad
Corps of Engineers	General Provision	Section 115			Shelby
Corps of Engineers	General Provision	Section 116			Lautenberg

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)—Continued

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	General Provision	Section 117			Bond
Corps of Engineers	General Provision	Section 118		Visclosky	
Corps of Engineers	General Provision	Section 119		Pastor	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	CALIFORNIA BASINS GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION FUND, RIALTO RECLAIMED WATER SYSTEM	1,000,000	Baca	Feinstein
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECTS:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	EL DORADO TEMPERATURE CONTROL DEVICE	920,000		Feinstein
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER RESTORATION SETTLEMENT	9,000,000	Costa	Feinstein; Boxer
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SEMITROPIC PHASE II GROUNDWATER BANKING	300,000	Costa	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	MISCELLANEOUS PROJECT PROGRAMS:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ANADROMOUS FISH SCREENS	2,741,000		Feinstein; Boxer
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	DIVERSIFIED LEVEL II/LEVEL IV REFUGE WATER SUPPLY PROGRAM	984,000	Cardoza	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	POTHOLES RESERVOIR SUPPLEMENTAL FEED ROUTE	750,000		Murray; Cantwell
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	DESCHUTES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECT	240,000		Wyden; Merkley
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	DESCHUTES PROJECT	357,000		Wyden; Merkley
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	EASTERN NEW MEXICO WATER SUPPLY	2,000,000	Lujan	Bingaman; Tom Udall
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	FORT PECK RESERVATION/DRY PRAIRIE RURAL WATER SYSTEM	3,000,000		Tester; Baucus
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	HAWAII WATER RESOURCES STUDY	300,000		Inouye
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	LAKE MEAD/LAS VEGAS WASH PROGRAM	800,000	Berkley; Titus	Reid; Ensign
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	LEWIS AND CLARK RURAL WATER SYSTEM	5,000,000	Herseth; Sandlin; Walz	Johnson; Harkin; Grassley; Kiobuchar; Franken; Thune
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	LOWER RIO GRANDE WATER RESOURCES CONSERVATION PROGRAM	958,000	Hinojosa; Ortiz; Reyes	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	MANCOS PROJECT:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	JACKSON GULCH REHABILITATION PROJECT	1,290,000	Salazar	Bennet; Mark Udall
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	MNI WICOMI PROJECT	646,000	Herseth; Sandlin	Johnson; Thune
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NOKEULMINE RIVER REGIONAL WATER STORAGE PROJECT	240,000	McNerney	Feinstein
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NORMAN FEASIBILITY STUDY	755,000		Inhofe
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NORTHERN UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	RURAL WATER TECHNOLOGY ALLIANCE	500,000		Bennett
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ODESSA SUBAREA SPECIAL STUDY	1,250,000		Murray; Cantwell
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	OREGON INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	UMATILLA ON-RESERVATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS	225,000		Wyden; Merkley
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN PROGRAM—GARRISON DIVERSION UNIT	13,449,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan; Conrad
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT	250,000		Feinstein
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	RIO GRANDE PUEBLO IRRIGATION INFRASTRUCTURE	500,000		Bingaman; Tom Udall
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ROCKY BOYS/NORTH CENTRAL MONTANA RURAL WATER SYSTEM	3,000,000		Tester; Baucus
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ROGUE RIVER BASIN PROJECT, TALENT DIVISION			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WATER FOR IRRIGATION STREAMS AND ECONOMY FEASIBILITY STUDY	300,000		Wyden; Merkley
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ROUND ROCK RECLAIMED WATER PROJECT	2,000,000		Cornyn
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SAN DIEGO FOUR-RESERVOIR INTERTIE	240,000		Feinstein
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SAN GABRIEL BASIN RESTORATION FUND	1,476,000	Chu; Napolitano; Roybal-Allard; Schiff; Giffords	Feinstein
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SIERRA VISTA SUBWATERSHED FEASIBILITY STUDY	971,000		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SOUTH/CENTRAL ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	CENTRAL ARIZONA SALINITY STUDY	45,000	Pastor (AZ)	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ST. MARY PROJECT	1,000,000		Tester; Baucus
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SUMMIT COUNTY WATER IMPORTATION PROJECT (Weber Basin)	500,000		Bennett
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WALLOWA LAKE DAM REHABILITATION AND WATER MANAGEMENT	479,000		Wyden; Merkley
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	YAKIMA RIVER BASIN WATER ENHANCEMENT PROJECT:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SUNNYSIDE ID DIVERSION REDUCTION AGREEMENT	3,582,000		Murray; Cantwell
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	YUMA EAST WETLANDS	2,000,000	Grijalva	
WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES—REGIONAL PROGRAMS					
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NATIVE AMERICANS AFFAIRS PROGRAM:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SID YATES SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM	210,000	Pastor (AZ)	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	QUAGGA & ZEBRA MUSSEL RESEARCH ACTIVITIES	500,000		Feinstein
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WATERSMART PROGRAM:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	TITLE XVI PROGRAM:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ALBUQUERQUE METRO AREA WATER & RECLAMATION REUSE, NM	609,000	Heinrich	Bingaman; Tom Udall
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	AVRA/BLACK WASH WASTEWATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE, AZ	246,000	Grijalva	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	BAY AREA REGIONAL WATER RECYCLING PROJECT, CA	1,600,000	Eschao; Garamendi; Honda; Lofgren; Zoe; McNerney; Miller, George; Woolsey	Feinstein
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NORTH BAY WATER REUSE PROJECT, CA	984,000	Thompson (CA); Woolsey	Feinstein
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NORTH LAS VEGAS WATER REUSE, NV	1,930,000	Berkley	Reid
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SAN BERNARDINO MWD, CA	731,000	Baca	Feinstein; Boxer
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WATERREUSE RESEARCH FOUNDATION	2,500,000	Moran (VA)	Reid
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WATSONVILLE AREA WATER RECYCLING PROJECT, CA	492,000	Fari	Feinstein
Bureau of Reclamation	General Provision	Section 205			Reid
Bureau of Reclamation	General Provision	Section 206			Reid
Bureau of Reclamation	General Provision	Section 207			Tester
Bureau of Reclamation	General Provision	Section 208			Dorgan; Conrad

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM, NM	2,648,000	Lujan; Teague	Bingaman; Tom Udall
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ALAMOGORDO, NM	4,104,000	Teague	Tom Udall
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ALASKA COASTAL EROSION, AK	1,500,000		Murkowski
Corps of Engineers	Construction	AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (COMMON FEATURES), CA	7,000,000	Matsui	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NE	315,000		Ben Neison
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ASCENSION PARISH ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, LA	100,000		Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ATLANTA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, GA	2,000,000	Johnson (GA); Lewis (GA); Scott	Chambliss; Isakson
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ATLANTIC COAST OF MARYLAND, MD	730,000	Kratovil	Mikulski; Cardin
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BALTIMORE METRO RESOURCES, GWYNNS FALLS, MD	100,000		Cardin
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BARNEGAT INLET TO LITTLE EGG HARBOR INLET, NJ	7,600,000	Adler (NJ); Rothman (NJ)	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BETHEL BANK STABILIZATION, AK	3,000,000		Murkowski; Begich
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BIG SIOUX RIVER, SIOUX FALLS, SD	2,868,000	Herseth Sandlin	Johnson; Thune
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MO	526,000	Cleaver	Bond
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BOSQUE RIVER WATERSHED, TX	750,000	Edwards (TX)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BRECKENRIDGE, MN	407,000	Peterson; Pomeroy	Klobuchar
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET, ABSECON ISLAND, NJ	191,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET, BRIGANTINE ISLAND, NJ	76,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BRUNSWICK COUNTY BEACHES, NC	342,000	McIntyre	Burr; Hagan
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CALFED LEVEE STABILITY PROGRAM, CA	4,780,000	Garamendi; McNerney	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CALUMET REGION, IN	4,000,000	Visclosky	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CENTRAL CITY, FORT WORTH, UPPER TRINITY RIVER BASIN, TX	4,500,000		Hutchison; Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CENTRAL NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, NM	1,000,000	Heinrich; Lujan	Bingaman; Tom Udall
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CHESAPEAKE BAY ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND PROTECTION, MD, VA & PA			Mikulski; Cardin; Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL, DISPERSAL BARRIER, IL	2,500,000	Hoyer; Sarbanes	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	WINDMILL HILL BULKHEAD REPLACEMENT, VA	500,000	Moran (VA)	Voinovich; Durbin; Levin; Stabenow; Sherrod Brown
Corps of Engineers	Construction	COMITE RIVER, LA	7,649,000	Kaptur	Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CONTRA COSTA CANAL, OAKLEY AND KNIGHTSEN, CA	2,000,000	McNerney; Miller, George	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	COOK COUNTY, IL	2,000,000	Jackson (IL); Lipinski	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CORTE MADERA CREEK, CA	489,000	Woolsey	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CROOKSTON, MN	1,250,000	Peterson	Klobuchar
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CUMBERLAND COUNTY WATER SUPPLY, TN	205,000	Davis (TN)	Alexander
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DALLAS FLOODWAY EXTENSION, TRINITY RIVER PROJECT, TX	20,500,000	Johnson, Eddie Bernice	Hutchison; Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, BROADKILL BEACH, DE & NJ	239,000		Carper; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, DE & NJ, OAKWOOD BEACH, NJ	239,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DELAWARE COAST PROTECTION, DE	373,000		Carper; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DELAWARE COAST, CAPE HENLOPEN TO FENWICK ISLAND, BETHANY BEACH TO SOUTH BETHANY, DE			Carper; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DELAWARE COAST, CAPE HENLOPEN TO FENWICK ISLAND, FENWICK ISLAND, DE	4,494,000		Carper; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DELAWARE COAST, CAPE HENLOPEN TO FENWICK ISLAND, REHOBOTH BEACH AND DEWEY BEACH, DE	143,000		Carper; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DELAWARE RIVER MAINSTEM AND CHANNEL DEEPENING, DE, NJ & PA	143,000		Carper; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DES MOINES RECREATIONAL RIVER AND GREENBELT, IA	5,000,000	Brady (PA); Sestak	Specter; Casey
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DES PLAINES RIVER, IL	3,500,000	Boswell	Harkin; Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DESOTO COUNTY, MS	3,061,000		Durbin
Corps of Engineers	Construction	EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, LA	11,473,000	Childers	Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Construction	EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA	239,000		Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Construction		1,434,000		Landrieu; Vitter

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Construction	EAST ROCKAWAY INLET TO ROCKAWAY INLET AND JAMAICA BAY, NY	978,000	Weiner	Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Construction	EAST ST. LOUIS, IL	456,000	Costello	Durbin
Corps of Engineers	Construction	EL PASO COUNTY, TX	1,500,000	Reyes; Rodriguez	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	FARMINGTON RECHARGE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM, CA	239,000	McNerney	Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Construction	FIRE ISLAND INLET TO MONTAUK POINT, NY	2,900,000	Bishop (NY)	Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Construction	FLORIDA KEYS WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS, FL	2,000,000		Tester; Baucus
Corps of Engineers	Construction	FORT PECK CABIN CONVEYANCE, MT	956,000		
Corps of Engineers	Construction	FORT WAYNE, ST. MARY'S AND MAUMEE RIVERS, IN (GREENWOOD AVENUE TOE DRAIN)	100,000		Lugar
Corps of Engineers	Construction	GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET TO TOWNSEND INLET, NJ	956,000		Lautenberg; Menendez Voinovich; Levin; Stabenow;
Corps of Engineers	Construction	GREAT LAKES FISHERY AND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, MI	956,000	Jackson (IL)	Sherrill Brown
Corps of Engineers	Construction	GREENBRIER RIVER BASIN, WV	1,434,000	Rahall	Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Construction	GUADALUPE RIVER, CA	200,000	Honda; Lofgren; Zoe	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	HACKENSACK MEADOWLANDS, NJ	143,000	Rathman	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	HAINES HARBOR, AK	478,000	Kildee	Murkowski
Corps of Engineers	Construction	HAMILTON DAM, FLINT RIVER, FLINT, MI	625,000		Levin; Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Construction	HARBOR/SOUTH BAY WATER RECYCLING PROJECT, LOS ANGELES, CA	956,000	Harman; Roybal-Allard	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	HAWAII WATER MANAGEMENT, HI	2,000,000	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Construction	HOGES CREEK, WEST CARROLLTON, OH	1,500,000		Voinovich
Corps of Engineers	Construction	INDIANA SHORELINE, IN	1,650,000	Visclosky	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	INDIANAPOLIS ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, IN	1,875,000	Carson (IN)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ISLAND CREEK, LOGAN, WV	4,780,000	Rahall	Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Construction	J. BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA	5,193,000		Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Construction	JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL	400,000	Brown; Corrine	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	JOHNSON CREEK, UPPER TRINITY BASIN, ARLINGTON, TX	478,000		Hutchison; Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Construction	JOSEPH G. MINISH PASSAIC RIVER WATERFRONT, NJ	2,868,000	Payne; Sires	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	KAHUKU STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION, HI	4,590,000	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Construction	KENAI RIVER BLUFF EROSION, AK	2,390,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski
Corps of Engineers	Construction	KENTUCKY RIVER, LOCK AND DAM 10, KY	400,000	Chandler	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LACKAWANNA RIVER, OLYPHANT, PA	500,000	Carney; Kanjorski	Specter; Casey
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LACKAWANNA RIVER, SCRANTON, PA	500,000	Kanjorski	Specter; Casey
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LAKE CHAMPLAIN WATERSHED INITIATIVE, VT	500,000		Leahy; Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LAKE MICHIGAN WATERFRONT, IN	4,000,000	Visclosky	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE, SC	5,000,000	Clyburn	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LEVISA AND TUG FORKS AND UPPER CUMBERLAND RIVER, WV, VA & KY			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LITTLE WOOD RIVER, GOODING, ID	2,750,000	Rahall	Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LLAGAS CREEK, CA	150,000		Crapo; Risch
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LOS ANGELES RIVER DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS, CA	350,000	McNerney	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LOWER MUD RIVER, MILTON, WV	978,000	Becerra; Roybal-Allard	Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MANATEE HARBOR, FL	1,500,000	Rahall	Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MELVIN PRICE LOCK AND DAM, IL & MO	100,000	Castor (FL)	Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MERAMEC RIVER BASIN, VALLEY PARK LEVEE, MO	1,492,000	Costello	Durbin
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA (GRR)	1,912,000		Bond
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MIDDLE RIO GRANDE FLOOD PROTECTION, BERNALILLO TO BELEN, NM	500,000	Cardoza	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MID-VALLEY AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	400,000	Teague	Bingaman; Tom Udall
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MISSISSIPPI ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, MS	717,000	Thompson (CA)	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction		17,209,000	Childers; Taylor	Cochran; Wicker

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT

[CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEM(S)]

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MISSOURI AND MIDDLE MISSISSIPPI RIVERS ENHANCEMENT, MO	574,000		Harkin
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM (UNIT L-385), IA, NE, KS, MO	717,000		Bond
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MISSOURI RIVER RESTORATION, SD	287,000		Johnson
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MT. ST. HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA	460,000	Baird	Murray
		MT. ZION DAM, FULTON COUNTY, IN	1,706,000	Donnelly (IN)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MUDDY RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AND FLOOD DAMAGE CONTROL, MA			Kerry
Corps of Engineers	Construction	MURRIETA CREEK, CA	1,945,000	Frank	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NAPA RIVER, CA	3,346,000		Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY & NJ	2,009,000	Thompson (CA)	Lautenberg; Menendez;
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NEW YORK CITY WATERSHED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, NY	2,000,000	Nadler; Rothman; Sires	Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NOGALES WASH, AZ	978,000	Engel	Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS (DEEPENING), VA	2,000,000	Grijalva	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NORTH DAKOTA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, ND	14,300,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NORTHEASTERN MINNESOTA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, MN	2,000,000	Oberstar	Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NORTHERN WEST VIRGINIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, WV	1,000,000	Mollohan	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NORTHERN WISCONSIN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSISTANCE, WI	5,000,000	Obey	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	NUTWOOD DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT, IL	143,000		Durbin
Corps of Engineers	Construction	OAKLAND COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, MI	489,000	Levin	Levin; Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Construction	OAKLAND HARBOR (50 FOOT PROJECT), CA	2,087,000	Lee; Pelosi	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, OH:			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CITY OF BARBERTON, OH	500,000	Sutton	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CITY OF SEVEN HILLS, OH	1,000,000	Kucinich	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	CITY OF WELLSVILLE, OH	300,000	Wilson (OH)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	EUCLID, OH	1,100,000		Voinovich
Corps of Engineers	Construction	FRANKLIN COUNTY, OH	500,000	Kilroy	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	GUERNSEY COUNTY, OH	500,000	Space	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER	500,000	Kilroy	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	PORTSMOUTH CSO MITIGATION, OH	500,000		Voinovich; Sherrad Brown
Corps of Engineers	Construction	TOLEDO WATERWAYS INITIATIVE PHASE 2, OH	1,000,000		Voinovich; Sherrad Brown
Corps of Engineers	Construction	TOLEDO, OH	2,000,000	Kaptur	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	VILLAGE OF DILLONVALE, OH	300,000	Wilson (OH)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	VILLAGE OF SEVILLE, OH	250,000	Boccelli	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	VINTON COUNTY, OH	100,000	Space	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	OHIO RIVER GREENWAY PUBLIC ACCESS, IN	100,000	Hill	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	OHIO RIVERFRONT, CINCINNATI, OH	2,184,000	Driehaus	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ONONDAGA LAKE, NY	478,000		Voinovich; Sherrad Brown
Corps of Engineers	Construction	OUACHITA RIVER LEVEES, LA	956,000		Schumer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	OZARK - JETA TAYLOR POWERHOUSE, AR (MAJOR REHAB)	12,900,000	Berry	Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Construction	PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL (REIMBURSEMENT)	325,000	Hastings (FL); Klein (FL)	Pryor
Corps of Engineers	Construction	PARK RIVER AT GRAFTON	3,000,000	Pomeroy	Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Construction	PASSAIC RIVER BASIN FLOOD MANAGEMENT, NJ	500,000	Pascirelli	Dorgan; Conrad
Corps of Engineers	Construction	PETALUMA RIVER, CA	951,000	Woolsey	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	PINELLAS COUNTY, FL	1,195,000		Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	PLACER COUNTY, CA	1,000,000		Bill Nelson
				Baird; Dicks; Inslee; Larsen	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	PUGET SOUND AND ADJACENT WATERS RESTORATION, WA	1,434,000	(WA); Smith (WA)	Murray; Cantwell
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RARIAN & SANDY HOOK BAY, PORT MONMOUTH, NJ	1,217,000	Pallone	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RED RIVER BASIN CHLORIDE CONTROL, TX & OK	1,000,000		Cornyn

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ELM FORK, AREA VI ELEMENT	382,000	Inhofe	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RED RIVER BELOW DENISON DAM, AR, LA & TX	2,390,000	Ross	Landrieu; Pryor; Lincoln; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RED RIVER EMERGENCY BANK PROTECTION, AR, LA, OK, & TX	1,912,000	Ross	Landrieu; Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RIO DE FLAG, FLAGSTAFF, AZ	2,000,000	Kirkpatrick (AZ)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ROBERT C. BYRD LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, WV & OH	75,000	Rahall	Klobuchar; Franken
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ROSEAU, MIN (RED RIVER OF THE NORTH BASIN)	5,000,000	Peterson	Crapo; Risch
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RURAL IDAHO, ID	1,500,000		Tester; Baucus
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RURAL MONTANA, MT	4,780,000		Reid; Ensign
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RURAL NEVADA, NV	19,000,000		Bennett
Corps of Engineers	Construction	RURAL UTAH, UT	22,500,000		Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SACRAMENTO RIVER, GLENN-COLUSA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, CA	669,000		Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SAN FRANCISCO BAY TO STOCKTON, CA	239,000	McNerney	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SAN FRANCISCO, CA	5,000,000	Pelosi	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SAN LUIS REY RIVER, CA	1,195,000		Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SAN RAMON VALLEY RECYCLED WATER, CA	459,000	Garamendi; McNerney	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SANDBRIDGE BEACH, VA	287,000	Nye	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SANDY HOOK TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	1,456,000	Pallone	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CA	2,000,000	Sanchez; Loretta	Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SAULT STE. MARIE (REPLACEMENT LOCK), MI	12,000,000	Stupak	Levin; Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SAVANNAH HARBOR EXPANSION, GA	5,000,000	Barrow; Bishop (GA); Johnson	Chambliss; Isakson
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SHOALWATER BAY, WA	1,963,000	Baird	Murray
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SOUTH CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT, PA	1,500,000	Critz	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, PA:			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BOROUGH OF QUAKERTOWN, PA	500,000	Murphy, Patrick	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BRISTOL TOWNSHIP, PA	300,000	Murphy, Patrick	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	DELEWARE AND CHESTER COUNTY STREAMS	200,000	Sestak	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SANDYFORD RUN WETLAND CREATION, PA	200,000	Schwartz	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM, WV			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SOUTHWEST VALLEY, FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION, ALBUQUERQUE, NM	2,000,000	Rahall	Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ST. CLAIR RIVER AND LAKE ST. CLAIR, MI	5,809,000	Heinrich	Bingaman; Tom Udall
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ST. LOUIS FLOOD PROTECTION, MO	300,000	Levin	Levin; Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ST. LOUIS, MO (COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW)	91,000		Bond
Corps of Engineers	Construction	STE. GENEVIEVE, MO	1,500,000	Carnahan; Clay	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SUCCESS DAM AND RESERVOIR, CA (DAM SAFETY)	750,000	Carnahan	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SWOPE PARK INDUSTRIAL AREA, KANSAS CITY, MO	6,228,000		Bond
Corps of Engineers	Construction	TAHOE BASIN RESTORATION, CA & NV	4,900,000	Cleaver	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	INCLINE AND THIRD CREEK PROJECT	1,000,000		Reid
Corps of Engineers	Construction	TAMPA HARBOR, FL	2,700,000		Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Construction	THREE RIVERS WET WEATHER DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM, ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PA:	200,000	Castor (FL)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ASPINWALL BOROUGH			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	FOUR MILE RUN STREAM DAYLIGHTING PROJECT	200,000	Altire	Specter
Corps of Engineers	Construction	TOWNSEND INLET TO CAPE MAY INLET, NJ	100,000	Boyle	Specter
Corps of Engineers	Construction	TRES RIOS, AZ	956,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Construction	TUCSON DRAINAGE AREA, AZ	8,000,000	Pastor (AZ)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	TUSCALOOSA, AL	5,000,000	Giffords; Grijalva	
Corps of Engineers	Construction		8,240,000		Shelby

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Construction	UNALASKA HARBOR, AK	500,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski; Begich
Corps of Engineers	Construction	UPPER GUADALUPE RIVER, CA	2,195,000	Honda; Lotgren, Zoe	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)	1,912,000	Nye	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Construction	WEST ONSLOW BEACH AND NEW RIVER INLET TOPSAIL BEACH, NC	400,000	McIntyre	Burr; Hagan
Corps of Engineers	Construction	WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD CONTROL, PA & WV	750,000	Molichan	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	WESTERN SARPY COUNTY AND CLEAR CREEK, NE	3,120,000		Ben Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Construction	WRIGHTSVILLE BEACH, NC	143,000	McIntyre	Burr; Hagan
Corps of Engineers	Construction	WYOMING VALLEY, PA (LEEVE RAISING)	1,157,000	Carney, Kanjorski	Specter; Casey
Corps of Engineers	Construction	YUBA RIVER BASIN, CA	3,346,000		Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	YUKON, OK, MUNICIPAL WATER INFRASTRUCTURE REHABILITATION	500,000		Inhofe
		CONSTRUCTION - NATIONAL PROGRAMS			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL:			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	BLACK ROCK LAKE, OGDENSBURG, NY	150,000		Schumer
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT	500,000		Leahy
Corps of Engineers	Construction	LAKES GRANBURY AND WITNEY, TX WATER QUALITY PROGRAM	500,000	Edwards (TX)	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SKANEATELES LAKE, NY	100,000	Maffei	
Corps of Engineers	Construction	ESTUARY RESTORATION PROGRAM (P.L. 106-457)			
Corps of Engineers	Construction	COLORADO LAGOON, LONG BEACH, CA	956,000		Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Construction	SHORELINE EROSION CONTROL DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION	2,500,000		Feinstein
				Brady (PA); Carney, Connolly (VA); Hall (NY); Hinchey; Holden; Kanjorski; Moran (VA); Schwartz; Sestak; Van Hollen	Mikulski; Lautenberg; Specter; Carper; Kaufman; Cardin; Menendez; Casey
Corps of Engineers	Expenses	MID-ATLANTIC RIVER BASIN COMMISSIONS, MD, PA, NY, VA, NJ, DE	2,365,000		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ABILENE, TX (BRAZOS RIVER BASIN-ELM CREEK)	95,000		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ADAMS COUNTY, CO	139,000	DeGette	Corryn
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ALSO CREEK MAINSTEM, CA	108,000		Bennet; Mark Udall
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	AMITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA	108,000		Feinstein
		ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MD & DC (COMPREHENSIVE PLAN)	7,000		Landrieu
		ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY LEVEE, MD & DC			
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ARKANSAS RIVER CORRIDOR, OK	108,000	Edwards (MD); Hoyer	Mikulski; Cardin
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ARROYO SECO WATERSHED, CA	1,422,000		Inhofe
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BALLONA CREEK RESTORATION, CA	207,000	Becerra; Roybal-Allard; Schiff	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BALTIMORE METRO AREA - PATAPSCO AND BLACK RIVERS, MD	207,000	Harman	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BLACKSTONE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION, MA & RI	9,000		Cardin
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BLOOMSBURG, PA	95,000	McGovern	Specter; Casey
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BOSSIER PARISH, LA	216,000	Kanjorski	Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BOSTON HARBOR (45-FOOT CHANNEL), MA	948,000	Lynch	Kerry
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BRUSH CREEK BASIN, KS & MO	190,000	Cleaver; Moore (KS)	Bond; Roberts
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CACHE LA POUDE RIVER BASIN, GREELEY, CO	95,000		Mark Udall
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CALCASIEU RIVER BASIN, LA	108,000	Loebbeck	Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CEDAR RIVER TIME CHECK AREA, CEDAR RAPIDS, IA	2,370,000		Harkin; Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CENTRAL VALLEY INTEGRATED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STUDY, CA	474,000	Garamendi; McNerney	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CENTRALIA, CHEHALIS RIVER, LEWIS COUNTY, WA	572,000	Baird; Dicks	Murray; Cantwell
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	379,000	Brown (SC); Clyburn; Spratt	Graham
				DeGette; Markey (CO); Perlmutter	Bennet; Mark Udall
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CHATFIELD, CHERRY CREEK AND BEAR CREEK RESERVOIRS, CO	253,000		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CHEHALIS RIVER BASIN, WA	382,000	Baird; Dicks	Murray; Cantwell

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CHESAPEAKE BAY MARSHLANDS, MD (BLACKWATER WILDLIFE REFUGE)	9,000	Cummings; Edwards (MD); Kratovil; Ruppersberger	Cardin
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CHESAPEAKE BAY SUSQUEHANNA RESERVOIR SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT, PA, MD & VA	145,000		Mikulski; Cardin Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CHOWAN RIVER BASIN, VA & NC	118,000		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CITY OF INGLEWOOD, CA	190,000	Waters	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CLINCH RIVER WATERSHED, VA	181,000	Boucher	Levin; Stabenow
		CLINTON RIVER, MI	95,000		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CONNECTICUT RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CT, MA, NH & VT	317,000	Courtney; Murphy (CT); Neal; Oliver	Leahy; Dodd; Lieberman; Kerry
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	COYOTE DAM, CA	108,000		Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CROSS LAKE, LA	47,000		Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DALLAS FLOODWAY, UPPER TRINITY RIVER BASIN, TX	1,384,000	Eddie Bernice Johnson	Hutchison; Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, NJ	25,000	Holt	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DELAWARE RIVER DREDGED MATERIAL UTILIZATION, PA, DE & NJ	181,000	Brady (PA); Murphy, Patrick; Schwartz; Sestak	Kaufman; Specter
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DELAWARE RIVER WATERFRONT, PA	155,000	Schwartz	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DETROIT RIVER MASTER PLAN, MI	190,000	Dingell	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DISMAL SWAMP & DISMAL SWAMP CANAL, VA	21,000		Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DUTCHESS COUNTY WATERSHEDS, NY	95,000	Hali (NY)	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ECORSE CREEK, MI	252,000	Conyers; Dingell; Kilpatrick (MI)	Levin; Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ELLIOTT BAY SEAWALL, WA	880,000	Dicks; McDermott	Murray; Cantwell
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ESPANOLA VALLEY, RIO GRANDE AND TRIBUTARIES, NM	108,000	Lujan	Bingaman; Tom Udall
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ESTUDILLO CANAL, CA	17,000	Stark	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	FARGO, ND - MOORHEAD, MN & UPSTREAM (RED RIVER OF THE NORTH BASIN)	190,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan; Conrad
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	FLAGLER COUNTY, FL	124,000		Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	FORGE RIVER WATERSHED, NY	410,000	Bishop (NY)	Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	FOUR MILE RUN, VA	50,000	Moran (VA)	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	FREEMPORT HARBOR, TX	474,000	Paul	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	GATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE MOOMAW, VA	95,000		Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	GRAND (NEOSHO) RIVER BASIN WATERSHED, OK, KS, MO & AR	95,000		Roberts
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	GRAYS HARBOR, WA	474,000	Dicks	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	GREAT LAKES REMEDIAL ACTION PLANS & SEDIMENT REMEDIATION, MI, IL, IN, MN, NY, OH, PA & WI	216,000	Kaptur	Volinovich; Levin; Stabenow;
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	HILO HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, HAWAII, HI	284,000	Hirono	Sherrod Brown
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	HOMER HARBOR NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENTS, AK	284,000		Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	HUDSON-RARITAN ESTUARY, NY & NJ	748,000	Crowley; Serrano	Murkowski
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	HUMBOLDT, IA	166,000		Lautenberg; Menendez; Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION, IL	114,000	Halvorson	Harkin; Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	INTERBASIN CONTROL OF GREAT LAKES-MISSISSIPPI RIVER AQUATIC NUISANCE SPECIES, IL, IN, OH, WI	311,000	Kaptur; Lipinski	Durbin; Burris
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	JAMES RIVER, ND	95,000	Pomeroy	Volinovich; Durbin; Levin; Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LANSHING GRAND RIVER WATERFRONT RESTORATION, MI	95,000		Dorgan; Conrad
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LITTLE COLORADO RIVER, WINSLOW, AZ	474,000	Kirkpatrick (AZ)	Levin; Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LONG BEACH BREAKWATER STUDY, CA	284,000	Richardson	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA (LACDA) WATER CONSERVATION AND SUPPLY, WHITTIER NARROWS DAM, CA	212,000	Chu; Napolitano; Roybal-Alfaro	Feinstein

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LOS ANGELES RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA	932,000	Berman; Roybal-Allard; Schiff;	Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LOWER CACHE CREEK, YOLO COUNTY, WOODLAND AND VICINITY, CA	281,000	Sherman	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LOWER MISSION CREEK, CA	108,000	Thompson (CA)	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LOWER MISSISSIPPI RIVER RESOURCE ASSESSMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO, & TN	95,000		Pryor; Lincoln; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LOWER SADDLE RIVER, NJ	95,000	Rothman	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MANHATTAN, KS	190,000		Brownback; Roberts
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MATILUA DAM, CA	518,000	Capps	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MAY BRANCH, FORT SMITH, AR	216,000		Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, MILL CREEK BASIN, KY	408,000	Yarmuth	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, SOUTHWEST, KY	118,000	Yarmuth	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MIDDLE CREEK, CA	173,000		Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MIDDLE POTOMAC COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, MD, VA, PA, WV & DC	62,000	Connolly (VA); Moran (VA);	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MIDDLE POTOMAC RIVER - CAMERON RUN/HOLMES RUN, VA	466,000	Norton; Van Hollen	Cardin
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MIDDLE POTOMAC RIVER, GREAT SENECA/MUDDY BRANCH, MD	30,000	Moran (VA)	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MILE POINT, FL	360,000	Edwards (MD); Van Hollen	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, UNITS L-455 & R 460-471, MO & KS	95,000	Brown; Corrine	Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MISSOURI RIVER, MD, MT, SD, NE, IA, KS & MO	4,000,000	Pomeroy	Bond; Roberts
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	NEW JERSEY SHORE PROTECTION, HEREFORD INLET TO CAPE MAY INLET, NJ	108,000		Dorgan; Harkin; Conrad
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	NEW JERSEY SHORELINE ALTERNATIVE LONG-TERM NOURISHMENT, NJ	95,000	Adler (NJ)	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	NEW RIVER, CLAYTOR LAKE, VA	142,000	Boucher	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	NORTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, ASHAROKEN, NY	95,000	Israel	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	NUCES RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, TX	461,000	Cuellar; Gonzalez; Ortiz;	Schumer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	OHIO RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE STUDY, WV, KY, OH, PA, IL, IN, VA, AL, TN, NY, MD, NC, MS & GA	1,422,000	Rodriguez	Voinovich; Bunning;
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PAJARO RIVER, CA	485,000	Rahall	Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PASSAIC RIVER MAIN STEM, NJ & NY	95,000	Farr; Honda	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PEARL RIVER WATERSHED, MS	95,000	Pascrell	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PECKMAN RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NJ	95,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PORT OF IBERIA, LA	588,000	Pascrell	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PORTSMOUTH HARBOR AND PISCATAQUA RIVER, NH & ME	864,000	Melancon	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PRAIRIE DUPONT LEVEE AND SANITARY DISTRICT AND FISH LAKE DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT, IL	95,000	Shea-Porter	Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PUYALLUP RIVER, WA	680,000	Costello	Shaheen
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RAHWAY RIVER BASIN, NJ	408,000	Dicks; Smith (WA)	Durbin; Burris
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, HIGHLANDS, NJ	345,000	Payne	Murray; Cantwell
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, LEONARDO, NJ	95,000	Pallone	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, UNION BEACH, NJ	95,000	Pallone	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RED CLAY CREEK, CHRISTINA RIVER WATERSHED, DE	95,000	Pallone	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RED RIVER OF THE NORTH BASIN, ND, MN, SD & MANITOBA, CANADA	278,000	Pomeroy	Carper; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SHEYENNE RIVER, ND	142,000		Dorgan; Klobuchar; Conrad
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	REDWOOD CITY HARBOR, CA	276,000	Eshoo	Dorgan; Conrad
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RIO SALADO OESTE, SALT RIVER, AZ	1,560,000	Pastor (AZ)	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RIVER DES PERES, MO	43,000	Carnahan; Clay	Bond
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SABINE-NICHES WATERWAY, TX	173,000		Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SACRAMENTO RIVER FLOOD CONTROL (GRII), CA (SYSTEM EVALUATION)	569,000	Matsui	Feinstein

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestor's House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SAC SAN JOAQUIN DELTA, DELTA ISLANDS AND LEVEES, CA	243,000	Garamendi; McNerney	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHORELINE, CA	95,000		Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SAN FRANCISCO CREEK, CA	438,000	Eshoo	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, FRAZIER CREEK, CA	95,000		Feinstein
		SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, LOWER SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, CA	501,000	Cardoza; McNerney	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, WEST STANISLAUS COUNTY, ORESTIMBA CREEK, CA	476,000	Cardoza	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, WHITE RIVER AND DRY CREEK, CA	95,000		Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SANTA CLARA RIVER WATERSHED, CA	108,000	Capps	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SARASOTA, LIDO KEY BEACH, FL	108,000		Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SEARSPORT HARBOR, SEARSPORT, ME	284,000		Collins
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SKAGIT RIVER, WA	1,078,000	Larsen (WA)	Murray; Cantwell
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SKOKOMISH RIVER BASIN, WA	296,000	Dicks	Cantwell
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SOUTH RIVER, RARITAN RIVER BASIN, NJ	95,000	Pallone	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO SHORELINE, CA	2,559,000	Eshoo; Honda; Lofgren; Zoe; Pelosi	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SOUTH SHORE OF STATEN ISLAND, NY	95,000		Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SOUTHEAST OKLAHOMA WATER RESOURCE STUDY, OK	140,000		Ithofe
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SOUTHWEST COASTAL LOUISIANA HURRICANE PROTECTION, LA	432,000		Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SPARKS ARROYO COLONIA, EL PASO COUNTY, TX	181,000	Reyes	Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ST. CHARLES PARISH URBAN FLOOD CONTROL, LA	151,000	Melancon	Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ST. CROIX RIVER RELOCATION OF ENDANGERED MUSSELS, MN & WI	332,000	Obey	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ST. HELENA-NAPA RIVER, CA	95,000	Thompson (CA)	Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	STONY BROOK, MILLSTONE RIVER BASIN, NJ	108,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SUN VALLEY WATERSHED, CA	228,000	Berman; Roybal-Allard; Sherman	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND LOW FLOW MANAGEMENT, NY, PA & MD	108,000	Carney	Mikulski
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	TEN MILE RIVER, DUTCHESS COUNTY, NY AND LITCHFIELD COUNTY, CT	95,000	Hall (NY)	Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	TOPEKA, KS	279,000		Brownback; Roberts
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	TRUCKEE MEADOWS, NV	4,265,000		Reid; Ensign
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	UPPER MISS RIVER COMPREHENSIVE PLAN IL, IA, MO, MN & WI	324,000	Loebback	Harkin; Durbin; Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER - ILLINOIS WW SYSTEM, IL, IA, MN, MO & WI		Braley (IA); Carnahan; Ellison; Halvorson; Hare; Loebback;	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	UPPER OHIO NAVIGATION SYSTEM STUDY, PA	2,202,000	McCollum; Oberstar	Durbin; Harkin; Burris; Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	UPPER SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION, NY	338,000	Altmire; Doyle	Specter; Casey
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	UPPER TURKEY CREEK, KS	161,000	Hinchey	Roberts
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	VALDEZ NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENTS, AK	85,000	Moore (KS)	Murkowski; Begich
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WAIKAEA-PALAI STREAMS FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION, HAWAII, HI	284,000	Young (AK)	Inouye; Akaka
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WALLA WALLA WATERSHED, OR & WA	284,000	Abercrombie; Hirono	Murray; Wyden; Merkley;
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WALTON COUNTY, FL	195,000		Cantwell
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WASHITA RIVER BASIN, OK	227,000	Boyd	Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WEST MAUI WATERSHED RESTORATION, MAUI, HI	140,000		Inhofe
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WEST SHORE - LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN, LA	95,000	Hirono	Inouye; Akaka
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WESTERN LAKE ERIE BASIN, OH, IN, & MI	130,000	Melancon	Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION, PA	1,422,000	Kaptur	Voinovich; Sherrod Brown
			4,000		Casey

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WESTMINSTER, EAST GARDEN GROVE, CA	120,000	Sanchez, Loretta	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WHITE RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, AR & MO	108,000		Pryor, Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WHITE RIVER NAVIGATION TO NEWPORT, AR	95,000	Berry	Pryor, Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	YELLOWSTONE RIVER CORRIDOR, MT	111,000		Tester, Baucus
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	INVESTIGATIONS - NATIONAL PROGRAMS			
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA:			
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	COASTAL FIELD DATA COLLECTION:			
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PACIFIC ISLAND LAND OCEAN TYPHOON EXPERIMENT	948,000	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SURGE AND WAVE ISLAND MODELING STUDIES, HI	948,000	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT SERVICES:			
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ABINGDON, VA	(55,000)	Boucher	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	ANAHOLA '(KAUAI), HI	(200,000)	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	AU TRAIN, MI	(75,000)		Levin, Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BUCKS COUNTY, PA	(125,000)	Murphy, Patrick	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CHITIMACHA TRIBE OF LOUISIANA, LA [GIS]	(182,000)		Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CITY OF ALEXANDRIA, LA [GIS]	(182,000)		Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DELAWARE DAM SAFETY, DE	(114,000)		Carper; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DES MOINES RIVER REGULATED FREQUENCY CURVE AND SAYLORVILLE AND RED ROCK RESERVOIRS POOL ELEVATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES, IA	(80,000)		Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA [GIS]	(100,000)		Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	GALAX, VA	(100,000)	Boucher	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	IOWA LIDAR, IA	(300,000)	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LIVINGSTON PARISH, LA [GIS]	(100,000)		Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	NUJUANU '(OAHU), HI	(228,000)		Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	OTERO COUNTY, NM	(200,000)	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PAPILLION CREEK WATERSHED, NE	(100,000)	Teague	Bingaman; Tom Udall
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SOUTHEASTERN, PA	(196,000)		Ben Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SOUTHERN MARYLAND, MD	(114,000)		Specter; Casey
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	URBAN LEVEE EVALUATIONS, MUSCATINE, IA	(250,000)	Hoyer	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WAIMEEA '(KAUAI), HI	(60,000)	Loebback	Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WAIHOLI GUICH '(MAUI), HI	(200,000)	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	WICHITA AREA DRAINAGE MASTER PLAN, KS	(200,000)		Brownback; Roberts
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	COORDINATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES:	(137,000)		
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	OTHER COORDINATION PROGRAMS:			
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LAKE TAHOE	848,000		Reid; Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PLANNING ASSISTANCE TO STATES:			
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	AUGUSTA RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, AR	(50,000)		Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	BIG EAU PLEINE/WISCONSIN RIVER, WI	(250,000)	Obey	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CHOCTAW COUNTY RESERVOIR, MS	(50,000)		Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	CLIMATE CHANGE VULNERABILITY AND RISK ASSESSMENT, HI	(114,000)		Inouye; Akaka
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DELAWARE DAM SAFETY, DE	(114,000)		Carper; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	DRIFT CREEK RESERVOIR PROJECT, OR	(20,000)		Wyden; Merkley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	FOURMILE CREEK WATERSHED STUDY, IA	(150,000)	Boswell	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	GENESSEE RIVER SEDIMENT STUDY, NY	(114,000)		Schumer
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	GREENWAYS COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, DES MOINES, IA	(100,000)		Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	HE'EIA WATERSHED, HI	(100,000)		Inouye; Akaka

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	JONES COUNTY RESERVOIR, MS	(151,000)		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	LOWER NISHABOTNA RIVER BASIN, IA	(100,000)		Harkin; Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	MIDDLE AMANA, IA	(74,000)		Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	OKLAHOMA COMPREHENSIVE WATER PLAN, OK	(228,000)		Inhofe
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PALA LAGOON (AMERICAN SAMOA)	(100,000)	Faleomavaega; Hirono	Inouye; Akaka
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	PALMYRA ATOLL, HI	(100,000)	Hirono	Inouye; Akaka
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SHUNGANUNGA CREEK, KS	(100,000)		Roberts
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SOUTHERN CUMBERLAND PLATEAU, TN	(100,000)	Davis (TN)	
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	STATE OF HAWAII GENERAL FLOOD CONTROL PLAN UPDATE, STATE OF	(587,000)	Faleomavaega; Hirono	Inouye; Akaka
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	HAWAII AND PACIFIC TERRITORIES, HI	(100,000)		Inouye; Akaka
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SUSUPE/CHALAN KANOA DRAINAGE PLAN (NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS)			
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	OTHER:			
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM	382,000	Lujan; Teague	Johnson; Bingaman; Tom Udall
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	2,349,000		Cochran
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	SUBMERGED AQUATIC VEGETATION, MD & VA	864,000		Cardin
Corps of Engineers	Investigations	URBAN FLOOD DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM, DBI, NV	1,728,000	Berkley	Reid; Ensign
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Construction	ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA	5,000,000	Melancon	Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Construction	BAYOU METO BASIN, AR	4,000,000	Berry	Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Construction	GRAND PRAIRIE REGION, AR	10,500,000	Berry	Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Construction	MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA	921,000	Cao	
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Construction	MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	5,723,000	Berry; Cao; Hare	Cochran; Landrieu; Bond;
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Construction	ST. FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO	3,900,000	Berry	Pryor; Lincoln; Wicker; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Construction	ST. JOHN'S BAYOU & NEW MADRID FLOODWAY, MO	200,000		Bond; Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Construction	WEST TENNESSEE TRIBUTARIES, TN	500,000	Tanner	Bond
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Construction	YAZOO BASIN, BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS	2,130,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Construction	YAZOO BASIN, MISSISSIPPI DELTA HEADWATERS PROJECT, MS	25,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Construction	YAZOO BASIN, REFORMULATION, MS	21,000,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Construction	YAZOO BASIN, UPPER YAZOO PROJECTS, MS	1,600,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Construction	YAZOO BASIN, YAZOO BACKWATER LESS ROCKY BAYOU, MS	12,875,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Construction	COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN:	575,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Investigations	LIDAR MAPPING IN YAZOO BASIN			
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Investigations	DONALDSONVILLE TO THE GULF, LA	900,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Investigations	MORGANZA TO THE GULF, LA	325,000	Melancon	Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Investigations	QUIVER RIVER, MS	1,600,000	Melancon	Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Investigations	SOUTHEAST ARKANSAS, AR	100,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT - Investigations	SPRING BAYOU, LA	200,000	Ross	Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	MRT - O&M	BONNET CARRE, LA	81,000		Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	MRT - O&M	GREENVILLE HARBOR, MS	1,090,000		Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	MRT - O&M	HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR	765,000	Thompson (MS)	Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT - O&M	MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	382,000		Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	MRT - O&M	ST. FRANCIS RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, AR & MO	3,883,000	Berry; Cao; Hare	Lincoln; Vitter; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT - O&M	VICKSBURG HARBOR, MS	2,000,000	Berry	Bond; Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	MRT - O&M	YAZOO BASIN, ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS	718,000	Thompson (MS)	Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT - O&M	YAZOO BASIN, BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS	1,000,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT - O&M	YAZOO BASIN, ENID LAKE, MS	1,446,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT - O&M		1,090,000		Cochran; Wicker

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestor's House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	MRT - O&M	YAZOO BASIN, GRENADA LAKE, MS	500,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT - O&M	YAZOO BASIN, SARDIS LAKE, MS	1,000,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT - O&M	YAZOO BASIN, TRIBUTARIES, MS	18,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT - O&M	YAZOO BASIN, WILL M WHITTINGTON AUX CHAN, MS	10,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	MRT - O&M	YAZOO BASIN, YAZOO BACKWATER AREA, MS	127,000		Cochran
Corps of Engineers	MRT - O&M	YAZOO BASIN, YAZOO CITY, MS	74,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ABSECON INLET, NJ	97,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ALABAMA RIVER, AL	1,140,000	Davis (AL)	Sessions
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF & BLACK, LA	1,909,000	Melancon	Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY - DSC, NC & VA	433,000	McIntyre	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, GA	726,000		Chambliss; Isakson
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NORFOLK, VA TO ST. JOHNS RIVER, FL, GA, SC, NC, & VA	1,982,000		Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC	757,000	Brown (SC)	Graham
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS (50 FOOT), MD	1,293,000	Cummings; Ruppersberger; Sarbanes	Mikulski; Cardin
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BARBERS POINT HARBOR, HI	82,000		inouye
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	340,000	Adler (NJ)	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BEALS HARBOR, ME	891,000		Collins
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BETHEL HARBOR, AK	509,000		Murkowski
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BLANKLEY MT DAM, LAKE OUACHITA, AR	97,000	Ross	Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BOGUE INLET AND CHANNEL, NC	485,000		Hagan
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, TX	690,000	Ortiz	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BROWNS CREEK, NY	48,000	Israel	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LA	3,842,000		Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CAROLINA BEACH INLET, NC	614,000	McIntyre	Burr
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CARUTHERSVILLE HARBOR, MO	859,000		Bond
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CENTRAL & SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL			
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	EVERGLADES AND SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL	625,000	Hastings (FL); Meek (FL); Wasserman Schultz	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CHICAGO HARBOR, IL	455,000	Davis (IL)	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CHICKAMAUGA LOCK, TENNESSEE RIVER, TN	1,363,000	Davis (TN)	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CLAIBORNE COUNTY PORT, MS	70,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CLEVELAND HARBOR, OH	2,205,000		Voinovich; Sherrod Brown
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA			
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SOUTH JETTY PROJECT			
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	COLUMBIA RIVER PORTS AT BAKER BAY, AND BETWEEN CHINDOK AND SAND ISLAND, WA & OR	5,452,000	Baird; Blumenauer; Inslee; Wu	Murray; Crapo; Risch; Wyden; Merkley; Cantwell
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CONCHAS LAKE, NM	1,647,000	Baird	Murray
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	COOS BAY, OR	397,000	Lujan	Bingaman; Tom Udall
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CORALVILLE LAKE, IA	556,000	DeFazio	Wyden; Merkley
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	COYOTE VALLEY DAM, LAKE MENDOCINO, CA	123,000	Loebbeck	Harkin; Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	CRISFIELD HARBOR, MD	1,800,000		Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	DEGRAY LAKE, AR	78,000		Mikulski
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	DEPOE BAY, OR	114,000	Ross	Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	DRY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS) LAKE & CHANNEL, CA	161,000		Wyden; Merkley
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ELVIS STAHR (HICKMAN) HARBOR, KY	2,594,000	Woolsey	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY	480,000		Bunning
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAKAWA, ND	4,842,000	Israel	Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance		100,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	GEORGETOWN HARBOR, SC	902,000	Brown (SC); Clyburn	Graham
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	GRAYS HARBOR AND CHEHALIS RIVER, WA	1,281,000	Dicks	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	GREAT KILLS HARBOR, STATEN ISLAND, NY	43,000	McMahon	Reed; Whitehouse
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	GREAT SALT POND, BLOCK ISLAND, RI	245,000	Langevin	Kohl
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI	4,240,000	Kagen	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	GREENWICH HARBOR, CT	297,000	Himes	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	GULFPORT HARBOR, MS	4,048,000	Taylor	Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	HARBOR OF REFUGE, LEWES, DE	97,000		Carter; Kaufman
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	HELENA HARBOR, AR	470,000		Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	HOUMA NAVIGATION CANAL, LA	922,000	Melancon	Landrieu; Vitter
				Green, Al; Green, Gene;	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX	2,197,000	Jackson-Lee	Hutchison; Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	HURON HARBOR, OH	485,000	Kaptur	Voinovich
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, HI	311,000	Hirono	Inouye
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY CALOOSAHAATCHEE RIVER TO ANCLOTE RIVER, FL	969,000		Bill Nelson
				Klein; Kosmas; Meek;	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, JACKSONVILLE TO MIAMI, FL	2,579,000	Wasserman Schultz	Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ISABELLA LAKE, CA	677,000	Costa	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	J. BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA	3,158,000		Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	J. PERCY PRIEST GREENWAY, TN	991,000	Gordon (TN)	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	JAMES RIVER CHANNEL, VA	314,000		Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	JENNINGS RANDOLPH LAKE, MD & WV	1,011,000		Mikulski; Cardin; Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	KODIAK HARBORS, AK	496,000		Begich
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	LAKE PROVIDENCE HARBOR, LA	479,000		Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA	4,686,000		Murray
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC	242,000	McIntyre	Hagan
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	LOWER THOROFARE, DEAL ISLAND, MD	991,000		Mikulski
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	LYNNHAVEN INLET, VA	91,000	Nye	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MADISON PARISH PORT, LA	141,000		Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MANASQUAN RIVER, NJ	121,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MANATEE (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC	3,749,000	Price	Burr; Hagan
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MARINA DEL REY, CA	1,413,000	Harman	Feinstein
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX	897,000	Paul	Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MIAMI HARBOR, FL	97,000	Meek (FL); Wasserman Schultz	Bill Nelson
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MICHIGAN NAVIGATION O&M, MI	6,938,000	Stupak	Levin; Stabenow
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SAGINAW RIVER, MI	432,000	Kildee	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MISSISSIPPI RIVER OUTLETS AT VENICE, LA	925,000		Landrieu; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF OF MEXICO, LA	1,158,000		Landrieu
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MISSOURI RIVER - RULO TO MOUTH, IA, NE, KS & MO	3,469,000	Cleaver	Harkin; Bond
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MISSOURI RIVER, ND (Section 33) MANDAN DIKE RESTORATION	560,000		Dorgan
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	180,000	Doyle	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MOREHEAD CITY HARBOR, NC	70,000		Hagan
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	MOUTH OF YAZOO RIVER, MS	139,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	NARROWS DAM, LAKE GREESE, AR	85,000	Ross	Pryor; Lincoln
		NEW HAMPSHIRE AND SOUTHERN MAINE OCEAN DISPOSAL SITE DESIGNATION			
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	STUDY	198,000	Shea-Porter	Collins
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	NEW MADRID HARBOR, MILE 889, MO	407,000		Bond
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance		10,000		Bond

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	NEW TOPSAIL INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC	485,000	McIntyre	Hagan
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	NEW YORK GREAT LAKES NAVIGATION O&M, NY	4,232,000	Owens; Slaughter	Schumer; Gillibrand
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	BARCELONA HARBOR, NY	416,000	Higgins	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	LITTLE SODUS HARBOR, NY	411,000	Maffei	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	NEWBURYPORT HARBOR, MA (SOUTH JETTY)	1,949,000	Tierney	Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	NORFOLK HARBOR, VA	403,000	Himes	Dodd; Lieberman
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	NORWALK HARBOR, CT	555,000	Lee (CA)	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	OAKLAND HARBOR, CA	1,916,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	OKATIBEE LAKE, MS	379,000		Pryor; Lincoln
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	OSCEOLA HARBOR, AR	477,000		
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	OUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, AR & LA	1,800,000	Ross	Landrieu; Pryor; Lincoln; Vitter
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS	2,502,000		Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PATCHOGUE RIVER, WESTBROOK, CT	892,000	Courtney	Lieberman
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PAWCATUCK RIVER, LITTLE NARRAGANSETT BAY & WATCH HILL COVE, CT & RI	1,735,000	Langevin	Reed; Whitehouse
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PETERSBURG HARBOR, AK	243,000		Murkowski; Begich
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PINGLE SHOAL MANAGEMENT STUDY, CA	1,211,000	Grammendi; McNerney	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	POCONOKE RIVER, MD	1,487,000		Mikulski
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	POINT JUDITH HARBOR OF REFUGE, RI	485,000	Langevin	Reed; Whitehouse
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PORT ORFORD, OR	1,091,000	DeFazio	Wyden; Merkley
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PORTLAND HARBOR, ME	5,947,000		Collins
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PORTSMOUTH HARBOR (SAGAMORE CREEK CHANNELS), NH	99,000	Shea-Porter	Shaheen
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PORTSMOUTH HARBOR AND PISCATAQUA RIVER, NH	490,000	Shea-Porter	Gregg; Shaheen
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	PROVIDENCE RIVER AND HARBOR, RI	154,000	Kennedy; Langevin	Reed; Whitehouse
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	RARITAN RIVER TO ARTHUR KILL CUT-OFF, NJ	288,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	RARITAN RIVER, NJ	69,000	Pallone	Lautenberg; Menendez
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	RED ROCK DAM AND LAKE, RED ROCK, IA	257,000		Harkin; Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ROSEDALE HARBOR, MS	1,152,000	Thompson (MS)	Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ROYAL RIVER, ME	99,000	Pingree (ME)	Hutchison
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SABINE-NECHES WATERWAY, TX	39,000		
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SAN FRANCISCO BAY, LONG TERM MANAGEMENT STRATEGY, CA	2,478,000	Pelosi	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR, CA	204,000	Pelosi	Feinstein; Boxer
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, PORT OF STOCKTON, CA	1,353,000	Cardoza; McNerney	Harkin; Grassley
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SAVILORVILLE LAKE, IA	141,000		Bond
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SCHUYLKILL RIVER, PA	813,000	Brady (PA)	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SOUTHEAST MISSOURI PORT, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MO	349,000		
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ST. LUCIE INLET, FL	986,000	Hastings (FL)	
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	ST. PAUL SMALL BOAT HARBOR, MN	97,000		Franken
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	STONEWALL JACKSON LAKE, WV	61,000		Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV	178,000	Rahall	Rockefeller
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	SWINOMISH CHANNEL, WA	259,000	Larsen (WA)	Murray; Cantwell
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	TENNESSEE-TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY WILDLIFE MITIGATION, AL & MS	177,000	Childers; Davis (MS)	Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	TENNESSEE-TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS	1,578,000	Childers; Davis (MS)	Cochran; Wicker
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	TEXAS WATER ALLOCATION ASSESSMENT, TX	891,000		Cornyn
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	TILLAMOOK BAY AND BAR, OR	582,000	Schader	Wyden; Merkley
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	TOLEDO HARBOR, OH	1,889,000	Kaptur	Voinovich; Sherrill Brown
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	TWO RIVERS HARBOR, WI	988,000		Kohl
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	WATERWAY ON THE COAST OF VIRGINIA, VA	219,000		Webb; Warner
Corps of Engineers	Operation and Maintenance	WHITNEY LAKE, TX	321,000	Edwards (TX)	

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	HAWAII WATER RESOURCES STUDY	300,000		Inouye
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	LAKE MEAD/LAS VEGAS WASH PROGRAM	800,000	Berkley; Titus	Reid; Ensign Johnson; Harkin; Grassley; Klobuchar; Franken; Thune
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	LEWIS AND CLARK RURAL WATER SYSTEM	5,000,000	Herseth Sandlin; Walz	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	LOWER RIO GRANDE WATER RESOURCES CONSERVATION PROGRAM	958,000	Hinojosa; Ortiz; Reyes	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	MANCOS PROJECT:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	JACKSON GULCH REHABILITATION PROJECT	1,290,000	Salazar	Bennet; Mark Udall
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	MINI WICONI PROJECT	646,000	Herseth Sandlin	Johnson; Thune
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	MOKELUMNE RIVER REGIONAL WATER STORAGE PROJECT	240,000	McNerney	Feinstein
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NORMAN FEASIBILITY STUDY	755,000		Inhofe
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NORTHERN UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	RURAL WATER TECHNOLOGY ALLIANCE	500,000		Bennett
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ODESSA SUBAREA SPECIAL STUDY	1,250,000		Murray; Cantwell
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	OREGON INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	UWATILLA ON-RESERVATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS	225,000		Wyden; Merkley
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	PICK SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN PROGRAM - GARRISON DIVERSION UNIT	13,449,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan; Conrad Feinstein
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT	250,000		Bingaman; Tom Udall
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	RIO GRANDE PUEBLO IRRIGATION INFRASTRUCTURE	500,000		Tester; Baucus
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ROCKY BOYS/NORTH CENTRAL MONTANA RURAL WATER SYSTEM	3,000,000		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ROGUE RIVER BASIN PROJECT, TALENT DIVISION:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WATER FOR IRRIGATION STREAMS AND ECONOMY FEASIBILITY STUDY	300,000		Wyden; Merkley
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ROUND ROCK RECLAIMED WATER PROJECT	2,000,000		Cornyn
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SAN DIEGO FOUR-RESERVOIR INTERTIE	240,000		Feinstein
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SAN GABRIEL BASIN RESTORATION FUND	1,476,000	Chu; Napolitano; Roybal-Allard;	Feinstein
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SIERRA VISTA SUBWATERSHED FEASIBILITY STUDY	971,000	Schiff Giffords	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SOUTH/CENTRAL ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	CENTRAL ARIZONA SALINITY STUDY	45,000	Pastor (AZ)	Tester; Baucus
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ST. MARY PROJECT	1,000,000		Bennett; Hatch
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SUMMIT COUNTY WATER IMPORTATION PROJECT (Weber Basin)	500,000		Wyden; Merkley
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WALLOWA LAKE DAM REHABILITATION AND WATER MANAGEMENT	479,000		
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	YAKIMA RIVER BASIN WATER ENHANCEMENT PROJECT:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SUNNYSIDE ID DIVERSION REDUCTION AGREEMENT	3,592,000		Murray; Cantwell
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	YUMA EAST WETLANDS	2,000,000	Grijalva	
		WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES - REGIONAL PROGRAMS			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NATIVE AMERICANS AFFAIRS PROGRAM:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SID YATES SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM	210,000	Pastor (AZ)	
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	QUAGGA & ZEBRA MUSSEL RESEARCH ACTIVITIES	500,000		Feinstein
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WATERSMART PROGRAM:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	TITLE XVI PROGRAM:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	TITLE XVI FUNDING OPPORTUNITY:			
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	ALBUQUERQUE METRO AREA WATER & RECLAMATION REUSE, NM	609,000	Heinrich	Bingaman; Tom Udall
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	AVRA/BLACK WASH WASTEWATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE, AZ	246,000	Grijalva	

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT

(CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS)

Agency	Account	Project Title	Funding	Requestors House	Senate
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	BAY AREA REGIONAL WATER RECYCLING PROJECT, CA	1,600,000	Eshoo; Garamendi; Honda;	Feinstein
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NORTH BAY WATER REUSE PROJECT, CA	984,000	Lofgren, Zoe; McInerney;	Feinstein
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	NORTH LAS VEGAS WATER REUSE, NV	1,930,000	Miller, George; Woolsey	Reid
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	SAN BERNARDINO MWD, CA	731,000	Thompson (CA); Woolsey	Feinstein; Boxer
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources - Title XVI	WATERUSE RESEARCH FOUNDATION	2,500,000	Berkey	Reid
Bureau of Reclamation	Water and Related Resources	WATSONVILLE AREA WATER RECYCLING PROJECT, CA	492,000	Baca	Feinstein
Bureau of Reclamation	General Provision	Section 205		Moran (VA)	Reid
Bureau of Reclamation	General Provision	Section 206		Farr	Reid
Bureau of Reclamation	General Provision	Section 207			Tester
Bureau of Reclamation	General Provision	Section 208			Dorgan; Conrad

[illegible]

Agency	Account	Project Title	Amount	House	Senate
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Martin County Microbial Fuel Cell Technology Development (NC)	\$500,000	Van Hollen; Sarbanes	Burr; Hagan
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Marland Clean Energy Center	\$500,000	Hill	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Maximizing Electricity Output from Wind Farms	\$500,000		Ben Nelson
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Mid-Atlantic Regional Institute for Coastal and Ocean Renewable Energy (MARICORE)	\$750,000	Thompson (CA)	Fenistein; Boer
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Mid-South/Southeast BioEnergy Consortium (AR)	\$2,100,000	Moran (VA)	Pryor; Lincoln
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Mission Verde Center	\$880,000	Gonzalez	Tester; Baucus
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Montana Algal Biofuels Initiative (MT)	\$750,000		
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	National Center for Deepwater Offshore Wind	\$725,000	Cummings; Sarbanes	Reed; Whitehouse
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	NW Iowa High-Speed Rail Project (IA)	\$650,000	Cantor (IL)	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Nano-antenna Power Sources for Hard Deployable Systems	\$1,000,000		Cortez; Wicker
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	National Center for Deepwater Offshore Wind	\$1,000,000	Pringle (ME)	Collins; Snowe
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	National Open Ocean Energy Laboratory	\$1,500,000	Klein (FL); Deutch; Wasserman Schultz	Bill Nelson
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	National Wind Energy Research Center (NWERC)	\$1,500,000	Jackson Lee (TX)	Hutchison
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Natural Resource Research Center (NMCC) (AR)	\$1,500,000		Coryn
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Neighborhood Energy Outreach Center	\$400,000	Pryor	Pryor; Lincoln
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Nevada Inter-Tribal Energy Consortium (NV)	\$150,000	Price (NC)	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Nevada Renewable Energy Consortium (NV)	\$3,500,000		Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Nevada Renewable Energy Innovation and Deployment Cluster—Technology Commercialization and Site	\$3,000,000		
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	New England Marine Renewable Energy Center	\$2,800,000	Frank (MA); McGovern; Delahunt	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	New York State Solar Electric Incentive Programs	\$55,000	Michaud	Collins
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	NMCC Wind Power Technology Program	\$800,000		Hagan
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	North Carolina A&T State University Transportation Field and Robased Products from Agricultural Waste	\$1,000,000	Butterfield	Shaheen
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	North Country Community Wood Energy Systems (NH)	\$700,000		
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Northwest Ohio BioMass Program Initiative	\$1,000,000	Frudge	Murkowski
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Offshore Wind Energy Research and Education Initiative	\$800,000	Young (AK)	Murray
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Offshore Wind Energy Research and Education Initiative	\$800,000	Kennedy; Langevin	Sherrill Brown
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Ohio Advanced Energy Manufacturing Center (OAMC)	\$500,000		Vonnahme; Sherrill Brown
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Ohio Low Cost Carbon Fiber Initiative (OH)	\$750,000	Nye	Webb; Warner
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Ohio Dominion University, Construction and Operation of a Demonstration Scale Algal Research Center (VA)	\$290,000	Maillet	Gallibrand
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Optimized Centralized Solar Power Trough Development Project (PA)	\$750,000		Specter
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Oregon Institute of Technology Green Technology Center	\$550,000	Blumentrater; Schrader; Wu	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Oregon MOVES	\$400,000	Blumentrater; Wu	Wyden; Merkley
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	OSU Wave Energy Test Bench	\$2,000,000	Blumentrater; Schrader; Defazio; Wu	Wyden; Merkley
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Phosphoric Acid Production from Coal Gasification	\$100,000	Lewy	Schumer
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Phosphoric Acid Production from Coal Gasification	\$100,000	Diesel	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Pittsburgh Green House—Training and Outreach	\$150,000	Diesel	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Plant 22 Photovoltaic Generator	\$700,000	Sapich; Linda	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	PLU Geothermal Heat Plant Project	\$1,200,000	Dicks	Landrieu
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Producing Renewable Value-Added Products from Waste Feedstocks (LA)	\$120,000	Adelman	Lewin; Stabenow
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Project Open Lighting LED Installation	\$700,000	Kipatnick (MI)	Kidchadar
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Questa Business Park Solar Energy Infrastructure	\$700,000	Lujan	Wyden; Merkley
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Red Wing Regional Biosolids Processing Facility (MN)	\$500,000		Schumer
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Reedsport Wave Power Project (Phase I) (OR)	\$750,000		Dubin
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Renewable Energy Demonstration Project at Old Fort Lewis College	\$300,000	Salazar	Mark Udall
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Renewable Energy Initiative (IL)	\$500,000	Reid	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Renewable Energy Initiative (IL)	\$500,000	Hare	Landenberg; Menendez
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Renewable Energy Solar Farm (NO)	\$500,000	Hinojosa	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Renewable Energy Technology Training Lab	\$200,000		Begich
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Reno Hydrogen Fuel (NV)	\$700,000		Kohl
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Research and Develop Geothermal Energy (AK)	\$500,000	Moore (WI)	Landenberg; Menendez
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Research in Aerobic Biotechnology for Renewable Energy	\$500,000		
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Research in Aerobic Biotechnology for Renewable Energy	\$500,000		
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Rowan University Algae-Based Renewable Fuel Initiative (NJ)	\$500,000	Grayson	Bennett
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Rubidao Alternative Energy Program	\$600,000		Fenistein
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Salem City Solar Energy Project (UT)	\$300,000	Woolsey; Miller; George; McInerney; Pelosi	Boer
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	San Francisco Bay Area Biosolids to Energy Project, CA (CA)	\$750,000		
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	San Francisco Electric Vehicle Initiative	\$1,000,000	Pelosi	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	San Francisco Energy Efficient LED Streetlight Project	\$1,000,000		Warner
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	San Francisco Energy Efficient LED Streetlight Project	\$1,000,000		
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Seneca Heights Sustainable Energy Program	\$600,000	Schauer	Lewin; Stabenow
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Sika Blue Lake Hydroelectric Expansion Project	\$1,000,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski; Begich
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Small Vertical Wind Turbine Demonstration Project	\$150,000	Harverson	Cardwell
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Southern County F&D Geothermal Energy Study	\$1,200,000	Inslee	Reed; Whitehouse
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Energy Consortium (NE)	\$500,000		Gallibrand
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Energy Infrastructure Enhancement (NV)	\$500,000		Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Energy Water Reclamation Demonstration Project	\$600,000		Lugar
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Panel Demonstration for the Lodi Municipal Complex	\$300,000	Rohman (NJ)	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Panel Demonstration for the Lodi Municipal Complex	\$300,000	Holt	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Panels for the Municipal Library, East Brunswick, NJ	\$400,000	Moore (KS)	Roberts
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Photovoltaic Panels on Ocean County Parking Deck	\$300,000	Adler (NY)	

Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Power Demonstration To Create An Energy Efficient Building	\$500,000	Watson	Leahy
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Sustainable Campus Initiative of Sierra Nevada College at Lake Tahoe (NV)	\$500,000	Delaitro	Leiberman
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Irrigation of Hydrangea from Water	\$750,000		Dodd, Lieberman
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	South Jordan NGV Fuel Implementation Program (UT)	\$300,000		Bennett
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	South Utah Electric District Crab Creek Small Hydropower Facility (UT)	\$1,000,000	Giffords	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Southern Arizona Green Energy Training Enhancement Project	\$590,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Southern Regional Center for Lightweight Innovative Designs (MS)	\$3,500,000		Murray; Cantwell
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Southeast Washington Tidal Energy Project	\$1,000,000	Baird	Cochran; Wicker
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Southwest Washington Tidal Energy Project	\$500,000		Bennett
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Strategic Biomass Initiative (MS)	\$2,000,000	Harsh Sandlin	Cochran; Wicker
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Sun Grant Initiative, South Dakota State University Regional Biomass Feedstock Research and Development (SD)	\$2,000,000		Johnson; Thune
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Sustainable Biofuel Production—Consolidated Bioprocessing Strategy for Biomass Conversion (MA)	\$600,000		Kerry
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Sustainable Campus Initiative of Sierra Nevada College at Lake Tahoe (NV)	\$400,000		Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Sustainable Energy Biomass Outreach Program (VT)	\$200,000		Sanders
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Sustainable Energy Institute (MA)	\$500,000		Sanders
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Sustainable Energy Institute (MA)	\$500,000		Harkin; Grassley
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Switched Reluctance Motor Technology for Zero Emission Mobility	\$9,000,000	Childers	Cochran; Wicker
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	TCC Green Workforce	\$500,000	Bocan	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Town of North Hempstead Solar Farm	\$400,000	Boyd	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Training for Employment in the Algae Economy (HALE)	\$500,000	Ackerman	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility Environmental and Energy Enhancement (NV)	\$800,000	Davis (CA)	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Tulahoma City Schools Solar Roof Demonstration	\$1,000,000		
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	UDC Renewable Energy Institute	\$500,000	Davis (IN)	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	University of Arkansas at Little Rock Manufactured Composite Project	\$300,000	Norton	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	University of Colorado Southwest Bioenergy Consortium	\$1,000,000	Snyder	Bennett
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	University of Connecticut Energy Independence Program (CT)	\$1,100,000	Scott (GA); Barrow	Prior; Lincoln
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	UNR—Mountain West Solar Project (NV)	\$2,000,000		Chambliss
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	UNR—Great Basin Center for Geothermal Energy (NV)	\$250,000		McConnell
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Urban Wind Demonstration Project	\$300,000	Crowley	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Utah Center for Energy Innovation and Commercialization (UT)	\$3,400,000		Gallagher
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Vermont Biomass and Carbon Harvest Initiative (VT)	\$750,000		Bennett
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Vermont Biomass Energy Resource Center (VT)	\$1,000,000		Leahy
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Village of Aspen Wind and Solar	\$250,000		Wyden; Merkley
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Warburg College Wind Energy Demonstration Project	\$1,000,000	Bulch	Klobuchar; Franken
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	White Earth Tribal BioMass Bio Fuel Project (MN)	\$700,000	Brady (IA)	Dorgan
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Wind Energy Infrastructure (ND)	\$550,000		Dorgan
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Wind Energy Materials Development (ND)	\$2,000,000	Pomeroy	Murray
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Wind Energy Technology Training Program (WA)	\$300,000		Dorgan
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Wind Energy Technology Training Program (ND)	\$300,000		Peter; Baucus
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Wind Power Exploratory (MT)	\$1,000,000		Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Wind Resource Monitoring and Training Program (NV)	\$1,000,000		Bennett
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Winthrop Hospital Solar Array System	\$700,000	Meeks (NY); McCarthy (NY)	Leahy
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Wireless Turbine Blade Sensor System Research (NY)	\$1,000,000	Slaughter	Wyden; Merkley
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	WMA Canyon House Geothermal Improvements	\$600,000	Townes	Klobuchar; Franken
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Advanced Open-Controller Smart Grid with Renewable Energy Sources	\$1,000,000		Gillibrand
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Emerging Smart Grid Technology Study	\$200,000		Harkin
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Energy Development and Reliability (ND)	\$300,000	Schill	
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Great Lakes Energy Institute	\$550,000	Fidge; Ryan (OH)	Dorgan
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Heber Light and Power Automated Metering Infrastructure	\$500,000	Maheson	Voinovich; Sherrod Brown
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Legal and Regulatory Implications of a Smart Electric Grid (Phase II)	\$900,000	Welch	
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Norfolk Valley Electrification Program (NM)	\$900,000	Kirkpatrick (AZ); Lujan	Bingaman; Ton Ualil
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	North Carolina Smart Grid	\$1,000,000	Reid (NY); Lowey	
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Renewable Energy for South Texas Colonias	\$500,000	Gordon (TN)	
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Research for Operation of High Voltage Transmission Lines at Overloads	\$650,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Smart Grid Simulation Technology (ND)	\$800,000		Dorgan
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Technology Development (ND)	\$750,000	Pastor (AZ)	
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	University of Arizona Compressed Air Energy Storage	\$300,000	Kapoor	Reid
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	University of Texas Energy and Innovation Infrastructure	\$1,000,000		Bennett
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	UNR—Renewable Energy Sources (NV)	\$500,000		Voinovich
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Nuclear Engineering Research Center (UT)	\$2,000,000	Kirby	Reid
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Nuclear Fabrication Consortium (OH)	\$2,000,000		Chandler
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Nuclear Power Operator Simulator	\$800,000	Larson (CT)	Mack Udalil; Bennett
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Ohio State Nuclear Reactor Laboratory Facility Research and Education Expansion	\$2,000,000	Fermatter	Dorgan; Conrad
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Overnight of Tucca Mountain Shut Down and Reclamation (NV)	\$2,300,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Carbon Capture Research and Development	\$300,000	Childers	Rockefeller
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Carbon Capture Research and Development	\$1,000,000		Capuano
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Clean Energy Technology (ND)	\$2,000,000		Rockefeller
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Fossil Fuel Research and Development (ND)	\$3,000,000		Dorgan; Conrad
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Gulf of Mexico Hydrates Research Consortium	\$1,000,000		Specter
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Hydrogen Fuel Dispensing Station (NV)	\$750,000		McConnell
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Long Term Environmental and Economic Impacts of the Development of a Coal Liquefaction Sector in China (WV)	\$3,000,000		Bennett
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	National Center for Hydrogen Technology (ND)	\$1,000,000		
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Oklahoma State University Energy Center—Natural Gas Technology Training	\$750,000		
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Sanitary Generation/Catena Carbon Capture Project (PA)	\$300,000		
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Ultra-Low CO ₂ Emission Coal Fuel Cell Technology	\$1,000,000		
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Utah Center for Ultra-Clean Coal Utilization and Heavy Oil Research (UT)	\$7,000,000		

Agency	Account	Project Title	Amount	House	Senate
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Advanced Computer Simulation Initiative (NV)	\$1,000,000	Young (AO)	Reid
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Alaska Climate Center	\$500,000		Nickowski; Begich
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Antibodies Research (ND)	\$4,000,000	Langevin; Kennedy	Dorgan; Conrad
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Brown University Carbon Sequestration	\$2,000,000		Rockefeller
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Center for Diagnostic Nanosystems (WV)	\$2,000,000		Dorgan; Conrad
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Center for Sustainable Energy at Boise Community College	\$500,000	Pomeroy	
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Center for Sustainable Energy Solutions	\$500,000		
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Climate Model Evaluation Program (AL)	\$3,500,000	Brown; Corns; Grayson; Meek (FL)	Cochran; Wicker
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Characteristics and Cleanup of the U.S. Nuclear Legacy (MS)	\$2,000,000		Stebby; Sessions
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Computing Capability (ND)	\$500,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan; Conrad
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Development of Nanotechnology-enhanced Solar Cells with Low Cost and High Conversion Efficiency (WV)	\$500,000		Reid
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Energy Research Program at Sandia's Laboratory	\$500,000	Cornets; Dingell	Levin; Stabenow
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Energy and Environmental Engineering and Sustainability	\$250,000	Bentall	Cochran
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Environmental Radioactivity Monitoring Laboratory/Monitoring/Assessment of the Radioactive Pollutants in the South-West Mississippi (MS)	\$750,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Fordham Green Sciences Instrumentation, Research, Teaching and Learning Initiative	\$400,000	Serrano	
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Functional Nanoparticles for Improved Ultra Capacitor Devices (MS)	\$1,000,000	Byrd; Brown; Corns; Meek (FL)	Cochran; Wicker
Department of Energy	Office of Science	High Performance Computing for Energy Research (TX)	\$1,000,000	Green; Gerte; Green; Al	
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Houston Work Urban Energy to Sustainability Initiative	\$1,000,000	Markey (MA)	Craig; Risch
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Idaho National Laboratory Center for Advanced Energy Studies (ID)	\$2,000,000		Kerry
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Institute for Integrated Sciences	\$4,000,000		Brownback; Roberts
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Lincoln Memorial University/ORN Partnership on Geothermal Energy (IN)	\$500,000	Cummings	Ben Nelson
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Material Science Smart Coatings (NE)	\$750,000		Alexander
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Materials Research Center for Energy Storage and Conversion	\$1,000,000		Mulinski; Cardin
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Missouri University Research Reactor Reflector Shield (MO)	\$2,000,000		Bond
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Morris College STEM Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$600,000	Spratt	
Department of Energy	Office of Science	MST Minority Graduate Program	\$2,500,000	Falah	
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Nanotechnology Initiative	\$600,000	Courtney; Larson (CT); DeLauro	Dodd; Lieberman
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Nevada Water Resources Data, Modeling, and Visualization (NV)	\$750,000	Trus; Beckley	Reid
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Oregon Sustainability Center	\$300,000	Blumenauer; Schrader; W	Wyden; Merkley
Department of Energy	Office of Science	PMA Recapture and Electron-Beam Lithography Tool for Nanotechnology Research	\$1,000,000	Stabenow	Kerry
Department of Energy	Office of Science	RNA Recapture and Electron-Beam Lithography Tool for Nanotechnology Research	\$2,500,000	Price (NC); Miller (NC); Elmhurst	Harkin; Reid
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Solid State Preparation and Characterization Lab (WV)	\$300,000		Dubin
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Southern Illinois University Research (IL)	\$750,000	Doggett	
Department of Energy	Office of Science	St. Edwards University Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Equipment	\$1,000,000	Markey (CO)	
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Sustainable Energy Development Center	\$500,000		Bingaman; Tom Udall
Department of Energy	Office of Science	The Center for Science Education at Emmanuel College	\$400,000	Carpino	
Department of Energy	Office of Science	The Center for Science Education at Emmanuel College	\$2,500,000	Jackson (IL); Cummins; Iovino; Sesiak; Butterfield; Hastings (FL)	
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Thurgood Marshall College Fund Minority Science Initiative	\$750,000	Over	Inhofe
Department of Energy	Office of Science	TU Algae to Green Fuels Energy Project (OK)	\$2,000,000		Fenistien
Department of Energy	Office of Science	UNMSA New Laboratory Science Building Laboratory Outfitting and Equipment	\$1,500,000	Largew	Reid; Whitehouse
Department of Energy	Office of Science	University of California, San Diego Seismic Research (CA)	\$500,000	Johnson	
Department of Energy	Office of Science	University of South Dakota Project on Renewable Energy and the Economy (SD)	\$300,000	Wendroff	
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Center for Innovation through Visualization and Simulation, Purdue University-Calumet, IN	\$1,000,000	Pastor (AZ)	
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Achieving a College Education Program at Maricopa County Community Colleges	\$1,750,000	Falah	
Department of Energy	Office of Science	GEAR UP Collaborative HBCU Graduate Program	\$1,000,000	Dyburn	
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Historically Black Colleges and Universities Program	\$1,000,000	Scott (GA); Bishop (GA); Lewis (GA)	Chambliss
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Empower Energy Science Research and Education Initiative	\$400,000	Leback	Harkin; Grassley
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Buena Vista Energy Commission Plant and Ames Laboratory Former Workers Medical Surveillance Programs (IA)	\$1,000,000		McConnell
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Medical Monitoring at Paducah, KY, Portsmouth, OH, and Oak Ridge, TN (IN)		Frank (MA); McGovern	
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Section 312: City of Fall River, Massachusetts			

Agency	Account	Project Title	Amount	House	Senate
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	21st Century Renewable Fuels, Energy, and Materials Initiative	\$400,000	Kildee	Levin; Stabenow
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Adams State College Solar Project (CO)	\$400,000	Salazar	Mark Udall
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Advanced Closed-Cycle Turbine Generator (WA)	\$1,000,000	---	Murray
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Advanced Energy Studies (ND)	\$1,500,000	---	Dorgan
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Advanced Solid State Lithium Ion Battery Domestic Manufacturing Initiative (MI)	\$300,000	---	Levin; Stabenow
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Alexander Hamilton High School Solar Power Project	\$250,000	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Algae Biofuels Research (WA)	\$2,000,000	Inlee	Murray; Cantwell
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Algae to Biofuels Fully Integrated System	\$1,000,000	Teague	Bingaman
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Alternative and Unconventional Energy Research and Development (UT)	\$10,000,000	---	Bennett
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Alternative Energy Medical Complex (NV)	\$500,000	---	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Bio-Energy Research Center (MT)	\$800,000	---	Tester
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Biofuel from Nevada's Municipal Wastes (NV)	\$500,000	---	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Biofuel Micro-Refinery for Local Sustainability, Memphis, TN	\$750,000	Cohen	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Biofuels, Biopower and Biomaterials Initiative	\$1,250,000	Bishop (GA)	Chambliss; Isakson
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Biomass Boiler and Steam Absorption Chiller Plant (SD)	\$650,000	Hersehl Sandlin	Johnson
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Biomass Boiler at Ferrum College (VA)	\$300,000	---	Webb; Warner
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Blue Ridge Alternative Fuels Training Program	\$200,000	Shuler	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Borough of Rochester Police Headquarters Emergency Power Demonstration Project	\$175,000	Altmire	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Bucknell University Marcellus Shale Research Initiative (PA)	\$200,000	Carney	Specter; Casey
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Center for Biomass Utilization (ND)	\$800,000	Kissell; Watt	Hagan
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Center for Ocean Renewable Energy (NH)	\$2,000,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan; Conrad
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Center for Ozark Community Biomass Energy Development (MO)	\$500,000	---	Shaheen
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Chicago Public Schools Solar Energy Capital Improvement Program	\$1,500,000	---	Bond
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Chittenden County Natural Gas Refueling Project (VT)	\$300,000	Gutierrez	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	CHRISTUS Center for Healthy Living and Energy Efficient LEED Technology (LA)	\$1,000,000	---	Leahy
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	City of Grand Rapids City Building Solar and Wind Renewable Energy Demonstration Project (MI)	\$300,000	---	Landrieu; Vitter
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	City of Lansing Bioenergy Generation Demonstration Project (MI)	\$400,000	---	Levin; Stabenow
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	City of Los Angeles LED Street Lighting Project	\$400,000	---	Levin; Stabenow
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	City of Madison Renewable Energy and Sustainability Center	\$500,000	Sherman	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	City of San Jose Smart LEED Streetlights, CA (CA)	\$1,000,000	Baldwin	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	City of Yreka Biomass Facility, CA (CA)	\$600,000	Honda; Lofgren; Zoe	Feinstein
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Clean Energy and Education Fuel Cell Initiative	\$500,000	---	Feinstein
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Clean Energy Infrastructure Educational Initiative (OH)	\$800,000	Larson (CT)	Lieberman
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Co-Gen Electrostatic Precipitator (NY)	\$200,000	---	Sherrod Brown
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Collaboration on Renewable Energy Job Creation Strategies (NV)	\$700,000	---	Gillibrand
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Community Transportation Infrastructure Options Now (ACTION) Project	\$300,000	---	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research	\$1,000,000	Filner	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Delaware Energy Efficiency (DE)	Conyers; Stupak;	---	Landrieu; Sherrod Brown;
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Development of High Yield Tropical Feedstocks and Biomass Conversion Technology for Renewable Energy Production (HI)	Abercrombie; Price (NC);	---	Levin; Stabenow; Hagan
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Eastern Gateway Community College Wind Turbine Technology Program	Ryan (OH)	---	Carper; Kaufman
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Energy Audit and Weatherization Mobile Field Unit	---	---	Inouye; Akaka
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Energy Conservation, Solar Energy Education and Research (LA)	\$6,000,000	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Englewood Cliffs Solar Array Project	\$300,000	Olver	Kerry
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Ethanol from Agriculture for Arkansas and America	\$225,000	---	Landrieu
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Farm Solar Share (DE)	\$300,000	---	Tester; Baucus
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Farm-Based Renewable Energy (WA)	\$300,000	Rothman (NJ)	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Fremont School District Wind Turbine	\$1,000,000	Berry	Pryor; Lincoln
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	---	\$645,000	---	Carper; Kaufman
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	---	\$400,000	---	Murray
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	---	\$200,000	Bean	---

Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Ft. Garland Geothermal	\$760,000	Salazar	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Garvey Elementary Solar Panel Construction and Installation	\$120,000	Quigley	---	Reed; Whitehouse
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Genetic Improvement of Switchgrass for Biofuels (RI)	\$500,000	---	---	Lugar
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Geothermal Conversion Project (IN)	\$1,000,000	---	---	Klobuchar
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Geothermal Heating and Cooling Project	\$900,000	Oberstar	---	Wyden; Merkley
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Geothermal Power Generation Plant at Oregon Institute of Technology	\$400,000	Blumenauer; Wu	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Geothermal Renovation of Residences Pilot Project	\$500,000	Hoyer	---	Lautenberg; Menendez
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Going Green Solar Panel Demonstration	\$210,000	Andrews	---	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Great Basin College - Direct Use Geothermal Demonstration Project (NV)	\$1,000,000	---	---	Gillibrand
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Green Energy Sustainable Loan Program (NY)	\$700,000	---	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Green Impact Zone - Energy & Healthy Homes Nexus Study	\$1,000,000	Cleaver	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Green Jobs Education and Training Program	\$700,000	Andrews	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Hampton University Geothermal Heating System	\$1,125,000	Scott (VA)	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Hawaii Energy Sustainability Program	\$6,000,000	Abercrombie	---	Inouye; Akaka
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Hawaii Renewable Energy Development Venture (HI)	\$6,000,000	---	---	Inouye
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	High Performance Computing Infrastructure for Modeling Solar Energy	\$950,000	Price (NC)	---	Hagan
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	High Speed Wind Turbine Noise Model with Suppression (MS)	\$1,000,000	Children	---	Cochran; Wicker
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	High-Tech Solid State Lighting Demonstration for Manadas and LCC	\$550,000	Cuellar	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Home Energy Efficiency Research and Development Initiative (PA)	\$500,000	Kanjorski	---	Casey
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Hoonah IPEC Hydroelectric Project (AK)	\$1,500,000	Young (AK)	---	Murkowski
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Illinois Community College Sustainability Network Green Jobs Centers	\$600,000	Jackson (IL); Bean	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Improving Fuel Cell Durability and Reliability	\$2,000,000	Courtney; Larson (CT)	---	Dodd
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Industrial Technologies Program Language (NV)	\$1,000,000	---	---	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Iowa Central Community College Renewable Fuels Laboratory (IA)	\$500,000	---	---	Harkin; Grassley
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Kaukauna Utilities Hydroelectric Generator Replacement (WI)	\$1,000,000	---	---	Kohl
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Lancaster Landfill Solar Facility	\$1,000,000	Kagen	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Large-Scale Wind Training	\$850,000	Tsongas	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Las Vegas Residential Energy Efficiency Program (NV)	\$450,000	Murphy (NY); Tonko	---	Schumer; Gillibrand
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Lignocellulosic Biofuels from Bioenergy Crops	\$500,000	Titus; Berkley	---	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	LIPA Renewable Energy Beta Sites	\$2,000,000	Edwards (TX)	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Livingston Campus Geothermal Energy System	\$3,900,000	Israel	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Long Island Sound Biofuel Research	\$750,000	Pallone	---	Lautenberg; Menendez
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Long Island Sustainable Schools Network	\$1,000,000	DeLauro	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Maine Tidal Power Initiative	\$450,000	McCarthy (NY)	---	Schumer
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Martin County Microfiber Fuel Cell Technology Development (NC)	\$1,000,000	Michaud; Pingree (ME)	---	Collins; Snowe
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Maryland Clean Energy Center	\$500,000	---	---	Burr; Hagan
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Maximizing Electricity Output From Wind Farms	\$500,000	Hill	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Mega-Turbine Research for Enhanced Wind Power (NE)	\$750,000	---	---	Ben Nelson
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Mendocino County for the Strategic Woody-Biomass Initiative (CA)	\$450,000	Thompson (CA)	---	Feinstein; Boxer
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Mid-Atlantic Regional Institute for Coastal and Ocean Renewable Energy (MARICORE)	\$2,100,000	Moran (VA)	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	MidSouth/Southeast BioEnergy Consortium (AR)	\$1,000,000	---	---	Pryor; Lincoln
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Mission Verde Center	\$880,000	Gonzalez	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Montana Algal BioDiesel Initiative (MT)	\$750,000	---	---	Tester; Baucus
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Morgan State University Life Cycle Assessment of Historic Buildings Research and Training Program	\$725,000	Cummings; Sarbanes	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Mt. Hope High School Solar Energy and Curriculum (RI)	\$750,000	---	---	Reed; Whitehouse
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Nano-antenna Power Sources for Field Deployable Systems	\$850,000	Castor (FL)	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Nanostructured Materials for Improved Photovoltaics (MS)	\$1,000,000	---	---	Cochran; Wicker
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	National Center for Deepwater Offshore Wind	\$10,000,000	Pingree (ME)	---	Collins; Snowe
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	National Open-Ocean Energy Laboratory	---	Klein (FL); Deutch;	---	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	National Wind Energy Center, University of Houston, Houston, TX (TX)	\$1,500,000	Wasserman Schultz	---	Bill Nelson
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	National Wind Resource Center at Panlex (TX)	\$3,000,000	Jackson Lee (TX)	---	Hutchison
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Natural Resource Research Center (NRCC) (AR)	\$1,000,000	---	---	Comyn
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Neighborhood Energy Outreach Center	\$750,000	---	---	Pryor; Lincoln
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	---	\$400,000	Price (NC)	---	---

Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Nevada Inter-Tribal Energy Consortium (NV)	\$150,000	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Nevada Renewable Energy Consortium (NV)	\$3,500,000	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Nevada Renewable Energy Innovation and Deployment Cluster - Technology Commercialization and Site Development (NV)	\$3,000,000	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	New England Marine Renewable Energy Center	Frank (MA); McGovern;	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	New York State Solar Electric Incentive Programs	\$2,800,000	Delahunt
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	NMCC Wind Power Technology Program	\$35,000	Murphy (NY)
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	North Carolina A&T State University Transportation Fuels and Biobased Products from Agricultural Waste	\$800,000	Michaud
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	North Country Community Wood Energy Systems (NH)	\$1,000,000	Butterfield
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Northeast Ohio Biomass Program Initiative	\$200,000	Shaheen
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Nushagak Area Hydropower Project (AK)	\$1,000,000	Fudge
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Ocean-Based Energy Generation (WA)	\$500,000	Young (AK)
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Offshore Wind Energy Research and Education Initiative	\$600,000	Murray
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Ohio Advanced Energy Manufacturing Center (OAEHC)	\$800,000	Kennedy; Langevin
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Ohio Low Cost Carbon Fiber Initiative (OH)	\$500,000	Sherrid Brown
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Old Dominion University, Construction and Operation of a Demonstration Scale Algal Research Center (VA)	\$1,500,000	Voinovich; Sherrod Brown
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Onondaga Community College Sustainability Initiative: Developing Talent for Emerging Jobs in the Energy and Environmental Systems Industry	\$750,000	Nye
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Optimized Concentrated Solar Power Trough Development Project (PA)	\$290,000	Maffei
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Oregon Institute of Technology Green Technology Center	\$750,000	Specter
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Oregon MOVES	\$550,000	Blumenauer; Schrader; Wu
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	OSU Wave Energy Test Berth	\$400,000	Wyden; Merkley
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Phoenix Children's Hospital Water-To-Water Heat Pump Demonstration	\$1,000,000	Defazio; Wu
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Photo Voltaic Solar Power Roof Project	\$2,000,000	Pastor (AZ)
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Photovoltaic System at Closed, Capped Landfill (NY)	\$100,000	Lowe
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Pittsburgh Green House - Training and Outreach	\$650,000	Israel
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Plant 22 Photovoltaic Generator	\$150,000	Doyle
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	PLU Geothermal Heat Plant Project	\$700,000	Sanchez; Linda
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Producing Renewable, Value-Added Products from Waste Feedstocks (LA)	\$1,200,000	Dicks
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Project Green Lighting LED Installation	\$1,000,000	Landrieu
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	PV/Solar Thermal Deployment	\$120,000	Ackerman
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Quetta Business Park Solar Energy Infrastructure	\$800,000	Kilpatrick (MI)
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Red Wing Regional Biosolids Processing Facility (MN)	\$750,000	Lujan
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Reedport Wave Power Project (Phase I) (OR)	\$700,000	Wyden; Merkley
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Renewable Energy Technical Training Center (NY)	\$400,000	Schumer
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Renewable Energy Demonstration (IL)	\$500,000	Durbin
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Renewable Energy Feasibility Study and Resources Assessment for Lincoln County, NV (NV)	\$750,000	Salazar
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Renewable Energy Initiative (IL)	\$500,000	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Renewable Energy Solar Farm (NJ)	\$500,000	Hare
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Renewable Energy Technology Training Lab	\$650,000	Durbin
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Reno Hydrogen Fuel (NV)	\$200,000	Hinojosa
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Research and Develop Geothermal Energy (AK)	\$1,000,000	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Research of Anaerobic Biotechnology for Renewable Energy	\$700,000	Begich
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Research, Development and Demonstration of Plug-In Hybrid Electric Drive Kits (WI)	\$500,000	Moore (WI)
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Rowan University Algae-Based Renewable Fuel Initiative (NJ)	\$1,000,000	Kohl
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Rubelab Alternative Energy Program	\$750,000	Lautenberg; Menendez
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Salem City Solar Energy Project (UT)	\$600,000	Grayson
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	San Francisco Bay Area Biosolids to Energy Project, CA (CA)	\$300,000	Bennett
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	San Francisco Electric Vehicle Initiative	Woolsey; Miller; Georges;	
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy		\$750,000	McNemey; Pelosi
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy		\$1,000,000	Pelosi
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy			Boxer

Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	San Francisco Energy Efficient LED Streetlight Project	\$1,000,000	Pelosi	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Shenandoah Valley as a National Demonstration Project Achieving 25 Percent Renewable Energy by the Year 2025 (VA)	---	---	Warner
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Siena Heights Sustainable Energy Program	\$350,000	---	Levin, Stabenow
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Sitka Blue Lake Hydroelectric Expansion Project	\$600,000	Schauer	Murkowski, Begich
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Small Vertical Wind Turbine Demonstration Project	\$1,000,000	Young (AK)	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Snohomish County PUD Geothermal Energy Study	\$150,000	Halvorson	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Cell Connectivity Research and Development (RI)	\$1,200,000	Inlee	Cantwell
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Electric System (NY)	\$1,000,000	---	Reed, Whitehouse
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Energy Infrastructure Enhancement (NV)	\$200,000	---	Gillibrand
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Energy Water Reclamation Demonstration Project	\$500,000	---	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Furnace Research Program, Valparaiso University, IN	\$500,000	Titus	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Panel Demonstration for the Lodi Municipal Complex	\$600,000	Vislosky	Lugar
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Panels for the Municipal Library, East Brunswick, NJ	\$300,000	Rothman (NJ)	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Parking Canopies and Plug-in Electric Stations Demonstration	\$500,000	Holt	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Photovoltaic Panels on Ocean County Parking Deck	\$400,000	Moore (KS)	Roberts
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Power Demonstration To Create An Energy Efficient Building	\$500,000	Adler (NJ)	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Power Module Development (VT)	\$500,000	Watson	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Production of Hydrogen from Water	\$500,000	---	Leahy
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Solar Testing and Training (CT)	\$750,000	DeLauro	Lieberman
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	South Jordan NGV Fleet Implementation Program (UT)	\$400,000	---	Dodd, Lieberman
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	South Utah Electric District Crab Creek Small Hydropower Facility (UT)	\$300,000	---	Bennett
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Southern Arizona Green Energy Training Enhancement Project	\$1,000,000	---	Bennett
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Southern Regional Center for Lightweight Innovative Designs (MS)	\$590,000	Giffords	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Southwest Washington Tidal Energy Project	\$3,500,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Springfield Fast-Fill CNG Facility (MO)	\$1,000,000	Baird	Murray, Cantwell
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Strategic Biomass Initiative (MS)	\$500,000	---	Bond
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Sun Grant Initiative, South Dakota State University Regional Biomass Feedstock Research and Development (SD)	\$500,000	---	Cochran, Wicker
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Sustainable Biofuel Production - Consolidated Bioprocessing Strategy for Biomass Conversion (MA)	\$2,000,000	Herseht Sandlin	Johnson, Thune
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Sustainable Campus Initiative of Sierra Nevada College at Lake Tahoe (NV)	\$600,000	---	Kerry
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Sustainable Energy Homeowner Outreach Program (VT)	\$400,000	---	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Sustainable Energy in Schools (VT)	\$200,000	---	Sanders
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Sustainable Energy Institute (IA)	\$500,000	---	Sanders
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Switched Reluctance Motor Technology for Zero Emission Mobility	\$500,000	---	Harkin, Grassley
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	TCC Green Workforce	\$9,000,000	Childers	Cochran, Wicker
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Town of North Hempstead Solar Farm	\$500,000	Bocieri	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Training for Employment in the Algae Economy (TEALE)	\$400,000	Boyd	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Tri-County Biomass Energy Pilot Project (MT)	\$350,000	Ackerman	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility Environmental and Energy Enhancement(NV)	\$600,000	Davis (CA)	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Tullahoma City Schools Solar Roof Demonstration	\$800,000	---	Tester, Baucus
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	UDC Renewable Energy Institute	\$1,000,000	---	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Utah Natural Gas Fueling Station (UT)	\$500,000	Davis (TN)	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	University of Arkansas at Little Rock Nanostructured Composite Project	\$300,000	Norton	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	University of Georgia MidSouth/Southeast BioEnergy Consortium	\$1,000,000	Snyder	Bennett
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	University of Louisville Research and Energy Independence Program (KY)	\$1,100,000	Scott (GA), Barrow	Pryor, Lincoln
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	UNLV - Intermountain West Sustainable Development Project (NV)	\$2,000,000	---	Chambliss
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	UNR - Great Basin Center for Geothermal Energy (NV)	\$500,000	---	McConnell
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Urban Wind Demonstration Project	\$250,000	---	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Utah Center for Energy Innovation and Commercialization (UT)	\$300,000	Crowley	Gillibrand
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Vermont Biofuels and Carbon Harvest Initiative (VT)	\$3,400,000	---	Bennett
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Vermont Biomass Energy Resource Center (VT)	\$750,000	---	Leahy
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Veronoma Sustainable Schools (OR)	\$1,000,000	---	Leahy
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy		\$300,000	---	Wyden, Merkley

Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Village of Alsip Wind and Solar	\$675,000	Rush	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Warburg College Wind Energy Demonstration Project	\$1,000,000	Brakey (IA)	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	White Earth Tribal Biomass Bio Fuel Project (MN)	\$700,000	---	Klobuchar, Franken
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Wind Energy Infrastructure (ND)	\$550,000	---	Dorgan
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Wind Energy Materials Development (ND)	\$2,000,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Wind Energy Technology Training Program (WA)	\$500,000	---	Murray
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Wind Energy Training and Infrastructure (ND)	\$1,000,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Wind Power Exploration (MT)	\$100,000	---	Tester, Baucus
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Wind Resource Monitoring and Training Program (NV)	\$1,000,000	---	Reid
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Winthrop Hospital Solar Array System	---	Meeks (NY); McCarthy	---
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	Wireless Turbine Blade Sensor System Research (NY)	\$700,000	(NY)	Schumer
Department of Energy	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	YWCA Carolyn House Geothermal Improvements	\$1,000,000	---	---
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Advanced Cyber-Controlled Smart Grid with Renewable Energy Sources	\$600,000	Slaughter	---
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Dubuque Smarter Energy Project (IA)	\$1,000,000	Towns	Gillibrand
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Emerging Smart Grid Technology Study	\$500,000	---	Harkin
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Energy Development and Reliability (ND)	\$200,000	Schiff	---
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Great Lakes Energy Institute	\$300,000	---	Dorgan
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Heber Light and Power Automated Metering Infrastructure	\$950,000	Fudge; Ryan (OH)	Voinovich, Sherrod Brown
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Legal and Regulatory Implications of a Smart Electric Grid (Phase II)	\$500,000	Matheson	---
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Navajo Nation Electrification Program (NM)	\$900,000	Welch	---
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Northern Westchester Energy Action Consortium Smart Grid	\$900,000	Kirkpatrick (AZ); Lujan	Bingaman; Tom Udall
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Renewable Energy for South Texas Colonias	\$400,000	Hall (NY); Lowey	---
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Research for Operation of High Voltage Transmission Lines at Overloads	\$500,000	Cuellar	---
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Smart Grid Simulation Technology (ND)	\$850,000	Gordon (TN)	---
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Technology Development (ND)	\$800,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	University of Arizona Compressed Air Energy Storage	\$750,000	---	Dorgan
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	University of Toledo, Energy and Innovation Infrastructure, Pilot Project	\$500,000	Pastor (AZ)	---
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	UNR - Energy Storage for Intermittent Renewable Energy Sources (NV)	\$1,000,000	Kapur	---
Department of Energy	Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Nuclear Engineering Research Center (UT)	\$1,000,000	---	Reid
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Nuclear Fabrication Consortium (OH)	\$500,000	---	Bennett
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Nuclear Power Operator Simulator	\$2,000,000	---	Voinovich
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Ohio State Nuclear Reactor Laboratory Facility Research and Education Expansion	\$800,000	Lynch; Tsongas; Delahunt	Kerry
Department of Energy	Nuclear Energy	Oversight of Yucca Mountain Shut Down and Reclamation (NV)	\$2,000,000	Kilroy	Voinovich
Department of Energy	Fossil Energy	Advanced Coal Gasification Research and Development	\$2,500,000	---	Reid
Department of Energy	Fossil Energy	Carbon Dioxide Capture Project	\$500,000	Chandler	---
Department of Energy	Fossil Energy	Carbon Management Center	\$250,000	Larson (CT)	---
Department of Energy	Fossil Energy	Clean Energy Technology (ND)	\$1,000,000	Perlmutter	Mark Udall, Bennet
Department of Energy	Fossil Energy	Fossil Fuel Research and Development (ND)	\$2,000,000	---	Dorgan
Department of Energy	Fossil Energy	Gulf of Mexico Hydrates Research Consortium	\$3,000,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan, Conrad
Department of Energy	Fossil Energy	Hydrogen Fuel Dispensing Station (WV)	\$1,000,000	Childers	Cochran, Wicker
Department of Energy	Fossil Energy	Innovative Carbon Dioxide Science and Technology	\$750,000	---	Rockefeller
Department of Energy	Fossil Energy	Long Term Environmental and Economic Impacts of the Development of a Coal Liquefaction Sector in China (WV)	\$400,000	Capuano	---
Department of Energy	Fossil Energy	National Center for Hydrogen Technology (ND)	\$750,000	---	Rockefeller
Department of Energy	Fossil Energy	Oklahoma State University Energy Center - Natural Gas Technology Training	\$3,000,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan, Conrad
Department of Energy	Fossil Energy	Sunbury Generation/Calera Carbon Capture Project (PA)	\$1,100,000	Boren	---
Department of Energy	Fossil Energy	Ultra-Low CO2 Emission Coal Fuel Cell Technology	\$750,000	---	Specter
Department of Energy	Fossil Energy	University of Kentucky Coal-Derived Low Energy Materials for Sustainable Construction Project (KY)	\$500,000	Sutton; Ryan (OH)	---
Department of Energy	Fossil Energy	Utah Center for Ultra-Clean Coal Utilization and Heavy Oil Research (UT)	\$1,000,000	---	McConnell
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Advanced Computer Simulation Initiative (NV)	\$7,000,000	---	Bennett
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Alaska Climate Center	\$1,000,000	---	Reid
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Antibodies Research (ND)	\$500,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski; Begich
Department of Energy	Office of Science	Brown University Carbon Sequestration	\$4,000,000	---	Dorgan, Conrad
			\$1,200,000	Langevin; Kennedy	---

Department of Energy Office of Science	Center for Diagnostic Nanosystems (WV)	\$2,000,000	---	Rockefeller
Department of Energy Office of Science	Center for Nanoscale Energy (ND)	\$7,000,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan, Conrad
Department of Energy Office of Science	Center for Sustainable Energy at Bronx Community College	\$300,000	Serrano	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	Center for Sustainable Energy Solutions	---	Brown, Corrine; Grayson,	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	Characteristics and Cleanup of the U.S. Nuclear Legacy (MS)	\$500,000	Meek (FL)	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	Climate Model Evaluation Program (AL)	\$3,500,000	---	Cochran; Wicker
Department of Energy Office of Science	Computing Capability (ND)	\$2,000,000	---	Shelby; Sessions
Department of Energy Office of Science	Development of Nontechnology-enhanced Solar Cells with Low Cost and High Conversion Efficiency (NV)	\$2,000,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan, Conrad
Department of Energy Office of Science	Eastern Michigan University Biofuels Laboratory	\$500,000	---	Reid
Department of Energy Office of Science	Energy and Environmental Engineering and Sustainability	\$500,000	Conyers; Dingell	Levin; Stabenow
Department of Energy Office of Science	Energy Research (MS)	\$500,000	Bordallo	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	Environmental Radioactivity Monitoring Laboratory: Monitoring/Assessment of the Radioactive Pollutants in the South-West Mississippi (MS)	\$250,000	---	Cochran
Department of Energy Office of Science	Fordham Green Sciences Instrumentation, Research, Teaching and Learning Initiative	\$750,000	---	Cochran; Wicker
Department of Energy Office of Science	Functional Nanoparticles for Improved Ultra Capacitor Devices (MS)	\$400,000	Serrano	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	Fusion Energy Spheromak Turbulent Plasma Experiment	\$1,000,000	---	Cochran; Wicker
Department of Energy Office of Science	Houston Works Urban Energy to Science Education Initiative	---	Boyd, Brown, Corrine; Meek	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	Idaho National Laboratory Center for Advanced Energy Studies (ID)	\$1,100,000	(FL)	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	Institute for Integrated Sciences	\$600,000	Green, Gene; Green, Al	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	KU Cancer Research Equipment (KS)	\$1,000,000	---	Crapo; Risch
Department of Energy Office of Science	Lincoln Memorial University/ORNL Partnership on Geothermal Energy (TN)	\$2,000,000	Markley (MA)	Kerry
Department of Energy Office of Science	Material Science Smart Coatings (NE)	\$4,000,000	---	Brownback; Roberts
Department of Energy Office of Science	Metal-Enhanced Fluorescence Research and Development	\$2,000,000	---	Alexander
Department of Energy Office of Science	Missouri University Research Reactor Reflector Shield (MO)	\$500,000	---	Ben Nelson
Department of Energy Office of Science	Morris College STEM Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$750,000	Cummings	Mikulski; Cardin
Department of Energy Office of Science	MST Minority Graduate Program	\$1,000,000	---	Bond
Department of Energy Office of Science	Nanotechnology Initiative	\$600,000	Spratt	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	Nevada Water Resources Data, Modeling, and Visualization (DMV) Center (NV)	\$2,250,000	Fattah	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	Oregon Sustainability Center	---	Courtney; Larson (CT);	Dodd; Lieberman
Department of Energy Office of Science	Research Program in Transparent, Flexible, and Ultrathin Silicon Solar Cells	\$750,000	DeLauro	Reid
Department of Energy Office of Science	RNAi Research	\$300,000	Titus; Berkley	Wyden; Merkley
Department of Energy Office of Science	S/TEMicroscope and Electron-Beam Lithography Tool for Nanotechnology Research	\$1,000,000	Blumenauer; Schrader; Wu	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	Solid State Preparation and Characterization Lab (NV)	\$300,000	McGovern	Kerry
Department of Energy Office of Science	Southern Illinois University Research (IL)	\$300,000	Price (NC); Miller (NC);	Hagan
Department of Energy Office of Science	St. Edwards University Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Equipment	\$2,500,000	Etheridge	Reid
Department of Energy Office of Science	Sustainable Bioenergy Development Center	\$500,000	---	Dubin
Department of Energy Office of Science	Technology Transfer & Commercialization of Technologies at DOE (NM)	\$750,000	---	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	The Center for Science Education at Emmanuel College	\$1,000,000	Doggett	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	Thurgood Marshall College Fund Minority Science Initiative	\$500,000	Markley (CO)	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	TU Algae to Green Fuels Energy Project (OK)	\$500,000	---	Bingaman; Tom Udall
Department of Energy Office of Science	UMass New Laboratory Science Building Laboratory Outfitting and Equipment	\$1,400,000	Capuano	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	University of California, San Diego Seismic Research (CA)	---	Jackson (IL); Cummings;	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	University of Rhode Island Regional Earth Systems Center	---	Towns; Sestak; Butterfield;	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	University of South Dakota Project on Renewable Energy and the Economy (SD)	\$2,500,000	Hastings (FL)	Inhofe
Department of Energy Office of Science	Center for Innovation through Visualization and Simulation, Purdue University-Calumet, IN	\$750,000	---	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	Achieving a College Education Program at Maricopa County Community Colleges	\$2,000,000	Oliver	Feinstein
Department of Energy Office of Science	GEAR UP Collaborative HBCU Graduate Program	\$1,500,000	---	Reed; Whitehouse
Department of Energy Office of Science	NSA-Weapons Activities	\$350,000	Langevin	Johnson
Department of Energy Office of Science	NSA-Office of the Administrator	\$350,000	Herseht Sandlin	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	NSA-Office of the Administrator	\$2,000,000	Vislosky	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	NSA-Office of the Administrator	\$1,000,000	Pastor (AZ)	---
Department of Energy Office of Science	NSA-Office of the Administrator	\$1,750,000	Fattah	---

Department of Energy	NNSA-Office of the Administrator	Historically Black Colleges and Universities Program	\$10,000,000	Clyburn	---
Department of Energy	NNSA-Office of the Administrator	Minority Energy Science Research and Education Initiative		Scott (GA); Bishop (GA);	
Department of Energy	Other Defense Activities	Burlington Atomic Energy Commission Plant and Ames Laboratory Former Workers Medical Surveillance Programs (IA)	\$400,000	Lewis (GA)	Chambliss
Department of Energy	Other Defense Activities	Medical Monitoring at Paducah, KY, Portsmouth, OH, and Oak Ridge, TN (TN)	\$1,000,000	Loebback	Harkin, Grassley
Department of Energy	General Provision	Section 312. City of Fall River, Massachusetts	\$1,000,000	---	McConnell
			---	Frank (MA); McGovern	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011

[Amounts in thousands]

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL					
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY					
Corps of Engineers—Civil					
Investigations	160,000	104,000	150,000	-10,000	+46,000
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	5,400			-5,400	
Subtotal, Investigations	165,400	104,000	150,000	-15,400	+46,000
Construction	2,031,000	1,690,000	1,823,625	-207,375	+133,625
Mississippi River and tributaries	340,000	240,000	325,000	-15,000	+85,000
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	18,600			-18,600	
Recission		-23,000			+23,000
Recission of emergency funding		-35,000			+35,000
Subtotal, Mississippi River and tributaries	358,600	182,000	325,000	-33,600	+143,000
Operations and maintenance	2,400,000	2,361,000	2,475,000	+75,000	+114,000
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	173,000			-173,000	
Subtotal, Operations and maintenance	2,573,000	2,361,000	2,475,000	-98,000	+114,000
Regulatory program	190,000	193,000	193,000	+3,000	
FUSRAP	134,000	130,000	130,000	-4,000	
Flood control and coastal emergencies		30,000	30,000	+30,000	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	20,000			-20,000	
Subtotal, Flood control and coastal emergencies	20,000	30,000	30,000	+10,000	
Expenses	185,000	185,000	187,375	+2,375	+2,375
Office of Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works)	5,000	6,000	6,000	+1,000	
Total, title I, Department of Defense—Civil	5,662,000	4,881,000	5,320,000	-342,000	+439,000
Appropriations	(5,445,000)	(4,939,000)	(5,320,000)	(-125,000)	(+381,000)
Emergency appropriations	(217,000)			(-217,000)	
Recissions		(-23,000)			(+23,000)
Recission of emergency funding		(-35,000)			(+35,000)
TITLE II—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR					
Central Utah Project Completion Account					
Central Utah project construction	38,800	38,810	38,810	+10	
Fish, wildlife, and recreation mitigation and conservation	1,500	2,500	2,500	+1,000	
Subtotal	40,300	41,310	41,310	+1,010	
Program oversight and administration	1,704	1,694	1,694	-10	
Total, Central Utah project completion account	42,004	43,004	43,004	+1,000	
Bureau of Reclamation					
Water and related resources	951,158	913,582	938,600	-12,558	+25,018
Subtotal, Water and related resources	951,158	913,582	938,600	-12,558	+25,018
Central Valley project restoration fund	35,358	49,915	49,915	+14,557	
California Bay-Delta restoration	40,000	40,000	40,000		
Policy and administration	61,200	61,200	61,200		
Total, Bureau of Reclamation	1,087,716	1,064,697	1,089,715	+1,999	+25,018
General Provisions					
Emergency Drought Relief (emergency appropriations)	10,000			-10,000	
Total, title II, Department of the Interior	1,139,720	1,107,701	1,132,719	-7,001	+25,018
Appropriations	(1,129,720)	(1,107,701)	(1,132,719)	(+2,999)	(+25,018)
Emergency appropriations	(10,000)			(-10,000)	
TITLE III—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY					
Energy Programs					
Energy efficiency and renewable energy	2,242,500	2,355,473	2,242,500		-112,973
Electricity delivery and energy reliability	171,982	185,930	172,000	+18	-13,930
Nuclear energy	786,637	824,052	775,000	-11,637	-49,052
Fossil energy research and development	672,383	586,583	672,000	-383	+85,417
Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves	23,627	23,614	23,514	-13	
Strategic petroleum reserve	243,823	209,861	209,861	-33,962	
Recission		-71,000			+71,000
Subtotal, Strategic petroleum reserve	243,823	138,861	209,861	-33,962	+71,000
Northeast home heating oil reserve	11,300	11,300	11,300		
Energy Information Administration	110,595	128,833	111,000	+405	-17,833
Non-defense environmental clean up	244,673	225,163	244,163	-510	+19,000
Uranium enrichment decontamination and decommissioning fund	573,850	730,498	550,000	-23,850	-180,498
Offsetting collections		-200,000			+200,000
Subtotal, UEDDF	573,850	530,498	550,000	-23,850	+19,502
Science	4,903,710	5,121,437	4,904,000	+290	-217,437
Nuclear Waste Disposal	98,400			-98,400	
Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy		299,965	200,000	+200,000	-99,965
Innovative Technology Loan Guarantee Program	43,000	58,000	58,000	+15,000	
Offsetting collection	-43,000	-58,000	-58,000	-15,000	
Proposed change in subsidy cost		500,000	405,982	+405,982	-94,018
Additional loan volume		360,000	120,000	+120,000	-240,000
Additional subsidy cost		300,000			-300,000
Loan volume (recission)			-180,000	-180,000	-180,000
Subtotal, Innovative Technology Guarantee Pgm		1,160,000	345,982	+345,982	-814,018
Advanced technology vehicles manufacturing loans program	20,000	9,998	9,998	-10,002	
Departmental administration	288,684	288,872	288,872	+188	
Miscellaneous revenues	-119,740	-119,740	-119,740		

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
Net appropriation	168,944	169,132	169,132	+ 188	
Office of the Inspector General	51,927	42,850	42,850	- 9,077	
Atomic Energy Defense Activities					
National Nuclear Security Administration					
Weapons activities	6,384,431	7,008,835	7,008,835	+ 624,404	
Subtotal, Weapons activities	6,384,431	7,008,835	7,008,835	+ 624,404	
Defense nuclear nonproliferation	2,136,709	2,687,167	2,575,167	+ 438,458	- 112,000
Subtotal, Defense nuclear nonproliferation	2,136,709	2,687,167	2,575,167	+ 438,458	- 112,000
Naval reactors	945,133	1,070,486	945,133		- 125,353
Office of the Administrator	420,754	448,267	438,267	+ 17,513	- 10,000
Subtotal, National Nuclear Security Administration	9,887,027	11,214,755	10,967,402	+ 1,080,375	- 247,353
Defense environmental cleanup	5,642,331	5,588,039	5,260,135	- 382,196	- 327,904
Other defense activities	847,468	866,317	866,317	+ 18,849	
Acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities		11,892			- 11,892
Subtotal, Other defense activities	847,468	878,209	866,317	+ 18,849	- 11,892
Defense nuclear waste disposal	98,400			- 98,400	
Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities	16,475,226	17,681,003	17,093,854	+ 618,628	- 587,149
Power Marketing Administrations ¹					
Operation and maintenance, Southeastern Power Administration	78,444	8,034	8,034	- 70,410	
Offsetting collection	- 70,806	- 8,034	- 8,034	+ 62,772	
Subtotal, O&M, Southeastern Power Administration	7,638			- 7,638	
Operation and maintenance, Southwestern Power Administration	82,944	46,312	46,312	- 36,632	
Offsetting collection	- 38,000	- 33,613	- 33,613	+ 4,387	
Subtotal, O&M, Southwestern Power Administration	44,944	12,699	12,699	- 32,245	
Construction, rehabilitation, operation and maintenance, Western Area Power Administration	610,397	285,864	285,864	- 324,533	
Offsetting collection	- 349,807	- 180,306	- 180,306	+ 169,501	
Offsetting collection Colorado River Dam Fund	- 3,879			+ 3,879	
Subtotal, O&M, Western Area Power Administration	256,711	105,558	105,558	- 151,153	
Falcon and Amistad operating and maintenance fund	2,568	3,715	3,715	+ 1,147	
Offsetting collections		- 3,495	- 3,495	- 3,495	
Subtotal, Falcon and Amistad O&M fund	2,568	220	220	- 2,348	
Total, Power Marketing Administrations	311,861	118,477	118,477	- 193,384	
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission					
Salaries and expenses	298,000	315,600	315,600	+ 17,600	
Revenues applied	- 298,000	- 315,600	- 315,600	- 17,600	
Total, title III, Department of Energy	27,111,438	29,613,170	27,895,731	+ 784,293	- 1,717,439
Appropriations	(27,111,438)	(29,684,170)	(28,075,731)	(+ 964,293)	(- 1,608,439)
Rescissions		(- 71,000)	(- 180,000)	(- 180,000)	(- 109,000)
TITLE IV—INDEPENDENT AGENCIES					
Appalachian Regional Commission	76,000	76,000	76,000		
Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board	26,086	28,640	26,086		- 2,554
Delta Regional Authority	13,000	13,000	13,000		
Denali Commission	11,965	11,965	11,965		
Northern Border Regional Commission	1,500	1,500	1,500		
Southeast Crescent Regional Commission	250	250	250		
Nuclear Regulatory Commission:					
Salaries and expenses	1,056,000	1,043,483	1,053,483	- 2,517	+ 10,000
Revenues	- 902,402	- 906,220	- 915,220	- 12,818	- 9,000
Subtotal	153,598	137,263	138,263	- 15,335	+ 1,000
Office of Inspector General	10,860	10,102	10,102	- 758	
Revenues	- 9,774	- 9,092	- 9,092	+ 682	
Subtotal	1,086	1,010	1,010	- 76	
Total, Nuclear Regulatory Commission	154,684	138,273	139,273	- 15,411	+ 1,000
Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board	3,891	2,490	3,891		+ 1,401
Office of the Federal Coordinator for Alaska natural gas transportation projects	4,466	4,285	4,285	- 181	
Total, title IV, Independent agencies	291,842	276,403	276,250	- 15,592	- 153
Use of Dredged Material (emergency funding)					
Grand total	34,205,000	35,878,274	34,624,700	+ 419,700	- 1,253,574
Appropriations	(33,978,000)	(36,007,274)	(34,804,700)	(+ 826,700)	(- 1,202,574)
Emergency appropriations	(227,000)			(- 227,000)	
Rescissions		(- 94,000)	(- 180,000)	(- 180,000)	(- 86,000)
Rescission of emergency funding		(- 35,000)			(+ 35,000)

¹ Totals adjusted to net out alternative financing costs, reimbursable agreement funding, and power purchase and wheeling expenditures. Offsetting collection totals only reflect funds collected for annual expenses, excluding power purchase wheeling.

**DIVISION E—FINANCIAL SERVICES AND
GENERAL GOVERNMENT APPROPRIATIONS
ACT, 2011**

Following is an explanation of the effects of Division E, which makes appropriations for financial services and general government for fiscal year 2011. As provided in Section 4 of the consolidated bill, this explanatory statement shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds and the implementation of this division as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.

REPROGRAMMING GUIDELINES

The Act includes a provision (section 608) establishing the authority of agencies to reprogram funds and the limitations on that authority. The provision specifically requires the advance approval of the Committees on Appropriations of any proposal to reprogram funds that meets specified criteria.

Each agency is required to submit an operating plan to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act. This operating plan establishes the baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities provided in this Act. Specifically, each agency should provide a table for each appropriation with columns displaying the budget request; adjustments made by Congress; adjustments for rescissions, if appropriate; and the fiscal year enacted level. The table shall delineate the appropriation both by object class and by program, project, and activity. The report must also identify items of special congressional interest.

Agencies should submit reprogramming requests in a timely manner and provide a thorough explanation of the proposed reallocations, including a detailed justification of increases and reductions and the specific impact the proposed changes will have on the budget request for the following fiscal year. Except in emergency situations, reprogramming requests should be submitted no later than June 30. When an agency submits a reprogramming or transfer request to the Committees on Appropriations and does not receive identical responses from the House and the Senate Committees, it is the responsibility of the agency to reconcile the House and the Senate differences before proceeding, and if reconciliation is not possible, to consider the request to reprogram funds denied.

AGENCY REPORTS

As a measure to reduce costs and conserve paper, agencies funded by this Act that currently provide separate copies of periodic reports (such as Performance and Accountability Reports) to the Chairs of the House and Senate Appropriations Committees and Subcommittees on Financial Services and General Government, and also to the Ranking Members of the Committees and Subcommittees, should send only one copy jointly addressed to the Chairs of the Committee and Subcommittee and one copy jointly addressed to the Ranking Members of the Committee and Subcommittee (separate copies should be sent to the House and the Senate). This will reduce by half (from eight to four) the copies of periodic reports agencies send to the Committees.

COMPARISONS

Funding levels for appropriations by account and comparisons to last year's levels and the budget request can be found in the table at the end of this division.

**TITLE I
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES
SALARIES AND EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)**

Office of Financial Education and Financial Access.—Within the Financial Policies and Programs budget activity, the Act provides an increase of \$1,000,000 above the amount assumed in the budget request for the Department's Office of Financial Education and Financial Access.

Operating Plan.—The Department is directed to submit to the Committees on Appropriations an operating plan for the fiscal year 2011 resources provided to the Department, including all offices and bureaus, not more than 60 days after enactment. This requirement is further addressed by section 608 of this Act. The plan must include information on program increases and major procurements at the Department and incorporate input from all senior level managers of the Department and, once submitted, be made available to those managers.

Office of Foreign Assets Control and Cuba Sanctions.—The resource allocation decisions of the Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) should be made on the basis of the most pressing national security threats facing the United States. The Government Accountability Office (GAO) had previously reported, in November 2007, that Cuba embargo-related cases constituted 61 percent of OFAC's investigatory caseload, while comprising only three percent of the investigation caseload of the Commerce Department's Bureau of Industry and Security/Office of Export Enforcement and just 0.2 percent of the investigation caseload of the Department of Homeland Security's Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

While a 2009 regulatory change ensures that Americans will no longer be subject to OFAC enforcement action for visiting family members in Cuba, Cuba embargo enforcement cases are still a disproportionate focus of OFAC's work, effectively limiting OFAC efforts in other areas more critical to the national security needs of the United States. The November 2007 GAO report recommended that the Secretary of the Treasury direct OFAC to assess its allocation of resources for investigating and penalizing violations of the Cuba embargo with respect to the numerous other sanctions programs OFAC administers. The Department is directed to report to the Appropriations Committees, within 60 days of enactment, as to steps it is taking to realign OFAC's resources toward the most urgent national security needs.

Economic Sanctions and Divestments.—The Department is directed to fully implement the sanctions and divestment measures applicable to North Korea, Burma, Iran, Sudan, and Zimbabwe. The Department is further directed to promptly notify the Appropriations Committees of any resource constraints that adversely impact the implementation of these sanctions programs.

Management of Capital Investments and Information Security.—The Treasury Office of Inspector General (OIG) continues to cite the Department's management of capital investments and information security as top management challenges. The Chief Information Officer is directed to ensure that adequate oversight and resources are devoted both to projects in the capital phase and to proper maintenance and modernization of existing systems and that all projects are tracked properly and described completely in the annual Capital Investment Plan required under section 118 of this Act.

Management of the Financial Crisis.—Treasury's OIG continues to identify the management of the Treasury's new authorities related to distressed financial markets as a major management challenge facing the Department. Under these programs, the Department has an unprecedented role in managing billions in taxpayer dollars. The Department is directed to ensure that these programs are administered soundly and efficiently in order to minimize risks to the taxpayer. The Department's management is directed to maintain focus on the Treasury's other critical missions—including terrorism and financial intelligence and assistance to community development financial institutions—in addition to management of policies and programs related to stabilizing the economy.

Charitable Donors and Blocked Assets.—The Office of Terrorism and Financial Intelligence faces complex challenges in thwarting terrorist activity without obstructing law-abiding organizations that perform legitimate charity work. The Department is directed to report to the Appropriations Committees, within nine months of the date of enactment, with the following information: (1) the total amount of charitable funds that are blocked, (2) the total amount of funds of U.S.-based charities that are blocked, and (3) the total amount of charitable funds that have gone from being blocked to forfeiture since 2000.

Proceeds of Corruption.—Corrupt politicians, terrorists and those involved in organized crime are often able to hide their identity behind a corporate veil, allowing them to enjoy the proceeds of corruption and bribery, including on U.S. soil. The Department is directed to use its rulemaking authority to strengthen customer due diligence requirements for U.S. financial institutions, consistent with applicable statutory authorities and international standards, including by identifying the beneficial owner of corporate vehicles, where appropriate.

The Department is also directed, in consultation with the other members of the United States delegation to the Financial Action Task Force (FATF), to take a leadership role in prioritizing prevention of the illicit flow of corrupt funds, including by strengthening FATF anti-corruption requirements and by conducting an extensive typology exercise on foreign corruption. The typology exercise will inform financial institutions on how to recognize the proceeds of corruption and therefore help prevent the flow of illicit funds into the United States. The U.S. delegation is urged to work with other member states to assess the implementation of the FATF's 40+9 Recommendations in practice, as well as in law, to ensure that the task force's recommendations are being effectively implemented and enforced by all countries.

The Department is further directed to provide a written report to the Appropriations Committees as well as to the House Financial Services Committee and the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs within 180 days of enactment on activities related to preventing the flow of proceeds of corruption into the United States, specifically including activities related to identifying the beneficial ownership of corporate vehicles, where appropriate, and participation in FATF activities and initiatives.

Foreclosure Crisis.—In implementing changes to the Home Affordable Modification Program (HAMP), the Department is directed to focus on mortgage modifications and principal reductions when it is financially beneficial to the borrower and lender.

The Department is also directed to ensure that servicers comply with HAMP agreements and to provide outreach to educate servicers about their responsibilities under the program.

Departmental Responsiveness.—Language included in Senate Report 111-238 is reiterated regarding the responsiveness of the Department to questions and requests for information.

Stored Value Cards.—Pursuant to the Credit Card Accountability Responsibility and Disclosure Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-24), the Department was required to issue regulations implementing the Bank Secrecy Act regarding the sale, issuance, redemption, or international transport of stored value, including stored value cards. The Department is directed to address the issue of international transport of stored value devices, which continues to be a major concern for law enforcement agencies. The Department is directed, in coordination with the Department of Homeland Security, to submit a written report to the Appropriations Committees, not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, on the status of the regulations on the international transportation of stored value devices.

DEPARTMENT-WIDE SYSTEMS AND CAPITAL
INVESTMENTS PROGRAMS
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The Act provides \$11,000,000 for systems and capital investments. Within the overall amount, \$6,000,000 is provided for the Financial Innovation and Transformation Program instead of \$17,000,000 as included in the budget request. The funding provided for this program will allow the Department to develop a comprehensive plan and begin implementing Government-wide solutions for processing financial transactions. Future funding recommendations will be considered for the program based on its progress and performance in fiscal year 2011 and on detailed spending plans for future years. Within 60 days of enactment, the Department shall provide a detailed budget justification for funding provided in fiscal year 2011, including specific cost estimates for each portion or discrete project within the program.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The Act provides \$32,269,000 for the Office of Inspector General (OIG). The increase above the budget request is provided to support additional audits and investigations beyond the limited scope supportable within current resources, given the OIG's increased workload resulting from required reviews of certain bank failures. The OIG is directed to place the highest priority for such additional audits on the Bank Secrecy Act Information Technology Modernization project currently being planned and implemented by the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network. The OIG is further directed to submit a written report to the Appropriations Committees regarding this project, including contractor oversight and progress regarding budget and schedule, on March 31, 2011 and semiannually thereafter. In addition, the OIG is directed to perform audits on Treasury's anti-money laundering and counterterrorist financing activities, capital investment spending and planning, the Community Development Financial Institutions Fund, and areas identified by the OIG as presenting a high risk to taxpayer-funded spending.

FINANCIAL CRIMES ENFORCEMENT NETWORK
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The Act provides \$121,000,000 for salaries and expenses of the Financial Crimes En-

forcement Network (FinCEN). Of the amount provided, \$45,835,000 is included to support FinCEN's efforts to modernize the technical environment for implementation of the Bank Secrecy Act (BSA) in accordance with the estimate provided for that project for fiscal year 2011.

The proposal to fund the BSA Information Technology Modernization Project using proceeds of the Treasury Forfeiture Fund is rejected. Of the increase above the request, \$19,750,000 is for the BSA Information Technology Modernization project. FinCEN is also directed to submit a semiannual report to the Appropriations Committees summarizing the agency's progress regarding the project, including milestones planned and achieved, progress on cost and schedule, management of contractor oversight, strategies to involve stakeholders, and acquisition management efforts.

An increase above the request is included to enhance efforts to fight fraud and illicit financing by expanding FinCEN's analytical support to the network of more than 300 law enforcement and regulatory authorities comprised of Federal, state, and local agencies, United States Attorneys offices, State attorneys general, and local district attorneys.

TREASURY FORFEITURE FUND
(RESCISSION)

The Act includes a rescission of \$370,000,000 of the unobligated balances in the Treasury Forfeiture Fund. The Committees direct the Department to include information in the fiscal year 2012 budget request, as well as updates every 60 days thereafter, on the status of the Treasury Forfeiture Fund balances, including the projected amount of Super Surplus available for obligation in fiscal year 2012.

ALCOHOL AND TOBACCO TAX AND TRADE
BUREAU
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The Department is directed to place a high priority on the bureau's criminal enforcement activities and to include funding in the Department's fiscal year 2012 budget request to annualize the cost of new special agents and related support personnel funded in the fiscal year 2010 Appropriations Act.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FINANCIAL
INSTITUTIONS FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The Act provides \$277,400,000 for the Community Development Financial Institutions (CDFI) Fund program. Within this amount, up to \$26,000,000 is for Administrative expenses and \$12,000,000 is for technical assistance and other purposes for Native American, Native Hawaiian, and Alaskan Native communities.

In addition, of the funds provided, \$1,000,000 is included for a competitive grants program, aimed at providing financial counseling services to prospective homebuyers, as authorized by Public Law 110-289.

Further, of the funds provided, \$25,000,000 is included for the Healthy Food Financing Initiative, which is to increase the availability of affordable, healthy foods in underserved urban and rural communities, particularly through grants and technical assistance to CDFIs for the development or equipping of grocery stores and other healthy food retailers. The Appropriations Committees require detailed information on how this program is being executed; therefore, the Department of Treasury is directed to provide the Committees with a status report within 60 days of enactment of this Act, including the specific criteria that is used to make grant awards.

Also, \$52,400,000 is provided for a new initiative called "Bank on USA" that will pro-

mote access to affordable and appropriate financial services and basic consumer credit products for households without access to such products and services. Of funding recommended for the Bank on USA program, \$2,400,000 is provided for an eligible entity or entities located in Hawaii. The CDFI Fund is directed to submit a detailed spending plan on the Bank on USA program to the Committees within 120 days of enactment.

Within the amount provided, \$5,000,000 is for grants to qualified CDFIs for the purpose of building sufficient capital to support loans of \$2,500 or less pursuant to section 1206 of Public Law 111-203. Such "small dollar loans" will provide consumers access to mainstream financial institutions and alternatives to payday loans and other predatory lending.

The Committees on Appropriations recommend continuing the temporary waiver of matching fund requirements for CDFI programs so that CDFIs can continue to invest in and assist underserved communities during the economic crisis, but intend to reinstate matching fund requirements when capital markets return to normal function.

The Department is directed to fund the Bank Enterprise Award program at a level not less than \$22,000,000.

Poverty, lack of economic opportunity, and lack of low-cost financial services continue to be problems across much of the Nation, particularly in the Territories, and in many Hispanic-American, African-American, Native American, Asian American, Pacific Islander and other minority communities. The Appropriations Committees appreciate the ongoing efforts of the CDFI Fund to work to remedy the particular problems in these communities and strongly encourage the CDFI Fund to continue to place a heavy emphasis on these efforts.

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
TAXPAYER SERVICES

The Act includes \$2,338,215,000 for Taxpayer Services. Within the overall amount, not less than \$10,500,000 is for low-income taxpayer clinic grants, and not less than \$6,500,000 is for the Tax Counseling for the Elderly program. Not less than \$212,500,000 is provided for operating expenses of the IRS Taxpayer Advocate Service.

In addition, within the overall amount, \$14,000,000, available until September 30, 2012, is included to continue the Community Volunteer Income Tax Assistance matching grants program. In administering this program, the IRS is not permitted to treat any in-kind contributions from the IRS as counting toward the \$14,000,000 appropriation, nor shall the IRS reduce any current contributions toward tax return preparation services.

The funding level also includes an additional \$3,500,000 on top of the Administration's budget request for further improvements in the IRS telephone hotline level of service. The IRS is directed to continue working on efforts to maximize the level of service on the 1-800 line and is further directed to include in the fiscal year 2011 Operating Plan a specific plan for the use of these additional funds, along with the projected level of service.

The IRS is directed that, if it proposes reductions in taxpayer services, such reductions must be consistent with the budget justification, operating plan, and Taxpayer Assistance Blueprint, and the IRS must demonstrate that such reductions will not result in a decline in voluntary compliance. Where such reductions involve a reduction in face-to-face service, the IRS must demonstrate that the proposed reductions do not adversely impact compliance by taxpayers who are dependent on such services.

The IRS, in conjunction with the IRS Oversight Board and the IRS Taxpayer Advocate, is directed to report to the Appropriations Committees with annual updates to the Taxpayer Assistance Blueprint, beginning with the submission of the fiscal year 2012 budget. Such updates should identify any changes to the strategic plan for taxpayer service, including the results of any new research and relevant findings, and any open issues requiring additional research.

The IRS is directed to provide an annual report to the Appropriations Committees on its efforts to protect and increase staffing levels at the Martinsburg and Beckley, West Virginia IRS facilities. Given the remote distance of Alaska and Hawaii from the U.S. mainland and the difficulty experienced by Alaska and Hawaii taxpayers in receiving needed tax assistance by the national toll-free line, the IRS is directed to continue to staff each Taxpayer Advocate Service Center in each of these States with a Collection Technical Advisor and an Examination Technical Advisor in addition to the current staffing complement.

E-Filing.—The IRS is directed, in consultation with stakeholders, including the National Taxpayer Advocate, to implement a strategy to achieve the 80 percent e-file goal.

Impact on IRS of Healthcare Implementation.—The Administration, the Secretary of the Treasury, and the IRS Commissioner are strongly urged to evaluate the impact of healthcare mandates on the IRS's overall mission and take all appropriate actions to prevent any decline in the quality and effectiveness of service or taxpayer perception. The IRS is directed to specifically identify in its fiscal year 2012 budget submission and operating plan any proposed increases in spending to be designated to implement the healthcare mandates, as well as any proposed changes in spending or prioritization in other mission-critical IRS programs as a result of the healthcare responsibilities.

Taxpayers Facing Economic Distress.—The Committees appreciate the steps the IRS has taken to assist unemployed taxpayers and others facing financial hardship. At the same time, however, the Committees are concerned by the finding of the IRS Taxpayer Advocate that the IRS lacks a strategic, cross-functional approach toward meeting the needs of low-income taxpayers. The IRS is directed to report to the Appropriations Committees, within 90 days of enactment of this Act, as to the steps it is taking to address the recommendations of the Taxpayer Advocate in this area.

Oversight of First-Time Homebuyer Tax Credit.—Congress has enacted a series of legislative provisions that have enabled first-time homebuyers to claim a refundable credit on their 2008, 2009, or 2010 individual Federal tax returns. The Committees acknowledge that the IRS has taken steps, including installing filters to intercept fraud, to improve its oversight of the program, since a TIGTA report issued in September 2009 identified deficiencies. However, a new TIGTA report issued in June 2010 found a significant and disturbing level of fraudulent and erroneous payments in the First-Time Homebuyer Credit Program. The IRS is directed to intensify its scrutiny of questionable claims for this credit to reduce the incidence of fraud and erroneous payments under this beneficial program.

ENFORCEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The Act provides \$5,709,547,000 for Enforcement. Within the amount provided, \$97,527,000 is for a new initiative, as discussed

in the Administration's budget request, to target offshore tax evasion. The IRS is directed to provide the Appropriations Committees with detailed information about the actual costs, revenues, and return on investment after the first and successive years of the implementation of the new enforcement initiatives.

Misclassification of Contractors.—The IRS is directed, prior to making any staffing reductions at the SS-8 processing locations, to provide a report to the Appropriations Committees that details the past five years of staffing levels and employee productivity, SS-8 receipt volumes, and rationale for the proposed workforce changes.

OPERATIONS SUPPORT

The IRS is directed to include, as part of its fiscal year 2011 operating plan, details on any planned reorganization, job reductions or increases to offices or activities within the agency, and modifications to any service or enforcement activity. The IRS is further directed to obtain and include comments of the IRS Oversight Board as part of its operating plan submission to the Appropriations Committees. The IRS should promptly notify the Appropriations Committees and the IRS Oversight Board of any substantial changes to these plans.

IRS Information Technology Infrastructure.—The Administration and the IRS are directed to include within the fiscal year 2012 budget request a proposed long-term multiyear funding strategy within the Operations Support account to upgrade and modernize the aging legacy IRS information technology infrastructure.

IRS Information Security.—The IRS continues to make progress in working to fix its information security vulnerabilities. At the same time, however, reports from GAO and TIGTA show that further improvements are still needed. For example, GAO reported in March 2010 that, of the previously identified security weaknesses and program deficiencies reported as unresolved at the completion of GAO's prior-year audit, 69 percent remained unresolved or unmitigated. The IRS is directed to report to the Appropriations Committees, within 90 days of enactment of this Act, on the steps being taken to further improve IRS information security, including IRS actions regarding the GAO findings that remained unresolved or unmitigated as of the March 2010 GAO report.

BUSINESS SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION

Business Systems Modernization (BSM) is the IRS's highest management and administrative priority. The BSM program is presently at a critical juncture in its evolution, and funding is provided to permit the IRS to complete the new taxpayer account database for the 2012 filing season. The replacement of the aging, vintage 1969 individual master file with the new customer account database will permit more frequent updating of individual tax accounts. With this relational database as its centerpiece, systems modernization by the IRS promotes enhanced customer service delivery, more expeditious refund processing, and improved administration of the tax system. The Department is directed to notify the Committees, if BSM management funds are reallocated to the capital asset acquisition program, at least seven days prior to such reallocation.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Long-standing administrative provisions are continued in sections 101 through 105.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Long-standing administrative provisions are continued, with one modification: section 107, allowing for transfer authority among non-IRS bureaus of the Treasury Department, has been modified to include the SIGTARP as well. In addition, the following administrative provisions are included:

—section 117 allowing Treasury law enforcement organizations to utilize funding for the Treasury Forfeiture Fund only upon the advance approval of the Appropriations Committees, and

—section 118 requiring the Secretary to submit a Capital Investment Plan to the Appropriations Committees.

TITLE II

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT AND FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

THE WHITE HOUSE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The Act provides \$59,859,000 for The White House. This amount includes \$1,400,000 for the White House Office of National AIDS Policy. The Administration is directed to continue to coordinate a government-wide effort to develop and implement a domestic AIDS strategy, including the development of targets for improved prevention and treatment outcomes.

The Committees on Appropriations expect that officials designated by the President to coordinate policy agendas across executive departments and agencies will keep Congress fully and currently informed of such activities. The Committees direct each official designated by the President to serve in a position not recognized by statute and who is responsible for interagency development or coordination of any rule, regulation, or policy to submit a semiannual report describing the activities of the official and the office of such official, including a detailed explanation of the development or issuance of any rule, regulation, directive or policy on which that official or the office of such official participated or assisted. The first such report shall be submitted not later than March 31, 2011.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The Act provides \$115,280,000 for the Office of Administration. Included in this amount is \$12,777,000 for continued modernization of information technology infrastructure.

As part of its annual budget submission, the Office of Administration is directed to provide an annual report to the Committees on Appropriations, detailing its progress on information technology modernization, including the amounts obligated and expended (and for what purposes), specific milestones achieved, and requirements and specific plans for further investment.

The Office of Administration is directed to place a top priority on the implementation of comprehensive policies and procedures for the preservation of all records, including electronic records, as required by law, and to work closely with the National Archives and Records Administration in doing so. The Office of Administration is expected to keep the Committees on Appropriations fully apprised of funding needs related to records preservation.

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The Act provides \$92,863,000 for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). OMB is

expected to provide timely and complete responses to the Committees to all requests for information, including requests related to the budget request for OMB and the Executive Office of the President.

In October, 2009, the President issued Executive Order 13514 to require Federal agencies to achieve goals and targets for environmental sustainability and energy efficiency. Agencies are required to report to the Director of OMB and the Chair of the Council on Environmental Quality on their plans to meet these goals by June of each year. To monitor compliance with this Executive Order, GAO is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations in September of each year from 2011 through 2013.

OMB is directed to submit a written report to the Committees within 120 days of enactment specifying a plan to modernize the Federal Government's core budgeting system.

OMB is directed to summarize, by agency, the results of the Presidential memorandum regarding disposal of unneeded Federal real estate, the real property cost savings and innovation plans in a report due to the Committees not later than 45 days after enactment.

GOVERNMENT-WIDE MANAGEMENT COUNCILS (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The Act provides \$17,000,000 for Government-wide Management Councils. The Executive Office of the President is directed to continue to include a budgetary justification for each council in the annual budget request and to clearly note in the budget justification any items requested in the budget for Government-wide initiatives led or coordinated through the councils.

OFFICE OF NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL POLICY SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The Act provides \$27,900,000 for Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP) salaries and expenses.

ONDCP submitted a report to the Committees on Appropriations to address recommendations of the study conducted by the National Academy of Public Administration, and while some positive steps have been taken, room for improvement still exists. The Committees direct ONDCP to provide an updated report by May 13, 2011 on further actions and improvements taken.

The Committees on Appropriations remain concerned about the lateness of reports required by the Committees as well as the lengthy clearance process, both of which delay the receipt of information required by the Committees in a timely manner in order to make proper funding decisions.

ONDCP is directed to continue the quarterly staffing reports, including current levels, vacancies, new hires, and plans for new hires.

FEDERAL DRUG CONTROL PROGRAMS HIGH INTENSITY DRUG TRAFFICKING AREAS PROGRAM

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The Act provides \$239,000,000 for the High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas (HIDTA) Program. ONDCP is directed to provide funding for the existing HIDTAs at no less than the fiscal year 2010 level. Additionally, ONDCP is directed to consult with HIDTAs in advance of deciding programmatic spending allocations for discretionary funding.

ONDCP is directed that HIDTA funds be transferred to the appropriate drug control agencies expeditiously.

OTHER FEDERAL DRUG CONTROL PROGRAMS (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The Act provides \$150,825,000 for Other Federal Drug Control Programs. The following

allocations are made within the amount provided:

National Youth Anti-Drug Media Campaign	\$40,000,000
Drug-Free Communities Program	96,000,000
(National Community Anti-Drug Coalition training	2,000,000)
National Drug Court Institute	1,500,000
United States Anti-Doping Agency	10,000,000
World Anti-Doping Agency (U.S. membership dues) ..	1,900,000
National Alliance for Model State Drug Laws ..	1,187,500
Performance Measures Development	237,500

The Act provides \$40,000,000 for the media campaign, which should include methamphetamine prevention ads. ONDCP is directed that not more than 10 percent of the amount appropriated for the media campaign may be used for administrative costs.

The Act provides \$1,187,500 to the National Alliance for Model State Drug Laws (NAMSDDL). ONDCP is directed to provide the entire amount directly to NAMSDDL within 30 days of enactment of this Act.

INTEGRATED, EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE USES OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The Act provides \$37,500,000 for the Integrated, Efficient and Effective Uses of Information Technology (IEEUIT) program to improve the Federal Government's investments in information technology, as directed by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

The Executive Office of the President is expected to regularly apprise the Committees on Appropriations of how Government-wide efforts under the IEEUIT affect agency-specific projects and missions on a case-by-case basis and directs IEEUIT shall not be a substitute for the Committees' routine consideration of agency needs in accordance with the regular budget process. Finally, the Executive Office of the President is directed to notify the Committees immediately upon any change in an agency spending plan pursuant to the IEEUIT or any other effort to modernize, streamline, or improve Federal IT projects.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT AND FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Long-standing administrative provisions are included unchanged unless otherwise noted below.

Section 204 continues and modifies long-standing language specifying that not to exceed \$1,000,000 of ONDCP appropriations may be reprogrammed upon advance approval of the Committees on Appropriations.

TITLE III THE JUDICIARY

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The Act includes \$77,758,000 for the salaries and expenses of the Supreme Court, which includes funding to provide twelve new police officers to staff new posts and increase staffing at additional posts.

The Committees on Appropriations continue to include Act language making \$2,000,000 available until expended for the purpose of making information technology investments. The Committees request that the Court include an annual report with its

budget justification materials, showing information technology carryover balances and describing in detail each expenditure made in the previous fiscal year and planned expenditures in the budget year.

As noted in Senate Report 111-238, filed on July 29, 2010, during the past year, difficulties have been encountered in securing detailed and specific information in response to questions. Without more information, the ability to assess the project management, and track and document prior spending is hampered. Resources cannot be allocated prudently without receiving detailed justifications for requests and plans for expenditures from any agency or entity requesting resources. As a result, certain fiscal year 2011 funding will be available only upon receipt of a detailed report as required under the "Care of Building and Grounds" account.

CARE OF THE BUILDING AND GROUNDS

The Committees on Appropriations are aware that numerous major capital improvement projects have been ongoing at the Court, but has not been presented with a clear understanding of the details of the projects and their budgets. The Court is directed to provide to the Committees a detailed report not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, on each major capital project, including descriptions; timelines; milestones; and funding committed, obligated, and expended, as well as any unobligated balances. The report should include the complete modernization project and also address any additional capital enhancement projects planned for the future. The report shall be updated and provided to the Committees on June 15, 2011, and again on September 30, 2011.

COURTS OF APPEALS, DISTRICT COURTS, AND OTHER JUDICIAL SERVICES SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The Act provides \$5,177,568,000 for the salaries and expenses of the Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other Judicial Services, which does not include a cost-of-living-adjustment for judges.

The Committees on Appropriations direct the Judiciary to take into account the exigent circumstances of the Fort Lauderdale courthouse as it considers recommendations for future feasibility studies.

The Committees on Appropriations are interested in obtaining data from the Federal Judiciary on contract awards to minority-owned and women-owned businesses, and are dismayed that a procurement module will not be implemented in the courts until 2013. As an interim measure, the Administrative Office of the Courts (AO) is directed to implement a process for fiscal year 2011 for the courts to compile and report on contract awards, including awards to minority-owned and women-owned businesses, and to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations no later than November 30, 2011 on fiscal year 2011 contracting actions in the Federal courts.

The Committees on Appropriations are concerned about racial and ethnic diversity of law clerks for Federal judges, and believes that the Judiciary could, and should, do more to attract minority candidates. Accordingly, the Committees direct the AO to submit a report within 120 days of enactment of this Act detailing the Federal Judiciary's plans to increase the diversity of law clerks in the Federal courts. The report should include the impact of a student loan repayment program, and a discussion of the recruitment process for law clerks as well as

initiatives underway to reach out to, and recruit, minorities for Federal clerkship positions.

DEFENDER SERVICES

The Act provides \$1,050,458,000 for Defender Services. The Act provides an increase in the hourly rate for panel defense attorneys in non-capital cases from \$125 to \$130 per hour, which makes progress toward the full estimated "catch up" adjustment authorized by the Criminal Justice Act of \$141 per hour. Compensation for panel attorneys in capital cases is adjusted for annual inflation.

COURT SECURITY

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The Judiciary is directed to develop a judiciary-wide implementation plan for review based on the less costly options in the recent Courthouse Perimeter Security Pilot Project report that would provide unity of command, Court Security Officer guards at all current Federal Protective Service posts, and standardized security coverage. Section 306 authorizing the pilot is continued in order to allow the Judiciary to maintain the pilot at the seven existing locations and to allow for possible expansion of the pilot to a limited number of new locations in order to examine the cost-effectiveness of the less costly options.

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The Act provides \$86,968,000 for the salaries and expenses of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, which includes funds for inflationary adjustments and four court support positions.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—THE JUDICIARY

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Long-standing administrative provisions are included unchanged unless otherwise noted below.

Section 307 continues language extending temporary judgeships in Ohio, Kansas, and Hawaii.

TITLE IV

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FEDERAL FUNDS

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR RESIDENT TUITION SUPPORT

The Act includes \$35,100,000 for a Federal payment for tuition support. As part of the annual budget submission, the Mayor of the District of Columbia and the Office of the State Superintendent of Education are directed to provide updates on efforts to enhance retention and graduation rates and the continued use of cost containment measures.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR EMERGENCY PLANNING AND SECURITY COSTS IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

The Act provides \$15,000,000 for a Federal payment to the District of Columbia for the costs associated with emergency planning and security. The District of Columbia is directed to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations, within 60 days of the end of the fiscal year, outlining the purposes and amounts expended using the funds, including details on any deviation from the initial justification.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS

The Act provides \$258,168,000 as a Federal payment to the District of Columbia Courts. Within this amount, \$12,998,000 is for the District of Columbia Court of Appeals,

\$110,149,000 is for the District of Columbia Superior Court, \$65,371,000 is for the District of Columbia Court System and \$69,650,000 is for capital improvements to Court facilities. Increases provided for capital improvements above the budget request should be directed to renovations for the Moultrie Courthouse cell block and completion of the security perimeter.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE PUBLIC DEFENDER SERVICE FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

The Act includes \$40,690,000 for a Federal payment to the District of Columbia Public Defender Service (PDS). The Act provides authority in section 818 for the PDS to obtain professional liability insurance for its attorneys, staff, and board members.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE COORDINATING COUNCIL

The Act provides \$1,800,000 to the Criminal Justice Coordinating Council (CJCC). As part of its annual budget submission, the CJCC is directed to report on performance measures and progress made on individual initiatives to the Committees on Appropriations.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

The Act provides \$1,475,000 for a Federal payment to the Office of the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) for the District of Columbia. The funding is for grants to the following organizations with the requirement that the funds be spent primarily in the District of Columbia to benefit District residents:

<i>Recipient/Purpose</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Bread for the City, Washington, DC, Two-chair dental clinic serving 2,000 low income D.C. residents	\$125,000
Children's National Medical Center, Washington, DC, cardiac critical care center renovations	1,000,000
Recreation Wish List Committee, Washington, DC, Academic, cultural, fitness programs	100,000
The University of the District of Columbia, Washington, DC, Urban Teacher Residency Academy	250,000

Each grantee is directed to submit a comprehensive budget and report on the activities carried out with the funds no later than 60 days after enactment of this Act and the District CFO is directed to submit a comprehensive report no later than June 1, 2011 to the Committees on Appropriations.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT

The Act includes \$72,400,000 for a Federal payment for school improvement. Within this amount, \$43,000,000 is for public schools, \$20,000,000 is for public charter schools, and \$9,400,000 is to provide opportunity scholarships.

The District is directed to submit, no later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, a comprehensive spending plan to the Committees on Appropriations detailing how the funding provided will be allocated and explaining what performance measures will be used to evaluate the success of the outcomes of the funding.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR HOUSING FOR THE HOMELESS

The Act provides \$10,000,000 for a Federal payment for housing for the homeless. The District of Columbia government is directed to continue to submit quarterly reports detailing the number of individuals and families provided housing through this Federal payment.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR HOUSING FOR REDEVELOPMENT OF THE ST. ELIZABETHS HOSPITAL CAMPUS

The Act provides \$2,000,000 for a Federal payment for costs associated with planning for the redevelopment of St. Elizabeths Hospital's East Campus. The District of Columbia government is directed to submit a spending plan for these funds within 45 days of enactment of this Act.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR HOUSING FOR HIV/AIDS PREVENTION

The Act provides \$5,000,000 for a Federal payment for HIV/AIDS prevention initiatives in the District of Columbia. The District of Columbia government is directed to submit a spending plan for these funds within 45 days of enactment of this Act.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FUNDS

This Act provides Federal funding totaling \$169,650,000 for the District of Columbia. As transmitted to the Mayor by the District of Columbia Council, the District of Columbia's fiscal year 2011 proposed budget and financial plan provides \$10,440,946,000 for operating expenses.

TITLE V

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The CFTC is directed to submit a detailed spending plan including staffing allocations and IT investments no later than 30 days following enactment.

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING RESCISSION)

The Act provides \$120,600,000 for the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC).

CPSC is directed to continue providing drywall reports on a quarterly basis, although CPSC should update the Appropriations Committees of notable developments should they occur outside the quarterly reporting schedule.

GAO is directed to conduct a follow-up report, within 270 days of enactment, to its August 2009 report regarding CPSC's oversight of imported products.

Within funds provided, the CPSC is directed to address the needs of Limited English Proficient persons both through additional staffing of the CPSC toll-free hotline and by enhancing the CPSC website.

Within the funds provided, CPSC is directed to expand its import safety activities above the levels assumed in the fiscal year 2011 budget request. The CPSC is directed to include, as part of its operating plan, specific descriptions of its revised plans for using fiscal year 2011 funds to enhance the safety of imported products.

ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The Act provides \$17,100,000 for salaries and expenses of the Election Assistance Commission (EAC), including \$750,000 for the Help America Vote College Program and \$300,000 for mock election programs. The GAO is directed to evaluate the effects of the Help America Vote Act (HAVA) on the administration of elections to determine whether these changes have improved the accuracy, accessibility, and security of voting; and what challenges the Help America Vote Act did not anticipate or did not address. The GAO should include an assessment of whether the Federal funding provided is sufficient to ensure that States hold open, fair, and accurate elections in the future. The GAO is directed to report its findings to the Committees on Appropriations within 16 months of

enactment. The GAO is directed to perform an audit within six months of the passage of this Act of the staffing mix within the EAC to determine if the current staffing structure is appropriate to meet the requirements established under HAVA. The EAC is directed to keep the Committees informed of new hires and significant staffing changes, and any other major developments.

ELECTION REFORM PROGRAMS

The bill includes no funding for Election Reform programs, consistent with the budget request. The Committees on Appropriations note that due to budget constraints, many States are having difficulty meeting the 5 percent matching funds required to receive requirements payments. To ease this burden, in fiscal year 2011, States may use the interest earned on prior State appropriations to the Election Fund required by Section 254(b) towards meeting the 5 percent match requirement.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) oversees the Universal Service Fund (USF), which allocates twenty times as much as the FCC's own funding. The FCC's Broadband Plan contemplates using the USF to pursue universal broadband service. The GAO is directed to analyze spending by the USF, how it sets priorities, how well it accomplishes the goals of the USF, and the challenges and advantages in having the USF pursue universal broadband service.

Within six months after the date of enactment, the FCC shall report to the Appropriations Committees on the source and scope of its existing authority to combat child pornography and actions taken in the last two years under that authority.

Because public, educational, and governmental (PEG) stations perform an important service to American public life, the Committees on Appropriations urge the FCC to resolve as soon as practicable any complaints about difficulty accessing PEG stations.

The FCC should have the goal that all persons living under the American flag, including those living in the United States territories, should have equal access to communications services.

The Committees on Appropriations applaud the Commission's work with the broadcasting industry to develop family-friendly programming and direct the Commission to pursue these efforts. As a result of the Commission's efforts, many cable and satellite television operators are developing family-friendly packages for consumers. The Committees direct the Commission to continue its endeavors with the broadcast industry to empower parents with the resources and tools to effectively navigate the various broadcast channels.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Sections 501 and 502 continue long-standing administrative provisions concerning the FCC.

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The Act provides \$316,500,000 for salaries and expenses of the Federal Trade Commission (FTC). The Act also estimates that the amount provided will be partially offset by \$96,000,000 of collections from Hart-Scott-Rodino premerger filing fees and \$21,000,000 of collections from Do-Not-Call list fees. Of this amount, \$19,174,000 is to cover relocation costs associated with the upcoming expiration of the lease on a building occupied by the FTC.

The FTC is directed to make its website more user friendly to consumers. The Committees on Appropriations also encourage the FTC to address the vulnerability of the Hispanic population to fraud by making the amount of material and its presentation on the FTC's Spanish language website as helpful as that found on its English pages and by increasing outreach to the Hispanic community and increasing law enforcement efforts against unfair or deceptive practices that target Spanish speakers.

The FTC is directed to conduct research into real-time bidding, which is the practice of using constantly updated online and offline data sources to facilitate automated auctions through which the winner is able to deliver a highly-targeted advertisement to a consumer.

The Committees on Appropriations continue to be concerned with the potential for market manipulation and anticompetitive behavior in the oil and natural gas industries. The FTC is encouraged to continue its investigations and other activities related to these concerns and is directed to keep the Committees apprised of findings made regarding fuel prices, as well as other planned activities and investigations regarding the oil and gas industries.

In September 2000, the FTC released a report entitled: "Marketing Violent Entertainment to Children: A Review of Self-Regulation and Industry Practices in the Motion Picture, Music Recording & Electronic Game Industries." The FTC should continue with, and expand upon, its efforts in this area. The FTC is directed to continue to engage in consumer research and workshops, underage shopper-retail compliance surveys, and marketing data collection and analysis.

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

The General Services Administration (GSA) is directed to include in future GSA-owned and leased buildings, signage displayed next to all banks of elevators or on elevator doors in GSA buildings, at the entrance to all nonemergency use public stairwells, and at the base of escalators, indicating the location of and encouraging use of the stairs; and that design of new buildings promote the use of stairs. The Committees on Appropriations recommend that GSA aim to achieve the above-mentioned directive by September 30, 2011. In order to ascertain precisely how much progress has been made and how much remains, GSA is directed to provide quarterly reports on the percentage of Federal buildings with such signage as well as on actions undertaken with regard to the design of new facilities, with a view to increasing the likely use of stairs.

GSA is directed to report within 120 days after enactment of this Act the locations within the greater New Orleans metropolitan area that have space available, either in an existing office building or a site for the location of an office building, that are compliant with the latest Federal Anti-Terrorism/Force Protection standards, applicable hurricane protections and USDA ISC security level guidelines as of September 30, 2010.

The Committees on Appropriations are aware that Executive Order 12072 requires an agency to give first consideration to the centralized community business areas of cities when choosing office location, are troubled by the possible relocation of Federal Government offices outside of the central business district in Rockford, Illinois, and are aware of ample office space in downtown Rockford, including the Stanley J. Roszkowski United States Courthouse. GSA is expected to fully comply with Executive Order 12072 and is di-

rected to work with the city of Rockford to retain Federal Government offices in the central business district.

REAL PROPERTY ACTIVITIES

FEDERAL BUILDINGS FUND

LIMITATIONS ON AVAILABILITY OF REVENUE

GSA is directed to expand the use of on-site renewable energy in Federal buildings, in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and moderate energy price fluctuations.

CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION

The Act limits funds for construction to \$492,722,000 and provides funds for the following projects:

Lakewood, Colorado, Denver Federal Center Remediation	\$7,957,000
Washington, DC, St. Elizabeths DHS Consolidation and Development	267,675,000
Washington, DC, St. Elizabeths Historic Preservation Mitigation	4,990,000
Washington, DC, St. Elizabeths Highway Interchange	8,350,000
Calais, Maine, Ferry Point Land Port of Entry	1,552,000
White Oak, Maryland, Food and Drug Administration Consolidation	173,773,000
Detroit, Michigan, P.V. McNamara Federal Building FBI Garage	3,658,000
Martinsburg, West Virginia, IRS Annex	24,767,000

GSA is prohibited from using any of the nearly \$400,000,000 in funding which has been appropriated for the courthouse project for the Central District of California, Los Angeles division for any other purpose. Further, should the land purchased for the courthouse project be sold, GSA is prohibited from using the proceeds of the sale of the land to be used for any other purpose than addressing the housing needs of the Los Angeles division of the Central District of California. The Committees on Appropriations are pleased that the project scope has now been reduced, resulting in a lower cost facility than previously estimated.

The Committees on Appropriations are concerned about the number of years required for construction of land ports of entry, and urges GSA to make every effort to streamline, avoid duplication, and increase efficiency in the completion of these projects, including coordination and cooperation with other appropriate Federal agencies.

Concerns have been brought to the attention of the Committees on Appropriations about a lack of community planning regarding certain GSA work. With respect to the San Ysidro Land Port of Entry, GSA is directed to work with the surrounding community to develop a design plan that incorporates the interests of surrounding commercial and business areas and that incorporates community-supported pedestrian, parking and transit design elements before beginning construction.

REPAIRS AND ALTERATIONS

The Act limits resources for repairs and alterations to \$500,014,000 and provides funding for repairs and alterations for the following projects:

Richmond, California, Frank Hagel Federal Building	\$113,620,000
Van Nuys, California, James C. Corman Federal Building	11,039,000

Washington, DC, West Wing Design Phase II	6,245,000
Indianapolis, Indiana, Major Emmett J. Bean Federal Center	65,813,000
New York, New York, Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse	28,000,000
Energy and Water Retrofit Fire Prevention Program ..	15,000,000
Wellness and Fitness Program	10,000,000
Judiciary Capital Security Program	3,500,000
Basic Repairs and Alterations	20,000,000
	226,797,000

GSA shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations within 90 days of enactment of this Act that outlines its plan to allocate funding for the Judiciary Capital Security Program.

Additional projects for which prospectuses have been fully approved may be funded under this category only if advance approval is obtained from the Committees on Appropriations. The amounts provided in this or any prior Act for "Repairs and Alterations" may be used to fund costs associated with implementing security improvements to buildings necessary to meet the minimum standards for security in accordance with current law and in compliance with the reprogramming guidelines of the Appropriations Committees.

RENTAL OF SPACE

Leased space is now just over half of the Public Buildings Service inventory occupied by agencies. In GSA's annual performance report as part of its fiscal year 2011 budget justification, GSA stated that administering leased space loses revenue each year, operating at a 2.1 percent deficit or \$98 million a year. In order to achieve the original purpose of the Fund, the GSA is encouraged to decrease the amount of space that it leases.

GENERAL ACTIVITIES

GOVERNMENT-WIDE POLICY

The Committees on Appropriations remain supportive of the Office of Federal High-Performance Green Buildings but note its slow rate of spending. Therefore, GSA is directed to submit to the Committees on Appropriations a detailed expenditure plan for this Office within 30 days of enactment of this Act, which should describe the budget, timeline, objectives, and benefits of the Office. GSA is further directed to provide quarterly reports on the obligation of these funds.

ELECTRONIC GOVERNMENT FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The Committees on Appropriations are supportive of the concepts contemplated in the e-Gov account for fiscal year 2011. However, due to funding constraints as well as lack of detail and clearly defined information regarding spending requests, the Committees are reducing funding for e-Gov programs for fiscal year 2011. GSA is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations within 120 days after enactment of this Act on the feasibility of consolidating Federal agency data centers into existing Government-owned/Government-operated facilities with multiple Federal tenants and is required to submit a spending plan prior to obligating funds.

FEDERAL ACQUISITION WORKFORCE INITIATIVES FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For fiscal year 2011, the Committees recommend \$10,000,000. This is a new initiative

that is proposed to be housed in GSA but carried out in coordination with the Office of Federal Procurement Policy of OMB. The Federal Acquisition Workforce Initiative is provided \$6,000,000 to create and maintain the contractor inventory database required by section 743 of Division D of Public Law 111-117, and \$4,000,000 for salaries, curriculum development, competency management, certification management and career management.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Long-standing administrative provisions are included unchanged unless otherwise noted below.

Section 517 clarifies the authorities of the Federal Acquisition Workforce Training Fund, as requested by GSA.

Section 518 authorizes GSA to release GSA interests in real property in Tracy, California at a fair market price.

Section 519 permits GSA to reprogram funds provided in Public Law 111-5 with advance approval of the Committees on Appropriations.

Section 520 rescinds \$1,400,000 in unobligated balances from Policy and Operations for Governor's Island and the Lorton Correctional Facility.

Section 521 requires GSA to submit to Congress a building project survey report on a consolidated headquarters for the Federal Bureau of Investigation within 120 days and to identify a preferred strategy.

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

OPERATING EXPENSES

In its fiscal year 2012 budget justification, the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) is directed to specifically address the resource needs of the Office of Government Information Services.

ELECTRONIC RECORDS ARCHIVES

Because reliable access to electronic government records far into the future, regardless of changes in technology, is of utmost importance, the Appropriations Committees have directed ongoing GAO program oversight and review of annual Electronic Records Archives (ERA) spending plans. Past deficiencies in clearly identifying specific functions to be delivered has hampered the Committees' ability to assess the extent of progress on ERA that should be expected through particular investments.

NARA is directed to provide quarterly progress reports on ERA beginning 60 days after enactment. The reports should clearly state: (1) the functionality that is currently in place, including the functionality that has recently been added to ERA, (2) new functionality planned to be added in the subsequent quarter, and (3) functionality that had been planned for the most recent quarter but not added, along with the reasons why it was not added, the problems encountered, and the estimated cost increases and schedule delays as a result.

Language included in Senate Report 111-238 is reiterated regarding NARA's lack of a contingency plan for the ERA system in the event of a failure or disruption. NARA is directed to devote resources to establish a robust online backup and restoration service and to ensure that adequate capabilities are in place for managing restricted information.

NARA is directed to promptly report to the Appropriations Committees any delays, cost overruns, or other issues impeding the progress of ERA.

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF TRUST FUNDS)

The Act provides a general fund appropriation of \$96,439,000 for salaries and expenses of the Office of Personnel Management (OPM). The amount includes \$6,004,000 for the Enterprise Human Resources Integration project and \$1,416,000 for the Human Resources Line of Business project.

The Act also provides \$121,738,000 for administrative expenses to be transferred from trust funds. This amount includes \$9,495,000 for the cost of implementing the new integrated financial system as well as automating the retirement record-keeping systems. The GAO should continue to monitor and provide recommendations on OPM's efforts toward developing and implementing the retirement modernization program. OPM is directed to work with GAO to develop program goals, performance measures, and project timelines and to submit a report on its progress within 120 days of enactment. OPM is also directed to continue to provide the Committees on Appropriations and GAO with quarterly reports on the implementation of the retirement modernization program, which should reflect a detailed, complete, and accurate assessment of the status of the program.

Additionally, OPM is directed to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations within 120 days of enactment on ways in which it has streamlined Federal hiring practices, including its efforts to create a central registry of qualified applicants for the most recruited positions in the Federal Government.

Background investigations for Federal civilian, military, contract employment and eligibility for access to classified national security information are performed by Federal and contract investigators working throughout the United States and abroad. Given the national security implications of this work, OPM is directed to provide an updated report to the Committees within 60 days of enactment on the number of fraud cases involving fabricated background investigations, the number of cases prosecuted in Federal court (including case disposition), and what, if any, quality control measures OPM has implemented to prevent further fraud in this program as well as to ensure early detection of fabricated reports.

The Intergovernmental Personnel Act Mobility Program (IPA) provides for the temporary assignment of personnel between the Federal Government and state and local governments, colleges and universities, Indian tribal governments, Federally funded research and development centers, and other eligible organizations. 5 CFR part 334 regulates this program, and it defines "State" as "a State of the United States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, the Northern Mariana Islands, and a territory or possession of the United States; and an instrumentality or authority of a State or States; and a Federal- State authority or instrumentality." OPM is directed to post this more complete definition on its IPA website so that Federal employees know the full range of opportunities and experiences provided through this program.

In April 2010, OPM provided a report to Congress on nursing faculty and the IPA, along with findings and recommendations on the current nurse and nurse faculty shortage. OPM is expected to provide regular, 6-month updates on next steps as outlined in Senate Report 111-238.

OPM's Federal Human Capital Survey provides important data for independent analyses of Federal employee satisfaction. OPM shall continue to make agencies' survey data publicly available in a consistent and consolidated format, and in a timely manner.

OPM is urged to increase its efforts to encourage Federal agencies to reach out to diverse populations in their recruitment efforts. The recent Federal Equal Opportunity Recruitment Program Report noted that Hispanics and women lag in representation within the Federal workforce, compared to the civilian labor force. OPM is urged to continue to look for ways to diversify the Federal workforce. OPM is directed to continue to provide its current report as an appendix to a more streamlined analysis that provides a straightforward breakdown of the Federal workforce, management, and executives by race, ethnicity, and gender for all Federal employees. The report should also include, for each agency, an agency-specific breakdown that is accompanied with a narrative about that agency's substantive efforts to promote diversity through outreach and recruiting practices. The report should conclude with a discussion of OPM's current strategy for expanding diversity within the Federal government and a projected five-year strategy with performance metrics based on the methods it intends to use to implement this strategy. OPM is also directed to establish a forum so that agencies may share best practices in recruiting and retaining employees.

OPM should spearhead an effort to encourage federal agencies to increase recruitment efforts within the United States Territories, home to many U.S. citizens not fully aware of the job opportunities within the Federal Government. GAO is directed to review the agencies' progress in reaching out to and recruiting from the Territories and to submit a report to the Committees within 120 days of enactment.

The issue of continuous and sustained inappropriate use of temporary hiring authority by Federal agencies remains unresolved. OPM is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations, no later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, on options and recommendations, as outlined in Senate Report 111-238, to remedy this problem.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF TRUST FUNDS)

The Act provides \$25,885,000 for salaries and expenses of the Office of Inspector General, with \$3,997,000 as a general fund appropriation and \$21,888,000 as a transfer from OPM trust funds. Additional funding is provided to augment base resources and permit hiring of additional audit and investigative staff.

GOVERNMENT PAYMENT FOR ANNUITANTS, EMPLOYEES HEALTH BENEFITS

The Act provides such sums as necessary for health benefits payments.

GOVERNMENT PAYMENT FOR ANNUITANTS, EMPLOYEE LIFE INSURANCE

The Act provides such sums as necessary for life insurance payments.

PAYMENT TO CIVIL SERVICE RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY FUND

The Act provides such sums as necessary for retirement and disability payments.

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

As outlined in Senate Report 111-238, the Postal Regulatory Commission is directed to

report to the Appropriations Committees, not later than April 1, 2011, on the potential economic impacts if restrictions on the consolidation or closure of small rural and other small post offices were removed. The report should include an assessment of the Postal Service's efforts to enhance customer access, improve revenue and reduce costs through the co-location of postal services in grocery stores and other existing retail outlets.

PRIVACY AND CIVIL LIBERTIES OVERSIGHT BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The Act provides \$1,500,000 for salaries and expenses of the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2012. The Board has not yet been reconstituted as required by Public Law 110-53, and, therefore, the new entity's funding requirements have not been firmly established or justified. Once reconstituted, the Board should present the Committees on Appropriations with a detailed budget plan as quickly as possible.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Office of Global Security Risk is directed to submit quarterly reports of its activities to the Committees on Appropriations, with a particular focus on corporate disclosures involving conflict minerals, extractive industries, and mining safety matters as well as SEC's efforts to address concerns that American investors may be unwittingly investing in companies with ties to countries that sponsor terrorism or are linked to human rights violations.

To facilitate greater access to companies' disclosures concerning their business activities in or with state sponsors of terrorism, the SEC is directed to promptly issue final rules that require each issuer to disclose activities that may subject it to sanctions under section 5 of the Iran Sanctions Act of 1996.

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The Act provides \$459,125,000 for the salaries and expenses account of the Small Business Administration (SBA). An additional amount for initiatives related to small business development and entrepreneurship is provided under SBA administrative provisions.

The Committees on Appropriations have been frustrated that recent SBA congressional justifications have been unnecessarily complicated. SBA is directed to revise the format of the congressional justification for fiscal year 2012 so that the budget detail provided ties directly to enacted and requested appropriated amounts.

Not less than the following amounts shall be dedicated to the non-credit programs of the SBA:

[In thousands of dollars]

Small Business Development Centers (SBDCs)	\$115,250
Veterans Business Development ..	2,750
SCORE	7,750
Women's Business Centers	14,500
National Women's Business Council	1,900
Drug Free Workplace	1,030
Microloan Technical Assistance ..	22,000
PRIME	8,000
Native American Outreach	1,625
7(j) Technical Assistance	3,700
HUBZone	2,350
Hispanic Business Centers	1,000
Entrepreneurial Development	9,000

Total, non-credit initiatives 190,855

The SBA shall not reduce these non-credit programs from the amounts specified above and the SBA shall not merge any of the non-credit programs without advance written approval from the Committees. Further, the SBA is directed to support no less than the fiscal year 2010 level of funding for the National Ombudsman; the Office of Advocacy, including support for the Advocacy Database; and the international trade programs. SBA is also directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations within 30 days of enactment on the strategies and goals of each initiative, methodologies for assessing the performance of each initiative and each individual project selected under each initiative, and methodologies planned for selection of individual projects and recipients; and to provide an operating plan individually addressing each proposed initiative and detailing funding planned for grants, contracts, and salaries and expenses of both current and new SBA employees, including travel expenses.

The Committees recommend that up to \$9,000,000 shall be available for the Entrepreneurial Development program administered by the SBA, including for the Emerging Leaders Initiative and Clusters initiative proposed in the budget. SBA is directed to allocate such funds to the maximum extent possible to its current partners—namely, SBDCs, Women's Business Centers, the Service Corps of Retired Executives (SCORE), and Veterans Business Outreach Centers—and to notify the Committees not less than 15 days prior to obligation of funds provided. Included in the amount for non-credit initiatives is \$1,000,000 for a Hispanic Business Centers pilot program to provide financial assistance to educational institutions, non-profit organizations, and State and local departments and agencies providing management and technical assistance to Hispanic small businesses. The SBA is directed to consider the Women's Business Centers program as a model for the pilot program.

Of the amounts provided, \$268,270,000 is for operating expenses of the SBA. In addition, a total of \$349,000,000 from other SBA accounts may be transferred to and merged with the salaries and expenses account. Within the amounts recommended for SBA's operating expenses, \$15,347,700 is provided for the agency-wide effort to modernize SBA's loan management and accounting systems. SBA is directed to place a top priority on ensuring a successful modernization of current systems. SBA shall submit a quarterly written report to the Committees on Appropriations summarizing the agency's progress regarding modernization efforts, including milestones planned and achieved and progress on cost and schedule.

SBA is directed to continue to work to ensure that there is equitable distribution of resources to all 8(a) participants. GAO is directed to, within 180 days of enactment of this Act, report on 8(a) program participants, the number and disadvantaged status of the owners of the businesses who have participated in the program in recent years, and such other criteria as may be developed during consultation with the Committees on Appropriations. Further, GAO is directed to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations on the capability of SBDCs to offer technical assistance in more than one language by May 1, 2011.

SBA is directed to coordinate with the Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management concerning the small business timber sale set-aside program that serves many

small rural communities and small timber mills. SBA is also directed to provide a written report to the Committees on Appropriations within 60 days of enactment detailing SBA's current and planned activities related to communication with timber businesses in small rural communities, including potentially designating an industrial specialist (forestry) position in Portland, Oregon.

The Committees on Appropriations note that there is no Procurement Center Representative located in the regions of Puerto Rico or the U.S. Virgin Islands and directs that a Procurement Center Representative be physically located in Puerto Rico to service both regions.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The Inspector General is directed to continue routine analysis and reporting on SBA's modernization of its loan management and accounting systems, including acquisition, contractor oversight, implementation, and progress regarding budget and schedule.

BUSINESS LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The Committees direct SBA to continue to conduct outreach to existing financial entities that may be well-suited to participate in the Microloan program so that the program can grow and expand access to microcapital across the country. SBA shall submit a written report to the Committees on Appropriations within 90 days of enactment summarizing the agency's plans for expanding the reach of the Microloan program. Additionally, SBA, in coordination with the Federal Reserve, is directed to submit a written report to the Committees on Appropriations within 90 days of enactment on the feasibility and benefits of enhanced data collection on small business lending, including but not limited to data on loan origination and loan size.

DISASTER LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The Committees on Appropriations urge SBA to seek out emergency funding in the

event of a disaster requiring loan assistance and direct SBA to continue providing updates on available resources for the disaster loans program on a monthly basis.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Long-standing administrative provisions are continued without change unless otherwise noted below.

Section 532 makes a technical correction to Public Law 111-8 and Public Law 111-117.

Section 533 makes technical changes to Public Law 111-240.

Section 534 provides \$47,575,000 for small business development and entrepreneurship initiatives, including programmatic and construction activities, to be awarded as follows:

Project Name	Amount
4 Ag Hawaii, Improved Food Security through Small Business Development, Haleiwa, HI	\$300,000
ACCION USA, Womens Link Program, for training and technical assistance for women-owned microenterprises, New York, NY	125,000
Alaska Fisheries Development Foundation, Utilization of Seafood Processing Waste and Product Development, Naknek, AK	299,000
Albany Community Together Program, Albany Community Together, Inc., Albany, GA	100,000
Anchorage Community Land Trust for a financial literacy and community development program, Anchorage, AK	200,000
Anna Maria College Business Simulation Laboratory, Anna Maria College, Paxton, MA	150,000
ASU-Newport Transportation Technology Expansion and Enhancement, Arkansas State University-Newport, Newport, AR	185,000
Baylor Research and Innovation Collaborative, Baylor University, Waco, TX	260,000
Ben Franklin Technology Partners, Manufacturing Pennsylvania's Future commercialization initiative, PA	50,000
Benedictine University, Small business training program, Lisle, IL	260,000
Bergen County Business Solutions, County of Bergen, Hackensack, NJ	210,000
BEST Program for Port of Oakland Truckers, Hispanic Business Education & Training Inc., Oakland, CA	150,000
Big Sky Economic Development Authority for operating expenses of a revolving loan fund, Billings, MT	100,000
Boise State University, Entrepreneurial Initiative, Boise, ID	150,000
Bradley University, small business training program, Peoria, IL	455,000
Bronx Shepherds business training programs, Bronx Shepherds Restoration Corporation, Bronx, NY	100,000
Brooklyn Goes Global program, Brooklyn Alliance, Brooklyn, NY	250,000
California Hispanic Chambers of Commerce, California Small Business Construction Initiative, Sacramento, CA	500,000
Career and Technology Pathways, Baltimore City Public Schools, Baltimore, MD	210,000
Caribbean Trade Center and Business Incubator, Caribbean American Chamber of Commerce and Industry Inc., Brooklyn, NY	180,000
Carnegie Mellon University, Carnegie Mellon Manufacturing Accelerator, Pittsburgh, PA	100,000
Case Western Reserve University, Northern Ohio Structural Laboratories: Advanced Instrumentation for Northeast Ohio's Bio-imaging Cluster, Cleveland, OH	125,000
Center for Rural Affairs, New Entrepreneurial Initiatives for Rural Nebraska, Lyons, NE	137,500
Center for Rural Entrepreneurship, Nebraska Entrepreneur Initiative, Lincoln, NE	137,500
Central Corridor's Hmong Business Center for providing opportunities for small business owners and neighborhood revitalization, St. Paul, MN	150,000
Chicago House and Social Service Agency, job training program, Chicago, IL	400,000
City of Carson City, High Tech Business Collaborative, Carson City, NV	400,000
City of Chicago, workforce retraining program, Chicago, IL	510,000
City of Denver, Denver Office of Strategic Partnerships Sustainability Project, Denver, CO	500,000
City of Gallup, Kachina business incubator and redevelopment efforts, Gallup, NM	100,000
City of Port Huron Economic Redevelopment Initiative, Port Huron, MI	100,000
City of St. Cloud, Comprehensive Downtown Economic Development Plan and Program, St. Cloud, MN	125,000
Clean Tech Innovation Center, City of San Jose, San Jose, CA	205,000
Colorado State University, Sustainable Biofuels Development Center, Ft. Collins, CO	200,000
Community Services Project, Village of Richton Park, Park Forest and Olympia Fields, Richton Park, IL	200,000

CUNY Community College Alliance for Green Energy Training, The City University of New York, New York, NY	100,000
Dakota Rising Rural Entrepreneur Fellowship Program, South Dakota Rural Enterprise, Sioux Falls, SD	250,000
Dartmouth Regional Technology Center for additional business incubator space and support, Lebanon, NH	100,000
Davidson Green Business Incubator Initiative, Davidson, NC	100,000
Delaware Valley High Growth Job Training Initiative, Collegiate Consortium for Workforce and Economic Development, Philadelphia, PA	100,000
Demonstration Project for Emerging Manufacturers, Delaware Valley Industrial Resource Center, Philadelphia, PA	185,000
Downtown Capital Access Center, Valley Economic Development Center, Los Angeles, CA	210,000
Downtown Revolving Loan Fund, City of Chippewa Falls, Chippewa Falls, WI	450,000
Downtown Salem Revitalization Toolbox program for economic development, Salem, OR	150,000
Drop-Out Prevention and Employment Project for Very High-Risk Youth, Roca, Chelsea, MA	160,000
Eastern Connecticut State University, Center for Economic, Financial and Entrepreneurship Education, Willimantic, CT	150,000
Economic and community development program for elderly persons, Housing Options and Geriatric Association Resources, Inc., Bronx, NY	100,000
Economic and community development programs for homeless adults, BronxWorks, Bronx, NY	100,000
Economic Vitality of Asian Minority Businesses Program, Oakland Chinatown Chamber of Commerce, Oakland, CA	150,000
Economic Vitality of Minority Businesses in Oakland, Oakland African American Chamber Foundation, Oakland, CA	150,000
Educational and vocational training and job placement for offenders, EAC Inc., Hempstead, NY	140,000
Energy Innovation Center, Innovation Works, Pittsburgh, PA	150,000
Entech Innovation Center Tech Park, City of Valparaiso, Valparaiso, IN	230,000
Entrepreneur Center, Connecticut Center for Advanced Technology, Inc., East Hartford, CT	245,000
Entrepreneurial Institute, Broward College, Fort Lauderdale, FL	350,000
Entrepreneurial Training, Women's Business Development Center, Stamford, CT	210,000
Entrepreneurship Center Program, Urban League of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA	300,000
Expand Career Services Program, Metropolitan Council on Jewish Poverty, New York, NY	300,000
Fay-Penn Economic Development Council, Local Economy Initiative, Fayette County, PA	50,000
Financial and Technical Assistance for Development Enterprises, Western Massachusetts Enterprise Fund, Holyoke, MA	270,000
Financial Literacy Services, City and County of San Francisco, Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector, San Francisco, CA	250,000
Financial Literacy Services, East River Development Alliance (ERDA), Long Island City, NY	200,000
Financial Literacy Training, Consortium for Worker Education, New York, NY	200,000
Finlandia University and Jutila Center for Global Design and Business, business incubator, Hancock, MI	100,000
First State Community Loan Fund, for small business and community development technical assistance, Wilmington, DE	175,000
From Red 2 Black Financial Literacy Program, Latino Leadership, Inc., Orlando, FL	250,000
Global Marketing and Logistics Certification Training Program, University of Texas-Brownsville, Brownsville, TX	150,000
Grambling State University, Expanding Minority Entrepreneurship Regionally Across the Louisiana Delta (EMERALD), Grambling, LA	137,500
Great Falls Development Authority, High Plains Financial Intermediary Loan Fund, for operating expenses of programs supporting small business development, Great Falls, MT	125,000

Greater Bridgeport Community Enterprises, Urban Green Business Incubator, Bridgeport, CT	150,000
Greater Cedar Valley Alliance, Bi-State Entrepreneurial Development Initiative, IA	150,000
Greater New Orleans regional economic alliance for Green New Orleans, a green business and jobs initiative, New Orleans, LA	137,500
Green Small Business Initiative, Prince George's County, Upper Marlboro, MD	265,000
Greene County Department of Economic Development, Business Park Development Project, Waynesburg, PA	50,000
Harford County, Maryland, Aberdeen Proving Ground Technical Assistance Business Development Office, Bel Air, MD	100,000
Harlem Small Business Retention & Preservation Program, Harlem Business Alliance, Inc., New York, NY	180,000
Hartford Economic Development Corporation, Business Resource Center, Hartford, CT	150,000
Helotes Small Business Incubator, The City of Helotes Economic Development Corporation, Helotes, TX	200,000
Hmong Disadvantaged Farmer program, Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade, and Consumer Protection, Madison, WI	260,000
Hudson Valley Regional Food and Sustainable Small Agribusiness System, Hudson Valley AgriBusiness Development Corp., Hudson, NY	205,000
Infrastructure and Capacity Enhancements, AccountAbility Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN	205,000
Initiative for small business development, Chautauqua Works, Dunkirk, NY	145,000
Institute for Entrepreneurial Leadership for technical assistance to minority and women business owners, Newark, NJ	100,000
International Business Attraction Program, Youngstown/Warren Regional Chamber, Youngstown, OH	250,000
International Trade Alliance, Washington BRIC Export Initiative, Spokane, WA	200,000
IT Management System for Small Businesses, Detroit Wayne County Port Authority, Detroit, MI	200,000
Jackson State University for Economic and Community Development Through Heritage Tourism, Jackson, MS	650,000
Jefferson Local Development Corporation for operating expenses of a revolving loan fund to support small business development, Whitehall, MT	150,000
Johnson and Wales University, Higher Education Consortium for Rhode Island Entrepreneurship, Providence, RI	200,000
Johnson State College, Upward Bound, Lamoille County, VT	50,000
Kaskaskia College, job counseling and training initiative, Centralia, IL	150,000
Kennebec Valley Council of Governments, Rural Maine Employment Initiative, Fairfield, ME	400,000
Knowledge District Infrastructure Study, City of Providence, Providence, RI	175,000
Lawrence-Douglas County Biosciences Authority, Bioscience & Technology Business Center, Lawrence, KS	125,000
Lewis and Clark Community College, job counseling and training initiative, Godfrey, IL	150,000
Lincoln County, Alamo Industrial Park Development, Alamo, NV	150,000
Loan Capital for North Carolina's Green Economy, Center for Community Self Help, Durham, NC	250,000
Lyndon State College, Center for Business Education and Rural Entrepreneurship, Lyndonville, VT	250,000
Manufacturing and Small Business Development, Village of Sherburne, Sherburne, NY	300,000
Mercado Mayapan Small Business Incubator, Centro del Obrero Fronterizo, Inc. (dba La Mujer Obrera), El Paso, TX	250,000
Midcoast Regional Redevelopment Authority, Brunswick Science and Technology Business Incubator, Brunswick, ME	750,000
Midwest China Hub Commission, St. Louis, MO	1,000,000
Miller Business Resource Center, Middle Country Public Library, Centereach, NY	145,000
Minority Business Development Initiative, The Enterprise Center (TEC), Philadelphia, PA	300,000

Mississippi State University Entrepreneurship Center, Starkville, MS	650,000
Mississippi Technology Alliance for Center for Innovation and Entrepreneurship, Ridgeland, MS	1,000,000
Montclair State University, Institute for Sustainability Studies Business Incubator, Montclair, NJ	275,000
Montrose Higher Education and Technology Park, City of Montrose, Montrose, CO	205,000
Mount Washington Valley Economic Council, North Country Small Business Education Center, Conway, NH	100,000
MudTown Farms Small Business Development Project, Watts Labor Community Action Committee, Los Angeles, CA	155,000
My EntreNet: Supporting Rural Entrepreneurship in Illinois, Illinois State University, Normal, IL	305,000
National Centers of Excellence Regional Technology Deployment Pilot Project, Orem, UT	600,000
Neighborhood Commercialization Revitalization Project, New Orleans Redevelopment Authority, New Orleans, LA	250,000
Nevada Center for Entrepreneurship and Technology, Small Business Entrepreneur Training, Reno, NV	150,000
New Venture Incubator, The University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX	155,000
New York State Small Business Development Center, New York State Small Business Development Center at Rockland Community College, Suffern, NY	250,000
NorTech Energy Enterprise Collaborations: Job Creation through Enhanced Competitiveness, Northeast Ohio Technology Coalition (NorTech), Cleveland, OH	210,000
Northeast Organic Farming Association of Vermont, Farmer-to-Farmer Mentor Program for business development, Chittenden County, VT	75,000
Northern Arizona Transportation and Clean Energy Center, Northern Arizona Center for Emerging Technologies, Flagstaff, AZ	250,000
Northern Kentucky University College of Informatics, Highland Heights, KY	250,000
Northern Maine Acadian Development, Madawaska, ME	1,000,000
Northern Michigan University Upper Peninsula Center for Community and Economic Development, Marquette, MI	100,000
Ohio University, Small Business Development for Appalachian Ohio's Emerging Biomass Industry, Athens, OH	125,000
Operation and programming expansion to improve economic and community development for low-income residents, Saint Benedict the Moor Neighborhood Center, Inc., Bronx, NY	100,000
Orange County Small Business Development, Orange County Chamber of Commerce, Montgomery, NY	160,000
Oregon International Port of Coos Bay for the business center incubator, Coos Bay, OR	125,000
Pellissippi Research Centre on the Oak Ridge Corridor, Alcoa, TN	650,000
Pennval Road Green Technology Incubator, Township of Woodbridge, Woodbridge, NJ	150,000
Pharmacy Technician Training for Small Businesses, Chinatown Service Center, Los Angeles, CA	200,000
PIPELINE Entrepreneurial Fellowship, KS	125,000
Poe Cottage Visitor's and Tourism Center, The Bronx County Historical Society, Bronx, NY	100,000
Portland Community College, Swan Island Training Center, Portland, OR	125,000
Prince George's County Africa Trade Office Project, Prince George's County, Upper Marlboro, MD	120,000
Promoting Process Maturity Within the WV Small Business Community, Fairmont State University, Fairmont, WV	250,000
Putting People to Work, South Carolina Manufacturing Extension Partnership, Columbia, SC	250,000
Reading Airport Research Park, Berks County Industrial Development Authority, Reading, PA	150,000
Regional Development Corporation, New Mexico Youth Entrepreneurship Network, Santa Fe, NM	100,000
Regional Economic Development Initiative, Regional Development Corporation, Santa Fe, NM	100,000
Research and Technology Institute of West Michigan for InnovationWorks, technical assistance to inventors, entrepreneurs, and existing businesses, Grand Rapids, MI	100,000

Rock Valley College, job training and small business counseling program, Rockford, IL	230,000
Rural Enterprise of Oklahoma, Small Business Resource Center, Cameron University, Lawton, OK	100,000
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Newark Campus, New Jersey Entrepreneurship Development Initiative, Newark, NJ	100,000
Safer Foundation, transitional employment program, Chicago, IL	325,000
Saint Xavier University, Minority small business initiative, Chicago, IL	252,250
Salinas-Marina Community Development Food Project for Small Businesses, Everyone's Harvest, Marina, CA	125,000
Saratoga Economic Development Corporation, Saratoga Springs, NY	100,000
Sauk Valley Community College, Job training and certification program, Dixon, IL	250,000
SCORE Services Financial and Technical Assistance Program, SCORE Chicago, Chicago, IL	158,750
Seminole State College's Economic Development Program for Business Recruitment and Retention, Seminole, OK	100,000
Sensor System Small Business Incubation Center, Lorain County Community College, Elyria, OH	190,000
Sirti Foundation for capacity building and education, technical assistance, and training for technology entrepreneurship, Spokane, WA	500,000
Small Business and Non-Profit Consultation Center, Providence College, Providence, RI	200,000
Small Business Citrus Growers Technology Development Program, Florida Department of Citrus, Bartow, FL	200,000
Small Business Complex, Community Development Corp of SW Georgia, Colquitt, GA	100,000
Small Business Development Center, University of Washington Tacoma, Tacoma, WA	265,000
Small Business Development Program, Hispanic American Construction Industry Association, Chicago, IL	155,000
Small Business Employee Development Center, Fairplex Education Foundation, Pomona, CA	150,000
Small Business Employment Training Program for Careers in the Solar Industry, Pasadena City College, Pasadena, CA	138,000
Small Business Incubator Expansion, City of Lauderhill, Lauderhill, FL	145,000
Small Business Incubator Program at the Business Technology Center of Los Angeles County, Community Development Commission of the County of Los Angeles, Monterey Park, CA	112,000
Small Business Incubator, City of Middletown, Middletown, CT	250,000
Small Business Incubator, Mount Vernon Chamber of Commerce, Mount Vernon, NY	150,000
Small Business Loan Program, City of Avondale, Avondale, AZ	150,000
Small Business Resource and Recovery Center, Town of Huntington, Huntington, NY	200,000
Small Business Technical Assistance, Schenectady Local Development Corporation, Schenectady, NY	140,000
Small Business, Technical Assistance, and Workforce Training Program, Good Old Lower East Side, Inc., New York, NY	200,000
Smart Business Exchange, Long Island Hispanic Chamber of Commerce, Melville, NY	250,000
Souris Basin Regional Planning Council, North Dakota Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones, Minot, ND	300,000
South Brooklyn Youth Consortium Inc - Coney Goes Green, South Brooklyn Consortium Inc, Brooklyn, NY	110,000
Southcoast Biotechnology Business Incubator, University of Massachusetts Dartmouth, North Dartmouth, MA	200,000
Southern California Small Business Support Economic Atlas, University of Redlands, Redlands, CA	150,000
Student Assistance Foundation for a financial education program, Helena, MT	100,000
Sustainability and Energy Development Incubator, Burlington County College, Pemberton, NJ	285,000
Taos Pueblo village economic development, Taos, NM	100,000
Tapetes de Lana for economic development, Mora, NM	100,000
Texas Lutheran University Small Business Center, Texas Lutheran University, Seguin, TX	155,000

The Greater Harlem Chamber of Commerce, Upper Manhattan Tourism and Tourism Related Small Business Initiative, New York, NY	125,000
The Nussbaum Center for Entrepreneurship, Business Incubator Renovation, Greensboro, NC	100,000
The Queens Business Incubator, Schutzman Center for Entrepreneurship at Queens College, Flushing, NY	190,000
Transforming South-Central Virginia into an Innovation Region, Virginia's Region 2000 Economic Development Council, Lynchburg, VA	200,000
University at Albany, State University of New York, National Clearinghouse for Research and Education in Financial Market Regulation, Albany, NY	100,000
University of Alabama, Rural Health Entrepreneurial Development Project, Tuscaloosa, AL	1,250,000
University of Arkansas at Little Rock, Small Business Innovation Center, Little Rock, AR	275,000
University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff, Business Support Incubator, Pine Bluff, AR	275,000
University of Delaware, Delaware Small Business Development Center, Newark, DE	175,000
University of Louisiana at Monroe, Business Incubator Renovation, Monroe, LA	100,000
University of Maine at Farmington, Western Maine Rural Small Business Initiative, Farmington, ME	550,000
University of Memphis, Memphis Center for Entrepreneurship and Innovation, Memphis, TN	400,000
University of Mississippi, Technology Commercialization Initiative, Oxford, MS	250,000
University of Nevada Reno, Veteran Business and Workforce Development Initiative, Reno, NV	150,000
University of Northern Iowa, MyEntre.Net, A National Entrepreneurship Support Network, Cedar Falls, IA	250,000
University of Rhode Island Research Foundation, for technical assistance and outreach to support start-up and emerging businesses, South Kingstown, RI	250,000
University of South Florida Business Incubator Project, Bartow, FL	200,000
University of Southern California, Center for Community Development, Los Angeles, CA	500,000
University of Southern Mississippi, Early Stage Entrepreneur/Commercialization Development, Hattiesburg, MS	650,000
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee for business development related to clean water technologies, Milwaukee, WI	250,000
Urban League of Rochester, NY, Inc., Small Business Development Assistance Program, Rochester, NY	250,000
Vermont Worker's Center, financial literacy workshops, Chittenden County, VT	75,000
Veterans, Women, and Minorities Small Business Assistance, Associated General Contractors of Kentucky, Frankfort, KY	210,000
Via de Amistad Incubator, Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc., Phoenix, AZ	250,000
Virginia Community College System, Virginia Veteran's Workforce Development Project, Richmond, VA	400,000
Washington Hancock Community Agency, Rural Business Energizer Program, Milbridge, ME	200,000
West Virginia University at Parkersburg, downtown center economic development, Parkersburg, WV	250,000
Western Kentucky University Bowling Green Data Center, Bowling Green, KY	750,000
Western Nevada College, Advanced Manufacturing Workforce Collaborative, Carson City, NV	150,000
Western New England College for an entrepreneurship initiative, Springfield, MA	100,000
Western Washington University, National Center for Economic Vitality, Bellingham, WA	300,000
Williston State College for developing curriculum and delivery methods to address workforce shortage, Williston, ND	100,000
Wilmington College, Kettering Agriculture and Life Science Small Business incubator, Wilmington, OH	200,000
World Trade Center Institute Delaware, Online Training Program, Wilmington, DE	50,000
YWCA Malden, Financial Education and Advancement for Micro-Enterprises and At-Risk Families, Malden, MA	100,000

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

PAYMENT TO THE POSTAL SERVICE FUND

The Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission are directed to take into consideration the accessibility of Federally-regulated financial institutions in surrounding areas before closing a postal facility.

As outlined in Senate Report 111-238, the Postal Service is strongly urged to continue to expand the co-location of postal services and pursue other innovative approaches to serving customers.

In light of the Postal Regulatory Commission's report that the Postal Service has overpaid into the CSRS pension fund, OPM is urged to apply a fair and equitable methodology for calculating the payment allocation and adjust the Postal Service's contributions into the fund accordingly.

UNITED STATES TAX COURT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The Act includes \$55,053,000 for salaries and expenses of the United States Tax Court. Of this amount, \$2,852,000 is available until September 30, 2012 for perimeter security improvements to the U.S. Tax Court headquarters.

TITLE VI

GENERAL PROVISIONS—THIS ACT

Sections 601 through 617, Section 619, and Section 621 continue general provisions that were included in title VI of Division D of Public Law 111-117.

Section 618 rescinds \$1,500,000 in unobligated balances from the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board.

Section 620 allows political subdivisions of States and territories to be eligible for pool safety grants.

Section 622 deems certain Help America Vote Act grants in Fiscal Year 2004 to have been authorized.

Section 623 directs the President to transmit deficiency and supplemental requests from judicial and legislative branches.

Section 624 permits the Abraham Lincoln Bicentennial Foundation to receive matching funds from sale of the Lincoln Bicentennial coin.

Section 625 rescinds prior year unobligated balances from the Federal Communications Commission.

The Act does not include the provision passed by the House Subcommittee on Financial Services and General Government concerning the Stanford Ponzi scheme that the Congressional Budget Office subsequently estimated to have a cost of \$550 million in fiscal year 2011.

TITLE VII

GENERAL PROVISIONS—GOVERNMENT-WIDE

DEPARTMENTS, AGENCIES, AND CORPORATIONS

Sections 701 through 732 and Sections 734 through Section 740 continue general provisions that were included in title VII of Division D of Public Law 111-117.

Section 733 updates long-standing authority to transfer funds between agencies to en-

sure the uninterrupted, continuous operation of the Midway Atoll Airfield.

Section 741 revises Section 743 of Division D of Public Law 111-117 to strengthen the inventory of contractor services.

Section 742 eliminates pay increases in 2011 for many non-career employees in the Executive Branch.

Section 743 continues the provision concerning the non-application of these general provisions to title IV and title VIII.

Section 744 directs the GAO to study federal payment of interchange fees.

TITLE VIII

GENERAL PROVISIONS—DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Sections 801 through 817 continue general provisions that were included in title VIII of Division D of Public Law 111-117.

Section 818 permits the Public Defender Service for the District of Columbia to purchase professional liability insurance for its attorneys, staff, and board members.

Section 819 modifies the frequency of management evaluations by the Government Accountability Office of the District of Columbia's chartering authority for public charter schools.

Section 820 specifies that references to this Act in this title or title IV are treated as referring only to the provisions of this title and title IV.

Congressionally-Directed Spending Items

Agency	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DC	Children's National Medical Center, Washington DC		
DC	Recreation With Lett Committee, Recreation With Lett Committee, Washington, DC	\$1,000,000	Ruppersberger
DC	The University of the District of Columbia Urban Teacher Residency Academy, The University of the District of Columbia, Washington, DC	\$100,000	Norton
DC	Two chair dental clinic serving 2,000 low income D.C. residents, Bread for the City, Washington, DC	\$250,000	Moran (VA)
SBA	4 Ag Hawaii, Improved Food Security through Small Business Development, Haleiwa, HI	\$125,000	Norton
SBA	ACCION USA, Women's Link Program, for training and technical assistance for women-owned microenterprises, New York, NY	\$300,000	Alaska; Inouye
SBA	Alaska Fisheries Development Foundation, Utilization of Seafood Processing Waste and Product Development, Naknek, AK	\$125,000	Gillibrand
SBA	Albany Community Together Program, Albany Community Together, Inc., Albany, GA	\$295,000	Murkowski
SBA	Anchorage Community Land Trust for a financial literacy and community development program, Anchorage, AK	\$100,000	Bishop (GA)
SBA	Anna Maria College Business Simulation Laboratory, Anna Maria College, Paxton, MA	\$200,000	
SBA	ASU Newport Transportation Technology Expansion and Enhancement, Arkansas State University-Newport, Newport, AR	\$150,000	McGovern
SBA	Baylor Research and Innovation Collaborative, Baylor University, Waco, TX	\$185,000	Berry
SBA	Ben Franklin Technology Partners, Manufacturing Pennsylvania's Future commercialization initiative, PA	\$260,000	Edwards (TX)
SBA	Benedictine University, Small business training program, Lisle, IL	\$50,000	
SBA	Bergen County Business Solutions, County of Bergen, Hackensack, NJ	\$260,000	
SBA	BEST Program for Port of Oakland Truckers, Hispanic Business Education & Training Inc., Oakland, CA	\$210,000	Rothman (NJ)
SBA	Big Sky Economic Development Authority for operating expenses of a revolving loan fund, Billings, MT	\$150,000	Lee (CA)
SBA	Boise State University, Entrepreneurial initiative, Boise, ID	\$100,000	
SBA	Bradley University, small business training program, Peoria, IL	\$150,000	
SBA	Bronx Shepherd's business training programs, Bronx Shepherds Restoration Corporation, Bronx, NY	\$455,000	
SBA	Brooklyn Goes Global program, Brooklyn Alliance, Brooklyn, NY	\$100,000	Serrano
SBA	California Hispanic Chambers of Commerce, California Small Business Construction Initiative, Sacramento, CA	\$250,000	Towns
SBA	Career and Technology Pathways, Baltimore City Public School, Baltimore, MD	\$500,000	
SBA	Caribbean Trade Center and Business Incubator, Caribbean American Chamber of Commerce and Industry Inc., Brooklyn, NY	\$210,000	Ruppersberger
SBA	Carnegie Mellon University, Carnegie Mellon Manufacturing Accelerator, Pittsburgh, PA	\$180,000	Clarke
SBA	Case Western Reserve University, Northern Ohio Structural Laboratories, Advanced Instrumentation for Northeast Ohio's Bio-imaging Cluster, Cleveland, OH	\$100,000	Doyle
SBA	Center for Rural Affairs, New Entrepreneurial Initiatives for Rural Nebraska, Lyons, NE	\$125,000	Fudge
SBA	Center for Rural Entrepreneurship, Nebraska Entrepreneur Initiative, Lincoln, NE	\$137,500	
SBA	Central Corridor's Young Business Center for providing opportunities for small business owners and neighborhood revitalization, St. Paul, MN	\$137,500	
SBA	Chicago House and Social Service Agency, job training program, Chicago, IL	\$150,000	
SBA	City of Carson City, High Tech Business Collaborative, Carson City, NV	\$400,000	Quigley
SBA	City of Chicago, workforce retraining program, Chicago, IL	\$400,000	Reid
SBA	City of Denver, Denver Office of Strategic Partnerships Sustainability Project, Denver, CO	\$510,000	
SBA	City of Gallup, Kachina business incubator and redevelopment efforts, Gallup, NM	\$500,000	
SBA	City of Port Huron Economic Redevelopment Initiative, Port Huron, MI	\$100,000	
SBA	City of St. Cloud, Comprehensive Downtown Economic Development Plan and Program, St. Cloud, MN	\$100,000	
SBA	Clean Tech Innovation Center, City of San Jose, San Jose, CA	\$205,000	Honda; Lofgren, Zoe
SBA	Colorado State University, Sustainable Biofuels Development Center, Ft. Collins, CO	\$200,000	
SBA	Community Services Project, Village of Richton Park, Park Forest and Olympia Fields, Richton Park, IL	\$200,000	Jackson (IL)
SBA	CUNY Community College Alliance for Green Energy Training, The City University of New York, New York, NY	\$100,000	Nadler (NY)
SBA	Dakota Rising Rural Entrepreneur Fellowship Program, South Dakota Rural Enterprise, Sioux Falls, SD	\$250,000	
SBA	Dartmouth Regional Technology Center for additional business incubator space and support, Lebanon, NH	\$100,000	
SBA	Darvison Green Business Incubator Initiative, Davidson, NC	\$100,000	Watt
SBA	Delaware Valley High Growth Job Training Initiative, Collegiate Consortium for Workforce and Economic Development, Philadelphia, PA	\$100,000	Brady (PA)
SBA	Demonstration Project for Emerging Manufacturers, Delaware Valley Industrial Resource Center, Philadelphia, PA	\$185,000	Murphy; Patrick; Schwartz
SBA	Downtown Capital Access Center, Valley Economic Development Center, Los Angeles, CA	\$210,000	Roybal-Allard
SBA	Downtown Revolving Loan Fund, City of Chippewa Falls, Chippewa Falls, WI	\$450,000	Gibey
SBA	Downtown Salem Revitalization Toolbox program for economic development, Salem, OR	\$150,000	
SBA	Drop-Out Prevention and Employment Project for Very High-Risk Youth, Roca, Chelsea, MA	\$160,000	Capuano
SBA	Eastern Connecticut State University, Center for Economic, Financial and Entrepreneurship Education, Willimantic, CT	\$150,000	Courtney
SBA	Economic and community development program for elderly persons, Housing Options and Geriatric Association Resources, Inc., Bronx, NY	\$100,000	Serrano
SBA	Economic and community development programs for homeless adults, BronxWorks, Bronx, NY	\$100,000	
SBA	Economic Vitality of Asian Minority Businesses Program, Oakland Chinatown Chamber of Commerce, Oakland, CA	\$150,000	Lee (CA)
SBA	Economic Vitality of Minority Businesses in Oakland, Oakland African American Chamber Foundation, Oakland, CA	\$150,000	Lee (CA)
SBA	Educational and vocational training and job placement for offenders, EAC Inc., Hempstead, NY	\$140,000	McCarrthy (NY)
SBA	Energy Innovation Center, Innovation Works, Pittsburgh, PA	\$150,000	Doyle
SBA	Enrich Innovation Center Tech Park, City of Valparaiso, Valparaiso, IN	\$230,000	Visclosky
SBA	Entrepreneur Center, Connecticut Center for Advanced Technology, Inc., East Hartford, CT	\$245,000	Larson (CT)
SBA	Entrepreneurial Institute, Broward College, Fort Lauderdale, FL	\$350,000	Wasserman; Schultz; Klein (FL)
SBA	Entrepreneurship Training, Women's Business Development Center, Stamford, CT	\$210,000	Delaura; Hines
SBA	Entrepreneurship Center Program, Urban League of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA	\$900,000	Brady (PA); Fatch; Sestak
SBA	Expand Career Services Program, Metropolitan Council on Jewish Poverty, New York, NY	\$300,000	McMahon; Clarke; Towns
SBA	Fay Penn Economic Development Council, Local Economy Initiative, Fayette County, PA	\$50,000	Casey

SBA	Financial and Technical Assistance for Development Enterprises, Western Massachusetts Enterprise Fund, Holyoke, MA	\$270,000	Oler
SBA	Financial Literacy Services, City and County of San Francisco, Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector, San Francisco, CA	\$250,000	Perosi
SBA	Financial Literacy Services, East River Development Alliance (ERDA), Long Island City, NY	\$200,000	Maloney
SBA	Financial Literacy Training, Consortium for Worker Education, New York, NY	\$200,000	Crowley
SBA	Finlandia University and Julia Center for Global Design and Business, business incubator, Hancock, MI	\$100,000	Levin; Stabenow
SBA	First State Community Loan Fund, for small business and community development technical assistance, Wilmington, DE	\$175,000	Carper; Kaufman
SBA	From Red 2 Black Financial Literacy Program, Latino Leadership, Inc., Orlando, FL	\$250,000	Grayson
SBA	Global Marketing and Logistics Certification Training Program, University of Texas-Brownsville, Brownsville, TX	\$150,000	Ortiz
SBA	Grain Processing Authority, Expanding Minority Entrepreneurship Regionally Across the Louisiana Delta (EMERAD), Grambling, LA	\$137,500	Landrieu
SBA	Greater Bridgeport Community Enterprises, Urban Green Business Incubator, Bridgeport, CT	\$125,000	Baucus; Tester
SBA	Greater Cedar Valley Alliance, Bi-State Entrepreneurial Development Initiative, IA	\$150,000	Dodd; Lieberman
SBA	Greater New Orleans regional economic alliance for Green New Orleans, a green business and jobs initiative, New Orleans, LA	\$150,000	Grassley
SBA	Green Small Business Initiative, Prince George's County, Upper Marlboro, MD	\$137,500	Landrieu
SBA	Greene County Department of Economic Development, Business Park Development Project, Waynesburg, PA	\$265,000	Hoyer; Van Hollen; Edwards (MD)
SBA	Harlem Small Business Retention & Preservation Program, Harlem Business Alliance, Inc., New York, NY	\$100,000	Ruppersberger
SBA	Hartford Economic Development Corporation, Business Resource Center, Hartford, CT	\$180,000	Cardin
SBA	Helotes Small Business Incubator, The City of Helotes Economic Development Corporation, Helotes, TX	\$150,000	Larson (CT)
SBA	Hiring Disadvantaged Farmer program, Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade, and Consumer Protection, Madison, WI	\$200,000	Dodd
SBA	Hudson Valley Regional Food and Sustainable Small Agribusiness System, Hudson Valley AgriBusiness Development Corp., Hudson, NY	\$260,000	Rodriguez
SBA	Infrastructure and Capacity Enhancements, AccountAbility Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN	\$205,000	Kagen
SBA	Initiative for small business development, Chautauque Works, Dunkirk, NY	\$205,000	Hinchev
SBA	Institute for Entrepreneurial Leadership for technical assistance to minority and women business owners, Newark, NJ	\$145,000	McColum
SBA	International Business Attraction Program, Youngstown/Warren Regional Chamber, Youngstown, OH	\$100,000	Higgins
SBA	International Trade Alliance, Washington BRIC Export Initiative, Spokane, WA	\$250,000	Ryan (OH)
SBA	IT Management System for Small Businesses, Detroit Wayne County Port Authority, Detroit, MI	\$200,000	Murray; Cantwell
SBA	Jackson State University for Economic and Community Development Through Heritage Tourism, Jackson, MS	\$650,000	Kiparrick (MI)
SBA	Jefferson Local Development Corporation for operating expenses of a revolving loan fund to support small business development, Whitehall, MT	\$150,000	Cochran; Wicker
SBA	Johnson and Wales University, Higher Education Consortium for Rhode Island Entrepreneurship, Providence, RI	\$200,000	Baucus; Tester
SBA	Johnson State College, Upward Bound, Lamoille County, VT	\$50,000	Reed; Whitehouse
SBA	Kaskaskia College, job counseling and training initiative, Centralia, IL	\$150,000	Durbin
SBA	Kennebec Valley Council of Governments, Rural Maine Employment Initiative, Fairfield, ME	\$400,000	Collins
SBA	Knowledge District Infrastructure Study, City of Providence, Providence, RI	\$175,000	Longevin; Kennedy
SBA	Lawrence-Douglas County Biosciences Authority, Bioscience & Technology Business Center, Lawrence, KS	\$125,000	Brownback; Roberts
SBA	Lewis and Clark Community College, job counseling and training initiative, Godfrey, IL	\$150,000	Durbin
SBA	Lincoln County, Alamo Industrial Park Development, Alamo, NV	\$150,000	Reid
SBA	Loan Capital for North Carolina's Green Economy, Center for Community Self Help, Durham, NC	\$250,000	Price (NC)
SBA	Lyndon State College, Center for Business Education and Rural Entrepreneurship, Lyndonville, VT	\$250,000	Leahy
SBA	Manufacturing and Small Business Development, Village of Sherburne, Sherburne, NY	\$300,000	Arcuri
SBA	Mercado Mayapan Small Business Incubator, Centro del Obiero Fronterizo, Inc. (dba La Mujer Obrera), El Paso, TX	\$250,000	Reyes
SBA	Midcoast Regional Redevelopment Authority, Brunswick Science and Technology Business Incubator, Brunswick, ME	\$750,000	Collins
SBA	Midwest China Hub Commission, St. Louis, MO	\$1,000,000	Bond
SBA	Miller Business Resource Center, Middle Country Public Library, Centereach, NY	\$145,000	Bishop (NY)
SBA	Minority Business Development Initiative, The Enterprise Center (TEC), Philadelphia, PA	\$300,000	Fattah
SBA	Mississippi State University Entrepreneurship Center, Starkville, MS	\$650,000	Childers
SBA	Mississippi Technology Alliance for Center for Innovation and Entrepreneurship, Ridgeland, MS	\$1,000,000	Cochran; Wicker
SBA	Montclair State University, Institute for Sustainability Studies Business Incubator, Montclair, NJ	\$275,000	Cochran; Wicker
SBA	Montrose Higher Education and Technology Park, City of Montrose, Montrose, CO	\$205,000	Salazar
SBA	Mount Washington Valley Economic Council, North Country Small Business Education Center, Conway, NH	\$100,000	Shahen
SBA	MudTown Farms Small Business Development Project, Watts Labor Community Action Committee, Los Angeles, CA	\$115,000	Sanchez; Lunda
SBA	NY Entrepreneur: Supporting Rural Entrepreneurship in Illinois, Illinois State University, Normal, IL	\$800,000	Halvorson
SBA	National Centers of Excellence Regional Technology Deployment Pilot Project, Orem, UT	\$600,000	Bennett
SBA	Neighborhood Commercialization Revitalization Project, New Orleans Redevelopment Authority, New Orleans, LA	\$250,000	Cao
SBA	Nevada Center for Entrepreneurship and Technology, Small Business Entrepreneur Training, Reno, NV	\$150,000	Reid
SBA	New Venture Incubator, The University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX	\$155,000	Gonzalez
SBA	New York State Small Business Development Center, New York State Small Business Development Center at Rockland Community College, Suffern, NY	\$250,000	Lowery
SBA	NorTech Energy Enterprise Collaborations: Job Creation through Enhanced Competitiveness, Northeast Ohio Technology Coalition (NorTech), Cleveland, OH	\$210,000	Ryan (OH); Bocchieri; Fudge; Sutton
SBA	Northeast Organic Farming Association of Vermont; Farmer-to-Farmer Mentor Program for business development, Chittenden County, VT	\$75,000	Sanders
SBA	Northern Arizona Transportation and Clean Energy Center, Northern Arizona Center for Emerging Technologies, Flagstaff, AZ	\$250,000	Kirkpatrick (AZ)
SBA	Northern Kentucky University College of Informatics, Highland Heights, KY	\$250,000	McConnell
SBA	Northern Maine Academic Development, Madawaska, ME	\$1,000,000	Collins
SBA	Northern Michigan University Upper Peninsula Center for Community and Economic Development, Marquette, MI	\$100,000	Stupak
SBA	Ohio University, Small Business Development for Appalachian Ohio's Emerging Biotech Industry, Athens, OH	\$125,000	Space; Wilson (OH)
SBA	Operation and programming expansion to improve economic and community development for low income residents, Saint Benedict the Moor Neighborhood Center, Inc., Bronx, NY	\$100,000	Serrano
SBA	Orange County Small Business Development, Orange County Chamber of Commerce, Montgomery, NY	\$160,000	Hall (NY)

SBA	Oregon International Port of Coos Bay for the business center incubator, Coos Bay, OR	\$125,000	Defazio	Merkley, Wyden
SBA	Peissippi Research Centre on the Oak Ridge Corridor, Alcoa, TN	\$650,000		Alexander
SBA	Pennval Road Green Technology Incubator, Township of Woodbridge, Woodbridge, NJ	\$150,000	Sires	
SBA	Pharmacy Technician Training for Small Businesses, Chinatown Service Center, Los Angeles, CA	\$200,000	Schiff	
SBA	PIPELINE Entrepreneurial Fellowship, KS	\$125,000		Brownback
SBA	Poe Cottage Visitor's and Tourism Center, The Bronx County Historical Society, Bronx, NY	\$100,000	Serrano	
SBA	Portland Community College, Swan Island Training Center, Portland, OR	\$125,000	Blumenauer, Wu	Merkley, Wyden
SBA	Prince George's County Africa Trade Office Project, Prince George's County, Upper Marlboro, MD	\$120,000	Van Hollen	Cardin
SBA	Promoting Process Maturity Within the WV Small Business Community, Fairmont State University, Fairmont, WV	\$250,000	Molohan	
SBA	Putting People to Work, South Carolina Manufacturing Extension Partnership, Columbia, SC	\$250,000	Cyburn	
SBA	Reading Airport Research Park, Berks County Industrial Development Authority, Reading, PA	\$150,000	Holden	
SBA	Regional Economic Development Corporation, New Mexico Youth Entrepreneurship Network, Santa Fe, NM	\$100,000		Bingaman, Udall, Tom
SBA	Regional Development Initiative, Regional Development Corporation, Santa Fe, NM	\$100,000	Lujan	
SBA	Research and Technology Institute of West Michigan for InnovationWorks, technical assistance to inventors, entrepreneurs, and existing businesses, Grand Rapids, MI	\$100,000		Levin, Stabenow
SBA	Rock Valley College, job training and small business counseling program, Rockford, IL	\$230,000		Durbin
SBA	Rural Enterprise of Oklahoma, Small Business Resource Center, Cameron University, Lawton, OK	\$100,000		Inhofe
SBA	Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Newark Campus, New Jersey Entrepreneurship Development Initiative, Newark, NJ	\$100,000	Payne	Lautenberg, Menendez
SBA	Safer Foundation, transitional employment program, Chicago, IL	\$325,000		Durbin
SBA	Saint Xavier University, Minority small business initiative, Chicago, IL	\$252,250	Lipinski, Gutierrez	Durbin
SBA	Salinas Marina Community Development Food Project for Small Businesses, Everyone's Harvest, Marina, CA	\$125,000	Farr	
SBA	Saratoga Economic Development Corporation, Saratoga Springs, NY	\$100,000		Schumer

SBA	Sauk Valley Community College, job training and certification program, Dixon, IL	\$250,000		Dubin
SBA	SCORE Services Financial and Technical Assistance Program, SCORE Chicago, Chicago, IL	\$158,750	Rush	
SBA	Seminole State College's Economic Development Program for Business Recruitment and Retention, Seminole, OK	\$100,000	Boren	Inhofe
SBA	Sensor System Small Business Incubation Center, Lorain County Community College, Elyria, OH	\$190,000	Kaptur	
SBA	Sirti Foundation for capacity building and education, technical assistance, and training for technology entrepreneurship, Spokane, WA	\$500,000	Kennedy	Murray; Cantwell
SBA	Small Business and Non-Profit Consultation Center, Providence College, Providence, RI	\$200,000	Boyd	
SBA	Small Business Citrus Growers Technology Development Program, Florida Department of Citrus, Bartow, FL	\$100,000	Bishop (GA)	
SBA	Small Business Complex, Community Development Corp of SW Georgia, Colquitt, GA	\$265,000	Dicks	
SBA	Small Business Development Center, University of Washington Tacoma, Tacoma, WA	\$155,000	Gutierrez	
SBA	Small Business Development Program, Hispanic American Construction Industry Association, Chicago, IL	\$150,000	Napolitano	
SBA	Small Business Employee Development Center, Fairplex Education Foundation, Pomona, CA	\$138,000	Schiff	
SBA	Small Business Employment Training Program for Careers in the Solar Industry, Pasadena City College, Pasadena, CA	\$145,000	Hastings (FL)	Wasserman Schultz
SBA	Small Business Incubator Expansion, City of Lauderdale, Lauderdale, FL	\$112,000	Schiff	
SBA	Small Business Incubator Program at the Business Technology Center of Los Angeles County, Community Development Commission of the County of Los Angeles, Monterey Park, CA	\$250,000	DeLauro	
SBA	Small Business Incubator, Mount Vernon Chamber of Commerce, Mount Vernon, NY	\$150,000	Engel	
SBA	Small Business Loan Program, City of Avondale, Avondale, AZ	\$200,000	Grijalva	
SBA	Small Business Resource and Recovery Center, Town of Huntington, Huntington, NY	\$140,000	Tonko	
SBA	Small Business Technical Assistance, Schenectady Local Development Corporation, Schenectady, NY	\$200,000	Velasquez	
SBA	Small Business, Technical Assistance, and Workforce Training Program, Good Old Lower East Side, Inc., New York, NY	\$250,000	Israel	
SBA	Smart Business Exchange, Long Island Hispanic Chamber of Commerce, Melville, NY	\$300,000	Pomeroy	Conrad; Dorgan
SBA	South Basin Regional Planning Council, North Dakota Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones, Minot, ND	\$110,000	Nadler (NY)	
SBA	South Brooklyn Youth Consortium Inc., Coney Goes Green, South Brooklyn Consortium Inc, Brooklyn, NY	\$200,000	Frank (MA)	
SBA	Southeast Biotechnology Business Incubator, University of Massachusetts Dartmouth, North Dartmouth, MA	\$150,000	Baca	
SBA	Southern California Small Business Support Economic Atlas, University of Redlands, Redlands, CA	\$285,000	Adler (NJ)	
SBA	Student Assistance Foundation for a financial education program, Helena, MT	\$100,000		Baucus; Tester
SBA	Sustainability and Energy Development Incubator, Burlington County College, Pemberton, NJ	\$100,000		
SBA	Taos Pueblo village economic development, Taos, NM	\$155,000	Cuellar	Bingaman; Udall, Tom
SBA	Texas Lutheran University Small Business Center, Texas Lutheran University, Seguin, TX	\$275,000	Rengel	Bingaman; Udall, Tom
SBA	The Greater Harlem Chamber of Commerce, Upper Manhattan Tourism and Tourism Related Small Business Initiative, New York, NY	\$100,000	Miller (NC)	
SBA	The Kuschbaum Center for Entrepreneurship, Business Incubator Center, Greensboro, NC	\$190,000	Ackerman; Weiner; Crowley	Hagan
SBA	The Queens Business Incubator, Schurman Center for Entrepreneurship at Queens College, Flushing, NY	\$200,000	Perrillo	
SBA	Transforming South-Central Virginia into an Innovation Region, Virginia's Region 2000 Economic Development Council, Lynchburg, VA	\$100,000	Tonko	Schumer
SBA	University at Albany, State University of New York, National Clearinghouse for Research and Education in Financial Market Regulation, Albany, NY	\$1,250,000	Snyder	Shelby
SBA	University of Alabama, Rural Health Entrepreneurial Development Project, Tuscaloosa, AL	\$275,000		Lincoln; Pryor
SBA	University of Arkansas at Little Rock, Small Business Innovation Center, Little Rock, AR	\$175,000		Carper; Kaufman
SBA	University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff, Business Support Incubator, Pine Bluff, AR	\$100,000		Vitter; Landrieu
SBA	University of Delaware, Delaware Small Business Development Center, Newark, DE	\$550,000	Cohen	Collins
SBA	University of Louisiana at Monroe, Business Incubator Renovation, Monroe, LA	\$250,000	Childers	Alexander
SBA	University of Maine at Farmington, Western Maine Rural Small Business Initiative, Farmington, ME	\$150,000		Cochran; Wicker
SBA	University of Memphis, Memphis Center for Entrepreneurship and Innovation, Memphis, TN	\$250,000	Braleigh (IA)	Reid
SBA	University of Mississippi, Technology Commercialization Initiative, Oxford, MS	\$250,000	Langevin	Harkin; Grassley
SBA	University of Nevada Reno, Veteran Business and Workforce Development Initiative, Reno, NV	\$200,000	Watson	Reed; Whitehouse
SBA	University of Northern Iowa, MyEntreNet, A National Entrepreneurship Support Network, Cedar Falls, IA	\$500,000	Moore (NJ)	Nelson; Bill
SBA	University of Rhode Island Research Foundation, for technical assistance and outreach to support start up and emerging businesses, South Kingstown, RI	\$650,000	Slaughter	Boxer; Feinstein
SBA	University of Southern California, Center for Community Development, Los Angeles, CA	\$250,000	Chandler	Cochran; Wicker
SBA	University of Wisconsin Milwaukee, Early Stage Entrepreneur/Commercialization Development, Hattiesburg, MS	\$75,000	Pastor (AZ)	Kohl
SBA	Urban League of Rochester, NY, Inc., Small Business Development Assistance Program, Rochester, NY	\$210,000		Sanders
SBA	Veterans, Women, and Minorities Small Business Assistance, Associated General Contractors of Kentucky, Frankfort, KY	\$250,000		
SBA	Via de Amistad Incubator, Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc., Phoenix, AZ	\$400,000		
SBA	Virginia Community College System, Virginia Veteran's Workforce Development Project, Richmond, VA	\$200,000	Mickbaud	Warner; Webb
SBA	Washington Hancock Community Agency, Rural Business Energizer Program, Milbridge, ME	\$250,000		Collins; Stowe
SBA	Western Kentucky University Bowling Green Data Center, Bowling Green, KY	\$150,000		Rockefeller
SBA	Western Nevada College, Advanced Manufacturing Workforce Collaborative, Carson City, NV	\$100,000		McConnell
SBA	Western New England College for an entrepreneurship initiative, Springfield, MA	\$300,000		Reid
SBA	Western Washington University, National Center for Economic Vitality, Bellingham, WA	\$200,000		Kerry
SBA	Williston State College for developing curriculum and delivery methods to address workforce shortage, Williston, ND	\$100,000		Conrad; Dorgan
SBA	Wilmington College, Kettering Agriculture and Life Science Small Business Incubator, Wilmington, OH	\$50,000		Brown; Sherrod
SBA	World Trade Center Delaware, Online Training Program, Wilmington, DE	\$100,000		Carper; Kaufman
SBA	YWCA Malden, Financial Education and Advancement for Micro Enterprises and At-Risk Families, Malden, MA	\$2,400,000		Kerry
Treasury	Bank On USA demonstration projects, HI			Akaka; Hironaka

GSA	Language concerning Central District of CA, Los Angeles division courthouse project*	Roybal, Alarid, Schiff	
GSA	Language concerning real property in Tracy, CA*	McKerney	

* The House considers this item an earmark, the Senate does not.

Presidentially-Directed Spending Items

Agency	Project	Amount	Administration	Requester(s)
EOP	National Alliance for Model State Drug Laws	\$1,187,500	The President	House
EOP	National Drug Court Institute	\$1,500,000	The President	
GSA	California, Richmond, Frank Hagel Federal Building	\$113,620,000	The President	Honda
GSA	California, Van Nuys, James C. Corman Federal Building	\$11,039,000	The President	
GSA	Colorado, Lakewood, Denver Federal Center Remediation	\$7,957,000	The President	
GSA	District of Columbia, Washington, St. Elizabeths DHS Consolidation and Development	\$267,675,000	The President	
GSA	District of Columbia, Washington, St. Elizabeths Highway Interchange	\$8,350,000	The President	
GSA	District of Columbia, Washington, St. Elizabeths Historic Preservation Mitigation	\$4,990,000	The President	
GSA	District of Columbia, Washington, West Wing Design Phase II	\$6,245,000	The President	
GSA	Indiana, Indianapolis, Major General Emmert J. Bean Federal Center	\$65,813,000	The President	
GSA	Maine, Calais, Ferry Point Land Port of Entry	\$1,552,000	The President	
GSA	Maryland, White Oak, Food and Drug Administration Consolidation	\$173,773,000	The President	Edwards (MD); Hoyer
GSA	Michigan, Detroit, P. V. McNamara Federal Building FBI Garage	\$3,658,000	The President	
GSA	New York, New York, Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse	\$28,000,000	The President	
GSA	West Virginia, Martinsburg, IRS Annex	\$24,767,000	The President	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY					
Departmental Offices					
Salaries and expenses	304,888	343,901	334,650	+ 29,762	– 9,251
Executive direction	(21,983)		(38,531)	(+ 16,548)	(+ 38,531)
Economic policies and programs	(47,249)		(66,918)	(+ 19,669)	(+ 66,918)
Financial policies and programs	(48,580)		(86,075)	(+ 37,495)	(+ 86,075)
Terrorism and financial intelligence	(64,511)		(102,613)	(+ 38,002)	(+ 102,613)
Treasury-wide management	(22,679)		(40,512)	(+ 17,833)	(+ 40,512)
Administration	(99,786)			(– 99,786)	
Currency manipulation					
Acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities		2,500			– 2,500
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–212)	690			– 690	
Subtotal	305,578	346,401	334,650	+ 29,072	– 11,751
Department-wide systems and capital investments programs	9,544	22,000	11,000	+ 1,456	– 11,000
Office of Inspector General	29,700	30,269	32,269	+ 2,569	+ 2,000
Rescission (Public Law 111–212)	– 1,800			+ 1,800	
Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration	152,000	155,452	155,452	+ 3,452	
Special Inspector General for TARP	23,300	49,600	49,600	+ 26,300	
Financial Crimes Enforcement Network	111,010	100,419	121,000	+ 5,990	+ 20,581
Treasury forfeiture fund (rescission)	– 90,000	– 62,000	– 370,000	– 280,000	– 308,000
Total, Departmental Offices	539,332	642,141	333,571	– 205,361	– 308,170
Financial Management Service	244,132	235,253	235,253	– 8,879	
Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau:					
Salaries and expenses	103,000	106,168	101,000	– 2,000	– 5,168
Offsetting collections (legislative proposal)		– 75,000			+ 75,000
Direct appropriation	103,000	31,168	101,000	– 2,000	+ 69,832
Bureau of the Public Debt	182,244	175,985	175,985	– 6,259	
Community development financial institutions fund program account	246,750	250,000	277,400	+ 30,650	+ 27,400
Capital Magnet Fund (by transfer)	(80,000)			(– 80,000)	
Subtotal	246,750	250,000	277,400	+ 30,650	+ 27,400
Payment of government losses in shipment	2,000	2,000	2,000		
Total, Department of the Treasury, non-IRS	1,317,458	1,336,547	1,125,609	– 191,849	– 210,938
Internal Revenue Service					
Taxpayer services	2,278,830	2,321,975	2,338,215	+ 59,385	+ 16,240
Enforcement	5,504,000	5,797,400	5,709,547	+ 205,547	– 87,853
Operations support	4,089,984	4,100,000	4,079,591	– 4,293	– 28,409
Business systems modernization	263,897	386,908	364,181	+ 100,284	– 22,727
Health Insurance Tax Credit Administration	15,512	18,987	18,987	+ 3,475	
Total, Internal Revenue Service	12,146,123	12,633,270	12,510,521	+ 364,398	– 122,749
Total, title I, Department of the Treasury	13,463,581	13,969,817	13,636,130	+ 172,549	– 333,687
Appropriations	(13,554,691)	(14,031,817)	(14,006,130)	(+ 451,435)	(– 25,687)
Rescissions	(– 91,800)	(– 62,000)	(– 370,000)	(– 278,200)	(– 308,000)
TITLE II—EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT AND FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT					
The White House					
Salaries and expenses (including Office of Policy Development)	59,143	59,859	59,859	+ 716	
Compensation of the President	450	450	450		
Total, Salaries and expenses	59,593	60,309	60,309	+ 716	
Executive Residence at the White House:					
Operating expenses	13,838	14,006	14,006	+ 168	
White House repair and restoration	2,500	2,005	2,005	– 495	
Council of Economic Advisers	4,200	4,403	4,403	+ 203	
National Security Council	12,231	14,134	14,134	+ 1,903	
Office of Administration	115,280	115,280	115,280		
Total, The White House	207,642	210,137	210,137	+ 2,495	
Office of Management and Budget	92,863	92,863	92,863		
Government-wide management councils (House Sec 723)		(20,000)	(17,000)	(+ 17,000)	(– 3,000)
Office of National Drug Control Policy					
Salaries and expenses	29,575	26,196	27,900	– 1,675	+ 1,704
Counterdrug Technology Assessment Center	5,000			– 5,000	
High intensity drug trafficking areas program	239,000	209,950	239,000		+ 29,050
Other Federal drug control programs	154,400	165,300	150,825	– 3,575	– 14,475
Total, Office of National Drug Control Policy	427,975	401,446	417,725	– 10,250	+ 16,279
Unanticipated needs	1,000	1,000	1,000		
Partnership fund for program integrity innovation	37,500			– 37,500	
Integrated, efficient and effective uses of information technology		50,000	37,500	+ 37,500	– 12,500
Special Assistance to the President and Official Residence of the Vice President:					
Salaries and expenses	4,604	4,657	4,657	+ 53	
Operating expenses	330	335	335		
Total, title II, Executive Office of the President and Funds Appropriated to the President	771,914	760,438	764,217	– 7,697	+ 3,779
TITLE III—THE JUDICIARY					
Supreme Court of the United States					
Salaries and expenses:					
Salaries of justices	2,166	2,197	2,197	+ 31	
Other salaries and expenses	71,868	75,561	75,561	+ 3,693	
Subtotal	74,034	77,758	77,758	+ 3,724	
Care of the building and grounds	14,525	14,788	14,788	+ 263	
Total, Supreme Court of the United States	88,559	92,546	92,546	+ 3,987	
United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit					
Salaries and expenses:					
Salaries of judges	2,491	2,502	2,502	+ 11	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
Other salaries and expenses	30,069	33,357	31,771	+ 1,702	- 1,586
Total, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fed Circuit	32,560	35,859	34,273	+ 1,713	- 1,586
United States Court of International Trade					
Salaries and expenses:					
Salaries of judges	1,715	1,851	1,851	+ 136	
Other salaries and expenses	19,635	20,417	20,400	+ 765	- 17
Total, U.S. Court of International Trade	21,350	22,268	22,251	+ 901	- 17
Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other Judicial Services					
Salaries and expenses:					
Salaries of judges and bankruptcy judges	340,000	332,565	332,565	- 7,435	
Other salaries and expenses	4,671,018	4,977,216	4,845,003	+ 173,985	- 132,213
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-230)	10,000			- 10,000	
Subtotal	5,021,018	5,309,781	5,177,568	+ 156,550	- 132,213
Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund	5,428	4,785	4,785	- 643	
Defender services	977,748	1,081,155	1,050,458	+ 72,710	- 30,737
Fees of jurors and commissioners	61,861	64,108	62,410	- 9,451	- 11,698
Court security	452,607	495,038	489,753	+ 37,146	- 5,285
Total, Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other Judicial Services	6,518,662	6,954,907	6,774,974	+ 256,312	- 179,933
Administrative Office of the United States Courts					
Salaries and expenses	83,075	87,255	86,968	+ 3,893	- 287
Federal Judicial Center					
Salaries and expenses	27,328	28,694	28,284	+ 956	- 410
Judicial Retirement Funds					
Payment to judiciary trust funds	82,374	90,361	90,361	+ 7,987	
United States Sentencing Commission					
Salaries and expenses	16,837	17,595	17,595	+ 758	
Total, title III, the Judiciary	6,870,745	7,329,485	7,147,252	+ 276,507	- 182,233
TITLE IV—DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA					
FEDERAL FUNDS					
Federal payment for Resident Tuition Support	35,100	35,100	35,100		
Federal payment for Emergency Planning and Security Costs in the District of Columbia	15,000	15,000	15,000		
Federal payment to the District of Columbia Courts	261,180	247,400	258,168	- 3,012	+ 10,768
Federal payment for defender services in the District of Columbia Courts	55,000	55,000	55,000		
Federal payment to the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia	212,408	217,783	217,783	+ 5,375	
Federal payment to the District of Columbia Public Defender Service	37,316	40,690	40,690	+ 3,374	
Supplemental appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	700			- 700	
Rescission (Public Law 111-212)	- 700			+ 700	
Federal payment to the District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority	20,000	25,000	25,000	+ 5,000	
Federal payment to the Criminal Justice Coordinating Council	2,000	1,800	1,800	- 200	
Federal payment for judicial commissions	500	500	500		
Federal payment to the Office of the Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia	1,850		1,475	- 375	+ 1,475
Federal payment for school improvement	75,400	72,400	72,400	- 3,000	
(Federal payment to jump start public school reform)		(20,000)			(- 20,000)
Federal payment for consolidated laboratory facility	15,000			- 15,000	
Federal payment for the D.C. National Guard	375	2,000	1,375	+ 1,000	- 625
Federal payment for housing for the homeless	17,000	10,000	10,000	- 7,000	
Federal payment for youth services	4,000			- 4,000	
Federal payment for redevelopment of the St. Elizabeth's Hospital campus		2,000	2,000	+ 2,000	
Federal payment for HIV/AIDS prevention		5,000	5,000	+ 5,000	
Federal payment for victims of domestic violence					
Federal payment for the Community College of the District of Columbia					
Total, Title IV, District of Columbia	752,129	729,673	741,291	- 10,838	+ 11,618
TITLE V—OTHER INDEPENDENT AGENCIES					
Administrative Conference of the United States	1,500	3,200	2,800	+ 1,300	- 400
Christopher Columbus Fellowship Foundation	750		750		+ 750
Commodity Futures Trading Commission	168,800	216,000	286,000	+ 117,200	+ 70,000
Financial regulatory reform contingent appropriations		45,000			- 45,000
Total, Commodity Futures Trading Commission	168,800	261,000	286,000	+ 117,200	+ 25,000
Consumer Product Safety Commission	118,200	118,600	120,600	+ 2,400	+ 2,000
Rescission of unobligated balances			- 2,000	- 2,000	- 2,000
Subtotal	118,200	118,600	118,600	+ 400	
Election Assistance Commission					
Salaries and expenses	17,959	16,800	17,100	- 859	+ 300
Election reform programs	75,000			- 75,000	
Total, Election Assistance Commission	92,959	16,800	17,100	- 75,859	+ 300
Federal Communications Commission					
Salaries and expenses	335,794	352,500	355,500	+ 19,706	+ 3,000
Offsetting fee collections—current year	- 335,794	- 351,500	- 355,500	- 19,706	- 4,000
Direct appropriation		1,000			- 1,000
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation: Office of Inspector General (by transfer)	(37,942)	(47,916)	(47,916)	(+ 9,974)	
Federal Election Commission	66,500	68,800	69,800	+ 3,300	+ 1,000
Federal Labor Relations Authority	24,773	26,000	26,000	+ 1,227	
Federal Trade Commission					
Salaries and expenses	291,700	314,000	316,500	+ 24,800	+ 2,500
Offsetting fee collections—current year	- 102,000	- 96,000	- 96,000	+ 6,000	
Offsetting fee collections, telephone database	- 21,000	- 19,000	- 21,000		- 2,000
Direct appropriation	168,700	199,000	199,500	+ 30,800	+ 500
Financial regulatory reform, contingent appropriation		10,000			- 10,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
General Services Administration					
Federal Buildings Fund					
Appropriations	(537,900)	(291,900)		(- 537,900)	(- 291,900)
Limitations on availability of revenue:					
Construction and acquisition of facilities	894,037	676,362	492,722	- 401,315	- 183,640
Repairs and alterations	413,776	703,467	500,014	+ 86,238	- 203,453
Installment acquisition payments	140,525	135,540	135,540	- 4,985	
Rental of space	4,804,871	5,291,946	5,216,946	+ 412,075	- 75,000
Building operations	2,290,376	2,346,348	2,321,348	+ 30,972	- 25,000
Subtotal, Limitations on availability of revenue	8,543,585	9,153,663	8,666,570	+ 122,985	- 487,093
Repayment of debt	66,360	71,270	71,270	+ 4,910	
Rental income to fund	- 8,223,000	- 8,871,000	- 8,871,000	- 648,000	
Total, Federal Buildings Fund	386,945	353,933	- 133,160	- 520,105	- 487,093
Government-wide policy	59,665	85,121	77,621	+ 17,956	- 7,500
Operating expenses	72,881	72,203	72,203	- 678	
Office of Inspector General	51,000	62,505	61,025	+ 2,025	- 1,880
Electronic Government Fund	34,000	35,000	20,000	- 14,000	- 15,000
Allowances and Office Staff for Former Presidents	3,756	3,907	3,907	+ 151	
Federal acquisition workforce initiatives fund		24,900	10,000	+ 10,000	- 14,900
Federal Citizen Information Center Fund	36,515	36,825	36,825	+ 310	
Rescission (Sec. 520)			- 1,400	- 1,400	- 1,400
Total, General Services Administration	652,762	674,794	147,021	- 505,741	- 527,773
Harry S. Truman Scholarship Foundation	660		1,000	+ 340	+ 1,000
Merit Systems Protection Board					
Salaries and expenses	40,339	41,621	41,621	+ 1,282	
Limitation on administrative expenses	2,579	2,579	2,579		
Total, Merit Systems Protection Board	42,918	44,200	44,200	+ 1,282	
Morris K. Udall and Stewart L. Udall Foundation					
Morris K. Udall and Stewart L. Udall Trust Fund	2,500	2,200	2,500		+ 300
Environmental Dispute Resolution Fund	3,800	3,800	3,800		
Total, Morris K. Udall and Stewart L. Udall Foundation	6,300	6,000	6,300		+ 300
National Archives and Records Administration					
Operating expenses	339,770	348,689	348,689	+ 8,919	
Reduction of debt	- 13,000	- 14,000	- 14,000	- 1,000	
Office of the Inspector General	4,100	4,250	4,250	+ 150	
Electronic records archive	82,000	85,500	72,000	- 13,500	- 13,500
Repairs and restoration	27,500	11,848	11,848	- 15,652	
National Historical Publications and Records Commission, Grants program	13,000	10,000	10,000	- 3,000	
Total, National Archives and Records Admin	456,870	446,287	432,787	- 24,083	- 13,500
National Credit Union Administration					
Central liquidity facility (Limitation on admin expenses, corporate funds)	(1,250)	(1,250)	(1,250)		
Community development credit union revolving loan fund	1,250	2,000	2,000	+ 750	
Office of Government Ethics	14,000	14,227	14,227	+ 227	
Office of Personnel Management					
Salaries and expenses	102,970	95,769	96,439	- 6,531	+ 670
Acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities		670			- 670
Subtotal	102,970	96,439	96,439	- 6,531	
Limitation on administrative expenses	112,738	121,738	121,738	+ 9,000	
Office of Inspector General	3,148	2,136	3,997	+ 849	+ 1,861
Limitation on administrative expenses	21,215	20,428	21,888	+ 673	+ 1,460
Govt Payment for Annuity, Employees Health Benefits	9,814,000	10,467,000	10,467,000	+ 653,000	
Govt Payment for Annuity, Employee Life Insurance		50,000		+ 50,000	
Payment to Civil Sec Retirement and Disability Fund	10,276,000	10,076,000	10,076,000	- 200,000	
Total, Office of Personnel Management	20,378,071	20,833,741	20,837,062	+ 458,991	+ 3,321
Mandatory	(20,138,000)	(20,593,000)	(20,593,000)	(- 455,000)	
Discretionary	(240,071)	(240,741)	(244,062)	(- 3,991)	(- 3,321)
Office of Special Counsel	18,495	19,486	19,486	+ 991	
Postal Regulatory Commission	14,333	14,450	14,450	+ 117	
Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board (Sec. 618)	1,500	1,683	1,500		- 183
Securities and Exchange Commission					
Salaries and expenses	1,111,000	1,234,000	1,300,000	+ 189,000	+ 66,000
Acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities		483			- 483
Subtotal	1,111,000	1,234,483	1,300,000	+ 189,000	+ 65,517
Prior year unobligated balances	- 16,084			+ 16,084	
Financial regulatory reform, contingent appropriations		24,000			- 24,000
Total, Securities and Exchange Commission	1,094,916	1,258,483	1,300,000	+ 205,084	+ 41,517
Selective Service System	24,275	25,400	24,275		- 1,125
Small Business Administration					
Salaries and expenses	433,438	444,269	459,125	+ 25,687	+ 14,856
Acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities		1,767			- 1,767
Subtotal	433,438	446,036	459,125	+ 25,687	+ 13,089
Office of Inspector General	16,300	18,000	18,000	+ 1,700	
Surety bond guarantees revolving fund	1,000	1,000		- 1,000	- 1,000
Business Loans Program Account:					
Direct loans subsidy	3,000	3,765	4,000	+ 1,000	+ 235
Guaranteed loans subsidy	80,000	165,386	79,000	- 1,000	- 86,386
Small business lending enhancements extension (emergency) (Public Law 111-118, Division B)	125,000			- 125,000	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-144)	60,000			- 60,000	
Subtotal	265,000	165,386	79,000	- 186,000	- 86,386
Administrative expenses	153,000	157,000	157,000	+ 4,000	
Total, Business loans program account	421,000	326,151	240,000	- 181,000	- 86,151
Disaster Loans Program Account:					
Guaranteed loans subsidy	1,690			- 1,690	
Administrative expenses	76,588	203,000	193,000	+ 116,412	- 10,000
Total, Disaster loans program account	78,278	203,000	193,000	+ 114,722	- 10,000
SBA salaries and expenses (H. Sec. 514, S. Sec. 524)	59,000		47,575	- 11,425	+ 47,575
Total, Small Business Administration	1,009,016	994,187	957,700	- 51,316	- 36,487
United States Postal Service					
Payment to the Postal Service Fund	29,000	29,000	29,000		
Advance appropriations	89,328	74,905	74,905	- 14,423	
Total, Payment to the Postal Service Fund	118,328	103,905	103,905	- 14,423	
Office of Inspector General	244,397	244,397	244,397		
Total, United States Postal Service	362,725	348,302	348,302	- 14,423	
United States Tax Court	49,241	52,201	55,053	+ 5,812	+ 2,852
Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission (Public Law 111-212)	1,800			- 1,800	
Total, title V, Independent Agencies	24,771,314	25,439,841	24,925,913	+ 154,599	- 513,928
Appropriations	(24,496,986)	(25,364,936)	(24,854,408)	(+ 357,422)	(- 510,528)
Advances	(89,328)	(74,905)	(74,905)	(- 14,423)	
(by transfer)	(37,942)	(47,916)	(47,916)	(+ 9,974)	
TITLE VI—GENERAL PROVISIONS, THIS ACT					
Extend SIPC coverage to Stanford claimants (Sec. 625)					
Grand total	46,629,683	48,229,254	47,214,803	+ 585,120	- 1,014,451
Appropriations	(46,437,165)	(48,216,349)	(47,513,298)	(+ 1,076,133)	(- 703,051)
Rescissions	(- 92,500)	(- 62,000)	(- 373,400)	(- 280,900)	(- 311,400)
Emergency appropriations	(195,690)			(- 195,690)	
Advances	(89,328)	(74,905)	(74,905)	(- 14,423)	
(by transfer)	(117,942)	(47,916)	(47,916)	(- 70,026)	

DIVISION F—DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

The following is an explanation of the effects of Division F, which makes appropriations for the Department of Homeland Security for fiscal year 2011. As provided in section 4 of the consolidated bill, this explanatory statement shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds and implementation of this division as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference. The language and allocations contained in Senate Report 111-222 should be complied with, and carry the same emphasis as the language included in the explanatory statement, unless specifically addressed to the contrary in the bill or this explanatory statement. While repeating some report language for emphasis, this explanatory statement does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein. When this explanatory statement refers to the Committees or the Committees on Appropriations, unless otherwise noted, this reference is to the House Subcommittee on Homeland Security and the Senate Subcommittee on the Department of Homeland Security. In cases where the explanatory statement directs the submission of a report, such report shall be provided to the Committees on Appropriations by February 9, 2011, unless otherwise directed. In cases where the explanatory statement directs a briefing, such briefing shall be provided to the Committees by February 16, 2011, unless otherwise directed.

This explanatory statement refers to the following laws and organizations as follows: Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007, Public Law 110-53, is referenced as the 9/11 Act; Security and Accountability for Every Port Act of 2006, Public Law 109-347, is referenced as the SAFE Port Act; Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, Public Law 93-288 is referenced as the Stafford Act; the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, P.L. 111-5 is referenced as the Recovery Act; the Department of Homeland Security is referenced as DHS; the Government Accountability Office is referenced as GAO; and the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Homeland Security is referenced as the IG. In addition, any reference to "full-time equivalents" shall be referred to as FTE; any reference to "program, project, and activity" shall be referred to as PPA; any reference to a "Homeland Security Presidential Directive" shall be referred to as HSPD; and any reference to the Secretary shall be interpreted to mean the Secretary of Homeland Security.

Classified Programs

Recommended adjustments to classified programs are addressed in a classified annex accompanying this explanatory statement.

TITLE I DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY AND EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT

The bill provides \$150,126,000 for the Office of the Secretary and Executive Management. Funding shall be allocated as follows:

Immediate Office of the Secretary	\$5,427,000
Deputy Secretary	1,974,000
Office of the Chief of Staff	3,158,000
Office of Counternarcotics Enforcement	3,700,000
Executive Secretary	8,717,000
Office of Policy	46,000,000

Office of Public Affairs	6,200,000
Office of Legislative Affairs	7,150,000
Office of Intergovernmental Affairs	3,519,000
Office of General Counsel ..	24,363,000
Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties	24,000,000
Citizenship and Immigration Services Ombudsman	6,800,000
Privacy Officer	9,118,000
Total	\$150,126,000

The Secretary shall provide the Committees semiannual reports, no later than February 16, 2011, and July 1, 2011, which provide a priority list of efficiencies being pursued as a result of the Secretary's Efficiency Review and an accounting of progress against that list; a list of components and specific procurements where additional oversight personnel are needed and where such personnel are being assigned; and an accounting of how reforms in the headquarters structure and function are providing better support and management for the Department's operators in the field.

The Deputy Secretary shall work to develop a coordinated and integrated approach for securing chemical facilities, ensuring companies do not misuse regulation to delay Federal safety investigations following chemical accidents, and providing the reports and briefings required in Senate Report 111-222. The Department shall also brief the Committees on the plans to resolve the differences between and standardize risk evaluations for chemical facilities regulated under Chemical Facility Anti-Terrorism Standards and the Maritime Transportation Security Act.

The Deputy Secretary shall examine which entity among the Office of Inspector General (IG), U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), and U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) should coordinate and take the lead on integrity investigations, establish clear lines of authority for the different types of investigations, and report on these decisions no later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The Office of Counternarcotics Enforcement is directed to brief the Committees no later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act on its efforts to address the recommendations made in OIG-10-80.

The Office of Policy shall submit an expenditure plan for fiscal year 2011 no later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act in the fiscal year 2010 plan format.

Within the \$6,200,000 for the Office of Public Affairs is \$386,000 for the National Joint Information Center, as requested. The Office should develop a plan for operating for the full fiscal year at this level without a reprogramming request.

The Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties shall submit an expenditure plan as outlined in Senate Report 111-222.

The Citizenship and Immigration Services Ombudsman shall brief the Committees on the Virtual Ombudsman System as outlined in Senate Report 111-222.

The Department shall ensure that enacted FTE numbers included in the budget justification documents for fiscal year 2012 accurately reflect the FTE levels funded in this Act.

The Department shall include a separate appropriation justification for the Working Capital Fund (WCF) in the fiscal year 2012 budget request as outlined in House Report 111-298. Prompt notifications of changes to

the WCF are expected, and the WCF is not to be used to fund disapproved activities.

The Department shall continue to report on detailees on a semiannual basis, as outlined in Senate Report 111-222.

The Department shall track its reception and representation expenses and brief the Committees in detail on those expenses on a semiannual basis. The Department shall review reception and representation allowances for equitable alignment among components at or below the current combined maximum total funding level and propose any suggested changes in the fiscal year 2012 budget request.

The Department shall report semi-annually on the current projects tasked to Federally-Funded Research and Development Centers, the funding obligated by component, including the purposes for the funds, and any projects completed in the prior six-month period, with the first report due February 16, 2011.

The Department shall submit the implementation plan for the small vessel security strategy expeditiously, including resource requirements.

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR MANAGEMENT

The bill provides \$242,233,000 for the Office of the Under Secretary for Management. Funding shall be allocated as follows:

Immediate Office of the Under Secretary for Management	\$2,770,000
Office of Security	72,864,000
Office of the Chief Procurement Officer	75,527,000
Office of the Chief Human Capital Officer	42,130,000
Office of the Chief Administrative Officer	48,942,000
Salaries and Expenses	[43,942,000]
Nebraska Avenue Complex	[5,000,000]
Total	\$242,233,000

The Office of Security shall review policies for all DHS components' controlled information designations, develop a common set of designations for use by all components, and establish a uniform policy on the use of such designations. The Office shall brief the Committees quarterly on its progress beginning March 1, 2011.

The Department shall refrain from placing unreasonable information control burdens on university researchers partnering with DHS components, especially when the partnered research does not require access to controlled information.

The Department is directed to continue the acquisition workforce centralized training program at its current level.

The Office of the Chief Procurement Officer (OCPO) shall brief the Committees on its Department-wide assessment to determine gaps in key acquisition disciplines no later than 60 days after its completion. The briefing shall include the planned distribution of funded positions and FTE by office. Sustained funding for the acquisition workforce initiative is expected in the fiscal year 2012 budget request.

OCPO shall continue reporting on major acquisitions as outlined in Senate Report 111-31, but on a semiannual basis.

The Office of the Chief Human Capital Officer (OCHCO) shall provide quarterly briefings summarizing vacancy data at the Department as outlined in Senate Report 111-222, including the results of the fiscal year 2010 performance metrics established by DHS for OCHCO. OCHCO shall also brief the Committees on the strategic plans for overhauling the hiring process as outlined in Senate Report 111-222.

The Under Secretary for Management and OCHCO shall brief the Committees on all components' progress on carrying out the Department's "Balanced Workforce Strategy" as detailed in Senate Report 111-222 no later than February 16, 2011. The Department shall provide an implementation plan, including an overall priority list of conversions, and an accounting of progress against that list and description of associated savings. All Departmental components are to give priority attention to conducting their workforce reviews and promptly implement any changes.

The Department shall maintain an appropriate funding level for environmental planning, historic preservation, and internal control activities proposed to be cut in the budget request.

The Department shall provide to the Committees its comprehensive management integration strategy and alternatives analysis regarding a nationwide backup for the Global Positioning System as outlined in Senate Report 111-222.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

The bill provides \$64,480,000 for the Office of the Chief Financial Officer (CFO). The CFO shall continue to keep the Committees informed on the progress of the Transformation and Systems Consolidation (TASC) initiative through reports as outlined in the explanatory statement accompanying P.L. 111-83, including information on how the recommendations of GAO (GAO-04-536 and GAO-10-76) are being implemented, and provide the approved TASC implementation plan to the Committees no later than 15 days after the date of enactment of this Act. TASC is to be capable of tracking obligations by PPA. The CFO shall brief the Committees on the feasibility of outfitting current financial systems with this capability on an interim basis until TASC is fully operational with the same functionality.

The CFO shall ensure that adequate justification is given to each increase, decrease, transfer, and staffing change proposed in the fiscal year 2012 budget justification, and that each item directed by the Committees to be provided as a part of the fiscal year 2012 budget justification is delivered as mandated.

The CFO shall submit as part of the fiscal year 2012 budget justification the following: detailed information by appropriations account and PPA on all reimbursable agreements and significant uses of the Economy Act for each fiscal year; a detailed table identifying the last year that authorizing legislation was provided by Congress for each PPA; the amount of the authorization and the appropriation in the last year of authorization; and a report on the status of overdue Committee reports, plans and briefings for fiscal years 2010 and 2011. In addition, with the Department's Explanation of Changes to General Provisions, the CFO shall provide the text and citation of all Department appropriations provisions enacted to date that are permanent law.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER

The bill provides \$375,359,000 for the Office of the Chief Information Officer (CIO). Within this total is \$83,948,000 for data center development, \$16,000,000 for e-mail consolidation, \$12,268,000 for single sign-on upgrades, and \$9,609,000 for the Administration's proposed cross-enterprise information-sharing initiative and data quality and coherence initiatives. The CIO shall provide a detailed expenditure plan no later than 60 days after

the date of enactment of this Act that includes how the costs for the data quality and information-sharing initiatives are being shared with the CIO's partners. No funding is provided for the Homeland Top Secret/Sensitive Compartmented Information network initiative. Should the CIO wish to pursue this initiative in future years, a more complete justification and cost estimate should be provided to the Committees.

The shift of funding for communications security modernization activities is approved. Funding shall be allocated as follows:

Salaries and Expenses	\$82,727,000
Information Technology Services	53,079,000
Infrastructure and Security Activities	181,644,000
National Security Systems	57,909,000
Total	\$375,359,000

The CIO shall provide quarterly briefings on single sign-on and messaging consolidation, as well as OneNet implementation and migration to Network. The CIO shall continue providing quarterly briefings on CIO and department-wide information technology (IT) priorities as outlined in House Report 111-298, and add information on all IT acquisitions financed directly or managed by the CIO to those briefings.

In addition to funding within this account, \$78,463,000 is included in various components for data center consolidation, for a total fiscal year 2011 funding level of \$162,411,000. The following chart displays the funding levels by component:

DHS headquarters	\$83,948,000
U.S. Customs and Border Protection	25,370,000
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement	10,400,000
United States Secret Service	12,600,000
National Protection and Programs Directorate	2,000,000
US-VISIT	15,000,000
Federal Emergency Management Agency	5,900,000
United States Citizenship and Immigration Services	7,193,000
Total	\$162,411,000

ANALYSIS AND OPERATIONS

The bill provides \$340,000,000 for Analysis and Operations, which funds both the Directorate for Intelligence and Analysis (I&A) and the Directorate for Operations Coordination. Additional information is provided in the classified annex to this explanatory statement. As in past years, the Under Secretary for Intelligence shall submit an expenditure plan for I&A within 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act. The plan shall meet the requirements listed in Senate Report 111-222. The expenditure plan shall focus the activities of the Office on areas where the Department can provide unique expertise or serve intelligence customers who are not supported by other components of the Intelligence Community, consistent with current statute and executive orders, and in a way that does not impair intelligence support to the senior leadership of the Department of Homeland Security.

State and Local Fusion Centers

Historical appropriations made for the program indicate strong support for State and local fusion centers (SLFCs), but I&A still needs to more fully identify and quantify the Federal benefits and return on investment

generated by this spending. Furthermore, although I&A has requested to establish a new program management office bureaucracy to oversee the SLFC program, the Department has submitted little documentation to explain the need for this level of overhead or how, precisely, this new activity will function. A statutory provision in the bill requires I&A to develop and submit, as part of a notification pursuant to section 503 of this Act, such robust programmatic justification prior to establishing any new program management office to oversee SLFCs. I&A is also directed to provide quarterly briefings to the Committees on the fusion center program, as detailed in Senate Report 111-222.

National Immigration Information Sharing Operation

The bill continues to restrict operations of the National Immigration Information Sharing Operation until the Secretary certifies that the program will comply with all statutory privacy and civil liberties requirements and that certification is reviewed by the Government Accountability Office.

Classified Programs

Recommended adjustments to classified programs are addressed in a classified annex accompanying this explanatory statement.

Office of Inspector General

The bill provides a total of \$131,806,000 for the Office of Inspector General (IG), including a direct appropriation of \$115,806,000 and a transfer of \$16,000,000 from the Disaster Relief Fund (DRF). The IG is required to notify the Committees no less than 15 days prior to any transfer from the DRF. Increased resources are provided for integrity investigations. The IG is directed to submit a plan for expenditure of these funds no later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act. The plan shall be developed in coordination with CBP and ICE.

The IG is directed to continue to manage audit and investigation reports as directed in House Report 111-298.

The IG is directed to report on disaster housing as discussed under FEMA "Disaster Relief."

TITLE II

SECURITY, ENFORCEMENT, AND INVESTIGATIONS

U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$8,239,377,000 for U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) Salaries and Expenses; makes not less than \$1,700,000 available until September 30, 2012 for the Global Advanced Passenger Information/Passenger Name Record (API/PNR) international program; and requires the Border Patrol to maintain an agent workforce of not less than 20,500 full-time equivalent positions.

Within this total, the bill provides: \$15,000,000 for the intellectual property rights (IPR) initiative, for which CBP is directed to submit to the Committees on Appropriations a detailed implementation plan in conjunction with its fiscal year 2012 budget submission; \$123,497,000, as requested, for the Western Hemisphere Travel Initiative (WHTI); \$10,000,000 above the request for officer integrity activities, including the hiring of additional polygraph personnel in order to eliminate the backlog in testing and to test all new hires; \$3,000,000 to restore funding for the Global API/PNR program; an additional \$5,200,000 to expand the Immigration Advisory Program to three new overseas locations, which CBP shall identify in its fiscal year 2012 budget submission; \$158,100,000 for

Inspection and Detection Technology Investments, including \$3,000,000 for competitive awards for operational testing of spreader bar and other innovative systems that could help overcome logistical challenges to scanning cargo for nuclear or radiological materials that exist at major transshipment ports and seaports that depend on on-dock rail transfer; \$62,009,000, \$4,000,000 above the fiscal year 2010 level, to support CBP intelligence programs; \$311,052,000 for Air and Marine Operations, including \$12,000,000 above the request to restore unmanned aircraft system (UAS) operators, pilots, and other positions reduced in the fiscal year 2011 request, and \$900,000 for positions associated with the additional UAS; and \$4,750,000 for textile transshipment enforcement.

The following table specifies funding by budget program, project, and activity:

Headquarters Management and Administration:	
Management and Administration, Border Security Inspections and Trade Facilitation	\$519,182,000
Management and Administration, Border Security and Control Between Ports of Entry ...	492,242,000
Rent	400,573,000
Subtotal, Headquarters Management and Administration	1,411,997,000
Border Security Inspections and Trade Facilitation:	
Inspections, Trade, and Travel Facilitation at Ports of Entry	2,499,572,000
Harbor Maintenance Fee Collection (Trust Fund)	3,274,000
International Cargo Screening	103,438,000
Other International Programs	11,247,000
Customs-Trade Partnership Against Terrorism Trusted Traveler Programs	50,000,000
Inspection and Detection Technology Investments	11,500,000
Automated Targeting Systems	158,100,000
National Targeting Center	35,500,000
Training	45,785,000
	20,800,000
Subtotal, Border Security Inspections and Trade Facilitation	2,939,216,000
Border Security and Control between Ports of Entry:	
Border Security and Control	3,540,833,000
Training	36,279,000
Subtotal, Border Security and Control between POEs	3,577,112,000
Air and Marine Operations	311,052,000
Total	\$8,239,377,000

CBP shall brief the Committees not later than February 16, 2011, on the following:

1. How CBP will use the funds provided for officer integrity in this bill and in P.L. 111-230, the fiscal year 2010 border supplemental, to eliminate the investigation backlog and other efforts as discussed in Senate Report 111-222;

2. The performance and deployment of WHTI systems and technology;

3. CBP plans for the Container Security Initiative program, with associated financial and staffing plans, and how International Cargo Screening efforts enhance CBP's capability to prevent high risk, US-bound cargo from being loaded abroad;

4. The CBP financial plan for Automated Targeting Systems (ATS), to include a status report on implementation of recommendations contained in OIG-10-34 regarding commercial cargo targeting;

5. The status of fiscal year 2011 National Targeting Center (NTC) initiatives, to include a counterterrorism response team, and integrating drug trafficking organization trends into the work of the NTC;

6. Violence experienced by CBP personnel in connection with border enforcement efforts, and strategies and tactics used, in cooperation with Mexican and local community partners, to include sharing of forensic and other information, as well as coordination of operations;

7. The status of planning and funding of tactical communications investments for interoperability on the U.S. borders and remote areas where CBP has limited communications capability;

8. The status of efforts to assist the Mexican government procure and deploy license plate readers (LPR), and sharing of LPR data with Mexico;

9. How additional CBP officers funded in this bill and assigned to air ports of entry will help reduce airport wait times, including at model port of entry airports;

10. The status of solutions for tracking and managing in-bond shipments; and 11. How CBP is implementing the transition to a reduced presence at U.S. Postal Service facilities, and the impact of such reductions on CBP targeting and inspection of mail, and on CBP treatment of express courier and air cargo operators.

CBP is directed to submit a strategic plan for its non-intrusive inspection investment and deployment strategy in conjunction with its fiscal year 2012 budget submission, to include a financial plan for each of fiscal years 2012-2017.

CBP is directed, as part of its fiscal year 2012 budget submission, to identify and itemize consolidated elements of all its targeting and screening programs, by PPA; and to identify funding devoted to enhance information sharing between its ATS and the National Counterterrorism Center and the Terrorist Screening Center, and to identify technological or resource barriers to more expedient information exchange. CBP shall submit its cyber security plan to the Committees in conjunction with its fiscal year 2012 budget, including associated funding and personnel resources devoted to securing CBP systems and cyber infrastructure.

CBP shall submit a report not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act on its five year staffing and deployment plan for the Border Patrol, taking into account the 1,000 additional agents funded in the fiscal year 2010 border supplemental appropriations Act.

CBP is directed to review, in consultation with other appropriate federal agencies, whether there would be benefits from reopening previously operational land border crossings located between the Presidio and Del Rio, Texas ports of entry, and report its findings, to include costs, alternatives, and recommendations, in conjunction with the fiscal year 2012 budget submission.

CBP is also directed to notify the Committees of plans for a study of cruise ship pas-

senger and crew screening, as described in GAO report GAO-10-400, and report to the Committees on its findings.

CBP is directed to ensure that activities of its Textile and Apparel Policies and Programs Office are maintained at the level of prior years, and to submit a report with its fiscal year 2012 budget on the execution of its five-year textile transshipment enforcement strategic plan.

CBP is directed to review how it collects and manages information about individuals arrested and detained in custody for less than 72 hours, the facilities used for short-term custody, the duration of such custody by processing disposition, and to develop a plan to report statistics for agency management and Congressional oversight, including possible inclusion in the DHS statistical yearbook. The review should examine data quality, definitions, development of practical data collection and reporting systems, and emphasize using information collected under existing authority and systems. CBP shall brief the Committees not later than April 4, 2011, on the status of this review and plan. In addition, CBP should report to the Committees not later than April 4, 2011, on its policies and practices related to conditions of custody facilities, and how CBP monitors the duration and conditions of short-term custody.

CBP shall submit to the Committees the updated resource optimization model required pursuant to section 403 of the SAFE Port Act.

CBP is directed to continue submitting monthly staffing and hiring reports, and to brief the Committees on a quarterly basis on its hiring progress.

CBP shall report quarterly to the Committees on the results of CBP quarterly reviews of obligations in carryover accounts that should be deobligated through its validation and verification process, pursuant to CBP Directive 1220-011C.

CBP, in coordination with the DHS CFO, is directed to carry out semiannual briefings on user fee revenue, and include in those briefings steps to mitigate collection shortfalls.

CBP shall provide semiannual briefings to the Committees, beginning the third quarter of fiscal year 2011, on those sea ports and airports for which passenger wait times have exceeded sixty minutes, which shall include, for each port: actual average and maximum wait times, numbers of passenger arrivals, CBP Officer staffing, and plans to meet increased workload at the ports of entry.

CBP is advised to use, as appropriate, commercial flights to voluntarily repatriate unaccompanied alien children to their countries of origin.

CBP is directed to fund activities associated with control of invasive species, such as Carrizo cane from within the Border Security Fencing, Infrastructure, and Technology account.

CBP should comply with direction in Senate Report 111-222 to work with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the Hawaii Department of Agriculture to ensure their respective inspection and quarantine activities provide biosecurity protection for the State of Hawaii; and to work with Hawaii State officials to devise a plan for a joint inspection facility.

CBP is directed to work with tribal governments as they seek to develop tribal identification cards.

AUTOMATION MODERNIZATION

The bill includes \$347,575,000 for Automation Modernization. In the required expenditure plan, CBP should continue to report on

its progress in implementing the International Trade Data System, including recruitment of participating government agencies. CBP should continue its briefings on the status of the Automated Commercial Environment on a semi-annual basis.

**BORDER SECURITY FENCING, INFRASTRUCTURE,
AND TECHNOLOGY**

The bill includes \$574,173,000 for Border Security Fencing, Infrastructure and Technology (BSFIT), and makes \$75,000,000 unavailable for obligation until the Commissioner submits an expenditure plan, reviewed by GAO, not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, which meets the statutory conditions set forth under this heading in P.L. 111-83, and includes a detailed environmental mitigation plan and report on mitigation efforts, as described in House Report 111-298. The bill continues requirements for statutory notifications to the Committees on Appropriations to be made by the Commissioner.

The Department shall continue to submit quarterly Secure Border Initiative reports, as directed in Senate Report 111-222. Those reports shall continue to include updates on Northern Border and tactical communication investments. CBP shall brief the Committees semiannually on the status of BSFIT programs and investments.

The bill includes a new provision authorizing the transfer of BSFIT funds for environmental planning and mitigation to the Department of Interior, per interagency agreement, to permit the Secretary of Interior to acquire land or interests in land to mitigate or offset adverse environmental impacts from construction, operation, and maintenance activities related to border security. CBP is expected to continue its coordinated effort with the Department of Interior and other agencies with environmental responsibilities on the border as well as with State and local government and subject matter experts from the scientific and environmental communities.

To the extent the fiscal year 2011 BSFIT expenditure plan includes funding for additional pedestrian or vehicle barriers, the plan shall contain analyses of alternatives as described in House Report 111-157.

CBP is directed to fund any activities associated with control of invasive species and associated mitigation efforts from within the BSFIT account.

**AIR AND MARINE INTERDICTION, OPERATIONS,
MAINTENANCE, AND PROCUREMENT**

The bill provides \$511,751,000 for Air and Marine Interdiction, Operations, Maintenance, and Procurement, including increases of \$5,500,000 for equipment and supplies needed to support two additional unmanned aircraft systems funded in the fiscal year 2010 border supplemental appropriations Act, and \$3,000,000 to support system upgrades for the Air and Marine Operations Center.

CONSTRUCTION AND FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

The bill provides \$282,740,000 for Construction and Facilities Management, including \$4,000,000 for equipping and constructing the Advanced Training Center, and \$3,000,000 for additional forward operating bases. CBP is directed to brief the Committees not later than February 16, 2011, with proposed sites for these new bases and a timetable for their completion. CBP is also directed to devote funding, if available, to increase the number of housing units in remote areas where there is a lack of private housing for Border Patrol agents or CBP Officers. CBP is also directed to ensure that the rescission required pursuant to Section 575 complies with directions

in Senate Report 111-222 regarding funding for alteration projects, avoiding any impact on the plans to replace the Oroville, Washington Border Patrol station, and the submission of a revised rescission plan consistent with this direction.

**U.S. IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS
ENFORCEMENT**

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(Including Transfer of Funds)

The bill includes \$5,508,555,000 for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) Salaries and Expenses. Within this amount, the bill allocates \$2,000,000,000 to finance ICE's various efforts to identify undocumented individuals with criminal records who are incarcerated or at-large, and to remove those who are judged deportable in immigration court. Of this amount, \$259,825,000 is provided for continued expansion of the Secure Communities program, which is discussed in more detail below. The following table reflects ICE funding by program, project, and activity:

Headquarters Management and Administration:	
Personnel Compensation and Benefits, Services and Other Costs	\$274,360,000
Headquarters Managed Information Technology Investments	209,363,000
Subtotal, Headquarters Management and Administration	483,723,000
Legal Proceedings	221,666,000
Domestic Investigations	1,732,538,000
International Investigations:	
International Operations	113,689,000
Visa Security Program	37,986,000
Subtotal, International Investigations	151,675,000
Intelligence	76,107,000
Detention and Removal Operations:	
Custody Operations	1,791,168,000
Fugitive Operations	229,682,000
Criminal Alien Program	192,539,000
Alternatives to Detention	75,000,000
Transportation and Removal Program	294,632,000
Subtotal, Detention and Removal Operations	2,583,021,000
Identification and Removal of Criminal Aliens	259,825,000
Total, ICE Salaries and Expenses	\$5,508,555,000

Identifying and Removing Criminal Aliens

Each year, over 600,000 illegal aliens convicted of crimes are released from law enforcement custody instead of facing deportation, according to statistics provided by ICE. Furthermore, a GAO study found that over 97 percent of incarcerated criminal aliens have been arrested before—on average eight times. When designing its immigration enforcement efforts, ICE must maintain perspective on which undocumented immigrants represent the most significant threat to the nation's social and economic fabric. Given the corrosive effect crime has on society, the most pragmatic approach is for ICE to continue prioritizing the identification of criminal aliens who are judged removable from the country.

In order to maximize its ability to locate criminal aliens, ICE has initiated the Secure Communities program. Secure Communities

allows the review of criminal records and immigration status of individuals who are booked into police custody and to take appropriate action to remove those convicted of the most dangerous crimes. The bill includes \$259,825,000 for the continued implementation of Secure Communities, an additional \$59,825,000 over the request. This funding will allow ICE to sustain investments made to date and strengthen ICE operational efficiency to prepare for the growing volume of criminal aliens who will be removed from the country as Secure Communities is fully deployed. Of this additional amount, \$30,625,000 shall be for investments in ICE information technology systems; \$1,100,000 shall be for Law Enforcement Support Center personnel; \$13,100,000 shall be for additional removal costs associated with increased criminal deportations; \$10,000,000 shall be for the strategic planning, financial management, and outreach efforts of the Secure Communities Program Management Office; and \$5,000,000 shall be for operational planning by staff within ICE Detention and Removal Operations.

As in past years, ICE is required to continue quarterly reporting on the Secure Communities program and to submit those reports within 45 days of the close of the quarter. In particular, ICE must provide a better explanation of the impact Secure Communities will have on ICE detention facilities, the docket for the Executive Office of Immigration Review, and the speed with which ICE is able to remove criminal aliens from the country once they are judged deportable. The agency should report on how the detention of additional criminals will affect ICE's detention policies and standards, the impact such changes will have on non-criminals detained by ICE, and any changes ICE predicts in detention throughput as a result of a larger criminal population in custody.

ICE must also ensure the appropriate oversight and management of the Secure Communities program. In particular, ICE should develop a robust public complaint review process so that the agency can ensure the program is successful at identifying and removing truly dangerous criminal aliens. It is not the intent or purpose of this program to target certain populations simply to determine immigration status. ICE should update more regularly information provided to the public about the success of the Secure Communities program, including statistics on the number of criminal aliens identified, prosecuted and removed, by crime type and location, both to improve the transparency of ICE operations but also to clarify the program's focus, intent and purpose.

**ICE Support to State and Local Law
Enforcement**

The bill includes \$117,668,000 for ICE State and Local programs, as requested. Within this total, \$68,321,000 is for the 287(g) program; \$14,357,000 is for the Forensics Documentation Laboratory, which supports all ICE investigatory programs and offers specialized assistance to State and local law enforcement agencies; and \$34,990,000 is for the Law Enforcement Support Center.

The 287(g) program is a voluntary program that allows ICE to train local law enforcement agents to enforce Federal immigration laws. After training, local law enforcement personnel can determine an individual's immigration status and file requests to ICE for the removal of individuals they believe are illegal immigrants. Pursuant to its internal policies, ICE is supposed to provide robust oversight of the local officers enrolled in the

287(g) program to ensure participants comply with all applicable Federal law enforcement policies and procedures. A recent IG review of the 287(g) program noted a number of shortcomings in ICE's 287(g) training and program oversight. The IG made 33 recommendations for ICE to improve the program. To maintain the integrity of the 287(g) program, ICE is to implement the IG recommendations as expeditiously as possible, and is to be commended for having already started this process. This includes appropriate accountability and training standards and instruction on multicultural communication and the avoidance of racial profiling.

The Secure Communities program also requires careful oversight and monitoring, but the approach has several advantages to 287(g): it requires minimal training by ICE for local employees, it is integrated into existing booking procedures at prisons and jails, and it imposes no additional workload on local employees. Further, Secure Communities reduces the risk of potentially biased status checks by local officials since the backgrounds of all individuals in local custody are checked in an identical manner. Most importantly, Secure Communities also clearly delineates the respective roles of Federal and local authorities in immigration enforcement. In light of these advantages, ICE is to be commended for its efforts expanding the Secure Communities program.

ICE Investigations

The bill provides \$1,732,538,000 for ICE domestic investigations, which includes increases above the budget request of \$3,500,000 for investigations of intellectual property rights violations and \$2,000,000 for ICE efforts to combat criminal gang conspiracies. The bill provides \$151,675,000 for ICE international investigations, an increase of \$7,300,000 above the request to expand the Visa Security Program to three additional locations. Of the total budget for ICE investigations, the bill specifies no less than \$250,000,000 be allocated to efforts focused along the Southwest border. An additional \$80,000,000 in supplemental appropriations was provided to ICE for investigations in the Southwest border region. Given the extreme and on-going violence in and around the Mexican city of Juarez, ICE is directed to continue to build its programs that investigate border violence and organized crime in the El Paso-Juarez corridor. ICE is directed to brief the Committees no later than February 16, 2011, on its efforts to establish or enhance a centralized Southwest border operations command to coordinate the various ICE roles played in cross-border issues.

ICE Intelligence

The bill provides \$76,107,000 for ICE intelligence programs, an increase of \$5,000,000 above the budget request. Additional funds shall be used to expand the Operation Angel Watch program, which helps ICE identify travel patterns of convicted sex offenders who may attempt to exploit children in foreign countries. As required in the Senate report, ICE is directed to provide an updated intelligence staffing briefing no later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

Alternatives to Detention

The bill provides \$75,000,000 for ICE Alternatives to Detention programs, \$2,925,000 more than requested. ICE is directed to continue to implement the program nationwide. The Fiscal Year 2010 DHS Appropriations Act required ICE to report on the cost and schedule for nationwide deployment, but the agency submitted an analysis that, while

meeting the letter of the law, did not include any realistic framework for achieving a national program. As a result, the bill includes a revised statutory provision requiring ICE to resubmit a fuller analysis of nationwide deployment of Alternatives to Detention, and encourages the agency to work with the Committees to ensure that all necessary information is included in the submitted report.

Detention Standards and Detainee Care

ICE is to be commended for its efforts to improve the oversight of conditions and practices at immigration detention facilities; however, many facilities still do not comply with existing detention standards. In addition, ICE has made little observable progress implementing detention center reforms recommended in a comprehensive analysis titled Immigration Detention Overview and Recommendations and submitted to the agency in October, 2009. The budget request did not include any additional funds for implementing recommendations in the report. A recently-completed assessment of ICE medical services was also unaddressed in the budget request. The Committees strongly encourage ICE to continue implementing improvements to its detention standards, and to request adequate funds in the fiscal year 2012 budget to implement recommendations made in various audits of its operations. As in past years, the Committee continues the requirement for the Department to notify the Congress and the DHS IG within 48 hours of any death that occurs in ICE custody.

Textile Transshipment Enforcement

Section 352 of the Trade Act of 2002 authorizes funding for Customs Service textile transshipment enforcement, and specifies how the funds must be spent. The bill includes \$4,750,000, as authorized, to continue these activities. ICE is directed to report on these enforcement activities with its fiscal year 2012 budget request on its actual and projected obligations of this funding, covering fiscal years 2005 to 2011. The report should include staffing levels by fiscal year since 2005, and a five-year enforcement plan for transshipment violations.

ICE Headquarters Management and Administration

The bill provides \$483,723,000 for ICE Headquarters and Administration. This amount includes \$10,400,000 for data center consolidation, \$5,000,000 for additional workforce integrity activities, and \$40,000,000 for ICE field office collocation.

Security for the Assistant Secretary of Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Like other senior Federal law enforcement officials, the Assistant Secretary for ICE could be targeted by the criminal organizations that are under ICE investigation. Although there is no current evidence of specific threats against the Assistant Secretary for ICE, the Committees have no objection to on-going security evaluations and the provision of any protective security measures determined necessary.

AUTOMATION MODERNIZATION

The bill provides \$84,700,000 for ICE automation modernization. Up to \$30,600,000 may be transferred to this account from "Salaries and Expenses" to pay for information technology investments related to the identification and removal of aliens convicted of a crime and judged deportable.

ICE must make more progress implementing its electronic health records (eHR) program. Given that ICE detainees are often

transferred between several detention facilities before their immigration cases are decided, portable medical records are an important part of ensuring the health of all those held in the agency's custody. The ICE Chief Information Officer is directed to update the Committees on the status of the eHR initiative and the anticipated timeline for a fully-implemented system.

TRANSPORTATION SECURITY

ADMINISTRATION

AVIATION SECURITY

The bill provides \$5,452,037,000 for Aviation Security. In addition to the amounts appropriated, a mandatory appropriation totaling \$250,000,000 is available through the Aviation Security Capital Fund. Statutory language reflects the collection of \$2,100,000,000 from aviation user fees, as authorized. The following table specifies funding by budget activity:

Screening Operations:	
Screener Workforce:	
Privatized screening	\$142,678,000
Screener personnel, compensation, and benefits	2,953,971,000
Subtotal, screener workforce	3,096,649,000
Screener training and other:	263,000,000
Checkpoint support:	360,026,000
EDS/ETD Systems:	
EDS procurement and installation	320,000,000
Screening technology maintenance and utilities	323,325,000
Subtotal, EDS/ETD systems	643,325,000
Subtotal, Screening Operations	4,363,000,000
Aviation Security Direction and Enforcement:	
Aviation regulation and other enforcement	368,363,000
Airport management and support	574,926,000
Federal flight deck officer and flight crew training	25,694,000
Air cargo	120,054,000
Subtotal, Aviation Security Direction and Enforcement	1,089,037,000
Total, Aviation Security ..	\$5,452,037,000

Privatized Screening

The bill provides \$142,678,000 for Privatized Screening. The Transportation Security Administration (TSA) shall brief the Committees on Appropriations on the re-evaluation of the screening partnership program when it is concluded.

Screener Personnel, Compensation, and Benefits

The bill provides \$2,953,971,000 for Screener Personnel, Compensation, and Benefits. Within this total, \$191,369,000 is approved for the 5,355 positions requested for new advanced imaging technology (AIT) screeners, realigned from the budget proposal to reflect delays in AIT systems deployment. No funding has been provided for new behavior detection officers (BDO). TSA is directed to focus on completing internal changes to the BDO program and address GAO recommendations contained in GAO-10-763. This should include, but not be limited to, a cost-benefit analysis of the BDO program and a risk assessment of deployment options, including

the risk if BDOs are not deployed. TSA shall brief the Committees no later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act on its progress.

Since fiscal year 2007, the Homeland Security Appropriations Act has carried a statutory requirement that high ranking legislative and executive branch officials shall not be exempt from Federal passenger and baggage screening. For Federal officials traveling with an approved federal law enforcement security detail, TSA follows a specialized screening protocol, which includes identity verification, but not physical screening. Not later than 45 days after the date of enactment of this Act, TSA shall report on the options available to physically screen these individuals.

Checkpoint Support

The bill provides \$360,026,000 for Checkpoint Support, including full funding for 503 additional AITs as requested. TSA shall work closely with local airport authorities to ensure that all space and facility requirements and constraints have been taken into consideration before AIT units are deployed. Within the funding provided for checkpoint support, up to \$65,473,000 is available, as requested, for terminal modifications if it is determined that no other designs to accommodate the installation of AIT equipment are possible. In addition, TSA is developing automated target recognition (ATR) capability to be deployed with its 2011 AIT procurements, potentially eliminating the need for a remote viewing room. The Committees are concerned that the reliability of the ATR technology has not yet been tested in the field even though the request included significant funding for its deployment. Therefore, TSA only should deploy ATR technology when operational field tests demonstrate that it provides a level of security equal to or greater than current AIT screening procedures.

TSA shall regularly brief the Committees on the development of AIT, including information on AIT procurement costs, the schedule to deploy and staff the AIT units, ATR development and associated funding requirements, facility modification costs, details on the latest detection capabilities and limitations, and ongoing efforts to improve the technology.

TSA is preparing to acquire automated wait time collection technology, which will permit the collection and display of real time data for the traveling public at all large airports and a limited number of smaller ones. To address concerns with increased wait times related to the deployment of advanced technology at screening checkpoints and to ensure that the agency maximizes its utilization of screening resources, TSA shall measure and report to the Committees beginning with the third quarter, and quarterly thereafter, passenger screening wait times during periods of peak passenger traffic at all airports collecting automated data. The report is to be provided within 45 days of the end of the quarter.

As discussed in the Senate report, TSA is encouraged to ensure high risk airports have working security cameras in place at checkpoints and secure exits and is directed to report on recommendations to improve airport security at locations where passengers exit the sterile area of an airport.

Explosives Detection Systems

A total of \$570,000,000 is available for Explosives Detection Systems (EDS) procurement and installation. Within this total, the bill provides \$320,000,000 in discretionary

funding. An additional \$250,000,000 in mandatory funding is available from the Aviation Security Capital Fund. Not less than 9 percent of the funds provided shall be available for the purchase and installation of certified EDS at medium- and small-sized airports. Consistent with fiscal year 2010, TSA shall include as part of the fiscal year 2012 budget request a report on savings achieved and anticipated (by fiscal year) from the installation of new optimal in-line systems. TSA is encouraged to use funds for dedicated pre-engineered structures related to optimal screening solutions for EDS installations.

Consistent with prior years, TSA shall submit an EDS, checkpoint, and air cargo expenditure plan 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act. As part of the fiscal year 2011 EDS plan, TSA should identify those airports that incurred eligible costs for EDS without being reimbursed and any funding in the plan that may be allocated to them. TSA is encouraged to establish a reimbursement program as authorized by the 9/11 Act. While TSA is no longer required to submit updates to the EDS and checkpoint reports quarterly, the agency shall provide quarterly briefings to the Committees, highlighting any deviations from the plan. These briefings shall also include updates on TSA's competitive process for all three classes of EDS machines, results from certification and operational testing, and efforts to avoid acquisition delays.

Aviation Regulation and Other Enforcement

As discussed in Senate Report 111-222, TSA is to brief the Committees on its progress in implementing the new international program initiatives funded in this Act, no later than 90 days after the date of its enactment.

Air Cargo

The bill provides \$120,054,000 for Air Cargo including \$2,500,000 for a pilot screening program of international inbound cargo using CBP's automated targeting system. TSA shall continue its regular briefings on compliance with the 100-percent air cargo screening mandate, with a specific emphasis on international air cargo. In addition, TSA shall update the Committees regularly on efforts to enhance cargo screening on all-cargo aircraft following the recent bombing attempts. Finally, TSA is to comply with the recommendations contained in OIG-10-119, related to air cargo screening and shall brief the Committees on the execution of these recommendations no later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

Perimeter Security

TSA shall report to the Committees no later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act on actions TSA has taken to secure commercial airport perimeters as discussed in Senate Report 111-222.

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION SECURITY

The bill provides \$137,558,000 for Surface Transportation Security. Within this total, \$39,947,000 is for surface transportation staffing and operations and \$97,611,000 is for surface transportation security inspectors and canines. TSA shall report to the Committees on Appropriations; the House Homeland Security Committee; and the Senate Commerce, Science, and Transportation Committee on the feasibility and merits of establishing a Deputy Assistant Secretary for Surface Transportation to lead the security programs and personnel for non-aviation transportation security no later than 270 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

TRANSPORTATION THREAT ASSESSMENT AND CREDENTIALING

The bill provides a direct appropriation of \$159,124,000 for Transportation Threat Assess-

ment and Credentialing, of which \$84,637,000 is provided for Secure Flight and \$74,487,000 is for crew and other vetting programs. Of the total provided for crew and other vetting, \$43,200,000 is for infrastructure modernization. This funding, coupled with carry-over balances, will provide a total funding of \$69,500,000 for infrastructure modernization for fiscal year 2011, the amount TSA indicates is needed to keep this effort on track. TSA is to brief the Committees quarterly on the development of this system.

Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, TSA shall report on performance of the Secure Flight name matching system since becoming operational, including effectiveness of the system in accurately identifying passengers on the terrorist watch list while reducing number of passengers misidentified; a description of how the assessment was conducted; and how TSA has used the results to determine if Secure Flight should be modified to pre-clear misidentified passengers. TSA is also to report on its efforts to address security concerns associated with fraudulent documentation by December 31, 2010.

TRANSPORTATION SECURITY SUPPORT

The bill provides \$1,039,777,000 for Transportation Security Support as follows:

Headquarters administration	\$267,866,000
Information technology	479,284,000
Human capital services	254,839,000
Intelligence	37,788,000

Subtotal, Transportation

Security Support \$1,039,777,000

TSA shall continue semiannual briefings on covert testing activities, including trends on operational errors and equipment failures. As discussed in Senate Report 111-222, TSA shall brief the Committees as part of the fiscal year 2012 budget request, on its compliance with recommendations contained in OIG-10-72 on contractor usage and oversight. This briefing is to include a discussion of TSA's review of inherently governmental functions and efforts to strengthen contracting officer's technical representatives training. Finally, TSA should issue reports on risk-based decision making, as detailed in the Senate Report, to be delivered with the fiscal year 2012 budget request.

FEDERAL AIR MARSHALS

The bill provides \$945,015,000 for the Federal Air Marshals (FAMs), including \$820,200,000 for management and administration and \$124,815,000 for travel and training. TSA shall continue to provide quarterly reports on FAMs mission coverage, staffing levels, and hiring rates as directed in previous appropriations Acts, and immediately submit the overdue assessment of long-term FAMs staffing levels and include as part of this report a detailed rationale on the need to continue enhanced flight coverage at the same levels as immediately after the December 25, 2009, incident.

COAST GUARD

OPERATING EXPENSES

The bill includes \$6,951,973,000 for Coast Guard Operating Expenses. The funds shall be allocated as follows:

Military pay and allowances:	
Military pay and allowances	\$2,792,210,000
Military health care	415,507,000
Permanent change of station	170,763,000

Subtotal, Military pay and allowances

3,378,480,000

Civilian pay and benefits ...	757,303,000
Training and recruiting:	
Training and education ..	101,535,000
Recruitment	100,558,000

Subtotal, Training and recruiting	202,093,000
---	-------------

Operating funds and unit level maintenance:	
---	--

Atlantic Command	177,835,000
Pacific Command	198,090,000
1st District	60,610,000
5th District	21,901,000
7th District	80,499,000
8th District	48,269,000
9th District	31,483,000
11th District	17,749,000
13th District	22,824,000
14th District	19,109,000
17th District	29,647,000

Headquarters directorates	248,324,000
---------------------------------	-------------

Headquarters managed units	155,532,000
----------------------------------	-------------

Other activities	871,000
------------------------	---------

Subtotal, Operating funds and unit level maintenance	1,112,743,000
--	---------------

Centrally managed accounts	346,787,000
----------------------------------	-------------

Intermediate and depot level maintenance:	
---	--

Aeronautical	329,860,000
Electronic	164,678,000
Civil/ocean engineering and shore facilities	180,890,000
Vessel	212,349,000

Subtotal, Intermediate and depot level maintenance	887,777,000
--	-------------

Overseas Contingency Operations (emergency appropriations)	254,000,000
--	-------------

Marine safety and response personnel	12,790,000
--	------------

Total, Operating Expenses	\$6,951,973,000
---------------------------------	-----------------

The Commandant shall develop and share with the Committees a new workforce action plan that outlines the following: projected staffing levels, both military and civilian, needed to carry out all Coast Guard missions, as well as to bring "in house" government responsibilities being executed by contractors; gaps between those levels and current staffing, organizational structure and funding; a plan for addressing those gaps, including specific strategies for hard-to-fill positions; and an outline of any additional authorities and resources necessary to address the aforementioned requirements.

The bill includes \$12,790,000 for additional inspectors, investigators, engineers and incident management personnel for marine safety and response activities. The Coast Guard shall provide an expenditure plan for these funds no later than February 16, 2011.

The Coast Guard shall brief the Committees by February 16, 2011, on the Coast Guard's near-term and long-term plans for operations in Iraq, including details on efforts to train Iraqi personnel to assume maritime security responsibilities.

Funds are restored for four of the five Maritime Safety and Security Teams (MSST) that were to be decommissioned under the request. The Coast Guard shall conduct a comprehensive analysis of the MSST program as outlined in OIG-10-89 and brief the Committees on it no later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act. The Coast Guard shall continue operations of two of the four High Endurance Cutters that were to be decommissioned under the request, as

outlined in Senate Report 111-222. The Coast Guard shall comply with the reporting requirements in Senate Report 111-222 on the decommissioning of the National Strike Force Coordinating Center if it chooses to proceed with its decommissioning. Sufficient industrial work shall be assigned to the Coast Guard Yard.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE AND RESTORATION

The bill provides \$13,329,000 for Environmental Compliance and Restoration.

RESERVE TRAINING

The bill provides \$135,675,000 for Reserve Training.

ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION, AND IMPROVEMENTS

The bill includes \$1,518,613,000 for Acquisition, Construction, and Improvements. Funding is provided as follows:

Vessels and Critical Infrastructure:	
Response boat-medium	\$52,000,000
140-foot icebreaker fleet refurbishment	21,200,000

Subtotal, Vessels and Critical Infrastructure	73,200,000
---	------------

Other Equipment:	
------------------	--

National distress and response system modernization (Rescue 21) ..	36,000,000
--	------------

Subtotal, Other Equipment Personnel and Related Support:	36,000,000
--	------------

Core acquisition costs	510,000
-----------------------------	---------

Direct personnel costs	107,051,000
-----------------------------	-------------

Subtotal, Personnel and Related Support	107,561,000
---	-------------

Integrated Deepwater Systems:	
-------------------------------	--

Aircraft:	
Maritime patrol aircraft	40,000,000
HH-60 conversions	32,000,000
HC-130H conversion/sustainment	25,000,000
HC-130J fleet introduction	4,000,000
Unmanned Aircraft Systems	2,000,000

Subtotal, Aircraft	103,000,000
--------------------------	-------------

Surface Ships:	
----------------	--

National Security Cutter	615,002,000
Offshore Patrol Cutter ...	45,000,000
Fast Response Cutter	240,000,000
IDS small boats	3,000,000
Medium Endurance Cutter sustainment	30,000,000

Subtotal, Surface Ships	933,002,000
------------------------------	-------------

Technology Obsolescence Prevention	1,000,000
--	-----------

C4ISR	30,500,000
-------------	------------

Logistics	50,000,000
-----------------	------------

Systems engineering and integration	29,000,000
---	------------

Government program management	45,000,000
-------------------------------------	------------

Subtotal, Integrated Deepwater Systems	1,191,502,000
--	---------------

Shore Facilities and Aids to Navigation:	
--	--

Military Housing	2,000,000
------------------------	-----------

Total, Acquisition, Construction, and Improvements	1,518,613,000
--	---------------

Of the \$615,002,000 provided for the National Security Cutter, \$77,000,000 is for long-lead time materials for NSC #6 and the remainder

is for production of NSC #5. Sufficient funding is provided to award long lead-time materials and production contracts for NSC #5, as post-production costs for NSC #4 and NSC #5 are not estimated to be required until fiscal year 2015. Delaying NSC #5 until post-production costs are available will postpone delivery by at least one year, result in increased project costs, and further exacerbate challenges associated with decreased availability and increased maintenance costs of the High Endurance Cutter fleet.

The Commandant shall continue to provide quarterly acquisition and mission emphasis reports consistent with deadlines articulated under section 360 of division I of P.L. 108-7. The Coast Guard shall continue submitting these reports as outlined in Senate Report 111-222, and providing quarterly briefings to the Committees on the status of all major acquisitions.

The Coast Guard shall consider phasing out the Deepwater construct in favor of a less differentiated public accounting for all of the Coast Guard's acquisition programs. The Coast Guard shall report to the Committees within 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act on what would be needed to effect such a change and what its impact would be. Should the Coast Guard choose to phase out the Deepwater construct, all budget materials should include crosswalks to the current PPA structure to ensure transparency.

The Department shall make note in its fiscal year 2012 budget submission of how coordinated acquisition efforts between the Coast Guard and CBP are being carried out to ensure interoperability and realize reduced acquisition and support costs.

The Coast Guard shall continue quarterly briefings on the status of the Rescue 21 program, including any changes to the schedule outlined in the request. It is expected that the Coast Guard will stay on top of the program's need for technology refreshment, and that funding for deployment of Rescue 21 to the Western Rivers will be included in the Coast Guard's fiscal year 2012 budget request.

ALTERATION OF BRIDGES

The bill provides \$4,000,000 for Alteration of Bridges.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

The bill includes \$32,534,000 for Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E). Within this funding, \$8,000,000 is for a ship-based unmanned aircraft system advanced concept technology demonstration and \$4,500,000 is for research specifically to improve the Coast Guard's capability to address oil spills from the full range of wellheads, drilling platforms, and vessels in operation. The Administration's budget materials for fiscal year 2012 shall include and justify a unified and coordinated Federal approach for oil spill research that includes the Department of the Interior, the Department of Energy, the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, and the Coast Guard.

The Coast Guard shall produce a report that details the RDT&E program's progress against the goals outlined in the fiscal year 2010 request, and what the plan is for conducting fiscal year 2011 research activities within the level appropriated. The report is to include information on how the research plan has evolved since the submission of the fiscal year 2011 budget request, and reflect the program's response to Congressional direction. This report is due no later than

three months after the date of enactment of this Act.

RETIRED PAY

The bill provides \$1,400,700,000 for Retired Pay.

UNITED STATES SECRET SERVICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$1,574,642,000 for Secret Service Salaries and Expenses. This amount includes \$7,000,000 for the cost to implement a new Secret Service Uniformed Division pay system and \$69,960,000 for recapitalization costs for Secret Service information technology equipment. Within the explanatory charts showing funding levels for the Secret Service there is a new program line for Information Integration and Technology Transformation programs. This discrete funding display was developed in order to increase transparency for the investments necessary to modernize the Secret Service information technology systems and to prevent reallocation of these resources without advance Congressional notification.

The bill also provides \$61,158,000 for the Electronic Crimes Task Force program, including \$4,000,000 for the Secret Service to continue its training program for State and local law enforcement at the National Computer Forensics Institute (NCFI). The Secret Service is to continue to administer NCFI in the same manner as fiscal year 2010. An additional \$4,000,000 is provided for domestic investigations as discussed in Senate Report 111-222.

The following table reflects Secret Service funding by program, project, and activity:

Headquarters Management and Administration	\$201,216,000
Information Integration and Technology Transformation	69,960,000
Protection:	
Protection of persons and facilities	773,042,000
Protective intelligence activities	68,914,000
Presidential candidate nominee protection	17,867,000
National Special Security Event fund	1,000,000
White House mail screening	25,315,000
Subtotal, Protection	886,138,000
Investigations:	
Domestic field operations	261,412,000
International field office administration, operations, and training	31,171,000
Electronic Crimes Special Agent Program and Electronic Crimes Task Forces	61,158,000
Support for missing and exploited children	8,366,000
Subtotal, Investigations	362,107,000
Rowley training center	55,221,000
Total, Salaries and Expenses	\$1,574,642,000

Financial Management

Secret Service spending on campaign-related protection must be subject to adequate

financial controls. On April 27, 2010, the GAO informed the Committees that at the end of the 2008 campaign, the Secret Service violated the Antideficiency Act by spending more than had been appropriated for the security of the 2009 presidential inauguration. This follows a similar breakdown in Secret Service financial controls at the end of the 2004 presidential campaign, which was also noted by the Committees in previous appropriations reports.

As required by law, the Secret Service “shall report immediately to the President and Congress all relevant facts and a statement of actions taken” to rectify the violation of the Antideficiency Act. At this point, the Secret Service has not complied with this requirement. Furthermore, since this is not the first case of a significant failure to manage protective costs accurately, the Secret Service, in consultation with the DHS Chief Financial Officer (CFO), is directed to file the appropriate notifications of an Antideficiency Act violation and to submit a strategic plan for implementing strict financial controls for all 2012 campaign costs, including a schedule of monthly deadlines and deliverables required to rectify this problem fully. The Secret Service and the DHS CFO are also directed to provide regular updates on the implementation of such plan to ensure this situation does not recur.

ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION, IMPROVEMENTS, AND RELATED EXPENSES

The bill includes \$3,975,000 for Acquisition, Construction, Improvements, and Related Expenses.

TITLE III

PROTECTION, PREPAREDNESS, RESPONSE, AND RECOVERY

NATIONAL PROTECTION AND PROGRAMS DIRECTORATE

MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

The bill provides \$45,387,000 for Management and Administration of the National Protection and Programs Directorate (NPPD). Within this amount, \$2,000,000 is for NPPD data center consolidation. Funding for administrative activities is reduced by \$750,000 due to a high level of position vacancies.

Risk Management and Analysis

Within the total, \$9,790,000 is provided for NPPD's risk management and analysis (RMA) function. A recent study of RMA by the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) highlights shortcomings in the program. Notably, because the validity and reliability of DHS risk models are untested, NAS finds that the Department's risk analysis capabilities and methods are inadequate to support DHS decision making. The NPPD Office of the Under Secretary is directed to brief the Committees within 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act on how RMA can be managed in a way that generates demonstrable benefits to DHS—whether through reforms to ensure the organization achieves its full potential as a Department-wide risk analysis capability or through reorganization or dissolution of the office in recognition of distributed risk modeling across DHS agencies.

INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION AND INFORMATION SECURITY

The bill provides \$874,923,000 for Infrastructure Protection and Information Security (IPIS). Funding shall be allocated as follows:

Infrastructure Protection:	
Identification and Analysis	\$88,595,000
Coordination and Information Sharing	48,715,000
Mitigation Programs	198,426,000
Subtotal, Infrastructure Protection	335,736,000
National Cyber Security Division (NCS):	
Cyber Security Protection and Response:	
US Computer Emergency Response Team (US-CERT)	80,406,000
Network Security Deployment	175,425,000
Federal Network Security	29,245,000
Subtotal, Cyber Security Protection and Response	285,076,000
Cyber Security Standards, Workforce Development, and Awareness	76,017,000
Cyber Security Coordination	7,500,000
Cyber Security Management and Administration	17,086,000
Subtotal, National Cyber Security Division	385,679,000
Office of Emergency Communications	45,339,000
National Security/Emergency Preparedness Telecommunications:	
Priority Telecommunications Services	57,413,000
Next Generation Networks	22,364,000
Programs to Study and Enhance Telecommunications	14,079,000
Critical Infrastructure Protection Programs ...	14,313,000
Subtotal, National Security/Emergency Preparedness Telecommunications	108,169,000
Total, Infrastructure Protection and Information Security	\$874,923,000

At the request of NPPD, this new budget display for fiscal year 2011 reflects more appropriate groupings for NPPD programs, projects and activities (PPAs). However, this new budget allocation structure makes historical comparisons to previous appropriations Acts extraordinarily difficult. As a result, the following table reflects the historical appropriations comparisons for each new PPA. NPPD is directed to submit its fiscal year 2012 budget in the exact format and structure as enacted for fiscal year 2011.

	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Infrastructure Protection:				
Identification and Analysis	\$76,245,000	\$87,326,000	\$90,610,000	\$88,595,000
Coordination and Information Sharing	62,098,000	55,644,000	59,582,000	48,715,000
Mitigation Programs	134,253,000	170,830,000	197,111,000	198,426,000
National Cyber Security Division				
US-CERT	46,962,000	66,015,000	83,305,000	80,406,000
Network Security Deployment	57,826,000	154,467,000	192,135,000	175,425,000

	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Federal Network Security	9,324,000	14,959,000	19,541,000	29,245,000
Cyber Security Standards, Workforce Development, and Awareness	46,566,000	64,346,000	79,571,000	76,017,000
Cyber Security Coordination			5,000,000	7,500,000
Cyber Security Management and Administration	49,985,000	13,971,000	18,466,000	17,086,000
Office of Emergency Communications	35,700,000	38,299,000	44,754,000	45,339,000
Nat'l Security/ Emerg. Preparedness Telecom				
Priority Telecom. Services	79,699,000	59,834,000	57,831,000	57,413,000
Next Generation Networks	20,599,000	49,277,000	25,863,000	22,364,000
Programs to Study and Enhance Telecom	15,695,000	14,869,000	14,523,000	14,079,000
Critical Infrastructure Protection Programs	15,946,000	11,112,000	11,124,000	14,313,000
NCCC	3,832,000	5,963,000		
Total, Infrastructure Protection and Information Security	\$654,730,000	\$806,912,000	\$899,416,000	\$874,923,000

Funding for the National Computer Forensics Institute (funded under US-CERT in fiscal year 2010) is provided under United States Secret Service "Salaries and Expenses".

INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION

NPPD is directed to comply with Senate Report 111-222 concerning: on-going work with the University of Southern Mississippi to address the range of potential and actual threats and risks to safety and security at venues with large crowds; effective communications between chemical facility owners and local law enforcement and first responders, as part of Risk Based Performance Standard 9 under the Chemical Facility Antiterrorism Standards; review of products cleared by the Food and Drug Administration under the SAFETY Act and that are on the Department of Defense list of approved treatments for possible use; and on-going work at the National Infrastructure Simulation and Analysis Center in conjunction with the National Incident Management Systems and Advanced Technologies Institute at the University of Louisiana at Lafayette. The bill includes not less than \$18,000,000 for the National Infrastructure Simulation and Analysis Center.

The National Infrastructure Protection Plan Management and the Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Partnerships and Information Sharing programs jointly receive \$33,933,000. GAO is directed to review NPPD efforts to implement these programs and to make recommendations to improve coordination and efficiency, as directed in the Senate report.

Within the total provided, not less than \$26,521,000 is to conduct vulnerability assessments.

CYBER SECURITY

The National Cyber Security Division, which implements DHS responsibilities identified in the Comprehensive National Cyber Security Initiative, receives \$385,679,000. The Cyber Security Standards, Workforce Development, and Awareness program, which includes a variety of specialized analysis activities and training programs, receives \$76,017,000 in the bill, including \$3,000,000 for State and local cyber security training administered by the University of Texas at San Antonio.

A provision is included which withholds \$100,000,000 of the NCSD budget until NPPD provides a detailed expenditure plan for all DHS NCSD activities.

CONTROL SYSTEMS SECURITY

More and more of our nation's physical infrastructure, such as electric utility grids, water and sewage systems, and transportation infrastructure and networks, is connected to the Internet. While this technology has generated efficiencies in the operation and control of complex systems, many of these network devices are vulnerable to hostile takeover or malicious attacks, as evidenced by the recent "Stuxnet" malware attack on certain types of industrial cen-

trifuges. Integrating agreed-upon industry standards into the development and manufacturing process for future control system products offers a high likelihood of successfully countering automation vulnerabilities. Current DHS-industry efforts are projected to take up to 10 years to promulgate the full range of envisioned industrial standards, a timeframe that is unacceptably long given the rapidly evolving cyber threat. DHS, in conjunction with industry partners, is directed to accelerate the development timeline for control system security standards. NPPD is directed to brief the Committees on the process to expedite standards development no later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

MULTI-STATE INFORMATION SHARING AND ANALYSIS CENTER

DHS has an on-going program with the Multi-State Information Sharing and Analysis Center (MS-ISAC) for security-related operations designed to protect State and municipally-owned computer networks. MS-ISAC provides world class service to its customers and serves as the premier State and local government cyber security entity. Additional funds will allow the Center to expand its managed security services, situational awareness, incident response, cyber security assessments and cyber research and analysis to other States and localities. In addition to amounts requested by DHS, the bill includes \$3,000,000 for expansion of MS-ISAC activities so that the center can serve as many other States and municipalities as possible.

OFFICE OF EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS

The bill includes \$45,339,000 for the Office of Emergency Communications, \$1,000,000 over the requested level based on the reconfigured budget structure. Additional funding is for SEARCH, the National Institute for Emergency Communications Interoperability, to continue training State, regional, and local first responders responsible for managing interoperable communications systems.

NATIONAL SECURITY/EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS

The bill provides \$108,169,000 for the National Security/Emergency Preparedness Telecommunications programs, which is the requested level based on the reconfigured budget structure.

As in past years, the bill withholds \$10,000,000 of funding for the Next Generation Networks (NGN) program until NPPD submits an expenditure plan showing how funds provided for NGN will be used to achieve the program's goals.

FEDERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE

The bill includes \$1,115,000,000 for the Federal Protective Service (FPS), as requested, for fiscal year 2011; this amount is fully offset by collections of security fees.

ADEQUACY OF FEDERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE RESOURCES

The bill requires the Secretary and the Director of the Office of Management and

Budget to adjust FPS security fees to ensure that the agency employs a staff of at least 1,348 personnel by September 1, 2011, of which 1,011 are required to be in-service field staff directly engaged on a daily basis protecting and enforcing laws at Federal buildings. Further, the bill requires FPS to be fully funded during fiscal year 2011, therefore the staff level shall be no less than 1,200 employees, including at least 900 Police Officers, Inspectors, Area Commanders, and Special Agents through September 1, 2011, at which time the additional employees shall be on board. Given recent attacks on Federal employees in Austin, Texas; Las Vegas, Nevada; and the Pentagon, the Administration needs to recognize the growing gap between the security needs for Federal facilities and the limited resources with which FPS is expected to protect these same government installations.

Since FPS is currently authorized as a fee-for-service agency, these activities must continue to be financed by collection of security fees from agencies unless the FPS statutory authorization is changed. It is imperative that the Administration construct a fiscal year 2012 budget that identifies the fee resources necessary to provide adequate security at Federal facilities nationwide, consistent with the FPS workforce analysis. Although NPPD was directed in Senate Report 111-188 to submit this analysis no later than September 12, 2010, to date the Committees have not received it. To ensure this analysis is appropriately accounted for in the budget, FPS is directed to submit it to the Committees on Appropriations and GAO without delay.

In addition, the Committee directs FPS to review its use of contract guard services at Federal facilities, as recommended by GAO, and to provide a briefing on its findings no later than six months after the date of enactment of this Act.

UNITED STATES VISITOR AND IMMIGRANT STATUS INDICATOR TECHNOLOGY

The bill provides \$339,263,000 for United States Visitor and Immigrant Status Indicator Technology (US-VISIT), of which \$50,000,000 is available until September 30, 2012, and \$125,000,000 is unavailable for obligation until the Committees on Appropriations receive an expenditure plan containing the elements specified in Public Law 110-329. The bill includes \$4,650,000 above the request for Identity Management and Screening Services to permit the Biometric Support Centers to meet increased workload and demand for law enforcement and intelligence analyses, and reduce overstay resolution backlog. Bill language is included reserving \$50,000,000 of prior year balances solely for biometric air exit. The rescission of \$28,000,000 in prior year balances contained in section 582 shall not result in a reduction in balances available to meet the requirement in the bill to obligate \$50,000,000 solely for purposes of implementation of a biometric air exit system.

US-VISIT shall brief the Committees not later than February 16, 2011, on plans to

eliminate the backlog of “unvetted” overstay records, to include schedule and resource requirements. US-VISIT is directed to sustain critical efforts to: achieve interoperability with other agencies, including the Departments of Justice, State, and Defense; integrate new biometric technology; and support data sharing with international partners. US-VISIT is also directed, in conjunction with other agencies as appropriate, to provide semiannual briefings on interagency interoperability; planning for biometric exit solutions, to include data sharing with Canada and Mexico, and in redesign or replacement of ports of entry; and, as described in the Senate report, ongoing efforts to share biometric information with other countries about criminals, immigration violators, and known or suspected terrorists, as well as steps underway to strengthen DHS’ leadership position in biometric and identity management.

OFFICE OF HEALTH AFFAIRS

The bill provides \$157,984,000 for the Office of Health Affairs (OHA). Included in the total, \$113,505,000 is for the BioWatch program, including all generations of the technology currently in use or undergoing development, of which no less than \$4,500,000 is for further testing of Generation 3 technology. OHA is directed to use the Bioterrorism Risk Assessment process to examine the costs and benefits of the BioWatch program and revisit its goals. OHA should provide evidence of the capabilities of the Generation 3 technology, as well as a cost benefit analysis, with any request for funding a program expansion. OHA shall notify the Committees 15 days prior to deploying any BioWatch device to new locations. OHA is directed to provide an expenditure plan for the BioWatch program within 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The bill provides \$11,250,000 for the National Biosurveillance Integration System (NBIS), of which \$4,750,000 is for the North Carolina Collaboratory for Bio-Preparedness to continue a demonstration project for the statewide system to analyze public health trends and detect incidents. Within the remaining amount, \$3,500,000 is withheld from obligation until OHA delivers to the Committees a strategic plan for the NBIS, including progress on implementing the recommendations in GAO-10-171.

The bill provides \$3,900,000 for the Rapidly Deployable Chemical Detection System, of which \$1,500,000 provided above the request is to complete at least one additional demonstration project, to be competitively selected. The bill includes \$2,276,000 for planning and coordination. This amount includes sufficient funding to maintain OHA’s role in HSPD through the Food, Agricultural and Veterinary Defense Division.

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

The bill provides \$764,296,000 for the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Management and Administration activities. FEMA is directed to provide an expenditure plan not later than 75 days after the date of enactment of this Act. The expenditure plan shall present information aggregated by directorate and office, showing all sources of funding. Specific information regarding the transfer of funding from the State and Local Programs appropriation should be included, with the same level of detail currently provided to the Committee. FEMA is directed to meet with the Committee within 5 days of the date of enactment of this Act to reach an agreed upon for-

mat for this reporting requirement. The Committees shall be notified within 15 days if any office receives or transfers out more than 5 percent of the total amount allocated in the expenditure plan. A provision is included requiring FEMA to submit its fiscal year 2012 budget request by office with the level of detail currently provided in the congressional justification materials.

Included in the total is \$4,000,000 for the Emergency Management Assistance Compact (EMAC), as requested, and \$11,000,000 for the Emergency Management Institute (EMI). FEMA is directed to identify the request for EMI and EMAC in future budget requests. The bill includes the requested \$23,300,000 for capital upgrades. FEMA shall report any differences from the capital improvement plan outlined in the fiscal year 2011 congressional justification, and provide a justification for such differences.

The bill provides \$18,213,000, as requested, for the Integrated Public Alert and Warning System, of which up to \$2,000,000 is to study the Radio Broadcast Data System technology as directed in the Senate report, to be competitively awarded.

The President requested \$71,076,000 for the Office of the Chief Information Officer, which is provided; however, the amount should be treated in the same manner as all other funds requested and not as a PPA as listed in the Senate report. FEMA is directed to brief the Committees on any variations from the request prior to the changes being implemented. Within this amount, \$5,900,000 is provided for data center migration, as requested.

The bill provides \$38,000,000 for the Urban Search and Rescue Response System, \$10,000,000 above the request. FEMA is directed to provide an expenditure plan not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act. The expenditure plan should include the results of a review of the program to ensure the capacity is meeting the needs as outlined in Senate Report 111-222.

The bill includes \$7,049,000 for the Office of National Capital Region Coordination and a provision requiring the inclusion of the Governors of the State of West Virginia and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in the National Capital Region decision-making and planning process for mass evacuation. The Department is directed to include officials from the counties and municipalities that contain the evacuation routes and their tributaries into the planning process.

FEMA is directed to brief the Committees not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act regarding the agency’s efforts to delegate certain authorities from headquarters to the offices of the Regional Administrators. The briefing shall include a list of authorities that have been or will be delegated; a timeframe for implementation; and what procedures will be instituted to ensure consistent application of FEMA policies across the Nation.

FEMA is directed to brief the Committees quarterly on its core administrative functions, as directed in the Senate report; however, the briefings shall be provided by the Deputy Administrator.

The Committees appreciate the effort of the Federal, State, Local, and Tribal Preparedness Task Force and the timely report transmitted to the Congress in October, 2010 which included recommendations related to homeland security and emergency management policies, grants, and assessments. FEMA, in cooperation with the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs, is directed to

brief the Committees no later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act on the findings of the Task Force. The briefing shall include the specific process (including timelines) that will be used to adjudicate the current recommendations and how the accepted recommendations will be implemented; specific topics identified for additional study and how the information will be obtained; and the process by which the Task Force will engage the larger user community to clarify and solidify recommendations and items needing further discussion. The briefing shall include recommendations on any new authorities needed to fulfill the recommendations. Additionally, all briefings required of FEMA in this Explanatory Statement that address a topic for which a recommendation was made shall address that recommendation in the briefing.

The Office of Individual and Community Preparedness is directed to brief the Committees within 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act on actions that will be taken to increase individual and community preparedness and the FEMA resources being devoted to this purpose. FEMA is directed to create an inventory of products that have been developed by the Citizen Corps program to further individual and community preparedness, as directed in Senate Report 111-222.

FEMA, in conjunction with the appropriate research entity, is directed to provide a report on the status of planning, as directed in Senate Report 111-222.

FEMA is directed to report, within 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, on its comprehensive approach to training and education, identifying any gaps and a plan to address those gaps as specified in the Senate report. This report shall be coordinated with and submitted in conjunction with the report on continuing training as required under the heading State and Local Programs.

Additional efforts are required to clarify leadership and coordination issues within the draft National Disaster Recovery Framework, which was released in February 2010. FEMA is directed to remain focused on this effort and provide the necessary resources to improve, finalize, and implement the framework.

STATE AND LOCAL PROGRAMS (Including Transfer of Funds)

The bill provides \$3,080,450,000 for State and Local programs. Funding is allocated as follows:

State Homeland Security Grant Program	\$950,000,000
Operation Stonegarden ...	[60,000,000]
Citizen Corps Program ...	[10,000,000]
Urban Area Security Initiative	977,500,000
Nonprofit Security grants	[19,000,000]
Radiological and Nuclear Detection	[20,000,000]
National Special Security Events State and local reimbursement ...	[17,500,000]
Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grants	35,000,000
Metropolitan Medical Response System	41,000,000
Public Transportation Security Assistance and Railroad Security Assistance	350,000,000
Amtrak	[25,000,000]
Over-the-Road Bus Security Assistance	[12,000,000]
Port Security Grants	350,000,000

Buffer Zone Protection Program Grants	35,000,000
Interoperable Emergency Communications Grant Program	35,000,000
Emergency Operations Centers	50,000,000
National Programs:	
National Domestic Preparedness Consortium	159,500,000
Center for Counterterrorism and Cyber Crime	2,450,000
National Exercise Program	38,000,000
Technical Assistance	13,000,000
Continuing Training Grants	30,000,000
Evaluations and Assessments	14,000,000
Subtotal, National Programs	256,950,000
Total, State and Local Programs	\$3,080,450,000

FEMA is required to brief the Committees five days prior to any announcement of the intention to make a grant award under State and Local Programs. Such briefings shall include detailed information on the risk analysis employed, the process for determining effectiveness, the process or formula used for selecting grantees, and any changes to methodologies used in the previous fiscal year.

FEMA is required to report to the Committees, not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, following a review of its practices and policies regarding the grant process, including but not limited to, the environmental and historic review process and investment justification reviews. The agency should examine what processes are in place because of law, regulation, and agency policy and suggest changes that would streamline the process to allow grantees to access funds faster while still ensuring accountability. In addition to examining its own processes, FEMA should include recommendations to incentivize grantees to draw down funds in a more prompt manner. As a part of this review FEMA is expected to examine the workload of Grants Programs Directorate staff and evaluate whether that workload provides for appropriate oversight of the grants.

FEMA should ensure that it uses risk models that are validated by external experts and subject to rigorous peer review. FEMA is directed to brief the Committees on the process it will undertake to implement external validation of its risk models for the 2012 grant cycle.

FEMA should continue to consider the needs for mass evacuation planning and prepositioning of equipment for areas potentially impacted by mass evacuations in allocating first responder funds.

In accordance with section 2006 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002, the Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Program (LETPP) is funded through a required set-aside of 25 percent of the State Homeland Security Grant Program and Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) programs. FEMA shall provide clear guidance to States and urban areas to ensure the intent of the LETPP is fully realized and the program is fully maximized. Further, FEMA is expected to comply with provisions of current authorizing statute regarding policies regarding paying salaries for intelligence analysts, as well as for distribution of UASI grants on the basis of risk.

All awards under Operation Stonegarden shall be made on a competitive basis to areas of the greatest risk and need. All border

states shall be eligible to apply for grants under Operation Stonegarden in fiscal year 2011. Operation Stonegarden's eligible costs include, but shall not necessarily be limited to: overtime; vehicle maintenance; vehicle and equipment rental costs; reimbursement for mileage; fuel costs; equipment replacement costs; and travel costs for law enforcement entities assisting other local jurisdictions in law enforcement activities. The Committees direct that only CBP and FEMA make recommendations on award decisions. No administrative costs shall be deducted from Operation Stonegarden award totals by States. In order to continue to monitor the program's efficiency and ensure funding is being allocated to areas of greatest need and risk, FEMA and CBP are required to undertake a thorough analysis using the most current data and brief the Committees on the information it will use to assess which areas are in greatest need of funding.

The Citizen Corps program shall be administered consistent with previous years, and shall retain an all hazards focus.

Within the funding provided for UASI is \$20,000,000 for the purchase of radiological and nuclear detection equipment.

Also within the amount provided for UASI, \$17,500,000 is provided to reimburse actual costs incurred by State and/or local governments affected by National Special Security Events, including use of services, personnel, equipment, and facilities. FEMA shall brief the Committees within 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act regarding the process to distribute this funding, including the application process and eligible costs. Funds shall remain available until expended and are not subject to any legislated timeframes required under "State and Local Programs".

The Committees are aware of press reports of diverted Federal grant money being used for executive transport and serious allegations of fraud in "Project Shield". The Committees are aware that the DHS IG is currently reviewing all UASI expenditures in the Chicago area, including a review of such allegations. The Committees direct the GAO to also review and report on the propriety of UASI expenditures in Cook County, Illinois.

FEMA, and the grant subject matter experts, in the allocation of funds for Buffer Zone Protection Program Grants and Non-profit Security Grants, are directed to consult and incorporate the input of State, local, and private partners in assessing risk and need.

FEMA is urged to ensure coordination between the Metropolitan Medical Response System and other FEMA programs, such as the National Exercise Program. FEMA should fully leverage this program's unique role as a bridge between emergency management and medical response disciplines.

FEMA is directed, in conjunction with the Office of Health Affairs (OHA), to report to the Committees regarding the current state of disaster preparedness capabilities of Emergency Medical Service (EMS) providers. This report is due no later than six months after the date of enactment of this Act and shall include an analysis of the gap between current and target capabilities. Further, in conjunction with OHA, FEMA is directed to review the amount of first responder grant funding emergency medical service providers are receiving and evaluate whether current funding levels are sufficient to meet capability requirements for disaster preparedness. Further, the Department should develop guidance on proposed approaches to utilize grant funding to address those gaps in order to ensure EMS preparedness and the

use of EMS services to reduce strains on hospitals during a mass casualty event.

FEMA is directed to fully fund the graduate and executive level homeland security education programs currently supported by the Department. The Department is directed to maintain its strong support for these proven curricula, and to continue to leverage them where appropriate as the Department meets the growing need for education within its own ranks and by States and localities around the Nation. The Committees note the importance of Mobile Education Teams providing homeland security seminars for State and local elected officials and senior staff.

Within the funding provided for Continuing Training Grants, grants for state and local government intelligence awareness training shall not be less than \$1,000,000 above the levels funded in fiscal year 2010. Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, FEMA is directed to report on the needs being met by the continuing training grants to date including any gaps in specific evolving needs, as required in Senate Report 111-222. This report shall be coordinated with and submitted in conjunction with the report on training and education as required under the heading Management and Administration.

The Office of the Administrator is directed to brief the Committees on a quarterly basis on the progress of implementing an outcomes based preparedness assessment. The initial brief shall include a review of how other nations have attempted to complete such an effort. Additionally, the initial brief shall include a discussion on the recommendations related to capabilities and assessments from the report "Perspectives on Preparedness: Taking Stock Since 9/11, September 2010", including how FEMA intends to respond to the recommendations, complete with timeframes.

The Department is encouraged to require State and local governments to include non-governmental field and hospital based emergency medical service providers in their interoperability planning. The Department is encouraged to require State and local governments to address child care services in response and recovery plans, exercises and training. The Department is further encouraged to require State and local governments to include tribal governments, rural water associations, and chief information officers in planning efforts.

FEMA is directed to allow transit agencies to permit States to be subgrantees to facilitate regional planning and programs.

Administration and implementation of the Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program shall be as outlined in Senate Report 111-222, including treatment of the cost share.

The Committees expect that grantees must certify to FEMA that the necessary investments are being made for an effective interoperable communications process to ensure plans are kept up-to-date and federal funds are not wasted.

FEMA is encouraged to continue to provide training to first responders through the Domestic Preparedness Equipment Technical Assistance Program.

Funding for Emergency Operations Centers shall be allocated for projects as specified in the bill, and the remaining funding shall be competitively awarded.

FIREFIGHTER ASSISTANCE GRANTS

The bill provides \$840,000,000 for Firefighter Assistance Grants, including \$420,000,000 for firefighter assistance grants and \$420,000,000 for firefighter staffing grants. The bill includes a provision to waive certain cost

shares and maintenance of effort provisions associated with firefighter staffing grants. FEMA is directed to continue administering the grant programs consistent with previous years as specified in the conference report accompanying P.L. 111-83. FEMA is directed to submit the U.S. Fire Needs Assessment concurrent with the fiscal year 2012 budget submission. FEMA is further directed to brief the Committees on Appropriations no later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act on the implementation of recommendations in GAO-10-64 to improve the grant process.

EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE GRANTS

The bill provides \$345,000,000 for Emergency Management Performance Grants.

RADIOLOGICAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAM

The bill provides for the receipt and expenditure of fees collected, as authorized by P.L. 105-276.

UNITED STATES FIRE ADMINISTRATION

The bill provides \$45,930,000 for the United States Fire Administration. FEMA is directed to identify the funding level for the National Fire Incident Management System in future budget requests.

DISASTER RELIEF

(Including Transfers of Funds)

The bill includes \$1,950,000,000 for the Disaster Relief fund. FEMA is directed to submit an estimate for obligations which are expected to occur during the 2012 fiscal year and have been excluded from formulation of the underlying President's budget request, including those associated with catastrophic events concurrent with the budget submission. A provision is included in the bill requiring FEMA to submit an expenditure plan to the Committees detailing the use of funds for the disaster readiness and support account not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act. FEMA shall provide quarterly reports detailing obligations against the expenditure plan and a justification for any changes in spending. As required in the bill, FEMA is directed to continue monthly reports detailing allocations, obligations and undistributed amounts related to all disasters in the same level of detail as currently presented to the Committees. Within the amount provided \$16,000,000 shall be transferred to the DHS IG for audits and investigations related to disasters and \$145,600,000 shall be transferred to FEMA "Management and Administration".

FEMA is required to notify the Committees prior to closing or moving logistics distribution centers.

FEMA is directed to maintain the Florida long-term recovery office as long as there is sufficient work to be done following the 2004 and 2005 hurricanes that struck the State. FEMA is directed to notify the Committees 60 days prior to closing the office.

The DHS IG is directed to report, not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, on the costs associated with the Disaster Housing Assistance Program, the benefits that have been provided, and the percent of funding provided to program management and oversight.

FEMA is instructed to report to the Committees not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act on its implementation of recommendations on the 2009 IG report entitled "Improvements Needed in Disaster Contract Management".

DISASTER ASSISTANCE DIRECT LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The bill provides \$295,000 for the cost of direct loans. As outlined in Senate Report 111-

222, FEMA is directed to continue assistance for Special Community Disaster Loan waiver applications, and for loans which are not cancelled, FEMA is directed to assist with extended repayment deadlines.

FLOOD HAZARD MAPPING AND RISK ANALYSIS

The bill provides \$194,000,000 for flood hazard mapping and risk analysis. FEMA shall continue to focus funds on reviewing, updating, and maintaining maps to accurately reflect flood hazards. The goal shall be to review and, where necessary, to update and maintain data, methodologies, models and maps that have been modernized, and to issue map updates no later than five years past the modernized dates of the maps. FEMA is directed to provide no less than 20 percent of the funds provided under this heading for map updates and maintenance conducted by Cooperating Technical Partners (CTPs) that provide at least a 25 percent cash match and have a strong record of working effectively with FEMA on floodplain mapping activities. When allocating map modernization funds, FEMA is encouraged to prioritize as criteria the number of stream and coastal miles within the State, the Mississippi River Delta region, and the participation of the State in leveraging non-federal contributions. FEMA is strongly encouraged to partner with and leverage all available resources from Federal agencies, State and local governments, academia and CTPs towards acquiring elevation data.

With the 2012 budget request, FEMA shall submit a status report on the progress made towards the five year Risk Mapping, Assessment, and Planning (RiskMAP) strategy. FEMA is directed to establish a RiskMAP Advisory Committee to include Federal, state and local government representatives, non-governmental organizations, and private sector stakeholders. FEMA is strongly encouraged to include CTPs on the Advisory Committee. FEMA shall submit an annual report with the first one due no later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act on the recommendations of the Committee and any actions taken by FEMA.

FEMA is directed to report, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, on its efforts to transition to database driven digital maps.

The Committees recognize that, consistent with Senate Report 111-188 related to the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-212), FEMA has created an inter-agency task force to resolve concerns regarding flood maps, and GAO has begun its review of this effort. Therefore, the Senate report requirement to begin these efforts is no longer necessary. FEMA and GAO are directed to continue implementing these requirements expeditiously.

NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE FUND

The bill provides \$22,145,000 for salaries and expenses and \$146,855,000 for flood plain management and mapping. Based on updated estimates from FEMA, the bill authorizes \$110,000,000 for operating expenses and \$963,339,000 for commission and taxes of agents. Further, the bill authorizes \$40,000,000 for the severe repetitive loss program.

FEMA is directed to review and report on its existing Community Assistance Program grant process and allocation methodology to ensure equitable distribution of funds in relation to existing and anticipated floodplain management and mapping activities. FEMA shall submit a report to the Committees by March 1, 2011, documenting the existing process and allocation methodology and any

proposed changes to be implemented in fiscal year 2012.

NATIONAL PREDISASTER MITIGATION FUND

The bill provides \$85,000,000, including funding for the following projects at the following locations. The remaining funding shall be competitively awarded.

Predisaster mitigation projects

	<i>Amount</i>
Borough of Hatboro, PA	\$74,000
Campbell Police Department, CA	180,000
City of Arvada, CO	1,000,000
City of Binghamton, NY	500,000
City of Covina, CA	375,000
City of Hammond, IN	750,000
City of Kannapolis, NC	900,000
City of Minneapolis, MN	1,000,000
City of Paris, KY	70,000
City of Salem, MA	1,000,000
City of San Mateo-Department of Public Works, CA	1,000,000
City of Venus, TX	80,000
County of Los Angeles, CA	520,000
County of Sonoma, CA	1,000,000
Henry County, GA	960,000
Hinds County Board of Supervisors, MS	500,000
Logan County Government, CO ...	140,000
Lucas County Engineer, OH	400,000
Memphis-Shelby County Airport Authority, TN	1,000,000
Monterey County Water Resources Agency, CA	1,000,000
Ohio University, OH	460,000
Orange County Government, FL ..	1,000,000
Town of Cary, NC	750,000
Town of East Hampton, NY	800,000
Town of Fairfield, CT	1,000,000
Town of Harrison, NY	600,000
Town of Livingston, NY	19,000
Town of Niagara, NY	250,000
Town of Winchester, MA	1,000,000
Township of Hopewell, NJ	353,000
Vienna Police Department, VA ...	175,000

EMERGENCY FOOD AND SHELTER

The bill provides \$150,000,000 for the Emergency Food and Shelter program.

TITLE IV

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, TRAINING, AND SERVICES

UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES

The bill provides \$297,993,000 in discretionary appropriations for United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS). This amount includes \$176,400,000 for USCIS to process refugee applications and asylum claims, rather than funding these activities through surcharges on other immigrants' application filing fees. No funding is provided for the cost of military naturalizations, which will be paid by the Department of Defense, as proposed in the budget. Within the total provided, \$7,193,000 is for USCIS data center consolidation. No discretionary funding is provided for the Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlements (SAVE) program or for the salaries and expenses of the immigrant integration program. USCIS is directed to submit a reprogramming to the Committees as soon as possible to reflect fee-derived expenditures for SAVE and immigrant integration salaries and expenses.

USER FEE FUNDED PROGRAMS

The budget estimates that USCIS will make \$2,426,557,000 in fee-funded expenditures in fiscal year 2011. Revenues from fees paid by persons applying for immigration benefits constitute the majority of USCIS's resources, and support adjudication of applications for immigration benefits as well as

government investigations aimed at preventing fraud within the immigration system.

On November 23, 2010, USCIS increased fees charged to immigration applicants. However, given the variability in the USCIS revenue projections, it is not clear whether such pricing changes will be sufficient to finance the agency's on-going operational activities over the long term. USCIS is directed to brief the Committees on the steps it is taking to ensure that operations are properly sized to match processing goals with cash flow estimates.

Within the total fees collected, USCIS is directed to provide no less than \$29,000,000 to convert immigration records to digital format, as requested. No more than \$10,000 of the fees collected shall be used for official reception and representation expenses.

Refugee Applications and Asylum Claims

The bill provides \$176,400,000 for USCIS to process refugee applications and asylum claims without charge to any immigration applicant, which is \$30,600,000 below the budget request. This reduction reflects the fact that the Administration did not finalize a revised rule for USCIS application fees until November 23, 2010, meaning that USCIS collected surcharges for processing asylum and refugee applications until that date.

Since the processing of refugee and asylum claims in fiscal year 2011 will be paid for by the American taxpayer, USCIS should be prepared to provide more information to Congress about how it manages the processes for administering these adjudications. USCIS is directed to brief the Committees at least quarterly in fiscal year 2011 on the application volumes, processing times, and country-by-country quotas and actual admissions for refugees and asylum seekers. USCIS is also directed to develop and report program performance measures that illustrate how the agency is ensuring that refugee status or asylum protection cases are adjudicated fairly and in an appropriate length of time. Finally, given concerns about the appropriateness of certain DHS policies that result in detention of asylum claimants, USCIS is also directed to work jointly with ICE, the Department's Office of Policy, and the Department of Justice Executive Office of Immigration Review to develop a Department-wide policy to ensure that people who claim asylum, yet are subject to physical detention, have their cases adjudicated as expeditiously as appropriate and to report to the Committees by March 1, 2011, on progress in implementing this policy.

E-Verify

The bill provides \$103,400,000 for the E-Verify Program, as requested. An updated audit of E-Verify shows that USCIS has made progress improving the accuracy of the system. However, USCIS must also ensure that there are appropriate controls and analytical systems in place to identify inappropriate use of the E-Verify Program by employers who would take advantage of its functionality for exploitative or illegal purposes. As a result, USCIS is directed to provide regular briefings on its progress implementing a robust compliance review program for E-Verify, including any actions taken to address instances of misuse of the system.

Naturalization Ceremonies

The Committee directs USCIS to identify, in the 2012 budget submission, all funds allocated to naturalization and oath of allegiance ceremonies. In addition, the Committee directs USCIS to work with local pub-

lic and private groups to hold naturalization and oath of allegiance ceremonies as part of community Flag Day, Independence Day, and Constitution Day celebrations.

Special Immigrant Visa Process for Iraqis

Special Immigrant Visas (SIVs) for certain Iraqi nationals were authorized in section 1244 of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2008 to provide immigration benefits for Iraqis facing ongoing, serious threats resulting from their employment by or on behalf of the U.S. government. The application process for SIVs has been burdensome and confusing for many applicants. USCIS is encouraged to work with the Department of State to examine and reform SIV application procedures, including revisions to communicate clearly with denied applicants the reasons for their denials and to establish a review process for denied applications.

FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING CENTER

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$234,500,000 for the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center (FLETC) Salaries and Expenses, of which \$30,000,000 is for Management and Administration. The requested transfer of the National Computer Forensics Institute (NCFI) to FLETC is denied. Funding for the NCFI is provided under the Secret Service.

ACCREDITATION

The bill provides \$1,419,000, in a separate account, for accreditation activities to measure and assess the quality and effectiveness of Federal law enforcement training programs, facilities, and instructors. The Committees have created a separate accreditation account to increase the visibility of this activity.

ACQUISITIONS, CONSTRUCTION, IMPROVEMENTS, AND RELATED EXPENSES

This bill provides \$38,456,000 for Acquisitions, Construction, Improvements, and Related Expenses.

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

The bill provides \$145,959,000 for Science and Technology (S&T) Management and Administration. This reflects no funding for data center migration, and \$3,000,000 for management of research and development transferred from the Domestic Nuclear Detection Office. S&T shall provide semiannual briefings to the Committees on the status of testing and evaluation of all level one acquisitions. S&T is directed to brief the Committees not later than February 16, 2011, on amounts deobligated from projects during fiscal year 2010, and the projects to which such funds were subsequently obligated, and to provide such briefings thereafter in conjunction with submission of its annual budget requests.

S&T shall report to the Committees, in conjunction with the submission of its fiscal year 2012 budget, and annually thereafter in each succeeding budget request, on results of its research and development efforts in the previous fiscal year. This report shall include new technologies or capabilities delivered to front line users, and whether such deliverables were the result of projects reviewed as part of integrated product team processes. S&T shall brief the Committees not later than February 16, 2011, on the quality of test and evaluation capacity in DHS, and S&T efforts to assist test and evaluation efforts by other DHS component agencies.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, ACQUISITION, AND OPERATIONS

The bill provides \$902,651,000 for Research, Development, Acquisition, and Operations. The following table specifies funding by budget program, project, and activity:

Border and Maritime Security	\$39,936,000
Chemical and Biological	200,800,000
Command, Control, and Interoperability	77,550,000
Explosives	120,800,000
Human Factors	15,400,000
Infrastructure and Geophysical	56,965,000
Innovation	44,000,000
Laboratory Facilities	122,000,000
Radiological and Nuclear ..	109,000,000
Test and Evaluation/Standards	23,100,000
Transition	43,100,000
University Programs	50,000,000

Total, Research, Development, Acquisition, and Operations

\$902,651,000

S&T is directed to brief the Committees not later than February 16, 2011, on: (1) status of its port security testbed for maritime situation awareness; (2) the impact of reductions in funding on research on cyber insider threats, law enforcement data processing intelligent sensors, forensic methodology, and terrorist countermeasures, and how the integrated product team approach can mitigate these impacts; (3) progress on Virtual USA; and (4) the status of new explosives detection technologies research efforts. S&T is encouraged to evaluate the most effective approaches to detecting and interdicting south-bound contraband, and make recommendations as addressed in Senate Report 111-222.

For human factors, the bill includes \$2,000,000 above the request to restore reductions in socio-behavioral research and enhance risk analysis and management. S&T shall brief the Committees not later than February 16, 2011, on status of its human factors research portfolio, including biometric research. S&T shall make efforts to further social science expertise in its Human Factors Division and Centers of Excellence, and work with the DHS Risk Management and Analysis Office to improve risk analysis and management Department wide.

S&T, in coordination with TSA, should convene a National Academy of Sciences panel of behavioral experts to assess the effectiveness of the Screening Passengers by Observation Techniques (SPOT) program, to support GAO recommendations that the S&T validation of SPOT be peer reviewed. S&T shall brief the Committees not later than February 16, 2011, on the status of efforts and plans to carry out this assessment.

For laboratory facilities, \$122,000,000 is provided. Included in this amount is \$20,000,000 for infrastructure upgrades and construction at the Transportation Security Laboratory.

For infrastructure and geophysical, funds for the Southeast Region Research Initiative are as outlined in Senate Report 111-222.

S&T is directed to notify the Committees when the Unified Incident Command and Decision Support architecture is complete.

For innovation, the bill includes \$44,000,000. S&T is to brief the Committees on its planned allocation of these funds no later than 45 days after the date of enactment of this Act. S&T shall brief the Committees not later than February 16, 2011, on its tunnel research.

For university programs, the bill includes \$50,000,000. Within this total, not less than

\$39,000,000 is for centers of excellence, and not less than \$3,866,000 is for minority serving institutions.

S&T shall conduct an independent review of all current research projects in the radiological and nuclear detection area, including private sector research, before determining its fiscal year 2011 research priorities. S&T is encouraged to review simultaneous and passive radiation detection of shielded and unshielded nuclear materials, such as muon tomography and advanced electron accelerator for nonintrusive detection of weapons of mass destruction.

S&T shall brief the Committees not later than February 16, 2011, on its expenditure plan for projects requested by the First Responders Integrated Product Team.

DOMESTIC NUCLEAR DETECTION OFFICE MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

The bill provides \$36,400,000 for Domestic Nuclear Detection Office (DNDO) Management and Administration, and includes a proviso requiring DNDO to submit to the Committees on Appropriations not later than May 15, 2011, an investment plan for closing domestic gaps in the global nuclear detection architecture (GNDA), including such vectors as rail, small vessels, and general aviation. Funding is \$592,000 below the request to reflect reduced staffing due to chronic delays in hiring for GNDA efforts.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND OPERATIONS

The bill provides \$191,242,000 for Research, Development, and Operations. The following table specifies funding by budget program, project, and activity:

Systems Engineering and	
Architecture	\$35,800,000
Systems Development	59,000,000
Assessments	40,000,000
Operations Support	33,900,000
National Technical Nuclear Forensics Center	22,542,000

Total, Research, Development, and Operations	\$191,242,000
--	---------------

DNDO plans for using Systems Engineering and Architecture funds should be spelled out in the GNDA investment plan required in the bill. DNDO is directed to brief the Committees on Appropriations semi-annually on progress in developing architecture to guide technology research and applications, the details on associated engineering and architectural studies, and the status of associated new technology.

The bill reflects a \$10,033,000 reduction from the request for Cargo Advanced Automated Radiography Systems. DNDO should complete testing to make decisions on technology to deploy for radiation scanning of on-dock rail and transshipment seaports; ensure all technologies under consideration by operating agencies are assessed under operational test conditions, and report on its plans to complete this research to the Committees by February 16, 2011. DNDO is directed to brief the Committees on a semi-annual basis on the status of development of automated radiography systems, its ongoing analysis of non-intrusive inspection technology, human portable radiation detection systems, and development of alternatives to Helium-3; and to notify the Committees when it plans to solicit for prototype development and pilots.

DNDO is directed to brief the Committees on a semi-annual basis on red team exercises and net assessments, to include vulnerabilities identified and recommendations for addressing them.

DNDO is directed to brief the Committees not later than February 16, 2011, on steps planned or underway to address recommendations included in the recently completed study on nuclear forensics by the National Academy of Sciences.

SYSTEMS ACQUISITION

The bill provides \$52,000,000 for Systems Acquisition. This includes \$14,000,000 for radiation portal monitors to address the gap in coverage at U.S. ports of entry and \$38,000,000 for human portable radiation detection systems. DNDO is directed to submit to the Committees its assessment of the Securing the Cities program upon its completion.

TITLE V

GENERAL PROVISIONS

(Including Transfers and Rescissions of Funds)

Major changes from the general provisions contained in the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-83) include:

Section 503: Language is continued and modified that amends certain reprogramming and transfer procedures for the funds provided in this Appropriations Act. A detailed funding table identifying each Congressional control level for reprogramming purposes is included at the end of the accompanying statement. These reprogramming guidelines shall be complied with by all agencies funded by the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2011.

The Department shall submit reprogramming requests on a timely basis and provide complete explanations of the reallocations proposed, including detailed justifications of the increases and offsets, and any specific impact the proposed changes will have on the budget request for the following fiscal year and future-year appropriations requirements. Each request submitted to the Committees on Appropriations should include a detailed table showing the proposed revisions at the account, program, project, and activity level to the funding and staffing (full-time equivalent position) levels for the current fiscal year and to the levels requested in the President's budget for the following fiscal year.

The Department shall manage its programs and activities within the levels appropriated. The Department should only submit reprogramming or transfer requests in the case of an unforeseeable emergency or situation that could not have been predicted when formulating the budget request for the current fiscal year. When the Department submits a reprogramming or transfer request to the Committees on Appropriations and does not receive identical responses from the House and Senate, it is the responsibility of the Department to reconcile the House and Senate differences before proceeding, and if reconciliation is not possible, to consider the reprogramming or transfer request not approved.

The Department is not to submit a reprogramming or transfer of funds after May 31 except in extraordinary circumstances, which imminently threaten the safety of human life or the protection of property. If a reprogramming or transfer is needed after May 31, the notice should contain sufficient documentation as to why it meets this statutory exception.

Section 515: Language is continued and modified on TSA air cargo reporting requirements.

Section 518: Language is continued and modified on the human resource management system.

Section 519: Language is continued and modified to reflect permanency of the Secret Service's investigative operation authority.

Section 521: Language is continued and modified prohibiting use of funds pertaining to the Principal Federal Official with certain exceptions.

Section 524: Language is included prohibiting use of funds to violate Executive Order 13423.

Section 526: Language is continued that prohibits the Department from carrying out section 872 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002. However, this prohibition is not intended to prevent the Department from carrying out routine reallocations within components.

Section 528: Language is continued and modified to reflect permanency of the horse and equine provision.

Section 541: Language is continued and modified pertaining to the sale of Plum Island assets and how proceeds may be used.

Section 542: Language is included pertaining to the construction of the National Bio- and Agro-defense Facility in Manhattan, Kansas.

Section 547: Language is continued and modified to make permanent the definition of a rural area in the Homeland Security Act.

Section 550: Language is continued and modified pertaining to the Registered Traveler Program.

Section 555: Language is included that provides a total of \$270,800,000 for consolidation of the new DHS headquarters at St. Elizabeths and consolidation of mission support activities. Within 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary must submit an expenditure plan to the Committees highlighting how these funds will be allocated. Quarterly briefings on the consolidation plans, including any deviation from the expenditure plan, status of approvals, and project schedule should occur thereafter.

Section 556: Language is included that provides \$10,000,000 for new acquisition workforce staff and permits the Secretary to transfer funds after notification. These funds can only supplement, not supplant, existing acquisition workforce staffing.

Section 557: Language is continued and modified pertaining to the sale of Loran-C sites and the use of any proceeds.

Section 558: Language is included that requires certification that the 100 percent screening of air cargo carried on passenger aircraft mandate has been met and biannual reports on the strategy to meet this mandate if certification does not occur 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

Section 559: Language is included that requires the Secretary to ensure screening of passengers and crews for transportation and national security purposes are consistent with applicable laws, regulations, and guidance on privacy and civil liberties.

Section 560: Language is included that authorizes the Secretary to direct GSA to sell ICE Service Processing Centers and detention facilities that no longer meet the mission and use the funds for other ICE real property needs.

DHS shall report to the Committees not later than February 9, 2011, with recommendations for savings from the identification of any DHS excess property as described in the June 10, 2010, Presidential Memorandum entitled "Disposing of Unneeded Federal Real Estate".

Section 561: Language is included that modifies civil and criminal penalty sections of the U.S. Code and requires each airport

operator to ensure signs are posted providing notice of penalties. Persons may be fined without regard to signage.

Section 562: Language is included that amends the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act to update the names of two entities that participate in the Emergency Food and Shelter program.

Section 563: Language is included that permits construction related to hazard mitigation in Findlay, Ohio.

Section 564: Language is included that permits the Secretary to transfer funds for an immigration emergency.

Section 565: Language is included that authorizes the Secretary of Homeland Security to transfer funds from amounts available for fiscal year 2009 or thereafter for CBP "Border Security Fencing, Infrastructure, and Technology" to the Department of Interior to mitigate adverse impacts on endangered species resulting from construction, operation, and maintenance activities related to border security.

Section 566: Language is included that permits the Coast Guard to enter into agreements with the Navy for the disposal of Coast Guard vessels at no additional cost to the Navy.

Section 567: Language is included that permanently authorizes CBP's Advanced Training Center to charge a fee for any service and/or thing of value it provides to Federal government or non-government entities so long as fees charged do not exceed the full costs.

Section 568: Language is included related to the federal share for damages resulting from certain disasters in the State of New Jersey.

Section 569: Language is included that directs FEMA to consider as non-discretionary the decision to award grants for the construction and equipping of any interoperable communications systems for which construction was initiated before June 1, 2009.

Sections 570–590 include rescissions from unobligated balances within components of the Department of Homeland Security.

DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

Following is a list of congressional earmarks and congressionally directed spending items (as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, respectively) included in the bill or this explanatory statement, along with the name of each Senator, House Member, Delegate, or Resident Commissioner who submitted a request to the House or Senate Committee of jurisdiction for each item so identified. Neither the bill nor the explanatory statement contains any limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in the applicable House and Senate rules.

FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS

Detailed funding recommendations for programs are contained in the table listed below.

DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

Following is a list of congressional earmarks and congressionally directed spending items (as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI of

the Rules of the House of Representatives and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, respectively) included in the bill or this explanatory statement, along with the name of each Senator, House Member, Delegate, or Resident Commissioner who sub-

mitted a request to the House or Senate Committee of jurisdiction for each item so identified. Neither the bill nor the explanatory statement contains any limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in the applicable House and Senate rules.

HOMELAND SECURITY
(Presidentially Requested Spending Items)

Account	Project	Recipient	Amount	Requester(s)		
				Administration	House	Senate
DHS	DHS Office of the Chief Information Officer	Power Upgrades for Data Center One at Stennis Center, MS	\$27,730,000	The President		Cochran
ICE	Salaries and Expenses	Border Enforcement Security Task Forces, CA, HI, and NY	\$10,000,000	The President		
CG	Acquisition, Construction, and Improvements	Shore and Operational Support projects, various locations	\$61,500,000	The President		Reed
CG	Acquisition, Construction, and Improvements	Military Housing, NY	\$2,000,000	The President		
NPPD	Infrastructure Protection and Information Security	National Infrastructure Simulation and Analysis Center, NM	\$16,000,000	The President		Bingaman; Udall (NM)
FEMA	Management & Administration	Regional Office Relocation, PA	\$8,200,000	The President		
FEMA	State and Local Programs	National Domestic Preparedness Consortium				
		National Energetic Materials Research and Testing Center, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, NM	\$12,875,000	The President		Bingaman; Udall (NM)
		National Center for Biomedical Research and Training, Louisiana State University, LA	\$12,875,000	The President		Landrieu
		National Emergency Response and Rescue Training Center, Texas A&M University, TX	\$12,875,000	The President	Edwards (TX)	Hutchison; Cornyn
		National Exercise, Test, and Training Center, Nevada Test Site, NV	\$12,875,000	The President		Reid
FEMA	State and Local Programs	Center for Domestic Preparedness, AL	\$62,500,000	The President		Shelby
S&T	Research, Development, Acquisition, and Operations	Transportation Security Laboratory, NJ	\$20,000,000	The President		Lautenberg
General Provision	DHS HQ Consolidation	DHS HQ Consolidation Project—St. Elizabeths, Washington, DC/Mission Support Lease Consolidation	\$270,800,000	The President		Lieberman

HOMELAND SECURITY
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				House	Senate
DHS	Office of the Under Secretary for Management	Center of Excellence in Logistics and Technology (LOGTECH), Institute for Defense and Business, The University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC	\$500,000	Price (NC)	
CBP	Construction and Facilities Management	Advanced Training Center, WV	\$4,000,000		Rockefeller
CG	Acquisition, Construction, and Improvements	Coast Guard Station Cleveland Harbor, OH	\$21,050,000		Voinovich
CG	Acquisition, Construction, and Improvements	Coast Guard Sector Honolulu Command and Interagency Operations Center, HI	\$18,100,000		Inouye
CG	Alteration of Bridges	Union Pacific Railroad Bridge, Clinton, IA	\$4,000,000		Harkin
NPPD	Infrastructure Protection and Information Security	Multi-State Information Sharing and Analysis Center (MS-ISAC), East Greenbush, NY	\$3,000,000	Clarke; Lowey; Towns	
NPPD	Infrastructure Protection and Information Security	National Infrastructure Simulation and Analysis Center, NM	\$2,000,000		Bingaman; Udall (NM)
NPPD	Infrastructure Protection and Information Security	SEARCH, Sacramento, CA	\$1,000,000	Rothman (NU); Jackson-Lee (TX)	
NPPD	Infrastructure Protection and Information Security	State and Local Cyber Security Training, University of Texas, San Antonio, TX	\$3,000,000	Rodriguez	
OHA	Office of Health Affairs	North Carolina Collaboratory for Bio-Preparedness (NC B-Prepared), The University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC	\$4,750,000	Etheridge; Miller (NC); Price (NC)	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ Emergency Operations Centers (EOC)	California Emergency Management Agency, CA	\$700,000	Sanchez; Loretta	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Cherry Hill Township, NJ	\$228,125	Adler (NJ)	Lautenberg; Menendez
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of Alexandria, VA	\$800,000	Moran (VA)	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of Baton Rouge, LA	\$250,000		Landrieu; Vitter
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of Bowie Police Department, MD	\$800,000	Hoyer	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of Brownsville, TX	\$800,000	Ortiz	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of Columbia, SC	\$442,000	Cyburn	Graham
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of Columbus, OH	\$800,000	Kilroy	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of Compton, CA	\$800,000	Richardson	Feinstein
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of Houston, TX	\$800,000	Jackson-Lee (TX)	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of Laredo, TX	\$800,000	Cuellar	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of Lauderdale Lakes, FL	\$500,000	Hastings (FL); Wasserman Shultz	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of New Orleans, LA	\$800,000	Cao	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of Orange Township, NJ	\$600,000	Payne	Lautenberg; Menendez
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of Palm Beach Gardens, FL	\$800,000	Klein (FL)	

HOMELAND SECURITY—Continued
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				House	Senate
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of Pasadena, CA	\$500,000		Feinstein
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of Passaic, NJ	\$550,000	Pascarelli	Lautenberg, Menendez
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of Pharr, TX	\$800,000	Hinojosa	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of Phoenix, AZ	\$800,000	Pastor (AZ)	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of South Daytona, FL	\$800,000	Kosmas	Nelson (FL)
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	City of Temple City, CA	\$375,000	Schiff	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Clallam County Sheriff's Office, WA	\$800,000	Dicks	Cantwell
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Courty of Gloucester, NJ	\$800,000	Rothman (NJ)	Lautenberg
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	County of Hudson, NJ	\$3,450,000	Sires	Lautenberg, Menendez
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Missoula County, MT	\$771,000		Tester, Baucus
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Fulton County Government, AR	\$250,000	Berry	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Fulton County Government, County Manager's Office, GA	\$300,000	Lewis (GA)	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Hancock County Commission, WV	\$800,000	Molohan	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Louisiana Sheriff's Association, Baton Rouge, LA	\$750,000		Landrieu, Vitter
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Madison County, TX	\$250,000	Edwards (TX)	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Maryland Emergency Management Agency, MD	\$750,000	Ruppersberger	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Oakland County Homeland Security Division, MI	\$800,000	Levin	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Park County, MT	\$129,000		Tester, Baucus
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Plaquemines Parish Sheriff's Office, LA	\$800,000	Malancon	Landrieu, Vitter
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Polk County, IA	\$610,000		Harkin
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Providence Emergency Management Agency & Office of Homeland Security, RI	\$750,000	Langevin	Reed
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Rhode Island Emergency Management Agency, Cranston, RI	\$1,000,000		Reed, Whitehouse
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Salt Lake County, UT	\$750,000	Matheson	Bennett
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	State of Illinois, Springfield, IL	\$1,000,000		Durbin
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	State of Michigan, Lansing, MI	\$250,000		Levin, Stabenow
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	State of West Virginia	\$5,000,000		Rockefeller
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Town of East Haven, CT	\$800,000	DeLauro	Dodd
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Town of South Windsor, CT	\$800,000	Larson (CT)	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Town of Southwest Ranches, FL	\$800,000	Wasserman Schultz	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Uvalde County, TX	\$775,000	Rodriguez	
FEMA	State and Local Programs/ EOC	Wisconsin Division of Emergency Management, WI	\$800,000	Baldwin	
FEMA	State and Local Programs	National Domestic Preparedness Consortium:			
		National Energetic Materials Research and Testing Center, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, NM	\$10,125,000		Bingaman, Udall (NM)
		National Center for Biomedical Research and Training, Louisiana State University, LA	\$10,125,000		Landrieu
		National Emergency Response and Rescue Training Center, Texas A&M University, TX	\$10,125,000	Edwards (TX)	Hutchison, Cornyn
		National Exercise, Test, and Training Center, Nevada Test Site, NV	\$10,125,000		Reid
		National Disaster Preparedness Training Center, University of Hawaii, HI	\$5,000,000		Inouye
FEMA	State and Local Programs	Center for Counterterrorism and Cyber Crime, Norwich University, Northfield, VT	\$2,450,000		Leahy
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Borough of Hatboro, PA	\$74,000	Schwartz	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Campbell Police Department, CA	\$180,000	Horde	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	City of Arvada, CO	\$1,000,000	Perlmutter	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	City of Binghamton, NY	\$500,000	Hinchey	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	City of Covina, CA	\$375,000	Chu	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	City of Hammond, IN	\$750,000	Visclosky	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	City of Kannapolis, NC	\$900,000	Kissell	Burr, Hagan
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	City of Minneapolis, MN	\$1,000,000	Ellison	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	City of Paris, KY	\$70,000	Chandler	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	City of Salem, MA	\$1,000,000	Tierney	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	City of San Mateo-Department of Public Works, CA	\$1,000,000	Speier	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	City of Venus, TX	\$80,000	Edwards (TX)	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	County of Los Angeles, CA	\$520,000	Roybal-Allard	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	County of Sonoma, CA	\$1,000,000	Thompson (CA), Woolsey	

HOMELAND SECURITY—Continued
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				House	Senate
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Henry County, GA	\$960,000	Scott (GA)	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Hinds County Board of Supervisors, MS	\$500,000	Thompson (MS)	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Logan County Government, CO	\$140,000	Markey (CO)	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Lucas County Engineer, OH	\$400,000	Kaptur	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Memphis-Shelby County Airport Authority, TN	\$1,000,000	Cohen	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Monterey County Water Resources Agency, CA	\$1,000,000	Farr	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Ohio University, OH	\$460,000	Wison (OH)	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Orange County Government, FL	\$1,000,000	Grayson	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Town of Cary, NC	\$750,000	Miller (NC); Price (NC)	Hagan
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Town of East Hampton, NY	\$800,000	Bishop (NY)	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Town of Fairfield, CT	\$1,000,000	Himes	Dodd
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Town of Harrison, NY	\$600,000	Lowey	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Town of Livingston, NY	\$19,000	Murphy (NY)	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Town of Niagara, NY	\$250,000	Slaughter	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Town of Winchester, MA	\$1,000,000	Markey (MA)	
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Township of Hopewell, NJ	\$353,000	Holt	Lautenberg
FEMA	Predisaster Mitigation	Vienna Police Department, VA	\$175,000	Connolly (VA)	
S&T	Research, Development, Acquisition, and Operations	Distributed Environment for Critical Infrastructure Decisionmaking Exercises, Multiple Locations	\$2,250,000		Leahy, Bennett
S&T	Research, Development, Acquisition, and Operations	Homeland Security Research, Development, and Manufacturing Pilot, Long Island Forum for Technology, Bay Shore, NY	\$1,000,000	Israel	Schumer
S&T	Research, Development, Acquisition, and Operations	Remote Sensing for Situational Awareness and Decision Support, Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, NY	\$500,000	Maffei	
S&T	Research, Development, Acquisition, and Operations	Southeast Region Research Initiative, Multi-State	\$20,865,000		Cochran, Wicker
	General Provision	National Bio- and Agro-defense Facility, KS	\$40,000,000	Moore (KS)	Brownback
	General Provision	Mitigation, Findlay, OH			Voinovich
	General Provision	Reimbursement for Presidentially declared disasters, NJ			Lautenberg
	General Provision	Communication Towers, MS			Cochran

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY					
TITLE I—DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS					
Departmental Operations					
Office of the Secretary and Executive Management:					
Immediate Office of the Secretary	5,061	5,427	5,427	+366
Immediate Office of the Deputy Secretary	1,810	1,974	1,974	+164
Office of the Chief of Staff	2,595	3,658	3,158	+563	—500
Office of Counternarcotics Enforcement	3,612	3,872	3,700	+88	—172
Executive Secretary	7,800	8,967	8,717	+917	—250
Office of Policy	91,564	49,807	46,000	—5,564	—3,807
Office of Public Affairs	5,991	7,025	6,200	+209	—825
Office of Legislative Affairs	6,797	7,200	7,150	+353	—50
Office of Intergovernmental Affairs	2,800	4,207	3,519	+719	—688
Office of General Counsel	24,028	24,363	24,363	+335
Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties	21,104	24,559	24,000	+2,896	—559
Citizenship and Immigration Services Ombudsman	6,685	6,864	6,800	+115	—64
Privacy Officer	7,971	9,118	9,118	+1,147
Subtotal, Office of the Secretary and Executive Management	147,818	157,041	150,126	+2,308	—6,915
National Special Security Event State and Local Reimbursement Fund		20,000			—20,000
Office of the Under Secretary for Management:					
Immediate Office of the Under Secretary for Management	2,864	2,770	2,770	—94
Office of Security	90,193	72,864	72,864	—17,329
Office of the Chief Procurement Officer	68,538	75,527	75,527	+6,989
Office of the Chief Human Capital Officer	42,604	42,130	42,130	—474
Office of the Chief Administrative Officer:					
Salaries and expenses	44,491	43,942	43,942	—549
Nebraska Avenue Complex (NAC)	5,500	5,500	5,000	—500	—500
Subtotal, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer	49,991	49,442	48,942	—1,049	—500
Total, Office of the Under Secretary for Management	254,190	242,733	242,233	—1,957	—500
DHS Consolidated Headquarters Project:					
Mission support leases consolidation		75,000			—75,000
St. Elizabeths		287,800			—287,800
Subtotal, DHS Consolidated Headquarters Project		362,800			—362,800
Office of the Chief Financial Officer	60,530	65,552	64,480	+3,950	—1,072
Office of the Chief Information Officer:					
Salaries and expenses	86,912	82,727	82,727	—4,185
Information technology services	51,417	56,079	53,079	+1,662	—3,000
Infrastructure and Security activities	152,403	185,644	181,644	+29,241	—4,000
National security systems	47,661	74,009	57,509	+10,248	—16,100
Subtotal, Office of the Chief Information Officer	338,393	398,459	375,359	+36,966	—23,100
Analysis and Operations	335,030	347,930	340,000	+4,970	—7,930
Total, Departmental Operations	1,135,961	1,594,515	1,172,198	+36,237	—422,317
Office of the Federal Coordinator for Gulf Coast Rebuilding	2,000			—2,000
Office of Inspector General					
Office of Inspector General	113,874	129,806	115,806	+1,932	—(4,000)
Transfer from Disaster Relief	(16,000)		(16,000)		(+16,000)
Transfer from Disaster Relief (Emergency)	(5,000)			(—5,000)
Subtotal, Office of Inspector General (including transfers)	134,874	129,806	131,806	—3,068	+2,000
Appropriations	(113,874)	(129,806)	(113,806)	(+1,932)	(—14,000)
by transfer	(21,000)		(16,000)	(—5,000)	(+16,000)
Total, Title I, Departmental Management and Operations (including transfers)	1,272,835	1,724,321	1,304,004	+31,169	—420,317
Appropriations	(1,251,835)	(1,724,321)	(1,288,004)	(+36,169)	(—436,317)
by transfer	(21,000)		(16,000)	(—5,000)	(+16,000)
TITLE II—SECURITY, ENFORCEMENT, AND INVESTIGATIONS					
U.S. Customs and Border Protection					
Salaries and expenses:					
Headquarters, Management, and Administration:					
Management and administration, border security inspections and trade facilitation	520,575	520,182	519,182	—1,393	—1,000
Management and administration, border security and control between ports of entry	495,425	493,242	492,242	—3,183	—1,000
Rent	402,263	400,573	400,573	—1,690
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–230)	10,000			—10,000
Subtotal, Headquarters, Management, and Administration	1,428,263	1,413,997	1,411,997	—16,266	—2,000
Border security inspections and trade facilitation:					
Inspections, trade, and travel facilitation at ports of entry	2,262,235	2,509,157	2,499,572	+237,337	—9,585
Harbor maintenance fee collection (trust fund)	3,276	3,274	3,274	+48
International cargo screening	167,000	83,438	103,438	—58,562	+20,000
Other international programs	11,181	11,247	11,247	+66
Customs-Trade Partnership Against Terrorism (C-TPAT)	67,612	50,034	50,000	—12,612	—34
Trusted Traveler programs	11,274	10,865	11,500	+225	+635
Inspection and detection technology investments	153,563	155,093	158,100	+4,537	+3,007
Automated targeting systems	32,560	32,482	35,500	+2,940	+3,018
National Targeting Center	26,355	36,327	45,785	+19,430	+9,458
Training	24,778	20,808	20,800	—3,978	—8
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–230)	68,000			—68,000
Subtotal, Border security inspections and trade facilitation	2,817,784	2,912,725	2,939,216	+121,432	+26,491
Border security and control between ports of entry:					
Border security and control	3,535,286	3,545,833	3,540,833	+5,547	—6,000
Training	51,751	36,279	36,279	—15,472
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–230)	175,900			—175,900
Subtotal, Border security and control between ports of entry	3,762,937	3,583,112	3,577,112	—185,825	—6,000
Air and Marine Operations	309,629	298,152	311,052	+1,423	+12,900
Subtotal, Salaries and expenses	8,318,613	8,207,986	8,239,377	—79,236	+31,391
Appropriations	(8,061,487)	(8,204,712)	(8,236,103)	(+174,616)	(+31,391)

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued
 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
Emergency appropriations	(253,900)			(- 253,900)	
Harbor maintenance trust fund	(3,226)	(3,274)	(3,274)	(+ 48)	
Automation modernization:					
Automated commercial environment/International Trade Data System (ITDS)	227,960	153,090	153,090	- 74,870	
Current operations protection and processing support (COPPS)	194,485	194,485	194,485		
Subtotal, Automation modernization	422,445	347,575	347,575	- 74,870	
Border security fencing, infrastructure, and technology (BSFIT):					
Development and deployment	508,000	335,643	335,643	- 172,357	
Operations and maintenance	200,000	169,357	169,357	- 30,643	
Program management	92,000	69,173	69,173	- 22,827	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-230)	14,000			- 14,000	
Subtotal, BSFIT	814,000	574,173	574,173	- 239,827	
Air and Marine Interdiction, Operations, Maintenance, and Procurement:					
Operations and maintenance	374,217	371,642	371,642	- 2,575	
Procurement	145,609	131,609	140,109	- 5,500	+ 8,500
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-230)	32,000			- 32,000	
Air and marine interdiction, operations, maintenance, and procurement	551,826	503,251	511,751	- 40,075	+ 8,500
Construction and facilities management:					
Facility construction and sustainment	282,557	239,357	246,357	- 36,200	+ 7,000
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-230)	6,000			- 6,000	
Program oversight and management	37,013	36,383	36,383	- 630	
Rescission/cancellation		- 99,772			+ 99,772
Subtotal, Construction and facilities management	325,570	175,968	282,740	- 42,830	+ 106,772
Appropriations	(319,570)	(275,740)	(282,740)	(- 36,830)	(+ 7,000)
Emergency appropriations	(6,000)			(- 6,000)	
Rescission/cancellation		(- 99,772)			(+ 99,772)
Total, Direct appropriations for U.S. Customs and Border Protection	10,432,454	9,808,953	9,955,616	- 476,838	+ 146,653
Fee accounts:					
Immigration inspection user fee	(584,000)	(525,443)	(525,443)	(- 58,557)	
Immigration enforcement fines	(5,000)	(1,037)	(1,037)	(- 3,963)	
Land border inspection fee	(30,000)	(28,598)	(28,598)	(- 1,402)	
COBRA passenger inspection fee	(293,000)	(390,974)	(390,974)	(- 2,028)	
APHIS inspection fee	(370,000)	(318,472)	(318,472)	(- 1,528)	
Global entry user fee		(2,500)	(2,500)	(+ 2,500)	
Puerto Rico trust fund	(92,000)	(89,980)	(89,980)	(- 2,020)	
Small airport user fee	(8,000)	(8,164)	(8,164)	(+ 164)	
Subtotal, fee accounts	(1,432,000)	(1,365,168)	(1,365,168)	(- 66,832)	
Total, U.S. Customs and Border Protection	(11,864,454)	(11,174,121)	(11,320,784)	(- 343,670)	(+ 146,653)
Appropriations	(10,126,554)	(9,908,725)	(9,955,616)	(- 170,538)	(+ 46,891)
Emergency appropriations	(305,900)			(- 305,900)	
Rescission/cancellation		(- 99,772)			(+ 99,772)
Fee accounts	(1,432,000)	(1,365,168)	(1,365,168)	(- 66,832)	
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement					
Salaries and expenses:					
Headquarters management and administration (non-detention and removal operations):					
Personnel compensation and benefits, services and other costs	278,073	300,371	274,360	- 4,713	- 26,011
Headquarters managed IT investment	233,264	209,363	209,363	- 23,901	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-230)	80,000			- 80,000	
Subtotal, Headquarters management and administration	591,337	509,734	483,723	- 108,614	- 26,011
Legal proceedings	221,666	221,666	221,666		
Investigations:					
Domestic	1,649,551	1,727,038	1,732,538	+ 82,987	+ 5,500
International investigations:					
International operations	112,872	113,689	113,689	+ 817	
Visa security program	30,686	30,686	37,986	+ 7,300	+ 7,300
Subtotal, International investigations	143,558	144,375	151,675	+ 8,117	+ 7,300
Subtotal, Investigations	1,793,109	1,871,413	1,884,213	+ 91,104	+ 12,800
Intelligence	69,842	71,107	76,107	+ 6,265	+ 5,000
Detention and removal operations:					
Custody operations	1,771,168	1,903,764	1,791,168	+ 20,000	- 112,596
Fugitive operations	229,682	168,449	229,682		+ 61,233
Criminal alien program	192,539	179,317	192,539		+ 13,222
Alternatives to detention	69,913	72,075	75,000	+ 5,087	+ 2,925
Transportation and removal program	281,878	294,632	294,632	+ 12,754	
Subtotal, Detention and removal operations	2,545,180	2,618,237	2,583,021	+ 37,841	- 35,216
Identification and removal of criminal aliens (Secure Communities)	200,000	146,943	259,825	+ 59,825	+ 112,882
Subtotal, Salaries and expenses	5,422,134	5,439,100	5,508,555	+ 86,421	+ 69,455
Automation modernization	90,000	84,700	84,700	- 5,300	
Construction	4,818			- 4,818	
Total, Direct appropriations for U.S. Immigration Customs Enforcement	5,516,952	5,523,800	5,593,255	+ 76,303	+ 69,455
Fee accounts:					
Immigration inspection user fee	(109,800)	(116,387)	(116,387)	(+ 6,587)	
Breached bond/detention fund	(75,000)	(75,000)	(75,000)		
Student exchange and visitor fee	(120,000)	(120,000)	(120,000)		
Subtotal, fee accounts	(304,800)	(311,387)	(311,387)	(+ 6,587)	
Total, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement	(5,821,752)	(5,835,187)	(5,904,642)	(+ 82,890)	(+ 69,455)
Appropriations	(5,436,952)	(5,523,800)	(5,593,255)	(+ 156,303)	(+ 66,455)
Emergency appropriations	(80,000)			(- 80,000)	
Fee accounts	(304,800)	(311,387)	(311,387)	(+ 6,587)	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
Transportation Security Administration					
Aviation security:					
Screening operations:					
Screener workforce:					
Privatized screening	149,643	142,678	142,678	-6,965	
Screener personnel, compensation, and benefits	2,758,575	2,997,654	2,953,971	+195,356	-43,693
Subtotal, Screener workforce	2,908,218	3,140,342	3,096,649	+188,431	-43,693
Screener training and other	204,713	264,643	263,000	+58,287	-1,643
Checkpoint support	128,739	360,026	360,026	+231,287	
EDS/ETD Systems:					
EDS procurement and installation	778,300	373,832	320,000	-458,300	-53,832
Screening technology maintenance and utilities	315,625	332,125	323,329	+6,700	-8,800
Operation integration	21,481			-21,481	
Subtotal, EDS/ETD Systems	1,115,406	705,957	643,329	-473,081	-62,632
Subtotal, Screening operations	4,358,076	4,470,968	4,363,000	+4,924	-107,968
Aviation security direction and enforcement:					
Aviation regulation and other enforcement	254,064	368,363	368,363	+114,299	
Airport management and support	453,924	577,315	574,926	+121,002	-2,389
FFDO and flight crew training	25,127	25,694	25,694	+567	
Air cargo	122,849	117,534	120,054	-2,795	+2,500
Subtotal, Aviation security direction and enforcement	855,964	1,088,926	1,069,037	+233,073	+111
Aviation security capital fund (mandatory)	(250,000)	(250,000)	(250,000)		
Total, Aviation security (gross)	5,714,040	5,559,894	5,452,037	+237,997	-107,857
Offsetting fee collections (non-mandatory)	-2,100,000	-2,100,000	-2,100,000		
Total, Aviation security (net)	3,114,040	3,459,894	3,352,037	+237,997	-107,857
Surface transportation security:					
Staffing and operations	42,293	39,947	39,947	-2,346	
Surface transportation security inspectors and canines	68,223	97,611	97,611	+29,388	
Subtotal, Surface transportation security	110,516	137,558	137,558	+27,042	
Transportation Threat Assessment and Credentialing:					
Secure Flight	84,363	84,637	84,637	+274	
Crew and other vetting programs	87,636	89,087	74,487	-13,149	-14,600
TWO fees	(9,000)	(9,000)	(9,200)	(-200)	
Hazardous materials fees	(15,000)	(12,000)	(12,000)	(-3,000)	
Alien Flight School fees (by transfer from DOJ)	(4,000)	(4,000)	(4,000)		
Certified cargo screening program	(5,200)	(5,200)	(5,200)		
Large aircraft security program	(1,600)	(1,200)	(1,200)	(-400)	
Secure identification display area checks	(10,000)	(8,000)	(8,000)	(-2,000)	
Other security threat assessments	(100)	(100)	(100)		
General aviation at DCA	(100)	(100)	(100)		
Indirect air cargo	(2,800)	(1,400)	(1,400)	(-1,200)	
Sensitive security information (SSI) fees	(20)	(20)	(20)		
Subtotal, Transportation Threat Assessment and Credentialing (Gross)	(219,619)	(214,944)	(200,344)	(-19,275)	(-14,600)
Fee funded programs	(47,620)	(41,220)	(41,220)	(-6,400)	
Subtotal, Transportation Threat Assessment and Credentialing (net)	171,999	173,724	159,124	-12,875	-14,600
Transportation security support:					
Headquarters administration	248,929	271,399	267,866	+18,937	-3,533
Information technology	498,310	480,435	479,284	-19,026	-1,151
Human capital services	276,338	262,747	254,839	+28,501	-7,908
Intelligence	28,203	37,788	37,788	+9,585	
Subtotal, Transportation security support	1,001,780	1,052,369	1,039,777	+37,997	-12,592
Federal Air Marshals:					
Management and administration	762,569	822,900	820,200	+57,631	-2,700
Travel and training	97,542	127,115	124,815	+27,273	-2,300
Subtotal, Federal Air Marshals	860,111	950,015	945,015	+84,904	-5,000
Total, Transportation Security Administration (gross)	7,656,066	8,164,780	8,024,731	+368,665	-140,049
Offsetting fee collections	(-2,100,000)	(-2,100,000)	(-2,100,000)		
Aviation security capital fund	(250,000)	(250,000)	(250,000)		
Fee accounts	(47,620)	(41,220)	(41,220)	(-6,400)	
Total, Transportation Security Administration (net)	5,258,446	5,773,560	5,633,511	+375,065	-140,049
Appropriations	(5,258,446)	(5,773,560)	(5,633,511)	(+375,065)	(-140,049)
Coast Guard					
Operating expenses:					
Military pay and allowances	3,254,512	3,357,762	3,378,480	+123,968	+20,718
Civilian pay and benefits	699,794	757,255	757,303	+57,509	+48
Training and recruiting	206,178	203,869	202,093	-4,085	-1,775
Operating funds and unit level maintenance	1,152,950	1,106,271	1,112,743	-40,207	+6,472
Centrally managed accounts	334,275	345,831	346,787	+12,512	+955
Intermediate and depot level maintenance	916,179	879,962	887,777	-28,402	+7,815
Marine safety and response personnel	50,000		12,790	+12,790	+12,790
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)				-50,000	
Defense function	(581,503)	(340,000)	(594,000)	(+12,497)	(+254,000)
Overseas contingency operations (defense function)	241,503			-241,503	
Emergency appropriations (overseas contingency operations) (defense function)			254,000	+254,000	+254,000
Subtotal, Operating expenses	6,855,391	6,650,950	6,951,973	+96,582	+301,023
Appropriations	(6,563,888)	(6,650,950)	(6,657,973)	(+134,063)	(+47,023)
Emergency appropriations	(50,000)		(254,000)	(-204,000)	(+254,000)
Overseas contingency operations	(241,503)			(-241,503)	
Defense function	(581,503)	(340,000)	(594,000)	(+12,497)	(+254,000)
Environmental compliance and restoration	13,198	13,329	13,329		
Reserve training	133,632	135,675	135,675	+2,043	
Acquisition, construction, and improvements:					
Vessels and critical infrastructure:					
Response boat medium	121,000	42,000	52,000	-69,000	+10,000
140 foot icebreaker fleet refurbishment			21,200	+21,200	+21,200

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
Subtotal, Vessels and critical infrastructure	121,000	42,000	73,200	-47,800	+31,200
Other equipment:					
National distress and response system modernization (Rescue 21)	117,000	36,000	36,000	-81,000	
High frequency recapitalization	2,500			-2,500	
Interagency operations centers	10,000			-10,000	
Subtotal, Other equipment	129,500	36,000	36,000	-93,500	
Personnel and related support:					
Core acquisition costs	500	510	510	+10	
Direct personnel cost	104,700	107,051	107,051	+2,351	
Subtotal, Personnel and related support	105,200	107,561	107,561	+2,361	
Integrated deepwater systems:					
Aircraft:					
Maritime Patrol Aircraft	138,500	40,000	40,000	-98,500	
HH-60 conversions	45,900	32,000	32,000	-13,900	
HC-130H conversions/sustainment	45,300	25,000	25,000	-20,300	
HH-65 conversion project	38,000			-38,000	
HC-130J fleet introduction	1,300	4,000	4,000	+2,700	
Unmanned aircraft systems			2,000	+2,000	+2,000
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	15,500			-15,500	
Subtotal, Aircraft	284,500	101,000	103,000	-181,500	+2,000
Surface ships:					
National Security Cutter	385,480	538,002	615,002	+225,522	+77,000
Offshore Patrol Cutter	9,800	45,000	45,000	+35,200	
Fast Response Cutter	243,000	240,000	240,000	-3,000	
IDS small boats	3,000	3,000	3,000		
Patrol boat sustainment	23,000			-23,000	
Medium endurance cutter sustainment	31,100	30,000	30,000	-1,100	
Polar icebreaker refurbishment	27,300			-27,300	
High endurance cutter sustainment	4,000			-4,000	
Subtotal, Surface ships	730,680	856,002	933,002	+202,322	+77,000
Technology obsolescence prevention	1,900	1,000	1,000	-900	
CASR	35,000	30,500	30,500	-4,500	
Logistics	37,700	50,000	50,000	+12,300	
Systems engineering and integration	35,000	29,000	29,000	-6,000	
Government program management	45,000	45,000	45,000		
Subtotal, Integrated deepwater systems	1,169,780	1,112,502	1,191,502	+21,722	+79,000
Shore facilities and aids to navigation	27,100	69,200	108,350	+81,250	+39,150
Military Housing		13,965	2,000	+2,000	-11,965
Subtotal, Acquisition, construction, and improvements	1,552,580	1,381,228	1,518,613	-33,967	+137,385
Emergency appropriations	(15,500)			(-15,500)	
Alteration of bridges	4,000		4,000		+4,000
Subtotal	4,000		4,000		+4,000
Research, development, test, and evaluation	24,745	20,034	32,534	+7,789	+12,500
Health care fund contribution (permanent indefinite discretionary)	261,000	265,321	255,321	+4,321	
Subtotal, Coast Guard discretionary	8,844,546	8,466,537	8,321,445	+76,859	+454,908
Retired pay (mandatory)	1,361,245	1,400,700	1,400,700	+39,455	
Total, Coast Guard	10,205,791	9,867,237	10,322,145	+116,354	+454,908
Appropriations	(9,898,788)	(9,867,237)	(10,068,145)	(+169,357)	(+200,908)
Emergency appropriations	(65,500)		(254,000)	(+188,500)	(+254,000)
Overseas contingency operations	(241,503)			(-241,503)	
United States Secret Service					
Salaries and expenses:					
Protection:					
Protection of persons and facilities	755,521	792,042	773,042	+17,521	-19,000
Protective intelligence activities	67,824	68,914	68,914	+1,090	
National special security event fund	1,000	1,000	1,000		
Presidential candidate nominee protection		17,867	17,867	+17,867	
White House mail screening	22,415	25,315	25,315	+2,900	
Subtotal, Protection	846,760	905,138	886,138	+39,378	-19,000
Investigations:					
Domestic field operations	260,892	257,412	261,412	+520	+4,000
International field office administration, operations and training	30,705	31,171	31,171	+466	
Electronic crimes special agent program and electronic crimes task forces	56,541	57,158	61,158	+4,617	+4,000
Support for missing and exploited children	8,366	8,366	8,366		
Subtotal, Investigations	356,504	354,107	362,107	+5,603	+8,000
Headquarters, management and administration	221,045	253,176	201,216	-19,829	-51,960
Information integration and technology transformation			69,960	+69,960	+69,960
Rowley training center	54,360	55,221	55,221	+861	
Subtotal, Salaries and expenses	1,478,669	1,567,642	1,574,642	+95,973	+7,000
Acquisition, construction, improvements, and related expenses	3,975	3,975	3,975		
Total, United States Secret Service	1,482,644	1,571,617	1,578,617	+95,973	+7,000
Total, title II, Security, Enforcement, and Investigations	32,896,287	32,545,167	33,083,144	+186,857	+537,977
Appropriations	(32,203,384)	(32,644,939)	(32,829,144)	(+625,750)	(+184,205)
Emergency appropriations	(451,400)		(254,000)	(-197,400)	(+254,000)
Overseas contingency operations	(241,503)			(-241,503)	
Rescissions		(-99,772)			(+99,772)
(Fee Accounts)	(1,784,420)	(1,717,775)	(1,717,775)	(-66,645)	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
TITLE III—PROTECTION, PREPAREDNESS, RESPONSE, AND RECOVERY					
National Protection and Programs Directorate					
Management and administration:					
Administrative activities	34,682	36,347	35,597	+ 915	—750
Risk management and analysis	9,895	9,790	9,790	— 105	
Total, Management and administration	44,577	46,137	45,387	+ 810	—750
Infrastructure Protection and Information Security:					
Infrastructure protection:					
Identification and analysis	90,610	82,837	88,595	— 2,015	+ 5,758
Coordination and information sharing	59,582	52,515	48,715	—10,867	— 3,800
Mitigation programs	197,111	198,426	198,426	+ 1,315	
Subtotal, Infrastructure protection	347,303	333,778	335,736	—11,567	+ 1,958
National Cyber Security Division:					
U.S. Computer Emergency Response Team (US-CERT)	323,629	314,989		—323,629	—314,989
Strategic initiatives	64,179	56,880		— 64,179	—56,880
Outreach and programs	9,346	6,875		— 9,346	— 6,875
Cyber security protection and response:					
US-CERT			80,406	+ 80,406	+ 80,406
Network security deployment			175,425	+ 175,425	+ 175,425
Federal network security			29,245	+ 29,245	+ 29,245
Subtotal, Cyber security protection and response			285,076	+ 285,076	+ 285,076
Cyber security standards, workforce development, and awareness			76,017	+ 76,017	+ 76,017
Cyber security coordination			7,500	+ 7,500	+ 7,500
Cyber security business operations			17,086	+ 17,086	+ 17,086
Subtotal, National Cyber Security Division	397,154	378,744	385,679	—11,475	+ 6,935
Office of Emergency Communications	45,060	44,524	45,339	+ 279	+ 815
National Security/Emergency Preparedness Telecommunications:					
Priority telecommunications services	56,773	56,283	57,413	+ 640	+ 1,130
Next generation networks	25,000	21,095	22,364	— 2,636	+ 1,269
Programs to study and enhance telecommunications	16,774	16,657	14,079	— 2,695	—2,578
Critical infrastructure protection programs	11,352	14,884	14,313	+ 2,961	— 571
Subtotal, National Security/Emergency Preparedness Telecommunications	109,899	108,919	108,169	— 1,730	— 750
Subtotal, Infrastructure Protection and Information Security	899,415	865,965	874,973	—24,493	+ 8,958
Federal Protective Service:					
Basic security	213,673	220,000	220,000	+ 6,327	
Building-specific security	426,327	420,000	420,000	— 6,327	
Reimbursable Security Fees (contract guard services)	475,000	475,000	475,000		
Subtotal, Federal Protective Service	1,115,000	1,115,000	1,115,000		
Offsetting collections	— 1,115,000	— 1,115,000	— 1,115,000		
U.S. Visitor and Immigrant Status Indicator Technology	373,762	334,613	339,263	—34,499	+ 4,650
Total, National Protection and Programs Directorate	1,317,755	1,246,715	1,259,573	— 58,182	+ 12,858
Appropriations	(2,432,755)	(2,361,715)	(2,374,573)	(— 58,182)	(+ 12,858)
Offsetting collections	(— 1,115,000)	(— 1,115,000)	(— 1,115,000)		
Office of Health Affairs					
BioWatch	89,513	173,505	113,505	+ 23,992	— 60,000
National biosurveillance integration system	15,000	7,000	11,250	— 1,750	+ 4,250
Rapidly deployable chemical detection system	2,600	2,400	3,900	+ 1,300	+ 1,500
Planning and coordination	3,726	2,276	2,276	— 1,450	
Salaries and expenses	30,411	27,553	27,553	— 3,358	— 500
Total, Office of Health Affairs	139,250	212,734	157,984	+ 18,734	— 54,750
Federal Emergency Management Agency					
Management and administration:					
Operating activities	758,155	867,947	719,247	— 38,908	—148,700
(Defense function)	(93,881)	(70,003)	(70,003)	(— 23,878)	
Urban search and rescue response system	37,500	28,000	38,000	+ 5,500	+ 10,000
Office of National Capital Region Coordination	6,995	7,049	7,049		
Transfer from Disaster relief	(105,600)		(145,600)	(+ 40,000)	(+ 145,600)
Subtotal, Management and administration (including transfers)	903,250	902,996	909,896	+ 6,646	+ 6,900
Appropriations	(797,650)	(902,996)	(764,296)	(— 33,354)	(— 138,700)
(Defense function)	(93,881)	(70,003)	(70,003)	(— 23,878)	
by transfer	(105,600)		(145,600)	(+ 40,000)	(+ 145,600)
Grants and Training:					
State and local programs:					
State Homeland Security Grant Program	890,000		880,000	— 10,000	+ 880,000
Operation Stonegarden	60,000		60,000		+ 60,000
Citizen Corps program	13,000		10,000	— 3,000	+ 10,000
Subtotal, State Homeland Security Grant Program	963,000		950,000	— 13,000	+ 950,000
Urban area security initiative	887,000		977,500	+ 90,500	+ 977,500
Nonprofit security grants	(19,000)		(19,000)		(+ 19,000)
Radiological and nuclear detection			(20,000)	(+ 20,000)	(+ 20,000)
National Special Security Events State and Local Reimbursements			(17,500)	(+ 17,500)	(+ 17,500)
Regional catastrophic preparedness grants	35,000		35,000		+ 35,000
Metropolitan Medical Response System	41,000		41,000		+ 41,000
Public transportation security assistance and railroad security assistance	300,000		350,000	+ 50,000	+ 350,000
AMIRAK	(20,000)		(25,000)	(+ 5,000)	(+ 25,000)
Over-the-road bus security assistance	12,000		(12,000)	(+ 12,000)	(+ 12,000)
Over-the-road bus security assistance			12,000		— 12,000
Port security grants	300,000		350,000	+ 50,000	+ 350,000
Buffer Zone Protection Program grants	50,000		35,000	— 15,000	+ 35,000
Drivers license security grants	50,000				— 50,000
Interoperable emergency communications grant program	50,000		35,000	— 15,000	+ 35,000
Emergency Operations Centers	60,000		50,000	— 10,000	+ 50,000
National Programs:					
National Domestic Preparedness Consortium	102,000		97,000	— 5,000	+ 97,000
Center for Domestic Preparedness	62,500		62,500		+ 62,500
Counterterrorism and Cyber Crime Center	1,700		2,450	+ 750	+ 2,450

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
National exercise program	40,000		38,000	-2,000	+38,000
Technical assistance	13,000		13,000		+13,000
Continuing training grants	29,000		30,000	+1,000	+30,000
Evaluations and assessments	16,000		14,000	-2,000	+14,000
Rural Domestic Preparedness Consortium	3,000			-3,000	
Subtotal, National Programs	267,200		256,950	-10,250	+256,950
State and Regional Preparedness Program:					
State Homeland Security Grant Program		1,050,000			-1,050,000
Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grants		35,000			-35,000
Assistance to Firefighters Grants		610,000			-610,000
Emergency Management Performance Grants		345,000			-345,000
Subtotal, State and Regional Preparedness Program		2,040,000			-2,040,000
Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) Preparedness Program:					
Urban Area Security Initiative		1,100,000			-1,100,000
Port Security Grants		300,000			-300,000
Rail/Public Transportation Security Grants		300,000			-300,000
Buffer Zone Protection Program		50,000			-50,000
Subtotal, MSA Preparedness Program		1,750,000			-1,750,000
Training, Measurement and Exercise Program:					
National Exercise Program		42,000			-42,000
Continuing and Emerging Training Grants		21,590			-21,590
Center for Domestic Preparedness		52,500			-52,500
National Domestic Preparedness Consortium		51,500			-51,500
Technical Assistance Program		15,000			-15,000
Evaluation and National Assessment Program		18,000			-18,000
Subtotal, Training, Measurement and Exercise Program		210,590			-210,590
Subtotal, State and Local Programs	3,015,200	4,000,590	3,080,450	+65,250	-920,140
Firefighter assistance grants:					
Fire grants	390,000		420,000	+30,000	+420,000
Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response (SAFER) Act grants	420,000		420,000		+420,000
Subtotal, Firefighter assistance grants	810,000		840,000	+30,000	+840,000
Emergency management performance grants	340,000		345,000	+5,000	+345,000
Subtotal, Grants and training	4,165,200	4,000,590	4,265,450	+100,250	+264,860
Radiological Emergency Preparedness Program	-265	-361	-361	-96	
United States Fire Administration	45,888	45,930	45,930	+342	
Disaster relief	1,600,000	1,950,000	1,950,000	+350,000	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	5,100,000			-5,100,000	
(transfer to Management and Administration)	(-105,600)		(-145,600)	(-40,000)	(-145,600)
(transfer to Inspector General)	(-16,000)		(-16,000)		(-16,000)
(transfer to Inspector General) (emergency)	(-5,000)			(+5,000)	
Disaster assistance direct loan program account:					
(Limitation on direct loans)	(25,000)	(25,000)	(25,000)		
Direct loan subsidy	295	295	295		
Flood map modernization fund	220,000			-220,000	
Flood hazard mapping and risk analysis		194,000	194,000	+194,000	
National flood insurance fund:					
Salaries and expenses	38,680	22,145	22,145	-16,535	
Flood plain management and mapping	107,320	146,855	146,855	+39,535	
Offsetting fee collections	-146,000	-169,000	-169,000	-23,000	
National predisaster mitigation fund	100,000	100,000	85,000	-15,000	-15,000
Emergency food and shelter	200,000	100,000	150,000	-50,000	+50,000
Total, Federal Emergency Management Agency (including transfers)	12,207,468	7,293,450	7,438,610	-4,768,858	+145,160
Appropriations	(7,128,468)	(7,293,450)	(7,454,610)	(-1,166,192)	(+161,150)
Emergency appropriations	(5,100,000)			(-5,100,000)	
By transfer	(105,600)		(145,600)	(-40,000)	(+145,600)
Transfer out	(-121,600)		(-161,600)	(-40,000)	(-161,600)
Transfer out (emergency)	(-5,000)			(+5,000)	
(Limitation on direct loans)	(25,000)	(25,000)	(25,000)		
Total, title III, Protection, Preparedness, Response and Recovery Directorate (including transfers)	13,664,473	8,752,899	8,856,167	-4,808,306	+103,268
Appropriations	(8,585,473)	(8,752,899)	(8,872,167)	(-1,287,426)	(+119,268)
Emergency appropriations	(5,100,000)			(-5,100,000)	
By transfer	(105,600)		(145,600)	(-40,000)	(+145,600)
Transfer out	(-121,600)		(-161,600)	(-40,000)	(-161,600)
Transfer out (emergency)	(-5,000)			(+5,000)	
(Limitation on direct loans)	(25,000)	(25,000)	(25,000)		
TITLE IV—RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, TRAINING, AND SERVICES					
United States Citizenship and Immigration Services					
Appropriations:					
Data center consolidation	11,000	23,400	7,193	-3,807	-16,207
Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlements		34,000			-34,000
E-Verify program	137,000	103,400	103,400	-33,600	
Immigrant integration programs	11,000	18,000	11,000		-7,000
REAL ID Act implementation	10,000				-10,000
Asylum and refugee services	50,000	207,000	176,400	+126,400	-30,600
Military naturalization services	5,000			-5,000	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	10,600			-10,600	
Subtotal	234,600	385,800	297,993	+63,393	-87,807
Adjudication services (fee account):					
District operations	(1,132,317)	(1,169,135)	(1,169,135)	(+36,818)	
Service center operations	(549,523)	(508,281)	(508,281)	(-41,342)	
Asylum, refugee and international operations		(62,630)	(62,630)	(+62,630)	
International operations	(64,587)			(-64,587)	
Records operations	(107,113)	(102,471)	(102,471)	(-4,642)	
Business transformation	(173,264)	(164,025)	(164,025)	(-9,239)	
Digitization program (display only, noneadd)	(29,000)			(-29,000)	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
Subtotal, Adjudication services	(2,026,904)	(2,006,542)	(2,006,542)	(-20,362)	
Information and customer services (fee account):					
Operating expenses:					
Information and customer service	(89,050)	(83,501)	(83,501)	(-5,549)	
Administration (fee account): Administration	(365,932)	(336,514)	(336,514)	(-29,418)	
Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlements (SAVE) (fee account)	(21,346)			(-21,346)	
Total, United States Citizenship and Immigration Services	(2,737,832)	(2,812,357)	(2,724,550)	(-13,282)	(-87,807)
Appropriations	(224,000)	(385,800)	(297,993)	(+73,993)	(-87,807)
Emergency appropriations	(10,630)			(-10,630)	
Total fees	(2,503,232)	(2,426,557)	(2,426,557)	(-76,675)	
(Immigration Examination Fees)	(2,451,884)	(2,375,479)	(2,375,479)	(-76,405)	
(Fraud prevention and detection fees)	(38,348)	(38,078)	(38,078)	(-270)	
(HIB Non-Immigrant Petitioner fees)	(13,000)	(13,000)	(13,000)		
Federal Law Enforcement Training Center:					
Salaries and expenses:					
Law enforcement training	238,047	209,919	204,500	-33,547	-5,419
Management and administration	30,000		30,000	+30,000	
Accreditation	1,309	1,419		-1,309	-1,419
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-230)	8,100			-8,100	
Subtotal, Salaries and expenses	247,455	241,338	234,500	-12,956	-6,838
Accreditation			1,419	+1,419	+1,419
Acquisitions, construction, improvements, and related expenses: direct appropriation	43,456	38,456	38,456	-5,000	
Total, Federal Law Enforcement Training Center	290,912	279,794	274,375	-16,537	-5,419
Appropriations	(282,812)	(279,794)	(274,375)	(-8,437)	(-5,419)
Emergency appropriations	(8,100)			(-8,100)	
Science and Technology					
Management and administration	143,200	151,959	145,959	+2,759	-6,000
Research, development, acquisition, and operations:					
Border and maritime security	44,181	39,936	39,936	-4,245	
Chemical and biological	206,800	200,863	200,800	-6,000	-63
Command, control, and interoperability	81,764	74,832	77,550	-4,214	+2,718
Explosives	120,809	120,809	120,800	-9	-9
Human factors	16,087	13,435	15,400	-687	+1,965
Infrastructure and geophysical	74,958	36,122	36,965	-17,993	+20,843
Innovation	44,000	44,000	44,000		
Laboratory facilities	150,188	122,000	122,000	-28,188	
Radiological and nuclear		109,000	109,000	+109,000	
Test and evaluations/standards	29,000	23,174	23,100	-5,900	-74
Transition	46,134	42,134	43,100	-3,034	+966
University programs	49,350	40,000	50,000	+650	+10,000
Subtotal, Research, development, acquisition, and operations	863,271	866,305	902,651	+39,380	+36,346
Total, Science and Technology	1,006,471	1,018,264	1,048,610	+42,139	+30,346
Domestic Nuclear Detection Office					
Management and administration	38,500	36,992	36,400	-2,100	-592
Research, development, and operations:					
Systems engineering and architecture	25,448	38,833	35,800	+10,352	-3,033
Systems development	100,000	69,033	59,000	-41,000	-10,033
Transformational research and development	109,537			-109,537	
Assessments	32,416	43,465	40,000	+7,584	-3,465
Operations support	38,436	33,955	33,900	-4,536	-55
National Technical Nuclear Forensics Center	19,700	22,542	22,542	+2,842	
Subtotal, Research, development, and operations	324,537	207,828	191,242	-133,295	-16,586
Systems acquisition:					
RPM/ASP program		8,000	14,000	+14,000	+6,000
Securing the Cities	20,000			-20,000	
HPRDS program		53,000	38,000	+38,000	-15,000
Subtotal, Systems acquisition	20,000	61,000	52,000	+32,000	-5,000
Total, Domestic Nuclear Detection Office	383,037	305,820	279,642	-103,395	-26,178
Total, Title IV, Research and Development, Training, and Services	1,915,020	1,989,578	1,900,620	-14,400	-89,058
Appropriations	(1,896,320)	(1,989,578)	(1,900,620)	(+4,300)	(-89,058)
Emergency appropriations	(18,700)			(-18,700)	
(Fee Accounts)	(2,503,232)	(2,426,557)	(2,426,557)	(-76,675)	
TITLE V—GENERAL PROVISIONS					
DHS consolidated headquarters project			270,800	+270,800	+270,800
Acquisition workforce enhancements		24,236	10,000	+10,000	-14,236
Science and Technology			40,000	+40,000	+40,000
Sec 101: BSFT (Public Law 111-230)	-100,000			+100,000	
Sec 573: Trucking Industry Security Grants	-5,572			+5,572	
Sec 574: Analysis and Operations	-2,358			+2,358	
Sec 575: Infrastructure protection and information security	-8,000			+8,000	
Sec 576: Science and Technology	-6,944			+6,944	
Sec 577: Domestic Nuclear Detection Office	-8,000			+8,000	
Sec 578: ISA research and development	-4,000			+4,000	
Sec 579: Coast Guard ACAI	-800			+800	
Sec 580: Counterterrorism Fund	-5,600			+5,600	
Sec 602(a): Coast Guard OE (Public Law 111-212)	-2,200			+2,200	
Sec 602(a): Office of Secretary and Executive Management (Public Law 111-212)	-1,800			+1,800	
Sec 602(a): Analysis & Operations (Public Law 111-212)	-489			+489	
Sec 602(b): Coast Guard, Alteration of bridges (Public Law 111-212)	-5,911			+5,911	
Sec 602(c): Federal Coordinator for Gulf Coast Rebuilding (Public Law 111-212)	-700			+700	
Sec 570: Lapsed balances			-58,066	-58,066	-58,066
Sec 571: Treasury forfeiture fund			-22,600	-22,600	-22,600
Sec 572: Departmental operations			-1,892	-1,892	-1,892
Sec 573: CBP Automation modernization			-10,000	-10,000	-10,000
Sec 574: CBP BSFT			-68,000	-68,000	-68,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
Sec. 575: CBP Construction			-99,772	-99,772	-99,772
Sec. 576: Violent crime reduction program			-4,912	-4,912	-4,912
Sec. 577: CBP S&E			-18,122	-18,122	-18,122
Sec. 578: TSA			-15,000	-15,000	-15,000
Sec. 579: NPPD, IPIS			-15,600	-15,600	-15,600
Sec. 580: FEMA National Pre-disaster Mitigation			-18,174	-18,174	-18,174
Sec. 581: Office for Domestic Preparedness			-10,569	-10,569	-10,569
Sec. 582: US-VISIT			-28,000	-28,000	-28,000
Sec. 583: USCIS REAL ID hub			-18,500	-18,500	-18,500
Sec. 584: S&T RDA&O			-62,900	-62,900	-62,900
Sec. 585: Domestic Nuclear Detection Office			-27,000	-27,000	-27,000
Sec. 586: Coast Guard OE (rescission of emergency funding)(Public Law 111-212)			-5,000	-5,000	-5,000
Sec. 587: USCIS (rescission of emergency funding) (Public Law 111-212)			-6,500	-6,500	-6,500
Sec. 588: Coast Guard ACAI (rescission of emergency funding)(Public Law 111-329)			-7,000	-7,000	-7,000
Sec. 589: TSA Aviation Security (rescission of emergency funding)(Public Law 111-212)			-18,345	-18,345	-18,345
Sec. 590: OSEM (rescission of emergency funding) (Public Law 109-148)			-197	-197	-197
Total, title V: General Provisions	-152,374	24,236	-195,349	-42,975	-219,585
Appropriations		(320,800)	(320,800)	(+ 320,800)	(+ 296,564)
Rescissions	(-152,374)		(-479,107)	(- 326,733)	(- 479,107)
Rescission of emergency funding			(- 37,042)	(- 37,042)	(- 37,042)
Grand total	49,596,241	45,036,301	44,948,586	-4,647,655	-87,715
Mandatory	(1,361,245)	(1,400,700)	(1,400,700)	(+ 39,455)	
General purpose discretionary	(45,234,956)	(43,535,601)	(43,547,886)	(- 4,587,110)	(- 87,715)
Appropriations	(42,575,767)	(43,735,373)	(43,810,035)	(+ 1,234,268)	(+ 74,552)
Emergency appropriations	(5,570,100)		(754,000)	(- 5,316,100)	(+ 254,000)
Overseas contingency operations	(241,503)			(- 241,503)	
Rescission/cancellation	(-152,374)	(- 99,772)	(- 479,107)	(- 326,733)	(- 379,335)
Rescission of emergency funding			(- 37,042)	(- 37,042)	(- 37,042)
Fee funded programs	(4,287,652)	(4,144,332)	(4,144,332)	(- 143,320)	
(Limitation on direct loans)	(25,000)	(25,000)	(25,000)		
(By transfer including emergencies)	(126,600)		(161,600)	(- 35,000)	(+ 161,600)
(Transfer out including emergencies)			(- 161,600)	(+ 35,000)	(- 161,600)

DIVISION G—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES

Following is an explanation of the effects of Division G, which makes appropriations for the Department of the Interior, the Environmental Protection Agency, the Forest Service, the Indian Health Service and related agencies for fiscal year 2011. As provided in Section 4 of the consolidated bill, this explanatory statement shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds and the implementation of this division as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.

This explanatory statement addresses only those agencies and accounts for which there is a need for greater explanation than provided in the Act. Funding levels for appropriations by account, program and activity, with comparisons to Fiscal Year 2010 levels and the Fiscal Year 2011 budget request, can be found in the table at the end of this division. Except as expressly provided otherwise, any reference to "this Act" or "at the end of this statement" shall be treated as referring only to the provisions of this division.

FIXED COSTS—The bill contains \$25,737,000 for fixed costs for the Department of the Interior, which is approximately 25 percent of the total amount the Department expects to incur. The increases for fixed costs are displayed by account in the table in the back of this division. Each bureau should apply the increase proportionately among its budget activities. The Department is directed to report to the Appropriations Committees within 30 days of enactment on the final distribution by budget activity.

CHALLENGE COST SHARE GRANTS—The bill includes \$9,000,000 for Challenge Cost Share Grants distributed to the Bureau of Land Management, the Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Park Service. This is approximately 50% of the funds provided last year and reflects concerns raised by the Inspector General's report. The Secretary is directed to implement all of the IG recommendations and the IG is asked to report to the Committees on Appropriations on the Department's implementation of those recommendations.

REPROGRAMMING GUIDELINES—The reprogramming guidelines included in the Joint Explanatory Statement of the Committee on Conference which accompanied Public Law 111-88 (Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2010) are continued for Fiscal Year 2011. Under the heading "General Guidelines for Reprogramming," the following is added: (e) New programs requested in the budget should not be initiated before enactment of the bill without notification to, and the approval of, the Committees on Appropriations. This restriction applies to all such actions regardless of whether a formal reprogramming of funds is required to begin the program.

TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT MANAGEMENT OF LANDS AND RESOURCES

The bill provides \$954,633,000 for Management of Lands and Resources. Bill language included in the Title I General Provisions provides for certain oil and gas inspection fees; \$20,000,000 will be collected through this authority, which will help the Bureau increase inspection and compliance activities in the field and implement recommendations of recent GAO reviews.

Land Resources—The bill provides an increase of \$1,250,000 for climate change im-

pacts instead of the requested \$2,500,000. An increase of \$1,000,000 above the request is provided for air quality data mapping and a general program increase of \$350,000 for soil, water, and air management is also provided.

Realty and Ownership Management—The bill provides an increase of \$975,000 for new wilderness areas, which is \$325,000 less than the request, and an increase of \$2,250,000 instead of \$3,000,000 as requested for the renewable energy initiative. The bill also continues the Utah GIS program with \$300,000 in the Cadastral Survey subactivity.

Wild Horse and Burro Management—The Department's increased emphasis on this program is encouraging but the Bureau has an over-reliance on extensive gathering of excess wild horses and a focus on permanent long-term holding. Instead, the Bureau should: (1) gather and release contracepted mares and do so only at the time of year when the vaccine is most efficacious; (2) increase the development of improved contraception methods with partners and the USGS; (3) enhance demographic sampling and modeling of wild horse populations; (4) hire an animal welfare specialist; and (5) improve range condition evaluation and assessment. All Federal agencies that need and use horses to fulfill their responsibilities are encouraged to first seek to acquire a wild horse from BLM and, prior to seeking another supplier for usable horses, document why the BLM cannot meet the needs of the inquiring Federal agency. Rather than buy land for an expensive horse preserve as was requested, the bill provides language which will facilitate long-term contracts, at less public expense, which would be advantageous to both the captured wild horses and the ranchers maintaining them. The Bureau should retain the goal of managing wild horses and burros in the wild rather than permanently retaining animals in long-term holding. The Secretary is encouraged to enter into cooperative agreements pursuant to Public Law 92-195 (popularly known as the "Wild Free-Roaming Horses and Burros Act"; 16 U.S.C. 1336) with nonprofit corporations and others to care for wild horses and burros. Such cooperative agreements may allow for payment of an annual stipend for each such wild free-roaming horse or burro in the care of such entity.

The Committees on Appropriations expect to be consulted on a regular basis as the Departments of the Interior and Agriculture develop policies related to the permitting of renewable energy projects. The two Secretaries are directed to prepare interim guidelines for siting renewable energy projects, as directed in the report to the fiscal year 2010 appropriation, within 60 days of enactment of this Act.

The Committees request that the Secretary of the Interior thoroughly consider methods proposed by the California Desert and Solar Working Group to improve project screening, eliminate speculative projects, and improve environmental reviews. The Secretary is urged to reject solar development applications from entities that do not complete necessary field studies, plans for water, and plans to connect to the grid within one year of filing an application. The Secretary is directed to complete a report evaluating the possible solar energy study areas in the West Mojave that respect designated off-road vehicle routes and provide the report to the Committees on Appropriations within 60 days of enactment of this Act.

The increased allocation for the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation partnership should be used for various youth-oriented

projects and activities. The Bureau should retain its current level of support for the National Conservation Training Center; funds shall be available to NCTC within 60 days of enactment.

CONSTRUCTION

The bill provides \$4,066,000 for construction. In addition to the requested projects, \$250,000 is provided for City of Mesquite, NV multi-purpose trail construction and a total of \$424,000 is provided for architectural and engineering services.

LAND ACQUISITION

The bill provides \$36,550,000 for land acquisition. The bill does not include the requested funds for the National Wild Horse Preserve. The Bureau is directed to use the increase above the request in acquisition management to investigate and pursue options for the care of wild horses and burros and consult with the Appropriations Committees before agreeing to any final course of action. The funds provided by the bill are distributed as follows:

State	Project	Amount
AZ	Grand Canyon-Parashant National Monument	\$1,600,000
CA	Big Morongo Canyon Area of Critical Environmental Concern	1,650,000
CA	California Wilderness	1,800,000
CA	Carrizo Plain National Monument	2,200,000
CA	Santa Rosa and San Jacinto Mountains National Monument	1,000,000
CA	Trinity National Wild and Scenic River ..	1,800,000
CA	Upper Sacramento River Area of Critical Environmental Concern	2,500,000
CO	Canyons of the Ancients National Monument	2,500,000
ID	Snake River Rim Recreation Area/Oregon National Historic Trail	400,000
ID	Upper Snake/South Fork Snake River Area of Critical Environmental Concern/Special Recreation Management Area	2,500,000
MT	Chain-of-Lakes Recreation Management Area/Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail	1,000,000
MT	Upper Madison River Special Recreation Management Area	1,000,000
NM	Lesser Prairie Chicken Habitat Preservation Area of Critical Environmental Concern	750,000
OR	Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument ...	6,000,000
OR	Crooked National Wild and Scenic River	1,200,000
OR	Sandy River Area of Critical Environmental Concern/Oregon National Historic Trail	1,500,000
UT	Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument	700,000
WY	North Platte River Special Recreation Management Area	2,450,000
Subtotal, Line Item Projects		32,550,000
Acquisition Management		2,500,000
Inholdings, Emergencies, and Hardships		1,500,000
Total, BLM Land Acquisition		\$36,550,000

UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

The bill provides \$1,296,770,000 for Resource Management. Specific changes to the request and direction include:

Endangered Species—The bill provides \$189,526,000 for endangered species. Changes to the request include: (1) Candidate conservation: \$1,000,000 for sage grouse in western states; (2) Listing: an increase of \$1,000,000 to restore a proposed general program reduction; and (3) Recovery: \$1,500,000 for competitive endangered species grants for salmon to be administered by the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation; \$1,000,000 to continue the wolf livestock demonstration program; \$350,000 for Lahontan cutthroat trout; \$350,000 for Alaska sea urchin; \$3,000,000 for monitoring white nose syndrome in bats; \$4,000,000 to respond to rapidly declining bird populations, which is \$1,000,000 above the request, and of which \$2,000,000 is

for endangered birds in Hawaii; and \$3,000,000 for declining species, which is \$1,000,000 below the request. The Committees on Appropriations encourage the Landscape Conservation Cooperatives (LCCs) throughout the sage grouse's historic range to leverage funding with State and Tribal Wildlife grants to fill the critical science needs necessary for the goals in the State plans. The Committees also maintain support for Aplomado falcon and California condor recovery and encourage continued support for these successful recovery efforts.

Habitat Conservation.—The bill provides \$121,922,000 for habitat conservation. Changes to the request include: (1) Partners for fish and wildlife: \$350,000 for the Maine lakes milfoil project; \$350,000 for historic Nevada steelhead and salmon habitat; \$350,000 for the Nevada Biological Resources Research Center; \$350,000 for the Natural Resources Economic Enterprise program at Mississippi State University; and \$100,000 for the Resources First Foundation in Maine; (2) Coastal program: \$625,000 to restore a proposed general program reduction and; (3) National wetlands inventory: \$250,000 to restore a proposed program reduction. The Secretary is directed to designate a renewable energy coordination office and develop permitting policies focused on Endangered Species Act (ESA) compliance of renewable energy projects on private lands. The Secretary is encouraged to publish a rule under section 4(d) of the ESA during Fiscal Year 2011 to address this concern.

National Wildlife Refuge System.—The bill provides \$504,246,000. Changes to the request include: (1) Wildlife and habitat management: \$2,500,000 for challenge cost share partnerships and \$2,700,000 for general operations to restore a proposed program reduction; (2) Refuge visitor services: \$1,000,000 for challenge cost sharing partnerships and \$1,000,000 for the volunteers program to restore a proposed reduction; (3) Conservation planning: \$1,000,000 to restore a proposed reduction for refuge planning; and (4) Refuge maintenance: \$750,000 for the annual maintenance base program and a decrease of \$4,250,000 for deferred maintenance that was for the Midway Atoll project and has been moved to the line item construction account. FWS is urged to request funding for large construction projects in the construction account.

Migratory Birds, Law Enforcement and International Conservation.—The bill provides \$133,281,000. Changes to the request include the following: (1) Migratory bird management: \$1,000,000 for the joint venture program to restore a proposed program reduction; (2) Law enforcement operations: \$2,000,000 to restore a proposed program reduction; (3) International conservation: \$150,000 for the Caddo Lake RAMSAR Center and \$1,000,000 for the Wildlife Without Borders program to restore a proposed program reduction.

Fisheries.—The bill provides \$147,837,000. Changes to the request include the following: (1) National fish hatchery operations: \$500,000 for freshwater mussel recovery and \$500,000 to restore a proposed hatchery program reduction; and (2) Aquatic habitat and species conservation: \$1,800,000 for the West Virginia Fish and Wildlife Conservation Office; \$1,000,000 for Hawaii invasive species; \$1,500,000 for Lake Tahoe region invasive mussel prevention; and \$60,000 to restore a proposed program reduction. Funding for sea otter programs are maintained at the fiscal year 2010 level. FWS is directed to continue managing snakehead fish and determining the cause of cancer in bullhead catfish in the

Potomac and South River watersheds. FWS is urged to conclude its California Bay-Delta Study by the National Academy of Sciences in 2011.

General Administration.—The bill provides \$153,179,000. Changes to the request include an increase of \$750,000 to restore a proposed program reduction and an additional increase of \$725,000 for operations and maintenance for the National Conservation Training Center.

General Guidance.—The Committees on Appropriations direct that when the Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture implement wildlife and habitat conservation programs, the departments have a clear roadmap that describes the: 1) roles of these initiatives and programs in delivering conservation; 2) methodologies to be used; 3) conservation goals and objectives; and 4) benchmarks of success, including using key species and specific outcomes. The fiscal year 2012 budget justifications for the Interior and Agriculture Departments should include this information.

Landscape Conservation Cooperatives (LCCs).—The Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture are encouraged to develop a national steering committee to guide implementation, methodologies and participation in the LCCs. The steering committee should include representatives of Federal, State and Tribal government agencies, non-government organizations, congressionally-chartered conservation foundations, local community planning organizations, and private citizens. The LCCs should have specific, outcome-based benchmarks that link to the National Fish and Wildlife Adaptation Strategy, which Interior is developing and the best available science to identify key species within landscapes to serve as indicators for conservation actions within particular habitats.

CONSTRUCTION

The bill provides \$35,676,000 for construction. From within this amount, the following is provided for line item construction projects:

State	Project Description	Funding Level
	National Wildlife Refuge Projects.	
AK	Kenai NWR-visitor facility	\$2,448,000
AR	Pond Creek NWR-maintenance shop.	1,030,000
CA	Don Edwards San Francisco Bay NWR—Salt Ponds restoration.	2,150,000
CA	Kern NWR-Poso Creek weir.	550,000
CA	San Luis NWR-water monitoring stations.	245,000
CNMI	Mariana Trench Marine National Monument-visitor center planning and design.	500,000
HI	James Campbell NWR-visitor center planning and design.	1,700,000
MI	Detroit River International Wildlife Refuge Youth Wildlife Conservation Center.	1,250,000
MS	Theodore Roosevelt NWR-Holt Collier Visitor and Interpretive Center.	1,000,000
NV	Nevada-Large game water catchments.	150,000
WA	Turnbull NWR—Lower Pine Lake Dam.	1,250,000
PAC	Midway Atoll NWR—seaplane hangar.	3,000,000
WV	Canaan Valley NW.—trails and suspension bridge.	750,000
WV	Ohio River Islands NWR—erosion control.	250,000
Mult	NWRS Visitor Facility Enhancements.	1,500,000
Mult	NWRS Green Energy Projects.	1,500,000
	National Fish Hatchery Projects:	

State	Project Description	Funding Level
AK	Matanuska-Susitna Borough-culvert and fish passage.	500,000
AZ	Alchesay NPH-water supply pipeline.	2,439,000
ME	Green Lake NPH-replace disinfection system.	1,300,000
MI	Jordan River NPH-photovoltaic energy strips.	348,000
Mult	NFHS Visitor Facility Enhancements.	400,000
Mult	NFHS Green Energy Projects.	400,000
Total Line Item Projects		\$24,660,000

LAND ACQUISITION

The bill provides \$101,925,000 for land acquisition to be distributed as shown in the following table.

State	Project	Amount
AK	Togiak National Wildlife Refuge.	\$670,000
AK	Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge.	285,000
AK	Izembek National Wildlife Refuge EIS.	500,000
AL	Cahaba River National Wildlife Refuge.	300,000
AR	Cache River National Wildlife Refuge.	3,000,000
CA	Grasslands Wildlife Management Area.	2,900,000
CA	Sacramento River National Wildlife Refuge.	750,000
CA	San Diego National Wildlife Refuge.	1,325,000
CA	San Joaquin River National Wildlife Refuge.	2,500,000
CA	Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge.	750,000
FL	St. Marks National Wildlife Refuge.	1,000,000
GA	Savannah National Wildlife Refuge.	1,375,000
HI	Hakalau Forest National Wildlife Refuge.	6,000,000
IA	Neal Smith National Wildlife Refuge.	750,000
IL	Cypress Creek National Wildlife Refuge.	500,000
IL/MO	Middle Mississippi National Wildlife Refuge.	500,000
LA	Red River National Wildlife Refuge.	1,000,000
LA	Upper Ouachita National Wildlife Refuge.	1,600,000
MA/NH/VT/CT	Silvio O. Conte National Wildlife Refuge.	6,000,000
MD	Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge.	2,500,000
MN	Minnesota Valley National Wildlife Refuge.	750,000
MN/IA	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge.	1,500,000
MN/WI/IA/IL	Upper Mississippi River National Wildlife & Fish Refuge.	2,500,000
MT	Red Rock Lakes National Wildlife Refuge.	2,000,000
MT	Rocky Mountain Front Conservation Area.	5,900,000
ND	North Dakota Wildlife Management Area.	1,000,000
ND/SD	Dakota Tallgrass Prairie Wildlife Management Area.	3,000,000
NH/ME	Umbagog National Wildlife Refuge.	3,000,000
NJ	Cape May National Wildlife Refuge.	1,500,000
NM	Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge.	1,000,000
OK	Ozark Plateau National Wildlife Refuge.	500,000
OH	Ottawa National Wildlife Refuge.	750,000
PA	Cherry Valley National Wildlife Refuge.	500,000
PA/NY/NJ/CT	Highlands Conservation Area.	5,000,000
SC	Cape Romain National Wildlife Refuge.	380,000
SC	Waccamaw National Wildlife Refuge.	2,000,000
TN	Chickasaw National Wildlife Refuge.	350,000
TX	Balcones Canyonlands National Wildlife Refuge.	2,000,000
TX	Laguna Atascosa National Wildlife Refuge.	1,000,000

State	Project	Amount
TX	Lower Rio Grande Valley National Wildlife Refuge.	2,300,000
TX	San Bernard National Wildlife Refuge.	4,000,000
TX	Trinity River National Wildlife Refuge.	1,000,000
UT	Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge.	800,000
VA	Rappahannock River Valley National Wildlife Refuge.	1,000,000
WA	Nisqually National Wildlife Refuge.	1,500,000
WA	Turnbull National Wildlife Refuge.	990,000
WA	Willapa National Wildlife Refuge.	1,000,000
WV	Canaan Valley National Wildlife Refuge.	500,000
Subtotal, Line Item Projects.		\$81,925,000
	Acquisition Management	11,000,000
	User pay cost share	2,000,000
	Exchanges	2,000,000
	Inholdings, Emergencies, and Hardships.	5,000,000
Total, FWS Acquisition ..		\$101,925,000

The Sharkey Restoration Research Site, Delta NF.—The Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture are directed to study and report back to the Committees on Appropriations within 180 days of enactment, on potential exchange proposals for approximately 1,700 acres currently managed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in Sharkey County, Mississippi and generally depicted on a map titled “The Sharkey Restoration Research Site, Delta NF” for lands of equal or approximate value managed by the U.S. Forest Service in Mississippi.

COOPERATIVE ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSERVATION FUND

The Committee encourages the Service to continue its efforts to clarify its policy regarding the Section 6 Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund to ensure that local funds and fees may be used to fulfill the requirement for non-federal matching funds for HCP land acquisition grants.

MULTINATIONAL SPECIES CONSERVATION FUND

The bill provides \$12,000,000 for the Multinational Species Conservation Fund. An increase of \$1,000,000 above the request shall be used for tiger conservation.

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE OPERATION OF THE NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM

The bill provides \$2,298,577,000 for the Operation of the National Park System. From within this amount, the bill makes changes to the budget request as follows: \$2,000,000 for Ocean and Coastal Resource Stewardship; \$500,000 for Interpretive Media Transformation; \$1,000,000 for the Expand Land Use Management Program; \$1,000,000 for Challenge Cost Share Grants; \$3,000,000 to consolidate workforce management offices; and \$16,011,000 for Centralized Information Technology Costs. For the program to consolidate workforce management offices, the Appropriations Committees will consider an additional increase next year when progress can be demonstrated. Additional funding above the request for continued financial support of the concerts staged on the Capitol grounds and for operating costs of Ford's Theater have been provided in the National Recreation and Preservation account.

Cuyahoga Valley National Park.—The Service is encouraged to work with surrounding communities by maximizing its authority to support the local road system and to establish maintenance priorities.

Civil War Sesquicentennial.—The Service is urged to begin preparations with stake-

holders and to ensure that any observance of the sesquicentennial of the Civil War is inclusive and appropriately recognizes the experiences and points of view of all people affected by the Civil War.

Petersen House.—The Service is expected to complete the project in fiscal year 2011.

NPS/Flight 93 Memorial.—The Service is strongly encouraged to devote the resources necessary to properly archive, maintain, and preserve the invaluable collections, including some 35,000 personal tributes and over 1,200 hours of audio interviews, associated with this memorial.

North Country National Scenic Trail.—The Service is directed to submit a plan to the Appropriations Committees within 120 days of enactment that outlines plans to move the North Country NST staff to facilities in closer proximity to the trail. The Service should not, however, plan to move the Ice Age Trail NST staff from its current location.

PARK PARTNERSHIP PROJECT GRANTS

The bill does not include funds for the Park Partnership Project Grants. If, however, the Service chooses to use Recreational Fee program funding to implement the Park Partnership program, it is directed to ensure that projects undertaken are fully consistent with the guidelines of the Fee program.

NATIONAL RECREATION AND PRESERVATION

The bill provides \$67,958,000 for the National Recreation and Preservation account. Specific directives are as follows:

Cultural Programs.—The bill provides \$4,000,000 for the Japanese-American Confinement Site Grants program.

Heritage Partnership Program.—The bill provides \$18,085,000, which is sufficient to provide grants to authorized areas at the fiscal year 2010 level, not to exceed \$700,000 to areas with approved plans, \$150,000 to areas without approved plans and \$300,000 for areas with recently approved plans. The overall funding constraints faced this year make necessary the imposition of a modest spending cap. From this amount, the bill provides \$1,009,000 for administrative support.

The Appropriations Committees are concerned that the Service has completed only one of the National Heritage Area evaluations authorized in Division II of the Omnibus Parks and Public Lands Management Act of 1996. The Service is encouraged to include in these evaluations the impact of these areas on historic preservation, education, economic development and the leveraging of public-private funding according to the authorizing legislation and management plans for the areas. Further, the Appropriations Committees instruct the Service to continue to support these areas whether or not the evaluations have been completed.

Statutory or Contractual Aid.—The bill provides \$3,850,000 for Statutory or Contractual Aid, including increased support of the concerts staged on the Capitol grounds and for operating costs of Ford's Theater. The funds provided are to be distributed as follows:

State	Project	Amount
CA	Yosemite schools, PL 109-131.	\$400,000
DC	National Building Museum	300,000
DC	Ford's Theater Operating Costs.	300,000
DC	Capitol Concert Series	350,000
HI	National Tropical Botanical Garden, PL 111-11.	500,000
HI	Native Hawaiian Culture & Arts Program, PL 99-498.	500,000
NH	Lamprey Wild & Scenic River, PL 90-542.	200,000
NJ	Great Falls National Historical Park.	700,000

State	Project	Amount
NY	Lower East Side Tenement Museum.—97 Orchard Street.	300,000
UT	Crossroads of the West Historic District.	300,000
Total, Line Item Projects		\$3,850,000

Civil War Sesquicentennial Grants.—The bill provides an increase of \$3,000,000 above the budgeted amount of \$1,500,000 for grants authorized by Public Law 111-11, Title VII, Subtitle D, Section 7301(b). The additional funds are directed for a competitive grant program to fund programs and projects related to the sesquicentennial of the American Civil War.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND

The bill provides \$78,000,000 for the Historic Preservation Fund. Specific directives are as follows:

Save America's Treasures.—The bill includes \$20,000,000 for Save America's Treasures, of which \$10,675,000 is for competitive grants. The balance of the Save America's Treasures funds are to be distributed according to the project list in the table entitled “Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects” at the end of this division.

Historically Black Colleges and Universities.—The bill includes \$2,500,000 for this program. The Service is directed to review, and report to the Committees on Appropriations on the effect the match requirement has on this program and the ability of the HBCUs to meet the most critical historic preservation needs.

CONSTRUCTION

The bill provides \$197,105,000 for Park Service Construction.

Line Item Construction. The bill allocates funding for line item construction projects and activities as follows:

State	Project Description	Disposition
AK	Lake Clark National Park and Preserve.	\$1,243,000
CA	Golden Gate National Recreation Area (Alcatraz).	5,000,000
DC	National Mall and Memorial Parks (National Mall water drainage system).	10,835,000
FL	Big Cypress National Preserve.	3,559,000
FL	Everglades National Park	8,000,000
MI	Keweenaw National Historical Park (Quincy).	800,000
MN	Mississippi National River and Recreation Area.	3,000,000
MO	Jefferson National Expansion Memorial.	5,460,000
NC	North Shore Road	4,000,000
NY	Gateway National Recreation Area.	6,541,000
NY	Statue of Liberty National Monument.	25,263,000
PA	Flight 93 National Memorial (water and sewer infrastructure).	3,700,000
TN	Great Smoky Mountains National Park (Cosby wastewater).	800,000
TN	Great Smoky Mountains National Park (curatorial facility).	3,500,000
UT	Bryce Canyon National Park.	3,052,000
WA	Olympic National Park	20,000,000
WY	Yellowstone National Park	2,996,000
VA	Battle of New Market Heights Memorial and Visitor's Center.	1,000,000
Total, Line Item Projects ..		\$108,749,000

Dyke Marsh, George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA.—The Service is directed to install boundary markers delineating the Dyke Marsh in Northern Virginia to discourage hunting and poaching in Federally protected wildlife areas.

Potomac Heritage National Scenic Trail.—The Service is directed to report within 60 days

of enactment on a schedule for completion of a management plan for this trail.

Blytheville Aeroplex (AR).—The Service is directed to complete a reconnaissance survey, within 12 months of enactment, of the historic Blytheville Aeroplex site to determine whether or not it is likely to meet established criteria for inclusion as a park unit.

Waco Mammoth National Monument, TX.—The Service is directed to begin work on the Waco Mammoth National Monument General Management Plan, subject to authorization of the site as a unit of the National Park System.

St. Croix National Scenic Riverway.—From within the funds provided for General Management Plans, \$200,000 is directed for a boundary study of the St. Croix National Scenic Riverway in Wisconsin and Minnesota.

LAND ACQUISITION AND STATE ASSISTANCE

The bill provides \$143,423,000 for land acquisition and state assistance, to be distributed as shown in the following table.

State	Project	Amount
AK	Glacier Bay National Park & Preserve	\$1,700,000
AK	Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve	500,000
AZ	Petrified Forest National Park	5,890,000
CA	Golden Gate National Recreation Area	4,090,000
CA	Mojave National Preserve	1,250,000
CA	Santa Monica Mtns. National Recreation Area	3,000,000
CO	Sand Creek Massacre National Historic Site	259,000
GA	Chattahoochee River National Recreation Area	2,200,000
LA	Jean Lafitte National Historic Park and Preserve	1,500,000
MA	Minute Man National Historical Park	2,170,000
MD	Catoctin Mountain Park	640,000
MD/	Captain John Smith National Historic Trail	900,000
DE	Acadia National Park	1,764,000
ME	Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore	380,000
MN	Voyageurs National Park	367,000
MS	Natchez National Historical Park	500,000
NM	Pecos National Historical Park	810,000
NY	Home of FDR National Historic Site	1,575,000
NY	Saratoga National Historical Park	650,000
OH	Cuyahoga Valley National Park	5,497,000
SC	Congaree National Park	1,400,000
SD	Wind Cave National Park	4,557,000
TN	Big South Fork National Recreation Area	550,000
TX	Palo Alto National Historic Site	2,000,000
TX	San Antonio Missions National Historical Park	1,760,000
VA	Captain John Smith National Historic Trail	1,000,000
VA	Prince William Forest Park ...	6,000,000
VA	Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park	1,758,000
VI	Virgin Islands National Park	2,250,000
VT	Appalachian National Scenic Trail	625,000
WA	Ebey's Landing National Historical Reserve	1,000,000
WA	Mount Rainier National Park	1,543,000
WV	New River Gorge National River	4,138,000
WI	Ice Age National Scenic Trail	2,000,000
WI	St. Croix National Scenic Riverway	200,000
	Subtotal, Line Item Projects	66,423,000
	Acquisition Management	10,000,000
	Inholdings and Exchanges	6,000,000
	Emergencies, and Hardships ...	5,000,000
	American Battlefield Protection Grants	6,000,000
	Total, NPS Land Acquisition	93,423,000
	Assistance to States	\$50,000,000
	Stateside Conservation Grants	47,200,000
	"Stateside Grants"	42,200,000

State	Project	Amount
	Parks and Greenspace Demonstration Grants	5,000,000
	Administrative expenses	2,800,000
	Total, NPS Land Acquisition and Assistance to States	\$143,423,000

State Assistance Grants.—The Secretary is directed to provide \$5,000,000 for a new, nationally competitive, demonstration grant program using the authorities found in Sections 3, 5 and 6 of P.L. 88-578. The Secretary is directed to consider grant applications from States for projects which: 1) connect communities to protected public and private lands and natural resource assets through trails, open space, greenways and blueways; 2) increase access for children and youth to nature and the outdoors; and, 3) enhance open space conservation and outdoor recreation resources in metropolitan and urban areas. The Secretary should require at least a 30 percent match from recipients and favor grant applications that engage multiple jurisdictions and multiple partners. The Secretary is directed to report to the Appropriations Committees on the design of the demonstration program prior to issuing a formal "Request for Proposals".

UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY SURVEYS, INVESTIGATIONS, AND RESEARCH

The bill provides \$1,154,179,000 for Surveys, Investigations and Research. Changes to the request include the following:

Geographic Research, Investigations and Remote Sensing.—In agreement with the request, an increase of \$13,350,000 is provided to support the Landsat Data Continuity Mission. The Survey should work with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration in the formulation of a follow-on to the Landsat Data Continuity Mission to ensure continuity in medium resolution land imagery of proven benefit for a broad range of research and applied purposes.

Geologic Hazards, Resources and Processes.—Increases to the request include \$1,000,000 for LIDAR and high risk seismology activities; \$1,350,000 for the Global Seismographic Network; \$500,000 for the Weston Observatory, MA; \$250,000 for the University of Hawaii, Manoa/Hawaii Volcano Observatory research and monitoring partnership in Hawaii; and \$500,000 to continue the Nye County minerals assessment project, NV.

Water Resources Investigations.—Increases to the request include \$500,000 for Maryland coastal plain groundwater monitoring; \$500,000 for the Shelby County, TN groundwater study, and \$1,500,000 to conduct a hydrology study, in cooperation with the Delaware River Basin Commission and other partners, assessing the potential cumulative environmental impacts of natural gas drilling in the Marcellus Shale; \$500,000 to continue the US-Mexico Transboundary Aquifer Assessment Program; \$400,000 to continue the Survey's participation in the work of the Long Term Estuary Assessment Group, LA; an additional \$346,000 for Lake Champlain Basin streamflow monitoring and toxic studies to provide a total of \$500,000 for those activities; \$500,000 for water resources monitoring, investigations and research in Hawaii; and \$2,000,000 for endocrine disruptor research, equally divided between the national water quality assessment program and the toxic substances hydrology program. An additional \$1,000,000 for endocrine disruptor research is provided in the biological research and monitoring activity, as noted below.

Biological Research.—Increases to the request include \$1,000,000 for San Francisco Bay salt ponds restoration monitoring and research, \$600,000 for tropical ecosystems and watershed research; \$350,000 to continue monitoring of aquatic invasive species in the Columbia River Basin in collaboration with Washington State University and its partners; and \$1,000,000 for endocrine disruptor research. The bill continues the fiscal year 2010 funding levels of support to coordinators of the national network of State conservation data agencies and for the National Biological Information Infrastructure.

Facilities.—The bill provides \$2,500,000 for construction within deferred maintenance and capital improvement, but the Survey should not create a separate activity for construction as proposed in the budget request.

Global Climate Change.—The Survey should clearly delineate activities and funding for the National Climate Change and Wildlife Science Center in future budget requests and accomplishment reports.

BUREAU OF OCEAN ENERGY MANAGEMENT, REGULATION, AND ENFORCEMENT

(FORMERLY THE MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE)

ROYALTY AND OFFSHORE MINERALS MANAGEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$436,003,000 for Royalty and Offshore Minerals Management, an increase of \$94,056,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level. This funding level is partially offset with \$154,890,000 in receipts and cost recovery fees as requested and \$60,000,000 from inspection fees as provided by General Provision section 112.

In response to the nation's greatest environmental disaster, the BP-Transocean Deepwater Horizon oil disaster in the Gulf of Mexico, this bill supports the Department's efforts to reorganize and reform the former Minerals Management Service. The bill provides significant increases in all aspects of offshore energy development and production, including inspections, leasing, environmental safeguards, internal investigative capacity, and financial audits. However, the bill differs from the Administration's September 2010 amended budget request in three areas:

First, the budget amendment proposed to offset the \$100,000,000 budget increase by increasing OCS inspection fees by \$25,000,000, rescinding \$25,000,000 from unobligated balances intended to complete the OCS-Connect data system, and prohibiting a \$50,000,000 transfer for ultra-deepwater research. The bill increases the OCS inspection fees by \$50,000,000; the \$25,000,000 rescission of balances has already been enacted in the continuing resolution (P.L. 111- 242).

Second, the bill supports the addition of 217 positions which, among other priorities, would double the size of the OCS inspection workforce. However, since it will take time to hire the new employees, the bill includes savings of \$8,254,000 below the request.

Third, funding for studies, contracts, and consultants is reduced from the requested \$16,279,000 to \$9,879,000, since it will take time to implement the new organizations.

The bill also provides all of the requested increases for offshore renewable energy development and the costs associated with the elimination of the royalty-in-kind program. Bill language is also included allowing certain transfers of funds in order to facilitate the Secretary of the Interior's efforts to further reform the Bureau. Included in the

amount recommended for Resource Evaluation is \$900,000 for the Center for Marine Resources and Environment in Mississippi.

OIL SPILL RESEARCH

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$11,768,000 for Oil Spill Research, an increase above fiscal year 2010 of \$5,000,000 for research and \$465,000 for staffing. Considering the BP- Transocean Deepwater Horizon oil disaster, the Bureau needs a new strategy and vision for oil spill research, including the promotion of increased oil spill response capabilities, and for oil spill financial responsibility certifications of offshore platforms and pipelines. The Administration's budget materials for fiscal year 2012 shall include and justify a unified and coordinated Federal approach for oil spill research that includes the Department of the Interior, the Environmental Protection Agency, the Department of Energy, the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration and the Coast Guard.

OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT

ABANDONED MINE RECLAMATION FUND

Environmental Restoration.—The bill includes \$4,559,000 to be retained and distributed at the sole discretion of the Secretary, either to address declared coal mine emergencies in States without approved abandoned mine reclamation programs, or to provide grants to cover catastrophic situations in States with approved abandoned mine reclamation programs.

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS AND BUREAU OF INDIAN EDUCATION OPERATION OF INDIAN PROGRAMS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$2,404,029,000 for the Operation of Indian Programs. Specific changes to the request and direction are the following:

Trust Natural Resources Management.—The bill provides \$170,075,000. Specific increases and direction to the request include: Rights protection implementation: \$2,000,000. The Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) is directed to use this increase to maintain the activities and their funding level at fiscal year 2010 funding levels to conserve native fisheries, wetlands and other natural resources. Tribal management development program: \$1,326,000 of which \$500,000 is for the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe's prairie management program and \$826,000 is a general program increase; Fish Wildlife and Parks: \$660,000 for hatchery operations.

Education.—The bill provides \$808,446,000. Specific increases and direction to the request include: Indian School Equalization Program: \$800,000 to support funding for the students and facilities necessitated by the addition of a new school, as directed in the bill; Post Secondary Programs: \$2,651,000 of which \$1,320,000 is for Haskell and Southwestern Indian Polytechnic Institute, \$886,000 is for United Tribes Technical College and \$445,000 is for Navajo Technical College; Education Program Management: \$500,000. BIA is directed to use this increase to update the students eligible for Johnson-O'Malley Program funding, in coordination with the Department of Education, and in consultation with the Tribes and report the results to the Committees within 180 days of enactment of this Act. The Committees urge BIA to reestablish the full-time permanent Johnson-O'Malley coordinator position.

Public Safety and Justice.—The bill provides \$350,575,000 for public safety and justice. This includes the following direction and changes

from the request: Detention centers and correction is provided \$77,328,000; tribal law enforcement is provided \$19,774,000; and tribal courts is provided \$28,861,000. The bill does not provide the requested \$19,000,000 to fund Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) personnel. During the public witness hearings, numerous tribal leaders expressed concern about funding FBI agents out of the BIA. The FBI has been provided direction and guidance for funding these positions in another division in this Act. The Department is urged to improve recruitment and retention of BIA officers, correct wage grade inequities for BIA law enforcement personnel and develop procedures to streamline the hiring of officers from other bureaus.

Coordination of Programs Between Agencies.—The Secretaries of the Interior and Health and Human Services, the Administrator for the Environmental Protection Agency and the Chief of the Forest Service are directed to provide the Committees within 90 days of enactment of this Act, a report on: (1) How these agencies can use the consultation process to streamline and coordinate grant programs and funding opportunities for Native American programs under their jurisdiction; and (2) opportunities for each agency and bureau to enter into new compacts with Tribes under the Indian Self Determination and Education Assistance Act.

CONSTRUCTION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Education.—The bill provides \$62,854,000 of which \$44,567,000 is provided for education facilities improvement and repair.

Detention Centers.—BIA is encouraged to consider establishing regional detention centers at new or existing facilities, such as the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes' Justice Center. The Committees support efforts by the BIA and Department of Justice (DOJ) to improve coordination for constructing, staffing, and maintaining tribal detention centers. The Committees encourage BIA and DOJ to work together, and in consultation with the Tribes, through BIA's Tribal Budget Advisory Committee to develop methodologies for constructing tribal detention centers, including regional detention centers, based on need and best use of resources. The Department is strongly encouraged to update the Committees, at least quarterly, on their achievements and actions.

DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$121,987,000. The Department is directed to work collaboratively with interested parties, including the Congress, States, local communities, Tribal governments and others in making national monument designations.

Additional Guidance.—Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA) Reporting.—The Department is directed to report annually to the Appropriations Committees detailed information on the amount of program funds being used, and a list of recipients, for EAJA fee payments. The Committees direct that these reports be made publically available.

INSULAR AFFAIRS

ASSISTANCE TO TERRITORIES

The bill provides \$88,507,000 for Assistance to Territories. It includes \$10,699,000, an increase of \$1,619,000, for the Office of Insular Affairs to implement recommendations from the GAO report, "Opportunities Exist to Improve Interior's Grant Oversight and Reduce

the Potential for Mismanagement" (GAO-10-347). The Office of Insular Affairs should use the increase to hire qualified grants specialists and evaluators who hold professional certifications in accounting or engineering and also establish a Guam Field Office.

COMPACT OF FREE ASSOCIATION

The bill provides \$5,318,000 and continues authority for obligations related to the Palau compact of free association.

OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL TRUSTEE FOR AMERICAN INDIANS

FEDERAL TRUST PROGRAMS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$168,115,000. From within these funds \$7,900,000 is to reimburse litigation support costs incurred by the Department of Justice due to the approximately 95 tribal trust claims filed against the Department of the Interior.

DEPARTMENT-WIDE PROGRAMS

WILDLAND FIRE MANAGEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS AND RECISSION OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$825,452,000 for Department of the Interior Wildland Fire Management, which is partially offset by a rescission of \$145,000,000 in unobligated balances from previous appropriations. Included in this appropriation is \$287,968,000 in new budget authority for wildfire suppression. There is also \$96,000,000 in additional large fire suppression funding in the FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund. These amounts for suppression, when added to the \$250,000,000 in balances carried over from fiscal year 2010, provides significantly more funding than has ever been needed by the Department for wildland fire suppression activities in any previous year.

The Forest Service and the Interior Department must use independent panels to examine every individual wildfire incident which results in suppression expenses greater than \$10,000,000 and report these evaluations promptly to the Congress and on agency websites.

The Department is encouraged to train crews in Alaska, particularly existing Native crews, to reduce the cost of transporting fire crews from the continental U.S. to Alaska.

GENERAL PROVISIONS, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Longstanding general provisions are continued unless otherwise noted and several new items are noted:

Section 112 provides authority for the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement to receive offsetting collections for the costs of environmental and safety inspections on off-shore oil and gas production facilities.

Section 114 makes permanent the joint ticketing authority for the Pearl Harbor Naval Complex, HI.

Section 115 establishes onshore inspection fees for oil and gas energy production and authorizes the Bureau of Land Management to collect such fees.

Section 116 authorizes the Bureau of Land Management to establish an oil and gas internet leasing program.

Section 121, as proposed by the Administration, extends the time period that the Department of the Interior has to review an off-shore oil and gas exploration plan. This will provide more time to determine if statutory and regulatory requirements are being met.

Section 122 protects public lands within the Mojave Desert, CA that were previously donated for the purpose of conservation.

Section 123 amends the distribution of geothermal receipts to include counties where such receipts were generated.

Section 124 ratifies a reconfiguration of Bureau of Land Management lands in Nevada.

Section 125 requires the Secretary of the Interior to make recommendations on the recognition of a Native Hawaiian governing entity.

TITLE II—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

The bill provides \$852,197,000 for Science and Technology programs and transfers \$24,527,000 from the Hazardous Substance Superfund account to this account. The bill makes changes to the request by providing the following specific funding levels and direction:

Research: Clean Water.—Within the funds provided, \$8,350,000 is for green infrastructure research.

Research: National Priorities.—The bill provides \$6,000,000, of which \$5,000,000 shall be used for extramural research grants to fund high-priority water quality and availability research by not-for-profit organizations who often partner with the Agency. Funds shall be awarded competitively with priority given to partners proposing research of national scope. The bill provides \$1,000,000 for the Southwest Consortium for Environmental Research Program. The Agency is directed to allocate funds to grantees within 180 days of enactment of this Act.

Research: Human Health and Ecosystems.—The bill provides \$257,738,000, of which \$3,000,000 is directed for research activities on the environmental impacts of subsea dispersant use. The Agency is directed to provide a report to the Appropriations Committees that details how funds will be used. Funding for videoconferencing equipment has not been included, and the bill includes the following additional changes to the request:

—A total of \$22,983,000 for Research: Human Health and Ecosystems STAR grants, equal to the enacted level;

—A total of \$8,700,000 for Endocrine Disruptor STAR grants; and,

—An increase of \$3,000,000 for children's environmental health research centers of excellence and for research on the effects of environmental chemicals and toxins on children, for a total of \$9,580,000, to be distributed as in fiscal year 2010.

Computational Toxicology.—The Agency is directed through its National Center for Computational Toxicology to continue its efforts to develop ToxCast and to: (a) evaluate the endocrine-relevant ToxCast assays using in vitro assays and appropriate reference substances for assessing estrogen, androgen, and thyroid systems; and (b) expand its collaborations on proof of concept investigations of toxicological pathways in the ToxCast program.

International Partnership to Eliminate Lead Paint.—The Appropriations Committees encourage the Agency, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of State, to support the establishment of a program to conduct education and outreach in support of the goals of the international partnership and to develop a third-party certification program for non-lead paint for targeted introduction in countries with substantial lead paint production.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS AND MANAGEMENT

The bill provides \$2,926,881,000 for Environmental Programs and Management and

makes changes to the request by providing the following specific funding levels and direction:

Air Toxics and Quality.—The bill provides \$219,906,000, which reflects a reduction of \$1,000,000 due to an error in the justification for Federal Support for Air Quality Management. Within the amount provided, the Agency is directed to spend not less than \$800,000 for the Sunwise program.

Climate Protection Program.—The bill provides \$120,681,000, which includes a \$1,000,000 increase over the enacted level for the Energy Star program. This increase shall only be directed to instituting corrective actions and increasing controls associated with the Government Accountability Office findings. The bill does not provide the requested increase for videoconferencing equipment.

Compliance.—The bill provides \$109,117,000, which reflects a reduction of \$750,000 due to an unjustified and poorly documented transfer between programs. It does not include the requested resources in this account for Mississippi River Basin activities.

Enforcement.—The bill provides \$264,158,000, which reflects a reduction of \$750,000 due to an unjustified and poorly documented transfer between programs.

Environmental Protection: National Priorities.—The bill provides \$17,000,000 for a competitive grant program to provide rural and urban communities with technical assistance to improve water quality and provide safe drinking water. Grants shall be awarded on a competitive basis, and priority for said grants shall be given to qualified not-for-profit organizations whose activities are national in scope and are supported by a majority of small community water systems or currently provide assistance to private well owners. The Agency is directed to allocate funds to grantees within 180 days of enactment of this Act.

Great Lakes Restoration Initiative.—Consistent with the request, the bill provides \$300,000,000 to be allocated to the five focus areas as follows:

—Toxic Substances and Areas of Concern: \$101,364,000, of which \$50,000,000 is for cleanup projects authorized by the Great Lakes Legacy Act (Public Law 107-303);

—Invasive Species: \$43,303,000;

—Nearshore Health and Nonpoint Source Pollution: \$54,402,000;

—Habitat and Wildlife Protection and Restoration: \$60,377,000; and

—Accountability, Education, Monitoring, Evaluation, Communication and Partnerships: \$40,554,000.

Funding amounts for these focus areas are subject to a reprogramming threshold of \$5,000,000. The Agency is directed to report quarterly to the Committees on Appropriations on changes below the threshold. As the Agency implements the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative (GLRI) in 2011, it is directed to:

1. accelerate the obligations and outlays given lessons learned and protocols established in 2010;

2. report funding decisions once agency allocations are established;

3. report quarterly on changes to agency allocations;

4. minimize non-federal match requirements where practicable;

5. ensure funds transferred through inter-agency agreements will supplement, not supplant, base program resources in partner agencies;

6. establish a process and consult with federal and non-federal stakeholders to fully consider all high-priority restoration

projects and before proposing large-scale reallocations of funds;

7. report the findings of the Scientific Advisory Board's review of the restoration plan in Spring 2011;

8. prioritize on-the-ground work that meets Action Plan objectives and results in direct, beneficial ecological outcomes even if doing so will significantly shift the size and scope of projects funded and the distribution of funds;

9. determine whether making larger or more geographically concentrated grant awards will measurably improve ecological outcomes, prior to awarding funds;

10. prioritize funding for Asian Carp management activities;

11. provide an annual report by March 1, 2011 that details program accomplishments and compares funding levels by Agency on an annual basis; and

12. use the Western Lake Erie Basin Partnership to engage the various stakeholders, State, local and nonprofit entities to work cooperatively in this watershed. Project funding decisions in this basin should be made in coordination with entities that maximize coordination with GLRI funded projects.

While the Action Plan assumes annual GLRI program funding at \$475,000,000 in future years, consideration of future requests will depend on the Agency's ability to obligate and outlay funds in a timely manner.

Chesapeake Bay.—The bill provides \$63,000,000 to improve and accelerate the performance of Federal and State programs to protect the Bay, and strengthen the regulatory and accountability programs for controlling pollution from urban, suburban and agricultural lands. From within this amount, the bill provides \$8,000,000 for nutrient and sediment removal grants, \$6,500,000 for Chesapeake Bay Extramural Operations, \$1,214,000 for ChesapeakeStat, and \$4,000,000 for a competitive grant program targeted to small watersheds. From within the \$2,000,000 increase provided for small watershed grants, the Agency is directed to (1) support a \$1,000,000 competitive grant program to fund projects identified in the Highlands Action Plan, which will serve to continue the Agency's commitment to protecting the Potomac Highlands through conservation and ecological restoration initiatives; and (2) allocate \$1,000,000 to conduct a survey of local government policies and programs used to control polluted runoff from urban, suburban and agricultural lands within the Bay's four largest watersheds. The Agency is directed to make the results of these surveys public to assist local government decision-makers with information on successful Low Impact Development practices already in place, best management practices aimed at improving water quality, better implementation of existing policies, and road maps to help counties and municipalities decide how best to reduce pollution.

Puget Sound.—The bill provides \$50,000,000 to manage and implement Washington State's Puget Sound Action Agenda, an approved Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan (CCMP) under Section 320 of the Clean Water Act. Consistent with proposals under consideration by the authorizing committees, the Committees direct that an intended use list to guide the activities and project funding to restore Puget Sound be established. Such an intended use priority list, which is similar to the intended use plans for the State revolving funds, shall include, but not be limited to:

(1) a prioritized list of specific activities and projects, including programs, studies,

and processes that will meet the goals and priorities of the approved comprehensive conservation and management plan, and a ranking of those priorities;

(2) information on the activities and projects to be supported, including terms of financial assistance, communities served, and the identity of the recipients who will receive financial or other assistance from the Agency to carry out the activities and projects; and

(3) the criteria and methods used to determine the selection of activities, projects and recipients on the priority list, and the ranking of projects on the list.

The annual intended use list shall be created by the Section 320 Agency designated by the State of Washington and shall include an identification and determination of the highest priority activities, projects and recipients necessary to implement the CCMP. This list shall be made available jointly by the Administrator and the Section 320 Agency for public comment prior to approval by the Administrator. After considering public comments, the Administrator shall review and approve the priority list upon a determination that projects listed are consistent with the goals and priorities of the approved comprehensive conservation and management plan. If the Administrator finds that the annual priority list is inconsistent with the CCMP, the Administrator shall recommend alternatives to the Section 320 Agency, which shall then resubmit the annual priority list for approval. Subject to the availability of funds, the Administrator shall fund the projects that rank highest on the priority list.

The Committees direct the Agency to expeditiously obligate funds, in a manner consistent with the authority and responsibilities under Section 320 and the National Estuary Program. Not more than \$2,100,000 shall be used by the Agency for intramural costs. In addition, and as in the prior year, funds are provided for continued funding of the existing competitive grant to manage the Action Agenda and development of the intended use plan.

San Francisco Bay Competitive Watershed Grants.—The bill provides \$7,000,000 to continue a competitive grant program to improve habitat and water quality in the San Francisco Bay. Priority shall be given to projects that restore wetlands and other critical Bay wildlife habitat. The Appropriations Committees believe that funding for other types of water quality improvements, such as green infrastructure and urban runoff, should be principally addressed by other complementary programs such as the Clean Water State Revolving Fund and categorical grant programs. As in previous years, no less than a 25 percent match shall be required; however, priority shall be given to grantees that can demonstrate additional leverage. The Agency may retain up to 5 percent of the funds for administrative purposes.

Mississippi River Basin.—The bill provides \$10,000,000 to establish a new competitive grant program to target non-point source and nutrient runoff in the Mississippi River basin. The Agency is directed to target a few high priority watersheds within the basin. Once selected, the Agency should report to the Committees how activities focused on those watersheds will improve water quality and reduce nutrient runoff in the Basin. When selecting projects, the Agency is directed to consider and give equal priority to projects located in both the northern and southern Mississippi River basin. The Committees on Appropriations direct the Agency

to coordinate with the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality to evaluate alternatives for developing a comprehensive implementation plan to remedy the Mississippi Delta region's water quality, nutrient loading and water quantity concerns.

Long Island Sound Program.—The bill provides \$9,000,000 for the Long Island Sound environmental protection program. Of the funds provided, \$7,000,000 is to continue environmental restoration activities and \$2,000,000 may be used at the discretion of the Administrator to initiate a supplemental environmental impact statement to identify potential disposal sites for dredged material in the eastern Long Island Sound in conjunction with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lake Champlain Basin Program.—The bill provides \$4,000,000 for the Lake Champlain Basin Program to be distributed among its longstanding grantees: the States of Vermont and New York, the New England Water Pollution Control Commission and the ECHO Lake Aquarium Science Center. The Agency is to implement the program using sections 120 and 104(b)(3) of the Clean Water Act.

Information Exchange/Outreach.—The Agency shall follow the statutory criteria when distributing the funds provided for the environmental education program. Funding has not been provided for either the proposed regional Centers of Excellence or the proposed social networking increase.

International Programs.—The Appropriations Committees expect the Agency to use the funds provided to partner with other nations to assess and map flows of global movement of electronic waste from the United States and provide electronic waste best practices through education and demonstration projects in developing countries to strengthen implementation of global programs for addressing electronic waste.

IT/Data Management/Security.—The bill does not provide the increase requested for web capacity improvements.

Operations and Administration.—The bill provides \$516,434,000 for this program, including reductions of \$3,768,000 to reflect efficiencies in rent, utilities and security and a \$1,000,000 program reduction for central planning, budgeting and finance activities. The Agency may reallocate reductions to rent, security or utilities as appropriate.

Pesticide Licensing and RCRA.—The bill has fully funded all pesticide and RCRA activities, but has not included funding for the videoconferencing equipment in either line item.

Water: Ecosystems.—Within the amounts provided, the bill restores funding for the National Estuary Program to \$32,567,000, which provides \$800,000 to each of the grantees authorized by Section 320 of the Clean Water Act.

Water: Human Health Protection.—Funding for videoconferencing equipment has not been included.

Water Quality Protection.—Within the amounts provided, the bill includes \$5,000,000 for the new Community Water Priorities program to address water quality issues in urban areas, including \$2,000,000 for staffing and technical assistance and \$3,000,000 to initiate a demonstration grant program to fund on-the-ground implementation activities in selected communities. The bill does not provide funds for the new Mississippi River water quality program within this program-project as requested.

Lake Tahoe Restoration Act Programs.—Within the amounts provided, the Committees direct the Agency to provide adequate

resources and staffing to fulfill its expanding role of implementing environmental oversight and protection programs authorized by the Lake Tahoe Restoration Act (Public Law 106-506), as amended. The Agency is also directed to provide detail on the base resources provided for Lake Tahoe environmental activities as part of the budget request for fiscal year 2012 and subsequent years.

Sustainable Communities.—The bill provides \$11,227,000 for its Sustainable Communities initiative, equal to the requested amount.

Arsenic Reporting. The Committees on Appropriations direct the Agency to do the following not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act: release an overdue report mandated in P.L. 108-447; convene a working group composed of representatives from States, small publicly owned water systems, treatment manufacturers and other stakeholders; and, in consultation with the working group, submit a report to the Committees on: (1) barriers to the use of point-of-use and point-of-entry treatment units, package plants (including water bottled by the public water system) and modular units; and (2) alternative affordability criteria that gives extra weight to small, rural and lower income communities.

Endocrine Disruptors (ED).—The Agency is directed to: (1) establish performance criteria for laboratories including, demonstrations of standard based accuracy of controls, intra-assay viability and intra-laboratory variability in assay results; (2) include endocrinologists in a peer review of the results of the tier 1 assays; (3) take steps to ensure laboratories performing tests pursuant to the Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program minimize the use of animals and use appropriate statistical calculations to justify usage; (4) provide a detailed report to the Committees: (a) describing coordination with the National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences, and in particular how the Agency has been working within the National Research Council's Tox 21 framework in its ED research; (b) describing the status of the eight chemical action plans; and (c) describing how the ED research will provide the supporting science for the Agency's regulatory efforts; and, (5) evaluate the completed Tier 1 test chemicals in endocrine-relevant assays including estrogenic, androgenic and thyroid assays in the ToxCast program as a proof of concept.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

The bill provides \$38,001,000 for the Building and Facilities account. The bill does not provide the requested increase for videoconferencing equipment.

HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SUPERFUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$1,293,060,000 for the Hazardous Substance Superfund account, and includes bill language to transfer \$10,156,000 to the Inspector General account and \$24,527,000 to the Science and Technology account as requested. The bill provides the following additional direction:

Lawrence Aviation Industries, Inc. Superfund Site, NY.—The Administrator is urged to continue to collaborate with all interested parties including the Village of Port Jefferson to further advance the remediation strategy at the site.

Leech Lake Superfund Site, MN.—Within 18 months of enactment the Agency shall complete a comprehensive remedial investigation of the St. Regis Paper Company Superfund site in Cass Lake, MN. Within 90 days of completion of that investigation, the Agency

shall provide a report to the Committees detailing all necessary actions for site remediation that is fully protective of human health and the environment.

OIL SPILL RESPONSE

The bill provides \$18,468,000 for Oil Spill Response, as requested. The Agency is directed to expeditiously implement exemptions applying to large milk storage containers (1,320 gallons or more) from pending regulatory efforts, and report to the Committees on Appropriations regarding the status of providing such exemptions no later than 30 days after enactment of this Act.

STATE AND TRIBAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

The bill provides \$4,768,929,000 for the State and Tribal Assistance Grants (STAG) program and makes changes to the request by providing the following specific funding levels and direction:

Infrastructure Assistance.—The bill provides \$3,482,310,000 for infrastructure assistance, including \$1,898,000,000 for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund and \$1,206,000,000 for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund.

STAG Infrastructure Grants.—The bill provides \$145,056,000 for infrastructure assistance grants. Bill language has been included to incorporate these projects into law by reference and to require a local match of 45 percent of the total project costs. Project designations and technical corrections to prior year project designations have been included as part of the table entitled "Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects" at the end of this division.

Targeted Airshed Grants.—The bill provides \$15,000,000 to continue targeted emission reduction grants as in prior years; funds shall be divided equally between the San Joaquin Air Pollution Control District (CA) and South Coast Air Quality Management District (CA) and shall be matched on at least a one-to-one basis.

Section 106 Grants.—The increase provided over the enacted level should be used to supplement and not supplant State investment in clean water programs. As part of the program direction for these funds, the Agency is expected to maintain and expand emphasis on water quality permitting and enforcement as directed in fiscal year 2010 and report annually to the Committees, by State, regarding specific progress made to improve permitting and enforcement activities as a result of this increase.

Technical Corrections to Prior Year STAG Infrastructure Grants.—The bill provides for the following technical corrections to prior year infrastructure grants:

AL—Item number 6 in Senate Report 111-38 for Fayette County for the construction of a drinking water reservoir shall be made available to the City of Cullman for the Duck River Reservoir project;

AR—Of the funds made available for items 49 in P.L. 108-7 and 55 in P.L. 108-199 for the Community Water System Public Water Authority of Arkansas in Lonoke and White Counties for the Green and Greers Ferry drinking water projects, unliquidated balances shall be made available to Lonoke White Public Water Authority for drinking water projects;

CA—Item number 22 in House Report 109-188 for the Georgetown (CA) Greenwood Lake Water Treatment Facility shall be made available to the Georgetown Divide Public Utility District for water infrastructure improvements;

CO—Item number 103 in House Report 108-401 for the Town of Rico for the construction

of a wastewater treatment plant and sewer system shall be made available for drinking water system improvements;

CT—Item number 55 in P.L. 111-8 for the Town of North Haven's Wharton Brook Industrial Park and Todd Drive Area Sewer Project shall be made available to the Town of North Haven for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

HI—Item number 77 in House Report 111-316 for Hawaii County for the Hawaii Ocean View Estates drinking water source development project shall be made available to the County of Hawaii Department of Water Supply for the Kapulea drinking water source development project;

MO—Item number 154 in House Report 111-36 for the City of Lee's Summit for a wastewater infrastructure improvements project shall be made available for the City of Lee's Summit for a drinking water and wastewater infrastructure improvements project;

MT—Item number 171 in House Report 111-316 for the Crow Tribe in Crow Agency for wastewater infrastructure improvements shall be made available for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

NY—Item number 206 in P.L. 111-8 for the Village of Mamaroneck Drainage Improvement Projects shall be made available to the Village of Mamaroneck for sewer system improvements;

OH—Item number 232 in P.L. 111-88 to the Trumbull County Commissioners for wastewater infrastructure improvements shall be made available for a Revolving Loan Fund;

SC—Item number 233 in P.L. 110-161 for the City of Gaffney Water Treatment Plant upgrade shall be made available to the Gaffney Board of Public Works for sewer system improvements;

TN—Item number 251 in the joint explanatory statement to accompany Public Law 111-8 for Unicoi County for a well water treatment plant improvements project shall be made available to the Town of Erwin for the project;

TX—Item number 245 in P.L. 110-161 for the City of Grandview Elevated Water Storage Tank shall be made available to the City of Godley for Water Storage Expansion;

TX—Item number 458 in the joint explanatory statement to accompany P.L. 108-199 for the Brazos River Authority for the West Fort Bend County regional water treatment facility shall be made available to the Cities of Rosenberg and Richmond for a water supply project in West Fort Bend County;

TX—Item number 388 in the joint explanatory statement to accompany P.L. 108-447 for the Brazos River Authority for the planning and design of the West Fort Bend County Regional surface drinking water treatment plant shall be made available to the Cities of Rosenberg and Richmond for a water supply project in West Fort Bend County;

WA—Item number 297 in House Report 111-316 for the Cowlitz Public Utility District in Cowlitz County for replacement of wastewater infrastructure shall be made available to Beacon Hill Sewer District for drinking water infrastructure improvements;

WA—Item number 489 in House Report 108-401 for the Skagit Public Utility District for sewer improvements for Similk Beach shall be made available for general drinking water infrastructure improvements; and

WY—Item number 301 in the joint explanatory statement to accompany P.L. 111-8 for Lincoln County for the water treatment plant rehabilitation project shall be made available to the Kemmerer/Diamondville Water and Wastewater Joint Powers Board for that project.

Multimedia Tribal Grants.—The Agency is directed to report to the Appropriations Committees on eligible Tribal recipients, the allocation of grant awards, and a description of each project funded, 90 days after the grants are awarded.

Climate Change Initiative Grants for Local Communities.—The bill includes \$10,000,000 to continue this competitive grant program, which provides assistance to local governments to establish and implement climate change initiatives. The Agency is directed to continue to follow the specific guidelines contained in the explanatory statement accompanying the fiscal year 2009 Omnibus Appropriations Act. When evaluating grant applications, the Agency is encouraged to consider applications from local governments and tribal communities that partner with non-profit entities offering innovative solutions to reduce greenhouse gases such as carbon neutral product labeling and certification as part of local and tribal climate change initiatives.

Bill Language.—The bill continues language enacted in 2010 directing the Agency to provide: (1) not less than 30 percent of the SRF funds with additional subsidization; (2) 20 percent of SRF funds for green infrastructure investments, and (3) not less than 25 percent of Alaska Native Village funds for projects in regional hub communities.

Brownfields Technical Assistance Centers.—Within the funds provided for State and Tribal Assistance Grants, \$2,000,000 is included for the Agency's Technical Assistance to Brownfields Communities program.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (INCLUDING TRANSFER AND RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The bill continues administrative provisions from previous years for Great Lakes transfers and pesticide fees. In addition, bill language has been included to: (1) continue specific wage requirements for the State Revolving Funds; (2) authorize up to \$2,448,000 for the Community Action for a Renewed Environment program, which provides grants and tools to communities to address local environmental problems; and (3) rescind \$10,000,000 from unobligated State and Tribal Assistance Grant balances, as proposed in the request. The Agency is directed to provide to the Committees a list of the balances it proposes to rescind 15 days prior to the rescission.

TITLE III—RELATED AGENCIES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOREST SERVICE

Operating Plan.—Except for as provided below, the Forest Service is directed to provide an operating plan to the Appropriations Committees within 30 days of enactment of this Act that details final regional funding allocations for all Service programs, including comparisons between fiscal years 2010 and 2011. The Service is directed to expedite the allocation of funding for competitive programs to the field and shall provide those allocations to the Committees no later than 180 days after enactment. It is unacceptable for the Service to submit a budget request that lacks regional allocation tables; future budget justifications shall include this basic information.

Longleaf pine restoration.—The Forest Service is urged to continue the longleaf pine restoration initiative through various appropriations accounts, and to lead a submission of a multi-agency, Federal cross-cutting funding table on this work in the next budget request.

FOREST AND RANGELAND RESEARCH

The bill provides \$314,254,000 for Forest and Rangeland Research, which includes \$66,939,000 for the Forest Inventory and Analysis program, and increases above the request of \$4,500,000 for global climate change research, \$400,000 for the USFS Center for Bottomlands hardwood research in Mississippi, and \$500,000 for the durability housing coalition, Mississippi State University. The Service shall maintain the aspen free air CO₂ enrichment experiment as fully operational. The Service should also accelerate efforts of the Pine Genome Initiative, and collaborate with other interested USDA agencies and the National Science Foundation and the Department of Energy.

The Appropriations Committees are aware that the Service has proposed a reorganization of the Pacific Southwest Research Station, which will impact regional programs in California, Hawaii and the Pacific Islands. The Service is directed to ensure that this reorganization maintains and strengthens the Institute of Pacific Islands Forestry (IPIF) and fully supports the resources necessary for IPIF to carry out its mission of tropical forestry and conservation research.

STATE AND PRIVATE FORESTRY

The bill provides \$323,142,000 for State and Private Forestry. Funding within Federal lands forest health management includes \$1,034,000 for buffelgrass mitigation in Arizona and \$1,000,000 for work around the Cherokee NF and Great Smoky Mountains NP, TN and NC. Cooperative forest health management funding includes \$2,000,000 to continue pest and disease revolving fund activities as in fiscal year 2010. Within forest stewardship, \$138,000 is designated for land grant forestry equipment, NM. Urban and community forestry funding includes \$1,000,000 to continue the Seattle-Tacoma regional urban forestry initiative and there is an increase of \$2,000,000 above the request for the National competitive urban area grants. The economic action program funding includes: \$1,000,000 to the USFS Region 5 to continue the infrastructure assistance grants for small forest products businesses in California; \$1,000,000 for the Washington Investment Board for economic development activities in Oregon and Washington State, as authorized by P.L. 99-663; \$500,000 to the State of Vermont to continue the forest products development grants and technical assistance; and a \$500,000 increase above the request for the Wood Education and Resource Center in Princeton, WV, for technical assistance and business development activities, for a total of \$1,460,000.

The Forest Service should lead collaborative work on emerald ash borer with the various Federal agencies and use existing authorities to highlight tree replanting activities that maximize employment and reforestation within areas devastated by this pest. Urban forestry funding and technical assistance should be provided to assist Northeastern Area States, especially Minnesota, Wisconsin, Ohio, Illinois and Michigan, in replacing trees and monitoring the spread of emerald ash borer. The Administration and the USDA is directed to use existing emergency authorities to release USDA funds to assist impacted communities to respond to the emerald ash borer emergency just as they have during previous pest and agricultural emergencies.

Forest Legacy.—The bill provides \$89,785,000 for the forest legacy program, of which \$2,500,000 is derived from prior-year unobligated funds. This includes \$6,400,000 for program administration and does not include

the request for “New State Start-Ups”. The funds are to be distributed as follows:

State	Project Description	Amount
AR	Maumelle Water Excellence	\$4,000,000
CA	Chalk Mountain Area	2,000,000
CO	Ben Delatour Scout Ranch	4,000,000
CT	Scantic River Headwaters Project	2,230,000
DE	Chesapeake Headwaters ...	2,250,000
FL	Thomas Creek ..	3,500,000
FSM	Yela Forest Watershed	530,000
GA	Ocmulgee WMA Expansion	4,000,000
IA	Upper Iowa River Watershed	1,500,000
ID	North Idaho Timberland Communities	3,850,000
IN	Discover Woods	850,000
KY	Big Rivers Corridor	3,250,000
MA	Brushy Mountain	5,000,000
ME	West Grand Lake Community Forest ...	6,675,000
MI	Crisp Point	5,000,000
MT	Clearwater	4,000,000
NC	Campbell Creek Watershed	1,375,000
NH	Androscoggin Headwaters ...	4,100,000
NM	Vallecitos High Country	1,925,000
NY	Follensby Pond	2,350,000
PA	Little Bushkill Headwaters Forest Reserve	2,500,000
SD	Blood Run	2,600,000
TN	North Cumberland Conservation Area	4,000,000
TX	Longleaf Ridge Conservation Area	1,600,000
UT	Green Canyon ..	2,000,000
VT	Northern Green Mountains Linkage	3,300,000
WA	Mt. St. Helens Forest	2,000,000
WI	Chippewa Flowage Forest	3,000,000
	Subtotal, Forest Legacy projects	83,385,000
	Administration Use of Prior Year Funds ...	6,400,000
	Total, Forest Legacy	2,500,000
		\$87,285,000

NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$1,618,743,000 for the National Forest System. The bill does not provide funding for the integrated resource restoration program as was requested; instead, funding has been provided through the traditional budget structure for forest products, vegetation and watershed management and wildlife and fish habitat management programs. The Service is expected to retain numerical program performance targets, consistent with current programs, and include these targets in the operating plan submitted to the Congress.

The bill includes \$25,000,000 for a new, competitive priority watersheds initiative to fund watershed restoration projects on national forests. These projects should treat landscapes that are at least 10,000 acres, fund restoration needs that are developed and prioritized through a collaborative process, and demonstrably create and maintain forest product or restoration-related jobs. Performance targets shall also be tracked and provided to the Appropriations Committees for these selected projects. The Service may also

select a pilot region in which other integrated resource restoration policy changes could be tested using the traditional budget structure. To provide additional flexibility, the Service may move up to 5% of that pilot region's forest products, vegetation and watershed, wildlife and fisheries and hazardous fuels funding to do restoration projects without being subject to reprogramming limitation. Pilot efforts may also build on the collaborative forest landscape restoration fund and priority watershed and job stabilization activities and should, if appropriate, include legacy road and trail remediation projects in the same watersheds. If an integrated management initiative is offered again, it should integrate hazardous fuels funding, road maintenance and decommissioning, and national forest system vegetation, watershed and habitat enhancement activities.

The Forest Service is directed to provide the report directed in the fiscal year 2010 Appropriations Act within 30 days of enactment of this Act, which outlines the process the Service will use by region to ensure compliance with travel management regulatory requirements, including those set forth in CFR 212.5 and 212.55.

Inventory and monitoring funding includes \$1,000,000 for the Southern Center for Natural Resource Inventory, Monitoring and Evaluation at Mississippi State University to collaborate with Federal and State agencies on new technologies for gathering information on timber inventory, carbon sequestration and threatened and endangered species. Within the funds provided for vegetation and watershed management, cooperative leafy spurge eradication activities on the Dakota Prairie Grasslands and marijuana site remediation activities shall continue at no less than the fiscal year 2010 enacted level. The Service is expected to continue timber pipeline funding for the Tongass National Forest, AK, at no less than the enacted level. The Service is expected to fund operations at the Land Between the Lakes National Recreation Area, KY and TN, at no less than the enacted level.

The Service is directed to use the increase above the enacted level for law enforcement to expand marijuana eradication operations on national forest lands. Priority for these funds shall be given to defraying support and aviation costs associated with interagency drug operations and expanding cooperative agreements with local law enforcement agencies.

The Forest Service should initiate a planning process for a renewable energy development program to identify specific areas appropriate for renewable energy development and select development proposals. To ensure consistency, the Service should harmonize its renewable energy development policies with those adopted by the Bureau of Land Management, where appropriate.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$544,547,000 for Capital Improvement and Maintenance, offset by a \$13,000,000 scoring credit related to the Roads and Trails fund as in prior years. Facilities maintenance includes an increase of \$205,000 for the Blanchard Springs visitor center, AR and facilities construction includes an increase of \$1,000,000 for phase I of the Green Mountain NF headquarters, VT. Road construction funding includes \$1,500,000 for Tongass NF, AK and \$880,000 for Monongahela NF, WV improvements within the amounts provided. Trails maintenance funding includes \$700,000 for rehabilitation of

trails and roads affected by the Station Fire, CA. The road construction funding provides the engineering support required for all Forest Service programs.

The bill provides authority for the legacy road and trail remediation program. The Service shall use legacy road and trail remediation funding in a strategic effort to de-commission and fix roads and trails in environmentally sensitive areas and focus on those areas where Forest Service roads are contributing to water quality problems in streams and water bodies which support threatened, endangered or sensitive species. The legacy road and trail remediation program is a key part of integrated forest restoration activities. The Service should utilize public input to help select legacy road and trail projects, report back to the Appropriations Committees on the selected projects, and display the selection process, implementation activities, and anticipated benefits (including habitat, water quality and jobs created) on the agency's web site. The Service should accelerate planning of the minimum road system needed on each forest, and focus maintenance on environmentally sensitive areas and areas of substantial use. The legacy road and trail remediation program should integrate watershed, habitat and transportation planning needs and complement other Service activities needed to help restore sensitive watersheds.

The Forest Service is encouraged to support the maintenance and upkeep of existing recreational airstrips through cooperation with interested groups; the Service should consider constructing additional airstrips, where appropriate, to increase access to remote Federal lands.

LAND ACQUISITION

The bill provides \$73,489,000 for land acquisition, to be distributed as follows:

State	Project	Amount
AK	Tongass National Forest—Cube Cove	\$3,500,000
AZ	Cocconino National Forest—Packard Ranch Phase III	935,000
AZ	Cocconino National Forest—Shield Ranch	1,500,000
CA	Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest—Summers Meadows	1,900,000
CA	Shasta-Trinity National Forest—Stony Creek Consolidation	1,300,000
CA	Six Rivers National Forest	1,000,000
CA	Tahoe/El Dorado National Forests	4,500,000
CO	Arapaho National Forest—Little Echo Lake	950,000
CO	Uncompahgre National Forest—Ophir Valley	1,000,000
GA	Chattahoochee-Oconee National Forest—Georgia Mountains	1,200,000
ID	Clearwater National Forest—Upper Lochsa	1,000,000
ID	Salmon—Challis National Forest—Idaho Wilderness Wild & Scenic Rivers—Morgan Ranch	1,000,000
IN	Hoosier National Forest	255,000
ID/MT/WY	Caribou-Targhee National Forest—Greater Yellowstone	2,000,000
MI	Hiawatha and Ottawa National Forests—Great Lakes/Great Lands	2,300,000
MN	Superior/Chippewa National Forest—Minnesota Wilderness	1,400,000
MO	Mark Twain National Forest—Missouri Ozarks Current River Project	1,100,000
MT	Flathead/Lolo National Forest—Montana Legacy Completion	6,000,000
MT	Lewis & Clark National Forest Tenderfoot	4,000,000

State	Project	Amount
NC	Pisgah National Forest	1,800,000
OR	Wallowa Whitman National Forest—Hells Canyon NRA	3,284,000
OR/WA	Siuslaw/Wallowa Whitman National Forest—Pacific NW Streams	1,500,000
SD	Black Hills National Forest—Lady C Ranch	765,000
TN	Cherokee National Forest—Rocky Fork	6,000,000
UT	Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest—High Uintas	500,000
UT	Bonneville Shoreline Trail	750,000
WA	Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area	850,000
WA	Wenatchee National Forest—Washington Cascade Ecosystem—Big Creek	1,500,000
WA	Olympic National Forest	1,200,000
WA	Pacific Crest National Scenic Trail	1,500,000
WI	Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest	2,000,000
WV	Monongahela National Forest	1,000,000
Sub-total, Line Item Projects	59,489,000. Acquisition Management	9,000,000
	Equalization	1,000,000
	Inholdings	4,000,000
	Total, FS Land Acquisition	73,489,000

The Sharkey Restoration Research Site, Delta NF.—The Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture are directed to study and report back to the Committees on Appropriations within 180 days of enactment, on potential exchange proposals for approximately 1,700 acres currently managed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in Sharkey County, Mississippi and generally depicted on a map titled “The Sharkey Restoration Research Site, Delta NF” for lands of equal or approximate value managed by the U.S. Forest Service in Mississippi.

WILDLAND FIRE MANAGEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS AND RECISSION OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$2,127,922,000 for Forest Service Wildland Fire Management, which is partially offset by a rescission of \$155,000,000 in unobligated balances from previous appropriations. The bill accepts the proposal to move \$333,000,000 into preparedness, which in prior years was funded within suppression. The bill also provides within this appropriation \$595,000,000 in new budget authority for wildland fire suppression; there is an additional \$291,000,000 for the FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund in that appropriation account. These amounts for suppression, when added to substantial fire suppression balances carried over from fiscal year 2010, provide significantly more funding than has been needed by the Service in any previous year.

The Forest Service shall maintain preparedness resources at no less than the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and, if necessary, adjust preparedness and suppression allocations accordingly to fully fund those activities. The Secretary of Agriculture shall notify the Committees on Appropriations in writing prior to making such a funding shift. This flexibility shall also be utilized if the Secretary determines that an adjustment is necessary to address emergent preparedness needs such as aviation contracts or retention initiatives as detailed below.

The Service has not yet fully addressed firefighting challenges in high-cost, high-risk areas such as Region 5; the Service shall

provide a status report within 30 days of enactment of this Act regarding efforts to update and expand a special firefighting pay rate for the region, including associated costs.

The Forest Service is currently reviewing policies related to nighttime aerial firefighting operations and a decision may soon be made about whether to acquire the capability and resources to fly rotary and fixed-wing aircraft at night. The Service is directed to provide a report detailing proposed policy and program changes, along with associated costs, related to night flying within 30 days of enactment of this Act. Night flying activities may be funded within the fire suppression appropriation if necessary.

The Appropriations Committees remain concerned that the Forest Service still does not have a plan to replace its aging fleet of air tankers and the Committees expect the Service to develop a concrete plan to start fielding replacement aircraft by the fiscal year 2012 fire season. The Forest Service should, in conjunction with the Department of the Interior, collaborate with the Department of Defense to develop a report governing planning for an effective aviation resource base to support wildland fire management programs of the future. A close partnership among the Air Force, the Air National Guard, and the wildland fire management agencies is an essential element to provide for safe and effective fire fighting capacity needed to protect communities and natural resources in a most efficient manner.

The increase provided above the requested level for hazardous fuels reduction shall be targeted to areas in the wildland-urban interface that face a high risk of catastrophic wildfire due to population density and fuel loading. The bill provides no less than the requested level of \$26,200,000 to fund Quincy Library Group (QLG), CA, activities in fiscal year 2011. The Forest Service shall treat 33,000 acres in fiscal year 2011 through mechanical thinning, including approximately 20,000 acres within the Plumas National Forest. If the Service anticipates that it may not achieve these targets, it shall promptly inform the Committees on Appropriations and provide a strategy to make up as much acreage as soon as possible within the QLG area.

The bill provides a total of \$5,000,000 within the State fire assistance activity to the South Tahoe Public Utility District to continue the community fire protection project for the Lake Tahoe Basin. The bill also supports the State fire assistance program request that \$5,000,000 is designated for Fire Safe Councils in California for fire risk reduction activities. The Forest Service and Department of the Interior should work together to develop consistent application and award procedures for grants to the California Fire Safe Councils.

The Forest Service and the Interior Department must use independent panels to examine every individual wildfire incident which results in suppression expenses greater than \$10,000,000 and report these evaluations promptly to the Congress and on agency websites.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS, FOREST SERVICE

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The bill includes administrative provisions similar to previous years. In addition, language has been included limiting the amounts of funds available for internal administrative assessments known as cost pools.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE INDIAN HEALTH SERVICES

The bill provides \$3,961,187,000 for Indian Health Services. The bill contains the following changes and directions:

Clinical Services.—Within the funds provided for clinical services, a reduction of \$100,000 to the Alcohol and Substance Abuse program provides \$205,670,000 for that activity. The Mental Health activity is provided \$77,176,000, an increase of \$100,000 above the budget request, which the Indian Health Service (IHS) is directed to use to develop a comprehensive strategic plan for the reform and improvement of mental health services throughout Indian Country. IHS is directed to provide the completed plan to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by July 31, 2011.

Electronic Dental Record.—IHS is directed to use a portion of its health information technology funds to expedite deployment of the electronic dental record (EDR) system. Within 90 days of enactment of this Act, IHS is directed to provide a detailed schedule for implementation of EDR within the current funding level.

Indian Health Care Improvement Fund.—IHS is directed to give priority in distributing the Indian Health Care Improvement Fund to those units currently operating with less than 45 percent of their estimated need before it allocates any additional funds to units with more than 45 percent of their need currently funded.

Indian Health Professions.—In agreement with the budget request, the Recruitment and Retention of American Indians into Nursing program, the Indians into Psychology program, and the Indians into Medicine program are to be supported at no less than their current funding levels.

IHS Recruitment and Retention.—Within 90 days of enactment of this Act, IHS should provide a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations that evaluates its current efforts to address the critical shortage of trained health care professionals throughout Indian Country and recommends new strategies for tackling this longstanding problem. Issues of low pay, a cumbersome federal hiring process, and inadequate housing are just a few of the items that should be considered as a part of the report.

INDIAN HEALTH FACILITIES

The bill provides \$445,242,000 for the Indian Health Facilities account. Within 90 days of enactment of this Act, IHS is directed to provide a detailed report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations that examines the causes for the high unobligated balances in this account and includes recommendations for using these balances in a more timely manner. In future budget requests, IHS is encouraged to build on successful programs, such as joint venture and small ambulatory grants, and work with tribes to explore other innovative funding alternatives that could be made available to address the backlog of construction projects throughout Indian Country.

AGENCY FOR TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND DISEASE REGISTRY

TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PUBLIC HEALTH

The Appropriations Committees direct ATSDR to report the results of its indirect

cost methodology study as soon as the final report is available. Until a new formula is determined, the Committees expect the administrative charges will be no greater than the amount charged in fiscal year 2010.

OTHER RELATED AGENCIES CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$13,147,000 for the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board (the Board). Within this amount, the bill provides \$2,000,000 to investigate and provide a thorough report on the root causes of the BP-Transocean Deepwater Horizon disaster. The Board shall use the funds to hire subject matter experts and additional inspectors to term employments in order to build expertise related to offshore drilling practices, and should issue interim reports as appropriate that would serve to articulate findings that may have led to the catastrophic failure of the blowout preventer on April 20, 2010.

SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides a total of \$797,600,000 for all Smithsonian Institution accounts, of which \$660,850,000 is provided for salaries and expenses. The Smithsonian is directed to use \$250,000 from within that amount to continue activities under the Civil Rights History Project Act of 2009 in cooperation with the Library of Congress.

JOHN F. KENNEDY CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS

OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

The bill provides \$23,500,000 for the Operations and Maintenance of the Kennedy Center. Bill language has been included to amend P.L. 111-88 to make \$500,000 of that appropriation available for the general purposes of this account until September 30, 2011.

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND HUMANITIES

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION

The bill provides \$170,000,000 for the National Endowment for the Arts (NEA). Within this amount the Committees direct that the Big Read be funded at no less than \$3,000,000. As has been the case in prior years, Sections 309 and 311 of Public Law 108-447, as amended, are incorporated by reference into this bill. These provisions provide the grant guidelines and program priorities under which NEA is directed to operate, including a requirement to place priority on reaching underserved populations, including urban minorities, and a prohibition on direct grants to individual artists.

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES

GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION

The bill provides \$170,000,000 for the National Endowment for the Humanities (NEH). The NEH is encouraged to include Native American communities in the Bridging Cultures initiative and work with tribes in the preservation of Native American languages. The NEH should provide a report to the Committees that examines the feasibility of developing a collaborative student/faculty grant program within existing funds, and provides a plan for its implementation.

NATIONAL CAPITAL ARTS AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS

Title IV General Provisions includes a section which increases the annual authorization to \$12,000,000.

TITLE IV GENERAL PROVISIONS

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Longstanding general provisions are continued unless otherwise noted and several new items are noted:

Section 417 continues, for one more year only, language limiting the ability of the Secretary of Agriculture to increase certain Forest Service recreation residence fees.

Section 418 continues a provision from the fiscal year 2010 Act, with slight modifications, requiring the President to report on climate change expenditures by agency.

Section 419 amends the authorization for the National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs program by increasing the annual authorization to \$12,000,000.

Section 422 authorizes, within the Environmental Protection Agency, the San Francisco Bay restoration grant program.

Section 424 continues bill language concerning border security and environmental mitigation accountability. Within 180 days of enactment of this Act, the GAO is directed to examine whether and what type of conflicts arise among the Department of the Interior, the U.S. Forest Service, and the Department of Homeland Security while enforcing established laws on the border.

Section 425 clarifies previous "incorporation by reference" language contained in the fiscal year 2009 and 2010 Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Acts.

Section 426 rescinds \$160,000,000 in previously appropriated emergency wildland fire suppression funds from the Department of the Interior, Wildland Fire Management account.

Section 427 rescinds \$140,000,000 in previously appropriated emergency wildland fire suppression funds from the Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Wildland Fire Management account.

TITLE V—SACRAMENTO—SAN JOAQUIN DELTA NATIONAL HERITAGE AREA

Title V of this division authorizes the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta National Heritage Area in California.

TITLE VI—NATIONAL WOMEN'S HISTORY MUSEUM ACT

Title VI of this division authorizes the Administrator of the General Services Administration to convey a parcel of real property in the District of Columbia to provide for the establishment of the National Women's History Museum. The General Services Administration is directed to include in its agreement for conveyance of the property to the Museum a provision that the Museum will submit siting and building plans to the National Capital Planning Commission for review and approval.

TITLE VII—MONTANA FORESTS

Title VII authorizes certain forest management activities and designations on national forests in Montana.

INCORPORATION OF CONGRESSIONALLY REQUESTED PROJECTS

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
Bureau of Indian Affairs	Operation of Indian Programs	ND	United Tribes Technical College	\$886,000
Bureau of Indian Affairs	Operation of Indian Programs	NM	Navajo Technical College	\$445,000
Bureau of Indian Affairs	Operation of Indian Programs	SD	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, prairie management program	\$500,000
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	NV	City of Mesquite multi-purpose trails construction	\$250,000
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CA	Santa Rosa and San Jacinto Mountains National Monument	\$1,000,000
Bureau of Land Management	Management of Lands and Resources	UT	Utah rural cadastral program	\$300,000
Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement	Royalty and Offshore Minerals Management	MS	Center for Marine Resources	\$900,000
Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	CA	San Francisco Bay competitive grants program	\$7,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	CT	Long Island Sound program	\$9,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	VT	Lake Champlain Basin Program	\$4,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	Other	CA	Hunters Point Naval Shipyard environmental cleanup	\$8,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	Science & Technology	TX	Southwest Consortium for Environmental Research and Policy (SCEPRP)	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG - Other	AK	Alaska Native Villages water infrastructure program	\$13,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG - Other	CA	Emissions reduction grants to the San Joaquin Air Pollution Control District and South Coast Air Quality Management District	\$15,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AK	City of Kodiak for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AK	Wrangell Borough for a drinking water project in the City of Wrangell	\$350,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AK	City of Kenai for a wastewater project	\$612,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AK	Northwest Arctic Borough for a drinking water and wastewater project in the City of Buckland	\$612,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AK	City of North Pole for industrial force sewer main	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AK	City of Homer for a drinking water and wastewater project	\$612,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AK	City of Palmer for a water quality protection project	\$612,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AL	Mobile County Commission for a wastewater project in Mobile County	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AL	Blount County Commission for a wastewater project in the Town of Locust Fork	\$1,000,000

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AR	City of Forrest City for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AR	City of Fort Smith for water quality improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AR	City of Warren for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AR	Little Rock Wastewater for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AZ	The Pascua Yaqui Tribe master drainage plan	\$1,500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of Gilroy for Christmas Hill Park-Uvas Creek outfall reconstruction	\$102,795
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	Orange County Sanitation District for secondary treatment project upgrade	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of Anaheim for water infrastructure improvements	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of San Jose Alviso storm pump improvements	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of Calexico for water infrastructure improvements	\$600,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of Bellflower for water system improvement	\$700,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	Marina Coast Water District for the Regional Urban Water Augmentation Project	\$700,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	San Mateo County Public Works for Colma Creek Flood Control Channel Wall Repair	\$700,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of Berkeley for stormwater management improvement.	\$782,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of Vallejo for Mare Island Sanitary Sewer and Storm Drain Project	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	Los Angeles Gateway Region Integrated Regional Water Management Joint Powers Authority for stormwater infrastructure improvements	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	County of Lake for Kelseyville Wastewater Treatment Plant Phase II Improvements	\$1,400,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	Kings County for water infrastructure improvements in Kettleman City	\$2,700,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	Borrego Water District for water infrastructure improvements	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of Long Beach for stormwater catch basin treatment plan	\$600,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of Los Angeles for coastal interceptor wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of Orange Cove for water infrastructure improvements	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	Nevada Irrigation District (CA) for water infrastructure improvements	\$1,000,000

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CO	City of Montrose for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CO	City of Idaho Springs Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Replacement	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CO	City of Trinidad for water line replacement	\$700,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CO	City of Sterling for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CT	Town of Hamden for Franklin Road stormwater drainage improvement project	\$247,500
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CT	Town of Suffield for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CT	City of Middletown for water infrastructure improvements	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	DC/MD	D.C. Water and Sewer Authority for nitrogen removal at Blue Plains Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$5,027,890
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	DE	New Castle County for the Turkey Run wastewater interceptor project	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	DE	Sussex County Council for Angola Neck wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	FL	Suwannee River Water Management District for the Heartland Springs Initiative	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	FL	City of Fort Lauderdale for alternative water supply source	\$700,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	FL	City of Sunrise for Southwest Water Reclamation System	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	FL	Big Bend Water Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	GA	City of Albany for Holloway-Mercer stormwater drainage	\$700,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	GA	Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	GA	City of LaGrange for a wastewater project	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	GA	City of Valdosta for a wastewater project	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	HI	County of Hawaii for Kealahou wastewater treatment plant improvements	\$1,600,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	HI	County of Kauai for Elele wastewater treatment plant improvements	\$1,600,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	HI	Kunia Water Association for wastewater and stormwater improvements	\$55,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	HI	44gHawaii organization for water infrastructure improvements at Lake Wilson	\$275,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	HI	County of Maui Department of Public Works for stormwater and water quality improvements	\$300,000

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	HI	County of Maui for injection well rehabilitation	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	HI	County of Maui for Maui Meadows Booster Station Pump Replacement	\$1,100,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IA	City of Ottumwa for combined sewer separation and water quality improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IA	City of Clinton for a wastewater project	\$750,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IA	City of Boone for wastewater and water quality improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IA	City of Spencer for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ID	City of Bellevue for a wastewater project	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ID	City of Priest River for a drinking water project	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IL	City of Rock Island for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IL	Village of Franklin Park for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IL	City of Park Ridge for stormwater drainage	\$363,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IL	Kankakee County Metropolitan Agency for wastewater treatment plant improvements	\$517,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IL	Village of University Park for South suburban water delivery study	\$550,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IL	City of North Chicago for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IL	City of Quincy for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IN	Town of Hebron for water infrastructure improvements	\$263,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IN	City of Valparaiso for water infrastructure improvements	\$400,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IN	City of Salem for a drinking water project	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KS	City of Lawrence for 5th and Maple Stormwater pump station	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KS	City of Great Bend for a wastewater project	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KS	City of Newton for a drinking water project	\$400,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KS	City of Andover for a wastewater project	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KS	City of Edgerton for a wastewater project	\$500,000

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KS	City of Girard for a wastewater project	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KS	City of Lakin for a water quality protection project	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KY	City of Middletown for sewer system improvements	\$625,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KY	Red River Wastewater Authority for a wastewater treatment plant	\$1,250,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KY	Garrard County for a wastewater project in the Paint Lick community	\$112,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KY	Campbell County Sanitation District Number 1 for a wastewater and water quality protection project	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KY	City of Glasgow for a wastewater project in Barren County	\$838,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KY	City of Hartford for a wastewater project in Ohio County	\$1,500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	LA	Ascension Consolidated Utilities District Number 1 for water and wastewater infrastructure	\$650,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	LA	City of Gonzales for a drinking water project	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	LA	City of Leesville for Sewer System Expansion U.S. Hwy 171	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	LA	Town of St. Francisville for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	LA	Village of Tickfaw for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MA	City of Marlborough for Westerly wastewater treatment facility upgrades	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MA	City of Gloucester for Essex Avenue wastewater treatment facility upgrade	\$750,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MA	Cities of New Bedford and Fall River and the Town of Acushnet for combined sewer overflow improvements in Bristol County	\$1,250,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MA	Pioneer Valley Planning Commission for Connecticut River CSO clean-up	\$1,500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MD	Town of Rising Sun for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MD	Baltimore County for water line improvements	\$400,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MD	City of Baltimore for Penn Station pipe relocation	\$700,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MD	Caroline County for Greensboro-Goldsboro wastewater upgrade	\$885,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MD	City of Rockville for sanitary sewer rehabilitation project	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MD	St. Mary's County Metropolitan Commission for the Marlay-Taylor Water Reclamation Facility Enhanced Nutrient Removal Upgrade	\$1,000,000

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MD	City of Baltimore Department of Public Works for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$400,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MD	City of Hagerstown for drinking water system improvements	\$400,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ME	Town of Stockton Springs for a wastewater project	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ME	Long Creek Watershed Management District for a stormwater and water quality protection project	\$2,500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MI	Wyandotte Municipal Services for water efficiency infrastructure project	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MI	Karegnondi Water Authority for Lake Huron water supply	\$700,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MI	City of Hamtramck for water and sewer line rehabilitation	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MI	City of Mt. Clemens for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MI	City of Port Huron for combined sewer overflow improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MIN	City of Goodview for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MIN	Central Iron Range Sanitary Sewer District for wastewater infrastructure	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MIN	City of Maplewood for Gerten Pond Improvements	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MO	City of Lee's Summit for a wastewater project	\$550,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MO	Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District for River Des Peres Foulwater Interceptor Rehabilitation	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MO	North Central Missouri Regional Water Commission for a drinking water project in the City of Milan	\$350,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MO	Monroe City for a wastewater project	\$550,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MO	Clarence Cannon Wholesale Water Commission for a drinking water project in the City of Moberly	\$605,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	City of D'Iberville for a drinking water, wastewater and stormwater project in Harrison County	\$123,750
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	City of Mound Bayou for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$250,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	City of Waynesboro for a wastewater project	\$495,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	City of Ocean Springs for a drinking water project in Jackson County	\$230,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	Pike County Board of Supervisors for a wastewater and drinking water project in Pike County	\$280,500
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	City of Greenville for a water quality protection project	\$300,000

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	Coahoma County Board of Supervisors for a wastewater and water quality protection project in Coahoma County	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	City of Hattiesburg and Forrest/Lamar Counties for a drinking water and wastewater project	\$321,750
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	City of Forest for a drinking water and wastewater project	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	City of West Point for a wastewater project	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MT	City of Billings for East End water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MT	City of Helena for drinking water system improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MT	Seeley Lake Sewer District for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MT	Crow Tribe in Crow Agency for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$600,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NC	City of Charlotte for a wastewater and stormwater project	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NC	Town of Ahoskie for wastewater reuse transmission lines	\$700,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NC	Town of Madison for US 220 Corridor Madison-Mayodan Interconnection	\$700,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NC	Cities of Concord, Kannapolis and Albermarle Concord Water Resources Department for transmission water lines	\$900,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NC	Town of Hillsborough Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade and Expansion	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NC	Town of Holly Springs Friendship Industrial Site sewer extension	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NC	Town of Canton for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ND	McLean Sheridan Rural Water District for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ND	City of LaMoure for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$400,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ND	City of Washburn for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$400,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ND	Stutsman Rural Water District for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$400,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NE	Metropolitan Utilities District in Omaha for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NE	City of Lincoln for water infrastructure improvements at the Nebraska Innovation Campus	\$900,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NH	Town of Farmington for sewer lines	\$825,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NH	City of Concord for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NH	Conway Village Fire District for a drinking water, wastewater, stormwater and water quality protection project	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NH	Town of Bristol for a wastewater project	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NH	Town of Hampton for a wastewater and stormwater project	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NJ	Bayonne Municipal Utilities Authority for storm water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NJ	Borough of Cliffside Park for sanitary and storm sewer improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NJ	Borough of Bogota for River Road and Elm Avenue drainage improvements	\$400,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NJ	Borough of Matawan for drinking water system improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NJ	City of New Brunswick for storm sewer improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NM	City of Sunland Park for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NM	Mora MDWC and MSWA Wastewater System Improvement Project	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NM	City of Belen for Water Storage Tank Improvement	\$750,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NM	City of Farmington for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NV	City of Boulder City for water infrastructure improvements	\$150,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NV	City of Dayton for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$100,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NV	Las Vegas Paiute Tribe for water infrastructure improvements	\$600,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NV	City of Carson City for drinking water infrastructure improvements for the Marlette-Hobart water system	\$650,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Gowanus Canal Conservancy for stormwater abatement	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Town of Owasco for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Town of Webster outfall project	\$315,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Village of Barker for drainage and stormwater improvements	\$484,856
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Town of Eastchester for Crawford Street Drain System	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Town of Grand Island for Water System Improvement Project	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Town of Mt. Pleasant for Bear Ridge Lake Water District contamination and replacement project	\$715,000

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Village of Red Hook water infrastructure project	\$850,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	New York City Department of Small Business Services for water and stormwater infrastructure improvements at the Hunts Point Terminal/South Bronx Greenway	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Town of Montgomery Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$1,250,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Buffalo Sewer Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OH	City of Parma for West Ridgewood Drive Septic System Abatement	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OH	Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District for a wastewater project in the Village of Cuyahoga Heights	\$800,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OH	Metropolitan Sewer District of Greater Cincinnati for Lick Run CSO Reduction Project	\$850,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OH	City of Defiance for combined sewer overflow improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OH	Belmont County for a wastewater project in the Neffs Community	\$350,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OH	City of Dayton for a drinking water, wastewater and stormwater project	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OH	City of Marietta for water infrastructure improvements	\$600,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OH	City of Fremont for a wastewater project	\$800,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OK	City of Wewoka for a wastewater project	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OR	City of Sweet Home for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OR	City of Redmond for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Allegheny County Sanitary Authority for wet weather demonstration project	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Butler Area Sewer Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	North Londonderry Township for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Southwest Delaware County Municipal Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Susquehanna County Commissioners for South Montrose Water Extension	\$463,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Greene County Department of Economic Development for Dry Tavern Sewer Authority Expansion	\$550,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Lower Bucks County Joint Municipal Authority for rehabilitation of sanitary sewer pipes	\$660,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority for the Critical Pipe Inspection Program	\$770,000

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	RI	City of East Providence for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	RI	Town of Middletown for stormwater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	RI	Town of North Providence for stormwater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	RI	City of Warwick for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$700,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	RI	Town of Westerly for stormwater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	SC	City of Camden for mechanical wastewater treatment plant upgrade	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	SC	Town of Hollywood for water and sewer expansion	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	SC	Greenwood Commission of Public Works for a drinking water and water quality protection project	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	SD	City of Huron for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$750,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	SD	City of Rapid City for a source water protection project	\$750,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TN	North Stewart Utility District for a drinking water project in Stewart County	\$250,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TN	Campbell and Scott Counties for a drinking water project	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TN	Morgan County Government for Waterline Improvements	\$550,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TN	City of Harrogate for a wastewater and drinking water project	\$200,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TN	Hancock County for a drinking water project	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TN	City of Pigeon Forge for a wastewater project	\$750,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	City of Navasota for drainage and stormwater improvements	\$250,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	City of Presidio for Colonia Pueblo Nuevo Sewer Project	\$350,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	City of Edinburg wastewater treatment plant	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	City of Groesbeck for wastewater treatment improvements	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	City of Poteet Water System Improvements	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	City of San Marcos for Stormwater Abatement	\$1,250,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	Stephenville East Side Sewer for a wastewater project in the City of Stephenville	\$350,000

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	City of Midland for a drinking water project	\$750,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	City of Nacogdoches for the Lanana Creek stormwater mitigation project	\$800,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	City of Lubbock for a drinking water project	\$900,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	UT	City of Holladay for a wastewater, stormwater and water quality protection project	\$200,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	UT	Brigham City for a drinking water and water quality protection project	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	UT	City of American Fork for a drinking water project	\$545,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	UT	City of Orem for a wastewater and water quality protection project	\$707,300
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	UT	City of Eagle Mountain for a drinking water project	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VA	City of Portsmouth for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VA	City of Norfolk for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VA	Dale City Service Corporation for Nutrient Reduction and Treatment Works upgrade	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VA	City of Alexandria for Alexandria reclamation and reuse project	\$875,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VA	City of Hopewell for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VT	City of Rutland for stormwater collection system	\$1,500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VT	City of Rutland for water infrastructure improvements	\$150,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VT	Harwood Union High School District No. 19 for drinking water system improvements	\$150,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VT	Town of Waterbury for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$400,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VT	Village of Swanton for drinking water system improvements	\$800,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WA	City of Edgewood for sewer infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WA	City of Blaine wastewater treatment plant relocation project	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WA	City of Fircrest Sewer Replacement Project	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WA	City of Union Gap for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WA	Mason County Public Utility District for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WA	Soos Creek Water and Sewer District for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WI	Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$400,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WI	City of Ladysmith for water and wastewater infrastructure	\$1,748,659
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WI	City of Janesville for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$400,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WI	City of Waukesha Water Utility for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$400,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WV	Hancock County Public Sewer District for water infrastructure improvements	\$600,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WV	City of Shinnston for water main replacement	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WV	Town of Moorefield for water infrastructure improvements	\$600,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WV	Town of Moorefield for water infrastructure improvements	\$600,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	AK	Matanuska-Susitna Borough - culvert and fish passage	\$500,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	CA	South San Francisco Bay Salt Ponds Restoration	\$2,150,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	CNMI	Marianas Trench Marine National Monument - visitor center planning and design	\$500,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	HI	James Campbell National Wildlife Refuge - visitor center planning and design	\$1,700,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	MI	Detroit River International Wildlife Refuge Youth Wildlife Conservation Center	\$1,250,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	MI	Jordan River National Fish Hatchery - photovoltaic energy strips	\$348,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	MS	Theodore Roosevelt National Wildlife Refuge - Holt Collier Visitor and Interpretive Center	\$1,000,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	NV	Large game - water catchments	\$150,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	WV	Ohio River Islands National Wildlife Refuge - erosion control	\$250,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	WV	Canaan Valley National Wildlife Refuge - complete trail and replace suspension bridge	\$750,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	AK	Izembek National Wildlife Refuge EIS	\$500,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	HI	Hakalau Forest National Wildlife Refuge	\$6,000,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	IA	Neal Smith National Wildlife Refuge	\$750,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NH/ME	Umbagog National Wildlife Refuge	\$3,000,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NJ	Cape May National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,500,000

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NM	Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,000,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	OH	Ottawa National Wildlife Refuge	\$750,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	WV	Canaan Valley National Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	CA, NV	Lake Tahoe Region Invasive mussel prevention	\$1,500,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	HI	Hawaii Invasive species partnerships	\$1,000,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	HI	Hawaii endangered bird species recovery	\$2,000,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	ME	Maine lakes milfoil project	\$350,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	ME	Resources First Foundation	\$100,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	MS	Miss. St. U. Natural Resources Economic Enterprise Program	\$350,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	NV	Lahontan Cutthroat Trout	\$350,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	NV	Nevada Biological Resources Research Center	\$350,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	NV	Nevada historic steelhead and salmon habitat	\$350,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	TX	Caddo Lake Ramsar Center	\$150,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	WV	West Virginia Fish and Wildlife Conservation Office	\$1,800,000
National Park Service	Construction	CA	Golden Gate NRA (Alcatraz)	\$5,000,000
National Park Service	Construction	MI	Keweenaw NHP	\$800,000
National Park Service	Construction	PA	Flight 93 Memorial (water and sewer infrastructure)	\$3,700,000
National Park Service	Construction	TN	Great Smoky Mountains NP (Cosby wastewater)	\$800,000
National Park Service	Construction	TN	Great Smoky Mountains NP (curatorial facility)	\$3,500,000
National Park Service	Construction	VA	Battle of New Market Heights Memorial and Visitor's Center	\$1,000,000
National Park Service	Construction	WI	St. Croix National Scenic Riverway Boundary Study	\$200,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	LA	Jean Lafitte National Historical Park and Preserve	\$1,500,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	MD/DE	Captain John Smith National Historic Trail	\$900,000

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	MI	Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore	\$380,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	TN	Big South Fork National Recreation Area	\$550,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	VA	Captain John Smith National Historic Trail	\$1,000,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	VT	Appalachian National Scenic Trail	\$625,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Mount Rainier National Park	\$1,543,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	WI	St. Croix National Scenic Riverway	\$200,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	WI	Ice Age National Scenic Trail	\$2,000,000
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	CA	Yosemite Schools	\$400,000
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	DC	National Building Museum	\$300,000
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	HI	Native Hawaiian Culture and Arts Program	\$500,000
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	HI	National Tropical Botanical Garden	\$500,000
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	NH	Lamprey Wild and Scenic River	\$200,000
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	NJ	Great Falls National Historical Park	\$700,000
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	NY	Lower East Side Tenement Museum capital improvement at 97 Orchard Street	\$300,000
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	UT	Crossroads of the West Historic District	\$300,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	AZ	Bullion Plaza Cultural Center	\$400,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	CA	Pigeon Point Lighthouse, Pescadero	\$250,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	CA	Mission San Juan Bautista, San Juan Bautista	\$300,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	CT	Wadsworth Atheneum Museum of Art	\$700,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	IA	Flynn Mansion, Urbandale	\$300,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	IL	Augustana College, Rock Island	\$100,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	KY	Benjamin Henry Latrobe House	\$250,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	LA	Ursuline Academy, New Orleans	\$300,000

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MA	Spalding House, Lowell	\$125,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MA	Paul Revere House	\$400,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MA	Lightship Museum Nantucket, Boston	\$275,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	ME	Monhegan Island Lighthouse, Monhegan	\$80,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MN	Renaissance Box Restoration, St. Paul	\$350,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MN	North Branch Library, Minneapolis	\$400,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MO	Winston Churchill Museum, Fulton	\$250,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MS	Round Island Lighthouse, Pascagoula	\$150,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	NH	Bridge's House, Concord	\$200,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	NY	Bannerman Castle, Beacon	\$300,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	NY	Altamont Train Station Rehabilitation	\$300,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	NY	Forum Theatre, Binghamton	\$750,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	OH	Mahoning Valley History Center	\$220,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	PA	Old Pine Street Cemetery Conservation	\$200,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	RI	Fort Adams State Park Historic Preservation	\$500,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	RI	Beavertail Lighthouse, Jamestown	\$250,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	TN	Ramsey House, Knoxville	\$75,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	VA	The Jefferson School Cultural Center, Charlottesville	\$850,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	VT	Barre Labor Hall, Barre	\$300,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	WA	Wheeler Theater, Port Townsend	\$500,000
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	WV	Metropolitan Theatre Restoration	\$250,000
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	AL	City of Cullman for the the Duck River Reservoir Project	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	AR	Lonoke White Public Water Authority for drinking water projects	

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	CA	Georgetown Divide Public Utility District for water infrastructure improvements	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	CO	Town of Rico for drinking water system improvements	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	CT	Town of North Haven for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	HI	County of Hawaii Department of Water Supply for the Kapulea drinking water source development project	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	MO	City of Lee's Summit for a drinking water and wastewater infrastructure improvements project	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	MT	Crow Tribe in Crow Agency for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	NY	Village of Mamaroneck for Sewer System Improvements	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	OH	Trumbull County Commissioners for a revolving loan fund	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	SC	Gaffney Board of Public Works for sewer system improvements	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	TN	Town of Erwin for a well water treatment plant improvements project	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	TX	City of Godley for Water Storage Expansion	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	TX	Cities of Rosenberg and Richmond for a water supply project in West Fort Bend County	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	TX	Cities of Rosenberg and Richmond for a water supply project in West Fort Bend County	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	WA	Beacon Hill Sewer District for drinking water infrastructure improvements	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	WA	Skagit County (WA) Public Utility for drinking water infrastructure improvements	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	WY	Kemmerer/Diamondville Water and Wastewater Joint Powers Board for drinking water	
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	CA	South San Francisco Bay Salt Ponds Restoration	\$1,000,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	HI	Cooperative partnership between University of Hawaii-Manoa and the USGS Hawaii Volcano Observatory	\$250,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	HI	Well monitoring and other water resources assessments	\$500,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	LA	Long-Term Estuary Assessment Group	\$400,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	MA	Seismographic equipment and activities at Weston Observatory at Boston College	\$500,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	MD	Coastal Plains groundwater study	\$500,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	NJ, NY, PA	Hydrology study on impact of natural gas drilling in Delaware River Basin	\$1,500,000

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	NM, AZ	US-Mexico transboundary aquifer assessments	\$500,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	NV	Nye County energy and mineral resources assessment	\$500,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	TN	Shelby County Groundwater Study	\$500,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	VT	Lake Champlain Basin streamflow gaging and toxic studies	\$500,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	WA	Monitoring of aquatic invasive species in the Columbia River Basin	\$350,000
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	AK	Road improvements for the Tongass National Forest	\$1,500,000
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	AR	Blanchard Springs Cavern visitor center rehabilitation, Ozark-St. Francis National Forest	\$205,000
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	CA	Station Fire road and trail rehabilitation	\$700,000
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	VT	Green Mountain National Forest for phase I of a headquarters building	\$1,000,000
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	WV	Road improvements for the Monongahela National Forest	\$880,000
US Forest Service	Forest and Rangeland Research	MS	Forest Products Laboratory for Durability Housing Coalition with Mississippi State University	\$500,000
US Forest Service	Forest and Rangeland Research	MS	USFS Center for Bottomland Hardwood Research	\$800,000
US Forest Service	Forest Legacy	AR	Maumelle Water Excellence	\$4,000,000
US Forest Service	Forest Legacy	SD	Blood Run	\$2,600,000
US Forest Service	Forest Legacy	TN	North Cumberland Conservation Area	\$4,000,000
US Forest Service	Forest Legacy	VT	Northern Green Mountains Linkage	\$3,300,000
US Forest Service	Forest Legacy	WI	Chippewa Flowage	\$3,000,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Six Rivers National Forest	\$1,000,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Tahoe and Eldorado National Forests	\$4,500,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	IN	Hoosier National Forest	\$255,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MI	Hiawatha/Ottawa National Forests	\$2,300,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	NC	Pisgah National Forest	\$1,800,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	OR	Wallowa-Whitman National Forest	\$3,284,000

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	UT	Bonneville Shoreline Trail	\$750,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Olympic National Forest	\$1,200,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Pacific Crest National Scenic Trail	\$1,500,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WI	Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest	\$2,000,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WV	Monongahela National Forest	\$1,000,000
US Forest Service	National Forest System	MS	Inventory and monitoring projects at the Southern Center for Natural Resource Inventory, Monitoring and Evaluation, Mississippi State University	\$1,000,000
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	AZ	Buffelgrass Planning and Mitigation on Federal Lands in Central and Southern Arizona	\$1,034,000
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	CA	Region 5, USFS for small forest products infrastructure assistance grants	\$1,000,000
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	NM	Land Grant Forestry Management Equipment	\$138,000
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	OR/WA	Washington Investment Board for economic development activities in Washington State and Oregon authorized by Public Law 99-663	\$1,000,000
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	TN/NC	Invasive species mitigation for the Cherokee National Forest and other lands	\$1,000,000
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	VT	State of Vermont for the Vermont Wood Products Collaborative	\$500,000
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	WA	Seattle-Tacoma area Green Cities Regional Urban Forest Restoration Project	\$1,000,000
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	WV	Wood Education and Resource Center in Princeton	\$1,460,000
US Forest Service	Wildland Fire Management	CA	South Tahoe Public Utility District for Lake Tahoe Fire Protection Project	\$5,000,000

DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND CON-
GRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING
ITEMS

Following is a list of Congressional ear-
marks and Congressionally directed spending
items (as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI of

the Rules of the House of Representatives
and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the
Senate, respectively) included in the bill or
this explanatory statement, along with the
name of each Senator, House Member, Dele-
gate, or Resident Commissioner who sub-

mitted a request to the House or Senate
Committee of jurisdiction for each item so
identified. Neither the bill nor the explana-
tory statement contains any limited tax ben-
efits or limited tariff benefits as defined in
the applicable House and Senate rules.

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

1 of 7

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Administration	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	AK	Swede Lake Trailhead Sanitary Improvement	\$106,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	AZ	Fairbank Historic Town Site Bldg. Complex Fire Prot. System Phase I	\$68,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	AZ	Empire Ranch Historic Buildings Complex Fire Protection System	\$311,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	CA	Adgecrest Wild Horse and Burro Shelter	\$172,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	CA	King Range NCA Water Storage	\$193,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	CA	South Spit Development	\$368,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	CO	Radium Boat Ramp	\$428,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	ID	Burnt Creek Road Renovation	\$101,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	ID	Lucile Recreation Site Turn Lanes and Infrastructure	\$1,338,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	UT	Little Sahara Air Med Helipads	\$120,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	UT	Desert Pond Improvements Phase I	\$190,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	AZ	Grand Canyon-Parashant National Monument	\$1,600,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CA	Santa Rosa and San Jacinto Mountains National Monument	\$1,000,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CA	Big Morongo Canyon Area of Critical Environmental Concern	\$1,650,000	The President		Feinstein
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CA	California Wilderness	\$1,800,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CA	Trinity National Wild and Scenic River	\$1,800,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CA	Cerro Plain National Monument	\$2,200,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CA	Upper Sacramento River Area of Critical Environmental Concern	\$2,500,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CO	Canyons of the Ancients National Monument	\$2,500,000	The President	Salazar	Bennet, Udall, Mark
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	ID	Snake River Rim Recreation Area/Oregon National Historic Trail	\$400,000	The President		Crapo, Risch
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	ID	Upper Snake/South Fork Snake River Area of Critical Environmental Concern/Special Recreation Management Area	\$2,500,000	The President		Crapo, Risch
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	MT	Chain-of-Lakes Recreation Management Area/Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail	\$1,000,000	The President		Baucus, Tester
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	MT	Upper Madison River Special Recreation Management Area	\$1,000,000	The President		Baucus, Tester
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	NM	Lesser Prairie Chicken Habitat Preservation Area of Critical Environmental Concern	\$750,000	The President		Bingaman, Udall, Tom
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	OR	Crooked National Wild and Scenic River	\$1,200,000	The President		Markley, Wyden
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	OR	Sandy River Area of Critical Environmental Concern/Oregon National Historic Trail	\$1,500,000	The President	Burnsmaier	Markley, Wyden
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	OR	Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument	\$6,000,000	The President	DeFazio	Markley, Wyden
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	UT	Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument	\$700,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	WY	North Platte River Special Recreation Management Area	\$2,450,000	The President		Barrasso, Enu
Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	CA	San Francisco Bay Competitive Grant Program	\$7,000,000	The President		Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	CT	Long Island Sound program	\$9,000,000	The President		Dodd, Lieberman, Gillibrand, Schumer
Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	VT	Lake Champlain Basin Program	\$4,000,000	The President		Leahy
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG - Other	AK	Alaska Native Village water infrastructure program	\$11,000,000	The President		Markowski
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	AK	Kenai NWR Visitor Facility	\$2,448,000	The President		Beach
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	AR	Pond Creek NWR Maintenance Shop	\$1,070,000	The President		

S:\FY2011\ConfMASTER-Rpt to Richard 12-10.xls

10:54 AM on 12/10/2010

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

2 of 7

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Administration	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	AZ	Athens NWR, water supply pipeline	\$2,439,000 The President			
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	CA	San Luis NWR, water monitoring stations	\$245,000 The President			
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	CA	Kern NWR, Poudre Creek Weir	\$550,000 The President			
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	ME	Green Lake National Fish Hatchery, replace disinfection system	\$1,300,000 The President			
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	PAC	Midway Atoll NWR, Seaplane Hangar	\$3,000,000 The President	Hirono		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	WA	Turnbull NWR, Lower Pine Lake Dam	\$1,350,000 The President			
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	AK	Togalak NWR	\$670,000 The President			
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	AK	Yukon Delta NWR	\$285,000 The President			
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	AL	Cahaba River NWR	\$300,000 The President		Sessions	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	AR	Cache River NWR	\$3,000,000 The President	Berry	Lincoln, Pryor	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Sacramento River NWR	\$750,000 The President			
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Stone Lake NWR	\$750,000 The President			
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	CA	San Diego NWR	\$1,325,000 The President			
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	CA	San Joaquin River NWR	\$2,500,000 The President			
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Grasslands WMA	\$2,800,000 The President			
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	FL	St. Marks NWR	\$1,000,000 The President			
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	GA	Savannah NWR	\$1,375,000 The President			
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	IL	Cypress Creek NWR	\$500,000 The President			
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	IL/MO	Middle Mississippi NWR	\$500,000 The President		Dubin	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	LA	Red River NWR	\$1,000,000 The President		Landreos, Vitter	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	LA	Upper Ouachita NWR	\$1,600,000 The President		Landreos, Vitter	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	MA/NH/VT/CT	Slim O. Conte NWR	\$6,000,000 The President	Oliver, DeLauro	Gregg, Leahy, Shaheen, Dodd, Kerry, Lieberman	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	MD	Backwater NWR	\$2,500,000 The President	Kraus	Cardin, Mikulski	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	MN	Minnesota Valley NWR	\$750,000 The President			
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	MO/IA	Northern Tallgrass Prairie NWR	\$1,500,000 The President	Boswell	Franken, Harkin, Robach	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	MO/NW/IA/IL	Upper Mississippi River NWR	\$2,500,000 The President	Briley (A)	Harkin, Kohl	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	MT	Red Rock NWR	\$2,000,000 The President		Baucus, Tester	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	MT	Rocky Mountain Front Conservation Area	\$5,500,000 The President		Baucus, Tester	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	ND	North Dakota WMA	\$1,000,000 The President			
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	ND/SD	Dakota Tallgrass Prairie WMA	\$3,000,000 The President	Herseth, Sandlin	Johnson	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NH/ME	Umagog NWR	\$3,000,000 The President		Gregg, Shaheen	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	OK	Ozark Plateau NWR	\$500,000 The President			
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	PA	Cherry Valley NWR	\$500,000 The President	Kanjorn	Casey, Specter	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	PA/NY/NJ/CT	Highlands Conservation Area	\$5,000,000 The President	DeLauro, Engel, Hult, Murphy (CT), Murphy (PA), Menendez, Schumer, Specter	Casey, Gillibrand, Lautenberg, Lieberman, Menendez, Schumer, Specter	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	SC	Cape Roman NWR	\$380,000 The President		Payne, Schwartz, Sensenbrenner	Graham

S\FY2011Conf\MASTER Rpt to Richard 12-10.xls

10:54 AM on 12/10/2010

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

3 of 7

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	SC	Maccaw NWR	\$2,000,000 The President		Graham
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	TN	Chickasaw NWR	\$350,000 The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	TX	Laguna Atascosa NWR	\$1,000,000 The President	Ortiz	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	TX	Trinity River NWR	\$1,000,000 The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	TX	Balcones-Chisos NWR	\$2,000,000 The President		Hutchison
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	TX	Lower Rio Grande Valley NWR	\$2,300,000 The President	Hinojosa	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	TX	San Bernard NWR	\$4,000,000 The President	Paul	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	UT	Bear River NWR	\$800,000 The President		Bennett
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	VA	Rappahannock River Valley NWR	\$1,000,000 The President	Moran (VA)	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Turbid NWR	\$900,000 The President		Murray
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Willapa NWR	\$1,000,000 The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Nisqually NWR	\$1,500,000 The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	WV	National Conservation Training Center	\$25,493,000 The President		Reichelderfer
Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	AK	Alaska Fire Service Fuel Yard Rehab, Phase 1 of 3	\$100,000 The President		
Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	CA	Sequoia/King Arrowhead Operations Cache	\$111,000 The President		
Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	CA	Sacramento NWR Office/Catch Engine Storage, Phase 1 of 2	\$674,000 The President		
Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	FL	Brighton Engine Facility	\$500,000 The President		
Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	ID	Snake River Interagency Hotshot Crew Operations Building, Phase 1 of 3	\$400,000 The President		
Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	MD	Backwater Equipment Protection Shed	\$60,000 The President		
Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	NC	Alligator River Fire Cache/Office/Dispatch, Phase 2 of 2	\$550,000 The President		
Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	NM	Barrolet Interagency Fire Center, Phase 2 of 3	\$1,600,000 The President		
Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	OR	Warm Springs Fire Helibase	\$100,000 The President		
Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	TX	Lower Rio Grande Valley Dispatch and Fire Office Buildout	\$780,000 The President		
Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	UT	Northern Utah Interagency Dispatch Center, Phase 2 of 3	\$1,600,000 The President		
Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	Interior Department Wildland Fire Management	AK	Lake Clark National Park and Preserve	\$1,243,000 The President		
National Park Service	Construction	DC	National Mall and Memorial Parks (water drainage system)	\$10,835,000 The President		
National Park Service	Construction	FL	Big Cypress National Preserve	\$3,559,000 The President		
National Park Service	Construction	MA	Mississippi National River and Recreation Area	\$3,000,000 The President		
National Park Service	Construction	MO	Afferson National Expansion Memorial	\$5,460,000 The President		
National Park Service	Construction	NC	North Shore Road	\$4,000,000 The President	Shuler	
National Park Service	Construction	NY	Gateway National Recreation Area	\$6,511,000 The President		
National Park Service	Construction	NY	Statue of Liberty National Monument	\$25,963,000 The President		
National Park Service	Construction	UT	Bryce Canyon National Park	\$3,052,000 The President		
National Park Service	Construction	WA	Olympic National Park	\$20,000,000 The President		
National Park Service	Construction	WY	Yellowstone National Park	\$2,996,000 The President		

S\FY2011\CONFMASTER Rpt to Richard 12-10.rpt

10:54 AM on 12/10/2010

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

4 of 7

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Administration	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	AK	Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve	\$1,700,000 The President			
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	AK	Wrangell - St. Elias National Park and Preserve	\$500,000 The President			
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	AZ	Petrified Forest National Park	\$5,890,000 The President			
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Joshua Tree National Park	\$1,750,000 The President			
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Santa Monica Mtns. National Recreation Area	\$3,000,000 The President	Berman, Sherman		
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Golden Gate National Recreation Area	\$4,090,000 The President	Speier		
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	CO	Sand Creek Massacre National Historic Site	\$259,000 The President			Chambliss, Jackson
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	GA	Charlottesville River National Recreation Area	\$2,200,000 The President			
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	MA	Minute Man National Historical Park	\$3,170,000 The President			
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	MD	Carolin Mountain Park	\$840,000 The President			
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	ME	Acadia National Park	\$1,764,000 The President	McClintock, Pingree (ME)		Collins, Snowe
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	ME	Voyageurs National Park	\$367,000 The President	Cheney, McCollum		Franken, Klobuchar
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	MS	Natchez National Historical Park	\$500,000 The President			
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	NM	Pecos National Historical Park	\$810,000 The President			
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	NY	Saratoga National Historical Park	\$650,000 The President			
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	NY	Home of FDR National Historic Site	\$1,575,000 The President			
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	OH	Cuyahoga Valley National Park	\$5,497,000 The President	Ryan (OH), Sutton		Brown, Sherrod, Voinovich
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	SC	Congaree National Park	\$1,400,000 The President	Clyburn		Graham
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	SD	Wind Cave National Park	\$4,557,000 The President	Herrell, Sandlin		Johnson
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	TX	San Antonio Missions National Historical Park	\$1,760,000 The President	Rodriguez		
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	TX	Palo Alto National Historic Site	\$2,000,000 The President			
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	VA	Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania NM Park	\$1,758,000 The President			
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	VA	Prince William Forest Park	\$6,000,000 The President			
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	VI	Virgin Islands National Park	\$2,250,000 The President	Christensen		
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Ebey's Landing National Historical Reserve	\$1,000,000 The President	Larson (WA)		Murray
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Mount Rainier National Park	\$1,543,000 The President	Dicks		Murray, Cantwell
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	WV	New River Gorge National River	\$4,138,000 The President			Rosenfeller
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	Multi	Cheapeake Bay Gateways and Trails	\$2,000,000 The President			Hoyer, Moran (VA), Scott (VA), Sarbanes, Kaptov, Ruppel, Stanger
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	VT	Lake Champlain Basin streamflow gaging and toxic studies	\$500,000 The President			Leahy
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	AK	Tongass NF White Sulphur Springs Reconstruction	\$463,000 The President			
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	AK	Tongass NF Crow Creek Bankhouse Renovation	\$516,000 The President			
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	AK	Tongass NF, Anan Administrative Facility	\$696,000 The President			
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	AK	Registerville, Mountain Communication Sites	\$1,182,000 The President			
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	AK	PNW, Inleak Lab Collocation, Phase 2	\$4,960,000 The President			
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	AZ	Cornudas NF, Bone Canyon Campground, Phase 2	\$848,000 The President			

S:\FY2011\Conf\MASTER Rpt to Richard 12-10.xls

10:54 AM on 12/10/2010

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

5 of 7

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	CA	Six Rivers NF, Mad River Duplex Renovation	\$740,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	CA	Thore NF, Big Bend Engine Garage Replacement	\$1,673,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	CA	Mendocino NF, Upper Lake District Office Renovation	\$1,704,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	CA	Angeles NF, Fox Albate Improvements Phase 1	\$2,000,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	CO	Grand Mesa/Uncompahgre/Gunnison NF, Columbine Recreation Area	\$325,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	CO	Aspen/Rosapelt NF, Berthoud Pass Trailhead Completion	\$366,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	CO	Rio Grande NF, Big Meadows Campground Rehabilitation, Phase 2	\$500,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	CO	Pla/San Isabel NF, O'Haver Lake Campground Rehabilitation	\$569,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	CO	Aspen/Rosapelt NF, Pawnee Campground & Day Use Area Phase 2	\$765,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	CO	PMBS, Ft. Collins Project Renovations	\$3,078,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	FL	Ocala NF, Clearwater Lake Bushhouse	\$365,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	GA	SIS, Athens Lab Renovations Phase 1	\$900,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	HI	PSW, Hawaii Experimental Forest Infrastructure, Phase 3	\$500,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	ID	ID Panhandle NF, Cour d'Alene Nursery Roof Replacement	\$498,000 The President		Boyle
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	ID	ID Panhandle NF, Fernan HVAC Renovation	\$818,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	ID	Nez Perce NF, Red River H2O & Waste System Replacement	\$486,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	ID	Payette NF, McCall Helibase Project	\$2,060,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	ID	Caribou/Targhee NF, Caribou/Targhee Administrative Facilities	\$2,270,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	MT	Daniel Boone NF, Twin Knobs Accessibility	\$1,360,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	MT	Huron/Manistee NF, Baldwin/White Cloud Ranger District Administrative Site, Phase 1	\$1,500,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	MT	Superior NF, Elv National Air Tanker Base	\$2,380,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	MN	Chippewa NF, Walker Administrative Site, Phase 2	\$4,240,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	MS	SIS, Stoneville Lab Renovations	\$1,514,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	MT	Lewis & Clark NF, Caw Mountain/West Fork Campground	\$366,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	MT	Regional Office, Aerial Fire Depot Siding	\$274,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	NC	Pisgah NF, Appalachian Ranger District Office	\$3,095,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	NE	Richard Dam Repair	\$533,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	NM	Cibola NF, Magdalena Administrative Site, Phase 2	\$3,324,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	OR	PNW, LeGrande Warehouse Expansion	\$429,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	OR	Williamette NF, N Waldo-Siet Campground Water System	\$430,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	OR	Rogue River-Siskiyou NF, Union Creek Water System	\$580,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	OR	Mount Hood NF, Zigzag Ranger District Office Consolidation	\$1,400,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	OR	Wallowa-Whitman NF, La Grande (OR) Air Tanker Base Apron Repair	\$1,669,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	UT	Duke NF, Cedar City Maintenance Shop	\$1,425,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	UT	Ashley NF, Lucerne Campground Reconstruction	\$2,000,000 The President		

S:\FY2011\Conf\MAS\TR-Rpt to Richard 12-10.rss

10:54 AM on 12/10/2010

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (Construction)	WI	NIS, Rhineland-Lab Renovations	\$444,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (Construction)	WV	Monongahela NF Lake Sherwood Campground, Phase 1	\$491,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (Construction)	WY	Medicine Bow-Routt NF, Centennial Visitor Information Center	\$380,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (Construction)	WY	Big Horn NF, Patrick Lakes Campground & Trailhead Rehabilitation	\$816,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Forest Legacy	AR	Marmelle Water Excellence	\$4,000,000 The President		Pryor, Lincoln
US Forest Service	Forest Legacy	SD	Blount Run	\$2,600,000 The President		Johnson
US Forest Service	Forest Legacy	TN	North Cumberland Conservation Area	\$4,000,000 The President		Alexander
US Forest Service	Forest Legacy	VT	Northern Green Mountains Landscape	\$3,300,000 The President		L Leahy
US Forest Service	Forest Legacy	WI	Chippewa Flowage	\$3,000,000 The President	Ohry	Kohl
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	AK	Tongass National Forest - Cube Cove	\$3,500,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	AZ	Cocconino National Forest - Packard Ranch Phase III	\$935,000 The President	Kinpatrick (AZ)	
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	AZ	Cocconino National Forest - Shield Ranch	\$1,500,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Shasta-Trinity National Forest - Stony Creek Consolidation	\$1,300,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Humboldt-Clatskanie National Forest - Summers Meadows	\$1,900,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Tahoe-Ti Dorado National Forests	\$4,500,000 The President		Kenstein
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CO	Arapaho National Forest - Little Echo Lake	\$950,000 The President		Bennett, Udall, Mark
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CO	Uncompahgre National Forest - Ophir Valley	\$1,000,000 The President	Salazar	Bennett, Udall, Mark
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	GA	Chattahoochee-Oconee National Forest - Georgia Mountains	\$1,200,000 The President	Marshall	Chambliss
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	ID	Clearwater National Forest - Upper Lochsa	\$1,000,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	ID	Salmon - Challis National Forest - Idaho Wilderness Wild & Scenic Rivers - Morgan Ranch	\$1,000,000 The President		Crapo, Blisch
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	ID/MT/WY	Caribou-Targhee National Forest - Greater Yellowstone	\$2,000,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MI	Hawthorne and Ottawa National Forests - Great Lakes/Great Lands	\$2,300,000 The President	Stupak	Levin, Stabenow
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MN	Superior/Chippewa National Forest - Minnesota Wilderness	\$1,800,000 The President	Oberstar	Klobuchar
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MO	Mark Twain National Forest - Missouri Ozarks Current River Project	\$1,100,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MT	Lewis & Clark National Forest - Tenderfoot	\$4,000,000 The President		Baucus, Tester
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MT	Flathead/Goose National Forest - Montana Legacy Completion	\$6,000,000 The President		Baucus, Tester
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	NC	Pisgah National Forest	\$1,800,000 The President		Burr, Hagan
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	OR	Willamette National Forest - Hells Canyon NMA	\$3,264,000 The President	Blumenthal, Wu	Merkley, Wyden
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	OR, WA	Siuslaw, Willamette, Whitman and Shasta National Forests - Pacific NW Streams	\$1,500,000 The President	Wu	Merkley, Wyden
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	SD	Black Hills National Forest - Lady C Ranch	\$755,000 The President	Herath Sardin	Johnson
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	TN	Cherokee National Forest - Rocky Fork	\$6,000,000 The President	Price (NC)	Alexander
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	UT	Utah Wasatch-Cache National Forest - High Uintas	\$500,000 The President		Bennett
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Columbia River Gorge NMA	\$850,000 The President	Burroughs, Band, McDermott, Wu	Murray
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Winatatche National Forest - Washington Cascade Ecosystem - Big Creek	\$1,500,000 The President		
US Forest Service	Research	MS	USFS Center for Bottomland Hardwood Research	\$800,000 The President		Cochran

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Administration	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	WV	Wood Education and Resource Center in Princeton	\$1,460,000	The President		Rockefeller

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
Bureau of Indian Affairs	Operation of Indian Programs	ND	United Tribes Technical College	\$886,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan, Conrad
Bureau of Indian Affairs	Operation of Indian Programs	NM	Navajo Technical College	\$445,000		Bingaman, Udall, Tom
Bureau of Indian Affairs	Operation of Indian Programs	SD	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, prairie management program	\$500,000		Johnson
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	NV	City of Mesquite multi-purpose trails construction	\$250,000		Reid
Bureau of Land Management	Management of Lands and Resources	UT	Utah rural cadastral program	\$300,000		Bennett
Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement	Royalty and Offshore Minerals Management	MS	Center for Marine Resources	\$900,000	Childers	Cochran, Wicker
Environmental Protection Agency	Other	CA	Hunters Point Naval Shipyard environmental cleanup	\$8,000,000		Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency	Science & Technology	TX	Southwest Consortium for Environmental Research and Policy (SCERP)	\$1,000,000	Reyes; Pastor (AZ); Teague	Bingaman
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG - Other	CA	Emissions reduction grants to the San Joaquin Air Pollution Control District and South Coast Air Quality Management District	\$15,000,000	Cardoza; Costa; McNerney	Feinstein; Boxer
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AK	City of Kenai for a wastewater project	\$612,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AK	City of Kodiak for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Young (AK)	Begich
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AK	City of North Pole for industrial force sewer main	\$1,000,000	Young (AK)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AK	Northwest Arctic Borough for a drinking water and wastewater project in the City of Buckland	\$612,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AK	Wrangell Borough for a drinking water project in the City of Wrangell	\$350,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AK	City of Homer for a drinking water and wastewater project	\$612,000		Murkowski
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AK	City of Palmer for a water quality protection project	\$612,000		Murkowski; Begich
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AL	Blount County Commission for a wastewater project in the Town of Locust Fork	\$1,000,000		Shelby
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AL	Mobile County Commission for a wastewater project in Mobile County	\$300,000		Sessions
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AR	City of Forrest City for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Pryor; Lincoln
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AR	City of Fort Smith for water quality improvements	\$300,000		Pryor; Lincoln
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AR	City of Warren for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Pryor; Lincoln
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AR	Little Rock Wastewater for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Pryor; Lincoln
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AZ	The Pascua Yaqui Tribe master drainage plan	\$1,500,000	Pastor (AZ); Grijalva	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of Anaheim for water infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Sanchez; Loretta	Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of Bellflower for water system improvement	\$700,000	Roybal-Allard	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of Berkeley for stormwater management improvement.	\$782,000	Lee (CA)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of Calexico for water infrastructure improvements	\$600,000	Fliner	Feinstein; Boxer

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of Gilroy for Christmas Hill Park-Lvas Creek outfall reconstruction	\$102,795	Honda	Feinstein, Boxer
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of San Jose Alviso storm pump improvements	\$500,000	Honda	Feinstein, Boxer
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of Vallejo for Mare Island Sanitary Sewer and Storm Drain Project	\$1,000,000	Miller, George	Feinstein, Boxer
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	County of Lake for Kelseyville Wastewater Treatment Plant Phase II Improvements	\$1,400,000	Thompson (CA)	Feinstein, Boxer
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	Kings County for water infrastructure improvements in Kettleman City	\$2,700,000	Costa	Feinstein, Boxer
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	Los Angeles Gateway Region Integrated Regional Water Management Joint Powers Authority (CA) for stormwater infrastructure improvements	\$1,000,000	Roybal-Allard; Sanchez, Loretta	Feinstein, Boxer
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	Marina Coast Water District for the Regional Urban Water Augmentation Project	\$700,000	Farr	Feinstein, Boxer
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	Orange County Sanitation District for secondary treatment project upgrade	\$300,000	Sanchez, Loretta	Feinstein, Boxer
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	San Mateo County Public Works for Colma Creek Flood Control Channel Wall Repair	\$700,000	Speier	Feinstein, Boxer
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	Borrego Water District for water infrastructure improvements	\$500,000		Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of Los Angeles for coastal interceptor wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$1,000,000		Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of Orange Cove for water infrastructure improvements	\$1,000,000		Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	Nevada Irrigation District (CA) for water infrastructure improvements	\$1,000,000		Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CA	City of Long Beach for stormwater catch basin treatment plan	\$600,000	Richardson	Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CO	City of Idaho Springs Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Replacement	\$500,000	Polis	Udall, Mark
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CO	City of Montrose for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Salazar	Udall, Mark
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CO	City of Trinidad for water line replacement	\$700,000	Salazar	Bennet
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CO	City of Sterling for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Bennet; Udall, Mark
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CT	City of Middletown for water infrastructure improvements	\$1,000,000	DeLauro	Dodd; Lieberman
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CT	Town of Hamden for Franklin Road stormwater drainage improvement project	\$247,500	DeLauro	Lieberman
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	CT	Town of Suffield for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Courtney	Dodd; Lieberman
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	DC/MD	D.C. Water and Sewer Authority for nitrogen removal at Blue Plains Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$5,027,890	Norton; Edwards (MD)	Mikulski; Cardin
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	DE	New Castle County for the Turkey Run wastewater interceptor project	\$300,000		Carper; Kaufman
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	DE	Sussex County Council for Angola Neck wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Carper; Kaufman
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	FL	City of Fort Lauderdale for alternative water supply source	\$700,000	Hastings (FL); Klein (FL)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	FL	City of Sunrise for Southwest Water Reclamation System	\$1,000,000	Wasserman Schultz	Nelson, Bill
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	FL	Suwannee River Water Management District for the Heartland Springs Initiative	\$500,000	Boyd	Nelson, Bill

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	FL	Big Bend Water Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Nelson, Bill
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	GA	City of Albany for Holloway-Mercer stormwater drainage	\$700,000	Bishop (GA)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	GA	Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$1,000,000	Scott (GA); Bishop (GA); Johnson (GA); Lewis (GA)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	GA	City of LaGrange for a wastewater project	\$300,000		Isakson
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	GA	City of Valdosta for a wastewater project	\$300,000		Chambliss
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	HI	County of Hawaii for Kailakehe wastewater treatment plant improvements	\$1,600,000	Hirono	Inouye
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	HI	County of Kauai for Elele wastewater treatment plant improvements	\$1,600,000	Abercrombie, Hirono	Inouye
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	HI	4AgHawaii organization for water infrastructure improvements at Lake Wilson	\$275,000		Inouye
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	HI	County of Maui Department of Public Works for stormwater and water quality improvements	\$300,000		Akaka
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	HI	County of Maui for injection well rehabilitation	\$500,000		Inouye
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	HI	County of Maui for Maui Meadows Booster Station Pump Replacement	\$1,100,000		Inouye
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	HI	Kuna Water Association for wastewater and stormwater improvements	\$55,000		Inouye
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IA	City of Clinton for a wastewater project	\$750,000	Braley (IA)	Harkin, Grassley
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IA	City of Ottumwa for combined sewer separation and water quality improvements	\$300,000	Loebbeck	Harkin, Grassley
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IA	City of Boone for wastewater and water quality improvements	\$300,000		Harkin, Grassley
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IA	City of Spencer for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Harkin, Grassley
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ID	City of Bellevue for a wastewater project	\$300,000		Crapo, Rich
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ID	City of Priest River for a drinking water project	\$300,000		Crapo, Rich
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IL	City of Park Ridge for stormwater drainage	\$363,000	Schakowsky	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IL	City of Rock Island for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Hare	Durbin, Burris
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IL	Kankakee County Metropolitan Agency for wastewater treatment plant improvements	\$517,000	Halvorson	Durbin, Burris
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IL	Village of Franklin Park for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Quigley	Durbin
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IL	Village of University Park for South suburban water delivery study	\$550,000	Jackson (IL)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IL	City of North Chicago for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Durbin
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IL	City of Quincy for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Durbin
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IN	City of Valparaiso for water infrastructure improvements	\$400,000	Visclosky	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IN	Town of Hebron for water infrastructure improvements	\$263,000	Visclosky	

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IN	City of Salem for a drinking water project	\$300,000		Lugar
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KS	City of Lawrence for 5th and Maple Stormwater pump station	\$500,000	Moore (KS)	Roberts
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KS	City of Andover for a wastewater project	\$500,000		Brownback
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KS	City of Edgerton for a wastewater project	\$500,000		Brownback
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KS	City of Girard for a wastewater project	\$500,000		Brownback, Roberts
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KS	City of Great Bend for a wastewater project	\$300,000		Brownback, Roberts
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KS	City of Lakin for a water quality protection project	\$500,000		Brownback
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KS	City of Newton for a drinking water project	\$400,000		Brownback
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KY	City of Middletown for sewer system improvements	\$625,000	Yarmuth	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KY	Red River Wastewater Authority for a wastewater treatment plant	\$1,250,000	Chandler	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KY	Campbell County Sanitation District Number 1 for a wastewater and water quality protection project	\$300,000		Bunning
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KY	City of Glasgow for a wastewater project in Barren County	\$838,000		McConnell
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KY	City of Hartford for a wastewater project in Ohio County	\$1,500,000		McConnell; Bunning
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	KY	Garrard County for a wastewater project in the Paint Lick community	\$112,000		McConnell
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	LA	Ascension Consolidated Utilities District Number 1 for water and wastewater infrastructure	\$650,000	Melancon	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	LA	City of Gonzales for a drinking water project	\$300,000		Vitter
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	LA	City of Leesville for Sewer System Expansion U.S. Hwy 171	\$300,000		Landrieu; Vitter
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	LA	Town of St. Francisville for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Landrieu
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	LA	Village of Tickfaw for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Landrieu
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MA	City of New Bedford and Fall River and the Town of Acushnet for combined sewer overflow improvements in Bristol County	\$1,250,000	Frank (MA); McGovern	Kerry
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MA	City of Gloucester for Essex Avenue wastewater treatment facility upgrade	\$750,000	Tierney	Kerry
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MA	City of Marlborough for Westerly wastewater treatment facility upgrades	\$500,000	McGovern	Kerry
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MA	Pioneer Valley Planning Commission for Connecticut River CSO clean-up	\$1,500,000	Oliver	Kerry
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MD	Baltimore County for water line improvements	\$400,000	Sarbanes	Mikulski
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MD	Caroline County for Greensboro-Goldboro wastewater upgrade	\$885,000	Kratovil	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MD	City of Baltimore for Penn Station pipe relocation	\$700,000	Ruppersberger	Mikulski; Cardin
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MD	City of Rockville for sanitary sewer rehabilitation project	\$1,000,000	Van Hollen	Cardin

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MD	St. Mary's County Metropolitan Commission for the Marley Taylor Water Reclamation Facility Enhanced Nutrient Removal Upgrade	\$1,000,000	Hoyer	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MD	Town of Rising Sun for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Kratovil	Cardin
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MD	City of Baltimore Department of Public Works for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$400,000		Mikulski; Cardin
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MD	City of Hagerstown for drinking water system improvements	\$400,000		Mikulski; Cardin
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ME	Long Creek Watershed Management District for a stormwater and water quality protection project	\$2,500,000	Pingree (ME)	Collins
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ME	Town of Stockton Springs for a wastewater project	\$500,000	Michaud	Collins; Snowe
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MI	City of Hamtramck for water and sewer line rehabilitation	\$1,000,000	Conyers	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MI	Karegnond Water Authority for Lake Huron water supply	\$700,000	Kildee	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MI	Wyandotte Municipal Services for water efficiency infrastructure project	\$500,000	Kipatrick (MI)	Levin; Stabenow
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MI	City of Mt. Clemens for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Levin; Stabenow
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MI	City of Port Huron for combined sewer overflow improvements	\$300,000		Levin; Stabenow
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MN	Central Iron Range Sanitary Sewer District for wastewater infrastructure	\$1,000,000	Oberstar	Franken; Klobuchar
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MN	City of Goodview for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Walz	Franken; Klobuchar
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MN	City of Maplewood for Gerten Pond Improvements	\$1,000,000	McCollum	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MO	City of Lee's Summit for a wastewater project	\$550,000	Cleaver	Bond
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MO	Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District for River Des Peres Foulwater Interceptor Rehabilitation	\$1,000,000	Carnahan	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MO	Clarence Cannon Wholesale Water Commission for a drinking water project in the City of Moberly	\$605,000		Bond
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MO	Monroe City for a wastewater project	\$550,000		Bond
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MO	North Central Missouri Regional Water Commission for a drinking water project in the City of Milan	\$350,000		Bond
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	City of D'Arville for a drinking water, wastewater and stormwater project in Harrison County	\$123,750	Taylor	Cochran; Wicker
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	City of Mound Bayou for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$250,000	Thompson (MS)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	City of Waynesboro for a wastewater project	\$495,000	Taylor	Cochran; Wicker
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	City of Forest for a drinking water and wastewater project	\$500,000		Cochran; Wicker
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	City of Greenville for a water quality protection project	\$300,000		Cochran; Wicker
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	City of Hattiesburg and Forrest/Lamar Counties for a drinking water and wastewater project	\$321,750		Cochran; Wicker
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	City of Ocean Springs for a drinking water project in Jackson County	\$230,000		Cochran
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	City of West Point for a wastewater project	\$500,000		Cochran; Wicker

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	Coahoma County Board of Supervisors for a wastewater and water quality protection project in Coahoma County	\$300,000		Cochran
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MS	Pike County Board of Supervisors for a wastewater and drinking water project in Pike County	\$280,500		Cochran
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MT	City of Billings for East End water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Tester, Baucus
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MT	City of Helena for drinking water system improvements	\$300,000		Tester, Baucus
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MT	Crow Tribe in Crow Agency for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$600,000		Tester, Baucus
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MT	Seeley Lake Sewer District for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Tester
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NC	Cities of Concord, Kannapolis and Albemarle Concord Water Resources Department for transmission water lines	\$900,000 Kissell; Watt		Burr; Hagan
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NC	City of Charlotte for a wastewater and stormwater project	\$300,000 Watt		Burr; Hagan
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NC	Town of Ahoskie for wastewater reuse transmission lines	\$700,000 Butterfield		Burr; Hagan
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NC	Town of Hillsborough Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade and Expansion	\$1,000,000 Price (NC)		
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NC	Town of Holly Springs Friendship Industrial Site sewer extension	\$1,000,000 Price (NC)		
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NC	Town of Madison for US 220 Corridor Madison-Mayodan Interconnection	\$700,000 Miller (NC)		
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NC	Town of Canton for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Hagan
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ND	City of LaMoure for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$400,000 Pomeroy		Dorgan, Conrad
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ND	City of Washburn for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$400,000 Pomeroy		Dorgan, Conrad
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ND	McLean Sheridan Rural Water District for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000 Pomeroy		Dorgan, Conrad
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ND	Stutsman Rural Water District for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$400,000 Pomeroy		Dorgan, Conrad
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NE	City of Lincoln for water infrastructure improvements at the Nebraska Innovation Campus	\$900,000		Nelson, Ben
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NE	Metropolitan Utilities District in Omaha for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Nelson, Ben
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NH	Town of Farmington for sewer lines	\$825,000 Shea-Porter		
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NH	City of Concord for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Shaheen
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NH	Conway Village Fire District for a drinking water, wastewater, stormwater and water quality protection project	\$500,000		Gregg
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NH	Town of Bristol for a wastewater project	\$500,000		Gregg, Shaheen
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NH	Town of Hampton for a wastewater and stormwater project	\$500,000		Gregg
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NJ	Bayonne Municipal Utilities Authority for storm water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000 Sires		Lautenberg, Menendez
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NJ	Borough of Bogota for River Road and Elm Avenue drainage improvements	\$400,000 Rothman (NJ)		
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NJ	Borough of Cliffside Park for sanitary and storm sewer improvements	\$300,000 Rothman (NJ)		Lautenberg, Menendez

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NJ	Borough of Matawan for drinking water system improvements	\$300,000		Lautenberg, Menendez
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NJ	City of New Brunswick for storm sewer improvements	\$300,000		Lautenberg, Menendez
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NM	City of Belen for Eagle Water Storage Tank improvement	\$750,000	Teague	Bingaman, Udall, Tom
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NM	City of Sunland Park for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Teague	Bingaman, Udall, Tom
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NM	Mora MDWC and MSWA Wastewater System Improvement Project	\$500,000	Lujan	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NM	City of Farmington for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Bingaman, Udall, Tom
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NV	City of Boulder City for water infrastructure improvements	\$150,000	Titus	Reid
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NV	City of Carson City for drinking water infrastructure improvements for the Mariette-Hobart water system	\$650,000		Reid, Ensign
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NV	City of Dayton for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$100,000		Reid
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NV	Las Vegas Paiute Tribe for water infrastructure improvements	\$600,000		Reid
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Gowanus Canal Conservancy for stormwater abatement	\$300,000	Velasquez, Clarke	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	New York City Department of Small Business Services for water and stormwater infrastructure improvements at the Hunts Point Terminal/South Bronx Greenway	\$1,000,000	Serrano	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Town of Eastchester for Crawford Street Drain System	\$500,000	Lowe	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Town of Grand Island for Water System Improvement Project	\$500,000	Slaughter	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Town of Montgomery Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$1,250,000	Hinchey	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Town of Mt. Pleasant for Bear Ridge Lake Water District contamination and replacement project	\$715,000	Lowe	Schumer
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Town of Oswego for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Arcuri	Schumer
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Town of Webster outfall project	\$315,000	Maffei	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Village of Barker for drainage and stormwater improvements	\$484,856	Slaughter	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Village of Red Hook water infrastructure project	\$850,000	Murphy (NY)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	NY	Buffalo Sewer Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Gillibrand
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OH	City of Parma for West Biddewood Drive Septic System Abatement	\$500,000	Kucinich	Voinovich
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OH	Metropolitan Sewer District of Greater Cincinnati for Lick Run CSO Reduction Project	\$850,000	Driehaus	Brown, Sherrod, Voinovich
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OH	Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District for a wastewater project in the Village of Cuyahoga Heights	\$800,000	Kucinich	Brown, Sherrod, Voinovich
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OH	Belmont County for a wastewater project in the Neffs Community	\$350,000	Wilson (OH)	Brown, Sherrod, Voinovich
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OH	City of Dayton for a drinking water, wastewater and stormwater project	\$500,000		Voinovich
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OH	City of Fremont for a wastewater project	\$800,000		Brown, Sherrod, Voinovich

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OH	City of Marietta for water infrastructure improvements	\$600,000		Brown, Sherrod; Voinovich
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OH	City of Defiance for combined sewer overflow improvements	\$300,000		Brown, Sherrod; Voinovich
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OK	City of Wewoka for a wastewater project	\$300,000		Inhofe
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OR	City of Sweet Home for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	DeFazio	Merkley; Wyden
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	OR	City of Redmond for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Merkley; Wyden
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Allegheny County Sanitary Authority for wet weather demonstration project	\$300,000	Doyle	Specter; Casey
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Butler Area Sewer Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Dahlkemper	Specter; Casey
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Greene County Department of Economic Development for Dry Tavern Sewer Authority Expansion	\$550,000	Critz	Specter; Casey
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Lower Bucks County Joint Municipal Authority for rehabilitation of sanitary sewer pipes	\$660,000	Murphy; Patrick	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	North Londonderry Township for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Holden	Specter; Casey
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Southwest Delaware County Municipal Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Sestak	Specter; Casey
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Susquehanna County Commissioners for South Montrose Water Extension	\$463,000	Carney	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority for the Critical Pipe Inspection Program	\$770,000	Doyle	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	RI	City of East Providence for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Kennedy	Reed; Whitehouse
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	RI	City of Warwick for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$700,000	Langevin	Reed; Whitehouse
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	RI	Town of Middleton for stormwater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Kennedy	Reed; Whitehouse
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	RI	Town of North Providence for stormwater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Kennedy	Reed
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	RI	Town of Westerly for stormwater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Reed; Whitehouse
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	SC	City of Camden for mechanical wastewater treatment plant upgrade	\$1,000,000	Spratt	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	SC	Town of Hollywood for water and sewer expansion	\$1,000,000	Cyburn	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	SC	Greenwood Commission of Public Works for a drinking water and water quality protection project	\$300,000		Graham
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	SD	City of Huron for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$750,000	Herseeth Sandlin	Johnson
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	SD	City of Rapid City for a source water protection project	\$750,000	Herseeth Sandlin	Johnson; Thune
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TN	Campbell and Scott Counties for a drinking water project	\$500,000	Davis (TN)	Alexander
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TN	Morgan County Government for Waterline Improvements	\$550,000	Davis (TN)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TN	North Stewart Unity District for a drinking water project in Stewart County	\$250,000	Tanner	Alexander
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TN	City of Harrogate for a wastewater and drinking water project	\$200,000		Alexander

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TN	City of Pigeon Forge for a wastewater project	\$750,000		Alexander
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TN	Hancock County for a drinking water project	\$500,000		Alexander
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	City of Edinburg wastewater treatment plant	\$500,000	Hinojosa	Cornyn
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	City of Groesbeck for wastewater treatment improvements	\$500,000	Edwards (TX)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	City of Navasota for drainage and stormwater improvements	\$250,000	Edwards (TX)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	City of Poteet Water System Improvements	\$500,000	Cuellar	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	City of Presidio for Colonia Pueblo Nuevo Sewer Project	\$350,000	Rodriguez	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	City of San Marcos for Stormwater Abatement	\$1,250,000	Daggett	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	City of Lubbock for a drinking water project	\$900,000		Hutchison
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	City of Midland for a drinking water project	\$750,000		Cornyn; Hutchison
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	City of Nacogdoches for the Lanana Creek stormwater mitigation project	\$800,000		Cornyn; Hutchison
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	TX	Stephenville East Side Sewer for a wastewater project in the City of Stephenville	\$350,000		Hutchison
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	UT	City of Holladay for a wastewater, stormwater and water quality protection project	\$200,000	Matheson	Bennett
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	UT	Brigham City for a drinking water and water quality protection project	\$300,000		Bennett
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	UT	City of American Fork for a drinking water project	\$545,000		Bennett
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	UT	City of Eagle Mountain for a drinking water project	\$1,000,000		Bennett
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	UT	City of Orem for a wastewater and water quality protection project	\$707,300		Bennett
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VA	City of Alexandria for Alexandria reclamation and reuse project	\$875,000	Moran (VA)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VA	City of Norfolk for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Nye; Scott (VA)	Warner; Webb
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VA	City of Portsmouth for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Scott (VA)	Warner; Webb
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VA	Dale City Service Corporation for Nutrient Reduction and Treatment Works upgrade	\$500,000	Cornolly (VA)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VA	City of Hopewell for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Warner; Webb
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VT	City of Rutland for stormwater collection system	\$1,500,000	Welch	Sanders
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VT	City of Rutland for water infrastructure improvements	\$150,000		Sanders
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VT	Harwood Union High School District No. 19 for drinking water system improvements	\$150,000		Sanders
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VT	Town of Waterbury for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$400,000		Leahy
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	VT	Village of Swanton for drinking water system improvements	\$800,000		Leahy

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WA	City of Blaine wastewater treatment plant relocation project	\$1,000,000 Larsen (WA)		Murray; Cantwell
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WA	City of Edgewood for sewer infrastructure improvements	\$300,000 Smith (WA)		Murray
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WA	City of Fircrest Sewer Replacement Project	\$1,000,000 Dicks		Murray; Cantwell
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WA	City of Union Gap for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Murray; Cantwell
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WA	Mason County Public Utility District for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Murray; Cantwell
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WA	Soos Creek Water and Sewer District for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Murray
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WI	City of Ladysmith for water and wastewater infrastructure	\$1,748,659 Obey		
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WI	Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$400,000 Moore (WI)		Kohl
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WI	City of Janesville for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$400,000		Kohl
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WI	City of Waukesha Water Utility for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$400,000		Kohl
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WV	City of Shinnston for water main replacement	\$1,000,000 Mollohan		
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WV	Hancock County Public Sewer District for water infrastructure improvements	\$600,000 Mollohan		Rockefeller
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	WV	Town of Moorefield for water infrastructure improvements	\$600,000		Rockefeller
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	AK	Matanuska-Susitna Borough - culvert and fish passage	\$500,000		Murkowski
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	CA	Don Edwards San Francisco Bay National Wildlife Refuge, Salt Ponds Restoration	\$2,150,000 Lofgren, Zoe; Eshoo; Honda; Pelosi; Stark		Feinstein
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	CNMI	Marianas Trench Marine National Monument - visitor center planning and design	\$500,000 Sablan; Bordallo		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	HI	James Campbell National Wildlife Refuge - visitor center planning and design	\$1,700,000 Abernethy; Hirono		Inouye; Akaka
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	MI	Detroit River International Wildlife Refuge Youth Wildlife Conservation Center	\$1,250,000 Dingell		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	MI	Jordan River National Fish Hatchery - photovoltaic energy strips	\$348,000		Levin; Stabenow
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	MS	Theodore Roosevelt National Wildlife Refuge - Holt Collier Visitor and Interpretive Center	\$1,000,000		Cochran
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	NV	Large game - water catchments	\$150,000		Reid
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	WV	Ohio River Islands National Wildlife Refuge - erosion control	\$250,000		Rockefeller
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	WV	Canaan Valley National Wildlife Refuge - complete trail and replace suspension bridge	\$750,000		Rockefeller
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	AK	Izembek National Wildlife Refuge EIS	\$500,000 Young (AK)		Murkowski
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	HI	Hakalau Forest National Wildlife Refuge	\$6,000,000 Hirono		Inouye; Akaka
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	IA	Neal Smith National Wildlife Refuge	\$750,000 Boswell		Harkin
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NJ	Cape May National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,500,000 Rothman (NJ); Roybal-Allard; Sires		Lautenberg; Menendez

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NM	Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,000,000		Bingaman
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	OH	Ottawa National Wildlife Refuge	\$750,000	Kaptur	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	WV	Canaan Valley National Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000		Rockefeller
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	CA, NV	Lake Tahoe Region invasive mussel prevention	\$1,500,000		Feinstein, Reid
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	HI	Hawaii invasive species partnerships	\$1,000,000	Abercrombie; Hirono	Inouye; Akaka
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	HI	Hawaii endangered bird species recovery	\$2,000,000	Abercrombie; Hirono	Inouye; Akaka
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	ME	Maine lakes millfoil project	\$350,000	Michaud; Pingree (ME)	Collins
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	ME	Resources First Foundation	\$100,000		Collins; Snowe
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	MS	Miss. St. U. Natural Resources Economic Enterprise Program	\$350,000		Cochran; Wicker
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	NV	Lahontan Cutthroat Trout	\$350,000		Reid
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	NV	Nevada Biological Resources Research Center	\$350,000		Reid
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	NV	Nevada historic steelhead and salmon habitat	\$350,000		Reid
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	TX	Caddo Lake Ramsar Center	\$150,000		Hutchison
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	WV	West Virginia Fish and Wildlife Conservation Office	\$1,800,000	Molihan	
National Park Service	Construction	CA	Golden Gate NRA (Alcatraz)	\$5,000,000		Feinstein
National Park Service	Construction	MI	Keweenaw NHP	\$800,000	Stupak	Levin; Stabenow
National Park Service	Construction	PA	Flight 93 Memorial (water and sewer infrastructure)	\$3,700,000		Specter; Casey
National Park Service	Construction	TN	Great Smoky Mountains NP (Coby wastewater)	\$800,000		Alexander
National Park Service	Construction	TN	Great Smoky Mountains NP (curatorial facility)	\$3,500,000		Alexander
National Park Service	Construction	VA	Battle of New Market Heights Memorial and Visitor's Center	\$1,000,000	Scott (VA)	
National Park Service	Construction	WI	St. Croix National Scenic Riverway Boundary Study	\$200,000	Obey	
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	LA	Jean Lafitte National Historical Park and Preserve	\$1,500,000	Cao; Melancon	Landrieu
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	MD/DE	Captain John Smith National Historic Trail	\$900,000		Cardin; Carper; Kaufman
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	MI	Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore	\$380,000		Levin; Stabenow
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	TN	Big South Fork National Recreation Area	\$550,000		Alexander
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	VA	Captain John Smith National Historic Trail	\$1,000,000	Moran (VA); Scott (VA)	
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	VT	Appalachian National Scenic Trail	\$625,000		Leahy

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	WI	Ice Age National Scenic Trail	\$2,000,000	Baldwin	
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	WI	St. Croix National Scenic Riverway	\$200,000	Obey	
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	CA	Yosemite Schools	\$400,000		Feinstein
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	DC	National Building Museum	\$300,000	Moran (VA)	
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	HI	Native Hawaiian Culture and Arts Program	\$500,000	Abercrombie; Hirono	Inouye; Akaka
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	HI	National Tropical Botanical Garden	\$500,000	Abercrombie; Hirono	Inouye; Akaka
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	NH	Lamprey Wild and Scenic River	\$200,000	Shea-Porter	Gregg; Shaheen
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	NJ	Great Falls National Historical Park	\$700,000	Pascarell	
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	NY	Lower East Side Tenement Museum capital improvement at 97 Orchard Street	\$300,000	Maloney	
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	UT	Crossroads of the West Historic District	\$300,000		Bennett
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	AZ	Bullion Plaza Cultural Center	\$400,000	Pastor (AZ)	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	CA	Pigeon Point Lighthouse, Pescadero	\$250,000	Eshoo	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	CA	Mission San Juan Bautista, San Juan Bautista	\$300,000		Feinstein; Boxer
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	CT	Wadsworth Atheneum Museum of Art	\$700,000	Larson (CT)	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	IA	Flynn Mansion, Urbandale	\$300,000	Boswell	Harkin
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	IL	Augustana College, Rock Island	\$100,000		Durbin
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	KY	Benjamin Henry Latrobe House	\$250,000	Chandler	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	LA	Ursuline Academy, New Orleans	\$300,000		Landrieu
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MA	Spalding House, Lowell	\$125,000	Oliver; Tsongas	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MA	Paul Revere House	\$400,000	Capuano	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MA	Lightship Museum Nantucket, Boston	\$275,000		Kerry
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	ME	Monhegan Island Lighthouse, Monhegan	\$80,000	Pingree (ME)	Snowe
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MN	Renaissance Box Restoration, St. Paul	\$350,000	McCollum	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MN	North Branch Library, Minneapolis	\$400,000	Ellison	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MO	Winston Churchill Museum, Fulton	\$250,000		Bond
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MS	Round Island Lighthouse, Pascagoula	\$150,000		Cochran
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	NH	Bridge's House, Concord	\$200,000		Gregg

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	NY	Bannerman Castle, Beacon	\$300,000	Hall (NY)	Schumer
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	NY	Altamont Train Station Rehabilitation	\$300,000	Tonko	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	NY	Forum Theatre, Binghamton	\$750,000	Hinchey	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	OH	Mahoning Valley History Center	\$220,000	Ryan (OH)	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	PA	Old Pine Street Cemetery Conservation	\$200,000	Brady (PA)	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	RI	Fort Adams State Park Historic Preservation	\$500,000	Kennedy	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	RI	Beaverlail Lighthouse, Jamestown	\$250,000		Reed, Whitehouse
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	TN	Ramsey House, Knoxville	\$75,000		Alexander
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	VA	The Jefferson School Cultural Center, Charlottesville	\$850,000	Perttello	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	VT	Barre Labor Hall, Barre	\$300,000		Leahy
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	WA	Wheeler Theater, Port Townsend	\$500,000	Dicks	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	WV	Metropolitan Theatre Restoration	\$250,000	Mollohan	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	AL	City of Cullman for the Duck River Reservoir Project			Shelby
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	AR	Lonoke White Public Water Authority for drinking water projects		Berry	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	CA	Georgetown Divide Public Utility District for water infrastructure improvements			Feinstein
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	CO	Town of Rico for drinking water system improvements			Bennet
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	CT	Town of North Haven for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements		DeLauro	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	HI	County of Hawaii Department of Water Supply for the Kapulena drinking water source development project			Inouye
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	MO	City of Lee's Summit for a drinking water and wastewater infrastructure improvements project			Bond
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	MT	Crow Tribe in Crow Agency for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements			Tester, Baucus
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	NY	Village of Mamaroneck for Sewer System Improvements		Lowey	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	OH	Trumbull County Commissioners for a revolving loan fund		Ryan (OH)	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	SC	Gaffney Board of Public Works for sewer system improvements		Spratt	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	TN	Town of Erwin for a well water treatment plant improvements project			Alexander
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	TX	City of Godley for Water Storage Expansion		Edwards (TX)	
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	TX	Cities of Rosenberg and Richmond for a water supply project in West Fort Bend County			Corryn
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	TX	Cities of Rosenberg and Richmond for a water supply project in West Fort Bend County			Corryn

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	WA	Beacon Hill Sewer District for drinking water infrastructure improvements			Murray
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	WA	Skagit County (WA) Public Utility for drinking water infrastructure improvements			Murray
Technical Corrections	Technical Correction - EPA/STAG	WY	Kemmerer/Diamondville Water and Wastewater Joint Powers Board for drinking water			Enzi
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	CA	South San Francisco Bay Salt Ponds Restoration	\$1,000,000	Stark; Eschro; Honda; Lofgren, Zoe	Feinstein
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	HI	Cooperative partnership between University of Hawaii-Manoa and the USGS Hawaii Volcano Observatory	\$250,000	Hirono	Inouye; Akaka
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	HI	Well monitoring and other water resources assessments	\$500,000	Abercrombie; Hirono	Inouye; Akaka
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	LA	Long-Term Estuary Assessment Group	\$400,000	Cao	Landrieu
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	MA	Seismographic equipment and activities at Weston Observatory at Boston College	\$500,000	Markey (MA)	Kerry
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	MD	Coastal Plains groundwater study	\$500,000	Cummings; Edwards (MD); Hoyer; Ruppersberger	Mikulski; Cardin
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	NI, NY, PA	Hydrology study on impact of natural gas drilling in Delaware River Basin	\$1,500,000	Holt; Hinchey; Sestak	
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	NM	US-Mexico transboundary aquifer assessments	\$500,000	Grijalva; Reyes; Teague	Bingaman; Udall, Tom
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	NV	Nye County energy and mineral resources assessment	\$500,000		Reid
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	TN	Shelby County Groundwater Study	\$500,000		Alexander
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	WA	Monitoring of aquatic invasive species in the Columbia River Basin	\$350,000	Baird	Murray; Cantwell
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	AK	Road improvements for the Tongass National Forest	\$1,500,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	AR	Blanchard Springs Cavern visitor center rehabilitation, Ozark-St. Francis National Forest	\$205,000		Pryor; Lincoln
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	CA	Station Fire road and trail rehabilitation	\$700,000	Schiff	
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	VT	Green Mountain National Forest for phase I of a headquarters building	\$1,000,000		Leahy
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance (construction)	WV	Road improvements for the Monongahela National Forest	\$880,000		Rockefeller
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Six Rivers National Forest	\$1,000,000	Thompson (CA)	Feinstein
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	IN	Hoosier National Forest	\$255,000		Lugar
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	UT	Bonneville Shoreline Trail	\$750,000		Bennett
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Olympic National Forest	\$1,200,000		Murray
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Pacific Crest National Scenic Trail	\$1,500,000		Murray; Cantwell
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WI	Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest	\$2,000,000	Kagen	Kohl
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WV	Monongahela National Forest	\$1,000,000	Rahall	
US Forest Service	National Forest System	MS	Inventory and monitoring projects at the Southern Center for Natural Resource Inventory, Monitoring and Evaluation, Mississippi State University	\$1,000,000		Cochran; Wicker

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Member(s)	Requesting Senator(s)
US Forest Service	Research	MS	Forest Products Laboratory for Durability Housing Coalition with Mississippi State University	\$500,000		Cochran; Wicker
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	AZ	Buffelgrass Planning and Mitigation on Federal Lands in Central and Southern Arizona	\$1,034,000	Grijalva; Giffords; Pastor (AZ)	
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	CA	Region 5, USFS for small forest products infrastructure assistance grants	\$1,000,000		Feinstein
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	NM	Land Grant Forestry Management Equipment	\$138,000	Heinrich	
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	OR/WA	Washington Investment Board for economic development activities in Washington State and Oregon authorized by Public Law 95-663	\$1,000,000		Murray; Cantwell
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	TN	Invasive species mitigation for the Cherokee National Forest and other lands	\$1,000,000		Alexander
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	VT	State of Vermont for the Vermont Wood Products Collaborative	\$500,000		Leahy
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	WA	Seattle-Tacoma area Green Cities Regional Urban Forest Restoration Project	\$1,000,000	Dicks	Murray
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	WV	Increase budget request for the Wood Education and Resource Center in Princeton	\$500,000		Rockefeller
US Forest Service	Wildland Fire Management	CA	South Tahoe Public Utility District for Lake Tahoe Fire Protection Project	\$5,000,000		Feinstein; Boxer; Reid

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR					
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT					
Management of Lands and Resources					
Land Resources:					
Soil, water and air management	58,971	46,932	46,032	-12,939	-900
Range management	74,193	72,821	73,521	-672	+700
Forestry management	10,543	9,866	10,458	-85	+592
Riparian management	22,718	22,632	22,632	-86	
Cultural resources management	16,131	16,736	16,736	+605	
Wild horse and burro management	63,986	75,721	75,721	+11,735	
Subtotal	246,542	244,708	245,100	-1,442	+392
Wildlife and Fisheries:					
Wildlife management	36,592	35,341	36,592		+1,251
Fisheries management	13,765	13,516	13,765		+249
Subtotal	50,357	48,857	50,357		+1,500
Threatened and endangered species	22,612	22,020	23,020	+408	+1,000
Recreation Management:					
Wilderness management	18,421	19,520	19,195	+774	-325
Recreation resources management	49,971	48,625	49,473	-498	+848
Subtotal	68,392	68,145	68,668	+276	+523
Energy and Minerals:					
Oil and gas	69,336	55,662	55,662	-13,674	
Oil and gas permit processing fund	45,500	45,500	45,500		+10,000
(Pilot offices, Sec. 365, permit processing fund)	(21,000)	(21,000)	(21,000)		-10,000
Subtotal, Oil and gas/permit processing fund	114,836	101,162	101,162	-13,674	
Oil and gas offsetting permit processing fees	-45,500	-45,500	-45,500		
Inspection fees	10,000	20,000	20,000	+20,000	
Offsetting collections, inspection fees	-10,000	-20,000	-20,000	-20,000	
Coal management	9,739	9,654	9,654	-85	
Other mineral resources	10,614	10,515	10,515	-99	
Subtotal, Energy and minerals	89,689	75,831	75,831	-13,858	
Realty and Ownership Management:					
Alaska conveyance	34,109	20,873	29,373	-4,736	+8,500
Cadastral survey	12,863	12,305	12,705	-158	+400
Land and realty management	50,660	53,085	52,335	+1,675	-750
Subtotal	97,632	86,263	94,413	-3,219	+8,150
Resource Protection and Maintenance:					
Resource management planning	49,961	41,394	49,586	-375	+8,192
Abandoned mine lands		15,851	15,881	+15,881	+30
Resource protection and law enforcement	28,457	27,580	28,457		+877
Hazardous materials management	17,159	16,942	16,942	-217	
Subtotal	95,577	101,767	110,866	+15,289	+9,099
Transportation and Facilities Maintenance:					
Operations	6,067	6,007	6,007	-60	
Annual maintenance	32,003	31,693	32,003		+310
Deferred maintenance	35,085	34,498	34,498	-587	
Subtotal	73,155	72,198	72,508	-647	+310
Land and resources information systems	16,754	16,631	16,631	-123	
Workforce and Organizational Support:					
Information systems operations	15,406	15,322	15,322	-84	
Administrative support	51,377	49,982	49,982	-1,395	
Bureauwide fixed costs	91,277	90,318	90,318	-959	
Subtotal	158,060	155,622	155,622	-2,438	
Challenge cost share	9,500		4,500	-5,000	+4,500
National monuments and conservation areas	31,301	31,517	33,517	+2,216	+2,000
(National landscape conservation system, total program)	(74,635)	(76,075)	(78,075)	(+3,440)	(+2,000)
Fixed cost adjustment			3,600	+3,600	+3,600
Subtotal, Management of lands and resources	959,571	923,559	954,633	-4,938	+31,074
Mining Law Administration:					
Administration	36,696	36,696	36,696		
Offsetting fees	-36,696	-47,696	-47,696	-11,000	
Subtotal, Mining Law Administration		-11,000	-11,000	-11,000	
Rescission of oil shale activities	-1,000			+1,000	
Total, Management of lands and resources	958,571	912,559	943,633	-14,938	+31,074
Construction					
Appropriation	8,626	3,590	4,066	-4,560	+476
Land Acquisition					
Acquisitions	24,550	79,771	32,550	+7,900	-47,221
Emergencies, hardships and inholdings	3,000	1,500	1,500	-1,500	
Acquisition management	2,000	2,379	2,500	+500	+121
Total, Land acquisition	29,550	83,650	36,550	+6,900	-47,100
Oregon and California Grant Lands					
Western Oregon resources management	97,052	91,216	97,052		+5,836
Western Oregon information and resource data systems	2,153	2,116	2,116	-37	
Western Oregon transportation & facilities maintenance	11,202	11,100	11,100	-102	
Western Oregon construction and acquisition	317	313	313	-4	
Western Oregon national monument	833	828	828	-5	
Fixed cost adjustment			350	+350	+350
Total, Oregon and California grant lands	111,557	105,573	111,759	+202	+6,186
Range Improvements					
Improvements to public lands	7,873	7,873	7,873		

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
Farm Tenant Act lands	1,527	1,527	1,527		
Administrative expenses	600	600	600		
Total, Range improvements	10,000	10,000	10,000		
Service Charges, Deposits, and Forfeitures					
Rights-of-way processing	16,400	16,400	16,400		
Energy and minerals cost recovery	2,600	2,600	2,600		
Recreation cost recovery	1,000	1,000	1,000		
Adopt-a-horse program	500	500	500		
Repair of damaged lands	5,600	5,600	5,600		
Cost recoverable realty cases	900	900	900		
Timber purchaser expenses	100	100	100		
Commercial film and photography fees	200	200	200		
Copy fees	2,000	2,000	2,000		
Trans Alaska pipeline	4,000	4,000	4,000		
Subtotal (gross)	33,300	33,300	33,300		
Offsetting fees	-33,300	-33,300	-33,300		
Total, Service Charges, Deposits & Forfeitures					
Miscellaneous Trust Funds and Permanent Operating Funds					
Current appropriations	15,200	17,000	17,000	+1,800	
TOTAL, BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT	1,133,604	1,132,372	1,123,008	-10,596	-9,364
UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE					
Resource Management					
Ecological Services:					
Endangered species:					
Candidate conservation	12,580	11,471	12,471	-109	+1,000
Listing:					
Critical habitat	11,632	10,548	10,548	-1,084	
Listing	10,471	10,397	11,397	+926	+1,000
(Foreign species)		(1,500)	(1,500)	(+1,500)	
Subtotal	22,103	20,945	21,945	-158	+1,000
Consultation	59,307	63,299	63,299	+3,992	
Recovery	85,611	85,611	91,811	+6,492	+6,200
Subtotal, Endangered species	179,309	181,326	189,526	+10,217	+8,200
Habitat conservation:					
Partners for fish and wildlife	60,134	59,771	61,271	+1,137	+1,500
Project planning	35,951	38,883	38,883	+2,932	
Coastal programs	15,931	15,556	16,181	+250	+625
National wetlands inventory	5,643	5,337	5,587	-56	+250
Subtotal, Habitat conservation	117,659	119,547	121,922	+4,263	+2,375
Environmental contaminants	13,987	13,929	14,429	+442	+500
Subtotal, Ecological services	310,955	314,802	325,877	+14,922	+11,075
National Wildlife Refuge System:					
Refuge operations:					
Wildlife and habitat management	230,778	232,235	237,435	+6,657	+5,200
Refuge visitor services	79,973	76,056	78,056	-1,917	+2,000
Refuge law enforcement	38,684	38,211	38,211	-473	
Conservation planning	13,021	11,871	12,871	-150	+1,000
Subtotal	362,456	358,373	366,573	+4,117	+8,200
Refuge maintenance	140,349	141,173	137,673	-2,676	-3,500
Subtotal, National Wildlife Refuge System	502,805	499,546	504,246	+1,441	+4,700
Migratory Birds, Law Enforcement & International Conservation:					
Migratory bird management	54,483	52,738	53,738	-745	+1,000
Law enforcement operations and maintenance	65,778	63,300	65,300	-478	+2,000
International affairs	14,379	13,093	14,243	-136	+1,150
Subtotal	134,640	129,131	133,281	-1,359	+4,150
Fisheries:					
National fish hatchery system operations	54,370	50,307	51,307	-3,063	+1,000
Maintenance and equipment	18,350	18,214	18,214	-136	
Aquatic habitat and species conservation	75,494	73,956	78,316	+2,822	+4,360
Subtotal	148,214	142,477	147,837	-377	+5,360
Climate change adaptive science capacity:					
Climate change planning	10,000	13,750	13,750	+3,750	
Climate change adaptive science capacity	10,000	15,000	15,000	+5,000	
Subtotal	20,000	28,750	28,750	+8,750	
General Administration:					
Central office administration	40,485	40,142	40,142	-343	
Regional office administration	43,340	42,649	42,649	-691	
Service-wide operational support	36,440	36,358	36,358	-82	
National Fish and Wildlife Foundation	7,537	8,537	8,537	+1,000	
National Conservation Training Center	24,990	24,018	25,493	+503	+1,475
Subtotal	152,792	151,704	153,179	+387	+1,475
Fixed cost adjustment			3,600	+3,600	+3,600
Total, Resource Management	1,269,406	1,266,410	1,296,770	+27,364	+30,360
Construction					
Construction and rehabilitation:					
Line item construction	26,423	12,721	24,660	-1,763	+11,939
Bridge and dam safety	1,855	1,855	1,855		
Nationwide engineering services	9,161	9,161	9,161		

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
Total, Construction	37,439	23,737	35,676	-1,763	+11,939
Land Acquisition					
Acquisitions—Federal refuge lands	66,785	84,785	81,925	+15,140	-2,860
Inholdings/emergencies and hardships	5,000	5,000	5,000		
Exchanges	2,000	2,000	2,000		
Acquisition management	10,555	12,555	11,000	+445	-1,555
User pay cost share	2,000	2,000	2,000		
Total, Land acquisition	86,340	106,340	101,925	+15,585	-4,415
Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund					
Grants and administration:					
Grants to states	11,000	11,000	14,000	+3,000	+3,000
HCP planning grants	10,000	10,000	13,000	+3,000	+3,000
Snake River Water Rights Act of 2004	5,146	4,987	4,987	-159	
Administration	2,854	2,854	3,013	+159	+159
Subtotal, Grants and administration	29,000	28,841	35,000	+6,000	+6,159
Land acquisition:					
Species recovery land acquisition	15,000	15,159	15,000		-159
HCP land acquisition	41,000	41,000	35,000	-6,000	-6,000
Total, Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund	85,000	85,000	85,000		
National Wildlife Refuge Fund					
Payments in lieu of taxes	14,500	14,100	14,500		+400
North American Wetlands Conservation Fund					
Wetlands conservation	45,742	40,940	43,200	-2,542	+2,260
Administration	1,905	1,707	1,800	-105	+93
Total, North American Wetlands Conservation Fund	47,647	42,647	45,000	-2,647	+2,353
Neotropical Migratory Bird Conservation Fund					
Migratory bird grants	5,000	4,000	5,000		+1,000
Multinational Species Conservation Fund					
African elephant conservation	2,000	2,000	2,000		
Rhinoceros and tiger conservation	3,000	2,500	3,500	+500	+1,000
Asian elephant conservation	2,000	2,000	2,000		
Great ape conservation	2,500	2,000	2,500		+500
Marine turtle conservation	2,000	1,500	2,000		+500
Total, Multinational Species Conservation Fund	11,500	10,000	12,000	+500	+2,000
State and Tribal Wildlife Grants					
State and tribal wildlife apportioned grants	78,000	78,000	78,000		
Tribal competitive grants	7,000	7,000	7,000		
State competitive grants	5,000	5,000	5,000		
Total, State and tribal wildlife grants	90,000	90,000	90,000		
TOTAL, U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE	1,646,832	1,642,234	1,685,871	+39,039	+43,637
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE					
Operation of the National Park System					
Park Management:					
Resource stewardship	343,615	349,801	349,301	+5,686	-500
Visitor services	244,815	258,017	257,517	+12,702	-500
Park protection	367,352	371,193	370,193	+2,841	-1,000
Facility operations and maintenance	700,638	701,166	702,166	+1,528	+1,000
Park support	449,609	445,977	442,977	-6,632	-3,000
Fixed cost adjustment			5,750	+5,750	+5,750
Subtotal	2,106,029	2,126,154	2,127,904	+21,875	+1,750
External administrative costs	155,530	170,723	168,723	+13,193	-2,000
Fixed cost adjustment			1,950	+1,950	+1,950
Total, Operation of the National Park System	2,261,559	2,296,877	2,298,577	+37,018	+1,700
Park Partnership Project Grants					
Signature projects matching program 1	15,000	5,000		-15,000	-5,000
Use of carryover balances—recreational fee program	-10,000			+10,000	
Total, Park Partnership Project Grants	5,000	5,000		-5,000	-5,000
National Recreation and Preservation					
Recreation programs	591	588	588	-3	
Natural programs	10,713	12,668	12,668	+1,955	
Cultural programs	25,026	24,932	25,932	+906	+1,000
International park affairs	1,655	1,649	1,649	-6	
Environmental and compliance review	434	434			
Grant administration	1,753	1,752		-1	
Heritage Partnership Programs	17,814	9,001	18,085	+271	+9,084
Preserve America	4,600			-4,600	
Statutory or contractual aid	5,850		3,850	-2,000	+3,850
Civil war grants			3,000	+3,000	+3,000
Total, National Recreation and Preservation	68,436	51,024	67,958	-478	+16,934
Historic Preservation Fund					
State historic preservation offices	46,500	46,500	46,500		
Tribal grants	8,000	8,000	9,000	+1,000	+1,000
Save America's Treasures	25,000		20,000	-5,000	+20,000
Historically Black colleges and university grants			2,500	+2,500	+2,500
Total, Historic Preservation Fund	79,500	54,500	78,000	-1,500	+23,500

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
Construction					
General Program:					
Line item construction and maintenance	142,988	109,042	108,749	- 34,239	- 293
Emergency and unscheduled	3,975	3,861	3,861	- 114	
Housing	5,000	2,965	4,965	- 35	+ 2,000
Dam safety	2,500	2,500	2,500		
Equipment replacement	14,516	13,750	13,750	- 766	
Planning, construction	10,117	8,999	8,999	- 1,118	
Construction program management	38,535	38,300	38,300	- 235	
General management plans	15,338	15,781	15,981	+ 643	+ 200
Total, Construction	232,969	195,198	197,105	- 35,864	+ 1,907
Land and Water Conservation Fund (rescission of contract authority)	- 30,000	- 30,000	- 30,000		
Land Acquisition and State Assistance					
Assistance to States:					
State conservation grants	37,200	47,200	42,200	+ 5,000	- 5,000
Parks and greenspace demonstration grants			5,000	+ 5,000	+ 5,000
Administrative expenses	2,800	2,800	2,800		
Subtotal	40,000	50,000	50,000	+ 10,000	
National Park Service:					
Acquisitions	59,766	75,793	66,423	+ 6,657	- 9,370
American Battlefield Protection Program	9,000	6,000	6,000	- 3,000	
Emergencies and hardships	3,000	7,000	5,000	+ 2,000	- 2,000
Acquisition management	9,500	10,473	10,000	+ 500	- 473
Inholdings	5,000	7,000	6,000	+ 1,000	- 1,000
Subtotal	86,266	106,266	93,423	+ 7,157	- 12,843
Total, Land Acquisition and State Assistance	126,266	156,266	143,423	+ 17,157	- 12,843
TOTAL, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE	2,743,730	2,728,865	2,755,063	+ 11,333	+ 26,198
UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY					
Surveys, Investigations, and Research					
Geographic Research, Investigations, & Remote Sensing:					
Land remote sensing	63,707	75,862	75,862	+ 12,155	
Geographic analysis and monitoring	11,135	11,693	11,693	+ 558	
National geospatial program	70,748	65,887	67,137	- 3,611	+ 1,250
Subtotal	145,590	153,442	154,692	+ 9,102	+ 1,250
Geologic Hazards, Resource and Processes:					
Geologic hazards assessments	92,763	92,920	96,020	+ 3,257	+ 3,100
Geologic landscape and coastal assessments	74,351	77,585	77,585	+ 3,234	
Geologic resource assessments	82,017	83,328	82,828	+ 811	- 500
Subtotal	249,131	253,833	256,433	+ 7,302	+ 2,600
Water Resources Investigations:					
Hydrologic monitoring, assessments and research:					
Ground water resources program	9,714	9,098	11,598	+ 1,884	+ 2,500
National water quality assessment	66,507	65,042	66,042	- 465	+ 1,000
Toxic substances hydrology	11,084	10,800	11,800	+ 716	+ 1,000
Hydrologic research and development	13,822	11,956	12,856	- 966	+ 900
National streamflow information program	27,732	27,154	28,154	+ 422	+ 1,000
Hydrologic networks and analysis	31,387	34,680	34,271	+ 2,884	- 409
Subtotal	160,245	158,730	164,721	+ 4,475	+ 5,991
Federal-State program	65,561	63,598	65,598	+ 37	+ 2,000
Water resources research institutes	6,500	6,499	6,500		+ 1
Subtotal, Water resources investigations	232,307	228,827	236,819	+ 4,512	+ 7,992
Biological Research:					
Biological research and monitoring	160,685	159,451	162,401	+ 1,716	+ 2,950
Biological information management and delivery	24,946	22,750	24,378	- 568	+ 1,628
Cooperative research units	19,313	19,143	19,143	- 170	
Subtotal	204,944	201,344	205,922	+ 978	+ 4,578
Enterprise Information:					
Enterprise information security and technology	26,263	23,477	25,477	- 786	+ 2,000
Enterprise information resources	19,706	18,024	18,024	- 1,682	
Subtotal	45,969	41,501	43,501	- 2,468	+ 2,000
Adjustment fixed costs			3,400	+ 3,400	+ 3,400
Science support	69,225	77,384	77,384	+ 8,159	
Facilities	106,397	104,929	104,929	- 1,468	
Global climate change research	58,177	72,099	71,099	- 12,922	- 1,000
(Regional global warming centers and Wildlife Science Center)	(15,000)	(23,000)	(22,000)	(+ 7,000)	(- 1,000)
(Climate change science, total program)	(68,001)	(81,404)	(80,404)	(+ 12,403)	(- 1,000)
TOTAL, UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY	1,111,740	1,133,359	1,154,179	+ 42,439	+ 20,820
BUREAU OF OCEAN ENERGY MANAGEMENT, REGULATION, AND ENFORCEMENT					
(Formerly the Minerals Management Service)					
Royalty and Offshore Minerals Management					
Offshore Energy and Minerals Management:					
Renewable energy	21,413	23,635	23,635	+ 2,222	
Leasing and environmental program	59,461	76,011	72,151	+ 12,690	- 3,860
Resource evaluation	35,285	36,929	37,651	+ 2,366	+ 722
Regulatory program	60,261	109,897	105,441	+ 45,180	- 4,456
Information management program	20,454	20,454	20,454		
Subtotal	196,874	266,926	259,332	+ 62,458	- 7,594
Royalty Management:					
Compliance and asset management	50,940	63,804	63,765	+ 12,825	- 39
Revenue and operations	38,434	54,700	49,409	+ 10,975	- 5,291

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
Subtotal	89,374	118,504	113,174	+ 23,800	- 5,330
General Administration:					
Executive direction	2,818	3,196	3,196	+ 378
Policy and management improvement	4,328	11,393	10,463	+ 6,135	- 930
Administrative operations	20,029	20,850	20,850	+ 821
General support services	28,524	28,988	28,988	+ 464
Subtotal	55,699	64,427	63,497	+ 7,798	- 930
Total (gross)	341,947	449,857	436,003	+ 94,056	- 13,854
Use of receipts and cost recovery fees	-156,730	-154,890	-154,890	+ 1,840
Inspection fees	-10,000	-45,000	-60,000	-50,000	-15,000
Total, Royalty and Offshore Minerals Management	175,217	249,967	221,113	+ 45,896	- 28,854
Oil Spill Research					
Oil spill research	6,303	14,923	11,768	+ 5,465	- 3,155
Subtotal, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement	181,520	264,890	232,881	+ 51,361	- 32,009
Administrative Provisions					
State royalty administrative cost deduction	- 45,000	- 42,000	- 42,000	+ 3,000
TOTAL, BUREAU OF OCEAN ENERGY MANAGEMENT, REGULATION, AND ENFORCEMENT	136,520	222,890	190,881	+ 54,361	- 32,009
OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT					
Regulation and Technology					
Environmental restoration	160	260	260	+ 100
Environmental protection	94,771	83,679	94,679	- 92	+ 11,000
Technology development and transfer	15,663	15,440	15,440	- 223
Financial management	516	514	514	- 2
Executive direction	16,070	15,892	15,892	- 178
Subtotal	127,180	115,785	126,785	- 395	+ 11,000
Civil penalties	100	- 100
Fixed cost adjustment	400	+ 400	+ 400
Total, Regulation and Technology	127,280	115,785	127,185	- 95	+ 11,400
Abandoned Mine Reclamation Fund					
Environmental restoration	16,364	11,829	16,388	+ 24	+ 4,559
Technology development and transfer	4,032	3,952	3,952	- 80
Financial management	6,961	6,435	6,435	- 526
Executive direction	8,231	8,134	8,134	- 97
Total, Abandoned Mine Reclamation Fund	35,588	30,350	34,909	- 679	+ 4,559
TOTAL, OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT	162,868	146,135	162,094	- 774	+ 15,959
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS					
Operation of Indian Programs					
Tribal Government:					
Aid to tribal government	33,195	31,862	31,862	- 1,333
Consolidated tribal government program	71,659	71,538	71,538	- 121
Self governance compacts	147,762	148,633	148,633	+ 871
Contract support	166,000	187,526	187,526	+ 21,526
Indian self determination fund	2,000	2,000	2,000
New tribes	311	311	311
Small and needy tribes	2,950	2,950	2,950	+ 2,950
Road maintenance	26,413	26,413	26,413	+ 26,413
Tribal government program oversight	8,851	9,804	9,804	+ 953
Subtotal	429,778	481,037	481,037	+ 51,259
Human Services:					
Social services	33,766	35,863	35,863	+ 2,097
Welfare assistance	74,915	74,911	74,911	- 4
Indian child welfare act	11,143	11,039	11,039	- 104
Housing improvement program	12,620	12,619	12,619	- 1
Human services tribal design	455	429	429	- 26
Human services program oversight	4,097	3,908	3,908	- 189
Subtotal	136,996	138,769	138,769	+ 1,773
Trust—Natural Resources Management:					
Natural resources, general	4,641	5,741	5,741	+ 1,100
Irrigation operations and maintenance	11,970	11,923	11,923	- 47
Rights protection implementation	30,451	28,451	30,451	+ 2,000
Tribal management/development program	5,636	7,634	8,960	+ 3,324	+ 1,326
Endangered species	1,249	1,249	3,000	+ 1,751	+ 1,751
Integrated resource information program	2,130	2,109	3,500	+ 1,370	+ 1,391
Climate change	200	500	500	+ 300	+ 300
Agriculture and range	28,912	28,894	30,000	+ 1,088	+ 1,106
Forestry	43,854	43,698	44,500	+ 646	+ 802
Water resources	10,084	10,453	12,000	+ 1,916	+ 1,547
Fish, wildlife and parks	11,410	11,340	12,000	+ 590	+ 660
Minerals and mining	18,622	- 18,622
Resource management program oversight	6,659	6,645	7,500	+ 841	+ 855
Subtotal	175,618	158,337	170,075	- 5,543	+ 11,738
Trust—Real Estate Services	152,493	150,754	150,754	- 1,739
Education:					
Elementary and secondary programs (forward funded)	518,702	524,990	525,790	+ 7,088	+ 800
Post secondary programs (forward funded)	50,000	64,321	64,321	+ 14,321
Subtotal, forward funded education	568,702	589,311	590,111	+ 21,409	+ 800
Elementary and secondary programs	77,379	127,823	127,823	+ 50,444
Post secondary programs	126,791	62,269	64,920	- 61,871	+ 2,651
Education management	26,528	25,092	25,592	- 936	+ 500

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
Subtotal, Education	799,400	804,495	808,446	+9,046	+3,951
Public Safety and Justice:					
Law enforcement	303,152	335,788	320,788	+17,636	-15,000
Tribal courts	24,704	24,681	28,681	+3,977	+4,000
Fire protection	999	1,106	1,106	+107
Subtotal	328,855	361,575	350,575	+21,720	-11,000
Community and economic development	44,910	38,413	38,413	-6,497
Executive direction and administrative services	267,915	261,260	261,260	-6,655
Adjustment fixed costs	4,700	+4,700	+4,700
Total, Operation of Indian Programs	2,335,965	2,394,640	2,404,029	+68,064	+9,389
Construction					
Education	112,994	52,854	62,854	-50,140	+10,000
Public safety and justice	64,407	11,377	11,377	-53,030
Resources management	38,385	42,159	42,159	+3,774
General administration	2,064	2,043	2,043	-21
Construction management	7,150	7,290	7,290	+140
Total, Construction	225,000	115,723	125,723	-99,277	+10,000
Indian Land and Water Claim Settlements and Miscellaneous Payments to Indians					
White Earth Land Settlement Act (Admin)	625	625	625
Hoopa-Yurok settlement fund	250	250	250
Pyramid Lake water rights settlement	142	142	142
Nez Perce/Snake River	15,463	15,463	15,463
Navajo Water Resources Development Trust Fund	6,000	6,000	6,000
Duck Valley Water Rights Settlement	12,000	12,000	12,000
Puget Sound regional shellfish settlement	5,000	6,500	6,500	+1,500
Pueblo de Isleta settlement	2,400	-2,400
Soboba Band/Luiseno Indian Settlement	5,500	5,500	5,500
Total, Indian Land and Water Claim Settlements and Miscellaneous Payments to Indians	47,380	46,480	46,480	-900
Indian Guaranteed Loan Program Account					
Indian guaranteed loan program account	8,215	8,158	8,158	-57
Indian Land Consolidation Account					
Indian land consolidation account	3,000	1,000	1,000	-2,000
TOTAL, BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS	2,619,560	2,566,001	2,585,390	-34,170	+19,389
DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES					
Office of the Secretary, Salaries and Expenses					
Departmental direction	15,212	15,687	15,687	+475
Management and coordination	33,419	34,211	34,211	+792
Hearings and appeals	7,868	8,001	8,001	+133
Central services	47,401	47,168	47,168	-233
Bureau of Mines workers compensation/unemployment	599	563	563	-36
Indian Arts and Crafts Board	1,201	1,221	1,221	+20
Appraisal services	12,136	14,136	14,136	+2,000
National Museum of American Latino Commission	1,000	1,000	1,000
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	29,000	-29,000
Total, Office of the Secretary, Salaries and expenses	147,836	121,987	121,987	-25,849
Insular Affairs					
Assistance to Territories					
Territorial Assistance:					
Office of Insular Affairs	9,280	9,080	10,699	+1,419	+1,619
Technical assistance	15,302	12,084	15,302	+3,218
Maintenance assistance fund	2,241	2,241	2,241
Brown tree snake	3,000	3,000	4,000	+1,000	+1,000
Coral reef initiative	1,000	1,000	1,000
Water and wastewater projects	1,900	793	793	-1,107
Guam infrastructure	2,000	-2,000
Empowering Insular Communities	5,000	4,000	+4,000	-1,000
Subtotal, Territorial Assistance	34,723	33,198	38,035	+3,312	+4,837
American Samoa operations grants	22,752	22,752	22,752
Northern Marianas covenant grants	27,720	27,720	27,720
Subtotal	50,472	50,472	50,472
(discretionary)	(22,752)	(22,752)	(22,752)
(mandatory)	(27,720)	(27,720)	(27,720)
Total, Assistance to Territories	85,195	83,670	88,507	+3,312	+4,837
Compact of Free Association					
Compact of Free Association—Federal services	2,818	2,818	2,818
Discretionary payments—program grant assistance	2,000	2,000	+2,000
Enewetak support	500	500	500
Compact payments, Palau (section 122)	12,000	-12,000
Total, Compact of Free Association	17,318	3,318	5,318	-12,000	+2,000
Total, Insular Affairs	102,513	86,988	93,825	-8,688	+6,837
Office of the Solicitor					
Legal services	47,255	48,844	48,844	+1,589
General administration	16,635	16,493	16,493	-142
Ethics	1,186	2,557	2,557	+1,371
Total, Office of the Solicitor	65,076	67,894	67,894	+2,818
Office of Inspector General					
Audit and investigations	38,866	39,452	39,452	+586
Administrative services and information management	9,724	10,108	10,108	+384
Total, Office of Inspector General	48,590	49,560	49,560	+970

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
Office of Special Trustee for American Indians					
Federal Trust Programs					
Program operations, support, and improvements	183,728	157,943	165,843	-17,885	+7,900
Supplemental amendment (Public Law 111-212)	-9,000			+9,000	
(Office of Historical Accounting)(Public Law 111-212)	(47,536)	(31,534)	(31,534)	(-16,002)	
Executive direction	2,256	2,272	2,272	+16	
Total, Office of Special Trustee for American Indians	176,984	160,215	168,115	-8,869	+7,900
TOTAL, DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES	540,999	486,644	501,381	-39,618	+14,737
DEPARTMENT-WIDE PROGRAMS					
Wildland Fire Management					
Fire Operations:					
Preparedness	290,452	282,679	290,679	+227	+8,000
Fire suppression operations	383,797	287,968	287,968	-95,829	
Subtotal, Fire operations	674,249	570,647	578,647	-95,602	+8,000
Other Operations:					
Hazardous fuels reduction	206,206	162,069	204,696	-1,510	+42,627
Burned area rehabilitation	20,305	18,072	20,072	-233	+2,000
Fire facilities	6,137	6,137	6,137		
Joint fire science	6,000	6,000	7,000	+1,000	+1,000
Rural fire assistance	7,000		7,000		+7,000
Subtotal, Other operations	245,648	192,278	244,905	-743	+52,627
Fixed cost adjustment			1,900	+1,900	+1,900
Subtotal, Wildland fire management	919,897	762,925	825,452	-94,445	+62,527
Use of prior-year funds	-125,000			+125,000	
Rescission of unobligated balances, Public Law 111-8 & 111-88.			-145,000	-145,000	-145,000
Total, Wildland fire management	794,897	762,925	680,452	-114,445	-82,473
Presidential Wildland Fire Contingency Reserve Fund					
Presidential wildland fire contingency reserve fund		75,000			-75,000
FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Account					
FLAME wildfire suppression reserve account	61,000	96,000	96,000	+35,000	
Total, all wildland fire accounts	855,897	933,925	776,452	-79,445	-157,473
Central Hazardous Materials Fund					
Central hazardous materials fund	10,175	10,152	10,152	-23	
Natural Resource Damage Assessment Fund					
Damage assessments	4,022	3,880	3,880	-142	
Program management	1,825	1,933	1,933	+108	
Restoration support	615	621	621	+6	
Total, Natural Resource Damage Assessment Fund	6,462	6,434	6,434	-28	
Working Capital Fund					
Working Capital Fund	85,823	79,119	79,119	-6,704	
General Provision—Working Capital Fund					
Acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities		5,000	2,500	+2,500	-2,500
Total, Working Capital Fund	85,823	84,119	81,619	-4,204	-2,500
TOTAL, DEPARTMENT-WIDE PROGRAMS	958,357	1,034,630	874,657	-83,700	-159,973
GENERAL PROVISIONS					
OCS Connect (rescission)(Sec. 128, Public Law 111-242)		-25,000	-25,000	-25,000	
DOE Ultra Deepwater research fund		-50,000			+50,000
BIA, use of prior year funds			1,000	+1,000	+1,000
Geothermal Energy Receipts (Sec. 423)	-15,000			+15,000	
Geothermal receipts amendment (Public Law 111-212)	8,000			-8,000	
TOTAL, GENERAL PROVISIONS	-7,000	-75,000	-24,000	-17,000	+51,000
TOTAL, TITLE I, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR	11,047,210	11,018,130	11,008,524	-38,686	-9,606
Appropriations	(11,049,210)	(11,073,130)	(11,208,524)	(+159,314)	(+135,394)
Rescissions	(-31,000)	(-55,000)	(-200,000)	(-169,000)	(-145,000)
Emergency appropriations	(29,000)			(-29,000)	
TITLE II—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY					
Science and Technology					
Air toxics and quality	121,857	124,827	124,827	+2,970	
(EISA/Renewable Fuels Rule)	(21,327)	(17,327)	(17,327)	(-4,000)	
Climate protection program	19,797	16,940	16,940	-2,857	
Enforcement	15,351	15,909	15,909	+558	
Homeland security	65,276	51,297	51,297	-13,979	
(Water Security Initiatives)	(18,576)	(11,643)	(11,643)	(-6,933)	
(Decontamination)	(24,857)	(21,703)	(21,703)	(-3,154)	
(Laboratory preparedness & response)	(499)			(-499)	
(Safe buildings)	(1,996)			(-1,996)	
Indoor air	1,215	1,229	1,229	+14	
IT/Data management/Security	4,385	4,111	4,111	-274	
Operations and administration	72,918	70,495	70,495	-2,423	
(Rent)	(33,947)	(30,950)	(30,950)	(-2,997)	
(Utilities)	(19,177)	(19,893)	(19,893)	(+716)	
(Security)	(10,260)	(10,349)	(10,349)	(+89)	
Pesticide licensing	6,566	6,664	6,664	+98	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
Research: Clean air	102,743	107,307	107,307	+ 4,564
(Research: Global change)	(20,826)	(21,985)	(21,985)	(+ 1,159)
Research: Clean water	111,073	121,116	119,116	+ 8,043	- 2,000
Research: National priorities	5,700	6,000	+ 300	+ 6,000
Research: Human health and ecosystems	246,786	256,238	257,738	+ 10,952	+ 1,500
(Research: Computational toxicology)	(20,048)	(21,855)	(21,855)	(+ 1,807)
(Research: Endocrine disruptor)	(11,355)	(17,378)	(19,078)	(+ 7,723)	(+ 1,700)
(Research: Fellowship)	(11,083)	(17,286)	(13,286)	(+ 2,203)	(- 4,000)
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	2,000	- 2,000
Research: Land protection	14,111	13,800	13,800	- 311
Research: Sustainability	27,287	25,292	25,292	- 1,995
Research: Pesticides and toxics	27,347	27,645	27,645	+ 298
Water: Human health protection	3,637	3,827	3,827	+ 190
(Transfer from Superfund)	(26,834)	(24,327)	(24,327)	(- 2,507)
Total, Science and Technology	848,049	846,697	852,197	+ 4,148	+ 5,500
Environmental Programs and Management					
Air toxics and quality	202,160	220,906	219,906	+ 17,746	- 1,000
Brownfields	24,152	27,397	26,597	+ 2,445	- 800
Climate protection program	113,044	123,050	120,681	+ 7,637	- 2,369
(Energy star)	(52,606)	(55,475)	(53,606)	(+ 1,000)	(- 1,869)
(Methane to markets)	(4,569)	(4,591)	(4,591)	(+ 22)
(Greenhouse gas reporting registry)	(16,685)	(20,750)	(20,750)	(+ 4,065)
Compliance	134,582	110,467	109,117	- 25,465	- 1,350
Enforcement	224,899	264,908	264,158	+ 39,259	- 750
(Environmental justice)	(7,090)	(7,317)	(7,317)	(+ 227)
Environmental protection: National priorities	16,950	17,000	+ 50	+ 17,000
Geographic programs:					
Great Lakes Restoration Initiative	475,000	300,000	300,000	- 175,000
Chesapeake Bay	50,000	62,957	63,000	+ 13,000	+ 43
San Francisco Bay	7,000	5,000	7,000	+ 2,000
Puget Sound	50,000	20,000	50,000	+ 30,000
Long Island Sound	7,000	3,000	9,000	+ 2,000	+ 6,000
Gulf of Mexico	6,000	4,515	4,515	- 1,485
South Florida	2,168	2,148	2,148	- 20
Upper Mississippi River Basin	12,400	10,000	+ 10,000	- 2,400
Lake Champlain	4,000	1,434	4,000	+ 2,566
Lake Pontchartrain	1,500	978	978	- 522
CARE(Community Action for a Renewed Environment)	2,448	2,448	2,448
Other geographic activities	3,325	1,261	1,261	- 2,064
Subtotal	608,441	416,141	454,350	- 154,091	+ 38,209
Homeland security	23,554	15,142	15,142	- 8,412
(Decontamination)	(3,522)	(2,012)	(2,012)	(- 1,510)
Indoor air	26,625	27,771	27,771	+ 1,146
Information exchange/Outreach	130,800	143,208	140,789	+ 9,989	- 2,419
(Children and other sensitive populations: Agency coordination)	(7,100)	(10,159)	(10,159)	(+ 3,059)
(Environmental education)	(9,038)	(9,370)	(9,370)	(+ 332)
International programs	19,824	19,940	19,940	+ 116
(Mexico Border)	(4,969)	(4,979)	(4,979)	(+ 10)
IT/Data management/Security	103,322	105,090	103,090	- 232	- 2,000
Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review	123,597	130,478	130,478	+ 6,881
Operations and administration	498,410	521,112	516,434	+ 18,024	- 4,678
(Rent)	(157,040)	(169,915)	(168,415)	(+ 11,375)	(- 1,500)
(Utilities)	(13,514)	(13,409)	(13,409)	(- 105)
(Security)	(27,997)	(30,901)	(28,723)	(+ 726)	(- 2,178)
(Acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(+ 1,500)
Pesticide licensing	120,132	123,703	123,203	+ 3,071	- 500
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)	123,250	122,736	122,236	- 1,014	- 500
Toxics risk review and prevention	101,915	100,513	100,513	- 1,402
(Endocrine disruptors)	(8,625)	(8,601)	(8,601)	(- 24)
Underground storage tanks (LUST/UST)	12,424	14,647	14,647	+ 2,223
Water: Ecosystems:					
National estuary program/Coastal waterways	32,567	27,233	32,567	+ 5,334
Wetlands	25,940	28,231	28,231	+ 2,291
Subtotal	58,507	55,464	60,798	+ 2,291	+ 5,334
Water: Human health protection	105,168	108,302	108,052	+ 2,884	- 250
Water quality protection	222,023	240,061	231,979	+ 9,956	- 8,082
Total, Environmental Programs and Management	2,993,779	2,891,036	2,926,881	- 66,898	+ 35,845
Office of Inspector General					
Audits, evaluations, and investigations	44,791	45,646	45,646	+ 855
(Transfer from Superfund)	(9,375)	(10,156)	(10,156)	(+ 181)
Total, Office of Inspector General	44,791	45,646	45,646	+ 855
Buildings and Facilities					
Homeland security: Protection of EPA personnel and infrastructure	8,070	8,070	8,070
Operations and administration	28,931	31,931	29,931	+ 1,000	- 2,000
Total, Buildings and Facilities	37,001	40,001	38,001	+ 1,000	- 2,000
Hazardous Substance Superfund					
Air toxics and quality	2,495	2,593	2,593	+ 98
Audits, evaluations, and investigations	9,975	10,156	10,156	+ 181

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En- acted	FY 2011 Re- quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En- acted	This Bill vs Re- quest
Compliance	1,216	1,220	1,220	+ 4	
Enforcement	195,448	198,890	198,890	+ 3,442	
(Environmental justice)	(795)	(806)	(806)	(+ 11)	
(Superfund: Enforcement)	(172,668)	(176,532)	(176,532)	(+ 3,864)	
(Superfund: Federal facilities enforcement)	(10,570)	(10,909)	(10,909)	(+ 339)	
Homeland security	56,534	43,468	43,468	-13,066	
(Laboratory preparedness and response)	(9,626)	(5,838)	(5,838)	(- 3,788)	
(Decontamination)	(10,996)	(7,011)	(7,011)	(- 3,985)	
Information exchange/Outreach	1,433	1,433	1,433		
IT/Data management/Security	17,872	17,448	17,448	- 424	
Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review	1,639	1,665	1,665	+ 26	
Operations and administration	139,181	138,307	138,307	- 874	
(Rent)	(44,300)	(41,888)	(41,888)	(- 2,412)	
(Utilities)	(3,397)	(3,749)	(3,749)	(+ 352)	
(Security)	(8,299)	(8,412)	(8,412)	(+ 113)	
(Acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities)		(1,500)	(1,500)	(+ 1,500)	
Research: Human health and ecosystems	3,404	3,350	3,350	- 54	
Research: Land protection	21,191	19,069	19,069	- 2,122	
Research: Sustainability	73			- 73	
Superfund cleanup:					
Superfund: Emergency response and removal	202,330	202,784	202,784	+ 454	
Superfund: EPA emergency preparedness	9,632	9,776	9,776	+ 144	
Superfund: Federal facilities	32,105	31,543	31,543	- 562	
Superfund: Remedial	605,438	605,438	605,438		
Superfund: Support to other Federal agencies	6,575	5,920	5,920	- 655	
Subtotal	856,080	855,461	855,461	- 619	
Total, Hazardous Substance Superfund	1,306,541	1,293,060	1,293,060	- 13,481	
(transfer to Inspector General)	(- 9,975)	(- 10,156)	(- 10,156)	(- 181)	
(transfer to Science and Technology)	(- 26,834)	(- 24,527)	(- 24,527)	(+ 2,307)	
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund (LUST)					
Compliance	797			- 797	
IT/Data management/Security	162			- 162	
Enforcement		847	847	+ 847	
Operations and administration	2,184	2,131	2,131	- 53	
(Rent)	(696)	(696)	(696)		
Research: Land protection	345	457		+ 112	
Underground storage tanks (LUST/UST)	109,613	109,784	109,784	+ 171	
(LUST/UST)	(11,613)	(12,162)	(12,162)	(+ 549)	
(UST Cooperative agreements)	(63,570)	(63,192)	(63,192)	(- 378)	
(Energy Policy Act grants)	(34,430)	(34,430)	(34,430)		
Total, Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund	113,101	113,219	113,219	+ 118	
Oil Spill Response					
Compliance	269	139	139	- 130	
Enforcement	1,998	2,559	2,559	+ 561	
IT/Data management/Security	24			- 24	
Oil	14,544	14,547	14,547	+ 3	
Operations and administration	505	534	534	+ 29	
(Rent)	(438)	(438)	(438)		
Research: Land protection	639	689	689	+ 50	
Total, Oil Spill Response	18,379	18,468	18,468	+ 89	
State and Tribal Assistance Grants (STAG)					
Clean water state revolving fund (SRF)	2,100,000	2,000,000	1,898,000	- 202,000	- 102,000
Drinking water state revolving fund (SRF)	1,387,000	1,287,000	1,206,000	- 181,000	- 81,000
STAG infrastructure grants	156,777		145,056	- 11,721	+ 145,056
Alaska Native villages	13,000	10,000	13,000		+ 3,000
Brownfields projects	100,000	138,254	128,254	+ 28,254	- 10,000
Diesel emissions grants (Energy Policy Act)	60,000	50,000			
Targeted airshed grants	20,000		15,000	- 5,000	+ 15,000
Mexico border	17,000	10,000	17,000		+ 7,000
Subtotal, Infrastructure assistance grants	3,853,777	3,505,254	3,482,310	- 371,467	- 22,944
Categorical grants:					
Beaches protection	9,900	9,900	9,900		
Brownfields	49,495	49,495			
Environmental information	10,000	10,200	10,200	+ 200	
Hazardous waste financial assistance	103,346	105,412	105,412	+ 2,066	
Lead	14,564	14,855	14,855	+ 291	
Climate change grants to local governments	10,000		10,000		+ 10,000
Multi-media Tribal Implementation		30,000	30,000	+ 30,000	
Nonpoint source (Sec. 319)	200,857	200,857	200,857		
Pesticides enforcement	18,711	19,085	19,085	+ 374	
Pesticides program implementation	13,520	13,590		+ 170	
Pollution control (Sec. 106)	229,264	274,264	274,264	+ 45,000	
(Water quality monitoring)	(18,500)	(23,500)	(23,500)	(+ 5,000)	
Pollution prevention	4,940	5,039	5,039	+ 99	
Public water system supervision	105,700	105,700	105,700		
Radon	8,074	8,074	8,074		
State and local air quality management	226,580	309,080	309,080	+ 82,500	
Toxics substances compliance	5,099	5,201	5,201	+ 102	
Tribal air quality management	13,300	13,566	13,566	+ 266	
Tribal general assistance program	62,875	71,375	71,375	+ 8,500	
Underground injection control (UIC)	10,891	11,109	11,109	+ 218	
Underground storage tanks	2,500	2,550	2,550	+ 50	
Wetlands program development	16,830	17,167	17,167	+ 337	
Subtotal, Categorical grants	1,116,446	1,276,619	1,286,619	+ 170,173	+ 10,000
Total, State and Tribal Assistance Grants	4,970,223	4,781,873	4,768,929	- 201,294	- 12,944
Subtotal, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	10,331,864	10,030,000	10,056,401	- 275,463	+ 26,401
Rescission	- 40,000	- 10,000	- 10,000	+ 30,000	
TOTAL, TITLE II, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	10,291,864	10,020,000	10,046,401	- 245,463	+ 26,401

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En- acted	FY 2011 Re- quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En- acted	This Bill vs Re- quest
Appropriations	(10,329,864)	(10,030,000)	(10,056,401)	(- 273,463)	(+ 26,401)
Rescissions	(- 40,000)	(- 10,000)	(- 10,000)	(+ 30,000)	
Emergency appropriations	(2,000)			(- 2,000)	
TITLE III—RELATED AGENCIES					
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE					
FOREST SERVICE					
Forest and Rangeland Research					
Forest inventory and analysis	66,939	61,939	66,939		+ 5,000
Research and development programs	245,073	242,415	247,315	+ 2,242	+ 4,900
(Global Climate Change Science)	(31,857)	(28,357)	(32,857)	(+ 1,000)	(+ 4,500)
Total, Forest and rangeland research	312,012	304,354	314,254	+ 2,242	+ 9,900
State and Private Forestry					
Forest Health Management:					
Federal lands forest health management	57,282	56,165	59,059	+ 1,777	+ 2,894
Cooperative lands forest health management	48,573	45,913	49,573	+ 1,000	+ 3,660
Subtotal	105,855	102,078	108,632	+ 2,777	+ 6,554
Cooperative Fire Protection:					
State fire assistance	39,147	35,257	35,257	- 3,890	
Volunteer fire assistance	7,000	7,000			
Subtotal	46,147	42,257	42,257	- 3,890	
Cooperative Forestry:					
Forest stewardship	29,369	29,516	29,654	+ 285	+ 138
Forest Legacy	79,460	100,110	89,785	+ 10,325	- 10,325
Use of prior year balances	- 3,000		- 2,500	+ 500	- 2,500
Subtotal	76,460	100,110	87,285	+ 10,825	- 12,825
Community Forest and Open Space Conservation		1,000	1,000		
Urban and Community Forestry	30,377	32,428	35,428	+ 5,051	+ 3,000
Economic action programs	5,000		3,000	- 2,000	+ 3,000
Forest resource information and analysis	5,035	5,068	5,068	+ 33	
Subtotal, Cooperative Forestry	146,241	168,122	161,435	+ 15,194	- 6,687
International forestry	9,818	9,136	10,818	+ 1,000	+ 1,682
Total, State and Private Forestry	308,061	321,593	323,142	+ 15,081	+ 1,549
National Forest System					
Land management planning	45,917	45,958	45,958	+ 41	
Inventory and monitoring	170,502	169,992	169,992	- 510	
Recreation, heritage and wilderness	285,117	293,152	294,652	+ 9,535	+ 1,500
Grazing management	50,714	50,508	50,508	- 206	
Forest products	336,722		331,722	- 5,000	+ 331,722
Vegetation and watershed management	187,960		184,910	- 3,050	+ 184,910
Wildlife and fish habitat management	143,014		140,164	- 2,850	+ 140,164
(Integrated Resource Restoration)		(693,772)			(- 693,772)
Integrated Resource Restoration Base Program		603,772			+ 603,772
Collaborative Forest Landscape Restoration Fund		40,000	40,000	+ 40,000	
Priority Watersheds & Jobs Stabilization		50,000	25,000	+ 25,000	- 25,000
Minerals and geology management	87,240	87,366	87,366	+ 126	
Landownership management	95,606	95,323	95,323	- 283	
Law enforcement operations	145,047	146,148	145,648	+ 4,601	+ 3,500
Valles Caldera National Preserve	3,500	3,500	3,500		
Total, National Forest System	1,551,339	1,585,719	1,618,743	+ 67,404	+ 33,024
Capital Improvement and Maintenance					
Facilities:					
Maintenance	86,134	79,959	80,164	- 5,970	+ 205
Construction	48,876	51,479	52,479	+ 3,603	+ 1,000
Subtotal	135,010	131,438	132,643	- 2,367	+ 1,205
Roads:					
Maintenance	166,885	164,365	185,865	+ 18,980	+ 21,500
Construction	69,636		40,000	- 29,636	+ 40,000
Subtotal	236,521	164,365	225,865	- 10,656	+ 61,500
Trails:					
Maintenance	63,846	63,242	65,346	+ 1,500	+ 2,104
Construction	21,535	19,819	21,535		+ 1,716
Subtotal	85,381	83,061	86,881	+ 1,500	+ 3,820
Deferred maintenance	9,141	9,158	9,158	+ 17	
Legacy road and trail remediation	90,000	50,371	90,000		+ 39,629
Subtotal, Capital improvement and maintenance	556,053	438,393	544,547	- 11,506	+ 106,154
Deferral of road and trail fund payment	- 18,000		- 13,000	+ 5,000	- 13,000
Total, Capital improvement and maintenance	538,053	438,393	531,547	- 6,506	+ 93,154
Land Acquisition					
Forest Service:					
Acquisitions	51,022	59,664	59,489	+ 8,467	- 175
Acquisition management	8,000	9,000	9,000	+ 1,000	
Cash equalization	1,000	1,000	1,000		
Critical inholdings/wilderness protection	3,500	4,000	4,000	+ 500	
Total, Land Acquisition	63,522	73,664	73,489	+ 9,967	- 175
Acquisition of land for national forests, special acts	1,050	1,050	1,050		
Acquisition of lands to complete land exchanges	250	250	250		
Range betterment fund	3,600	3,600	3,600		
Gifts, donations and bequests for forest and rangeland research	50	50	50		
Management of national forest lands for subsistence uses	2,582	2,606	2,606	+ 24	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
Wildland Fire Management					
Fire operations:					
Wildland fire preparedness	675,000	1,008,226	1,008,226	+ 333,226	
Wildland fire suppression operations	997,505	595,000	595,000	- 402,505	
Subtotal, Fire operations	1,672,505	1,603,226	1,603,226	- 69,279	
Other operations:					
Hazardous fuels	350,285	349,447	369,447	+ 19,162	+ 20,000
(Hazardous Fuels Base Program)	(335,285)	(324,447)	(354,447)	(+ 19,162)	(+ 30,000)
(Collaborative Forest Landscape Restoration Fund)	(10,000)			(- 10,000)	
(Biomass Grants)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)		
(Community Wood Energy Program)		(5,000)	(5,000)	(+ 5,000)	
(Forest Biomass for Energy Program)		(15,000)	(5,000)	(+ 5,000)	(- 10,000)
Rehabilitation	11,600	9,035	11,000	- 600	+ 1,965
Fire plan research and development	23,917	24,060	24,060	+ 143	
Joint fire sciences program	8,000	8,009	9,009	+ 1,009	+ 1,000
Forest health management (federal lands)	20,752	14,442	20,752		+ 6,310
Forest health management (co-op lands)	11,428	7,027	11,428		+ 4,401
State fire assistance	71,250	50,104	70,000	- 1,250	+ 19,896
Volunteer fire assistance	9,000	7,000	9,000		+ 2,000
Subtotal, Other operations	506,232	459,124	524,696	+ 18,464	+ 55,572
Subtotal, Wildland fire management	2,178,737	2,072,350	2,127,922	- 50,815	+ 55,572
Use of prior-year funds	- 75,000			+ 75,000	
Rescission			- 155,000	- 155,000	- 155,000
Total, Wildland fire management	2,103,737	2,072,350	1,972,922	- 130,815	- 99,428
Presidential Wildland Fire Contingency Reserve Fund					
Presidential wildland fire contingency reserve fund		282,000			- 282,000
FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Account					
FLAME wildfire suppression reserve account	413,000	291,000	291,000	- 122,000	
Total, all wildland fire accounts	2,516,737	2,645,350	2,263,922	- 252,815	- 381,428
Total, Forest Service without Wildland fire	2,780,519	2,731,279	2,868,731	+ 88,212	+ 137,452
TOTAL, FOREST SERVICE	5,297,256	5,376,629	5,132,653	- 164,603	- 243,976
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES					
INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE					
Indian Health Services					
Clinical Services:					
IHS and tribal health delivery:					
Hospital and health clinic programs	1,754,383	1,893,292	1,893,292	+ 138,909	
(Increase for Indian Healthcare Improvement Fund)	(45,543)	(44,000)	(44,000)	(- 1,543)	
(Domestic Violence Prevention Initiative)	(10,000)	(10,000)	(10,000)		
(Health Information Technology)	(16,251)	(20,251)	(20,251)	(+ 4,000)	
Dental health program	152,634	161,262	161,262	+ 8,628	
Mental health program	72,786	77,076	77,176	+ 4,390	+ 100
Alcohol and substance abuse program	194,409	205,770	205,670	+ 11,261	- 100
(Methamphetamine treatment and prevention)	(16,391)	(16,391)	(16,391)		
Contract care	779,347	862,765	862,765	+ 83,418	
(Catastrophic health emergency fund)	(48,000)	(53,000)	(53,000)	(+ 5,000)	
Subtotal	2,953,559	3,200,165	3,200,165	+ 246,606	
Preventive Health:					
Public health nursing	64,071	67,571	67,571	+ 3,500	
Health education	16,682	17,489	17,489	+ 807	
Community health representatives program	61,628	63,991	63,991	+ 2,363	
Immunization (Alaska)	1,934	2,009	2,009	+ 75	
Subtotal	144,315	151,060	151,060	+ 6,745	
Urban health program	43,139	45,502	45,502	+ 2,363	
Indian health professions	40,743	41,413	41,413	+ 670	
Tribal management	2,585	2,669	2,669	+ 83	
Direct operations	68,020	69,845	69,845	+ 1,125	
Self-governance	6,066	6,201	6,201	+ 135	
Contract support costs	398,490	444,332	444,332	+ 45,842	
Total, Indian Health Services	3,657,618	3,961,187	3,961,187	+ 303,569	
Indian Health Facilities					
Maintenance and improvement	53,915	55,523	55,523	+ 1,608	
Sanitation facilities	95,857	97,710	97,710	+ 1,853	
Construction facilities	29,234	66,192	66,192	+ 36,958	
Facilities and environmental health support	193,087	202,106	202,106	+ 9,019	
Equipment	22,664	23,711	23,711	+ 1,047	
Total, Indian Health Facilities	394,757	445,242	445,242	+ 50,485	
TOTAL, INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE	4,052,375	4,406,429	4,406,429	+ 354,054	
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES					
NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH					
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences	79,212	81,763	81,763	+ 2,551	
AGENCY FOR TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND DISEASE REGISTRY					
Toxic substances and environmental public health	76,792	76,337	76,337	- 455	
TOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES	4,208,379	4,564,529	4,564,529	+ 356,150	
OTHER RELATED AGENCIES					
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT					
Council on Environmental Quality and Office of Environmental Quality	3,159	3,448	3,448	+ 289	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD					
Salaries and expenses	11,147	10,799	13,147	+ 2,000	+ 2,348
OFFICE OF NAVAJO AND HOPI INDIAN RELOCATION					
Salaries and expenses	8,000	8,000	8,000		
INSTITUTE OF AMERICAN INDIAN AND ALASKA NATIVE CULTURE AND ARTS DEVELOPMENT					
Payment to the Institute	8,300	8,750	8,750	+ 450	
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION					
Salaries and Expenses					
Museum and Research Institutes:					
National Air and Space Museum	18,259	18,603	18,603	+ 344	
Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory	24,323	24,759	24,759	+ 436	
Major scientific instrumentation	3,822	3,822	3,822		
Universe Center		500	500	+ 500	
National Museum of Natural History	47,622	50,433	50,433	+ 2,811	
National Zoological Park	23,190	24,197	24,197	+ 1,007	
Smithsonian Environmental Research Center	3,756	3,848	3,848	+ 92	
Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute	14,546	14,885	14,885	+ 339	
Biodiversity Center		7,000	6,250	+ 6,250	- 750
Arthur M. Sackler Gallery/Freer Gallery of Art	6,113	6,268	6,268	+ 155	
Center for Folklife and Cultural Heritage	2,282	2,340	2,340	+ 58	
Cooper-Hewitt, National Design Museum	4,103	4,162	4,162	+ 59	
Hirshhorn Museum and Sculpture Garden	4,412	4,445	4,445	+ 33	
National Museum of African Art	4,504	4,532	4,532	+ 28	
World Cultures Center		500	500	+ 500	
Anacostia Community Museum	2,048	2,098	2,098	+ 50	
Archives of American Art	1,858	1,928	1,928	+ 70	
National Museum of African American History and Culture	12,894	13,568	13,568	+ 674	
National Museum of American History	22,349	22,778	22,778	+ 429	
National Museum of the American Indian	32,530	33,300	33,300	+ 770	
National Portrait Gallery	5,967	6,087	6,087	+ 120	
Smithsonian American Art Museum	9,245	9,522	9,522	+ 277	
American Experience Center		1,000	1,000	+ 1,000	
Subtotal, Museums and Research Institutes	243,823	260,575	259,825	+ 16,002	- 750
Program support and outreach:					
Outreach	9,669	9,773	9,773	+ 104	
Communications	2,342	2,586	2,586	+ 244	
Institution-wide programs	10,839	12,139	12,139	+ 1,300	
Office of Exhibits Central	2,982	3,082	3,082	+ 100	
Museum Support Center	1,858	1,912	1,912	+ 54	
Museum Conservation Institute	3,119	3,199	3,199	+ 80	
Smithsonian Institution Archives	2,054	2,142	2,142	+ 88	
Smithsonian Institution Libraries	10,008	10,168	10,168	+ 160	
Subtotal, Program support and outreach	42,871	45,001	45,001	+ 2,130	
Office of Chief Information Officer	43,536	46,806	46,806	+ 3,270	
Administration	32,989	34,252	34,252	+ 1,263	
Inspector General	2,700	2,657	2,657	- 43	
Facilities services:					
Facilities maintenance	72,935	72,729	73,479	+ 544	+ 750
Facilities operations, security and support	197,307	198,830	198,830	+ 1,523	
Subtotal, Facilities services	270,242	271,559	272,309	+ 2,067	+ 750
Total, Salaries and expenses	636,161	660,850	660,850	+ 24,689	
Facilities Capital					
Revitalization	89,300	106,190	106,190	+ 16,890	
Facilities planning and design	35,700	30,550	30,550	- 5,140	
Total, Facilities Capital	125,000	136,750	136,750	+ 11,750	
Legacy Fund					
Legacy Fund	30,000			- 30,000	
Rescission of prior year balances	- 29,766			+ 29,766	
Total, Legacy Fund	234			- 234	
TOTAL, SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION	761,395	797,600	797,600	+ 36,205	
NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART					
Salaries and Expenses					
Care and utilization of art collections	36,902	35,661	37,406	+ 504	+ 1,745
Operation and maintenance of buildings and grounds	29,267	32,739	32,739	+ 3,472	
Protection of buildings, grounds and contents	23,776	24,404	24,404	+ 628	
General administration	20,801	21,775	21,775	+ 974	
Total, Salaries and Expenses	110,746	114,579	116,324	+ 5,578	+ 1,745
Repair, Restoration and Renovation of Buildings					
Base program	56,259	48,221	48,221	- 8,038	
TOTAL, NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART	167,005	162,800	164,545	- 2,460	+ 1,745
JOHN F. KENNEDY CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS					
Operations and maintenance	23,000	23,500	23,500	+ 500	
Capital repair and restoration	17,447	13,920	13,920	- 3,527	
TOTAL, JOHN F. KENNEDY CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS	40,447	37,420	37,420	- 3,027	
WOODROW WILSON INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR SCHOLARS					
Salaries and expenses	12,225	9,922	12,225		+ 2,303

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES					
National Endowment for the Arts					
Grants and Administration					
Grants:					
Direct grants	63,235	63,948	69,065	+ 5,830	+ 5,117
Challenge America grants	10,000	10,000	10,500	+ 500	+ 500
National Initiative: American Masterpieces	10,000			-10,000	
New Our Town		5,000	5,000	+ 5,000	
Subtotal	83,235	78,948	84,565	+ 1,330	+ 5,617
State partnerships:					
State and regional	42,961	41,421	43,000	+ 39	+ 1,579
Underserved set-aside	12,529	11,211	12,700	+ 171	+ 1,489
Subtotal	55,490	52,632	55,700	+ 210	+ 3,068
Subtotal, Grants	138,725	131,580	140,265	+ 1,540	+ 8,685
Program support	1,850	1,880	1,880	+ 30	
Administration	26,925	27,855	27,855	+ 930	
Total, Arts	167,500	161,315	170,000	+ 2,500	+ 8,685
National Endowment for the Humanities					
Grants and Administration					
Grants:					
Bridging Cultures		2,500	2,500	+ 2,500	
Federal/State partnership	40,370	38,515	41,121	+ 751	+ 2,606
Preservation and access	17,116	16,250	16,925	- 191	+ 675
Public programs	15,616	14,750	15,425	- 191	+ 675
Research programs	16,866	16,000	16,675	- 191	+ 675
Education programs	15,616	14,750	15,425	- 191	+ 675
Program development	750	500	1,175	+ 425	+ 675
We The People Initiative grants	14,500	11,500	12,175	- 2,325	+ 675
Digital Humanities Initiatives	4,866	4,000	4,679	- 187	+ 679
Subtotal, Grants	125,700	118,765	126,100	+ 400	+ 7,335
Matching Grants:					
Treasury funds	4,800	4,550	5,225	+ 425	+ 675
Challenge grants	9,500	9,500	10,175	+ 675	+ 675
Subtotal, Matching grants	14,300	14,050	15,400	+ 1,100	+ 1,350
Administration	27,500	28,500	28,500	+ 1,000	
Total, Humanities	167,500	161,315	170,000	+ 2,500	+ 8,685
TOTAL, NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES	335,000	322,630	340,000	+ 5,000	+ 17,370
COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS					
Salaries and expenses	2,294	2,349	2,349	+ 55	
NATIONAL CAPITAL ARTS AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS					
Grants	9,500	4,500	12,000	+ 2,500	+ 7,500
ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION					
Salaries and expenses	5,908	5,908	5,908		
NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION					
Salaries and expenses	8,507	9,100	9,100	+ 593	
UNITED STATES HOLOCAUST MEMORIAL MUSEUM					
Holocaust Memorial Museum	49,122	50,521	50,521	+ 1,399	
PRESIDIO TRUST					
Operations	23,200	15,000	21,600	- 1,600	+ 6,600
DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER MEMORIAL COMMISSION					
Salaries and expenses	3,000			- 3,000	
Capital construction	16,000			- 16,000	
Total, DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER MEMORIAL COMMISSION	19,000			- 19,000	
TOTAL, TITLE III, RELATED AGENCIES	10,969,844	11,389,905	11,183,795	+ 213,951	- 206,110
Appropriations	(10,999,610)	(11,389,905)	(11,338,795)	(+ 339,185)	(- 51,110)
Rescissions	(- 29,766)		(- 155,000)	(- 125,234)	(- 155,000)
TITLE IV—GENERAL PROVISIONS					
EPA Hunter's Point Remediation	8,000		8,000		+ 8,000
Cabin user fee	2,000		2,000		+ 2,000
Forest Service botanical products	1,000			- 1,000	
Sec. 426 Department of the Interior, fire suppression funds (rescission of emergency funds)			- 160,000	- 160,000	- 160,000
Sec. 427 Forest Service, fire suppression funds funds (rescission of emergency funds)			- 140,000	- 140,000	- 140,000
TOTAL, TITLE IV, GENERAL PROVISIONS	11,000		- 290,000	- 301,000	- 290,000
TITLE VII—MONTANA FORESTS					
Montana Jobs and Restoration Initiative			1,000	+ 1,000	+ 1,000
GRAND TOTAL	32,319,918	32,426,035	31,949,720	- 370,198	- 478,315
Appropriations	32,389,684	32,493,035	32,614,720	+ 225,036	+ 121,685
Rescissions	- 100,766	- 65,000	- 365,000	- 264,234	- 300,000
Rescissions of emergency funds			- 300,000	- 300,000	- 300,000
Emergency appropriations	31,000			- 31,000	

¹ 2011 Request assumes an additional \$5 million in rec fees for a total of \$10 million.

DIVISION H—DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

Following is an explanation of the effects of Division H, which makes appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies for fiscal year 2011. As provided in Section 4 of the consolidated bill, this explanatory statement shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds and the implementation of this division as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.

Funding levels for individual programs and activities within the accounts in this division are displayed in the detailed table at the end of this division of the explanatory statement. Where applicable, additional details regarding funding levels are provided in the text and tables below.

Within this division, references to “the bill” apply only to Division H of the consolidated bill.

With respect to matters other than allocation of funds among programs, projects and activities, Departments and agencies should follow guidance contained in Senate Report 111–243, except to the extent that such guidance is modified or overridden in this explanatory statement. Any intended guidance with respect to allocation of funds is reflected in this statement and the accompanying tables, or in the bill itself.

Where this statement or Senate Report 111–243 directs or requests the submission of

a report, the report should be submitted to the Committees on Appropriations of both the House of Representatives and the Senate.

Section 516 sets forth the reprogramming requirements and limitations for the Departments and agencies funded through this division, including the requirement to make a written request to the Committees on Appropriations 15 days prior to reprogramming, or to the announcement of intent to reprogram, funds in excess of 10 percent, or \$500,000, whichever is less, between programs, projects and activities.

Departments and agencies funded in this division shall submit statements on the effect of this Act to the Committees on Appropriations within 45 days of enactment of this Act, pursuant to section 518. The Committees expect that these statements will provide sufficient detail to show the allocation of funds among programs, projects and activities, particularly in accounts where the final appropriation is different than that of the budget request. Further, these statements shall also include the effect of the appropriation on any new activities or major initiatives discussed in the budget justifications accompanying the fiscal year 2011 budget.

TITLE I
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Funding levels for programs within the Department of Labor, along with comparisons to last year’s levels and the budget request, are shown in the table at the end of this division. Any allocations of funding beyond the

level of detail in that table are indicated below.

EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ADMINISTRATION

Workforce Innovation Funds.—Within the total for grants to States, the bill includes \$165,000,000 to fund competitive grants for workforce innovation activities. Grant activities should test innovative strategies or replicate proven practices that support reforms of the workforce investment system and substantially improve employment and education outcomes for participants, particularly those who are hardest to serve. With respect to grantee eligibility and priority for selecting grant applicants, the Department should follow guidance contained in Senate Report 111–243.

Migrant and Seasonal Farmworkers.—The Department of Labor is directed to submit annual reports to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate documenting the use of farmworker housing funds. The reports should include information on the amount of funds used for permanent and temporary housing activities, respectively; a list of the communities served; a list of the grantees and the States in which they are located; the total number of individuals or families served; and a list of allowable temporary housing activities.

Pilots, Demonstrations and Research.—The bill includes funding for the following projects in the following amounts:

Project	Amount
A New Leaf, Providence, RI for a program of transitional employment and job placement assistance	\$60,000
A World Fit for Kids, Los Angeles, CA for a job training and internship program for youth	\$300,000
AFL-CIO Working for America Institute, Washington, DC, for employment and training programs	\$1,000,000
Amigos de los Rios, Altadena, CA for a skills training program	\$125,000
Apprentice Training for Electrical Industry, Philadelphia, PA, for a job training program	\$100,000
Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency, Virginia, MN, for an educational and transportation assistance program for job seekers	\$100,000
Association of Village Council Presidents, Bethel, AK, for workforce development and training	\$500,000
Baltimore City Mayor's Office of Employment Development, Baltimore, MD, for a summer jobs program	\$150,000
Bowie State University, Bowie, MD for a program to train workers for careers in the health IT sector	\$250,000
Brevard Workforce Development Board, Rockledge, FL, for a job training initiative	\$600,000
Bristol Community College, Fall River, MA for its English as a Second Language program	\$250,000
Bronx Resource Community Center, Inc, Bronx, NY for employment and training services	\$350,000
Bucks County Community College, Newtown, PA for a job training program for employment in the renewable energy sector	\$635,000
Capps Workforce Training Center, Stoneville, MS, for workforce training	\$500,000
Catholic Charities, Baltimore, MD, for a job training and job placement program	\$250,000
Center for Education, Business, and the Arts Interlocal Agency, St. George, UT for job training and apprenticeship programs leading to employment in the green construction sector, including the purchase of equipment	\$608,000
Center for Employment Training, San Jose, CA for a job training program in the energy conservation and renewable energy industry	\$350,000
Central Florida Joint Training Association, Orlando, FL for job training and placement services for veterans, older workers and disconnected youth	\$850,000
Chaldean Community Foundation, Southfield, MI for skills training and employment services for refugees	\$350,000
Chesapeake Bay Trust, Annapolis, MD, for a job training program and development of a training curriculum	\$200,000
City and County of Denver, CO for the Green Energy Youth Workforce Development Program	\$150,000
City of Baltimore Mayor's Office of Employment Development, Baltimore, MD for skills training at Career Connection academies	\$450,000
City of Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, for youth employment readiness programs	\$500,000
City of Jackson, MS, Jackson, MS, for workforce training	\$100,000
City of Lakewood, CA for an on-the-job training program	\$400,000
City of San Diego, CA for the Metro Youth Employment Program	\$250,000
City of San Jose, CA for a workforce training program to promote employment in the clean technology industry	\$250,000
Clackamas Community College, Oregon City, OR for job training and employment services for veterans	\$550,000
College of Southern Idaho, Twin Falls, ID, for job training in green construction	\$150,000

College of Southern Maryland, La Plata, MD for a workforce training program, including the purchase of equipment	\$400,000
Community Action Inc. of Rock and Walworth Counties, Janesville, WI for training services at the Beloit Training and Education Center	\$250,000
Community Foundation of South Wood County, Wisconsin Rapids, WI for a job training program	\$250,000
Community Transportation Association of America, Washington, DC, to continue the Joblinks program	\$450,000
Covenant House Alaska, Anchorage, AK for case management and employment services for at-risk youth	\$200,000
Crossing Educational Center, Elkhart, IN for job training, skills training, and employment services	\$300,000
Cypress Mandela Training Center, Incorporated, Oakland, CA for a pre-apprenticeship program	\$275,000
Des Moines Area Community College, Ankeny, IA for soft-skill instruction and job training for individuals with disabilities	\$550,000
Des Moines Area Community College, Ankeny, IA, for workforce development services at its Perry Career Technical Academy	\$400,000
Dowling College, Oakdale, NY for job training and employment services for veterans	\$200,000
East Bay Spanish Speaking Citizens Foundation, Oakland, CA for job training and employment services	\$250,000
Easter Seals of Southern Nevada, Las Vegas, NV, for a job training program for dislocated workers	\$200,000
Emery Unified School District, Emeryville, CA for a summer internship program for youth	\$235,000
Envirovolution, Reno, NV, for workforce development services at a green jobs resources center	\$200,000
Expertise, Inc, Las Vegas, NV, for job readiness and employment training	\$100,000
Fifth Avenue Committee, Inc., Brooklyn, NY for employment training and worker education programs for low-income, unemployed, and economically vulnerable populations	\$450,000
Finishing Trades Institute, Kenner, Louisiana, for job training and workforce development	\$150,000
First African Community Development Corporation, Philadelphia, PA, for training in green and technology related jobs	\$100,000
Fletcher Community College, Houma, Louisiana, for job training and workforce development	\$200,000
Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL, for training in cyber security	\$250,000
Fordham Bedford Children's Services, Bronx, NY for skills training and employment services for Bronx residents	\$150,000
Foundation for an Independent Tomorrow, Las Vegas, NV, for job search support program	\$125,000
Fox Valley Technical College, Appleton, WI, to expand truck driver training program	\$250,000
Fund for the City of New York, New York, NY, for an academic success and workforce development program	\$200,000
Glendale Community College, Glendale, CA for a workforce training program at the Infrastructure/Water and Power Academy	\$180,000
Goodwill Industries of Greater New York and Northern New Jersey, Astoria, NY for job training and employment services	\$350,000

Goodwill Industries of Southeastern WI, Inc, Milwaukee, WI, for workforce development services at its community job center	\$750,000
Goodwill of Southern Nevada, Las Vegas, NV, for the career connections job training program	\$100,000
Great Basin College, Elko, NV, for job training program	\$200,000
Haven for Hope, San Antonio, TX, for job training and education for the homeless	\$200,000
Hispanic Federation, New York, NY, for a Latino Workforce Training Initiative	\$100,000
Holmes Community College, Goodman, MS, for workforce training	\$225,000
Hopkins House, Alexandria, VA, for workforce development and training in early childhood education	\$150,000
Huntington Hospital Dolan Family Health Center, Huntington, NY for a health care training program for underprivileged youth	\$400,000
International Youth Organization, Newark, NJ for a workforce development program for youth	\$250,000
Iowa Workforce Development, Des Moines, IA, for the IowaWORKS Integration Project	\$1,000,000
Jackson Community College, Jackson, MI for a skills training program	\$600,000
JobPath, Inc., Tucson, AZ for job training and employment services in the healthcare and biotechnology sectors	\$500,000
Jobs for Mississippi Graduates, Inc., Jackson, MS, for career development for at-risk youth	\$125,000
Johnstown Area Regional Industries, Johnstown, PA for job training and workforce development activities	\$250,000
Lakeshore Technical College, Cleveland, WI, to support the training of workers for manufacturing jobs	\$750,000
Las Vegas-Clark County Urban League, Las Vegas, NV, to provide job development and workforce readiness skills for unemployed or underemployed individuals	\$145,000
Lawrence Technological University, Dearborn, MI, to train displaced workers in green jobs	\$150,000
Lucas County Department of Job and Family Services, Toledo, OH for a job training and youth employment program	\$500,000
Maine Centers for Women, Work, & Community/University of Maine at Augusta/University of Maine System, Augusta, ME, for job training and workforce development	\$100,000
Maui Community College, Kahului, HI, for remote rural Hawaii job training	\$2,300,000
Maui Community College, Kahului, HI, for the Samoan/Asian Pacific Job Training program	\$2,000,000
Maui Economic Development Board, Kihei, HI, Rural Computer Utilization Training	\$300,000
Maui Economic Development Board, Kihei, HI, to recruit, train and retain women and minorities in STEM careers	\$450,000
MedTech Association, Inc., Syracuse, NY, to identify career pathways that connect to bioscience jobs	\$150,000
Milwaukee Area Technical College, Milwaukee, WI, for training and equipment at its Solar Jobs Training Center	\$350,000
Minnesota Finishing Trades Training Fund, Little Canada, MN for a job training and apprenticeship program, including the purchase of equipment	\$400,000
Mission of Love Charities, Inc., Capitol Heights, MD for programs to provide skills training and related services to youth	\$110,000
Mississippi Gulf Coast Community College, Perkinston, MS, for workforce training	\$250,000
Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS, for the Mississippi Integrated Workforce Performance System	\$375,000

Mississippi Technology Alliance, Ridgeland, MS, for the Mississippi ILED training program	\$250,000
MN OIC State Council, St. Paul, MN for training and certification of workers in the solar energy industry, including the purchase of equipment	\$500,000
Montana State University-Northern, Havre, MT, for workforce development services at its Energy Training Center	\$200,000
Moore Community House, Biloxi, MS, for workforce training	\$100,000
Naugatuck Valley Community College, Waterbury, CT for a skills training program	\$200,000
New Hampshire Manufacturing Extension Partnership, Concord, NH, for incumbent worker retraining initiative	\$200,000
Nine Star Education & Employment Services, Anchorage, AK, for job training for prisoner reentry programs	\$100,000
Nine Star Education and Employment, Anchorage, AK, to expand Math for the Trades course	\$200,000
North Carolina Rural Economic Development Center, Raleigh, NC, for Community Mobilization Project for Dislocated Workers	\$150,000
North Dakota State College of Science, Fargo, ND, for biosciences workforce training and equipment	\$400,000
Northern Virginia Community College, Annandale, VA for a program to recruit and train maintenance specialists for "smart" buildings	\$500,000
Parnassa Fund, Inc., New York, NY, for EPI Emergency Employment and Job Creation Network	\$150,000
Partners in Careers, Vancouver, WA, for workforce development for female veterans	\$100,000
Peralta Community College District, Oakland, CA, for the East Bay Green Jobs Project	\$500,000
Philadelphia Opportunities Industrialization Center, Philadelphia, PA, for workforce development and related equipment expenses	\$100,000
Plattsburgh-North Country Chamber of Commerce, Plattsburgh, NY, for an on the job training program	\$500,000
Port Authority of San Antonio, San Antonio, TX for a workforce development program	\$400,000
Preservation Alliance of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN for a job training program	\$100,000
Rapides Parish Police Jury Office of Economic & Workforce Development, Alexandria, LA, to train displaced workers in demand occupations including green jobs	\$200,000
Regional Education & Training Center at Satsop, Elma, WA, for programs focused on rapid certifications, entry-level degrees and advanced certifications	\$900,000
River Valley Resources, Inc., Madison, IN, for workforce training	\$100,000
Safer Foundation, Chicago, IL for workforce development and training services for ex-offenders	\$400,000
San Francisco Conservation Corps, San Francisco, CA for a workforce development program to train individuals for careers in green industries	\$300,000
San Jacinto College, Pasadena, TX for a technical education training program	\$500,000
San Mateo County Community College District, San Mateo, CA, for curriculum development, job placement and job retention services at the Allied Health Career Advancement Academy	\$150,000
Scranton Electricians JATC, Scranton, PA, for training in green technologies	\$100,000
Search to Involve Pilipino Americans, Los Angeles, CA for employment training and related services for at-risk youth	\$350,000
SEIU Healthcare NW Training Partnership, Federal Way, WA, for training of home care aides, including equipment	\$300,000

South Delta Planning and Development District, Greenville, MS, for workforce training	\$400,000
Southwest Alaska Vocational & Education Center, King Salmon, AK, for workforce development programs in rural Alaska	\$100,000
Southwest Mississippi Community College, Summit, MS, for workforce training	\$350,000
Spectrum Resources, Des Moines, IA, to expand the Project Phoenix job training program	\$200,000
St. Bernard Project, Chalmette, LA, for workforce development and related equipment expenses	\$200,000
State of Vermont Department of Public Safety, Waterbury, VT, for workforce development services for firefighting and emergency services workers	\$100,000
Steamfitters Local 449 and Plumbers Local 27, Pittsburgh, PA, for training program for those entering the pipe trades industry	\$500,000
Summit County, Akron, OH for job training and placement services for veterans	\$250,000
Tazewell County, Tazewell, VA for job training in the information technology, clean energy and advanced manufacturing sectors	\$400,000
The Resource Training Center, Inc., Brooklyn, NY for a job training program for low-income individuals with a history of substance abuse or incarceration	\$100,000
Total Action Against Poverty, Roanoke, VA, to provide job training to help individuals obtain and retain employment	\$250,000
Twin Cities RISE!, Minneapolis, MN, to expand job training program, and support program replication in other cities	\$100,000
United 4 Economic Development, Salt Lake City, UT, for workforce development and training	\$250,000
United Mine Workers of America, Washington, PA for the UMWA Career Center's mine worker training and employment programs	\$500,000
University of West Alabama, Livingstone, AL for career and technical skills training	\$650,000
Utah Capital Investment Corporation, Salt Lake City, UT, for workforce development, education and training	\$250,000
Vermont HITEC, Williston, VT, for the Vermont HITEC Job Training Initiative	\$500,000
Vermont Technical College, Randolph Center, VT, for Green Jobs Workforce Development	\$500,000
Vermont Technical College, Randolph Center, VT, for training in paramedicine	\$300,000
Veterans Leadership Program of Western Pennsylvania, Pittsburgh, PA, for a jobs program for veterans	\$150,000
Washington State Workforce Board, Olympia, WA, for its regional workforce development initiative	\$1,000,000
Wayne County Community College District, Detroit, MI for a program to train dislocated workers in advanced manufacturing technologies	\$500,000
West Los Angeles College, Culver City, CA for career pathways programs for veterans, dislocated workers, ex-offenders, and youth	\$700,000
Western New Mexico University, Silver City, NM for job training and placement services in the biofuels industry	\$300,000
Women's Enterprise Development Center, Inc., White Plains, NY for professional development services	\$160,000
Women's Initiative for Self Employment, San Francisco, CA, for equipment and workforce development services to assist low income women in achieving self sufficiency	\$100,000
Workforce Development Council of Seattle-King County, Seattle, WA, to align K-12 and post-secondary education to better meet the workforce skill needs in King County	\$400,000

Workforce Investment Board of Herkimer, Madison and Oneida Counties, Utica, NY for vocational and basic skills training to prepare workers for careers in the health care sector	\$200,000
Year Up Boston, Boston, MA, to support the expansion of curriculum development and career placement services for urban youth	\$100,000
Youth Radio, Oakland, CA for training of at-risk youth for employment in the media industry	\$250,000
Youthcare, Seattle, WA, to provide youth with education and training in the telecommunications industry	\$100,000
YWCA Middle Rio Grande, Albuquerque, NM, for workforce preparation services for at risk women, female veterans, and their children	\$150,000

Job Corps.—The Secretary of Labor is directed to use authority provided in the bill to transfer up to 25 percent of construction funds to meet the operational needs of Job Corps Centers.

Job Corps Centers provide enormous benefits to the communities they serve in the form of employment opportunities associated with the center as well as education and training opportunities for the disadvantaged youth housed there. For this reason, the timely opening of newly constructed centers is of the utmost importance. The Department's process and proposed timelines for opening newly constructed centers for students is too slow. More effort needs to be made to expedite this process to ensure that the promise offered by a new center is delivered to the affected community quickly. To that end, within the total for Job Corps, sufficient funding is included to ensure that any new center constructed but not open for students by July 1, 2011, will have a competitively procured contractor on site on or before that date, open for students by October 2011 and operate at its full capacity by April 2012. In addition, the funding level is sufficient to ensure that any newly constructed centers activated and enrolling students during program year 2010 operate at their full capacity for the duration of program year 2011.

One-Stop Career Centers/Labor Market Information.—Within the total for One-Stop Career Centers and Labor Market Information, the bill includes \$15,000,000 to carry out the language in Senate Report 111-243 regarding disability-related employment planning and activities.

Advances to the Unemployment Trust Fund and Other Funds.—The bill includes such sums as may be necessary for Advances to the Unemployment Trust Fund and Other Funds. The funds may be used to implement an extension of the expanded Trade Adjustment Assistance program, including the provision of additional training, employment, and case management services funds to the States.

Federal Grant Application Review Panels.—The Employment and Training Administration (ETA) is directed to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than May 31, 2011, regarding its efforts to restructure federal grant application review panels. The report should examine the feasibility of including representatives of community-based organizations, along with national and regional nonprofit associations, on grant review panels. The report should also list ETA's competitive grant competitions in program year 2010; the number of individuals on each grant review panel; the average duration of time a reviewer remains on a grant review panel; the process for hiring grant reviewers; and the general expertise of the reviewers on each panel.

EMPLOYEE BENEFITS SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Within the total for the Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), the bill includes \$3,000,000 to develop and implement a comprehensive program to enforce the mental health provisions of the Paul Wellstone and Pete Domenici Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act of 2008. The program should become an integrated part of EBSA's permanent operations, and include a national compliance assistance program, a strong public outreach and education component, and enforcement activities.

WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION

Within the total for the Wage and Hour Division, the bill includes \$10,000,000 for an ini-

tiative to detect and deter the inappropriate misclassification of employees as independent contractors.

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

Natural Gas Standard.—In response to recent natural gas-related explosions in North Carolina and Connecticut, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) is directed to develop a permanent standard on natural gas. At a minimum, the permanent standard should address the Chemical Safety Board's urgent recommendations to prohibit the following: the use of natural gas for pipe cleaning; the venting or purging of fuel gas indoors; and any work activity where the flammable gas concentration exceeds a fixed, low percentage of the lower explosive limit. OSHA should also draft guidelines for employers on the inclusion of workers and contractors in developing safe procedures and training for handling fuel gas. OSHA shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations within 180 days of enactment, and updated reports every 180 days thereafter, detailing the anticipated timeline for issuing a permanent standard on natural gas, and OSHA's progress in meeting that timeline.

Whistleblower Protection.—To ensure the effectiveness of the Whistleblower Protection Program (WPP), the Secretary of Labor is directed to require that all WPP investigators and supervisors have taken both of OSHA's mandatory courses for whistleblower statutes by June 30, 2011. In addition, the Secretary is directed to comply with the language in Senate report 111-243 regarding the WPP. The Secretary is directed to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than April 30, 2011, that identifies options to establish and operate a stand-alone WPP Division reporting directly to the Deputy Secretary, or to establish and operate the WPP as a separate office within OSHA to be headed by an expert on employment retaliation law who has supervisory responsibility over all whistleblower staff. The report should include cost estimates for each scenario.

Voluntary Protection Program (VPP).—Sufficient funding is provided to maintain the VPP at its fiscal year 2010 level.

MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

Approval and Certification of Equipment.—The bill authorizes the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) to retain up to \$1,350,000 from fees collected for the approval and certification of equipment, materials, and explosives for use in mines, and to utilize such sums for such activities.

Mine Rescue Training.—Within the amount provided for Program Administration, the bill provides \$1,500,000 for an award to the United Mine Workers of America to continue a project, initiated with the fiscal year 2008 appropriation, for classroom and simulated rescue training for mine rescue teams at its Beckley, West Virginia, and Washington, Pennsylvania, career centers.

BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS

Supplemental Statistical Poverty Measure.—Within the total for the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), the bill includes \$2,500,000 to support the Census Bureau in its development of a supplemental statistical poverty measure, to be based on recommendations of the National Academy of Sciences and subsequent research. However, the new measure shall not affect program eligibility or disbursement of Federal funds to States or localities.

Restructuring of Statistical Programs.—The bill adopts the Administration's proposals to

reduce the cost of its programs by implementing an alternative approach to the Locality Pay Survey (LPS) and by restructuring the Current Employment Statistics (CES) program. The Department has indicated that these new approaches will allow BLS to provide important data at a lower cost without sacrificing quality. In fiscal year 2011, the Secretary is directed to maintain the International Labor Comparisons office at its fiscal year 2010 funding level.

OFFICE OF DISABILITY EMPLOYMENT POLICY

Within the total for the Office of Disability Employment Policy, the bill includes \$15,000,000 to carry out the language in Senate Report 111-243 regarding disability-related employment planning and activities.

DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT

Legal Services.—The bill includes \$9,600,000 above the budget request for mine safety activities and legal services related to the growing backlog of appeals of MSHA citations at the Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission (FMSHRC). Of these additional funds, up to \$4,300,000 is available to the Secretary of Labor to be transferred to the Mine Safety and Health Administration for FMSHRC-related activities.

International Labor Affairs Bureau (ILAB).—The bill includes \$40,000,000 for the United States' contribution to the International Labour Organization's (ILO) International Program for the Elimination of Child Labor (IPEC). The designation of funds for ILO-IPEC is not intended to preclude additional funding for other initiatives if the Department determines that ILO-IPEC is the most qualified organization to perform the work. Additional funds provided to ILAB also should be used to meet reporting requirements related to the Trade and Development Act report on the worst forms of child labor.

Sustainability Report.—Not later than July 15, 2011, and annually thereafter, the Department shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations a report on its progress toward achieving each of the sustainability goals and targets applicable to all U.S. Government agencies as outlined in Executive Order 13514.

WORKING CAPITAL FUND

The bill includes funding to support a government-wide initiative to improve Federal procurement activities. The Department is directed to draft a plan with milestones, performance measures, and estimated funding levels – including anticipated transfers within the Department – on the base activities and specific activities supported above the base due to funds provided under this heading. The plan should be submitted to the Committees on Appropriations not later than April 1, 2011.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sections 101-105 and 107 are continuations of general provisions included in the fiscal year 2010 version of this Act.

Section 106 prohibits use of funds appropriated for the Employment and Training Administration to pay the salary and bonuses of an individual at a rate in excess of Executive Level II. This represents a technical modification of a provision carried in last year's Act intended to maintain the same substantive effect.

Section 108 is a new provision that rescinds \$3,900,000 from the Working Capital Fund and amends Public Law 85-67 to eliminate authorization for the Investment in Reinvention Fund.

Section 109 is a new provision that allows the Employment and Training Administration to transfer technical assistance funds to

its Program Management account when it is determined that those services will be more efficiently performed by Federal staff.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Funding levels for programs within the Department of Health and Human Services, along with comparisons to last year's levels and the budget request, are shown in a table at the end of this division. Any allocations of funding beyond the level of detail in that table are indicated below.

HEALTH RESOURCES AND SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Funding levels for programs within the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), along with comparisons to last year's levels and the budget request, are shown in the table at the end of this division. Any allocations of funding beyond the level of detail in that table are indicated below.

Primary Health Care

Community Health Centers.—The bill includes language designating \$100,000,000 for expenses associated with extending Federal Tort Claims Act protection to practitioners in community health centers. Within the total for Community Health Centers, HRSA is expected to fund Native Hawaiian Health Care at no less than the fiscal year 2010 level and directed to continue the Increased Demand for Services funding.

A significant number of Medically Underserved Areas (MUAs) lack necessary access to community health center services. HRSA is urged to continue support of technical assistance and to review policies to determine if other actions can be taken to improve access in MUAs. HRSA is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations by May 19, 2011, on any actions to improve access to Community Health Centers in MUAs.

HRSA is expected to administer the State Health Access Grant Program in the same manner as in fiscal year 2010.

Health Professions

HRSA should use National Health Service Corps recruitment funds only to support multi-year, rather than single-year, commitments.

Increased access to geriatric health professionals is important to ensure quality care for an aging population. HRSA should work to inform National Health Service Corps applicants about opportunities for geriatric health professionals to encourage training and increase participation. HRSA is directed to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations by March 1, 2011, on the actions taken to encourage geriatric training and improve outreach to geriatric health professionals.

HRSA is urged to improve the level of support provided to minority health professions schools through the Health Careers Opportunity Program. HRSA is directed to report in the fiscal year 2012 budget submission on the actions taken to ensure that those institutions with a historic mission of training minorities in the health professions have the opportunity to compete for grants.

Physician Assistant Training Programs.—Within the funds provided for primary care training and enhancement programs, HRSA is urged to prioritize funds to expand high-quality physician assistant training programs.

Training in Dentistry and Oral Health Innovation Programs.—The bill includes \$53,491,000 for training in dentistry and oral health innovation programs authorized by sections 340G, 340G-1, and 748 of the Public Health Service (PHS) Act. HRSA is directed to provide no less than \$20,000,000 for state oral health workforce grants, no less than \$8,000,000 for pediatric dentistry and no less than fiscal year 2010 levels for other section 748 programs. Within pediatric dentistry,

HRSA is directed to support the faculty loan repayment program at no less than last year's level.

Allied Health.—The bill includes \$13,363,000 for mental and behavioral health education and training grants and \$1,945,000 for the chiropractic program. HRSA is directed to provide no less than \$7,000,000 to the graduate psychology program. The need for behavioral and mental health services in an integrated health care system is significant and well documented. An increasing elderly population and significant numbers of returning war veterans are among the factors that have strained the nation's health system as more individuals and their families turn to mental health care professionals in local communities. HRSA is encouraged to expand training sites, reinstate the geropsychology program, initiate a new focus on veterans, and help integrate health service psychology trainees at Federally Qualified Health Centers to provide behavioral and mental health services to underserved populations.

Public Health and Preventive Medicine Programs.—The bill includes \$34,834,000 for public health and preventive medicine training, which includes a transfer of \$20,000,000 from the Prevention and Public Health Fund. HRSA is directed to provide not less than \$7,199,000 for the preventive medicine program. Funds are also included to allow HRSA to begin planning for a loan repayment program.

Nurse Education, Practice, and Retention.—HRSA is directed to use the increase provided for nurse education, practice, and retention to make grants for career ladder programs.

Maternal and Child Health

Within the total provided for Special Projects of Regional and National Significance (SPRANS), the bill includes the following amounts:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	FY 2011 budget request
SPRANS-Oral Health	\$5,500,000	+641,000	+641,000
SPRANS-Epilepsy	4,000,000	+292,000	+292,000
SPRANS-Sickle Cell	3,774,000	0	0
SPRANS-Fetal Alcohol	486,000	0	0
SPRANS-Doula	1,504,000	0	0
SPRANS-1st time motherhood	4,956,000	0	0

HRSA is urged to continue support of activities within the maternal and child health program that ensure the wellness and healthy development of our children. Programs such as vision screening and the hemophilia treatment grants help provide the early identification and treatment necessary to improve health outcomes.

Autism and Other Developmental Disorders.—Within the funding provided for autism and other developmental disorders, an increase of not less than \$2,000,000 is provided to expand the Leadership Education in Neurodevelopmental and Related Disabilities Program. In addition, an increase of not less than \$2,000,000 is provided for research on evidence-based practices for interventions for individuals with autism and other developmental disabilities, for development of guidelines for those interventions, and for information dissemination.

Ryan White Care Act

The bill includes language providing \$2,010,365,000 for Parts A and B of the Ryan

White HIV/AIDS Treatment Modernization Act, to be available through September 30, 2013.

The bill includes language that limits 2010 program year reductions in Ryan White Part A grants for metropolitan and transitional areas to 9.3 percent by providing \$4,919,000 for supplemental grants.

Within funds provided for the AIDS Drug Assistance Program, HRSA shall ensure that those patients that were provided care as a result of funds provided to States pursuant to sections 311 and 2620 of the PHS Act in fiscal year 2010 continue to receive care.

Rural Health Programs

The bill includes \$34,927,000 for the Delta Health Initiative and associated administrative expenses.

Rural and Community Access to Emergency Devices (AED).—Immediate cardiopulmonary resuscitation and early intervention, using an AED, can more than double a patient's chance of survival. Communities with comprehensive AED programs,

including training of anticipated rescuers, have achieved survival rates of nearly 40 percent. HRSA is encouraged to continue its support of this important program.

Family Planning.—A 2009 Institute of Medicine report found the Title X family planning program to be a success in providing critically needed health services to individuals with the most difficulty accessing family planning care. The report also identified areas in which the program failed to keep pace with changes in overall health care delivery and administration and called for a systematic review and update of the Title X program guidance. HRSA is urged to continue efforts to review and update the Title X program guidance and administrative directives in consultation with Title X providers, administrators and family planning advocates.

The bill includes funding for the following projects in the following amounts:

Project	Amount
Aberdeen Area Tribal Chairmen's Health Board, Rapid City, SD, for facilities and equipment to improve prenatal care	\$450,000
Access Community Health Network, Chicago, IL for facilities and equipment	\$800,000
AHMC Health Foundation, San Gabriel, CA for equipment	\$435,000
Alameda County Medical Center, Oakland, CA, for facilities and equipment	\$775,000
Alaska Medicare Clinic, Anchorage, AK, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium, Anchorage, AK, for facilities and equipment	\$1,000,000
Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium, Anchorage, AK, for the oral health disparities project	\$250,000
Alexian Brothers Hospital Network, Arlington Heights, IL, for facilities and equipment	\$375,000
Alivio Medical Center, Chicago, IL for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
AltaMed Health Services Corporation, Los Angeles, CA for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
American Oncologic Hospital, Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA for medical research facilities and equipment	\$950,000
Anchorage Neighborhood Health Center, Anchorage, AK, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Anchorage Project Access, Anchorage, AK, for outreach, care coordination, and support of oral health care	\$200,000
Anne Arundel Medical Center, Annapolis, MD for facilities and equipment	\$300,000
Apple Tree Dental, Minneapolis, MN, for facilities and equipment related to oral health care	\$150,000
Archbold Medical Center, Thomasville, GA for facilities and equipment	\$350,000
Arkansas Methodist Hospital Corporation, Paragould, AR, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of the Fort Peck Reservation, Poplar, MT, for facilities and equipment related to dialysis	\$200,000
Association for Utah Community Health, Salt Lake City, UT, to implement an electronic medical record system	\$106,000
Austen BioInnovation Institute in Akron, Akron, OH for medical research facilities and equipment	\$550,000
Barbara Ann Karmanos Cancer Institute, Detroit, MI, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
Barnabas Uplift, Waverly, IA, for a health care job training program	\$300,000
Baton Rouge Children's Health Project, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, for the purchase of mobile mental health units	\$200,000
Baxter Regional Medical Center, Mountain Home, AR for facilities and equipment	\$900,000
Bay Area Medical Center, Marinette, WI for facilities and equipment	\$850,000
Baylor Health Care System and City of Dallas, Dallas, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$110,000
Bear Lake Memorial Hospital, Montpelier, ID, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
Beebe Medical Center, Lewes, DE, for facilities and equipment related to nurse training	\$150,000
Beloved Community Family Wellness Center, Chicago, IL for facilities and equipment	\$600,000
BioOhio, Columbus, OH, for a national vaccine manufacturing and logistics center study	\$100,000
Bi-State Primary Care Association, Montpelier, VT, for education and outreach	\$40,000
Bi-State Primary Care Association, Montpelier, VT, for equipment and support of a dental clinic	\$110,000
Bloomington Hospital of Orange County, Paoli, IN for facilities and equipment	\$175,000
Bon Secures Health System, Richmond, VA for facilities and equipment	\$425,000
Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA for medical research facilities and equipment	\$400,000

Bozeman Deaconess Hospital, Bozeman, MT, for facilities and equipment, including electronic health records	\$250,000
Briar Cliff University, Sioux City, IA, for health training equipment and online instruction	\$100,000
Broadlawns Medical Center, Des Moines, IA, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Bronx Regional Health Information Organization, Bronx, NY for health information technology and equipment	\$500,000
Bronx-Lebanon Hospital Center, Bronx, NY for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Brookhaven Memorial Hospital Medical Center, Patchogue, NY, to establish the Brookhaven Breast Cancer Coalition	\$100,000
Brooklyn Hospital Center, Brooklyn, NY for equipment	\$300,000
California Hospital Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA for facilities and equipment	\$1,000,000
Camden-Clark Memorial Hospital, Parkersburg, WV for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
Cancer Center of Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA for facilities and equipment	\$650,000
Cassia Regional Medical Center, Burley, ID, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
Center for Asbestos Related Disease, Libby, MT, for facilities and equipment, including information technology	\$300,000
Center for Enhanced Diabetic Eye Care, Pikesville, MD, for programs related to diabetic retinopathy, including equipment	\$100,000
Central Arkansas Radiation Therapy Institute, Little Rock, AR, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Central Maine Medical Center College of Nursing and Health Professions, Lewiston, ME, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
Central Maine Medical Center, Lewiston, ME, for health professions training, including equipment	\$225,000
Charlotte Stephenson Manor, Adrian, MI, for facilities and equipment	\$160,000
Chester River Hospital Center, Chestertown, MD for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Chestnut Health Systems, Bloomington, IL, for facilities and equipment	\$350,000
Children's Medical Center – Dallas, Dallas, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$300,000
Children's Memorial Hermann Hospital, Houston, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
Children's Aid and Family Services, Paramus, NJ, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
Children's Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA for facilities and equipment	\$300,000
Children's Hospital of the King's Daughters, Norfolk, VA for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Children's Hospital of Wisconsin and Health System, Milwaukee, WI, for facilities and equipment	\$700,000
Children's Hospital, Aurora, CO, for information technology upgrades	\$150,000
Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, MO, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Children's Specialized Hospital, Toms River, NJ for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
Chippewa Valley Free Clinic, Eau Claire, WI, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
CHRISTUS St. Frances Cabrini, Alexandria, LA, for electronic health record implementation	\$200,000
Chronic Disease Fund, Plano, TX, to expand chronic disease program	\$500,000
City of Henderson, Henderson, NV for medical facilities and equipment	\$400,000
Clarian Health Systems, Indianapolis, IN for health information technology and equipment	\$635,000
Clarian Health, Indianapolis, IN, for outreach and education services for diabetes	\$100,000
Clark Atlanta University, Atlanta, GA for medical research facilities and equipment	\$450,000
Cleveland Clinic Lou Ruvo Center for Brain Health, Las Vegas, NV, for facilities and equipment	\$300,000
Cleveland State University, Cleveland, OH, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Coastal Carolina University, Conway, SC, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000

Coastal Family Health Center, Astoria, OR, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Columbia Memorial Hospital, Hudson, NY for health information technology and equipment	\$650,000
Columbus Regional Hospital, Columbus, IN for facilities and equipment	\$700,000
Columbus Technical College, Columbus, GA for facilities and equipment for health professions training	\$100,000
Community Dental, Portland, ME, for dental health services, including equipment	\$450,000
Community Foundation of Southwest Kansas, Dodge City, KS, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
Community Health Access Project, Inc., Mansfield, OH, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
Community Health Care, Incorporated, Davenport, IA, for health information technology and equipment	\$250,000
Community Health Center of Ft. Dodge, Ft. Dodge, IA, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
Community Health Center, Inc., Middletown, CT, for a residency training program for nurse practitioners	\$150,000
Community Health Centers of Arkansas, North Little Rock, AR, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
Community Health Centers of Southeastern Iowa, Burlington, IA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Community Health Centers of Southern Iowa, Leon, IA, for facilities and equipment	\$350,000
Community Health Connections, Inc., Fitchburg, MA for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
Community Health, Chicago, IL, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
Community Nurse Health Association, La Grange, IL for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
Comprehensive Community Action Inc., Cranston, RI, for health center facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Concordia University School of Pharmacy, Mequon, WI, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Connecticut Children's Medical Center, Hartford, CT, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Contra Costa ARC, Martinez, CA for the George Miller Centers Capital Improvement Project	\$500,000
Cooper University Hospital, Camden, NJ for facilities and equipment for a medical simulation center	\$500,000
Coos County Family Health Services, Berlin, NH, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
Copper River Native Association, Copper Center, AK, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Cornell Scott-Hill Health Corporation, New Haven, CT for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
County of Harnett, Lillington, NC for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
County of Riverside, Moreno Valley, CA, for facilities and equipment related to trauma care	\$1,000,000
County of San Mateo, Redwood City, CA, for facilities and equipment	\$600,000
County of Santa Clara Health and Hospital System, San Jose, CA for health information technology and equipment	\$1,000,000
County of Schenectady, Schenectady, NY, for facilities and equipment	\$750,000
Crouse Hospital, Syracuse, NY, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
Cure for the Kids Foundation, Las Vegas, NV, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Dakota Wesleyan University, Mitchell, SD, for health training equipment	\$200,000
Dallas County Community College District, Dallas, TX, for a health careers resource center	\$250,000
Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center, Lebanon, NH, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000
Daughters of Charity Services of New Orleans, New Orleans, LA for facilities and equipment	\$550,000
Dean McGee Eye Institute, Oklahoma City, OK, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000

Deborah Hospital Foundation, Brown Mills, NJ for facilities and equipment	\$600,000
Delta State University, Cleveland, MS, for facilities and equipment	\$1,300,000
Denver Health and Hospital Authority, Denver, CO for facilities and equipment	\$300,000
Denver Hospice, Denver, CO, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
Dillard University, New Orleans, LA, for facilities and equipment at the Gentile Center for Health Disparities and Disease Prevention	\$250,000
Doctors' Memorial Hospital, Perry, FL for facilities and equipment	\$245,000
Dorminy Medical Center, Fitzgerald, GA for facilities and equipment	\$330,000
Dr. Albert B. Cleage, Sr., Memorial Health Center, Detroit, MI for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
Drake University, Des Moines, IA for medical research facilities and equipment	\$300,000
Drew Memorial Hospital, Monticello, AR, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
East Carolina University, Greenville, NC, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
East Carroll Parish Hospital, Lake Providence, LA, for facilities and equipment	\$600,000
East End Health Alliance, Greenport, NY for health information technology and equipment	\$500,000
East Harlem Council for Human Services, Inc., New York, NY for facilities and equipment	\$450,000
East Orange General Hospital, East Orange, NJ for facilities and equipment	\$450,000
Easter Seals Joliet Region, Inc., Joliet, IL, for facilities and equipment related to autism	\$200,000
Eastern Maine Healthcare System, Brewer, ME, for a hospice and palliative care initiative	\$385,000
Eastern Shore Rural Health System, Inc., Nassawadox, VA, for equipment related to oral health care	\$150,000
Egyptian Public & Mental Health Department, Eldorado, IL, for facilities and equipment at a rural health clinic	\$275,000
El Proyecto del Barrio Inc., Arleta, CA for facilities and equipment	\$330,000
Endless Mountains Health Systems, Montrose, PA for facilities and equipment	\$550,000
Englewood Hospital and Medical Center, Englewood, NJ for facilities and equipment	\$645,000
Ephraim McDowell Health Care Foundation, Danville, KY for facilities and equipment	\$220,000
Family Health Center of Marshfield, Inc., Marshfield, WI, for facilities and equipment	\$5,000,000
Flathead Valley Community College, Kalispell, MT, for health professions training, including equipment	\$100,000
Fletcher Allen Health Care, Burlington, VT, for facilities and equipment	\$320,000
Florida International University, Miami, FL for medical research facilities and equipment	\$550,000
Floyd Medical Center, Rome, GA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Fowler Memorial Free Dental Clinic, Monroe, WI for facilities and equipment	\$190,000
Framingham Community Health Center, Framingham, MA for facilities and equipment	\$300,000
Free Clinics of Iowa, Des Moines, IA, for coordination of care	\$350,000
Gadsen County Health Department, Quincy, FL for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
Garfield Memorial Hospital, Panguitch, UT, for facilities and equipment	\$106,000
Gillette Children's Specialty Healthcare, St. Paul, MN for facilities and equipment	\$600,000
Gillette Children's Specialty Healthcare, St. Paul, MN, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Glendale Memorial Hospital and Health Center, Glendale, CA for facilities and equipment	\$350,000
Global to Local Health Initiative, Seattle, WA, for a health disparities program, including equipment	\$400,000
Good Samaritan Health Clinic of Cullman, Inc., Cullman, AL, to implement an electronic medical records system	\$100,000
Good Samaritan Hospital, Cincinnati, OH for facilities and equipment for a school of nursing	\$325,000

Goodall Hospital, Sanford, ME, for facilities and equipment	\$300,000
Goodall-Witcher Healthcare Foundation, Clifton, TX for facilities and equipment	\$400,000
Grady Health System, Atlanta, GA for facilities and equipment	\$550,000
Grays Harbor Community Hospital, Aberdeen, WA, for facilities and equipment	\$750,000
Greater Sioux Community Health Center, Sioux Center, IA, for facilities, equipment, supplies and outreach	\$750,000
Gwynedd-Mercy College, Gwynedd Valley, PA for facilities and equipment for the adult health center	\$400,000
Habersham Medical Center, Demorest, GA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Hamilton Health Center Inc., Harrisburg, PA for facilities and equipment	\$650,000
Harms Memorial Hospital District, American Falls, ID, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Harriet Tubman Center East, Minneapolis, MN for facilities and equipment	\$600,000
Harris County Hospital District, Houston, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
Hawkeye Community College, Waterloo, IA, for health education facilities and equipment	\$400,000
Hays County, San Marcos, TX for facilities and equipment	\$450,000
Health Care Partners of South Carolina, Marion, SC for facilities and equipment	\$800,000
Health Partners, Inc., Waldorf, MD for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
Health Services Agency of Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA for facilities and equipment	\$400,000
Health Work Force Institute, Seattle, WA, for programs to identify and address workforce needs	\$300,000
HealthAlliance of the Hudson Valley, Lake Katrine, NY for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Henderson County Rural Health Center (d.b.a. Eagle View Community Health System) Dental Expansion, Oquawka, IL for facilities and equipment	\$700,000
Hidalgo Medical Services, Lordsburg, NM, for facilities and equipment in Silver City	\$250,000
Holyoke Medical Center, Holyoke, MA for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Holzer Medical Center, Gallipolis, OH, for equipment	\$750,000
Hospice El Paso Inc., El Paso, TX for facilities and equipment	\$400,000
Hospice of the Panhandle, Martinsburg, WV, for facilities and equipment	\$3,000,000
Hospital of St. Raphael, New Haven, CT for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Houston Community College, Houston, TX, for recruitment and nurse training	\$250,000
Howard Community College, Columbia, MD, for health education facilities and equipment	\$250,000
Hudson Perinatal Consortium, Jersey City, NJ, for a program to improve birth outcomes	\$200,000
Idaho State University, Pocatello, ID, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
Indian Hills Community College, Ottumwa, IA for facilities and equipment for training in dentistry	\$425,000
Innovis Health, Fargo, ND, for facilities and equipment	\$175,000
Integrated Service Solutions, Caribou, ME, to implement an electronic health record system	\$255,000
Iowa CareGivers Association, West Des Moines, IA, for the Direct Care Worker Resource and Outreach Center	\$300,000
Iowa Healthcare Collaborative, Des Moines, IA, to improve healthcare provider efficiency and effectiveness	\$650,000
Iowa Nebraska Primary Care Association, Des Moines, IA, for planning grants	\$600,000
Iowa State University, Ames, IA, for facilities and equipment for the Institute for Novel Vaccines and Anti-Microbial Design	\$1,000,000
Iowa Valley Community College District, Marshalltown, IA, to assist underserved individuals in pursuing a healthcare career	\$100,000

Iowa Western Community College, Council Bluffs, IA, for facilities and equipment for the nursing center	\$250,000
Itawamba Community College, Fulton, MS for facilities and equipment for a college of nursing	\$1,000,000
Ivy Tech Community College, South Bend, IN for facilities and equipment for orthopedic manufacturing training	\$400,000
J.C. Blair Memorial Hospital, Huntingdon, PA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Jackson Park Hospital, Chicago, IL, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
Jackson State University, Jackson, MS, for facilities and equipment	\$1,000,000
Jacksonville State University, Jacksonville, AL, for a nursing education program including equipment	\$100,000
Jameson Health System, New Castle, PA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Jellico Community Hospital, Jellico, TN for facilities and equipment	\$850,000
Jewish Adoption and Foster Care Options, Inc., Sunrise, FL for facilities and equipment	\$350,000
Johnston Health, Smithfield, NC for health information technology and equipment	\$350,000
Kalihi-Palama Health Center, Honolulu, HI, for a program on renal disease	\$250,000
Kanawha-Charleston Health Department, Charleston, WV, for a chronic disease management program	\$350,000
Kauai Community Health Center, Lihue, HI, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
Kennesaw State University, Kennesaw, GA, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
King County Project Access, Seattle, WA, for facilities and equipment	\$40,000
Kosair Children's Hospital Foundation, Louisville, KY for facilities and equipment	\$700,000
La Clinica del Valle Family Health Care Center, Inc., Medford, OR, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
Lake Area Technical Institute, Watertown, SD, for health training equipment	\$100,000
Lake City Community Hospital, Lake City, SC for facilities and equipment	\$600,000
Lanai'i Community Health Center, Lanai'i City, HI, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Le Bonheur Children's Hospital, Memphis, TN for equipment	\$225,000
Leflore County Health Center, Inc, Greenwood, MS, for a patient navigator initiative, including equipment	\$250,000
Legacy Health System, Vancouver, WA, for telemedicine programs, including equipment	\$125,000
Lewis-Clark State College, Lewiston, ID, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
Lifespan, Providence, RI for health information technology and equipment	\$500,000
Lincoln Hospital and Mental Health Center, Bronx, NY for facilities and equipment	\$300,000
Linn Community Care, Cedar Rapids, IA, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
Little Company of Mary Hospital, Evergreen Park, IL, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000
Livingston Health Care, Livingston, MT, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
Los Angeles Community College District, Los Angeles, CA, for health professions training, including equipment	\$150,000
Lowell General Hospital, Lowell, MA for facilities and equipment	\$750,000
Lower Lights Christian Health Center, Columbus, OH, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000
Loyola University, Chicago, IL, for facilities and equipment related to nurse training	\$400,000
Lynn Community Health Center, Lynn, MA for facilities and equipment	\$750,000
Maimonides Medical Center, Brooklyn, NY for facilities and equipment	\$600,000
Maine Medical Center, Portland, ME, for physician recruitment, including scholarships	\$355,000
Manchester College, Fort Wayne, IN, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000

Manet Community Health Center, Inc., North Quincy, MA for health information technology and equipment	\$400,000
Manilaq Association, Kotzebue, AK, for facilities and equipment	\$1,500,000
Marcus Autism Center, Atlanta, GA, for rural health outreach	\$200,000
Marquette General Hospital, Marquette, MI for facilities and equipment	\$1,200,000
Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI for rural health outreach	\$300,000
Marshall University, Huntington, WV, for facilities and equipment related to genetic research	\$2,300,000
Mary Imogene Bassett Hospital Inc., Cooperstown, NY for facilities and equipment	\$350,000
Massachusetts College of Pharmacy, Worcester, MA for health professions education and training	\$550,000
Massachusetts League of Community Health Centers, Boston, MA for health professions education and training	\$600,000
Maui Medical Center, Wailuku, HI, for health professions training, including equipment	\$100,000
McLeod Medical Center Dillon, Dillon, SC for facilities and equipment	\$750,000
Meadville Medical Center, Meadville, PA for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Medical Center of the Americas Foundation, El Paso, TX for medical research facilities and equipment	\$600,000
Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin, Keshena, WI for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
Mental Crisis Services, Denver, CO, for electronic health record implementation	\$150,000
Merced County Association of Governments, Merced, CA for rural health outreach	\$350,000
Mercy Medical Center, Inc., Canton, OH, to implement an electronic medical records system	\$250,000
Mercy Medical Foundation, Des Moines, IA, for pediatric care facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Mercy Tyler Hospital, Tunkhannock, PA for facilities and equipment	\$350,000
Methodist Healthcare Foundation, Memphis, TN for facilities and equipment	\$550,000
Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe, Onamia, MN for facilities and equipment	\$1,300,000
Minidoka Memorial Hospital, Rupert, ID, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
Minot State University, Minot, ND, for its Great Plains Autism Treatment Program to serve transition-age youth with autism spectrum disorders	\$200,000
Mission Health System, Asheville, NC, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
Mississippi Blood Services, Inc., Jackson, MS, for facilities and equipment	\$485,000
Mississippi County Hospital System d.b.a. Great River Medical Center, Blytheville, AR, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
Mississippi Primary Health Care Association, Jackson, MS, for facilities and equipment	\$350,000
Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS, for biomedical engineering facilities and equipment	\$1,000,000
Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Mississippi University for Women, Columbus, MS, for facilities and equipment	\$190,000
Missouri River Medical Center, Fort Benton, MT, for facilities and equipment	\$300,000
Monongalia General Hospital, Morgantown, WV, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000
Monroe Clinic, Monroe, WI for facilities and equipment	\$850,000
Montgomery College, Rockville, MD for equipment for health professions training	\$450,000
Morehead Memorial Hospital, Eden, NC for facilities and equipment	\$550,000
Morehouse School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA for facilities and equipment	\$300,000
Morris College, Sumter, SC for facilities and equipment	\$350,000
Morris Heights Health Center Inc., Bronx, NY for facilities and equipment	\$200,000

Morse Geriatric Center, West Palm Beach, FL for facilities and equipment	\$450,000
Moses Cone Health System, Greensboro, NC, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
Mount Sinai Hospital of Queens, Long Island City, NY for facilities and equipment	\$600,000
MultiCare Good Samaritan Hospital, Puyallup, WA for facilities and equipment	\$525,000
Multnomah County, Portland, OR for facilities and equipment	\$300,000
Municipality of San Juan, San Juan, PR for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
Murray State University, Murray, KY, to purchase a mobile health unit	\$500,000
MUSC Children's Hospital, Charleston, SC for facilities and equipment	\$800,000
Nathan Adelson Hospice Foundation, Las Vegas, NV, for facilities and equipment	\$590,000
National Jewish Health, Denver, CO, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
Native Women's Health Care Center, Rapid City, SD, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Navos, Seattle, WA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Nevada Cancer Institute, Las Vegas, NV for facilities and equipment	\$850,000
New Jersey Center for Tourette Syndrome and Associated Disorders, Somerville, NJ for medical research facilities and equipment	\$500,000
New Mexico Foundation for Dental Health, Research and Education, Albuquerque, NM, for outreach, care coordination, and support of oral health care	\$100,000
New York Hospital Queens, Flushing, NY for equipment	\$100,000
New York Presbyterian Hospital, New York, NY, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
New York University Langone Medical Center, New York, NY, for facilities and equipment	\$750,000
Niagara University, Niagara University, NY for medical research facilities and equipment	\$550,000
North Colorado Medical Center, Greeley, CO, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
North Dakota Medical Association, Bismarck, ND, to set up a statewide quality improvement network	\$250,000
North Idaho College, Coeur d'Alene, ID, to expand the physical therapy program	\$100,000
North Shore Long Island Jewish Health System, Great Neck, NY, to implement electronic medical records	\$500,000
Northeast Missouri Health Council, Inc., Kirksville, MO, for facilities and equipment	\$750,000
Northeastern State University, Tahlequah, OK for health professions education and training	\$650,000
Norwegian American Hospital, Chicago, IL for health professions education and training	\$850,000
Nye County, Pahrump, NV, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000
Oakwood Healthcare, Inc., Dearborn, MI, for facilities and equipment related to orthopedics	\$400,000
Oglala Sioux Tribe, Pine Ridge, SD, for facilities and equipment related to emergency care	\$250,000
Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center - James Cancer Hospital and Solove Research Institute, Columbus, OH, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
Ohio University, Athens, OH, for health outreach and services in Appalachia Ohio	\$200,000
Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation, Oklahoma City, OK, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Olympic College, Bremerton, WA for facilities and equipment for a school of nursing	\$500,000
Operation Samahan, Inc., National City, CA for facilities and equipment	\$750,000
Our Lady of the Lake University, San Antonio, TX, for nursing curriculum development, including equipment	\$110,000
Palisades Medical Center, North Bergen, NJ for facilities and equipment	\$400,000
Palmer College of Chiropractic and Myrna Brind Center of Integrative Medicine, Davenport, IA, to develop a model integrative healthcare program for the treatment of pain	\$400,000

Palmetto Health Foundation, Columbia, SC for facilities and equipment	\$400,000
Parkland Health and Hospital System, Dallas, TX for facilities and equipment	\$900,000
Parkland Health and Hospital System, Dallas, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000
Parkview Medical Center, Pueblo, CO for facilities and equipment	\$700,000
Peace Health Whatcom Region, Bellingham, WA, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
Pennsylvania Breast Cancer Coalition, Ephrata, PA, for screening programs and outreach	\$100,000
Penobscot Community Health Care, Bangor, ME, for a rural dental health initiative	\$150,000
Penobscot Valley Hospital, Lincoln, ME for facilities and equipment	\$600,000
Peoples Community Health Clinic, Waterloo, IA, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
Phoenix Children's Hospital, Phoenix, AZ for facilities and equipment	\$650,000
Pierce College Puyallup, Puyallup, WA, for health training equipment	\$130,000
Pioneer Valley Life Sciences Institute-Baystate Medical Center, Springfield, MA for medical research facilities and equipment	\$525,000
Pratt Regional Medical Center, Pratt, KS, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Primary Care Association of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI, to support community health centers, including equipment	\$1,850,000
Prince Georges County Health Department, Largo, MD for facilities and equipment	\$443,000
Provena Health, Mokena, IL for facilities and equipment	\$600,000
Providence Alaska Family Medicine Residency, Anchorage, AK, for physician recruitment, education and training in rural Alaska	\$800,000
Providence ElderPlace Seattle, Seattle, WA, for facilities and equipment	\$300,000
Providence St. Peter Hospital, Olympia, WA, for facilities and equipment	\$135,000
Pueblo of Sandia, Bernalillo, NM for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
Quinnipiac University, Hamden, CT for facilities and equipment for a school of medicine	\$1,000,000
Reach Up Inc., Tampa, FL for health professions education and training	\$400,000
Regis College, Weston, MA, for facilities and equipment related to nurse training	\$100,000
Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago, Homewood, IL for medical research equipment	\$110,000
Renown Health, Reno, NV, to expand nurse training	\$500,000
Rhode Island Free Clinic, Providence, RI, for coordination of care, data collection and analysis	\$250,000
Rice Lake Area Free Clinic, Rice Lake, WI, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Rice University, Houston, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
Richmond Medical Center dba Richmond University Medical Center, Staten Island, NY, for facilities and equipment	\$900,000
RioOne Health Network, Edinburg, TX for health information technology	\$475,000
Roanoke-Chowan Hospital, Ahoskie, NC for facilities and equipment	\$650,000
Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Rosebud, SD for facilities and equipment	\$450,000
Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Rosebud, SD for facilities and equipment for the White River Health Care Center	\$500,000
Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Rosebud, SD, for facilities and equipment, including purchase of a vehicle related to elderly care	\$50,000
S.A.Y. Detroit Family Health Clinic, Highland Park, MI for equipment	\$300,000
Salish Kootenai College, Pablo, MT, for facilities and equipment related to health professions training	\$100,000
Salve Regina University, Newport, RI, for facilities, equipment, and training for an expanded nursing program	\$500,000
San Francisco Department of Public Health, San Francisco, CA for facilities and equipment	\$700,000

San Francisco Department of Public Health, San Francisco, CA for facilities and equipment	\$700,000
San Luis Valley Regional Medical Center, Alamosa, CO for health information technology and equipment	\$500,000
Sanders County Community Development Corporation, Thompson Falls, MT, for facilities and equipment at the Hot Springs Medical Clinic	\$300,000
Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA for facilities and equipment for health professions training	\$650,000
Seton Medical Center – Austin, Austin, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
Sherman County Health District dba Moro Medical Clinic, Moro, OR, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
Sinai Health System, Chicago, IL for facilities and equipment	\$300,000
Snow College, Ephraim, UT, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
Solaris Health System - JFK Medical Center, Edison, NJ, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000
Somerset Hospital, Somerset, PA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Southeast Missouri State University, Cape Girardeau, MO, for the S.H.O.W. mobile initiative, including equipment	\$200,000
Southeastern Community College, West Burlington, IA for facilities and equipment for medical simulation laboratories	\$230,000
Southeastern Ohio Regional Medical Center, Cambridge, OH for health information technology and equipment	\$700,000
Southern Nevada Immunization Coalition, Las Vegas, NV, for a community immunization project	\$200,000
Southside Virginia Community College, Keysville, VA for facilities and equipment for health professions training	\$250,000
Southwest Center for HIV/AIDS, Phoenix, AZ for facilities and equipment	\$750,000
Southwest Colorado Mental Health Center, Inc., Durango, CO, for facilities and equipment at the Cortez Clinic	\$250,000
Sparrow Ionia County Memorial Hospital, Lansing, MI, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Spokane County Medical Society Foundation, Spokane, WA, for outreach and care coordination	\$100,000
St. Alexis Medical Center Foundation, Bismarck, ND, for facilities and equipment	\$750,000
St. Anthony's Health Center, Alton, IL for information technology and equipment	\$500,000
St. Bernard Health Center, Inc., Arabi, LA for facilities and equipment	\$750,000
St. Charles Health Council, Inc., Pennington Gap, VA, for a mobile respiratory care clinic, including equipment	\$150,000
St. Elizabeth's Medical Center, Wabasha, MN for facilities and equipment	\$800,000
St. Francis Hospital, Litchfield, IL for health information technology and equipment	\$150,000
St. Helena Hospital Clearlake, Clearlake, CA for facilities and equipment	\$300,000
St. James Hospital and Health Centers, Chicago Heights, IL for facilities and equipment	\$800,000
St. John Medical Center Foundation, Longview, WA, for health professions training equipment	\$100,000
St. Joseph Hospital, Mt. Sterling, KY for facilities and equipment	\$220,000
St. Joseph Hospital, Nashua, NH, to implement an electronic medical record system	\$250,000
St. Joseph's Mercy Health Foundation, Hot Springs, AR, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
St. Joseph's Hospital, Syracuse, NY for facilities and equipment	\$750,000
St. Joseph's Regional Medical Center, Paterson, NJ for health information technology and equipment	\$165,000

St. Joseph's/Candler Health System, Savannah, GA for health information technology and equipment	\$500,000
St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, for facilities and equipment	\$1,600,000
St. Louis Children's Hospital, St. Louis, MO, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
St. Louis University, St. Louis, MO for medical research facilities and equipment	\$800,000
St. Luke's Cornwall Hospital, Newburgh, NY for facilities and equipment	\$700,000
St. Luke's Miners Memorial Hospital, Coaldale, PA for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
St. Mary's Foundation for St. Mary's Regional Medical Center, Reno, NV, for planning and support of oral health care	\$300,000
St. Mary's Hospital, Cottonwood, ID, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
St. Mary's Janesville Hospital, Janesville, WI, for facilities and equipment	\$700,000
St. Mary's Medical Center, Huntington, WV, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
St. Mary's Medical Center, San Francisco, CA for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
St. Patrick Hospital and Health Sciences Center, Missoula, MT, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
St. Vincent Hospital, Billings, MT, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
Stanley Street Treatment Center, Fall River, MA for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
State of Maryland, Baltimore, MD, for facilities and equipment related to emergency care	\$1,250,000
Staten Island University Hospital, Staten Island, NY for facilities and equipment	\$350,000
Straub Hospital Burn Center, Honolulu, HI, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
Summa Health System, Akron, OH, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000
Tampa General Hospital, Tampa, FL for facilities and equipment	\$350,000
Taylor Regional Hospital, Hawkinsville, GA for facilities and equipment	\$270,000
Temple University Health System, Philadelphia, PA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Teton Valley Hospital, Driggs, ID, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Texas Children's Hospital, McAllen, TX, for education and outreach programs at the Vannie E. Cook Jr. Children's Cancer and Hematology Clinic	\$250,000
Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, TX for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Texas Health Institute, Austin, TX, for emergency response initiative, including purchase of equipment	\$200,000
Texas Medical Center, Houston, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$650,000
Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, Austin, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
Texas Women's University, Denton, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$300,000
Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, PA for facilities and equipment	\$600,000
Thompson Health, Canandaigua, NY, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
Touro University Nevada, Henderson, NV, for facilities and equipment at the College of Optometry	\$750,000
Tri-County Community College, Murphy, NC for facilities and equipment for nurse training	\$300,000
Tri-County Hospital, Wadena, MN for rural health outreach	\$500,000
Tucson Medical Center, Tucson, AZ for health information technology and equipment	\$600,000
Tufts University, Boston, MA for medical research facilities and equipment	\$600,000
Tulane University School of Medicine, New Orleans, LA, for facilities and equipment	\$300,000
Tulare Regional Medical Center, Tulare, CA, for facilities and equipment	\$550,000
Tyrone Hospital, Tyrone, PA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
UAW Local 1005 General Motors Parma, Parma, OH for medical equipment and construction of a health clinic	\$700,000
Union Hospital, Terre Haute, IN, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
United Community and Family Services, Norwich, CT, for facilities and equipment	\$390,000

United General Hospital, Sedro Woolley, WA, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
United Medical Centers, Eagle Pass, TX for facilities and equipment	\$800,000
University at Albany SUNY, Albany, NY, for facilities and equipment related to orphan disease research	\$200,000
University at Buffalo, the State University of New York, Buffalo, NY for medical research facilities and equipment	\$350,000
University Hospitals, Cleveland, OH, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
University Hospitals, Wadsworth, OH for facilities and equipment	\$900,000
University Medical Center of Southern Nevada, Las Vegas, NV, for a diabetes management program	\$300,000
University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL, for facilities and equipment	\$15,000,000
University of Arkansas Community College at Hope, Hope, AR for health professions education and training	\$450,000
University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR for facilities and equipment	\$600,000
University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR, for facilities and equipment related to oncology	\$200,000
University of California Davis Medical Center, Sacramento, CA, for facilities and equipment	\$1,000,000
University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA, for facilities and equipment at the School of Medicine	\$750,000
University of Central Arkansas, Conway, AR, for facilities and equipment related to training mental health professionals	\$250,000
University of Colorado Denver School of Medicine, Aurora, CO, for facilities and equipment for the Linda Crnic Institute for Down Syndrome	\$600,000
University of Colorado Denver, Aurora, CO, for a health professions training program	\$150,000
University of Hawaii at Hilo School of Nursing, Hilo, HI, to develop advanced degrees and expand rural nurse training	\$350,000
University of Hawaii at Hilo, Hilo, HI, for a clinical pharmacy training program	\$1,600,000
University of Hawaii School of Medicine, Honolulu, HI, for curriculum development	\$200,000
University of Hawaii School of Nursing-Manoa, Honolulu, HI, for rural nurse training programs	\$350,000
University of Iowa, Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA, for facilities and equipment for the Institute for Biomedical Discovery	\$2,000,000
University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, for facilities and equipment at the College of Public Health	\$1,000,000
University of Kansas Hospital, Kansas City, KS, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, for facilities and equipment	\$550,000
University of Kentucky Research Foundation, Lexington, KY for medical research facilities and equipment	\$650,000
University of Kentucky Research Foundation, Lexington, KY, for a colorectal cancer research program, including equipment	\$1,900,000
University of Kentucky Research Foundation, Lexington, KY, to establish a program to reduce heart disease in rural areas	\$2,000,000
University of Louisville Research Foundation, Louisville, KY, for facilities and equipment	\$4,000,000
University of Louisville Research Foundation, Louisville, KY, for facilities and equipment	\$900,000
University of Louisville Research Foundation, Louisville, KY, for facilities and equipment	\$700,000

University of Maryland Medical System, Baltimore, MD, for equipment and information technology upgrades	\$200,000
University of Maryland, Baltimore, Annapolis, MD, for facilities and equipment related to stem cell research	\$1,000,000
University of Massachusetts Memorial Health Care, Worcester, MA, for implementation of an electronic medical record	\$250,000
University of Michigan Health System, Ann Arbor, MI for facilities and equipment	\$550,000
University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS, for facilities and equipment	\$4,384,000
University of Mississippi, University, MS, for facilities and equipment	\$5,000,000
University of Nevada - Reno, Reno, NV, for health professions training and public education efforts related to pediatric Crohn's disease	\$300,000
University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center Clinical and Translational Science Center, Albuquerque, NM for medical research facilities and equipment	\$500,000
University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, for outreach, training and technical assistance related to cerebral cavernous malformations	\$100,000
University of North Carolina - Greensboro, Greensboro, NC, for a telespeech initiative, including equipment	\$300,000
University of North Dakota School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Grand Forks, ND, for facilities and equipment	\$175,000
University of Northern Colorado, Greeley, CO for health professions education and training	\$600,000
University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, PA, for equipment	\$100,000
University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI, for equipment related to nursing education	\$500,000
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS, for facilities and equipment	\$6,000,000
University of St. Francis, Joliet, IL for facilities and equipment for health professions education	\$250,000
University of Texas Health Center at Tyler, Tyler, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
University of Texas Health Science Center-Houston, Houston, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, for facilities and equipment	\$1,000,000
University of Vermont, Burlington, VT, for equipment related to DNA sequencing	\$500,000
University of Washington, Seattle, WA for facilities and equipment for a dental clinic	\$570,000
University of Wisconsin-Rock County, Janesville, WI, for a higher education collaboration to promote degrees in nursing	\$250,000
UPMC Horizon, Greenville, PA for facilities and equipment	\$400,000
Utah Department of Health, Salt Lake City, UT, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Utah Department of Health, Salt Lake City, UT, to expand Monticello health education and screening initiative	\$106,000
Utah Medical Education Council, Salt Lake City, UT, for the purchase of a mobile dental unit	\$500,000
Utah Navajo Health System, Montezuma Creek, UT for facilities and equipment	\$105,000
Utah Valley University, Orem, UT, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000
Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, for facilities and equipment	\$2,400,000

Virginia Community College System, Richmond, VA, for expansion of the Emergency Medical Technician program	\$100,000
Virginia Mason Medical Center, Seattle, WA, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Virginia State University, Petersburg, VA, for facilities and equipment related to nurse training	\$100,000
WakeMed Health and Hospitals, Raleigh, NC for facilities and equipment	\$500,000
Washington County, NC, Plymouth, NC, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000
WCA Hospital, Jamestown, NY, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000
Weber State University, Ogden, UT, for expansion of nursing programs, including equipment	\$350,000
Webster University, Webster Groves, MO for health professions education and training	\$150,000
Wesley College, Dover, DE, for facilities and equipment related to nurse training	\$200,000
West Hawaii Community Health Center, Kailua-Kona, HI, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000
West Virginia Northern Community College, Weirton, WV, for facilities and equipment related to health professions training	\$3,000,000
West Virginia School of Osteopathic Medicine, Lewisburg, WV for rural health outreach	\$650,000
West Virginia University Health Sciences, Morgantown, WV, for facilities and equipment	\$1,000,000
West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV for medical research facilities and equipment	\$600,000
West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV, for the construction of a Multiple Sclerosis Center	\$2,300,000
Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green, KY, for facilities and equipment	\$2,500,000
Westside Healthcare Association, Milwaukee, WI for equipment	\$100,000
Whatley Health Services, Greensboro, AL, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000
Wheeling Jesuit University, Wheeling, WV, for the HealtheWV program, including equipment	\$2,500,000
White River Health System, Batesville, AR for facilities and equipment	\$600,000
Whittemore-Peterson Institute for Neuro-Immune Disease, Sparks, NV, for facilities and equipment	\$1,000,000
Williamsport Hospital, Williamsport, PA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000
Wills Eye Health System, Philadelphia, PA for health information technology and equipment	\$250,000
Yankton Sioux Tribe, Marty, SD, for facilities and equipment related to dialysis care	\$100,000

Medical Home Demonstration Programs.—The bill includes \$5,000,000 for medical home demonstration programs authorized in section 340H of the PHS Act. HRSA is directed to provide the Committees on Appropriations an operating plan no later than 90 days after enactment of this Act detailing the number and size of awards to be made.

Health Education Assistance Loan Programs

The bill includes language transferring the Health Education Assistance Loan Programs account to the Department of Education as requested in the President's budget.

Covered Countermeasure Process Fund

The bill does not include funding for the Covered Countermeasure Process fund. Pandemic influenza funding included in Public Law 111-32 may be used for this purpose.

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION

Funding levels for programs within the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), along with comparisons to last year's levels and the budget request, are shown in the table at the end of this division. Any al-

locations of funding beyond the level of detail in that table are indicated below.

Prevention and Public Health (PPH) Fund allotments in the following tables are from amounts appropriated in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and allocated in this bill.

Immunization and Respiratory Diseases.—Within the program level total for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases, the bill includes the following amounts:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	FY 2011 budget request
Section 317 Immunization Program	\$594,350,000	+\$97,577,000	+\$83,288,000
Appropriations in this bill	494,350,000	– 2,423,000	– 16,712,000
PPH Fund Allotment	100,000,000	+100,000,000	+100,000,000
Program Operations	65,568,000	+2,955,000	– 62,000
Influenza	158,920,000	– 49,000	– 195,000
Pandemic Influenza	156,344,000	+23,000	0
Appropriations in this bill	40,000,000	– 116,321,000	+40,000,000
Balances from P.L. 111-32 ¹	116,344,000	+116,344,000	– 40,000,000

¹ Amount derived from Pandemic Flu emergency supplemental appropriations provided in P.L. 111-32.

The nation's immunization infrastructure is essential for the delivery of routine immunization services as well as public health preparedness and response to vaccine-preventable disease emergencies. As part of the additional funding provided for immunization programs, CDC is directed to develop a strategy to: (1) modernize immunization information systems; (2) prepare public health departments for changes in the healthcare delivery system, including new billing procedures for insured patients; and, (3) strength-

en the evidence base to inform immunization policy and program monitoring. The strategy also should address how CDC will maintain and expand partnerships with the healthcare sector to provide routine and emergency immunization services.

CDC shall update the Section 317 Immunization Program report and submit it to the Committees on Appropriations not later than February 1, 2011, to reflect fiscal year 2012 cost estimates and an estimate of optimum CDC, State, and local operations fund-

ing needed relative to current levels to conduct and support childhood, adolescent, and adult programs. The report also should include a discussion of specific strategies to improve the overall immunization infrastructure and to reduce barriers and increase adult immunization rates in the United States.

HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention.—Within the total for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB prevention, the bill includes the following amounts:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	FY 2011 budget request
Domestic HIV/AIDS Prevention and Research	\$751,979,000	– \$6,260,000	– \$41,561,000
HIV Prevention by Health Departments	337,273,000	+8,435,000	– 5,789,000
HIV Surveillance	108,901,000	– 538,000	– 5,712,000
National/Regional/Local/Community/Other Organizations	134,791,000	+18,000	– 1,461,000
Enhanced HIV Testing	63,554,000	– 32,076,000	– 4,526,000
Appropriations in this bill	63,554,000	– 1,709,000	– 4,526,000
PPH Fund Allotment	0	+30,367,000	0
Improving Program Effectiveness	107,460,000	+17,901,000	– 24,073,000
Viral Hepatitis	22,107,000	+2,851,000	+1,000,000
Sexually Transmitted Diseases	160,588,000	+6,736,000	0
Tuberculosis	142,772,000	– 1,475,000	– 279,000

Within the total for Domestic HIV/AIDS Prevention and Research programs, the bill provides funding at no less than the level provided in fiscal year 2010 to support activities that are targeted to address the growing HIV/AIDS epidemic and its disparate impact on communities of color, including African

Americans, Latinos, Native Americans, Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, and Pacific Islanders.

CDC is urged to consider using funds provided above the budget request for Viral Hepatitis to increase support for the Adult Viral Hepatitis Prevention Coordinators program and core prevention services, such as edu-

cational programs for healthcare and social service providers, at-risk populations, and the general public.

Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases.—Within the total for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, the bill includes the following amounts:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	FY 2011 budget request
Emerging Infectious Diseases	\$176,281,000	+\$20,020,000	+\$20,383,000
Appropriations in this bill	136,281,000	+20,000	– 19,617,000
PPH Fund Allotment	40,000,000	+20,000,000	+40,000,000
Vector-borne Diseases	26,717,000	+4,000	+26,717,000
Food Safety	30,631,000	+3,693,000	– 4,564,000
Lyme Disease	9,438,000	+501,000	+383,000
Prion Disease	5,318,000	– 155,000	– 72,000
Chronic Fatigue Syndrome	4,535,000	– 289,000	– 63,000
National Healthcare Safety Network	27,330,000	+12,182,000	– 122,000
Quarantine	26,485,000	– 29,000	0
Other, including Antimicrobial Resistance	19,236,000	– 1,770,000	+6,722,000

Funding reductions in the prion disease program shall be targeted toward CDC intramural staffing and contract and travel costs. Further, CDC is directed to provide a detailed summary of the various activities funded within prion disease for fiscal years

2009–2012 as part of the fiscal year 2012 congressional budget justification.

Through the National Healthcare Safety Network, CDC monitors infections, antimicrobial resistance, and other adverse events in hospitals in all 50 States, Puerto Rico, and the District of Columbia. Increased

funding will be used to develop surveillance in an additional 2,500 hospitals taking the total to 5,000 participating hospitals. Funding will also be used to provide resources and technical expertise to State health departments to achieve healthcare-associated infections (HAI) prevention targets; continue

development and implementation of prevention tools, campaigns, education, and training in healthcare settings; and to increase public health workforce capacity to lead HAI

outbreak investigations, surveillance, and prevention activities at the State and local levels.

Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion.—Within the total for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, the bill includes the following amounts:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	FY 2011 budget request
Office of Smoking and Health	\$157,044,000	+\$31,856,000	+\$49,830,000
Appropriations in this bill	107,044,000	–3,644,000	–170,000
PPH Fund Allotment	50,000,000	+35,500,000	+50,000,000
Chronic Disease Activities	564,820,000	+321,861,000	+306,957,000
Community Grants	208,901,000	+161,563,000	+153,143,000
Community Transformation Grants	145,000,000	+145,000,000	+145,000,000
Appropriations in this bill	0	0	0
PPH Fund Allotment	145,000,000	+145,000,000	+145,000,000
Racial and Ethnic Approaches to Community Health	63,901,000	+24,263,000	+24,923,000
Appropriations in this bill	38,901,000	–737,000	–77,000
PPH Fund Allotment	25,000,000	+25,000,000	+25,000,000
Other Community Grants	0	–7,700,000	–16,780,000
Chronic Disease Prevention State Grants	250,000,000	+165,369,000	+167,285,000
Appropriations in this bill	110,000,000	+25,369,000	+27,285,000
PPH Fund Allotment	140,000,000	+140,000,000	+140,000,000
National Activities	49,080,000	0	–7,500,000
CDC Activities	56,839,000	–5,071,000	–5,971,000
School Health	56,289,000	–1,347,000	–5,231,000
Healthy Passages Study	3,493,000	+1,000	–5,000
Food Allergies	497,000	0	–1,000
Health Promotion	22,716,000	+179,000	+3,171,000
Community Health Promotion	6,355,000	–112,000	–10,000
Sleep Disorders	844,000	–17,000	–1,000
Mind-Body Institute	1,500,000	0	+1,500,000
Glaucoma	3,167,000	–351,000	–357,000
Visual Screening Education	2,906,000	–322,000	–328,000
Alzheimer's Disease	1,810,000	–36,000	–3,000
Inflammatory Bowel Disease	686,000	0	+686,000
Interstitial Cystitis	660,000	0	+660,000
Excessive Alcohol Use	3,500,000	+1,000,000	+1,026,000
Chronic Kidney Disease	2,132,000	0	–3,000
Prevention Research Centers	43,084,000	+9,414,000	+9,948,000
Appropriations in this bill	33,084,000	–586,000	–52,000
PPH Fund Allotment	10,000,000	+10,000,000	+10,000,000
Cancer Prevention and Control	376,999,000	+6,709,000	+21,847,000
Breast and Cervical Cancer	219,550,000	+4,732,000	+8,615,000
WISEWOMAN	22,787,000	+2,003,000	+2,000,000
Breast Cancer Awareness for Young Women	7,000,000	+2,001,000	+1,994,000
Cancer Registries	51,227,000	–1,000	–76,000
Colorectal Cancer	44,524,000	–1,000	–66,000
Comprehensive Cancer	20,689,000	–1,000	–41,000
Johanna's Law	6,807,000	+1,000	+6,807,000
Ovarian Cancer	5,705,000	–1,000	–9,000
Prostate Cancer	13,614,000	–22,000	–42,000
Skin Cancer	2,190,000	0	–10,000
Geraldine Ferraro Cancer Education Program	4,677,000	+1,000	+4,677,000
Cancer Survivorship Resource Center	1,016,000	0	–2,000
Oral Health	20,607,000	+5,609,000	+6,000,000
Safe Motherhood/Infant Health	43,447,000	–1,328,000	–12,196,000
Pre-term Birth	2,005,000	0	–3,000
Prevention Outreach Activities	2,000,000	+2,000,000	+2,000,000
Appropriations in this bill	0	0	0
PPH Fund Allotment	2,000,000	+2,000,000	+2,000,000
Other Chronic Diseases	13,719,000	–260,000	–21,000
Psoriasis	1,496,000	–4,000	–2,000
Epilepsy	7,805,000	–170,000	–12,000
Lupus	4,418,000	–86,000	–7,000
Primary Immune Deficiency Syndrome	3,107,000	0	–5,000

Of the funds provided for the Office on Smoking and Health, \$10,000,000 shall be for a new demonstration program on providing expanded cessation services to low-income and uninsured individuals.

Also within the total for the Office of Smoking and Health, CDC is expected to transfer no less than the amount it did in fiscal year 2010 to the Environmental Health Laboratory to support its work to analyze tobacco products and cigarette smoke. This transfer is intended to be provided to the lab in a manner that supplements and in no way replaces existing funding for tobacco-related activities.

CDC's current chronic disease prevention funding streams limit opportunities for coordination and integration across related programs. Therefore, the bill creates a new, consolidated Chronic Disease Prevention Grant Program that includes the following categorical programs: heart disease and stroke; diabetes; arthritis; and nutrition, physical activity, and obesity. This funding shall be used at the State level for core chronic disease prevention leadership, community health promotion, and preventive services. Funding shall not be used to directly support State or local capital infrastructure projects.

The core Chronic Disease Prevention Grant Program will include a base award to each State (including the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico) of not less than \$2,000,000 plus additional formula-based funding to be allocated according to population, State chronic disease rates, and socioeconomic factors. CDC shall award not less than 2 percent of the core grant program funds to tribes and tribal organizations and other U.S. territories. In addition to the core award, the bill includes \$25,000,000 to be available through fiscal year 2012 for a competitive incentive award for States that achieve or clearly demonstrate progress toward meeting a stated prevention goal or goals. CDC is directed to establish a mechanism to measure performance for this program, including the establishment of baselines and methods of assessing progress toward achieving definable goals. These measures should relate to: community health promotion; preventive services; the full and active participation from other relevant State agencies, including the Departments of Education, Human Services, Aging, and Transportation; the inclusion of special populations (people with disabilities, racial and ethnic populations, students, etc.); surveillance; planning; policy change; and the implementation of evidence-based

public health interventions. States shall be required to demonstrate maintenance of effort on the activities previously supported by the categorical programs. Further, CDC should continue to reiterate to its grantees the prohibition against using appropriated funds for lobbying Congress or any State legislature, which is contained in section 503 of this Act.

Grant awards shall be made no later than July 31, 2011. CDC shall adjust current grant cycles to the extent necessary to ensure that States do not experience shortfalls due to the transition.

Also within the total is \$49,080,000 for related national activities that support chronic disease prevention. Within the total, sufficient funding is included to maintain the Paul Coverdell National Acute Stroke Registry and \$5,000,000 is included to expand CDC's background community assessment of health and related social and environmental conditions in the Mississippi Delta region.

Efforts to promote chronic disease prevention at the national level should continue and CDC's in-house expertise should be maintained. Therefore, within the total provided for the Chronic Disease Prevention Grant Program, the bill sets aside \$56,839,000 to maintain CDC's leadership, research, and

technical assistance in support of these investments.

Birth Defects, Developmental Disabilities, Disability and Health.—Within the total for Birth Defects, Developmental Disabilities,

Disability and Health, the bill includes the following amounts:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	2011 budget request
Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities	\$67,233,000	+\$2,545,000	+\$1,791,000
Birth Defects	22,680,000	+1,341,000	+1,861,000
Craniofacial Malformation	2,028,000	+150,000	+146,000
Fetal Alcohol Syndrome	9,969,000	—169,000	—21,000
Folic Acid	3,126,000	0	+16,000
Infant Health	7,680,000	—347,000	—16,000
Autism	23,778,000	+1,720,000	—49,000
Human Development and Disability	59,185,000	+434,000	+1,331,000
Disability and Health (includes Child Development Studies)	13,335,000	—274,000	—26,000
Charcot Marie Tooth Disorders	0	—1,000,000	—1,002,000
Limb Loss	2,902,000	—4,000	—6,000
Tourette Syndrome	1,745,000	—4,000	—4,000
Early Hearing Detection and Intervention	10,667,000	—219,000	—22,000
Muscular Dystrophy	6,008,000	—282,000	—13,000
Special Olympics Healthy Athletes	6,000,000	+467,000	+455,000
Paralysis Resource Center	6,872,000	—9,000	—14,000
Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder	1,751,000	0	—4,000
Fragile X	1,905,000	0	—4,000
Spina Bifida	7,000,000	+759,000	+971,000
Congenital Heart Failure	1,000,000	+1,000,000	+1,000,000
Blood Disorders	17,203,000	—2,707,000	—3,040,000

Increased funding is included for a craniostosis physician awareness campaign as described in Senate report 111-243.

CDC's plan to consolidate the blood disorders programs inadequately addresses the transition from the current approach to the new structure. Therefore, to the extent possible, CDC is expected to continue the current cooperative agreements for blood disorder surveillance and safety to allow for a smooth transition from the current blood disorders program to a new consolidated program. In designing a new blood disorders pro-

gram, CDC is directed to work with the hemophilia and thalassemia treatment centers and patient organizations to assure that the needs of patients with these and other blood disorders, such as deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, and diamond blackfan anemia, are appropriately addressed. Prior to obligating any fiscal year 2011 blood disorders program funds, CDC is directed to provide a detailed written summary and to brief the Committees on Appropriations on the programs and activities that will be supported through the blood disorders program

and how that distribution compares to prior years.

National Center for Health Statistics.—Sufficient funding is provided within the total for the National Center for Health Statistics to ensure that no State match will be required in fiscal year 2011 under the National Vital Statistics System to begin phasing in electronic death records.

Public Health Scientific Services.—Within the total for Public Health Scientific Services, the bill includes the following amounts:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	2011 budget request
Scientific and Support Services	\$146,211,000	—\$8,749,000	+\$1,147,000
Community Prevention Task Force	12,000,000	+5,169,000	+7,000,000
Appropriations in this bill	5,000,000	+3,169,000	0
PPH Fund Allotment	7,000,000	+2,000,000	+7,000,000
Public Health Infrastructure	0	—50,000,000	0
Appropriations in this bill	0	0	0
PPH Fund Allotment	0	—50,000,000	0
Public Health Workforce Development	62,491,000	+17,171,000	+14,552,000
Appropriations in this bill	37,491,000	—329,000	—10,448,000
PPH Fund Allotment	25,000,000	+17,500,000	+25,000,000
Public Health Research	51,170,000	+20,000,000	+20,000,000
Appropriations in this bill	31,170,000	0	0
PPH Fund Allotment	20,000,000	+20,000,000	+20,000,000
Genomics	0	—9,200,000	—8,596,000
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System	7,168,000	—147,000	—11,000

The additional funding provided for Public Health Research through transfer from the PPH Fund is intended to support extramural

grants for research on public health and prevention.

Environmental Health.—Within the total for Environmental Health, the bill includes the following amounts:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	2011 budget request
Environmental Health Laboratory	\$43,346,000	+\$6,000	+\$1,366,000
Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program	6,915,000	+1,000	+160,000
Newborn Screening for Severe Combined Immunodeficiency Disease	978,000	—10,000	—4,000
Environmental Health Activities	97,493,000	+25,723,000	+28,721,000
Safe Water	6,975,000	—261,000	—26,000
Environmental and Health Outcome Tracking Network	67,092,000	+33,973,000	+34,544,000
Appropriations in this bill	32,092,000	—1,027,000	—456,000
PPH Fund Allotment	35,000,000	+35,000,000	+35,000,000
Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) Registry	6,773,000	+760,000	+978,000
Climate Change	7,539,000	0	—28,000
Polycythemia Vera Cluster	0	—2,513,000	0
Built Environment and Health Initiative	0	—2,683,000	—4,000,000
Arctic Health	0	0	—292,000
Volcanic Emissions	300,000	+100,000	+100,000
Asthma	30,622,000	—297,000	—112,000
Healthy Homes/Childhood Lead Poisoning	34,487,000	—313,000	—127,000

The increase provided for the Environmental Public Health Tracking Network is

intended to fund additional State and local jurisdictions. CDC is directed to work with

all Environmental Public Health Tracking Network grantees to annually report to the

Committees on Appropriations on the activities of the grantees and to identify public

health actions that have resulted from this program not later than April 30 of each year.
Injury Prevention and Control.—

Within the total for Injury Prevention and Control, the bill includes the following amounts:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	2011 budget request
Intentional Injury	\$100,788,000	—\$1,845,000	—\$188,000
Domestic Violence and Sexual Violence	31,322,000	—573,000	—58,000
Child Maltreatment	6,976,000	—127,000	—13,000
Youth Violence Prevention	19,714,000	—359,000	—37,000
Domestic Violence Community Projects	5,424,000	—100,000	—10,000
Rape Prevention	41,850,000	—767,000	—78,000
All Other Intentional Injury	2,478,000	—46,000	—5,000
Unintentional Injury	30,789,000	—910,000	—58,000
Traumatic Brain Injury	5,974,000	—177,000	—11,000
All Other Unintentional Injury	24,815,000	—733,000	—47,000
Elderly Falls	2,000,000	0	—4,000
Injury Control Research Centers	10,719,000	+2,000	—20,000
National Violent Death Reporting System	5,000,000	+1,457,000	—8,000

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.—Within the program level total for the National Institute for Occupational

Safety and Health (NIOSH), the bill includes the following amounts:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	2011 budget request
Education and Research Centers	\$25,370,000	+\$1,004,000	+\$910,000
Personal Protective Technology	16,828,000	—387,000	—64,000
Pan Flu Preparedness for Healthcare Worker	3,031,000	0	—11,000
Healthier Workforce Center	5,036,000	+1,000	—19,000
National Occupational Research Agenda	126,982,000	+9,580,000	+2,454,000
World Trade Center	150,137,000	+79,424,000	0
Mining Research	59,237,000	+5,540,000	+6,501,000
Other Occupational Safety and Health Research	81,920,000	—2,780,000	—314,000
Miners Choice	648,000	0	—2,000
National Mesothelioma Registry and Tissue Bank	1,024,000	0	—4,000

Funding provided above the fiscal year 2010 level for mining research is intended to support research on underground mine refuge chambers and other alternatives. NIOSH shall conduct or support research on the deployability, tolerability, and survivability of the most common refuge chambers currently required in all underground mines and on other alternatives and shall work with the Department of Labor's Mine Safety and Health Administration to develop research

priorities, including both compartmentalized research and full human subjects testing. The NIOSH Director shall submit quarterly progress reports on this research to the Committees on Appropriations and the House and Senate authorizing committees of jurisdiction, with the first report due 90 days after enactment of this Act.

The National Occupational Research Agenda (NORA) is a partnership program to stimulate innovative research and improved

workplace practices to identify the most critical issues in workplace safety and health across eight industry sectors. The increased resources for NORA are intended to be used to strengthen and enhance occupational safety and health research to protect the health and safety of U.S. workers.

Global Health.—Within the funds provided for Global Health, the bill includes the following amounts:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	FY 2011 budget request
Global AIDS Program	\$118,023,000	—\$938,000	—\$69,000
Global Immunization Program	153,602,000	—51,000	+1,810,000
Polio Eradication	102,441,000	+656,000	+1,840,000
Other Global/Measles	51,161,000	—707,000	—30,000
Global Disease Detection	37,756,000	+6,000	—49,000
Global Malaria Program	9,167,000	—237,000	—6,000
Internal Emergency and Refugee Health	6,250,000	—11,000	0
Other Global Health	34,380,000	+18,073,000	—702,000

Public Health Preparedness and Response.—Within the funds provided for Public Health

Preparedness and Response, the bill includes the following amounts:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	FY 2011 budget request
State and Local Preparedness and Response Capacity	\$757,504,000	—\$3,483,000	+\$184,130,000
Public Health Emergency Preparedness	714,738,000	—105,000	+184,419,000
Cooperative Agreement	30,009,000	0	0
Academic Centers for Public Health Preparedness	5,262,000	0	0
Advanced Practice Centers	7,495,000	—3,378,000	—289,000
All Other State and Local Capacity	156,773,000	—9,193,000	—72,000
CDC Preparedness and Response Capability	0	—2,600,000	0
Anthrax	34,362,000	—37,000	0
BioSense	523,305,000	—72,356,000	—228,000
Strategic National Stockpile	454,790,000	—140,871,000	—228,000
Appropriations in this bill	68,515,000	+68,515,000	0
Balances from P.L. 111–32 ¹			

¹ Amount derived from Pandemic Flu emergency supplemental appropriations provided in P.L. 111–32.

Public Health Leadership and Support.—Within the total for Public Health Leadership

and Support, the bill includes the following amounts:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	FY 2011 budget request
Leadership and Management	\$142,118,000	— \$7,846,000	— \$351,000
National Prevention Strategy	1,000,000	+858,000	+1,000,000
Appropriations in this bill	0	0	0
PPH Fund Allotment	1,000,000	+858,000	+1,000,000
Director's Discretionary Fund	2,500,000	— 500,000	— 8,000

Any savings realized in leadership and management may be reallocated to the Director's Discretionary Fund for programs

and initiatives that improve the health and safety of Americans upon notification of the Committee on Appropriations.

The bill includes funding for the following projects in the following amounts:

Project	Amount
Alameda County Department of Public Health, Office of AIDS Administration, Oakland, CA for an HIV/AIDS prevention, education, and testing initiative	\$500,000
Chicago Public Schools, Chicago, IL, for nutrition and health education programs, including equipment	\$150,000
City of Fort Wayne, Fort Wayne, IN, for outreach, screening and education for Burmese refugees	\$100,000
City of Philadelphia, PA for a city-wide electronic pre-natal care registry	\$400,000
County of Broward, Fort Lauderdale, FL for a pediatric mortality public awareness campaign	\$150,000
County of Marin, San Rafael, CA for research and analysis related to breast cancer incidence and mortality in the county and breast cancer screening	\$400,000
Crim Fitness Foundation, Flint, MI for a youth program to combat obesity in Flint schools	\$800,000
Daniella Maria Arturi Foundation, Laurel, NY for the Diamond Blackfan Anemia public health outreach and surveillance program	\$500,000
East Carolina University, Greenville, NC, for a health disparities behavioral and chronic disease management initiative	\$300,000
Fibrous Dysplasia Foundation, Washington, DC, for the development of a patient network	\$200,000
Fletcher Allen Health Care, Burlington, VT, to expand the Center for Nutrition and Healthy Food Systems	\$100,000
Haitian American Association Against Cancer, Inc., Miami, FL for cancer education, outreach, screening, and related programs	\$300,000
Hawaii Primary Care Association, Honolulu, HI, to continue a program on childhood asthma	\$200,000
Health, Youth & Family Services, Calumet City, IL for pediatric immunizations and parenting classes	\$300,000
International Rett Syndrome Foundation, Cincinnati, OH for education and awareness programs regarding Rett Syndrome	\$180,000
John M. Tedeschi Pediatric Institute at Virtua, Camden, NJ for a pediatric obesity outreach program	\$800,000
Long Island University, Glen Head, NY for asthma education, outreach, and data programs	\$250,000
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule, SD, for health education and promotion programs	\$100,000
Mary Bird Perkins Cancer Center, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, for cancer outreach initiatives	\$200,000
Meeting Street, Providence, RI for the Healthy Babies/Healthy Providence program	\$300,000
Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, TN for promotion of physical activity and health in youth	\$350,000
National Council of La Raza, Washington, DC, for the Institute of Hispanic Health	\$1,000,000
PE4life Foundation, Kansas City, MO, for expansion and assessment of PE4life programs across Iowa	\$300,000
San Antonio Metropolitan Health District, San Antonio, TX for a program to assess the health behaviors of the Kelly community and address health issues such as lead poisoning, asthma, and indoor pollutants	\$400,000
Shelburne Farms, Shelburne, VT, to expand Farm-to-School activities	\$250,000

Silent Spring Institute, Newton, MA, for studies of the impact of environmental pollutants on breast cancer and women's health	\$200,000
South Carolina HIV/AIDS Council, Columbia, SC for HIV/AIDS community outreach programs	\$350,000
South Dakota State University, Brookings, SD, for research on health promotion	\$200,000
St. Mary's Medical Center, Long Beach, CA for a women's heart health outreach, education, and screening program	\$225,000
University of Georgia, Athens, GA, for obesity intervention and prevention	\$100,000
University of Hawaii at Hilo, Hilo, HI, for occupational safety and health research	\$100,000
University of Miami, Miami, FL for an education and outreach campaign to raise awareness of genetic disease testing and counseling	\$700,000
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC for a community-based kidney health educational outreach and disease management program	\$500,000
University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls, IA, for a health literacy program	\$300,000
University of Texas-Pan American, Edinburg, TX for research and education activities at the South Texas Border Health Disparities Center	\$250,000
Waterloo Fire Rescue, Waterloo, IA, for FirePALS, a school-based injury prevention program	\$150,000
Western North Carolina Health Network, Inc., Asheville, NC for wellness and prevention programs for children	\$550,000

Buildings and Facilities.—Funding provided for CDC buildings and facilities is intended to be used for repairs and improvements to fully restore operations at NIOSH's Lake Lynn Laboratory and Experimental Mine.

Business Services and Support.—The funds for business services and support are intended for CDC to carry out its business functions, including, but not limited to, administrative services, financial management, security and emergency preparedness, and procurement and grants. Neither these funds nor any other funds provided to CDC are to be enhanced through the mechanism of program assessments or tapping at any level.

NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

Funding levels for programs within the National Institutes of Health (NIH), along with comparisons to last year's levels and the budget request, are shown in the table at the end of this division. Any allocations of funding beyond the level of detail in that table are indicated below.

National Cancer Institute

The bill provides up to \$8,000,000 for facilities repairs and improvements at the National Cancer Institute (NCI)—Frederick Federally Funded Research and Development Center in Frederick, Maryland.

In each of the last 2 years NCI was encouraged to launch a pancreatic cancer-specific research initiative. Pancreatic cancer remains the only major cancer with a survival rate in the single digits. Absent a targeted research effort, any hope for advances in diagnosis and treatment will remain slim. The NCI is urged to take concrete steps to attack this most deadly form of cancer by: (1) establishing a discrete pancreatic cancer research grant program; (2) re-instituting a policy of "exceptions" funding for grant applications that are focused primarily on pancreatic cancer; and (3) including more experts in pancreatic cancer on scientific review panels. Further, the NCI Consensus Report of the National Cancer Institute Clinical Trials Planning Meeting on Pancreas Cancer Treatment, from two years ago does not include an action plan. The NCI is strongly encouraged to develop and implement action steps within 6 months of enactment of this Act and provide the Committees on Appropriations a copy of this action plan.

Office of the Director (OD)

The bill's funding anticipates NIH will operate within the policy assumptions set forth in the budget request, except as modified by this statement. The bill assumes NIH will use an average cost of \$401,000 per new and competing awards; the level is corrected for the anomaly NIH identified in its budget request and includes a 1.5 percent increase in fiscal year 2011. The amount provided is expected to support approximately 10,000 new and competing awards. The non-competing awards are provided a 1 percent increase. The bill provides increases of 6 percent for training stipends, 4 percent for Research Management and Support, 2.4 percent for Office of the Director (OD) operations and Programs, Projects, and Activities and 1.5 percent all for other mechanisms. The bill supports \$85,000,000 for the NIH Director's New Innovator Award program in the Common Fund,

with all other assumptions, other than new initiatives, at the budget request level. The OD level includes \$99,044,000 for radiological, nuclear and chemical countermeasures; \$50,000,000 for the Cures Acceleration Network (CAN), and \$1,500,000 for OD's Division of Program Coordination, Planning, and Strategic Initiatives (DPCPSI) to administer CAN, and increased support for science education in the OD Office of Science Policy to increase collaboration with the Department of Education on scientific education, expand curriculum development and distribution.

The bill supports the requested level for the Clinical and Translational Research Award (CTSA) program and the Basic Behavioral and Social Science Opportunity Network across the Institutes and Centers (ICs). The bill provides up to \$50,000,000 to expand the number of targets in the Therapeutic Rare and Neglected Disease initiative. The bill assumes support for the Institutional Development Award program and other OD and ICs program activities are funded as identified in the request.

The bill includes longstanding general provisions such as setting the salary of researchers through NIH grants at Executive Level I, providing transfer authority for the Office of AIDS Research and clarifying that the Director may use transfer authority under section 420A(d) of the Public Health Service Act to transfer funds between the ICs of the NIH. The NIH is directed to provide advance notification each time the Director uses any portion of the transfer or re-programming authority. NIH is directed to provide its final mechanism totals for each fiscal year, in table form, by December 30 following the end of that fiscal year to the Committees on Appropriations.

The NCI is commended for its efforts with the Institute of Medicine (IOM) to review its clinical trials process. The IOM identified a number of concerns that seem to have applicability across all NIH ICs to improve NIH-wide clinical trial activity. The NIH is directed to conduct a trans-NIH review of the applicability of the twelve IOM recommendations to all NIH ICs that conduct clinical trials. The review should examine ways to develop and strengthen NIH-wide policies with a focus on opportunities to improve the incorporation of innovative science, increase speed of initiation and completion, improve the means of setting priorities, and develop better incentives for participation in clinical trials. The IOM report found it takes over 900 days to open a clinical trial, but trials supported with Recovery Act funds developed methods to open studies within 90 days. The NIH is directed to consider how it can incorporate the 90-day model into all clinical trial activity. The review should examine the policies of each IC regarding funding for variable accrual costs per case, and ensure that a consistent guideline applies across NIH. Specifically, the review should examine the viability and effect on speed of opening trials of a multi-tier system in which payments for cost-per-accrual vary according to the time required to open the trial, such as a system that provides a certain amount per accrual case if the opening takes more than 360 days and a higher payment if the opening occurs sooner. Further, the review should examine the methods/processes ICs use to

prioritize clinical trials based on peer-review input, funding, and other ways to optimize selection of studies. The NIH is requested to conduct a complete review and submit a report within 1 year of enactment of this Act, discussing the findings, proposed policy changes, implementation timeline, and key measures NIH will use to monitor clinical trial activity.

The bill provides \$50,000,000 to support CAN. The program is intended to improve the speed and coordination among basic research, translational research, medicine, biopharmaceutical and regulatory activities to translate scientific discoveries into usable cures and treatments and is directed by a 24-person board. The NIH and CAN Board are urged to include participation by the Food and Drug Administration, the National Science Foundation, the Veterans Health Administration, the Department of Defense (Health Affairs) and other federal agencies, such as the Patent and Trademark Office. The NIH is requested to provide detailed descriptions of the CAN activity in future budget requests as a new section in the budget justification overview volume, similar to the Common Fund. The new section should identify detailed program activities for each CAN focus element with measurable objectives. The bill supports CAN as a trans-NIH activity that is housed within the OD's DPCPSI. Due to the lateness of the fiscal year, if in this initial year, the Director cannot fully obligate the CAN resources, he should transfer remaining funds in a proportional manner to the ICs. NIH is requested to establish a high bar on requests to waive the matching fund requirement, and should provide an annual report to the Committees on Appropriations by October 15 of each year with details on all waiver activity.

NIH has a number of grant mechanisms that are either fully or incrementally (annually) funded based on the purpose, scope, and activity of the award. NIH is requested, starting with the fiscal year 2012 budget request, to provide a table that lists all active grant mechanisms with the purpose, funding type (full or incremental), and the number of actual awards in each mechanism during the last full fiscal year.

NIH is requested, in coordination with the Secretary of HHS, to review their joint processes to facilitate more fully and timely responses to congressional inquiries and to improve their responsiveness regarding requested reports, regulations, and other information. The HHS Secretary is requested to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations by October 1, 2011, on actions HHS and NIH expect to take to improve responsiveness.

SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Funding levels for appropriations by account, and comparisons to last year's levels and the budget request, can be found in the table at the end of this division. Allocations below that level of detail are included below.

Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS)

Within the total provided for CMHS Programs of Regional and National Significance, the bill includes the following amounts:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	FY 2011 budget request
Capacity:			
Co-occurring State Incentive Grant	3,611,000	+1,443,000	0
Seclusion and Restraint	2,449,000	0	0

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	FY 2011 budget request
Youth Violence Prevention	94,502,000	+169,000	0
National Child Traumatic Stress Initiative	43,750,000	+2,950,000	+2,950,000
Children and Family Programs	9,194,000	0	0
Performance Management and Coordination Activities	3,530,000	+364,000	0
Consumer and Family Network Grants	6,436,000	+200,000	0
Mental Health Transformation and Health Reform	26,924,000	–2,182,000	–4,000,000
Project LAUNCH	25,000,000	0	–2,000,000
Primary and Behavioral Health Integration	49,000,000	+35,000,000	+35,000,000
Appropriation in this bill	14,000,000	0	0
PPH Fund Allotment*	35,000,000	+15,000,000	+35,000,000
Community Resilience and Recovery Initiative	5,000,000	0	0
Suicide Prevention PPH Fund Allotment*	10,000,000	+10,000,000	+10,000,000
Suicide Lifeline	5,522,000	0	–2,000,000
Garrett Lee Smith-Youth Suicide Prevention:			
State Grants	29,738,000	0	–700,000
Campus Grants	4,975,000	0	–425,000
American Indian/American Native Suicide Prevention	2,944,000	0	–2,944,000
Homeless Prevention Programs	39,696,000	+7,446,000	0
Older Adult Programs	4,814,000	0	0
Minority AIDS	9,283,000	0	0
Criminal and Juvenile Justice Programs	6,684,000	0	0
Science to Service:			
Garrett Lee Smith-Suicide Resource Center	4,957,000	0	0
Information Dissemination and Training	8,528,000	–473,000	0
National Registry of Evidence Based Programs and Practices	544,000	0	0
SAMHSA Health Information Network	2,644,000	–29,000	0
Consumer & Consumer Support Technical Assistance Centers	1,927,000	0	0
Minority Fellowship Program	4,279,000	0	0
Disaster Response	1,054,000	0	0
Homelessness	2,306,000	0	0
HIV/AIDS Education	774,000	–200,000	0

* Amount allotted in this bill from the Prevention and Public Health (PPH) Fund, which was authorized and appropriated in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

Within the funding provided for the National Child Traumatic Stress Initiative, \$1,000,000 is included for the National Center for Child Traumatic Stress for data analysis and support.

The bill does not include funding for a combined Mental Health/Substance Abuse

Screening, Brief Intervention, and Referral to Treatment Program.

Asian American and Pacific Islander (AAPI) females between the ages of 15–24 have high rates of depression and suicide ideation and AAPI females over the age of 65 have among the highest rates of suicide of any ethnic group. SAMHSA is urged to en-

sure that they are aware of this disparity as they coordinate suicide prevention activities in the community, in the schools, on college campuses and in facilities treating elder populations.

The bill includes funding for the following projects in the following amounts:

Project	Amount
Alma Family Services, Monterey Park, CA, for increased access to mental health services for underserved minority populations	\$185,000
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Trenton, Trenton, NJ for mental health treatment services for children	\$95,000
Children's Health Fund, New York, NY for mental health treatment services for children and their families	\$200,000
Children's Hospital Boston, Boston, MA, for Expansion of Mental Health Capacity in Massachusetts Schools	\$200,000
City of San Jose, San Jose, CA for mental health services for the homeless	\$450,000
Clifford W. Beers Guidance Clinic, Inc., New Haven CT for mental health services for children and families exposed to trauma and trauma training for mental health professionals	\$200,000
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless, Denver, CO for primary care, mental health, oral health, substance abuse treatment and supportive services for the homeless	\$500,000
Community Counseling Center, Portland, ME for mental health services for veterans and refugees	\$400,000
DryHootch of America, Milwaukee, WI for a veterans outreach and counseling program	\$150,000
Family Service Agency of Marin County, San Rafael, CA, for suicide prevention and mental health services	\$250,000
Help Center - 211, Bozeman, MT, for suicide intervention and outreach services	\$100,000
Lindsey Wilson College, Columbia, KY, for professional development and mental health services for the Appalachia region	\$100,000
Main Street Counseling Center, West Orange, NJ for mental health treatment services for at-risk youths	\$65,000
Maniilaq Association, Kotzebue, AK, for suicide prevention activities in Northwest Alaska	\$200,000
Marion County, Salem, OR for mental health services for participants in the Mental Health Court program	\$150,000
Memorial Hermann TIRR, Houston, TX for rehabilitation and re-integration services for veterans with TBI	\$250,000
Municipality of Vieques, Vieques, PR for mental health and substance abuse services	\$200,000
Oregon Partnership, Portland, OR, to provide suicide prevention services to soldiers and military families	\$200,000
Pacific Clinics, Arcadia, CA for early intervention and mental health services for at-risk middle school youths and their families	\$635,000
Pen Bay Healthcare, Rockport, ME, for mental health services	\$100,000
South Boston Community Health Center, South Boston, MA for substance abuse prevention and treatment services	\$200,000
St. Ann's Corner of Harm Reduction, Bronx, NY for substance abuse prevention and counseling services for individuals at risk for and living with HIV/AIDS and Hepatitis C	\$100,000

Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT) cance, the bill includes the following

Within the total provided for CSAT Pro- amounts:

grams of Regional and National Signifi-

Budget activity	This bill	This bill committee com- pared to—	
		FY 2010	FY 2011 budg- et request
Capacity:		\$0	\$0
Co-occurring State Incentive Grant	4,113,000	– 150,000	0
Opioid Treatment Programs/Regulatory Activities	8,903,000	0	0
Screening, Brief Intervention, Referral, and Treatment (SBIRT)	54,106,000	+25,000,000	+17,000,000
Appropriation in this bill	29,106,000	0	– 8,000,000
PPH Fund Allotment *	25,000,000	+25,000,000	+25,000,000
Targeted Capacity Expansion-General	28,481,000	– 508,000	0
Pregnant and Postpartum Women	16,000,000	0	– 1,350,000
Strengthening Treatment Access and Retention	1,775,000	0	0
Recovery Community Services Program	5,236,000	0	0
Access to Recovery	98,954,000	0	– 9,900,000
Children and Families	30,488,000	– 190,000	0
Treatment Systems for Homeless	47,360,000	+4,610,000	0
Minority AIDS	65,988,000	0	+100,000
Criminal Justice Activities	75,913,000	+8,278,000	– 8,278,000
Services Accountability	20,716,000	+70,000	– 100,000
National All Schedules Prescription Electronic Reporting (NASPER)	3,000,000	+1,000,000	+1,000,000
Science to Service:			
Addiction Technology Transfer Grants	9,081,000	0	0
Minority Fellowship Program	547,000	0	0
Special Initiatives/Outreach	2,420,000	+20,000	0
Information Dissemination	4,353,000	– 200,000	0
National Registry of Evidence-based Programs and Practices	893,000	0	0
SAMHSA Health Information Network	3,782,000	– 473,000	0
Program Coordination and Evaluation	5,045,000	– 169,000	0

* Amount allotted in this bill from the Prevention and Public Health (PPH) Fund, which was authorized and appropriated in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

The bill does not include funding for a combined mental health/substance abuse Screening, Brief Intervention, and Referral to Treatment program. Funds provided from the Prevention and Public Health Fund shall be used for the existing evidence-based SBIRT program. The bill includes funding for the following projects in the following amounts:

Project	Amount
A Safe Haven, Chicago, IL for recovery management and substance abuse treatment services	\$450,000
City/County Alcohol Drug Programs, Rapid City, SD, for substance abuse and recovery programs	\$200,000
Community Rehabilitation Center, Jacksonville, FL for substance abuse and mental health treatment programs for those with severe mental illness	\$750,000
Gavin Foundation Inc., South Boston, MA for a transitional care residence that provides substance abuse treatment services to young men and women	\$350,000
Law and Psychiatric Institute of North-Shore, Long Island Jewish Health System, Manhasset, NY for a mental health and substance abuse treatment program for veterans	\$540,000
Luna County Healthy Start, Deming, NM, for drug abuse treatment services for women	\$400,000
Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Rosebud, SD, for a drug and alcohol treatment program	\$150,000
South-Southwest Suburban United Way, Crestwood, IL for substance abuse treatment services for vulnerable populations	\$550,000
Walden Sierra, Charlotte Hall, MD for mental health and substance abuse treatment services for the homeless	\$198,000

Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP)

Within the total provided for CSAP Programs of Regional and National significance, the bill includes the following amounts:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	FY 2011 budget request
Capacity:			
Strategic Prevention Framework	111,608,000	0	+8,097,000
Partnerships for Success	33,829,000	+22,163,000	0
Mandatory Drug Testing	5,206,000	0	0
Minority AIDS	41,385,000	0	0
Performance Management	6,300,000	0	0
Sober Truth on Preventing (STOP) Underage Drinking	10,000,000	+3,000,000	+2,000,000
Project LAUNCH	0	0	-9,683,000
Prevention Prepared Communities	0	0	-22,600,000
Science to Service:			
Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder	9,821,000	0	0
Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies	8,511,000	0	0
Science & Service Program Coordination	4,789,000	0	0
National Registry of Evidence-Based Programs & Practices	650,000	0	0
SAMHSA Health Information Network	2,547,000	-202,000	-1,000
Minority Fellowship Program	71,000	0	0

SAMHSA is encouraged to ensure that States which receive Partnerships for Success grants give current and former drug-free communities grantees priority status as sub-recipient grantees.

The bill includes funding for the following projects in the following amounts:

Project	Amount
Hamakua Health Center, Honoka'a, HI, for a youth anti-drug program	\$200,000
Waimanalo Community Health Center, Waimanalo, HI, for drug abuse prevention	\$200,000
West Virginia Prevention Resource Center, Charleston, WV, for drug abuse prevention	\$1,000,000
YMCA of the East Bay, Oakland, CA for a program to provide substance abuse prevention services to middle school age youth	\$200,000

Health Surveillance and Support. In recognition of the increasingly strong evidence of a relationship between youth exposure to alcohol marketing and underage drinking, SAMHSA is encouraged to add to its data collection activities the monitoring and reporting of State laws and regulations that address alcohol marketing targeting young people, including but not limited to: sponsorships of family events, marketing on college

campuses, and signage in locales where children are likely to be present.

AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH AND QUALITY

Funding levels for programs within the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), along with comparisons to last year's levels and the budget request, are shown in the table at the end of this divi-

sion. Any allocations of funding beyond the level of detail in that table are indicated below.

The bill funds AHRQ through section 241 of the Public Health Service (PHS) Act. In addition, the bill allocates \$12,000,000 from the Prevention and Public Health Fund. The following table provides a breakout of key programs and levels of support within funds provided to AHRQ:

Budget Activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	FY 2011 budget request
Patient Centered Health Research (PCHR)	35,000,000	+14,000,000	134,960,000
Prevention/Care Management	15,904,000	0	0
Value Research	3,730,000	0	0
Health IT	30,022,000	+2,377,000	-1,500,000
Patient Safety Research Total	75,122,000	-15,463,000	+10,500,000
Healthcare Associated Infections (HAI) Prevention	34,000,000	+9,000,000	+9,000,000
Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA)	9,500,000	+500,000	+500,000
Patient Safety Organizations	8,000,000	+1,000,000	+1,000,000
All other	23,622,000	-25,963,000	0
Crosscutting Quality, Effectiveness & Efficiency Research Total	114,374,000	+2,585,000	+24,003,000
Centers for Education & Research in Therapeutics (CERTs)	11,463,000	0	+11,463,000
HIV Research Network	2,350,000	+937,000	+2,350,000
Quality Measure Development	7,000,000	+7,000,000	+7,000,000
Investigator-Initiated Grants	40,485,000	+125,000	+3,195,000
All other	53,076,000	-5,477,000	-5,000
Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS)	59,300,000	+500,000	0
Program Management	70,248,000	+2,648,000	-2,465,000
Prevention and Public Health Fund			
Clinical Preventive Services Research	5,000,000	+5,000,000	
U.S. Preventive Services Task Force	7,000,000	+2,000,000	

The bill provides AHRQ with resources to sustain and support key Patient-Centered Outcomes Health Research (PCHR) activity, which includes up to \$5,000,000 within the PCHR total for Centers for Education and Research in Therapeutics (CERTs). The bill does not intend for any PCHR funding included in fiscal year 2011 to be used to mandate coverage, reimbursement, or other policies for any public or private payer. Further, it is recognized that a "one-size-fits-all" approach to patient treatment is not the most medically appropriate solution to treating various conditions. Research conducted should be consistent with departmental policies relating to the inclusion of women and minorities.⁴ AHRQ and NIH are requested to submit a joint report within 180 days after enactment of this Act on results and status of PCHR activity undertaken with Recovery Act funds, ongoing efforts (independent and jointly), and planned activity with the Patient Centered Outcomes Research Institute.

The bill restores funding for the CERTs program within the Crosscutting Activity area and provides funding for additional CERTs in other areas of AHRQ's research portfolio.

AHRQ is urged to support investigator-initiated research aimed at identifying new interventions to reduce healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), the most common of which is Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA). Although in some cases adequate knowledge about how to reduce HAIs is available and the primary barrier is how to implement and apply that knowledge, in other cases, optimal solutions are not apparent.

AHRQ is requested to develop ways to improve and measure HAI information dissemination and adoption by the health care community and strongly encouraged to partner with CDC, NIH, and other agencies on this endeavor.

AHRQ is requested to provide the Committees on Appropriations a report within 180 days after enactment regarding progress on, and plans for, development of new ways to improve dissemination and measure adoption of techniques to reduce HAIs.

There are concerns about declines in the number of, and funding for, training grants

for the next generation of researchers. AHRQ is urged to provide greater support to pre- and post-doctoral training grants and fellowships to ensure America stays competitive in the global research market.

CENTERS FOR MEDICARE AND MEDICAID SERVICES

Funding levels for programs within the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), along with comparisons to last year's levels and the budget request, are shown in the table at the end of this division. Any allocations of funding beyond the level of detail in that table are indicated below.

Program Management

Funding is included to support medical operations activities that may include required information technology (IT) security and sustainability investments, data encounter, payment system customization, IT infrastructure modernization, and other medical operations workload issues.

CMS may use funds to design a data improvement initiative. Prior to implementation of any data improvement initiative, the Committees on Appropriations must review a 5-year plan for the initiative with cost estimates and timelines. The plan should include measurable objectives divided into 1-year project periods that can be requested and approved through the annual appropriations process. In addition, CMS is directed to provide a comprehensive strategic plan by February 15, 2011, covering all data improvement activity proposed by CMS.

The medical operations level provides up to \$5,000,000 to create a test environment for modeling industry solutions in a secure setting. This environment should allow vendors to work independently and with CMS to seek solutions and execute "proof of concept" tests for CMS issues in a secure environment, using Medicare test data, on CMS technical architecture, in an isolated, stand-alone environment for independent vendor testing of industry solutions. The scope should allow "proof of concept" tests to determine solution effectiveness in addressing Medicare issues such as improper payment, quality measurement, data exchange, and concepts developed by the CMS Center for Innovation. The test environment must en-

sure data privacy and security, comply with CMS technical architecture standards, provide temporary access and secure connectivity for vendor testing, and make relevant data sets available for product testing. Management support should include scheduling and resource allocation, ID management and credentialing, provisioning support, and technical support for vendors related to use of the test environment. CMS should provide the Committees on Appropriations with a report and timeline on the development of the test environment within 180 days after enactment of this Act.

The bill includes \$68,000,000 for research activities remaining from the Medicare Improvement for Patients and Providers Act of 2008. The bill includes the requested funding level for all other activities within the Medical Operations account. The bill provides sufficient funding for survey and certification activities to provide surveys of all types of facilities at least once every 6 years. Prior to 2010, some types of facilities were reviewed as infrequently as once every 11.5 years, including ambulatory surgery centers. These types of facilities have been implicated in past outbreaks of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). Greater frequency of surveys is expected to increase awareness of and reduction in the occurrence of HAIs. CMS is directed to train all State inspectors on CDC's revised HAI interpretative guidelines. With the increased frequency of inspections, surveyors must be equipped to detect evidence of HAIs or faulty procedures that could result in HAIs.

Medicare beneficiaries who are blind or visually impaired are eligible for physician-prescribed rehabilitation services from approved health care professionals on the same basis as beneficiaries with other medical conditions that result in reduced physical functioning. There is concern that the effectiveness of vision rehabilitation services may be compromised by the current exclusion of Medicare coverage of vision assistive equipment. CMS is requested to evaluate the policies relating to vision assistive equipment and devices prescribed: (1) by a Medicare-approved physician in conjunction with Medicare-approved vision rehabilitation

services, (2) for purposes other than correcting the refractive state of the eye, (3) to a beneficiary receiving Medicare-approved vision rehabilitation services, or (4) consistent with, and on the same basis, as Medicare coverage provided to beneficiaries receiving durable medical equipment (DME) related to other Medicare-covered rehabilitation services. CMS is requested to provide an update on the progress of the review in the fiscal year 2012 budget request.

The CMS Innovation Center is directed to establish an intra-agency innovation collaboration group with participation from CDC, NIH, AHRQ, HRSA and other appropriate HHS organizations to assist in the identification of promising innovations. These agencies are recognized for their work to improve science and healthcare oper-

ations, and their standing in the health care industry can enhance the Center's work. The Center is urged to develop cooperative activities with these agencies.

CMS is urged to ensure its Recovery Audit Contractor Program does not create significant budgetary problems for hospitals that are operating in good faith and whose appeals are eventually settled in their favor. While the process has been modified so that contractors pay now reflects the number of successful denials, the program may still incentivize the volume of citations over the quality. CMS is encouraged to consider evaluating contractors and reviewers to ensure that they are adequately trained and they are not generating unreasonable numbers of overturned denials. CMS should consider alternative ways to reclaim payments while a

dispute is in the appeals process and provide the Committees on Appropriations an update in the fiscal year 2012 budget request.

The bill provides resources to expand efforts on preventing fraud, reducing improper payments and paying claims right the first time. CMS is encouraged to continue its efforts to develop a working group with federal agencies, health care, and health insurance industry that includes sharing best practices, data, and other relevant information to decrease health care fraud. CMS should provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations by March 15, 2011, on the first meeting, charter, and future activity planned of this working group.

The bill includes funding for the following projects in the following amounts:

Project	Amount
Advocate Trinity Hospital, Chicago, IL to demonstrate promotion of stroke management symptoms	\$300,000
AltaMed Health Services Corporation, El Monte, CA for dental services to people living with HIV/AIDS	\$150,000
Bi-State Primary Care Association, Concord, NH for uninsured health care demonstration project	\$400,000
County of Fulton, Atlanta, GA for integrated health care demonstration project	\$700,000
Healthy Howard Health Plan, Inc, Columbia, MD, for outreach, support and care coordination	\$600,000
Iowa Prescription Drug Corporation, Urbandale, IA, for programs to reduce the cost of prescription drugs	\$500,000
Medicare Rights Center, New York, NY for Health Advocacy Workshop demonstration project	\$200,000
Patient Advocate Foundation, Newport News, VA for a patient assistance program for the uninsured	\$300,000
Quincy Medical Center, Quincy, MA for a program to support a geriatric health care demonstration	\$465,000
University of Mississippi, University, MS, for the Medication Use and Outcomes Research Group	\$800,000

ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES

Low Income Home Energy Assistance.—Within the amount provided for the Low Income Home Energy Assistance program (LIHEAP), not more than \$27,000,000 shall be used for the Leveraging Incentive program.

Refugee and Entrant Assistance.—The Department shall provide a report within 60 days of enactment of this Act to the Committees on Appropriations on how the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) has implemented the William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008 (TVPRA) as it relates to unaccompanied alien children (UAC). This report should include information on the number of children transferred to ACF's care including their length of the stay, country of origin, and services provided, as well as costs

associated with implementing changes in the TVPRA, with relevant data broken out by fiscal year. Further, within funds for the UAC program, the Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR) shall use up to \$250,000 for an independent evaluation of the UAC program, which shall be submitted by September 30, 2011, to determine if it is operating consistent with child welfare best practices.

Within funds for the UAC program, \$8,000,000 is provided to continue the pro bono legal services initiative to ensure legal representation for both released and detained children. These funds should be used to train attorneys to detect abuse, mistreatment, labor exploitation, and trafficking of these children. In addition, a portion of these funds should be used to train attorneys in methods that will ensure the appearance of

children at all immigration court hearings. The ORR is expected to use part of these funds to assess the overall impact of the pro bono legal services initiative, including the number and proportion of UACs provided pro bono legal representation.

The bill does not include additional funding requested by the administration to concentrate more shelter space within 250 miles of the border.

Children and Family Services.—Within Child Abuse Discretionary Activities, funding is not included for a new competitive grant program for State implementation of abuse prevention efforts that was proposed in the President's budget.

The bill includes funding for the following projects in the following amounts:

Project	Amount
Addison County Parent Child Center, Middlebury, VT, for childcare and parental education programs	\$100,000
Dakota County, Hastings, MN, for a home visitation program for at-risk infants and toddlers and their families	\$300,000
Douglas County CARES, Roseburg, OR for the Kids in Common program	\$620,000
Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA, for child abuse prevention education services	\$100,000
Nez Perce Tribe, Lapwai, ID, for child abuse prevention	\$100,000
Ohel Children's Home and Family Services, New York, NY for providing services to foster children, which may include equipment and supplies	\$350,000
Queens County Child Advocacy Center, Kew Gardens, NY for the Child Advocacy Center	\$320,000
South Carolina Department of Education, Columbia, SC, for child abuse prevention education services	\$100,000
Southern Nevada Health District, Las Vegas, NV, for a home visitation program for low-income first-time mothers	\$400,000

Within the funds provides for Social Services and Income Maintenance Research, ACF is directed to reserve \$1,300,000 to conduct a national, comprehensive, 2-year child welfare study in conjunction with the National

Academies of Sciences that shall assess the various characteristics of the child welfare workforce and make recommendations regarding the appropriate levels of caseload and overall workload, training, and super-

vision, and make recommendations for linking workforce data to data on child outcomes.

The bill includes funding for the following projects in the following amounts:

Project	Amount
Abilis, Greenwich, CT for outreach and education to assist people with autism	\$125,000
Access to Healthcare Network, Reno, NV, for a helpline to assist residents with healthcare and social services	\$330,000
AltaMed Health Services Corporation, Santa Ana, CA for a teen pregnancy prevention program	\$150,000
American School Health Association, Kent, OH to address non-academic barriers to student learning through better assessment of needs and improved coordination of services	\$470,000
Associated Early Care and Education, Boston, MA for a child and family development center	\$200,000
Autism Project/Gateway, Johnston, RI for the Center for Excellence	\$1,000,000
Beyond Shelter, Los Angeles, CA for a crisis intervention demonstration project	\$400,000
Boys and Girls Homes of North Carolina, Inc., Lake Waccamaw, NC for the support of programs providing therapeutic treatment to high risk youth	\$450,000
Campus Kitchen, Washington, DC, for services to the homeless community in New Jersey	\$100,000
Child Care Coordinating Council, San Mateo, CA for a program encouraging quality and retention in the child care workforce	\$180,000
Children's Health Initiative Napa County, Napa, CA for implementation of a web-based single point of entry eligibility and enrollment system for multiple benefit programs	\$215,000
Children's Hunger Alliance, Columbus, OH for outreach and education targeted to low-income, home-based childcare providers	\$500,000
City of Berkeley, CA for education and other support services to low-income pregnant women and new parents	\$250,000
City of Mount Vernon, Mount Vernon, NY, for the Youth Development Action Plan	\$150,000
City of Seattle Human Services Department, Seattle, WA for a residential recovery program serving prostituted youth	\$350,000
City of Tracy, Tracy, CA, for gang-outreach, intervention, prevention, and educational assistance programs	\$300,000
Covenant House New Jersey, Newark, NJ for outreach and services to homeless youth	\$150,000
Council of Peoples Organization, Brooklyn, NY for social services to low income women and families	\$300,000
County of Alameda, Oakland, CA for support to newly emancipated foster youth	\$500,000
County of Contra Costa, Martinez, CA, for an initiative for children and adolescents exposed to domestic violence	\$475,000
County of Monterey Probation Department, Salinas, CA for gang prevention and intervention services	\$700,000
County of Prince George's, MD for prenatal services	\$440,000
County of Solano, Fairfield, CA for its Baby Coach and Court Appointed Special Advocates programs and related services	\$150,000
Creative Visions, Des Moines, IA, for family reunification and support services	\$200,000
Crisis Shelter of Lawrence County, New Castle, PA for online victim support services	\$450,000

Devereux, Villanova, PA for an early intervention program for children with autism	\$300,000
Doorways for Women and Families, Arlington, VA for shelter and transitional housing for homeless and battered women and families	\$500,000
FAMILY, Inc, Council Bluffs, IA, for a home visitation program for young children and their families	\$400,000
Jewish Social Service Agency, Rockville, MD, for autism outreach, education, and case management services	\$450,000
Lake County Community Foundation, Waukegan, IL, for expanding access to services	\$250,000
LaSalle County Easter Seal Society, Inc., Ottawa, IL for services to special-needs youth	\$150,000
Lifestyles of Maryland, Inc., LaPlata, MD for its Safe Nights Program for homeless and disadvantaged populations	\$69,000
Lifetrack Resources, St. Paul, MN, for outreach efforts in hearing loss early intervention and assessment services	\$400,000
Los Angeles County Department of Children and Family Services, Los Angeles, CA for programs supporting Transition Age Youth	\$250,000
National Energy Assistance Directors' Association, Washington, DC for research and information dissemination related to the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program	\$200,000
North Ward Center, Inc., Newark, NJ, for comprehensive services for people with autism spectrum disorders	\$600,000
Northern Kentucky Community Action Commission, Covington, KY, for child care program activities	\$100,000
Northern Virginia Urban League, Alexandria VA for a male mentoring program for at-risk students	\$500,000
Ocean Bay Community Development Corporation, Arverne, NY for providing workforce services and financial education	\$150,000
Olive Crest Pacific Northwest, Bellevue, WA, for services for foster children and children in unstable home situations	\$250,000
Partners in Development Foundation, Honolulu, HI for parenting education and other services for families with young children	\$450,000
Pathways PA, Holmes, PA for services to pregnant and parenting teenagers	\$155,000
Refocus, Providence, RI for a program to assist persons with developmental disabilities	\$200,000
Refuge Network, Cambridge, MN, for family violence and sexual assault prevention and intervention services	\$150,000
RI Parent Information Network, Cranston, RI for the Family to Family Health Information Center	\$585,000
Sacred Heart Children's Home, Laredo, TX for equipment and staffing	\$250,000
SafePlace, Austin, TX for support of programs providing services to persons with disabilities	\$300,000
Springfield Area Parent Child Center, North Springfield, VT, for services for teenage and expectant mothers	\$500,000
TLC for Children and Families, Olathe, KS, for youth transitional living programs	\$500,000
United Way Of Capital Area, Jackson, MS, for 2-1-1 Mississippi	\$408,000

United Way of Jackson County, Jackson, MI for 2-1-1 information and referral services in Hillsdale, Branch, Jackson and Lenawee counties	\$285,000
United Ways of California, South Pasadena, CA, for expanding 2-1-1 services	\$750,000
University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey - The Autism Center at NJ Medical School, Newark, NJ, for identifying and treating children with autism spectrum disorders	\$300,000
University of Nevada - Las Vegas, Las Vegas, NV, for expanding access to services for people with autism spectrum disorders	\$500,000
University of Toledo, Toledo, OH for providing services to people with autism and developmental disabilities	\$500,000
Wayne State University School of Social Work, Detroit, MI for a social worker training program	\$300,000
Westchester Jewish Community Services, White Plains, NY for services for pregnant and parenting teens and for pregnancy prevention programs for high school students	\$150,000
Women's Center of San Joaquin County, Stockton, CA for services to assist victims of domestic violence	\$250,000
YWCA of Greater Portland, Portland, OR, for services for victims of human trafficking	\$600,000

With regard to the Community Services Block Grant (CSBG), ACF is directed to allocate \$500,000 of CSBG training and technical assistance funds for a national community economic development training and capacity development initiative.

Within the amount for Economic Development, up to \$20,000,000 shall be used for the Healthy Food Financing Initiative, as proposed in the President's budget.

Within the total for Family Violence Prevention, up to \$2,000,000 is included for a program requested by the Administration targeting children exposed to domestic violence.

Within the total for Program Direction, the bill includes up to \$5,000,000 for additional staff and associated costs to improve

oversight and monitoring of ACF programs. The bill includes a total increase of up to \$25,000,000 for program integrity initiatives within ACF—\$15,000,000 within Head Start, \$5,000,000 within LIHEAP and up to \$5,000,000 within Program Direction. Within 60 days of enactment of this Act, ACF is directed to provide the Committees on Appropriations a strategic plan on how these funds will be used to increase program integrity efforts at ACF.

ADMINISTRATION ON AGING

Funding levels for programs within the Administration on Aging (AoA), along with comparisons to last year's levels and the budget request, are shown in the table at the end of this division. Any allocations of fund-

ing beyond the level of detail in that table are indicated below.

Nutrition Services.—The AoA is directed to continue including in future congressional budget justifications the actual amount obligated by States for Home-Delivered Nutrition Services, Congregate Nutrition Services, and Home and Community-Based Supportive Services, including transfers between programs.

Program Innovations.—Within the total for Program Innovations, AoA is directed to allocate funds for the programs of national significance according to the levels stated in the budget request.

The bill includes funding for the following projects in the following amounts:

Project	Amount
Cathedral Square Corporation, South Burlington, VT, for the Seniors Aging Safely at Home pilot program	\$750,000
Center for Aging in Place Support, Larchmont, NY for an aging in place program	\$100,000
DOROT, New York, NY for a Naturally Occurring Retirement Community project	\$250,000
Harmony House Senior Center, New Orleans, LA for social, educational, and nutritional services for seniors	\$300,000
Jewish Community Services, Baltimore, MD for the Family Caregiver Access Network	\$250,000
Jewish Family Services of Delaware, Wilmington, DE, for an aging-in-place initiative	\$150,000
Jewish Federation of Las Vegas, Las Vegas, NV, for the Las Vegas Senior Lifeline Program	\$100,000
Jewish Federation of St. Louis, St. Louis, MO for the Senior Community Support Services project	\$350,000
Millennium Development Corporation, Brooklyn, NY for active adult programming	\$250,000
Nevada Rural Counties RSVP Program, Carson City, NV, for the RSVP Home Companion Senior Respite Care Program	\$100,000
PACE Greater New Orleans, New Orleans, LA, for the expansion of senior services	\$150,000
Rebuilding Together, Inc., Washington, DC for the Safe at Home falls prevention program	\$350,000
The Associated: Jewish Community Federation of Baltimore, Baltimore, MD, to address safety and community engagement issues among seniors	\$200,000
Vermont Association of Area Agencies on Aging, Barre, VT, to expand nutrition assistance and related programs	\$200,000

Aging Network Support Activities.—Within the Health and Long-Term Care Programs, AoA is directed to prioritize evidence-based disease prevention activities. AoA should continue to evaluate the Health and Long-Term Care programs, measuring their outcomes and impacts and identifying opportunities for improvement.

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

General Departmental Management

Funding levels for programs within the Office of the Secretary—General Departmental Management, along with comparisons to last year's levels and the budget request, are shown in the table at the end of this division. Any allocations of funding beyond the level of detail in that table are indicated below.

The Secretary is directed to provide a plan with milestones, performance measures, and estimated funding levels, including any anticipated transfers to other operating divisions and offices of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), to the Committees on Appropriations by April 1, 2011, on its base acquisition workforce activities and on specific activities supported above the base due to the increased funding provided for the new acquisition workforce capacity initiative.

The bill includes \$800,000 for the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) to update its 2005 report titled, "Assessment of the Scientific Information for the Radiation Exposure

Screening and Education Program." NAS should review new scientific data to determine whether the current Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (RECA) program should be expanded. More specifically, the study should include recommendations as to whether additional diseases or illnesses, classes of workers, and geographic areas should be compensated through RECA.

Continued funding is provided in the Office of the Secretary to coordinate the Department's efforts to address healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). The Secretary is urged to expand the Department's current focus for reducing HAIs from hospitals to all healthcare settings, including outpatient facilities.

The Hepatitis Interagency Workgroup is directed to develop and publicly release a national strategy to reduce new hepatitis B and C infections and the morbidity and mortality related to chronic viral hepatitis, as well as linkage to care no later than February 1, 2011. In addition, the Hepatitis Interagency Workgroup, in collaboration with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, shall submit a report by April 1, 2011, on each of the recommendations made in the Institute of Medicine report, "Hepatitis and Liver Cancer: A National Strategy for Prevention and Control of Hepatitis B and C" and on the steps HHS has taken to adopt them.

The Secretary shall report, not later than March 31, 2011, on up-to-date, State-by-State monthly trends in participation in the Tem-

porary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program and related matters. Data shall include caseload and benefit levels, eligibility requirement, diversion and sanctions policies, and term limits. Caseload data shall include percentages of eligible children and families served. Data shall be reported on an annual basis beginning with fiscal year 1991, and on a monthly basis beginning with fiscal year 2007.

Not later than July 15, 2011, and annually thereafter, the Department shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations a report on its progress toward achieving each of the sustainability goals and targets applicable to all U.S. Government agencies as outlined in Executive Order 13514.

Adolescent Family Life (AFL).—Funding provided for care demonstration grants under the AFL program is limited to continuation costs.

Office of Minority Health (OMH).—Within the total for OMH, the bill includes \$4,000,000 for programs focused on the improvement of geographic minority health and the reduction in health disparities for rural disadvantaged minority populations.

Also within the OMH total, the bill includes \$1,000,000 to continue the national health provider education program on lupus.

Office of Women's Health (OWH).—Within the total for OWH, the bill includes \$3,375,000 to continue the violence against women prevention initiative.

The bill includes funding for the following projects in the following amounts:

Project	Amount
Children Services Council of Palm Beach County, Boynton Beach, FL for a program providing pre-natal care to women in small group settings	\$340,000
Community Transportation Association of America, Washington, DC, for technical assistance to human services transportation providers on ADA requirements	\$950,000
Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI, for a comprehensive dental outreach program	\$850,000
Mission Neighborhood Centers, Inc., San Francisco, CA for health outreach and educational programming for a multicultural wellness and healthy aging program	\$250,000
Office of the Mayor, Tinian, MP for health education and outreach	\$75,000
Project Access - New Haven, New Haven, CT for a program to help uninsured patients navigate the health care system and obtain services and follow-up care	\$300,000
St. Francis Hospital Foundation, Wilmington, DE, for outreach and preventive services to underserved populations	\$200,000
Summit Community Care Clinic, Frisco, CO for chronic disease management and reproductive health education and outreach programs aimed at reducing health disparities	\$200,000

Office of Inspector General (OIG)

The funding levels for the OIG, along with comparisons to last year's levels and the budget request, are shown in the table at the end of this division. Any allocations of funding beyond the level of detail in that table are indicated below.

Within the total, no less than \$6,000,000 is intended to support in-depth oversight of Head Start, the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program, and the Child Care and Development Block Grant. The OIG is expected to provide the Committees on Appropriations a mid-report briefing not later than 6 months after enactment of this Act.

The remaining funds provided above the fiscal year 2011 budget request is intended to allow the OIG to conduct additional investigations and audits of discretionary programs as part of the Department's new program integrity initiative to prevent waste and fraud.

Public Health and Social Services Emergency Fund

Funding levels for programs within the Public Health and Social Services Emergency Fund, along with comparisons to last year's levels and the budget request, are shown in the table at the end of this division.

The Secretary is directed to update the HHS Pandemic Influenza Plan, incorporating lessons learned during the 2009 H1N1 pandemic and response, especially as it pertains to the vaccine development and delivery processes, and to submit this plan to the Committees on Appropriations no later than February 15, 2011. In addition, this report should include the specific steps HHS will take to use unobligated emergency funds to implement these lessons learned.

The bill rescinds emergency funding made available in Public Law 111-32 for pandemic influenza emergency and response activities. While this funding has been available to the Administration since June 2009, the President has not designated the allocation of these resources to any agency or for any purpose.

Prevention and Public Health Fund

The bill transfers the following amounts for the following programs from the Prevention and Public Health Fund:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to— FY 2010
Community-Based Prevention:	\$530,000,000	+\$414,800,000
Chronic Disease:	395,000,000	+\$310,167,000
Healthy Weight Collaborative (HRSA) ...	0	–5,000,000
Community Transformation Grants (CDC)	145,000,000	+145,000,000
Communities Putting Prevention to Work (CDC)	0	–44,433,000
Chronic Disease Prevention State Grant Program (CDC)	140,000,000	+140,000,000
Office of Smoking and Health (CDC)	50,000,000	+35,500,000
Racial and Ethnic Approaches to Community Health (CDC)	25,000,000	+25,000,000
Primary and Behavioral Health Integration (SAMHSA)	35,000,000	+15,000,000
Tobacco Prevention and Cessation Activities (HHS OS)	0	–900,000
Other Community-Based Prevention:	135,000,000	+104,633,000
Section 317 Immunization Program (CDC)	100,000,000	+100,000,000
Enhanced HIV Testing (CDC)	0	–30,367,000
Screening, Brief Intervention, Referral to Treatment (SAMHSA)	25,000,000	+25,000,000
Suicide Prevention (SAMHSA)	10,000,000	+10,000,000

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to— FY 2010
Core Public Health Infrastructure for State and Local Health Depts:	85,000,000	–7,329,000
Public Health Workforce Development (HRSA)	20,000,000	+20,000,000
Public Health Training Centers (HRSA)	0	–14,829,000
Epidemiology-Laboratory Capacity Grants (CDC)	40,000,000	+20,000,000
Public Health Workforce Development (CDC)	25,000,000	+17,500,000
Public Health Infrastructure (CDC)	0	–50,000,000
Surveillance:	83,000,000	+63,142,000
Environmental Public Health Tracking Program (CDC)	35,000,000	+35,000,000
National Center for Health Statistics (CDC)	30,000,000	+10,142,000
Behavioral Health Surveillance (SAMHSA)	18,000,000	+18,000,000
Prevention Research:	35,000,000	+34,500,000
Public Health Research (CDC)	20,000,000	+20,000,000
Prevention Research Centers (CDC)	10,000,000	+10,000,000
Clinical Preventive Services Research (AHRQ)	5,000,000	+5,000,000
Healthy Weight Practice-based Research Networks (AHRQ)	0	–500,000
Prevention Task Forces:	14,000,000	+4,000,000
Community Prevention Task Force (CDC)	7,000,000	+2,000,000
Clinical Prevention Task Force (AHRQ)	7,000,000	+2,000,000
Other Activities, including coordination:	3,000,000	–259,113,000
Primary Care Residencies and Physician Assistant Training (HRSA)	0	–198,122,000
Traineeships for Nurse Practitioner Students (HRSA)	0	–31,431,000
State Health Workforce Development Grants for Primary Care (HRSA)	0	–5,750,000
Nurse Managed Care Centers (HRSA)	0	–15,268,000
Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Screen Time Standards in Child Care Settings (HRSA)	0	–255,000
Prevention Outreach Activities (CDC)	2,000,000	+2,000,000
National Prevention Strategy (CDC)	1,000,000	+858,000
PPH Fund Coordination and Strategic Planning, including media campaigns (HHS OS)	0	–10,120,000
President's Council on Fitness, Sports, and Nutrition (HHS OS)	0	–925,000
Healthy Living Innovation Awards (HHS OS)	0	–100,000
Total Public Health and Prevention Fund:	\$750,000,000	+\$250,000,000

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sections 201–205 and 207–216 are continuations of general provisions included in the fiscal year 2010 version of this Act.

Section 206 provides the Secretary of HHS with the authority to transfer up to 1 percent of discretionary funds between appropriations, but no such appropriations shall be increased by more than 3 percent by any such transfer. For HRSA, CDC, and SAMHSA, no transfer may decrease any individual program, project, or activity by more than 1 percent or increase any program, project, or activity by more than 3 percent. This transfer authority shall not be used to create any new program or to fund any project or activity for which no funds are provided in this Act. The Committees on Appropriations are to be notified not less than 15 days in advance of any transfer, with such notification to include an explanation of the effects of the proposed transfer by program, project, and activity.

Section 217 is a new provision that henceforth, no funds appropriated for a fiscal year in this or any subsequent Act shall be allocated by the Secretary for individual offices of minority health.

Section 218 is a new provision that permanently transfers the Health Education As-

sistance Loan program from the Secretary of HHS to the Secretary of Education.

Section 219 is a new provision that henceforth, no funds appropriated for fiscal year 2011 or in any previous or subsequent Act shall be available for transfer for the United States Public Health Sciences Track.

Section 220 is a new provision approving use by the National Institutes of Health Director of transfer authority provided through the Public Health Service Act, with 15-day advance notice to the Committees on Appropriations.

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Funding levels for programs within the Department of Education, along with comparisons to last year's levels and the budget request, are shown in a table at the end of this division. Any allocations of funding beyond the level of detail in that table are indicated below.

EDUCATION FOR THE DISADVANTAGED

Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies.—To meet the educational needs of American Indian students, the Secretary of Education is directed to ensure that Bureau of Indian Education schools receive no less than 0.7 percent of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA) Title I grants pursuant to regular appropriations and will receive this amount in relevant future emergency funding, consistent with the standard practice prior to the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

School Improvement Grants (SIG).—Many children in schools receiving SIG funds face myriad personal, familial, and community challenges (including exposure to trauma and poverty-related stressors), and these challenges follow students into their schools, often resulting in distracting, disruptive, and ineffective learning environments. Even the best school leaders and teachers cannot achieve optimal results unless poverty-related barriers to teaching, learning, and school organization are addressed and effective conditions for learning are established. These barriers to teaching and learning must be addressed in addition to in-school factors in order to achieve sustained academic success.

The Department is therefore directed to require that States and local educational agencies that receive school improvement funding use it to develop programs, policies, and practices to improve student learning and increase academic achievement. Specifically, in addition to addressing factors such as the usage of time, instructional practices, and professional development and supports, the Department is directed to require that all recipients of school improvement funds have a plan in place for addressing the academic and non-academic needs of individual students, including improving the overall school climate where necessary. At minimum, such plans shall assess and address as appropriate the development of school-based systems to identify and address individual student academic, behavioral or social needs; support a positive and developmentally appropriate school climate; and identify how families and the community will be engaged in the school turnaround process.

The Department also is directed to encourage grantees to utilize external partners, where appropriate, with proven expertise in turning around low-performing schools, particularly in terms of establishing effective conditions for teaching and learning.

The bill intends that the term “low-performing feeder middle school” apply to an elementary or secondary school that contains

not less than two or more successive grades beginning with grade 5 and ending with grade 8 and for which a high proportion of the students go on to attend a high school with a graduation rate of less than 60 percent; and more than 50 percent of the students do not perform at a proficient level on State student academic assessments required under section 111(b)(3) of the ESEA in mathematics or reading or language arts.

Early Learning Challenge Fund.—Within the Early Learning Challenge Fund, funds should be used to improve the quality of early learning programs and services for disadvantaged children from birth through the age of kindergarten entry. In addition, any use of assessments should conform with the recommendations of the National Research Council’s reports on early childhood.

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS

Funding is not included within the total provided for State Assessments for a competitive grant program designed to support State efforts to improve their assessment systems.

21st Century Community Learning Centers (CCLC).—The bill includes language to expand program eligibility for subgrants under the 21st CCLC program.μ An increase of \$135,000,000 is provided above the fiscal year 2010 level so that funding can be provided for both after- school and expanded-learning-time programs without causing students in working families that benefit from currently operating afterschool programs to lose crit-

ical afternoon enrichment and supervision while their parents are at work.

State educational agencies shall require that existing subgrantees seeking to substantially change activities implemented using continuation funds from their multi-year awards demonstrate how the needs of at-risk students most in need of afterschool services who are no longer served under such new activities will continue to have their needs met, such as by phasing in the new services and making referrals to other providers offering comparable services.

Local school districts and community partners are best suited to determine the appropriate use of funds to address the needs of their respective students, school and community.μ To that end, State educational agencies should award grants to high-quality programs that address individual student learning needs and student well-being. Funded programs should (1) deliver services through a variety of high-quality and effective strategies for boosting learning and enrichment including after-school, before school, summer school, and extended day, week or year opportunities; and (2) align with and complement, rather than replicate, the regular school day, by offering a range of activities that capture student interest and support student engagement to promote higher class attendance, reduce risk for retention or dropping out, and include activities that promote good health.

The Department is directed to provide guidance and technical assistance to States,

schools and community partners on how to ensure strong community-school partnerships, continuous quality improvement and that programs meet the needs of individual students.

INNOVATION AND IMPROVEMENT

Teacher Incentive Fund.—With respect to 2010 awards under the Teacher Incentive Fund, the Department is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations the information provided by grantees related to the process and data used to demonstrate the extent of teacher and school leader support and involvement in the performance-based compensation system; the process by which the Department will monitor and assess planning grantee activities related to the support and involvement of teachers and school leaders in the performance-based compensation system; and the actions the Department will take if planning grantees do not achieve adequate involvement and support of teachers and school leaders in such systems. The Committees also expect to be informed of how this information will be used in structuring the fiscal year 2011 grant application and evaluating applications submitted under the competition. This report should be provided not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act.

Fund for the Improvement of Education (FIE).—Within the total for FIE, the bill includes funding for the following activities:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	FY 2011 budget request
Arts in Education	40,274,000	274,000	40,274,000
Data Quality and Evaluation	3,000,000	1,885,000	—2,000,000
Exchanges with Historic Whaling and Trading Partners	8,754,000	0	8,754,000
Foundations for Learning	1,000,000	0	1,000,000
Full-Service Community Schools	9,500,000	—500,000	9,500,000
National Clearinghouse for Educational Facilities	1,000,000	0	1,000,000
National History Day	500,000	0	500,000
Reading is Fundamental	24,803,000	0	24,803,000
Reach Out and Read	6,000,000	0	6,000,000
Parental Assistance Information Centers	39,254,000	0	39,254,000
Peer Review	250,000	—420,000	0
Communities in Schools	3,774,000	274,000	3,774,000
Women’s Educational Equity	2,278,000	—145,000	0

Within the \$40,274,000 provided for Arts in Education, the funds shall be distributed as follows: \$9,235,000 funding for VSA; \$6,838,000 for the John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts; \$14,616,000 for model arts pro-

grams; \$9,000,000 for model professional development programs for music, drama, dance and visual arts educators; and \$585,000 for evaluation and national dissemination activities.

The bill includes funding for the following projects in the following amounts:

Project	Amount
3-D School, Petal, MS, for a model dyslexia intervention program	\$200,000
Abyssinian Development Corporation, New York, NY for a college readiness program, which may include equipment and technology	\$390,000
Action for Bridgeport Community Development Inc., Bridgeport, CT for a learning program to enhance student academic outcomes	\$625,000
Adams 50 School District, Westminster, CO for providing math teaching coaches	\$200,000
After School Matters, Chicago, IL for after school apprenticeship programs focused on teenagers, which may include equipment	\$300,000
Aim High, San Francisco, CA for summer school programs for low-income middle school students	\$100,000
Aldo Leopold Nature Center, Inc., Winona, WI for educational programming targeting elementary and secondary students, which may include equipment	\$300,000
Alliance of Concerned Men, Washington, DC for a Youth Aftercare Initiative program	\$350,000
Alonzo Mourning Charities, Miami, FL for youth development programs including educational services	\$350,000
Appalachian State University, Boone, NC, for college preparation and access programs for high school students	\$200,000
Appleton Area School District, Appleton, WI for a program to enhance school preparedness among young children	\$140,000
Avant-Garde Learning Foundation, Anchorage, AK, to improve educational outcomes for children in low-performing school districts	\$100,000
Baltimore City Public Schools, Baltimore City, MD, for support of alternative education programs for academically-challenged students, which may include equipment and technology	\$1,000,000
Big Brothers Big Sisters, Anchorage, AK, for youth mentoring programs for underserved, at-risk populations in Alaska	\$100,000
Big Thought, Dallas, TX, for afterschool programs	\$110,000
Boys & Girls Club of Greater Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI, for support of early literacy and related programming	\$300,000
Boys & Girls Club of Portage County, Stevens Point, WI for support to after school learning programs, which may include equipment	\$200,000
Capital Unity Council, Sacramento, CA for curricula and exhibit development for a classroom on wheels, which may include equipment and technology	\$200,000
Capital Unity Council, Sacramento, CA for curriculum design and the purchase of equipment/technology for a digital arts studio	\$250,000
Catamount Arts, St. Johnsbury, VT, for support of arts education	\$200,000
Chaddock, Quincy, IL, for support of special education activities	\$200,000
Challenger Learning Center, Bangor, ME, for science technology engineering and mathematics programs	\$250,000
Charter School Development Foundation, Las Vegas, NV for classroom technology integration, which may include equipment and supplies	\$375,000
Chicago Public Schools, Chicago, IL, for a youth violence prevention initiative	\$500,000
Chicago School of Professional Psychology, Chicago, IL, for support of afterschool programming	\$300,000

Churchill County School District, Fallon, NV, for STEM Curriculum and technology Improvements	\$25,000
City of Hammond, IN for purchasing equipment and technology for the Hammond Academy of Science and Technology	\$500,000
City University of New York-York College, Jamaica, NY for a STEM academy targeting minority youth, which may include equipment and technology	\$400,000
Clark County School District, Las Vegas, NV, for support of a school for highly gifted students	\$750,000
Clark County School District, Las Vegas, NV, for support of the Family Leadership Initiative	\$300,000
Cleveland Metropolitan School District, Cleveland, OH, for science education programs, including the purchase of equipment	\$150,000
Cleveland Metropolitan School District, Cleveland, OH, to improve math, science, technology and language skills through music education	\$100,000
College Planning Center of RI, Warwick, RI for a program supporting college access in the Latino community	\$150,000
College Success Foundation, Issaquah, WA for mentoring and scholarships	\$575,000
Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Public Schools System, Saipan, MP for enhancing K-12 educational opportunities, which may include equipment and technology	\$500,000
Communities in Schools of Douglas County, Douglasville, GA for a student mentoring program, which may include equipment and technology	\$70,000
Communities In Schools of Spokane County, Spokane, WA, for support of mentoring programs	\$150,000
Communities in Schools, San Antonio, Inc., San Antonio, TX for a high school dropout prevention program	\$350,000
Connect Arkansas, Little Rock, AR, to purchase and equip mobile broadband labs	\$200,000
Connecticut Technical High School System, Ansonia, CT for a manufacturing technology program at Emmett O'Brien, including equipment and technology	\$325,000
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Anchorage, AK, to increase literacy and math skills of Alaskan students	\$250,000
Cooperative Educational Service Agency #10, Chippewa Falls, WI for enhancing student achievement through after-school activities	\$380,000
Cooperative Educational Service Agency #11, Turtle Lake, WI for enhancing student achievement through after school activities	\$395,000
Cooperative Educational Service Agency #12, Ashland, WI for enhancing student achievement through after school activities	\$400,000
County of San Joaquin, Stockton, CA for the San Joaquin Reads tutorial program	\$350,000
Cristo Rey, Chicago, IL, for educational programming, which may include evaluation activities	\$250,000
Cupertino Union School District, Cupertino, CA for upgrading classroom technology, including equipment and technology	\$675,000
Cuyahoga County Office of Early Childhood / Invest in Children, Cleveland, OH, for an early childhood education initiative, including scholarships	\$100,000
DeKalb County, GA School System, Decatur, GA for the purchase of a universal screener with data management system which includes technology	\$275,000
Delta Arts Alliance, Inc., Drew, MS, for arts education programs	\$150,000

Delta State University, Cleveland, MS, for a training program for early childhood educators	\$300,000
Delta State University, Cleveland, MS, for science and environmental education and outreach activities	\$300,000
Des Moines Independent Community School District, Des Moines, IA, to increase access to and quality of early childhood education programs	\$750,000
Drueding Center, Philadelphia, PA, to support educational programs, including staff, technology upgrades, and the purchase of equipment	\$100,000
Eastern Maine Development Corporation, Bangor, ME, for youth career pathway programs	\$225,000
Eastmont Community Center, Los Angeles, CA for providing education enrichment activities to low-income children, which may include equipment and technology	\$70,000
Eatontown Public Schools, Eatontown, NJ for a technology-based learning initiative, including equipment and technology	\$350,000
Eden Housing, Hayward, CA, for support of an after school program	\$450,000
Educare Central Maine, Waterville, ME, to support early childhood education activities, including the purchase of equipment	\$250,000
Educating Young Minds, Los Angeles, CA, for educational programming, which may include the acquisition of technology	\$175,000
Education Alliance of Washoe County, Reno, NV, for support of an afterschool tutoring program	\$150,000
Education Through Music, Inc., New York, NY for music education at disadvantaged schools, which may include equipment	\$150,000
El Centro de la Raza, Seattle, WA, for support of counseling, tutoring, and educational programming	\$100,000
Elev8 New Mexico, New Mexico Community Foundation, Albuquerque, NM, for support of extended day learning programs in New Mexico	\$200,000
ENLACE New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, for support of academic engagement and completion programs in New Mexico	\$150,000
Everybody Wins! USA, Boston, MA, for child literacy programs across the country	\$500,000
Falcon School District 49, Falcon, CO, to support a science, technology, engineering and math education program	\$100,000
Families in Schools, Los Angeles, CA for family literacy programs, which may include equipment and supplies	\$250,000
Friends of E Prep Schools, Cleveland, OH, for technology including the purchase of equipment	\$150,000
George B. Thomas Learning Academy, Bethesda, MD for the Young Scholars Program	\$200,000
Georgetown-Quitman County Unified Government, Georgetown, GA for the school system's library, which may include technology	\$100,000
Girls Incorporated of Alameda County, San Leandro, CA for an after-school academic enrichment program, including equipment and technology	\$250,000
Give Every Child a Chance, Manteca, CA for tutoring and after school programs targeting disadvantaged children, which may include equipment	\$600,000
Glenwood School for Boys and Girls, St. Charles, IL, for training and development costs associated with the Life Stabilization project, which may include software and technology	\$200,000

Harford County Public Schools, Bel Air, MD for enhancing STEM learning for K-12 students, which may include equipment and technology	\$625,000
Hartford Stage Company, Hartford, CT for an arts education program for students	\$400,000
Heartland Foundation, St. Joseph, MO, to support youth empowerment programs, including the purchase of equipment	\$450,000
Helen Keller International, New York, NY for the Child Sight Vision Screening Program, and to provide eyeglasses to children whose educational performance may be hindered because of poor vision	\$1,200,000
History Colorado, Denver, CO, for support of the Student to Citizen Initiative	\$100,000
Hoke County Schools, Raeford, NC for smartboard technology and equipment	\$450,000
Houston Hispanic Forum, Houston, TX for a career and education day for middle and high school students, which may include equipment	\$125,000
Houston Urban League, Houston, TX for the Read and Rise Family Training program, which may include equipment and technology	\$495,000
Humboldt County School District, Winnemucca, NV, for support of technology-based instruction	\$150,000
Indiana State University, Terre Haute, IN, for curriculum development and teacher training	\$100,000
Initiating Change in Our Neighborhoods Community Development Corporation, Pacoima, CA for a financial education program for high school students, which may include equipment	\$300,000
Inner-City Arts, Los Angeles, CA, for the core arts and language project for at-risk youth	\$300,000
Iowa Department of Education, Des Moines, IA, to continue the Harkin Grant program	\$6,000,000
Iowa State Education Association, Des Moines, IA, for professional development for teachers on 21st century skills	\$300,000
Jazz at Lincoln Center, New York, NY, for music education programs	\$400,000
JFY Networks, Inc., Boston, MA for academic support and career awareness which may include equipment and supplies	\$250,000
Jobs for Ohio's Graduates, Inc., Columbus, OH, for dropout prevention programs for at-risk youth	\$150,000
Junior Achievement of Delaware, Inc., Wilmington, DE, for computer-based programming and related science, technology, and engineering education activities	\$50,000
Kauai Economic Development Board, Lihue, HI, for science, technology, engineering and math education	\$700,000
Lake Superior Big Top Chautauqua, Washburn, WI for educational activities, which may include equipment	\$500,000
Lamar School District Re-2, Lamar, CO for an after school program targeting 3rd through 12th grade students, including equipment and technology	\$130,000
Lander County School District, Battle Mountain, NV, for acquisition of technology and equipment	\$150,000
Las Cruces Public Schools, Las Cruces, NM for parent center programs to enhance student achievement, which may include equipment and technology	\$480,000
Lasara Independent School District, Lasara, TX for a middle school math initiative that includes teacher training and support	\$145,000
Lennox School District, Lennox, CA for equipment and technology upgrades	\$330,000

Lincoln County School District, Panaca, NV, for support of afterschool programming	\$50,000
Los Angeles Universal Preschool, Los Angeles, CA for supporting early childhood education activities	\$240,000
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule, SD, for support of afterschool programming, which may include the acquisition of equipment	\$100,000
Lyon County School District, Yerington, NV, for support of early education services	\$150,000
Madison Metropolitan School District, Madison, WI, for educational programming	\$500,000
Marketplace of Ideas/Marketplace for Kids, Inc., Bismarck, ND, for entrepreneurship education	\$200,000
Maryland Bio Foundation, Rockville, MD, for support of science, technology, engineering and mathematics education through a mobile laboratory outreach program, which may include purchase of equipment	\$800,000
Maui Economic Development Board, Kihei, HI, for engaging girls and historically underrepresented students in science, technology, engineering and math education	\$800,000
Mentoring Partnership of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN for the support of academic mentoring programs serving at-risk youth, which may include technology	\$200,000
Mentoring Partnership of Southwestern PA, Pittsburgh, PA, for support of mentoring programs, which may include equipment and technology acquisitions	\$100,000
Meskwaki Nation - Sac & Fox Tribe of the Mississippi in Iowa, Tama, IA, for a culturally based education curriculum	\$400,000
Mid-Iowa Community Action, Inc., Marshalltown, IA, for literacy activities for pre-school aged children	\$100,000
Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians, Choctaw, MS, for academic support, tutoring, mentoring, and afterschool programs	\$100,000
Mississippi Building Blocks, Ridgeland, MS, for a state-wide early childhood education program	\$500,000
Mississippi Council on Economic Education, Jackson, MS, for teacher training for economics, financial literacy and entrepreneurial education	\$500,000
Mississippi Historical Society, Jackson, MS, to develop educational materials for history instruction	\$100,000
Mississippi Museum of Natural Science Foundation, Jackson, MS, for science education exhibits and outreach programs	\$200,000
Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS, for a dropout prevention program, including the purchase of equipment	\$850,000
Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS, for an early childhood teacher education program, including the purchase of equipment and materials	\$500,000
Mississippi University for Women, Columbus, MS, for development of at-risk youth programs	\$250,000
Mississippi University for Women, Columbus, MS, for science and math programs for K-12 students	\$200,000
Montana Digital Academy, Missoula, MT, for support of online learning activities	\$100,000
Montgomery County Public Schools, Rockville, MD for support of the Middle School Expansion Program, which may include equipment and technology	\$300,000
Montgomery County School Corporation, Troy, NC for providing technology to students	\$175,000

National Center for Parents as Teachers, St. Louis, MO, to support home visitation programs, including the purchase of equipment	\$850,000
NC STEM Community Collaborative, Ft. Bragg Region, Research Triangle Park, NC, for science, technology, engineering and math programs, including the purchase of equipment	\$150,000
Neighborhood Music School, New Haven, CT for providing access to arts education for disadvantaged youth	\$100,000
Nettelhorst Community Group, Chicago, IL for providing upgrades to a science laboratory, which may include equipment	\$100,000
Nevada Department of Education, Carson City, NV, for a demonstration of public school facilities repair and construction, which may include subgrants	\$2,000,000
Nevada Parents Empowering Parents, Las Vegas, NV, to provide educational workshops to parents of students with disabilities	\$125,000
New Britain Academy for Health Professions, New Britain, CT for a program to educate high school students for a career in health care, which may include equipment and technology	\$490,000
New Day for Learning, San Francisco, CA for a summer learning program for children including staffing and professional development	\$300,000
New Rochelle City School District, New Rochelle, NY for supporting engineering programs and afterschool learning centers	\$400,000
North Rockland Central School District, Garnerville, NY for supporting online learning access, including equipment and technology	\$200,000
Nye County School District, Pahrump, NV, to support instructional/intervention specialists and the purchase of instructional materials	\$350,000
Oakland Unified School District, Oakland, CA for supporting the science system and smart center, including equipment	\$355,000
Oakland Unified School District, Oakland, CA, for the Oakland Truancy Intervention and Educational Support Program	\$300,000
Operation Shoestring, Jackson, MS, for afterschool and summer community outreach and education programs	\$100,000
Orchestra Iowa, Cedar Rapids, IA, to support a music education program	\$300,000
Pacific Islands Center for Educational Development, Pago Pago, American Samoa, for program development	\$400,000
Parents for Public Schools of Jackson, Inc., Jackson, MS, for professional development, development of materials, and programs to increase student achievement and graduation rates in low performing schools	\$250,000
Park Forest-Chicago Heights School District 163, Park Forest, IL for an afterschool reading program for grades K-3	\$100,000
Polynesian Voyaging Society, Honolulu, HI, for educational programs	\$300,000
Port Chester Rye Union Free School District, Port Chester, NY for support of educational programs including extended day learning, transition to high school and technology	\$300,000
Prince William County Schools, Manassas, VA for STEM program support for staff and students	\$220,000
Project HOME, Philadelphia, PA, for afterschool programs	\$100,000
Providence Community Library, Providence, RI, for support of the Out-of-School Learning Opportunities program	\$250,000

Public Education Foundation, Las Vegas, NV, for support of a clearinghouse for classroom supplies and materials	\$100,000
Rhode Island Nurses Institute, Providence, RI for a charter high school that prepares students for a career in nursing, which may include equipment and technology	\$400,000
Ricardo Flores Magon Academy, Westminster, CO for supporting programs including kindergarten, extended day and staff development, which may include equipment	\$200,000
River Rouge Community Schools, River Rouge, MI for program support at the New Tech High Schools, including technology	\$765,000
San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, San Francisco, CA, for a college preparatory program, in partnership with College Track	\$250,000
Sarah Heinz House, Pittsburgh, PA for an educational after-school program for at-risk youth, including equipment	\$250,000
Save the Children, Washington, DC, for a rural literacy program in Mississippi	\$100,000
Save the Children, Westport, CT, for support of the Louisiana Early Child Development program	\$200,000
SEED Foundation, Washington, DC, for the SEED School of Louisiana Exploratory project	\$250,000
Seminole County Public Schools, Sanford, FL for a program to promote STEM learning, including technology and equipment	\$750,000
Seton Hall University, South Orange, NJ, for an urban school improvement program	\$350,000
Silicon Valley Education Foundation, San Jose, CA for a middle school algebra program	\$400,000
South Berkshire Educational Collaborative, Great Barrington, MA for teacher professional development, which may include equipment	\$300,000
Southeastern Louisiana University, Hammond, LA, to increase the number of certified teachers and support other activities designed to improve student achievement	\$100,000
Southwest Washington Workforce Development Council, Vancouver, WA, for support of professional development in science and mathematics education	\$100,000
Spark Program, San Francisco, CA for an apprenticeship program targeting disadvantaged youth, which may include technology	\$100,000
St. Clair McKelway School (PS/IS 178), Brooklyn, NY for a technology upgrade project including purchase of equipment and supplies	\$75,000
St. Johns Baptist Church, Hempstead, NY for a youth empowerment program addressing college readiness and digital literacy, which may include equipment	\$100,000
St. Mary's College, Notre Dame, IN, for tutoring and mentoring programs for at-risk youth	\$100,000
State of Hawaii, Department of Education, Honolulu, HI for providing technology to rural public schools	\$300,000
Stewart County School District, Lumpkin, GA for providing academic enrichment for children, which may include equipment and technology	\$100,000
Stone Elementary Scholastic Academy, Chicago, IL for equipping a new science laboratory, which may include technology and equipment	\$50,000
Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, for support of afterschool programming	\$500,000

Talbot County (GA) Board of Education, Talbotton, GA for the Learning Institute, which may include equipment and technology	\$100,000
Tarrytown Union Free School District, Sleepy Hollow, NY for education programs including literacy and summer school	\$300,000
Technology Assessment for Basic Skills, Inc., Des Moines, IA, for continuation and expansion of the SKILLS Iowa program	\$2,000,000
The Tibetan Community of New York and New Jersey, Inc., New York, NY, for the Tibetan Community Center Youth Education and Empowerment Program	\$100,000
Thrive By Five Washington, Seattle, WA, for support of early childhood education programming	\$300,000
Trumbull County Educational Service Center, Niles, OH for enhancing student opportunities in STEM careers, which may include equipment and technology	\$570,000
Tucson Youth Development, Inc., Tucson, AZ for an educational, dropout prevention, and workforce development program	\$300,000
Uintah School District, Vernal, UT, for academic achievement and credit recovery programs, including the purchase of equipment	\$106,000
United Neighborhood Organization (UNO) Charter School Network, Chicago, IL for academic support and college preparation activities for secondary school students, which may include equipment and technology	\$260,000
University of Akron, Akron, OH for supporting academic pathways into higher education, which may include equipment and supplies	\$500,000
University of Mississippi, University, MS, for teacher training for elementary mathematics education	\$300,000
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS, for teacher training in the science, technology, engineering, math, language, and creative arts fields	\$400,000
Upper Cumberland Human Resource Agency, TN for art education	\$200,000
Utah State Office of Education, Salt Lake City, UT, for teacher development programs	\$300,000
Utah Symphony, Salt Lake City, UT, for music education programs	\$106,000
Washoe County School District, Reno, NV, for support of educational activities	\$500,000
Waters Today, Chicago, IL for an elementary school program teaching ecology	\$150,000
White Plains City School District, White Plains, NY for supporting programs including summer school and educational equipment	\$400,000
Williamsburg County School District, Kingstree, SC for equipment for a technology education center	\$300,000
World Science Festival, New York, New York, for science and environmental education and outreach activities	\$200,000
Yonkers Public Schools, Yonkers, NY for student and teacher development, which may include equipment	\$400,000
Young Writers Project, Inc., Winooski, VT, for support educational programming and teaching training	\$175,000
Youngstown Symphony Society, Youngstown, OH for the support of arts education targeting at-risk youth	\$350,000
Youth Technology Corps, Chicago, IL for an after school computer program for high school students	\$250,000
YWCA of San Francisco-Marin, San Francisco, CA for support to an elementary student tutorial program, which may include supplies	\$150,000

SAFE SCHOOLS AND CITIZENSHIP EDUCATION

National Activities.—The bill includes funding for the following activities:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	FY 2011 budget request
Improving School Culture and Climate	\$48,300,000	—\$673,802	\$48,300,000
Building State Capacity for Prevention of Youth Substance Abuse	0	—4,142,429	0
School and College Emergency Preparedness	40,000,000	0	0
Safe Schools/Healthy Students	77,816,000	0	54,566,000
Student Drug Testing	0	—5,823,448	0
Postsecondary Education Drug and Violence Prevention	6,300,000	930,276	0
Sober Truth on Preventing Underage Drinking (STOP Act)	2,500,000	0	2,500,000
Project SERV	0	0	—5,000,000
Other activities	7,477,000	761,403	—2,859,000

Physical Education Program.—For the Carol M. White Physical Education Program, the Department shall provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations on the capacity of the Department to: (1) capture and make available information to potential grantees on best practices in physical education instruction that have been identified by previous grant activities, including specifically those related to physical education programs for students with disabilities; and (2) conduct outreach efforts on the availability of physical education funding for low-income and disadvantaged communities.

School Counseling.—In an effort to better leverage the federal investment in school counselors and to aid in the improvement of school mental health, the Department is directed to require that program applicants for School Counseling grants describe a plan that proposes to address the significant levels of risk and need in high-need schools in an integrated manner. This must include the creation of schoolwide systems to respond to at-risk students' emotional and behavioral issues, the integration of vital community services, and the enhancement of staff skills throughout the building. Grantees should be expected to demonstrate that program funds

will support the coordination of services with local mental health providers; provide training for non-mental health staff on warning signs for at-risk students; enhance schoolwide social and emotional learning; and develop school-based triage teams designed to support student needs. The Department is also directed to provide a briefing to the Committees on Appropriations on the planned use of funds for this purpose not less than 30 days prior to the release of a request for proposals.

Civic Education.—Within the \$46,500,000 provided for the Civic Education program, the bill provides \$19,617,000 for the We the People programs, including \$2,957,000 to continue the cooperative project involving the Center for Civic Education and other organizations related to knowledge, understanding, and support of American democratic institutions; \$2,000,000 for the iCivics initiative, \$13,383,000 for the Cooperative Education Exchange program, and \$11,500,000 for competitive grants.

School Culture and Climate.—Funds available for this initiative may be used for character education as part of integrated activities designed to improve conditions for learning that will help schools improve safe-

ty, school culture and climate; reduce substance use; and enhance student physical and mental well-being.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Within the amount for Technology and Media Services, \$737,000 is available for the Reading Rockets program, administered by the Greater Washington Educational Television Association. Also within this amount, the bill specifies \$13,250,000 for Recordings for the Blind and Dyslexic, Inc., for continued production and circulation of recorded educational materials, and development and implementation of new technologies.

Within the amount for Parent Information Centers, the additional funds provided should be used to support the work of all centers, balanced by the importance of targeting additional resources to centers that are serving areas with growing populations.

REHABILITATION SERVICES AND DISABILITY RESEARCH

Demonstration and Training Programs.—The bill includes funding for the following projects in the following amounts:

Project	Amount
American Academy of Orthotists and Prosthetists, Washington, DC, to improve the quality of applied orthotic and prosthetic research and to help meet the demand for provider services	\$500,000
ARC of Madison County, Huntsville, AL, for a disability program initiative, which may include equipment	\$100,000
Cornerstone Services, Inc., Joliet, IL for Special Education employment services	\$200,000
Holy Angels Residential Facility, Caddo Parish, LA, for vocational training for developmentally disabled individuals	\$100,000
Special Olympics Rhode Island, Warwick, RI for delivering programs and promoting physical fitness among individuals with disabilities	\$250,000
Spurwink Services, Portland, ME, for education programs for students with autism	\$400,000
Statewide Independent Living Council, Anchorage, AK, to expand independent living programs for rural and remote areas	\$400,000
Utah State Office of Rehabilitation, Salt Lake City, UT, for assistive technology equipment	\$150,000

Workforce Innovation Funds.—The bill includes \$27,000,000 to fund competitive grants for workforce innovation activities. Grant activities should test innovative strategies or replicate proven practices that support reforms of the workforce investment system and substantially improve employment and education outcomes for participants, particularly those who are hardest to serve. With respect to grantee eligibility and priority for selecting grant applicants, the Department should follow guidance contained in Senate Report 111–243.

CAREER, TECHNICAL, AND ADULT EDUCATION
Adult Education.—Within the amount in National Leadership Activities, the bill includes \$25,000,000 to fund competitive grants

for workforce innovation activities. Grant activities should test innovative strategies or replicate proven practices that support reforms of the workforce investment system and substantially improve employment and education outcomes for participants, particularly those who are hardest to serve. With respect to grantee eligibility and priority for selecting grant applicants, the Department should follow guidance contained in Senate Report 111–243.

STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE
Work Study.—The Department shall provide the same funding in fiscal year 2011 as in the prior year for the Work Colleges program authorized under section 448 of the

Higher Education Act from the Federal Work-Study Programs appropriation.

STUDENT AID ADMINISTRATION
The Department shall provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations by March 1, 2011, and biannual reports thereafter, detailing Federal Student Aid’s obligation plan, by quarter, for spending mandatory and discretionary funding. This plan should be broken out by servicer, activity and funding source.

HIGHER EDUCATION
Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education (FIPSE).—Within the total for FIPSE, the bill includes funding for the following activities:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	FY 2011 budget request
Centers of Excellence for Veteran Student Success	\$5,500,000	-\$500,000	+\$5,500,000
College Textbook Rental Initiative	9,500,000	-500,000	+9,500,000
Training for Realtime Writers	1,000,000	0	+1,000,000
Off-campus Community Service Program	750,000	0	+750,000
Comprehensive Program	19,947,000	-7,360,000	-750,000
International Program	12,356,000	+2,019,000	0
Contracts	600,000	0	0
Peer Review	569,000	+167,000	0
Total	50,222,000	-6,174,000	16,000,000

The bill includes funding for the following projects in the following amounts:

Project	Amount
Alcorn State University, Alcorn State, MS, for workforce enhancement through graduate technical online curriculum support, including the purchase of equipment	\$500,000
Arkansas Baptist College, Little Rock, AR for providing remedial education in order to enhance college access and opportunity, which may include equipment and technology	\$350,000
Arkansas Baptist College, Little Rock, AR, for the Center for Entrepreneurship	\$150,000
Armstrong Atlantic State University Cyber Security Research Foundation, Savannah, GA, for the Cyber Intelligence and Counter Terrorism Program, including the purchase of equipment	\$200,000
Asnuntuck Community College, Enfield, CT for a Alternative Energy Technologies program, which may include equipment	\$320,000
Austin Peay State University, Clarksville, TN for the purchase of equipment	\$500,000
Bacone College, Muskogee, OK for the purchase of equipment	\$300,000
Baylor University, Waco, TX, for technology upgrades at the Baylor Research and Innovation Collaborative, including the purchase of equipment	\$200,000
Bennett College for Women, Greensboro, NC to establish a Center for Women	\$750,000
Bethune-Cookman University, Daytona Beach, FL for equipment and technology upgrades	\$200,000
Black Hills State University, Spearfish, SD, for equipment to enhance education programs	\$450,000
Boricua College, New York, NY for curriculum development and technology upgrades	\$200,000
Brazosport College, Lake Jackson, TX for a Nursing Simulation Training Center, which may include equipment	\$350,000
California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office, Sacramento, CA, to expand a program providing academic support to veterans	\$500,000
California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, San Luis Obsipo, CA for their Science Teacher and Researcher Program, which may include stipends	\$250,000
California State University, Bakersfield, Bakersfield, CA, for support of the nursing program	\$150,000
California State University, Long Beach, CA, for staff resources for the Metro Academies Initiative	\$350,000
California State University, Sacramento, Sacramento, CA, for the Veterans Education Transitional Supports program	\$150,000
California State University--Bakersfield, Bakersfield, CA for the CSUB Energy Center, which may include curriculum development and the purchase of equipment	\$700,000
California State University-San Bernardino, San Bernardino, CA for a computer engineering program which may include equipment	\$700,000
Canisius College, Buffalo, NY for the purchase of equipment, technology and telecommunications to improve the college	\$500,000
Castleton State College, Castleton, VT, to expand the Principal Training and School Leadership Program	\$300,000
Cazenovia College, Cazenovia, NY, for curriculum development and job training	\$200,000
Center for Communicating Science at Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY to expand the Center for Communicating Science	\$700,000
Central Maine Community College, Auburn, ME, for job training programs for healthcare providers	\$285,000

Central Washington University, Ellensburg, WA, to launch the state's first bachelor's degree program in clean energy	\$1,000,000
Cincinnati State Technical and Community College, Cincinnati, OH for the expansion of energy and weatherization training and certification program which may include equipment and tuition	\$425,000
Cincinnati State Technical and Community College, Cincinnati, OH, for GED and transition to college programs and job placement	\$150,000
Coe College, Cedar Rapids, IA, for the Digital Media Technology Project	\$300,000
College of Idaho, Caldwell, ID, for technology upgrades and the purchase of equipment	\$100,000
College of New Rochelle, New Rochelle, NY for stipends, equipment, and technology for nursing and science programs	\$250,000
College of Notre Dame of Maryland, Baltimore, MD for a nurse education collaborative partnership with Good Samaritan and Franklin Square Hospitals, which may include equipment, tuition, and scholarships	\$425,000
College of Southern Nevada, Las Vegas, NV, for the expansion of online courses	\$400,000
College Opportunity Resources of Education, Philadelphia, PA for scholarship assistance and stipends	\$550,000
College Success Foundation, Washington, DC, for mentoring and scholarships	\$500,000
Commonwealth Medical College, Scranton, PA to improve the education and training of health care professionals, which may include equipment	\$1,000,000
Community College of Allegheny County, Pittsburgh, PA, to support basic education and GED programs	\$100,000
Community College of Rhode Island, Warwick, RI, for the development of a biotech program, including equipment	\$500,000
Connecticut State University System, Hartford, CT, to expand Latin American and Caribbean studies	\$500,000
Cuyahoga Community College, Cleveland, OH to expand the program in advanced manufacturing, alternatives to auto technology, veterans jobs and green jobs, which may include the purchase of equipment	\$700,000
Dakota Wesleyan University, Mitchell, SD, for health care training programs	\$150,000
Deaf West, North Hollywood, CA, for educational programming	\$250,000
Delaware Technical and Community College, Dover, DE, for distance learning technology	\$150,000
Delta College, University Center, MI, for chemical process and lithium battery Lab Equipment	\$250,000
Dickinson State University, Dickinson, ND, for its Theodore Roosevelt Center	\$300,000
Drake University Law School, Des Moines, IA, to create the Drake Institute for Legislation and Agriculture Policy	\$300,000
Eastern Gateway Community College, Steubenville, OH to expand services to economically disadvantaged and first-generation college students, which may include equipment	\$1,000,000
Eastern Washington University, Spokane, WA, to educate, conduct research, and disseminate vital information on regional water issues	\$300,000
Edward M. Kennedy Institute for the United States Senate, Boston, MA, for educational program development, including an endowment	\$500,000

Elms College, Chicopee, MA for laboratory equipment for the fields of biology, chemistry, nursing, autism spectrum disorder, and communications sciences and disorders	\$300,000
Enterprise State Community College, Enterprise, AL for educational equipment	\$650,000
Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, FL for curriculum development, which may include the purchase of equipment	\$400,000
Fort Lewis College, Durango, CO, to develop and administer the Tribal Nation Building program to provide internships and social service programs	\$250,000
Francis Marion University, Florence, SC, for early childhood education initiatives	\$150,000
Future Generations, Franklin, WV, to develop a higher education degree in the field of substance abuse prevention	\$1,000,000
Grambling State University, Grambling, LA, for the Project Lifelines program for vocational or higher education training	\$100,000
Grand Rapids Community College, Grand Rapids, MI, for the purchase of equipment and development of education programs in alternative/renewable energy	\$100,000
Hawaii Community College, Hilo, HI, to promote Native Hawaiian and other secondary education programs	\$400,000
Hazard Community and Technical College, Hazard, KY, for science technology engineering and math education programs	\$100,000
Holy Family University, Philadelphia, PA for a computer forensics training center, which may include equipment	\$350,000
Huntingdon College, Montgomery, AL, for purchase of equipment and technology upgrades to support distance learning initiatives	\$100,000
Illinois State University - Normal, Normal, IL, for minority student recruitment and retention	\$400,000
Imperial Valley Community College District, Imperial, CA, for an English Language Immersion program	\$200,000
Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis, Indianapolis, IN for a financial literacy program	\$350,000
Iowa Valley Community College District, Iowa Falls, IA, for a training program in agricultural and renewable energy technology, including the purchase of equipment	\$300,000
Ivy Tech Community College, Indianapolis, IN to expand Ivy Tech's advanced manufacturing program at its Central Indiana campus, which may include equipment	\$350,000
Ivy Tech, Indianapolis, IN for the purchase of educational laboratory equipment at Ivy Tech's Northwest campus	\$500,000
Jackson Community College/Bay de Noc College/Lake Michigan College, Jackson, MI, to develop and implement assessment tools and curriculum to serve low skilled adults	\$100,000
Jackson State University, Jackson, MS, for teacher training to improve literacy and mathematics instruction	\$500,000
Johnson State College, Johnson, VT, to expand the teacher preparation program	\$200,000
Junior College District of Newton and McDonald Counties, Missouri, Neosho, MO, for the purchase of equipment to support allied health education	\$500,000
Junior College District of Sedalia, Missouri, Sedalia, MO, for curriculum development and the purchase of equipment related to advanced energy systems	\$500,000
Kansas City Community College, Kansas City, KS for a Hospitality Education and Retail Training program, which may include equipment	\$750,000

Kauai Community College, Lihue, HI, for planning and implementation of a four year degree program	\$200,000
Keene State College, Keene, NH, for curriculum development and educational equipment for the Monadnock Biodiesel Collaborative	\$150,000
Lake Area Technical Institute, Watertown, SD, for educational equipment related to first responder training	\$200,000
Lake Erie College of Osteopathic Medicine, Erie, PA, to training dental students in patient centered care	\$100,000
Leeward Community College, Pearl City, HI, to provide college preparatory education for Filipino students	\$400,000
Long Beach City College, Long Beach, CA for curriculum development, equipment, and technology	\$500,000
Macomb Community College, Warren, MI, to develop assessment and curriculum materials to make displaced workers college ready	\$250,000
Manhattan Area Technical College, Manhattan, KS, for curriculum development and technology upgrades, including the purchase of equipment	\$450,000
Maricopa County Community College District, Tempe, AZ for the Bilingual Nursing Program at South Mountain Community College, which may include stipends, scholarships, and tuition	\$450,000
Maricopa County Community College District, Tempe, AZ for the East Valley Veterans Center to enable veterans to enroll in and complete postsecondary education, which may include equipment and technology	\$275,000
Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI for developing a model freshman year transition program for low income and first generation college students who aspire to earn bachelor's degrees in engineering	\$200,000
Maryland Association of Community Colleges, Annapolis, MD, for the purchase of equipment to develop science and engineering labs	\$1,250,000
McHenry County, Woodstock, IL to expand the capacity of and infrastructure at McHenry County College, which may include equipment	\$400,000
Medaille College, Buffalo, NY, for equipment related to science education	\$150,000
Methodist University, Fayetteville, NC, for curriculum development and education equipment related to first responder training	\$150,000
Metropolitan Community College, Kansas City, MO for the expansion of the Homeland Security Regional Training Institute, which may include equipment and tuition	\$650,000
Metropolitan State College of Denver, Denver, CO, for the purchase of equipment for aviation training program	\$100,000
Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, TN to establish international biotechnology partnerships, which may include student stipends and tuition	\$400,000
Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, TN, for science technology engineering and math education, including the purchase of equipment	\$1,500,000
Midway College, Inc., Midway, KY, for education equipment related to nursing and health sciences	\$100,000
Minot State University, Minot, ND, for salaries and operating expense for the Center for Community Research and Service	\$450,000
Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS, for technology upgrades and the purchase of equipment to support the Delta Council Papers Project	\$100,000

Mott Community College, Flint, MI, for the purchase of renewable energy equipment and curriculum development for the Institute for Renewable and Sustainable Energy	\$250,000
Nevada State College, Henderson, NV to expand its online course offerings, which may include equipment	\$650,000
New College Institute, Martinsville, VA to develop and implement degree programs, which may include the purchase of equipment	\$1,000,000
New Hampshire Institute of Politics at Saint Anselm College, Manchester, NH, for education programs, technology upgrades and purchase of equipment	\$2,650,000
New School - Institute for Urban Education, New York, NY, for an educational mentoring and outreach program for low-income, at-risk students	\$725,000
Niagara County Community College, Sanborn, NY, for educational equipment	\$100,000
North Arkansas College, Harrison, AR, for the purchase of equipment and IT upgrades	\$100,000
North Iowa Area Community College, Mason City, IA, to make state-of-the-art training, technology, and equipment available for health professionals	\$200,000
Northeast Community College, Norfolk, NE, for the purchase of equipment for a renewable energy program	\$500,000
Northeast Iowa Community College, Calmar, IA, For Dairy Science Technology program	\$300,000
Northeastern State University, Tahlequah, OK, for professional development, including the purchase of equipment	\$100,000
Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ for a program to increase college retention and graduation rates, which may include the purchase of equipment and technology	\$1,000,000
Northern Arizona University, Phoenix, AZ to expand NAUTeach, which may include equipment, tuition, and stipends	\$115,000
Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL, to create a pilot study abroad program	\$200,000
Northern Kentucky University, Highland Heights, KY, for the purchase of equipment and technology	\$2,000,000
Northern Maine Community College, Presque Isle, ME, for equipment related to wind power technology programs	\$200,000
Northwest Arkansas Community College, Bentonville, AR, for the purchase of distance learning equipment	\$300,000
Northwest Indian College, Bellingham, WA, to expand financial literacy education opportunities	\$150,000
Ohio Dominican University, Columbus, OH, for science programs, including the purchase of equipment	\$150,000
Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, to develop a veteran entrepreneurial training program	\$100,000
Orange County Community College, Middletown, NY, for support of the Science, Engineering & Technology Center, which may include equipment and wiring	\$500,000
Pennsylvania Commission for Community Colleges, Harrisburg, PA, to design, create, and implement open source educational materials	\$100,000
Peralta Community College District, Oakland CA for the Maximum Achievement Project, which may include the purchase of equipment	\$250,000
Philadelphia University, Philadelphia, PA for an emerging green economy educational initiative, which may include the purchase of equipment	\$500,000
Pierce College, Lakewood, WA for equipment to support STEM curriculum and education	\$190,000
Pittsburg State University, Pittsburg, KS, to expand education programs	\$400,000

Portland Community College, Portland, OR for education and training programs in renewable energy and emerging industries, which may include equipment and technology	\$450,000
Providence College, Providence, RI for curriculum development, which may include the purchase of supplies, technology, and equipment	\$170,000
Providence College, Providence, RI, for technology improvements related to education	\$300,000
Quinsigamond Community College, Worcester, MA for program expansion, which may include the purchase of equipment and technology	\$400,000
Rancho Santiago Community College District, Santa Ana, CA to expand their existing Advanced Engine Performance Certificate program, which may include equipment	\$450,000
Redlands Community College, El Reno, OK, for nursing education and training including the purchase of equipment	\$100,000
Richland Community College, Decatur, IL, for the purchase of modern human patient simulators	\$150,000
Roger Williams University, Bristol, RI, for college access and school-to-work programs	\$400,000
San Diego State University Research Foundation, San Diego, CA for development and implementation of the Veterans Education Transitional Support program	\$450,000
San Jose State University Foundation, San Jose, CA to develop a center for Culturally Responsive English Language Teaching, which may include tuition	\$480,000
San Juan College, Farmington, NM, for the creation of online and certificate programs to expand the Renewable Energy Program	\$150,000
Santa Clara University, Santa Clara, CA for a Leading Innovation Through New Engineering Solutions program, including curriculum development and educational equipment	\$600,000
Security on Campus, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, to support peer education programs	\$100,000
Simpson College, Indianola, IA, for the John C. Culver Public Policy Center	\$400,000
Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park, CA for the creation of the Sonoma Network for Environmental Technology, which includes equipment	\$350,000
South Arkansas Community College, El Dorado, AR, for the purchase of equipment for the Process Technology Operator Program	\$200,000
Southern Maine Community College, South Portland, ME to establish the Maine Advanced Technology & Engineering Center, which may include equipment	\$425,000
Southern Maine Community College, South Portland, ME, to development a heavy equipment and transportation program, including the purchase of equipment	\$625,000
St. Joseph College, West Hartford, CT, for personnel, equipment and technology at the new Institute for Autism and Behavioral Studies	\$600,000
St. Joseph's College, Brooklyn, NY for equipment and technology for science laboratories, smart classrooms and distance learning	\$300,000
St. Michael's College, Colchester, VT, for curriculum development and academic programming at the Center for Intercultural and Global Learning	\$250,000
SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY, for curriculum development in addition to hiring and training faculty	\$250,000
TELACU Educational Foundation, Los Angeles, CA for a Bridge to Nursing Program to ensure the retention, graduation, and licensing of low-income nursing students	\$400,000
Texas State Technical College, Georgetown, TX, for the purchase of equipment and technology	\$110,000

Texas Wesleyan University, Ft. Worth, TX for an extended studies program, which may include equipment	\$400,000
Three Rivers Community College, Poplar Bluff, MO, for the purchase of equipment	\$250,000
Towson University, Towson, MD, for equipment and program development for the Center for Adults with Autism	\$150,000
Trident Technical College, North Charleston, SC for curriculum and educational development, which may include equipment	\$250,000
Trident Technical College, North Charleston, SC, for curriculum development and education equipment for an aeronautical training program	\$100,000
Truckee Meadows Community College, Reno, NV, to support the Success First program	\$200,000
Turtle Mountain Community College, Belcourt, ND, for education technology equipment	\$200,000
University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, for the Integrative Medicine in Residency program	\$600,000
University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, for the Diversity and Access Initiative	\$350,000
University of Colorado at Colorado Springs, Colorado Springs, CO, for the Southern Colorado Higher Education Consortium Veterans Educational Assistance Program	\$100,000
University of Colorado-Denver, Denver, CO to establish a program for the creation, testing and display of visual educational materials in a variety of STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Math), Medicine, and Humanities related subject areas, which may include equipment, student stipends and scholarships	\$250,000
University of Connecticut School of Law, Hartford, CT, for a Human Rights and International Law fellowship program	\$250,000
University of Guam, Mangilao, GU to create a School of Engineering, which may include equipment and technology	\$700,000
University of Hawaii School of Law, Honolulu, HI, for the health policy center	\$350,000
University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, for the National Institute for Twice-Exceptionality	\$275,000
University of Maine at Fort Kent, Fort Kent, ME, for curriculum development for nursing education programs	\$600,000
University of Mississippi, University, MS, to support interdisciplinary research and education related to public policy and economic education	\$250,000
University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH for its National Inclusive Education Initiative for Students with Autism and Related Disabilities	\$500,000
University of New Haven, Waterbury, CT for equipment and technology for the Henry C. Lee Institute of Forensic Science Learning Center	\$250,000
University of Northern Colorado, Greeley, CO, for The Education Innovation Institute	\$100,000
University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls, IA, to support the Center for Disability Studies in Literacy, Language and Learning	\$550,000
University of South Dakota, Vermillion, SD, to identify and address the educational needs of veterans with disabilities	\$400,000
University of Southern Maine, Portland, ME, for curriculum development, including the purchase of equipment	\$500,000
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS, for professional and curriculum development, and distance learning at the Gulf Coast Campuses	\$250,000
University of Texas Medical Branch-Galveston, Galveston, TX for a Telehealth Resource Learning Center, which may include equipment and technology	\$750,000
University of Vermont, Burlington, VT, to modernize the College of Nursing curriculum	\$475,000

University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point, Stevens Point, WI, to support non-traditional and veteran students with disabilities in higher education and career development	\$400,000
Upper Iowa University, Fayette, IA for educational equipment and technology related to the enhancement of the Upper Iowa University e-Center	\$550,000
Urban College of Boston, Boston, MA, to support higher education program serving low-income and minority students	\$500,000
Utah State University, Logan, UT, to develop a land-grant education and research network	\$106,000
Valley City State University, Valley City, ND, for the Great Plains STEM Education Center	\$350,000
Virginia Highlands Community College, Abingdon, VA for the Energy Technology Workforce Training Project, which may include equipment	\$300,000
Wake Technical Community College, Raleigh, NC for the Supporting Education for Returning Veterans through Engagement project, which may include equipment	\$150,000
Waubonese Community College, Sugar Grove, IL for equipment	\$220,000
Westchester Community College Foundation, Valhalla, NY for the development of a veterans education program, which may include equipment	\$150,000
Western Nebraska Community College, Scottsbluff, NE, for the Western Nebraska Wind Energy Training Center	\$500,000
Western Technical College, La Crosse, WI, to establish a veteran's center on campus	\$150,000
Westminster College, Salt Lake City, UT, to purchase equipment to support nursing and health science education	\$150,000
Winthrop University, Rock Hill, SC for its Focusing on Collegiate Undergraduate Success initiative to enhance residential learning and academic support services for students	\$250,000
World Youth Peace Summit, Inc., Hartford, CT to establish a Social Entrepreneurship program to educate undergraduate students on public policy, international relations, peace negotiations and initiatives, and social responsibility	\$500,000
Zapata County, Zapata, TX for purchase of equipment	\$250,000

INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION SCIENCES

Within the amount provided for Research, Development, and Dissemination, the Department is directed to award \$2,200,000 to the National Academy of Sciences for a study of teacher evaluation methods. The study will address issues such as the uses of student test scores, intended and unintended effects of different evaluation methods, and uses of evaluation results to inform personnel decisions. Such research is needed to shed light on the scientific issues related to different teacher evaluation techniques. The results of the study will include findings and recommendations for appropriate methods of evaluation that are consistent with current research. The National Academy of Sciences shall issue a preliminary letter report to Congress no later than 6 months after the start of the study in order to provide guidance related to caveats and cautions that should be considered with respect to immediate policy uses of teacher evaluation techniques that are currently under consideration, an interim report approximately 18 months after the start of the study, and a final report to Congress no later than 30 months after the start of the study.

In addition, as stated in the Senate report, the Institute of Education Sciences is directed to continue work on research regarding teacher preparation and support a National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented and research on gifted and talented education.

DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT

Within 30 days of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall provide a report to the

Committees on Appropriations detailing the Department and the office of Federal Student Aid's (FSA) work in developing formats and content that synthesize and capture loan level data available in the Department's and FSA's systems: how the data identify measurable trends at loan origination and in loan performance, how FSA is sharing this information with all stakeholders, and how it affects budgetary decisions.

OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS

Within the amount provided for the Office for Civil Rights, the Department shall use no less than \$200,000 and up to \$750,000 to continue the Educational Opportunity and Equity Commission.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Funds available in Public Law 111-5 may be used for oversight of the Education Jobs Fund.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sections 301-306 are continuations of general provisions included in the fiscal year 2010 version of this Act, except that section 304 has been modified to require the Department to explain the effects of transfers by program, project, and activity.

Section 307 is a new provision establishing an Early Learning Challenge Fund.

Section 308 is a new provision amending the Department of Education Organization Act and the Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act of 2006.

Section 309 is a new provision regarding Impact Aid.

Section 310 is a new provision regarding highly qualified teachers.

TITLE IV

RELATED AGENCIES

Funding levels for Related Agencies receiving appropriations in this Act, along with comparisons to last year's levels and the budget request, are shown in the table at the end of this division. Further details, as applicable, are discussed below.

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Funding levels for programs within the Corporation for National and Community Service (Corporation), along with comparisons to last year's levels and the budget request, are shown in the table at the end of this division. Any allocations of funding beyond the level of detail in that table are indicated below.

Operating Expenses.—The Corporation is directed to undertake a survey of the utilization of the newly transferrable Segal Education Award for participants aged 55 and older and submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than April 1, 2011, on the results.

Sufficient funding is included to increase the hourly stipends for Foster Grandparents and Senior Companion members by 10 cents, for a total of \$2.75 per hour.

Within the total for Learn and Serve America, the bill provides \$2,000,000 for the Summer of Service program to allow an estimated 4,000 students to participate.

Within the total for Innovation, Assistance, and Other Activities, the bill includes the following amounts:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	FY 2011 Budget Request
Social Innovation Fund	\$60,000,000	+\$10,000,000	0
Volunteer Generation Fund ¹	5,000,000	0	– 5,000,000
Non-Profit Capacity Building Program	2,000,000	+1,000,000	+2,000,000
Serve America Fellowships	0	0	– 1,000,000
All other innovation, assistance, and other activities	4,000,000	– 500,000	– 2,000,000

¹ Martin Luther King Day funds provided through the Volunteer Generation Fund, as requested by the President.

Administrative Provisions.—

Sections 401-403 are continuations of general provisions included in the fiscal year 2010 version of this Act.

Section 404 is a new provision requiring that the Summer of Service program be administered as part of Learn and Serve America's Innovative and Community-Based Programs without decreasing the funding provided to States for K-12 student programs.

Section 405 is a new provision specifying that the use of national service education awards to attend certain institutions or

training establishments provided by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs is limited to veterans.

FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

For the Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission, the bill provides a \$1,600,000 increase above the budget request to enable the Commission to begin reducing the backlog of cases in the Office of Administrative Law Judges. The Review Commission and the Department of Labor are encouraged

to place an increasing emphasis on contested citations from mine operators with enough penalty citations to qualify under the current pattern of violations sanction.

INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM AND LIBRARY SERVICES

Office of Museum and Library Services: Grants and Administration.—Within the appropriation for the Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS), the bill includes funding for the following activities in the following amounts:

Budget activity	This bill	This bill compared to—	
		FY 2010	FY 2011 Budget Request
Library Services Technology Act (LSTA):			
Grants to States	\$172,561,000	\$0	\$0
Native American Library Services	4,025,000	+25,000	+25,000
National Leadership: Libraries	13,975,000	+1,538,000	– 25,000
Laura Bush 21st Century Librarian	22,962,000	– 1,563,000	0
Museum Services Act:			
Museums for America	19,176,000	0	0
Museum Assessment Program	460,000	0	0
21st Century Museum Professionals	2,270,000	+990,000	– 10,000
Conservation Project Support	3,052,000	0	0
Conservation Assessment Program	803,000	0	0
Native American/Hawaiian Museum Services	985,000	+10,000	+10,000
National Leadership: Museums	6,981,000	– 1,000,000	0
African American History and Culture Act:			
Museum Grants for African American History and Culture	1,485,000	0	0

The legislative language regarding eligibility of Indian tribes for Library Services and Museum Services grants defines an Indian tribe as any tribe, band or nation, including Alaska native villages and regional corporations, recognized by the Secretary of

Interior. IMLS should permit grant applications from American Indian tribes and Alaska Native villages listed as entities or sub-

entities published in the most recent Federal Register list of federally recognized tribes, pursuant to section 104 of Public Law 103-454.

The bill includes funding for the following projects in the following amounts:

Project	Amount
Bishop Museum, Honolulu, HI, for conservation and other projects, including arts education for youth and the study and preservation of the history of Kalaupapa	\$800,000
City of Cedar Rapids, IA, Cedar Rapids, IA, for automating the circulation system at the Cedar Rapids Library	\$300,000
City of Hagerstown, Hagerstown, MD, for educational programming and displaying the Doleman collection	\$100,000
Delta State University, Cleveland, MS, for library technology upgrades, including the purchase of equipment	\$100,000
Dubuque Historical Society, Dubuque, IA, for exhibits relating to the Mississippi River	\$400,000
Greenville Public Library, Smithfield, RI, for library technology and programs	\$300,000
Mississippi Children's Museum, Jackson, MS, for exhibits and educational programming	\$400,000
Mississippi Gulf Coast Community College, Perkinston, MS, for collecting, cataloging, and archiving of newspaper and digital media	\$100,000
Ohr-O'Keefe Museum of Art, Biloxi, MS, for design and exhibits	\$250,000
Oxford Lafayette County Heritage Foundation, Oxford, MS, for exhibits and design	\$100,000
Science Center of Iowa, Des Moines, IA, for educational exhibits	\$400,000
South Dakota School of Mines and Technology, Rapid City, SD, for preservation activities and storage	\$100,000
Southern Utah University, Cedar City, UT, for exhibits, installations and equipment	\$400,000
Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway Transportation Museum, Columbus, MS, for exhibit design and digitization of documents	\$100,000
University of Mississippi, University, MS, for documentation, preservation, restoration, and programming related to the American Music Archives	\$150,000
University of Mississippi, University, MS, for museum education and outreach programs	\$150,000
Walter Anderson Museum of Art, Ocean Springs, MS, for archive and digitization of collections	\$150,000
World Food Prize, Des Moines, IA, for exhibits at the Dr. Norman E. Borlaug Hall of Laureates Museum	\$450,000

NATIONAL COUNCIL ON DISABILITY

The National Council on Disability, in consultation with representatives of the limb loss community and the Transportation Security Administration, is directed to review current policies for travelers, determine if it is appropriate to design screening process changes, and report on any proposed changes to the Committees on Appropriations within 180 days of enactment of this Act.

NATIONAL HEALTH CARE WORKFORCE COMMISSION

The bill includes \$3,000,000 for a new National Health Care Workforce Commission.

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

The National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) is directed to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations within 90 days of enactment of this Act addressing NLRB's plan and progress towards reconsidering cases decided during the period that NLRB had a two-member board.

NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD

For the National Mediation Board, the bill provides a \$1,200,000 increase above the budget request to enable the Board to handle the current increase in caseload resulting from the renegotiation of a number of collective bargaining agreements and recent or proposed mergers.

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Funds from the Railroad Retirement Trust Fund shall not be spent on any charges over and above the actual cost of administering the trust fund, including commercial rental rates.

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Funding levels for programs within the Social Security Administration (SSA), along with comparisons to last year's levels and the budget request, are shown in the table at the end of this division. Any allocations of funding beyond the level of detail in that table are indicated below.

The bill includes \$1,863,000 within Limitation on Administration Expenses (LAE) to improve the SSA's acquisition workforce capacity. SSA is directed to provide a plan with milestones, performance measures, and estimated funding levels to the Committees on Appropriations by April 1, 2011, on its base activities and specific activities supported above the base due to this initiative.

In order to improve transparency and accountability of unobligated balances of prior-year funds that remain available only for IT and telecommunication initiatives, the bill directs creation of a specific no-year Information Technology and Telecommunications Investment Fund (ITTI Fund) within the LAE account. SSA is directed to include information in its annual budget request on the ITTI Fund, including actual and planned balances, transfers, and expenditures, as well as funds remaining unobligated in prior-year expired accounts. The budget request should also include consolidated information on actual and planned IT and telecommunications investments, including actual and planned

uses of these funds and annually appropriated funds used for the same purposes. The bill also rescinds \$455,700,000 from unobligated balances of prior year funds remaining available for investments in IT and telecommunication activities pursuant to special language that has been carried in annual appropriations legislation for a number of years.

As processing time for initial disability claims continues to increase, the Commissioner is requested to develop and implement a plan to reduce processing times to below the fiscal year 2007 level as an initial target, and to use additional resources toward this goal in fiscal year 2011. The Commissioner should report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act on the timeline, plan, and steps taken to move toward this goal. The Commissioner is also urged to expedite as much as possible the plan to reduce the current backlog of disability hearings.

The Committees appreciate the Commissioner's decision to delay the planned reinstatement of the reconsideration stage of disability review in States where it has been eliminated as SSA examines other activities to speed up final determinations. SSA is directed to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations within 180 days of enactment of this Act evaluating the reinstatement of the reconsideration stage and other options. SSA is also requested to develop a mechanism to track productivity at the program level (for example DDS, ODAR, and SSA's Operations components including field offices, Payment Service Centers, information technology, and teleservice centers) and report this information in the fiscal year 2012 request along with related impact on program costs.

The bill includes up to \$13,360,000 within the SSI program to be used through an inter-agency agreement with the Department of Labor (DOL) to develop an occupational information network by extending DOL's Occupational Information Network (O*NET)/SOC structure and content model framework to meet SSA needs. While not as robust as required by SSA, O*NET could be leveraged to support SSA's requirements and reduce the overall cost of the project by utilizing DOL's occupational information experts and building on a product that is already developed. The inter-agency agreement should build on pre-existing efforts of both agencies, and address measurable goals, roles and responsibilities, and budget. DOL should solicit input and recommendations from SSA and outside experts, including convening an expert advisory panel jointly selected by DOL and SSA. The SSA and DOL are directed to submit a joint report to the Committees on Appropriations, the House Committee on Ways and Means, and the Senate Finance Committee, with a timeline, major milestones, and projected 5-year costs of this project within 180 days of enactment of this Act and annual progress reports thereafter. The initial report should address plans for research and feasibility testing.

The required operating plan and reprogramming rules in the bill apply to SSA at the program, project, and activity level for all funds provided. The Committees on Appropriations should be notified in advance of any realignment of funds within the specific activities identified on the Extramural Research & Demonstration budget tables supplied for the fiscal year 2011 House hearing record. The operating plan and any reprogramming should include the prior year actual, budget request level, current level (if applicable) and the new operating plan level at this level of detail. In addition, future budget requests and operating plans should include funding levels in at least as much detail.

TITLE V

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sections 501 through 523 are continuations of provisions carried in substantially identical form in the fiscal year 2010 version of this Act.

As stated in the report of the House Committee on Appropriations on the fiscal year 2010 Labor-HHS-Education Appropriations Act, section 509 (which continues a provision prohibiting use of funds for certain research involving human embryos) should not be construed to limit Federal support for research involving human embryonic stem cells carried out in accordance with the policy outlined by the President.

Section 524 is a new provision, specifying that the policy regarding public access to research results established for the National Institutes of Health by section 217 of division F of Public Law 111-8 shall apply to all Departments funded in the bill having more than \$100,000,000 in annual expenditures for extramural research. This policy requires funded researchers to submit to a designated online depository an electronic version of their final peer-reviewed manuscripts upon acceptance for publication, to be made publicly available no later than 12 months after publication.

Section 525 is a technical correction related to a provision of the Claims Resettlement Act.

DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

Following is a list of congressional earmarks and congressionally directed spending items (as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, respectively) included in the bill or this explanatory statement, along with the name of each Senator, House Member, Delegate, or Resident Commissioner who submitted a request to the Committee of jurisdiction for each item so identified. Neither the bill nor the explanatory statement contains any limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in the applicable House or Senate rules.

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	3-D School, Petal, MS, for a model dyslexia intervention program	\$200,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Abyssinian Development Corporation, New York, NY for a college readiness program, which may include equipment and technology	\$390,000	Rangel	Schumer
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Action for Bridgeport Community Development Inc., Bridgeport, CT for a learning program to enhance student academic outcomes	\$625,000	Himes	Lieberman
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Adams 50 School District, Westminster, CO for providing math teaching coaches	\$200,000	Polis	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	After School Matters, Chicago, IL for after school apprenticeship programs focused on teenagers, which may include equipment	\$300,000	Rush	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Aim High, San Francisco, CA for summer school programs for low-income middle school students	\$100,000	Eshoo	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Aldo Leopold Nature Center, Inc., Winona, WI for educational programming targeting elementary and secondary students, which may include equipment	\$300,000	Baldwin	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Alliance of Concerned Men, Washington, DC for a Youth Aftercare Initiative program	\$350,000	Norton	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Alonzo Mourning Charities, Miami, FL for youth development programs including educational services	\$350,000	Wasserman Schultz	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Appalachian State University, Boone, NC, for college preparation and access programs for high school students	\$200,000		Burr; Hagan
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Appleton Area School District, Appleton, WI for a program to enhance school preparedness among young children	\$140,000	Kagen	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Avant-Garde Learning Foundation, Anchorage, AK, to improve educational outcomes for children in low-performing school districts	\$100,000		Murkowski
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Baltimore City Public Schools, Baltimore City, MD, for support of alternative education programs for academically-challenged students, which may include equipment and technology	\$1,000,000	Cummings, Sarbanes; Ruppersberger	Mikulski, Cardin
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Big Brothers Big Sisters, Anchorage, AK, for youth mentoring programs for underserved, at-risk populations in Alaska	\$100,000		Murkowski
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Big Thought, Dallas, TX, for afterschool programs	\$110,000	Johnson, Eddie Bernice	Cornyn
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Boys & Girls Club of Greater Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI, for support of early literacy and related programming	\$300,000		Kohl
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Boys & Girls Club of Portage County, Stevens Point, WI for support to after school learning programs, which may include equipment	\$200,000	Obey	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Capital Unity Council, Sacramento, CA for curricula and exhibit development for a classroom on wheels, which may include equipment and technology	\$200,000	Sherman	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Capital Unity Council, Sacramento, CA for curriculum design and the purchase of equipment/technology for a digital arts studio	\$250,000	Sherman; Matsui	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Catamount Arts, St. Johnsbury, VT, for support of arts education	\$200,000		Leahy
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Chaddock, Quincy, IL, for support of special education activities	\$200,000		Durbin

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Challenger Learning Center, Bangor, ME, for science technology engineering and mathematics programs	\$250,000	Michaud	Collins; Snowe
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Charter School Development Foundation, Las Vegas, NV for classroom technology integration, which may include equipment and supplies	\$375,000	Berkley	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Chicago Public Schools, Chicago, IL, for a youth violence prevention initiative	\$500,000		Durbin
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Chicago School of Professional Psychology, Chicago, IL, for support of afterschool programming	\$300,000	Gutierrez	Durbin
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Churchill County School District, Fallon, NV, for STEM Curriculum and technology improvements	\$25,000		Reid
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	City of Hammond, IN for purchasing equipment and technology for the Hammond Academy of Science and Technology	\$500,000	Vislosky	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	City University of New York-York College, Jamaica, NY for a STEM academy targeting minority youth, which may include equipment and technology	\$400,000	Weeks (NY)	Gillibrand
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Clark County School District, Las Vegas, NV, for support of a school for highly gifted students	\$750,000	Berkley	Reid
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Clark County School District, Las Vegas, NV, for support of the Family Leadership Initiative	\$300,000	Berkley	Reid
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Cleveland Metropolitan School District, Cleveland, OH, for science education programs, including the purchase of equipment	\$150,000		Voinovich; Brown, Sherrod
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Cleveland Metropolitan School District, Cleveland, OH, to improve math, science, technology and language skills through music education	\$100,000	Kucinich	Voinovich; Brown, Sherrod
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	College Planning Center of RI, Warwick, RI for a program supporting college access in the Latino community	\$150,000	Kennedy, Langevin	Whitehouse
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	College Success Foundation, Issaquah, WA for mentoring and scholarships	\$575,000	Dicks; Smith (WA)	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Public Schools System, Saipan, MP for enhancing K-12 educational opportunities, which may include equipment and technology	\$500,000	Sablan	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Communities in Schools of Douglas County, Douglasville, GA for a student mentoring program, which may include equipment and technology	\$70,000	Scott (GA)	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Communities in Schools of Spokane County, Spokane, WA, for support of mentoring programs	\$150,000		Murray
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Communities in Schools, San Antonio, Inc., San Antonio, TX for a high school dropout prevention program	\$350,000	Gonzalez	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Connect Arkansas, Little Rock, AR, to purchase and equip mobile broadband labs	\$200,000		Lincoln; Pryor
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Connecticut Technical High School System, Ansonia, CT for a manufacturing technology program at Emmett O'Brien, including equipment and technology	\$325,000	DeLauro	Dodd
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Anchorage, AK, to increase literacy and math skills of Alaskan students	\$250,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Cooperative Educational Service Agency #10, Chippewa Falls, WI for enhancing student achievement through after-school activities	\$380,000	Obey	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Cooperative Educational Service Agency #11, Turtle Lake, WI for enhancing student achievement through after-school activities	\$395,000	Obey	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Cooperative Educational Service Agency #12, Ashland, WI for enhancing student achievement through after-school activities	\$400,000	Obey	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	County of San Joaquin, Stockton, CA for the San Joaquin Reads tutorial program	\$350,000	Cardoza; McNeerney	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Cristo Rey, Chicago, IL, for educational programming, which may include evaluation activities	\$250,000		Durbin; Burns
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Cupertino Union School District, Cupertino, CA for upgrading classroom technology, including equipment and technology	\$675,000	Honda	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Cuyahoga County Office of Early Childhood / Invest in Children, Cleveland, OH, for an early childhood education initiative, including scholarships	\$100,000	Fudge	Voinovich; Brown; Sherrod
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	DeKalb County, GA School System, Decatur, GA for the purchase of a universal screener with data management system which includes technology	\$275,000	Johnson (GA)	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Delta Arts Alliance, Inc., Drew, MS, for arts education programs	\$150,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Delta State University, Cleveland, MS, for a training program for early childhood educators	\$300,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Delta State University, Cleveland, MS, for science and environmental education and outreach activities	\$300,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Des Moines Independent Community School District, Des Moines, IA, to increase access to and quality of early childhood education programs	\$750,000		Harkin
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Druid Center, Philadelphia, PA, to support educational programs, including staff, technology upgrades, and the purchase of equipment	\$100,000		Specter
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Eastern Maine Development Corporation, Bangor, ME, for youth career pathway programs	\$225,000		Collins; Snowe
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Eastmont Community Center, Los Angeles, CA for providing education enrichment activities to low-income children, which may include equipment and technology	\$70,000	Napolitano	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Eaton Public Schools, Eatontown, NJ for a technology-based learning initiative, including equipment and technology	\$350,000	Holt	Lautenberg; Menendez
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Eden Housing, Hayward, CA, for support of an after school program	\$450,000	Eshoo; Lee (CA)	Boxer
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Educare Central Maine, Waterville, ME, to support early childhood education activities, including the purchase of equipment	\$250,000		Collins
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Educating Young Minds, Los Angeles, CA, for educational programming, which may include the acquisition of technology	\$175,000		Fenstein
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Education Alliance of Washoe County, Reno, NV, for support of an afterschool tutoring program	\$150,000		Reid
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Education Through Music, Inc., New York, NY for music education at disadvantaged schools, which may include equipment	\$150,000	Crowley; Engel	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	El Centro de la Raza, Seattle, WA, for support of counseling, tutoring, and educational programming	\$100,000		Murray
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Elev8 New Mexico, New Mexico Community Foundation, Albuquerque, NM, for support of extended day learning programs in New Mexico	\$200,000	Teague	Bingaman; Udall, Tom
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	ENLACE New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, for support of academic engagement and completion programs in New Mexico	\$150,000		Bingaman; Udall, Tom
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Everybody Wins! USA, Boston, MA, for child literacy programs across the country	\$500,000		Harkin
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Falcon School District 49, Falcon, CO, to support a science, technology, engineering and math education program	\$100,000		Bennet; Udall, Mark
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Families in Schools, Los Angeles, CA for family literacy programs, which may include equipment and supplies	\$250,000	Becerra	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Friends of E Prep Schools, Cleveland, OH, for technology including the purchase of equipment	\$150,000	Kucinich	Voinovich
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	George B. Thomas Learning Academy, Bethesda, MD for the Young Scholars Program	\$200,000	Van Hollen	Cardin
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Georgetown-Quitman County Unified Government, Georgetown, GA for the school system's library, which may include technology	\$100,000	Bishop (GA)	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Girls Incorporated of Alameda County, San Leandro, CA for an after-school academic enrichment program, including equipment and technology	\$250,000	Lee (CA)	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Give Every Child a Chance, Manteca, CA for tutoring and after school programs targeting disadvantaged children, which may include equipment	\$600,000	McNerney	Boxer
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Glenwood School for Boys and Girls, St. Charles, IL, for training and development costs associated with the Life Stabilization project, which may include software and technology	\$200,000		Durbin
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Harford County Public Schools, Bel Air, MD for enhancing STEM learning for K-12 students, which may include equipment and technology	\$625,000	Ruppersberger	Cardin
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Hartford Stage Company, Hartford, CT for an arts education program for students	\$400,000	Larson (CT)	Dodd; Lieberman
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Heartland Foundation, St. Joseph, MO, to support youth empowerment programs, including the purchase of equipment	\$450,000		Bond
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Helen Keller International, New York, NY for the Child Sight Vision Screening Program, and to provide eyeglasses to children whose educational performance may be hindered because of poor vision	\$1,200,000	DeLauro	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	History Colorado, Denver, CO, for support of the Student to Citizen Initiative	\$100,000	DeGette	Bennet
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Hoke County Schools, Raeford, NC for smartboard technology and equipment	\$450,000	Kissell	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Houston Hispanic Forum, Houston, TX for a career and education day for middle and high school students, which may include equipment	\$125,000	Green, Gene	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Houston Urban League, Houston, TX for the Read and Rise Family Training program, which may include equipment and technology	\$495,000	Jackson Lee (TX)	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Humboldt County School District, Winnemucca, NV, for support of technology-based instruction	\$150,000		Reid
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Indiana State University, Terre Haute, IN, for curriculum development and teacher training	\$100,000	Ellsworth	Lugar
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Initiating Change in Our Neighborhoods Community Development Corporation, Pacoima, CA for a financial education program for high school students, which may include equipment	\$300,000	Berman	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Inner-City Arts, Los Angeles, CA, for the core arts and language project for at-risk youth	\$300,000	Roybal-Allard	Boxer
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Iowa Department of Education, Des Moines, IA, to continue the Harkin Grant program	\$6,000,000		Harkin
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Iowa State Education Association, Des Moines, IA, for professional development for teachers on 21st century skills	\$300,000		Harkin
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Jazz at Lincoln Center, New York, NY, for music education programs	\$400,000	Nadler (NY)	Harkin, Schumer
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	JFY Networks, Inc., Boston, MA for academic support and career awareness which may include equipment and supplies	\$250,000	McGovern; Neal; Tierney; Markey	Kerry
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Jobs for Ohio's Graduates, Inc., Columbus, OH, for dropout prevention programs for at-risk youth	\$150,000	Kilroy; Space	Voinovich
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Junior Achievement of Delaware, Inc., Wilmington, DE, for computer-based programming and related science, technology, and engineering education activities	\$50,000		Kaufman; Carper
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Kaui Economic Development Board, Lihue, HI, for science, technology, engineering and math education	\$700,000		Inouye, Akaka
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Lake Superior Big Top Chautauqua, Washburn, WI for educational activities, which may include equipment	\$500,000	Obey	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Lamar School District Re-2, Lamar, CO for an after school program targeting 3rd through 12th grade students, including equipment and technology	\$130,000	Markey (CO)	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Lander County School District, Battle Mountain, NV, for acquisition of technology and equipment	\$150,000		Reid
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Las Cruces Public Schools, Las Cruces, NM for parent center programs to enhance student achievement, which may include equipment and technology	\$480,000	Teague	Udall, Tom
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Lasara Independent School District, Lasara, TX for a middle school math initiative that includes teacher training and support	\$145,000	Ortiz	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Lennox School District, Lennox, CA for equipment and technology upgrades	\$330,000	Harman	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Lincoln County School District, Panaca, NV, for support of afterschool programming	\$50,000		Reid
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Los Angeles Universal Preschool, Los Angeles, CA for supporting early childhood education activities	\$240,000	Roybal-Allard, Napolitano	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule, SD, for support of afterschool programming, which may include the acquisition of equipment	\$100,000	Herseth Sandlin	Johnson

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Lyon County School District, Yerrington, NV, for support of early education services	\$150,000		Reid
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Madison Metropolitan School District, Madison, WI, for educational programming	\$500,000		Kohl
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Marketplace of Ideas/Marketplace for Kids, Inc., Bismarck, ND, for entrepreneurship education	\$200,000		Dorgan; Conrad
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Maryland Bio Foundation, Rockville, MD, for support of science, technology, engineering and mathematics education through a mobile laboratory outreach program, which may include purchase of equipment	\$800,000	Van Hollen	Mikulski
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Maui Economic Development Board, Kihei, HI, for engaging girls and historically underrepresented students in science, technology, engineering and math education	\$800,000	Abercrombie	Inouye; Akaka
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Mentoring Partnership of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN for the support of academic mentoring programs serving at-risk youth, which may include technology	\$200,000	Oberstar; Ellison	Klobuchar; Franken
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Mentoring Partnership of Southwestern PA, Pittsburgh, PA, for support of mentoring programs, which may include equipment and technology acquisitions	\$100,000		Specter
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Meekwaki Nation - Sac & Fox Tribe of the Mississippi in Iowa, Tama, IA, for a culturally based education curriculum	\$400,000		Harkin
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Mid-Iowa Community Action, Inc., Marshalltown, IA, for literacy activities for pre-school aged children	\$100,000		Harkin
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians, Choctaw, MS, for academic support, tutoring, mentoring, and afterschool programs	\$100,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Mississippi Building Blocks, Ridgeland, MS, for a state-wide early childhood education program	\$500,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Mississippi Council on Economic Education, Jackson, MS, for teacher training for economics, financial literacy and entrepreneurial education	\$500,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Mississippi Historical Society, Jackson, MS, to develop educational materials for history instruction	\$100,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Mississippi Museum of Natural Science Foundation, Jackson, MS, for science education exhibits and outreach programs	\$200,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS, for a dropout prevention program, including the purchase of equipment	\$850,000		Wicker
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS, for an early childhood teacher education program, including the purchase of equipment and materials	\$500,000	Childers	Cochran; Wicker
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Mississippi University for Women, Columbus, MS, for development of at-risk youth programs	\$250,000	Childers	Cochran; Wicker
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Mississippi University for Women, Columbus, MS, for science and math programs for K-12 students	\$200,000	Childers	Cochran; Wicker
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Montana Digital Academy, Missoula, MT, for support of online learning activities	\$100,000		Baucus; Tester

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Montgomery County Public Schools, Rockville, MD for support of the Middle School Expansion Program, which may include equipment and technology	\$300,000	Van Hollen; Edwards (MD)	Cardin
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Montgomery County School Corporation, Troy, NC for providing technology to students	\$175,000	Kissell	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	National Center for Parents as Teachers, St. Louis, MO, to support home visitation programs, including the purchase of equipment	\$850,000		Bond
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	NC STEM Community Collaborative, Ft. Bragg Region, Research Triangle Park, NC, for science, technology, engineering and math programs, including the purchase of equipment	\$150,000	Kissell	Burr, Hagan
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Neighborhood Music School, New Haven, CT for providing access to arts education for disadvantaged youth	\$100,000	DeLauro	Dodd
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Nettelhorst Community Group, Chicago, IL for providing upgrades to a science laboratory, which may include equipment	\$100,000	Quigley	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Nevada Department of Education, Carson City, NV, for a demonstration of public school facilities repair and construction, which may include subgrants	\$2,000,000		Reid
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Nevada Parents Empowering Parents, Las Vegas, NV, to provide educational workshops to parents of students with disabilities	\$125,000		Reid
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	New Britain Academy for Health Professions, New Britain, CT for a program to educate high school students for a career in health care, which may include equipment and technology	\$490,000	Murphy (CT)	Lieberman
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	New Day for Learning, San Francisco, CA for a summer learning program for children including staffing and professional development	\$300,000	Pelosi	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	New Rochelle City School District, New Rochelle, NY for supporting engineering programs and afterschool learning centers	\$400,000	Lowe	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	North Rockland Central School District, Garnerville, NY for supporting online learning access, including equipment and technology	\$200,000	Lowe	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Nye County School District, Pahrump, NV, to support instructional/intervention specialists and the purchase of instructional materials	\$350,000		Reid
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Oakland Unified School District, Oakland, CA for supporting the science system and smart center, including equipment	\$355,000	Lee (CA)	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Oakland Unified School District, Oakland, CA, for the Oakland Truancy Intervention and Educational Support Program	\$300,000		Boxer
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Operation Shoestring, Jackson, MS, for afterschool and summer community outreach and education programs	\$100,000		Cochran, Wicker
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Orchestra Iowa, Cedar Rapids, IA, to support a music education program	\$300,000	Loebbeck	Harkin
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Pacific Islands Center for Educational Development, Pago Pago, American Samoa, for program development	\$400,000		Inouye, Akaka

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Parents for Public Schools of Jackson, Inc., Jackson, MS, for professional development, development of materials, and programs to increase student achievement and graduation rates in low performing schools	\$250,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Park Forest-Chicago Heights School District 163, Park Forest, IL for an afterschool reading program for grades K-3	\$100,000	Jackson (IL)	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Polynesian Voyaging Society, Honolulu, HI, for educational programs	\$300,000		Inouye; Akaka
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Port Chester Rye Union Free School District, Port Chester, NY for support of educational programs including extended day learning, transition to high school and technology	\$300,000	Lowey	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Prince William County Schools, Manassas, VA for STEM program support for staff and students	\$220,000	Connolly (VA)	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Project HOME, Philadelphia, PA, for afterschool programs	\$100,000		Specter
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Providence Community Library, Providence, RI, for support of the Out-of-School Learning Opportunities program	\$250,000		Reed, Whitehouse
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Public Education Foundation, Las Vegas, NV, for support of a clearinghouse for classroom supplies and materials	\$100,000	Berkley	Reid
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Rhode Island Nurses Institute, Providence, RI for a charter high school that prepares students for a career in nursing, which may include equipment and technology	\$400,000	Langevin	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Ricardo Flores Magon Academy, Westminster, CO for supporting programs including kindergarten, extended day and staff development, which may include equipment	\$200,000	Polis	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	River Rouge Community Schools, River Rouge, MI for program support at the New Tech High Schools, including technology	\$765,000	Kilpatrick (MI)	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, San Francisco, CA, for a college preparatory program, in partnership with College Track	\$250,000		Feinstein
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Sarah Heinz House, Pittsburgh, PA for an educational after-school program for at-risk youth, including equipment	\$250,000	Doyle	Specter, Casey
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Save the Children, Washington, DC, for a rural literacy program in Mississippi	\$100,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Save the Children, Westport, CT, for support of the Louisiana Early Child Development program	\$200,000		Landrieu
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	SEED Foundation, Washington, DC, for the SEED School of Louisiana Exploratory project	\$250,000		Landrieu
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Seminole County Public Schools, Sanford, FL for a program to promote STEM learning, including technology and equipment	\$750,000	Kosmas	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Seton Hall University, South Orange, NJ, for an urban school improvement program	\$350,000	Payne	Lautenberg; Menendez
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Silicon Valley Education Foundation, San Jose, CA for a middle school algebra program	\$400,000	Honda	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	South Berkshire Educational Collaborative, Great Barrington, MA for teacher professional development, which may include equipment	\$300,000	Oliver	Kerry

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Southeastern Louisiana University, Hammond, LA, to increase the number of certified teachers and support other activities designed to improve student achievement	\$100,000		Landrieu
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Southwest Washington Workforce Development Council, Vancouver, WA, for support of professional development in science and mathematics education	\$100,000		Murray
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Spark Program, San Francisco, CA for an apprenticeship program targeting disadvantaged youth, which may include technology	\$100,000	Pelosi	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	St. Clair McKelway School (PS/JS 178), Brooklyn, NY for a technology upgrade project including purchase of equipment and supplies	\$75,000	Clarke	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	St. Johns Baptist Church, Hempstead, NY for a youth empowerment program addressing college readiness and digital literacy, which may include equipment	\$100,000	McCarthy (NY)	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	St. Mary's College, Notre Dame, IN, for tutoring and mentoring programs for at-risk youth	\$100,000		Lugar
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	State of Hawaii, Department of Education, Honolulu, HI for providing technology to rural public schools	\$300,000	Hirono	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Stewart County School District, Lumpkin, GA for providing academic enrichment for children, which may include equipment and technology	\$100,000	Bishop (GA)	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Stone Elementary Scholastic Academy, Chicago, IL for equipping a new science laboratory, which may include technology and equipment	\$50,000	Schakowsky	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, for support of afterschool programming	\$500,000	Maffei	Gillibrand, Schumer
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Talbot County (GA) Board of Education, Talbotton, GA for the Learning Institute, which may include equipment and technology	\$100,000	Bishop (GA)	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Tarrytown Union Free School District, Sleepy Hollow, NY for education programs including literacy and summer school	\$300,000	Lowe	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Technology Assessment for Basic Skills, Inc., Des Moines, IA, for continuation and expansion of the SKILLS Iowa program	\$2,000,000		Harkin
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	The Tibetan Community of New York and New Jersey, Inc., New York, NY, for the Tibetan Community Center Youth Education and Empowerment Program	\$100,000		Schumer
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Thrive By Five Washington, Seattle, WA, for support of early childhood education programming	\$300,000		Murray
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Trumbull County Educational Service Center, Niles, OH for enhancing student opportunities in STEM careers, which may include equipment and technology	\$570,000	Ryan (OH)	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Tucson Youth Development, Inc., Tucson, AZ for an educational, dropout prevention, and workforce development program	\$300,000	Grijalva	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Uintah School District, Vernal, UT, for academic achievement and credit recovery programs, including the purchase of equipment	\$106,000		Bennett

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	United Neighborhood Organization (UNO) Charter School Network, Chicago, IL for academic support and college preparation activities for secondary school students, which may include equipment and technology	\$260,000	Lipinski	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	University of Akron, Akron, OH for supporting academic pathways into higher education, which may include equipment and supplies	\$500,000	Ryan (OH)	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	University of Mississippi, University, MS, for teacher training for elementary mathematics education	\$300,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS, for teacher training in the science, technology, engineering, math, language, and creative arts fields	\$400,000		Cochran, Wicker
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Upper Cumberland Human Resource Agency, TN for art education	\$200,000	Davis (TN)	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Utah State Office of Education, Salt Lake City, UT, for teacher development programs	\$300,000		Bennett
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Utah Symphony, Salt Lake City, UT, for music education programs	\$106,000		Bennett
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Washoe County School District, Reno, NV, for support of educational activities	\$500,000		Reid
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Waters Today, Chicago, IL for an elementary school program teaching ecology	\$150,000	Quigley	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	White Plains City School District, White Plains, NY for supporting programs including summer school and educational equipment	\$400,000	Lowey	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Williamsburg County School District, Kingstree, SC for equipment for a technology education center	\$300,000	Clyburn	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	World Science Festival, New York, New York, for science and environmental education and outreach activities	\$200,000		Cochran
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Yonkers Public Schools, Yonkers, NY for student and teacher development, which may include equipment	\$400,000	Lowey; Engel	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Young Writers Project, Inc., Winoski, VT, for support educational programming and teaching training	\$175,000		Leahy
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Youngstown Symphony Society, Youngstown, OH for the support of arts education targeting at-risk youth	\$350,000	Ryan (OH)	Brown, Sherrod
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	Youth Technology Corps, Chicago, IL for an after school computer program for high school students	\$250,000	Schakowsky	
Department of Education	Elementary & Secondary Education (includes FIE)	YWCA of San Francisco-Marin, San Francisco, CA for support to an elementary student tutorial program, which may include supplies	\$150,000	Pelosi	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Alcorn State University, Alcorn State, MS, for workforce enhancement through graduate technical online curriculum support, including the purchase of equipment	\$500,000	Thompson (MS)	Cochran; Wicker
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Arkansas Baptist College, Little Rock, AR for providing remedial education in order to enhance college access and opportunity, which may include equipment and technology	\$350,000	Snyder	Lincoln, Pryor
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Arkansas Baptist College, Little Rock, AR, for the Center for Entrepreneurship	\$150,000		Lincoln; Pryor

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Armstrong Atlantic State University Cyber Security Research Foundation, Savannah, GA, for the Cyber Intelligence and Counter Terrorism Program, including the purchase of equipment	\$200,000		Isakson; Chambliss
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Asnuntuck Community College, Enfield, CT for a Alternative Energy Technologies program, which may include equipment	\$320,000	Courtney	Dodd; Lieberman
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Austin Peay State University, Clarksville, TN for the purchase of equipment	\$500,000	Tanner	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Bacone College, Muskogee, OK for the purchase of equipment	\$300,000	Boren	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Baylor University, Waco, TX, for technology upgrades at the Baylor Research and Innovation Collaborative, including the purchase of equipment	\$200,000		Hutchison
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Bennett College for Women, Greensboro, NC to establish a Center for Women	\$750,000	Watt	Hagan
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Bethune-Cookman University, Daytona Beach, FL for equipment and technology upgrades	\$200,000	Hastings (FL); Meek (FL)	Nelson, Bill
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Black Hills State University, Spearfish, SD, for equipment to enhance education programs	\$450,000	Herseht Sandlin	Johnson
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Borricia College, New York, NY for curriculum development and technology upgrades	\$200,000	Velazquez	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Brazosport College, Lake Jackson, TX for a Nursing Simulation Training Center, which may include equipment	\$350,000	Paul	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office, Sacramento, CA, to expand a program providing academic support to veterans	\$500,000	Finer	Feinstein
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, CA for their Science Teacher and Researcher Program, which may include stipends	\$250,000	Capps	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	California State University, Bakersfield, Bakersfield, CA, for support of the nursing program	\$150,000		Boxer
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	California State University, Long Beach, CA, for staff resources for the Metro Academies Initiative	\$350,000	Chu, Pelosi	Boxer
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	California State University, Sacramento, Sacramento, CA, for the Veterans Education Transitional Supports program	\$150,000		Boxer
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	California State University-Bakersfield, Bakersfield, CA for the CSUB Energy Center, which may include curriculum development and the purchase of equipment	\$700,000	Costa	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	California State University-San Bernardino, San Bernardino, CA for a computer engineering program which may include equipment	\$700,000	Baca	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Canisius College, Buffalo, NY for the purchase of equipment, technology and telecommunications to improve the college	\$500,000	Higgins	Schumer
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Castleton State College, Castleton, VT, to expand the Principal Training and School Leadership Program	\$300,000		Leahy
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Cazenovia College, Cazenovia, NY, for curriculum development and job training	\$200,000		Schumer
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Center for Communicative Science at Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY to expand the Center for Communicative Science	\$700,000	Israel	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Central Maine Community College, Auburn, ME, for job training programs for healthcare providers	\$285,000		Collins; Snowe
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Central Washington University, Ellensburg, WA, to launch the state's first bachelor's degree program in clean energy	\$1,000,000	Inslee	Murray; Cantwell
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Cincinnati State Technical and Community College, Cincinnati, OH for the expansion of energy and weatherization training and certification program which may include equipment and tuition	\$425,000	Driehaus	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Cincinnati State Technical and Community College, Cincinnati, OH, for GED and transition to college programs and job placement	\$150,000		Voinovich
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Coe College, Cedar Rapids, IA, for the Digital Media Technology Project	\$300,000		Harkin; Grassley
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	College of Idaho, Caldwell, ID, for technology upgrades and the purchase of equipment	\$100,000		Crapo; Risch
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	College of New Rochelle, New Rochelle, NY for stipends, equipment, and technology for nursing and science programs	\$250,000	Lowe	Gillibrand; Schumer
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	College of Notre Dame of Maryland, Baltimore, MD for a nurse education collaborative partnership with Good Samaritan and Franklin Square Hospitals, which may include equipment, tuition, and scholarships	\$425,000	Ruppersberger	Cardin
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	College of Southern Nevada, Las Vegas, NV, for the expansion of online courses	\$400,000	Berkley	Reid
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	College Opportunity Resources of Education, Philadelphia, PA for scholarship assistance and stipends	\$550,000	Fattah	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	College Success Foundation, Washington, DC, for mentoring and scholarships	\$500,000		Harkin
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Commonwealth Medical College, Scranton, PA to improve the education and training of health care professionals, which may include equipment	\$1,000,000	Kanjorski, Carney	Casey; Specter
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Community College of Allegheny County, Pittsburgh, PA, to support basic education and GED programs	\$100,000		Specter
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Community College of Rhode Island, Warwick, RI, for the development of a biotech program, including equipment	\$500,000		Reed
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Connecticut State University System, Hartford, CT, to expand Latin American and Caribbean studies	\$500,000		Dodd
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Cuyahoga Community College, Cleveland, OH to expand the program in advanced manufacturing, alternatives to auto technology, veterans jobs and green jobs, which may include the purchase of equipment	\$700,000	Fudge, Kucinich, Ryan (OH), Sutton	Brown, Sherrod, Voinovich
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Dakota Wesleyan University, Mitchell, SD, for health care training programs	\$150,000		Johnson
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Deaf West, North Hollywood, CA, for educational programming	\$250,000		Harkin
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Delaware Technical and Community College, Dover, DE, for distance learning technology	\$150,000		Carper; Kaufman
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Delta College, University Center, MI, for chemical process and lithium battery Lab Equipment	\$250,000		Levin; Stabenow

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Dickinson State University, Dickinson, ND, for its Theodore Roosevelt Center	\$300,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan, Conrad
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Drake University Law School, Des Moines, IA, to create the Drake Institute for Legislation and Agriculture Policy	\$300,000		Harkin
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Eastern Gateway Community College, Steubenville, OH to expand services to economically disadvantaged and first-generation college students, which may include equipment	\$1,000,000	Ryan (OH)	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Eastern Washington University, Spokane, WA, to educate, conduct research, and disseminate vital information on regional water issues	\$300,000		Murray, Cantwell
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Edward M. Kennedy Institute for the United States Senate, Boston, MA, for educational program development, including an endowment	\$500,000	Markey (MA)	Kerry
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Elms College, Chicopee, MA for laboratory equipment for the fields of biology, chemistry, nursing, autism spectrum disorder, and communications sciences and disorders	\$300,000	Neal	Kerry
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Enterprise State Community College, Enterprise, AL for educational equipment	\$650,000	Bright	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, FL for curriculum development, which may include the purchase of equipment	\$400,000	Deutch, Hastings (FL)	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Fort Lewis College, Durango, CO, to develop and administer the Tribal Nation Building program to provide internships and social service programs	\$250,000	Salazar	Udall, Mark; Bennett
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Francis Marion University, Florence, SC, for early childhood education initiatives	\$150,000	Clyburn	Graham
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Future Generations, Franklin, WV, to develop a higher education degree in the field of substance abuse prevention	\$1,000,000		Rockefeller
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Grambling State University, Grambling, LA, for the Project Lifelines program for vocational or higher education training	\$100,000		Landrieu
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Grand Rapids Community College, Grand Rapids, MI, for the purchase of equipment and development of education programs in alternative/renewable energy	\$100,000		Stabenow, Levin
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Hawaii Community College, Hilo, HI, to promote Native Hawaiian and other secondary education programs	\$400,000		Inouye, Akaka
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Hazard Community and Technical College, Hazard, KY, for science technology engineering and math education programs	\$100,000		Bunning
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Holy Family University, Philadelphia, PA for a computer forensics training center, which may include equipment	\$350,000	Murphy, Patrick	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Huntingdon College, Montgomery, AL, for purchase of equipment and technology upgrades to support distance learning initiatives	\$100,000		Sessions
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Illinois State University - Normal, Normal, IL, for minority student recruitment and retention	\$400,000		Durbin
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Imperial Valley Community College District, Imperial, CA, for an English Language Immersion program	\$200,000		Boxer
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis, Indianapolis, IN for a financial literacy program	\$350,000	Carson (IN)	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Iowa Valley Community College District, Iowa Falls, IA, for a training program in agricultural and renewable energy technology, including the purchase of equipment	\$300,000		Harkin
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Ivy Tech Community College, Indianapolis, IN, to expand Ivy Tech's advanced manufacturing program at its Central Indiana campus, which may include equipment	\$350,000	Carson (IN)	Lugar
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Ivy Tech, Indianapolis, IN, for the purchase of educational laboratory equipment at Ivy Tech's Northwest campus	\$500,000	Visclosky	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Jackson Community College/Bay de Noc College/Lake Michigan College, Jackson, MI, to develop and implement assessment tools and curriculum to serve low skilled adults	\$100,000		Levin; Stabenow
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Jackson State University, Jackson, MS, for teacher training to improve literacy and mathematics instruction	\$500,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Johnson State College, Johnson, VT, to expand the teacher preparation program	\$200,000		Leahy
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Junior College District of Newton and McDonald Counties, Missouri, Neosho, MO, for the purchase of equipment to support allied health education	\$500,000		Bond
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Junior College District of Sedalia, Missouri, Sedalia, MO, for curriculum development and the purchase of equipment related to advanced energy systems	\$500,000		Bond
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Kansas City Community College, Kansas City, KS, for a Hospitality Education and Retail Training program, which may include equipment	\$750,000	Moore (KS)	Brownback
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Kauai Community College, Lihue, HI, for planning and implementation of a four year degree program	\$200,000		Inouye; Akaka
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Keene State College, Keene, NH, for curriculum development and educational equipment for the Monadnock Biodiesel Collaborative	\$150,000		Shaheen
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Lake Area Technical Institute, Watertown, SD, for educational equipment related to first responder training	\$200,000	Herseeth Sandlin	Johnson; Thune
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Lake Erie College of Osteopathic Medicine, Erie, PA, to training dental students in patient centered care	\$100,000		Specter; Casey
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Leeward Community College, Pearl City, HI, to provide college preparatory education for Filipino students	\$400,000		Inouye; Akaka
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Long Beach City College, Long Beach, CA for curriculum development, equipment, and technology	\$500,000	Richardson	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Macomb Community College, Warren, MI, to develop assessment and curriculum materials to make displaced workers college ready	\$250,000		Stabenow; Levin
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Manhattan Area Technical College, Manhattan, KS, for curriculum development and technology upgrades, including the purchase of equipment	\$450,000		Brownback
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Maricopa County Community College District, Tempe, AZ for the Bilingual Nursing Program at South Mountain Community College, which may include stipends, scholarships, and tuition	\$450,000	Pastor (AZ)	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Maricopa County Community College District, Tempe, AZ for the East Valley Veterans Center to enable veterans to enroll in and complete postsecondary education, which may include equipment and technology	\$275,000	Mitchell	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI for developing a model freshman year transition program for low income and first generation college students who aspire to earn bachelor's degrees in engineering	\$200,000	Moore (WI)	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Maryland Association of Community Colleges, Annapolis, MD, for the purchase of equipment to develop science and engineering labs	\$1,250,000		Mikulski
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	McHenry County, Woodstock, IL to expand the capacity of and infrastructure at McHenry County College, which may include equipment	\$400,000	Bean	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Medaille College, Buffalo, NY, for equipment related to science education	\$150,000	Higgins	Schumer
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Methodist University, Fayetteville, NC, for curriculum development and education equipment related to first responder training	\$150,000	Kissell	Burr
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Metropolitan Community College, Kansas City, MO for the expansion of the Homeland Security Regional Training Institute, which may include equipment and tuition	\$650,000	Cleaver	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Metropolitan State College of Denver, Denver, CO, for the purchase of equipment for aviation training program	\$100,000	DeGette	Bennet; Udall, Mark
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, TN to establish international biotechnology partnerships, which may include student stipends and tuition	\$400,000	Gordon (TN)	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, TN, for science technology engineering and math education, including the purchase of equipment	\$1,500,000		Alexander
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Midway College, Inc., Midway, KY, for education equipment related to nursing and health sciences	\$100,000		Bunning
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Minot State University, Minot, ND, for salaries and operating expense for the Center for Community Research and Service	\$450,000		Dorgan; Conrad
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS, for technology upgrades and the purchase of equipment to support the Delta Council Papers Project	\$100,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Mott Community College, Flint, MI, for the purchase of renewable energy equipment and curriculum development for the Institute for Renewable and Sustainable Energy	\$250,000		Levin; Stabenow
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Nevada State College, Henderson, NV to expand its online course offerings, which may include equipment	\$650,000	Titus	Reid
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	New College Institute, Martinsville, VA to develop and implement degree programs, which may include the purchase of equipment	\$1,000,000	Perriello	Webb; Warner
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	New Hampshire Institute of Politics at Saint Anselm College, Manchester, NH, for education programs, technology upgrades and purchase of equipment	\$2,650,000		Gregg

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	New School - Institute for Urban Education, New York, NY, for an educational mentoring and outreach program for low-income, at-risk students	\$725,000		Gillibrand
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Niagara County Community College, Sanborn, NY, for educational equipment	\$100,000		Schumer
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	North Arkansas College, Harrison, AR, for the purchase of equipment and IT upgrades	\$100,000		Lincoln; Pryor
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	North Iowa Area Community College, Mason City, IA, to make state-of-the-art training, technology, and equipment available for health professionals	\$200,000		Grassley; Harkin
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Northeast Community College, Norfolk, NE, for the purchase of equipment for a renewable energy program	\$500,000		Nelson, Ben
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Northeast Iowa Community College, Calmar, IA, For Dairy Science Technology program	\$300,000	Braley (IA)	Harkin, Grassley
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Northeastern State University, Tahlequah, OK, for professional development, including the purchase of equipment	\$100,000		Inhofe
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ for a program to increase college retention and graduation rates, which may include the purchase of equipment and technology	\$1,000,000	Kirkpatrick (AZ)	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Northern Arizona University, Phoenix, AZ to expand NAUTeach, which may include equipment, tuition, and stipends	\$115,000	Mitchell	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL, to create a pilot study abroad program	\$200,000		Durbin
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Northern Kentucky University, Highland Heights, KY, for the purchase of equipment and technology	\$2,000,000		McConnell
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Northern Maine Community College, Presque Isle, ME, for equipment related to wind power technology programs	\$200,000		Snowe
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Northwest Arkansas Community College, Bentonville, AR, for the purchase of distance learning equipment	\$300,000		Lincoln, Pryor
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Northwest Indian College, Bellingham, WA, to expand financial literacy education opportunities	\$150,000		Murray
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Ohio Dominican University, Columbus, OH, for science programs, including the purchase of equipment	\$150,000		Voinovich
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, to develop a veteran entrepreneurial training program	\$100,000		Inhofe
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Orange County Community College, Middletown, NY, for support of the Science, Engineering & Technology Center, which may include equipment and wiring	\$500,000	Hinchey, Hall (NY)	Gillibrand
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Pennsylvania Commission for Community Colleges, Harrisburg, PA, to design, create, and implement open source educational materials	\$100,000		Specter
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Peralta Community College District, Oakland CA for the Maximum Achievement Project, which may include the purchase of equipment	\$250,000	Lee (CA)	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Philadelphia University, Philadelphia, PA for an emerging green economy educational initiative, which may include the purchase of equipment	\$500,000	Fattah	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Pierce College, Lakewood, WA for equipment to support STEM curriculum and education	\$190,000	Smith (WA)	Murray
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Pittsburg State University, Pittsburg, KS, to expand education programs	\$400,000		Brownback
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Portland Community College, Portland, OR for education and training programs in renewable energy and emerging industries, which may include equipment and technology	\$450,000	Blumenauer; Wu	Wyden; Merkley
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Providence College, Providence, RI for curriculum development, which may include the purchase of supplies, technology, and equipment	\$170,000	Kennedy	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Providence College, Providence, RI, for technology improvements related to education	\$300,000		Reed; Whitehouse
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Quinsigamond Community College, Worcester, MA for program expansion, which may include the purchase of equipment and technology	\$400,000	McGovern; Neal	Kerry
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Rancho Santiago Community College District, Santa Ana, CA to expand their existing Advanced Engine Performance Certificate program, which may include equipment	\$450,000	Sanchez; Loretta	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Redlands Community College, El Reno, OK, for nursing education and training including the purchase of equipment	\$100,000		Inhofe
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Richland Community College, Decatur, IL, for the purchase of modern human patient simulators	\$150,000	Hare	Durbin
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Roger Williams University, Bristol, RI, for college access and school-to-work programs	\$400,000		Reed
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	San Diego State University Research Foundation, San Diego, CA for development and implementation of the Veterans Education Transitional Support program	\$450,000	Davis (CA); Finer	Boxer
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	San Jose State University Foundation, San Jose, CA to develop a center for Culturally Responsive English Language Teaching, which may include tuition	\$480,000	Honda	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	San Juan College, Farmington, NM, for the creation of online and certificate programs to expand the Renewable Energy Program	\$150,000		Udall; Tom; Bingaman
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Santa Clara University, Santa Clara, CA for a Leading Innovation Through New Engineering Solutions program, including curriculum development and educational equipment	\$600,000	Honda	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Security on Campus, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, to support peer education programs	\$100,000		Specter
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Simpson College, Indianola, IA, for the John C. Culver Public Policy Center	\$400,000		Harkin
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park, CA for the creation of the Sonoma Network for Environmental Technology, which includes equipment	\$350,000	Woolsey; Thompson (CA)	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	South Arkansas Community College, El Dorado, AR, for the purchase of equipment for the Process Technology Operator Program	\$200,000	Ross	Lincoln; Pryor

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Southern Maine Community College, South Portland, ME to establish the Maine Advanced Technology & Engineering Center, which may include equipment	\$425,000	Pingree (ME); Michaud	Collins; Snowe
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Southern Maine Community College, South Portland, ME, to development a heavy equipment and transportation program, including the purchase of equipment	\$625,000	Michaud; Pingree (ME)	Collins; Snowe
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	St. Joseph College, West Hartford, CT, for personnel, equipment and technology at the new Institute for Autism and Behavioral Studies	\$600,000	Larson (CT)	Dodd; Lieberman
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	St. Joseph's College, Brooklyn, NY for equipment and technology for science laboratories, smart classrooms and distance learning	\$300,000	Towns	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	St. Michael's College, Colchester, VT, for curriculum development and academic programming at the Center for Intercultural and Global Learning	\$250,000		Leahy
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY, for curriculum development in addition to hiring and training faculty	\$250,000	Owens	Schumer
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	TELACU Educational Foundation, Los Angeles, CA for a Bridge to Nursing Program to ensure the retention, graduation, and licensing of low-income nursing students	\$400,000	Roybal-Allard	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Texas State Technical College, Georgetown, TX, for the purchase of equipment and technology	\$110,000		Cornyn
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Texas Wesleyan University, Ft. Worth, TX for an extended studies program, which may include equipment	\$400,000	Edwards (TX)	Cornyn
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Three Rivers Community College, Poplar Bluff, MO, for the purchase of equipment	\$250,000		Bond
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Towson University, Towson, MD, for equipment and program development for the Center for Adults with Autism	\$150,000		Cardin
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Trident Technical College, North Charleston, SC for curriculum and educational development, which may include equipment	\$250,000	Brown (SC)	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Trident Technical College, North Charleston, SC, for curriculum development and education equipment for an aeronautical training program	\$100,000	Clyburn	Graham
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Truckee Meadows Community College, Reno, NV, to support the Success First program	\$200,000		Reid
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Turtle Mountain Community College, Belcourt, ND, for education technology equipment	\$200,000		Dorgan; Conrad
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, for the Integrative Medicine in Residency program	\$600,000		Harkin
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, for the Diversity and Access Initiative	\$350,000		Brown; Sherrod
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of Colorado at Colorado Springs, Colorado Springs, CO, for the Southern Colorado Higher Education Consortium Veterans Educational Assistance Program	\$100,000	Salazar	Udall; Mark; Bennet

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of Colorado-Denver, Denver, CO to establish a program for the creation, testing and display of visual educational materials in a variety of STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Math), Medicine, and Humanities related subject areas, which may include equipment, student stipends and scholarships	\$250,000	Perlmutter; DeGette	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of Connecticut School of Law, Hartford, CT, for a Human Rights and International Law fellowship program	\$250,000		Dodd
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of Guam, Mangilao, GU to create a School of Engineering, which may include equipment and technology	\$700,000	Bordallo	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of Hawaii School of Law, Honolulu, HI, for the health policy center	\$350,000		Inouye; Akaka
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, for the National Institute for Twice-Exceptionality	\$275,000	Loebbeck	Grassley, Harkin
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of Maine at Fort Kent, Fort Kent, ME, for curriculum development for nursing education programs	\$600,000		Collins
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of Mississippi, University, MS, to support interdisciplinary research and education related to public policy and economic education	\$250,000		Cochran, Wicker
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH for its National Inclusive Education Initiative for Students with Autism and Related Disabilities	\$500,000	Shea-Porter	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of New Haven, Waterbury, CT for equipment and technology for the Henry C. Lee Institute of Forensic Science Learning Center	\$250,000	DeLauro	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of Northern Colorado, Greeley, CO, for The Education Innovation Institute	\$100,000	Markey (CO)	Bennet
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls, IA, to support the Center for Disability Studies in Literacy, Language and Learning	\$550,000	Braley (IA)	Harkin, Grassley
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of South Dakota, Vermillion, SD, to identify and address the educational needs of veterans with disabilities	\$400,000	Herseht Sandlin	Johnson, Thune
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of Southern Maine, Portland, ME, for curriculum development, including the purchase of equipment	\$500,000		Collins, Snowe
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS, for professional and curriculum development, and distance learning at the Gulf Coast Campuses	\$250,000		Cochran, Wicker
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of Texas Medical Branch-Galveston, Galveston, TX for a Telehealth Resource Learning Center, which may include equipment and technology	\$750,000	Paul	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of Vermont, Burlington, VT, to modernize the College of Nursing curriculum	\$475,000		Leahy
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point, Stevens Point, WI, to support non-traditional and veteran students with disabilities in higher education and career development	\$400,000		Kohl
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Upper Iowa University, Fayette, IA for educational equipment and technology related to the enhancement of the Upper Iowa University e-Center	\$550,000	Braley (IA)	Harkin, Grassley

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Urban College of Boston, Boston, MA, to support higher education program serving low-income and minority students	\$500,000		Kerry
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Utah State University, Logan, UT, to develop a land-grant education and research network	\$106,000		Bennett
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Valley City State University, Valley City, ND, for the Great Plains STEM Education Center	\$350,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan; Conrad
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Virginia Highlands Community College, Abingdon, VA for the Energy Technology Workforce Training Project, which may include equipment	\$300,000	Boucher	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Wake Technical Community College, Raleigh, NC for the Supporting Education for Returning Veterans through Engagement project, which may include equipment	\$150,000	Miller (NC)	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Waubesa Community College, Sugar Grove, IL for equipment	\$220,000	Foster	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Westchester Community College Foundation, Valhalla, NY for the development of a veterans education program, which may include equipment	\$150,000	Lowey; Hall (NY)	Schumer
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Western Nebraska Community College, Scottsbluff, NE, for the Western Nebraska Wind Energy Training Center	\$500,000		Nelson, Ben
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Western Technical College, La Crosse, WI, to establish a veteran's center on campus	\$150,000		Kohl
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Westminster College, Salt Lake City, UT, to purchase equipment to support nursing and health science education	\$150,000		Bennett
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Winthrop University, Rock Hill, SC for its Focusing on Collegiate Undergraduate Success initiative to enhance residential learning and academic support services for students	\$250,000	Spratt	
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	World Youth Peace Summit, Inc., Hartford, CT to establish a Social Entrepreneurship program to educate undergraduate students on public policy, international relations, peace negotiations and initiatives, and social responsibility	\$500,000	Larson (CT)	Dodd; Lieberman
Department of Education	Higher Education (includes FIPSE)	Zapata County, Zapata, TX for purchase of equipment	\$250,000	Cuellar	
Department of Education	Rehabilitation Services & Disability Research	American Academy of Orthotists and Prosthetists, Washington, DC, to improve the quality of applied orthotic and prosthetic research and to help meet the demand for provider services	\$500,000		Harkin
Department of Education	Rehabilitation Services & Disability Research	ARC of Madison County, Huntsville, AL, for a disability program initiative, which may include equipment	\$100,000		Sessions
Department of Education	Rehabilitation Services & Disability Research	Cornerstone Services, Inc., Joliet, IL for Special Education employment services	\$200,000	Halvorson	
Department of Education	Rehabilitation Services & Disability Research	Holy Angels Residential Facility, Caddo Parish, LA, for vocational training for developmentally disabled individuals	\$100,000		Landrieu
Department of Education	Rehabilitation Services & Disability Research	Special Olympics Rhode Island, Warwick, RI for delivering programs and promoting physical fitness among individuals with disabilities	\$250,000	Kennedy	Reed; Whitehouse
Department of Education	Rehabilitation Services & Disability Research	Spurwink Services, Portland, ME, for education programs for students with autism	\$400,000	Michaud; Pingree (ME)	Collins; Snowe

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education	Rehabilitation Services & Disability Research	Statewide Independent Living Council, Anchorage, AK, to expand independent living programs for rural and remote areas	\$400,000		Murkowski
Department of Education	Rehabilitation Services & Disability Research	Utah State Office of Rehabilitation, Salt Lake City, UT, for assistive technology equipment	\$150,000		Bennett
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Child Abuse Prevention	Addison County Parent Child Center, Middlebury, VT, for childcare and parental education programs	\$100,000		Sanders
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Child Abuse Prevention	Dakota County, Hastings, MN, for a home visitation program for at-risk infants and toddlers and their families	\$300,000		Franken; Klobuchar
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Child Abuse Prevention	Douglas County CARES, Roseburg, OR for the Kids in Common program	\$620,000	DeFazio	Wyden; Merkley
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Child Abuse Prevention	Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA, for child abuse prevention education services	\$100,000	Bishop (GA), Johnson (GA), Lewis (GA); Scott (GA)	Chambliss
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Child Abuse Prevention	Nez Perce Tribe, Lapwai, ID, for child abuse prevention	\$100,000		Crapo; Risch
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Child Abuse Prevention	Ohel Children's Home and Family Services, New York, NY for providing services to foster children, which may include equipment and supplies	\$350,000	Weiner; Meeks; Clarke	Schumer
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Child Abuse Prevention	Queens County Child Advocacy Center, Kew Gardens, NY for the Child Advocacy Center	\$320,000	Crowley	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Child Abuse Prevention	South Carolina Department of Education, Columbia, SC, for child abuse prevention education services	\$100,000		Graham
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Child Abuse Prevention	Southern Nevada Health District, Las Vegas, NV, for a home visitation program for low-income first-time mothers	\$400,000		Reid
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Abilis, Greenwich, CT for outreach and education to assist people with autism	\$125,000	Himes	Lieberman
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Access to Healthcare Network, Reno, NV, for a helpline to assist residents with healthcare and social services	\$330,000		Reid
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	AltaMed Health Services Corporation, Santa Ana, CA for a teen pregnancy prevention program	\$150,000	Sanchez, Loretta	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	American School Health Association, Kent, OH to address non-academic barriers to student learning through better assessment of needs and improved coordination of services	\$470,000	Ryan (OH)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Associated Early Care and Education, Boston, MA for a child and family development center	\$200,000	Capuano	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Autism Project/Gateway, Johnston, RI for the Center for Excellence	\$1,000,000	Kennedy	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Beyond Shelter, Los Angeles, CA for a crisis intervention demonstration project	\$400,000	Waters	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Boys and Girls Homes of North Carolina, Inc., Lake Waccamaw, NC for the support of programs providing therapeutic treatment to high risk youth	\$450,000	McIntyre	Hagan
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Campus Kitchen, Washington, DC, for services to the homeless community in New Jersey	\$100,000		Lautenberg, Menendez
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Child Care Coordinating Council, San Mateo, CA for a program encouraging quality and retention in the child care workforce	\$180,000	Speier	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Children's Health Initiative Napa County, Napa, CA for implementation of a web-based single point of entry eligibility and enrollment system for multiple benefit programs	\$215,000	Thompson (CA)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Children's Hunger Alliance, Columbus, OH for outreach and education targeted to low-income, home-based childcare providers	\$500,000	Kilroy, Ryan (OH); Sutton	Brown, Sherrod
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	City of Berkeley, CA for education and other support services to low-income pregnant women and new parents	\$250,000	Lee (CA)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	City of Mount Vernon, Mount Vernon, NY, for the Youth Development Action Plan	\$150,000		Gillibrand
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	City of Seattle Human Services Department, Seattle, WA for a residential recovery program serving prostituted youth	\$350,000	McDermott	Cantwell
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	City of Tracy, Tracy, CA, for gang-outreach, intervention, prevention, and educational assistance programs	\$300,000	McNerney	Boxer
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Covenant House New Jersey, Newark, NJ for outreach and services to homeless youth	\$150,000	Pallone; Payne	Lautenberg; Menendez
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Council of Peoples Organization, Brooklyn, NY for social services to low income women and families	\$300,000	Clarke	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	County of Alameda, Oakland, CA for support to newly emancipated foster youth	\$500,000	Stark	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	County of Contra Costa, Martinez, CA, for an initiative for children and adolescents exposed to domestic violence	\$475,000	Garamendi, McNerney; Miller, George	Boxer

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	County of Monterey Probation Department, Salinas, CA for gang prevention and intervention services	\$700,000	Farr	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	County of Prince George's, MD for prenatal services	\$440,000	Edwards (MD); Van Hollen	Cardin
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	County of Solano, Fairfield, CA for its Baby Coach and Court Appointed Special Advocates programs and related services	\$150,000	Miller, George	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Creative Visions, Des Moines, IA, for family reunification and support services	\$200,000		Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Crisis Shelter of Lawrence County, New Castle, PA for online victim support services	\$450,000	Altmire	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Devereux, Villanova, PA for an early intervention program for children with autism	\$300,000	Sestak	Specter; Casey
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Doorways for Women and Families, Arlington, VA for shelter and transitional housing for homeless and battered women and families	\$500,000	Moran (VA)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	FAMILY, Inc, Council Bluffs, IA, for a home visitation program for young children and their families	\$400,000		Harkin, Grassley
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Jewish Social Service Agency, Rockville, MD, for autism outreach, education, and case management services	\$450,000	Van Hollen	Mikulski; Cardin
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Lake County Community Foundation, Waukegan, IL, for expanding access to services	\$250,000		Durbin
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	LaSalle County Easter Seal Society, Inc., Ottawa, IL for services to special-needs youth	\$150,000	Halvorson	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Lifestyles of Maryland, Inc., LaPlata, MD for its Safe Nights Program for homeless and disadvantaged populations	\$69,000	Hoyer	Cardin
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Lifetrack Resources, St. Paul, MN, for outreach efforts in hearing loss early intervention and assessment services	\$400,000	McCollum	Franken
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Los Angeles County Department of Children and Family Services, Los Angeles, CA for programs supporting Transition Age Youth	\$250,000	Roybal-Allard; Sanchez, Linda	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	National Energy Assistance Directors' Association, Washington, DC for research and information dissemination related to the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program	\$200,000	DeLauro	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	North Ward Center, Inc., Newark, NJ, for comprehensive services for people with autism spectrum disorders	\$600,000	Sires	Menendez
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Northern Kentucky Community Action Commission, Covington, KY, for child care program activities	\$100,000		Bunning
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Northern Virginia Urban League, Alexandria VA for a male mentoring program for at-risk students	\$500,000	Moran (VA)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Ocean Bay Community Development Corporation, Arverne, NY for providing workforce services and financial education	\$150,000	Meeks (NY)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Olive Crest Pacific Northwest, Bellevue, WA, for services for foster children and children in unstable home situations	\$250,000		Murray
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Partners in Development Foundation, Honolulu, HI for parenting education and other services for families with young children	\$450,000	Hirono	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Pathways PA, Holmes, PA for services to pregnant and parenting teenagers	\$155,000	Sestak	Specter, Casey
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Refocus, Providence, RI for a program to assist persons with developmental disabilities	\$200,000	Kennedy	Reed, Whitehouse
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Refuge Network, Cambridge, MN, for family violence and sexual assault prevention and intervention services	\$150,000		Franken
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	RI Parent Information Network, Cranston, RI for the Family to Family Health Information Center	\$585,000	Kennedy	Whitehouse
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Sacred Heart Children's Home, Laredo, TX for equipment and staffing	\$250,000	Cuellar	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	SafePlace, Austin, TX for support of programs providing services to persons with disabilities	\$300,000	Doggett	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Springfield Area Parent Child Center, North Springfield, VT, for services for teenage and expectant mothers	\$500,000		Leahy
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	TLC for Children and Families, Olathe, KS, for youth transitional living programs	\$500,000	Moore (KS)	Brownback
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	United Way Of Capital Area, Jackson, MS, for 2-1-1 Mississippi	\$408,000		Cochran, Wicker

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	United Way of Jackson County, Jackson, MI for 2-1-1 information and referral services in Hillsdale, Branch, Jackson and Lenawee counties	\$285,000	Schauer	Stabenow, Levin
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	United Ways of California, South Pasadena, CA, for expanding 2-1-1 services	\$750,000		Feinstein, Boxer
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey - The Autism Center at NJ Medical School, Newark, NJ, for identifying and treating children with autism spectrum disorders	\$300,000		Lautenberg, Menendez
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	University of Nevada - Las Vegas, Las Vegas, NV, for expanding access to services for people with autism spectrum disorders	\$500,000	Berkley	Reid
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	University of Toledo, Toledo, OH for providing services to people with autism and developmental disabilities	\$500,000	Kaptur	Brown, Sherrod
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Wayne State University School of Social Work, Detroit, MI for a social worker training program	\$300,000	Levin	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Westchester Jewish Community Services, White Plains, NY for services for pregnant and parenting teens and for pregnancy prevention programs for high school students	\$150,000	Lowe	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	Women's Center of San Joaquin County, Stockton, CA for services to assist victims of domestic violence	\$250,000	McNerney	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration for Children and Families (ACF) - Social Services	YWCA of Greater Portland, Portland, OR, for services for victims of human trafficking	\$600,000		Wyden, Merkley
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration on Aging (AOA)	Cathedral Square Corporation, South Burlington, VT, for the Seniors Aging Safely at Home pilot program	\$750,000		Leahy, Sanders
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration on Aging (AOA)	Center for Aging in Place Support, Larchmont, NY for an aging in place program	\$100,000	Lowe	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration on Aging (AOA)	DOOROT, New York, NY for a Naturally Occurring Retirement Community project	\$250,000	Nadler (NY)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration on Aging (AOA)	Harmony House Senior Center, New Orleans, LA for social, educational, and nutritional services for seniors	\$300,000	Cao	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration on Aging (AOA)	Jewish Community Services, Baltimore, MD for the Family Caregiver Access Network	\$250,000	Sarbanes	Cardin
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration on Aging (AOA)	Jewish Family Services of Delaware, Wilmington, DE, for an aging-in-place initiative	\$150,000		Kaufman, Carper

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration on Aging (AOA)	Jewish Federation of Las Vegas, Las Vegas, NV, for the Las Vegas Senior Lifeline Program	\$100,000	Berkley, Titus	Reid
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration on Aging (AOA)	Jewish Federation of St. Louis, St. Louis, MO for the Senior Community Support Services project	\$350,000	Carnahan	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration on Aging (AOA)	Millennium Development Corporation, Brooklyn, NY for active adult programming	\$250,000	Weiner, Towns	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration on Aging (AOA)	Nevada Rural Counties RSVP Program, Carson City, NV, for the RSVP Home Companion Senior Respite Care Program	\$100,000		Reid
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration on Aging (AOA)	PACE Greater New Orleans, New Orleans, LA, for the expansion of senior services	\$150,000		Vitter; Landrieu
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration on Aging (AOA)	Rebuilding Together, Inc., Washington, DC for the Safe at Home falls prevention program	\$350,000	Hoyer	
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration on Aging (AOA)	The Associated: Jewish Community Federation of Baltimore, Baltimore, MD, to address safety and community engagement issues among seniors	\$200,000		Mikulski
Department of Health & Human Services	Administration on Aging (AOA)	Vermont Association of Area Agencies on Aging, Barre, VT, to expand nutrition assistance and related programs	\$200,000		Sanders
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Alameda County Department of Public Health, Office of AIDS Administration, Oakland, CA for an HIV/AIDS prevention, education, and testing initiative	\$500,000	Lee (CA)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Chicago Public Schools, Chicago, IL, for nutrition and health education programs, including equipment	\$150,000		Durbin
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	City of Fort Wayne, Fort Wayne, IN, for outreach, screening and education for Burmese refugees	\$100,000		Lugar
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	City of Philadelphia, PA for a city-wide electronic pre-natal care registry	\$400,000	Schwartz	Specter
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	County of Broward, Fort Lauderdale, FL for a pediatric mortality public awareness campaign	\$150,000	Hastings (FL)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	County of Marin, San Rafael, CA for research and analysis related to breast cancer incidence and mortality in the county and breast cancer screening	\$400,000	Woolsey	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Crim Fitness Foundation, Flint, MI for a youth program to combat obesity in Flint schools	\$800,000	Kildee; Kilpatrick (MI)	Stabenow, Levin

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Daniella Maria Arturi Foundation, Laurel, NY for the Diamond Blackfan Anemia public health outreach and surveillance program	\$500,000	McCarthy (NY)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	East Carolina University, Greenville, NC, for a health disparities behavioral and chronic disease management initiative	\$300,000	Butterfield	Burr
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Fibrous Dysplasia Foundation, Washington, DC, for the development of a patient network	\$200,000		Kerry
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Fletcher Allen Health Care, Burlington, VT, to expand the Center for Nutrition and Healthy Food Systems	\$100,000		Leahy
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Haitian American Association Against Cancer, Inc., Miami, FL for cancer education, outreach, screening, and related programs	\$300,000	Meek (FL)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Hawaii Primary Care Association, Honolulu, HI, to continue a program on childhood asthma	\$200,000		Inouye; Akaka
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Health, Youth & Family Services, Calumet City, IL for pediatric immunizations and parenting classes	\$300,000	Jackson (IL)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	International Rett Syndrome Foundation, Cincinnati, OH for education and awareness programs regarding Rett Syndrome	\$180,000	Hoyer	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	John M. Tedeschi Pediatric Institute at Virtua, Camden, NJ for a pediatric obesity outreach program	\$800,000	Andrews	Lautenberg; Menendez
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Long Island University, Glen Head, NY for asthma education, outreach, and data programs	\$250,000	Towns	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule, SD, for health education and promotion programs	\$100,000		Johnson
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Mary Bird Perkins Cancer Center, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, for cancer outreach initiatives	\$200,000		Vitter
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Meeting Street, Providence, RI for the Healthy Babies/Healthy Providence program	\$300,000	Langevin	Reed; Whitehouse
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, TN for promotion of physical activity and health in youth	\$350,000	Gordon (TN)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	National Council of La Raza, Washington, DC, for the Institute of Hispanic Health	\$1,000,000		Bingaman

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	PE4life Foundation, Kansas City, MO, for expansion and assessment of PE4life programs across Iowa	\$300,000		Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	San Antonio Metropolitan Health District, San Antonio, TX for a program to assess the health behaviors of the Kelly community and address health issues such as lead poisoning, asthma, and indoor pollutants	\$400,000	Gonzalez	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Shelburne Farms, Shelburne, VT, to expand Farm-to-School activities	\$250,000		Leahy
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Silent Spring Institute, Newton, MA, for studies of the impact of environmental pollutants on breast cancer and women's health	\$200,000	Delahunt	Kerry
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	South Carolina HIV/AIDS Council, Columbia, SC for HIV/AIDS community outreach programs	\$350,000	Clyburn	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	South Dakota State University, Brookings, SD, for research on health promotion	\$200,000	Herseeth Sandlin	Johnson
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	St. Mary's Medical Center, Long Beach, CA for a women's heart health outreach, education, and screening program	\$225,000	Richardson	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	University of Georgia, Athens, GA, for obesity intervention and prevention research	\$100,000	Scott (GA)	Chambliss
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	University of Hawaii at Hilo, Hilo, HI, for occupational safety and health research	\$100,000		Inouye, Akaka
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	University of Miami, Miami, FL for an education and outreach campaign to raise awareness of genetic disease testing and counseling	\$700,000	Wasserman Schultz	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC for a community-based kidney health educational outreach and disease management program	\$500,000	Price (NC), Butterfield	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls, IA, for a health literacy program	\$300,000	Braley (IA)	Grassley, Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	University of Texas-Pan American, Edinburg, TX for research and education activities at the South Texas Border Health Disparities Center	\$250,000	Hinojosa	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Waterloo Fire Rescue, Waterloo, IA, for FirePALS, a school-based injury prevention program	\$150,000		Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Western North Carolina Health Network, Inc., Asheville, NC for wellness and prevention programs for children	\$550,000	Shuler	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) - Research & Demonstration	Advocate Trinity Hospital, Chicago, IL to demonstrate promotion of stroke management symptoms	\$300,000	Jackson (IL)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) - Research & Demonstration	AltaMed Health Services Corporation, El Monte, CA for dental services to people living with HIV/AIDS	\$150,000	Chu	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) - Research & Demonstration	Bi-State Primary Care Association, Concord, NH for uninsured health care demonstration project	\$400,000	Shea-Porter; Welch	Shaheen
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) - Research & Demonstration	County of Fulton, Atlanta, GA for integrated health care demonstration project	\$700,000	Scott (GA), Lewis (GA)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) - Research & Demonstration	Healthy Howard Health Plan, Inc, Columbia, MD, for outreach, support and care coordination	\$600,000	Cummings; Sarbanes	Mikulski; Cardin
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) - Research & Demonstration	Iowa Prescription Drug Corporation, Urbandale, IA, for programs to reduce the cost of prescription drugs	\$500,000		Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) - Research & Demonstration	Medicare Rights Center, New York, NY for Health Advocacy Workshop demonstration project	\$200,000	Lowe	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) - Research & Demonstration	Patient Advocate Foundation, Newport News, VA for a patient assistance program for the uninsured	\$300,000	Scott (VA)	Webb; Warner
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) - Research & Demonstration	Quincy Medical Center, Quincy, MA for a program to support a geriatric health care demonstration	\$465,000	Delahunt	
Department of Health & Human Services	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) - Research & Demonstration	University of Mississippi, University, MS, for the Medication Use and Outcomes Research Group	\$800,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Aberdeen Area Tribal Chairmen's Health Board, Rapid City, SD, for facilities and equipment to improve prenatal care	\$450,000		Thune; Johnson
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Access Community Health Network, Chicago, IL for facilities and equipment	\$800,000	Jackson (IL)	Burris
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	AHMC Health Foundation, San Gabriel, CA for equipment	\$435,000	Schiff	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Alameda County Medical Center, Oakland, CA, for facilities and equipment	\$775,000		Feinstein

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Alaska Medicare Clinic, Anchorage, AK, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000		Murkowski
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium, Anchorage, AK, for facilities and equipment	\$1,000,000		Murkowski; Begich
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium, Anchorage, AK, for the oral health disparities project	\$250,000		Murkowski; Begich
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Alexian Brothers Hospital Network, Arlington Heights, IL, for facilities and equipment	\$375,000		Durbin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Alvio Medical Center, Chicago, IL for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Gutierrez	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	AltaMed Health Services Corporation, Los Angeles, CA for facilities and equipment	\$250,000	Roybal-Allard	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	American Oncologic Hospital, Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA for medical research facilities and equipment	\$950,000	Hoyer, Schwartz	Specter; Casey
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Anchorage Neighborhood Health Center, Anchorage, AK, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000		Murkowski
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Anchorage Project Access, Anchorage, AK, for outreach, care coordination, and support of oral health care	\$200,000		Begich
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Anne Arundel Medical Center, Annapolis, MD for facilities and equipment	\$300,000	Barbanes	Cardin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Apple Tree Dental, Minneapolis, MN, for facilities and equipment related to oral health care	\$150,000		Franken; Klobuchar

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Archbold Medical Center, Thomasville, GA for facilities and equipment	\$350,000	Bishop (GA)	Chambliss
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Arkansas Methodist Hospital Corporation, Paragould, AR, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Berry	Lincoln; Pryor
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of the Fort Peck Reservation, Poplar, MT, for facilities and equipment related to dialysis	\$200,000		Tester; Baucus
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Association for Utah Community Health, Salt Lake City, UT, to implement an electronic medical record system	\$106,000	Matheson	Bennett
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Austen Biominnovation Institute in Akron, Akron, OH for medical research facilities and equipment	\$550,000	Sutton	Brown, Sherrod, Voinovich
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Barbara Ann Karmanos Cancer Institute, Detroit, MI, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000	Levin	Levin; Stabenow
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Barnabas Uplift, Waverly, IA, for a health care job training program	\$300,000		Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Baton Rouge Children's Health Project, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, for the purchase of mobile mental health units	\$200,000		Vitter
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Baxter Regional Medical Center, Mountain Home, AR for facilities and equipment	\$900,000	Berry	Lincoln; Pryor
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Bay Area Medical Center, Marinette, WI for facilities and equipment	\$850,000	Kagen; Stupak	Stabenow; Levin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Baylor Health Care System and City of Dallas, Dallas, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$110,000		Cornyn

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Bear Lake Memorial Hospital, Montpelier, ID, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000		Crapo; Risch
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Beebe Medical Center, Lewes, DE, for facilities and equipment related to nurse training	\$150,000		Carper; Kaufman
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Beloved Community Family Wellness Center, Chicago, IL for facilities and equipment	\$600,000	Rush	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	BioOhio, Columbus, OH, for a national vaccine manufacturing and logistics center study	\$100,000	Kilroy; Kucinich	Voinovich; Brown, Sherrod
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Bi-State Primary Care Association, Montpelier, VT, for education and outreach	\$40,000		Sanders
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Bi-State Primary Care Association, Montpelier, VT, for equipment and support of a dental clinic	\$110,000		Sanders
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Bloomington Hospital of Orange County, Paoli, IN for facilities and equipment	\$175,000	Hill	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Bon Secures Health System, Richmond, VA for facilities and equipment	\$425,000	Scott (VA)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA for medical research facilities and equipment	\$400,000	Capuano, Lynch	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Bozeman Deaconess Hospital, Bozeman, MT, for facilities and equipment, including electronic health records	\$250,000		Baucus, Tester
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Briar Cliff University, Sioux City, IA, for health training equipment and online instruction	\$100,000		Harkin, Grassley

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Broadlawn Medical Center, Des Moines, IA, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Boswell	Harkin; Grassley
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Bronx Regional Health Information Organization, Bronx, NY for health information technology and equipment	\$500,000	Engel	Gillibrand; Schumer
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Bronx-Lebanon Hospital Center, Bronx, NY for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Serrano	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Brookhaven Memorial Hospital Medical Center, Patchogue, NY, to establish the Brookhaven Breast Cancer Coalition	\$100,000	Bishop (NY)	Schumer
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Brooklyn Hospital Center, Brooklyn, NY for equipment	\$300,000	Towns	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	California Hospital Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA for facilities and equipment	\$1,000,000	Roybal-Allard	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Camden-Clark Memorial Hospital, Parkersburg, WV for facilities and equipment	\$200,000	Mollohan	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Cancer Center of Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA for facilities and equipment	\$650,000	Capps	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Cassia Regional Medical Center, Burley, ID, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000		Crapo; Risch
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Center for Asbestos Related Disease, Libby, MT, for facilities and equipment, including information technology	\$300,000		Baucus; Tester
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Center for Enhanced Diabetic Eye Care, Pikesville, MD, for programs related to diabetic retinopathy, including equipment	\$100,000		Wyden; Merkley

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Central Arkansas Radiation Therapy Institute, Little Rock, AR, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000		Lincoln; Pryor
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Central Maine Medical Center College of Nursing and Health Professions, Lewiston, ME, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000	Michaud	Snowe; Collins
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Central Maine Medical Center, Lewiston, ME, for health professions training, including equipment	\$225,000	Michaud	Collins; Snowe
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Charlotte Stephenson Manor, Adrian, MI, for facilities and equipment	\$160,000	Schauer	Stabenow; Levin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Chester River Hospital Center, Chestertown, MD for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Kratovil	Cardin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Chestnut Health Systems, Bloomington, IL, for facilities and equipment	\$350,000		Durbin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Children's Medical Center - Dallas, Dallas, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$300,000	Johnson, Eddie Bernice	Hutchison; Cornyn
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Children's Memorial Hermann Hospital, Houston, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000	Green, Gene (TX)	Hutchison
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Children's Aid and Family Services, Paramus, NJ, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000	Rothman (NJ)	Lautenberg; Menendez
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Children's Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA for facilities and equipment	\$300,000	Becerra	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Children's Hospital of the King's Daughters, Norfolk, VA for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Nye, Scott (VA)	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Children's Hospital of Wisconsin and Health System, Milwaukee, WI, for facilities and equipment	\$700,000		Kohl
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Children's Hospital, Aurora, CO, for information technology upgrades	\$150,000	Perlmutter	Bennet
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, MO, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000		Bond
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Children's Specialized Hospital, Toms River, NJ, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000	Adler (NJ)	Menendez
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Chippewa Valley Free Clinic, Eau Claire, WI, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000		Kohl
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	CHRISTUS St. Frances Cabrini, Alexandria, LA, for electronic health record implementation	\$200,000		Landrieu; Vitter
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Chronic Disease Fund, Plano, TX, to expand chronic disease program	\$500,000	Ryan (OH)	Brownback
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	City of Henderson, Henderson, NV, for medical facilities and equipment	\$400,000	Titus	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Clarian Health Systems, Indianapolis, IN, for health information technology and equipment	\$635,000	Ellsworth	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Clarian Health, Indianapolis, IN, for outreach and education services for diabetes	\$100,000		Lugar
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Clark Atlanta University, Atlanta, GA, for medical research facilities and equipment	\$450,000	Johnson (GA); Lewis (GA)	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Cleveland Clinic Lou Ruvo Center for Brain Health, Las Vegas, NV, for facilities and equipment	\$300,000	Berkley	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Cleveland State University, Cleveland, OH, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000	Ryan (OH)	Voinovich; Brown, Sherrod
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Coastal Carolina University, Conway, SC, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000		Graham
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Coastal Family Health Center, Astoria, OR, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000	Wu	Merkley; Wyden
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Columbia Memorial Hospital, Hudson, NY for health information technology and equipment	\$650,000	Murphy (NY)	Schumer
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Columbus Regional Hospital, Columbus, IN for facilities and equipment	\$700,000	Hill	Lugar
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Columbus Technical College, Columbus, GA for facilities and equipment for health professions training	\$100,000	Bishop (GA)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Community Dental, Portland, ME, for dental health services, including equipment	\$450,000	Michaud	Collins; Snowe
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Community Foundation of Southwest Kansas, Dodge City, KS, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000		Brownback; Roberts
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Community Health Access Project, Inc., Mansfield, OH, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000		Brown, Sherrod
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Community Health Care, Incorporated, Davenport, IA, for health information technology and equipment	\$250,000	Braley (IA), Hare	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Community Health Center of Ft. Dodge, Ft. Dodge, IA, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000		Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Community Health Center, Inc., Middletown, CT, for a residency training program for nurse practitioners	\$150,000	DeLauro; Courtney, Murphy (CT)	Dodd; Lieberman
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Community Health Centers of Arkansas, North Little Rock, AR, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000		Lincoln; Pryor
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Community Health Centers of Southeastern Iowa, Burlington, IA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000		Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Community Health Centers of Southern Iowa, Leon, IA, for facilities and equipment	\$350,000		Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Community Health Connections, Inc., Fitchburg, MA for facilities and equipment	\$250,000	Olver	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Community Health, Chicago, IL, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000		Durbin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Community Nurse Health Association, La Grange, IL for facilities and equipment	\$250,000	Lipinski	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Comprehensive Community Action Inc., Cranston, RI, for health center facilities and equipment	\$500,000		Reed, Whitehouse
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Concordia University School of Pharmacy, Mequon, WI, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000		Kohl
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Connecticut Children's Medical Center, Hartford, CT, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Larson (CT)	Dodd; Lieberman

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Contra Costa ARC, Martinez, CA for the George Miller Centers Capital Improvement Project	\$500,000	Miller, George	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Cooper University Hospital, Camden, NJ for facilities and equipment for a medical simulation center	\$500,000	Andrews	Lautenberg, Menendez
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Coos County Family Health Services, Berlin, NH, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000		Shaheen
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Copper River Native Association, Copper Center, AK, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000		Murkowski
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Cornell Scott-Hill Health Corporation, New Haven, CT for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	DeLauro	Dodd, Lieberman
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	County of Harnett, Lillington, NC for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Etheridge	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	County of Riverside, Moreno Valley, CA, for facilities and equipment related to trauma care	\$1,000,000		Feinstein, Boxer
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	County of San Mateo, Redwood City, CA, for facilities and equipment	\$600,000	Eshoo	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	County of Santa Clara Health and Hospital System, San Jose, CA for health information technology and equipment	\$1,000,000	Honda	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	County of Schenectady, Schenectady, NY, for facilities and equipment	\$750,000	Tonko	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Crouse Hospital, Syracuse, NY, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000	Maffei	Schumer

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Cure for the Kids Foundation, Las Vegas, NV, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000		Reid
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Dakota Wesleyan University, Mitchell, SD, for health training equipment	\$200,000	Herseth Sandlin	Johnson
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Dallas County Community College District, Dallas, TX, for a health careers resource center	\$250,000		Hutchison
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center, Lebanon, NH, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000		Gregg
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Daughters of Charity Services of New Orleans, New Orleans, LA for facilities and equipment	\$550,000	Cao	Landrieu
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Dean McGee Eye Institute, Oklahoma City, OK, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000		Inhofe
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Deborah Hospital Foundation, Brown Mills, NJ for facilities and equipment	\$600,000	Adler (NJ)	Lautenberg, Menendez
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Delta State University, Cleveland, MS, for facilities and equipment	\$1,300,000		Cochran, Wicker
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Denver Health and Hospital Authority, Denver, CO for facilities and equipment	\$300,000	DeGette	Bennet
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Denver Hospice, Denver, CO, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000		Bennet
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Dillard University, New Orleans, LA, for facilities and equipment at the Gentile Center for Health Disparities and Disease Prevention	\$250,000		Landrieu, Vitter

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Doctors' Memorial Hospital, Perry, FL for facilities and equipment	\$245,000	Boyd	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Dorminy Medical Center, Fitzgerald, GA for facilities and equipment	\$330,000	Marshall	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Dr. Albert B. Cleage, Sr., Memorial Health Center, Detroit, MI for facilities and equipment	\$200,000	Kilpatrick (MI)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Drake University, Des Moines, IA for medical research facilities and equipment	\$300,000	Boswell	Harkin, Grassley
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Drew Memorial Hospital, Monticello, AR, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000		Lincoln, Pryor
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	East Carolina University, Greenville, NC, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Butterfield	Burr
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	East Carroll Parish Hospital, Lake Providence, LA, for facilities and equipment	\$600,000		Landrieu
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	East End Health Alliance, Greenport, NY for health information technology and equipment	\$500,000	Bishop (NY)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	East Harlem Council for Human Services, Inc., New York, NY for facilities and equipment	\$450,000	Rangel	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	East Orange General Hospital, East Orange, NJ for facilities and equipment	\$450,000	Payne	Lautenberg, Menendez
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Easter Seals Joliet Region, Inc., Joliet, IL, for facilities and equipment related to autism	\$200,000		Durbin, Burris

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Eastern Maine Healthcare System, Brewer, ME, for a hospice and palliative care initiative	\$385,000	Michaud	Collins; Snowe
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Eastern Shore Rural Health System, Inc., Nassawadox, VA, for equipment related to oral health care	\$150,000	Nye	Webb; Warner
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Egyptian Public & Mental Health Department, Eldorado, IL, for facilities and equipment at a rural health clinic	\$275,000		Durbin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	El Proyecto del Barrio Inc., Arleta, CA for facilities and equipment	\$330,000	Berman; Chu; Sherman	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Endless Mountains Health Systems, Montrose, PA for facilities and equipment	\$550,000	Carney	Specter; Casey
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Englewood Hospital and Medical Center, Englewood, NJ for facilities and equipment	\$645,000	Rothman (NJ)	Lautenberg; Menendez
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Ephraim McDowell Health Care Foundation, Danville, KY for facilities and equipment	\$220,000	Chandler	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Family Health Center of Marshfield, Inc., Marshfield, WI, for facilities and equipment	\$5,000,000	Obey	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Flathead Valley Community College, Kalispell, MT, for health professions training, including equipment	\$100,000		Baucus; Tester
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Fletcher Allen Health Care, Burlington, VT, for facilities and equipment	\$320,000	Weich	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Florida International University, Miami, FL for medical research facilities and equipment	\$550,000	Meek (FL); Hastings (FL); Wasserman Schultz	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Floyd Medical Center, Rome, GA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000		Chambliss
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Fowler Memorial Free Dental Clinic, Monroe, WI for facilities and equipment	\$190,000	Baldwin	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Framingham Community Health Center, Framingham, MA for facilities and equipment	\$300,000	Markey (MA)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Free Clinics of Iowa, Des Moines, IA, for coordination of care	\$350,000		Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Gadsden County Health Department, Quincy, FL for facilities and equipment	\$200,000	Boyd	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Garfield Memorial Hospital, Panguitch, UT, for facilities and equipment	\$106,000		Bennett
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Gillette Children's Specialty Healthcare, St. Paul, MN for facilities and equipment	\$600,000	McCollum	Klobuchar; Franken
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Gillette Children's Specialty Healthcare, St. Paul, MN, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000		Klobuchar; Franken
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Glendale Memorial Hospital and Health Center, Glendale, CA for facilities and equipment	\$350,000	Schiff	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Global to Local Health Initiative, Seattle, WA, for a health disparities program, including equipment	\$400,000		Murray; Cantwell
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Good Samaritan Health Clinic of Cullman, Inc., Cullman, AL, to implement an electronic medical records system	\$100,000		Sessions

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Good Samaritan Hospital, Cincinnati, OH for facilities and equipment for a school of nursing	\$325,000	Driehaus	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Goodall Hospital, Sanford, ME, for facilities and equipment	\$300,000	Pingree (ME)	Collins
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Goodall-Witcher Healthcare Foundation, Clifton, TX for facilities and equipment	\$400,000	Edwards (TX)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Grady Health System, Atlanta, GA for facilities and equipment	\$550,000	Lewis (GA), Bishop (GA)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Grays Harbor Community Hospital, Aberdeen, WA, for facilities and equipment	\$750,000	Dicks	Murray, Cantwell
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Greater Sioux Community Health Center, Sioux Center, IA, for facilities, equipment, supplies and outreach	\$750,000		Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Gwynedd-Mercy College, Gwynedd Valley, PA for facilities and equipment for the adult health center	\$400,000	Schwartz	Specter
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Habersham Medical Center, Demorest, GA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000		Chambliss
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Hamilton Health Center Inc., Harrisburg, PA for facilities and equipment	\$650,000	Holden	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Harms Memorial Hospital District, American Falls, ID, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000		Crapo, Risch
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Harriet Tubman Center East, Minneapolis, MN for facilities and equipment	\$600,000	McCollum	Klobuchar, Franken

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Harris County Hospital District, Houston, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000	Green, Al; Green, Gene; Jackson-Lee	Hutchison
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Hawkeye Community College, Waterloo, IA, for health education facilities and equipment	\$400,000	Braley (IA)	Harkin; Grassley
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Hays County, San Marcos, TX for facilities and equipment	\$450,000	Doggett	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Health Care Partners of South Carolina, Marion, SC for facilities and equipment	\$800,000	Clyburn	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Health Partners, Inc., Waldorf, MD for facilities and equipment	\$150,000	Hoyer	Cardin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Health Services Agency of Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA for facilities and equipment	\$400,000	Farr	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Health Work Force Institute, Seattle, WA, for programs to identify and address workforce needs	\$300,000		Murray
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	HealthAlliance of the Hudson Valley, Lake Katrine, NY for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Hinchey	Schumer
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Henderson County Rural Health Center (d.b.a. Eagle View Community Health System) Dental Expansion, Oquawka, IL for facilities and equipment	\$700,000	Hare	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Hidalgo Medical Services, Lordsburg, NM, for facilities and equipment in Silver City	\$250,000		Udall, Tom; Bingaman
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Holyoke Medical Center, Holyoke, MA for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Olver	Kerry

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Holzer Medical Center, Gallipolis, OH, for equipment	\$750,000	Wilson (OH)	Brown, Sherrod
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Hospice El Paso Inc., El Paso, TX for facilities and equipment	\$400,000	Reyes	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Hospice of the Panhandle, Martinsburg, WV, for facilities and equipment	\$3,000,000		Rockefeller
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Hospital of St Raphael, New Haven, CT for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	DeLauro	Dodd, Lieberman
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Houston Community College, Houston, TX, for recruitment and nurse training	\$250,000		Hutchison
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Howard Community College, Columbia, MD, for health education facilities and equipment	\$250,000	Cummings; Sarbanes	Cardin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Hudson Perinatal Consortium, Jersey City, NJ, for a program to improve birth outcomes	\$200,000		Lautenberg, Menendez
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Idaho State University, Pocatello, ID, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000		Crapo, Risch
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Indian Hills Community College, Ottumwa, IA for facilities and equipment for training in dentistry	\$425,000	Loebbeck	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Innovis Health, Fargo, ND, for facilities and equipment	\$175,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan, Conrad
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Integrated Service Solutions, Caribou, ME, to implement an electronic health record system	\$255,000		Collins

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Iowa CareGivers Association, West Des Moines, IA, for the Direct Care Worker Resource and Outreach Center	\$300,000		Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Iowa Healthcare Collaborative, Des Moines, IA, to improve healthcare provider efficiency and effectiveness	\$650,000	Boswell	Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Iowa Nebraska Primary Care Association, Des Moines, IA, for planning grants	\$600,000		Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Iowa State University, Ames, IA, for facilities and equipment for the Institute for Novel Vaccines and Anti-Microbial Design	\$1,000,000		Harkin, Grassley
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Iowa Valley Community College District, Marshalltown, IA, to assist underserved individuals in pursuing a healthcare career	\$100,000		Harkin; Grassley
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Iowa Western Community College, Council Bluffs, IA, for facilities and equipment for the nursing center	\$250,000		Grassley; Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Itawamba Community College, Fulton, MS for facilities and equipment for a college of nursing	\$1,000,000	Childers	Wicker
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Ivy Tech Community College, South Bend, IN for facilities and equipment for orthopedic manufacturing training	\$400,000	Donnelly (IN)	Lugar
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	J C Blair Memorial Hospital, Huntingdon, PA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000		Specter, Casey
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000	Michaud; Pingree (ME)	Snowe; Collins
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Jackson Park Hospital, Chicago, IL, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000	Rush	Durbin

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Jackson State University, Jackson, MS, for facilities and equipment	\$1,000,000	Thompson (MS)	Cochran; Wicker
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Jacksonville State University, Jacksonville, AL, for a nursing education program including equipment	\$100,000		Sessions
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Jameson Health System, New Castle, PA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000	Altmire	Specter
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Jellico Community Hospital, Jellico, TN for facilities and equipment	\$850,000	Davis (TN)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Jewish Adoption and Foster Care Options, Inc., Sunrise, FL for facilities and equipment	\$350,000	Deutch, Hastings (FL); Klein (FL); Meek (FL); Wasserman Schultz	Nelson, Bill
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Johnston Health, Smithfield, NC for health information technology and equipment	\$350,000	Etheridge	Hagan
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Kalihi-Palama Health Center, Honolulu, HI, for a program on renal disease	\$250,000		Inouye, Akaka
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Kanawha-Charleston Health Department, Charleston, WV, for a chronic disease management program	\$350,000		Rockefeller
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Kauai Community Health Center, Lihue, HI, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000		Inouye; Akaka
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Kennesaw State University, Kennesaw, GA, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000		Isakson
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	King County Project Access, Seattle, WA, for facilities and equipment	\$40,000		Murray

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Kosar Children's Hospital Foundation, Louisville, KY for facilities and equipment	\$700,000	Yarmuth	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	La Clinica del Valle Family Health Care Center, Inc., Medford, OR, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000		Merkley, Wyden
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Lake Area Technical Institute, Watertown, SD, for health training equipment	\$100,000	Herseeth Sandlin	Johnson
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Lake City Community Hospital, Lake City, SC for facilities and equipment	\$600,000	Clyburn	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Lanai'i Community Health Center, Lanai'i City, HI, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000		Inouye; Akaka
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Le Bonheur Children's Hospital, Memphis, TN for equipment	\$225,000	Tanner; Cohen	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Leflore County Health Center, Inc. Greenwood, MS, for a patient navigator initiative, including equipment	\$250,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Legacy Health System, Vancouver, WA, for telemedicine programs, including equipment	\$125,000		Murray; Cantwell
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Lewis-Clark State College, Lewiston, ID, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000		Crapo, Risch
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Lifespan, Providence, RI for health information technology and equipment	\$500,000	Kennedy	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Lincoln Hospital and Mental Health Center, Bronx, NY for facilities and equipment	\$300,000	Serrano	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Linn Community Care, Cedar Rapids, IA, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000		Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Little Company of Mary Hospital, Evergreen Park, IL, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000	Lipinski; Rush	Durbin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Livingston Health Care, Livingston, MT, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000		Tester; Baucus
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Los Angeles Community College District, Los Angeles, CA, for health professions training, including equipment	\$150,000		Boxer
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Lowell General Hospital, Lowell, MA for facilities and equipment	\$750,000	Tsongas	Kerry
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Lower Lights Christian Health Center, Columbus, OH, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000	Kilroy	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Loyola University, Chicago, IL, for facilities and equipment related to nurse training	\$400,000		Durbin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Lynn Community Health Center, Lynn, MA for facilities and equipment	\$750,000	Tierney	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Maimonides Medical Center, Brooklyn, NY for facilities and equipment	\$600,000	Nadler (NY); Towns	Gillibrand; Schumer
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Maine Medical Center, Portland, ME, for physician recruitment, including scholarships	\$355,000	Pingree (ME)	Collins; Snowe
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Manchester College, Fort Wayne, IN, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000		Lugar

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Manet Community Health Center, Inc., North Quincy, MA for health information technology and equipment	\$400,000	Delahunt	Kerry
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Manilaq Association, Kotzebue, AK, for facilities and equipment	\$1,500,000		Murkowski; Begich
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Marcus Autism Center, Atlanta, GA, for rural health outreach	\$200,000		Isakson, Chambliss
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Marquette General Hospital, Marquette, MI for facilities and equipment	\$1,200,000	Stupak	Stabenow, Levin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI for rural health outreach	\$300,000	Obey	Kohl
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Marshall University, Huntington, WV, for facilities and equipment related to genetic research	\$2,300,000		Rockefeller
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Mary Imogene Bassett Hospital Inc., Cooperstown, NY for facilities and equipment	\$350,000	Arcuri	Gillibrand; Schumer
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Massachusetts College of Pharmacy, Worcester, MA for health professions education and training	\$550,000	McGovern, Capuano	Kerry
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Massachusetts League of Community Health Centers, Boston, MA for health professions education and training	\$600,000	Capuano	Kerry
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Maui Medical Center, Wailuku, HI, for health professions training, including equipment	\$100,000	Hirono	Inouye; Akaka
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	McLeod Medical Center Dillon, Dillon, SC for facilities and equipment	\$750,000	Spratt	Graham

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Meadville Medical Center, Meadville, PA for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Dahlkemper	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Medical Center of the Americas Foundation, El Paso, TX for medical research facilities and equipment	\$600,000	Reyes	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin, Keshena, WI for facilities and equipment	\$250,000	Kagen	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Mental Crisis Services, Denver, CO, for electronic health record implementation	\$150,000		Bennet
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Merced County Association of Governments, Merced, CA for rural health outreach	\$350,000	Cardoza	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Mercy Medical Center, Inc., Canton, OH, to implement an electronic medical records system	\$250,000	Boccheri; Ryan (OH); Space	Voinovich
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Mercy Medical Foundation, Des Moines, IA, for pediatric care facilities and equipment	\$500,000		Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Mercy Tyler Hospital, Tunkhannock, PA for facilities and equipment	\$350,000	Carney	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Methodist Healthcare Foundation, Memphis, TN for facilities and equipment	\$550,000	Cohen	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe, Onamia, MN for facilities and equipment	\$1,300,000	McCollum; Oberstar	Klobuchar; Franken
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Minidoka Memorial Hospital, Rupert, ID, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000		Crapo; Risch

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Minot State University, Minot, ND, for its Great Plains Autism Treatment Program to serve transition-age youth with autism spectrum disorders	\$200,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan; Conrad
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Mission Health System, Asheville, NC, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000	Shuler	Hagan
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Mississippi Blood Services, Inc., Jackson, MS, for facilities and equipment	\$485,000		Cochran
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Mississippi County Hospital System d.b.a. Great River Medical Center, Blytheville, AR, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000		Lincoln; Pryor
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Mississippi Primary Health Care Association, Jackson, MS, for facilities and equipment	\$350,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS, for biomedical engineering facilities and equipment	\$1,000,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Mississippi University for Women, Columbus, MS, for facilities and equipment	\$190,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Missouri River Medical Center, Fort Benton, MT, for facilities and equipment	\$300,000		Tester; Baucus
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Monongalia General Hospital, Morgantown, WV, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000	Mollohan	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Monroe Clinic, Monroe, WI for facilities and equipment	\$850,000	Baldwin	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Montgomery College, Rockville, MD for equipment for health professions training	\$450,000	Van Hollen	Cardin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Morehead Memorial Hospital, Eden, NC for facilities and equipment	\$550,000	Miller (NC)	Hagan
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Morehouse School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA for facilities and equipment	\$300,000	Lewis (GA); Bishop (GA); Johnson (GA); Scott (GA)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Morris College, Sumter, SC for facilities and equipment	\$350,000	Clyburn	Graham
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Morris Heights Health Center Inc., Bronx, NY for facilities and equipment	\$200,000	Serrano	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Morse Geriatric Center, West Palm Beach, FL for facilities and equipment	\$450,000	Klein (FL)	Nelson; Bill
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Moses Cone Health System, Greensboro, NC, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000	Miller (NC)	Hagan
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Mount Sinai Hospital of Queens, Long Island City, NY for facilities and equipment	\$600,000	Maloney	Schumer
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	MultiCare Good Samaritan Hospital, Puyallup, WA for facilities and equipment	\$525,000	Smith (WA)	Murray; Cantwell
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Multnomah County, Portland, OR for facilities and equipment	\$300,000	Blumenauer; Wu	Wyden; Merkley
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Municipality of San Juan, San Juan, PR for facilities and equipment	\$250,000	Pierluisi	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Murray State University, Murray, KY, to purchase a mobile health unit	\$500,000		McConnell
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	MUSC Children's Hospital, Charleston, SC for facilities and equipment	\$800,000	Brown (SC)	Graham
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Nathan Adelson Hospice Foundation, Las Vegas, NV, for facilities and equipment	\$590,000		Reid
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	National Jewish Health, Denver, CO, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000		Bennet
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Native Women's Health Care Center, Rapid City, SD, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000	Herseht Sandlin	Johnson
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Navos, Seattle, WA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000	Smith (WA)	Cantwell; Murray
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Nevada Cancer Institute, Las Vegas, NV for facilities and equipment	\$850,000	Berkley, Titus	Reid
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	New Jersey Center for Tourette Syndrome and Associated Disorders, Somerville, NJ for medical research facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Sires	Lautenberg; Menendez
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	New Mexico Foundation for Dental Health, Research and Education, Albuquerque, NM, for outreach, care coordination, and support of oral health care	\$100,000		Bingaman; Udall, Tom
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	New York Hospital Queens, Flushing, NY for equipment	\$100,000	Ackerman	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	New York Presbyterian Hospital, New York, NY, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000	Arcuri	Gillibrand; Schumer

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	New York University Langone Medical Center, New York, NY, for facilities and equipment	\$750,000		Schumer
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Niagara University, Niagara University, NY for medical research facilities and equipment	\$550,000	Slaughter	Schumer
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	North Colorado Medical Center, Greeley, CO, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000	Markey (CO)	Bennet
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	North Dakota Medical Association, Bismarck, ND, to set up a statewide quality improvement network	\$250,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan; Conrad
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	North Idaho College, Coeur d'Alene, ID, to expand the physical therapy program	\$100,000		Crapo; Risch
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	North Shore Long Island Jewish Health System, Great Neck, NY, to implement electronic medical records	\$500,000	Ackerman; McCarthy (NY)	Gillibrand; Schumer
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Northeast Missouri Health Council, Inc., Kirksville, MO, for facilities and equipment	\$750,000		Bond
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Northeastern State University, Tahlequah, OK for health professions education and training	\$650,000	Boren	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Norwegian American Hospital, Chicago, IL for health professions education and training	\$850,000	Davis (IL); Gutierrez	Burris
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Nye County, Pahrump, NV, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000		Reid
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Oakwood Healthcare, Inc., Dearborn, MI, for facilities and equipment related to orthopedics	\$400,000	Dingell	Stabenow; Levin

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Oglala Sioux Tribe, Pine Ridge, SD, for facilities and equipment related to emergency care	\$250,000	Herseeth Sandlin	Johnson
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center - James Cancer Hospital and Solove Research Institute, Columbus, OH, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000	Kilroy	Voinovich; Brown, Sherrod
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Ohio University, Athens, OH, for health outreach and services in Appalachia Ohio	\$200,000	Space; Wilson (OH)	Voinovich; Brown, Sherrod
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation, Oklahoma City, OK, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000		Inhofe
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Olympic College, Bremerton, WA for facilities and equipment for a school of nursing	\$500,000	Dicks	Murray; Cantwell
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Operation Samahan, Inc., National City, CA for facilities and equipment	\$750,000	Filner	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Our Lady of the Lake University, San Antonio, TX, for nursing curriculum development, including equipment	\$110,000	Gonzalez	Cornyn
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Palisades Medical Center, North Bergen, NJ for facilities and equipment	\$400,000	Rothman (NJ); Sires	Lautenberg; Menendez
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Palmer College of Chiropractic and Myrna Brind Center of Integrative Medicine, Davenport, IA, to develop a model integrative healthcare program for the treatment of pain	\$400,000		Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Palmetto Health Foundation, Columbia, SC for facilities and equipment	\$400,000	Clyburn	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Parkland Health and Hospital System, Dallas, TX for facilities and equipment	\$900,000	Johnson, Eddie Bernice	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Parkland Health and Hospital System, Dallas, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000		Hutchison
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Parkview Medical Center, Pueblo, CO for facilities and equipment	\$700,000	Salazar	Bennet
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Peace Health Whatcom Region, Bellingham, WA, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000		Cantwell; Murray
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Pennsylvania Breast Cancer Coalition, Ephrata, PA, for screening programs and outreach	\$100,000		Casey; Specter
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Penobscot Community Health Care, Bangor, ME, for a rural dental health initiative	\$150,000	Michaud	Snowe
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Penobscot Valley Hospital, Lincoln, ME for facilities and equipment	\$600,000	Michaud	Collins; Snowe
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Peoples Community Health Clinic, Waterloo, IA, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000		Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Phoenix Children's Hospital, Phoenix, AZ for facilities and equipment	\$650,000	Pastor (AZ)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Pierce College Puyallup, Puyallup, WA, for health training equipment	\$130,000		Murray
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Pioneer Valley Life Sciences Institute-Baystate Medical Center, Springfield, MA for medical research facilities and equipment	\$525,000	Neal	Kerry
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Pratt Regional Medical Center, Pratt, KS, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000		Brownback

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Primary Care Association of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI, to support community health centers, including equipment	\$1,850,000		Inouye; Akaka
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Prince Georges County Health Department, Largo, MD for facilities and equipment	\$443,000	Hoyer	Cardin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Provena Health, Mokena, IL for facilities and equipment	\$600,000	Foster	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Providence Alaska Family Medicine Residency, Anchorage, AK, for physician recruitment, education and training in rural Alaska	\$800,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Providence ElderPlace Seattle, Seattle, WA, for facilities and equipment	\$300,000	McDermott	Murray
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Providence St. Peter Hospital, Olympia, WA, for facilities and equipment	\$135,000	Baird; Smith (WA)	Murray
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Pueblo of Sandia, Bernalillo, NM for facilities and equipment	\$250,000	Heinrich	Bingaman; Udall, Tom
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Quinnipiac University, Hamden, CT for facilities and equipment for a school of medicine	\$1,000,000	DeLauro	Dodd; Lieberman
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Reach Up Inc., Tampa, FL for health professions education and training	\$400,000	Castor (FL)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Regis College, Weston, MA, for facilities and equipment related to nurse training	\$100,000	Markey (MA)	Kerry
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago, Homewood, IL for medical research equipment	\$110,000	Jackson (IL); Quigley	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Renown Health, Reno, NV, to expand nurse training	\$500,000		Reid
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Rhode Island Free Clinic, Providence, RI, for coordination of care, data collection and analysis	\$250,000		Reed; Whitehouse
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Rice Lake Area Free Clinic, Rice Lake, WI, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000		Kohl
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Rice University, Houston, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000		Hutchison
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Richmond Medical Center dba Richmond University Medical Center, Staten Island, NY, for facilities and equipment	\$900,000	McMahon	Schumer
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	RioOne Health Network, Edinburg, TX for health information technology	\$475,000	Hinojosa	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Roanoke-Chowan Hospital, Ahoskie, NC for facilities and equipment	\$650,000	Butterfield	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Rosebud, SD for facilities and equipment	\$450,000		Thune; Johnson
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Rosebud, SD for facilities and equipment for the White River Health Care Center	\$500,000	Herseeth Sandlin	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Rosebud, SD, for facilities and equipment, including purchase of a vehicle related to elderly care	\$50,000		Johnson
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	S.A.Y. Detroit Family Health Clinic, Highland Park, MI for equipment	\$300,000	Conyers	Stabenow; Levin

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Salish Kootenai College, Pablo, MT, for facilities and equipment related to health professions training	\$100,000		Tester; Baucus
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Salve Regina University, Newport, RI, for facilities, equipment, and training for an expanded nursing program	\$500,000	Kennedy	Reed; Whitehouse
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	San Francisco Department of Public Health, San Francisco, CA for facilities and equipment	\$700,000	Pelosi	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	San Francisco Department of Public Health, San Francisco, CA for facilities and equipment	\$700,000	Pelosi	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	San Luis Valley Regional Medical Center, Alamosa, CO for health information technology and equipment	\$500,000	Salazar	Bennet
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Sanders County Community Development Corporation, Thompson Falls, MT, for facilities and equipment at the Hot Springs Medical Clinic	\$300,000		Tester; Baucus
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA for facilities and equipment for health professions training	\$650,000	Inslee	Murray
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Seton Medical Center - Austin, Austin, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000		Hutchison
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Sherman County Health District dba Moro Medical Clinic, Moro, OR, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000		Wyden; Merkley
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Sinai Health System, Chicago, IL for facilities and equipment	\$300,000	Davis (IL)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Snow College, Ephraim, UT, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000		Bennett

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Solaris Health System - JFK Medical Center, Edison, NJ, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000	Pallone	Lautenberg, Menendez
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Somerset Hospital, Somerset, PA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000		Specter, Casey
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Southeast Missouri State University, Cape Girardeau, MO, for the S.H.O.W. mobile initiative, including equipment	\$200,000		Bond
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Southeastern Community College, West Burlington, IA for facilities and equipment for medical simulation laboratories	\$230,000	Loeb sack	Grassley; Harkin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Southeastern Ohio Regional Medical Center, Cambridge, OH for health information technology and equipment	\$700,000	Space	Brown, Sherrod
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Southern Nevada Immunization Coalition, Las Vegas, NV, for a community immunization project	\$200,000		Reid
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Southside Virginia Community College, Keysville, VA for facilities and equipment for health professions training	\$250,000	Perriello	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Southwest Center for HIV/AIDS, Phoenix, AZ for facilities and equipment	\$750,000	Pastor (AZ)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Southwest Colorado Mental Health Center, Inc., Durango, CO, for facilities and equipment at the Cortez Clinic	\$250,000	Salazar	Bennet
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Sparrow Ionia County Memorial Hospital, Lansing, MI, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000		Stabenow; Levin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Spokane County Medical Society Foundation, Spokane, WA, for outreach and care coordination	\$100,000		Cantwell

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Alexius Medical Center Foundation, Bismarck, ND, for facilities and equipment	\$750,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan; Conrad
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Anthony's Health Center, Alton, IL for information technology and equipment	\$500,000	Costello	Durbin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Bernard Health Center, Inc., Arabi, LA for facilities and equipment	\$750,000	Melancon	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Charles Health Council, Inc., Pennington Gap, VA, for a mobile respiratory care clinic, including equipment	\$150,000		Webb; Warner
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Elizabeth's Medical Center, Wabasha, MN for facilities and equipment	\$800,000	Walz	Klobuchar; Franken
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Francis Hospital, Litchfield, IL for health information technology and equipment	\$150,000	Hare	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Helena Hospital Clearlake, Clearlake, CA for facilities and equipment	\$300,000	Thompson (CA)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. James Hospital and Health Centers, Chicago Heights, IL for facilities and equipment	\$800,000	Jackson (IL)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. John Medical Center Foundation, Longview, WA, for health professions training equipment	\$100,000		Murray
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Joseph Hospital, Mt. Sterling, KY for facilities and equipment	\$220,000	Chandler	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Joseph Hospital, Nashua, NH, to implement an electronic medical record system	\$250,000		Gregg; Shaheen

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Joseph's Mercy Health Foundation, Hot Springs, AR, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000		Lincoln; Pryor
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Joseph's Hospital, Syracuse, NY for facilities and equipment	\$750,000	Maffei	Schumer
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Joseph's Regional Medical Center, Paterson, NJ for health information technology and equipment	\$165,000	Pascrell	Lautenberg; Menendez
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Joseph's/Candler Health System, Savannah, GA for health information technology and equipment	\$500,000	Barrow	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, for facilities and equipment	\$1,600,000	Cohen	Alexander
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Louis Children's Hospital, St. Louis, MO, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000		Bond
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Louis University, St. Louis, MO for medical research facilities and equipment	\$800,000	Clay	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Luke's Cornwall Hospital, Newburgh, NY for facilities and equipment	\$700,000	Hall (NY)	Schumer
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Luke's Miners Memorial Hospital, Coaldale, PA for facilities and equipment	\$200,000	Holden	Casey
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Mary's Foundation for St. Mary's Regional Medical Center, Reno, NV, for planning and support of oral health care	\$300,000		Reid
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Mary's Hospital, Cottonwood, ID, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000		Crapo; Risch

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Mary's Janesville Hospital, Janesville, WI, for facilities and equipment	\$700,000		Kohl
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Mary's Medical Center, Huntington, WV, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000	Rahall	Rockefeller
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Mary's Medical Center, San Francisco, CA for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Pelosi	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Patrick Hospital and Health Sciences Center, Missoula, MT, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000		Tester; Baucus
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	St. Vincent Hospital, Billings, MT, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000		Baucus; Tester
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Stanley Street Treatment Center, Fall River, MA for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Frank (MA); McGovern	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	State of Maryland, Baltimore, MD, for facilities and equipment related to emergency care	\$1,250,000		Mikulski
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Staten Island University Hospital, Staten Island, NY for facilities and equipment	\$350,000	McMahon	Gillibrand
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Straub Hospital Burn Center, Honolulu, HI, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000		Inouye; Akaka
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Summa Health System, Akron, OH, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000	Ryan (OH)	Brown; Sherrod
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Tampa General Hospital, Tampa, FL for facilities and equipment	\$350,000	Castor (FL)	Nelson; Bill

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Taylor Regional Hospital, Hawkinsville, GA for facilities and equipment	\$270,000	Marshall	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Temple University Health System, Philadelphia, PA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000	Brady (PA)	Specter; Casey
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Teton Valley Hospital, Driggs, ID, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000		Crapo; Risch
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Texas Children's Hospital, McAllen, TX, for education and outreach programs at the Vannie E. Cook Jr. Children's Cancer and Hematology Clinic	\$250,000		Hutchison; Cornyn
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, TX for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Green, Al	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Texas Health Institute, Austin, TX, for emergency response initiative, including purchase of equipment	\$200,000		Hutchison
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Texas Medical Center, Houston, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$650,000		Hutchison
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, Austin, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000	Johnson, Eddie Bernice; Rodriguez	Hutchison
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Texas Women's University, Denton, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$300,000		Hutchison
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, PA for facilities and equipment	\$600,000	Brady (PA)	Specter; Casey
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Thompson Health, Canandaigua, NY, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000		Gillibrand; Schumer

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Touro University Nevada, Henderson, NV, for facilities and equipment at the College of Optometry	\$750,000		Reid
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Tri-County Community College, Murphy, NC for facilities and equipment for nurse training	\$300,000	Shuler	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Tri-County Hospital, Wadena, MN for rural health outreach	\$500,000	Oberstar	Klobuchar; Franken
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Tucson Medical Center, Tucson, AZ for health information technology and equipment	\$600,000	Giffords	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Tufts University, Boston, MA for medical research facilities and equipment	\$600,000	Markey (MA)	Kerry
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Tulane University School of Medicine, New Orleans, LA, for facilities and equipment	\$300,000		Landrieu
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Tulare Regional Medical Center, Tulare, CA, for facilities and equipment	\$550,000		Feinstein
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Tyrone Hospital, Tyrone, PA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000		Specter
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	UAW Local 1005 General Motors Parma, Parma, OH for medical equipment and construction of a health clinic	\$700,000	Kucinich	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Union Hospital, Terre Haute, IN, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000	Ellsworth	Lugar
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	United Community and Family Services, Norwich, CT, for facilities and equipment	\$390,000	Courtney	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	United General Hospital, Sedro Woolley, WA, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000	Larsen (WA)	Murray
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	United Medical Centers, Eagle Pass, TX for facilities and equipment	\$800,000	Rodriguez	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University at Albany SUNY, Albany, NY, for facilities and equipment related to orphan disease research	\$200,000		Gillibrand
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University at Buffalo, the State University of New York, Buffalo, NY for medical research facilities and equipment	\$350,000	Slaughter	Schumer
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University Hospitals, Cleveland, OH, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000	Sutton	Voinovich
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University Hospitals, Wadsworth, OH for facilities and equipment	\$900,000	Boccheri	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University Medical Center of Southern Nevada, Las Vegas, NV, for a diabetes management program	\$300,000		Reid
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL, for facilities and equipment	\$15,000,000		Shelby
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Arkansas Community College at Hope, Hope, AR for health professions education and training	\$450,000	Ross	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR for facilities and equipment	\$600,000	Snyder	Lincoln; Pryor
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR, for facilities and equipment related to oncology	\$200,000		Lincoln; Pryor

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of California Davis Medical Center, Sacramento, CA, for facilities and equipment	\$1,000,000	Matsui	Feinstein
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA, for facilities and equipment at the School of Medicine	\$750,000	Baca	Feinstein; Boxer
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Central Arkansas, Conway, AR, for facilities and equipment related to training mental health professionals	\$250,000		Lincoln; Pryor
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Colorado Denver School of Medicine, Aurora, CO, for facilities and equipment for the Linda Crnic Institute for Down Syndrome	\$600,000	Perlmutter	Bennet
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Colorado Denver, Aurora, CO, for a health professions training program	\$150,000		Udall; Mark; Bennet
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Hawaii at Hilo School of Nursing, Hilo, HI, to develop advanced degrees and expand rural nurse training	\$350,000		Inouye; Akaka
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Hawaii at Hilo, Hilo, HI, for a clinical pharmacy training program	\$1,600,000	Hirono	Inouye; Akaka
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Hawaii School of Medicine, Honolulu, HI, for curriculum development	\$200,000		Inouye; Akaka
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Hawaii School of Nursing-Manoa, Honolulu, HI, for rural nurse training programs	\$350,000		Inouye; Akaka
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Iowa, Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA, for facilities and equipment for the Institute for Biomedical Discovery	\$2,000,000	Loebback	Harkin; Grassley
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, for facilities and equipment at the College of Public Health	\$1,000,000	Loebback	Harkin; Grassley

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Kansas Hospital, Kansas City, KS, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Moore (KS)	Brownback
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, for facilities and equipment	\$550,000	Moore (KS)	Roberts
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Kentucky Research Foundation, Lexington, KY for medical research facilities and equipment	\$650,000	Chandler	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Kentucky Research Foundation, Lexington, KY, for a colorectal cancer research program, including equipment	\$1,900,000		McConnell
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Kentucky Research Foundation, Lexington, KY, to establish a program to reduce heart disease in rural areas	\$2,000,000		McConnell
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Louisville Research Foundation, Louisville, KY, for facilities and equipment	\$4,000,000		McConnell
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Louisville Research Foundation, Louisville, KY, for facilities and equipment	\$900,000		McConnell
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Louisville Research Foundation, Louisville, KY, for facilities and equipment	\$700,000		McConnell
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Maryland Medical System, Baltimore, MD, for equipment and information technology upgrades	\$200,000		Mikulski
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Maryland, Baltimore, Annapolis, MD, for facilities and equipment related to stem cell research	\$1,000,000		Mikulski; Cardin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Massachusetts Memorial Health Care, Worcester, MA, for implementation of an electronic medical record	\$250,000		Kerry

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Michigan Health System, Ann Arbor, MI for facilities and equipment	\$550,000	Dingell	Stabenow; Levin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS, for facilities and equipment	\$4,384,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Mississippi, University, MS, for facilities and equipment	\$5,000,000	Childers	Cochran; Wicker
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Nevada - Reno, Reno, NV, for health professions training and public education efforts related to pediatric Crohn's disease	\$300,000		Reid
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center Clinical and Translational Science Center, Albuquerque, NM for medical research facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Heinrich	Bingaman; Udall, Tom
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, for outreach, training and technical assistance related to cerebral cavernous malformations	\$100,000		Udall, Tom; Bingaman
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of North Carolina - Greensboro, Greensboro, NC, for a telespeech initiative, including equipment	\$300,000		Burr; Hagan
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of North Dakota School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Grand Forks, ND, for facilities and equipment	\$175,000		Dorgan; Conrad
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Northern Colorado, Greeley, CO for health professions education and training	\$600,000	Markey (CO)	Udall, Mark
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, PA, for equipment	\$100,000		Specter
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI, for equipment related to nursing education	\$500,000		Reed; Whitehouse

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS, for facilities and equipment	\$6,000,000	Taylor	Cochran; Wicker
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of St. Francis, Joliet, IL for facilities and equipment for health professions education	\$250,000	Halvorson	Durbin
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Texas Health Center at Tyler, Tyler, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000		Hutchison
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Cuellar	Hutchison
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Texas Health Science Center-Houston, Houston, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000	Green, Al; Green, Gene	Hutchison
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000	Green, Al; Green, Gene	Hutchison
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, for facilities and equipment	\$1,000,000		Bennett
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Vermont, Burlington, VT, for equipment related to DNA sequencing	\$500,000		Leahy
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Washington, Seattle, WA for facilities and equipment for a dental clinic	\$570,000	McDermott; Larsen (WA)	Murray; Cantwell
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	University of Wisconsin-Rock County, Janesville, WI, for a higher education collaboration to promote degrees in nursing	\$250,000		Kohl
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	UPMC Horizon, Greenville, PA for facilities and equipment	\$400,000	Dahlkemper	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Utah Department of Health, Salt Lake City, UT, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000		Bennett
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Utah Department of Health, Salt Lake City, UT, to expand Monticello health education and screening initiative	\$106,000		Bennett
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Utah Medical Education Council, Salt Lake City, UT, for the purchase of a mobile dental unit	\$500,000		Bennett
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Utah Navajo Health System, Montezuma Creek, UT for facilities and equipment	\$105,000	Matheson	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Utah Valley University, Orem, UT, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000		Bennett
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, for facilities and equipment	\$2,400,000		Alexander
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Virginia Community College System, Richmond, VA, for expansion of the Emergency Medical Technician program	\$100,000		Warner; Webb
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Virginia Mason Medical Center, Seattle, WA, for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	McDermott	Murray
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Virginia State University, Petersburg, VA, for facilities and equipment related to nurse training	\$100,000		Webb; Warner
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	WakeMed Health and Hospitals, Raleigh, NC for facilities and equipment	\$500,000	Price (NC); Etheridge; Miller (NC)	Hagan
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Washington County, NC, Plymouth, NC, for facilities and equipment	\$400,000	Butterfield	Burr

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	WCA Hospital, Jamestown, NY, for facilities and equipment	\$200,000	Higgins	Schumer
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Weber State University, Ogden, UT, for expansion of nursing programs, including equipment	\$350,000		Bennett
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Webster University, Webster Groves, MO for health professions education and training	\$150,000	Carnahan	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Wesley College, Dover, DE, for facilities and equipment related to nurse training	\$200,000		Carper; Kaufman
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	West Hawaii Community Health Center, Kailua-Kona, HI, for facilities and equipment	\$250,000		Inouye; Akaka
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	West Virginia Northern Community College, Weirton, WV, for facilities and equipment related to health professions training	\$3,000,000		Rockefeller
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	West Virginia School of Osteopathic Medicine, Lewisburg, WV for rural health outreach	\$650,000	Rahall	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	West Virginia University Health Sciences, Morgantown, WV, for facilities and equipment	\$1,000,000		Rockefeller
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV for medical research facilities and equipment	\$600,000	Mollohan	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV, for the construction of a Multiple Sclerosis Center	\$2,300,000		Rockefeller
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green, KY, for facilities and equipment	\$2,500,000		McConnell

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Westside Healthcare Association, Milwaukee, WI for equipment	\$100,000	Moore (WI)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Whatley Health Services, Greensboro, AL, for facilities and equipment	\$150,000		Sessions
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Wheeling Jesuit University, Wheeling, WV, for the HealthWV program, including equipment	\$2,500,000		Rockefeller
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	White River Health System, Batesville, AR for facilities and equipment	\$600,000	Berry	Lincoln; Pryor
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Whitmore-Peterson Institute for Neuro-Immune Disease, Sparks, NV, for facilities and equipment	\$1,000,000		Reid; Ensign
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Williamsport Hospital, Williamsport, PA, for facilities and equipment	\$100,000		Specter
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Wills Eye Health System, Philadelphia, PA for health information technology and equipment	\$250,000	Brady (PA)	Specter; Casey
Department of Health & Human Services	Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - Health Facilities and Services	Yankton Sioux Tribe, Marty, SD, for facilities and equipment related to dialysis care	\$100,000	Herseeth Sandlin	Johnson
Department of Health & Human Services	HHS Office of the Secretary (OS) - Research & Demonstration (including Minority & Women's Health)	Children Services Council of Palm Beach County, Boynton Beach, FL for a program providing pre-natal care to women in small group settings	\$340,000	Hastings (FL); Deutch	Nelson, Bill
Department of Health & Human Services	HHS Office of the Secretary (OS) - Research & Demonstration (including Minority & Women's Health)	Community Transportation Association of America, Washington, DC, for technical assistance to human services transportation providers on ADA requirements	\$950,000		Harkin

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	HHS Office of the Secretary (OS) - Research & Demonstration (including Minority & Women's Health)	Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI, for a comprehensive dental outreach program	\$850,000		Kohl
Department of Health & Human Services	HHS Office of the Secretary (OS) - Research & Demonstration (including Minority & Women's Health)	Mission Neighborhood Centers, Inc., San Francisco, CA for health outreach and educational programming for a multicultural wellness and healthy aging program	\$250,000	Pelosi	
Department of Health & Human Services	HHS Office of the Secretary (OS) - Research & Demonstration (including Minority & Women's Health)	Office of the Mayor, Tinian, MP for health education and outreach	\$75,000	Sablan	
Department of Health & Human Services	HHS Office of the Secretary (OS) - Research & Demonstration (including Minority & Women's Health)	Project Access - New Haven, New Haven, CT for a program to help uninsured patients navigate the health care system and obtain services and follow-up care	\$300,000	DeLauro	Dodd; Lieberman
Department of Health & Human Services	HHS Office of the Secretary (OS) - Research & Demonstration (including Minority & Women's Health)	St. Francis Hospital Foundation, Wilmington, DE, for outreach and preventive services to underserved populations	\$200,000		Kaufman; Carper
Department of Health & Human Services	HHS Office of the Secretary (OS) - Research & Demonstration (including Minority & Women's Health)	Summit Community Care Clinic, Frisco, CO for chronic disease management and reproductive health education and outreach programs aimed at reducing health disparities	\$200,000	Polis	
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Alma Family Services, Monterey Park, CA, for increased access to mental health services for underserved minority populations	\$185,000	Chu	
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Catholic Charities, Diocese of Trenton, Trenton, NJ for mental health treatment services for children	\$95,000	Holt; Pallone	Lautenberg; Menendez
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Children's Health Fund, New York, NY for mental health treatment services for children and their families	\$200,000	Serrano	Gillibrand
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Children's Hospital Boston, Boston, MA, for Expansion of Mental Health Capacity in Massachusetts Schools	\$200,000	Lynch; Neal	Kerry

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	City of San Jose, San Jose, CA for mental health services for the homeless	\$450,000	Lofgren, Zoe; Honda	
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Clifford W. Beers Guidance Clinic, Inc., New Haven CT for mental health services for children and families exposed to trauma and trauma training for mental health professionals	\$200,000	DeLauro	Dodd, Lieberman
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Colorado Coalition for the Homeless, Denver, CO for primary care, mental health, oral health, substance abuse treatment and supportive services for the homeless	\$500,000	DeGette	
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Community Counseling Center, Portland, ME for mental health services for veterans and refugees	\$400,000	Pingree (ME)	
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	DryHootch of America, Milwaukee, WI for a veterans outreach and counseling program	\$150,000	Moore (WI)	Kohl
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Family Service Agency of Marin County, San Rafael, CA, for suicide prevention and mental health services	\$250,000		Boxer
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Help Center - 211, Bozeman, MT, for suicide intervention and outreach services	\$100,000		Tester; Baucus
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Lindsey Wilson College, Columbia, KY, for professional development and mental health services for the Appalachia region	\$100,000		Bunning
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Main Street Counseling Center, West Orange, NJ for mental health treatment services for at-risk youths	\$65,000	Pascrell	Lautenberg; Menendez
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Manilaq Association, Kotzebue, AK, for suicide prevention activities in Northwest Alaska	\$200,000		Murkowski
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Marion County, Salem, OR for mental health services for participants in the Mental Health Court program	\$150,000	Schrader	Wyden; Merkley

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Memorial Hermann TIRR, Houston, TX for rehabilitation and re-integration services for veterans with TBI	\$250,000	Green, Al; Green, Gene	
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Municipality of Vieques, Vieques, PR for mental health and substance abuse services	\$200,000	Pierluisi	
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Oregon Partnership, Portland, OR, to provide suicide prevention services to soldiers and military families	\$200,000	Blumenauer; DeFazio; Schrader; Wu	Merkley; Wyden
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Pacific Clinics, Arcadia, CA for early intervention and mental health services for at-risk middle school youths and their families	\$635,000	Napolitano	
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Pen Bay Healthcare, Rockport, ME, for mental health services	\$100,000		Snowe; Collins
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	South Boston Community Health Center, South Boston, MA for substance abuse prevention and treatment services	\$200,000	Lynch	
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	St. Ann's Corner of Harm Reduction, Bronx, NY for substance abuse prevention and counseling services for individuals at risk for and living with HIV/AIDS and Hepatitis C	\$100,000	Serrano	
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Mental Health	Hamakua Health Center, Honoka'a, HI, for a youth anti-drug program	\$200,000		Inouye; Akaka
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Substance Abuse Prevention	Waimanalo Community Health Center, Waimanalo, HI, for drug abuse prevention	\$200,000		Inouye; Akaka
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Substance Abuse Prevention	West Virginia Prevention Resource Center, Charleston, WV, for drug abuse prevention	\$1,000,000		Rockefeller

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Substance Abuse Prevention	YMCA of the East Bay, Oakland, CA for a program to provide substance abuse prevention services to middle school age youth	\$200,000	Miller, George	
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Substance Abuse Treatment	A Safe Haven, Chicago, IL for recovery management and substance abuse treatment services	\$450,000	Schakowsky; Gutierrez	
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Substance Abuse Treatment	City/County Alcohol Drug Programs, Rapid City, SD, for substance abuse and recovery programs	\$200,000		Johnson
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Substance Abuse Treatment	Community Rehabilitation Center, Jacksonville, FL for substance abuse and mental health treatment programs for those with severe mental illness	\$750,000	Brown, Corrine	Nelson, Bill
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Substance Abuse Treatment	Gavin Foundation Inc., South Boston, MA for a transitional care residence that provides substance abuse treatment services to young men and women	\$350,000	Lynch	
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Substance Abuse Treatment	Law and Psychiatric Institute of North-Shore, Long Island Jewish Health System, Manhasset, NY for a mental health and substance abuse treatment program for veterans	\$540,000	Ackerman	
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Substance Abuse Treatment	Luna County Healthy Start, Deming, NM, for drug abuse treatment services for women	\$400,000		Bingaman; Udall, Tom
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Substance Abuse Treatment	Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Rosebud, SD, for a drug and alcohol treatment program	\$150,000		Johnson
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Substance Abuse Treatment	South-Southwest Suburban United Way, Crestwood, IL for substance abuse treatment services for vulnerable populations	\$550,000	Jackson (IL)	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Health & Human Services	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) - Substance Abuse Treatment	Walden Sierra, Charlotte Hall, MD for mental health and substance abuse treatment services for the homeless	\$198,000	Hoyer	Cardin
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	A New Leaf, Providence, RI for a program of transitional employment and job placement assistance	\$60,000	Kennedy	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	A World Fit for Kids, Los Angeles, CA for a job training and internship program for youth	\$300,000	Roybal-Allard	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	AFL-CIO Working for America Institute, Washington, DC, for employment and training programs	\$1,000,000		Harkin
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Amigos de los Rios, Altadena, CA for a skills training program	\$125,000	Roybal-Allard	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Apprentice Training for Electrical Industry, Philadelphia, PA, for a job training program	\$100,000		Specter
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency, Virginia, MN, for an educational and transportation assistance program for job seekers	\$100,000		Klobuchar; Franken
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Association of Village Council Presidents, Bethel, AK, for workforce development and training	\$500,000		Murkowski
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Baltimore City Mayor's Office of Employment Development, Baltimore, MD, for a summer jobs program	\$150,000		Cardin
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Bowie State University, Bowie, MD for a program to train workers for careers in the health IT sector	\$250,000	Hoyer	Cardin
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Brevard Workforce Development Board, Rockledge, FL, for a job training initiative	\$600,000	Kosmas	Nelson, Bill

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Bristol Community College, Fall River, MA for its English as a Second Language program	\$250,000	Frank (MA); McGovern	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Bronx Resource Community Center, Inc, Bronx, NY for employment and training services	\$350,000	Engel	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Bucks County Community College, Newtown, PA for a job training program for employment in the renewable energy sector	\$635,000	Murphy, Patrick	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Capps Workforce Training Center, Stoneville, MS, for workforce training	\$500,000		Cochran
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Catholic Charities, Baltimore, MD, for a job training and job placement program	\$250,000		Mikulski
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Center for Education, Business, and the Arts Interlocal Agency, St. George, UT for job training and apprenticeship programs leading to employment in the green construction sector, including the purchase of equipment	\$608,000	Matheson	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Center for Employment Training, San Jose, CA for a job training program in the energy conservation and renewable energy industry	\$350,000	Lofgren, Zoe	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Central Florida Joint Training Association, Orlando, FL for job training and placement services for veterans, older workers and disconnected youth	\$850,000	Grayson	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Chaldean Community Foundation, Southfield, MI for skills training and employment services for refugees	\$350,000	Levin	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Chesapeake Bay Trust, Annapolis, MD, for a job training program and development of a training curriculum	\$200,000	Sarbanes	Cardin
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	City and County of Denver, CO for the Green Energy Youth Workforce Development Program	\$150,000	DeGette	Udall, Mark

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	City of Baltimore Mayor's Office of Employment Development, Baltimore, MD for skills training at Career Connection academies	\$450,000	Cummings	Cardin
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	City of Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, for youth employment readiness programs	\$500,000		Gillibrand
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	City of Jackson, MS, Jackson, MS, for workforce training	\$100,000	Thompson (MS)	Cochran; Wicker
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	City of Lakewood, CA for an on-the-job training program	\$400,000	Sanchez, Linda	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	City of San Diego, CA for the Metro Youth Employment Program	\$250,000	Davis (CA)	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	City of San Jose, CA for a workforce training program to promote employment in the clean technology industry	\$250,000	Honda; Lofgren, Zoe	Boxer
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Clackamas Community College, Oregon City, OR for job training and employment services for veterans	\$550,000	Schrader	Wyden; Merkley
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	College of Southern Idaho, Twin Falls, ID, for job training in green construction	\$150,000		Crapo; Risch
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	College of Southern Maryland, La Plata, MD for a workforce training program, including the purchase of equipment	\$400,000	Hoyer	Cardin
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Community Action Inc. of Rock and Walworth Counties, Janesville, WI for training services at the Beloit Training and Education Center	\$250,000	Baldwin	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Community Foundation of South Wood County, Wisconsin Rapids, WI for a job training program	\$250,000	Obey	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Community Transportation Association of America, Washington, DC, to continue the Joblinks program	\$450,000		Harkin
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Covenant House Alaska, Anchorage, AK for case management and employment services for at-risk youth	\$200,000	Young (AK)	Begich
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Crossing Educational Center, Elkhart, IN for job training, skills training, and employment services	\$300,000	Donnelly (IN)	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Cypress Mandela Training Center, Incorporated, Oakland, CA for a pre-apprenticeship program	\$275,000	Lee (CA)	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Des Moines Area Community College, Ankeny, IA for soft-skill instruction and job training for individuals with disabilities	\$550,000	Boswell	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Des Moines Area Community College, Ankeny, IA, for workforce development services at its Perry Career Technical Academy	\$400,000		Harkin; Grassley
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Dowling College, Oakdale, NY for job training and employment services for veterans	\$200,000	Bishop (NY)	Schumer
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	East Bay Spanish Speaking Citizens Foundation, Oakland, CA for job training and employment services	\$250,000	Lee (CA)	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Easter Seals of Southern Nevada, Las Vegas, NV, for a job training program for dislocated workers	\$200,000		Reid
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Emery Unified School District, Emeryville, CA for a summer internship program for youth	\$235,000	Lee (CA)	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Envirovolution, Reno, NV, for workforce development services at a green jobs resources center	\$200,000		Reid

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Expertise, Inc, Las Vegas, NV, for job readiness and employment training	\$100,000		Reid
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Fifth Avenue Committee, Inc., Brooklyn, NY for employment training and worker education programs for low-income, unemployed, and economically vulnerable populations	\$450,000	Velazquez; Clarke	Gillibrand; Schumer
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Finishing Trades Institute, Kenner, Louisiana, for job training and workforce development	\$150,000		Vitter
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	First African Community Development Corporation, Philadelphia, PA, for training in green and technology related jobs	\$100,000		Specter
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Fletcher Community College, Houma, Louisiana, for job training and workforce development	\$200,000		Vitter
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL, for training in cyber security	\$250,000		Nelson, Bill
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Fordham Bedford Children's Services, Bronx, NY for skills training and employment services for Bronx residents	\$150,000	Serrano	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Foundation for an Independent Tomorrow, Las Vegas, NV, for job search support program	\$125,000		Reid
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Fox Valley Technical College, Appleton, WI, to expand truck driver training program	\$250,000		Kohl
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Fund for the City of New York, New York, NY, for an academic success and workforce development program	\$200,000		Gillibrand
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Glendale Community College, Glendale, CA for a workforce training program at the Infrastructure/Water and Power Academy	\$180,000	Schiff	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Goodwill Industries of Greater New York and Northern New Jersey, Astoria, NY for job training and employment services	\$350,000	Maloney	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Goodwill Industries of Southeastern WI, Inc, Milwaukee, WI, for workforce development services at its community job center	\$750,000	Moore (WI)	Kohl
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Goodwill of Southern Nevada, Las Vegas, NV, for the career connections job training program	\$100,000		Reid
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Great Basin College, Elko, NV, for job training program	\$200,000		Reid
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Haven for Hope, San Antonio, TX, for job training and education for the homeless	\$200,000	Cuellar; Gonzalez	Hutchison; Cornyn
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Hispanic Federation, New York, NY, for a Latino Workforce Training Initiative	\$100,000		Schumer
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Holmes Community College, Goodman, MS, for workforce training	\$225,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Hopkins House, Alexandria, VA, for workforce development and training in early childhood education	\$150,000	Moran (VA)	Warner; Webb
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Huntington Hospital Dolan Family Health Center, Huntington, NY for a health care training program for underprivileged youth	\$400,000	Israel	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	International Youth Organization, Newark, NJ for a workforce development program for youth	\$250,000	Payne	Lautenberg; Menendez
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Iowa Workforce Development, Des Moines, IA, for the IowaWORKS Integration Project	\$1,000,000		Harkin; Grassley

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Jackson Community College, Jackson, MI for a skills training program	\$600,000	Schauer	Stabenow; Levin
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	JobPath, Inc., Tucson, AZ for job training and employment services in the healthcare and biotechnology sectors	\$500,000	Grijalva	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Jobs for Mississippi Graduates, Inc., Jackson, MS, for career development for at-risk youth	\$125,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Johnstown Area Regional Industries, Johnstown, PA for job training and workforce development activities	\$250,000	Critz	Casey
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Lakeshore Technical College, Cleveland, WI, to support the training of workers for manufacturing jobs	\$750,000		Kohl
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Las Vegas-Clark County Urban League, Las Vegas, NV, to provide job development and workforce readiness skills for unemployed or underemployed individuals	\$145,000		Reid
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Lawrence Technological University, Dearborn, MI, to train displaced workers in green jobs	\$150,000		Levin; Stabenow
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Lucas County Department of Job and Family Services, Toledo, OH for a job training and youth employment program	\$500,000	Kaptur	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Maine Centers for Women, Work, & Community/University of Maine at Augusta/University of Maine System, Augusta, ME, for job training and workforce development	\$100,000	Michaud; Pingree (ME)	Snowe
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Maui Community College, Kahului, HI, for remote rural Hawaii job training	\$2,300,000		Inouye; Akaka
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Maui Community College, Kahului, HI, for the Samoan/Asian Pacific Job Training program	\$2,000,000		Inouye; Akaka

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Maui Economic Development Board, Kihei, HI, Rural Computer Utilization Training	\$300,000		Inouye; Akaka
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Maui Economic Development Board, Kihei, HI, to recruit, train and retain women and minorities in STEM careers	\$450,000		Inouye; Akaka
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	MedTech Association, Inc., Syracuse, NY, to identify career pathways that connect to bioscience jobs	\$150,000	Arcuri	Schumer
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Milwaukee Area Technical College, Milwaukee, WI, for training and equipment at its Solar Jobs Training Center	\$350,000	Moore (WI)	Kohl
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Minnesota Finishing Trades Training Fund, Little Canada, MN for a job training and apprenticeship program, including the purchase of equipment	\$400,000	McCollum	Klobuchar
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Mission of Love Charities, Inc., Capitol Heights, MD for programs to provide skills training and related services to youth	\$110,000	Edwards (MD)	Cardin
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Mississippi Gulf Coast Community College, Perkinston, MS, for workforce training	\$250,000		Cochran
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS, for the Mississippi Integrated Workforce Performance System	\$375,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Mississippi Technology Alliance, Ridgeland, MS, for the Mississippi ILED training program	\$250,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	MN OIC State Council, St. Paul, MN for training and certification of workers in the solar energy industry, including the purchase of equipment	\$500,000	Ellison	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Montana State University-Northern, Havre, MT, for workforce development services at its Energy Training Center	\$200,000		Tester; Baucus

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Moore Community House, Biloxi, MS, for workforce training	\$100,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Naugatuck Valley Community College, Waterbury, CT for a skills training program	\$200,000	Murphy (CT); DeLauro	Dodd; Lieberman
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	New Hampshire Manufacturing Extension Partnership, Concord, NH, for incumbent worker retraining initiative	\$200,000	Shea-Porter	Shaheen
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Nine Star Education & Employment Services, Anchorage, AK, for job training for prisoner reentry programs	\$100,000		Murkowski
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Nine Star Education and Employment, Anchorage, AK, to expand Math for the Trades course	\$200,000		Beigich
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	North Carolina Rural Economic Development Center, Raleigh, NC, for Community Mobilization Project for Dislocated Workers	\$150,000	Butterfield; Kissell	Hagan
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	North Dakota State College of Science, Fargo, ND, for biosciences workforce training and equipment	\$400,000		Dorgan; Conrad
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Northern Virginia Community College, Annandale, VA for a program to recruit and train maintenance specialists for "smart" buildings	\$500,000	Connolly (VA)	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Parnassa Fund, Inc., New York, NY, for EPI Emergency Employment and Job Creation Network	\$150,000		Schumer
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Partners in Careers, Vancouver, WA, for workforce development for female veterans	\$100,000		Murray
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Peralta Community College District, Oakland, CA, for the East Bay Green Jobs Project	\$500,000		Boxer

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Philadelphia Opportunities Industrialization Center, Philadelphia, PA, for workforce development and related equipment expenses	\$100,000		Specter
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Plattsburgh-North Country Chamber of Commerce, Plattsburgh, NY, for an on the job training program	\$500,000	Owens	Schumer
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Port Authority of San Antonio, San Antonio, TX for a workforce development program	\$400,000	Rodriguez	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Preservation Alliance of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN for a job training program	\$100,000	McCollum	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Rapides Parish Police Jury Office of Economic & Workforce Development, Alexandria, LA, to train displaced workers in demand occupations including green jobs	\$200,000		Landrieu
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Regional Education & Training Center at Satsop, Elma, WA, for programs focused on rapid certifications, entry-level degrees and advanced certifications	\$900,000		Murray
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	River Valley Resources, Inc., Madison, IN, for workforce training	\$100,000		Lugar
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Safer Foundation, Chicago, IL for workforce development and training services for ex-offenders	\$400,000	Jackson (IL)	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	San Francisco Conservation Corps, San Francisco, CA for a workforce development program to train individuals for careers in green industries	\$300,000	Pelosi	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	San Jacinto College, Pasadena, TX for a technical education training program	\$500,000	Green, Gene	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	San Mateo County Community College District, San Mateo, CA, for curriculum development, job placement and job retention services at the Allied Health Career Advancement Academy	\$150,000		Boxer

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Scranton Electricians JATC, Scranton, PA, for training in green technologies	\$100,000		Specter
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Search to Involve Pilipino Americans, Los Angeles, CA for employment training and related services for at-risk youth	\$350,000	Roybal-Allard	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	SEIU Healthcare NW Training Partnership, Federal Way, WA, for training of home care aides, including equipment	\$300,000		Murray; Cantwell
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	South Delta Planning and Development District, Greenville, MS, for workforce training	\$400,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Southwest Alaska Vocational & Education Center, King Salmon, AK, for workforce development programs in rural Alaska	\$100,000		Murkowski; Begich
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Southwest Mississippi Community College, Summit, MS, for workforce training	\$350,000		Cochran; Wicker
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Spectrum Resources, Des Moines, IA, to expand the Project Phoenix job training program	\$200,000		Harkin
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	St. Bernard Project, Chalmette, LA, for workforce development and related equipment expenses	\$200,000		Landrieu
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	State of Vermont Department of Public Safety, Waterbury, VT, for workforce development services for firefighting and emergency services workers	\$100,000		Sanders
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Steamfitters Local 449 and Plumbers Local 27, Pittsburgh, PA, for training program for those entering the pipe trades industry	\$500,000	Doyle	Specter
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Summit County, Akron, OH for job training and placement services for veterans	\$250,000	Sutton	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Tazewell County, Tazewell, VA for job training in the information technology, clean energy and advanced manufacturing sectors	\$400,000	Boucher	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	The Resource Training Center, Inc., Brooklyn, NY for a job training program for low-income individuals with a history of substance abuse or incarceration	\$100,000	Velazquez	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Total Action Against Poverty, Roanoke, VA, to provide job training to help individuals obtain and retain employment	\$250,000		Warner, Webb
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Twin Cities RISE!, Minneapolis, MN, to expand job training program, and support program replication in other cities	\$100,000	McCollum	Klobuchar, Franken
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	United 4 Economic Development, Salt Lake City, UT, for workforce development and training	\$250,000		Bennett
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	United Mine Workers of America, Washington, PA for the UMW Career Center's mine worker training and employment programs	\$500,000	Critz; Mollohan	Rockefeller
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	University of West Alabama, Livingstone, AL for career and technical skills training	\$650,000	Davis (AL)	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Utah Capital Investment Corporation, Salt Lake City, UT, for workforce development, education and training	\$250,000		Bennett
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Vermont HITEC, Williston, VT, for the Vermont HITEC Job Training Initiative	\$500,000		Leahy
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Vermont Technical College, Randolph Center, VT, for Green Jobs Workforce Development	\$500,000		Leahy
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Vermont Technical College, Randolph Center, VT, for training in paramedicine	\$300,000		Leahy

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Veterans Leadership Program of Western Pennsylvania, Pittsburgh, PA, for a jobs program for veterans	\$150,000		Casey
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Washington State Workforce Board, Olympia, WA, for its regional workforce development initiative	\$1,000,000		Murray
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Wayne County Community College District, Detroit, MI for a program to train dislocated workers in advanced manufacturing technologies	\$500,000	Conyers	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	West Los Angeles College, Culver City, CA for career pathways programs for veterans, dislocated workers, ex-offenders, and youth	\$700,000	Watson	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Western New Mexico University, Silver City, NM for job training and placement services in the biofuels industry	\$300,000	Teague	Bingaman; Udall, Tom
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Women's Enterprise Development Center, Inc., White Plains, NY for professional development services	\$160,000	Hall (NY)	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Women's Initiative for Self Employment, San Francisco, CA, for equipment and workforce development services to assist low income women in achieving self sufficiency	\$100,000		Boxer
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Workforce Development Council of Seattle-King County, Seattle, WA, to align K-12 and post-secondary education to better meet the workforce skill needs in King County	\$400,000		Murray
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Workforce Investment Board of Herkimer, Madison and Oneida Counties, Utica, NY for vocational and basic skills training to prepare workers for careers in the health care sector	\$200,000	Arcuri	
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Year Up Boston, Boston, MA, to support the expansion of curriculum development and career placement services for urban youth	\$100,000		Kerry
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Youth Radio, Oakland, CA for training of at-risk youth for employment in the media industry	\$250,000	Lee (CA)	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	Youthcare, Seattle, WA, to provide youth with education and training in the telecommunications industry	\$100,000		Murray
Department of Labor	Employment and Training Administration (ETA) - Training & Employment Services (TES)	YWCA Middle Rio Grande, Albuquerque, NM, for workforce preparation services for at risk women, female veterans, and their children	\$150,000		Bingaman; Udall, Tom
Institute of Museum & Library Services	Museums & Libraries	Bishop Museum, Honolulu, HI, for conservation and other projects, including arts education for youth and the study and preservation of the history of Kalaupapa	\$800,000		Inouye; Akaka
Institute of Museum & Library Services	Museums & Libraries	City of Cedar Rapids, IA, Cedar Rapids, IA, for automating the circulation system at the Cedar Rapids Library	\$300,000	Loebsock	Harkin
Institute of Museum & Library Services	Museums & Libraries	City of Hagerstown, Hagerstown, MD, for educational programming and displaying the Doleman collection	\$100,000		Mikulski; Cardin
Institute of Museum & Library Services	Museums & Libraries	Delta State University, Cleveland, MS, for library technology upgrades, including the purchase of equipment	\$100,000		Cochran; Wicker
Institute of Museum & Library Services	Museums & Libraries	Dubuque Historical Society, Dubuque, IA, for exhibits relating to the Mississippi River	\$400,000		Harkin; Grassley
Institute of Museum & Library Services	Museums & Libraries	Greenville Public Library, Smithfield, RI, for library technology and programs	\$300,000		Reed; Whitehouse
Institute of Museum & Library Services	Museums & Libraries	Mississippi Children's Museum, Jackson, MS, for exhibits and educational programming	\$400,000		Cochran; Wicker
Institute of Museum & Library Services	Museums & Libraries	Mississippi Gulf Coast Community College, Perkinston, MS, for collecting, cataloging, and archiving of newspaper and digital media	\$100,000		Cochran; Wicker
Institute of Museum & Library Services	Museums & Libraries	Ohr-O'Keefe Museum of Art, Biloxi, MS, for design and exhibits	\$250,000		Cochran
Institute of Museum & Library Services	Museums & Libraries	Oxford Lafayette County Heritage Foundation, Oxford, MS, for exhibits and design	\$100,000		Cochran; Wicker
Institute of Museum & Library Services	Museums & Libraries	Science Center of Iowa, Des Moines, IA, for educational exhibits	\$400,000	Boswell	Harkin; Grassley
Institute of Museum & Library Services	Museums & Libraries	South Dakota School of Mines and Technology, Rapid City, SD, for preservation activities and storage	\$100,000	Herseeth Sandlin	Johnson
Institute of Museum & Library Services	Museums & Libraries	Southern Utah University, Cedar City, UT, for exhibits, installations and equipment	\$400,000		Bennett

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Institute of Museum & Library Services	Museums & Libraries	Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway Transportation Museum, Columbus, MS, for exhibit design and digitization of documents	\$100,000		Cochran
Institute of Museum & Library Services	Museums & Libraries	University of Mississippi, University, MS, for documentation, preservation, restoration, and programming related to the American Music Archives	\$150,000	Childers	Cochran; Wicker
Institute of Museum & Library Services	Museums & Libraries	University of Mississippi, University, MS, for museum education and outreach programs	\$150,000		Cochran; Wicker
Institute of Museum & Library Services	Museums & Libraries	Walter Anderson Museum of Art, Ocean Springs, MS, for archive and digitization of collections	\$150,000		Cochran; Wicker
Institute of Museum & Library Services	Museums & Libraries	World Food Prize, Des Moines, IA, for exhibits at the Dr. Norman E. Borlaug Hall of Laureates Museum	\$450,000	Boswell	Harkin; Grassley
Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education National Projects	Higher Education	Strengthening Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian Serving Institutions Programs for activities authorized under the Higher Education Act	\$15,461,000	Young (AK)	The President
Department of Education National Projects	Higher Education	Thurgood Marshall Legal Scholarships Program authorized under the Higher Education Act	\$3,000,000	Barrow; Butterfield; Jackson (IL); Johnson (GA); Meek (FL); Norton; Sestak	The President
Department of Education National Projects	School Improvement	Alaska Native Educational Equity for activities authorized under part C of title VII of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act	\$27,315,000	Young (AK)	The President
Department of Education National Projects	School Improvement	Education for Native Hawaiians for activities authorized under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act	\$31,815,000	Hirono	The President
Department of Education National Projects	Special Education	Best Buddies International, Miami, FL for mentoring programs for persons with intellectual disabilities	\$10,000,000	Capps; Capuano; Davis (IL); Holt; Kennedy; Moran (VA); Ryan (OH)	The President
Department of Education National Projects	Special Education	Special Olympics for Special Olympics educational programs that can be integrated into classroom instruction and for activities to increase the participation of individuals with intellectual disabilities	\$10,000,000	Engel; Hoyer; Kilroy; Moran (VA); Price (NC); Rothman (NJ)	The President
Department of Health and Human Services National Projects	Administration for Children and Families	National Association of Child Care Resources and Referral Agencies for the Child Care Aware toll-free hotline	\$1,000,000	Moran (VA)	The President
Department of Health and Human Services National Projects	Health Resources and Services	Native Hawaiian Health Care to provide primary health promotion and disease prevention services to Native Hawaiians through regional clinics under the Native Hawaiian Health Care Act of 1988	\$14,200,000	Hirono	The President
Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education National Projects	Higher Education	B.J. Stupak Olympic Scholarship Program for activities authorized under the Higher Education Act	\$1,400,000	Stupak	

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education National Projects	Innovation and Improvement	Close Up Fellowships Program for activities authorized under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act	\$1,942,000	Price; Wu; Hirono; Faleomavaega; Holmes-Norton; Sablan; Henry Johnson, Jr.; Matsui; Young (AK); Frank, Sarbanes; Ross, Oberstar; Moran (VA)	Harkin Burris; Cantwell; Gillibrand; Klobuchar; Levin; Menendez, Reid; Rockefeller; Warner
Department of Education National Projects	Innovation and Improvement	Communities in Schools for dropout prevention programs	\$3,774,000		
Department of Education National Projects	Innovation and Improvement	Exchanges with Historic Whaling and Trading Partners for activities authorized under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act	\$8,754,000		
Department of Education National Projects	Innovation and Improvement	John F Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts and its affiliate VSA (formerly VSA arts), as authorized by the Elementary and Secondary Education Act	\$16,073,000	Hirono Conyers; Davis (CA); Ellison; Hirono; Holt; Matsui; Nadler (NY); Rahall; Van Hollen; Waxman; Woolsey	Akaka; Begich; Scott Brown; Inouye; Kerry; Murkowski
Department of Education National Projects	Innovation and Improvement	National Board for Professional Teaching Standards for activities authorized under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act	\$10,649,000	Etheridge	Bennett; Harkin
Department of Education National Projects	Innovation and Improvement	National History Day for activities authorized under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act	\$500,000	Bordallo; Hirono; Langevin; Loebbeck; Norton; Ross; Scott (GA); Van Hollen	
Department of Education National Projects	Innovation and Improvement			Arcuri; Berkley; Capps; Capuano; Carnahan; Childers; Crowley; Delahunt; Dingell; Edwards (MD); Ellison; Fattah; Halvorson; Hirono; Holt; Kildee; Loebbeck; Lofgren; Zoe; Matsui; McCarthy (NY); Miller, George; Norton; Oberstar; Pingree (ME); Pomeroy; Rahall; Ross; Sarbanes; Sestak; Shea-Porter; Snyder; Van Hollen; Waxman; Yarmuth	Akaka; Bennett; Bingaman; Sherrod Brown; Bunning; Burris; Casey; Collins; Conrad; Crapo; Dodd; Gillibrand; Grassley; Johnson; Kaufman; Lautenberg; Leahy; Levin; Lieberman; Lincoln; Menendez; Pryor; Reed; Risch; Rockefeller; Sanders; Schumer; Sessions; Shaheen; Stabenow; Tom Udall; Whitehouse; Wicker; Wyden
Department of Education National Projects	Innovation and Improvement	National Writing Project for activities authorized under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act	\$25,646,000		

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education National Projects	Innovation and Improvement	Project GRAD, USA authorized under the Higher Education Act	\$9,000,000	Brady (PA); Fattah; Gonzalez; Green, Gene; Israel; McCarthy (NY); Payne; Ryan (OH); Sires; Sutton Bernie; Brady (PA); Bracey (IA); Capps; Capuano; Carnahan; Chu; Clarke; Clay; Cleaver; Conyers; Crowley; Davis (CA); Davis (IL); Delahunt; Dingell; Doyle; Ellison; Ellsworth; Etheridge; Fattah; Gonzalez; Green, Gene; Gutierrez; Halvorson; Herseth Sandlin; Hinojosa; Hirono; Holt; Johnson (GA); Johnson, Eddie Bernice; Kagen; Kildee; Klein (FL); Kucinich; Langevin; Loeb sack; Lofgren; Zoe; Lynch; Markey (MA); Matheson; Matsui; McCarthy (NY); McGovern; Moran (VA); Nadler (NY); Napolitano; Neal; Norton; Oberstar; Owens; Payne; Price (NC); Rahall; Reyes; Ross; Rothman (NJ); Rush; Sarbanes; Scott (GA); Scott (VA); Sestak; Sires; Snyder; Spratt; Tonko; Towns; Wasserman Schultz;	Beigich; Bingaman; Boxer; Sherrod Brown; Casey; Chambliss; Corker; Cornyn; Gillibrand; Lautenberg; Menendez; Schumer
					Akaka; Bayh; Begich; Bennett; Bingaman; Sherrod Brown; Bunning; Burris; Cantwell; Casey; Chambliss; Cornyn; Dodd; Franken; Gillibrand; Grassley; Hagan; Kaufman; Kerry; Klobuchar; Lautenberg; Leahy; Levin; Lieberman; Lincoln; Lugar; Menendez; Merkley; Pryor; Reed; Rockefeller; Sanders; Schumer; Sessions; Shaheen; Snowe; Stabenow; Tom Udall; Voinovich; Warner; Whitehouse; Wyden
Department of Education National Projects	Innovation and Improvement	Reach out and Read authorized under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act	\$6,000,000		

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education National Projects	Innovation and Improvement			Arcuri; Berkley; Bordallo; Boucher; Cao; Capps; Capuano; Carnahan; Clarke; Conyers; Crowley; Davis (CA); Delahunt; Dingell; Ellison; Ellsworth; Etheridge; Fudge; Gonzalez; Green, Gene; Grijalva; Gutierrez; Halvorson; Hastings (FL); Herseeth Sandlin; Hinojosa; Hirono; Holt; Johnson; Eddie Bernice; Kilroy; Kucinich; Langevin; Loebach; Lofgren; Zoe; Lynch; Matheson; Matsui; Miller (NC); Moore (WI); Moran (VA); Nadler (NY); Norton; Oberstar; Owens; Pingree (ME); Pomeroy; Price (NC); Rahall; Reyes; Ross; Rothman (NJ); Rush; Sarbanes; Scott (GA); Sestak; Shea-Porter; Sires; Slaughter; Snyder; Van Hollen; Waxman; Yarmuth; Young (AK)	Akaka; Bennett; Sherrod Brown; Burris; Casey; Dodd; Franken; Gillibrand; Hagan; Harkin; Johnson; Kerry; Lautenberg; Levin; Lieberman; Lincoln; Lugar; Menendez; Pryor; Roberts; Rockefeller; Sanders; Schumer; Sessions; Shaheen; Snowe; Stabenow; Whitehouse; Wicker; Wyden
		Reading is Fundamental authorized under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act	\$24,803,000		
Department of Education National Projects	Innovation and Improvement			Cao; Capuano; Carnahan; Clarke; Clay; Cohen; Ellison; Etheridge; Fattah; Gonzalez; Green, Gene; Gutierrez; Hinojosa; Hirono; Johnson (GA); Johnson; Eddie Bernice; Kissell; Langevin; Lofgren; Zoe; Lynch; Markey (MA); Marshall; Matsui; Nadler (NY); Norton; Payne; Price (NC); Rush; Sablan; Sarbanes; Scott (GA); Scott (VA); Sestak; Sires; Slaughter; Towns; Van Hollen; Waters	Akaka; Alexander; Bennet; Bingaman; Boxer; Sherrod Brown; Burris; Cardin; Casey; Dodd; Durbin; Gillibrand; Hagan; Hutchison; Johnson, Kohl; Landrieu; Lautenberg; Lieberman; Lincoln; Menendez; Mikulski; Bill Nelson; Pryor; Reed; Reid; Schumer; Specter; Stabenow; Whitehouse; Wicker; Wyden
		Teach for America as authorized under the Higher Education Act	\$20,000,000		

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education National Projects	Safe Schools and Citizenship Education	Center on Congress at Indiana University for the iCivics initiative	\$2,000,000	Hill	
				Baca; Berkley; Blumenauer; Cao; Capps; Capuano; Carnahan; Childers; Chu; Clarke; Clay; Conyers; Davis (CA); Davis (IL); Dingell; Ellsworth; Etheridge; Fudge; Gutierrez; Herseeth Sandlin; Hirono; Johnson; Eddie Bernice; Kissell; Kucinich; Langevin; Loebbeck; Lofgren; Zoe; Lynch; Markey (MA); Miller (NC); Neal; Norton; Oberstar; Pingree (ME); Pomeroy; Rahall; Ross; Rush; Sablan; Sarbanes; Scott (GA); Shea-Porter; Sires; Snyder; Van Hollen; Waxman; Yarmuth; Young (AK)	Grassley; Leahy
Department of Education National Projects	Safe Schools and Citizenship Education	Center for Civic Education for two programs (We the People and Cooperative Education Exchange) that are authorized in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as part of the Civic Education program	\$24,636,000		
Department of Education National Projects	Safe Schools and Citizenship Education	National Council on Economic Education for the Cooperative Education Exchange program, which is authorized in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as part of the Civic Education program		Capuano; Carnahan; Clarke; Conyers; Etheridge; Hirono; Oberstar; Ross; Rush; Sarbanes; Sires	Akaka; Burris; Dodd; Hagan; Lincoln
Department of Education National Projects	School Improvement	Alaska Native Educational Equity for certain activities authorized under section 7304(d)(2) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act	\$6,000,000	Young (AK)	Murkowski
Department of Education National Projects	School Improvement	Hawaii Department of Education for school renovation activities	\$1,500,000		Akaka; Inouye
Department of Education National Projects	School Improvement	New Leaders for New Schools for activities authorized under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act	\$5,000,000	Miller, George	Harkin
Department of Education National Projects	School Improvement	University of Hawaii for the Henry K Giugni Memorial Archives	\$500,000		Akaka; Inouye
Department of Education National Projects	School Improvement	University of Hawaii School of Law for a Center of Excellence in Native Hawaiian Law	\$1,500,000	Delahunt; Hare; Hoyer	Akaka; Inouye

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	House Requester(s)	Senate Requester(s)
Department of Education National Projects	Special Education	Recording for the Blind and Dyslexic, Inc for development, production, and circulation of accessible educational materials	\$13,250,000	Capps; Capuano; Davis (IL); Ryan; Holt; Moran; Kennedy	Harkin
Department of Health and Human Services National Projects	Health Resources and Services	Delta Health Alliance, Inc to improve the delivery of public health services in the Mississippi Delta region under Title III of the Public Health Service Act	\$34,927,000		Cochran
Department of Labor National Projects	Departmental Management	International Program for the Elimination of Child Labor for the US contribution to a multinational effort to combat child labor consistent with Executive Order 12216 and the William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008	\$40,000,000		Harkin
Department of Labor National Projects	Mine Safety and Health Administration	United Mine Workers of America for mine rescue team training activities authorized under the Mine Safety and Health Act	\$1,500,000		Rockefeller

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF LABOR					
EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ADMINISTRATION					
TRAINING AND EMPLOYMENT SERVICES					
Grants to States:					
Adult Training, current year	149,540	194,884	179,540	+ 30,000	— 15,344
Advance from prior year	(712,000)	(712,000)	(712,000)		
Fiscal year 2012	712,000	712,000	712,000		
Subtotal	861,540	906,884	891,540	+ 30,000	— 15,344
Youth Training	924,069	1,025,000	985,000	+ 60,931	— 40,000
Dislocated Worker Assistance, current year	323,840	386,147	373,840	+ 50,000	— 12,307
Advance from prior year	(848,000)	(860,000)	(860,000)	(+ 12,000)	
Fiscal year 2012	860,000	860,000	860,000		
Subtotal	1,183,840	1,246,147	1,233,840	+ 50,000	— 12,307
Subtotal, Grants to States	2,969,449	3,178,031	3,110,380	+ 140,931	— 67,651
Current Year	(1,397,449)	(1,606,031)	(1,538,380)	(+ 140,931)	
Fiscal Year 2012	(1,572,000)	(1,572,000)	(1,572,000)		(— 67,651)
Federally Administered Programs:					
Dislocated Worker Assistance National Reserve:					
Current year	29,160	29,160	29,160		
Advance from prior year	(212,000)	(200,000)	(200,000)	(— 12,000)	
Fiscal year 2012	200,000	200,000	200,000		
Subtotal	229,160	229,160	229,160		
Subtotal, Dislocated Worker Assistance	1,413,000	1,475,307	1,463,000	+ 50,000	— 12,307
Native Americans	52,758	55,000	55,000	+ 2,242	
Migrant and Seasonal Farmworkers	84,620	87,378	87,378	+ 2,758	
Women in Apprenticeship	1,000	1,000	1,000		
YouthBuild	102,500	120,000	107,500	+ 5,000	— 12,500
Subtotal, Federally Administered Programs (FAP)	470,038	492,538	480,038	+ 10,000	— 12,500
Current Year	(270,038)	(292,538)	(280,038)	(+ 10,000)	(— 12,500)
Fiscal Year 2012	(200,000)	(200,000)	(200,000)		
National Activities:					
Pilots, Demonstrations and Research	93,450	46,556	94,689	+ 1,239	+ 48,133
Green Jobs Innovation Fund	40,000	85,000	55,000	+ 15,000	— 30,000
Reintegration of Ex-Offenders	108,493	98,000	98,000	— 10,493	
Evaluation	9,600	11,600	9,600		— 2,000
Career Pathways Innovation Fund ¹	125,000			— 125,000	
Workforce Data Quality Initiative	12,500	13,750	13,750	+ 1,250	
Subtotal	389,043	254,906	271,039	— 118,004	+ 16,133
Total, Training and Employment Services (TES)	3,828,530	3,925,475	3,861,457	+ 32,927	— 64,018
Current Year	(2,056,530)	(2,153,475)	(2,089,457)	(+ 32,927)	(— 64,018)
Fiscal Year 2012	(1,772,000)	(1,772,000)	(1,772,000)		
OFFICE OF JOB CORPS					
Administration	29,190	30,110	30,860	+ 1,670	+ 750
Operations	983,015	981,253	993,015	+ 10,000	+ 11,762
Advance from prior year	(591,000)	(591,000)	(591,000)		
Fiscal year 2012	591,000	591,000	591,000		
Construction and Renovation	5,000	5,000	4,250	— 750	— 750
Advance from prior year	(100,000)	(100,000)	(100,000)		
Fiscal year 2012	100,000	100,000	100,000		
Total, Office of Job Corps	1,708,205	1,707,363	1,719,125	+ 10,920	+ 11,762
Current Year	(1,017,205)	(1,016,363)	(1,028,125)	(+ 10,920)	(+ 11,762)
Fiscal Year 2012	(691,000)	(691,000)	(691,000)		
COMMUNITY SERVICE EMPLOYMENT FOR OLDER AMERICANS	825,425	600,425	620,425	— 205,000	+ 20,000
FEDERAL UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS AND ALLOWANCES	1,818,400	1,938,200	1,938,200	+ 119,800	
STATE UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND EMPLOYMENT SERVICE OPERATIONS					
Unemployment Compensation (UI):					
State Operations	3,245,645	3,570,079	3,390,079	+ 144,434	— 180,000
National Activities	11,310	11,310	11,310		
Subtotal, Unemployment Compensation	3,256,955	3,581,389	3,401,389	+ 144,434	— 180,000
Employment Service (ES):					
Allotments to States:					
Federal Funds	22,683	22,683	22,683		
Trust Funds	680,893	680,893	680,893		
Subtotal	703,576	703,576	703,576		
ES National Activities	20,994	20,994	20,994		
Subtotal, Employment Service	724,570	724,570	724,570		
Federal Funds	(22,683)	(22,683)	(22,683)		
Trust Funds	(701,887)	(701,887)	(701,887)		
Foreign Labor Certification:					
Federal Administration	53,307	50,519	50,519	— 2,788	
Grants to States	15,129	15,129	15,129		
Subtotal, Foreign Labor Certification	68,436	65,648	65,648	— 2,788	
One-Stop Career Centers/Labor Market Information	63,720	63,720	66,720	+ 3,000	+ 3,000
Total, State UI and ES	4,113,681	4,435,327	4,258,327	+ 144,646	— 177,000
Federal Funds	(86,403)	(86,403)	(89,403)	(+ 3,000)	(+ 3,000)
Trust Funds	(4,027,278)	(4,348,924)	(4,168,924)	(+ 141,646)	(— 180,000)
STATE PAID LEAVE FUND		50,000			— 50,000
ADVANCES TO THE UI AND OTHER TRUST FUNDS ²	120,000	200,000	200,000	+ 80,000	
PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION					
Adult Employment and Training	46,859	50,564	50,064	+ 3,205	— 500
Trust Funds	8,553	11,225	11,075	+ 2,522	— 150

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
Youth Employment and Training	12,308	14,803	13,850	+1,542	—953
Employment Security	3,490	3,450	3,450	—40	—
Trust Funds	39,496	43,801	42,285	+2,789	—1,516
Apprenticeship Services	27,784	28,965	28,965	+1,181	—
Executive Direction	7,075	7,122	7,122	+47	—
Trust Funds	2,091	2,112	2,112	+21	—
Total, Program Administration	147,656	162,042	158,923	+11,267	—3,119
Federal Funds	(97,516)	(104,904)	(103,451)	(+5,935)	(—1,453)
Trust Funds	(50,140)	(57,138)	(55,472)	(+5,332)	(—1,666)
Total, Employment and Training Admin. (ETA)	12,561,897	13,018,832	12,756,457	+194,560	—262,375
Federal Funds	8,484,479	8,612,770	8,532,061	+47,582	—80,709
Current Year	(6,021,479)	(6,149,770)	(6,069,061)	(+47,582)	(—80,709)
Fiscal Year 2012	(2,463,000)	(2,463,000)	(2,463,000)	—	—
Trust Funds	4,077,418	4,406,062	4,224,396	+146,978	—181,666
EMPLOYEE BENEFITS SECURITY ADMINISTRATION					
SALARIES AND EXPENSES					
Enforcement and Participant Assistance	129,199	133,241	144,241	+15,042	+11,000
Policy and Compliance Assistance	18,994	22,232	22,232	+3,238	—
Executive Leadership, Program Oversight and Admin	6,668	6,522	6,522	—146	—
Total, EBSA	154,861	161,995	172,995	+18,134	+11,000
PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION (PBGC)					
Pension Insurance Activities	(86,412)	(71,896)	(71,896)	(—14,516)	—
Pension Plan Termination	(234,005)	(249,408)	(249,408)	(+15,403)	—
Operational Support	(143,650)	(144,997)	(144,997)	(+1,347)	—
Total, PBGC (program level)	(464,067)	(466,301)	(466,301)	(+2,234)	—
ENFORCEMENT OF WAGE AND HOUR STANDARDS	227,606	244,240	242,640	+15,034	—1,600
OFFICE OF LABOR-MANAGEMENT STANDARDS	41,367	45,181	45,181	+3,814	—
FEDERAL CONTRACTOR EEO STANDARDS ENFORCEMENT	105,386	113,433	110,333	+4,947	—3,100
FEDERAL PROGRAMS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION					
Salaries and Expenses	116,171	125,165	123,765	+7,594	—1,400
Trust Funds	2,124	2,181	2,181	+57	—
Total, Salaries and Expenses	118,295	127,346	125,946	+7,651	—1,400
Federal Funds	(116,171)	(125,165)	(123,765)	(+7,594)	(—1,400)
Trust Funds	(2,124)	(2,181)	(2,181)	(+57)	—
SPECIAL BENEFITS					
Federal Employees' Compensation Benefits	184,000	180,000	180,000	—4,000	—
Longshore and Harbor Workers' Benefits	3,000	3,000	3,000	—	—
Total, Special Benefits	187,000	183,000	183,000	—4,000	—
SPECIAL BENEFITS FOR DISABLED COAL MINERS					
Benefit Payments	220,000	198,000	198,000	—22,000	—
Administration	5,180	5,220	5,220	+40	—
Subtotal, fiscal year 2011 program level	225,180	203,220	203,220	—21,960	—
Less funds advanced in prior year	—56,000	—45,000	—45,000	+11,000	—
Total, Current Year, Fiscal Year 2011	169,180	158,220	158,220	—10,960	—
New advances, 1st quarter fiscal year 2012	45,000	41,000	41,000	—4,000	—
Total, Special Benefits for Disabled Coal Miners	214,180	199,220	199,220	—14,960	—
ENERGY EMPLOYEES OCCUPATIONAL ILLNESS COMPENSATION FUND					
Part B Administrative Expenses	51,900	53,778	53,778	+1,878	—
BLACK LUNG DISABILITY TRUST FUND					
Benefit Payments and Interest on Advances	604,736	650,371	650,371	+45,635	—
Office of Workers' Compensation, Salaries and Expenses	32,720	33,075	33,075	+355	—
Departmental Management, Salaries and Expenses	25,091	25,394	25,394	+303	—
Departmental Management, Inspector General	327	327	327	—	—
Subtotal, Black Lung Disability	662,874	709,167	709,167	+46,293	—
Treasury Department Administrative Costs	356	356	356	—	—
Total, Black Lung Disability Trust Fund	663,230	709,523	709,523	+46,293	—
Total, Federal Programs for Workers' Compensation	1,234,605	1,272,867	1,271,467	+36,862	—1,400
Federal Funds	1,232,481	1,270,686	1,269,286	+36,805	—1,400
Current year	(1,187,481)	(1,229,586)	(1,228,286)	(+40,805)	(—1,400)
Fiscal year 2012	(45,000)	(41,000)	(41,000)	(—4,000)	—
Trust Funds	2,124	2,181	2,181	+57	—
OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION (OSHA)					
SALARIES AND EXPENSES					
Safety and Health Standards	19,569	23,756	25,756	+6,187	+2,000
Federal Enforcement	223,399	233,445	231,945	+8,546	—1,500
State Programs	104,393	105,893	105,893	+1,500	—
Technical Support	25,920	26,186	26,186	+266	—
Compliance Assistance	73,380	70,255	73,755	+375	+3,500
Federal Assistance	54,798	55,798	55,798	+1,000	—
State Consultation Grants	10,750	11,000	11,000	+250	—
Training Grants	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal, Compliance Assistance	138,928	137,053	140,553	+1,625	+3,500
Safety and Health Statistics	34,875	34,981	34,981	+106	—
Executive Direction and Administration	11,536	11,782	11,782	+246	—
Total, OSHA	558,620	573,096	577,096	+18,476	+4,000
MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION					
SALARIES AND EXPENSES					
Coal Enforcement	158,662	159,059	162,679	+4,017	+3,620

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
Metal/Non-Metal Enforcement	85,422	88,966	88,966	+ 3,544	
Standards Development	3,481	4,322	4,322	+ 841	
Assessments	6,233	6,327	6,577	+ 344	+ 250
Educational Policy and Development	38,605	36,646	37,646	- 959	+ 1,000
Technical Support	30,642	31,637	34,577	+ 3,935	+ 2,940
Program Evaluation and Information Resources (PEIR)	16,857	19,447	20,745	+ 3,888	+ 1,298
Program Administration	17,391	14,376	17,626	+ 235	+ 3,250
Total, Mine Safety & Health Administration MSHA	357,293	360,780	373,138	+ 15,845	+ 12,358
Total, Worker Protection Agencies	1,563,428	1,626,071	1,647,329	+ 83,901	+ 21,258
Federal Funds	(1,561,304)	(1,623,890)	(1,645,148)	(+ 83,844)	(+ 21,258)
Trust Funds	(2,124)	(2,181)	(2,181)	(+ 57)	
BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS					
SALARIES AND EXPENSES					
Employment and Unemployment Statistics	197,852	217,234	216,541	+ 18,689	- 693
Labor Market Information	78,264	67,438	67,438	- 10,826	
Prices and Cost of Living	201,081	234,521	221,208	+ 20,127	- 13,313
Compensation and Working Conditions	88,553	81,202	80,656	- 7,897	- 546
Productivity and Technology	11,904	10,242	12,142	+ 238	+ 1,900
Executive Direction and Staff Services	33,793	34,714	34,503	+ 710	- 211
Total, Bureau of Labor Statistics	611,447	645,351	632,488	+ 21,041	- 12,863
Federal Funds	533,183	577,913	565,050	+ 31,867	- 12,863
Trust Funds	78,264	67,438	67,438	- 10,826	
OFFICE OF DISABILITY EMPLOYMENT POLICY					
SALARIES AND EXPENSES					
Executive Direction	33,350	34,225	34,225	+ 875	
Departmental IT Crosscut	19,892	20,642	20,642	+ 750	
Departmental Program Evaluation	8,500	50,300	27,000	+ 18,500	- 23,300
Legal Services	117,121	122,234	131,834	+ 14,713	+ 9,600
Trust Funds	327	327	327		
Public Law 111-212 (emergency)	18,200			- 18,200	
International Labor Affairs	92,669	115,000	116,000	+ 23,331	+ 1,000
Administration and Management	30,676	30,501	30,501	- 175	
Adjudication	29,286	30,367	30,367	+ 1,081	
Women's Bureau	11,604	12,265	12,265	+ 1,351	
Civil Rights Activities	7,220	7,335	7,335	+ 115	+ 700
Chief Financial Officer	5,361	5,438	5,438	+ 77	
Total, Salaries and expenses	374,206	428,624	416,624	+ 42,418	- 12,000
Federal Funds	(355,679)	(428,297)	(416,297)	(+ 60,618)	(- 12,000)
Federal Funds (emergency)	(18,200)			(- 18,200)	
Trust Funds	(327)	(327)	(327)		
VETERANS EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING					
State Administration, Grants	165,394	165,394	165,394		
Transition Assistance Program	7,000	8,000	8,000	+ 1,000	
Federal Administration	35,313	35,680	35,680	+ 367	
National Veterans Training Institute	2,449	2,449	2,449		
Homeless Veterans Program	36,330	41,330	41,330	+ 5,000	
Veterans Workforce Investment Programs	9,641	9,641	9,641		
Total, Veterans Employment and Training	256,127	262,494	262,494	+ 6,367	
Federal Funds	45,971	50,971	50,971	+ 5,000	
Trust Funds	210,156	211,523	211,523	+ 1,367	
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL					
Program Activities	78,093	79,090	79,090	+ 997	
Trust Funds	5,921	5,992	5,992	+ 71	
Total, Office of Inspector General	84,014	85,082	85,082	+ 1,068	
Total, Departmental Management	714,347	776,200	764,200	+ 49,853	- 12,000
Federal Funds	497,943	558,358	546,358	+ 48,415	- 12,000
Current Year	(479,743)	(558,358)	(546,358)	(+ 66,615)	(- 12,000)
Current Year (emergency)	(18,200)			(- 18,200)	
Trust Funds	216,404	217,842	217,842	+ 1,438	
Working Capital Fund		4,537	4,537	+ 4,537	
Total, Workforce Investment Act Programs	5,545,376	5,641,479	5,589,223	+ 43,847	- 52,256
Current Year	(3,082,276)	(3,178,479)	(3,126,223)	(+ 43,847)	(- 52,256)
Fiscal Year 2012	(2,463,000)	(2,463,000)	(2,463,000)		
Total, Title I, Department of Labor	16,606,460	17,255,650	16,992,670	+ 386,210	- 262,980
Emergency appropriations	(18,200)			(- 18,200)	
Federal Funds	12,232,250	12,562,127	12,480,813	+ 248,563	- 81,314
Current Year	(9,706,050)	(10,058,127)	(9,976,813)	(+ 270,763)	(- 81,314)
Current Year (emergency)	(18,200)			(- 18,200)	
Fiscal Year 2012	(2,508,000)	(2,504,000)	(2,504,000)	(- 4,000)	
Trust Funds	4,374,210	4,693,523	4,511,857	+ 137,647	- 181,666
TITLE II—DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES					
HEALTH RESOURCES AND SERVICES ADMINISTRATION (HRSA)					
HEALTH RESOURCES AND SERVICES					
Bureau of Primary Health Care					
Community Health Centers	2,185,146	2,479,993	2,185,146		- 294,847
State Health Access Grants	74,480	75,000	65,000	- 9,480	- 10,000
Free Clinics Medical Malpractice	40	40	40		
National Hansen's Disease Program	16,075	16,109	16,109	+ 34	
Buildings and Facilities	128	129	129	+ 1	
Payment to Hawaii, Treatment of Hansen's	1,976	1,976	1,976		
Subtotal	2,277,845	2,573,247	2,268,400	- 9,445	- 304,847
Bureau of Health Professions					
National Health Service Corps:					
Field placements	40,941	46,001	39,736	- 1,205	- 6,265

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
Marketing		5,000			-5,000
Recruitment	100,479	122,588	83,741	-16,738	-38,847
Subtotal	141,420	173,589	123,477	-17,943	-50,112
Health Professions					
Health Professions, Training for Diversity:					
Centers of Excellence	24,550	24,602	26,801	+2,251	+2,199
Health Careers Opportunity Program	22,086	22,133	23,566	+1,480	+1,433
Faculty Loan Repayment	1,266	1,266	3,883	+2,617	+2,617
Scholarships for Disadvantaged Students	49,236	49,342	50,171	+935	+829
Subtotal, Training for Diversity	97,138	97,343	104,421	+7,283	+7,078
Training in Primary Care Medicine	38,924	74,275	82,500	+43,576	+8,225
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(198,122)			(-198,122)	
Rural Physician Training		5,000	2,550	+2,550	-2,450
Training in Dentistry	32,919	32,982	53,491	+20,572	+20,509
Interdisciplinary Community-Based Linkages:					
Area Health Education Centers	33,274	33,345	34,833	+1,559	+1,488
Allied Health and Other Disciplines	4,879	4,890	15,308	+10,429	+10,418
Geriatric Programs	33,675	53,747	36,907	+3,732	-16,840
Subtotal, Interdisciplinary Community Linkages	71,828	91,982	87,048	+15,220	-4,934
Workforce Information and Analysis	2,826	8,781	11,156	+8,330	+2,375
State Grants for Health Workforce Development		105,000			-105,000
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(5,750)			(-5,750)	
Public Health and Preventive Medicine programs	9,647	9,668	14,834	+5,187	+5,166
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(14,829)		(20,000)	(+5,171)	(+20,000)
Subtotal	24,476	9,668	34,834	+10,358	+25,166
Nursing Programs:					
Advanced Education Nursing	64,301	109,438	78,219	+13,918	-31,219
Nurse Education, Practice, and Retention	39,811	39,896	48,000	+8,189	+8,104
Nursing Workforce Diversity	16,073	16,107	18,054	+1,981	+1,947
Loan Repayment and Scholarship Program	93,864	93,864	99,074	+5,210	+5,210
Comprehensive Geriatric Education	4,557	4,567	5,284	+727	+717
Nurse Managed Health Centers		25,000			-25,000
Nursing Faculty Loan Program	24,947	25,000	43,654	+18,707	+18,654
Subtotal, Nursing programs	243,553	313,872	292,285	+48,732	-21,587
Nurse Managed Health Centers:					
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(15,268)			(-15,268)	
Traineeships for Nurse Practitioners:					
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(31,431)			(-31,431)	
Subtotal, Health Professions	496,835	738,903	648,285	+151,450	-90,618
Subtotal, Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(265,400)		(20,000)	(-245,400)	(+20,000)
Total, Health Professions	762,235	738,903	668,285	-93,950	-70,618
Children's Hospitals Graduate Medical Education	316,824	317,500	317,500	+676	
Patient Navigator	4,965	5,000	5,000	+35	
Medical School Development		100,000	90,000	+90,000	-10,000
Teaching Health Centers Planning Grants		10,000			-10,000
National Practitioner Data Bank	19,750	21,000	21,000	+1,250	
User Fees	-19,750	-21,000	-21,000	-1,250	
Health Care Integrity and Protection Data Bank	3,758	4,000	4,000	+242	
User Fees	-3,758	-4,000	-4,000	-242	
Subtotal, Bureau of Health Professions (BHP)	960,044	1,344,992	1,184,262	+224,218	-160,730
Subtotal, Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(265,400)		(20,000)	(-245,400)	(+20,000)
Total, BHP	1,225,444	1,344,992	1,204,262	-21,182	-140,730
Maternal and Child Health Bureau					
Maternal and Child Health Block Grant	660,710	673,187	673,187	+12,477	
Sickle Cell Anemia Demonstration Program	4,740	4,750	4,750	+10	
Traumatic Brain Injury	9,918	9,939	9,939	+21	
Autism and Other Developmental Disorders	47,898	55,000	55,000	+7,102	
Heritable Disorders	9,992	10,013	10,013	+21	
Congenital Disabilities	499	500		-499	-500
Healthy Start	104,776	110,186	104,800	+24	-5,386
Universal Newborn Hearing	18,960	19,000	19,000	+40	
Emergency Medical Services for Children	21,454	21,500	21,500	+46	
Subtotal, Maternal and Child Health Bureau	878,947	904,075	898,189	+19,242	-5,886
Nutrition, Physical Activity:					
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(255)			(-255)	
HIV/AIDS Bureau					
Ryan White AIDS Programs:					
Emergency Assistance	676,074	679,074	691,574	+15,500	+12,500
Comprehensive Care Programs	1,278,791	1,313,791	1,318,791	+40,000	+5,000
AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) (INA)	(860,000)	(885,000)	(900,000)	(+40,000)	(+15,000)
Early Intervention Program	206,383	211,877	206,383		-5,494
Children, Youth, Women, and Families	77,621	77,787		+166	
AIDS Dental Services	13,565	15,429	13,565		-1,864
Education and Training Centers	34,745	37,443	34,745		-2,698
Subtotal, Ryan White AIDS programs	2,287,179	2,335,401	2,342,845	+55,666	+7,444
Evaluation Tap Funding (INA)	(25,000)	(25,000)	(25,000)		
Subtotal, Ryan White AIDS program level	(2,312,179)	(2,360,401)	(2,367,845)	(+55,666)	(+7,444)
Subtotal, HIV/AIDS Bureau	2,287,179	2,335,401	2,342,845	+55,666	+7,444
Healthcare Systems Bureau					
Organ Transplantation	25,991	26,049	27,000	+1,009	+951
National Cord Blood Inventory	11,957	13,883	13,883	+1,926	
Bone Marrow Program	23,467	26,544	26,544	+3,077	
Office of Pharmacy Affairs	2,220	5,220	5,220	+3,000	
Poison Control	29,250	29,314	29,314	+64	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
Medical Home Demonstration			5,000	+ 5,000	+ 5,000
Subtotal, Healthcare systems bureau	92,885	101,010	108,961	+ 14,076	+ 5,951
Rural Health Programs					
Rural Outreach Grants	55,905	57,266	57,266	+ 1,361	
Rural Health Research/Policy Development	9,929	9,950	9,950	+ 21	
Rural Hospital Flexibility Grants	40,915	41,200	41,200	+ 285	
Delta Health Initiative	34,927	34,927	34,927		+ 34,927
Rural and Community Access to Emergency Devices	2,521	2,526	2,526	+ 5	
State Offices of Rural Health	10,005	10,075	10,075	+ 70	
Black Lung Clinics	7,185	7,200	7,200	+ 15	
Radiation Exposure Screening and Education Program	1,948	1,952	1,952	+ 4	
Subtotal, Rural health programs	163,335	130,169	165,096	+ 1,761	+ 34,927
Healthy Weight Collaborative: Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(5,000)			(- 5,000)	
Denali Commission	10,000			- 10,000	
Family Planning	316,832	327,356	327,356	+ 10,524	
Health Care-Related Facilities and Activities	337,300		257,375	- 79,925	+ 257,375
Telehealth	11,575	11,600	11,600	+ 25	
Program Management	147,052	153,808	153,808	+ 6,756	
HEALTH EDUCATION ASSISTANCE LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT					
HEAL Liquidating Account	(1,000)			(- 1,000)	
HEAL Program Management	2,847			- 2,847	
Total, HEAL	2,847			- 2,847	
VACCINE INJURY COMPENSATION PROGRAM TRUST FUND					
Post-Fiscal Year 1988 Claims	115,908	115,908	115,908		
HRSA Administration	6,502	6,502	6,502		
Total, Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund	122,410	122,410	122,410		
Covered Countermeasure Process Fund		2,500			- 2,500
Total, Health Resources & Services Administration	7,608,251	8,006,568	7,838,302	+ 230,051	- 168,266
Total, Evaluation tap funding	(25,000)	(25,000)	(25,000)		
Total, Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(270,655)	(20,000)	(20,000)	(- 250,655)	(+ 20,000)
Total, HRSA program level	(7,904,906)	(8,031,568)	(7,883,302)	(- 21,604)	(- 148,266)
CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION DISEASE CONTROL, RESEARCH, AND TRAINING					
Immunization and Respiratory Diseases	705,491	566,599	589,630	- 115,861	+ 23,031
Evaluation Tap Funding	(12,864)	(12,864)	(12,864)		
Pandemic Flu balances (Public Law 111-32)		(116,344)	(116,344)	(+ 116,344)	(- 40,000)
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³		(100,000)	(100,000)	(+ 100,000)	(+ 100,000)
Subtotal	(718,355)	(735,807)	(818,838)	(+ 100,483)	(+ 83,031)
HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention	1,045,227	1,118,286	1,077,446	+ 32,219	- 40,840
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(30,367)		(- 30,367)		
Subtotal	1,075,594	1,118,286	1,077,446	+ 1,852	- 40,840
Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases	271,814	276,587	285,971	+ 14,157	+ 9,384
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(20,000)		(40,000)	(+ 20,000)	(+ 40,000)
Subtotal	291,814	276,587	325,971	+ 34,157	+ 49,384
Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion	914,639	921,532	931,832	+ 17,193	+ 10,300
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(58,933)		(372,000)	(+ 313,067)	(+ 372,000)
Subtotal	973,572	921,532	1,303,832	+ 330,260	+ 382,300
Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities	143,349	143,539	143,621	+ 272	+ 82
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³					
Subtotal	143,349	143,539	143,621	+ 272	+ 82
Health Statistics: Evaluation Tap Funding	(138,683)	(161,883)	(161,883)	(+ 23,200)	
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(19,858)		(30,000)	(+ 10,142)	(+ 30,000)
Subtotal, Health statistics program level	(158,541)	(161,883)	(191,883)	(+ 33,342)	(+ 30,000)
Public Health Scientific Services	126,379	160,747	121,954	- 4,425	- 38,793
Evaluation Tap Funding	(109,086)	(79,201)	(93,086)	(- 16,000)	(+ 13,885)
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(62,500)		(52,000)	(- 10,500)	(+ 52,000)
Subtotal, Health Statistics / Public Health Scientific Services, Program Level	(297,965)	(239,948)	(267,040)	(- 30,925)	(+ 27,092)
Environmental Health	180,829	176,100	170,948	- 9,881	- 5,152
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³			(35,000)	(+ 35,000)	(+ 35,000)
Subtotal	180,829	176,100	205,948	+ 25,119	+ 29,848
Injury Prevention and Control	148,592	147,570	147,296	- 1,296	- 274
National Institute for Occupational Safety & Health ⁴	281,404	261,528	373,786	+ 92,382	+ 112,258
Evaluation Tap Funding	(91,724)	(194,514)	(91,724)		(- 102,790)
Subtotal, Occupational Safety & Health Program ⁴	(373,128)	(456,042)	(465,510)	(+ 92,382)	(+ 9,458)
Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program	55,358	55,358	55,358		
Global Health	342,336	358,194	359,178	+ 16,842	+ 984
Public Health Preparedness and Response	1,522,614	1,253,752	1,437,582	- 85,032	+ 183,830
Pandemic Flu balances (Public Law 111-32)		(68,515)	(68,515)	(+ 68,515)	
Subtotal	1,522,614	1,322,267	1,506,097	- 16,517	+ 183,830
Public Health Leadership and Support	173,584	144,977	156,773	- 16,811	+ 11,796
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(142)		(1,000)	(+ 858)	(+ 1,000)
Subtotal	173,726	144,977	157,773	- 15,953	+ 12,796
Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant	102,019	102,034	102,034	+ 15	
Buildings and Facilities	69,140		12,000	- 57,140	+ 12,000
Business Services	369,814	382,152	378,456	+ 8,642	- 3,696
Total, Centers for Disease Control Discretionary	6,452,589	6,068,955	6,343,865	- 108,724	+ 274,910
	6,397,231	6,013,597	6,288,507	- 108,724	+ 274,910

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
Evaluation Tap Funding (NA)	(352,357)	(448,462)	(359,557)	(+ 7,200)	(- 88,505)
Pandemic Flu balances (Public Law 111-32)		(224,859)	(184,859)	(+ 184,859)	(- 40,000)
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(191,800)		(630,000)	(+ 438,200)	(+ 630,000)
Total, Centers for Disease Control Program Level	(6,996,746)	(6,742,276)	(7,518,281)	(+ 521,535)	(+ 776,005)
Discretionary	(6,941,388)	(6,686,918)	(7,462,923)	(+ 521,535)	(+ 776,005)
NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH					
National Cancer Institute	5,100,906	5,264,643	5,221,908	+ 121,002	- 42,735
National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute	3,095,349	3,187,516	3,168,353	+ 73,004	- 19,163
National Institute of Dental & Craniofacial Research	413,014	423,511	422,577	+ 9,563	- 934
National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK)	1,807,094	1,857,589	1,849,285	+ 42,191	- 8,304
Juvenile Diabetes (mandatory)	(150,000)	(150,000)	(150,000)		
Subtotal, NIDDK program level	1,957,094	2,007,589	1,999,285	+ 42,191	- 8,304
National Institute of Neurological Disorders & Stroke	1,635,477	1,681,333	1,673,342	+ 37,865	- 7,991
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases	4,516,051	4,677,070	4,629,920	+ 113,869	- 47,150
Global HIV/AIDS Fund Transfer	300,000	300,000	300,000		
Subtotal, NIAID program level	4,816,051	4,977,070	4,929,920	+ 113,869	- 47,150
National Institute of General Medical Sciences	2,050,665	2,125,090	2,123,944	+ 73,279	- 1,146
National Institute of Child Health & Human Development	1,328,828	1,368,894	1,359,515	+ 30,687	- 9,379
National Eye Institute	706,659	724,350	723,020	+ 18,361	- 1,340
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences	689,462	707,339	705,733	+ 15,271	- 1,606
National Institute on Aging	1,109,634	1,142,337	1,136,097	+ 25,463	- 6,240
National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases	538,773	555,715	553,186	+ 14,413	- 2,529
National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders	418,594	429,007	428,826	+ 10,232	- 181
National Institute of Nursing Research	145,578	150,198	149,339	+ 3,761	- 859
National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism	462,098	474,649	472,795	+ 10,697	- 1,854
National Institute on Drug Abuse	1,059,288	1,094,078	1,084,288	+ 25,000	- 9,790
National Institute of Mental Health	1,489,569	1,540,345	1,524,787	+ 35,218	- 15,558
National Human Genome Research Institute	515,799	533,959	527,485	+ 11,686	- 6,474
National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering	316,405	325,925	324,149	+ 7,744	- 1,776
National Center for Research Resources	1,258,329	1,308,741	1,306,868	+ 38,539	- 1,873
National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine	128,772	132,004	131,693	+ 2,921	- 311
National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities	211,474	219,046	217,430	+ 5,956	- 1,616
John E. Fogarty International Center	69,987	73,027	71,967	+ 1,970	- 1,060
National Library of Medicine (NLM)	350,557	364,802	361,826	+ 11,269	- 2,976
Evaluation Tap Funding	(8,200)	(8,200)	(8,200)		
Subtotal	358,757	373,002	370,026	+ 11,269	- 2,976
Office of the Director	1,176,844	1,220,478	1,252,514	+ 75,670	+ 32,036
Common fund	(544,109)	(561,629)	(557,224)	(+ 13,115)	(- 4,405)
Buildings and Facilities	99,985	22,790	36,390	- 63,595	+ 13,600
Total, National Institutes of Health (NIH)	31,005,201	31,904,446	31,757,237	+ 752,036	- 147,209
Global HIV/AIDS Fund Transfer	- 300,000	- 300,000	- 300,000		
Evaluation Tap Funding	(8,200)	(8,200)	(8,200)		
Total, NIH Program Level	(30,713,401)	(31,612,646)	(31,465,437)	(+ 752,036)	(- 147,209)
SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION (SAMHSA)					
SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES					
Mental Health:					
Programs of Regional and National Significance	361,352	374,184	369,995	+ 8,643	- 4,189
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(20,000)		(45,000)	(+ 25,000)	(+ 45,000)
Subtotal	381,352	374,184	414,995	+ 33,643	+ 40,811
Mental Health block grant	399,735	399,735	399,735		
Evaluation Tap Funding	(21,039)	(21,039)	(21,039)		
Subtotal, Program level	(420,774)	(420,774)	(420,774)		
Children's Mental Health	121,316	126,214	126,214	+ 4,898	
Grants to States for the Homeless (PATH)	65,047	70,000	70,000	+ 4,953	
Protection and Advocacy	36,380	36,380	37,290	+ 910	
Subtotal, Mental Health	983,830	1,006,513	1,003,234	+ 19,404	- 3,279
Subtotal, Mental health program level	(1,024,869)	(1,027,552)	(1,069,273)	(+ 44,404)	(+ 41,721)
Substance Abuse Treatment:					
Programs of Regional and National Significance	445,864	480,086	457,146	+ 11,282	- 22,940
Evaluation Tap Funding	(8,596)	(8,596)	(8,596)		
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³			(25,000)	(+ 25,000)	(+ 25,000)
Subtotal, Program level	(454,460)	(488,682)	(490,742)	(+ 36,282)	(+ 2,060)
Substance Abuse block grant	1,719,391	1,719,391	1,719,391		
Evaluation Tap Funding	(79,200)	(79,200)	(79,200)		
Subtotal, Program level	(1,798,591)	(1,798,591)	(1,798,591)		
Subtotal, Substance Abuse Treatment	2,165,255	2,199,477	2,176,537	+ 11,282	- 22,940
Subtotal, Program level	(2,253,051)	(2,287,273)	(2,289,333)	(+ 36,282)	(+ 2,060)
Substance Abuse Prevention:					
Programs of Regional and National Significance	202,039	223,075	202,488	+ 449	- 20,587
Health Surveillance and Support	79,197	112,297	79,197		- 33,100
Evaluation Tap Funding (NA)	(22,750)	(23,399)	(23,399)	(+ 649)	
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³			(18,000)	(+ 18,000)	(+ 18,000)
Subtotal, Program level	101,947	135,696	120,596	+ 18,649	- 15,100
St. Elizabeth's Hospital—Environmental Remediation	795			- 795	
Total, SAMHSA	3,431,116	3,541,362	3,461,456	+ 30,340	- 79,906
Evaluation Tap Funding	(131,585)	(132,234)	(132,234)	(+ 649)	
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(20,000)		(88,000)	(+ 68,000)	(+ 88,000)
Total, SAMHSA Program Level	(3,582,701)	(3,673,596)	(3,681,690)	(+ 98,989)	(+ 8,094)

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH AND QUALITY (AHRQ)					
HEALTHCARE RESEARCH AND QUALITY					
Research on Health Costs, Quality, and Outcomes:					
Evaluation Tap Funding (NA)	(270,653)	(376,109)	(274,152)	(+ 3,499)	(- 101,957)
Patient-Centered Health Research (NA)	(21,000)	(169,960)	(35,000)	(+ 14,000)	(- 134,960)
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(5,500)		(12,000)	(+ 6,500)	(+ 12,000)
Subtotal, Program level	(276,153)	(376,109)	(286,152)	(+ 9,999)	(- 89,957)
Medical Expenditures Panel Surveys:					
Evaluation Tap Funding (NA)	(58,800)	(59,300)	(59,300)	(+ 500)	
Subtotal, Medical Expenditures Panel Surveys	(58,800)	(59,300)	(59,300)	(+ 500)	
Program Support:					
Evaluation Tap Funding (NA)	(67,600)	(72,713)	(70,248)	(+ 2,648)	(- 2,465)
Evaluation Tap Funding (NA)	(397,053)	(508,122)	(403,700)	(+ 6,647)	(- 104,422)
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(5,500)		(12,000)	(+ 6,500)	(+ 12,000)
Total, AHRQ Program Level	(402,553)	(508,122)	(415,700)	(+ 13,147)	(- 92,422)
Total, Public Health Service (PHS) appropriation	48,497,157	49,521,331	49,400,860	+ 903,703	- 120,471
Total, Public Health Service Program Level	(49,600,307)	(50,568,208)	(50,964,410)	(+ 1,364,103)	(+ 396,202)
CENTERS FOR MEDICARE AND MEDICAID SERVICES GRANTS TO STATES FOR MEDICAID					
Medicaid Current Law Benefits	276,957,508	242,714,348	242,714,348	- 34,243,160	
State and Local Administration	12,381,233	13,567,479	13,567,479	+ 1,186,246	
Vaccines for Children	3,323,770	3,651,354	3,651,354	+ 327,584	
Subtotal, Medicaid Program Level	292,662,511	259,933,181	259,933,181	- 32,729,330	
Less funds advanced in prior year	- 71,700,038	- 86,789,382	- 86,789,382	- 15,089,344	
Total, Grants to States for Medicaid	220,962,473	173,143,799	173,143,799	- 47,818,674	
New advance, 1st quarter	86,789,382	86,445,289	86,445,289	- 344,093	
PAYMENTS TO HEALTH CARE TRUST FUNDS					
Supplemental Medical Insurance	160,364,000	173,873,000	173,873,000	+ 13,509,000	
Hospital Insurance for the Uninsured	- 414,000			+ 414,000	
Federal Uninsured Payment	272,000	275,000	275,000	+ 3,000	
Program Management	393,070	180,000	330,000	- 163,070	+ 50,000
General Revenue for Part D Benefit	53,180,000	54,393,000	54,393,000	+ 1,213,000	
General Revenue for Part D Administration	484,000	382,000	382,000	- 102,000	
HCFAC Reimbursement	311,000	561,000	471,000	+ 160,000	- 90,000
Total, Payments to Trust Funds, Program Level	214,590,070	229,664,000	229,624,000	+ 15,033,930	- 40,000
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT					
Research, Demonstration, Evaluation	35,600	37,178	31,415	- 4,185	- 5,763
Data Initiative		110,000			- 110,000
Medicare Operations	2,335,862	2,356,604	2,452,367	+ 116,505	+ 95,763
State Survey and Certification	346,900	362,000	362,000	+ 15,100	
High Risk Insurance Pools	55,000	55,000	50,000	- 5,000	- 5,000
Federal Administration	696,880	725,365	750,365	+ 53,485	+ 25,000
Total, Program management, Limitation on new BA	3,470,242	3,646,147	3,646,147	+ 175,905	
HEALTH CARE FRAUD AND ABUSE CONTROL					
Part D Drug Benefit/Medicare Advantage (MIP)	220,320	328,423	280,640	+ 60,320	- 47,783
HHS Office of Inspector General	29,790	94,830	79,657	+ 49,867	- 15,173
Department of Justice	29,790	90,003	75,603	+ 45,813	- 14,400
Medicaid/SCHIP	31,100	47,744	35,100	+ 4,000	- 12,644
Total, Health Care Fraud and Abuse Control	311,000	561,000	471,000	+ 160,000	- 90,000
Total, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services	526,123,167	493,460,235	493,330,235	- 32,792,932	- 130,000
Federal Funds	522,341,925	489,253,088	489,213,088	- 33,128,837	- 40,000
Current year	(435,552,543)	(402,807,799)	(402,767,799)	(- 32,784,744)	(- 40,000)
New advance, fiscal year 2012	(86,789,382)	(86,445,289)	(86,445,289)	(- 344,093)	
Trust Funds	3,781,242	4,207,147	4,117,147	+ 335,905	- 90,000
ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES					
PAYMENTS TO STATES FOR CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT AND FAMILY SUPPORT PROGRAMS					
Payments to Territories	33,000	33,000	33,000		
Repatriation	1,000	1,000	1,000		
Subtotal, Welfare payments	34,000	34,000	34,000		
Child Support Enforcement:					
State and Local Administration	4,240,449	3,024,814	3,024,814	- 1,215,635	
Federal Incentive Payments	504,000	514,000	514,000	+ 10,000	
Access and Visitation	10,000	10,000	10,000		
Subtotal, Child Support Enforcement	4,754,449	3,548,814	3,548,814	- 1,205,635	
Total, Family Support Payments Program Level	4,788,449	3,582,814	3,582,814	- 1,205,635	
Less funds advanced in previous years	- 1,000,000	- 1,100,000	- 1,100,000	- 100,000	
Total, Family Support Payments, current year	3,788,449	2,482,814	2,482,814	- 1,305,635	
New advance, 1st quarter, fiscal year 2012	1,100,000	1,200,000	1,200,000	+ 100,000	
LOW INCOME HOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE (LIHEAP)					
Formula Grants	4,509,672	2,510,000	4,509,672		+ 1,999,672
Contingency Fund	589,551	790,000	490,328	- 99,223	- 299,672
Total, LIHEAP, Program Level	5,099,223	3,300,000	5,000,000	- 99,223	+ 1,700,000
REFUGEE AND ENTRANT ASSISTANCE					
Transitional and Medical Services	353,221	417,000	362,000	+ 8,779	- 55,000
Victims of Trafficking	9,814	9,814	10,814	+ 1,000	+ 1,000
Social Services	154,005	179,005	169,005	+ 15,000	- 10,000
Preventive Health	4,748	4,748	4,748		
Targeted Assistance	48,590	48,590	48,590		
Unaccompanied Minors	149,351	207,357	159,357	+ 10,006	- 48,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
Victims of Torture	11,088	11,088	12,588	+ 1,500	+ 1,500
Total, Refugee and Entrant Assistance	730,817	877,602	767,102	+ 36,285	- 110,500
PAYMENTS TO STATES FOR THE CHILD CARE AND DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT					
Child Care and Development Block Grant	2,126,757	2,927,081	2,808,080	+ 681,323	- 119,001
SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT (TITLE XX)	1,700,000	1,700,000	1,700,000		
CHILDREN AND FAMILIES SERVICES PROGRAMS					
Programs for Children, Youth and Families:					
Head Start, current funded	7,233,680	8,223,958	8,074,783	+ 841,103	- 149,175
Consolidated Runaway, Homeless Youth Program	97,734	97,734	107,734	+ 10,000	+ 10,000
Prevention Grants to Reduce Abuse of Runaway Youth	17,971	17,971	17,971		
Child Abuse State Grants	26,535	26,535	26,535		
Child Abuse Discretionary Activities	29,020	39,020	28,235	- 785	- 10,785
Community Based Child Abuse Prevention	41,689	41,689	41,689		
Abandoned Infants Assistance	11,628	11,628	11,628		
Child Welfare Services	281,691	281,744	281,744	+ 53	
Child Welfare Training	7,207	7,207	7,207		
Innovative Approaches to Foster Care	20,000	20,000	20,000		
Adoption Opportunities	26,379	39,332	39,332	+ 12,953	
Adoption Incentive	39,500	42,000	42,000	+ 2,500	
Adoption Awareness	12,953			- 12,953	
Social Services and Income Maintenance Research	19,610	3,000	24,237	+ 4,627	+ 21,237
Evaluation Tap Funding	(5,762)	(5,762)	(5,762)		
Subtotal, Program Level	(25,372)	(8,762)	(29,999)	(+ 4,627)	(+ 21,237)
Developmental Disabilities Programs:					
State Councils	75,040	75,066	76,066	+ 1,026	+ 1,000
Protection and Advocacy	41,024	41,024	42,024	+ 1,000	+ 1,000
Voting Access for Individuals with Disabilities	17,410	17,410	17,410		
Developmental Disabilities Projects of National Significance	14,162	14,162	14,162		
University Centers for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities	38,943	38,943	39,943	+ 1,000	+ 1,000
Subtotal, Developmental Disabilities Programs	186,579	186,605	189,605	+ 3,026	+ 3,000
Native American Programs	48,773	48,773	48,773		
Community Services:					
Community Services Block Grant Act programs:					
Grants to States for Community Services	700,000	700,000	785,000	+ 85,000	+ 85,000
Economic Development	36,000	36,000	55,000	+ 19,000	+ 19,000
Rural Community Facilities	10,000		10,000		+ 10,000
Subtotal	746,000	736,000	850,000	+ 104,000	+ 114,000
Job Opportunities for Low-Income Individuals	2,644		2,644		+ 2,644
Individual Development Account Initiative	23,907	24,025	24,025	+ 118	
Subtotal, Community Services	772,551	760,025	876,669	+ 104,118	+ 116,644
Domestic Violence Hotline	3,209	4,500	4,500	+ 1,291	
Family Violence/Battered Women's Shelters	130,032	140,000	137,000	+ 6,968	- 3,000
Mentoring Children of Prisoners	49,314	49,314	49,314		
Independent Living Training Vouchers	45,351	45,351	45,351		
Faith-Based Center	1,376	1,376	1,376		
Disaster Human Services Case Management	2,000	2,000	2,000		
Program Direction	208,398	222,308	223,808	+ 15,410	+ 1,500
Total, Children and Families Services Programs	9,313,180	10,312,070	10,301,491	+ 988,311	- 10,579
Current Year	(9,313,180)	(10,312,070)	(10,301,491)	(+ 988,311)	(- 10,579)
Evaluation Tap Funding	(5,762)	(5,762)	(5,762)		
Total, Program Level	(9,318,942)	(10,317,832)	(10,307,253)	(+ 988,311)	(- 10,579)
PROMOTING SAFE AND STABLE FAMILIES	345,000	345,000	365,000	+ 20,000	+ 20,000
Discretionary Funds	63,311	63,311	63,311		
PAYMENTS FOR FOSTER CARE AND PERMANENCY					
Foster Care	4,681,000	4,539,000	4,539,000	- 142,000	
Adoption Assistance	2,501,000	2,459,000	2,459,000	- 42,000	
Kinship Guardianship	56,000	78,000	78,000	+ 22,000	
Independent Living	140,000	140,000	140,000		
Total, Payments to States	7,378,000	7,216,000	7,216,000	- 162,000	
Less Advances from Prior Year	- 1,800,000	- 1,850,000	- 1,850,000	- 50,000	
Total, payments, current year	5,578,000	5,366,000	5,366,000	- 212,000	
New Advance, 1st quarter	1,850,000	1,850,000	1,850,000		
Total, Administration for Children & Families	31,694,737	30,423,878	31,903,798	+ 209,061	+ 1,479,920
Current year	(28,744,737)	(27,373,878)	(28,853,798)	(+ 109,061)	(+ 1,479,920)
Fiscal year 2012	(2,950,000)	(3,050,000)	(3,050,000)	(+ 100,000)	
Evaluation Tap Funding	(5,762)	(5,762)	(5,762)		
Total, ACF Program Level	31,700,499	30,429,640	31,909,560	+ 209,061	+ 1,479,920
ADMINISTRATION ON AGING					
AGING SERVICES PROGRAMS					
Grants to States:					
Home and Community-based Supportive Services	368,294	416,348	406,348	+ 38,054	- 10,000
Preventive Health	21,023	21,026	21,026	+ 3	
Protection of Vulnerable Older Americans—Title VII	21,880	23,290	23,290	+ 1,410	
Family Caregivers	154,197	202,220	192,220	+ 38,023	- 10,000
Native American Caregivers Support	6,388	8,389	8,389	+ 2,001	
Subtotal, Caregivers	160,585	210,609	200,609	+ 40,024	- 10,000
Nutrition:					
Congregate Meals	440,718	445,644	462,822	+ 22,104	+ 17,178
Home Delivered Meals	217,644	220,893	228,560	+ 10,916	+ 7,667
Nutrition Services Incentive Program	160,991	161,015	161,015	+ 24	
Subtotal	819,353	827,552	852,397	+ 33,044	+ 24,845
Subtotal, Grants to States	1,391,135	1,498,825	1,503,670	+ 112,535	+ 4,845
Grants for Native Americans	27,704	29,708	29,708	+ 2,004	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
Program Innovations	19,020	13,049	16,549	-2,471	+3,500
Aging Network Support Activities	44,276	44,179	44,179	-97
Alzheimer's Disease Demonstrations	11,462	11,464	11,464	+2
Lifespan Respite Care	2,500	5,000	5,000	+2,500
Program Administration	19,976	22,508	22,508	+2,532
Total, Administration on Aging	1,516,073	1,624,733	1,633,078	+117,005	+8,345
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY					
GENERAL DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT					
General Departmental Management:					
Federal Funds	195,249	234,943	262,545	+67,296	+27,602
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(12,045)	(-12,045)
Trust Funds	5,851	-5,851
Subtotal	213,145	234,943	262,545	+49,400	+27,602
Teen Pregnancy Prevention Community Grants	110,000	129,218	110,000	-19,218
Evaluation Tap Funding	(4,455)	(4,455)	(4,455)
Subtotal, Teen Pregnancy Prevention Com Grants	(114,455)	(133,673)	(114,455)	(-19,218)
Adolescent Family Life (Title XX)	16,658	16,658	12,474	-4,184	-4,184
Minority Health	55,957	57,980	57,980	+2,023
Office of Women's Health	33,746	33,746	33,746
Minority HIV/AIDS	53,891	53,891	53,891
Health Insurance Consumer Information	30,000	30,000	+30,000
Transformation of the Commissioned Corps	14,813	13,513	13,513	-1,300
Embryo Adoption Awareness Campaign	4,200	4,200	-4,200	-4,200
Planning and Evaluation, Evaluation Tap Funding	(60,756)	(60,756)	(60,756)
Total, General Departmental Management	490,365	574,149	574,149	+83,784
Federal Funds	(484,514)	(574,149)	(574,149)	(+89,635)
Trust Funds	5,851	-5,851
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(12,045)	(-12,045)
Evaluation Tap Funding (NA)	(65,211)	(65,211)	(65,211)
Total, General Departmental Management Program	567,621	639,360	639,360	+71,739
OFFICE OF MEDICARE HEARINGS AND APPEALS	71,147	77,798	77,798	+6,651
OFFICE OF THE NATIONAL COORDINATOR FOR HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	42,325	78,334	38,734	-3,591	-39,600
Evaluation Tap Funding	(19,011)	(31,108)	(+12,097)	(+31,108)
Total, Program Level	(61,336)	(78,334)	(69,842)	(+8,506)	(-8,492)
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL					
Inspector General Federal Funds	50,279	51,754	60,754	+10,475	+9,000
HIPAA/HCFAC funding (NA)	(177,205)	(177,205)	(177,205)
Medicaid Integrity Program	(-25,000)
Deficit Reduction Act (Public Law 109-171) (NA)	(25,000)
Total, Inspector General Program Level	(252,484)	(228,959)	(237,959)	(-14,525)	(+9,000)
OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS:					
Federal Funds	37,779	44,382	44,382	+6,603
Trust Funds	3,314	-3,314
Total, Office for Civil Rights	41,093	44,382	44,382	+3,289
RETIREMENT PAY AND MEDICAL BENEFITS FOR COMMISSIONED OFFICERS					
Retirement Payments	356,455	386,040	386,040	+29,585
Survivors Benefits	24,593	27,888	27,888	+3,295
Dependents' Medical Care	93,509	103,608	103,608	+10,099
Total, Medical Benefits for Commissioned Officers	474,557	517,536	517,536	+42,979
PUBLIC HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES EMERGENCY FUND					
Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and Response					
Operations	12,845	12,847	12,847	+2
ASPR Co-located Office Facility	10,000	-10,000
Preparedness and Emergency Operations	33,059	44,153	33,065	+6	-11,088
National Disaster Medical System	56,028	56,540	56,037	+9	-503
Hospital Preparedness Cooperative Agreement Grants:					
Formula Grants	394,345	390,500	390,500	-3,845
Other Costs	25,583	29,500	29,500	+3,917
Emergency Systems for Advanced Registration of Volunteer Health Professionals (ESAR-VHP)	6,000	6,000	6,000
Bioterrorism Advanced Research and Development Authority	340,066	476,194	476,194	+136,128
Medical Countermeasure Strategic Investment Corp	200,000	-200,000
Medical Countermeasure Dispensing	9,998	10,000	10,000	+2
Global Medicine, Science, and Public Health	8,747	10,000	8,748	+1	-1,252
Policy, Strategic Planning, and Communications	4,366	8,000	4,367	+1	-3,633
Subtotal, AS for Preparedness and Response	891,037	1,253,734	1,027,258	+136,221	-226,476
Assistant Secretary for Administration					
Assistant Secretary for Administration, Cybersecurity	27,040	37,040	27,040	-10,000
Office of Security and Strategic Information	4,893	6,460	4,893	-1,567
Public Health and Science					
Medical Reserve Corps	12,581	12,694	12,581	-113
Office of the Secretary					
Parklawn Lease Expiration	69,585	35,000	35,000	-34,585
Haiti Medical Fund (Public Law 111-212) (emergency)	220,000	-220,000
Pandemic Influenza Preparedness:					
Available until expended	276,000	-276,000
Fiscal year 2011	65,000	65,578	65,000	-578
Subtotal, Office of the Secretary	630,585	100,578	100,000	-530,585	-578
Total, PHSSEF	1,566,136	1,410,506	1,171,772	-394,364	-238,734
Total, Office of the Secretary	2,735,902	2,754,459	2,485,125	-250,777	-269,334
Federal Funds	2,435,590	2,676,661	2,407,327	-28,263	-269,334
Trust Funds	80,312	77,798	77,798	-2,514
Evaluation Tap Funding (NA)	(84,222)	(65,211)	(96,319)	(+12,097)	(+31,108)

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
Total, Office of the Secretary Program Level	2,612,169	2,819,670	2,581,444	-30,725	-238,226
Total, Title II, Dept of Health & Human Services	610,567,036	577,784,636	578,753,096	-31,813,940	+968,460
Federal Funds	606,705,482	573,499,691	574,558,151	-32,147,331	+1,058,460
Current year	(516,746,100)	(484,004,402)	(485,062,862)	(-31,683,238)	(+1,058,460)
Current year (emergency)	(220,000)			(-220,000)	
Fiscal year 2012	(89,739,382)	(89,495,289)	(89,495,289)	(-244,093)	-90,000
Trust Funds	3,861,554	4,284,945	4,194,945	+333,391	-40,000
Pandemic Flu balances (Public Law 111-32)		(224,859)	(184,859)	(+184,859)	(-40,000)
Prevention and Public Health Fund ³	(500,000)		(750,000)	(+250,000)	(+750,000)
TITLE III—DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION					
EDUCATION FOR THE DISADVANTAGED					
Grants to Local Educational Agencies (LEAs):					
Basic Grants:					
Advance from prior year	(2,946,721)	(3,448,145)	(3,448,145)	(+501,424)	
Forward funded	3,145,801	2,305,080	3,145,801		+840,721
Current funded	4,000	4,000	4,000		
Subtotal, Basic grants current year approp	3,149,801	2,309,080	3,149,801		+840,721
Subtotal, Basic grants total funds available	(6,096,522)	(5,757,225)	(6,597,946)	(+501,424)	(+840,721)
Basic Grants Fiscal Year 2012 Advance	3,448,145	4,288,866	3,448,145		-840,721
Subtotal, Basic grants, program level	6,597,946	6,597,946	6,597,946		
Concentration Grants:					
Advance from prior year	(1,365,031)	(1,365,031)	(1,365,031)		
Fiscal Year 2012 Advance	1,365,031	1,365,031	1,365,031		
Targeted Grants:					
Forward funded	250,712	250,712	395,712	+145,000	+145,000
Advance from prior year	(3,264,712)	(3,014,000)	(3,014,000)	(-250,712)	
Fiscal Year 2012 Advance	3,014,000	3,014,000	3,014,000		
Subtotal (excluding emergencies)	3,264,712	3,264,712	3,409,712	+145,000	+145,000
Education Finance Incentive Grants:					
Forward Funded	250,712	250,712	395,712	+145,000	+145,000
Advance from prior year	(3,264,712)	(3,014,000)	(3,014,000)	(-250,712)	
Fiscal Year 2012 Advance	3,014,000	3,014,000	3,014,000		
Subtotal	3,264,712	3,264,712	3,409,712	+145,000	+145,000
Subtotal, Grants to LEAs, program level	14,492,401	14,492,401	14,782,401	+290,000	+290,000
Even Start	66,454			-66,454	
School Improvement Grants	545,633	900,000	545,633		-354,367
Early Learning Challenge Fund			300,000	+300,000	+300,000
Striving Readers	200,000		225,000	+25,000	+225,000
Literacy through School Libraries	19,145		19,145		+19,145
State Agency Programs:					
Migrant	394,771	394,771	394,771		
Neglected and Delinquent/High Risk Youth	50,427	50,427	50,427		
Subtotal, State Agency programs	445,198	445,198	445,198		
Evaluation	9,167	9,167	8,167	-1,000	-1,000
High School Graduation Initiative	50,000		25,000	-25,000	+25,000
Special Programs for Migrant Students	36,668	36,668	36,668		
Total, Education for the disadvantaged	15,864,666	15,883,434	16,387,212	+522,546	+503,778
Current Year	(5,023,490)	(4,201,537)	(5,546,036)	(+522,546)	(+1,344,499)
Fiscal Year 2012	(10,841,176)	(11,681,897)	(10,841,176)		(-840,721)
Subtotal, Forward Funded	(4,904,510)	(4,151,702)	(5,453,056)	(+548,546)	(+1,301,354)
IMPACT AID					
Basic Support Payments	1,138,000	1,138,000	1,133,000	+15,000	+15,000
Payments for Children with Disabilities	48,602	48,602	50,000	+1,398	+1,398
Facilities Maintenance (Sec. 8008)	4,864	4,864	4,864		
Construction (Sec. 8007)	17,509	17,509	18,500	+1,000	+1,000
Payments for Federal Property (Sec. 8002)	67,208	67,208	72,208	+5,000	+5,000
Total, Impact aid	1,276,183	1,276,183	1,298,581	+22,398	+22,398
SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS					
Effective Teaching and Learning: Literacy		450,000			-450,000
Effective Teaching and Learning: STEM		300,000			-300,000
Effective Teaching and Learning for Well-Rounded Educ.		265,000			-265,000
College Pathways		100,000			-100,000
State Grants for Improving Teacher Quality	1,266,308		1,213,559	-52,749	+1,213,559
Current funded		5,000		+5,000	+5,000
Advance from prior year	(1,681,441)	(1,681,441)	(1,681,441)		
Fiscal year 2012	1,681,441		1,681,441		+1,681,441
Subtotal, State Grants for Improving Teacher Quality, program level	2,947,749		2,900,000	-47,749	+2,900,000
Mathematics and Science Partnerships	180,478		180,478		+180,478
Educational Technology State Grants	100,000		100,000		+100,000
Supplemental Education Grants	17,687	17,687	17,687		
21st Century Community Learning Centers	1,166,166	1,166,166	1,301,166	+135,000	+135,000
State Assessments/Enhanced Assessment Instruments	410,732	450,000	410,732		-39,268
Javits Gifted and Talented Education	7,463			-7,463	
Foreign Language Assistance	26,928		26,928		+26,928
Education for Homeless Children and Youth	65,427	65,427	70,000	+4,573	+4,573
Training and Advisory Services (Civil Rights)	6,989	6,989	6,989		
Education for Native Hawaiians	34,315	34,315	35,315	+1,000	+1,000
Alaska Native Education Equity	33,315	33,315	33,315		
Rural Education	174,882	174,882	174,882		
Comprehensive Centers	56,313	56,313	31,570	-24,743	-24,743
Smaller Learning Communities, current funded	4,400			-4,400	
Smaller Learning Communities, forward funded	83,600			-83,600	
Total, School improvement programs	5,316,444	3,120,094	5,289,062	-27,382	+2,168,968
Current Year	(3,635,003)	(3,120,094)	(3,607,621)	(-27,382)	(+487,527)
Fiscal Year 2012	(1,681,441)		(1,681,441)		(+1,681,441)
Subtotal, Forward Funded	(3,447,593)	(1,856,475)	(3,450,817)	(+3,224)	(+1,594,342)
INDIAN EDUCATION					
Grants to Local Educational Agencies	104,331	104,331	107,331	+3,000	+3,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
Federal Programs:					
Special Programs for Indian Children	19,060	19,060	19,060		
National Activities	3,891	3,891	3,891		
Subtotal, Federal Programs	22,951	22,951	22,951		
Total, Indian Education	127,282	127,282	130,282	+ 3,000	+ 3,000
INNOVATION AND IMPROVEMENT					
Race to the Top		1,350,000	550,000	+ 550,000	— 800,000
Investing in Innovation Fund		500,000	224,000	+ 224,000	— 276,000
Effective Teachers and Leaders State Grants		2,500,000			— 2,500,000
Teacher and Leader Innovation Fund		950,000			— 950,000
Teacher and Leader Pathways		405,000			— 405,000
Expanding Educational Options		490,000			— 490,000
Troops-to-Teachers	14,389			— 14,389	
Transition to Teaching	43,707		43,707		+ 43,707
National Writing Project	25,646		25,646		+ 25,646
Teaching of Traditional American History	118,952		100,000	— 18,952	+ 100,000
School Leadership	29,220		30,320	+ 1,100	+ 30,320
Advanced Credentialing	10,649		10,649		+ 10,649
Teach for America	18,000				+ 18,000
Charter Schools Grants	256,031		256,031		+ 256,031
Voluntary Public School Choice	25,819		25,819		+ 25,819
Magnet Schools Assistance	100,000	110,000	100,000		— 10,000
Fund for the Improvement of Education (FIE)	250,870	27,278	214,759	— 36,111	+ 187,481
Teacher Incentive Fund	400,000		300,000	— 100,000	+ 300,000
Ready-to-Learn television	27,300		27,300		+ 27,300
Close Up Fellowships	1,942		1,942		+ 1,942
Advanced Placement	45,840		45,840		+ 45,840
Total, Innovation and Improvement	1,368,365	6,332,278	1,974,013	+ 605,648	— 4,358,265
Subtotal, Forward Funded		1,350,000	550,000	+ 550,000	— 800,000
Current Year	(1,368,365)	(6,332,278)	(1,974,013)	(+ 605,648)	(— 4,358,265)
SAFE SCHOOLS AND CITIZENSHIP EDUCATION					
Safe and Healthy Students		410,000			— 410,000
Promise Neighborhoods	10,000	210,000	60,000	+ 50,000	— 150,000
National Programs	191,341		184,341	— 7,000	+ 184,341
Alcohol Abuse Reduction	32,712		32,712		+ 32,712
Elementary and Secondary School Counseling	55,000		55,000		+ 55,000
Carol M. White Physical Education Program	79,000		80,000	+ 1,000	+ 80,000
Civic Education	35,000		46,500	+ 11,500	+ 46,500
Total, Safe Schools and Citizenship Education	403,053	620,000	458,553	+ 55,500	— 161,447
ENGLISH LANGUAGE ACQUISITION					
Current funded	48,750	48,750	50,375	+ 1,625	+ 1,625
Forward funded	701,250	751,250	724,625	+ 23,375	— 26,625
Total, English Language Acquisition	750,000	800,000	775,000	+ 25,000	— 25,000
SPECIAL EDUCATION					
State Grants:					
Grants to States Part B current year	2,912,828	2,322,108	3,202,828	+ 290,000	+ 880,720
Part B advance from prior year	(8,592,383)	(8,592,383)	(8,592,383)		
Grants to States Part B (fiscal year 2012)	8,592,383	9,433,103	8,592,383		— 840,720
Subtotal, program level	11,505,211	11,755,211	11,795,211	+ 290,000	+ 40,000
Preschool Grants	374,099	374,099	374,099		
Grants for Infants and Families	439,427	439,427	439,427		
Subtotal, program level	12,318,737	12,568,737	12,608,737	+ 290,000	+ 40,000
IDEA National Activities (current funded):					
State Personnel Development	48,000	48,000	48,000		
Technical Assistance and Dissemination	49,549	49,549	49,549		
Personnel Preparation	90,653	90,653	90,653		
Parent Information Centers	28,028	28,028	29,028	+ 1,000	+ 1,000
Technology and Media Services	43,973	41,223	43,973		+ 2,750
Subtotal, IDEA special programs	260,203	257,453	261,203	+ 1,000	+ 3,750
Mentoring for Individuals with Disabilities		10,000	10,000	+ 10,000	
Special Olympics Education programs	8,095	10,000	10,000	+ 1,905	
Total, Special education	12,587,035	12,846,190	12,889,940	+ 302,905	+ 43,750
Current Year	(3,994,652)	(3,413,087)	(4,297,557)	(+ 302,905)	(+ 884,470)
Fiscal Year 2012	(8,592,383)	(9,433,103)	(8,592,383)		(— 840,720)
Subtotal, Forward Funded	(3,726,354)	(3,135,634)	(4,016,354)	(+ 290,000)	(+ 880,720)
REHABILITATION SERVICES AND DISABILITY RESEARCH					
Vocational Rehabilitation State Grants	3,084,696	3,084,696	3,084,696		
Discretionary Supplement		56,833			— 56,833
Subtotal, VR State grants program level	3,084,696	3,141,529	3,084,696		— 56,833
Client Assistance State grants	12,288	12,288	12,288	+ 500	+ 500
Training	37,766	33,251	37,766		+ 4,515
Demonstration and Training programs	11,601		9,128	— 2,473	+ 9,128
Migrant and Seasonal Farmworkers	2,239		2,239		+ 2,239
Recreational Programs	2,474	2,474			
Protection and Advocacy of Individual Rights (PAIR)	18,101	18,101	19,077	+ 976	+ 976
Projects with Industry	19,197		18,152	— 1,045	+ 18,152
Supported Employment State grants	29,181		29,181		+ 29,181
Independent Living:					
Independent Living grants		110,000			— 110,000
State Grants	23,450		23,450		+ 23,450
Centers	80,266		83,477	+ 3,211	+ 83,477
Services for Older Blind Individuals	34,151	34,151	34,151		
Subtotal	137,867	144,151	141,078	+ 3,211	— 3,073
Vocational Rehabilitation (VR):					
VR Supported Employment Extended Services		25,000			— 25,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
VR Innovation and Technical Assistance Program		6,472			- 6,472
Workforce Innovation and Partnership		30,000	27,000	+ 27,000	- 3,000
Program Improvement	852			- 852	
Evaluation	1,217			- 1,217	
Helen Keller National Center for Deaf/Blind Youth and Adults	9,181	9,181	9,181		
National Inst. Disability and Rehab. Research (NIDRR)	109,241	111,919	111,919	+ 2,678	
Assistive Technology	30,960	30,960	30,960		
Subtotal, Discretionary programs	422,165	480,630	450,943	+ 28,778	- 29,687
Total, Rehabilitation services	3,506,861	3,565,326	3,535,639	+ 28,778	- 29,687
SPECIAL INSTITUTIONS FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES					
AMERICAN PRINTING HOUSE FOR THE BLIND	24,600	24,600	24,600		
NATIONAL TECHNICAL INSTITUTE FOR THE DEAF (NTID):					
Operations	63,037	63,037	65,437	+ 2,400	+ 2,400
Construction	5,400	1,640	240	- 5,160	- 1,400
Total, NTID	68,437	64,677	65,677	- 2,760	+ 1,000
GALLAUDET UNIVERSITY:					
Operations	118,000	118,000	118,000		
Construction	5,000		5,000		+ 5,000
Total, Gallaudet University	123,000	118,000	123,000		+ 5,000
Total, Special Institutions for Persons with Career Disabilities	216,037	207,277	213,277	- 2,760	+ 6,000
CAREER, TECHNICAL AND ADULT EDUCATION					
Career Education:					
Basic State Grants/Secondary & Technical Education State Grants, current funded	369,911	473,000	369,911		- 103,089
Advance from prior year	(791,000)	(791,000)	(791,000)		
Fiscal year 2012	791,000	791,000	791,000		
Subtotal, Basic State Grants, program level	1,160,911	1,264,000	1,160,911		- 103,089
Tech-Prep Education State Grants	102,923		102,923		+ 102,923
National Programs	7,860	7,860	7,860		
Subtotal, Career Education	1,271,694	1,271,860	1,271,694		- 166
Adult Education:					
State Grants/Adult Basic and Literacy Education:					
State Grants, current funded	628,221	612,315	597,315	- 30,906	- 15,000
National Leadership Activities	11,346	41,346	36,346	+ 25,000	- 5,000
State Grants for Incarcerated Youth Offenders	17,186	17,186	17,186		
Total, Career and adult education	1,928,447	1,942,707	1,922,541	- 5,906	- 20,166
Current Year	(1,137,447)	(1,151,707)	(1,131,541)	(- 5,906)	(- 20,166)
Fiscal Year 2012	(791,000)	(791,000)	(791,000)		
Subtotal, Forward Funded	(1,137,447)	(1,151,707)	(1,131,541)	(- 5,906)	(- 20,166)
STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE					
Pell Grants—maximum grant (NA)	(4,860)	(4,860)	(4,860)		
Pell Grants ³	17,495,000	17,652,000	23,162,000	+ 5,667,000	+ 5,510,000
Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants	757,465	757,465	757,465		
Federal Work Study	980,492	980,492	980,492		
LEAP Program	63,852			- 63,852	
Total, Student Financial Assistance (SFA)	19,296,809	19,389,957	24,899,957	+ 5,603,148	+ 5,510,000
STUDENT AID ADMINISTRATION					
Salaries and Expenses	452,763	673,404	669,625	+ 216,862	- 3,779
Servicing Activities	335,639	496,827	341,866	+ 5,227	- 154,961
Total, Student Aid Administration	788,402	1,170,231	1,011,491	+ 223,089	- 158,740
HIGHER EDUCATION					
Aid for Institutional Development:					
Strengthening Institutions	84,000	88,200	88,200	+ 4,200	
Hispanic Serving Institutions	117,429	123,300	123,300	+ 5,871	
Promoting Post-Baccalaureate Opportunities for Hispanic Americans	10,500	10,500	10,500		
Strengthening Historically Black Colleges (HBCUs)	266,586	279,915	273,251	+ 6,665	- 6,664
Strengthening Historically Black Graduate Institutions	61,425	64,496	64,496	+ 3,071	
Strengthening Predominantly Black Institutions	10,801	11,341	11,071	+ 270	- 270
Asian American Pacific Islander	3,603	3,780	3,690	+ 90	- 90
Strengthening Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian-Serving Institutions	15,084	15,838	15,461	+ 377	- 377
Strengthening Native American-Serving Nontribal Institutions	3,600	3,780	3,690	+ 90	- 90
Strengthening Tribal Colleges	30,169	31,677	30,923	+ 754	- 754
Subtotal, Aid for Institutional development	603,194	632,827	624,582	+ 21,388	- 8,245
International Education and Foreign Language:					
Domestic Programs	108,360	108,360	112,860	+ 4,500	+ 4,500
Overseas Programs	15,576	15,576	15,576		
Institute for International Public Policy	1,945	1,945	1,945		
Subtotal, International Education & Foreign Lang	125,881	125,881	130,381	+ 4,500	+ 4,500
Fund for the Improvement of Postsec. Ed. (FIPSE)	159,403	64,036	120,968	- 38,435	+ 56,932
Postsecondary Program for Students with Intellectual Disabilities	11,000	11,000	11,000		
Legal Assistance Loan Repayment	5,000		5,000		+ 5,000
Minority Science and Engineering Improvement	9,503	9,503	9,503		
Tribally Controlled Postsec Voc/Tech Institutions	8,162	8,162	8,162		
Federal TRIO Programs	853,089	853,089	873,386	+ 20,297	+ 20,297
GEAR UP	323,212	323,212	328,060	+ 4,848	+ 4,848
Byrd Honors Scholarships	42,000		42,000		+ 42,000
Javits Fellowships	9,687	9,687	9,687		
Graduate Assistance in Areas of National Need	31,030	31,030	31,030		
Teacher Quality Partnerships	43,000		48,000	+ 5,000	+ 48,000
BA Degrees in STEM & Critical Foreign Languages	1,092			- 1,092	
MA Degrees in STEM & Critical Foreign Languages	1,092			- 1,092	
Child Care Access Means Parents in School	16,034	16,034	16,034		
Demonstration in Disabilities / Higher Education	6,755	6,755	6,755		
Underground Railroad Program	1,945			- 1,945	
GPRA Data/HEA Program Evaluation	609	609	609		
B.J. Stupak Olympic Scholarships	977		1,400	+ 423	+ 1,400
Thurgood Marshall Legal Education Opportunities	3,000	3,000	3,000		

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
Total, Higher Education	2,255,655	2,094,825	2,269,557	+13,892	+174,732
HOWARD UNIVERSITY					
Academic Program	202,431	202,431	202,431		
Endowment Program	3,600	3,600	3,600		
Howard University Hospital	28,946	28,946	28,946		
Total, Howard University	234,977	234,977	234,977		
COLLEGE HOUSING AND ACADEMIC FACILITIES LOANS (CHAFL)	461	461	461		
HBCU CAPITAL FINANCING PROGRAM					
HBCU Federal Administration	354	354	354		
HBCU Loan Subsidies	20,228	20,228	20,228		
Total, HBCU Capital Financing Program	20,582	20,582	20,582		
INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION SCIENCES (IES)					
Research, Development and Dissemination	200,196	260,696	220,196	+20,000	-40,500
Statistics	108,521	117,021	117,721	+9,200	+700
Regional Educational Laboratories	70,650	69,650	69,650	-1,000	
Research in Special Education	71,085	71,085	71,085		
Special Education Studies and Evaluations	11,460	11,460	11,460		
Statewide Data Systems	58,250	65,000	58,250		-6,750
Assessment:					
National Assessment	130,121	135,121	135,121	+5,000	
National Assessment Governing Board	8,723	8,723	8,723		
Subtotal, Assessment	138,844	143,844	143,844	+5,000	
Total, IES	659,006	738,756	692,206	+33,200	-46,550
DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT					
PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION:					
Salaries and Expenses	448,000	473,213	460,600	+12,600	-12,613
Building Modernization	8,200	19,275	19,275	+11,075	
Total, Program administration	456,200	492,488	479,875	+23,675	-12,613
OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS	103,024	105,700	105,700	+2,676	
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL	60,053	65,238	65,238	+5,185	
Total, Departmental management	619,277	663,426	650,813	+31,536	-12,613
Total, Title III, Department of Education	67,219,552	71,033,986	74,654,144	+7,434,592	+3,620,158
Current Year	(45,313,352)	(49,127,986)	(52,748,144)	(+7,434,592)	(+3,620,158)
Current Year (emergency)					
Fiscal Year 2012	(21,906,000)	(21,906,000)	(21,906,000)		
TITLE IV—RELATED AGENCIES					
COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED	5,396	5,771	5,771	+375	
CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE					
OPERATING EXPENSES					
Domestic Volunteer Service Programs:					
Volunteers in Service to America (VISTA)	99,074	98,000	103,000	+3,926	+5,000
National Senior Volunteer Corps:					
Foster Grandparents Program	110,996	111,100	115,600	+4,604	+4,500
Senior Companion Program	46,904	47,000	49,500	+2,596	+2,500
Retired Senior Volunteer Program	63,000	63,000	63,000		
Subtotal, Senior Volunteers	220,900	221,100	228,100	+7,200	+7,000
Subtotal, Domestic Volunteer Service Programs	319,974	319,100	331,100	+11,126	+12,000
National and Community Service Programs:					
AmeriCorps State and National Grants	369,888	488,033	426,290	+56,402	-61,743
Disability Placement Funds	6,000	6,000	6,000		
Innovation, Assistance, and Other Activities	60,500	77,000	71,000	+10,500	-6,000
Evaluation	6,000	8,000	8,000	+2,000	
National Civilian Community Corps	29,000	34,593	34,593	+5,593	
Learn and Serve America	39,500	40,198	39,500		-698
State Commission Administrative Grants	17,000	18,000	18,000	+1,000	
Training and Technical Assistance	7,500	13,000	7,500		-5,500
Subtotal, National & Community Service Programs	534,388	684,824	610,883	+76,495	-73,941
Total, Operating expenses	854,362	1,003,924	941,983	+87,621	-61,941
National Service Trust	199,659	293,662	254,856	+55,197	-38,806
Salaries and Expenses	88,000	109,000	100,522	+12,522	-8,478
Office of the Inspector General	7,700	9,000	9,000	+1,300	
Total, Corp. for National and Community Service	1,149,721	1,415,586	1,306,361	+156,640	-109,225
CORPORATION FOR PUBLIC BROADCASTING:					
Fiscal year 2013 (current) with fiscal year 2012 comparable	445,000	460,000	460,000	+15,000	
Fiscal year 2012 advance with fiscal year 2011 comparable (NA)	(430,000)	(445,000)	(445,000)	(+15,000)	
Fiscal year 2011 advance with fiscal year 2010 comparable (NA)	(420,000)	(430,000)	(430,000)	(+10,000)	
Fiscal Stabilization Grants, current funded	25,000			-25,000	
Digitalization program, current funded	36,000	36,000	36,000		
Interconnection, current funded	25,000			-25,000	
Subtotal, fiscal year 2011 appropriation	86,000	36,000	36,000	-50,000	
FEDERAL MEDIATION AND CONCILIATION SERVICE	46,652	48,025	48,025	+1,373	
FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION	10,358	13,105	14,705	+4,347	+1,600
Public Law 111-212 (emergency)	3,800			-3,800	
Subtotal	14,158	13,105	14,705	+547	+1,600
INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM AND LIBRARY SERVICES	282,251	265,869	270,619	-11,632	+4,750
MEDICARE PAYMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION	11,800	13,100	13,100	+1,300	
NATIONAL COUNCIL ON DISABILITY	3,271	3,337	3,337	+66	
NATIONAL HEALTH CARE WORKFORCE COMMISSION			3,000	+3,000	+3,000
NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD	283,400	287,100	287,100	+3,700	
NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD	13,463	13,772	14,972	+1,509	+1,200
OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION	11,712	12,051	12,051	+339	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD					
Dual Benefits Payments Account	64,000	57,000	57,000	-7,000	
Less Income Tax Receipts on Dual Benefits	-3,000	-3,000	-3,000		
Subtotal, Dual Benefits	61,000	54,000	54,000	-7,000	
Federal Payment to the RR Retirement Account	150	150	150		
Limitation on Administration	109,073	110,573	110,573	+1,500	
Inspector General	8,186	8,936	8,936	+750	
SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION					
Payments to Social Security Trust Funds	20,404	21,404	21,404	+1,000	
SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME					
Federal Benefit Payments	46,602,000	52,635,000	52,635,000	+6,033,000	
Beneficiary Services	49,000	60,000	60,000	+11,000	
Research and Demonstration	49,000	43,000	27,040	-21,960	-15,960
Administration	3,442,000	3,775,000	3,760,084	+318,084	-14,916
Subtotal, SSI program level	50,142,000	56,513,000	56,482,124	+6,340,124	-30,876
Less funds advanced in prior year	-15,400,000	-16,000,000	-16,000,000	-600,000	
Subtotal, regular SSI current year	34,742,000	40,513,000	40,482,124	+5,740,124	-30,876
New advance, 1st quarter, fiscal year 2012	16,000,000	13,400,000	13,400,000	-2,600,000	
Total, SSI program	50,742,000	53,913,000	53,882,124	+3,140,124	-30,876
LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES					
OASDI Trust Funds	5,592,200	5,964,840	5,939,296	+347,096	-25,544
HV/SMI Trust Funds	2,106,000	2,227,860	2,218,320	+112,320	-9,540
Social Security Advisory Board	2,300	2,300	2,300		
Acquisition Workforce Capacity and Capabilities		1,863	1,863	+1,863	
SSI	3,100,000	3,483,000	3,468,084	+368,084	-14,916
Subtotal, regular LAE	10,800,500	11,679,863	11,629,863	+829,363	-50,000
Additional Program Integrity Funding:					
OASDI Trust Funds	143,000	221,000	221,000	+78,000	
SSI	342,000	292,000	292,000	-50,000	
Subtotal, additional CDR funding	485,000	513,000	513,000	+28,000	
SSI User Fee activities	160,000	186,000	186,000	+26,000	
SSPA User Fee Activities	1,000			-1,000	
Total, Limitation on Administrative Expenses	11,446,500	12,378,863	12,328,863	+882,363	-50,000
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL					
Federal Funds	29,000	30,000	30,000	+1,000	
Trust Funds	73,682	76,122	76,122	+2,440	
Total, Office of the Inspector General	102,682	106,122	106,122	+3,440	
Adjustment: Trust fund transfers from general revenues	-3,442,000	-3,775,000	-3,760,084	-318,084	+14,916
Total, Social Security Administration	58,869,586	62,644,389	62,578,429	+3,708,843	-65,960
Federal funds	50,952,404	54,152,267	54,121,391	+3,168,987	-30,876
Current year	(34,952,404)	(40,732,267)	(40,721,391)	(+5,768,987)	(-30,876)
New advances, 1st quarter	(16,000,000)	(13,400,000)	(13,400,000)	(-2,600,000)	
Trust funds	7,917,182	8,492,122	8,457,038	+539,856	-35,084
Total, Title IV, Related Agencies	61,400,819	65,391,764	65,227,129	+3,826,310	-164,635
Federal Funds	53,354,578	56,767,033	56,637,482	+3,282,904	-129,551
Current Year	(36,905,778)	(42,907,033)	(42,777,482)	(+5,871,704)	(-129,551)
Current Year (emergency)	(3,800)			(-3,800)	
Fiscal Year 2012 Advance	(16,000,000)	(13,400,000)	(13,400,000)	(-2,600,000)	
Fiscal Year 2013 Advance	(445,000)	(460,000)	(460,000)	(+15,000)	
Trust Funds	8,046,241	8,624,731	8,589,647	+543,405	-35,084
Grand total, total in bill	755,793,867	731,466,036	735,627,039	-20,166,828	+4,161,003

¹ Formerly Community-based Job Training Grants.² Two-year availability.³ Sec. 4002 of Public Law 111-148.⁴ Includes Mine Safety and Health.⁵ Budget requests reflects current law per the CBO.

DIVISION I—LEGISLATIVE BRANCH APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

Following is an explanation of the effects of Division I, which makes appropriations for the Legislative Branch for fiscal year 2011. As provided in section 4 of the consolidated bill, this explanatory statement shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds and the implementation of this division as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.

TITLE I

LEGISLATIVE BRANCH APPROPRIATIONS SENATE

The bill provides \$925,986,000 for Senate operations. Inasmuch as these items relate solely to the Senate, and in accordance with long practice under which each body determines its own housekeeping requirements and the other concurs without intervention, the House has accepted the proposal of the Senate.

The language and allocations related to the Senate contained in Senate Report 111-294 should be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in the explanatory statement.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The bill provides \$1,371,172,000 for the operations and maintenance of the House of Representatives (hereafter, "House"). Inasmuch as these items relate solely to the House, and in accordance with long practice under which each body determines its own housekeeping requirements and the other concurs without intervention, the Senate has accepted the proposal of the House.

MEMBERS' REPRESENTATIONAL ALLOWANCES

The bill provides \$652,000,000 for the representational allowances of the Members of the House for staff salaries, official expenses, and official mail (MRA). This amount is \$8,000,000 below the fiscal year 2010 level to reflect the current spending patterns of Members, many of whom do not expend their full authorized level. Based on actual spending data, \$652,000,000 is a sufficient level in which to support the current individual authorization levels for Members, established by the Committee on House Administration, which totaled \$671,742,822 at the beginning of the 2010 legislative calendar year. It is the longstanding practice of the House Committee on Appropriations to appropriate less than the authorized level to account for projected savings by Members. Furthermore, the bill continues longstanding language returning excess MRA funds to the Treasury.

SALARIES, OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES

The bill provides \$193,011,000 for the salaries and expenses of House officers and employees of the various activities funded through this consolidated item, including the House Sergeant at Arms (SAA), Clerk of the House, and Chief Administrative Officer of the House (CAO).

Access to Buildings.—In the fiscal year 2010 House report, the SAA was directed to report on the causes for delay in accessing the House Office Buildings and the Capitol Building through the Rayburn and Cannon tunnels. While the SAA's report contained a proposed strategy to expedite lines, it is either not being followed or the strategy does not work. Complaints continue regarding the wait times for both visitors and staff. Therefore the SAA and the Capitol Police are directed to find a permanent solution to the problem and present a detailed plan to the House Committee on Appropriations by February 4, 2011 for all House side entrances that reduces wait time for visitors to no longer than 10 minutes during peak time.

Services for Members and Staff.—The CAO's office markedly improved its services and outreach in the past few months which has reduced the number of complaints regarding services that are under the jurisdiction of the CAO. However, challenges remain, specifically with regard to the Office of Payroll and Benefits and Office of Financial Counseling. To underscore the seriousness of providing basic services to the House, and to ensure the CAO's office continues on its path of improvements, the bill withholds \$20,000,000 of the Office of the CAO's appropriation from obligation until the CAO presents a "House Services Action Plan" to the House Committee on Appropriations and the Committee on House Administration. The plan shall certify that: (1) regular meetings are scheduled with major staff organizations, and (2) a user satisfaction survey is implemented for the Office of Payroll and Benefits and the Office of Financial Counseling with plans to conduct the survey annually.

Food Prices.—The recent introduction of budget-friendly meals in the House cafeterias, including the "Heroes on the Hill" concept, has been encouraging. The CAO is directed to continue to work with the food vendor to diversify food choices and offer lower food prices.

U.S. Capitol Historical Society.—The U.S. Capitol Historical Society is chartered by the Congress to educate the public on the history and heritage of the U.S. Capitol building, its institutions and the people who have served therein. Its expertise will be needed as the Capitol undergoes much needed preservation work over the next decade, including work on the iconic Dome. The House Historian and CAO, along with the Architect of the Capitol, are encouraged to work with the Society in its effort to preserve and catalog the history of the Capitol.

Web site security.—Recent cyber attacks on House websites, the latest of which defaced the official Web sites of over 40 Members, highlighted vulnerabilities in the House's ability to prevent cyber attacks. House leadership directed the CAO to examine solutions for strengthening the security features of Web sites, including those hosted by outside vendors. The CAO shall submit the budget impact for any future cyber security plans no later than 6 weeks after enactment of this Act to the House Committee on Appropriations.

Diversity.—The recent House compensation study illustrates ongoing challenges in creating a diverse workforce in the House. Among respondents to the survey, 7.5 percent of Chiefs of Staff identified as black not of Hispanic origin and 2.7 percent of Chiefs of Staff identified as Hispanic. By comparison to the nation at large, based on the 2008 census estimate, 12.8 percent of the U.S. population is black and 15.4 percent is Hispanic. The work to diversify the staff has started in the House with the Speaker's announcement of a new diversity initiative to be led by the Committee on House Administration. The House is commended on starting the process to build a workforce as diverse as the Nation that the Congress serves.

Office of Congressional Ethics.—The bill provides \$2,020,000 for the Office of Congressional Ethics (OCE). The bill does not provide \$268,000 requested by OCE for lease payments, but instead provides the funding in the Architect of the Capitol's House Office Building account to make the lease payments on OCE's behalf. Funding is also provided for OCE to take over its web services payments from the House CAO.

Energy Demonstration Projects.—The bill does not provide funding for Energy Dem-

onstration projects due to the slow pace of implementing the program, but the House Committee on Appropriations supports the program's goal to make the House a leader in promoting cutting-edge energy technologies and practices which save energy.

JOINT ITEMS

JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE

The bill provides \$4,814,000 for the Joint Economic Committee.

JOINT COMMITTEE ON TAXATION

The bill provides \$11,327,000 for the Joint Committee on Taxation.

OFFICE OF THE ATTENDING PHYSICIAN

The bill provides \$3,407,000 for the Office of the Attending Physician.

OFFICE OF CONGRESSIONAL ACCESSIBILITY SERVICES

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$1,377,000 for the operation of the Office of Congressional Accessibility Services.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

The bill includes a new provision regarding the student loan program for the Office of Congressional Accessibility Services.

CAPITOL POLICE

New Posts.—The Capitol Police (USCP) is directed to provide a list of all new posts created in the past year, and further directs USCP to notify the Committees on Appropriations when new posts are created, including the annualized cost of maintaining the new posts and how the costs will be offset (such as elimination of lower priority posts).

Capitol Police Board.—The Government Accountability Office is directed to review the governance practices that the Board has adopted and determine whether improvements or changes are needed in the Board's mission, structure, functions, and processes.

Senate Office Buildings Business Hours.—USCP testified at a March 4th hearing that it conducted a study to identify door closures during non-business hours that would lead to significant savings in overtime. The Senate Committee on Appropriations has yet to receive the results of this study. The Chief of Police is directed to complete this study and present the recommendations to the Senate Committee on Appropriations within 60 days of enactment of this Act.

SALARIES

The bill provides \$279,224,000 for the salaries and benefits for USCP. Using the latest salary estimates from USCP, this level of funding is expected to support a staffing level of 1,800 sworn officers and 393 civilian positions. USCP shall prioritize hiring for the positions of Chief Administrative Officer and Chief Financial Officer. In addition, within the total provided for salaries, \$29,195,000 is available for overtime.

Fiscal Mismanagement.—USCP underestimated its salaries appropriation requirements in fiscal year 2010 and subsequently requested to transfer approximately \$5 million from General Expenses to Salaries to cover the shortfall and ensure no officers were furloughed. The Committees on Appropriations approved the request which effectively increased the police's salaries base by 2 percent in fiscal year 2010. USCP's recent salary miscalculation is the latest indication of an agency with poor financial controls. The Chief of Police is directed to work with USCP's Inspector General (IG) and the GAO to close longstanding open recommendations on correcting these weaknesses in internal controls. Previous IG and GAO reports have

issued recommendations to correct deficiencies, including in the financial management systems that could have prevented this recent problem. Most of these recommendations were never implemented. The GAO and IG are working to analyze and group previous open recommendations. Once that analysis is complete, USCP is directed to develop and submit to the Committees on Appropriations for approval, by January 28, 2011, a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) that details how the agency will implement the results of the GAO and IG analysis and identify any other actions the agency plans to take to substantively improve its business practices. The GAO and IG shall review the CAP after it is submitted to the Committees and provide the Committees with an evaluation of the sufficiency of the plan to put USCP on a path to correcting long-standing deficiencies.

The IG is directed to audit the fiscal year 2012 Capitol Police budget submission. The audit shall determine the reasonableness of the budget for salaries and benefits and be submitted to the Committees on Appropriations within 45 days after the USCP budget is transmitted to Congress.

GENERAL EXPENSES

The bill provides \$57,985,000 for all general expenses of USCP. No funding is included for new project requests for fiscal year 2011.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The bill includes a longstanding administrative provision and a new administrative provision related to the truck interdiction program.

OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$4,377,000 for the Office of Compliance (OOC).

Payments from the Settlement Fund.—OOC is directed to submit semi-annual reports on disbursements for awards and settlements under subsection (a) of section 415 of the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995. These reports should include, by agency and for the preceding three year period, the annual average number of settlements and the annual average dollar amount disbursed.

CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$46,905,000 for the Congressional Budget Office (CBO). The amount provided supports full-time equivalents hired with 2009 supplemental funds.

Diversity Initiatives.—CBO is uniquely positioned to introduce women and minorities to the field of economics as well as to the legislative branch of government. CBO is encouraged to utilize its unique position to not only recruit a diverse workforce, but to also build a workforce by working with younger students to expose them to the field of economics.

ARCHITECT OF THE CAPITOL

The bill includes \$581,665,000 for the Architect of the Capitol. In addition to the amounts provided in this bill, the Committees on Appropriations have approved AOC's requests to transfer and reprogram \$23,614,625 in prior year balances. AOC has applied these balances to 15 construction projects which it originally requested in its 2011 budget submission.

Capital Construction in Support of Client Agency Missions.—For fiscal year 2012 and beyond, client agencies of the AOC are directed to include detailed justifications of each capital improvement or capital construction project being requested on their behalf by

AOC and include proposed cuts within their own agency budget to offset the costs of such projects.

Recycling.—Efforts by AOC and the Capitol Police to implement outdoor recycling programs, while maintaining security of the complex, are encouraging. Expanding these efforts will further reduce solid waste. AOC and the Capitol Police are directed to collaborate on installing additional recycling receptacles next to existing garbage receptacles in high-traffic areas across the Capitol complex.

Recharging Stations.—AOC is directed to study the feasibility and costs of providing access to recharging stations for electric powered vehicles. The study shall include an examination of a fee for service model and the potential role for private vendors in financing and administering such a program. The report shall be provided to the Committees on Appropriations no later than 3 months after enactment of this Act.

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

The bill provides \$109,294,000 for personnel services, equipment, communications, additional office lease payments, and other central support activities of AOC. This amount supports an operating budget of \$101,795,000. The following table displays the project budget detail.

Item	Amount Provided
Energy Savings Performance Contracts Management Program	\$3,500,000
Energy Reduction Program Conservation of Fine Architectural Art	3,500,000
	499,000
Total	\$7,499,000

Energy Savings Performance Contracts (ESPCs).—AOC is implementing a variety of procedures and facilities improvements to meet ambitious energy conservation goals, including those mandated by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007. ESPCs, a Federal government-wide contract mechanism used to finance energy reduction projects, are a key component of AOC's strategy. In past years, AOC has been directed to use ESPCs to achieve energy efficiency. Since that time, an Inspector General review has found that private financing expenses have increased the cost of one ESPC by 60 percent above the cost of identical work if financed by direct appropriations. Prior to entering into any future ESPCs, AOC is directed to submit a cost comparison to the Committees on Appropriations of alternative financing options, including direct appropriation of all or part of the project cost.

CAPITOL BUILDING

The bill provides \$52,916,000 for the operation, maintenance, and care of the Capitol building. This amount supports an operating budget of \$27,390,000. The following table displays the project budget detail.

Item	Amount Provided
Dome Rehabilitation—Phase 1C of II (Skirt Rehabilitation)	\$19,999,000
Compartment Barriers & Horizontal Exits, Phase I of II	2,027,000
Minor Construction	3,500,000
Total	\$25,526,000

CAPITOL GROUNDS

The bill provides \$9,988,000 for the care and improvement of the grounds surrounding the Capitol, the Senate and House office buildings, and the Capitol Power Plant.

SENATE OFFICE BUILDINGS

The bill provides \$81,112,000 for the maintenance of the Senate office buildings. This amount supports an operating budget of \$61,638,000. The following table displays the project budget detail.

Item	Amount Provided
Replace Modular Furniture, HSOB	\$3,500,000
Infrastructure Improvements, Phase 2 of 3, DSOB (Center Wing)	9,974,000
Minor Construction	6,000,000
Total	\$19,474,000

HOUSE OFFICE BUILDINGS

The bill provides \$115,619,000 for the operation, maintenance, and care of the House office buildings, including \$40,000,000 for the House Historic Buildings Revitalization Trust Fund.

The bill supports an operating budget of \$50,296,000. The operations level includes \$268,000 for the lease of space occupied by the Office of Congressional Ethics. The following table displays the project budget detail.

Item	Amount Provided
CAO Project Support	\$4,390,000
Garage Concrete Replacement Design, RHOB	1,059,000
Building Automation System Upgrade, HOB	1,096,000
480 V Switchgear & Transformer Replacement, Phase II of IV, RHOB	4,340,000
Fall Protection, FHOB & LHOB	5,209,000
Energy Audit Implementation, HOB	989,000
Minor Construction	8,240,000
Total	\$25,323,000

Cannon Building Renewal.—The Government Accountability Office (GAO) has testified that AOC is taking a reasonable approach to project planning for the renewal of the historic Cannon House Office Building. AOC is urged to incorporate a full review of long-term facilities needs of the House into these early planning phases to avoid costly design changes after construction has begun. In addition, GAO shall continue to monitor the progress and schedule of the project and to inform AOC and the House Committee on Appropriations as issues arise. The Cannon project presents an opportunity to modernize emergency evacuation systems. AOC is directed to consult with the House Sergeant at Arms to incorporate any improvements to emergency evacuation systems during the planning and design phases of Cannon and other House office building capital renewal projects.

CAPITOL POWER PLANT

The bill provides \$109,069,000 for the Capitol Power Plant supplemented by offsetting collections of \$8,000,000. This amount supports an appropriated operating budget of \$93,969,000. The following table displays the project budget detail.

Item	Amount Provided
Tunnel Program	\$6,950,000
Utility Metering	1,200,000
Fall Protection	2,950,000
Minor Construction	4,000,000
Total	\$15,100,000

Power Plant Fuel Mix.—The Power Plant's conversion to using natural gas as a primary fuel source has led to reductions in emissions of criteria pollutants. AOC is directed to continue to use natural gas to the maximum extent feasible without disruptions in operations.

LIBRARY BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS

The bill recommends \$40,796,000 for the care and maintenance of the Library buildings and grounds. This amount supports an operating budget of \$26,939,000. The following table displays the project budget detail.

Item	Amount Provided
North Exit Stair B, TJB	\$5,350,000
Emergency Lighting System Upgrade, JMMB & SSFC	4,794,000
ABA Space Reorganization, Phase II of III, JMMB	1,713,000
Minor Construction	2,000,000
Total	\$13,857,000

CAPITOL POLICE BUILDINGS, GROUNDS AND SECURITY

The bill provides \$26,266,000 for Capitol Police buildings, grounds, and security. This amount supports an operating budget of \$19,830,000, including funds for grounds maintenance of the 12 acres of land conveyed from the District of Columbia under Public Law 109-396. As Public Law 109-396 did not mandate a specific use for the property, AOC shall consult with the Committees on Appropriations and the relevant authorizing Committees before deciding on the use of the land. The following table displays the project budget detail.

Item	Amount Provided
Fall Protection, CPBG&S ..	\$1,024,000
Energy Audit Implementation	2,912,000
Minor Construction	2,500,000
Total	\$6,436,000

BOTANIC GARDEN

The bill provides \$13,834,000 for salaries and expenses of the Botanic Garden (BG). This amount supports an operating budget of \$12,329,000. The following table displays the project budget detail.

Item	Amount Provided
Bartholdi Park Restoration Phase IV	\$1,209,000
Fall Protection	296,000
Total	\$1,505,000

Education and Outreach.—The BG's education and outreach programs have leveraged the organization's unparalleled expertise to provide unique educational opportunities for at-risk and other youth. The BG is urged to continue forming partnerships with national and local organizations to advance these educational goals. In addition, the BG is directed to explore the feasibility of establishing a community garden within the Capitol complex and to report to the Committees on Appropriations within 3 months after enactment of this Act on its findings.

CAPITOL VISITOR CENTER

The bill provides \$22,771,000 for the operation of the Capitol Visitor Center (CVC). This operating budget includes no funding for hiring an interpretive curator or a special assistant to the Chief Executive Officer of visitor services.

Signage in the CVC.—AOC is urged to work expeditiously toward the installation of permanent wayfinding signage and to explore the use of additional maps in all areas of the CVC.

Accessibility Shuttles.—Maintaining access for mobility-impaired visitors is an essential responsibility of the visitor services staff. Therefore, the bill funds the operation of the six shuttles to transport such visitors between the unloading location for buses and accessible CVC entrances. AOC is directed to

evaluate the performance of the shuttles during periods of peak use and to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations within 3 months after enactment of this Act. The report should include data on traffic volume, a summary of any visitor or stakeholder feedback, and an evaluation of the adequacy of current shuttle capacity.

Assisted Listening Devices.—AOC should continue to work aggressively to resolve performance issues with assisted listening devices (ALDs) issued to CVC visitors, which have experienced high rates of failure. AOC shall submit, with the 2012 budget submission, a report on the operational status of the current inventory of ALDs. The report shall provide details on the current performance of the ALDs and the actions AOC has taken, or is pursuing, to address any problems thereof. Furthermore, AOC should inform the Committees on Appropriations of any new technologies it is exploring and shall re-evaluate the practice of routinely issuing ALDs to visitors who are not hearing impaired, which contributes to their heavy use.

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$436,995,000, plus authority to spend \$6,350,000 in receipts, for salaries and expenses of the Library of Congress (LOC). This amount provides for 2,942 FTEs, which may be shifted among programs, projects, and activities within this appropriation. Within the total provided, no less than last year's levels are provided for various programs, including \$250,000 for the Civil Rights Oral History Project, \$2,571,000 for the Veterans Oral History program, \$6,677,000 for the National Digital Information, Infrastructure and Preservation Program (NDIIPP), \$2,256,000 for the Global Legal Information Network (GLIN), \$7,315,000 for the digital collections and educational curricula program and \$150,000 to continue the Archie Green fellowship program in the American Folklife Center. LOC is directed to continue its ongoing work to obtain copyright permission to bring the Franklin Collection online in a digital format. The amount includes \$10,000,000 to continue LOC's information technology upgrades. In addition, as noted on page 38 of Senate Report 111-294, LOC is directed to report to the Committee by June 1, 2011 on progress made on the planning and development of the "America Works" digital oral history project.

Acquisitions Policies and Space Needs.—LOC and the Architect of the Capitol are directed to collaborate on a study to find lower cost alternatives to meeting LOC's long term collection storage needs and to transmit a report to the Committees on Appropriations within 6 months of enactment of this Act. The study shall consider, in addition to facilities-based solutions, the Library's acquisition policies which are the driver for its space needs.

COPYRIGHT OFFICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill contains \$21,604,000, plus authority to spend \$34,390,000 in receipts, for the Copyright Office.

Copyright Backlog.—A recent LOC Inspector General (IG) report highlighted positive developments pertaining to the claims backlog since September 2009. According to the IG, the number of claims in the backlog has consistently declined, the productivity of registration specialists has significantly increased, and the percentage of claims submitted electronically has increased. The

LOC must pursue the goal to eliminate the copyright backlog.

CONGRESSIONAL RESEARCH SERVICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$114,341,000 for salaries and expenses of the Congressional Research Service (CRS).

Telework.—The Legislative Branch Appropriations Act, 2010 conference report directed CRS to adopt and implement a telework system to provide employees a flexible work environment to help them manage the complex balance between work and family obligations. CRS finally adopted a policy in March 2010. To ensure that the policy meets the needs of employees, CRS is directed to conduct a survey of employees to evaluate how the implementation of the program is progressing. CRS is directed to provide the results of the evaluation to the Committees on Appropriations no later than 3 months after enactment of this Act.

BOOKS FOR THE BLIND AND PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$70,500,000 for salaries and expenses of the Books for the Blind and Physically Handicapped program.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The bill includes longstanding administrative provisions for LOC. In addition the bill includes new administrative provisions related to workers compensation, gifts and revolving funds, and surplus and obsolete property.

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUMENTS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$42,682,000 for the salaries and expenses of the Superintendent of Documents.

Coordination with Library of Congress (LOC) on Electronic Databases.—Both the Government Printing Office (GPO) and the LOC are pursuing large scale projects to digitize Federal government documents and publications. GPO and LOC are encouraged to collaborate closely and pursue any beneficial formal agreements to avoid duplication of effort.

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
REVOLVING FUND

The bill provides \$8,127,000 for the Government Printing Office Revolving Fund.

Federal Digital System (FDsys).—In addition to appropriated amounts for FDsys, GPO is directed to complete Release 2 development requirements using up to \$951,000 from prior year balances transferred from the Office of the Superintendent of Documents. GPO shall provide advance notification to the Committees on Appropriations prior to obligating these funds. It is expected that FDsys will have complete core functionality upon the completion of Release 2 and will require no further appropriations for currently planned development.

Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Complaints.—GPO has taken steps to address discrimination and harassment through training and outreach, which have correlated with a decrease in EEO complaints in the first three quarters of fiscal year 2010 compared to the same period of fiscal year 2009. GPO shall continue these efforts and explore additional management actions to prevent and address discrimination and harassment at all levels, both supervisory and non-supervisory. GPO is directed to continue to submit quarterly

reports on actions taken to reduce the number of complaints. These reports shall include a comparison of the number of complaints outstanding at the time of the report compared to the previous quarter and the same time period of the previous two years, and should explain reasons for any increase or reduction in complaints.

E-Passport Supply Chain Security.—The March 31, 2010, report by GPO Office of the Inspector General noted a number of potential vulnerabilities in supplier review processes and contracting practices, especially with respect to significant subcontractors. GPO management concurred with the report's recommendations and has implemented or planned corrective actions, including the hiring of a Director of Product Security and initiation of a Vendor Security Audit Program. GPO is directed to report quarterly to the Committees on Appropriations on the status of remedial actions for each recommendation, and to promptly notify the Committees of any other studies, management reforms, and changes in procedures with respect to supply chain security.

GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$558,430,000 in direct appropriations for the Government Accountability Office (GAO), plus \$19,500,000 in offsetting collections derived from reimbursements for conducting financial audits of government corporations and rental of space in the GAO building.

Technology Assessments.—Within the amount provided, \$2,500,000 is for GAO to continue its work on technology assessment studies. GAO has received funding from Congress for this program for 3 years to aid the Congress's understanding of complex legislative proposals and save taxpayer dollars by preventing spending on ineffective programs. While GAO has produced high quality analysis, it is unclear what impact, if any, the analysis has had on the formulation of policy in Congress. Funding technology assessments at GAO may not be an adequate replacement for the former Office of Technology Assessment (OTA), which was uniquely positioned to analyze technological developments in the public and private sector and harness outside expertise in the form of advisory panels and peer review. Therefore, within the total provided, GAO is directed to contract with the National Academy of Sciences

(NAS) for a study on the structural needs and governance of a Congressional technology assessment group that will provide Congress with comprehensive and timely studies on scientific and technical matters which can be widely disseminated to support the legislative process. NAS shall look at all options for how to structure this group as long as it is bicameral and bipartisan in nature. NAS shall work with the Committees on Appropriations, the Committee on Science and Technology of the House and the Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation of the Senate in conducting its review and provide a final report to these Committees after completion. The review should be complete by August 2011.

OPEN WORLD LEADERSHIP CENTER TRUST FUND

The bill provides \$12,000,000 for payment to the Open World Leadership Center Trust Fund. Open World must find support for its activities beyond annual appropriations given its unique mission in comparison with other Legislative Branch agencies that serve core legislative branch activities. Therefore, the agency is directed to hire a full-time development officer in order to fulfill its requirement to increase its fundraising goals.

JOHN C. STENNIS CENTER FOR PUBLIC SERVICE TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT

The bill provides \$430,000 for the John C. Stennis Center.

TITLE II GENERAL PROVISIONS

The bill includes longstanding provisions for the legislative branch and a new general provision rescinding \$20,000,000 from the Architect of the Capitol.

REPROGRAMMING GUIDELINES

For fiscal year 2011 a reprogramming shall include the reallocation of funds from one program, project, or activity (PPA) to another within any appropriation funded in this Act. A reprogramming shall also consist of any significant departure from the program described in the agency's budget justification and any change to the organization table presented in the budget justification, whether or not it would require a change in funding.

Reprogrammings are required if an agency proposes to reallocate amounts in excess of \$500,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less, be-

tween PPAs. In addition, reprogrammings are required for actions below these thresholds, if the action would have the effect of: committing the agency to significant funding requirements in future years; increasing funds or personnel for any PPA for which funds have been previously denied or restricted by Congress; creating new programs, offices, agencies or commissions or substantially augmenting existing programs, offices, agencies or commissions; significantly changing policy; or reorganizing offices, programs, or activities. Each reprogramming should be transmitted through a formal letter which should be signed by the agency head. It should include a specific justification for each increase as well as for each offsetting reduction being proposed. The Committees on Appropriations are to be notified 15 days prior to any reprogramming. The House and Senate shall submit their reprogrammings to their respective Committee on Appropriations.

Multiple funding reprogramming requests suggest an agency's inability to manage within appropriated funds and indicate the need for change in the execution of the agency's budget. Specific spending levels are detailed in the bill and this accompanying explanatory report and agencies shall not use the reprogramming process as a mechanism for making routine changes to these directions. A reprogramming should be made only when an unforeseen situation arises that could not have been anticipated when formulating the budget request for the current fiscal year. Reprogrammings should be used only in the case of unanticipated needs or significant and unexpected changes in program requirements.

DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND CON- GRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

Neither the bill nor the explanatory statement contains any congressional earmarks or congressionally directed spending items (as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, respectively). Neither the bill nor the explanatory statement contains any limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in the applicable House and Senate rules.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011

[Amounts in thousands]

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
TITLE I—LEGISLATIVE BRANCH					
SENATE					
Payment to Widows and Heirs of Deceased Members of Congress	367			-367	
Expense allowances:					
Vice President	20	20	20		
President Pro Tempore of the Senate	40	40	40		
Majority Leader of the Senate	40	40	40		
Minority Leader of the Senate	40	40	40		
Majority Whip of the Senate	10	10	10		
Minority Whip of the Senate	10	10	10		
Chairman of the Majority Conference Committee	5	5	5		
Chairman of the Minority Conference Committee	5	5	5		
Chairman of the Majority Policy Committee	5	5	5		
Chairman of the Minority Policy Committee	5	5	5		
Subtotal, expense allowances	180	180	180		
Representation allowances for the Majority and Minority Leaders	30	30	30		
Total, Expense allowances and representation	210	210	210		
Salaries, Officers and Employees					
Office of the Vice President	2,517	2,561	2,517		-44
Office of the President Pro Tempore	752	852	752		-100
Offices of the Majority and Minority Leaders	5,212	5,304	5,212		-92
Offices of the Majority and Minority Whips	3,288	3,348	3,288		-60
Committee on Appropriations	15,844	15,844	15,844		
Conference committees	3,452	3,514	3,452		-62
Offices of the Secretaries of the Conference of the Majority and the Conference of the Minority	850	868	850		-18
Policy Committees	3,526	3,588	3,526		-62
Office of the Chaplain	415	424	415		-9
Office of the Secretary	25,790	26,231	25,790		-441
Office of the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper	70,000	78,000	70,000	+7,000	-1,000
Offices of the Secretaries for the Majority and Minority	1,836	1,870	1,836		-34
Agency contributions and related expenses	45,500	48,835	45,500		-3,335
Total, Salaries, officers and employees	178,982	191,239	185,982	+7,000	-5,257
Office of the Legislative Counsel of the Senate					
Salaries and expenses	7,154	7,532	7,154		-378
Office of Senate Legal Counsel					
Salaries and expenses	1,544	1,570	1,544		-26
Expense Allowances of the Secretary of the Senate, Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper of the Senate, and Secretaries for the Majority and Minority of the Senate: Expense allowances	30	30	30		
Contingent Expenses of the Senate					
Inquiries and investigations	140,500	164,569	140,500		-24,069
Expenses of United States Senate Caucus on International Narcotics Control	520	520	520		
Secretary of the Senate	2,000	34,000	6,200	+4,200	-27,800
Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper of the Senate	153,601	161,286	142,401	-11,200	-18,885
Miscellaneous items	19,145	22,046	19,145		-2,901
Senators' Official Personnel and Office Expense Account	422,000	458,616	422,000		-36,616
Official Mail Costs					
Expenses	300	300	300		
Total, Contingent expenses of the Senate	738,066	841,337	731,066	-7,000	-110,271
Total, Senate	926,353	1,041,918	925,986	-367	-115,932
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES					
Payment to Widows and Heirs of Deceased Members of Congress	174			-174	
Salaries and Expenses					
House Leadership Offices					
Office of the Speaker	5,077	5,147	5,143	+66	-4
Office of the Majority Floor Leader	2,530	2,561	2,560	+30	-1
Office of the Minority Floor Leader	4,565	4,626	4,622	+57	-4
Office of the Majority Whip	2,194	2,224	2,222	+28	-2
Office of the Minority Whip	1,690	1,714	1,713	+23	-1
Speaker's Office for Legislative Floor Activities	517	518	518	+1	
Republican Steering Committee	981	984	984	+3	
Republican Conference	1,748	1,773	1,771	+23	-2
Republican Policy Committee	362	359	360	-2	+1
Democratic Steering and Policy Committee	1,366	1,371	1,371	+5	
Democratic Caucus	1,725	1,745	1,744	+19	-1
Nine minority employees	1,552	1,553	1,553	+1	
Training and Program Development:					
Majority	290	290	290		
Minority	290	290	290		
Cloakroom Personnel:					
Majority	497	508	508	+11	
Minority	497	508	508	+11	
Subtotal, House Leadership Offices	25,881	26,171	26,157	+276	-14
Members' Representational Allowances Including Members' Clerk Hire, Official Expenses of Members, and Official Mail					
Expenses	660,000	671,072	652,000	-8,000	-19,072
Committee Employees					
Standing Committees, Special and Select	139,878	157,058	147,878	+8,000	-9,180
Committee on Appropriations (including studies and investigations)	31,300	32,300	31,300		-1,000
Subtotal, Committee employees	171,178	189,358	179,178	+8,000	-10,180
Salaries, Officers and Employees					
Office of the Clerk	30,089	29,299	29,265	-824	-34
Office of the Sergeant at Arms	9,509	19,623	16,538	+7,029	-3,085
Office of the Chief Administrative Officer	130,782	133,268	123,209	-7,573	-10,059
Office of the Inspector General	5,045	5,212	5,207	+162	-5
Office for Emergency Planning, Preparedness and Operations	4,445			-4,445	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
Office of General Counsel	1,415	1,439	1,437	+ 22	- 2
Office of the Chaplain	179	176	176	- 3	- 3
Office of the Parliamentarian	2,060	2,095	2,092	+ 32	- 3
Office of the Parliamentarian	(1,466)	(2,095)	(1,488)	(+ 22)	(- 607)
Compilation of precedents of the House of Representatives	(594)		(604)	(+ 10)	(+ 604)
Office of the Law Revision Counsel of the House	3,258	3,365	3,361	+ 103	- 4
Office of the Legislative Counsel of the House	8,814	9,052	8,890	+ 76	- 162
Office of Interparliamentary Affairs	859	879	878	+ 19	- 1
Other authorized employees	1,249	1,356	1,355	+ 106	- 1
Office of the Historian	597	649	603	+ 6	- 46
Subtotal, Salaries, officers and employees	198,301	206,413	193,011	- 5,290	- 13,402
Allowances and Expenses					
Supplies, materials, administrative costs and Federal tort claims	3,948	4,400	4,323	+ 375	- 77
Official mail for committees, leadership offices, and administrative offices of the House	201	201	201		
Government contributions	276,703	286,316	286,316	+ 9,613	
Business Continuity and Disaster Recovery	25,031	26,312	22,031	- 3,067	- 4,281
Transition activities	2,907	2,664	2,664		
Wounded Warrior program		2,500	2,500	+ 2,500	
Energy demonstration projects	2,500	500		- 2,500	- 500
Office of Congressional Ethics	1,548	2,272	2,020	+ 472	- 252
Miscellaneous items	760	793	771	+ 11	- 22
Subtotal, Allowances and expenses	313,665	325,958	320,826	+ 7,161	- 5,132
Total, Salaries and expenses	1,369,025	1,418,972	1,371,172	+ 2,147	- 47,800
Total, House of Representatives	1,369,199	1,418,972	1,371,172	+ 1,973	- 47,800
JOINT ITEMS					
Joint Economic Committee	4,814	4,895	4,814		- 81
Joint Committee on Taxation	11,327	11,617	11,327		- 290
Office of the Attending Physician					
Medical supplies, equipment, expenses, and allowances	3,805	3,407	3,407	- 398	
Office of Congressional Accessibility Services	1,377	1,437	1,377		- 60
Total, Joint items	21,323	21,356	20,925	- 398	- 431
CAPITOL POLICE					
Salaries	265,188	289,782	279,224	+ 14,036	- 10,558
General expenses	63,130	95,694	57,985	- 5,145	- 37,709
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	12,956			- 12,956	
Subtotal, General expenses	76,086	95,694	57,985	- 18,101	- 37,709
Total, Capitol Police	341,274	385,476	337,209	- 4,065	- 48,267
OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE					
Salaries and expenses	4,377	4,675	4,377		- 298
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE					
Salaries and expenses	45,165	47,289	46,905	+ 1,740	- 384
ARCHITECT OF THE CAPITOL					
General administration	106,783	118,708	109,294	+ 2,511	- 9,414
Capitol building	33,182	79,585	32,916	+ 19,734	- 26,669
Capitol grounds	10,374	12,066	9,988	- 986	- 2,078
Senate office buildings	74,392	104,073	81,112	+ 6,720	- 22,961
House of Representatives buildings:					
House office buildings	100,466	90,810	75,619	- 24,847	- 15,191
House Historic buildings revitalization fund	50,000	50,000	40,000	- 10,000	- 10,000
Capitol Power Plant	127,133	128,585	117,069	- 10,064	- 11,516
Offsetting collections	- 8,000	- 8,000	- 8,000		
Subtotal, Capitol Power Plant	119,133	120,585	109,069	- 10,064	- 11,516
Library buildings and grounds	45,795	101,203	40,796	- 4,999	- 60,407
Capitol police buildings, grounds and security	27,012	39,515	26,266	- 746	- 13,249
Botanic garden	11,390	14,348	13,834	+ 2,444	- 514
Capitol Visitor Center: CVC Operations	22,459	23,915	22,771	+ 312	- 1,144
Total, Architect of the Capitol	601,586	754,808	581,665	- 19,921	- 173,143
LIBRARY OF CONGRESS					
Salaries and expenses	446,151	467,272	443,345	- 2,806	- 23,927
Authority to spend receipts	- 6,350	- 6,350	- 6,350		
Subtotal, Salaries and expenses	439,801	460,922	436,995	- 2,806	- 23,927
Copyright Office, salaries and expenses	55,476	56,785	55,994	+ 518	- 791
Authority to spend receipts	- 34,612	- 34,390	- 34,390	+ 222	
Subtotal, Copyright Office	20,864	22,395	21,604	+ 740	- 791
Congressional Research Service, salaries and expenses	112,490	119,919	114,341	+ 1,851	- 5,578
Books for the blind and physically handicapped, Salaries and expenses	70,182	71,549	70,500	+ 318	- 1,049
Total, Library of Congress	643,337	674,785	643,440	+ 103	- 31,345
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE					
Congressional printing and binding	93,768	96,652	96,652	+ 2,884	
Office of the Superintendent of Documents, salaries and expenses	40,911	44,208	42,682	+ 1,771	- 1,526
Government Printing Office Revolving Fund	12,782	25,700	8,127	- 4,655	- 17,573
Total, Government Printing Office	147,461	166,560	147,461		- 19,099
GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE					
Salaries and expenses	572,071	620,555	577,868	+ 5,797	- 42,687
Offsetting collections	- 15,222	- 19,438	- 19,438	- 4,216	
Total, Government Accountability Office	556,849	601,117	558,430	+ 1,581	- 42,687

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
OPEN WORLD LEADERSHIP CENTER					
Payment to the Open World Leadership Center Trust Fund	12,000	14,000	12,000	— 2,000
JOHN C. STENNIS CENTER FOR PUBLIC SERVICE TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT					
Stennis Center for Public Service	430	430	430
GENERAL PROVISIONS					
Sec. 210: Architect of the Capitol, CVC (rescission)			— 20,000	— 20,000	— 20,000
Grand total	4,669,354	5,131,386	4,630,000	— 39,354	— 501,386
Rescission			— 20,000	— 20,000	— 20,000
RECAPITULATION					
Senate	926,353	1,041,918	925,986	— 367	— 115,932
House of Representatives	1,369,199	1,418,972	1,371,172	+ 1,973	— 47,800
Joint Items	21,323	21,356	20,925	— 398	— 431
Capitol Police	341,274	385,476	337,209	— 4,065	— 48,267
Office of Compliance	4,377	4,675	4,377	— 298
Congressional Budget Office	45,165	47,289	46,905	+ 1,740	— 384
Architect of the Capitol	601,586	754,808	581,665	— 19,921	— 173,143
Library of Congress	643,337	674,785	643,440	+ 103	— 31,345
Government Printing Office	147,461	166,580	147,461	— 19,099
Government Accountability Office	556,849	601,117	558,430	+ 1,581	— 42,687
Open World Leadership Center	12,000	14,000	12,000	— 2,000
Stennis Center for Public Service	430	430	430
Prior year outlays
Grand total	4,669,354	5,131,386	4,650,000	— 19,354	— 481,386
Appropriations
Rescission			— 20,000	— 20,000	— 20,000

DIVISION J—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND VETERANS AFFAIRS AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

Following is an explanation of the effects of Division J which makes appropriations for Military Construction, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies for fiscal year 2011. As provided in Section 4 of the consolidated bill, this explanatory statement shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds and the implementation of this division as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.

Matters Addressed by Only One Committee.—The language and allocations set forth in House Report 111-559 and Senate Report 111-226 should be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in this explanatory statement. Report language included by the House, which is not changed by the report of the Senate or this explanatory statement, and Senate report language, which is not changed by this explanatory statement, is approved by the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress. This explanatory statement, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein. In cases where the House or the Senate has directed the submission of a report, such report is to be submitted to both Houses of Congress.

**TITLE I
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY**

The bill appropriates \$3,891,395,000 for Military Construction, Army. Within this amount, the bill provides \$190,000,000 for Army trainee barracks. The bill also provides \$103,000,000 to construct an urgently needed new water treatment and distribution system at Fort Irwin, California. The cost of this project is offset by funds available from bid savings on previously appropriated projects.

The bill does not include \$19,000,000 requested for a commissary to serve the United States Southern Command headquarters. An administrative provision is included in this title ensuring that non-appropriated funds may be used for construction of this project.

The bill includes a general reduction of \$263,000,000 to account for the carryover of unobligated balances resulting from bid savings on projects appropriated in prior years.

The bill includes language prohibiting the obligation or expenditure of funds on projects supporting new initiatives in Germany until the Department of Defense completes an evaluation of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Strategic Concept Review and an accompanying review of the United States defense posture in Europe, a "Front End Assessment" of the Department's global posture for fiscal years 2012 to 2016, and the Secretary of Defense certifies to the requirement for each Army military construction project in Germany funded in this Act. In addition, the Department of Defense is directed to provide to the congressional defense committees, no later than March 15, 2011, a comprehensive Army basing strategy for Europe based on the assessments identified above, including a project-based cost estimate and timeline to fully implement the strategy.

Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, not less than the specified amounts are to be made available for the design of the following projects:

Alaska—Fort Richardson, Physical Fitness Center, \$2,700,000.

Georgia—Fort Benning, Chapel, Sand Hill, \$550,000.

Hawaii—Pohakuloa Training Area, Defense Access Road, \$1,200,000.

Texas—Fort Bliss, Alternative Energy Projects, \$1,166,000.

Texas—Fort Bliss, Rail Yard Improvements, \$2,070,000.

Virginia—Fort Belvoir, Growth Support Infrastructure, \$3,060,000.

Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, not less than the specified amounts are to be made available for construction of the following projects:

Alabama—Fort Rucker, Emergency Medical Services Facility, \$1,700,000.

Maryland—Fort Meade, Infrastructure—Mapes Road and Cooper Avenue, \$1,750,000.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

The bill appropriates \$3,506,557,000 for Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps. The bill includes a general reduction of \$34,000,000 to account for the carryover of unobligated balances resulting from bid savings on projects appropriated in prior years.

Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than the specified amounts be made available for the design of the following projects:

Connecticut—New London NSB, Submarine Group Two Headquarters, \$550,000.

Indiana—Crane NSWC, Platform Protection Engineering Complex, \$760,000.

Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, not less than the specified amount is to be made available for construction of the following project:

Nevada—NAS Fallon, Security Upgrades, \$1,480,000.

Camp Lemonnier, Djibouti.—The Committees are concerned that the limited amount of land available to the U.S. military at Camp Lemonnier could negatively impact the ability to accommodate the full mission and the projected military population at the installation. The Committees are further concerned that the Navy's current military construction program for Camp Lemonnier amounts to an ad hoc approach that does not adequately reflect priority requirements for the optimal siting of facilities. The Secretary of the Navy is therefore directed to provide to the congressional defense committees, with the fiscal year 2012 budget submission, a comprehensive master plan for Camp Lemonnier that provides a validated land requirement for the installation sufficient to accommodate the facilities and anticipated steady-state personnel requirements to accomplish the missions of Africa Command (AFRICOM) and Joint Task Force-Horn of Africa. The master plan shall incorporate an integrated vulnerability assessment to ensure that necessary anti-terrorism and force protection measures are being implemented. The plan shall also include a list of projected military construction projects, by fiscal year, for fiscal years 2012-2016, with a focus on meeting the urgent requirements of the installation, including utilities and troop housing, in the near term. Further, AFRICOM and Navy are urged to work closely with the Department of Defense, the Department of State, and the Government of Djibouti to expand the footprint of the installation to meet the requirements noted above and to develop a long term access agreement.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

The bill appropriates \$1,296,967,000 for Military Construction, Air Force. The bill in-

cludes a general reduction of \$14,000,000 to account for the carryover of unobligated balances resulting from bid savings on projects appropriated in prior years.

Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, not less than the specified amounts are to be made available for the design of the following projects:

Alabama—Maxwell AFB, Air Traffic Control Tower, \$810,000.

California—Travis AFB, BCE Maintenance Shops and Supply Warehouse, \$387,000.

Florida—MacDill AFB, Infrastructure Improvements, \$249,000.

Idaho—Mountain Home AFB, Civil Engineer Complex, \$2,000,000.

Illinois—Scott AFB, New Fitness Facility, Phase 1, \$396,000.

North Dakota—Grand Forks AFB, Central Deployment Center, \$495,000.

Oklahoma—Altus AFB, Fire Station, \$2,000,000.

Tennessee—Arnold AFB, Air Engineering Development Center Power Distribution Modernization, \$378,000.

Texas—Lackland AFB, Consolidated Security Forces Operations Center, Phase 1, \$900,000.

Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, not less than the specified amount is to be made available for the following project:

Virginia—Langley AFB, Clear Zone Land Acquisition, Phase 1, \$3,000,000.

**MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE
(INCLUDING TRANSFER AND RESCISSIONS OF FUNDS)**

The bill appropriates \$3,145,614,000 for Military Construction, Defense-Wide, and rescinds \$148,500,000 from prior year appropriations as follows: \$8,000,000 from fiscal year 2009 National Security Agency funds, \$15,000,000 from fiscal year 2009 Tricare Management Activity funds, \$37,500,000 from fiscal year 2010 National Security Agency funds, \$51,000,000 from fiscal year 2010 Tricare Management Activity funds, \$7,000,000 from fiscal year 2010 Defense Logistics Agency funds, and \$30,000,000 from fiscal year 2010 Special Operations Command funds. All such rescissions are from unobligated balances associated with bid savings on previously appropriated projects.

Within the amount appropriated, the bill provides \$253,450,000 for the Energy Conservation Investment Program, including \$10,000,000 for planning and design. This amount is \$133,450,000 above the budget request, reflecting the priority the Committees place on promoting energy security, efficiency, and sustainability on military installations. The Secretary of Defense is directed to provide an expenditure plan to the Committees for the additional ECIP funds included in this bill, in accordance with the guidance provided in Senate Report 111-226 and House Report 111-559, not later than 45 days after the enactment of this Act.

Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, not less than the specified amounts are to be made available for the design of the following projects:

Mississippi—Gulfport, Health Clinic Replacement, \$2,700,000.

Oklahoma—Tulsa IAP, Fuels Storage Complex, \$1,036,000.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

The bill appropriates \$1,125,628,000 for Military Construction, Army National Guard. Within this amount, the bill provides \$60,000,000 for critical unfunded requirements.

Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, not less than the specified amounts are to be made available for the design of the following projects:

Arkansas—Camp Robinson, Regional Training Institute, Phase 2, \$2,334,000.

Iowa—Camp Dodge, Regional Training Institute, Phase 1, \$800,000.

Kentucky—Frankfort, Joint Forces Headquarters, Phase 1, \$281,000.

Maryland—Easton, Readiness Center Addition/Alteration, \$347,000.

Michigan—Fort Custer (Augusta), Troop Service Support Center, \$446,000.

Minnesota—Mankato, Field Maintenance Shop, \$947,000.

Nebraska—Omaha, Road Infrastructure, Joint Forces Headquarters, \$450,000.

North Carolina—Camp Butner, Barracks (AT), Phase 1, \$1,484,000.

North Carolina—Murphy, Fire Fighting Team Support Facility, \$223,000.

Oregon—Salem, Armed Forces Reserve Center Addition/Alteration (JFHQ), \$1,243,000.

Pennsylvania—Hermitage, Readiness Center, \$671,000.

Pennsylvania—Tobyhanna, Armed Forces Reserve Center, \$1,513,000.

Pennsylvania—Williamsport, Field Maintenance Shop, \$1,508,000.

Rhode Island—Middletown, Armory Addition, \$302,000.

Rhode Island—Quonset Point, Readiness Center, \$3,729,000.

South Dakota—Sioux Falls, Firing Range and Support Facilities, \$151,000.

Texas—Laredo, Receiving, Staging, and Onward Integration Facility/Hangar, \$475,000.

Texas—McLennan County, Operational Reserve Headquarters, \$5,000,000.

Texas—South Texas Training Center, Cantonment and Support Infrastructure, \$5,000,000.

West Virginia—Bridgeport, Fixed Wing Army Aviation Training Site (FWAATS) Expansion, \$2,000,000.

West Virginia—Buckhannon, Field Maintenance Shop, \$1,500,000.

West Virginia—Morgantown, Parachute Rigging Facility, \$1,000,000.

Guam—Barrigada, Joint Forces Headquarters Readiness Center Addition/Alteration, \$778,000.

Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, not less than the specified amounts are to be made available for construction of the following projects:

California—Banning RC, Photovoltaic Solar Power System, \$1,466,000.

California—Los Alamitos AFRC, Photovoltaic Solar Power System, \$1,466,000.

California—Mather AASF, Photovoltaic Solar Power System, \$1,466,000.

California—Sacramento, Field Maintenance Shop Paving, \$891,000.

California—Ventura, Photovoltaic Solar Power System, \$1,466,000.

Iowa—Iowa City, Simulation Center/MVSB/Helipad/Parking, \$1,999,000.

Massachusetts—Chicopee Armory, Renovation and Alteration, \$1,554,000.

Minnesota—Bloomington, Add/Alter Armory, \$1,986,000.

Nevada—Nellis Air Force Base, Range Modernization, \$2,000,000.

Ohio—Ravenna Training Site, Unit Training Equipment Site Addition/Alteration, \$2,000,000.

Washington—Fort Lewis, Modification of Army Aviation Support Facility, \$473,000.

West Virginia—Bridgeport, Fixed Wing Army Aviation Training Site (FWAATS) Apron Expansion, \$2,000,000.

West Virginia—Camp Dawson, JITEC Emergency Power Generator and Supporting Facilities, \$1,999,000.

West Virginia—Camp Dawson, Mail/ID Center, \$1,999,000.

West Virginia—Camp Dawson, Utility Upgrade, \$1,980,000.

West Virginia—Eleanor ARNG Complex, Emergency Generator and Supporting Facilities, \$2,000,000.

West Virginia—Glen Jean, Emergency Power Generator, \$1,500,000.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

The bill appropriates \$441,549,000 for Military Construction, Air National Guard. Within this amount, the bill provides \$50,000,000 for critical unfunded requirements.

Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, not less than the specified amounts are to be made available for the design of the following projects:

Kentucky—Standiford Field, Contingency Response Group Facility, \$534,000.

Louisiana—New Orleans NAS/JRB, ASA Replace Alert Complex, \$2,000,000.

Pennsylvania—Fort Indiantown Gap, Multipurpose Air National Guard Training Facility, \$675,000.

South Dakota—Joe Foss Field, Aircraft Maintenance Shops, \$3,600,000.

Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, not less than the specified amounts are to be made available for construction of the following projects:

California—Moffett Field, Relocate Main Gate, \$2,000,000.

Iowa—Bud Day Field, Sioux City, Add/Alter Security Police Facility, \$1,950,000.

Minnesota—Minneapolis-St. Paul IAP, Simulation Facility Expansion, \$1,900,000.

Ohio—Blue Ash ANG, Renovations for Building 2, \$2,000,000.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY RESERVE

The bill appropriates \$388,064,000 for Military Construction, Army Reserve. Within this amount, the bill provides \$30,000,000 for critical unfunded requirements.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY RESERVE

The bill appropriates \$91,557,000 for Military Construction, Navy Reserve. Within this amount, the bill provides \$15,000,000 for the Navy Reserve and \$15,000,000 for the Marine Corps Reserve for critical unfunded requirements.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE RESERVE

The bill appropriates \$48,182,000 for Military Construction, Air Force Reserve. Within this amount, the bill provides \$30,000,000 for critical unfunded requirements.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION

SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

The bill appropriates \$258,884,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program.

FAMILY HOUSING

The bill appropriates funds for family housing accounts, including the Homeowners Assistance Program, in amounts equal to the President's request for all accounts. The specific amounts for each account are contained in the tables accompanying this statement.

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

The bill appropriates \$124,971,000 for Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-Wide.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT 1990

The bill appropriates \$450,474,000 for the Department of Defense Base Closure Account

1990. Of the \$90,000,000 provided above the President's request, \$30,000,000 shall be available to the Army and \$60,000,000 to the Navy. The Army and Navy are directed to submit expenditure plans for the amounts provided above the President's request no later than 30 days following the enactment of this Act.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT 2005

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The bill appropriates \$2,354,285,000 for the Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005, and rescinds \$200,000,000 from prior year unobligated balances due to bid savings on military construction projects funded by the account.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The bill includes section 101 prohibiting the use of funds for payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for construction where cost estimates exceed \$25,000. An exception for Alaska is provided.

The bill includes section 102 permitting the use of construction funds for the hire of passenger motor vehicles.

The bill includes section 103 permitting funds to be expended on the construction of defense access roads under certain circumstances.

The bill includes section 104 prohibiting construction of new bases in the United States without a specific appropriation.

The bill includes section 105 limiting the use of funds for the purchase of land or land easements that exceed 100 percent of value except under certain conditions.

The bill includes section 106 prohibiting the use of funds to acquire land, prepare sites, or install utilities for family housing except housing for which funds have been appropriated.

The bill includes section 107 limiting the use of minor construction funds to relocate any activity from one installation to another without prior notification.

The bill includes section 108 prohibiting the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

The bill includes section 109 prohibiting the use of funds to pay real property taxes in foreign nations.

The bill includes section 110 prohibiting the use of funds to initiate a new installation overseas without prior notification.

The bill includes section 111 establishing a preference for United States architectural and engineering services where the services are in Japan, NATO member countries, or countries bordering the Arabian Sea.

The bill includes section 112 establishing a preference for United States contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific and on Kwajalein Atoll, or countries bordering the Arabian Sea, except bids by Marshallese contractors for military construction on Kwajalein Atoll.

The bill includes section 113 requiring the Secretary of Defense to give prior notice to Congress of military exercises where construction costs exceed \$100,000.

The bill includes section 114 limiting obligations of funds made available for one fiscal year to no more than 20 percent during the last two months of the fiscal year.

The bill includes section 115 allowing funds appropriated in prior years to be used for new projects authorized during the current session of Congress.

The bill includes section 116 allowing the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

The bill includes section 117 providing that funds for military construction projects are available until the end of the fourth fiscal year following the fiscal year in which funds are appropriated, subject to certain conditions.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill includes section 118 allowing for the transfer of proceeds from "Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part I" to the continuing Base Realignment and Closure accounts.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill includes section 119 allowing for the transfer of funds from Family Housing Construction accounts to the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund and funds from Military Construction accounts to the Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund.

The bill includes section 120 requiring the secretaries of the military departments to notify Congressional Committees sixty days prior to issuing a solicitation for a contract with the private sector for military family housing.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill includes section 121 providing transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Program.

The bill includes section 122 requiring that funds in this title be the sole source of all operation and maintenance for flag and general officer quarter houses, and limits the repair on these quarters to \$35,000 per year without notification.

The bill includes section 123 making funds in the Ford Island Improvement Fund available until expended.

The bill includes section 124 prohibiting the use of funds for military construction, family housing, or land acquisition projects at installations closed or realigned under BRAC, except under certain conditions.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill includes section 125 allowing the transfer of expired funds to the "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Construction, Defense" account.

The bill includes section 126 prohibiting the use of funds for any action related to the expansion of the Pinon Canyon Maneuver Site, Colorado.

The bill includes section 127 providing that funds from the Commissary Surcharge Fund may be used to construct a commissary at U.S. Southern Command Headquarters, Miami-Dade County, Florida, in lieu of appropriated funds.

The bill includes section 128 allowing for the reprogramming of construction funds among projects and activities subject to certain guidelines.

The bill includes section 129 limiting the use of funds for certain accounts to the projects and activities identified in this statement and accompanying tables.

The bill includes section 130 authorizing the use of previously appropriated funds for the construction of an Aegis Ashore Test Facility at the Pacific Missile Range Facility, Hawaii.

The bill includes section 131 limiting the number of parking spaces that may be occupied at the BRAC office complex in Alexandria, Virginia, until certain conditions are met.

The bill includes section 132 authorizing the obligation and expenditure of funds provided in this title for military construction projects and activities not otherwise authorized by law.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

VETERANS BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION

COMPENSATION AND PENSIONS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill appropriates \$53,978,000,000 for Compensation and Pensions. Of the amount provided, not more than \$30,423,000 is to be transferred to General Operating Expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration (VBA); Medical Support and Compliance; and Information Technology Systems for reimbursement of necessary expenses in implementing provisions of title 38.

READJUSTMENT BENEFITS

The bill appropriates \$10,396,106,000 for Readjustment Benefits.

VETERANS INSURANCE AND INDEMNITIES

The bill appropriates \$77,589,000 for Veterans Insurance and Indemnities.

VETERANS HOUSING BENEFIT PROGRAM FUND

The bill appropriates such sums as may be necessary for costs associated with direct and guaranteed loans for the Veterans Housing Benefit Program Fund. The bill limits obligations for direct loans to not more than \$500,000 and provides that \$163,646,000 shall be available for administrative expenses.

VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The bill appropriates \$48,000 for the cost of direct loans from the Vocational Rehabilitation Loans Program Account, plus \$337,000, which may be paid to General Operating Expenses, VBA. The bill provides for a direct loan limitation of \$3,042,000.

NATIVE AMERICAN VETERAN HOUSING LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The bill appropriates \$707,000 for administrative expenses of the Native American Veteran Housing Loan Program Account.

VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

Advance Appropriations.—The bill includes an advance appropriation for the Medical Services, Medical Support and Compliance, and Medical Facilities accounts. The Department is directed to include in future budget submissions the budget plan for the advance appropriation provided in the previous year, including the amount of funding that will be allocated in accordance with the Veterans Equitable Resource Allocation (VERA) model. The Department is expected to make the advance appropriation available to the Veterans Integrated Service Networks on October 1, 2011. The Department is expected to identify the current services level for the advance appropriation request for the Medical Services, Medical Support and Compliance, and Medical Facilities accounts in future budget submissions.

Electronic Health Record Systems Modernization.—The Department of Veterans Affairs, in coordination with the Department of Defense, is directed to follow the electronic health record system modernization requirement in Senate Report 111-295.

MEDICAL SERVICES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill appropriates \$39,649,985,000 for Medical Services for fiscal year 2012, to become available on October 1, 2011, and remain available until September 30, 2012. In administrative provision section 232, the bill also appropriates \$74,776,000 for Medical Services for fiscal year 2011.

Caregiver support.—The bill includes \$64,776,000 in fiscal year 2011 funding, in addition to \$35,424,000 included in the base esti-

mate, for activities authorized in the Caregivers and Veterans Omnibus Health Services Act of 2010. Within this total, \$46,500,000 is provided for caregiver support; \$17,700,000 is provided for provisions relating to women veteran healthcare; \$15,000,000 is provided for a program on readjustment and mental health services for veterans who have served in Operation Enduring Freedom or Operation Iraqi Freedom; \$3,000,000 for grants to Veteran Service Organizations to transport veterans in highly rural areas to VA medical centers; and \$18,000,000 for specialized residential care and rehabilitation for certain veterans with a traumatic brain injury. Funding provided for readjustment and mental health services should be focused particularly on suicide prevention outreach and counseling for veterans in the National Guard and the Reserves. With the traumatic brain injury residential care and rehabilitation funding, the Department should consider developing programs such as those at the Tampa, Florida, Polytrauma Center. The Department is directed to include the budget resources necessary for caregiver support programs in fiscal year 2012 in that fiscal year budget request.

Vet centers.—As directed in the Senate report, the bill includes an increase of \$10,000,000 to establish new vet centers across the country. Within these funds, the Department is directed to work with American Indian, Alaska Native, and Native Hawaiian organizations to open additional vet centers in Native communities.

Guide and service dogs.—The Department is directed to fully and rapidly implement section 1714 of title 38, United States Code, regarding the provision of guide dogs and service dogs to qualified veterans, as well as to continue assisting those veterans with mental illnesses who would benefit from having a service dog. The Department is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress ("Committees") by February 25, 2011 on the status of implementing section 1714 of title 38.

PTSD national center.—The Department is directed to submit a report to the Committees detailing the resources provided to the National Center for Post Traumatic Stress Disorder.

MEDICAL SUPPORT AND COMPLIANCE

The bill appropriates \$5,535,000,000 for Medical Support and Compliance for fiscal year 2012, to become available on October 1, 2011, and remain available until September 30, 2012.

MEDICAL FACILITIES

The bill appropriates \$5,426,000,000 for Medical Facilities for fiscal year 2012, to become available on October 1, 2011, and remain available until September 30, 2012. The bill specifies that \$130,000,000 for non-recurring maintenance shall be allocated in a manner not subject to the VERA model.

In administrative provision section 233, the bill also appropriates \$35,000,000 for Medical Facilities for fiscal year 2011. Within this amount, the bill includes an additional \$20,000,000 for the Department to open new community-based outpatient clinics (CBOCs), including \$10,000,000 for CBOCs in rural areas. The Department is directed to provide to the Committees a detailed expenditure plan for this funding no later than March 1, 2011. The plan should also include a list of all current CBOCs, regardless of size or contractual arrangements. The remaining \$15,000,000 of additional fiscal year 2011 funding is to be used for planning and developing leased health care center facilities.

MEDICAL AND PROSTHETIC RESEARCH

The bill appropriates \$590,000,000 for Medical and Prosthetic Research, to remain available until September 30, 2012.

Vietnam veterans studies.—The Department is directed to proceed as quickly as possible with the long-delayed Vietnam veterans longitudinal study, as directed in the House and Senate reports. In addition, the Secretary is urged to conduct epidemiological studies from existing VA medical data, as directed in the House report, and submit those studies to peer reviewed scientific publications.

Research facility infrastructure report.—The Department is directed to submit the research infrastructure review originally requested by the Committees in 2005 by January 1, 2011.

Burn pits.—There is an increase in respiratory conditions such as atypical pneumonias, asthma and bronchiolitis among military personnel who were exposed to burn pits, increased particulate matter and other potential hazards while serving in Iraq, Kuwait, and Afghanistan. The Department is encouraged to develop a national registry of all exposed personnel, to establish a joint research program with the Department of Defense to fund research to better describe and understand these lung conditions, and to develop effective prevention, detection and treatment strategies for them.

NATIONAL CEMETERY ADMINISTRATION

The bill appropriates \$259,004,000 for the National Cemetery Administration. Of the amount provided, \$24,200,000 is available until September 30, 2012.

The bill includes an increase for the National Shrine Commitment Program and to correct gravesite deficiencies to include gravesite renovation projects to replace turf, repair sunken graves, and raise, realign and clean headstones.

GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES

The Administration's budget request proposes that funding for the administrative expenses associated with the VBA and all Department-wide offices be included within one single appropriation account. The bill has included funding for these functions in two separate accounts: General Operating Expenses, VBA and General Administration. The differences in the mission and purpose of the Department's executive offices and the VBA justify providing funding in two separate accounts. Further, separating these two broad categories will provide the Congress with greater visibility of budgetary resources and oversight of expenditures for these two vital missions.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill appropriates \$466,497,000 for General Administration, of which not to exceed \$22,000,000 is available until September 30, 2012, and of which \$23,584,000 is set aside to increase the VA acquisition workforce capacity. Acquisition funds are available only to supplement, not supplant, existing acquisition workforce activities. Funding is available for training, recruiting, retaining, and hiring additional members of the acquisition workforce as defined by the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act.

The bill also provides transfer authority from General Administration to General Operating Expenses, VBA.

Of the \$466,497,000 provided for General Administration, \$11,808,000 is for the Office of the Secretary; \$75,198,000 is for the Board of Veterans Appeals; \$91,049,000 is for the Office

of the General Counsel; \$50,357,000 is for the Office of Management; \$76,177,000 is for the Office of Human Resources; \$28,783,000 is for the Office of Policy and Planning; \$22,091,000 is for the Office of Operations Security and Preparedness; \$24,205,000 is for the Office of Public and Intergovernmental Affairs; \$7,247,000 is for the Office of Congressional and Legislative Affairs; and, \$79,582,000 is for the Office of Acquisition, Logistics and Construction.

The Department is directed to submit the planned and actual expenditures of each General Administration office, as well as the FTE levels for each, in the quarterly report submitted to the Committees.

The Department is directed to provide \$10,000,000 for the adaptive sports grant program under section 521A of title 38, United States Code and section 322 of title 38, United States Code.

The Department is directed within 30 days of enactment of this Act to provide to the Committees a detailed listing of activities that the VA does not plan to fund through the general purpose VERA allocation. In addition, the Department is directed to include in the quarterly status reports required by Section 219 of this Act both quarterly and cumulative information on the funding allocated from the National Reserve Fund for emerging program requirements and innovations, detailing the specific programmatic activities supported.

The Department is instructed to include in future congressional budget justifications the additional material requested in the House report, with the modification of listing only those programs that are unauthorized, not those with current authorizations.

The bill includes an additional \$1,800,000 for the Office of Management to establish a second fee care audit team, modeled on the VA's existing team of permanent VA employees, in order to increase the number of comprehensive audits of fee care offices.

The bill includes an additional \$1,000,000 for the Department to award a contract or grant to a Congressionally-chartered, non-partisan organization to conduct a study on improvements to management structures within VHA's Fee Care Program. The study should include the following: the feasibility and advisability of consolidating fee offices; the administrative cost savings, if any, that would be realized if such a consolidation occurred; and whether such consolidation would allow for more stringent management controls in order to reduce improper payments within the Fee Care Program.

GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES, VETERANS
BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION

The bill appropriates \$2,162,776,000 for General Operating Expenses, VBA. Of the amount provided, \$108,000,000 is available for obligation until September 30, 2012. The bill fully funds the budget request for VBA to address increasing disability claims and the workload associated with Agent Orange presumptive claims. The bill provides an additional \$12,000,000 for vocational rehabilitation and employment (VR and E) counselors. The Department is directed to use the \$12,000,000 saved from terminating the "printer on every desk" initiative to hire the additional VR and E counselors. The bill also provides an additional \$14,000,000 to support direct FTEs in the Education program for workload increases associated with the post-9/11 GI bill program.

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS

The bill appropriates \$3,162,501,000 for Information Technology (IT) Systems. Within

this total, \$966,000,000 is provided for staff salaries and expenses, \$1,453,685,000 for the operation and maintenance of existing programs, and \$742,816,000 for new program development.

The bill includes language that requires the Secretary to submit a reprogramming base letter within 30 days of enactment of this Act identifying by IT project its development and operations cost. Thereafter, the Department is directed to provide on a monthly basis an IT expenditure plan with the specific information items mentioned in the House and Senate reports.

The bill also includes language restricting the obligation of \$742,816,000 in IT development funds until the Department submits a certification letter to the Committees identifying the projects or programs that are ready to receive funding in fiscal year 2011 and in what amounts. The Department is directed to submit the total life cycle development costs of projects and programs receiving development funds in fiscal year 2011, and to include these estimated costs in future budget submissions.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The bill appropriates \$115,367,000 for the Office of Inspector General. Of the amount provided, \$6,000,000 is available for obligation until September 30, 2012.

CONSTRUCTION, MAJOR PROJECTS

The bill appropriates \$1,151,036,000 for Construction, Major Projects. The bill designates \$940,932,000 for the projects and activities and in the amounts specified below:

(In thousands of dollars)

Location and description	This bill
Veterans Health Administration (VHA):	
New Orleans, LA—New Medical Facility	310,000
Denver, CO—New Medical Facility	450,700
Palo Alto, CA—Polytrauma/Ambulatory Care Replacement	30,000
Alameda Point, CA—Outpatient Clinic and Columbarium (Design)	17,332
Omaha, NE—Hospital Replacement Facility (Design)	56,000
Advanced Planning Fund—Various Locations	89,750
Facility Security Projects—Various Locations	41,390
Judgment Fund—Various Locations	6,000
BRAC Land Acquisition—Various Locations	13,000
Resident Engineers for Major Construction—Various Locations	23,964
Total VHA	1,038,136
National Cemetery Administration (NCA):	
Indiantown Gap, PA—Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improvements—Phase 4	23,500
Los Angeles, CA—Columbarium Expansion	27,600
Tahoma, WA—Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improvements—Phase 2	25,800
Advanced Planning Fund—Various Locations	20,000
NCA Land Acquisition Fund—Various Locations	10,000
Total NCA	106,900
General Administration: Staff Offices Department Advance Planning Fund	6,000
Total Construction, major projects	1,151,036

Funding for leasing health care center facilities (HCCFs) is provided in the Medical Facilities account.

The Department is directed to submit with all future budget requests the findings associated with the Strategic Capital Investment Planning review, which is designed to address the problems of aging infrastructure and underutilized properties.

The Department is directed to report obligations for each Major Construction project on a quarterly basis, with the first report to the Committees to be submitted no later than January 15, 2011, to reflect obligations incurred through December 31, 2010. The Department is directed to provide a 5-year capital plan for Major Construction projects, and include the total cost of each project and an obligation plan by fiscal year.

CONSTRUCTION, MINOR PROJECTS

The bill appropriates \$517,700,000 for Construction, Minor Projects. Of this amount, \$428,712,000 is designated for the VHA,

\$43,573,000 is for the National Cemetery Administration, \$22,625,000 is for General Administration—Staff Offices, and \$22,790,000 is for the VBA.

The bill includes an additional \$40,000,000 for high priority minor construction projects, of which \$8,265,000 is designated for the construction and renovation needs associated with the hiring of new disability claims processors.

The bill includes an additional \$10,000,000 for the renovation of unused buildings on Department of Veterans Affairs campuses for the purpose of providing housing with supportive services for homeless veterans. This funding will allow the Department to make the renovations and pursue public/private partnerships for operation. The Department is directed to provide an expenditure plan for this funding to the Committees by April 9, 2011.

GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF STATE EXTENDED CARE FACILITIES

The bill appropriates \$85,000,000 for Grants for Construction of State Extended Care Facilities.

GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF STATE VETERANS CEMETERIES

The bill appropriates \$46,000,000 for Grants for Construction of State Veterans Cemeteries.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS (INCLUDING TRANSFERS AND RESCISSIONS OF FUNDS)

The bill includes section 201 allowing for transfers among various mandatory accounts.

The bill includes section 202 allowing for the transfer of funds among the three medical accounts.

The bill includes section 203 allowing for the use of salaries and expenses funds to be used for other authorized purposes.

The bill includes section 204 restricting the use of funds for the acquisition of land.

The bill includes section 205 limiting the use of funds in the Medical Services account to only entitled beneficiaries unless reimbursement is made to the Department.

The bill includes section 206 allowing for the use of certain mandatory appropriations accounts for payment of prior year accrued obligations for those accounts.

The bill includes section 207 allowing for the use of appropriations available in this title to pay prior year obligations.

The bill includes section 208 allowing funds for the administration of the National Service Life Insurance Fund, the Veterans' Special Life Insurance Fund, and the United States Government Life Insurance Fund.

The bill includes section 209 allowing for the proceeds from enhanced-use leases to be obligated in the year in which the proceeds are received.

The bill includes section 210 allowing for the use of \$38,783,000 in this title for salaries and other administrative expenses to be used to reimburse the Office of Resolution Management and \$3,354,000 to reimburse the Office of Employment Discrimination Complaint Adjudication.

The bill includes section 211 limiting the use of funds for any lease with an estimated annual rental cost of more than \$1,000,000 unless approved by the Committees.

The bill includes section 212 requiring the Secretary of the Department of Veterans Affairs to collect third-party payer information for persons treated for non-service connected disability.

The bill includes section 213 allowing for the use of enhanced-use leasing revenues for

Construction, Major Projects and Construction, Minor Projects.

The bill includes section 214 allowing for the use of Medical Services funds to be used for recreational facilities and funeral expenses.

The bill includes section 215 allowing for funds deposited into the Medical Care Collections Fund to be transferred to the Medical Services account.

The bill includes section 216 which allows Alaskan veterans to use medical facilities of the Indian Health Service or tribal organizations at no additional cost to the Department of Veterans Affairs or the Indian Health Service.

The bill includes section 217 providing for the transfer of funds from the Department of Veterans Affairs Capital Asset Fund to the Construction, Major Projects and Construction, Minor Projects accounts and makes those funds available until expended.

The bill includes section 218 prohibiting the use of funds for any policy prohibiting the use of outreach or marketing to enroll new veterans.

The bill includes section 219 requiring the Secretary to submit quarterly reports on the financial status and service level status of the VHA.

The bill includes section 220 allowing for the transfer of funds from various accounts to the Information Technology Systems account.

The bill includes section 221 providing for transfer of funds among projects within the Information Technology Systems account.

The bill includes section 222 authorizing the transfer of not more than \$5,000,000 to the Secretary of Health and Human Services for a Graduate Psychology Education Program which directly benefits veterans.

The bill includes section 223 prohibiting any funds to be used to contract out any function performed by more than ten employees without a fair competition process.

The bill includes section 224 limiting the obligation of non-recurring maintenance funds during the last two months of the fiscal year.

The bill includes section 225 allowing for the transfer of up to \$235,360,000 from certain accounts to the Joint Department of Defense/Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

The bill includes section 226 allowing for the transfer of certain funds deposited in the Medical Care Collections Fund to the Joint Department of Defense/Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

The bill includes section 227 allowing a minimum of \$15,000,000 to be transferred from Medical Services, Medical Support and Compliance, and Medical Facilities to the Department of Defense/Department of Veterans Affairs Health Care Sharing Incentive Fund.

The bill includes section 228 rescinding fiscal year 2011 funds from the three medical accounts (\$1,015,000,000 for Medical Services, \$145,000,000 for Medical Support and Compliance, and \$145,000,000 for Medical Facilities) and then appropriating those amounts for fiscal year 2011 with two-year authority.

The bill includes section 229 requiring the Department to notify the Committees of all bid savings in Major Construction projects that total at least \$5,000,000 or five percent of the programmed amount of the project, whichever is less. The Department is also directed to notify the Committees 14 days prior to obligating such bid savings and to describe the anticipated use of such savings.

The bill includes section 230 prohibiting the Department from increasing the scope of work of Major Construction projects beyond the original scope identified in budget justification documents. The Committees have been frustrated trying to track the status and scope of projects that are requested over multiple budget years. Important elements such as size and cost change with little explanation. Since the original information provided about the project in the budget request includes little detail, it is hard to judge when the scope of the project changes. To address this problem, the Department is directed to include in the fiscal year 2012 budget request and thereafter a document comparable to the Department of Defense Form 1391 indicating key baseline data for each project to identify its original scope. If the scope of a project changes, the Department is required to notify immediately the Committees. The Department is encouraged to request funding for Major Construction projects only when the planning for the project is sufficiently advanced so that it can be executed in the budget year. Such a timeline should make scope changes less likely. These procedures will align VA construction directives with those for the military construction projects funded in this Act.

The bill includes section 231 providing that \$162,734,000 of fiscal year 2011 funding for Medical Facilities shall be available for renewable energy projects.

The bill includes section 232 providing additional fiscal year 2011 funding of \$74,776,000 for Medical Services.

The bill includes section 233 providing additional fiscal year 2011 funding of \$35,000,000 for Medical Facilities.

The bill includes section 234 regarding the designation of supplemental funds.

TITLE III

RELATED AGENCIES

AMERICAN BATTLE MONUMENTS COMMISSION SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill appropriates \$67,200,000 for Salaries and Expenses. The bill provides \$3,000,000 more than the budget request. The additional funds are to be used for improvements and rehabilitation of the Bataan Memorial at Cabanatuan in the Philippines, as well as expansion of the Commission's interpretive program.

FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS ACCOUNT

The bill appropriates such sums as necessary for the Foreign Currency Fluctuation Account.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR VETERANS CLAIMS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill appropriates \$28,297,000 for Salaries and Expenses. The amount provided includes \$2,515,229 for the pro bono program. The bill does not provide any funding for transfer to the General Services Administration for the construction of a courthouse to house the United States Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims due to the uncertain total cost of the project and concerns with the adequacy of the proposed site.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL CEMETERIAL EXPENSES, ARMY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill appropriates \$50,340,000 for Salaries and Expenses. The bill provides \$8,240,000 more than the budget request for the following activities identified by Arlington Cemetery management: additional personnel (\$4,481,000), an operations center (\$1,000,000),

additional office space and equipment (\$300,000), information technology upgrades (\$1,000,000), records reconciliation (\$500,000), call center upgrades (\$300,000), security assessments and corrective actions (\$159,000), and global information system integration (\$500,000). In addition, the bill includes \$4,000,000 for the development of a detailed master plan for Arlington National Cemetery, as directed by the Secretary of the Army on June 10, 2010, and for initial planning for an expansion of the current visitors center to enhance the visitor experience.

ARMED FORCES RETIREMENT HOME
TRUST FUND

The bill appropriates \$71,200,000 for the Armed Forces Retirement Home.

TITLE IV
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides an additional \$918,845,000 for Military Construction, Army. The bill includes a general reduction of \$176,000,000 against the total projects appropriated to account for the carryover of unobligated balances resulting from cancellations and bid savings among projects appropriated in prior years. The bill also includes a provision providing for the transfer of \$7,000,000 from the account to the Department of Defense Inspector General for the purpose of carrying out audits of military construction projects in Afghanistan.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE
CORPS

The bill provides an additional \$160,430,000 for Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

The bill provides an additional \$129,266,000 for Military Construction, Air Force. The bill includes a general reduction of \$216,000,000 against the total projects appropriated to account for the carryover of unobligated balances resulting from cancellations and bid savings among projects appropriated in prior years.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

The bill provides an additional \$48,461,000 for Military Construction, Defense-Wide.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The bill includes section 401 providing a designation of funds appropriated in this title.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill includes section 402 providing for the transfer of up to \$250,000,000 of funds pro-

vided to Military Construction, Army, and Military Construction, Air Force, in title IV of division E of Public Law 111-117 among projects and activities within those accounts to accommodate cost and scope changes, changes of location, or projects not otherwise authorized that are necessary to support urgent military operational requirements in Afghanistan, subject to notification.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill includes section 403 providing for the transfer of up to \$250,000,000 of funds provided to Military Construction, Army, and Military Construction, Air Force, in chapter 9 of title I of Public Law 111-212 among projects and activities within those accounts to accommodate cost and scope changes, changes of location, or projects not otherwise authorized that are necessary to support urgent military operational requirements in Afghanistan, subject to notification.

The bill includes section 404 authorizing the obligation and expenditure of funds provided in this title for military construction projects and activities not otherwise authorized by law.

TITLE V
GENERAL PROVISIONS

(INCLUDING RESCISSIONS OF FUNDS)

The bill includes section 501 prohibiting the use of funds in the Act beyond the fiscal year unless expressly so provided.

The bill includes section 502 requiring pay raises to be absorbed within the levels appropriated in this Act.

The bill includes section 503 prohibiting the use of funds in this Act for programs, projects or activities not in compliance with Federal law relating to risk assessment, the protection of private property rights, or unfunded mandates.

The bill includes section 504 prohibiting the use of funds in this Act to support to defeat legislation pending before Congress.

The bill includes section 505 encouraging all Departments to expand their use of "E-Commerce" technologies.

The bill includes section 506 prohibiting the transfer of funds made available in this Act to any instrumentality of the United States Government without authority from an appropriations Act.

The bill includes section 507 specifying the congressional committees that are to receive all reports and notifications.

The bill includes section 508 prohibiting the use of funds in this Act for a project or program named for an individual serving as a Member, Delegate, or Resident Commissioner of the House of Representatives.

The bill includes section 509 requiring all reports submitted to be posted on official websites.

The bill includes section 510 prohibiting the use of funds in this Act for the processing of new enhanced-use leases at the National Homes for Disabled Volunteer Soldiers located in Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

The bill includes section 511 authorizing and providing \$46,550,000 for construction of a nursing care home care unit at the Beckley, West Virginia, Veterans Affairs Medical Center.

The bill includes section 512 authorizing a major medical facility project at the Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center in West Los Angeles, California in an amount not to exceed \$35,500,000. The Department is authorized to obligate funds derived from bid savings from major medical facility projects to carry out this project.

The bill includes section 513 rescinding \$200,000,000, in unobligated balances from prior appropriations Acts from "Military Construction, Army."

The bill includes section 514 rescinding \$128,000,000, in unobligated balances from title X of Public Law 111-5 from "Military Construction, Army", "Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps", "Military Construction, Air Force", "Military Construction, Defense-Wide", "Military Construction, Army National Guard", "Military Construction, Air National Guard".

This bill includes section 515 rescinding \$117,505,000, in unobligated balances from title II of division E of Public Law 111-117, under the heading "Departmental Administration, Information Technology Systems" for staffing and administrative payroll.

DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND
CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

Following is a list of congressional earmarks and congressionally directed spending items (as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, respectively) included in the bill or this explanatory statement, along with the name of each Senator, House Member, Delegate, or Resident Commissioner who submitted a request to the House or Senate Committee of jurisdiction for each item so identified. Neither the bill nor the explanatory statement contains any limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in the applicable House and Senate rules.

DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND CON-
GRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING
ITEMS

Following is a list of congressional ear-
marks and congressionally directed spending
items (as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI of

the Rules of the House of Representatives
and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the
Senate, respectively) included in the bill or
this explanatory statement, along with the
name of each Senator, House Member, Dele-
gate, or Resident Commissioner who sub-

mitted a request to the House or Senate
Committee of jurisdiction for each item so
identified. Neither the bill nor the explana-
tory statement contains any limited tax ben-
efits or limited tariff benefits as defined in
the applicable House and Senate rules.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Account	Location	Project	Amount	Administration	Requester(s)	House	Senate
Army	Alabama: Fort Rucker	Aviation Component Maintenance Shop	\$29,000,000	The President	Bright	Bright	Sessions
Army	Alabama: Fort Rucker	Aviation Maintenance Facility	\$36,000,000	The President	Bright	Bright	Sessions
Army	Alabama: Fort Rucker	Training Aids Center	\$4,650,000	The President			Sessions
Navy	Alabama: Mobile	T-6 Solo Capable Outlying Landing Field	\$29,082,000	The President			Sessions
Air Force	Alabama: Maxwell AFB	Add/Alter Air University Library	\$13,400,000	The President			Sessions
Air NG	Alabama: Montgomery ANG	Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Hangar	\$7,472,000	The President	Bright		Sessions
Army	Alaska: Fort Greely	Fire Station	\$26,000,000	The President			Beigich; Murkowski
Army	Alaska: Fort Richardson	Brigade Complex, Ph. 1	\$67,038,000	The President			Beigich; Murkowski
Army	Alaska: Fort Richardson	Multipurpose Machine Gun Range	\$12,200,000	The President			Beigich; Murkowski
Army	Alaska: Fort Richardson	Simulations Center	\$34,000,000	The President			Beigich; Murkowski
Army	Alaska: Fort Wainwright	Aviation Task Force Complex, Ph. 2A (Hangar)	\$92,650,000	The President			Beigich; Murkowski
Army	Alaska: Fort Wainwright	Aviation Task Force Complex, Ph. 2B (COF)	\$27,000,000	The President			Beigich; Murkowski
Army	Alaska: Fort Wainwright	Urban Assault Course	\$3,350,000	The President			Beigich; Murkowski
Air Force	Alaska: Fort Wainwright	Repair Central Heat Plant & Boilers	\$28,000,000	The President			Beigich; Murkowski
Air Force	Alaska: Eielson AFB	Add/Alter Air Support Operations Squadron Tra.	\$4,749,000	The President			Beigich; Murkowski
Air Force	Alaska: Elmendorf AFB	Railhead Operations Facility	\$15,000,000	The President			Beigich; Murkowski
Air Force	Alaska: Elmendorf AFB	F-22 Add/Alter Weapons System Release Stop	\$10,525,000	The President			Beigich; Murkowski
FH Army	Alaska: Fort Wainwright	Family Housing Replacement (110 Units)	\$21,000,000	The President			Beigich; Murkowski
Navy	Arizona: Yuma MCAS	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	\$63,280,000	The President			Beigich; Murkowski
Navy	Arizona: Yuma MCAS	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	\$40,600,000	The President			
Navy	Arizona: Yuma MCAS	Communications Infrastructure Upgrade	\$63,730,000	The President			
Navy	Arizona: Yuma MCAS	Intermediate Maintenance Activity Facility	\$21,480,000	The President			
Navy	Arizona: Yuma MCAS	Simulator Facility	\$36,060,000	The President			
Navy	Arizona: Yuma MCAS	Utilities Infrastructure Upgrade	\$44,320,000	The President			
Navy	Arizona: Yuma MCAS	Van Pad Complex Relocation	\$15,590,000	The President			
Air Force	Arizona: Davis-Monthan AFB	AMARG Hangar	\$25,000,000	The President			
Air Force	Arizona: Davis-Monthan AFB	HC-130 AGE Maintenance Facility	\$4,600,000	The President			
Air Force	Arizona: Davis-Monthan AFB	HC-130 Aerial Cargo Facility	\$10,700,000	The President			
Air Force	Arizona: Davis-Monthan AFB	HC-130J Parts Store	\$8,200,000	The President			
Air Force	Arizona: Luke AFB	F-35 Academic Training Center	\$54,150,000	The President			
Air Force	Arizona: Luke AFB	F-35 Squadron Operations Facility	\$10,260,000	The President			
Defense-Wide	Arizona: Yuma Proving Ground	SOF Military Free Fall Simulator	\$8,977,000	The President			
Army NG	Arizona: Florence	Readiness Center	\$16,500,000	The President			
Air NG	Arizona: Davis-Monthan AFB	Predator FOC Active Duty Associate	\$4,650,000	The President			
Air NG	Arizona: Fort Huachuca	Reaper IRE Beddown	\$11,000,000	The President			
Army NG	Arkansas: Camp Robinson	Combined Support Maintenance Shop	\$30,000,000	The President			Pryor; Lincoln
Army NG	Arkansas: Fort Chaffee	Combined Arms Collective Training Facility	\$19,000,000	The President			Pryor; Lincoln
Army NG	Arkansas: Fort Chaffee	Live Fire Shoot House	\$2,500,000	The President			Pryor; Lincoln
Army	California: Presidio of Monterey	Advanced Individual Training Barracks	\$63,000,000	The President			Feinstein
Army	California: Presidio of Monterey	General Instruction Building	\$39,000,000	The President			Feinstein

Army	California: Presidio of Monterey	Satellite Communications Facility	\$35,000,000	The President		Feinstein
Navy	California: Camp Pendleton	BEQ—13 Area	\$42,864,000	The President		Feinstein
Navy	California: Camp Pendleton	BEQ—Las Flores	\$37,020,000	The President		Feinstein
Navy	California: Camp Pendleton	CMIT/FRS—Aviation Training and BEQ	\$66,110,000	The President		Feinstein
Navy	California: Camp Pendleton	Convergence/Water Treatment	\$100,700,000	The President		Feinstein
Navy	California: Camp Pendleton	MAIS-39 Maintenance Hangar Expansion	\$48,230,000	The President		Feinstein
Navy	California: Camp Pendleton	Marine Corps Energy Initiative	\$3,950,000	The President		Feinstein
Navy	California: Camp Pendleton	North Region Tertiary Treatment Plant, Incr 2	\$30,000,000	The President		Feinstein
Navy	California: Camp Pendleton	Small Arms Magazine—Edson Range	\$3,760,000	The President		Feinstein
Navy	California: Camp Pendleton	Truck Company Operations Complex	\$53,490,000	The President		Feinstein
Navy	California: Coronado MCB	Rotary Hangar	\$67,160,000	The President	Davis (CA)	Feinstein
Navy	California: Miramar MCAS	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	\$90,490,000	The President		Feinstein
Navy	California: Miramar MCAS	Hangar 4	\$33,620,000	The President		Feinstein
Navy	California: Miramar MCAS	Parking Apron/Taxway Expansion	\$66,500,000	The President		Feinstein
Navy	California: San Diego	BEQ, Homeport Ashore	\$75,342,000	The President	Davis (CA)	Feinstein
Navy	California: San Diego	Berthing Pier 12 Replace & Dredging, Ph 1	\$108,414,000	The President	Davis (CA)	Feinstein
Navy	California: San Diego	Marine Corps Energy Initiative	\$9,950,000	The President		Feinstein
Navy	California: Twentynine Palms MCB	BEQ and Parking Structure	\$53,158,000	The President		Feinstein
Defense-Wide	California: Point Loma Annex	Replace Storage Facility, Incr 3	\$20,000,000	The President	Davis (CA)	Feinstein
Defense-Wide	California: Point Mugu	Aircraft Direct Fueling Station	\$3,100,000	The President		Feinstein
Army NG	California: Camp Roberts	Combined Arms Collective Training Facility	\$19,000,000	The President		Feinstein
Army Reserve	California: Fairfield	Army Reserve Center	\$25,000,000	The President		Feinstein
Army Reserve	California: Fort Hunter Liggett	ECS Tactical Equipment Maintenance Facility	\$22,000,000	The President		Feinstein
Army Reserve	California: Fort Hunter Liggett	ECS Warehouse	\$15,000,000	The President		Feinstein
Army Reserve	California: Fort Hunter Liggett	Grenade Launcher Range	\$1,400,000	The President		Feinstein
Army Reserve	California: Fort Hunter Liggett	Hand Grenade Familiarization Range (Live)	\$1,400,000	The President		Feinstein
Army Reserve	California: Fort Hunter Liggett	Light Demolition Range	\$2,700,000	The President		Feinstein
Army Reserve	California: Fort Hunter Liggett	Tactical Vehicle Wash Rack	\$3,500,000	The President		Feinstein
Army Reserve	California: Twentynine Palms MCB	Tank Vehicle Maintenance Facility	\$5,991,000	The President		Feinstein
Army	Colorado: Fort Carson	Automated Sniper Field Fire Range	\$3,650,000	The President		
Army	Colorado: Fort Carson	Battalion Headquarters	\$5,700,000	The President		
Army	Colorado: Fort Carson	Brigade Complex	\$56,000,000	The President		
Army	Colorado: Fort Carson	Simulations Center	\$40,000,000	The President		
Air Force	Colorado: Buckley AFB	Security Forces Operations Facility	\$12,160,000	The President		
Air Force	Colorado: Peterson AFB	RAIDERS Space Control Facility	\$24,800,000	The President		
Air Force	Colorado: U.S. Air Force Academy	Center for Character & Leadership Development	\$27,600,000	The President		
Defense-Wide	Colorado: Fort Carson	SOF Tactical UAV Hangar	\$3,717,000	The President		
Chem Demil	Colorado: Pueblo Depot	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Ph XII	\$65,569,000	The President		Michael Bennet, Mark Udall
Army NG	Colorado: Colorado Springs	Readiness Center	\$20,000,000	The President		
Army NG	Colorado: Fort Carson	Regional Training Institute	\$40,000,000	The President		
Army NG	Colorado: Gypsum	HAITS/MAISF	\$39,000,000	The President		
Army NG	Colorado: Windsor	Readiness Center	\$7,500,000	The President		
Army NG	Connecticut: Windsor Locks	Aviation Readiness Center	\$41,000,000	The President	DeLauro; Larson	Lieberman
Air Force	Delaware: Dover AFB	C-5M/C-17 Maintenance Training Facility, Ph 2	\$3,200,000	The President		

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
(Presidentially Directed Spending Items)

Account	Location	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Army NG	Delaware: New Castle	Armed Forces Reserve Center (JFHQ)	\$27,000,000	The President	Senate
Air NG	Delaware: New Castle CAP	Joint Forces Operations Center—AMC Share	\$1,500,000	The President	
Air Force	District of Columbia: Bolling AFB	Joint Air Defense Operations Center	\$13,200,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	District of Columbia: Bolling AFB	Replace Parking Structure, Ph 1	\$3,000,000	The President	
Army	Florida: Eglin AFB	Chapel	\$6,900,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Army	Florida: Miami-Dade County	Command and Control Facility	\$41,000,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Navy	Florida: Blount Island MCSF	Consolidated Warehouse Facility	\$17,260,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Navy	Florida: Blount Island MCSF	Container Staging and Loading Lot	\$5,990,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Navy	Florida: Blount Island MCSF	Container Storage Lot	\$4,910,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Navy	Florida: Blount Island MCSF	Handstand Extension	\$17,930,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Navy	Florida: Blount Island MCSF	Paint and Blast Facility	\$18,840,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Navy	Florida: Blount Island MCSF	Washrack Expansion	\$9,690,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Navy	Florida: Tampa	JCS Vehicle Paint Facility	\$2,300,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Air Force	Florida: Eglin AFB	F-35 Fuel Cell Maintenance Hangar	\$11,400,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Air Force	Florida: Hurlburt Field	Add/Alter Special Operations School Facility	\$6,170,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Air Force	Florida: Hurlburt Field	Add to Visiting Quarters (24 Room)	\$4,500,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Air Force	Florida: Hurlburt Field	Base Logistics Facility	\$24,000,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Air Force	Florida: Patrick AFB	Air Force Technical Applications Center	\$79,009,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Defense-Wide	Florida: Eglin AFB	SOF Ground Support Battalion Detachment	\$6,030,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Air NG	Florida: Jacksonville IAP	Security Forces Training Facility	\$6,700,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Army Reserve	Florida: North Fort Myers	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$13,800,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Army Reserve	Florida: Orlando	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$10,200,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Army Reserve	Florida: Tallahassee	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$10,400,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Air Reserve	Florida: Patrick AFB	Weapons Maintenance Facility	\$3,420,000	The President	Bill Nelson
Army	Georgia: Fort Benning	Land Acquisition	\$12,200,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Army	Georgia: Fort Benning	Trainer Barracks Ph 2	\$51,000,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Army	Georgia: Fort Benning	Training Battalion Complex, Ph 2	\$14,600,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Army	Georgia: Fort Benning	Training Battalion Complex, Ph 2	\$14,600,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Army	Georgia: Fort Benning	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	\$53,000,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Army	Georgia: Fort Gordon	Training Aids Center	\$4,150,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Army	Georgia: Fort Stewart	Automated Infantry Platoon Battle Course	\$6,200,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Army	Georgia: Fort Stewart	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun Range	\$9,100,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Army	Georgia: Fort Stewart	Aviation Unit Operations Complex	\$47,000,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Army	Georgia: Fort Stewart	Battalion Complex	\$18,000,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Army	Georgia: Fort Stewart	General Instruction Building	\$8,200,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Army	Georgia: Fort Stewart	Modified Record Fire Range	\$3,750,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Army	Georgia: Fort Stewart	Simulations Center	\$26,000,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Army	Georgia: Fort Stewart	Training Aids Center	\$7,000,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Navy	Georgia: Kings Bay NSB	Security Enclosure & Vehicle Barriers	\$45,004,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Navy	Georgia: Kings Bay NSB	Waterfront Emergency Power	\$15,660,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson

Defense-Wide	Georgia: Augusta	NSA/CSS Georgia Training Facility	\$12,855,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Defense-Wide	Georgia: Fort Benning	Dexter Elementary School Gym	\$2,800,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Defense-Wide	Georgia: Fort Benning	SOF Company Support Facility	\$20,441,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Defense-Wide	Georgia: Fort Benning	SOF Military Working Dog Kennel Complex	\$3,624,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Defense-Wide	Georgia: Fort Stewart	Health Clinic Add/Alt	\$35,100,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Defense-Wide	Georgia: Hunter AMGS	Fuel Unload Facility	\$2,400,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Defense-Wide	Georgia: Hunter AAF	SOF TEMF Expansion	\$3,318,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Army NG	Georgia: Cumming	Readiness Center	\$17,000,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Army NG	Georgia: Dobbins AFB	Readiness Center Add/Alt	\$10,400,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Air NG	Georgia: Savannah/Hilton Head JAP	Relocate ASOS Facility	\$7,450,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Army Reserve	Georgia: Macon	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$11,400,000	The President	Chambliss; Isakson
Army	Hawaii: Fort Shafter	Command and Control Facility, Ph. 1	\$58,000,000	The President	Inouye; Akaka
Army	Hawaii: Fort Shafter	Flood Mitigation	\$23,000,000	The President	Inouye; Akaka
Army	Hawaii: Schofield Barracks	Barracks	\$98,000,000	The President	Inouye; Akaka
Army	Hawaii: Schofield Barracks	Barracks	\$90,000,000	The President	Inouye; Akaka
Army	Hawaii: Schofield Barracks	Training Aids Center	\$24,000,000	The President	Inouye; Akaka
Army	Hawaii: Tripler AMC	Barracks	\$28,000,000	The President	Inouye; Akaka
Navy	Hawaii: Camp H.M. Smith	Physical Fitness Center	\$29,960,000	The President	Inouye; Akaka
Navy	Hawaii: Kaneohe Bay	BEC	\$90,530,000	The President	Inouye; Akaka
Navy	Hawaii: Kaneohe Bay	Waterfront Operations Facility	\$19,130,000	The President	Inouye; Akaka
Navy	Hawaii: Pearl Harbor	Center for Disaster Mgmt./Humanitarian Assistance	\$31,140,000	The President	Inouye; Akaka
Navy	Hawaii: Pearl Harbor	Joint POW/MIA Accounting Command	\$99,328,000	The President	Inouye; Akaka
Defense-Wide	Hawaii: Hickam AFB	Alter Fuel Storage Tanks	\$8,500,000	The President	Inouye; Akaka
Defense-Wide	Hawaii: Pearl Harbor	NSM63 Command and Operations Facility	\$28,804,000	The President	Inouye; Akaka
Army NG	Hawaii: Kalaheo	Combined Support Maintenance Shop	\$38,000,000	The President	Inouye; Akaka
Air NG	Hawaii: Hickam AFB	F-22 Beddown Infrastructure Support	\$5,950,000	The President	Inouye; Akaka
Air NG	Hawaii: Hickam AFB	F-22 Hangar, Squadron Operations & AMU	\$48,250,000	The President	Inouye; Akaka
Air NG	Hawaii: Hickam AFB	F-22 Upgrade Munitions Complex	\$17,250,000	The President	Inouye; Akaka
Defense-Wide	Idaho: Mountain Home AFB	Replace PXL Fuel Storage Tanks	\$27,500,000	The President	
Army NG	Idaho: Gowen Field	Barracks (ORTC) Ph. 1	\$17,500,000	The President	
Army NG	Idaho: Mountain Home AFB	Tactical UAS Facility	\$6,300,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Illinois: Scott AFB	Field Command Facility Upgrade (DUSA)	\$1,368,000	The President	Durbin
Army NG	Illinois: Springfield	Add/Alt Combined Support Maintenance Shop	\$15,000,000	The President	Durbin
Air NG	Illinois: Capital MAP	CNMF Beddown Upgrade Facilities	\$16,700,000	The President	Durbin
Army Reserve	Illinois: Quincy	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$12,200,000	The President	Durbin
Air NG	Indiana: Huelan RAP	ASOS Beddown Upgrade Facilities	\$4,100,000	The President	
Army Reserve	Indiana: Michigan City	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$15,500,000	The President	
Army Reserve	Iowa: Des Moines	Army Reserve Center	\$8,175,000	The President	
Army	Kansas: Fort Leavenworth	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	\$7,100,000	The President	
Army	Kansas: Fort Riley	Automated Infantry Squad Battle Course	\$4,100,000	The President	
Army	Kansas: Fort Riley	Automated Qualification Training Range	\$14,800,000	The President	
Army	Kansas: Fort Riley	Battalion Complex, Ph. 1	\$31,000,000	The President	
Army	Kansas: Fort Riley	Known Distance Range	\$7,200,000	The President	
Army NG	Kansas: Wichita	Field Maintenance Shop	\$24,000,000	The President	

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
(Presidentially Directed Spending Items)

Account	Location	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Army NG	Kansas: Wichita	Readiness Center	\$43,000,000	The President	
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	Automated Sniper Field Fire Range	\$1,500,000	The President	McConnell
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	Brigade Complex	\$67,000,000	The President	McConnell
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	Company Operations Facilities	\$25,000,000	The President	McConnell
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	Rappelling Training Area	\$5,600,000	The President	McConnell
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	Unit Operations Facilities	\$26,000,000	The President	McConnell
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	Urban Assault Course	\$3,300,000	The President	McConnell
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	\$15,500,000	The President	McConnell
Army	Kentucky: Fort Knox	Access Corridor Improvements	\$6,000,000	The President	McConnell
Army	Kentucky: Fort Knox	MOUT Collective Training Facility	\$17,800,000	The President	McConnell
Defense-Wide	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	SOF Battalion Operations Complex	\$38,095,000	The President	McConnell
Chem Detail	Kentucky: Blue Grass Depot	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Ph XI	\$59,402,000	The President	McConnell
Army NG	Kentucky: Burlington	Readiness Center	\$19,500,000	The President	McConnell
Army	Louisiana: Fort Polk	Barracks	\$29,000,000	The President	Landrieu
Army	Louisiana: Fort Polk	Heavy Sniper Range	\$4,250,000	The President	Landrieu
Army	Louisiana: Fort Polk	Land Acquisition	\$6,000,000	The President	Landrieu
Army	Louisiana: Fort Polk	Land Acquisition	\$24,000,000	The President	Landrieu
Air Force	Louisiana: Barksdale AFB	Weapons Load Crew Training Facility	\$18,140,000	The President	Landrieu
Army NG	Louisiana: Fort Polk	Tactical IAS Facility	\$5,500,000	The President	Landrieu
Army NG	Louisiana: Camp Minden	Readiness Center	\$28,000,000	The President	Landrieu
Navy Reserve	Louisiana: New Orleans NSC/NB	Joint Air Traffic Control Facility	\$16,281,000	The President	Landrieu
Army	Maryland: Aberdeen Proving Ground	Auto Test Evaluation Facility Ph 2	\$14,600,000	The President	Ruppersberger
Army	Maryland: Fort Meade	Indoor Firing Range	\$7,600,000	The President	Ruppersberger
Army	Maryland: Fort Meade	Wideband SATCOM Operations Center	\$25,000,000	The President	Ruppersberger
Navy	Maryland: Indian Head NSWC	Agile Chemical Facility, Ph 2	\$34,238,000	The President	Hoyer
Navy	Maryland: Patuxent River NAS	Broad Area Maritime Surveillance T&E Facility	\$42,211,000	The President	Hoyer
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Aberdeen Proving Ground	USAMRIID Replacement, Incr 3	\$105,000,000	The President	Mikulski: Cardin
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Andrews AFB	Replace Fuel Storage & Distribution Facility	\$14,000,000	The President	Mikulski: Cardin
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Bethesda	WRNNMC Parking Expansion	\$17,100,000	The President	Mikulski: Cardin
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Bethesda	Transient Wounded Warrior Lodging	\$62,900,000	The President	Mikulski: Cardin
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Fort Detrick	Consolidated Logistics Facility	\$23,100,000	The President	Mikulski: Cardin
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Fort Detrick	Information Services Facility Expansion	\$4,300,000	The President	Mikulski: Cardin
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Fort Detrick	NBC Security Fencing and Equipment	\$2,700,000	The President	Mikulski: Cardin
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Fort Detrick	Supplemental Water Storage	\$3,700,000	The President	Mikulski: Cardin
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Fort Detrick	USAMRIID Stage 1, Incr 5	\$17,400,000	The President	Mikulski: Cardin
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Fort Detrick	Water Treatment Plant Repair & Supplement	\$11,900,000	The President	Mikulski: Cardin
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Fort Meade	North Campus Utility Plant, Incr 1	\$165,360,000	The President	Mikulski: Cardin
Army NG	Maryland: St. Inigoes (Webster Field)	Tactical IAS Facility	\$5,500,000	The President	Hoyer
Air NG	Maryland: Martin State Airport	Replace Operations & Medical Training Facility	\$11,400,000	The President	Mikulski: Cardin
Defense-Wide	Massachusetts: Hanscom AFB	Mental Health Clinic Addition	\$2,900,000	The President	Mikulski: Cardin
Defense-Wide					Mikulski: Cardin

Army NG	Massachusetts: Hanscom AFB	Armed Forces Reserve Center (JPHQ), Ph 2	\$23,000,000	The President	Kerry
Army Reserve	Massachusetts: Devens RTA	Automated Record Fire Range	\$4,700,000	The President	Kerry
Army NG	Michigan: Camp Grayling	Combined Arms Collective Training Facility	\$19,000,000	The President	Levin; Stabenow
Army NG	Minnesota: Arden Hills	Field Maintenance Shop	\$29,000,000	The President	
Army NG	Minnesota: Camp Ripley	Infantry Squad Battle Course	\$4,300,000	The President	
Army NG	Minnesota: Camp Ripley	Technical UAS Facility	\$4,450,000	The President	
Army	Missouri: Fort Leonard Wood	Barracks	\$29,000,000	The President	
Army	Missouri: Fort Leonard Wood	Brigade Headquarters	\$12,200,000	The President	
Army	Missouri: Fort Leonard Wood	General Instruction Building	\$7,000,000	The President	
Army	Missouri: Fort Leonard Wood	Information Systems Facility	\$15,500,000	The President	
Army	Missouri: Fort Leonard Wood	Training Barracks	\$19,000,000	The President	
Army	Missouri: Fort Leonard Wood	Transient Advanced Trainee Barracks, Ph 2	\$29,000,000	The President	
Army Reserve	Missouri: Belton	Army Reserve Center	\$11,800,000	The President	
Army NG	Nebraska: Lincoln	Readiness Center Add/Alt	\$3,300,000	The President	Ben Nelson
Army NG	Nebraska: Mead	Readiness Center	\$11,400,000	The President	Ben Nelson
Air Force	Nevada: Creech AFB	UAS Airfield Fire/Crash Rescue Station	\$11,710,000	The President	Reid
Air Force	Nevada: Nellis AFB	F-35 Add/Alt 422 Test Evaluation Squadron Fac.	\$7,870,000	The President	Reid
Air Force	Nevada: Nellis AFB	F-35 Add/Alt Flight Test Instrumentation Facility	\$1,900,000	The President	Reid
Air Force	Nevada: Nellis AFB	F-35 Flight Simulator Facility	\$13,110,000	The President	Reid
Air Force	Nevada: Nellis AFB	F-35 Maintenance Hangar/AMU	\$28,760,000	The President	Reid
Army NG	New Hampshire: Pembroke	Barracks Facility (RTI)	\$15,000,000	The President	
Army NG	New Hampshire: Pembroke	Classroom Facility (RTI)		The President	
Air Force	New Jersey: McGuire AFB	Base Operations/Command Post Facility	\$3,000,000	The President	Rothman
Air Force	New Jersey: McGuire AFB	Dormitory (120 Room)	\$18,440,000	The President	Rothman
Army	New Mexico: White Sands Missile Range	Barracks	\$29,000,000	The President	
Air Force	New Mexico: Cannon AFB	Dormitory (96 Room)	\$14,000,000	The President	Biingaman; Tom Udall
Air Force	New Mexico: Cannon AFB	UAS Squadron Operations Facility	\$20,000,000	The President	Biingaman; Tom Udall
Air Force	New Mexico: Holloman AFB	UAS Add/Alt Maintenance Hangar	\$15,470,000	The President	Biingaman; Tom Udall
Air Force	New Mexico: Holloman AFB	UAS Maintenance Hangar	\$22,500,000	The President	Biingaman; Tom Udall
Air Force	New Mexico: Kirtland AFB	Aerial Delivery Facility Addition	\$3,800,000	The President	Biingaman; Tom Udall
Air Force	New Mexico: Kirtland AFB	Armament Shop	\$6,460,000	The President	Biingaman; Tom Udall
Air Force	New Mexico: Kirtland AFB	H/MC-130 Fuel System Maintenance Facility	\$14,142,000	The President	Biingaman; Tom Udall
Defense-Wide	New Mexico: Cannon AFB	SOF Add/Alt Simulator Facility for MC-130	\$13,287,000	The President	Biingaman; Tom Udall
Defense-Wide	New Mexico: Cannon AFB	SOF Aircraft Parking Apron (MC-130)	\$12,635,000	The President	Biingaman; Tom Udall
Defense-Wide	New Mexico: Cannon AFB	SOF C-130 Parking Apron Ph 1	\$26,006,000	The President	Biingaman; Tom Udall
Defense-Wide	New Mexico: Cannon AFB	SOF Hangar/AMU (MC-130)	\$24,622,000	The President	Biingaman; Tom Udall
Defense-Wide	New Mexico: Cannon AFB	SOF Operations and Training Complex	\$39,674,000	The President	Biingaman; Tom Udall
Defense-Wide	New Mexico: White Sands Missile Range	Health and Dental Clinics	\$22,900,000	The President	Biingaman; Tom Udall
Army NG	New Mexico: Farmington	Readiness Center Add/Alt	\$8,500,000	The President	Biingaman; Tom Udall
Army Reserve	New Mexico: Las Cruces	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$11,400,000	The President	Biingaman; Tom Udall
Army	New York: Fort Drum	Aircraft Fuel Storage Complex	\$14,600,000	The President	
Army	New York: Fort Drum	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	\$16,500,000	The President	
Army	New York: Fort Drum	Battalion Complex	\$61,000,000	The President	
Army	New York: Fort Drum	Brigade Complex, Ph 1	\$55,000,000	The President	

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
(Presidentially Directed Spending Items)

Account	Location	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Army	New York: Fort Drum	Infantry Squad Battle Course	\$8,200,000	The President	Senate
Army	New York: Fort Drum	Training Aids Center	\$18,500,000	The President	
Army	New York: Fort Drum	Transient Training Barracks	\$55,000,000	The President	
Army	New York: U.S. Military Academy	Science Facility, Ph. 2	\$130,624,000	The President	
Army	New York: U.S. Military Academy	Urban Assault Course	\$1,700,000	The President	
Air Force	New York: Fort Drum	20th Air Support Operations Squadron Complex	\$20,440,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	New York: Fort Drum	West Point Middle School Add/Alt	\$27,960,000	The President	
Air NG	New York: Fort Drum	Reaper Infrastructure Support	\$2,500,000	The President	Maffei
Air NG	New York: Stewart INP	Base Defense Group Beddown	\$14,250,000	The President	
Army Reserve	New York: Binghamton	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$13,400,000	The President	
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Battalion Complex	\$33,000,000	The President	
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Brigade Complex	\$50,000,000	The President	
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Brigade Complex	\$25,000,000	The President	
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Brigade Complex	\$41,000,000	The President	
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Brigade Complex	\$53,000,000	The President	Eberidge
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Command and Control Facility (82nd Airborne)	\$12,600,000	The President	
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Company Operations Facilities	\$11,200,000	The President	
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Dining Facility	\$17,000,000	The President	
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Murchison Road Right of Way Acquisition	\$14,600,000	The President	
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Staging Area Complex	\$18,000,000	The President	
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Student Barracks	\$28,000,000	The President	
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	\$7,500,000	The President	
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	\$90,270,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	2nd Intel Battalion Maintenance/Ops Complex	\$12,280,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Army—II MEF—Wallace Creek	\$46,550,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	BEC—Camp Johnson	\$42,330,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	BEC—Courthouse Bay	\$40,780,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	BEC—Courthouse Bay	\$43,640,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	BEC—French Creek	\$55,350,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	BEC—Rifle Range	\$51,660,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	BEC—Wallace Creek	\$46,290,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	BEC—Wallace Creek North	\$7,420,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	EOD Addition—2nd Marine Logistics Group	\$73,010,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Hangar	\$74,260,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Maintenance Hangar (HMLA)	\$36,100,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Maintenance/Ops Complex—2nd ANG/UCO	\$9,950,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Marine Corps Energy Initiative	\$25,960,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Mess Hall—French Creek	\$2,553,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Mess Hall Addition—Courthouse Bay	\$18,470,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Motor Transportation/Comm. Maintenance Facility	\$56,050,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Utility Expansion—French Creek		The President	

Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Utility Expansion—Hadnot Point	\$56,470,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Cherry Point MCAS	BCO	\$42,500,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Cherry Point MCAS	Mariners Bay Land Acquisition—Bogue	\$3,790,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Cherry Point MCAS	Missile Magazine	\$13,420,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Cherry Point MCAS	Station Infrastructure Upgrades	\$5,800,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Tarawa Terrace I Replace Elementary School	\$16,646,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Replace McHair Elementary School	\$23,086,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Replace Murray Elementary School	\$22,000,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	SOF Admin/Company Operations	\$10,347,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	SOF CA Facility—JSOC	\$41,000,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	SOF Joint Intelligence Brigade Facility	\$32,000,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	SOF Operational Communications Facility	\$11,000,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	SOF Operations Additions	\$15,795,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	SOF Operational Support Facility	\$13,465,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: High Point	Readiness Center Add/Alt	\$1,551,000	The President	
Air NG	North Carolina: Stanly County Airport	Upgrade ASOS facilities	\$2,000,000	The President	
Air NG	North Dakota: Minot AFB	Control Tower/Base Operations Facility	\$18,770,000	The President	Pomeroy
Air Force	North Dakota: Camp Graton	Readiness Center Add/Alt	\$11,200,000	The President	Pomeroy
Defense-Wide	Ohio: Columbus	Replace Public Safety Facility	\$7,400,000	The President	
Army	Oklahoma: Fort Sill	General Purpose Storage Building	\$13,800,000	The President	
Army	Oklahoma: McAlester AFB	Igloo Storage, Depot Level	\$3,000,000	The President	
Air Force	Oklahoma: Tinker AFB	Upgrade Building 3001 Infrastructure, Ph III	\$14,000,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Pennsylvania: New Cumberland Depot	Replace Headquarters Facility	\$96,000,000	The President	
Air NG	Pennsylvania: State College ANG	Add/Alter AOS Facility	\$4,100,000	The President	Specter, Casey
Navy	Rhode Island: Newport NS	Electromagnetic Sensor Facility	\$27,007,000	The President	Specter, Casey
Army NG	Rhode Island: East Greenwich	U.S. Property and Fiscal Office	\$27,000,000	The President	Reed
Army	South Carolina: Fort Jackson	Trainee Barracks	\$28,000,000	The President	Graham
Army	South Carolina: Fort Jackson	Trainee Barracks Complex, Ph 1	\$46,000,000	The President	Graham
Army	South Carolina: Fort Jackson	Training Aide Center	\$17,000,000	The President	Graham
Navy	South Carolina: Beaufort MCAS	ACUZ Land Acquisition	\$21,190,000	The President	Graham
Navy	South Carolina: Beaufort MCAS	Aircraft Hangar—VMFA-502	\$46,550,000	The President	Graham
Navy	South Carolina: Beaufort MCAS	Physical Fitness Center	\$15,430,000	The President	Graham
Navy	South Carolina: Beaufort MCAS	Training and Simulator Facility	\$46,240,000	The President	Graham
Air Force	South Carolina: Charleston AFB	Civil Engineer Complex—Ph 1	\$15,000,000	The President	Graham
Army NG	South Dakota: Watertown	Readiness Center	\$25,000,000	The President	Johnson
Air NG	Tennessee: Nashville AP	Renovate Intel Squadron Facilities	\$5,500,000	The President	
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun Range	\$6,700,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Company Operations Facilities	\$18,500,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Digital Multipurpose Training Range	\$22,000,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Heavy Sniper Range	\$3,500,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Indoor Swimming Pool	\$15,500,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Light Demolition Range	\$2,100,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Live Fire Exercise Shoothouse	\$3,150,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Scout/RECCE Gunnery Complex	\$15,500,000	The President	Hutchison

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
(Presidentially Directed Spending Items)

Account	Location	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Squad Defense Range	\$3,000,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	THAAD Battery Complex	\$17,500,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Transient Training Complex	\$31,000,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Urban Assault Course	\$2,800,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Vehicle Bridge Overpass	\$8,700,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Hood	Battalion Complex	\$40,000,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Hood	Brigade Complex	\$38,000,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Hood	Company Operations Facilities	\$4,300,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Hood	Convoy Live Fire	\$3,200,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Hood	Live Fire Exercise Shoothouse	\$2,100,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Hood	UAS Hangar	\$55,000,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Hood	Urban Assault Course	\$2,450,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Texas: Fort Sam Houston	Simulations Center	\$16,000,000	The President	Rodriguez
Army	Texas: Fort Sam Houston	Training Aids Center	\$6,200,000	The President	Rodriguez
Air Force	Texas: Dyess AFB	C-130J Add/Alt Flight Simulator Facility	\$4,080,000	The President	Hutchison
Air Force	Texas: Lackland AFB	BMT Satellite Classroom/Dining Facility No. 2	\$32,000,000	The President	Gonzalez, Rodriguez
Air Force	Texas: Lackland AFB	One Company Fire Station	\$5,500,000	The President	Gonzalez, Rodriguez
Air Force	Texas: Lackland AFB	Recruit Dormitory, Ph 3	\$67,980,000	The President	Gonzalez, Rodriguez
Air Force	Texas: Lackland AFB	Recruit/Family Improving & Info Center	\$21,800,000	The President	Gonzalez, Rodriguez
Defense-Wide	Texas: Fort Bliss	Hospital Replacement, Incr 2	\$147,100,000	The President	Hutchison
Defense-Wide	Texas: Lackland AFB	Ambulatory Care Center, Ph 2	\$132,500,000	The President	Hutchison
Army NG	Texas: Camp Maxey	Combat Pistol/Military Pistol Qualification Course	\$2,500,000	The President	Hutchison
Army NG	Texas: Camp Swift	Urban Assault Course	\$2,600,000	The President	Hutchison
Air NG	Texas: Ellington Field	Upgrade UAV Maintenance Hangar	\$7,000,000	The President	Hutchison
Army Reserve	Texas: Denton	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$12,600,000	The President	Hutchison
Army Reserve	Texas: Rio Grande	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$6,100,000	The President	Hutchison
Army Reserve	Texas: San Marcos	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$8,500,000	The President	Hutchison
Air Force	Utah: Hill AFB	F-22 1-10 Engine Test Cell	\$2,800,000	The President	Hutchison
Air Force	Utah: Hill AFB	F-35 ADAL Building 118 for Flight Simulator	\$3,600,000	The President	Hutchison
Air Force	Utah: Hill AFB	F-35 ADAL Hangar 45W/AMU	\$6,500,000	The President	Hutchison
Air Force	Utah: Hill AFB	F-35A Modular Storage Magazines	\$2,000,000	The President	Hutchison
Defense-Wide	Utah: Camp Williams	Data Center, Incr 2	\$398,358,000	The President	Hutchison
Army	Virginia: Fort A.P. Hill	1200 Meter Range	\$14,500,000	The President	Webb, Warner
Army	Virginia: Fort A.P. Hill	Indoor Firing Range	\$6,200,000	The President	Webb, Warner
Army	Virginia: Fort A.P. Hill	Known Distance Range	\$3,800,000	The President	Webb, Warner
Army	Virginia: Fort A.P. Hill	Light Demolition Range	\$4,100,000	The President	Webb, Warner
Army	Virginia: Fort A.P. Hill	MOU Collective Training Facility	\$65,000,000	The President	Webb, Warner
Army	Virginia: Fort Eustis	Warrior in Transition Complex	\$18,000,000	The President	Webb, Warner
Army	Virginia: Fort Lee	Automated Qualification Training Range	\$7,700,000	The President	Webb, Warner
Army	Virginia: Fort Lee	Company Operations Facilities	\$4,900,000	The President	Webb, Warner

Army	Virginia: Fort Lee	Training Aids Center	\$5,800,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Navy	Virginia: Norfolk	Pier 1 Upgrades to Berth USNS Comfort	\$10,035,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Navy	Virginia: Norfolk	Piers 9 and 10 Upgrades for DDG 1000	\$2,400,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Navy	Virginia: Portsmouth	Ship Repair Pier Replacement, Incr 2	\$100,000,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Navy	Virginia: Quantico MCB	Academic Facility Addition—SNCOA	\$12,080,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Navy	Virginia: Quantico MCB	BEQ—WTBN	\$37,810,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Navy	Virginia: Quantico MCB	Research Center Addition—MCU	\$37,920,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Navy	Virginia: Quantico MCB	Student Officer Quarters—TBS	\$55,822,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Air Force	Virginia: Langley AFB	F-22 Add/Alter Hanger Bay LORC Facility	\$8,800,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Defense-Wide	Virginia: Craney Island	Replace Fuel Pier	\$58,000,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Defense-Wide	Virginia: Fort Belvoir	Dental Clinic Replacement	\$6,300,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Defense-Wide	Virginia: Pentagon Reservation	Pentagon Metro & Corridor 8 Screening Facility	\$6,473,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Defense-Wide	Virginia: Pentagon Reservation	Power Plant Modernization Ph 3	\$51,928,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Defense-Wide	Virginia: Pentagon Reservation	Secure Access Lane—Remote Vehicle Screening	\$4,923,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Defense-Wide	Virginia: Quantico MCB	New Consolidated Elementary School	\$47,355,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Army Reserve	Virginia: Fort A.P. Hill	Army Reserve Center	\$15,500,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Army Reserve	Virginia: Resolute	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$14,800,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Army Reserve	Virginia: Fort Story	Army Reserve Center	\$11,000,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Navy Reserve	Virginia: Fort Story	Navy Ordnance Cargo Logistics Training Comp.	\$21,346,000	The President	Webb; Warner
Army	Washington: Fort Lewis	Barracks	\$47,000,000	The President	Murray
Army	Washington: Fort Lewis	Barracks Complex	\$40,000,000	The President	Murray
Army	Washington: Fort Lewis	Rappelling Training Area	\$5,300,000	The President	Murray
Army	Washington: Fort Lewis	Regional Logistic Support Complex Warehouse	\$16,500,000	The President	Murray
Army	Washington: Fort Lewis	Regional Logistic Support Complex	\$63,000,000	The President	Murray
Army	Washington: Fort Lewis	Sniper Field Fire Range	\$3,750,000	The President	Murray
Navy	Washington: Yakima	CSDS-5 Laboratory Expansion Ph 1	\$16,170,000	The President	Murray
Navy	Washington: Bangor	Limited Area Emergency Power	\$15,810,000	The President	Murray
Navy	Washington: Bangor	Waterfront Restricted Area Emergency Power	\$24,913,000	The President	Murray
Navy	Washington: Bremerton	Limited Area Production/Staging Complex, Incr	\$19,116,000	The President	Murray
Defense-Wide	Washington: Fort Lewis	Preventive Medicine Facility	\$8,400,000	The President	Murray
Army NG	Washington: Tacoma	Combined Support Maintenance Shop	\$25,000,000	The President	Murray
Navy Reserve	Washington: Yakima	Marine Corps Reserve Center	\$13,844,000	The President	Murray
Army NG	West Virginia: Moorefield	Readiness Center	\$14,200,000	The President	
Army NG	West Virginia: Morgantown	Readiness Center	\$21,000,000	The President	
Army NG	Wisconsin: Madison	Aircraft Parking	\$5,700,000	The President	
Army Reserve	Wisconsin: Fort McCoy	AT/MSB Bldg Complex Ph I	\$9,800,000	The President	
Army Reserve	Wisconsin: Fort McCoy	NEO Academy Ph II	\$10,000,000	The President	
Air Force	Wyoming: Camp Quincey	Nuclear/Space Security Tactics Training Center	\$4,650,000	The President	
Army NG	Wyoming: Laramie	Field Maintenance Shop	\$14,400,000	The President	
Navy	Bahrain: Bahrain NSA	Waterfront Development, Ph 3	\$63,871,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Belgium: Brussels	NAIO Headquarters Facility	\$31,863,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Belgium: Brussels	Replace SHAPE Middle School/High School	\$67,311,000	The President	
Army	Germany: Ansbach	Physical Fitness Center	\$13,800,000	The President	
Army	Germany: Ansbach	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	\$18,000,000	The President	

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
 [Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Account	Location	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Army	Germany: Grafenwehr	Barracks	\$17,500,000	The President	
Army	Germany: Grafenwehr	Barracks	\$19,000,000	The President	
Army	Germany: Grafenwehr	Barracks	\$20,000,000	The President	
Army	Germany: Grafenwehr	Barracks	\$19,000,000	The President	
Army	Germany: Rhine Ordnance Barracks	Barracks Complex	\$35,000,000	The President	
Army	Germany: Sembach	Confinement Facility	\$9,100,000	The President	
Army	Germany: Wiesbaden AB	Command and Battle Center, Incr 2	\$59,500,000	The President	
Army	Germany: Wiesbaden AB	New Access Control Point	\$5,100,000	The President	
Army	Germany: Wiesbaden AB	Information Processing Center	\$30,400,000	The President	
Army	Germany: Wiesbaden AB	Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility Inc 1	\$45,500,000	The President	
Air Force	Germany: Kapaun	Dormitory (128 Room)	\$19,600,000	The President	
Air Force	Germany: Ramstein AB	C-130J Flight Simulator Facility	\$8,800,000	The President	
Air Force	Germany: Ramstein AB	Deicing Fluid Storage & Dispensing Facility	\$2,754,000	The President	
Air Force	Germany: Ramstein AB	UAS SATCOM Relay Pods & Facility	\$10,800,000	The President	
Air Force	Germany: Vilsack	ASOS Complex	\$12,900,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Germany: Katterbach	Health/Dental Clinic Replacement	\$37,100,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Germany: Panzer Kaserne	Replace Boeblingen High School	\$48,988,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Germany: Vilsack	Health Clinic Add/Alt	\$34,800,000	The President	
FH Army	Germany: Baumholder	Family Housing Replacement (64 Units)	\$34,329,000	The President	
Navy	Guam: Guam NB	Apra Harbor Wharves Improvements, Ph 1, Incr 2	\$40,000,000	The President	Bordallo
Navy	Guam: Guam NB	Defense Access Road Improvements	\$66,730,000	The President	Bordallo
Air Force	Guam: Andersen AFB	Guam Strike Ops Group & Tanker Task Force Ren.	\$9,100,000	The President	Bordallo
Air Force	Guam: Andersen AFB	Guam Strike South Ramp Utilities, Ph 1	\$12,200,000	The President	Bordallo
Air Force	Guam: Andersen AFB	PRTC—Combat Communications Operations Facility	\$9,200,000	The President	Bordallo
Air Force	Guam: Andersen AFB	PRTC—Red Horse Headquarters/Engineering Facility	\$8,000,000	The President	Bordallo
Air Force	Guam: Andersen AFB	PRTC—Commando Warrior Open Bay Student Barracks	\$11,800,000	The President	Bordallo
Army NG	Guam: Barrigada	Combined Support Maintenance Shop, Ph 1	\$19,000,000	The President	Bordallo
FH Navy	Guantanamo Bay: Guantanamo NS	Replace GIMO Housing	\$37,169,000	The President	
Army	Honduras: Soto Cano AB	Barracks	\$20,400,000	The President	
Army	Italy: Vicenza	Brigade Complex—Barracks/Community, Incr 4	\$26,000,000	The President	
Army	Italy: Vicenza	Brigade Complex—Operations Support Facility, Incr 4	\$25,000,000	The President	
Air Force	Italy: Aviano AB	ASOS Facility	\$10,200,000	The President	
Air Force	Italy: Aviano AB	Dormitory (144 Room)	\$19,000,000	The President	
Navy	Japan: Atsugi IAF	MH-60R/S Trainer Facility	\$6,908,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Japan: Kadena AB	Install Fuel Filters-Separators	\$3,000,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Japan: Misawa AB	Hydrant Fuel System	\$31,000,000	The President	
Army	Korea: Camp Walker	Electrical System Upgrade & Natural Gas System	\$19,500,000	The President	
Air Force	Korea: Kunsan AB	DMT Flight Simulator Facility	\$7,500,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Korea: Camp Carroll	Health/Dental Clinic Replacement	\$19,500,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Puerto Rico: Fort Buchanan	Antilles ES&S—Replace School	\$58,708,000	The President	

Army NG	Puerto Rico: Camp Santiago	Live Fire Shoot House	\$3,100,000	The President
Army NG	Puerto Rico: Camp Santiago	Multipurpose Machine Gun Range	\$9,200,000	The President
Navy	Spain: Rota NS	Air Traffic Control Tower	\$23,190,000	The President
Air Force	United Kingdom: RAF Mildenhall	Extend Taxiway Alpha	\$15,000,000	The President
Defense-Wide	United Kingdom: RAF Alconbury	Alconbury Elementary School Replacement	\$30,308,000	The President
Defense-Wide	United Kingdom: Mewlith Hill Station	PSC Construction—Generators 10 & 11	\$2,000,000	The President
Defense-Wide	United Kingdom: RAF Mildenhall	Replace Hydrant Fuel Distribution System	\$15,900,000	The President
Army NG	Virgin Islands: St. Croix	Readiness Center (UHQ)	\$25,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Army Aviation HQ Facilities	\$19,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Barracks	\$18,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Command and Control Facility	\$13,600,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Consolidated Community Support Area	\$14,800,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Consolidated Laboratory	\$13,800,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Dining Facility	\$6,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Eastside Electrical Distribution	\$10,400,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Eastside Utilities Infrastructure	\$29,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Entry Control Point	\$7,500,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Joint Defense Operations Center	\$2,800,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	C-IED Task Force Compound	\$24,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	MP HQ	\$5,500,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Replace Temporary Guard Towers	\$5,500,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Role III Hospital	\$42,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Task Force Freedom Compound	\$18,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Troop Housing, Ph 4	\$23,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Troop Housing, Ph 5	\$29,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Troop Housing, Ph 6	\$29,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Troop Housing, Ph 7	\$29,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Troop Housing, Ph 8	\$29,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Vet Clinic and Kennel	\$2,600,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Dearam II	Entry Control Point and Access Roads	\$4,400,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Dwyer	Dining Facility	\$9,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Dwyer	Entry Control Point	\$5,100,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Dwyer	Rotary Wing Apron	\$44,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Dwyer	Wastewater Treatment Facility	\$16,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Frontenac	Waste Management Complex	\$4,200,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Frontenac	Wastewater Treatment Facility	\$4,200,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Kandahar	Command and Control Facility	\$5,200,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Kandahar	North Area Utilities, Ph 2	\$26,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Kandahar	SOF Joint Operations Center	\$9,200,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Kandahar	Troop Housing, Ph 4	\$20,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Kandahar	Troop Housing, Ph 5	\$20,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Maywand	Wastewater Treatment Facility	\$7,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Shank	Ammunition Supply Point	\$23,000,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: Shank	Electrical Utility Systems, Ph 2	\$6,400,000	The President

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
(Presidentially Directed Spending Items)

Account	Location	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Army	Afghanistan: Shank	Expand ECP 1 and ECP 2	\$16,000,000	The President	Senate
Army	Afghanistan: Shank	Guard Towers	\$5,200,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Shank	Roads and Utilities, Ph 1	\$25,000,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Shank	SOF Parking Apron	\$15,000,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Shank	Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$7,700,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Sharana	Bulk Materials Transfer Station	\$12,400,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Shindand	Waste Management Complex	\$6,100,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Tarn Kowt	Rotary Wing Parking and Taxiway, Ph 2	\$24,000,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Tarn Kowt	Wastewater Treatment Facility	\$5,600,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Tombstone/Bastion	Command and Control Headquarters	\$13,600,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Tombstone/Bastion	Dining Facility	\$27,000,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Tombstone/Bastion	Paved Roads	\$9,800,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Tombstone/Bastion	Rotary Wing Parking	\$35,000,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Tombstone/Bastion	Waste Management Complex Expansion	\$14,200,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Tombstone/Bastion	Wastewater Treatment Facility	\$13,000,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Wolverine	Wastewater Treatment Facility	\$13,000,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Various Locations	Route Gypsum, Ph 1	\$50,000,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Various Locations	Route Gypsum, Ph 2	\$50,000,000	The President	
Air Force	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Consolidated Rigging Facility	\$9,900,000	The President	
Air Force	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Fighter Hangar	\$16,480,000	The President	
Air Force	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	MEDEVAC Ramp Expansion/Fire Station	\$16,580,000	The President	
Navy	Bahrain: Bahrain NSA	NAVCENT Ammunition Magazines	\$89,280,000	The President	
Navy	Bahrain: Bahrain NSA	Operations and Support Facilities	\$60,002,000	The President	
Air Force	Bahrain: Shaikh Isa AB	North Apron Expansion	\$45,000,000	The President	
Navy	Djibouti: Camp Lemonier	General Warehouse	\$7,324,000	The President	
Navy	Djibouti: Camp Lemonier	Pave External Roads	\$3,824,000	The President	
Air Force	Oman: Al Musannah	Airlift Ramp and Fuel Facilities	\$69,000,000	The President	
Air Force	Qatar: Al Udeid AB	Blanchford-Preston Complex, Ph III	\$82,300,000	The President	
Air Force	Qatar: Al Udeid AB	Tactical Ramp/Vehicle Maintenance Facility	\$63,000,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Qatar: Al Udeid AB	NSA Warehouse	\$1,961,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Worldwide Classified	Classified Project	\$41,900,000	The President	

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Account	Location	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				House	Senate
Army NG	Alabama: Fort McClellan	Live Fire Shoot House	\$5,635,000		Shelby; Sessions
Army	Alabama: Fort Rucker	Emergency Medical Services Facility	\$1,700,000	Bright	
Air Force	Alabama: Maxwell AFB	Air Traffic Control Tower	\$810,000	Bright	Sessions
Air NG	Alabama: Montgomery RAP	Replace Squad Ops Facility	\$7,500,000		Shelby; Sessions
Air NG	Alaska: Eielson AFB	Add/Alt Communications Facility	\$6,500,000	Young (AK)	Begich; Murkowski
Air Force	Alaska: Elmendorf AFB	DOD Joint Regional Fire Training Facility	\$6,600,000		Begich; Murkowski
Army	Alaska: Fort Richardson	Physical Fitness Center	\$2,700,000	Young (AK)	Begich; Murkowski
Defense-Wide	Arizona: Marana	SOF Parachute Training Facility	\$6,250,000	Giffords	
Army NG	Arkansas: Camp Robinson	Regional Training Institute, Ph 2	\$2,334,000	Snyder	Pryor; Lincoln
Army NG	Arkansas: Fort Chaffee	Convoy Live Fire/Entry Control Point Range	\$3,500,000		Pryor; Lincoln
Air NG	Arkansas: Little Rock AFB	Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Hangar	\$10,400,000	Snyder	Pryor; Lincoln
Army NG	California: Banning RC	Photovoltaic Solar Power System	\$1,466,000		Feinstein; Boxer
Navy	California: Coronado NAB	MESG-1 Consolidated Boat Maintenance Facility	\$6,890,000	Davis (CA)	
Air Force	California: Edwards AFB	Flightline Fire Station	\$15,500,000		Feinstein; Boxer
Army NG	California: Los Alamitos AFRC	Photovoltaic Solar Power System	\$1,466,000		Feinstein; Boxer
Air Force	California: Los Angeles AFB	Parking Structure, Ph 2	\$4,500,000	Sanchez, Loretta	
Army NG	California: Mather AASF	Photovoltaic Solar Power System	\$1,466,000		Feinstein; Boxer
Air NG	California: Moffett Field	Relocate Main Gate	\$2,000,000	Eshoo	
Navy	California: Monterey NSA	International Academic Instruction Building	\$11,960,000	Farr	
Army NG	California: Sacramento	Field Maintenance Shop Paving	\$891,000	Matsui	Boxer
Air Force	California: Travis AFB	BCE Maintenance Shops and Supply Warehouse	\$387,000	Garamendi; Miller (CA); Thompson (CA)	Boxer
Army NG	California: Ventura	Renewable Photovoltaic Solar Power	\$1,466,000	Capps	Feinstein; Boxer
Air Force	Colorado: Buckley AFB	Land Acquisition	\$10,000,000		Michael Bennet; Mark Udall
Air NG	Colorado: Buckley AFB	Repair Taxiways Juliet and Lima	\$4,000,000	Perlmutter	Michael Bennet; Mark Udall
Army NG	Colorado: Watkins	Parachute Maintenance Facility	\$3,569,000	Salazar	
Navy	Connecticut: New London NSB	Submarine Group Two Headquarters	\$12,930,000	Courtney; DeLauro	Dodd; Lieberman
Air NG	Delaware: New Castle CAP	C-130 Aircraft Maintenance Shops, Ph 3	\$8,700,000		Carper; Kaufman
Air Force	Florida: MacDill AFB	Infrastructure Improvements	\$249,000	Castor	
Navy	Florida: Panama City NSA	Land Acquisition—9 Acres	\$5,960,000	Boyd	Bill Nelson
Air Force	Florida: Patrick AFB	Relocate Main Gate	\$8,000,000		Bill Nelson
Navy	Georgia: Albany MCLB	Maintenance Center Test Firing Range	\$5,180,000	Bishop (GA)	
Army	Georgia: Fort Benning	Chapel, Sand Hill	\$550,000		Chambliss; Isakson
Army	Georgia: Fort Gordon	Qualification Training Range	\$8,100,000		Chambliss; Isakson
Air Force	Georgia: Robins AFB	Combat Communications Squadron Warehouse, Ph 2	\$5,500,000	Marshall	
Navy	Hawaii: Pacific Missile Range	Pre-Fab Bridge Nohili Ditch	\$3,560,000		Inouye; Akaka
Navy	Hawaii: Pacific Missile Range	Replace North Loop Electrical Distribution System	\$9,100,000		Inouye; Akaka
Navy	Hawaii: Pearl Harbor	Fire Station, West Loch	\$9,084,000		Inouye; Akaka
Navy	Hawaii: Pearl Harbor	Welding School Shop Consolidation	\$8,500,000	Hirono	Inouye; Akaka
Army	Hawaii: Pohakuloa TA	Defense Access Road	\$1,200,000		Inouye; Akaka
Air Force	Idaho: Mountain Home AFB	Civil Engineer Complex	\$2,000,000		Crapo; Risch
Army NG	Illinois: Marseilles Training Area	Simulation Center	\$2,500,000	Halvorson	Burris
Army Reserve	Illinois: Rockford	Army Reserve Center	\$13,200,000		Durbin; Burris
Air Force	Illinois: Scott AFB	New Fitness Facility, Ph 1	\$396,000	Jackson; Costello	
Navy	Indiana: Crane NSWC	Platform Protection Engineering Complex	\$760,000	Elsworth	
Air NG	Iowa: Bud Day Field, Sioux City	Add/Alt Security Police Facility	\$1,950,000		Harkin
Army NG	Iowa: Camp Dodge	Regional Training Institute, Ph 1	\$800,000	Boswell	Harkin; Grassley
Army NG	Iowa: Camp Dodge	Combined Arms Collective Training Facility	\$5,700,000		Harkin; Grassley
Air NG	Iowa: Des Moines	Corrosion Control Hangar	\$4,750,000	Boswell	Harkin; Grassley
Army NG	Iowa: Iowa City	Simulation Center/MVSB/Helipad/Parking	\$1,999,000	Loebbeck	Harkin
Army NG	Kansas: Forbes Field	Taxiway Alterations	\$9,036,000		Brownback; Roberts
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	Infantry Squad Battle Course	\$3,000,000		McConnell
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	Shoot House	\$3,300,000		McConnell
Defense-Wide	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	Landgraf Hangar Addition, 160th SOAR	\$3,600,000		Alexander
Army	Kentucky: Fort Knox	Railhead Upgrade	\$18,000,000		McConnell

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Account	Location	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				House	Senate
Army NG	Kentucky: Frankfort	Joint Forces Headquarters, Ph 1	\$281,000	Chandler	
Air NG	Kentucky: Standiford Field	Contingency Response Group Facility	\$534,000	Yarmuth	
Army	Louisiana: Fort Polk	Emergency Services Center	\$9,200,000		Landrieu; Vitter
Air NG	Louisiana: New Orleans NAS/JRB	ASA Replace Alert Complex	\$2,000,000	Cao; Melancon	Landrieu
Navy	Maine: Portsmouth NSY	Consolidation of Structural Shops	\$17,240,000	Shea-Porter; Pingree	Shaheen; Gregg; Collins; Snowe
Army NG	Maryland: Easton	Readiness Center Add/Alt	\$347,000	Kratovil	Cardin
Army	Maryland: Fort Meade	Infrastructure—Mapes Road & Cooper Avenue	\$1,750,000	Ruppersberger; Kratovil; Sarbanes	Mikulski
Navy	Maryland: Indian Head NSWC	Advanced Energetics Research Lab Complex, Ph 2	\$12,810,000		Mikulski; Cardin
Navy	Maryland: Patuxent River NAS	Atlantic Test Range Addition	\$10,160,000	Hoyer	
Air NG	Massachusetts: Barnes ANGB	Add to Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	\$6,000,000	Oliver	Kerry
Army NG	Massachusetts: Chicopee Armory	Renovation and Alteration	\$1,554,000		Kerry
Air NG	Michigan: Alpena CRTC	Replace Troop Quarters, Ph II	\$9,600,000	Stupak	Levin; Stabenow
Army NG	Michigan: Camp Grayling	Barracks Replacement, Ph II	\$17,102,000	Stupak	Levin; Stabenow
Army NG	Michigan: Camp Grayling	Light Demolition Range	\$1,595,000		Levin; Stabenow
Army NG	Michigan: Fort Custer (Augusta)	Troop Service Support Center	\$446,000	Schauer	
Army NG	Minnesota: Bloomington	Add/Alt Armory	\$1,986,000		Franken; Klobuchar
Air NG	Minnesota: Duluth	Load Crew Training & Weapons Release Shops	\$8,000,000	Oberstar	Franken; Klobuchar
Army NG	Minnesota: Mankato	Field Maintenance Shop	\$947,000	Walz	Franken; Klobuchar
Air NG	Minnesota: Minneapolis-St.Paul IAP	Simulation Facility Expansion	\$1,900,000	Elkison	Franken; Klobuchar
Navy	Mississippi: Gulfport	Branch Health Clinic	\$11,870,000	Taylor	Cochran; Wicker
Defense-Wide	Mississippi: Gulfport	Health Clinic	\$2,700,000	Taylor	Cochran
Defense-Wide	Mississippi: Stennis Space Center	SOF Western Maneuver Area, Ph 2	\$9,000,000	Taylor	Cochran; Wicker
Defense-Wide	Mississippi: Stennis Space Center	SOF Western Maneuver Area, Ph 3	\$8,000,000	Taylor	Cochran; Wicker
Army NG	Missouri: Fort Leonard Wood	Regional Training Institute, Ph 1	\$13,800,000		Bond
Air Force	Missouri: Whiteman AFB	Consolidated Air Operations Facility	\$23,500,000	Skelton	
Air Force	Montana: Malmstrom AFB	Physical Fitness Center, Ph II	\$8,000,000		Tester; Baucus
Air Force	Nebraska: Offutt AFB	Kenney/Belleue Gates	\$11,000,000		Ben Nelson
Army NG	Nebraska: Omaha	Road Infrastructure, JFHQ	\$450,000		Ben Nelson
Army NG	Nevada: Nellis AFB	Range Modernization	\$2,000,000		Reid; Ensign
Navy	Nevada: Fallon NAS	Security Upgrades	\$1,480,000		Reid
Army NG	Nevada: Las Vegas	Civil Support Team Ready Building	\$8,771,000	Titus; Berkley	Reid
Army NG	Nevada: Las Vegas	Field Maintenance Shop	\$22,998,000	Titus; Berkley	Reid; Ensign
Air Force	Nevada: Nellis AFB	Communication Network Control Center	\$11,400,000		Reid; Ensign
Air NG	New Jersey: Atlantic City IAP	Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Hangar	\$8,500,000	Andrews; Rothman	Lautenberg; Menendez
Army Reserve	New Jersey: Fort Dix	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun Range	\$9,800,000	Adler; Andrews; Rothman	Lautenberg; Menendez
Air Force	New Mexico: Cannon AFB	Family Support Center	\$4,050,000	Lujan	Bingaman; Tom Udall
Air Force	New Mexico: Holloman AFB	Parallel Taxiway, Runway 07/25	\$8,000,000	Teague	Bingaman; Tom Udall
Air Force	New Mexico: Kirtland AFB	Military Working Dog Facility	\$4,400,000		Bingaman; Tom Udall
Air Force	New Mexico: Kirtland AFB	Replace Fire Station 3	\$6,800,000	Heinrich	
Army	New York: Fort Drum	Alert Holding Area Facility	\$6,700,000	Owens	Schumer
Army	New York: Fort Drum	Railhead Loading Area	\$7,600,000	Owens	Schumer; Gillibrand
Air Reserve	New York: Niagara Falls ARS	C-130 Flightline Operations Facility, Ph 1	\$9,500,000	Slaughter	Schumer; Gillibrand
Army NG	New York: Ronkonkoma (MacArthur Airport)	Flightline Rehabilitation	\$2,780,000	Israel	
Air NG	New York: Stewart ANGB	Aircraft Conversion Facility	\$3,750,000	Hinchey; Hall (NY)	Schumer; Gillibrand
Army NG	North Carolina: Camp Butner	Barracks (AT), Ph 1	\$1,484,000	Butterfield; Miller (NC)	
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	SOF Baffle Containment for Range 19C	\$7,200,000		Hagan; Burr
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	SOF Medical Support Addition	\$3,889,000		Hagan; Burr
Army NG	North Carolina: Morrisville	AASF 1 Fixed Wing Hangar Annex	\$8,815,000	Price (NC)	Hagan
Army NG	North Carolina: Murphy	Fire Fighting Team Support Facility	\$223,000	Shuler	
Air Force	North Carolina: Pope AFB	Crash/Fire/Rescue Station	\$13,500,000	Kissell; McIntyre	
Air Force	North Dakota: Grand Forks AFB	Central Deployment Center	\$16,500,000	Pomeroy	Dorgan; Conrad
Air NG	Ohio: Blue Ash ANGS	Renovations for Building 2	\$2,000,000		Sherrod Brown; Veinovich
Army NG	Ohio: Camp Sherman	Maintenance Building Add/Alt	\$3,100,000	Space	Sherrod Brown
Army NG	Ohio: Ravenna Training Site	Unit Training Equipment Site Add/Alt	\$2,000,000	Ryan (OH)	Sherrod Brown

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Account	Location	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				House	Senate
Air NG	Ohio: Toledo Express Airport	Replace Security Forces Complex	\$7,300,000	Kaptur	Sherrod Brown; Voinovich
Air Force	Oklahoma: Altus AFB	Fire Station	\$2,000,000		Inhofe
Air Force	Oklahoma: Tinker AFB	Air Traffic Control Tower	\$9,300,000		Inhofe
Defense-Wide	Oklahoma: Tulsa IAP	Fuels Storage Complex	\$1,036,000	Boren	Inhofe
Air NG	Oregon: Kingsley Field (Klamath Falls)	Replace Fire Station	\$7,000,000		Wyden; Merkley
Army NG	Oregon: Salem	Armed Forces Reserve Center Add/Alt (JFHQ)	\$1,243,000	Schrader	Wyden; Merkley
Air NG	Pennsylvania: Fort Indiantown Gap	Multipurpose Air National Guard Training Facility	\$675,000	Holden	
Army NG	Pennsylvania: Hermitage	Readiness Center	\$671,000	Dahlkemper	Specter; Casey
Navy	Pennsylvania: Mechanicsburg NSA (Philadelphia Annex)	North Gate Security Improvements	\$5,930,000		Specter; Casey
Navy	Pennsylvania: Mechanicsburg NSA (Philadelphia Annex)	Quiet Propulsion Load House	\$7,630,000		Specter; Casey
Army NG	Pennsylvania: Tobyhanna	Armed Forces Reserve Center	\$1,513,000	Kanjorski	Specter; Casey
Army NG	Pennsylvania: Williamsport	Field Maintenance Shop	\$1,508,000	Carney	
Army NG	Rhode Island: Middletown	Readiness Center Add/Alt	\$3,646,000	Kennedy	
Army NG	Rhode Island: Middletown	Armory Addition	\$302,000	Kennedy	
Navy	Rhode Island: Newport NS	Gate Improvements	\$4,950,000		Reed
Navy	Rhode Island: Newport NS	Submarine Payloads Integration Laboratory	\$12,170,000	Kennedy	Reed
Army NG	Rhode Island: Quonset Point	Readiness Center	\$3,729,000	Langevin	Reed
Air NG	Rhode Island: Quonset State Airport	C-130 Parking Apron	\$1,800,000	Langevin	Reed
Air NG	South Carolina: McEntire JRB	Replace Operations and Training Facility	\$9,100,000	Clyburn	Graham
Air Force	South Dakota: Ellsworth AFB	Maintenance Training Facility	\$12,400,000	Herseth Sandlin	Johnson; Thune
Air NG	South Dakota: Joe Foss Field	Aircraft Maintenance Shops	\$12,800,000	Herseth Sandlin	Johnson; Thune
Army NG	South Dakota: Sioux Falls	Firing Range & Supporting Facilities	\$151,000	Herseth Sandlin	Johnson; Thune
Air Force	Tennessee: Arnold AFB	AEDC Power Distribution Modernization	\$378,000	Davis (TN)	Alexander
Air NG	Tennessee: McGhee-Tyson ANGB	Hobbs Road Acquisition	\$6,500,000		Alexander
Army	Texas: Corpus Christi Depot	Rotor Blade Processing Facility, Ph 2	\$13,400,000	Ortiz	
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Alternative Energy Projects	\$1,166,000	Reyes	
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Rail Yard Improvements	\$2,070,000	Reyes	
Army	Texas: Fort Hood	Soldier Readiness Processing Center	\$16,500,000	Edwards (TX)	
Army Reserve	Texas: Fort Hood	Army Reserve Center	\$15,500,000		Hutchison
Navy	Texas: Kingsville NAS	Youth Center	\$2,610,000	Ortiz	
Air Force	Texas: Lackland AFB	Consolidated Security Forces Ops Center, Ph 1	\$900,000	Gonzalez; Rodriguez	Hutchison
Army NG	Texas: Laredo	Receiving, Staging, & Onward Integration Facility/ Hangar	\$475,000	Cuellar	
Air Force	Texas: Laughlin AFB	Community Event Complex	\$10,500,000	Rodriguez	Hutchison
Army NG	Texas: McLennan County	Operational Reserve Headquarters	\$5,000,000	Edwards (TX)	
Air Force	Texas: Randolph AFB	Fire Crash Rescue Station	\$13,000,000	Cuellar	Hutchison; Cornyn
Army NG	Texas: South Texas Training Center	Cantonment and Support Infrastructure	\$5,000,000	Cuellar; Edwards (TX); Ortiz	
Air Force	Utah: Hill AFB	Consolidated Transportation Facilities, Ph 1	\$7,300,000		Bob Bennett
Air NG	Vermont: Burlington IAP	Upgrade Taxiways & Replace Arm/Disarm Pads, Ph 1	\$11,000,000		Leahy; Sanders
Navy	Virginia: Dahlgren NSWC	Missile Support Facility Replacement, Ph 1	\$9,730,000		Webb; Warner
Army	Virginia: Fort Belvoir	Growth Support Infrastructure	\$3,060,000	Moran (VA); Connolly	
Air Force	Virginia: Langley AFB	Clear Zone Land Acquisition, Ph 1	\$3,000,000	Nye; Scott (VA)	
Air Force	Washington: Fairchild AFB	Precision Measurement Equipment Laboratory (PMEL) Facility	\$4,850,000		Murray
Defense-Wide	Washington: Fort Lewis	SOF Military Working Dog Kennel	\$4,700,000	Smith (WA)	Murray
Army NG	Washington: Fort Lewis	Modification of AASF	\$473,000	Smith (WA)	Murray; Cantwell
Navy	Washington: Kitsap NB	Charleston Gate ECP Improvements	\$6,150,000	Dicks	
Air Force	Washington: McChord AFB	Chapel Center	\$10,400,000		Murray
Army NG	West Virginia: Bridgeport	FWAATS Apron Expansion	\$2,000,000	Mollohan	
Army NG	West Virginia: Bridgeport	FWAATS Expansion	\$2,000,000	Mollohan	
Army NG	West Virginia: Buckhannon	Field Maintenance Shop	\$1,500,000		Rockefeller
Army NG	West Virginia: Camp Dawson	JITEC Emergency Power Generator & Supporting Facilities	\$1,999,000		Rockefeller
Army NG	West Virginia: Camp Dawson	Mail/ID Center	\$1,990,000		Rockefeller
Army NG	West Virginia: Camp Dawson	Utility Upgrade	\$1,980,000		Rockefeller
Army NG	West Virginia: Eleanor Complex	Emergency Generator & Supporting Facilities	\$2,000,000		Rockefeller
Army NG	West Virginia: Glen Jean	Emergency Power Generator	\$1,500,000	Rahall	

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Account	Location	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				House	Senate
Army NG	West Virginia: Morgantown	Parachute Rigging Facility	\$1,000,000		Rockefeller
Air NG	West Virginia: Yeager AB	Communications Training Facility	\$6,250,000		Rockefeller
Air NG	West Virginia: Yeager AB	Force Protection/Antiterrorism	\$13,000,000		Rockefeller
Air NG	Wisconsin: General Mitchell IAP	Replace Fire Station	\$8,300,000	Moore (WI)	Kohl
Army NG	Wisconsin: Wausau	Field Maintenance Shop	\$12,008,000	Obey	
Army NG	Guam: Barrigada	Joint Forces HQ Readiness Center Add/Alt	\$778,000	Bordallo	

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS
(Presidentially Directed Spending Items)

Account	Location	Project	Amount	Requester(s)		
				Administration	House	Senate
Major Projects	Louisiana: New Orleans	New Medical Facility	\$310,000,000	The President		Landrieu
Major Projects	Colorado: Denver	New Medical Facility	\$450,700,000	The President		Michael Bennet; Mark Udall
Major Projects	California: Palo Alto	Polytrauma/Ambulatory Care	\$30,000,000	The President		Feinstein
Major Projects	California: Alameda	Outpatient Clinic and Columbarium (Design)	\$17,332,000	The President		Feinstein
Major Projects	Nebraska: Omaha	Replacement Medical Facility (Design)	\$56,000,000	The President		Ben Nelson
Major Projects	Pennsylvania: Indiantown Gap	Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improvements	\$23,500,000	The President		Specter; Casey
Major Projects	California: Los Angeles	Columbarium Expansion	\$27,600,000	The President		Feinstein
Major Projects	Washington: Tahoma	Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improvements	\$25,800,000	The President		Murray

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS
(Congressionally Directed Spending Items)

Account	Location	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				House	Senate
Title V	West Virginia: Beckley	Nursing Home Care Unit	\$46,550,000		Inouye
Title V	California: Los Angeles	Building Renovation/Homeless Veterans Facility, West LA VAMC	\$35,500,000		Feinstein

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs re- quest
ALABAMA			
ARMY:			
FORT RUCKER:			
AVIATION COMPONENT MAINTENANCE SHOP	29,000	29,000
AVIATION MAINTENANCE FACILITY	36,000	36,000
TRAINING AIDS CENTER	4,650	4,650
NAVY:			
MOBILE:			
T-6 SOLO CAPABLE OUTLYING LANDING FIELD	29,082	29,082
AIR FORCE:			
MAXWELL AFB:			
ADAL AIR UNIVERSITY LIBRARY	13,400	13,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
FORT MCCLELLAN:			
LIVE FIRE SHOOT HOUSE		5,635	+ 5,635
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
MONTGOMERY REGIONAL AIRPORT ANGB:			
FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR	7,472	7,472
REPLACE SQUAD OPS FACILITY		7,500	+ 7,500
TOTAL, ALABAMA	119,604	132,739	+ 13,135
ALASKA			
ARMY:			
FORT GREELY:			
FIRE STATION	26,000	26,000
FORT RICHARDSON:			
BRIGADE COMPLEX, PH 1	67,038	67,038
MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	12,200	12,200
SIMULATIONS CENTER	34,000	34,000
FORT WAINWRIGHT:			
AVIATION TASK FORCE COMPLEX, PH 1 INCR 2	30,000		- 30,000
AVIATION TASK FORCE COMPLEX, PH 2A (HANGAR)	142,650	92,650	- 50,000
AVIATION TASK FORCE COMPLEX, PH 2B (COF)	27,000	27,000
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	3,350	3,350
AIR FORCE:			
EIELSON AFB:			
REPAIR CENTRAL HEAT PLANT & POWER PLANT BOILERS	28,000	28,000
ELMENDORF AFB:			
ADD/ALTER ASOS TRAINING FACILITY	4,749	4,749
CONSTRUCT RAILHEAD OPERATIONS FACILITY	15,000	15,000
DOD JOINT REGIONAL FIRE TRAINING FACILITY		6,600	+ 6,600
F-22 ADAL WEAPONS RELEASE SYSTEMS SHOP & AME	10,525	10,525
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE:			
ADD/ALTER COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY		6,500	+ 6,500
TOTAL, ALASKA	400,512	333,612	- 66,900
ARIZONA			
NAVY:			
YUMA:			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	63,280	63,280
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	40,600	40,600
COMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADE	63,730	63,730
INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY FACILITY	21,480	21,480
SIMULATOR FACILITY	36,060	36,060
UTILITIES INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADES	44,320	44,320
VAN PAD COMPLEX RELOCATION	15,590	15,590
AIR FORCE:			
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB:			
AMARG HANGAR	25,000	25,000
HC-130 AGE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	4,600	4,600
HC-130J AERIAL CARGO FACILITY	10,700	10,700
HC-130J PARTS STORE	8,200	8,200
LUKE AFB:			
F-35 ACADEMIC TRAINING CENTER		54,150	+ 54,150
F-35 SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY		10,260	+ 10,260
FORT HUACHUCA:			
TFI—PREDATOR LRE BEDDOWN	11,000		- 11,000
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
MARANA:			
SOF PARACHUTE TRAINING FACILITY		6,250	+ 6,250
YUMA:			
SOF MILITARY FREE FALL SIMULATOR	8,977	8,977
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
FLORENCE:			
READINESS CENTER	16,500	16,500
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
DAVIS MONTHAN AFB:			
TFI—PREDATOR FOC-ACTIVE DUTY ASSOCIATE	4,650	4,650
FORT HUACHUCA:			
TFI—PREDATOR LRE BEDDOWN		11,000	+ 11,000
TOTAL, ARIZONA	374,687	445,347	+ 70,660
ARKANSAS			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP ROBINSON:			
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP	30,000	30,000
FORT CHAFFEE:			
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	19,000	19,000
CONVOY LIVE FIRE/ENTRY CONTROL POINT RANGE		3,500	+ 3,500
LIVE FIRE SHOOT HOUSE	2,500	2,500
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE:			
FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR		10,400	+ 10,400
TOTAL, ARKANSAS	51,500	65,400	+ 13,900
CALIFORNIA			
ARMY:			
FORT IRWIN:			
WATER TREATMENT AND DISTRO SYSTEM		103,000	+ 103,000
PRESIDIO OF MONTEREY:			
ADVANCED INDIVIDUAL TRAINING BARRACKS	63,000	63,000
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	39,000	39,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs re- quest
SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	38,000	38,000	
NAVY:			
CAMP PENDLETON:			
BEQ—13 AREA	42,864	42,864	
BEQ—LAS FLORES	37,020	37,020	
CHATT/IRS—AVIATION TRAINING AND BEQ	66,110	66,110	
CONVEYANCE/WATER TREATMENT	100,700	100,700	
MALS-39 MAINTENANCE HANGAR EXPANSION	48,230	48,230	
MARINE CORPS ENERGY INITIATIVE	9,950	9,950	
NORTH REGION TERTIARY TREATMENT PLANT, INCR 2	30,000	30,000	
SMALL ARMS MAGAZINE—EDSON RANGE	3,760	3,760	
TRUCK COMPANY OPERATIONS COMPLEX	53,490	53,490	
CORONADO:			
MESG-1 CONSOLIDATED BOAT MAINTENANCE FACILITY		6,890	+ 6,890
ROTARY HANGAR	67,160	67,160	
MIRAMAR:			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	90,490	90,490	
HANGAR 4	33,620	33,620	
PARKING APRON/TAXIWAY EXPANSION	66,500	66,500	
MONTEREY:			
INTERNATIONAL ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION BUILDING		11,960	+ 11,960
SAN DIEGO:			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS, HOMEPORT ASHORE	75,342	75,342	
BERTHING PIER 12 REPLACEMENT & DREDGING, PHASE 1	108,414	108,414	
MARINE CORPS ENERGY INITIATIVE	9,950	9,950	
TWENTYNINE PALMS:			
BEQ AND PARKING STRUCTURE	53,158	53,158	
AIR FORCE:			
EDWARDS AFB:			
FLIGHTLINE FIRE STATION		15,500	+ 15,500
LOS ANGELES AFB:			
PARKING STRUCTURE, PH 2		4,500	+ 4,500
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
POINT LOMA ANNEX:			
REPLACE STORAGE FACILITY, INCR 3	20,000	20,000	
POINT MUGU:			
AIRCRAFT DIRECT FUELING STATION	3,100	3,100	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP ROBERTS:			
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	19,000	19,000	
ARMY RESERVE:			
FAIRFIELD:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER	26,000	26,000	
FORT HUNTER LIGGETT:			
ECS TACTICAL EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	22,000	22,000	
ECS WAREHOUSE	15,000	15,000	
GRENADE LAUNCHER RANGE	1,400	1,400	
HAND GRENADE FAMILIARIZATION RANGE (LIVE)	1,400	1,400	
LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE	2,700	2,700	
TACTICAL VEHICLE WASH RACK	9,500	9,500	
NAVY RESERVE:			
TWENTYNINE PALMS:			
TANK VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	5,991	5,991	
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA	1,162,849	1,304,699	+ 141,850
COLORADO			
ARMY:			
FORT CARSON:			
AUTOMATED SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE	3,650	3,650	
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS	6,700	6,700	
BRIGADE COMPLEX	56,000	56,000	
SIMULATIONS CENTER	40,000	40,000	
AIR FORCE:			
BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE:			
LAND ACQUISITION		10,000	+ 10,000
SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS FACILITY	12,160	12,160	
PETERSON AFB:			
RAIDERS SPACE CONTROL FACILITY	24,800	24,800	
U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY:			
CENTER FOR CHARACTER & LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT	27,600	27,600	
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT CARSON:			
SOF TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE HANGAR	3,717	3,717	
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE:			
PUEBLO DEPOT:			
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY, PH XII	65,569	65,569	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
COLORADO SPRINGS:			
READINESS CENTER	20,000	20,000	
FORT CARSON:			
REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE	40,000	40,000	
GYPSUM:			
HAATS/AAAF	39,000	39,000	
WATKINS:			
PARACHUTE MAINTENANCE FACILITY		3,569	+ 3,569
WINDSOR:			
READINESS CENTER	7,500	7,500	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
BUCKLEY AFB:			
REPAIR TAXIWAYS JULIET AND LIMA		4,000	+ 4,000
TOTAL, COLORADO	346,696	364,265	+ 17,569
CONNECTICUT			
NAVY:			
NSB NEW LONDON:			
SUBMARINE GROUP TWO HEADQUARTERS		12,930	+ 12,930
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
WINDSOR LOCKS:			
READINESS CENTER (AVIATION)	41,000	41,000	
TOTAL, CONNECTICUT	41,000	53,930	+ 12,930
DELAWARE			
AIR FORCE:			
DOVER AFB:			
C-5M/C-17 MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY, PH 2	3,200	3,200	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued
 (Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs re- quest
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: NEW CASTLE: ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (JFHQ)	27,000	27,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: NEW CASTLE COUNTY AIRPORT: C-130 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS, PH 3	1,500	8,700	+ 8,700
JOINT FORCES OPERATIONS CENTER—ANG SHARE	1,500	1,500
TOTAL, DELAWARE	31,700	40,400	+ 8,700
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
AIR FORCE: BOLLING AFB: JOINT AIR DEFENSE OPERATIONS CENTER	13,200	13,200
DEFENSE-WIDE: BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE: REPLACE PARKING STRUCTURE, PHASE 1	3,000	3,000
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	16,200	16,200
FLORIDA			
ARMY: EGLIN AFB: CHAPEL	6,900	6,900
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY (HOMESTEAD AFB): COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	41,000	41,000
US ARMY GARRISON MIAMI: COMMISSARY	19,000	- 19,000
NAVY: BLOUNT ISLAND MCSF: CONSOLIDATED WAREHOUSE FACILITY	17,260	17,260
CONTAINER STAGING AND LOADING LOT	5,990	5,990
CONTAINER STORAGE LOT	4,910	4,910
HARDSTAND EXTENSION	17,930	17,930
PAINT AND BLAST FACILITY	18,840	18,840
WASHRACK EXPANSION	9,690	9,690
PANAMA CITY: LAND ACQUISITION—9 ACRES	5,960	+ 5,960
TAMPA: JCSE VEHICLE PAINT FACILITY	2,300	- 2,300
AIR FORCE: EGLIN AFB: F-35 FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE HANGAR	11,400	11,400
HURLBURT FIELD: ADAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS SCHOOL FACILITY	6,170	6,170
ADD TO VISITING QUARTERS (24 RM)	4,500	4,500
BASE LOGISTICS FACILITY	24,000	24,000
PATRICK AFB: AIR FORCE TECHNICAL APPLICATIONS CENTER, INCR 1	158,009	79,009	- 79,000
RELOCATE MAIN GATE	8,000	+ 8,000
DEFENSE-WIDE: EGLIN AFB: SOF GROUND SUPPORT BATTALION DETACHMENT	6,030	6,030
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: JACKSONVILLE IAP: SECURITY FORCES TRAINING FACILITY	6,700	6,700
ARMY RESERVE: NORTH FORT MYERS: ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	13,800	13,800
ORLANDO: ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	10,200	10,200
TALLAHASSEE: ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	10,400	10,400
AIR FORCE RESERVE: PATRICK AFB: WEAPONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	3,420	3,420
TOTAL, FLORIDA	398,449	312,109	- 86,340
GEORGIA			
ARMY: FORT BENNING: LAND ACQUISITION	12,200	12,200
MUSEUM OPERATIONS SUPPORT BUILDING	32,000	- 32,000
TRAINEE BARRACKS PH 2	51,000	51,000
TRAINING BATTALION COMPLEX, PH 2	14,600	14,600
TRAINING BATTALION COMPLEX, PH 2	14,600	14,600
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	53,000	53,000
FORT GORDON: QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE	8,100	+ 8,100
TRAINING AIDS CENTER	4,150	4,150
FORT STEWART: AUTOMATED INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE	6,200	6,200
AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	9,100	9,100
AVIATION UNIT OPERATIONS COMPLEX	47,000	47,000
BATTALION COMPLEX	18,000	18,000
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	8,200	8,200
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	3,750	3,750
SIMULATIONS CENTER	26,000	26,000
TRAINING AIDS CENTER	7,000	7,000
NAVY: ALBANY MCLB: MAINTENANCE CENTER TEST FIRING RANGE	5,180	+ 5,180
KINGS BAY: SECURITY ENCLAVE & VEHICLE BARRIERS	45,004	45,004
WATERFRONT EMERGENCY POWER	15,660	15,660
AIR FORCE: ROBINS AFB: COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON WAREHOUSE, PH 2	5,500	+ 5,500
DEFENSE-WIDE: AUGUSTA: NSA/CSS GEORGIA TRAINING FACILITY	12,855	12,855
FORT BENNING: DEXTER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CONSTRUCT GYM	2,800	2,800
SOF COMPANY SUPPORT FACILITY	20,441	20,441
SOF MWD KENNEL COMPLEX	3,624	3,624
FORT STEWART: HEALTH CLINIC ADDITION/ALTERATION	35,100	35,100

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs re- quest
HUNTER ANG: FUEL UNLOAD FACILITY	2,400	2,400
HUNTER ARMY AIRFIELD: SOF TEMF EXPANSION	3,318	3,318
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CUMMING: READINESS CENTER	17,000	17,000
DOBBINS ARB: READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	10,400	10,400
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: SAVANNAH/HILTON HEAD IAP: RELOCATE ASOS FACILITY	7,450	7,450
ARMY RESERVE: MACON: ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	11,400	11,400
TOTAL, GEORGIA	494,252	481,032	- 13,220
HAWAII			
ARMY: FORT SHAFTER: COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY, PH 1	58,000	58,000
FLOOD MITIGATION	23,000	23,000
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS: BARRACKS	98,000	98,000
BARRACKS	90,000	90,000
TRAINING AIDS CENTER	24,000	24,000
TRIPLER ARMY MEDICAL CENTER: BARRACKS	28,000	28,000
NAVY: CAMP SMITH: PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	29,960	29,960
KANOEHE BAY: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	90,530	90,530
WATERFRONT OPERATIONS FACILITY	19,130	19,130
PACIFIC MISSILE RANGE FACILITY: CONSTRUCT PRE-FABRICATED BRIDGE AT MOHILI DITCH		3,560	+ 3,560
REPLACE NORTH LOOP ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		9,100	+ 9,100
PEARL HARBOR: CENTER FOR DISASTER MANAGEMENT/HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE	9,140	9,140
FIRE STATION, WEST LOCH		9,084	+ 9,084
WELDING SCHOOL SHOP CONSOLIDATION		8,500	+ 8,500
JOINT POW/MIA ACCOUNTING COMMAND	99,328	99,328
DEFENSE-WIDE: HICKAM AFB: ALTER FUEL STORAGE TANKS	8,500	8,500
PEARL HARBOR: NSWG3 COMMAND AND OPERATIONS FACILITY	28,804	28,804
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: KALAELOA: COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP	38,000	38,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: HICKAM AFB: TFI—F-22 BEDDOWN INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	5,950	5,950
TFI—F-22 HANGAR, SQUADRON OPERATIONS AND AMU	48,250	48,250
TFI—F-22 UPGRADE MUNITIONS COMPLEX	17,250	17,250
TOTAL, HAWAII	715,842	745,086	+ 30,244
IDAHO			
DEFENSE-WIDE: MOUNTAIN HOME AFB: REPLACE POL FUEL STORAGE TANKS	27,500	27,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: GOWEN FIELD: BARRACKS (ORTC) PH1	17,500	17,500
MOUNTAIN HOME: TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM FACILITY	6,300	6,300
TOTAL, IDAHO	51,300	51,300
ILLINOIS			
DEFENSE-WIDE: SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE: FIELD COMMAND FACILITY UPGRADE	1,388	1,388
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: MARSEILLES TRAINING AREA: SIMULATION CENTER		2,500	+ 2,500
SPRINGFIELD: COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP ADD/ALT	15,000	15,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: CAPITAL MAP: TFI—CNAF BEDDOWN—UPGRADE FACILITIES	16,700	16,700
ARMY RESERVE: QUINCY: ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	12,200	12,200
ROCKFORD USARC: UNITED STATES ARMY RESERVE CENTER		13,200	+ 13,200
TOTAL, ILLINOIS	45,288	60,988	+ 15,700
INDIANA			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: HULMAN REGIONAL AIRPORT: TFI—ASOS BEDDOWN—UPGRADE FACILITIES	4,100	4,100
ARMY RESERVE: MICHIGAN CITY: ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	15,500	15,500
TOTAL, INDIANA	19,600	19,600
IOWA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP DODGE: COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY		5,700	+ 5,700
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: DES MOINES: CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR		4,750	+ 4,750

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs re- quest
ARMY RESERVE: DES MOINES: ARMY RESERVE CENTER	8,175	8,175
TOTAL, IOWA	8,175	18,625	+ 10,450
KANSAS			
ARMY: FORT LEAVENWORTH: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	7,100	7,100
FORT RILEY: AUTOMATED INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE	4,100	4,100
AUTOMATED QUALIFICATION/TRAINING RANGE	14,800	14,800
BATTALION COMPLEX, PH 1	31,000	31,000
KNOWN DISTANCE RANGE	7,200	7,200
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORBES FIELD: TAXIWAY ALTERATIONS		9,036	+ 9,036
WICHITA: FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP	24,000	24,000
READINESS CENTER	43,000	43,000
TOTAL, KANSAS	131,200	140,236	+ 9,036
KENTUCKY			
ARMY: FORT CAMPBELL: AUTOMATED SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE	1,500	1,500
BRIGADE COMPLEX	67,000	67,000
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES	25,000	25,000
INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE	3,000		+ 3,000
RAPPELLING TRAINING AREA	5,600	3,000	+ 3,300
SHOOT HOUSE		3,300	
UNIT OPERATIONS FACILITIES	26,000	26,000
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	3,300	3,300
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	15,500	15,500
FORT KNOX: ACCESS CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS	6,000	6,000
MOULT COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	12,800	12,800
RAIL HEAD UPGRADE		18,000	+ 18,000
DEFENSE-WIDE: FORT CAMPBELL: LANDGRAF HANGAR ADDITION, 160TH SOAR	38,095	38,095
SOF BATTALION OPS COMPLEX		3,600	+ 3,600
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE: BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION PH XI	59,402	59,402
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: BURLINGTON: READINESS CENTER	19,500	19,500
TOTAL, KENTUCKY	279,697	307,597	+ 27,900
LOUISIANA			
ARMY: FORT POLK: BARRACKS	29,000	29,000
EMERGENCY SERVICES CENTER		9,200	+ 9,200
HEAVY SNIPER RANGE	4,250	4,250
LAND ACQUISITION	6,000	6,000
LAND ACQUISITION	24,000	24,000
AIR FORCE: BARKSDALE AFB: WEAPONS LOAD CREW TRAINING FACILITY	18,140	18,140
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT POLK: TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM FACILITY	5,500	5,500
MINDEN: READINESS CENTER	28,000	28,000
NAVY RESERVE: NEW ORLEANS: JOINT AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL FACILITY	16,281	16,281
TOTAL, LOUISIANA	131,171	140,371	+ 9,200
MAINE			
NAVY: PORTSMOUTH NSY: CONSOLIDATION OF STRUCTURAL SHOPS, PH 1		17,240	+ 17,240
TOTAL, MAINE		17,240	+ 17,240
MARYLAND			
ARMY: ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND: AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY EVALUATION FACILITY, PH 2	14,600	14,600
FORT MEADE: INDOOR FIRING RANGE	7,600	7,600
WIDEBAND SATCOM OPERATIONS CENTER	25,000	25,000
NAVY: INDIAN HEAD: AGILE CHEMICAL FACILITY, PHASE 2	34,238	34,238
ADVANCED ENERGETICS RESEARCH LAB COMPLEX, PH 2	12,810	12,810	+ 12,810
PATUXENT RIVER: ATLANTIC TEST RANGE ADDITION		10,160	+ 10,160
BROAD AREA MARITIME SURVEILLANCE T & E FAC	42,211	42,211
DEFENSE-WIDE: ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND: USAMRICD REPLACEMENT, INCR 3	105,000	105,000
ANDREWS AFB: REPLACE FUEL STORAGE & DISTRIBUTION FACILITY	14,000	14,000
BETHESDA NAVAL HOSPITAL: NNHC PARKING EXPANSION	17,100	17,100
TRANSIENT WOUNDED WARRIOR LODGING	62,900	62,900
FORT DETRICK: CONSOLIDATED LOGISTICS FACILITY	23,100	23,100
INFORMATION SERVICES FACILITY EXPANSION	4,300	4,300

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs re- quest
NIBC SECURITY FENCING AND EQUIPMENT	2,700	2,700
SUPPLEMENTAL WATER STORAGE	3,700	3,700
USAMRIID STAGE I, INCR 5	17,400	17,400
WATER TREATMENT PLANT REPAIR & SUPPLEMENT	11,900	11,900
FORT MEADE: NORTH CAMPUS UTILITY PLANT, INCR 1	219,360	165,360	— 54,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: ST INIGOS (WEBSTER FIELD): TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM FACILITY	5,500	5,500
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: MARTIN STATE AIRPORT: REPLACE OPS AND MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	11,400	11,400
TOTAL, MARYLAND	622,009	590,979	— 31,030
MASSACHUSETTS			
DEFENSE-WIDE: HANSCOM AFB: MENTAL HEALTH CLINIC ADDITION	2,900	2,900
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: HANSCOM AFB: ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (UFHQ) PH2	23,000	23,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: BARNES ANGB: ADD TO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	6,000	+ 6,000
ARMY RESERVE: DEVENS RESERVE FORCES TRAINING AREA: AUTOMATED RECORD FIRE RANGE	4,700	4,700
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS	30,600	36,600	+ 6,000
MICHIGAN			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP GRAYLING RANGE: BARRACKS REPLACEMENT, PH 2	17,102	+ 17,102
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	19,000	19,000
LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE	1,595	+ 1,595
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: ALPENA COMBAT READINESS TRAINING CENTER: REPLACE TROOP QUARTERS	9,600	+ 9,600
TOTAL, MICHIGAN	19,000	47,297	+ 28,297
MINNESOTA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: ARDEN HILLS: FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP	29,000	29,000
CAMP RIPLEY: INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE	4,300	4,300
TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM FACILITY	4,450	4,450
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: DULUTH IAP: LOAD CREW TRAINING & WEAPONS RELEASE SHOPS	8,000	+ 8,000
TOTAL, MINNESOTA	37,750	45,750	+ 8,000
MISSISSIPPI			
NAVY: NCBC GULFPORT: BRANCH HEALTH CLINIC	11,870	+ 11,870
DEFENSE-WIDE: STENNIS SPACE CENTER: SOF WESTERN MANEUVER AREA, PH 2	9,000	+ 9,000
SOF WESTERN MANEUVER AREA, PH 3	8,000	+ 8,000
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI	28,870	+ 28,870
MISSOURI			
ARMY: FORT LEONARD WOOD: BARRACKS	29,000	29,000
BRIGADE HEADQUARTERS	12,200	12,200
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	7,000	7,000
INFORMATION SYSTEMS FACILITY	15,500	15,500
TRAINING BARRACKS	19,000	19,000
TRANSIENT ADVANCED TRAINEE BARRACKS, PH 2	29,000	29,000
AIR FORCE: WHITEMAN AFB: CONSOLIDATED AIR OPERATIONS FACILITY	23,500	+ 23,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT LEONARD WOOD: REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE, PH 1	13,800	+ 13,800
ARMY RESERVE: BELTON: ARMY RESERVE CENTER	11,800	11,800
TOTAL, MISSOURI	123,500	160,800	+ 37,300
MONTANA			
AIR FORCE: MALMSTROM AFB: PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER, PH 2	8,000	+ 8,000
TOTAL, MONTANA	8,000	+ 8,000
NEBRASKA			
AIR FORCE: OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE: KENNEY/BELLEVUE GATES	11,000	+ 11,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: LINCOLN: READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	3,300	3,300
MEAD: READINESS CENTER	11,400	11,400
TOTAL, NEBRASKA	14,700	25,700	+ 11,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs re- quest
NEVADA			
AIR FORCE:			
CREECH AFB:			
UAS AIRFIELD FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION	11,710	11,710
NELLIS AFB:			
COMMUNICATION NETWORK CONTROL CTR		11,400	+ 11,400
F-35 ADAL 422 TEST EVALUATION SQUADRON FACILITY	7,870	7,870
F-35 ADAL FLIGHT TEST INSTRUMENTATION FACILITY	1,900	1,900
F-35 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	13,110	13,110
F-35 MAINTENANCE HANGAR/AMU	28,760	28,760
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
LAS VEGAS:			
CIVIL SUPPORT TEAM READY BUILDING		8,771	+ 8,771
FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP		22,998	+ 22,998
TOTAL, NEVADA	63,350	106,519	+ 43,169
NEW HAMPSHIRE			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
PEMBROKE:			
BARRACKS FACILITY (REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE)	15,000	15,000
CLASSROOM FACILITY (REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE)	21,000	21,000
TOTAL, NEW HAMPSHIRE	36,000	36,000
NEW JERSEY			
AIR FORCE:			
MCGUIRE AFB:			
BASE OPS/COMMAND POST FACILITY (TFI)	8,000	8,000
DORMITORY (120 RM)	18,440	18,440
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
ATLANTIC CITY IAP:			
FUEL CELL & CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR		8,500	+ 8,500
ARMY RESERVE:			
FORT DIX:			
AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE		9,800	+ 9,800
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY	26,440	44,740	+ 18,300
NEW MEXICO			
ARMY:			
WHITE SANDS:			
BARRACKS	29,000	29,000
AIR FORCE:			
CANNON AFB:			
DORMITORY (96 RM)	14,000	14,000
FAMILY SUPPORT CENTER		4,050	+ 4,050
UAS SQUADRON OPS FACILITY	20,000	20,000
HOLLOMAN AFB:			
PARALLEL TAXIWAY, RUNWAY 07/25		8,000	+ 8,000
UAS ADD/ALT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	15,470	15,470
UAS MAINTENANCE HANGAR	22,500	22,500
KIRTLAND AFB:			
AERIAL DELIVERY FACILITY ADDITION	3,800	3,800
ARMAMENT SHOP	6,460	6,460
H/MC-130 FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE FACILITY	14,142	14,142
MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY	4,400	4,400
REPLACE FIRE STATION 3		6,800	+ 6,800
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CANNON AFB:			
SOF ADD/ALT SIMULATOR FACILITY FOR MC-130	13,287	13,287
SOF AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (MC-130J)	12,636	12,636
SOF C-130 PARKING APRON PH I	26,006	26,006
SOF HANGAR/AMU (MC-130J)	24,622	24,622
SOF OPERATIONS AND TRAINING COMPLEX	39,674	39,674
WHITE SANDS:			
HEALTH AND DENTAL CLINICS	22,900	22,900
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
FARMINGTON:			
READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	8,500	8,500
ARMY RESERVE:			
LAS CRUCES:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	11,400	11,400
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO	284,397	307,647	+ 23,250
NEW YORK			
ARMY:			
FORT DRUM:			
AIRCRAFT FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	14,600	14,600
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	16,500	16,500
ALERT HOLDING AREA FACILITY		6,700	+ 6,700
BATTALION COMPLEX	61,000	61,000
BRIGADE COMPLEX PH 1	55,000	55,000
CONSTRUCT RAILHEAD LOADING AREA		7,600	+ 7,600
INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE	8,200	8,200
TRAINING AIDS CENTER	18,500	18,500
TRANSIENT TRAINING BARRACKS	55,000	55,000
U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY:			
SCIENCE FACILITY, PH 2	130,624	130,624
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	1,700	1,700
AIR FORCE:			
FORT DRUM:			
20TH AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON COMPLEX	20,440	20,440
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY:			
WEST POINT MS ADD/ALT	27,960	27,960
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
RONKONKOMA (MACARTHUR AIRPORT):			
FLIGHTLINE REHABILITATION		2,780	+ 2,780
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
FORT DRUM:			
TFI—REAPER INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	2,500	2,500
STEWART IAP:			
AIRCRAFT CONVERSION FACILITY		3,750	+ 3,750
BASE DEFENSE GROUP BEDDOWN	14,250	14,250
ARMY RESERVE:			
BINGHAMTON:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	13,400	13,400

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs re- quest
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
NIAGARA FALLS ARS:			
C-130 FLIGHTLINE OPERATIONS FACILITY, PH 1	7,492	9,500	+ 9,500
TOTAL, NEW YORK	439,674	470,004	+ 30,330
NORTH CAROLINA			
ARMY:			
FORT BRAGG:			
BATTALION COMPLEX	33,000	33,000	
BRIGADE COMPLEX	50,000	50,000	
BRIGADE COMPLEX	25,000	25,000	
BRIGADE COMPLEX	41,000	41,000	
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	53,000	53,000	
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES	12,600	12,600	
DINING FACILITY	11,200	11,200	
MURCHISON ROAD RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION	17,000	17,000	
STAGING AREA COMPLEX	14,600	14,600	
STUDENT BARRACKS	18,000	18,000	
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	28,000	28,000	
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	7,500	7,500	
NAVY:			
CAMP LEJEUNE:			
2ND INTEL BN MAINTENANCE/OPS COMPLEX	90,270	90,270	
ARMORY—II MEF—WALLACE CREEK	12,280	12,280	
BEQ—CAMP JOHNSON	46,550	46,550	
BEQ—COURTHOUSE BAY	42,330	42,330	
BEQ—COURTHOUSE BAY	40,780	40,780	
BEQ—FRENCH CREEK	43,640	43,640	
BEQ—RIFLE RANGE	55,350	55,350	
BEQ—WALLACE CREEK	51,660	51,660	
BEQ—WALLACE CREEK NORTH	46,290	46,290	
EOD ADDITION—2ND MARINE LOGISTICS GROUP	7,420	7,420	
HANGAR	73,010	73,010	
MAINTENANCE HANGAR (HMLA)	74,260	74,260	
MAINTENANCE/OPS COMPLEX—2ND ANGLO	36,100	36,100	
MARINE CORPS ENERGY INITIATIVE	9,950	9,950	
MESS HALL—FRENCH CREEK	25,960	25,960	
MESS HALL ADDITION—COURTHOUSE BAY	2,553	2,553	
MOTOR TRANSPORTATION/COMM MAINT FACILITY	18,470	18,470	
UTILITY EXPANSION—FRENCH CREEK	56,050	56,050	
UTILITY EXPANSION—HADNOT POINT	56,470	56,470	
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION:			
BEQ	42,500	42,500	
MARINERS BAY LAND ACQUISITION—BOGUE	3,790	3,790	
MISSILE MAGAZINE	13,420	13,420	
STATION INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADES	5,800	5,800	
AIR FORCE:			
POPE AFB:			
CRASH/FIRE/RESCUE STATION		13,500	+ 13,500
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CAMP LEJEUNE:			
TARAWA TERRACE I ES REPLACE SCHOOL	16,646	16,646	
FORT BRAGG:			
MCNAIR ES—REPLACE SCHOOL	23,086	23,086	
MURRAY ES REPLACE SCHOOL	22,000	22,000	
SOF ADMIN/COMPANY OPERATIONS	10,347	10,347	
SOF BAFFLE CONTAINMENT FOR RANGE 19C	7,200	7,200	+ 7,200
SOF C4 FACILITY—JSOC	41,000	41,000	
SOF JOINT INTELLIGENCE BRIGADE FACILITY	32,000	32,000	
SOF MEDICAL SUPPORT ADDITION	3,889	3,889	+ 3,889
SOF OPERATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	11,000	11,000	
SOF OPERATIONS ADDITIONS	15,795	15,795	
SOF OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY	13,465	13,465	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
HIGH POINT:			
READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	1,551	1,551	
MORRISVILLE:			
AASF 1 FIXED WING HANGAR ANNEX		8,815	+ 8,815
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
STANLY COUNTY AIRPORT:			
UPGRADE ASOS FACILITIES	2,000	2,000	
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	1,354,693	1,388,097	+ 33,404
NORTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE:			
GRAND FORKS AFB:			
CENTRAL DEPLOYMENT CENTER		16,500	+ 16,500
MINOT AFB:			
CONTROL TOWER/BASE OPERATIONS FACILITY	18,770	18,770	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP GRAFTON:			
READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	11,200	11,200	
TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA	29,970	46,470	+ 16,500
OHIO			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
COLUMBUS:			
REPLACE PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY	7,400	7,400	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP SHERMAN:			
MAINTENANCE BUILDING ADD/ALT		3,100	+ 3,100
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
TOLEDO EXPRESS AIRPORT:			
REPLACE SECURITY FORCES COMPLEX		7,300	+ 7,300
TOTAL, OHIO	7,400	17,800	+ 10,400
OKLAHOMA			
ARMY:			
FORT SILL:			
GENERAL PURPOSE STORAGE BUILDING	13,800	13,800	
MUSEUM OPERATIONS SUPPORT BUILDING	12,800		- 12,800
MCALISTER:			
IGLOO STORAGE, DEPOT LEVEL	3,000	3,000	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs re- quest
AIR FORCE:			
TINKER AFB:			
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER		9,300	+ 9,300
UPGRADE BUILDING 3001 INFRASTRUCTURE, PH III	14,000	14,000	
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	43,600	40,100	— 3,500
OREGON			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
KINGSLEY FIELD ANG BASE, KLAMATH FALLS:			
REPLACE FIRE STATION		7,000	+ 7,000
TOTAL, OREGON		7,000	+ 7,000
PENNSYLVANIA			
NAVY:			
NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY MECHANICSBURG (PHILADELPHIA ANNEX):			
NORTH GATE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS		5,930	+ 5,930
QUIET PROPULSION LOAD HOUSE		7,630	+ 7,630
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
NEW CUMBERLAND:			
REPLACE HEADQUARTERS FACILITY	96,000	96,000	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
STATE COLLEGE ANG:			
ADD/ALT AOS FACILITY	4,100	4,100	
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA	100,100	113,660	+ 13,560
RHODE ISLAND			
NAVY:			
NEWPORT:			
ELECTROMAGNETIC SENSOR FACILITY	27,007	27,007	
GATE IMPROVEMENTS		4,950	+ 4,950
SUBMARINE PAYLOADS INTEGRATION LABORATORY		12,170	+ 12,170
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
EAST GREENWICH:			
UNITED STATES PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICE	27,000	27,000	
MIDDLETOWN:			
READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT		3,646	+ 3,646
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
QUONSET STATE AIRPORT:			
C-130 PARKING APRON		1,800	+ 1,800
TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND	54,007	76,573	+ 22,566
SOUTH CAROLINA			
ARMY:			
FORT JACKSON:			
TRAINEE BARRACKS	28,000	28,000	
TRAINEE BARRACKS COMPLEX, PH 1	46,000	46,000	
TRAINING AIDS CENTER	17,000	17,000	
NAVY:			
BEAUFORT:			
AIGUZ LAND ACQUISITION	21,190	21,190	
AIRCRAFT HANGAR—VMFA-502	46,550	46,550	
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	15,430	15,430	
TRAINING AND SIMULATOR FACILITY	46,240	46,240	
AIR FORCE:			
CHARLESTON AFB:			
CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX (TFI)—PHASE 1	15,000	15,000	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
MCENTIRE JRB:			
REPLACE OPERATIONS & TRAINING FACILITY		9,100	+ 9,100
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA	239,410	244,510	+ 9,100
SOUTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE:			
ELLSWORTH AFB:			
MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY		12,400	+ 12,400
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
JOE FOSS FIELD:			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS		12,800	+ 12,800
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
WATERTOWN:			
READINESS CENTER	25,000	25,000	
TOTAL, SOUTH DAKOTA	25,000	50,200	+ 25,200
TENNESSEE			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
MCGHEE TYSON ANG BASE:			
HOBBS ROAD ACQUISITION		6,500	+ 6,500
NASHVILLE IAP:			
TFI—RENOVATE INTEL SQUADRON FACILITIES	5,500	5,500	
TOTAL, TENNESSEE	5,500	12,000	+ 6,500
TEXAS			
ARMY:			
CORPUS CHRISTI DEPOT:			
ROTOR BLADE PROCESSING FACILITY, PH 2		13,400	+ 13,400
FORT BLISS:			
AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	6,700	6,700	
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES	18,500	18,500	
DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE TRAINING RANGE	22,000	22,000	
HEAVY SNIPER RANGE	3,500	3,500	
INDOOR SWIMMING POOL	15,500	15,500	
LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE	2,100	2,100	
LIVE FIRE EXERCISE SHOOTHOUSE	3,150	3,150	
SCOUT/RECCE GUNNERY COMPLEX	15,500	15,500	
SQUAD DEFENSE RANGE	3,000	3,000	
THAAD BATTERY COMPLEX	17,500	17,500	
TRANSIENT TRAINING COMPLEX	31,000	31,000	
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	2,800	2,800	
VEHICLE BRIDGE OVERPASS	8,700	8,700	
FORT HOOD:			
BATTALION COMPLEX	40,000	40,000	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs re- quest
BRIGADE COMPLEX	38,000	38,000
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES	4,300	4,300
CONVOY LIVE FIRE	3,200	3,200
LIVE FIRE EXERCISE SHOOTHOUSE	2,100	2,100
SOLDIER READINESS PROCESSING CENTER	16,500	16,500
UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEM (UAS) HANGAR	55,000	55,000	+ 16,500
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	2,450	2,450
FORT SAM HOUSTON:			
SIMULATIONS CENTER	16,000	16,000
TRAINING AIDS CENTER	6,200	6,200
NAVY:			
KINGSVILLE NAS:			
YOUTH CENTER		2,610	+ 2,610
AIR FORCE:			
DYESS AFB:			
C-130J ADD/ALT FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	4,080	4,080
ELLINGTON FIELD:			
TFI—UPGRADE UAV MAINTENANCE HANGAR	7,000		- 7,000
LACKLAND AFB:			
BMT SATELLITE CLASSROOM/DINING FACILITY NO 2	32,000	32,000
ONE-COMPANY FIRE STATION	5,500	5,500
RECRUIT DORMITORY, PHASE 3	67,980	67,980
RECRUIT/FAMILY INPROCESSING & INFO CENTER	21,800	21,800
LAUGHLIN AFB:			
COMMUNITY EVENT COMPLEX		10,500	+ 10,500
RANDOLPH AFB:			
FIRE CRASH RESCUE STATION		13,000	+ 13,000
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT BLISS:			
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT INCR 2	147,100	147,100
LACKLAND AFB:			
AMBULATORY CARE CENTER PHASE 2 INC 1	162,500	132,500	- 30,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP MAXEY:			
COMBAT PISTOL/MILITARY PISTOL QUALIFICATION C	2,500	2,500
CAMP SWIFT:			
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	2,600	2,600
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
ELLINGTON FIELD:			
TFI—UPGRADE UAV MAINTENANCE HANGAR		7,000	+ 7,000
ARMY RESERVE:			
DENTON:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	12,600	12,600
FORT HOOD:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER		15,500	+ 15,500
RIO GRANDE:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	6,100	6,100
SAN MARCOS:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	8,500	8,500
TOTAL, TEXAS	797,460	838,970	+ 41,510
UTAH			
AIR FORCE:			
HILL AFB:			
CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES, PH 1		7,300	+ 7,300
F-22 T-10 ENGINE TEST CELL	2,800	2,800
F-35 ADAL BLDG 118 FOR FLIGHT SIMULATOR		3,600	+ 3,600
F-35 ADAL HANGAR 45W/AMU		6,500	+ 6,500
F-35A MODULAR STORAGE MAGAZINE		2,000	+ 2,000
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CAMP WILLIAMS:			
CNCI DATA CENTER INCREMENT 2	398,358	398,358
TOTAL, UTAH	401,158	420,558	+ 19,400
VERMONT			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
BURLINGTON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT:			
UPGRADE TAXIWAYS AND REPLACE ARM/DISARM PADS, PH 1		11,000	+ 11,000
TOTAL, VERMONT		11,000	+ 11,000
VIRGINIA			
ARMY:			
FORT A.P. HILL:			
1200 METER RANGE	14,500	14,500
INDOOR FIRING RANGE	6,200	6,200
KNOWN DISTANCE RANGE	3,800	3,800
LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE	4,100	4,100
MOUT COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	65,000	65,000
FORT EUSTIS:			
WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	18,000	18,000
FORT LEE:			
AUTOMATED QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE	7,700	7,700
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY	4,900	4,900
MUSEUM OPERATIONS SUPPORT BUILDING	30,000		- 30,000
TRAINING AIDS CENTER	5,800	5,800
NAVY:			
DAHLGREN NSCW:			
MISSILE SUPPORT FACILITY REPLACEMENT, PH 1		9,730	+ 9,730
NORFOLK:			
PIER 1 UPGRADES TO BERTH USNS COMFORT	10,035	10,035
PIERS 9 AND 10 UPGRADES FOR DDG 1000	2,400	
PORTSMOUTH:			
SHIP REPAIR PIER REPLACEMENT, INCR 2	100,000	100,000
QUANTICO:			
ACADEMIC FACILITY ADDITION—SNCOA	12,080	12,080
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—WTBN	37,810	37,810
RESEARCH CENTER ADDITION—MCU	37,920	37,920
STUDENT OFFICER QUARTERS—TBS	55,822	55,822
AIR FORCE:			
LANGLEY AFB:			
F-22 ADD/ALT HANGAR BAY LO/CR FACILITY—TFI	8,800	8,800
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CRANEY ISLAND:			
REPLACE FUEL PIER	58,000	58,000
FORT BELVOIR:			
DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	6,300	6,300

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs re- quest
PENTAGON:			
PENTAGON METRO & CORRIDOR 8 SCREENING FACILITY	6,473	6,473
POWER PLANT MODERNIZATION PHASE 3	51,928	51,928
SECURE ACCESS LANE-REMOTE VEHICLE SCREENING	4,923	4,923
QUANTICO:			
NEW CONSOLIDATED ELEMETARY SCHOOL	47,355	47,355
ARMY RESERVE:			
FORT A.P. HILL:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER	15,500	15,500
ROANOKE:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	14,800	14,800
FORT STORY:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER	11,000	11,000
NAVY RESERVE:			
WILLIAMSBURG:			
NAVY ORDNANCE CARGO LOGISTICS TRAINING COMPLEX	21,346	21,346
TOTAL, VIRGINIA	662,492	642,222	- 20,270
WASHINGTON			
ARMY:			
FORT LEWIS:			
BARRACKS	47,000	47,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX	40,000	40,000
RAPPELLING TRAINING AREA	5,300	5,300
REGIONAL LOGISTIC SUPPORT COMPLEX WAREHOUSE	16,500	16,500
REGIONAL LOGISTIC SUPPORT COMPLEX	63,000	63,000
YAKIMA:			
SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE	3,750	3,750
NAVY:			
BANGOR:			
CSDS-S LABORATORY EXPANSION PHASE 1	16,170	16,170
LIMITED AREA EMERGENCY POWER	15,810	15,810
WATERFRONT RESTRICTED AREA EMERGENCY POWER	24,913	24,913
BREMERTON:			
LIMITED AREA PRODUCT/STRG CMPLX, INCR 7	19,116	19,116
KITSAP:			
CHARLESTON GATE ECP IMPROVEMENTS		6,150	+ 6,150
AIR FORCE:			
FAIRCHILD AFB:			
PRECISION MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT LABORATORY FAC		4,850	+ 4,850
MCCHORD AFB:			
CHAPEL CENTER		10,400	+ 10,400
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT LEWIS:			
PREVENTIVE MEDICINE FACILITY	8,400	8,400
SOF MILITARY WORKING DOG KENNEL		4,700	+ 4,700
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
TACOMA:			
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP	25,000	25,000
NAVY RESERVE:			
YAKIMA:			
MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER	13,844	13,844
TOTAL, WASHINGTON	298,803	324,903	+ 26,100
WEST VIRGINIA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
MOOREFIELD:			
READINESS CENTER	14,200	14,200
MORGANTOWN:			
READINESS CENTER	21,000	21,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
YEAGER AIRBASE:			
COMMUNICATIONS TRAINING FACILITY		6,250	+ 6,250
FORCE PROTECTION / ANTITERRORISM		13,000	+ 13,000
TOTAL, WEST VIRGINIA	35,200	54,450	+ 19,250
WISCONSIN			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
MADISON:			
AIRCRAFT PARKING	5,700	5,700
WAUSAU:			
FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP		12,008	+ 12,008
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
GENERAL MITCHELL IAP:			
REPLACE FIRE STATION		8,300	+ 8,300
ARMY RESERVE:			
FORT MCCOY:			
AT/MOB BILLETING COMPLEX PHASE I	9,800	9,800
NCO ACADEMY PH II	10,000	10,000
TOTAL, WISCONSIN	25,500	45,808	+ 20,308
WYOMING			
AIR FORCE:			
CAMP GUERNSEY:			
NUCLEAR/SPACE SECURITY TACTICS TRAINING CENTER	4,650	4,650
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
LARAMIE:			
FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP	14,400	14,400
TOTAL, WYOMING	19,050	19,050
AFGHANISTAN			
ARMY:			
BAGRAM AIR BASE:			
ARMY AVIATION HQ FACILITIES	19,000	- 19,000
BARRACKS	18,000	- 18,000
CONSOLIDATED COMMUNITY SUPPORT AREA	14,800	- 14,800
EASTSIDE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION	10,400	- 10,400
EASTSIDE UTILITIES INFRASTRUCTURE	29,000	- 29,000
ENTRY CONTROL POINT	7,500	- 7,500
JOINT DEFENSE OPERATIONS CENTER	2,800	- 2,800
AIR FORCE:			
BAGRAM AIR BASE:			
CONSOLIDATED RIGGING FACILITY	9,900	- 9,900

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs re- quest
FIGHTER HANGAR	16,480	— 16,480
MEDEVAC RAMP EXPANSION/FIRE STATION	16,580	— 16,580
TOTAL, AFGHANISTAN	144,460	— 144,460
BAHRAIN ISLAND			
NAVY:			
BAHRAIN NSA:			
NAVCENT AMMUNITION MAGAZINES	89,280	— 89,280
OPERATIONS AND SUPPORT FACILITIES	60,002	— 60,002
WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, PHASE 3	63,871	63,871
AIR FORCE:			
SHAIKH ISA AB:			
NORTH APRON EXPANSION	45,000	— 45,000
TOTAL, BAHRAIN ISLAND	258,153	63,871	— 194,282
BELGIUM			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
BRUSSELS:			
NATO HEADQUARTERS FACILITY	31,863	31,863
REPLACE SHAPE MIDDLE SCHOOL/HIGH SCHOOL	67,311	67,311
TOTAL, BELGIUM	99,174	99,174
DJIBOUTI			
NAVY:			
CAMP LEMONNIER:			
CAMP LEMONNIER HQ FACILITY	12,407	— 12,407
GENERAL WAREHOUSE	7,324	— 7,324
HORN OF AFRICA JOINT OPERATIONS CENTER	28,076	— 28,076
PAVE EXTERNAL ROADS	3,824	— 3,824
TOTAL, DJIBOUTI	51,631	— 51,631
GERMANY			
ARMY:			
ANSBACH:			
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	13,800	13,800
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	18,000	18,000
GRAFENWOEHR:			
BARRACKS	17,500	17,500
BARRACKS	19,000	19,000
BARRACKS	20,000	20,000
BARRACKS	19,000	19,000
RHINE ORDNANCE BARRACKS:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX	35,000	35,000
SENBACH AB:			
CONFINEMENT FACILITY	9,100	9,100
WIESBADEN AB:			
COMMAND AND BATTLE CENTER, INCR 2	59,500	59,500
CONSTRUCT NEW ACP	5,100	5,100
INFORMATION PROCESSING CENTER	30,400	30,400
SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION FACILITY, INCR 1	91,000	45,500	— 45,500
AIR FORCE:			
KAPLUN:			
DORMITORY (128 RM)	19,600	19,600
RAMSTEIN AB:			
CONSTRUCT C-130J FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	8,800	8,800
DEICING FLUID STORAGE & DISPENSING FACILITY	2,754	2,754
UAS SATCOM RELAY PADS & FACILITY	10,800	10,800
VILSECK:			
AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON (ASOS) COMPLEX	12,900	12,900
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
KATTERBACH:			
HEALTH/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	37,100	37,100
PANZER KASERNE:			
REPLACE BOEHLINGEN HIGH SCHOOL	48,968	48,968
VILSECK:			
HEALTH CLINIC ADD/ALT	34,800	34,800
TOTAL, GERMANY	513,122	467,622	— 45,500
GUAM			
NAVY:			
GUAM:			
AAFB NORTH RAMP PARKING (PHASE 1, INCR 2)	93,588	— 93,588
AAFB NORTH RAMP UTILITIES (PHASE 1, INCR 2)	79,350	— 79,350
APRA HARBOR WHARVES IMP (PHASE 1, INCR 2)	40,000	40,000
DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	66,730	66,730
FINEGAYAN SITE PREP AND UTILITIES	147,210	— 147,210
AIR FORCE:			
ANDERSEN AFB:			
GUAM STRIKE OPS GROUP & TANKER TASK FORCE RENOVATION	9,100	9,100
GUAM STRIKE SOUTH RAMP UTILITIES, PHASE 1	12,200	12,200
PRTC—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS OPERATIONS FACILITY	9,200	9,200
PRTC—RED HORSE HEADQUARTERS/ENGINEERING FACILITY	8,000	8,000
PRTC—COMMANDO WARRIOR OPEN BAY STUDENT BARRACKS	11,800	11,800
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
AGANA NAVAL AIR STATION:			
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT, INCR 2	70,000	— 70,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
BARRIGADA:			
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINT SHOP PH1	19,000	19,000
TOTAL, GUAM	566,178	176,030	— 390,148
HONDURAS			
ARMY:			
SOTO CANO AB:			
BARRACKS	20,400	20,400
TOTAL, HONDURAS	20,400	20,400
ITALY			
ARMY:			
VICENZA:			
BDE COMPLEX—BARRACKS/COMMUNITY, INCR 4	26,000	26,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs re- quest
BDE COMPLEX—OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY, INCR 4	25,000	25,000
AIR FORCE:			
AVIANO AB:			
AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON (ASOS) FACILITY	10,200	10,200
DORMITORY (144 RM)	19,000	19,000
TOTAL, ITALY	80,200	80,200
JAPAN			
NAVY:			
ATSUGI:			
MH-60R/S TRAINER FACILITY	6,908	6,908
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
KADENA AB:			
INSTALL FUEL FILTERS-SEPARATORS	3,000	3,000
MISAWA AB:			
HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	31,000	31,000
TOTAL, JAPAN	40,908	40,908
KOREA			
ARMY:			
CAMP WALKER:			
ELECTRICAL SYSTEM UPGRADE & NATURAL GAS SYSTEM	19,500	19,500
AIR FORCE:			
KUNSAN AB:			
DMT FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	7,500	7,500
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CAMP CARROLL:			
HEALTH/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	19,500	19,500
TOTAL, KOREA	46,500	46,500
PUERTO RICO			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT BUCHANAN:			
ANTILLES ESOS—REPLACE SCHOOL	58,708	58,708
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP SANTIAGO:			
LIVE FIRE SHOOT HOUSE	3,100	3,100
MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	9,200	9,200
TOTAL, PUERTO RICO	71,008	71,008
QATAR			
AIR FORCE:			
AL UDEID:			
BLATCHFORD-PRESTON COMPLEX PH III	62,300		—62,300
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
AL UDEID:			
NSA WAREHOUSE	1,961		—1,961
TOTAL, QATAR	64,261		—64,261
SPAIN			
NAVY:			
ROTA:			
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER	23,190	23,190
TOTAL, SPAIN	23,190	23,190
UNITED KINGDOM			
AIR FORCE:			
RAF MILDENHALL:			
EXTEND TAXIWAY ALPHA	15,000	15,000
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
MENWITH HILL STATION:			
MHS PSC CONSTRUCTION—GENERATORS 10 & 11	2,000	2,000
RAF MILDENHALL:			
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	15,900	15,900
RAF ALCONBURY:			
ALCONBURY ES REPLACEMENT	30,308	30,308
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM	63,208	63,208
VIRGIN ISLANDS			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
ST CROIX:			
READINESS CENTER (JFHQ)	25,000	25,000
TOTAL, VIRGIN ISLANDS	25,000	25,000
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM	258,884	258,884
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
ARMY:			
HOST NATION SUPPORT	28,000	28,000
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	23,000	26,450	+ 3,450
PLANNING AND DESIGN	221,636	235,783	+ 14,147
TRAINING BARRACKS INITIATIVE		190,000	+ 190,000
GENERAL REDUCTION		—263,000	—263,000
NAVY:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	120,050	128,970	+ 8,920
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	20,877	22,357	+ 1,480
GENERAL REDUCTION		—34,000	—34,000
AIR FORCE:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	66,336	84,401	+ 18,065
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	18,000	21,867	+ 3,867
F-35 ACADEMIC TRAINING CENTER	54,150		—54,150
F-35 SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	10,260		—10,260
F-35 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	12,190		—12,190
GENERAL REDUCTION		—14,000	—14,000
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	10,000	10,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs re- quest
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM	120,000	243,450	+ 123,450
GENERAL REDUCTION—INCREMENTED PROJECTS			
RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 110-329)		— 23,000	— 23,000
RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 111-117)		— 125,500	— 125,500
PLANNING AND DESIGN:			
DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE	1,988	1,988	
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION	79,763	79,763	
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM		10,000	+ 10,000
NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY	28,239	28,239	
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	30,836	34,524	+ 3,688
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	230,300	233,000	+ 2,700
WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICE	6,270	6,270	
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES	54,221	55,257	+ 1,036
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN	431,617	449,041	+ 17,424
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION:			
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	5,258	5,258	
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION	13,841	13,841	
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	8,210	8,210	
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	7,663	7,663	
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	4,884	4,884	
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES	3,000	3,000	
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	42,856	42,856	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	25,663	64,836	+ 39,173
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	11,400	39,636	+ 28,236
GUARD & RESERVE INITIATIVE		60,000	+ 60,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	9,214	37,177	+ 27,963
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	8,000	15,850	+ 7,850
GUARD & RESERVE INITIATIVE		50,000	+ 50,000
ARMY RESERVE:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	25,900	27,289	+ 1,389
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	3,000	3,000	
GUARD & RESERVE INITIATIVE		30,000	+ 30,000
NAVY RESERVE:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	1,857	1,857	
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	2,238	2,238	
GUARD & RESERVE INITIATIVE (NAVY RESERVE)		15,000	+ 15,000
GUARD & RESERVE INITIATIVE (MARINE CORPS RESERVE)		15,000	+ 15,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	1,653	2,503	+ 850
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	2,759	2,759	
GUARD & RESERVE INITIATIVE		30,000	+ 30,000
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY			
ALASKA:			
FORT WAINWRIGHT:			
FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT (110 UNITS)	21,000	21,000	
GERMANY:			
BAUMHOLDER:			
FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT (64 UNITS)	34,329	34,329	
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	35,000	35,000	
PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,040	2,040	
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	92,369	92,369	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	69,655	69,655	
SERVICES ACCOUNT	15,372	15,372	
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	49,222	49,222	
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,201	1,201	
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	31,548	31,548	
LEASING	203,184	203,184	
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	120,899	120,899	
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	27,059	27,059	
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	518,140	518,140	
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS			
GUANTANAMO:			
NAVAL STATION GUANTANAMO:			
REPLACE GTMO HOUSING (71 UNITS)	37,169	37,169	
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	146,020	146,020	
PLANNING AND DESIGN	3,255	3,255	
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	186,444	186,444	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	59,919	59,919	
SERVICES ACCOUNT	16,790	16,790	
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	63,551	63,551	
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	464	464	
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	14,478	14,478	
LEASING	97,484	97,484	
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	87,134	87,134	
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	26,526	26,526	
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	366,346	366,346	
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE			
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	73,800	73,800	
PLANNING AND DESIGN	4,225	4,225	
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	78,025	78,025	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	89,245	89,245	
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	54,633	54,633	
SERVICES ACCOUNT	21,535	21,535	
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	35,399	35,399	
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,710	1,710	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs re- quest
LEASING	95,671	95,671	
MAINTENANCE	161,696	161,696	
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	53,903	53,903	
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	513,792	513,792	
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE			
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY:			
UTILITIES	10	10	
FURNISHINGS	50	50	
LEASING	10,293	10,293	
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	70	70	
DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY:			
FURNISHINGS	4,501	4,501	
LEASING	34,124	34,124	
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY:			
UTILITIES	297	297	
FURNISHINGS	18	18	
SERVICES	29	29	
MANAGEMENT	365	365	
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	707	707	
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	50,464	50,464	
DOD FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	1,096	1,096	
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	16,515	16,515	
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE			
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, 1990	360,474	450,474	+ 90,000
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, 2005	2,354,285	2,354,285	
RESCISSION		-200,000	-200,000
RECAP			
ARMY	4,078,798	3,891,395	-187,403
RESCISSION			
NAVY AND MARINE CORPS	3,875,104	3,506,557	-372,547
RESCISSION			
AIR FORCE	1,311,385	1,296,967	-14,418
RESCISSION			
DEFENSE-WIDE	3,118,062	3,145,614	+ 27,552
RESCISSION			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	873,664	1,125,628	+ 251,964
RESCISSION			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	176,986	441,549	+ 264,563
RESCISSION			
ARMY RESERVE	318,175	388,064	+ 69,889
RESCISSION			
NAVY RESERVE	61,557	91,557	+ 30,000
RESCISSION			
AIR FORCE RESERVE	7,832	48,182	+ 40,350
RESCISSION			
NATO	258,884	258,884	
RESCISSION			
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE	124,971	124,971	
RESCISSION			
DOD FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	1,096	1,096	
RESCISSION			
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	16,515	16,515	
RESCISSION			
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	610,509	610,509	
RESCISSION			
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORP	552,790	552,790	
RESCISSION			
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	591,817	591,817	
RESCISSION			
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	50,464	50,464	
RESCISSION			
BRAC	2,714,759	2,804,759	+ 90,000
RESCISSION		-200,000	-200,000
GRAND TOTAL	18,747,368	18,598,818	-148,550

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs re- quest
AFGHANISTAN			
ARMY:			
AIRBORNE:			
ROTARY WING PARKING	1,200		-1,200
BAGRAM AIR BASE:			
ARMY AVIATION HQ FACILITIES		19,000	+19,000
BARRACKS		18,000	+18,000
COMMAND & CONTROL FACILITY	13,600	13,600	
CONSOLIDATED COMMUNITY SUPPORT AREA		14,800	+14,800
CONSOLIDATED LABORATORY		13,800	+13,800
DFIP DETAINEE HOUSING	23,000		-23,000
DINING FACILITY	2,650	6,000	+3,350
EASTSIDE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION		10,400	+10,400
EASTSIDE UTILITIES INFRASTRUCTURE		29,000	+29,000
ENTRY CONTROL POINT		7,500	+7,500
JOINT DEFENSE OPERATIONS CENTER		2,800	+2,800
C-IED TASK FORCE COMPOUND		24,000	+24,000
NP HQ	2,800	5,500	+2,700
REPLACE TEMPORARY GUARD TOWERS	5,500	5,500	
ROLE III HOSPITAL	35,000	42,000	+7,000
TANKER TRUCK OFF-LOAD FACILITY	5,700		-5,700
TASK FORCE FREEDOM COMPOUND	18,000	18,000	
TROOP HOUSING, PH 4	23,000	23,000	
TROOP HOUSING, PH 5	25,000	29,000	
TROOP HOUSING, PH 6	29,000	29,000	
TROOP HOUSING, PH 7	29,000	29,000	
TROOP HOUSING, PH 8	29,000	29,000	
VET CLINIC & KENNEL	2,600	2,600	
DELARAM II:			
ENTRY CONTROL POINT AND ACCESS ROADS		4,400	+4,400
DWYER:			
COMMAND & CONTROL FACILITY	5,200		-5,200
DINING FACILITY	6,000	9,000	+3,000
ENTRY CONTROL POINT		5,100	+5,100
ROTARY WING APRON	44,000	44,000	
WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY	16,000	16,000	
FRONTENAC:			
WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	4,200	4,200	
WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY	4,200	4,200	
JALALABAD:			
ROTARY WING PARKING	1,100		-1,100
KABUL:			
C-IED TASK FORCE COMPOUND	24,000		-24,000
KANDAHAR:			
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY		5,200	+5,200
NORTH AREA UTILITIES, PH 2	21,000	26,000	+5,000
SOF JOINT OPERATIONS CENTER	6,000	9,200	+3,200
TROOP HOUSING, PH 4	20,000	20,000	
TROOP HOUSING, PH 5	20,000	20,000	
TROOP HOUSING, PH 6	20,000		-20,000
TROOP HOUSING, PH 7	20,000		-20,000
MAYWAND:			
WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY	7,000	7,000	
SHANK:			
AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	25,000	23,000	-2,000
ELECTRICAL UTILITY SYSTEMS, PH 2		6,400	+6,400
EXPAND ECP 1 AND ECP 2	16,000	16,000	
GUARD TOWERS	2,400	5,200	+2,800
ROADS AND UTILITIES, PH 1	8,000	25,000	+17,000
SOF PARKING APRON		15,000	+15,000
WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT		7,700	+7,700
SHARANA:			
BULK MATERIALS TRANSFER STATION	12,400	12,400	
SHINDAND:			
MEDICAL FACILITY	7,700		-7,700
WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX		6,100	+6,100
TARIN KOWT:			
MEDICAL FACILITY	5,500		-5,500
ROTARY WING PARKING AND TAXIWAY, PH 2	24,000	24,000	
WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY	4,200	5,600	+1,400
TOMBSTONE/BASTION:			
COMMAND AND CONTROL HEADQUARTERS		13,600	+13,600
CONTINGENCY HOUSING	41,000		-41,000
DINING FACILITY	12,800	27,000	+14,200
PAVED ROADS		9,800	+9,800
ROTARY WING PARKING	35,000	35,000	
WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX EXPANSION		14,200	+14,200
WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY	13,000	13,000	
WOLVERINE:			
ENTRY CONTROL POINT	5,100		-5,100
PERIMETER FENCE	5,100		-5,100
ROTARY WING APRON	24,000		-24,000
WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY	13,000	13,000	
VARIOUS LOCATIONS:			
ROUTE GYPSUM, PH 1	40,000	50,000	+10,000
ROUTE GYPSUM, PH 2		50,000	+50,000
AIR FORCE:			
BAGRAM:			
CONSOLIDATED RIGGING FACILITY		9,900	+9,900
FIGHTER HANGAR		16,480	+16,480
MEDEVAC RAMP EXPANSION/FIRE STATION		16,580	+16,580
KANDAHAR:			
EXPAND CARGO HANDLING AREA	7,100		-7,100
EXPEDITIONARY AIRLIFT SHELTER	7,400		-7,400
SHARANA:			
RUNWAY	35,000		-35,000
SHINDAND:			
PASSENGER & CARGO TERMINAL	15,800		-15,800
TOMBSTONE/BASTION:			
EXPAND FUELS OPERATIONS AND STORAGE	2,500		-2,500
PARALLEL TAXIWAY	86,000		-86,000
REFUELER APRON	55,000		-55,000
WARRIOR:			
RUNWAY	8,700		-8,700
BAHRAIN			
NAVY:			
BAHRAIN NSA:			
NAVCENT AMMUNITION MAGAZINES		89,280	+89,280

	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs re- quest
OPERATIONS AND SUPPORT FACILITIES		60,002	+ 60,002
AIR FORCE:			
SHAIKH ISA AB:			
NORTH APRON EXPANSION		45,000	+ 45,000
	DJIBOUTI		
NAVY:			
CAMP LEMONNIER:			
GENERAL WAREHOUSE		7,324	+ 7,324
PAVE EXTERNAL ROADS		3,824	+ 3,824
	OMAN		
AIR FORCE:			
AL MUSANNAH:			
AIRLIFT RAMP AND FUEL FACILITIES		69,000	+ 69,000
	QATAR		
AIR FORCE:			
AL UDEID AB:			
BLATCHFORD-PRESTON COMPLEX, PH III		62,300	+ 62,300
TACTICAL RAMP/VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY		63,000	+ 63,000
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
AL UDEID AB:			
NSA WAREHOUSE		1,961	+ 1,961
	WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED		
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CLASSIFIED PROJECT (NSA)	41,900	41,900	
	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED		
ARMY:			
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	78,330	90,329	+ 11,999
PLANNING & DESIGN	89,716	79,716	- 10,000
TRANSFER TO DOD INSPECTOR GENERAL		7,000	+ 7,000
GENERAL REDUCTION		- 176,000	- 176,000
AIR FORCE:			
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	49,584	49,584	
PLANNING & DESIGN	13,422	13,422	
GENERAL REDUCTION		- 216,000	- 216,000
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	4,600	4,600	
GRAND TOTAL	1,257,002	1,257,002	
	RECAP		
ARMY	929,996	918,845	- 11,151
RESCISSION			
NAVY		160,430	+ 160,430
RESCISSION			
AIR FORCE	280,506	129,266	- 151,240
RESCISSION			
DEFENSE-WIDE	46,500	48,461	+ 1,961
RESCISSION			
GRAND TOTAL	1,257,002	1,257,002	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE					
Military construction, Army	3,719,419	4,078,798	3,891,395	+ 171,976	- 187,403
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	242,296			- 242,296	
Total	3,961,715	4,078,798	3,891,395	- 70,320	- 187,403
Military construction, Navy and Marine Corps	3,769,003	3,879,104	3,506,557	- 262,446	- 372,547
Military construction, Air Force	1,450,426	1,311,385	1,296,967	- 153,459	- 14,418
Rescission	- 37,500			+ 37,500	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	406,590			- 406,590	
Total	1,819,516	1,311,385	1,296,967	- 522,549	- 14,418
Military construction, Defense-Wide	3,093,679	3,118,062	3,145,614	+ 51,935	+ 27,552
Rescissions	- 151,160		- 148,500	+ 2,660	- 148,500
Total	2,942,519	3,118,062	2,997,114	+ 54,595	- 120,948
Total, Active components	12,492,753	12,387,349	11,692,033	- 800,720	- 695,316
Military construction, Army National Guard	582,056	873,664	1,125,628	+ 543,572	+ 251,964
Military construction, Air National Guard	371,226	176,986	441,549	+ 70,323	+ 254,563
Military construction, Army Reserve	431,566	318,175	388,064	- 43,502	+ 69,889
Military construction, Navy Reserve	125,874	61,557	91,557	- 34,317	+ 30,000
Military construction, Air Force Reserve	112,269	7,832	48,182	- 64,087	+ 40,350
Total, Reserve components	1,622,991	1,438,214	2,094,980	+ 471,989	+ 656,766
Total, Military construction	14,115,744	13,825,563	13,787,013	- 328,731	- 38,550
Appropriations	(13,655,518)	(13,825,563)	(13,935,513)	(+ 279,995)	(+ 109,950)
Rescissions	(- 188,660)		(- 148,500)	(+ 40,160)	(- 148,500)
Emergency appropriations	(648,886)			(- 648,886)	
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program	197,414	258,884	258,884	+ 61,470	
Family housing construction, Army	273,236	92,369	92,369	- 180,867	
Family housing operation and maintenance, Army	523,418	518,140	518,140	- 5,278	
Family housing construction, Navy and Marine Corps	146,563	186,444	186,444	+ 39,875	
Family housing operation and maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps	368,340	366,346	366,346	- 1,994	
Family housing construction, Air Force	66,101	78,025	78,025	+ 11,924	
Family housing operation and maintenance, Air Force	502,936	513,792	513,792	+ 10,856	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	7,953			- 7,953	
Total	510,889	513,792	513,792	+ 2,903	
Family housing construction, Defense-Wide	2,859			- 2,859	
Family housing operation and maintenance, Defense-Wide	49,214	50,464	50,464	+ 1,250	
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	2,600	1,096	1,096	- 1,504	
Homeowners assistance fund	323,225	16,515	16,515	- 306,710	
Total, Family housing	2,266,651	1,823,191	1,823,191	- 443,460	
Appropriations	(2,258,698)	(1,823,191)	(1,823,191)	(- 435,507)	
Emergency appropriations	(7,953)			(- 7,953)	
Chemical demilitarization construction, Defense-Wide	151,541	124,971	124,971	- 26,570	
Base realignment and closure:					
Base realignment and closure account, 1990	496,768	360,474	450,474	- 46,294	+ 90,000
Base realignment and closure account, 2005	7,455,498	2,354,285	2,354,285	- 5,101,213	
Rescission		- 200,000	- 200,000	- 200,000	- 200,000
Total	7,455,498	2,354,285	2,154,285	- 5,301,213	- 200,000
Total, Base realignment and closure	7,952,266	2,714,759	2,604,759	- 5,347,507	- 110,000
General Reductions (Sec. 129):					
Military Construction, Army	- 230,000			+ 230,000	
Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps	- 235,000			+ 235,000	
Military Construction, Air Force	- 64,091			+ 64,091	
General Rescissions (Sec. 130):					
Military Construction, Army	- 33,000			+ 33,000	
Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps	- 51,468			+ 51,468	
Military Construction, Defense-Wide	- 93,268			+ 93,268	
Military Construction, Army National Guard	- 33,000			+ 33,000	
Military Construction, Air National Guard	- 7,000			+ 7,000	
Total, title I	23,936,789	18,747,368	18,598,818	- 5,337,971	- 148,550
Appropriations	(23,686,346)	(18,747,368)	(18,947,318)	(- 4,739,028)	(+ 199,950)
Rescissions	(- 406,396)		(- 348,500)	(+ 57,896)	(- 348,500)
Emergency appropriations	(656,839)			(- 656,839)	
TITLE II—DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS					
Veterans Benefits Administration					
Compensation and pensions	47,396,106	53,492,234	53,978,000	+ 6,581,894	+ 485,766
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	13,377,189			- 13,377,189	
Total	60,773,295	53,492,234	53,978,000	- 6,795,295	+ 485,766
Readjustment benefits	9,232,369	10,440,245	10,396,106	+ 1,163,737	- 44,139
Veterans insurance and indemnities	49,288	62,589	77,589	+ 28,301	+ 15,000
Veterans housing benefit program fund (indefinite)	23,553	19,078	19,078	- 4,475	
(Limitation on direct loans)	(500)	(500)	(500)		
Credit subsidy	- 133,000	- 165,000	- 165,000	- 32,000	
Administrative expenses	165,082	163,646	163,646	- 1,436	
Guaranteed Transitional Housing Loans for Homeless Veterans	(750)			(- 750)	
Vocational rehabilitation loans program account	29	48	48	+ 19	
(Limitation on direct loans)	(2,298)	(3,042)	(3,042)	(+ 744)	
Administrative expenses	328	337	337	+ 9	
Native American veteran housing loan program account	664	707	707	+ 43	
Total, Veterans Benefits Administration	70,111,608	64,013,884	64,470,511	- 5,641,097	+ 456,627
Veterans Health Administration					
Medical services	34,707,500			- 34,707,500	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
Advance from prior year		(37,136,000)	(37,136,000)	(+ 37,136,000)	
Advance appropriation, fiscal year 2012	37,136,000	39,649,985	39,649,985	+ 2,513,985	
Subtotal	71,843,500	39,649,985	39,649,985	- 32,193,515	
Medical support and compliance	4,930,000			- 4,930,000	
Advance from prior year		(5,307,000)	(5,307,000)	(+ 5,307,000)	
Advance appropriation, fiscal year 2012	5,307,000	5,535,000	5,535,000	+ 228,000	
Subtotal	10,237,000	5,535,000	5,535,000	- 4,702,000	
Medical facilities	4,859,000			- 4,859,000	
Advance from prior year		(5,740,000)	(5,740,000)	(+ 5,740,000)	
Advance appropriation, fiscal year 2012	5,740,000	5,426,000	5,426,000	- 314,000	
Subtotal	10,599,000	5,426,000	5,426,000	- 5,173,000	
Medical and prosthetic research	581,000	590,000	590,000	+ 9,000	
Medical care cost recovery collections:					
Offsetting collections	- 2,954,000	- 3,393,000	- 3,393,000	- 439,000	
Appropriations (indefinite)	2,954,000	3,393,000	3,393,000	+ 439,000	
Total, Veterans Health Administration	93,250,500	51,200,985	51,200,985	- 42,059,515	
Appropriations	(45,077,500)	(590,000)	(590,000)	(- 44,487,500)	
Advance from prior year		(48,183,000)	(48,183,000)	(+ 48,183,000)	
Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2012	(48,183,000)	(50,610,985)	(50,610,985)	(+ 2,427,985)	
National Cemetery Administration	250,000	250,504	259,004	+ 9,004	+ 8,500
Departmental Administration					
General operating expenses	2,086,707	2,588,389		- 2,086,707	- 2,588,389
General administration			466,497	+ 466,497	+ 466,497
General operating expenses, VBA			2,162,776	+ 2,162,776	+ 2,162,776
Information technology systems	3,307,000	3,307,000	3,162,501	- 144,499	- 144,499
Office of Inspector General	109,000	109,367	115,367	+ 6,367	+ 6,000
Construction, major projects	1,194,000	1,151,036	1,151,036	- 42,964	
Construction, minor projects	703,000	467,700	517,700	+ 185,300	+ 50,000
Grants for construction of State extended care facilities	100,000	85,000	85,000	- 15,000	
Grants for the construction of State veterans cemeteries	46,000	45,000	45,000		
Total, Departmental Administration	7,545,707	7,754,492	7,706,877	+ 161,170	- 47,615
Appropriations	(7,545,707)	(7,754,492)	(7,706,877)	(+ 161,170)	(- 47,615)
Administrative Provision—Medical Services			74,776	+ 74,776	+ 74,776
Administrative Provision—Medical Facilities			35,000	+ 35,000	+ 35,000
Total, title II	171,167,815	123,219,865	123,747,153	- 47,420,662	+ 527,288
Appropriations	(109,607,626)	(72,608,880)	(73,136,168)	(- 36,471,458)	(+ 527,288)
Emergency appropriations	(13,377,189)			(- 13,377,189)	
Advance from prior year		(48,183,000)	(48,183,000)	(+ 48,183,000)	
Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2012	(48,183,000)	(50,610,985)	(50,610,985)	(+ 2,427,985)	
(Limitation on direct loans)	(2,798)	(3,542)	(3,542)	(+ 744)	
TITLE III—RELATED AGENCIES					
American Battle Monuments Commission					
Salaries and expenses	62,575	64,200	67,200	+ 4,525	+ 3,000
Foreign currency fluctuations account	17,100	20,200	20,200	+ 3,100	
Total, American Battle Monuments Commission	79,775	84,400	87,400	+ 7,625	+ 3,000
U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims					
Salaries and expenses	27,115	90,147	28,297	+ 1,182	- 61,850
Department of Defense—Civil					
Cemeterial Expenses, Army					
Salaries and expenses	39,850	38,100	50,340	+ 10,490	+ 12,240
Armed Forces Retirement Home					
Operation and maintenance	62,000	69,200	69,200	+ 7,200	
Capital program	72,000	2,000	2,000	- 70,000	
Total, Armed Forces Retirement Home	134,000	71,200	71,200	- 62,800	
Total, title III	280,740	283,847	237,237	- 43,503	- 46,610
TITLE IV—OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS					
Military Construction, Army	924,484	929,996		- 924,484	- 929,996
(Emergency)			918,845	+ 918,845	+ 918,845
Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps (emergency)			160,430	+ 160,430	+ 160,430
Military Construction, Air Force	474,500	280,506		- 474,500	- 280,506
(Emergency)			129,266	+ 129,266	+ 129,266
Military Construction, Defense-Wide		46,500		- 46,500	- 46,500
(Emergency)			48,461	+ 48,461	+ 48,461
Total, title IV	1,398,984	1,257,002	1,257,002	- 141,982	
TITLE V—GENERAL PROVISIONS					
General operating expenses		23,584			- 23,584
Filipino veterans equity compensation fund (by transfer) (Public Law 111-212)	(67,000)			(- 67,000)	
Veterans Benefits Administration, compensation and pensions limitation (Congressional Review Act) (Emergency) (Public Law 111-212)	- 3,350,000			+ 3,350,000	
Construction, Major Projects			46,550	+ 46,550	+ 46,550
Military Construction, Army (rescission)			- 200,000	- 200,000	- 200,000
Military Construction, Army (rescission of emergency funds)			- 128,000	- 128,000	- 128,000
Information Technology (rescission)			- 117,505	- 117,505	- 117,505
Total, title V	- 3,350,000	23,584	- 398,955	+ 2,951,045	- 422,539
Grand total	193,434,328	143,531,666	143,441,255	- 49,993,073	- 90,411
Appropriations	(133,574,712)	(91,663,679)	(92,367,273)	(- 41,207,439)	(+ 703,594)
Rescissions	(- 406,396)		(- 666,005)	(- 259,609)	(- 666,005)
Emergency appropriations	(10,684,028)		(1,129,002)	(- 9,555,026)	(+ 1,129,002)

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal year 2010 enacted	Fiscal year 2011 request	Fiscal year 2011 this bill	This bill vs en- acted	This bill vs re- quest
Rescission of emergency funding			(- 128,000)	(- 128,000)	(- 128,000)
Advance from prior year		(48,183,000)	(48,183,000)	(+ 48,183,000)	
Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2012	(48,183,000)	(50,610,985)	(50,610,985)	(+ 2,427,985)	
Overseas contingency operations	(1,398,984)	(1,257,002)		(- 1,398,984)	(- 1,257,002)
(By transfer)	(67,000)			(- 67,000)	
(Limitation on direct loans)	(2,798)	(3,542)	(3,542)	(+ 744)	

DIVISION K—DEPARTMENT OF STATE,
FOREIGN OPERATIONS, AND RELATED
PROGRAMS APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

Following is an explanation of the effects of Division K, which makes appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs for fiscal year 2011. As provided in Section 4 of the consolidated bill, this explanatory statement shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds and the implementation of this division as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.

This statement includes explanatory language, directives and tables for many, but not all of the accounts included in this division. Language is not included for the following accounts: Department of State—Capital Investment Fund; Emergencies in the Diplomatic and Consular Service; Repatriation Loans Program Account; Payment to the American Institute in Taiwan; Payment to the Foreign Service Retirement and Disability Fund; International Boundary and Water Commissions, United States and Mexico; The Asia Foundation; Center for Middle Eastern-Western Dialogue Trust Fund; Eisenhower Exchange Fellowship Program; Israeli Arab Scholarship Program; Commission for the Preservation of America's Heritage Abroad; United States Commission on International Religious Freedom; Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe; Congressional-Executive Commission on the People's Republic of China; Capital Investment Fund—United States Agency for International Development (USAID); International Disaster Assistance; Transition Initiatives; Complex Crises Fund; Development Credit Authority; Inter-American Foundation; Department of the Treasury, International Affairs Technical Assistance; Debt Restructuring; Global Environment Facility; Contribution to the International Development Association; Contribution to the Inter-American Development Bank; Contribution to the Enterprise for the Americas Multilateral Investment Fund; Contribution to the Asian Development Fund; Contribution to the African Development Fund; Contribution to the International Fund for Agricultural Development; and Trade and Development Agency. However, the table at the end of this division includes funding levels for appropriations by account, and comparisons to last year's levels and the budget request for all accounts included in division K of this Act.

TITLE I
DEPARTMENT OF STATE AND RELATED
AGENCY
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
ADMINISTRATION OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS
DIPLOMATIC AND CONSULAR PROGRAMS
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$9,553,200,000 for Diplomatic and Consular Affairs (D&CP), of which \$1,560,700,000 is for Worldwide Security Protection.

The Secretary of State is directed to continue in fiscal year 2011 the semi-annual reporting requirement on the hiring, training, and deploying of new staff described under this heading in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2010 (division F of Public Law 111-117).

Within the amount provided, funds may be used to address urgent renovation requirements for the Blair House, following consultation with the Committees on Appropriations.

The bill does not include the budget request for the Foreign Affairs Security Training Center. The Secretary of State is directed to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 60 days after selection of the location site for the Center including the estimated completion cost of the project; prioritization, cost, timeline, and description of the phases; and a cost-benefit analysis comparing the 10-year costs for the training from leased facilities with the 10-year costs for training at the Center, including the cost of constructing the new facility.

The bill provides \$2,000,000 for training, equipment and other support for the Bureau for Democracy, Human Rights, and Labor (DRL) and at United States missions to conduct vetting of units and individuals of foreign security forces, consistent with section 620M of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended by this Act. The Committees on Appropriations direct the Department of State to ensure that Human Rights Officers at United States missions shall be individuals with appropriate specialized training and experience to effectively carry out the responsibilities of the position, and that DRL be consulted on such appointments.

The bill includes the budget request for cyber-security. In addition, the Secretary of State is directed, in coordination with the USAID Administrator, to consult with the Committees on Appropriations not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act on the cost-benefit and performance implications of further consolidation of the information technology platforms of the two agencies at the country level.

The Secretary of State should expand support of the Special Coordinator for Tibetan Issues and fill existing staff positions to enable the Special Coordinator to carry out the broad responsibilities detailed in section 621(d) of Public Law 107-228, as well as for convening coordinating meetings for appropriate United States Government agencies, nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), and representatives of the Tibetan leadership.

Section 7034(u) directs the Secretary of State to conduct a pilot program to recruit, hire, and train up to 25 mid-career professionals for the Foreign Service to help address the projected future shortfall of mid-career Foreign Service Officers, which shall be carried out after consultation with the Committees on Appropriations.

The bill provides \$1,760,900,000 to support the transition from a military to civilian United States presence in Iraq, including \$25,000,000 of the \$50,000,000 that was requested by the Department of State for transfer from the International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement (INCLE) account. The bill does not include the requested transfer authority from INCLE to D&CP.

The bill provides \$334,000,000 to offset the loss of assumed revenue from expanded consular fee retention authority.

The bill includes funds for the requested new positions related to Department of State operations and programs in Afghanistan, Pakistan and Iraq.

The Secretary of State is urged to continue support for the educational partnerships developed between the Department of State and community colleges and universities that focus on recruiting and preparing students from institutions with large minority populations for positions in the Foreign Service and for the Office of Science and Technology Advisor's science fellowship programs. In addition, the Secretary should en-

sure that United States small, minority-owned, and disadvantaged business enterprises are able to fully participate in the provision of goods and services for the Department of State.

The Office of Public Diplomacy and Public Affairs is encouraged to continue funding for new media programming for targeted Arabic language television programs, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

The Secretary is directed to submit a report on implementation and progress toward achieving each of the sustainability goals and targets applicable to all United States Government agencies, as specified in Executive Order 13514.

The efforts undertaken by the Office of Global Women's Issues to integrate gender considerations into all programs should continue with full consultation with, and support of, the Office of the Director of Foreign Assistance and USAID.

The Department of State is expected to work to increase consultations between the United Nations (UN) and New York City officials, to ensure local codes, regulations and security requirements are taken into account in any renovation or construction of facilities.

The Department of State shall follow the directive related to property inventory in Senate Report 111-237.

Not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State, in consultation with the USAID Administrator, shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations on the number of private security contractors serving at United States missions in Iraq and Afghanistan, including: the cost and duties of such contractors; existing oversight mechanisms and the number of Department of State and USAID personnel, as appropriate, conducting such oversight; and a cost-benefit analysis of replacing such contractors with United States Government personnel.

The bill provides \$43,000,000 for costs related to the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) Forum in fiscal year 2011, which with the \$41,500,000 allocated in fiscal year 2010 provides \$84,500,000 for APEC Forum costs, including appropriate costs for providing security for visiting foreign officials and their delegations attending APEC meetings.

The bill provides \$8,356,000 under this heading to support the establishment of new American Centers. In addition, \$6,000,000 is provided under the Embassy Security, Construction, and Maintenance (ESCM) heading for related construction and renovation costs. Additional funds may be needed under ESCM for this purpose, and the Department of State is directed to consult with the Committees on Appropriations prior to the transfer of up to \$8,356,000 to ESCM for this purpose.

Funds in this account are allocated, unless otherwise noted, according to the following table, and are subject to the provisions of section 7019 of this Act:

Diplomatic and Consular Programs
(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Activities	Budget Authority
Human Resources	2,754,289
Public Diplomacy Programs (non-add)	(140,728)
Human Resources Initiative (non-add)	(507,128)
Worldwide Security Protection (non-add)	(249,315)
Overseas Programs	3,432,216
Ambassador's Fund for Cultural Preservation (non-add)	(9,250)
Cultural Antiquities Task Force (non-add)	(1,000)
Locally Employed Staff (non-add)	(773,479)
Public Diplomacy Programs (non-add)	(415,243)

Diplomatic and Consular Programs—Continued

(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Activities	Budget Authority
Diplomatic Policy and Support	884,988
Security Programs	2,481,707
Worldwide Security Protection (non-add)	[1,311,385]
Total—Diplomatic and Consular Programs	9,553,200
Subtotal, Afghanistan Operations	911,120
Subtotal, Iraq Operations	1,760,900
Subtotal, Pakistan Operations	153,357
Subtotal, Worldwide Security Protection	1,560,700
Offices/Programs	
Leahy Vetting Program	2,000
Office of Global Women's Issues	2,761
Office to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons	6,410

CIVILIAN STABILIZATION INITIATIVE

The bill provides \$35,000,000 for Civilian Stabilization Initiative (CSI) in this title. In addition, \$15,000,000 is provided under the same heading in title II of the bill, for a total of \$50,000,000 for the CSI in fiscal year 2011.

The Committees on Appropriations believe the CSI has potential as a mechanism for responding to international crises, but it remains a work in progress and has yet to demonstrate that it is effective. Given that the Quadrennial Diplomacy and Development Review (QDDR) has not been finalized and submitted to the Congress, the Secretary of State is directed to pursue a minimal stand up and implementation plan for CSI in fiscal year 2011 and support no more than a total of 170 Civilian Response Corps active personnel. The fiscal year 2011 plan to increase the number of stand-by corps from 1,030 to 2,000 is unsustainable. The Secretary of State is directed to consult with the Committees on Appropriations prior to implementing any reforms of CSI that may be proposed in the QDDR, including the establishment of a new office or bureau, which is subject to the limitations of section 7015(a) of this Act.

Not later than 6 months after enactment of this Act, and after a comprehensive review of the activities of the Office of the Coordinator for Reconstruction and Stabilization, the Secretary of State shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations detailing a refined and more focused mission for this office, including clarification of its role in international crisis response; changes in staffing levels required to meet its mission; budget modifications, as appropriate; lessons learned since its inception; and the mechanisms that have been established to ensure coordination with other crisis response capabilities of the United States Government, particularly USAID and the Department of Defense. Until the report is submitted, the Secretary is directed to freeze hiring of new active and standby Civilian Response Corps personnel.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The bill provides \$115,000,000 for Office of Inspector General (OIG) under this heading, of which \$22,000,000 is for the Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction (SIGIR) and \$30,287,000 is for the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (SIGAR). In addition, the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-212) included \$7,200,000 for SIGAR for fiscal year 2011 and section 7071(a)(4)(B) of this bill provides \$1,500,000 by transfer to both the SIGAR and the OIG for enhanced oversight of assistance for Afghanistan, making a total of \$38,987,000 available for the SIGAR and \$64,213,000 for the OIG in fiscal year 2011.

The Committees on Appropriations recognize the valuable presence of the OIG's Middle East Regional Office, and direct that it

remain an independent office, report directly to the Inspector General, and continue conducting evaluations in the Middle East region. In addition, the activities undertaken by the OIG are distinct from SIGAR and SIGIR and should continue in Iraq and Afghanistan.

EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL EXCHANGE PROGRAMS

The bill provides \$654,200,000 for Educational and Cultural Exchange Programs, of which \$13,500,000 is for expanded exchange and English language programs requested under the Economic Support Fund (ESF) heading for the Global Engagement initiative. The Department of State shall follow the directive in Senate Report 111-237 regarding proposed reallocations to Pakistan and other Muslim-majority countries.

Any proposal for the programmatic and geographic distribution of available resources (including unobligated balances and recoveries) shall be submitted through the normal reprogramming process not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act. The overall funding distribution shall conform to the programmatic guidance included in this statement. Such plan should detail, by country, the amount allocated for Global Engagement initiative activities.

The bill provides funding to continue each of the programs referenced in the first paragraph following the table under this heading in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2010 (division F of Public Law 111-117).

The bill provides \$8,500,000 to continue the One-time Competitive Grants Program. This program shall be only for the actual exchange of people, should follow the criteria established under this heading in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2008 (division J of Public Law 110-161), and should benefit a population that is not being addressed through existing exchange programs.

The Department of State should consider proposals from the following organizations, in addition to those listed under the One-time International Exchange Grant Program heading in Senate Report 111-237, which shall be considered in full and open competition, and in accordance with all applicable rules and regulations: Santa Clara University; South Africa Washington Internship Program; Spelman College; Washington Ireland Program; and World Youth Peace Summit. The Department is also encouraged to continue funding the exchange programs listed in the fourth and fifth paragraphs under the heading in House Report 111-187, continue to support exchange programs and activities in the visual and performing arts, and consider funding for the OneWorld 2011 conference bringing together representatives from the United States and several Muslim-majority countries.

Funds in this account are allocated, unless otherwise noted, according to the following table, and are subject to the provisions of section 7019 of this Act:

EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL EXCHANGE PROGRAMS

(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Account	Budget Authority
Academic Programs:	
Fulbright Program	260,326
Global Academic Exchanges:	
Educational Advising and Student Services	13,408

EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL EXCHANGE PROGRAMS—Continued

(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Account	Budget Authority
English Language Programs	52,272
Subtotal, Global Academic Exchanges	65,680
Special Academic Exchanges:	
Regional Graduate Fellowships	22,660
American Overseas Research Centers	5,000
South Pacific Exchanges	500
Timor-Leste Exchanges	500
Disability Exchange Clearinghouse	500
Benjamin Gilman International Scholarship Program	10,800
George Mitchell Fellowship Program	500
Hemispheric Program	500
Tibet Fulbright Program	775
Subtotal, Special Academic Exchanges	41,735
Total, Academic Programs	367,741
Professional and Cultural Exchanges:	
International Visitor Program	96,569
Citizen Exchange Programs	103,844
Kennedy-Lugar Youth Ambassadors Program (non-add)	[25,500]
Special Professional and Cultural Exchanges:	
Congress Bundestag Youth Exchange	4,000
Mike Mansfield Fellowship Program	1,902
Irish Institute	1,020
Ngawang Choephel Fellows	675
Youth Science Programs	150
Institute for Representative Government	496
Pakistan Literacy Training Program	375
Subtotal, Special Professional and Cultural Exchanges	8,618
Total, Professional and Cultural Exchanges ..	209,031
One-time Grant Program	8,500
Program Evaluation	6,174
Exchanges Support	62,754
Total, Educational and Cultural Exchange Programs	654,200

REPRESENTATION ALLOWANCES

The bill provides \$8,175,000 for Representation Allowances.

The Department of State is directed to continue to provide the semi-annual report to the Committees on Appropriations containing detailed information on the uses of funds appropriated under this heading.

PROTECTION OF FOREIGN MISSIONS AND OFFICIALS

The bill provides \$30,000,000 for Protection of Foreign Missions and Officials, of which \$27,600,000 is for the program in New York and \$2,400,000 is for the program elsewhere in the United States.

The Secretary of State is to continue to submit the report required under this heading in House Report 111-187 regarding the amount of claims submitted by eligible jurisdictions and the funds available to pay such claims. The Secretary of State should also consider the guidance included under this heading in Senate Report 111-237 regarding the financial benefits to jurisdictions from visits of foreign delegations.

EMBASSY SECURITY, CONSTRUCTION, AND MAINTENANCE

The bill provides \$1,838,300,000 for Embassy Security, Construction, and Maintenance, of which \$925,000,000 is for worldwide security upgrades and \$913,300,000 is for other construction, operations and maintenance. The amount provided includes \$174,000,000 above the request to offset the loss of assumed revenue from expanded consular fee retention authority.

The Secretary of State is directed to provide a spend plan to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, which shall be consistent with the directives under this heading in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2010 (division F of Public Law 111-117).

The bill provides the amount requested for security enhancements of soft targets.

The bill provides \$6,000,000 for construction and renovation costs for American Centers. The Department of State shall consult with the Committees on Appropriations regarding a possible transfer from D&CP of up to \$8,356,000 to establish new American Centers at locations worldwide.

The bill provides \$833,000,000 for the Department of State's Capital Security Cost-Sharing program contribution. The Committees on Appropriations are concerned about the sustainability of the rising cost of constructing, maintaining, and operating new, state-of-the-art embassy compounds and direct the Secretary of State to review the cost assumptions and construction process to ensure that new embassy compounds are constructed, transferred to the Department of State, and operated in a cost-effective and sustainable manner.

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS CONTRIBUTIONS TO INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

The bill provides \$1,545,430,000 for Contributions to International Organizations. The Secretary of State is directed to conduct a review of all international organizations that the United States participates in; align United States participation in and funding for such organizations with United States national interests; promptly initiate steps to withdraw from any which do not merit United States participation; and refrain from entering into new commitments without adequate resources.

The Department of State is directed to update the reporting requirement related to the voting practices of UN member states as specified under this heading in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2010 (division F of Public Law 111-117) for the current calendar year.

The bill includes a new provision under this heading directing that credits to United States-assessed contributions to the UN Tax Equalization Fund should be used to offset other assessed contributions to the UN, subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

In an effort to control costs and ensure security at the new North American Treaty Organization Headquarters building, the Department of State is directed to complete an agreement with the Department of Defense on the allocation of costs for the "fit-out" of the United States Mission space within the new building.

CONTRIBUTIONS FOR INTERNATIONAL PEACEKEEPING ACTIVITIES

The bill provides \$2,096,382,000 for Contributions for International Peacekeeping Activities.

The Department of State shall follow the directive related to the UN Office of Internal Oversight Services as specified under this heading in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2010 (division F of Public Law 111-117).

The Committees on Appropriations are concerned with continuing reports of abuses of civilians by UN peacekeeping troops, including those who have been credibly alleged to have committed such abuses in their home countries. The bill requires the Secretary of State to work with the UN and governments that contribute peacekeeping troops to develop effective vetting procedures.

The bill includes a new provision under this heading directing that credits to United

States-assessed contributions to the UN Tax Equalization Fund should be used to offset other assessed contributions to the UN, subject to the regular notification procedures of the Committees on Appropriations.

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSIONS AMERICAN SECTIONS, INTERNATIONAL COMMISSIONS

The bill provides \$12,655,000 for American Sections, International Commissions, of which \$2,422,000 is for the International Boundary Commission, \$7,931,000 is for the International Joint Commission (including \$300,000 to expand the Upper Great Lakes study as described under this heading in Senate Report 111-237), and \$2,302,000 is for the Border Environment Cooperation Commission.

American Sections, International Commissions shall follow the directive, as specified under this heading in Senate Report 111-237 related to the International Boundary Commission and the International Joint Commission.

INTERNATIONAL FISHERIES COMMISSIONS

The bill provides \$51,000,000 for International Fisheries Commissions, of which \$3,000,000 is for lamprey control and water quality improvements in the Lake Champlain Basin, and \$3,900,000 is for sea lamprey control and fishery research for the Great Lakes Basin.

The bill provides \$500,000 above the request for the International Pacific Halibut Commission to initiate the relocation and fit-out of its offices. These funds are available through fiscal year 2012 in order to facilitate the orderly transition into new space.

Funds in this account are allocated, unless otherwise noted, according to the following table, and are subject to the provisions of section 7019 of this Act:

INTERNATIONAL FISHERIES COMMISSIONS (Budget authority in thousands of dollars)	
Commission/Program	Budget Authority
Great Lakes Fishery Commission	24,700
Water Quality Improvements and Lamprey Control (non-add)	(3,000)
Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission	1,800
Pacific Salmon Commission	3,190
Pacific Salmon Treaty Commitment	15,000
International Pacific Halibut Commission	3,750
Relocation and Fit-out (non-add)	(500)
Other Marine Conservation Organizations:	
International Whaling Commission	195
North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission	187
International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas	295
Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization	202
Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources	115
North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization	50
International Council for the Exploration of the Sea	260
North Pacific Marine Science Organization	206
International Sea Turtle Conservation	170
Antarctic Treaty	65
Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission	655
Expenses of the United States Commissioners	160
Subtotal, Other Marine Conservation Organizations	2,560
Total, International Fisheries Commissions	51,000

RELATED AGENCY

BROADCASTING BOARD OF GOVERNORS

INTERNATIONAL BROADCASTING OPERATIONS

The bill provides \$744,500,000 for International Broadcasting Operations of the Broadcasting Board of Governors (BBG) for the operating and engineering costs of Voice of America (VOA); the Office of Cuba Broadcasting; Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty; Radio Free Asia (RFA); Middle East Broadcasting Networks; and the BBG. The bill does not provide funding requested for program enhancements, with the exception of the upgrade of the Network Control Center.

The bill provides not less than the \$8,000,000 requested for broadcasting to North Korea. Funds also are included to continue at present levels RFA and VOA Tibetan language services.

The Committees on Appropriations do not support closing the Greenville Transmitting Station and direct that none of the funds available in this Act be used for such purposes. The bill provides funding to continue VOA broadcasts in Greek. The Committees on Appropriations understand that the BBG has, over the past several years, internally funded surge broadcasts in Burmese, Swahili, and Spanish and is not planning to continue these broadcasts in fiscal year 2011. The BBG is directed to include justification for the discontinuation of these broadcasts in the spend plan and to continue these broadcasts at current levels until the BBG receives Congressional approval for any change in broadcast hours. The bill includes a new provision requiring that the Committees on Appropriation be notified prior to reducing or increasing BBG broadcast hours or implementing changes to transmission platforms.

The BBG is directed to conduct an evaluation of the effectiveness of Persian News Network (PNN) and Radio Farda programming to Iran and report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than March 31, 2011, on its findings and proposals for BBG programming to Iran. The bill provides adequate funding to continue the radio rebroadcasts of PNN television programming and the one hour original VOA Persian radio broadcast during this evaluation period.

The bill provides funding requested for BBG Pashto and Dari broadcasts to Afghanistan and BBG broadcasts to Iraq. The bill also provides \$750,000 to support expanded Internet anti-censorship efforts, in addition to funds made available for such purposes.

The BBG shall follow the directive specified in Senate Report 111-237 related to Cuba.

The BBG is directed to undertake a strategic review of Alhurra and the effectiveness of its programming in the region and to report to the Committees on Appropriations on its findings and recommendations for improving program and journalistic quality, viewership, efficiency in operations, and sustainability of Alhurra's cost of operations.

The BBG is directed to provide the report requested under this heading in Senate Report 111-237 regarding revenue from privatization and commercial efforts.

Funds in this account are allocated, unless otherwise noted, according to the following table, and are subject to the provisions of section 7019 of this Act:

INTERNATIONAL BROADCASTING OPERATIONS (Budget authority in thousands of dollars)	
Program	Budget Authority
International Broadcasting Bureau (IBB):	
Voice of America	206,877
Broadcasting to Cuba	28,789
Engineering and Technical Services	188,249
Agency Direction	28,290
IBB Management and Support	47,172
Subtotal, IBB	499,377
Independent Grantee Organizations:	
Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty	94,488
Radio Free Asia	37,679
Middle East Broadcasting Networks	112,956
Subtotal, Grantees	245,123
Total, International Broadcasting Operations	744,500

BROADCASTING CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

The bill provides \$6,875,000 for Broadcasting Capital Improvements. Funds should be programmed consistent with the directives under this heading in Senate Report 111-237.

RELATED PROGRAMS

UNITED STATES INSTITUTE OF PEACE

The bill provides \$44,050,000 for the United States Institute of Peace (USIP). The \$2,500,000 requested for building security equipment, construction, and costs associated with the planning and rehabilitation of United States Navy Potomac Annex Buildings 6 and 7 is not provided. The planning and rehabilitation of these buildings should be paid for with funds from other sources, including private or Federal funding from organizations and agencies that will utilize the proposed training facility. USIP may reprogram funds as necessary to address the security requirements of the headquarters facility, subject to consultation with, and the regular notification procedures of, the Committees on Appropriations.

EAST-WEST CENTER

The bill provides \$23,100,000 for East-West Center.

The bill provides funding to support the initiatives described in Senate Report 111-237.

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR DEMOCRACY

The bill provides \$118,000,000 for National Endowment for Democracy (NED). An additional \$10,500,000 is provided to the NED for democracy programs in Egypt, Pakistan, Cuba, North Korea, and the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), which shall support new small grants and not be used to fund current, on-going programs. These countries present challenging programmatic and complex political environments well suited to NED's mission. In some cases, NED is more appropriate and cost effective for the promotion of democracy abroad than the Department of State or USAID. NED shall consult with the Committees on Appropriations on the uses of these funds prior to the obligation of funds and program implementation, and shall provide the financial spend plan in accordance with the directives in Senate Report 111-237.

USAID and the Department of State are to coordinate democracy programs with NED to avoid duplication of activities.

NED and the Department of State shall follow the directive related to the pass-through nature of the NED grant, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

NED is directed to use \$250,000 for the training and education of Tibetans in democracy activities and for monitoring the human rights situation in Tibet.

Within the total provided, funding is included for activities to support democracy promotion, human rights, labor rights, and the rule of law, as well as funding for the International Forum for Democratic Studies, Reagan-Fascell Fellows program, the World Movement for Democracy, and the Center for International Media Assistance.

OTHER COMMISSIONS

UNITED STATES-CHINA ECONOMIC AND SECURITY REVIEW COMMISSION

The bill provides \$3,625,000 for United States-China Economic and Security Review Commission.

Funds are provided for the Commission to undertake a study on China's use of country of origin labeling as a method of avoiding anti-dumping and countervailing duties on products, including with respect to components of Chinese steel.

TITLE II

UNITED STATES AGENCY FOR
INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT
FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

OPERATING EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$1,392,000,000 for Operating Expenses, of which \$18,091,000 is to support the USAID Forward procurement reform initiatives. Funds should be used to increase the number of procurement and oversight staff to ensure proper use of USAID assistance funds.

USAID is directed to provide regular updates on actions taken and recommended by the Procurement Reform Task Force and to notify the Committees on Appropriations prior to implementing new procurement reform proposals.

The Department of State and USAID shall follow the directives related to disability programs, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

USAID shall follow the reporting requirement related to small minority-owned and disadvantaged business enterprises in House Report 111-187.

The bill provides \$264,324,000 for the Development Leadership Initiative (DLI), including to support the hiring of not more than 100 additional DLI Foreign Service Officers. This amount is lower than the budget request due to the reduced costs assumed per new hire and the reduced number of new hires in fiscal year 2011. USAID is directed to provide quarterly updates on the status and costs of the hiring, training, and deploying of personnel.

The USAID Administrator is directed to provide a report on implementation and progress toward achieving each of the sustainability goals and targets applicable to all United States Government agencies, as specified in Executive Order 13514.

USAID and the Department of State are directed to provide updates to the Committees on Appropriations on decisions made and actions taken related to the inclusion of USAID program implementers in the Synchronized Pre-Deployment and Operational Tracker database.

Funds in this account are allocated, unless otherwise noted, according to the following table, and are subject to the provisions of section 7019 of this Act:

USAID OPERATING EXPENSES

(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Program	Budget Authority
Development Leadership Initiative	264,324
Overseas Facilities	37,457
USAID Forward Procurement Reform	18,091
Overseas Operations	655,881
Locally Employed Staff Special Compensation (non-add)	(1,000)
Washington Operations	273,241
Central Support	231,132
Subtotal, USAID Operating Expenses	1,480,126
Less other sources	(88,126)
TOTAL, USAID Operating Expenses	1,392,000

CIVILIAN STABILIZATION INITIATIVE

The bill provides \$15,000,000 for Civilian Stabilization Initiative in this title, which includes \$5,000,000 for deployments. The bill also provides \$35,000,000 under the same heading in title I of the bill, for a total of \$50,000,000 for the CSI in fiscal year 2011.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The bill provides \$46,500,000 for Office of Inspector General.

The OIG is directed to provide a spend plan and summary of oversight work in fiscal

year 2011. The activities undertaken by the USAID Inspector General in Iraq and Afghanistan are distinct from SIGIR and SIGAR and should continue.

TITLE III

BILATERAL ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE

Funds in this Act are allocated, unless otherwise noted, according to the following table, and are subject to the provisions of section 7019 of this Act:

Sectors

(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Program	Budget Authority
Food Security and Agricultural Development	1,400,000
Dairy and livestock programs (non-add)	(30,000)
Plant biotechnology and research (non-add)	(35,000)
Collaborative Research Support Programs (non-add)	(33,000)
Global Crop Diversity Trust (non-add)	(12,000)
Water	315,000
Basic Education	925,000
Higher Education	225,000
Higher education programs in Africa (non-add)	(25,000)
of which for United States-African partnerships (non-add)	(15,000)
Microenterprise/Microfinance	265,000
Climate Change and Environment	1,476,550
American Schools and Hospitals Abroad	24,000
Victims of Torture	13,000
USAID's Cooperative Development Program	12,000
Women's Leadership Training programs	22,500

FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

GLOBAL HEALTH AND CHILD SURVIVAL

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$8,222,000,000 for Global Health and Child Survival. Of the total, \$2,722,000,000 is for USAID global health programs, and \$5,500,000,000 is apportioned directly to the Office of the United States Global AIDS Coordinator (OGAC) at the Department of State for global HIV/AIDS programs.

USAID is directed to consult with the Committees on Appropriations prior to the finalization of Global Health Initiative-plus country plans and prior to the obligation of funding from the Strategic Reserve Fund.

The Committees on Appropriations note that the Secretary of State has not provided the report requested in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2010 (division F of Public Law 111-117) on global health program effectiveness. The Secretary is directed to provide this report not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, which shall include a brief description of key global health challenges; the interventions that have been found to have the greatest impact in addressing these challenges; and further steps the United States could take to help significantly reduce mortality and morbidity. The report should pay special attention to those challenges that have not received adequate support in the past.

OGAC is directed to consult with the Committees on Appropriations on how the integration of health and development programs is impacting the effectiveness and outcomes of HIV/AIDS programs; the implementation of a strategy to train 140,000 new health workers; OGAC's strategy to implement an effective monitoring and evaluation program; and how administrative costs, including overhead, are calculated for participating agencies.

OGAC and the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria (Global Fund) have announced their intention to work together to begin to harmonize bilateral and multilateral assistance programs to reduce duplication and leverage investments, including treatment. OGAC and the Global Fund are

directed to jointly report to the Committees on Appropriations on these discussions not later than 120 days after enactment of this Act and to provide early estimates of countries where OGAC funding will decrease and Global Fund support will increase based upon this division of program priorities.

USAID is directed to continue to support the GAVI Alliance and is further directed to undertake a modeling effort with the GAVI Alliance to establish budget projections and programmatic implications of the roll-out of new vaccines in the next three years.

USAID should continue and expand support for an integrated response to neglected tropical diseases, including schistosomiasis, lymphatic filariasis, onchocerciasis, soil transmitted helminthes, and trachoma; and explore opportunities to address buruli ulcer, chagas, and leprosy.

The USAID Special Advisor for Orphans and Vulnerable Children and the Department of State's Special Advisor to the Office of Children's Issues are directed to jointly provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act detailing how USAID and the Department of State are assisting developing countries to provide family-based care to orphans, including helping such countries develop data on the number of children living outside of parental care; and identify and replicate best practices in providing family-based care.

OGAC is directed to provide the report to the Committees on Appropriations related to the Global Fund as specified in House Report 111-187.

OGAC is directed to ensure that family planning services are available to individuals served by OGAC programs.

OGAC is directed to provide \$6,000,000 to USAID to support microbicides research, including next generation anti-retroviral based microbicides. OGAC shall consider support for microbicides trials for young and adolescent women at the Kenya Medical Research Institute's Centers for Disease Control and Prevention HIV research branch. USAID and OGAC are directed to consult with the Committees on Appropriations on this program.

OGAC and the Department of State shall follow the regular notification procedures for current and prior year funding for all OGAC-funded programs, including all re-programming requirements contained in sections 7015 and 7019 of this Act.

OGAC is directed to work with relevant host governments and donors to make information available regarding educational, vocational and microfinance opportunities at global health clinics, especially those that target most at-risk populations.

Of the funds made available for global health and HIV/AIDS programs, funding should be made available for technical assistance within the relevant host country ministries for procurement system strengthening. The Global Fund should designate additional funding for capacity building for host country fiscal management, audits, reporting, and procurement systems strengthening.

USAID shall follow the directive related to anti-malaria assistance through international and indigenous nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) in Burma, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

USAID voluntary family planning programs should offer a broad range of family planning methods and services, including fertility awareness programs.

USAID is directed to provide the annual report on its research and development pro-

gram and to develop a multi-year strategy for global health research and development initiatives and programs.

USAID shall follow the directives in Senate Report 111-237 regarding the development of safe, effective and affordable drugs to combat tuberculosis, including multidrug resistant tuberculosis and extremely drug resistant tuberculosis.

OGAC and USAID shall follow the directive regarding the recruitment of healthcare workers, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

The Department of State and USAID should consider proposals from the following organizations, in addition to those listed under the Proposals heading in Senate Report 111-237, which shall be considered in full and open competition, and in accordance with all applicable rules and regulations: Emory University's Global Health Leadership Development Program; International Association of Multicultural Women; Institute for Global Health at the University of Massachusetts; Project C.U.R.E.; Einstein College of Medicine's Global Diabetes Initiative; Afya Foundation; Tel Aviv University; Physicians for Peace; International Obstetric Fistula Working Group; Global Campaign to End Fistula; Helen Keller International; Local Voices; Safe Blood for Africa; TREAT Asia; McCord Research Foundation; the International Partnership for Microbicides; and International AIDS Vaccine Initiative.

USAID should expand support for volunteers who work with orphans and vulnerable children in Africa, and funding should be provided for programs that strengthen child welfare and child protection systems, including training of professionals for these purposes. USAID and OGAC should continue to support HIV-related media prevention programs in Africa and Asia, including India.

USAID is directed to consider establishing a pilot demographic program that would award competitive fellowships to United States-trained demographers from developing countries.

Funds in this account are allocated, unless otherwise noted, according to the following table, and are subject to the provisions of section 7019 of this Act:

Global Health And Child Survival Programs	
(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)	
Program/Activity	Budget Authority
Child Survival and Maternal Health (USAID):	761,000
Polio (non-add)	(33,000)
The GAVI Alliance (non-add)	(105,000)
Nutrition (non-add)	(100,000)
Micronutrients (non-add)	(33,000)
Vitamin A (non-add)	(23,000)
Iodine Deficiency Disorder (non-add)	(2,000)
Vulnerable Children (USAID):	15,000
Blind Children (non-add)	(2,000)
HIV/AIDS (USAID): 350,000.	
Microbicides (non-add)	(45,000)
HIV/AIDS (Department of State):	5,500,000
Global Fund (non-add)	(825,000)
UNAIDS (non-add)	(45,000)
Microbicides (non-add)	(6,000)
Family Planning/Reproductive Health (USAID)	585,000
Other Infectious Diseases (USAID):	1,011,000
Pandemic Preparedness (non-add)	(71,000)
Malaria (non-add)	(615,000)
Tuberculosis (non-add)	(235,000)
Global TB Drug Facility (non-add)	(15,000)
Neglected Tropical Diseases (non-add)	(90,000)
Total, Global Health and Child Survival	8,222,000
Strategic Reserve Fund, OGAC	(50,000)
Strategic Reserve Fund, USAID	(50,000)

DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE

The bill provides \$2,767,700,000 for Development Assistance (DA).

USAID is directed to implement agricultural development and food security pro-

grams, including the Feed the Future initiative, as specified in Senate Report 111-237. USAID is further directed to ensure that monitoring and evaluation are critical components of Feed the Future and baseline data is collected in order to measure the impact of United States-funded programs. USAID is further directed to consult with the Committees on Appropriations prior to making a determination to transfer funds to any other United States Government agency, and shall present the rationale for such funding transfer.

USAID is directed to expand its support for wheat stem rust research.

The bill provides a total of \$1,476,550,000 for climate change and environment programs, including \$792,800,000 for bilateral programs administered by USAID and the Department of State, and \$683,750,000 for contributions to multilateral mechanisms, including the Global Environment Facility, the Clean Technology Fund and the Strategic Climate Fund. The Committees intend these funds to be used to support and promote non-fossil renewable energy technologies, end-use energy efficiency technologies, carbon sequestration, and carbon accounting; to support adaptation programs in countries threatened by climate change; and to protect sustainable landscapes and biodiversity, including tropical forests, marine ecosystems, and wildlife. The Committees intend sustainable landscapes and biodiversity programs to be used to strengthen the capacity of local governments to protect and sustainably manage natural resources; substantially increase and effectively manage protected areas and contiguous buffer zones; include meaningful participation by, and respect for the rights of, indigenous peoples and local communities and increase their land use and tenure security; and strengthen law enforcement, including the role of civil society in monitoring and oversight, to prevent illegal logging and other causes of deforestation and forest degradation. The bill provides \$20,000,000 for conservation programs in the Brazilian Amazon and \$15,000,000 for such programs in the Andean Amazon, of which \$10,000,000 is for strengthening the capacity of indigenous organizations to protect the biodiversity of their territories. The bill provides \$21,000,000 for the Congo Basin Forest Partnership, of which USAID is directed to provide not less than \$9,000,000 to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) for its programs in central Africa, including to protect great apes.

Climate Change and Environment	
(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)	
Program/Activity	Budget Authority
USAID Biodiversity programs	215,000
Brazilian Amazon (non-add)	(20,000)
Andean Amazon (non-add)	(15,000)
Indigenous capacity building (non-add)	(10,000)
Congo Basin Forest Partnership (non-add) of which for USFWS programs in central Africa (non-add)	(21,000)
USAID Climate Change programs	390,000
Adaptation	145,000
Clean Energy	120,000
Sustainable Landscapes	125,000
Department of State Climate Change programs	129,300
Least Developed Countries Fund (non-add)	(45,000)
Special Climate Change Fund (non-add)	(25,000)
Western Hemisphere climate change	(10,000)
Global Environment Facility	143,750
Clean Technology Fund	315,000
Strategic Climate Fund	205,000
United Nations climate change programs	58,500
Tropical Forest Conservation Act	20,000
TOTAL Climate Change and Environment	1,476,550
Subtotal, State/USAID	792,800
Subtotal, Treasury	683,750

USAID is directed to provide \$10,000,000 for microfinance solar energy programs and to

continue to consult with the Committees on Appropriations on implementation of these programs.

USAID is directed to implement micro-finance and microenterprise programs, as specified in Senate Report 111-237. USAID is directed to develop a strategy to ensure that implementing organizations are providing fair interest rates to borrowers.

USAID is directed to expand programs that address property rights, and land tenure issues, taking into special consideration gender issues.

USAID is directed to implement the American Schools and Hospitals Abroad program, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

USAID is directed to integrate schools and educational programs, as appropriate, in health and development programs in order to continue to implement the "Communities of Learning" model and to consult with the Committees on Appropriations on a regular basis on these efforts. USAID is directed to increase its attention to the quality of education provided through United States-funded efforts and to prioritize measurement of early grades reading skills, including evidence-based instructional approaches, support for ministries in developing countries, high quality curricula, teaching and learning programs, and the systematic measurement of reading gains made by children in USAID-supported schools. Results of these assessments should be used to direct changes to existing programs, expand access to these tools in countries that are currently not measuring quality, and to begin development of math measurement tools. Section 7062 of the bill provides that funding under the DA and ESF headings can be used to support transitional education programs in humanitarian and other emergency situations.

Progress has been made in the past year to strengthen and streamline the World Bank's Education for All Fast Track Initiative (FTI). The bill provides not less than \$25,000,000 for the FTI in fiscal year 2011. USAID should continue its leadership role on the Executive Board and continue to provide assistance in the area of monitoring and evaluation. Basic education funding should be used to support implementation of reforms to develop a performance-based financing system based on mutual accountability between donors and recipients for achieving measurable results in access and quality; further expand civil society participation in governance; and build the FTI's capacity to act as a supervising entity to independently manage, disburse, and monitor the FTI's fund, with the World Bank moving to a financial intermediary role.

USAID shall follow the funding directives related to higher education programs, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

USAID shall follow the directive related to child marriage programs, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

USAID is directed to provide the report to the Committees on Appropriations on women's economic opportunities, as specified in House Report 111-187.

USAID and the Department of State are directed to continue to support programs that protect the rights of workers from inhumane working conditions and unfair wages. Such programs should be supported centrally through funding for labor programs under the Democracy Fund, and they should be made a priority in country programs in Mexico, Haiti, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, Indonesia, the Philippines, Vietnam, Pakistan and Iraq.

USAID is directed to implement the victims of torture program, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

USAID and the Department of State are directed to provide the report on the prevention and response to gender-based violence, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

USAID is directed to implement the safe water and sanitation program, as specified in Senate Report 111-237. USAID is directed to collaborate with United States small businesses specializing in developing country water purification, desalination and pumping stations powered with renewable energy technologies to ascertain critical countries, market sectors, financing/grant leveraging from the private sector and other Federal financing and export assistance programs, including those of multinational lending institutions, philanthropic and service organizations serving developing countries. In addition, USAID should make funding available for developing countries hit hardest by natural and human-caused disasters, and these funds should be directed toward projects using renewable energy powered water applications.

USAID is directed to implement university programs, as specified in Senate Report 111-237 and in House Report 111-187, and provide the requested report.

USAID is encouraged to implement Volunteers for Prosperity in a timely manner.

The bill provides \$22,500,000 for women's leadership capacity building, of which \$5,000,000 shall be implemented jointly by the Office of Global Women's Issues at the Department of State and overseas mission personnel. These funds should support projects that promote women's political, economic and social advancement in developing countries. Project proposals should not exceed \$200,000 and should support activities such as education, political empowerment, food security, climate change, gender-based violence, economic opportunity and entrepreneurship, property rights, and legal reform.

USAID and the Department of State shall follow the directive related to natural resource transparency in the allocation of extraction licenses and contracts, and the use of revenues; and to include benchmarks for effective natural resource management, transparency and accountability, as specified in Senate Report 111-237. USAID should ensure that funds are available for such programs in Liberia, Sierra Leone, Ghana, Angola, Nigeria, Cte d'Ivoire, and for the countries participating in the Congo Basin Forest Partnership.

USAID shall follow the directives related to Angola, South Africa, and Zimbabwe in Senate Report 111-237.

USAID is directed to support programs in Ethiopia, above the requested funding level, that strengthen rule of law and governance, including programs that promote political expression and association, media freedom, and human rights, especially through civil society strengthening.

USAID is directed to support programs in Ghana, above the requested funding level, that strengthen rule of law and increase government accountability as it relates to oil and other natural resources.

USAID is directed to support programs in Rwanda, above the requested funding level, that support political expression and association, media freedom, and human rights, including through civil society strengthening.

USAID is directed to provide \$1,500,000 to the Department of the Interior for biodiversity and archeological conservation, governance and law enforcement programs in Guatemala, as specified in Senate Report 111-237. In addition, the Secretary of State is di-

rected to report not later than 120 days after enactment of this Act on the role that United States assistance plays in addressing the needs of Guatemalan women and girls who have been the victims of violence.

USAID and the Department of State are directed to continue to prioritize programs in northern Uganda related to transitional justice and reconciliation. In addition, the Department of State is directed to provide election monitoring reports, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

USAID shall follow the directive related to funding for rainwater collection or other access to potable water for rural Ecuadorians affected by oil contamination, as specified in Senate Report 111-237. USAID is further directed to assist the Government of Ecuador regarding best practices for remediation and to work with the Ministry of Health in providing diagnosis and treatment for illnesses resulting from oil contamination.

The Department of State and USAID should consider proposals from the following organizations, in addition to those listed under the Proposals heading in Senate Report 111-237, which shall be considered in full and open competition, and in accordance with all applicable rules and regulations: Texas A&M University; Borlaug Global Rust Initiative; Esperanza; Florida Association for Volunteer Action in the Caribbean and Americas; Sanctuary of Moses Villages; Alfallit; OneWorld 2011; Population Media Center; Scholarships for Education and Economic Development; Empower Peace Foundation; Raphael Lemkin Center; University of Massachusetts-Boston Forum for Cities in Transition from Conflict; Millennium Water Alliance; Center for Victims of Torture; Women's Campaign International; and Leitner Center for International Law and Justice at Fordham Law School.

The Department of State and USAID should consider proposals from the following institutions, among others, including those listed under the University Programs heading in Senate Report 111-237, which shall be considered in full and open competition, and in accordance with all applicable rules and regulations: Marquette University; University of Kentucky; Monterrey Institute for International Studies; Daystar University; and Monmouth University.

USAID should consider proposals from the following institutions, among others, including those listed under the American Schools and Hospitals Abroad Program heading in Senate Report 111-237, which shall be considered in full and open competition, and in accordance with all applicable rules and regulations: American University of Armenia and Ashdod Emergency Medical Center.

Funds in this account are allocated, unless otherwise noted, according to the following table, and are subject to the provisions of section 7019 of this Act:

DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE

(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Country/Program	Budget Authority
Africa:	
Kenya	86,191
Senegal	75,150
Tribunal (non-add)	(2,000)
South Africa	20,000
Teacher training (non-add)	(5,000)
Uganda	75,000
Programs in northern Uganda (non-add)	(10,000)
East Asia and the Pacific:	
China	15,000
Indonesia	142,886
Human rights programs (non-add)	[500]
United States Forest Service (USFS) REDD programs (non-add)	[500]

DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE—Continued
(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Country/Program	Budget Authority
Philippines	35,000
Thailand	6,151
Timor-Leste	20,200
Vietnam	25,000
South and Central Asia:	
Nepal	6,200
Nepalese environmental organizations (non-add) ...	[200]
Western Hemisphere:	
Ecuador	28,000
Rainwater collection programs (non-add)	[500]
El Salvador	27,000
Salvadoran National Police Inspector General (non-add)	[200]
Guatemala	48,000
Department of the Interior conservation programs (non-add)	[1,500]
Mexico	10,000
Peru	68,000
Trade capacity building programs	[16,000]
Global Programs:	
Development Grants program	45,000
Reconciliation Programs	11,000
Trade Capacity Building—Central America	10,000

ECONOMIC SUPPORT FUND
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$6,787,589,000 for Economic Support Fund.

The Department of State and USAID shall follow the directives related to assistance for the demilitarization of the mining sector in the DRC, as specified in Senate Report 111-237, including the report on the Administration's efforts to work with the UN Security Council DRC Sanctions Committee. In addition, the Department of State and USAID are directed to consult with the Committees on Appropriations not later than 45 days after enactment of this Act on implementation of a strategy on mineral exploitation and gender-based violence.

The Committees on Appropriations direct the Secretary of State, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, to begin initiation of programs outlined in the comprehensive strategy required under the Lord's Resistance Army and Northern Uganda Recovery Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-172).

The Department of State and USAID shall follow the directives related to governance and transparency in the management of natural resources in Liberia, including the participation of civil society, as specified in Senate Report 111-237. In addition, USAID should support efforts to increase access to electricity and to strengthen other key transportation infrastructure.

USAID should support programs that seek to consolidate and build upon areas of relative stability in Somalia, including in Somaliland and Puntland through programs that support economic growth; address youth idleness and underemployment; and foster community-based peace-building and conflict mitigation.

USAID and the Department of State are directed to continue programs in Sudan that implement the Comprehensive Peace Agreement, support the January 2011 referendum, and continue efforts to assist civilians in Darfur. All United States Government efforts, including those of CSI and the Special Envoy to Sudan, should be coordinated and should be complementary to efforts undertaken by multilateral organizations. The Secretary of State and the Special Envoy shall provide a joint summary report on the steps that the League of Arab States (Arab League) and its member nations, and the Government of the People's Republic of China (PRC) have taken to help bring an end to the conflict and restore stability and peace to southern Sudan, including a description of the Administration's efforts to

engage the Arab League and the PRC to help resolve the conflict in Darfur.

The Department of State and USAID are directed to consult with the Committees on Appropriations prior to expanding programs in Zimbabwe, as provided for in section 7067(h).

Assistance for Cyprus shall support measures aimed at the reunification of the island. The Department of State shall provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations on the uses of funds for programs in Cyprus not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act. The Department of State and USAID shall follow the directives related to Cyprus in Senate Report 111-237.

USAID and the Department of State are directed to work with the Government of Egypt to identify and support programs which foster greater economic and educational opportunities for Egyptians, enhance political participation, and strengthen regional cooperation between Egypt and its neighbors. The bill does not include language related to cash transfer assistance due to the expiration of the memorandum of understanding on assistance. However, assistance should be provided in a manner that supports the efforts of the Government of Egypt to undertake significant economic and democratic reforms that are in addition to those which were undertaken in previous fiscal years, including making efforts to respect due process and the rights of its citizens to peaceful expression and association. In addition, USAID shall ensure that funding for scholarships is for Egyptian students with high financial need at educational institutions in Egypt that meet standards similar to those required for American accreditation.

Of the funding made available under this heading for Iraq, the Department of State should support programs for ethno-religious minorities, including through assistance for displaced and refugee populations and for such populations in the Nineveh Plains region. Further, the Department of State is directed to report in writing, not later than 45 days after enactment of this Act, on the planned use of these funds. Of the assistance provided for Iraq and the Middle East Partnership Initiative (MEPI), USAID is directed to provide up to \$10,000,000 to support Iraqi women's democracy initiatives. The Department of State and USAID shall follow directives related to Iraq in Senate Report 111-237.

USAID shall ensure that funding for scholarships is used for students in Lebanon with high financial need at educational institutions in Lebanon that meet standards similar to those required for American accreditation.

The Department of State shall follow the directives in Senate Report 111-237 related to scholarship programs managed by MEPI. The Department of State is directed to consult with the Committees on Appropriations regarding the strategy to promote tolerance and combat incitement as required in section 7041(c), including the programs that will be established to support the goals of such strategy.

Of the funds provided for West Bank and Gaza, not more than \$200,000,000 is for cash transfer assistance. The Secretary of State, in consultation with the USAID Administrator, is directed to report on measures undertaken to ensure appropriate oversight of United States assistance programs in Gaza as well as the delivery of humanitarian and reconstruction assistance. Such report should identify parties and activities that

impede the provision of such assistance, to include any organization designated as a foreign terrorist organization under section 219 of the Immigration and Nationality Act. The Secretary of State is further directed to facilitate a meeting between the relevant Israeli authorities and interested parties to discuss the matter surrounding the injury sustained by Tristan Anderson, an American citizen from Oakland, California, on March 13, 2009, in the West Bank village of Nilin. The Department of State is also directed to conduct thorough and transparent investigations of each case involving the death or serious injury of an American citizen in the West Bank and Gaza since 2001 and provide the reports detailing actions taken to investigate American citizen casualties in the West Bank and Gaza, as specified in Senate Report 111-237. The Department of State is directed to update the report required in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2010 (division F of Public Law 111-117) on international participation in the economic development of the West Bank and the Palestinian Authority.

The Secretary of State is directed to provide a comprehensive spend plan on assistance for Yemen prior to obligation of funds and should condition assistance for the Government of Yemen in order to obtain its full support on shared objectives, to ensure program effectiveness and reduce corruption.

The Department of State and USAID, in consultation with the Department of State's Ambassador-at-Large for Global Women's Issues, are directed to provide not less than \$175,000,000 to address the needs and protect the rights of Afghan women and girls, including for the Afghan Independent Human Rights Commission and the Afghan Ministry of Women's Affairs. Such assistance should be tied to measurable target indicators of progress in line with the United States Government's Afghan Women and Girls assistance strategy and the National Action Plan for Women of Afghanistan, and shall include a focus on multi-sectoral small grants and capacity building of Afghan women-led NGOs. Assistance provided should be tracked and monitored using gender equality indicators and, to the extent practicable, emphasize capacity building and sustainability. In addition, USAID shall ensure that funds are provided for literacy programs for women and girls.

The Department of State is directed to provide the report related to ammonium nitrate proliferation in Afghanistan, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

The Department of State and USAID are directed to provide not less than \$225,000,000 for the National Solidarity Program in Afghanistan. The Administration has indicated that additional government-to-government assistance will be provided for Afghanistan and the Department of State is directed to ensure that all appropriate precautions are taken to protect United States taxpayer funds. The National Solidarity Program may be a recipient of such funds if appropriate audit and financial controls are in place.

USAID is directed to use the Office of Transition Initiatives to the maximum extent practicable in areas impacted by conflict or prone to conflict in Pakistan and should expand programs that support the development of independent media and promote democracy and human rights. In addition, USAID is directed to consult with the Committees on Appropriations prior to the obligation of funds for the Pakistan Civilian

Assistance Program, which shall be used to assist civilians who have suffered losses as a result of military operations. The Department of State is directed to continue efforts to work with the Government of Pakistan to expand the licit tax base in Pakistan in order to ensure sustainability of its programs.

The Department of State is encouraged to provide support for the implementation of the United States-Colombia Action Plan on Racial and Ethnic Equality and the United States-Brazil Joint Action Plan on Racial and Ethnic Equality.

Funding transferred to the Migration and Refugee Assistance account shall be for emergency relief through international and indigenous NGOs for Colombian refugees in neighboring countries. USAID shall ensure that funds provided for Afro-Colombian and indigenous communities are programmed in consultation with these communities.

The Department of State and USAID shall follow the directives related to Haiti, as specified in Senate Report 111-237, and provide \$25,000,000 for bilateral basic education programs that support the Government of Haiti's basic education plan, which is being coordinated through the Inter-American Development Bank. Funds to support Haiti's education sector should only be made available to the extent that the Secretary of State reports to the Committees on Appropriations that such funds are matched by other donor contributions. In addition, USAID should consider supporting a center for victims of trauma in Haiti.

The Department of State shall follow the directive related to funding for the Salvadoran National Police Inspector General's Office, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

The Secretary of State is directed to provide a report not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act on how programs funded under this heading and the DA heading related to the Merida Initiative are achieving judicial and law enforcement reforms in Mexico.

The Department of State and USAID shall follow the directives related to Burma, as specified in Senate Report 111-237. USAID and the Department of State are directed to fulfill the programming requirements on the Thai-Burma border and consider expansion of such programs to the Burma-India, Burma-China, and Burma-Bangladesh borders.

The Department of State and USAID shall follow the directives related to Cambodia, Nepal, Thailand, and the Philippines, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

USAID should support capacity-building programs in Cambodia, above the requested funding level, that promote good governance and accountability in the management of timber and other natural resources, and should include a credible and comprehensive review of existing natural resource concessions and technical assistance to establish procedures to ensure transparency in the allocation and ownership of future concessions, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

The Department of State and USAID shall follow the directives related to Tibet, as specified in Senate Report 111-237. The Special Coordinator for Tibetan Issues should play an active role in the allocation of funds for programs and activities in Tibet, as authorized by Public Law 107-223. USAID should consider expanding its Tibetan program by assisting in long-term earthquake recovery in the Yushu region.

The Department of State and USAID shall follow the directives related to environ-

mental remediation of dioxin-contaminated sites and related health/disability activities in Vietnam, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

The Department of State is directed to continue the Civilian Science Research Initiative through programs that engage scientists in the establishment of new international cooperative research and development partnerships that provide former weapons scientists with the opportunity to participate in scientific research with their civilian counterparts and to develop skills relevant for sustained civilian employment.

The Department of State and USAID are directed to expand programs that promote transparency, accountability, and civil society participation related to the allocation of licenses and contracts for natural resources. USAID and the Department of State shall follow the directive related to natural resources transparency and the Kimberley Process, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

The Department of State and USAID shall follow the directives related to the Global Engagement initiative, as specified in Senate Report 111-237. Total funding for the initiative is detailed in the following table:

GLOBAL ENGAGEMENT INITIATIVE (Budget authority in thousands of dollars)	
Account	Budget Authority
Diplomatic and Consular Programs	3,500
Educational and Cultural Exchange Programs	13,500
Economic Support Fund	33,000
TOTAL, Global Engagement initiative	50,000

The Department of State is directed to ensure that polio vaccination efforts are incorporated into programs in Pakistan and Afghanistan.

The Department of State, through the Office of Oceans and International Environment and Scientific Affairs (OES), is directed to explore the possibility of the Global Environment Facility (GEF) providing developing countries with the option of nominating a national entity which meets agreed fiduciary standards to receive funding directly from the GEF and to undertake reforms to ensure the timely endorsement of National Adaptation Programme of Action projects. OES is also directed to work with the Forest Carbon Partnership Facility management team to ensure implementation of the World Bank's safeguard policies at all stages of the strategy process, including prior to the disbursement of grants.

The Secretary of State is directed to consult with other United States Government agencies and refugee assistance organizations, as appropriate, to develop strategies to respond to projected increases in short and long-term displacement of people by climate change-related hazards, such as floods, droughts and desertification causing decreases in agricultural productivity and the availability of water, and rising sea levels, and provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations detailing such strategies not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act.

The Department of State and USAID shall follow the directives related to Reconciliation Programs, as specified in Senate Report 111-237. USAID is directed to fund as many people-to-people activities as possible and to provide an annual report on the status of applications and funding for programs to the Committees on Appropriations not later than May 1, 2011.

USAID and the Department of State should ensure that trafficking programs include technical assistance to help countries meet minimum standards to prevent trafficking, prosecute perpetrators, protect victims (including at long-term residential rehabilitation facilities, where appropriate), and support research initiatives. The Department of State and USAID should ensure that assistance programs do not increase vulnerability to, or prevalence of, trafficking and slavery. Increased funding is provided for anti-trafficking activities in sub-Saharan Africa. The Department of State and USAID are directed to ensure that programs in India and Cambodia will continue to assist victims of trafficking, rape and other sexual violence, to improve the capacity of government institutions, including the judiciary, law enforcement (as appropriate), and civil society organizations, and to combat human trafficking and violence against women and children. Programs should be put in place to assist Haitian children living in bondage, known as "restaveks". Total funding for trafficking in persons programs is detailed in the following table:

TRAFFICKING IN PERSONS (Budget authority in thousands of dollars)	
Account	Budget authority
Diplomatic and Consular Programs—Operations	6,410
Development Assistance—Country Programs	6,250
Economic Support Fund	14,700
Office to Combat Trafficking in Persons (non-add)	12,000
Country Programs (non-add)	2,700
Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia	6,282
International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement for the Office to Combat Trafficking in Persons	9,400
Total, Trafficking in Persons	43,042

The Department of State and USAID shall follow the directives related to wheelchair and disability programs; forensic assistance programs; and the Near East Regional Democracy programs, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

The Committees on Appropriations note that continued funding for the International Fund for Ireland is provided under this heading, and intend that this will be the final United States contribution to the Fund.

The Department of State and USAID should consider proposals from the following organizations, in addition to those listed under the Proposals heading in Senate Report 111-237, which shall be considered in full and open competition, and in accordance with all applicable rules and regulations: University of Massachusetts, Boston; Street Law; Education for Employment Foundation; Hebrew University; Florida Association of Volunteer Action in the Caribbean; The Bridge Fund; CURE International Hospital and Family Health Center in Kabul; Alliance for Middle East Peace (including Seeds of Peace); American Bar Association Rule of Law Initiative; National Center for State Courts; and Cross International.

Funds in this account are allocated, unless otherwise noted, according to the following table, and are subject to the provisions of section 7019 of this Act:

ECONOMIC SUPPORT FUND (Budget authority in thousands of dollars)	
Country/program	Budget authority
Africa:	
DRC	69,000
Mining sector programs (non-add)	[10,000]
Liberia	140,000
Sierra Leone	18,000

ECONOMIC SUPPORT FUND—Continued

(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Country/program	Budget authority
Special Court (non-add)	[4,500]
Somalia	25,818
Sudan	270,210
Zimbabwe	50,200
Basic education programs (non-add)	[5,000]
East Asia and the Pacific:	
Burma	37,500
Cambodia	42,800
North Korea democracy assistance	3,500
Philippines	35,000
Thailand conflict resolution	2,500
Tibet	7,500
Vietnam	15,000
Environmental remediation of dioxin-contamination and related health/disability activities (non-add)	[15,000]
Europe and Eurasia:	
Cyprus	11,000
International Fund for Ireland	15,000
Near East:	
Egypt	250,000
Democracy, governance, and human rights programs (non-add)	[20,000]
Education programs (non-add)	[35,000]
Scholarships (non-add)	[10,000]
Iraq:	
Marla Ruzicka Fund (non-add)	[5,000]
Programs for ethno-religious minorities (non-add)	[20,000]
Jordan	360,000
Lebanon	109,000
Scholarships (non-add)	[12,000]
USFS (non-add)	[500]
Tunisia economic development and human rights programs	2,000
West Bank and Gaza	400,400
Local NGOs operating in the West Bank to strengthen civil society and improve social services for the Palestinian people (non-add)	[3,000]
Yemen	37,000
Near East Regional Democracy	40,000
Internet freedom (non-add)	[10,000]
MERC and MEM	5,000
USAID Middle East Regional for USFS programs in Egypt	100
Middle East Partnership Initiative	70,000
Scholarships (non-add)	[11,000]
TSCTP	6,000
South and Central Asia:	
Afghanistan	2,100,000
Afghan Civilian Assistance Program (non-add)	[20,000]
National Solidarity Program (non-add)	[225,000]
Programs for women and girls (non-add)	[175,000]
Nepal	35,000
Pakistan	1,275,000
Pakistan Civilian Assistance Program (non-add)	[10,000]
Sri Lanka	5,000
South and Central Asia Regional	4,500
Western Hemisphere:	
Colombia	195,000
Cuba	20,000
Guatemala	5,000
Haiti	166,281
Technical assistance for electricity production and distribution (non-add)	[2,000]
Basic education programs (non-add)	[25,000]
Mexico	10,000
Caribbean Basin Security Initiative	15,000
Global Programs:	
Disability Programs	5,000
USAID programs to address the needs of, and protect and promote the rights of, people with disabilities (non-add)	[2,500]
Support and promote independent living centers (non-add)	[1,500]
Sports programs (non-add)	[1,000]
Extractive Industries	5,000
House Democracy Assistance Commission	2,000
Kimberley Process	3,000
Support for Vice Chair activities (non-add)	[500]
Leahy War Victim Fund	14,000
Reconciliation Programs	16,000
Title VIII	5,000
Trade Capacity Building—Central America	10,000
Wheelchair Programs	5,000

DEMOCRACY FUND

The bill provides \$115,000,000 for Democracy Fund.

As part of its human rights programming, the Department of State is directed to consider programs that support international religious freedom and promote human rights of vulnerable populations, including in countries where the annual Department of State Human Rights Report has documented human rights violations.

The Department of State should consider the proposal listed under the Proposal heading in Senate Report 111-237, which shall be considered in full and open competition, and

in accordance with all applicable rules and regulations.

Funds in this account are allocated, unless otherwise noted, according to the following table, and are subject to the provisions of section 7019 of this Act:

DEMOCRACY FUND

(Budget Authority in thousands of dollars)

Program/activity	Budget authority
China, Hong Kong, Taiwan	20,000
Internet access and freedom	7,500
Unallocated	41,000
Human Rights and Democracy Fund	68,500
Elections and Political Process Fund	30,000
International Labor Programs	9,000
Support for Human Rights, Media and Rule of Law	7,500
USAID, DCHA/DG	46,500
Total, Democracy Fund	115,000

ASSISTANCE FOR EUROPE, EURASIA AND CENTRAL ASIA

The bill provides \$709,000,000 for Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia.

The Department of State should provide up to \$8,000,000 to address ongoing humanitarian needs and the plight of victims of the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict, in a manner consistent with prior years.

The Department of State is directed to provide \$8,500,000 for the North Caucasus for immediate and long-term needs of conflict-affected populations, as specified in Senate Report 111-237, subject to prior consultation with the Committees on Appropriations.

Of the funds made available for Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE), the Department of State is directed to provide not less than \$210,000 to support OSCE's education and police initiatives to combat anti-Semitism in Europe and Eurasia.

The Department of State and USAID should make assistance available for Roma communities who are experiencing discrimination and violence in some European countries through organizations working to protect Roma and their culture.

The Department of State shall follow the directives related to the USFS in Russia and Ukraine, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

The Committees on Appropriations support negotiations toward a peaceful settlement of the ongoing dispute between Armenia and Azerbaijan over the status of Nagorno-Karabakh and continue to encourage all parties to refrain from threats of violence, the use of inflammatory rhetoric or incitement to the use of force. The Committees on Appropriations note that section 907 of Public Law 102-511 is still in effect and the Secretary of State is directed to provide a report not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, analyzing the effectiveness of section 907 in furthering a resolution to the conflict that includes recommendations for increasing opportunities for reconciliation between parties.

The Department of State is directed to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations related to the extent to which the Government of Serbia is taking steps to end Serbian support of Republika Srpska institutions, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

The Department of State and USAID should consider proposals from the following organizations, in addition to those listed under the Proposals heading in Senate Report 111-237, which shall be considered in full and open competition, and in accordance

with all applicable rules and regulations: Civilian Research and Development Foundation; American Jewish Joint Distribution Committee; Miramed; and the School of Public Service at the American University in Kosovo.

Funds in this account are allocated, unless otherwise noted, according to the following table, and are subject to the provisions of section 7019 of this Act:

Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia

(BUDGET AUTHORITY IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

Country	Budget authority
Central Asia:	
Kazakhstan	10,400
Kyrgyz Republic	40,000
Tajikistan	40,000
Turkmenistan	10,000
Uzbekistan	8,250
Regional—Central Asia	6,700
Subtotal, Central Asia	115,350
Europe and Eurasia:	
Albania	20,000
Armenia	40,000
Azerbaijan	22,120
Belarus	14,000
Bosnia and Herzegovina	42,000
Office of the High Representative (non-add)	[3,700]
Georgia	68,000
Kosovo	79,000
Macedonia	22,000
Moldova	20,000
Montenegro	8,000
Russia	58,000
North Caucasus (non-add)	[8,500]
Serbia	48,000
Ukraine	85,000
Regional—Europe and Eurasia	67,530
Subtotal, Europe and Eurasia	593,650
Total, Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia	709,000

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

MIGRATION AND REFUGEE ASSISTANCE

The bill provides \$1,685,000,000 for Migration and Refugee Assistance, of which \$35,000,000 is provided to respond to small-scale emergency humanitarian requirements of international and NGO partners and not less than \$25,000,000 is for refugees resettling in Israel.

The Secretary of State should consider the guidance included under this heading in Senate Report 111-237 concerning refugees from countries in Africa (Somalia, Uganda and Eritrea), the Near East (Iraq), the Western Hemisphere (Colombia) and South Asia (Burma and Laos) as allocation and policy decisions are made for fiscal year 2011. The Secretary of State also should work with the Office of the UN High Commissioner for Refugees to develop durable solutions for Zimbabwean refugees.

Funds are provided to continue assistance for Tibetan refugees in India and Nepal. The Secretary of State should work with the Government of Nepal to address the problem of safe passage for Tibetan refugees, as noted in Senate Report 111-237.

Section 7041(e) continues the accountability report concerning assistance for the UN Relief and Works Agency.

UNITED STATES EMERGENCY REFUGEE AND MIGRATION ASSISTANCE FUND

The bill provides \$45,000,000 for United States Emergency Refugee and Migration Assistance Fund (ERMA) and amends the Migration and Refugee Assistance Act of 1962 to authorize the Secretary of State to make the required determination for the release of ERMA funds and to raise the cumulative cap to \$200,000,000.

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES
PEACE CORPS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$425,000,000 for Peace Corps.

The Peace Corps is directed to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act on the implementation of the Peace Corps' comprehensive agency assessment, including the rationale for the geographic and sectoral distribution of volunteers and efforts to pilot new, technical programs through an expanded Peace Corps Response Corps, or similar short-term missions.

The Peace Corps is directed to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations on the implementation of the Peace Corps Office of Inspector General's recommendations in the Report on Volunteer Safety and Security (IG-10-08-A) not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act.

MILLENNIUM CHALLENGE CORPORATION
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$1,105,000,000 for Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC).

The MCC is directed to continue to provide the spending plan as specified in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2010 (division F of Public Law 111-117).

The MCC is directed to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, which includes an assessment of the current practices, procedures and recommendations related to efforts to monitor and address patterns of corruption in MCC compact countries; and specific actions that the MCC will take to improve these efforts and the processes in place to determine whether the level of corruption warrants suspension or termination of the MCC compact.

In February 2008, the United States recognized Kosovo as a sovereign and independent state and as such Kosovo should be eligible for consideration of MCC assistance, in accordance with the MCC's regular procedures and operations.

The Chief Executive Officer of the MCC shall continue to implement funding restrictions for military assistance and training, and reimburse USAID for all expenses incurred, as directed in prior years.

Section 7085 of this Act provides authority permitting the MCC to support concurrent and subsequent compacts, subject to conditions, and to preserve income classification for certain countries.

AFRICAN DEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION

The bill provides \$30,500,000 for African Development Foundation (ADF).

The ADF has made progress in the past two years in reducing overhead expenses and prioritizing program spending. ADF should consider further organizational restructuring, consolidation of administrative services with similar organizations, purchase of services within the United States Government, and, where appropriate, selective outsourcing. The President of ADF shall report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act on the actions ADF will take in fiscal year 2011 to further reduce administrative costs, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
DEBT RESTRUCTURING

The bill provides \$56,000,000 for Debt Restructuring, of which up to \$36,000,000 may be used for debt relief for Haiti, and not less

than \$20,000,000 is to support implementation of the Tropical Forest Conservation Act.

TITLE IV

INTERNATIONAL SECURITY ASSISTANCE
DEPARTMENT OF STATE

INTERNATIONAL NARCOTICS CONTROL AND LAW
ENFORCEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$1,590,000,000 for International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement. The Secretary of State is directed to notify in writing and provide justification to the Committees on Appropriations within 5 days of exercising "notwithstanding" authority under this heading.

The Department of State is directed to ensure that all training of foreign security forces funded under this heading shall include a comprehensive human rights component and that all United States laws regarding human rights, including section 620M of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended by this Act, are consistently applied to assistance funded under this heading.

The Department of State shall follow the directive related to funding and a multi-year plan for the continued operation of the International Law Enforcement Academy (ILEA) at Roswell, New Mexico, as specified in Senate Report 111-237. The ILEAs shall incorporate gender-based violence training into its programs, as appropriate.

The Secretary of State is directed to submit a report not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act, briefly describing, on a country-by-country basis, justice reform, rule of law, and police training programs to be funded by the Department of State and other Federal agencies abroad in fiscal year 2011, including the objectives and intended results of such programs; the extent to which such programs are coordinated with country strategies established by USAID and the Department of State, and those of multilateral donors, as appropriate; the mechanisms for monitoring such funds; and the metrics for measuring progress in achieving results.

The Department of State is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 45 days after enactment of this Act on the proposed uses of funding for Colombia's judicial agencies, including activities to be supported, how the assistance program is designed and the benchmarks that have been established to meet the stated goals.

The Department of State shall follow the directive related to the Office of the Ombudsman Delegate for the Rights of Children, Youth, and Women in Colombia, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

The Department of State is directed to provide forensic equipment and training to states and localities in Mexico that have the highest rate of homicide and other violent crime to ensure local law enforcement agencies have the necessary tools to solve and prosecute these cases. Additionally, the Department of State shall follow the directives related to the interoperability of law enforcement communications equipment and technology, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

The Department of State is directed that unless otherwise noted, funding for global programs under this heading shall be presumed to be at the request level.

Funds in this account are allocated, unless otherwise noted, according to the following table, and are subject to the provisions of section 7019 of this Act:

INTERNATIONAL NARCOTICS CONTROL AND LAW
ENFORCEMENT

(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Country/Program	Budget Authority
Afghanistan	375,000
Bolivia	14,000
Colombia	196,500
Guatemala	4,000
CICIG (non-add)	(4,000)
Haiti	15,249
Indonesia	11,570
Iraq	162,000
Lebanon	30,000
Liberia	17,000
Mexico	100,000
Nepal	4,000
Pakistan	120,000
Paraguay	1,000
Peru	37,000
Sudan	32,000
Yemen	11,000
Central America Regional Security Initiative (CARSI) (Western Hemisphere Regional)	57,056
Caribbean Basin Security Initiative (CBSI)	22,500
Combating Copyright Piracy	5,000
International Law Enforcement Academies	37,200
Roswell, New Mexico (non-add)	(5,000)
Office to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons	9,400
Unallocated	328,525
Total, International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement	1,590,000

NONPROLIFERATION, ANTI-TERRORISM,
DEMINEING AND RELATED PROGRAMS

The bill provides \$740,000,000 for Nonproliferation, Anti-terrorism, Demining and Related Programs. The Secretary of State is directed to notify in writing and provide justification to the Committees on Appropriations within 5 days of exercising "notwithstanding" authority under this heading.

The bill does not include requested authorization language and funding regarding a new "Countering Violent Extremism" program/account. The Committees on Appropriations provide funding for these programs under ESF.

The bill provides not less than \$7,000,000 for the removal of cluster munitions and other unexploded ordnance in Laos and not less than \$3,500,000 for humanitarian demining activities in Vietnam. The Department of State shall follow the directive related to international demining in Senate Report 111-237.

The Department of State is directed to provide a report, as specified in Senate Report 111-237, describing the circumstances known to the Secretary that led to the release of convicted Lockerbie bomber Abdelbaset Ali Mohmed al-Megrahi from a Scottish prison.

Funds in this account are allocated according to the following table, and are subject to the provisions of section 7019 of this Act:

NONPROLIFERATION, ANTI-TERRORISM, DEMINEING AND
RELATED PROGRAMS

(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Programs	Budget Authority
Nonproliferation Programs	
1Nonproliferation and Disarmament Fund	57,000
Export Control and Related Border Security Assistance ..	61,520
Global Threat Reduction	71,000
Biosecurity Engagement Program (non-add)	(37,000)
1IAEA Voluntary Contribution	79,500
CTBT International Monitoring System	33,000
1Weapons of Mass Destruction Terrorism	2,000
UN Security Council Resolution 1540 Trust Fund	3,000
CTBT Preparatory Commission—Special Contributions	7,767
Subtotal—Nonproliferation Programs	314,787
Anti-Terrorism Programs	
Anti-terrorism Assistance	205,103
Terrorist Interdiction Program	43,050
CT Engagement with Allies	10,000
Counterterrorism Financing	20,950
Countering Violent Extremism	0
Subtotal—Anti-Terrorism Programs	279,103

NONPROLIFERATION, ANTI-TERRORISM, DEMINING AND RELATED PROGRAMS—Continued

(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Programs	Budget Authority
Regional Stability & Humanitarian Assistance	
Conventional Weapons Destruction	139,110
Humanitarian Demining Program (non-add)	[79,610]
Small Arms/Light Weapons Destruction (non-add)	[59,500]
International Trust Fund	7,000
Subtotal—Regional Stability & Humanitarian Assistance	146,110
Total, Nonproliferation, Anti-terrorism, Demining, and Related Programs	740,000

PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS

The bill provides \$305,000,000 for Peacekeeping Operations.

The Committees on Appropriations support the efforts of the Multinational Force and Observers mission in the Sinai, and endorse continued funding for force protection efforts.

Funds in this account are allocated, unless otherwise noted, according to the following table, and are subject to the provisions of section 7019 of this Act:

PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS

(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Country/Program	Budget Authority
Africa	
DRC	22,000
Liberia	5,000
Somalia	5,000
AMISOM (UNSOA) ¹ —Assessed peacekeeping costs	55,918
Sudan	42,000
Trans-Sahara Counter-terrorism Program	20,000
Africa Regional	15,600
East Africa Regional Strategic Initiative (EARS)	[10,000]
Africa Conflict Stabilization and Border Security	[5,600]
Unallocated	20,000
Subtotal—Africa	185,518
Global	
Global Peace Operations Initiative	93,482
Multinational Force and Observers	26,000
Subtotal—Global	119,482
Total, Peacekeeping Operations	305,000

¹ Requested within Contributions for International Peacekeeping Activities account.

FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY EDUCATION AND TRAINING

The bill provides \$107,000,000 for International Military Education and Training (IMET), of which \$3,500,000 is available until expended. The bill includes a general provision (section 7067(a)(1)) that provides that assistance for Angola, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, Guinea and Zimbabwe may be made available only for training related to international peacekeeping operations and expanded international military education and training (E-IMET). The bill prohibits funding for Equatorial Guinea and Somalia, and funding for the Kyrgyz Republic is restricted to E-IMET only.

The Department of State is directed that unless otherwise indicated in the table, funding for countries under this heading shall be presumed to be at the request level.

Funds in this account are allocated, unless otherwise noted, according to the following table, and are subject to the provisions of section 7019 of this Act:

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY EDUCATION AND TRAINING

(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Country/Program	Budget Authority
Armenia	450
Azerbaijan	450
Colombia	1,695
East Asia and Pacific Regional	230
Egypt	1,400
Equatorial Guinea	0
Guatemala	825
Haiti	220
Kyrgyz Republic (E-IMET only)	500
Mexico	1,100
Pakistan	4,100
Somalia	0
Sudan	225
Tunisia	1,325
Turkey	4,500
Vietnam	450
IMET Administrative Costs	5,120

FOREIGN MILITARY FINANCING PROGRAM

The bill provides \$5,440,000,000 for Foreign Military Financing Program (FMF).

The Committees on Appropriations recognize the challenges facing Guatemala from narcotics trafficking, organized crime, and clandestine groups that target social and political activists, provide \$1,000,000 for FMF programs for the Guatemalan Navy and Air Force, and permit assistance for the Army Corps of Engineers for limited purposes, while continuing to restrict funding for the Guatemalan Army in a general provision (section 7044(d)(2)) and subject to the conditions specified in Senate Report 111-237.

Prior to the obligation of funds under this heading for Honduras, the Secretary of State is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations that the Armed Forces of Honduras have withdrawn from internal policing and other civilian activities.

The Department of State shall provide the report, as specified in Senate Report 111-237, regarding Morocco.

The Department of State shall provide the report regarding Sri Lanka, as specified in Senate Report 111-237, to include crimes against humanity, war crimes, and violations of international humanitarian law.

Section 7068(d)(1) requires the Secretary of State to report to the Committees on Appropriations on Indonesia as specified in Senate Report 111-237, including on the steps taken to guarantee freedom of expression in Papua and the southern Moluccan Islands. Additionally, the Department of State is directed to consult with the Committees on Appropriations prior to any decision to provide training or other assistance to Unit 81 of the Indonesian Special Forces (Kopassus).

Section 7068(g) withholds from obligation \$3,000,000 of funds under this heading for the Philippines, until the Secretary of State provides the report to the Committees on Appropriations, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

The Secretary of State is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations on the events leading up to and surrounding the violent ethnic clashes in Osh, Kyrgyz Republic in June, 2010. The report should include whether there were any attempts to destabilize the government, the parties implicated in such efforts, and the involvement of any factions or units of Kyrgyz Republic Armed Forces. In addition, the Committees on Appropriations direct that pursuant to section 620M of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended by this Act, assistance may not be provided for any unit of the Kyrgyz Republic Armed Forces if the Secretary of State has credible information that such unit has committed a gross violation of internationally recognized human rights.

The Committees on Appropriations are concerned with reports of the use of torture

by units of the Bahraini Ministry of Interior's Criminal Investigations Directorate and the Bahrain National Security Agency, and the Department of State is directed to consult with the Committees if it intends to provide assistance to such units.

Funds in this account are allocated, unless otherwise noted, according to the following table, subject to the provisions of section 7019 of this Act:

FOREIGN MILITARY FINANCING PROGRAMS

(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Country/Program	Budget Authority
Armenia	3,500
Azerbaijan	3,500
Bahrain	19,500
Cambodia	500
Colombia	44,500
Maritime Interdiction and Riverine Operations (non-add)	[12,500]
Egypt	1,300,000
Ethiopia	843
Georgia	16,000
Guatemala	1,000
Haiti	1,600
Indonesia	22,000
Israel	3,000,000
Jordan	300,000
Lebanon	100,000
Mexico	6,000
Morocco	9,000
Oman	13,000
Pakistan	288,000
Philippines	33,000
Poland	42,000
Tunisia	12,500
Ukraine	11,000
Yemen	35,000
Caribbean Basin Security Initiative	16,000
FMF Admin Cost	56,583
Unallocated	104,974
Total	5,440,000

PAKISTAN COUNTERINSURGENCY CAPABILITY FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$1,000,000,000 for Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund and continues the provisions contained in the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111-32), which established the account to address the extraordinary security situation in Pakistan and ensure such assistance aligns with United States foreign policy objectives in Pakistan and the broader region. Funding is intended for training and equipping of Pakistan's security forces with a focus on the Army, the Special Services Group, Army Aviation, and the paramilitary Frontier Scouts and supports a long-term partnership with Pakistan to address issues of international terrorism, poverty and development. The Committees on Appropriations are concerned with reports that Pakistani security forces have denied access to humanitarian organizations to internally displaced persons (IDPs) and other vulnerable populations and detainees. Accordingly, the bill includes explicit authority to support training in civil-military humanitarian assistance. The Committees on Appropriations direct that section 620M of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended by this Act, shall be applied to assistance for Pakistan in this Act to ensure that it is not misused and that individuals responsible for abuses are appropriately punished.

TITLE V

MULTILATERAL ASSISTANCE

FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND PROGRAMS
The bill provides \$395,500,000 for International Organizations and Programs.

The Department of State is directed to consider support to international science facilities in order to foster new scientific discoveries through voluntary contributions to appropriate organizations.

The Department of State is directed to provide the report related to the Organization of American States, as specified in Senate Report 111-237.

The Department of State should actively work within the UN system to ensure that countries with records on women's human rights that are consistent with international standards are elected to leadership positions at UN Women—the UN Entity on Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women.

Funds in this account are allocated, unless otherwise noted, according to the following table, and are subject to the provisions of section 7019 of this Act:

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND PROGRAMS

[Budget authority in thousands of dollars]

Programs	Budget Authority
Center for Human Settlements	2,100
International Civil Aviation Organization	950
International Conservation Programs	8,000
International Contributions for Scientific, Educational & Cultural Activities	1,000
International Development Law Organization	600
IMO Maritime Security Programs	400
International Panel of Climate Change/UN Framework Convention on Climate Change	13,500
Montreal Protocol Multilateral Fund	25,500
OAS Development Assistance Programs	3,700
OAS Fund for Strengthening Democracy	2,500
UN Capital Development Fund	1,000
UN Children's Fund	134,000
UN Democracy Fund	4,000
UN Development Program	97,000
UN Environment Program	11,500
UN High Commissioner for Human Rights	7,000
UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs	3,100
UN Population Fund	57,500
UN Voluntary Fund for Technical Cooperation in the Field of Human Rights	1,425
UN Voluntary Fund for Victims of Torture	7,200
UN Women's Fund (UNIFEM)/UN Development Fund for Women	6,500
UNIFEM Trust Fund	3,725
World Meteorological Organization	2,200
World Trade Organization Technical Assistance	1,100
International Chemicals and Toxin Programs	0
Total, International Organizations and Programs	395,500

INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

The Department of the Treasury is directed to review all general capital increase requirements to ensure that only those with the highest priority and relevance are submitted as part of the fiscal year 2012 budget request. At a time of tight fiscal estimates, it is critical that the United States only make commitments that are necessary to further United States interests.

The Department of the Treasury is directed to work with the international financial institutions to ensure that programs address pandemic preparedness among other global health priorities.

The Department of the Treasury is directed to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act on the steps being taken to require that each of the international financial institutions, including the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund, initiate a full review of their respective compensation systems to ensure that they do not outpace the cost of living and are consistent with the austerity measures that are being undertaken by member states.

The Department of the Treasury is directed to seek to ensure that the United States share of funding in international funds, including the Clean Technology Fund, the Global Food Security Fund, and the Strategic Climate Fund, does not exceed 33 percent of the total amount of funds contributed to any such Fund from all sources in the coming years.

The Secretary of the Treasury is directed to seek to ensure that all international financial institutions provide financing to the Government of Haiti on a grant-only basis.

The Secretary of the Treasury is directed to seek to ensure that all loans from international financial institutions are in compliance with the Department's Guidance to Multilateral Development Banks for Engaging with Developing Countries on Coal-Fired Power Generation. In addition, as the Department of the Treasury and the Department of State continue negotiations for the possible establishment of a Green Fund, actions should be taken to ensure that this new entity reflects this policy guidance.

The Secretary of the Treasury shall provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act that describes for each international financial institution the amount and type of assistance provided, by country, for the extraction and export of oil, gas, coal, timber, or other natural resources in the preceding 12 months; and the consideration given by each institution, when providing such assistance, to whether the country has in place functioning systems to account for revenues from such extraction and exports.

The Department of the Treasury is directed to request that the World Bank commission an independent evaluation of the impact of the Bank's current forest policy on poverty alleviation, deforestation, and forest degradation at the local and national levels, and the expected carbon emissions that will result from the implementation of such policy, and post the findings on the Bank's Web site not later than one year after enactment of this Act.

CONTRIBUTION TO THE CLEAN TECHNOLOGY FUND

The bill provides \$315,000,000 for Contribution to the Clean Technology Fund.

The Department of the Treasury is directed to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations, the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, and the House Financial Services Committee not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act that describes the purpose and progress of each project supported by the Fund, including the extent to which assistance made available by the Fund has reduced or will reduce greenhouse gas emissions in recipient countries; and how each project furthers the Fund's investment plan for the country or countries in which the project is implemented.

CONTRIBUTION TO THE STRATEGIC CLIMATE FUND

The bill provides \$205,000,000 for Contribution to the Strategic Climate Fund.

The Department of the Treasury is directed to consult with the Committees on Appropriations on the allocation of funds between the Pilot Program for Climate Resilience, the Forest Investment Program and the Program for Scaling-Up Renewable Energy in Low-Income Countries prior to the obligation of funds. Programs funded should complement bilateral investments in adaptation, sustainable landscapes protection, and clean energy.

GLOBAL FOOD SECURITY FUND

The bill provides \$215,000,000 for Global Food Security Fund. The Committees on Appropriations direct that these funds be provided to the Global Agriculture and Food Security Program Trust Fund at the World Bank. Programs funded should complement bilateral investments in agricultural development and food security.

The Department of the Treasury is directed to continue its efforts to ensure accountability and transparency of programs funded through the Fund, including fair rep-

resentation on the Board for all members of the private sector, including private businesses, foundations and NGOs.

CONTRIBUTION TO THE ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK

The bill provides \$106,586,000 for Contribution to the Asian Development Bank (ADB) for the first of five scheduled United States paid-in capital contributions to the ADB's Fifth General Capital Increase (GCI-V).

LIMITATION ON CALLABLE CAPITAL SUBSCRIPTIONS

The bill includes a limitation on the amount that the United States Governor of the ADB may subscribe to the callable portion of the United States share of the GCI-V in an amount not to exceed \$2,558,048,769 in fiscal year 2011.

TITLE VI

EXPORT AND INVESTMENT ASSISTANCE EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE UNITED STATES ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

The bill provides \$99,000,000 for Administrative Expenses for the Export-Import Bank.

The Board of the Export-Import Bank is directed to prioritize within its administrative expenses budget, funding for the Bank's small business initiative to establish up to 15 new regional offices, and limit, to the degree possible, increased funding for additional permanent headquarters staff. The Export-Import Bank is directed to provide a spending plan to the Committees on Appropriations for the uses of funds under this heading not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act.

The Export-Import Bank is directed to continue the reporting requirement regarding renewable energy or end-use energy efficiency technologies, specified in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2010 (division F of Public Law 111-117). The Bank shall provide the report contained in Senate Report 111-237 addressing steps taken to implement the Government Accountability Office's recommendations regarding the Bank's failure to allocate 10 percent of its annual financing to renewable energy or energy efficiency technologies, as directed by Congress.

OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The bill provides \$29,000,000 for Program Account of the Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC).

OPIC is directed to provide the reports and continue the consultations required in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2010 (division F of Public Law 111-117).

TITLE VII GENERAL PROVISIONS

The following general provisions from the Department of State, Foreign Operations and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2010 (division F of Public Law 111-117) were dropped: 7068, 7074, 7080 and 7091. All other longstanding general provisions were retained, modified, or incorporated into this Act.

Sec. 7002. Unobligated Balances Report.

This provision directs departments and agencies that receive funds provided by this Act to provide a quarterly accounting of funds that remain unobligated and unexpended, which should disaggregate the funds by fiscal year to the extent it can be supported by the agency's or department's financial management systems and processes.

The Secretary of State is directed to report unobligated and unexpended balances for future supplemental appropriations disaggregated by fiscal year.

Sec. 7008. Coups d'Etat.

The modifications to this provision shall apply to prospective coups d'etat and shall not apply to retrospective assessments.

Sec. 7034. Special Provisions.

The World Food Program is directed to continue to work collaboratively with USAID and the Department of State in providing assistance for high risk countries.

The Secretary of State, Secretary of the Treasury, USAID Administrator, and the heads of the MCC, BBG, OPIC, and the Export-Import Bank of the United States, are directed to report, in writing, to the Committees on Appropriations that they have instituted a policy to eliminate unnecessary idling of motor vehicles owned or leased by the United States Government. Such a policy may include exceptions to accommodate essential security, health, or safety concerns, and if necessary to perform a job function, ensure safe operating conditions, or to operate a motor vehicle in accordance with manufacturer specifications.

Sec. 7045. Colombia.

The bill includes language by reference similar to prior years, except that it modifies the requirements of 7046(b)(1)(B), (b)(2), (c), and (d)(1) from the Department of State, Foreign Operations and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2009 (division H of Public Law 111–8, as amended).

Funds made available in this Act for Colombia are to be allocated according to the following table, and are subject to the provisions of section 7019 of this Act:

COLOMBIA ALL SPIGOTS CHART

(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Programs/Accounts	Budget Authority
Foreign Military Financing Program	44,500
International Military Education and Training	1,695
Nonproliferation, Anti-terrorism, Demining and Related Programs	4,750
Economic Support Fund	
Support for Democracy	15,000
Alternative Development	115,000
Afro-Colombian/Indigenous Communities (non-add)	[12,000]
Biodiversity Conservation (non-add)	[3,000]
Support for Vulnerable Groups/IDPs	39,000
Demobilization and Reintegration	18,000
Transfer to MRA	8,000
Subtotal—Economic Support Fund	195,000
International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement Support for Rule of Law Programs	
Human Rights (USAID)	7,000
Judicial Reform Programs	7,000
Office of the Ombudsman Delegate for the Rights of Children, Youth and Women in Colombia	200
Defensoria del Pueblo	1,000
Office of the Attorney General	16,000
Human Rights Unit	[7,000]
Justice and Peace Unit	[5,000]
Witness/Victims Protection Program	[3,000]

COLOMBIA ALL SPIGOTS CHART—Continued

(Budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Programs/Accounts	Budget Authority
Forensic Investigations	[1,000]
UN High Commissioner for Human Rights	500
Carabineros	4,000
Individual Deserter Program	500
Demand Reduction	500
Money Laundering	300
Subtotal—Support for Rule of Law Programs	37,000
Interdiction, Eradication and Support Support to the Colombian Military	
Army Counterdrug Mobile Brigade	500
Army Aviation Support	22,500
Air Bridge Denial Program	1,000
Navy Maritime Interdiction Support	5,000
Subtotal—Support to the Colombian Military	29,000
Support to Colombian National Police	
Aviation	45,000
Eradication	40,000
Interdiction	20,000
Subtotal—Support to the Colombian National Police	105,000
Program, Development and Support United States Personnel	2,029
LES	2,151
ICASS Costs	1,010
Program Support	1,510
Subtotal—Program, Development and Support	6,700
Critical Flight Safety Program	
Huey-II wiring	2,000
Aircraft/Aircrew Safety of Flight	1,400
Rotary Wing Depot (UH–60, Huey-II)	10,000
Fixed Wing Depot (C208, C–27 and AT–802)	5,400
Subtotal—Critical Flight Safety Program	18,800
Subtotal—Interdiction, Eradication and Support	159,500
Subtotal—Colombia INCLE	196,500
Regional INCLE	
International Law Enforcement Academy	300
Interregional Aviation Support	11,250
Subtotal—Regional INCLE	11,550
Total—INCLE	208,050
Total—Colombia	453,995

Sec. 7080. Transparency, Accountability and Anti-Kleptocracy.

The Department of the Treasury is directed to continue to provide the report to the Committees on Appropriations related to the International Monetary Fund's (IMF) New Arrangements to Borrow as outlined in section 7090(d) of the Department of State, Foreign Operations and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111–117).

The Secretary of the Treasury is directed to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations within 30 days after the Board of Executive Directors of the IMF approves a loan for a country where the amount of the net public debt of the country exceeds 60 percent of the gross domestic product and the country is not eligible for assistance from the International Development Association, outlining the likelihood that the loan will be repaid. The report should also describe the borrowing country's current debt status, including to the extent possible, its maturity

structure, whether it has fixed or floating rates, whether it is indexed, and by whom it is held; the borrowing country's external and internal vulnerabilities that could potentially affect its ability to repay; and the borrowing country's debt management strategy.

The bill limits assistance to the central government of countries that do not fully disclose their national budget and government contracts and licenses for natural resource exploitation. If the Secretary of State waives this requirement, the Department of State is required to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations that includes current data on the country's budget transparency; identifies any steps taken by such government to publicly disclose its national budget which are additional to those which were undertaken in previous fiscal years; includes recommendations of short- and long-term steps that the government can take to improve budget transparency; and identifies benchmarks for measuring progress in countries that receive a waiver.

Sec. 7082. Buying Power Maintenance, International Organizations.

This provision establishes a Buying Power Maintenance account under the Contributions to International Organizations heading to enable the Department of State to offset adverse fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates related to United States assessed contributions to international organizations. While the Committees on Appropriations recognize the budgeting challenges related to exchange rate fluctuations, the Secretary of State is directed to continue to utilize funding that remains available after paying the annual assessed contributions to continue to synchronize contributions in the fiscal year in which they are due. The Secretary is also directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations by October 30, 2011, on the total amount transferred into the Buying Power Maintenance account in fiscal year 2011 and the sources of this funding.

Sec. 7088. Inspectors General Personnel.

The Inspectors General of the Department of State and USAID should not exercise the waiver authority in this section for annuitants who have been retired for seven years or more, except in exceptional circumstances.

DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, neither this division nor its accompanying explanatory statement contains any congressional earmarks, congressionally directed spending items, limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in the applicable House or Senate rules.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF STATE AND RELATED AGENCY					
DEPARTMENT OF STATE					
Administration of Foreign Affairs					
Diplomatic and consular programs	6,640,786	7,981,500	7,992,500	+ 1,351,714	+ 11,000
Agency acquisition workforces (Sec. 7059)		(3,021)	(2,000)	(+ 2,000)	(- 1,021)
Worldwide security protection	1,586,214	1,560,700	1,560,700	- 25,514	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	1,326,000			- 1,326,000	
Total, Diplomatic and consular programs	9,553,000	9,542,200	9,553,200	+ 200	+ 11,000
Appropriations	(8,227,000)	(9,542,200)	(9,553,200)	(+ 1,326,200)	(+ 11,000)
Emergency appropriations	(1,326,000)			(- 1,326,000)	
Civilian stabilization initiative	120,000	184,000	35,000	- 85,000	- 149,000
Rescission (Public Law 111-226)	- 40,000			+ 40,000	
Total, Civilian stabilization initiative	80,000	184,000	35,000	- 45,000	- 149,000
Capital investment fund	139,000	144,100	139,000		- 5,100
Office of Inspector General	100,000	120,152	115,000	+ 15,000	- 5,152
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	3,600			- 3,600	
Total, OIG	103,600	120,152	115,000	+ 11,400	- 5,152
Educational and cultural exchange programs	635,000	633,200	654,200	+ 19,200	+ 21,000
Representation allowances	8,175	8,175	8,175		
Protection of foreign missions and officials	28,000	27,200	30,000	+ 2,000	+ 2,800
Embassy security, construction, and maintenance	876,850	857,300	913,300	+ 36,450	+ 56,000
Worldwide security upgrades	847,300	824,200	925,000	+ 77,700	+ 100,800
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	79,000			- 79,000	
Total, Embassy security	1,803,150	1,681,500	1,838,300	+ 35,150	+ 156,800
Emergencies in the diplomatic and consular service	10,000	11,000	10,500	+ 500	- 500
Buying Power maintenance account	8,500			- 8,500	
Repatriation Loans Program Account:					
Direct loans subsidy	739	739	739		
Administrative expenses	711	711	711		
Total, Repatriation loans program account	1,450	1,450	1,450		
Payment to the American Institute in Taiwan	21,174	21,420	21,420	+ 246	
Payment to the Foreign Service Retirement and Disability Fund	158,900	158,900	158,900		
Total, Administration of Foreign Affairs	12,549,949	12,533,297	12,565,145	+ 15,196	+ 31,848
Emergency appropriations	(1,408,600)			(- 1,408,600)	
International Organizations					
Contributions to international organizations, current year assessment	1,682,500	1,595,430	1,545,430	- 137,070	- 50,000
Contributions for international peacekeeping activities, current year assessment	2,125,000	2,182,300	2,096,382	- 28,618	- 85,918
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	96,500			- 96,500	
Total, International Organizations	3,904,000	3,777,730	3,641,812	- 262,188	- 135,918
Appropriations	(3,807,500)	(3,777,730)	(3,641,812)	(- 165,688)	(- 135,918)
Emergency appropriations	(96,500)			(- 96,500)	
International Commissions					
International Boundary and Water Commission, United States and Mexico:					
Salaries and expenses	33,000	47,431	47,431	+ 14,431	
Construction	43,250	26,900	26,900	- 16,350	
Total, International Commission	76,250	74,331	74,331	- 1,919	
Appropriations	76,250	74,331	74,331	- 1,919	
American sections, international commissions	12,608	12,355	12,655	+ 47	+ 300
International fisheries commissions	53,976	43,600	51,000	- 2,976	+ 7,400
Total, International commissions	142,834	130,286	137,986	- 4,848	+ 7,700
RELATED AGENCY					
Broadcasting Board of Governors					
International Broadcasting Operations	733,788	755,143	744,500	+ 10,712	- 10,643
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	3,000			- 3,000	
Total, International broadcasting	736,788	755,143	744,500	+ 7,712	- 10,643
Broadcasting capital improvements	12,622	13,635	6,875	- 5,747	- 6,760
Total, Broadcasting Board of Governors	749,410	768,778	751,375	+ 1,965	- 17,403
Appropriations	(746,410)	(768,778)	(751,375)	(+ 4,965)	(- 17,403)
Emergency appropriations	(3,000)			(- 3,000)	
Related Programs					
The Asia Foundation	19,000	15,690	19,000		+ 3,310
United States Institute of Peace operating expenses	49,220	46,550	44,050	- 5,170	- 2,500
Center for Middle Eastern-Western dialogue	875	840	840	- 35	
Eisenhower Exchange Fellowship program	500	500	500		
Israeli Arab scholarship program	375	375	375		
East-West Center	23,000	11,400	23,100	+ 100	+ 11,700
National Endowment for Democracy	118,000	105,000	128,500	+ 10,500	+ 23,500
Total, Related programs	210,970	180,355	216,365	+ 5,395	+ 36,010
OTHER COMMISSIONS					
Commission for the Preservation of America's Heritage Abroad					
Salaries and expenses	635	647	647	+ 12	
Commission on International Religious Freedom					
Salaries and expenses	4,300	4,400	4,350	+ 50	- 50
Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe					
Salaries and expenses	2,610	2,715	2,715	+ 105	
Congressional-Executive Commission on the People's Republic of China					
Salaries and expenses	2,000	2,000	2,000		

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En-acted	FY 2011 Re-quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En-acted	This Bill vs Re-quest
United States-China Economic and Security Review Commission					
Salaries and expenses	3,500	3,500	3,625	+ 125	+ 125
Total, title I, Department of State and Related Agency	17,570,208	17,403,708	17,326,020	- 244,188	- 77,688
Appropriations	(16,102,108)	(17,403,708)	(17,326,020)	(+ 1,223,912)	(- 77,688)
Emergency appropriations	(1,508,100)			(- 1,508,100)	
TITLE II—ADMINISTRATION OF FOREIGN ASSISTANCE					
Funds Appropriated to the President					
United States Agency for International Development					
Operating expenses of the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID)	1,388,800	1,472,476	1,392,000	+ 3,200	- 80,476
Agency acquisition workforces (Sec. 7058)		(3,530)	(3,530)	(+ 3,530)	
Civilian stabilization initiative	30,000		15,000	- 15,000	+ 15,000
Rescission (Public Law 111-226)	- 30,000			+ 30,000	
Total, Civilian stabilization initiative			15,000	+ 15,000	+ 15,000
Capital Investment Fund	185,000	173,000	173,000	- 12,000	
Operating expenses of the U.S. Agency for International Development Office of Inspector General	45,500	46,500	46,500		
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	7,900			- 7,900	
Total, title II, Administration of Foreign Assistance	1,628,200	1,691,976	1,628,500	- 1,700	- 65,476
Appropriations	(1,650,300)	(1,691,976)	(1,628,500)	(- 23,800)	(- 65,476)
Emergency appropriations	(7,900)			(- 7,900)	
TITLE III—BILATERAL ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE					
Funds Appropriated to the President					
Global Health and Child Survival:					
U.S. Agency for International Development	2,420,000	3,013,000	2,722,000	+ 302,000	- 291,000
Department of State	5,359,000	5,500,000	5,500,000	+ 141,000	
(Global fund contribution)	(750,000)	(700,000)	(825,000)	(+ 75,000)	(+ 125,000)
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	45,000			- 45,000	
Total, Global Health and Child Survival	7,824,000	8,513,000	8,222,000	+ 398,000	- 291,000
Appropriations	(7,779,000)	(8,513,000)	(8,222,000)	(+ 443,000)	(- 291,000)
Emergency appropriations	(45,000)			(- 45,000)	
Development assistance	2,520,000	2,980,896	2,767,700	+ 247,700	- 213,196
(Transfer out)		(- 35,000)	(- 35,000)	(- 35,000)	
Subtotal	2,520,000	2,980,896	2,767,700	+ 247,700	- 213,196
International disaster assistance	845,000	860,700	851,000	+ 6,000	- 9,700
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	460,000			- 460,000	
Subtotal, Disaster assistance	1,305,000	860,700	851,000	- 454,000	- 9,700
Transition initiatives	55,000	48,000	55,000		+ 7,000
Complex Crisis fund	50,000	100,000	55,000	+ 5,000	- 45,000
Development Credit Authority:					
(By transfer)	(25,000)	(35,000)	(35,000)	(+ 10,000)	
Administrative expenses	8,600	8,300	8,300	- 300	
Economic Support Fund:					
Egypt	250,000	250,000	250,000		
Other	6,087,000	7,561,982	6,537,589	+ 450,589	- 1,024,393
International Fund for Ireland			(15,000)	(+ 15,000)	(+ 15,000)
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	2,490,000			- 2,490,000	
Total, Economic support fund	8,827,000	7,811,982	6,787,589	- 2,039,411	- 1,024,393
Appropriations	(6,337,000)	(7,811,982)	(6,787,589)	(+ 450,589)	(- 1,024,393)
Emergency appropriations	(2,490,000)			(- 2,490,000)	
Democracy Fund	120,000		115,000	- 5,000	+ 115,000
International Fund for Ireland	17,000			- 17,000	
Assistance for Eastern Europe and Eurasia	741,632	716,354	709,000	- 32,632	- 7,354
Department of State					
Migration and refugee assistance	1,685,000	1,605,400	1,685,000		+ 79,600
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	165,000			- 165,000	
Total, Migration and refugee assistance	1,850,000	1,605,400	1,685,000	- 165,000	+ 79,600
United States Emergency Refugee and Migration Assistance Fund	45,000	45,000	45,000		
Total, Department of State	1,895,000	1,650,400	1,730,000	- 165,000	+ 79,600
Appropriations	(1,730,000)	(1,650,400)	(1,730,000)		(+ 79,600)
Emergency appropriations	(165,000)			(- 165,000)	
Independent Agencies					
Peace Corps	400,000	446,150	425,000	+ 25,000	- 21,150
Millennium Challenge Corporation	1,105,000	1,279,700	1,105,000		- 174,700
Rescission (Public Law 111-226)	- 50,000			+ 50,000	
Total, Millennium Challenge Corporation	1,055,000	1,279,700	1,105,000	+ 50,000	- 174,700
Inter-American Foundation	23,000	22,760	24,500	+ 1,500	+ 1,740
African Development Foundation	30,000	30,000	30,500	+ 500	+ 500
Total, Independent Agencies	1,508,000	1,778,610	1,585,000	+ 77,000	- 193,610
Department of the Treasury					
International Affairs Technical Assistance	25,000	38,000	30,000	+ 5,000	- 8,000
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	7,100			- 7,100	
Total, International Affairs Technical	32,100	38,000	30,000	- 2,100	- 8,000
Debt restructuring	60,000	70,000	56,000	- 4,000	- 14,000
Total, Department of the Treasury	92,100	108,000	86,000	- 6,100	- 22,000
Total, title III, Bilateral economic assistance	24,963,332	24,576,242	22,971,589	- 1,991,743	- 1,604,653
Appropriations	(21,846,232)	(24,576,242)	(22,971,589)	(+ 1,125,357)	(- 1,604,653)
Emergency appropriations	(3,167,100)			(- 3,167,100)	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En- acted	FY 2011 Re- quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En- acted	This Bill vs Re- quest
(By transfer)	(25,000)	(35,000)	(35,000)	(+ 10,000)
(Transfer out)	(- 35,000)	(- 35,000)	(- 35,000)
TITLE IV—INTERNATIONAL SECURITY ASSISTANCE					
Department of State					
International narcotics control and law enforcement	1,597,000	2,136,041	1,590,000	- 7,000	- 546,041
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	1,181,660	- 1,181,660
Total, International narcotics control	2,778,660	2,136,041	1,590,000	- 1,188,660	- 546,041
Nonproliferation, anti-terrorism, demining and related programs	754,000	757,613	740,000	- 14,000	- 17,613
Peacekeeping operations	331,500	285,950	305,000	- 26,500	+ 19,050
Funds Appropriated to the President					
International Military Education and Training	108,000	110,000	107,000	- 1,000	- 3,000
Foreign Military Financing Program:					
Grants:					
Israel	2,220,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	+ 780,000
Egypt	1,040,000	1,300,000	1,300,000	+ 260,000
Other	935,000	1,173,348	1,140,000	+ 205,000	- 33,348
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	100,000	- 100,000
Total, Foreign Military Financing Program	4,295,000	5,473,348	5,440,000	+ 1,145,000	- 33,348
Appropriations	(4,195,000)	(5,473,348)	(5,440,000)	(+ 1,245,000)	(- 33,348)
Emergency appropriations	(100,000)	(- 100,000)
Pakistan counterinsurgency capability fund	1,200,000	1,000,000	+ 1,000,000	- 200,000
Total, title IV, Security assistance	8,267,160	9,962,952	9,182,000	+ 914,840	- 780,952
Appropriations	(6,985,500)	(9,962,952)	(9,182,000)	(+ 2,196,500)	(- 780,952)
Emergency appropriations	(1,281,650)	(- 1,281,650)
TITLE V—MULTILATERAL ASSISTANCE					
Funds Appropriated to the President					
International Organizations and Programs	394,000	350,550	395,500	+ 1,500	+ 44,950
International Financial Institutions					
Clean Technology Fund	300,000	400,000	315,000	+ 15,000	- 85,000
Strategic Climate Fund	75,000	235,000	205,000	+ 130,000	- 30,000
Contribution to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development:					
Global Environment Facility	86,500	175,000	143,750	+ 57,250	- 31,250
Contribution to the International Development Association	1,262,500	1,285,000	1,235,000	- 27,500	- 50,000
Contribution to the Enterprise for the Americas Multilateral Investment Fund	25,000	25,000	25,000
Contribution to the Inter-American Investment Corporation	4,670	21,000	21,000	+ 16,330
Contribution to the Asian Development Fund	105,000	115,250	77,000	- 28,000	- 38,250
Asian development bank paid in capital	106,586	106,586	+ 106,586
(Limitation on callable capital)	(2,558,049)	(2,558,049)	(+ 2,558,049)
Contribution to the African Development Bank:					
Contribution to the African Development Fund	155,000	155,940	150,000	- 5,000	- 5,940
Contribution to the International Fund for Agricultural Development	30,000	30,000	30,000
Global food security fund	408,400	215,000	+ 215,000	- 193,400
Total, International Financial Institutions	2,043,670	2,957,176	2,523,336	+ 479,666	- 433,840
Total, title V, Multilateral assistance	2,437,670	3,307,726	2,918,836	+ 481,166	- 388,890
TITLE VI—EXPORT AND INVESTMENT ASSISTANCE					
Export-Import Bank of the United States					
Subsidy appropriation	58,000	92,700	82,000	+ 24,000	- 10,700
Administrative expenses	83,880	105,600	99,000	+ 15,120	- 6,600
Inspector General	2,500	3,000	3,000	+ 500
Offsetting collections	- 142,000	- 211,758	- 181,100	- 39,100	+ 30,658
Total, Export-Import Bank of the United States	2,380	- 10,458	2,900	+ 520	+ 13,358
Overseas Private Investment Corporation					
Noncredit account:					
Administrative expenses	52,310	53,946	53,946	+ 1,636
Insurance fees and other offsetting collections	- 237,800	- 272,300	- 272,300	- 34,500
Subtotal	- 185,490	- 218,354	- 218,354	- 32,864
Program account	29,000	29,000	29,000
Total, Overseas Private Investment Corporation	- 156,490	- 189,354	- 189,354	- 32,864
Funds Appropriated to the President					
Trade and Development Agency	55,200	56,200	55,200	- 1,000
Total, title VI, Export and investment assistance	- 98,910	- 143,612	- 131,254	- 32,344	+ 12,358
TITLE VII—GENERAL PROVISIONS					
Agency acquisition workforces	3,530	- 3,530
Agency acquisition workforces	3,021	- 3,021
Buying Power Maintenance Account	10,000	- 10,000
Consular Fees
Sec. 7034x Fraud Prevention and Detection Fees	- 160,000	- 160,000	- 160,000
Sec. 7089a Export-Import Bank-Balances(rescission)	- 55,000	- 55,000	- 55,000
Sec. 7089b Diplomatic and Consular programs (rescission)	- 354	- 354	- 354
Sec. 7089c International Broadcasting Operations (rescission)	- 279	- 279	- 279
Sec. 7089c International Broadcasting Operations (rescission of emergency funds)	- 72	- 72	- 72
Sec. 7089d Broadcasting Capital Improvements (rescission)	- 6,317	- 6,317	- 6,317
Sec. 7089e Child Survival & Health Programs fund (rescission of emergency funds)	- 4,928	- 4,928	- 4,928
Sec. 7089f Development Assistance (rescission)	- 6,179	- 6,179	- 6,179
Sec. 7089g Economic Support Fund (rescission of emergency funds)	- 3,294	- 3,294	- 3,294
Sec. 7089h Assistance for the Independent States of the Former Soviet Union (rescission)	- 11,143	- 11,143	- 11,143
Sec. 7089i International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement (rescission)	- 3,148	- 3,148	- 3,148
Sec. 7089j Assistance for Counternarcotics activities (rescission of emergency funds)	(7,000)	(+ 7,500)	(+ 500)
ESF—MRA (by transfer)
ESF—NED (by transfer)
ESF—Department of State OIG (by transfer)	(3,000)	(+ 3,000)	(+ 3,000)

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 En- acted	FY 2011 Re- quest	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs En- acted	This Bill vs Re- quest
ESF-USAID OIG (by transfer)			(1,500)	(+ 1,500)	(+ 1,500)
Haiti debt relief (Emergency) (Public Law 111-212)	212,000			- 212,000	
Special Inspector General for Afghanistan reconstruction (Emergency) (Public Law 111-212)	7,200			- 7,200	
Special IG for Afghanistan re-construction (Rescission of emergency funds) (Public Law 111-212)	- 7,200			+ 7,200	
Total, title VII, General Provisions	212,000	16,551	- 250,714	- 462,714	- 267,265
Appropriations		(16,551)			(- 16,551)
Rescissions			(- 234,791)	(- 234,791)	(- 234,791)
Rescissions of emergency funds			(- 15,923)	(- 15,923)	(- 15,923)
(By transfer)		(7,000)	(12,000)	(+ 12,000)	(+ 5,000)
Grand total	54,979,660	56,815,543	53,642,977	- 1,336,683	- 3,172,566
Appropriations	(48,922,900)	(56,815,543)	(53,893,691)	(+ 4,970,791)	(- 2,921,852)
Emergency appropriations	(5,176,760)			(- 6,176,760)	
Rescissions			(- 234,791)	(- 114,791)	(- 234,791)
Rescissions of emergency funds			(- 15,923)	(- 15,923)	(- 15,923)
(By transfer)	(25,000)	(42,000)	(47,000)	(+ 22,000)	(+ 5,000)
(Transfer out)		(- 35,000)	(- 35,000)	(- 35,000)	

DIVISION L—TRANSPORTATION, HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

Following is an explanation of the effects of Division L, which makes appropriations for the Departments of Transportation and Housing and Urban Development and Related Agencies for fiscal year 2011. As provided in Section 4 of the consolidated bill, this explanatory statement shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds and the implementation of this division as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.

CONGRESSIONAL DIRECTIVES

The language and allocations set forth in the House report (House Report 111-564) and Senate report (Senate Report 111-230) should be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in this explanatory statement. The explanatory statement, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein. In cases where the House or the Senate has directed the submission of a report, such report is to be submitted to both the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. The Department of Transportation and the Department of Housing and Urban Development are directed to notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations seven days prior to the announcement of a new program or authority.

TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

**OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SALARIES AND EXPENSES**

The bill provides \$115,509,000 for the salaries and expenses of the Office of the Secretary of Transportation. The bill includes funding by office as specified below:

Immediate office of the secretary	\$2,667,000
Office of the deputy secretary	1,000,000
Office of the executive secretariat	1,683,000
Office of the under secretary of transportation for policy	16,568,000
Official of small and disadvantaged business utilization	1,563,000
Office of the chief information officer	19,663,000
Office of the assistant secretary for governmental affairs	2,500,000
Office of the general counsel	19,960,000
Office of the assistant secretary for budget and programs	11,156,000
Office of the assistant secretary for administration	25,695,000
Office of public affairs	2,055,000
Office of intelligence, security and emergency response	10,999,000

Office of the under secretary of transportation for policy.—The bill includes \$804,000 and 6 full time equivalent (FTE) to support the ongoing workload requirements of the Office of the Under Secretary of Transportation for Policy. In addition, the bill provides \$1,500,000 to staff the U.S. Embassies in Kabul and Baghdad. The bill also includes \$3,000,000 and 5 FTE in the Office of the Under Secretary of Transportation for Policy to further the Department's livability initiative and develop the benchmarks and

performance measures necessary to study the impact of transportation investments on sustainability.

DOT is reminded of the importance of submitting a comprehensive list of federal barriers to local coordination of housing and transportation by May 15, 2011 as outlined in Senate report 111-230.

Office of the chief information officer.—The bill provides funding for an additional position for a chief information security officer, an additional position for a chief information officer of OST, and an additional 11 positions for a single group to build a strategy for the Department's investments in all kinds of information technology, including the information technology that promotes collaboration and networked activities. The bill also provides \$5,000,000 for the Next Generation IT Infrastructure initiative.

The DOT OIG is directed to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations on the Cyber Security Initiative and the Next Generation IT Infrastructure initiative by May 15, 2011. This report should provide an evaluation of the Department's plan to improve cyber security and upgrade the overall IT environment, identify areas of risk in these initiatives and the Department's plan to mitigate this risk, and assess the Department's plans to staff these initiatives.

Office of the assistant secretary for governmental affairs.—The principal role of the office of Governmental Affairs is to serve as a liaison between the Secretary and the United States Congress. The communication between the office of Governmental Affairs and the Appropriations Committee has been lacking and must improve to ensure that announcements on grants, programs, reports and regulations are relayed in a timely and equitable manner.

Office of the assistant secretary for budget and programs.—The bill includes \$275,000 for 3 FTE to improve oversight and \$183,000 for 2 FTE for the OST budget office. It does not include \$1,000,000 requested by the Department to establish a new office for program evaluation in the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Budget and Programs.

Office of the general counsel.—The bill includes an additional \$250,000 for the Office of the General Counsel and continues to encourage the office to use its resources for activities that will most effectively increase the protection of air travel consumers.

The Department is directed to submit a letter report to the Committees on Appropriations on the status of reaching a resolution on level boarding within 6 months of enactment of this Act.

The Government Accountability Office (GAO) is directed to analyze the Department's acquisition workforce and report its findings to the Committees on Appropriations no later than December 31, 2011. The evaluation should include an assessment of the acquisition workforce of each agency, an evaluation of the current role of the Office of the Secretary in supporting and overseeing acquisitions, and a presentation of the best practices used in the Federal government.

NATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS

The bill provides \$500,000,000 for capital investments in surface transportation infrastructure.

The GAO is directed to evaluate how DOT used its discretion to select fiscal year 2010 Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery (TIGER) awards.

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT CAPITAL

The bill provides \$20,000,000 for the financial management capital program. The bill

does not include any funding for FTE in this account. GAO is directed to review the cost, schedule and performance of this project and submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations by May 30, 2011. This assessment should include information on the status of the project's schedule, budget, and expenditures as well as a prioritization of project risks and their mitigation efforts. The report should also include an assessment of the extent to which the investments being made today will offer to the Department the flexibility to use its new financial management tools to address a variety of future needs, many of which the Department may not be able to anticipate at this time.

CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVES

The bill provides \$30,000,000 for the cyber security initiatives. This funding includes resources to cover the cost of FTE.

OFFICE OF CIVIL RIGHTS

The bill provides \$9,767,000 for the office of civil rights.

TRANSPORTATION PLANNING, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The bill provides \$16,769,000 for transportation planning, research and development. The bill includes \$1,000,000 to support the Bureau of Transportation Statistics' Commodity Flow Study. Additionally, the bill directs funding to be allocated to the following projects:

<i>Project name</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Aviation Futures Alliance Employment, Export and Industry Growth Analysis, WA	\$500,000
Chicago Aviation Education Initiative, IL	\$250,000
Great Lakes Maritime Research Institute, MN	\$1,000,000
I-81 Corridor Coalition, PA International Mobility and Trade Corridor Project, Whatcom County, WA	\$700,000
PSRC Sustainable Transportation and Growth Modeling Demonstration Project, King County, WA	\$750,000

WORKING CAPITAL FUND

The bill includes a limitation of \$148,096,000 for working capital fund activities.

MINORITY BUSINESS RESOURCE CENTER PROGRAM

The bill provides a total appropriation of \$913,000. Within the funds provided, \$329,000 is for the costs of guaranteed loans for short-term working capital and \$584,000 is provided for administrative expenses. The bill limits loans made under this program to \$18,367,000.

MINORITY BUSINESS OUTREACH

The bill provides \$3,553,000 for minority business outreach and allows funds to be used for business opportunities related to any mode of transportation.

**PAYMENTS TO AIR CARRIERS
(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)**

The bill provides \$146,000,000 for payments to air carriers. In addition to these funds, the program will receive \$50,000,000 in mandatory spending pursuant to the Federal Aviation Authorization Act of 1996. The funding provided in the bill is necessary to support air service in all eligible communities.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION

Section 101 prohibits funds in this Act available to the Department of Transportation from being obligated for the Office of

the Secretary of Transportation to approve assessments or reimbursable agreements pertaining to funds appropriated to the modal administrations in this Act, except for activities underway on the date of enactment of this Act, unless such assessments or agreements have completed the normal reprogramming process for Congressional notification.

Section 102 prohibits funds from being obligated or expended to establish or implement a program where essential air service communities are required to assume subsidy costs commonly referred to as local participation.

Section 103 allows the Secretary of Transportation or his designee to engage with states to consider proposals related to the reduction of motorcycle fatalities.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION
OPERATIONS
(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill includes \$9,817,739,000 for operations of the Federal Aviation Administration, of which \$4,559,000,000 is to be derived from the airport and airway trust fund.

Air traffic organization.—The bill includes \$7,653,128,000 for the air traffic organization, including \$47,300,000 for RNAV/RNP procedures; \$2,500,000 for additional acquisition workforce personnel and training; and \$20,000,000 for operational support for the en route automation modernization (ERAM) program.

Controller placement study.—The bill requires FAA to conduct a study on testing alternatives for the placement of air traffic controllers after their completion of training at the FAA Academy.

Aviation safety (AVS).—The bill provides \$1,304,486,000 for aviation safety, of which no less than \$18,000,000 shall be for staff increases in flight standards and aircraft cer-

tification. The DOT Office of Inspector General (OIG) is directed to evaluate the FAA's use of its model for safety inspector staffing in identifying needs for fiscal year 2012. This evaluation should address both safety inspector staffing levels and the placement of the inspectors. The OIG is instructed to report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations no later than May 31, 2011.

Staff offices.—The bill includes \$208,644,000 for FAA's staff offices. Specifically, the bill includes \$4,011,000 in half-year funding for 110 additional hazardous materials safety inspectors and emergency operations positions and \$400,000 for the office of civil rights to conduct a barrier analysis on the ethnic diversity of FAA's air traffic controller and inspector workforces.

FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT
(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

The bill includes \$2,990,000,000 for FAA facilities and equipment. The following table compares the fiscal year 2011 budget request and the bill by program:

FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT		
	FY 2011 estimate	Recommendation
Activity 1, Engineering, Development, Test and Evaluation:		
Advanced Technology Development and Prototyping	25,500,000	25,500,000
NAS Improvement of System Support Laboratory	1,000,000	1,000,000
William J. Hughes Technical Center Facilities	13,000,000	13,000,000
William J. Hughes Technical Center Infrastructure Sustainment	7,500,000	7,500,000
Next Generation Network Enabled Weather (NNEW)	28,250,000	22,250,000
Data Communications in support of Next Generation Air Transportation System	153,300,000	153,300,000
Next Generation Transportation System Demonstration and Infrastructure Development	27,000,000	22,000,000
Next Generation Transportation System - System Development	95,000,000	80,000,000
Next Generation Transportation System - Trajectory Based Operations	58,600,000	46,600,000
Next Generation Transportation System - Weather Reduction Impact	43,202,000	34,202,000
Next Generation Transportation System - High Density Arrivals/Departures	57,000,000	48,000,000
Next Generation Transportation System - Collaborative ATM	75,500,000	62,500,000
Next Generation Transportation System - Flexible Terminals and Airports	80,700,000	70,250,000
Next Generation Transportation System - Safety Security and Environment	8,000,000	7,000,000
Next Generation Transportation System - Networked Facilities	35,000,000	28,000,000
NextGen Demonstration	—	25,000,000
Total, Activity 1	708,552,000	646,102,000
Activity 2, Air Traffic Control Facilities and Equipment:		
En Route Programs		
En Route Automation Modernization (ERAM)	132,300,000	132,300,000
En Route Automation Modernization (ERAM) -program support	—	50,000,000
En Route Communications Gateway (ECG)	6,000,000	6,000,000
Next Generation Weather Radar (NEXRAD) - Provide	6,700,000	6,700,000
Air Traffic Control System Command Center (ATCSCC) - Relocation	2,100,000	2,100,000
ARTCC Building Improvements/Plant Improvements	36,892,000	36,892,000
Air Traffic Management (ATM)	16,500,000	16,500,000
Air/Ground Communications Infrastructure	7,600,000	7,600,000
Air Traffic Control En Route Radar Facilities Improvements	5,300,000	5,300,000
Voice Switching and Control System (VSCS)	15,600,000	15,600,000
Oceanic Automation System	4,000,000	4,000,000
Next Generation Very High Frequency Air/Ground Communications System (NEXCOM)	49,850,000	49,850,000
System-Wide Information Management	92,000,000	92,000,000
ADS-B NAS Wide Implementation	176,100,000	176,100,000
ADS-B "In" Pilot	—	10,000,000
ADS-B Additional Coverage - General Aviation	—	14,200,000
Windshear Detection Services	1,000,000	1,000,000
Weather and Radar Processor (WARP)	2,100,000	2,100,000
Collaborative Air Traffic Management Technologies	35,900,000	35,900,000
En Route Automation Modernization (ERAM) - Post Release 3	5,000,000	5,000,000
Subtotal En Route Programs	594,942,000	669,142,000
Terminal Programs		
Airport Surface Detection Equipment - Model X (ASDE-X)	4,200,000	4,200,000
Terminal Doppler Weather Radar (TDWR)	8,600,000	8,600,000
Standard Terminal Automation Replacement System (STARS) (TAMR Phase 1)	22,000,000	22,000,000
Terminal Automation Modernization/Replacement Program (TAMR Phase 3)	20,000,000	30,000,000
Terminal Automation Program	3,900,000	3,900,000
Terminal Air Traffic Control Facilities - Replace	114,600,000	121,600,000
ATCT/Terminal Radar Approach Control (TRACON) Facilities - Improve	45,600,000	45,600,000
Terminal Voice Switch Replacement (TVSR)	11,500,000	11,500,000
NAS Facilities OSHA and Environmental Standards Compliance	26,000,000	26,000,000
Airport Surveillance Radar (ASR-9)	3,000,000	3,000,000
Terminal Digital Radar (ASR-11)	4,100,000	4,100,000
Precision Runway Monitor (PRM)	950,000	950,000
Runway Status Lights	55,000,000	56,000,000
National Airspace System Voice Switch (NVS)	30,200,000	30,200,000
Next Generation Voice Recorder Replacement Program	9,400,000	9,400,000
Integrated Display System (IDS)	8,700,000	8,700,000
ASR-8 Service Life Extension Program (SLEP)	2,600,000	2,600,000
Integrated Terminal Weather System (ITWS)	5,500,000	5,500,000
Terminal Automation Modernization/Replacement Program (TAMR Phase 2)	3,100,000	3,100,000
Remote Maintenance and Logging System (RMLS)	6,500,000	6,500,000
Mode S Service Life Extension Program (SLEP)	1,500,000	1,500,000
Subtotal Terminal Programs	386,950,000	404,950,000
Flight Service Programs		

FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT		
	FY 2011 estimate	Recommendation
Automated Surface Observing System (ASOS)	6,700,000	6,700,000
Flight Service Station (FSS) Modernization - Alaska Flight Service Modernization (AFSM)	21,400,000	6,300,000
Weather Camera Program	3,200,000	4,200,000
Subtotal Flight Service Programs	31,300,000	17,200,000
Landing and Navigational Aids Program		
VHF Omnidirectional Radio Range (VOR) with Distance Measuring Equipment (DME)	5,000,000	5,000,000
Instrument Landing System (ILS) - Establish	7,800,000	7,800,000
Wide Area Augmentation System (WAAS) for GPS	95,000,000	94,000,000
Ground-Based Augmentation System (GBAS)	---	10,100,000
Runway Visual Range (RVR)	5,000,000	5,000,000
Approach Lighting System Improvement Program (ALSIP)	5,000,000	5,000,000
Distance Measuring Equipment (DME)	4,100,000	4,100,000
Visual NAVAIDS - Establish/Expand	3,800,000	4,150,000
Instrument Flight Procedures Automation (IFPA)	600,000	600,000
Navigation and Landing Aids - Service Life Extension Program (SLEP)	6,000,000	8,500,000
VASI Replacement - Replace with Precision Approach Path Indicator	4,000,000	5,000,000
GPS Civil Requirements	58,500,000	58,500,000
Runway Safety Areas - Navigational Mitigation	20,000,000	20,000,000
Subtotal Landing and Navigational Aids Programs	214,800,000	227,750,000
Other ATC Facilities Programs		
Fuel Storage Tank Replacement and Monitoring	6,300,000	6,300,000
Unstaffed Infrastructure Sustainment	14,100,000	14,100,000
Aircraft Related Equipment Program	9,000,000	9,000,000
Airport Cable Loop Systems - Sustained Support	7,000,000	7,000,000
Alaskan NAS Interfacility Communications System (ANICS)	12,100,000	12,100,000
Facilities Decommissioning	6,400,000	6,400,000
Electrical Power Systems - Sustain/Support	95,000,000	95,000,000
Subtotal Other ATC Facilities Programs	149,900,000	149,900,000
Total, Activity 2	1,377,892,000	1,468,942,000
Activity 3, Non-Air Traffic Control Facilities and Equipment:		
Support Equipment		
Hazardous Materials Management	20,000,000	20,000,000
Aviation Safety Analysis System (ASAS)	14,600,000	14,600,000
Logistics Support System and Facilities (LSSF)	11,500,000	11,500,000
National Airspace System Recovery Communications (RCOM)	15,000,000	15,000,000
Facility Security Risk Management	17,000,000	17,000,000
Information Security	15,200,000	15,200,000
System Approach for Safety Oversight	23,400,000	23,400,000
Aviation Safety Knowledge Management Environment (ASKME)	14,800,000	13,500,000
Data Center Operations	1,956,000	1,956,000
Subtotal Support Equipment	133,456,000	132,156,000
Training, Equipment and Facilities		
Aeronautical Center Infrastructure Modernization	15,000,000	15,000,000
Distance Learning	2,000,000	2,000,000
Subtotal Training, Equipment and Facilities	17,000,000	17,000,000
Total, Activity 3	150,456,000	149,156,000
Activity 4, Facilities and Equipment Mission Support:		
System Support and Services		
System Engineering and Development Support	32,300,000	31,700,000
Program Support Leases	38,600,000	38,600,000
Logistics Support Services (LSS)	11,000,000	11,000,000
Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center Leases	16,600,000	16,600,000
Transition Engineering Support	15,000,000	14,300,000
Frequency and Spectrum Engineering	2,600,000	2,600,000
Technical Support Services Contract (TSSC)	22,000,000	22,000,000
Resource Tracking Program (RTP)	4,000,000	4,000,000
Center for Advanced Aviation System Development (CAASD)	80,700,000	85,000,000
Aeronautical Information Management Program	18,300,000	18,000,000
Total, Activity 4	241,100,000	243,800,000
Activity 5, Personnel and Related Expenses:		
Personnel and Related Expenses	492,000,000	482,000,000

FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT			
		FY 2011 estimate	Recommendation
Total, All Activities		2,970,000,000	2,990,000,000

Automatic dependent surveillance-broadcast (ADS-B).—The bill includes \$176,100,000 for the ADS-B program. In addition, \$10,000,000 is included to expedite the development of ADS-B “in” capabilities, and \$14,200,000 is included to provide additional ADS-B coverage for general aviation.

Terminal air traffic control facilities replacement.—The terminal air traffic control facilities replacement program is funded at \$121,600,000 and includes \$1,500,000 for Lihue Airport, Hawaii and \$250,000 for Greenwood-Leflore Airport, Mississippi.

Runway status lights.—The runway status lights program is funded at \$56,000,000, of

which \$1,000,000 is provided to implement runway status lights at Honolulu International Airport, Hawaii.

Weather camera program.—The weather camera program is funded at \$4,200,000, of which \$1,000,000 is provided to install weather cameras in the State of Hawaii.

Instrument landing system establishment.—The instrument landing system program is funded at \$7,800,000, including \$500,000 for runway 5 at Kinston Regional Jetport, North Carolina.

Seattle TRACON.—The FAA is directed to take all measures necessary to improve the security at its facilities, including the Se-

attle terminal radar approach control facility.

NextGen demonstration.—The bill includes \$25,000,000 to allow the FAA to make competitive grants for avionics equipage improvements that will demonstrate the benefits of NextGen. The Federal share shall not exceed 80 percent.

RESEARCH, ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT

(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

The bill provides \$198,000,000 for the FAA's research, engineering, and development activities as noted in the following table:

RESEARCH, ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT		
Program	Fiscal year 2011 Estimate	Recommendation
Improve Aviation Safety	93,702,000	95,952,000
Fire research and safety	7,231,000	7,231,000
Propulsion and fuel systems	2,332,000	2,332,000
Advanced materials/structural safety	2,566,000	4,316,000
Atmospheric hazards/digital system safety	6,635,000	6,635,000
Aging aircraft	10,801,000	10,801,000
Aircraft catastrophic failure prevention	1,165,000	1,165,000
Flightdeck safety/systems integration	7,174,000	7,174,000
Aviation safety risk analysis	11,907,000	12,907,000
ATC/AF human factors	10,475,000	10,475,000
Aeromedical research	11,217,000	10,717,000
Weather research	16,505,000	16,505,000
Unmanned aircraft system	3,694,000	3,694,000
NextGen Alternative Fuels for General Aviation	2,000,000	2,000,000
Improve Efficiency of the ATC System	54,874,000	54,624,000
Joint program and development office	14,292,000	14,042,000
Wake turbulence	10,685,000	10,685,000
NextGen --Air Ground Integration	10,614,000	10,614,000
NextGen --Self Separation	9,971,000	9,971,000
NextGen--Weather Technology in the Cockpit	9,312,000	9,312,000
Reduce Environmental Impacts	35,974,000	41,974,000
Environment and energy	15,374,000	15,374,000
NextGen Environmental Research - Aircraft Technologies, Fuels and Metrics	20,600,000	26,600,000
Mission Support	5,450,000	5,450,000
System planning and resource management	1,733,000	1,733,000
Technical laboratory facilities	3,717,000	3,717,000
Total	190,000,000	198,000,000

Within the funds provided for FAA's advanced materials research activities, \$750,000 is provided for the Advanced Material in Transport Aircraft Structures Center in Seattle, Washington; \$500,000 is provided for the National Institute for Aviation Research at Wichita State University for new equipment, additional personnel and to conduct research in advanced materials; and, \$500,000 is also provided for the National Institute for Aviation Research at Wichita State University for education and training for composite airframe maintenance and airworthiness. With-

in the funds for aviation safety risk analysis, \$1,000,000 is provided for the Alaska Aviation Safety project for research involving simulation training, in-cockpit navigational aids, two-way wireless data tethers and other flight safety enhancements.

GRANTS-IN-AID FOR AIRPORTS
(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

The bill includes a liquidating cash appropriation of \$3,550,000,000; an obligation limi-

tation of \$3,515,000,000; a limitation on administrative expenses of not more than \$99,622,000; no less than \$15,000,000 for the airport cooperative research program; and no less than \$27,217,000 for airport technology research.

Of the funds covered by the obligation limitation in this bill, FAA is directed to provide funding for the following projects and activities as listed in the following table:

Project name	Amount
Air Cargo Apron Expansion, International Intermodal Center, Huntsville-Madison County Airport, AL	\$500,000
Airport Runway Extension at Middle Georgia Regional Airport, Macon, GA	\$1,400,000
Auburn-Lewiston Municipal Airport Phase 1 Runway 4/22 Safety Area Improvements/Extension, ME	\$1,000,000
Augusta Airport Runway Reconstruction and Safety Area Improvements, Augusta, ME	\$1,000,000
Charlotte Monroe Executive Airport Ramp, Taxiway and Related Improvements, NC	\$1,000,000
Charlottesville-Albemarle Airport (CHO) Extension of Runway 21, VA	\$500,000
Denver International Airport F7 Taxiway Construction, CO	\$700,000
Devils Lake Regional Airport Authority Runway Improvements, ND	\$1,000,000
Expansion of Tunica Airport Commercial Terminal, MS	\$1,750,000
Grand Forks International Airport Terminal Replacement, ND	\$2,875,000
Gulfport-Biloxi Regional Airport, Taxiway 'J' North, MS	\$2,000,000
Jackson-Evers International Airport Essential Airfield Improvements, MS	\$2,000,000
Lanett Municipal Airport Runway Construction, Lanett, AL	\$1,500,000
Lawrence Smith Memorial Airport, MO	\$2,000,000
Macon-Fowler Memorial Airport, MO	\$1,600,000
Memphis Airport Runway Seismic Retrofit, TN	\$1,000,000
Metropolitan Topeka Airport Authority Hangar Restoration, KS	\$400,000
Montrose Regional Airport Taxiway Bravo Extension, CO	\$500,000
New Richmond Regional Airport, WI	\$1,000,000
Outagamie County Regional Airport Taxiway, Apron, and Signage Improvements, WI	\$750,000
Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport Taxiway Alpha, AZ	\$1,125,000
Phoenix-Mesa Gateway Airport Papa (P) and Related Improvements, AZ	\$1,000,000
Provo Municipal Airport Development, Provo City, UT	\$2,000,000
Quincy Airport, IL	\$600,000
Rapid City Regional Airport Terminal Expansion, SD	\$500,000
Reno Stead Emergency Operations Center, NV	\$500,000
Sawyer County Airport Land Acquisition and Landing System Improvements, WI	\$1,750,000
Southwest Georgia Regional Airport Apron and Various Improvements, GA	\$1,000,000
Stinson Municipal Airport Taxilane Extension, TX	\$1,000,000

Tuscaloosa Regional Airport, Extension of Taxiway B and the Environmental Assessment for Runway 4-22, Tuscaloosa, AL	\$500,000
Virginia Highlands Airport Design and Land Acquisition , VA	\$1,000,000
Warsaw Municipal Airport, MO	\$1,500,000
West Virginia Statewide Airport Activities, WV	\$1,000,000
Wittman Regional Airport, WI	\$800,000

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—FEDERAL
AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

Section 110 retains a provision that limits the number of technical workers at the Center for Advanced Aviation Systems Development to 600 in fiscal year 2011.

Section 111 retains a provision that prohibits the FAA from requiring airport sponsors to provide the agency "without cost" building construction, maintenance, utilities and expenses, or space in sponsor-owned buildings, except in the case of certain specified exceptions.

Section 112 retains a provision that allows reimbursement for fees collected and credited under 49 U.S.C. 45303.

Section 113 retains a provision that allows reimbursement of funds for providing technical assistance to foreign aviation authorities to be credited to the operations account.

Section 114 retains a provision that prohibits funds to change the weight restrictions or prior permission rules at Teterboro Airport, NJ.

Section 115 retains a provision that prohibits funds limited in this Act for the Airport Improvement Program to be provided to an airport that has denied a request from the Secretary of Transportation to use public space at the airport for the purpose of conducting outreach on air passenger rights.

Section 116 retains a provision that prohibits the FAA from paying Sunday premium pay except in those cases in which an individual actually worked on a Sunday.

Section 117 retains a provision that prohibits the FAA from using funds to purchase store gift cards or gift certificates through a government-issued credit card.

Section 118 retains a provision that allows all airports experiencing the required level

of boardings through charter and scheduled air service to be eligible for funds under 49 U.S.C. 47114(c).

Section 119 prohibits funds from being obligated or expended for retention bonuses for FAA employees without prior written approval of the DOT Deputy Assistant Secretary for Administration.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill limits obligations for administrative expenses of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to \$420,843,000 and makes \$3,300,000 in contract authority above the limitation available for the administrative expenses of the Appalachian Regional Commission in accordance with section 104 of title 23, United States Code.

Fiscal management information system.—Within this limitation, \$1,000,000 is provided for the FHWA to conduct a review, in consultation with the Department's chief information officer, of the agency's fiscal management information system to define known and future grant management system requirements, including Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act and Recovery Act information needs; stakeholder data analysis objectives; and expanded query, reporting, and interface requirements. The findings of this review are to be reported to the Committees on Appropriations by no later than April 1, 2011. The report should identify a range of at least three cost-effective options—basic, moderate, and comprehensive—and should describe the pros and cons of each of the options, as well as the time, funding, and other resources necessitated by each option.

Travel budget.—The bill funds the FHWA's travel expenses at \$9,272,000, which is \$1,000,000 below the budget request.

FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS
(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)
(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

The bill limits obligations for the federal-aid highways program to \$41,776,000,000 in fiscal year 2011 and, within the limitation, limits its obligations for transportation research to \$429,800,000. The bill also allows the Secretary to charge and collect fees from the applicant for a direct loan, guaranteed loan, or line of credit to cover the cost of the financial and legal analyses performed on behalf of the Department as authorized under section 605(b) of title 23, United States Code. The fees so collected are not subject to any obligation limitation or the limitation on administrative expenses set for the infrastructure finance program under section 608 of title 23, United States Code.

Bridge oversight.—The Department's Office of Inspector General is directed to provide a second evaluation of FHWA's progress in fulfilling each of the recommendations given in its report on the national bridge inspection program (Report Number MH-2009-013) and to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations with its findings no later than August 1, 2011.

FERRY BOATS AND FERRY TERMINAL FACILITIES

Within the funds available for ferry boats and ferry terminal facilities, funds are to be available for the following projects and activities as listed in the following table:

Project name	Amount
Berkeley Ferry Service, CA	\$500,000
Commuter Ferry, MA	\$1,000,000
Ferry Landing in Pt. Mackenzie, AK	\$1,000,000
Statue of Liberty/Ellis Island, NY	\$600,000
Terminal Pedestrian Access and Safety	\$230,000
Sausalito Ferry Facility Ramps and Gangways, Replacement Project,	\$500,000
Lincoln and Ferry	\$2,000,000
Ferry Terminal Design, Engineering, and Project	\$1,000,000
Ferry Terminal Replacement, AK	\$2,000,000
Passenger Only Ferry, WA	\$1,000,000
and Improving the Safety of the North and	\$700,000
Salem Wharf Pier Project, MA	\$1,000,000
Maintenance Facility, Vallejo, CA	\$750,000
Sewage Pump-out Systems Installation,	\$1,000,000
Ferries System Investments, WA	\$2,000,000

TRANSPORTATION, COMMUNITY, AND SYSTEM PRESERVATION PROGRAM	ervation program, funds are to be distributed to the following projects and activities as listed in the following table:
Within the funds made available for the transportation, community and system pres-	

Project name	Amount
705 Connector, Morgantown, WV	\$450,000
7th (a) Road Project, IN	\$650,000
Alabama 210/Ross Clark Circle Safety Lighting Project, AL	\$450,000
Alice's Road/105th Street Interchange and Connecting Roads, Waukeg, IA	\$900,000
Bayamon Circulation Arteries, PR	\$450,000
Bellingham Waterfront Transportation Improvements, Bellingham, WA	\$700,000
Berry Hill Road Connector Road Engineering and Right of Way Acquisitions, Pittsylvania County, VA	\$400,000
Boulder Bikes to Business Project, Boulder, CO	\$250,000
Branford Street and Laurel Canyon Boulevard Flood Improvements, CA	\$250,000
Broad/Main/Front/Hellertown Transportation Enhancements, Phase II, Quakertown, PA	\$450,000
Brooklyn Waterfront Transportation Study, Brooklyn, NY	\$450,000
Brookstown Redevelopment Project, Winston-Salem, NC	\$1,000,000
Byberry Road and Bustleton Avenue Intersection Improvements, Philadelphia, PA	\$700,000
Capitol Expressway Pedestrian Improvements, CA	\$240,000
Carbon County Covered Bridge Repair Project, PA	\$250,000
Centennial Trail Expansion, Snohomish County, WA	\$375,000
Center Point Greenway and Pedestrian Walkway Project, AL	\$500,000
Columbus Bicentennial Bikeways-West Side Improvement, Columbus, OH	\$450,000
Conway Village Main Street Streetscape and Pedestrian Improvements, NH	\$450,000
Cooley Landing/San Francisco Bay Trail Construction, CA	\$600,000
Cregg Lane/Wyoming Street Connector, MT	\$1,825,000

Cushman Trail Project, Pierce County, WA	\$525,000
Denver Bike Sharing, Denver, CO	\$500,000
Downtown Streetscape Improvements, City of Pine Bluff, AR	\$1,100,000
Downtown Tacoma Streetscapes Improvement Project, WA	\$1,250,000
East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana Downtown Greenway, LA	\$250,000
Eastern Gateway, MA	\$900,000
Environmental Improvement and Cost Savings Pavement Study, SC	\$350,000
Essex County Riverfront Park, Newark, NJ	\$1,000,000
First Avenue Bridge Replacement, NJ	\$360,000
Fountain Avenue Rehabilitation and Veteran's Bridget Connectivity, Springfield, OH	\$600,000
Glenwood Road Pedestrian Safety Improvements, GA	\$450,000
Harbor Brook Flood Control, CT	\$650,000
Hays-Travis Trail System, TX	\$650,000
Highway 212 Expansion Carver County, MN	\$400,000
Holbrook - Traffic Safety Signalization - Kings Road and S. Franklin , MA	\$300,000
I-49 North, LA	\$1,000,000
I-55 Business Loop to Memorial Hospital, City of Lincoln, IL	\$2,000,000
I-75 Walking Bridge, St. Ignace, MI	\$450,000
Improvements to U.S. Route 15, State Route 45, and St. Mary's Street in E. Buffalo Township, PA	\$450,000
Intersection Improvements around State Center, Baltimore, MD	\$650,000
John N. Hardee Expressway, SC	\$1,000,000
Lake Champlain Bridge, NY	\$400,000

Leesburg North Bypass, GA	\$450,000
Loop 82 Railroad Overpass, San Marcos, TX	\$2,000,000
Los Banos Bypass Segment One, Los Banos, Merced County, CA	\$400,000
Lowry Avenue Bridge Replacement Phase II, Minneapolis, MN	\$900,000
Lyons Road Improvements Section IV, Coconut Creek, FL	\$650,000
Medford Safe Sidewalks, Medford, OR	\$300,000
Metro Gold Line Foothill Extension Phase 2B, CA	\$650,000
Midtown Revitalization Transportation Infrastructure, Rochester, NY	\$2,000,000
Nelson Hill Bridge Replacement, NY	\$100,000
Nogales Highway Railroad Bridge Overpass , AZ	\$1,000,000
North Rhett Extension Project, SC	\$500,000
Norwood Drive Reconstruction, PA	\$700,000
NW 66th Avenue Reconstruction and Kempton Bridge Replacement, Polk County, IA	\$450,000
Piedmont Triad Research Park Transportation Improvements, NC	\$500,000
Pratt Trail in Salt Lake City, UT	\$650,000
Qwuloolt Access Trail Project, Marysville, WA	\$500,000
Rand Avenue Reconstruction, IL	\$600,000
Reconstruction of the Hudson River Waterfront Walkway, Hoboken, NJ	\$1,000,000
Rivers Edge Roadway Infrastructure and Streetscape Initiative, MI	\$1,300,000
Roadway Improvements-Route 70, Medford, NJ	\$450,000
Rosemead Boulevard Underpass Repair Project, Pico Rivera , CA	\$450,000
Route 1 Corridor Improvements Capital Project, MD	\$650,000

Route 35/202 at Pine Grove Court and Stony Street, NY	\$250,000
Route 6 and Silver Cross Boulevard Intersection Widening and Roadway Improvement, New Lenox, IL	\$750,000
Route 82 Reconstruction and Widening, North Royalton, OH	\$650,000
Rutland Creek Path, VT	\$675,000
SE Main Avenue, 20th, 21st Street Underpass and Ancillary Improvements, City of Moorhead, MN	\$450,000
Shoulder Widening and Paving of SC Highway 22, SC	\$1,000,000
Sidewalk Construction in Ashland, Cherryland and Castro Valley Communities in Alameda County, CA	\$200,000
SR 510 Yelm Loop Project, WA	\$250,000
SR 54, McDonough Road to U.S. 19/41 in Clayton County, GA	\$650,000
Stansbury Transportation Improvements, KY	\$650,000
State Road 133 from Albany to Valdosta, GA	\$1,000,000
Structural Bridge Repairs, Fort Lauderdale, FL	\$250,000
SW 27th Street - Strander Connection Project, Renton, WA	\$1,000,000
Telegraph Road Realignment, CA	\$450,000
U.S. 281/FM 493 Overpass, Hidalgo County, TX	\$450,000
U.S. 401 in Cumberland, Harnett and Wake Counties, NC	\$650,000
U.S. 50/Watt Avenue Interchange Modification, CA	\$400,000
U.S. Highway 101 High Occupancy Vehicle Widening Project, Carpinteria Creek Bridge, Carpinteria, Santa Barbara County, CA	\$650,000
US Highway 97 & J Street Project, Madres, OR	\$750,000
Vesta Street Overpass, San Diego, CA	\$450,000
Village of Barrington Route 14 Underpass, IL	\$550,000
West Ninth Avenue Extension and Overpass Construction, Belton, TX	\$750,000

Westchase District Intermodal Transit and Pedestrian Access
Improvements, TX

\$450,000

Widening of Route 50 in Chillicothe, Ross County, OH

\$450,000

FEDERAL LANDS

the following projects and activities as listed in the following table:

Within the funds available for the federal lands program, funds are to be available for

Project name	Amount
BIA 25, Spirit Lake Nation, ND	\$1,000,000
Blackstone River Bikeway, RI	\$1,000,000
Boulder City Bypass Improvement, NV	\$1,000,000
BRAC-related improvements in Anne Arundel County, MD	\$2,200,000
BRAC-related improvements in Montgomery County, MD	\$2,200,000
BRAC-related improvements in Prince Georges County, MD	\$2,200,000
BRAC-related improvements, Harford County, MD	\$2,200,000
Columbia Pike Realignment, Arlington, VA	\$400,000
Diaz Ordaz International Border Crossing, TX	\$500,000
Elwha Valley Road Improvements, WA	\$1,300,000
Federal Lands Improvement Project, HI	\$4,000,000
FH-24, Banks to Lowman, ID	\$1,500,000
Frederick Douglass Memorial Bridge Engineering, Design and Ghost Hawk Road Improvements (BIA Route 7 to SD Hwy 18), SD	\$3,725,000
Gila County Control Road Improvements, AZ	\$150,000
Golden Gate National Parks-Park Access, Transit and Trails, CA	\$1,000,000
Great Highway Long-Term Solution Planning, CA	\$1,000,000
Hoover Dam Bypass Bridge-Repayment of Debt Service	\$500,000
I-15 Corridors of the Future, NV	\$1,000,000
Improvements and 4 R Work to SD 73 in Jackson County, SD	\$800,000
Low Divide and Rowdy Creek Road Improvement Project,	\$1,000,000
	\$750,000

Miccosukee Road Resurfacing Project, FL	\$1,100,000
NM 4 Jemez Pueblo Bypass, NM	\$1,473,982
Onville Road Upgrades, VA	\$400,000
Pedestrian Access Bridge Over Dulles Airport Access and Toll	\$1,000,000
Pikes Peak-America's Mountain, Colorado Springs, CO	\$500,000
Pyramid Highway Corridor, Sparks, NV	\$2,000,000
Road Improvements, Wrangell Borough, AK	\$250,000
Saddle Road Improvements, HI	\$2,000,000
SH 125: Michigan River Bridge, Jackson, CO	\$750,000
Southern Nevada Beltway Interchanges, NV	\$1,000,000
SR 160 from I-15 to Pahrump, NV	\$1,000,000
Standing Rock Sioux TribeCommunity Streets Project,	\$750,000
State Route 347 Grade Separation, Maricopa, AZ	\$1,000,000
Stones River National Battlefield Auto Tour Road Expansion and	\$500,000
Swan Mountain Shared Use Pathway, Breckenridge, CO	\$750,000
Travis Air Force Base North Gate Access Improvements, CA	\$750,000
U.S. 199 Safety Improvements, Cave Junction , OR	\$300,000
U.S. 50-CO 194 Road Construction, Bent County, CO	\$1,400,000
US 15 at Monocacy Boulevard in Frederick County, MD	\$500,000
US-20 Sisters Downtown Improvements, Salem, OR	\$1,500,000

The funds allocated above shall be derived from the FHWA's public lands discretionary program and not from funds allocated to the National Park Service's regions or from	funds allocated to the Fish and Wildlife Service's regions.	funds are to be available for the following projects and activities as listed in the following table:
	INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE DISCRETIONARY	
	Within the funds available for the interstate maintenance discretionary program,	

Project name	Amount
Augusta North Connections - Exit 113, ME	\$4,500,000
Avalon Boulevard Interchange Modification at the I-405, Carson, CA	\$1,000,000
City of Moline I-74 Bridge Preconstruction and Construction, IL	\$1,000,000
Columbia River Crossing, OR	\$750,000
Columbia River Crossing, WA	\$2,000,000
East Belgrade I-90 Interchange, MT	\$750,000
Exit 120 Reconstruction, NV	\$1,500,000
Harrison County I-64 Interchange, IN	\$1,000,000
I-10 Grand Prairie Highway (La Hwy 98) Interchange and Frontage Road, LA	\$400,000
I-10 Pecue Lane Interchange, Baton Rouge, LA	\$750,000
and Montana Rail Link Overpass Structures, MT	\$1,000,000
I-280 Mission Bay Off-Ramp and Improvements, CA	\$1,500,000
Mainline Reconstruction from Near Tea Exit to North of 69th Street and East to	\$750,000
I-294 at I-57 Interchange, IL	\$1,000,000
I-390 Interchange, NY	\$1,250,000
I-5 North Stockton Lane Widening and Improvements Project, CA	\$1,250,000
I-5 Ridgefield Interchange Replacement Project, City of Ridgefield, WA	\$1,000,000
I-5 West Coast Green Highway, WA	\$1,000,000
I-5 Widening from I-605 North to I-710 Environmental Phase, CA	\$1,000,000
I-5/Kuebler Boulevard Interchange Improvements, OR	\$1,000,000
I-71 Corridor Access in Cincinnati, OH	\$1,000,000

I-75/ Everglades Project Development and Environment Study , FL	\$1,000,000
I-80/Gilman Street Interchange Improvement Project, Berkeley, CA	\$1,000,000
I-805/La Jolla Village Drive Interchange Project, San Diego, CA	\$1,000,000
I-84, West of Wendell to Juniper Rest Area Pavement Rehabilitation, ID	\$1,000,000
I-84/184, Caldwell to Glenss Ferry, Pavement Rehabilitation, ID	\$750,000
I-85 Widening in Davidson and Rowan Counties, NC	\$1,500,000
East-Westbound Lanes Reconstruction, Dickinson, ND	\$1,000,000
Spanish River Boulevard Project, City of Boca Raton, FL	\$500,000
I-95 Pawtucket River Bridge Replacement, RI	\$3,000,000
I-95 Upgrades in Robeson County, NC	\$1,000,000
I-95/ US Highway 301 Interchange Improvement Project, SC	\$2,500,000
I-95/SR1 Interchange Project, DE	\$1,000,000
Interchange at State Hwy. 89 and I-40 in Lonoke, AR	\$1,000,000
Interstate 280 Interchange Improvements, Harrison, NJ	\$1,500,000
Interstate 430/630 - Interchange Modification, AR	\$3,000,000
Interstate 69/Great River Bridge: Highway 65-MS Highway 1, AR	\$1,000,000
Interstate 74 Corridor Project, Bettendorf, IA	\$3,000,000
Interstate 79/Mt. Morris Interchange Improvements, Greene County, PA	\$1,000,000
Interstate 81 Improvements in Washington County, MD	\$500,000
Interstate-95/Fairfax County Parkway Interchange at Newington Road, VA	\$1,000,000
Kapolei Interchange Complex Phase 2, HI	\$1,500,000
French Camp Interchange/Arch Sperry Road Extension, Stockton, CA	\$1,000,000

Reconstruction, Raton, Colfax County, NM	\$750,000
Rehabilitate I-84 Bridges over Delaware and Neversink Rivers, NY	\$1,000,000
 Starr Road Interchange, NV	 \$2,000,000
US 84, El Camino East/West Corridor, AL	\$1,000,000
 Widening of I-35, Waco, TX	 \$2,000,000

DELTA REGION TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM funds are to be available for the following projects and activities as listed in the following table:

Within the funds available for the Delta region transportation development program,

Project name	Amount
Interchange of Business 67 and Oakgrove, MO	\$2,000,000
Interstate-55 Interchange, MO	\$1,000,000
US-412 Bypass Center Turn Lane, MO	\$1,000,000
Highway 82 Improvements, MS	\$2,000,000
Lake Harbour Drive Extension, MS	\$1,000,000
Capitol Street Renaissance Project Transportation Improvements, MS	\$230,260
Interstate 55 Interchange Lighting, MS	\$120,136
Jonestown Bypass, MS	\$250,283
Statesman Boulevard and Trail, MS	\$300,339
South Three Notch Street Improvement Project, AL	\$750,000
Washington Street Bridge, MS	\$2,250,000

I-80 Exit at Stoney Hollow Road, PA.—The statement of managers accompanying the fiscal year 2002 appropriations Act includes \$3,000,000 in Interstate maintenance discretionary funding for “I-80 Exit at Stoney Hollow Road, Pennsylvania.” These funds shall be made available for “I-80 Exits 298/299 Improvements, Monroe County, Pennsylvania.”

Highway 53 Chetek, WI.—The statement of managers accompanying the fiscal year 2004 appropriations Act includes \$2,000,000 in section 115 funding for “WI Highway 53 Chetek, Wisconsin.” These funds shall be made available for “Chetek-area Transportation System Improvements, Chetek, Wisconsin.”

Marin Parklands/Muir Woods Visitor Access, CA.—The statement of managers accompanying the fiscal year 2004 appropriations Act includes \$1,100,000 in federal lands funding for “Marin Parklands/Muir Woods Visitor Access, California.” Of these funds, \$220,000 shall be made available for “Pacific Way Bridge, County of Marin, CA” and \$180,000 of these funds shall be made available for “Signal at Flamingo/Highway 1, County of Marin, CA.”

Feasibility Study for Routes 495/195 interchange, Wareham, MA.—The statement of managers accompanying the fiscal year 2004 appropriations Act includes \$500,000 in section 115 funding for “Feasibility study for Routes 495/195 Interchange, Wareham, Massachusetts.” These funds shall be made available for “Design and construction of improvements to Route 28 corridor adjacent to the I-495/Route 28 interchange in Wareham, MA.”

Trenton Channel Bridge Replacement, Wayne County, MI.—The statement of managers accompanying the fiscal year 2004 appropriations Act includes \$400,000 in national corridor planning and border development program funding for “Trenton Channel Bridge Replacement, Wayne County, Michigan.” These funds shall be made available for “Trenton Channel Bridge Improvements, Wayne County, Michigan.”

Waterfront Parking Garage, Camden, NJ.—The statement of managers accompanying the fiscal year 2006 appropriations Act includes \$800,000 in section 112 funding for “Waterfront Parking Garage, Camden, NJ.” These funds shall be made available for “Pedestrian flow improvements and associated environmental remediation, Camden, NJ.”

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)
(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

The bill provides a liquidating cash appropriation of \$42,515,000,000, which is available until expended, to pay the outstanding obligations of the various highway programs at the levels provided in this Act and prior appropriations Acts.

(RESCISSION OF UNOBLIGATED BALANCES)
(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

The bill rescinds unobligated balances of funds made available for specific projects in previous authorization Acts.

PLANNING CAPACITY GRANTS

The bill appropriates \$100,000,000, available until September 30, 2012, for grants to metropolitan planning organizations; State, local, and tribal governments; and public agencies,

such as transit authorities that conduct surface transportation planning, in order to improve the capacity of those organizations to conduct their transportation planning. Of the funds provided, the bill sets aside \$25,000,000 for grants that will improve planning for rural areas and \$12,000,000 for grants that will lead to greater public involvement in transportation planning. The bill also allows the Secretary to retain up to one percent of the funds provided under this heading to fund the award and oversight of these planning capacity grants and directs this funding to be split equally between the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration. The bill also specifies that the Federal share payable on each program, project, or activity funded under this heading shall be 80 percent and no grant shall be greater than \$5,000,000.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—FEDERAL
HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
(INCLUDING RESCISSIONS)

Section 120 distributes the federal-aid highways program obligation limitation.

Section 121 allows funds received by the Bureau of Transportation Statistics from the sale of data products to be credited to the federal-aid highways account.

Section 122 provides requirements for any waiver of Buy American requirements.

Section 123 prohibits tolling in Texas, with exceptions.

Section 124 appropriates funds for the projects, programs, and activities specified in the following table:

Project	Amount
2nd Avenue Bridge Reconstruction, City of Cambridge, MN	\$750,000
7th Street Gateway Enhancement Project, NJ	\$500,000
9th Street Safety Improvements Project, Pierce County, WA	\$700,000
A1A/State Road 200, FL	\$750,000
Access Road for Hospital in St. Bernard Parish, LA	\$2,500,000
Airport Road Repair and Resurface and Construction of Western Entrance to Bryan Field, Starksville, MS	\$800,000
Ann Arbor Detroit Regional Rail Project, MI	\$2,000,000
Ansonia Riverwalk, CT	\$400,000
Appalachian Development Highway System Corridor H, WV	\$2,000,000
Arkansas River Trail, AR	\$500,000
Ash Avenue Extension, Macon County, IL	\$400,000
Assembly Square Station, MA	\$860,000
Autumn Street Parkway, San Jose, CA	\$800,000
Barre Commons Road Reconstruction and Drainage Improvements, MA	\$1,050,000
Bench Boulevard Improvements, Billings, MT	\$1,000,000
Bench Boulevard, Helena, MT	\$750,000
Bethany Road Reconstruction and Improvements, Sycamore, IL	\$750,000
Bloomfield Six Points Intersection Streetscape, Bloomfield, NJ	\$500,000
Blytheville Overpass, AR	\$1,000,000
Brady/Harrison Sustainability Corridor, Davenport, IA	\$600,000
Bronx Zoo Transportation Facility to alleviate traffic congestion and improve access, Bronx, NY	\$500,000

Buffalo Niagara Medical Campus Streetscape and Infrastructure Improvements, NY	\$1,000,000
Burlington Waterfront North Improvemets, VT	\$500,000
Carlton Avenue Bridge, Brooklyn, NY	\$1,000,000
Carson City Freeway Phase II, NV	\$500,000
Center at Horseheads Access Project, Chemung County, NY	\$750,000
Central Business District Streetscape, City of Milan, MO	\$800,000
Chippewa Falls Downtown Reconstruction, WI	\$730,000
City of Canton, OH	\$500,000
City of Detroit Dequindre Cut Greenway, Phase II, MI	\$1,000,000
City of Harlingen North Rail Relocation, TX	\$1,000,000
City of Monroe Fourth Street Underpass Project, Monroe, LA	\$800,000
City of New Bedford, MA	\$750,000
Congress Street Bridge, Bridgeport, CT	\$1,200,000
ConnectVermont, VT	\$1,000,000
Expressway and King Coal Highway and from Dock Creek to Cedar Run, WV	\$900,000
Construction of Multi-Jurisdictional Maintenance Facility, Toledo, OH	\$2,500,000
Coolidge Highway Resurfacing Project, MI	\$750,000
Crosby Street Reconstruction Project, Hornell, NY	\$500,000
CSAH 12 Extension/TH 14 Interchange, MN	\$750,000
Defense Facility Access Road, West Point, MS	\$750,000
Denali Commission Transportation Program, AK	\$800,000

Denali Commission, AK only for the Anaktuvuk Pass Bridge Replacement, Scammon Bay Community Streets, Alakanuk Community Streets and King Salmon & Naknek School Bus Road, AK	\$1,000,000
Design and Implementation of Transit Improvements at 83rd Street and Roosevelt Avenue, Jackson Heights, Queens, NY	\$700,000
Division Street Corridor Improvements, Spokane, WA	\$1,000,000
Downtown Farmingdale Revitalization Master Plan, NY	\$100,000
Downtown Infrastructure Project, Somersworth, NH	\$300,000
Downtown Streetscape, City of Custer, SD	\$400,000
Downtown Streetscape, Yankton, SD	\$300,000
E. Stadium Bridges Replacement Project, City of Ann Arbor, MI	\$500,000
East Brandon By-Pass, Brandon, MS	\$2,500,000
East Metropolitan Corridor, Brandon, MS	\$2,500,000
East Mississippi Intermodal Rail Corridor, MS	\$1,000,000
Edison Road Extension, CT	\$1,000,000
Embarcadero Goods Movement Project, Oakland, CA	\$2,000,000
Emergency Road Access and Improvement Project, PA	\$750,000
Empire Corridor West High Speed Rail Improvements, Town of Tonawanda, Erie County, NY	\$270,000
Fairbanks Rail Line Relocation, AK	\$1,000,000
Faulkner Lake Road Improvements, AR	\$500,000
Fort Campbell KY-911 Road Widening Project from US-41A to Oak Grove, Christian County, KY	\$3,000,000
Fort Knox Access Road, Hardin County, KY	\$1,600,000
Fortification Street Improvements, Jackson, MS	\$2,500,000
Freight Rail Modernization: Improving the Freight Rail and Transfer Facility at the Hunts Point Terminal Produce Market, South Bronx, NY	\$500,000

Grace Avenue Safety Improvements, City of Battle Ground, WA	\$1,000,000
Grand Technology Gateway Phase 1, Des Moines, IA	\$500,000
Greensboro Downtown Greenway, Greensboro, NC	\$300,000
Hattiesburg Longleaf Trace Rails To Trails, Hattiesburg, MS	\$700,000
Hendersonville Area Infrastructure Improvements, Hendersonville, NC	\$250,000
Higdon Ferry Road Widening, Hot Springs, AR	\$1,000,000
High Street/Route 89 Reconstruction, Caribou, ME	\$1,000,000
Highway 112 Improvement, AR	\$500,000
Highway 14 Project, Owatonna to Dodge Center, MN	\$250,000
Highway 226: Highway 67 to Highway 49, Little Rock, AR	\$1,000,000
Highway 47 Bridge Replacement, MO	\$2,000,000
Highway 6, Batesville, MS	\$500,000
Highway 7 Sidewalk Infill, City of Blue Springs, MO	\$800,000
Highway 93 and Kalispell Bypass, MT	\$600,000
Highway 965 Project, Phase 2, North Liberty, IA	\$500,000
Highway 98 Access Improvements, Lamar County, MS	\$1,750,000
Holly Springs Road, DeSoto County, MS	\$2,000,000
Horsehoe Bend Parkway Extension, MO	\$2,000,000
I-15 Corridor: Devore Interchange Improvements, San Bernardino, CA	\$1,500,000
I-15 MP 8, Bicycle/Pedestrian Passageway, City of St. George, UT	\$500,000
I-40 Realignment Ingress/Egress Project, Oklahoma City, OK	\$750,000
I-49 between I-40 and U.S. Hwy. 71 South, Little Rock, AR	\$2,500,000

I-555 Floodway Access Road, Poinsett County, AR	\$1,500,000
Icicle Station - Phase II, City of Leavenworth, WA	\$900,000
Illinois pedestrian and bicycling road and trail improvements and enhancements, IL	\$2,500,000
Indian River Inlet Bridge, Dover, DE	\$800,000
Installation of the Sterling Highway/Birch Street Traffic Signal Light, Soldatna, AK	\$400,000
Interchange CSAH7/TH23, Lyon County, MN	\$1,600,000
Interstate 44 and Range Line Road Interchange, MO	\$1,000,000
Interstate 44 Crossroads Interchange Study, City of Joplin, MO	\$250,000
Interstate 64 and 22nd St. Interchange Reconfiguration, MO	\$2,000,000
Iowa Highway 14-57 Complete Streets Corridor Improvements, Parkersburg, IA	\$750,000
Jefferson Avenue Improvements, City of Detroit, MI	\$650,000
Jordan Valley Gateway Plaza Streetscape, City of Springfield, MO	\$600,000
Khrushchev in Iowa Trail, Guthrie County, IA	\$400,000
LA 1 Project Phase II Design-Golden Meadow, Leesville, LA	\$500,000
Lafayette Interchange, MO	\$1,500,000
Lake St. Clair Shoreline Trail, Harrison Township, MI	\$1,000,000
Legacy Farm Roadway and Main Street Improvements, Hopkinton, MA	\$1,000,000
Lewis and Clark Legacy Trail, ND	\$600,000
Locust Avenue Bridge Replacement, City of Rye, NY	\$600,000
Lone Elm Road Improvements, City of Olathe, KS	\$600,000
Lower Hill Infrastructure Project, PA	\$600,000
Lower Main Street Infrastructure Project, Claremont, NH	\$500,000

Marin-Sonoma Narrows, CA	\$500,000
Maritime Fire and Safety Administration, WA	\$500,000
Martin Road Expansion from Zierdt Road West to Laracy Drive, AL	\$10,000,000
MD 404 improvements in Caroline, Talbot and Queen Annes Counties, MD	\$600,000
MD5/MD373/Brandywine Interchange Project, Prince George, MD	\$1,400,000
Memorial Boulevard Improvements, Picayune, MS	\$1,450,000
Middle Tennessee Boulevard, Murfreesboro, TN	\$500,000
MLK Blvd Grade Separation Safety Improvements, Yakama, WA	\$1,300,000
MO-740- East Columbia Transportation Extension, MO	\$1,500,000
Monongalia Health Systems, Morgantown, WV	\$1,000,000
Monte Vista Avenue/Union Pacific Railroad Grade Separation Project, CA	\$700,000
Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail Project, CA	\$750,000
Nassau County Street and Sidewalk Improvements, NY	\$750,000
Naugatuck River Greenway/Waterbury Segment, CT	\$750,000
NC 12, Dare County, NC	\$750,000
Nevada Pacific Parkway Extension, Fernley, NV	\$500,000
New Bedford Fast Track Freight Bridges, MA	\$1,000,000
New England Freight Rail Infrastructure Study, MA	\$300,000
New York Ave. from 32nd to 48th St, Union City, NJ	\$500,000
New York State Route 12, Chenango County, NY	\$450,000
Newport Cliff Walk Restoration, RI	\$1,000,000
North 5th Street Arterial, NV	\$2,000,000

North Main Street Reconstruction, Columbia, SC	\$1,000,000
North Manhattan Avenue Widening, Manhattan, KS	\$600,000
Northern Avenue Bridge Rehabilitation, Boston, MA	\$1,000,000
Northern Nevada Traffic Management, NV	\$500,000
Northside Drive Corridor, Clinton, MS	\$2,500,000
Northwest Tennessee Regional Port Authority, TN	\$500,000
Ogdensburg-Prescott International Bridge Rehabilitation Project, NY	\$750,000
Old Taylor Road Roundabouts, MS	\$500,000
Paducah Waterfront Development Project, KY	\$1,000,000
Port of Anchorage Intermodal Expansion Project, AK	\$1,000,000
Port of Pasco Rail Infrastructure Construction, WA	\$1,400,000
Port of Port Angeles Ferry Terminal Repairs, WA	\$2,000,000
Quincy Center Redevelopment, Quincy, MA	\$400,000
Rail & Infrastructure Improvement in Northern Maine, ME	\$3,000,000
Rail Infrastructure Investments, Port of Grays Harbor, WA	\$2,000,000
Rail Infrastructure Investments, Port of Moses Lake, WA	\$2,000,000
Raleigh Outer Loop, Wake County, NC	\$1,500,000
Raleigh Street Extension, Martinsburg, WV	\$1,000,000
Reconstruction and Widening of U.S. 627 Bridge over Interstate 75, Madison County, KY	\$750,000
Reconstruction of Hunter Street Bridge, County of Gloucester, NJ	\$1,000,000
Red Mountain Transportation Improvements, Benton County, WA	\$1,000,000
Regional Planning Commission, St. Tammany Parish LA 21 Widening, New Orleans, LA	\$1,300,000

Rehabilitation of Beacham Street, MA	\$550,000
Rehabilitation of the Ashford Avenue Bridge, Westchester County, NY	\$750,000
Repair and Resurfacing of Critical Streets, Belle Glade, Palm Beach County, FL	\$900,000
Replacement of US6 bridge of Sheridan Blvd. Lakewood, CO	\$1,250,000
Rickenbacker Pickaway East-West Connector, OH	\$500,000
Ridge Road Extension, Pearl River County, MS	\$750,000
Riverside Freeway (State Route 91) Congestion Relief Project, Orange County, CA	\$1,000,000
Road Improvements from 57th street North to South of 26th Street, Sioux Falls, SD	\$750,000
Roadway Restoration, Village of Ardsley, NY	\$500,000
Route 1/Route 123 Interchange (Phase I), VA	\$500,000
Route 160 Bridge over I-44, MO	\$1,000,000
Route 29/250 Bypass Interchange Improvements, Engineering, Design, and Construction, Albemarle County, VA	\$500,000
Route 54 Corridor, MO	\$1,000,000
Sacramento Intermodal Transportation Facility, CA	\$500,000
Safety Improvements on South Meadow Road, Clinton, MA	\$250,000
Scott Ranch Road Extension, AZ	\$250,000
Seiberling Way Bridge Replacement, OH	\$500,000
Sellwood Bridge Replacement Project, Multnomah County, OR	\$650,000
Sharpes Ferry Bridge, FL	\$1,000,000
Shelby Intermodal Hub, Shelby, MT	\$2,600,000
Shot Pouch Creek Trail, SC	\$750,000
South Dakota Highway 100 right-of-way and construction Sioux Falls, SD	\$1,200,000

Southeast Connector, Des Moines, IA	\$2,000,000
Southpark Bridge Replacement Project, King County, WA	\$3,000,000
Southridge Transportation Improvements, City of Kennewick, WA	\$500,000
Sparks Rail Yard Relocation Study, City of Sparks, NV	\$200,000
SR 522 Paradise Lake Road to Snohomish River - Safety and Capacity Improvements, Washington State Department of Transportation	\$250,000
SR 6247 Section 000 Valley View Business Park Access Road, Lackawanna County, PA	\$750,000
SR24, Love Creek to SR1, Dover, DE	\$500,000
SR-522 Corridor Improvements, City of Kenmore, WA	\$1,000,000
St. John's Heritage Parkway Interchanges, FL	\$1,500,000
Stamford Pedestrian Safety Improvements, CT	\$500,000
Stamford Street Underpass Reconstruction, Stamford, CT	\$1,000,000
State Route 19 from State Route 492 to Philadelphia, MS	\$1,950,000
State Route 794 Realignment, Springfield, OH	\$1,125,000
Stonewall Jackson State Park, WV	\$500,000
Sunport Boulevard Extension, Bernalillo County, NM	\$1,700,000
TH 5 / Oak Avenue Pedestrian Underpass, City of Waconia, MN	\$400,000
TH 610 from CSAH 81 to I-94, MN	\$250,000
Town of Bristol Road and Drainage Improvements, RI	\$350,000
Transportation Corridor Study, Greencastle/Putnam County, IN	\$750,000
Transportation Infrastructure to serve the Kansas Logistics Park, Newton, KS	\$500,000
Trunk Highway 13 & County State Aid Highway 5 Interchange, MN	\$250,000

U.S. 113 Improvements in Worcester County, MD	\$600,000
U.S. 12 Safety Improvements, Walla Walla County, WA	\$1,000,000
U.S. 2 and Sultan Basin Road Safety Improvements, Sultan, WA	\$1,000,000
U.S. 287 Business Route, Fort Worth, TX	\$3,500,000
U.S. 34 Akron East Chip Seal, CO	\$1,200,000
U.S. Route 322 Corridor Safety Improvements, PA	\$500,000
U.S. Route 422/Sanatoga Interchange, PA	\$700,000
Umatilla Depot Rail Switches Replacement Project, Umatilla County, OR	\$400,000
Unser Boulevard Extension, NM	\$1,000,000
Urban Collector Road Project, Jackson County, MS	\$2,200,000
US 52 Interchange & Overpass, relocate Goodhue Co 24 at Cannon Falls, MN	\$400,000
US 93 Corridor, MT	\$1,000,000
US Highway 30, Whiteside County, IL	\$500,000
US Highway 63/Future Interstate 555 Interchange Improvements, Little Rock, AR	\$2,000,000
Vancouver Waterfront Access Improvement Project, WA	\$2,000,000
Vermont Downtown Streetscape and Sidewalk Improvements in Johnson, Ludlow, Northfield, Springfield, and Townshend, VT	\$3,250,000
Veterans Medical City Connector, FL	\$1,000,000
Vienna Town Council, VA	\$500,000
Village West Access Improvements, KS	\$300,000
Walk Winthrop and the HarborWalk, MA	\$750,000
Wallkill Valley Rail Trail, NY	\$350,000
Warrensville/Van Aken Transit Oriented Development, Shaker Heights, OH	\$725,000

Washington Avenue Port Plaza and Intermodal Center, WA	\$3,000,000
Washington Boulevard Traffic Signal Modernization, Commerce, CA	\$750,000
West College Street Improvements, Bozeman, MT	\$750,000
West Virginia Route 10, Logan County, WV	\$1,500,000
Wilmington to Newark Commuter Rail Improvement Program, DE	\$1,300,000

The bill specifies that the Federal share payable on each program, project, or activity funded under this section shall be 100 percent and allows funds to be transferred to another Federal agency if so requested by a State.

Section 125 rescinds unobligated balances associated with demonstration or high priority projects which were funded in previous appropriations Acts.

Section 126 rescinds unobligated balances made available for highway related safety grants in prior appropriations Acts.

Section 127 provides additional funding to the Delta region transportation development program.

FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY
ADMINISTRATION

MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY OPERATIONS AND
PROGRAMS
(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)
(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)
(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

The bill includes a liquidation of contract authorization and a limitation on obligations of \$252,553,000 for the operating expenses of and motor carrier safety research by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA). Of this limitation, \$8,586,000 is to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013. The bill also includes \$7,325,000 in budget authority from the highway trust fund for information management operations and programs. The bill provides funding in the following manner:

Operating expenses	\$195,669,000
Research and technology ...	8,586,000
Information management	41,943,000
Regulatory development ...	9,777,000
Outreach and education	2,903,000
Commercial motor vehicle operators grants	1,000,000

MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY GRANTS

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)
(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)
(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)
(INCLUDING RESCISSION)

The bill provides a liquidating cash appropriation and a limitation on obligations of \$310,070,000 for motor carrier safety grants. The bill provides funding for motor carrier safety grants as follows:

Motor carrier safety assistance program (MCSAP) ..	\$165,070,000
High priority grants (MCSAP)	15,000,000
New entrant motor carrier audits (MCSAP)	32,000,000
Commercial driver's license (CDL) program improvement grants	25,000,000
Border enforcement grants	32,000,000
Performance and registration information system management grant	5,000,000
Commercial vehicle information systems and networks deployment	25,000,000
Safety data improvement grants	3,000,000
CDL information system modernization (CDLIS) ..	8,000,000

Of the funds provided for CDLIS the bill includes language that requires FMCSA to use \$3,000,000 for new entrant motor carrier audits and \$5,000,000 for CDL program improvement grants. The bill also permanently rescinds \$30,569,000 in unobligated balances.

Reincarnated carriers.—GAO is directed to evaluate the effectiveness of the new-entry safety audit, conduct a programmatic evaluation of the New Applicant Screening Pro-

gram, and the Passenger Carrier Vetting Process and submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations by June 1, 2011. In addition, GAO should evaluate the degree to which the complexities of the application of State laws on corporate successorship may in certain circumstances affect the FMCSA's ability to deny operating authority and pursue enforcement actions against unsafe reincarnated carriers. Finally, the DOT IG is instructed to audit FMCSA's implementation of the new entrant safety assurance process and its response to the NTSB's recommendations H-09-33 through H-09-41 and submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations by June 1, 2011 with the results of that review.

MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY
(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

(RESCISSION)

The bill permanently rescinds \$7,330,000 in unobligated balances from the motor carrier safety program.

NATIONAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY PROGRAM
(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

(RESCISSION)

The bill permanently rescinds \$15,076,000 in unobligated balances from the national motor carrier safety program.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION—FEDERAL MOTOR
CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

Section 135 retains the provision that subjects funds appropriated in this Act to the terms and conditions of section 350 of Public Law 107-87 and section 6901 of Public Law 110-28, including that the Secretary submit a report on Mexico-domiciled motor carriers.

The Secretary of Transportation, in coordination with the Ambassador of the United States Trade Representative, no later than January 14, 2011, is directed to establish and report on a proposal to implement a cross border trucking program that maintains the safety of our roads and highways, enhances the efficient movement of commerce, and eliminates harmful and retaliatory tariffs on agricultural products. This report should also include what actions the Department or other executive agencies are taking to ensure Mexico provides reciprocal access and fair treatment to United States owned bus companies.

NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY
ADMINISTRATION

OPERATIONS AND RESEARCH

The bill provides \$163,177,000 from the general fund for highway and traffic safety activities. Of this amount, a total of \$44,945,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2012. The bill also continues language prohibiting funds from being used to amend tire grading standards.

OPERATIONS AND RESEARCH
(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)
(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)
(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

The bill provides an appropriation for liquidating cash of contract authorization of \$110,073,000 from the highway trust fund for payment of obligations incurred in carrying out the provisions of the highway safety research and development program as authorized by section 403 of title 23, United States Code. The bill also specifies that the funds are available until expended.

The bill limits obligations from the highway trust fund to \$110,073,000 for authorized activities associated with the highway safety research and development program. Of this limitation, a total of \$29,737,000 shall remain

available until September 30, 2012, and shall be in addition to any limitation imposed on obligations in future fiscal years.

The following table summarizes the total funding level provided in the bill for operations and research (general fund and highway trust fund combined) by budget activity.

Salaries and benefits	\$80,525,000
Travel	1,028,000
Operating expenses	25,567,000
Contract programs:	
Safety performance (rulemaking)	23,338,000
Safety assurance (enforcement)	21,125,000
Highway safety programs	46,785,000
Research and analysis	74,682,000
Total	\$273,050,000

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

The bill provides \$107,120,000 for NHTSA's salaries and benefits, travel, and other operating expenses. This funding level includes \$2,400,000 to fund 16 additional full-time equivalent staff years.

SAFETY PERFORMANCE (RULEMAKING)

The bill provides \$23,338,000 for NHTSA's safety performance standards (rulemaking) programs to fund the following activities:

Safety standards support ..	\$2,300,000
New car assessment program	13,043,000
Fuel economy program	7,900,000
Climate control	20,000
Theft control and other programs	75,000
Total	\$23,338,000

SAFETY ASSURANCE (ENFORCEMENT)

The bill provides \$21,125,000 for the agency's safety assurance (enforcement) programs to fund the following activities:

Vehicle safety compliance	\$8,096,000
Safety defects investigations	12,829,000
Odometer fraud investigations	200,000
Total	\$21,125,000

Sudden unintended acceleration (SUA).—The bill provides an additional \$3,000,000 to support SUA related research such as: a human factors study that will evaluate driver usability versus pedal designs, and assess if a vehicle's pedal design and placement affect susceptibility to pedal misapplication leading to unintended acceleration incidents; further research into electronic throttle control systems; and the evaluation of electronic data recorder testing.

HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAMS

The bill provides the following amounts for highway safety programs:

Impaired driving	\$11,456,000
Drug impaired driving	1,488,000
Safety countermeasures	4,345,000
National occupant protection	10,358,000
Enforcement and justice services	3,501,000
Emergency medical services	2,174,000
Enhance 9-1-1 activities	1,250,000
NEMSIS implementation ..	2,500,000
Driver licensing	1,016,000
Highway safety research ...	8,597,000
International activities in behavioral traffic safety	100,000
Total	\$46,785,000

Ignition interlock program.—NHTSA is directed to use \$200,000 of the amount provided for highway safety research to fund the development of a model ignition interlock program to examine best practices and draft

guidelines to assist the states in implementing such programs to combat impaired driving.

Impaired driving.—Within the funding provided for highway safety research, the bill includes \$2,250,000 to support NHTSA's partnership with leading automobile manufacturers in the Automotive Coalition for Traffic Safety to develop alcohol detection technologies that could be installed in a vehicle to prevent drunk driving.

RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

The bill provides the following amounts for research and analysis:

Safety systems	\$8,226,000
Biomechanics	11,000,000
Heavy vehicles	2,115,000
Crash avoidance and human-vehicle performance	8,104,000
Hydrogen fuel cell and alternative fuel vehicle system	2,500,000
National Center for Statistics and Analysis:	
Traffic records	1,650,000
Fatality analysis reporting system	8,725,000
National automotive sampling system	25,906,000
State data systems	2,490,000
Special crash investigations	1,800,000
Data analysis program ...	2,166,000
Total, Research and Analysis	\$74,682,000

Alternative fuels research.—The bill provides an additional \$1,500,000 for research into the safety of vehicles that use alternative fuels. NHTSA is directed to use this funding to continue research on the safety of emerging battery technologies, particularly lithium ion batteries used in hybridized fuel cells, and to continue the development of pack and vehicle level test procedures for charging, discharging, damage tolerance, fire impingement, as well as the development of full-scale vehicle crash test procedures.

National automotive sampling system (NASS).—The bill provides \$13,000,000 above the request to fund the modernization of the NASS data collection system that provides crash data on a nationally representative sample of police-reported motor vehicle crashes and related injuries. In addition, NHTSA is directed to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations, by not later than August 1, 2011, that assesses the deficiencies of the NASS data collection program, evaluates the data elements that should be collected from each crash, provides details on the NASS modernization efforts and related expenditures, and makes recommendations on how to improve data quality, timeliness, and accessibility.

NATIONAL DRIVER REGISTER

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

The bill provides a liquidating cash appropriation of \$4,170,000 for the national driver register and specifies that the funds are available until expended. The bill also limits obligations from the highway trust fund for the national driver register to \$4,170,000.

NATIONAL DRIVER REGISTER MODERNIZATION

The bill provides \$2,530,000 for the modernization of the national driver register and specifies that these funds are to remain available until September 30, 2012.

HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY GRANTS

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

The bill provides \$611,828,000 to liquidate contract authorizations for highway traffic safety grants and specifies that these funds are to remain available until expended. The bill limits obligations for highway traffic safety grants to \$611,828,000 and includes separate obligation limitations for each of the agency's safety grant programs. The bill also specifies that the limitation provided for safety belt performance grants shall remain available until September 30, 2012, and shall be in addition to any limitation imposed on obligations in future fiscal years.

The bill maintains language that prohibits the use of funds for construction, rehabilitation, and remodeling costs or for office furnishings or fixtures for state, local, or private buildings or structures. Language is also continued that limits the amount available for technical assistance to \$500,000 under section 410 of title 23, U.S.C., and limits the amount that can be used to conduct the evaluation of the high visibility enforcement program to \$750,000 in fiscal year 2011.

Distracted driving prevention.—The bill reallocates \$50,000,000 from the seat belt performance grants program to fund a new distracted driving grant program for states that enact and enforce laws to prevent distracted driving with a focus on texting bans. Within this funding level, up to \$5,000,000 may be used for broadcast and print media advertising to support enforcement of state laws to prevent distracted driving, focused on reaching those segments of the population most likely to engage in distracted driving behavior. The bill requires NHTSA to give three days advance notice to Congress before any grant is awarded and specifies that no State shall receive greater than \$3,000,000 in any fiscal year.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING RESCISSIONS)

Section 140 provides funding for travel and related expenses for state management reviews and highway safety core competency development training.

Section 141 exempts obligation authority that was made available in previous public laws for multiple years from limitations on obligations for the current year.

Section 142 rescinds unobligated balances from the Consumer Assistance to Recycle and Save Program.

Section 143 rescinds unobligated contract authority authorized from the highway trust fund for NHTSA's national driver register program.

Section 144 rescinds unobligated contract authority authorized from the highway trust fund for NHTSA's highway traffic safety grant programs.

FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION

SAFETY AND OPERATIONS

The bill provides \$203,348,000 for safety and operations of the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA). Of the funds provided, \$8,380,000 is available until September 30, 2012 in order to accommodate inspection related travel and the close call system and \$24,913,000 is available until September 30, 2015 in order to accommodate the Automatic Track Inspection Program, the Railroad Safety Information System, the Southeastern Transportation Study, research and development activities, contract support, Alaska Railroad liabilities, PRISM-Delphi

Integration and acquisition as well as build-out of headquarters space. The bill does not provide any additional positions beyond the budget request.

Next Generation Corridor Equipment Pool Committee.—Within the funds provided \$1,000,000 is for grants to Amtrak and States for participation in the Next Generation Corridor Equipment Pool Committee.

RAILROAD RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The bill provides \$40,000,000 for railroad research and development. The FRA Administrator is given the flexibility to fund the activities included in its budget request within the resources provided. In addition, within the funds made available for this program, funds are to be distributed to the projects and activities as listed in the following table:

Project name	Amount
High-Speed Rail from Orlando to Miami, FL	\$500,000
Metrolink—Positive Train Control, CA	\$1,000,000
Northern Lights Express Intercity Passenger Rail Study, MN	\$500,000
PEERS Rail-Grade Crossing Safety, Statewide, IL	\$500,000
San Diego—Positive Train Control, CA	\$1,000,000
Whistle Free Zone Project, MN ...	\$400,000

Rail-highway crossing hazard eliminations.—The following funding allocations for rail-highway grade crossing projects and activities authorized under section 1103(f) of Public Law 109-59 are recommended:

Project name	Amount
Empire Corridor West High Speed Rail Improvements, Cayuga County, NY	\$360,000
Empire Corridor West High Speed Rail Improvements, Oneida County, NY	\$625,000
Improvement to Safety Devices at Highway/Railway Grade Crossings, WI	\$750,000
Traffic Separation Studies in Durham and Wake County, NC	\$500,000

RAILROAD SAFETY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM

The bill provides \$75,000,000 to implement the railroad safety technology program authorized in the Rail Safety Improvement Act of 2008.

The high cost of implementing positive train control technology is widely recognized, including the impact of these costs on small grantees. For this reason, FRA is directed to continue providing assistance for railroad safety technology to provide the greatest benefit across the rail and transit industries.

CAPITAL ASSISTANCE FOR HIGH SPEED RAIL CORRIDORS AND INTERCITY PASSENGER RAIL SERVICE

The bill provides \$1,000,000,000 for grants to support intercity rail service and high speed rail corridors. The Department is directed to begin the Advance Notice of Proposed Rule Making process within 180 days of enactment of this Act. In addition, FRA is directed to incorporate in the national rail plan an estimate of the cost to complete the system of high speed rail envisioned in the plan and a complete map of that system and resubmit the plan by March 1, 2011. GAO is directed to evaluate how FRA used its discretion to select high speed rail and intercity passenger rail fiscal year 2010 awards.

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION (AMTRAK)

The bill provides a total of \$1,901,484,000 for the operations, capital improvements and

debt service to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak).

OPERATING GRANTS TO THE NATIONAL
RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

The bill provides \$563,000,000 in operating grants to Amtrak. Such funds are available until expended.

Amtrak is reminded of its obligation to provide the Committees on Appropriations with the annual budget, business plan, and five-year financial plan no later than 60 days after enactment of the bill. Amtrak is directed to notify the Committees if it expects to miss any of its reporting deadlines. Amtrak is also directed to provide five day notice to the Committees on Appropriations before making any changes to Amtrak's budget, business plan, five-year financial plan, grant and legislative request and all subsequent supplemental plans, including any applications to incur debt.

CAPITAL AND DEBT SERVICE GRANTS TO THE
NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

The bill provides \$1,338,484,000 for capital and debt service payment grants to Amtrak. Such funds are available until expended. Within the funds provided, the bill includes \$277,000,000 for Amtrak's debt service pay-

ment. The bill requires that grants made after the first \$200,000,000 be provided only on a reimbursable basis. The bill continues to support the Amtrak Fleet Plan, but does not include a specific set aside for this purpose.

Americans with Disabilities Act.—The bill includes \$190,000,000 for compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Amtrak is expected to set aside the funding included and continue to give ADA compliance priority for the use of these funds.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—FEDERAL
RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION

Section 150 retains a provision that ceases the availability of Amtrak funds if the railroad contracts for services outside the United States for any service performed by a full-time or part-time Amtrak employee as of July 1, 2006.

Section 151 retains a provision that allows FRA to receive and use cash or spare parts to repair, and replace damaged track inspection cars.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

The bill provides \$106,700,000 for the administrative expenses of the Federal Transit Ad-

ministration (FTA). The bill specifies that no more than \$2,050,000 shall be provided for travel.

The bill includes \$5,000,000 for public transportation fixed guideway safety oversight activities if authorizing legislation is enacted before September 30, 2011. It is important to establish an office for safety oversight and this appropriation will start that effort.

FORMULA AND BUS GRANTS

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

The bill limits obligations from the Mass Transit Account for the formula and bus grant program to \$8,360,565,000. The specific programmatic distribution of formula and bus grant funds will be determined through legislation extending or reauthorizing the surface transportation programs. The bill includes a liquidating cash appropriation of \$9,200,000,000.

Bus and bus facilities.—Within the funds provided for bus and bus facilities, the FTA is directed to allocate funds to the following priorities:

Project name	Amount
1st Congressional District Buses and Bus Facilities, MI	\$1,000,000
Aberdeen Intermodal Transit Center, MD	\$750,000
ACE Boulder Highway Rapid Transit Project, NV	\$2,000,000
Bay Town Trolley Multi-Modal Facility, FL	\$500,000
Ben Franklin Transit Vehicle Replacements, Benton and Franklin Counties, WA	\$1,000,000
Bergen Intermodal Improvements, NJ	\$2,000,000
Berkshire Regional Transit Authority, MA	\$1,000,000
Bloomington Hybrid Buses, IN	\$250,000
Brookings Area Transit Authority Bus Storage and Transit Operations Facility, SD	\$1,000,000
Brownsville Multi-Modal Terminal Facility, TX	\$500,000
Bus and Bus Facilities, Santa Fe, NM	\$500,000
Bus and Bus Facilities, UT	\$8,000,000
Bus and Bus Facilities, VI	\$1,000,000
Bus Maintenance Facility, Sacramento, CA	\$1,800,000
Bus Purchases, DE	\$1,000,000
Bus Replacement, Kansas City, MO	\$1,000,000
Bus Replacement, Westchester County, NY	\$2,000,000
Bus Turnouts for Downtown Las Vegas, NV	\$750,000
Capital Area Transit Bus and Bus Facilities, PA	\$700,000
Cedar Avenue Bus Rapid Transitway, MN	\$500,000
Chatham Area Transit Bus Replacement, GA	\$1,000,000
Chicago Ridge Metra Station Improvements, IL	\$190,000
Chicago Union Station Improvements, IL	\$500,000
City of Janesville Transit Services Center, WI	\$3,065,000
City of Rialto Metrolink Parking Lot Improvement, CA	\$700,000
City of San Bernardino Intermodal Transit Center, CA	\$500,000
Clallam Transit Maintenance Facility Improvements, Clallam County, WA	\$200,000
Coach Bus for Commuter Campus, Campbell County, KY	\$690,000
Colorado Transit Coalition Statewide Bus & Bus Facilities, CO	\$5,250,000
Community Transit Vehicle Replacements, Snohomish County, WA	\$1,000,000
Coralville Intermodal Center, IA	\$700,000
Corpus Christi Regional Transportation Authority, TX	\$500,000
COTA Electronic Fare Payment System, Columbus, OH	\$1,300,000
C-TRAN 4th Plain BRT, Clark County, WA	\$1,500,000

Cypress Park Transit Bus and Bus Facilities, Los Angeles, CA	\$400,000
Dallas Area Rapid Transit Bus CNG Procurement, TX	\$800,000
DAV Vehicles, Northport, NY	\$500,000
Deerfield Valley Transit Association Facilities, Buses, and Equipment, VT	\$2,500,000
Dubuque Intermodal Facility, IA	\$400,000
Dutchess County Mass Transit Facility Project, NY	\$500,000
El Paso New Operations/Maintenance Facility, TX	\$1,500,000
Everett Transit Vehicle Replacements, Snohomish County, WA	\$1,000,000
Fair Lawn Community Shuttle Bus Program, NJ	\$315,000
Falls Church Bus and Bus Facilities, VA	\$725,000
Flint MTA Conversion of Paratransit Facilities to CNG, MI	\$750,000
Glassboro/Rowan Local Transit System, NJ	\$500,000
Goldsboro Union Station, NC	\$625,000
Grant County Transit Vehicle Replacement and Facilities Construction, Grant County, WA	\$1,000,000
Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority Clifton Boulevard Transit Enhancements, OH	\$750,000
Greater Cleveland Warrensville/Van Aken Multi-Modal Facility, OH	\$550,000
Greater Southeast Transit Terminal, Houston, TX	\$500,000
GRTC Downtown Multimodal Center, Richmond, VA	\$500,000
Harrison County Multimodal Project, MS	\$2,250,000
Idaho Transit Coalition Bus and Bus Facilities, ID	\$1,500,000
Illinois Bus and Bus Facilities, IL	\$4,000,000
IndyGo Transit Bus Replacement Project, IN	\$1,250,000
Innovation Station, East Lansing, MI	\$4,375,000
Intercity Transit Vehicle Replacements, Thurston County, WA	\$1,000,000
JATRAN Fleet Replacement and Bus Shelters, MS	\$600,000
Jefferson Transit Vehicle Replacements, Jefferson County, WA	\$400,000
Joliet Multimodal Transportation Center, IL	\$550,000
JTA Regional Transit Authority Multi-Modal Facility, FL	\$500,000
Kitsap County Vehicle Replacements, WA	\$500,000

LexTran Vehicle Maintenance Facility Improvements, KY	\$600,000
Link Transit Vehicle Replacements, Chelan and Douglas Counties, WA	\$1,000,000
Littleton Intermodal Parking Facility, MA	\$1,200,000
Longview Transit Vehicle Replacements, Clark County, WA	\$650,000
Los Angeles Boyle Heights DASH Bus, CA	\$420,000
Los Angeles Florence-Firestone/Walnut Park Transit Vehicles, CA	\$300,000
Los Angeles Midtown DASH Community Circulator Bus Expansion Project, CA	\$1,000,000
Los Lunas Intermodal Transportation Center, NM	\$1,000,000
Maine Statewide Bus Replacement, ME	\$1,000,000
MARTA Bus, Bus Facilities and Security Improvements, GA	\$4,000,000
Metro Area Transit - Bus and Bus Facilities, Omaha, NE	\$1,500,000
METRO Bus and Bus Facilities, Houston, TX	\$1,000,000
Milwaukee County Buses, WI	\$1,000,000
Montebello Bus Lines and Norwalk Transit Agency Bus Replacement Project, CA	\$500,000
Monterey-Salinas Transit Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) Security Systems Upgrade, CA	\$800,000
Moultrie Intermodal Facility, City of Moultrie, GA	\$400,000
Municipal Transit Operators Coalition Clean Fuel Bus Purchase, CA	\$2,000,000
Mustang Park and Ride Structure, Scottsdale, AZ	\$500,000
Naugatuck Transportation Facility, CT	\$500,000
New Center Intermodal Transportation Facility, Wayne, MI	\$1,350,000
Newburyport Intermodal Parking Facility, MA	\$750,000
North Central Regional Transit District Pueblo Buses, NM	\$800,000
North Dakota Statewide Capital Transit, ND	\$1,500,000
Oxford-University Transit System Bus Purchase, MS	\$400,000
Pace Paratransit Vehicles, IL	\$1,400,000
Pierce Transit Clean-Fuel Bus Replacements, Pierce County, WA	\$1,000,000
Port Authority Allegheny County Hybrid Buses, PA	\$600,000
Port of Galveston Transit Terminal Parking, TX	\$1,250,000

Potomac Yard-Crystal City Transit way, VA	\$1,250,000
PVTA Regional Transit Traveler Information Systems Project, MA	\$1,000,000
Reconstruction of the Mayfield Road Rapid Transit Station and Bridge, OH	\$2,000,000
Replacement Buses at Transit Authority of Northern Kentucky (TANK), Kenton County, KY	\$1,000,000
Replacement Buses for Urban Transit Systems in the Triangle , NC	\$2,500,000
Replacement of the Fixed Route Fleet, Springfield, MO	\$1,000,000
Riverview Corridor Bus Acquisition and Facilities, MN	\$750,000
Rochester Intermodal Transportation Center, NY	\$3,000,000
Rural Bus Program for Hawaii, Maui, and Kauai, HI	\$3,500,000
Senior Transportation Program, AL	\$500,000
SEPTA 69th Street Terminal, PA	\$500,000
SEPTA Levittown Station Intermodal Improvements, PA	\$600,000
Skagit Transit Vehicle Replacements, Skagit County, WA	\$500,000
South Burlington Transit Center, VT	\$1,000,000
South Hampton Roads Satellite Transit Operating Facility, VA	\$1,500,000
Southeast Missouri Transportation Service Facility, MO	\$800,000
Southern Maryland Commuter Bus Initiative, MD	\$1,500,000
Spokane Transit Paratransit Vehicles, Spokane County, WA	\$1,000,000
Stark Area Buses Regional Transit Authority, OH	\$800,000
Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities Fund, IA	\$2,500,000
Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities, MO	\$4,000,000
Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities, NM	\$1,000,000
Statler Intermodal Facility, Buffalo, Erie County, NY	\$3,000,000
Suffolk County Bus and Bus Facilities, NY	\$750,000
Tacoma Intermodal Transit Center, Tacoma, WA	\$1,000,000
Tennessee Statewide Bus Program, TN	\$12,500,000
Transit Center, California State University, Northridge, CA	\$500,000
TRANSPO Paratransit Replacement Vehicles, IN	\$352,000

Twin Transit Vehicle Replacements, Lewis County, WA	\$500,000
Unified Government Transit Buses and Bus Facilities, KS	\$800,000
Union Passenger Terminal , LA	\$1,250,000
Union Station Intermodal Center , DC	\$500,000
 Vacaville Intermodal Station-Phase 2, Vacaville, CA	 \$750,000
VIA Bus Fleet Modernization, TX	\$2,400,000
VIA Fredericksburg Road Bus Rapid Transit Corridor, TX	\$1,000,000
Washoe County Bus Facilities, NV	\$500,000
 Watts DASH Community Circulator Bus Project, CA	 \$200,000
White Earth Tribal Transit Service Bus Garage Facility, MN	\$500,000

Alternatives analysis.—The FTA is directed to allocate funds to the following alternatives analysis projects:

Project name	Amount
Glassboro Camden Line EIS Project, NJ	\$750,000
Interstate 94 Transit Corridor, Ramsey and Washington Counties , MN	\$750,000
JTA Commuter Rail Alternative Analysis, Jacksonville, FL	\$1,200,000
Las Cruces to El Paso Transportation Corridor, NM	\$1,000,000
LYNX Bus Rapid Transit Alternative Analysis, FL	\$500,000
Mountain View Corridor Transit, UT	\$1,000,000
Naval Station Norfolk Light Rail Study, VA	\$250,000
CTA Red Line, IL	\$500,000
OCTA Santa Ana-Garden Grove Fixed Guideway Construction, Santa Ana, CA	\$600,000
Orange Line Extension, IL	\$475,000
Phoenix West (Formerly I-10 West) Light Rail Extension, Phoenix, AZ	\$1,000,000
Red Line Extension, IL	\$1,500,000
South Central Avenue Light Rail Feasibility Study, Phoenix, AZ	\$750,000
Tier 2 Environmental Impact Statement, Atlanta, GA	\$1,350,000

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)
(RESCISSION)
The bill rescinds \$17,394,000 in excess fiscal year 2010 contract authority.
RESEARCH AND UNIVERSITY RESEARCH CENTERS
The bill provides \$65,376,000 from the General Fund for FTA’s research activities. Of the amounts provided, \$4,300,000 is for the National Transit Institute, \$10,000,000 is for transit cooperative research programs and \$7,000,000 is for the university centers program.

Of the remaining funds provided for national research programs, FTA is directed to allocate funds to the following activities:

Project name	Amount
City of College Station Public Transportation Initiative, TX ..	\$150,000
CTAA Job links, DC	\$2,400,000
Innovation in Public Transportation Infrastructure Systems Planning, College of Staten Island, Staten Island, NY	\$450,000
Project Transit, Philadelphia, PA	\$1,000,000

Project name	Amount
Queens College Barriers to Public Transportation Survey, NY	\$250,000

CAPITAL INVESTMENT GRANTS

The bill provides \$1,850,000,000 from the General Fund for capital investment grants.

New starts and small starts.—Within the funds provided, FTA is directed to allocate funds to the following projects:

Project name	Amount
Assembly Square Station - Orange Line, MA	\$1,000,000
Austin, MetroRapid BRT, TX	\$24,229,796
Baltimore Red Line, MD	\$1,500,000
BART Silicon Valley Project, CA	\$2,000,000
Central Corridor Light Rail Transit Project, MN	\$45,172,500
Charlotte CATS Blue Line Extension-Northeast Corridor Project, NC	\$11,000,000
CTA Green Line, IL	\$1,850,000
Crenshaw/LAX Transit Project, CA	\$1,250,000
Dallas, Northwest/Southeast LRT MOS, TX	\$99,699,717
Downtown Connector/Westside Subway Extension PE, CA	\$6,500,000
Downtown Transit Corridor Program, Downtown Circulator -- The Wave, FL	\$1,750,000
Draper Light Rail, UT	\$2,100,000
Dulles Corridor Rail Project, VA	\$96,000,000
Fort Collins, Mason Corridor BRT, CO	\$5,289,781
Green Line Extension to Rt. 16 from Tufts, MA	\$1,000,000
Honolulu High Capacity Corridor Transit Project, HI	\$55,000,000
Houston Commuter Rail Service in Harris and Fort Bend County (US 90A), TX	\$1,000,000
Houston, North Corridor LRT, TX	\$75,000,000
Houston, Southeast Corridor LRT, TX	\$75,000,000
I-5, Columbia River Crossing Project, WA	\$29,000,000
King County, West Seattle BRT, WA	\$21,274,000

New Britain-Hartford Busway, CT	\$43,672,500
New York City, Nostrand Ave BRT, NY	\$27,560,796
New York, Long Island Rail Road East Side Access, NY	\$208,657,500
New York, Second Avenue Subway Phase I, NY	\$195,416,775
Oakland, East Bay BRT, CA	\$15,000,000
Orlando, Central Florida Commuter Rail Transit - Initial Operating Segment, FL	\$37,000,000
Perris Valley Line, Riverside, CA	\$23,490,000
Purple Line, MD	\$1,500,000
RTD FasTracks East Corridor, Denver, CO	\$40,000,000
RTD FasTracks Gold Corridor, Denver, CO	\$40,000,000
RTD FasTracks West Corridor, Denver, CO	\$38,993,720
Salt Lake City, Mid Jordan LRT, UT	\$100,000,000
Salt Lake City, Weber County to Salt Lake City Commuter Rail, UT	\$80,000,000
San Bernardino, E Street Corridor sbX BRT, CA	\$42,372,415
San Francisco Muni Third St. Light Rail, Central Subway Project, CA	\$20,000,000
San Francisco, Van Ness Avenue BRT, CA	\$14,557,500
Seattle, University Link LRT Extension, WA	\$110,000,000
Sonoma-Marín Area Rail Transit (SMART), CA	\$1,000,000
South Shore Commuter Rail Capital Reinvestment Plan, NICTD, IN	\$1,000,000
Stamford Urban Transitway, CT	\$1,000,000
Tampa Light Rail, Preliminary Engineering, FL	\$1,000,000
VelociRFTA Bus Rapid Transit Project, CO	\$24,163,000
VRE Locomotive Acquisition, VA	\$1,000,000
West Eugene EmX- Alternative Analysis Study, OR	\$1,000,000

(RESCISSION)

The bill rescinds \$25,830,000 of unobligated balances from Capital Investment Grant funds appropriated in Public Law 111-117.

GRANTS FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND GREENHOUSE GAS REDUCTIONS

The bill provides \$65,000,000 for energy efficiency and greenhouse gas reduction grants.

WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT AUTHORITY

The bill provides \$150,000,000 to carry out section 601 of division B of Public Law 110-432 to remain available until expended.

WMATA is directed to provide quarterly reports beginning January 1, 2011 to the Committees on Appropriations measuring safety improvements of FTA's Tri-State Audit.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS—FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Longstanding administrative provisions are continued.

SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

(HARBOR MAINTENANCE TRUST FUND)

The bill provides \$33,868,000 for the operations, maintenance, and capital asset renewal of the Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation (SLSDC). The bill directs the SLSDC to use the additional funds for capital investments as planned for in the Asset Renewal Plan. The SLSDC is directed to provide an annual report by April 30 of each year consistent with the requirements stated in the Explanatory Statement of the Department of Transportation Appropriations Act of 2009.

MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

MARITIME SECURITY PROGRAM

The bill includes \$174,000,000 for the maritime security program.

OPERATIONS AND TRAINING

The bill includes \$172,262,000 for the Maritime Administration's operations and training account. The bill allocates the funds for operations and training as follows:

U.S. Merchant Marine Academy	
Salaries and Benefits	\$33,177,000
Midshipman Program	8,402,000
Instructional Program ...	4,184,000
Program, Direction and Admin.	8,545,000
Maintenance, Repair and Operations	9,112,000
Capital Improvements	30,900,000
Midshipman Fee Refunds	6,000,000
Subtotal, U.S. Merchant Marine Academy	100,320,000
State Maritime Academies (SMA)	
SMA Direct Payments	2,275,000
Student Incentive Payments	2,400,000
Schoolship Maintenance and Repair	11,240,000
Subtotal, State Maritime Academies	15,915,000
MARAD Operations	
Salaries and Benefits	29,047,000
Non-Discretionary Operations	11,179,000
Information Technology Discretionary Operations and Travel	1,686,000
Maritime Program Expenses	5,250,000
Subtotal, MARAD Operations	56,027,000

Total, Operations and

Training \$172,262,000

MARAD is directed to provide a quarterly report to the Committees on Appropriations on the number of vacancies and the duties associated with each vacant position. These reports should also break out the number of FTE currently on board and the estimated number of FTE on board by the end of the year. The Secretary is also directed to provide the Committees on Appropriations with a plan to assist MARAD in addressing the Office of Personnel Management's human resource audit recommendations within 90 days. The plan should establish policies, procedures and timelines to restore the hiring authority of the Maritime Administration.

Environment and Compliance.—The bill includes \$4,500,000 to continue the independent testing of ballast water technologies to meet domestic and international regulatory requirements, as well as assist in the testing, validation or certification of air emissions reduction technology with the Environmental Protection Agency.

Information Technology.—The bill includes additional resources to implement the data collection, analysis and reporting requirements of the Cruise Vessel Security and Safety Act of 2010.

SHIP DISPOSAL

The bill includes \$10,000,000 for the disposal of obsolete vessels of the National Defense Reserve Fleet.

MARITIME GUARANTEED LOAN (TITLE XI) PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The bill includes \$4,000,000 for the administrative expenses of the maritime guaranteed loan program (title XI).

ASSISTANCE TO SMALL SHIPYARDS

The bill includes \$15,000,000 for assistance to small shipyards.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

Section 175 authorizes MARAD to furnish utilities and services and make necessary repairs in connection with any lease, contract, or occupancy involving Government property under control of MARAD, and allow payments received to be credited to the Treasury.

PIPELINE AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

OPERATIONAL EXPENSES

(PIPELINE SAFETY FUND)

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$23,383,000 for the necessary operational expenses of the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), of which \$639,000 is to be derived from the Pipeline Safety Fund. The bill also requires that of the available funds, \$1,000,000 shall be transferred to Pipeline Safety to fund pipeline safety information grants to communities.

Pipeline integrity management.—During the past year, there have been multiple incidents—such as the crude oil pipeline failure in Salt Lake City, UT, in June; the oil spill near Marshall, MI, in July; and the crude oil pipeline failure in Romeville, IL, in September—that have served as stark reminders of the importance of maintaining the safety of our nation's pipeline system that is essential to delivering vital energy products to U.S. households and businesses. In-line pipeline pigging devices, when appropriately deployed, are widely regarded to be the most reliable and cost-effective means of assessing the integrity of pipeline infrastructure. However, not all portions of this energy delivery

system are capable of being “pigged”, that is, assessed using instrumented in-line inspection (ILI) devices. Roughly 13,000 miles of natural gas transmission pipelines in high consequence areas, such as those involved in the San Bruno incident, are unpiggable and thereby unable to be inspected by ILI devices under the integrity management program. These lines are currently assessed either using pressure testing techniques or a range of direct assessment methodologies. The bill includes \$1,000,000 for PHMSA to advance research to ensure that more effective integrity assessment technology, at least as effective as pigging, is made available to better assess pipeline integrity risks.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SAFETY

The bill provides \$49,434,000 to continue PHMSA's hazardous materials safety functions, of which \$6,497,000 shall be available until September 30, 2013. The bill also directs PHMSA to include a proposal to establish a reasonable user fee with its fiscal year 2012 budget to assist in covering a portion of the cost of expenses incurred to process applications and ensure compliance with the terms of special permits and approvals issued under 49 U.S.C. 5117. Within the funds provided, \$4,210,000 is for 44 new positions to enable PHMSA to improve its oversight, management, and processing of special permits and approvals and \$4,790,000 is for improvements to the PHMSA's data management and information technology modernization effort and is available until September 30, 2013.

PIPELINE SAFETY

(PIPELINE SAFETY FUND)

(OIL SPILL LIABILITY TRUST FUND)

The bill provides a total of \$111,111,000 for the office of pipeline safety. Of this amount, \$18,905,000 shall be derived from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund and shall be available until September 30, 2013. The remaining \$92,206,000 shall be derived from the Pipeline Safety Fund, of which \$51,206,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2013. The bill also directs that no less than \$1,053,000 of the funds provided shall be used for the state one-call grant program.

EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS GRANTS

(EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS FUND)

The bill provides \$28,318,000 for emergency preparedness grants, of which \$188,000 shall be for activities related to emergency response training curriculum development and updates.

RESEARCH AND INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY ADMINISTRATION

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The bill provides \$16,790,000 to continue research and development activities. Of the funds provided, \$9,655,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2013.

Activity	Conference level
Salaries and Administrative Expenses	\$7,135,000
Alternative Fuels Safety Research and Development (R&D) Research, Development, and Technology Coordination (RD&T)	500,000
Nationwide Differential Global Positioning System (NDGPS) ... Positioning, Navigation, and Timing (PN&T)	7,400,000
NDGPS.—The bill fully funds the equipment recapitalization request at \$2,000,000 and funds Operations and Maintenance (O&M) at \$5,400,000.	855,000

BUREAU OF TRANSPORTATION STATISTICS (LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

Under the appropriation of the Federal Highway Administration, the bill provides

\$27,000,000 for the Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS). Additionally, the bill provides \$1,000,000 for BTS within the Transportation Planning, Research, and Development (TPR&D) account.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$86,406,000 for the activities of the Office of Inspector General (OIG). The bill also maintains language which authorizes the OIG to investigate allegations of fraud and unfair or deceptive practices and unfair methods of competition by air carriers and ticket agents.

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$30,874,000 for salaries and expenses of the surface transportation board. The bill permits the collection of up to \$1,250,000 in user fees to be credited to this appropriation. The bill provides that the general fund appropriation be reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis by the actual amount collected in user fees to result in a final appropriation from the general fund estimated at no more than \$29,624,000. Within the total funding level, \$625,000 is provided for upgrades to the Uniform Railroad Costing System.

GENERAL PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF
TRANSPORTATION

Longstanding general provisions from fiscal year 2010 are continued unless otherwise noted below.

Section 188 prohibits funds from being used to make a grant unless the Secretary of Transportation notifies the House and the Senate Committees on Appropriations no less than three days in advance of any discretionary grant award, letter of intent, full funding grant agreement or any project competitively selected to receive a discretionary grant award totaling \$1,000,000 or more, and directs the Secretary give concurrent notification for any "quick release" of funds from the Federal Highway Administration's emergency relief program. Three day notice is also required for programs that total in excess of \$40,000,000.

Section 192 modifies a provision that caps the amount of fees that the Surface Transportation Board can charge/collect for rate or practice complaints.

Section 194 clarifies or extends funding for various projects that were included in previous appropriations Acts.

Section 195 clarifies funding for projects that were included in SAFETEA-LU and TEA-21.

Section 196 requires the Department of Transportation to continue a study related to the Missouri River.

Section 197 extends pilot programs related to truck weight in the States of Maine and Vermont.

TITLE II—DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING
AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

HUD is directed to provide quarterly updates on its efforts to improve the Department's hiring, performance appraisal, and succession planning processes, and the budgeting of S&E resources. These reports should include updates on the number of FTE projected for each office in the Department compared to last year's actual level and the authorized level for the current fiscal year. Additionally, these reports should track the obligation of funds provided for each office and specifically detail any transfer of funds between accounts.

Budget justifications.—HUD is directed to provide more detailed information on its sal-

aries and expenses request for each office within the Department in its fiscal year 2012 budget request and all future budget requests. The budget request must include a detailed justification for the incremental funding increases, decreases and FTE fluctuations being requested by program, activity or program element. The budget request must also include an organizational chart for each operating area within the Department detailing the number of FTE per office and changes in these numbers for the upcoming fiscal year. Additionally, the budget request must include detailed information on non-personnel related expenses, including travel, by program office. This should include information on prior-year travel and travel planned for fiscal year 2012. For international travel the Department should include the location and purpose of any proposed trips.

The Department is reminded that its authority to reprogram funds between the programs, projects, and activities within each account without prior approval from the Committees on Appropriations is limited. In addition, HUD must provide one month prior notice to the Committees on Appropriations of any office, program or activity reorganizations.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTION

The bill provides \$28,310,000 for Executive Direction. Funds are provided as follows:

Immediate Office of the Secretary and Deputy Secretary	\$7,464,000
Office of Hearings and Appeals	1,706,000
Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization	719,000
Immediate Office of the Chief Financial Officer	839,000
Immediate Office of the General Counsel	1,395,500
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations	2,709,000
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs	4,691,000
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Affairs	1,843,000
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community and Planning Development	1,487,500
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing, Federal Housing Commissioner	3,015,000
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research	992,000
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity	700,000
Office of the Chief Operating Officer	749,000

ADMINISTRATION, OPERATIONS AND
MANAGEMENT

The bill provides \$525,040,000 for the administrative functions of the Department. Funds are provided as follows:

Office of Chief Human Capital Officer—Personnel Compensation and Benefits	\$65,449,000
Office of Departmental Operations and Coordination—Personnel Compensation and Benefits ...	9,122,000
Office of Field Policy and Management—Personnel Compensation and Benefits	48,465,000
Office of the Chief Procurement Officer—Personnel Compensation and Benefits	15,932,000

Office of the Chief Financial Officer—Personnel Compensation and Benefits	33,597,000
Office of the General Counsel—Personnel Compensation and Benefits ...	86,482,000
Office of the Departmental Equal Employment Opportunity—Personnel Compensation and Benefits	3,115,000
Office of Faith-Based and Community Initiatives—Personnel Compensation and Benefits	1,171,000
Office of Sustainability—Personnel Compensation and Benefits	2,237,000
Office of Strategic Planning and Management—Personnel Compensation and Benefits	3,695,000
Office of Disaster and Emergency Management—Personnel Compensation and Benefits ...	4,375,000
Non-personnel expenses	251,400,000

HUD is directed to maintain the responsibilities of the appropriations attorneys under the Office of the Chief Financial Officer.

The bill provides funding requested for the Acquisition Workforce Initiative directly to the Office of the Chief Procurement Officer with the expectation that this funding will be used primarily to hire additional acquisition staff.

The bill does not include any funding for the design phase of the modernization of the Robert C. Weaver HUD headquarters building.

The bill reduces the Department's request for travel-related expenses by 10 percent with the expectation the reduction will be absorbed through reductions in conference and other non-oversight related travel.

PERSONNEL COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS

PUBLIC AND INDIAN HOUSING

The bill provides \$194,889,000 for the personnel compensation and benefits for this account.

The Secretary is directed to submit a comprehensive report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by May 15, 2011 on the administrative, regulatory and statutory barriers to the efficient operation of public housing agencies' (PHA) voucher and public housing programs. The report should include a list of the reporting and data systems required of PHAs, and how this information is used.

The Secretary is directed to submit a staffing plan for the Office of Public and Indian Housing to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations within 120 days of enactment of this Act. This plan should include detailed information on the allocation of staff among programs, as well as between field offices and headquarters. In addition, the plan should address the hiring process to ensure that PIH is bringing on staff with the appropriate expertise.

COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

The bill provides \$104,656,000 for the personnel compensation and benefits for this account.

HOUSING

The bill provides \$390,885,000 for the personnel compensation and benefits for this account.

HUD is directed to submit a report within 6 months of the enactment of this Act detailing how LEAN processing in the Office of Insured Healthcare Facilities is being implemented. This report should include policies put in place that protect the program against losses, as well as the staffing necessary to ensure effective program management. Additionally, the report should determine whether LEAN processing would be effective for the Office of Multifamily Housing.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT NATIONAL
MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION

The bill provides \$14,000,000 for the personnel compensation and benefits for this account to be derived from the GNMA guarantees of mortgage-backed securities guaranteed loan receipt account.

POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH

The bill provides \$21,138,000 for the personnel compensation and benefits for this account.

FAIR HOUSING AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

The bill provides \$70,363,000 for the personnel compensation and benefits for this account.

OFFICE OF HEALTHY HOMES AND LEAD HAZARD
CONTROL

The bill provides \$7,151,000 for the personnel compensation and benefits for this account.

PUBLIC AND INDIAN HOUSING
TENANT-BASED RENTAL ASSISTANCE
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$19,298,997,653 for all tenant-based Section 8 activities under the Tenant-Based Rental Assistance Account. Funding is allocated as follows:

Activity	Conference level
Voucher Renewals	\$16,993,997,653
Tenant Protection Vouchers	150,000,000
Administrative Fees	1,791,000,000
Family Self-Sufficiency Coordinators	60,000,000
Family Unification Incremental Vouchers	15,000,000
HUD-VASH Incremental Vouchers	75,000,000
Mainstream vouchers	63,000,000
Disaster vouchers	66,000,000
Homeless Demonstration ..	85,000,000

The bill includes new language requiring HUD to track all special purpose vouchers.

The bill includes \$150,000,000 to protect tenants, including those low-income residents most at-risk due to mortgage maturation or expiring use agreements.

HUD is directed to report on VASH utilization rates, challenges encountered by the program, and increases in the self-sufficiency and stability of participating veterans within 90 days of enactment of this Act, instead of January 15, 2011.

HOUSING CERTIFICATE FUND
(RESCISSION)

The bill does not include a rescission from this account. Unobligated balances, including recaptures and carryover, may be used for renewal of or amendments to section 8 project-based contracts and for performance-based contract administrators.

PUBLIC HOUSING CAPITAL FUND

The bill provides \$2,500,000,000 for the Public Housing Capital Fund.

HUD is directed to report quarterly to the Committees on Appropriations on the status of all PHAs that are designated as troubled or are in receivership.

PUBLIC HOUSING OPERATING FUND
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$4,775,000,000 for the Public Housing Operating Fund.

REVITALIZATION OF SEVERELY DISTRESSED
PUBLIC HOUSING (HOPE VI)

The bill provides \$200,000,000 for the Revitalization of Severely Distressed Public Housing program (HOPE VI). The bill also includes \$90,000,000 to continue the demonstration of the Choice Neighborhoods Initiative that was first funded in fiscal year 2010. As with HOPE VI, the funding under the demonstration will continue to focus on addressing housing needs.

NATIVE AMERICAN HOUSING BLOCK GRANTS

The bill provides \$700,000,000 for the Native American Housing Block Grants.

NATIVE HAWAIIAN HOUSING BLOCK GRANT

The bill provides \$13,000,000 for the Native Hawaiian Housing Block Grant, of which \$300,000 shall be for training and technical assistance, including up to \$100,000 for related travel.

INDIAN HOUSING LOAN GUARANTEE FUND
PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The bill provides \$9,000,000, to subsidize a loan limitation of up to \$994,000,000.

NATIVE HAWAIIAN HOUSING LOAN GUARANTEE
FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The bill provides \$1,044,000 for guaranteed loans for Native Hawaiian housing to subsidize a total guaranteed loan principal of up to \$41,504,255.

HUD is directed to submit a single report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on the progress of obligating current and prior year Native Hawaiian Housing Block Grant and Native Hawaiian Housing Loan Guarantee funds within 90 days of enactment of this Act and quarterly thereafter.

COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES FOR PERSONS WITH
AIDS

The bill provides \$345,000,000 for Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) program.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUND

The bill provides \$4,450,000,000 for the Community Development Fund. The bill provides the following:

Formula distribution of funds	\$3,990,000,000
Indian Economic Block Grants	65,000,000
Economic Development Initiative Grants	170,176,000
Neighborhood Initiatives Program	23,600,000
Sustainable Communities Initiative	150,000,000
Rural Innovation Fund	25,000,000
University Community Fund-Section 107 Grants	26,224,000

The bill provides funding for section 107 grants. Consistent with prior years, these grants will be awarded competitively to academic institutions as follows:

Native Alaskan and Hawaiian Serving Institutions	\$3,410,000
Tribal colleges and Universities ..	5,777,000
HBCUs	10,227,000
Hispanic Serving Institutions	6,810,000

The Department is directed to implement the Economic Development Initiatives program as follows:

Grantee	Purpose	Amount
Allegheny County, PA	For infrastructure improvements around the Allegheny Ludlum site in order to spur economic growth and create jobs	\$800,000
Allen Place Center, Tacoma, WA	For facility improvements at a community center in a low income neighborhood	\$1,000,000
American Legion Post 139, CA	Rehabilitation and Renovation of an American Legion Hall	\$95,000
American Red Cross, Cincinnati Area Chapter, OH	Construction and Build Out of a Regional Red Cross Hub	\$950,000
Appalachia Service Project, TN	Home Repair Program for Low-Income Families	\$350,000
Appalachia Service Project, TN	For free home repair to low-income families in Southern West Virginia	\$400,000
ARC Community Services, MA	Renovate a Building that Provides Services for Individuals with Developmental Disabilities	\$300,000
Arkansas State University-Mountain Home, MH, AR	For construction of the Vada Sheid Community Development Center	\$500,000
Ashunti Residential Management Systems Inc., IL	Transitional and Residential Housing for Low-Income Persons	\$600,000
Associated Early Care and Education, MA	For the construction of a child and family development center	\$500,000
Atlanta Center for Civil and Human Rights, GA	Construction of a Civil Rights Museum and Visitor Center in Downtown Atlanta	\$500,000
Big Brothers Big Sisters of Northern Ohio, Columbus, OH	For renovations of the facilities at Camp Oty'Okwa	\$300,000
Big Sky Economic Development Authority, Billings, MT	For infrastructure and land acquisition in East Billings	\$500,000
Black Economic Union of Greater Kansas City, MO	Planning, Design, Renovation and Revitalization of Historic Building	\$1,000,000
Blue Springs Hoke County CDC (BSHC-CDC), NC	Acquisition of Land for an Affordable Housing Initiative	\$500,000
Board of Directors of St. Louis Municipal Library, MO	To renovate the historic St. Louis Central Library including compliance with ADA requirements in MO	\$1,000,000
Bolivar County, MS	For the restoration of the historic Bolivar County First Judicial District Courthouse	\$350,000
Bonnie Brae, Liberty Corner, NJ	For the renovation of cottages that service New Jersey's at risk children and youth	\$200,000
Booneville, MS	For the Booneville Hardware Building Restoration Project	\$425,000

Boys & Girls Club of Carbon County, Red Lodge, MT	For renovations and expansion	\$350,000
Boys and Girls Club of Wagner, SD	For repairs and upgrades to the Boys and Girls Club facility	\$200,000
Boys and Girls Club, Bellevue, WA	For facilities improvements and expansion at community centers for youth	\$500,000
Boys and Girls Club, Spokane, WA	For facilities acquisition, improvements and expansion for a community center for youth	\$775,000
Boys Town of Chicago, IL	Construction of Housing for Low-Income Youth	\$500,000
Bristol Boys and Girls Club Association, CT	Planning, Design and Construction of a Boys and Girls Club	\$1,000,000
Brooke County Commission, WV	Development and Construction of a Park and Memorial	\$400,000
Brooklyn Legal Services, NY		\$250,000
	Construction and Renovations of a Facility	
Brooklyn Navy Yard Development Corporation, NY	Design and Construction of a Facility	\$500,000
Bucks County Housing Development Corporation, PA	Construction of Affordable Housing for Disabled Veterans	\$500,000
Builders Development Corporation, KS	For the Central Baptist Redevelopment Project in Kansas City, Kansas	\$800,000
CAMBA, Inc., NY	Construction of Supportive Housing	\$1,000,000
Center for Veterans Issues, Ltd., Milwaukee, WI	For the construction of supportive housing for veterans	\$400,000
Chabad of the Valley, CA	Renovation of a Facility	\$250,000
Charles City County, VA	Construction of a Library Facility	\$500,000
Charles County, Department of Community Services, MD	Renovation of Low-Income Housing	\$300,000
Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc., AZ	Building Rehabilitation for a Workforce Readiness Center	\$400,000
Children's Museum of Tampa, FL	Construction of a Facility	\$750,000
Chippewa Cree Tribe, Box Elder, MT	For renovations and safety upgrades of a tribal TANF building	\$200,000
City of Albany, NY	Construction and Renovation of a Facility	\$825,000
City of Alexandria, VA	Mount Vernon Avenue Pedestrian Solar Lighting Project, VA	\$300,000
City of Anderson, IN	For the Flagship Enterprise Center Certified Technology Park Buildings Project for infrastructure and laboratory equipment for hybrid electric vehicle technologies and related technologies	\$650,000
City of Astoria , OR	Construction of a Park	\$800,000

City of Bangor, ME	For event and meeting space infrastructure at the Bangor Regional Arena and Meeting Complex	\$1,000,000
City of Bend, OR	For design and construction of new research and development facility	\$200,000
City of Brewer, ME	For the development of a riverfront trail system as part of the West Bayside Neighborhood Development Project	\$700,000
City of Bristol, CT	To acquire blighted property and renovate facilities to create an industrial park	\$500,000
City of Burbank, CA	Planning, Design and Construction of a Library	\$100,000
City of Chicago, IL	Construction of Veterans Housing	\$750,000
City of Chicopee, MA	For the construction of a community and senior center	\$350,000
City of Cleburne, TX	Construction of the Lake Pat Cleburne Trail System	\$250,000
City of Coffman Cove, AK	For the construction of an elevated concrete dock with related improvements	\$300,000
City of Columbus, MS	For the Columbus Riverwalk Lighting Extension project	\$300,000
City of Cortland, NY	Engineering, Preparation and Construction of a Community Center	\$400,000
City of Council Bluffs, IA	For demolition of facilities located near 1st Avenue in Council Bluffs for the purpose of improving the West Broadway Corridor	\$400,000
City of Covington, LA	For the acquisition of facilities in Covington, LA to be used for community services and economic development	\$1,000,000
City of Creedmoor, NC	Planning and Design for a Community Center	\$500,000
City of Dubuque, IA	Renovation of Buildings to Create Affordable Workforce Housing	\$925,000
City of Durham, NC	Streetscaping of Fayetteville Street Corridor Project	\$500,000
City of East Hartford, CT	For the repair and upgrade of crucial public infrastructure in and around North Meadows Industrial Park	\$500,000
City of Ecorse, MI	Demolition of Blighted Buildings	\$1,000,000
City of Enterprise, AL	Demolition, Planning, Construction, and Renovation of Downtown Business District	\$500,000
City of Flint, MI	For the upgrade of energy efficiency and services at an economic and community development center which provides economic and community development	\$700,000
City of Fort Lauderdale, FL	Streetscaping in Underserved Communities	\$500,000
City of Fullerton, CA	Demolition, Planning, Design and Construction of a Community Center	\$500,000
City of Gary, IN	Demolition of Buildings and Neighborhood Redevelopment	\$500,000

City of Glens Falls, NY	Land Acquisition and Rehabilitation of a Community Service Center	\$500,000
City of Gonzales, LA	Park Improvements	\$250,000
City of Grants Pass, OR	For the acquisition and renovation of a community center	\$500,000
City of Grants, NM	Planning, Design, Construction and Build Out of a Library	\$750,000
City of Green Bay , WI	Demolition of Blighted Building	\$1,150,000
City of Grenada, MS	For the Taylor Hall Renovation Project	\$250,000
City of Gretna, LA	For planning and construction of a new Senior Center to meet the demands of the elderly population	\$500,000
City of Hattiesburg, MS	For redevelopment of the Hattiesburg East Jerusalem Municipal Center Complex including acquisition of equipment	\$600,000
City of Hyattsville, MD	For the rehabilitation of an existing structure to a mixed-use, community meeting facility	\$250,000
City of Inkster, MI	For construction of a senior center	\$1,250,000
City of Jackson, MS	For the renovation of youth-oriented public facilities	\$500,000
City of Kingstree, SC	For the Kingtree Train Depot Renovation Project including meeting ADA compliance	\$200,000
City of La Joya, TX	Construction of Youth Facilities	\$500,000
City of Lacey, WA	For expansion of a community facility providing services for seniors	\$500,000
City of Lancaster, PA	For renovation of buildings and streetscaping as part of the revitalization of the City's Market District	\$1,000,000
City of Las Cruces, NM	For expansion of a central kitchen and associated equipment to provide home meal delivery services to seniors	\$400,000
City of Las Vegas, NV	For construction of low-income elderly housing	\$1,000,000
City of Lawndale, CA	Design and Construction of a Community Center	\$500,000
City of Linton, ND	For housing and commercial redevelopment	\$700,000
City of Madison, WI	For construction of a public market for regionally grown and produced products	\$200,000
City of Marshalltown, IA	For the removal of blight and related housing development requirements for the Grant Park Redevelopment Project	\$700,000
City of Memphis, TN	For improvements to the Pigeon Harbor Industrial Park	\$1,550,000
City of Milan, MO	To renovate the historic Sullivan County Building including enhancing safety, spurring economic development and for streetscape investments	\$250,000
City of Mount Rainier, MD	Planning and Design of a Library	\$350,000
City of New Orleans, LA	For the Federal City Urban Redevelopment Project	\$500,000

City of Pascagoula, MS	For construction of a Beach Park Promenade in Pascagoula, MS	\$900,000
City of Peoria, IL	For revitalization efforts on Peoria's Southside	\$200,000
City of Piedmont, OK	For the Elevated Water Storage Tower project for water storage and water line installations	\$700,000
City of Port Orchard, WA	Parcel Acquisition and Project Design	\$500,000
City of River Rouge, MI	Demolition of Blighted Buildings	\$500,000
City of Rockford, IL	For land acquisition, demolition, and infrastructure improvements	\$500,000
City of Ruleville, MS	For the development of a walking trail	\$125,000
City of Salem, OR	Construct Waterline Improvements	\$500,000
City of Springfield, IL	For construction of a new building for a Crisis Nursery in Springfield	\$200,000
City of Springfield, MA	For improvements to public buildings in downtown Springfield	\$350,000
City of Springfield, MO	For improvements to the Commercial Club Building including making it ADA compliant	\$750,000
City of Springfield, OH	For the redevelopment of an industrial Brownfield site	\$750,000
City of Stevens Point, WI	Environmental Remediation and Development within the City of Stevens Point	\$750,000
City of Toledo, OH	Ohio Broadway Street Corridor Enhancement	\$1,000,000
City of Tucson, AZ	Stabilization and Renovation of the Historic Marist College Building	\$500,000
City of Warren, MI	Facility and Security Improvements to Senior Apartments	\$750,000
City of West Warwick, RI	For planning and community development, including the acquisition and rehabilitation of property and the improvement of public infrastructure	\$500,000
City of Wilkes-Barre, PA	For the planning, design and renovations in a downtown business district	\$200,000
City of Winsted, CT	For renovations and streetscape enhancements in the downtown business district	\$200,000
City of Winter Park, FL	Acquisition of Land for Expansion of a Park	\$250,000
City of Woonsocket, RI	For site demolition and environmental remediation to accommodate a public drinking water facility	\$600,000
City of Worcester, MA	Gardner-Kilby-Hammond Neighborhood Revitalization	\$450,000
Clearfield City, UT	For Clearfield City Downtown Development Project West Phase 1 & 2 for the city to acquire blighted properties	\$100,000
Clyde Malone Community Center, Lincoln, NE	For the expansion and renovation of the Malone Center facilities	\$500,000

Commission on Economic Opportunity for the Greater Capital Region, Troy, NY	For construction of community building to serve as a child care and family resource center for low to moderate income individuals	\$250,000
Community Advocates, Inc., WI	Acquisition and Remodeling of Facility	\$250,000
Community Development Corporation of South Berkshire, MA	Redevelopment of the former Searles Bryant School Complex in Great Barrington	\$600,000
Community Food Bank, Inc., AZ	Installation of Solar Panels	\$200,000
Community Foundation of NJ, Newark, NJ	Renovations of Essex County Family Justice Center	\$800,000
Community Hospitals of Central California Foundation, dba Community Medical Foundation, CA	Design, Construction, Engineering, Furnishings and Equipment	\$750,000
Comprehensive Community Action, Inc., RI	Renovations including Energy Efficient Upgrades	\$250,000
Concourse House, HDFC, NY	Renovation of a Facility	\$150,000
Connecticut Food Bank, Inc., CT	Renovation of a Facility	\$1,000,000
Copper River Native Association, Glenallen, AK	For the construction of a health and multi-use facility serving tribal members medical and basic health service needs	\$500,000
County of Santa Barbara, CA	For repairs and renovations to the Lompoc Veterans Building Renovation	\$450,000
Covenant House Alaska, Anchorage, AK	For construction of a new facility for Covenant House, a center providing immediate and long-term needs of homeless youth in Alaska	\$500,000
Covenant House, LA	Acquisition of Land for a Low-Income Youth Initiative	\$250,000
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe, Fort Thompson, SD	For construction of a new community facility in Fort Thompson, SD to serve low-income tribal members	\$300,000
CRSA Wounded Warrior Care Project, Augusta, GA	For the Wounded Warrior Transitional Housing Project	\$2,100,000
Davis Street Community Center, Inc., CA	Building Acquisition	\$500,000
Dayton, OH	For Improved Solutions for Urban Systems, Inc. to renovate workshops and laboratories using LEED Standards and renewable energy technology	\$250,000
Delta City, UT	For improvements and construction related to the Delta City Learning and Community Center	\$500,000

Denton, TX	For the Denton Downtown Improvement Project to upgrade the streets and streetscape	\$500,000
Domestic Abuse Intervention Services, Inc., WI	Construction of a Domestic Violence Shelter	\$300,000
Domestic Violence Services of Snohomish County, Everett, WA	For expansion and rehabilitation of a domestic violence facility	\$500,000
East Hartford Housing Authority, CT	ADA Upgrades to and Renovation of a Community Center	\$500,000
Easter Seals Hawaii, HI	For the construction and renovation of building space to provide programs and services for children and adults with disabilities	\$300,000
Emlenton Borough, PA	Redevelopment of a Former Industrial Site	\$150,000
Enosburg Falls Economic Development Corporation, Enosburg Falls, VT	For improvements to an industrial park	\$200,000
Eritrean Association, Seattle, WA	For facility improvements and expansion of a community center	\$600,000
Family Crisis Center, Inc., Farmington, NM	For expansion of the existing Family Crisis Center	\$643,500
Family Service Association of San Antonio, TX	For facility repairs to the Family Service Center	\$1,250,000
Fargo Housing & Redevelopment Authority, Fargo, ND	For rehabilitation of low-income housing	\$700,000
Federal Way Chamber of Commerce, Federal Way, WA	For acquisition and facility improvements of a regional small business incubator	\$1,200,000
First Best Place, Columbia Falls, MT	For construction of a community center	\$1,000,000
First Steps Primeros Pasos, Georgetown, DE	For construction and start up costs for a bilingual early care and education facility	\$175,000
Food Self-Reliance, The Kohala Center, HI	For equipment purchase to make infrastructure improvements to increase economic development opportunities, for low and moderate income farmers in rural communities	\$250,000
Forgotten Harvest, Oak Park, MI	For facilities improvements, equipment, and service fleet	\$542,000
Franklin County, MS	For restoration of the Historic Franklin County Courthouse	\$175,000
Friends of Buena Library, Buena, WA	For construction of a community center in a high poverty area	\$400,000
Gaston County, NC	To create a Gastonia Technology Park in Gaston County, NC	\$760,000

Glenridge Senior Citizen Multi-Service & Advisory Center, Inc, NY	Construction of a Community Center	\$230,000
Grace Hill Settlement House, St. Louis, MO	To renovate housing for low-income working families at the College Hill Community Redevelopment Project	\$1,000,000
Grand Rapids Downtown Development Authority, MI	For the construction of a mixed-use facility for use as a farmers market in a distressed urban area	\$700,000
Great Falls Development Authority, Great Falls, MT	For infrastructure improvements for development of an industrial park	\$800,000
Great Rivers Greenway, St. Louis, MO	For the removal of blight and related redevelopment concerns at the St. Louis Regional Greenways project	\$1,000,000
Hancock County, KY	For expansion of the Owensboro Community Technical College--Hancock County Extension Campus for local training programs	\$300,000
Hanover Township, Washington County, PA	For construction and excavation in Hanover Township, Washington County, PA to create new businesses in a distressed community	\$200,000
Harriet Tubman Center, Maplewood, MN	For the renovation of a building in order to consolidate youth and family services, and relocate two domestic violence shelters	\$600,000
Healing With CAARE, Inc., NC	Construction and Renovations of a Facility	\$300,000
Heritage Services, Omaha, NE	For construction of facility that will accommodate an education and interactive learning center	\$1,000,000
Hilltown Community Development Corporation, MA	Development of Energy-Efficient Affordable Senior Housing	\$300,000
Historic Seattle, WA	Restoration and Preservation of Washington Hall	\$475,000
Hocking Co. Community Improvement Corporation, Logan, OH	To construct an incubator/light manufacturing building	\$750,000
Housing Vermont, Burlington, VT	For expansions and improvements to low income housing	\$500,000
IA Dept. of Economic Development, Des Moines, IA	For the restoration and rehabilitation of buildings, re-introduce upper floor housing, and add economic value in Iowa's historic Main Street districts	\$1,000,000
Island Municipality of Rota, MP	Design and Renovation of a Facility	\$500,000
Isles, Inc., NJ	Construction of a Green Job Training Center	\$300,000
Ivins, UT	For the Old Town Ivins Street Improvements Project including safety concerns	\$100,000
Jackson Medical Mall, Jackson, MS	For the expansion of the Jackson Medical Mall Development Project	\$600,000

Jefferson County Department of Human Services, CO	For a facility for Jefferson County Department of Human Services in Colorado to provide housing for homeless veterans	\$600,000
Jewish Family Service, Seattle, WA	For improvements and expansion of a facility providing family and community services	\$800,000
Jewish Vocational Service, MO	To renovate the facility for the Jewish Vocational Service and to provide equipment and furnishings	\$1,000,000
John Hope Settlement House, Providence, RI	For facility upgrades and energy retrofits	\$200,000
Josephine Solomon Ellis Foundation - CDC, PA	Construction of Low-Income Housing	\$500,000
Joseph's Home, Inc., NY	Rehabilitation of a Community Room for Veterans	\$350,000
Kauai Economic Development Board, Kauai, HI	For the rehabilitation and improvement of an abandoned facility, to provide employment training for low and moderate income agricultural workers	\$300,000
Keene Family YMCA, Keene, NH	For the construction of a new Keene YMCA allowing for the expansion of childcare and other services	\$300,000
Kentucky Blood Center, Somerset, KY	For construction of a Kentucky Blood Center Building	\$1,000,000
Kips Bay Boys & Girls Club, NY	Construction, Renovations and Equipment	\$75,000
Kittay House, Jewish Home Lifecare, Inc, NY	Building Renovation and Repairs	\$200,000
Laiopua 2020, Kailua-Kona, HI	For planning, design, and construction of the Laiopua 2020 Community Center	\$300,000
Lake Eufaula Association , OK	Construction of a Facility	\$400,000
Lawrence Economic Development Corporation, OH	Redevelopment of a Park	\$700,000
Liberty House Shelter Inc, NH	Building Acquisition for Housing for Homeless Veterans	\$250,000
Logan, UT	For the Logan Northwest Park Project to continue an ongoing park project	\$200,000
Longview Housing Authority, Longview, WA	For acquisition and improvements of a facility to serve as a regional center for Veterans	\$675,000
Los Angeles County Department of Parks and Recreation, CA	Construction of a Park	\$300,000
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule, SD	For the Domestic Violence Building Project	\$400,000
Luke-Dorf, Inc., Portland, OR	For the construction of a Behavioral Healthcare Housing Facility, with medically-monitored treatment, for individuals	\$300,000
Lutheran Social Service of Minnesota, MN	Renovation of Homes for the Disabled	\$225,000

Luzerne County Commissioners, PA	Infrastructure Improvements at the Crestwood and Hanover Industrial Parks	\$750,000
Mandell Jewish Community Center, West Hartford, CT	For community facilities renovations and improvements	\$700,000
MARC Community Services Corporation, Wyandotte County, KS	To acquire and renovate vacant and abandoned properties as part of the NeighborhoodsNOW Redevelopment Plan in Wyandotte County, Kansas	\$400,000
Martin House Restoration Corporation, NY	Restoration and Improvements to the Historic Darwin Martin House Home and Complex	\$1,000,000
Maui Economic Development Board, HI	For equipment purchase and construction to support business incubation and economic development for Molokai cooperatives	\$250,000
Middlesex Community College, MA	Planning, Design and Renovation of a Historic Building	\$300,000
Milwaukee Department of City Development, Milwaukee, WI	For the preservation and improvement of affordable housing	\$400,000
Missouri Institute for Biotechnology and Innovation, Cole County, MO	To renovate and equip the historic Missouri Institute for Biotechnology and Innovation in Cole County, Missouri	\$1,000,000
Molokai Habitat for Humanity, HI	For construction and rehabilitation of low and very low income housing using the Molokai Habitat for Humanity self help housing model	\$400,000
Morehouse College, GA	Construction of a Facility to Display the Papers of Martin Luther King, Jr.	\$500,000
Mount Washington Community Development Corporation, PA	Planning and Construction of a Trail	\$100,000
Municipality of Yauco, PR	Construction of a Children's Playground	\$150,000
Museum for African Art, NY	Streetscaping	\$500,000
My Sister's House, CA	Renovation of Asian and Pacific Islander Domestic Violence Survivor Home	\$250,000
National Civil Rights Museum, TN	Renovation of a Facility	\$750,000
Nepperhan Community Center, Inc., NY	Renovation of a Community Center	\$200,000
New Hampshire Community Loan Fund, Concord, NH	For support for the Community Loan Fund programs for the New Hampshire Community Loan Fund	\$500,000
New River Community and Technical College, WV	Acquisition and Renovation of a Building to be Used as Technical Training Center	\$600,000
Niles Township Government, IL	Renovation of a Facility for the Niles Township Food Pantry	\$250,000

North Carolina Research Campus, Kannapolis, NC	For the High Speed Optical Networking at NCRC	\$500,000
Northeast Iowa Food Bank, Waterloo, IA	For construction of a new facility to serve as a food warehouse and distribution center for northeast Iowa	\$300,000
Northern Hills Alliance for Children, Deadwood, SD	For Childcare Center building modifications	\$550,000
Northern Illinois Food Bank, IL	Construction of a Facility	\$300,000
Nye County, Pahrump, NV	For the construction and expansion of a senior center	\$200,000
Ocean Community YMCA, Westerly, RI	For construction of a drop-off area for children	\$250,000
Old Sturbridge Village, MA	Renovation of Historic Buildings	\$135,000
Opportunity Resources, Inc., Missoula, MT	For facility improvements for an employment and service organization for the disabled	\$200,000
Our City Reading, Inc., PA	For the planning, design, renovation, and construction of housing	\$200,000
Parents and Children Together, HI	For renovation and equipment purchase to expand the Community Technology Center at Kuhio Park Terrace	\$300,000
Paul J. Cooper Center for Human Services, Inc., NY	Building Acquisition, Renovation, Expansion and Build out of a Facility	\$300,000
People in Progress, Inc., CA	Acquisition of a Building for Homeless Veterans	\$380,000
Pickaway County, OH	For construction of an economic development center	\$800,000
Pillar Community Development Corp., Bloomfield, CT	For the construction of a community wellness center	\$200,000
Port of Lewiston, ID	For expansion of the container dock	\$850,000
Porter County Government, IN	Streetscaping	\$500,000
Positive Workforce INC., NY	Completion of a Job Training Facility	\$250,000
Project Angel Food, CA	Installation of a Roof and Solar Energy Panels	\$150,000
ProLiteracy, NY	Planning, Design and Construction of a Building	\$1,000,000
Providence Community Action Program, RI	Renovation and Rehabilitation of a Community Center	\$750,000
Puerto Rican Action Board, New Brunswick, NJ	For the repair of facilities that assist low-income families with foreclosure mitigation and other economic independence initiatives	\$260,000
Rebuilding Together Broward County, Inc., FL	Renovations to Low-Income Housing	\$250,000
Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians, MN	Planning, Design and Construction of a Multi-Purpose Facility	\$1,000,000

Redevelopment Authority of the County of Westmoreland, PA	Redevelopment of a Brownfield Site	\$250,000
Regional Economic Development, Inc, Columbia, MO	For renovations and equipment for a small business incubator at the Enterprise Center	\$425,000
Regional Foodbank, Akron-Canton, OH	For energy conservation improvements for the food bank facility	\$250,000
River Ridge Dev. Authority, Jeffersonville, IN	To provide for infrastructure planning for economic development purposes of the River Ridge Commerce Center	\$350,000
Roadrunner Food Bank, Albuquerque, NM	For a permanent warehouse for the Roadrunner food collection agency for homeless and poverty stricken families in New Mexico	\$250,000
Rum River Health Services, Inc., MN	Construction of Temporary and Permanent Supportive Housing Units	\$500,000
Rural Alaska Community Action Program in Toksook Bay, AK	To construct an early childhood development center	\$500,000
Salt Lake County, UT	For construction related to the Salt Lake County Transitional Housing project	\$750,000
Senior Services of Snohomish County, WA	Construction and Equipment for a Service Delivery Facility	\$500,000
Share of Vancouver, Clark and Cowlitz County, Vancouver, WA	For rehabilitation of a facility to provide for transitional homeless housing and other services	\$900,000
Sisseton Wahpeton Oyate, Agency Village, SD	For restoration efforts and safety upgrades at the Sisseton Wahpeton Oyate pow wow grounds	\$150,000
South Coast Development Council, Coos Bay, OR	Planning, Development and Construction of a Facility	\$750,000
Southern Queens Park Association, Inc., NY	Modernization and Improvements to Roy Wilkins Complex	\$800,000
Springfield YMCA, Springfield, IL	For construction of a new building	\$500,000
St. Joseph Community Center, Lorain, OH	For demolition, remediation and renovation of the St. Joseph Community Center facility	\$400,000
St. Louis Development Corporation, MO	Development of Road and Stormwater Infrastructure for Carondelet Commons Business Park Development	\$750,000
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, Ft. Yates, ND	For housing renovation	\$300,000
Storey County Community Chest, Virginia City, NV	For the creation of the Storey County Youth and Community Center	\$400,000

Suwannee County Board of County Commissioners, FL	Engineering, Design and Construction of a Library	\$500,000
Syracuse, UT	For the Legacy Park Handicap Renovations project, including meeting ADA requirements	\$100,000
The Children's Aid Society's Goodhue Center, NY	Acquisition of Land for a Park	\$1,000,000
The Children's Campus of Kansas City, Inc. , KS	Facility Upgrades	\$200,000
The Ministry of Caring, Inc., Wilmington, DE	For the renovation of the Josephine Bakhita House	\$300,000
The Neighborhood House Charter School , MA	Planning and Construction of a Facility	\$300,000
The Planning Office for Urban Affairs, Boston, MA	For the construction of affordable housing and mixed use space	\$400,000
The Resurrection Project, IL	Planning, Design and Construction of a Residence Hall for Low-Income Youth	\$500,000
Three Square Food Bank, NV	Construction of a Solar Power Array	\$925,000
Tibbits Opera House, MI	Renovation and Restoration	\$150,000
Tierra Madre, Sunland Park, NM	For construction of a capacity building center to help facilitate homeownership opportunities	\$200,000
Tooele, UT	For infrastructure development to the Tooele City Commercial Park	\$750,000
Town of Carmel, NY	For acquisition and construction of a new economic center	\$400,000
Town of Braintree, MA	Restoration and Rehabilitation of the Original Thayer Library	\$500,000
Town of Cheraw, SC	To eliminate blighted housing structures under the Cheraw Stabilization Program	\$200,000
Town of Dover-Foxcroft, ME	For infrastructure improvements to the former Moosehead Manufacturing facility	\$700,000
Town of Hempstead, Department of Planning & Economic Development, NY	Streetscaping	\$750,000
Town of Islip, NY	Restoration and Renovation of Veteran Facilities	\$500,000
Town of Madison , WI	Construction of the Novation Technology Campus Redevelopment Project	\$500,000
Town of North Castle, NY	Streetscaping	\$200,000
Town of Seymour, CT	Construction of a Community Center	\$100,000
Town of Silas, AL	To renovate abandoned school property into a community center	\$300,000
Town of South Boston, VA	Renovations and Development of a Community Center	\$750,000

Town of Sprague, CT	Renovation of a Senior Housing Complex	\$750,000
Town of Steilacoom, WA	Construction and Expansion of a Community Center	\$500,000
Township of Moorestown, NJ	Reconstruction of a Library	\$750,000
TRF Development Partners, City of Baltimore, MD	For the planning, design, rehabilitation and construction of affordable housing in the Oliver neighborhood	\$500,000
Tubman African American Museum, GA	Construction of a Facility	\$500,000
Turtle Mountain Chippewa Tribe, Belcourt, ND	For construction of a youth center	\$300,000
Tuscaloosa Housing Authority, Tuscaloosa, AL	For revitalization of the distressed low-income housing in the Rosedale Court housing community, to consist of its demolition and reconstruction	\$5,000,000
Ulster County, NY, NY	Rehabilitation and Stabilization of a National Historic Landmark	\$350,000
Union City Family Support Center, PA	Renovation of a Facility	\$200,000
Union County Child Advocacy Center, Elizabeth, NJ	For construction and renovation of new child advocacy center for sexually abused children in Union County	\$200,000
United Community Center, Milwaukee, WI	For construction of a senior center within a low-income elderly housing complex	\$2,000,000
United Indians of All Tribes Foundation, Seattle, WA	For rehabilitation and revitalization of a facility serving disadvantaged youth	\$600,000
Urban League of Metropolitan Seattle, Seattle, WA	For improvements to a facility to allow for the expansion of affordable housing	\$300,000
Ute Mountain Housing Authority, Durango, CO	For an affordable housing project for Ute Mountain Ute tribal members	\$800,000
Valley Council of Governments, Derby, CT	For property renovation and reconstruction	\$400,000
Variety Boys and Girls Club, CA	Demolition and Reconstruction of a Facility	\$200,000
Vermont Community Loan Fund, Montpelier, VT	For the construction of community facilities, including child care centers	\$300,000
Vermont Division for Historic Preservation, Montpelier, VT	For historic preservation improvements throughout Vermont	\$200,000
Vermont Housing & Conservation Board, Montpelier, VT	For enhancement of affordable housing, community development initiatives, economic development, land conservation and historic preservation	\$3,000,000
Veterans Green Jobs, CO	Planning, Design and Renovation of a Disabled Veterans Green Jobs Training Center	\$500,000

Veterans Museum of Mid Ohio Valley, WV	Acquisition, Construction and Renovation of a Veterans Museum	\$300,000
Veterans of Foreign Wars, William F Taylor Post 9486, NY	Renovation of a Veterans Facility	\$70,000
Village of North Riverside, IL	Construction and Build Out of a Park	\$135,000
Village of Port Washington, NY	Revitalization of Manhasset Bay Waterfront	\$750,000
Waterboro, ME	For a technology and infrastructure project for the Waterboro Community Center	\$750,000
Waterloo, IA	For building renovation and improvements for Cedar Valley TechWorks for laboratory and incubator space	\$300,000
West DuPage, IL	For construction of an Educare center in West Dupage to serve at-risk children	\$200,000
Western Arkansas Regional Intermodal Transportation Authority, Fort Smith, AR	For infrastructure improvements related to the development of an industrial park	\$500,000
Western Nebraska Community College, Scottsbluff, NE	For the construction and equipment purchase in support of a renewable energy training center	\$400,000
Westminster College, Salt Lake City, UT	For improvement to the Garfield School Renovation and Revitalization project	\$500,000
Whitman Walker Clinic, Inc., DC	Planning, Design and Construction	\$400,000
Williamsburg County, SC	Construction of a Community Center	\$1,000,000
Women's Intercultural Center, Anthony, NM	For renovation of a facility that provides educational and economic opportunities to women	\$450,000
Woodward Development Corporation, OH	Renovation of a Building in Downtown Mount Vernon	\$750,000
Wynnefield Overbrook Revitalization Corporation (WORC), PA	Streetscaping and Infrastructure for WORC Commercial Center	\$500,000
Yakima Housing Authority, Granger, WA	For development activities and construction of affordable housing for farmworkers	\$675,000
YMCA of Pierce and Kitsap Counties, Silverdale, WA	For the construction of a community center	\$1,000,000
YMCA of San Diego County, CA	Planning, Design and Construction of a Housing and Community Center	\$1,500,000
YMCA of San Francisco, Bayview Hunters Point branch, CA	Renovation Costs Associated with Expansion of a Community Center	\$600,000

YMCA of San Francisco, Chinatown YMCA branch, CA	Construction of Transitional Housing Units for Domestic Violence Victims	\$500,000
York County Community Development Corporation, SC	Construction of a Neighborhood Community Center	\$700,000
Youngstown Edison Incubator Corporation dba Youngstown Business Incubator, OH	Renovation of a Facility	\$700,000
YWCA of Greater Portland, OR	For design and construction of a facility that provides safety and services to victims of Human Trafficking	\$300,000
YWCA of Northwest Georgia, GA	Construction and Renovation of a Domestic Violence Shelter and Outreach Facility	\$250,000
YWCA of Silicon Valley, CA	Renovation of a Domestic Violence Shelter	\$350,000
YWCA Southeastern Massachusetts, MA	Building Expansion and Construction	\$475,000
Zavala County, TX	Construction and Renovation of Facilities for Senior Citizens	\$300,000

December 14, 2010

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—SENATE, Vol. 156, Pt. 14

20981

The Department is directed to implement the Neighborhood Initiatives program as follows:

Grantee	Purpose	Amount
Corporation, New York, NY	For the development and rehabilitation of new and existing low- and moderate-income housing units in the central Harlem area	\$300,000
Center for Planning Excellence, LA	To build capacity in land use planning and community preservation throughout Louisiana	\$750,000
Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing, CA	For Critical Infrastructure and Housing Development Work	\$1,250,000
City of Boise, ID	For a Petroleum Terminal Relocation Feasibility Study	\$350,000
City of Cranston, RI	For community planning and development	\$200,000
City of Jackson, MI	Demolition of Buildings and Neighborhood Redevelopment	\$1,500,000
City of Longview, WA	For revitalization and renewal of the city's downtown region	\$1,000,000
City of Philadelphia, PA	For mixed-use transit oriented development in the area around the 9th and Berks rail station	\$350,000
City of Ranson, WV	mixed-used center, including retail and affordable workforce housing using smart growth design and green infrastructure	\$400,000
City of Spokane, WA	For the restoration and redevelopment of a blighted area	\$2,000,000
Community Development Area, WI	Acquisition and Remediation of Blighted Properties	\$2,000,000
Community Legal Services of Mid-Florida, FL	Housing Counseling and Foreclosure Modification	\$500,000
Community Voice Mail, Seattle, WA	To improve and expand employment services for homeless veterans	\$350,000
Counseling Services, Las Vegas, NV	For foreclosure-related assistance services	\$500,000
Economic Development Initiative, Sebring, FL	To create a research park	\$400,000
Greenfield Community College, MA	Renovation of Buildings	\$1,000,000
Hire America's Heroes, Redmond, WA	To expand employment services for veterans	\$250,000
Neighborhood Housing Services, Inc., CA	Counsel and Assist Homeowners Facing Foreclosures	\$500,000
University, Mississippi State, MS	For the Development of the Civic Capacity Development Initiative Project at Mississippi State University	\$750,000
National Council of La Raza, DC	Capitalization of a Revolving Loan Fund to be Used for Nationwide Community Development Activities	\$1,000,000
New Hampshire Food Bank, Manchester, NH	To expand the food assistance program for the New Hampshire Food Bank	\$1,250,000

Northern Comm. Investment Corp., St. Johnsbury, VT	To continue to expand high-speed, high-technology broadband connectivity as part of the North Country Community Broadband Initiative	\$1,000,000
Ocean Springs, MS	For the construction of the Walter Anderson Education Center	\$650,000
Scott County Housing Council, IA	For loans and grants to local nonprofit housing service providers and developers to create affordable housing	\$300,000
Shelter Network of San Mateo County, CA	Shelter Network's Maple Street Project	\$200,000
Southwest Organizing Project, Chicago, IL	campaign, a foreclosure prevention initiative in Chicago neighborhoods	\$250,000
TechRanch, Bozeman, MT	For entrepreneurship programs and support for a business incubator	\$200,000
Southeastern Michigan, MI	Foreclosure Prevention Program	\$1,650,000
Conservation Board, Montpelier, VT	For expansion and improvement of low-income housing	\$300,000
Vermont Sustainable Jobs Fund, Montpelier, VT	For capitalizing a revolving loan fund	\$500,000
Farmworker Housing Trust, Seattle, WA	For capacity building to support the expansion of affordable housing for farmworkers	\$200,000
Wisconsin Indianhead Technical College, WI	Construction of a Training Center	\$1,750,000

The bill includes \$150,000,000 for the Sustainable Communities Initiative.

The bill stipulates that grants may only be made to metropolitan planning organizations, rural planning organizations, States, other units of general local government, housing- and transportation-related non-profit organizations, as well as tribal governments and economic development organizations.

HUD is required to submit a report outlining the use of the Sustainable Communities Initiative funding, identifying any changes to the grant criteria or performance metrics that were established in fiscal year 2010.

HUD is directed to work with the Department of Transportation to produce a comprehensive list of provisions in federal regulation and law that act as a barrier to local efforts to coordinate housing and transportation investments.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT LOAN GUARANTEES PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The bill provides \$8,000,000 for costs associated with section 108 loan guarantees, including administrative costs, to subsidize a total loan principal of up to \$341,880,000.

BROWNFIELDS REDEVELOPMENT

The bill provides \$10,000,000 for the Brownfields Redevelopment program.

HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM

The bill provides a total of \$1,825,000,000 for this account.

SELF-HELP AND ASSISTED HOMEOWNERSHIP OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM

The bill provides \$82,000,000 for this account.

HOMELESS ASSISTANCE GRANTS

The bill provides \$2,200,000,000 for Homeless Assistance Grants. Of the amounts provided, at least \$345,000,000 is for the new Emergency Solutions Grant program established under the HEARTH Act. This program adds prevention and rapid re-housing to existing activities that have proven to be effective at reducing the amount of homelessness in communities, particularly for families with children.^μ The bill allows HUD to provide a greater share of resources for this program to more closely meet the intent of the HEARTH Act.

HUD is directed to continue to include 5-year projections, on an annual basis, for the cost of renewing the permanent housing component of the Supportive Housing program and the Shelter Plus Care program in its fiscal year 2012 budget justifications.

HOUSING PROGRAMS

PROJECT-BASED RENTAL ASSISTANCE

The bill provides \$8,882,328,000 for project-based rental assistance activities. The bill also provides an advance appropriation of \$400,000,000 for fiscal year 2012. This amount includes \$8,950,000,000 for contract renewals and up to \$326,000,000 for contract administrators.

HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY

The bill provides \$825,000,000 for the section 202 program. Within the funds provided, up to \$40,000,000 may be used for the conversion of 202 units to assisted living facilities.

HUD is directed to submit to the Committees on Appropriations within 120 days of enactment of this Act a comprehensive list of both non-legislative "regulatory" changes and legislative changes that would improve the effectiveness of the program as well as a schedule for making these changes.

HOUSING FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

The bill provides \$235,000,000 for the Section 811 program.

HUD is directed to identify and submit to the Committees on Appropriations within 150 days of enactment of this Act a list of all regulatory issues for this program that will improve implementation, as well as administrative reforms the Department can complete without legislative action and a proposed schedule for issuing such reforms.

HOUSING COUNSELING ASSISTANCE

The bill provides \$88,000,000 for Housing Counseling Assistance.

OTHER ASSISTED HOUSING PROGRAMS

RENTAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE

The bill provides \$40,600,000 for Section 236 payments to State-aided, non-insured projects.

RENT SUPPLEMENT

(RESCISSION)

The bill rescinds \$40,600,000 from the Rent Supplement account.

PAYMENT TO MANUFACTURED HOUSING FEES TRUST FUND

The bill provides \$14,000,000 for authorized activities, of which \$7,000,000 is to be derived from the Manufactured Housing Fees Trust Fund.

FEDERAL HOUSING ADMINISTRATION

MUTUAL MORTGAGE INSURANCE PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill establishes a limitation of \$400,000,000,000 on commitments to guarantee single-family loans during fiscal year 2011. The bill includes \$221,125,000 for contract expenses, of which up to \$71,500,000 may be transferred to the working capital fund.

GENERAL AND SPECIAL RISK PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The bill establishes a \$20,000,000,000 limitation on multifamily and specialized loan guarantees during fiscal year 2011.

HUD is directed to present a plan to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations within 90 days of the enactment of this Act detailing how the Department will address the backlog of applications for the Offices of Multifamily Housing and Insured Healthcare Facilities.

GOVERNMENT NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION

GUARANTEES OF MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES LOAN GUARANTEE PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The bill includes up to \$500,000,000,000 for new commitments.

POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY

The bill provides \$54,000,000 for research and technology.

FAIR HOUSING AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

FAIR HOUSING ACTIVITIES

OFFICE OF HEALTHY HOMES AND LEAD HAZARD CONTROL

LEAD HAZARD REDUCTION

The bill provides \$140,000,000 for the Lead Hazard Reduction program. Of this amount, the bill includes \$68,000,000 for the lead-based paint hazard control grant program, \$4,000,000 for technical assistance, \$20,000,000 for Healthy Homes Initiative and \$48,000,000 for the Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Program. In addition, HUD is directed to use \$250,000 of the funds provided for the Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Program for technical assistance in conducting communications and outreach activities to potential applicants of the demonstration program.

MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

WORKING CAPITAL FUND

The bill includes \$228,500,000 for the Working Capital Fund.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The bill provides \$125,000,000 for the Office of the Inspector General (OIG).

The OIG is directed to submit a staffing plan for the OIG to the Appropriations Committees within 120 days of enactment of this Act. This plan should include detailed information on the allocation of staff among issue areas as well as between field offices and headquarters. In addition, the plan should address the hiring process to ensure that OIG is bringing on staff with the appropriate expertise to conduct necessary oversight.

TRANSFORMATION INITIATIVE

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill provides \$20,000,000 for combating mortgage fraud through the Transformation Initiative (TI), as well as the authority to transfer up to 1 percent of funds from specified accounts within the Department. The bill language provides that not less than \$100,000,000 and not more than \$116,000,000 shall be for Information Technology and not more than \$45,000,000 shall be for technical assistance.^μ The bill continues to require reporting requirements on all funding provided under this heading, as well as a spend plan and GAO oversight of HUD's IT investments.

Of the amounts provided for research and demonstrations, the Department shall use necessary funds to complete an assessment of the effectiveness of HUD-funded service coordinators and an evaluation of the worst or obsolete public housing.^μ Any remaining funding should be used to complete research and demonstrations begun in fiscal year 2010 that were not fully funded.

GENERAL PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Longstanding administrative provisions are continued unless otherwise noted below.

Section 212 amends an existing provision to allow HUD to transfer debt and use agreements from an obsolete project to a viable project, provided that no additional costs are incurred, and other conditions are met.

Section 217 modifies a provision instructing HUD on managing and disposing of any multifamily property that is owned by HUD.

Section 229 modifies a provision allowing refinancing of certain section 202 loans.

Section 231 authorizes the Secretary to transfer up to 5 percent of funds among all personnel and non-personnel accounts, but requires written approval for any transfers greater than 5 percent or \$5,000,000, whichever is less.

Section 233 allows the Secretary to transfer funding from salaries and expenses accounts to the Working Capital Fund or the Transformation Initiative for technology improvements.

Section 234 eliminates an unnecessary transfer from the Rental Housing Assistance Fund to the Flexible Subsidy Fund.

TITLE III

RELATED AGENCIES

ACCESS BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$7,367,000 for the salaries and expenses of the Access Board. The funds provided over the budget request are to support the additional rulemaking requirements mandated by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA).

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$25,300,000 for the salaries and benefits of the Federal Maritime Commission.

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER
CORPORATION

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$20,000,000 for Amtrak's Office of Inspector General.

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY
BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The bill provides \$104,300,000 for the salaries and expenses of the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB), of which no more than \$2,000 may be used for official reception and representation expenses. Language is included in the bill to ensure that the NTSB can satisfy its contractual obligations and use its fiscal year 2011 appropriation to make the lease payments for the Academy.

NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT
CORPORATION

PAYMENT TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD
REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

The bill provides \$300,000,000 for the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation. This

amount includes \$125,000,000 to continue the National Foreclosure Mitigation Counseling program and \$35,000,000 to rehabilitate or finance the rehabilitation of affordable housing.

UNITED STATES INTERAGENCY COUNCIL
ON HOMELESSNESS

OPERATING EXPENSES

The bill provides \$3,930,000 for the Interagency Council on Homelessness. FTEs previously detailed from HUD to ICH have been moved to this account, including all associated funding, in order to accurately reflect the use of staff and resources.

TITLE IV

GENERAL PROVISIONS—THIS ACT

Longstanding general provisions from fiscal year 2010 are continued unless otherwise noted below.

Section 416 requires DOT and HUD to publish information on grant programs with an annual budget of \$100,000,000 or more, including criteria for awarding grants, and justifications for specific awards. Information must be provided when applications are solicited, received and awarded. This provision also applies to projects funded under FTA's "Energy Efficiency and Greenhouse Gas Reduction Grants" program.

TITLE V—EXTENSION OF CURRENT
SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAMS

Title 5 extends surface transportation programs through fiscal year 2011.

TITLE VI—EXTENSION OF AVIATION
PROGRAMS

Title 6 extends programs of the Federal Aviation Administration through fiscal year 2011.

DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND
CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

Following is a list of congressional earmarks and congressionally directed spending items (as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, respectively) included in the bill or this explanatory statement, along with the name of each Senator, House Member, Delegate, or Resident Commissioner who submitted a request to the House or Senate Committee of jurisdiction for each item so identified. Neither the bill nor the explanatory statement contains any limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in the applicable House or Senate rules.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
Presidentially Directed Spending Items						
Agency	Account	Project	Amount	Administration	Requester(s)	House
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Austin, MetroRapid BRT, TX	\$24,229,796	The President	Hutchison; Cornyn	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Central Corridor Light Rail Transit Project, MN	\$45,172,500	The President	Franken; Klobuchar	Ellison; McCollum
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Dallas, Northwest/Southeast LRT MOS, TX	\$99,699,717	The President	Hutchison; Cornyn	Johnson, Eddie Bernice
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Dulles Corridor Rail Project, VA	\$96,000,000	The President	Warner; Webb	Cornolly (VA); Moran (VA)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Fort Collins, Mason Corridor BRT, CO	\$5,289,781	The President		
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Honolulu High Capacity Corridor Transit Project, HI	\$55,000,000	The President	Inouye; Akaka	Hirono
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Houston, North Corridor LRT, TX	\$75,000,000	The President	Hutchison; Cornyn	Green, Al; Green, Gene; Jackson Lee (TX)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Houston, Southeast Corridor LRT, TX	\$75,000,000	The President	Hutchison; Cornyn	Green, Al; Green, Gene; Jackson Lee (TX)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	King County, West Seattle BRT, WA	\$21,274,000	The President	Murray	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	New Britain-Hartford Busway, CT	\$43,672,500	The President	Lieberman; Dodd	Larson (CT)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	New York City, Nostrand Ave BRT, NY	\$27,560,796	The President		
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	New York, Long Island Rail Road East Side Access, NY	\$208,657,500	The President	Gillibrand	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	New York, Second Avenue Subway Phase I, NY	\$195,416,775	The President	Gillibrand	Maloney
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Oakland, East Bay BRT, CA	\$15,000,000	The President	Feinstein	Lee (CA)

Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Orlando, Central Florida Commuter Rail Transit - Initial Operating Settlement, FL	\$37,000,000	The President		
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Perris Valley Line, Riverside, CA	\$23,490,000	The President	Feinstein	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	RTD FasTracks East Corridor, Denver, CO	\$40,000,000	The President	Bennet; Udall, Mark	DeGette; Perlmutter
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	RTD FasTracks Gold Corridor, Denver, CO	\$40,000,000	The President	Bennet; Udall, Mark	DeGette; Perlmutter
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	RTD FasTracks West Corridor, Denver, CO	\$38,993,720	The President	Bennet; Udall, Mark	DeGette
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Salt Lake City, Mid Jordan LRT, UT	\$100,000,000	The President	Bennett	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Salt Lake City, Weber County to Salt Lake City Commuter Rail, UT	\$80,000,000	The President	Bennett	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	San Bernardino, E Street Corridor sbX BRT, CA	\$42,372,415	The President		Baca
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	San Francisco Muni Third St. Light Rail, Central Subway Project, CA	\$20,000,000	The President	Feinstein	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	San Francisco, Van Ness Avenue BRT, CA	\$14,557,500	The President		
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Seattle, University Link LRT Extension, WA	\$110,000,000	The President	Murray	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	VelociRFTA Bus Rapid Transit, CO	\$24,163,000	The President	Bennet; Udall, Mark	Polis; Salazar

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Agency	Account	Project	Amount	Senate	House	Requester(s)
Department of Transportation (DOT) Office of the Secretary (OST)	Transportation Planning, Research, and Development	Aviation Futures Alliance Employment, Export and Industry Growth Analysis, WA	\$500,000	Murray		
Department of Transportation (DOT) Office of the Secretary (OST)	Transportation Planning, Research, and Development	Chicago Aviation Education Initiative, IL	\$250,000			Rush
Department of Transportation (DOT) Office of the Secretary (OST)	Transportation Planning, Research, and Development	Great Lakes Maritime Research Institute, MN	\$1,000,000			Obey
Department of Transportation (DOT) Office of the Secretary (OST)	Transportation Planning, Research, and Development	I-81 Corridor Coalition, PA	\$700,000	Specter; Casey		Kanjorski
Department of Transportation (DOT) Office of the Secretary (OST)	Transportation Planning, Research, and Development	International Mobility and Trade Corridor Project, Whatcom County, WA	\$750,000	Murray; Cantwell		
Department of Transportation (DOT) Office of the Secretary (OST)	Transportation Planning, Research, and Development	Missouri River Freight Corridor Development Study, MO	\$2,000,000	Bond		
Department of Transportation (DOT) Office of the Secretary (OST)	Transportation Planning, Research, and Development	PSRC Sustainable Transportation and Growth Modeling Demonstration Project, King County, WA	\$750,000	Murray		

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Air Cargo Apron Expansion, International Intermodal Center, Huntsville-Madison County Airport, AL	\$500,000	Shelby		
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Airport Runway Extension at Middle Georgia Regional Airport, Macon, GA	\$1,400,000	Isakson; Chambliss	Marshall	
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Auburn-Lewiston Municipal Airport Phase 1 Runway 4/22 Safety Area Improvements/Extension, ME	\$1,000,000		Michaud	
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Augusta Airport Runway Reconstruction and Safety Area Improvements, Augusta, ME	\$1,000,000	Snowe; Collins	Pingree (ME)	
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Charlotte Monroe Executive Airport Ramp, Taxiway and Related Improvements, NC	\$1,000,000	Burr	Kissell	
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Charlottesville-Albemarle Airport (CHO) Extension of Runway 21, VA	\$500,000		Perriello	
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Denver International Airport F7 Taxiway Construction, CO	\$700,000		DeGette	
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Devils Lake Regional Airport Authority Runway Improvements, ND	\$1,000,000	Conrad; Dorgan	Pomeroy	
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Expansion of Tunica Airport Commercial Terminal, MS	\$1,750,000	Cochran; Wicker		
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Grand Forks International Airport Terminal Replacement, ND	\$2,875,000	Conrad; Dorgan	Pomeroy	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Gulfport-Biloxi Regional Airport, Taxiway 'J' North, MS	\$2,000,000	Cochran; Wicker	
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Jackson-Evers International Airport Essential Airfield Improvements, MS	\$2,000,000	Cochran; Wicker	
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Lanett Municipal Airport Runway Construction, Lanett, AL	\$1,500,000	Shelby	
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Lawrence Smith Memorial Airport, MO	\$2,000,000	Bond	
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Macon-Fowler Memorial Airport, MO	\$1,600,000	Bond	
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Memphis Airport Runway Seismic Retrofit, TN	\$1,000,000	Alexander	
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Metropolitan Topeka Airport Authority Hangar Restoration, KS	\$400,000	Brownback, Roberts	
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Montrose Regional Airport Taxiway Bravo Extension, CO	\$500,000	Salazar	
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	New Richmond Regional Airport, WI	\$1,000,000	Kohl	
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Outagamie County Regional Airport Taxiway, Apron, and Signage Improvements, WI	\$750,000	Kagen	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport Taxiway Alpha, AZ	\$1,125,000			Pastor (AZ); Mitchell
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Phoenix-Mesa Gateway Airport Papa (P) and Related Improvements, AZ	\$1,000,000			Mitchell
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Provo Municipal Airport Development, Provo City, UT	\$2,000,000	Bennett		
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Quincy Airport, IL	\$600,000	Durbin		
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Rapid City Regional Airport Terminal Expansion, SD	\$500,000	Thune		
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Reno Stead Emergency Operations Center, NV	\$500,000	Reid		
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Sawyer County Airport Land Acquisition and Landing System Improvements, WI	\$1,750,000			Obey
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Southwest Georgia Regional Airport Apron and Various Improvements, GA	\$1,000,000	Chambliss; Isakson		Bishop (GA)
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Stinson Municipal Airport Taxiway Extension, TX	\$1,000,000			Rodriguez
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Tuscaloosa Regional Airport, Extension of Taxiway B and the Environmental Assessment for Runway 4-22, Tuscaloosa, AL	\$500,000	Sessions		

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Virginia Highlands Airport Design and Land Acquisition , VA	\$1,000,000			Boucher
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Warsaw Municipal Airport, MO	\$1,500,000	Bond		
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	West Virginia Statewide Airport Activities, WV	\$1,000,000	Rockefeller		
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	AIP - Airport Improvement Program	Wittman Regional Airport, WI	\$800,000	Kohl		
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	F&E - Facilities and Equipment	Honolulu International Airport Runway Warning Status Lights, HI	\$1,000,000	Inouye		
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	F&E - Facilities and Equipment	Kinston Regional Airport ILS Upgrades, NC	\$500,000			Butterfield
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	F&E - Facilities and Equipment	Weather Camera Installation Program, HI	\$1,000,000	Inouye		
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	Research, Engineering and Development	Advanced Materials in Transport Aircraft Structures, University of Washington, WA	\$750,000	Murray; Cantwell		
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	Research, Engineering and Development	Alaska Aviation Safety Project, AK	\$1,000,000	Murkowski		
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	Research, Engineering and Development	Composite Airframe Maintenance and Airworthiness Education and Training, NIAR (WSU), KS	\$500,000	Roberts; Brownback		

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	Research, Engineering and Development	NIAR Advanced Materials Research, KS	\$500,000	Brownback; Roberts	
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	Terminal Air Traffic Facilities	Greenwood-Leflore Airport Control Tower, MS	\$250,000	Cochran; Wicker	
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	Terminal Air Traffic Facilities	Lihue Airport Tower Replacement, Hawaii, HI	\$1,500,000	Inouye	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Delta Regional Transportation Development Program	Capitol Street Renaissance Project Transportation Improvements, MS	\$230,260	Cochran; Wicker	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Delta Regional Transportation Development Program	Highway 82 Improvements, MS	\$2,000,000	Cochran; Wicker	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Delta Regional Transportation Development Program	Interchange of Business 67 and Oakgrove, MO	\$2,000,000	Bond	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Delta Regional Transportation Development Program	Interstate 55 Interchange Lighting, MS	\$120,136	Cochran; Wicker	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Delta Regional Transportation Development Program	Interstate-55 Interchange, MO	\$1,000,000	Bond	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Delta Regional Transportation Development Program	Jonestown Bypass, MS	\$250,283	Cochran; Wicker		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Delta Regional Transportation Development Program	Lake Harbour Drive Extension, MS	\$1,000,000	Cochran; Wicker		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Delta Regional Transportation Development Program	South Three Notch Street Improvement Project, AL	\$750,000		Bright	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Delta Regional Transportation Development Program	Statesman Boulevard and Trail, MS	\$300,339	Cochran		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Delta Regional Transportation Development Program	US-412 Bypass Center Turn Lane, MO	\$1,000,000	Bond		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Delta Regional Transportation Development Program	Washington Street Bridge, MS	\$2,250,000	Cochran; Wicker	Thompson (MS)	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FB - Ferry Boats and Terminal Facilities	Berkeley Ferry Service, CA	\$500,000		Pelosi; Lee (CA)	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FB - Ferry Boats and Terminal Facilities	Commuter Ferry, MA	\$1,000,000	Kerry	Capuano	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FB - Ferry Boats and Terminal Facilities	Ferry Landing in Pt. Mackenzie, AK	\$1,000,000			Young (AK)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FB - Ferry Boats and Terminal Facilities	Ferry Terminal Dock for Statue of Liberty/Ellis Island, NY	\$600,000			Nadler (NY)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FB - Ferry Boats and Terminal Facilities	Friday Harbor Ferry Terminal Pedestrian Access and Safety Improvements, WA	\$230,000			Larsen (WA)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FB - Ferry Boats and Terminal Facilities	Golden Gate Ferry-Sausalito Ferry Facility Ramps and Gangways, CA	\$500,000			Woolsey
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FB - Ferry Boats and Terminal Facilities	Keller Ferry Replacement Project, Lincoln and Ferry Counties, WA	\$2,000,000	Murray		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FB - Ferry Boats and Terminal Facilities	Long Branch Pier and Ferry Terminal Design, Engineering, and Project Management, NJ	\$1,000,000	Menendez	Lautenberg;	Pallone
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FB - Ferry Boats and Terminal Facilities	Port Lions City Dock and Ferry Terminal Replacement, AK	\$2,000,000	Murkowski		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FB - Ferry Boats and Terminal Facilities	Port Townsend Passenger Only Ferry, WA	\$1,000,000	Murray		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FB - Ferry Boats and Terminal Facilities	Refurbishing, Enhancing, and Improving the Safety of the North and South Lynchburg Ferry Landings, TX	\$700,000			Green, Gene
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FB - Ferry Boats and Terminal Facilities	Salem Wharf Pier Project, MA	\$1,000,000			Tierney

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FB - Ferry Boats and Terminal Facilities	Vallejo Ferry Maintenance Facility, Vallejo, CA	\$750,000			Miller, George
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FB - Ferry Boats and Terminal Facilities	Vessel and Terminal Sewage Pump-out Systems Installation, Cape Cod, MA	\$1,000,000	Kerry		Delahunt
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FB - Ferry Boats and Terminal Facilities	Washington State Ferries System Investments, WA	\$2,000,000	Murray		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	BIA 25, Spirit Lake Nation, ND	\$1,000,000	Dorgan; Conrad		Pomeroy
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Blackstone River Bikeway, RI	\$1,000,000	Reed; Whitehouse		Kennedy
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Boulder City Bypass Improvement, NV	\$1,000,000	Reid		Titus
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	BRAC-related improvements in Anne Arundel County, MD	\$2,200,000	Mikulski; Cardin		Kratovil; Ruppertsberger; Sarbanes
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	BRAC-related improvements in Montgomery County, MD	\$2,200,000	Mikulski; Cardin		Van Hollen
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	BRAC-related improvements in Prince Georges County, MD	\$2,200,000	Mikulski; Cardin		Edwards (MD)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	BRAC-related improvements, Harford County, MD	\$2,200,000	Mikulski; Cardin		Ruppertsberger; Kratovil

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Columbia Pike Realignment, Arlington, VA	\$400,000	Warner; Webb		Moran (VA)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Diaz Ordaz International Border Crossing, TX	\$500,000			Cuellar
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Elwha Valley Road Improvements, WA	\$1,300,000	Murray		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Federal Lands Improvement Project, HI	\$4,000,000	Inouye		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	FH-24, Banks to Lowman, ID	\$1,500,000	Crapo; Risch		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Frederick Douglass Memorial Bridge Engineering, Design and Construction Project, DC	\$3,725,000			Hoyer; Norton
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Ghost Hawk Road Improvements (BIA Route 7 to SD Hwy 18), SD	\$150,000	Thune; Johnson		Hereth Sandlin
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Gila County Control Road Improvements, AZ	\$1,000,000			Kirkpatrick (AZ)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Golden Gate National Parks-Park Access, Transit and Trails, CA	\$1,000,000			Pelosi
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Great Highway Long-Term Solution Planning, CA	\$500,000			Speier

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Hoover Dam Bypass Bridge-Repayment of Debt Service Owed to Arizona, AZ	\$1,000,000		Pastor (AZ)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	I-15 Corridors of the Future, NV	\$800,000	Reid; Ensign	Berkley; Titus
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Improvements and 4 R Work to SD 73 in Jackson County, SD	\$1,000,000	Thune	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Low Divide and Rowdy Creek Road Improvement Project, County of Del Norte, CA	\$750,000		Thompson (CA)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Miccosukee Road Resurfacing Project, FL	\$1,100,000		Meek (FL); Hastings (FL); Wasserman Schultz
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	NM 4 Jemez Pueblo Bypass, NM	\$1,473,982	Bingaman; Udall, Tom	Lujan
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Onville Road Upgrades, VA	\$400,000	Webb; Warner	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Pedestrian Access Bridge Over Dulles Airport Access and Toll Road, VA	\$1,000,000		Moran (VA)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Pikes Peak-America's Mountain, Colorado Springs, CO	\$500,000	Udall, Mark	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Pyramid Highway Corridor, Sparks, NV	\$2,000,000	Reid; Ensign	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Road Improvements, Wrangell Borough, AK	\$250,000			Young (AK)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Saddle Road Improvements, HI	\$2,000,000	Inouye		Hirono
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	SH 125: Michigan River Bridge, Jackson, CO	\$750,000			Salazar
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Southern Nevada Beltway Interchanges, NV	\$1,000,000	Ensign; Reid		Titus; Berkley
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	SR 160 from I-15 to Pahrump, NV	\$1,000,000	Ensign; Reid		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe Community Streets Project, Old Bear Soldier, SD	\$750,000	Johnson		Herseeth Sandlin
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	State Route 347 Grade Separation, Maricopa, AZ	\$1,000,000			Grijalva; Pastor (AZ)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Stones River National Battlefield Auto Tour Road Expansion and Rehabilitation, Murfreesboro, TN	\$500,000			Gordon (TN)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Swan Mountain Shared Use Pathway, Breckenridge, CO	\$750,000			Polis
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	Travis Air Force Base North Gate Access Improvements, CA	\$750,000			Garamendi

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	U.S. 199 Safety Improvements, Cave Junction, OR	\$300,000	Merkley; Wyden	DeFazio	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	U.S. 50-CO 194 Road Construction, Bent County, CO	\$1,400,000	Bennet; Udall, Mark		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	US 15 at Monocacy Boulevard in Frederick County, MD	\$500,000	Mikulski; Cardin		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	FL - Federal lands (Public Lands Highways)	US-20 Sisters Downtown Improvements, Salem, OR	\$1,500,000	Merkley; Wyden		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Augusta North Connections - Exit 113, ME	\$4,500,000	Collins; Snowe	Pingree (ME); Michaud	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Avalon Boulevard Interchange Modification at the I-405, Carson, CA	\$1,000,000		Richardson	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	City of Moline I-74 Bridge Preconstruction and Construction, IL	\$1,000,000		Hare	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Columbia River Crossing, OR	\$750,000	Wyden; Merkley		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Columbia River Crossing, WA	\$2,000,000	Murray; Cantwell		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	East Belgrade I-90 Interchange, MT	\$750,000	Baucus; Tester		

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Exit 120 Reconstruction, NV	\$1,500,000	Reid		Titus
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Harrison County I-64 Interchange, IN	\$1,000,000			Hill
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-10 Grand Prairie Highway (La Hwy 98) Interchange and Frontage Road, LA	\$400,000	Landrieu; Vitter		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-10 Pecue Lane Interchange, Baton Rouge, LA	\$750,000	Vitter; Landrieu		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-15 Helena Custer Avenue Interchange and Montana Rail Link Overpass Structures, MT	\$1,000,000	Tester; Baucus		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-280 Mission Bay Off-Ramp and Improvements, CA	\$1,500,000	Feinstein		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-29/I-229 Bridges and Interstate Mainline Reconstruction from Near Tea Exit to North of 69th Street and East to Louise Avenue, SD	\$750,000	Thune; Johnson		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-294 at I-57 Interchange, IL	\$1,000,000			Rush
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-390 Interchange, NY	\$1,250,000	Schumer; Gillibrand		Slaughter
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-5 North Stockton Lane Widening and Improvements Project, CA	\$1,250,000	Boxer		McNerney; Cardoza

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-5 Ridgefield Interchange Replacement Project, City of Ridgefield, WA	\$1,000,000	Murray		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-5 West Coast Green Highway, WA	\$1,000,000	Murray; Cantwell	Inslee	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-5 Widening from I-605 North to I-710 Environmental Phase, CA	\$1,000,000		Roybal-Allard; Napolitano	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-5/Kuebler Boulevard Interchange Improvements, OR	\$1,000,000	Wyden; Merkley	Schrader	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-71 Corridor Access in Cincinnati, OH	\$1,000,000	Sherrod	Voinovich; Brown,	Driehaus
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-75/ Everglades Project Development and Environment Study , FL	\$1,000,000	Nelson, Bill		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-80/Gilman Street Interchange Improvement Project, Berkeley, CA	\$1,000,000		Lee (CA)	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-805/La Jolla Village Drive Interchange Project, San Diego, CA	\$1,000,000		Davis (CA)	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-84, West of Wendell to Juniper Rest Area Pavement Rehabilitation, ID	\$1,000,000	Crapo; Risch		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-84/184, Caldwell to Glenns Ferry, Pavement Rehabilitation, ID	\$750,000	Crapo; Risch		

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-85 Widening in Davidson and Rowan Counties, NC	\$1,500,000	Burr	Watt	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-94 from East Dickinson Interchange, East-Westbound Lanes Reconstruction, Dickinson, ND	\$1,000,000	Conrad; Dorgan	Pomeroy	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-95 Interchange at Yamato Road and Spanish River Boulevard Project, City of Boca Raton, FL	\$500,000	Nelson, Bill	Klein (FL)	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-95 Pawtucket River Bridge Replacement, RI	\$3,000,000	Reed; Whitehouse	Kennedy; Langevin	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-95 Upgrades in Robeson County, NC	\$1,000,000		McIntyre	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-95/ US Highway 301 Interchange Improvement Project, SC	\$2,500,000	Graham	Clyburn	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	I-95/SR1 Interchange Project, DE	\$1,000,000	Carper; Kaufman		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Interchange at State Hwy. 89 and I-40 in Lonoke, AR	\$1,000,000	Pryor; Lincoln	Berry	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Interstate 280 Interchange Improvements, Harrison, NJ	\$1,500,000	Lautenberg; Menendez	Sires	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Interstate 430/630 - Interchange Modification, AR	\$3,000,000	Pryor; Lincoln	Snyder	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Interstate 69/Great River Bridge: Highway 65-MS Highway 1, AR	\$1,000,000	Pryor; Lincoln	Ross	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Interstate 74 Corridor Project, Bettendorf, IA	\$3,000,000	Grassley; Harkin	Braley (IA)	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Interstate 79/Mt. Morris Interchange Improvements, Greene County, PA	\$1,000,000	Specter	Critz	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Interstate 81 Improvements in Washington County, MD	\$500,000	Cardin		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Interstate-95/Fairfax County Parkway Interchange at Newington Road, VA	\$1,000,000	Warner; Webb		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Kapolei Interchange Complex Phase 2, HI	\$1,500,000	Inouye	Hirono	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	North Stockton I-5 Interchanges and French Camp Interchange/Arch Sperry Road Extension, Stockton, CA	\$1,000,000	Feinstein	Cardoza; McNerney	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Raton South I-25 Interchange Reconstruction, Raton, Colfax County, NM	\$750,000	Tom	Lujan	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Rehabilitate I-84 Bridges over Delaware and Neversink Rivers, NY	\$1,000,000		Hall (NY)	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Starr Road Interchange, NV	\$2,000,000	Reid	Titus	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	US 84, El Camino East/West Corridor, AL	\$1,000,000	Sessions		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	IM - Interstate Maintenance Discretionary	Widening of I-35, Waco, TX	\$2,000,000			Edwards (TX)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	2nd Avenue Bridge Reconstruction, City of Cambridge, MN	\$750,000			Oberstar
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	7th Street Gateway Enhancement Project, NJ	\$500,000	Menendez; Lautenberg		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	9th Street Safety Improvements Project, Pierce County, WA	\$700,000	Murray		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	A1A/State Road 200, FL	\$750,000	Nelson, Bill		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Access Road for Hospital in St. Bernard Parish, LA	\$2,500,000	Landrieu		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Airport Road Repair and Resurface and Construction of Western Entrance to Bryan Field, Starksville, MS	\$800,000	Cochran; Wicker		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Ann Arbor Detroit Regional Rail Project, MI	\$2,000,000	Stabenow; Levin		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Ansonia Riverwalk, CT	\$400,000	Lieberman		DeLauro

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Appalachian Development Highway System Corridor H, WV	\$2,000,000	Rockefeller		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Arkansas River Trail, AR	\$500,000	Pryor; Lincoln		Snyder
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Ash Avenue Extension, Macon County, IL	\$400,000	Durbin		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Assembly Square Station, MA	\$860,000	Kerry		Capuano
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Autumn Street Parkway, San Jose, CA	\$800,000	Feinstein		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Barre Commons Road Reconstruction and Drainage Improvements, MA	\$1,050,000			Olver
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Bench Boulevard Improvements, Billings, MT	\$1,000,000	Baucus; Tester		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Bench Boulevard, Helena, MT	\$750,000	Tester; Baucus		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Bethany Road Reconstruction and Improvements, Sycamore, IL	\$750,000	Durbin		Foster
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Bloomfield Six Points Intersection Streetscape, Bloomfield, NJ	\$500,000	Menendez	Lautenberg;	Pascrell

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Blytheville Overpass, AR	\$1,000,000	Pryor; Lincoln	Berry	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Brady/Harrison Sustainability Corridor, Davenport, IA	\$600,000	Harkin	Braley (IA)	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Bronx Zoo Transportation Facility to alleviate traffic congestion and improve access, Bronx, NY	\$500,000	Serrano		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Buffalo Niagara Medical Campus Streetscape and Infrastructure Improvements, NY	\$1,000,000	Schumer	Higgins	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Burlington Waterfront North Improvements, VT	\$500,000	Leahy		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Carlton Avenue Bridge, Brooklyn, NY	\$1,000,000		Towns; Clarke	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Carson City Freeway Phase II, NV	\$500,000	Reid; Ensign		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Center at Horseheads Access Project, Chemung County, NY	\$750,000	Schumer		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Central Business District Streetscape, City of Milan, MO	\$800,000	Bond		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Chippewa Falls Downtown Reconstruction, WI	\$730,000		Obey	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	City of Canton, OH	\$500,000	Voinovich; Brown, Sherrod	Bocieri	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	City of Detroit Dequindre Cut Greenway, Phase II, MI	\$1,000,000	Levin; Stabenow	Conyers	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	City of Harlingen North Rail Relocation, TX	\$1,000,000	Hutchison	Hinojosa	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	City of Monroe Fourth Street Underpass Project, Monroe, LA	\$800,000	Landrieu; Vitter		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	City of New Bedford, MA	\$750,000		Frank (MA)	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Congress Street Bridge, Bridgeport, CT	\$1,200,000	Dodd; Lieberman	Himes	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	ConnectVermont, VT	\$1,000,000	Leahy		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Construct Roadway and Bridges at the Intersection of Coalfields Expressway and King Coal Highway and from Dock Creek to Cedar Run, WV	\$900,000		Rahall	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Construction of Multi-Jurisdictional Maintenance Facility, Toledo, OH, OH	\$2,500,000		Kaptur	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Coolidge Highway Resurfacing Project, MI	\$750,000		Levin	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Crosby Street Reconstruction Project, Hornell, NY	\$500,000	Schumer; Gillibrand		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	CSAH 12 Extension/TH 14 Interchange, MN	\$750,000	Franken; Klobuchar	Walz	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Defense Facility Access Road, West Point, MS	\$750,000	Cochran; Wicker		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Denali Commission Transportation Program, AK	\$800,000	Begich		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Denali Commission, AK only for the Anaktuvuk Pass Bridge Replacement, Scammon Bay Community Streets, Alakanuk Community Streets and King Salmon & Naknek School Bus Road, AK	\$1,000,000	Murkowski		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Design and Implementation of Transit Improvements at 83rd Street and Roosevelt Avenue, Jackson Heights, Queens, NY	\$700,000		Crowley	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Division Street Corridor Improvements, Spokane, WA	\$1,000,000	Murray		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Downtown Farmingdale Revitalization Master Plan, NY	\$100,000	Schumer		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Downtown Infrastructure Project, Somersworth, NH	\$300,000	Shaheen		Shea-Porter

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Downtown Streetscape, City of Custer, SD	\$400,000	Johnson		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Downtown Streetscape, Yankton, SD	\$300,000	Johnson		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	E. Stadium Bridges Replacement Project, City of Ann Arbor, MI	\$500,000		Dingell	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	East Brandon By-Pass, Brandon, MS	\$2,500,000	Cochran; Wicker		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	East Metropolitan Corridor, Brandon, MS	\$2,500,000	Cochran; Wicker		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	East Mississippi Intermodal Rail Corridor, MS	\$1,000,000	Cochran; Wicker	Taylor	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Edison Road Extension, CT	\$1,000,000		DeLauro	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Embarcadero Goods Movement Project, Oakland, CA	\$2,000,000	Boxer		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Emergency Road Access and Improvement Project, PA	\$750,000		Carney	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Empire Corridor West High Speed Rail Improvements, Town of Tonawanda, Erie County, NY	\$270,000		Slaughter; Higgins; Owens	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Fairbanks Rail Line Relocation, AK	\$1,000,000	Murkowski		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Faulkner Lake Road Improvements, AR	\$500,000	Pryor; Lincoln		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Fort Campbell KY-911 Road Widening Project from US-41A to Oak Grove, Christian County, KY	\$3,000,000	McConnell; Bunning		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Fort Knox Access Road, Hardin County, KY	\$1,600,000	McConnell; Bunning		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Fortification Street Improvements, Jackson, MS	\$2,500,000	Cochran; Wicker	Thompson (MS)	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Freight Rail Modernization: Improving the Freight Rail and Transfer Facility at the Hunts Point Terminal Produce Market, South Bronx, NY	\$500,000	Gillibrand		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Grace Avenue Safety Improvements, City of Battle Ground, WA	\$1,000,000	Murray; Cantwell	Baird	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Grand Technology Gateway Phase 1, Des Moines, IA	\$500,000	Harkin		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Greensboro Downtown Greenway, Greensboro, NC	\$300,000	Hagan	Miller (NC); Watt	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Hattiesburg Longleaf Trace Rails To Trails, Hattiesburg, MS	\$700,000	Cochran; Wicker		

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Hendersonville Area Infrastructure Improvements, Hendersonville, NC	\$250,000	Hagan	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Higdon Ferry Road Widening, Hot Springs, AR	\$1,000,000	Pryor; Lincoln	Ross
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	High Street/Route 89 Reconstruction, Caribou, ME	\$1,000,000	Collins	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Highway 112 Improvement, AR	\$500,000	Pryor; Lincoln	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Highway 14 Project, Owatonna to Dodge Center, MN	\$250,000	Klobuchar; Franken	Walz
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Highway 226: Highway 67 to Highway 49, Little Rock, AR	\$1,000,000	Pryor; Lincoln	Berry
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Highway 47 Bridge Replacement, MO	\$2,000,000	Bond	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Highway 6, Batesville, MS	\$500,000	Cochran; Wicker	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Highway 7 Sidewalk Infill, City of Blue Springs, MO	\$800,000	Bond	Cleaver
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Highway 93 and Kalispell Bypass, MT	\$600,000	Baucus	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Highway 965 Project, Phase 2, North Liberty, IA	\$500,000	Harkin; Grassley	Loeb sack	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Highway 98 Access Improvements, Lamar County, MS	\$1,750,000	Cochran; Wicker		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Holly Springs Road, DeSoto County, MS	\$2,000,000	Cochran; Wicker	Childers	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Horsehoe Bend Parkway Extension, MO	\$2,000,000	Bond		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	I-15 Corridor: Devore Interchange Improvements, San Bernardino, CA	\$1,500,000	Boxer; Feinstein		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	I-15 MP 8, Bicycle/Pedestrian Passageway, City of St. George, UT	\$500,000	Bennett	Matheson	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	I-40 Realignment Ingress/Egress Project, Oklahoma City, OK	\$750,000	Inhofe		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	I-49 between I-40 and U.S. Hwy. 71 South, Little Rock, AR	\$2,500,000	Pryor; Lincoln	Ross	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	I-555 Floodway Access Road, Poinsett County, AR	\$1,500,000	Pryor; Lincoln	Berry	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Icicle Station - Phase II, City of Leavenworth, WA	\$900,000	Murray		

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Illinois pedestrian and bicycling road and trail improvements and enhancements, IL	\$2,500,000	Durbin	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Indian River Inlet Bridge, Dover, DE	\$800,000	Carper; Kaufman	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Installation of the Sterling Highway/Birch Street Traffic Signal Light, Soldatna, AK	\$400,000	Begich	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Interchange CSAH7/TH23, Lyon County, MN	\$1,600,000	Klobuchar; Franken	Peterson
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Interstate 44 and Range Line Road Interchange, MO	\$1,000,000	Bond	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Interstate 44 Crossroads Interchange Study, City of Joplin, MO	\$250,000	Bond	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Interstate 64 and 22nd St. Interchange Reconfiguration, MO	\$2,000,000	Bond	Clay
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Iowa Highway 14-57 Complete Streets Corridor Improvements, Parkersburg, IA	\$750,000	Grassley; Harkin	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Jefferson Avenue Improvements, City of Detroit, MI	\$650,000	Levin; Stabenow	Kilpatrick (MI)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Jordan Valley Gateway Plaza Streetscape, City of Springfield, MO	\$600,000	Bond	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Khrushchev in Iowa Trail, Guthrie County, IA	\$400,000	Harkin; Grassley		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	LA 1 Project Phase II Design-Golden Meadow, Leeville, LA	\$500,000	Vitter; Landrieu	Melancon	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Lafayette Interchange, MO	\$1,500,000	Bond		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Lake St. Clair Shoreline Trail, Harrison Township, MI	\$1,000,000	Levin; Stabenow		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Legacy Farm Roadway and Main Street Improvements, Hopkinton, MA	\$1,000,000		McGovern	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Lewis and Clark Legacy Trail, ND	\$600,000	Conrad; Dorgan	Pomeroy	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Locust Avenue Bridge Replacement, City of Rye, NY	\$600,000		Lowey	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Lone Elm Road Improvements, City of Olathe, KS	\$600,000	Brownback; Roberts	Moore (KS)	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Lower Hill Infrastructure Project, PA	\$600,000	Specter; Casey	Altmire	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Lower Main Street Infrastructure Project, Claremont, NH	\$500,000	Shaheen		

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Marin-Sonoma Narrows, CA	\$500,000	Boxer		Woolsey
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Maritime Fire and Safety Administration, WA	\$500,000	Murray		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Martin Road Expansion from Zierdt Road West to Laracy Drive, AL	\$10,000,000	Shelby		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	MD 404 improvements in Caroline, Talbot and Queen Annes Counties, MD	\$600,000	Mikulski		Kratovil
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	MD5/MD373/Brandywine Interchange Project, Prince George, MD	\$1,400,000			Hoyer
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Memorial Boulevard Improvements, Picayune, MS	\$1,450,000	Cochran; Wicker		Taylor
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Middle Tennessee Boulevard, Murfreesboro, TN	\$500,000	Gordon (TN)		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	MLK Blvd Grade Separation Safety Improvements, Yakama, WA	\$1,300,000	Murray		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	MO-740- East Columbia Transportation Extension, MO	\$1,500,000	Bond		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Monongalia Health Systems, Morgantown, WV	\$1,000,000	Rockefeller		

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Monte Vista Avenue/Union Pacific Railroad Grade Separation Project, CA	\$700,000	Boxer		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail Project, CA	\$750,000		Farr	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Nassau County Street and Sidewalk Improvements, NY	\$750,000		Israel	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Naugatuck River Greenway/Waterbury Segment, CT	\$750,000	Lieberman; Dodd	DeLauro; Murphy (CT)	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	NC 12, Dare County, NC	\$750,000	Hagan; Burr		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Nevada Pacific Parkway Extension, Fernley, NV	\$500,000	Reid; Ensign		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	New Bedford Fast Track Freight Bridges, MA	\$1,000,000	Kerry	Frank (MA)	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	New England Freight Rail Infrastructure Study, MA	\$300,000		Olver	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	New York Ave. from 32nd to 48th St, Union City, NJ	\$500,000	Menendez; Lautenberg	Sires	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	New York State Route 12, Chenango County, NY	\$450,000	Schumer		

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Newport Cliff Walk Restoration, RI	\$1,000,000	Reed; Whitehouse	Kennedy	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	North 5th Street Arterial, NV	\$2,000,000	Reid	Berkley	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	North Main Street Reconstruction, Columbia, SC	\$1,000,000		Clyburn	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	North Manhattan Avenue Widening, Manhattan, KS	\$600,000	Brownback		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Northern Avenue Bridge Rehabilitation, Boston, MA	\$1,000,000	Kerry	Lynch	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Northern Nevada Traffic Management, NV	\$500,000	Reid		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Northside Drive Corridor, Clinton, MS	\$2,500,000	Cochran; Wicker	Tanner	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Northwest Tennessee Regional Port Authority, TN	\$500,000	Alexander		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Ogdensburg-Prescott International Bridge Rehabilitation Project, NY	\$750,000	Schumer; Gillibrand	Owens	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Old Taylor Road Roundabouts, MS	\$500,000	Cochran; Wicker	Childers	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Paducah Waterfront Development Project, KY	\$1,000,000	McConnell	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Port of Anchorage Intermodal Expansion Project, AK	\$1,000,000	Murkowski	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Port of Pasco Rail Infrastructure Construction, WA	\$1,400,000	Murray	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Port of Port Angeles Ferry Terminal Repairs, WA	\$2,000,000		Dicks
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Quincy Center Redevelopment, Quincy, MA	\$400,000	Kerry	Delahunt
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Rail & Infrastructure Improvement in Northern Maine, ME	\$3,000,000	Collins	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Rail Infrastructure Investments, Port of Grays Harbor, WA	\$2,000,000	Murray	Dicks
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Rail Infrastructure Investments, Port of Moses Lake, WA	\$2,000,000	Murray	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Raleigh Outer Loop, Wake County, NC	\$1,500,000		Price (NC)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Raleigh Street Extension, Martinsburg, WV	\$1,000,000	Rockefeller	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Reconstruction and Widening of U.S. 627 Bridge over Interstate 75, Madison County, KY	\$750,000			Chandler
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Reconstruction of Hunter Street Bridge, County of Gloucester, NJ	\$1,000,000	Menendez; Lautenberg		Andrews
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Red Mountain Transportation Improvements, Benton County, WA	\$1,000,000	Murray		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Regional Planning Commission, St. Tammany Parish LA 21 Widening, New Orleans, LA	\$1,300,000	Landrieu		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Rehabilitation of Beacham Street, MA	\$550,000			Markey (MA)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Rehabilitation of the Ashford Avenue Bridge, Westchester County, NY	\$750,000	Schumer		Engel
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Repair and Resurfacing of Critical Streets, Belle Glade, Palm Beach County, FL	\$900,000			Hastings (FL)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Replacement of US6 bridge of Sheridan Blvd. Lakewood, CO	\$1,250,000	Perlmutter		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Rickenbacker Pickaway East-West Connector, OH	\$500,000	Voinovich; Brown, Sherrod		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Ridge Road Extension, Pearl River County, MS	\$750,000	Cochran; Wicker		Taylor

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Riverside Freeway (State Route 91) Congestion Relief Project, Orange County, CA	\$1,000,000	Feinstein	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Road Improvements from 57th street North to South of 26th Street, Sioux Falls, SD	\$750,000	Herseeth Sandlin	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Roadway Restoration, Village of Ardsley, NY	\$500,000		Lowey
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Route 1/Route 123 Interchange (Phase I), VA	\$500,000	Warner; Webb	Connolly (VA)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Route 160 Bridge over I-44, MO	\$1,000,000	Bond	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Route 29/250 Bypass Interchange Improvements, Engineering, Design, and Construction, Albemarle County, VA	\$500,000		Perriello
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Route 54 Corridor, MO	\$1,000,000	Bond	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Sacramento Intermodal Transportation Facility, CA	\$500,000	Boxer	Matsui
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Safety Improvements on South Meadow Road, Clinton, MA	\$250,000		McGovern
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Scott Ranch Road Extension, AZ	\$250,000		Kirkpatrick (AZ)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Seiberling Way Bridge Replacement, OH	\$500,000	Voinovich; Brown, Sherrod	Ryan (OH)	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Sellwood Bridge Replacement Project, Multnomah County, OR	\$650,000	Wyden; Merkley	Blumenauer; Schrader; Wu	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Sharpes Ferry Bridge, FL	\$1,000,000		Grayson	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Shelby Intermodal Hub, Shelby, MT	\$2,600,000	Tester; Baucus		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Shot Pouch Creek Trail, SC	\$750,000		Spratt	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	South Dakota Highway 100 right-of-way and construction Sioux Falls, SD	\$1,200,000	Johnson		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Southeast Connector, Des Moines, IA	\$2,000,000	Harkin	Boswell	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Southpark Bridge Replacement Project, King County, WA	\$3,000,000	Murray	McDermott	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Southridge Transportation Improvements, City of Kennewick, WA	\$500,000	Murray		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Sparks Rail Yard Relocation Study, City of Sparks, NV	\$200,000	Reid		

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	SR 522 Paradise Lake Road to Snohomish River - Safety and Capacity Improvements, Washington State Department of Transportation	\$250,000	Inslee	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	SR 6247 Section 000 Valley View Business Park Access Road, Lackawanna County, PA	\$750,000	Casey; Specter	Carney; Kanjorski
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	SR24, Love Creek to SR1, Dover, DE	\$500,000	Carper; Kaufman	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	SR-522 Corridor Improvements, City of Kenmore, WA	\$1,000,000	Murray	Inslee
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	St. John's Heritage Parkway Interchanges, FL	\$1,500,000	Nelson, Bill	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Stamford Pedestrian Safety Improvements, CT	\$500,000	Dodd; Lieberman	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Stamford Street Underpass Reconstruction, Stamford, CT	\$1,000,000	Lieberman; Dodd	Himes
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	State Route 19 from State Route 492 to Philadelphia, MS	\$1,950,000	Cochran; Wicker	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	State Route 794 Realignment, Springfield, OH	\$1,125,000	Brown, Sherrod; Voinovich	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Stonewall Jackson State Park, WV	\$500,000	Rockefeller	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Support Boulevard Extension, Bernalillo County, NM	\$1,700,000	Bingaman; Udall, Tom	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	TH 5 / Oak Avenue Pedestrian Underpass, City of Waconia, MN	\$400,000	Klobuchar	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	TH 610 from CSAH 81 to I-94, MN	\$250,000	Klobuchar; Franken	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Town of Bristol Road and Drainage Improvements, RI	\$350,000	Reed; Whitehouse	Kennedy
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Transportation Corridor Study, Greencastle/Putnam County, IN	\$750,000		Ellsworth
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Transportation Infrastructure to serve the Kansas Logistics Park, Newton, KS	\$500,000	Roberts	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Trunk Highway 13 & County State Aid Highway 5 Interchange, MN	\$250,000	Klobuchar; Franken	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	U.S. 113 Improvements in Worcester County, MD	\$600,000	Mikulski; Cardin	Kratovil
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	U.S. 12 Safety Improvements, Walla Walla County, WA	\$1,000,000	Murray	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	U S. 2 and Sultan Basin Road Safety Improvements, Sultan, WA	\$1,000,000	Murray	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	U.S. 287 Business Route, Fort Worth, TX	\$3,500,000	Hutchison; Cornyn		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	U.S. 34 Akron East Chip Seal, CO	\$1,200,000		Markey (CO)	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	U.S. Route 322 Corridor Safety Improvements, PA	\$500,000	Specter; Casey		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	U.S. Route 422/Sanatoga Interchange, PA	\$700,000	Specter; Casey		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Umatilla Depot Rail Switches Replacement Project, Umatilla County, OR	\$400,000	Wyden; Merkley		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Unser Boulevard Extension, NM	\$1,000,000	Bingaman; Udall, Tom	Heinrich	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Urban Collector Road Project, Jackson County, MS	\$2,200,000	Cochran; Wicker	Taylor	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	US 52 Interchange & Overpass, relocate Goodhue Co 24 at Cannon Falls, MN	\$400,000	Franken; Klobuchar		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	US 93 Corridor, MT	\$1,000,000	Tester; Baucus		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	US Highway 30, Whiteside County, IL	\$500,000	Durbin	Foster, Hare	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	US Highway 63/Future Interstate 555 Interchange Improvements, Little Rock, AR	\$2,000,000	Pryor; Lincoln	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Vancouver Waterfront Access Improvement Project, WA	\$2,000,000	Murray; Cantwell	Baird
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Vermont Downtown Streetscape and Sidewalk Improvements in Johnson, Ludlow, Northfield, Springfield, and Townshend, VT	\$3,250,000	Leahy	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Veterans Medical City Connector, FL	\$1,000,000		Kosmas
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Vienna Town Council, VA	\$500,000		Connolly (VA)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Village West Access Improvements, KS	\$300,000	Brownback; Roberts	Moore (KS)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Walk Winthrop and the HarborWalk, MA	\$750,000		Markey (MA)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Wallkill Valley Rail Trail, NY	\$350,000	Hinchey	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Warrensville/Van Aken Transit Oriented Development, Shaker Heights, OH	\$725,000	Sherrod	Fudge
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Washington Avenue Port Plaza and Intermodal Center, WA	\$3,000,000		Dicks

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Washington Boulevard Traffic Signal Modernization, Commerce, CA	\$750,000		Roybal-Allard
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	West College Street Improvements, Bozeman, MT	\$750,000	Tester; Baucus	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	West Virginia Route 10, Logan County, WV	\$1,500,000	Rockefeller	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Surface Transportation Investments	Wilmington to Newark Commuter Rail Improvement Program, DE	\$1,300,000	Carper; Kaufman	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	705 Connector, Morgantown, WV	\$450,000		Mollohan
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	7th (a) Road Project, IN	\$650,000		Donnelly (IN)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Alabama 210/Ross Clark Circle Safety Lighting Project, AL	\$450,000		Bright
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Alice's Road/105th Street Interchange and Connecting Roads, Waukegan, IA	\$900,000	Grassley; Harkin	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Bayamon Circulation Arteries, PR	\$450,000		Pierluisi
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Bellingham Waterfront Transportation Improvements, Bellingham, WA	\$700,000	Murray	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Berry Hill Road Connector Road Engineering and Right of Way Acquisitions, Pittsylvania County, VA	\$400,000	Warner; Webb	Perriello	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Boulder Bikes to Business Project, Boulder, CO	\$250,000		Polis	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Branford Street and Laurel Canyon Boulevard Flood Improvements, CA	\$250,000		Berman	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Broad/Main/Front/Hellertown Transportation Enhancements, Phase II, Quakertown, PA	\$450,000	Specter	Murphy, Patrick	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Brooklyn Waterfront Transportation Study, Brooklyn, NY	\$450,000		Nadler (NY)	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Brookstown Redevelopment Project, Winston-Salem, NC	\$1,000,000	Burr, Hagan	Watt	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Byberry Road and Bustleton Avenue Intersection Improvements, Philadelphia, PA	\$700,000	Specter	Schwartz	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Capitol Expressway Pedestrian Improvements, CA	\$240,000		Lofgren, Zoe	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Carbon County Covered Bridge Repair Project, PA	\$250,000		Kanjorski	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Centennial Trail Expansion, Snohomish County, WA	\$375,000	Murray		

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Center Point Greenway and Pedestrian Walkway Project, AL	\$500,000	Sessions		Davis (AL)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Columbus Bicentennial Bikeways-West Side Improvement, Columbus, OH	\$450,000	Brown, Sherrod		Kilroy
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Conway Village Main Street Streetscape and Pedestrian Improvements, NH	\$450,000			Shea-Porter
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Cooley Landing/San Francisco Bay Trail Construction, CA	\$600,000			Eshoo
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Cregg Lane/Wyoming Street Connector, MT	\$1,825,000	Baucus; Tester		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Cushman Trail Project, Pierce County, WA	\$525,000	Murray		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Denver Bike Sharing, Denver, CO	\$500,000	Udall, Mark		DeGette
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Downtown Streetscape Improvements, City of Pine Bluff, AR	\$1,100,000	Pryor; Lincoln		Ross
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Downtown Tacoma Streetscapes Improvement Project, WA	\$1,250,000	Murray; Cantwell		Dicks
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana Downtown Greenway, LA	\$250,000	Landrieu		

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Eastern Gateway, MA	\$900,000		Neal
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Environmental Improvement and Cost Savings Pavement Study, SC	\$350,000	Graham	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Essex County Riverfront Park, Newark, NJ	\$1,000,000	Menendez	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	First Avenue Bridge Replacement, NJ	\$360,000		Payne
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Fountain Avenue Rehabilitation and Veteran's Bridget Connectivity, Springfield, OH	\$600,000	Brown, Sherrod	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Glenwood Road Pedestrian Safety Improvements, GA	\$450,000		Johnson (GA)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Harbor Brook Flood Control, CT	\$650,000		Murphy (CT)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Hays-Travis Trail System, TX	\$650,000		Doggett
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Highway 212 Expansion Carver County, MN	\$400,000	Franken, Klobuchar	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Holbrook - Traffic Safety Signalization Kings Road and S. Franklin, MA	\$300,000		Lynch

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	I-49 North, LA	\$1,000,000	Vitter; Landrieu	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	I-55 Business Loop to Memorial Hospital, City of Lincoln, IL	\$2,000,000	Durbin	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	I-75 Walking Bridge, St. Ignace, MI	\$450,000		Stupak
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Improvements to U.S. Route 15, State Route 45, and St. Mary's Street in E. Buffalo Township, PA	\$450,000		Carney
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Intersection Improvements around State Center, Baltimore, MD	\$650,000		Cummings
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	John N. Hardee Expressway, SC	\$1,000,000	Graham	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Lake Champlain Bridge, NY	\$400,000	Leahy; Schumer; Gillibrand; Sanders	Owens
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Leesburg North Bypass, GA	\$450,000		Bishop (GA)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Loop 82 Railroad Overpass, San Marcos, TX	\$2,000,000	Hutchison, Cornyn	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Los Banos Bypass Segment One, Los Banos, Merced County, CA	\$400,000	Feinstein; Boxer	Cardoza

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Lowry Avenue Bridge Replacement Phase II, Minneapolis, MN	\$900,000	Klobuchar; Franken	Ellison
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Lyons Road Improvements Section IV, Coconut Creek, FL	\$650,000		Deutch
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Medford Safe Sidewalks, Medford, OR	\$300,000	Wyden; Merkley	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Metro Gold Line Foothill Extension Phase 2B, CA	\$650,000		Chu; Schiff
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Midtown Revitalization Transportation Infrastructure, Rochester, NY	\$2,000,000	Schumer; Gillibrand	Slaughter
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Nelson Hill Bridge Replacement, NY	\$100,000		Murphy (NY)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Nogales Highway Railroad Bridge Overpass, AZ	\$1,000,000		Giffords
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	North Rhett Extension Project, SC	\$500,000		Brown (SC)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Norwood Drive Reconstruction, PA	\$700,000		Altmire
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	NW 66th Avenue Reconstruction and Kempton Bridge Replacement, Polk County, IA	\$450,000	Grassley	Boswell

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Piedmont Triad Research Park Transportation Improvements, NC	\$500,000	Burr	Watt	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Pratt Trail in Salt Lake City, UT	\$650,000	Bennett	Matheson	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Qwuloolt Access Trail Project, Marysville, WA	\$500,000	Murray		
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Rand Avenue Reconstruction, IL	\$600,000		Costello	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Reconstruction of the Hudson River Waterfront Walkway, Hoboken, NJ	\$1,000,000	Lautenberg; Menendez	Sires	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Rivers Edge Roadway Infrastructure and Streetscape Initiative, MI	\$1,300,000	Levin; Stabenow	Kildee	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Roadway Improvements-Route 70, Medford, NJ	\$450,000	Lautenberg; Menendez	Adler (NJ)	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Rosemead Boulevard Underpass Repair Project, Pico Rivera, CA	\$450,000		Napolitano	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Route 1 Corridor Improvements Capital Project, MD	\$650,000		Sarbanes; Cummings	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Route 35/202 at Pine Grove Court and Stony Street, NY	\$250,000		Hall (NY)	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Route 6 and Silver Cross Boulevard Intersection Widening and Roadway Improvement, New Lenox, IL	\$750,000		Halvorson
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Route 82 Reconstruction and Widening, North Royalton, OH	\$650,000	Voinovich; Brown, Sherrod	Sutton
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Rutland Creek Path, VT	\$675,000		Welch
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	SE Main Avenue, 20th, 21st Street Underpass and Ancillary Improvements, City of Moorhead, MN	\$450,000	Klobuchar; Franken	Peterson
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Shoulder Widening and Paving of SC Highway 22, SC	\$1,000,000	Graham	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Sidewalk Construction in Ashland, Cherryland and Castro Valley Communities in Alameda County, CA	\$200,000		Lee (CA)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	SR 510 Yelm Loop Project, WA	\$250,000		Smith (WA)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	SR 54, McDonough Road to U.S. 19/41 in Clayton County, GA	\$650,000		Scott (GA)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Stansbury Transportation Improvements, KY	\$650,000		Yarmuth

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	State Road 133 from Albany to Valdosta, GA	\$1,000,000	Chambliss	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Structural Bridge Repairs, Fort Lauderdale, FL	\$250,000		Klein (FL)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	SW 27th Street - Strander Connection Project, Renton, WA	\$1,000,000	Murray	Smith (WA)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Telegraph Road Realignment, CA	\$450,000		Sanchez, Linda
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	U.S. 281/FM 493 Overpass, Hidalgo County, TX	\$450,000		Hinojosa
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	U.S. 401 in Cumberland, Harnett and Wake Counties, NC	\$650,000		Etheridge
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	U.S. 50/Watt Avenue Interchange Modification, CA	\$400,000		Matsui
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	U.S. Highway 101 High Occupancy Vehicle Widening Project, Carpinteria Creek Bridge, Carpinteria, Santa Barbara County, CA	\$650,000	Boxer	Capps
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	US Highway 97 & J Street Project, Madres, OR	\$750,000	Wyden, Merkley	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Vesta Street Overpass, San Diego, CA	\$450,000		Davis (CA)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Village of Barrington Route 14 Underpass, IL	\$550,000	Bean	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	West Ninth Avenue Extension and Overpass Construction, Belton, TX	\$750,000	Cornyn	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Westchase District Intermodal Transit and Pedestrian Access Improvements, TX	\$450,000	Green, Al	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	TCSP - Transportation & Community & System Preservation	Widening of Route 50 in Chillicothe, Ross County, OH	\$450,000	Space	
Federal Railroad Administration (FRA)	Grade Crossings on Designated High Speed Rail Corridors	Empire Corridor West High Speed Rail Improvements, Cayuga County, NY, NY	\$360,000	Arcuri; Murphy (NY); Owens; Tonko	
Federal Railroad Administration (FRA)	Grade Crossings on Designated High Speed Rail Corridors	Empire Corridor West High Speed Rail Improvements, Oneida County, NY, NY	\$625,000	Murphy (NY); Arcuri; Owens; Tonko	
Federal Railroad Administration (FRA)	Grade Crossings on Designated High Speed Rail Corridors	Improvement to Safety Devices at Highway/Railway Grade Crossings, WI	\$750,000	Kohl	Baldwin
Federal Railroad Administration (FRA)	Grade Crossings on Designated High Speed Rail Corridors	Traffic Separation Studies in Durham and Wake County, NC, NC	\$500,000	Price (NC)	
Federal Railroad Administration (FRA)	Research and Development	High-Speed Rail from Orlando to Miami, FL	\$500,000	Nelson, Bill	
Federal Railroad Administration (FRA)	Research and Development	MetroLink - Positive Train Control, CA	\$1,000,000	Feinstein; Boxer	
Federal Railroad Administration (FRA)	Research and Development	Northern Lights Express Intercity Passenger Rail Study, MN	\$500,000	Klobuchar; Franken	Oberstar
Federal Railroad Administration (FRA)	Research and Development	PEERS Rail-Grade Crossing Safety, Statewide, IL	\$500,000	Durbin	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Railroad Administration (FRA)	Research and Development	San Diego - Positive Train Control, CA	\$1,000,000	Feinstein		
Federal Railroad Administration (FRA)	Research and Development	Whistle Free Zone Project, MN	\$400,000	Klobuchar; Franken	Walz	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Alternatives Analysis	Glassboro Camden Line EIS Project, NJ	\$750,000		Andrews	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Alternatives Analysis	Interstate 94 Transit Corridor, Ramsey and Washington Counties, MN				
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Alternatives Analysis	JTA Commuter Rail Alternative Analysis, Jacksonville, FL	\$750,000	Klobuchar; Franken	McCollum	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Alternatives Analysis	Las Cruces to El Paso Transportation Corridor, NM	\$1,200,000	Nelson, Bill		
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Alternatives Analysis	LYNX Bus Rapid Transit Alternative Analysis, FL	\$1,000,000	Bingaman	Teague	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Alternatives Analysis	Mountain View Corridor Transit, UT	\$500,000	Nelson, Bill	Brown, Corrine	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Alternatives Analysis	Naval Station Norfolk Light Rail Study, VA	\$1,000,000	Bennett		
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Alternatives Analysis	CTA Red Line, IL	\$250,000		Nye	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Alternatives Analysis	OCTA Santa Ana-Garden Grove Fixed Guideway Construction, Santa Ana, CA	\$500,000	Burris; Durbin	Schakowsky	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Alternatives Analysis	Orange Line Extension, IL	\$600,000	Feinstein	Sanchez, Loretta	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Alternatives Analysis	Phoenix West (Formerly I-10 West) Light Rail Extension, Phoenix, AZ	\$475,000		Lipinski	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Alternatives Analysis	Red Line Extension, IL	\$1,000,000		Pastor (AZ)	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Alternatives Analysis	South Central Avenue Light Rail Feasibility Study, Phoenix, AZ	\$1,500,000	Durbin; Burris	Jackson (IL)	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Alternatives Analysis		\$750,000		Pastor (AZ)	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Alternatives Analysis	Tier 2 Environmental Impact Statement, Atlanta, GA		\$1,350,000	Chambliss; Isakson	Lewis (GA)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	1st Congressional District Buses and Bus Facilities, MI		\$1,000,000	Levin; Stabenow	Stupak
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Aberdeen Intermodal Transit Center, MD		\$750,000	Mikulski	Ruppersberger
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	ACE Boulder Highway Rapid Transit Project, NV		\$2,000,000	Reid; Ensign	Titus; Berkley
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Bay Town Trolley Multi-Modal Facility, FL		\$500,000		Boyd
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Ben Franklin Transit Vehicle Replacements, Benton and Franklin Counties, WA		\$1,000,000	Murray	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Bergen Intermodal Improvements, NJ		\$2,000,000	Lautenberg; Menendez	Rothman (NJ); Pascrell
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Berkshire Regional Transit Authority, MA		\$1,000,000		Olver
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Bloomington Hybrid Buses, IN		\$250,000	Lugar	Hill
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Brookings Area Transit Authority Bus Storage and Transit Operations Facility, SD		\$1,000,000	Johnson	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Brownsville Multi-Modal Terminal Facility, TX		\$500,000	Hutchison	Ortiz
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Bus and Bus Facilities, Santa Fe, NM		\$500,000	Bingaman; Udall, Tom	Lujan
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Bus and Bus Facilities, UT		\$8,000,000	Bennett	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Bus and Bus Facilities, VI		\$1,000,000		Christensen
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Bus Maintenance Facility, Sacramento, CA		\$1,800,000	Boxer	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Bus Purchases, DE	\$1,000,000	Carper	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Bus Replacement, Kansas City, MO	\$1,000,000	Bond	Cleaver
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Bus Replacement, Westchester County, NY	\$2,000,000	Gillibrand	Lowey; Engel
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Bus Turnouts for Downtown Las Vegas, NV	\$750,000	Reid	Berkley; Titus
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Capital Area Transit Bus and Bus Facilities, PA	\$700,000		Holden
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Cedar Avenue Bus Rapid Transitway, MN	\$500,000	Klobuchar; Franken	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Chatham Area Transit Bus Replacement, GA	\$1,000,000	Chambliss; Isakson	Barrow
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Chicago Ridge Metra Station Improvements, IL	\$190,000		Lipinski
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Chicago Union Station Improvements, IL	\$500,000		Davis (IL); Gutierrez
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	City of Janesville Transit Services Center, WI	\$3,065,000	Kohl	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	City of Rialto Metrolink Parking Lot Improvement, CA	\$700,000		Baca
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	City of San Bernardino Intermodal Transit Center, CA	\$500,000		Baca
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Clallam Transit Maintenance Facility Improvements, Clallam County, WA	\$200,000	Murray	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Coach Bus for Commuter Campus, Campbell County, KY	\$690,000	Bunning	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Colorado Transit Coalition Statewide Bus & Bus Facilities, CO	\$5,250,000	Bennet, Udall, Mark	Polis; DeGette; Markey (CO); Perlmutter; Salazar
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Community Transit Vehicle Replacements, Snohomish County, WA	\$1,000,000	Murray	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Coralville Intermodal Center, IA	\$700,000	Harkin; Grassley	Loeb sack
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Corpus Christi Regional Transportation Authority, TX	\$500,000	Hutchison	Ortiz
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	COTA Electronic Fare Payment System, Columbus, OH	\$1,300,000	Voinovich; Brown, Sherrod	Kilroy
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	C-TRAN 4th Plain BRT, Clark County, WA	\$1,500,000	Murray	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Cypress Park Transit Bus and Bus Facilities, Los Angeles, CA	\$400,000		Becerra
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Dallas Area Rapid Transit Bus CNG Procurement, TX	\$800,000		Johnson, Eddie Bernice
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	DAV Vehicles, Northport, NY	\$500,000		Bishop (NY)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Deerfield Valley Transit Association Facilities, Buses, and Equipment, VT	\$2,500,000	Leahy	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Dubuque Intermodal Facility, IA	\$400,000	Harkin	Braley (IA)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Dutchess County Mass Transit Facility Project, NY	\$500,000		Hinchey
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	El Paso New Operations/Maintenance Facility, TX	\$1,500,000		Reyes

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Everett Transit Vehicle Replacements, Snohomish County, WA	\$1,000,000	Murray	Larsen (WA)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Fair Lawn Community Shuttle Bus Program, NJ	\$315,000		Rothman (NJ)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Falls Church Bus and Bus Facilities, VA	\$725,000		Moran (VA)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Flint MTA Conversion of Paratransit Facilities to CNG, MI	\$750,000	Levin, Stabenow	Kildee
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Glassboro/Rowan Local Transit System, NJ	\$500,000	Menendez; Lautenberg	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Goldsboro Union Station, NC	\$625,000	Hagan; Burr	Butterfield
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Grant County Transit Vehicle Replacement and Facilities Construction, Grant County, WA	\$1,000,000	Murray	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority Clifton Boulevard Transit Enhancements, OH	\$750,000		Kucinich
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Greater Cleveland Warrensville/Van Aken Multi-Modal Facility, OH	\$550,000		Fudge
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Greater Southeast Transit Terminal, Houston, TX	\$500,000		Jackson Lee (TX)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	GRTC Downtown Multimodal Center, Richmond, VA	\$500,000	Warner; Webb	Scott (VA)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Harrison County Multimodal Project, MS	\$2,250,000	Cochran; Wicker	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Idaho Transit Coalition Bus and Bus Facilities, ID	\$1,500,000	Crapo; Risch	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Illinois Bus and Bus Facilities, IL	\$4,000,000	Durbin	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	IndyGo Transit Bus Replacement Project, IN	\$1,250,000	Lugar	Carson (IN)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Innovation Station, East Lansing, MI	\$4,375,000	Stabenow; Levin	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Intercity Transit Vehicle Replacements, Thurston County, WA	\$1,000,000	Murray	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	JATran Fleet Replacement and Bus Shelters, MS	\$600,000	Cochran; Wicker	Thompson (MS)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Jefferson Transit Vehicle Replacements, Jefferson County, WA	\$400,000	Murray	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Joliet Multimodal Transportation Center, IL	\$550,000		Halvorson
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	JTA Regional Transit Authority Multimodal Facility, FL	\$500,000		Brown, Corrine
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Kitsap County Vehicle Replacements, WA	\$500,000	Murray	Dicks
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	LexTran Vehicle Maintenance Facility Improvements, KY	\$600,000	Bunning	Chandler
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Link Transit Vehicle Replacements, Chelan and Douglas Counties, WA	\$1,000,000	Murray	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Littleton Intermodal Parking Facility, MA	\$1,200,000		Tsongas
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Longview Transit Vehicle Replacements, Clark County, WA	\$650,000	Murray	Baird
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Los Angeles Boyle Heights DASH Bus, CA	\$420,000		Roybal-Allard
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Los Angeles Florence-Firestone/Walnut Park Transit Vehicles, CA	\$300,000		Sanchez, Linda; Roybal-Allard

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Los Angeles Midtown DASH Community Circulator Bus Expansion Project, CA	\$1,000,000		Watson
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Los Lunas Intermodal Transportation Center, NM	\$1,000,000	Udall, Tom, Bingaman	Teague
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Maine Statewide Bus Replacement, ME	\$1,000,000	Snowe, Collins	Michaud; Pingree (ME)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	MARTA Bus, Bus Facilities and Security Improvements, GA	\$4,000,000	Isakson	Johnson (GA); Lewis (GA); Scott (GA)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Metro Area Transit - Bus and Bus Facilities, Omaha, NE	\$1,500,000	Nelson, Ben	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	METRO Bus and Bus Facilities, Houston, TX	\$1,000,000		Jackson Lee (TX); Green, Al; Green, Gene
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Milwaukee County Buses, WI	\$1,000,000		Moore (WI)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Montebello Bus Lines and Norwalk Transit Agency Bus Replacement Project, CA	\$500,000		Napolitano
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Monterey-Salinas Transit Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) Security Systems Upgrade, CA	\$800,000	Boxer	Farr
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Moultrie Intermodal Facility, City of Moultrie, GA	\$400,000	Chambliss	Bishop (GA)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Municipal Transit Operators Coalition Clean Fuel Bus Purchase, CA	\$2,000,000		Waters; Harman; Watson
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Mustang Park and Ride Structure, Scottsdale, AZ	\$500,000		Mitchell
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Naugatuck Transportation Facility, CT	\$500,000	Lieberman; Dodd	DeLauro

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	New Center Intermodal Transportation Facility, Wayne, MI	\$1,350,000			Kilpatrick (MI)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Newburyport Intermodal Parking Facility, MA	\$750,000	Kerry		Tierney
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	North Central Regional Transit District Pueblo Buses, NM	\$800,000	Udall, Tom; Bingham		
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	North Dakota Statewide Capital Transit, ND	\$1,500,000	Conrad; Dorgan		Pomeroy
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Oxford-University Transit System Bus Purchase, MS	\$400,000	Cochran; Wicker		Childers
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities					Foster; Bean; Davis (IL); Gutierrez; Jackson (IL); Quigley; Schakowsky
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Pace Paratransit Vehicles, IL	\$1,400,000	Burris		
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Pierce Transit Clean-Fuel Bus Replacements, Pierce County, WA	\$1,000,000	Murray		Baird
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Port Authority Allegheny County Hybrid Buses, PA	\$600,000	Specter; Casey		Doyle
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Port of Galveston Transit Terminal Parking, TX	\$1,250,000			Paul
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Potomac Yard-Crystal City Transit way, VA	\$1,250,000			Moran (VA)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	PVTA Regional Transit Traveler Information Systems Project, MA	\$1,000,000			Olver
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Reconstruction of the Mayfield Road Rapid Transit Station and Bridge, OH	\$2,000,000	Voinovich; Brown, Sherrod		Fudge
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Replacement Buses at Transit Authority of Northern Kentucky (TANK), Kenton County, KY	\$1,000,000	Bunning		

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Replacement Buses for Urban Transit Systems in the Triangle, NC	\$2,500,000		Price (NC), Etheridge; Miller (NC)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Replacement of the Fixed Route Fleet, Springfield, MO	\$1,000,000	Bond	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Riverview Corridor Bus Acquisition and Facilities, MN	\$750,000		McCollum
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Rochester Intermodal Transportation Center, NY	\$3,000,000		Slaughter
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Rural Bus Program for Hawaii, Maui, and Kauai, HI	\$3,500,000	Inouye	Abercrombie; Hirono
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Senior Transportation Program, AL	\$500,000	Shelby	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	SEPTA 69th Street Terminal, PA	\$500,000		Sestak
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	SEPTA Levittown Station Intermodal Improvements, PA	\$600,000		Murphy, Patrick
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Skagit Transit Vehicle Replacements, Skagit County, WA	\$500,000	Murray	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	South Burlington Transit Center, VT	\$1,000,000	Leahy	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	South Hampton Roads Satellite Transit Operating Facility, VA	\$1,500,000		Nye
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Southeast Missouri Transportation Service Facility, MO	\$800,000	Bond	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Southern Maryland Commuter Bus Initiative, MD	\$1,500,000	Cardin	Hoyer
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Spokane Transit Paratransit Vehicles, Spokane County, WA	\$1,000,000	Murray	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Stark Area Buses Regional Transit Authority, OH	\$800,000		Bocieri

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities Fund, IA	\$2,500,000	Harkin; Grassley	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities, MO	\$4,000,000	Bond	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities, NM	\$1,000,000	Bingaman; Udall, Tom	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Statler Intermodal Facility, Buffalo, Erie County, NY	\$3,000,000	Schumer; Gillibrand	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Suffolk County Bus and Bus Facilities, NY	\$750,000		Bishop (NY)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Tacoma Intermodal Transit Center, Tacoma, WA	\$1,000,000	Murray	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Tennessee Statewide Bus Program, TN	\$12,500,000	Alexander	Davis (TN)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Transit Center, California State University, Northridge, CA	\$500,000		Sherman
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	TRANSPO Paratransit Replacement Vehicles, IN	\$352,000	Lugar	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Twin Transit Vehicle Replacements, Lewis County, WA	\$500,000	Murray	Baird
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Unified Government Transit Buses and Bus Facilities, KS	\$800,000		Moore (KS)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Union Passenger Terminal, LA	\$1,250,000	Landrieu	Cao
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Union Station Intermodal Center, DC	\$500,000		Norton
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Vacaville Intermodal Station-Phase 2, Vacaville, CA	\$750,000		Miller, George Rodriguez; Gonzalez
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	VIA Bus Fleet Modernization, TX	\$2,400,000	Hutchison	
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	VIA Fredericksburg Road Bus Rapid Transit Corridor, TX	\$1,000,000	Hutchison; Cornyn	Gonzalez

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Washoe County Bus Facilities, NV	\$500,000	Reid		
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	Watts DASH Community Circulator Bus Project, CA	\$200,000			Richardson
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Buses & Bus Facilities	White Earth Tribal Transit Service Bus Garage Facility, MN	\$500,000	Franken; Klobuchar		
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Assembly Square Station - Orange Line, MA	\$1,000,000	Kerry		Capuano
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Baltimore Red Line, MD	\$1,500,000	Cardin; Mikulski		Cummings; Sarbanes
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	BART Silicon Valley Project, CA	\$2,000,000	Feinstein		Honda; Lofgren, Zoe
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Charlotte CATS Blue Line Extension-Northeast Corridor Project, NC	\$11,000,000	Burr; Hagan		Kissell; Watt
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	CTA Green Line, IL	\$1,850,000	Durbin		
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Crenshaw/LAX Transit Project, CA	\$1,250,000	Feinstein		Harman; Schiff; Waters; Watson
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Downtown Connector/Westside Subway Extension PE, CA	\$6,500,000	Feinstein		Schiff; Watson
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Downtown Transit Corridor Program, Downtown Circulator -- The Wave, FL	\$1,750,000			Wasserman
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Draper Light Rail, UT	\$2,100,000	Bennett		Schultz; Hastings (FL)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Green Line Extension to Rt. 16 from Tufts, MA	\$1,000,000			Capuano
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Houston Commuter Rail Service in Harris and Fort Bend County (US 90A), TX	\$1,000,000			Green, Al; Green, Gene; Jackson Lee (TX)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	I-5, Columbia River Crossing Project, WA	\$29,000,000	Murray		Baird

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Purple Line, MD		\$1,500,000	Cardin, Mikulski	Edwards (MD); Van Hollen
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Sonoma-Marin Area Rail Transit (SMART), CA		\$1,000,000		Woolsey
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	South Shore Commuter Rail Capital Reinvestment Plan, NICTD, IN		\$1,000,000	Lugar	Visclosky
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Stamford Urban Transitway, CT		\$1,000,000		Himes
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	Tampa Light Rail, Preliminary Engineering, FL		\$1,000,000	Nelson, Bill	Castor (FL)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	VRE Locomotive Acquisition, VA		\$1,000,000	Warner; Webb	Connolly (VA)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Capital Investment Grants	West Eugene EmX- Alternative Analysis Study, OR		\$1,000,000	Wyden; Merkley	DeFazio
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Research (FTA)	City of College Station Public Transportation Initiative, TX		\$150,000		Edwards (TX)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Research (FTA)	CTAA Job links, DC		\$2,400,000		Olver
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Research (FTA)	Innovation in Public Transportation Infrastructure Systems Planning, College of Staten Island, Staten Island, NY		\$450,000	Schumer	McMahon
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Research (FTA)	Project Transit, Philadelphia, PA		\$1,000,000		Fattah
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)	Research (FTA)	Queens College Barriers to Public Transportation Survey, NY		\$250,000		Weiner

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Agency	Account	Recipient	Purpose	Amount	Requester(s)	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Allegheny County, PA	For infrastructure improvements around the Allegheny Ludlum site in order to spur economic growth and create jobs	\$800,000	Casey	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Allen Place Center, Tacoma, WA	For facility improvements at a community center in a low income neighborhood	\$1,000,000	Murray, Cantwell	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	American Legion Post 139, CA	Rehabilitation and Renovation of an American Legion Hall	\$95,000	Schiff	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	American Red Cross, Cincinnati Area Chapter, OH	Construction and Build Out of a Regional Red Cross Hub	\$950,000	Voinovich, Brown, Sherrod	Driehaus
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Appalachia Service Project, TN	Home Repair Program for Low-Income Families	\$350,000		Davis (TN)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Appalachia Service Project, TN	For free home repair to low-income families in Southern West Virginia	\$400,000	Rockefeller	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	ARC Community Services, MA	Renovate a Building that Provides Services for Individuals with Developmental Disabilities	\$300,000		Olver
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Arkansas State University-Mountain Home, MH, AR	For construction of the Vada Sheid Community Development Center	\$500,000	Pryor, Lincoln	Berry
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Ashanti Residential Management Systems Inc., IL	Transitional and Residential Housing for Low-Income Persons	\$600,000		Davis (IL)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Associated Early Care and Education, MA	For the construction of a child and family development center	\$500,000	Kerry	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Atlanta Center for Civil and Human Rights, GA	Construction of a Civil Rights Museum and Visitor Center in Downtown Atlanta	\$500,000	Chambliss, Isakson	Lewis (GA)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Big Brothers Big Sisters of Northern Ohio, Columbus, OH	For renovations of the facilities at Camp Oty/Okwa	\$300,000	Brown, Sherrod	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Big Sky Economic Development Authority, Billings, MT	For infrastructure and land acquisition in East Billings	\$500,000	Tester, Baucus	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Black Economic Union of Greater Kansas City, MO	Planning, Design, Renovation and Revitalization of Historic Building	\$1,000,000		Cleaver

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Blue Springs Hoke County CDC (BSHC-CDC), NC	Acquisition of Land for an Affordable Housing Initiative	\$500,000	Kissell
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Board of Directors of St. Louis Municipal Library, MO	To renovate the historic St. Louis Central Library including compliance with ADA requirements in MO	\$1,000,000 Bond	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Bolivar County, MS	For the restoration of the historic Bolivar County First Judicial District Courthouse	\$350,000	Cochran, Wicker
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Bonnie Brae, Liberty Corner, NJ	For the renovation of cottages that service New Jersey's at risk children and youth	\$200,000	Lautenberg; Menendez
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Booneville, MS	For the Booneville Hardware Building Restoration Project	\$425,000	Cochran, Wicker
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Boys & Girls Club of Carbon County, Red Lodge, MT	For renovations and expansion	\$350,000	Tester
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Boys and Girls Club of Wagner, SD	For repairs and upgrades to the Boys and Girls Club facility	\$200,000	Johnson
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Boys and Girls Club, Bellevue, WA	For facilities improvements and expansion at community centers for youth	\$500,000	Murray
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Boys and Girls Club, Spokane, WA	For facilities acquisition, improvements and expansion for a community center for youth	\$775,000	Murray
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Boys Town of Chicago, IL	Construction of Housing for Low-Income Youth	\$500,000	Durbin
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Bristol Boys and Girls Club Association, CT	Planning, Design and Construction of a Boys and Girls Club	\$1,000,000	Dodd, Lieberman
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Brooke County Commission, WV	Development and Construction of a Park and Memorial	\$400,000	Mollohan
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Brooklyn Legal Services, NY	Construction and Renovations of a Facility	\$250,000	Velazquez
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Brooklyn Navy Yard Development Corporation, NY	Design and Construction of a Facility	\$500,000	Velazquez, Towns
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Bucks County Housing Development Corporation, PA	Construction of Affordable Housing for Disabled Veterans	\$500,000	Murphy, Patrick

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Builders Development Corporation, KS	For the Central Baptist Redevelopment Project in Kansas City, Kansas	\$800,000	Brownback	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	CAMBA, Inc. NY	Construction of Supportive Housing	\$1,000,000		Clarke
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Center for Veterans issues, Ltd., Milwaukee, WI	For the construction of supportive housing for veterans	\$400,000	Kohl	Moore (WI)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Chabad of the Valley, CA	Renovation of a Facility	\$250,000		Sherman
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Charles City County, VA	Construction of a Library Facility	\$500,000		Scott (VA)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Charles County Department of Community Services, MD	Renovation of Low-Income Housing	\$300,000	Mikulski, Cardin	Hoyer
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc., AZ	Building Rehabilitation for a Workforce Readiness Center	\$400,000		Pastor (AZ)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Children's Museum of Tampa, FL	Construction of a Facility	\$750,000		Castor (FL)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Chippewa Cree Tribe, Box Elder, MT	For renovations and safety upgrades of a tribal TANF building	\$200,000	Tester	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Albany, NY	Construction and Renovation of a Facility	\$825,000	Schumer	Tonko
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Alexandria, VA	Mount Vernon Avenue Pedestrian Solar Lighting Project, VA	\$300,000		Moran (VA)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Anderson, IN	For the Flagship Enterprise Center Certified Technology Park Buildings Project for infrastructure and laboratory equipment for hybrid electric vehicle technologies and related technologies	\$650,000	Lugar	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Astoria, OR	Construction of a Park	\$800,000		Wu
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Bangor, ME	For event and meeting space infrastructure at the Bangor Regional Arena and Meeting Complex	\$1,000,000	Collins, Snowe	Michaud

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	City of Bend, OR	For design and construction of new research and development facility	\$200,000	Merkley, Wyden
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Brewer, ME	For the development of a riverfront trail system as part of the West Bayside Neighborhood Development Project	\$700,000	Snowe, Collins, Michaud
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Bristol, CT	To acquire blighted property and renovate facilities to create an industrial park	\$500,000	Dodd, Lieberman
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Burbank, CA	Planning, Design and Construction of a Library	\$100,000	Schiff
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Chicago, IL	Construction of Veterans Housing	\$750,000	Quigley
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Chicopee, MA	For the construction of a community and senior center	\$350,000	Kerry
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Cleburne, TX	Construction of the Lake Pat Cleburne Trail System	\$250,000	Edwards (TX)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Coffman Cove, AK	For the construction of an elevated concrete dock with related improvements	\$300,000	Murkowski, Begich, Young (AK)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Columbus, MS	For the Columbus Riverwalk Lighting Extension project	\$300,000	Cochran, Wicker, Childers
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Cortland, NY	Engineering, Preparation and Construction of a Community Center	\$400,000	Arcuri
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Council Bluffs, IA	For demolition of facilities located near 1st Avenue in Council Bluffs for the purpose of improving the West Broadway Corridor	\$400,000	Harkin, Grassley
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Covington, LA	For the acquisition of facilities in Covington, LA to be used for community services and economic development	\$1,000,000	Landrieu
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Creedmoor, NC	Planning and Design for a Community Center	\$500,000	Miller (NC)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Dubuque, IA	Renovation of Buildings to Create Affordable Workforce Housing	\$925,000	Harkin, Grassley, Braley (IA)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Durham, NC	Streetscaping of Fayetteville Street Corridor Project	\$500,000	Price (NC)

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of East Hartford, CT	For the repair and upgrade of crucial public infrastructure in and around North Meadows Industrial Park	\$500,000	Dodd, Lieberman	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Ecorse, MI	Demolition of Blighted Buildings	\$1,000,000		Kilpatrick (MI)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Enterprise, AL	Demolition, Planning, Construction, and Renovation of Downtown Business District	\$500,000		Bright
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Flint, MI	For the upgrade of energy efficiency and services at an economic and community development center which provides economic and community development	\$700,000	Stabenow, Levin	Kildee
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Fort Lauderdale, FL	Streetscaping in Underserved Communities	\$500,000		Hastings (FL)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Fullerton, CA	Demolition, Planning, Design and Construction of a Community Center	\$500,000		Sanchez, Loretta
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Gary, IN	Demolition of Buildings and Neighborhood Redevelopment	\$500,000		Visclosky
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Glens Falls, NY	Land Acquisition and Rehabilitation of a Community Service Center	\$500,000		Murphy (NY)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Gonzales, LA	Park Improvements	\$250,000	Landrieu	Melancon
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Grants Pass, OR	For the acquisition and renovation of a community center	\$500,000	Wyden, Merkley	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Grants, NM	Planning, Design, Construction and Build Out of a Library	\$750,000		Teague
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Green Bay, WI	Demolition of Blighted Building	\$1,150,000		Kagen
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Grenada, MS	For the Taylor Hall Renovation Project	\$250,000	Cochran, Wicker	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Gretna, LA	For planning and construction of a new Senior Center to meet the demands of the elderly population	\$500,000	Landrieu	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Hattiesburg, MS	For redevelopment of the Hattiesburg East Jerusalem Municipal Center Complex including acquisition of equipment	\$600,000	Cochran, Wicker	

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI) Economic	City of Hyattsville, MD	For the rehabilitation of an existing structure to a mixed-use, community meeting facility	\$250,000	Cardin	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI) Economic	City of Inkster, MI	For construction of a senior center	\$1,250,000	Levin Stabenow	Dingell
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI) Economic	City of Jackson, MS	For the renovation of youth-oriented public facilities	\$500,000	Cochran, Wicker	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI) Economic	City of Kingstree, SC	For the Kingtree Train Depot Renovation Project including meeting ADA compliance	\$200,000	Graham	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI) Economic	City of La Jolla, TX	Construction of Youth Facilities	\$500,000		Cuellar
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI) Economic	City of Lacey, WA	For expansion of a community facility providing services for seniors	\$500,000	Murray	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI) Economic	City of Lancaster, PA	For renovation of buildings and streetscaping as part of the revitalization of the City's Market District	\$1,000,000	Casey	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI) Economic	City of Las Cruces, NM	For expansion of a central kitchen and associated equipment to provide home meal delivery services to seniors	\$400,000	Bingaman, Udall, Tom	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI) Economic	City of Las Vegas, NV	For construction of low-income elderly housing	\$1,000,000	Reid	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI) Economic	City of Lawndale, CA	Design and Construction of a Community Center	\$500,000		Waters
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI) Economic	City of Linton, ND	For housing and commercial redevelopment	\$700,000	Dorgan, Conrad	Pomeroy
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI) Economic	City of Madison, WI	For construction of a public market for regionally grown and produced products	\$200,000	Kohl	Baldwin
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI) Economic	City of Marshalltown, IA	For the removal of blight and related housing development requirements for the Grant Park Redevelopment Project	\$700,000	Grassley, Harkin	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI) Economic	City of Memphis, TN	For improvements to the Pigeon Harbor Industrial Park	\$1,550,000	Alexander	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI) Economic	City of Milan, MO	To renovate the historic Sullivan County Building including enhancing safety, spurring economic development and for streetscape investments	\$250,000	Bond	

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]					
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of Economic	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Mount Rainier, MD	Planning and Design of a Library	\$350,000 Cardin	Van Hollen
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of Economic	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of New Orleans, LA	For the Federal City Urban Redevelopment Project	\$500,000 Vitter Landrieu	Cao
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of Economic	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Pascagoula, MS	For construction of a Beach Park Promenade in Pascagoula, MS	\$900,000 Cochran, Wicker	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of Economic	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Peoria, IL	For revitalization efforts on Peoria's Southside	\$200,000 Durbin	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of Economic	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Piedmont, OK	For the Elevated Water Storage Tower project for water storage and water line installations	\$700,000 Inhofe	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of Economic	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Port Orchard, WA	Parcel Acquisition and Project Design	\$500,000	Dicks
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of Economic	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of River Rouge, MI	Demolition of Blighted Buildings	\$500,000	Kilpatrick (MI)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of Economic	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Rockford, IL	For land acquisition, demolition, and infrastructure improvements	\$500,000 Durbin	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of Economic	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Ruleville, MS	For the development of a walking trail	\$125,000 Cochran	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of Economic	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Salem, OR	Construct Waterline Improvements	\$500,000 Wyden, Merkley	Schradner
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of Economic	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Springfield, IL	For construction of a new building for a Crisis Nursery in Springfield	\$200,000 Durbin	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of Economic	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Springfield, MA	For improvements to public buildings in downtown Springfield	\$350,000 Kerry	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of Economic	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Springfield, MO	For improvements to the Commercial Club Building including making it ADA compliant	\$750,000 Bond	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of Economic	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Springfield, OH	For the redevelopment of an industrial Brownfield site	\$750,000 Sherrod	Voinovich, Brown,
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of Economic	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Stevens Point, WI	Environmental Remediation and Development within the City of Stevens Point	\$750,000	Obey

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Toledo, OH	Ohio Broadway Street Corridor Enhancement	\$1,000,000		Kaptur
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Tucson, AZ	Stabilization and Renovation of the Historic Marist College Building	\$500,000		Grijalva
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Warren, MI	Facility and Security Improvements to Senior Apartments	\$750,000		Levin
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of West Warwick, RI	For planning and community development, including the acquisition and rehabilitation of property and the improvement of public infrastructure	\$500,000	Reed	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Wilkes-Barre, PA	For the planning, design and renovations in a downtown business district	\$200,000	Specter	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Winsted, CT	For renovations and streetscape enhancements in the downtown business district	\$200,000	Lieberman	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Winter Park, FL	Acquisition of Land for Expansion of a Park	\$250,000		Kosmas
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Woonsocket, RI	For site demolition and environmental remediation to accommodate a public drinking water facility	\$600,000	Reed; Whitehouse	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	City of Worcester, MA	Gardner-Kilby-Hammond Neighborhood Revitalization	\$450,000		McGovern
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Clearfield City, UT	For Clearfield City Downtown Development Project West Phase 1 & 2 for the city to acquire blighted properties	\$100,000	Bennett	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Clyde Malone Community Center, Lincoln, NE	For the expansion and renovation of the Malone Center facilities	\$500,000	Nelson, Ben	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Commission on Economic Opportunity for the Greater Capital Region, Troy, NY	For construction of community building to serve as a child care and family resource center for low to moderate income individuals	\$250,000	Schumer	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Community Advocates, Inc., WI	Acquisition and Remodeling of Facility	\$250,000		Moore (WI)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Community Development Corporation of South Berkshire, MA	Redevelopment of the former Searles Bryant School Complex in Great Barrington	\$600,000		Olver
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Community Food Bank, Inc., AZ	Installation of Solar Panels	\$200,000		Giffords

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Community Foundation of NJ, Newark, NJ	Renovations of Essex County Family Justice Center	\$800,000	Lautenberg, Menendez	Payne, Pascrelli; Sires
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Community Hospitals of Central California Foundation, dba Community Medical Foundation,	Design, Construction, Engineering, Furnishings and Equipment	\$750,000		Costa
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Comprehensive Community Action, Inc., RI	Renovations including Energy Efficient Upgrades	\$250,000		Langevin
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Concourse House, HDPC, NY	Renovation of a Facility	\$150,000		Serrano
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Connecticut Food Bank, Inc., CT	Renovation of a Facility	\$1,000,000		DeLauro
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Copper River Native Association, Glenallen, AK	For the construction of a health and multi-use facility serving tribal members medical and basic health service needs	\$500,000	Begich	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	County of Santa Barbara, CA	For repairs and renovations to the Lompoc Veterans Building Renovation	\$450,000	Boxer	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Covenant House Alaska, Anchorage, AK	For construction of a new facility for Covenant House, a center providing immediate and long-term needs of homeless youth in Alaska	\$500,000	Murkowski, Begich	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Covenant House, LA	Acquisition of Land for a Low-Income Youth Initiative	\$250,000	Landrieu	Cao
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Crow Creek Sioux Tribe, Fort Thompson, SD	For construction of a new community facility in Fort Thompson, SD to serve low income tribal members	\$300,000	Johnson	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	CRSA Wounded Warrior Care Project, Augusta, GA	For the Wounded Warrior Transitional Housing Project	\$2,100,000	Isakson	Bishop (GA)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Davis Street Community Center, Inc., CA	Building Acquisition	\$500,000		Stark
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Dayton, OH	For Improved Solutions for Urban Systems, Inc. to renovate workshops and laboratories using LEED Standards and renewable energy technology	\$250,000	Voinovich	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Delta City, UT	For improvements and construction related to the Delta City Learning and Community Center	\$500,000	Bennett	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Denton, TX	For the Denton Downtown Improvement Project to upgrade the streets and streetscape	\$500,000	Cornyn	

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Domestic Abuse Intervention Services, Inc., WI	Construction of a Domestic Violence Shelter	\$300,000		Baldwin
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Domestic Violence Services of Snohomish County, Everett, WA	For expansion and rehabilitation of a domestic violence facility	\$500,000	Murray	Inslee
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	East Hartford Housing Authority, CT	ADA Upgrades to and Renovation of a Community Center	\$500,000		Larson (CT)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Easter Seals Hawaii, HI	For the construction and renovation of building space to provide programs and services for children and adults with disabilities	\$300,000	Inouye	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Emilton Borough, PA	Redevelopment of a Former Industrial Site	\$150,000		Dahlkemper
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Enosburg Falls Economic Development Corporation, Enosburg Falls, VT	For improvements to an industrial park	\$200,000	Sanders	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Eritrean Association, Seattle, WA	For facility improvements and expansion of a community center	\$600,000	Murray	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Family Crisis Center, Inc., Farmington, NM	For expansion of the existing Family Crisis Center	\$643,500	Bingaman; Udall, Tom	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Family Service Association of San Antonio, TX	For facility repairs to the Family Service Center	\$1,250,000	Hutchison	Gonzalez
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Fargo Housing & Redevelopment Authority, Fargo, ND	For rehabilitation of low-income housing	\$700,000	Dorgan; Conrad	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Federal Way Chamber of Commerce, Federal Way, WA	For acquisition and facility improvements of a regional small business incubator	\$1,200,000	Murray; Cantwell	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	First Best Place, Columbia Falls, MT	For construction of a community center	\$1,000,000	Baucus	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	First Steps Primeros Pasos, Georgetown, DE	For construction and start up costs for a bilingual early care and education facility	\$175,000	Kaufman; Carper	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Food Self-Reliance, The Kohala Center, HI	For equipment purchase to make infrastructure improvements to increase economic development opportunities, for low and moderate income farmers	\$250,000	Inouye	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Forgotten Harvest, Oak Park, MI	For facilities improvements, equipment, and service fleet	\$542,000	Levin; Stabenow	Levin

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Franklin County, MS	For restoration of the Historic Franklin County Courthouse	\$175,000	Cochran	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Friends of Buena Library, Buena, WA	For construction of a community center in a high poverty area	\$400,000	Murray	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Gaston County, NC	To create a Gastonia Technology Park in Gaston County, NC	\$760,000	Burr	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Glenridge Senior Citizen Multi-Service & Advisory Center, Inc., NY	Construction of a Community Center	\$230,000	Gillibrand	Velazquez
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Grace Hill Settlement House, St. Louis, MO	To renovate housing for low-income working families at the College Hill Community Redevelopment Project	\$1,000,000	Bond	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Grand Rapids Downtown Development Authority, MI	For the construction of a mixed-use facility for use as a farmers market in a distressed urban area	\$700,000	Stabenow, Levin	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Great Falls Development Authority, Great Falls, MT	For infrastructure improvements for development of an industrial park	\$800,000	Baucus; Tester	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Great Rivers Greenway, St. Louis, MO	For the removal of blight and related redevelopment concerns at the St. Louis Regional Greenways project	\$1,000,000	Bond	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Hancock County, KY	For expansion of the Owensboro Community Technical College—Hancock County Extension Campus for local training programs	\$300,000	Bunning	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Hanover Township, Washington County, PA	For construction and excavation in Hanover Township, Washington County, PA to create new businesses in a distressed community	\$200,000	Casey	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Harriet Tubman Center, Maplewood, MN	For the renovation of a building in order to consolidate youth and family services, and relocate two domestic violence shelters	\$600,000	Franken	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Healing With CAARE, Inc., NC	Construction and Renovations of a Facility	\$300,000		Price (NC)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Heritage Services, Omaha, NE	For construction of facility that will accommodate an education and interactive learning center	\$1,000,000	Nelson, Ben	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Hilltown Community Development Corporation, MA	Development of Energy-Efficient Affordable Senior Housing	\$300,000		Olver
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Historic Seattle, WA	Restoration and Preservation of Washington Hall	\$475,000		McDermott

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Hocking Co. Community Improvement Corporation, Logan, OH	To construct an incubator/light manufacturing building	\$750,000	Voinovich	Space
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Housing Vermont, Burlington, VT	For expansions and improvements to low income housing	\$500,000	Sanders	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	IA Dept. of Economic Development, Des Moines, IA	For the restoration and rehabilitation of buildings, re-introduce upper floor housing, and add economic value in Iowa's historic Main Street districts	\$1,000,000	Harkin; Grassley	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Island Municipality of Rota, MP	Design and Renovation of a Facility	\$500,000	Sablan	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Isles, Inc., NJ	Construction of a Green Job Training Center	\$300,000	Holt	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Ivins, UT	For the Old Town Ivins Street Improvements Project including safety concerns	\$100,000	Bennett	Matheson
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Jackson Medical Mall, Jackson, MS	For the expansion of the Jackson Medical Mall Development Project	\$600,000	Cochran; Wicker	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Jefferson County Department of Human Services, CO	For a facility for Jefferson County Department of Human Services in Colorado to provide housing for homeless veterans	\$600,000	Udall, Mark	Perlmutter
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Jewish Family Service, Seattle, WA	For improvements and expansion of a facility providing family and community services	\$800,000	Murray	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Jewish Vocational Service, MO	To renovate the facility for the Jewish Vocational Service and to provide equipment and furnishings	\$1,000,000	Bond	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	John Hope Settlement House, Providence, RI	For facility upgrades and energy retrofits	\$200,000	Reed	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Josephine Solomon Ellis Foundation - CDC, PA	Construction of Low-Income Housing	\$500,000		Brady (PA)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Joseph's Home, Inc., NY	Rehabilitation of a Community Room for Veterans	\$350,000		Engel
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Kauai Economic Development Board, Kauai, HI	For the rehabilitation and improvement of an abandoned facility, to provide employment training for low and moderate income agricultural workers	\$300,000	Inouye	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Keene Family YMCA, Keene, NH	For the construction of a new Keene YMCA allowing for the expansion of childcare and other services	\$300,000	Shaheen	

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Kentucky Blood Center, Somerset, KY	For construction of a Kentucky Blood Center Building	\$1,000,000	McConnell	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Kips Bay Boys & Girls Club, NY	Construction, Renovations and Equipment	\$75,000		Crowley
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Kittay House, Jewish Home Lifecare, Inc, NY	Building Renovation and Repairs	\$200,000		Serrano
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Laiopua 2020, Kailua-Kona, HI	For planning, design, and construction of the Laiopua 2020 Community Center	\$300,000	Akaka	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Lake Eufaula Association, OK	Construction of a Facility	\$400,000		Boren
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Lawrence Economic Development Corporation, OH	Redevelopment of a Park	\$700,000		Wilson (OH)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Liberty House Shelter Inc, NH	Building Acquisition for Housing for Homeless Veterans	\$250,000		Shea-Porter
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Logan, UT	For the Logan Northwest Park Project to continue an ongoing park project	\$200,000	Bennett	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Longview Housing Authority, Longview, WA	For acquisition and improvements of a facility to serve as a regional center for Veterans	\$675,000	Murray	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Los Angeles County Department of Parks and Recreation, CA	Construction of a Park	\$300,000		Schiff
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule, SD	For the Domestic Violence Building Project	\$400,000	Thune; Johnson	Herseht Sandlin
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Luke-Dorf, Inc., Portland, OR	For the construction of a Behavioral Healthcare Housing Facility, with medically-monitored treatment, for individuals	\$300,000	Merkley; Wyden	Blumenauer
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Lutheran Social Service of Minnesota, MN	Renovation of Homes for the Disabled	\$225,000	Klobuchar	Oberstar; Ellison
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Luzerne County Commissioners, PA	Infrastructure Improvements at the Crestwood and Hanover Industrial Parks	\$750,000		Kanjorski
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Mandell Jewish Community Center, West Hartford, CT	For community facilities renovations and improvements	\$700,000	Dodd	

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	MARC Community Services Corporation, Wyandotte County, KS	To acquire and renovate vacant and abandoned properties as part of the NeighborhoodsNOW Redevelopment Plan in Wyandotte County, Kansas	\$400,000	Brownback	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Martin House Restoration Corporation, NY	Restoration and Improvements to the Historic Darwin Martin House Home and Complex	\$1,000,000	Schumer; Gillibrand	Higgins; Slaughter
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Maui Economic Development Board, HI	For equipment purchase and construction to support business incubation and economic development for Molokai cooperatives	\$250,000	Inouye	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Middlesex Community College, MA	Planning, Design and Renovation of a Historic Building	\$300,000	Kerry	Tsongas
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Milwaukee Department of City Development, Milwaukee, WI	For the preservation and improvement of affordable housing	\$400,000	Kohl	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Missouri Institute for Biotechnology and Innovation, Cole County, MO	To renovate and equip the historic Missouri Institute for Biotechnology and Innovation in Cole County, Missouri	\$1,000,000	Bond	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Molokai Habitat for Humanity, HI	For construction and rehabilitation of low and very low income housing using the Molokai Habitat for Humanity self help housing model	\$400,000	Inouye; Akaka	Hirono
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Morehouse College, GA	Construction of a Facility to Display the Papers of Martin Luther King, Jr.	\$500,000		Lewis (GA)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Mount Washington Community Development Corporation, PA	Planning and Construction of a Trail	\$100,000		Doyle
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Municipality of Yauco, PR	Construction of a Children's Playground	\$150,000		Pierluisi
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Museum for African Art, NY	Streetscaping	\$500,000		Rangel
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	My Sister's House, CA	Renovation of Asian and Pacific Islander Domestic Violence Survivor Home	\$250,000		Matsui
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	National Civil Rights Museum, TN	Renovation of a Facility	\$750,000		Cohen
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Nepperhan Community Center, Inc., NY	Renovation of a Community Center	\$200,000		Engel
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Department of	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	New Hampshire Community Loan Fund, Concord, NH	For support for the Community Loan Fund programs for the New Hampshire Community Loan Fund	\$500,000	Gregg; Shaheen	

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	New River Community and Technical College, WV	Acquisition and Renovation of a Building to be Used as Technical Training Center	\$600,000		Rahall
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Niles Township Government, IL	Renovation of a Facility for the Niles Township Food Pantry	\$250,000		Schakowsky
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	North Carolina Research Campus, Kannapolis, NC	For the High Speed Optical Networking at NCRC	\$500,000	Burr	Kissell
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Northeast Iowa Food Bank, Waterloo, IA	For construction of a new facility to serve as a food warehouse and distribution center for northeast Iowa	\$300,000	Harkin	Braley (IA)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Northern Hills Alliance for Children, Deadwood, SD	For Childcare Center building modifications	\$550,000	Johnson	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Northern Illinois Food Bank, IL	Construction of a Facility	\$300,000		Foster
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Nye County, Pahrump, NV	For the construction and expansion of a senior center	\$200,000	Reid	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Ocean Community YMCA, Westerly, RI	For construction of a drop-off area for children	\$250,000	Reed, Whitehouse	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Old Sturbridge Village, MA	Renovation of Historic Buildings	\$135,000		Neal
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Opportunity Resources, Inc., Missoula, MT	For facility improvements for an employment and service organization for the disabled	\$200,000	Baucus; Tester	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Our City Reading, Inc., PA	For the planning, design, renovation, and construction of housing	\$200,000	Specter	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Parents and Children Together, HI	For renovation and equipment purchase to expand the Community Technology Center at Kuhio Park Terrace	\$300,000	Inouye	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Paul J. Cooper Center for Human Services, Inc., NY	Building Acquisition, Renovation, Expansion and Build out of a Facility	\$300,000		Towns
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	People in Progress, Inc., CA	Acquisition of a Building for Homeless Veterans	\$380,000		Berman
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Pickaway County, OH	For construction of an economic development center	\$800,000	Brown, Sherrod	

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Pillar Community Development Corp., Bloomfield, CT	For the construction of a community wellness center	\$200,000	Lieberman	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Port of Lewiston, ID	For expansion of the container dock	\$850,000	Crapo; Risch	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Porter County Government, IN	Streetscaping	\$500,000		Visclosky
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Positive Workforce INC., NY	Completion of a Job Training Facility	\$250,000		Rangel
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Project Angel Food, CA	Installation of a Roof and Solar Energy Panels	\$150,000		Becerra
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	ProLiteracy, NY	Planning, Design and Construction of a Building	\$1,000,000	Gillibrand	Maffei
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Providence Community Action Program, RI	Renovation and Rehabilitation of a Community Center	\$750,000	Reed; Whitehouse	Langevin
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Puerto Rican Action Board, New Brunswick, NJ	For the repair of facilities that assist low-income families with foreclosure mitigation and other economic independence initiatives	\$260,000	Lautenberg; Menendez	Pallone
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Rebuilding Together Broward County, Inc., FL	Renovations to Low-Income Housing	\$250,000		Wasserman Schultz
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians, MN	Planning, Design and Construction of a Multi-Purpose Facility	\$1,000,000	Franken; Klobuchar	Peterson
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Redevelopment Authority of the County of Westmoreland, PA	Redevelopment of a Brownfield Site	\$250,000	Casey	Critz
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Regional Economic Development, Inc, Columbia, MO	For renovations and equipment for a small business incubator at the Enterprise Center	\$425,000	Bond	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Regional Foodbank, Akron-Canton, OH	For energy conservation improvements for the food bank facility	\$250,000	Voinovich; Brown, Sherrod	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	River Ridge Dev. Authority, Jeffersonville, IN	To provide for infrastructure planning for economic development purposes of the River Ridge Commerce Center	\$350,000	Lugar	Hill
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Roadrunner Food Bank, Albuquerque, NM	For a permanent warehouse for the Roadrunner food collection agency for homeless and poverty stricken families in New Mexico	\$250,000	Bingaman; Udall, Tom	

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Rum River Health Services, Inc., MN	Construction of Temporary and Permanent Supportive Housing Units	\$500,000		Oberstar
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Rural Alaska Community Action Program in Toksook Bay, AK	To construct an early childhood development center	\$500,000	Murkowski	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Salt Lake County, UT	For construction related to the Salt Lake County Transitional Housing project	\$750,000	Bennett	Matheson
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Senior Services of Snohomish County, WA	Construction and Equipment for a Service Delivery Facility	\$500,000		Larsen (WA)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Share of Vancouver, Clark and Cowlitz County, Vancouver, WA	For rehabilitation of a facility to provide for transitional homeless housing and other services	\$900,000	Murray	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Sisseton Wahpeton Oyate, Agency Village, SD	For restoration efforts and safety upgrades at the Sisseton Wahpeton Oyate pow wow grounds	\$150,000	Johnson	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	South Coast Development Council, Coos Bay, OR	Planning, Development and Construction of a Facility	\$750,000	Merkley; Wyden	DeFazio
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Southern Queens Park Association, Inc., NY	Modernization and Improvements to Roy Wilkins Complex	\$800,000		Meeks (NY)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Springfield YMCA, Springfield, IL	For construction of a new building	\$500,000	Durbin	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	St. Joseph Community Center, Lorain, OH	For demolition, remediation and renovation of the St. Joseph Community Center facility	\$400,000	Brown, Sherrod	Sutton
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	St. Louis Development Corporation, MO	Development of Road and Stormwater Infrastructure for Carondelet Commons Business Park Development	\$750,000		Carnahan
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, Ft. Yates, ND	For housing renovation	\$300,000	Dorgan; Conrad	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Storey County Community Chest, Virginia City, NV	For the creation of the Storey County Youth and Community Center	\$400,000	Reid	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Suwannee County Board of County Commissioners, FL	Engineering, Design and Construction of a Library	\$500,000		Boyd
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Syracuse, UT	For the Legacy Park Handicap Renovations project, including meeting ADA requirements	\$100,000	Bennett	

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	The Children's Aid Society's Goodhue Center, NY	Acquisition of Land for a Park	\$1,000,000		McMahon
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	The Children's Campus of Kansas City, Inc., KS	Facility Upgrades	\$200,000		Moore (KS)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	The Ministry of Caring, Inc., Wilmington, DE	For the renovation of the Josephine Bakhita House	\$300,000	Kaufman; Carper	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	The Neighborhood House Charter School, MA	Planning and Construction of a Facility	\$300,000		Lynch
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	The Planning Office for Urban Affairs, Boston, MA	For the construction of affordable housing and mixed use space	\$400,000	Kerry	Tierney
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	The Resurrection Project, IL	Planning, Design and Construction of a Residence Hall for Low-Income Youth	\$500,000		Gutierrez
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Three Square Food Bank, NV	Construction of a Solar Power Array	\$925,000		Berkley; Titus
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Tibbits Opera House, MI	Renovation and Restoration	\$150,000	Stabenow; Levin	Schauer
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Tierra Madre, Sunland Park, NM	For construction of a capacity building center to help facilitate homeownership opportunities	\$200,000	Udall, Tom	Teague
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Tooele, UT	For infrastructure development to the Tooele City Commercial Park	\$750,000	Bennett	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Town of Carmel, NY	For acquisition and construction of a new economic center	\$400,000	Schumer	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Town of Braintree, MA	Restoration and Rehabilitation of the Original Thayer Library	\$500,000		Lynch
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Town of Cheraw, SC	To eliminate blighted housing structures under the Cheraw Stabilization Program	\$200,000	Graham	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Town of Dover-Foxcroft, ME	For infrastructure improvements to the former Moosehead Manufacturing facility	\$700,000	Collins; Snowe	Michaud
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Town of Hempstead, Department of Planning & Economic Development, NY	Streetscaping	\$750,000		McCarthy (NY)

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Town of Islip, NY	Restoration and Renovation of Veteran Facilities	\$500,000		Israel
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Town of Madison, WI	Construction of the Novation Technology Campus Redevelopment Project	\$500,000		Baldwin
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Town of North Castle, NY	Streetscaping	\$200,000		Lowey
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Town of Seymour, CT	Construction of a Community Center	\$100,000		DeLauro
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Town of Silas, AL	To renovate abandoned school property into a community center	\$300,000	Sessions	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Town of South Boston, VA	Renovations and Development of a Community Center	\$750,000		Perriello
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Town of Sprague, CT	Renovation of a Senior Housing Complex	\$750,000	Dodd	Courtney
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Town of Steilacoom, WA	Construction and Expansion of a Community Center	\$500,000		Smith (WA)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Township of Moorestown, NJ	Reconstruction of a Library	\$750,000		Adler (NJ)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	TRF Development Partners, City of Baltimore, MD	For the planning, design, rehabilitation and construction of affordable housing in the Oliver neighborhood	\$500,000	Mikulski	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Tubman African American Museum, GA	Construction of a Facility	\$500,000		Marshall
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Turtle Mountain Chippewa Tribe, Belcourt, ND	For construction of a youth center	\$300,000	Dorgan; Conrad	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Tuscaloosa Housing Authority, Tuscaloosa, AL	For revitalization of the distressed low-income housing in the Rosedale Court housing community, to consist of its demolition and reconstruction	\$5,000,000	Shelby	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Ulster County, NY, NY	Rehabilitation and Stabilization of a National Historic Landmark	\$350,000		Hinchey
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Initiatives (EDI)	Union City Family Support Center, PA	Renovation of a Facility	\$200,000		Dahlkemper

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT									
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]									
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Union County Child Advocacy Center, Elizabeth, NJ	For construction and renovation of new child advocacy center for sexually abused children in Union County	\$200,000	Lautenberg, Menendez	Payne, Sires			
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	United Community Center, Milwaukee, WI	For construction of a senior center within a low-income elderly housing complex	\$2,000,000	Kohl				
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	United Indians of All Tribes Foundation, Seattle, WA	For rehabilitation and revitalization of a facility serving disadvantaged youth	\$600,000	Murray	McDermott			
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Urban League of Metropolitan Seattle, Seattle, WA	For improvements to a facility to allow for the expansion of affordable housing	\$300,000	Murray	McDermott			
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Ute Mountain Housing Authority, Durango, CO	For an affordable housing project for Ute Mountain Ute tribal members	\$800,000	Bennet	Salazar			
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Valley Council of Governments, Derby, CT	For property renovation and reconstruction	\$400,000	Dodd, Lieberman				
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Variety Boys and Girls Club, CA	Demolition and Reconstruction of a Facility	\$200,000		Roybal-Allard			
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Vermont Community Loan Fund, Montpelier, VT	For the construction of community facilities, including child care centers	\$300,000	Sanders				
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Vermont Division for Historic Preservation, Montpelier, VT	For historic preservation improvements throughout Vermont	\$200,000	Sanders				
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Vermont Housing & Conservation Board Montpelier, VT	For enhancement of affordable housing, community development initiatives, economic development, land conservation and historic preservation	\$3,000,000	Leahy				
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Veterans Green Jobs, CO	Planning, Design and Renovation of a Disabled Veterans Green Jobs Training Center	\$500,000		Salazar			
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Veterans Museum of Mid Ohio Valley, WV	Acquisition, Construction and Renovation of a Veterans Museum	\$300,000		Mollohan			
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Veterans of Foreign Wars, William F Taylor Post 9486, NY	Renovation of a Veterans Facility	\$70,000		Bishop (NY)			
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Village of North Riverside, IL	Construction and Build Out of a Park	\$135,000		Lipinski			
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Village of Port Washington, NY	Revitalization of Manhasset Bay Waterfront	\$750,000		Ackerman			

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]									
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Waterboro, ME	For a technology and infrastructure project for the Waterboro Community Center	\$750,000	Collins				Pingree (ME)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Waterloo, IA	For building renovation and improvements for Cedar Valley TechWorks for laboratory and incubator space	\$300,000	Grassley; Harkin				Braley (IA)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	West DuPage, IL	For construction of an Educare center in West Dupage to serve at-risk children	\$200,000	Durbin				
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Western Arkansas Regional Intermodal Transportation Authority, Fort Smith, AR	For infrastructure improvements related to the development of an industrial park	\$500,000	Pryor; Lincoln				
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Western Nebraska Community College, Scottsbluff, NE	For the construction and equipment purchase in support of a renewable energy training center	\$400,000	Nelson, Ben				
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Westminster College, Salt Lake City, UT	For improvement to the Garfield School Renovation and Revitalization project	\$500,000	Bennett				
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Whitman Walker Clinic, Inc., DC	Planning, Design and Construction	\$400,000					Norton
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Williamsburg County, SC	Construction of a Community Center	\$1,000,000					Clyburn
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Women's Intercultural Center, Anthony, NM	For renovation of a facility that provides educational and economic opportunities to women	\$450,000	Udall, Tom				Teague
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Woodward Development Corporation, OH	Renovation of a Building in Downtown Mount Vernon	\$750,000					Space
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Wynnefield Overbrook Revitalization Corporation (WORC), PA	Streetscaping and Infrastructure for WORC Commercial Center	\$500,000					Brady (PA); Fattah
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Yakima Housing Authority, Granger, WA	For development activities and construction of affordable housing for farmworkers	\$675,000	Murray				
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	YMCA of Pierce and Kitsap Counties, Silverdale, WA	For the construction of a community center	\$1,000,000	Murray				
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	YMCA of San Diego County, CA	Planning, Design and Construction of a Housing and Community Center	\$1,500,000					Filner
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	YMCA of San Francisco, Bayview Hunters Point branch, CA	Renovation Costs Associated with Expansion of a Community Center	\$600,000					Pelosi

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	YMCA of San Francisco, Chinatown YMCA branch, CA	Construction of Transitional Housing Units for Domestic Violence Victims	\$500,000		Pelosi
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	York County Community Development Corporation, SC	Construction of a Neighborhood Community Center	\$700,000		Spratt
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Youngstown Edison Incubator Corporation dba Youngstown Business Incubator, OH	Renovation of a Facility	\$700,000		Ryan (OH)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	YWCA of Greater Portland, OR	For design and construction of a facility that provides safety and services to victims of Human Trafficking	\$300,000	Wyden; Merkley	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	YWCA of Northwest Georgia, GA	Construction and Renovation of a Domestic Violence Shelter and Outreach Facility	\$250,000		Scott (GA)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	YWCA of Silicon Valley, CA	Renovation of a Domestic Violence Shelter	\$350,000		Honda
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	YWCA Southeastern Massachusetts, MA	Building Expansion and Construction	\$475,000	Kerry	Frank (MA)
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Economic Development Initiatives (EDI)	Zavala County, TX	Construction and Renovation of Facilities for Senior Citizens	\$300,000		Rodriguez
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Abyssinian Development Corporation, New York, NY	For the development and rehabilitation of new and existing low- and moderate-income housing units in the central Harlem area	\$300,000	Gillibrand; Schumer	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Center for Planning Excellence, LA	To build capacity in land use planning and community preservation throughout Louisiana	\$750,000	Landrieu	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	City and County of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing, CA	For Critical Infrastructure and Housing Development Work	\$1,250,000		Pelosi
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	City of Boise, ID	For a Petroleum Terminal Relocation Feasibility Study	\$350,000	Crapo; Risch	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	City of Cranston, RI	For community planning and development	\$200,000	Reed; Whitehouse	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	City of Jackson, MI	Demolition of Buildings and Neighborhood Redevelopment	\$1,500,000	Levin; Stabenow	Schauer
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	City of Longview, WA	For revitalization and renewal of the city's downtown region	\$1,000,000	Murray	Baird

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	City of Philadelphia, PA	For mixed-use transit oriented development in the area around the 9th and Berks rail station	\$350,000	Casey	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	City of Ranson, WV	For the redevelopment of a blighted former industrial site into a mixed-used center, including retail and affordable workforce housing using smart growth design and green infrastructure	\$400,000	Rockefeller	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	City of Spokane, WA	For the restoration and redevelopment of a blighted area	\$2,000,000	Murray; Cantwell	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	City of Wausau Community Development Area, WI	Acquisition and Remediation of Blighted Properties	\$2,000,000		Obey
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Community Legal Services of Mid-Florida, FL	Housing Counseling and Foreclosure Modification	\$500,000		Grayson
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Community Voice Mail, Seattle, WA	To improve and expand employment services for homeless veterans	\$350,000	Murray	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Consumer Credit Counseling Services, Las Vegas, NV	For foreclosure-related assistance services	\$500,000	Reid	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Florida's Heartland Rural Economic Development Initiative, Sebring, FL	To create a research park	\$400,000	Nelson, Bill	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Greenfield Community College, MA	Renovation of Buildings	\$1,000,000		Olver
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Hire America's Heroes, Redmond, WA	To expand employment services for veterans	\$250,000	Murray	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Los Angeles Neighborhood Housing Services, Inc., CA	Counsel and Assist Homeowners Facing Foreclosures	\$500,000		Becerra
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS	For the Development of the Civic Capacity Development Initiative Project at Mississippi State University	\$750,000	Cochran; Wicker	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	National Council of La Raza, DC	Capitalization of a Revolving Loan Fund to be Used for Nationwide Community Development Activities	\$1,000,000		Gutierrez; Hinojosa
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	New Hampshire Food Bank, Manchester, NH	To expand the food assistance program for the New Hampshire Food Bank	\$1,250,000	Gregg; Shaheen	Shea-Porter

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT						
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]						
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Northern Comm. Investment Corp., St. Johnsbury, VT	To continue to expand high-speed, high-technology broadband connectivity as part of the North Country Community Broadband Initiative	\$1,000,000	Gregg	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Ocean Springs, MS	For the construction of the Walter Anderson Education Center	\$650,000	Cochran; Wicker	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Scott County Housing Council, IA	For loans and grants to local nonprofit housing service providers and developers to create affordable housing	\$300,000	Harkin	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Shelter Network of San Mateo County, CA	Shelter Network's Maple Street Project	\$200,000		Speier
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Southwest Organizing Project, Chicago, IL	To assist the Southwest Organizing Project "Keep Our Homes" campaign, a foreclosure prevention initiative in Chicago neighborhoods	\$250,000	Durbin	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	TechRanch, Bozeman, MT	For entrepreneurship programs and support for a business incubator	\$200,000	Baucus	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	United Way for Southeastern Michigan, MI	Foreclosure Prevention Program	\$1,650,000	Levin; Stabenow	Conyers; Dingell
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Vermont Housing and Conservation Board, Montpelier, VT	For expansion and improvement of low-income housing	\$300,000	Sanders	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Vermont Sustainable Jobs Fund, Montpelier, VT	For capitalizing a revolving loan fund	\$500,000	Leahy	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Washington State Farmworker Housing Trust, Seattle, WA	For capacity building to support the expansion of affordable housing for farmworkers	\$200,000	Murray	
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Neighborhood Initiatives	Wisconsin Indianhead Technical College, WI	Construction of a Training Center	\$1,750,000		Obey

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
[Technical Corrections]			
Project	Project Requester(s)		
	House	Senate	
Allen and Van Horn Roads, Woodhaven, MI	Dingell	Levin	
Anchorage People Mover transit needs and availability of funds, Anchorage, AK		Begich, Murkowski	
Availability of funds through September 30, 2011 for Franklin Street Station Restoration (BARTA), PA		Specter	
Availability of funds through September 30, 2011, for CORRIDORone Regional Rail Project, PA	Holden	Specter	
Availability of funds through September 30, 2011, for Schuylkill Valley Metro projects, PA		Specter	
Availability of funds until September 30, 2011 for certain Metra projects, IL	Jackson, Jr.	Durbin	
Avon Road Bridge and Livernois Road Bridge Reconstruction, MI		Stabenow	
Bus projects in the City of West Haven, CT	DeLauro	Lieberman; Dodd	
Cabot North Interchange, AR	Berry		
Charles County Department of Human Services for acquisition and rehabilitation of a facility, Port Tobacco, MD	Hoyer		
Chetek-area Transportation System Improvements, Chetek, WI	Obey		
Complete preliminary engineering, environmental and construction for SR 14 through Camas and Washougal, WA		Murray	
Construction and upgrades at four grade crossings in Olmsted Falls, OH	Kucinich		

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
[Technical Corrections]			
Construction to provide access to Mesa Del Sol in Albuquerque, NM			Bingaman, T. Udall
CR 46, William Floyd Parkway over Narrows Bay Bridge, NY			Gillibrand
Decatur Boulevard/Charleston Boulevard Intersection Improvements in Las Vegas, NV			Reid
Design and construction of improvements to Route 28 corridor adjacent to the I-495/Route 28 interchange in Wareham, MA	Frank		
Norfolk and South Sioux City and for design, Right-Of-Way Acquisition and Construction of and Interchange east of Dakota Avenue on I-129, NE			Nelson
Development of Paseo del Volcan corridor in Sandobal Courty, NM			Bingaman, T. Udall
Engineering, design and construction of the North Street, Pittsfield, streetscaping project, MA	Olver		
Extend 18th Street between 87th Avenue and NE 192nd Avenue in Vancouver, WA			Murray
For planning, design, renovation, expansion and ADA compliance at a public library in Altadena, CA	Schiff		
For purchase and renovation of a building to provide transitional housing for homeless families, Providence Community Action, RI			Reed
For the construction, purchase, or renovation of a facility to provide housing for homeless veterans, Jefferson County, CO			Bennet
High priority projects for the South Dakota Department of Transportation, SD			Johnson, Thune
Highway 10 relocation, City of Wadena, MN	Oberstar		
Hudson-Bergen Light Rail Extension Route 440, Jersey City, NJ	Sires		Lautenberg
Hulton Bridge in Oakmont, PA and feasibility study for construction of the Alle-Kiski Connector Bridge, PA			Specter

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
[Technical Corrections]			
Hydrogen-Powered Transit and availability of funds, CT			Dodd
I-25 access to Mesa del Sol, NM			Bingaman, T. Udall
I-285/Ashford Dunwoody Interchange Reconstruction, GA			Chambliss
I-40 Frontage Road Reconstruction in the City of Gallup, NM			Bingaman, T. Udall
I-40 improvements, Bernalillo County, NM			Bingaman, T. Udall
I-5 Frontage Road and I-5 Interchange Improvement in Lewis County, WA			Murray
I-80 Exits 298/299 Improvements, Monroe County, PA		Kanjorski	
I-90 Ellensburg vicinity—US 97 and local roadway improvements, WA			Murray
Improvements to Bridges owned by the City and Borough of Juneau, AK			Begich, Murkowski
Improvements to Cuming Street, 24th Street, and Dodge Street, NE			Nelson
Improvements to the I-20/250 Loop Interchange Project, TX			Hutchison
LOU Public Transit System, Oxford, MS			Cochran
Lowell, Massachusetts-Nashua and Manchester, New Hampshire Commuter Rail Project, NH			Shaheen
Military Ridge Trail/Cannonball Path multi-purpose bike and pedestrian bridge, WI			Kohl
Minnesota Valley Regional Rail Authority, MN			Klobuchar

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
[Technical Corrections]			
Montgomery Outer Loop, AL			Shelby
Nebraska Department of Roads-Statewide Vehicles, Facilities and Related Equipment, NE			Nelson
Nebraska statewide Vehicles, Facilities and Related Equipment, NE			Nelson
New York State Department of Environmental Conservation for planning and design of the Catskill Visitor Interpretative Center, NY		Hinchey	
Newton County Eastside High School to County Library Trail, GA		Marshall	Isakson; Chambliss
Northstar Commuter Rail Station in Ramsey, MN			Klobuchar
Operational safety studies, final design and/or construction of intersection operational and safety improvements for USH 53 between Rice Lake and Superior, WI		Obey	
Pacific Way Bridge, County of Marin, CA		Woolsey	
Paseo de Volcan in Rio Rancho, NM			Bingaman, T. Udall
Pedestrian and Disabled Access Improvements, Riverside, CA			Feinstein
Pedestrian flow improvements and associated environmental remediation, Camden, NJ		Andrews	
Pierce Transit Vehicle Replacement, WA			Murray
Provo Orem Bus Rapid Transit and intermodal terminals, UT			Bennett
Reconstruction and reconfiguration of the northbound off-ramp from Interstate 95 to Bartow/Baychester Avenue, Bronx, NY		Crowley	
Renovation of blighted structures to enhance downtown development in the City of Wilson, NC		Butterfield	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
[Technical Corrections]			
Resurfacing and Safety Improvements to CR 553 (Buck Road), Gloucester County, NJ	Andrews		
Rich Passage Wake Impact Study, WA			Murray
Road and bridge improvements and storm water mitigation in the Town of Southampton, NY	Bishop, Timothy		
Road, sidewalk, and drainage construction and improvements, City of Unalaska, AK			Begich
Rochester Intermodal Transportation Center, NY	Slaughter		
Signal at Flamingo/Highway 1, County of Marin, CA	Woolsey		
SR 31 Corridor Improvements and local transportation projects (Pend Oreille County), WA			Murray
SR 518 Interchange/Intersection Redevelopment (Burien), WA			Murray
State Route 9/Crown Ridge Blvd. Improvements, WA			Murray
Street, traffic flow, pedestrian, and streetscape improvements in Pittsburg, PA			Casey
Study of a direct link to I-80 and Iowa Highway 92, in proximity to Pella, IA			Harkin
To renovate a support services facility to serve homeless youth in Los Angeles, CA	Becerra		
Trinity Uptown Project between the West Fork and Clear Fork of the Trinity River in Fort Worth, TX			Hutchison
Ways to Work, Metropolitan Family Service, Southwest WA			Murray
World Trade Center in St. Louis, MO for equipment and construction of a commercialization center, MO			Bond

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
Office of the Secretary					
Salaries and expenses	102,686	117,000	115,509	+ 12,823	- 1,491
Immediate Office of the Secretary	(2,531)	(2,667)	(2,667)	(+ 36)
Immediate Office of the Deputy Secretary	(986)	(1,000)	(1,000)	(+ 14)
Office of the General Counsel	(20,355)	(19,711)	(19,960)	(- 399)	(+ 249)
Office of the Under Secretary of Transportation for Policy	(11,100)	(13,568)	(16,568)	(+ 5,468)	(+ 3,000)
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Budget and Programs	(10,559)	(20,022)	(11,156)	(+ 597)	(- 8,866)
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Governmental Affairs	(2,504)	(2,530)	(2,500)	(- 4)	(- 30)
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration	(25,520)	(25,695)	(25,695)	(+ 175)
Office of Public Affairs	(2,055)	(2,240)	(2,055)	(- 185)
Office of the Executive Secretariat	(1,658)	(1,683)	(1,683)	(+ 25)
Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization	(1,499)	(1,513)	(1,563)	(+ 64)	(+ 50)
Office of Intelligence, Security, and Emergency Response	(10,600)	(10,999)	(10,999)	(+ 399)
Office of the Chief Information Officer	(13,215)	(22,395)	(19,663)	(+ 6,448)	(- 3,332)
Acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities	7,623	- 7,623
Subtotal	102,686	124,623	115,509	+ 12,823	- 9,114
National infrastructure development	600,000	500,000	- 100,000	+ 500,000
Livable communities initiative	20,000	- 20,000
Financial management capital	5,000	21,000	20,000	+ 15,000	- 1,000
Office of Civil Rights	9,667	9,767	9,767	+ 100
Cyber security initiatives	30,000	30,000	+ 30,000
Transportation planning, research, and development	16,168	9,819	16,769	+ 601	+ 6,950
Maritime study	2,000	2,000	+ 2,000
Working capital fund	(147,596)	(148,096)	(+ 500)	(+ 148,096)
Minority business resource center program	923	913	913	- 10
(Limitation on guaranteed loans)	(18,367)	(18,367)	(+ 18,367)
Minority business outreach	3,395	- 3,395
Payments to air carriers (Airport & Airway Trust Fund)	150,000	132,000	146,000	- 4,000	+ 14,000
Total, Office of the Secretary	889,518	351,517	844,511	- 45,007	+ 492,994
National Infrastructure Innovation and Finance Fund					
National infrastructure innovation and finance fund program account	4,000,000	- 4,000,000
Federal Aviation Administration					
Operations	9,350,028	9,793,000	9,817,739	+ 467,711	+ 24,739
Air traffic organization	(7,299,299)	(7,653,128)	(+ 353,829)	(+ 7,653,128)
Aviation safety	(1,234,065)	(1,304,486)	(+ 70,421)	(+ 1,304,486)
Commercial space transportation	(15,237)	(16,747)	(+ 1,510)	(+ 16,747)
Financial services	(113,681)	(114,784)	(+ 1,103)	(+ 114,784)
Human resource management	(100,428)	(103,297)	(+ 2,869)	(+ 103,297)
Region and center operations	(341,977)	(361,354)	(+ 19,377)	(+ 361,354)
Staff offices	(196,063)	(208,644)	(+ 12,581)	(+ 208,644)
Information services	(49,278)	(55,949)	(+ 6,671)	(+ 55,949)
Facilities & equipment (Airport & Airway Trust Fund)	2,936,203	2,970,000	2,990,000	+ 53,797	+ 20,000
Research, engineering, and development (Airport & Airway Trust Fund)	190,500	190,000	198,000	+ 7,500	+ 8,000
Grants-in-aid for airports (Airport and Airway Trust Fund) (Liquidation of contract authorization)	(3,000,000)	(3,550,000)	(3,550,000)	(+ 550,000)
(Limitation on obligations)	(3,515,000)	(3,515,000)	(3,515,000)
Administration	(93,422)	(100,208)	(99,622)	(+ 6,200)	(- 586)
Airport Cooperative Research Program	(15,000)	(15,000)	(15,000)
Airport technology research	(22,472)	(27,217)	(27,217)	(+ 4,745)
Small community air service development program	(6,000)	(- 6,000)
Rescission of contract authority (BY AIP)	- 394,000	+ 394,000
Subtotal	(3,121,000)	(3,515,000)	(3,515,000)	(+ 394,000)
Total, Federal Aviation Administration	12,082,731	12,953,000	13,005,739	+ 923,008	+ 52,739
Appropriations	(12,476,731)	(12,953,000)	(13,005,739)	(+ 526,008)	(+ 52,739)
Rescissions of contract authority	(- 394,000)	(+ 394,000)
(Limitations on obligations)	(3,515,000)	(3,515,000)	(3,515,000)
Total budgetary resources	(15,597,731)	(16,468,000)	(16,520,739)	(+ 923,008)	(+ 52,739)
Federal Highway Administration					
Limitation on administrative expenses	(413,533)	(420,843)	(420,843)	(+ 7,310)
Federal-aid highways (Highway Trust Fund):
(Liquidation of contract authorization)	(41,846,000)	(42,102,000)	(42,515,000)	(+ 669,000)	(+ 413,000)
(Limitation on obligations)	(41,107,000)	(41,362,775)	(41,776,000)	(+ 669,000)	(+ 413,225)
(Exempt contract authority)	(739,000)	(739,000)	(739,000)
General fund share (Delta)	901	+ 901	+ 901
Surface transportation projects	292,829	226,860	- 65,969	+ 226,860
Rescission of contract authority (Highway Trust Fund)	- 263,131	- 630,000	- 366,869	- 366,869
Planning capacity grants	100,000	+ 100,000	+ 100,000
Highway related safety grants (rescission)	- 4	- 4	- 4
Miscellaneous appropriations and miscellaneous highway trust funds (rescission)	- 33,906	- 33,906	- 33,906
Additional highway investment	650,000	- 650,000
Total, Federal Highway Administration	942,829	- 263,131	- 336,149	- 1,278,978	- 73,018
Appropriations	(942,829)	(327,761)	(- 615,068)	(+ 327,761)
Rescissions of contract authority	(- 263,131)	(- 630,000)	(- 630,000)	(- 366,869)
Rescissions	(- 33,910)	(- 33,910)	(- 33,910)
(Limitations on obligations)	(41,107,000)	(41,362,775)	(41,776,000)	(+ 669,000)	(+ 413,225)
(Exempt contract authority)	(739,000)	(739,000)	(739,000)
Total budgetary resources	(42,049,829)	(41,099,644)	(41,439,851)	(- 609,978)	(+ 340,207)
Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration					
Motor carrier safety operations and programs (Highway Trust Fund)	7,325	+ 7,325	+ 7,325
Motor carrier safety operations and programs (Highway Trust Fund)(Liquidation of contract authorization)	(239,828)	(259,878)	(252,553)	(+ 12,725)	(- 7,325)
(Limitation on obligations)	(239,828)	(259,878)	(252,553)	(+ 12,725)	(- 7,325)
Motor carrier safety grants (Highway Trust Fund) (Liquidation of contract authorization)	(310,070)	(310,070)	(310,070)
(Limitation on obligations)	(310,070)	(310,070)	(310,070)
Motor carrier safety grants (HTF) (rescission of contract authority)	- 1,611	- 30,569	- 28,958	- 30,569
Motor carrier safety (HTF) (rescission of contract authority)	- 6,416	- 7,330	- 914	- 7,330
National motor carrier safety program (HTF) (rescission of contract authority)	- 3,233	- 15,076	- 11,843	- 15,076
Total, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration	- 11,260	- 45,650	- 34,390	- 45,650
(Limitations on obligations)	(549,898)	(569,948)	(562,623)	(+ 12,725)	(- 7,325)
Total budgetary resources	(538,638)	(569,948)	(516,973)	(- 21,665)	(- 52,975)
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration					
Operations and research (general fund)	140,427	132,837	163,177	+ 22,750	+ 30,340

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
Operations and research (Highway Trust Fund) (Liquidation of contract authorization)	(105,500)	(117,376)	(110,073)	(+ 4,573)	(- 7,303)
(Limitation on obligations)	(105,500)	(117,376)	(110,073)	(+ 4,573)	(- 7,303)
Subtotal	(245,927)	(250,213)	(273,250)	(+ 27,323)	(+ 23,037)
National driver register (Highway Trust Fund) (Liquidation of contract authorization)	(4,000)	(4,170)	(4,170)	(+ 170)
(Limitation on obligations)	(4,000)	(4,170)	(4,170)	(+ 170)
National driver register modernization	3,350	2,530	2,530	- 820
Highway traffic safety grants (Highway Trust Fund) (Liquidation of contract authorization)	(619,500)	(620,697)	(611,828)	(- 7,672)	(- 8,869)
(Limitation on obligations)	(619,500)	(620,697)	(611,828)	(- 7,672)	(- 8,869)
Highway safety programs	(23 USC 402)	(235,000)	(235,000)	(235,000)
Occupant protection incentive grants (23 USC 405)	(25,000)	(25,000)	(25,000)
Safety belt performance grants (23 USC 406)	(124,500)	(124,500)	(60,000)	(- 64,500)	(- 64,500)
(Distracted driving prevention grants)	(50,000)	(50,000)	(+ 50,000)
State traffic safety information system improvement grants (23 USC 408)	(34,500)	(34,500)	(34,500)
Alcohol-impaired driving countermeasures grants (23 USC 410)	(139,000)	(139,000)	(139,000)
Grant administration	(18,500)	(19,697)	(25,328)	(+ 6,828)	(+ 5,631)
High visibility enforcement	(29,000)	(29,000)	(29,000)
Child safety and booster seat grants	(7,000)	(7,000)	(7,000)
Motorcyclist safety	(7,000)	(7,000)	(7,000)
Operations and research (rescission of contract authority) (S Sec 142)	- 2,299	+ 2,299
National driver register (rescission of contract authority) (S Sec 143)	- 24	- 24	- 24
Highway traffic safety grants:
Rescission of contract authority (HSec 142)(S Sec 144)	- 14,004	- 78,847	- 64,843	- 78,847
Rescission of contract authority (Public Law 111-212)	- 25,000	+ 25,000
Subtotal	(- 39,004)	(- 78,847)	(- 39,843)	(- 78,847)
Consumer Assistance to Recycle and Save program (rescission of emergency funding)(Public Law 111-212)	- 44,000	- 16,000	+ 28,000	- 16,000
Total, National Highway Traffic Safety Admin	58,474	135,367	70,836	+ 12,352	- 64,531
Appropriations	(143,777)	(135,367)	(155,707)	(+ 21,930)	(+ 30,340)
Rescissions of contract authority	(- 41,303)	(- 78,871)	(- 37,568)	(- 78,871)
Rescissions of emergency funding	(- 44,000)	(- 16,000)	(- 28,000)	(- 16,000)
(Limitations on obligations)	(729,000)	(742,243)	(726,071)	(- 2,929)	(- 16,172)
Total budgetary resources	(787,474)	(877,610)	(796,907)	(+ 9,433)	(- 80,703)
Federal Railroad Administration					
Federal railroad operations	153,846	- 153,846
Offsetting fee collections	- 25,000	+ 25,000
Direct appropriation	128,846	- 128,846
Safety and operations	172,270	203,348	+ 31,078	+ 203,348
Railroad research and development	37,613	40,000	40,000	+ 2,387
Rail line relocation and improvement program	34,532	- 34,532
Railroad safety technology	50,000	75,000	+ 25,000	+ 75,000
Railroad safety	49,502	- 49,502
Capital assistance for high speed rail corridors and intercity passenger rail service	2,500,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	- 1,500,000
National Railroad Passenger Corporation:
Operating grants to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation	563,000	563,000	563,000
Office of Inspector General	22,000	- 22,000
Capital and debt service grants to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation	1,001,625	1,052,000	1,338,484	+ 336,859	+ 286,484
Total, Federal Railroad Administration	4,359,040	2,855,348	3,219,832	- 1,139,208	+ 364,484
Federal Transit Administration					
Administrative expenses	98,911	113,559	111,700	+ 12,789	- 1,859
(Rail transit safety oversight program)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(+ 5,000)	(+ 5,000)
Technical assistance and workforce development	28,647	- 28,647
Formula and Bus Grants (Hwy Trust Fund, Mass Transit Account) (Liquidation of contract authorization)	(9,400,000)	(9,200,000)	(- 200,000)	(+ 9,200,000)
(Limitation on obligations)	(8,343,171)	(8,360,565)	(+ 17,394)	(+ 8,360,565)
Rescission of current year contract authority	- 17,394	- 17,394	- 17,394
Transit Formula Grants (Hwy Trust Fund, Mass Transit Account) (Liquidation of contract authorization)	(9,200,000)	(- 9,200,000)
(Limitation on obligations)	(8,271,700)	(- 8,271,700)
Greenhouse gas and energy reduction (Limitation on obligations)	(52,743)	(- 52,743)
Livable communities (Limitation on obligations)	(306,905)	(- 306,905)
Rail transit safety oversight program	24,139	- 24,139
Research and University Research Centers	65,676	65,376	65,376	- 294	+ 35,647
Capital investment grants	2,000,000	1,822,112	1,850,000	- 150,000	+ 27,888
Rescission	- 25,830	- 25,830	- 25,830	- 25,830
Energy efficiency and greenhouse gas reduction grants	75,000	65,000	65,000	- 10,000	+ 65,000
Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority capital and preventive maintenance	150,000	150,000	150,000
Total, Federal Transit Administration	2,389,581	2,168,186	2,198,852	- 190,729	+ 30,666
(Limitations on obligations)	(8,343,171)	(8,631,348)	(8,360,565)	(+ 17,394)	(- 270,783)
Total budgetary resources	(10,732,752)	(10,799,534)	(10,559,417)	(- 173,335)	(- 240,117)
Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation					
Operations and maintenance (Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund)	32,324	32,150	33,868	+ 1,544	+ 1,718
Maritime Administration					
Maritime security program	174,000	174,000	174,000
Operations and training	149,750	164,353	172,262	+ 22,512	+ 7,909
Ship disposal	15,000	10,000	10,000	- 5,000
Assistance to small shipyards	15,000	15,000	+ 15,000
Port of Guam Improvement Enterprise Fund (by transfer)(emergency)(Public Law 111-212)	(50,000)	(- 50,000)
Maritime Guaranteed Loan (Title XI) Program Account:
Administrative expenses	4,000	3,688	4,000	+ 312
Guaranteed loans subsidy	5,000	- 5,000
Subtotal	9,000	3,688	4,000	- 5,000	+ 312
Total, Maritime Administration	362,750	352,041	375,262	+ 12,512	+ 23,221
Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration					
Administrative expenses:
General Fund	20,493	21,744	22,744	+ 2,251	+ 1,000
Pipeline Safety Fund	639	639	639
Pipeline Safety information grants to communities	(1,000)	(1,000)	(1,000)
Subtotal	21,132	22,383	23,383	+ 2,251	+ 1,000
Hazardous materials safety	37,994	40,434	49,434	+ 11,440	+ 9,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
Pipeline safety:					
Pipeline Safety Fund	86,334	92,206	92,206	+ 5,872
Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund	18,905	18,905	18,905
Subtotal	105,239	111,111	111,111	+ 5,872
Emergency preparedness grants:					
Limitation on emergency preparedness fund	(28,318)	(28,318)	(28,318)
(Emergency preparedness fund)	(188)	(188)	(188)
Total, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration	192,683	202,246	212,246	+19,563	+10,000
Research and Innovative Technology Administration					
Research and development	13,007	17,200	16,790	+ 3,783	- 410
Office of Inspector General					
Salaries and expenses	75,114	79,772	86,406	+11,292	+ 6,634
Surface Transportation Board					
Salaries and expenses	29,066	25,988	30,874	+ 1,808	+ 4,886
Offsetting collections	-1,250	-1,250	-1,250
Total, Surface Transportation Board	27,816	24,738	29,624	+ 1,808	+ 4,886
Total, title I, Department of Transportation	21,386,289	22,880,116	19,683,849	-1,702,440	-3,196,267
Appropriations	(21,876,852)	(23,143,247)	(20,538,829)	(-1,338,023)	(-2,604,418)
Rescissions	(-59,740)	(-59,740)	(-59,740)
Rescission of contract authority	(-446,563)	(-263,131)	(-773,240)	(-332,677)	(-516,109)
Rescission of emergency funding	(-44,000)	(-16,000)	(+28,000)	(-16,000)
(Limitations on obligations)	(54,940,069)	(54,821,314)	(54,940,259)	(+696,190)	(+118,945)
(Exempt contract authority)	(739,000)	(739,000)	(739,000)
(Limitations)	(28,318)	(28,318)	(28,318)
Total budgetary resources	(75,630,358)	(77,701,430)	(74,624,108)	(-1,006,250)	(-3,077,322)
TITLE II—DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT					
Management and Administration					
Executive direction	26,855	30,265	28,310	+ 1,455	-1,955
Administration, operations and management	537,011	538,552	525,040	-11,971	-13,512
Acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities	2,071	-2,071
Subtotal	537,011	540,623	525,040	-11,971	-15,583
Personnel compensation and benefits:					
Public and Indian Housing	197,074	197,282	194,889	-2,185	-2,393
Community Planning and Development	98,989	105,768	104,656	+5,667	-1,112
Housing	374,887	395,917	390,885	+15,998	-5,032
Office of the Government National Mortgage Association	11,095	10,902	14,000	+2,905	+3,098
Policy Development and Research	21,138	23,588	21,138	-2,450
Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity	71,800	67,964	70,363	-1,437	+2,399
Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control	7,151	6,762	7,151	+389
Subtotal	782,134	808,183	803,082	+20,948	-5,101
Total, Management and Administration	1,346,000	1,379,071	1,356,432	+10,432	-22,639
Public and Indian Housing					
Tenant-based rental assistance:					
Renewals	16,339,200	17,310,000	16,993,998	+654,798	-316,002
Tenant protection vouchers	120,000	125,000	150,000	+30,000	+25,000
Administrative fees	1,575,000	1,791,000	1,791,000	+216,000
Family self-sufficiency coordinators	60,000	60,000	60,000
Incremental family unification vouchers	15,000	15,000	+15,000
Veterans affairs supportive housing	75,000	75,000	+75,000
Sec. 811 Mainstream voucher renewals	113,663	+63,000	-50,663
Disaster housing assistance program	66,000	66,000	+66,000
Homeless vouchers demonstration program	85,000	85,000	+85,000
Transformation initiative (transfer out)	(-195,507)	(-100,000)	(-100,000)	(+95,507)
Subtotal (available this fiscal year)	18,184,200	19,550,663	19,298,998	+1,114,798	-251,665
Advance appropriations	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000
Less appropriations from prior year advances	-4,000,000	-4,000,000	-4,000,000
Total, Tenant-based rental assistance appropriated in this bill	18,184,200	19,550,663	19,298,998	+1,114,798	-251,665
Transforming rental assistance demonstration program	350,000	-350,000
Public Housing Capital Fund	2,500,000	2,044,200	2,500,000	+455,800
Transformation initiative (transfer out)	(-20,442)	(+20,442)
Public Housing Operating Fund	4,775,000	4,829,000	4,775,000	-54,000
Transformation initiative (transfer out)	(-48,290)	(-15,000)	(-15,000)	(+33,290)
Revitalization of severely distressed public housing	200,000	200,000	200,000	+200,000
(Choice neighborhoods)	(65,000)	(-65,000)
Choice neighborhoods	250,000	-250,000
Transformation initiative (transfer out)	(-2,500)	(+2,500)
Native American housing block grants	700,000	580,000	700,000	+120,000
Transformation initiative (transfer out)	(-5,800)	(+5,800)
Native Hawaiian housing block grant	13,000	13,000	+3,000
Transformation initiative (transfer out)	(-100)	(+100)
Indian housing loan guarantee fund program account	7,000	9,000	9,000	+2,000
(Limitation on guaranteed loans)	(919,000)	(994,000)	(994,000)	(+75,000)
Transformation initiative (transfer out)	(-8)	(+8)
Native Hawaiian loan guarantee fund program account	1,044	1,044	+1,044
(Limitation on guaranteed loans)	(41,504)	(41,504)	(+41,504)
Total, Public and Indian Housing	26,380,244	27,622,863	27,497,042	+1,116,798	-125,821
Community Planning and Development					
Housing opportunities for persons with AIDS	335,000	340,000	345,000	+10,000	+5,000
Transformation initiative (transfer out)	(-3,400)	(-3,450)	(-3,450)	(-50)
Community development fund	4,450,000	4,380,100	4,450,000	+69,900
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-212)	100,000	-100,000
Transformation initiative (transfer out)	(-43,801)	(-44,500)	(-44,500)	(-699)
Community development loan guarantees (Section 108):					
(Limitation on guaranteed loans)	(275,000)	(500,000)	(341,880)	(+66,880)	(-158,120)
Credit subsidy	6,000	8,000	+2,000	+8,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
Brownfields redevelopment	17,500		10,000	-7,500	+10,000
HOME investment partnerships program	1,825,000	1,650,000	1,825,000		+175,000
Transformation initiative (transfer out)		(-16,500)			(+16,500)
Self-help and assisted homeownership opportunity program	82,000		82,000		+82,000
Capacity building		60,000			-60,000
Transformation initiative (transfer out)		(-600)			(+600)
Homeless assistance grants	1,865,000	2,055,000	2,200,000	+335,000	+145,000
Transformation initiative (transfer out)		(-20,550)			(+20,550)
Total, Community Planning and Development	8,680,500	8,485,100	8,920,000	+239,500	+434,900
Housing Programs					
Project-based rental assistance:					
Renewals	8,325,853	9,054,000	8,950,000	+624,147	-104,000
Contract administrators	232,000	322,000	326,000	+94,000	+4,000
Subtotal (available this fiscal year)	8,557,853	9,376,000	9,276,000	+718,147	-100,000
Transformation initiative (transfer out)		(-89,760)			(+89,760)
Advance appropriations	393,672	400,000	400,000	+6,328	
Less appropriations from prior year advances	-400,000	-393,672	-393,672	+6,328	
Total, Project-based rental assistance appropriated in this bill	8,551,525	9,382,328	9,282,328	+730,803	-100,000
Housing for the elderly	825,000	273,700	825,000		+551,300
Transformation initiative (transfer out)		(-2,737)			(+2,737)
Housing for persons with disabilities	300,000	90,037	235,000	-65,000	+144,963
Transformation initiative (transfer out)		(-900)			(+900)
Housing counseling assistance	87,500	88,000	88,000	+500	
Transformation initiative (transfer out)		(-880)			(+880)
Energy Innovation Fund	50,000	40,600	40,600	-9,400	
Rental housing assistance	40,000	40,600	40,600	+600	
Transformation initiative (transfer out)		(-406)			(+406)
Rent supplement (rescission)	-72,036	-40,600	-40,600	+31,436	
Manufactured housing fees trust fund	16,000	14,000	14,000	-2,000	
Offsetting collections	-7,000	-7,000	-7,000		
Transformation initiative (transfer out)		(-70)			(+70)
Subtotal	9,000	7,000	7,000	-2,000	
Total, Housing Programs	9,790,989	9,841,065	10,437,328	+646,339	+596,263
Appropriations	(9,870,025)	(9,888,665)	(10,484,928)	(+614,903)	(+596,263)
Rescissions	(-72,036)	(-40,600)	(-40,600)	(+31,436)	
Offsetting collections	(-7,000)	(-7,000)	(-7,000)		
Federal Housing Administration					
FHA—Mutual mortgage insurance program account:					
(Limitation on guaranteed loans)	(400,000,000)	(400,000,000)	(400,000,000)		
(Limitation on direct loans)	(50,000)	(50,000)	(50,000)		
Offsetting receipts		-960,000			-960,000
Proposed additional offsetting receipts (Sec. 211)		-902,000	-902,000		-902,000
Positive credit subsidy (HECM)		250,000			-250,000
Administrative contract expenses	181,400	207,000	221,125	+39,725	+14,125
Additional contract expenses	14,000	4,000	4,000	-10,000	
Transformation initiative (transfer out)		(-1,355)	(-1,355)	(-1,355)	
Working capital fund (transfer out)	(-70,794)	(-71,500)	(-71,500)	(-706)	
Consumer education and outreach	7,500			-7,500	
FHA—General and special risk program account:					
(Limitation on guaranteed loans)	(15,000,000)	(20,000,000)	(20,000,000)	(+5,000,000)	
(Limitation on direct loans)	(20,000)	(20,000)	(20,000)		
Offsetting receipts	-140,000	-315,000	-315,000	-175,000	
Credit subsidy	8,600			-8,600	
Right of first refusal	5,000	5,000		-5,000	-5,000
Total, Federal Housing Administration	76,500	-1,711,000	-1,951,875	-2,028,375	-240,875
Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA)					
Guarantees of mortgage-backed securities loan guarantee program account:					
(Limitation on guaranteed loans)	(500,000,000)	(500,000,000)	(500,000,000)		
Offsetting receipts	-720,000	-720,000	-720,000		
Total, Gov't National Mortgage Association	-720,000	-720,000	-720,000		
Policy Development and Research					
Research and technology	48,000	87,000	54,000	+6,000	-33,000
Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity					
Fair housing activities	72,000	61,100	72,000		+10,900
Transformation initiative (transfer out)		(-611)			(+611)
Office of Lead Hazard Control and Healthy Homes					
Lead hazard reduction	140,000	140,000	140,000		
Transformation initiative (transfer out)		(-1,400)			(+1,400)
Management and Administration					
Working capital fund	200,000	243,500	228,500	+28,500	-15,000
(By transfer)	(70,794)	(71,500)	(71,500)	(+706)	
Office of Inspector General	125,000	122,000	125,000		+3,000
Transformation initiative	20,000	20,000	20,000		
(By transfer)		(455,617)	(167,061)	(+167,061)	(-288,556)
Total, Management and Administration	345,000	385,500	373,500	+28,500	-12,000
(Grand total, Management and Administration)	(1,691,000)	(1,764,571)	(1,729,932)	(+38,932)	(-34,639)
Total, title II, Department of Housing and Urban Development	46,159,233	45,570,699	46,178,427	+19,194	+607,728
Appropriations	(42,604,597)	(44,115,299)	(44,723,027)	(+2,118,430)	(+607,728)
Rescissions	(-72,036)	(-40,600)	(-40,600)	(+31,436)	
Advance appropriations	(4,393,672)	(4,400,000)	(4,400,000)	(+6,328)	
Emergency appropriations	(100,000)			(-100,000)	
Offsetting receipts	(-860,000)	(-2,897,000)	(-2,897,000)	(-2,037,000)	
Offsetting collections	(-7,000)	(-7,000)	(-7,000)		
(By transfer)	(70,794)	(527,117)	(238,561)	(+167,767)	(-288,556)
(Transfer out)	(-70,794)	(-527,117)	(-238,561)	(-167,767)	(+288,556)
(Limitation on direct loans)	(70,000)	(70,000)	(70,000)		

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FY 2011—Continued

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	FY 2011 This Bill	This Bill vs Enacted	This Bill vs Request
(Limitation on guaranteed loans)	(916,235,504)	(921,494,000)	(921,377,384)	(+ 5,141,880)	(- 116,616)
TITLE III—OTHER INDEPENDENT AGENCIES					
Access Board	7,300	7,300	7,367	+ 67	+ 67
Federal Maritime Commission	24,135	25,498	25,300	+ 1,165	- 198
National Transportation Safety Board salaries and expenses	98,050	100,400	104,300	+ 6,250	+ 3,900
Amtrak Office of Inspector General	19,000	20,000	+ 1,000	+ 20,000
Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation	233,000	250,000	300,000	+ 67,000	+ 50,000
United States Interagency Council on Homelessness	2,450	2,680	3,930	+ 1,480	+ 1,250
Total, title III, Other Independent Agencies	383,935	385,878	460,897	+ 76,962	+ 75,019
Grand total (net)	67,929,457	68,836,693	66,323,173	- 1,606,284	- 2,513,520
Appropriations	(64,865,384)	(67,644,424)	(65,722,753)	(+ 857,369)	(- 1,921,671)
Rescissions	(- 72,036)	(- 40,600)	(- 100,340)	(- 28,304)	(- 59,740)
Rescissions of contract authority	(- 446,563)	(- 263,131)	(- 779,240)	(- 332,677)	(- 516,109)
Rescissions of emergency funding	(- 44,000)	(- 16,000)	(+ 28,000)	(- 16,000)
Advance appropriations	(4,393,672)	(4,400,000)	(4,400,000)	(+ 6,328)
Emergency appropriations	(100,000)	(- 100,000)
Negative subsidy receipts	(- 860,000)	(- 2,897,000)	(- 2,897,000)	(- 2,037,000)
Offsetting collections	(- 7,000)	(- 7,000)	(- 7,000)
(Limitation on obligations)	(54,244,069)	(54,821,314)	(54,940,259)	(+ 696,190)	(+ 118,945)
(By transfer)	(70,794)	(527,117)	(238,561)	(+ 167,767)	(- 288,556)
(Transfer out)	(- 70,794)	(- 527,117)	(- 238,561)	(- 167,767)	(+ 288,556)
Total budgetary resources	(122,173,526)	(123,658,007)	(121,263,432)	(- 910,094)	(- 2,394,575)

BUDGET AUTHORITY TOTAL—WITH
COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for fiscal year 2011 provided in the bill, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2010 amount and the 2011 budget estimates follow: (in thousands of dollars)

New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2010	\$67,929,457
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2011	68,836,693
Bill, fiscal year 2011	66,323,173
Bill compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2010	-1,606,284
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2011	-2,529,520

PRESERVING FOREIGN CRIMINAL
ASSETS FOR FORFEITURE ACT
OF 2010

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Judiciary Committee be discharged from further consideration of S. 4005, and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The clerk will report the bill by title. The bill clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 4005) to amend title 28, United States Code, to prevent the proceeds or instrumentalities of foreign crime located in the United States from being shielded from foreign forfeiture proceedings.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be read the third time and passed, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, with no intervening action or debate, and that any statements relating to the measure be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (S. 4005) was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, was read the third time, and passed, as follows: S. 4005

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Preserving Foreign Criminal Assets for Forfeiture Act of 2010”.

SEC. 2. PRESERVATION OF PROPERTY SUBJECT
TO FORFEITURE UNDER FOREIGN
LAW.

Section 2467(d)(3)(A) of title 28, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(A) RESTRAINING ORDERS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—To preserve the availability of property subject to civil or criminal forfeiture under foreign law, the Government may apply for, and the court may issue, a restraining order at any time before or after the initiation of forfeiture proceedings by a foreign nation.

“(ii) PROCEDURES.—

“(I) IN GENERAL.—A restraining order under this subparagraph shall be issued in a manner consistent with subparagraphs (A), (C), and (E) of paragraph (1) and the procedural due process protections for a restraining order under section 983(j) of title 18.

“(II) APPLICATION.—For purposes of applying such section 983(j)—

“(aa) references in such section 983(j) to civil forfeiture or the filing of a complaint shall be deemed to refer to the applicable foreign criminal or forfeiture proceedings; and

“(bb) the reference in paragraph (1)(B)(i) of such section 983(j) to the United States shall be deemed to refer to the foreign nation.”.

ORDERS FOR WEDNESDAY,
DECEMBER 15, 2010

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it adjourn until 9:30 a.m. tomorrow,

Wednesday, December 15; that following the prayer and the pledge, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date; that the morning hour be deemed to have expired; that the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day; that following any leader remarks there be a period of morning business until 11 a.m., with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each; that following morning business, the Senate resume consideration of the motion to concur with respect to H.R. 4853, the vehicle for the tax compromise, as provided under the previous order.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PROGRAM

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. President, Senators should expect a series of up to four rollcall votes at approximately noon tomorrow. At 2:15, the Senate will proceed to vote on the motion to proceed to executive session to consider START.

As a reminder, under a previous order, there will be several farewell speeches tomorrow: Senator BAYH at 10 a.m., Senator VOINOVICH at 10:30 a.m., and Senator LINCOLN following the 2:15 vote.

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL 9:30 A.M.
TOMORROW

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. President, if there is no further business before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that it adjourn under the previous order.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 9:24 p.m., adjourned until Wednesday, December 15, at 9:30 a.m.

EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

HONORING GEORGE P. SHULTZ

HON. NANCY PELOSI

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Ms. PELOSI. Madam Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the 90th birthday of a great leader, a true public servant, and a proud resident of San Francisco: George Pratt Shultz.

Secretary Shultz has lived by the mantra he set forth during his decades of service: "You've got to dream a little bit if you're going to get somewhere." Throughout his life, he dreamed more than "a little bit"—and his dreams led him to the height of academia, government, and business.

His dreams led him to the Marine Corps during World War II. They led him to become a celebrated and decorated professor of economics at some of our most prestigious institutions of higher learning. And they certainly drove him to "get somewhere" in the public square: serving as an advisor to Presidents; as a Director of the Office of Management and Budget; as the Secretary of the Treasury, Labor, and State.

In the closing years of the Cold War, as our leading diplomat, Secretary Shultz was a powerful, passionate voice for American values around the world—negotiating when possible to advance the interests of the United States; standing firm when necessary to defend our country; striving for peace among nations and advocating on behalf of our strong moral leadership worldwide.

In recent years, Secretary Shultz brought his experience and wisdom to two critical challenges facing our country and our planet: climate change and nuclear non-proliferation.

He understood that global warming is a threat to our national security, our economy, and our environment. And in California, he joined the campaign to halt efforts to weaken environmental protections—and fought to strengthen our clean energy future.

Forever a statesman, he issued a clarion call to build a world free of nuclear weapons, recognizing that these instruments of war are no longer necessary in this day and age, and represent a threat to global security now and in the future.

Known for his dynamic partnerships over the years, Secretary Shultz has no greater partner than his wife, Charlotte Maillard Shultz. When Charlotte served as Chief of Protocol for the city and county of San Francisco, and for the state of California, Secretary Shultz supported her with his own diplomatic acumen. Today, their partnership, in both the public and private spheres, continues to enhance our city.

Secretary Shultz has always been, and will always remain for Paul and me, a patriot, a dedicated public servant, and a friend. An economist, a business leader, and a states-

man: George Shultz followed his dreams. And on his 90th birthday, we honor his service.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. CATHY McMORRIS RODGERS

OF WASHINGTON

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mrs. McMORRIS RODGERS. Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 611 on H.R. 5987, On Motion to Suspend the Rules and Pass, Seniors Protection Act of 2010, I am not recorded because I was absent because I gave birth to my baby daughter. Had I been present, I would have voted, "nay."

Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 612 on H. Res. 1717, On Motion to Suspend the Rules and Agree, congratulating imprisoned Chinese democracy advocate Liu Xiaobo on the award of the 2010 Nobel Peace Prize, I am not recorded because I was absent because I gave birth to my baby daughter. Had I been present, I would have voted, "yea."

Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 613 on H. Res. 1540, On Motion to Suspend the Rules and Agree, supporting the goal of eradicating illicit marijuana cultivation on Federal lands and calling on the Director of the Office of National Drug Control Policy to develop a coordinated strategy to permanently dismantle Mexican drug trafficking organizations operating on Federal lands, I am not recorded because I was absent because I gave birth to my baby daughter. Had I been present, I would have voted, "yea."

Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 614 on H. Res. 1531, On Motion to Suspend the Rules and Agree, expressing support for designation of 2011 as "World Veterinary Year" to bring attention to and show appreciation for the veterinary profession on its 250th anniversary, I am not recorded because I was absent because I gave birth to my baby daughter. Had I been present, I would have voted, "yea."

Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 615 on H. Res. 1752, On Agreeing to the Resolution, Same Day Consideration Rule, Waiving a requirement of clause 6(a) of rule XIII with respect to consideration of certain resolutions reported from the Committee on Rules, I am not recorded because I was absent because I gave birth to my baby daughter. Had I been present, I would have voted, "nay."

Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 616 on H.R. 6495, On Motion to Suspend the Rules and Pass, Robert C. Byrd Mine Safety Protection Act of, I am not recorded because I was absent because I gave birth to my baby daughter. Had I been present, I would have voted, "nay."

Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 617 on H. Res. 1402, On Motion to Suspend the Rules and Agree, Recognizing the 50th anniversary of the National Council for International Visi-

tors, and expressing support for designation of February 16, 2011, as "Citizen Diplomacy Day", I am not recorded because I was absent because I gave birth to my baby daughter. Had I been present, I would have voted, "yea."

Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 618 on H. Res. 1704, On Motion to Suspend the Rules and Agree, Honoring the 2500th anniversary of the Battle of Marathon, I am not recorded because I was absent because I gave birth to my baby daughter. Had I been present, I would have voted, "yea."

Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 619 on H.J. Res. 1755, On Agreeing to the Resolution Providing for consideration of H.R. 3082, making appropriations for military construction, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes, I am not recorded because I was absent because I gave birth to my baby daughter. Had I been present, I would have voted, "nay."

Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 620 on H.R. 4501, On Motion to Suspend the Rules and Pass, Guarantee of a Legitimate Deal, I am not recorded because I was absent because I gave birth to my baby daughter. Had I been present, I would have voted, "nay."

Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 621 on H. Res. 1746, On Motion to Suspend the Rules and Agree, Recognizing and supporting the efforts of Welcome Back Veterans to augment the services provided by the Departments of Defense and Veterans' Affairs in providing timely and world-class care for veterans and members of the Armed Forces suffering from PTSD and related psychiatric disorders, I am not recorded because I was absent because I gave birth to my baby daughter. Had I been present, I would have voted, "yea."

Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 622 on H.R. 3082 House Amendment, On Motion to Concur and Amend to H.R. 3082, Continuing Appropriations/Food Safety Act, I am not recorded because I was absent because I gave birth to my baby daughter. Had I been present, I would have voted, "nay."

Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 623 on H. Res. 1756, On Agreeing to the Resolution, Providing for consideration of the Senate amendments to the bill (H.R. 5281) to amend title 28, United States Code, to clarify and improve certain provisions relating to the removal of litigation against Federal officers or agencies to Federal courts, and for other purposes, I am not recorded because I was absent because I gave birth to my baby daughter. Had I been present, I would have voted, "nay."

Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 624 on S. 3998, On Motion to Suspend the Rules and Pass, Criminal History Background Checks Pilot Extension Act of 2010, I am not recorded because I was absent because I gave birth to my baby daughter. Had I been present, I would have voted, "yea."

● This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.

Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 625 on H.R. 5281, On Motion to Concur in Senate Amendments #1 and #2, and in #3 With Amendment, To amend title 28, United States Code, to clarify and improve certain provisions relating to the removal of litigation against Federal officers or agencies to Federal courts, and for other purposes, I am not recorded because I was absent because gave birth to my baby daughter. Had I been present, I would have voted, "nay."

HONORING RONALD G. YELENIK

HON. CHRIS VAN HOLLEN

OF MARYLAND

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor Ronald G. Yelenik, Assistant General Counsel in the Office of the General Counsel at the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC). Mr. Yelenik is retiring after 35 years of distinguished service at the CPSC.

Mr. Yelenik began his career at the CPSC in 1975. Prior to joining the CPSC staff, he was a Law Professor at Antioch School of Law, a Director of East New York Legal Services, a staff attorney at Brownsville Legal Services, and a Peace Corps volunteer in Costa Rica.

Mr. Yelenik has held various legal positions at the CPSC, chiefly within the Office of Compliance and Field Operations, and most recently in the Division of Compliance within the Office of the General Counsel. He currently manages a staff of 12 attorneys, providing leadership in devising legal strategies to ensure that manufacturers, importers, distributors, and retailers of dangerously defective and hazardous products are held responsible for harm caused to consumers. Over the years, he has been an excellent mentor to staff attorneys and legal interns.

Over the course of his long and distinguished Federal career, Mr. Yelenik helped negotiate numerous major product recalls with such firms as Wal-Mart, Cosco, and Fisher-Price. The Fisher-Price recall involved ten million battery-powered Power Wheel ride-on cars that presented a fire hazard to children. This toy recall was one of the largest and most expensive recalls in CPSC's history.

Mr. Yelenik has also served as lead counsel in some of the largest monetary civil penalty cases in the CPSC's history for reporting violations under section 15(b) of the Consumer Product Safety Act. The cases include a \$4 million civil penalty against the McDonald's Corporation in 1999; a \$1.75 million penalty against Dorel USA (Cosco/Safety 1st) in 2001; and a \$1.1 million penalty against Fisher-Price in 2001. In 2009, he negotiated a \$2.3 million civil penalty against Mattel for multiple violations of the lead paint ban in children's products. The Mattel violations were one of the catalysts that led to the passage of the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008.

During his tenure at CPSC, Mr. Yelenik has played a major role in protecting the public from unreasonable risks of injury posed by

consumer products. He has demonstrated inspiring leadership and has been a valued employee at the CPSC.

Madam Speaker, I am honored to thank Ronald G. Yelenik for his honorable service to our Nation and I wish him a rewarding retirement.

HONORING JILL K. DUFFY

HON. MIKE THOMPSON

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor Jill Duffy for her 8 years of distinguished public service on the Humboldt County Board of Supervisors.

Born in Los Angeles, Ms. Duffy moved to Humboldt County at a young age. She completed her education at Humboldt State University, where she studied Environmental Engineering. After serving for 15 years as an Environmental Compliance Analyst for the City of Arcata and 4 years on the McKinleyville Community Services District Board, she was elected to represent Humboldt County's 5th Supervisorial District in 2002.

Throughout her two terms, Jill has been a capable and enthusiastic voice for the citizens of Humboldt County. She has provided competent leadership on a variety of issues, including protection of our rich natural resources. She was appointed by the governor to sit on the Statewide Watershed Monitoring Advisory Committee and was the county representative for Klamath River dam removal negotiations. She was also a stalwart advocate for securing county access to water rights on the Trinity River.

Jill has been active on numerous committees and boards, including the California State Association of Counties Working Group for Compact Negotiations for Indian Gaming, Northwest California Resource Conservation & Development Council, California Water Environment Association, North Coast Pollution Prevention Committee, Humboldt Bay Shellfish Technical Advisory Committee, McKinleyville General Plan Citizen's Advisory Committee, Redwood National Park Association, Redwood Coast Energy Authority, Humboldt County Code Enforcement Task Force Subcommittee, North Coast Unified Air Quality Management District, Arcata-Eureka Airport Terminal Building Decoration and Advertising Committee, and the Humboldt Transit Authority.

Whether through her efforts to safeguard our region's unique environmental assets or champion the rights of towns to participate in the county planning process, Ms. Duffy has been a proven relationship builder and diligent representative.

Madam Speaker, it is appropriate at this time to acknowledge and thank Jill Duffy for her 8 years of commendable leadership and unwavering commitment to the citizens of Humboldt County.

HONORING JOHN TROUT

HON. SAM GRAVES

OF MISSOURI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. GRAVES of Missouri. Madam Speaker, I proudly pause to recognize John Trout. John is a very special young man who has exemplified the finest qualities of citizenship and leadership by taking an active part in the Boy Scouts of America, Troop 45, and earning the most prestigious award of Eagle Scout.

John has been very active with his troop, participating in many scout activities. Over the many years John has been involved with scouting, he has not only earned numerous merit badges, but also the respect of his family, peers, and community. Most notably, John has earned the God and Country award and represented the United States at Peak 2010, an international Scout Jamboree in Darby County, England. John has also contributed to his community through his Eagle Scout project. John built an outdoor patio for the Juda House, a long-term homeless shelter in St. Joseph, Missouri. John also designed a playground and ornamental flower garden for the Juda House.

Madam Speaker, I proudly ask you to join me in commending John Trout for his accomplishments with the Boy Scouts of America and for his efforts put forth in achieving the highest distinction of Eagle Scout.

HONORING THE 75TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE MISSISSIPPI VALLEY FLOOD CONTROL ASSOCIATION

HON. ED WHITFIELD

OF KENTUCKY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. WHITFIELD. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the 75th anniversary of the Mississippi Valley Flood Control Association. This Association was instrumental in reforming the flood and navigation system along the Mississippi River after the 1927 flood, which was one of the greatest peace-time disasters our nation has seen. This disaster wrecked the levee system and devastated over 12 million acres and drove 600,000 people from their homes. Losses were estimated at \$400 million.

A shining star in this disaster was the quick work of this Association by organizing a congressional tour at the height of the flood by special train and boats. This effort paved the way for legislation developing the Mississippi River and Tributaries Project to build a levee system to tame the Mississippi River's forces. Today, this levee system still protects hundreds of thousands of families from flooding and facilitates transportation in our inland water ways system.

The Association still lives on under the great leadership of Mr. George Grugett. Mr. Grugett's career is an example for all of us to follow. He has served as the Executive Vice President of the Mississippi Valley Flood Control Association since 1980. During his service

to this Association, he has successfully brought together Members of Congress, senior officials within the Army Corps of Engineers, and levee boards along the Mississippi River in order to promote and develop the flood and navigation system that is so important to our economy.

I can confidently say that with Mr. Grugett's leadership we have made numerous strides in the areas of flood control and water navigation. I ask the entire House to join with me to commend Mr. Grugett for his leadership and dedication to this Association as it marks its 75th anniversary.

IN HONOR OF PAT LOE

HON. SAM FARR

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. FARR. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor Pat Loe, on the occasion of her retirement from the San Benito County Board of Supervisors. It has been a pleasure working with Pat on various projects and she will be missed by many.

Pat is a lifelong resident of San Benito County. Prior to her service on the San Benito County Board of Supervisors, she worked for Tiffany Motor Company for 34 years.

Pat first began her career in politics when she was appointed to the San Benito County Planning Commission where she served for 10 years. Her leadership on the Commission prepared her to run for a seat on the Hollister City Council. Pat served on the council for four years and made some momentous advancements for women. She became the first woman to break the glass ceiling and serve as Mayor for the City of Hollister allowing many other councilwomen to follow suit.

On March 5, 2002, Pat was elected to the San Benito County Board of Supervisors, District 3. The County district seat is unique, wholly within an urban area, geographically in central Hollister, defined by its established homes, and its population is dominated by longtime Hollister residents. Pat was reelected on June 6, 2006 to serve a second term of four years. She was Chair of the San Benito County Supervisors in 2006 and also served as Vice-Chair in 2010.

Pat served on various committees including the Council of Governments, Local Agency Formation Commission, Veterans Park Commission, Children and Families First Commission, Community Action Board, Intergovernmental Committee, Juvenile Justice Commission, Local Task Force, Behavioral Health Board, Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control, Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments, City Revolving Loan Fund Committee, Gang Prevention Task Force, and National Association of Counties. She also served on various sub-committees: Community Development Block Grants, Ethics, Library Vision Committee, Governance, Redevelopment, Agency Revolving Loan Fund Board, and New Courthouse Project. She also served on other community organizations such as the Homeless Task Force, Emmaus House and Relay for Life.

Madam Speaker, on behalf of the House of Representatives, I would like to extend our Nation's deepest gratitude to Pat Loe for all of her years of service. I wish her well and hope she enjoys the time with her family and friends. This is not a goodbye for I know that Pat will continue to serve the County of San Benito on other capacities. Pat clearly loves the County of San Benito, and she's changed it, for the better—with her unique brand of gentle persistence.

A SALUTE TO THE HONORABLE
RICHARD L. HUGHES FOR HIS 15
YEARS OF PRINCIPLED SERVICE
ON THE 67TH DISTRICT COURT

HON. GARY C. PETERS

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. PETERS. Madam Speaker, I rise today and ask my colleagues to join me as I salute Richard L. Hughes upon his retirement from the 67th District Court in Burton, Michigan, after 15 years of proud and principled service.

Judge Hughes was first appointed to the bench in 1994. He ran successfully for the balance of the judicial term in 1996, and was twice re-elected to the position in 1998 and 2004. But his tenure on the bench was merely a capstone to his many years of formal public service. Dick's story is truly the archetype of "local boy makes good." Not many years after graduating from the Detroit College of Law in 1968 and practicing law in Detroit for a time, Dick and his wife Suzanne moved their young family to their long-time homestead in Otisville, just miles from where he was raised.

Dick practiced law in the community for 26 years before his appointment. During that time and continuing today, Dick was active in his church and as a Rotarian in the Davison and Burton clubs.

In formal service to the community, Dick truly carried on a long family tradition of holding public office. He was urged by community members to serve on the Lakeville School Board when the district was in the midst of challenging financial times. He served nearly a decade, and 6 years as president. True to his nature and sense of practicality, Dick successfully reduced the board member's compensation to just \$1 a year during the lean years.

When Dick was appointed to the 67th District Court bench in 1994, he was presented the gavel of our great-great grandfather Julian Peters who was Justice of the Peace for 24 years in Rochester, Michigan. We are all grateful that Dick has carried on our family's tradition of proud public service in the judicial branch of government.

I know that Dick's thoughtful expertise on the bench will be missed. The Burton community is fortunate, however, that Dick's son-in-law Mark Latchana will carry on Dick's tradition of proud and principled service after Mark's successful election to the seat this past November.

Madam Speaker, I ask that my colleagues join my salute to my cousin, Dick Hughes, for his commitment to excellence on the bench, his dedication to community and family, and

his tireless service to the public. I wish Dick, his wife Suzanne, their children Beth and Michael and their families the very best enjoyment of Dick's formal retirement. I have no doubt that although he is shedding the black robe, his service to the community will continue in earnest for many years to come.

"THIS LAND IS MY LAND"—CSM
ROCKY SHAPLA, UNITED STATES
ARMY

HON. GEOFF DAVIS

OF KENTUCKY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. DAVIS of Kentucky. Madam Speaker, today I rise to honor a great American story, and patriot, Command Sergeant Major Rocky Shapla of Murray, Kentucky. CSM Shapla has lived the American Dream, arriving in the U.S. from Yugoslavia at the age of 15. With nothing but the shirt on his back he would rise up to become a CSM in the United States Army . . . because of his leadership and drive. He has fought in wars, just like all of our forefathers who wear the name Patriot . . . because of their service to country. With over 41 years in the service, his resume is an outstanding one to say the least. Rocky has been recovering from injuries sustained in Iraq. He loves the Army, and he loves this country. As he put it, "only in America can you come here without nothing, rise up to become a CSM in the United States Army, and have dinner at the White House with the President. Its time for this Kentucky Thoroughbred to come back home to that blue grass that he so loves, Kentucky and his family. I ask that this tribute penned in his honor by Albert Caswell be placed in the RECORD.

THIS LAND IS MY LAND

This land is my land . . .
This land is our land . . .
All in this Country Tis of Thee so grand . . .
Are but born, such fine son's . . . such son's
of liberty who stand . . .
But, some sons come from far across the seas
. . .
To but live the American Dream . . .
So many of our future great Americans, new
daughters and sons . . .
Who but new lives have begun . . .
All in their fine pursuit, of most precious
freedom . . .
Who raise their hands and take that oath
. . .
With tears in eyes, as all in their hearts as
this means the most . . .
The ones who do it the right way . . .
But, with their own fine lives, are but will-
ing to pay!
As they assimilate, into this great melting
pot . . . as its for them we pray . . .
The ones who will so proudly, wear that uni-
form . . .
As in their fine hearts, their love of country
beats ever on . . .
Willing to face death, giving up all until
none lies left . . . as they march on . . .
Living the American Dream, raising a fine
family . . . daring to dream!
Rising up through the ranks, into a Com-
mand Sergeant Major . . .
As to him, Rocky we give such thanks . . .
From one war to the next, Rocky's fine life
for us has so been pledged!

Melding into, one of America's most finest sons!

Yes land is your land, is our land . . .
Training and leading, all of our most courageous daughters and sons . . .

Succeeding, for Rocky was a man on a mission!

Coming to this new world, with but his great dreams and visions unfurled . . .

A man who fell in love three times . . .

With his Country, his Wife . . . and the United States Army . . . double time!

And his children, and Kentucky make five!

To live a great life, all in that old Kentucky Clay . . .

This great land of Lincoln, Ali, and most beloved Henry his dreams were made . . .

Doing it, doing the Right Way . . . the American Way . . .

Only in America, can one travel across the seas, and a Command Sergeant Major rise up to be . . .

And raise a fine family, and live the dream . . . a shake the President's hand it seems!

For hearts of courage full, and fine patriots . . . who live by that golden rule . . .

Coming across the shores, with hearts of dreams so very full . . .

This Land is my land, this land is our Land . . . may live the American Dream so true!

IN HONOR OF TONY CAMPOS

HON. SAM FARR

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. FARR. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor a model public servant who dedicated his life to the betterment of the Pajaro Valley on California's central coast. Tony Campos, a native of the Pajaro Valley, has served his community as a city councilmember, a mayor, and a county supervisor since 1987. His leadership and dedication has resulted in many successes. Moreover, his loyalty and compassion made him a dear friend to me and many others.

Tony was born and raised in the Pajaro Valley, graduated from Watsonville High School and attended Cabrillo College. He spent fourteen years working in the sprawling agricultural fields with his father. From this strong foundation, Tony sought to continue his success by becoming a small-business owner. However, the biggest success in his life came in March of 1977 when he married Becky Tegenkamp.

In 1987, Tony was elected as to the Watsonville City Council. He was the first Latino elected to the City Council since the city's incorporation in 1868. Two years later, he demonstrated exemplary leadership in the Loma Prieta earthquake and its aftermath. As Mayor of Watsonville, Tony led the city through one of its worst natural disasters when the Pajaro River overflowed, flooding large portions of the Pajaro Valley.

In June of 1998, Tony became the first Latino elected to the Santa Cruz County Board of Supervisors since 1853. For twelve years, he fought tirelessly to improve social and health services in the county. Moreover, as Chairman of the Board, Tony has brought further attention to the needs of seniors,

Latinos, young people, and farm workers. Recently, Tony accomplished his goal of equal access to the courts and other government services by playing an instrumental role in the construction of the new Watsonville Civic Center.

Madam Speaker, it is my honor to recognize this community leader and to applaud him for his tenure on the Santa Cruz County Board of Supervisors. As Tony begins the next chapter of his life, he will continue to be a strong advocate for the Pajaro Valley and the Central Coast. I wish my friend and his lovely wife all the best in their future endeavors.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. NIKI TSONGAS

OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Ms. TSONGAS. Madam Speaker, I was unavoidably detained and was unable to cast a vote on the following rollcall votes: Rollcall 581 to designate the Lance Corporal Alexander Scott Arredondo, United States Marine Corps Post Office Building; rollcall 582 to support the goals and ideals of a National Mesothelioma Awareness Day; rollcall 584 for the Claims Resolution Act 2010; rollcall 585 to honor and recognize the exemplary service of the 60th Air Mobility Wing, the 349th Air Mobility Wing, the 15th Expeditionary Mobility Task Force, and the 615th Contingency Response Wing civilians and families serving at Travis Air Force Base, California; rollcall 586 to honor and recognize the National Guard on the occasion of its 374th anniversary.

Had I been present, I would have voted "aye" for all votes. I am a cosponsor of the Lance Corporal Arredondo Post Office legislation and the resolution honoring the National Guard, and I have consistently voted to recognize and honor the service and sacrifices of our nation's servicemembers and military families. I commend the National Guard, which has served our nation with distinction and honor for 374 years and deserves the greatest respect and gratitude.

RECOGNITION OF HUGH DORRIAN FOR HIS SERVICE TO THE COMMUNITY

HON. MARY JO KILROY

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Ms. KILROY. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize Hugh Dorrian as he is honored by the Greater Columbus Irish Cultural Foundation. He has been a man of honor in politics, something rare in these times. I cannot remember him ever running a negative ad or engaging in a personal attack on an opponent. He is recognized by the citizens of Columbus as someone who serves with great integrity and in whom they have placed their trust and confidence to safeguard public resources. In office, he does not play partisan politics. He may not give other officials the answer that

they want to hear, but he can be counted on to give what he sees as the correct answer about public funds, the budget and spending.

Hugh Dorrian is a man of faith, a devoted husband and father, and his name is well-known in the Irish American community.

As Columbus City Auditor for over four decades, Hugh has seen many changes yet remained steadfast in his commitment to managing the City's fiscal resources. He was awarded the Certificate of Excellence in Financial Reporting from the Government Finance Officers Association for 30 consecutive years.

Hugh has received numerous accolades throughout the years including the St. Charles Preparatory School Borromeo Medal for Distinguished Alumni Achievement, the Ohio State University School of Public Policy Outstanding Local Public Service Award, the City of Columbus Equal Business Opportunity Department Minority/Female Business Advocate Award, the Ohio Government Finance Officers' Association Ethics in Government Award and Lifetime Achievement Award. He served on the Police and Firemen's Disability and Pension Fund of Ohio Board of Trustees, was a member of the Investment Committee from 1973 to 1992 and sat on the advisory board at St. Charles Preparatory High School for several years.

A Certified Public Accountant and alumnus of the Ohio State University, Hugh lectured at the Graduate School of Public Policy and Management teaching Governmental and Non-profit Accounting from 1984 to 2006 while simultaneously holding elective office. In 1991, he taught an accounting and auditing seminar in Russia at the request of the Leningrad-St. Petersburg City Council.

Also distinguished in service to his church, Hugh was awarded the Pro Ecclesia et Pontifice (For the Church and the Pope) medal by Pope John Paul II, the highest honor given to a member of the laity. Hugh's many honors and awards have made him the subject of feature articles in several local publications and he is beloved in our community.

I ask my colleagues to please join me in congratulating Hugh Dorrian as he is honored once more for his lifetime of public service and dedication to the people of Columbus, Ohio.

IN HONOR OF RAFAEL REYEZ DAVILA

HON. DENNIS J. KUCINICH

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. KUCINICH. Madam Speaker, I rise today in honor of Rafael Reyez Davila of Cleveland, Ohio, on the occasion of his being honored by the American Nationalities Movement, and for his lifetime of service and commitment to his community.

Mr. Davila attended local elementary and high school and graduated from Cuyahoga Community College in 1965 with an associate's degree in accounting. He worked at the Lowe Marschalk Advertising Agency, where he rose to the position of Senior Vice President of Finance and Administration before leaving in 1986. By the end of his fifteen-year career

with Marschalk, Mr. Davila was directly supervising fifteen staff members and was instrumental in hiring the entire office staff of about 100.

In 1989, Mr. Davila founded Davila and Associates Advertising Agency. His experience and knowledge of the Latino community gave him the expertise to start Ohio's first advertising agency with a specialty in the Latino market. Davila and Associates has been very active in the Cleveland community since its founding, hosting its First Annual Latino Business Seminar in 1992, first publishing its monthly Hispanic Entrepreneur Bulletin the same year, and currently working to publish "The Latino Entrepreneur Business Directory of Ohio" in 2011.

Mr. Davila has held many positions in Cleveland's Latino community; some of the local organizations in which he has served include the Hispanic AIDS Task Force, Esperanza, Image, the Hispanic Business Association, the Cleveland Hispanic Republican Party, Latino Entrepreneur International, and the Ohio Bicentennial Advisory Council. He has also been a member of the U.S. Hispanic Chamber of Commerce from 1988 to the present, the president of the Hispanic Athletes Network, which he founded in 1991, and founder and current president of Latinos Unidos.

Madam Speaker and colleagues, please join me in honoring Rafael Reytez Davila for his lifetime accomplishments and service. His commitment to the Latino community and the greater Cleveland area shows in his clear dedication to helping others, and his leadership in community organizations has changed the lives of countless people in a positive way.

HONORING BONNIE NEELY

HON. MIKE THOMPSON

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor Bonnie Neely for her twenty-four years of distinguished public service on the Humboldt County Board of Supervisors.

First elected to Humboldt County's 4th Supervisorial District on November 3, 1986, Ms. Neely has been an outstanding public servant with a reputation for astute knowledge of local issues, creative problem solving and compassion for people. She has proven herself as a skilled collaborator and tireless advocate for the citizens of Humboldt County.

Throughout her tenure as Supervisor, Ms. Neely has provided strong and resourceful leadership on community issues ranging from homelessness to natural resources. She was appointed by two Governors to sit on the statewide California Coastal Commission and California Board of Forestry and Fire Protection. She has been active on numerous local committees and boards, such as the Humboldt County Children and Families Commission, Humboldt County Convention and Visitors Bureau, Humboldt Bay Harbor Revitalization Advisory Committee, Redwood Empire Association, Redwood Community Action Agency, and the Rotary Club of Eureka.

A native of Humboldt County, Bonnie attended Humboldt State University and transferred to London, England to continue her education before returning home to complete her bachelor's degree in Liberal Arts.

Ms. Neely has a demonstrated passion for promoting our region's artistic culture and rich quality of life. She is Board President of Dell'Arte International, a founding member of the North Coast Repertory Theatre, and co-founder and board member of the Redwood Coast Music Festivals and the Humboldt Library Foundation. Along with her fellow board members, she also helped to establish the Headwaters Fund to support community development projects.

A dedicated champion for the citizens of Humboldt County, Bonnie has received numerous awards including the "Woman of Distinction Award" from the Soroptimist International of Humboldt Bay, Award of Outstanding Service to Homeless from the Redwood Community Action Agency, Community Achievement Award from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Friend of Seniors Award from the Area 1 Agency on Aging, Paul Harris Fellow Award from the Rotary Foundation, and the Contributor to the Arts Award from the Humboldt Arts Council.

Madam Speaker, it is appropriate at this time to acknowledge and thank Bonnie Neely for her 24 years of exemplary leadership and lasting contributions that have done so much to directly improve the lives of those she has so ably represented.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. STEVE COHEN

OF TENNESSEE

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. COHEN. Madam Speaker, I was detained from voting due to a family emergency on Thursday, December 9. If present, I would have voted "yea" on the following rollcall votes: Rollcall 626 and rollcall 627.

COMMENDING YUVRAJ PURI FOR EXCELLENCE IN EDUCATION

HON. ENI F.H. FALEOMAVAEGA

OF AMERICAN SAMOA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA. Madam Speaker, I rise today to commend Yuvraj Puri for his initiative in crafting a bill to reduce the amount of annual Medicare fraud and prevent future Medicare fraud. Yuvraj is 13 years old and attends Cooper Middle School in McLean, Virginia, where he is currently in the 8th grade.

As a second-generation Indian-American and in tribute to his Asian culture which teaches children to respect and take care of their elders, it has been Yuvraj's dream to work with senior citizens. In fact, for the past 3 months, Yuvraj has been volunteering at the Herndon Senior Center for 4 hours every week.

At the center, his primary duties are to update the database, set up bingo, clean up and

lock down the center, type up the lunch menu, decorate, write the program board, and clean out the closet for recyclables.

This experience has instilled in Yuvraj a desire to take his work further and so he chose to research Medicare fraud and present a paper and draft a bill as part of an assignment at school. Although the school had each student partner with another, I am impressed that Yuvraj went beyond what he was required to do and, on his own initiative, sought to visit the U.S. Congress to see how bills are introduced, managed and eventually passed into law.

As an Asian-Pacific American, Yuvraj also requested a meeting with Members of the Executive Board of the Congressional Asian Pacific American Caucus (CAPAC), including the Chair, Vice-Chair, and Secretary who serves as Chair of the CAPAC's Healthcare Task Force. Since its inception in 1994, CAPAC has continued its commitment to promote and secure equal rights for not only Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders (AAPI), but all Americans.

Through his research, Yuvraj learned that CAPAC fights to eliminate health care disparities and works to ensure that Asian Americans and Pacific Islander (AAPI) communities are not left out of policy decisions. Recognizing CAPAC's importance and knowing that CAPAC can influence the passage or defeat of a bill, Yuvraj referenced CAPAC in his presentation and sought a meeting with us to present his bill, especially since he is a member of the AAPI community.

Today, it was our honor to personally meet Yuvraj and accept his bill, and I thank Congressman MIKE HONDA, Chairman of CAPAC, and also Congresswoman MADELEINE BORDALLO, Chair of CAPAC's Healthcare Taskforce, for joining with me to commend Yuvraj for his initiative.

As Members of CAPAC's Executive Board, we join together in wishing Yuvraj much success in his pursuit to attend the Thomas Jefferson School for Science and Technology (TJSST) in Alexandria, Virginia. We believe Yuvraj is a rare student who has the capability to become a leader of the 21st century and it is clear that his intellectual curiosity will serve him well on his road to study medicine at Princeton as he seeks to do all he can do to serve the elderly to the best of his ability.

Again, I commend Yuvraj for his self-motivation and aspirations, and I extend to him and his family my very best regards.

"TRUTH EMERGING IN KENT STATE COLD CASE HOMICIDE" BY LAUREL KRAUSE

HON. DENNIS J. KUCINICH

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. KUCINICH. Madam Speaker, this prose by Laurel Krause is entered into the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD at the request of Laurel Krause, whose sister Allison Krause was shot and killed as she protested the Vietnam War at Kent State University on May 4, 1970. Laurel is the co-founder and director of the Kent State Truth Tribunal.

TRUTH EMERGING IN THE KENT STATE COLD CASE
HOMICIDE

The government crossed the line in the killing of four young people in the killing of our Allison as she rallied against the war on May 4, 1970. A civil rights battle on U.S. soil in our times. Kent State is personal for us yet important for all.

Arthur Krause knew the importance of the Kent State Tape. My dad knew it held the truth of what happened at Kent State even though back in 1970 and until just recently truth from the Kent State Tape was locked up.

in a jumbled maze of analog antiquity. Dad passed away over 20 years ago. He knew the truth in the Kent State Tape. A patriot and WWII soldier. Dad believed the American dream. When Allison his firstborn a freshman at Kent State University was protesting the Vietnam war on her campus.

He never anticipated the American apocalypse our family would endure at the hands of our government. Like Sandy, Jeff and Bill our Allison was shot dead at Kent State. Homicide by national guard gunfire. Dad knew they got away with murder at Kent State University just after noon on May 4, 1970.

Over the next ten years Dad sought truth and justice at Kent State demanding to know what happened to our Allison.

Taking it to the courts yielded only road blocks, cover-ups and threats. Every effort to uncover and face the deadly inhumanity of Kent State was completely thwarted.

A series of seamless stonewalls. Never examining the wrongs of Kent State. No accountability for the killings of Kent State.

Not one person or group ever held responsible. Not one apology uttered. Yet governmental claims were consistent: There was no order to fire. The Guard reacted to sniper fire. The Guard felt under attack from the students.

A government-fabricated pack of lies that has now transformed into the recorded history of the killings of Kent State. That is . . . until 2010 and the examination of the Kent State Tape 40 years after the shootings. The Kent State Tape that Dad held so dear that was evidence in his court cases finally examined using tools of state-of-the-art audio technology unlocking the true record of what occurred at Kent State on May 4, 1970.

Sounds expertly analyzed by world-class forensic scientist Stuart Allen commissioned by the Cleveland Plain Dealer to explore the Kent State Tape for the very first time.

Whether copy or original is moot. Truth is recorded in the Kent State Tape. A tape does not remember, forget or change its story.

The Kent State Tape does not lie. At the Kent State Truth Tribunal in NYC October 2010 with Stuart Allen examining

Hearing and unraveling the labyrinth of deadly sounds including shots and national guard commands and a violent altercation with FBI-paid Terry Norman all contributing to the shootings at Kent State 1970.

The government denied orders to fire were isolated, heard and verified orders of Guard, Prepare to Fire orders of Alright, Guard, Fiii- with the last word of the deadly order stepped on.

by a barrage of 67 shots over 13 seconds. At unarmed students changing classes at noon.

At unarmed students more than a football field away.

At unarmed students rallying against the Vietnam War.

At unarmed students rallying against the military occupation of their campus in a battle where American dissent was also slaughtered.

HONORING TSgt WILLIAM
TIMOTHY "TIM" WYMORE

HON. W. TODD AKIN

OF MISSOURI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. AKIN. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor TSgt William Timothy "Tim" Wymore, an 18 year veteran of the Missouri Air National Guard and of Operation Enduring Freedom. I have the honor to count Tim Wymore as a constituent.

During a "Hometown Heroes Salute" on 4 December the Missouri Air National Guard paid special tribute to TSgt Wymore for his service. I am grateful to the Guard for recognizing Tim's contribution to the security of our country.

In 2004, Tim deployed to Balad, Iraq, one of our largest air bases, with Missouri's 131st Bomb Wing. He was one of only five members of his unit sent to Iraq. While everyone who goes to war is affected, some return more affected than others. Tim Wymore is one such person.

Tim is one of thousands of our service members exposed to hazardous materials while operating in and around the burn pits in Iraq. Since returning, Tim's health has deteriorated to the point where he is unable to work. He's been in and out of the hospital and today cannot stand on his own and relies on oxygen to breathe.

Through it all, Shanna, his wife of 25 years has not only stood by his side, but fought to get the benefits and care he deserves from a system sometimes indifferent to his suffering. I got to know Tim and Shanna after they contacted my office seeking assistance with the Veterans Administration.

Madam Speaker, I stand here today to honor TSgt Tim Wymore, so I won't take further time to elaborate on how the system has failed to support this warfighter. I will only say that I will continue to work to see that the Veterans Administration is held accountable for how it serves our citizen soldiers.

Despite his suffering, Tim Wymore remains a patriotic citizen and father. In fact, rather

than try and dissuade his son from serving in uniform, he supports his youngest son Cody's decision to follow in his footsteps and enlist in the United States Air Force.

I pray God bless the Wymore family and the United States of America.

"STEVEN SOLARZ: A GREAT
MEMBER OF CONGRESS"

HON. BARNEY FRANK

OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Madam Speaker, earlier this month, America lost one of the outstanding people to have served in this House. Steven Solarz was a Member of the House from 1974-1992, and no Member either in my personal experience or in my reading of history was a more effective and constructive leader in foreign policy as a Member of the House. Too often, Members of Congress, especially the House Members, distinguish themselves in the foreign policy field mostly in a negative way. Sometimes that is a very good thing because these Members are preventing things from happening that shouldn't happen. But there is a tendency to demagogue and to play to public dislike of foreigners. Steven Solarz was a role model for those who think that Members of the House have a constructive role to play in foreign policy. Without ever having risen to the chairmanship of the Foreign Affairs Committee, he made himself an extremely significant positive force in foreign policy, for human rights, and for constructive American relations with a wide range of nations. He understood the importance of our being strong when we had to be, and of our being open and generous when that was called for.

Madam Speaker, Steve Solarz was a personal friend of mine for many years, and I suppose that people could claim that I have exaggerated the greatness of the role he played because of that. So I was particularly pleased to see that one of the best students of Congress, Norman Ornstein, expressed eloquently and cogently the importance of the role Steve Solarz played in foreign policy as a House Member, in his December 8, 2010 article in Roll Call.

Madam Speaker, as an example that I believe all Members ought to aspire to, I ask that Norman Ornstein's deserved tribute to Steven Solarz's foreign policy leadership be printed here.

[From Roll Call, Dec. 8, 2010]

REP. SOLARZ WAS A LEADER WORTH
REMEMBERING

(By Norman Ornstein)

This is the season for farewell addresses from many lawmakers leaving at the end of the 111th Congress. Some speeches, such as those of Sen. Ted Kaufman (D-Del.) a few weeks back and of Sen. Chris Dodd (D-Conn.) last week, are particularly poignant, reflecting decades of dedication to the Senate and reverence for its traditions (if a touch too much deference to its existing rules and too little concern for how the contemporary abuse of the norms have distorted those traditions and call for modest but meaningful tweaks in those rules).

But their eloquence underscores how elections, while bringing necessary change for a democracy and reaffirming popular will, also result in the departure of some of the most solid citizens of the Congressional village. The loss of expertise, insight and institutional memory—not to mention fundamental decency—that comes with the departures, some voluntary and some not, of people such as Reps. John Spratt (D-S.C.), James Oberstar (D-Minn.) and Ike Skelton (D-Mo.) and Sens. George Voinovich (R-Ohio), Judd Gregg (R-N.H.) and Evan Bayh (D-Ind.), among others, is painful to those of us who care about Congress.

Then there are other losses. Former Rep. Steve Solarz (D-N.Y.) died last week at age 70, after a four-year battle with esophageal cancer. While he received prominent obituaries in the Washington Post and the New York Times, chances are many new and not-so-new Members of Congress who weren't around in the 18 years that Solarz served in the House, from 1975 to 1993, were either unaware of his passing or paid little attention to it. As a start, they should go back and read those obituaries, and then make a note to read his wonderful book, "Journeys to War and Peace: A Congressional Memoir," which will be published next year.

I wrote a foreword for the book, in which I noted my striking experiences on visits to the Philippines and Cambodia; in each case, when I met with academics, high government officials and others, I was asked frequently, "Do you know Steve Solarz?" In the Philippines, actually, the question was, "Do you know Steve Solarz personally?" He helped save my country from dictatorship." In Cambodia, it was whether I knew the Steve Solarz who was instrumental in saving Cambodia from the murderous excesses of the Khmer Rouge.

Solarz was not a secretary of State, a Senator or even the chairman of a powerful committee. He was a rank-and-file House Member who, by the force of his personality, a remarkable work ethic, a political savvy, an articulateness unmatched in contemporary politics, a commitment to democracy and human rights mixed with hard-headed sense of reality, and a willingness to work across the aisle to accomplish mutual goals, had a greater effect on the world than most secretaries of State, Senators and chairmen of powerful panels.

Solarz traveled the world, but not with Congressional delegations; he went alone. American embassy personnel dreaded his arrival; they would not have to arrange trips to the souk or the rug store, but would instead have to keep up with 18-hour days choreographed by Solarz to include meetings with the foreign minister, the defense minister, the intelligence chief and the key opposition figures.

When he scoped out situations and found corruption, abuse of power and worse, he used his skills and connections to relentlessly push for change. Back in Washington, D.C., his office became a home away from home for dissident leaders from around the world who got short shrift elsewhere. As a consequence, to pick one example, Solarz probably had better ties with the Kurdish leaders in Iraq than any other American.

Solarz's shining moment, perhaps, was on the House floor during the stirring debate over whether to authorize the use of force against Saddam Hussein after his invasion of Kuwait, i.e., the first Gulf War. There were dozens of emotional and wrenching speeches as Members struggled with the decision about whether to send young Americans to

war, and perhaps to death; at the time, there were predictions of potential mayhem in the desert. When liberal Democrat Solarz stood up and spoke in favor of the authorization, it was truly a riveting moment. Everyone stopped to listen. He was powerful and eloquent, and he did as much as anyone to shape the outcome. There are few examples in which an individual lawmaker has any effect, much less one that is consequential, from a speech on the House floor.

It is hard to imagine another Solarz emerging in a political system that is now so polarized that a powerful opinion leader and statesmen like Sen. Dick Lugar (R-Ind.) cannot persuade his own party colleagues to vote for the new Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty. It is even harder to imagine a House Member throwing himself into peripatetic travel to every corner of the globe and trying to shape events and outcomes in the world without being shredded by cable news and anonymously funded campaign attack machines, or finding ways to build unlikely and persuasive partnerships across every partisan and ideological divide. But it is not impossible to imagine some new Members of both parties persuaded by Solarz's example to take some trips abroad despite the predictable criticism of junkets and the equally predictable partisan flak, and to think about core values of freedom, human rights and America's national interest as transcending petty partisan interests. At least I like to think is not impossible.

THE FIRE DEPARTMENT OF LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA

HON. LAURA RICHARDSON

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Ms. RICHARDSON. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the Fire Department of Long Beach, California. Specifically, I would like to thank and honor firefighter paramedics Chuck Hakopian, Mark Miller, Joyce Vanderweide, and Carston Sorensen for their heroic response to an apartment fire in Long Beach last week. These firefighters risked their lives to save a young boy who was trapped in his bedroom as flames engulfed his family's apartment. Thanks to their bravery, 2-year-old Justin Aruomah is in stable condition and continues to improve daily.

We must never take for granted the men and women of the local fire departments across the country who courageously respond to emergencies on a daily basis, risking their lives to save those of others. Their willingness to face danger in order to serve and protect their communities affords us a peace of mind that too often goes underappreciated.

Madam Speaker, I am humbled by the firefighters of the Long Beach Fire Department, whose bravery and devotion to their community is nothing short of heroic. The events of last Monday, December 6, 2010 is only one of many moving examples of this heroism.

Just after midnight, firefighter Chuck Hakopian and Carston Sorensen rushed into a burning apartment. They ran up the apartment's stairs through billowing clouds of smoke and into a bedroom that was engulfed in flames. The floor in the room was so weakened by the fire that Mr. Hakopian fell through

the floor to his elbows. After pulling himself up and out of the collapsed floor, he rushed into a second bedroom, where he found 2-year-old Justin Aruomah lying face down on the floor. As Mr. Sorensen battled the flames with a fire hose, Mr. Hakopian covered the boy's head and body and rushed him out of the burning house to awaiting paramedics Mark Miller and Joyce Vanderweide. Justin was unconscious, but alive. As he was rushed to the hospital, Ms. Vanderweide and Mr. Miller were able to revive his breathing. Justin was soon placed in critical condition. Thanks to these brave men and women he is alive and recuperating.

I do not share this story of bravery and sacrifice because it is any way uncommon. The firefighters of the Long Beach Fire Department, along with departments across the country, risk their lives every day to protect people who they have never met. These acts of selflessness are typically accompanied by little fanfare. These brave men and women simply return to work the next day to await the call of duty.

I share this story because it is a powerful reminder of the need to support the men and women who stand ready to serve our communities, day and night. We have an obligation to provide our firefighters—along with our police officers, paramedics, and all other emergency responders—with the resources that they need to do their job successfully and as safely as possible. This means providing enough funding for them to afford all the necessary training and cutting-edge equipment. This is the right thing to do for the men and women who dedicate their lives to serving our communities.

As the Chair of the Homeland Security Committee's Subcommittee on Emergency Communication, Preparedness, and Response, supporting our emergency responders is one of my top priorities in Congress. Emergency situations cannot always be prevented, but their effects can be mitigated by ensuring that emergency responders have all the resources that they need to protect us.

Again, I thank Chuck Hakopian, Mark Miller, Joyce Vanderweide, and Carston Sorensen of the Long Beach Fire Department and the emergency responders all across the country who risk their lives on behalf of their communities. Your courage and selflessness do not go unnoticed.

IN HONOR OF ALEX MACHASKEE

HON. DENNIS J. KUCINICH

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. KUCINICH. Madam Speaker, I rise today in recognition of Alex Machaskee of Warren, Ohio on the occasion of his being honored by the American Nationalities Movement, and for his lifetime of service, commitment, and dedication to the greater Cleveland community.

Mr. Machaskee graduated from Cleveland State University with a bachelor's degree in marketing. He worked at the Warren Tribune before joining the Plain Dealer in 1960. He moved up the ranks, becoming Publisher and President in 1990. In this position he initiated

a large expansion program, in which the Plain Dealer improved the quality of its service by investing in a new facility, a redesigned distribution system, and expanded coverage of local news. He retired in 2006, capping a 46-year career at the Plain Dealer.

As a result of his prolific career in journalism, Mr. Machaskee has been recognized by many local organizations and institutions. He has received honorary Doctor of Humane Letters from Cleveland State University, the University of Akron, and Heidelberg College. Some of his honors and awards include the Ellis Island Medal of Honor from the National Ethnic Coalition Organization, the Whitney M. Young Humanitarian Award from the Urban League of Cleveland, and the Nonprofit Board Executive of the Year Award from the World Trade Center Cleveland. He has also been inducted into the Northeast Ohio Business and Cleveland Journalism Halls of Fame.

In a further demonstration of his dedication and commitment to the Cleveland community, Mr. Machaskee has been welcomed into the boards of many local nonprofit organizations. Some of the cultural institutions whose boards he joined include the Musical Arts Association of the Cleveland Orchestra, the International Orthodox Christian Charities, the Cleveland Museum of Art, the Cleveland Council on World Affairs, the Cleveland Opera, and United Way Services.

Madam Speaker and colleagues, please join me in honor of Alex Machaskee for his decades of commitment and service to the greater Cleveland area. His leadership of the Plain Dealer and his efforts in greater Cleveland area nonprofit organizations demonstrate the strength of his character and the dedication he feels to his community. I commend Mr. Machaskee and wish him success in his future efforts.

RETIRE MAJOR GENERAL FRED-
ERICK HARWOOD "FRED"
FORSTER

HON. JOHN J. DUNCAN, JR.

OF TENNESSEE

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. DUNCAN. Madam Speaker, Retired Major General Frederick Harwood "Fred" Forster passed away on November 18, 2010, after a long and very brave battle with cancer. I do not know another person who has met such a challenge with more strength, faith, and grace.

Fred was a very patriotic American and one of the finest men I have ever known. He had an immeasurable impact on Blount County, Tennessee, and the United States in many positive ways.

Upon reporting the news of his death, The Daily Times newspaper in Maryville, Tennessee, referred to Fred as "a community treasure."

Fred's pastor at Mount Lebanon Baptist Church said Blount County has lost a "great man and friend to this community," and he continued on to say that, "(Fred) believed in prayer and accepted the will of God without complaint. Dignity, respect, honor, faith, love,

commitment; these are the words that describe Fred."

Sharon Hannum, Chair of the Blount County Chamber Foundation, said, "I have met no stronger, dedicated and compassionate leader than in the person of Fred Forster."

Former Maryville Mayor Joe Swann said, "His steadfastness in the face of such adversity makes him the soldier we point to and say, 'I had the great privilege of knowing that remarkable man.'"

Senator LAMAR ALEXANDER, a native of Maryville himself, called Fred a friend and said, "Blount County and I will miss him greatly."

Fred is perhaps best known for his success in the military. Commissioned as a second lieutenant in 1968, his accomplishments as a pilot and natural leadership abilities propelled Fred to the rank of assistant adjutant general of the Tennessee Air National Guard at the time of his retirement in 2003.

During his 35-year service, he served as commander of the 134th Air Refueling Wing, Tennessee Air National Guard, and chief of staff of the Tennessee Air National Guard.

Fred's time in the military took him through the Air Command and Staff College, Army Command and General Staff College, and Air War College. He received countless decorations, including a Bronze Star, and served in Thailand and the United Arab Emirates, playing an important role in the liberation of Kuwait during Operations Desert Shield and Desert Storm.

Fred also found much success in community development. He served as chief executive officer of the Blount Partnership, a venture made up by the Blount County Chamber of Commerce, Industrial Development Board, Smoky Mountain Convention and Visitors Bureau and Blount Chamber Foundation.

His time at the Chamber is admired by all who were lucky enough to work with him until his retirement earlier this year.

As you would imagine, Fred's work did not stop with the military or his time at the Chamber. He devoted much time to other civic and private organizations too numerous to name in this space.

Fred was buried with full military honors at Mount Lebanon Baptist Church, and I attended his graveside service along with many hundreds of other people. It was very obvious to everyone who was there how loved and respected he was in the community.

Recently, Fred's father-in-law, Garland DeLozier, also passed away. Garland was a community leader in his own right, and I offer my condolences to Fred's wife, Carolyn, who has lost her husband and father in such a short span of time.

Before his passing, Fred said of Carolyn, "She was invaluable. Couldn't ask for a better supporter and a partner and a helper and sustainer."

Fred's daughter Rebecca was one of my House Pages and is now a member of my staff, and her sister, Joy, interned for me in 1996. I know the pain myself of losing a father at about their age, but they should have much comfort in knowing how loved and admired their dad was by everyone and how proud he was of their own accomplishments.

Fred was very devoted to his family, and he will be greatly missed by Carolyn, Joy, and

Rebecca, as well as his daughter, Katherine; grandchildren Drew and Caroline; mother, Harriet; and sister, Jane.

Madam Speaker, I urge my Colleagues and other readers of the RECORD to join me in celebrating the extraordinary life of Major General Fred Forster. His life and accomplishments are without comparison, and he will forever be an example to all those who knew him.

OUR UNCONSCIONABLE NATIONAL DEBT

HON. MIKE COFFMAN

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. COFFMAN of Colorado. Madam Speaker, today our national debt is \$13,848,017,156,749.09.

On January 6th, 2009, the start of the 111th Congress, the national debt was \$10,638,425,746,293.80.

This means the national debt has increased by \$3,209,591,410,455.20 so far this Congress.

This debt and its interest payments we are passing to our children and all future Americans.

IN HONOR AND RECOGNITION OF CLEVELANDPEOPLE.COM

HON. DENNIS J. KUCINICH

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. KUCINICH. Madam Speaker, I rise today to congratulate the developers and sponsors of ClevelandPeople.com on their recognition at the American Nationalities Movement's Christmas Luncheon. ClevelandPeople.com was selected for this honor because of the website's crucial role in supporting the social life and cultural richness of the city.

Founded in 1959 by Ralph Perk, the American Nationalities Movement consists of representatives of over 50 nationalities in the Cleveland area that come together to celebrate their unique heritages. Each year during its Christmas Luncheon, it recognizes individuals and groups that have contributed to supporting ethnic groups and celebrating diversity.

Debbie, Dan, and Pat Hanson formed ClevelandPeople.com in 2001 when they decided to condense information about local cultural events and support services onto a few web pages. Over the past nine years, it has grown into a social hub connecting 64 different groups and receiving thousands of views each day. The website's developers explain that supporting Cleveland's distinct ethnic communities is important not only for maintaining the cultural wealth of the city, but for ensuring its economic prosperity. Cleveland has always been strengthened by its diversity.

Madam Speaker and colleagues, please join me in congratulating ClevelandPeople.com on their recognition at the American Nationalities

Movement's Christmas Luncheon. Their creative and spirit and their devotion for their community continues to support for the cultural diversity that makes this city great.

HONORING CHARLES MENG OF
NAPA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

HON. MIKE THOMPSON

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize Mr. Charles Meng, who is being honored this evening on the occasion of his retirement after 20 years of service on the Napa Valley College Board of Trustees. Mr. Meng is retiring from the board to serve as a commissioner on the Accrediting Commission of Community and Junior Colleges, Western Association of Schools and Colleges.

Charles has dedicated his life to serving his country and his community. He graduated from West Point in 1957 and was a commissioned officer in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. He went on to serve as a senior engineer manager in the U.S. Navy's nuclear submarine program. He is completing his fifth four-year term on the Napa Valley College Board of Trustees and was also elected to the Association of Community Colleges Trustees Board in 2004. He has served on many community college accreditation teams and is an expert on community college governance. Charles was the NVC Board's representative on a number of critical college committees, including the Technology Plan Development, Napa River Flood Control project and Mare Island Naval Shipyard closure. He was also on the committees to hire three college presidents.

As the current president and longest serving member of the board, Charles is admired and respected by the entire campus as well as the community at large. An engineer by trade, he is known as a highly intelligent, focused and analytical leader. He is a consensus builder but will not budge on his commitment to preserving access to higher education for all. One of the ways he has demonstrated this commitment is by serving on my service academy review panel, interviewing young people from my district seeking nomination to our Nation's service academies. As a West Point graduate himself, Mr. Meng's insights and experiences serving our country were invaluable in this process. Due to his guidance and support, many were able to fulfill their dream of serving our country as he has.

Madam Speaker and colleagues, it is appropriate at this time that we thank Mr. Charles Meng for the incredible work he has done on behalf of the students of Napa Valley College and for the work he will undoubtedly continue to do. Napa Valley College is a premier institution for higher learning and will remain that way due in large part to his efforts. I join his family and everyone in the Napa Valley College Community in wishing him continued success and fulfillment.

THE PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PENSION
TRANSPARENCY ACT (H.R. 6484)

HON. DEVIN NUNES

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. NUNES. Madam Speaker, I rise today to discuss the Public Employee Pension Transparency Act, H.R. 6484, which I introduced on December 2, 2010, with the Gentleman from Wisconsin, Mr. RYAN, and the Gentleman from California, Mr. ISSA. This legislation is designed to enhance the soundness of State and local employee pension benefit plans by providing them the incentives necessary for State and local governments to provide the American people with meaningful disclosure of the value of these plans' assets and liabilities.

State and local governments have promised pension benefits to about 20 million active public employees and another seven million retirees and dependents. According to the states, these pension promises are underfunded by about \$1 trillion. However, this enormous number fails to accurately convey the true magnitude of the cost taxpayers will bear to meet these obligations. Moreover, as a result of a lack of transparency and generous accounting standards, the vast majority of the American people are unaware that their State and local governments collectively owe trillions of dollars for generous public employee pension benefits.

Unlike private pension plans, public employee pension plans are allowed to use unreasonably high discount rates to calculate their liabilities. In fact, many use unrealistic expected rates of returns on their plan assets, the value of which is often inflated, to discount their pension liabilities. Many economists maintain that these practices are misguided and hide the fact that State and local government pension plans are collectively underfunded by more than \$3.8 trillion.

The Public Employee Pension Transparency Act would address this serious situation by requiring State and local government pension plans to disclose the true magnitude of their liabilities to the American people. The bill would condition the continuation of specified Federal tax benefits upon State and local governments' decision to file certain information regarding their pension plans with the Secretary of the Treasury. This information, which would reveal the true extent of public pension debt, would be available to the public through a searchable Web site.

Over the course of the past several years, we have seen trillions of taxpayer funds spent to bailout banks, auto companies, Wall Street, homeowners, and others. The American people are infuriated by these bailouts and are insisting that they end. State and local governments, with their trillions of dollars in hidden public employee pension debt, are next in line. It is time for them to reveal the true cost of their pension promises and to take that action necessary including pension reforms—to meet their obligations. The Public Employee Pension Transparency Act would reaffirm that State and local pension obligations are solely the responsibility of those entities and pro-

claim that the Federal Government will not provide a bailout. Accordingly, I ask my colleagues to join with me to enact this legislation.

H.R. 4853: THE MIDDLE CLASS TAX
RELIEF ACT OF 2010

HON. DENNIS J. KUCINICH

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. KUCINICH. Madam Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 4853, the Middle Class Tax Relief Act of 2010. This legislation permanently extends the tax cut provisions passed in 2001 and 2003 for individuals with incomes below \$200,000 and \$250,000 for couples. It would permanently extend the 10%, 23% and 28% marginal tax brackets, as well as the 33% bracket as it applies to income of less than \$250,000. It would also continue the maximum \$1,000 child tax credit and the maximum 15% rate on capital gains and dividends where income is less than \$250,000. It would permanently reduce the tax known as the "marriage penalty," and it includes a 2-year "patch" intended to prevent more than 25 million Americans from being subject to the alternative minimum tax over the next two years. It also permanently extends expensing rules for small businesses.

I am glad to see so much focus on the unemployed and underemployed during debate on the potential extension of the tax cuts. However, the myth that we must pass tax cuts to the wealthy in order to help those without jobs has been disproven several times over. If the concern is about the plight of the 15 million unemployed Americans, the estimated 12 million underemployed, and the estimated 6 million long-term unemployed, we should do the humane and economically efficient thing: extend and expand unemployment assistance.

Instead, the debate is about whether to extend tax cuts to the wealthy. Nearly a quarter of all the income in America today goes to the top 1% of Americans. In recent years, the highest-income Americans have received by far the largest pre-tax raises of any group. They have also had their tax rates drop by far more. Americans have said in polls that they want to see the Bush tax cuts on households making more than \$250,000 per year expire. For the wealthiest 3% of Americans, expiration would simply mean a return to 1990's tax rates if that were to happen. Yet, many of the same advocates for passing a massive tax cut for the wealthy are bemoaning a growing budget deficit.

We must not ask the middle class, the working class, those who are not fortunate enough to make \$200,000 or \$100,000 or even \$20,000 a year, to pay so that the wealthiest Americans can enjoy the lowest income tax rates that any wealthy American has had since the 1960s. We must not tell the poor, the unemployed, and the underemployed, that we cannot help you, because we have decided that the wealthiest Americans need a tax cut more than you need a lift to get you through these brutal times. Those that need our help must not hear from Congress: go and figure it out for yourselves.

S. 3307, THE HEALTHY, HUNGER-FREE KIDS ACT OF 2010

HON. HENRY C. "HANK" JOHNSON, JR.

OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia. Madam Speaker, I rise today in support of the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010. This bill is a good first step in addressing childhood hunger and poor nutrition, which are two of the greatest public health challenges facing the United States today.

I urge all of my colleagues to join me in supporting this legislation, which passed the Senate unanimously. It contains the most significant improvements in 30 years to the child nutrition programs that serve millions of children across our country. This legislation expands access to healthy foods for kids, makes it easier for low-income kids to enroll in the school meal programs, improves the nutritional quality of school meals, significantly decreases the availability of junk food and sugary beverages from school vending machines, and expands access to after-school meals for low-income kids.

This is a vote that I do not take lightly. While I never questioned the need to improve child nutrition programs across the country, I did question the Senate's choice to pay for this legislation with a reduction in Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits. SNAP benefits are an essential part of this nation's nutrition safety net. More than 41 million Americans rely upon SNAP benefits monthly to put food on the table. According to the USDA Food and Nutrition Service, Data and Statistics Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Report, released in October 2010, the number of households in my home state of Georgia receiving food stamps jumped from 581,709 total households in July of 2009 to 716,749 households in July of 2010—an increase of 23.2% in just one year. Many SNAP recipients are children or seniors, and I had serious concerns that the SNAP reduction would be a classic case of robbing Peter to pay Paul. I was deeply concerned that reducing SNAP benefits to pay for this bill would hurt the people it was meant to help—children of low-income families striving to make ends meet during this turbulent economy.

After a long thought process, I stand here before you as a strong supporter of the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act. As a Member of the Congressional Progressive Caucus, I joined a letter to the Obama Administration expressing opposition to reducing SNAP benefits to fund this bill, or any other legislative priority. The President and his Administration share these concerns and have assured Congress that it will work with us to restore this cut and use their current authorities to protect the integrity of SNAP benefits and further improve children's access to nutrition programs.

This bill would be instrumental in helping to address the growing crises of child hunger and also of childhood obesity. In terms of child hunger, last year, more than 1.29 million Georgia students participated in the Free and Reduced Price Lunch Program. Obesity is a national crisis, but it is of a particular concern

in my home state of Georgia which has the second highest prevalence of obese 10–17 year olds in the nation. The provisions in the child nutrition bill that improve nutritional quality of foods served on school campuses throughout the school day and those that strengthen Local School Wellness Policies can help to combat the rise in childhood obesity we see here in Georgia. According to the latest U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey report released in September 2010, 22.3% of Georgia's children live in poverty—that's more than half a million children under age 18.

I would be remiss if I did not mention two key individuals in my district that have worked hard to combat childhood obesity. Dr. Yvonne Butler founded Healthy Kids Smart Kids. Healthy Kids Smart Kids is an organization that fights childhood obesity and educates adults and children on healthy eating and staying active. She started the first sugar-free school program in Georgia at Browns Mill Elementary School in Lithonia. Ms. Pat "Shy" Haggans is a certified personal trainer and lifestyle coach who founded Generation Next Sports Performance facility in Lithonia where she specializes in getting kids fit to fight obesity. Like Dr. Butler, she has helped hundreds of kids shed pounds through exercise, planning and lifestyle changes to combat childhood obesity. She assists parents as well to ensure that the next generation will be healthier than ours.

It is important to recognize that this bill would not be on the floor now if it were not for Representatives GEORGE MILLER, ROSA DELAURO, and JAMES MCGOVERN. Their leadership in conducting negotiations with the Obama Administration was key to moving this bill forward.

I strongly support the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act, which would also help advance the Administration's goal of solving the problem of childhood obesity within a generation, and urge my colleagues to do the same.

IN RECOGNITION OF MS. BARB DEMARCO'S DECADES OF ACTIVISM IN THE WEST BLOOMFIELD COMMUNITY AND HER NINE YEARS OF SERVICE TO ITS PUBLIC SCHOOLS

HON. GARY C. PETERS

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. PETERS. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize Ms. Barb DeMarco, a member of the West Bloomfield School District Board of Education and lifelong local activist, on the occasion of her retirement. As a Member of Congress, it is both my honor and privilege to recognize Ms. DeMarco for her decades of service and work to build a better future for the West Bloomfield community and its youth.

For the past 25 years, Ms. DeMarco has been at the fore of many grassroots initiatives in West Bloomfield to improve the quality of life for its residents. Ms. DeMarco was often the driving force behind many of the millage initiatives that were directed toward acquiring

and developing land for use as community parks. It is with this same spirit of activism and bettering the community that Ms. DeMarco embarked on her journey to advocate for improving public education for our youth. As a concerned citizen, well before her tenure on the board of education, Ms. DeMarco spearheaded campaigns to pass bond initiatives to strengthen West Bloomfield schools and create an environment of academic excellence for its students.

Beginning in 2001 Ms. DeMarco took her passion for activism and advocacy to the West Bloomfield School District Board of Education, where she has served with selflessness and tenacity. Ms. DeMarco's initial work focused on improving school curriculum, where she fought for a rigorous academic program to provide students every opportunity to excel. After just 3 years on the Board, Ms. DeMarco was appointed to the Oakland Schools Intermediate School District Board of Education where she took that same zeal for fighting for public education to both a county and national level.

Madam Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me today to honor Ms. DeMarco's decades of advocacy on behalf of her students and her community. Her presence will surely be missed. It is with much gratitude for her service and dedication that I wish her many more years of advocacy and success in building a better community for our youth.

IN HONOR OF DR. EDWARD G. KESHOCK

HON. DENNIS J. KUCINICH

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. KUCINICH. Madam Speaker, I am saddened to learn of the passing of Dr. Edward G. Keshock. Dr. Keshock was Professor of Mechanical Engineering and Chair of the Mechanical Engineering Department at Cleveland State University. He was also Honorary Consul of the Slovak Republic for the State of Ohio since his appointment in 1999.

Ed Keshock received his Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering from the University of Detroit in 1958 and began his career in July of that year with the NASA Glenn Research Center at Lewis Field in Cleveland. There, he worked as a research engineer in the Heat Transfer Branch of the Nuclear Reactor Division. He participated in some of the earliest studies dealing with boiling heat transfer processes in low- or fractional-gravity fields, relating to applications in the newly emerging field of space exploration.

In 1964, Ed began his studies at Oklahoma State University where he earned his Master of Science and Ph.D. degrees in Mechanical Engineering in 1966 and 1968, respectively. After a distinguished career as professor at Old Dominion University, the University of Tennessee, and the Air Force Institute of Technology, Dr. Keshock returned to Cleveland in 1990. He was appointed Chair of the Mechanical Engineering Department at Cleveland State University where he served until his death.

Throughout his academic career, Dr. Keshock focused on research while teaching graduate and undergraduate classes in Mechanical Engineering. His research on the effects of microgravity on heat transfer processes spanned his career of more than 50 years.

Dr. Keshock was actively involved with the Slovak-American communities of the State of Ohio and the country of Slovakia. Dr. Keshock served as President of the Cleveland Bratislava International Sister Cities organization for most of its existence. In 1995, Dr. Keshock was appointed to be co-host to a 35-member delegation from the Slovak Republic at the White House Conference on Trade and Investment, attended and sponsored by the U.S. Government, including President Clinton and members of his White House staff.

In 1999, Dr. Keshock was appointed the Honorary Consul of the Slovak Republic for the State of Ohio. In this capacity, he not only represented the country of Slovakia in all official matters in the State of Ohio, but was involved in extensive community and international cultural programs that contribute to the improvement in the quality of life for citizens throughout the State of Ohio and Slovakia. He is also a member of the City of Cleveland Consular Corps, thus interacting with many ethnic and nationality communities.

Madam Speaker and respected colleagues, please join me in mourning the loss of a deeply respected scholar and statesman, Dr. Edward Keshock. Our condolences go out to his wife Mary Jo, their three children Kathleen of Knoxville, Tennessee; Carolyn of London, England; and Michael of Mobile, Alabama; and their three grandchildren. He leaves behind a significant and memorable personal and professional legacy.

IN RECOGNITION OF THE SCOTIA BAND

HON. MIKE THOMPSON

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Madam Speaker, I rise today in recognition of the 75th anniversary of the Scotia Band, a community band formed in Humboldt County, California in 1935. The Scotia Band is a living legacy of America's musical heritage and a fixture on the North Coast of California.

The 35-piece, marching Scotia Band was established in 1935 by employees of The Pacific Lumber Company, later welcoming other musicians of the community. Women were encouraged to join during World War II, with the first woman president, Alice Gunnerson, serving from 1950–51. Local students have also participated, establishing the Scotia Band as an important part of music education in the region. Over the years, the band has involved over 700 musicians and conducted over 3,600 rehearsals.

In 1960, Sewell Lufkin was appointed band leader. A local elementary school teacher and World War II veteran, he instilled his abiding appreciation for music in his students. After his death in 1978, the Scotia Band established

the Sewell Lufkin Memorial Scholarship, which continues to support Humboldt County students pursuing music education.

The Scotia Band continues to perform traditional and contemporary concert music at annual events and one-time commemorations throughout the county. Under the leadership of the current band leader, Michael McClimon, smaller ensembles have been formed, including the Scotia Dixieland Band, the Tijuana Brass Group, the Scotia Brass Choir, the Scotia Ragtime Band and the Saxophone Quartet.

Humboldt County is proud to be the home of the Scotia Band, an historic and cultural institution that over the years has enriched the lives of many thousands of people. From the Blessing of the Fleet to the Fortuna Apple Harvest Festival, the Scotia Band has performed at hundreds of community functions that celebrate the cultural richness of the region. Their music continues to touch new generations and remind us of the traditions that make America great.

Madam Speaker, it is appropriate at this time to recognize the Scotia Band on the occasion of its 75th anniversary of outstanding musical performance and education to our community.

STRENGTH IN HONOR CPL.
TRAMPUS MILLER, A CO 1-21 INF
"GATORS", 25TH INF DIV THE
UNITED STATES ARMY

HON. GEOFF DAVIS

OF KENTUCKY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. DAVIS of Kentucky. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor a real American Hero, from my great state of Kentucky, Cpl Trampus Miller. A member of A Co. 1-21 INF "Gators" of the 25th INF DIV., The United States Army. On July 8th 2008, Cpl Miller was almost lost when a 900 lb bomb exploded in Nasar Wa Salam Iraq, killing his Brother In Arms Cpl "Doc" McMillian. Cpl Miller from Campton lost his leg and almost his life. But in a few short years he has already begun to his amazing most courageous life and recovery. He lives by a code of Strength In Honor!

STRENGTH IN HONOR

Strength In Honor
Men of might
Those brave hearts, who evil must fight!
Who but, with their most courageous hearts
. . . . so bring the light!
Kentucky born, men like Trampus with
hearts so worn so very bright!
Who all through the darkness of war, must
somehow endure and win that fight!
Yes, Trampus oh how your fine heart
so sounds, this night
For you live by such a fine code!
Of Strength In Honor, so!
As over the generations, such men as you
have so carried that load!
And bought and paid for, all of our Freedom's so!
Men who come back without arms and legs!
Who now so lie in such soft quiet graves
. . . .
Who all for God and Country, so gave!
For it was all in that moment!
That moment Trampus, as when you awoke
. . . .

And found what this war had so invoked!
While, close to death your fine heart so spoke!

Spoke of Faith and Courage, which now all of us so bless!

With but, your Strength In Honor yes!
As we watch you rebuild, as all of our hearts you so nourish still

As you fight The Good Fight, and will not be discouraged with but your iron will!

As you Teach Us, as you Reach So Us, as you So Beseech Us!

With all of your fine worth

Showing us all, how Strength In Honor in your fine life comes first!

And if I had a son, I would but pray he could be like you this one!

Who lives and dies, with tears in eyes
By such a fine code, of Strength In Honor all in hearts which lie!

Kentucky Strong, may you Trampus live long!

As we watch your life's song
Of Strength In Honor!

RESPONSE ON BEHALF OF FELLOWS OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE TRIAL LAWYERS WHO REPRESENTED DETAINEES IN GUANTANAMO

HON. BILL DELAHUNT

OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. DELAHUNT. Madam Speaker, I rise before you today so that my colleagues in the House of Representatives can join me in recognizing Mr. Michael Mone and his son, Mr. Michael Mone Jr.—two Massachusetts lawyers who ceaselessly fight for human rights and justice among detainees at Guantánamo Bay. I stand before you today to read to you a speech presented by Mr. Mone Sr. at this past September's American College of Trial Lawyers meeting. This discourse, written from Mr. Mone's perspective, recounts the compelling experience of his son, who selflessly represented a wrongfully accused and detained Uzbek man. The speech is as follows:

I want to thank the College for honoring those Fellows who represented Guantánamo detainees, and in particular, I want to thank Mike Cooper, who encouraged the Access to Justice Committee to become involved in securing detainee representation. I attended the spring meeting in Palm Springs when the subject was first discussed, and I came away from that meeting determined to take on a detainee's case. I want to thank the College for its encouragement and the moral support that it provided to those Fellows as they undertook to uphold the core values of the American College of Trial Lawyers—the right to counsel, a fair and independent trial to challenge their detention, and the Rule of Law.

I want to make it clear that I stand here in a representative capacity in that I am speaking for the Fellows who are here on the stage with me, for all of those Fellows who can't be here today who undertook representation of detainees, and to hopefully represent lawyers all over the country, in large firms and small firms, Republicans and Democrats, who answered the call to provide representation in this very unpopular cause. I also am here in

a representative capacity because much of the real work of our client's case was done by my son, Michael, who is also my law partner, so in these remarks, when I say that we did something, in all probability, it means that Michael did something.

Too often the "detainees" are treated as a group like "illegal immigrants" as if they are all alike, but they are not. They were many different individuals who, under different circumstances, were confined at Guantánamo. Some, like our client, were simply at the wrong place at the wrong time, and others were undoubtedly waging war against the United States. But all were entitled to the benefit of our Constitutional protections. Each detainee has a different story, but we represented one man, Oybek Jabbarov, and I want to tell you Oybek's story.

In 2001, Oybek Jabbarov was in his early 20s; he was a refugee from Uzbekistan, living in Afghanistan along with his expectant wife and his one-year old son. After being discharged from compulsory service in Uzbek army in 1998, Oybek could not find a job and like so many of his countrymen, he left Uzbekistan to try and find work elsewhere. Eventually, he ended up in northern Afghanistan. He was living amongst other ethnic Uzbeks, supporting himself and his family by selling chickens when the U.S. invaded to bring down the Taliban Government and to capture the leaders of Al-Qaeda, following the unspeakable September 11th attack on this country. You must understand that under the Taliban, Afghanistan, in essence, had no government, no borders, no checkpoints, and no one was even asked for a passport, and thus, it became a refuge for people from all over central Asia, such as Oybek. As we now know, Afghanistan is a tribal society and the only protection afforded to most persons in Afghanistan is the protection of their family and tribe, without which one is extremely vulnerable.

When Oybek made the mistake of accepting a ride from Northern Alliance soldiers, the U.S. was offering a bounty for "foreign fighters," who were supporting the Taliban in the war against the U.S. and its coalition allies. Brochures in the native languages of Afghanistan were widely distributed by the U.S. offering bounties for "terrorists" who were turned over to the U.S. authorities. One of these leaflets said "get wealth and power beyond your dreams; rid Afghanistan of murdering terrorists, you can receive millions of dollars by helping to catch Al-Qaeda and Taliban murderers. This is enough money to take care of your family, your village, and your tribe for the rest of your life." The Northern alliance soldiers, who offered Oybek a ride, thus, had a powerful incentive to consider him a "foreign fighter" to collect the bounty and for that reason Oybek was turned over to the U.S. forces at the Bagram Air Force Base in December 2001. He was held in U.S. custody at the Bagram Air Force Base, and then at a facility in Kandahar, until he was transferred to Guantánamo in the spring of 2002, despite assurances from U.S. civilian interrogators in Afghanistan that "we're trying to find Arabs; don't worry, we'll try to get you out." During his time in the US custody, Oybek, like many of the others, underwent "enhanced interrogation." I am not here to debate the definition of

torture, but if it was being done to you, you would know it was torture. Following transfer to Guantánamo, Oybek was held for more than seven years where a substantial part of his time, as with most of the others, was in virtual solitary confinement.

In 2006, we were assigned to Oybek's case by the Center for Constitutional Rights that served as a clearing house to match counsel and detainees and Michael and I started our representation of Oybek Jabbarov. It took some time because of various U.S. court cases and congressional action restricting the Writ of Habeas Corpus for us to obtain the classified documents which purportedly laid out the basis for Oybek's capture and continued detention. Before we ever had a chance to meet with Oybek, having reviewed that material, it was apparent to us that the case against Oybek was thin or nonexistent and Michael was armed with that information when he was finally allowed to visit Oybek in August of 2007. When I first discussed with Michael the idea of taking on a Guantánamo detainee, he said "so everyone else is going to get a goat farmer, but what happens if we end up with a real terrorist?" Before he visited Guantánamo, based upon the information we had, it was unlikely Oybek was a terrorist, and so when Michael returned from Guantánamo, the first thing he told me was "he's more Borat than he is Khalid Sheikh Mohamed." During the first eight trips to Cuba, he first met Oybek, who had been at Guantánamo for almost five years. Oybek presented as a gentle young man, with no apparent bitterness towards the U.S. Government that was detaining him, but was desperate for freedom. Unlike many other detainees, Oybek learned to speak English from listening to the guards and he was able to communicate with us directly without the necessity of a translator. His English, which I joked he spoke with a slight southern accent, greatly enhanced our ability to eventually relocate him.

In 2007, shortly before Michael's first meeting with Oybek, the Bush Administration cleared him for transfer, which in our view meant that they had determined that he did not constitute a threat to the U.S., a fact that we always knew to be true.

Following the Administration's determination that he could be transferred, we were confronted with the major problem in our representation of Oybek because he could not go back to Uzbekistan where he, in all likelihood, would have been imprisoned or killed. Uzbekistan is on our State Department's list of countries with grave human rights issues. The U.S. authorities fully agreed that he could not be returned to his native country, but had no other options. Even a successful Habeas Corpus hearing, which was years away, would not have accomplished his release from Guantánamo. As Michael said, we don't have a legal problem; we have a political and diplomatic problem obtaining his release to a third country, and we won't get much help from our Government. We had to convince a third country that, notwithstanding the U.S. having taken the position that the people at Guantánamo were "the worst of the worst," that they should offer asylum in circumstances where the U.S. was unwilling to do so. Because we knew that we would get little help

from our government, Michael flew to Europe and met with human rights groups in Germany, Denmark, and Ireland in order to identify a country where we had some hope that Oybek would be accepted. Following his meetings in Dublin with Amnesty International, and representatives of Human Rights Watch, we focused on Ireland.

Why Ireland? We had four reasons. First, he spoke English, and we knew that would give him a tremendous head-start in terms of rebuilding his life. Secondly, Ireland remains, in part, an agriculture country, which was Oybek's background. We also knew that Ireland had a long tradition of the recognition of human rights, and lastly, we thought the Irish government might be open to accepting a detainee for resettlement as a way to improve relations with the United States.

In the spring of 2008, Michael and I went to Guantánamo and talked with Oybek about Ireland and about our hope that we could secure his release there. He wanted to go to a free, democratic country and Ireland certainly qualified, but he did not even know where Ireland was and I wound up drawing a free-hand map attempting to locate Ireland in Western Europe. On our return from Guantánamo, Michael made additional visits to Ireland, and single handedly started a human rights campaign on behalf of Oybek. He talked to ministers in the Irish Government, who expressed interest in helping us, but had great concerns of political ramifications of taking a Guantánamo detainee. He had members of the Dail, Ireland's parliament, raise questions to the Government in debate and made Oybek's case a prominent public issue. Past President Ralph Lancaster kindly put me in contact with his friend Former Senator George Mitchell, who the Irish revere because of his work on bringing peace to Northern Ireland. Senator Mitchell hand delivered a letter to the Irish Foreign Minister that Michael had written asking the Irish government to consider accepting Oybek for resettlement. One of our honorary Fellows, the Former President of Ireland, Mary Robinson, also spoke up in favor of Ireland's accepting Oybek. Senator Kennedy, Senator KERRY, and Congressman BILL DELAHUNT directly contacted the Irish government on Oybek's behalf. Many people from Boston visit Ireland and Michael had established such a presence there, that people returning to Boston called and told me that they had heard Michael on Irish radio discussing Ireland's role in helping to close Guantánamo.

By the end of 2008, with the change of administration in Washington, we had made a lot of progress, but then came the spring of our despair as the Obama Administration came into office and Congress prohibited any Guantánamo detainee from being brought to the U.S., which made it much more difficult to convince a third country to grant asylum to men to whom the U.S. would not accept. In the spring of 2009, with no progress, despair set in at Guantánamo and many of the detainees, including Oybek, began a hunger strike, which caused me great concern that a hunger strike would affect Ireland's interest in Oybek. My son, however pointed out that if anyone understood the despair of confinement leading to a hunger strike, it was the Irish. By late summer of 2009, it was clear that the Irish had

not given up on Oybek and were prepared to grant asylum, not only to Oybek, but to one of the other four Uzbeks because they were committed to taking two detainees, not just one. Oybek and the other Uzbek, who we referred to as the "Uzbek to be named later," were eventually put on a U.S. military airplane at Guantánamo and flown into Dublin where they arrived over a year ago.

When the plane with Oybek and Shakhrukh, the other Uzbek, landed in Ireland, they were shackled—hand and foot. When the representative of the Irish government got on the U.S. military plane and was told by the officer in charge that the guards were ready to escort Oybek and Shakhrukh off the plane, the Irishman said: "These men are not going anywhere until you remove the shackles and handcuffs. When they step off this plane onto Irish soil, they will do so as free men."

There was one last item left undone. During the course of our representation, Michael had tried, without success, to locate Oybek's wife and children. But without legal travel documents and afraid to return to Uzbekistan, they had lived as refugees in Central Asia since Oybek's disappearance. One day, Oybek's family was listening to the Uzbek service on Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty and heard Michael being interviewed about his efforts to get Oybek resettled in Ireland. Eventually, Michael was put in touch with people in Pakistan and Oybek's wife and two children were located in a refugee camp in Pakistan. Michael then worked with the Irish Government to bring his wife and his two children, one of whom he had never seen, to Ireland. This work is not over. Detainees remain at Guantánamo despite the fact that in nearly 70 percent of the cases that have been heard by Federal Judges, the writ of habeas corpus has been granted. We will continue to fight for human justice. Michael and I have filed an Appearance in another detainee's case and look forward to his eventual release.

Why did lawyers, including the Fellows of the College, undertake the representation of these men in a very unpopular cause? They did it because it is part of their DNA. It is the reason many of them went to law school. Who among you has not imagined yourself as Atticus Finch standing in that hot Alabama courtroom defending an innocent man? Every state in this country has a long tradition of lawyers providing pro bono representation in unpopular causes. When Michael and I each passed the bar, we signed a book that has the name of every lawyer who has ever practiced in Massachusetts. That roll contains the names of the lawyers who represented Sacco & Vanzetti. It has the name of Benjamin Curtis, a Massachusetts lawyer and member of the Supreme Court of the United States, who dissented in the Dred Scott case and then resigned as a matter of principle. Curtis returned to Washington in 1868 to represent the very unpopular President, Andrew Johnson, in the impeachment trial before the U.S. Senate. We all know the story of John Adams, who defended the British soldiers in the Boston Massacre, but his son, John Quincy Adams, who, after he had been President, represented the African slaves on the Spanish slave ship, the *La Amistad*, is also on that roll of attorneys. This is not just a Massachusetts tradition; it is

the fabric of what it means to be an American lawyer. All of you have or will have an opportunity at some point in your career to undertake an unpopular representation. I would urge all of you to seize that opportunity because you will never forget it.

John Adams said that of all the things he did, which included not only the presidency, but being the driving force behind the Declaration of Independence, that the representation of the British soldiers was the best service that he had ever done for his country. Each of us standing here today would tell you that this is the best thing that we have ever done. Thank you and God bless the Constitution of the United States.

IN RECOGNITION OF ALBERT
CIMPERMAN

HON. DENNIS J. KUCINICH

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. KUCINICH. Madam Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Mr. Albert Cimperman on his induction into the Legion of Honor by the President of the French Republic. The most prestigious award that France bestows, the medal of the Knight of the Legion of Honor is reserved for soldiers and civilians who have demonstrated remarkable talent and character. Mr. Cimperman fought bravely in World War II, displaying courage and discipline in some of the most grueling battles.

Napoleon Bonaparte conferred the first medals of honor on the civil servants of the French Republic. These first medals realized his vision of a merit based award that would spur soldiers and civilians alike to pursue endeavors that would do credit to their country. The Legion of Honor is the only remaining national order remaining in France.

Mr. Cimperman fought bravely in nine campaigns during World War II, including the battles of Normandy and Ardennes. He has received six awards from the United States government for his efforts, including the Bronze Star Medal with three Oak Leaf Clusters. Today, Albert and his wife of 65 years, Zora, are active Parma residents. They were awarded the Joined Hearts in Giving Award in 2007 for their dedication to community volunteer work, and continue to teach a weekly line-dancing class at the Donna Smallwood Activities Center in Parma.

Madam Speaker and colleagues, please join me in thanking Mr. Albert Cimperman for his service. Without the sacrifice and perseverance of soldiers like him, the Allied Forces could not have prevailed and we would live in a much crueler, culturally impoverished, and oppressive world. It is my honor and my pleasure to congratulate Mr. Cimperman on his great accomplishment.

A TRIBUTE IN HONOR OF THE
LIFE OF IRVING GELLERT

HON. ANNA G. ESHOO

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Ms. ESHOO. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the extraordinary life of Irv Gellert, a dear friend who passed away on November 1, 2010 at his home in Los Angeles, California.

Irv had a great sense of *joie de vivre* and lived his life to the fullest. He was born in January of 1917 and grew up in the rural coal mining region of Pennsylvania, where his athletic abilities and interest in sports led him to become an all-star high school football player. After attending Temple University in Philadelphia, Irv enlisted in the Army and served his country with honor and pride during World War II. When the war was over and his service ended, he enrolled in New York University Law School and graduated with a law degree in 1949.

Not long after graduating from law school, Irv married his beloved wife Harriet. In 1954, their only child, a son Jay was born, and Irv took on one of the great roles of his life as a parent. He was a loving role model to Jay, who called him his most admired person. Just three years after Jay was born, Irv was admitted to the California Bar Association and he spent the next 30 years as a respected practicing attorney in both New York and California.

Irv's competitive nature and enduring spirit compelled his interests throughout his life. He had a passion for sports and was a dedicated Los Angeles Lakers fan. He also held a deep-seated interest in politics and closely followed elections and the political process. In the recent midterm election cycle, Irv made use of the latest technology to keep track of races across the country by following the elections on his new Apple iPad device. Each election cycle, Irv lent his support to candidates he felt would best serve his local community as well as the country he was so proud of.

Later in life, Irv's most rewarding experiences came from caring for his ailing wife during her ten-year battle with Alzheimer's. It was during the time he cared for Harriet that Irv's true nature shone through. His love, coupled with his optimism and interminable selflessness, provided comfort to Harriet, to Jay, to their family and to their many friends affected by Harriet's condition.

Irv had a genuine love for life and humor about every aspect of it. He was the most positive person I've ever known, a man who demonstrated very clearly what he believed in by how he lived. He was a man of integrity and intelligence, grace and goodness.

Madam Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me in extending our sincere condolences to Jay Gellert, the light of Irv's life and the son of sons. In Irv's passing, we've lost a great friend and patriot whose dedication and service made our nation a better place. We mourn his passing, but take comfort in the knowledge that his legacy lives on through the wisdom he shared, through the humor and keen observations he displayed, and through all the people he touched throughout his long and extraordinary life.

RECOGNIZING CHAUNCEY POSTON

HON. TOM McCLINTOCK

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. McCLINTOCK. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the service of Chauncey Poston to the City of Grass Valley, California.

Council Member Poston has a long history of service to others, beginning with his time with the United States Navy serving in Vietnam and Guam, following which he returned home to California where he earned his Bachelor of Science degree in Natural Resources from California State University, Humboldt. Since 1978, Chauncey has lived in Nevada County, where he has served as President of the Nevada County Resource Conservation District, as a member of the Grass Valley Planning Commission and the Grass Valley Traffic Safety Review Committee and since 2006, as a member of the Grass Valley City Council. Chauncey is well known for his many accomplishments and contributions to our community, including his work to ensure the fiscal solvency of the City's infrastructure programs, facilitating the cooperation between local government, businesses and interest groups and working to address each issue the City has faced as it has grown: from traffic congestion to public safety and economic development. It is a remarkable statement of Council Member Poston's service that every part of our community, from businesses to fine and performing arts groups to environmental advocates, felt they were well represented by his efforts.

Grass Valley City Administrator Dan Holler described Chauncey well: "Throughout his years on the City Council he was dedicated to building a better community by listening to residents and businesses, by being involved in civic organizations, by making hard decisions and by focusing on the long-term vision of the City of Grass Valley." Madam Speaker, in a time where localities across our country are struggling to find competent and effective leaders, Council Member Chauncey Poston has served as a fine example of the culture of service that ought to be reflected in all elected officials, and it is my privilege to rise today in recognition of that service.

COMMENDING BRO. STUART TOMS
UPON THE OCCASION OF HIS RETIREMENT**HON. RODNEY ALEXANDER**

OF LOUISIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. ALEXANDER. Madam Speaker, it is with enormous pride that I rise today to commend Bro. Stuart Toms upon the occasion of his retirement from the Sweetwater Baptist Church. His unwavering dedication and service to Jackson Parish is admirable and deserving of our appreciation.

At a young age, Bro. Stuart began his impressive list of endeavors. In 1959, he was named class valedictorian of Quitman High

School. He went on to further his education at Northeast Louisiana University (NLU), which today is known as the University of Louisiana-Monroe. Here, Bro. Stuart excelled as a point guard on the basketball team. In 1961-1962, he helped direct his team to their first league title in the Gulf South Conference (GSC). He was named 1963 All-GSC First Team and was inducted into the NLU Athletic Hall of Fame in 1986.

After graduating from NLU, Bro. Stuart continued sharing his love of basketball as a high school coach. In this capacity, he also worked as a math teacher and principal until he retired from the school system in 1984. His talents on the sidelines matched his impressive skills on the court proven by the numerous accolades he earned for his coaching abilities. At his high school alma mater, he coached back-to-back Class B State Championship basketball teams in 1970 and 1971. Among his honors, Bro. Stuart was named Class B Coach of the Year for the State of Louisiana in 1970, inducted into the Louisiana Association of Basketball Coaches Hall of Fame in 1989 and inducted into the Jackson Parish Sports Hall of Fame this year.

Bro. Stuart commenced his career service to the Lord when he was ordained as a Baptist minister in October 1983. Following his ordination, he began as the pastor of Sweetwater Baptist Church where he has continued to serve the congregation for the past 27 years. Through the years, he has addressed numerous revivals, presided over many weddings and funerals and has been on two international mission trips.

Beyond his professional career, Bro. Stuart has been married for 39 years to Johnette Pardue Toms. They are the loving parents of Stuart "Stu" Toms, Jr., Dr. Catherine Lucas and Mrs. Julie Nelson, and the proud grandparents of Ty and Kale Toms, Lily Lucas and Andrew Nelson.

I have been fortunate to have attended his worship services for several years. This truly gracious man is a friend to many, and it is his connection and involvement in his community for which we should all strive to model. I ask my colleagues to join me in congratulating Bro. Stuart Toms. His commitment, compassion and leadership warrant this laudable recognition.

RECOGNIZING MICHAEL L. KERLEY

HON. SPENCER BACHUS

OF ALABAMA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. BACHUS. Madam Speaker, on December 31, 2010, Michael L. Kerley, Esq. will retire from the National Association of Insurance and Financial Advisors after 41 years of dedicated service.

It is a rarity in our Nation's Capital to find someone who has worked in one place for more than 10 years. For Mike to have been with NAIFA for over four decades is a tremendous achievement and a testament to his devotion and his character.

Many of us in Washington know Mike as a passionate advocate for the life insurance in-

dustry and those individual agents who work tirelessly to help Americans take the steps necessary to protect their loved ones and their employees from financial disaster in the wake of life's inevitable risks. Members on both sides of the aisle have had the pleasure of working with Mike and know him to be a man of high integrity and sincerity.

Therefore, I ask my colleagues to join me in congratulating Mike on an incredibly successful career and wishing him well.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. CATHY McMORRIS RODGERS

OF WASHINGTON

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mrs. McMORRIS RODGERS. Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 626 on, H.R. 4994, On Motion To Suspend the Rules and Concur in the Senate Amendments, The Medicare & Medicaid Extenders Act of 2010 Agree to Senate Amendment, I am not recorded because I was absent because I gave birth to my baby daughter. Had I been present, I would have voted, "yea."

Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 627 on, H.R. 6412, On Motion To Suspend the Rules and Pass, Access to Criminal History Records for State Sentencing Commissions Act of 2010, I am not recorded because I was absent because I gave birth to my baby daughter. Had I been present, I would have voted, "yea."

HONORING J. DAVID COLFAX OF
MENDOCINO COUNTY**HON. MIKE THOMPSON**

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Madam Speaker, I rise today to commemorate the civic accomplishments and dedicated public service of J. David Colfax who has served on the Mendocino County Board of Supervisors for the past 12 years.

As supervisor, educator and exemplary citizen his service has benefitted the ruggedly beautiful Mendocino County in northern California where he has lived with his wife Micki since 1973. He has served on many boards, committees and organizations including the Mendocino County Office of Education, First 5 Mendocino, the Economic Development and Finance Corporation, the North Coast Railroad Authority, the Criminal Justice Board Standing committee and the Local Agency Formation Committee.

David and Micki raised four sons whom they homeschooled on their Shining Moon ranch, where they built their own house and raised award winning goats. Their children went on to such universities as Harvard and Yale and all are working in professions that give back to others. David and Micki authored the book Home Schooling for Excellence which continues to inspire. And they wrote Hard Times in Paradise about their transition from their

urban lives in the eastern United States to their rural ranch outside of Boonville not far from the Pacific Ocean.

During his three terms as a Mendocino County Supervisor, David has represented his geographically diverse district that ranges from the coast to 4,000-foot ridges to valleys and includes at least five watersheds. He has won the support of constituents who are entrepreneurs, fishermen and women, winemakers, brewmasters and mavericks of many persuasions. With a college professor style and animated discourse, David Colfax has served with passion and dedication.

Madam Speaker and colleagues, David Colfax has earned the admiration and respect of his peers, his community and his family. He is a friend and colleague whose legacy and contributions are long lasting in Mendocino County. For these reasons, it is appropriate that we honor J. David Colfax.

IN RECOGNITION OF MR. MICHAEL
BLANDINA

HON. FRANK PALLONE, JR.

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. PALLONE. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize Mr. Michael Blandina, a resident of Brick Township, New Jersey and dedicated member of the community. On October 30, 2010, Mr. Blandina retired from his position as Manager of the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission in Lakewood, New Jersey. He is also recognized for his work with various political organizations in Ocean County, including the Brick Township Democratic Municipal Committee where he serves as Chairman. Today, I applaud Mr. Blandina, as his achievements should serve as an inspiration to us all.

Mr. Blandina began his professional career as a Special Police Officer with the Brick Township Police Department in 1983. He valiantly served the constituents of Brick Township for seven years. In conjunction with his service as Special Police Officer, from 1985 through December 1999, Mr. Blandina served in the Tax Assessor's Office. His outstanding abilities and commitment were evident as he soon rose to the position of Principal Assessing Clerk. After approximately fifteen years of service to the Tax Assessor's Office, Mr. Blandina soon accepted a position with the Administration Department where he assisted constituents with various local issues. His responsibilities also included coordinating media related events for the Township of Brick. During his tenure, Mr. Blandina also spent summers working in the Recreation Department, specifically assisting the Township Recycling Program. In June 2007, Mr. Blandina accepted a position as Manager of the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission in Lakewood, New Jersey. Throughout his professional experience, Mr. Blandina's generosity has undoubtedly touched many lives and has helped countless people throughout central New Jersey.

Mr. Blandina is also a valued member of various local and political organizations. Since 1983, he has proudly served on the Brick

Township Democratic Committee, eighteen of those years as Municipal Chairman. He has also served as Democratic Finance Committee Chairman and has dedicated his time to assisting the Ocean County Young Democrats. Similarly, Mr. Blandina has assisted with the Ocean County Columbus Day Parade Committee, one of the largest parades and ethnic festivals in the state of New Jersey. His other affiliations include the Ocean County Saint Patrick's Day Parade Committee, The Girls Scouts of the Jersey Shore Awards Reception Committee, Brick Township Democratic Club, among others. Mr. Blandina continues to mentor, exemplifying confident leadership and management skills.

As a result of his outstanding service, Mr. Blandina has been the recipient of numerous awards. He has received Brick Township Democratic Club's "1999 Democrat of the Year" award and the Columbia Civic League of Ocean County's "1999 Man of the Year" award. He has also been the recipient of the Heritage Festival Ball Committee's "2003 Outstanding Ethnic Leader" award and Brick UNICO Chapter's "2004 Italian American of the Year" award.

Madam Speaker, please join me in acknowledging Mr. Blandina's multiple years of service to the Ocean County community. His dedication and commitment are positive examples of what steadfast determination and hard work can accomplish.

HONORING THE HONORABLE
RONALD PANIOTO

HON. JEAN SCHMIDT

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mrs. SCHMIDT. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the career of the Honorable Ronald Panioto, who is retiring as a judge of the Hamilton County Common Pleas Court. Judge Panioto leaves the courtroom some 35 years after he first ascended the bench of the Hamilton County Municipal Court.

Judge Panioto became presiding judge of the domestic relations division of the Common Pleas Court in 1981, and he quickly gained a reputation for fairness and sensitivity for families—especially the children—who came before him.

On the occasions in domestic cases when he had to interview children, Judge Panioto bought stuffed animals to give to the children to put them at ease.

Throughout his life, Judge Panioto has rightfully been proud of his Italian heritage and served as President of the United Italian Society from 1971 to 1993.

Judge Panioto's diligence, humanity and graciousness on the bench have won him the respect of the community.

Madam Speaker, please join me in wishing Judge Panioto a long and happy retirement and thanking his wife Judith, his son Ronald Jr., his granddaughter Christine and great-grandson Andrew for sharing the judge with us over all these years.

COMMEMORATING THE LIFE OF
RON SANTO

HON. JANICE D. SCHAKOWSKY

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. Madam Speaker, I rise today to draw attention to the passing of legendary Chicago Cubs third baseman and broadcaster Ron Santo, and to recognize his lifetime of achievement both on and off the baseball diamond.

Ronald Edward Santo was born February 25, 1940 in Seattle, Washington. Twenty years later, he made his professional baseball debut at third base for the Chicago Cubs. Over his 14-year major league career, the first 13 with the Cubs and the final season with the cross-town White Sox, Santo hit 342 home runs, had 1,331 RBIs, won 5 Gold Gloves, and appeared in 9 All-Star games. He is considered perhaps the greatest player not currently in the Hall of Fame. Nonetheless, his number 10 is immortalized on the North Side, hanging on the left field pole at Wrigley Field, which Santo referred to as "my Hall of Fame."

After a successful baseball career, Santo spent 15 years in business before returning to the Cubs as the color commentator for WGN Radio's Cubs broadcasts in 1990. He spent the next 20 years in the Cubs radio booth, and his passion and enthusiasm for the home team, which surfaced in disheartened groans as often as supportive exclamations, endeared him to a new generation of Cubs fans. Santo always seemed at ease in the WGN booth and established himself as the rightful heir to Harry Carey's oversized throne as the king of Chicago Cubs commentary.

Away from the "Friendly Confines," Santo worked tirelessly to raise money and awareness for Diabetes through the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation. Diagnosed at age 18, his diabetes was unknown even to his teammates until 1971, when Santo decided to use his star power to draw attention to the disease. From 1979 until his death, Santo sponsored the JDRF Walk to Cure Diabetes, helping to raise over \$60 million for research and advocacy. Santo's teammate Ernie Banks said of his fellow Cub, "Ronnie has handled his own ailment like the true champion he is. He is the most courageous person I've ever been around. I'm inspired by him and by his spirit. He is one of my idols, one of my heroes. I love Ron Santo."

Ron Santo passed away on Thursday, December 2. He will be sorely missed, but his legacy will continue to inspire us all.

TRIBUTE TO GENERAL JAMES L.
JONES

HON. IKE SKELTON

OF MISSOURI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. SKELTON. Madam Speaker, let me take this means to recognize an American hero, General James L. Jones, for his lifetime of public service. Having served more than 40

years in the United States Marine Corps as a diplomat in the Middle East and around the world, and most recently as the National Security Advisor to the President, he is a true public servant.

On October 8, 2010, the President announced that General Jones made the decision to step aside from his post as National Security Advisor and that his good friend and deputy, Tom Donilon, would succeed him.

Through the years, I have been honored to work with General Jones, and I have always trusted him to offer his best counsel on national security matters. A true patriot and strategic visionary, he has performed each and every mission with utmost dedication. The American public will forever be indebted to General Jones and his family for their service to our country and the many sacrifices they have made.

Madam Speaker, I trust my fellow members of the House will join me in paying tribute to General James L. Jones for his leadership and commitment to the safety and security of the American people. I wish him and his family the very best in the days to come.

ON THE DEATH OF AMBASSADOR
RICHARD HOLBROOKE

HON. JANE HARMAN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Ms. HARMAN. Madam Speaker, after hearing encouraging news over the last few days, learning that my friend Richard Holbrooke had died last night felt like a sucker punch. A day later, it still does.

I suppose, in an ironic way, Richard would smile at the enormous impact he had on friend and foe alike. He was a life force, a force of nature—someone always operating on multiple levels, in high gear, and in more than three dimensions. I used to chafe when in the middle of a phone call he would put me on hold to talk with someone else—but I bet he did it to everyone.

He was a consummate juggler—the master diplomat. He knew precisely what he was prepared to tell someone, and what he was not. Though it takes years to settle on how history will view someone, my guess is Richard Holbrooke will be considered, hands down, as the best diplomat of my generation. Indeed, he will be in a small pantheon that includes Benjamin Franklin, Thomas Jefferson, and Averell Harriman.

But the public Richard is not all of it: the private Richard was a generous and loyal friend. Before joining the Obama Administration, he chaired the Global Business Coalition on HIV/

AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria. For a time, one of our grandchildren was on its staff. He loved her, and forever after asked about her life, boyfriends, etc. No question the huge staff he built over his many careers over many years is devastated by his untimely death. Surely Megan Quitkin is. To Kati, whom he adored, and the extended Holbrooke family, we mourn your loss—and our country's loss.

I like to think that Richard has just put us all on hold while he takes another call...

2010 MILITARY FAMILY OF THE
YEAR

HON. WALTER B. JONES

OF NORTH CAROLINA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. JONES. Madam Speaker, I rise today to congratulate the Kennedy family for being selected as the National Military Family Association's Coast Guard Family of the Year.

Commander John Kennedy has served in the Coast Guard for 16 years. He is supported by his wife, Bobbi, and their 3 children Olivia, Marissa, and Jack.

The Kennedy family has moved 6 times within 14 years, most recently to Atlantic Beach, NC.

Cmdr. Kennedy currently serves as Commanding Officer of the Coast Guard Cutter *Elm*.

The Kennedy family is very active in the United States Coast Guard, and their community. They volunteer with Operation Christmas Child, and are active with numerous activities including a variety of athletics and the high school marching band.

Being a military family has shown them all how to be independent, and taught them what is truly important in life.

The Kennedy's admit they are not finished moving! They will continue to make friends wherever they go, which exemplifies the Coast Guard motto of *Semper Paratus*, "Always Ready".

I am proud to have the Kennedy family reside in the 3rd district of North Carolina. I thank all the men and women who have bravely served in our military.

Congratulations to the Kennedy family on 2010 Military Family of the Year!

OFFERING CONDOLENCES TO THE
FAMILY OF J. EUGENE QUINN

HON. PETER T. KING

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. KING of New York. Madam Speaker, I rise today to offer my sincerest condolences to

the family of J. Eugene Quinn following his untimely passing on December 7th, 2010. Gene was a beloved brother of Thomas, Paul and Francis, a devoted husband to Marguerite, loving father to Tara and proud grandfather of Andrew and Fiona.

A veteran of the United States Army, Gene had a long career in the Federal Government beginning with a position in the Reagan administration. Gene was a patron of the arts and founding member of the Anacostia Gracious Arts Program, an urban after-school arts program for underprivileged youth in Washington. I was always proud to call him my friend. In short, his commitment to public service was truly remarkable. His life and contributions will certainly be missed by many.

HONORING RHODA VIRGINIA
HOFSTETTER ON HER 100TH
BIRTHDAY

HON. NICK J. RAHALL II

OF WEST VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, December 14, 2010

Mr. RAHALL. Madam Speaker, I rise to honor Rhoda Virginia Hofstetter on her 100th birthday. This birthday of birthdays, we honor an entire century of dedication to family, friends, colleagues and students.

Rhoda made a tremendous difference and improved so many young lives because of her life-long career as an educator. In 1933, she graduated from Marshall College—now known as Marshall University—and went on to teach mathematics at Lenore and Williamson High Schools in Mingo County, and at Lookout High School in Fayette County. From 1965 to 1973, Rhoda served as Supervisor of Mathematics for Fayette County. In 1973, she retired after 40 years as an educator and supervisor. Her beloved husband, Martin Hofstetter, passed away the same year.

Rhoda endlessly worked for the betterment of southern West Virginia, not only through her career as a teacher, but also through her active involvement—which continues to this day—as a Charter Member of the Ansted Garden Club.

Rhoda is a pillar of strength in her community of Ansted, where she has resided since 1946. Her devotion to education, family, friends and community is an example for us all.

I offer my personal congratulations to Rhoda, and wish her continued good health and happiness in the future.